In vitro antimicrobial activities of animal-used quinoxaline 1,4-di-N-oxides against mycobacteria, mycoplasma and fungi
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Abstract

Background: The quinoxaline 1,4-di-N-oxides (QdNOs) were known as potent antibacterial agents. For the purpose of evaluating the bioactivity of existing animal-used QdNOs drugs against representative pathogenic microorganism, the representative drugs of quinoxalines including cyadox, mequindox, quinocetone and their metabolites were submitted to the in vitro evaluation for antituberculosis, antimycoplasma, antifungal and antiviral activities.

Results: In antituberculosis assays, the prototype compounds were active (MIC = 4 ~ 8 μg/mL) against Mycobacterium tuberculosis H37Rv and M. bovis. Combined antimicrobial susceptibility test indicated that cyadox, mequindox and quinocetone combined with rifampicin had additive effect against M. tuberculosis complex with Fractional Inhibitory Concentration Index (FIC) of 0.75. Results of antifungal assays showed that quinocetone was active against Microsporum canis with MIC of 8 μg/mL. Antimycoplasma screening showed a generally good activity of quinocetone against Mycoplasma gallisepticum and Mycoplasma hypneumoniae, with MIC between 8 and 16 μg/mL. As shown from the combined antimicrobial susceptibility test, cyadox, mequindox and quinocetone combined with tetracycline had additive effect against Mycoplasma gallisepticum with FIC of 0.75. These compounds were also submitted to antiviral assay against infectious bursal disease virus, porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus, porcine parvovirus and classical swine fever virus. The results obtained showed that these QdNOs and their metabolites have no inhibitory activity against these viruses in vitro.

Conclusions: QdNOs exhibit antimicrobial activities against mycobacteria, mycoplasma and fungi. This study gives new insight in further application of QdNOs and offers a way to promote the healthcare of animal husbandry.

Keywords: Quinoxaline 1,4-di-N-oxides, Antituberculosis, Antimycoplasma, Antifungi, Combined antimicrobial susceptibility test

(Continued on next page)
Page 2 of 13

**Background**

The microorganism infection is one of the most serious threats to human health and animal production all the time. With the help of antimicrobial agents, we have a powerful weapon against pathogens. However, the misuse of antimicrobials has led to the development of drug-resistant and multidrug-resistant (MDR) microorganisms [1]. Resistant bacteria are increasing and the interval between the appearances of new multi-drug resistant species is happening in short periods of time [2]. As MDR bacteria are increasing worldwide, development of new antimicrobials with enhanced activity is urgently needed [3]. In addition, it is a cost-effective approach to evaluate the bioactivity of existing drugs that can reverse the resistance and over turn the actual bacterial profile.

The quinoxaline 1,4-di-N-oxides (QdNOs) have been known as potent antibacterial agents since 1940s [4]. Animal-used QdNOs are a class of synthetic antibacterial agents, and the representative drugs, carbadox, olaquindox, mequindox (MEQ) and quinocetone (QCT) have been widely used in animal production as antibacterial growth promoters. Previous studies demonstrated that these drugs were active to many pathogenic microorganisms, including *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* spp., *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pasteurella multocida*, *Brachyspira hyodysenteriae*, etc. [5].CYA (CYA) is a new member of QdNOs, which may substitute olaquindox and carbadox because of its low toxicity and broad antibacterial spectrum [6–9]. Over the last two decades, many papers have been published, in which both synthesis and biological activity assessment of a large number of QdNOs derivatives have been described [10, 11]. Recent studies have demonstrated that QdNOs are endowed with antituberculosis [12, 13], antiviral [14], antichagasic [15], anticandida [16] activities and property of hypoxic selectivity [17], depending on specific chemical features. The previous encouraging results prompted us to further analyze the biological activity of the animal-used QdNOs.

For the purpose of obtaining new and more potent drugs which can improve the current chemotherapy against representative pathogenic microorganism, CYA, QCT, MEQ and their metabolites were evaluated for in vitro antimicrobial activity. The antimicrobial minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of QdNOs and their metabolites against fungi, mycoplasma and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex were examined. Also, the inhibitory activity of QdNOs against infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV), porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) and porcine parvovirus (PPV) were evaluated by cytopathic effect (CPE) method and methyl thiazolyl tetrazolium (MTT) method. Since the replication of classical swine fever virus (CSFV) does not result in cytopathic effect in vitro, a SYBR Green I real-time RT-PCR was developed to determine the copies of the virus suspension. By comparing the growth curve, whether these QdNOs have anti-CSFV activity can be judged. Meanwhile, the combined antimicrobial susceptibility test were carried out in order to screen the drug combinations against *M. tuberculosis* complex and mycoplasma, providing the scientific basis for the further application of these drugs.

