Gut microbiota modulates differential lipid metabolism outcomes associated with FTO gene polymorphisms in response to personalized nutrition intervention

Jianheng Zheng¹, Feijie Wang², Hongwei Guo², Junrui Cheng³, Jun Du¹ and Juntao Kan*¹

¹Nutrilite Health Institute, Shanghai, China, ²School of Public Health, Fudan University, Shanghai, China, ³Department of Molecular and Structural Biochemistry, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, United States

Background: Interindividual differences in response to personalized nutrition (PN) intervention were affected by multiple factors, including genetic backgrounds and gut microbiota. The fat mass and obesity associated (FTO) gene is an important factor related to hyperlipidemia and occurrence of cardiovascular diseases. However, few studies have explored the differences in response to intervention among subjects with different genotypes of FTO, and the associations between gut microbiota and individual responses.

Objective: To explore the differential lipid metabolism outcomes associated with FTO gene polymorphisms in response to PN intervention, the altered taxonomic features of gut microbiota caused by the intervention, and the associations between gut microbiota and lipid metabolism outcomes.

Methods: A total of 400 overweight or obese adults were recruited in the study and randomly divided into the PN group and control group, of whom 318 completed the 12-week intervention. The single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) of rs1121980 in FTO was genotyped. Gut microbiota and blood lipids were determined at baseline and week 12. Functional property of microbiota was predicted using Tax4Fun functional prediction analysis.

Results: Subjects with the risk genotype of FTO had significantly higher weight and waist circumference (WC) at baseline. Generalized linear regression models showed that the reduction in weight, body mass index (BMI), WC, body fat percentage, total cholesterol (TCHO), and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) was greater in subjects with the risk genotype of FTO and in the PN group. Significant interaction effects between genotype and intervention on weight, BMI, WC, TCHO, and LDL were found after stratifying for specific genotype of FTO. All subjects showed significant increase in α diversity of gut microbiota after intervention except for those with the non-risk genotype in the control group. Gut microbiota, including Blautia and Firmicutes, might be involved in lipid metabolism in response to interventions. The predicted
Introduction

Hyperlipidemia is a common risk factor of cardiovascular diseases (CVD), which are the predominant cause of mortality and healthcare expenditure worldwide (1). Global Burden of Disease Study showed that low-density lipoprotein (LDL) has contributed to the increasing disease burden since 1990 and has become the sixth risk factor for death in the Chinese population (2). Cardiovascular diseases result from the combined additive and synergistic effects of genetic and environmental risk, including diet and physical activity (PA) (3). Numerous strategies have been developed to reduce the risk of CVD, in which dietary intervention is of great importance (4).

With the rising prevalence of chronic diseases in recent years, personalized nutrition (PN) has received increasing attention, which incorporates one's personal and cultural preferences as well as genetic traits to create the most appropriate and effective wellness strategies (4, 5). Dietary intervention studies have found that responses varied dramatically among individuals in a group, while interindividual differences in response to diet are poorly understood (6). Previous studies suggested that genetic variation could modulate the response to diet, and multi-locus genotype patterns were relevant to lipid metabolism (7). Of note, the fat mass and obesity-associated (FTO) gene is one of the most known genetic factors predisposing humans to non-monogenic obesity, and the FTO SNP variant has the strongest known effect on increased BMI and body fat (8, 9), which could be the potential risk factor for disturbing lipid metabolism and increasing the risk of CVD (10).

A meta-analysis of 10 studies and 6,951 participants showed that individuals with risk allele of FTO could lose more weight through diet/lifestyle interventions than non-carriers (11). The underlying mechanisms are unclear, but it has been suggested that gut microbiota might participate in the differential response to dietary intervention (12). Previous studies have proved that enterotypes could affect the outcomes of diet intervention. For instance, Prevotella has been shown to be associated with improved glucose metabolism after barley kernel-based bread intervention (13). In addition, deletion of FTO led to lower body weight in mice, and behavioral alterations of FTO +/- mice were mediated by shift in gut microbiota (14). However, to our knowledge, no studies have explored the mechanism of interindividual differences in response to intervention in populations with different genotypes of FTO from the perspective of gut microbiota.

