Demand for Cervical Cancer Screening in Tigray Region of Ethiopia in 2018: A Community-Based Cross-Sectional Study
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Background: Cervical cancer is a public health concern worldwide, and is increasing in developing countries. Despite the efforts of governments, demand for cervical cancer screening is low and not well studied. As such, this study was done to figure out the demand for cervical cancer screening in Tigray regional state.

Methods: A cross-sectional study design was conducted, and 1,010 participants were recruited using simple random sampling. Data were collected using an interviewer-administered questionnaire, and analysis was done using multilevel logistic regression.

Results: A total of 1,000 women were included in the final analysis. The mean age of participants was 32.5±8.56 years. Those demanding cervical cancer screening numbered 480 (48%). Intraclass correlation indicated that 18.9% of the total variance in demand was attributable to differences across the cluster districts (p=0.0001). Predictors of demand for cervical cancer screening were age 31–40 years (AOR 2.33, 95% CI 0.42–3.83) and 41–45 years (AOR 3.02, 95% CI 1.64–5.55), tertiary education (AOR 2.81, 95% CI 1.43–5.51), history of sexually transmitted disease (AOR 1.71, 95% CI 1.05–2.79), being knowledgeable about cervical cancer, (AOR 9.21, 95% CI 5.79–14.65), and having a positive attitude toward cervical cancer screening (AOR 8.32, 95% CI 5.53–12.51). Among community level variables, community awareness of cervical cancer and population:health institution ratio were factors associated with demand.

Conclusion: Demand for cervical cancer screening is low compared to the government’s plan for 2020. Health professionals and leaders need to focus on community-level demand creation for cervical cancer screening by planning health-promotion strategies.
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Introduction
Cervical cancer is a public health concern worldwide, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa. Studies done in six countries have shown that the overall rate of cervical precancer lesions is approximately 10.1%.1 In Colombia, cervical cancer mortality declined rapidly from 27.2% in 1998 to 12.5% in 2013.2 A study done in Burkina Faso showed that the precancer-lesion rate was 8.9% and only 65.9% of women testing positive received cryotherapy, due to difficulties in tracking referred patients, shortage of supplies, and prohibitive user fees.3 The mortality pattern of cervical cancer is higher in old than young women and lower among those who have tertiary education.4 Such risk factors as early sexual debut and multiple sexual partners are important predictors of cervical cancer.4
“Demand” in this context is the expression of felt need from individuals perceiving a variation from normal health. Demand for cervical cancer screening incorporates willingness, plans for, and practice of screening. The demand of women for cervical cancer screening was measured in terms of willingness, plans to get screened within the next 6 months, and/or cervical cancer–screening practice. Demand for cervical cancer screening in developing countries has been found to be low. Interest of screening has been shown to be 11% in Kenya, 3.3% in Nigeria, and 63% in Uganda. However, other research has shown up to 87.3% of participants have at least one cervical cancer screening in their lifetime.

The difference in demand for cervical cancer screening is rising not only between countries, but also among geographical regions within a country. A null model in a study revealed that the amount of variation attributable to neighborhoods was 5.6%–7.3%. Low demand for cervical cancer screening is related to low awareness of cervical cancer. The proportion of women with knowledge of cervical cancer is 32.3% in Nigeria and 37.4% in Gambia. Major sources of information about cervical cancer screening are health facilities (31.3%) and friends (30.9%), though 34.2% of participants in other research knew about cervical cancer, but only 24.8% accepted the test. Self-perception of health status is also related to demand for cervical cancer screening.

As shown in different studies, barriers to cervical cancer screening include socioeconomic status, education, ethnicity, geography, and fear or embarrassment. Other variables associated with acceptance of screening are sources of information, awareness of the test, preventability of the disease, employment, and living in rural areas. The level of acculturation and experience of sexual intercourse are also predictors of cervical cancer–screening behavior in Chinese women. The most common reasons given for not getting screened were lack of awareness, no need for it, and fear of a bad result. The most common attributable risk was being a male care provider, with values ranging from 16.8% in the Middle East and North Africa to 27.4% in East Asia and the Pacific.

