MicroRNA-deficient embryonic stem cells acquire a functional Interferon response
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When mammalian cells detect a viral infection, they initiate a type-I Interferon (IFNs) response as part of their innate immune system. This antiviral mechanism is conserved in virtually all cell types, except for embryonic stem cells (ESCs) and oocytes which are intrinsically incapable of producing IFNs. Despite the importance of the IFN response to fight viral infections, the mechanisms regulating this pathway during pluripotency are still unknown. Here we show that, in the absence of miRNAs, ESCs acquire an active IFN response. Proteomic analysis identified MAVS, a central component of the IFN pathway, to be actively silenced by miRNAs and responsible for suppressing IFN expression in ESCs. Furthermore, we show that knocking out a single miRNA, miR-673, restores the antiviral response in ESCs through MAVS regulation. Our findings suggest that the interaction between miR-673 and MAVS acts as a switch to suppress the antiviral IFN during pluripotency and present genetic approaches to enhance their antiviral immunity.
Type-I Interferons (IFN) are crucial cytokines of the innate antiviral response. Although showing great variation, most mammalian cell types are capable of synthesizing type-I IFNs in response to invading viruses and other pathogens. Once type-I IFNs are secreted, they activate the JAK-STAT pathway and production of Interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) in both the infected and neighbouring cells to induce an antiviral state (Ivashkiv and Donlin, 2015). Two major signalling pathways are involved in IFN production in the context of viral infections. The dsRNA sensors RIG-I and MDA5 initiate a signalling cascade that signals through the central mitochondrial-associated factor MAVS, ultimately activating Ifnb-1 transcription. The cGAS/STING pathway is activated upon detection of viral or other foreign DNA molecules and uses a distinct signalling pathway involving the endoplasmic reticulum associated STING protein (Chan and Gack, 2016).

Despite its crucial function in fighting pathogens, pluripotent mammalian cells do not exhibit an interferon response. Both mouse and human embryonic stem cells (ESCs) (Wang et al., 2013, Chen et al., 2012) as well as embryonic carcinoma cells (Burke, Graham and Lehman, 1978) fail to produce IFNs, suggesting that this function is acquired during differentiation. The rationale for silencing this response is not fully understood but it has been proposed that in their natural setting, ESCs are protected from viral infections by the trophoblast, which forms the outer layer of the blastocyst (Delorme-Axford, Sadovsky and Coyne, 2014). ESCs exhibit a mild response to exogenous interferons, suggesting that during embryonic development, maternal interferon could have protective properties (Hong and Carmichael, 2013, Wang et al., 2014). In mouse ESCs, a Dicer-dependent RNA interference (RNAi) mechanism, reminiscent to that of plants and insects, is suggested to function as an alternative antiviral mechanism (Maillard et al., 2013). And in humans, ESCs intrinsically express high levels of a subgroup of ISGs in the absence of infection, bypassing the need for an antiviral IFN response (Wu et al.,
All these suggest that different antiviral pathways are employed depending on the differentiation status of the cell. Silencing of the IFN response during pluripotency may also be essential to avoid aberrant IFN production in response to retrotransposons and endogenous retroviral derived dsRNA, which are highly expressed during the early stages of embryonic development and oocytes (Ahmad et al., 2018, Grow et al., 2015, Macia, Blanco-Jimenez and Garcia-Pérez, 2015, Peaston et al., 2004, Macfarlan et al., 2012).

Furthermore, exposing cells to exogenous IFN induces differentiation and an anti-proliferative state, which would have catastrophic consequences during very early embryonic development (Borden, Hogan and Voelkel, 1982, Hertzog, Hwang and Kola, 1994).

All these observations support a model in which cells gain the ability to produce IFNs during differentiation. One particular class of regulatory factors that are essential for the successful differentiation of ESCs are miRNAs (Greve, Judson and Blelloch, 2013). These type of small RNAs originate from long precursor RNA molecules, which undergo two consecutive processing steps, one in the nucleus by the Microprocessor complex, followed by a DICER-mediated processing in the cytoplasm (Treiber, Treiber and Meister, 2018). The Microprocessor complex is composed of the dsRNA binding protein DGCR8 and the RNase III DROSHA which are both essential for mature miRNA production (Gregory et al., 2004, Lee et al., 2003). In addition, mammalian DICER is also essential for production of siRNAs (Bernstein et al., 2001). The genetic ablation of Dgcr8 or Dicer in mice blocks ESCs differentiation suggesting that miRNAs are an essential factor for this, as these are the common substrates for the two RNA processing factors (Wang et al., 2007, Kanellopoulou et al., 2005).

In this study, we show that miRNAs are responsible for suppressing the IFN response during pluripotency, specifically to immunostimulatory RNAs. We found that miRNA-deficient ESCs acquire an IFN-proficient state, are able to synthesize IFN-β and mount a functional antiviral response. Our results show that miRNAs specifically downregulate MAVS (mitochondrial
antiviral signalling protein), an essential and central protein in the interferon response pathway. In agreement, ESCs with increased MAVS expression or knock-out of the MAVS-regulating miRNA miR-673, resulted in an increased IFN production and antiviral response. Our results support a model where the MAVS-miR-673 interaction acts as a switch to suppress the IFN response and consequently virus susceptibility during pluripotency.