Consequently, this study aims to determine the difference in changes of lipid metabolism in population with different genotypes of FTO after PN intervention based on a randomized controlled trial (RCT) conducted in Chinese overweight adults. Further, the association between interindividul difference and gut microbiota was explored. We believe the current study helps to elucidate the mechanism of differential response to interventions and pave the road toward personalized clinical therapy.

Methods

Study design

This study was a multicenter RCT with 2-group parallel design conducted in Shanghai Aier Hospital and Songnan Hospital, China. Detailed information has been described previously (15). This trial was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Shanghai Nutrition Society and registered at chictr.org.cn (ChiCTR1900026226).

Participants

Subjects aged 25 to 50 years with BMI ≥ 24 kg/m^2 were recruited in this study after signing informed consent form. The major criteria for exclusion were having coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, liver disease, kidney disease,
inflammatory bowel disease, or hematologic disease; being pregnant or lactating; taking any drugs or dietary supplements in recent days, which could interfere with the study results; or participating in another clinical trial in the past 3 months.

A total of 400 participants were initially recruited in the study at baseline, and randomly divided into PN group and control group at a 1:1 ratio using the Random Sequence Generator (https://www.random.org/sequences/). Participants did not learn of their group assignment until they were determined eligible for enrollment. The flow of the participants through the trial has been described previously (15).

Dietary and physical activities information collection

Dietary information of participants was obtained by semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) at baseline and the end of week 12. Meanwhile, 24-h dietary recall (24-h), which was used to calculate daily energy and nutrients intake, and sport frequency questionnaire (SFQ), which was used to obtain the PA information, were conducted every 2 weeks. The metabolic equivalents of task (METs)-min/week were obtained by multiplying the average energy expenditure by min/week for each PA intensity (16). In addition, participants were asked to wear a sport band every day to collect daily exercise data. Anthropometric measurements, including weight, body mass index (BMI), body fat percent, and waist circumference (WC), were conducted at baseline and week 12.

Intervention

The intervention in both groups lasted for 12 weeks, which has been described in detail elsewhere (15). Briefly, for the control group, participants were given traditional health guidance on diet, PA, and nutritional supplements intake per Dietary Guidelines for Chinese Residents and Chinese DRIs Handbook by means of a brochure provided at baseline.

For the PN group, an integrated personalized solution including recommendations on diet, PA, and dietary supplements (Nutrilite, Guangzhou, China) was generated by registered dietitians based on decision trees (15), which was modified from the Food4Me study decision trees (17). First, a PN report was generated based on the data collected at baseline, including individual's anthropometric, nutrient, blood, and genetic (nutrition-related) profiles. The results of these data were categorized into three tiers: normal, moderately higher or lower, and severely higher or lower. Second, 3~5 targeted goals were identified by registered dietitians for each participant according to the report, along with specific recommendations. For instance, if a person's vitamin C was below the recommended value, he or she was advised to consume more vitamin C-rich foods, such as fruit. Additional nutritional supplement was suggested when the calculated intake of a certain nutrient was lower than 80% of the estimated average requirement (EAR) and a risk allele was observed. If a weight loss goal was set, energy-restricted diet was recommended, with an additional recommendation for physical activity. The PN report and advice were biweekly updated according to the 24-h and PA assessments during the study.

Genotyping

After recruitment, buccal cells of eligible participants were collected using oral swab (DNA Genotek, Ottawa, ON, Canada), with DNA extracted and analyzed by WuXi NextCode (Shanghai, China) using an Asian SNP Screening Chip (Illumina, San Diego, California, USA). The single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) of rs1121980 in FTO was genotyped. The rs1121980 was significantly associated with morbid obesity (18), non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (19), and gestational diabetes mellitus (20) in Chinese after adjusting for potential confounders. The risk allele of FTO was T, and the genotype was classified into risk genotype (TT and TC) and non-risk genotype (CC).

Blood lipids measurements

Fasting peripheral blood was collected at baseline and week 12, and serum was immediately separated after centrifugation. Four kinds of blood lipids were analyzed by colorimetry in this study: the levels of total cholesterol (TCHO), triglycerides (TG), LDL, and high-density lipoprotein (HDL).

