Systematic functional identification of cancer multi-drug resistance genes
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Abstract

Background: Drug resistance is a major obstacle in cancer therapy. To elucidate the genetic factors that regulate sensitivity to anti-cancer drugs, we performed CRISPR-Cas9 knockout screens for resistance to a spectrum of drugs.

Results: In addition to known drug targets and resistance mechanisms, this study revealed novel insights into drug mechanisms of action, including cellular transporters, drug target effectors, and genes involved in target-relevant pathways. Importantly, we identified ten multi-drug resistance genes, including an uncharacterized gene C1orf115, which we named Required for Drug-induced Death 1 (RDD1). Loss of RDD1 resulted in resistance to five anti-cancer drugs. Finally, targeting RDD1 leads to chemotherapy resistance in mice and low RDD1 expression is associated with poor prognosis in multiple cancers.

Conclusions: Together, we provide a functional landscape of resistance mechanisms to a broad range of chemotherapeutic drugs and highlight RDD1 as a new factor controlling multi-drug resistance. This information can guide personalized therapies or instruct rational drug combinations to minimize acquisition of resistance.

Background

Although many cancers can be treated with chemotherapy and targeted drugs, patients frequently develop resistance over time leading to disease relapse and poor prognosis. A basic functional understanding of genes and mechanisms involved in anti-cancer drug resistance can lead to new biomarkers, drug combinations, or patient-specific therapies. Pharmacogenomic profiling of cancer cell lines (CCL) compares drug response to gene expression and has provided insights into anti-cancer drug mechanisms of action (MoA). Direct mechanistic interpretation of these data sets can be difficult, and functional genomics approaches can help elucidate drug MoA and resistance.

Results and discussion

Whole genome CRISPR knockout screens for 27 anti-cancer drugs

Whole genome loss-of-function screens using the CRISPR-Cas9 system are an effective tool for identifying cell death or resistance mechanisms in response to anti-cancer drugs, bacterial toxins, or viral infection. To generate a global perspective on resistance mechanisms that regulate sensitivity to anti-cancer drugs, we performed large-scale functional resistance screens to a spectrum of anti-cancer drugs, covering a wide range of targeted and cytotoxic agents in clinical use or preclinical development. The drugs used in this screen target various critical biological processes that are perturbed during cancer development and progression. We used the haploid cell line HAP1, a well-characterized model for functional genomic studies, and generated dose-response cell death curves for all drugs screened using a resazurin-based cell viability assay. We mutagenized cells with the human Genome-scale CRISPR Knockout (GeCKO) v2 Library, and...
a large-scale loss-of-function library consisting of 123,411 unique single guide RNA (sgRNA) sequences targeting 19,050 human genes [16]. Cells were selected for resistance using a minimal lethal concentration (IC90-99; Additional file 1: Table S1) of each anti-cancer agent for the first 3 days, and then lowered to allow recovery and expansion of resistant cells. Drug-resistant cells were recovered, and sgRNA abundance was quantified relative to an unselected control population (Fig. 1c, Additional file 3: Table S2). We then identified hits that were significantly enriched (false discovery rate [FDR] < 0.1) using the Model-based Analysis of Genome-wide CRISPR-Cas9 Knockout (MAGECK) method [17]. From this, we found screens for 20 of 27 compounds yielded at least 1 significant hit (FDR < 0.1). For the 7 compounds (imatinib, olaparib, obatoclax, PAC-1, paclitaxel, RO-3066, and sorafenib) that did not yield significant data, this is likely due to screening at high drug concentrations (Additional file 4: Table S3). To evaluate our overall approach with respect to what has been previously reported for HAP1 cells, we compared the list of essential genes (i.e., dropout screen data; Additional files 3 and 5: Table S2 and S4) obtained using the GeCKO v2 library alongside HAP1 screening results obtained using the pLCV2-TKOV3 and pLCKO-TKOV3 gRNA libraries [18]. We observed substantial overlap between these three sets of essential genes (Additional file 2: Figure
S2a). The set of 376 essential genes identified in our study include fundamental biological processes with enrichment of ribosome, aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis, RNA transport, RNA polymerase, pyrimidine metabolism, spliceosome, cell cycle, proteasome, DNA replication, and ribosome biogenesis in eukaryotes (Additional files 1, 2, and 5: Figure S2b, Table S4 and S5).

