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Introduction

In this note, we consider the following process\(^2\) with stochastic volatility:
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dF(t)}{\sigma(t)} &= \lambda'(\mu' - \sigma(t))dt + \nu \sigma(t)\delta dW(t), \\
\frac{d\sigma(t)}{} &= \lambda'(\mu' - \sigma(t))dt + \nu \sigma(t)\delta dZ(t). \\
E(\sigma(t)\delta dZ(t)) &= \rho dt.
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

- for \(\delta = 1\), \(c(F) = F^\beta\) and \(\lambda' = 0\) we get the SABR model;
- for \(\delta = 1/2\) and \(c(F) = F\) we get Heston’s model.

A direct application of Feller’s criterion\(^3\), detailed in appendix 1, shows that for \(1/2 < \delta \leq 1\) there is no explosion for the stochastic volatility, that is to say
\[
P[(\forall t \geq 0) \ (\sigma(t) \in ]0, +\infty[)] = 1.
\]

This result remains true for \(\delta = 1/2\) if we add the condition \(2\lambda\mu/\nu^2 \geq 1\). We suppose in the following that we are in such cases.

Let us write \(G_{F,\Sigma}(\tau, f, \sigma)\) be the density of probability to get to the point \((F, \Sigma)\), leaving from the point \((f, \sigma)\) after a time \(\tau\). Then \(G\) follows the classical differential equation (where \(\lambda'\) and \(\mu'\) should be adjusted\(^4\))
\[
\frac{\partial G}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \left[ c(f)^2 \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial f^2} + 2\rho\nu c(f) \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial f \partial \sigma} + \nu^2 \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \sigma^2} \right] + \lambda'(\sigma - \mu') \frac{\partial G}{\partial \sigma}.
\]

(2)

The goal for this note is to get suitable approximations for the \(\delta\)-model, for small \(\tau\). There are three steps to get them.

- We first explain how to convert our stochastic problem into a geometrical one. We introduce the Laplace Beltrami operator to have a geometric point of view, and then use a suitable isometry to work in a simpler space, called the \(\delta\)-space.

- Thanks to this geometric point of view, we then give a first-order asymptotics for the probability density \(G\). Moreover, we want this expression to be computed for all \(F\) and \(\delta\) without having to calculate any integral. The main tool for this part is Molchanov’s theorem\(^5\).

- The third one is to get a first order (in \(\tau\)) approximation for:

\(^2\)In the first chapter, with generalities about stochastic volatility problems, we will understand why we consider this particular process

\(^3\)see Ioannis Karatzas, Steven E. Shreve, Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus, Springer.

\(^4\)Jim Gatheral, Stochastic Volatility and Local Volatility, Case Studies in Financial Modelling Course Notes, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Fall Term, 2004.

\(^5\)S. A. Molchanov, Diffusion Processes and Riemannian Geometry, Russian Math. Surveys 30 : 1 (1975).
– the transition probability from \((\sigma, f)\) to \(F\), where we integrate over \(\Sigma\) because the final volatility is not observed:

\[
P_F(\tau, \sigma, f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{F, \Sigma}(\tau, f, \sigma) d\Sigma.
\]

This gives us the price for digital options.

– the implied volatility \(\sigma_{BS}(K)\), defined by the equality of the prices of calls for (1) and a Black-Scholes model with volatility \(\sigma_{BS}(K)\):

\[
\text{Call}_{BS}(\sigma_{BS}(K), K) = \text{Call}_{\delta-model}(\sigma, K).
\]

Actually, we first give an approximation for the local volatility (defined by \(\sigma_K(T, f, \sigma)^2 dT = \mathbb{E}\left((dF(T))^2 \mid F(T) = K\right)\)) and then use Hagan’s loc/imp formula\(^6\).

Such approximations are given in literature for the SABR model, that is to say \(\delta = 1\). The most successful closed form formula giving an approximation for options is Hagan’s\(^7\). In a preprint\(^8\) Andrew Lesniewski uses a geometrical method (in the hyperbolic plane) to get the density’s asymptotics, but he uses a Dyson formula instead of Molchanov’s theorem. Consequently our results are sensibly different.

Berestycki\(^9\) gives an evolution equation for the smile. His PDE is generally difficult to solve and hides the geometrical aspect of the problem. This is the reason why, for our \(\delta\)-model, we do not try to use the available PDE and directly calculate the first order.

\(^{6}\)Patrick S. Hagan and Diana E. Woodward, Equivalent Black Volatilities, Applied Mathematical Finance 6, 147-157 (1999).

\(^{7}\)Patrick S. Hagan, Deep Kumar, Andrew S. Lesniewski and Diana E. Woodward, Managing Smile Risk.

\(^{8}\)Patrick Hagan, Andrew Lesniewski, Diana Woodward, Probability Distribution in the SABR Model of Stochastic Volatility.

\(^{9}\)Henri Berestycki, Jérôme Busca and Igor Flores, Computing the Implied Volatility, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. LVII, 0001-0022 (2004) ©2004 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.
A geometrical view of stochastic volatility problems

We consider the following general process,

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dF}{d\Sigma} &= f_1(\Sigma, F)dt + f_2(\Sigma, F)dW_1 \\
\frac{d\Sigma}{dt} &= g_1(\Sigma)dt + g_2(\Sigma)dW_2
\end{align*}
\]

with \( <dW_1, dW_2> = \rho dt \). We call \( G \) the transition probability density, from a state \((f_0, \sigma_0)\) to a state \((f, \sigma)\). Then the backward Fokker Planck equation can be written

\[
\partial_\tau G = \frac{1}{2} \left( f_2^2 \partial_{ff} G + g_2^2 \partial_{\sigma\sigma} G + 2\rho f_2 g_2 \partial_{f\sigma} G \right) + f_1 \partial_f G + g_1 \partial_\sigma G.
\]

The Laplace Beltrami operator is defined as

\[
\Delta^G = g^{-1/2} \partial_\mu \left( g^{1/2} G^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \right)
\]

for a metric \( G \). If we consider the upper half-plane with metric

\[
G = \frac{1}{(1-\rho^2)f_2^2 g_2^2} \begin{bmatrix}
g_2^2 & -\rho f_2 g_2 \\
-\rho f_2 g_2 & f_2^2
\end{bmatrix}, \quad G^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix}
f_2^2 & \rho f_2 g_2 \\
\rho f_2 g_2 & g_2^2
\end{bmatrix}, \quad g = \det G = \frac{1}{(1-\rho^2)f_2^2 g_2^2},
\]

then we have

\[
\partial_\tau G = \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta^G + f \right) G,
\]

where \( f \) is a first-order operator. In order to apply Molchanov’s theorem\(^1\), we need to be able to calculate the geodesics of the space with metric

\[
G = \frac{1}{(1-\rho^2)} \begin{bmatrix}
\left( \frac{1}{f_2} \right)^2 & -\rho \frac{1}{f_2} \frac{1}{g_2} \\
-\rho \frac{1}{f_2} \frac{1}{g_2} & \left( \frac{1}{g_2} \right)^2
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

The purpose of this chapter is to understand the sufficient conditions for the geodesics to be easy to calculate.

1.1 The isometry

In order to be able to calculate the geodesics easily, we look for a suitable isometry. We impose the \( \Sigma \)-coordinate to be stable (simpler case to keep the upper half-plane stable), so we look for an isometry on the form

\[
\nabla \Phi = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}u} & -\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}v} \\
0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}v}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

\(^1\)and more generally Minakshisundaram-Pleijel recursion formula.
Then \( H = (\nabla \Phi)^{-1} \top G(\nabla \Phi)^{-1} \) is diagonal iff \( g_2 u = f_2 v \).

We then have
\[
H = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{u^2}{f_2} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{g_2}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

For \( \Phi \) to be well defined, the following condition is necessary:
\[
\partial \Sigma \frac{1}{f_2} = \partial F \left( -\rho/g_2 \right) (\ast).
\]

Interesting special cases are for \( u = f_2 \) and, more generally for \( u/f_2 \) not dependant on \( F \).

### 1.2 Special cases

In this part, we consider special cases showing the interest of this geometrical formulation. The first one confirms results about non-stochastic volatility models. The second one gives a condition on \( f_2 \) and \( g_2 \) for both horizontal and vertical lines to be geodesics, which is a very useful case. Finally, the third one shows that the \( \delta \)-model is the only « \( f_2 \)-separable » model for which we have the homogeneity property.

- \( g_2 = 0 \) (no stochastic volatility) : then the geodesical distance between \((f_0, \sigma_0)\) and \((f, \sigma)\) is infinite, except if \( \sigma_0 = \sigma \), so the probability of transition is concentrated on this line of the plane : \( \sigma = \sigma_0 \). Varadhan’s theorem in dimension one then gives
\[
\log(P(f_0 \rightarrow f)) \sim_{\ell \rightarrow 0} -\frac{d^2}{2t} \text{ with } d = \left( \int_{f_0}^{f} \frac{dx}{f_2(\sigma_0, x)} \right)^2.
\]

- we want to find an isometry between \( G \) and a simpler space \( \mathbb{H} \). The most interesting is a function \( \Phi \) such as
\[
\nabla \Phi = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}f_2} & \frac{-\rho}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}g_2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{g_2} \end{bmatrix},
\]

because we then have
\[
G = (\nabla \Phi)^\top H(\nabla \Phi) \text{ with } H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{g_2} \end{bmatrix}.
\]

However, to find a convenient function \( \Phi \), the partial derivatives must follow Schwarz’s theorem of commutation (Poincaré’s criterium for the differential to be exact). This is the case iff \( f_2 \) does not depend on \( \Sigma \). So this case is simply not pertinent\(^2\).

- if \( u/f_2 \) only depends on \( \Sigma \), then with Schwarz’s relation one can easily check that the function \( f_2 \) is separable : let us write \( f_2 = a(F)b(\Sigma) \). Then we also want to find an isometry between \( G \) and a simpler space \( \mathbb{H} \). The good function \( \Phi \) is such as
\[
\nabla \Phi = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}a} & \frac{-\rho}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}g_2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{g_2} \end{bmatrix},
\]

\(^2\)However, one can imagine new models where \( g_2 \) depends on \( F \), which seems quite natural. To be able to calculate the geodesics, we then need the relation \( \partial_2 (1/f_2) = \partial_F (-\rho/g_2) (\ast) \), which just necessitates well chosen functions. Moreover, the relation (\( \ast \)) gives interesting qualitative results : if the correlation is positive, then the volvol decreases with \( F \); the contrary if the correlation is negative.
1.3 Summary

because we then have
\[ G = (\nabla \Phi)^T H(\nabla \Phi) \text{ with } H = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{x^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{y^2} \end{bmatrix}. \]

Schwarz’s commutation relation is now true, so we really defined an isometry. We now just need to be able to calculate geodesics in the space \( \mathbb{H} \). First notice that the metric does not depend on the coordinate \( x \), so, using Killing Fields, a system of equations for the geodesics is

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\dot{x}^2}{b(y)^2} + \frac{\dot{y}^2}{g_2(y)^2} &= c_1, \\
\frac{\dot{x}}{b(y)^2} &= c_2,
\end{aligned}
\]

with \((x, y) = \Phi(F, \Sigma)\). Thanks to this system, one can check that we have the homogeneity property (if \( \gamma \) is a geodesic, so is \( \lambda \gamma ) if \( b(y) = g_2(y) = y^6 \) on the upper half-plane\(^3\).

1.3 Summary

**Proposition.** We consider all isometries of the upper half-plane which are identity for the \( \sigma \)-coordinate. Then :

- if we want the resulting metric to be diagonal and only \( \Sigma \)-dependant, then \( f_2 \) must be separable \((f_2 = a(F)b(\Sigma))\). One then can effectively find a suitable isometry and the resulting metric is given by \( H = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{b(y)^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{g_2(y)^2} \end{bmatrix} \).

  If the geodesics follow the homogeneity property, then the only suitable metric is \( H = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\Sigma^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\Sigma^2} \end{bmatrix} \).

- one can find other isometries giving the following simpler metric : \( H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{g_2} \end{bmatrix} \). This necessitates the dependance \( g_2(f, \sigma) \) (so this is not exactly a stochastic volatility model) and the equation \( \partial_2 (1/f_2) = \partial_F (-\rho/g_2) \).