**Methods**

**Bacteria, viruses and cells**

The fungi including *Aspergillus fumigatus* 3.5301 and 3.5352, *Candida albicans* 2.4122 and 2.3990 (ATCC7349), *C. tropicalis* 2.1975 (ATCC7349) and 2.2735, *M. bovis* N, *M. tuberculosis* H37Ra ATCC25177, H37Rv ATCC 27294 and *M. bovis* ATCC19210 were provided by State

**Abbreviations:** ATCC, American type culture collection; CCSD, 50 % cytotoxic concentration; CCU, Colour change unit; CFU, Colony-forming unit; CPE, Cytopathic effect; Ct, Cycle threshold; Cy1, Bi-deoxy cyadox; Cy10, N1-deoxy cyadox; Cy2, N4-deoxy cyadox; CYA, cyadox; ECSD, 50 % effective concentration; FIC, Fractional inhibitory concentration index; HCLV, Hog cholera virus strain HCLV; IBDV, Infectious bursal disease virus; M1, Bi-deoxy mequindox; M4, Carbonyl reduction N1-deoxy mequindox; M6, Carbonyl reduction mequindox; MABA, Microdilution alamar blue assay; MEQ, Mequindox; MIC, Minimum inhibitory concentration index; MNTC, Maximum non-cytotoxic concentration; MTT, Methylathiazol tetrazolium bromide; PPV, Porcine parvovirus virus; PRRSV, Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus; Q2, Carbonyl reduction bi-deoxy quinocetone; QCT, Quinocetone; QdNOs, Quinoxaline 1,4-di-N-oxides; QSAR, Quantitative structure activity relationship; RT-qPCR, Real-time quantitative PCR; SI, Selectivity index; TAACF, Tuberculosis antimicrobial acquisition and coordinating facility; TCIDSD, 50 % tissue culture infective dose; TI, Therapeutic index
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Key Laboratory of Agricultural Microbiology, Huazhong Agricultural University (Wuhan, China).

IBDV AV150, PRRSV CAU0680, PPV AV31 and CSFV AV1412 were obtained from CVCC. The 50 % tissue culture infective dose (TCID$_{50}$) for the virus was determined by the Reed-Muench assay. The IBDV, PRRSV and PPV were diluted to $1 \times 10^{-6.25}$ (100 TCID$_{50}$), $1 \times 10^{-4.3}$ (100 TCID$_{50}$) and $1 \times 10^{-4.6}$ (100 TCID$_{50}$) respectively with basic medium and stored at −80°C for future use.

Marc-145 cells, PK-15 cells and DF-1 cells were diluted to $2 \times 10^5$ cells/mL with 10 % Dulbecco’s minimum essential medium (DMEM), seeded in 96-well plates, and incubated at 37 °C in a 5 % CO$_2$ atmosphere.

**Antimicrobials**

CYA, bi-deoxy Cyadox (Cy1), N4-deoxy cyadox (Cy2), N1-deoxy cyadox (Cy10), QCT, carbonyl reduction bi-deoxy quinocetone (Q2), MEQ, bi-deoxy Mequindox (M1), carbonyl reduction N1-deoxy mequindox (M4), carbonyl reduction bi-deoxy mequindox (M5) and carbonyl reduction mequindox (M6) were tested using MABA (100 TCID$_{50}$) with 10 % Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium, Middlebrook 7H9 broth and 0.5 % 3-(4,5-dimethyithiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (Biosharp, Hefei, Anhui, China) was prepared at a final concentration of 1280 μg/mL.

**Plasmid Minipreparation Kit** and Axyprep DNA Gel Extraction Kit were the products of TIANGEN biotech Co., Ltd (Beijing, China). Trizol Regent was purchased from Ambion (Shanghai, China). All other chemicals and reagents commercially available were of the highest analytical grade.

**Microdilution alamar blue assay (MABA) against M. tuberculosis complex**

The activities of QdNOs and their metabolites as well as the positive control drugs isoniazide and rifampicin against *M. tuberculosis* complex strains were tested using MABA [18]. Briefly, each of the above Mycobacterium strains was cultured at 37 °C in Middlebrook 7H9 broth supplemented with 0.2 % glycerol and 10 % Oleic Acid-Albumin-Dextrose-Catalase (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) until logarithmic growth reached. About $6 \times 10^8$ CFU/mL inoculum of *Mycobacterium* strain was then added to the two fold serially diluted drug samples. The final concentration of DMSO in all assays was 2.5 % or less and this dilution also served as solvent control. The samples were assayed in triplicate. All tests were carried out in sterile flat bottom 96-well microplates. Each microplate was incubated for 5 days at 37 °C in a sealed plastic CO$_2$-permeable bag. After 7 days of incubation, 32 μL of a mixture of freshly prepared Alamar Blue solution and 20 % sterile Tween-80 at 1:1 (v/v) were added to the growth-control well. The microplates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. If a color shift from blue to pink was observed in the growth-control sample, 32 μL of Alamar Blue solution was added to each of the remaining wells, and the microplate was further incubated for 24 h. A well-defined pink color was interpreted as positive bacterial growth, whereas a blue color indicated an absence of growth. The MIC corresponded to the concentration of the greatest dilution of drug sample in which the color shift from blue to pink was not observed.

