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Abstract: Sandwich structures benefit from the geometrical stiffening effect due to their high cross-sectional area moment of inertia. Transferred to carbon fiber-reinforced plastic (CFRP) components, the needed amount of carbon fiber (CF) material can be reduced and with it the CO₂ footprint. The combination of a light foam core with continuous fiber-reinforced face sheets is a suitable material combination for lightweight design. Traditionally, CFRP sandwich structures with a foam core are manufactured in a two-step process by combining a prefabricated foam core with fiber-reinforced face sheets. However, in addition to the reduction in the used CFRP material, manufacturing processes with a high efficiency are needed. The objective of this paper is the sandwich manufacturing and characterization by using the Direct Sandwich Composite Molding (D-SCM) process for the one-step production of CFRP sandwich structures. The D-SCM process utilizes the resulting foaming pressure during the reactive polyurethane (PUR) foam system expansion for the impregnation of the CF-reinforced face sheets. The results of this work show that the production of sandwich structures with the novel D-SCM process strategy is feasible in one single manufacturing step and achieves good impregnation qualities. The foam density and morphology significantly influence the core shear properties and thus the component behavior under a bending load.
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1. Introduction

Existing legal frameworks and social pressure set the requirements for material and production process developments. The environmental impact by harmful emissions is increasingly monitored, from manufacture to disposal (life cycle assessment). Carbon fiber-reinforced plastic (CFRP) components have to face the challenge of the high resource consumption during material extraction (greenhouse gas impact of 38.9 kg CO₂-equivalent per kg CF [1]) and component manufacture counteracting the weight and emission savings they offer during the use phase. Thus, the development focus must not be exclusively on mechanical performance and cycle times. The environmental impact of CFRP components from the beginning of the product life cycle must be reduced to improve the overall balance of the component during its whole life cycle compared to conventional materials.

One strategy for achieving this is to use a sandwich design for large and flat components. The geometric stiffening effect by increasing the cross-sectional area moment of inertia allows the reduction in the reinforcing carbon fiber material and thus the CO₂ footprint for the components. A suitable combination for lightweight design is, for example, the use of continuous fiber-reinforced face sheets with a light foam core [2–5].

In addition to the reduction in CFRP material, more resource-efficient manufacturing processes are needed. CFRP sandwich structures with a foam core are manufactured by
combining a prefabricated foam core with fiber-reinforced face sheets in a press process. For the face sheets, either pre-impregnated materials (so-called prepregs) can be used, or they can be in situ impregnated during the component manufacturing process. Thus, at least a two-step process is needed since the pressure-sensitive foam core must be manufactured within a previous production step [6–8].

A processing strategy for utilizing the internal pressure generated by a foam core has already been used by M. Ware for the manufacturing of composite sandwich structures within the Thermal Expansion RTM (TERTM) process [9]. A polyurethane (PUR) or polyimide (PI) foam core, combined with dry fabric reinforcing material, is placed in a cold cavity and the resin system is injected. A thermal expansion of the foam core is induced by increasing the mold temperature. The resulting pressure on the infiltrated face sheets improves their impregnation [9,10]. An alternative strategy by using foamble films in-between two pre-impregnated face sheets is proposed by Burr et al. in a DOW Global Technologies patent from 2014 for epoxy-based thermosets [11] and by Beukers et al. for thermoplastic polymers [12].

A one-step process strategy is introduced by Hopmann et al., using a syntactic, epoxy-based foam system [13]. Hollow polymeric microspheres, loaded with a low-boiling liquid, are used as a blowing agent. The expansion of the blowing particles is induced at rising temperatures due to the heated cavity as the polymeric microsphere shell becomes flexible and the transition of the low-boiling liquid into the gas phase leads to a volume increase. Glass fiber textile reinforcements serve as filters and prevent the microspheres from penetrating the sandwich face sheets. The fabric impregnation is realized by the expansion pressure of the blowing particles, which forces the matrix system into the face sheets. After the curing of the matrix material, the solid polymer network fixates the expanded hollow spheres that remain as pores in the syntactic foam material [13].

Weißenborn et al. introduced another one-step manufacturing strategy by using the expansion reaction of foamable PUR in a closed cavity [14]. Both the foam core and the matrix system for the textile reinforcement of the sandwich face sheets are realized by one single reactive PUR foam system. By manipulating the pressure conditions during the process, the pore content in the face sheets can be influenced. A roving impregnation of 84% and thus a pore volume of 16% were achieved at 770 kg/m$^3$ foam density [14,15].

