Toward development of generic inhibitors against the 3C proteases of picornaviruses
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Development of novel antivirals, which requires knowledge of the viral life cycle in molecular detail, is a daunting task, involving extensive investments, and frequently resulting in failure. As there exist significant commonalities among virus families in the manner of host interaction, identifying and targeting common rather than specific features may lead to the development of broadly useful antivirals. Here, we have targeted the 3C protease of Hepatitis A Virus (HAV), a feco-orally transmitted virus of the family Picornaviridae, for identification of potential antivirals. The 3C protease is a viable drug target as it is required by HAV, as well as by other picornaviruses, for post-translational proteolysis of viral polyproteins and for inhibiting host innate immune pathways. Computational screening, followed by chemical synthesis and experimental validation resulted in identification of a few compounds which, at low micromolar concentrations, could inhibit HAV 3C activity. These compounds were further tested experimentally against the 3C protease of Human Rhinovirus, another member of the Picornaviridae family, with comparable results. Computational studies on 3C proteases from other members of the picornavirus family have indicated that the compounds identified could potentially be generic inhibitors for picornavirus 3C proteases.

Abbreviations
AADS, automated active site docking and scoring; ADME Absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion; CADD, computer-aided drug design; HAV, hepatitis A virus; HRV, human rhinovirus; MMBAPPL, molecular mechanics binding affinity prediction of protein-ligand; MMGBSA, molecular mechanics generalized Born surface area; MMPBSA, molecular mechanics poisson-Boltzmann surface area; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus.
Introduction

Acute Hepatitis caused by HAV infection is typically self-limiting [1–3]. However, recent large outbreaks in several parts of the world [4], prevalence of vaccine-resistant strains [5] and propensity of the virus to cause liver failure if contracted in the later stages of life, coupled with a lack of specific treatment other than supportive care [6,7], underscore the need for development of an effective antiviral to combat occurrences of HAV infections.

HAV is a small, nonenveloped icosahedral RNA virus belonging to the hepatovirus genus of the Picornaviridae family [3]. The positive-stranded RNA genome of HAV serves as a template for both translation and replication. The translated polyprotein is autocleaved by the viral 3C protease into structural and nonstructural proteins (Fig. 1A), including the viral polymerase which is crucial for initiating replication. 3C protease, which preferentially cleaves after glutamine [8] (Fig. 1B), also cleaves several cellular proteins (Fig. 1C), to arrest translation and promote viral replication [9,10], or to subvert host innate immune pathways [11]. Other members of the Picornaviridae family utilize a similar 3C-mediated proteolytic mechanism for generating viral proteins and cleaving cellular components, to establish infection. Similarly, SARS-CoV of the Coronaviridae family employs a 3C-like protease for autoprocessing of viral proteins [12,13]. These proteases constitute vital drug targets for therapeutic intervention.

Computational and bioinformatics approaches, which provide essential insights into drug–protein interaction at the molecular level, can be utilized effectively to speed up design and development of improved antivirals against key proteins. Computer-Aided Drug Discovery (CADD), which is a specialized discipline of structure-based/ligand-based drug design, boasts of multiple success stories in the area of antivirals among others [14]. Development of FDA-approved drugs such as Zanamivir, Oseltamivir (against Influenza Virus) and Saquinavir (against HIV) has validated the efficacy of these techniques [15–18]. Among many peptidomimetic and small-molecule inhibitors that have been tested against viral proteins, isatin and its derivatives constitute an interesting class of versatile lead compounds. Isatins have shown antiviral activity against a broad spectrum of viruses such as SARS-CoV, Hepatitis C Virus, and HIV [19–21], in addition to their antitumor, antibacterial, antiangiogenic, and other biological activities [22–25].

In this study, we employed a combined strategy of virtual screening and computational drug design followed by chemical synthesis of compounds with favorable binding free energies and experimental validation through in vitro experiments. This CADD structure-based approach was utilized for effective screening of small-molecule inhibitors against the 3C protease of HAV, a crucial effector in the life cycle of the virus. We also attempted to modify isatin compounds to generate...
potential lead compounds against HAV 3C protease. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first description of isatin derivatives as effective inhibitors against the HAV 3C protease. Further, extended computational analyses of these compounds against the 3C protease of other members of the picornavirus family indicate that these compounds can act as plausible broad-range inhibitors against picornaviral 3C proteases.

### Results

#### Identification of compounds against HAV 3C by structure-based virtual screening

The crystal structure of HAV 3C protease (PDB ID: 2CXV [26]) was utilized for screening and docking to identify potential inhibitors. The catalytic site of 3C protease is a triad formed by Cys172, His44, and Asp84.

| Compound | Molecular structural formulas | Molecular weights (Da) | HAV inhibition \(K_i\) in \(\mu M\) |
|----------|------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Compound 1 | ![Structure](image1.png) | 496.52 | 3.0 ± 0.1 |
| Compound 2 | ![Structure](image2.png) | 515.67 | 8.6 ± 0.7 |
| Compound 3 | ![Structure](image3.png) | 523.64 | 2.5 ± 0.1 |
| Compound 4 | ![Structure](image4.png) | 526.68 | 1.4 ± 0.1 |
| Compound 5 | ![Structure](image5.png) | 520.72 | 117.8 ± 22.3 |
| Compound 6 | ![Structure](image6.png) | 512.63 | 3.3 ± 0.2 |
| Compound 7 | ![Structure](image7.png) | 518.66 | 1.2 ± 0.1 |
| Compound 8 | ![Structure](image8.png) | 459.0 | 2.1 ± 0.1 |
| Compound 9 | ![Structure](image9.png) | 243.0 | 1.6 ± 0.1 |

