Arf6 regulates RhoB subcellular localization to control cancer cell invasion
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The ADP-ribosylation factor 6 (Arf6) is a small GTPase that regulates endocytic recycling processes in concert with various effectors. Arf6 controls cytoskeletal organization and membrane trafficking; however, the detailed mechanisms of regulation remain poorly understood. Here, we report that Arf6 forms a complex with RhoB. The interaction between RhoB and Arf6 is mediated by the GCI (glycine, cysteine, and isoleucine) residues (188–190) of RhoB. Specific targeting of Arf6 to plasma membrane or mitochondrial membranes promotes recruitment and colocalization of RhoB to these membrane microdomains. Arf6 depletion promotes the loss of RhoB from endosomal membranes and leads to RhoB degradation through an endolysosomal pathway. This results in defective actin and focal adhesion dynamics and increased 3D cell migration upon activation of the Met receptor tyrosine kinase. Our findings identify a novel regulatory mechanism for RhoB localization and stability by Arf6 and establish the strict requirement of Arf6 for RhoB-specific subcellular targeting to endosomes and biological functions.

Introduction
The Met receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) plays a crucial role in cell motility during embryonic development, wound healing, and tissue homeostasis. In response to its ligand, hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), Met coordinates the dynamic polarization of cells by regulating formation of cell protrusions, actin cytoskeleton remodeling, and formation and turnover of focal adhesions (FAs; Gherardi et al., 2012). Dysregulated Met signaling contributes to tumor progression by promoting tumor cell survival, as well as cell migration and invasion (Lai et al., 2009). However, the mechanisms through which Met regulates cell motility and cytoskeleton dynamics remain poorly understood.

Arf6, the least conserved member of the Arf family of GTPases, belongs to the Ras GTPase superfamily and localizes to the plasma membrane (PM) and endosomal compartments (D’Souza-Schorey and Chavrier, 2006). Arf6 is activated downstream from Met (Palacios and D’Souza-Schorey, 2003; Miura et al., 2017) and regulates endocytic membrane trafficking (Gillingham and Munro, 2007; Grossmann et al., 2019), including the Met RTK (Parachoniak et al., 2011). Arf6 also regulates the remodeling of actin cytoskeleton and FA dynamics to control cell motility (D’Souza-Schorey et al., 1997; Radhakrishna and Donaldson, 1997; Matsumoto et al., 2017). One role for Arf6 in cell migration is mediated through the regulation of members of the Rho family of small GTPases, either Rac1 (Boshans et al., 2000; Cotton et al., 2007) or Cdc42 (Osmani et al., 2010). However, the detailed mechanisms for Arf6 influence on cell motility downstream from Met remain elusive.

Rho GTPases are crucial regulators of the actin cytoskeleton rearrangements and FA dynamics (Ridley et al., 2003). They function as molecular switches and interact with downstream effector molecules to propagate the signal transduction in their GTP-loaded state (Bourne et al., 1991). They are required for effective cell migration and invasion (Ridley et al., 2003) as well as cell proliferation, apoptosis, and mitosis (Spiering and Hodgson, 2011). Although there are >20 human Rho GTPases, only a few have been studied downstream of Met despite their involvement in cell migration. To date, a role for Rac1, Cdc42, and RhoA in regulating cell migration downstream from Met has been identified (Takaishi et al., 1994; Royal et al., 2000; Lamorte et al., 2002), but involvement of other members, such as RhoB, has not been established.

The Rho family of GTPases includes the three isoforms RhoA, RhoB, and RhoC, which are 85% identical in sequence, with most differences concentrated in the C-terminus. Prenylation at the C-terminus is crucial for their function in cell growth, oncogenic transformation, and cytoskeleton organization, as well as their localization and stability (Wheeler and Ridley, 2004). Rho GTPases are generally localized in the cytoplasm and, in
response to stimuli, translocate to the PM. Notably, RhoB is also present in the endosomes (Mellor et al., 1998; Wheeler and Ridley, 2004; Wherlock et al., 2004; Rondonino et al., 2007), multivesicular bodies, and nucleus (Ju and Gilkes, 2018). RhoB has been implicated in the regulation of epidermal growth factor receptor and platelet-derived growth factor receptor-β trafficking and signaling (Adamson et al., 1992; Robertson et al., 1995; Gampel et al., 1999; Huang et al., 2007). RhoB depletion promotes cell migration (Vega et al., 2012; Tseliou et al., 2016; Vega and Ridley, 2018) and membrane ruffling but impairs cell spreading and lamellipodium extension (Vega et al., 2012). Mechanical details of RhoB retention at the endosomal membrane and consequent effect on cell migration remain poorly understood. Here, we establish that Arf6 forms a complex with RhoB, and the deletion of the tripeptide sequence GCI (glycine, cysteine, and isoleucine; 188–190), specific to RhoB and absent in RhoA, disrupts its interaction with Arf6 and its localization to the endosomes. Our findings identify a novel regulatory mechanism for RhoB localization and stability by Arf6. Moreover, we found that Arf6 is necessary for specific subcellular targeting of RhoB to endosomes and, thus, the regulation of membrane trafficking and cell motility.

