GRB jets inside and outside the star: precursors and cosmological implications
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After many years of speculation, recent observations have confirmed the association of gamma-ray bursts with core-collapse supernova explosions from massive stars. This association carries with it important consequences. The burst relativistic jet has to propagate through the cold dense stellar material before it reaches the transparency radius and the burst photons are produced. This propagation is likely to affect the initial properties of the jet, shaping it and changing its energy composition. The variability injected at the base of the jet is also likely to be erased by the jet-star interaction. Despite this, GRBs seem to have remarkably predictable properties once the radiative phase sets in, as emphasized by the recent discovery of several tight correlation between spectral, geometric and energetic properties of the jet. In this contribution we discuss the jet interaction with the star, emphasizing its time-dependent properties and the resulting energy distribution. We finally emphasize the surprising predictability of jet and radiation properties outside the star and underline its implication for standardizing the GRB candle.

I. INTRODUCTION

After many years of speculation, the association of (at least some) long gamma-ray bursts with supernova (SN) explosions has been established. The first association was between GRB 980425 and SN1998bw. It was a problematic and highly debated association since it implied a highly peculiar nature for GRB 980425: an event with a normal fluence but a distance 100 times smaller than other GRBs, and therefore some four orders of magnitude fainter. In addition, GRB 980425 did not have a normal afterglow in any band. Nevertheless, the peculiar nature of the SN explosion and the temporal and spatial coincidence argued strongly for the association. After GRB 980425/SN1998bw, circumstantial evidence for more associations was claimed for higher redshift events, based on the appearance of a red bump in the late afterglow light curve.

More recently two additional events have strengthened the association, including - at least in one case - one GRB that has all the characteristics of classical cosmological events. GRB 030329 was the brightest GRB detected by HETE-2. It had a small redshift $z = 0.168$ and multi-epoch afterglow spectroscopy revealed the emergence of a SN spectrum approximately 15 days after the burst explosion. The spectrum and the overall supernova properties were remarkably similar to those of SN1998bw. Finally, GRB 031203, detected by INTEGRAL, looks like a twin to GRB 980425/SN1998bw.

The association bears new questions and riddles with it. First we know that the gamma-ray photons are produced by ultra-relativistic material. The progenitor star is however dense and massive, and any outflow collecting matter geometrically from the star will be slowed-down to sub-relativistic speed very effectively.

The entrained mass can be at most a small fraction of a solar mass $M_0 \leq 5.5 \times 10^{-6} E_51 \Gamma_2^{-1} M_5$. Simulations show that such a small contamination is possible (see below). The jet that reaches the surface of the star is then shaped by the interaction with the star itself. One would expect that, depending on the type of stellar progenitor, jet initial conditions and energetics, a great diversity of jets would emerge. After all type Ibc and type II supernovae show a remarkable diversity.

Even though at first sight GRB observations are characterized by a huge diversity, remarkable correlations have been discovered among jet properties. The first such correlation was discovered between the jet gamma-ray energy output (not corrected for the beaming) and the break time in the afterglow light curve. This correlation can be understood in terms of jets with the same total energy but beamed into different opening angles, or in terms of structured jets with an energy distribution such that $dE/d\Omega \propto \theta^{-2}$. A further correlation was discovered between the typical frequency of photons in the prompt emission (the peak of the $\nu F(\nu)$ spectrum) and the isotropic equivalent energy output in the prompt phase. According to this correlation the brighter the burst, the larger the typical frequency of photons. Finally, the tightest correlation of all was recently discovered between the typical photon energy and the beaming corrected gamma-ray output (under the assumption of a uniform jet). All these correlations, and especially those involving the photon frequency, have not been understood so far.

In this contribution we discuss the jet propagation inside the progenitor star. We consider in particular the effect of the diminishing collimating power of the star, which is blown apart by the energy dissipated by the jet as it opens its way out of the pro-
genitor. We show that this creates time dependent effects that may be responsible for the diversity in the γ-ray phase of the burst. Such effects, however, occur on short timescales, and therefore are not relevant in the afterglow phase, which is therefore more standardized. Finally, we discuss the opportunity offered by the Ghirlanda correlation [14] to use GRBs as standard candles to constrain the expansion history of the universe at redshifts much larger than the range sampled by type Ia SNe [15].