Table 1. Molecular structural formulas of compounds identified with molecular weights (in Daltons) and corresponding inhibition constants \(K_i\) in \(\mu M\), obtained experimentally, of HAV and HRV 3C proteases.
Table 2. IUPAC names and Zinc IDs of the compounds 1–9 identified against Hepatitis A Virus 3C protease.

| Compounds | IUPAC name | ZINC ID |
|-----------|------------|---------|
| Compound 1 | (5E)-5-(3-methoxy-4-propargyloxy-benzylidene)-1-[(4-methylbenzyl)oxyphenoxy]barbituric-acid | 2982970 |
| Compound 2 | 2-[[5-(benzoazole-2-yl)sulfanyl]methyl]-4-methyl-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)sulfanyl]-N-[2-[(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethoxy]ethyl]acetamide | 01794178 |
| Compound 3 | 4-[4-[4-(p-tolyl)piperazine-1-carbonyl]-3-piperidyl]-2-(3-pyridyl)ethyl]sindoline-1,3-dione | 11910201 |
| Compound 4 | (E)-3-[4-methoxyphenyl]-1-[4-[3-[4-(3-pyridylmethyl)piperazin-1-yl]methyl]phenoxo]-1-piperidyl]prop-2-en-1-one | 20451377 |
| Compound 5 | [4-[[2-[[benzoisothiophen-2-ylmethyl-methyl-amino)methyl]phenoxy]ethyl]piperazin-1-yl]-1-(2-methylthiazol-4-yl)methanone | 12470654 |
| Compound 6 | N-[4-ethoxyphenyl]-2-[1-[4-methoxyphenyl]-3-[2-morpholinoethyl]-5-oxo-2-thioxo-imidazolidin-4-yl]acetamide | 09763226 |
| Compound 7 | 3-ethyl-5-[2-(3-ethyl-5-methoxy-benzothiazol-2-yldiene)ethylidene]-2-[3-methyl-5-oxo-1-phenyl-pyrazol-4-yldiene]-thiazolidin-4-one | 03877668 |
| Compound 8 | 3-hydroxy-5-methoxy-3-(prop-2-ynyli)-1-tritylindolin-2-one | Isatin modified 1 |
| Compound 9 | 1-acetyl-5-chloro-3-hydroxy-3-(prop-2-ynyli)indolin-2-one | Isatin modified 2 |

[27] (Fig. 1D). A binding pocket encompassing the catalytic site and oxyanion hole, along with the nearby residues involved in substrate binding, was identified as a potential active site by the active site prediction program, AADS [28]. About one million organic molecules from the ZINC database [29] were screened against this binding pocket of HAV 3C protease using a rapid screening protocol (RASPD [30]), in order to select 1000 best hits. These compounds were then subjected to atomic level docking and scoring using ParDOCK [31,32]. A number of 250 molecules with the highest predicted binding energies were subjected to short molecular dynamics simulations. Eventually, seven compounds (1–7; Table 1) which showed potential to form strong interactions with the protease, were further subjected to 100 ns simulations, in order to understand the dynamics of their binding to the enzyme.

Novel isatin-based compounds against HAV 3C

In addition to our virtual screening approach, we also considered indoles as possible inhibitory compounds for HAV 3C protease. Indoles, in the recent past, have turned out to be effective scaffolds not only in biology and medicine but also in material sciences [33,34]. An indole backbone, with various substituents on the core structure, has the ability to display a spectrum of functionalities. In particular, and due to their broad structural diversity, isatins have been shown to affect a wide range of biological targets [35–39]. We computationally screened a variety of isatin derivatives against HAV 3C protease, and found that two modifications in the protecting groups of isatins (in compounds 8 and 9; Table 1) resulted in better interactions with the target, as reflected in docking studies as well as in the 100 ns molecular dynamics simulations. Compound 8 contains a triphenylmethyl protecting group, which restricted the conformations of the compound in the binding pocket of 3C protease, thus facilitating a snug fit. Compound 9 is a substrate mimic, with an N-acetyl protecting group containing an amide bond that competitively inhibits the attack of Cys172 nucleophile on the substrate. This amide bond hinders the transfer of proton of Sγ of Cys172 by Nε of His44, necessary for substrate cleavage.

Molecular dynamics simulation studies of compounds 1–9 with HAV 3C

A combined approach of virtual screening and isatin-based drug design resulted in the identification of nine...
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potentially efficacious compounds (IUPAC naming in Table 2). Interaction diagrams (Fig. 2) and simulation data (Figs S1 and S2 and Table 3) supported the possibility of strong binding of these compounds to HAV 3C protease. The ligand and protein backbone RMSD plots (Fig. S1) for all the proposed nine compounds remained stable during the 100 ns molecular dynamics simulations, except for compound 5. This compound was found to drift to another site which comprised of similar residues as the originally targeted site. Also, the ligand RMSD for compound 9 showed fluctuations for approximately 10 ns during the initial 30 ns simulation. However, extension of the simulation up to 100 ns showed that compound 9 eventually gets stabilized in a conformation similar to its initial docked pose (Fig. S3, Fig. 2), which could potentially reflect the actual binding mechanism. For conducting experimental studies with the compounds identified by in silico binding studies, we purchased compounds 1–7 while the isatin compounds (8 and 9) were synthesized in-house (Fig. S4).

