Introduction

In recent years, semiconductor zinc oxide (ZnO) has gained momentum due to its unique properties such as electronic, structural and thermal [1]. It has been used considerably for its important applications in different areas viz. catalysts [2], sensors [3], optoelectronic, highly functional, and effective photoelectric devices [4]. ZnO nanostructures have a great advantage to apply in medical and pharmaceutical applications due to their large surface area and high catalytic activity [1]. The ZnO is widely used in baby powder, calamine cream, anti-dandruff shampoos, and antiseptic ointments as a potential antimicrobial agent [5].

In recent years, various methods have developed to synthesize ZnO nanoparticles, however several glitches are reported with these methods related to stability of the nanoparticles, control of the crystal growth, aggregation of the particles, and heterogeneity resulting in broadening of the particle size of distributions [6]. The ‘biosynthesis’ is an environmentally friendly process in chemistry and chemical technology is becoming increasingly popular and is much needed as a result of worldwide problems associated with environmental contamination [7,8]. Products from nature such as microbial surfactants have been used as reductants and as capping agents during synthesis [6,9,10]. Very recently, we have demonstrated that the application of *Bacillus subtilis* bio-surfactants a template for synthesis of cadmium sulfate quantum dots and as a surface modifying agent for enhancement of their stability at room temperature [9]. Rhamnolipids (RLs) are cyclic lipopeptide surfactants with molecular mass usually from 500 to 1500 Da, and critical micelle concentration in the range from 1 to 200 mg/L [6,11]. The focus of this study was to develop one-pot method for biosynthesis of highly stabilized ZnO nanoparticles using *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* RLs.

Evaluation of pharmacological properties of nanomaterials has become one of the significant basic studies in biomedical sciences. ZnO nanoparticles have aroused considerable interest recently because of their potential beneficial effects on human health—they have been reported to have strong antioxidant [13], and antimicrobial [12], and antioxidant activities [14]. Antioxidants are compounds that protect cells against the damaging effects of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [15,16,17]. An imbalance between antioxidants and ROS results in oxidative stress, leads to cellular damage [18,19,20]. Very recently, Das and colleagues partially examined the antioxidant activity of ZnO nanoparticles using 1,1-diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrazyl (DPPH) free radical scavenging assay [14]. However, DPPH radical scavenging activity is not enough to claim the antioxidant potential of these nanomaterials. Additional experiments are required to claim their antioxidant activity. There is a great need for the evaluation of antioxidant potential of ZnO nanoparticles in detail. In this study, we examined the comparative
an antioxidant activity of RL-stabilized (denoted as “RL@ZnO”) and bare ZnO nanoparticles using standard in-vitro antioxidant activity assays including reducing power, DPPh, hydroxyl (·OH) and superoxide anion (O2·−) free radicals scavenging, β-carotene bleaching, and lipid peroxidation etc.

Results and Discussion

A range of culturable microbes are known to produce surface active bio-surfactants with distinct advantages over the chemical polymers in terms of high specificity, biodegradability, biocompatibility, and solubility enhancement and therefore regarded as an environmentally friendly approach [11,21,22,23]. Moreover, bacterial RLs have recently been used for capping, stabilizing and dispersant of nanomaterials [9,24]. Therefore, bio-prospecting of RL producing bacteria have gained much interest due to their industrial applications. Although, there is a report on RL-mediated surface modification of ZnO nanoparticles, however there is no report on comparative studies of RL@ZnO and bare ZnO nanoparticles to date with respect to their antioxidant activity. Considering these points, in this investigation, we report evidence for RL@ZnO nanoparticles in relation to their antioxidant activity. Toward this end, RLs were isolated from P. aeruginosa CEMS077 and used directly for synthesizing and stabilizing agents in the reaction mixture during the fabrication of antioxidant nanoparticles.

Molecular characterization of RL producing CEMS077

The CEMS077 showed RL production on agar (Figure 1A) and broth (Figure 1B) media. The yield of RLs was determined to be 329 mg/L (Figure 1C and D) and characterized by HPLC and HPTLC (Figure S1A and S1B in File S1). The chemical structure of the most abundant component was identified as RL-C10-C12:1 (4.5%), RL-C10-C10 (2.5%), RL-C10-C10 (51.1%), RL-RL-C10-C10 (1.8%), RL-RL-C10-C12:1 (30.9%), and RL-C10-C12 (9.2%).

