Imaging-Based Characterization of Perthite in the Upper Triassic Yanchang Formation Tight Sandstone of the Ordos Basin, China

DU Shuheng1,2,*, SHI Guoxin3,4, YUE Xinjian3,4, KOU Gen3,4, ZHOU Bo3,4 and SHI Yongmin7

1 State Key Laboratory of Nonlinear Mechanics, Institute of Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
2 Key Laboratory of Petroleum Resources Research, Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China
3 Xinjiang Laboratory of Petroleum Reserve in Conglomerate, Karamay 834000, Xinjiang, China
4 Research Institute of Experiment and Detection of PetroChina Xinjiang Oilfield Company, Karamay, 834000, Xinjiang, China
5 Oil and Gas Institute, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

Abstract: This work investigated the element distribution of perthite from the Upper Triassic Yanchang Formation tight sandstone in the Ordos Basin of northern China by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) and energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS). FE-SEM results indicate significant differences in the morphology of Na-rich feldspar when K-rich feldspar is the main component of the perthite. EDS results show that different types of perthite have clearly defined differences on different element indexes. Additionally, indexes such as average-weight-K (K-rich)/Na (Na-rich), maximum-weight-K (Na-rich)/Na (Na-rich) and average-atomic-K (K-rich)/Na(Na-rich) might be the most effective ones to identify perthite types. Perthite is divided into six main types, i.e., perthite with thick parallel stripe distribution, with thin parallel stripe distribution, with lumpy stripe distribution, with dendritic stripe distribution, with encircling stripe distribution, and with mixed stripe distribution.
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1 Introduction

Perthite is an intergrowth of two feldspars: a host grain of potassium-rich alkali feldspar (near K-feldspar, KAlSi3O8 in composition) includes exsolved lamellae or irregular intergrowths of sodic alkali feldspar (near albite, NaAlSi3O8 in composition) (Connors et al., 1995; Zou et al., 2012; Wilde, 2011 and 2013). Typically, the host grain is either orthoclase or microcline (Fig. 1).

The intergrowth of the feldspars forms by exsolution due to a grain of alkali feldspar cooling to an intermediate composition between K-feldspar and albite. Complete solid solution between albite and K-feldspar exists at temperatures near 700°C and pressures like those within the crust of the Earth, but a miscibility gap is present at lower temperatures (Alexandre, 2009; Macquaker et al., 2014). If an alkali feldspar grain with an intermediate composition cools slowly enough, K-rich and more Na-rich feldspar domains separate from one another. In the presence of water, this process occurs quickly.

Perthite intergrowths with a wide variety of shapes. For example, feldspar minerals are widely present as skeleton minerals in tight sandstone reservoirs of the Yanchang Formation in the Ordos Basin, and the shapes of the K-rich and Na-rich feldspars within the perthite are different. However, no classification schemes of the shapes of perthite in tight sandstone exist; neither does a conclusion about the formation mechanism of the various shapes exist. Therefore, developing a more precise understanding of the mechanism of formation is important (Montgomery et al., 2005; Cuney et al., 2013; Macquaker et al., 2014). Additionally, the development of the stripes in perthite remains to be discussed even though a great deal of research work has been done (Kuschke and Tonking, 1971; Salem et al., 2005; Wilde, 2011). At present, the academic circle has not paid enough attention to the developmental characteristics of striped feldspar in tight sandstone.

Earlier studies of the Yanchang Formation were made (see geology below) but the perthite was not analyzed further. This study aims to concentrate on the structure of the perthite. In this study, field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) has been used to identify a large
amount of perthite in the tight sandstone with the aim of providing a new classification system through the statistical analysis of those observations. In addition, the energy dispersive spectrometer technique (EDS) has been used with the aim of determining the distribution of elements in each sample.

2 Geologic Setting

The Ordos Basin is located in the western part of the northern China landmass, and the study area is located in the southwestern part of the northern Shanbei slope in the Ordos Basin (Fig. 2). The Upper Triassic Yanchang Formation comprises clastic rocks dominated by lacustrine sediments formed in the Late Triassic. The physical properties are poor; the average porosity is 8.85%; and the permeability is $0.16 \times 10^{-3} \mu \text{m}^2$ (Wang Zhentao et al., 2015; Sun Jiaopeng et al., 2018). The pore types are mainly intergranular and dissolved pores, and the primary intergranular pore, secondary intergranular pore, and secondary dissolved pore are relatively well-developed (Ma Hongwen et al., 2017; Tan Juanjuan et al., 2017; Sheng Jun et al., 2018; Gao Gang et al., 2018; Liao Jianbo et al., 2018).

Former analyses of rock slices from and XRD of the Yanchang Formation showed that the tight sandstone consisted mainly of various feldspars, quartz, clay minerals, and mica, etc. The feldspars, such as perthite, played the most important role for oil exploration (Xue Chunji, et al., 2011; Tanner et al., 2012; Ao Weihua et al., 2012; Tang Xuan et al., 2012).

3 Methods and Principles

3.1 FE-SEM characterization

FE-SEM is an electronic microscope (instrument model QUANTA-650FEG, produced by FEI) with a high resolution. The instrument can be used for imaging secondary electrons and image processing of the surface morphology of various solid samples (Jarvie et al., 2007; Vengosha et al., 2013; Xin et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2017). As seen in the imaging of secondary electrons, the instrument can observe samples at low voltage on the basis of coating or no coating to obtain original morphology and ultrastructural information of a sample surface (Fig. 3).

