Is Single-Drug Prophylaxis in Migraine Prevention a Better Option than Combination Therapy? An Observational Study in a Rural Tertiary Care Center in North West India
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Abstract

Background Migraine is a primary headache disorder that has a great impact on quality of life of patients. Pharmacologic therapy may be given in acute or abortive form and in the form of preventive therapy. In what form is preventive therapy to be given? Whether monotherapy is to be used or combination therapy? In what cases does combination therapy fare better than monotherapy? These queries are still unanswered.

Materials and Methods All patients with headache reporting to the outpatient department were screened, and those fulfilling inclusion criteria were included in the study. Those patients who had chronic daily headache (headache for 15 or more days in a month) or who had other comorbidities and those who were taking any other drugs and were pregnant were excluded from the study. Baseline parameters, duration of headache, frequency of attacks, severity, and location of headache, triggers, and aggravating factors were recorded. Then, patients were started on abortive and preventive therapy. The follow-up was done at 3 and 6 months and if required earlier. At each follow-up, data regarding frequency, severity, and adverse effects were recorded and analyzed.

Results We studied 105 patients of migraine, out of which 15 (14.3%) were males and 90 (85.7%) were females. Moreover, most (72%) of the patients were of the age group of 30 to 49 years. Only 11 (10.5%) patients required three or more preventive medicines for the control of headache and rest 94 (89.5%) patients were controlled on single (49 patients, i.e., 46.7%) or two 45, that is, 42.9% preventive medicines 49.

Conclusion For the newly diagnosed migraine cases, the single-drug preventive therapy is more useful than the combination of drugs.
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Introduction

Migraine is a primary headache disorder that has a great impact on quality of life of the patients.¹ There is evidence in literature that patients with migraine have a worse quality of life than patients with other chronic diseases such as diabetes and hypertension.² It is easy to diagnose and treat the disease, but many aspects of treatment are still in the gray area. Pharmacologic therapy may be given in acute or abortive form and in the form of preventive therapy. Preventive
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therapy is the backbone of migraine treatment. It is used to reduce the frequency, duration, or severity of attacks. Additional benefits may include enhanced response of acute treatment, improved patient ability to function, and reduction of disability. However, clinicians have many unanswered queries regarding preventive therapy like the following: In what form is preventive therapy to be given? Whether monotherapy is to be used or combination therapy? In what cases does combination therapy fare better than monotherapy?

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted at tertiary care center in rural North-west India. In addition to new patients, we mostly see patients referred from other departments of our institute and patients from other hospitals of the state. All patients with headache reporting to the outpatient department were screened and those fulfilling IHS 3 (International Headache Society) criteria for migraine and those who were in the age group of 18 to 50 years, with a stable headache pattern for at least 6 months, and with two or more attacks per month were included in the study. Those patients who had chronic daily headache (headache for 15 or more days in a month) as the patients with chronic daily headache are least likely to respond to single preventive drug therapy or who had other comorbidities, those who were taking any other drugs (antiepileptic drugs, neuroleptics, β-blockers for any other ailments than migraine), and those who were pregnant were excluded from the study. Informed consent was taken before inclusion in the study.

Baseline parameters for each patient were recorded in the prescribed pro forma. The duration of headache, frequency of attacks, severity, and location of headache, triggers, and aggravating factors were recorded. The systemic examination was done to rule out any focal neurologic deficit. Fundoscopic examination was done for each patient to rule out papilledema. Blood parameters hemogram, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, and renal and liver function tests were done at baseline. Urine pregnancy test was done in female patients to rule out pregnancy. Imaging computed tomography/magnetic resonance imaging of brain was done in cases where there was worsening of symptoms, and if neuroimaging suggests any other diagnosis, those patients were excluded from the study.

Then patients were started on abortive and preventive therapy. Patients were advised to maintain headache diary to record the frequency and severity of headache. The follow-up was done at 3 and 6 months and if required earlier. At each follow-up, data regarding frequency, severity, and adverse effects were recorded. Data were collected and analyzed.

Statistics

Data were entered in Microsoft excel (Microsoft Corporation One Microsoft Way Redmond, WA 98052-6399, United States) and analysis was done with EPI Info 7 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, United States) and frequency and percentage were calculated for each parameter. The chi-square test was applied for the effect of number of medication on the frequency of headache at 3 and 6 months of migraine treatment.