Fecal collection and 16S rRNA sequencing

At baseline and week 12, fresh fecal samples were collected from all participants using stool specimen container. Fecal samples were frozen at −20°C immediately after collection, and then stored at −80°C until DNA extraction. Total DNA from fecal samples was extracted using QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit following manufacturer's protocol (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). Subsamples from the DNA extracts were used for amplifying the V3-V4 region of the microbial 16S rRNA gene by using primers 341F (CCTACGGGNGGCWGGCAG) and 805R (GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC) (402,425 reads), followed by sequencing by Illumina HiSeq 3000. The sequencing raw data was optimized using Cutadapt (v1.9.1), Vsearch (1.9.6) and Qiime 1 (1.9.1) software. The trimmed reads were clustered into Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) at 97% identity level using Qiime 1 and Vsearch software. The taxonomy
of each OTU representative sequence was analyzed by RDP Classifier 2.2 (http://rdp.cme.msu.edu/) with 70% as confidence threshold using the Silva database (SSU128). OTU abundance information was normalized using a standard sequence number corresponding to the sample with the least sequences.

Gut microbial diversity (Shannon index) in subjects with different genotypes at baseline and Week 12 was calculated using the Vegan package (version 2.6-2) in R (version 4.2.0, R Core Team). The significant taxonomic features were performed using linear discriminant analysis (LDA) effect size (LEfSe) in galaxy software (http://huttenhower.sph.harvard.edu/galaxy/) with an effect logarithmic LDA score threshold of 2.0 and p-value threshold of 0.05. Functional property of microbiota was predicted using the Tax4Fun2 package in R, and the significant pathways related to lipid metabolism were illustrated with STAMP software. The low-abundance filters were applied to discard taxonomic and functional features whose relative abundance did not reach 0.1 and 0.001%, respectively.

Statistical analyses

The per-protocol (PP) population who completed the study and provided blood samples at the end of the study with available genotype information was considered the primary analysis populations for the evaluation. Continuous variables with a normal distribution were presented as mean ± standard deviations (SD), highly skewed data were presented as median and quartiles, and categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages. Non-normal continuous outcomes were transformed using the natural logarithm (Ln) to improve the normality in the following analysis. Differences of demographics and anthropometric parameters between the risk genotype of FTO group and non-risk genotype group were assessed using t test for continuous variables and chi-square test for categorical variables. Group difference of diet and PA at baseline was evaluated using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA); the difference at week 12 was evaluated using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with adjustment for the baseline measures; within group change from baseline to week 12 was evaluated using paired t-test. Difference of baseline lipids in different specific genotype of FTO groups was evaluated using ANOVA, and Bonferroni adjustment was used for pairwise comparisons.

Associations between change of anthropometric parameters and blood lipids from baseline to week 12 with genotype with the intervention group were assessed by generalized linear regressions (GLM) with the non-risk genotype and control group set as reference. A thousand times of bootstrap were used to generate 95% confidence interval (CI) of coefficients. Model 1 only included genotype and intervention group as independent variables, and Model 2 further adjusted for age, BMI, intake of macronutrients, total MET, and anthropometric or lipid measurements at baseline. In addition, possible interactions between genotype and intervention were investigated by introducing the corresponding interaction terms in Model 3. After stratifying for specific genotypes, the change of blood lipids in different genotypes or in two intervention groups was assessed by Mann-Whitney U Test with Bonferroni adjustment for pairwise comparisons. Associations between the change of blood lipids with genotype and intervention were assessed by GLM as described above.

Statistical analyses were performed using R version 4.2.0 (R Core Team). All statistical tests were 2-sided and conducted at the 0.05 level of significance.

Results

Characteristics of study population

A total of 400 participants were screened for inclusion from the initially recruited 2,718 participants, of whom 318 (79.5%) completed the 12-week intervention (41.2% were males with the average age of 38.4 years, with no significant difference in the PN group and the control group).

For the 318 subjects who completed the trial, the average body weight, BMI, WC, and body fat percent at baseline were 73.8 kg, 27.2 kg/m², 92.6 cm, and 27.5% in the PN group and 72.7 kg, 27.3 kg/m², 92.4 cm, and 27.2% in control group, showing no significant difference. The average TCHO, TG, HDL, and LDL at baseline were 5.28, 1.51, 2.93, and 1.51 mmol/L in the PN group and 5.21, 1.51, 2.93, and 1.36 mmol/L in the control group, respectively, with no statistically significant difference.