**Antifungal assay**

The antifungal activity of the QdNOs and their metabolites as well as the positive control drugs amphotericin B and ketoconazole were determined according to Rodriguez-Tudela el al. [19]. Briefly, the compounds were tested by macrobroth 2-fold serial dilution technique. Aspergillus fumigatus, Candida albicans, Cryptococcus neoformans, Candida tropicalis, Candida parapsilosis, Trichophyton rubrum, Epidermophyton floccosum and Microsporum canis seeded broth (10$^5$ CFU/mL) were prepared in RPMI 1640 medium, and added into the serially diluted drug solution. The tubes were incubated at 28 °C and the MIC (μg/mL) was recorded after 72 ~ 96 h (mycelial fungi) post-incubation. Broth control (without fungi), growth controls (with fungi and without drug), solvent (DMSO) control and drug control
| Compound | Molecular weight | Formula      | Structure |
|----------|-----------------|--------------|-----------|
| CYA      | 272             | C_{12}H_{10}N_{5}O_{3} | ![Structure](CYA.png) |
| Cy1      | 240             | C_{12}H_{10}N_{5}O | ![Structure](Cy1.png) |
| Cy2      | 256             | C_{12}H_{10}N_{5}O_{2} | ![Structure](Cy2.png) |
| Cy10     | 256             | C_{12}H_{10}N_{5}O_{2} | ![Structure](Cy10.png) |
| MEQ      | 219             | C_{11}H_{11}N_{2}O_{3} | ![Structure](MEQ.png) |
| M1       | 187             | C_{11}H_{11}N_{2}O | ![Structure](M1.png) |
| M4       | 205             | C_{11}H_{13}N_{2}O_{2} | ![Structure](M4.png) |
| M5       | 189             | C_{11}H_{13}N_{2}O | ![Structure](M5.png) |
| M6       | 221             | C_{11}H_{13}N_{2}O_{3} | ![Structure](M6.png) |
| QCT      | 307             | C_{18}H_{14}N_{2}O_{3} | ![Structure](QCT.png) |
| Q2       | 275             | C_{18}H_{14}N_{2}O | ![Structure](Q2.png) |
of both test drugs and standard drugs were set under identical conditions. The minimum drug concentration in the tubes in which no apparent growth of the organism was observed represented the MIC of the compound.

**Antimycoplasma assay**

The MIC determination of QdNOs and their metabolites and the positive control drugs, tylosin and enrofloxacin, against mycoplasma was performed according to Hannan [20]. Briefly, 96-well microtiter Sensititre plates containing stabilized and freeze-dried antimicrobials were used. Three wells on each plate were set as antimicrobial free growth control. Freshly thawed mycoplasma isolates with known titers were diluted in liquid medium until the number of organisms reached 10⁶ color changing units/mL. 50 μL of the diluted culture was transferred into each well of the Sensititre plates. The M. gallisepticum PG31 was used as the control strain and tested three times in order to estimate the reproducibility of the procedure. The plates were sealed using an adhesive foil and incubated at 36 °C for 14 days. The growth of M. hyopneumoniae was observed daily when the color of the medium changed from red to yellow (phenol red indicator), and the initial and final MICs were recorded. The initial MIC was defined as the lowest drug concentration at which no change in color when the growth control turned yellow, and the final MIC was defined as the lowest drug concentration to show no color change at 14 days after inoculation.

**Combination susceptibility assay**

The fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) index is most frequently used to describe drug interactions. The combined effects of CYA, MEQ and QCT with antimycoplasma drugs (tetracycline, doxycycline, lincomycin, clindamycin, danofloxacin, enrofloxacin, tylosin and kitasamycin) against M. gallisepticum were studied using the checkerboard method [21]. Meanwhile, the combined effects of CYA, MEQ and QCT with antituberculosis drugs (rifampicin, isoniazid, streptomycin, kanamycin, ethambutol, and amikacin) against M. tuberculosis H37Rv and M. bovis were studied in the same way. FICs were calculated according to the equation: FIC = FIC_A + FIC_B = A/MIC_A + B/MIC_B, where A and B are the MICs of drug A and drug B in the combination, MIC_A and MIC_B are the MICs of drug A and drug B alone. Experiments were performed in duplicate. The FIC indices were interpreted as follows: ≤0.5, synergy; 0.5 to 1, additive; 1 to 2, indifferent; >2, antagonism [22].

