SOFC modelling considering radiation heat transfer
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Abstract. In this study, the influence of radiation heat transfer within a planar SOFC is investigated. The numerical model is made by performing two-dimension mathematical model describing mass, momentum and heat transport phenomena coupled with electrochemical reactions. The finite volume method is used to solve the governing equations. Compared with literature results, the simulations show that radiation reduces temperature gradients and has a significant effect on enhancing heat transfer within the SOFC and counter balancing thermal dissipation induced by activation, concentration and ohmic polarisations. Thus, it must be included for an accurate model.
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1 Introduction

Nowadays Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFC) are the subject of growing interest because of their higher actual and potential performances in terms of heat and electric power. In fact, the hot temperatures of rejected gas, about 800°C allow an interesting valorization by adding a cogeneration combined cycle to the SOFC unit. The SOFC has an electric efficiency around 50% and when coupled to a gas turbine with an alternator, the efficiency can reach 70% with input pressurized gas. It is also possible to use the exhausted gas calories in order to cogenerate steam and electricity so the global efficiency in terms of heat and electricity can be superior to 80%. The high operating temperature range from 800°C to approximately 1000°C permitting an internal reforming of the fuel (for example methane) under the action of the water steam inside the SOFC. The efficiency of this operation is much higher than in case of external reforming for a Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell (PEMFC). Actually, there are two main technologies for SOFC, tubular and planar geometries. The manufacturer Westinghouse develops the first one, which is in form of tubes pilled together. The ionic current ($O_2^-$) passes from inside the tube where the air flows to outside where fuel, Hydrogen or methane is circulating. The planar technology, developed by manufacturer such as Siemens and Sulzer, is relatively recent. It is composed of sandwich of ceramic layers (anode, electrolyte and cathode) with interconnector separating fuel and oxidant and offers better performance compared to tubular technology. A Nickel/Zirconia (Ni-YSZ) anode, doped Lanthanum Strontium Ferrite (LSF) or doped Lanthanum Strontium Manganite (LSM) cathode, and Yttria Stabilized Zirconia (YSZ) electrolyte compose a typical planar SOFC. The performance of SOFC depends on heat, mass and charge transport occurring simultaneously inside it and on electrochemical and fluids processes, which affect strongly its efficiency and power. These phenomena need to be explored and clarified by means of experiments or physical and mathematical modelling. Heat transfer occurs in form of conduction in solid parts, convection in flow channels and porous electrodes and radiation in both solid and porous media. Since SOFC operates at high temperature, the radiation heat cannot be neglected and needs to be investigated when modelling thermal process. Many studies took in consideration radiation transfer in their models. They are varied from extremely simplified to comprehensive and complex numerical calculations solved often with commercial CFD and the results are sometimes confusing or conflicting, due to the lack in experimental data for radiative proprieties of electrodes and electrolyte. Hartvigsen et al. [1] are the first researcher who included radiation term to their model and conclude that it has a significant influence on the results. Murthy et al. [2] used Schuster-Schwarzschield method to model radiation transfer in electrolyte, considered as an optically thin body and they used Rosseland diffusion approximation for electrodes considered as an optically thick body to prove the importance of radiation heat...
2 Modeling approach

The studied physical model is shown in Figure 1, it is a planar SOC fed with Hydrogen as a fuel and Oxygen as oxidant. The electrochemical equations at the anode, cathode and the overall equation are respectively:

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{Anode:} & \quad H_2 + O^{2-} \rightarrow H_2O + 2e^- \\
\text{Cathode:} & \quad 1/2O_2 + 2e^- \rightarrow O^{2-} \\
\text{Global reaction:} & \quad H_2 + 1/2O_2 \rightarrow H_2O
\end{align*} \]

2.1 Governing equations

Heat, mass and charge transfer as well as electrochemical reactions occur simultaneously within the SOFC and are strongly coupled. Thus, these phenomena affect the global performance of the cell and needed to be investigated. The next sections are dealing with their mathematical modeling.

