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In this paper, we present a new family of methods for finding simple roots of nonlinear equations. The convergence analysis shows that the order of convergence of all these methods is three. The originality of this family lies in the fact that these sequences are defined by an explicit expression which depends on a parameter $p$ where $p$ is a nonnegative integer. A first study on the global convergence of these methods is performed. The power of this family is illustrated analytically by justifying that, under certain conditions, the method convergence’s speed increases with the parameter $p$. This family’s efficiency is tested on a number of numerical examples. It is observed that our new methods take less number of iterations than many other third-order methods.

In comparison with the methods of the sixth and eighth order, the new ones behave similarly in the examples considered.

1. Introduction

Many problems in science and engineering [1–3] can be expressed in the form of the following nonlinear scalar equations:

$$f(x) = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $f(x)$ is a real analytic function. To approximate the solution $\alpha$, supposed simple, of Equation (1), we can use a fixed-point iteration method in which we find a function $F$, called an iteration function (I.F.) for $f$, and from a starting value $x_0$ [4–6], we define a sequence

$$x_{n+1} = F(x_n) \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2 \ldots$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

A point $\alpha$ is called a fixed point of $F$ if $F(\alpha) = \alpha$. By respecting some conditions, we can guarantee the convergence of the sequence $(x_n)$ towards $\alpha$.

One of the most famous and widely methods to solve Equation (1) is the second-order Newton method given by: [3, 7–9]:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}, \ n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

In order to ameliorate the order of convergence of Newton’s method, several third-order techniques have been elaborated [1–29]. For example, Halley [1, 4, 7, 10–15], super-Halley [12, 16–20], Chebyshev [1, 6, 7, 12, 13, 29], Euler [1, 3, 5, 11, 13], Chun [16], Sharma [17, 22], Amat [12], Traub [7], Barrada et al. [23, 24], Chun and Neta [25], Jaiswal [26], Liu and Li [27], and Singh and Jaiswal [28] are interesting methods.

Furthermore, considerable efforts have been made to construct higher order methods. Jaiswal [26], Kim and Geum [30], and Thukral [31], proposed some forth-order method families. Fang et al. [32] constructed some fifth-order convergent iterative methods. Thukral [31], Kou et al. [33], and
Chun and Ham [34] presented three sixth-order methods. Soleymani et al. [35, 36], Bi et al. [37], Lotfi et al. [38], and Cordero et al. [39] proposed some families of the eighth-order convergence methods. Soleymani et al. [40], Lotfi et al. [38], and Artidiello et al. [41] developed some new methods of the sixteenth order.

The purpose of this paper is to construct, from Halley’s method and Taylor’s polynomial, a new family of methods for finding simple roots of nonlinear equations with cubic convergence. We will show that the weight functions of his methods have particular expressions which depend on a parameter $p$, where $p$ is a nonnegative integer, and that, if certain conditions are verified, the speed’s convergence of these sequences improves by increasing $p$. Moreover, from this study, we will study the global convergence of these methods. Finally, the efficacy of some methods of the proposed family will be tested on a number of numerical examples. A comparison with many third-order methods will be realized.

2. Development of New Family of Halley’s Method

One of the best-known third-order methods is Halley’s method, given by

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} W_0(L_n),$$

where $W_0(L_n) = \frac{2}{2 - L_n}$,

$$L_n = L_f(x_n) = \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}.$$

Using second-order Taylor’s polynomial of $f$ at $x_n$, we obtain

$$y(x) = f(x_n) + f'(x_n)(x - x_n) + \frac{f''(x_n)}{2}(x - x_n)^2,$$

where $x_n$ is an approximate value of $\alpha$. The graph of $y$ intersects the $x$-axis at some point $(x_{n+1}, 0)$, which is the solution of the equation:

$$0 = f(x_n) + f'(x_n)(x_{n+1} - x_n) + \frac{f''(x_n)}{2}(x_{n+1} - x_n)^2.$$

Factoring $x_{n+1} - x_n$ from the last two terms, we obtain

$$0 = f(x_n) + (x_{n+1} - x_n)\left( f'(x_n) + \frac{f''(x_n)}{2}(x_{n+1} - x_n) \right),$$

so

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} W_1(L_n),$$

where $W_1(L_n) = -\frac{2}{1 - L_n}$.

This schema is implicit because it does not directly find $x_{n+1}$ as a function of $x_n$. It can be changed to make it explicit by replacing $(x_{n+1} - x_n)$, remaining in THE right-hand side of Equation (9), by Halley’s correction given in Equation (4), we get

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} W_2(x_n),$$

where $W_2(x_n) = -\frac{2}{1 - L_n}$.

By repeating the same scenario many times and by replacing $(x_{n+1} - x_n)$, each time, with the last correction found, we derive the following iterative process which represents a general family of Halley’s method $(Hp)$ for finding simple roots:

$$x_{n+1}^p = x_n^p - \frac{W_p(L_n) f(x_n^p)}{f'(x_n^p)},$$

where

$$W_p(L_n) = \frac{S_p(L_n)}{S_{p+1}(L_n)} n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots,$$

$$S_0(L_n) = 1, \quad S_1(L_n) = 1 - \frac{L_n}{2},$$

$$S_{p+2}(L_n) - S_{p+1}(L_n) = -\frac{L_n}{2} S_p(L_n),$$

where $p$ is a parameter, which is a nonnegative integer.

