Expression of ADP and TNF-α in patients with gestational diabetes mellitus and its relationship with pregnancy outcomes

WENGONG WEI and XIAOPING ZHANG

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Qingpu Branch of Zhongshan Hospital Affiliated to Fudan University, Shanghai 201700, P.R. China

Received October 22, 2019; Accepted April 16, 2020

DOI: 10.3892/etm.2020.8952

Abstract. Expression of adiponectin (ADP) and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) in patients with gestational diabetes mellitus and its relationship with pregnancy outcomes was explored. A total of 78 patients with gestational diabetes mellitus admitted to Qingpu Branch of Zhongshan Hospital Affiliated to Fudan University from June 2017 to December 2018 were enrolled as an experimental group, and further 70 healthy pregnant women in physical examination during the same period were enrolled as a control group. Concentrations of ADP and TNF-α were determined and compared between the two groups. The patients were divided into high ADP expression group (≥6.84), low ADP expression group (<6.84), high TNF-α expression group (≥6.17) and low TNF-α expression group (<6.17). Corresponding two groups were compared in terms of adverse pregnancy outcomes, respectively, and they were also compared with the control group. The clinical association between ADP and TNF-α was analyzed. TNF-α was highly expressed in the blood of patients with gestational diabetes mellitus, while ADP expression was low in the blood. The low expression of ADP was related to age, pregestational BMI, gestational week, medical history, amniotic fluid volume, abortion history, and family history of diabetes mellitus (all P<0.05), and the high expression of TNF-α was related to age, pregestational BMI, gestational week, medical history, amniotic fluid volume, abortion history, and family history of diabetes mellitus (all P<0.05). The experimental group faced a higher risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes than the control group. Both ADP and TNF-α are specifically expressed in adipose tissue, which directly be used as indexes for predicating pregnancy outcomes, and for judging the disease conditions and treatment of patients.

Introduction

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) refers to glucose intolerance that occurs or is first recognized during pregnancy. It shows a morbidity of 5% among pregnant women, and obese women face a higher risk of GDM during pregnancy (1). GDM is prone to lead to dystocia, neonatal hypoglycemia, hyperbilirubinemia, and GDM-related complications, making a certain impact on the health of pregnant women and fetuses (2). For example, women with GDM history face obviously high risks of type 2 diabetes mellitus and prediabetes after delivery (3). In addition, a study concluded that compared with pregnant women without gestational diabetes mellitus, those with this disease showed significantly increased stillbirth rate and perinatal mortality (4). At present, the treatment for GDM includes dietary adjustment, lifestyle changes, and drug therapy such as metformin and insulin (5). Once the blood sugar control fails to meet the standard, the incidence of complications such as premature delivery and neonatal hypoglycemia will greatly increase. Pregnancy is a special period, so many treatment methods are limited in different degrees during clinical application. In addition, there are also some controversies in the diagnosis and management of this diabetes (6). As the pathogenesis of GDM is still under investigation, the most effective treatment method is beyond the reach of clinical practice at present. However, many studies have revealed that insulin resistance and pancreatic β cell secretion dysfunction are important pathological features of gestational diabetes mellitus. Insulin resistance refers to tissues that do not respond to insulin. Low-grade chronic inflammation related to obesity is a key factor of developing insulin resistance (7,8), and obesity can increase the expression of certain inflammatory cytokines, activate multiple signal pathways, and participate in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance by interfering with insulin signal transmission (9). Tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) is a pro-inflammatory cytokine, which is generated by monocytes and macrophages, and participates in the regulation of many important cellular processes (10). A previous study has proven that the increase of TNF-α level leads to insulin resistance in adipose tissues and peripheral tissues (11). In addition, a variety of hormones secreted by adipocytes, such as adiponectin (ADP), are specifically expressed in adipose tissue, which directly...
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causes the body sensitive to insulin. Therefore, ADP may play an important role in insulin resistance (12). This study explored the expression of serum ADP and TNF-α in GDM patients to provide reference for clinical predication and medication guidance of gestational diabetes mellitus.