---

\(^3\)Indeed, we have such homogeneity for every geodesic if the following system is satisfied for every \( \lambda \) and \( y \) in \( \mathbb{R}^+ \) (we also suppose that the functions \( b \) and \( g_2 \) are strictly positive and \( \mathcal{C}^1 \) on \( \mathbb{R}^+ \)) :

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{b(\lambda y)}{\partial_2 (1/\lambda y)} &= \frac{b(y)}{\partial_2 (1/y)} \\
\frac{g_2(\lambda y)}{\partial_2 (1/\lambda y)} &= \frac{g_2(y)}{\partial_2 (1/y)}.
\end{aligned}
\]

This implies that we also have \( g_2(\lambda y) = c(\lambda)g_2(y) \), so we only need to show that \( b \) is a power. Moreover, as \( b(\lambda y) = c(\lambda)b(y) = c(y)b(\lambda) \), we have \( b(y)/c(y) = \text{cst} \), so we only need to show that \( c \) is a power.

For \( n \in \mathbb{N}^* \), we have \( c(\lambda^n) = c(\lambda^{n-1})b(\lambda y) = \cdots = c(\lambda)b(\lambda^{n-1}y) = b(\lambda^n y) = c(\lambda^n)b(y) \), so \( c(\lambda^n) = c(\lambda)^n \). The following is straightforward : we also have \( c(\lambda^r) = c(\lambda)^r \) for \( r \in \mathbb{Q}^*_+ \), so, as \( \mathbb{Q}^*_+ \) is dense in \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) and \( c \) is continuous, we have \( c(\lambda^s) = c(\lambda)^s \) for \( s \in \mathbb{R}_+ \). If \( y \geq 1 \), we then have \( c(y) = e^{(c \ln y)} = e(\ln y) = y \ln e = y^\delta_1 \). For \( 0 < y \leq 1 \), we also have \( c(y) = c((1/e)^{-\ln y}) = c(1/e)^{-\ln y} = y^{-\ln c(1/e)} = y^\delta_2 \). As \( c \) is \( \mathcal{C}^1 \) at \( y = 1 \), we have \( \delta_1 = \delta_2 \), so \( c, b \) and \( g_2 \) can be written \( y^\delta \).
The $\delta$-model : geometrical formulation

In order to have a geometrical vision of the situation, we first change the variables and the function (approximately like in Lesniewski’s preprint\(^1\)), writing :

- $s = \nu^2 \tau$
- $x = f$
- $X = F$
- $y = \sigma/\nu$
- $Y = \Sigma/\nu$
- $K_{X,Y}(s, x, y) = \nu G_{X,Y}(s/\nu^2, x, \nu y)$.

Now the Green function, solution of the PDE (2), is solution of the following system (where we have $\lambda = \lambda'/\nu^2$ and $\mu = \mu'/\nu$) :

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial K}{\partial s} &= \frac{1}{2} \nu^2 y^2 \left[ c(x) \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial x^2} + 2 \rho c(x) \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial y^2} \right] + \lambda(y - \mu) K_{X,Y}(0, x, y) = \delta(x, X) \delta(y, Y), \\
K_{X,Y}(0, x, y) &= \delta(x, X) \delta(y, Y). \\
\end{align*}
\]

(1.1)

In this part, we first rewrite the PDE (1.1) in terms of the Laplace Beltrami operator of a suitable space (the important properties of this operator are summarized in appendix 2). We then use an isometry that allows us to consider a simpler generic space. Our results are summarized in a final table.

2.1 Suitable form for the partial differential equation

The metric associated with the PDE (1.1) is

\[
G = \frac{1}{\nu^{2\delta-2}(1 - \rho^2)y^{2\delta}c(x)^2} \begin{bmatrix}
1 & -\rho c(x) \\
-\rho c(x) & c(x)^2
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

We call the corresponding Riemannian space $G$. We also need the determinant $g$ of $G$ and the inverse matrix :

\[
g = \frac{1}{\nu^{4\delta-4}(1 - \rho^2)y^{4\delta}c(x)^2}, \quad G^{-1} = \frac{1}{\rho c(x)^2} \begin{bmatrix}
\rho c(x)^2 & \rho c(x) \\
\rho c(x) & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

The Laplace Beltrami operator is defined as

\[
\Delta^G = g^{-1/2} \partial_\mu \left( g^{1/2} g^{\mu \nu} \partial_\nu \right).
\]

\(^1\)ANDREW LESNIEWSKI, Probability Distribution in the SABR Model of Stochastic Volatility, preprint
CHAPTER 2. THE δ-MODEL : GEOMETRICAL FORMULATION

A simple calculation gives \( \Delta^G = \nu^{2\delta - 2} y^{2\delta} (c(x))^2 \partial_x x + 2 \rho c(x) \partial_x y + \partial_y y + \nu^{2\delta - 2} y^{2\delta} c(x) c'(x) \partial_x x \). This is the reason why (1.1), with the suitable normalized initial condition, can be written as

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial K^G}{\partial s} = \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta^G + f^G \right) K^G \\
K^G_Z(0, z) = \delta(z, Z)
\end{array} \right.,
\]

with

\[
f^G = -\frac{1}{2} \nu^{2\delta - 2} y^{2\delta} c'(x) c(x) \partial_x x + \lambda(y - \mu) \partial_y y.
\]

and \( \delta(z, Z) = \delta(x, X) \delta(y, Y) \). The interest of this formulation is that we have separated the « intrinsic » Laplacian from the first-order term (without changing variables like in Hagan’s paper\(^2\)).

2.2 The isometry

We follow here the general methodology of the chapter 1. Let us introduce \( \mathbb{H} \), the \( \delta \)-space with metric

\[
H = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{\nu^\delta} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{y^\delta}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Let us \( \Phi \) be the application of the upper half-plane

\[
\Phi \left( \begin{array}{c}
x \\
y
\end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{\nu^{\delta - 1}} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \left( f_p \frac{dx}{c(u)} - \rho y \right) \\
0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( p \) is a positive constant. Then the jacobian matrix of \( \Phi \) is

\[
\nabla \Phi = \frac{1}{\nu^{\delta - 1}} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2 c(z)}} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

so one can easily check that between the metrics the following relationship holds :

\[
G = (\nabla \Phi)^\top H \nabla \Phi.
\]

This relationship exactly means that \( \Phi \) conserves the scalar product : in \( \mathcal{G} \), for two trajectories \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 \) crossing in \( z \) at \( t \), \(< \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(\gamma_1(t), \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(\gamma_2(t)) >_G = (\nabla \Phi(z) \gamma_1)^\top H (\nabla \Phi(z) \gamma_2) = \gamma_1^\top G \gamma_2 \). This local property implies the global result that \( \Phi \) induces an isometry between \( \mathcal{G} \) and \( \mathbb{H} \).

The following paragraphs are quite technical : in order to simplify our problem, we find a diffusion equation in \( \mathbb{H} \) equivalent to the one in \( \mathcal{G} \). We then find the relations between the transition probabilities associated to these diffusion equations.

2.3 Reformulation of the hyperbolic problem

We now consider the following Green problem in \( \mathbb{H} \) :

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial K^H}{\partial s} = \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta^H + f^H \right) K^H \\
K^H_{\Phi(Z)}(0, z') = \delta(z', \Phi(Z)) = \det [\nabla \Phi(Z)]^{-1} \delta(z, Z)
\end{array} \right.,
\]

with :

\(^2\)Patrick S. Hagan, Deep Kumar, Andrew S. Lesniewski and Diana E. Woodward, Managing Smile Risk.
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- \( z' = \Phi(z) \);
- \( \Delta^G \) the Laplace Beltrami operator corresponding to the Riemannian space \( H \), therefore \( \Delta^G = y^{2\delta} (\partial_{xx} + \partial_{yy}) \);
- \( f^G(A) = \nabla \Phi(\Phi^{-1}(A)) f^G(\Phi^{-1}(A)) \).

If \( K^H \) is a solution of (1.4), then \( \det [\nabla \Phi(Z)] K^H \circ \Phi \) is a solution of (1.3) (\( \det [\nabla \Phi(Z)], \) which is a normalization constant, is written \( C \) in the following). Indeed, as \( \Phi \) does not modify the time \( s \),

\[
\frac{\partial K^G}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial(C K^H \circ \Phi)}{\partial s} = C \left( \frac{\partial K^H}{\partial s} \right) \circ \Phi = C \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta^H + f^H \right) K^H \circ \Phi
\]

\[
= C \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta^G (K^H \circ \Phi) + C \nabla \Phi f^G(K^H \circ \Phi) \right)
\]

\[
= C \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta^G + f^G \right) K^G
\]

Thanks to the normalization constant \( \det [\nabla \Phi(Z)] \), \( K^G \) follows the condition at \( s = 0 \), so from the uniqueness of the solution of (1.3) we deduce that

\[
K^G = \det [\nabla \Phi(Z)] K^H \circ \Phi.
\]

(1.5)

2.4 From density to probability of transition

The quantity \( K^H \) is a density with respect to the euclidean metric. To be consistent with the next chapter, we now note \( K \) the corresponding density probability with respect to the metric \( H \):

\[
K = \frac{K^H}{\sqrt{\det H}} = y^{2\delta} K^H.
\]

We have then

\[
P = \int_R G d\Sigma
\]

\[
= \int_R K^G(X^G, Y^G) dY^G
\]

\[
= \int_R K^H \circ \Phi(X^G, Y^G) \det \nabla \Phi(X^G, Y^G) dY^G
\]

\[
= \int_R K^H \circ \Phi(X^G, Y^G) \frac{1}{Y^{2\delta} \nu^{2\delta-2} \sqrt{1 - \rho^2 c(X^G)}} dY^H
\]

\[
P = \frac{1}{\nu^{\delta-1} \sqrt{1 - \rho^2 c(X^G)}} \int_D K(X^H, Y^H) Y^{2\delta} dY^H
\]
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where \( \mathbb{D} \) is the image of the straight line \( x = X^G \) by the application \( \Phi \), that is to say the straight line with equation

\[
x = \frac{1}{\nu^{d-1}\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \left( \int_p^{X^G} \frac{d\nu}{c(u)} - \rho \nu^{d-1} y \right).
\]

So if we define

\[
P^\mathbb{H} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} K(X^\mathbb{H}, Y^\mathbb{H}) dY^\mathbb{H},
\]

then

\[
P = \frac{1}{\nu^{d-1}\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} P^\mathbb{H}.
\]

In the following we will need the expression of the moment of order 2 of \( G \), that is to say

\[
M = \int \Sigma^{2\delta} d\Sigma
\]

If we define

\[
M^\mathbb{H} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} K(X^\mathbb{H}, Y^\mathbb{H}) dY^\mathbb{H},
\]

then the same calculation as above gives

\[
M = \frac{\nu^{2\delta^2}}{\nu^{d-1}\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} M^\mathbb{H}.
\]

Here is a table that summarizes the results of the first part.

| From \( G \) to \( \mathbb{H} \) | \( G \) | \( \mathbb{H} \) |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|
| The space | \( G \) | \( \mathbb{H} \) |
| The metric | \( G = \frac{1}{\nu^{d-2}(1-\rho^2)y^{2\delta}c(x)^2} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} -\rho c(x) & c(x)^2 \\ \rho c(x) & 0 \end{array} \right] \) | \( H = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \nu^{d-2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\nu^{d-2}} \end{array} \right] \) |
| The isometry | \( \Phi \left( \begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \left( \int_p^x \frac{d\nu}{c(u)} - \rho y \right) \) | |
| The LBO | \( \Delta^G = \nu^{2\delta^2-2}y^{2\delta}c(x)^2 \partial_{xx} + 2\rho c(x) \partial_{xy} + \theta_{yy} \) | \( \Delta^\mathbb{H} = y^{2\delta}(\partial_{xx} + \theta_{yy}) \) |
| The first-order operator | \( f^G = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial K^G}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial K^G}{\partial y} \end{array} \right] \left( \begin{array}{c} \delta(z, y) \\ y \delta(z, \Phi(z)) \end{array} \right) \) | \( f^\mathbb{H}(A) = \nabla \Phi(\Phi^{-1}(A)) f^G(\Phi^{-1}(A)) \) |
| The diffusion equations | \( \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial K^G}{\partial z} = \left( \frac{\Delta^G + f^G}{\delta(z, Z)} \right) K^G \\ K^G_0(0, z) = \left( \frac{\Delta^\mathbb{H} + f^\mathbb{H}}{\delta(z', \Phi(Z))} \right) K^\mathbb{H} \end{array} \right. \) | Link between \( K^G \) and \( K^\mathbb{H} \) |
| The transition probability | \( P = \frac{1}{\nu^{d-1}\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} P^\mathbb{H} \) | \( P^\mathbb{H} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} K(x^\mathbb{H}, y^\mathbb{H}) dY^\mathbb{H} \) |
| The moment | \( M = \frac{\nu^{2\delta^2}}{\nu^{d-1}\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} M^\mathbb{H} \) | \( M^\mathbb{H} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} K(X^\mathbb{H}, Y^\mathbb{H}) dY^\mathbb{H} \) |

All relationships above imply that we just need to get a suitable approximation for \( K^\mathbb{H} \). This is the purpose of the next chapter.
From Molchanov’s theorem to the $\delta$-model

We have shown in the previous part that it is sufficient to calculate all probability densities in $\mathbb{H}$, the $\delta$-space. This can be done thanks to the following theorem, expressed in terms of geometric invariants. The necessary calculations and adaptations to the $\delta$-space will be done after.