We can show that $S_p$ can be explicitly expressed as a function of $L_n$ on the interval $I = (-\infty, 1/2]$ as follows:

$$S_p(L_n) = \frac{1}{2^{p+1}} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{L_n - 1}{\sqrt{1 - 2L_n}} \right)^{R_p} + \left( 1 - \frac{L_n - 1}{\sqrt{1 - 2L_n}} \right)^{T_p} \right]$$

for $L_n \epsilon I$,

where

$$R = 1 - \sqrt{1 - 2L_n},$$

$$T = 1 + \sqrt{1 - 2L_n}.$$
Finally, the general family of Halley’s method \((Hp)\) is generated by

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{n+1}^p &= x_n^p - W_p(L_n) \frac{f(x_n^p)}{f'(x_n^p)}, \\
W_p(L_n) &= \frac{S_p(L_n)}{S_{p+1}(L_n)} n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \\
S_p(L_n) &= \frac{1}{p!} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{[p+1/2]} C_k^{2k+1} (1 - 2L_n)^k \right] \text{for } L_n = \frac{1}{2}
\end{align*}
\]  

(16)

This schema is simple and interesting because it regenerates both well-known and new methods. For example, For \(p = 0\), the formula (11) corresponds to the classical Halley method \((H0)\).

For \(p = 1\), the formula (11) corresponds to the famous super-Halley method \((H1)\).

For \(p = 2 \cdots 5\), we obtain the methods \((H2)\) \((H3)\), \((H4)\), and \((H5)\) given, respectively, by the following sequences:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{n+1} &= x_n - \frac{4f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} \left( \frac{1 - L_n}{4 - 6L_n + L_n^2} \right), \\
x_{n+1} &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} \left( \frac{4 - 6L_n + L_n^2}{4 - 8L_n + 3L_n^2} \right), \\
x_{n+1} &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} \left( \frac{8 - 16L_n + 6L_n^2}{8 - 20L_n + 12L_n^2 - L_n^2} \right), \\
x_{n+1} &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{4f'(x_n)} \left( \frac{-L_n^3 + 12L_n^2 - 20L_n + 8}{-L_n^3 + 5L_n^2 - 6L_n + 2} \right)
\end{align*}
\]  

(17) \hspace{1cm} (18) \hspace{1cm} (19) \hspace{1cm} (20)

3. Analysis of Convergence

3.1. Order of Convergence

**Theorem 1.** Let \(p\) be a parameter where \(p\) is a non-negative integer. We suppose that the function \(f(x)\) has at least two continuous derivatives in the neighborhood of a zero, \(\alpha\). Further, assuming that \(f'(\alpha) \neq 0\) and \(x_0\) is sufficiently close to \(\alpha\), the methods defined by Equation (16) converge cubically and satisfy the error equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
&e_{n+1} = (c_2^p - c_3^p) e_n^p + O(e_n^{p+1}) \text{for } p = 0, \\
&e_{n+1} = -c_2^p e_n + O(e_n^{p+1}) \text{for } p \neq 0,
\end{align*}
\]  

(21)

where \(e_n = x_n - \alpha\) is the error at \(n\)th iteration and \(c_i = f^{(i)}(\alpha)/i!f'(\alpha), i = 2, 3 \cdots\)

**Proof.** Let \(\alpha\) be a simple root of \(f(x)\) and \(e_n = x_n - \alpha\) be the error in approximating \(\alpha\) by \(x_n\). We use the Taylor expansions [22] about \(\alpha:

\[
f(x_n) = f'(\alpha)[e_n + c_2^p e_n^2 + c_3^p e_n^3 + c_4^p e_n^4 + O(e_n^5)],
\]  

(22)

\[
f'(x_n) = f'(\alpha)[1 + 2c_2^p e_n + 3c_3^p e_n^2 + 4c_4^p e_n^3 + O(e_n^4)],
\]  

(23)

\[
f''(x_n) = f''(\alpha)[2c_2^p + 6c_3^p e_n + 12c_4^p e_n^2 + O(e_n^3)],
\]  

(24)

where

\[
c_i = \frac{f^{(i)}(\alpha)}{i!f'(\alpha)}, \text{ for } i = 2, 3 \cdots
\]  

(25)

Using (23), we obtain

\[
f'(x_n) = f'(\alpha)[1 + 4c_2^p e_n + 2(2c_2^p + 3c_3^p)e_n^2 + 4(3c_2^p c_1 + 2c_4^p)e_n^3 + O(e_n^4)],
\]  

(26)

\[
L_n = 2c_2^p e_n - 6(c_2^p - c_3^p)e_n^2 + 4(4c_2^p - 7c_2^p c_1 + 3c_3^p)e_n^3 + O(e_n^4),
\]  

(27)

\[
\frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} = e_n - c_2^p e_n^2 + 2(c_2^p - c_3^p)e_n^3 + O(e_n^4).
\]  

(28)