The Ethiopian Ministry of Health is striving to improve cervical cancer–screening demand. Ethiopia introduced the visual inspection with acetic acid (VIA) screening approach for a precancerous lesion in 2005. It is run by trained professionals as an essential health service. However, in 2018 only 2% of health institutions were providing cervical cancer screening in Ethiopia, and service utilization by eligible women remains low (0.8%). Besides, there has been no study done on demand for cervical cancer screening in the study area. Therefore, this study focused on assessment of the demand of adult women for cervical cancer screening using the VIA method in the Tigray region. It also provides information about predictors of demand for cervical cancer screening.

Methods

Study Area

The study was conducted in Tigray region, which is located in northern Ethiopia and covers 53,638 km². The region is divided administratively into seven zones and 52 districts (woredas, an administrative division of Ethiopia, managed by local government). Based on the federal population projection of 2014–2017, there are about 5,247,005 populations in Tigray region, of which 2,516,003 are female. Using the conversion factor of 23.5%, about 591,261 are reproductive-age women. Thirty towns with cervical cancer screening available were considered for the study area in the region.

Study Design and Period

A community-based cross-sectional study design was conducted from January to April 2019.

Source Population

All sexually active adult women aged 20–60 years who had lived in the study area for at least 6 months were included in this study. Those who had a history of hysterectomy were excluded.

Sample Size and Sampling Technique

The sample size was calculated with the assumption of a confidence level of 95% and proportions of 27%, 42%, 62.7%, and 56% from different studies considering the respective marginal error. By taking the proportion that gives the maximum sample size and assuming a 20% nonresponse rate, the total sample size was 1,010. Recruitment of participants was done using simple random sampling based on a list of eligible women prepared by a health-extension worker.

Data-Collection Methods

Data were collected by trained health professionals and health-extension workers in the selected districts.
Participants were approached at their homes and asked to participate after being given basic information about the aim of the study. After they agreed to participate, an interviewer-administered questionnaire was used to collect data. The questionnaire was developed by reviewing different studies and had been tested on a similar community that was not included in the study. It comprises components of demographic, reproductive, and cervical cancer-related variables.

Study Variables
Outcome Variable
The outcome variable was women’s demand for cervical cancer screening, defined as intent to get screened for cervical cancer (including willingness, plans, and undertaking screening), which was measured when a woman responded positively at least one of three questions: 1) “Do you have an interest in cervical cancer screening?”; 2) “Do you plan to get screened within the next 6 months?”; and 3) “Have you ever been screened for cervical cancer?” Respondents of “yes” for at least one of the three questions was considered as demand for cervical cancer screening.

Explanatory Variables
We used individual-level and community-level data. Individual-level variables were age, ethnicity, marital status, religion, education, occupation, number of sexual partners, use of modern contraceptives, number of children, abortion, age at first sexual encounter, sexually transmitted infection (STI), drug use for chronic disease, smoking, alcohol use, and knowledge of and attitude toward cervical cancer.

Knowledge
Nineteen items were used to assess knowledge and classified under four categories: information about cervical cancer (one item), types of signs and symptoms (six items), risk factors (eight items), and prevention methods (four items). Respondents were categorized as knowledgeable if they scored >50% and regarded as less knowledgeable otherwise. Attitudes of participants toward cervical cancer screening were measured using six items asking about the respondents’ degree of agreement with six-point Likert scales, scored from 0 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). Attitudes were categorized as positive if they scored >60% and less positive otherwise. Active or passive smoking was measured with yes/no questions, regardless of frequency and number of cigarettes. Alcohol consumption was considered any amount of alcohol, including traditional drinks like tela and arekie, and was measured in terms of frequency as always, sometimes, and never.

Community-level variables were nonaggregate and aggregate. Nonaggregate variables included type of residence and percentage of literacy (based on integrated functional adult education from an unpublished report in the regional education department). Aggregate variables included average family size, mean awareness score on cervical cancer, ratio of population to health-extension workers, ratio of health professionals involved in cervical cancer screening to population per thousand, and ratio of population per health institution in each district. Individual-level variables were used to develop some of the aggregate community-level explanatory variables. Mean family size was derived from the individual-level variable using the Stata command “tabstat Family Size, stats (mean, n, sum) by (Cluster)”. The average community-awareness score was also derived from the individual average score using the Stata command “tab Cluster, sum (Community Awareness)”. Other community-level variables were developed based on Ethiopian health-policy guidelines.