Functional resistance profiles reveal known MoA
To assess the ability of this system to identify drug resistance genes or mechanisms, we compared our results with well-characterized drug MoAs. In resistance screens for various topoisomerase I inhibitors (camptothecin, irinotecan, and topotecan), the top ranked hit was TOP1, whereas conversely, the top ranked hit for the topoisomerase II inhibitor (idarubicin) was TOP2A (both FDR < 0.005, Fig. 2a, Additional files 2, 3, and 5: Figure S3 a-f, Table S2 and S4). We also uncovered critical regulators involved in drug processing. For example, deoxycytidine kinase (encoded by DCK) which phosphorylates nucleoside analogues intracellularly to exert cytotoxicity [19] was the top ranked hit for the nucleoside analogues cladribine, cytarabine, and gemcitabine (FDR < 0.005, Fig. 2a, Additional files 2, 3, and 5: Figure S3 g-k, Table S2 and S4).

Whole genome resistance profiling effectively captured known cancer drug transport mechanisms. For instance, methotrexate (MTX, an anti-folate chemotherapeutic drug) mimics natural folates to block thymidine biosynthesis via inhibition of dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) and requires a transporter to enter the cell. In our system, sgRNAs targeting the folate carrier SLC19A1 showed strong enrichment for MTX resistance, independently validating this gene association from a prior study [20] (FDR = 0.00248, Fig. 2a, Additional files 2, 3, and 5: Figure S4a, Table S2 and S4).

Functional resistance profiling could also identify encoded proteins involved in drug target-relevant pathways. For example, resistance to AZD7762, a checkpoint kinases (CHKs) inhibitor, could be achieved through loss of the downstream CHK1 target, CDC25A (FDR = 0.00248; Additional files 3 and 5: Figure S3a-f, Table S2 and S4). We also uncovered critical regulators involved in drug processing. For example, deoxycytidine kinase (encoded by DCK) which phosphorylates nucleoside analogues intracellularly to exert cytotoxicity [19] was the top ranked hit for the nucleoside analogues cladribine, cytarabine, and gemcitabine (FDR < 0.005, Fig. 2a, Additional files 2, 3, and 5: Figure S3 g-k, Table S2 and S4).

Functional resistance profiling could also identify encoded proteins involved in drug target-relevant pathways. For example, resistance to AZD7762, a checkpoint kinases (CHKs) inhibitor, could be achieved through loss of the downstream CHK1 target, CDC25A (FDR = 0.00248, Fig. 2a, Additional files 2, 3, and 5: Figure S3a-f, Table S2 and S4). We also uncovered critical regulators involved in drug processing. For example, deoxycytidine kinase (encoded by DCK) which phosphorylates nucleoside analogues intracellularly to exert cytotoxicity [19] was the top ranked hit for the nucleoside analogues cladribine, cytarabine, and gemcitabine (FDR < 0.005, Fig. 2a, Additional files 2, 3, and 5: Figure S3 g-k, Table S2 and S4).