Using Taylor’s series expansion [22] of \(W_p(L_n)\) about \(L(\alpha)\) leads to

\[
W_p(L_n) = W_p(L(\alpha)) + (L_n - L(\alpha))W'_p(L(\alpha)) + \frac{1}{2}(L_n - L(\alpha))^2W''_p(L(\alpha)) + O((L_n - L(\alpha))^3).
\]  

(29)

Knowing that \(L(\alpha) = 0\), so

\[
W_p(L_n) = W_p(0) + L_n W'_p(0) + \frac{1}{2}L_n^2 W''_p(0) + O(L_n^3).
\]  

(30)

We have

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{For all } p \in \mathbb{N} & \quad \text{W}_p(0) = 1 \text{ and } W'_p(0) = \frac{1}{2}, \\
\text{For all } p \in \mathbb{N}^+ & \quad \text{W}'_p(0) = 1 \text{ and } W''_p(0) = \frac{1}{2}.
\end{align*}
\]  

(31)

Thus, formula (30) becomes

\[
W_p(L_n) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}L_n + \frac{1}{2}L_n^2 W'_p(0) + O(L_n^3).
\]  

(32)

Using Equation (27), we get

\[
W_p(L_n) = 1 + c_2^p e_n + \left[ (2W'_p(0) - 3)c_2^p + 3c_3^p \right] e_n^2 + O(e_n^3).
\]  

(33)

Substituting Equation (28) and Equation (33) in formula (11), we obtain the error’s equation:

\[
e_{n+1} = \left[ 2 - W'_p(0) \right] c_2^p e_n^2 + O(e_n^3).
\]  

(34)
Finally, using Equation (31), we obtain
\[
e_{n+1} = \left(e_n^p - c_3\right)e_n^p + O(e_n^{p+1}) \text{ for } p = 0,
\]
\[
e_{n+1} = -c_3e_n^p + O(e_n^{p+1}) \text{ for } p \neq 0,
\]
which completes the proof of the theorem.

3.2. Global Convergence of the Halley Families’ Methods. We will make a first study of the global convergence of six selected methods from the proposed family (Hp), in the case where they converge towards the root in a monotone fashion [6, 11, 13–15, 17, 19, 20].

**Lemma 2.** Let us write the I.F. of \( f \), from the sequence (H0) to (H5):
\[
F_0(x) = x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)} \left( \frac{2}{2 - L_f(x)} \right).
\]

3.2.1. Monotonic Convergence of the Sequences (Hp). Let \( p \) be a parameter where \( p \) is an integer between 0 and 5. We consider the functions \( g_p \) of a real variable defined on interval \( I = [-\infty, 1/2] \) by:
\[
g_0(x) = \frac{3}{2},
\]
\[
g_1(x) = x,
\]
\[
g_2(x) = \frac{5x^2}{4(x^2 - 2x + 2)},
\]
\[
g_3(x) = \frac{3x^3}{2(5x^2 - 8x + 4)},
\]
\[
g_4(x) = \frac{7x^4}{2(3x^4 - 16x^3 + 48x^2 - 48x + 16)},
\]
\[
g_5(x) = \frac{x^5}{7x^4 - 28x^3 + 46x^2 - 32x + 8}.
\]

where \( \beta \in [\alpha, x_0] \) and \( p \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 5\} \). Knowing that \( L_f(x) \leq g_p(L_f(x)) \) and that the derivatives \( f'_p \) of I.F. are given by (39),
\[
F_1(x) = x - \frac{f(x)}{2f'(x)} \left( \frac{2 - L_f(x)}{1 - L_f(x)} \right),
\]
\[
F_2(x) = x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)} \left( \frac{1 - L_f(x)}{L_f^2(x) - 6L_f(x) + 4} \right),
\]
\[
F_3(x) = x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)} \left( \frac{L_f^2(x) - 6L_f(x) + 4}{3L_f^2(x) - 8L_f(x) + 4} \right),
\]
\[
F_4(x) = x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)} \left( \frac{3L_f^2(x) - 8L_f(x) + 4}{-L_f^2(x) + 12L_f(x) - 20L_f(x) + 8} \right),
\]
\[
F_5(x) = x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)} \left( \frac{-L_f^2(x) + 12L_f(x) - 20L_f(x) + 8}{-L_f^2(x) + 5L_f(x) - 6L_f(x) + 2} \right).
\]
we deduce that $F_p'(x) \geq 0$ in $[a, b]$. So, $x_1 \geq a$. By induction, we obtain that $x_n \geq a$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

**Theorem 3.** Let $p \in \{0, 1, \cdots, 5\}, f \in C^m[a, b], m \geq 4$, $f'(x) \neq 0$, $f''(x) \neq 0$, $L_f(x) < 1/2$ and $L_f'(x) \leq g_p(L_f(x))$, on an interval $[a, b]$ containing the root $\alpha$ of $f$. Then, the sequences $(H_p)$ given by Equation (16), are decreasing (resp., increasing) and converge to $\alpha$ from any point $x_0 \in [a, b]$ checking $f(x_0)f'(x_0) > 0$ (resp. $f(x_0)f'(x_0) < 0$).