The proportion of subjects with the risk genotype of FTO (34.0%) was significantly lower than the proportion of subjects with the non-risk genotype (66.0%). After stratifying for genotypes of FTO, the baseline demographics, anthropometric parameters, and blood lipids of study population are shown in Table 1. Subjects with the risk genotype had significantly higher weight (p = 0.021) and WC (p = 0.003) at baseline than those with the non-risk genotype in the whole population and in the PN group. Subjects with the risk genotype had significantly lower HDL concentrations than those with the non-risk genotype in the control group (p = 0.045).

Dietary intake and physical activity

The intake of energy and three macronutrients assessed by 24-h and PA of the participants are shown in Supplementary Table 1. Apart from total MET, diet intake and PA at baseline were not significantly different between the risk genotype group and non-risk genotype group. Participants with the non-risk genotype showed significantly lower fat intake (PN:...
TABLE 1 Baseline demographics, anthropometric parameters, and blood lipids in different genotype groups.

| Factor                  | Control group (N = 152) | PN group (N = 166) | p-valuea |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|----------|
| Age (year)              | 35.2 ± 7.66             | 35.2 ± 7.45        | 0.982    |
| Gender [male, No. (%)]  | 4 (80.0)                | 4 (80.0)           | 0.101    |
| Weight (kg)             | 83.3 ± 13.4             | 83.3 ± 13.4        | 0.961    |
| BMI (kg/m²)             | 27.4 ± 3.8              | 27.4 ± 3.8         | 0.961    |
| WC (cm)                 | 101.4 ± 2.51            | 101.4 ± 2.51       | 0.961    |
| TCHO (mmol/L)           | 5.73 (4.69, 6.61)       | 5.73 (4.69, 6.61)  | 0.961    |
| TG (mmol/L)             | 1.19 (0.88, 2.24)       | 1.19 (0.88, 2.24)  | 0.961    |
| HDL (mmol/L)            | 1.39 (1.08, 1.69)       | 1.39 (1.08, 1.69)  | 0.961    |
| LDL (mmol/L)            | 3.62 (2.68, 3.97)       | 3.62 (2.68, 3.97)  | 0.961    |

a Difference between risk genotype and non-risk genotype was evaluated using t test for age and anthropometric parameters, chi-square test for gender, and Mann-Whitney U test for blood lipids. PN, personalized nutrition; BMI, body mass index; WC, waist circumference; TCHO, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein.

p = 0.007; control: p = 0.029) and higher total MET (PN: p = 0.008; control: p = 0.001) after intervention in both PN group and control group. Besides, participants in the PN group showed significantly higher total MET (non-risk genotype: p = 0.008; risk genotype: p = 0.024) and daily walks (non-risk genotype: p < 0.001; risk genotype: p = 0.001) after intervention, regardless of genotype.

Change of anthropometric parameters and blood lipids

Associations between genotype and intervention with change of anthropometric parameters and lipids from baseline to week 12 are shown in Supplementary Table 2. For anthropometric parameters, subjects with the risk genotype of FTO showed greater reduction in weight, BMI, WC, and body fat percent than those with the non-risk genotype. Subjects in the PN group showed greater reduction in all anthropometric parameters than those in the control group. For blood lipids, subjects with the risk genotype of FTO showed greater reduction in TCHO and LDL after intervention than those with the non-risk genotype. The amelioration of TCHO, TG, and LDL of populations in the PN group was significantly better than those in the control group.

After stratifying for specific FTO genotype, subjects with TT genotype had greater weight, BMI, and LDL reduction, and HDL increase than those with TC or CC genotype in the control group as shown in Table 2. Subjects with TC genotype showed greater reduction in WC and fat percent than those with CC genotype. Subjects with TT genotype showed significantly greater reduction in weight (p = 0.032), BMI (p = 0.010), fat percent (p = 0.006), and TCHO (p = 0.019) than those with CC genotype in the PN group. In addition, significant interaction effects between genotype and intervention on weight, BMI, WC, TCHO, and LDL was found, indicating that intervention posed different effects on subjects with different genotypes.

Association between gut microbiota with genotype and intervention effect

The taxonomic features between subjects with the risk genotype of FTO and with the non-risk genotype at baseline is shown in Supplementary Figure 1. The gut microbiota species with the greatest difference between the two groups were Barnesiellaceae, Desulfobacterota et al. in the subjects with the non-risk genotype and NK4A214_group et al. in those with the risk genotype, respectively.