Data Analysis
In this research, we used data on individual-level and community-level variables. Multilevel logistic regression was used to analyze these data. Crude ORs with 95% CIs were tested using Pearson’s χ², and variables with p<0.05 in the cross-tabulation were considered for multilevel multivariate logistic regression analysis.

Model Building
With the “xtmelogit” command, models I, II, and III were fitted using Stata version 14.0. The null model (model I) was fitted to see whether an intraclass correlation were >5% or not for the determination of multilevel analysis. To inspect the influence of individual-level (level one) variables on the outcome variable, model II was fitted. Finally, model III was done using both level one and two explanatory variables to evaluate the adjusted effect size of the predictors on the outcome variable. To check differences in demand among the clusters, proportional change in variance was calculated in the two last models compared with the null model. Differences among models was assessed using the χ² likelihood ratio, and p<0.05 was taken as statistically significant.
Ethical Issues
This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Ethical approval was received from the institutional review board of the University of Gondar (registration OIV/P/RCS/05/308/2017). Support letters were also taken from the regional health bureau to each district health office before data collection. Participants were informed about data collection, voluntary participation, the right of withdrawal, and privacy and confidentiality of their information. Finally, informed written consent was obtained before data collection.

Results
Demographic Characteristics
Of 1,010 participants, 1,000 (99%) women were included in the final analysis. The mean age of participants was 32.57 ±8.56 years. Of the total, 501 (50.1%) were in the age-range 31–40 years. Secondary and tertiary education were more common among those who had demand for cervical screening: 197 (57.3%) and 83 (62.4%), respectively (Table 1).

Reproductive Health
Of the respondents, 554 (55.40%) used contraceptives, 168 (16.8%) had had five or more pregnancies, 120 (12%) had had five or more children, and 178 (17.8%) had had at least one abortion. Among those who had a history of abortion, 104 (58.4%) had demand for cervical cancer screening, while 74 (41.6%) had no demand. Among contraceptive users, 285 (51.4%) showed demand and 269 (48.6%) no demand (Table 2).

Risk Factors of Cervical Cancer
Age at first sexual contact for the participants was 7–31 years, with mean age of 17.55±3.19 years. Of the participants, about 867 (86.7%) had had their first sexual contact aged ≤20 years, and 412 (41.20%) had had at least two sexual partners in their lifetime. Seventy (7%) were smokers, 69 (6.9%) frequent alcohol users, and 83 (8.3%) corticosteroid users. Regarding disease history, 177 (17.7%) had experienced signs and symptoms of an STI, of which 110 (62.15%) had demanded cervical cancer screening, while 67 (37.8%) had not (Table 3).

Knowledge and Attitudes of Participants on Cervical Cancer
Among the participants, 470 (47%) women had heard about cervical cancer, of which 298 (63.4%) had heard about it from media and 133 (28.2%) from health professionals. Only