**Proof.** Let us consider the case where $(x_0)f'(x_0) > 0$, then $x_0 > a$. The application of the mean value theorem gives:

$x_1 - x_0 = -W_p(L_0) \frac{f(x_0)}{f'(x_0)}, \quad (43)$

where

$W_p(L_0) = \frac{S_p(L_0)}{S_{p+1}(L_0)}$, \quad (44)

$S_p(L_0) = \frac{1}{2^p} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{[p+1]/2} C_{p+1}^{2k+1}(1 - 2L_0)^k \right].$ \quad (45)

As $L_0 \in [-\infty, 1/2]$, then $S_p(L_0) > 0$, so $W_p(L_0) > 0$ for all $p \in \{0, 1, \cdots, 5\}$.

In addition, we have $f(x_0)f'(x_0) > 0$, we deduce that $x_1 < x_0$. Now, it is easy to prove by induction that $x_{n+1} \leq x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Thereby, the sequences (16) are decreasing and converge towards a limit $r \in [a, b]$, where $r > a$. So, by calculating the limit of Equation (16), we obtain

$r = r - \frac{f(r)}{f'(r)} W_p(L_f(r)). \quad (46)$

We have $L_f(r) < 1/2$ and $S_p(L_f(r)) > 0$, for every real $L_f(r) \in [-\infty, 1/2]$.

So, $W_p(L_f(r)) \neq 0$ and consequently $f(r) = 0$. As $r$ is the unique root of $f$ in $[a, b]$, therefore $r = \alpha$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

**Corollary 4.** Let $p \in \{0, 1, \cdots, 5\}, f \in C^m[a, b], m \geq 4$, $f'(x) \neq 0$, $f''(x) \neq 0$, $L_f'(x) \leq 0$ and $0 \leq L_f(x) < 1/2$ on an interval $[a, b]$ containing the root $\alpha$ of $f$. The sequences $(H_p)$ given by Equations (4), (10), (17), (18), (19), and (20) are decreasing (resp., increasing) and converge to $\alpha$, from any point $x_0 \in [a, b]$ checking $f(x_0)f'(x_0) > 0$ (resp. $f(x_0)f'(x_0) < 0$).

**Proof.** Taking into account that $g_p(t) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq t < 1/2$ for every integer $p \ (0 \leq p \leq 5)$, it follows that the condition $L_f'(x) \leq g_p(L_f(x))$ of Theorem 3 is well satisfied since $L_f'(x) \leq 0$. By applying Theorem 3, we obtain the thesis (for example, see Section 5.1).

**4. Principal Advantage of the New Family**

As the family $(Hp)$ is governed by formula (16), depending on the parameter $p$, where $p$ is a nonnegative integer, it would be interesting to look for which $p$ values, and under which conditions, the convergence is faster.

**Lemma 5.** Let $p \in \mathbb{IN}^*$. Let $(u_n)$ and $(v_n)$ be defined, respectively, by the sequences $(x_n^{p+1})$ and $(x_n^{p})$, given by formula (16).

Then, we have

$u_{n+1} - v_{n+1} = -\frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} \left( \frac{(L_n/2)^{p+2}}{S_{p+1}(L_n)S_{p+2}(L_n)} \right). \quad (47)$

**Proof.** We have the following:

$u_{n+1} - v_{n+1} = x_{n+1}^{p+1} - x_{n+1}^{p} = F_{p+1}(x_n) - F_p(x_n)$

$= \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} \left( \frac{S_{p+2}(L_n)S_p(L_n) - (S_{p+1}(L_n))^2}{S_{p+1}(L_n)S_{p+2}(L_n)} \right). \quad (48)$

We know that

$S_{p+2}(L_n) = S_{p+1}(L_n) - \frac{L_n}{2} S_p(L_n), \quad (49)$

$S_{p+2}(L_n)S_p(L_n) - (S_{p+1}(L_n))^2$

$= S_{p+1}(L_n) [S_p(L_n) - (S_{p+1}(L_n))] - \frac{L_n}{2} (S_p(L_n))^2$

$= \frac{L_n}{2} [S_{p+1}(L_n)S_{p-1}(L_n) - (S_p(L_n))^2]. \quad (50)$

We deduce that

$S_{p+2}(L_n)S_p(L_n) - (S_{p+1}(L_n))^2$

$= \left( \frac{L_n}{2} \right)^p [S_2(L_n)S_0(L_n) - (S_1(L_n))^2]. \quad (51)$

As

$S_0(L_n) = 1, S_1(L_n) = 1 - \frac{L_n}{2}, \quad (52)$

$S_2(L_n) = 1 - L_n,$

Then, $S_{p+2}(L_n)S_p(L_n) - (S_{p+1}(L_n))^2 = -(L_n/2)^{p+2},$ which completes the proof of the lemma.
Theorem 6. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let $(u_n)$ and $(v_n)$ be defined, respectively, by the sequences $(x^n_{p+1})$ and $(x^n_p)$ given by Equation (16), \( f \in \mathcal{C}^m[a, b] \), \( m \geq 4 \), \( f'(x) \neq 0 \), \( f''(x) \neq 0 \), \( 0 \leq L_f(x) < 1/2 \) and \( L_f'(x) \leq 0 \) on an interval \([a, b]\) containing the root \(\alpha\) of \(f\). Starting from the same initial point \(x_0 \in [a, b]\), the convergence’s rate of the sequence \((x^n_{p+1})\) is higher than one’s of the sequence \((x^n_p)\).

