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Abstract: Land filling of urban solid desercate is a universal dissipate care and one of the economical technique for organizing waste in several parts of the globe. Landfill poses serious threats to the worth of the surroundings if imperfectly safe and indecently managed. The stages of different physicochemical parameters are investigated including Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), alkalinity, pH, Electrical Conductivity (EC), and hardness. The mixture of physical, chemical and microbial practice in the waste shifts the contaminant from the misuse matter to the percolating water. The study assess ground water quality of samples near the dumping area and characterized. The effects are evaluated with the world health organization (WHO) and Bureau of Indian Standard (BIS) index. Also attempt will be made by the aggregate index method to assess the excellence of ground water in Chidambaram and Cuddalore SIPCOT dumpsites.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Huge quantity of solid waste formed in and around Cuddalore municipal region are deposited closer to SIPCOT and Chidambaram solid waste landfill site. This urban solid waste generally termed as “garbage” is a certain byproduct of person doings which is organized during dumping. Solid waste land filling is the ordinary method of solid waste disposal. The landfill sites closer to SIPCOT are open dumpsites, because the open dumpsites are little operating costs and require of skill and apparatus supply no systems for leachate gathering. Open dumps are unpleasant, unhygienic, and usually foul. They draw hunt animals, rats, insects, pigs and other pests. Surface water permeate during the waste can dissolve out or filter injurious substance that are then passed gone from the dumpsites in surface or subsurface runoff. Along with these chemicals heavy metals are mainly dangerous and guide to the occurrence of bioaccumulation and biomagnifications. These heavy metals may represent an ecological hitch, if the leachate travels into the ground water. The occurrences of bore well at the landfill sites to draw ground water warn to pollute the ground water.

II. EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS

Ground water samples collected from the dumpsites in and around Chidambaram municipality and SIPCOT Cuddalore. Collected samples from the sites are show in the maps. The trials were analysis for different physical, chemical and biological factors using Standard Method.

1. pH
2. Electrical conductivity
3. Total dissolved solids
4. Total suspended solids
5. Total hardness

III. STUDY AREA

The study area contains water contamination by the leach out of risks from the solid waste. The soil and water gathering in SIPCOT is located at Cuddalore SIPCOT and Chidambaram. Land filling dumpsite is enclosed by inhabited areas in which they are heavily influenced by equally soil and from the SIPCOT and Chidambaram which is closer to the solid waste dumpsite. W1, W2... W12 are the water samples collected in SIPCOT nearer to Cuddalore and Chidambaram landfill dumping site. S1, S2, S3...S12 are the soil trial collected in the same landfill dumping site.

Fig. 1 SIPCOT dump site
A. Sampling and Methodology
The Preliminary study on the quality of ground water, soil and solid waste test was performed in the month of January 2019, as the ground water and soil gets contaminated due to solid waste dumping closer to the locality.

B. Soil Samples
Sample collection, preservation and analysis were done as per the usual technique. The study of soil was done using hand augur. The samples were gathered directly from the augur.

C. Water
Sample gathering, protection and study were done as per the usual technique. Water trials were taken at every location.

D. Laboratory Analysis
The two location supply of analytical limitation such as physical parameters and metals and the study is done as per the standard technique.

E. Chidambaram
Solid waste trials were accumulated from the location in Chidambaram to know water quality and its fitness. Geologically, the region consists of mio-pliocene sedimentary structure and geomorphologically alluvial plain and pediplain. The parameters of pH, Electrical conductivity (EC), Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) in solid waste from 7.5 to 7.9, 870 micromhos/cm to 990 micromhos/cm and 556.8 mg/l to 633.6 mg/l are respectively.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
The Physical, Chemical, Biological parameters and dissolved nutrients determined are given in Table 1 and 2. The sample Union-1 (C1 – C12) represent the water sample collected very close to the dumpsites within 2 Km. Union-2 (S1 – S12) represent the water sample collected from the location near from the SIPCOT unit at Cuddalore.
Table I Number of the dumpsites

