Research Article

A Novel Missense WFS1 Variant: Expanding the Mutational Spectrum Associated with Nonsyndromic Low-Frequency Sensorineural Hearing Loss
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Background. Nonsyndromic low-frequency sensorineural hearing loss (LFSNHL) is an uncommon form of hearing loss (HL) that typically affects frequencies at 2000 Hz and below. Heterozygous variants in the WFS1 gene at the DFNA6/14/38 locus are considered a common cause of LFSNHL. To date, 34 different pathogenic genetic variants have been reported to cause LFSNHL with seven of these variants identified in the Chinese population. However, limited reports are available on the association between WFS1 gene and LFSNHL. Here, we report a five-generation Chinese family with an autosomal dominant inheritance pattern of postlingual and progressive LFSNHL.

Methods. Routine clinical and audiological examinations were performed on 16 affected and 7 healthy members in this family. The targeted next-generation sequencing of 127 known deafness genes was performed to identify variants in affected individuals. Sanger sequencing were further employed to confirm the pathogenic variant identified.

Results. A novel heterozygous pathogenic genetic variant c.2530G>T (p.Ala844Ser) was identified in the WFS1 gene in all patients of this family. The mutated Ala residue is evolutionarily conserved and cosegregated with HL. The variant was predicted to be deleterious by MutationTaster, PolyPhen-2, LRT, and Fathmm software. Conservation analysis and 3D protein structure model indicated that the variant caused a structural change in the protein.

Conclusions. Our present study identifies a novel heterozygous WFS1 variant associated with LFSNHL in a Chinese family.

1. Introduction

Hearing loss (HL) is the most common sensory impairment, severely affecting people’s lives [1]. According to the World Health Organization report, more than 1.5 billion people worldwide have varying degrees of HL, which is expected to increase to more than 2.5 billion by 2050 (https://www.who.int/zh/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/deafness-and-hearing-loss), generating heavy social and economic burdens on many individuals and families as well as the society. There are numerous factors that cause deafness, such as aging, ototoxic drugs, environmental factors, genetic factors, inflammation, and other unknown causes [2]. However, it is worth noting that more than 60% of severe HL cases in neonates or early childhood are related to genetic factors [1]. Depending on its association with other organ abnormalities, the cases of hereditary deafness are generally grouped into two categories, i.e., syndromic HL and nonsyndromic hearing loss (NSHL), accounting for 30% and 70% of the total cases of hereditary deafness, respectively [3]. The NSHL inheritance patterns include autosomal recessive (80%), autosomal dominant (15-20%), sex chromosome chain disorders (1%), and mitochondrial DNA inheritance (1%) [4]. To date, a total of 161 genetic loci (68 dominant and 93 recessive) and 134 genes (45 dominant, 74 recessive, and 5 X-linked) have been reported to be associated with NSHL based on the Hereditary Hearing Loss database (https://hereditaryhearingloss.org/; accessed in August 2021).
The low-frequency sensorineural hearing loss (LFSNHL) is a rare type of HL that affects low frequencies of 2000 Hz and lower, showing a characteristic audiogram configuration that is mostly ascending. Studies have shown that a group of four genes, including DIAPH1, MYO7A, CCDC50, and WFS1, are associated with the nonsyndromic LFSNHL [5–7]. The variants in WFS1 are considered common causes of LFSNHL [8, 9]. For example, Fukuo et al. reported that variants in the WFS1 gene were detected in one-third autosomal dominant LFSNHL Japanese families during the screening of 206 Japanese autosomal dominant and 64 autosomal recessive (sporadic) nonsyndromic HL probands [8].

Hearing screening combined with genetic diagnosis can help us detect more pathogenic genetic variants. In this study, we performed mutational analysis of a five-generation Chinese family using both deafness genes NGS panel and Sanger sequencing techniques. The missense variant WFS1 c.2530G>T (p.Ala844Ser) was identified as the cause of this nonsyndromic LFSNHL in this family. Four pathogenicity predicting tools were used to indicate that the variant was deleterious, and 3D protein structure model predicted showed that the novel variant in WFS1 may alter the normal structure of the wolframin protein. Our findings would be a significant addition to the collection of WFS1 variants and would make the genetic counseling available for this family.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Subjects and Controls. The family with LFSNHL comprised a total of 94 individuals in five generations, including 26 affected members. With the informed consent obtained from each individual, a total of 23 family members (16 affected and 7 unaffected) participated in a clinical evaluation study. A total of 200 individuals with normal hearing were selected as controls. The written informed consents of all participants were obtained before their inclusion in the study, which was approved by the Ethics Committee of Shandong University (approval number 014).

