An Atypical Presentation of Chordoma: Case Report & Review
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Introduction

Chordoma is a rare neoplasm that arises from embryonic remnants of the notochord along the axial skeleton and accounts for 1-4% of all musculoskeletal malignancies [1]. Despite histologically being considered as low-grade, chordomas are highly recurrent, radio-resistant tumours which are locally aggressive, invasive and have a poor prognosis [2]. The overall median survival time with chordoma has been estimated to be approximately 6 years from diagnosis, with a survival rate of 70% at 5 years, falling to 40% at 10 years. They have a very low incidence in patients younger than 40 years, with predominance in men between 50-60 years of age [3].

Chordomas arise from the sacrum in approximately 50-60% of cases, from the skull base region (spheno-occipital/nasal) in approximately 25-35% of cases, and from the mobile spine (cervical, thoracic and lumbar regions) in approximately 5% of cases [3]. Vertebral involvement most commonly occurs in the cervical spine; the lumbar spine is least frequently affected. Chordoma has previously been considered of low metastatic potential, however distant metastasis to lung, bone, soft tissue, lymph node, liver and skin have been reported in up to 43% of patients [4,5]. Patient survival however seems to be less affected by distant metastasis than by local progression of chordoma [6].

The insidious course of the disease and spread along critical bony and neural structures makes clinical management of these patients difficult. The gold standard treatment for chordomas is en-bloc excision with wide margins and postoperative external-beam radiation therapy [7]. Surgery, however, can be challenging due to tumour size, poor margination and impingement on surrounding structures. In this paper we report a rare presentation of a 57-year old male with a chordoma of the lumbar spine and we highlight current standards in diagnosis, clinical management and molecular characterization of chordomas. In addition, we outline the diagnostic and therapeutic challenges encountered.

Case Report

A 57-year-old male, with known ankylosing spondylitis, presented with an approximate one year history of right knee pain to be reviewed at our spinal clinic in August 2011. On arrival to our clinic, he confirmed mild to moderate back pain which deteriorated after activities involving spinal flexion, lifting or prolonged standing. This had been previously attributed to his ankylosing spondylitis and was consistent with his prior symptoms of this.

Figure 1: Normal AP weight-bearing.
   a. Lateral,
   b. Radiographs of right knee. Aug 2010.
In fact, his presenting complaint was bilateral knee pain, although more prominent in the right knee, after certain activities. This was investigated with plain radiographs and later magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans of the right knee which were reported as normal (Figures 1 & 2). At a later visit on further questioning he complained of intermittent bilateral lower limb paraesthesia which was felt to represent the L3/4 distribution. Furthermore, he described a classic stenotic type of symptoms of claudication. Despite this, he stated having alternating good days and bad days without significant back pain. He confirmed normal bladder and bowel function and there was no history of any red flags symptoms. No abnormality was found on examination.

With his atypical presentation and past medical history, a spinal MRI scan was requested, which revealed a lesion (Figure 3). There was involvement of all three columns along with extension into the spinal canal resulting in 50% reduction in the canal. A CT scan of the chest, abdomen and pelvis for staging did not reveal any other primary or metastatic lesion apart from a 2cm-sized right kidney lump detected over the upper pole of the right kidney. It was agreed at a multi-disciplinary team (MDT) meeting to seek a tissue diagnosis thus the patient was referred for image guided biopsy.

Pathological Findings

**Figure 4:** Power of haemotoxylin and eosin stained images of chordoma.
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- c. High power haemotoxylin and eosin stained images of chordoma.
Histopathological analysis of the biopsied tissue sections showed bone within which there was a tumour composed of single cells in a myxoid/chondroid matrix. Some cells showed obvious physaliferous features. The differential diagnosis was between a chondrosarcoma and a chondroid Chordoma, and immunocytochemistry had been requested in an attempt to resolve this. Immunostaining was positive for cytokeratin AE1/1 and S100 indicating this tumour should be regarded as a chordoma rather than chondrosarcoma (Figure 4).

A soft tissue tumour biopsy was also taken which showed no evidence of chordoma at the spinous process, facet joint, lamina, ligamentum flavum, pedicle (right side) or transverse process (right side). However, there was involvement by chordoma at the superior articular process, transverse process (left side) and the pedicle (left side). A kidney needle biopsy showed that immunostains were strongly positive for renal cell carcinoma (RCC) antigen. The tumour was also positive for CD10, vimentin, AE1/3, ENA, NSE and S100. It was negative for HBME1. The immunoprofile confirmed the diagnosis of a primary clear cell renal cell carcinoma, although it also confirmed that the previous LV3 biopsy slides showed a chordoma affecting L3 vertebra rather than a metastatic RCC. The aim of surgical intervention was to de-bulk the tumour by a two stage procedure. The first stage involved D12 to L5 posterior spine instrumentation and posterior L3 vertebrectomy. The second stage involved an anterior lumbar L2 and L3 corpectomy and cage reconstruction. The patient underwent postoperative radiotherapy and was asymptomatic at both 6 and 12 month follow-ups (Figure 5).

