Introduction

The shoulder joint contributes towards the movement of the upper limbs; however, it has poor stability and is easily prone to injury [1]. Shoulder injuries are common in overhead athletes, with as many as 44% experiencing shoulder problems and 29% having shoulder pain at some point in their career [2]. The high prevalence of shoulder pain in these athletes is attributed largely to repetitive and excessive stress placed on the shoulder. In this year’s World Baseball Classic, repetitive stress due to more pitching was regarded as a problem and introduced the pitcher use limitation rules.

Scapular dyskinesia is one of the factors to increase mechanical stress in the shoulder joint [3-5]. Willmore et al. [5] showed that a decrease in scapula upward rotation and posterior tilting occur when there is an increase in the compressing and shearing force under the surface of the acromion. Sanchez et al. [6] found that the ratio of scapular dyskinesia in the shoulder pain group was higher than that in the pain-free group. The reported scapular dyskinesia is related to an increase in mechanical stress or shoulder injuries. Scapular dyskinesia is caused by muscle weakness or trunk misalignment [7,8]. On the other hand, fatigue of the shoulder muscles due to repetitive and prolonged overhead sports activity also appears to temporarily alter the scapular kinematics [9-13]. Ebaugh et al. [9] found post-fatigue scapular kinematic alterations, with increased scapular upward rotation and clavicular retraction in the mid ranges of arm elevation. Chopp et al. [11] showed decreased scapular posterior tilting and increased scapular external rotation after a fatiguing task. Thus, previous studies reported that shoulder fatigue leads to scapular dyskinesia and increased mechanical stress; however, to our knowledge, none of them researched fatigue resistance, which is how much fatigue leads to scapular dyskinesia.
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The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of fatigue resistance on scapular kinematics by analyzing the kinematics during repeated arm elevation. We hypothesized that fatigue resistance is different by direction of scapular kinematics.

**Materials and Methods**

Seventeen healthy subjects were recruited in this study. Their mean age was 20.5 ± 0.6 years, mean height was 169.6 ± 4.6 cm, and mean body mass was 60.6 ± 5.9 kg. No subject had any history of shoulder pain or injuries before participating in this study.

The study was performed in accordance with the World Medical Associations Declaration of Helsinki. The purpose, nature, and potential risks of the experiments were fully explained to the participants, and all participants gave written, informed consent prior to their inclusion in the study. The study protocol was approved by the research ethics committees of the respective affiliated institutes (17-3).

Participants held a dumbbell with their right hand and repeated arm elevation as much as possible in the scapular plane at the elbow extension position; movement from the downward position to the maximum elevated position and the opposite movement was repeated during constant 3-s periods. Repeated arm elevation was continued until either the appearance of compensatory movements, the participants could not fulfill the task within the time or were offered retirement.

The dumbbell was approximately 15% of the twice maximum shoulder abduction force. For the measurement of the shoulder abduction force, we used a hand-held dynamometer, Mobie (SAKAm ed, Osaka, Japan); force loading was applied with the shoulder at a 90° elevation in the scapular plane and the elbow extended 0° with the forearm midway between a thumb-up and thumb-down position. The belt of the Mobie was fixed to the distal humerus and the floor. The participants were asked to exert a maximum isometric contraction in the shoulder abduction direction [14].

Kinematic data were collected during the task using an electromagnetic tracking device, LIBERTY (Polhemus, Vermont, USA), and Motion Monitor software® version 8.43 (Innovative Sports Training, Inc., Chicago, IL). Data were collected at a 120-Hz sampling rate. The global coordinate system was established by a transmitter mounted on a rigid wooden base frame aligned with the cardinal planes of the body. Electromagnetic sensors were attached to the sternum, acromion, and humerus on the right side. The bony landmarks were palpated and digitized while the subject sat on a plastic chair and relaxed the arm to the side of the body. The landmarks were chosen in accordance with the International Society of Biomechanics [15]: the spinous processes of the 7th cervical and 8th thoracic vertebrae, suprasternal notch, and xiphoid process were the thoracic landmarks, the glenohumeral joint rotation center (estimated by the rotation method) and medial and lateral epicondyles were the humeral landmarks, and the acromial angle, root of the spine of the scapula, and the inferior angle were the scapular landmarks. Measurement angles were the scapular upward rotation, scapular posterior tilting, scapular external rotation, arm elevation, and horizontal adduction between the humeral and scapula plane.

We chose five sets of elevation data: the first elevation (0%), 25% of the iteration count (25%), 50% of the iteration count (50%), 75% of the iteration count (75%), and the final elevation (100%). Each arm elevation data was interpolated into 100 frames using the spline function. Scapular upward rotation, posterior tilting, and external rotation were used to examine fatigue resistance on scapular kinematics (Figure 1A). Additionally, we calculated the autocorrelation of the arm elevation angle tracking and horizontal adduction to investigate the statistical stationarity of movement (Figure 1B).

**Figure 1A:** Scapula kinematics during one arm elevation. Example of the scapula kinematics during one arm elevation. The dashed line represents upward rotation, the gray line represents posterior tilting, and the black line represents external rotation.

**Figure 1B:** Tracking of the arm elevation angle and horizontal adduction angle. An example of the tracking of the arm elevation angle and horizontal adduction angle. The black line represents the arm elevation angle at 0% and the dashed line represents at 25%. The closed circle represents the horizontal adduction angle at 0% and the open circle represents at 25%. We calculated each autocorrelation from the data of 100 tracks.
SPSS software for Windows version 24.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for data analysis. The effects of the conditions (0%, 25%, 50%, 75%, 100%) on scapular upward rotation, posterior tilting, and external rotation were analyzed using a one-way repeated measure analysis of variance (ANOVA). When a significant main effect of the condition was observed, the post-hoc Bonferroni test was used to determine the significant differences. The level of statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

Results

The maximum shoulder abduction force was 15.6 ± 2.3 kg (13.5 - 19.3 kg), and the dumbbell weight held by participants was 2.0 ± 0.5 kg (1.5 - 3.0 kg). The iteration count of arm elevation was 25.2 ± 10.2 times (10 - 38 times). Table 1 shows the autocorrelation of the arm elevation angle tracking and the horizontal adduction during repeated arm elevation.