First, we explored the Shannon’s diversity index of gut microbiota (Figure 1). It was found that all subjects had
TABLE 2  Change of anthropometric parameters and blood lipids from baseline to week 12 in subjects with different genotypes in control group or PN group.

| Lipid                  | PN group (N = 166) | Control group (N = 152) | P-value |
|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------|
|                        | Risk genotype      | Non-risk genotype       | Risk genotype | Non-risk genotype |
|                        | TT (N = 5)         | TC (N = 49)             | CC (N = 112) | TT (N = 4)         | TC (N = 50)             | CC (N = 98) |
| Anthropometric parameters |                   |                        |             |                   |
| ΔWC (cm)               | −2.10 (−2.70, −1.45) | −2.35 (−2.70, −1.45) | −2.45 (−3.05, −1.34) | −2.28 (−3.05, −1.34) | −2.10 (−2.70, −1.45) | −2.10 (−2.70, −1.45) | 0.036 | <0.001 | 0.018 |
| ΔBMI (kg/m²)           | −0.78 (−0.88, −0.43) | −0.89 (−1.42, −0.02) | −0.19 (−1.48, −0.73) | −0.89 (−1.42, −0.02) | −0.76 (−0.92, −0.25) | −0.19 (−1.48, −0.73) | 0.061 | <0.001 | 0.046 |
| ΔΔBMI (cm²)            | −3.00 (−4.00, −2.00) | −3.00 (−4.00, −1.00) | −3.60 (−6.30, −2.25) | −3.00 (−4.00, −1.00) | −2.00 (−4.00, −1.00) | −3.60 (−6.30, −2.25) | 0.036 | <0.001 | 0.034 |
| ΔΔΔBMI (%)             | −1.20 (−3.15, 0.35) | −1.30 (−2.40, 0.45) | −0.70 (−2.00, 0.10) | −1.30 (−2.40, 0.45) | −0.70 (−2.00, 0.10) | −1.30 (−2.40, 0.45) | 0.254 | <0.001 | 0.402 |
| Blood lipids           |                    |                        |             |                   |
| ΔΔΔBMI (mmol/L)        | −0.31 (−0.51, −0.02) | −0.22 (−0.51, −0.02) | −0.29 (−0.51, −0.02) | −0.22 (−0.51, −0.02) | −0.29 (−0.51, −0.02) | −0.22 (−0.51, −0.02) | 0.061 | <0.001 | 0.100 |
| ΔΔΔBMI (mmol/L)        | −0.15 (−0.65, −0.09) | −0.23 (−0.65, −0.09) | −0.08 (−1.11, 0.21) | −0.23 (−0.65, −0.09) | −0.08 (−1.11, 0.21) | −0.23 (−0.65, −0.09) | 0.487 | 0.058 | 0.265 |
| ΔΔΔBMI (mmol/L)        | 0.14 (0.06, 0.31)  | 0.13 (0.06, 0.19)      | 0.02 (0.06, 0.19)  | 0.12 (0.06, 0.19)   | 0.13 (0.06, 0.19)   | 0.02 (0.06, 0.19)   | 0.014 | 0.057 | 0.052 |
| ΔΔΔBMI (mmol/L)        | −0.53 (−0.68, −0.25) | −0.40 (−0.68, −0.25) | −0.41 (−0.68, −0.25) | −0.40 (−0.68, −0.25) | −0.41 (−0.68, −0.25) | −0.40 (−0.68, −0.25) | 0.022 | 0.024 | 0.032 |

Data were presented as median and IQR. Difference between different genotypes was assessed by Mann-Whitney U Test withBonferroni adjustment for pairwise comparisons: significance level 0.05/3 = 0.017. * difference between TT and TC, p < 0.017, ** difference between TT and CC, p < 0.017, *** difference between TC and CC, p < 0.017. The effects of genotype, intervention, and genotype x intervention interaction were evaluated using generalized linear models, adjusted for age, BMI, intake of macronutrients, total MET, and measurements at baseline.