**Kinetic and cytotoxicity analysis of compounds 1–9**

HAV 3C protease (from the viral strain HM175) was recombinantly expressed in *E. coli* Rosetta (DE3) pLysS cells, and purified through sequential Ni-NTA and size-exclusion chromatography (Fig. S5). A mutated version of 3C protease, with C172A (catalytic site Cysteine) and C24S (nonessential surface Cysteine) modifications [27,40,41], was generated using site-directed mutagenesis, and the protein purified under identical conditions as utilized for wild-type. For enzymatic inhibition assays, a peptid (EDANS-GLRTQ*SFSDABCYL), containing the 3C cleavage site [42] (marked by a star), and flanked by a fluorophore and a quencher, at its N- and C-terminal ends respectively, was utilized. Mutated 3C, containing the C172A/C24S modifications which have previously been shown to inhibit 3C activity [27,41], served as a negative control for the assays. HAV 3C cleaved the peptide immediately as shown in Fig. 3, whereas the mutated 3C did not show any appreciable cleavage activity even after 10 min of incubation.

Saturation binding experiments were carried out to determine the dissociation constant (*K*~d~) of all nine compounds (Table 4). Substrate cleavage by 3C followed Michaelis–Menten model (Table 5), and kinetic parameters were developed, so that the effect of the compounds on 3C activity could be examined under initial velocity conditions (Table 6). The inhibition constant (*K*~i~) (Table 1) was calculated by least-squares fitting using GraphPad Prism 5, La Jolla, CA, USA. Since the fluorescence responses were not masked by any of the compounds, no inner filter effect correction was required. The compounds were further tested for cytotoxicity by a standard MTT assay, and were found to be nontoxic up to fairly high concentrations in the micromolar range (Table 7).

### Table 3. Data on predicted binding free energies (in kcal·mol⁻¹) of compounds 1–9 with HAV 3C protease using ParDOCK, AutoDock [69], SwissDock [70], MMBAPPL, MMGBSA, and MMPBSA along with the experimentally obtained *K*~i~ values. The MMBAPPL, MMGBSA, and MMPBSA scores are obtained from 100 ns molecular dynamics simulations run.

| Compounds | Dock score (ParDOCK) | Dock score (AutoDock) | Dock score (SwissDock) | MMGBSA ± SE of mean | MMGBSA ± SE of mean | MMBAPPL ± SE of mean | Experimental *K*~i~ values (μM) |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Compound 1 | -16.25               | -7.4                  | -9.10                  | -38.60 ± 0.70       | -52.20 ± 0.53       | -8.96 ± 0.14         | 3                           |
| Compound 2 | -11.05               | -6.5                  | -9.15                  | -13.25 ± 0.16       | -41.80 ± 0.47       | -6.97 ± 0.20         | 8.6                         |
| Compound 3 | -10.58               | -9.0                  | -8.45                  | -15.78 ± 0.23       | -42.56 ± 0.42       | -8.56 ± 0.36         | 2.5                         |
| Compound 4 | -10.32               | -8.2                  | -8.83                  | -29.96 ± 0.58       | -50.22 ± 0.12       | -8.61 ± 0.11         | 1.4                         |
| Compound 5 | -11.49               | -7.0                  | -8.98                  | -18.95 ± 0.14       | -57.20 ± 0.35       | -9.01 ± 0.18         | 3.3                         |
| Compound 6 | -11.25               | -7.7                  | -8.68                  | -21.66 ± 0.19       | -55.18 ± 0.33       | -8.92 ± 0.13         | 1.2                         |
| Compound 7 | -12.52               | -7.5                  | -7.75                  | -20.23 ± 0.43       | -53.87 ± 0.57       | -8.19 ± 0.14         | 2.1                         |
| Compound 8 | -14.78               | -6.5                  | -6.96                  | -21.32 ± 0.11       | -52.58 ± 0.26       | -8.56 ± 0.14         | 1.6                         |
| Compound 9 | -10.93               | -7.3                  | -8.33                  | -27.47 ± 0.73       | -46.78 ± 0.54       | -6.30 ± 0.33         | 11.7                        |

All the three docking software show correlation of < 0.5 w.r.t *K*~i~ values; however, the average protein–ligand score for the most stable part of complexes during their 100 ns simulation using binding free energy scoring algorithm of MMBAPPL showed a strong correlation of 0.82 w.r.t *K*~i~ values. BAPPL is the scoring algorithm utilized in ParDOCK for calculating binding free energy of the protein–ligand complex, which when calculated over all the snapshots of protein–ligand complexes during the molecular dynamics simulations is known as MMBAPPL. The score calculates energies obtained for each snapshot during the simulations and provides an average binding free energy of the complex. The success of the methodology relies on the screening (with −10 kcal·mol⁻¹ of binding free energy as threshold) as well as the overall binding affinity calculated over a period of stable molecular dynamics simulations using MMBAPPL.

* For calculating the correlations, the compound 5 data were not considered due to its drift from the catalytic site of protease.
that compound 5 showed propensity for possible drift from catalytic and substrate-binding sites, with a high inhibition constant and potential toxicity at just twice its inhibitory concentration, this compound was not used for further studies.

