Expression of eosinophil in peripheral blood of patients with COVID-19 and its clinical significance
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Abstract
Aims: To investigate the eosinophil cell (EC) expression in peripheral blood of patients infected with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and its clinical significance of diagnosis and prognosis.

Methods: 95 patients, whose nucleic acid test of SARS-CoV-2 was positive to make a definite diagnosis of COVID-19, were selected as the study group. They were admitted at the Chengdu Public Health Clinical Medical Center from January 21 to March 2, 2020. Another 95 healthy subjects and 95 non-infectious fever patients during the same period were selected as the control group. The BC-6900 blood cell analyzer was used to continuously observe and detect ECs in 95 patients with COVID-19 and the control group. The differences in expression levels of ECs in peripheral blood were analyzed.

Results: ECs were significantly decreased in 95 (75.8%) COVID-19 patients \( (P < .01) \). The absolute EC count IQR was \( 0.01 \times 10^9/L \) \( (0 \times 10^9/L - 0.04 \times 10^9/L) \), and the EC percentage IQR was \( 0.3\% \) \( (0.1\% - 0.8\%) \). As the patients’ condition improved, the ECs returned to normal, but for those without improvement, ECs continued to decline.

Conclusions: ECs decreased remarkably in patients with COVID-19, and gradually returned to normal after the improvement of the patients’ condition, while EC continued to decrease in patients without improvement. It is suggested that ECs have certain clinical significance in the diagnosis and prognosis of COVID-19, and may be a useful index in the early warning of acute infectious diseases.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Since December 2019, multiple cases of patients infected by SARS-CoV-2 have been discovered in Wuhan, China. It has transmitted in an extremely short period to more than 100 countries, resulted in the infection of more than 100,000 people by March 07, 2020. SARS-CoV-2 belongs to Betacoronavirus Lineage β, Sarbecovirus. Current research indicated that SARS-CoV-2 showed a resemblance of more than 85% to batSL-CoVZC45. Transmission occurs primarily via respiratory droplets from coughs or sneezes and close contact. The main clinical manifestations of COVID-19 patients are fever, dry cough, and fatigue. Currently, the gold standard for the diagnosis of COVID-19 patients is the detection of SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid positive by real-time reverse transcriptional polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) reagent. Current research indicated the decreased white blood cell and lymphocyte count, increased C-reactive protein (CRP) and blood sedimentation, and abnormal procalcitonin in most patients. In severe cases, D-dimer increased and blood lymphocytes decreased progressively. When analyzing the complete blood counts of patients with COVID-19, we found abnormal changes in eosinophil cell (EC) values in many patients. However, there are few related studies on EC detection in COVID-19 patients. This study explores the expression level and clinical significance of EC in COVID-19 patients, in order to provide laboratory basis for the early diagnosis and treatment of COVID-19.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study population

95 patients, diagnosed with COVID-19, were selected as the research objects. They are all admitted at the Chengdu Public Health Clinical Medical Center from January 21 to March 2, 2020. The diagnostic criteria and clinical classification were determined by the new Coronavirus Pneumonia Diagnosis and Treatment Program (version 3-6) promulgated by the National Health Commission of China. The diagnostic criteria are that the detection of SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid is positive via real-time reverse transcriptional polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) reagent, and it is consistent with relevant epidemiological, clinical, laboratory, and imaging characteristics. According to the diagnosis and treatment program, clinical classification is divided into mild, ordinary, and severe, and critical severe COVID-19 patients meet one of the following conditions: ① shortness of breath, RR > 30 counts per minute; ② resting state, oxygen saturation <93%; ③ partial pressure of artery (PaO2)/fraction of inspiration (FiO2) <300 mmHg; and ④ pulmonary imaging showed that the lesions progress more than 50% within 24-48 hours. Critical COVID-19 patients meet one of the following conditions: ① Respiratory failure occurred and requires mechanical ventilation. ② Shock occurred; ICU monitoring and treatment were required for combined organ failure. Another 95 healthy subjects and 95 non-infectious fever patients during the same period were non-randomly selected as the control groups. There was no significant difference in comparison of baseline characteristics data such as age and gender between the three groups.

