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Abstract

Background Vending machines represent one way of offering food, but they are overlooked in the efforts to improve people's eating habits. The aim of our study was to analyse the variety and nutritional values of beverages offered in vending machines in social and health care institution in Slovenia.

Methods The available beverages were quantitatively assessed using traffic light profiling and the model for nutrient profiling used by Food Standards Australia New Zealand. Vending machines in 188 institutions were surveyed, resulting in 3046 different beverages consisting of 162 unique product labels.

Results Between 51% and 54% of beverages were categorized as unhealthy with regard to sugar content. Water accounted for only 13.7% of all beverages in vending machines. About 82% of beverages in vending machines were devoted to sugar-sweetened beverages, the majority (58.9%) presented in 500 ml bottles. The average sugar content and average calories in beverages sold in vending machines are slightly lower than in beverages sold in food stores.

Conclusions We suggest that regulatory guidelines should be included in the tender conditions for vending machines in health and social care institutions, to ensure healthy food and beverage choices.

Background

Vending machines usually carry snacks and beverages of low nutritional value, but high in calories, fats, salt, and sugar (1, 2), whereas healthy options are hardly offered or totally absent (3, 4). Considering that such options are available in social and health care institutions, unhealthy dietary choices are available to medical and hospital personnel working long hours, patients, residents and visitors. This is contrary to the World Health Organisation (WHO) vision of hospitals that should contribute to building a stronger health system and a healthy community (5). As hospitals matter to people (5), should they not be a model of offering healthy dietary choices in their vending machines? If alcohol and tobacco products are not sold in health care institutions, why do they offer unhealthy dietary choices?

Beverages with added free sugars represent a major share of the available beverages in vending machines (6, 7). Several systematic reviews and meta-analyses of cohort studies and randomized clinical studies demonstrated that drinking sugar-sweetened beverages (SSB) increase not only the risk for weight gain and obesity, but also the risk for tooth decay (caries), type 2 diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular diseases, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, impaired nutrition, and several other adverse health effects (8–15). Especially worrisome are obesity and type 2 diabetes, as they emerged as epidemics of modern societies (16, 17). On the global level, WHO tackles this problem in the Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of Non-Communicable Diseases in the WHO European Region 2016–2025 (18), whereas on the local level, Slovenia’s National Assembly adopted the “National Program on Nutrition and Health Enhancing Physical Activity 2015–2025” which is coordinated by the Ministry of Health. One of its ten priority areas is the role of health care for maintaining health and for preventing chronic diseases and
obesity. Proper nutrition, physical activity and healthy diet choices provided to patients, staff and visitors in health and social care institutions are emphasised as a prerequisite for successful treatment (19).

Increasing numbers of studies show that a well-designed graphic, i.e. traffic light labelling, on the front of food packages, can provide consumers with quick and clear summarised information on nutritional quality and help them to compare products to make healthier choices. Traffic light labelling was first introduced by the Food Standards Agency in the UK in 2007, but the threshold values for categories have changed multiple times since then (20). There is currently no international consensus about the broadly acceptable standardized nutritional profile model that could be used for front-of-pack summaries of the nutritional quality of foods. Australia and New Zealand show best practise in policy implementation in this area that could be followed by other countries. They use the Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ) Nutrient Profiling Scoring Criterion model (21) to determine the eligibility of foods and beverages to carry health claims, meaning that only foods with better nutritional quality are allowed to carry health claims. A recent study in Slovenia (22) also evaluated the nutritional quality in food supplies based on the FSANZ model and found that 50% of available beverages in food stores are of lower nutritional quality. The aim of our study was to analyse the variety and nutritional quality of beverages available in vending machines placed in social and health care institution in Slovenia. The resulting guidelines for providing healthier choices for hospital workers, patients and visitors could also support future regulatory interventions.

**Methods**

Sample: Our sample consisted of vending machine displays in all Slovenian hospitals (26), community health centres (64), and all but one nursing homes (98 out of 99), with one exception due to withheld data. The survey was carried out between June and August 2018. All accessible vending machines in each facility were surveyed. The collected data was based on face-front items, i.e. the item in the vending machine slot that was next in line to be sold (1). If there were five or more empty places of products in a vending machine at the time of the survey, the data collection was repeated on another occasion. Vending machine displays in 188 institutions resulted in 5625 face-front items, with beverages accounting for 54.2% (n = 3046) items and consisting of 162 unique product labels.