3.1 Molchanov’s theorem: the 0-order expansion

Molchanov’s theorem gives an asymptotic behavior for the density transition function, for small maturities. More precisely, we use the following notations:

- $l$ is the dimension of the space;
- $H$ is a specified metric of a space $\mathbb{H}$;
- $\Delta^{\mathbb{H}}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator corresponding to the metric $H$;
- $f$ is a first-order operator;
- $K(s, a, b)$ is the density function (with respect to the element of volume $v^{\mathbb{H}}$) corresponding to the diffusion process $\frac{dK}{ds} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{\mathbb{H}} + f\right) K$ with initial condition $K(0, a, b) = \delta(a, b)$: $K(s, a, b)$ represents the probability density of getting in $b$, leaving from $a$, after a time $s$, for the metric $H$.

Supposing sufficient conditions of regularity (uniqueness of the geodesic between two points...), we can now formulate the theorem we need.

\[ K(s, a, b) \sim \frac{1}{(2\pi s)^{l/2}} e^{-\frac{d(a, b)^2}{2s} + W(a, b)} \sqrt{\frac{d(a, b)^{l-1}}{\Psi(a, b)}} \]

Molchanov’s theorem. Under all the conditions above, if $d(a, b)$ is the distance between $a$ and $b$ along the unique geodesic linking them, $\gamma$, then

- $\Psi(a, b) = dS/d\varphi$ where a cone of light from $x$ with a solid angle $d\varphi$ illuminates an area $dS$ on the geodesic hypersurface orthogonal to $\gamma$ at $y$ (cf the following figure); 
- $W(a, b) = \int_0^{d(a, b)} < f, d\gamma/ds > ds$ : this is the work of the field $f$ along the geodesic.

Proof. A complete proof can be found in Molchanov’s article\(^1\). However, one can give an intuition of this result if there is no first-order operator, by analogy with the euclidean case.

1S. A. MOLCHANOV, Diffusion Processes and Riemannian Geometry, Russian Math. Surveys 30 : 1 (1975).
For a flat space, the above formula is clearly true, and for a curved space the density naturally decreases with \( \frac{dS}{d\varphi} \), where \( d\varphi \) is the initial angle between geodesics leaving \( O \). Indeed, imagine a diffusion in this space, materialized with particles. The particles are diffused in an isotropic way from \( O \), and they remain blocked between the geodesics, so \( K dS \) is analogous to a one-dimension diffusion density with a density \( K_0 d\varphi \) in \( O \).

This does not leads us to the exact formula (because particles come from the other side of \( d\varphi \)), but it gives an intuition of the origin of the function \( \Psi \).

From a computational point of view, we need a definition of \( \Psi \) relatively to coordinates. Let \( (e_i) \) be a base of the orthogonal to \( \gamma \) in \( O \). Let us \( J_i(t) \) \( (1 \leq i \leq l-1, 1 \leq t \leq d(a,b)) \) be the Jacobi field\(^2\) along \( \gamma \) such as \( J_i(0) = 0 \) and \( J'_i(0) = e_i \), and \( \mathcal{Z}(t) = [J_1(t), \ldots, J_{l-1}(t)] \). Then

\[
\Psi(x, y) = \det \mathcal{Z}(d(a,b)).
\]

Indeed, \( \det [f_1, \ldots, f_{l-1}] \) represents the area engendered by a polyhedra of sides \( f_1, \ldots, f_{l-1} \), so \( \det \mathcal{Z}(t) \) represents the infinitesimal area engendered by the geodesics at time \( t \), fo a solid angle \( d\varphi \).

What we will need in reality is both of the following corollaries.

**Corollary 1 : dimension 2 (for the \( \delta \)-model).** In the plane, we consider the following system,

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{Z} + R(t)Z &= 0, \\
Z(0) &= 0, \\
\dot{Z}(0) &= 1,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( R(t) \) is the Gauss curvature for the point \( \gamma(t) \) of the geodesic linking \( a \) and \( b \). Then

\[
K(s, a, b) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi s} e^{-\frac{(a,b)^2}{2s} + W(a,b)} \sqrt{\frac{d(a,b)}{Z(d(a,b))}}.
\]

**PROOF.** We only need to show that the the evolution of the length \( Z \) of the unique vector of \( \mathcal{Z} \) is governed by the equation above. The evolution equation for a Jacobi field is

\[
\ddot{y}^k + y^j(t)R[e_j(t), \dot{\gamma}, \ddot{\gamma}, e_k(t)] = 0
\]

for each of the \( l-1 \) composants \( y_k \), where:

- \( R \) is the Riemann tensor;
- \( \{e_1(0), \ldots, e_{l-1}(0)\} \) is a base of the orthogonal hyperplane of \( \gamma \) at \( a \);
- \( e_i(t) \) is the parallel transport of \( e_i(0) \) along \( \gamma \) relatively to the field \( \dot{\gamma} \);

---

\(^2\)see Jürgen Jost, *Riemannian Geometry and Geometric Analysis*, Springer Universitext, 2002.
Corollary 2 : dimension 2 and constant Gauss curvature (for the δ-model with δ = 1 : SABR). If the plane has a constant gaussian curvature −k < 0, then

\[
K(s, a, b) \sim \frac{1}{(2\pi s)^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{d(a,b)^2}{2s} + W(a,b)} \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{k} d(a,b)}{\sinh \sqrt{k}d(a,b)}}.
\]

Proof. Immediate from the corollary 1.

3.2 The first-order expansion

We can get a better approximation for the probability density \(K\). Indeed, one can show that for a sufficiently regular diffusion and space, \(K\) admits the following expansion, for any \(n \in \mathbb{N}\):

\[
K(s, a, b) = \frac{1}{(2\pi s)^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{d(a,b)^2}{2s} + W(a,b)} \left(1 + K_1(a,b)s + \cdots + K_n(a,b)s^n + O(s^{n+1})\right).
\]

Our purpose is to give the equation verified by \(K_1\) in the case of the \(\delta\)-geometry, and then evaluate its solution for a point \(b\) near from \(a\).

The expansion above can be written at first order as

\[
K = \frac{1}{s} e^{-\frac{d^2}{2s}} g(1 + K_1 s + O(s^2)).
\] (2.1)

Injecting (2.1) in the diffusion equation \(\partial_s K = \left(1/2 \Delta^H + f\right) K\), we successively get the following diffusion equations satisfied by the functions \(d, g\) and \(K_1\), for the diffusion in the \(\delta\)-space:

\[
y y^{2\delta} |\nabla d|^2 = 1, \quad (2.2)
\]

\[
g = 2d g \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta^H + f\right) d + y^{2\delta} \nabla g \cdot \nabla d^2, \quad (2.3)
\]

\[
g K_1 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta^H + f\right) g + y^{2\delta} d g \nabla K_1 \cdot \nabla g. \quad (2.4)
\]

Here \(|\cdot|, \cdot\cdot\cdot\) and \(\nabla\) reference respectively the euclidean norm, the euclidean scalar product and the euclidean gradient (\(\nabla = (\partial_x, \partial_y)\)), and \(\Delta^H = y^{2\delta}(\partial_{xx} + \partial_{yy})\). These equations need some comments:

- (2.2) just traduces that \(\text{d}s^2 = (\text{d}x^2 + \text{d}y^2)/y^{2\delta}\);
• (2.3) is a transport equation that can be solved by integration along the geodesic: the explicit solution is given by Molchanov’s theorem:

\[ g(a, b) = \frac{1}{2\pi e^{W(a,b)}} \sqrt{\frac{d(a,b)}{Z(d(a,b))}}. \]

• (2.4) is also a transport equation, that can be solved by integration along lines directed by \( \nabla g \) instead of \( \nabla d \): the knowledge of the geodesics is not sufficient anymore. This is the reason why we will just calculate its initial value \( (K_1(a, a) = (K_1)_0) \), which will be a sufficient correction for us in the following.

A great calculation (see appendix 2.3) gives us the following result for \( (K_1)_0 \):

\[
(K_1)_0 = -\frac{\delta y_0^{3/2} - 2}{6} + \frac{\text{div } f}_0}{2} - \frac{(f_y)_0 y_0 + 3}{2} \frac{|f_0|^2}{y_0^{3/2}}.
\]

The main result of this part is then the following expansion, in the \( \delta \)-space:

\[
K(s, a, b) = \frac{1}{2\pi s} e^{-\frac{d(a,b)^2}{2s}} e^{W(a,b)} \sqrt{\frac{d(a,b)}{Z(d(a,b))}} (1 + (K_1)_0 s + O(s^2))
\]

with:

• the functions \( d \) and \( Z \) computed in \( O(1) \), thanks to the homogeneity property of the \( \delta \)-space (cf. appendix 2.4);

• the function \( W \) easily computed as the integral of a known function along a known path.

• \((K_1)_0 \) given by the formula above.

**Remark 1.** When \( f = 0 \), our result for \((K_1)_0 \) is a special case of a general formula: in any riemannian space we have

\[
(K_1)_0 = \frac{R}{6},
\]

where \( R \) is the scalar curvature.

**Remark 2.** A formula by Minakshisundaram and Pleijel\(^4\) gives a general asymptotic expansion for \( K \) to any order, but it is too complex and non effective for the second order.

\(^4\) S. Minakshisundaram and Pleijel, *Some properties of the eigenfunctions of the Laplace-operator on Riemannian manifolds*, Canadian J. Math. 1 (1949), 242-256.
Applications of the previous asymptotics

In this chapter, we integrate the asymptotic expression obtained previously. This leads directly to digital option prices.

Then, using Hagan’s local volatility formula\(^1\), we compute, through equivalent local volatility, vanilla option prices.

### 4.1 The Laplace transformation

We want to evaluate \(P^H\) and \(M^H\) defined by (1.6) and (1.8). We use here a Laplace expansion of order 2: for \(f\) and \(g\) sufficiently regular functions, and \(d\) with minimum at \(u_0\) and non-zero second derivative, we have

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\Phi(u)} (f(u) + s \, g(u)) \, du = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi s}{\Phi''(u_0)}} f(u_0) + s \left( g(u_0) + \frac{f''(u_0)}{2\Phi''(u_0)} - \frac{\Phi(4)(u_0)f(u_0)}{8\Phi''(u_0)^2} \right) - \frac{f'(u_0)\Phi^{(3)}(u_0)}{2\Phi''(u_0)^2} + \frac{5\Phi^{(3)}(u_0)^2 f(u_0)}{24\Phi''(u_0)^3} + O(s^2).
\]

In the case of \(P^H\) and \(M^H\) we need to calculate the ordinate \(Y_{\text{min}}\) of \(D\) such as \(d(Z_0, Z_{\text{min}})\) is minimal. This is done in appendix 2.5.

Unfortunately, we are unable to calculate the third and fourth variations of \(\Phi\) for any strike, but this can be done for \(K = f_0\), at the money: including these results in the 1-order term above will be sufficient: the effect of the 1-order correction is more important a the money.