Results

ESCs fail to express IFN-β in response to viral DNA/RNA

There are two major pathways for sensing intracellular viral infections and consequent activation of the IFN response in cells. One senses dsRNA, usually originating from RNA viruses, with MAVS as a central factor, and the second senses dsDNA, from DNA- and retroviruses signalling through STING (McFadden, Gokhale and Horner, 2017). It has been shown that mouse ESCs do not produce type-I IFNs in response to poly(I:C) transfection, a synthetic analogue of dsRNA classically used to mimic viral RNA replication intermediates (Wang et al., 2013). In contrast, it is still unknown how mouse ESCs respond to immunostimulatory DNA. To study this, two different mouse ESC cell lines (ESC1 and ESC2) were transfected with poly(I:C) and G3-YSD, an HIV-derived DNA that stimulates the cGAS/STING pathway (Herzner et al., 2015). As controls, NIH3T3 fibroblasts and BV-2 microglial cells were included. As expected, the transfection of poly(I:C) did not result in Ifnb1 expression in both ESC lines (Figure 1A). ESCs also failed to activate Ifnb1 expression upon G3-YSD transfection, suggesting that the cGAS/STING pathway was also inactive (Figure 1B). Similarly, NIH3T3 cells, which have also been previously shown to have a defect in this specific pathway (Cheng et al., 2018), did not express Ifnb1 in response to G3-YSD (Figure 1B). These same cell lines were infected with the (+) ssRNA virus TMEV (Theiler's Murine Encephalomyelitis Virus) and showed that ESCs are at least 30 times more sensitive than
NIH3T3 and BV-2 cells, which correlates with the ability of these cell lines to induce Ifnb1 mRNA expression (Figure 1C).

The ability of cells to express IFN in response to viruses or immunogenic nucleic acids is assumed to be acquired during differentiation. To test this model, we in vitro differentiated both ESC lines with retinoic acid and determined their ability to respond to poly(I:C). Briefly, embryoid bodies were generated by a hanging droplet method for 48 hours before being cultured in the presence of retinoic acid for 2 or 10 days. Samples from each of these time points were analysed for expression of pluripotency and differentiation markers. The pluripotency markers Nanog and Pou5f1 (Oct-4) showed a rapid decrease in mRNA expression during differentiation in both the cell lines (Suppl. Figure 1A), whereas differentiation markers Neurog2, Gata-6 and Gata-4 showed a gradual increase (Suppl. Figure 1B) confirming successful differentiation of the ESCs. Next, we compared the ability of ESCs (day 0) and retinoic-acid differentiated cells after 10 days (day 10) to express Ifnb1 mRNA in response to poly(I:C), and confirmed that differentiated cells acquired the ability to synthesize Ifnb1 to similar levels to the positive control cell line, BV-2 (Figure 1D).

**Dicer-deficient ESCs acquire an active IFN response**

Given the relevance of RNAi as an antiviral mechanism in mouse ESCs (Maillard et al., 2013), we next asked if ESCs, in the absence of the central factor for RNAi, Dicer, would be more susceptible to RNA viruses. Unexpectedly, Dicer−/− ESCs were more resistant to viruses compared to their wild-type counterparts (previously named ESC2) (Figure 2A). Similar results were obtained using the (−) ssRNA virus, Influenza A (IAV) (Figure 2B). Importantly, mammalian Dicer has a dual function, being essential for both siRNA and miRNA biogenesis. To determine whether these differences in viral susceptibility were due to the activity of Dicer on siRNA or miRNA production, we compared Dicer−/− cells with ESCs lacking the essential
nuclear factor for miRNA biogenesis, Dgcr8. The absence of Dgcr8 also decreased TMEV and IAV viral susceptibility, suggesting that miRNAs are responsible for suppressing the antiviral response in ESCs (Figure 2A-B). Interestingly, Dgcr8<sup>−/−</sup> cells were more resistant to virus infection than Dicer<sup>−/−</sup> cells, which supports a dual function for Dicer by also acting as a direct antiviral factor targeting viral transcripts for degradation by RNAi. To rule out the possibility of morphological differences influencing viral susceptibility, we performed a virus binding and entry assay which showed no differences (Suppl. Figure 2).

Even though ESCs lack an IFN response (Figure 1), we wondered whether the differential resistance to viral infections were the result of abnormal IFN activation due to the absence of miRNAs. To test this hypothesis, we transfected the dsRNA analogue, poly(I:C) and G<sub>3</sub>-YSD in Dgcr8 or Dicer deficient mESCs, and quantified Ifnb1 expression by RT-qPCR. ESCs lacking miRNAs (Dgcr8<sup>−/−</sup> or Dicer<sup>−/−</sup>) were able to respond to the dsRNA analog, poly(I:C) and express Ifnb1 in a dose dependent manner (Figure 2C-D and Suppl. Figure 3A-B), whereas no significant response was observed with immunostimulatory DNA (Figure 2C-D).