Results

The ability of Arf6 to regulate actin cytoskeleton remodeling is central to its role in cell migration and tumor invasion (Gillingham and Munro, 2007; Yamauchi et al., 2017). Arf6 is activated in response to HGF and modulates Met recycling as well as Met-dependent morphogenetic events in polarized epithelia (Palacios and D’Souza-Schorey, 2003; Parachoniak et al., 2011). However, its role in actin cytoskeletal remodeling and FA dynamics in nonpolarized tumor cells following Met activation remains unknown. To address this, we examined the effect of Arf6 depletion by RNAi in cancer cells (HeLa) in response to HGF. Depletion of Arf6 with siRNA-mediated knockdown (KD) in HeLa cells reduced cell spreading but induced long projections in 80% of adherent cells (5 µm in control cells compared with 10 µm in Arf6 KD cells; Fig. 1, A and B; and Fig. S1, A and B). After HGF stimulation, Arf6 KD cells failed to form large lamellipodial extensions as observed in control cells yet formed enhanced projections in 88% of adherent cells, which ranged from 12 µm in control cells to 25 µm in Arf6 KD cells (Fig. 1, A and B; and Fig. S1, A and B). Video microscopy analysis revealed that the formation of long projections in Arf6 KD cells appeared at the rear of migrating cells (Fig. 1 B). Importantly, reexpression of RNAi-resistant Arf6 rescued this altered cell morphology (Fig. 1, A and B; and Fig. S1, A and B), highlighting the specificity and dependence of these responses to Arf6. Importantly, reexpression of RhoB did not restore morphology defects induced by Arf6 depletion. Moreover, Arf6 KD was sufficient to destabilize ectopic RhoB protein levels (Fig. 1, A and B). Together, these observations further support that Arf6 acts upstream of RhoB to control cancer cell morphology. Such a dramatic change in cell morphology in response to Arf6 depletion could be a consequence of the known role of Arf6 in modulating activities of Rho family members RhoA, Rac1, and Cdc42, which regulate actin cytoskeleton and cell morphology (Boshans et al., 2000; Cotton et al., 2007; Osmani et al., 2010). To examine the requirement of Arf6 in actin cytoskeletal remodeling downstream of activated Met, we investigated the activation status of Rho family members. In response to HGF stimulation, the activation status of RhoA was not altered in Arf6 KD cells compared with control cells (Fig. 1 C). Similarly, HGF stimulation promoted RhoB activation (Fig. 1 D). However, unlike RhoA, Arf6 depletion dramatically decreased RhoB activity in response to HGF stimulation compared with control cells (Fig. 1 D). Moreover, a concomitant decrease in RhoB protein levels following Arf6 KD was observed regardless of HGF stimulation (Fig. 1 E), while RhoA and RhoC protein levels remained mostly unaltered (Figs. 1 F and S1 C). To further substantiate our observations, we performed immunofluorescence on endogenous RhoB and Arf6 in HGF-stimulated HeLa cells and showed that RhoB was not detectable by immunofluorescence in Arf6-depleted cells, while KD of RhoB did not affect Arf6 expression levels or localization (Fig. S1 D).

RhoB has been reported to be rapidly degraded through a lysosomal pathway (Engel et al., 1998; Pérez-Sala et al., 2009). To elucidate the involvement of Arf6 in RhoB turnover, Arf6 was depleted in HeLa cells pretreated with a v-ATPase inhibitor, bafilomycin A1. Bafilomycin A1 treatment stabilized RhoB protein levels following Arf6 KD and restored RhoB activation in response to HGF (Fig. 1, D and E). However, RhoA protein levels in Arf6 KD HeLa cells pretreated with bafilomycin A1 remained unaltered (Fig. 1 F). Hence, Arf6 KD does not alter the ability of Met to activate RhoB, but instead decreases the steady-state RhoB protein level.

To examine whether the altered cell morphology following Arf6 KD correlated with the observed decrease in RhoB protein, we depleted RhoB using RNAi. Notably, RhoB KD resulted in the formation of cells with multiple elongated tails in a similar manner to Arf6 KD (Fig. S1, E–G). This observation is consistent with previous studies where similar alterations in cell morphology were observed (Bousquet et al., 2009; Vega et al., 2012). However, no change in Arf6 GTPase activity was detected following RhoB depletion (Fig. S1 H). Hence, the generation of long protrusions observed in RhoB and Arf6 KD cells suggests that they may be involved in the same signaling pathway downstream from Met.