| Sl. NO | Name of the Dumpsite | Depth of the Sample In ‘Feet’ | Distance from Factory in ‘M’ | Source of Sample     |
|--------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 1.     | Chidambaram          | 75                            | 50                          | TAP WATER(1)        |
| 2.     | Chidambaram          | 100                           | 65                          | TAPE WATER (2)      |
| 3.     | Chidambaram          | 88                            | 100                         | HAND PUMP WATER (1) |
| 4.     | Chidambaram          | 10                            | 10                          | SURFACE WATER (1)   |
| 5.     | Chidambaram          | 95                            | 105                         | HAND PUMP (2)       |
| 6.     | Chidambaram          | 130                           | 200                         | HAND PUMP WATER (3) |
| 7.     | Chidambaram          | 112                           | 150                         | BORE WATER (1)      |
| 8.     | Chidambaram          | 170                           | 315                         | BORE WATER (2)      |
| 9.     | Chidambaram          | 355                           | 950                         | TAP WATER (3)       |
| 10.    | Chidambaram          | 07                            | 800                         | SURFACE WATER (2)   |
| 11.    | Chidambaram          | 30                            | 2600                        | TAP WATER(4)        |
| 12.    | Chidambaram          | 20                            | 3000                        | TAP WATER (5)       |
| 13.    | SIPCOT               | 70                            | 270                         | TAP WATER           |
| 14.    | SIPCOT               | 65                            | 50                          | HAND PUMP(1)        |
| 15.    | SIPCOT               | 120                           | 200                         | BORE WATER(1)       |
| 16.    | SIPCOT               | 30                            | 480                         | WELL WATER          |
| 17.    | SIPCOT               | 93                            | 150                         | TAP WATER (2)       |
| 18.    | SIPCOT               | 08                            | 75                          | SURFACE WATER(1)    |
| 19.    | SIPCOT               | 85                            | 230                         | HAND PUMP(2)        |
| 20.    | SIPCOT               | 140                           | 900                         | TAP WATER(3)        |
| 21.    | SIPCOT               | 10                            | 450                         | SURFACE WATER(3)    |
| 22.    | SIPCOT               | 15                            | 1250                        | SURFACE WATER (3)   |
| 23.    | SIPCOT               | 38                            | 670                         | HAND PUMP(3)        |

