Classical oestrogen receptor is not detectable in pancreatic adenocarcinoma
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Summary Recent reports have suggested the presence of oestrogen receptors (ER) in pancreatic carcinoma. Therefore the tumour may be sensitive to hormone manipulation therapy.

We examined 23 biopsies of human pancreatic carcinoma tissue for the presence of ER. The tissue was assayed by two methods: iso-electric focusing (IEF) and ER-ICA an immunocytochemical assay. All biopsies were tested with ER-ICA and ten by IEF. Each biopsy was assessed histologically for tumour content and 20 contained adenocarcinoma. None of the samples of pancreatic carcinoma were positive for ER using the ER-ICA, and none demonstrated the binding peak typical of ER in the IEF assay.

These results suggest that in pancreatic carcinoma oestrogen receptor is either absent (or at very low levels), or of a different type to that found in breast and uterine tissue. On theoretical grounds at least, this must raise questions as to the oestrogen sensitivity of pancreatic cancer.

There is a body of evidence to suggest that oestrogens are important in the normal functioning of the pancreas (Grossman et al., 1969; Sandberg & Rosenthal, 1979), and are implicated as a factor in pancreatic disease (Davidoff et al., 1973; Glueck et al., 1972; Greenberger et al., 1966). There is also evidence for the presence of the oestrogen receptor (ER) in normal pancreas and pancreatic cancer (Greenway et al., 1981) and for prolonged survival in patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer treated with the oestrogen antagonist tamoxifen (Theve et al., 1983; Tonnensen & Kamps-Jensen, 1986).

In this study, human pancreatic carcinoma tissue was assayed for the presence of oestrogens (ER). Two techniques were used, iso-electric focusing (IEF—a ligand binding assay) and an oestrogen receptor immunocytochemical assay (ER-ICA).

Materials and methods

Most of the tissue for pancreatic ER analysis was obtained during laparotomy as wedge (10 mm × 10–30 mm) or needle biopsies (1 mm × 20 mm) and usually only one or two samples were taken. The remainder were percutaneous fine-needle aspirations for cytology (FNAC). Although the majority of biopsies were from the primary tumour itself, some were from metastatic tumour in the presence of an obvious pancreatic primary.

ER is noted for its temperature-dependent propensity to degrade in biopsied tissue. Therefore, specimens were taken directly from the surgeon in the operating theatre and frozen in liquid nitrogen. The elapsed time from biopsy to freezing was usually less than 60 s. The specimens were transported to the laboratory in liquid nitrogen, in which they were stored until assay.

Samples from two patients were taken as FNAC for ER-ICA assay. The aspirate was spread over several clean, marked glass microscope slides, fixed in acetone, then transferred into a storage medium (42.8 g sucrose, 0.33 g anhydrous magnesium chloride, 250 ml glycerol, made up to 500 ml with 0.01 M phosphate buffered saline) and maintained at −20°C until assay.

To validate the methods of biopsy, freezing, transport and storage, breast tissue was also taken for IEF and ER-ICA assays from eight women with breast cancer who underwent mastectomy. All methods of biopsy were performed (Tru-cut or Biopsy needle, wedge biopsy and FNAC) and handling and transport of the samples was identical to that used for the pancreatic biopsies.

Isoelectric focusing

The technique of IEF is based on that described by Underwood et al. (1983). In outline, oestrogen receptor is identified by incubation of cellular homogenate with a radio-labelled ligand (3H-oestradiol) which is then isolated by iso-electric focusing. Receptor-bound ligand appears as a specific peak of radioactivity between the anode and cathode on the IEF gel. A concentration of 10 fmol mg⁻¹ cellular protein or more is considered to represent clinically significant ER levels and designated ‘ER positive’. The method has been shown to be a sensitive, specific and reproducible ligand assay for ER (Wrange et al., 1976; Gustafsson et al., 1978; Fernández et al., 1983; Underwood et al., 1983) and of comparable sensitivity to dextran coated charcoal (DCC) assay in our own laboratory (Jackson et al., 1989).

Because of the tissue volume required by IEF (1 cm² by 40 μm thick), the amount of tissue available was an important determinant of which samples could be assayed and only the wedge biopsies provided enough. All assays were carried out in duplicate and adjacent sections were cut for haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining to assess tumour content. To establish the protein content a simple dye-binding colorimetric assay was used, based on the method of Bradford (1976), (Bio-Rad protein assay, Bio-Rad Laboratories Ltd, Watford, England).