The one-step sandwich manufacturing method introduced by Hopmann et al. is based on two independent PUR material systems for the foam core and the face sheet impregnation [16]. The face sheet textile reinforcement is wetted by a non-foamable PUR material outside the mold and vacuum supports the pre-impregnation process. Combined with the reactive PUR foam material in the heated cavity, the temperature-induced expansion reaction of the foam system leads to an internal force that presses the face sheets against the mold wall during curing. The investigations showed that the penetration of foam pores into the face sheets cannot be prevented even if the vacuum-assisted wetting of the fiber structure is almost optimal [16,17].

Studies conducted by Hopmann et al. [16,17] and Weißenborn et al. [14,15] showed an uncontrolled penetration of foam pores into the face sheets that lower the mechanical performance. Furthermore, relatively high foam densities (e.g., 770 kg/m$^3$ [14,15]) are necessary for sandwich manufacturing, which decreases the potential of being light weight. This leads to the need for a more efficient and robust one-step manufacturing technology for a CFRP sandwich structure production. This paper contributes to the development of a one-step manufacturing method for sandwich structures by introducing and investigating the novel Direct Sandwich Composite Molding (D-SCM) process. The focus of this manufacturing strategy is on avoiding foam pore penetration into the face sheets and on achieving low foam core densities. The objectives of this paper are to prove the feasibility of the D-SCM production method and to characterize the achievable sandwich properties in terms of fiber volume content and mechanical behavior under a three-point bending load.
2. The Direct Sandwich Composite Molding (D-SCM) Process Strategy

The basic idea of the intended processing strategy within this paper is the use of the expansion pressure generated during the PUR foam reaction for the fabric impregnation and consolidation of the face sheets. Two independent material systems for the foam core and the fabric impregnation in the face sheets will be used. A thermoplastic PUR (TPU) film is used as an impermeable layer for separating the face sheet and the reactive foam materials to avoid the uncontrolled face sheet penetration of foam cells. Consequently, different resin types for the face sheets (e.g., use of epoxy-based systems) can be used to improve the process flexibility. Additionally, an optimized polyurethane foam system is used for ensuring a high lightweight potential of the structures by decreasing the necessary foam density and increasing the achievable fiber volume content (FVC) of the sandwich face sheets [18].

The focus of this paper is the realization of a one-step process—the Direct Sandwich Composite Molding (D-SCM) Process—for the manufacturing of CFRP sandwich structures by using an optimized polyurethane foam system [18]. The process strategy is described in Figure 1. The stack of a wetted textile fabric and a TPU film is introduced in the heated cavity (1), an optimized reactive PUR foam system [18] is applied into the mold (2) and a second wetted fabric stack plus TPU film forms the upper face sheet (3). After closing the mold to the final component thickness (4), the chemical reaction of the foam material results in an expansion pressure on the face sheets (5). This internal pressure leads to the impregnation of the textile reinforcement, followed by the consolidation (curing) of the face sheets (6). After curing, the cavity can be opened and the sandwich component demolded (7). The resulting sandwich structures are characterized within a three-point bending analysis.

![Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the ideal one-step sandwich process: (1) first wetted fabric stack is introduced in the cavity, (2) reactive PUR foam system is applied, (3) second wetted fabric stack is introduced in the cavity, (4) mold is closed to the final component thickness, (5) chemical PUR foam expansion, (6) consolidation (curing) of the face sheets, (7) demolding of the sandwich component.](image-url)

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

The biaxial (0°/90°), 50 K-based carbon fiber non-crimp fabric (NCF) PX35MD030B-127T from Zoltek Corporation was used for the fiber reinforcement of the face sheets. The basic structure of the textile with a basis weight of 304 g/m² consists of Panex® 35 carbon
fibers. These were divided proportionally with 150 g/m² between the biaxial orientations of the NCF. The carbon fiber filaments were coated with 1.5 wt.-% sizing. The material was tricot-stitched with 4 g/m² polyester thread [19]. Two layers of the fiber semi-finished product were combined per face sheet to form a symmetrical reinforcement structure of [(0°/90°), (90°/0°)].