To sum up, we will take the following approximation for \(P\):

\[
P \approx \sqrt{\frac{2\pi s}{\Phi''(u_0)^2} f(u_0)^K} + s \left( (K_1)_0 + \frac{f''(u_0)|_{K=f_0}^{K=0}}{2\Phi''(u_0)|_{K=f_0}^{K=0}} - \frac{\Phi(4)(u_0)|_{K=f_0}^{K=0}}{8\Phi''(u_0)^2|_{K=f_0}^{K=0}} \right) - \frac{f'(u_0)|_{K=f_0}^{K=0}\Phi^{(3)}(u_0)|_{K=f_0}^{K=0}}{2\Phi''(u_0)^2|_{K=f_0}^{K=0}} + \frac{5\Phi^{(3)}(u_0)^2|_{K=f_0}^{K=0}}{24\Phi''(u_0)^3|_{K=f_0}^{K=0}} \right) + O(s^2).
\]

with the following formulas:

\(^1\)Patrick S. Hagan and Diana E. Woodward, *Equivalent Black Volatilities*, Applied Mathematical Finance 6, 147-157 (1999).
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• \( \frac{d^2}{d\vartheta^2} \delta_{\min} = \frac{1}{\sin^2 \vartheta} \left\{ \frac{Z(d)}{Z(d)\delta_{\min}} \pm \frac{\delta}{Y_{\min}} \cos \theta_1 \right\} \), where the sign depends on the following configurations.

This second variation for the \( \delta \)-model is the most important result of this part because it gives the leading order for pricing the digital options.

4.2 The transition probability: pricing digital options

All the previous results give a methodology to calculate the transition probability \( P \) for any \( \delta \). For instance, in the case \( \delta = 1 \), the explicit calculations are done at the end of this paragraph. What is done here for \( \delta = 1 \) can be done for any \( \delta \): the trajectories of \( \delta \)-geometry and the Jacobi fields calculations are given in appendix.

4.2.1 Calculation of the field \( \mathbf{f}^H \) and its work

If \( c(x) = x^\beta \) \((0 \leq \beta < 1)\) : the case \( \beta = 1 \), needed for Heston’s model, can be handled apart, the same way), the function \( \Phi \) has the following inverse,

\[
\Phi^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
X^H \\
Y^H
\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c}
(1 - \beta) \left(\sqrt{1 - \rho^2 X^H + \rho Y^H}\right)
\end{array}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}.
\]

Moreover,

\[
\nabla \Phi(\gamma^G(t)) f(\gamma^G(t)) = \left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2 c(X^G(t))}} \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}
\end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{1}{2} Y^G \delta c(X^G) c'(X^G) \\
\lambda(Y^G - \mu)
\end{array}\right]
\]

\[
= \left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \left( -\frac{1}{2} Y^G \delta \beta X^G \beta - 1 - \rho \lambda (Y^G - \mu) \right) \\
\lambda(Y^G - \mu)
\end{array}\right]
\]

\[
f^H(\gamma^H(t)) = \left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \left( -\frac{(Y^H)^{2\beta}}{2(1 - \beta)(\sqrt{1 - \rho^2 X^H + \rho Y^H})} - \rho \lambda (Y^H - \mu) \right) \\
\lambda(Y^H - \mu)
\end{array}\right].
\]

From \( \delta \)-geometry, we know that the hyperbolic space (ie the case \( \delta = 1 \)) has constant Gauss curvature: this specificity makes all its interest, we can apply the corollary 2. We know that geodesics
are circles, so $$Y^H(X) = \sqrt{(X^H_0 - X^H_{fin})^2 + Y^H_0^2 - (X^H - X^H_{fin})^2}$$. We can then calculate explicitly the work $$W$$ of $$f^H$$ on these circles. Simplifying by $$dt$$, we get

$$W = \int_{\gamma^H} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \left( \frac{-Y^H(2\beta \beta)}{2(1 - \beta)(\sqrt{1 - \rho^2 X^H + \rho Y^H})} - \rho \lambda (Y^H - \mu) \right) dX^H + \int_{\gamma^H} \lambda (Y^H - \mu) dY^H$$

$$= \frac{-\beta}{2\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}(1 - \beta)} \int_{\gamma^H} \frac{(Y^H)^2 - \rho \lambda}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2 X^H + \rho Y^H}} dX^H - \frac{\rho \lambda}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \int_{\gamma^H} Y^H dX^H$$

$$+ \frac{\rho \lambda \mu}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} (X_{end} - X_0) + \frac{\lambda}{2} (Y^2_{end} - Y^2_0) - \lambda \mu(Y_{end} - Y_0).$$

This expression does not depend of the choice of time, which is normal for the work of a field on a trajectory.

### 4.2.2 The 1-order expression of $$P$$: the SABR case

We first need a primitive (in $$x$$) of the function

$$g(a, \rho, x) = \frac{1 - x^2}{a + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2 x + \rho \sqrt{1 - x^2}}}$$

We will note it as $$G(a, \rho, x)$$. An explicit formula for $$G$$ is

$$G(a, \rho, x) = -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{a^2 - 1}} \left( -8a\rho(3 - 3\rho^2 + a^2(-3 + 4\rho^2)) \arctan \left( \frac{\rho + \left( a - \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \right) \sqrt{\frac{1 + x}{a^2 - 1}}}{\sqrt{a^2 - 1}} \right) \right)$$

$$- \sqrt{a^2 - 1} \left( \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} + 4ax - 8a\rho^2 x - 2\sqrt{1 - \rho^2} x^2 + 4(1 - 4a^2)\rho^3 \arccos x \right)$$

$$+ 2\rho \left( -4a\sqrt{1 - \rho^2} x + x \right) \sqrt{1 - x^2} + (-3 + 6a^2) \arccos x$$

$$+ 4\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}(1 - \rho^2 + a^2(-1 + 4\rho^2)) \log \left( a + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2 x + \rho \sqrt{1 - x^2}} \right) \right).$$

We then have

$$P^G \approx \frac{1}{F^H \sigma_{c}^{3/2} \sqrt{2\pi \tau}} e^{-\frac{d(s,h)}{2\sigma_{c}^2}} + W_0 + W_{Revert} \left( 1 + \frac{4 - 5\rho^2}{24} \nu^2 \tau \right),$$

where the first-order term has been calculated thanks to the previous variations. The notations are
given in the following.

\[ \zeta = \frac{\nu f^{1-\beta} - F^{1-\beta}}{1 - \beta} \]

\[ i = \sqrt{\zeta^2 - 2\rho \zeta + 1} \]

\[ X_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \left( \frac{f^{1-\beta}}{1 - \beta} - \rho \frac{\sigma}{\nu} \right) \]

\[ Y_0 = \frac{\sigma}{\nu} \]

\[ X_{\text{end}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \left( \frac{f^{1-\beta}}{1 - \beta} - \rho \frac{\sigma}{\nu} \right) \]

\[ Y_{\text{end}} = \frac{\sigma}{\nu} \]

\[ l = \frac{(X_{\text{end}}^2 + Y_{\text{end}}^2) - (X_0^2 + Y_0^2)}{2(X_{\text{end}} - X_0)} \]

\[ r = \frac{\sqrt{(X_{\text{end}} - X_0)^2 + (Y_{\text{end}} - Y_0)^2}}{2} + \frac{Y_{\text{end}}^2 + Y_0^2}{2} \]

\[ a = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \rho^2}{r}} \]

\[ f(q) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{1 - q^2} + \arcsin q \right) \]

\[ W_0 = \frac{-\beta}{2(1 - \beta)\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \left( G \left( a, \rho, \frac{X_{\text{end}} - l}{r} \right) - G \left( a, \rho, \frac{X_0 - l}{r} \right) \right) \]

\[ W_{\text{Revert}} = -\rho \lambda \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \left( f \left( \frac{X_{\text{end}} - l}{r} \right) - f \left( \frac{X_0 - l}{r} \right) \right) \]

\[ + \frac{\rho \lambda}{\sqrt{1 - \rho}} (X_{\text{end}} - X_0) + \frac{1}{2} (Y_{\text{end}}^2 - Y_0^2) - \lambda \mu (Y_{\text{end}} - Y_0) \]

\[ d(a, b) = \log \left( \frac{i + \zeta - \rho}{1 - \rho} \right) \]

4.2.3 Graphics

In the SABR case, here are the evolution of the transition probability and an example of a digital option that we got.

The 1-order expression for \( P \) seems to be relevant approximatively for \( \tau \in [0, 3] \), as shown in the following graphic: for \( \tau > 3 \), the 0-order expression is more stable: it remains approximatively a distribution function, whereas the first-order does not.
4.3 The implied volatility

4.3.1 The local volatility: first order asymptotics

Local volatility can be defined as

$$\sigma_K(T,f,\sigma)^2 dT = \mathbb{E} \left( (dF(T))^2 \mid F(T) = K \right).$$

In the case of the $\delta$-model, (1) implies that

$$\sigma_K(T,f,\sigma)^2 = C(K)^2 \mathbb{E} \left( (\sigma(T))^{2\delta} \mid F(T) = K \right).$$

If we introduce the transition function $G_{F,\Sigma}(\tau, f, \sigma)$, then a simple consideration such as $P(A \mid B) = P(A \cap B) / P(B)$ (except that we reason here with densities and zero-probability events) shows that

$$\sigma_K(\tau, f, \sigma)^2 = \frac{C(K)^2 \int_0^\infty \Sigma^{2\delta} G_{K,\Sigma}(\tau, f, \sigma) d\Sigma}{\int_0^\infty G_{K,\Sigma}(\tau, f, \sigma) d\Sigma} = C(K)^2 M_K(\tau, f, \sigma),$$

where

- $P_K(\tau, f, \sigma) = \int_0^\infty G_{K,\Sigma}(\tau, f, \sigma) d\Sigma$ is the marginal probability distribution;
- $M_K(\tau, f, \sigma) = \int_0^\infty \Sigma^2 G_{K,\Sigma}(\tau, f, \sigma) d\Sigma$ is the conditional moment of order $2\delta$.

At the leading order $P_K$ and $M_K$ have almost the same asymptotic expansion (as we can see with a saddle point method):

$$M_K^2(\tau, f, \sigma) \sim \Sigma_{\min}^{2\delta} P_K(\tau, f, \sigma),$$

with:

- $\Sigma_{\min} = \nu Y_{\min}^G$;
- $Y_{\min}^G = \nu^{\delta-1} Y_{\min}^{2\delta}$;
• $Y^H_{min}$ the point of the straight line with equation

$$x = \frac{1}{\nu^{\delta-1}\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \left( \int_0^K \frac{du}{c(u)} - \rho \nu^{\delta-1} y \right)$$

minimizing the distance to the point $\Phi(f, \sigma/\nu)$.

We can conclude with (1) and (2) that

$$\sigma_K(\tau, f, \sigma) = (\nu^\delta Y^H_{min})^\delta c(K) + o(\tau).$$

Unfortunately, we don’t have a closed form for the distance from a point to a straight line in any $\delta$-space. However, we can express $Y^H_{min}$ in terms of a new 2-arguments function.

For this, imagine the following problem. In a $\delta$-space, a rocket is thrown perpendicularly to a straight line and crashes on another one. Then we define

$$i_\delta(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{y_1}{y_2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Figure 4.2: The function } i_\delta(\theta_1, \theta_2) = y_1/y_2

The function $g$ is well-defined because if $\gamma$ is a geodesic, so is $\lambda\gamma$.

If we take $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ such as

• $\tan \theta_1 = -\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}/\rho,$

• $\tan \theta_2 = \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}/(\nu/\sigma) \int_K^f du/c(u) - \rho,$

then

$$\sigma_K(\tau, f, \sigma) = (\sigma i_\delta(\theta_1, \theta_2))^\delta c(K) + O(\tau).$$

If $\nu = 0$ (no stochastic volatility), one can check that we have the expected value

$$\sigma_K(\tau, f, \sigma) = \sigma^\delta c(K) + O(\tau).$$

### 4.3.2 From local to implied volatility

The previous calculation made it possible to transform the original stochastic volatility problem in a local volatility problem. This is the reason why we can use a formula established by P. Hagan.