2.2. Clinical Data. A medical history was obtained from each participant using a questionnaire to collect the following data: age at onset, evolution, and symmetry of the hearing impairment, presence of tinnitus, use of aminoglycoside antibiotics, and other relevant clinical manifestations. All participants were given the clinical and audiological evaluations generally performed in the physical examination, including pure-tone audiometry (PTA), acoustic impedance, tympanometry, auditory brainstem response (ABR), distortion product otoacoustic emission (DPOAE), electrocochleogram, and vestibular function. Blood glucose test and optic nerve electroretinogram were performed to verify other complications other than hearing disorders in the family members. Environmental factors, such as acoustical noise, were excluded as causes of HL. Severity of HL was classified by the average value of PTA at 500, 1000, 2000, and 4000 Hz as mild (26–40 dB HL), moderate (41–55 dB HL), moderately severe (56–70 dB HL), severe (71–90 dB HL), and profound (>90 dB HL), respectively.

2.3. DNA Extraction. Genomic DNA was extracted from 2 mL peripheral blood with AxyPrep Genomic Blood DNA Extraction Kit (AxyGEN, Corning, USA) in each of the 23 members of this family (i.e., II-7, III-6, III-8, III-10, III-11, III-14, III-16, III-20, IV-2, IV-8, IV-10, IV-11, IV-12, IV-13, IV-14, IV-22, IV-29, V-1, V-3, V-8, V-9, and V-15) and a total of 200 normal controls.

2.4. Targeted Deafness Gene Capture and Next-Generation Sequencing. Targeted genomic capturing and next-generation sequencing analyses were performed on proband (III-10) by BGI Inc. (Shenzhen, China). All exons, splicing sites, and immediate flanking intron sequences of a total of 127 deafness genes (Supplementary Table 1) were sequenced. The E210 DNA-shearing instrument (CovarisS2, Massachusetts, USA) randomly fragmented genomic DNA samples into fragments of 200-300 base pairs. Then, the end-repair, adenylation, and adapter ligation were performed for library preparation following the standard Illumina protocols. Capture of targeted DNA fragments was conducted by hybridization with capture arrays. High-throughput sequencing for captured library was performed on Illumina HiSeq2000 Analyzers. Three-step filtering analyses were performed on the raw data to generate “clean reads” for further analysis. First, the indexed primers were used to identify different reads from different samples in the primary data (i.e., reads that were perfectly matched to the theoretically indexed sequences and reads that were matched with the theoretical primer indexed sequences with a maximum of three mismatches). Second, the reads containing partial adapter sequences were removed. Third, the low-quality reads (i.e., reads containing more than 10% Ns in the read length as well as 50% reads with a quality value of less than 5 and with an average quality of less than 10 and adapter sequences including indexed sequence) were removed [10]. The high-quality clean reads were aligned to the human reference genome (hg19) using the BWA (Burrows-Wheeler Aligner) MultiVision software package. SOAPsnp software and GATK Indel Genotyper were used to identify SNPs and indels, respectively. The novelty of variant was determined by screening of 1000 Genomes, HapMap, dbSNP, gnomAD, and the BGI in-house databases. The pathogenic features of missense variant were predicted by several different computer algorithms (i.e., MutationTaster, PolyPhen-2, LRT, and Fathmm). We excluded variants with minor allele frequencies >0.05 in the 1000 Genomes Project and PVFD database (https://github.com/BGI-flexlab/PVFD). Pathogenicity of the variant was classified based on the guidelines of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) 2015 [11].