**Eight of the identified compounds competitively inhibit HAV 3C**

Our in vitro enzymatic assay demonstrated that all compounds, except compound 5, exhibited competitive inhibition in the low micromolar range (Table 1). The best $K_i$ values of ~1.2, 1.4, and 1.6 μM were demonstrated by compounds 7, 4, and 9 respectively. Compounds 8, 3, 1, and 6 also showed effective inhibition with $K_i$ values of ~2.1, 2.5, 3.0, and 3.3 μM, respectively. Compound 2 had the highest $K_i$ of 8.6 ± 0.7 μM among the eight compounds. However, for choosing the most viable lead compounds, we also considered the cytotoxicity displayed by these compounds in a standard MTT assay. Although we found that all eight compounds were fairly benign in cell culture, the cytotoxicity displayed by 6, 8, and 9 were the least, with ~80% cells remaining viable even after being treated with 200 μM of these compounds (between 60 and 120 times the inhibitory concentration). Compounds 1, 3, 4, and 7, although displaying better $K_i$ values in some cases, were found to cause higher cytotoxicity (~40%, 60%, 25%, and 55% cell viability, respectively, at 200 μM inhibitor concentration). Five distinct molecular dynamics simulations for 30 ns each were run for the best lead compounds, that is, 6, 8, and 9 and their MMGBSA, MMPBSA, and MMBAPPL scores along with their standard mean of errors were calculated to enhance confidence in the protocol (Table S1).

**Detailed interaction analysis of the best lead compounds**

Analysis of inhibitory potential and cellular cytotoxicity clearly indicated that ZINC compound 6 and isatin-based compounds 8 and 9 were the best lead compounds, with some of the lowest $K_i$ values (in low micromolar range), and with MTT assays showing more than 80% viable cells at inhibitor concentrations as high as 200 μM. Detailed analysis of 100 ns molecular dynamics simulations revealed that compound 6 on an average made four hydrogen bonds with the active site residues of HAV 3C protease throughout the simulations (Fig. 5). The most favorable structure obtained from 100 ns molecular dynamics simulations showed hydrogen bonds with substrate-binding residues, consisting of backbone NH atoms of Gly170...
and Gly194; backbone O of Val144 and the side-chain NH atoms of Arg26 along with multiple hydrophobic contacts, resulting in high binding affinity. The residues Gly 194, Val144, and Gly 170 are located on the S3, S2, and S1 sites of the protease, respectively [27] (Fig. 6). The isatin-based compounds 8 and 9 showed a network of multiple hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interactions with the substrate-binding and catalytic site residues. The most favorable structures obtained from the overall trajectory showed these compounds forming hydrogen bonds with SH of Cys172 and NH of His191 among other interactions.

Table 4. Saturation binding experiments of HAV 3C protease with compounds 1–9. Dissociation constants ($K_d$) values are mentioned along with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Graphs are plotted between compound concentrations in $\mu$M versus percentage of enzyme in the bound state. In all cases, $R^2$ square values were > 0.98. Curve-fitting was carried out using GRAPHPAD PRISM 5.

| Compound | Curve-fitting | $K_d$ (µM) | 95% C.I. |
|----------|---------------|------------|----------|
| 1        | One-site specific binding | 3.7 ± 0.3 | 2.9 - 4.5 |
| 2        | One-site specific binding | 7.1 ± 0.7 | 5.6 - 8.6 |
| 3        | One-site specific binding | 3.5 ± 0.4 | 2.6 - 4.4 |
| 4        | One-site specific binding | 2.0 ± 0.2 | 1.4 - 2.6 |
| 5        | One-site specific binding with Hill slope (h>1, blue shift) | 50.7 ± 5.2 | 39.7 - 61.7 |
| 6        | One-site specific binding | 2.7 ± 0.3 | 2 - 3.5 |
| 7        | One-site specific binding | 1.6 ± 0.2 | 1.1 - 2.1 |
| 8        | One-site specific binding | 5.5 ± 0.6 | 4.0 - 6.9 |
| 9        | One-site specific binding | 3.4 ± 0.5 | 2.4 - 4.5 |
An increase in distance between the thiol group of Cys172 and imidazole group of the catalytic site was observed for all eight compounds, which was probably crucial for inhibition of the protease activity in our assays.

**Inhibition of HRV 3C by compounds 1–9 is comparable to that seen for HAV 3C**

Structural and functional similarities among the 3C proteases of picornaviruses (Fig. 7) encouraged us to explore the effect of compounds 1–9 on the protease from Human Rhinovirus (Fig. 8). Computational docking (Fig. 8) and simulation studies along with experimental assays (Table 8), carried out as described before, indicated that the inhibitory effects of our compounds against the 3C protease of Human Rhinovirus 14 (HRV14 3C) were comparable to those demonstrated against HAV 3C as shown in Table 1. In this case also, compounds 6, 8, and 9 displayed inhibition at low micromolar range with $K_i$ values of $\sim 2.6, 2.5$, and $1.6 \mu M$, respectively.

**Discussion**

Structure-based drug design has become a prevalent method to obtain rationally designed inhibitors against specific disease-associated proteins [43]. Virtual screening performed with existing databases of small peptidic or nonpeptidic molecules yields valuable hits, which upon optimal modifications can display better interactions with the target protein that can be verified through molecular docking and simulation studies. Molecules which show advantageous interaction parameters can be subjected to *in vitro* testing, followed by cycles of further modifications and experimental validation.

