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Abstract

Background: Defining the hepatic artery anatomy is of great importance for both surgeons and radiologists. Michel classification was designed to classify hepatic artery variations. Nevertheless, there are variations that do not fit into this classification. In this study, we aim to define the incidence of all variations in a healthy liver donor by reviewing their CT scan with special emphasis on variations that do not fit in any of the Michel classes.

Materials and methods: A retrospective analysis of CT scan of donors and potential liver donors who were evaluated by triphasic CT scan. The CT scans were reviewed independently by a radiologist and two transplant surgeons. Cases that did not fit in any of the Michel classes were classified as class 0.

Results: Out of 241 donors, 210 were classified within the Michel classification, of which 60.9 % were class I and 9.1% class II. Thirty-one donors (12.9%) classified as class 0. Of which, nine, three, two and three had replaced right hepatic artery from pancreaticoduodenal artery, gastroduodenal artery, aorta and celiac artery, respectively. Two and 6 donors had accessory right hepatic artery from pancreaticoduodenal artery and gastroduodenal artery respectively. Segment 4 artery originated from left and right hepatic artery in 56.8% and 31.9%, respectively.
Conclusions: A great caution should be taken when evaluating the hepatic artery anatomy, clinicians should anticipate and be familiar with the rare unclassified variations of the hepatic artery.

Key words: anatomical variations, computed tomography, hepatic artery, replaced right hepatic artery, gastroduodenal artery, pancreaticoduodenal artery

INTRODUCTION

The anatomical variation of the celiac trunk and its branches may affect the surgical approach of several organs such as stomach, duodenum, pancreas, gallbladder and most importantly the liver.(1) Hepatic artery variations were described in 55 % of cadavers by Michel.(2)

In 1995, Michel classification was established, with 10 different classes that described the hepatic artery anatomy and its variations.(3) These variations are of great importance for the transplant, laparoscopic, hepatobiliary, trauma surgeons and interventional radiologists.(4,5) There are several reports in the literature regarding hepatic artery variations that do not fit in Michel classification.(6-9)

In this article, we describe the anatomical variation of the hepatic artery of donors and potential liver donors that had a triphasic CT scan for anatomical evaluation, with special emphasis on variations that were not described by Michel.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Institutional review board approval was obtained and waived the need for informed consent. A retrospective analysis of prospectively collected data of all liver donors and potential donors during a two years period in our transplant center. All the arterial vascular anatomy was prospectively collected.

The CT scans of the donors were independently reviewed by two transplant surgeons and a senior radiologist using Myrian studio software by Intrasene.

The CT protocol

The donors were subjected to a triple phase multidetector CT scan using Siemens SOMATOM Definition Flash. An un-enhanced, hepatic venous, arterial and portal venous phases were obtained.
At a rate of 3.0 ml/s, a 1.5ml/kg of 300 mg/ml non-ionic iodinated contrast using a pressure injector was administered intravenously with an 18-20 gauge peripheral access catheter in the arm to all donors. After setting the trigger to a 100 Hounsfield unit (HU), the region of interest” (ROI) cursor was placed in the aorta just above the dome of the diaphragm. The Unenhanced and hepatic venous phases included the whole abdominal organ while the arterial, and portal phases were directed to the liver.

**Arterial classification:**

Donors who had a low-quality CT scan were excluded from the study.

The patients were classified according to Michel classification. Those who did not meet any of Michel classification subtypes were classified as class 0. Additional detailed description of the Class 0 type was given.

**RESULTS**

Out of the 263 donors and potential liver donors that have been evaluated in the study period, 241 were included in the study. The remaining 22 donors were excluded due to low quality CT scan. 210 patients met Michel classification subtypes of which 147 (60.9 %) were class I which is the most common. The second most common variation was class II with 22 donors (9.1%), followed by class III, 18 donors (7.5%). Table 1 demonstrates the number of patients in all classes.

