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Abstract
We study the electrostatic responses (i.e. retardation effects due to the propagation of electromagnetic waves are ignored) of a linear homogeneous and anisotropic (LHA) dielectric film to an arbitrary external electrostatic potential. A set of algebraic equations has been established to calculate the polarisation charges induced in the film. In our derivation, the idea is exploited that a physical boundary can be looked upon as a region of rapid variation in polarisation rather than a simple geometric separation. With this no boundary conditions are needed in solving the relevant electrostatics problem. Our approach makes it clear that the responses consist of two contributions, one arising from the very presence of surfaces while the other existing even in an infinite medium. In light of the results, we discuss graphene plasma waves under the influence of a LHA dielectric film such as a few-layer hexagonal boron nitride. It is found that the dispersion of these waves is strongly affected by the anisotropy at wavelengths comparable to the film thickness.
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1. Introduction

As is well known in electrostatics [1], an exterior probe charge cannot induce volume polarisation charges in a linear homogeneous and isotropic (LHI) dielectric, as the divergence of the displacement field $\mathbf{D}$ and hence that of the electric field $\mathbf{E}$—which is proportional to $\mathbf{D}$ in a LHI—vanish in the body. Polarisation charges appear only where inhomogeneity exists, e.g. at the interfaces and surfaces adjoining two LHI media. In the traditional textbook approach of evaluating the amount of polarisation charges on the surface of—for instance—a semi-infinite medium (SIM), the electrostatic fields residing on the opposite sides of the surface are treated separately on a piece-wise homogeneity basis and then joined by a set of boundary conditions requiring the continuity of the normal component of $\mathbf{D}$ and that of the electrostatic potential $\Phi$ at the surface [2]. In this approach, a surface is more of a geometric separation rather than a physical entity.

The purpose of the present work is two-fold. First, we exploit an alternative approach for studying the electrostatic responses of dielectrics. In this new approach, an interface is treated, as in an atomistic approach, as a physical region over which electric polarisation undergoes rapid variation. Though atomistically unknown \textit{a priori}, such variations can be fixed on a macroscopic scale by which the interfacial region appears infinitely thin. As a result, boundary conditions are done away with in totality. We may mention that this macroscopic description of surfaces has recently been employed in the study of surface plasma waves [3–5], i.e. electron density ripples propagating on the surface of conductors. Similar ideas have been used in a very different context, i.e. the study of plasma waves in bounded two-dimensional electron gases [6]. It is expected that the approach presented here will provide students with complementary insight into boundary-value problems in electrostatics and other physical problems.

Second, we apply the approach to study the responses of linear homogeneous anisotropic (LHA) dielectric films. While the electrostatics of LHI media make a standard part of any textbook on electromagnetism, LHA media have been unduly less exposed despite the fact that the latter constitute a big portion in real life. Existing work on LHA dielectrics have been focused on SIMs and often in a geophysical context [7–11]. However, in the world of nanometre electronics, one has to deal with LHA dielectrics of nanometric thickness [12]. It is therefore desirable to conduct a systematic exposition of the electrostatic responses of LHA films and clarify the thickness effects. Differing from a LHI dielectric, a LHA dielectric can host both volume and boundary polarisation charges, which can vary significantly depending on the film thickness. We derive and solve a set of algebraic equations for determining the responses of a LHA film to an arbitrary external potential, which might be generated by probe charges located inside or outside the film. With the results we examine the polarisation charges induced in a film by a point charge. Two cases are considered, corresponding to the point charge being located inside and outside the film, respectively.

As an additional application, we investigate the behaviours of plasma waves in a monolayer graphene under the influence of a LHA film such as a few-layer hexagonal boron nitride. Plasma waves are electron density waves that can propagate either in the volume or on the surface of a conductor [13–16]. They can also exist within two-dimensional worlds such as semiconductor heterostructures [6] and graphene [17, 18]. The latter belongs to the family of the so-called van der Waals materials, which are a hot topic in contemporary research [19]. We find that the plasma wave dispersion is strongly affected by the anisotropy at wavelengths comparable to the film thickness.
2. Macroscopic description of an interface

From an atomistic point of view, an interface is not just a geometric separation but a physical region in which the polarisation rapidly evolves from one side to the other. For the sake of illustration, let us imagine bringing in touch two semi-infinite dielectrics, $A$ and $B$, and an atomically thin interface forms amid them. We shall assume that the interface is macroscopically flat and extends within the $x-y$ plane so that its normal points along the $z$-direction, see figure 1. The polarisation in the entire space is denoted by $p(x)$, where $x = (r, z)$ denotes a point in the space with $r = (x, y)$ being the planar projection. Quite generally, far away from the interface, we expect that $p(x)$ takes on the bulk value $P_{A/B}$ on the $A/B$ side. As one moves across the interface from $A$ to $B$, $p$ shall evolve from $P_A$ to $P_B$. One may introduce an evolution function $w(z)$, which approaches unity for $z$ lying deeply in $A$ whereas it approaches zero for $z$ lying deeply in $B$. Then, $p(x) = w(z)P_A(x) + (1 - w(z))P_B(x)$. The exact form of $w(z)$ in the interfacial region depends on atomistic details. Nevertheless, on the macroscopic scale by which the interfacial region appears infinitely thin, one can approximate $w(z)$ by the Heaviside step function $\Theta(z)$ regardless of the atomistic details, presuming that the surface be located at $z = 0$ without loss of generality. As such, the macroscopic description of an interface is fixed in a fairly generic manner [4]. In the case of a SIM, we have $B$ as the vacuum and $A$ as the medium. With $P_B \equiv 0$ and writing $P_A$ simply as $P$, we can write

$$p(x) = \Theta(z)P(x). \quad (1)$$

Note that equation (1) gives the polarisation in the entire system (the dielectric plus the vacuum) and hence no boundary is needed in this global view. The polarisation charge density is then obtained as