**Cytotoxicity assay**

The cytotoxicity of the QdNO compounds and their metabolites was measured by MTT assay [23]. Each compound or the control drug ribavirin was 2-fold serially diluted with DMEM containing 2 % FCS, respectively. Marc-145 cells, DF-1 cells, and PK-15 cells were seeded into 96-well plates at a density of 2 × 10⁴ cells/well, and incubated for 24 ~ 36 h. When the cells were at least 90 % confluent, the medium was removed and the diluted compounds or ribavirin were added to the wells and incubated for 72 h. Then, the medium was discarded and 20 μL of MTT solution was added to each well. The plates were then further incubated at 37 °C for 4 h. Subsequently, the supernatant was removed and 150 μL of DMSO was added to each well in order to dissolve the formazan crystals. After gently shaking the plates for 10 min, the absorbance was read on an ELISA microplate reader with a 490 nm wavelength and a 630 nm reference wavelength.

For each compound, the percentage of cell viability was calculated as [(A-B)/A × 100], where A and B correspond to the absorbance of control and treated cells, respectively. The 50 % cytotoxic concentration (CC₅₀) value was defined as the concentration of each compound that reduced the absorbance of treated cells by 50 % when compared with the non-treated cell control. The maximum non-cytotoxic concentration (MNTC) was calculated as the maximum drug concentration to retain 90 % cell viability [24].

**Antiviral assay**

The anti-PRRSV, anti-PPV and anti-IBDV activities of the QdNO compounds and their metabolites were evaluated as previously described by Li et al. [25] with minor modifications. Briefly, a confluent monolayer of cells was prepared as described above. After removal of the culture medium, the MNTC of each compound and a constant amount of 100 TCID₅₀ viruses were added. Cell control, virus negative control, and ribavirin positive control were set up simultaneously. The plates were then inoculated at 37 °C. When CPE in the virus negative control reached 80 % ~ 90 % compared with cell control, the cell viability was determined by the MTT method. The inhibition ratio was calculated based on the formula [26]: Inhibition ratio = [(OD₅₀×OD₅₀) - (OD₅₀/treatment × OD₅₀/virus)] / [(OD₅₀/virus × OD₅₀/mock)], where (OD₅₀) represents the optical density (OD) of cells infected with virus and treated with the compounds, (OD₅₀) corresponds to the OD of the untreated virus-infected cells, and (OD₅₀/mock) is the OD of untreated mock-infected cells. The compounds with the inhibition ratio exceeding 50 % were selected and made by a 2-fold serial dilution with MM, and the procedures were repeated as described above. The 50 % effective concentration (EC₅₀) of the compound was defined as 50 % cytoprotection against virus infection. The selectivity index (SI) was calculated as the ratio of CC₅₀ to EC₅₀. When EC₅₀ could not be calculated owing to low inhibition ratio of CPE, the results were counted as the maximum inhibition ratio.
For the virucidal assay [27], each compound with the MNTC and 100 TCID50 viruses were mixed and interacted at 37 °C for 2 h. 100 μL of virus/compound suspension was then added to a cell plate and incubated at 37 °C in a 5 % CO2 humidified atmosphere. The plate was observed under a microscope daily until the CPE of the virus negative control reached 80 % ~ 90 % compared with cell control, and the MTT test was performed as described above.

The infection inhibition assay was done dynamically according to previous methods [28] with some modifications. The cells in 96-well plates were pre-incubated with 100 TCID50 viruses for 2 h. Subsequently, the medium was removed and the cells were washed twice with PBS, and then fresh medium containing MNTC of each compound was added. The plates were further incubated at 37 °C in a 5 % CO2 atmosphere. The CPE was recorded at a time interval of 12 h under the microscope. When the CPE of the virus negative control reached 80 % ~ 90 % compared with cells control, the anti-virus activity of all phases was assessed by MTT test and the viral inhibition ratio was calculated.

The adsorption inhibition assay was done as followings [29]. The confluent monolayers of cells grown in 96-well plates were incubated with the compounds at 37 °C in a 5 % CO2 atmosphere for 4 h. Subsequently, the medium was removed and 100 TCID50 viruses were added to each well and incubated for 1 h. The cell monolayer was gently washed with PBS and then fresh medium was added to the plates. The plates were incubated at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5 % CO2 until 80 ~ 90 % CPE was observed in virus negative control compared with cells control. The MTT test and viral inhibition ratio were then determined as above.

Anti-CSFV assay
CSFV replication is restricted to the cell cytoplasm and does not result in cytopathic effect [30], therefore it was not possible to observe directly the foci of viral growth. Due to this fact, a real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) using SYBR Green I was developed to determine the copies of virus suspension.