These results show there is a correlation between viral susceptibility and the ability of miRNA-deficient ESCs to express Ifnb1, and that miRNAs are responsible for silencing the IFN response to dsRNA. To verify that the observed results are solely due to the absence of miRNAs, we rescued the knockout cell lines by reintroducing Dgcr8 and Dicer and observed that these reverted to wild-type viral replication and susceptibility levels (Figure 2E-F and Suppl. Fig. 3C). As a control, we confirmed rescue of miRNA production by Northern blot (Figure 2E-F).

miRNAs suppress MAVS expression in ESCs

To understand where the IFN pathway is silenced in ESCs we blocked the interferon response at defined points in the pathway and measured viral susceptibility. The inhibitor BX795 blocks
TBK1/IKKe phosphorylation and consequently IRF3 transcriptional activity, whereas BMS345541 is an inhibitor of the catalytic subunits of IKK and thus blocks Nf-κB-driven transcription. Both transcription factors are essential for the expression of *Ifnb1* and other pro-inflammatory cytokines and initiation of an antiviral response (Lawrence, 2009, Schafer et al., 1998). Both inhibitors increased viral susceptibility in wild type cells lines, however, the effect was far greater in the knock out cell lines (*Figure 3A* and *Suppl. Figure 4A*), suggesting that miRNAs regulate the interferon pathway upstream *Ifnb1* transcription.

We next aimed to identify, in an unbiased manner, differentially expressed proteins involved in viral susceptibility in the presence or absence of miRNAs. To this end, the total proteome of *Dgcr8*−/− and the rescued cell line was analysed by mass spec analysis. STRING analyses of the expression profiles revealed significant differences in a number of pathways, including ribosome structure/function, mitochondrial activity and the oxidative phosphorylation pathway, which were downregulated in the absence of miRNAs (*Figure 3B*, for complete list see *Suppl. Excel file*). Measurement of Rhodamine 123 uptake in mitochondria, as an indirect measure for oxidative phosphorylation activity (Scaduto and Grotyohann, 1999), confirmed lower oxidative phosphorylation activity in the absence of miRNAs (*Dgcr8*−/− and *Dicer*−/−) (*Suppl. Figure 4B*). A search for differentially expressed proteins involved in the IFN response did not reveal any significant changes except for the Mitochondrial antiviral-signalling protein (MAVS), which in contrast to many other mitochondria-related proteins, was upregulated in the absence of miRNAs. This protein has a central role in the RLR-induced (Rig-I-like receptors) interferon pathway, where activated MDA5 and RIG-I receptors translocate to the mitochondria and bind MAVS to ultimately induce *Ifnb1* expression (Kawai et al., 2005). Western blot and qRT-PCR analysis confirmed that MAVS was the only factor consistently expressed to higher levels in both miRNA-deficient cell lines, *Dgcr8*−/− and *Dicer*−/− (*Figure 3C*,
lanes 2 and 5, and Suppl. Figure 4C), compared to a panel of other components of the same innate immune response pathway (Suppl. Figure 4D).

**MAVS acts as a switch for IFN expression**

To confirm the involvement of miRNAs on MAVS expression, a dual luciferase assay system was used where the 3'UTRs of MAVS, MDA5 and RIG-I were fused to a luciferase reporter gene to compare luciferase activity in wild-type and knock-out ESCs. Only the MAVS 3'UTR showed relatively higher luciferase expression levels in the knock-out lines when compared to the empty plasmid, suggesting that the 3'UTR of MAVS is strongly regulated by miRNAs in ESCs (Figure 4A). For this reason, we decided to overexpress a miRNA-resistant isoform of MAVS in wild-type ESCs and test if cells regain viral resistance similar to miRNA deficient ESCs. A cell line overexpressing the ORF of MAVS, lacking its 3'UTR, was generated (Figure 4B) and infected with TMEV. A 15-fold decrease in TCID\textsubscript{50} and significant reduction in vRNA levels were found when compared to wild-type ESCs (Figure 4C). MAVS overexpressing cells also regained the ability to produce Ifnb1 after stimulation with poly(I:C) (Figure 4D). All these experiments show that MAVS is a crucial target for the downregulation of the IFN response in ESCs.

**miR-673 is crucial to suppress antiviral immunity in ESCs**

We next aimed to identify the miRNA(s) responsible for the regulation of MAVS in ESCs and selected a number of miRNA candidates based on literature, prediction software and public miRNA expression databases for further investigations. Previous experimental evidence has shown that human MAVS is regulated by miR-125a, miR-125b and miR-22 (Hsu et al., 2017, Wan et al., 2016). However, only miR-125a-5p and miR-125b-5p have conserved binding sites in mouse MAVS. Two additional miRNAs, miR-185-5p and miR-673-5p, were selected based on their high expression levels in mouse ESCs and number of predicted binding sites in the
MAVS 3’UTR. We transfected Dgcr8−/− cells with mimics of these miRNAs and measured MAVS mRNA and protein levels by RT-qPCR and western blot, respectively. Results showed reductions in MAVS protein and mRNA levels for all tested miRNAs (Figure 5A and Suppl. Fig. 5A). The infection of miRNA-transfected Dgcr8−/− cells with TMEV resulted in an increase in both susceptibility and viral replication for miR-125a-5p, miR-125b-5p and miR-673-5p, which correlated with the ability of these miRNAs to downregulate MAVS protein levels (Figure 5B and Suppl. Figure 5B).

As an alternative approach, Dgcr8+/+ cells were transfected with inhibitors to miRNAs miR-125a-5p, miR-125b-5p and miR-673-5p. Western blot analysis showed a clear increase in MAVS protein expression, especially for anti-miR-673-5p (Figure 5C). Because miR-673-5p showed the largest effect on MAVS protein expression both when depleted and overexpressed, we hypothesize that miR-673 is a crucial miRNA involved on MAVS regulation.