$$\rho(x) = -\partial_s \cdot p(x) = \rho_v(x) + \rho_s(x), \quad (2)$$

where $\rho_v(x) = -\partial_s \cdot P(x)$ is the density of volume charges in the dielectric and $\rho_s(x) = -\Theta'(z)P(x_0)$ gives the density of charges existing on the surface. Here $\Theta'(z) = \partial_z \Theta(z)$ and $x_0 = (r, 0)$ represents a point on the surface.
As an illustration of the usefulness of equation (2), let us consider a LHI characterised by a constant susceptibility $\chi$, i.e. $P(x) = \chi E(x)$. Assuming no interior probe charges, i.e. $\rho_{\text{int}}(x) = 0$ for $x$ lying inside the medium and hence $\partial_\tau \cdot E(x) = 4\pi (\rho_{\text{ext}}(x) + \rho(x)) = 4\pi \rho(x)$, we find from equation (2) that $\rho(x) = -\Theta'(z)(\chi/\epsilon)E_\tau(x_0)$, where $\epsilon = 1 + 4\pi \chi$ is the dielectric constant. As expected, $\rho(x)$ is concentrated on the surface. To bring the expression into the familiar form, let us note that $E_\tau(x_0)/\epsilon = E_\tau(x_+)$, where $x_+ = (r, 0, z)$ with $0_+$ being the positive infinitesimal (see figure 1 for illustration). See that $x_0$ and $x_+$ represent points on the planes sandwiching the polarisation charge layer, as shown in figure 1. Now we obtain $\rho(x) = -\Theta'(z)P_\tau(x_+)$, which implies an areal density of $P(x_+) \cdot n$, where $n$ is the unit vector pointing along the outward normal of the surface, as expected of the traditional approach.

3. Responses of LHA dielectric films

The dielectric film under consideration has two infinitely extended flat surfaces at $z = 0$ and $z = L > 0$, respectively. It is characterised by a constant susceptibility tensor of the following diagonal form:

$$\chi = \text{diag}(\chi_i||, \chi_{ij}, \chi_{\perp}).$$

This is not the most general form but captures the properties of a large variety of materials including van der Waals materials. It shall prove convenient to introduce the dielectric tensor components as $\epsilon_i = 1 + 4\pi \chi_i$ and $\epsilon_{\perp} = 1 + 4\pi \chi_{\perp}$, together with the anisotropy parameter $\gamma = \sqrt{\epsilon_{\perp}/\epsilon_i}$. We can write $\epsilon_i = \epsilon/\gamma$ and $\epsilon_{\perp} = \gamma \epsilon$, where $\epsilon = \sqrt{\epsilon_i/\epsilon_{\perp}}$.

In analogy with equation (1), the global polarisation in this system can be written as

$$P(x) = P(x)|\Theta(z) - \Theta(z - L)|,$$

where the polarisation in the bulk $P$ can be related to the electric field $E$ by

$$P_i(x) = \sum_j \chi_{ij} E_j(x)$$

with $i, j = x, y, z$. The polarisation charge density then reads

$$\rho(x) = -\partial_\tau \cdot P(x) = -\partial_\tau \cdot \sum_j \chi_{ij} E_j(x)\Theta'(z) - \Theta'(z - L)].$$

Here the first term, which vanishes in a LHI but not in a LHA, gives the density of volume charges while the second one gives the density of surface charges.

We introduce the planar Fourier transform pair for a field quantity $f(x)$,

$$f_k(z) = \int d^2r e^{-ikr} f(x), \quad f(x) = \int \frac{d^2k}{4\pi^2} e^{ikr} f_k(z),$$

where $k$ is the wave vector along the surface. As our system is linear, it suffices to consider one Fourier component, say $k$. Subsequently, we shall suppress the index $k$ and write $f_k(z)$ simply as $f(z)$, which should not be confused with $f(x)$ as their arguments are of different character. Equation (5) is then rewritten

$$\rho(z) = -\nabla \cdot P(z) - [P_\tau(0)\Theta'(z) - P_\tau(L)\Theta'(z - L)],$$

where $z$ is confined to the dielectric and $\nabla = (\partial_x, \partial_z)$. Our task is to calculate $\rho(z)$ induced by an external probe potential $\phi_{\text{ext}}(z)$, which is supposed to be generated by a charge of density $\rho_{\text{ext}}(z)$. The electrostatic potential due to $\rho(z)$ is denoted by $\phi(z)$. These potentials satisfy Poisson’s equation, $\nabla^2 \phi(z) + 4\pi \rho(z) = 0$ and
similarly for \( \phi_{\text{ext}} \) and \( \rho_{\text{ext}} \). The solutions are well known and given by
\[
\left( \begin{array}{c}
\phi_{\text{ext}}(z) \\
\phi(z)
\end{array} \right) = \frac{2\pi}{k} \int dz' e^{-|k|z'} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\rho_{\text{ext}}(z') \\
\rho(z')
\end{array} \right).
\]  
Here \( k = |k| \) and the zero point of the potential has been set at infinity. The electric field can then be calculated as
\[
\mathbf{E}(z) = -\nabla \Phi(z),
\]
where \( \Phi(z) = \phi(z) + \phi_{\text{ext}}(z) \) is the total electrostatic potential.

3.1. General equations for the induced charge density

Equations (4), (6), (7) and (8) make a closed set and allow us to determine the charge density \( \rho(z) \) induced by any external charges \( \rho_{\text{ext}}(z) \). Here we establish the general equations that relate the former to the latter.

In the first place, let us note that, by means of the constitutive relation, that is equation (4), the divergence of \( \mathbf{P} \) can be cast in the following form:
\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}(z) = 4\pi \chi_{\perp} (\rho(z) + \rho_{\text{ext}}(z)) - k^2 \delta \chi \Phi(z),
\]
where \( \delta \chi = \chi_{\perp} - \chi_{||} \) notices the anisotropy. Substituting this in equation (6) yields
\[
\rho(z) = \rho_b(z) + \rho_s(z),
\]
where the induced charge density splits in two parts, where
\[
\rho_b(z) = \frac{k^2 \delta \chi}{\epsilon_{\perp}} \Phi(z) + \frac{1 - \epsilon_{\perp}}{\epsilon_{\perp}} \rho_{\text{ext}}(z)
\]
is the charge density that exists also in an infinite medium and
\[
\rho_s(z) = \frac{\chi_{\perp}}{\epsilon_{\perp}} \left[ E_{\perp}(L) \Theta'(z - L) - E_{\perp}(0) \Theta'(z) \right]
\]
denotes the charge density purely due to the presence of surfaces. It should be clear that, equations (7)–(12) make a closed set and can be readily solved to determine the polarisation charges. Below we prescribe them in a more tractable way.