The RNA was extracted from cell culture supernatants of CSFV-infected PK-15 cells using the Trizol method according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Ambion Shanghai, China). The synthesis of cDNA was performed by random priming and using M-MLV reverse transcriptase, as described previously by De Arce et al. [31]. PCR primers (HCLV-FP: 5′-GCAGAAGCCACCTCGAGAT-3′; HCLV-RP: 5′-TACACCGGTTCTC CACTCC-3′) synthesized by TIANYI HUIYUAN (Wuhan, Hubei, China) were used to amplify a 244-bp fragment of the conserved 5′-UTR of the genome of hog cholera virus strain HCLV (sequence is available in GenBank no. AF09150). The 50 μL reaction mixture contained 31.0 μL sterilized water, 5.0 μL of 10× buffer, 5.0 μL of dNTP mixture, 3 μL of MgCl2 (25 mmol/L), 1 μL of each primer (HCLV-FP and HCLV-RP), 1 μL of reverse transcription product, and 3 μL of Ex Taq® DNA Polymerase (Ex taq). The thermal conditions were set as follows: one cycle at 94 °C for 3 min; followed by 35 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 59 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s; with a final extension at 72 °C for 7 min.

The PCR product was inserted into the vector pMD18-T to construct the recombinant plasmid p-18 T-HCLV which was transformed into E. coli DH5α host bacteria. After increased in the host bacteria, the recombinant plasmid was purified using Plasmid Mini-preparation Kit (TIANGEN), and kept at –20 °C for later use.

The real-time PCR amplifications of the target gene fragments used 25 μL reaction mixtures containing 12.5 μL of SYBR premix, 1 μL of cDNA, 0.5 μL of each primer, and 10.5 μL sterile water. The reactions were carried out in BIO-RAD iQ5 Real Time PCR (Hercules, CA, USA). The conditions were as follows: one cycle at 94 °C for 3 min followed by 40 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 59 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s. Analytical sensitivity was evaluated by testing standard plasmid p-18T-HCLV from sequential ten fold dilutions in DEPC treated water (3.74 × 10⁵ copies/μL).

To determine the reproducibility of the real-time PCR, the standard plasmid was diluted to 3.74 × 10⁴, 3.74 × 10⁵ and 3.74 × 10⁶ copies/μL respectively in DEPC treated water. To evaluate intra-assay variability, each dilution was analyzed in triplicate. To measure inter-assay variability, each dilution was analyzed in three different runs performed by two different operators on different days. Coefficients of variation for cycle threshold (Ct) values within each block and among blocks (using the mean values from each block) were determined.

The anti-CSFV assay was done dynamically following previous methods. The MNTC of each compound and CSFV AV1412 were used in the assay. The PK-15 cells in 96-well plates were pre-incubated with CSFV for 2 h. Subsequently, the medium was removed and the cells were washed twice with PBS, and then fresh medium containing the compounds was added. The plates were further incubated at 37 °C in 5 % CO2 atmosphere. The cell culture supernatants and CSFV-infected PK-15 cells were collected at 12 h, 24 h, 36 h, 48 h, 72 h, 84 h, 96 h and 108 h respectively. Cells control and virus negative control were set up simultaneously. After RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis, the samples were subjected to the RT-qPCR to detect the copy number. By comparing the growth curve, whether QdNOs have anti-CSFV activity could be judged.
Statistical analysis
The statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 19.0 software. Each experiment was repeated three or more times. Data were represented as the means for replicate samples of independent experiments and expressed as the mean ± SD. A student’s t-test and one-way ANOVA were used. A value of P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Antifungal activity
The results of the in vitro evaluation of antifungal activity of QdNOs are shown in Table 2. The MICs of the quality control drug, amphotericin B and ketoconazole, against the C. parapsilosis and C. albicans all fell within the same range compared with the results of the previous study [19]. The MIC of CYA against Cryptococcus neoformans was determined to be 16 μg/mL and QCT was the most active against Microsporum canis with the MIC of 8 μg/mL. The results suggested that QCT could inhibit the growth of superficial fungi and CYA had inhibitory activity against both superficial fungi and deep fungi. The deoxidized metabolites of QdNOs (Table 1) were ineffective against fungi, indicating that the presence of the two N-oxide groups in the quinoxaline ring is necessary to the antifungal activity.

Atimycoplasma activity
The antimycoplasma susceptibility test results of 3 QdNOs and 8 antimycoplasma drugs are shown in Table 3. The MICs of the control drugs, tylosin and enrofloxacin, against M. gallisepticum and M. hyopneumoniae were the same or within 2-fold difference as those in the previous findings [20]. The date obtained indicated the effectiveness of the three QdNO drugs against mycoplasma with MICs between 8 to 32 μg/mL. The MIC of QCT against M. gallisepticum was determined to be 8 μg/mL. The metabolites of QdNOs were ineffective against mycoplasma, indicating that the presence of the two N-oxide groups ring is necessary to the antmycoplasma activity.

The MICs of other 8 antimycoplasma drugs showed a good activity against M. gallisepticum (Table 3). As shown in Table 4, CYA, MEQ and QCT combined with tetracyclines (tetracycline and doxycycline) had additive effect against M. gallisepticum.