Table II Samples In Chidambaram

| Parameters       | C1  | C2  | C3  | C4  | C5  | C6  | C7  | C8  | C9  | C1  | C1  | C1  |
|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Temperature      | 27.43 | 28.32 | 27.13 | 26.39 | 25.12 | 25.80 | 26.89 | 26.16 | 24.31 | 25.17 | 25.32 | 26.83 |
| pH               | 7.61 | 7.02 | 7.58 | 8.6  | 7.2  | 7.1  | 8.4  | 7.5  | 7.5  | 8.7  | 7.5  | 7.1  |
| Turbidity        | 5.3  | 4.8  | 6.1  | 3.0  | 2.0  | 5.3  | 3.9  | 5.5  | 5.2  | 4.8  | 5.0  | 6.0  |
| EC(µs/cm)        | 925  | 80   | 823  | 876  | 560  | 900  | 756  | 950  | 743  | 820  | 898  | 890  |
| TDS(mg/l)        | 803  | 75   | 840  | 1853 | 550  | 862  | 1762 | 863  | 751  | 1854 | 831  | 815  |
| TSS (mg/l)       | 70   | 40   | 36   | 29   | 39   | 65   | 40   | 66   | 54   | 39   | 40   | 50   |
| TS (mg/l)        | 903  | 80   | 823  | 900  | 550  | 923  | 796  | 968  | 813  | 911  | 835  | 825  |
| TA (mg/l)        | 153  | 15   | 114  | 92   | 73   | 96   | 93   | 132  | 100  | 101  | 123  | 92   |
| Cl (mg/l)        | 123  | 24   | 220  | 113  | 103  | 148  | 153  | 203  | 255  | 197  | 196  | 202  |
| TH (mg/l)        | 289  | 29   | 273  | 266  | 143  | 165  | 197  | 250  | 232  | 199  | 209  | 220  |
| Ca (mg/l)        | 123  | 25   | 145  | 203  | 97   | 180  | 135  | 201  | 213  | 195  | 143  | 170  |
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| Parameters  | S1     | S2     | S3     | S4     | S5     | S6     | S7     | S8     | S9     | S10    | S11    | S12    |
|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Temperature | 25.42  | 25.21  | 27.13  | 26.39  | 25.11  | 25.89  | 26.89  | 26.84  | 27.31  | 26.18  | 25.49  | 26.85  |
| pH          | 7.81   | 7.02   | 7.85   | 8.61   | 7.23   | 8.11   | 7.43   | 7.59   | 7.68   | 8.77   | 7.52   | 6.03   |
| Turbidity   | 6.00   | 4.20   | 5.90   | 3.20   | 2.10   | 5.70   | 4.10   | 6.20   | 5.70   | 5.20   | 5.10   | 5.00   |
| EC(µs/cm)   | 952    | 814    | 865    | 902    | 571    | 794    | 959    | 751    | 869    | 853    | 902    |
| TDS(mg/L)   | 896    | 790    | 837    | 1879   | 546    | 1874   | 756    | 907    | 1751   | 1869   | 852    | 807    |
| NSS (mg/L)  | 75     | 36     | 42     | 31     | 41     | 69     | 47     | 71     | 65     | 52     | 49     | 63     |
| TS (mg/L)   | 971    | 826    | 879    | 910    | 587    | 943    | 803    | 978    | 816    | 921    | 901    | 899    |
| TA (mg/L)   | 189    | 167    | 121    | 98     | 76     | 101    | 113    | 198    | 129    | 106    | 103    | 114    |
| Cl (mg/L)   | 140    | 285    | 213    | 109    | 121    | 148    | 169    | 252    | 278    | 201    | 210    | 235    |
| NH₄⁺ (mg/L) | 295    | 307    | 280    | 298    | 150    | 200    | 197    | 247    | 261    | 219    | 203    | 241    |
| Ca (mg/L)   | 110    | 265    | 145    | 234    | 102    | 167    | 129    | 196    | 202    | 182    | 135    | 168    |
| Mg (mg/L)   | 58     | 42     | 35     | 64     | 48     | 33     | 68     | 51     | 59     | 37     | 39     | 46     |
| DO (mg/L)   | 4.12   | 3.90   | 4.01   | 3.91   | 5.13   | 4.97   | 6.29   | 6.23   | 4.57   | 4.08   | 4.15   | 5.35   |
| BOD (mg/L)  | 1.30   | 1.90   | 1.70   | 2.23   | 3.80   | 68.50  | 1.40   | 1.20   | 39.00  | 43.80  | 2.40   | 2.10   |
| COD (mg/L)  | 9.20   | 5.70   | 2.80   | 57.00  | 9.40   | 88.40  | 12.30  | 8.90   | 92.30  | 94.40  | 11.40  | 10.60  |
| NO₃⁻ (mg/L) | 2.10   | ND     | ND     | 22.10  | 6.90   | 54.00  | ND     | 7.80   | 0.10   | 4.70   | ND     | 4.30   |
| NO₂⁻ (mg/L) | 0.51   | ND     | ND     | 0.02   | 0.08   | 0.46   | ND     | ND     | 0.03   | 0.21   | 0.15   |
| F⁻ (mg/L)   | 1.10   | 0.20   | 0.10   | 0.50   | ND     | ND     | 0.70   | ND     | 0.20   | 0.90   | 0.50   | 0.30   |
| PO₄³⁻ (mg/L)| 0.01   | ND     | ND     | 0.01   | 0.05   | ND     | ND     | 0.07   | ND     | 0.02   | ND     | 0.03   |
| SO₄²⁻ (mg/L)| 11.20  | 6.00   | 2.90   | 8.10   | 12.70  | 122    | 46.00  | 171.00 | 49.00  | 78.00  | 63.00  | 56.00  |
| NH₄⁺ (mg/L) | ND     | 1.20   | 0.10   | 2.70   | 0.80   | 4.30   | 1.60   | 1.80   | 0.50   | 1.70   | 1.50   | 1.20   |
| Fe³⁺ (mg/L) | 0.10   | ND     | ND     | 0.10   | ND     | 0.30   | 0.20   | 0.10   | ND     | 0.20   | 0.20   | 0.20   |