To make progress, we extend \( \rho(z) \) in the following cosine series:
\[
\rho(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \rho_n \cos(q_n z), \quad \rho_n = \frac{1}{L_n} \int_0^L dz \cos(q_n z) \rho(z),
\]
where \( q_n = \pi n/L \) and \( L_n = L/(2 - \delta_{n,0}) \) with \( \delta_{n,0} \) being zero unless \( n = 0 \). It shall prove convenient to split \( \rho(z) \) into a symmetric part \( \rho_s(z) \) and an anti-symmetric part \( \rho_a(z) \), where \( \rho_s \) collects all the terms with even \( n \) and \( \rho_a \) those with odd \( n \). Obviously, \( \rho_s \) is symmetric about the mid-plane of the film while \( \rho_a \) is anti-symmetric. In terms of \( \rho_b \), we can recast the potential due to polarisation charges as
\[
\phi(z) = \sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{2\pi \rho_n}{k^2 + q_n^2} \left[ 2 \cos(q_n z) - e^{-kz} - (-1)^n e^{-k(L-z)} \right]
\]
for \( z \) lying in the dielectric. It follows that \( \phi'(z) = \partial_z \phi(z) \) takes on the following values at the surfaces:
\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \phi(0) \right) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\pi k \rho_n}{k^2 + q_n^2} \left( 1 - (-1)^n e^{-kL} \right) (-1)^n \left( 1 - (-1)^{n+1} e^{-kL} - 1 \right) \\
\left( \phi(L) \right) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\pi k \rho_n}{k^2 + q_n^2} \left( 1 - (-1)^n e^{-kL} \right) (-1)^n \left( 1 + (-1)^n e^{-kL} + 1 \right) 
\end{align*}
\]

(15)

Now we have
\[
E_z(0/L) = -\phi'(0/L) - \phi'_\text{ext}(0/L),
\]
which are needed to evaluate equation (12).

In the same way as \(\rho_n\) is defined for \(\rho(z)\), we define \(f_n\) for an arbitrary function \(f(z)\). Applying this to \(\phi(z)\), we find that the corresponding \(\phi_n\) can be written as a linear function of \(\rho_n\), i.e.
\[
\phi_n = \sum_{n'} M_{n,n'} \rho_{n'},
\]
where the matrix \(M\) can be established from equation (14) as
\[
M_{n,n'} = \frac{4\pi}{k^2 + q_n^2} \left( \delta_{n,n'} - \frac{k}{k^2 + q_n^2} \frac{1 - (-1)^n e^{-kL}}{2L} \right) \left( 1 + (-1)^n e^{-kL} + 1 \right).
\]

(18)

Substituting equation (17) into (11), we obtain
\[
\rho_{b,n} = \frac{k^2 \chi_{\perp}}{\epsilon_{\perp}} \sum_{n'} M_{n,n'} \rho_{n'} \frac{k^2 \chi_{\perp}}{\epsilon_{\perp}} \phi_{\text{ext},n'} + \frac{k^2 \chi_{\perp}}{\epsilon_{\perp}} \phi_{\text{ext},n'} + \frac{1 - \epsilon_{\perp}}{\epsilon_{\perp}} \rho_{\text{ext},n'}.
\]

(19)

Analogously, by taking the cosine series of and substituting equations (15) and (16) into equation (12), one realises that
\[
\rho_{s,n} = \frac{\chi_{\perp}}{\epsilon_{\perp}} \frac{L}{L} \sum_{n'} M_{n,n'} \rho_{n'} \frac{2\pi k \rho_n}{2} \frac{(1 - (-1)^n e^{-kL})(1 + (-1)^n e^{-kL}) + \phi'(0) - (-1)^n \phi'_\text{ext}(L)}{1}.
\]

(20)

Now, equations (19) and (20), combined with
\[
\rho_n = \rho_{b,n} + \rho_{s,n},
\]
form a close set of linear inhomogeneous algebraic equations, which can in principle be solved to obtain \(\rho_{b,n'}, \rho_{s,n}, \rho_n\) for any given \(\rho_{\text{ext},n'}\).

3.2. General formulations for the induced charge density

Here we provide a general but formal solution to equations (19)–(21), which can be used to calculate the polarisation charges.

To start, we note that due to the factor \(1 + (-1)^{n+n'}\) appearing in both \(M_{n,n'}\) and \(\rho_{b,n}\), the symmetric sector \(\rho_{s}(z)\) and the anti-symmetric sector \(\rho_{s}(z)\) are actually decoupled. Physically, this means that, a symmetric distribution of external charges only excites the symmetric sector while an anti-symmetric distribution of external charges only excites the anti-symmetric sector, which is a consequence of the mirror symmetry of the film about its middle plane.

As such, we can deal with \(\rho_{s}\) and \(\rho_{s}\) separately. To this end, let us define \(\rho_{s}^+ = \rho_{s}\) and \(\rho_{s}^- = \rho_{s} + 1\) with \(l = 0, 1, \ldots\) being an integer. It immediately follows that
\[
\rho_{s}^+ = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} M_{l,l}^+ \rho_{s}^+ + \frac{k^2 \chi_{\perp}}{\epsilon_{\perp}} \phi_{\text{ext},2l} + \frac{1 - \epsilon_{\perp}}{\epsilon_{\perp}} \rho_{\text{ext},2l} + \frac{2 - \delta_{l,0}}{L} \frac{\chi_{\perp}}{\epsilon_{\perp}} \phi_{\text{ext}}(0) - \phi_{\text{ext}}(L).
\]

(22)
where the matrix $\mathcal{M}^+$ is given by
\[
\mathcal{M}^+_i = \frac{k^2 \delta \chi}{\epsilon_{\perp}} M_{2i, 2i} + \frac{\chi_{\perp}}{\epsilon_{\perp}} \frac{(2 - \delta_{i, 0})(1 - e^{-iL})}{L} \frac{4\pi k}{k^2 + q_{2i}^2}.
\] (23)

Similarly, we have
\[
\rho_i^+ = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}^+_i \phi_{\text{ext}, 2l+1} + \frac{1 - \epsilon_{\perp}}{\epsilon_{\perp}} \rho_{\text{ext, 2l+1}} + \frac{2 \chi_{\perp}}{L \epsilon_{\perp}} (\phi_{\text{ext}}'(0) + \phi_{\text{ext}}'(L)),
\] (24)

where the matrix $\mathcal{M}^-$ is given by
\[
\mathcal{M}^-_i = \frac{k^2 \delta \chi}{\epsilon_{\perp}} M_{2i+1, 2i+1} + \frac{\chi_{\perp}}{\epsilon_{\perp}} \frac{2(1 + e^{-iL})}{L} \frac{4\pi k}{k^2 + q_{2i+1}^2}.
\] (25)

These algebraic equations (22)–(25) fully determine the electrostatic responses of the film.