| Table 1 Bivariate Analysis of Demographic Characteristics Versus Demand of Participants for Cervical Cancer Screening in Tigray, Ethiopia, 2019 (n=1,000) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                  | Demand          | Total (%)       | p-value         |
|                  | Yes (%)         | No (%)          |
| Age-group, years|                 |                 |                 |
| 20–30            | 76 (36.5)       | 132 (63.5)      | 208 (20.8)      |
| 31–40            | 259 (51.7)      | 242 (48.3)      | 501 (50.1)      |
| 41–50            | 113 (53.1)      | 100 (46.9)      | 213 (21.3)      |
| 51–60            | 32 (41.0)       | 46 (59.0)       | 78 (7.8)        |
| Religion         |                 |                 |                 |
| Orthodox         | 462 (49.0)      | 480 (51.0)      | 942 (94.2)      |
| Muslim           | 18 (31.0)       | 40 (7.69)       | 58 (5.8)        |
| Marital status   |                 |                 |                 |
| Married          | 332 (51.9)      | 308 (48.1)      | 640 (64.0)      |
| Divorced         | 128 (50.6)      | 125 (49.4)      | 253 (25.3)      |
| Unmarried        | 60 (56.1)       | 47 (43.9)       | 107 (10.7)      |
| Education        |                 |                 |                 |
| None             | 70 (31.2)       | 154 (68.8)      | 224 (22.4)      |
| Primary          | 130 (43.5)      | 169 (56.5)      | 299 (29.9)      |
| Secondary        | 197 (57.3)      | 147 (42.7)      | 344 (34.4)      |
| Tertiary         | 83 (62.4)       | 50 (37.6)       | 133 (13.3)      |
| Occupation       |                 |                 |                 |
| Civil servant    | 75 (68.8)       | 34 (31.2)       | 109 (10.9)      |
| Merchant         | 88 (44.7)       | 109 (55.3)      | 197 (19.7)      |
| Housewife        | 209 (52.4)      | 190 (47.6)      | 399 (39.9)      |
| Daily work       | 54 (29.5)       | 129 (70.5)      | 183 (18.3)      |
| Privately employed | 54 (48.2) | 58 (51.8) | 112 (11.2) |
294 (29.4%) were knowledgeable, and of those, 258 (87.8%) had demanded cervical cancer screening, significantly more than those who were less knowledgeable (222 [31.4%; p<0.001). In sum, 349 (34.97%) had positive attitudes toward cervical cancer screening, of which 297 (85.1%) had demand for cervical screening(p<0.001).

Community-Level Variables
Among the participants, 523 (52.30%) were living in rural districts. Of the urban dwellers, 261 (54.7%) had demand for cervical cancer screening. Mean family size of the clusters was 3.1–5.13, with an overall mean of 4.02±0.49. Community-awareness scores ranged 1.52–8.26 with a mean of 4.36±1.86. The health professional:population ratios per thousand were 1.45–23.46, with a mean of 5.67±4.18. Population: health-institution ratios were 2,600–42,341, with a mean of 15,651±9,546.76. Literacy at the district level was 10.3%–100%, with a mean of 72.63±29.25.

Demand for Cervical Cancer Screening
Overall, those with a demand for cervical screening numbered 480 (48%): 473 (47.3%) expressed willingness during the interview to undertake cervical cancer screening, 207 (20.7%) planned to get screened within the coming 6 months, and only 90 (9.0%) had been screened for cervical cancer in their lifetime.

Factors Associated with Demand for Cervical Cancer Screening
Based on the bivariate analysis, variables with p≤0.05 were used in the multilevel multivariate analysis. Among the individual variables, age group, religion, educational status, occupation, contraceptive use, abortion, age at first sex, STI history, knowledge of cervical cancer, and attitudes toward cervical cancer screening showed significance. Among the community variables, type of district, mean family size, mean community awareness, ratio of households to health-extension workers, ratio of health professionals to population per thousand, and ratio of population to health institutions were significant. Other individual- and community-level variables did not attain significance on Pearson’s χ².

Multilevel Multivariate Analysis
Measures of both association and variation were analyzed using individual- and community-level variables. Using the “xtmelogit” command, the null model (model I) showed that there was statistically significant variation in the odds of demand for cervical cancer screening among clusters. The intraclass correlation in the null model indicated that 18.9% of the total variance in women’s demand for cervical cancer screening was attributable to differences among district clusters (p<0.0001).

After adjustment for individual-level variables in model II, the intraclass correlation showed that 11.9% of the variation in demand for cervical cancer screening was because of differences among clusters. The proportional change in variance of this model showed that 42% of the variance in demand across clusters was explained by individual-level variables. After adjustment for both individual- and community-level variables in model III, 71.6%
of the proportional change in variance in the demand for cervical cancer screening across clusters was explained by both individual- and community-level variables. Finally, the variance-partition coefficient decreased from 18.9% in the null model to 6% in the final model (Table 4).