2.5. Sanger Sequencing. Sanger sequencing of WFS1 was performed using the sequence specific primers, i.e., forward 5′-GTTCAGAGCCGTGCTGCTCA-3′ and reverse 5′-AGTC GAAGGCGAACTTCAG-3′ for c.2530G>T variant. Data analysis was performed using Chromas2.4.1 software. The WFS1 gene sequence (NM_001145853.1) and WFS1 protein sequence (NP_001139325.1) were used as the references for sequence alignment.
Institute Organ of Corti 1 (HEI-OC1) cell line was main-
confirmed by using Sanger sequencing. These WFS1 cDNA-loaded plasmids were then used to amplify wild-type (WT) WFS1 by PCR. The PCR primers for WFS1 cDNA region were as follows: 5′-ACGGTACCATTGACTCAACAC TGCTCCGGCTGGGC-3′ and 5′-ACGGGCGCGTCATCGTCGTCCTTGTAGTCGGCCGCC-3′. The PCR products were digested by KpnI and NotI and cloned into p3×FLAG-Myc-CMV-24 vector (Sigma). To construct the plasmids containing mutated WFS1, the ClonExpress Entry One Step Cloning Kit (Vazyme) was used to introduce the variant (c.2530G>T) into the WT vector. Both HEI-OC1 and HEK-293 cells were plated in 24-well plates, respectively, then transfected with 1.0 μg of WFS1 WT or mutant plasmids using Lipofectamine 3000 transfection reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). In 24 h, cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS for 10 min, permeabilized by adding 0.1% Triton X-100 for 1 min, and then blocked with PBST containing 1% donkey serum at 37°C for 1 h. The cells were then incubated with primary anti-FLAG antibody (1:100, 8146, CST, USA) and anti-Calnexin antibody (1:100, ab224465, Abcam, USA) overnight at 4°C. Then, the secondary goat antimouse, goat antirabbit (Abcam), and DAPI antibodies (D9542, Sigma-Aldrich, US) were incubated with cells at room temperature for 1 h. After sealing, the immunofluorescence imaging was performed by a Leica TCS SP8 confocal fluorescence microscope (Leica Microsystems, Biberach, Germany).

3. Results

3.1. Clinical Features of the Participants. A five-generation Chinese family with a total of 94 members from Shandong Province, China, participated in this study with the pedigree constructed according to the verbal descriptions of the participants (Figure 1(a)). The affected members of this Han Chinese family were presented with dominant NSHL, showing bilateral, symmetric, and progressive hearing impairment. The PTA results showed that it was predominantly low-frequency impaired (Figure 1(b)). In addition, according to the age distribution map, the age at onset of hearing impairment was varied from 1 to 30 years in this family, and most of these patients mainly developed HL around 10 years old (Figure 2). The proband (III-10) developed severe sensorineural HL involving low frequencies; the average auditory thresholds on PTA for the right and left ears were 67 and 63 dB HL, respectively (Figure 1(b)). Only wave V was observed in both ears under 96 dB HL stimulation on ABR testing, and DPOAE were absent bilaterally. Results of MRI, vestibular function tests, electrocochleography, and utricle and balloon function tests revealed no abnormality of otology-related malformations or vestibular dysfunction in the participants. The acoustic immittance tests were all A-type tympanograms, and the external auditory canal volume, tympanic pressure, and compliance values were all normal. All family members appeared normoglycemic. Assessment of optic nerve electroretinograms and fundus images revealed no abnormalities. A comprehensive clinical examination and medical histories revealed no other clinical syndrome phenotypes. The main clinical features of this family are described in Table 1.