3.2 EDS analysis

The trace element spectrometer (instrument model Inca synergy, produced by Oxford Instruments) can simultaneously perform qualitative, semi-quantitative, and quantitative analysis on the surface of a sample, along with comprehensive analysis of topography and chemical composition (Fig. 4). The EDS technique is one of the most effective methods to determine rock mineral elements and compositions. By detecting mineral elements, the species of minerals can be determined. The determination principle states that different elements have different X-ray photon characteristics. The instrument carries out the analysis of the components through this variation of X-ray photon characteristics (Ding, 1994; Wu
Fig. 3. Thermal field emission scanning electron microscope (Peking University).

Fig. 4. Energy dispersive spectrometer (Peking University).

WDS (spectrograph) and the energy spectrum analysis (using an energy spectrum analyzer) have advantages and disadvantages (Novembre et al., 2005; Mezni et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2017), as follows: (1) the X-ray detection efficiency of the energy spectrometer is high; (2) all elements of the X-ray photons can be measured and counted at the same time, and the qualitative analysis results can be obtained in a few minutes. The spectrometer can only measure the characteristic wavelengths of each element one by one; (3) the structure is simple, the stability is good, and the reproducibility is good; and (4) the instrument does not need to be concentrated on the surface of the sample and is suitable for rough surface analysis. This is suitable for the characterization of a perthite sample, which may very likely have been exposed to weathering.

The technical process is described in the following flowchart (Fig. 5).

4 Results and Discussion

4.1 FE-SEM characterization

FE-SEM is one of the most effective means to characterize the morphology of rock minerals, because its maximum resolution can reach the nanoscale. A large quantity of perthite was found in the tight sandstone in the Ordos Basin. Eighty-four typical samples were selected as the key objects of this study (Fig. 6).

Figure 6 shows significant differences in the morphology of Na-rich feldspar when K-rich feldspar is the main component of the perthite. SEM characterizations on the perthite in tight sandstone are also shown.

4.2 Perthite classification

According to the differences in morphology, the perthite in sandstone was divided into six main types: perthite with thick parallel stripe distribution, with thin parallel stripe distribution, with lumpy stripe distribution, with dendritic stripe distribution, with encircling stripe distribution, and with mixed stripe distribution, as shown in Table 1. The development probability of the six kinds of stripe feldspar was analyzed, as shown in Fig. 7.

4.3 EDS determination

This study aims to discover why the perthite produced different characteristics, and what differences controlled the morphologies of the perthite. For this purpose, the element content of Na-rich feldspar and K-rich feldspar in

![Diagram showing technical processes of this study]

Fig. 5. Technical processes of this study.
the perthite were examined and six types discerned, as mentioned above.

The Na-rich feldspar and K-rich feldspar of 77 samples were determined by elemental analysis, and 154 groups of data were obtained to explore the distribution of the elements in the different shapes of perthite. The EDS analysis results on the perthite in tight sandstone are shown in Fig. 8 and Tables 2–7.

4.4 Construction of new element indexes

As seen in Tables 2–7, the EDS results show that the distribution of elements in the perthite is not uniform, demonstrating that the element analysis results are good indicators of the different perthite samples with various Na-rich feldspar and K-rich feldspar stripes. Based on these results, four new indexes have been constructed. First, the elements K and Na are clearly the most indicative and important for the composition in K-rich feldspar and Na-rich feldspar, respectively. The content ratio of these two elements also indicated the development of the two types of feldspar in the perthite. Second, the Na-rich feldspar in the perthite also sometimes contains the elements K or Ca, or both. However, the discrete content of these two elements might relate to the formation environment. Therefore, the content ratio between K, Ca, and Na might indicate the development of two types of feldspar in the perthite. Third, the K-rich feldspar in the perthite also sometimes contains the element Na; and the content of the element K or Na might also be related to the formation environment. Therefore, the element Na in K-rich feldspar might also indicate the development of two types of feldspar in the perthite.

After constructing the element indexes, the appropriate statistics method was applied to the analysis of all the perthite samples. Making full use of the statistics allowed
Table 1 Classification of the perthite types in the Upper Triassic Yanchang Formation tight sandstone, Ordos Basin, China

| Number | Type of perthite                  | Characteristics description                        | Typical FE-SEM picture |
|--------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| ①     | Perthite with thick parallel stripe distribution | The stripe of Na-rich feldspar shows thick parallel line | ![Image](image1) |
| ②     | Perthite with thin parallel stripe distribution | The stripe of Na-rich feldspar shows thin parallel line | ![Image](image2) |
| ③     | Perthite with lumpy stripe distribution | The stripe of Na-rich feldspar shows lumpy individual | ![Image](image3) |
| ④     | Perthite with dendritic stripe distribution | The stripe of Na-rich feldspar shows dendritic lines | ![Image](image4) |
| ⑤     | Perthite with encircling stripe distribution | The stripe of Na-rich feldspar occupied the major part of the perthite | ![Image](image5) |
| ⑥     | Perthite with mixed stripe distribution | The stripe of Na-rich feldspar shows the mixed shape | ![Image](image6) |

for a more accurate conclusion. The average, maximum, minimum, and standard deviations indicated the general level, highest level, lowest level, and the steady state of a set of data, respectively. The discrete results are as follows: in order to describe the phenomenon and mechanism more conveniently, the six types of perthite were numbered ①, ②, ③, ④, ⑤ and ⑥ to indicate the styles of the tight sandstone perthite as denoted in Table 1.