Chengdu Public Health Clinical Medical Center is one of the designated hospitals for inpatient treatment of COVID-19 patients. Demographic data, clinical characteristics (including medical history, exposure history, comorbidities, surgery history, signs and symptoms), chest computed tomography (CT) or X-ray results, and laboratory test results of each patient were obtained from the electronic medical record system. Analysis was performed by three independent researchers. Our research was approved by the Research Ethics Committee, West China Hospital, Sichuan University.

2.2 | Materials

The BC-6900 blood cell analyzer was applied to continuously observe and detect ECs in 95 patients with COVID-19 and the control groups via three-dimensional cell analysis technology. By analyzing the forward scattered light signal, side scattered light signal, and fluorescent signal of the cell particles, it achieves accurate detection of various cells and abnormal cells. Reference interval of EC count was $0.05 \times 10^9/L$ – $0.5 \times 10^9/L$; reference interval of EC percentage was 0.5%-5%.

2.3 | Data analysis

Continuous variable descriptions use median and interquartile range (IQR) values (when $n \leq 3$, the median, maximum, and minimum values are used for description). In order to compare the continuous variables of different patient groups, Mann-Whitney test was applied. The data were collected and organized with Microsoft Excel 2016, and the data analysis is completed by IBM SPSS statistics 21 software. Significance was defined at the 5% level ($P < .05$).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Level of EC in COVID-19 group, non-infectious fever group, and normal control group

In this study, 75.8% of patients with COVID-19 had lower absolute EC values than normal. The absolute value and relative value of the first EC measurement in COVID-19 patients were great lower than those in the normal control group, and there was significant difference between the two groups ($P < .01$). The absolute and relative values of EC in the non-infectious fever group were also lower than those in the normal control group ($P < .01$). Compared with non-infectious fever control group, the EC Value of COVID-19 patients was lower. According to the results, the relative value and the absolute value were both remarkably different ($P < .05$). (Table 1).
3.2 | EC level of COVID-19 patients before and after treatment

According to the dynamic observation of ECs in 95 patients with COVID-19 (3 dead cases included), 92 patients’ EC count recovered with the improvement of their condition ($P < .01$). The absolute value of EC in 3 cases of death continued to be low, sometimes the test value was zero, which was not improved compared with that at the time of admission ($P < .01$) (Tables 2 and 3).

3.3 | The lymphocyte number, lymphocyte percentage, procalcitonin, CRP, CD4/CD8 ratio of patients with COVID-19

According to the laboratory examination results of patients diagnosed with COVID-19 (Table 4), the number of lymphocytes in most patients decreased, the percentage of lymphocytes decreased, the concentration of CRP increased, while the patients with abnormal serum procalcitonin concentration and CD4/CD8 ratio accounted for less in all patients. According to the classification criteria, we included mild and ordinary patients in non-severe group, while severe and critical patients in severe group. There were significant differences in lymphocyte number, lymphocyte percentage, CRP, EC number, and EC percentage between the non-severe (mild and ordinary type) and severe (severe and critical type) groups. The decrease of lymphocytes and ECs was more obvious in severe groups. The concentration of CRP was higher.