### Table 1: Summary of clinical data for members in the Chinese pedigree examined for genetic variants.

| Subject | Gender | Age at test (years) | Age at onset (years) | Use of aminoglycoside | PTA (dB) right ear | PTA (dB) left ear | Tinnitus | Vertigo | Level of hearing impairment |
|---------|--------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------|---------|---------------------------|
| II-7    | F      | 70                   | 30                   | No                    | 68                | 66               | No        | No      | Severe                    |
| III-6   | F      | 55                   | 21                   | No                    | 60                | 60               | No        | No      | Moderate                  |
| III-8   | M      | 58                   | 13                   | No                    | 59                | 68               | No        | No      | Severe                    |
| III-10  | M      | 60                   | 17                   | No                    | 64                | 68               | No        | No      | Severe                    |
| III-14  | F      | 55                   | 16                   | No                    | 74                | 75               | No        | No      | Severe                    |
| III-16  | F      | 53                   | 9                    | No                    | 74                | 75               | No        | No      | Severe                    |
| III-20  | M      | 42                   | 14                   | No                    | 44                | 41               | No        | No      | Moderate                  |
| III-22  | M      | 44                   | 14                   | No                    | 46                | 53               | No        | No      | Moderate                  |
| IV-2    | F      | 30                   | 11                   | No                    | 36                | 41               | No        | No      | Moderate                  |
| IV-8    | M      | 30                   | —                    | No                    | 13                | 13               | No        | No      | Normal                    |
| IV-10   | M      | 35                   | 12                   | No                    | 60                | 58               | No        | No      | Moderate                  |
| IV-12   | M      | 30                   | 7                    | No                    | 74                | 71               | No        | No      | Severe                    |
| IV-14   | F      | 26                   | —                    | No                    | 11                | 14               | No        | No      | Normal                    |
| IV-22   | M      | 30                   | 12                   | No                    | 71                | 66               | No        | No      | Severe                    |
| IV-29   | M      | 16                   | 8                    | No                    | 38                | 38               | No        | No      | Moderate                  |
| V-1     | F      | 6                    | 3                    | No                    | 40                | 38               | No        | No      | Moderate                  |
| V-3     | M      | 13                   | 1                    | No                    | 85                | 85               | No        | No      | Profound                  |
| V-8     | M      | 10                   | —                    | No                    | 20                | 20               | No        | No      | Normal                    |
| V-9     | M      | 4                    | —                    | No                    | 14                | 14               | No        | No      | Normal                    |
| V-15    | M      | 5                    | 5                    | No                    | 29                | 29               | No        | No      | Mild                      |
capture sequencing based on a total of 127 genes associated with nonsyndromic and syndromic deafness was performed in the proband (III-10). Six variants (ATP2B2, WFS1, SERPINB6, TRIOBP, OTOA, and MYH9) were detected to be possible candidates. After removing the synonymous variants, the heterozygous variant c.2530G>T (p.Ala844Ser) in WFS1 was identified as the only candidate consistent with the autosomal dominant inheritance patterns in this Chinese family, i.e., the heterozygous state of WFS1 variant can cause HL in affected family members. Then, the Sanger sequencing was performed in all participants of the family and 200 controls with normal hearing. The results revealed a novel missense variant c.2530G>T (GCC→TCC), which was not observed in the 200 people controls, in WFS1 gene and cosegregated with hearing impairment in this family (Figure 3(a)). The variant c.2530G>T (p.Ala844Ser) was not listed in the public databases of HGMD, dbSNP, gnomAD, 1000 Genomes, and HapMap. Conservation analysis (Figure 3(b)) showed that the amino acid residue of this missense variant is conserved among 8 species (i.e., Homo sapiens, Pan troglodytes, Bos taurus, Canis familiaris, Rattus norvegicus, Mus musculus, Gallus gallus, and Danio rerio).

Finally, the mutation p.Ala844Ser was predicted to be deleterious by MutationTaster, PolyPhen-2, LRT, and Fathmm software (Table 2).

Based on the amino acid sequence of WFS1, the three-dimensional structures of WT and mutant WFS1 proteins were simulated. This missense variant caused a change from a
nonpolar uncharged alanine (Ala) to a polar uncharged serine (Ser) at codon 844. The amino acid at position 844 in the WT protein structure showed no interaction with other amino acids analyzed by PyMOL software (Figure 4(a)). The substitution of WFS1 p.Ala844Ser could lead to the formation of two hydrogen bonds between the hydroxyl functional group

![Image](https://example.com/image1)

**Figure 4**: The 3D protein structural modeling comparison of wild-type (WT) and mutant WFS1. (a) Molecular modeling of WT WFS1. The black dotted box indicates the position of amino acid residue 844 of WT WFS1. (b) Molecular modeling of mutant WFS1. Hydrogen bonds linking Ser844 with Glu824, Ser844 with Cys847, and Ser844 with Leu848 (yellow dotted lines) are shown.