4.4.1 Average deviation

According to the average deviation, the different types of perthite had obvious differences in the four element indexes (Figs. 9–10). For K(K-rich)/Na(Na-rich), the level sequences of weight and atomic are ⑤④②⑥① and ⑤④②⑥①, respectively; for K(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich), the level sequences of weight and atomic were ⑥②④①⑤ and ⑥②④①⑤, respectively; for Ca (Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich), the level sequences of weight and atomic were ⑥②④①⑤ and ⑥②④①⑤, respectively; and for Na(K-rich)/K(K-rich), the level sequences of weight and atomic were ①④②⑥⑤ and ①④②⑥⑤, respectively.

4.4.2 Maximum deviation

Similarly, according to the maximum deviation, different types of perthite had obvious differences in the four element indexes (Figs. 11–12). For K(K-rich)/Na(Na-rich), the level sequences of weight and atomic were ②③④①⑥⑤ and ②③④①⑥⑤, respectively; for K(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich), the level sequences of weight and atomic were ②③④①⑥⑤ and ②③④①⑥⑤, respectively; for Ca(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich), the level sequences of weight and atomic were ②③④①⑥⑤ and ②③④①⑥⑤, respectively; and for Na(K-rich)/K(K-rich), the level sequences of weight and atomic were ①②④⑥⑤⑤ and ①②④⑥⑤⑤, respectively.

4.4.3 Minimum deviation

Likewise, according to the minimum deviation, different types of perthite had obvious differences in the indexes (Figs. 13–14). For K(K-rich)/Na(Na-rich), the level sequences of weight and atomic were ⑤④②⑥① and ⑤④②⑥①, respectively; for K(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich), the level sequences of weight and atomic were ⑤④②⑥① and ⑤④②⑥①, respectively; for Ca(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich), the level sequences of weight and atomic were all equal(0); and for Na(K-rich)/K(K-rich), the values of weight and atomic were all equal(0).

4.4.4 Standard deviation

Similarly, according to the standard deviation, different types of perthite had obvious differences in the four element indexes (Figs. 15–16). For K(K-rich)/Na(Na-rich), the level sequences of weight and atomic were ①②③④⑤⑥ and ①②③④⑤⑥, respectively; for K(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich), the level sequences of weight and atomic were ①②③④⑤⑥ and ①②③④⑤⑥, respectively; for Ca(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich), the level sequences of weight and atomic were ①②③④⑤⑥ and ①②③④⑤⑥, respectively; and for Na(K-rich)/K(K-rich), the level sequences of weight and atomic were ①②③④⑤⑥ and ①②③④⑤⑥, respectively.

4.4.5 Probability of the occurrence of K and Ca in Na-rich feldspar and K-rich feldspar

Different types of perthite had obvious differences in the four element indexes (Fig. 17). For the probability of the occurrence of K in Na-rich feldspar, the level sequence was ②④①③⑤; for the probability of the occurrence of Ca in Na-rich feldspar, the level sequence was ⑤③②④①; and for the probability of the occurrence of Na in K-rich feldspar, the level sequence was ②④①③⑤.
Table 2 EDS analysis results of perthite with thick parallel stripe distribution

