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In Brief

Known barriers to cell fate reprogramming repress gene expression to prevent ectopic fates. Kolundzic et al. now show that the histone chaperone FACT, a positive regulator of gene expression, safeguards cell identities and acts as an evolutionarily conserved barrier for cell fate reprogramming in both C. elegans and human cells.
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SUMMARY

The chromatin regulator FACT (facilitates chromatin transcription) is essential for ensuring stable gene expression by promoting transcription. In a genetic screen using Caenorhabditis elegans, we identified that FACT maintains cell identities and acts as a barrier for transcription factor-mediated cell fate reprogramming. Strikingly, FACT's role as a barrier to cell fate conversion is conserved in humans as we show that FACT depletion enhances reprogramming of fibroblasts. Such activity is unexpected because FACT is known as a positive regulator of gene expression, and previously described reprogramming barriers typically repress gene expression. While FACT depletion in human fibroblasts results in decreased expression of many genes, a number of FACT-occupied genes, including reprogramming-promoting factors, show increased expression upon FACT depletion, suggesting a repressive function of FACT. Our findings identify FACT as a cellular reprogramming barrier in C. elegans and humans, revealing an evolutionarily conserved mechanism for cell fate protection.

INTRODUCTION

During development of metazoans, cells progressively lose plasticity and acquire specific fates. Mechanisms that restrict cell plasticity and safeguard the differentiated state remain incompletely understood (reviewed by Guo and Morris, 2017). Both positive and negative gene regulation are required during specification to maintain cell identities (Sánchez Alvarado and Yamanaka, 2014; Blau and Baltimore, 1991; Guo and Morris, 2017). Positive regulation by transcription factors (TFs) activates genes that define and maintain cell identities, while negative regulation restricts expression of genes belonging to other cell fates (Sánchez Alvarado and Yamanaka, 2014; Blau and Baltimore, 1991; Guo and Morris, 2017). For instance, the human zinc finger protein RE1-Silencing Transcription factor prevents expression of neural genes in non-neuronal cells (Chong et al., 1995) by recruiting epigenetic regulators that silence chromatin (Ballas et al., 2001; Roopra et al., 2004). Notably, such repressive chromatin regulators gained importance for cellular reprogramming because they can act as barriers for TF-mediated cell conversion (Becker et al., 2016; Cheloufi and Hchedlinger, 2017). Recent examples are the histone chaperones LIN-53 in Caenorhabditis elegans (RBBP4/CAF-1P48 in mammals) and Chromatin Assembly Factor 1 (CAF-1) in mouse which promote the formation of repressive chromatin and thereby block cell fate reprogramming (Cheloufi et al., 2015; Patel et al., 2012; Tursun et al., 2011). In contrast, chromatin regulators that are known to be non-repressive and promote gene expression have not been recognized as reprogramming barriers.

In a genetic screen for factors that safeguard cell fates in C. elegans, we identified that the histone chaperone FACT (facilitates chromatin transcription), which is essential for maintaining gene expression (Orphanides et al., 1998, 1999), blocks TF-mediated reprogramming of non-neuronal cells into neurons. FACT is a conserved heterodimeric complex consisting of SSRP1 (structure-specific recognition protein 1) and SUPT16H (suppressor of Ty 16 homolog) in mammals (Orphanides et al., 1998, 1999) but has not been studied in C. elegans. We discovered a germline-specific FACT isoform and show that FACT is required to maintain the intestinal and germline fate in C. elegans. Remarkably, the role of FACT as a reprogramming barrier is conserved, as its depletion in human fibroblasts enhances reprogramming to induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) or neurons. Interestingly, transcriptome analysis (RNA-seq [RNA sequencing]) of human fibroblasts revealed that reprogramming-promoting factors, including Sal-like protein 4 (SALL4) (Parchem et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2008), have increased expression upon FACT depletion. Our findings suggest a more dichotomous role for FACT in gene regulation and imply that positive gene expression regulators contribute to impeding the induction of alternative cell fates. Overall, our study points to an evolutionarily conserved mechanism for safeguarding cell identities and identifies an unknown role for FACT in C. elegans and human cells.

RESULTS

Whole-Genome RNAi Screen for Cell Fate-Safeguarding Factors in C. elegans
To reveal factors that safeguard cell identities in C. elegans, we challenged all tissues by overexpressing CHE-1, a neuron...
fate-inducing Zn-finger TF. CHE-1 normally specifies the glutamatergic ASE neuron fate, which is characterized by expression of the GCY-5 chemoreceptor (Figures 1A and S1A) (Yu et al., 1997). Using previously described transgenic animals that express the ASE neuron fate reporter gcy-5::GFP and allow ubiquitous CHE-1 expression upon heat shock (Patel et al., 2012; Tursun et al., 2011), we performed a whole-genome RNA interference (RNAi) screen in hermaphrodite adult worms to examine their F1 progeny for ectopic gcy-5::GFP induction upon broad che-1 overexpression (che-1<sup>oo</sup>) at the young adult stage. We identified 171 RNAi target genes (Table S1) that allow ectopic gcy-5::GFP induction upon depletion and represent different tissues: germline, epidermis, or intestine (Figures 1B and 1C). These genes are implicated in a variety of biological processes such as proteostasis, mitochondria function, and gene regulation by nuclear factors (Figures 1D–1F). Interestingly, we identified HMG-3, HMG-4, and SPT-16 that are orthologous to subunits of the essential chromatin remodeler FACT (Guindon et al., 2010; Ruan et al., 2008), as well as other genes known to functionally interact with FACT in other species such as SPT-5, EMB-5 (Spt6), and ISW-1 (Duina, 2011; McCullough et al., 2015) (Figure 1F). HMG-3 and HMG-4 are orthologs of human SSRP1, while SPT-16 is orthologous to SUPT16H (Figure 1G). Overall, we did not anticipate that depletion of FACT might promote cell fate conversion since it is primarily known for facilitating transcription rather than repressing ectopic gene expression. We therefore focused on characterizing FACT and the cell fate conversion effects upon its depletion.

Depletion of HMG-3 Allows Germ Cell Reprogramming to Neurons

RNAi against hmg-3 allows CHE-1-dependent gcy-5::GFP induction in germ cells (Figure 2A). To exclude the possibility that depletion of HMG-3 causes non-specific de-silencing of transgenic reporters, we performed hmg-3<sup>RNAi</sup> in the absence of che-1<sup>oo</sup>. No changes in expression were detected for either gcy-5::GFP (Figures S1A and S1B) or two other reporters previously used to detect transgene de-silencing (Figures S1C and S1D) (Gaydos et al., 2014; Kelly et al., 2002; Patel and Hobert, 2017), suggesting that hmg-3<sup>RNAi</sup> creates permissiveness for CHE-1 to activate its target genes in germ cells. Induction of gcy-5::GFP expression by che-1<sup>oo</sup> upon hmg-3<sup>RNAi</sup> is accompanied by morphological changes of germ cells showing axo-dendritic-like projections (Figure 2A), indicating that germ cells converted into neuron-like cells. To assess the extent of conversion, we examined the nuclear morphology of converted germ cells and expression of neuronal genes. The gcy-5::GFP-positive cells display a nuclear morphology resembling neuronal nuclei (Figure 2A), and expression of pan-neuronal reporter genes, rab-3::NLS::RFP and unc-119::GFP (Stefanakis et al., 2015), further demonstrates a true conversion of germ cells into neuron-like cells (Figures 2A and S1E). Moreover, reprogrammed germ cells also express ift-20::NLS::RFP, a marker for ciliated neurons such as ASE, and the ASE and AWC-specific reporter ceh-36::GFP (Hobert, 2010; 2013) (Figures 2A and S1E).

Importantly, transgene reporter expression reflects the endogenous expression of neuronal genes as shown by smFISH (single molecule fluorescence in situ hybridization). Transcripts from gcy-5, ceh-36, rab-3, unc-119, as well as from the conserved synaptic protein-encoding gene unc-10 (RIM), become expressed in the reprogrammed germ cells and are comparable in level to endogenous neurons (Figures 2B, 2C, S1F, and S1G). Furthermore, the acquisition of neuronal characteristics is accompanied by the loss of germline marker pie-1 and germ cell morphology (Figure S1H), corroborating the notion that germ cells convert into ASE neuron-like cells in hmg-3<sup>RNAi</sup> animals upon induction of CHE-1 expression.

Specificity of Germ Cell to Neuron Reprogramming in HMG-3-Depleted Animals

To examine whether CHE-1 reprograms germ cells in the hmg-3<sup>RNAi</sup> germline to properly specified ASE neurons, we tested the expression of markers for other neuron subtypes. CHE-1 does not induce GABAergic or cholinergic neuron reporters in hmg-3<sup>RNAi</sup> animals (Figures 2D and 2E), arguing that reprogrammed germ cells are not mis-specified but acquire a specific glutamatnergic ASE fate. We next asked whether hmg-3 plays a widespread role in preventing germ cell conversion under ectopic expression of TFs. Mis-expression of the GABAergic neuron fate-inducing homeodomain TF UNC-30 (Jin et al., 1994) resulted in germ cell to GABAergic neuron conversion in hmg-3<sup>RNAi</sup> animals (Figures S2A–S2C). However, mis-expression of the myogenic TF HLH-1 (MyoD homolog) (Harfe et al., 1998) or the intestinal fate-inducing GATA-type TF ELT-7 (Riddle et al., 2013) failed to convert germ cells into muscle or gut-like cells, respectively (Figures S2B and S2D). This suggests that hmg-3<sup>RNAi</sup> specifically creates permissiveness for germ cell to neuron reprogramming. However, the negative results for HLH-1 and ELT-7 need cautious interpretation, as we cannot exclude the possibility of germline-mediated silencing of the respective transgenes. We tested whether mitotic or meiotic germ cells are susceptible to reprogramming using animals that carry a temperature-sensitive gain-of-function mutation of the Notch receptor GLP-1 (Germ Line Proliferation) that causes loss of meiotic germ cells (Pepper et al., 2003). Growth at a non-permissive temperature would lead to a loss of reprogramming if the converting germ cells belong to the meiotic pool. Germ cell conversion is not lost in glp-1<sup>(gf)</sup> mutants, suggesting that mitotic germ cells are the source for reprogramming into neuron-like cells (Figure S2E). This reprogramming is also independent of the cell-cycle activity of germ cells, as blocking cell-cycle progression by hydroxyurea (HU) (Gartner et al., 2004) did not inhibit germ cell conversion in hmg-3<sup>RNAi</sup> animals (Figure S2F).

Taken together, depletion of the SSRP1 ortholog HMG-3 in C. elegans allows reprogramming of mitotic germ cells into specific neurons upon expression of neuron fate-inducing TFs.

Other FACT Subunits Prevent Neuron Fate Induction in the Intestine

While depletion of hmg-3 creates permissiveness for germ cell reprogramming, RNAi against hmg-4 and spt-16 allows induction of gcy-5::GFP in intestinal cells after che-1<sup>oo</sup> (Figures 3A and 3B). Intestinal cells showing gcy-5::GFP expression maintain their original morphological features, indicating an incomplete cell conversion (Figure 3A). However, smFISH revealed that the gcy-5::GFP-positive gut cells show expression of neuronal genes as seen in reprogrammed germ cells (Figures 3C–3E). The lack of morphological changes toward a neuron-like
Figure 1. Whole-Genome RNAi Screening Strategy to Identify Cell-Fate-Safeguarding Factors in C. elegans

(A) Schematic representation: the ASER neuron is labeled by gcy-5::GFP. Overexpression of the Zn-Finger TF CHE-1 (che-1<sup>OE</sup>) combined with genome-wide RNAi led to discovery of genes preventing ectopic gcy-5::GFP induction in adults.

(B) Representative images of control animals, GFP induction in germline (lin-53, hmg-3, isw-1, and hecd-1 RNAi), intestine (spt-16, hmg-4, emb-5, and spt-5 RNAi), epidermis (hsp-1 RNAi), or germline and gut simultaneously (cco-1). Dashed lines indicate outline of animals. Scale bars represent 20 μm.

(C) Percentage of 171 genes whose knockdown allowed ectopic GFP induction in specific tissues.

(D) Gene ontology (GO) categories of genes from the screen.

(E and F) Proteostasis and FACT protein network based on genes identified in the screen. Network plots are based on known and predicted interactions from STRING (string-db.org) with minimal confidence score of 0.4. FACT complex proteins are highlighted in red.

(G) Models of FACT subunits in human and C. elegans. Conserved protein domains according to Pfam and InterPro are indicated: Nob, N-terminal lobe domain; M24, metallopeptidase family M24; SPT16, FACT complex subunit Spt16p/Cdc68p; Rtt, histone chaperone Rtt106-like; SSRP1, structure-specific recognition protein; HMG, high mobility group box domain.

See also Table S1.
Figure 2. HMG-3 Inhibits Reprogramming of Germ Cells to ASE Neurons in *C. elegans*

(A) Depletion of hmg-3 leads to gcy-5::GFP induction in germ cells after che-1°. White arrowheads indicate protrusions resembling neuron-like projections in gcy-5::GFP-positive cells. Dashed lines indicate outline of animals. Scale bars represent 20 μm and 5 μm in the magnification. Differential interference contrast (DIC) illustrates germ cell nuclei of hmg-3RNAi+che-1oe animals with changed nuclear morphology (stippled boxes mark magnification). Expression of ASE/AWC (ceh-36), sensory (ft-20), and pan-neuronal fate markers (rab-3, unc-119) can be detected in animals with gcy-5::GFP in the germline (outlined by dashed lines). Asterisk labels the germline distal tip. Scale bars represent 10 μm. For quantification, see Figure S1E.

(B) smFISH to detect transcripts derived from endogenous neuronal genes gcy-5, ceh-36, rab-3, unc-10, and unc119 in hmg-3RNAi germ cells. mRNA molecules are visualized as red dots. Controls were treated with mock hybridizations. Dashed boxes indicate magnified area. smFISH probes are described in STAR Methods. Scale bars represent 2 μm.

(C) Quantification of smFISH hybridization signals (red dots) per GFP-positive cells. For each condition, 20 GFP-positive cells were counted. Ordinary one-way ANOVA was used for statistical analysis. ****, p < 0.0001. Error bars represent SD.

(legend continued on next page)
The tissue-specific effects on ectopic C. elegans HMG-3 is exclusively expressed in the germline, explaining the CRISPR/Cas9 editing. Anti-HA immunostaining revealed that with an HA (Human influenza hemagglutinin) epitope using HMG-4 share more than 90% amino acid homology with SSRP1 suggested distinct expression patterns of FACT genes. HMG-3 and Trr-39::mCherry guards cell identities of different tissues in C. elegans.