Arf6 localizes to the PM as well as endosomes, while RhoB is mainly found at endosomes (Radhakrishna and Donaldson, 1997; Wheeler and Ridley, 2004). To establish whether these proteins colocalize, we examined their subcellular localization in HeLa cells. Confocal microscopy analysis revealed that in the absence or presence of HGF, a portion of endogenous Arf6, colocalized with RhoB in intracellular vesicles at the cell periphery and perinuclear regions (Fig. 2, A and B). To determine whether RhoB localization to endosomal compartments was mediated through Arf6, the interaction between RhoB and Arf6 was investigated. We found that endogenous Arf6 communoprecipitated with RhoB (Fig. 2 C). Interestingly, pull-down experiments revealed that neither Arf6 nor RhoB is required in their active GTP-bound form to interact (Fig. 2 D). Moreover, transient expression of WT Arf6 communoprecipitated with RhoB in the
absence of HGF, suggesting that this interaction may be constitutive (Fig. 2 E). Consistent with this hypothesis, Arf6 mutants that adopted either a dominant-active (DA) conformation (Arf6-Q67L) or a dominant-negative (DN) conformation (Arf6-T27N) coimmunoprecipitated with RhoB. These results further indicate that Arf6 does not need to be GTP loaded to interact with RhoB. Similarly, reciprocal coimmunoprecipitations confirmed the ability of WT-RhoB, DA-RhoB (V14), and DN-RhoB (N19) to coimmunoprecipitate with Arf6, indicating that RhoB activation was not required for this interaction (Fig. 2 F). Although the amino acid sequences of RhoA and RhoB are 88% identical (Wheeler and Ridley, 2004), RhoA failed to coimmunoprecipitate with Arf6 (Fig. S2 A), and RhoA localization to PM was not perturbed by Arf6 depletion (Fig. S2, B and C). To determine whether the interaction between Arf6 and RhoB is direct, we used far-Western analysis to examine the ability of WT-RhoB, DA-RhoB, DN-RhoB, and a known Arf6-binding partner, Golgi-localized γ-ear–containing Arf-binding protein 3 (GGA3), to interact with Arf6 purified from bacteria. As expected, Arf6 bound to GGA3. Interestingly, Arf6 was also associated with WT-RhoB, DA-RhoB, and DN-RhoB (Fig. 2 G). Similarly, in vitro binding assays with recombinant proteins confirmed the interaction of Arf6 with WT-RhoB, DA-RhoB, and DN-RhoB, suggesting that RhoB activity is not required
Figure 2. Arf6 directly interacts with RhoB and colocalizes to the same subcellular structures. (A) HeLa cells untreated or treated with 0.5 nM HGF (20 min) were stained for endogenous Arf6 and RhoB. Insets show enlarged region. Arrows indicate multiples vesicles positive for both Arf6 and RhoB. (B) Colocalization between Arf6 (green), RhoB (red), and DAPI (blue) was represented as Pearson’s coefficient and measured for individual HeLa cells (n = 20). (C) Endogenous RhoB coprecipitated with endogenous Arf6 of HeLa cells, which were lysed and subjected to immunoprecipitation (IP) and analyzed by immunoblotting. (D) In vitro GST pull-down assay of purified RhoA or RhoB with purified Arf6, loaded with GDP or GTPγS. (E) HeLa cells were cotransfected with Arf6-HA (WT), Arf6-HA (DA), or Arf6-HA (DN); lysed; and subjected to IP with RhoB-GFP (WT) and Western blotted as shown. (F) HeLa cells were cotransfected with RhoB-HA (WT), RhoB-HA (DA), and RhoB-HA (DN); lysed; and subjected to IP with Arf6-GFP (WT) and Western blotted as shown. (G) HeLa cells transfected with GFP-RhoB (WT), GFP-RhoB (DA), GFP-RhoB (DN), or GFP-GGA3 were lysed and subjected to IP with an anti-GFP antibody; protein complexes were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. The membranes were incubated with fusion protein GST alone or GST-Arf6 and immunoblotted. (H) In vitro binding assay with purified recombinant proteins. GST-RhoB (WT), GST-RhoB (DA), or GST-RhoB (DN) in the presence or absence of His-Arf6, subjected to a GST pull-down and Western blot as shown. (I) Schematic of Arf6 mutant constructs. (J) GST, GST-Arf6, GST-Arf6 28–175, and GST-Arf6 73–175 were transfected into HeLa cells. Protein lysates were then subjected to a GST pull-down and immunoblotted for endogenous RhoB. All quantified data indicate mean values ± SEM from three independent experiments. Scale bar = 10 µm; 5 µm for magnification. P values based on comparisons with control: n.s., nonsignificant.
Additional in vitro binding experiments with Arf6 deletion mutants showed that the domain containing amino acids 28–73 was critical for Arf6 interaction with RhoB (Fig. 2, I and J). Taken together, these data demonstrate a previously undescribed direct interaction between Arf6 and RhoB.

The activities of Arf6 at the PM are complex, and Arf6 is likely activated and inactivated at many locations along the PM, where it can influence the sorting of membrane proteins, endocytic pathways, and the structure of the PM (Donaldson, 2003). Here we observed that Arf6 and RhoB predominantly colocalize at endocytic vesicles in HeLa cells (Fig. 2, A and B). Given that Arf6 forms a complex with RhoB, we hypothesized that Arf6 could retain RhoB in Arf6-positive vesicles, allowing spatially restricted activation of RhoB. To explore whether Arf6 actively promotes RhoB recruitment to the endosomal membranes, we expressed a fusion protein (Myr-Arf6-GFP) to target Arf6 to the mitochondria in SKBr3 cells and, in parallel, used MitoTracker to stain mitochondria in living cells (Fig. S2 D). Whereas TagRFP-T-RhoB failed to localize with mitochondria when coexpressed with a control Mito-EGFP fusion protein (Fig. S2 D), the TagRFP-T-RhoB localized to mitochondria following coexpression with Mito-Arf6-EGFP (Fig. S2 E). Importantly, under these conditions, RhoA remained localized to the PM and in membrane ruffles, which is consistent with its inability to bind Arf6 (Fig. S2, A and E). To further validate the role of Arf6 in regulating RhoB subcellular localization and stability, we investigated whether targeting Arf6 to the PM by expressing a fusion protein (Myr-Arf6-GFP) was sufficient to recruit RhoB to the PM. In agreement, TagRFP-T-RhoB accumulated constitutively to the PM and in membrane ruffles in cells expressing Myr-Arf6-GFP, regardless of Met activation, whereas TagRFP-T-RhoB did not colocalize with EGFP in control cells, confirming the specificity of recruitment to the PM and ruffles (Fig. S2 F).

Our results were then confirmed by immunostaining of endogenous RhoB, which localized to the PM in cells expressing Myr-Arf6-GFP (Fig. S2 G). Taken together, these results support a role for Arf6 in recruiting RhoB to specific subcellular compartments, including plasma and endosomal membranes.