2.1.1 Mass conservation

The species balance equation is:

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\varepsilon \rho u_i \cdot X_i) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\varepsilon \rho v_i \cdot X_i) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \rho D_{eff} \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \rho D_{eff} \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial y} \right) + S_{X_i} \]  

\( X_i \) is the species mass fraction \((i = O_2, H_2, H_2O)\), \( \varepsilon \) is the porosity, \( D_{eff} \) is the effective diffusion coefficient in porous electrodes defined by \( D_{eff} = \frac{2}{9} D \), \( \rho \) is the mass density and \((u,v)\) are velocity components. The source term \( S_{X_i} \) is related to the electrochemical reactions taking place in the electrolyte/electrode interface and it is written as follows:

\[ S_{H_2} = - \frac{M_{H_2}}{2F} I, \quad S_{H_2O} = \frac{M_{H_2O}}{2F} I \quad \text{(Anode)} \]

\[ S_{O_2} = - \frac{M_{O_2}}{2F} I \quad \text{(Cathode)} \]

with \( I \) is the current density.

2.1.2 Momentum conservation

The gas flow throughout the porous electrodes is described by the Darcy’s law:

\[ u = - \frac{k}{\mu \cdot \nabla P} \]

\( P \) is the pressure, \( k \) is the permeability and \( \mu \) is the viscosity. This equation is coupled with the continuity equation:

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\varepsilon \rho u) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\varepsilon \rho v) = 0. \]

2.1.3 Energy conservation

The energy balance law can be written as follows:

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\varepsilon \rho \cdot u \cdot \varepsilon e) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\varepsilon \rho \cdot v \cdot \varepsilon e) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \lambda_{eff} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \lambda_{eff} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) + S_T \]

\( \varepsilon \) is the gas internal energy, \( \lambda_{eff} \) is the effective conductivity determined by: \( \lambda_{eff} = \varepsilon \lambda_s + (1 - \varepsilon) \lambda_e \), \( T \) is the temperature and \( S_T \) is the heat source. \( S_T \) is the sum of all generated/dissipated heat:

\[ S_T = S_{act} + S_{con} + S_{joule} + S_{rad} + S_{chem} \]
\(-S_{\text{Joule}}\) is the Joule effect heat due to electronic resistance in the electrodes and ionic resistance in the solid electrolyte and it is calculated as follows:

\[
S_{\text{Joule}} = \frac{\eta_{\text{ohm,k}}}{\delta} I \quad \text{where} \quad \eta_{\text{ohm,k}} = \frac{e_k}{\sigma_k} I
\]  

(11)

\(\eta_{\text{ohm}}\) presents the Ohmic polarisation or overpotential, \(e_k\) is the thickness and \(\sigma_k\) is the electrical conductivity given by Yixiang et al [14]:

\[
\sigma_k = \begin{cases} 
9.5 \times 10^7 \exp\left(-\frac{1150}{T}\right); \text{anode} \\
4.2 \times 10^7 \exp\left(-\frac{1300}{T}\right); \text{cathode} \\
3.34 \times 10^4 \exp\left(-\frac{1300}{T}\right); \text{electrolyte}
\end{cases}
\]  

(12)

\(\delta\) presents the thickness of the region where heat is dissipated.

\(-S_{\text{act}}\) is the heat due to activation overpotential in the electrodes calculated by:

\[
S_{\text{act,k}} = \frac{\eta_{\text{act,k}}}{\delta} I \quad \text{where} \quad \eta_{\text{act,k}} = \frac{RT}{F} \sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{I}{2\eta_{\text{act,k}} I}\right)
\]  

(13)

\(\eta_{\text{act,k}}\) is the activation overpotential and \(I_{0,k}\) is the exchange current density defined by:

\[
i_{0,\text{an}} = \gamma_a \left(\frac{P_{H_2}}{P_0}\right) \left(\frac{P_{H_2O}}{P_0}\right)^{0.25} \exp\left(-\frac{34 E_{\text{act,an}}}{RT}\right)
\]

\[
i_{0,\text{ca}} = \gamma_e \left(\frac{P_{O_2}}{P_0}\right)^{0.25} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{\text{act,ca}}}{RT}\right)
\]  

(14)

\(\gamma_k\) is a factor depending on the reaction, \(E_{\text{act}}\) is the activation energy, \(F\) stands for the Faraday number, \(P_0\) is the gas pressure at the electrode/electrolyte interface and \(R\) is the perfect gas constant.