We further investigated the role of miR-673-5p in ESCs by creating stable knock-out cell lines for miR-673 by CRISPR/Cas9. Three cell lines were selected based on the genomic deletion and confirmed undetectable expression of miR-673-5p (Figure 5D and Suppl. Figure 6A). The absence of miR-673-5p was enough to observe an increase in MAVS expression both at the mRNA and protein levels (Figure 5E and Suppl. Figure 6B). In addition, we measured miR-673 and MAVS expression levels in the mouse fibroblasts cell line, NIH3T3, which is proficient in producing IFN in response to dsRNA. Mouse fibroblasts had no detectable miR-673-5p, and MAVS protein expression was comparable to miRNA-deficient ESC (Figure 5D-E), highlighting the correlation of MAVS expression with the ability of cells to activate Ifnb1 expression in response to immunogenic RNA (Figure 1).

Next, miR-673 deficient cell lines were tested for TMEV susceptibility, which showed a consistent decrease in virus replication, similar to that observed in the absence of all miRNAs.
(Dgr8^−/−), suggesting this miRNA is essential in regulating the innate antiviral response in ESCs (Figure 5F).

Together these data show that the interferon response in mouse ESCs is actively suppressed by the post-transcriptional regulation of MAVS expression by miR-673-5p.

**Discussion**

Several studies suggest that the pluripotent state of a cell is incompatible with an active interferon response (Guo *et al.*, 2015). Both mouse and human stem cells fail to synthesize interferons in response to dsRNA (Wang *et al.*, 2013, Chen, Yang and Carmichael, 2010), implying that this characteristic is acquired during differentiation (D’Angelo *et al.*, 2016). Embryonic carcinoma cells, which are still pluripotent, also fail to produce interferons in response to viral RNA mimics (Burke, Graham and Lehman, 1978). In agreement, reprogramming of somatic cells to iPSCs (induced pluripotent stem cells) leads to a loss of interferon response, suggesting the presence of regulatory mechanisms able to switch this antiviral pathway on or off between the differentiated and pluripotent states (Chen *et al.*, 2012).

Another feature of pluripotent cells is their attenuated response to exogenous type-I interferons. Mammalian pluripotent stem cells, iPSCs and embryonic carcinoma cells exhibit an attenuated production of interferon-stimulated genes upon type-I IFN stimulation (Hong and Carmichael, 2013, Irudayam *et al.*, 2015, Wang *et al.*, 2014, Burke, Graham and Lehman, 1978). Why these activities are suppressed is still not understood, but it has been hypothesized that type-I IFN stimulation could impair their self-renewal capacity, since these compounds are well-known antiproliferative agents and inducers of cell death (Bekisz *et al.*, 2010). Indeed, type-I IFNs are capable of inhibiting tumor cell division *in vitro* and are currently employed as an adjuvant to treat several types of cancers, acting as stimulants of the innate immune cellular response (Bracci *et al.*, 2017).
Mouse ESCs express low levels of the RNA sensors TLR3, MDA5 and RIG-I, which could explain their inability to respond to dsRNA although no functional studies support this model so far (Wang et al., 2013). Our data shows an alternative scenario in which MAVS is the key factor for controlling the IFN response. The overexpression of a miRNA-resistant form of MAVS in wild-type ESCs is enough to enable dsRNA-mediated IFN activation, suggesting that dsRNA sensing is not a limiting step in the IFN pathway in ESCs. Regulation of MAVS alone proves to be an efficient mechanism to block dsRNA induced IFN expression compared to suppressing individual dsRNA sensors.

The observation that miRNAs only suppress RNA-mediated IFN activation, but not the DNA-mediated pathway, leads us to speculate about the reasons for silencing this specific response during pluripotency. Embryonic stem cells, and also earlier stages of embryonic development are characterized by high expression levels of specific retrotransposons (non-LTR) and endogenous retroviruses (LTR), which are a hallmark of their pluripotent state. This is in contrast to most somatic cell types that silence their expression (Yin, Zhou and Yuan, 2018). These repetitive elements produce cytoplasmic RNA molecules as an intermediate for mobilisation, which can be accidentally recognised as immunogenic or non-self RNAs, as it has been previously shown for the human non-LTR retroelement Alu in the context of Aicardi-Goutieres syndrome or for endogenous retroviruses (Ahmad et al., 2018, Chiappinelli et al., 2015, Roulois et al., 2015). Therefore, silencing the RNA-mediated IFN response during pluripotency would act as a protective mechanism for aberrant IFN activation by transposon-derived transcripts.

Cells that are incapable of activating the RNA-mediated IFN response have developed alternative antiviral defence pathways. The endonuclease Dicer can act as an antiviral factor in mouse ESCs by generating antiviral siRNAs (Maillard et al. 2013). Detection of antiviral Dicer
activity is facilitated in the absence of a competent IFN response, such as in the case of
pluripotent cells, but also in somatic cells where the type-I IFN response has been genetically
impaired (Maillard et al., 2016). These findings are supported by the observation that in IFN-
competent cells, the RNA sensor LGP2 acts as an inhibitor of Dicer cleavage activity on
dsRNA (van der Veen et al., 2018). However, Dicer activity has also been reported in other
cell lines, independently of their IFN-proficiency capacity (Li et al., 2016). Interestingly, when
we disrupt Dicer in ESCs, which inherently lack an IFN response and would theoretically
render these cells highly sensitive to viral infections, they become more resistant by acquiring
an active IFN response. All these results support the presence of extensive cross-talk between
the different antiviral strategies, and suggests that cells have developed mechanisms to
compensate for the loss of a specific antiviral pathway.