![Image](https://example.com/image2)

**Figure 5**: Subcellular localization of wild-type (WT) and mutated WFS1. Immunofluorescence staining was performed after transient transfection in HEK-293 cells and HEI-OC1 cells. Images display DAPI in blue, endoplasmic reticulum-specific marker Calnexin in green, Flag-tagged protein in red, and merged pictures. In both HEK-293 cells and HEI-OC1 cells, the variant protein was located in the cytoplasmic endoplasmic reticulum as in the WT. Scale bars =30 μm.
of Ser844 and the N atoms of Cys847 and Leu848 at distances of 2.5 Å and 1.8 Å, respectively. Meanwhile, the N atom of Ser844 could interact with the Glu824 at distance of 2.4 Å (Figure 4(b)). These changes in amino acid interactions are predicted to prompt the formation of protein alpha helical structures.

3.3. Subcellular Localization of WFS1 Protein in HL Patients.
In order to identify the intracellular location of the dominant variant, we constructed both the WT and mutant (p.Ala844Ser) WFS1 expression plasmids fused with Flag tags (Supplementary Figure 1). Then, we immunostained both HEK-293 cells and HEI-OC1 cells that transiently expressed WT and dominant variant p.Ala844Ser, respectively. The results showed that similar to WT, the wolframin protein bearing this variant was localized to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) in both cell lines (Figure 5).

4. Discussion

WFS1 is located in the 4p16.1, containing a total of eight exons, with exon 1 as a noncoding region and exon 8 encoding the large portion of the transmembrane regions and the carboxy terminals of the wolframin protein, which is a type of glycoprotein with nine transmembrane domains [12–14]. Pathogenic variants in the WFS1 gene are generally associated with Wolfram syndrome (WS), Wolfram-like syndrome (WLS) and LFSNHL [15]. WS, also known as DIDMOAD, is an uncommon monogenic spectrum disorder characterized by juvenile onset diabetes, diabetes