**Hagan’s formula.** For a process $dF = \alpha(t)c(F)dW$, the log-normal implied volatility, at leading order in $\tau$, is given by

$$\sigma_B = a c(f_{av}) f_{av} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{c''}{c} - 2 \left( \frac{c'}{c} \right)^2 + \frac{2}{f_{av}^2} \right) (F_0 - K)^2 \right] + O(\tau)$$

with usually $f_{av} = (F_0 + K)/2$ and $a = (1/\tau) \int_0^\tau \alpha^2(t)dt^{1/2}.$

---

2PATRICK S. HAGAN AND DIANA E. WOODWARD, Equivalent Black Volatilities, Applied Mathematical Finance 6, 147-157 (1999).
We now consider that for us \( a \) can be replaced by \( \sigma_K/c(f_{av}) \). Indeed, for small times

\[
\frac{\sigma_K^2}{c(f_{av})^2} = \frac{1}{\tau c(f_{av})^2} E\left( \frac{dF_{av}^2}{F_\tau = K} \right) = \frac{1}{\tau c(f_{av})^2} \int_0^\tau E\left( \frac{dF_1^2}{F_\tau = K} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\tau c(f_{av})^2} \int_0^\tau \alpha^2(t)c(F_t)^2 dt \mid F_\tau = K
\]

\[
\frac{\sigma_K^2}{c(f_{av})^2} = a^2
\]

if \( f_{av} \) is defined by

\[
E\left( \int_0^\tau \alpha^2(t)c(F_t)^2 dt \mid F_\tau = K \right) = c(f_{av})^2 \int_0^\tau \alpha^2(t)dt.
\]

Rather than \( (f_0 + K)/2 \), one should take, for small times,

\[
f_{av} = \sqrt{\frac{K^2 - f_{av}^2}{\log\left(\frac{K}{f_{av}}\right)}}.
\]

Indeed this is the value that we got for a log-normal model \( (c(F) = F) \) (see appendix 3).

**Remark.** Pierre Henry-Labordère gave a different formula for the implied volatility smile\(^3\).

4.3.3 Smiles

The formulae above give us the exact asymptotic value of the smiles for \( \tau = 0 \):

\[
\sigma_{\delta^0}^{-2} = (\sigma_{\delta}^2(\theta_1, \theta_2))^{\delta} \frac{K^2}{f_{av}} \left( 1 + \frac{(1 - \beta)(2 + \beta)}{24} \left( \frac{K - f_{av}}{f_{av}} \right)^2 \right).
\]

with :

- \( \tan \theta_1 = -\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}/\rho \);
- \( \tan \theta_2 = \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}/(\nu/\sigma) \int_0^f du/c(u) - \rho = \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}/(\nu/\sigma) \frac{K^{1-\beta}-f^{1-\beta}}{1-\beta} - \rho \);
- \( f_{av} = \sqrt{\frac{K^2 - f_{av}^2}{\log\left(\frac{K}{f_{av}}\right)}}. \)

For different values of \( \delta \), we get for instance the following smiles (Fig 3.4).

With all the previous results, one also can compute a first-order (in \( \tau \)) approximation of the smiles, which gives for example the following evolution (Fig 3.5).

\(^3\)Pierre Henry-Labordère, *A General Asymptotic Implied Volatility for Stochastic Volatility Models*, preprint.
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Figure 4.3: Smiles from $\delta = 0.5$ (down) to $\delta = 0.7$ (up).

Figure 4.4: First-order approximation for the evolution of a smile (SABR case).
Appendix 1: conditions for non-explosion of the volatility

Let us first remind Feller’s Test for Explosions. We consider a process
\[ dX_t = a(X_t)dt + b(X_t)dW_t \]
on a segment \( I = [l, r[ \) of \( \mathbb{R} \). We suppose that the functions \( a \) and \( b \) are Borel-measurable and follow the conditions of non-degeneracy and local integrability:

- \( (\forall x \in I)(b^2(x) > 0) \);
- \( (\forall x \in I)(\exists \epsilon > 0)(\int_{x-\epsilon}^{x+\epsilon} 1 + \frac{|a(y)|}{b^2(y)}dy < +\infty) \);

The scale function is defined, for a constant \( c \in I \), as
\[ p(x) = \int_c^x e^{-2 \int_c^\xi \frac{a(\zeta)}{b^2(\zeta)}d\zeta} d\xi. \]

One can then define the function
\[ v(x) = \int_c^x p'(y) \int_c^y \frac{2dz}{p'(z)b^2(z)}dy. \]

The exit time from \( I \) is
\[ S = \inf \{ t \geq 0 \mid X_t \notin I \}. \]

We now can write the theorems we need\(^1\).

**Proposition 1.** If a process \( X_t \) follows the conditions above, with \( X_0 = x \in I \), then
- if \( p(l^+) = -\infty \) and \( p(r^-) = +\infty \) then \( P[S = \infty] = P[\sup_{0 \leq t < +\infty} X_t = r] = P[\inf_{0 \leq t < +\infty} X_t = l] = 1 \) : the process is recurrent;
- if \( p(l^+) > -\infty \) and \( p(r^-) = +\infty \) then \( P[\sup_{0 \leq t < +\infty} X_t < r] = P[\lim_{t\to S} X_t = l] = 1; \)
- if \( p(l^+) = -\infty \) and \( p(r^-) < +\infty \) then \( P[\sup_{0 \leq t < +\infty} X_t > l] = P[\lim_{t\to S} X_t = r] = 1; \)
- if \( p(l^+) > -\infty \) and \( p(r^-) < +\infty \) then \( P[\lim_{t\to S} X_t = l] = 1 - P[\lim_{t\to S} X_t = r] = \frac{p(r^-) - p(x)}{p(r^-) - p(l^+)}; \)

**Proposition 2: Feller’s Test for Explosions.** If a process \( X_t \) follows the conditions above, with \( X_0 \in I \), then
\[ P[S = +\infty] = 1 \iff v(l^+) = v(r^-) = +\infty. \]

\(^1\)For a demonstration, the reader can read Ioannis Karatzas, Steven E. Shreve, *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*, Springer.
The case that interests us is

\[
\begin{align*}
    a(x) &= \lambda(\mu - x) \\
    b(x) &= \nu x^\delta
\end{align*}
\]

with \( \nu > 0 \) and \( \delta, \lambda, \mu \geq 0 \). We will apply Feller’s test successively for \( \delta \neq 1 \) and \( \delta \neq 1/2 \), then \( \delta = 1 \) and \( \delta = 1/2 \).

### 5.1 If \( \delta \neq 1 \) and \( \delta \neq 1/2 \)

We have

\[
p(x) = \int e^{-2f_c}\frac{\lambda(\mu - \xi)\xi}{\nu^2c^2(1-c^2)} d\xi,
\]

\[
p'(x) = e^{-2f_c}\frac{\lambda(\mu - \xi)\xi}{\nu^2c^2(1-c^2)}
= e^{\nu^2c^2(1-c^2)}(x^{1-2\delta} - x^{1-2\delta}) + \frac{\lambda}{\nu^2c^2(1-c^2)}(x^{2-2\delta} - x^{2-2\delta}).
\]

We then have

\[\nu^{2\delta}v(x) = \int e^{-2f_c}\frac{\lambda(\mu - \xi)\xi}{\nu^2c^2(1-c^2)} dy \int e^{\nu^2c^2(1-c^2)}(x^{1-2\delta} - x^{1-2\delta}) + \frac{\lambda}{\nu^2c^2(1-c^2)}(x^{2-2\delta} - x^{2-2\delta}) dy.
\]

We will look for equivalents of \( p \) or \( f(y) \) in the following cases, to apply the proposition 1 or 2.

**If \( \delta < 1/2 \)**

In this case, one can write

\[f(y) \sim \int e^{\nu^2c^2(1-c^2)}(x^{1-2\delta} - x^{1-2\delta}) + \frac{\lambda}{\nu^2c^2(1-c^2)}(x^{2-2\delta} - x^{2-2\delta}) dy \rightarrow \text{cst}
\]

because \( \frac{2}{y^{2\delta}}e^{\nu^2c^2(1-c^2)}(x^{1-2\delta} - x^{1-2\delta}) + \frac{\lambda}{\nu^2c^2(1-c^2)}(x^{2-2\delta} - x^{2-2\delta}) \sim \frac{2}{y^{2\delta}} \), which is integrable in 0. So we have \( v(0^+) < +\infty \) : by proposition 2 \( P[S < +\infty] > 0 \).

**If \( \delta > 1 \)**

An analogous calculation as above gives \( v(0^+) = v(+\infty) = +\infty \), so there is no explosion in a finite time. However, if \( \lambda = 0 \) (no mean reversion) we have \( p(+\infty) < +\infty \). If \( \lambda \neq 0 \), \( p(0^+) = -\infty \) and \( p(+\infty) = +\infty \), so by proposition 1 the process is recurrent.

**If \( 1/2 < \delta < 1 \)**

We now have

\[f(y) \sim e^{-2\lambda_1} e^{\nu^2c^2(1-c^2)}(x^{1-2\delta} - x^{1-2\delta}) \times \text{cst} \geq \text{cst}
\]

for \( y \) large enough. So \( v(+\infty) = +\infty \). In \( 0^+ \), we have, if \( \lambda > 0 \),

\[f(y) \sim e^{-2\lambda_1} e^{\nu^2c^2(1-c^2)}(x^{1-2\delta}) \times \text{cst}
\]

So, in this case \( v(0^+) = +\infty \) : \( P[S < +\infty] = 0 \). If \( \lambda = 0 \), then

\[f(y) \sim \frac{1}{2\delta - 1} y^{2\delta - 1},
\]
5.2. IF \(\delta = 1\) OR \(\delta = 1/2\)

which is integrable in \(0^+\). So, in this case, \(P[S < +\infty] > 0\).

Moreover, one can check that for \(\lambda > 0\), we are in the first case of proposition 1, so the process is recurrent.

5.2 If \(\delta = 1\) or \(\delta = 1/2\)

Simple calculations (where logarithms appear) show that, for these limit cases:

- for \(\delta = 1\) there is no explosion and the process is recurrent;
- for \(\delta = 1/2\) there is no explosion if and only if \(2\lambda\mu/\nu^2 > 1\); in such a case the process is recurrent.

5.3 Summary

The cases for which there is no explosion and/or limit for the process are:

- \(\delta > 1/2\) with mean reversion;
- \(\delta = 1/2\) with \(2\lambda\mu/\nu^2 > 1\).

From a practical point of view, we consider in our note the case \(\delta \in [1/2, 1]\) with no condition on \(\lambda, \mu\) and \(\nu\), because our asymptotics are given for short times: the cases of explosions in the interval \([1/2, 1]\) would be very pathological.
Appendix 2 : δ-geometry

In this part, we recall some useful results of Riemannian geometry, and we apply it to the special case of the upper half plane (y > 0) with the metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{y^{2\delta}},$$

with $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$. We will be particularly interested in the cases $\delta = 1$ and $\delta = 1/2$.

6.1 Generalities about Riemannian spaces

In this section, we note $(g_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ the coefficients of a metric $G$, and we will use Einstein’s summation conventions.

6.1.1 Christoffel symbols

The Christoffel symbols are defined as

$$\Gamma^k_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} g^{kn} (\partial_i g_{nj} + \partial_j g_{ni} - \partial_n g_{ij}).$$

6.1.2 Curvatures

The Riemann tensor, the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature are successively defined by

$$\begin{cases} R^\alpha_{\beta\gamma\delta} = \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\delta,\gamma} - \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma,\delta} + \Gamma^\mu_{\beta\delta} \Gamma^\gamma_{\mu\gamma} - \Gamma^\mu_{\beta\gamma} \Gamma^\gamma_{\mu\delta} \\ r_{\mu,\kappa} = R_{\mu,\lambda\kappa} \\ R = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\kappa} R_{\mu,\kappa} \end{cases},$$

where « , » denotes the partial derivative face to $\gamma$. The Gauss curvature is defined in dimension 2 by

$$(R_{s,t}u) \cdot v = -R \text{ vol}(s,t)\text{vol}(u,v),$$

that is to say, for $e_1$ and $e_2$ orthogonal vectors with norm 1,

$$R = (R_{e_1,e_2} e_2) \cdot e_1 = R_{12}^{21}.$$

It coincides with the scalar curvature, in dimension 2.