Compared to those aged <30 years, participants aged 31–40 years (AOR 2.33, 95% CI 0.42–3.83) and 41–50 years (AOR 3.02, 95% CI 1.64–5.55) were more likely to have demand for cervical cancer screening. Compared with orthodox Christians, Muslims were 67% less likely (AOR 0.33, 95% CI 0.14–0.78) to have demand for cervical cancer screening. Participants with secondary education (AOR 2.01, 95% CI 1.19–3.39) and tertiary education (AOR 2.81, 95% CI 1.43–5.51) were more likely to have a demand for cervical cancer screening than those who have no formal education.

Daily workers were 68% (AOR 0.32, 95% CI 0.14–0.69), less likely to have a demand for cervical cancer screening than civil servants. Participants with a history of STIs were 1.71 times (AOR 1.71, 95% CI 1.05–2.79), more likely to have a demand for cervical cancer screening than their counterparts. Participants who were knowledgeable about cervical cancer were 9.21 times (AOR 9.21, 95% CI 5.79–14.65) more likely to have a demand for cervical cancer screening than those who were less knowledgeable. In addition, those with a positive attitude toward cervical cancer screening were 8.32 times (AOR 8.32, 95% CI 5.53–12.51) more likely to have a demand for cervical cancer screening than their counterparts.

Among the community-level variables, community awareness showed a significant association with demand. For every unit increase in mean community-awareness score, there was an increase in demand for cervical cancer screening of 25% (AOR 1.25, 95% CI 1.05–1.48). For each unit increase in the ratio of population to health institutions, there was a decrease in demand for cervical

Table 3 Bivariate Analysis of Cervical Cancer Risk Factors versus Demand for Cervical Cancer Screening in Tigray, Ethiopia, 2019 (n=1,000)

| Age at first sex | Demand | Total (%) | p-value |
|------------------|--------|-----------|---------|
|                  | Yes (%)| No (%)    |         |
| ≤20 years        | 402 (46.4) | 465 (53.6) | 867 (86.7) |
| ≥21 years        | 78 (58.6)  | 55 (41.4)  | 133 (13.3)  |

| Lifetime sexual partner(s) | Demand | Total (%) | p-value |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----------|---------|
| One                         | 277 (47.1) | 311 (52.9) | 588 (58.8) |
| Two or more                 | 203 (49.27) | 209 (50.73) | 412 (41.2) |

| Smoking                  | Demand | Total (%) | p-value |
|--------------------------|--------|-----------|---------|
| Yes                      | 28 (40.0) | 42 (60.0) | 70 (7.0) |
| No                       | 452 (48.6) | 478 (51.4) | 930 (93.0) |

| Alcohol use               | Demand | Total (%) | p-value |
|----------------------------|--------|-----------|---------|
| Always                     | 38 (55.1) | 31 (44.9) | 69 (6.9) |
| Sometimes                  | 299 (49.1) | 310 (50.9) | 609 (60.9) |
| Never                      | 143 (44.4) | 179 (55.6) | 322 (32.2) |

| Corticosteroid use         | Demand | Total (%) | p-value |
|----------------------------|--------|-----------|---------|
| Yes                        | 47 (56.6) | 36 (43.4) | 83 (8.3) |
| No                         | 433 (47.2) | 484 (52.8) | 917 (91.7) |

| History of sexually transmitted infection | Demand | Total (%) | p-value |
|-------------------------------------------|--------|-----------|---------|
| Yes                                       | 110 (62.15) | 67 (37.8) | 177 (17.7) |
| No                                        | 370 (44.96) | 453 (55.04) | 823 (82.3) |

