Tissue array for \textit{Tp53}, \textit{C-myc}, \textit{CCND1} gene over-expression in different tumors
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\textbf{Abstract}

\textbf{AIM:} To rapidly detect molecular alterations in different malignancies and investigate the possible role of \textit{Tp53}, \textit{C-myc}, and \textit{CCND1} genes in development of tumors in human organs and their adjacent normal tissues, as well as the possible relation between well- and poorly-differentiated tumors.

\textbf{METHODS:} A tissue array consisting of seven different tumors was generated. The tissue array included 120 points of esophagus, 120 points of stomach, 80 points of rectum, 60 points of thyroid gland, 100 points of mammary gland, 80 points of liver, and 80 points of colon. Expressions of \textit{Tp53}, \textit{C-myc}, and \textit{CCND1} were determined by \textit{RNA in situ} hybridization. 3' terminal digoxin-labeled anti-sense single stranded oligonucleotide and locked nucleic acid modifying probe were used.

\textbf{RESULTS:} The expression level of \textit{Tp53} gene was higher in six different carcinoma tissue samples than in paracancerous tissue samples with the exception in colon carcinoma tissue samples ($P < 0.05$). The expression level of \textit{CCND1} gene was significantly different in different carcinoma tissue samples with the exception in esophagus and colon carcinoma tissue samples. The expression level of \textit{C-myc} gene was different in esophagus carcinoma tissue samples ($\chi^2 = 18.495, P = 0.000$), stomach carcinoma tissue samples ($\chi^2 = 23.750, P = 0.000$), and thyroid gland tissue samples ($\chi^2 = 10.999, P = 0.004$). The intensity of signals was also different in different carcinoma tissue samples and paracancerous tissue samples.

\textbf{CONCLUSION:} Over-expression of the \textit{Tp53}, \textit{CCND1}, and \textit{C-myc} genes appears to play a role in development of human cancer by regulating the expression of mRNA. \textit{Tp53}, \textit{CCND1} and \textit{C-myc} genes are significantly correlated with the development of different carcinomas.
tumors. *Tp53* is a specific protein produced by the most commonly mutated gene in human cancer that suppresses the growth of tumors\(^\text{[1]}\). Like other tumor-suppressor genes, *Tp53* normally controls cell growth. If *Tp53* is physically lost or not in effect (because of its inactivation), it may permit cells to divide without restraint\(^\text{[2]}\). The level of *Tp53* has a prognostic (predictive) value for tumors. For example, breast cancer patients with a high level of *Tp53* after mastectomy are at a higher risk for cancer recurrence than those with a low level of *Tp53\(^\text{[3]}\). The buildup of *Tp53* within cancer cells is a sign that *Tp53* is not working properly to suppress the growth of tumors\(^\text{[4]}\). *CCND1* forms a holoenzyme with a cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK), either CDK4 or CDK6 that phosphorylates the retinoblastoma gene product of pRb. Since the phosphorylation of pRb results in the release of E2F transcription factors, freeing them to stimulate transcription of growth-promoting target genes, over-expression of *CCND1* promotes tumor progression through the G1 phase of cell cycle in cells grown on a substrate\(^\text{[5,6]}\). Over-expression of *CCND1* has been reported in a variety of human tumors including cancers of the lung, head, neck, and bladder\(^\text{[7]}\). It was reported the over-expression rate of *CCND1* is 30%-73% in patients with breast carcinoma\(^\text{[8,9]}\). Whether the expression of *CCND1* can serve as a prognostic indicator of tumors has also been investigated, but the conclusions are contradictory in breast carcinoma\(^\text{[10]}\). *C-myc* gene is an important member of the *myc* gene family, can translocate and regulate a variety of substances, enable an unlimited cell proliferation, immortalize cell life, and is involved in tumor development\(^\text{[11,12]}\).