\(-S_{\text{con}}\) is the heat due to the concentration overpotentials in the electrodes expressed by:

\[
S_{\text{con,k}} = \frac{\eta_{\text{con,k}}}{\delta} I
\]  

(15)

with [16]:

\[
\eta_{\text{con,an}} = \frac{RT}{2F} \ln\left(\frac{P_{H_2O}^f}{P_{H_2O}^\text{an}} \frac{P_{H_2}^f}{P_{H_2}^\text{an}}\right)
\]

and

\[
\eta_{\text{con,ca}} = \frac{RT}{4F} \ln\left(\frac{P_{O_2}^e}{P_{O_2}^\text{ca}}\right)
\]  

(16)

presenting the concentration overpotentials in the cathode or anode assuming that the electrochemical reactions occur only at the electrode/electrolyte interface. \(P^f\) is the gas partial pressure at the electrode/electrolyte interface, calculated for each species as follows:

\[
P^f_{H_2} = P^f_{H_2} - \frac{RT_{\text{anode}}}{2FD_{\text{eff,anode}}} I
\]

\[
P^f_{H_2O} = P^f_{H_2O} + \frac{RT_{\text{anode}}}{2FD_{\text{eff,anode}}} I
\]

\[
P^f_{O_2} = P - (P - P^f_{O_2}) \exp\left(\frac{RT_{\text{cathode}}}{4FD_{\text{eff,cathode}}} I\right)
\]

\(-S_{\text{chem}}\) is the heat generated by the chemical reaction at the interface of anode/electrolyte; and can be expressed in term of enthalpy [17]:

\[
Q_{\text{chem}} = -\Delta H_f H_2O - 2F V,
\]

(18)

\[
\Delta H_f H_2O = -(240506 + 7.3835T)
\]

(19)

is the enthalpy formation of water and:

\[
V = E_{\text{ideal}} - (\eta_{\text{ohm}} + \eta_{\text{act}} + \eta_{\text{con}})
\]

(20)

being the cell tension. \(E_{\text{ideal}}\) is the ideal potential calculated by:

\[
E_{\text{ideal}}(T) = E^0(T) - \frac{RT}{2F} \ln\left(\frac{X_{H_2O}}{X_{H_2} X_{O_2}^{1/2}}\right)
\]

(21)

and

\[
E^0(T) = 1.2723 - 2.764510^{-4}T
\]

(22)

Therefore the expression of the chemical heat source is:

\[
S_{\text{chem}} = \frac{Q_{\text{chem}}}{2F} I.
\]

(23)

The electrodes are supposed as an optically Thick body \((\tau_{L,\text{ptl}} >> 1)\). The radiative heat flux is estimated through Rosseland approximation:

\[
q_{\text{rad}} = -k_r \nabla T
\]

(24)

where \(k_r\) is the radiative conductivity defined as [3-5]:

\[
k_r = \frac{16n^2 \sigma T^3}{3\beta_r}
\]

(25)

\(\beta_r\) refers to the Rosseland-mean extinction coefficient, \(\sigma\) is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, and \(n\) is the refractive index. The electrolyte is supposed gray non-scattering medium and optically thin \((\tau_{L,\text{ptl}} \ll 1)\). Thus we can use the two–flux model proposed by Schuster–Schwarzschild to evaluate the radiative heat as [3-5]:

\[
q_{\text{rad}} = Ae^{2k_r} + Be^{-2k_r}
\]

(26)
A, B are given by:

\[ A = -\sigma (T_{\text{top}}^4 - T^4)e^{-2kL} \]
\[ B = \sigma (T_{\text{bot}}^4 - T^4) \]