Arf6 interacts with RhoB but not with RhoA (Fig. 2, C and D; and Fig. S2 A), suggesting that the C-terminus of RhoB contains specific sequences that may be responsible for its interaction with Arf6. To test this hypothesis, we generated multiple RhoB mutants and attempted coimmunoprecipitations with Arf6 (Fig. 3 A). We found that the isoprenylation-deficient mutants of RhoB (RhoB-C193S, RhoBΔNCCKVL, and RhoBΔKRYGSQN) efficiently bound Arf6. However, the deletion of the last 17 residues in the C-terminus of RhoB (RhoBΔI190) disrupted its interaction with Arf6 (Fig. 3, A–C). The C-terminus of RhoB contains a tripeptide GCI, localized at the residues 188–190. This tripeptide GCI is a unique feature of RhoB and is not found in any other known protein or Rho GTPase (Wang and Sebti, 2005; Vega and Ridley, 2018). Therefore, we hypothesized that the GCI tripeptide may be important for Arf6–RhoB complex formation. To test this possibility, we generated deletion constructs of RhoB lacking either individual amino acids of GCI residues or lacking all three GCI residues (RhoBΔGCI, RhoBΔG188, RhoBΔC189, and RhoBΔI190). Surprisingly, none of these mutants coimmunoprecipitated with Arf6 (Fig. 3 C). To confirm the requirement of the GCI peptide for the interaction with Arf6, we generated a mutant of RhoA containing the GCI residues (RhoA-GCI), where GCI amino acids were added at the corresponding position (188–189–190) to mimic the sequence of WT RhoB. We found that RhoA-GCI coimmunoprecipitated with Arf6 (Fig. 3 D), suggesting that the GCI residues are important to mediate the interaction with Arf6. As palmitoylation of the cysteine 189, part of the GCI motif, is required for RhoB subcellular localization and protein stability (Pérez-Sala et al., 2009), we sought to determine whether palmitoylation was required for Arf6 interaction. We found that ectopic expression of Arf6-GFP in HeLa cells did not alter RhoB palmitoylation (Fig. 3 E). Immunoprecipitation of Arf6 in the presence of a general palmitoylation inhibitor, 2-bromopalmitate (2-BP), which inhibits palmitic acid biosynthesis and thereby indirectly inhibits proteolytic palmitoylation, revealed that RhoB palmitoylation was not required for interaction with Arf6. Moreover, inhibition of palmitoylation appeared to increase RhoB–Arf6 complex formation (Fig. 3 F).

We next expressed RhoB mutants (RhoBΔ17, RhoBΔGCI, RhoBΔG188, RhoBΔC189, RhoBΔI190, RhoBΔG188, RhoBΔC189, and RhoBΔI190) failed to localize to the endosomal membranes (Fig. 3 G). Furthermore, the RhoA mutant containing the GCI residues (RhoA-GCI) accumulated at the endosomes, whereas WT RhoA localized to the cytoplasm and the PM ruffles (Fig. 3 G). Taken together, these results suggest that the RhoB GCI residues in the context of our study are important for RhoB interaction with Arf6 and its association with endosomes.

Following HGF stimulation, Met is internalized into the endosomal trafficking network (Fig. S3 A). This was confirmed using Alexa Fluor 555-labeled HGF, where internalized HGF/Met complexes entered into RhoB-GFP positive endosomes at 20 min after stimulation (Fig. S3 B). Hence, these observations provide a model through which a Met-dependent signal could activate RhoB in a spatially restricted manner.

RhoB has been shown to induce stress fiber formation when overexpressed (Wheeler and Ridley, 2004). Since actin stress fibers contribute to changes in the cell shape and are involved in cell retraction during cell migration (Pellegrin and Mellor, 2007), we investigated whether actin organization is altered in Arf6-depleted cells. In response to HGF stimulation, actin-mCherry localized within actin stress fibers and lamellipodia in control cells (Fig. 4 A). In contrast, 83% of Arf6 KD cells, 80% of RhoB KD cells, and 74% of the cells expressing RhoBΔGCI elicited a decreased localization of actin-mCherry to stress fibers. Furthermore, stress fibers appeared thinner under these conditions than in control cells. The number of stress fibers at the leading edge was decreased in both Arf6- and RhoB-depleted cells (65% in Arf6 and RhoB KD cells and 75% in cells expressing RhoBΔGCI), indicating that the formation of actin stress fibers was perturbed (Fig. 4, B and C). However, in opposition to WT-Arf6 or WT-RhoB, expression of RhoBΔGCI did not restore this phenotype (Fig. 4, A–C).
Figure 3. **The GCI tripeptide of RhoB mediates its interaction with Arf6.** (A) Schematic of RhoB mutant constructs. (B) HeLa cells were cotransfected with Arf6-HA (WT) and RhoB-GFP (WT), RhoB-GFP (C193S), RhoB-GFP (∆NCCKVL), or RhoB-GFP (∆117); lysed; and subjected to immunoprecipitation (IP) using an anti-HA antibody and blotted as shown. (C) HeLa cells were cotransfected with RhoB-GFP (WT), RhoB-GFP (∆KRGSQN), RhoB-GFP (∆GCI), RhoB-GFP (∆G188), RhoB-GFP (∆C189), RhoB-GFP (∆I190) and then subjected to IP with Arf6-HA (WT) and blotted as shown. (D) HeLa cells were cotransfected with RhoB-GFP (WT), RhoA-GFP (WT), or RhoA-GFP (GCI) and then subjected to IP with Arf6-HA (WT) and blotted as shown. (E) Level of palmitoylated RhoB in the presence of the palmitoylation inhibitor, 2-BP (100 µM, 2 h), or ectopically expressed Arf6-GFP in HeLa cells. CTL, control. (F) IP of endogenous Arf6 in HeLa cells treated with DMSO or 2-BP and blotted as shown. (G) SKBr3 cells were transfected with RhoB mutants as indicated and stimulated with HGF (20 min). Insets show enlargement of the cell leading edge. Representative images of three independent experiments. Scale bar = 10 µm; 5 µm for magnification.
Figure 4. Either depletion of Arf6 or RhoB or expression of RhoBΔGCI regulates actin stress fibers and FA dynamics. (A) SKBr3 cells cotransfected with actin-mCherry and RhoB/Arf6 siRNAs or Arf6/RhoB/RhoBΔGCI were stimulated with 0.5 nM HGF (20 min). Insets show enlarged region from the cell leading edge. CTL, control. (B) Percentage of cells with reduced actin cables were scored. (C) Number of fluorescence peaks representing stress fibers in SKBr3 cells from A are shown. (D) SKBr3 cells cotransfected with Paxillin-EGFP and RhoB/Arf6 siRNAs or Arf6/RhoB/RhoBΔGCI cDNAs were stimulated with 0.5 nM HGF (20 min). Insets show enlarged region from the cell leading edge. (E and F) Percentage of cells with small FAs (E) and number of FAs (F) were scored. All quantified data indicate mean values ± SEM from three independent experiments. Scale bar = 10 µm; 2 µm for magnification. P values based on comparisons with control: n.s., nonsignificant; **, P < 0.001.
Since FAs link actin stress fibers to the extracellular matrix and both Arf and Rho proteins regulate cell adhesions by enhancing actomyosin contractility (Riento and Ridley, 2003), we studied the FA dynamics. Using Paxillin as a marker of nascent and mature adhesions, we observed a striking decrease in the number and length of FAs in 83% of Arf6 KD cells, 88% of RhoB KD cells, and 75% of cells expressing RhoBΔGCI (Fig. 4, D–F; and Fig. 5 A). In accordance with the known role of actin stress fibers on FA turnover (Pellegrin and Mellor, 2007), the half-life of FAs was decreased at the leading edge (~12 min in control cell vs. ~9 min in Arf6 KD cells; ~12 min in control cell vs. ~7 min in RhoB KD cells; ~12 min in control cell vs. ~8 min in cells expressing RhoBΔGCI) but increased in the trailing edge of Arf6 KD cells in response to HGF (~15 min in control cell vs. ~21 min in Arf6 KD cells; ~15 min in control cell vs. ~22 min in RhoB KD cells; ~15 min in control cell vs. ~24 min in cells expressing RhoBΔGCI; Fig. 5 B). A similar change in actin stress fibers and FA number and length were observed for Arf6 KD or RhoB KD or expression of RhoBΔGCI, as shown by endogenous actin and Paxillin immunofluorescence (Fig. S3 C). Hence, we conclude that RhoB depletion promotes a similar decrease in actin stress fiber formation and FAs, as observed following Arf6 depletion. Importantly, expression of RhoB lacking CGI residues did not rescue this phenotype.