Patients and methods

Clinical data. A total of 78 GDM patients admitted to Qingpu Branch of Zhongshan Hospital Affiliated to Fudan University (Shanghai, China) from June 2017 to December 2018 were enrolled as an experimental group, and further 70 healthy pregnant women in physical examination during the same period were enrolled as a control group. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Qingpu Branch of Zhongshan Hospital Affiliated to Fudan University, and signed informed consents were obtained from the patients and/or guardians.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria of the experimental group were as follows: Patients first admitted to Qingpu Branch of Zhongshan Hospital Affiliated to Fudan University, patients with GDM based on clinical examination, and patients who received treatment including oral antiglycemics, injection of insulin and dietary intervention according to the conditions of patients in Qingpu Branch of Zhongshan Hospital Affiliated to Fudan University after diagnosis. The blood glucose was controlled between 3.3 mmol/l and 5.3 mmol/l before meal, and between 3.3 mmol/l and 6.7 mmol/l 2 h after meal. When the blood glucose level declined, the amount of medicine was reduced as appropriate. The exclusion criteria were as follows: i) Patients with diabetes mellitus before pregnancy; ii) patients with comorbid cardiovascular diseases, hepatic or kidney function obstacle; iii) patients with a history of drug allergy; iv) patients with mental disease and unable to cooperate; v) patients ending pregnancy in the middle of the pregnancy course; vi) patients transferred to another hospital during the study; vii) patients without required pregnancy examination data.

Methods. Fasting venous blood (2 ml) was sampled from each subject of the two groups, and the expression of ADP and TNF-α in the blood samples was determined using the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). ADP test kits were purchased from Shanghai XinYu Biological Technology Co., Ltd. (item no. xy-A10927), and TNF-α kit was purchased from Shanghai Jingkang Bioengineering Co., Ltd. (item no. JKSJ-1857). All operations were carried out strictly in accordance with the kit instructions.

Observation indexes. The expression of ADP and TNF-α in the blood samples of the experimental group was compared with that in the blood samples of the control group during pregnancy and after pregnancy.

Statistical analysis. SPSS 24.0 was employed for statistical analysis. Enumeration data were expressed as rate, Chi-square test was used for comparison between groups; measurement data were expressed as mean ± SD, t-test was used for comparison between groups; diagnostic value was analyzed by ROC curve. P<0.05 indicates a significant difference.
TNF-α in the experimental group one week after delivery were 9.39±2.15 mg/l and 3.52±1.47 ng/l, respectively, which was significantly lower than those before treatment (both P<0.01) (Table II).

Association of serum ADP and TNF-α levels and pregnancy outcomes. The serum ADP and TNF-α levels were related to fetal distress, macrosomia, fetal malformation, and reproductive tract infection. The experimental group was divided into high ADP expression group (≥6.84), low ADP expression group (<6.84), high TNF-α expression group (≥6.17) and low TNF-α expression group (<6.17) according to the mean ADP concentration and mean TNF-α concentration. Analysis of Table III shows that the rate of adverse pregnancy outcomes in the low ADP expression group was higher than that in the high ADP expression group, and the rates in both groups were higher than that in the control group (both P<0.05). Analysis of Table IV shows that the rate of adverse pregnancy outcomes in the high TNF-α expression group was higher than that in the low TNF-α expression group, and the rates in both groups were higher than that in the control group (both P<0.05).

Prediction of GDM by ADP and TNF-α. The expression levels of serum ADP and TNF-α in the GDM patients were determined using ELISA, and ROC curves were drawn. Analysis of the curves showed that the area under the curve (AUC) of ADP was 0.821, and the sensitivity, specificity, critical value, and 95% CI were 72.86, 82.05, 9.09, and 71.72-89.83%, respectively; the AUC of TNF-α was 0.815, and the sensitivity, specificity, critical value, and 95% CI were 87.14, 69.23, 5.32 and 57.76-79.19%, respectively (Figs. 2 and 3).