The CEMS077 was identified and characterized based on the phylogenetic analyses of gene sequences of 16S rRNA. The PCR amplification and sequencing yielded the nucleotide sequences of 1.5 kb for 16s rRNA gene. The Blastn sequence alignment analysis revealed that the ~99% sequence homology of 16s rRNA gene with the strains of P. aeruginosa. The phylogenetic analysis revealed the close relationship of CEMS077 with the complete genome sequenced of P. aeruginosa strains (Figure 2).

Biosynthesis mechanism of nanoparticles

A possible mechanism for synthesis of the RL@ZnO nanoparticles is suggested as follows. RLs in aqueous solution disperse in the form of spherical core–shell micellar structure in which the core is represented the hydrophobic C–C chains and the shell consist of polar –OH groups. The RLs molecule hydrophobic alkyl chains get attached to the surface of primary ZnO crystallite in the presence of oxygen. The high surface energy of pre-formed ZnO crystallite begins to RL@ZnO nanoparticles. Synthesis of the ZnO nanoparticles proceeds inside the core of the small micelles of RLs via the nucleation and growth of ZnO nanoparticles (Figure 3A). The first step includes the formation of zinc hydroxide upon the addition of NaOH with zinc nitrate aqueous solution and crystalline ZnO nucleate from the dehydration of preformed Zn(OH). The plausible synthesis reaction is elucidated in Figure 3B. Numerous studies have been proposed the similar mechanistic conclusions [25].

Characterization of RL@ZnO nanoparticles

As shown in Figure 4A, UV–vis spectrum of the RL@ZnO nanoparticles and CEMS077 RL. The spectrum of RL@ZnO nanoparticles (10 µg/mL) revealed a characteristic absorption peak at ~260 nm, which can be assigned to the intrinsic band-gap absorption of RL@ZnO nanoparticles. It was related to electron (e−) transitions from the valence band (Ev) to the conduction band (Ec) [26]. The peak also indicates the broad nano-sized particles distribution of RL@ZnO nanoparticles. While, RL shows the absorbance at ~290 nm, it was due to the presence of the ring compounds in their composition. These results indicate that RL does not influence the absorption of RL@ZnO nanoparticles.

The crystal structure of RL@ZnO nanoparticles is depicted in the X-ray diffraction (XRD) spectrum with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 0.15418 nm). Obtained data revealed that the well resolved 11 XRD peaks were identified at 2θ = 51.11°, 34.23°, 35.61°, 47.13°, 56.15°, 62.18°, 65.65°, 67.59°, 69.21°, 72.18°, and 76.23° which are verified with the JCPDS card (No. 0-0664) for a typical wurtzite type polycrystals (Figure 4B). The presence of [100], [002], [102], [110], [103], [200], [112], [201], [004], and [202] planes indicate the absence of any impurity and suggest the high purity of RL@ZnO nanoparticles obtained by this method. The mean crystalline size of RL@ZnO nanoparticles was found to be ~27 nm, determined by Debye–Scherrer formula. These results are in agreement with the previous report of Shoeb et al. [1]. Figure 4C shows the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) microphotographs and demonstrate clearly the formation of aggregation of RL@ZnO nanoparticles. Energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis showed the elemental composition of RL@ZnO nanoparticles. EDX mapping results showed four peaks, which identity as zinc and oxygen and no other elemental impurities present in the synthesized nanomaterials (Figure 4D).

The morphology and nanostructure of RL@ZnO nanoparticles was further characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis and results clearly showed that the RL@ZnO nanoparticles to be actually composed of several particles of different size grouped in clusters with bloody microphotograph (Figure 5A–b) as compared to bare nanoparticles (Figure 5A–a), it was due to encapsulation of nanoparticles by CEMS077 RLs. It can also be seen that these nanoparticles has a spherical structure with a particle size ranged from 35–80 nm. The mean particle size of RL@ZnO nanoparticles was calculated to be ~57 nm using ImageJ processing and analysis software (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/index.html) (Figure 5A inset). The TEM analysis also showed slight agglomeration of RL@ZnO nanoparticles due to Van der Waals forces between the nanoparticles.

The dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurement was performed for determination of the hydrodynamic diameters of RL@ZnO nanoparticles. The mean hydrodynamic diameter of RL@ZnO nanoparticles was found to be ~81 nm (Figure 6A). The higher value of average size obtained in DLS measurement in comparison to the TEM analysis was observed possibly due to the (i) agglomeration of RL@ZnO nanoparticles and (ii) DLS measures the hydrodynamic radii of the nanoparticles, which includes the solvent layer at the interface.