Our model shows that MAVS and miR-673 levels are the key factors regulating the IFN
response to dsRNAs during pluripotency. Accordingly, overexpressing MAVS or knocking-
out this single miRNA in ESCs is enough to enhance their antiviral response. Interestingly,
this miRNA is only conserved in rodents, despite human ESCs also suppressing type-I IFNs
expression (Hong and Carmichael, 2013). This suggests that either other miRNAs regulate
MAVS expression in human ESCs, or alternative mechanisms operate to silence IFN.
Interestingly, human ESCs constitutively express a subset of Interferon stimulated genes to
protect them from viruses (Wu, et al., 2018), but whether miRNAs control the expression of
Ifnb1 or this subset of ISGs in this context remains an unexplored matter.

Previous findings also support a general role for DICER and miRNAs acting as negative
regulators of the IFN response in human and mouse models outside pluripotency
(Papadopoulou et al., 2012, Witteveldt, Ivens and Macias, 2018). In agreement, an indirect
approach to deplete cellular miRNAs, by overexpressing the viral protein VP55 from Vaccinia
virus, showed that miRNAs are also relevant to control the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines during viral chronic infections, but not in the acute antiviral response (Aguado et al., 2015). However, the concept of miRNAs acting as direct antiviral factors is still controversial. It is relevant to mention that some of the results leading to this conclusion have been primarily generated in Dicer−/− HEK293T human cell line (Bogerd et al., 2014, Tsai et al., 2018), which has an attenuated IFN response due to low PRRs expression (Rice et al., 2014, Witteveldt, Ivens and Macias, 2018).

We have shown that overexpression of MAVS or silencing specific miRNAs in a transient or stable manner improves the antiviral response of ESCs. These findings are the basis to further study the conservation of the miRNA-mediated regulation of the IFN response in somatic cells and in the context of human pluripotency. All these investigations will provide a deeper understanding and tool set on how to enhance the innate immunity of ESCs and their differentiated progeny, an especially relevant aspect in clinical applications.
Methods

Cells and viruses

Dgcr8 knockout (Dgcr8\(^{-/-}\)) mouse ESCs were purchased from Novus Biologicals (NBA1-19349) and the parental strain, v6.5 (Dgcr8\(^{+/+}\), also named in the text ESC1) from ThermoFisher (MES1402). Dicer \(^{flox/flox}\) (Dicer\(^{+/+}\), also named ESC2) and Dicer knockout (Dicer\(^{-/-}\)) mouse ESCs were provided by R. Bleloch lab (University of California, San Francisco). All mESC cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, ThermoFisher) supplemented with 15% heat-inactivated foetal calf serum (ThermoFisher), 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin (ThermoFisher), 1X Minimal essential amino acids (ThermoFisher), 2 mM L-glutamine, 10³ U/ml of LIF (Stemcell Technologies) and 50 µM 2-mercaptoethanol (ThermoFisher). Cells were grown on plates coated with 0.1% Gelatine (Embryomax, Millipore), detached using 0.05% Trypsin (ThermoFisher) and incubated at 5% CO\(_2\) at 37° C. MDCK, BHK-21, BV-2 and RAW264.7 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, ThermoFisher) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated foetal calf serum (ThermoFisher), 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin (ThermoFisher), 2 mM L-glutamine and incubated at 5% CO\(_2\) at 37° C. NIH3T3 cell line was provided by A. Buck lab, and grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS. Stocks of TMEV strain GDVII were grown on BHK-21 cells and frozen in aliquots at -80°C. Stocks of Influenza A virus strain PR8 (kindly provided by P. Digard, University of Edinburgh) were grown on MDCK cells in the absence of serum and in the presence of 2 µg/ml TPCK-treated trypsin and frozen in aliquots at -80°C.

For TMEV infections, cells were infected for 1 hour with the required dilution, followed by replacement with fresh medium and incubation for the desired time. For the 50% Tissue Culture Infective dose (TCID\(_{50}\)) assays, seven serial dilutions of TMEV were prepared and at least 6
wells (in 96-well format) per dilution were infected and incubated for at least 24 hours before counting infected wells. TCID$_{50}$ values were calculated using the Spearman and Kärber algorithm. Influenza A virus infections were performed by infecting cells in the absence of serum for 45 minutes with the addition of 2 µg/ml TPCK-treated trypsin. After replacement of the inoculum with fresh serum containing medium the cells were incubated for the desired period.

**Differentiation of mESCs**

To differentiate mESCs, they were first cultured as hanging droplets to induce embryoid body formation. For this, a single-cell suspension of 5x10$^5$ cells/ml was prepared in medium without LIF and 20 µl drops are pipetted on the inside of the lid of a 10 cm petri dish and hung upside-down. The petri-dish was filled with PBS to prevent drying of the hanging drops and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO$_2$ for 48 hours. The embryoid bodies were consequently washed from the lids and transferred to petri dishes to further differentiate, all in the absence of LIF. After another incubation time of 48hrs, medium was removed and replaced with fresh medium containing 250nM of retinoic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) and incubated for 7 days while replacing the medium every 48 hours. After this incubation time, the embryoid bodies were collected and plated on normal gelatine-coated cell culture plates which allowed the embryoid bodies to adhere to the plastic and the cells to migrate from the embryoid bodies. Again, the medium was refreshed every 48 hours for the cells to further differentiate.