(27)

\[ T_{\text{top}} \] and \( T_{\text{bot}} \) are the two temperatures of the two isothermal sides of the medium separated by a distance \( L \). The radiative source is obtained from the radiative heat flux by:

\[ S_{\text{rad}} = -\nabla \cdot q_{\text{rad}}. \]  

(28)

2.2 Model implementation

The considered governing equations are solved numerically by using the finite volume method, which consists of dividing the computational domain as shown in Figure 2, into a number of control volumes, each one surrounding a grid point and then integrating the differential equations over each control volume in order to obtain the discretised equations. The numerical code is written in a self-programming FORTRAN language. The numerical resolution is carried out with a SOFC properties and operating conditions summarized in Table 1.

The numerical simulations are validated with experimental results of Zhao et al. [18] and the current–voltage curve was generated by the model for different values of operating temperatures (temperatures at channels flow entries) as shown in Figure 3. The simulated results have similar shape compared to the experimental data and become much closer at higher temperatures. At higher currents, the concentration overpotentials become important and produce a voltage drop in the cell which results to the tailing effect observed in the curve at temperature 1073 K.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 SOFC performances

The cell voltage and power density versus current density simulated by the model for the input parameters are presented in Figure 4. The curves are plotted for various operating temperatures (at flow channels entries): 1000 K, 1100 K, 1200 K, and 1300 K and for three types of geometry of a planar SOFC namely: anode supported, electrolyte supported and cathode supported cell. The corresponding dimensions are set in Table 2.

At temperature 1000 K, the electrolyte supported SOFC shows the worst performance in terms of cell voltage and power density because of the high ohmic polarisation. However as the temperature increases, the power density in the cathode supported SOFC decreases until becoming small in comparison to the power density in the electrolyte supported geometry at temperature 1200 K (0.45 W/cm² to 0.49 W/cm²). This is can be explained by the fact of growth of its cathodic concentration polarisation precisely which depends essentially on the oxygen diffusion process that becomes slower, due firstly to the used thick cathode and secondly to the poor effective oxygen gas diffusion coefficient which diminishes with increasing current density.

It should be noted that the cell voltage in case of cathode supported drop to zero when the current density reach approximately 0.5 A/cm² for all the range of temperatures. At this point, the cell attain its limits, but
The values of cell voltage remain higher than in case of electrolyte supported, 0.95 V for first case to 0.9 V for last one at 1300 °K. The anode supported SOFC shows the best performance among the two other geometries. Its maximum power density increases from 0.32 W/cm² at 1000 K to 1.22 W/cm² at 1300 K with a current density equal to 0.7 A/cm² and 1.5 A/cm² respectively. The cell voltage exhibits a tail effect as the temperature increases due to the important concentration polarization which plays a crucial role at higher current densities.

According to Figure 5 (case of anode supported SOFC) for a temperature of 1000 K, the cell voltage decreases from its Nernst Potential value to 0.035 V which corresponds to a current density equal to 0.7 A/cm² and 1.5 A/cm² respectively. The cell voltage exhibits a tail effect as the temperature increases due to the important concentration polarization which plays a crucial role at higher current densities.

The concentration polarization remains small and then plays a minor role.

### 3.2 Radiation effect on SOFC

In this section, the numerical results of two geometric configurations, anode supported (AS) and electrolyte supported (ES) are presented since they are the most developed and used in the industry because of their better electric performances. First, the operating temperature influence is examined for two cases: heat source with and without radiation and then the current density is also investigated in order to have a better idea on the effect of radiation heat.