The solutions to the above equations are readily to be found. Formally, they can be written as
\[
\rho_i^+ = \frac{\pi(2 - \delta_{i, 0})(1 - e^{-iL})[(\epsilon_{\parallel} - 1)k^2 + (\epsilon_{\perp} - 1)q_{2i}^2] \bar{\rho}_i + S_i^+}{\epsilon_{\parallel}k^2 + \epsilon_{\perp}q_{2i}^2},
\] (26)

where $\bar{\rho}_i$ is independent of $l$ and $S_i^+ = (k^2 + q_{2i}^2) S_i^+$, given by
\[
\bar{\rho}_i = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j} \frac{k \rho_j^+}{k^2 + q_{2j}^2}, \quad S_i^+ = k^2 \delta \chi \phi_{\text{ext}, 2l} + (1 - \epsilon_{\perp}) \rho_{\text{ext}, 2l}
\]
\[
+ \frac{2 - \delta_{i, 0}}{L} \chi_{\perp} (\phi_{\text{ext}}'(0) - \phi_{\text{ext}}'(L)).
\] (27)

Further manipulations show that
\[
\bar{\rho}_l = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j} \frac{k \rho_j^+}{k^2 + q_{2j}^2} \frac{S_j^+}{L} = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{j} \frac{k^2}{L} \frac{(2 - \delta_{i, 0})(1 - e^{-iL})(\epsilon_{\parallel} - 1)k^2 + (\epsilon_{\perp} - 1)q_{2j}^2}{\epsilon_{\parallel}k^2 + \epsilon_{\perp}q_{2j}^2}}.
\] (28)

The expressions relevant for $\rho_i^-$ are analogous. For the sake of completeness, they are written down as follows:
\[
\rho_i^- = \frac{2\pi(1 + e^{-iL})[(\epsilon_{\parallel} - 1)k^2 + (\epsilon_{\perp} - 1)q_{2i}^2] \bar{\rho}_i + S_i^-}{\epsilon_{\parallel}k^2 + \epsilon_{\perp}q_{2i}^2}, \quad S_i^- = (k^2 + q_{2i}^2) S_i^-,\]
(29)
\[
\bar{S}_i = k^2 \delta \chi \phi_{\text{ext}, 2l+1} + (1 - \epsilon_{\perp}) \rho_{\text{ext}, 2l+1} + \frac{2}{L} \chi_{\perp} (\phi_{\text{ext}}'(0) - \phi_{\text{ext}}'(L)),
\] (30)
\[
\bar{\rho} = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j} \frac{k \rho_j^-}{k^2 + q_{2j+1}^2} = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{j} \frac{k^2}{L} \frac{2(1 + e^{-iL})(\epsilon_{\parallel} - 1)k^2 + (\epsilon_{\perp} - 1)q_{2j+1}^2}{\epsilon_{\parallel}k^2 + \epsilon_{\perp}q_{2j+1}^2}}.
\] (31)

Equations (28) and (31) represent the key results of this paper. They can be used to calculate the polarisation charges for any given $\phi_{\text{ext}}$.

The expressions of $\rho_i^\pm$ imply that there are two general contributions to the polarisation charges. An analogous result has recently been derived in [5]. One of the contributions
contains $\tilde{\rho}_b$, which exists only in the presence of the surfaces. The other is directly due to $S^\pm_l$ and exists even if there is no surface.

We point out that $\phi_{\text{ext},r}$ and $\phi_{\text{ext},r}^r(0/L)$ can be written in terms of $\rho_{\text{ext},r}$. Actually, $\phi_{\text{ext},r} = \sum_{n} M_{nr} \rho_{\text{ext},r}$ and $\phi_{\text{ext},r}^r(0/L)$ can be obtained from equation (15) with $\rho_{\text{ext},r}$ in place of $\rho_r$. It is worth noting that

$$\phi_{\text{ext},r}^r(0) \pm \phi_{\text{ext},r}(L) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\pi k}{k^2 + q_n^2}(1 - (-1)^n e^{-kL})(1 \mp (-1)^n). \quad (32)$$

More precisely, $\phi_{\text{ext},r}^r = \sum_{n} M_{2l,2r} \rho_{\text{ext},r}$, $\phi_{\text{ext},r}^r + \rho_{\text{ext},r}$ and $\phi_{\text{ext},r}^r(0) - \phi_{\text{ext},r}(L)$ only involves $\rho_{\text{ext},2l}$ whereas $\phi_{\text{ext},r}^r(0) + \phi_{\text{ext},r}(L)$ only involves $\rho_{\text{ext},2l+1}$. These properties ensure that a (anti-) symmetric $\rho_{\text{ext}}$ only induces a (anti-) symmetric $\rho_{\text{r}}$ in accord with the symmetry of the system, as aforementioned.

### 3.3. The limit $kL \ll 1$

Suppose $L$ is very small so that $\phi_{\text{ext}}(z)$ does not vary much across the film and $kL \ll 1$. Then one may retain only the $n = 0$ term for $\rho_{\text{b}0}$, i.e. $\rho_{\text{b}0}(z) \approx \rho_{\text{b}0,0}$. The total volume charges then amounts to $\rho_{\text{b}0} = \int \rho_{\text{b}0,0}(z) \approx L \rho_{\text{b}0,0}$. This approximation, however, does not apply to $\rho_{\text{r}}$, which is localised and must have all terms present. It is thus useful to construct a separate formalism for this special case. By equation (11), we arrive at

$$\rho_{\text{b}0,0} = \frac{k^2}{\epsilon_{\perp}} (\phi_{0} + \phi_{\text{ext},0}) + \frac{1 - \epsilon_{\perp}}{\epsilon_{\perp}} \rho_{\text{ext},0}. \quad (33)$$

From equation (7) one can show that

$$\phi_{0} \approx \frac{2\pi L}{k} \rho_{\text{b}0,0} + \frac{2\pi}{k} \frac{1 - e^{-kL}}{kL} (\rho_{\text{b}0} + \rho_{\text{b}L}), \quad (34)$$

where $\rho_{\text{b}0} = -(\epsilon_{\parallel}/\epsilon_{\perp}) E_{\text{z}}(0)$ and $\rho_{\text{b}L} = (\epsilon_{\parallel}/\epsilon_{\perp}) E_{\text{z}}(L)$ are the areal density of polarisation charges localised on the surface $z = 0$ and $z = L$, respectively. Substituting this expression in equation (33) leads to

$$\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon_{\perp}}{2\pi kL \epsilon_{\parallel}}\right) \rho_{\text{b}} = \frac{1 - e^{-kL}}{kL} (\rho_{\text{b}0} + \rho_{\text{b}L}) = \frac{k}{2\pi} \left(\phi_{\text{ext},0} + \frac{1 - \epsilon_{\perp}}{k^2 \epsilon_{\parallel}} \rho_{\text{ext},0}\right). \quad (35)$$