**Northern blot for miRNAs**

Total RNA (15µg) was loaded on a 10% TBE-UREA gel. After electrophoresis, gel was stained with SYBR gold for visualization of equal loading. Gel was transferred onto a positively charged Nylon membrane for 1 hr at 250 mA. After UV-crosslinking, the membrane was pre-hybridized for 4 h at 40°C in 1xSSC, 1%SDS (w/v) and 100mg/ml single-stranded DNA
Radioactively labelled probes corresponding to the highly expressed ESCs miRNAs miR-130-3p, miR-293-3p, and miR-294-3p were synthesized using the mirVana miRNA Probe Construction Kit (Ambion) and hybridized overnight in 1xSSC, 1%SDS (w/v) and 100mg/ml ssDNA. After hybridization, membranes were washed four times at 40°C in 0.2xSSC and 0.2%SDS (w/v) for 30 min each. Blots were analysed using a PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics) and ImageQuant TL software for quantification. Oligonucleotides used are listed in Table S1.

Transfections of Ppoly(I:C), DNA, miRNA mimics and Antagomirs

To activate the IFN response, cells were transfected with either the dsRNA analogue poly(I:C) (Invivogen) or the Y-shaped-DNA cGAS agonist (G3-YSD, Invivogen) using Lipofectamine 2000 (ThermoFisher). Transfections were performed in 24-well format, with cells approximately 80% confluent, using different concentrations of poly(I:C), from 0,5 to 2,5 µg per well (as indicated in the figures) or 0.5 µg of G3-YSD. Cells were incubated for approximately 16 hours for poly(I:C)- and 8 hours for DNA-transfections before harvest and further processing.

For the miRNA mimics (miScript, Qiagen) a final concentration of 1 µM was transfected into cells using Dharmafect (Dharmacon), incubated for the desired period and further processed. The same procedure was followed for the antagomirs (Dharmacon), but at a concentration of 100nM. All experiments were performed in 24-well format, with cells at approximately 80% confluency.

Quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA from cells was isolated using Tri reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 0.5-1 µg RNA was subsequently reverse transcribed using M-MLV (Promega) and random hexamers, and used for quantitative PCR in a StepOnePlus real-
time PCR machine (ThermoFisher) using GoTaq master mix (Promega). Data was analysed using the StepOne software package. Oligonucleotides used are listed in Table S1.

**Cell lysis and Western Blots**

Cells used for Western blot analysis were lysed in RIPA buffer (50 mM TRIS-HCl, pH 7.4, 1% triton X-100, 0.5% Na-deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 150 mM NaCl, protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), 5mM NaF, 0.2 mM Sodium orthovanadate). Lysates were spun and protein concentrations measured using a BCA protein assay kit (BioVision). After adjusting protein concentrations, lysates were mixed with reducing agent (Novex, ThermoFisher) and LDS sample buffer (Novex, ThermoFisher) and boiled at 70°C for 10 minutes before loading on pre-made gels (NuPAGE, ThermoFisher). Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membrane using semi-dry transfer (iBlot2, ThermoFisher). Membranes were blocked for 1 hour at room temperature in PBS-T (0.1% Tween-20) and 5% milk powder before overnight incubation at 4°C with primary antibody. Antibodies used were: Anti-rabbit HRP (Cell Signaling Technology), Anti-mouse HRP (Bio-Rad), MAVS (E-6, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), PKR (ab45427, Abcam), MDA5 (D74E4, Cell Signaling Technology), RIG-I (D12G6, Cell Signaling Technology) and α-tubulin (CP06, Merck). Proteins bands were visualised using ECL (Pierce) on a Bio-Rad ChemiDoc imaging system. Protein bands were quantified using ImageJ (v1.51p) software and expression levels calculated normalized to α-tubulin.

**Luciferase assay**

The 3’UTRs from MDA5, RIG-I and MAVS were amplified from genomic DNA based on the annotation from UTRdb (utrdb.ba.itb.cnr.it) using primers containing restriction sites. The fragments were cloned in the psiCHECK-2 vector (Promega) at the 3’ end of the hRluc gene. Cells in 24-well format were transfected with 250 ng plasmid using Lipofectamine 2000 and incubated for 24 hours. Cells were subsequently lysed and assayed using the Dual-Glo
Luciferase assay system (Promega). Luminescence was measured in a Varioskan flash (ThermoFisher) platereader.