C. elegans Has a Germline-Specific FACT Isoform

The tissue-specific effects on ectopic gcy-5::GFP induction suggested distinct expression patterns of FACT genes. HMG-3 and HMG-4 share more than 90% amino acid homology with SSRP1 (Guindon et al., 2010; Ruan et al., 2008) and each other. To discriminate between HMG-3 and HMG-4, we tagged both with an HA (Human influenza hemagglutinin) epitope using CRISPR/Cas9 editing. Anti-HA immunostaining revealed that HMG-3 is exclusively expressed in the germline, explaining the distinct effect of hmg-3RNAi on permissiveness for reprogramming germ cells (Figure 3F). In contrast, HMG-4 is expressed predominantly in the soma with high intensity in the intestine and has only weak expression in the germline (Figure 3G). An antibody against SPT-16 revealed expression in all tissues with predominance in the intestine (Figure 3H). Because spt-16 RNAi during embryonic development caused early lethality, we could not assay for conversion of germ cells, which requires F1 RNAi as shown for the depletion of hmg-3 or other previously identified factors (Pate et al., 2012; Tursun et al., 2011).

Since HMG-4 can be detected with low levels in the germline, we assessed whether the germline-exclusive HMG-3 functions as a bona fide FACT subunit. We immunoprecipitated HMG-3:HA and HMG-4:HA and analyzed co-precipitated proteins by mass spectrometry (immunoprecipitation-mass spectrometry [IP-MS]) (Table S2). The most significant interacting protein for both is SPT-16 (Figures S2I and S2J), indicating that they predominantly associate with SPT-16. Notably, hmg-3 mutant animals (tm2539) are sterile and are not rescued by endogenous hmg-4 and, vice versa, the larval lethality of hmg-4 mutants (tm1873) is not rescued by endogenous hmg-3, suggesting non-redundant functions of both proteins.

Collectively, the specific RNAi effects together with the germline-exclusive expression of hmg-3 suggest that HMG-3 and SPT-16 form a germline-specific FACT that safeguards germ cells, while HMG-4 and SPT-16 form a FACT isoform that safeguards intestinal fate (Figure 3I) in C. elegans.

FACT Depletion Affects Chromatin Accessibility for Transcription Factors

FACT promotes gene expression by nucleosome reorganization in yeast and human cells (reviewed in Hammond et al., 2017; Reddy et al., 2017); however, in C. elegans FACT has not been studied. Therefore, we profiled HMG-3 DNA-binding patterns by ChIP-seq (chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing), as well as gene expression by RNA-seq and chromatin accessibility using ATAC-seq (Assay for Transposase-Accessible Chromatin sequencing) (Buenrostro et al., 2013) upon FACT depletion. Data derived from this global characterization of FACT is provided in Figures S3 and S4 and Tables S2 and S3.

To clarify why depletion of FACT leads to permissiveness for ectopic fate induction, we focused on chromatin accessibility changes detected by ATAC-seq without inducing che-1oe (see STAR Methods) (Figure 4A). Upon RNAi against hmg-4 or spt-16, average positional patterns of ATAC-seq around promoter-regions peaks do not show drastic, uniform changes (Figure S3K), yet similar numbers of total peaks show small but significant increases and decreases (2,770 and 2,768 sites increased and 1,845 and 2,472 sites decreased for hmg-4RNAi and spt-16RNAi, respectively, FDR [false discovery rate], 0.01; see STAR Methods Table S3). A Spearman correlation of 0.809 of log2-fold changes between sites significantly (FDR 0.01) changed upon knockdown of hmg-4RNAi or spt-16RNAi suggests that these factors act together, confirming the specificity of the effects (Figure 4A).

While decreased accessibility of genomic sites upon FACT depletion is in agreement with the complex’s known function as a gene expression activator (Hammond et al., 2017; Orphanides et al., 1998), the detection of many increased accessibility sites indicates that FACT may also be required to prevent ectopic gene expression induction, directly or indirectly. This notion is further supported by transcriptome analysis as depletion of hmg-4, or spt-16, leads to down- but also up-regulation of several genes (1,679 down- and 1,948 up-regulated for spt-16RNAi) (Figures S4A–S4F). Furthermore, genes with changed expression are enriched for changed ATAC-seq peaks (Figure S4H; see STAR Methods), suggesting the chromatin landscape and transcriptome concordantly alter, both positively and negatively, upon FACT depletion.

To gain insight into whether chromatin sites that change accessibility upon FACT RNAi are enriched for binding of TF families, we performed de novo motif analysis in ATAC-seq peaks, followed by scanning for matching known TF binding preferences (see STAR Methods) (Figures 4B, 4C, S4L, and S4M). In spt-16RNAi and hmg-4RNAi animals, motifs matching binding preferences for GATA TFs are enriched at closing sites (Figures 4B, 4C, and S4L). Interestingly, the GATA TFs ELT-2 and ELT-7 are the master regulators of the intestinal fate (Sommermann et al., 2010), and these closing sites are indeed highly enriched for ELT-2 binding based on available ChIP-seq data (Kudron et al., 2018) with a −log10 p value of 233.02 (spt-16RNAi) and 32.87 (hmg-4RNAi). Furthermore, a common TF-binding motif at opening chromatin sites matches for JUN-1. Based on published ChIP-seq data (Kudron et al., 2018), JUN-1 binding is enriched in spt-16RNAi opening ATAC-seq peaks with a −log10 p value of 1.33 (Figures 4B, 4C, and S4M). Interestingly, the C. elegans bZIP TF JUN-1 is orthologous to the AP-1 subunit JUN and supports transcriptional reprogramming in the intestine upon fasting (Orphanides et al., 1998).
Decreased binding accessibility for ELT-2/7 TFs that are required for intestinal cell fate maintenance upon RNAi against hmg-4 or spt-16. Concomitantly, increased binding accessibility for JUN-1 might poise for gene expression changes in the intestine.

**FACT Maintains the Intestinal and Germline Fate and Prevents Induction of Neuronal Genes by Antagonizing JUN-1**

To test the physiological relevance of predicted changes in chromatin accessibility for different TFs in vivo, we performed RNAi against hmg-4 or spt-16 and examined the expression of intestinal genes with four different reporters (Figures 4D and 4E) and immunostained for ELT-2 TF and the gut-specific intermediate filament protein IFB-2 (Figure 4F). Both hmg-4 and spt-16 RNAi depleted intestinal gene expression (Figures 4D–4F), suggesting that gut fate maintenance is impaired upon loss of FACT. To test whether FACT antagonizes factors that promote gene expression changes (Figure 5D), we tested whether JUN-1 is required for gut reprogramming because hmg-4/spt-16 RNAi caused increased chromatin accessibility for JUN-1 binding sites. We found that the jun-1 (gk557) mutant background significantly decreases neuronal gene induction upon che-1oe in hmg-4RNAi and spt-16RNAi animals as compared to controls (Figure 4G), suggesting that JUN-1 promotes transcriptional reprogramming in the intestine upon FACT depletion (Figures 4G and 4H).

Next, we asked whether FACT plays a similar role in germline fate maintenance. Similar to the observed loss of intestinal gene expression upon hmg-4 and spt-16 RNAi, hmg-3 RNAi caused loss of germline fate markers. Levels of germline P granules, as well as expression of the germline-specific pie-1 reporter, significantly decreased (Figures 4I and 4J), indicating that FACT is required to maintain the germline fate (Figure 4K).

**FACT Is a Reprogramming Barrier in Human Fibroblasts**

As a chromatin regulator FACT is highly conserved, suggesting that its role in reprogramming may also be conserved. We tested whether FACT depletion in human fibroblasts enhances reprogramming using an hiF-T cell line that shows reprogramming to iPSCs with very low efficiency (Cacchiarelli et al., 2015). The hiF-T cells allow doxycycline (DOX)-inducible expression of OCT4, SOX2, KLF4, and C-MYC (OSKM) derived from a stable transgene ensuring comparable OSKM levels in repeat experiments (Cacchiarelli et al., 2015) (Figure 5A). The human FACT subunits SSRP1 and SUPT16H were depleted using small interfering RNA (siRNA) transfections for up to 4 days while transcript levels started recovering after 7 days (Figure S5A). OSKM induction 48 hr after FACT knockdown considerably increased the numbers of iPSC colonies—around 2-fold upon SPUTh16 depletion (Figures 5B and 5C). FACT depletion does not increase OSKM expression (Figure S5B), excluding the possibility of reprogramming enhancement due to the OSKM cassette being affected. These colonies show strong expression of several pluripotency markers, including NANOG, SSEA4 (Stage-specific embryonic antigen 4), and Tra-1-60 (Park et al., 2008) (Figures S5C and S5D). Pluripotency was also confirmed in a physiological context by transplanting iPSCs into mice, which then formed teratomas containing tissues from all three germ layers (Brivanlou et al., 2003; Hentze et al., 2009; Kooreman and Wu, 2010) (Figure 5D). Next, we asked whether FACT depletion in human fibroblast also enhances lineage reprogramming to neurons (Figure 5E). Our results suggest that FACT knockdown and forced expression of Ascl1, Brn2, and Myt1 TFs that were previously shown to induce conversion of fibroblasts into neurons (Vierbuchen et al., 2010) increased the conversion up to 1.5-fold compared to the control (Figure 5E), although transduction efficiency was relatively low (15%). Interestingly, beta-III tubulin-positive neurons derived from FACT-depleted fibroblasts show a higher degree of projection complexity than control cells (Figure 5E), indicating that the reprogrammed cells are generated earlier or mature faster. Taken together, FACT depletion in human fibroblasts enhances reprogramming to iPSCs and neurons, demonstrating that FACT’s role as a safeguard of cellular identities is conserved in human cells.

**FACT Depletion Directly and Indirectly Primes the Transcripome for Pluripotency**

To understand how FACT functions to enhance human fibroblast reprogramming, we performed ChIP-seq for SSRP1 and SUPT16H, as well as ATAC-seq and RNA-seq upon FACT knockdown (Figure 6A). We found that FACT is largely enriched around annotated transcription start sites (TSS) (Figure S6A). SSRP1 and SUPT16H show a high degree of correlation for promoter proximal ChIP-seq signal, gene expression changes upon knockdown, and altered accessibility within ATAC-seq peaks significantly changing (FDR 0.01) upon knockdown (Figures 6B and 6D), suggesting that, indeed, the two factors function together. FACT knockdown results in similar numbers of genes with increased (n = 2,447) and decreased (n = 2,183) expression...
Figure 4. FACT-Depletion Causes Changes in Chromatin Accessibility and Gene Expression

(A) ATAC-seq using isolated nuclei of animals treated with RNAi against FACT subunits. Hexbin density scatterplot of hmg-4RNAi-normalized ATAC-seq log2-fold changes plotted against spt-16RNAi-normalized ATAC-seq log2-fold changes for ATAC-seq peaks that were significantly differential (FDR 0.01) in at least one of the conditions. Scale shows number of ATAC-seq peaks plotted per hexbin.

(B) Key de novo generated motifs with matching TFs and enrichment p values from ATAC-seq peaks closing (bottom) or opening (top) upon hmg-4RNAi or spt-16RNAi.

(C) Motif scanning of previously published JUN-1 (top) and ELT-1/-7 (bottom) motifs within sets of ATAC-seq peaks that either decrease (red), increase (green), or do not change (gray; adjusted p value > 0.5) upon FACT knockdown. Plotted is the maximum motif match score per ATAC-seq peak.

(D) RNAi against hmg-4 or spt-16 decreases expression of intestinal fate reporters. Dashed lines indicate the outline of the animals. Scale bars represent 20 μm.

(legend continued on next page)
(Figure 6C, FDR 0.1), as well as similar numbers of opening (n = 7,841) and closing (n = 8,117) transposase accessible regions (Figure 6D, FDR 0.01). Higher FACT ChIP-seq level for genes with significant promoter signal (FDR 0.1) does not translate to high or low expression levels (Figures 6E and S6B) or expression changes (up or down) upon FACT knockdown (Figures 6F and S6C). To further probe the relationship between FACT with chromatin structure and gene expression, we defined two classes of genes based on levels of promoter FACT ChIP-seq signal (see STAR Methods; Figures 6G and S6D). Genes with insignificant FACT levels and detected in the RNA-seq (FACT low; adjusted p value > 0.1) show a slightly lower expression level distribution than genes with significant FACT and detected in the RNA-seq (FACT high; adjusted p value < 0.1) (Figure S6E), yet the distributions of expression changes upon FACT knockdown were highly similar for both groups (Figure 6H and Table S6). Similarly, while the levels of overall promoter-region ATAC-seq counts were slightly lower for the FACT low group (Figure S6F), no obvious changes were seen in the distribution of promoter ATAC-seq fragment lengths (a measure of nucleosome positioning [Buenrostro et al., 2015]) (Figure 6I), nor were there drastic, uniform changes in mean positional patterns of ATAC-seq signal around annotated TSS for either group upon FACT knockdown (Figure 6J). Together, these observations suggest that FACT influences gene expression both positively and negatively and its presence or absence does not drastically alter chromatin accessibility genome wide. Interestingly, genes with high promoter FACT tend to be shorter than those with low promoter FACT (Figure S6G), which is consistent with similar observations for HMG-3 in worms (Figures S3 and S4).

FACT Depletion Increases Expression of Pluripotency-Promoting Factors while Decreasing Expression of Reprogramming-Inhibitors

To connect FACT to the observed reprogramming phenotype, we intersected our genome-wide datasets with gene clusters previously defined for their behavior over a reprogramming time course following induction of OSKM (Cacchiarelli et al., 2015). Genes with decreased expression or assigned ATAC-seq peaks that change upon FACT knockdown are significantly enriched in somatic clusters that display decreased expression upon OSKM induction (Figure 6K, clusters I and II). Furthermore, genes whose expression increases upon FACT knockdown are enriched in pluripotency clusters that have increased expression upon OSKM induction (Figure 6K, clusters VIII and X). Expression changes for several genes known to positively and negatively influence pluripotency (Figure 6L) provide strong molecular support for increased reprogramming efficiency upon FACT knockdown. For instance, FACT depletion leads to decreased expression of previously described reprogramming inhibitors such as the histone chaperone CAF1 subunits CHAF1B and RBBP7 (Cheloufi and Hochedlinger, 2017), repressive chromatin regulators including SUV39H1/2 and NRP21 (Onder et al., 2012), PRRX1 (Polo et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2011), the phosphatase PTPN11 (Qin et al., 2014), as well as SUMO2 (Borkent et al., 2016) (Figure 6L). Concomitantly, FACT depletion increases expression of reprogramming-promoting factors such as ESRRB, LIN28, and SALL4 (Buganim et al., 2012), JMJD2C (Soufi et al., 2012), CEBPB (Chronis et al., 2017), FGF2 (Jiao et al., 2013), and BMP2 (Chen et al., 2011; Samavarchi-Tehrani et al., 2010) (Figure 6L). Visually inspecting these key reprogramming-associated genes (Figures 6M–6P and S6L) suggested that changes in gene expression upon FACT knockdown are likely to be a combination of direct effects on genes with substantial FACT occupancy and indirect effects through distal binding of TFs whose expression themselves changes. Indeed, 95% of ATAC-seq peaks that change upon FACT knockdown are distal to annotated TSS, and these changed regions were similarly assigned to FACT ChIP-seq high or low genes (Table S6).