On using the following relations

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\phi'(0)}{\phi'(L)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\phi'(0)}{\phi'(L)}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\rho_{\text{b}0} + \rho_{\text{b}L} e^{-kL}}{-\rho_{\text{b}L} - \rho_{\text{b}0} e^{-kL}}\right), \quad (36)$$

we obtain

$$-\rho_{\text{b}} + \beta^{-1} \rho_{\text{b}0} - e^{-kL} \rho_{\text{b}L} = \frac{\phi_{\text{ext},0}'}{2\pi}, \quad (37)$$

$$\rho_{\text{b}} + e^{-kL} \rho_{\text{b}0} - \beta^{-1} \rho_{\text{b}L} = \frac{\phi_{\text{ext},L}'}{2\pi}, \quad (38)$$

where $\beta = (\epsilon_{\perp} - 1)/(\epsilon_{\perp} + 1)$. Now equations (35), (37) and (38) make a closed set of algebraic equations and can be solved to get $\rho_{\text{b}0}$, $\rho_{\text{b}0}$ and $\rho_{\text{b}L}$. Interestingly, only $\epsilon_{\perp}$ explicitly appears in these equations.
Here are some general observations based on these equations. Firstly, by equation (35), we see that
\[ \rho_0 \approx L \rho_{\text{ext},0} (1 - \epsilon_L) / \epsilon_L + \frac{2 \pi \delta \chi}{\epsilon_L} (\rho_0 + \rho_L) kL + \ldots, \]
where ‘...’ represents higher-order terms in \( kL \). This means that the total volume charges are proportional to the total probe charges residing in the film to the lowest order in \( kL \). If all probe charges are located outside, volume charges can be neglected for thin films, in which circumstances one obtains from equations (37) and (38) that
\[ \rho_0 \approx -\frac{\beta \phi'_\text{ext}(0) - \beta \phi'_\text{ext}(L)}{2}, \quad \rho_L \approx -\frac{\beta \phi'_\text{ext}(L) - \beta \phi'_\text{ext}(0)}{2}. \]
Secondly, equations (37) and (38) suggest that
\[ \rho_0 - \rho_L = \frac{1}{\beta - 1} \frac{\phi'_\text{ext}(0) + \phi'_\text{ext}(L)}{2}. \]
If the probe charges are symmetrically distributed, i.e. \( \phi'_\text{ext}(0) + \phi'_\text{ext}(L) = 0 \), the amounts of charges on the surfaces are equal and of the same sign, as expected of the reflection symmetry and in line with the general formalism set out in section 3.1.

3.4. The semi-infinite limit

For \( L \to \infty \), \( e^{-kL} \approx 0 \) for all \( k \) and the two surfaces are decoupled. The responses then reduce to that of a SIM. Now one notes that \( M^+ \) and \( M^- \) degenerate to \( M \), where
\[ M_{11} = \frac{k^2 \delta \chi}{\epsilon_L} \frac{4 \pi}{k^2 + Q_l^2} \left( \delta_{l,0} - \frac{2k/L}{k^2 + Q_l^2} \right) + \frac{2}{\epsilon_L} \frac{4 \pi k}{L k^2 + Q_l^2}, \]
where \( Q_l = q_{2l} \). Adding equation (22) to equation (24), we find
\[ \rho_l = \sum_{l'} M_{l,l'} \rho_{l'} + \frac{k^2 \delta \chi}{\epsilon_L} \phi_{\text{ext},l} + \frac{1}{\epsilon_L} \rho_{\text{ext},l} + \frac{4}{L} \frac{\chi_L}{\epsilon_L} \phi'_\text{ext}(0), \]
where we have defined
\[ \rho_l = \rho_{2l} + \rho_{2l+1}, \quad \phi_{\text{ext},l} = \phi_{\text{ext},2l} + \phi_{\text{ext},2l+1}, \quad \rho_{\text{ext},l} = \rho_{\text{ext},2l} + \rho_{\text{ext},2l+1}. \]
The sum over \( l' \) in equation (40) can be converted into an integral as \( Q_l \) are densely distributed for large \( L \). Identifying \( (2 \pi / L) \delta (Q - Q') = \delta_{l,0} \), \( Q_l \) and \( Q_{l'} \) with \( Q \) and \( Q' \), respectively, as well as \( (L / 2 \pi) \rho_l \) with \( \rho(Q) \) so that \( \rho(z) = \int_0^\infty dQ \cos(Qz) \rho(Q) \), we find
\[ \frac{L}{2 \pi} \sum_{l'} M_{l,l'} \rho_{l'} = \frac{k^2 \delta \chi}{\epsilon_L} \frac{4 \pi \rho(Q)}{k^2 + Q^2} + \frac{2}{\epsilon_L} \frac{\chi_L}{\epsilon_L} \frac{k^2}{k^2 + Q^2} \]
which can be manipulated to obtain
\[ \rho(Q) = \frac{[\epsilon |Q| - 1] k^2 + (\epsilon - 1) |Q|^2 \rho + S(Q)}{\epsilon_k^2 + \epsilon_L |Q|^2}, \]
where the source reads

\[ S(Q) = (k^2 + Q^2) \left[ k^2 \chi \phi_{\text{ext}}(Q) + (1 - \epsilon_{\perp}) \rho_{\text{ext}}(Q) + \frac{2}{\pi} \chi_{\perp} \phi'_{\text{ext}}(0) \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (43)

Here \( \phi_{\text{ext}}(Q) = (L/2\pi) \phi_{\text{ext},1} \) and \( \rho_{\text{ext}}(Q) = (L/2\pi) \rho_{\text{ext},l} \). Multiplying equation (42) by \( k/(\pi(k^2 + Q^2)) \) and integrating it over \( Q \), we arrive at

\[ \bar{\rho} = \frac{2\epsilon}{\epsilon + 1} \int_0^\infty \frac{dQ}{\pi} \frac{k}{k^2 + Q^2} \frac{S(Q)}{\epsilon k^2 + \epsilon_{\perp} Q^2}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (44)

where we have used the following integral that can be easily evaluated by the method of contour integration:

\[ \int_0^\infty \frac{dQ}{\pi} \frac{k \cos(Qd)(\epsilon_{\parallel} - 1)k^2 + (\epsilon_{\parallel} - 1)Q^2}{\epsilon_{\parallel} k^2 + \epsilon_{\perp} Q^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{-kd} - e^{-kd/\epsilon} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (45)

Equations (42)–(44) completely determine the response of a semi-infinite LHA dielectric.