Proof. Supposing that the initial value \(x_0\) satisfies \(f(x_0) f'(x_0) > 0\), so \(x_0 > \alpha\). According to Corollary 4 given above, we know that if \(0 \leq L_f(x) < 1/21/2 \) and \(L_f'(x) \leq 0\) in \([a, b]\), the sequences \((x^n_p)\) and \((x^n_{p+1})\) are decreasing and converge to \(\alpha\) from any point \(x_0 \in [a, b]\).

Let \((u_n)\) and \((v_n)\) be defined, respectively, by \((x^n_{p+1})\) and \((x^n_p)\). Since \(u_0 = v_0 = x_0\) and the two sequences are decreasing, we expect that \(u_n \leq v_n\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). This can be shown by induction. Let \(n = 1\), then from (46),

\[ u_1 - v_1 = \frac{f(x_0)}{f'(x_0)} \left( \frac{(L_0/2)^{p+2}}{S_{p+1}(L_0)S_{p+2}(L_0)} \right), \]

where \(L_0 = L_f(x_0)\).

We know that \(S_{p+1}(L_0) > 0\) and \(S_{p+2}(L_0) > 0\) for \(L_0 < 1/2\).

So, \(S_{p+1}(L_0)S_{p+2}(L_0) > 0\). As \(L_0 > 0\) and \(f(x_0)f'(x_0) > 0\), then \(u_1 \leq v_1\).

Now, we assume that \(u_n \leq v_n\). Since, under the above hypotheses, \(F_{p+1}\) is an increasing function in \([a, b]\), we obtain \(F_{p+1}(u_n) \leq F_{p+1}(v_n)\).

On the other hand, we have

\[ F_{p+1}(v_n) - F_{p}(v_n) = \frac{f(v_n)}{f'(v_n)} \left( \frac{(L_f(v_n)/2)^{p+2}}{S_{p+1}(L_f(v_n))S_{p+2}(L_f(v_n))} \right) \leq 0. \]

We deduce that \(F_{p+1}(u_n) \leq F_{p}(v_n)\). So \(u_{n+1} \leq v_{n+1}\) and the induction is completed. The case \(f(x_0)f'(x_0) < 0\) is similar to the previous one.

Consequently, the power of the proposed family has been shown analytically by justifying that, under certain conditions, the convergence’s speed of its methods increases with the parameter \(p\), where \(p\) is a nonnegative integer. As the methods of Halley and super-Halley are particular cases of this family, in which the parameters \(p\) are the smallest, their convergence rates are smaller than the ones of the other new higher parameter methods.

5. Numerical Results

For the numerical results, we use a fixed stopping criterion of \(\varepsilon = 10^{-15}\) and \(|f(x_n)| \leq 10^{-15}\). The computations were performed using MATLAB R2015b.

In order to compare two methods, we give the number of iterations \((N)\) required to satisfy the stopping criterion, the number \((d)\) of function (and derivatives) evaluations per step, and the order of convergence \((q)\) of the method. Based on \((q)\) and \((d)\), there is an efficiency measure defined by \(E = q^{1/d}\) (efficiency index).

Unfortunately, for the methods of the same order \((q)\) and demanding the same number of function evaluations \((d)\), the efficiency index \((E)\) is the same for these methods. In this case, the comparison is made on the basis of the number of iterations \((N)\). This number depends on how far the starting point \(x_0\) is from \(\alpha\) and the value of the asymptotic constant. For two methods of the same order \((q)\), the one having the smallest asymptotic constant will converge faster than the other having the higher asymptotic constant, for a starting point \(x_0\) sufficiently close to \(\alpha\). But if \(x_0\) is too far from \(\alpha\) (and \(x_0\) in the basin of attraction of \(\alpha\)), it is possible that a method with a higher asymptotic constant converge faster [18]. Thus, in order to make the comparison more realistic and fairer, it is preferable to use an approximate asymptotic constant at the step \(n\), defined by

\[ \overline{C} = \frac{|x_{n+1} - \alpha|}{(x_n - \alpha)^q}, \]

where \(x_n\) and \(x_{n+1}\) are two consecutive iterations. In general, choosing \(x_0\) close enough to \(\alpha\) and a very high precision (300 significant digits or more), then, taking \(x_n\) and \(x_{n+1}\) closer to the root, \((\overline{C})\) will tend towards the theoretical asymptotic constant.

Furthermore, we cannot compare two methods of different \(q\)-order and demanding the same number of function evaluations \((\rho)\), on the basis of asymptotic constant. It is quite obvious that the method with the highest \(q\) is the fastest, for a starting point \(x_0\) sufficiently close to the solution. But, if \(x_0\) is too far from \(\alpha\) (and \(x_0\) in the basin of attraction of \(\alpha\)), the “order” of convergence is not necessarily \(q\), especially for the first iterations of the method [10]. Thus, it is more correct and judicious to use the computational order of convergence \((\rho)\) at the step \(n\), which can be approximated using the formula [37]:

\[ \rho = \ln \left( \frac{|x_{n+1} - \alpha|}{|x_n - \alpha|} \right) / \ln \left( \frac{|x_{n} - \alpha|}{|x_{n-1} - \alpha|} \right), \]

where \(x_{n-1}, x_n, \) and \(x_{n+1}\) are three consecutive iterations. In general, choosing \(x_0\) close enough to \(\alpha\) and a very high precision (300 significant digits or more), then, taking \(x_{n-1}, x_n, \) and \(x_{n+1}\) closer to the root, \((\rho)\) will tend towards the theoretical order of convergence \((q)\).