The electronic structure of RL@ZnO nanoparticles was characterized on the basis of electronic band gap energy (Eg), which is essentially an energy interval between the Ev and the Ec, each of which has a high density of states [27]. Therefore, next we calculated the Eg of RL@ZnO nanoparticles (Figure 5B) by Tauc relationship as given below:
\[ a \hbar v = A (h \nu - E_g)^n \]

\( a, A, \hbar, \nu, \text{and } E_g \) are absorption coefficient (2.303A/t), absorbance, Planck’s constant, photon frequency, and electronic band gap, respectively. The value of \( n = 1/2, 3/2, 2 \) or 3 depending on the nature of electronic transition responsible for absorption and \( n = 1/2 \) for direct band gap semiconductor. The \( E_g \) of RL@ZnO nanoparticles was determined to be \( \sim 3.5 \), which was the higher than bulk ZnO nanoparticles (\( E_g = 3.37 \text{ eV} \)). The high value of \( E_g \) possibly attributed due to quantum confinement effect and surface modification of the nanoparticles by RLs. The \( E_g \) widening effect may be related to the influence of numerous factors including structural parameters (size and pH), carrier concentrations, and presence of defects (oxygen vacancies) that can lead to the Burstein Moss Shift [28]. The optical properties of ZnO are more interesting since the confinement of charge carriers in restricted volume of the small particles can lead to widening effects of \( E_g \) [1]. These results suggest that the CEMS077 RLs facilitate \( E_g \) widening effect by controlling nucleation and surface capping of nanoparticles [29]. The sugar and CH aliphatic moieties of RL are sufficient to form proper capping, resulting in the synthesis of smaller sized ZnO nanoparticles, so as to keep the wide \( E_g \) [30]. However, \( E_g \) of sol-gel synthesized and commercial ZnO nanoparticles upon the addition of same amount of RLs do not show the significant changes and determine to be \( \sim 3.32 \text{ eV} \) and 3.34 eV, respectively (Figure 6B).

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra revealed that the possible interactions between CEMS077 RLs and ZnO nanoparticles (Figure 5C). FTIR spectra of the RL showed important stretching vibration bands at 3360.13 cm\(^{-1}\), 2921.32 cm\(^{-1}\), 2820.45 cm\(^{-1}\), 1765.98 cm\(^{-1}\), 1632.67 cm\(^{-1}\), and 1400–800 cm\(^{-1}\), which are confirmed the glycolipid-type nature of the RLs [31]. The band at 3360.13 cm\(^{-1}\) denoted the presence of OH stretching vibration and bands at 2921.32 cm\(^{-1}\) and 2820.45 cm\(^{-1}\) positions revealed the presence of –CH aliphatic stretching vibrations. The characteristic stretching vibration bands at 1765.98 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1632.67 cm\(^{-1}\) denoted the C=O and –COO stretching vibrations of carbonyl group, respectively. The other bands at 1400 cm\(^{-1}\) and 800 cm\(^{-1}\) in the lower wavenumber absorption peaks confirmed the presence of ester carbonyl groups, which was corresponded to the presence of C=O deformation vibrations. FTIR spectrum also shows the characteristic vibration band at 542 cm\(^{-1}\), which was corresponded to \( E_g \) mode of hexagonal ZnO wurtzite structure [1]. The vibration band at the position 542 cm\(^{-1}\) reflected to RL@ZnO nanoparticles stretching frequency of Zn-O bonds. The major vibration

Figure 1. RL production by \( P. \ aeruginosa \) CEMS077. Production on nutrient agar (A) and in nutrient broth medium (B inset) validated by using methylene blue and cetyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method. (C) Extraction and isolation of RLs. To promote the RL production, nutrient broth was supplemented by 3% glycerol and the pH of medium was adjusted to 7.0±0.2. The sterilized medium was inoculated with 0.5% freshly overnight grown culture of CEMS077 and incubated at 30±1°C under static condition for 96 h. Then, supernatant was collected through centrifugation and acidified (12N HCl) to precipitate RLs. The mixture of chloroform-ethanol (2:1) was used to extract RLs and solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. (D) Extracted RLs along with methanol.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106937.g001
bands of RL in ZnO nanoparticles were showed the noticeable shifting and transmittance reduction, which is confirms the interaction between RL and ZnO nanoparticles. Previous reports also confirms that RLs can bind to metal nanoparticles by electrostatic attraction which occurs between free negatively charged carboxylate groups [24].

Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) of the RL@ZnO nanoparticles was performed and results are shown in Figure 6C. The presence of RLs on the surface of RL@ZnO nanoparticles was supported by TGA data which indicate two different mass loss behaviors. TGA curve revealed that the first weight loss (~7.5%) at temperatures around <200°C was due to the loss of chemisorbed water. The second weight loss (~3.5%) at ~315°C is due to desorption and subsequent evaporation of RL from RL@ZnO nanoparticles.

RLs increase the stability of ZnO nanoparticles

RLs have simple molecular structure, low molecular weight, and high affinity for the metals. Figure S2 in File S1 shows the stability of bare ZnO and RL@ZnO nanoparticles at room temperature over a period of 15 months, taking time of the synthesis studied here. Each time, we made 200 μg/mL aqueous solutions of both nanoparticles and recorded the change in UV–vis spectrum at λ=660 nm wavelength. The RL@ZnO nanoparticles showed no significant changes in absorbance and agglomeration up to 15 months of the storage. However, after 6 months the significant changes were recorded in bare ZnO nanoparticles. It is speculated that RLs are better capping ligands because of (i) the longer carbon chain and (ii) the fact that the double bond leads to a more disordered shell and thus facilitates dissolution. The RL with longer tails stabilizes nanoparticles better due to the fact that formation of the micelle-like aggregates on the surface of nanoparticles is easier for surfactant than the carboxymethyl cellulose [32]. Here, we propose that the CEMS077 RLs can be used for capping and stabilization of metal nanoparticles [24].

RLs sustain the antioxidant activity of ZnO nanoparticles

Next, we determined whether RLs isolated from CEMS077 could retain the functional attribute of ZnO nanoparticles with special reference to their antioxidant activity. For this, we used DPPH free radical solution and first determined its stability at room temperature up to 2.5 h. There is no change of color and the absorption intensity was recorded at λ=517 nm. DPPH is a stable nitrogen-centered, lipophilic free radical that is widely used for evaluating the antiradical activity of antioxidants in a relatively short time as compared to the other methods [33]. The color of DPPH solution gradually changed from deep violet to pale yellow in the presence of RL@ZnO nanoparticles by transferring electron density located at oxygen to the odd electron located at nitrogen atom in DPPH. The peak intensity at λ=517 nm gradually

Figure 2. Molecular characterization of P. aeruginosa CEMS077. Phylogenetic tree showing relationship of CEMS077 with other strains of Pseudomonas species based on 16S rRNA gene sequences retrieved from NCBI GenBank. Arrow represents the status of strain CEMS077 from this study in phylogenetic tree.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106937.g002
decreased in RL@ZnO nanoparticles and no significant changes in the antioxidant activity were recorded up to 15 months (Figure 7A–E). The decrease of peak intensity gives the evidence toward the antiradical property of RL@ZnO nanoparticles. We have observed the decline antiradical capacity by 6.9% of 200 μg/mL RL@ZnO nanoparticles up to 15 months of storage as compared to 0 month; whereas it was declined by 87.8% in bare ZnO nanoparticles at the same concentration (Figure S3A–E in File S1).

Next, auto-oxidation of β-carotene and linoleic acid coupled reaction method was used to assess the antioxidant activity of RL@ZnO and bare ZnO nanoparticles. Antioxidant activities decreased by 5.8% and 49% in RL@ZnO and bare ZnO nanoparticles, respectively (Figure 8A). Here, we assume that the observed long term stability of RL@ZnO nanoparticles might be due to encapsulation of nanoparticles by CEMS077 RLs which prevent erosion and oxidation of core components (i.e. Zn” ions).

These assumptions are further supported by the fact that RL@ZnO nanoparticles exhibited long term reducing potential than the bare ZnO nanoparticles. We determined reduction capability based on the reduction of Fe^{3+} → Fe^{2+} in the presence of nanoparticles and monitored at A_{700} nm wavelength. Similarly, non-significant decline was examined by 9.1%, when used 200 μg/mL of RL@ZnO nanoparticles, but a huge decline was recorded by 83% in bare ZnO nanoparticles (Figure 8B). The strong decrement in the reducing potential of bare ZnO nanoparticles further confirms the role of RLs in stabilization of RL@ZnO nanoparticles.