At present, studies about *Tp53*, *CCND1* and *C-myc* are mainly focused on Caucasian (white race) patients but not on Asians. Since the carcinogenesis of some organ carcinomas might show discrepancies among different races (Caucasian and Asian), detailed information on over-expression and amplification of the three genes in Chinese patients with carcinoma and its correlation with pathological parameters is needed. To more clearly address the importance of over-expression and expression of *Tp53*, *CCND1* and *C-myc* genes in human cancer, we used in situ hybridization technique, which can clearly distinguish stromal from carcinoma components, and decrease the loss of such components in RNA extraction procedure. This approach to the specific location of genes on chromosomes is a technique for the hybridization of DNA and RNA “in situ”. This procedure can isolate or synthesize “*in vitro*” specific radioactive RNA or DNA (known as probes), and then anneal them to chromosomes treated in such a manner that their basic double stranded DNA has been “melted” or dissociated. The relation between *Tp53* and *CCND1*, *C-myc* mRNA expressions was also discussed in this study.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials and microarray construction**

A total of 620 primary tumor tissue samples from 7 different tumors and 20 normal tissue samples were snap-frozen and stored at -70°C. All patients were Chinese and underwent operation at Xiamen University Hospital during 2000-2006. Tissue blocks measuring approximately 1.5 cm × 1.5 cm × 0.3 cm of grossly apparent carcinoma and non-pathologic organs were fixed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 4% paraformaldehyde (1% DEPC, pH 7.4) for 24 h, dehydrated through gradient ethanol, and embedded in paraffin. A hematoxylin and eosin (HE)-stained section was made from each block to define the representative tumor region. Representative areas in different lesions were carefully selected on HE-stained sections and marked on individual paraffin blocks. Tissue cylinders with a diameter of 1-mm were then punched from tumor areas in each “donor” tissue block and put into a recipient paraffin block using a custom-made precision instrument. Five-mm sections of the resulting multiple tumor tissue microarray blocks were transferred to glass slides using the paraffin sectioning aid system [adhesive-coated slides (PSA-CS4x), adhesive tape, and UV lamp; Instru-medics, Inc., Hackensack, NJ], supporting the cohesion of 0.6-mm array elements. The final TMA consisted of 640 1-mm diameter TMA cores each spaced at 0.8 mm between core centers. A section stained with HE was reviewed to confirm the presence of morphologically representative areas in the original lesions.

**Preparation of digoxigenin-labeled probes for RNA in situ hybridization**

Anti-sense probes matched the corresponding sequence. Locked nucleic acid (LNA) was modified to increase the stability and sensitivity of probes. The sequences of probes are 5’-CAGGACAGGCACACACGGCACCT*CAAAGCTGTCCGCACTTCATGTCCCTCGCAGACACTCTTCGATCTTCGGCAGATCCCTCCTCCG-3Dig (*CCND1*), 5’-CCTCTCGCAGCTTCCGGCAGATCCCTCCTCCG-3Dig (*Tp53*), 5’-CTTCCGGCAGATCCCTCCTCCG-3Dig (*TP53*), 5’-CTTTCCTCATTTGTTGTCCCTCCTCAGAG*T*CGCTGTGCGGATGTACGTTGCGGTGGCGGTGTC-3Dig (*myc*). The positive probe was 30T. Asterisk indicates that the LNA modifying site and 3’ terminal were labeled with digoxigenin. All probes were synthesized by Sangon (Shanghai).