| Sample | Percent (%) | Na-rich feldspar | K-rich feldspar |
|--------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|        |             | C   | Na | Al | Si | Ca | K | O | C | Na | Al | Si | Ca | K | O |
| 1      | Weight      | 6.46 | 7.23 | 7.59 | 24.43 | 54.30 | 4.37 | 8.20 | 26.24 | 1.54 | 5.83 |
|        | Atomic      | 9.96 | 5.83 | 5.21 | 16.11 | 62.88 | 7.22 | 5.89 | 18.53 | 5.34 | 63.10 |
| 2      | Weight      | 4.57 | 7.62 | 8.25 | 26.82 | 52.74 | 6.28 | 0.72 | 7.59 | 23.80 | 8.92 | 52.68 |
|        | Atomic      | 7.23 | 6.29 | 5.80 | 18.12 | 62.56 | 1.50 | 0.60 | 5.41 | 16.28 | 4.38 | 63.27 |
| 3      | Weight      | 2.13 | 8.46 | 8.91 | 29.91 | 5.60 | 3.60 | 0.49 | 8.69 | 26.54 | 1.74 | 49.94 |
|        | Atomic      | 3.47 | 7.21 | 6.47 | 2.87 | 61.98 | 6.20 | 0.42 | 6.46 | 18.96 | 5.51 | 62.62 |
| 4      | Weight      | 1.95 | 6.87 | 1.52 | 28.52 | 1.91 | 5.22 | 2.44 | 8.77 | 28.52 | 1.19 | 49.80 |
|        | Atomic      | 3.22 | 5.92 | 7.72 | 2.10 | 0.94 | 62.11 | 4.15 | 6.64 | 2.73 | 5.84 | 62.64 |
| 5      | Weight      | 4.61 | 7.35 | 8.31 | 26.61 | 0.49 | 52.64 | 3.24 | 0.59 | 8.35 | 27.65 | 1.28 | 49.88 |
|        | Atomic      | 7.29 | 6.80 | 5.85 | 18.10 | 0.24 | 62.53 | 5.43 | 0.52 | 6.23 | 19.81 | 5.29 | 62.73 |
| 6      | Weight      | 1.65 | 7.55 | 9.78 | 29.75 | 1.17 | 5.90 | 0.72 | 9.79 | 31.39 | 11.10 | 47.00 |
|        | Atomic      | 2.72 | 6.51 | 7.18 | 2.99 | 0.58 | 62.20 | 0.66 | 7.67 | 23.62 | 6.00 | 62.60 |
| 7      | Weight      | 2.91 | 7.91 | 8.64 | 29.14 | 51.40 | 2.67 | 0.52 | 8.59 | 28.29 | 1.60 | 49.33 |
|        | Atomic      | 4.70 | 6.67 | 6.21 | 2.12 | 62.30 | 4.51 | 0.46 | 6.46 | 2.45 | 5.50 | 62.61 |
| 8      | Weight      | 2.30 | 8.35 | 9.21 | 29.87 | 5.54 | 1.99 | 8.91 | 28.81 | 11.82 | 48.47 |
|        | Atomic      | 3.32 | 7.13 | 6.70 | 2.87 | 61.99 | 3.42 | 6.80 | 21.13 | 6.23 | 62.42 |
| 9      | Weight      | 3.30 | 7.48 | 8.66 | 28.72 | 51.83 | 2.36 | 9.40 | 29.56 | 9.16 | 49.88 |
|        | Atomic      | 5.31 | 6.27 | 6.19 | 19.73 | 62.50 | 3.98 | 6.79 | 21.32 | 4.75 | 63.16 |
| 10     | Weight      | 2.44 | 7.96 | 9.17 | 29.46 | 5.98 | 0.48 | 9.41 | 31.54 | 11.71 | 46.87 |
|        | Atomic      | 3.96 | 6.76 | 6.63 | 2.47 | 62.18 | 0.44 | 7.39 | 23.79 | 6.34 | 62.50 |

Fig. 8. EDS analysis results of the Na-rich feldspar and K-rich feldspar in perthite in Upper Triassic tight sandstone.
Note: the element Cr originates from the conductive coating and does not belong to the mineral.

Fig. 9. Ratio of average weight in the perthite in tight sandstone.
## Table 3 EDS analysis results of perthite with thin parallel stripe distribution