Antituberculosis activity
The results of antituberculosis activity of QdNOs are shown in Table 5. The MICs of of the quality control drugs (rifampicin and isoniazid) against M. tuberculosis H37Rv ATCC27294 were within 2-fold difference range as those in the previous studies [32, 33]. The three QdNO drugs showed good effectiveness against M. bovis and M. tuberculosis H37Rv with the MICs between 4 to 8 μg/mL. The QdNO metabolites were ineffective against M. tuberculosis complex, confirming the findings of the report that the presence of the two N-oxide groups in the quinoxaline ring is necessary to the antitubercular activity [34].

The results of the MICs of other seven antituberculosis drugs showed a good activity against M. tuberculosis complex (Table 5). As can be seen from Table 6, CYA, MEQ

Table 2 MICs of QdNOs against fungi

| Fungi          | CYA | QCT | MEQ  | AMB | KCZ |
|----------------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|
| Superficial fungi |     |     |      |     |     |
| M. canis CBS113480 | 16  | 8   | >64  | 0.5 | 0.25|
| M. canis CMCC(F)M3D  | 32  | 16  | >64  | 1   | 0.5 |
| T. rubrum ATCC4438  | 32  | 32  | >64  | 1   | 0.5 |
| T. rubrum CMCC(F)T11 | 32  | 32  | >64  | 1   | 0.5 |
| E. floccosum CBS566094 | 32  | 32  | 64   | 0.5 | 0.25|
| E. floccosum CMCC(F)E3D  | 32  | 32  | >64  | 0.5 | 0.25|
| Deep fungi        |     |     |      |     |     |
| C. albicans 90028  | 64  | >64 | 64   | 1   | 0.25|
| C. albicans 24122  | 32  | >64 | 32   | 2   | 0.5 |
| C. tropicalis 7349 | 32  | >64 | >64  | 2   | 0.5 |
| C. tropicalis 2.2735 | 32  | >64 | 64   | 2   | 0.5 |
| C. parapsilosis 22019 | 32  | 64  | 64   | 1   | 0.125|
| C. neoformans 2.2301 | 16  | 64  | 32   | 0.5 | 0.25|
| A. fumigatus 3.5352   | 32  | 64  | >64  | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| A. fumigatus 3.5301   | 64  | 64  | >64  | 0.5 | 0.25|

AMB amphotericin B, KCZ ketoconazole
and QCT combined with rifampicin had additive effect against *M. tuberculosis* complex with FIC of 0.75.

### Antiviral activity

Cytotoxicity assays are essential for the initial phases of antiviral drug development. The MNTC and CC<sub>50</sub> values for each tested compound are listed in Table 7. It was observed that CYA, MEQ and QCT exhibited more cytotoxicity than their metabolites to Marc-145 cells, PK-15 cells and DF-1 cells except Q2. The test compounds showed CC<sub>50</sub> values ranging from 0.81 to 128.62 μg/mL, and the MNTC ranged from 0.06 to 4.0 μg/mL.

The results obtained from the anti-PRRSV, anti-PPV and anti-IBDV assay demonstrated that QdNOs and their metabolites showed no effectiveness against these viruses in vitro (Additional files 1, 2 and 3). The control drug ribavirin possessed good inhibitory activity in infection inhibition assay, virucidal assay and adsorption inhibition assay.

In the Anti-CSFV assay, the plasmid p-18T-HCLV containing a 244 bp gene fragment of HCLV was used as...
The concentration of the plasmid p-18T-HCLV was 1.22 μg/μL before dilution, equivalent to 3.74 × 10^9 copies/μL. Standard curve were plotted by copy numbers of p-18T-HCLV as the horizontal coordinate and the Ct values as the vertical coordinate based on results of RT-qPCR. The standard curve was linear in the range from 10^9 to 10^2 copies/μL, with R^2 of 0.995 and a reaction efficiency of 99.23 % (Fig. 2). The limit of detection of the RT-qPCR method was 3.74 × 10^1 copies/μL and the linear range spanned from 3.74 × 10^8 to 3.74 × 10^1 copies/μL. (Additional file 4). The dissociation curve analysis performed after the completed PCR confirmed only Tm of 86.6 °C for the amplified template (Additional file 5). The amplification plot as well as the melting curve showed nonspecific amplification and non-specific primer dimerization. The amplifications were highly reproducible with coefficients of variation within runs (intra-assay variability) ranging from 0.13 % to 0.80 %, and inter-assay variability ranging from 0.29 % to 0.43 %. By comparing the growth curves we can observe that there are no significant changes of the copies of CSFV between the blank control and the drug-treated group (Fig. 3), indicating that QdNOs have no inhibitory activity against CSFV.