In dimension 2, one can show that

$$R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \sqrt{g} \Gamma^y_{xx} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \sqrt{g} \Gamma^y_{xy} \right) \right],$$

with $g$ the determinant of $g$. 
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6.1.3 Isometries

Let \( \Phi \) be a function from \( G \) to \( H \), with respective metrics \( G \) and \( H \). Then \( \Phi \) is said to be an isometry iff for every \( a \) and \( b \) in \( G \)

\[
d(a, b)_G = d(\Phi(a), \Phi(b))_H.
\]

This global definition is equivalent to the following local condition: for every point \( Z \in G \),

\[
G_Z = (\nabla \Phi)_Z^\top H_{\Phi(Z)} \nabla \Phi_Z.
\]

6.1.4 Geodesics

Minimization of length

The length of a curve \( c(t) \) in a Riemannian space \( G \), between \( a \) and \( b \), is defined by the integral

\[
d(a, b) = \int \sqrt{\langle c(t), c(t) \rangle_G} dt,
\]

which is clearly not dependant of the parametrization.

For sufficiently regular spaces (for instance spaces with scalar curvature always negative, as in \( \delta \)-geometry), there exists an unique curve \( \gamma(t) \) minimizing the length between \( a \) and \( b \) along \( \gamma \). This length, \( l(a, b) \), is the geodesic distance between \( a \) and \( b \). A non-trivial property is that this length coincides with the minimal energy between \( a \) and \( b \), that is to say the minimum along all ways of

\[
\int \sqrt{\langle c(t), c(t) \rangle_G} dt,
\]

under the normalization condition \( |\dot{c}(t)|_G = 1 \).

The parametric equation

Let \( c(\tau) = [x(\tau), y(\tau)] \) be the position of a point at time \( \tau \). Then an application of the Euler’s perturbation formula gives

\[
\nabla \dot{c} = 0.
\]

In a coordinates system \( (x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \), this can be written, for all \( k \in [1, n] \)

\[
\dot{x}^k + \Gamma^k_{jl} x^j \dot{x}^l = 0.
\]

6.1.5 Killing fields

A field that preserves the metric is said to be a Killing field. In terms of the Lie derivative, this means that

\[
\mathcal{L}_Y g = 0,
\]

so for all fields \( Y \) and \( Z \)

\[
g(\nabla_Y X, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_Z X) = 0.
\]

In particular, if the metric is invariant along the direction \( x_i \), then \( \partial_{x_i} \) is a killing field. The previous equation then implies, for a way \( \gamma(t) \), \( g(\nabla_{\gamma(t)} \partial_{x_i}, \gamma(t)) = 0 \). If \( \gamma \) is a geodesic, we then have

\[
\partial_t g(\partial_{x_i}, \gamma(t)) = g(\nabla_{\gamma(t)} \partial_{x_i}, \gamma(t)) + g(\partial_{x_i}, \nabla_{\gamma(t)} \gamma(t)) = 0 + 0
\]

\[
\partial_t g(\partial_{x_i}, \gamma(t)) = 0.
\]
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So, for a given geodesic, one can associate to any Killing field an invariant quantity along the geodesic:

\[ g(\partial_x, \gamma(t)) = \text{cst.} \]

6.1.6 Jacobi Fields

A field \( J(t) \) along a geodesic \( \gamma(t) \) is said to be a Jacobi Field if and only if

\[ \nabla^2 \gamma J(t) + R(J(t), \gamma)\gamma = 0, \]

where \( R \) is the Riemann tensor. This abstract definition becomes more intuitive thanks to the following proposition (see Lang\(^1\) for a demonstration).

**Proposition.** Let \( \gamma : [a,b] \times [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{G} \) be a variation of a geodesic \( \gamma(t) \) such as for every \( s \in [a,b] \) \( \gamma(s,.) \) is a geodesic. Then

\[ J(t) = \partial_s \gamma(s,t)|_{s=0} \]

is a Jacobi Field. Furthermore, to every Jacobi Field along \( \gamma \) one can associate such a variation.

6.1.7 The Laplace Beltrami operator

The traditional Laplacian is defined as \( \Delta(f) = \sum \partial_{\mu} f \). In the euclidean case, this allows to write the relation \( \int \phi \Delta f dv_{\text{eucl}} = -\int \nabla \phi \nabla f dv_{\text{eucl}} \) for any sufficiently regular function \( \phi \) with compact support.

For any Riemannian space \( \mathbb{M} \), with dimension \( n \) and metric \( M \), we want to find an expression of the Laplacian that verifies Stoke’s theorem, that is to say

\[ \int \varphi \Delta^M f dv^M = -\int <\nabla \varphi, \nabla f>_M dv^M, \]

where \( dv^M \) is the volume element of the Riemannian space (we know\(^2\) that it is given by \( dv^M = \sqrt{\det M} \ dx^1 \ldots dx^n \).) Let \( m \) be the determinant of the metric \( M \). As we have (we use Einstein’s summation convention)

\[ \int <\nabla \varphi, \nabla f>_M dv_M = \int <\nabla \varphi, \nabla f>_M \sqrt{m} \ dx^1 \ldots dx^n = \int g^{ij} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \sqrt{m} \ dx^1 \ldots dx^n \]

it is necessary and sufficient to define the Laplace Beltrami operator as

\[ \Delta^M = m^{-1/2} \partial_\mu \left( m^{1/2} m^{\mu \nu} \partial_\nu \right). \]

---

\(^1\)Serge Lang, *Fundamentals of Differential Geometry*, Springer.  
\(^2\)Jürgen Jost, *Riemannian Geometry and Geometric Analysis*, Springer Universitext, 2002.
One of the advantages of this intrinsic formulation of the Laplacian is that it is invariant by isometry. More precisely, if $\Phi$ is an isometry between two spaces $G$ and $H$, then for every function $f$ from $H$ to $\mathbb{R}$ this diagram is commutative:

$$\Delta^G(f \circ \Phi) = \Delta^H(f) \circ \Phi.$$

Indeed, as an isometry conserves the scalar product (so the volume element, too), we have for every sufficiently regular function $\varphi$ from $G$ to $\mathbb{R}$ with compact support,

$$\int \Delta^G(f \circ \Phi) \varphi \, dv^G = \int < \nabla(f \circ \Phi), \nabla \varphi >_G \, dv^G$$

$$= \int < \nabla f, \nabla (\varphi \circ \Phi^{-1}) >_H \, dv^H$$

$$= \int \Delta^H(f) \varphi \circ \Phi^{-1} \, dv^H$$

$$\int \Delta^G(f \circ \Phi) \varphi \, dv^G = \int \Delta^H(f) \circ \Phi \varphi \, dv^G$$

As $\varphi$ is any sufficiently regular function with compact support, this gives the commutation relationship.

### 6.2 A special case: the $\delta$-geometry

The $\delta$-geometry is defined on the upper half plane ($y > 0$) with the metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{y^{2\delta}},$$

with $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$. We will represent it with the matrices

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{y^{2\delta}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{y^{2\delta}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad G^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} y^{2\delta} & 0 \\ 0 & y^{2\delta} \end{bmatrix}.$$

#### 6.2.1 The geodesics

After some calculation, the only Christoffel symbols that are not equal to 0 are:

- $\Gamma^x_{xx} = \Gamma^x_{xy} = 1/2y^{2\delta}(\partial_y g_{xx}) = -\delta/y$;
- $\Gamma^y_{yy} = 1/2y^{\delta}(\partial_y g_{yy}) = -\delta/y$;
- $\Gamma^x_{xx} = 1/2y^{\delta}(\partial_y g_{xx}) = \delta/y$.

**Parametric equations**

The parametric equation for geodesics is here

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{x} = \frac{2\delta}{y} \dot{y} \\ \ddot{y} = \frac{\delta}{y}(y - \dot{x}) \end{cases}.$$
Another (equivalent but more practical) differential system is the following,

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{x^2 + y^2}{y^{2\delta}} &= \text{cte1} \\
\frac{y}{y^{2\delta}} &= \text{cte2}
\end{align*}
\]

This system needs some explanation:
- the first equation expresses that \(g(\gamma, \gamma)\) is constant;
- the second one expresses that \(\partial/\partial x\) is a killing field: the metric coefficients do not depend on \(x\).

With these equations we can get the graph of these geodesics, leaving from \([0, 1]\) with a speed \([1, 0]\) and for \(\tau \in [0, 100]\) (Fig 5.1 and 5.2).

**Explicit equation**

Eliminating \(dt\) in the second system of the previous paragraph, we get (imposing a maximal possible \(y\) equal to 1)

\[
dx = \frac{y^{\delta} \, dy}{\sqrt{1 - y^{2\delta}}}
\]

An integration gives the following expression for \(x(y)\), imposing \(x(0) = 0\):

Figure 6.1: \(\delta = 1\)

Figure 6.2: \(\delta = 1/2\)
\[ x(y) = \frac{y^{\delta+1}}{\delta+1} \text{Hypergeometric2F}\left(\frac{\delta+1}{2\delta}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2d}, y^{2\delta}\right). \]

We get the following graphic for different values of \( \delta \) (Fig 5.3).

![Figure 6.3: \( \delta \in [0.3, 2] \)](image)

An interesting point is that for \( \delta = 1/n \) \((n \in \mathbb{N})\), Mathematica always finds an explicit formula.

| \( \delta \) | Explicit formulae for \( x(y) \) |
|---|---|
| 1 | \( 1 - \sqrt{1 - y^2} \) |
| 1/2 | \( \arcsin\sqrt{y} - \sqrt{y - y^2} \) |
| 1/3 | \( 2 - 2\sqrt{1 - y^{3/2}} - \sqrt{y^{4/3} - y^2} \) |
| 1/4 | \( \frac{1}{4} \left( 3\arcsin y^{1/4} - \sqrt{1 - \sqrt{y(3 + 2\sqrt{y})}y^{1/4}} \right) \) |
6.2.2 Curvatures

For our metric, the only non-zero curvatures are

\[
\begin{align*}
R_{xyxy} &= -\frac{2}{y^2}, \\
R_{yyxy} &= \frac{\gamma}{y^2}, \\
R_{yxyx} &= \delta y^2, \\
R_{yxyx} &= -\frac{\gamma}{y^2},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
r_{xx} &= -\frac{\delta}{y^2}, \\
r_{yy} &= -\frac{\delta}{y^2},
\end{align*}
\]

\[R = y^{2\delta} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \delta y^{2\delta - 2} = -\delta y^{2\delta - 2}.\]

6.2.3 Homogeneity

Notice first that if \( \gamma(t)_{t_0 \leq t \leq t_1} \) is a line of the upper half plane (not necessarily a geodesic), then one can easily know the length of \( \lambda \gamma \) (\( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \)):

\[
l(\lambda \gamma) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \sqrt{g(\lambda \gamma, \lambda \gamma)} dt = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda^2 x^2 + \lambda^2 y^2}{(\lambda y)^{2\delta}}} dt = \lambda^{1-\delta} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \sqrt{\frac{x^2 + y^2}{(y)^{2\delta}}} dt = \lambda^{1-\delta} l(\gamma)
\]

Another important homogeneity property is that if \( \gamma \) is a geodesic, so is \( \lambda \gamma \): replacing \( x \) and \( y \) in the parametric equations defining the geodesics by \( \lambda x \) and \( \lambda y \) keeps the equalities true.

6.2.4 Distance from a point to a straight line

For our metric, one can easily check that vertical lines are geodesics, so the distance from a point to a vertical is gotten when the geodesic is perpendicular to the vertical axis.