Figure 3: showed that except for subjects with the risk genotype in the control group, fatty acid degradation and glycerophospholipid metabolism were significantly increased in the non-risk genotype and fatty acid degradation and glycerophospholipid metabolism were significantly decreased under intervention. The relative abundance of predicted function (KEGG) categories showed that except for subjects with the risk genotype in the control group, fatty acid degradation and glycerophospholipid metabolism were significantly increased in the non-risk genotype and fatty acid degradation and glycerophospholipid metabolism were significantly decreased under intervention. For the risk genotype subjects, 12 and 11 differentially abundant taxa were found in the control group and PN group, respectively. For the non-risk genotype subjects, 29 and 21 differentially abundant taxa were found in the control group and PN group, respectively. The cladogram showed that for the risk genotype subjects, 12 and 11 significant increase, and 29 and 21 significant increase in diversity at week 12 except for those with the non-risk genotype in the control group. Next, we explored the specific microbiota with different expressions as shown in Figure 4. The cladogram showed that for the non-risk genotype in the control group, fatty acid degradation and glycerophospholipid metabolism were significantly increased in the non-risk genotype in the control group; fatty acid degradation and glycerophospholipid metabolism were significantly decreased under intervention. For the risk genotype subjects, 12 and 11 differentially abundant taxa were found in the control group. For the non-risk genotype subjects, 29 and 21 differentially abundant taxa were found in the control group.
Comparison of gut microbiota composition before and after intervention in subjects with different genotypes of FTO. Taxonomic representation of statistically and biologically consistent differences between baseline (red) and Week 12 (green) shown in cladogram by LefSe analysis. Differences are represented by the color of the most abundant class. Dot size is proportional to the abundance of the taxon. (A) Non-risk genotype in the control group; (B) Non-risk genotype in the PN group; (C) Risk genotype in the control group; (D) Risk genotype in the PN group.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this study firstly explored the association between gut microbiota and different responses to PN intervention in subjects with different genotypes of FTO. It was found that the improvement in anthropometric parameters and lipid metabolism was greater in the PN group than in the control group. Subjects with the risk genotype of FTO showed greater reduction in anthropometric parameters, TCHO, and LDL than the non-risk subjects. PN intervention effectively increased α diversity of gut microbiota regardless of genotypes. The gut microbiota, which was associated with pathways including fatty acid biosynthesis and degradation, might be involved in lipid metabolism in response to intervention.

The FTO gene has been reported to have key roles in regulating energy balance and lipid metabolism process pathways (21). We found that subjects with the risk genotype of FTO had lower HDL concentrations and higher LDL concentrations at baseline. Consistently, carriers of homozygotes for the risk allele of FTO were found to have 1.25-fold lower HDL cholesterol concentration than carriers of the non-risk genotype in patients with acromegaly (22). In a study of 380 adult Iranian women, the lower levels of HDL were observed in the risk genotypes compared to the non-risk genotype of FTO (23). The mechanism of FTO polymorphism affecting lipid metabolism has not been fully understood, but it has been reported that FTO could interact with calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII), thereby prolonging CREB phosphorylation and affecting the expression levels of Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and NPY1R neuropeptide Y receptor Y1 (NPY1R), which were related to lipid metabolic process (24). Besides, FTO catalyzes the demethylation of m6A to alter the processing, maturation, and translation of the mRNAs of lipid-related genes (25).

Genotypic variation influencing response to nutrition intervention is of growing interest, and some PN intervention approaches have been developed based on genotypes of various genes. We found that subjects with risk genotype of FTO
Tax/FourFun/Two predictions of the altered lipid-related functional composition of gut microbiota under different intervention and genotype groups. The KEGG pathways were analyzed by Tax/FourFun/Two and shown by STAMP. (A) Non-risk genotype in the control group; (B) Non-risk genotype in the PN group; (C) Risk genotype in the control group; (D) Risk genotype in the PN group.

had greater anthropometric parameters, TCHO, and LDL amelioration after intervention in our study, indicating the necessity for timely intervention in high-risk populations. Similarly, in a study of 776 high cardiovascular risk subjects aged 55–80 years, subjects with the risk genotype of FTO had higher body weight at baseline but lower body weight gain after 3 years of nutritional intervention with a Mediterranean-style diet (26). After 12 weeks of hydroalcoholic extract of artichoke supplement in women with metabolic syndrome, those with risk allele of FTO showed better improvement in serum TG levels than those without risk allele (27).