Several studies have implicated Arf6 and RhoB in the recycling and delivery of integrins to the PM via their actions on endosomal trafficking (Liu et al., 2001; Sabe, 2003; Wheeler and Ridley, 2007). Thus, regulation of certain components required for FA stability may be orchestrated by Arf6-RhoB signaling. To establish if Arf6 regulates the dynamic properties of FAs necessary for cell rear retraction and migration, we performed FRAP on cells expressing Paxillin-EGFP after 20 min of HGF stimulation. We found a significant difference in the fast recovery of FAs at the leading edge of migrating cells, as demonstrated by rapid diffusion of exogenously expressed Paxillin-EGFP to the bleached region in Arf6- or RhoB-depleted cells and in RhoBΔGCI-expressing cells, compared with control cells. Paxillin-EGFP fluorescence was reestablished in FAs in Arf6 KD cells by ~80 s after bleaching with 77% recovery, 75 s in RhoB KD cells with 69% recovery, and 70 s in cells expressing RhoBΔGCI with 71% recovery, compared with 108 s in control cells with 52% recovery (Fig. 5, C and D). No significant differences were observed in unstimulated cells (Fig. S3, D and E). Notably, HGF stimulation increased the percentage and rate recovery when compared with control conditions. These differences reflect the changes in FA dynamics after Arf6 or RhoB depletion or the impact of Arf6-RhoB dissociation following the expression of RhoBΔGCI. Taken together, these results support a role for the Arf6–RhoB complex in coordinating actin stress fiber assembly, FA turnover, and rear retraction.

Arf6 and RhoB are central components of the regulatory machinery that controls cell migration and invasion (Palacios et al., 2001; Vega et al., 2012). To determine whether the depletion of Arf6 or RhoB or the expression of RhoBΔGCI can affect cell invasion and dispersion following HGF stimulation, we used the highly invasive breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231, which forms spheroid-like structures when embedded and cultured in 3D Geltrex matrix (Lee et al., 2007). While MDA-MB-231 control cells formed spheroid-like structures, Arf6 and RhoB depletion or the expression of RhoBΔGCI strongly altered the morphology of these spheroid-like structures (Fig. 5 E; Fig. S3, F and G; and Videos 1 and 2). The cells formed an elongated linear extension associated with a higher capacity to invade the matrix as single rounded cells (Fig. 5 E). Moreover, we found that Arf6 or RhoB depletion enhanced Met-dependent 3D cell migration. The migrated distance and migration speed under these conditions were consistently increased. Interestingly, the reintroduction of RhoBΔGCI in RhoB KD cells, as well as RhoB WT in Arf6 KD cells, was not sufficient to reduce the increased cell migration induced by Arf6 depletion (Fig. 5, E–G). Taken together, these results reveal the requirement of tripeptide sequence CGI of RhoB for efficient cell migration and invasion.

**Discussion**

The molecular mechanisms by which Arf6 or RhoB regulates cell migration remain elusive (Cotton et al., 2007; Bousquet et al., 2009; Vega et al., 2012). RhoB loss is mostly associated with increased cell motility (Bousquet et al., 2009; Vega and Ridley, 2018) and tumor progression in many cancer types such as lung, brain, ovarian, bladder, colorectal, gastric, and breast (Mazieres et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2016; Ju and Gilkes, 2018). However, a few studies suggest that RhoB may play two distinct and opposing roles in the context of tumor initiation versus tumor progression and aggressiveness (Kazerounian et al., 2013; Meyer et al., 2014). For example, in breast tumorigenesis, RhoB can act as a tumor suppressor by restricting epidermal growth factor receptor at the cell surface, thus impairing Akt signaling. But, after activation of the angiogenic switch, RhoB functions as a tumor promoter by sustaining endothelial Akt signaling, growth, and survival of stromal endothelial cells to mediate tumor angiogenesis (Kazerounian et al., 2013). In epithelial cells, the motile and invasive phenotype induced by the inhibition of RhoB is the result of the cytoskeletal alteration, leading to increased actin stress fibers, the loss of cell adhesion, and increased expression of the mesenchymal marker, vimentin (Bousquet et al., 2009).