**Proteomics**

For the total proteome comparison, 6 replicates of the *Dgcr8<sup>−/−</sup>* and *Dgcr8<sup>resc</sup>* cell lines were prepared by lysing cells in Lysis buffer (50 mM TRIS-HCl, pH 7.4, 1% triton X-100, 0.5% Na-deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 150 mM NaCl, protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), 5mM NaF and 0.2 mM Sodium orthovanadate) at 4°C. Samples were subsequently sonicated 4x 10s, at 2µm amplitude, reduced by boiling with 10 mM DTT and centrifuged. The samples were further processed by Filter-aided sample preparation (FASP) by mixing each sample with 200 µl UA (8M Urea, 0.1 M Tris/HCl pH 8.5) in a Vivacon 500 filter column (30 kDa cut off, Sartorius VN01H22), centrifuged at 14,000 x g and washed twice with 200 µl UA. To alkylate the sample, 100 µl 50 mM iodoacetamide in UA was applied to the columns and incubated in the dark for 30 minutes, spun, followed by two washes with UA and another two washes with 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate. The samples were trypsinized on the column by the addition of 4 µg trypsin (ThermoFisher) in 40 µl 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate to the filter. Samples were incubated overnight in a wet chamber at 37°C and acidified by the addition of 5 µl 10 % trifluoroacetic acid (TFA). The pH was checked by spotting onto pH paper, and peptide concentration estimated using a NanoDrop. C18 Stage tips were activated using 20 µl of methanol, equilibrated with 100 µl 0.1% TFA) and loaded with 10 µg peptide solution. After washing with 100uL 0.1% TFA, the bound peptides were eluted into a Protein LoBind 1.5mL tube (Eppendorf) with 20µl 80% acetonitrile, 0.1% TFA and concentrated to less than 4 µl in a vacuum concentrator. The final volume was adjusted to 6 µl with 0.1% TFA.

Five µg of peptides were injected onto a C18 packed emitter and eluted over a gradient of 2%-80% ACN in 120 minutes, with 0.1% TFA throughout on a Dionex RSLnano. Eluting peptides
were ionised at +2kV before data-dependent analysis on a Thermo Q-Exactive Plus. MS1 was acquired with mz range 300-1650 and resolution 70,000, and top 12 ions were selected for fragmentation with normalised collision energy of 26, and an exclusion window of 30 seconds. MS2 were collected with resolution 17,500. The AGC targets for MS1 and MS2 were 3e6 and 5e4 respectively, and all spectra were acquired with 1 microscan and without lockmass. Finally, the data were analysed using MaxQuant (v 1.5.7.4) in conjunction with uniprot fasta database 2017_02, with match between runs (MS/MS not required), LFQ with 1 peptide required. Average expression levels were calculated for each protein and significant differences identified using a two tailed t-test assuming equal variance (homoscedasticity) with a p-value lower than 0.05.

Stable cell lines overexpressing DGCR8, Dicer and MAVS

Plasmids containing the sequence of mouse Dicer (pCAGEN-SBP-DICER1, Addgene), MAVS (GE-healthcare, MMM1013-202764911) and DGCR8 (Macias et al., 2012) were used to amplify the open reading frame using specific primers containing restriction sites (Table S1). The amplified and digested fragments were ligated in pLenti-GII-EF1α for MAVS and pEF1α-IRES-dsRED-Express2 for DGCR8 and Dicer. Verified plasmids containing the genes of interest were transfected in mESCs using Lipofectamine 2000 and selected with the appropriate antibiotic. After several weeks of selection, colonies were isolated, expanded and tested for expression by qRT-PCR and Western blot.

Mitochondrial activity

The mitochondria specific dye Rhodamine 123 (Sigma-Aldrich) was used to measure mitochondrial activity. Suspended cells were incubated with Rhodamine 123 at 37°C and samples were taken at various intervals, washed three times with PBS at 4°C and the
fluorescence measured in a VarioSkan flash (ThermoFisher) plate reader (excitation 508, emission 535).

**Inhibitors**

Cells were pre-incubated with the inhibitors BX795, which blocks the phosphorylation of the kinases TBK1 and IKKe, and consequently IRF3 activation and IFN-β production (10 µM, Synkinase) and the inhibitor BMS345541, which targets IKβα, IKKα and IKKβ and consequently NF-κβ signalling (10 µM, Cayman Chemical) for 45 minutes before infection with TMEV. After incubating for 24 hours in the presence of the inhibitor, infected wells were scored and the TCID_{50} calculated.

**CRISPR/Cas 9 targeting of mmu-miR-673**

To create a cell line lacking mmu-mmiR-673-5p, the Alt-R® CRISPR-Cas9 System (IDT) was used. Two different crRNAs were designed to target sequences within the pri-miRNA sequence hairpin to induce structural changes disrupting processing by the Microprocessor and Dicer. Cas9 protein and tracrRNAs were transfected with the Neon® Transfection System followed by cell sorting to create single cell clones. Genomic DNA was purified and screened by PCR followed by restriction site disruption analyses for the pri-miRNA sequence. Genomic DNA of the pri-miRNA sequence of candidates was amplified using primers in Table S1, and cloned into pGEMt-easy vector for sequencing.

