ON THE CHARACTERIZATION OF DANIELEWSKI SURFACES BY THEIR AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS
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Abstract. In this note we show that if the automorphism group of a normal affine surface $S$ is isomorphic to the automorphism group of a Danielewski surface, then $S$ is isomorphic to the normalization of a Danielewski surface.

INTRODUCTION

Throughout this note we work over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ and algebraic varieties are always considered to be affine and irreducible. One of our main results in [LRU20] is the proof that affine toric surfaces are uniquely determined by their automorphism groups in the category of normal affine surfaces. In this note we apply similar techniques to investigate in as far this result can be extended to other classes of affine surfaces with a large automorphism group.

A well studied class of affine surfaces are Danielewski surfaces, i.e., surfaces of the form $\mathcal{D}_p^n = \{x^n y = p(z)\} \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ for some polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[z]$. We denote by $\mathcal{D}_p^n$ the normalization of $\mathcal{D}_p^n$. Recall that $\mathcal{D}_p^n$ is always normal. These surfaces were introduced by Danielewski in order to construct a counterexample to the generalized Zariski cancellation problem ([Dan89]). Since then, numerous papers have been published on the subject, in particular with regards to the rich structure of their automorphism groups. The automorphism groups of two generic smooth Danielewski surfaces $\mathcal{D}_p^1$ and $\mathcal{D}_q^1$ are isomorphic, where $\mathcal{D}_p^1$ is generic if $\deg p(z) \geq 3$ and no affine automorphism permutes the roots of $p(z)$ in $\mathbb{C}$. This follows from [ML90, Theorem and Remark (3) on page 256], and more precisely from [KL16, Theorem 2.7]. Indeed, in this last reference, it is proven that for a generic Danielewski surface $\mathcal{D}_p^1$, we have $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_p^1) \simeq (\mathbb{C}[x] \ast \mathbb{C}[y]) \rtimes (\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and the semidirect product structure does not depend on $p(z)$. A similar result holds for $n > 1$ where we have $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_p^n) \simeq (\mathbb{C}[x]) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^n$ for every generic polynomial $p$, see [ML01]. This yields that the automorphism group of a Danielewski surface does not determine the surface in general. However, we prove the following result:

**Theorem 1.** Let $S$ be a normal affine surface and $\mathcal{D}_p^n$ be the normalization of a Danielewski surface $\mathcal{D}_p^n$ for some $p \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. If $\text{Aut}(S)$ and $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_p^n)$ are isomorphic as groups, then $S$ is isomorphic to the normalization $\mathcal{D}_q^m$ of a Danielewski surface $\mathcal{D}_q^m$ for some polynomial $q \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ and some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Moreover, if $n = 1$ then $S$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}_q^1$ for some polynomial $q \in \mathbb{C}[z]$.

Let $\mathbb{G}_m$ and $\mathbb{G}_a$ be the multiplicative and the additive group over $\mathbb{C}$, respectively. All Danielewski surfaces $\mathcal{D}_p^n$, and hence their normalizations $\mathcal{D}_p^n$, admit a $\mathbb{G}_m$-action given in the ambient space $\mathbb{A}^3$ via $t \cdot (x, y, z) \mapsto (tx, t^{-n}y, z)$ for $t \in \mathbb{G}_m$. The main idea of the proof of Theorem 1 is contained in Lemma 6 which characterizes $\mathcal{D}_p^n$ in terms of certain extensions of this $\mathbb{G}_m$-action by $\mathbb{G}_a$.