Although, RL@ZnO nanoparticles exhibited long term antioxidant activity than bare ZnO nanoparticles, however this potential of RL@ZnO nanoparticles is still needed to confirm against most reactive free radical species including 'OH and O_2^-'. The 'OH radical is a most damaging ROS in chemistry due to its high reactive potential [34]. It can abstract hydrogen from thiols,
leading the formation of sulphur radicals capable to combine with oxygen to generate oxysulfur radicals [33]. In this study, the °OH radical scavenging activity was measured in order to clarify whether our synthesized RL@ZnO nanoparticles inhibit the damage of deoxyribose sugar by scavenging °OH radicals. Figure 8C shows no significant decline in deoxyribose sugar protective potential of RL@ZnO nanoparticles over a period of 15 months. As evident from the data, 200 μg/mL of bare ZnO nanoparticles lost by 86.4% °OH radical scavenging activity, when compared to 0 months, while in RL@ZnO nanoparticles, it was only 4.0%. Similarly, we also observed non-significant decline in lipid peroxidation protective potential by 10.8% and O$_2$$^-$ radical scavenging activity by 7.7% in RL@ZnO nanoparticles, while these decrements were observed more in bare ZnO nanoparticles by 46.1 and 51.1%, respectively (Figure S4A and B in File S1). All decline effects in bare ZnO nanoparticles were observed after 9 months of the storage in comparison with 0 months. The antioxidant activity of ZnO nanoparticles may be due to the transfer of electron density located at oxygen to the odd electron located at outer orbits of oxygen in °OH and O$_2$$^-$ radicals. Retention of antioxidant activity of RL@ZnO nanoparticles may be due to the surface protection by RL, resulting in stabilization of nanoparticles. Our study establishes the possible role of _P. aeruginosa_ RLs for encapsulation and stabilization of ZnO nanoparticles.

Figure 4. Characterization of RL@ZnO nanoparticles. (A) UV–vis spectra of RL@ZnO nanoparticles (orange line) and RL (black line). A characteristic absorption peak of RL@ZnO nanoparticles (10 μg/mL) was recorded at ~A$^{max}$ nm wavelength. (B) XRD pattern of RL@ZnO nanoparticles for a typical wurtzite type polycrystals and particle size was found to be ~27 nm. (C) SEM image of RL@ZnO nanoparticles demonstrates clearly the formation of aggregation of ZnO nanoparticles. (D) EDX image of RL@ZnO nanoparticles indicates the presence of zinc and oxygen molecules only.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106937.g004
Figure 5. Characterization of RL@ZnO nanoparticles. (A) The representative TEM microphotographs of bare ZnO nanoparticles (a) and RL@ZnO nanoparticles (b) at an accelerating voltage of ~200 kV. Inset of the figure (b) depicts the particle size analysis. (B) Band gap plot of RL@ZnO nanoparticles, calculated by Tauc plot formula. (C) FTIR spectra of RL extract (red line) and RL@ZnO nanoparticles (black line). The spectra shown are representatives of the three independent experiments.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106937.g005
Figure 6. Determination of particle size and chemical properties of mfAgNPs. (A) Determination of particle size by DLS technique, (B) energy band gap of sol-gel and commercial ZnO nanoparticles upon the addition of RL, and (C) TGA curve. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106937.g006
Figure 7. DPPH radical scavenging activity of RL@ZnO nanoparticles. RL@ZnO nanoparticles (0, 25, 50, 100, 150, and 200 mg/mL) were added to freshly prepared and stabilized DPPH solution (6 x 10^{-5} M), mixed and incubated at room temperature for 60 min. Then, DPPH radical scavenging potential was monitored by measuring the absorbance in the range A_{460-600} nm wavelength using a UV-vis spectrophotometer. The peak intensity at A_{517} nm gradually decreases in RL@ZnO nanoparticles. DPPH radical scavenging activity was measured at different time intervals: (A) 3, (B) 6, (C) 9, (D) 12, and (E) 15 months of the storage of RL@ZnO nanoparticles.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106937.g007
To determine the antioxidant activity of bare ZnO and RL@ZnO nanoparticles, autoxidation of β-carotene and linoleic acid coupled reaction mixture was used. Inhibition of β-carotene oxidation in the presence of different concentrations of these nanoparticles was monitored at A 470 nm spectrophotometrically. Control contained distilled water only in place of nanoparticles. For measurement of reducing potential, phosphate buffer (2.5 mL; 0.1 M; pH 6.6) and 1% potassium ferricyanide (2.5 mL) were mixed with the indicated concentrations of bare ZnO and RL@ZnO nanoparticles and incubated at 50 °C for 20 min. After addition of 0.1% FeCl₃ (0.5 mL), the absorbance was recorded at A700 nm. OH radical scavenging activity of bare ZnO and RL@ZnO nanoparticles was determined by using deoxyribose assay. Fenton’s reaction (50 µM ascorbic acid, 20 µM FeCl₃, 2 mM EDTA, 1.42 mM H₂O₂), 2.8 mM deoxyribose sugar and indicated concentration of test nanoparticles were mixed and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. After addition of 1% TBA, mixture was heated at 90 °C for 15 min and