Discussion

Chordomas were first characterised microscopically by Virchow in 1857 [8]. He described these tumours to be made up of unique ‘physaliferous’ cells consisting of intracellular ‘bubbly’ vacuoles. These physaliferous features of chordoma remain a distinguishing feature. Virchow hypothesised that chordomas were derived from undifferentiated notochordal remnants that reside within the vertebral bodies throughout the axial skeleton. In fact, the most compelling evidence to support this hypothesis was role of the brachyury gene. Brachyury regulates several stem-cell genes and has been implicated in promoting epithelial-mesenchymal transition in other human carcinomas. It is still unclear what role brachyury has in the pathogenesis of chordomas, although it has been identified duplicating and remarkably over-expressed in chordoma biopsy sample analysis [9-11]. In addition to support this hypothesis, examination of human embryos and foetuses showed that notochordal cell nests correspond and distribute to the sites of occurrence of chordoma [12].

The slow-growing nature of chordomas means they are often clinically silent until the later stages of disease progression. The clinical manifestations vary and depend on the location of the tumour and any impingement upon adjacent structures. Chordomas at the base of the skull often grow in the clivus and can present typically with cranial-nerve palsies, visual disturbances and headache or rarely with intracranial haemorrhage, epistaxis or CSF rhinorrhea [13]. Chordomas of the mobile spine and sacrum can remain asymptomatic for a long time and/or present with a variety of non-specific symptoms such as localised back pain, paraesthesia, and bladder or bowel dysfunction. In addition, there may be signs of radiculopathies related to the spinal level at which they occur [14]. Cervical chordomas can present as an oropharyngeal mass, with dysphagia or even with airway obstruction.

Staging is accomplished by a thorough history and physical examination, followed by a combination of diagnostic tests including CT, MRI and bone scans. Initially, the presentation of chordoma on CT scan is of bone destruction centred in the vertebral body, with an associated anteriorly or laterally situated, paraspinal soft-tissue mass that may contain calcification. Following vertebral body involvement, the pedicles, laminae, and spinal process may then become involved; adjacent intervertebral disc spaces are usually spared. At present, MRI scanning (with gadolinium contrast) is the method of choice for the diagnosis and preoperative assessment of chordomas as it is extremely sensitive in detecting soft tissue spread and tumour recurrence. Spinal chordomas show calcification and bony expansion and display features that appear isointense or hypointense on T1-weighted...
MRI images, hyperintense on T2-weighted MRI images, and enhance with gadolinium [15]. Bone scans have also been utilised in diagnosis of chordomas, however; they can be normal.

Fine needle aspiration biopsy (or core needle biopsy) is an widely accepted technique for rapid, accurate and economical diagnosis of a wide variety of lesions. The histologic and gross features of chordomas are well described in the literature. Chordomas exhibit various degrees of histological atypia and usually manifest as one of three histological variants: conventional, chondroid, or dedifferentiated [16]. Conventional chordomas are the most common type and appear as soft, grayish, lobulated tumours composed of groups of cells in a pale myxoid matrix separated by fibrous connective tissue septa. They have round nuclei and an abundant, vaculated cytoplasm described as ‘physaliferous’. The tumour is usually surrounded by pseudocapsule however; extension into surrounding bone and soft tissue can be seen. Chondroid chordomas histologically show features of both chordoma and chondrosarcoma (a malignant cartilage-forming tumour) [16].

Some controversy exists regarding the histopathology of these tumours. Classically, chordomas were pathologically identified by their physaliferous features and immunoreactivity for S-100 and epithelial markers such as epithelial membrane antigen (MUC1) and cytokeratin [17,18]. However, both chordoid chordomas and chondrosarcomas share their S-100 immunoreactivity, making it challenging to distinguish between the two [19]. Accurate diagnosis of tumours of the spine and skull base is prognostically important. Chordomas and chondrosarcomas have sufficient morphological overlap yet both represent two biologically distinct categories of mesenchymal neoplasms [19]. Some studies have suggested that the notochord developmental transcription factor, brachyury, could be a discriminating biomarker for chordomas [9,19,20]. In fact, when combined with cytokeratin staining, sensitivity and specificity for detection of chordoma was 98% and 100%, respectively [19]. Dedifferentiated chordomas are rapidly growing tumours which are biphasic, with areas of high grade sarcoma that co-exist alongside conventional or chordoid chordomas.