FACT Depletion Increases Chromatin Accessibility for CEBP Family TFs at the Enhancer Region of the Reprogramming-Promoting Factor SALL4

To find candidates of distal-binding regulators, we performed de novo motif generation on sequences from distally annotating ATAC-seq peaks either opening or closing upon FACT depletion. A highly enriched motif at closing chromatin sites matches that for the Runt-related TF 1 (RUNX1) (Figures 6N and S6L). Interestingly, RUNX1 depletion has been shown to enhance reprogramming (Chronis et al., 2017), suggesting that decreased chromatin accessibility for RUNX1 binding sites upon FACT depletion could have analogous effects. Likewise, decreased accessibility for sequences matching the TEAD family TF motif (Figures 6N and S6I) could affect the TEAD/HIPPO pathway, another previously reported reprogramming barrier (Qin et al., 2012). Interestingly, motifs matching the CEBP family of TFs, which can enhance Mouse Embryonic Fibroblast (MEF) reprogramming (Chronis et al., 2017; Di Stefano et al., 2016), are enriched in ATAC-seq peaks opening upon FACT depletion. The CEBPB gene itself is highly occupied by FACT and up-regulated upon FACT

(E) Quantification of intestinal fate reporter expression from (A). Two-way ANOVA test was used for statistical comparison, *** p < 0.001. At least 200 animals were counted for each condition. Error bars represent SEM.

(F) Immunostained intestines from animals treated with RNAi against hmg-4 and apt-16 to detect gut-specific ELT-2 and intermediate filament protein IFB-2. Scale bars represent 5 μm.

(G) In jun-1 (gk557) mutants, gcy-5::GFP induction in gut cells is suppressed. One-tailed Student’s t test was used for statistical comparison, *** p < 0.001. At least 150 animals were counted for each condition. Error bars represent SEM.

(H) FACT maintains intestinal fate identity and antagonizes JUN-1.

(I) Germ-line-specific P granules are lost upon hmg-3RNAi. Dashed lines outline the germline, and white asterisk indicates the distal tip end of the gonad. Scale bars represent 5 μm.

(J) Germ cell fate marker pie-1::mCherry::his-58 is lost upon hmg-3RNAi. Dashed lines outline the germline, white asterisk indicates distal end of the gonad. Scale bars represent 5 μm. At least 150 animals were counted for each condition. Error bars represent SEM. Paired-end Student’s t test was used for statistical comparison, *** p < 0.001.

(K) FACT maintains germ cell fate identity and antagonizes CHE-1-mediated reprogramming.

See also Figures S3 and S4 and Tables S3 and S6.
depletion, yet has no obviously changing regulatory regions, and is therefore a candidate for direct regulation by FACT (Figure 6Q).

Meanwhile, the SALL4 gene, which has been strongly implicated in pluripotency (Buganim et al., 2012; Parchem et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2008), also shows high FACT occupancy and increased expression upon FACT knockdown (Figure 6P), yet a strong enhancer candidate /C24 9 kb upstream of the SALL4 TSS is clearly opening upon FACT depletion and has two binding sites matching the CEBP TF family within a 387-bp sequence (Figure 6Q).

Overall, we see a clear enrichment between genes showing reduced expression and genes having distal regulatory regions that close upon FACT knockdown, as well as between genes showing increased expression and genes having distal regulatory regions that open upon FACT knockdown, but not vice versa (Figure S6K). This leads us to propose a model where FACT safeguards somatic gene programs via proper control (both positive and negative) of transcriptional regulators and hinders the pluripotent state. Disruption of FACT leads to mis-regulation of such...
DISCUSSION

The identification of FACT as a barrier for cell conversion supports a recent notion by Alvarado and Yamanaka (Sánchez Alvarado and Yamanaka, 2014) that cell fate maintenance factors have not been widely explored but might provide new avenues for reprogramming. Overall, the discovery of FACT as a reprogramming barrier was unexpected since FACT is predominantly known as a positive regulator of gene expression (Orphanides et al., 1998) (reviewed by Hammond et al., 2017; Reddy et al., 2017). It is anticipated that loss of FACT has both consequences: repression of genes that require FACT activity and increased gene expression due to indirect effects as a consequence of diminished factors that act as repressors. However, it is surprising that genes, such as CEBPB in fibroblasts, which are directly bound by FACT, show increased expression upon FACT depletion, suggesting a repressive function for FACT. While the increased accessibility of the SALL4 enhancer for CEBP binding illustrates a combined mode of direct and indirect effects that result in increased gene expression, it remains to be determined how chromatin sites, such as the SALL4 enhancer, become more accessible upon FACT depletion. Since 95% of changing chromatin sites in human cells are distal to annotated TSS, it is conceivable that TFs encoded by genes that change expression directly upon FACT depletion are apt to alter chromatin at distal enhancer sites. Also, loss of FACT might lead to more accessible chromatin due to persistence of nucleosome-depleted sites since FACT re-establishes the nucleosome signature after RNA polymerase II passage (Belotserkovskaya et al., 2003; Jamai et al., 2009). Additionally, decreased gene expression upon FACT depletion might obliterate the insulation of active and repressed chromatin regions as previously implied for cell identity genes (Dowen et al., 2014).

Overall, the preponderance of effects that favor cell fate conversion, such as decreased expression of reprogramming inhibitors and increased levels of promoting factors, might result in the observed reprogramming enhancement. This is specifically reflected by our finding that expression of SALL4 (Buganim et al., 2012; Parchem et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2008) together with the TFs ESRRB and LIN28B, which are all members of the deterministic pluripotency circuitry (Buganim et al., 2013), are increased upon FACT depletion. Generally, we speculate that depletion of global gene expression regulators such as FACT, or other previously identified reprogramming barriers including LIN-53, CAF-1, or SUMO2 (Borkent et al., 2016; Cheloufi et al., 2015; Tursun et al., 2011), lead to a disordered gene expression program of the host cell. This “gene expression chaos” is the window of opportunity for ectopically expressed TFs to re-establish a new ordered gene expression program resulting in cell

Figure 6. FACT Depletion Directly and Indirectly Primed the Transcriptome for Pluripotency

(A) Human fibroblasts were used for ChIP-seq analysis or transfected with siRNAs against human FACT subunits and used for ATAC-seq and RNA-seq analysis 48 hr after knockdown without OSKM induction.
(B) Correlation of SSRP1 and SUPT16H log2-ChIP versus input ratios for TSS windows classified as high for at least one of the two FACT components (see STAR Methods). Density scale reflects number of TSS windows plotted per hexbin. The Spearman correlation Rho value is given.
(C) Correlation of SSRP1 and SUPT16H knockdown RNA-seq log2-fold changes for genes that were significantly changed in at least one of the conditions (see STAR Methods; FDR 0.1). Density scale reflects number of genes plotted per hexbin. The Spearman correlation Rho value is given.
(D) Hexbin density scatterplot of SUPT16H knockdown normalized ATAC-seq log2-fold changes plotted against SSRP1 knockdown normalized ATAC-seq log2-fold changes for ATAC-seq peaks that were significantly differential (FDR 0.01) in at least one of the conditions. Scale shows number of ATAC-seq peaks plotted per hexbin. The Spearman correlation Rho value is given.
(E) Log2 ratio of SUPT16H ChIP versus Input plotted against Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads (FPKM) expression values from control RNA-seq for genes classified as FACT high and detected in the RNA-seq. Density scatterplot scale shows number of genes plotted per hexbin.
(F) Log2-fold changes in expression levels from RNA-seq after SUPT16H knockdown plotted against log2 ratio of SUPT16H ChIP versus Input for genes classified as FACT high and detected in the RNA-seq. Density scatterplot scale shows number of genes plotted per hexbin.
(G) Average positional profiles and heatmaps of library- and input-normalized SUPT16H ChIP-seq signal for genes whose TSS windows classified as FACT high or FACT low (see STAR Methods).
(H) Violin plots of RNA-seq log2-fold change distributions following FACT knockdown for genes classified as being FACT high or FACT low. Red jitter dots reflect genes whose expression changed significantly upon FACT knockdown (FDR < 0.1).
(I) Density distributions of control or FACT-knockdown ATAC-seq fragment length intersecting TSS windows for genes classified as FACT high or FACT low. (J) Mean positional profiles and heatmaps of control or FACT-knockdown ATAC-seq signal for genes classified as FACT high or FACT low.
(K) -Log10 enrichment p values of genes assigned ATAC-seq peaks changing, left, or genes showing expression changes upon FACT-knockdown, right, intersecting with clusters of genes previously reported to be important for pluripotency (Caccaci et al., 2015). I, early somatic; II, late somatic; III, metabolic processes; IV, late embryogenesis; V, early embryogenesis; VI, pre-implantation; VII, shared soma versus pluripotency; VIII, early pluripotency; IX, late pluripotency; X, neuro-ectoderm.
(L) RNA-seq fold changes upon FACT-knockdown for key genes previously implicated in reprogramming or pluripotency. Stars reflect adjusted p values.
(M) Browser shot of ATAC-seq signal and library-normalized RNA-seq signal upon SRRP1 (SSRNAm) or SUPT16H RNAi (SPPAm), and FACT ChIP-seq signals for the PRRX1 gene. ATAC-seq peaks and RNA-seq genes called as significantly changed are noted below the respective signal tracks.
(N) De novo-generated motifs from ATAC-seq peaks differentially changing upon FACT-knockdown and TF family-representatives matching the given motif. (O) and (P) Browser shots as described in (M) for the (O) CEBPB and (P) SALL4 genes.
(Q) Zoomed-in browser shot of upstream enhancer-candidate for SALL4. Shown are control and FACT-knockdown signals for ATAC-seq and publicly available CEBPB ChIP-seq in human embryonic stem cells (ESCs). Two CEBPB binding sites were found within the 387-bp accessible region by matching the consensus RTTCKAYMAY and allowing one mismatch.
(R) Model for how FACT depletion primes for reprogramming: direct changes in gene expression for TFs such as CEBPB lead to promoter distal, indirect chromatin accessibility changes at genomic loci of key regulators such as SALL4.

See also Figure 5 and Tables S5 and S6.
identity conversion. While this proposed concept suggests a more generic permissiveness for reprogramming, further investigation will be required to determine the range of different target cell fates that can be generated by reprogramming upon FACT depletion. For application aspects, transient FACT depletion in human cells is sufficient to enhance reprogramming, thereby providing new avenues for clinical approaches. It has been shown that short-term OSKM expression is sufficient for in vivo reprogramming in mice, thereby preventing formation of tumors (Ocampo et al., 2016). In general, the combination of transient depletion of reprogramming barriers and short-term forced-expression of TFs will have fewer deleterious effects while ensuring efficient reprogramming.

Loss of FACT in C. elegans leads to reduced maintenance of the intestinal and germ cell fates. Strikingly, motifs for JUN-1 are enriched in both human fibroblasts and C. elegans at opening chromatin sites upon FACT depletion, indicating a remarkable conservation of gene regulation networks. Increased permissiveness for JUN-1 activity could promote changes in cell identities in C. elegans, which is in line with a previous study showing that JUN-1 promotes transcriptional reprogramming in the intestine together with the FOXO TF DAF-16 upon starvation (Uno et al., 2013). It is therefore conceivable that high levels of overexpressed CHE-1 co-opts JUN-1 to reprogram intestinal gene expression upon FACT depletion. Our observation that FACT depletion in C. elegans appears to allow mainly reprogramming to neurons needs to be interpreted with caution. Specifically, the transgenes to overexpress the intestine or muscle fate TFs ELT-7 or HLH-1, respectively, might suffer from germline-mediated silencing, which is a well-known phenomenon in C. elegans (Kelly and Fire, 1998). Furthermore, expression of ELT-7 and its downstream factor ELT-2 are suppressed upon FACT depletion. Hence, it is plausible that FACT depletion causes a rather unfavorable context for TFs such as ELT-7 to induce the intestinal fate in other tissues.

