INTRODUCTION

To perform a thorough decompression of the foramen during anterior cervical discectomy or corpectomy procedures, it is advantageous to mobilize the longus colli muscle laterally to expose the lateral edge of the uncinate processes. However, to our knowledge, there are no reports concerning longus colli dissection to expose the uncinate processes. This study was undertaken to assess the surgical relationship between the longus colli muscle and the uncinate process in the cervical spine.

Materials and Methods: This study included 120 Korean patients randomly selected from 333 who had cervical spine MRIs and CTs from January 2003 to October 2013. They consisted of 60 males and 60 females. Each group was subdivided into six groups by age from 20 to 70 years or more. We measured three parameters on MRIs from C3 to T1: left and right longus colli distance and inter-longus colli distance. We also measured three parameters on CT: left and right uncinate distance and inter-uncinate distance.

Results: The longus colli distances, uncinate distances, and inter-uncinate distances increased from C3 to T1. The inter-longus colli distances increased from C3 to C7. There was no difference in longus colli distances and uncinate distances between males and females. There was no difference in the six parameters for the different age groups.

Conclusion: Although approximate guidelines, we recommend the longus colli be dissected approximately 5 mm at C3–5, 6 mm at C5–6, 7 mm at C6–7, and 8 mm at C7–T1 to expose the uncinate process to its lateral edge.
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Purpose: There have been a few previous reports regarding the distances between the medial borders of the longus colli to expose the disc space. However, to our knowledge, there are no reports concerning longus colli dissection to expose the uncinate processes. This study was undertaken to assess the surgical relationship between the longus colli muscle and the uncinate process in the cervical spine.

Materials and Methods: This study included 120 Korean patients randomly selected from 333 who had cervical spine MRIs and CTs from January 2003 to October 2013. They consisted of 60 males and 60 females. Each group was subdivided into six groups by age from 20 to 70 years or more. We measured three parameters on MRIs from C3 to T1: left and right longus colli distance and inter-longus colli distance. We also measured three parameters on CT: left and right uncinate distance and inter-uncinate distance.

Results: The longus colli distances, uncinate distances, and inter-uncinate distances increased from C3 to T1. The inter-longus colli distances increased from C3 to C7. There was no difference in longus colli distances and uncinate distances between males and females. There was no difference in the six parameters for the different age groups.

Conclusion: Although approximate guidelines, we recommend the longus colli be dissected approximately 5 mm at C3–5, 6 mm at C5–6, 7 mm at C6–7, and 8 mm at C7–T1 to expose the uncinate process to its lateral edge.
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sought to determine the ease with which we could utilize MRI and CT scans to measure the extent to which the longus colli muscle needs to be dissected to expose the lateral border of the uncinate process.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at Hallym University Sacred Heart Hospital (IRB number: 2014-I002). This study involved 120 patients randomly selected from 333 Korean patients who had a cervical spine MRI and CT because of symptomatic cervical spine issues, including neck pain, pain radiating through the arm, or walking difficulty, from January 2003 to October 2013. There were 60 males and 60 females. They were subdivided into six groups according to their age: 20–29, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, 60–69, and 70+ years. We excluded patients with a previous history of cervical trauma and any spinal operations for infective spondylitis, spinal tumor, or severe deformity. All MRIs were obtained using a 1.5-T superconductive imager (Intera, Koninklijke Philips Electronics NV, Amsterdam, the Netherlands) under the following settings: oblique T2-weighted fast spine-echo imaging (repetition time/echo time 3500/148.58, thickness of slice 2 mm, field of view 249 mm, matrix size 512×247, number of excitation 3).

We measured three parameters on the MRI scans at C3–4, C4–5, C5–6, C6–7, and C7–T1 disc levels (Fig. 1). In addition, we measured three parameters on axial CT scans from C3–4 to C7–T1 (Fig. 2).

All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS software, version 13.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Values are expressed as mean values with the standard deviation. Differences in continuous variables between the two groups were examined with a paired or unpaired t test. Differences in continuous variables between the different age groups were examined with ANOVA test. Correlation of continuous variables between the two groups was examined with a Pearson correlation. It was considered significant when \( p \) was less than 0.05.

In the preliminary study, all twenty patients were measured for intra-observer and inter-observer reliability. The intra-observer and inter-observer reliability were calculated using the reliability statistics by intraclass correlation (ICC). The ICC values were graded using previously described semiquantitative criteria: excellent for values in the 0.9–1.0 range, good for 0.7–0.89, fair/moderate for 0.50–0.69, low for 0.25–0.49, and poor for 0.0–0.24. Intra-observer reliability and inter-observer reliability for the uncinate process were good at 0.998 and 0.994, respectively, using ICC reliability statistics. Intra-observer reliability and inter-observer reliability for the longus colli were good at 0.997 and 0.993, respectively, using ICC reliability statistics.