### Discussion

Antonio and coworkers [10] first reported the synthesis and antycandida activities of 36 (7)-substituted-3-methylor3-halogenomethyl-2-phenylthio-phenylsulphonyl-chloro-QdNOs. It was shown that the QdNO derivatives without (7)-substituted had MIC50 of 31.25 μg/mL against 24 clinical-isolated C. albicans, consistent with our results (Table 2). Based on the reports and our results, the QdNOs have a general good antifungal activity.

### Table 5

| Drugs | M. bovis ATCC 19210 | M. tuberculosis |
|-------|---------------------|----------------|
|       | H37Rv ATCC 27294    | H37Ra ATCC 25177 |
| CYA   | 8                   | 4              | 64          |
| QCT   | 4                   | 4              | 64          |
| MEQ   | 8                   | 4              | 32          |
| Rifampicin | 0.025             | 0.05           | 1.6         |
| Isoniazid | 0.025             | 0.025          | 16          |
| Streptomycin | 0.013           | 0.025          |
| Ethambutol | 0.025              | 0.025          |
| Kanamycin | 0.2                | 0.2            |
| Pyrazinamide | 0.8               | 0.83           |
| Amikacin | 1.6                | 3.2            |

### Table 6

| Drug combination | M. bovis ATCC 19210 | M. tb H37Rv ATCC 27294 |
|------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                  | FICa + FICb | FIC | Combined effect | FICa + FICb | FIC | Combined effect |
| CYA + Rifampicin | 0.5 + 0.25 | 0.75 | additive | 0.5 + 0.25 | 0.75 | additive |
| CYA + Isoniazide | 1 + 0.5   | 1.5  | indifferent | 1 + 0.25 | 1.25 | indifferent |
| CYA + Streptomycin | 0.5 + 0.25 | 0.75 | additive | 0.5 + 0.5 | 1   | additive |
| CYA + Kanamycin  | 1 + 0.5   | 1.25 | indifferent | 1 + 0.5 | 1.5  | indifferent |
| CYA + Ethambutol | 1 + 0.5   | 1.5  | indifferent | 1 + 0.25 | 1.25 | indifferent |
| CYA + Amikacin  | 1 + 0.5   | 1.5  | indifferent | 1 + 0.25 | 1.25 | indifferent |
| QCT + Rifampicin | 0.5 + 0.5 | 1   | additive  | 0.5 + 0.25 | 0.75 | additive |
| QCT + Isoniazide | 1 + 0.5   | 1.5  | indifferent | 1 + 0.25 | 1.25 | indifferent |
| QCT + Streptomycin | 0.5 + 0.5 | 1   | additive  | 1 + 0.25 | 1.25 | indifferent |
| QCT + Kanamycin  | 1 + 0.5   | 1.25 | indifferent | 1 + 0.5 | 1.5  | additive |
| QCT + Ethambutol | 1 + 0.5   | 1.5  | indifferent | 1 + 0.25 | 1.25 | indifferent |
| QCT + Amikacin  | 0.5 + 0.5 | 1   | additive  | 1 + 0.5 | 1.5  | indifferent |
| MEQ + Rifampicin | 0.5 + 0.5 | 1   | additive  | 0.5 + 0.25 | 0.75 | additive |
| MEQ + Isoniazide | 1 + 0.5   | 1.5  | indifferent | 1 + 0.25 | 1.25 | indifferent |
| MEQ + Streptomycin | 1 + 0.5   | 1.55 | additive  | 1 + 0.5 | 1.5  | additive |
| MEQ + Kanamycin  | 1 + 0.5   | 1.5  | indifferent | 0.5 + 0.5 | 1   | additive |
| MEQ + Ethambutol | 1 + 0.5   | 1.5  | indifferent | 1 + 0.5 | 1.5  | indifferent |
| MEQ + Amikacin  | 1 + 0.5   | 1.55 | indifferent | 1 + 0.5 | 1.5  | indifferent |
There were few reports on QdNOs against mycoplasma. 23 QdNO derivaties were synthesized and submitted to antimycoplasma assay against *Mycoplasma hominis*, and the results showed several compounds inhibited the growth of the mycoplasma at the concentration of 0.1 mg/mL [16]. Based on our results, the QdNOs may have a better antimycoplasma activity against *M. gallisepticum* and *M. hyopneumoniae* (Table 3).

The report about the antiviral activity of quinoxalines focused on derivatives of indoloquinoxaline. The antiviral effect of indoloquinoxaline depends on its intercalating into the DNA helix and then disturbing steps that are vital for viral uncoating [14]. The QdNOs were redox-activated, hypoxia-selective DNA cleaving compouds [35]. In our study, neither QdNOs nor their metabolites showed antiviral activity, probably due to the differences in chemical structures of the tested QdNOs and indoloquinoxaline in which the indole groups might be more important for antiviral activity.