Generally, FACT’s combined role in maintaining gene expression and preventing chromatin binding accessibility for TFs such as CEBPB or Jun/JUN-1 in human fibroblasts and C. elegans seems conserved and might be essential to prevent deviant expression of genes belonging to other cell identities in both species. Seen in a broader context, FACT being an impediment for TFs such as ELT-7 to induce the intestinal fate in other tissues, while this proposed concept suggests a more generic permissiveness for reprogramming, further investigation will be required to determine the range of different target cell fates that can be generated by reprogramming upon FACT depletion. For application aspects, transient FACT depletion in human cells is sufficient to enhance reprogramming, thereby providing new avenues for clinical approaches. It has been shown that short-term OSKM expression is sufficient for in vivo reprogramming in mice, thereby preventing formation of tumors (Ocampo et al., 2016). In general, the combination of transient depletion of reprogramming barriers and short-term forced-expression of TFs will have fewer deleterious effects while ensuring efficient reprogramming.
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## STAR METHODS

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE                      | SOURCE                                             | IDENTIFIER          |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Antibodies                               |                                                    |                     |
| anti-SPT-16 rabbit polyclonal peptide antibody | This paper                                        | N/A                 |
| anti-HA mono mouse antibody (12CA5)       | Roche                                             | Cat#11583816001     |
| ELT-2 mono mouse antibody                 | Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank              | Cat#455-2A4         |
| P-Granule mono mouse antibody             | Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank              | Cat#OIC1D4          |
| intermediate filament subunit mono mouse antibody | Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank          | Cat#MH33            |
| SSEA-4, DyLight 488 conjugate mono mouse antibody | Thermo Scientific                             | Cat#MA1-021-D488    |
| NANOG rabbit polyclonal antibody          | Thermo Scientific                                 | Cat#PA1-097         |
| Anti-Beta III Tubulin Antibody            | Sigma-Aldrich                                     | Cat#AB9354          |
| Go-ChIP-Grade Purified anti-SSRP1 Antibody| BioLegend                                         | Cat#609709          |
| SPT16 (D7I2K) Rabbit mAb                  | Cell Signaling                                    | Cat#12191           |
| Anti-HA tag antibody - ChIP Grade         | Abcam                                             | Cat#ab9110          |
| Bacterial and Virus Strains               |                                                    |                     |
| Escherichia coli, OP50                    | CAENORHABDITIS GENETICS CENTER (CGC)              | WormBase ID: OP50   |
| Escherichia coli: HT115(DE3)              | CAENORHABDITIS GENETICS CENTER (CGC)              | WormBase ID: HT115(DE3) |
| C. elegans total RNAi Collection (Ahringer)| Source Bioscience                                 | Cat#3318_Cel_RNAi_complete |
| C. elegans supplemental RNAi Collection (Ahringer) | Source Bioscience                        | Cat#3317_Cel_RNAi_supplement_whole |
| RTTA, tetO-Ascl1, tetO-Bm2 and tetO-Myt11(BAM) | Vierbuchen et al. (2010)                        | N/A                 |
| Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins|                                                    |                     |
| Y-27632 2HCl ROCK1 inhibitor              | Biozol Diagnostica                                | Cat#SEL-S1049-10MM  |
| Critical Commercial Assays                |                                                    |                     |
| Pluripotent Stem Cell 4-Marker Immunocytochemistry Kit | Thermo Fisher                                   | Cat#A24881          |
| Alkaline Phosphatase Staining Kit II      | Stemgent                                          | Cat#00-0055         |
| Nextera DNA Library Prep Kit              | Illumina                                          | Cat#FC-121-1030     |
| TruSeq RNA Library Prep Kit v2            | Illumina                                          | Cat#RS-122-2001     |
| NEXTflex Rapid Directional qRNA-Seq Kit   | Bioo Scientific                                   | Cat#NOVA-5130-02D   |
| Ribozero Gold rRNA Removal Kit (H/M/R)    | Illumina                                          | Cat#MPZ12324        |
| iDeal ChIP-seq Kit for Transcription Factors| Daigenode                                   | Cat#C01010055       |
| NEXTflex qRNA-Seq Kit v2                  | Bioo Scientific                                   | Cat#NOVA-5130-12    |
| NextSeq 500/550 High Output v2 kit, 150 cycles | Illumina                                     | Cat#FC-404-2002     |
| Deposited Data                            |                                                    |                     |
| Raw and analyzed data                     | This paper                                        | GEO: GSE98758       |
| Human CEBP ChIP-seq                       | ENCODE                                            | ENCF77MTQ.bam       |
| Human H2AFZ ChIP-seq                      | ENCODE                                            | ENCF255US5.bigWig   |
| Human H3K27ac ChIP-seq                    | ENCODE                                            | ENCF858M0D.bigWig   |
| Human H3K4me3 ChIP-seq                    | ENCODE                                            | ENCF837XME.bigWig   |
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| REAGENT or RESOURCE SOURCE IDENTIFIER | RESOURCE IDENTIFIER |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Worm ChIP-seq b0310.2_embryo         | modENCODE/modERN ENCFF230GNZ.bed |
| Worm ChIP-seq elt-1_J3               | modENCODE/modERN ENCFF217KEA.bed |
| Worm ChIP-seq elt-2_J3               | modENCODE/modERN ENCFF707HUO.bed |
| Worm ChIP-seq fkh-6_embryo           | modENCODE/modERN ENCFF671ZAU.bed |
| Worm ChIP-seq jun-1_J4               | modENCODE/modERN spp.optimal.JUN-1_OP234_WA_L4_IP_Rep0.tagAlign_VS_JUN-1_OP234_WA_L4_Input_Rep0.tagAlign.regionPeak |
| Worm ChIP-seq pha-4_J4               | modENCODE/modERN ENCFF209ONL.bed |
| Worm ChIP-seq skn-1_J4               | modENCODE/modERN ENCFF798LMX.bed |
| Worm ChIP-seq unc-55_J2              | modENCODE/modERN ENCFF346FAK.bed |
| Worm ChIP-seq ztf-16_embryo          | modENCODE/modERN ENCFF341MDZ.bed |

**Experimental Models: Cell Lines**

**Mouse:** CF-1 MEF 2M Mito-C
- Tebu-Bio Cat#222GSC-6201M

**Human:**
- polycistronic human OCT4/KLF4/c-MYC/SOX2 (OKMS) cassette (hiF-Tcells) - Cacchiarelli et al. (2015) Laboratory of Dr. Mikkelsen N/A
- Human Dermal Fibroblasts (NHDF) Lonza Cat#CC-2511

**Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains**

N2 C. elegans wild isolate
- Caenorhabditis Genetics Center WormBase ID: N2
- JR3373 wls125[hsp-16-2::elt-7 hsp-16-41::elt-7]; nts1 [elt-2::GFP + unc-119(+)]
  - Laboratory of Dr. Joel Rothman WormBase ID: JR3373
- LW697 cls4810[pJKL380.4; lmn-1p::lmn-1::GFP::lmn-1 3'utr + pMH86; dpy-20(+)] I
  - Caenorhabditis Genetics Center WormBase ID: LW697
- OD56 tts 37[pie-1p::mCherry::his-58 unc-119(+)]; unc-119(ed3) III
  - Caenorhabditis Genetics Center WormBase ID: OD56
- SS104 glp-4[bn2] I.
  - Caenorhabditis Genetics Center WormBase ID: SS104
- NL2507 pks1882[et-858::GFP + rol-6(sa1006)]
  - Caenorhabditis Genetics Center WormBase ID: NL2507
- BAT2/OH9846 otis305 [hs-16.48prom::che-1::3XHA::BLRP; rol-6(sa1006)]; nts1 [gcy-5::gfp; lin-15b(+)] V.
  - Caenorhabditis Genetics Center WormBase ID: OH9846
- BAT012 barls12[elt-2prom::gfp; myo-3p::NmBirAo] This paper N/A
- BAT026 otis284 [hs-16.48prom::che-1::3XHA::BLRP; rol-6(sa1006)]; nts1 [gcy-5::gfp; lin-15b(+)] V.
  - This paper N/A
- BAT032 glp-1(ar202) III.; otis305 [hs-16.48prom::che-1::3XHA::BLRP; rol-6(sa1006)]; nts1 [gcy-5::gfp; lin-15b(+)] V.
  - This paper N/A
- BAT044 juts244 [ttx-3prom::mCherry, ttx-3prom::gfp]; otis305 [hs-16.48prom::che-1::3XHA::BLRP; rol-6(sa1006)]; nts1 [gcy-5::gfp; lin-15b(+)] V.
  - This paper N/A
- BAT046 otis133 [ttx-3prom::mCherry]; otis284 [hs-16.48prom::che-1::3XHA::BLRP; rol-6(sa1006)]; nts1 [gcy-5::gfp; lin-15b(+)] V.
  - This paper N/A
- BAT068 otEX4945 [hs:hth-1, rol-6(sa1006)]; mgls25 [unc-97prom::gfp] This paper N/A
- BAT109 otis305 [hs-16.48prom::che-1::3XHA::BLRP; rol-6(sa1006)] V.
  - This paper N/A
- BAT139 stats10086 [ges-1::H1-Wcherry + unc-119(+)] This paper N/A
- BAT160 juts37 [pie-1p::mCherry::his-58[paAA64], unc-119(+)]; otis305 [hs-16.48prom::che-1::3XHA::BLRP; rol-6(sa1006)]; nts1 [gcy-5p::GFP, lin-15(+)] V.
  - This paper N/A

(Continued on next page)
| **REAGENT or RESOURCE** | **SOURCE** | **IDENTIFIER** |
|--------------------------|------------|----------------|
| BAT282 barIs40 [vit-5::2xNLS::TagRFP] | This paper | N/A |
| BAT284 ots11031 [elt-7::H1-wCherry + unc-119(+)] | This paper | N/A |
| BAT287 nts1 [gc5-g::gfp; lin-15b(+)] V | This paper | N/A |
| BAT326 ots263 [che-36prom::tagRFP]; ots305 [hs-16.4prom::che-1::3XHA::BLRP; rol-6(su1006)]; nts1 [gc5-g::gfp; lin-15b(+)] V | This paper | N/A |
| BAT453 barIs147 [hs-16.4prom::unc-30; hs-16.2prom::unc-30; rol-6(su1006)]; juis244 [ltr-39prom::mCherry, ttx-3prom::gfp] | This paper | N/A |
| BAT522 ots393 [ltf-20prom::NLS::TagRFP]; ots305 [hs-16.4prom::che-1::3XHA::BLRP; rol-6(su1006)]; nts1 [gc5-g::gfp; lin-15b(+)] V | This paper | N/A |
| BAT525 hmg-3 (tm2539) / dpy-5[e61] unc-13[e1091] I | This paper | N/A |
| BAT527 ots355 [rab-3prom::NLS::TagRFP]; ots305 [hs-16.4prom::che-1::3XHA::BLRP; rol-6(su1006)]; nts1 [gc5-g::gfp; lin-15b(+)] V | This paper | N/A |
| BAT606 e106 [unc-119::gfp + pRF4[rol-6(su1006)]]; ots305 [hs-16.4prom::che-1::3XHA::BLRP; rol-6(su1006)] V | This paper | N/A |
| BAT1560 hmg-3(bar24[hmg-3::3xHA]) I. protein tag CRISPR engineered | This paper | N/A |
| BAT1753 hmg-3(bar24[hmg-3::3xHA]) I. 2x outcrossed | This paper | N/A |
| BAT1945 juc-1(gk557) II. ots305[hs::che-1::3xHA, rol-6] nts1[gc5-g::GFP] | This paper | N/A |
| BAT1967 hmg-4(bar32[hmg-4::3xHA]) III. protein tag CRISPR engineered | This paper | N/A |

**Oligonucleotides**

**hmg-3bar24** generation: **hmg-3 sgRNA F**

hmg-3 sgRNA R

```
AAAAGtcttgttcgtattaattagt tcagaaaaTTAAGCCTATCTGGGACGTCA
hmg-3::3xHA R1
gtaagaagggctggctttttatttag
hmg-3::3xHA F2
cgatgacgcttgcc
hmg-3::3xHA R2
tgaggagaagggcataaatctg
hmg-3::3xHA Fs
gatagcgatgatatcgagcg
hmg-3::3xHA Rs
gaggagggcaaatgacac
```