Two thermosetting amine-based epoxy resin systems were used as matrix material for the face sheets. The Epikote™ TRAC 06170 system from Hexion is a low-viscosity resin system with a pot life of 42 min ± 5 at 23 °C, developed for liquid impregnation processes [20]. It will be referred to as “Epikote” in the following. The Araldite® LY 3031 system from Huntsman is a fast-curing resin system for automotive mass production and has a pot life of 15 to 25 min at 23 °C [21]. The system will be referred to as “Araldite” in the following.

The used PUR foam material for the D-SCM process is based on the two-component system EP 3587/2 from Rühl Puromer GmbH [22]. It consists mainly of polyether polyols and diphenylmethane diisocyanate (MDI). Further additives (e.g., distilled water, catalysts and nucleating agents) are mixed into the polyol component. The PUR system formulation is adjusted to the D-SCM process requirements and includes 3.1 parts of water and 1.1 parts of catalyst related to the polyol quantity [18].

Acmos 36-5238 from Acmos Chemie KG is used as an external mold release agent in the PUR foam manufacturing. For the epoxy resin systems, the semi-permanent solvent-based mold release agent Chemlease® 255 from Chem-Trend L.P. was used.

The impermeable barrier layer was formed by a TPU film Type 4110 from Saxonymed GmbH. This is transparent and contains no antiblocking agents. The film had a thickness of 0.1 mm.

3.2. Experimental Setup

A circular plate geometry with 150 mm diameter and adjustable height (shown in Figure 2a) was used for the one-step manufacturing of CFRP sandwich structures. An electric cylinder (Festo ServoPress YJKP-17-400-AX-SB-5) operated the trial setup and allowed the programming of closing profiles and highly accurate movements of the mold. The schematic cross section of the mold is shown in Figure 2b. The resin sealing prevents from leakage of the reactive materials and the vacuum sealing allows an evacuation of the cavity during the process. The mold was water-heated at 80 °C, a pressure sensor (Kistler type 6167A) was installed in the upper mold for online recording of the pressure values and the cavity height can be adjusted via distance rings.

![Figure 2. (a) experimental setup for D-SCM sandwich manufacturing, (b) schematic cross section of the circular plate mold.](image-url)
3.3. Sandwich Specimen Manufacturing

The one-step sandwich manufacturing follows the general processing steps from Figure 1. The respective matrix material (Epikote or Araldite) was applied on the stack of two biaxial CF fabric layers (148 mm in diameter) and the impermeable TPU film. The stack was introduced into the heated circular plate mold. Simultaneously, the foam system was manually mixed and applied on the TPU film that covered the wet fabric reinforcement of the lower face sheet. The necessary foam material amount was dependent on the aimed foam density. Table 1 summarizes the manufactured trial configurations. A foam thickness of 4 mm was aimed for for the core. The second face sheet, consisting of wetted reinforcement fabrics, and the TPU film were placed on top of the reactive foam material. Subsequently, the mold was closed to the final sandwich height of 5.7 mm in 11 s according to the programmed closing profile of the electric cylinder. At a mold opening of 3 mm, the vacuum was activated and applied throughout the entire process. From the point at which the resin seal was engaged at 2 mm, the vacuum no longer acted on the sandwich structure. The active vacuum time was 5.5 s. The target face sheet thickness was 0.75 mm, which corresponds to 44.2% FVC. The curing time was 300 s for the Araldite system and 600 s for the Epikote system. The specimens for detailed characterization were prepared by waterjet cutting out of the produced circular sandwich plates.

Table 1. Investigated trial configurations.

| Epoxy System | Foam Core Density | Foam Core Material | Face Sheet Material | Curing Time |
|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| Araldite     | 150 g/L           | 10.6 g             | 9.5 g               | 300 s       |
| Araldite     | 200 g/L           | 14.1 g             | 9.5 g               | 300 s       |
| Araldite     | 250 g/L           | 17.7 g             | 9.5 g               | 300 s       |
| Epikote      | 150 g/L           | 10.6 g             | 9.5 g               | 600 s       |
| Epikote      | 200 g/L           | 14.1 g             | 9.5 g               | 600 s       |
| Epikote      | 250 g/L           | 17.7 g             | 9.5 g               | 600 s       |

The TPU film provides high adhesion properties to the PUR core and the Epoxy face sheet material. To be able to determine the correct FVC, the face sheets must be produced to be detachable from the foam core. For this purpose, D-SCM trials were carried out and a PTFE film of identical thickness was inserted between the foam system and the face sheets instead of the TPU film. As there was adhesion between the used reactive materials and the PTFE film, this allowed the foam core and face sheets to be separated from each other after the process. The detailed trial configurations for the sandwich processing can be found in Table 1.