Thirty-one donors (12.9%) did not fit in any of the classes of Michel classification and were classified as class 0 (Table 2). Of which, 9, 2, 3 and 6 had replaced right hepatic artery (RRHA) from pancreaticoduodenal artery (PDA) (Figure 1), accessory right hepatic artery (ARHA) from PDA, RRHA from gastroduodenal artery (GDA) (Figure 2), ARHA from GDA, respectively (Table 3). Two donors had an RRHA directly from the Aorta (Figure 3+4), three donors had RRHA from the celiac artery (Figure 5), and 1 had ARHA from celiac artery. Additional variations include two left hepatic arteries (LHA) from the proper hepatic artery (PHA) which was demonstrated in 5 donors. One case had a hepatic trunk that originated from the superior mesenteric artery (SMA) with accessory LHA from the left gastric artery and another case had an accessory segment 4 artery from the GDA. Table 2 demonstrates class 0 variation in detail.

It’s worth mentioning that some donors share more than one unclassified variation.
Segment 4 artery (S4) was also studied (Table 1 +3). In 19 patients, S4 could not be visualized.

In general, 137 donors (56.8%) had S4 from the LHA. Seventy-seventeen (31.9%) from the right hepatic artery (RHA). Two from the PHA, and 4 from the celiac artery. One donor had a segment 4 artery from both LHA and RHA. And one case had accessory S4 from the GDA.

**DISCUSSION**

The standard hepatic artery anatomy with the left and right hepatic artery arising from the common hepatic artery after giving of the gastroduodenal artery is present in up to 55% of the population.(3) Determining the hepatic artery variation is of utmost importance for the surgeon and the interventional radiologist.(4,5)

In our study, we found that the standard anatomy is present in 60.9% of the healthy donors, which is similar to what was previously described in the literature.(10)

From embryological point of view, there are four primitive splanchnic branches arises from aorta early during the embryo development. Those branches are connected by longitudinal anastomosis in their roots. Some of the branches disappear later during the embryo development. The CHA originates from this longitudinal anastomosis. Disappearance or retention of parts of this plexus could give numerous anatomical variations. (11,12)

One of the most widely accepted classification of the hepatic artery anatomical variation is Michel classification, which includes 10 classes.(3) In our study, 12.9% of the population did not fit in any of the classes of Michel classification and were classified as class 0. This relatively high incidence shows the value of identifying those variants. For example, in our sample we found that 9 (3.7%) cases have either replaced or accessory RHA from the GDA, these variations were barely mentioned in the literature and were limited to case reports.(13) Identifying these findings may be critical in the setting of liver transplantation when performing liver procurement, since this branch can be preserved by harvesting the GDA with its branches and keeping the RRHA or ARHA intact. Thus, minimizing the number of arterial anastomosis and in turn, decreasing the chance of arterial complications.

Furthermore, this variation may be of great importance for interventional radiologists especially when performing trans-arterial chemoembolization (TACE) for hepatocellular carcinoma, since this aberrant branch may feed the tumor.(14) Moreover, a great caution
should be taken when embolizing the GDA as a result of bleeding, due to the risk of cutting off the blood supply to the right segments of the liver in case of RRHA.

Another variation that is usually misclassified as class III or VI is when the RRHA or ARHA originate from the PDA or when they both share a common trunk. The importance of this variation arises when performing pancreaticoduodenectomy or when performing angiographic embolization of the rare PDA pseudoaneurysm. In case of pancreaticoduodenectomy as a result of a tumor, it is important to identify the arterial variations and their relation to the tumor in order to perform a safe surgery and to avoid vascular complications. Alternatively, it may be better to subclassify this variant as class III p or class VI p when the RRHA or ARHA originate from the PDA.

An additional variant is the RRHA directly from the Aorta, this variant may be important when performing angiography and TACE for HCC, since it may be challenging to access this artery. Similarly, the significance of this variation emerges during liver harvest in the setting of cadaveric donation because it may be confusing to the surgeon if he is not familiar with this rare variation.

Another rare variation is a case that had a hepatic trunk that originated from the SMA with accessory LHA from the left gastric artery, this variation may make pancreaticoduodenectomy procedure more challenging and may increase the vascular complication rate as was reported by Zhou Ye et al.

In this study, we also focused on identifying the root of the S4 hepatic artery (middle hepatic artery). Turning back to the literature including Michel classification, limited data is documented regarding the segment 4 arterial supply, and Michel classification didn’t include the S4 artery variation. It is worth noting that S4 hepatic artery determination is very important in the setting of live donor liver donation. For instance, when performing left lateral segmentectomy there might be a high probability of sacrificing it in case it arises from the left hepatic artery and in turn a higher chance of complications to the donor. On the contrary, when a right hepatectomy is to be performed, the arterial blood supply to segment 4 may be affected if the S4 artery originates from the right hepatic artery.