II. THE STAR-COCOON-JET INTERACTIONS

Consider a jet generated at the core of a massive star (at a radius $R_0$), characterized by a luminosity $L_j$, an initial Lorentz factor $\Gamma_0 \gtrsim 1$, a dimensionless entropy $\eta = L_j/(M c^2)$ (where $M$ is the rest mass ejection rate) and an initial opening angle $\theta_0$ (or, alternatively, a stagnation pressure $p_{stag} = L_j \Gamma_0^2/(4\pi c R_0^2 \theta_0^2)$). The jet attempts to propagate through the stellar material. As soon as the head of the jet reaches supersonic velocity, a double shock structure develops at the head of the jet. The speed of the head of the jet (the contact discontinuity) can be computed by balancing the pressure of the jet material with that of the cold stellar matter. Pressure balance reads [17, 18]:

$$p_j h_j (\Gamma_j)^{2} c^2 + p_j = \rho_\star h_\star (\Gamma_\star)^{2} c^2 + p_\star$$

(1)

where $\rho$ is the density, $h$ the enthalpy and $p$ the pressure. Subscripts are $j$ for jet material, $h_j$ for the jet material relative to the head of the jet, $h_\star$ for the jet head relative to the star and $\star$ for the stellar material. This equation, if we neglect the pressure terms on both sides, can be solved to give the motion of the jet head in the stellar material [17]:

$$\beta_\star = \frac{\sqrt{\Gamma_j^2 \beta_j}}{1 + \sqrt{\Gamma_j^2 \beta_j}}$$

(2)

where $\beta_j = \rho_j h_j/\rho_\star$.

The actual structure of the head of the jet is made by a contact discontinuity that separates jet material from stellar material, two thin regions of shocked material (shocked jet and shocked stellar material) and two shocks (a forward bow shock propagating into the star and a reverse shock into the jet). The shocked material is over-pressured and flows to the sides of the jet, feeding a cocoon.

The cocoon material is over-pressured with respect to both the star and the un-shocked jet. It therefore expands, driving a shock into the cold stellar material and collimating the jet until pressure balance is reached. We assume an adiabatic flow, since the jet is optically thick inside the star. As a consequence, the Lorentz factor scales as $\Gamma_j \propto \Sigma_j^{1/2}$, where $\Sigma_j$ is the area of the jet. This relation, which is valid only if dissipation is negligible, allows us to compute the jet pressure as a function only of $\Sigma_j$ and to derive consequently the Lorentz factor. The cocoon evolution can be computed by applying the first law of thermodynamics:

$$d(\epsilon_c, V_c) = dQ - p_c dV_c$$

(3)

where $\epsilon_c$ is the cocoon energy density and $V_c$ is the volume of the cocoon. We assume that the cocoon pressure is uniform, so that $p_c$ only depends on time. The term $dQ = L_j (1 - \beta_h) dt$ is the energy injected in the form of shocked jet material. The evolution of the cocoon volume $V_c$ reads:

$$\frac{dV_c}{dt} = 2\pi \int_{R_0}^{R} R_\perp (r, t) v_{sh}(r, t) dr$$

(4)

where $R_\perp$ is the transverse size of the cocoon (which is a function of radius and time) and $v_{sh}$ is the speed of the shock driven by the cocoon pressure into the stellar material. It can be computed under the Kompaneets approximation [19] by balancing the cocoon pressure against the ram pressure exerted by the cold stellar material on the expanding cocoon: $v_{sh} = \sqrt{\epsilon_c/3 \rho_\star}$.

The above set of equations form a solvable system of differential equations. An analytic solution is not possible, especially if the time-dependent evolution of the cocoon needs to be taken into account.

To show some examples of the cocoon evolution we assume a star with mass $M_\star = 15 M_\odot$, with radius $R_\star = 10^{11}$ cm and $\rho_\star \propto r^{-\alpha}$. Figure 1 shows the cocoon evolution at fixed times (indicated in the panels). The star has a power-law density profile $\rho_\star \propto r^{-2.5}$ between $10^8$ and $10^{11}$ cm. No funnel pre-evacuation is assumed. The star is a power-law density profile $\rho_\star \propto r^{-\alpha}$ at $r_0 = 10^8$ cm with $\theta_0 = 20^\circ$ and $\Gamma_0 = 1.5$. A recollimation shock immediately collimates and slows down the jet (upper left panel of Fig. 1). The jet emerges on the stellar surface after $\sim 5$ s. A total energy of $1.5 \times 10^{51}$ erg has been dissipated to create the jet path into the star. Of this energy, $\sim 4 \times 10^{50}$ erg are stored into the cocoon plasma, while $\sim 10^{51}$ erg are given to the star through the cocoon shock. Such energy is of the order of the explosion energy of Ic SNe and enough to unbind the star. The jet reaches the star surface with an opening angle of $\sim 1^\circ$ and a Lorentz factor $\Gamma_{br} \approx 24$ and is therefore in causal contact.

