Clinical Significance of Non-invasive Skin Autofluorescence Measurement in Patients with Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis
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\textbf{Abstract}

\textbf{Background}: Advanced glycation end products (AGE), one of the main factors causing diabetic end-organ damage, accumulate in long half-life proteins, such as skin and cartilage collagen. AGE measurement may offer additional evidence to predict diabetic vascular complications. Skin autofluorescence (SAF) is suggested as a non-invasive, quick, and reliable method to measure tissue AGE level. The aim of this study was to review and evaluate evidence on the clinical validation of SAF measurement in diabetes mellitus (DM) patients.

\textbf{Methods}: In this systematic review and meta-analysis, we searched "PubMed" (MEDLINE) and "Cochrane" databases from their inception to 10 August 2021 for observational studies concerning SAF measurement in diabetic patients. The following key terms were used in advanced searching: “Diabetes”, “Diabetes Mellitus”, “DM”, “Glycation”, “Advanced Glycation End product”, “AGE”, “skin autofluorescence”, “SAF”. Published studies that included DM patients and estimated their AGE using SAF were considered eligible for meta-analysis. Articles that were editorials, study proposals, congress posters, or case reports and were not on human subjects were excluded. We used a random-effect models for meta-analyzing the clinical validation of SAF in DM with particular emphasis on chronic diabetes complications.

\textbf{Findings}: We identified 881 records and twenty-nine records fulfilled our eligibility criteria and were included in the systematic review and meta-analysis. A statistically significant correlation was found between SAF and diabetes last HbA1c 0.21(0.13,0.28) in studies with substantial heterogeneity ($I^2=77.99$, $p<0.05$). Nevertheless, a significant positive association between SAF level and diabetic retinopathy (DR) [(OR= 1.05, 95% CI=1.03,1.08), ($I^2=63.78$, $p<0.05$)], diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) [(OR= 1.11, 95%CI=1.06,1.16), ($I^2=79.17$, $p<0.05$)], diabetic nephropathy (DNP) [(OR= 1.08, 95%CI: 1.05,1.11), ($I^2=65.36$, $p<0.05$)], and diabetic macrovascular events (D-MVE) [(OR=1.08, 95% CI=1.05,1.11) ($I^2=67.32$, p<0.05)] were found.

\textbf{Interpretation}: Our study confirmed the significance of SAF measurement as a non-invasive surrogate marker of DM micro and macrovascular complications. Skin AGE estimation may be a useful factor for the prediction and early detection of irreversible DM complications. More studies with larger populations and longer follow-up periods are required.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

\section{Introduction}

Diabetes mellitus (DM), a common chronic disease, represents a significant public health problem. The incidence of DM is increasing throughout the world. DM can cause disability and complications in many organs of the body [1]. Knowledge about the pathophysiological mechanism of DM complications is crucial to prevent their development [2].

Advanced glycation end-products (AGE) are considered as one of the main pathogenic factors responsible for DM end-organ damage [3]. AGEs are produced from non-enzymatic reactions between glucose and tissue proteins, nucleic acid, and lipids by aging [3,4]. Long-standing hyperglycemia increases the rate of AGE accumulation in
Research in context

Evidence before this study

Several studies have informed the usefulness of SAF in screening DM, predicting DM microvascular and macrovascular long-term complications. This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to review and assess the clinical validation of SAF as a non-invasive tool in DM, especially early measurement of the risk of DM complications. To our knowledge, there is no meta-analysis study concerning the significance of SAF measurement in DM. Therefore, we searched "PubMed" (MEDLINE) and "Cochrane" databases from their inception to 10 August 2021 for all observational studies concerning SAF measurement in DM patients.

Added value of this study

Our study confirmed the significance of SAF measurement as a non-invasive surrogate marker of DM micro and macrovascular complications. Skin AGE estimation, using SAF, may be a useful factor for the prediction and early detection of irreversible DM complications.

Implications of all the available evidence

Although some inconsistent results exist regarding the validation of SAF in DM different vascular complications, our meta-analysis results indicated a significant relationship between SAF and various diabetic vascular complications. More studies with larger populations and longer follow-up periods are required.