3.4. Characterization Methods

3.4.1. Thermogravimetric Analysis of the Face Sheets

The FVC was determined by a thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). In this characterization method, the polymeric content of the composite is pyrolyzed and the mass of the remaining carbon fibers is determined.

For the TGA, three specimens (22 × 30 mm²) were cut out of each face sheet by water jet cutting and their density \( \rho_{\text{sample}} \) was determined in a gas pycnometer (PYCNOMATIK ATC from Microtrac Retsch GmbH) according to DIN 66137-2, and their weights \( m_{\text{sample}} \) were measured. The pyrolysis was carried out in a macro TGA of the type TGA701 from Leco Corporation. The test procedure was implemented according to the method of Bücheler et al. [23]:

- Drying of the specimens for 6 h at 100 °C.
- Pyrolysis of the specimens in nitrogen atmosphere:
  - Heating rate: 2 K/min.
  - Pyrolysis temperature: 430 °C.
Pyrolysis duration: 20 h.

Subsequently, the mass of the matrix-free fibers $m_{CF}$ was determined. Referring to the investigations of Bücheler et al., the sizing was burned off from the fiber during the pyrolysis process. For the correct calculation of the fiber volume content, the mass of the sizing $m_{sizing}$ (1.5% · $m_{CF}$) was again added to the fiber mass. Thus, the combined density $\rho_{CF}$ of the Panex® 35 carbon fiber of 1.81 g/cm³ [19] can be used to determine the fiber volume $V_{CF}$. The fiber volume content was calculated as follows:

$$FVC = \frac{V_{CF}}{V_{sample}} \cdot 100\% = \left( \frac{m_{CF} + m_{sizing}}{m_{sample}} \rho_{sample} \right) \cdot 100\%$$ (1)

3.4.2. Tensile Characterization of the Face Sheets

The stiffness of the face sheets $E_{FS}$ was determined in a tensile test based on DIN EN ISO 527-1. For this purpose, the face sheets were separated from the core structure and the test specimens (120 × 15 mm²) with the corresponding fiber orientation of [0/90]$_S$ were prepared. A small amount of foam residue and the TPU film remained on the tensile specimen. Their influence on the face sheet stiffness was neglected in the following, since the mechanical properties were significantly lower compared to the CFRP specimen (e.g., Young’s modulus of the TPU Elastollan®: 400 MPa at 20 °C [24]). The tests were carried out on a standard universal testing machine (Hegewald & Peschke, inspekt 50 table) with a test speed of 1 mm/min.

3.4.3. Three-Point Bending Characterization of Sandwich Structure

The test was performed in accordance with ASTM C393/C393M–16, one of the standard test methods for sandwich characterization [25,26]. The 3-point bending test fixture was installed in a Zwick/Roell Z2.5 universal testing machine, shown in Figure 3. The radius of the three supports was 2 mm. In accordance with the standard, an elastomer layer was introduced at the pressure fin (shore hardness: 60; width: 25 mm; thickness: 3 mm) for homogenized introduction of the test load into the specimen (dimensions: 120 × 15 × 5.7 mm³). The fiber orientation for each face sheet was [0/90]$_S$. The material combinations of the D-SCM sandwich structures shown in Table 1 were tested.

![Figure 3. Three-point bending setup for sandwich characterization.](image-url)

A 2.5 kN load cell records the test force required to deflect the specimen. The corresponding specimen deflection was recorded via a tactile displacement sensor centered underneath the specimen. To prevent settling effects, a preload of 10 N was applied before starting the test. The bending specimens were tested at a support span length (L) of 105 mm and at a test speed of 1 mm/min until failure. The test ended at a force reduction of 20% to the measured maximum force (force cut-off threshold). Three specimens of each configuration were tested.