**dpy-10(cn64) sgRNA F**

```
TCTTgctcatcttgttgtctgtgtctctgtgtctgtgtgtgtgtgctgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtgtg
| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| hmg-4(bar32) generation: | This paper | N/A |
| hmg-4 sgRNA1 | | |
| hmg-4 sgRNA2 | | |
| gtcgcatgatctgtagta | | |
| hmg-4 ssODN_3xHA | | |
| TCTGA.GATCTGATGATCGATCCAGATGATCCAGATCCATACGACGATTCCAGACTATGCCCCCTA | | |
| TCTGA.GATCTGATGATCGATCCAGATGATCCAGATCCATACGACGATTCCAGACTATGCCCCCTA | | |
| TTTAAAACGCCTGTTACATC | hmg-4-3xHA F | |
| gattcggacgatgaggagc | hmg-4-3xHA R | |
| cagaatgagatattcagacaacttgag | | |
| smFISH probes, see Table S7 | This paper | N/A |
| Oligonucleotides used for qRT-PCR, see Table S7 | This paper | N/A |
| siRNA targeting sequences, see Table S7 | Dharmacon | N/A |
| Recombinant DNA | | |
| Peft-3::cas9-SV40_NLS::tbb-2 3’UTR | Addgene | Cat#46168 |
| pJJR50 | Addgene | Cat#75026 |
| Software and Algorithms | | |
| Bowtie2 | Langmead and Salzberg, (2012) | http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/bowtie2/index.shtml |
| Samtools | Li et al. (2009) | http://samtools.sourceforge.net/ |
| JAMM | Ibrahim et al. (2015) | https://github.com/mahmoudibrahim/JAMM |
| Speakerscan | Megraw et al. (2009) | https://ohlerlab.mdc-berlin.de/software/A_Transcription_Factor_Afinity_Based_Code_for_Mammalian_Transcription_Initiation_78/ |
| cERMIT | Georgiev et al. (2010) | https://ohlerlab.mdc-berlin.de/software/cERMIT_82/ |
| UMI-tools | Smith et al. (2017) | https://github.com/CGATOxford/UMI-tools |
| Bedtools 2.23 | Quinlan, and Hall, (2010) | https://github.com/arq5x/bedtools2/releases |
| STAR | Dobin et al. (2013) | https://github.com/alexdobin/STAR |
| DESeq2 | Love et al. (2014) | https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/DESeq2.html |
| Deep Tools | Ramirez et al. (2016) | https://github.com/deeptools/DeepTools |
| EdgeR | Robinson et al. (2010) | https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/edgeR.html |
| ggplot2 | Wickham (2016) | https://github.com/tidyverse/ggplot2 |
| Flexbar | Roehr et al. (2017) | https://github.com/seqan/flexbar |
| Picard | | |
| FASTX-toolkit | | |
| RSEM | Li and Dewey, (2011) | https://github.com/deweylab/RSEM |
| Tximport | Soneson et al. (2015) | https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/Tximport.html |

(Continued on next page)
CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Baris Tursun (baris.tursun@mdc-berlin.de).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

C. elegans Strains Used in the Study

Information on strains including genotypes are provided in Table S7. Hermaphrodites were used for phenotype scoring such as reprogramming effects, smFISH, reporter assays, and immunohistochemistry.

Nematode Culture

C. elegans strains were maintained using standard condition on OP50 bacteria at 20 °C (Brenner, 1974). All heat-shock and temperature-sensitive strains were kept at 15 °C (See Table S7).

Cell Culture

Human secondary fibroblasts carrying a doxycycline (DOX)-inducible, polycistronic human OCT4/KLF4/c-MYC/SOX2 (OKMS) cassette (hiF-Tcells) (Cacchiarelli et al., 2015) were cultured in hiF medium (DMEM/F12 Glutamax supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% NEAA, 0.1% beta-mercaptoethanol 100 U ml⁻¹ penicillin, 100 µg ml⁻¹ streptomycin and 16 ng/ml FGFbasic). hiF-T cells were passaged every 3 days, using a splitting ratio of 1:3 as described before (Cacchiarelli et al., 2015). For experiments, 80.000 hiF-T cells/well of a 12-well plate treated with attachment factor were seeded and incubated overnight at 37 °C. According to the previously published study (Cacchiarelli et al., 2015), hiF-T cells were derived from BJ human foreskin fibroblasts (male gender).

Normal Human Dermal Fibroblasts (NHDF) were obtained from the company Lonza and were used for direct reprogramming experiments as described below. According to the manufacturer NHDF are primary human neonatal dermal fibroblasts derived from neonatal foreskins (male gender). Cells were first grown in Fibroblast Growth Medium (FGM, Invitrogen) before transferring them to neuronal medium (DMEM/F2, Invitrogen), apotransferrin (100mg/ml), insulin (5mg/ml), sodium selenite (30 nM), progesterone (20 nM), putrescine (100 nM), penicillin/streptomycin) supplemented with neurotrophic factors) as described before (Vierbuchen et al., 2010).

METHOD DETAILS

RNAi in C. elegans

For RNAi, worms were grown on plates seeded with RNAi bacteria from Ahringer library (Source Bioscience). RNAi against Renilla luciferase (Rluc) was used as control. Whole-genome RNAi screen was designed as an F1 screen using a standard RNAi feeding protocol (Kamath and Ahringer, 2003). RNAi bacteria for the screen were seeded on 6-well plates and the screen was carried out in duplicates. In total, 19791 genes on 6 chromosomes were screened. Reprogramming experiments were carried out either as P0 for hmg-4 and spt-16, or F1 for hmg-3 RNAi using a standard feeding protocol (Kamath et al., 2003). For P0 experiments, worms were synchronized by bleaching and L1 larvae were put on RNAi plates; for F1 RNAi, synchronized L1s were grown at 15 °C on normal food until they reached L4 stage when they were transferred on RNAi plates. Worms on RNAi plates were grown at 15 °C until most of the P0 or F1 progeny reached L4 stage. The plates were heat-shocked at 37 °C for 30 min followed by an overnight incubation at 25 °C (Kolundzic et al., 2018; Tursun et al., 2011). RNAi in embryos against a number of genes causes lethality during early development which was also previously observed (Kamath et al., 2003). Therefore, we additionally performed RNAi only after birth of the animals for such RNAi targets. Plates were screened for presence of ectopic GFP the following day under a dissecting scope. To induce the Glp phenotype in glp-1(ar202), the animals were shifted to room temperature 8 hours before the heat-shock. It is important to note that F1 RNAi against spt-16 caused embryonic lethality thereby not allowing to test for gcy-5::gfp induction in the germline as seen upon hmg-3 F1 RNAi. For double RNAi, bacteria were grown as saturated culture. The OD600 was measured to ensure that the bacteria were mixed in an appropriate 1:1 ratio and subsequently seeded on RNAi 6-well plates. The library screened for suppression in hmg-3-depleted background and entire results are listed in Table S4. For time course experiments, screening for the presence of ectopic GFP was done 0, 4, 8, 12, 24, 36 and 48 hrs after heats-hock. The C. elegans lines used in this study are listed and described in detail in STAR Methods.
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Generation of CRISPR Alleles
CRISPR engineering was performed by microinjection using a PCR repair template and the dpy-10 co-CRISPR approach. The injection mix contained a plasmid that drives expression of Cas9 (50ng/μl, a gift from John Calarco, Addgene #46168), one that drives expression of dpy-10(cn64) sgRNA (50ng/μl), a dpy-10(cn64) PAGE-purified 99mer single-stranded oligodeoxynucleotide (ssODN) HR template (50ng/μl; IDT), a plasmid expressing the sgRNA targeting the hmg-3 locus (dBT620, 50ng/μl) and a PCR repair template to introduce the 3xHA knock-in at the 3' end of hmg-3 (90ng/μl). To generate the sgRNA plasmids, annealed oligo pairs were ligated into BbsI-digested pJJR50 (a gift from Mike Boxem, Addgene #75026). To generate the PCR repair template, 3xHA tag was flanked with approximately 50bp homology arms on both 3' and 5' side for insertion at the 3' end of the hmg-3 or hmg-4 locus. Screening for successful knock-in events was done by pooling several injectants on the same plate and picking off independent F1 animals to generate transgenic lines(Arribere et al., 2016). Positive hits were homozygous for the knock-in by singing and genotyping worms and the knock-in was confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

Sequences of the oligonucleotides used for the generation of hmg-3(bar24) and hmg-4(bar32) are reported in STAR Methods.

Antibody Staining
For staining anti-SPT16 (rabbit polyclonal peptide antiboy, 1:200) antibodies, worms were resuspended, 0.025 % glutaraldehyde and frozen using freeze-crack protocol (Duerr, 2008). Acetone/methanol fixation was used to prevent gonad protrusion. For anti-HA staining (anti-HA mono mouse antibody, Roche, at 1:1000 dilution) whole worms were fixed and permeabilized following a previously described method (Bettinger et al., 1996). In brief, after washing, worms were resuspended in RFB (160 mM KCl; 40 mM NaCl; 20 mM EGTA; 10 mM Spermidine) + 2% formaldehyde followed by three freeze-thaw cycles. After incubation for 30 min at 25°C, the sample was washed with TTE (100 mM Tris pH 7.4; 1% Triton; 1mM EDTA) and incubated for 4 h at 37°C with shaking in TTE + 1% beta-Mercaptoethanol. The sample was washed in BO3 buffer (10 mM H3BO3; 10 mM NaOH; 2% Triton) and further incubated for 15 min at 37°C with shaking in BO3 buffer + 10 mM DTT. After another wash with BO3, BO3 buffer + 0.3% H2O2 was added and incubated for 15 min at 25°C. The sample was washed once more with BO3, block with 0.2% gelatin + 0.25% Triton in PBS and stained. Primary antibodies were diluted in PBS with 0.25% Triton + 0.2% gelatin, added to the fixed worms and incubated overnight at 4°C. After washing in PBS + 0.25% Triton, secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor dyes at 1:1500 dilution) were applied and incubated overnight at 4°C. Samples were washed in PBS + 0.25% Triton and mounted on glass slides with DAPI-containing mounting medium (Dianove, #CR-3448). For anti-P-granule, anti-ELT-2 and anti-IFB-2 staining (anti-OIC1D4-s – P-granules, anti-455-2A4-s, Hybridoma bank, at 1:150 dilution) worms were dissected and processed as described before (Jones et al., 1996). In brief, worms were cut below the pharynx so that the gonads and intestines protrude, fixed for 15 min in 4% paraformaldehyde, followed by washing 3 times with PBS with 0.25% Triton. Worms were then blocked for 1 hour in PBS with 0.25% Triton + 0.2% gelatin, followed by incubation with primary antibodies incubated overnight at 4°C. After washing in PBS + 0.25% Triton, secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor dyes at 1:1500 dilution) were applied and incubated overnight at 4°C. Samples were then washed in PBS + 0.25% Triton and mounted on glass slides with DAPI-containing mounting medium as described above. The following antibodies were used: anti-OIC1D4-s (P-granules), anti-455-2A4-s (ELT-2), anti-MH33-s – intermediate filament mono mouse antibody, respectively, Hybridoma bank, at 1:150 dilution) worms were dissected and processed as described before (Jones et al., 1996). In brief, worms were cut below the pharynx so that the gonads and intestines protrude, fixed for 15 min in 4% paraformaldehyde, followed by washing 3 times with PBS with 0.25% Triton. Worms were then blocked for 1 hour in PBS with 0.25% Triton + 0.2% gelatin, followed by incubation with primary antibodies incubated overnight at 4°C. After washing in PBS + 0.25% Triton, secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor dyes at 1:1500 dilution) were applied and incubated overnight at 4°C. Samples were then washed in PBS + 0.25% Triton and mounted on glass slides with DAPI-containing mounting medium as described above. The following antibodies were used: anti-OIC1D4-s (P-granules), anti-455-2A4-s (ELT-2), anti-MH33-s – intermediate filament (IFB-2), mono mouse antibodies, Hybridoma bank, at 1:150 dilution.

Single Molecule Fluorescent in Situ Hybridization (smFISH)
smFISH was performed using Custom Stellaris FISH probes, purchased from Biosearch Technologies and the staining was done according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Sequences of all the smFISH probes used are listed in STAR Methods.

Cell-Cycle Arrest by HU Treatment
Hydroxyurea (HU) treatment was carried out as previously described (Fox et al., 2011; Patel et al., 2012; Seelk et al., 2016). In brief, HU was added to seed RNAi plates at a final concentration of 250 μM. L4 worms grown on RNAi plates were transferred to HU plates and incubated at room temperature for 5 hrs prior to heat-shock in order to induce CHE-1 expression. After overnight incubation, worms were assessed for GFP induction in the germline as described above.

siRNA Knockdown in Human Cells
hiF-T cells were cultured as described above and passaged every 3 days, using a splitting ratio of 1:3 as described before (Cacchiaralli et al., 2015). For siRNA experiments, 80,000 hiF-T cells/ well of a 12-well plate treated with attachment factor were seeded and incubated overnight at 37°C. siRNA knockdown was performed the following day by a reverse transfection method using DharmaFECT 1 and 40 nM siRNA pool reagents purchased from Dharmacon according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Sequences of all the siRNA reagents used are described in Table S8. To monitor knockdown efficiency, RNA was isolated using Qiagen RNeasy Plus Mini Kit 24h, 48h, 72h or 7 days after siRNA transfection. To control for OSKM expression after SSRP1 and SUPT16H depletion, RNA was isolated from siRNA transfected cells 48h after knockdown without prior Doxycycline treatment. cdNA was synthesized with oligo dT primers using the GoScript Reverse Transcriptase (Promega). cdNA was used for qPCR with the Maxima SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (2X) (Thermo Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions on an ABI PRISM 7700 system (Applied Biosystems). The real-time PCR data analysis was done by using comparative Ct method (2008). Gene expression levels were calibrated to the housekeeping gene GAPDH and normalized to Rluc. Sequences of qRT-PCR primers used are described in STAR Methods.
Reprogramming Experiments with hiF-T Cells
Reprogramming experiments were performed by seeding hiF-T cells on irradiated mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEF, GlobalStem) 24 h after siRNA transfection. Induction of OSKM by DOX supplementation (2 μg/ml) was started the next day in hiF medium for the first 2 days and then in KSR medium (DMEM/F12 Glutamax supplemented with 20% KSR, 1% NEAA, 0.1% beta-mercaptoethanol 100 U ml⁻¹ penicillin, 100 μg ml⁻¹ streptomycin, 8 ng/ml FGFbasic and ROCK1 inhibitor (Y-27632-2HCl, Biozol Diagnostica, final concentration 1 μM) as described previously (Cacchiarelli et al., 2015).

Reprogramming Experiments with NHDF Cells
Direct reprogramming experiments were performed by transducing Normal Human Dermal Fibroblasts (NHDF cells, Lonza) with RTTA, tetO-Ascl1, tetO-Brn2 and tetO-Myt1l (BAM) in a 1:2:1:1.5:1.25 ratio and supplemented with polybrene (c.f. 8 μg/ml) 24 h before siRNA transfection to deplete FACT. Induction of BAM by DOX supplementation (2 μg/ml) was started the next day in neuronal medium (DMEM/F2 (Invitrogen), apotransferrin (100 mg/ml), insulin (5 mg/ml), sodium selenite (30 mg/ml), progesterone (20 mg/ml), putrescine (100 mg/ml), penicillin/streptomycin) supplemented with neurotrophic factors) as described before (Vierbuchen et al., 2010).

Phenotypic Characterization of iPSC Cells