Surgical resection, radiation therapy, and chemotherapy are the current proposed therapy modalities for the management of spinal chordomas. Due to the delay in presentation of clinical symptoms, the tumour has potential to reach an extensive size, thus making surgical management a challenge to the surgeon. Wide en-bloc surgical resection remains the mainstay of management of chordomas. The surgical techniques for margin-free en-bloc tumour resection have been proven to be effective in terms of local control and long term prognosis for chordoma occurring in the mobile spine [21-23]. One study suggested that contamination of the surgical wound via cell seeding is responsible for recurrence, and therefore advocate complete excision of the tumour during initial surgery [24]. Surgical outcome is dependent on location of tumour and the degree of spread and bulky tumours near important adjacent structures may preclude negative margin resections.

Chordomas are considered radio-resistant tumours and require extreme doses of radiation which cannot be delivered safely because it would exceed the tolerance of most neurological structures, especially the brainstem and the optic pathway [25]. There is some consensus that radiation therapy in combination with surgery provides an added advantage. Advances in radiation technology with the introduction of hadrons (high dose protons) have led to higher doses of radiation being delivered to the target with minimal injury to surrounding tissue [26]. Treatment with conventional radiation therapy at doses of 40-60 Gy has led to 5-year local control of only 10-40% [27,28]. Studies exploiting the use of hadron therapy in chordomas, especially at the skull base and cervical spine show local control at 5 years of 50-60% [4]. Preliminary evidence suggests that hadron therapy is a more promising strategy, as opposed to photon therapy, when coupled with surgery [29]. Proton-beam therapy with wide en-bloc excision is the accepted treatment standard in the management of chordomas in many centres, especially in patients with a primary tumour as opposed to recurrence of disease. Chordomas are not reported to be sensitive to chemotherapy; however responses have been reported in patients with high-grade dedifferentiated chordomas [30].

Diagnostic Challenges

This case report is interesting on several accounts. The patient presented as an atypical case with bilateral knee pain in his late fifties. There was minimal knee abnormalities e.g. valgus deformity and swelling. Initially, we thought the symptoms were due to osteoporosis compression fractures, however this can be distinguished from bone tumours as the symptoms of the latter tend not to improve. His back pain differed little from that pre-existing due to his ankylosing spondylitis and it took almost one year to diagnose a lumbar chordoma. The delay in diagnosis was due to the absence of red flag symptoms and thinking it was pain associated with ankylosing spondylitis.

Because of their location, lumbar chordomas may present with radicular symptoms and may easily be confused with more common tumours in the lumbar spine such as aneurysmal bone cyst, giant cell tumour, haemangioma, myeloma and metastasis. Thus, preoperative diagnosis can be difficult. Secondary bone tumours are more common than primary bone tumours; hence it is important to have a high suspicion, as in this case, of a primary bone tumour since there were no other vertebral issues or systemic symptoms. It is important to carry out a biopsy and histopathological examination - tasks which can prove to be a diagnostic challenge.

Therapeutic Challenges

The tumour had invaded both anterior and posterior aspects of the involved vertebrae which then subsequently required the spine to be stabilized and the affected vertebrae removed. Stabilizing the spine requires the use of spinal radiographs once the patient is put into a certain position. This was challenging in our patient as he
has kyphosis from ankylosing spondylitis. Thus, the difficulty of tumour removal in ankylosing spondylitis can potentially pose positional problems on the table. We overcame this by the use of cushions.

Removal of the tumour is technically demanding - removing the posterior tumour took approximately 8 hours, thus we recommend sharing the workload between two surgeons. In addition, we recommend a one week waiting period before operating on the anterior tumour as this procedure lasts a further 8-10 hours. Furthermore, we do not advise operating after a few days since the patient would still be undergoing metabolic response from surgery. It is appropriate to summon a vascular surgeon in case the tumour is in adherence to large vessels. We found that we had to remove one and half vertebrates instead of one, hence it is important to make sure blood and blood products are readily available as there may be potential large losses of blood.

Once the spine is fixed in such patients, we carry out radiotherapy once the tumour has been resected. We used bone grafts; however this has a limitation as radiotherapy can destroy this. Only two centres’ in the world offer polyaryletherketones (PEEK) implant and so there is a need to apply for funding. The question arises about who should be doing these cases, i.e. primary bone tumours. Currently, there are only 3 centres in the UK that do this - Glasgow, Birmingham and London.

Conclusion

The lumbar spine is an uncommon location for chordoma. This case report demonstrates the diagnostic and therapeutic difficulties they may present throughout the patient journey. Surgery is the primary modality to achieve the best long-term control. However, the location of these tumours makes en bloc excision to achieve adequate negative margins technically challenging. Conventional radiotherapy has a theoretical role, however, the high doses required for these radio-resistant tumours lead to significant toxicity to surrounding normal tissues and limit its therapeutic value. Newer techniques and charged particle radiotherapy allow for better dose delivery, and hence better disease control. Cytotoxic chemotherapy has virtually no role in this disease however; molecularly targeted therapy is showing significant promise and is an area of great potential.
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