We believe that adding an additional class to Michel classification, with class 0 as a non-conventional variation, may help both the radiological and surgical team to develop a
common language and make the surgeon or the interventional radiologist more alert, and fully prepared for these anatomical variations during the procedure.

A limitation of this study is that it included both donors and potential donors so a correlation with operative findings is not possible. An additional limitation is that it did not include the hepatic duct or portal vein variations.

CONCLUSIONS

A meticulous pre-procedure imaging revision for identification of the hepatic artery variation and keeping in mind the possibility of non-conventional variations that were described in this study is a key for performing a successful procedure with minimal complications.
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S4 artery: Segment 4 hepatic artery; RHA: right hepatic artery; LHA: left hepatic artery; PHA: proper hepatic artery; L: left; R: right; AS4: accessory segment 4 artery; GDA: gastroduodenal artery.

**Table 1.** The distribution of cases in each class of Michel classification and the segment 4 artery origin in each class.
|                  | RHA-PHA | ARHA-PHA | RRHA-PHA | ARHA-GDA | RRHA-GDA | RRHA-Celiac | ARHA-Celiac | RRHA-Aorta | OTHERS |
|------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------|
| LHA-PHA          | 0       | 1        | 4*       | 3*       | 1        | 2           | 1           | 0          | 0      |
| ALHA-LGA         | 0       | 0        | 1        | 1        | 2        | 0           | 0           | 0          | 0      |
| RLHA-LGA         | 0       | 1        | 3        | 2        | 0        | 1           | 0           | 2          | 0      |
| Two LHA-PHA      | 4       | 0        | 1        | 0        | 0        | 0           | 0           | 0          | 0      |
| OTHER            | 0       | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0           | 0           | 0          | 2      |

*One patient had ARHA from GDA (small branch) and RRHA from PDA (Main branch)

LHA: left hepatic artery; RHA: right hepatic artery; PHA: proper hepatic artery; LGA: left gastric artery; ALHA: accessory left hepatic artery; RLHA: replaced left hepatic artery; ARHA: accessory right hepatic artery; RRHA: replaced right hepatic artery; PDA: pancreaticoduodenal artery; GDA: gastroduodenal artery.

Table 2. The characteristic of all class 0 (unclassified) cases
|    | ARHA- | RRHA- | LHA- | ALHA- | RLHA- | GDA | GDA | PHA | LGA | LGA | S4-L | S4-R | S4 not seen |
|----|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| 1  | *     |       | *    |       | *    |     |     |     |     |     | *    |     | seen      |
| 2  | *     |       | *    |       | *    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |           |
| 3  | *     |       | *    |       | *    |     |     |     |     |     | *    |     |           |
| 4  | *     |       |     | *    | *    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |           |
| 5  | *     |       |     | *    |       |     |     |     |     |     | *    |     |           |
| 6  |       | *     | *    |       |       |     |     |     |     |     | *    |     |           |
| 7  |       | *     | *    |       |       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |           |
| 8  |       |       |     | *    | *    |     |     |     |     |     | *    |     |           |

LHA: left hepatic artery; PHA: proper hepatic artery; LGA: left gastric artery; ALHA: accessory left hepatic artery; RLHA: replaced left hepatic artery; ARHA: accessory right hepatic artery; RRHA: replaced right hepatic artery; GDA: gastroduodenal artery; S4-L: segment 4 artery from the left hepatic artery; S4-R: segment 4 artery from the right hepatic artery.

**Table 3.** The anatomical details of replaced and accessory right hepatic artery cases that originate from the gastroduodenal artery
Figure 1. Computed tomography three dimension view: replaced right hepatic artery from pancreaticoduodenal artery (red arrow).

Figure 2. Computed tomography coronal view: Replaced right hepatic artery (red arrow) from the gastroduodenal artery (yellow arrow).

Figure 3. Computed tomography axial view: Replaced right hepatic artery from the aorta (red arrow).

Figure 4. Computed tomography axial view: Replaced right hepatic artery from the aorta (red arrow).

Figure 5. Computed tomography coronal view: Replaced right hepatic artery from the celiac artery (red arrow).