So the homogeneity properties make it possible to calculate this length.
We have a point \( M = (X, Y) \). If the smallest geodesic is a «reference» geodesic then one can calculate the abscissa \( x \) of the intersection of on the schema. Then
\[
l(M, \Delta) = \left( \frac{X}{x} \right)^{\delta - 1} l(x, \Delta).
\]

6.3 The first-order expansion at the origin.

To give \( K_1 \) at the origin \((x_0, y_0)\), we need to evaluate the terms in (2.4). In this equation \( g \) can be replaced by \( e^W \sqrt{\frac{d}{Z(d)}} = e^W h \). First notice that \((e^W)_{(x_0, y_0)} = 1\). Moreover, from the differential equation in the corollary 1, we have
\[
Z(d) = d - \frac{R(x_0, y_0)}{3!} d^3 + O(d^4)
\]
so
\[
h = \sqrt{\frac{d}{Z(d)}} = \sqrt{\frac{d - \frac{R(x_0, y_0)}{3!} d^3 + O(d^4)}} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{R(x_0, y_0)}{3!} y_0^2 + O(d^3)
\]
(2.1)
for near points \((x_0, y_0)\) and \((x_0 + x, y_0 + y)\). We also need an expansion of \( W \) up to the third order. This is quite technical but necessary. We consider the points \( a = (x_0, y_0) \) and \( b = (x_0 + x, y_0 + y) \), and we note \( W(a, b) = W(x, y) \). Then a classical Taylor expansion gives
\[
W(x, y) = W_0 + (\partial_x W)_0 x + (\partial_y W)_0 y + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{xx} W)_0 x^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{yy} W)_0 y^2 + (\partial_{xy} W)_0 xy + O(d^3).
\]

The evaluation of the partial derivatives with respect to \( y \) is straightforward, because we know that the vertical lines are geodesics for the \( \delta \)-space (cf appendix 2). More precisely,
\[
W(0, y) = y \int_0^1 \frac{f(y)}{(y + ty)^2} dt,
\]
so
\[
(\partial_y W)_0 = \frac{f(y)}{y_0^2}
\]
and
\[
(\partial_{yy} W)_0 = \frac{1}{y_0^2} \left( (\partial_y f)_0 - 2 \frac{(f_y)_0}{y_0} \right).
\]

The evaluation of the partial derivatives with respect to \( x \) is more complicated, because the geodesic between \((x_0, y_0)\) and \((x_0 + x, y_0)\) is not a straight line anymore. Anyway, we will show that the calculation is the same as if it was a straight line indeed; to do so, we will use the symmetry with respect to the line of points with abscisse \( x_0 + x/2 \) (cf appendix 2).
A simple look at the geodesics in δ-geometry shows that the following schema is true: if $x$ is the length of the straight line, then the length of the geodesic is $O(x)$ and the height is $O(x^2)$.

Let us $W_1$ (resp $W_2$) be the work of the field $f$ along the geodesic (resp the straight line). We now show that

$$W_1 = W_2 + O(x^3).$$

The key point is that the geodesic has a symmetry axis, which implies $\int_0^x \tan \theta \, dl_2 = 0$. This allows us to write

$$W_1 - W_2 = \int_{O(x^2)} (\overrightarrow{f_1} - \overrightarrow{f_2}) \cdot \overrightarrow{d l_1} + \int_{O(x)} \overrightarrow{f_2} \cdot (\overrightarrow{d l_1} - \overrightarrow{d l_2})
= O(x^3) + \int \left[ (f^y_2)_0 + (\partial_x f^y_2)_0 l_2 + O(l^2_2) \right] \tan \theta \, dl_2
= O(x^3) + \int \left( (\partial_x f^y_2)_0 \frac{l_1}{O(x)} \tan \theta \right) \, dl_2
= O(x^3)

Thus we have

$$(\partial_{xx} W_1)_0 = (\partial_{xx} W_2)_0,$$

which can be easily calculated, like for the partial derivatives with respect to $y$. We finally get

$$(\partial_{xx} W_1)_0 = \frac{1}{y_0^3} (\partial_x f_x)_0.$$

We now can evaluate (2.4). We have $h(x_0, y_0) = 1$, so the equation (2.4) at the point $(x_0, y_0)$ becomes

$$(K_1)_0 = \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta^g + f \right) g \right]_0,$$

that is to say, for $g = e^W h$,

$$\begin{align*}
(K_1)_0 & = \frac{1}{2} y_0^2 \Delta(e^W h)_0 + f(e^W h)_0 \\
& = \frac{1}{2} y_0^2 \left[ e^W \Delta + 2 \nabla e^W \cdot \nabla h + h \Delta e^W h \right]_0 + \left[ e^W f h + h f e^W \right]_0 \\
(K_1)_0 & = \frac{1}{2} y_0^2 \left[ \begin{array}{c}
(\Delta h)_0 + 2(\nabla e^W)_0 \cdot (\nabla h)_0 + (\nabla W)_0 \cdot (\nabla h)_0 + (\Delta W)_0 + (f h)_0 + (f W)_0 \\
(1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6)
\end{array} \right]
\end{align*}$$
We now have to evaluate each term:

- from (2.5) one can easily conclude that \( (1) = R(x_0, y_0)/(3y_0^{2\delta}) \) and \( (2) = (5) = 0 \);
- the first-order approximation for \( W \) gives \( (3) = |\overline{f_0}|^2/y_0^{4\delta} \) and \( (6) = |\overline{f_0}|^2/y_0^{2\delta} \);
- the second-order evaluation of \( W \) gives

\[
(4) = \frac{1}{y_0^{2\delta}} \left( (\text{div} f_0) - 2 \left( \frac{f_y}{y_0} \right) \right).
\]

We then finally have

\[
(K_1)_0 = -\frac{\delta y_0^{2\delta-2}}{6} + \frac{(\text{div} f_0)}{2} - \frac{(f_y)_0}{y_0} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{|f_0|^2}{y_0^{2\delta}}.
\]

### 6.4 Two new functions

Thanks to the above homogeneity properties, we only need to calculate the distances and the Jacobi fields on the standard geodesic (symmetric with respect to the ordinates axis and \( y[0] = 1 \)). Here is the natural way to do all the necessary calculations on the standard geodesic:

- the function \( \text{Sind} \) gives the position that minimizes the distance from a point to a line;
- the distance function is specially easy to compute on the standard geodesic: we have a formula with only the coordinates of the end points;
- then, to compute the Jacobi fields, we use the fact that it is a 2-dimensional linear space.

#### 6.4.1 The function \( \text{sind} \)

We write \( x(y) \) the function such as

\[
\begin{cases}
  x(1) &= 0 \\
  \frac{dx}{dy} &= \frac{-y^{2\delta}}{\sqrt{1-y^{2\delta}}}.
\end{cases}
\]

**Geometric definition of function \( \text{sind} \)**

The intuitive definition of function \( \text{sind} \) is given by the following drawing.

![Figure 6.4: The geometric view of function \( \text{sind} \)](image-url)
6.4. TWO NEW FUNCTIONS

Analytical definition of function sind

For \( x > 0 \), we have

\[
\frac{dx}{dy} = \frac{-y^2}{\sqrt{1 - y^{2\delta}}},
\]

so

\[ y_1 = (\sin \theta_1)^{\frac{1}{\delta}}. \]

A simple calculation then gives

\[ e = \begin{cases} 
\text{if } 0 < \theta_1 \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, & x(y_1) - \frac{y_1}{\tan \theta_1} \\
\text{if } \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta_1 < \pi, & -x(y_1) - \frac{y_1}{\tan \theta_1}
\end{cases}. \]

Then the function \( \text{sind}(\delta, \theta_1, \theta_2) \) is the unique solution of the following equation:

\[
\begin{cases}
\text{if } e + \frac{1}{\tan \theta_2} \geq 0, & e + \frac{\text{sind}}{\tan \theta_2} = x(\text{sind}) \\
\text{if } e + \frac{1}{\tan \theta_2} \leq 0, & e + \frac{\text{sind}}{\tan \theta_2} = -x(\text{sind})
\end{cases}.
\]

Differential properties of function sind

The equation (1) defines sind in an implicit way. One can now get the differentials of sind, differentiating (1). The equations should be different in 4 zones of \([0, \pi] \times [0, \pi]\), but we just have 2 such zones in reality.

\[
\begin{cases}
\text{if } e + \frac{1}{\tan \theta_2} \geq 0, & \left(\frac{\text{sind}^4}{1 - \text{sind}^{2\delta}} + \frac{1}{\tan \theta_2}\right) \partial_{\theta_1} \text{sind} = (\frac{1}{\delta} - 1) (\sin \theta_1)^{\frac{1}{\delta} - 2} \\
\text{if } e + \frac{1}{\tan \theta_2} \leq 0, & \left(-\frac{\text{sind}^4}{1 - \text{sind}^{2\delta}} + \frac{1}{\tan \theta_2}\right) \partial_{\theta_1} \text{sind} = (\frac{1}{\delta} - 1) (\sin \theta_1)^{\frac{1}{\delta} - 2}
\end{cases}
\]

Examples of function sind

One can check that for \( \delta = 1 \) (geodesics are circles) the sind(\( \theta_1, \theta_2 \) = \sin(\( \theta_1 \)/\( \sin(\theta_2) \)).

The undefined values appearing on the frontier above is due to the difficulties Mathematica has to solve the equation (2.1) for

\[ e + \frac{1}{\tan \theta_2} = 0. \]

6.4.2 The distance

Thanks to the homogeneity properties, we only need to know the distance between the point (0,1) and any other point of the standard geodesic. This distance is exactly

\[
d((0, 1) \to (x_1, y_1)) = \int \sqrt{\frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{y^{2\delta}}} \\
= \int_{y_1}^1 \frac{dy}{\sqrt{y^{2\delta}(1 - y^{2\delta})}} \\
d((0, 1) \to (x_1, y_1)) = \frac{x_1 - y_1^{1-\delta} \sqrt{1 - y_1^{2\delta}}}{1 - \delta}.
\]
thanks to links between hypergeometric functions.

6.4.3 The Jacobi field

The equation giving the Jacobi field on any geodesic is linear, so it is sufficient to evaluate it on the standard geodesic. In order to evaluate the Jacobi field on the standard geodesic with any initial point and initial increase, we just need to calculate two specific geodesics and then use linear combinations. The most natural initial conditions are:

- \( Z(0, 1) = 0 \) and \( \dot{Z}(0, 1) = 1 \);
- \( Z(0, 1) = 1 \) and \( \dot{Z}(0, 1) = 0 \);

The differential equation giving the evolution of a Jacobi field (we just need to know its length because it remains orthogonal to the geodesic) is

\[
\ddot{Z} + K \ Z = 0
\]

\[\iff \quad \ddot{Z} - \delta y^{2\delta-2} Z = 0.\]
For $\delta = 1$, the equation above has the evident solution $Z(d) = A \cosh d + B \sinh d$. For $\delta \neq 1$, we need to reformulate the equation above in terms of the coordinate $y$. We get

$$(1 - y^{2\delta})\partial_{yy}Z + \delta \frac{1 - 2y^{2\delta}}{y}\partial_yZ - \frac{\delta}{y^2}Z = 0.$$ 

The problem is that this equation is not lipschitzian for $y = 1$. This is the reason why, to get a great approximation of the solution, we use the initial conditions

$$\begin{cases}
Z_1[1 - \varepsilon] = 0 \\
Z_2[1 - \varepsilon] = 1
\end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases}
\dot{Z}_1[1 - \varepsilon] = 1 \\
\dot{Z}_2[1 - \varepsilon] = 0
\end{cases}$$

with $\varepsilon$ small enough (typically $\varepsilon = 1/100$). If we take the $x$-coordinates, we then just need to take:

- if $x > 0$, $Z_1[x] := Z_1[y[x]]$, if $x < 0$, $Z_1[x] := -Z_1[y[x]]$;
- if $x > 0$, $Z_2[x] := Z_2[y[x]]$, if $x < 0$, $Z_2[x] := Z_2[y[x]]$.

Then, linear combinations of $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ give any Jacobi Field.
6.5 Variation formulas for the $\delta$-model

The reader who does not know anything about the following variation calculations may read the famous books by Milnor\(^3\) or Lang\(^4\).

6.5.1 The first variation formula: minimization implies orthogonality

We consider sufficient conditions of regularity (uniqueness of the geodesic between two points etc). Then the distance from a point $Z_0$ to a line is minimal in a point $Z_{\text{min}}$ (with vector along the geodesic $\gamma(Z_{\text{min}})$ and along the line directed by $v(Z_{\text{min}})$) if and only if

$$<\dot{\gamma}(Z_{\text{min}}), v(Z_{\text{min}})>_{\mathbb{H}} = 0.$$  

As $\mathbb{H}$ is locally euclidean, this is equivalent to the usual orthogonality. Let us justify this relationship. For a variation $\gamma(s, t)$ (where $t$ represents the proper time, that is to say the length), if $\gamma(s, \cdot)$ is a geodesic for every $s$, then the length and the energy are equal, that is to say

$$L = E.$$  

This is a simple consequence of the definition of the proper time: $<\partial_t \gamma(s, t), \partial_t \gamma(s, t)>_{\mathbb{H}} = 1$. We then can write

$$\partial_s d(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int \sqrt{<\partial_s \gamma(s, t), \partial_s \gamma(s, t)>_{\mathbb{H}}} dt = \frac{1}{2} \int <\partial_t \gamma(s, t), \partial_t \gamma(s, t)>_{\mathbb{H}} dt.$$  

The calculation above requires some explanation:

- (2.1): we use the well-known relation $\partial_s <u, v> = <\nabla_{\partial_s} u, v> + <u, \nabla_{\partial_s} v>$;
- (2.2): the connection $\nabla$ is torsion-free;

\(^3\)J. Milnor, Morse Theory, Annals of mathematics studies, Princeton university press.