**Remark 1.** It is proved in [LR17] that for two polynomials $p$ and $q$ with simple roots, $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_p^1)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_q^1)$ as a so-called ind-group if and only if $\mathcal{D}_p^1$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}_q^1$ as a
variety, as opposed to the case of abstract group isomorphisms. The main reason for this comes from the additional rigidity of Lie algebras. Indeed, the Lie algebra of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}^1_p)$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}^1_q)$ if and only if $\mathcal{D}^1_p$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}^1_q$ and ind-group isomorphisms induce isomorphisms of the corresponding Lie algebras. Together with Theorem 1 this gives us that a surface isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}^1_p$ is determined by its automorphism group seen as an ind-group in the category of smooth affine surfaces.
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**Root subgroups of non-toric $\mathbb{G}_m$-surfaces**

Let $S$ be an affine surface and $G$ be an algebraic group. A regular faithful action of $G$ on $S$ induces an injective homomorphism from $G$ to $\text{Aut}(S)$. We say that the image of $G$ in $\text{Aut}(S)$ is an algebraic subgroup of $\text{Aut}(S)$. One can show that an algebraic subgroup admits a canonical structure of algebraic variety [FK18 Theorem 0.3.1].

A $\mathbb{G}_m$-surface is a surface $S$ together with a given regular faithful $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $S$. Let $T \subset \text{Aut}(S)$ be the acting torus, i.e., the image of $\mathbb{G}_m$ in $\text{Aut}(S)$. A root subgroup of $S$ with respect to $T$ is an algebraic subgroup $U \subset \text{Aut}(S)$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_a$ that is normalized by $T$. Let $\lambda: \mathbb{G}_a \to U$ be an isomorphism. There exists a character $\chi: T \to \mathbb{G}_m$ not depending on the choice of $\lambda$ such that $t \circ \lambda(s) \circ t^{-1} = \lambda(\chi(t)s)$. This character is called the weight of $U$. Recall that the set of characters $\chi: T \to \mathbb{G}_m$ forms a group $\mathfrak{X}(T)$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ and such an isomorphism is uniquely determined up to sign. In [FZ03] a classification of normal affine $\mathbb{G}_m$-surfaces was given, followed by a classification of their root subgroups in [FZ05]. We recall here the main features of the classification that we need in this paper. This is a short version of our account of the subject in [LRU20 Section 4].

**Definition 1.** Surfaces endowed with a $\mathbb{G}_m$-action are classified in three dynamical types [OW77]: a $\mathbb{G}_m$-surface is elliptic if the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action has an attractive fixed point, parabolic if the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action has infinitely many fixed points and hyperbolic if the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action has at most finitely many fixed points none of which is attractive.

A $\mathbb{G}_m$-action $\alpha: \mathbb{G}_m \times S \to S$ on an affine surface $S$ induces a $\mathfrak{X}(T)$-grading on the algebra of regular functions. Under the isomorphism $\mathfrak{X}(T) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, it is customary to denote this as a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of the algebra of regular functions given by

$$\mathcal{O}(S) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A_i, \quad \text{where} \quad A_i = \{ f \in \mathcal{O}(S) \mid \alpha^*(f) = t^i \cdot f \}.$$  

The elements in $A_i$ are called semi-invariants of weight $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. A $\mathbb{G}_m$-surface is hyperbolic if and only if there exist non-trivial semi-invariants whose weights have different sign. In the hyperbolic case, generic orbit closures are isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^1$. If the surface is not hyperbolic, all semi-invariants that are not invariant have the same sign. In this case, the normalizations of the generic orbit closures are isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^1$. The elliptic case corresponds to the case where the only invariant functions are the constants. The parabolic case corresponds to case where the ring of invariant functions has transcendence degree 1 over $\mathbb{C}$ and therefore there is a curve of points fixed by $\mathbb{G}_m$ in the surface.