Over the past few years, QdNO derivatives have gradually become a research hotspot because they are found to possess good activity against *M. tuberculosis*. What is more, one of the five lead compound series which are currently pursued under the Tuberculosis Antimicrobial Acquisition and Coordinating Facility (TAACF) program is the series of QdNOs derivatives [12]. Over 500 quinoxaline derivatives were tested by the TAACF program, many of these compounds possess good antitubercular activity, and some analogs were even found active against single-drug-resistant strains and non-replicating bacteria [36]. In our study, MEQ showed antitubercular activity.

### Table 7  Cytotoxic features of QdNOs and their main metabolites in PK-15, Marc-145 and DF-1 cells

| Drugs | PK-15 | Marc-145 | DF-1 |
|-------|-------|----------|------|
|       | TCO0  | MNTC     | TCO0 | MNTC | TCO0 | MNTC |
| CYA   | 15.31 ± 1.23 | 1.2 | 16.92 ± 1.28 | 1.56 | 17.26 ± 1.27 | 1.0 |
| Cy1   | 23.40 ± 2.14 | 2.0 | 37.83 ± 1.67 | 3.9 | 32.97 ± 2.35 | 2.0 |
| Cy2   | 51.73 ± 2.96 | 2.0 | 96.55 ± 3.12 | 2.0 | 35.48 ± 2.87 | 1.0 |
| Cy10  | 25.27 ± 1.55 | 2.0 | 33.62 ± 1.85 | 2.0 | 49.2 ± 1.93 | 2.0 |
| QCT   | 2.38 ± 0.27 | 0.2 | 8.90 ± 1.05 | 0.39 | 9.89 ± 1.08 | 0.25 |
| Q2    | 0.81 ± 0.12 | 0.1 | 3.76 ± 0.59 | 0.2 | 1.86 ± 0.24 | 0.06 |
| MEQ   | 17.86 ± 1.28 | 0.5 | 41.28 ± 1.28 | 1.0 | 40.01 ± 1.27 | 2.0 |
| M1    | 72.90 ± 2.66 | 2.0 | 70.77 ± 1.57 | 1.0 | 54.8 ± 1.93 | 1.0 |
| M4    | 40.81 ± 1.27 | 3.9 | 128.62 ± 2.67 | 3.9 | 37.75 ± 2.68 | 1.0 |
| M5    | 40.41 ± 3.21 | 2.0 | 89.66 ± 2.14 | 2.0 | 38.2 ± 2.09 | 2.0 |
| M6    | 78.82 ± 3.57 | 2.0 | 89.82 ± 1.57 | 2.0 | 41.26 ± 1.27 | 2.0 |
| Ribavirin | 44.80 ± 1.58 | 2.0 | 75.49 ± 2.54 | 8.6 | 67.42 ± 2.58 | 4.0 |

(Unit: μg/mL, mean ± SD, n = 4)

**Fig. 1** The PCR amplification result of recombinant plasmid p-18T-HCLV. Lane M, DL2000DNA Marker; lane 1 to 4, target fragment; lane 5, blank control

**Fig. 2** The standard curve for FQ-PCR of standard plasmid. The LogConcentration in the X-axis indicates the denary logarithm of the copy number of standard plasmid per microliter
against *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv with MIC of 4 μg/mL, in consistence with the previous report (3.13 μg/mL) [11]. The available information so far and our results support the interest devoted to QdNOs as a novel class of antituberculosis agents.

It has been shown that the potency of the quinoxalines make them valid leads for synthesizing new compounds that possess better activity, especially the activity against *M. tuberculosis*. The application of the quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) serves as a rational guide for the design of QdNO derivatives [37]. With the help of the resourceful tool, we can not only synthesize more novel antitubercular candidates, but also modify the old existing quinoxaline drugs.

Synergistic and additive combinations of two or more agents can overcome toxicity and other side effects associated with high doses of single drugs by countering biological compensation, allowing reduced dosage of each compound or accessing context-specific multitarget mechanisms [38, 39]. Combinations of CYA, MEQ and QCT with other antibacterials showed only additive and indifferent interaction with FIC index of 0.75 ~ 1.5. Neither antagonism nor synergism in the QdNO-antibacterial combinations against *M. gallisepticum* and *M. tuberculosis* complex were observed. The results of this in vitro trial provide evidence that CYA, MEQ and QCT, when combined with other antibacterials, could produce a clinically relevant additive effect against these pathogens, without any antagonistic interaction. Therefore, QdNOs may serve as promising compounds for future treatment and prevention of mycoplasmal and tuberculosis infections.

**Conclusion**

This study confirmed for the first time that QdNOs have good inhibitory activity against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex and *Mycoplasma*, and they may reduce the threat of drug resistance emerging from those two important pathogens by effective drug combinations. Moreover, this study developed a method for evaluating drugs against CSFV in vitro, providing a new alternative to screen the anti-CSFV drugs. This study gives new insight in further application of QdNOs and offers a way to promote the development of animal husbandry.
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