iPSC colonies were characterized after ~21 days of reprogramming. Alkaline phosphatase activity was measured using an enzymatic assay for alkaline phosphatase (Alkaline Phosphatase Staining Kit II, Thermoscientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Number of colonies formed in each condition was based on SSEA-4 positive colonies. Immunohistochemistry with SSEA-4 Antibody (DyLight 488 conjugate, Thermo Scientific) was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol at 1:500 dilution. Cells were fixed with 4% PFA in PBS and permeabilized with 0.1% Tween-PBS before antibody incubation. The pluripotency staining was performed using the Pluripotent Stem Cell 4-Marker Immunocytochemistry Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in addition with the NANOG rabbit (Thermo, PA1-097) antibody.

Pluripotency Teratoma Assay
The teratoma assay was done by EPO GmbH - Experimental Pharmacology & Oncology, Berlin, Germany. Briefly, in order to initiate the assay 1 x10⁶ cells were suspended in 50 ul of PBS and thereafter mixed with 50 ul of Matrigel (Corning). These cell suspension size of 1.5 cm³ the tumor was extracted and pathologically analyzed.

Human ATAC-Seq
For ATAC-seq of human fibroblasts, 50,000 cells were harvested 48 h after siRNA transfection and the cell pellet was resuspended in transposase reaction mix as described previously (Buenrostro et al., 2013). Briefly, cell pellet was resuspended in the transposase reaction mix (25 μL 2x TD buffer, 2.5 μL transposase) using Nextera DNA Library Preparation Kit (Illumina) and the transposition reaction was carried out for 60 min at 37°C. The samples were purified using Zymo DNA Clean & Concentrator kit. Following purification, library fragments were amplified using NEBNext PCR master mix and previously published PCR primers (Buenrostro et al., 2013). Libraries were amplified for a total of 12 to 14 cycles and sequenced using paired-end-sequencing length of 75 nucleotides using NextSeq 500/550 High Output v2 kit (Illumina).

C. elegans Nuclei Isolation and ATAC-Seq
For C. elegans ATAC-seq, L1 animals were synchronized by harvesting embryos using sodium hypochlorite treatment and grown on RNAi plates until L4 stage (P0 RNAi as described above). Generally, we performed ATAC-seq without inducing che-1 overexpression in order to detect chromatin alterations that were caused by FACT depletion but not due to the activity of the reprogramming TF. ATAC-seq upon RNAi against hmg-4 and spt-16 was performed in glp-4(bn2) mutant animals that lack a germline when grown at the non-permissive temperature (Beanan and Strome, 1992) to measure chromatin accessibility changes only in somatic cells. Synchronized L4 animals were washed 5 times in M9 buffer and collected on ice. Nuclei were isolated using a glass Dounce homogenizer with 50 strokes tight-fitting insert in buffer A (15 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 2 mM MgCl2, 340 mM sucrose, 0.2 mM spermine, 0.5 mM spermidine, 0.5 mM phenylmethanesulfonate [PMSF], 1mM DTT, 0.1% Triton X-100 and 0.25% NP-40 substitute) as described before (Ooi et al., 2010; Steiner et al., 2012). The debris were removed by spinning at 1000 x g for 10 min and proceeded immediately to transposition step of the ATACseq protocol as described above (Buenrostro et al., 2013). For ATAC-seq analysis of gonad DNA, 20 wild type gonads were dissected per replicate and nuclei were isolated as described above. Libraries were amplified for a total of 10 to 18 cycles and sequenced using paired-end-sequencing length of 75 nucleotides using NextSeq 500/550 High Output v2 kit (Illumina).

RNA-Seq Using C. elegans
For transcriptome analysis, RNA was isolated from HMG-4 and SPT-16-depleted animals using TRIzol (Life Technologies) and guanidinium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform extraction. After adding chloroform to the sample containing TRIzol, phases were separated to an aqueous phase containing RNA, an interphase and an organic phase containing DNA and proteins. Guanidinium thiocyanate denatured proteins (including RNases) in the organic phase. RNA was purified from the aqueous phase using isopropanol. For reverse transcription GoScript Reverse Transcriptase (Promega) was used according to the manufacturers protocol. The preparation
of libraries for whole-transcriptome sequencing was carried out using TruSeq RNA Library Prep Kit v2 (Illumina) according to the manufacturers instructions. Libraries were sequenced using single end sequencing length of a 100 nucleotides on a HiSeq4000 machine (Illumina). For transcriptome analysis of gonad RNA, 20 wild type gonads were dissected per replicate and RNA was isolated using RNeasy Micro Kit (Qiagen). PolyA-RNA enrichment was performed using oligo(dT) 25 Dynabeads (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturers instructions. The libraries were prepared using NEXTflex Rapid Directional qRNA-Seq Kit (Bioo Scientific) according to the manufacturers instructions. The libraries were sequenced using paired end sequencing length of 75 nucleotides on a HiSeq4000 machine (Illumina).

**RNA-Seq Using Human Cells**

For transcriptome analysis in hiF-T cells, RNA was isolated from 80,000 cells treated with control, SSRP1 or SUPT16H siRNAs 48 h after transfection using RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen). To remove ribosomal RNA, Ribo-Zero Gold RNA Removal Kit (Illumina) was used as described in the manufacturers protocol. ERCC spike-in control mixes 1 and 2 (Thermo Fisher) were used for normalization control and the libraries were prepared using NEXTflex Rapid Directional qRNA-Seq Kit (Bioo Scientific) according to the manufacturers instructions. The libraries were sequenced using paired end sequencing length of 75 nucleotides on a HiSeq4000 machine (Illumina).

**ChIP and ChIP-Seq**

**ChIP-Seq with Human Cells**

For chromatin immunoprecipitation with sequencing (ChIP-seq), a batch of 25 million hiF-T cells were used per chromatin preparation. The cells were fixed with 1.1 % formaldehyde and iDeal ChIP-seq kit for Transcription Factors (Diagenode) was used for chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) according to the manufacturers instructions. The chromatin was sheared by sonication using the Bioruptor (Diagenode) for 20 cycles (30 sec on, 30 sec off) on high settings at 4°C. Go-ChIP-Grade anti-SSRP1 mouse antibody (BioLegend) and anti-SPT16 (D7I2K) rabbit monoclonal antibody (Cell Signaling) were used for immunoprecipitation at 1:50 dilution. The libraries were prepared using NEXTflex® qRNA-Seq™ Kit v2 (Bioo Scientific) according to the manufacturers instructions. Libraries were sequenced using paired end sequencing length of 75 nucleotides on a HiSeq4000 machine (Illumina).

**ChIP-Seq in C. elegans**

M9 arrested L1 worms were grown on OP50/RNAi plates until L4/YA stage at RT. Animals were washed three times with M9 and fixed with 2% formaldehyde for 30 minutes followed by quenching with 0.125M glycine for 5 minutes. The samples were rinsed twice with PBS, and 100-200 ul of pellets were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and kept at -80°C. The pellets were washed once with 0.5 ml and resuspended in 1 ml FA Buffer (50mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1 sodium deoxycholate, 150mM NaCl)+0.1% sarkosyl+protease inhibitor (Calbiochem) and then dounce-homogenized on ice with 30 strokes. The samples were sonicated using Bioruptor (Diagenode) with the setting of high power, 4°C, and 15 cycles, 30 sec on, 30 sec off. Soluble chromatin was isolated by centrifuging for 15 min at max speed at 4°C. The cellular debris was resuspended in 0.5 FA Buffer + 0.1% sarkosyl+protease inhibitor (Calbiochem) and sonicated again as described above. Isolated soluble chromatin was combined. The immunoprecipitation of HA- and AVI-tag proteins was performed overnight in a total volume of 600 μl with 4 μg of HA-antibody (ab9110, Abcam) and 80 μl of streptavidin coated dynamic magnetic beads (Invitrogen), respectively, while 5% of samples were taken as input. Immunocomplexes with HA-tag were collected with Protein A-Sepharose beads (Sigma). The beads were washed with 1 ml of following buffers: twice with FA Buffer for 5 min, FA-1M NaCl for 5 min, FA-0.5M NaCl for 10 min, TEL Buffer (0.25M LiCl, 1% NP-40, 1% Sodium deoxycholate, 1mM EDTA, 10mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0) for 10 min, and twice with TE Buffer (pH8.0). DNA-protein complexes were eluted in 250 μl ChIP elution buffer (1%SDS, 250mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1mM EDTA) at 65°C for 30 min by shaking at 1400 rpm. The Inputs were treated for 5h with 20 μg of RNase A (Invitrogen). The samples and inputs were treated with 10 μg of Proteinase K for 1h, and reverse cross-linked overnight at 65°C. DNA was purified with Qiagen MinElute PCR purification Kit (Qiagen).

The libraries were prepared using NEXTflex® qRNA-Seq™ Kit v2 (Bioo Scientific) according to the manufacturers instructions. Libraries were sequenced using paired end sequencing length of 75 nucleotides on a HiSeq4000 machine (Illumina).

**Immunoprecipitation for Mass Spectrometry**

Each immunoprecipitation was performed in triplicate. L4 staged wild type, hmg-3::3xHA and hmg-4::3xHA worms were collected and washed 4 times with M9 to get rid of bacteria. The worms were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and then cryo-fractioned by using a pulverizer. In order to obtain a fine powder, worms were further ground using a mortar and pestle on dry ice. The worm powder was resuspended in 1.5x of lysis buffer (20mM Hepes pH 7.4, 150mM NaCl, 2mM MgCl2, 0.1% Tween20 and protease inhibitors), dounced with tight douncer 30 times and sonicated using a Bioruptor (Diagenode) (6 times 30 sec ON, 30 sec OFF; high settings at 4°C) followed by centrifugation at 16,000g at 4°C for 10 min. The supernatant was incubated with DNaseI (Thermo scientific) ON. Both control (N2) and IP (HMG-3::3xHA, HMG-4::3xHA) samples were incubated with HA antibody (ab9110, Abcam) for 30 min on a rotator at 4°C. Next, μMACS Protein A beads (Milteny Biotec) were added to samples as instructed in the kit, and samples were incubated for 30 min on ice rotating. Samples were diluted 5x with lysis buffer and μMACS columns (Milteny Biotec) were used to wash and elute the sample according to the manufacturers protocol. The proteins were eluted with elution buffer (100mM TrisCl pH 6.8, 4 % SDS, 20mM DTT) heated at 95°C. Eluted samples were prepared for mass spectrometry measurement by using SP3 (Hughes et al., 2014) before they were analyzed on a Q Exactive Plus (Thermo Scientific) connected to a Proxeon HPLC system (Thermo Scientific).
QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

ATAC-Seq Analysis

Pre-processing

ATAC-seq reads were trimmed for adapters using flexbar v2.5 (-i i1.8 -u 10 -ae RIGHT -at 1.0) (Dodt et al., 2012) and mapped with bowtie2 v2.0.2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) in default paired-end mode and restricting pair distances to 1500 (-X 1500 – no-discordant) to version hg19 of the human genome or ce10 of the worm genome followed by removal of multimappers. PCR duplicates were removed using Picard Tools MarkDuplicates v1.90 (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard) and reads were converted to .bed format using bedtools bamToBed v2.23 (Quinlan and Hall, 2010). Pairs were filtered out if they mapped to the same strand, to different chromosomes, or if the 5’ end coordinate of the – strand read was less than or equal to the 5’ end coordinate of the + strand read. Mapped pairs were split into single reads and converted to a 38-bp fragment reflecting the theoretical minimal spacing required for a transposition event by Tn5 transpososome (Adey et al., 2010) using bedtools slop on the read 5’ends (-l 15 -r 22;) (Quinlan and Hall, 2010). Replicates were concatenated after confirming high concordance. C. elegans datasets were further filtered for reads from the rDNA loci as well as those mapping to regions corresponding to transgenic reporter constructs existing in the strains and corresponding to sequences used in the RNAi vectors. See Table S1 for basic statistics.

Peak Calling and Differential Analysis

Peaks were called on concatenated processed bed files using JAMM peakcaller v1.0.7.5 (-f38 –b 100 –e auto (human) and –e 1.75 (worm)) (Ibrahim et al., 2015). The resulting “all” (for meta analyses described below) or “filtered” peaks output by JAMM for each condition (control, SSRP1 knockdown, and SUPT16H knockdown for human, rluc and hmg-3 knockdown, or rluc and hmg-4, and spt-16 knockdown for worms) were concatenated and then merged with bedtools merge v2.23 (Quinlan and Hall, 2010). Merged “all” peaks were further filtered for a minimum width of 25-bp and used for meta analyses as described below. Merged “filtered” peaks for human (totaling 106,967) and 25-bp width-filtered merged “all” peaks for worm (totaling 17,024 for the hmg-4/spt-16 experiment and 21,254 for the hmg-3 experiment) were then counted for the number of processed reads that intersected them from each replicate of each experimental condition using bedtools coverage v2.23 (-counts) (Quinlan and Hall, 2010). Count tables were then normalized (method = “TMM”) and subjected to differential analysis (exactTest) using edgeR (Robinson et al., 2010). Peaks were called as differential with a Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p-value cutoff of 0.01 (adjust=“BH”).

Peak Annotations

“Filtered” human ATAC-seq peaks or 25-bp width-filtered merged “all” ATAC-seq peaks for worm were annotated as promoter-proximal if they located +/- 200bp from human gencode v19 annotated start sites, or +/- 500bp from worm annotated TSSs, using bedtools closest (Quinlan and Hall, 2010). Peaks were then normalized (method = “TMM”) and subjected to differential analysis (exactTest) using edgeR (Robinson et al., 2010). Peaks were called as differential with a Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p-value cutoff of 0.01 (adjust=“BH”).

De Novo Motif Generation and Scanning

For the human motif generation, promoter distal peaks were summed for their edgeR normalized log2 fold changes for each condition, split into two groups according to summed changes greater (opening peaks) or less than (closing peaks) zero. The resulting summed changes were used as the ranking statistic for motif generation using the cERMIT program (parameters: seed_length=6, min_motif_length=6, max_motif_length=12, required_core_length=5, cluster_sim_threshold=0.8, hypegeom_pval_cutoff=1.0e-30, num_random_runs=1000, max_gene_set_size_percentage_threshold=0.3, min_gene_set_size_percentage_threshold=0.01, degen_threshold=0.75, fraction_degen_pos_threshold=0.75, use_regression_scoring=no, bootstrap_motif_score=no, fast_mode=no; PMID: 20156354). The same analysis was also done with the log2 fold change ranks separate for each factor.

Worm motifs were generated the same way, except independent of peak annotation and log2 fold changes were used individually for each factor knockdown as the ranking statistic for cERMIT. Sequences were restricted to those with lengths <1000-bp and worm sequences for those >50-bp and <1000-bp prior to input into cERMIT. Sequence numbers put into cERMIT are as follows: human combined up 44068; human combined down 42720; SSRP1 up 45710, SSRP1 down 41087, SUPT16H up 42130, SUPT16H down 44667, hmg-3 down 10653, hmg-3 up 10486, hmg-4 down 8721, hmg-4 up 8221, spt-16 up 8616, spt-16 down 8326. cERMIT-generated motifs were converted to meme format and Tomtom (Gupta et al., 2007) was used in the default settings to match the generated motifs to the JASPAR CORE vertebrate 2016 database (Mathelier et al., 2016) for the human motifs or to a published database of protein binding microarray-generated motifs for C. elegans (Narasimhan et al., 2015). Known binding preferences matching generated motifs were scanned using SpeakerScan (Megraw et al., 2009) with a 500bp sliding local first-order markov background window and the maximum score taken for each peak.