- The range of pH values (7.10-8.70). But WHO recommended pH value is around 6.5 - 8.5. Most of the samples are within the permissible limit few samples are only in alkaline condition which may be due to the deposition of the waste from the dumpsites.
- The turbidity is within the tolerable limits.
- The permissible limit for Electrical Conductivity according to WHO standard 1400µscm⁻¹ samples taken from Chidambaram and SIPCOT dumpsite is within the limits.
- Total Dissolved Solids from the samples C4, C7 and C10, S4, S6, S9 and S10 showed very high values, which are all taken from the surface water and increased values is due to impact of pollution. Other samples showed normal values.
- The results of Total Alkalinity are drastically increased irrespective of all samples C1 – C12 and S1 - S12 which showed that the concentration of alkalinity higher range.
- The BOD of the trials are mostly within the allowed constrains only three trials were slightly higher than the limits.
- COD values all so within the allowed constrains and only the surface and sub-surface water are slightly higher due to the contamination.
- The permissible limits of Chloride from 200-1000 mg/l and we got within the permissible range only the samples are fit for drinking and cooking purpose.
- The permissible limits according to the WHO standard 75-100 mg/l, but most of the samples are above limits. Hence the sample need for treatment.
• The permissible limits 30-150 mg/l all the samples are in the allowed constrains. Such that Fluoride is also within the limits (1.5 mg/l). The concentration of fluoride at less level in ground water has been measured helpful but high concentration may cause dental and more dangerously skeletal fluorosis.

• All sample collected below 120 ft from there having desirable values. At the surface water such as pond, lake, river and well water only having higher concentration. So we are in a position to treat the water and reuse and also avoid dumping of waste and discharging untreated waste water near the coast.

• Some of the parameters showed higher range in the groundwater maybe due to the leachate of municipal solid waste.

V. CONCLUSION

Samples collected from the various spots (Union 1 and Union 2) around dump sites at Chidambaram and SIPCOT industry at Cuddalore of Tamilnadu State were analyzed for various Physical, Chemical and Biological parameters. Only few parameters of the Union 1 found more than permissible limits of various standards. The higher concentrations of ions and parameter values such as pH, EC, TDS, TA, TH, and COD have harmful and considerable impact on excellence of ground water as it is used for household purposes. Hence, the awareness may be given to the public not to drink the water which area all having higher concentrations.. However, the quality assessment of water samples showed the water quality of spots at Union 1 is superior to that of the spots of Union 2. After compared to WHO limits the water quality rating it reveals that the quality of water of few spots in Union 1 showed poor water quality, probably due to very close to dumpsite, the water samples were slightly polluted and in need off treatment before using for drinking purpose for minimizing of the parameters. The water samples of Union 2 showed average water quality. Hence the awareness should be given to the public not to drink the water without treatment in the Union-1 (spotC4, C10, C12) union-2 (spot S3, S8, S12). We recommended that they have to facilitate bore well for localities as part of municipal service as early as possible to guide against sudden condition of contamination in order to protect the wellbeing of public living in and around the area.
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