Stress and Performance of Elementary School Teachers of Southern Papua: A Survey Approach
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Abstract This study aimed at describing the potential effect of teachers’ work-related stress on their job performance in the elementary schools of Southern Papua, Indonesia. To attain this objective, we employed a quantitative approach using a survey research design. Two quantitative survey questionnaires using a four point Likert’s scale were administered to 1062 teacher respondents which were incidentally drawn from amongst 3078 elementary schools’ teachers of Southern Papua. Data were analyzed quantitatively using simple linear regression analysis technique by employing Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21. Result of data analysis revealed a significant negative effect of teachers’ stress on their job performance in the elementary schools of Southern Papua. It means that the lower teachers’ stress the higher teachers’ job performance, the higher teachers’ stress the lower teachers’ job performance. Finding of this study may theoretically contribute a valuable extension of the existing researches as it gives an insight into the stress and job performance of elementary school teachers who survive in the challenging context of Southern Papua, Indonesia.
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1. Introduction

Teachers are the foundation stone for developing and advancing the construction of any society and of nation alike, and they aspire for working in conducive atmosphere that enables teachers to do more than what are required from them [1]. Poor working conditions facing the elementary school teachers of Southern Papua, as it was indicated by Wea et al. [2] may impose stress on teachers individually. Though there has been a brilliant effort of Indonesian Government to recognize and to appreciate the hard-work of a teacher by doubling a teacher’s take home pay, teaching remains a very stressful profession for those teachers who are working in the remote elementary schools of Southern Papua [3-4].

Job stress is a major problem for organization and individual alike [5]. Job stress is a common work-place problems facing all the professionals irrespective of their nature of work [6]. Hans Hugo Bruno Selye (1907-1982) was the first to use the word stress in the context of biomedicine. Selye [7] viewed stress as the non-specific response of body, either positive or negative, to any demand. Selye [7] asserted that stress is not necessarily something bad or something to be avoided because “success can be beneficial where that of illness or failure can be detrimental” [8]. In this point of view, a certain amount of stress is needed to motivate individuals into action or helping one to remain productive and challenged at work.

Since 1956, a growing amount of studies have been conducted on this topic and the idea of stress has also moved from a “both good and bad thing” to “a bad thing” with a range of harmful and long-term effect. Not surprisingly if Selye [9, 66] viewed stress as the state manifested by a specific syndrome which consists of all the nonspecifically induced changes within a biologic system”, while [10] viewed stress as a person’s adaptive response to a stimulus that places excessive psychological or physical demand on that person. Whereas Nair [6] viewed stress as an unpleasant state of emotional arousal that a person experiences in situations that he/she perceives as dangerous or threatening. Despite of no definition of stress has been universally accepted, what is consistent in the literature is that feeling experienced when a person perceives that demands of the work-environment exceed the personal and social resources. Although job stress is not a disease, prolonged stress can have a huge impact on overall health such as asthma, high blood pressure, mood
and sleep disturbances, headaches, and fatigue [6] and produce a negative impact on performance [11].

Paulse [12] classified the sources of stress into several groups as follows: (a) stress related to organizational factors such as organizational structure, climate, and leadership; (b) task demands such as working conditions and overtime; (c) stress related to the role of organization such role overload and responsibility, role ambiguity, and role conflict; (d) stress related to individual factors such as negative affectivity and self-efficacy; and (e) stress related to extra-organization such as social support. While Nair [6] pointed out numerous sources of stress as follows: (a) heavy workload, (b) unpleasant work practices, (c) work pressure, (d) management techniques and approaches, (e) work environment, (f) no clear job descriptions or career prospects, (g) lack of support from superiors and non-cooperation of subordinates, (h) lack of participation in decision-making, (i) heavy responsibility without sufficient authority and decision-making power, (j) time deadlines to finish the work, (k) inadequate time to attain the target, (l) lack of recognition or reward for better performance, and (m) absence of grievance redress mechanism. Whereas Health Safety Executive [13, 3] identified six management standards to cover six main sources of job-stress as follows: (a) demands: referring to workload, work pattern, and work environment; (b) control: referring to whether a person has a say in the way he/she does his/her work; (c) support: referring to the encouragement, sponsorship, and resources provided by the organization, the supervisors, and the colleagues; (d) role: referring to whether all the employees understand their roles and whether the organization ensures that they do not have conflicting roles; and (e) change: referring to how organizational change (large or small) is managed and communicated within the organization; and (f) relationships: referring to the effort of promoting positive work and of avoiding conflict and unacceptable behavior.