In algebraic terms, root subgroups are in one to one correspondence with homogeneous locally nilpotent derivations of the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra $\mathcal{O}(S)$. A homogeneous locally nilpotent derivation
is a \( \mathbb{C} \)-linear map \( \delta : \mathcal{O}(S) \to \mathcal{O}(S) \) that sends semi-invariants to semi-invariants, satisfies the Leibniz rule \( \delta(fg) = f\delta(g) + g\delta(f) \) for all \( f, g \in \mathcal{O}(S) \) and for every \( f \in \mathcal{O}(S) \) there exists \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) such that \( \delta^n(f) = 0 \), where \( \delta^n \) denotes the composition of \( \delta \) with itself \( n \)-times. In particular, the Leibniz rule implies that for every homogeneous locally nilpotent derivation \( \delta \) there exists an integer \( \ell \) such that \( \delta(A_i) \) is contained in \( A_{i+\ell} \) for any \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \). We call \( \ell \) the degree of \( \delta \). Recall that under the isomorphism \( \mathfrak{X}(T) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \), the degree of \( \delta \) corresponds to a character of the acting torus \( T \). See [LRU20, Section 4.1] for a more detailed description of root subgroups in terms of homogeneous locally nilpotent derivations. The next theorem summarizes the results from [LRU20] as needed for this paper.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( S \) and \( S' \) be normal surfaces with \( S \) non-toric. Assume that \( \text{Aut}(S) \) contains algebraic subgroups \( T \) and \( U \) isomorphic to \( \mathbb{G}_m \) and \( \mathbb{G}_a \), respectively. Let \( \varphi : \text{Aut}(S) \to \text{Aut}(S') \) be a group isomorphism, then the following hold:

1. The image \( \varphi(T) \subset \text{Aut}(S') \) is an algebraic subgroup isomorphic to \( \mathbb{G}_m \).
2. There exist root subgroups in \( \text{Aut}(S) \) and they are mapped to root subgroups preserving weights, up to a torus isomorphism not depending on the root subgroup.
3. The surfaces \( S \) and \( S' \) are of the same dynamical type.

**Proof.** The statements (a) and (b) are proven in [LRU20, Theorem 6.5]. Statement (c) follows directly from [LRU20, Theorem 1.2].

We will also need the following lemma proven in [LRU20].

**Lemma 3 ([LRU20, Lemma 4.16]).** A non-toric \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-surface \( S \) admits root subgroups of different weights if and only if \( S \) is hyperbolic. Furthermore, in this case all root subgroups have different weights.

The following theorem borrowed from [FZ03, Section 4.2] is the main classification result for hyperbolic \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-surfaces.

**Theorem 4.** Every hyperbolic affine \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-surface is equivariantly isomorphic to \( S = \text{Spec} \, A \), where

\[
A = \bigoplus_{i < 0} H^0(C, \mathcal{O}([-iD_-])) \oplus \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^0(C, \mathcal{O}([iD_+])),
\]

where \( C \) is the algebraic quotient of \( X \) by \( \mathbb{G}_m \) and \( D_+, D_- \) are two \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisors on \( C \) satisfying \( D_+ + D_- \leq 0 \). Moreover, \( S \) is uniquely determined by \( C \) and the couple \( (D_+, D_-) \) up to linear equivalence. In other words, the couples of divisors \( (D_+, D_-) \) and \( (D'_+, D'_-) \) on \( C \) give rise to equivariantly isomorphic \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-surfaces if and only if \( D_+ = D'_+ + \text{div}(h) \) and \( D_- = D'_- - \text{div}(h) \), for some rational function \( h \) on the curve \( C \).

**Example 1.** In [FZ03, Example 4.10] it is proven that the normalization \( \hat{\mathcal{R}}_p^n \) of the Danielewski surface \( \mathcal{R}_p^n \) is given by the data \( D_+ = 0 \) and \( D_- = -\frac{1}{n} \text{div}(p) \) on \( C = \mathbb{A}^1 \). Remark that any \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor \( D \) in \( \mathbb{A}^1 \) with negative coefficients gives rise to a normalization of a Danielewski surface by taking \( D_+ = 0 \) and \( D_- = D \).

**Lemma 5.** Let \( S \) be a non-toric \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-surface that is given by the couple of divisors \( (D_+, D_-) \) in \( C \). If there are two root subgroups with non-negative weights with respect to \( T \) in \( \text{Aut}(S) \) whose weights differ by one then \( C = \mathbb{A}^1 \) and \( D_+ \) is integral.