It is worth noting that these equations can be modified to describe the responses of an infinite medium without any surfaces. In the latter case, the term with \( \bar{\rho} \)—which arises only due to surfaces—does not exist in the expression of \( \rho(Q) \), equation (42), and the term with \( \phi'_{\text{ext}}(0) \) does not exist in \( S(Q) \), either, equation (43). An alternative rationale leading to this modification goes by assuming that the probe charges be placed far from the surfaces so that \( \phi'_{\text{ext}}(x) \) vanishes near the surfaces and that one looks only at the polarisation charges far from the surfaces, so that the contribution from the term with \( \bar{\rho} \), which decays away from the surfaces, can be neglected. Then one finds

\[ \rho(Q) = -\left( \frac{(\epsilon_{\parallel} - 1)k^2 + (\epsilon_{\parallel} - 1)Q^2}{\epsilon_{\parallel} k^2 + \epsilon_{\perp} Q^2} \right) \rho_{\text{ext}}(Q) = \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\parallel} k^2 + \gamma_{\perp}^2 Q^2} - 1 \right) \rho_{\text{ext}}(Q) \]  \hspace{1cm} (46)

for an infinite medium.

In the isotropic case with \( \epsilon_{\parallel} = \epsilon_{\perp} = \epsilon \) and \( \chi_{\parallel} = \chi_{\perp} = \chi \), it follows from equation (42) that \( \rho(Q) = (1 - \epsilon^{-1}) \bar{\rho} + S(Q)/\epsilon \) and

\[ \bar{\rho} = \frac{2}{\epsilon + 1} \int_0^\infty \frac{dQ}{\pi} \frac{k}{k^2 + Q^2} S(Q), \]

where

\[ S(Q) = S(Q)/(k^2 + Q^2) = (1 - \epsilon) \rho_{\text{ext}}(Q) + 2\chi \phi'_{\text{ext}}(0)/\pi. \]

It is useful to rewrite \( \rho(Q) = \rho_1(Q) + \rho_2(Q) \), with \( \rho_1(Q) \) and \( \rho_2(Q) \) stemming from the first and the second term of \( S(Q) \), respectively. It is easy to show that

\[ \rho_2 = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon + 1} \phi'_{\text{ext}}(0), \]

which is the only contribution if the probe charges are located outside the film (i.e. \( \rho_{\text{ext}}(Q) \equiv 0 \)). As \( \rho_2 \) is independent of \( Q \), it leads to charges localised on the surface, the areal density of which can be shown to be \( \frac{\phi'_{\text{ext}}(0)}{2\pi} \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon + 1} \). This result is of course well known. As for \( \rho_1(Q) \), it contributes to the surface charges but also volume charges, the latter amounting to \( (\epsilon^{-1} - 1) \rho_{\text{ext}}(Q) \), which is nothing but the polarisation charge that would exist in an infinite medium, see equation (46). The total charges in the volume then is \( \rho_{\text{ext}}/\epsilon \), as expected.
### 4. Applications

#### 4.1. A point charge outside the film

Let us consider a point probe charge of strength $Q$ placed at $z = -d < 0$, a distance of $d$ exterior to the surface at $z = 0$. It follows that $\rho_{\text{ext},n} = 0$, $\rho_{\text{ext}}(L) = -2\pi Q e^{-k(L+d)}$ (47)

and

$$\phi_{\text{ext}}(0) = -2\pi Q e^{-kd}, \quad \phi_{\text{ext}}(L) = -2\pi Q e^{-k(L+d)}$$

With these expressions we find

$$S_+^+ = -\frac{Q(2 - \delta_{ij})}{2L} e^{-kd}(1 - e^{-kd})[(\epsilon_\parallel - 1)k^2 + (\epsilon_\perp - 1)q_{ij}^2]$$

(49)

as well as

$$S_+^- = -\frac{Q}{L} e^{-kd}(1 + e^{-kd})[(\epsilon_\parallel - 1)k^2 + (\epsilon_\perp - 1)q_{ij}^2]$$

(50)

which can be inserted in equations (26)–(31) to obtain

$$\rho_+^+ = \frac{1}{1 - \Lambda_+ k^2 + \epsilon_\perp q_{ij}^2}, \quad \Lambda_+ = 1 - \frac{e^{-kd}}{L} \sum_k k(2 - \delta_{ij}) (\epsilon_\parallel - 1)k^2 + (\epsilon_\perp - 1)q_{ij}^2$$

(51)

and analogously

$$\rho_+^- = \frac{1}{1 - \Lambda_- k^2 + \epsilon_\perp q_{ij}^2}, \quad \Lambda_- = 1 + \frac{e^{-kd}}{L} \sum_k k^2 + q_{ij}^2 (\epsilon_\parallel - 1)k^2 + (\epsilon_\perp - 1)q_{ij}^2$$

(52)

For large $L$, $\Lambda_+ \approx \Lambda_-$. We note in passing that these equations are relevant for the scattering of charged particles by dielectric surfaces [20].

To obtain some analytical insight, let us look at the SIM limit. Equation (48) then transforms into the following:

$$\phi_{\text{ext}}(Q) = \frac{4Q}{k^2 + Q^2} e^{-kd}.$$ (53)

Substituting this into equation (43) yields

$$S(Q) = -\frac{Q}{\pi} e^{-kd}[(\epsilon_\parallel - 1)k^2 + (\epsilon_\perp - 1)Q^2].$$ (54)

With this we get from equations (42) and (44) that

$$\rho = -\frac{Qe^{-kd}}{\epsilon + 1}, \quad \rho(Q) = \frac{2\epsilon}{\epsilon + 1} \frac{S(Q)}{e^{-kd} + \epsilon Q^2}.$$ (55)

In the isotropic limit this reduces to $\rho_2$ discussed in section 3.4. The corresponding electrostatic potential is
which is the same as would be produced by a fictitious point charge— the image charge—of value 
\[ \pi \bar{\rho} = -Q(\epsilon - 1)/(\epsilon + 1) \] located at \( z = d \).