Likewise, the effect of Arf6 on cell migration is under debate, since the results from various groups are contradictory, and Arf6 depletion has been linked to both increased and decreased cell motility (Cotton et al., 2007; Morishige et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2009; Li et al., 2009; Muralidharan-Chari et al., 2009; Grossmann et al., 2013). Under certain circumstances, the loss of Arf6 can lead to increased cell membrane ruffling and enhanced cell migration (Bousquet et al., 2009; Vega et al., 2012; Yoo et al., 2016). The lincRNA-RoR/miR-145/ARF6 pathway was shown to dysregulate E-cadherin localization and reduce cell-cell adhesion to promote cell invasion in triple-negative breast cancer cell lines. Arf6 is overexpressed in tumor and lymph node metastasis of triple-negative breast cancer (Eades et al., 2015), and high Arf6 expression is correlated with metastasis occurrence in pancreatic and lung cancers, as well as renal and squamous cell carcinomas (Hashimoto et al., 2016; Otsuka et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017). Therefore, the role of Arf6 and RhoB in cell motility
Figure 5. The tripeptide sequence GCI of RhoB is critical for cell motility. (A and B) Quantification of length (A) and half-life (B) of FAs was scored from transfected SKBr3 cells after HGF stimulation (20 min). CTL, control. (C) Fluorescence was bleached (arrowheads) within the stable FAs of control, Arf6 KD, RhoB KD, or RhoBΔGCI at the leading edge of migrating SKBr3 cells, and fluorescence recovery was monitored over time. (D) Quantification of Paxillin-EGFP fluorescence over time and percentage of recovery (box) are presented for Arf6 KD, RhoB KD, or RhoBΔGCI cells. (E) Phase-contrast images of HGF-treated MDA-MB-231 cells on collagen/Geltrex matrix transduced with Arf6 or RhoB shRNAs and rescued by RhoB WT or RhoBΔGCI. (F and G) Quantification of the speed (F) and distance from the origin (G) of MDA-MB-231 cells transduced with shRNAs and transfected with cDNAs as indicated, cultured on collagen/Geltrex matrix and stimulated with HGF. The distance from the origin was determined as the net displacement between the initial position and the final position observed during an 8-h period. All quantified data indicate mean values ± SEM from three independent experiments. Scale bar = 10 µm; 2 µm for magnification. P values based on comparisons with control: n.s., nonsignificant; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.001.
et al., 2009). As RhoB and Arf6 colocalization was mainly observed in endocytic vesicles (Fig. 2, A and B), the increase of the cell border and endosomal compartments (García et al., 2008). RhoB is also implicated in Rac1 trafficking toward cycling of Rac1-positive endosomes to the PM (Palamidessi Donaldson, 1997). In response to HGF, Arf6 KD attenuates membrane ruffling requires Arf6 activity (Radhakrishna and Donaldson, 1997; Donaldson, 2003). In our model, RhoB mainly localized to the endosomes (Fig. 3 G). Therefore, we hypothesize that during its GTP/GDP cycling, Arf6 complexes with RhoB in the endosome, and the Arf6–RhoB complex may be required to regulate the intracellular trafficking of several signaling effectors, such as Rac1 or integrin β1, toward the PM to modulate Met/HGF-mediated cell motility.

Accordingly, the motile and invasive phenotype induced by the inhibition of RhoB is the result of increased Rac1-driven, actin-rich lamellipodial protrusions and the activation of the Akt and Rac1 pathways (Bousquet et al., 2009; Vega et al., 2012). Here, we found that the depletion of Arf6 or RhoB alters actin cytoskeleton and induces formation of rounded cells. This alteration of cell adhesion dynamics could be the consequence of a reduced cell attachment to several matrix proteins. In agreement with that proposition, a correlation between reduced cell adhesion associated with a defect in integrin-β1 recycling/distribution and enhanced migration has previously been reported in many models, such as in cells overexpressing Tiam1 (Minard et al., 2006; Vega et al., 2012). Therefore, we propose a model whereby the depletion of Arf6 promotes the loss of RhoB from endosomal membranes and lysosomal-dependent degradation of RhoB, leading to enhanced Rac1 signaling, reorganization of actin cytoskeleton, and cell migration. In agreement with this hypothesis, we found that Rac1 activity is strongly increased in RhoB- and Arf6-depleted cells in response to HGF stimulation (Fig. S3 H).

Recycling has emerged as a critical mechanism to spatiotemporally coordinate localized signaling complexes and actin dynamics and direct cell movement downstream of mitogenic stimuli and their receptors, such as Met (Palamidessi et al., 2008; Parachoniak et al., 2011; Ratcliffe et al., 2019). Our study demonstrates that Arf6–RhoB signaling plays an important role in governing Met RTK migratory responses. Our findings identify a novel regulatory mechanism for RhoB localization and stability by Arf6 and establish the strict requirement of Arf6 for RhoB-specific subcellular targeting to endosomes and, therefore, the regulation of membrane trafficking and cell motility.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and transfection

SKBr3, MDA-MB-231, and HEla cells were maintained in DMEM containing 10% FBS. Transient transfections of HEla cells were performed using Lipofectamine/Plus reagent (Invitrogen), and transfections of SKBr3 cells were performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) or nucleofection (Amaza), according to the manufacturers’ instructions.