Results

We studied 105 patients of migraine, out of which 15 (14.3%) were male and 90 (85.7%) were female. Moreover, most (72%) of the patients were of the age group of 30 to 49 years. The duration of migraine was <6 months in 4 (3.8%) patients, between 7 and 12 months in 6 (5.7%) patients, 13 to 60 months in 34 (32.4%) patients, >60 months in 61 patients, that is, 58.1% (Table 1). At the start of the study, 54 (51%) patients had frequency of 5 to 9 episodes per month and 20 (19%) had <4 episodes per months and 31 (29.5%) had a frequency of 10 or more episodes per month. At 3 months of treatment, 79 (75.2%) patients had frequency of <4 episodes per month and 24 (22.9%) patients had frequency of 5 to 9 episodes per month, and only 2 (1.9%) patients had the frequency of 10 or more episodes per month. At 6 months of treatments, 96 (91%) patients had frequency of headache <4 episodes per month and 8 (7.6%) patients had 5 to 9 episodes per month and only 1 patient had 10 or more headache episodes per month (Table 2). Only 11 (10.5%) patients

| Table 1  | Basic parameters |
|----------|------------------|
| **Gender** | **Total number of patients (n=105), n (%)** |
| Male | 15 (14.3) |
| Female | 90 (85.7) |
| **Age, years** | |
| 10–19 | 3 (2.9) |
| 20–29 | 26 (24.8) |
| 30–39 | 38 (36.2) |
| 40–49 | 29 (28.2) |
| 50–59 | 4 (3.8) |
| 60–69 | 5 (4.8) |
| **Duration, months** | |
| <6 | 4 (3.8) |
| 7–12 | 6 (5.7) |
| 13–60 | 34 (32.4) |
| >60 | 61 (58.1) |

| Table 2  | Effects of preventive medications on frequency of headache episodes |
|----------|-------------------|
| **Number of episodes** | **Frequency at onset (%)** | **Frequency at 3 mo (%)** | **Frequency at 6 mo (%)** |
| <4 episodes/month | 20 (19) | 79 (75.2) | 96 (91.4) |
| 5–9 episodes/month | 54 (51.4) | 24 (22.9) | 8 (7.6) |
| 10 and more episodes/month | 31 (29.5) | 2 (1.9) | 1 (1) |
Drug combinations have been used for the resistant cases with headache and rest 94 (89.5%) patients were controlled on single (49 patients, i.e., 46.7%) or two 45, that is, 42.9% preventive medicines 49 (Table 3). Severity of migraine was <3 in 1 patient (1%), between 4 and 7 in 33 (31.4%) patients, and >8 in 71 (67.6%) patients (Table 4).

Of 49 (46.7%) patients who were started on single preventive medicine, 24 (48.97%) were having <4 episodes of headache per month and 22 (44.88%) had 5 to 9 episodes per month, and 3 (6.10%) were having 10 and more episodes per month. At the end of 3 months of treatment, 41 (83.63%) had <4 episodes of headache per month and 8 (16.32%) were having 5 to 9 episodes of headache per month, and none had >10 episodes per month. Moreover, at the end of 6 months, 47 (95.91%) were having <4 episodes per month and 2 (4.08%) had 5 to 9 episodes per month and none of them had 10 or more episodes.

Forty-five (42.9%) patients were started on two preventive drugs and out of those, 16 (35.5%) patients had <4 episodes of headache per month and 22 (48.88%) were having 5 to 9 episodes per month and 7 (15.55%) had 10 and more episodes per month at the start of treatment. In addition, at the 3 months of treatment, 32 (71.11%) had <4 episodes of headache per month and 12 (26.66%) were having 5 to 9 episodes per month and 1 (2.22%) had >10 episodes per month. Moreover, at the end of 6 months, 42 (93.33%) were having <4 episodes per month and 2 (4.44%) had 5 to 9 episodes per month and 1 (2.22%) was having 10 or more episodes.