### Table 1. SOFC properties and operating conditions [5,16,17,19].

| Parameters | Anode | Electrolyte | Cathode |
|------------|-------|-------------|---------|
| ε          | 0.3   | /           | 0.3     |
| D          | 510   | /           | 510     |
| Κ          | 10⁻¹² | /           | 10⁻¹²   |
| τ          | 8.5   | 2.7         | 2.5     |
| λ          | 6.2   | 5160        | 9.6     |
| ρ₀         | 3030  | 3310        |         |
| Cₚₛ       | 595   | 606         | 573     |
| γ          | 6.54 × 10¹¹ | /       | 2.35 × 10¹¹ |
| E          | 140 × 10³ | /         | 130 × 10³ |

The temperature influence on SOFC is examined for two cases: heat source with and without radiation and then the current density is also investigated in order to have a better idea on the effect of radiation heat.
3.2.1 Operating temperature influence on SOFC

3.2.1.1 SOFC-AS

The temperature field through the SOFC is shown in Figure 6 for various operating temperatures ranging from 1000 K to 1300 K with and without radiation heat. The shape of temperature distribution is the same for all cases. In fact, there is an increase of temperature in the entire cell.

Fig. 4. Comparison of performance among anode, electrolyte and cathode supported SOFC. (a) $T = 1000$ K, (b) 1100 K, (c) 1200 K and (d) 1300 K.

Table 2. Dimension of the planar cell.

| Thickness (µm)   | Anode | Electrolyte | Cathode |
|------------------|-------|-------------|---------|
| Anode supported  | 300   | 50          | 100     |
| Electrolyte      | 75    | 300         | 75      |
| Cathode supported| 100   | 50          | 300     |

Fig. 5. Cell voltage, polarisation and power density versus current density at 1000 K for anode supported SOFC.
and hot temperatures are located in the electrolyte and near the interconnectors, due to the important heat dissipation by joule effect in the electrolyte and chemical reaction heat at the interface anode/electrolyte and especially the high heat resistance of the interconnector which constitutes a barrier to heat transfer and resulting in the accumulation of heat in these regions. As the operating temperature is increased, the difference between maximum and minimum temperature $\Delta T$ decreases from 8.307 at 1000 K to 2.396 at 1300 K without radiation, and from 8.077 at 1000 K to 2.260 at 1300 K with radiation term, as illustrated in Table 3. This is because at higher temperatures the heat transfer by conduction becomes important and tends to make temperature field homogeneous in the entire SOFC. In addition, the radiation decreases the rise of temperature in the SOFC by enhancing heat transfer.

Table 3. Temperature effect.

| $T$ (K) | SOFC-AS | SOFC-ES |
|---------|---------|---------|
|         | $\Delta T$ \_without radiation | $\Delta T$ \_with radiation | $\Delta T$ _max | $\Delta T$ \_without radiation | $\Delta T$ \_with radiation | $\Delta T$ _max |
| 1000    | 8.307   | 8.077   | 0.23 | -- | -- | -- |
| 1100    | 4.167   | 4.017   | 0.15 | 10.920 | 10.523 | 0.397 |
| 1200    | 2.788   | 2.661   | 0.127 | 5.666 | 5.406 | 0.26 |
| 1300    | 2.396   | 2.260   | 0.136 | 3.677 | 3.468 | 0.206 |

Fig. 6. SOFC-AS temperature field.
within it especially at intermediate temperatures and ceases to have an effect at higher temperatures as traduced by the difference $\Delta T_{\text{max}} = \Delta T_{\text{without radiation}} - \Delta T_{\text{with radiation}}$.

### 3.2.1.2 SOFC-ES

In case of supported electrolyte, the same observations seen in the previous case remained valid as shown in Figure 7. Except that the difference in temperature between maximum and minimum $\Delta T$ are much greater than in case of anode supported SOFC due to the higher ohmic overpotential in the thick electrolyte, from 10.920 at 1100 K to 3.677 at 1300 K, without radiation and from 10.523 at 1100 K to 3.468 at 1300 K with radiation.

High temperatures are located near the interconnectors and the maximum is in the electrolyte zone. As the temperature increases, the electrical conductivity is improved resulting in weak ohmic overpotential and then the heat is mainly transported by conduction. The radiation also enhances the heat diffusion, decreases temperature in the cell, and becomes negligible while temperature increases as illustrated by $\Delta T_{\text{max}}$ in Table 3.