In figure 2 is displayed the equipotentials of \( \phi(x) \), i.e. the potential produced by the polarisation charges only, for a variety of situations specified by \( L/d \) and \( \gamma \). A black dot indicates the position of the point probe charge \( Q \). We calculate the contour lines by \( \phi(x) = (1/4\pi^2) \int d^2k e^{ikr} \phi_k(z) \). Considering that \( \phi_k(z) \) for a point charge actually depends only on the magnitude of \( k \) not its direction, we can rewrite this expression as \( \phi(x) = (1/2\pi) \int_0^\infty dk k J_0(kr) \phi_k(z) \), where \( J_0(kr) \) is the zeroth order Bessel function of the first kind. In the numerical calculation, we have replaced the upper bound of the integral by a cut-off \( k_c = 10/d \). Physically, this means the probe charge is smeared over an area \( \sim 1/k_c^2 \) rather than being ideally point-like, i.e. 
\[ \rho_{\text{ext}}(x) = \frac{Q\delta(z)}{4\pi} \int_0^{k_c} dk k J_0(kr) \]. Only in the limit \( k_c \to \infty \) does this expression represent an ideal point charge. We observe several features worth attention. Firstly, for \( \gamma \gg 1 \), as seen in panels (c), (f) and (i), the polarisation charges are mostly concentrated near the

Figure 2. Contour lines of the electrostatic potential generated by the polarisation charges induced by a probe charge (indicated by a black dot) lying at \( z = -d \) outside the film (shaded region) of thickness \( L \). (a)–(c): \( d = L \). (d)–(f): \( d = 10L \). In these panels, the probe charge is not indicated as it lies outside the frames. (g)–(i): \( d = 0.1L \). In these plots, \( \epsilon = \sqrt{\epsilon_1/\epsilon_2} = 10 \). \( \gamma = \sqrt{\epsilon_2/\epsilon_1} \) denotes the anisotropy parameter. The probe charge is not ideally point-like in these plots. Rather, it has a spread \( \sim 1/k_c \) within the \( x-y \) plane.

\[ \phi(z) = \frac{2\pi}{k} e^{ikz} \int_0^\infty dQ \frac{k\rho(Q)}{k^2 + Q^2} = \frac{2\pi}{k} \pi \bar{\rho} e^{ikz}, \quad \text{for } z < 0, \] (56)
surfaces, regardless of $L/d$. For larger $L/d$, these charges appear on both surfaces, while for smaller $L/d$, they are more concentrated on the surface closer to the probe charge. Secondly, for $\gamma < 1$, a significant amount of volume charges appear though only if $L/d < 1$, as seen in panels (a), (d) and (g). Thirdly, in the isotropic case, $\gamma = 1$, polarisation charges are concentrated on the surface closer to the probe charge regardless of $L/d$.

### 4.2. A point charge inside the film

Now let us suppose that the point probe charge is located at $z = d \in (0, L)$ inside the film, for which $\rho_{\text{ext}, n} = (Q/L_n)\cos(q_n d)$ and

$$\phi_{\text{ext}}'(0) = 2\pi Q e^{-kd}, \quad \phi_{\text{ext}}'(L) = -2\pi Q e^{-k(L-d)}, \quad (57)$$

as well as

$$\phi_{\text{ext}, n} = \frac{2\pi Q}{L_n k^2 + q_n^2} (2\cos(q_n d) - e^{-kd} - (-1)^n e^{-k(L-d)}). \quad (58)$$

It follows that

$$S_1^+ = \frac{Q(2 - \delta_{1,0})}{2L} (2\cos(q_2 d) - e^{-kd} - e^{-k(L-d)})[(\epsilon_\parallel - 1)k^2 + (\epsilon_\perp - 1)q_2^2]. \quad (59)$$

and

$$S_1^- = \frac{Q}{L} (2\cos(q_{2n+1} d) - e^{-kd} + e^{-k(L-d)})[(\epsilon_\parallel - 1)k^2 + (\epsilon_\perp - 1)q_2^{2n+1}]. \quad (60)$$

These sources are then used to obtain $\rho_{\text{f}}^\pm$ via equations (26)–(31). In contrast with the case of the probe charge being outside, here $\rho_{\text{f}}^\pm$ are not simply proportional to $S_1^\pm$ due to the cosine terms in the latter.

Again we consider the SIM limit to obtain some analytical results. Now

$$\rho_{\text{ext}}(Q) = \frac{2Q}{\pi} \cos(Qd), \quad \phi_{\text{ext}}(Q) = \frac{4Q}{k^2 + Q^2} (2\cos(Qd) - e^{-kd}). \quad (61)$$

With this we obtain

$$S(Q) = -\frac{Q}{\pi} (2\cos(Qd) - e^{-kd})[(\epsilon_\parallel - 1)k^2 + (\epsilon_\perp - 1)Q^2]. \quad (62)$$

This expression is very similar to the source in the case of the probe charge outside film, equation (54), but with the crucial difference of its $Q$ dependence via the factor $\cos(Qd)$. Now we find

$$\rho(Q) = \left(\bar{\rho} - \frac{Q}{\pi} (2\cos(Qd) - e^{-kd})\right)\frac{[(\epsilon_\parallel - 1)k^2 + (\epsilon_\perp - 1)Q^2]}{\epsilon_\parallel k^2 + \epsilon_\perp Q^2} \quad (63)$$

together with

$$\bar{\rho} = -\frac{Q}{\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon + 1}[(\epsilon + 1)e^{-kd} - 2e^{-kd/\gamma}]. \quad (64)$$

The electrostatic potential generated by $\rho(Q)$ can again be written as

$$\phi(z) = \frac{2\pi}{k} \bar{\rho} e^{kz}, \quad \text{for } z < 0, \quad (65)$$

which is of the same form as equation (56). This potential could be produced by two fictitious point charges located beneath the surface. As $\pi \bar{\rho}$ can be rewritten as
\[ \pi \rho = -e^{-kd}Q + e^{-kd/\gamma}2Q/(1 + \epsilon), \]

one may say that the first fictitious charge is located at \( z = d \) of strength \( -Q \) while the other at \( z = d/\gamma \) of strength \( 2Q/(\epsilon + 1) \). It is interesting to note that, outside the film the first charge exactly cancels the potential generated by the probe charge.

In figure 3 we illustrate the electrostatic potential \( \phi(x) \) generated by the polarisation charges. As in figure 2, the probe charge, located at \( z = d \) inside the film and indicated by a black dot, is not ideally point-like but smeared over an area of \( \sim 1/k_s = 0.1d \) within the \( x-y \) plane.