Functional resistance profiles reveal novel MoA
In addition to known regulators of drug sensitivity, numerous top ranked resistance genes identified here have not been previously linked with drug MoA or resistance, such as C1orf115-vincristine, KDM1A-vinorelbine, MGA-vorinostat, PPP6C-docetaxel, and SLC43A2-oxaliplatin (all FDR < 0.005, Fig. 2a, Additional files 3 and 5: Table S2 and S4). PPP6C, previously implicated in tumorigenesis and progression [25], was confirmed to regulate sensitivity to the microtubule inhibitor docetaxel (Fig. 2b). Within the top resistance genes for each compound, we also identified N-alpha-acetyltransferase 60 (NAA60, encoded by NAA60) (FDR = 0.00248; Additional files 3 and 5: Table S2 and S4), and this was validated as a mediator of methotrexate resistance (Fig. 2c). NAA60 is an N-terminal acetyltransferase that acetylates met-lys, met-ala, met-val, and met-met, and is required for normal chromosome segregation [27].

We also identified SLC43A2, a putative oxaliplatin transporter [28], as a major mediator of oxaliplatin cytotoxicity (FDR = 0.00495, Fig. 2a, Additional files 3 and 5: Table S2 and S4). SLC43A2 encodes an L-type amino acid transporter (LAT4) which facilitates the movement of bulky neutral amino acids across the cell membrane [29]. We confirmed that knockout of SLC43A2 reduced oxaliplatin sensitivity in multiple cell types (Fig. 2d), flagging SLC43A2 as a potential key mediator of oxaliplatin cytotoxicity.

Tasisulam, an acyl-sulfonamide inhibitor, suppresses proliferation in a variety of human cancers [30]. Functional profiling for tasisulam resistance identified two significant hits, DCAF15 and DDA1, which belong to the core subunits of the cullin-ubiquitin ligase complex [31] (both FDR = 0.00248, Additional files 2, 3, and 5: Figure S5a and b, Table S2 and S4). To confirm a role for DCAF15 and DDA1 in tasisulam cytotoxicity, we generated the relevant CRISPR-knockout cells. Depletion of DCAF15 or DDA1 resulted in an increased resistance to tasisulam in both HAP1 and HeLa cells (Additional file 2: Figure S5c and d). Our results independently confirm recent data implicating anti-cancer sulfonamides induce cell death by disrupting precursor mRNA splicing via the cullin-ubiquitin ligase-dependent degradation of RBM39/CAPERalpha [32, 33] (Additional file 2: Figure S5e).

Our whole genome resistance profiling also highlighted that the transcription factor Y-box-binding protein 1 (YB-1, the protein encoded by YBX1) was involved in sensitivity to cisplatin (FDR = 0.05116, Fig. 3a, b, Additional files 3 and 5: Table S2 and S4), and these results were independently...
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validated (Fig. 3c–f). Cisplatin is a platinum-based genotoxic agent that blocks DNA replication by DNA cross-linking, induction of double-stranded DNA breaks, leading to cellular apoptosis [34]. Accordingly, YB-1-depleted cells displayed common resistance to other platinum-based drugs, carboplatin and oxaliplatin (Fig. 3g–i), in agreement with recent evidence that checkpoint adaptation (entry into mitosis with damaged DNA) is likely a primary pathway in cisplatin-induced cell death at pharmacological concentrations.
Together, our findings reveal new genetic insight into drug-specific and broad mechanisms behind therapeutic resistance, while creating a comprehensive data set that can guide further investigation into new resistance mechanisms.

Identification of novel multi-drug resistance gene C1orf115/RDD1

In total, our screening isolated 56 significant chemother-apy resistance genes across the 20 compounds tested (FDR < 0.1, Additional file 5: Table S4). We next investigated genes that when targeted confer resistance to more than 1 drug (multi-drug resistance; MDR). In total, we identified 10 genes that were significantly enriched with resistance to 2 or more drugs (FDR < 0.1, Additional file 1: Table S7). Also, MDR genes were classified by their primary cellular roles. A subset of these MDR genes has previously been linked to general cellular cytotoxicity or previously implicated in cancer drug resistance. Among these are pro-apoptotic genes (including BAX and PMAIP1 [also known as NOXA]), DCAF15, deoxycytidine kinase (DCK), mismatch repair genes (including MLH1, MSH2, and MSH6), topoisomerase 1 (TOPI), and topoisomerase II A (TOP2A). Importantly, we identified a novel uncharacterized MDR gene, C1orf115 (named here Required for Drug-induced Death 1 (RDD1), Fig. 4a), which we further investigated.

