Differential Expression of Melanopsin Isoforms Opn4L and Opn4S during Postnatal Development of the Mouse Retina
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Abstract

Photosensitive retinal ganglion cells (pRGCs) respond to light from birth and represent the earliest known light detection system to develop in the mouse retina. A number of morphologically and functionally distinct subtypes of pRGCs have been described in the adult retina, and have been linked to different physiological roles. We have previously identified two distinct isoforms of mouse melanopsin, Opn4L and Opn4S, which are generated by alternate splicing of the Opn4 locus. These isoforms are differentially expressed in pRGC subtypes of the adult mouse retina, with both Opn4L and Opn4S detected in M1 type pRGCs, and only Opn4L detected in M2 type pRGCs. Here we investigate the developmental expression of Opn4L and Opn4S and show a differential profile of expression during postnatal development. Opn4S mRNA is detected at relatively constant levels throughout postnatal development, with levels of Opn4S protein showing a marked increase between P0 and P3, and then increasing progressively over time until adult levels are reached by P10. By contrast, levels of Opn4L mRNA and protein are low at birth and show a marked increase at P14 and P30 compared to earlier time points. We suggest that these differing profiles of expression are associated with the functional maturation of M1 and M2 subtypes of pRGCs. Based upon our data, Opn4S expressing M1 type pRGCs mature first and are the dominant pRGC subtype in the neonate retina, whereas increased expression of Opn4L and the maturation of M2 type pRGCs occurs later, between P10 and P14, at a similar time to the maturation of rod and cone photoreceptors. We suggest that the distinct functions associated with these cell types will develop at different times during postnatal development.

Introduction

Melanopsin expressing retinal ganglion cells are photosensitive (pRGCs) and represent a third class of ocular photoreceptor involved in the regulation of irradiance detection and non-image forming responses to light, including pupil constriction, circadian entrainment and the regulation of sleep [1,2]. In mice, pRGCs are photosensitive from birth and are the earliest light detection system to develop in the mammalian retina [3,4,5]. However, it is now clear that multiple subtypes of pRGCs exist in the adult mammalian retina [6]. These pRGC subtypes are characterised based primarily on levels of melanopsin expression and the stratification of their dendrites within specific sub laminae of the inner plexiform layer (IPL). M1 type pRGCs express higher levels of melanopsin and have dendrites located in the OFF layer of the IPL, whereas M2 type pRGCs have lower levels of melanopsin expression and dendrites that stratify in the ON sub lamina of the IPL [7,8,9,10,11,12]. A third type of pRGC, termed M3 type pRGCs, has also been described with dendrites in both the OFF and ON layers of the IPL [7,10,12,13], but these cells are rare and may represent an anomalous class of pRGC [10,13]. Most recently two further pRGC subtypes have been identified; M4 and M5 type pRGCs, that are broadly similar in morphology to M2 type pRGCs with dendrites stratifying in the ON layer of the IPL. However, levels of melanopsin expression are low in these cells and they are not easily identified using a highly sensitive melanopsin antibody [8,10].

In addition to their distinctive morphology and retinal connections there is growing evidence that functional differences exist between the pRGC subtypes, including membrane properties and resting membrane potentials, as well as levels of photosensitivity and the kinetics of photoreponses [8,14,15]. Most notable is the observation that the pRGC subtypes innervate specific retinorecipient brain areas [11,16,17] and would seem to mediate different physiological responses to light [18]. Collectively these findings show that the pRGC subtypes are morphologically, anatomically and functionally distinct cell types, although their specific physiological functions remains to be fully determined. As the different retinal cell layers are not fully formed at birth and stratification of ganglion cell dendrites occurs postnatally [19], it is difficult to classify pRGCs that appear early in development as either M1 or M2 type pRGCs based upon morphology and...
localisation of dendrites alone. As such, little is known concerning the development of these functionally different cell types.

We have shown previously that two distinct isoforms of mouse melanopsin, Opn4L and Opn4S, are generated by alternative splicing of the murine Opn4 gene [20]. These two isoforms of melanopsin differ only in their C-terminal regions and are differentially expressed in M1 and M2 type pRGCs in the adult mouse retina. M1 cells express both Opn4L and Opn4S whereas only Opn4L can be detected in M2 type cells. To date, the developmental expression of Opn4L and Opn4S has not been investigated, and as such it is not clear whether this differential pattern of expression is present in pRGCs from birth or occurs postnatally as specific pRGC subtypes develop. In this study we use qPCR and immunohistochemistry to investigate the expression of Opn4S and Opn4L isoforms during postnatal development of the mouse retina. Our results show a different profile of expression for Opn4L and Opn4S during development, and we propose that these differences are related to the differential maturation of M1 and M2 subtypes of pRGCs.

Methods

Ethics Statement

All procedures were conducted in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 (PL70/6832 and 30/2012) and the University of Oxford Policy on the Use of Animals in Scientific Research and approved by the Home Office (UK) Animals Scientific Procedures Department (ASPD). All procedures were performed in a designated establishment. Animals were sacrificed by Schedule 1 methods. Retinal tissue from neonates (<P10) was collected following a non-schedule 1 method (decapitation), under authority of the relevant project licence.