| Origin     | Exon | Nucleotide change | Protein change | Type of variant | Inheritance pattern | Reference |
|------------|------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Portuguese | E-5  | c.511G > A        | p.Asp171Asn    | Missense        | AD                  | [19]      |
| German     | E-5  | c.577A > C        | p.Lys193Gln    | Missense        | Sporadic            | [20]      |
| Japanese   | E-8  | c.908T > C        | p.Leu303Pro    | Missense        | AD                  | [15]      |
| Japanese   | E-8  | c.923C > G        | p.Ser308Cys    | Missense        | AD                  | [15]      |
| Japanese   | E-8  | c.1480G > A       | p.Gly494Ser    | Missense        | AD                  | [7]       |
| Chinese    | E-8  | c.1846G > T       | p.Ala616Ser    | Missense        | AD                  | [21]      |
| Japanese   | E-8  | c.1901A > C       | p.Lys634Thr    | Missense        | AD                  | [22]      |
| Chinese    | E-8  | c.1957C > T       | p.Arg653Cys    | Missense        | AD                  | [23]      |
| Japanese   | E-8  | c.1982A > G       | p.Asn661Ser    | Missense        | AD                  | [15]      |
| Taiwanese  | E-8  | c.2005T > C       | p.Tyr669His    | Missense        | AD                  | [24]      |
| Chinese    | E-8  | c.2020G > T       | p.Gly674Trp    | Missense        | AD                  | [4]       |
| Dutch      | E-8  | c.2021G > A       | p.Gly674Glu    | Missense        | AD                  | [20]      |
| Dutch      | E-8  | c.2021G > T       | p.Gly674Val    | Missense        | AD                  | [20]      |
| Chinese    | E-8  | c.2036_2038delAGG | p.Glu680del    | In-frame indel  | AD                  | [23]      |
| Japanese   | E-8  | c.2045A > G       | p.Asn682Ser    | Missense        | Sporadic            | [15]      |
| American   | E-8  | c.2054G > C       | p.Arg685Pro    | Missense        | AD                  | [25]      |
| Chinese    | E-8  | c.2086C > T       | p.His696Tyr    | Missense        | AD                  | [26]      |
| Dutch      | E-8  | c.2096C > T       | p.Thr699Met    | Missense        | AD                  | [9]       |
| Chinese    | E-8  | c.2108G > A       | p.Arg703His    | Missense        | AD                  | [26]      |
| German     | E-8  | c.2115G > C       | p.Lys705Asn    | Missense        | AD                  | [27]      |
| Canadian   | E-8  | c.2146G > A       | p.Ala716Thr    | Missense        | AD                  | [9]       |
| Dutch      | E-8  | c.2300_2302delTCA | p.delele767   | Deletion        | AD                  | [20]      |
| Swiss      | E-8  | c.2311G > C       | p.Asp771His    | Missense        | AD                  | [28]      |
| American   | E-8  | c.2335G > A       | p.Val779Met    | Missense        | AD                  | [9]       |
| UK         | E-8  | c.2419A > C       | p.Ser807Arg    | Missense        | AD                  | [20]      |
| American   | E-8  | c.2486T > C       | p.Leu829Pro    | Missense        | AD                  | [9]       |
| US         | E-8  | c.2492G > A       | p.Gly831Asp    | Missense        | AD                  | [20]      |
| Japanese   | E-8  | c.2507A > C       | p.Lys836Thr    | Missense        | AD                  | [29]      |
| Dutch      | E-8  | c.2508G > C       | p.Lys836Asn    | Missense        | AD                  | [30]      |
| Japanese   | E-8  | c.2530G > A       | p.Ala844Thr    | Missense        | AD                  | [31]      |
| American   | E-8  | c.2576G > C       | p.Arg859Pro    | Missense        | AD                  | [28]      |
| American   | E-8  | c.2576G > A       | p.Arg859Gln    | Missense        | AD                  | [32]      |
| Dane       | E-8  | c.2590G > A       | p.Glu864Lys    | Missense        | AD                  | [33]      |
| Chinese    | E-8  | c.2591A > G       | p.Glu864Gly    | Missense        | AD                  | [34]      |
insipidus, optic atrophy, and HL and often accompanied by other progressive neurological abnormalities [16]. The WS-induced deafness is recognized as HL at middle and high frequencies, and variants in \( WFS1 \) are generally distributed throughout its coding region [17]. In contrast, the LFSNHL caused by \( WFS1 \) variants at the DFNA6/14/38 locus is characterized by showing the bilateral symmetrical low-frequency HL with the variants mainly located at the amino acids in the C-terminal domain of wolframin proteins [15].

In the present study, we identified the genetic variant responsible for the deafness phenotype in the pedigree of a five-generation Chinese family. The next-generation sequencing and sanger sequencing revealed a \( WFS1 \) c.2530G > T mis-sense variant that was cosegregating with HL in this family. Fukuoka et al.’s study showed that \( WFS1 \)-associated LFSNHL was noticed mainly between 5 and 14 years old (average of 10 years old) and thereafter gradually progressed over a long period [8]. Thorpe et al.’s study further showed that \( WFS1 \)-associated LFSNHL progressed to high-frequency HL, reaching at least a severe HL (>70 dB HL) at high frequencies by age 70 years [18]. Our findings in this study further supported these findings. The distribution plot of age at onset in this family (Figure 2) showed that the age of onset in \( WFS1 \) variant carriers was mainly concentrated between 6 and 15 years old. The PTA revealed a progressive HL in this Han family, in which only mild low-frequency HL was observed in 5-year-old patients, while the 70-year-old affected person developed with severe full-frequency HL. Notably, the result of Sanger sequencing showed that there was a heterozygous mutation in the 10-year-old child (V-8) of this family, but the PTA detection was normal (Supplementary Figure 2).

We speculated that the child did not have a deafness phenotype because he had not reached the age of onset. In addition, none of the family members showed symptoms of tinnitus and vertigo, which was consistent with the results previously reported [15].