**miRNA qRT-PCR**

Total RNA (100ng) was used to quantify mmu-mmiR-673-5p levels. RNA was first converted to cDNA using miRCURY LNA RT kit (Qiagen). cDNA was diluted 1/25 for RT-qPCR using miRCURY LNA SYBR Green kit and amplified using mmu-mmiR-673-5p specific primers (Qiagen) and U6 as a loading control. Quantitative PCR was carried out on a Roche LC480 light cycler and analysed using the second derivative method.
Data availability

All processed Mass spectrometry data is provided as a Supplementary Excel File, including LFQ intensity values for each protein detected in each of the samples. All raw data are available from corresponding author upon request.
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Figure Legends

**Figure 1. ESCs lack IFN response and are more susceptible to viral infection**

(a) Quantification of *ifnb1* expression in ESCs and the somatic mouse cell lines NIH3T3 and BV-2 after transfection with the dsRNA analogue poly(I:C). Data show the average (n=3) +/- s.e.m, (*) p-value <0.05 by t-test. (b) Quantification of *ifnb1* expression after activation of the cGAS response by Y-DNA (G3-YSD) in the same cell lines as (a). Data show the average (n=3, except for ESC2, n=2) +/- s.e.m, (*) p-value <0.05 by t-test. (c) Susceptibility (TCID$_{50}$/ml) of same cell lines as used in (a) to TMEV infection. (d) Quantification of *ifnb1* expression in pluripotent and differentiated ESCs after activation with poly(I:C). Data show the average (n=3) fold change over mock treated cells, +/- s.d. (*) p-value <0.05 by t-test.

**Figure 2. MiRNAs regulate IFN response.**

(a) Susceptibility (TCID$_{50}$/ml) of miRNA deficient cells (*Dgcr8*<sup>−/−</sup>, *Dicer*<sup>−/−</sup>) and wild-type parental cells (*Dgcr8*<sup>+</sup>/+(ESC1), *Dicer*<sup>+</sup>/+(ESC2)) to TMEV infection, higher values represent higher susceptibility (n=4, p-value <0.05, t-test). (b) Quantification of Influenza A replication after infection of the same cell lines as in (a), data show the average (n=3) +/- s.d. (*) p-value <0.05 by t-test. (c, d) Quantification of *Ifnb1* expression of ESCs lacking *Dgcr8* (c) or *Dicer* (d) to stimulation with poly(I:C) and Y-DNA. Data show average (n=3) +/- s.d., normalized to mock, (*) p-value <0.05 by t-test. (e, f) Quantification of TMEV replication after infection in *Dgcr8* (e) and *Dicer* (f) parental (+/+), deficient (-/-) and rescued (resc) cell lines. Data are normalized to miRNA deficient cell lines susceptibility. Data show average (n=3) +/- s.d (*) p-value <0.05 by t-test. Northern blots for three stem-cell specific miRNAs, as control for knock-out and rescue of *Dgcr8* and *Dicer*, are shown at the right of each panel.
Figure 3. MAVS is downregulated by miRNAs in ESCs

(a) Susceptibility of Dgcr8−/−, Dicer−/− and parental cells to TMEV infection after inhibition of IRF3 (BX795) and Nf-κB (BMS345541), normalized to mock-treated cells. (b) Heat map of significantly differentially expressed proteins (p<0.05) in the absence (Dgcr8−/−) or presence (Dgcr8resc) of miRNAs identified by STRING analysis. (c) Western blot analysis of MAVS expression in miRNA-deficient cells (Dgcr8−/− and Dicer−/−, lanes 2 and 5), wild-type counterparts (Dgcr8+/+ and Dicer+/+, lanes 1 and 4) and respective rescued ESCs lines (Dgcr8resc and Dicerresc, lanes 3 and 6). MAVS quantification normalized to Tubulin and relative to wild-type levels is shown at the top of the panel.

Figure 4. ESCs regain Ifnb1 expression after MAVS overexpression

(a) Dual luciferase assay with MAVS, RIG-I and MDA5 3’UTRs in miRNA deficient cells lines (Dgcr8−/− and Dicer−/−). Data show the average (n=3) +/- s.d normalized to Renilla and relative to the parental lines, (*) p-value <0.05 by t-test (b) Western blot of cell line overexpressing MAVS lacking the 3’UTR in Dgcr8+/+ cells (lane 3). MAVS quantification normalized to Tubulin and relative to wild-type is shown at the top (c) Susceptibility (TCID50/ml) of same cells lines as in (b) to TMEV infection (left panel) and quantification of viral RNA after TMEV infections in the same cell lines (right panel). Data show the average (n=5) +/- s.d. (*) p-value <0.05 by t-test (d) Ifnb mRNA expression after poly(I:C) transfection of the same cell lines as in (b), average is represented (n=3) +/- s.d, normalized to Dgcr8+/+ cell line, (*) p-value <0.05 by t-test.

Figure 5. MiR-673-5p regulates MAVS
(a) Transfection of miRNA mimics miR-125a-5p, miR-125b-5p, miR-185-5p and miR-673-5p in Dgcr8−/− cells followed by MAVS western blot. MAVS quantification normalized to Tubulin and relative to wild-type is shown at the top. (b) Quantification of TMEV replication by qRT-PCR in the same cell lines as in (a) (n=3). (c) MAVS western blot analysis of Dgcr8+/+ cells transfected with antagonists against miR-125a-5p, miR-125b-5p and miR-673-5p. MAVS quantification normalized to Tubulin and relative to wild-type is shown at the top. (d) Quantification of mir-673 expression in CRISPR knock out cell lines. (e) Western blot analysis of MAVS expression in miR-673−/− cell lines. MAVS quantification normalized to Tubulin and relative to wild-type is shown at the top. (f) Quantification of TMEV replication in miR-673 CRISPR knock-out cell lines in a Dgcr8+/+ background. Data show the average (n=3) +/- s.d. (*) p-value <0.05 by t-test.

Extended Data

Supplementary Figures 1 to 6.

Table S1 (oligonucleotides)

Supplementary Excel file (mass spectrometry results)
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