Animals

Wild type mice (C3H/He; not carrying ts mutation) [21] were housed under a 12:12 LD cycle with food and water ad libitum. For analysis of developmental time points, animals (P0, P3, P5, P10, P14 and P30, n = 6 per time point) were sacrificed at ZT8 and eyes were removed and processed for immunohistochemistry or retina isolated and stored at −80°C for PCR analysis. For analysis of daily rhythms in melanopsin mRNA expression, C3H/He mice (P30-90) were sacrificed at ZT3, ZT8, ZT13, ZT18 and ZT23, n = 4 per time point. ZT0 = lights on, ZT12 = lights off.

qPCR

Tissue was homogenised in TRIzol Reagent (Life Technologies) and total RNA isolated using RNeasy spin columns (Qiagen) and DNase treatment (Qiagen). RNA content was quantified using a nanodrop spectrophotometer (Thermo), and for each sample 0.5 µg of total RNA was reverse transcribed using SuperScript III with oligo(dT)20 primers (Life Technologies). Quantitative PCR was performed using Sybr Green I mastermix on a StepOne thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems) as described previously [20]. Relative quantification of transcript levels was performed with a comparative CT approach with levels of target gene expression normalised to the geometric mean expression of three housekeeping genes, Gapdh, Psmb2 and Arbp [22]. Primer sequences are shown in Table 1.

Antibodies: Polyclonal antibodies recognising the different C-terminal regions of murine Opn4L (raised in rabbit) and Opn4S (raised in goat) were raised against 15 amino acid synthetic peptides conjugated to KLH by Harlan UK, according to their standard procedures (Opn4S: SPQTKGHLPSLDLGME; Opn4L: PPHHTSQFPLAFLED) [20]. Both antibodies were affinity purified prior to use using standard techniques (Thiolink gel kit, Severn Biotech Ltd). A rabbit polyclonal antibody recognising the N-terminus of murine Opn4 common to both Opn4L and Opn4S was obtained commercially (UF006; Advanced Targeting Systems) [23].

Validation of antibodies

All three melanopsin antibodies used in this study have been characterised previously, and label a subset of cells in the ganglion cell layer of the retina consistent with pRGCs in wild-type but not Opn4−/− mice [8,10,20,23]. The Opn4L and Opn4S antibodies recognise only their respective isoforms [20], and the UF006 antibody recognises both Opn4L and Opn4S (Figure S1).

Immunohistochemistry

Whole eyes (n = 4 animals for each time point) were removed, punctured with a fine gauge needle and placed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) (Thermo Scientific) in PBS at 4°C for 16 h. Eyes were then cryoprotected in 30% sucrose in PBS at 4°C for 48 h, embedded in OCT medium (Sakura Finetek) and stored at −80°C. 18 µm tissue sections were cut at −25°C using a Leica CM1850 cryostat (Leica Microsystems) and collected on poly-L-lysine coated slides (Thermo Scientific). For immunohistochemistry, sections were permeabilised in PBS with 0.2% Triton-X for 20 mins at RT then blocked in PBS with 10% donkey serum for 1 h at RT. N-terminal UF006 (1:100) and Opn4L (1:50) primary antibodies were incubated for 24–72 h at 4°C. Donkey anti-rabbit Alexa555 and donkey anti-goat Alexa488 secondary antibodies (Life Technologies) were incubated for 2 h at RT diluted 1:200. All antibodies were diluted in PBS with 2.5% donkey serum and 0.2% Triton-X. All wash steps were performed using PBS 0.05% Tween-20. Sections were mounted with Prolong Gold anti-fade media containing DAPI (Life Technologies). Fluorescence images were acquired using an Olympus IX71 inverted microscope fitted with a high sensitivity CCD camera (Cascade 512B, Photometrics) and Metamorph image acquisition software (Molecular Devices). Excitation filters for DAPI, green and red fluorescence were, 350 nm, 480 nm and 545 nm respectively. Emission filters were 460 nm, 525 nm and 600 nm.

Table 1. Primer Sequences.

| Gene   | Forward Primer | Reverse Primer |
|--------|----------------|----------------|
| Opn4L  | CTACACGGTCTGCTAGAAGCAC | CTACATCGGAGATCCAGGT |
| Opn4S  | CTACACGGTCTGCTAGAAGCAC | CTACATCGGAGATCCAGGT |
| Rho    | GGAGATGTCATCGGGCTTGC | GGAGATGTCATCGGGCTTGC |
| MWS    | TGTCTGAGGGGTAGGCTGAGG | TGTCTGAGGGGTAGGCTGAGG |
| TH     | GGTGCACCACACTCTCACGCTT | GGTGCACCACACTCTCACGCTT |
| Per-2  | ATCAGGCAAGGGGGAAAATAG | ATCAGGCAAGGGGGAAAATAG |
| c-fos  | GACACTAGAGATGAGGTATG | GACACTAGAGATGAGGTATG |
| GAPDH  | TGACACCAACATGCTCAGCTT | TGACACCAACATGCTCAGCTT |
| Arbp   | GACCTGGAATCTCAACTAC | GACCTGGAATCTCAACTAC |
| Psmb2  | AATGCGGAAATGAGTAAGAT | AATGCGGAAATGAGTAAGAT |
| Gapdh  | CGTTAATGCGGAAATGAGTAAGAT | CGTTAATGCGGAAATGAGTAAGAT |
| Psmb2  | AATGCGGAAATGAGTAAGAT | AATGCGGAAATGAGTAAGAT |