Antibodies and reagents

Commercially available antibodies were purchased as follows: anti-Arf6 (sc-7971), anti-RhoA (sc-418), anti-RhoB (sc-8048),
anti-RhoB (sc-180), anti-RhoC (12116), and anti-GST-B-14 (sc-138) were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; anti-Arf6 (AB77581) from Abcam; HA.11 from Berkeley; GFP from Roche; and anti-β-actin (AC-15) from Sigma-Aldrich. CAPTUREome S-Palmitoylated protein mini-Kit (K010-310) was from Badrilla, hafilomycin A1 (196000) was from Calbiochem, and 2-BP (21604-1G) was from Sigma-Aldrich. Arf6 siRNAs were custom designed within the 3' untranslated region between nucleotides 1,813 and 1,837 (Thermo Fisher Scientific; 5'-CACAUCAUG CAUGAGUAGGAAUAUU-3'). AllStars Negative Control siRNA was purchased from Qiagen, and RhoB siRNA (sc-29472) was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Control shRNA (RHS4080), Arf6 shRNA (RHS3979-2008001910), and RhoB shRNA (RHS3979-200801754) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. pcDNA3-EGFP (13031) was purchased from Addgene. RhoA-GFP and RhoB-GFP were obtained from Mark R. Phillips (NYU Cancer Institute, New York, NY). TagRFP-T-RhoB was obtained from Michael W. Davidson (Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL). HA-RhoB, HA-RhoB-V14, and HA-RhoB-N19 were obtained from George C. Prendergast (Lankenau Institute for Medical Research, Wynnewood, PA). RhoB C193S was obtained from Michael W. Davidson (Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL), RhoB N19 were obtained from George C. Prendergast (Lankenau Institute for Medical Research, Wynnewood, PA), RhoB C193S was obtained from Michael W. Davidson (Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL), and RhoB N19 were obtained from George C. Prendergast (Lankenau Institute for Medical Research, Wynnewood, PA). RhoB C193S was obtained from Michael W. Davidson (Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL), RhoB N19 were obtained from George C. Prendergast (Lankenau Institute for Medical Research, Wynnewood, PA), RhoB C193S was obtained from Michael W. Davidson (Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL), and RhoB N19 were obtained from George C. Prendergast (Lankenau Institute for Medical Research, Wynnewood, PA).

Scanning EM

Cells were stimulated with HGF (0.5 nM) and fixed with 4% formaldehyde overnight at 4°C. Preparations were then washed three times for 5 min in 0.2 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) and rinsed with distilled water. Samples were dehydrated through a graded series (30–100%) of ethanol and dried with CO2 in a Balzers CPD 030. Dried specimens were sputter-coated with palladium with a Bal-Tec MED 010 evaporator and were examined and photographed with a Hitachi S-3000N emission scanning electron microscope operating at 15 kV. Images were pseudocolored with Adobe Photoshop software.

Quantification of cell rear projections

The pictures from time-lapse microscopy were used to measure the size of cell rear projections from three independent experiments (n = 400 cells). Means of size were calculated using MetaMorph and Excel (Microsoft Office) software.

Quantification of stress fibers

The differences in stress fibers were quantified as previously described (Acharya et al., 2008). Briefly, ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health) was used to generate line profiles. A graphic depiction was then generated where the x axis represented the distance across the cell, the y axis represented the level of fluorescence, and each immunofluorescence intensity spike represented an individual stress fiber crossed by the line in ImageJ software. We randomly selected 20 cells and three regions in each cell for the quantification of 200 stress fibers.

Quantification of adhesion sites and FRAP

Stable adhesions were selected from the leading edge of migrating cells. Images of Paxillin-expressing cells (n = 20) were acquired every 4 s for 2 min. Background-corrected fluorescence intensity images were used to measure small adhesion sites and FA size and number (Zaoui et al., 2008). FRAP experiments were performed on a confocal microscope (LSM710; Carl Zeiss) with a 100× plan Apo 1.4 NA objective. For FAs, EGFP fluorescence (from 10 cells and 30 adhesions for stimulated cells; 20 cells and 40 adhesions for unstimulated cells) was eliminated by 30 bleach cycles at 100% intensity of the 488-nm argon laser. Recovery curves generated from photobleached areas of the same size and locations were sampled every 1 s for 2 min and corrected for...
overall photobleaching, and then used to calculate percentage of fluorescence recovery by Zen LE software (Carl Zeiss).

Rho GTPase activity assay
The Rho GTPase activation assay was performed using the G-LISA RhoA, RhoB, and Rac1 absorbance-based activation assay (Cytoskeleton). Briefly, cells were grown on collagen-coated 6-well plates (Nunc), treated for 2 h with DMSO or 10 nM of bafilomycin A1 (Calbiochem), stimulated at different times with HGF (0.5 nM), and incubated at 37°C. Cells were then washed twice with ice-cold 1× PBS and resuspended in 65 µl of G-LISA lysis buffer. Protein lysates were transferred to ice-cold 1.5 ml centrifuge tubes and clarified by centrifuging at 10,000 rpm for 2 min. Protein concentrations were determined using the Bradford Protein Assay (Bio-Rad), and 1.0 µg/ml protein was used for the Rho GTPase activation assay as per the manufacturer’s recommendations, with the exception of RhoB GTPase activity in which a RhoB-specific antibody (sc-8048; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was substituted for the supplied RhoA antibody (Hall et al., 2008). A 1:50 dilution of the primary antibody and 1:250 dilution of the HRP-conjugated secondary antibody was sufficient to produce a RhoB-specific signal. After antibody and HRP reagent incubation, signals were detected on a Varioskan Flash Spectral Scanning Multimode reader at 490 nm (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Data analysis was performed using Excel. The activation levels of expressed Arf6 proteins were assayed as described previously (Jacquemet and Humphries, 2013). Briefly, 24 h after transfection, HeLa cells were lysed at 4°C in 1 ml of lysis buffer (1% Triton X-100, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 0.5% deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 10% glycerol, 2 mM dithiothreitol, and protease inhibitors). Lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 10 min and incubated with 0.5% BSA and 40 µg of GST-GGA3 bound to glutathione-Sepharose beads (Amersham Biosciences) for 40 min. The beads were washed three times with Arf6 washing buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 1% NP-40, and 10% glycerol), and bound proteins were eluted in 30 µl of SDS sample buffer. The presence of Arf6-GTP was detected by immunoblotting using anti-HA antibody.