In addition, we found significant interaction effects between FTO and intervention on anthropometric parameters, TCHO, and LDL after stratifying for specific genotypes of FTO, indicating subjects with different genotypes in PN group showed better response to intervention than in the control group. Few studies have explored the interaction between FTO and different intervention methods, but the interaction between FTO and lifestyle factors has been widely reported. A 6-month RCT of 1,270 adults across seven European countries (Food4Me) study found that moderate-equivalent PA attenuated the effect of FTO on BMI ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.020$) and WC ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.005$) (28). This might be caused by the fact that FTO expression in the hypothalamus is regulated by feeding, fasting, and energy restriction, and the FTO expression affects energy homeostasis and lipid metabolism (29). In addition, individuals with different FTO genotypes may exhibit different responses to exercise and dietary intervention by altering C-reactive protein (CRP) (30).

The gut microbiota might be partly responsible for the differences in lipid levels in subjects with different genotypes at baseline. Barnesiellaceae was the main different gut microbiota between subjects with different genotype of FTO at baseline in our study, which plays a crucial role in maintaining health status, including prevent blood stream infection (BSI) and other...
diseases (31). *Barnesiellaceae* can also serve as a biomarker of obesity and was reported to be higher in control individuals compared to obese patients (32). Among the more abundant gut microbiota in subjects with the *FTO* risk genotype in our study, the *NK4A214_group* had the highest LDA value. A rat experiment showed that the fecal levels of TCHO and TG were positively correlated with the relative abundance of *NK4A214* (33), which might partly account for the higher levels of lipids in subjects with the *FTO* risk genotype at baseline.

Our study showed that a diversity of gut microbiota was significantly increased by PN intervention, which might partly explain the better intervention results in the PN group. The subjects with the non-risk genotype of *FTO* in the control group had minimal change of a diversity after intervention, suggesting that they were less responsive to the intervention. Moreover, the gut microbiota of subjects with different genotypes showed varied responses to the interventions. We found subjects with the risk genotype had greater abundance of *Blautia* after intervention in both PN group and control group, which might partially explain the significant HDL and LDL amelioration in subjects with the risk genotype of *FTO*. *Blautia* is a group of bacteria containing various acetate and butyrate producers (34). *Blautia* was found to be correlated with the improvements in glucose and lipid homeostasis in a RCT study of Type 2 diabetes patients with hyperlipidemia, making it a common target in the management of this disease (34). For subjects with the non-risk genotype, the most significant change in gut microbiota was *Firmicutes*, which included numerous bacterial species involved in butyrate and propionate production, and fatty acid biosynthesis and degradation (35, 36), as proved by KEGG pathway analysis.

To date, studies exploring the association between *FTO* genotype and gut microbiota were still very limited. An animal study showed that *FTO* deficiency mice harbored specific bacterial signature of suppressing inflammation, characterized by higher abundance of *Lactobacillus*, lower *Porphyromonadaceae* and *Helicobacter* (14). It was suggested that *FTO* might target molecules involved in shaping the intestinal microenvironment by regulating the pH value, bile acid metabolism, and immune response (14). Therefore, the gut microbiota might play a modulating role in the different responses to intervention in different *FTO* genotypes.

This RCT study has several strengths. The study subjects were compliant, and the intervention approach was developed by the validated decision trees. *FTO* genotype and gut microbiota were integrated, and multiple analysis methods were used to fully explore factors associated with the individual response to lifestyle interventions. However, this study is still subject to two main limitations. First, the sample size of subjects with TT genotype was relatively small, which might reduce statistical power and increase uncertainty. Second, since gut microbiota was influenced by environmental, dietary, genetic, and other factors, it’s difficult to maintain complete homogeneity in different groups of subjects. It should be cautious to interpret our results and apply them to other populations with different lifestyles and diets.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, subjects with the risk genotype of *FTO* showed better response to nutrition intervention in terms of anthropometric and blood lipid parameters. PN intervention resulted in better amelioration of anthropometric parameters, TCHO, and LDL in both genotypes population. The gut microbiota, which were involved in multiple pathways including fatty acid biosynthesis and degradation, might be responsible for the different lipid levels at baseline and modulate different lipid metabolism responses to intervention in different genotypes.
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