### 3.2.2 Current density influence on SOFC

#### 3.2.2.1 SOFC-AS

With increasing current densities, the temperature inside the cell increased since the different overpotentials and its relative heats depends on current density as shown in Figure 8. For temperature equal to 1000 K, the difference $\Delta T_{\text{without radiation}}$ rises from 2.539 at $I=0.4$ A/cm$^2$ to 16.831 at $I=1.2$ A/cm$^2$ and by varying the operating temperature to 1200 K and 1300 K, it decreases each time by half as the electrical conductivity increases (Tab. 4).

When adding the radiation, the same thing is observed. By varying the current density, $\Delta T_{\text{with radiation}}$ increases from 2.470 at $I=0.4$ A/cm$^2$ to 16.354 at $I=1.2$ A/cm$^2$ at temperature 1000 K. For relatively high
temperature equal to 1300 K the rise of temperature is decreased as the radiation improves the heat diffusion and then its effect is minimized. In Table 4, $\Delta T_{\text{max}}$ traduces the difference between the two cases, with and without radiation.

### Table 4. Current effect on anode supported SOFC.

| $T$ (K) | $I$ (A/cm²) | $\Delta T$ (without radiation) | $\Delta T$ (with radiation) | $\Delta T_{\text{max}}$ |
|---------|-------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| 1000    | 0.4         | 2.539                         | 2.470                      | 0.069                  |
|         | 0.8         | 8.307                         | 8.077                      | 0.23                   |
|         | 1.2         | 16.831                        | 16.354                     | 0.477                  |
| 1100    | 0.4         | 1.320                         | 1.273                      | 0.047                  |
|         | 0.8         | 4.167                         | 4.017                      | 0.15                   |
|         | 1.2         | 8.544                         | 8.234                      | 0.31                   |
| 1300    | 0.4         | 0.922                         | 0.871                      | 0.051                  |
|         | 0.8         | 2.396                         | 2.260                      | 0.13                   |
|         | 1.2         | 4.464                         | 4.208                      | 0.256                  |

3.2.2.2 **SOFC-ES**

In the case of electrolyte supported, the temperature fields at $I = 0.4$ A/cm² and $I = 1.2$ A/cm² at 1300 K are illustrated in Figure 9, and the same phenomenon
are observed. However, the temperature rise within the SOFC is much greater than in case of anode supported geometry due to the joule heat losses in the thick electrolyte. Also the radiation affect globally the temperature distribution by decreasing the maximum temperature when current density is increased and become relatively negligible when operating at higher temperatures, as indicated by the differences $\Delta T_{\text{without radiation}}$, $\Delta T_{\text{with radiation}}$ and $\Delta T_{\text{max}}$ in Table 5.

**Table 5.** Current effect on electrolyte supported SOFC.

| SOFC-ES | T(K) | $I$ (A/cm$^2$) | $\Delta T_{\text{without radiation}}$ | $\Delta T_{\text{with radiation}}$ | $\Delta T_{\text{max}}$ |
|---------|------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
|         | 1100 | 0.4            | 2.992                               | 2.885                               | 0.107           |
|         |      | 0.8            | 10.920                              | 10.523                              | 0.397           |
|         |      | 1.2            | –                                   | –                                   | –               |
|         | 1200 | 0.4            | 1.696                               | 1.619                               | 0.077           |
|         |      | 0.8            | 5.666                               | 5.406                               | 0.26            |
|         |      | 1.2            | 11.932                              | 11.377                              | 0.555           |
|         | 1300 | 0.4            | 1.225                               | 1.156                               | 0.069           |
|         |      | 0.8            | 3.677                               | 3.468                               | 0.209           |
|         |      | 1.2            | 7.378                               | 6.955                               | 0.423           |

![Fig. 9. Temperature field for electrolyte supported SOFC under current density effect.](image)
4 Species distributions in the SOFC

Figure 10 shows the different species mass distribution in the fuel cell, Hydrogen, water steam and Oxygen for anode-supported configuration. The results are carried out at operating temperature value of 1300 K and current density I equal to 1.5 A/cm². For the reactive H₂ and O₂, their mass fraction decrease in the direction of interface with the electrolyte where the reactions take place and maximal fractions are located near the channel flow interface. However, for water produced in gaseous phase in the interface anode/electrolyte, important fractions reach the interface and accumulated at the interconnectors where high values are reached.