| Sample | Na-rich feldspar | K-rich feldspar |
|--------|------------------|-----------------|
|        | Na  | Mg  | Si  | Ca  | O   | K   | Na  | Mg  | Si  | Ca  | O   | K   |
| Weight | 7.85| 6.69| 7.22| 22.72| 55.53|
| Atomic | 11.89| 5.30| 4.87| 14.73| 63.21|
| Weight | 2.77| 2.89| 0.37| 12.98| 26.01|
| Atomic | 4.56| 2.48| 0.30| 9.51| 18.31|
| Weight | 2.35| 7.06| 9.00| 28.22| 2.02| 50.46|
| Atomic | 3.85| 6.04| 7.22| 19.78| 0.99| 62.11|
| Weight | 2.78| 6.51| 10.34| 27.60| 1.77| 51.01|
| Atomic | 4.53| 5.53| 7.50| 19.22| 0.86| 62.36|
| Weight | 3.05| 7.63| 8.94| 28.82| 51.56|
| Atomic | 4.92| 6.42| 6.41| 19.87| 52.39|
| Weight | 6.41| 7.35| 8.31| 26.61| 52.46| 0.49|
| Atomic | 7.29| 6.08| 5.85| 18.01| 62.53| 0.24|
| Weight | 2.19| 9.18| 9.02| 29.66| 50.54|
| Atomic | 3.77| 6.95| 6.53| 20.65| 62.10| 0.61|
| Weight | 1.78| 7.72| 10.02| 29.28| 1.08| 50.12|
| Atomic | 2.92| 6.64| 7.34| 20.61| 0.53| 61.94|
| Weight | 2.90| 7.90| 8.96| 28.89| 51.36|
| Atomic | 4.69| 5.53| 6.44| 19.95| 62.66| 0.20|
| Weight | 2.44| 6.79| 9.32| 28.74| 0.96| 50.53| 1.22|
| Atomic | 4.00| 5.81| 6.80| 20.14| 0.47| 62.16| 0.61|
| Weight | 3.86| 7.86| 8.37| 27.79| 52.12|
| Atomic | 6.16| 6.55| 8.59| 18.95| 62.40|
| Weight | 3.86| 7.01| 9.21| 26.26| 1.61| 51.74|
| Atomic | 6.20| 5.88| 6.79| 18.02| 0.78| 62.34|
| Weight | 3.97| 7.50| 8.25| 27.93| 52.35|
| Atomic | 6.32| 6.24| 5.85| 19.02| 62.57|
| Weight | 4.98| 7.29| 8.52| 26.10| 53.11|
| Atomic | 7.82| 7.99| 5.96| 17.51| 62.68|
| Weight | 2.08| 6.96| 8.95| 29.67| 50.16| 2.17|
| Atomic | 3.42| 5.99| 6.56| 20.90| 62.03| 1.10|
| Weight | 2.16| 8.01| 9.16| 29.91| 50.76|
| Atomic | 3.52| 6.82| 6.62| 20.86| 62.15|
| Weight | 2.02| 7.80| 10.36| 28.87| 1.81| 50.54|
| Atomic | 3.32| 5.85| 7.59| 20.17| 0.89| 62.18|
| Weight | 5.27| 7.30| 7.73| 26.30| 53.40|
| Atomic | 8.24| 5.97| 5.39| 17.61| 62.78|
| Weight | 2.19| 6.18| 9.02| 29.66| 50.54|
| Atomic | 3.58| 6.49| 6.74| 20.72| 0.26| 62.21|
| Weight | 3.10| 7.89| 8.80| 28.69| 51.52|
| Atomic | 4.99| 6.64| 6.31| 19.76| 62.30|
| Weight | 2.22| 8.09| 9.23| 29.69| 50.77|
| Atomic | 3.62| 6.89| 6.69| 20.69| 59.13|
| Weight | 10.36| 5.70| 8.15| 39.16| 57.73|
| Atomic | 15.23| 4.38| 4.49| 12.18| 63.73|
| Weight | 5.07| 6.98| 8.40| 25.38| 1.32| 52.85|
| Atomic | 8.00| 7.56| 5.90| 17.12| 62.60|
| Weight | 4.07| 7.81| 8.37| 27.46| 52.28|
| Atomic | 6.47| 6.51| 5.93| 18.67| 62.42|
| Weight | 3.33| 8.01| 8.67| 28.33| 51.65|
| Atomic | 5.35| 6.72| 6.20| 19.45| 62.27|
| Weight | 2.53| 8.42| 9.30| 28.88| 50.86|
| Atomic | 4.11| 7.14| 6.72| 20.05| 61.98|
| Weight | 4.52| 6.92| 7.99| 25.78| 52.98|
| Atomic | 7.15| 5.72| 5.62| 18.64| 62.87|
| Weight | 2.71| 6.84| 9.77| 27.88| 1.70| 50.91|
| Atomic | 4.41| 5.82| 7.23| 19.43| 0.83| 62.27|
| Weight | 4.05| 7.79| 8.07| 27.76| 52.33|
| Atomic | 6.45| 6.47| 7.51| 18.88| 62.48|
| Weight | 5.07| 6.89| 8.15| 26.53| 53.37|
| Atomic | 7.96| 6.55| 5.96| 17.81| 62.89|
| Weight | 7.85| 6.69| 7.22| 22.72| 55.53|
| Atomic | 11.89| 5.30| 4.87| 14.73| 63.21|
| Weight | 2.98| 6.98| 9.20| 26.69| 0.90| 52.76| 0.18|
| Atomic | 4.79| 5.87| 6.58| 18.56| 0.44| 63.68| 0.09|
| Weight | 6.39| 6.35| 8.51| 23.41| 1.09| 53.98| 0.28|
| Atomic | 9.92| 5.15| 5.88| 15.54| 0.51| 62.91| 0.15|
| Weight | 6.67| 4.48| 10.46| 21.26| 0.36| 53.65| 2.86| 0.26|
| Atomic | 10.40| 3.65| 7.27| 14.19| 0.17| 62.86| 1.37| 0.09| 11.16| 0.36| 50.03| 15.53| 4.55| 63.37|
Table 4 EDS analysis results of perthite with lumpy stripe distribution

| Sample | Percent (%) | Na-rich feldspar | K-rich feldspar |
|--------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|
|        | C | Na | Al | Si | Ca | O | K | C | Na | Al | Si | Ca | O | K | O |
| 1      | 2.59 | 7.27 | 9.62 | 28.59 | 0.99 | 50.94 | 3.26 | 8.58 | 27.40 | 10.98 | 49.78 |
| 2      | 4.21 | 6.18 | 6.97 | 19.90 | 0.48 | 62.25 | 5.47 | 6.42 | 19.68 | 5.67 | 62.76 |
| 3      | 6.58 | 6.30 | 8.21 | 23.53 | 1.11 | 54.27 | 3.23 | 8.39 | 27.23 | 10.78 | 49.87 |
| 4      | 10.17 | 5.09 | 5.65 | 15.56 | 0.52 | 63.01 | 5.43 | 6.27 | 19.90 | 5.64 | 62.84 |
| 5      | 1.98 | 7.99 | 9.11 | 30.27 | 0.86 | 50.65 | 3.07 | 8.60 | 27.46 | 11.45 | 49.59 |
| 6      | 3.24 | 6.83 | 6.63 | 21.16 | 0.79 | 52.87 | 3.94 | 7.37 | 23.75 | 5.72 | 62.66 |
| 7      | 1.74 | 5.60 | 9.30 | 30.07 | 0.30 | 50.78 | 2.54 | 8.25 | 27.65 | 11.06 | 48.95 |
| 8      | 2.90 | 4.87 | 6.88 | 21.39 | 0.50 | 61.62 | 5.35 | 7.20 | 23.87 | 5.61 | 62.66 |
| 9      | 3.86 | 7.08 | 8.87 | 27.37 | 0.71 | 62.17 | 4.52 | 7.80 | 25.94 | 10.96 | 49.78 |
| 10     | 6.18 | 5.92 | 6.31 | 18.71 | 0.34 | 62.54 | 4.74 | 7.53 | 18.31 | 5.56 | 62.93 |
| 11     | 2.09 | 7.19 | 10.21 | 28.58 | 1.56 | 50.35 | 2.23 | 8.57 | 28.06 | 11.60 | 48.85 |