Table I. Comparison between the two groups in clinical data [mean ± SD, n (%)].

| Clinicopathological characteristics | Experimental group (n=78) | Control group (n=70) | t or χ² | P-value |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------|--------|
| Age (years)                        | 27.13±4.81               | 26.94±4.67           | 0.243  | 0.808  |
| Pregestational BMI (kg/m²)         | 23.52±2.44               | 22.86±3.17           | 1.427  | 0.156  |
| Gestational week                   | 20.39±3.65               | 20.31±3.96           | 0.128  | 0.898  |
| Average weekly exercise time (h)   | 9.37±2.58                | 9.62±2.74            | 0.572  | 0.569  |
| Amniotic fluid volume, ml          |                          |                      |        |        |
| ≥2,000                             | 14 (17.95)               | 8 (11.43)            |        |        |
| <2,000                             | 64 (82.05)               | 62 (88.57)           |        |        |
| Medical history                    |                          |                      |        |        |
| Yes                                | 13 (16.67)               | 6 (8.57)             |        |        |
| No                                 | 65 (83.33)               | 64 (91.43)           |        |        |
| Family history of diabetes mellitus|                          |                      |        |        |
| Yes                                | 31 (39.74)               | 18 (25.71)           |        |        |
| None                               | 47 (60.26)               | 52 (74.29)           |        |        |
| Abortion history                   |                          |                      |        |        |
| Yes                                | 28 (35.90)               | 17 (24.29)           |        |        |
| None                               | 50 (64.10)               | 53 (75.71)           |        |        |
| Dietary habit                      |                          |                      |        |        |
| Light                              | 52 (66.67)               | 43 (61.43)           |        |        |
| Spicy                              | 26 (33.33)               | 27 (38.57)           |        |        |
| Place of residence                 |                          |                      |        |        |
| Rural area                         | 31 (39.74)               | 29 (41.43)           |        |        |
| Urban area                         | 47 (60.26)               | 41 (58.57)           |        |        |
| Ethnicity                          |                          |                      |        |        |
| Han                                | 62 (79.49)               | 50 (71.43)           |        |        |
| Minority                           | 16 (20.51)               | 20 (28.57)           |        |        |

Table II. Changes of ADP and TNF-α in the experimental group after delivery.

| Index                        | ADP (mg/l) | TNF-α (ng/l) |
|------------------------------|------------|--------------|
| Before treatment             | 6.84±2.72  | 6.17±2.06    |
| One week after delivery      | 9.39±2.15  | 3.52±1.47    |
| t value                      | 6.496      | 9.248        |
| P-value                      | <0.001     | <0.001       |

ADP, adiponectin; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-α.
in the experimental group, ADP was not significantly correlated with amniotic fluid volume, abortion history, ethnicity, or dietary habit (all P>0.05), but significantly and negatively correlated with age, pregestational BMI, gestational week, medical history, and family history of diabetes mellitus (all P<0.05). In addition, TNF-α was not significantly correlated with ethnicity or dietary habit (both P>0.05), but was significantly correlated with age, pregestational BMI, gestational week amniotic fluid volume, medical history, abortion history, and family history of diabetes mellitus (all P<0.05). Details are shown in Tables V and VI.

Discussion

GDM refers to glucose intolerance that occurs or is first recognized during pregnancy (13). It can be diagnosed when the patient has no diabetes before pregnancy and fasting blood glucose ≥5.1 mmol/l, and occur in second and third trimester. Overweight and obesity increase the risk of disease (14). GDM is a risk factor for type 2 diabetes mellitus. A study has shown that women with GDM history face a higher risk of suffering from type 2 diabetes mellitus than healthy pregnancy women (15). Moreover, women with GDM face a higher

Table III. Comparison of pregnancy outcomes between two groups with different ADP expression [mean ± SD, n (%)].