Figure 2 shows a similar simulation but where an exponential cutoff of the stellar density of the form $\exp[-r/(10^{10} cm)]$ has been added to simulate a more realistic profile. The main effect of this change is on the shape of the cocoon that opens as the exponential cutoff is reached. Also, the jet is somewhat larger and has a larger Lorentz factor so that it turns out...
III. PRECURSORS

As the jet pierces the stellar surface, it simultaneously opens a channel for the release of the cocoon. The cocoon material therefore expands out of the star. The actual dynamics and radiative properties of the cocoon are somewhat uncertain and several different predictions have been made [20, 21, 22, 23]. What is clear is that a total energy of several $10^{50}$ ergs is released almost isotropically.

Observationally, associating a precursor with a transient event is challenging, if at all possible. Attempts have been made [24, 25] adopting conservative definitions, and therefore only the tip of the iceberg was likely discovered. Figure 8 shows a sub-sample of the precursors discovered by Lazzati [25] in an analysis of ~ 100 bright long BATSE GRBs. He defined precursors as activity that is detected before the GRB trigger from the same direction as the GRB. The detected precursors are somewhat puzzling. A precursor related to the jet release or to any event that takes place before the $\gamma$-rays of the prompt phase are released is supposed to precede the GRB by:

$$\Delta T = \frac{R_\star}{c \Gamma^2} \sim 0.03 R_{13} \Gamma^{-2} \text{ s}$$

where $R_{13}$ is the cocoon energy density and $c_s = c/\sqrt{3}$ is the sound speed of the relativistic gas. Writing the cocoon volume as $V_c \sim \Sigma_c r_\star$, we can obtain the pressure evolution:

$$p_c = p_{c,br} \exp \left( -\frac{ct}{\sqrt{3} r_\star} \right)$$

where $p_{c,br}$ is the cocoon pressure at the moment of shock breakout. As the cocoon pressure decreases, fresh jet material passing through the cocoon is less tightly collimated. Under isentropic conditions the jet Lorentz factor increases linearly with the opening angle and pressure balance yields $\theta_j \propto p_c^{-1/4}$, implying an exponentially increasing opening angle of the form $\exp(\theta_j)$.

Dissipative jet propagation gives similar results (with merely a different numerical coefficient $\sim O(1)$ inside the exponential), provided that $\Gamma$ varies roughly as a power of $\Sigma_j$.

V. JET STRUCTURE AT LARGE RADII

The angular distribution of integrated energy, as observed in the afterglow phase, is computed by integrating the instantaneous luminosity per unit solid angle from the moment the jet becomes visible along a given line of sight ($t_{l.o.s.}$) until the end of the burst:

$$\frac{dE}{d\Omega} = \int_{T_{GRB}}^{\infty} dL \frac{dL}{d\Omega} dt \sim \int_{T_{GRB}}^{\infty} \frac{L(t) dt}{\pi \theta_j^2(t)}.$$
FIG. 1: Stills from a movie showing the jet and cocoon evolution inside a $15 \, M_\odot$ star with a density profile $\rho \propto r^{-2.5}$ and a radius of $10^{11}$ cm. The jet is injected at a radius $r_0 = 10^8$ cm with an opening angle $\theta_0 = 20^\circ$, a Lorentz factor $\Gamma_0 = 1.5$ and a luminosity $L_j = 10^{51}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Note that the scale of figures is enlarged to emphasize the shape of the cocoon.
FIG. 2: Same as Fig. 1 but for a star with an exponential cutoff in the density at large radii.
In the above equations we have assumed for simplicity that the jet reaching the surface of the star is uniform. As shown by simulations, it is more likely that a Gaussian jet emerges from the star. On the contrary, boundary layers may be produced by the interaction of the jet with the collimating star, resulting in edge brightened jets (see the inset of Fig. 4). It can be shown easily that the $\theta^{-2}$ pattern does not depend on the assumption of uniformity. Fig. 4 shows the integrated energy distribution for uniform, Gaussian and hollow intrinsic jets. Even though small differences are present at the edges (the jet core and the outskirts), the general behavior is always $dE/d\Omega \propto \theta^{-2}$.