**RNA in situ hybridization**

Hybridization procedures were performed in this study based on the instructions of RISH kits (Cybrdi USA) with some modifications. The glassware was washed, rinsed in distilled deionized water, and autoclaved before use. Gloves were worn when the glassware and slides were handled to prevent RNase contamination on the tissue. Because of the differences in tissues and probes, we performed different pilot-experiments to achieve the best results (Table 1). Deparaffinized sections were mounted on Denhardt-coated glass slides and treated with pepsin (0.25 mg/mL in DEPC H2O-HCl) for 25-30 min in a 37°C water bath. The treated sections were then processed for *in situ* hybridization at 42°C-45°C for 36-48 h. The hybridization mixture contained the labeled
oligonucleotide probe, 50% formamide, 10 mmol/L Tris-HCl, 1 mmol/L vanadyl-ribonucleoside complex (Sigma 94740), 1 mmol/L CTAB (Sigma 855820, pH 7.0), 0.15 mol/L NaCl, 1 mmol/L EDTA (pH 7.0), 1 × Denhardt’s mixture and 10% dextran sulfate. After hybridization, the slides were washed three times, 30 min each time, in 0.1 mol/L TBS at room temperature, then treated with TBS (100 mmol/L Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mmol/L NaCl) containing a 1% blocking reagent (Roche) and 0.03% Triton X-100 for 30 min at room temperature and incubated for 30 min with antidioxigenin alkaline phosphatase conjugated antibodies (Roche) diluted at 1:500 in TBS containing 0.03% Triton X-100 and a 1% blocking reagent. After washed three times, 15 min each time, in TBS and 0.05% Tween, the slides were rinsed in a DAP-buffer (100 mmol/L Tris, pH 9.5, 100 mmol/L NaCl, 50 mmol/L MgCl₂) and subsequently hybridization signals were visualized using nitroblue tetrazolium and 5-brom-4-chlor-3-indolyl phosphate as substrates [DAP-buffer in 10% PVA(Sigma 341584)].

Statistical analysis
All cases were first grouped to calculate the percentages of positive and negative cases. χ² contingency test was used to evaluate the differences among groups. Analyses were performed using the statistical package SPSS 10.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Technical considerations
The tissue micro-array technology is substantially different from the traditional multi-tissue blocks, which are often used in pathology laboratories for antibody testing. The most important advantages of tissue micro-array technology include increased capacity, negligible damage to the original tissue blocks, precise positioning of tissue specimens and possibility of automatic construction and analysis of arrays. In this study, we chose 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (1‰ DEPC PBS) as a fixation agent, which can decrease degradation of RNA and result in a good morphology. RISH analysis showed that 80%-95% of tumor samples were interpretable. RISH-related weak hybridization, background, and tissue damage were responsible for about one-sixth of the non-informative cases.

Expression of Tp53, C-myc and CCND1 gene in different organs
RNA in situ hybridization (RNA-ISH) was used to detect specific RNAs in situ. Most protocols using 4% paraformaldehyde as a fixation agent increased the probe permeability, and hybridization was performed in a buffer containing 50% formamide. The typical results of ISH were observed as amethyst dots on arrays, locating in cytoplasm or cytoblasts (Figures 1-3). RNA analysis and quantification required completely intact, non-degraded RNA samples to produce optimal results. Vanadium oxide ions and formation of complex nucleoside could protect RNA degradation from RNase. Cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) could stabilize the Oligo probe and target sequence formation of double-stranded structures, thus improving the re-annealing speed.

Two major factors, probe accessibility and affinity to the targeted RNA molecules, were found to affect the hybridization efficiency. Poor probe hybridization efficiency was found to be one of the major drawbacks of RNA-targeted in situ hybridization. The monomer containing LNA greatly improved the stability and sensitivity of RNA-targeted in situ hybridization. The six array elements resulting in 640 points are shown in Figures 1 and 2.