RDD1 encodes a previously uncharacterized protein containing a DUF4710 domain of unknown function (Fig. 4a). This gene is widely conserved in vertebrates, including mammals, marsupials, and birds (Fig. 4b). In humans, RDD1 is broadly expressed with highest expression in the gastrointestinal tract, brain, and female reproductive system [36]. We identified RDD1 as an MDR gene that, when targeted, causes significant resistance to two anti-cancer drug screens: cladribine (FDR = 0.00099) and vincristine (FDR = 0.00495), and guides targeting this gene were also highly enriched (albeit not signifi-cant) in imatinib, paclitaxel, and vinorelbine resistance screens (Additional files 2, 4, and 5: Figure S6, Table S3 and S4). We confirmed our screening results and found that targeting RDD1 with two distinct sgRNAs (Additional file 2: Figure S7a) resulted in resistance to these five anti-cancer drugs, primarily anti-tubulin agents (paclitaxel, vincristine, and vinorelbine; Fig. 4c, Additional file 2: Figure S7b and c). Similar results were obtained independently using RNA interference, confirming targeting RDD1 leads to multi-drug resistance (Additional file 2: Figure S7d and e). Moreover, loss of RDD1 conferred resistance to vincristine and vinorelbine (which prevent microtubule polymerization [37]) and paclitaxel (which stabilizes microtubules by preventing depolymerization [38]), and this resistance could be rescued by overexpression of a gRNA-resistant RDD1 construct (Additional file 2: Figure S7f). Microtubule-targeting drugs are clinically used to control various malignancies, especially ovarian and breast adenocarcinomas [39]. Further, loss of RDD1 also conferred resistance to vincristine or paclitaxel in vivo (Fig. 4d, e and Additional file 2: Figure S7g) resulting in increased tumor growth and shortened lifespan in drug-treated animals. Of note, targeted RDD1 cells did not cause broad resistance as sensitivity to AZD7762, BI2536, cabazitaxel, docetaxel, mercaptopurine, obatoclax, and vorinostat was retained (Additional file 2: Figure S8). Collectively, our data highlights RDD1 as a new central regulator of anti-cancer drug sensitivity and loss of RDD1 as an important mediator of multi-drug resistance.

Low RDD1 expression was associated with poor prognosis in multiple cancers

To assess the prognostic relevance of RDD1, we next evaluated RDD1 expression compared to cancer patient outcome [40]. Notably, significant reductions in RDD1 mRNA expression were observed in multiple cancers such as breast cancer, colorectal cancer, lung cancer, and ovarian cancer (normal versus tumor) (Additional file 1 and Additional file 2: Figure S9a, b and Table S8). Moreover, low RDD1 expression was significantly associated with poor patient survival (n = 1435 with p = 2.2e−05 and hazard ratio of 0.7135) in ovarian cancer patients (Fig. 5a), specifically in a paclitaxel-treated cohort (Fig. 5b). This effect was further replicated in a second independent patient cohort (Additional file 2: Figure S10a, b). RDD1 expression was significantly correlated with patient outcome in lung cancer (p = 0.0063 and hazard ratio of 0.6177, Fig. 5c; p = 0.032 and hazard ratio of 0.6412, Fig. 5d), gastric cancer (p = 0.0002 and hazard ratio of 0.7262, Fig. 5e), liver cancer (p = 0.0018 and hazard ratio of 0.6099, Fig. 5f), kidney renal clear cell carcinoma (p = 0.00029 and hazard ratio of 0.5037, Fig. 5e), and sarcoma (p = 0.0197 and hazard ratio of 0.5694, Fig. 5f). Together, these data highlight the relevance of RDD1 expression in controlling cancer drug sensitivity and link low RDD1 expression with reduced patient survival in various cancers.