Table 5 EDS analysis results of perthite with dendritic stripe distribution

| Sample | Percent (%) | Na-rich feldspar | K-rich feldspar |
|--------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|
|        | C | Na | Al | Si | Ca | O | K | C | Na | Al | Si | Ca | O | K | O |
| 1      | 2.69 | 6.82 | 10.04 | 27.89 | 1.67 | 50.90 | 2.18 | 0.87 | 8.55 | 28.86 | 10.76 | 48.78 |
| 2      | 4.38 | 5.81 | 7.28 | 19.44 | 0.81 | 62.28 | 3.71 | 0.77 | 6.48 | 21.02 | 5.63 | 62.38 |
| 3      | 4.61 | 7.52 | 8.05 | 27.00 | 52.82 | 4.84 | 7.76 | 25.03 | 10.60 | 51.17 |
| 4      | 3.27 | 8.26 | 8.72 | 28.25 | 51.51 | 2.88 | 8.62 | 27.92 | 11.14 | 49.44 |
| 5      | 5.25 | 6.94 | 6.24 | 19.42 | 0.47 | 62.16 | 4.87 | 6.49 | 20.17 | 5.78 | 62.70 |
| 6      | 3.81 | 7.49 | 8.85 | 27.48 | 0.30 | 52.06 | 5.32 | 0.46 | 7.77 | 24.77 | 10.15 | 51.54 |
| 7      | 6.09 | 6.26 | 6.29 | 18.77 | 0.14 | 62.44 | 8.67 | 0.39 | 5.63 | 17.25 | 5.07 | 63.00 |
| 8      | 6.74 | 6.39 | 8.26 | 23.27 | 0.92 | 54.41 | 5.69 | 0.74 | 7.52 | 24.59 | 9.41 | 52.05 |
| 9      | 10.40 | 5.15 | 5.67 | 15.35 | 0.42 | 63.01 | 9.20 | 0.62 | 5.41 | 16.98 | 4.67 | 63.12 |

Table 6 EDS analysis results of perthite with encircling stripe distribution

| Sample | Percent (%) | Na-rich feldspar | K-rich feldspar |
|--------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|
|        | C | Na | Al | Si | Ca | O | K | C | Na | Al | Si | Ca | O | K | O |
| 1      | 1.64 | 8.07 | 9.73 | 29.89 | 0.56 | 50.11 | 2.29 | 8.82 | 28.29 | 11.97 | 48.63 |
| 2      | 2.70 | 6.94 | 7.13 | 21.03 | 0.27 | 61.92 | 3.91 | 6.72 | 20.68 | 6.29 | 62.40 |
| 3      | 4.88 | 7.53 | 8.00 | 26.83 | 53.02 | 3.99 | 7.93 | 26.81 | 10.83 | 50.44 |
| 4      | 7.56 | 6.05 | 5.61 | 18.07 | 62.71 | 6.62 | 5.87 | 19.06 | 5.32 | 62.93 |
| 5      | 2.27 | 6.84 | 10.36 | 28.28 | 1.72 | 50.54 | 2.77 | 0.40 | 8.56 | 28.04 | 10.92 | 49.31 |
| 6      | 3.72 | 5.86 | 7.56 | 19.82 | 0.84 | 62.20 | 4.68 | 0.35 | 6.45 | 20.27 | 5.67 | 62.58 |
| 7      | 2.68 | 6.83 | 9.44 | 26.17 | 1.59 | 52.30 | 7.31 | 7.88 | 25.14 | 10.53 | 48.04 |
| 8      | 4.35 | 5.79 | 6.82 | 18.17 | 0.77 | 63.73 | 11.95 | 5.74 | 17.59 | 5.29 | 59.01 |

Fig. 10. Ratio of the average deviation of weight in the perthite in tight sandstone.
Fig. 11. Ratio of the average deviation of atomic content in the perthite in tight sandstone.