Table 4 Distribution of Measures of Variation in Demand for Cervical Cancer Screening in Tigray, Ethiopia, 2019 (n=1,000)

| Variability and Model Test | Model I (null) | Model II | Model III |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|
| Variance                   | 0.7709658      | 0.4467945 | 0.219282  |
| ICC                        | 0.1898541      | 0.1195705 | 0.0624886 |
| PCV (%)                    | Reference      | 0.42047429 | 0.71557493 |
| Model selection            | –640.36628     | –413.34727 | –406.35585 |
| LR                         | 1,284.733      | 864.6945  | 864.7117  |
| AIC                        | 1,294.548      | 957.9419  | 992.3133  |
| BIC                        | –150.9962      | –31.19058 | 1.71      |

| Model-fit diagnostics      | Mean VIF      | Area under ROC curve | 90% CI |
|----------------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------|
| VIF                        | 1.71          | 0.9039               |        |
| LROC                       |               |                      |        |
Table 5 Multilevel mutivariable Logistic Regression Analysis of Individual and Community Variable by Demand for Cervical Cancer Screening in Tigray, Ethiopia, 2019 (n=1,000)

| Individual | Demand | AOR (95% CI) |
|------------|--------|-------------|
|            | Yes (%) | No (%)      | Model II | Model III |
| Age-group, years |        |             |          |          |
| 20–30      | 76 (36.5) | 132 (63.5) | 2.43 (1.48, 4.00)*** | 2.33 (0.42, 3.83)** |
| 31–40      | 259 (51.7) | 242 (48.3) | 3.10 (1.69, 5.70)*** | 3.02 (1.64, 5.55)*** |
| 41–50      | 113 (53.1) | 100 (46.9) | 1.59 (0.70, 3.63) | 1.53 (0.67, 3.47) |
| 51–60      | 32 (41.0) | 46 (59.0) | I         | I         |
| Religion   | Orthodox | 462 (49.0) | I         | I         |
|            | Muslim   | 480 (51.0) | I         | I         |
| Education  | None     | 70 (31.2) | I         | I         |
|            | Primary  | 130 (43.5) | I         | I         |
|            | Secondary| 197 (57.3) | I         | I         |
|            | Tertiary | 83 (62.4) | I         | I         |
| Occupation | Civil servant | 75 (68.8) | I         | I         |
|            | Merchant  | 88 (44.7) | I         | I         |
|            | Housewife | 209 (52.4) | I         | I         |
|            | Daily work| 54 (29.5) | I         | I         |
|            | Privately employed | 54 (48.2) | I         | I         |
| Contraceptive use | Yes | 285 (51.4) | 1.35 (0.90, 2.00) | 1.27 (0.85, 1.89) |
|            | No       | 195 (43.7) | I         | I         |
| Abortion(s) | One or more | 104 (58.4) | 1.48 (0.92, 2.38) | 1.38 (0.86, 2.23) |
|            | None     | 376 (45.7) | I         | I         |
| Age at first sex | ≤20 years | 402 (46.4) | 1.10 (0.63, 1.93) | 1.11 (0.63, 1.95) |
|            | ≥21 years| 78 (58.6) | I         | I         |
| History of sexually transmitted infection | Yes | 110 (62.2) | 1.80 (1.11, 2.94)* | 1.71 (1.05, 2.79)* |
|            | No       | 370 (44.9) | I         | I         |
| Knowledge of cervical cancer | Knowledgeable | 258 (87.7) | 9.7 (6.13, 15.33)*** | 9.2 (5.79, 14.7)*** |
|            | Less knowledgeable | 222 (31.4) | 8.7 (5.79, 13.09)*** | 8.3 (5.53, 12.5)*** |
| Attitude regarding cervical cancer | SupportPositive | 297 (85.1) | 1.04 (0.59, 1.82) | I         |
|            | Lesspositive | 183 (28.1) | I         | I         |

| Community | Type of district | Urban | Rural | I         |
| Mean family size among clusters | 1.16 (0.67, 2.01) | |
| Mean score of community awareness among clusters | 1.25 (1.05, 1.48)** | 0.99 (0.99, 1.00) |
| Ratio of households to HEW across clusters | 0.95 (0.88, 1.03) | 0.99 (0.99, 0.99)* |
| Ratio of health professionals to population/1,000 across clusters | 0.98 (0.96, 1.00) | |
| Ratio of population to health institutions across clusters | |
| Literacy of zonal average across clusters | |

Notes: *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001.
Abbreviations: HEW, health-extension worker.