Our results demonstrate the value of systematic functional identification of anti-cancer resistance genes and MoAs of small compounds. From a biological perspective, our genome-wide CRISPR screen established new functional gene/drug interactions that may help us understand how anti-cancer drugs kill tumors and how resistance inevitably develops. This includes functional validation of RDD1, and other new anti-cancer drug resistance genes warrant further characterization. This study thereby provides the scientific community with a comprehensive multi-dimensional dataset and functional framework for future experimental and computational...
investigations. Together these data, complemented by existing drug sensitivity information [2, 3] and CRISPR-Cas9 knockout data [41], may help to shape personalized therapies, instruct future drug development, and guide the design of molecularly optimized combination treatments for cancer patients.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

Human HAP1 cells were generously provided by Dr. Thijn R. Brummelkamp [11]. HeLa cells were gifted from Dr. Adam R. Cole (Garvan Institute). HEK293T cells were obtained from the ATCC. Cells were cultured in medium (HAP1 cells in IMDM (Sigma-Aldrich); HeLa and HEK293T cells in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich), containing 10% bovine calf serum (BCS; Hyclone Laboratories), 1× GlutaMAX, 100 U/ml penicillin G, and 100 g/ml streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂—95% air at 37 °C. HeLa and HEK293T cell lines were authenticated using STR DNA profiling. HAP1 cells were not formally authenticated. All cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma.

Cell viability assay

Trypsinized cells (1.5 × 10⁴ cells; unless stated otherwise) were seeded in each well of a 96-well plate. After 24 h, various concentrations of anti-cancer drugs were added, and the cells were incubated for an additional 72 h. After
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incubation, the medium was aspirated from each well and 150 μl of fresh medium containing a 0.002% solution of resazurin (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the wells and incubated for 4 h at 37 °C. The absorbance was measured at 570 nm using a microplate spectrophotometer (FLUOstar Omega, BMG Labtech).

**Lentivirus production**

To generate lentivirus, the human lentiCRISPRv2 plasmid library (Addgene 1000000048) was co-transfected with packaging plasmids pCAG-VSVG and psPAX2 (Addgene plasmids 35616 and 12260, respectively). Briefly, a T-75 flask of 80% confluent HEK293T cells was transfected in OptiMEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using 8 μg of the lentiCRISPRv2 plasmid library, 4 μg pCAG-VSVG, 8 μg psPAX2, 2.5 μg pAdVantage (Promega), 30 μl of P3000 Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and 30 μl of Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were incubated overnight, and then, the media were changed to DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich) with 10% BCS and 1× GlutaMAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After 48 h, viral supernatants were collected and centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 10 min to get rid of cell debris. The supernatant was filtered through a 0.45-μm ultra-low protein binding filter (Merck Millipore). Aliquots were stored at −80 °C.

**Cell transduction using the GeCKO v2 library**

HAP1 cells were transduced with the GeCKO v2 library by spinfection. Briefly, 2 × 10⁶ cells per well were plated into a 12-well plate in IMDM media supplemented with 10% BCS and 8 μg/ml polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich). A titrated virus was added in each well along with a no-transduction control. The plate was centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 1 h at 37 °C. After the spin, cells were incubated overnight and then enzymatically detached using TrypLE™ Express (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were counted, and each well split into duplicate wells. One replicate was treated with 1 μg/ml puromycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 3 days. Percent transduction was determined as cell count from the replicate with puromycin divided by cell count from the replicate without puromycin multiplied by 100. The virus volume yielding a MOI (multiplicity of infection) of approximately 0.4 was used for large-scale screening.