FIG. 3: Atlas of a fraction of the precursors detected in a sample of bright long BATSE GRBs.
FIG. 4: Energy distribution for the afterglow phase for three instantaneous beam patterns (see inset). In all three cases a well defined $dE/d\Omega \propto \theta^{-2}$ section is clearly visible. Only the edge of the jet and its core show marginal differences. The results shown are for a jet/star with $L = 10^{51}$ erg s$^{-1}$, $T_{\text{GRB}} = 40$ s and $r_\star = 10^{11}$ cm. Inset: Instantaneous beam patterns that reach the surface of the star. The solid line shows a uniform jet, dashed line shows a Gaussian energy distribution, while the dash-dotted line shows an edge brightened (or hollow) jet.

VI. THE $E_\gamma - \epsilon_{\text{peak}}$ CORRELATION

Correlations between observed (and intrinsic) quantities are one of the most remarkable results on the general properties of GRB jets. They tell us that, despite the varied behavior of the observed jet properties, they are not entirely random but follow a well defined path. Among such correlations the more recent and tightest is the so-called Ghirlanda correlation that finds a correspondence between the beaming corrected $\gamma$-ray energy release of the prompt emission and the typical photon frequency (the peak of the $\nu F(\nu)$ spectrum). This correlation is so tight that, within the present accuracy, it is consistent with being an exact relation. The debate is however alive on this issue.

The correlation is shown in Fig. 5. On the right of the same graph the Amati correlation is shown for comparison. The origin of these correlation is not understood so far. It is believed that they may be due to a less dominant role of synchrotron in the prompt radiative phase of the burst.

VII. STANDARD CANDLES AND COSMOGRAPHY

The Ghirlanda correlation is so tight that it can be used to standardize the GRB candle. If one is able to measure the break time of the GRB afterglow (and therefore constrain the jet opening angle) and the typical frequency of photons in the $\gamma$-ray spectrum, it is possible to predict the intrinsic energy radiated in $\gamma$-rays in the prompt phase. This allows one to measure the luminosity distance independently of redshift. If, in addition, a redshift measurement is available, we can draw a Hubble diagram and fit cosmological models to it.

Figure 5 shows the GRBs and SNe Hubble diagram before and after the empirical corrections (stretch-luminosity for SNe and Ghirlanda for GRBs). Figure 6 shows instead the constraints that can be obtained in the $\Omega_M - \Omega_\Lambda$ plane with SNe, GRBs and a simultaneous fit of the two samples. Even though the simultaneous fit is clearly dominated by SNe, the perspective for GRBs, should the Ghirlanda correlation be confirmed, are very good. Their appeal is, more than to give an independent confirmation of the SNe result, to allow for an expansion to high redshift of the universe that can be sampled. This is an ideal set-up to investigate on the possible evolution of the properties of $\Omega_\Lambda$ to understand better the origin of dark energy.

With the launch of Swift, it is envisaged that the sample of GRBs with all the necessary data will soon become comparable to the SN sample. Fig. 7 shows a prediction of how the cosmological constraints from...
FIG. 6: Classical Hubble diagram in the form of luminosity–distance $D_L$ vs redshift $z$ for Supernova Ia and GRB. In the top panel the SN Ia and GRBs are treated as standard candles (no corrections applied); for GRBs $E_\gamma = 10^{51}$ erg is assumed. In the bottom panel we have applied the stretching–luminosity and the $E_\gamma - E_{\text{peak}}$ relations to SN Ia and GRBs, respectively. Note that, for GRBs, the applied correction depends upon the specific assumed cosmology, here for simplicity we assume the standard $\Omega_M = 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$ cosmology. Both panels also show different $D_L(z)$ curves, as labeled.

GRBs in the Swift era may look.

VIII. DISCUSSION

We have discussed the properties of GRB jets within the framework of the GRB-SN association. We showed that the interaction of the jet with the star produces a cocoon that modifies the jet properties. Even though the jet may be released in a stationary process, with constant properties in time, the interaction with the star and the cocoon creates a time-dependent opening angle of the jet. In the prompt phase the main consequence is that the beginning of the $\gamma$-ray emission depends on the observer. In the afterglow phase, when the time scales of the prompt emission are irrelevant, the jet is seen as a structured outflow with a well defined profile. This, with some additional constraints on the luminosity and duration of the energy injection, can create a universal jet profile.