Table 7 EDS analysis results of perthite with mixed stripe distribution

| Sample | Na-rich feldspar | K-rich feldspar |
|--------|------------------|-----------------|
|        | Percent (%)      |                |
|        | C    | Na   | Al   | Si   | Ca   | O    | K    | C    | Na   | Al   | Si   | Ca   | O    | K    | C    | Na   | Al   | Si   | Ca   | O    | K    |
| 1      | Weight | 2.58 | 6.96 | 9.61 | 28.71 | 1.13 | 51.01 | 2.04 | 8.36 | 27.90 | 11.12 | 49.58 |
| 2      | Atomic  | 4.20 | 5.92 | 6.97 | 20.00 | 0.55 | 62.36 | 5.12 | 6.28 | 20.11 | 5.76 | 62.74 |
| 3      | Weight  | 3.06 | 7.40 | 8.85 | 29.02 | 1.17 | 51.67 | 1.17 | 6.11 | 27.69 | 10.30 | 49.72 |
| 4      | Atomic  | 4.24 | 6.23 | 6.34 | 20.00 | 1.61 | 61.99 | 4.32 | 5.67 | 19.88 | 5.42 | 62.65 |
| 5      | Weight  | 2.68 | 7.81 | 8.95 | 29.33 | 1.23 | 51.23 | 2.87 | 7.95 | 28.06 | 10.11 | 49.59 |
| 6      | Atomic  | 4.33 | 6.60 | 6.46 | 20.32 | 1.20 | 62.29 | 4.83 | 6.43 | 20.19 | 5.23 | 62.64 |
| 7      | Weight  | 2.07 | 7.75 | 9.38 | 29.41 | 0.68 | 50.51 | 2.40 | 8.70 | 28.62 | 11.27 | 49.03 |
| 8      | Atomic  | 3.39 | 6.63 | 6.98 | 20.39 | 0.33 | 62.08 | 4.08 | 6.59 | 20.82 | 5.89 | 62.62 |
| 9      | Weight  | 1.80 | 8.31 | 9.24 | 20.28 | 0.38 | 50.58 | 0.63 | 9.43 | 31.27 | 11.98 | 46.69 |
| 10     | Atomic  | 2.94 | 7.11 | 6.74 | 21.22 | 1.00 | 61.99 | 0.58 | 7.41 | 23.62 | 6.50 | 61.89 |
| 11     | Weight  | 3.67 | 7.56 | 8.48 | 28.21 | 0.29 | 52.09 | 4.05 | 0.35 | 8.28 | 26.37 | 10.49 | 50.47 |
| 12     | Atomic  | 5.87 | 6.31 | 6.03 | 19.28 | 0.67 | 62.51 | 6.72 | 0.30 | 6.11 | 18.70 | 5.34 | 62.83 |
| 13     | Weight  | 3.39 | 7.83 | 8.44 | 28.56 | 0.79 | 61.79 | 4.59 | 1.12 | 7.80 | 26.10 | 9.19 | 51.19 |
| 14     | Atomic  | 5.43 | 6.57 | 6.03 | 19.60 | 0.38 | 62.38 | 7.52 | 0.96 | 5.69 | 18.28 | 4.62 | 62.93 |
| 15     | Weight  | 4.22 | 6.77 | 9.17 | 26.52 | 0.97 | 52.35 | 4.68 | 1.46 | 7.98 | 25.76 | 8.89 | 51.23 |
| 16     | Atomic  | 6.73 | 5.64 | 6.50 | 18.06 | 0.46 | 62.61 | 7.64 | 1.24 | 5.81 | 18.00 | 4.46 | 62.85 |
| 17     | Weight  | 1.63 | 7.37 | 10.63 | 28.61 | 2.01 | 49.75 | 6.49 | 1.22 | 7.52 | 22.97 | 7.53 | 52.33 | 1.94 |
| 18     | Atomic  | 2.70 | 6.38 | 7.83 | 20.25 | 1.00 | 61.84 | 10.45 | 1.03 | 5.39 | 15.83 | 3.73 | 63.30 | 0.27 |
| 19     | Weight  | 3.75 | 7.34 | 8.75 | 27.58 | 0.60 | 51.99 | 3.40 | 0.50 | 8.46 | 27.38 | 10.22 | 50.04 |
| 20     | Atomic  | 6.00 | 6.14 | 6.24 | 18.87 | 0.29 | 62.46 | 5.68 | 0.44 | 6.30 | 19.57 | 5.25 | 62.78 |
| 21     | Weight  | 5.07 | 6.84 | 8.20 | 25.67 | 0.48 | 52.81 | 0.94 | 6.69 | 7.22 | 23.65 | 9.34 | 53.10 |
| 22     | Atomic  | 8.00 | 5.64 | 5.76 | 17.33 | 0.23 | 62.58 | 0.45 | 10.66 | 5.13 | 16.12 | 4.57 | 63.53 |
| 23     | Weight  | 2.77 | 7.37 | 8.80 | 29.58 | 0.58 | 51.48 | 3.00 | 0.56 | 8.56 | 27.67 | 10.68 | 49.52 |
| 24     | Atomic  | 4.48 | 6.23 | 6.34 | 20.46 | 0.62 | 62.50 | 5.06 | 0.49 | 6.42 | 19.92 | 5.52 | 62.59 |

Fig. 12. Ratio of the maximum deviation of weight in the perthite in tight sandstone.
In the above analysis the level sequence of each element index has been determined. Through this analysis, the different perthite types of these indexes have been identified. The identification of some perthite types is better than others. Since the standard deviation of a set of data indicates the degree of dispersion, the higher the standard deviation, the higher the degree of dispersion of the data set, and the more effectively perthite types could be identified.

In the following analysis, the standard deviation of each element index was calculated and used as the evaluation criteria so that the preferred element index to identify the perthite types could be determined.