Table 1 Pilot-experiment data

| Probe concentration (ng/µL) | Digest time (min) | Incubation time (h)/temperature (℃) | Chromogenic time (min) |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Tissue array              | Tp53             | 10                                 | 30                    | 44/48                 | 110             |
| Tissue array              | C-myc            | 10                                 | 20                    | 41.5/42               | 30              |
| Tissue array              | CCND1            | 10                                 | 20                    | 36/45                 | 50              |

Figure 1 Over-expression of CCND1 in hepatoma (A), breast cancer (B), and gastric cancer (C).
Gene over-expression

A total of 640 samples were studied for Tp53, CCND1 and C-myc mRNA expression, with non-radioactive in situ hybridization. ISH results were expressed as intensity and percentage based upon the signal intensity of positive staining and the number of stained cells within the sample, respectively. Tumor was graded according to the World Health Organization System. Normal tissues were also obtained from patients and a tumor free area in the same specimen served as a control. The presence of occasional tumor cells without detectable over-expression might be attributed to the truncated cells that had lost their genetic material during sectioning or tissue pretreatment before hybridization.

Tp53 was over-expressed in different tumors. The over-expression frequencies of Tp53 in these tumors are shown in Table 2. A significant difference was observed in carcinomatous and paracancerous tissue samples, including those of esophagus, stomach, rectum, thyroid gland, liver, mammary gland. Tp53 was over-expressed in almost all tumor cells within an array element. Our data indicate that expression of Tp53 in tumor tissues may play a role in cell carcinomatous change. Intracellular levels of Tp53 were elevated due to the increased stability and higher steady state of the protein, which may permit cells to divide without restraint. The positive
expression rate of Tp53 was 48.9% (44/90) in carcinoma tissue samples and 20% (6/30) in normal adjacent tissue samples. In most cases, carcinomatous tissue samples had stronger signals than paracancerous tissue samples (Figures 4 and 5). Stronger positive dots (positive cells > 50%) were observed in carcinomatous tissue samples.

CCND1 ISH signals, located exclusively in nuclei, were variable in terms of staining intensity and proportion of positive nuclei among the cells in individual cases. The over-expression frequencies of all tumors are shown in Table 3. In this study, a significant difference was found in carcinomatous and paracancerous tissue samples, including those of stomach, thyroid gland, and mammary gland. Our data indicate that CCND1 expression was significantly associated with carcinomatous change. CCND1 was expressed only in one paracancerous tissue sample of rectum, but in 4 carcinomatous tissue samples. In most cases, carcinomatous tissue samples had stronger signals than paracancerous tissue samples (Figures 4 and 5).

The over-expression frequencies of C-myc gene in all tumors are shown in Table 4. In this study, a significant difference was found in carcinomatous and paracancerous tissue samples, including those of esophagus, stomach, and thyroid gland. The expression of C-myc gene in carcinomatous and paracancerous tissues samples was not significantly associated with the histological grade of tumors. The expression of C-myc mRNA was heterogeneous in breast tumor tissue samples, with no predominant morphologic subtype in the high or low categories (χ² = 7.062, P = 0.133). However, breast carcinoma tissue samples had stronger signals (positive cells > 75 %) than normal adjacent tissue samples. The positive expression rate of C-myc mRNA was 62.5% (25/40) in carcinomatous tissue samples, 60% (12/20) in lobular hyperplasia samples, and 60% (12/20) in fibroadenoma tissue samples.

In our study, the positive expression rate of the three probes was not significantly different in colon carcinoma and paracancerous tissue samples, which was 58.3%, 75.0%, 66.7% and 65.0%, 65.0%, 50.0%, respectively (χ² = 2.083, P = 0.555; χ² = 7.273, P = 0.064; χ² = 3.627, P = 0.034), suggesting that tumor grade is not related with the gene expression level. The signal intensity was different in colon. Hybridization signals (positive cells > 75%) were always observed both in CCND1 and in C-myc. Carcinoma tissue was associated with weaker signals (positive cells < 50%). However, an opposite tendency was found in thyroid and mammary glands.