**5. Plasma waves in graphene adjacent to a LHA film**

Another interesting application discussed here concerns plasma waves in graphene—an atomically thin sheet of carbon atoms sitting on a honeycomb lattice. Plasma waves, i.e. propagating electron density ripples sustained by Coulomb forces, in this flatland has caused a big stir in the field of plasmonics [21]. As a result of low dimensionality, the properties of these waves are highly liable to its dielectric environment.
Let us consider the simplest case of a plane charge density wave $C(r, t) = Ce^{i(kr - \omega t)}$ travelling within a graphene layer placed at $z = -d$, a distance of $d$ over a LHA dielectric film. Here $C(r, t)$ denotes the charge density at moment $t$ and point $r$ in the layer, while $k$ and $\omega$ are the wave vector and frequency of the wave, respectively. Obviously, $C(r, t)$ plays the role of $\rho_{ext}$ in the formalism developed in preceding sections. The dispersion relation $\omega(k)$ is governed by an equation that can be shown of the following form in the electrostatic limit if the electronic collisions in the graphene layer can be neglected [22]:

$$\omega C + ik^2 \sigma \Phi_x = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (66)

where $\sigma$—which might depend on $(k, \omega)$—is the bare electrical conductivity of the graphene layer and $\Phi_x$ is the electrostatic potential at this layer.

Now $\Phi_x$ contains two contributions, one from the charges of density $\rho_{ext}(z) = C\delta(z + d)$ carried by the plasma waves and the other from the polarisation charges of density $\rho(z)$ induced by $\rho_{ext}(z)$ in the dielectric underneath. The former contribution is simply $2\pi C/k$. The latter contribution is calculated using the formalism developed in preceding sections and can be written as $-\left[(2\pi C/k)e^{-2kd}\xi\right]$, where

$$\xi = \frac{1 - e^{-kd}}{2} \Lambda_+ + \frac{1 + e^{-kd}}{2} \Lambda_-$$  \hspace{1cm} (67)

does not depend on $d$. Here $\Lambda_\pm$ are given in equations (51) and (52). Combined, they yield

$$\Phi_x = \frac{2\pi C}{k} \frac{1}{\epsilon_g}, \hspace{1cm} \epsilon_g = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2kd}\xi}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (68)

We may interpret $\epsilon_g$ as the effective dielectric function felt by the charges in the graphene layer. This is plugged in equation (66) to produce

$$\omega + 2\pi k \tilde{\sigma} = 0, \hspace{1cm} \tilde{\sigma} = \sigma/\epsilon_g,$$  \hspace{1cm} (69)

which determines the dispersion of the plasma waves. The frequency is reduced in the long wavelength limit $(kd \sim 0)$ but not much altered in the short wavelength limit $(kd \gg 1)$.

In the limit $kL \gg 1$, one finds $\xi = (\epsilon - 1)/(\epsilon + 1)$, implying that a semi-infinite LHA medium effects as a LHI medium with dielectric constant $\epsilon$, as expected from equation (55).

In the limit $kL \ll 1$, as shown in section 3.3, volume charges can be neglected in the dielectric. From the results established in section 3.3, it follows that $\epsilon_g = 1 + 8\pi/\beta kL/(1 - \beta^2)$. This expression shows that the correction due to a thin dielectric is typically very small unless $\beta$ is close to unity, in which case the effective conductivity $\tilde{\sigma}$ might be made to change sign and no plasma waves would then exist (i.e. $\omega$ would become imaginary). The wave frequency would be widely tunable in such case by varying $d$.

For simplicity, let us use a Drude model for graphene conductivity and write $\sigma = iF/\omega$, where $F$ is a real-valued parameter that can be tuned by doping [22]. Then, one finds $\omega = \omega_0 \sqrt{kL(\sigma/\sigma)}$ with $\omega_0 = \sqrt{2F/d}$. We wish to elucidate the effects of anisotropy on $\omega$ as a function of $k$. To this end, we calculate the ratio $R(k) = (\omega^2 - \omega_0^2)/\omega_0^2$, where $\omega_0(k)$ denotes the frequency had the dielectric been an LHI (also of thickness $L$) with the dielectric constant $\epsilon = \sqrt{\epsilon_g \xi}$.

The results are displayed in figure 4(a) for two values of $L/d = 0.1, 10$, where we see that $R$ reaches a peak $R^*$ at $k = k^*$. The inset in panel (a) shows that, while $R^*$ increases with increasing $\gamma$, $k^*$ only weakly depends on $\gamma$. On the other hand, as shown in

---

1 Equation (66) can be rewritten as $C = \chi_{rr} \Phi_x$, where $\chi_{rr} = -ik^2 \sigma/\omega$ is the bare density-density response function of graphene. Further, since $\Phi_x \propto C$, one may write $\Phi_x = CV_g$ so that the equation becomes $1 - \chi_{rr} V_g = 0$, which is the more often adopted version of equation (69). Obviously, $V_g = (2\pi/k)/\epsilon_g$. 

---
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figure 4(b), both $R^*$ and $k^*$ strongly depend on $L$. The former increases while the latter decreases as $L$ increases. Further, as shown in the inset of (b), we note that $R^* \approx \alpha k^*L$, where $\alpha$ is a function of $\gamma$.

The above results may be used in the measurement of the anisotropy parameter $\gamma$ of a LHA. One may first measure out the dispersion $\omega(k)$ covering both the regimes of $kL \gg 1$ and $kL \ll 1$. Then $\epsilon$ can be inferred from this measured $\omega(k)$ in the limit $kL \gg 1$. With $\epsilon$, $\omega_0$ can then be computed, which can be used to obtain $R$. This method can be very accurate, because $R^*$ can be very large depending on $L$. For the example shown in figure 4(b), $R^*$ reaches 20% for $L \sim 5d$ and can be increased further with thicker films.

6. Conclusions

To summarise, we have presented a formalism for calculating the electrostatic responses, i.e. retardation effects excluded, of a LHA film with a diagonal but anisotropic susceptibility tensor. Generalisation to an arbitrary tensor is straightforward but not included in this work. The most interesting aspect of our derivation is that, a generic macroscopic physical description of surfaces is prescribed, which does away with the boundary conditions that are essential in traditional textbook approaches. Our formalism makes it clear that the responses consist of two contributions, one stemming from the very presence of surfaces while the other existing even in an infinite medium. We have illustrated the formalism with three examples. In one of these we discuss the plasma waves in graphene under the influence of a LHA film. It is shown that the frequency of the waves is strongly affected at wavelength comparable to the film thickness.

While we explicitly speak of a dielectric, our formalism can be equally applied to calculate the electrical responses (e.g. current flow \cite{23}) in an anisotropic conductor by the duality relation that exists between conductivity tensor and susceptibility tensor.
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