The maximum shear stress $\tau_{max}$ corresponds to the stress state at the maximum test load $P_{max}$. Formula (2) describes the general calculation basis to determine $\tau_{max}$. Since the evaluation according to the ASTM C393/C393M-16 standard only allows shear failure and
such failure behavior can be observed in all specimens, the maximum core shear stress is equivalent to the core shear strength for the sandwich composite under consideration of a 3-point bending load.

\[
\tau = \frac{dM}{dx} \frac{1}{P} = \frac{P}{c}
\]

with \(M = \) bending moment, \(b = \) specimen width, \(c = \) combined thickness of core and one face sheet

The bending stiffness \(D_S\) and the shear stiffness \(G_C\) can be determined by considering the compliance \(C = \delta / P\) (\(\delta = \) displacement) and the correlation in Formula (3) [27]:

\[
C = \frac{L^3}{12bD_S} + \frac{L}{4t_C^b + c}\frac{L}{D_C}
\]

with \(L = \) support distance, \(t_C = \) core thickness

Due to the valid assumption of significantly stiffer and relatively thin face sheets compared to the core, the bending stiffness \(D_S\) was reduced to the bending stiffness \(D_0\) of the face sheets respective to the centroidal axis of the entire sandwich cross section and can be determined directly using the face sheet stiffness \(E_{FS}\) measured in the tensile tests by applying Formula (4). The core shear stiffness \(G_C\) can then be derived by inserting the calculated bending stiffness \(D_S\) into Formula (3).

\[
D_S = D_0 = \frac{E_D \cdot b \cdot c^2 \cdot t_D}{2}
\]

4. Results

4.1. The D-SCM Manufacturing Process

It is possible to manufacture sandwich structures (shown in Figure 4) using the D-SCM process strategy. All samples listed in Table 1 can be produced and result in testable components. The surface qualities indicate a good fiber impregnation in the face sheets. Starting from a centered resin application, the fiber reinforcement in the face sheets is impregnated by the fluid flow, induced through the PUR foaming pressure.

4.2. Fiber Volume Content of the Face Sheets

Figure 5 shows the determined FVC in the face sheets for the D-SCM sandwich structures, according to the foam core density and the resin system. For both resin systems, the average fiber volume contents range between 30 and 35% and thus are at similar levels.
For the Epikote resin system, the lower face sheet had a higher average FVC than the upper face sheet. This is due to the fact that the lower face sheet was inserted into the mold first and warmed up. As a result, the viscosity of the resin initially decreased due to the temperature, and the resin system could already start to flow over the surface and impregnate the fibers due to weight and capillary forces. Due to the comparatively slow reaction rate of the Epikote system, the material in both face sheets was still flowable at the time of the PUR pressure build-up in the closed mold; however, the flow front had already more progressed in the lower face sheet due to the above-mentioned temperature effect. The mean FVC increased with increasing foam density. The increasing foam density leads to a higher foam pressure and consequently to a higher fluid pressure in the face sheets. This allows the flow front to impregnate the dry fiber reinforcement further in the face sheet, resulting in higher FVC.

However, for the Araldite resin system, no such significant trend with respect to the FVC in the face sheets can be identified. The standard deviations for the upper and the lower face sheets always overlap and also do not show an analogous behavior like they do for the Epikote specimens. The Araldite system is more challenging to be processed in the manual procedure due to its significantly higher reactivity. In case of small time differences in the individual process steps during the manual specimen manufacturing, viscosity increases can occur during processing. This limits the flowability and no clear trend of the FVC can be identified with respect to the increasing foam density.

4.3. Stiffness of the Face Sheets

The results for the face sheets stiffness $E_{FS}$ determined in the tensile test relating to the foam density are shown in Figure 6. In addition, the bending stiffness $D_S$ determined on the basis of the face sheet stiffness using Formula (4) is also illustrated.

The face sheet stiffness determined in the tensile test are at similar levels between 40.4 and 45.4 GPa for all specimens tested. For both investigated resin systems, a moderate increase in the average stiffness with increasing foam density can be identified. This can be explained by the also slightly increasing FVC in the face sheets (shown in Section 4.2). However, the overlapping standard deviations do not indicate any significant effect. With regard to the values of the bending stiffness $D_S$, an analogous trend of the mean values can be observed. Since the face sheet reinforcement as well as the FVC do not change significantly, the results of the tensile tests are plausible.
Figure 6. Face sheet stiffness, determined in tensile tests $E_{FS}$ (dot plot) and the resulting bending stiffness $D_S$ (bar graph) for the Epikote (left) and the Araldite (right) resin systems.