The search strategy for finding eligible studies in the databases.

| AND | "Diabetes" OR "Diabetes Mellitus" OR "Diabetic patients" OR "DM" | AND | "advanced glycation end products" OR "AGE" OR "Glycation" | AND | "skin autofluorescence" OR "SAF" | AND | "Microvascular complication" OR "Macroversal complication" OR "Retinopathy" OR "Neuropathy" OR "Nephropathy" OR "Microalbuminuria" OR "Diabetic foot ulcer" OR "Clinical significance" OR "Clinical relevance" |

the body [4]. Accumulation of AGE on the body proteins is also associated with the development and worsening of oxidative-based diseases other than diabetes, including cardiovascular disease, chronic renal failure, and neurological disorders, and may expect future complications [5].

AGE accumulate mainly in proteins with a longer half-life, such as skin and cartilage collagen and lens crystalline [6]. They are cleared by the kidneys [7]. The lifetime of skin collagen is estimated to be 15-20 years [8]. Accumulation of AGE may cause functional and structural changes in body proteins that lead to impairment of the tissue protein functions [7].

Skin autofluorescence (SAF) is suggested as a novel, non-invasive, quick, and reliable method to measure tissue AGE level. An autofluorescence reader (AFR) illuminates a UV light to 4 cm2 of the normal skin of the lower arm. The emitted light source between 300-420nm excites AGEs with auto fluorescence in the range of 300-600 nm. To correct the effect of light absorption due to skin phototype on autofluorescence, the average light intensity per nanometer in the range of 420-600nm is divided by the average light intensity per nanometer in the range of 300-420nm. The mentioned ratio multiplies by 100 and represents autofluorescence in percentage (arbitrary units). Interestingly, SAF is correlated with both autofluorescent and non-fluorescent tissue AGE levels [9,10].

Collagen-linked fluorescence is significantly correlated with the quantity of AGE evaluated in the skin biopsy [11,12]. Moreover, SAF is correlated with plasma AGE. Interestingly, a higher association between SAF and tissue levels of AGE compared with plasma AGE was described [13].

Several studies have reported the usefulness of SAF in screening DM, predicting its microvascular and macrovascular long-term complications [13–17].

This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to review and assess the clinical validation of SAF as a non-invasive tool in DM, especially early measurement of the risk of DM complications.

2. Methods

This systematic review and meta-analysis study was performed following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [18]. Our study was exempted from review by the institutional review board as it is based on published data.

2.1. Search strategy

We searched "PubMed" (MEDLINE) and "Cochrane" databases from their inception to 10 August 2021 for observational studies concerning SAF measurement in DM patients. The following key terms were used in advanced searching: "Diabetes", "Diabetes Mellitus", "DM", "glycation", "Advanced Glycation End product", "AGE", "skin autofluorescence", "SAF" (Table 1). Furthermore, the reference list of the included observational studies and relevant review articles were searched for any missed survey.

2.2. Study inclusion

Observational surveys concerning the significance of skin autofluorescence in DM patients were included in the study. Inclusion criteria were: [1] Studies that included known DM patients [2]. Studies that used the SAF method for evaluating tissue AGE level [3], DM patient’s characteristics and complications were measured. Studies were excluded if: [1] Correlation values were not reported for study outcome, any association between SAF and DM characteristics or complications [2]. They were review articles, editorials, study proposals, congress posters, or case reports [3]. They were not human studies.

Two investigators (Dr. M. Hosseini and DR. Z. Razavi) independently assessed the title, abstract or full-body articles. Any disagreement was resolved by the judgment of the third author (Dr. A.H Ehsani). The authors excluded studies that did not fulfill the mentioned eligibility criteria. In case of overlap in the patient participants from the same center included in multiple studies, we selected the study considering MINOR scores with a special focus on a larger sample size and longer follow-up period.