All data are shown ± standard error of mean and statistical analysis was performed using single factor ANOVA and two-tailed unpaired Student’s t-Test.
Results

Expression of Opn4L and Opn4S mRNA during postnatal development

To investigate the developmental expression profiles of Opn4L and Opn4S we performed quantitative PCR using whole retina samples isolated at P0, P5, P14 and P30 (n = 6 per time point, all tissue collected at ZT0). PCR analysis showed a different expression profile for Opn4L and Opn4S transcripts during postnatal retinal development. Following normalisation to the expression of three housekeeping genes (Gapdh, Arbp, Pmsb2) levels of Opn4S remained relatively consistent across the developmental time points investigated, with a modest reduction in levels observed over time (ANOVA not significant, F(3,19) = 1.7, P = 0.20) (Figure 1A). In contrast normalised levels of Opn4L expression were low at P0 and P5 but showed a significant increase in expression (~2.5 fold) at P14 and P30 (F(3, 19) = 10.0, P = 0.004, post hoc t-test, p = 0.006 and p = 4.1E-6 respectively) (Figure 1B). A direct comparison between Opn4S and Opn4L expression levels again showed a different profile of expression during postnatal development with a significant change in the ratio of Opn4S and Opn4L observed at P14 and P30 compared to earlier time points (Figure 1C) (F(3, 20) = 14.1, P = 0.001, p = 0.002 and p = 0.002). Consistent with the known timeline for the maturation of functional rod and cone photoreceptors [24], normalised levels of rhodopsin mRNA (F(3,19) = 14.4, P = 0.0001, p = 0.002 and p = 0.001) and middle wave sensitive (MWS) cone opsin mRNA (F(3,19) = 33.5, P = 0.0001, p = 3.2E-7 and p = 0.0002) both showed a marked increase at P14 and P30 compared to P5 (Figure 1D and E). In addition levels of tyrosine hydroxylase mRNA (a marker of dopaminergic cells) also showed a significant increase at P14 and P30 time points (F(3,17) = 11.4, P = 0.0002, p = 0.007 and p = 0.0005) (Figure 1F). Neither Opn4L, Opn4S nor TH showed a significant increase between P0 and P5, but significant increases were detected for rhodopsin (p = 0.001) and MWS cone opsin (p = 0.02).

Expression and localisation of Opn4L and Opn4S protein during postnatal development

Because of the significant changes in Opn4L mRNA observed between P5 and P14 additional time points were included for protein analysis. Immunostaining of retinal sections from P0, P3, P5, P10, P14 and P30 retina (n = 3 retina per time point) with Opn4S and Opn4L isoform-specific antibodies again showed a differential pattern of expression for Opn4S and Opn4L during postnatal retinal development. Opn4S was detected at all time points investigated, with levels of Opn4S staining increasing steadily over time from P0–P10. By contrast Opn4L could not be detected at P0 and was detected only weakly in the early postnatal retina with a clear up-regulation of expression observed between P0 and P14 (Figure 2 and Figure 3). Based on our observations this differing pattern of expression would seem to correlate with the maturation of M1 and M2 type pRGCs. A detailed description of our observations is given below and is summarised in Figure 4. Opn4S expression was detected at P0, although levels of staining were low, with only a small number Opn4S expressing cells detected (Figure 4). Despite repeated efforts, Opn4L could not be detected at P0 (Figure 2A and B).