Acute hepatitis caused by Hepatitis A Virus, although primarily nonfatal, creates significant morbidity in the world’s population. Sporadic outbreaks around the world, and absence of specific treatment, have increased the healthcare burden associated with this viral infection [44–46]. The importance of 3C protease in the processing of viral proteins makes it a key component in establishment of infection. Further, the structural and functional similarities among the 3C proteases from picornaviruses make this enzyme a viable drug target for generic drug development. In the current study, we have utilized the principles of structure-based drug design to identify novel compounds against the 3C protease of HAV. Several of these compounds have shown efficacy against the functionality of HAV 3C protease in the low micromolar range, along with reduced cytotoxicity. Through computational analyses, we selected nine compounds, (seven from ZINC library and two isatin derivatives), and the inhibitory activity of all compounds was validated through *in vitro* enzymatic assays.

We found that eight out of the nine compounds bound competitively to HAV 3C and showed inhibition at the low micromolar range, with $K_i$ values of $\sim 2.6, 2.5$, and $1.6 \mu M$, respectively.

**Table 5.** Binding curve of the fluorogenic peptide (EDANS-GLRTQ*SFS-DABCYL) with 3C proteases of Hepatitis A Virus (HAV) and Human Rhinovirus 14 (HRV14). The curves follow Michaelis–Menten model. Graphs are plotted between peptide concentrations (µM) versus reaction velocity (fluorescence units (FU) s$^{-1}$). The kinetic parameters ($K_m$ and $V_{max}$) are mentioned. Curve-fitting was carried out using GRAPHPAD PRISM 5.

|                  | HAV 3C protease | HRV14 3C protease |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| $K_m$ (µM)       | 54.2 ± 4.4      | 50.1 ± 3.2        |
| $V_{max}$ (FU sec$^{-1}$) | 132.3 ± 5.3 | 182.2 ± 5.9   |
however, its enantiomer irreversibly inactivated 3C with much lower inhibitory potential [47]. The most active keto-glutamine analogs [48] demonstrated $K_i$ of 9 $\mu$M. Nonpeptide inhibitors of HAV 3C displayed inhibition in the nanomolar range, which was probably occasioned due to the exceptionally low $K_m$ values of the halopyridinyl ester substrates [49]. Peptidyl monofluoromethyl ketone inhibitors against HAV 3C were ineffective due to poor oral bioavailability [50]. A peptide aldehyde inhibitor [40] showed potent activity.

Table 6. Determination of inhibition constants ($K_i$) of compounds 1–9 against HAV 3C protease. Calculated $K_i$ values are mentioned along with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Graphs are plotted between peptide concentrations in $\mu$M versus reaction velocity in fluorescence units (FU/s) or concentration in $\mu$M versus reaction velocity in fluorescence units (FU/C_0) or concentration in $\mu$M versus reaction velocity in fluorescence units (FU/C_1). In all cases, $R$ square values were > 0.98. Curve-fitting was carried out using GRAPHPAD PRISM 5.

|Compound| Type of inhibition| $K_i$ (µM)| 95% C.I. |
|---------|-----------------|-------------|---------|
|1        | Competitive      | 3.0 ± 0.1   | 2.6 – 3.3 |
|2        | Competitive      | 8.6 ± 0.7   | 7.3 – 9.9 |
|3        | Competitive      | 2.5 ± 0.1   | 2.2 – 2.8 |
|4        | Competitive      | 1.4 ± 0.1   | 1.2 – 1.6 |
|5        | Competitive      | 117.8 ± 22.3| 70.4 – 165.2|
|6        | Competitive      | 3.3 ± 0.2   | 2.9 – 3.9 |
|7        | Competitive      | 1.2 ± 0.1   | 1.0 – 1.3 |
|8        | Competitive      | 2.1 ± 0.1   | 1.9 – 2.4 |
|9        | Competitive      | 1.6 ± 0.1   | 1.4 – 1.9 |

- without compound
- with compound
against HAV 3C; however, it was not similarly effective against other picornaviral proteases, showing 50-fold less inhibition against HRV14 3C protease. On the other hand, tripeptide aldehyde inhibitors [51] showed low micromolar range inhibitory activity against only HRV14 3C. Isatin inhibitors against HRV14 3C showed strong activity but were toxic in cell culture even in nanomolar concentrations [52], while the isatin-based compounds designed by us (compounds 8 and 9) have not only shown potent inhibition but have also been essentially nontoxic even at very high inhibitor concentrations (200 μM). Other isatin derivatives have been shown to inhibit SARS-coronavirus 3C-like protease with comparable activity [19,53], but as far as we know, this is the first description of isatins as effective inhibitors against HAV 3C protease. We found that the MMBAPPL (a scoring protocol for calculating binding affinity for protein–ligand complexes for various binding poses occurring during molecular simulations) score strongly correlates to the experimental $K_i$ values, as compared to the MMGBSA and MMPBSA scores, which has increased our confidence in the protocol (Fig. S2). Moreover, the stringent screening cutoff of a −10 kcal-mol$^{-1}$ docking binding energy threshold likely played a key role in predicting true potential inhibitors (Fig. S6),

Table 7. Cell growth inhibition by compounds 1–9 using the MTT assay. HEK293T cells were exposed to compounds 1–9 continuously for 24 h at increasing concentrations of 0–200 μM, followed by MTT assays. Data are calculated as percentage cell viability against respective compound concentration (μM). Each experiment was performed in triplicates, and data presented as mean ± SD.
which later showed low micromolar range inhibition via experimental enzyme binding assays. Thus, based on our computational and functional studies, we conclude that compounds 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, and 9 are able to show strong inhibitory potential at low micromolar concentrations against the 3C protease of two members of the Picornaviridae family — HAV and HRV.