After incubation with tritiated oestradiol (2, 4, 6, 7, 3H-oestradiol in toluene and ethanol 9:1 v/v, activity measured as 93 Ci m Mol⁻¹ 250 μl⁻¹; Amersham International plc, Aylesbury, England) iso-electric focusing was performed on thin-layer polyacrylamide gel (245 × 110 × 1 mm, pH 3.5–9.5, 2.4% w/v ampholine LKB Ampholine PAG plates, Pharmacia LKB Biotechnology, S-751 82 Uppsala, Sweden) in a cold-room at 4°C. The pH gradient was confirmed with ‘Electran’ iso-electric point marker solution (pI range 4.7–10.6, BDH Chemicals Ltd).

After focusing was complete, each lane of the gel (containing a single sample) was cut into 18 slices between cathode and anode and counted in a scintillation counter. The concentration of 3H-oestradiol (fmol mg⁻¹ protein) bound in each slice was calculated and plotted against slice number. The pH gradient across the IEF gel revealed that the pI of ER (pH 6.5 to 6.7) corresponded to slice 9.
ER-ICA

The ER-ICA (Abbott Laboratories Ltd, Diagnostics Division, Maidenhead, England) uses the monocolonal antibody H222 Spr, a rat IgG antibody to estrophilin. It is reported to have a strong positive correlation with standard radioligand binding assays (Hawkins et al., 1986; Johnson et al., 1987; McCarty et al., 1986; Charpin et al., 1986; Ozzello et al., 1986; DeSombre et al., 1986; Jonat et al., 1986) and is of comparable sensitivity for ER positive tissue (qv) to both IEF and DCC assay in our own laboratory (Jackson et al., 1989).

The assay uses a standard peroxidase-antiperoxidase technique on thin tissue sections mounted on glass microscope slides. The sections were counterstained with 2% aqueous methyl green which was preferred to haematoxylin because faintly ER positive results in previous breast cancer studies had been masked by the blue stain. The slides were subsequently examined under the light microscope using 250 x magnification and ER staining assessed as absent, +, + +, or + + +. H&E sections were also prepared to assess the tumour content of the specimen.

Results

IEF

There was sufficient tissue available for IEF assay in ten of the pancreas samples and six of the breast samples. Only seven pancreatic biopsies were from the primary tumour and of these, two contained ‘moderate’ amounts of tumour (about 30–50%), three had minimal tumour (less than 30%, though probably enough to detect ER if present) and two contained normal pancreas. All three samples of metastatic tumour contained moderate amounts of tumour. All but one of the breast cancer biopsies contained moderate amounts of tumour and all were taken from the primary.

The concentration of 3H-oestradiol mg⁻¹ protein in pancreatic cancer tissue is plotted against slice number in Figure 1a and for breast cancer samples in Figure 1b. For clarity the mean value calculated from all samples, and a bar representing the range, are plotted for each slice number. There was no binding peak at slice 9 and therefore no ER was detected in the pancreatic tissues assayed.

Expressed as means and range, certain trends are obscured that may have some relevance: seven pancreas samples bound 3H-oestradiol at a concentration greater than 10 fmol mg⁻¹ protein in the terminal slices. In all except one the increased binding appeared in slices 17 and 18. In the exception it appeared as a peak at slice 15. Four slices also had raised binding levels in slices 6 and 7.

All but one of the breast cancer samples had a peak of binding at slice 9 indicating the presence of ER.

ER-ICA

The ER-ICA assay was performed on all eight breast cancer samples (tissue sections and cytology) and on pancreatic cancer biopsies from 23 patients, 18 of which were from the primary site. All but three cases had histological confirmation of pancreatic carcinoma from at least one other biopsy. Of these three, one was from a patient with chronic pancreatitis, based on the histological findings and the subsequent natural history of the disease, another was classified as a cholangiocarcinoma and a third was considered to be pancreatic carcinoma from the natural history and operative findings despite negative histology.

There was considerable variation in tumour content and its distribution within the samples and only 13 of the assayed pancreatic carcinoma biopsies contained ‘moderate’ amounts of tumour. Of these, four were samples of metastatic tumour. Both cytology samples contained tumour cells. Four other biopsies contained no tumour, though one was from a patient with chronic pancreatitis. Four others contained ‘minimal’ tumour.

None of the pancreatic samples were positive for ER using the ER-ICA assay and all were assayed at least twice, with the same result. In contrast all the breast cancers were positive for ER, though four were only weakly so. The ER control slides supplied with the kit (MCF-7 cells) confirmed that the ER-ICA assay produced a positive result in ER positive tissue.