---

Figure 1. Expression of Opn4L and Opn4S mRNA during postnatal development. qPCR analysis showing the differential expression of Opn4S (A) and Opn4L (B) mRNA during postnatal development of the retina, highlighted by the changing ratio of Opn4L/Opn4S mRNA (C). Developmental profiles for rhodopsin (D), middle wave sensitive (MWS) cone opsin (E) and tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) are shown to indicate the timeline for the development of the classical visual system. All expression data is shown normalised to the expression of three housekeeping genes (Gapdh, Pmsb2, Arbp). Statistical results are shown for comparisons to data at P5. * = p<0.01, ** = p<0.001, *** = p<0.0001. For Opn4L, Opn4S and TH no significant differences were observed between P0 and P5, but significant increases were detected for rhodopsin (p = 0.001) and MWS (p = 0.02) when comparing these time points.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034531.g001
Figure 3A. By P3 the intensity of Opn4S labelling is increased in individual pRGCs and allows the detection of a significantly increased number of Opn4S positive cells ($F(5, 151) = 10.6, P<0.0001$, post hoc t-test, $p=1.2E-8$). Extensive networks of dendritic processes are now visible, and are typically observed in all sublamina of the developing IPL (Figure 2B and Figure 3B). Low
levels of Opn4L labelling were also observed at P3, although in all cases expression of Opn4L was detected only in cells in which Opn4S was also detected (Figure 2B and Figure 3B). Even at this early time point a number of cells (38.2%, 66 of 173 melanopsin positive cells) could be provisionally identified as early M1 type cells with major process terminating almost exclusively in the developing OFF layer of the IPL (Figure 2B and Figure 3B). By P5, levels of both Opn4S and Opn4L staining were moderately increased compared to P3, with a small further increase in the number of cells detected (p = 0.03). However again all melanopsin cells detected
expressed both Opn4S and Opn4L with levels of Opn4S labelling remaining markedly higher than that of Opn4L. In general, the level of cellular process appear somewhat refined by P5 compared to P3, and although a notable proportion of pRGCs identified at P5 (Opn4S and Opn4L positive) remained multi-stratified (14.2%, 27 of 190 cells) or were otherwise undetectable as either M1 or M2 type cells, a large proportion of cells had morphologies clearly resembling early M1 type cells (51.1%, 97 of 190 cells) (Figure 2C and Figure 3C). Opn4S negative Opn4L positive cells, or cells otherwise resembling M2 type cells were not identified at P3 using these antibodies. By P10, levels of Opn4S and Opn4L labelling were again increased in individual cells. Cells with morphologies typical of mature M1 type cells were clearly identifiable. These cells represented the vast majority of cells detected at this time point (59.5%, 197 of 331 cells) and in all cases were positive for both Opn4S and Opn4L (Figure 2D and Figure 3D). A small percentage of multi-stratified or bistratified cells, expressing both Opn4S and Opn4L, were still detected at P10 although these were rarer than at P5 (7.9% and 14.2% respectively). In addition, at P10 cells expressing only Opn4L and resembling M2 type cells could also be identified. However, these cells were weakly labelled (barely detected above background) and rarely detected, representing 10.9% (36 of 331 cells) of all melanopsin positive cells identified at this time point (Figure 3D). At P14, cells with morphologies similar to both mature M1 and M2 type cells were clearly evident. Expression of both Opn4S and Opn4L was again detected in all cells resembling M1 type cells, with levels of melanopsin staining not noticeably increased above that seen at P10 (Figure 2E and Figure 3E). However, in contrast to P10, at P14 cells with morphologies resembling M2 type pRGCs were strongly labelled and easily identifiable (Figure 2E and Figure 3E). These cells express Opn4L alone, and represented 48.8% (258 of 529 cells) of all melanopsin positive cells detected, with the total number of Opn4L only cells detected now significantly increased compared to P10 ($F_{(5, 151)} = 59.2, P<0.0001$, post hoc t-test, $p = 1.2E-15$). The dendritic processes of these Opn4L positive Opn4S negative M2 type cells were particularly pronounced at this time point, with a wide, densely packed band of processes evident in the ON layer of the IPL (Figure 2E and Figure 3E). This marked increase in Opn4L staining, and the increase in the number of detectable M2 cells coincides with the marked increase in expression of Opn4L mRNA that occurs between P5 and P14 (Figure 1B). At P30, cells with morphologies resembling mature M1 and M2 type cells were again detected, with M1 type cells (40.2%, 178 of 442 cells) expressing...
both Opn4S and Opn4L and M2 type cells (39.1%, 173 of 442 cells) expressing only Opn4L. (Figure 2F and Figure 3F). For both M1 and M2 type cells, levels of melanopsin expression within individual pRGCs was broadly similar at P30 compared to that observed at P14. However, the density and branching complexity of dendrites observed for Opn4L expressing M2 cells appear markedly reduced at P30 compared to P14, with processes typically now stratifying in a narrow band of the ON layer of the IPL (Figure 2F and Figure 3F). The number of pRGCs that remained bistratified in P14 and P30 tissue was low (2.5%) of all pRGCs). These bistratified cells, resembling M3 type pRGCs, were typically found to express both Opn4S and Opn4L. In addition to the classical M1 and M2 type cells we also observed a significant proportion of displaced melanopsin positive ganglion cells with their cell bodies located in the inner nuclear layer. The number of displaced pRGCs was relatively constant from P5 (8.9%) to P30 (7.5%) (Figure 4). Without exception all displaced pRGCs detected with these antibodies were positive for both Opn4S and Opn4L, and had dendrites restricted to the OFF layer of the IPL, consistent with these cells being displaced M1 type pRGCs (Figure 3C, 3E and 3F).

Overall, the results of our ICC studies using the Opn4L and Opn4S specific antibodies show a different profile of Opn4L and Opn4S expression over time during development, and based on our observations this differing pattern of expression would seem to correlate with the maturation of M1 and M2 type pRGCs (where maturation is defined as cells reaching adult levels of melanopsin expression). Opn4S expression and cells resembling M1 type pRGCs are observed early in postnatal development, whereas in contrast the increase in Opn4L expression detected between P10 and P14 coincides with a marked increase in the detection of cells resembling M2 type pRGCs. Based on our observations, M2 cells cannot be detected until P10, and not reliably until P14 using the Opn4L antibody. One possible explanation is that M2 type cells are not present in the retina prior to this time and emerge later in development. Another, more likely explanation is that these cells are present prior to this time, but the lower levels of melanopsin expressed prevents detection by the Opn4L antibody. To address this suggestion, localisation of melanopsin protein in the developing retina was also performed using a highly sensitive melanopsin antibody raised against the N-terminal region of mouse melanopsin common to both Opn4L and Opn4S isoforms (UF006 antibody). Labelling with the UF006 antibody was notably more sensitive and intense compared to that observed for Opn4L and Opn4S antibodies and allowed for more accurate visualisation of cellular processes and improved detection of melanopsin positive cells. This was particularly evident in P0–P5 retina samples in which increased numbers of melanopsin expressing cells were detected compared to that seen with the isoform specific antibodies (Figure S2 and Figure S3). Estimates of the percentage of melanopsin expressing cells detected using the isoform specific antibodies at each time point is shown in Figure 4C. In agreement with the results obtained with the Opn4S and Opn4L antibodies, staining of retina sections and whole retina flatmounts with the UF006 antibody again suggests a different timeline for the maturation of M1 and M2 type pRGCs (Figure S2 and Figure S3). Due to the increased sensitivity of the UF006 antibody, Opn4S negative cells resembling early M2 type cells could be identified as early as P3, although levels of staining were typically low (Figure 5A). At this time point M2 cells have dense dendritic fields that occupy a much broader area of the ON layer of the IPL than that observed at P30 (Figure 5B). In comparison, the morphology ad stratification of M1 cells at P3 are much more similar to that observed in adult tissue (Fig. 5A and 5B). Due to the weaker Opn4S (and Opn4L) antibody staining, and the low number of Opn4S positive cells that can be detected in the P0 retina, the vast majority of cells detected at P0 were positive only for UF006 (and not Opn4S or Opn4L). It was therefore not possible to accurately determine the isoforms of melanopsin expressed in the majority of pRGCs at P0.