### Table 2 Abnormal expression of Tp53 mRNA and over-expression of Tp53 gene in tissue array

| Histological grade | n | Tp53 positive | P value |
|--------------------|---|---------------|---------|
| Esophagus           |   |               |         |
| Paracancerous tissue | 30 | 6             | P = 0.000 |
| I                  | 30 | 20            |         |
| II                 | 20 | 13            |         |
| III                | 20 | 5             |         |
| Stomach            |   |               |         |
| Paracancerous tissue | 30 | 15            | P = 0.000 |
| I                  | 30 | 20            |         |
| II                 | 30 | 29            |         |
| III                | 30 | 5             |         |
| Rectum             |   |               |         |
| Paracancerous tissue | 20 | 2             | P = 0.001 |
| I                  | 20 | 5             |         |
| II                 | 20 | 13            |         |
| III                | 20 | 5             |         |
| Thyroid gland      |   |               |         |
| Paracancerous tissue | 20 | 2             | P = 0.023 |
| Follicular adenoma | 20 | 7             |         |
| Papillary carcinoma | 20 | 10            |         |
| Hepar              |   |               |         |
| Paracancerous tissue | 20 | 6             | P = 0.000 |
| I                  | 20 | 19            |         |
| II                 | 20 | 16            |         |
| III                | 20 | 15            |         |
| Colon              |   |               |         |
| Paracancerous tissue | 20 | 13            | P = 0.555 |
| I                  | 20 | 11            |         |
| II                 | 20 | 14            |         |
| III                | 20 | 10            |         |
| Mammary gland      |   |               |         |
| Paracancerous tissue | 20 | 3             | P = 0.000 |
| Lobular hyperplasia | 20 | 4             |         |
| Fibroadenoma       | 20 | 4             |         |
| Lobular carcinoma  | 20 | 15            |         |
| DCIS               | 20 | 15            |         |

### Table 3 Abnormal expression of CCND1 mRNA and over-expression of CCND1 gene in tissue array

| Histological grade | n | CCND1 positive | P value |
|--------------------|---|---------------|---------|
| Esophagus           |   |               |         |
| Paracancerous tissue | 30 | 19            |         |
| I                  | 30 | 25            | P = 0.058 |
| II                 | 30 | 27            |         |
| III                | 30 | 25            |         |
| Stomach            |   |               |         |
| Paracancerous tissue | 30 | 15            |         |
| I                  | 30 | 16            | P = 0.034 |
| II                 | 30 | 25            |         |
| III                | 30 | 19            |         |
| Rectum             |   |               |         |
| Paracancerous tissue | 20 | 1             |         |
| I                  | 20 | 5             | P = 0.000 |
| II                 | 20 | 15            |         |
| III                | 20 | 10            |         |
| Thyroid gland      |   |               |         |
| Paracancerous tissue | 20 | 3             |         |
| Follicular adenoma | 20 | 10            | P = 0.000 |
| Papillary carcinoma | 20 | 16            |         |
| Hepar              |   |               |         |
| Paracancerous tissue | 20 | 11            |         |
| I                  | 20 | 19            | P = 0.037 |
| II                 | 20 | 15            |         |
| III                | 20 | 14            |         |
| Colon              |   |               |         |
| Paracancerous tissue | 20 | 13            |         |
| I                  | 20 | 19            | P = 0.064 |
| II                 | 20 | 14            |         |
| III                | 20 | 12            |         |
| Mammary gland      |   |               |         |
| Paracancerous tissue | 20 | 3             |         |
| Lobular hyperplasia | 20 | 4             | P = 0.001 |
| Fibroadenoma       | 20 | 8             |         |
| Lobular carcinoma  | 20 | 10            |         |
| DCIS               | 20 | 15            |         |
The correlation coefficients of Tp53-CCND1, CCND1-C-myc and Tp53-C-myc were 0.653 (t = 3.76, P = 0.001), 0.737 (t = 4.753, P = 0.000) and 0.459 (t = 2.253, P = 0.036), respectively. If this finding was validated by an additional analysis in a larger population, these gene ratios could be used as prognostic markers in diagnostic biopsies.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we used the TMA technology because it allows analysis of a large number of samples and markers. A major concern for the TMA technique is the extent to which tumor heterogeneity may affect the validity of results. This issue has been addressed in TMA studies, which demonstrated that all previous findings from large sections could be fully reproduced [13,14].