**HAP1 anti-cancer drug resistance screen**

1 × 10⁸ HAP1 cells were transduced as described above using 12-well plates with 2 × 10⁶ cells per well. Puromycin was added to the cells 24 h post-transduction and maintained for 7 days. Cells were pooled together into larger flasks after 3 days incubation of puromycin. On day 7, cells were split into treatment conditions in duplicate with a minimum of 2.5 × 10⁷ cells per replicate (seeding density ~225,000 cells/cm²). Two replicates were cultured in IMDM supplemented with anti-cancer drugs (Additional file 1: Table S1), and one replicate was cultured in regular IMDM media. Replicates were either passaged, or fresh media with drugs was added every 2–3 days. During screenings, we reduced the concentration of the drugs to select the resistance cells in CRISPR KO
pool whereas untransduced HAP1 cells were treated with the drugs to ensure the drug was cytotoxic in each case. Cells were harvested after 14 days of the treatment for genomic DNA analysis.

Genomic DNA sequencing
Genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from harvested cells with a Blood & Cell Culture Midi Kit (Qiagen) and used for PCR reactions as described previously [16]. Primers used to amplify lentiCRISPR v2 sgRNAs for the first PCR are as follows: sense, 5′-AAT GAT ACG GCC ACC GAG ATC TAC ACT CTT TCC CTA CAC GAC GCT CTT CCG ATC T (1 bp variable length sequence) (6 bp barcode) tct tgt gga aag gac gaa aca cgc-3′, and antisense, 5′-CAA GCA GAA GAC GGC ATA CGA GAT AAG TAG TGA CTG GAG TTC AGA CGT GTG CTC TTC CGA CCT GTT TG (5′-GCC GCT TAT AGC CCG-3′).

A second PCR was performed to attach Illumina adaptors and barcode samples. The second PCR was done in a 100-μl reaction volume using 5 μl of the first PCR product. Primers for the second PCR include both a variable length sequence to increase library complexity and a 6-bp barcode for multiplexing of different biological samples: sense, 5′-AAT GAT ACG GCC ACC GAG ATC TAC ACT CTT TCC CTA CAC GAC GCT CTT CCG ATC T (1–9 bp variable length sequence) (6 bp barcode) tct tgt gga aag gac gaa aca cgc-3′, and antisense, 5′-CAA GCA GAA GAC GGC ATA CGA GAT AAG TAG TGA CTG GAG TTC AGA CGT GTG CTC TTC CGA CCT GTT TCT act att tcc ctc gta ctc t-3′.

Amplification was carried out with 18 cycles for the first PCR and 24 cycles for the second PCR. PCR products from the second PCR were gel extracted, quantified, mixed, and sequenced using a HiSeq 2500 (Illumina). The sgRNA sequences against specific genes were recovered after removal of the tag sequences using the Check-out script (http://100bp.wordpress.com) and Cutadapt (ver. 1.12).

Enrichment of sgRNAs (Additional file 3: Table S2), genes (Additional files 4 and 5: Table S3 and S4), and KEGG pathways (Additional file 1: Table S6) was analyzed using MAGeCK [17] (ver. 0.5.7) by comparing read counts from cells after drug selection with counts from matching unselected cell population.

Plasmid constructs and gene validation
To validate the candidate genes from screening, sgRNAs from the parent library were cloned into pLentiCRISPRv2 (Addgene plasmid 52961). The control sgRNA was used from the parent library. Lentiviruses were produced as described above, and transduced HAP1 or HeLa cells were selected with 1 μg/ml puromycin 24 h post-infection. Two weeks later, puromycin was removed, and cells were allowed to recover for three additional days before analysis. Gene disruption efficiency was verified by Western blot. The sequences of the sgRNAs used are in Additional file 1: Table S9.