Figure 8. Antioxidant potential of RL@ZnO nanoparticles. (A) To determine the antioxidant activity of bare ZnO and RL@ZnO nanoparticles, autoxidation of β-carotene and linoleic acid coupled reaction mixture was used. Inhibition of β-carotene oxidation in the presence of different concentrations of these nanoparticles was monitored at A 470 nm spectrophotometrically. Control contained distilled water only in place of nanoparticles. (B) For measurement of reducing potential, phosphate buffer (2.5 mL; 0.1 M; pH 6.6) and 1% potassium ferricyanide (2.5 mL) were mixed with the indicated concentrations of bare ZnO and RL@ZnO nanoparticles and incubated at 50 °C for 20 min. After addition of 0.1% FeCl₃ (0.5 mL), the absorbance was recorded at A700 nm. (C) OH radical scavenging activity of bare ZnO and RL@ZnO nanoparticles was determined by using deoxyribose assay. Fenton’s reaction (50 µM ascorbic acid, 20 µM FeCl₃, 2 mM EDTA, 1.42 mM H₂O₂), 2.8 mM deoxyribose sugar and indicated concentration of test nanoparticles were mixed and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. After addition of 1% TBA, mixture was heated at 90 °C for 15 min and
then, absorbance was recorded at A532 nm. Data represent mean ± SD. Different letters: @, #, $, %, and & significantly different from their respective controls according to post hoc comparison (LSD-test) (P<0.01).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106937.g008

Conclusions

In the present study, RL producing P. aeruginosa CEMS077 has been used for the first time to synthesize stable antioxidant ZnO nanoparticles. Antioxidant and free radical scavenging activities elucidate that ZnO nanoparticles can be used as a promising antioxidant in biological system. Moreover, CEMS077 RLs have significance in nanotechnology for the large-scale production of surface protective ZnO nanoparticles, exploiting the low-cost environmental friendly approach for synthesis of stabilized nanomaterials.

Experimental Procedures

Materials
Zinc nitrite (ZnNO₃) and sodium hydroxide pellets were procured from SRL, India. DPPH, 2-deoxyribose sugar, thiobarbituric acid (TBA), riboflavin, and nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Ascorbic acid, β-carotene, tween-40, and linoleic acid were obtained from MP Biomedicals. All the reagents and solvents used were of analytical grade.

Isolation of RL producing bacterial strain
RL producing bacterial strain CEMS077 was isolated from the roots of Congress Grass (Parthenium hysterophorus), Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh, India (Latitude of 27° 30’; Longitude of 79° 40’). No specific permission was required for this location. The stock culture of CEMS077 was maintained on nutrient agar (NA; HiMedia, India) slant containing 1% glycerol. The working culture of CEMS077 was maintained on nutrient agar (NA; HiMedia, India) slant containing 1% glycerol. The working culture of CEMS077 was prepared by streaking NA plates from its stock culture and plate was incubated at 30±1°C for 18–24 h.

Production and isolation of RLs
To promote RL production, the nutrient broth (NB; HiMedia, India) was modified with 3% glycerol to prepare glycerol supplemented NB (GSNB; HiMedia) medium. The pH of the GSNB medium was adjusted to 7.0±0.2 and then sterilized at 15 lbs pressure for 15 min. The sterilized GSNB medium was inoculated with 0.5% overnight culture of CEMS077 and incubated under static condition at 30±1°C overnight. The brown color precipitate was obtained by centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 15 min. The obtained supernatant was collected in the sterile separating funnel, acidified by 12N HCl (pH 2.0) to precipitate RLs, and incubated at 4°C overnight. The brown color precipitate RLs were recovered by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 10 min and extracted with equal volume of chloroform-ethanol (2:1) mixture. The solvents were then evaporated and extracted RLs showed an oil-like appearance. The extracted RLs were dissolved in an appropriate volume of methanol and transferred to a previously weighed beaker. Methanol was evaporated and stored at 4°C until further use.