The data on Tp53, CCND1, and C-myc, RNA in situ hybridization most commonly studied in associated tumors, are consistent with the reported findings [13,14]. In this study, the positive expression rates of Tp53, CCND1, and C-myc RNA were higher than those in previous reports [13,14], confirming the usefulness of the TMA approach. In our study, all tissue samples were fixed in phosphate-buffered saline (1% DEPC PBS) containing 4% paraformaldehyde that can prevent mRNA degradation from RNase and result in good morphology, indicating that tissue micro-array may be powerful in identification of different types of tumor with a particular molecular alteration.

Northern blot, dot blot or PCR-based approach has been used in detecting the expression of Tp53, CCND1 and C-myc mRNA in different tumors, but just a few reports are available on in situ hybridization. Some normal tissues are dominated by adipose cells, differing greatly from tumor tissue in its epithelial cellularity. Normal and tumor tissues cannot be rigorously compared using techniques involving RNA extraction from total tissue. Therefore, conclusions such as 'increased expression' may be more difficult to make from studies with Northern blot, dot blot and PCR-based techniques requiring RNA extraction from tissues not fastidiously microdissected for selection of tumor cells. In this study, a more sensitive hybridization mixture decreased RNA degradation, thus accelerating oligonucleotide probe-RNA annealing. The signal intensity can be increased and low abundance RNA can be detected using a locked nucleic acid modifier.
Table 4 Abnormal expression of C-myc mRNA and over-expression of C-myc gene in tissue array

| Histological grade          | n  | C-myc positive | P value |
|-----------------------------|----|----------------|---------|
| Esophagus                   |    |                |         |
| Paracancerous tissue        | 30 | 17             |         |
| I                          | 30 | 29             | 0.000   |
| II                         | 30 | 27             |         |
| III                        | 30 | 20             |         |
| Stomach                     |    |                |         |
| Paracancerous tissue        | 30 | 28             |         |
| I                          | 30 | 15             | 0.000   |
| II                         | 30 | 28             |         |
| III                        | 30 | 25             |         |
| Rectum                      |    |                |         |
| Paracancerous tissue        | 20 | 16             |         |
| I                          | 20 | 11             | 0.214   |
| II                         | 20 | 10             |         |
| III                        | 20 | 13             |         |
| Thyroid gland               |    |                |         |
| Paracancerous tissue        | 20 | 9              |         |
| Follicular adenoma          | 20 | 18             | 0.004   |
| Papillary carcinoma         | 20 | 16             |         |
| Hepar                       |    |                |         |
| Paracancerous tissue        | 20 | 10             |         |
| I                          | 20 | 11             | 0.813   |
| II                         | 20 | 10             |         |
| III                        | 20 | 8              |         |
| Colon                       |    |                |         |
| Paracancerous tissue        | 20 | 10             |         |
| I                          | 20 | 15             | 0.305   |
| II                         | 20 | 14             |         |
| III                        | 20 | 11             |         |
| Mammary gland               |    |                |         |
| Paracancerous tissue        | 20 | 7              |         |
| Lobular hyperplasia         | 20 | 12             | 0.133   |
| Fibroadenoma                | 20 | 12             |         |
| Lobular carcinoma           | 20 | 10             |         |
| DCIS                        | 20 | 15             |         |

The expression of Tp53 protein. However, all of them are mutated forms of Tp53. It was reported that the Tp53 gene acquires frequent mutations during the development of human malignancies including cancer of colon, breast, and lungs[21]. As described earlier, intracellular regulation of Tp53 expression can occur at the level of mRNA or Tp53 protein. A recent study indicated that even a brief reactivation of endogenous Tp53 in Tp53-deficient tumors can lead to a complete tumor regression[22].