Here, the values of \(\rho\) and \(\overline{C}\) will be calculated by using the same total number of function evaluation iterations (or, if not possible, the same total number of iterations) for all methods.

The tests functions, used in Tables 1–7, and their roots \(\alpha\) are displayed in Table 2.

5.1. Numerical Comparison of the Six Proposed Methods.

Example: Given the function \(f_6(x) = x^2 + x - 12\) in the interval \([3, 10]\), we have \(\varepsilon C^m[a, b], m \geq 4, f'(x) \neq 0, f''(x) \neq 0\) in \(I\), \(L_f(x) = (x^2 + x - 12)/(2x + 1)^2\) and \(L_f'(x) = 0\). It is easy to prove that \(0 \leq L_f(x) < 1/2\) in \(I\). Since in addition,
|    | H0     | H1     | H2     | H3     | H4     | H5     | H6     | H7     |
|----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| x0 | 20     | 20     | 20     | 20     | 20     | 20     | 20     | 20     |
| x1 | 6.859387274155 | 5.355026082052 | 3.044792441275 | 4.011964011203 | 3.687806370693 | 3.473622813069 | 3.32890435280 | 3.229883661414 |
| x2 | 3.328990435280 | 3.028225951431 | 3.000000000072 | 3.000028422107 | 3.000000321176 | 3.00000001820 | 3.00000000005 | 3.0     |
| x3 | 3.000633220075 | 3.0000000001820 | 3.0     | 3.0     | 3.0     | 3.0     | 3.0     |        |
| x4 | 3.000000000005 | 3.0     |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| x5 | 3.0     |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| N  | 5       | 4      | 3      | 3      | 3      | 3      | 3      | 2      |
| ρ  | 1.6606  | 2.2382 | 2.9369 | 3.7147 | 4.5447 | 5.4116 | 6.3054 |        |
| Ĉ  | 8.5e-02 | 2.2e-03 | 3.6e-04 | 2.7e-05 | 9.9e-07 | 1.7e-08 | 1.5e-10 |        |

Table 1: Comparison between some methods of Halley's family in the case of monotonic convergence.
$L_f(x) \leq 0$ in $I$, then the conditions of Theorem 6 are satisfied for the sequences $(H_p)$ given by Equations (4), (10), (17), (18), (19), and (20). By taking $x_0 = 20$, we have $F_p(x_0) = 0 \text{ for } p \in \{0, 1, 2, 7\}$, and $f(x_0)f'(x_0) > 0$.

In this case, it is not easy to compare the chosen methods because they have the same order of convergence $(q = 3)$, the same number of function evaluations $(d = 3)$ by step, the same efficiency index $E = \sqrt{3}$, and the same theoretical asymptotic constant (see formula (21)). In Table 1, the comparison will be, thus, made on the basis of the number of iterations $(N)$, the approximate asymptotic constants $(\hat{C})$ defined by (53), and/or the computational order of convergence $(p)$ defined by (54). As we know that the method with the smallest values of $(N)$ and $(\hat{C})$ and/or the higher values of $(p)$ will be locally faster.

We note in Table 1 that

(i) All the sequences $(H_p)$ $(0 \leq p \leq 7)$ are decreasing and converge to the solution $a = 3$ of the equation $f(x) = 0$ in $I$

(ii) By increasing the value of the parameter $p$, where $p$ is a nonnegative integer, the values of $(N)$ and $(\hat{C})$ decrease and the value of $(p)$ increases. Thus, the convergence speed of these methods increases with the parameter $p$

(iii) The convergence rates of the new methods, in which the parameter $p$ is high $(p = 2, \ldots, 7)$, are higher than those of Halley’s $(p = 0)$ and super-Halley’s $(p = 1)$, in which the parameter $p$ is low.

The given example illustrates the great importance of the Theorem 6 which stipulates that, under certain conditions, the higher the parameter $p$ is $(p$ is a nonnegative integer), the faster the convergence of methods $(H_p)$ becomes.

5.2. Comparison with Other Third-Order Methods. In Table 3, we shall present the numerical results obtained by employing various cubically convergent iterative and Newton’s methods. Comparing Newton’s method (NM) defined by formula (3), Chebyshev’s method (CB) defined by (16) in [16], Chun’s method (CH) defined by Equation (30) with $a_0 = 1$ in [16], Sharma’s method (SM) defined by Equation (26) with $a_0 = 1$ in [17], Halley’s method (H0) defined by Equation (4) given before, and super-Halley’s method (H1) given by Equation (10) above, with the four new methods designated as H2, H3, H4, and H5 defined above, respectively, by Equations (17), (18), (19), and (20).