4.4. Three-Point Bending Behavior of the D-SCM Sandwich Structures

The specimen failure is comparable in all tests performed. A face sheet-parallel crack propagation in the foam structure on the specimen compression side near the face sheet/core interface occurred in the longitudinal specimen direction. The failure pattern resembles a classic delamination. However, the TPU interface is not the weak component of the sandwich structure. In all specimens, a continuous layer of foam residue remains adhered to the TPU film (shown in Figure 7). Consequently, the foam structure near the interface is the weak point (cohesive failure) of the composite.

Figure 7. Fracture pattern of the cohesive failure of 3-point bending specimens.

The calculated maximum core shear strengths according to Formula (2) of the tested sandwich specimens are shown in Figure 8.
For the specimens of the Epikote resin system in the face sheets, the core shear strength increased with the foam density from 1.4 MPa at a density of 150 kg/m$^3$ to 3.4 MPa for a density of 250 kg/m$^3$, representing an increase of 143%. For the Araldite system, these values evolved from 1.3 MPa at a density of 150 kg/m$^3$ to 4.1 MPa at a density of 250 kg/m$^3$, which corresponds to an increase of 215%. The determined values are compared to commercially available PUR foam core materials (e.g., LEOcore®: 1.2 MPa at 145 kg/m$^3$ density [28]). The results for the determined core shear moduli $G_C$ by applying Formula (3), considering the bending face sheet stiffness $D_S$ are given in Figure 9.

![Figure 8](image_url)  
**Figure 8.** Core shear strength of the sandwich structures for the Epikote resin system (left) and the Araldite resin system (right).

![Figure 9](image_url)  
**Figure 9.** Core shear stiffness of the sandwich structures for the Epikote resin system (left) and the Araldite resin system (right).
For a 150 kg/m$^3$ foam density, an average shear stiffness between 22.8 (Epikote) and 29.3 MPa (Araldite) was found. At 250 kg/m$^3$ density, the stiffness was increased by 105% to 46.8 for Epikote, and by 92% to 56.3 MPa for the Araldite system. The core shear modulus increased with foam density for both resin systems. For the Araldite system in the face sheets, this effect is even statistically significant as the standard deviations do not overlap.

In addition, Figure 10 shows that the foam morphology also becomes more homogeneous with increasing density and includes less instabilities. The resulting increase in foam quality also leads to a higher load-bearing capacity of the core structure.

![Figure 10. PUR foam morphology at 150 kg/m$^3$ (top), 200 kg/m$^3$ (middle) and 250 kg/m$^3$ (bottom) foam density.](image)

Disproportionations of the foam cells occur due to the higher pressure in smaller pores during the gas bubble growing phase of the chemical foaming process. In this process, the generated CO$_2$ diffuses from small to larger cells to compensate for the local pressure difference [29,30]. Consequently, irregular cell sizes and open cell structures occur. Such foaming behavior is disadvantageous, since it impairs the mechanical properties of the foam structure.

This effect is further enhanced by the adapted foam system for the D-SCM process and the resulting higher pressure level. The comparatively low polymer mass at 150 kg/m$^3$ foam density is not able to sufficiently stabilize the cell walls during the foam formation.

The foam structure at 200 kg/m$^3$ foam density still shows instabilities. However, in contrast to the structures obtained at a density of 150 kg/m$^3$, these instabilities are much less pronounced. The cell sizes at 150 and 200 kg/m$^3$ foam density are comparable. Even at an increased density, disproportionation effects still seem to occur, preventing a highly distributed structure of small cells. The improved foam quality is due to the supporting effect of the increasing polymeric PUR material at a density of 200 kg/m$^3$. Although the process pressure increases with the increasing foam density, the polymer mass is able to stabilize the cell structure to a large extent and prevent open-celling. Thus, useful for their mechanical stability, foam structures can be generated at higher process pressures.