| Group           | Concentration (mg/l) | No. of patients | Fetal distress | Macrosomia | Fetal malformation | Reproductive tract infection | Adverse pregnancy outcomes |
|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| High expression group | 9.51±2.17            | 36              | 4 (11.11)     | 3 (8.33)   | 2 (5.56)            | 5 (13.89)                   | 14 (38.89)                |
| Low expression group  | 8.43±2.44            | 42              | 7 (16.67)     | 9 (21.43)  | 4 (9.52)            | 10 (23.81)                  | 30 (71.43)                |
| Control group     |                      | 70              | 2 (2.86)      | 2 (2.86)   | 0 (0.00)            | 5 (7.14)                    | 39.370                    |

χ² value | P-value
---|---
39.370 | <0.01

Table IV. Comparison of pregnancy outcomes between two groups with different TNF-α expression [mean ± SD, n (%)].

| Group           | Concentration (ng/l) | No. of cases | Fetal distress | Macrosomia | Fetal malformation | Reproductive tract infection | Adverse pregnancy outcomes |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------|------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| High expression group | 5.97±1.61            | 43           | 8 (18.60)     | 8 (18.60)  | 4 (9.30)            | 12 (27.91)                  | 32 (74.41)                |
| Low expression group  | 4.36±1.52            | 35           | 4 (11.43)     | 5 (14.29)  | 2 (5.71)            | 7 (20.00)                   | 18 (51.43)                |
| Control group     |                      | 70           | 2 (2.86)      | 2 (2.86)   | 0 (0.00)            | 5 (7.14)                    | 9 (12.86)                 |

χ² value | P-value
---|---
44.670 | <0.01

Figure 2. Analysis of ROC curve of ADP in diagnosis of gestational diabetes. When the cutoff value is 9.09, ADP has a high sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of gestational diabetes. ADP, adiponectin.

Figure 3. Analysis of ROC curve of TNF-α in diagnosis of gestational diabetes. When the cutoff value is 5.32, TNF-α has a high sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of gestational diabetes. TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-α.
risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes such as macrosomia, dystocia, and abortion, of which macrosomia is the result of maternal hyperglycemia accelerating fetal growth (16). Moreover, women with GDM also face a higher risk of suffering from cardiovascular diseases after delivery (17,18). Therefore, GDM is seriously endangering the health of pregnant women and infants, and is likely to play an important role in the global diabetes epidemic (19). At present, GDM is mainly treated by lifestyle intervention, oral hypoglycemic agents, and insulin injection (20,21), but there are still adverse reactions such as neonatal hypoglycemia and preeclampsia due to diseases (22).

A study concluded that insulin resistance is an important pathophysiological factor of GDM (23). With the deepening of research, increasing number of researchers have pointed out that many factors including interleukin and adipocyte factors are involved in the occurrence and progression of GDM (7). ADP is an endogenous bioactive polypeptide or protein secreted by adipocytes, and an insulin-sensitizing hormone, which is closely related to insulin resistance, obesity, and cardiovascular diseases (24). TNF includes TNF-β secreted by activated T lymphocytes and TNF-α secreted by monocyte-macrophage cells, of which TNF-α is a pro-inflammatory cell and is considered to be one of the important factors of insulin resistance in diabetes mellitus (25). A previous study revealed that the overall risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus was closely related to TNF-α and low adiponectin concentration, and they were predictive indexes of type 2 diabetes mellitus (26). However, the roles of ADP and TNF-α in GDM remain unclear. Some studies considered that dysregulation of various adipocyte factors in GDM may have pathophysiological and prognostic significance in pregnancy complications (27). Inflammation is characterized by an increase in the activity of inflammatory circulating biomarkers and monocytes. The association of inflammation and hyperglycemia with insulin resistance has been extensively studied in diabetic patients (28). This investigation was to provide relevant basis for screening and prediction of GDM by studying the changes of ADP and TNF-α concentrations in the blood of GDM patients, and their relationship with pregnancy outcome. In this study, the controls were all healthy pregnant women without gestational diabetes during pregnancy. The results revealed that compared