In Table 3, all the methods have the same order of convergence $(q = 3)$ and require the same number of function evaluations $(d = 3)$. Consequently, they have the same efficiency index $E = \sqrt{3}$. Thus, the comparison in Table 3 can be made on the basis of the number of iterations $(N)$ and the approximate asymptotic constants $(\hat{C})$ defined by (53). We know that the method with the smallest values of $(N)$ and $(\hat{C})$ is locally faster.

From the numerical results given in Table 3, we see that the four proposed methods (H2, H3, H4, and H5) of the new family appear more interesting and effective than the other chosen third-order methods, because in the majority of the selected examples, our methods converge with fewer iterations and smaller approximate asymptotic constants.

5.3. Comparison with Higher Order Methods. Now, we compare the four selected methods of the new family (H2, H3, H4, and H5) with some higher order methods: B, an eighth-order method, denotes for Bi et al. [37] (formula 36 with $\alpha = 1$); FG and NR, a fifth-order methods, respectively, denote for Fang et al. [32] (formula (2)) and Muhammad et al. [42] (algorithm 2.4.); CC represents the Chun and Ham method [34] (formulas (12), (13), (14)) and K denotes for Kou [33] (first formula), both last methods are from the sixth order; WG, a fourth-order iterative method, denotes for Wang [43] (formula (23)).

Table 4 shows the number of iterations $(N)$ and the number of function evaluations $(d)$ required to approximate the root $a$.

In Table 5, we exhibit the absolute values of the error $e_n = |x_n - a|$, the error in the consecutive iterations $|x_{n+1} - x_n|$, the absolute values of the function $|f(x_n)|$, the computational order of convergence $(p)$, and the efficiency index $(E)$.

In Table 4, the comparison, above, with several fifth-, sixth-, and even eighth-order methods, confirms the efficiency and power of the new proposed family. In fact, in most of the considered examples, Table 4 shows that our methods behave in a similar way as the higher order ones, as they require an equal or smaller number of function evaluations.
to \( \alpha \). However, for the first case \((f_6)\), in which the starting point \( x_0 \) is far from the root \( \alpha \), the higher order methods (FG, CC, and K) show low values of computational order of convergence \((\rho)\), contrary to our methods. This remark leads us to test some functions \((f_4, f_6, f_{14})\) to study the variation of \((\rho)\) as a function of \(x_0\). These results are displayed in Tables 6 and 7 that show that, for our methods \((H2, H3, H4, \text{and } H5)\), the choice of an \(x_0\) far from the root \( \alpha \) only results a small variation of \((\rho)\) comparing to its theoretical value \((q = 3)\). Contrary to high-order methods, the further away from \(x_0\), the more the value of \((\rho)\) decreases during the first iterations. This leads us to think that these high-

### Table 3: Comparison with other third order methods.

| Test \( f(x_0) \) | \( N \) | \( \rho \) | NM | CB | CH | SH | H0 | H1 | H2 | H3 | H4 | H5 |
|-------------------|--------|--------|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| \( f_6 \)         | 1.68   | 6      | 7   | 7  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  |
| \( f_2 \)         | 4      | 7      | 5   | 5  | 4  | 4  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  |
| \( f_4 \)         | -1.3   | 7      | 5   | 4  | 4  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  |
| \( f_4 \)         | 4      | 6      | 5   | 4  | 4  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  |
| \( f_5 \)         | 1.4    | 5      | 5   | 4  | 4  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  |
| \( f_6 \)         | 7      | 5      | 5   | 4  | 4  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  |
| \( f_7 \)         | -0.485 | 6      | 5   | 4  | 4  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  |
| \( f_8 \)         | 1      | 5      | 5   | 4  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  |
| \( f_9 \)         | 2.7    | 5      | 5   | 4  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  |

### Table 4: Comparison of methods of various orders in terms of the number of iterations and number of functions evaluations.

| Test \( f(x_0) \) | \( x_0 \) | \( N \): number of iterations | \( d \): number of functions evaluations |
|-------------------|---------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| \( f_6 \)         | 0.5     | 7                             | 3                                     |
| \( f_2 \)         | 25      | 4                             | 3                                     |
| \( f_4 \)         | 4.5     | 3                             | 3                                     |
| \( f_6 \)         | 1.34    | 3                             | 3                                     |
| \( f_4 \)         | -1      | 3                             | 3                                     |
| \( f_4 \)         | 1.55    | 8                             | 5                                     |
| \( f_4 \)         | 2.9     | 5                             | 3                                     |
| \( f_4 \)         | 1.2     | 5                             | 3                                     |
| \( f_4 \)         | 3.8     | 5                             | 3                                     |
| \( f_6 \)         | 2.55    | 3                             | 3                                     |
| \( f_6 \)         | -0.5    | 3                             | 3                                     |
| \( f_6 \)         | 3.4     | 3                             | 3                                     |
| \( f_6 \)         | 1.3     | 3                             | 3                                     |

| FG | CC | K | B | H2 | H3 | H4 | H5 |
|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 7   | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  |
| 4   | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  |
| 3.8 | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  |
| 2.55| 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  |
| 3   | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  |
| 2   | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  |
| 2   | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  |
| 2   | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  |
| 2   | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  |
| 2   | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3  |
order methods would start the first iteration with a speed lower than the normal one; then, in the line of iterations, would progressively regain speed to reach its maximum in the last iteration. Thus, the delay that would be in the first iterations could lead to a decrease in the average speed of convergence, and consequently to an increase in the number of iterations (N). This would explain why, in some cases (such as the example $f_6$), our methods, which are of order 3, show numbers (N) and (d) similar or even smaller than higher order methods, contrary to the predictions. Having
said that, the power of high-order methods is confirmed on several levels and it manifests itself especially when \( x_0 \) is close enough to the root and the required precision is very high.