RESULTS

The mean values were 5.9±1.6 mm for the left longus colli distance, 15.3±2.6 mm for the inter-longus colli distance, 6.5±1.9
mm for the right longus colli distance, 5.4±1.0 mm for the left uncinate distance, 17.0±2.3 mm for the inter-uncinate distance, and 5.4±1.1 mm for the right uncinate distance (Table 1). The longus colli distances, uncinate distances, and inter-uncinate distances increased from C3 to T1 (Table 1, Fig. 3). The inter-longus colli distances increased from C3 to C7 (Table 1). One hundred six patients were right-handed (88.3%) and fourteen were left-handed (11.7%). The right-handed patients had longer longus colli distances on the right side than those on the left side at disc level C6–7 (p<0.05) (Table 1). The mean body mass index of the subjects was 21.05±5.3 kg/m² (range: 13.5–37.1 kg/m²).

To fully expose the uncinate processes, the longus colli muscles had to be dissected laterally 5.1±1.0 mm on the left and 5.5±1.2 mm on the right at C3–4, 5.2±1.7 mm on the left and 5.6±1.5 mm on the right at C4–5, 5.6±1.2 mm on the left and 6.0±1.4 mm on the right at C5–6, 6.3±1.3 mm on the left and 7.3±1.7 mm on the right at C6–7, and 7.2±1.6 mm on the left and 8.1±2.1 mm on the right at C7–T1 (Table 1).

There was no difference in the longus colli distances and uncinate distances between males and females (Table 2). The inter-longus colli distances and inter-uncinate distances were larger in males than females, except the inter-longus colli distances at C4–5 and C7–T1 (p<0.05) (Table 2). There were no differences in the longus colli distances, inter-longus colli distances, uncinate distances, and inter-uncinate distances among the different age groups (Table 3). The longus colli distances were weakly correlated with uncinate distances from C3 to T1 on the right side only (p<0.05) (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

A number of studies have investigated the anatomical relation-

---

**Table 1.** Distance of Longus Colli Muscles and Uncinate Processes According to Cervical Disc Levels (mm)

|       | Left longus colli distance | Inter-longus colli distance | Right longus colli distance | Left uncinate distance | Inter-uncinate distance | Right uncinate distance |
|-------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| C3–4  | 5.1±1.0                    | 14.6±2.1                    | 5.5±1.2                     | 4.9±0.8                | 15.4±1.7                | 4.9±0.7                 |
| C4–5  | 5.2±1.7                    | 15.6±2.6                    | 5.6±1.5                     | 5.0±1.7                | 16.4±0.8                | 4.9±0.8                 |
| C5–6  | 5.6±1.2                    | 16.0±2.7                    | 6.0±1.4                     | 5.1±0.7                | 17.4±2.0                | 5.2±0.8                 |
| C6–7  | 6.3±1.3                    | 16.1±2.9                    | 7.3±1.7                     | 5.7±1.0                | 18.1±2.3                | 5.7±1.0                 |
| C7–T1 | 7.2±1.6                    | 14.7±2.4                    | 8.1±2.1                     | 6.4±1.1                | 17.8±2.7                | 6.3±1.2                 |
| Average| 5.9±1.6                    | 15.3±2.6                    | 6.5±1.9                     | 5.4±1.0                | 17.0±2.3                | 5.4±1.1                 |