\(^4\)Serge Lang, Fundamentals of Differential Geometry, Springer.
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- (2.3) : we also use the relationship $\partial_x < u, v > = < \nabla_{\partial_x} u, v > + < u, \nabla_{\partial_x} v >$

- (2.4) : $\gamma$ is a geodesic for every $s$, so $\nabla_{\partial_t} \partial_t \gamma(s, t) = 0$

- (2.5) : the initial point does not move with the variation, so $\partial_s \gamma(s, t)|_{t=0} = 0$

6.5.2 Second variation for any strike

In the following, we will have to calculate partial derivatives with respect to $s$. We will write $\eta = \partial_s$. We will then often use the following expression for the connection with direction $\eta$,

$$\nabla_\eta u = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_x u_x \\ \partial_x u_y \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma^x_{xy} \partial_x u_y + \Gamma^x_{yx} \partial_y u_x \\ \Gamma^y_{yx} \partial_x u_x + \Gamma^y_{xy} \partial_y u_y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_x u_x \\ \partial_x u_y \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\delta}{y} \begin{bmatrix} -\cos \theta_1 u_y - \sin \theta_1 u_x \\ \cos \theta_1 u_x - \sin \theta_1 u_y \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.8)$$

where $\theta_1$ is the angle between the straight line and the abscises axis.

To calculate the second derivative, we derive it from the expression (2.5),

$$\partial_{ss} d(s) = \partial_s < \partial_s \gamma(s, t), \partial_t \gamma(s, t) >_{\mathbb{H}} |_{t=d}$$

$$= < \nabla_{\partial_s} \partial_s \gamma(s, t), \partial_t \gamma(s, t) >_{\mathbb{H}} |_{t=d} + < \partial_s \gamma(s, t), \nabla_{\partial_s} \partial_t \gamma(s, t) >_{\mathbb{H}} |_{t=d}$$

$$< \nabla_{\partial_s} \partial_s \gamma(s, t), \partial_t \gamma(s, t) >_{\mathbb{H}} |_{t=d} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_t < \eta, \eta >_{\mathbb{H}} |_{t=d}$$

(2.9)

where we use that the connection is torsion-free, and the relationship of derivation of the scalar product. Let us evaluate each of both terms.

- We first have to calculate the covariant derivative

$$\nabla_\eta \eta = \frac{\delta}{y} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_1 \\ \cos^2 \theta_1 - \sin^2 \theta_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

at the point $Z_{\min}$ where $\theta_1$ is the angle between the abscises axis and $\mathbb{D}$. Moreover,

$$\dot{\gamma} = \pm y^\delta \begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta_1 \\ -\cos \theta_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where the sign + or - depends on which side the point $Z_0$ is with respect to $\mathbb{D}$. So we have

$$(1) = \pm \frac{\delta}{Y_{\min}^{\phi+1}} \cos \theta_1.$$

- As parallel transport keeps orthogonality, we have $\eta|_{s=0} = \frac{Z(t)}{Z(d)} \overrightarrow{u}$, where $\overrightarrow{u}$ is the orthogonal of the speed vector with norm 1 (no matter its direction). So we have

$$(2) = \frac{\dot{Z}(d)}{Z(d)Y_{\min}^{2\phi}}.$$

To sum up, we have

$$\frac{d^2}{dy^2} d(Y_{\min}) = \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta_1} \left( \frac{\dot{Z}(d)}{Z(d)Y_{\min}^{2\phi}} \pm \frac{\delta}{Y_{\min}^{\phi+1}} \cos \theta_1 \right),$$

where the sign depends on the following configurations.
6.5.3 Second, third and fourth variations at the money

We will also need the second, third and fourth derivatives, for \( d \to 0 \).

- we have \( \Phi'' = \dd'' \to \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta_1 Y_0^2} \):

- \( \Phi^{(3)} = \dd^{(3)} \), so we have to calculate the third derivative of the distance. Let us derive it from the formula (2.7):

\[
\partial_{s,s,s} d(s) = \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta_1} \frac{\delta_1}{Y_0^2} \left( \frac{\delta}{Y_0} \right) + 2 \frac{\delta}{Y_0^2} \frac{\delta_1}{\sin^2 \theta_1}.
\]

We have \( d \times (1) \to 0 \) and \( d \times (2) \to \eta \). The term (3) is more difficult to evaluate: it represents the variation with \( s \) of the Jacobi-field increase, which is clearly maximum for \( s = 0 \); this is the reason why the only term that remains in the calculation of (3) is the Christoffel part of the covariant derivative. A simple calculation then gives

\[
\Phi^{(3)}_{K=0} = \frac{-3\delta}{Y_0^{2\delta+1} \sin^2 \theta_1}.
\]

- Unfortunately, at this time, we don’t have properly derived a good expression for \( \Phi^{(4)} \). However, an analogy with the \( \delta = 1 \) case makes us believe that

\[
\Phi^{(4)}_{K=0} = \frac{\delta(4 + 7\delta)}{Y_0^{2\delta+2} \sin^2 \theta_1}.
\]

Anyway, this expression is exact for \( \delta = 1 \).
Appendix 3 : calculation of $f_{av}$ for the implied volatility formula

We describe hereafter the derivation of $f_{av}$ introduced in [Hagan [10]] as we need it in chapter 4.3.

We consider the process $dF = \alpha(t)FdW$ ($F(0) = f_0$), and we want to calculate the value $f_{av}$ defined by

$$E\left(\int_0^\tau \alpha^2(t)F_t^2dt \mid F_\tau = K\right) = f_{av}^2 \int_0^\tau \alpha^2(t)dt.$$ 

### 7.1 The solution of the process

We know that $F_t$ is a martingale, with explicit value

$$F_t = f_0 e^{\int_0^t \alpha(s)dW - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \alpha^2(s)ds}.$$

This is the reason why

$$E\left(\int_0^\tau \alpha^2F^2dt \mid F_\tau = K\right) = E\left(\int_0^\tau \alpha^2 f_0^2 e^{\int_0^t \alpha(s)ds} dW - \int_0^\tau \alpha^2 ds \mid f_0 e^{\int_0^\tau \alpha(s)ds} K\right)$$

$$= \int_0^\tau \alpha^2 f_0^2 e^{\int_0^\tau \alpha(s)ds} E\left(e^{\int_0^\tau \alpha(s)ds} dW \mid \int_0^\tau \alpha = c\right)$$

with $c = \ln(K/f_0) + 1/2 \int_0^\tau \alpha^2ds$. We now just need to know the conditional law of $\int_0^t \alpha dW$ with respect to $\int_0^\tau \alpha dW = c$. This is a problem that evokes the brownian bridge, as seen in next part.

### 7.2 An equivalent to the brownian bridge

**Lemma** The law of $(\int_0^t \alpha dW \mid \int_0^\tau \alpha dW = c)$ is the same as the law of

$$\int_0^t \alpha dW - \int_0^t \alpha^2 ds \int_0^\tau \alpha dW + \frac{\int_0^t \alpha^2 ds}{\int_0^\alpha^2 ds} c.$$ 

**Proof.** We follow the traditional proof of the brownian bridge.

- first of all, $\int_0^t \alpha dW$ and $\int_0^t \alpha dW - \int_0^t \alpha^2 ds \int_0^\tau \alpha dW$ are independant, because:
  
  - $(\int_0^u \alpha dW)_{u \geq 0}$ is a gaussian process, so $(\int_0^t \alpha dW, \int_0^t \alpha dW - \int_0^t \alpha^2 ds \int_0^\tau \alpha dW)$ is a gaussian vector:
\[ - \text{so its coordinates are independent if their covariance is zero; this is true (the coefficient } \frac{f'_0 \alpha^2 ds}{f_0' \alpha^2 ds} \text{ has been chosen for it); } \]

\[ \text{• let us } \varphi \text{ be a sufficiently regular and bounded function. We know that, if } U \text{ and } V \text{ are independent processes, then } \mathbb{E}(\varphi(U, V) \mid V = v) = \mathbb{E}(\varphi(U, v)). \text{ So we can write} \]

\[ \mathbb{E}\left( \varphi\left( \int_0^t \alpha dW \right) \mid \int_0^t \alpha dW = c \right) = \mathbb{E}\left( \varphi\left( \int_0^t \alpha dW - \int_0^t \frac{f'_0 \alpha^2 ds}{f_0' \alpha^2 ds} \int_0^\tau \alpha dW + \int_0^t \frac{f'_0 \alpha^2 ds}{f_0' \alpha^2 ds} \int_0^\tau \alpha dW \right) \mid \int_0^\tau \alpha dW = c \right) \]

\[ = \mathbb{E}\left( \varphi\left( \int_0^t \alpha dW - \int_0^t \frac{f'_0 \alpha^2 ds}{f_0' \alpha^2 ds} \int_0^\tau \alpha dW + \int_0^t \frac{f'_0 \alpha^2 ds}{f_0' \alpha^2 ds} \int_0^\tau \alpha dW \right) \right). \]

\[ \text{This means exactly the result of the lemma: both processes have the same law.} \]

\[ \square \]

### 7.3 Final calculation

We now can directly calculate the expectation (here \( \beta \) is the suitable function depending on \( s < t \) or \( s > t \))

\[ \mathbb{E}\left( e^{2 \int_0^t \alpha dW} \right) = \mathbb{E}\left( e^{2 \left( \int_0^t \alpha dW - \int_0^t \frac{f'_0 \alpha^2 ds}{f_0' \alpha^2 ds} \int_0^\tau \alpha dW + \int_0^t \frac{f'_0 \alpha^2 ds}{f_0' \alpha^2 ds} \int_0^\tau \alpha dW \right)} \right) \]

\[ = e^{\frac{f'_0 \alpha^2 ds}{f_0' \alpha^2 ds}} \mathbb{E}\left( e^{\int_0^\tau \beta(s) ds} \right) \]

\[ = e^{\frac{f'_0 \alpha^2 ds}{f_0' \alpha^2 ds}} e^{\frac{-2 f'_0 \alpha^2 ds}{f_0' \alpha^2 ds}} \mathbb{E}\left( e^{\int_0^\tau \beta(s) ds} \right) \]

\[ \mathbb{E}\left( e^{2 \int_0^t \alpha dW} \right) = \left( \frac{K}{f_0} \right)^{\frac{2 f'_0 \alpha^2 ds}{f_0' \alpha^2 ds} + \frac{3 f'_0 \alpha^2 ds}{f_0' \alpha^2 ds} - \frac{4 f'_0 \alpha^2 ds}{f_0' \alpha^2 ds} \int_0^\tau \frac{f'_0 \alpha^2 ds}{f_0' \alpha^2 ds} \int_0^\tau \alpha dW} \right) \]

This is how we get the final expression for \( f_{av} \):

\[ f_{av} = \sqrt{\frac{\int_0^\tau \alpha^2 ds}{f_0' \alpha^2 ds} \left( \frac{K}{f_0} \right)^{\frac{2 f'_0 \alpha^2 ds}{f_0' \alpha^2 ds} + \frac{3 f'_0 \alpha^2 ds}{f_0' \alpha^2 ds} - \frac{4 f'_0 \alpha^2 ds}{f_0' \alpha^2 ds} \int_0^\tau \frac{f'_0 \alpha^2 ds}{f_0' \alpha^2 ds} \int_0^\tau \alpha dW} \right)} \]

One can check, after tedious calculations, that

\[ f_{av} \rightarrow \left( \frac{K^2 - f_0^2}{\log \left( \frac{K}{f_0} \right)} \right) \]

If one does not want such a complicated expression, it can be approximated, for \( K \approx f_0 \), by \( (f_0 + K)/2 \).