The Picornaviridae family consists of 80 species grouped into 35 genera. The active sites of all 3C proteases from the family, from experimentally determined structures, show common amino acid patterns consisting of eight polar residues (namely Thr, Glu, His, Tyr, Cys, Gln, and Asp) and 10 nonpolar residues (namely Leu, Val, Phe, Gly, and Ile), depicting approximately 86% binding pocket similarity, although there is significant difference in the overall protease structures (Table S2). These common residues can give rise to 10 hydrophobic contacts and 13 hydrogen bonding interactions (10 hydrogen bond donors and 3 hydrogen bond acceptors) with an ideal ligand. Guided by these observations, we extended our computational analysis of these nine compounds against the active sites of 3C proteases from other important members of picornavirus family such as Poliovirus, Coxackievirus B3, Foot and Mouth Disease Virus, and Enteroviruses EV-D68 as well as EV-A71 (Fig. 9 and Table S3). The active site residues from 3C proteases of all of these picornaviruses displayed the potential to form an average of 4-5 hydrogen bonds along with 13 hydrophobic contacts with our compounds. Our studies indicate that the compounds 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, and 9 showing acceptable ADME properties (Table S4) and binding profiles (Table S3) can serve as effective inhibitors against these proteases as well.

In conclusion, the degree of intraspecies overlap of the active sites of picornavirus 3C proteases and the computational and experimental studies indicate the efficacy of our identified compounds as broad-range inhibitors against these seven proteases. Compound 6 and isatin derivatives 8 and 9 in particular, could possibly be used as lead compounds for further testing against this family of proteases. This work thus highlights the feasibility of designing and developing generic drugs against the 3C proteases of the picornavirus family.
Materials and methods

A schematic representation of the methodology adopted for identifying and designing of potential inhibitors against HAV 3C protease is shown in Fig. 10.

Computational methodology

The X-ray crystal structure of HAV 3C protease (PDB ID: 2CXV [26]) was obtained from RCSB [54]. According to published literature, the catalytic mechanism of HAV 3C involves the abstraction of the proton from $S^\gamma$ of Cys172 by $N_e$ of His44, resulting in the thiolate ion (nucleophile), attacking the carbonyl carbon of the peptide substrate. In our computational study, the ionization state of His44 was kept as HID44, so that the $N_e$ of HID44 can interact with $S^\gamma$ H of Cys172 via a hydrogen bond [27], while all other histidines were kept in HIE state using AMBER14 force field [26,55–57]. Probable binding pockets/sites were predicted using active site prediction program (AADS), and the predicted binding pocket in the vicinity of the catalytic triad was selected for further analysis. The protein atoms were assigned partial atomic charges, van der Waals and bonded parameters using the ff14SB [57–59] AMBER force field. The antechamber module of AMBER was used to assign the parameters and charges to the ligand atoms using Gaff [57] and AM1BCC [57], respectively. A million molecules from the ZINC database were screened against the catalytic site of HAV 3C protease to filter out the best 1000 hits which were individually docked and scored against the protease. The screening protocol (RASPD) has been tested and validated against the DUD dataset earlier which showed the best early enrichment of 14.23%, with approximately 30% of active molecules being retrieved in the first 10% of the dataset [30]. Docking and scoring studies were carried out using ParDOCK [31,32] and a threshold of at least $-10$ kcal-mol$^{-1}$ binding energy was utilized as a cutoff for compound selection. The threshold criterion was further validated via testing the docking algorithm against various enzyme targets and their respective decoys available from the DUD site [60]. The ParDOCK docking protocol was able to remove $\sim 99\%$ of the decoys when the threshold was
set to $-10\text{ kcal-mol}^{-1}$ (Table S5 and Fig. S6). The compounds showing significantly high binding were then subjected to short molecular dynamics simulations.

All molecular dynamics simulations were carried out using AMBER suite [57–59]. To account for the flexibility of the ligand and the active site residues with explicit solvent and salt effects, required numbers of Na$^+$ and Cl$^-$ ions were added to the system, followed by solvation with a 12 Å thick layer of water (TIP3P [61] model) around the protein–ligand complex. The prepared system was first subjected to minimization of solvent molecules alone, for 2500 steepest descent and 2500 conjugate gradient steps. This
was followed by minimization of the whole solute-solvent system for 2500 steepest descent and 2500 conjugate gradient steps. The energy minimized system was then heated gradually in NVT ensemble up to 300 K while subjecting the protein–ligand complex to harmonic restraints of 25 kcal/mol. Afterward, the system was equilibrated in NPT ensemble at 300 K and a pressure of 1 bar using the Berendsen barostat while releasing the harmonic restraints on the complex from 5 to 0.1 kcal/mol, in six steps of 50 ps each. Finally, all restraints were released and 100 ns production run in NPT ensemble ($P = 1$ bar, $T = 300$ K) was carried out. The overall binding free energy of the protein–ligand complexes throughout the trajectories was calculated using MMBAPPL [62], MMGBSA, and MMPBSA [63,64]. MMGBSA and MMPBSA are popular approaches where the molecular mechanics energies are combined with the Poisson–Boltzmann or generalized Born and surface area continuum solvation to get an estimate of binding free energies of small ligands to biological macromolecules. MMBAPPL is an empirical scoring function to predict the binding free energies of protein–ligand complexes. These scores, when generated for each snapshot of molecular dynamics simulations, provide a better understanding of the inhibitory potential of a