Products categorisation: Each beverage was categorised based on the content of sweeteners, and further subcategorized according to the type of beverage (23) (Table 1).
Table 1
Beverages categorisation

| Category     | N (%)     | Sub category                                                                 |
|--------------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Soft drinks  | 2286 (75.0) | carbonated beverages with sugar, carbonated drinks with no sugar and added aspartame/acesulfame-k sweetener, non-alcoholic beer, non-carbonated beverages with sugar, sugar-tasting water, water with taste but no sugar |
| Waters       | 417 (13.7)  | mineral water, water                                                           |
| Coffee       | 119 (3.9)   | iced coffee                                                                   |
| Juices       | 113 (3.7)   | 100% natural juice, smoothie                                                   |
| Energy drinks| 111 (3.6)   | energy drinks with no sugar and added aspartame/acesulfame-k sweetener, energy drinks with sugar |

Nutrient profiling: For each product nutritional data labelled on beverages, such as fat, saturated fatty acids, sugars, sodium, salt, protein, fibre, energy value and proportion of fruits and vegetables stated in the ingredient list were used. Each product was categorised according to average fat, saturated fatty acids, sugars and salts values per 100 ml with Dietary traffic light labelling (Table 2) as a quality indicator. In the current survey, we used the threshold values listed in Table 2, which are implemented in Slovenia in a variety of programmes for facilitating healthy food choices, such as in the government-funded smart mobile application VesKajJes (24) and the purpose of examining the displays in vending machines at selected Slovenian faculties by the Consumer Association of Slovenia. Green means low content of critical nutrients, while red indicates high content of such constituents (25).

| Quality indicator | GREEN | ABMER | RED |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-----|
| [g/100 mL]        |       |       |     |
| FAT               | < 1.5 | 1.5–10 | > 10 |
| SATURATED FATTY ACIDS | < 0.75 | 0.75–2.5 | > 2.5 |
| SUGAR             | < 2.5 | 2.5–6.3 | > 6.3 |
| SALT              | < 0.3 | 0.3–1.5 | > 1.5 |

To compare the nutritional quality of the displayed items in vending machines to the displays in stores with the Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ) (21), the Nutrient Profiling Scoring Criterion...
FSANZ model was used. A similar approach was used in a recent assessment of the nutritional quality of foods available in Slovenian food supplies (22).

**Statistics**

Descriptive statistics expressed as averages with standard deviation (SD) or percentages were used in data analysis. Additionally, percentages of beverages categorized with dietary traffic light labelling were shown, which served as overall quality indicator of the displays in hospitals, community health centres and nursing homes.

**Results**

Altogether 188 institutions were surveyed, of which 134 had at least one food/beverage vending machine on their premises. No vending machines were present in 7.7% hospitals (2 out of 26), 12.5% community health centres (8 out of 64) and 44.9% nursing homes (44 out of 98). From the total of 5,625 products found in the vending machines, 54.2% (n = 3,046) products were beverages and were further investigated in this study. The analysis excluded products from non-standard vending machines, e.g. freshly squeezed orange juice or warm beverage vending machines.

When looking at beverages displayed in different types of institutions, 26.9% (n = 819) beverages were from hospital vending machines, 36.8% (n = 1,120) from community health centres vending machines and 36.3% (n = 1,107) from vending machines placed in nursing homes. Beverages amounted to slightly more than half, i.e. 54.2% (n = 3,046) of the products in vending machines, which varies depending on the type of institution, with 51.7% in hospitals, 52.8% in community health centres and 57.4% in nursing homes.

Overall quality indicators for fat content, saturated fats, sugars and salts showed that the main problem in beverages sold in vending machines were sugars; considering traffic light profiling between 51% and 54% of beverages fall into the red category. This is followed by the amber category regarding the sugar content (for 29% - 34% of beverages). Only 15% to 17% of beverages have been assigned a green category for the sugar content (Fig. 1).

As seen in Table 3, 82.3% out of 3046 beverages in vending machines were devoted to sugar-sweetened beverages. Smoothies accounted for only 0.4% and 100% juice for only 3.3% of beverages sold in vending machines. Out of 134 locations, smoothies were found at only five different locations, and 100% juices at only 51 different locations. Waters accounted for only 13.7% of the beverages, available in vending machines. A more detailed overview of results where additional subcategories with average calories, sugar content and results of nutrient profiling using the FSANZ model are shown in Table 3.
Beverage categorisation and sub categories share in relation to all beverages products in vending machines in health and social care institutions (n = 3.046). Average calories per product [kcal] and average sugar content in 100 ml for sub categories of beverages and proportion of less healthy products determined by nutrient profiling with FSANZ model.