Observationally, the properties of GRB jets are not independent. In particular, the Ghirlanda correlation tells us that if the jet opening angle (or viewing angle) and the total energy output are known, the typical frequency of photons can be predicted. The correlation is so tight that can be used, analogously to the stretch-luminosity correlation in type Ia SN, to standardize the GRB candle. GRBs can therefore be used as high redshift standard candles to constrain any possible evolution in the properties of the dark energy.
Acknowledgments

This research has been partly supported by the NSF grant AST-0307507

[1] Woosley S. E., 1993, ApJ, 405, 273
[2] Paczynski B., 1998, ApJ, 494, L45
[3] Galama T. J., et al., 1998, Nature, 395, 670
[4] Zeh A., Klose S., Hartmann D. H., 2004, ApJ, 609, 952
[5] Vanderspek R., et al., 2004, ApJ, 617, 1251
[6] Stanek K. Z., et al., 2003, ApJ, 591, L17
[7] Hjorth J., et al., 2003, Nature, 423, 847
[8] Matheson T., et al., 2003, ApJ, 599, 394
[9] Malesani D., et al., 2004, ApJ, 609, L5
[10] Frail D. A., et al., 2001, ApJ, 562, L55
[11] Panaitescu A., Kumar P., 2001, ApJ, 554, 667
[12] Rossi E., Lazzati D., Rees M. J., 2002, MNRAS, 332, 945
[13] Amati L., et al., 2002, A&A, 390, 81
[14] Ghirlanda G., Ghisellini G., Lazzati D., 2004, ApJ, 616, 331
[15] Lazzati D., Begelman M. C., 2005, ApJ subm. (astro-ph/0502084)
[16] Ghirlanda G., Ghisellini G., Lazzati D., Firmani C., 2004, ApJ, 613, L13
[17] Marti J. M., Mueller E., Ibanez J. M., 1994, A&A, 281, L9
[18] Matzner C. D., 2003, MNRAS, 345, 575
[19] Kompaneets A. S., 1960, Soviet Phys. Dokl., 5, 46
[20] Mészáros P., Rees M. J., 2001, ApJ, 556, L37
[21] Ramirez-Ruiz E., MacFadyen A. I., Lazzati D., 2002, MNRAS, 331, 197
[22] Ramirez-Ruiz E., Celotti A., Rees M. J., 2002, MNRAS, 337, 1349
[23] Waxman E., Mészáros P., 2003, ApJ, 584, 390
[24] Koshut T. M., Kouveliotou C., Paciesas W. S., van Paradijs J., Pendleton G. N., Briggs M. S., Fishman G. J., Meegan C. A., 1995, ApJ, 452, 145
[25] Lazzati D., 2005, MNRAS, 357, 722
[26] Paczynski B, Haensel P., 2005, MNRAS submitted (astro-ph/0502297)
[27] Zhang B., Mészáros P., 2002, ApJ, 571, 876
[28] Salmonson J. D., 2003, ApJ, 592, 1002
[29] Lamb D. Q., Donaghy T. Q., Graziani C., 2005, ApJ, 620, 355
[30] Zhang W., Woosley S. E., MacFadyen A. I., 2003, ApJ, 586, 356
[31] Friedman A. S., Bloom J. S., 2005, ApJ submitted (astro-ph/0408413)
[32] Rees M. J., Meszaros P., 2005, ApJ submitted (astro-ph/0412702)
[33] Firmani C., Ghisellini G., Ghirlanda G., Avila-Reese V., 2005, MNRAS in press (astro-ph/0501395)
[34] Riess A. G., et al., 2004, ApJ, 607, 665
[35] Phillips M. M., 1993, ApJ, 413, L105
[36] An alternative explanation invoking the creation of a quark star has been recently put forward but
[37] Note that, if the jet is causally connected at breakout, the jet would freely expand to an angle θ_j > 1/Γ_j,br of the order of a few degrees. This would result in an initially constant opening angle. The only effect on the final energy distribution of eq. is to increase the size of the jet core from θ_j,br to 1/Γ_j,br.