**Proof.** Assume there are two root subgroups with respect to \( T \) in \( \text{Aut}(S) \) whose weights differ by one. Since there exist root subgroups of different weights, by Lemma 3 we have that \( S \) is hyperbolic. In the language of [FZ03], \( S \) is described by the couple of \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisors \( D_+ \) and \( D_- \) on a smooth affine curve \( C \). By [FZ03, Theorem 3.22], we have \( C \simeq \mathbb{A}^1 \), and up to linear equivalence, we can assume \( D_+ = -\frac{e}{n} \cdot [0] \) and the weight \( e \) of a root subgroup must satisfy
Lemma 7. Let \( p \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( q \in \mathbb{Z} \) be two Danielewski surfaces respectively, where the weight of a root subgroup in \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_p) \) is integral. Now a similar application of [FZ05, Theorem 3.22] yields that all positive integers appear as weights of root subgroups in \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_p) \). This yields an inclusion of algebras \( A \supseteq B \subseteq \tilde{A} \), where \( B = \mathbb{C}[x, z, f] \). The lemma follows since \( B \simeq \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}_q) \).

Lemma 8. Assume \( \mathcal{D}_p \) has root subgroups of all weights different from zero. Then \( \mathcal{D}_p \) is isomorphic to \( \mathcal{D}_q \) for some \( q \in \mathbb{C}[z] \).

Proof. The surface \( \mathcal{D}_p \) is given by the combinatorial data \( D_+ = 0 \) and \( D_- = -\frac{1}{n} \text{div}(p) \) in the algebraic quotient \( \mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[z] \) of the \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-action. By reversing the grading we exchange the roles of \( D_+ \) and \( D_- \). Since there are two root subgroups with non-negative weights for the reverse grading, by Lemma 5 we obtain that \( D_- \) is integral, which is equivalent to the fact that there exists \( q(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z] \) such that \( D_- + \text{div}(q) = 0 \). It now follows that \( D_- = -\frac{1}{n} \text{div}(p) = -\text{div}(q) \). This is equivalent to \( p(z) = q^n(z) \). Finally, since \( p(z) \) is a regular function on \( \mathbb{A}^1 \) the same holds for \( q(z) \) and so \( q(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z] \). By Lemma 9 we conclude that \( \mathcal{D}_p \) is isomorphic to

\[ \Delta = \left\{ x^2 + y^2 - 1 \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \]
$\hat{D}_q^1$, the normalization of $D_1^q$. A straightforward computation shows that $D_1^q$ has only isolated singularities and so $\hat{D}_q^1$ is already normal by [Har77, Chapter 2, Proposition 8.23]. This concludes the proof.

□

Proof of Theorem 1

If $\hat{D}_p^n$ is toric, then the result follows directly from [LRU20, Theorem 1.3]. In the sequel, we assume that $\hat{D}_p^n$ is not toric. Let $\varphi$: Aut($\hat{D}_p^n$) → Aut($\hat{S}$) be an isomorphism of groups and let $T \subset$ Aut($\hat{D}_p^n$) be the acting torus coming from the $\mathbb{G}_m$-surface structure on $\hat{D}_p^n$. Since $\hat{D}_p^n$ is a hyperbolic $\mathbb{G}_m$-surface, by Theorem 2 $\hat{S}$ is hyperbolic, $\varphi(T)$ is an algebraic 1-dimensional torus and root subgroups are mapped to root subgroups with the same weight up to torus automorphism. By Lemma 8 there are two root subgroups with respect to $T$ in Aut($\hat{D}_p^n$) whose weights differ by one. We conclude that there are two root subgroups with respect to $\varphi(T)$ in Aut($\hat{S}$) whose weights differ by one. Again by Lemma 8 we conclude that $\hat{S}$ is isomorphic to the normalization of a Danielewski surface $D_q^m$.

To prove the last statement of the theorem, recall first that $D_1^p$ is always normal. If Aut($\hat{S}$) is isomorphic to Aut($D_1^p$) then $\hat{S}$ is isomorphic to the normalization of a Danielewski surface. Since the isomorphism $\varphi$: Aut($\hat{D}_p^n$) → Aut($\hat{S}$) preserves weights of root subgroups, by Remark 2 we have that Aut($\hat{S}$) has root subgroups with all possible non-zero weights. It follows from Lemma 8 that $\hat{S}$ is isomorphic to $D_1^q$ for some $q(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$.

□
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