### 6. Conclusion

In this paper, we built a new family of Halley’s method with third-order convergence for solving nonlinear equations with simple roots. The proposed scheme is interesting because it regenerates Halley’s method, super-Halley’s method, and infinity of new methods. The originality of this family lies, on the one hand, in the fact that these sequences are governed by a single formula depending on a parameter \( p \), where \( p \) is a nonnegative integer, and on the other hand, under certain conditions, the convergence speed of its methods improves when the \( p \) value increases. In order to reveal the quality of the new family, we focused on four of its methods. A first study on the global convergence of these selected methods was carried out. To test the new techniques, several digital examples were produced. The performance of our methods is compared with well-known methods of similar or higher order. The numerical results clearly illustrated the efficiency of the techniques of the new family proposed in this article.

### Table 6: Computational order of convergence \( \rho \), depending on the initial point \( x_0 \), for the test functions \( f_4 \) and \( f_6 \).

| \( \rho \) | \( \text{FG} \) | \( \text{CC} \) | \( \text{K} \) | Bi | H2 | H3 | H4 | H5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Order \( q \) | 5 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| \( x_0 \) | Test function \( f_4 \) |
| 0.3 | 4.7429 | | | 2.7409 | 2.9318 | 2.9590 | 2.9646 |
| 0.1 | 4.4570 | 5.5455 | | 2.8581 | 2.8189 | 2.9024 | 2.9244 |
| -0.1 | 4.1773 | 5.1588 | 5.3015 | 2.9200 | 2.6343 | 2.8233 | 2.8702 |
| -0.5 | 3.6627 | 4.5867 | 5.0169 | 2.9484 | 2.6780 | 2.6113 | 2.7280 |
| -1 | 3.1506 | 3.1506 | 4.5190 | 2.9478 | 2.6982 | 2.4953 | 2.5142 |
| -1.5 | 2.7697 | 3.5023 | 4.0880 | 2.9506 | 2.6627 | 2.5207 | 2.3521 |
| -3 | 2.1047 | 2.6112 | 3.1698 | 2.8010 | 2.9378 | 2.9798 | 3.0175 |
| -5 | 1.7092 | 2.0453 | 2.4891 | 4.2421 | 2.9347 | 2.7374 | 2.9153 | 2.9550 |

| \( x_0 \) | Test function \( f_6 \) |
| 5 | 4.7039 | 4.3965 |
| 7.7 | 4.1354 | 5.1086 |
| 8 | 4.0734 | 5.0409 | 5.3487 | 4.3498 | 5.3500 |
| 9 | 3.8739 | 4.8198 | 4.1957 | 5.1853 |
| 10 | 3.6876 | 4.6082 | 5.0228 | 4.0462 | 5.0228 |
| 12 | 3.3575 | 4.2215 | 4.7103 | 3.7670 | 4.7103 | 5.6757 |
| 15 | 2.9606 | 3.7360 | 4.2876 | 3.4044 | 4.2876 | 5.2065 | 6.1486 |
| 20 | 2.4971 | 3.1381 | 3.7147 | 6.1980 | 2.9369 | 3.7147 | 4.5447 | 5.4116 |
| 30 | 1.9836 | 2.4324 | 2.9473 | 4.9999 | 4.6232 | 2.9473 | 3.6126 | 4.3288 |
| 45 | 1.6030 | 1.9258 | 2.3177 | 3.9373 | 4.1916 | 2.3177 | 2.8057 | 3.3488 |

### Table 7: Computational order of convergence \( \rho \), depending on the initial point \( x_0 \), for the test function \( f_{14} \).

| Function \( f_{14} \) | \( \text{WG} \) | \( \text{FG} \) | \( \text{NR} \) | \( \text{CC} \) | \( \text{K} \) | Bi | H5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Order \( q \) | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 3 |
| \( x_0 \) | \( 3.2 \) | 3.8126 | 4.8186 | \( 3.3 \) | 3.5601 | 4.5698 | 4.7059 | 5.5998 | 2.9649 |
| \( 3.7 \) | 3.9222 | 4.0780 | 4.3604 | 5.1793 |
| \( 4 \) | 3.7560 | 3.5668 | 3.9211 | 4.6659 | -9.9472 | 3.1095 |
| \( 4.3 \) | 3.4810 | 3.1134 | 3.4138 | 4.1552 | 4.9295 | 5.9241 | 3.0837 |
| \( 4.5 \) | 3.2575 | 2.8586 | 3.0572 | 3.8386 | 4.7599 | 5.9345 | 3.0619 |
| \( 5 \) | 2.6876 | 2.3810 | 2.2061 | 3.1735 | 4.3238 | 5.4899 | 3.0081 |
| \( 6 \) | 1.9243 | 1.8673 | 1.2439 | 2.3522 | 3.8402 | 3.7814 | 2.8965 |
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