Immunoprecipitation and Western blot analysis
Cells were harvested in 1% Triton X-100 lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, and 1% deoxycholate, at pH 7.4). All lysis buffers were supplemented with 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM sodium vanadate, 1 mM sodium fluoride, 10 µg/ml aprotinin, and 10 µg/ml leupeptin. Samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose. Membranes were blocked with 5% BSA and probed as described with appropriate antibodies: anti-Arf6 (sc-7971), anti-RhoA (sc-418), anti-RhoB (sc-8048), anti-RhoC (12116), and GST.B-14 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology); HA 0.11 (Berkeley); GFP (Roche); and anti-β-actin (AC-15; Sigma-Aldrich). This was followed by incubation with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies. All immunoblots were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham Biosciences). For immunoprecipitations, lysates were incubated with antibody at 4°C overnight with gentle rotation followed by 1-h incubation with protein A or G Sepharose beads. Captured proteins were collected by washing three times in lysis buffers, eluted by boiling in SDS sample buffer, and processed as above for Western blotting.

In vitro binding assay
The GST-WT protein used for GST pull-down experiments included GST-RhoA (Cytoskeleton), GST-Arf6 (obtained from Gwyn W. Gould, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland), and GST-RhoB (obtained from Isabelle Lajoie-Mazenc, Faculté des sciences pharmaceutiques, Toulouse, France). His-Arf6 and His-RhoB were introduced into Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) pLyS8, and the fusion proteins were induced with 0.1–1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside for 3–6 h. For binding assays, 2 µg of GST-tagged protein was incubated with 2 µg of His-tagged protein in lysis buffer (1% Triton X-100). Protein complexes were pulled down with G-Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare), washed three times with lysis buffer, and then subjected to Western blot analysis.

Pull-down assay for GTP-bound Rho GTPases
GST-proteins were loaded with GDP or GTPγS (Cytoskeleton) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, GTPγS was added to a final concentration of 0.2 mM, and GDP was added to a final concentration of 1 mM. The tubes were incubated for 1 h at 37°C before overnight incubation with 2 µg of His-Arf6 or His-RhoB produced by bacteria. The loading was stopped by adding MgCl2 to a final concentration of 60 mM to each tube, which was then mixed and placed on ice until Western blot analysis. For Fig. 2 J, GST, GST-Arf6, GST-Arf6-28–175, and GST-Arf6-73–175 were transfected into HeLa cells. Protein lysates were then subjected to a GST pull-down and immunoblotted for endogenous RhoB.

Detection of palmitoylated RhoB by Acyl-RAC
Protein S-palmitoylation was assessed using CAPTUREome S-Palmitoylated protein assay by resin-assisted capture (acyl-RAC), according to manufacturer’s instructions (K010-310; Baderilla). Briefly, 500 µl of blocking buffer (4 µl Thiol Blocking Reagent per 500 µl of buffer A) was added to 2 mg of protein. Samples were then vortexed for 5 s and incubated at 40°C for 4 h, with constant agitation. Three volumes (1.5 ml) of ice-cold acetone were added to each sample. Samples were vortexed for 5 s, and proteins were precipitated at −20°C for 20 min. The protein pellets were washed five times with ice-cold 70% acetone and air-dried. The pellets were redissolved in 300 µl of 1× binding buffer and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 1 min to remove any insoluble material. For thioster cleavage and resin capture, 50 µl of the resin slurry and 19 µl of Thioester Cleavage Reagent solution (previously prepared) were added to each sample. After 2.5-h incubation at room temperature with constant agitation, the samples were centrifuged for 1 min at 13,000 rpm and washed five times in 1 ml of 1× binding buffer. After removing the final wash, captured proteins were eluted using 50 µl of 2× Laemmli sample buffer and heated to 60°C for 10 min. Samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by immunoblotting using RhoB antibody (sc-8048) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology.
Immunofluorescence microscopy and quantification

Cells grown on collagen-coated coverslips were fixed in 4% formaldehyde at room temperature and permeabilized in 0.2% Triton X-100 before the addition of antibodies. The following antibodies were used for immunofluorescence: Met (AF276; R&D Systems); RhoB (sc-8048) and RhoB (sc-180; Santa Cruz Biotechnology; Fig. S1 D); Arf6 (AB77581; Abcam; Fig. S1 D); Faxillin (610051; BD Transduction Laboratories); and Flag (2368; Cell Signaling Technology). Secondary antibodies; Alexa Fluor 488, 546, and 633; and Phalloidin were obtained from Molecular Probes. Images were recorded with a scanning confocal microscope (LSM 510 Meta laser; Carl Zeiss) with a 100× plan Apo 1.4 NA objective and driven by Zen LE software. The degree of colocalization, expressed as the Pearson’s correlation coefficient, was assessed by the colocalization analysis function of Imaris software (Bitplane). Results were logged into Excel for analysis. All values are means ± SEM from three independent experiments.

Statistics

All statistical analyses were performed using Excel. Graphed data represent the average values ± SEM from at least three independent experiments. Statistical significance was assessed using a two-tailed Student’s t test. P values and the number of experiments used for quantification and statistical analysis are indicated in the corresponding figure legends. Data distribution was assumed to be normal, but this was not formally tested.

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows the effect of RhoB down-regulation on the activation of Arf6 and cell morphology following HGF stimulation. Fig. S2 provides a specific relationship between Arf6 and RhoB. Fig. S3 describes the role of Arf6 and RhoB on the regulation of the actin stress fibers and FA dynamics. Video 1 shows the movement of MDA-MB-231 control cells cultured in 3D-Geltrex and stimulated with HGF (0.5 nM). Video 2 shows the movement of MDA-MB-231 cells cultured in 3D-Geltrex after Arf6 depletion and stimulated with HGF (0.5 nM).
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