4 | DISCUSSION

This study included 95 community-infected COVID-19 patients. Analysis of EC of the 95 patients with COVID-19 showed that 75.8% of them had significantly lower absolute and relative EC values than normal at the time of first admission. It was suggested that the decreased EC might be an indicator for SARS-CoV-2 infection in suspected patients. At present, there are many studies on inflammatory indexes such as lymphocytes in COVID-19, and few reports on ECs only point out the phenomenon of eosinopenia in patients. The main difficulty in the diagnosis of fever of unknown origin is the lack of effective and rapid diagnostic methods. The unknown epidemiological history and non-specific laboratory results often make the clinical diagnosis of the disease in trouble. However, the current study on many inflammatory indicators has not found specific indicators that are helpful to distinguish from common infection. In this study, compared with the non-infectious fever patients, the absolute value of EC in patients with COVID-19 was lower, and the difference was significant ($P < .01$), which may be helpful for the diagnosis and differential diagnosis of COVID-19. According to the current report, the patients with COVID-19 have the phenomenon of decrease in the total number of leukocytes and lymphocyte count in the early stage of the disease, while for the atypical patients without lymphocyte reduction and imaging changes, eosinopenia may become an important diagnostic clue.

ECs are a variety of white blood cells and one of the immune system components, which can produce a variety of pro-inflammatory mediators and immunoregulatory molecules. During the Th2 immune response, ECs from the bone marrow and blood are recruited to the site of inflammation to play a role. Clinically, eosinopenia is common in typhoid fever, paratyphoid fever, severe tissue damage after surgery, and after application of adrenocortical hormone or adrenal hormone. We found the phenomenon of eosinopenia in COVID-19 patients, which may be of reference value for improving the differential diagnosis of eosinopenia-related diseases.

Dynamic observation of ECs in COVID-19 patients showed that ECs gradually returned to normal as their condition improved, while ECs continued to decrease in severe or fatal cases. In 2 of the 3 fatal cases, the absolute count of the last EC measurement before the death of patients decreased to 0. The decrease of ECs might

| Number of cases | Cases of absolute value lower than normal value | Eosinophils ($\times 10^9$/L) | Eosinophil percentage (%) |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| COVID-19 group  | 95                                            | 72 (75.8%)                    | 0.01 (0-0.04)            | 0.3 (0.1-0.8) |
| Non-infectious fever group | 95                                          | 48 (50.5%)                    | 0.04 (0.01-0.12)        | 0.5 (0.1-1.6) |
| Normal control group | 95                                         | 1 (1.0%)                      | 0.13 (0.09-0.20)        | 2.2 (1.6-3.3) |

Note: $P$ values denoted the comparison between test value at admission and test value at discharge/improved condition.

### Table 2

| Number of cases | Eosinophils ($\times 10^9$/L) | $P$ value |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
|                 | Test value at admission       | Test value at discharge/improved condition |
| Improved group  | 92                            | 0.01 (0-0.05) | 0.1 (0.07-0.2) | <.01 |
| Death group     | 3                             | 0.01 (0-0.04) | 0 (0-0.03)     | >.1  |
be caused by the secretion of adrenocorticosteroids to enhance the anti-infective ability.\(^4\) This also suggested that the detection of ECs could have certain clinical significance in the development of COVID-19 and prognosis. After all, it is still difficult to judge whether the patient’s condition is really improved clinically. The detection of virus in throat swab, anal swab, and alveolar lavage fluid is widely used in hospitals. However, the test results will be affected by many factors in the collection process and test process, and false negative rate is not low.

PCT, as an index of inflammation, plays an important role in the diagnosis and treatment of infectious diseases. However, the inflammatory index pct of all patients was within the normal range, and there was no remarkable difference between mild and severe patients (\(P > .05\)). In contrast, there were obvious differences in lymphocyte number, lymphocyte percentage, CRP, EC number, and EC percentage between the non-severe (mild and ordinary type) and severe (severe and critical type) groups, which indicated that the degree of EC reduction was related to the severity of the disease, and can be used as one of the indicators of COVID-19 clinical typing. This has a certain clinical significance for the timely adjustment of the treatment plan of patients in the course of the disease.

Once the positive feedback loop in the patient’s immune effect is out of control, a large number of immune cells are activated to secrete a large number of cytokines, which will cause cytokine storm, that is, inflammation storm. When acute respiratory distress syndrome is caused by inflammatory storm, it is often time to use extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO).\(^5\) However, the inflammatory indexes and imaging manifestations that are used to judge the coming of inflammatory storm sometimes cannot change in time, leading to the delay of ECMO use. The change of ECs provides the possibility for us to predict the progression of the disease and adjust the treatment plan ahead of schedule.