Table 1: Autocorrelation with the first elevation of the arm elevation and the horizontal adduction.

| Condition | 25% | 50% | 75% | 100% |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|------|
| Arm elevation | 0.95 (0.80 - 0.99) | 0.92 (0.69 - 0.99) | 0.91 (0.70 - 0.98) | 0.90 (0.64 - 0.98) |
| Horizontal adduction | 0.69 (0.15 - 0.98) | 0.60 (0.31 - 0.91) | 0.64 (0.39 - 0.91) | 0.56 (0.18 - 0.93) |

The upward rotation angles were as follows: 0%, 34.8 ± 5.7°; 25%, 36.2 ± 7.8°; 50%, 37.0 ± 5.5°; 75%, 37.1 ± 6.1°; 100%, 38.1 ± 4.7°. The results from the ANOVA tests indicated a significant effect of the condition on upward rotation. Additionally, there was a significant difference in the angle between the 100% and 0% iteration count (p=0.014) (Figure 2A).

The posterior tilting angles were as follows: 0%, 21.6 ± 7.6°; 25%, 22.1 ± 6.2°; 50%, 20.6 ± 6.3°; 75%, 17.8 ± 8.0°; 100%, 17.7 ± 7.8°. The results from the ANOVA tests indicated a significant effect of the condition on posterior tilting. Additionally, post-hoc analysis revealed the angle to significantly decrease at the 75% and 100% iteration counts compared to 0% iteration counts (p=0.021) (Figure 2B).

The external rotation angles were as follows: 0%, 8.8 ± 4.8°; 25%, 7.3 ± 2.8°; 50%, 8.9 ± 2.9°; 75%, 9.9 ± 4.0°; 100%, 11.4 ± 4.0°. The results from the ANOVA tests indicated no effect of the condition on external rotation (Figure 2C).

Discussion

In the present study, we investigated the effect of fatigue resistance on the scapula kinematics by analyzing the kinematics during repeated arm elevation. Our results showed that the degree of shoulder fatigue contributed to the scapular kinematics. The most important findings of this study were that the angle of upward rotation was maintained until 75%, with an increase observed at 100%, and the angle of posterior tilting was maintained until 50%, with a decrease observed after 75%.
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Generally, there are many reports suggesting that muscle fatigue affects the muscle activation pattern and scapula kinematics [9,10,16-19]. Szucs et al. [17] showed that higher upper trapezius activation may be compensatory for fatigue in the other shoulder muscles. Joshi et al. [19] investigated the influence of external-rotation fatigue and showed increased scapula upward rotation and decreased lower trapezius activity. However, no researchers have previously measured fatigue resistance, which is how much fatigue leads to scapular dyskinesia. Our results showed that the scapula upward rotation at 100% significantly increased compared to the rotation at 0%. Moreover, it was confirmed by a high autocorrelation of 0.90-0.95 that participants could perform unified arm elevation. It was suggested that muscle fatigue by repeated arm elevation increased the upward rotation, which was maintained until complete exhaustion.

Scapular posterior tilting was maintained until 50% and a decrease was observed after 75%. Posterior tilting during arm elevation plays an important role in extending the acromio-humeral distance; a decrease results in the risk of impingement syndrome [20,21]. Lukasiewicz et al. [22] reported subjects with impingement demonstrated a significantly lower posterior tilting angle using a 3-dimensional electromechanical digitizer; suggesting that altered posterior tilting may be an important aspect of the scapular kinematics analysis. However, the evaluation of scapular kinematics is generally carried out by measuring the upper rotation or scapula-spine distance; it is difficult to evaluate alterations in the posterior tilting angle in the clinic [23]. The decrease in the posterior tilting angle was observed before the increase in the upward rotation angle; the decrease in the posterior tilting angle appears when it exceeds 50%. These results may help to keep stress under the surface of the acromion by limiting within 50% of the iteration count.

No differences were observed in the angle of scapular external rotation during the fatigue task. These findings on the angle of external rotation were not in agreement with those of the study by Chopp et al. [11] and Ebaugh et al. [24], who reported an increase in scapular external rotation. On the other hand, Tsai et al. [25] reported a decrease in the scapular external rotation post-fatigue. In this study, we controlled the elevation plane by visual confirmation during the fatigue task; however, autocorrelation of the horizontal adduction tracking was 0.56 - 0.69, meaning the elevation may not be in the same plane. These contrasting results concerning the scapular external rotation angle may be due to difficulty in controlling the elevation plane.

The present study had several limitations. First, our participant sample included young adults who were not athletes; when analyzing the repeated arm elevation, the tendency of scapula kinematics might be different depending on the different sports performed by athletes. Second, we did not perform electromyography during the fatigue protocol, and it was unknown which muscles affected the scapula kinematics. In future studies, the scapula kinematics of more complicated movements, such as the throwing motion, should be investigated. Furthermore, the muscles affecting shoulder kinematics should be clarified. Muscle fatigue by repeated arm elevation resulted in an increased angle of upward rotation, which was maintained until just before complete exhaustion. A decrease in the posterior tilting angle was observed before (exceeding 50% of the iteration count) the increase in the upward rotation angle. It is suggested that limiting the posterior tilting angle within 50% of the iteration count might be important to prevent scapular dyskinesia caused by muscle fatigue.
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