5 Conclusion

The results obtained by the present numerical modelling lead to interesting conclusions. First, anode and electrolyte supported SOFCs show the best electric performances in terms of current and power densities especially at higher operating temperatures. Activation and ohmic polarisation are the main dominant voltage losses in the cell when the current increases and therefore it is reflected in power density and corresponding heat sources. Radiation plays a significant role on SOFC temperature distribution especially in electrolyte semi-transparent media which allows heat transfer by radiation within it. In the contrary, electrodes supposed as an optically thick media have a minor effect. In fact, radiation improves the thermal diffusion inside the cell and counterbalance heat dissipation by ohmic and activation polarisations as well as reaction heat and the result is a decrease in temperatures especially in electrolyte. As the operating temperature increases, the effect of radiation becomes weak is and tend to homogenise the temperatures field. At higher current densities, the radiation influence becomes more significant within the cell, which allows concluding that when modelling thermal transport and heat management of SOFC, the radiation contribution should be taken into account especially when the cell operates at high current and intermediate temperature.

Nomenclature

\[ C_p \] Specific heat at constant pressure \([\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}]\)

\[ D_i \] Molecular diffusivity \([\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}]\)

\[ D_{\text{eff}} \] Average effective diffusivity \([\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}]\)

\[ E_0 \] Open-circuit voltage at standard pressure \([\text{V}]\)

\[ E_{\text{ideal}} \] Ideal potential \([\text{V}]\)

\[ e \] Gas internal energy \([\text{J}]\)

\[ e_k \] Thickness of each layer \([\mu\text{m}]\)

\[ F \] Faradays constant \([96,485 \text{ A s mol}^{-1}]\)
Abbreviations, subscripts and superscripts

\[\begin{align*}
\text{an} & \quad \text{Anode} \\
\text{act} & \quad \text{Activation (polarization)} \\
\text{ca} & \quad \text{Cathode} \\
\text{con} & \quad \text{Concentration (polarization)} \\
\text{chem} & \quad \text{Chemical (reaction)} \\
\text{f} & \quad \text{Fluid} \\
\text{I} & \quad \text{Interface electrode/electrolyte} \\
\text{i} & \quad \text{Species index} \\
\text{k} & \quad \text{Anode cathode or electrolyte} \\
\text{ele} & \quad \text{Electrolyte material} \\
\text{ohm} & \quad \text{Ohmic (polarization)} \\
\text{s} & \quad \text{Electrode material} \\
\text{SOFC} & \quad \text{Solid oxide fuel cell} \\
\text{SA} & \quad \text{Supported anode} \\
\text{SE} & \quad \text{Supported electrolyte}
\end{align*}\]

Greek symbols

\[\begin{align*}
\beta_i & \quad \text{Extinction coefficient [m}^{-1}\text{]} \\
\delta & \quad \text{Layer thickness, where heat is produced [\mu m]} \\
\varepsilon & \quad \text{Porosity} \\
\lambda & \quad \text{Thermal conductivity [W m}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}] \\
\gamma & \quad \text{Pre exponential factor of the exchange current density, [A m}^{-2}\text{]} \\
\kappa & \quad \text{Permeability [m}^2\text{]} \\
\eta & \quad \text{Polarization [V]} \\
\mu & \quad \text{Dynamic viscosity [Pa s]} \\
\rho & \quad \text{Density [kg/m}^3\text{]} \\
\sigma & \quad \text{Ion/electron conductivity [\Omega}^{-1}\text{m}^{-1}\text{]} \\
\tau & \quad \text{Tortuosity factor} \\
\tau_L & \quad \text{Total optical length of a medium}
\end{align*}\]
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