Current research indicated that SARS-CoV-2 showed a resemblance of more than 85% to batSL-CoVZC45. The phenomenon of eosinopenia in COVID-19 patients has also drawn our attention to the change of eosinophils and lymphocytes percentage. Studies found that patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) also had remarkable eosinophil decline. The percentage and count of blood ECs in patients with SARS in the acute phase had a great difference compared with the suspected SARS (\(P < .01\)), which has certain reference value for the diagnosis of SARS.\(^6-8\) Another retrospective study based on the hospital found that the EC count of the patients with positive MERS-CoV was lower than that of the patients with negative MERS-CoV (0.27 ± 0.43 vs 2.13 ± 2.01, \(P < .001\)). This phenomenon may be related to acute viral infection and stress.\(^9\) The phenomenon of

### TABLE 3 EC percentage of COVID-19 patients before and after treatment

| Number of cases | Test value at admission | Test value at discharge/improved condition | \(P\) value |
|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------|
| Improved group  | 92                      | 0.3 (0.1-0.8)                            | 1.8 (1.1-1.2) | <.01 |
| Death group     | 3                       | 0.2 (0-0.8)                              | 0.1 (0-0.2)    | >.1  |

Note: \(P\) values denoted the comparison between test value at admission and test value at discharge/improved condition.

### TABLE 4 The lymphocyte number, lymphocyte percentage, procalcitonin, C-reactive protein, and CD4/CD8 ratio of patients with COVID-19

| Laboratory parameters | All patients (n = 95) | Non-severe patients (n = 65) | Severe patients (n = 30) | \(P\) value |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| Lymphocytes (\(\times 10^9 /\text{L};\) normal range 1.1-3.2) | 1.05 (0.64-1.50) | 1.24 (0.79-1.68) | 0.77 (0.48-1.24) | <.01 |
| Lymphocyte percentage (\%; normal range 20-50) | 20.9 (14.8-26.6) | 23.6 (17.6-28.9) | 15.5 (7.93-19.1) | <.01 |
| Procalcitonin (PCT) (ng/mL; normal range 0-0.1) | 0.02 (0.01-0.03) | 0.02 (0.02-0.03) | 0.03 (0.02-0.05) | <.05 |
| C-reactive protein (CRP) (mg/L; normal range 0-3) | 14.0 (5.62-32.1) | 8.90 (4.85-16.7) | 33.8 (19.3-55.0) | <.01 |
| CD4/CD8 ratio (normal range 1.4-2.0) | 1.54 (1.08-2.27) | 1.59 (1.28-2.27) | 1.46 (0.74-2.33) | >.05 |
| Eosinophils (\(\times 10^9 /\text{L};\) normal range 0.05-0.5) | 0.01 (0.0-0.04) | 0.02 (0.01-0.06) | 0.01 (0.0-0.01) | <.01 |
| Eosinophil percentage (\%; normal range 0.5%-5%) | 0.3 (0.1-0.8) | 0.4 (0.1-1.0) | 0.1 (0.0-0.33) | <.01 |

Note: \(P\) values denoted the comparison between non-severe and severe subgroups.
has also led us to further explore the immune damage of coronavirus. To this mechanism, it was found that virus replication is likely to be required for immunopathology induced by vaccination. This suggests that the cation, it was found that virus replication is likely to be required for immunopathology induced by vaccination.10 COVID-19, Middle East respiratory syndrome, and severe acute respiratory syndrome, suggests that coronavirus may damage the immune function of human body and cause immune damage syndrome by attacking the cells in bone marrow. Further study of this mechanism requires a large number of samples and subsequent retrospective analysis. It can be confirmed that this will play a beneficial role in the treatment, prevention, and vaccine development of coronavirus infection-related diseases.
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