**Daily rhythm in Opn4L and Opn4S mRNA expression**

To investigate the potential effect of daily rhythms in melanopsin expression we examined the profile of both Opn4L and
and Opn4S mRNA expression at different times throughout the day in adult mice (>P30) housed under 12:12 light dark cycles. Results of qPCR analysis revealed no significant difference in levels of either Opn4L mRNA ($F_{(4,20)} = 2.13, P = 0.13$) or Opn4S mRNA ($F_{(4,20)} = 1.31, P = 0.31$) at any time point investigated (Figure 6). Although not significant, the results of our qPCR analysis do suggest a subtle trend for both Opn4L and Opn4S. The rhythms of expression of both Opn4S and Opn4L, if present, would appear to be synchronised, with no significant change in the ratio of Opn4S/Opn4L detected at any time point investigated ($F_{(4,20)} = 0.13, P = 0.97$). Rhythmic patterns of expression were detected for both Por2 mRNA ($F_{(4,20)} = 2.60, P = 0.062, p = 0.0506$) and c-fos mRNA ($F_{(4,20)} = 5.86, P = 0.006, p = 0.007$) in these samples, consistent with previous studies [25,26]. However despite the obvious trend for Por2 mRNA expression we failed to observe any significant differences for this data based on ANOVA analysis, although post-hoc t-Test analysis does suggest a significant difference between ZT13 and ZT18 ($p = 0.0506$).

**Discussion**

The broad aims of this study were to determine the expression of the recently identified Opn4 isoforms, Opn4L and Opn4S, during postnatal retinal development. The results described here indicate that Opn4L and Opn4S are differentially expressed during the development of the mouse retina, and that the differential expression of these melanopsin isoforms may be linked to the functional maturation of M1 and M2 type pRGCs.

**Opn4L and Opn4S are differentially expressed throughout development**

Levels of Opn4S mRNA expression were relatively constant throughout development. By contrast levels of Opn4L mRNA were low at birth and showed a significant (~2.5 fold) up-regulation at P14 and P30 compared to earlier time points. In all likelihood the absolute levels of both Opn4L and Opn4S transcripts (i.e. total number of copies) are increased over time, yet this increase is masked by the process of normalising target gene expression to the levels of housekeeping gene expression, where the increasing number of cells in the early postnatal retina [27] will result in a dilution of melanopsin mRNA as a percentage of the total mRNA pool. However, it is clear that the changes in expression of Opn4L are more marked than those of Opn4S, an observation confirmed by the changing ratio of Opn4S/Opn4L detected at P14 and P30 compared to earlier time points. Given the similarities in the amplification efficiencies for the Opn4L and Opn4S primers used in this study [20] it would seem that despite the increase in Opn4L expression at P14, Opn4S remains the dominant transcript expressed in the retina throughout the stages of postnatal development. Analysis of Opn4S and Opn4L protein expression confirmed the results of our PCR studies, and again demonstrated a differential expression of these isoforms during postnatal development. Opn4S protein was detected at all time points with a sharp rise detected between P0 and P3, followed by a more gradual increase over time until P10 where adult levels were observed. By contrast, levels of Opn4L were low in the early retina and showed a large up-regulation between P10 and P14.

**Differential expression of Opn4L and Opn4S in development correlates with the developmental maturation of M1 and M2 type pRGCs**

Consistent with our previous findings [20], we report a differential pattern of expression for Opn4L and Opn4S within subtypes of pRGCs in the adult retina. At P14 and P30, where pRGC subtypes can be easily classified based on stratification of their dendrites in the ON and OFF layers of the IPL, cells identified as M1 type pRGCs were found to express both Opn4S and Opn4L whereas only Opn4L could be detected in M2 type pRGCs. Our current results now demonstrate a differential pattern of expression for both Opn4S and Opn4L during postnatal retinal development and suggest that this difference in profile of expression may be linked to the differential maturation of M1 and M2 type pRGCs. Based on our observations, M1 cells would seem to mature first, with Opn4S detected at P0, and Opn4S and Opn4L expressing cells with morphologies resembling M1 cells provisionally identified as early as P3, and more clearly by P5, with mature M1 type morphology clearly evident by P10. By contrast, Opn4L positive M2 type cells with processes confined to the ON layer of the IPL could not be detected at P0, P3 or P5 using the Opn4L antibody, and were only rarely detected at P10. However, by P14 Opn4L positive M2 cells are strongly labelled and represent around 50% of all melanopsin positive cells identified. Overall, the increase in Opn4L mRNA expression observed at P14 coincides with a large increase in the overall levels of Opn4L protein expression and an increase in the number of M2 type pRGCs that can be detected. We suggest that the increase in photopigment expression that occurs in M2 type pRGCs may correlate with a functional maturation of these cells, most likely resulting in an increase in endogenous photosensitivity and function. This is in contrast to M1 type cells that have detectable levels of melanopsin expression from birth, show a rapid increase in levels of expression between P0 and P3, and reach adult levels of melanopsin expression earlier, between P5 and P10. Based on these data, Opn4S is the dominant photopigment present at birth and M1 type pRGCs would appear to be the dominant cell type during early postnatal development, and are likely to be responsible for the earliest light detection events that occur in the mammalian retina.