Fragment Length Analysis and Promoter ATAC-Seq Counts

Replicate-concatenated full-paired-end-fragments ATAC-seq .bed files were intersected with windows around annotated transcription start sites (see ChIP-seq section below) using bedtools intersect (Quinlan and Hall, 2010) and the distribution of resulting fragment sizes summarized and plotted using geom_density from the ggplot2 suite (Wilkinson, 2011). The same windows were counted for processed ATAC-seq reads (see above) and the distributions plotted using geom_violin from ggplot2 (Wilkinson, 2011).
Analysis of RNA-Seq

Human and Worm Gonad RNA-Seq

Unique molecular identifiers (UMIs) were extracted from .fastq files using UMI-tools (Smith et al., 2017) and reads trimmed using fastx_trimmer from the FASTX-toolkit (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/). Reads were then filtered for ERCC spike-in reads and rRNA by mapping to a custom index with Bowtie 1 (Langmead et al., 2009). Trimmed, filtered, reads were then mapped using STAR (Dobin et al., 2013) to the hg19 or ce10 version genome builds and GTF files from GENCODE for human (V19 including an additional pre-mRNA transcript for each gene (Mukherjee et al., 2017) or from Ensembl for worms. Mapped .bam files were then subjected to PCR deduplication using UMI-tools (Smith et al., 2017), followed by conversion to .fastq and remapping with STAR to generate final mapped files and normalized coverage tracks. Gene counts were quantified using R/Bioconductor package DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014) and, for human, imported for differential expression analysis by DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014) using tximport (Soneson et al., 2015) and the DESeqDataSetFromTximport function within DESeq2. Genes were considered differentially expressed if they passed the DESeq2’s independent filtering for both the supt16h knockdown and ssrp1 knockdown experiments and below the default FDR cutoff of 0.1 and one of the two experiments.

Whole Worm RNA-Seq

Multiplexed Illumina sequencing data was demultiplexed using Illumina bcl2fastq converter (version v2.17.1.14). Raw reads in fastq format were processed to get rid of low quality bases and possible adapter contamination using Trimmomatic (version 0.33) (Bolger et al., 2014) (settings: ILLUMINACLIP:TruSeq.fa:2:25:6 LEADING:3 TRAILING:3 SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15 MINLEN:36). The filtered reads were aligned to the C.elegans genome (ce10 genome build - WormBase WS220 released in October, 2010) using the splice-aware short read aligner STAR (version 2.4.2a) with the default settings (Dobin et al., 2013) except for setting “--outFilterMultimapNmax” argument to 1. The expression level of each gene was quantified using R/Bioconductor package quasR (Yates et al., 2016) Differential expression analysis of the quantified expression levels of genes between different samples was done using the R/Bioconductor package DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014) Up/down regulated genes are detected based on the differential expression criteria of adjusted p-value of at least 0.1 and at least two-fold increase/decrease in expression levels in relation to the control samples.

ChIP-Seq Analysis

Human Ssrp1 and Supt16h, as well as worm HMG-3::HA, ChIP-seq raw fastq files were extracted for UMIs using UMI-tools (Smith et al., 2017) and then trimmed using fastx_trimmer from the FASTX-toolkit (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/). Reads were then mapped using bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) and mapped reads selected for unique mapping prior to PCR deduplication using UMI-tools (Smith et al., 2017). Uniquely mapped read pairs were then converted to .bed files reflecting coordinates of the full paired-end fragments using custom scripts and normalized bigwig files were generated on replicate-concatenated files using bamCoverage from the deepTools2 suite (Ramirez et al., 2016). Input normalized final bigwigs were then produced using bamCompare from the deepTools2 suite (Ramirez et al., 2016) setting the --ratio parameter to either “subtract” for the worm data or “ratio” for the human data. Annotated transcription start sites (TSS) were then quantified for ChIP signal by creating windows 500bp upstream and 1500bp downstream for human (250bp upstream and 1000bp downstream for worm) and generating counts of full-paired-end-fragments from each replicate (the single input file for worms was split into two files to use as virtual replicates) using bedtools intersect (Quinlan and Hall, 2010). These count tables were then subjected to “differential” analysis using DESeq2 with default settings comparing ChIP counts versus input counts. DESeq2 normalized log2 “fold changes” were used as ChIP-seq signal in these annotated window regions and genes were classified to have “high” signal if their respective annotated TSS window DESeq2 fold-change was greater than zero with an FDR of < 0.1 and the gene passed the DESeq2 independent filtering from the accompanying RNA-seq data. Gene TSS windows were classified as ChIP-seq “Low” if they had an FDR > 0.1 and the gene passed the DESeq2 independent filtering from the accompanying RNA-seq data. The use of the RNA-seq filter to define these two classes is intended to have a more comparable set of “Low” genes that are at least basally expressed within the system used. For the worm data, this RNA detection requirement for ChIP High and ChIP Low classes was taken from the whole worm RNA-seq data when plotting whole worm ATAC-seq data or assessing the relationship between ChIP-seq level and whole worm RNA-seq fold changes following FACT knockdown, or was taken from the gonad-specific RNA-seq (requiring an FPKM > 0 instead of DESeq2 filtering) for plotting gonad-specific ATAC-seq or assessing the relationship between ChIP-seq level and gene expression level.

Meta-Analyses

All average profile and heatmap meta-analysis plots were performed on normalized bigWig files described above using the computeMatrix followed by plotProfile or plotHeatmap tools from the deepTools suite (Ramirez et al., 2016).

Density Scatterplots

All density scatter plots were generated using ggplot2 geom_hex (bins=50) (Wilkinson, 2011).

Violin Plots

All violin summary plots were plotted using geom_violin (trim=TRUE, scale="width") from ggplot2 (Wilkinson, 2011).
Enrichment Tests
For FACT knockdown RNA-seq enrichment tests with reprogramming gene clusters from Cacchiarelli et al (Cacchiarelli et al., 2015), ENSEMBL gene ids were obtained from the published clusters and intersected with ENSEMBL gene ids of the union of differentially increased or decreased genes upon FACT knockdown (see RNA-seq section above). The numbers of these intersections were compared to the Cacchiarelli gene cluster intersections with all genes that passed the DESeq2 independent filtering for both Ssrp1 knockdown and Supt16h knockdown datasets after filtering for common ids with the entire Cacchiarelli dataset. The built-in R function phyper was used with parameter "lower.tail=FALSE" to obtain enrichment pvalues from the hypergeometric distribution.

For ATAC-seq peak enrichments with the Cacchiarelli clusters, all ATAC-seq peaks were assigned to the closest annotated TSS and the resulting ENSEMBL gene ids were filtered to remove genes that did not pass DESeq2’s independent filtering for both the Ssrp1 knockdown and Supt16h knockdown RNA-seq datasets (see RNA-seq analysis above). The unique union of genes assigned ATAC-seq peaks that were differentially increased or decreased for accessibility in either the Ssrp1 or Supt16h knockdowns was then intersected with the gene ids for each Cacchiarelli cluster and these numbers compared to the cluster intersections with the full set of RNA-seq-detected, ATAC-seq-peak-assigned genes after filtering for overlap with the entire set of Cacchiarelli ids. The pvalue from the hypergeometric distribution was calculated as described above.

Differential ATAC-seq and RNA-seq enrichments were tested as follows. Differentially regulated ATAC-seq peaks were assigned to the closest annotated TSS for each knockdown dataset and the resulting gene lists filtered to remove genes that had both increased-accessibility and decreased-accessibility peaks. For the human data, the union of increased-accessibility-peak-assigned genes and the union of decreased-accessibility-peak-assigned genes from the Ssrp1 knockdown and Supt16h knockdown datasets were generated. These two gene lists were then intersected with the union of up-regulated genes, the union of down-regulated genes, or the full set of genes that passed DESeq2’s independent filtering from both the Ssrp1 and Supt16h knockdown RNA-seq datasets. The numbers of these intersections were used to calculate pvalues from the hypergeometric distribution as described above. For the worm datasets, each ATAC-seq and RNA-seq dataset was treated independently (rather than taking the union of differential genes/peaks) due to the whole worm aspect of the data and the observed distinct tissue expression domains of the FACT complex components. Otherwise, the approach was identical to that for the human datasets.

For enrichments of differentially regulated ATAC-seq peaks from worm FACT knockdown experiments with available transcription factor (TF) datasets, reproducible peak calls using the IDR approach were downloaded from the modENCODE/modERN website for ce10. Intersections were counted between sets of differentially regulated ATAC-seq peaks with the TF peaks using bedtools intersect (Quinlan and Hall, 2010). These numbers were compared to the numbers of intersections for the same TF peaks with all ATAC-seq peaks and pvalues were obtained from the hypergeometric distribution as described above. For all enrichment tests, -log10 pvalues were plotted as heatmaps using the pheatmap package.

GO Analysis
GO analysis was performed using PANTHER overrepresentation test (Mi et al., 2013). The union of up- or down-regulated genes upon Ssrp1- or Supt16h-knockdown was compared to all genes detected in both experiments as a background and as output the PANTHER GO Slim ontologies.

Mass Spectrometry Data Processing
Label-free quantification (LFQ) was performed using Max Quant and the data was analyzed with an online tool http://projects.biotec.tu-dresden.de/msVolcano/ (Singh et al., 2016).

Other Statistical Analysis
Applied statistical analysis types used for phenotype scoring are indicated in the respective figure legends and Results section. Generally, the software application Prism (version 6, Graph Pad) has been used to calculate p-Values and determine standard deviations (SD) or standard error of the mean (SEM).

DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY
The following link has been created to access to record GSE98758 containing all ChIP-seq, RNA-seq and ATAC-seq data sets: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?token=cnmnosmadlofpsz&acc=GSE98758

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES
C. elegans Strains Used in the Study
Information on strains including genotypes are provided in Table S7. All animals used for phenotype scoring were hermaphrodites.

Sequences
Oligonucleotide sequences used for gene editing (CRISPR/Cas9) and qPCRs, and smFISH probe sequences are provided in Table S8.
ENCODE/modENCODE/modERN Files Used in This Study
- Human CEBP ChIP-seq: ENCFF377MTQ.bam
- Human H2AFZ ChIP-seq: ENCFF255USS.bigWig
- Human H3K27ac ChIP-seq: ENCFF858MGD.bigWig
- Human H3K4me3 ChIP-seq: ENCFF837XME.bigWig
- Worm ChIP-seq b0310.2_embryo: ENCFF230GNZ.bed
- Worm ChIP-seq elt-1_l3: ENCFF217KEA.bed
- Worm ChIP-seq elt-2_l3: ENCFF707HUO.bed
- Worm ChIP-seq fkh-6_embryo: ENCFF671ZAU.bed
- Worm ChIP-seq jun-1_l4: spp.optimal.JUN-1_OP234_WA_L4_IP_Rep0.tagAlign_VS_JUN-1_OP234_WA_L4_Input_Rep0.tagAlign.regionPeak
- Worm ChIP-seq jun-1_l4: ENCFF209ONL.bed
- Worm ChIP-seq skn-1_l4: ENCFF798LMX.bed
- Worm ChIP-seq unc-55_l2: ENCFF346FAK.bed
- Worm ChIP-seq ztf-16_embryo: ENCFF341MDZ.bed
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Supplemental Figure Legends:

Figure S1. Assessment of Ectopic gcy-5::GFP Induction in Germ Cells Upon RNAi Against hmg-3, Related to Figure 2

(A) Representative images of hmg-3RNAi animals with and without che-1oe (no hs::che-1 construct in the background), or with only gcy-5::GFP (ntIs1) construct in the background. gcy-5::GFP is not induced upon hmg-3 RNAi without che-1oe. Scale bars, 20 µm.

(B) Quantification of gcy-5::GFP induction in hmg-3RNAi with and without che-1oe (no hs::che-1 construct in the background) or with only gcy-5::GFP (ntIs1) construct in the background. Error bars represent SEM.

(C and D) Representative images of (D) lmn-1::GFP and (E) let-858::GFP animals after hmg-3 RNAi. Neither expression of lmn-1::GFP nor expression of let-858::GFP changes upon FACT depletion. Scale bars, 20 µm.

(E) Quantification of neuronal markers ceh-36::RFP, ift-20::NLS::RFP and rab-3::NLS::RFP in gcy-5::GFP positive germline of hmg-3RNAi animals. Error bars represent SEM.

(F and G) Control quantification of smFISH based on counts of hybridization signals (red dots) in (F) ventral nerve cord (VNC) and (G) ASER neurons. For each condition, more than 15 cells were counted for smFISH-derived transcript detection based on fluorescence signals. Ordinary one-way ANOVA was used for statistical analysis. p1 not significant, p2 < 0.0001.

(H) The germ cell fate marker pie-1::mCherry::his-58 is lost in reprogrammed germ cells. Dashed lines indicated the outline of the gonad, Asterisk labels distal tip of the germline. Scale bars, 5 mm.

Figure S2. Depletion of HMG-3 Allows Germ Cell Reprogramming to GABA Neuron-Fate and Mass Spectrometry Analysis of HMG-3 / HMG4 Immunoprecipitations, Related to Figures 2 and 3

(A) Representative images for induction of the GABA fate reporter ttr-39::mCherry in the germ line of hmg-3RNAi animals upon overexpression of GABA neuron-fate inducing TF UNC-30 (unc-30oe). Dashed lines indicated the outline of the worm, boxes indicate the magnification area and arrows in the zoom indicate reprogrammed germ cells. Scale bars, 20 mm, and 5 mM in magnifications.

(B) Quantification of germ cell conversion upon induction of different fate-inducing TFs. Neuronal fate induction can be detected in 20-30% animals after che-1oe (ASE neuron) or unc-30oe (GABA neuron), but no intestinal or muscle fate induction by over-expressed ELT-7 (elt-7oe) or HLH-1 (hlh-1oe), respectively. Number of animals counted for each condition are indicated as n. Error bars represent SEM.

(C) Schematic representation of transgenic animals for GABA neuron fate induced by unc-30oe. unc-30oe in adults after hmg-3 RNAi induces reprogramming of germ cell to GABA neurons.
(D) Representative pictures showing that overexpression of intestinal (ELT-7) or muscle (HLH-1) fate-inducing TFs do not convert germ cells into gut or muscle cells in \textit{hmg-3\textsuperscript{RNAi}} animals. Scale bars, 20 µm.

(E) Germ cell conversion is not lost in the \textit{glp-1(gf)} mutant background (hyperactive Notch) which lacks meiotic germ cells, but retains mitotic germ cells. More than 150 animals were counted for each condition. Error bars represent SEM.