The results of spatial structure model analysis showed that the variant of p.Ala844Ser promoted the formation of hydrogen bonds between serine residue and multiple amino acid residues, resulting in the misformation of the \( \alpha \)-helix structure at the C-terminal of wolframin protein. Hydrogen bond, as an intermolecular force, plays an important role in maintaining the spatial conformation and stability of proteins. Therefore, the formation of local abnormal conformation may interfere with the normal function of wolframin protein, e.g., disrupting the interaction between wolframin protein and other molecules or proteins. Alterations in the spatial structure and function of wolframin protein may be responsible for HL in this Chinese family. To date, there have been seven reported cases of LFSNHL in Chinese pedigrees caused by missense variants in \( WFS1 \) (Table 3). Notably, a total of 34 known variants associated with LFSNHL...
are predominantly located in exon 5 (Figure 6(a)), and most of the known variants in LFSNHL are concentrated in the C-terminal domain of wolframin protein (Figure 6(b)), indicating that the C-terminal domain of wolframin protein plays an essential role for the normal function of wolframin protein [35]. The wolframin protein mainly located in the ER widely exists in brain tissue, pancreatic β-cell, heart, lung, and placenta [36, 37]. Regarding the protein expression in the inner ear, studies reported that the expression of wolframin protein was observed in different cell types of mice and adult marmoset, including the organ of Corti, spiral ganglion neurons, and supporting cells of the cochlea [38, 39]. Morikawa et al. showed that both wild-type and mutant WFS1 (i.e., p.N325_I328del, p.Q194X, and p.L543R) were expressed in the ER of HEK-293 cells, which was consistent with our fluorescence results [40]. To observe the localization of variant wolframin protein in mouse cochlear hair cells, we performed immunofluorescence staining on HEI-OC1 cells and found that the results of HEI-OC1 cells were consistent with those of the HEK-293 T cells. Notably, the variants described by Morikawa et al. caused WS and resulted in significantly lower levels of variant protein expression in HEK-293 T cells than in WT [40], whereas the variant found in this study did not induce significant changes in wolframin protein levels in cells (Supplementary Figure 1). In addition, the WFS1 variants (i.e., p.N325_I328del, p.Q194X, and p.L543R) induced constitutive ER stress and cell apoptosis in HEK-293 T cells [40]. However, the mechanism of LFSNHL caused by WFS1 variants is still unclear. Because the HEI-OC1 cells themselves could highly express wolframin protein, considering that if the mutant plasmid is directly transfected into HEI-OC1 cells, the endogenous wolframin protein will compensate the molecular changes caused by the mutant plasmid. Therefore, to further explore the effect of wolframin protein bearing this variant on ER function in inner ear hair cells, the constructions of cell and transgenic mouse models carrying this variant are necessary.

The inheritance pattern of WFS1 variants as well as the type of variants inherited could affect both the onset and the severity of the main clinical features of WS [41]. The WFS1 variants are either homozygous or compound heterozygous in autosomal recessive hereditary WS. Studies have reported that the earlier-onset diabetes and optic atrophy occur in patients who are homozygous or compound heterozygous for two inactivating variants [42, 43]. Furthermore, compound heterozygosity for missense variants may lead to a mild phenotype [43]. However, patients who are compound heterozygous are at a higher risk of psychiatric disorders, DM, and HL [44]. The WFS1 variants are heterozygous in autosomal dominant LFSNHL, and younger patients are often asymptomatic or exhibiting mild HL only at low frequencies. The novel missense variant c.2530G>T (p.Ala844Ser) identified in this study caused only nonsyndromic HL in this family. Interestingly, the variant with the substitution of A described in another independent family of nonsyndromic LFSNHL by Noguchi et al. [31] is not only in the same codon but also in the same genomic position as the variant with the substitution of T detected in our study, evidently suggesting that the Ala844 residue of WFS1 may play an important role in NSHL. To date, neither the type nor location of the pathogenic variant could predict the phenotype [45]. Therefore, the establishment of the genotype-phenotype correlations is extremely difficult due to the molecular complexity of WFS1, its diverse clinical features, and the small size of the patient cohorts.

In summary, we identify a novel heterozygous c.2530G>T WFS1 variant cosegregating with HL in a five-generation Chinese family by targeted capture sequencing, which expands the mutational spectrum of WFS1. Our data provide additional molecular and clinical information for establishing a strong genotype-phenotype correlation for LFSNHL.
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