Table 3: Number and type of preventive medications used in migraine patients

| Number of patients (%) | Total number of preventive medicines used |
|------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1                      | 49 (46.7)                                |
| 2                      | 45 (42.9)                                |
| 3                      | 11 (10.5)                                |

| Type of preventive medicines | Number of patients (%) |
|------------------------------|------------------------|
| Flunarizine                  | 47 (44.8)              |
| Propranolol                  | 7 (6.7)                |
| Flunarizine and propranolol  | 20 (19)                |
| Flunarizine and amitriptyline| 16 (15.2)              |
| Flunarizine and amitriptyline and propranolol | 11 (10.5) |
| Amitriptyline and propranolol | 1 (1)                  |
| Propranolol and topiramate   | 1 (1)                  |
| Others                       | 2 (1.9)                |

Table 4: Severity of headache in migraine patients on preventive therapy

| Severity at onset (%) | Severity at 3 mo (%) | Severity at 6 mo (%) |
|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 0–3                   | 1 (1)                | 10 (8)               | 69 (61)               |
| 4–7                   | 33 (31.4)            | 89 (85)              | 31 (30)               |
| Above 8               | 71 (67.6)            | 68 (6)               | 5 (4)                 |

Discussion

Of 105 patients, 90 were females. The majority (63%) belong to 30 to 50 years of age. The duration of illness was > 5 years in 59% of patients. The cause of the late presentation of patients to the hospital may be when the headache attacks become too frequent or when over-the-counter drugs become ineffective in controlling their symptoms. Most patients ignore the early attacks and visit the neurologist only when the headache becomes chronic or the abortive therapy does not give much relief. Most of the patients do not get preventive therapy at the right time in the course of the disease.

The role of preventive therapy in the management of migraine needs to be emphasized to the health care providers. Preventive medicines reduce attack frequency and improve the response to the acute treatment and quality of life of the patient. Monotherapy should be the rule in migraine prevention. There are studies that have suggested that combination therapy should be used more frequently and as a first step in the therapeutic plan for more complete and fast response. However, few of the studies have shown that using two or more drugs are associated with an increase in adverse effects. In our study, we have found that using the single drug for the preventive therapy in migraine has shown a better response in decreasing the frequency of headache at 6 months of treatment as compared with the combination of two or more drugs. The difference was statistically significant with p = 0.003. Our study confirms the fact that combination therapy in prophylactic treatment may be useful in the resistant and difficult-to-control cases but for the newly diagnosed cases the single-drug therapy is more useful as the combination of drugs will not only increase the adverse effects and decrease the patient compliance but also increase the cost of the treatment as well.
Conclusion

For the newly diagnosed migraine cases, the single-drug preventive therapy is much useful as it not only has better patient compliance and tolerability but also has better response in decreasing the headache frequency than the combination of drugs.
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Table 5 Effect of number of preventive medications on the frequency of headache episodes at 3 and 6 months of treatment

| Frequency of headache | Number of preventive medicines | Total number | p-Value |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|--------|
|                       | 1                              | 2            | 3      |
| At 0 month (%)        |                                |              |        |
| <4 episodes/month     | 24 (48.97)                     | 16 (35.55)   | 2 (18.18) | 42 | 0.158 |
| 5–9 episodes/month    | 22 (44.89)                     | 22 (48.88)   | 6 (54.54) | 50 |
| 10 and more episodes/month | 3 (6.10) | 7 (15.55) | 3 (27.27) | 13 |
| Total                 | 49 (100) | 45 (100) | 11 (100) | 105 |
| At 3 months (%)       |                                |              |        |
| 1–4 episodes/month    | 41 (83.67)                     | 32 (71.11)   | 6 (54.54) | 79 | 0.125 |
| 5–9 episodes/month    | 8 (16.32)                      | 12 (26.66)   | 4 (36.36) | 24 |
| 10 and more episodes/month | 0 | 1 (2.22) | 1 (9.09) | 2 |
| Total                 | 49 (100) | 45 (100) | 11 (100) | 105 |
| At 6 months (%)       |                                |              |        |
| 1–4 episodes/month    | 47 (95.91)                     | 42 (93.33)   | 7 (63.63) | 96 | 0.003 |
| 5–9 episodes/month    | 2 (4.08)                       | 2 (4.44)     | 4 (36.36) | 8 |
| 10 and more episodes/month | 0 | 1 (2.22) | 0 | 1 |
| Total                 | 49 (100) | 45 (100) | 11 (100) | 105 |