It is possible that some of the cells classified as M2 type pRGCs in this study may correspond to the recently identified M4 and M5 type pRGCs that also have processes located in the ON layer of the IPL [8]. We think this unlikely, however, as levels of melanopsin expression are reportedly very low in these cells and they are not easily identified with the UF006 antibody but instead are visualised only via detection of a fluorescent reporter in a transgenic mouse line [8,10]. It is therefore unlikely that we would detect these cells using the less sensitive Opn4L or Opn4S antibodies.

Limitations in the sensitivity of immunohistochemistry prevent us from determining whether the differential expression of Opn4S and Opn4L occurs in cells of the P0 retina, and as such we are unable to determine whether or not early pRGCs are predisposed to forming M1 and M2 type cells prior to birth or whether these cells differentiate postnatally from a common ‘melanopsin precursor’ cell. However, our results from double labelling with UF006 and Opn4S antibodies suggests that differential expression of Opn4S is present in pRGCs as early as P3, and as such it would seem that cellular specialisation of pRGCs occurs early, and before the process of stratification is completed.

In general the findings of our expression studies are consistent with previous reports. Levels of melanopsin are known to be relatively low at birth, and show a marked increase during the first few days of postnatal development [4,17,28,29], resulting in a significant increase in the photosensitivity of individual pRGCs [4,12,28]. We would suggest that these early changes in melanopsin expression are consistent with the increased levels of Opn4S expression observed between P0 and P3 in our study and...
most likely represents the functional maturation of M1 type pRGCs. A further increase in sensitivity of pRGC responses is observed around P11, driven largely by the emergence of functional rod and cone photoreceptors [12]. It is currently unclear whether or not there is also an increase in the levels of intrinsic photosensitivity in M2 type pRGCs around this time point, as would be expected from our data.

Why do M1 and M2 type pRGCs mature at different times during development – a functional link between M2 type cells and the classical visual system?

The reason why M1 and M2 pRGC subtypes mature at different times during development is not clear. It is interesting to note that the time frame for the up-regulation of Opn4L mRNA
and protein, and the apparent maturation of M2 type pRGCs occurs at a similar time to the up-regulation of rhodopsin, MWS cone opsin and tyrosine hydroxylase mRNA and coincides with the maturation of the classical visual system. In the adult retina pRGCs are known to receive irradiance information from rod and cone photoreceptors via synapses with bipolar and amacrine cells and act as the principle conduit for passing rod and cone driven information to brain areas involved in non-image forming responses to light [30,31,32,33]. However, rod and cone photoreceptors are not functional at birth but develop postnatally, and do not become fully functional until P10–12 [34,35] with eye opening typically observed around P13–14. Consistent with this timeline, pRGCs begin to receive rod cone driven light signals at around P11, resulting in significant changes in the cellular responses of individual pRGCs [12]. From this it is clear that the melanopsin system, although active from birth cannot become fully mature until the classical rod and cone photoreceptors have emerged and functional connections are made between melanopsin cells and the outer retina. There is now emerging evidence that the function of M2 type cells may be more dependent on rod and cone input than are M1 type cells. M2 type cells express much lower levels of melanopsin protein and are less endogenously photosensitive than M1 type cells, eliciting only small photocurrents in response to light stimulation in the absence of rod cone driven input [8,14,36]. In addition, recent studies have also shown that inputs from cone ON bipolar cells generate large depolarising currents in M2 type cells, but only small responses in M1 type cells [15]. Collectively these data suggest that the rod and cone pathway exerts more influence on the functioning of M2 cells than M1 cells. The photoreponses of M2 type cells are driven primarily by excitatory inputs from the ON pathway with relatively little contribution from melanopsin phototransduction, whereas melanopsin function is almost entirely responsible for the generation of photoreponses in M1 type cells [15]. Based on these observations, it seems possible that the development or maturation of M2 type pRGCs may be timed to more closely coincide with the maturation of the classical visual system.