Job stress has long been recognized as one of the prevalent contributor factors to employees’ performance, either positively or negatively [7, 11, 14-19]. Job performance is the observable behavior that employees do in their work that are relevant to the goals of an organization [20]. Job performance of employees is of the most critical subject for organizational outcomes and success. There are various definition of job performance. Henry and Jenkins [21], for example viewed job performance as an activity in which an employee is able to successfully accomplish a given task subject to the normal constrains of reasonable utilization of the available resources. Meanwhile Motowidlo et al. [22] viewed job performance as the aggregated value to the organization of the discrete behavioral episodes that an individual performs over an established period of time. Whereas Mathis and Jackson [23] opined that performance is associated with the quantity and quality of output, timeliness of output, attendance at work, efficiency and effectiveness of the work completed.

Despite of no universal agreement on the concept of job performance, what is consistent in the literature is that the activity in which a person is able to successfully accomplish the assigned task. Borman and Motowidlo [24] classified performance into two dimensions, namely task performance and contextual performance, which distinguishes between behavior that directly related to the job and behavior that contribute to organization outcomes in ways that are not related directly to the core job functions [25]. Borman and Motowidlo [26] pointed out three basic assumptions related to the differentiation between task and contextual performance as follows: (a) activities relevant to task performance vary between jobs, while contextual performance activities are relatively similar across jobs; (b) task performance is related to ability, while contextual performance is related to personality and motivation; and (c) task performance is more prescribed and constitutes in-role behavior, while contextual performance is more discretionary and extra-role.

Task performance refers to the core technical behaviors and activities involved in a particular job. All core technical behaviors in task performance are tightly regulated. Each element of task performance has a specified minimum level of performance and there are standardized management procedures for the employees who fail to perform any of these behaviors at the required standards [27]. Campbell et al. [20] indicated that among the eight performance components proposed by Campbell in 1990, five components of which refer to task performance. These five components are follows: (a) job-specific task proficiency; (b) non-job-specific task proficiency; (c) written and oral communication proficiency; (d) supervision – in the case of a supervisory or leadership position – and (e) management/administration [28]. Examples of task performance are performing communication task, maintaining good working relationship, and operating facilities.

Contextual performance refers to behaviors (such as helping coworkers and defending the organization) that support the environment in which the technical core operates. Different from task performance, contextual performance is not regulated because there is no minimum standard required for each behavior [27]. Contextual performance was grouped by Sonentag and Freze [28, 6-7] into two broad categories as follows: (a) behaviors which aim primarily at the smooth functioning of a particular organization as it is at the present moment, and (b) proactive behaviors which aim primarily at changing and improving work procedures and organizational processes. Examples of contextual performance are demonstrating extra effort, following organization rules and procedures, assisting and coordinating with others, and alerting colleagues about work-related problems [23] [26].

A growing amount of studies [29-32] have proved that task and contextual performance cannot only be conceptually distinguished, but also empirically separated.
For instance abilities and skills tend to predict task performance, while personality and related factors tend to predict contextual performance [24] [33]. Borman et al. [34] found that personality correlates more highly with organizational citizenship behaviors than with task performance, while Jenkins and Griffith [35] argued that when the personality variables used to predict job performance derived from job analysis, they predict task-related performance and contextual performance as well.

The term ‘stress’ here refers to teachers’ work-related stress. Bakare [36] referred to teaching as one of the most stressful profession compare to others such as police force, fire brigade, and nursing. Robinson [37] argued that teachers stress is almost as varied as the individuals themselves and most of stress are manifested in one of the following three ways: attitude, physical well-being, or performance [37]. Kyriacou and Chien [38] posited that sources of stress facing a particular teacher are unique, depend on the precise complex interaction between the teacher’s personality, values, skills, and circumstances.