(F) Reprogramming is independent of cell cycle. Phenotype penetrance remained unchanged after HU-mediated cell cycle arrest (-HU, no treatment, +HU, 6 hr HU treatment). More than 150 animals were counted. Error bars represent SEM.

(G) Time course experiment of \textit{gcy-5::GFP} induction in \textit{hmg-4\textsuperscript{RNAi}} and \textit{spt-16\textsuperscript{RNAi}} animals. Time in h after induction of \textit{che-1\textsuperscript{oe}}. More than 150 animals were counted for each time point. Error bars represent SEM.

(H) Time course experiment of \textit{gcy-5::GFP} induction in \textit{hmg-3\textsuperscript{RNAi}} animals. Time in h after induction of \textit{che-1\textsuperscript{oe}}. More than 150 animals were counted for each time point.

(I and J) In order to assess HMG-3 and HMG-4 protein interactions, coimmunoprecipitations with subsequent mass spectrometry (IP-MS) were performed using protein lysates of HMG-3::HA and HMG-4::HA CRISPR tagged animals. Volcano plots show statistically significant enrichment of co-precipitated proteins by (I) HMG-3::HA IP and (J) HMG-4::HA IP. SPT-16 is the most significant co-precipitated protein for both HMG-3 and HMG-4, indicating that both proteins associate predominantly with SPT-16. All IP-MS measurements and statistical values are provided in Table S2.

**Figure S3. Analysis of HMG-3 ChIP-seq, ATAC-seq, and RNA-seq, Related to Figure 4.**

(A) Schematic illustration of ChIP-seq, RNA-seq, and ATAC-seq using isolated nuclei of animals treated with RNAi against FACT subunits without induction.

(B) Meta-analysis of library-normalized, input-subtracted HMG-3 ChIP-seq signal at annotated genes where gene bodies have been scaled to a relative length.

(C) Average positional profiles and heat maps of HMG-3 ChIP-seq signal for gene TSS windows classified as HMG-3 high or HMG-3 low (see Methods) and detected in isolated gonad RNA-seq. Signal is library normalized and input subtracted (see Methods).

(D) Log2 ratio of HMG-3 ChIP/Input plotted against FPKM expression values from isolated gonad RNA-seq for genes classified as HMG-3 high. Density scatter plot scale shows number of genes plotted per hexbin.

(E) Distribution violin plots of detected gene FPKM values from isolated gonad RNA-seq for genes with high or low HMG-3.

(F) Log10 gene length for genes determined as HMG-3 high or HMG-3 low and detected in gonad-isolated RNA-seq.

(G) Tissue enrichment analysis of genes identified as HMG-3 ChIP-seq positive using wormbase.org provided analysis (Angeles-Albores et al., 2016).
(H and I) Average positional profiles and heat maps for library-normalized ATAC-seq signal from (H) isolated gonads and (I) whole worms following FACT knockdown for genes classified as HMG-3 high or HMG-3 low and detected in RNA-seq.

(J) Density distributions of isolated gonad ATAC-seq fragment lengths intersecting TSS windows for genes classified as HMG-3 high or HMG-3 low and detected in RNA-seq.

(K) Average positional profiles and heat maps of library-normalized whole worm ATAC-seq signal with and without FACT knockdown. Plots are anchored on downstream edges of promoter-annotating ATAC-seq peaks (see Methods).

Figure S4. RNA-seq Analysis and de novo Motif Generation from Worm ATAC-seq, Related to Figure 4.

(A, B, and C) Expression changes in C. elegans with RNAi against (A) hmg-4, (B) spt-16, or (C) containing a mutation in hmg-3. Up/down regulated genes are detected based on the differential expression criteria of adjusted p-value of at least 0.1 and at least two-fold increase or decrease in expression levels in relation to the control samples. Transcription levels of these up- and down regulated genes are represented as boxplots.

(D) A heatmap of unsupervised hierarchical clustering of the top 100 genes with most variant gene expression across control, hmg-4 and spt-16 RNAi samples shows that hmg-4^{RNAi} and spt-16^{RNAi} are more similar to each other than the control. Independently generated biological replicates clustered together (individual samples and batches are indicated).

(E and F) Venn-diagram showing overlap of (E) up-regulated and (F) down-regulated genes (numbers given) in hmg-4^{RNAi} and spt-16^{RNAi} animals.

(G) Log2 fold-changes in expression levels from whole worm RNA-seq after hmg-3^{RNAi} plotted against log2 ratio of HMG-3 ChIP/Input for genes classified as HMG-3 high and detected in the RNA-seq. Density scatter plot scale shows number of genes plotted per hexbin.

(H) –Log10 enrichment pvalues of genes assigned only up-regulated or only down-regulated ATAC-seq peaks that intersected genes detected as up- or down-regulated in differential RNA-seq analysis for spt-16 (left), hmg-4 (middle), and hmg-3 (right) depletion experiments.

(I-K) Browser shots of library-normalized, input-subtracted HMG-3 ChIP-seq signal, library-normalized ATAC-seq from isolated gonads, library-normalized ATAC-seq signal from whole worms with and without RNAi against hmg-3, hmg-4, or spt-16, and library-normalized RNA-seq signal with and without RNAi against hmg-3, hmg-4, or spt-16. Genes and ATAC-seq peaks called as differentially regulated upon FACT knockdown are shown below the respective signal tracks.

(L and M) De novo motif generation (see Methods) in closing (L) or opening (M) regions upon hmg-3^{RNAi}, hmg-4^{RNAi} and spt-16^{RNAi}. Top 10 enriched motifs for each indicated set together with the p value and the best TF match are given. The orientation of the generated motif relative to the best TF match in the database is indicated in parentheses.
Figure S5. FACT siRNA Knockdown efficiency and Pluripotency Marker Expression in FACT-Depletion Derived iPSCs, Related to Figure 5
(A) Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis to confirm knock-down of SSRP1, SUPT16H and TP53 at 24 h, 48 h, 72 h and 7 days after transfection with siRNAs.
(B) qRT-PCR analysis for expression of levels of Oct4, Sox2, Klf4, and e-Myc 48 hours after SSRP1 or SUPT16H depletion. Gene expression levels were normalized to GAPDH expression levels and compared to control siRNA. Error bars represent SD.
(C and D) Representative images of antibody staining for NANOG, OCT4, SOX2, SSEA-4 and Tra-1-60 in iPSC colonies derived from SSRP1 (C) or SUPT16H (D) depleted hiF-T cells. Scale bars, 25 µm.

Figure S6. FACT ChIP-seq, RNA-seq, and ATAC-seq Analysis, Related to Figure 6.
(A) Meta-analysis of library- and input-normalized SSRP1 and SUPT16H ChIP-seq signal at annotated genes. Signal in gene bodies is scaled to relative lengths.
(B) Log2 ratio of SSRP1 ChIP/Input plotted against FPKM expression values from control RNA-seq for genes classified as FACT high and detected in the RNA-seq. Density scatter plot scale shows number of genes plotted per hexbin.
(C) Log2 fold-changes in expression levels from RNA-seq after SSRP1 knockdown plotted against log2 ratio of SSRP1 ChIP/Input for genes classified as FACT high and detected in the RNA-seq. Density scatter plot scale shows number of genes plotted per hexbin.
(D) Average positional profiles and heat maps of library- and input-normalized SSRP1 ChIP-seq signal for genes whose TSS windows classified as FACT high or FACT low (see Methods).
(E-G) Violin distribution plots of wild-type RNA-seq FPKM expression values, E, ATAC-seq counts in promoter windows, F, and log10 gene lengths, G, for genes classified as FACT high or FACT low and detected in the RNA-seq.
(H) Average positional profiles and heat maps of H2AFZ, H3K4me3, and H3K27ac ChIP-seq signal from ENCODE human lung fibroblasts datasets for genes classified as FACT high or FACT low and detected in the RNA-seq.
(I) De novo motif generation results (Methods) in closing and opening regions upon SSRP1RNAi and SUPT16HRNAi. Top 5 enriched motifs for each indicated set together with the p value and the best TF match are given. The orientation of the generated motif relative to the best TF match in the database is indicated in parentheses.
(J) Observed/Expected Go analysis enrichments using PANTHER on differentially expressed genes called as up-regulated or down-regulated in either SSRP1 or SUPT16H knockdown compared to all genes detected in the RNA-seq analysis.
(K) -Log10 enrichment pvalues of genes assigned only up-regulated or only down-regulated ATAC-seq peaks following SSRP1 or SUPT16H knockdown that intersect genes called as differentially expressed in RNA-seq after SSRP1 or SUPT16H knockdown.
(L) Browser shots as described in Figure 7M for BMP2, SUMO2, SUV39H1, and KDM4C genes.
Supplemental Table S6. Summary of FACT depletion effects based on RNA-seq and ATAC-seq in C. elegans and Human Cells, Related to Figures 4, S3, S4, 6, and S6

**WORM:**

**RNA-seq**
- 299/3596 genes bound strongly by hmg-3 ("HMG-3 high genes") are UP in hmg-3 RNAi
- 540/3596 genes bound strongly by hmg-3 ("HMG-3 high genes") are DOWN in hmg-3 RNAi
- 3593/15711 genes bound moderately by hmg-3 ("HMG-3 low genes") are UP in hmg-3 RNAi
- 3136/15711 genes bound moderately by hmg-3 ("HMG-3 low genes") are DOWN in hmg-3 RNAi

**ATAC**
- 592/3596 genes bound strongly by hmg-3 ("HMG-3 high") have assigned UP peaks in hmg-3 RNAi
- 369/3596 genes bound strongly by hmg-3 ("HMG-3 high") have assigned DOWN peaks in hmg-3 RNAi
- 2021/15711 genes bound moderately by hmg-3 ("HMG-3 low") have assigned UP peaks in hmg-3 RNAi
- 2006/15711 genes bound moderately by hmg-3 ("HMG-3 low") have assigned DOWN peaks in hmg-3 RNAi

**HUMAN:**

**RNA**
- 758/6337 genes bound strongly by FACT ("FACT high") are UP in either SSRP1 or SUPT16H RNAi
- 884/6337 genes bound strongly by FACT ("FACT high") are DOWN in either SSRP1 or SUPT16H RNAi
- 453/2959 genes bound moderately by FACT ("low") are DOWN in either SSRP1 or SUPT16H RNAi
- 324/2959 genes bound moderately by FACT ("low") are UP in either SSRP1 or SUPT16H RNAi

**ATAC**
- 1072/6337 "FACT high" genes have assigned UP peaks in either SSRP1 or SUPT16H RNAi
- 1089/6337 FACT high genes have assigned DOWN peaks in either SSRP1 or SUPT16H RNAi
- 539/2959 FACT low genes have assigned UP peaks in either SSRP1 or SUPT16H RNAi
- 544/2959 FACT low genes have assigned DOWN peaks in either SSRP1 or SUPT16H RNAi
| Name         | Genotype                                                                 |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| BAT012       | barIs12[elt-2prom::gfp; myo-3p::NmBirAo]                                  |
| BAT026       | atls284 [hsp-16.48prom::che-1::3XHA::BLRP; rol-6(su1006)]; atls1 [gcy-5::gfp; lin-15b(+)] V; atls30 [gfpgfp; lin-15b(+) V] |
| BAT028/OH9846| atls305 [hsp-16.48prom::che-1::3XHA::BLRP; rol-6(su1006)]; atls1 [gcy-5::gfp; lin-15b(+)] V |
### 7A. smFISH probes

| Probe set                  | Sequences                        | Fluorophore | Related to Star Methods |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| cattcggatgctccaagaac      | SSDR1 fwd                        | Fluor Red 610 |
| caattccaactcgaagcgtc      | SSDR1 rev                        |             |
| caattggaagagttccacca      | SUPT16H fwd                      |             |
| tatcgcattcggtatattcc      | SUPT16H rev                      |             |
| tcccactacaacatctacat      | TP53 fwd                         |             |
| tattggtatcagccaactgg      | TP53 rev                         |             |
| tggccactcgatcaaattgga     | GAPDH fwd                        |             |
| tttacagtagtcttggtcgt      | GAPDH rev                        |             |
| atttctaacagcatccgcaa      | POU5F1 fwd                       |             |
| ctactgtgaatgactcccaa      | POU5F1 rev                       |             |
| atttctaacagcatccgcaa      | SOX2 fwd                         |             |
| tacgagctcgactctttaca      | SOX2 rev                         |             |
| gcy-5_set1                | Fluor Red 610                    |             |
| ceh-36_set1               | Fluor Red 610                    |             |

### 7B. Oligonucleotides used for qRT-PCR

| Name                      | Sequence                              |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| SFRP1 fwd                 | TTTCGCCAGAGATGGTG TGTC                |
| SFRP1 rev                 | AGTCAAAGGTTTGCGCATG                  |
| SUPT16H fwd               | GCAAGAACAGAGAAAGAGAC                 |
| SUPT16H rev               | GCAAGAACAGAGAAAGAGAC                 |
| TP53 fwd                  | TCAACAGATGTGGGTTGCAACTG              |
| TP53 rev                  | AGTCAAAGGTTTGCGCATG                  |
| GAPDH fwd                 | TGGACACACCACCTGTTAGC                 |
| GAPDH rev                 | GGGATGCTGTTGCATGGTCGTA                |
| POU5F1 fwd                | GAGAACAGAGAAAGAGAC                 |
| POU5F1 rev                | GAGAACAGAGAAAGAGAC                 |
| SUPT16H                  | GCAAGAACAGAGAAAGAGAC                 |
| GAPDH                   | TGGACACACCACCTGTTAGC                 |
| POU5F1                  | GAGAACAGAGAAAGAGAC                 |
| SUPT16H                  | GCAAGAACAGAGAAAGAGAC                 |
| GAPDH                   | TGGACACACCACCTGTTAGC                 |
| POU5F1                  | GAGAACAGAGAAAGAGAC                 |
| SUPT16H                  | GCAAGAACAGAGAAAGAGAC                 |

### 7C. List of siRNAs and their sequences

| Targeted gene | siRNA pool ID | siRNA Name                  | Sequence |
|---------------|---------------|----------------------------|----------|
| gcy-5_set1    | ME-003329-03-0002 | siGENOME Non-Targeting siRNA #1 | UAGCGACUAAACACAUCAA |
| ceh-36_set1   | ME-003329-03-0002 | siGENOME Non-Targeting siRNA #1 | UAGCGACUAAACACAUCAA |
| SFRP1         | ME-011783-01-0002 | siGENOME Non-Targeting siRNA #1 | UAGCGACUAAACACAUCAA |
| SUPT16H       | MU-009517-00-0002 | siGENOME Non-Targeting siRNA #1 | UAGCGACUAAACACAUCAA |

### 8. Table S8. Sequences of smFISH Oligos, PCR primers, and siRNAs, Related to Star Methods

| Targeted gene | siRNA pool ID | siRNA Name                  | Sequence |
|---------------|---------------|----------------------------|----------|
| gcy-5_set1    | ME-003329-03-0002 | siGENOME Non-Targeting siRNA #1 | UAGCGACUAAACACAUCAA |
| ceh-36_set1   | ME-003329-03-0002 | siGENOME Non-Targeting siRNA #1 | UAGCGACUAAACACAUCAA |
| SFRP1         | ME-011783-01-0002 | siGENOME Non-Targeting siRNA #1 | UAGCGACUAAACACAUCAA |
| SUPT16H       | MU-009517-00-0002 | siGENOME Non-Targeting siRNA #1 | UAGCGACUAAACACAUCAA |
**Supplemental Tables:**

**Table S1.** Whole-Genome RNAi screening results, Related to Figure 1 (provided as Excel file)

**Table S2.** Results of HMG-3 and HMG-4 Co-Immunoprecipitation with subsequent Mass Spectrometry analysis, Related to Figure S2 and Mass Spectrometry Analysis as described in Star Methods (provided as Excel file)

**Table S3.** ChIP-seq, ATAC-seq and RNA-seq analysis of *hmg-3, hmg-4, and spt-16*, Related to Figures 4, S3 and S4 (provided as Excel file)

**Table S4:** ChIP-seq for SSRP1 and SUPT16H with RNA-seq analysis of SSRP1 and SUPT16H knockdown, Related to Figures 6 and S6 (provided as Excel file)

**Table S5.** ATAC-seq analysis of SSRP1 and SUPT16H knockdown, Related to Figures 6 and S6 (provided as Excel file)

**Table S6.** Summary of FACT depletion effects based on RNA-seq and ATAC-seq in C. elegans and Human Cells, Related to Figures 4, S3, S4, 6, and S6

**Table S7.** *C. elegans* strains used in the study, Related to STAR Methods

**Table S8.** Sequences of smFISH Oligos, PCR primers, and siRNAs, Related to Star Methods