2.3. Data extraction

If available, the following details were recorded: [1] first author name, [2] year of publication of the study, [3] country where a study
was conducted [4] study design [4], study participants characteristics (number of the sample, male gender percentage, mean age, type of DM, mean BMI (Body Mass Index), duration of diabetes) [5]. Individual’s HbA1c and max-IMT (maximum of carotid Intima Media Thickness) [6] patients’ diabetic complications (diabetic microvascular complications; retinopathy (DR), nephropathy (DNP), peripheral neuropathy (DPN); diabetic macrovascular events (D-MVE) and diabetic foot ulcer (DFU)) [7]. The mean of SAF [8]. The unadjusted OR/HR for SAF and each of DR, DPN, DNP, and D-MVE of each study were included [9]. The correlation coefficient between SAF and each of age, BMI, diabetic duration, max-IMT, and HbA1c.

2.4. Quality assessment and potential bias

We graded study strength using MINOR (Methodological Index for Non-Randomized Studies) criteria [19]. The following items were scored: [1] a clearly defined aim, [2] Inclusion of patients, [3] Collection of data, [4]. Endpoints were suitable to the goal of the study, [5] Unbiased assessment of the study outcomes, [6] Follow-up period proper to the object of the study, [7] Loss to follow up less than 5%, [8] Probable calculation of the study size.

In addition, for comparative observational studies additional four items were scored: [9] A satisfactory control group, [10] Contemplative groups, [11] Baseline similarity of groups, [12] Adequate statistical analyses.

The mentioned items were scored 0 if there was not reported, 1 if were inadequately reported and 2 if was adequately reported.

Two authors independently excreted the data for quality assessment, any disagreement was solved by discussion or intervention of the third author. It is worth mentioning that data regarding the authors’ names, countries, and date of the publication were blinded.

2.5. Statistical analysis

We performed a meta-analysis if at least two studies reported correlation coefficient for SAF and age, BMI, diabetic duration, max-IMT or HbA1c in diabetes or otherwise, the OR/HR of SAF and DR, DPN, DNP, DFU and D-MVE.

Cochrane’s Q statistics were used to express the heterogeneity among the included studies as percentages of I². Moderate heterogeneity and substantial heterogeneity were defined if I² was >40% and >60%, respectively. Significant heterogeneity was expressed at p<0.05.

We conducted a random-effects model for analysis of the pooled effect sizes. The random-effects model declares that the true effect size may or may not vary from study to study, therefore it does not assume that either is the case.

Forest plots were applied to estimate correlations (Spearman and Pearson coefficients) for the association between SAF, and each of age, BMI, diabetic duration, IMT, and HbA1c. We used the DerSimonian and Laird method to analyze the pooled estimates of correlation coefficients with 95% confidence intervals. Besides, the pooled univariate OR/HRs with 95% confidence interval (CI) of DR, DPN, DNP, or D-MVE were used as effect sizes in the DerSimonian and Laird method.

Systemic re-analyses, sensitivity analyses, was assessed by removing a single study at a time and evaluating its impact on the overall effect size to evaluate the robustness of the summary results.

A sensitivity analysis was performed regarding diabetic patients’ outcomes. Moreover, additional sensitivity analyses including only case-control studies were investigated.

Funnel plots, as well as Eggers’s test, were applied for assessing publication bias. A funnel plot is a visual tool for exploring publication bias. In-state, no publication bias in a Meta-analysis, funnel plot should represent scatterplots as an inverted funnel. An asymmetric funnel plot indicates the presence of publication bias. Furthermore, Eggers test by a value of p<0.05 suggests publication bias.

All the meta-analyses were performed with Stata version 16.0

3. Results

3.1. Search results and studies characteristics

A total of 881 records were primarily identified through database searching. Of them, 13 records were duplicated. Among the remaining 868 studies, 787 records did not report SAF in DM patients. The remaining 81 articles were assessed for eligibility. Finally, 29 records were included in this systematic review and meta-analysis [11-13, 15-17, 20-42]. The PRISMA flowchart for this systematic review and meta-analysis is presented in Fig 1.

Fig 1. The PRISMA flowchart for this systematic review and meta-analysis. The PRISMA flowchart for selecting eligible records to include in our study.