As aforementioned, teachers stress has long been widely recognized as link to teaching performance [39-50]. Therefore, it is essential for this study to closely measure the effect of teachers’ stress on their job performance in the elementary schools of Southern Papua. We are inspired to investigate the topic in order to address the regional need of elementary schools' teachers of Southern Papua due to that of most of the elementary schools are located in hinterland and, therefore, are difficult to reach (see Table 1).

| No. | District     | Area               | Astronomical Position                  | Number of Schools | Number of Teachers | Number of Samples |
|-----|--------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1   | Asmat        | 29,658 km² (Hinterland) | 1370 – 1400 East Longitude 040 – 070 South Latitude 1390 90° – 1410 | 117               | 762               | 7                 |
| 2   | Boven Digoel | 27,836.68 km² (Hinterland) | East Longitude 040 98° – 070 10° South Latitude 1370 29° – 1390 52° East | 81                | 412               | 352               |
| 3   | Mappi        | 28,518 km² (Hinterland) | East Longitude 040 4° – 090 02° South Latitude 1370 – 1410 | 151               | 264               | 53                |
| 4   | Merauke      | 45,071 km²          | East Longitude 060 – 090 South Latitude 1380 45° – 1400 14° East | 203               | 1300              | 648               |
| 5   | Yahukimo     | 17,152 km² (Hinterland) | East Longitude 030 39° – 050 02° South Latitude 1370 – 1410 | 91                | 340               | 2                 |
|     | Total        | 148,24.68 km²       |                                        | 643               | 3078              | 1062              |

Adopted from Werang et al. [4] with modification
Research data were statistically examined using simple linear regression analysis technique by employing the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21. One research hypothesis (H1) was proposed to be examined that is teachers’ stress will have significant negatively effect on their job performance in the elementary schools of Southern Papua. This hypothesis was tested using the coefficient value of alpha (α) = .05 or the confidence level of 95%.

The teachers’ job performance was measured using questionnaire items from a study conducted by Wolomasi et al. [53]. This variable was measured using 15 items of questionnaire, scored from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 4 (Strongly Agree). An Indonesian language version of the questionnaire was self-administered to the total number of 1062 elementary school teachers who have incidentally been established as respondents. All teacher-respondents were asked to respond each item of questionnaires on a four-point Likert’s scale, that are ‘Strongly Disagree’ (SD), ‘Disagree’ (D), ‘Agree’ (A), and ‘Strongly Agree’ (SA). Sample of the English version of items are follows: “I help students improve their learning process and class improvement”, “I prepare teaching materials at the beginning of the school year”, “I evaluate students work diligently”, “I personally provide learning which are not provided by the school”, “I use diverse learning media to teach for understanding”, “I use diverse learning method and strategies to teach for understanding”, “I always take an opportunity to participate in education conferences or seminars to upgrade knowledge and skills”, and “I give chance for students to lead the class-discussion”.

Teachers’ stress was measured by modifying items of questionnaires developed by Kyriacou and Chien [38]. This variable was measured using 9 items of questionnaire, scored from 1 (No Stress) to 4 (Extreme Stress). An Indonesian language version of the questionnaire were self-administered to the total number of 1062 elementary school teachers who have incidentally been established as respondents. All respondents were asked to respond each item of questionnaires on a four-point Likert’s scale, that are ‘No Stress’ (NS), ‘Little Stress’ (LS), ‘A Lot of Stress’ (ALoS), and ‘Extreme Stress’ (ES). Sample of the English version of items are follows: “Changeable education curriculum and policy of the government”, “Parents’ unawareness on the importance of education”, “Poor working conditions”, “Management style of the school principal”, “Not enough teaching resources”, “Students who lack motivation”.

3. Result and Discussion

In nowadays community where industrialization and technology become an integrating part of one’s life, being a teacher is extremely stressful comparing to other professions or jobs [38,54-56]. Nowadays teachers are being constantly bombarded with many tasks and responsibilities [37], inside or outside the classroom. These tasks and responsibilities may lead to a chronic stress. When high demands of communities and stress are combined then the poor teacher performance will increase.

This study attempted to analyze work-related stress and job performance among elementary schools’ teachers of Southern Papua. The independent variable in this case was teachers’ work-related stress and the dependent variable was teachers’ performance. The effect of these two variables was analyzed using the simple linear regression analysis technique by employing the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21.

Data in Table 2 revealed a significant negative effect of teachers’ stress on job performance in the elementary schools of Southern Papua. As, at the level of alpha (α) = .05, the coefficient value of beta (β) is -.864 and the significant value is .000 then the research hypothesis that teachers’ stress will significant negatively effect on their job performance in the elementary schools of Southern Papua is accepted.

| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. |
|-------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----|------|
|       | B |Std. Error | Beta |      |
| 1     | (Constant) |72.684 |.348 |208.603 |.000 |
| STRESS |-.799 |.014 |-.864 |-.55.852 |.000 |

a. Predictor: (Constant) STRESS
b. Dependent Variable: PERFORMANCE

As the coefficient value of beta (β) is negative means that for every point decrease in teachers’ stress will increase 0.864 point in teachers’ job performance in the elementary schools of Southern Papua. In reverse, for every point increase in teachers’ stress will decrease 0.864 point in teachers’ job performance in the elementary schools of Southern Papua. It inferred that teachers’ job performance in the elementary schools of Southern Papua can be attributed to teachers’ stress. Finding of the study suggests that there are other variables outside the focus which also contribute to the level of stress facing the elementary schools’ teachers of Southern Papua.