| Beverages categorisation | Average from all health and social care institutions (n = 188) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
|                          | Total share in relation to all beverages listed [%] | Average calories [kcal in 100 mL] | Average sugar content [in 100 mL] | FSANZ (% less healthy products) |
|                          | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| Soft drinks              | 30.3 | 14.64 | 7.5 | 4.75 | 66.4 |
| Waters                   | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Coffee                   | 66.3 | 3.96 | 8.7 | 0.66 | 99.2 |
| Juices                   | 42.2 | 17.58 | 8.6 | 3.42 | 14.2 |
| Energy drinks            | 45.7 | 6.03 | 10.8 | 1.48 | 98.2 |

| Beverages sub categorisation |
|-----------------------------|
| non-carbonated beverages with sugar |
| 30.3 (n = 924) | 33.6 | 14.37 | 7.9 | 2.13 | 87.0 |
| carbonated beverages with sugar |
| 23.1 (n = 704) | 39.2 | 9.99 | 10.8 | 6.3 | 100.0 |
| sugar-tasting water |
| 18.6 (n = 568) | 18 | 4.47 | 4 | 0.38 | 0.0 |
| mineral water |
| 8 (n = 243) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| water |
| 5.7 (n = 174) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| iced coffee |
| 3.9 (n = 119) | 66.3 | 3.96 | 8.7 | 0.66 | 99.2 |
| Beverages categorisation | Average from all health and social care institutions (n = 188) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| energy drinks with sugar | 3.6 (n = 109) | 46.5 | 0.34 | 11 | 0.11 | 100.0 |
| 100% natural juice       | 3.3 (n = 102) | 40.4 | 16.96 | 8.4 | 3.38 | 15.7 |
| carbonated drinks with no sugar and added aspartame / acesulfame-k sweetener | 1.4 (n = 43) | 0.3 | 0.13 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| water with taste but no sugar | 1 (n = 31) | 2.3 | 0.16 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| non-alcoholic beer       | 0.5 (n = 16) | 19.4 | 9.15 | 4.5 | 2.06 | 56.2 |
| smoothie                 | 0.4 (n = 11) | 58.8 | 14.84 | 10.9 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
| energy drinks with no sugar and added aspartame / acesulfame-k sweetener | 0.1 (n = 2) | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |

According to nutrient profiling using the FSANZ model, about 60% (n = 1760) of all beverage front-face items available in vending machines can be considered as lower nutritional quality. Iced coffee and energy drinks present product categories with lower nutritional quality by FSANZ (99.2–98.2% of products), especially because of high sugar content and energy density, as well as absence of any beneficial nutrients. Among soft drinks, non-carbonated beverages contain slightly less sugar and less calories as carbonated beverages on average. Therefore, 13% of non-carbonated beverages, out of the whole sample, were evaluated as healthy by the FSANZ model, while another 87% and all 100% of carbonated beverages were evaluated as unhealthy. However, the minority of 100% natural juices are evaluated as less healthy (15.7%), because of high percent of fruit present and no added sugar, but still being a relatively high energy product. Similarly, smoothies were evaluated as healthy due to their higher fruit content, despite the naturally present sugar. Smoothies and iced coffee with added sugar are
standing out as products with the highest average calories per 100 ml, but a FSANZ evaluation is indicating major differences. While smoothies are high-calorie products at the expense of natural sugar present from fruits, iced coffee is considered high-calorie because of added sugar (average 8.7 g / 100 ml) and therefore 99.2% of iced coffee products are evaluated as less healthy. It should be noted that according to the FSANZ model, all non-sweetened beverages and beverages with added sweeteners were identified as healthy products (Table 3).

Also of note is that soft drinks offered in vending machines in health and social care institutions are displayed in 500 ml bottles in almost 60% of displays (Table 4).

### Table 4
Size of packaging for beverages available in vending machines in health and social care institutions (nbeverages = 3.046).

| Beverages category | Size of packaging [mL] | 0.2–0.35 [%] | 0.5 [%] |
|--------------------|------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Soft drinks        | 16.11 (n = 491)        | 58.93 (n = 1795) |
| Waters             | 0 (n = 0)              | 13.69 (n = 417)  |
| Coffee and tea     | 3.91 (n = 119)         | 0 (n = 0)      |
| Juices             | 3.71 (n = 113)         | 0 (n = 0)      |
| Energy drinks      | 3.09 (n = 94)          | 0.56 (n = 17)  |
| Total share [%]    | 26.82 (n = 817)        | 73.18 (n = 2229) |