Daily rhythms in melanopsin expression

A number of studies have indicated that light exposure, or exposure to light dark cycles, can influence levels of melanopsin expression, potentially via the involvement of dopaminergic amacrine cells [37,38,39,40,41]. It is therefore possible that daily rhythms in Opn4L and Opn4S expression may contribute to the different profiles of expression that we observe throughout development. For example, Gonzalez-Menendez et al. [39] have reported a daily rhythm in the number of melanopsin expressing cells that can be detected via immunostaining in mice housed under 12:12 light dark cycles. These results suggest a significant decrease in the number of M1 cells detected between ZT23 and ZT3 at the transition from dark to light, and a more subtle increase in the number of M2 cells detected from ZT18 to ZT23. This rhythm of melanopsin protein expression is absent under conditions of constant darkness, suggesting a light driven effect rather than an intrinsic circadian rhythm of melanopsin expression in the adult retina [39]. Despite these previous reports, we could not detect a significant daily rhythm in expression of either Opn4L or Opn4S mRNA in adult mice housed under identical conditions to those reported previously by Gonzalez-Menendez et al. [39]. Our data did however suggest a trend, and it is possible that a higher number of replicate samples may have allowed detection of a subtle rhythm. However, based on our results, should daily rhythms in expression of Opn4L and Opn4S exist in the adult retina it would seem that they are synchronised, with no evidence of a daily variation in the ratio of Opn4S and Opn4L mRNA detected. It is however possible that the process of analysing levels of Opn4L and Opn4S mRNA from the whole retina has masked subtle rhythms that may exist within the specific subsets of pRGCs.

From our data, it is clear that the magnitude of daily changes detected for Opn4L and Opn4S mRNA in the adult retina are relatively small. Should daily rhythms of similar magnitude exist during development, it is unlikely that changes in the phase of these rhythms could explain the marked changes in Opn4L expression, and detection of M2 cells that we observe between P10 and P14. Dopamine is known to be light regulated in the retina [42,43], and dopaminergic amacrine cells (DACs) have been implicated in the regulation of melanopsin expression [40,41]. Given the close interactions of DACs and M1 type pRGCs [32] it would seem that dopamine exerts influence primarily on M1 type cells, a conclusion supported by recent findings [41]. However, given the lack of functional rods, cones and dopamine amacrine cells, we could realistically expect light dark cycles to exert less influence on melanopsin expression in the early postnatal retina. In support of this conclusion, it has been shown that light exposure exerts no effect on the increased levels of melanopsin expression that occur in the first 24 hours after birth [29]. Daily variations in melanopsin expression have been reported for M1 cells as early as P5, although rhythms of expression are seemingly absent from M2 cells at this time point [39]. Based on these reports, if daily rhythms of expression are influencing the results of this study we could reasonably expect larger variations in the expression of Opn4S compared to Opn4L. However, in comparison to the significant changes we detect for Opn4L, levels of Opn4S show no such significant changes in expression between any developmental time points investigated. Overall, it would seem there is little compelling evidence for a robust daily rhythm in melanopsin expression within M2 cells in either the adult or postnatal retina [39]. Given these observations, it would seem unlikely that daily variations in melanopsin expression can explain the different profiles of Opn4L and Opn4S expression that we detect during development, or explain the dramatic increase in detection of Opn4L and M2 type pRGCs between P10 and P14. Furthermore, daily variations in melanopsin expression would not explain the clear difference in timeline for the morphological maturation of the pRGC subtypes that we observe. As such, we believe that a differential maturation of M1 and M2 type pRGCs best explains the differential profile of Opn4L and Opn4S expression detected in this study.

In support of our general conclusions regarding the differential maturation of M1 and M2 type pRGCs, McNeill et al. [17] have recently reported that different populations of pRGCs are born at different times during prenatal development, and also that different pRGC subtypes innervate their specific brain targets at different time points during postnatal development [17]. These data again suggest that the neural circuitry associated with the different melanopsin systems do indeed mature at different rates during postnatal development. It is possible that the up regulation of melanopsin expression within specific subsets of pRGCs may be a direct result of these cells establishing functional connections with their respective recipient brain targets, or the onset of functional activity in these target structures. Future studies will be required to investigate these possibilities and determine that factors that regulate expression of the different melanopsin isoforms and the postnatal development of specific pRGC subtypes.

Summary

In summary, the results of the present study demonstrate that Opn4L and Opn4S are differentially expressed during postnatal
development, at both mRNA and protein levels. Our data suggest a model whereby there are two distinct phases of increased melanopsin expression during postnatal development, an initial increase between P0 and P3 driven primarily by an increase in Opn4L expression, and a second increase that occurs between P10 and P14 driven by changes in Opn4S expression. Based on our observations these two phases of increased melanopsin expression would seem to correlate with the functional maturation of M1 and M2 type pRGCs. We suggest that the specific functions associated with M1 and M2 type pRGCs will mature at different times during postnatal development.

Supporting Information

**Figure S1** N-terminal melanopsin antibody UF006 recognises both Opn4L and Opn4S. Representative images showing positive staining of both Opn4L and Opn4S transfected Neuro-2A cells following incubation with the UF006 N-terminal melanopsin antibody. Transient transfection and antibody staining of Neuro-2A cell cultures was performed as described previously [20].

**Figure S2** UF006 flatmount staining throughout postnatal development. Representative images collected from whole mount retina stained with the N-terminal melanopsin antibody (UF006). Note the initial increase in melanopsin staining observed between P0 and P3 and also the increase in weakly stained M2 type pRGCs observed at P14 compared to earlier time points. Based on cell counts from whole flat mounted retina, we detected 1600–1800 pRGCs per adult retina (P30), comprising approximately 45% M1 type cells and 55% M2 type pRGCs, values that are consistent with previous estimates of total numbers of melanopsin cells in the adult mouse retina [10]. M1 = M1 type pRGCs, M2 = M2 type pRGCs, dM1 = displaced M1 type pRGC, M3 = M3 type pRGC, but is also used to label cells that are multi stratified during early development, ND = not defined, for cells that could not be classified based on morphology alone. Scale bar for all images = 50 μm.

**Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: S. Hughes IM MT S. Halford SS SNPP MWH RGF. Performed the experiments: S. Hughes LW CK MT. Analyzed the data: S. Hughes LW CK IM SS MWH. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: CK MT S. Halford IM. Wrote the paper: S. Hughes LW S. Halford SS SNPP MWH RGF.
10. Chen SK, Badea TC, Hattar S (2011) Photoentrainment and pupillary light reflex are mediated by distinct populations of ipRGCs. Nature 476: 92–95.
11. Coombs JL, Van Der List D, Chalupa LM (2007) Morphological properties of mouse retinal ganglion cells during postnatal development. The Journal of comparative neurology 503: 803–814.
12. Freedman MS, Lucas RJ, Soni B, von Schantz M, Menezes M, et al. (1999) Regulation of mammalian circadian behavior by non-rod, non-cone, ocular photoreceptors. Science 284: 502–504.
13. Vandesompele J, De Preter K, Pattyn F, Poppe B, Van Roy N, et al. (2002) Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. Genome Biol 3: RESEARCH0034.
14. Provencio I, Rollag MD, Castrucci AM (2002) Photoreceptive net in the mammalian retina. Nature 415: 493.
15..IsFalse
16. Ruan GX, Zhang DQ, Zhou T, Yamazaki S, McMahon DG (2006) Circadian organization of the mammalian retina. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103: 9703–9708.
17. Young RW (1985) Cell proliferation during postnatal development of the retina in the mouse. Brain Res Mol Brain Res 19: 47–54.
18. Tu DC, Zhang D, Demas J, Stansky EB, Provencio I, et al. (2005) Physiologic diversity and development of intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cells. Neuron 48: 987–999.
19. Gonzalez-Menendez I, Contreras F, Garcia-Fernandez JM, Cermuda-Cermuda R (2011) Perinatal development of melanopsin expression in the mouse retina. Brain research.
20. Belenky MA, Smeraski CA, Provencio I, Sollars PJ, Pickard GE, et al. (2003) Melanopsin retinal ganglion cells receive bipolar and amacrine cell synapses. J Comp Neurol 460: 380–390.
21. Wong KY, Dunn FA, Graham DM, Berson DM (2007) Synaptic influences on rat ganglion-cell photoreceptors. J Physiol 582: 279–296.
22. Vugler AA, Redgrave P, Semo M, Lawrence J, Greenwood J, et al. (2007) Dopamine neurons form a discrete plexus with melanopsin cells in normal and degenerating retina. Exp Neurol 205: 26–35.
23. Ostergaard J, Hannibal J, Fahrenkrug J (2007) Synaptic contact between melanopsin-containing retinal ganglion cells and rod bipolar cells. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 48: 3812–3820.
24. Takada Y, Faris RN, Tanikawa A, Zeng Y, Carper D, et al. (2004) A retinal neuronal developmental wave of retinoschisin expression begins in ganglion cells during layer formation. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science 45: 3302–3312.
25. Tian N, Copenhagen DR (2003) Visual stimulation is required for refinement of ON and OFF pathways in postnatal retina. Neuron 39: 85–96.
26. Schmidt TM, Kofuji P (2010) Differential cone pathway influence on intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cell subtypes. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 30: 16262–16271.
27. Hannibal J, Georg B, Hindersson P, Fahrenkrug J (2005) Light and darkness regulate melanopsin in the retinal ganglion cells of the albino Wistar rat. J Mol Neurosci 27: 147–155.
28. Mathes A, Engel H, Holzhaus H, Wollfsohn S, Spero I, et al. (2007) Daily profile in melanopsin transcripts depends on seasonal lighting conditions in the rat retina. J Neuroendocrinol 19: 952–957.
29. Gonzalez-Menendez I, Contreras F, Cermuda-Cermuda R, Garcia-Fernandez JM (2009) Daily rhythm of melanopsin-expressing cells in the mouse retina. Front Cell Neurosci 3: 3.
30. Sakamoto K, Liu C, Kasamatsu M, Poulevey NV, Iuvone PM, et al. (2005) Dopamine regulates melanopsin mRNA expression in intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cells in RCS rats. Cell Signal 24: 685–698.
31. Li Y, Li C, Chen Z, He J, Tao Z, et al. (2012) A MicroRNA, mir133b, suppresses melanopsin expression mediated by failure dopaminergic amacrine cells in RCS rats. Eur J Neurosci 22: 3129–3136.
32. Li Y, Li C, Chen Z, He J, Tao Z, et al. (2012) A MicroRNA, mir133b, suppresses melanopsin expression mediated by failure dopaminergic amacrine cells in RCS rats. Cell Signal 24: 685–698.
33. Vugler AA, Redgrave P, Henson-Stoate NJ, Greenwood J, Coffey PJ (2007) Constant illumination causes spatially discrete dopamine depletion in the normal and degenerate retina. J Chem Neuroanat 33: 9–22.
34. Cameron MA, Poulevey N, Vugler AA, Cooper H, Iuvone PM, et al. (2009) Light regulation of retinal dopamine that is independent of melanopsin phototransduction. Eur J Neurosci 29: 761–767.