The characteristics of the included studies are described in Table 2. The included studies were published between 2008 and 2019 and included cross-sectional (25 studies), prospective longitudinal (three
Table 2  
Characteristics of Included studies.

| Study, Country, Year | Study Design | Sample Size (DM) (n) | Age (Year) of DM | Type of Diabetes Population | Sex (% Male) | Diabetic Duration | Outcome evaluated* | SAF(AU) | MINOR Criteria |
|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------|----------------|
| Osawa, Japan, 2016 [11] | Cross-sectional | 105 | 37.4 ± 12.4 | DM1 | 32.4 | 21.9 ± 9.2 | Age, diabetic duration, BMI, HbA1c, max-IMT | 2.07 ± 0.5 | 18 |
| Skrha Jr, Czech Republic, 2013 [12] | Cross-sectional | DM1:47 DM2:41 | DM1:54.79 ±39.57 DM2:58±28.17 | 46.0% DM1 | DM2 | DM1:50.49±103.67 DM2:28.51±54.34 | Age, diabetic duration, HbA1c | DM1: 2.39±0.54 DM2: 2.63±0.73 | 18 |
| Hu, China, 2013 [13] | Cross-sectional | 195 | 58.4 ± 3.74 | DM1:47 | DM2:41 | DM1:54.79 | DM2:58 | 3.4% DM1 | 46.6% DM2 | Age, BMI, diabetic duration, HbA1c, DPU | 2.35 ± 0.17 | 12 |
| Liu, China, 2015 [17] | Cross-sectional | 118 | 64.6 ± 9.1 | DM1 | 57 | DM2 | 61 | 7.26 ± 1.45 | Age, BMI, diabetic duration, HbA1c | 2.8 ± 0.2 | 11 |
| Osawa, Japan, 2018 [30] | Cross-sectional | 193 | 61.1 ± 12.3 | DM1 | 55.4 | DM1:13.7 ± 10.3 | DR, DPN, DNP, D-MVE, age, BMI, diabetic duration, HbA1c, max-IMT | 2.57±0.47 | 19 |
| Tanaka, Japan, 2011 [31] | Cross-sectional | 130 | 67.13 ± 12.72 | DM2 | 39.2 | DM:9.1 ± 7.64 | DR, DPN, DNP, D-MVE, age, BMI, diabetic duration, HbA1c, max-IMT | 2.16 ± 0.49 | 12 |
| Yoshioka, Japan, 2018 [34] | Cross-sectional | 67 | 61.2 ± 11.2 | DM2 | 55 | 14.6 ± 10 | Age, diabetic duration, HbA1c | 2.53 ± 0.45 | 19 |
| Gerrits, The Netherland, 2008 [35] | Cross-sectional | 162 | 61.2 ± 8.9 | DM2 | 56.7 | 13.42 ± 2.38 | Age, diabetic duration, HbA1c | 2.74 ± 0.7 | 10 |
| Aldi, The Netherland, 2015 [36] | Cross-sectional | 810 | 59.67 ± 10.9 | DM2 | 52 | 14.17 ± 12.03 | DR, DPN, diabetic any microvascular complication, D-MVE | 2.94 ± 0.68 | 12 |

(continued on next page)
| Study, Country, Year | Study Design | Sample Size (DM)(n) | Age (Year) of DM | Type of Diabetes Population | Sex (% Male) | Diabetic Duration | Outcome evaluated* | SAF(AU) | MINOR Criteria |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------|
| van der Heyden, The Netherland, 2018 | Retrospective | 77 | 15.3 ± 2.52 | DM1 | 49.35 | DM: 6.53 ± 4.45 | Age, diabetic duration, HbA1c | 1.38 ± 0.23 | 16 |
| Banser, The Netherland, 2015 [38] | Cross-sectional | 144 | 12.2 ± 3.8 | DM1 | 56.94 | 4.1 ± 3.7 | Age, diabetic duration, HbA1c | 1.33 ± 0.36 | 11 |
| Yozgatli, The Netherland, 2018 [39] | Prospective4 | 514 | 65.01 ± 11.35 | DM2 | 48.4 | 14.12 ± 8.03 | diabetic any microvascular complication, D-MVE | 2.86 ± 0.65 | 11 |
| Furst, USA, 2016 [40] | Cross-sectional | 16 | 65.4 ± 2.4 | DM2 | NA | 14.3 ± 2 | HbA1c | 2.8 ± 0.1 | 10 |
| Llaurado, Spain, 2014 [41] | Cross-sectional | 68 | 35.3 ± 10.1 | DM1 | 50 | DM: 13.1 ± 8.67 | Age, BMI, HbA1c | 2.05 ± 0.37 | 18 |
| Rigalleau, France, 2015 [42] | Cross-sectional | 418 | 61.8 ± 10.3 | DM2 | 59.3 | 13.33 ± 9.78 | D-MVE | 2.53 ± 0.62 | 11 |