Figure 10 (bottom) shows a representative section of a foam structure with a 250 kg/m$^3$ foam density. The cells are closed without exception and the distribution is largely homo-
geneous. The cell size is significantly smaller compared to the 150 and 200 kg/m$^3$ foam densities. Local disproportionation effects lead very occasionally to larger foam pores. In contrast to the foam structures for the 150 and 200 kg/m$^3$ foam densities, the increased PUR mass for the 250 kg/m$^3$ foam density is able to stabilize the cell walls during the entire foaming process. Due to the increased density, the D-SCM process pressure for a density of 250 kg/m$^3$ is increased. However, the cell morphology shows that this effect has no negative influence on the chemical foaming process.

5. Discussion

The determined fiber volume contents for the face sheets of the D-SCM sandwich structures are all within a range between 30 and 35% and thus below the target values of 44.2%. Comparable two-step process variants such as RTM in combination with a foam core allow FVC between 50 and 60%. For the investigated process window between 150 and 250 kg/m$^3$ foam density, the expected increase in the FVC with rising foam pressure has not been identified for the Araldite system in the face sheets. For the Epikote resin system in the face sheets, a slight increase in the FVC mean values can be observed. This is due to the characteristics of the D-SCM process, in that the foam pressure acts simultaneously on the dry textile and on the applied fluid. This results in a necessary resin flow through the dry textile in the non-impregnated areas, which are already compacted by the foam pressure. This compaction of the dry fabric areas leads to a decreased permeability and thus a higher flow resistance. As a result, the fluid flow in the face sheets is impeded. The higher the foam pressure, the higher the compaction of the dry areas and thus the flow resistance. This effect partially offsets the increased fluid pressure due to the higher foam pressure.

Following the relatively constant fiber volume content, the stiffness of the face sheets and the corresponding bending stiffness do not change significantly by increasing the foam density. This behavior is plausible due to the constant face sheet reinforcement.

The core shear properties influence the sandwich behavior under a three-point bending load significantly. Due to the increased amount of polymer material, the load-bearing capacity of the foam core is increased at higher densities. As solely shear failure in the foam core was observed during the three-point bending tests, the shear strength of the core is the failure-relevant parameter. An increased shear strength leads directly to an increase in the sandwich structure performance under bending load. Analogically to the shear strength, the shear modulus also increases as a result of the increased amount of polymer material in the core with higher foam densities. In general, the determined shear strengths (150 kg/m$^3$ density: 1.4 MPa for Epikote, 1.3 MPa for Araldite) are within a plausible range of values, since literature values of comparable rigid PUR foams are in the same order of magnitude (e.g., LEOcore$^\text{®}$ with 145 kg/m$^3$ foam density and 1.2 MPa shear strength [28]).

In addition to the foaming pressure [18], the foam morphology is also influenced by the PUR foam density. During the chemical foaming process, the cell structure must be stabilized by a sufficient amount of polymeric PUR material. However, an increasing foam density results in a higher component weight and must therefore be optimized on the application requirements. The increasing core shear properties with an increasing density represent a combined effect of a higher polymer mass and a more homogeneous foam structure.

The TPU film as an impermeable layer prevents foam penetration into the face sheets. The adhesion of both reactive systems (resin and foam) does not represent an explicit weak point of the sandwich structure. The TPU film thus fulfills its intended purpose in the D-SCM process. For a large-area and complex geometries, integration of the film within the process chain may be challenging. The TPU material used in this work has only limited stretchability, which makes it difficult to drape double-curved areas. For such an application, the film must be replaced by a more stretchable material.
6. Conclusions

The infiltration of the face sheets is possible with both resin systems investigated. The potentially time-critical processing with the more reactive Araldite system is not confirmed. For the relevant process steps, the viscosity ranges of both resin systems are not critical. The D-SCM process can be used for the one-step manufacturing of sandwich structures.

The processing conditions and the resulting structural properties of the sandwich components can be mainly controlled by the foam density when considering a constant fiber reinforcement structure in the face sheets.

In principle, the substitution of individual materials for the production of sandwich structures in the D-SCM process is possible. The required basic knowledge for the material substitution was determined within the investigations. In the case of material substitution, the effects of the changing, time- and temperature-dependent properties of the reactive components must be considered in the context of the overall process strategy. The face sheet resin system must have sufficient flowability corresponding to the pressure build-up time of the foam. For the TPU film as well as the fiber structure, basic compatibility with the reactive systems involved is essential. In addition, the necessary flexibility for molding the required part geometry must be ensured.
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