### Discussion

When comparing beverage products from vending machines in different health and social care institution (hospital, community health centre, nursing home), the results do not significantly differ between the various institutions. We can estimate that the availability of beverages, as well as the nutritional quality of the beverages, is quite similar. In this study we found that 56% of all beverage front-face items available from vending machines is of lower nutritional quality. This proportion is slightly higher when compared to the beverages available in the Slovenian food supply, where 50% as was shown in a previous study (22). A recent international comparison (23) showed considerable potential for improving nutritional quality for beverages. Slovenia was in the bottom third with lower nutritional quality for beverages, and average sugar content of 7.5 g and 170 kJ per 100 ml (23). The average sugar content and average calories in beverages sold in vending machine are slightly lower when compared with an average sugar content of 6.7 g and 120 kJ per 100 ml in beverages sold in food stores. Beverages with no sugar and with non-sugar sweeteners are evaluated as healthier by FSANZ, however this is in contradiction with the WHO nutrient profile model (26), which does not support the addition of non-sugar sweeteners to beverages. The most notable problem in displayed beverages is a very high free sugar
content. This is not in line with the scientific opinions of several eminent professional associations that claim that children, adolescents and adults should drink water or unsweetened tea instead of sugar sweetened beverages and natural juices (8–11). WHO guidelines recommend adults and children to reduce their daily intake of free sugars to less than 10% (roughly 50 g) of total energy intake, while the European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology Hepatology and Nutrition (ESPGHAN) and British recommendations (SACN) recommend less than 5% of total energy intake (3.5-9 g/day for children and adolescents aged 2–18 years). Compared to the results of our survey, the recommended daily intake of free sugars is nearly used when consuming only one average 500 ml carbonated beverage with free sugar. Although 100% natural juices seem like a healthy option, free sugar is naturally occurring and in liquid form (not added sugar) in those products, which, in addition to high intake, also affect the risk of developing obesity and non-communicable diseases (10). When choosing one average sugar-sweetened beverage form a vending machine, containing approximately 140 kcal, the five to seven percent of recommend daily calorie intake for an adult person and up to 12% of recommend daily calorie intake for small children (27) is already used.

Considering the increasingly popular vending machines and the trend of eating out (28–32), vending machines can be considered as an important environmental factor contributing to the obesity epidemic. Our results comply with the findings of other studies that snacks and drinks at vending machines located in various settings have limited healthy choices and high-energy and low nutrient snacks are the first-choice options (1, 3, 4, 33–35). In this context, vending machines represent a potential point of intervention for changing buyers' eating habits to healthier choices (3). Foods and beverages sold in vending machines are increasingly being scrutinized and addressed by federal policies. As a result, calls for local authorities to develop programs to promote healthier vending machines have been made overseas (36–41). The Slovenian School Nutrition Law (42) has prohibited vending machines in Slovenian primary and secondary schools since 2012. However, placing vending machines, as well as the nutritional values of available products in social and healthcare institutions, are not regulated by law. Thus, even those consumers who are aware of a healthy diet at the moment of choosing, do not have the option of a healthy choice (35). Healthier vending machine programs have already been implemented abroad in various settings such as hospitals (43); city parks (44); public buildings, government offices (45) and schools and university campuses (46–50). Strategies that have already been used overseas mainly include enhancing healthier products on offer (43, 51–53) and changing prices and promoting healthier choices with posters, brands, and stickers (3, 4, 54–57).

Another concern that should be highlighted is that the majority of beverages are displayed in plastic packaging, which contributes to the high carbon footprint of bottled water (58, 59). Bottled water in Slovenia is also incomparably expensive compared with tap water (60). Used once, the plastic packaging ends up at the waste collection centre (or even in nature), where in Slovenia, only 26% of plastics are recycled, mostly in downgrading processes (60, 61). Approximately 36% of plastic packaging is re-used for energy by incineration (61), where toxic by-products, such as dioxins, are produced. Dioxins are toxic substances that show harmful effects on the skin and the immune system, are reproductive toxic and teratogenic, interfere with hormonal balance and are carcinogenic (62). Plastics will, under environmental
impacts, slowly degrade into micro plastics, for which bioaccumulation and bio magnification processes through the food chain can cause concern for human health (63, 64). As selling beverages in vending machines requires packaging, the disadvantages of plastics could be addressed by introducing alternative materials for packaging, such as bioplastics (65), glass, metals or paper and paperboard (66, 67).

**Conclusion**

Based on the above findings, we propose that regulatory guidelines should be included in the tender conditions for vending machines in health and social care institutions to ensure healthy food and beverage choices.
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Figures

Figure 1

Percentage (%) of beverages in the vending machines in health and social care institutions categorised into green/amber/red (traffic light profiling).