*SAF correlation was analyzed for age, BMI, diabetic duration, HbA1c, max-IMT, and the SAF level was evaluated in the included studies. The correlation described for SAF and diabetes duration [0.33 (0.25, 0.42), [I^2=84.06%, p<0.05)] (Fig 2C). Therefore, a 0.1 unit increase in the SAF level, the odds of DNP would be 11% in DM patients. Six of the included studies reported the relation between SAF and DNP. Substantial heterogeneity was observed among these studies (I^2=73.8%, p<0.05). A random-effects model showed a positive correlation statistically (supplementary figure S1C). Similarly, a statistically significant correlation was observed between SAF and DNP [I^2=65.36%, p<0.05] (supplementary figure S1D). Only four heterogeneous articles concerning the relationship between SAF and DNP reported the correlation described for SAF and DNP [I^2=69.17%, p<0.05] (supplementary figures S1A, S1B). In addition, a statistically significant correlation was observed between SAF and BMI [I^2=63.78%, p<0.05] (supplementary figures S1A, S1B). The pooled correlation coefficient for SAF and BMI was 0.24 (0.14, 0.34). This study was conducted at the same center of Araszkiewicz et al [43] study. One hundred and forty DM patients were included in the later study, therefore only the odd ratio evaluations for SAF and DR, DPN, DNP and diabetic any microvascular complications were extracted from Araszkiewicz et al. study. # This study was conducted at the same center of Araszkiewicz et al study. One hundred and forty DM patients were included in the later study, therefore only the odd ratio evaluations for SAF and DR, DPN, DNP and diabetic any microvascular complications were extracted from Araszkiewicz et al study. **SAF correlation was analyzed for age, BMI, diabetic duration, HbA1c, max-IMT, and the SAF level was evaluated in the included studies. The correlation described for SAF and diabetes duration [0.33 (0.25, 0.42), [I^2=84.06%, p<0.05)] (Fig 2C). Therefore, a 0.1 unit increase in the SAF level, the odds of DNP would be 11% in DM patients. Six of the included studies reported the relation between SAF and DNP. Substantial heterogeneity was observed among these studies (I^2=73.8%, p<0.05). A random-effects model showed a positive correlation statistically (supplementary figure S1C). Similarly, a statistically significant correlation was observed between SAF and DNP [I^2=65.36%, p<0.05] (supplementary figure S1D). Only four heterogeneous articles concerning the relationship between SAF and DNP reported the correlation described for SAF and DNP [I^2=69.17%, p<0.05] (supplementary figures S1A, S1B). In addition, a statistically significant correlation was observed between SAF and BMI [I^2=63.78%, p<0.05] (supplementary figures S1A, S1B). The pooled correlation coefficient for SAF and BMI was 0.24 (0.14, 0.34). This study was conducted at the same center of Araszkiewicz et al study. One hundred and forty DM patients were included in the later study, therefore only the odd ratio evaluations for SAF and DR, DPN, DNP and diabetic any microvascular complications were extracted from Araszkiewicz et al study.
3.3.5. Diabetic macrovascular event (D-MVE)

As shown in Fig 3, six articles regarding the association of SAF and D-MVE with substantial heterogeneity were included ($I^2=67.32\%$, $p<0.05$). Henceforward, the random-effects model represented that higher SAF may significantly increase the odd of D-MVE development (OR=1.08, 95%CI=1.05,1.11). This means that a 0.1 unit increase in SAF is correlated with 8% more odds of D-MVE.