16S rRNA gene sequencing
The strain CEMS077 was grown in NB broth at 30±1°C. The cells were harvested after 24 h of incubation and processed immediately for isolation of DNA using the CTAB method. The gene coding for 16S rRNA was amplified by employing specific primers. Sequencing and data analysis was done according to the method reported recently by Singh et al. [9].

Biosynthesis of RL@ZnO nanoparticles
RL@ZnO nanoparticles were synthesized and stabilized by following the method of Singh et al. [9]. Typically, 50 mL of RL (50 mg/L) at 1.1-fold critical micelle concentration was mixed with 50 mL of 1 mM ZnNO₃. This solution was incubated at 80°C for 30 min under vigorous stirring to form RL–Zn complex solution. To obtain the colloidal RL@ZnO nanoparticles, few drops of 1 M NaOH solution were added under vigorous stirring. The color change was monitored by visual inspection and by measuring absorbance by UV–Vis spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences, CT, USA). The resulting white precipitate was obtained by centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 10 min, washed with sterilized RO water and dried at 70°C under vacuum oven. The dried RL@ZnO nanoparticles were grounded and stored in amber colour container until further use.

Characterization of RL@ZnO nanoparticles
The synthesis of RL@ZnO nanoparticles was monitored by measuring UV–vis absorption spectra (Perkin Elmer Life and Analytical Sciences, CT, USA) in the range 200 to 800 nm wavelength [6]. Crystalline metallic ZnO nanoparticles were examined using a Mini Flex TM II bench top XRD system (Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.54 Å) source using Ni as filter at a setting of 30 kV/30 mA. The diffracted intensities were recorded from 20° to 80° at 20 angles [1]. The size and shape of RL@ZnO nanoparticles was determined using a JEOL JSM-6510LV SEM machine (Tokyo, Japan) and operated at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV. The elemental analysis was carried out on the Oxford Instrument INCA χ-sight EDX spectrometer equipped with SEM. TEM analysis of the RL@ZnO nanoparticles was done using a JEOL, Tokyo TEM instrument with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV [1]. FTIR spectra of RL extract powder and ZnO nanoparticles was obtained in the range 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹ with a PerkinElmer FTIR spectrophotometer, by potassium bromide (KBr) pellet method [9]. Spectroscopic grade KBr was used in the ratio of 1:100 and spectrum was recorded in the diffuse reflectance mode at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ in KBr pellets. Thermal analysis of the RL@ZnO nanoparticles powder was carried out on a PerkinElmer TGA machine.

Antioxidant activity assays
Antioxidant activity (AOA) of the RL@ZnO nanoparticles was examined by autoxidation of β-carotene and linoleic acid coupled reaction method as described elsewhere [33]. DPPH free radical was used to determine the free radical scavenging activity [33]. Reducing capacity of the RL@ZnO nanoparticles was assessed by ferric reducing antioxidant power assay and results were expressed as concentration vs optical density at A700 nm wavelength [35]. The deoxyribose assay was used to determine the 'OH radical scavenging property of the test material [36]. A thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances (TBARS) assay was used to measure the inhibitory degree of RL@ZnO nanoparticles on lipid peroxidation using egg yolk homogenate as a lipid rich template [37]. The O$_2^-$ radical scavenging activity was examined based on the capacity of the antioxidant to inhibit the photochemical reduction of NBT [38].
Ethics statement
The location that we collected rhizospheric soil samples was declared as an uncultivated land, so it did not need to get the specific permission. The host plant P. hysterophorus did not belong to the category of endangered or protected plant, because it is a weed. Moreover, we used sampling procedure that did not harm the plant diversity of the location.

Statistical analysis
Results presented in tables and graphs were reported as means ± standard deviation (SD) of at least three replicates of the same concentration. Data were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the least significant difference (LSD) between the extracts at P<0.01 was calculated by post-hoc comparison test (SPSS11.5).
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