Discussion
Demand for cervical cancer screening was assessed based on willingness, plans to screen, and/or being screened. The demand for cervical cancer in the community is low.
compared to the government’s plan for 2020. Women’s demand for cervical cancer screening was subject to variations across the cluster districts. This could be due to better community awareness of cervical cancer and population:health institution difference across the community. Demand for cervical cancer screening was high among those aged 31–50 years. Having a tertiary education, history of STIs, previous knowledge about cervical cancer, and positive attitude toward cervical cancer screening were variables significantly associated with demand for cervical screening.

The observed demand for cervical cancer screening in the community is higher than findings in Kenya, which were 11%.\textsuperscript{7} This might be because of better community penetration by health-extension workers in Ethiopia: the country has had a community health-extension program since 2003. Health-extension workers strengthen the health outcomes and coverage of essential services, mainly focusing on maternal- and child- health services in villages of Ethiopia. Regarding knowledge, only 294 (29.4%) of the study participants had good knowledge. This is less than studies done in Nigeria (32.3%) and Gambia (37.4%).\textsuperscript{3,15} This could be because the study was conducted in mostly rural areas. Ethiopia started the cervical cancer screening–service expansion and mobilization officially in 2015.

In this study, only 20.7% planned to get screened within the following 6 months and 9.0% had ever been screened for cervical cancer. This finding is less than the study in Burkina Faso (63%) and greater than the study in Nigeria (3%).\textsuperscript{3,4} Ethiopia introduced cervical cancer screening more recently than other east African countries. Participants aged 30 years and above showed demand for cervical cancer screening, similar to a study done in Lagos.\textsuperscript{5} One possible explanation could be that women aged 30 or more years have experienced reproductive-organ screening, which might enable them to use the chance for cervical cancer screening.

Muslim followers were less likely to have a demand for cervical cancer screening. Ethiopian families are generally male-dominated, and this might even be worse among the Muslim community and so could affect decisions on reproductive health issues, including cervical cancer screening. With increased education, the demand for cervical cancer screening also increased. This is supported by studies done in Kenya, the UK, Addis Ababa, and Latin American and Caribbean countries, wherein education was positively associated with cervical cancer screening.\textsuperscript{5,12,17,18} It is logical that educated individuals could be more inclined to promote their health status by being better informed.

Similarly, knowledge, community awareness, and positive attitudes toward cervical cancer screening were also strong predictors of demand for screening. This is similar to studies done in Nairobi, Gambia, Nigeria, the US, and Ethiopia that showed good knowledge on cervical cancer was a positive predictor of demand for screening.\textsuperscript{2,15–18} It is also supported by studies done in the UK and Canada, which showed that good attitudes toward screening were a predictor of demand.\textsuperscript{12,13} The ratio of population to health institutions was a negative predictor of demand for screening. This makes sense: as the number of customers per institution increased, the chances of getting screened decreased.

In this study, civil servants had higher demand for cervical cancer screening than daily workers. This finding is supported by studies done in Kenya and Colombia, where cervical cancer screening was prevalent among employed women.\textsuperscript{5,10} Though cervical cancer screening is free in some governmental hospitals, it is expensive at private health institutions. This is why sustainable income among employed women matters in getting screened. Participants with a history of STIs showed demand for cervical cancer screening. It is reasonable that those exposed to STIs perceived themselves as needing more screening and were likely to get advice from health professionals about cervical cancer screening. One of the limitations of this study is that though mean multicollinearity was <10, most of the level two variables were aggregated from individual-level variables, which may have been correlated.

**Conclusion**

This study focused on demand for cervical cancer screening and associated factors. Based on the findings, close to half the participants showed demand. Age-groups 30–40 and 41–50 years, secondary and tertiary education, history of STIs, being knowledgeable, positive attitudes, and community awareness were positive predictors of demand. Being Muslims and a daily worker (level one variables) and population:health institution ratio (level two) were negative predictors of demand for cervical cancer screening.

It would be better if health professionals educated eligible women who visit their institutions about cervical cancer screening, and priority should be given those who have had STIs. It is recommended that policy-makers focus more on health-service accessibility
and creation of demand for screening by planning health-promotion strategies at institutional and community levels. Moreover, it is also recommended to enhance the education of the community, which correlates with demand for health-service utilization.
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