3.3.6. Diabetic foot ulcer (DFU)

Regarding the relation between SAF and DFU, only two articles with no heterogeneity were found ($I^2=0$, $p=0.72$). Henceforward, by using the random-effects model a positive significant association was revealed between SAF and DFU (OR=1.11, 95%CI=1.06,1.16) (Fig 4). Therefore, a 0.1 unit increase in SAF is correlated with 11% greater odds of DFU.

3.4. Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

After removing one article at a time, the estimated pooled correlation coefficients and pooled unadjusted OR were not significantly different in magnitude and direction. In this re-analysis, we removed every single article at a time starting from articles with a higher risk of bias considering MINOR criteria. Moreover, additional sensitivity analyses including only case-control studies were investigated. In addition, the weight of each study which is mentioned in every figure represents the impact of the study in the meta-analysis.

The Eggers test and Funnel plots for publication bias in articles reporting the validation of SAF for each of DR, DPN, DNP, and D-MVE (Fig 2A: Forest plots OR of SAF for diabetic microvascular complications. A: Forest plot of pooled unadjusted OR of SAF for DR (Diabetic Retinopathy). B: Pooled unadjusted OR and HR of SAF for DPN (Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy). C: Pooled unadjusted OR of SAF for DNP (Diabetic Nephropathy). D: Pooled unadjusted OR and HR of SAF for diabetic any microvascular complication. 95% CI, 95% Confidence Interval; I2 represents the quantity of heterogeneity (between 0 and 100%). $T^2$ is the inter-study variance. $H$: Heterogeneity. $p$ is the p-value of the heterogeneity test.) yielded significant ($I^2=67.32\%$, $p<0.05$). Henceforward, the random-effects model represented that higher SAF may significantly increase the odd of D-MVE development (OR=1.08, 95%CI=1.05,1.11). This means that a 0.1 unit increase in SAF is correlated with 8% more odds of D-MVE.

Regarding the relation between SAF and DFU, only two articles with no heterogeneity were found ($I^2=0$, $p=0.72$). Henceforward, by using the random-effects model a positive significant association was revealed between SAF and DFU (OR=1.11, 95%CI=1.06,1.16) (Fig 4). Therefore, a 0.1 unit increase in SAF is correlated with 11% greater odds of DFU.

4. Discussion

This systematic review and meta-analysis provide evidence suggesting that higher SAF levels could be a predictor of chronic micro and macrovascular complications of DM. Our data confirm the association between chronic deposits of AGEs produced by enzymatic glycation in the skin and diabetic neuro-vascular complications. Notably, considering the limited number of eligible studies and large heterogeneity, the outcomes should be interpreted with caution.

DM patients are predisposed to long-term irreversible vascular complications, consequently, DM complications not only cause morbidity but also reduce their life expectancy to two-thirds of that of non-diabetic populations [43]. Several studies have been introduced hyperglycemia as the most significant factor responsible for the incidence and advancement of diabetic vascular complications. Although the exact role of hyperglycemia in the pathological mechanism of diabetic complications is unclear until now. The hypothesis of the role of protein glycation in DM complications is introduced and received remarkable attention [7].

Today, more than 20 known AGE have been introduced. In diabetes AGES accumulating in long life proteins, and the degrees of protein modification is not reduced even after optimal glycemic control is restored, in contrast to e.g. HbA1c, which is not an AGE. Although AGE accumulate in the proteins by aging, a hyperglycemic state accelerates the AGE formation. Therefore, DM patients have more AGEs bounded proteins than age-matched non-diabetic individuals [44]. AGE accumulation not only represent hyperglycemia but also shows growing metabolic load (hyperlipidemia and hyperglycemia), inflammation, and oxidative stress [45].
AGEs cause cross-linkage of long-lasting proteins which lead to vascular stiffness, changing vascular structures, and eventually altering vascular functions. Interaction between AGE and its receptor initiates intracellular signaling which promotes oxidative stress and stimulates proinflammatory and prosclerotic cytokines [46].