---

**Fig. 8.** Interaction patterns of the nine identified compounds 1–9 showing hydrophobic and hydrogen bond contacts with the active site residues of HRV14 3C protease (PDB ID: 2IN2). The interaction diagrams are of protein–ligand complexes obtained from best binding docked poses. These plots have been generated using LIGPLOT software [71].
compound over time, based on the predicted binding free energies. For each system simulated, the root mean squared deviation, energy (kinetic, potential, and total), density and temperature was monitored to ensure that the standard deviation of each of these values were within acceptable limits. A total of 250 short molecular dynamics simulations of 10 ns each were performed where majority of the compounds studied showed instability of protein–ligand complexes which was reflected in their RMSD values. Only the complexes showing stable behavior after 10 ns simulations were subjected to further analysis. Extended simulations were run till 100 ns to understand the behavior of ligand in the docked complex. The binding energies of the complexes were calculated using MMBAPPL during those parts of molecular dynamics simulations where the complexes were found to exist for maximum time (Fig. S1). Further, 25 compounds from isatin in-house library [39] were docked and scored against HAV 3C protease to check for their inhibitory potential. All isatin compounds showed low binding potential computationally; hence iterative modifications in their structure were undertaken. Eventually, 34 modified isatin compounds showed better results in terms of interactions and binding free energies with HAV 3C.

Chemical synthesis

3-bromoprop-1-yne 2 was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Bangalore, India) and used without further purification. N-protected isatins (compound 8 and compound 9) were prepared according to procedures outlined in literature [65–67] (Fig. S4).

Table 8. Determination of inhibition constants (K) of compounds 1–9 against HRV14 3C protease. Calculated K values are mentioned along with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Graphs are plotted between peptide concentrations in µM versus reaction velocity in fluorescence units (FU)·s⁻¹. In all cases, R square values were > 0.98. Curve-fitting was carried out using GRAPHPAD PRISM 5.

| Compound | Type of inhibition | Kᵢ (µM) | 95% C.I. |
|----------|--------------------|---------|----------|
| 1        | Competitive inhibition | 3.2 ± 0.1 | 2.9 – 3.5 |
| 2        | Competitive inhibition | 5.5 ± 0.3 | 4.8 – 6.2 |
| 3        | Competitive inhibition | 3.2 ± 0.2 | 2.7 – 3.6 |
| 4        | Competitive inhibition | 1.7 ± 0.1 | 1.5 – 1.9 |
| 5        | Competitive inhibition | 2.6 ± 0.1 | 2.3 – 2.9 |
| 6        | Competitive inhibition | 1.5 ± 0.1 | 1.3 – 1.7 |
| 7        | Competitive inhibition | 2.5 ± 0.1 | 2.2 – 2.8 |
| 8        | Competitive inhibition | 1.6 ± 0.1 | 1.4 – 1.8 |

Fig. 9. Interaction patterns of the three best proposed compounds 6, 8, and 9 showing hydrogen bonding and van der Waals contact network shown in 2D, depicting similar patterns of interactions within 3C proteases of intraspecies of picornavirus family, namely Human Rhinovirus 14, Poliovirus, Coxsackievirus B3, Foot and Mouth Disease Virus, Enteroviruses EV-D68 and EV-A71, respectively. These plots have been generated using LIGPLOT software [71].
| Virus \Ligand          | Compound 6          | Compound 8          | Compound 9          |
|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Human Rhinovirus      | ![Image](image1)    | ![Image](image2)    | ![Image](image3)    |
| Poliovirus            | ![Image](image4)    | ![Image](image5)    | ![Image](image6)    |
| Coxsackievirus B3     | ![Image](image7)    | ![Image](image8)    | ![Image](image9)    |
| Foot and mouth disease virus | ![Image](image10) | ![Image](image11) | ![Image](image12) |
| Enterovirus EV-D68    | ![Image](image13)  | ![Image](image14)  | ![Image](image15)  |
| Enterovirus EV-A71    | ![Image](image16)  | ![Image](image17)  | ![Image](image18)  |
Cloning, protein expression, and purification

HAV 3C protease was amplified from cDNA corresponding to HAV strain HM175, and subcloned into the expression vector pET-28b (Novagen, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), using the restriction enzymes NcoI and XhoI (NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA), so as to incorporate a 6×His tag at the C-terminal end of 3C. C172A and C24S modifications were introduced in 3C-pET-28b by site-directed mutagenesis as per standard protocol (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). Wild-type and mutated 3C-pET-28b constructs were transformed in Rosetta (DE3) pLysS cells and protein expression was induced with 0.1 mM IPTG at 25°C. After 1.5 h of expression, cells were pelleted, resuspended in a buffer containing 50 mM NaH₂PO₄ pH 8.0, and 300 mM NaCl and lysed through French press. The protein was purified through Ni-NTA affinity chromatography, dialyzed in a buffer containing 50 mM NaH₂PO₄ pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, and 2.5 mM EDTA, and further subjected to size-exclusion chromatography in a Superdex 75 column (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, UK). Approximately, 12 mg of protein was obtained from 1 L bacterial culture.