Table 8 shows that the element indexes such as ‘average-weight-K(K-rich)/Na(Na-rich)’, ‘maximum-weight-K(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich)’, ‘average-atomic-K(K-rich)/Na(Na-rich)’, etc., can be the most effective to identify perthite types because the standard deviation of the two indicators is the largest, indicating that the two indicators have a greater distinction in judging the morphology of the perthite, so they have good applicability. Similarly, the other indicators shown in Fig. 9 can also be used as judgement indicators. The larger the standard deviation, the less applicable they are. We were able to decide which index to use according to the conditions of practical research.

In other words, the perthite types were easily identified when appropriate element indexes were combined. Additionally, identifying the perthite types also allowed for the discovery of the element distribution of K-rich and Na-rich feldspars in the perthite.

Comparison of the analysis results with the classification results allowed several element indexes to be calculated, and statistics of the occurrence probability of K in Na-rich feldspar, Ca in Na-rich feldspar, and Na in K-rich feldspar were also calculated to identify different shapes of perthite. From these statistics, the distribution of elements through the perthite shapes was predicted. Therefore, the study provided a scientific basis for the discovery of the formation mechanism of the minerals in the Yanchang Formation tight sandstone.

### 5 Conclusions

The large amount of feldspar in tight sandstone was able to provide the material basis for the formation of perthite. Significant differences exist in the morphology of Na-rich feldspar when we treat K-rich feldspar as the main component of perthite. The perthite in tight sandstone from the Ordos basin has been divided into six main types based on morphological characteristics, which are perthite with thick parallel stripe distribution; with thin parallel stripe distribution; with dendritic stripe distribution; with lumpy stripe distribution; with encircling stripe distribution; and with mixed stripe distribution.

| Rank | Element index                                      | Standard deviation |
|------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1    | Average-weight-K(K-rich)/Na(Na-rich)              | 0.839              |
| 2    | Maximum-weight-K(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich)             | 0.555              |
| 3    | Average-atomic-K(K-rich)/Na(Na-rich)              | 0.502              |
| 4    | Average-weight-K(K-rich)/Na(Na-rich)              | 0.437              |
| 5    | Maximum-atomic-K(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich)             | 0.328              |
| 6    | Average-weight-K(K-rich)/Na(Na-rich)              | 0.232              |
| 7    | Standard deviation-atomic-K(K-rich)/Na(Na-rich)   | 0.229              |
| 8    | Probability of the occurrence of 'K' in K-rich feldspar | 0.211              |
| 9    | Average-weight-K(K-rich)/Na(Na-rich)              | 0.199              |
| 10   | Probability of the occurrence of 'Ca' in Na-rich feldspar | 0.188              |
| 11   | Standard deviation-weight-K(K-rich)/Na(Na-rich)   | 0.165              |
| 12   | Average-atomic-K(K-rich)/Na(Na-rich)              | 0.137              |
| 13   | Maximum-weight-K(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich)             | 0.124              |
| 14   | Average-atomic-K(K-rich)/Na(Na-rich)              | 0.089              |
| 15   | Maximum-atomic-K(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich)             | 0.073              |
| 16   | Probability of the occurrence of 'Ca' in Na-rich feldspar | 0.065              |
| 17   | Average-atomic-K(K-rich)/Na(Na-rich)              | 0.059              |
| 18   | Maximum-weight-K(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich)             | 0.050              |
| 19   | Standard deviation-weight-K(K-rich)/Na(Na-rich)   | 0.047              |
| 20   | Standard deviation-atomic-K(K-rich)/Na(Na-rich)   | 0.046              |
| 21   | Standard deviation-atomic-Na(Na-rich)/K(K-rich)   | 0.046              |
| 22   | Standard deviation-weight-Na(Na-rich)/K(K-rich)   | 0.039              |
| 23   | Maximum-weight-Ca(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich)            | 0.032              |
| 24   | Maximum-atomic-Ca(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich)            | 0.029              |
| 25   | Minimum-weight-Ca(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich)            | 0.022              |
| 26   | Minimum-atomic-Ca(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich)            | 0.018              |
| 27   | Maximum-atomic-Ca(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich)            | 0.012              |
| 28   | Minimum-weight-Ca(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich)            | 0.008              |
| 29   | Minimum-atomic-Ca(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich)            | 0.004              |
| 30   | Maximum-weight-Ca(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich)            | 0              |
| 31   | Minimum-weight-Ca(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich)            | 0              |
| 32   | Maximum-atomic-Ca(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich)            | 0              |
| 33   | Minimum-atomic-Ca(Na-rich)/Na(Na-rich)            | 0              |
| 34   | Standard deviation-atomic-Na(Na-rich)/K(K-rich)   | 0                |
| 35   | Standard deviation-weight-Na(Na-rich)/K(K-rich)   | 0                |
These different types of perthite have clear differences in the different element indexes. Element indexes such as average-weight-K (K-rich) / Na (Na-rich), maximum-weight-K (Na-rich) / Na (Na-rich), average-atomic-K (K-rich) / Na (Na-rich), etc., are probably or possibly the most effective ones to use for identifying the types of perthite. The perthite types can then be easily identified combining the appropriate element indexes. Additionally, identification of the perthite types has allowed for the discovery of the distribution of elements of K-rich and Na-rich feldspar in perthite.
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