AGE measurement may offer additional evidence to expect diabetic vascular complications [44]. Several studies have shown that the tissue levels of AGEs, assessed by skin biopsies of DM patients, were more compatible than HbA1c with the incidence of retinopathy and nephropathy and disease progression [44]. A review of seven studies established that SAF was positively correlated with nephropathy, neuropathy, cardiovascular events, and mortality [7]. Some studies estimated a significant association between SAF and HbA1c, while others could not obtain similar results [24,38]. The inconsistency between results might be due to differences in the type of diabetes of the patients, duration of diabetes, duration of studies, percentage of complications in participants, and outcomes. Lastly, the probable difference in pathological inducers and pathways between different diabetic vascular complications should be further investigated [7].

Our findings found a correlation between HbA1c and SAF levels. The lack of association between HbA1c and AGEs in some included studies might be due to the shorter turn-over time of HbA1c comparing to SAF, the difference in handling the hyperglycemic state, and the resultant oxidative stress by individuals [15]. SAF, representing a cumulative metabolic control, might be more correlated with HbA1c of previous years than the present [47]. Also, hyperglycemia maybe not be the only one responsible for AGE accumulation in diabetes [48].

Numerous pathological mechanisms for AGE that can cause D-MVE and even mortality are introduced. They consequently result in endothelial dysfunction, arterial stiffness, vasoconstriction, proliferation and thickness of vessel wall, atherosclerotic plaque progression, thrombosis, and fibrosis [49,50]. Although max-IMT is a powerful marker for atherosclerosis and predicting cardiovascular events, the results of previous studies regarding the association of SAF and max-IMT were inconsistent [11,28]. This highlights the various unknown pathological mechanisms for D-MVE development and progression, Although, our findings provided a significant relation for SAF and max-IMT.

SAF measurement may not represent the precise tissue AGEs burden. Most AGEs are non-fluorescent such as carboxymethyl-lysine (CML), carboxyethyl-lysine (CEL) which have been introduced to be significant in predicting cardiovascular events. Moreover, several skin proteins fluorescence within wavelengths overlap with AGEs spectra, thus SAF measurement is not specific [44]. However, investigators have established that AGE reader measures tissue AGE that is highly positively correlated with fluorescent and nonfluorescent tissue AGE measures in skin biopsies [51].

Several factors may affect SAF measurements such as dark pigmentation of the skin (skin phototype 4-6), skin product applications (particularly sunscreens and skin tanners), the fasting or postprandial state (about 5% variation of SAF in a day), extreme local hyperemia and vasoconstriction. These potential confounders should be kept in mind and avoided if possible when measuring SAF [52–54]. Increased formation or absorption of AGEs through foods or smoking may enhance AGE accumulation, as well as reduced clearance of AGE
in renal failure conditions, which may eventually lead to more AGE accumulation [45]. SAF is independently higher in premenopausal females comparing males, which may be due to estrogen-related effects. Sex hormones impact AGE tissue deposition by changing the collagen turn-over time. Postmenopausal women have a reduced amount of skin collagen, therefore the SAF level difference between male and female sexes becomes not significant in advanced ages [48]. Several limitations in this systematic review and meta-analysis must be mentioned. First, some of the included studies were cross-sectional while others were prospective or retrospective. Second, studies measured different multiple outcomes. Using a variety of diagnostic tools in studies led to different investigated outcomes. Third, adjusted complication risk factors were not investigated in this study because of diverse confounding factors in studies. Fourth, heterogeneous cohort groups, adults and adolescence, were included, and difference because clinical heterogeneity exists. Due to rare heterogeneous cohort groups, adults and adolescence, were included, and difference because clinical heterogeneity exists. Due to rare heterogeneous cohort groups, adults and adolescence, were included, and difference because clinical heterogeneity exists. Due to rare heterogeneous cohort groups, adults and adolescence, were included, and difference because clinical heterogeneity exists.
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