| Clinicopathological characteristics | No. | Concentration (mg/l) | t     | P-value |
|-----------------------------------|-----|----------------------|-------|---------|
| Age, weeks                        |     |                      |       |         |
| >28                               | 43  | 5.46±2.34            | 2.243 | 0.029   |
| ≤28                               | 35  | 6.77±2.82            |       |         |
| Pregestational BMI, kg/m²         |     |                      |       |         |
| ≤22.15                            | 49  | 6.42±2.05            | 2.558 | 0.013   |
| >22.15                            | 29  | 5.23±1.87            |       |         |
| Gestational week                  |     |                      |       |         |
| ≤20.23                            | 30  | 6.19±1.87            | 2.111 | 0.038   |
| >20.23                            | 48  | 5.31±1.74            |       |         |
| Amniotic fluid volume, ml         |     |                      |       |         |
| ≥2,000                            | 14  | 6.28±2.56            | 0.204 | 0.839   |
| <2,000                            | 64  | 6.41±2.07            |       |         |
| Abortion history                  |     |                      |       |         |
| Yes                               | 28  | 6.27±2.08            | 0.444 | 0.658   |
| None                              | 50  | 6.49±2.11            |       |         |
| Medical history                   |     |                      |       |         |
| Yes                               | 13  | 5.67±1.33            | 2.412 | 0.018   |
| No                                | 65  | 6.89±1.72            |       |         |
| Family history of diabetes mellitus|    |                      |       |         |
| Yes                               | 31  | 5.73±1.47            | 2.120 | 0.037   |
| None                              | 47  | 6.54±1.76            |       |         |
| Ethnicity                         |     |                      |       |         |
| Han                               | 62  | 6.28±2.31            | 0.363 | 0.718   |
| Minority                          | 16  | 6.52±2.54            |       |         |
| Dietary habit                     |     |                      |       |         |
| Light                             | 52  | 6.32±2.13            | 0.272 | 0.787   |
| Spicy                             | 26  | 6.47±2.61            |       |         |

ADP, adiponectin.
with healthy pregnant women, GDM patients showed higher blood TNF-α expression and lower blood ADP expression, which was consistent with previous research results (29,30). The low expression of ADP was related to age, pregestational BMI, gestational week, medical history, and family history of diabetes mellitus (all P<0.05), and the high expression of TNF-α was related to age, pregestational BMI, gestational week, amniotic fluid volume, medical history, abortion history, and family history of diabetes mellitus (all P<0.05). After treatment, the GDM patients showed increased ADP concentration and decreased TNF-α concentration. The study also found that the rate of adverse pregnancy outcomes in the low ADP expression group was higher than that in the high ADP expression group (P<0.05), and the rate in the high TNF-α expression group was higher than that in the low TNF-α expression group (P<0.05). In addition, the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes in the experimental group was higher than that in the control group. In the present study, GDM patients showed decreased ADP concentration and increased TNF-α concentration, and indexes of delivery were improved after treatment, suggesting that ADP and TNF-α were bound up with GDM. However, whether the pregnancy outcomes can be improved by interfering with ADP and TNF-α expression, and whether there are the same inflammatory factors or adipocyte factors involved in the occurrence of the disease need further study.

However, no basic research was carried out, so it was impossible to assess how ADP and TNF-α participate in the occurrence of diseases or to judge which treatment method can better affect the expression of ADP and TNF-α. These aspects require further research, together with the long-term effects of ADP and TNF-α on puerperae and infants.

In conclusion, both ADP and TNF-α are abnormally expressed in the GDM patients, and TNF-α is affected by more of the factors. The concentrations of both ADP and TNF-α affect the pregnancy outcomes. It suggests that ADP and TNF-α can be used as indexes for predicating pregnancy outcomes, and for judging the disease conditions and treatment situation of patients.
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