The gRNA-resistant construct (OE_RDD1) was made by directed mutagenesis using the Quick-Change kit (Stratagene) following the manufacturer’s protocol. To create the OE_RDD1 construct, the sgRNA targeting site (sgRDD1_B; Additional file 1: Table S9) on pLenti-C-mGFP (Origene) containing human C1orf115 gene (pLenti-C1orf115-mGFP) was changed to gRNA resistance sequence (synonymous mutations) using the following oligonucleotide—sense: 5′-GCC GCT TAT AGC GCT CCT TTC GCT GTA GCC ACC AGC GTG GTA TCC-3′, and anti-sense: 5′-AGC GAA AGG AGC GCT ATA AGC GGC AGC GAA GCC TTG CAG GCC G-3′.

Western blot analysis
YB-1 antibody was purchased from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. Beta-actin antibody was purchased from Abcam.

Cells were harvested in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA, and 0.1% SDS) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich), and the protein concentrations were determined using the BCA Protein Assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The proteins (20 μg) were electrophoresed on 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels, transferred to PVDF membranes (Amersham Bioscience), and incubated with specific primary antibodies at 4 °C overnight. After washing, the membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 h and were then visualized with enhanced chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Reverse transcription quantitative real-time PCR
Total RNA was prepared using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Single-stranded cDNA was synthesized from 2 μg total RNA according to the manufacturer’s procedure (Bio-Rad). The primers used for SYBR Green RT-qPCR were as follows: for human RDD1, sense 5′-AGT ATG GCC AGA ATG TCG GG-3′ and anti-sense 5′-TAA GCG CAC GAA GGA TAC CA-3′; for GAPDH, sense 5′-ATG GAA ATC CCA TCA CCA TCT T-3′ and anti-sense 5′-CCG CCC ACT TGA TTT TGG-3′. RT-qPCR was performed using the Roche LightCycler 480 II Real-Time PCR System equipped with a 384-well optical reaction plate. Relative quantification of mRNA levels was performed using the comparative Cq method (ΔΔCq method) with GAPDH as the reference gene.

siRNA transfections
siRNA transfections were performed using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX Reagent (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, 5 x 10^5 cells were seeded into 6-well tissue culture plates 1 day prior to transfection with
50 nM RDD1 siRNA (siRDD1_1-hs.Ri.C1orf115.13.1 and siRDD1_2-hs.Ri.C1orf115.13.2) or with a non-targeting control siRNA (siControl) (Integrated DNA Technologies). After 48 h, the cells were seeded in each well of a 96-well plate for cell viability assay as described above.

Xenograft experiments
Survival studies were performed by subcutaneously injecting 1 million HAP1 or HeLa cells (sgControl or sgRDD1) resuspended in 50% matrigel and 50% PBS, into immunocompromised Balb/c-Fox1nuAusb (mude) mice. Treatment commenced when tumors reached 150 mm³ (100%), and mice were randomized into a treatment group: (a) saline control (intraperitoneally, twice weekly (day 1, day 4)), (b) vincristine (VCR; 0.5 mg/kg intraperitoneally, once weekly), and (c) paclitaxel (PTX; 20 mg/kg, intraperitoneal injection, twice weekly (day 1, day 4)). Tumor size was monitored twice weekly by calipers, and tumor volume calculated using the formula: 0.5 × length × width². Animals were euthanized at ethical endpoint (tumor volume >400), and tissues collected for analyses. Survival analyses were performed using the log-rank test on n = 4–6 mice per group.

Patient survival analysis
We performed survival analysis for RDD1 using the Kaplan-Meier Plotter (http://kmplot.com/analysis) and OncoLnc (www.oncolnc.org) online tools that base their analysis on publicly available gene-expression datasets such as GEO (Affymetrix microarrays only) and TCGA [42].

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis performed was specified in the figure legends. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant, unless stated otherwise. No corrections for multiple testing were made for the MAGeCK hits. No statistical methods were used to determine the sample size before starting experiments. Cell biology experiments were not randomized, and the investigators were not blinded with regard to sample allocation and evaluation of the experimental outcome. For xenograft experiments, blinding and randomization were performed. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism (V7.0.1, GraphPad) and R ver. 3.5.2.
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