At present, the expression of CCND1 has been investigated in several differences tumors, showing that patients with positive expression of CCND1 usually have a poor prognosis compared to those with negative expression of CCND1 in lung cancer, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and bladder cancer[23]. Although positive expression of CCND1 can serve as a poor prognostic factor or is associated with a worse prognosis, the expression of CCND1 is not correlated with the prognosis of cancer patients[24]. Furthermore, intensive investigations have been done on the correlation between CCND1 expression and patient survival in Caucasian females with breast carcinoma, but systematic investigations on alteration of CCND1 in Asian females are rare[23,24]. Whether CCND1 expression, clinicopathological parameters, survival rate and other prognostic markers are associated with cell cycle is not clear. In our study, a significant difference was found in positive expression rate of CCND1 between different tumors except for tumors of esophagus (χ² = 7.500, P = 0.058) and colon (χ² = 7.273, P = 0.064). These results agree with the conclusions of other studies[23,24].

The expression of CCND1 plays an important role in the early staging of carcinogenesis in Caucasian females with breast carcinoma. In the present study, 4 patients had lobular hyperplasia, 25 had breast carcinoma, suggesting that expression of CCND1 also plays an important role in Chinese patients with breast carcinoma. CCND1 was over-expressed in gastric adenocarcinoma tissue samples.

The C-myc oncogene is amplified or over-expressed in different human cancers. Experiments in vivo have also causally linked aberrant expression of this gene to the development and progression of cancer in different body sites[20]. However, several critical issues regarding the significance of C-myc in human cancer still remain obscure. C-myc is essential for tumor development, since it regulates factors necessary for the growth of tumors lending a new potential target to anti-angiogenic cancer therapies. Our study showed that the expression of C-myc was significantly different in carcinoma and its adjacent normal tissue samples. In our study, 18 patients had follicular adenoma and 16 had papillary carcinoma. The signal intensity of C-myc was also similar in follicular adenoma and papillary carcinoma patients with no strong signal occurred in paracancerous tissue samples, indicating that determination of C-myc gene by RISH can contribute to the diagnosis of carcinoma and distinguish carcinoma from follicular adenoma.

C-myc gene over-expression is associated with a poor to increase its stability and sensitivity[12-17]. The nonspecificity signal can be decreased and the specificity can be increased using a different temperature and probe concentration. A strong hybridization signal appears in the transmitting tissue of pistil a few hours after 10% PVA (MW, 70-100 kDa) is used[18-24].

Our results reveal that the expression of Tp53 was higher in six different carcinoma samples than in their adjacent normal adjacent tissue samples with the exception in colon tissue samples. In this study, the expression of Tp53 was observed in 4 cases of lobular hyperplasia and in 20 cases of fibroadenoma. However, the positive expression rate of Tp53 was 75% (30/40) in breast carcinoma samples and 75% (30/40) in lobular carcinoma tissue samples, suggesting that determination of Tp53 gene by RISH contributes to the diagnosis of carcinoma and distinguishes DCIS from atypical hyperplasia. Moreover, carcinoma tissue often has a stronger signal than paracancerous tissue. In the present study, the signal (positive cells > 50%) was stronger in liver and thyroid gland carcinoma tissue samples than in follicular adenoma tissue samples. Originally, Tp53 was thought to be an oncogene because over 50% of cancer cells tested showed a high level of
The multitumor (sausage) tissue block: novel could induce the over-expression.
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intricate and involve the expression of multiple cytokines and oncogenes such as C-myc.

The development and progression of cancer in different body sites are very

The relationship between oncogene and tumor clarifies the mechanism of tumor and provides an important molecular basis for closer observation of the nature of tumor, which can be widely applied in the diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis of cancer.

The authors studied the expression of Tp53, C-myc, and CCND1 in different tumors using tissue microarrays. The study was well designed. The findings are reliable and can be widely applied in the diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis of cancer.
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