Discussion

The presence of pancreatic oestrogen receptors was suggested almost three decades ago when Ullberg and Bengtsson (1963) noted the unexpected binding of 3H-oestradiol to normal mouse pancreas. In later reports evidence accumulated for the existence of an oestrogen binding protein in the pancreas of the rat, baboon, dog and man, but the protein appeared to differ from ER (Sandberg et al., 1973; Sandberg & Rosenthal, 1974; Rosenthal & Sandberg, 1978; Pousette et al., 1982; Boctor et al., 1981; Boctor et al., 1983). In contrast, other reports suggested that pancreatic cancer tissue did contain ER. Stedman et al. (1980) detected 8S and 4S 3H-oestradiol binding proteins in two samples of pancreatic cancer. Greenway and co-workers found large quantities of a high affinity oestrogen-binding substance (equilibrium constant 10⁻¹⁰⁻¹⁰⁻¹⁰ mol⁻¹) in each of six specimens of human pancreatic cancer and in pooled human foetal pancreas, but not in normal human pancreas (Greenway et al., 1981). They were unable to detect the lower affinity binding protein found by Sandberg and Rosenthal (1979) in normal pancreas, and they suggested that the latter workers had detected contamination by sex-hormone-binding globulin rather than a true pancreatic oestrogen binding protein. Satake et al. (1982) also found high affinity 3H-oestradiol binding (Kd = 10⁻¹⁰⁻¹⁰⁻¹⁰ M) in both 7, 12-dimethylbenzanthracene-induced pancreatic cancer in rats and in one of seven human pancreatic adenocarcinoma. In a study of oestrogen binding and its influence on growth in four human pancreatic cancer cell lines (Benz et al., 1986), high affinity binding was detected (Kd = 1–9 nM) though this was of a different order of magnitude to that found in two oestrogen receptor-containing
breast cancer cell lines (MCF-7 and T47D, Kd ≤ 1 nm). Oestro
gen, androgens and antioestrogens had varying effects on the different pancreatic cell lines. Only one of them, MiaPaCa, was clearly stimulated by oestradiol.

In this study with human pancreatic adenocarcinoma, IEF revealed no peak of radioactivity corresponding to the pl of 17β-oestradiol-ER complex recorded in breast tissue (Gustafsson et al., 1978). The frequent peaks at the anodal end of the gel (slices 16–18) suggest a consistent binding of 17β-oestradiol. Wrangle et al. (1976) attributed this to protein precipitates occurring below pH 4, with non-specific binding. This group did note, that in the tissue they assayed (human breast carcinoma), there was some displacement of bound 17β-oestradiol in this region by excess unlabelled oestradiol, suggesting the presence of high affinity binding sites with a different pl and, by implication, a different structure and function from ER.

In the light of the IEF results those from the ER-ICA assay, which is immunologically specific for ER, are not surprising. Given the positive reaction with the kit control slides and the breast cancers the results are unlikely to be due to failure of the assay. The negative result may be due to either a very low density of ER positive cells in samples with relatively few tumour cells, or levels of ER below the threshold detectable by the assays used and therefore probably of no clinical significance (DeSombe et al., 1986; McClelland et al., 1986).

Only two samples assayed by IEF were taken from the pancreatic primary and contained 'moderate' amounts of tumour. It may be argued that there were too few samples for IEF to adequately assess ER content in primary pancreatic carcinoma. As 18 pancreas samples assayed by ERICA were from the primary tumour the criticism is less valid.

The therapeutic implication of these results is that hormonal manipulation therapy is unlikely to significantly influence the course of the disease in patients with pancreatic cancer. This contrasts with two reports from (uncontrolled) clinical studies in which tamoxifen was used with an apparent increase in the duration of survival of patients with pancreatic cancer (Thwe et al., 1983; Tonnense & Kamp-Jensen, 1986). However, a placebo-controlled clinical trial with tamoxifen performed during this study (Taylor et al., in preparation) showed no therapeutic benefit. A similar clinical trial from Norway (Bakkevold et al., 1990) and a trial comparing tamoxifen, cyproterone acetate and placebo from the UK (Keating et al., 1989) also indicated no benefit.

The existing body of evidence suggests the presence in the pancreas of an oestrogen binding protein, different from the classical oestrogen receptor and possibly related to the control of acinar secretion. The evidence from this study indicates either a complete absence of the classical ER, or at least the absence of clinically significant levels of ER, in both pancreatic adenocarcinoma and pancreatic tissue in which carcinoma had developed.
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