In vitro protease assays

For all enzymatic assays, 0.1 μM HAV 3C in a 100 μL reaction buffer of 50 mM NaH₂PO₄ pH 8.0 and 150 mM NaCl was used. HRV14 3C was also used at a concentration of 0.1 μM, in 100 μL of reaction buffer consisting of 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, and 150 mM NaCl. Stocks of all compounds were made in DMSO and their working solutions were prepared in assay buffer. Fluorogenic substrate peptide stocks were dissolved in water. First, compounds were tested for inner filter effect at different concentrations by measuring their absorption spectra from 200 to 700 nm using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Beckman Coulter, Indianapolis, IN, USA). To determine the dissociation constant (K_d) of compounds, 3C was titrated with increasing concentrations of compounds, with readings taken at an excitation wavelength (λ_εx) of 295 nm and emission wavelength (λ_εm) of 330 nm using a fluorescence spectrometer (PerkinElmer, Hopkinton, MA, USA). Every reading was taken after 30 s of addition of compound to 3C. A similar titration with increasing concentrations of DMSO was carried out as control to check whether it has any contribution to the experimentally observed fluorescence values. Further, 3C was titrated with the fluorogenic substrate peptide to assess the Michaelis–Menten kinetics and to obtain K_m and V_max values. Once the dissociation constants were obtained, the compounds were added to 3C at concentrations slightly lower than K_d and incubated for 15 min. Subsequently, increasing concentrations of the fluorogenic peptide were added and readings were taken at λ_εx = 336 nm and λ_εm = 472 nm. All data were collected in triplicates.
**Determination of kinetic parameters**

The data obtained from each experiment were analyzed using GRAPHPAD PRISM version 5.03 for Windows, GRAPHPAD Software, www.graphpad.com. For determination of competitive inhibition, the data were checked for curve-fitting into the equation: \( Y = \frac{V_{\text{max}} \times S}{K_m(1 + I/K_i) + S} \), where \( S \) is substrate concentration, \( Y \) is enzyme velocity, \( V_{\text{max}} \) is maximum enzyme velocity, \( K_m \) is Michaelis–Menten constant, \( I \) is inhibitor concentration, and \( K_i \) is inhibition constant. For data fitting into the allosteric sigmoidal model, the equation used was \( Y = \frac{V_{\text{max}} \times S^h}{K_{\text{prime}} + S^h} \), where \( h \) is Hill’s slope and \( K_{\text{prime}} \) is related to Michaelis constant. Analysis using other modes of inhibition such as noncompetitive or mixed inhibition resulted in higher regression coefficients, standard errors and wider 95% confidence intervals. This indicates that the selection of equations for curve-fitting was justified.

**Cells and toxicity studies**

HEK293T cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (Gibco, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (Gibco, Thermo Scientific), in an environment with 5% CO₂, 95% humidity at 37 °C. For toxicity studies, cells were seeded in a 96-well plate (Corning, Merck) with a seeding density of 50,000 cells per well. Upon reaching 80% confluency, cells were treated with increasing concentrations of compounds 1–9, incubated for 24 h and subsequently, MTT assay was carried out. An amount of 10 μL of 12 mM MTT (Invitrogen, Thermo Scientific) stock solution was added to each well and incubated for 4 h at 37 °C. The media was removed and 50 μL DMSO was added and mixed thoroughly, followed by incubation for 10 min at 37 °C. Readings were taken at 540 nm using a microplate spectrophotometer system (Thermo Scientific). All assays were done in triplicates and the results were expressed as percentage of cell viability.

**Extended computational analysis of picornaviral 3C protease**

Theoretical studies revealed that the pattern of active site residues is similar in 3C proteases of all well-known members of the Picornaviridae family. Potential inhibitors identified against HAV 3C were computationally analyzed against HRV14 3C protease (PDB ID: 2IN2 [68]) using the same protocol. Further, similar computational studies were performed on six other members (Poliovirus, Coxsackievirus B3, Foot and Mouth Disease Virus, Enteroviruses EV-D68 and EV-A71) of the picornavirus family.
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Fig. S1. Convergence plots of RMSD of ligands and backbones of HAV 3C proteases bound with compounds 1–9.

Fig. S2. Correlation plots of eight compounds (excluding compound 5) in complex with HAV 3C protease (A) MMGBSA versus $K_i$ values, (B) MMPBSA versus $K_i$ values, (C) MMBAPPL scores versus $K_i$ values, (D) MMBAPPL scores versus MMPBSA, (E) MMBAPPL scores versus MMGBSA, (F) ParDOCK versus MMGBSA, (G) AutoDock versus ParDOCK, (H) SwissDock versus ParDOCK, (I) SwissDock versus AutoDock, (J) SwissDock versus Ki values, (K) AutoDock versus Ki values, and (L) ParDOCK versus Ki values.

Fig. S3. The binding pose of compound 9 during the fluctuations in RMSD (at 20 ns) is shown in magenta.
color and the (biggest cluster) most favorable binding pose of compound 9 (at 95 ns) is shown in blue color. 

**Fig. S4.** Synthesis data and $^1$H and $^{13}$C spectra of compounds 8 and 9.

**Fig. S5.** Size-exclusion chromatograms of purified HAV 3C protease and its double mutant.

**Fig. S6.** ROC curves for four cases (ACHE, ALR2, AMPC, and HIVPR) target enzymes.

**Table S1.** The MMGBSA, MMPBSA, and MMBAPPL estimated binding free energies along with their standard error of means for five separate molecular dynamics simulations of 30 ns for each best inhibitor (compounds 6, 8, and 9) with HAV 3C protease.

**Table S2.** Active site residues and similarity scores for 3C proteases of 14 species of the Picornaviridae family.

**Table S3.** Predicted binding free energies of compounds 1–9 with 3C proteases from different picornaviruses, obtained after docking and scoring.

**Table S4.** Computationally predicted ADME properties of the identified compounds 1–9.

**Table S5.** Some case studies (target enzymes) checked against DUD decoys dataset.