When teacher absenteeism, turnover, and worse performance are recognized as indicators of teachers’ chronic stress then we have to say that most teachers in the elementary schools of Southern Papua work under stress as Werang et al. [4] and Werang et al. [51] indicated that one in three teachers were absent and engaging with other attractive activities for a long period of time. In fact, most elementary schools’ teachers in Southern Papua work in dilapidated buildings without the necessary learning resources, inadequate school-housings, lack of electricity
and internet facilities, lack of support and assistance from both administrators and colleagues, and lack of local communities’ ties. Parents’ hostility facing the elementary schools’ teachers in several areas of Southern Papua, for example, told us much of how low the local communities’ ties are.

Anderson [57] argued that teacher effectiveness is a strong determinant for school effectiveness and students’ success, whereas teacher stress is a strong determinant for school ineffectiveness and student failure because chronic stress can reduce teachers’ ability to perform at the highest levels. Farber [58] noted that chronic stress that accompanies teaching can compromise and damage high quality educational practices that should be consistently applied in school today. In this point of view, teachers will go more productive and, therefore, tend to do more than what are required if they are able to cope stress they experienced. Conversely, teacher will grow more unproductive and tend to do less than what are required if they are not able to deal with stress. If it is so, teachers’ instruction will suffer which then impacts student well-being and academic achievement [59].

Teachers in Southern Papua, in fact, have adequate ways of coping with their work-related stress by either spending sometimes being alone and praying to God or by accepting the situation and ignoring the problems at once. What is noteworthy is that teacher-respondents would never turn to drinking alcohol nor take drugs during their time of stress. Keeping on the view of teachers’ capability of coping with stress, studies in the United States, England, Germany, and Canada indicate that school principals are a key factor in heightening or lowering teacher stress [60]. The reason is that most work-related stress experienced by teachers can be traced to school principals [61].

Werang [62] posited that teachers will feel comfortable when they work under a sympathetic caring leader and, therefore, it is necessary for the school principals to be recognized and to treat their staff fairly and sympathetic. Black [63] asserted that principals who offered their strong social support provided a buffer that helps teachers’ work-related stress, while Marshall [60] argued that school principals who communicates a clear vision for the school and had a close and personal relationship with their staff were found to have the lowest teacher stress. Marshall [60] further stated that allowing time for teachers to collaborate, providing more support for teachers, building a better communication with teachers, and providing more praise/respect for the job are simple activities, among others, that school principals might do to help relieve teacher stress. The more teachers trust in principals’ paramount effort of creating a healthy and supportive school environment the lower stress [59].

4. Conclusions
This study was meant to describe the potential effect of teachers’ work-related stress on their job performance in the elementary schools of Southern Papua. Based on the result of data analysis as it was previously discussed, this study reaches the following conclusion: teachers’ work-related stress has a significant negative effect on their job performance in the elementary schools of Southern Papua. In other words, teachers’ job performance in the elementary schools of Southern Papua can be predicted by their work-related stress as the coefficient value of beta (β) = -0.864 is significant at the level of alpha (α) = .05.

Finding of this study may theoretically contribute a valuable extension of other previous studies as it gives an insight to the stress and job performance of elementary school teachers who strive in the challenging context of Southern Papua, Indonesia. Practical implication of this study is that school principals should make a paramount effort of creating a healthy and supportive school environment for both teachers and students. When teachers are under considerable stress from rapidly change of duties and responsibilities both inside and outside the classroom, it is arguably that the whole students will suffer from it. As good teachers do their work at the highest level in schools with good leadership, a school principal who is both mentally and physically unwell could have a potentially dreadful effect on the well-being of both teachers and students. In this point of view, high teacher trust in principals’ support will help teachers to cope with stress and, in turn, students’ rights to success are adequately addressed.

The result of this study must be cautiously interpreted due to that of limited coverage area and small sample size. Finding of this study, therefore, cannot be generalized for the whole part of Indonesia. In order to generalize the findings, a further study with a wider range of area and a greater size of samples will be fully recommended.
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