**Fig. 3.** Distance of longus colli muscles and uncinate processes according to cervical disc levels (mm).

**Table 2.** Distance of Longus Colli Muscles and Uncinate Processes According to Sex (mm)

|       | Left longus colli distance | Inter-longus colli distance | Right longus colli distance | Left uncinate distance | Inter-uncinate distance | Right uncinate distance |
|-------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|       | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| C3–4  | 5.3±1.0 | 5.0±1.1 | 15.5±2.0 | 13.7±1.9 | 5.7±1.3 | 5.4±1.2 | 5.1±0.7 | 4.8±0.8 | 16.1±1.5 | 14.8±1.6 | 5.0±0.8 | 4.9±0.7 |
| C4–5  | 5.7±2.1 | 4.7±1.1 | 15.9±2.9 | 15.3±2.3 | 5.9±1.5 | 5.2±1.4 | 5.1±0.7 | 4.8±0.7 | 17.2±1.5 | 15.7±1.4 | 5.0±0.7 | 4.9±0.9 |
| C5–6  | 5.8±1.0 | 5.4±1.3 | 16.7±2.6 | 15.3±2.6 | 6.2±1.5 | 5.9±1.2 | 5.2±0.7 | 5.0±0.7 | 18.3±1.8 | 16.5±1.8 | 5.3±0.8 | 5.2±0.9 |
| C6–7  | 6.5±1.3 | 6.2±1.3 | 17.2±2.8 | 15.0±2.5 | 7.3±1.7 | 7.2±1.7 | 5.7±0.9 | 5.7±1.0 | 19.1±2.0 | 17.1±2.2 | 5.6±0.9 | 5.7±1.3 |
| C7–T1 | 7.6±1.6 | 6.8±1.5 | 15.1±2.5 | 14.3±2.3 | 8.5±2.0 | 7.8±2.2 | 6.4±1.1 | 6.4±1.2 | 18.9±2.5 | 16.8±2.5 | 6.3±1.3 | 6.3±1.2 |
| Average| 6.1±1.6 | 5.6±1.4 | 16.0±2.6 | 14.7±2.4 | 6.7±1.9 | 6.2±1.8 | 5.4±0.9 | 5.3±1.0 | 17.8±2.1 | 16.1±2.1 | 5.4±0.9 | 5.3±1.1 |
Table 3. Distance of Longus Colli Muscles and Uncinate Processes According to Age Group (mm)

| Age (years) | Left longus colli distance | Inter-longus colli distance | Right longus colli distance | Left uncinate distance | Inter-uncinate distance | Right uncinate distance |
|------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| 20–29      | 6.1±1.7                   | 14.5±2.3                   | 7.1±2.0                     | 5.6±1.3                | 16.5±2.3                | 5.4±1.3                |
| 30–39      | 5.9±1.5                   | 14.9±2.2                   | 7.1±2.0                     | 5.6±1.0                | 17.0±2.3                | 5.7±1.3                |
| 40–49      | 6.1±1.4                   | 14.6±2.4                   | 6.8±1.9                     | 5.6±0.9                | 16.0±1.9                | 5.8±1.0                |
| 50–59      | 5.7±1.9                   | 15.8±2.7                   | 6.3±1.6                     | 5.4±1.1                | 17.0±2.1                | 5.4±1.0                |
| 60–69      | 5.7±1.5                   | 16.2±2.6                   | 5.9±1.8                     | 5.1±0.8                | 17.6±2.7                | 5.1±0.8                |
| 70–79      | 5.9±1.4                   | 16.3±2.8                   | 5.9±1.6                     | 5.2±0.9                | 18.0±2.1                | 5.1±0.9                |

Table 4. Correlation between the Distance of Longus Colli Muscles and Uncinate Processes According to Cervical Disc Levels

| Cervical Disc Level | Left | Right |
|---------------------|------|-------|
|                      | Coefficient of correlation | p value | Coefficient of correlation | p value |
| C3–4                | 0.029 | 0.756  | 0.219  | 0.017  |
| C4–5                | -0.035 | 0.708  | 0.210  | 0.022  |
| C5–6                | 0.154 | 0.095  | 0.307  | 0.001  |
| C6–7                | 0.100 | 0.281  | 0.219  | 0.017  |
| C7–T1               | 0.170 | 0.078  | 0.190  | 0.048  |

Table 5. Reports Concerning Widths of the Uncinate Process (mm)

| Width          | Materials |
|----------------|-----------|
| 6.0 at C3      | 6 cadaveric specimens |
| 6.1 at C4      |           |
| 5.3 at C5      |           |
| 5.8 at C6      |           |
| 6.7 at C7      |           |
| 4.9±0.7 at C3  | 54 cadaveric specimens |
| 6.3±0.7 at C7  |           |
| 5.0±0.8 at C3  |           |
| 5.0±0.9 at C4  |           |
| 5.1±0.8 at C5  |           |
| 5.1±1.0 at C6  |           |
| 5.3±1.1 at C7  |           |
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Thorough knowledge of such anatomical relationships between the longus colli muscle and the sympathetic trunk, as well as the vertebral artery, can help reduce the risk of complications during anterior surgery. Similarly, knowledge about the anatomical relationship between the uncinate process and the longus colli can be of benefit. This is especially true when performing thorough decompressions of the foramen in arthroplasties. However, we were unable to find any reports concerning the extent to which the longus colli must be mobilized to fully expose the uncinate processes out to its lateral margin during anterior cervical surgery.

We found that the longus colli distances, uncinate distances, and inter-uncinate distances increase from C3 to T1. To fully expose the uncinate processes, the longus colli muscles had to be dissected laterally 5.1±1.0 mm on the left and 5.5±1.2 mm on the right at C3–4, 5.2±1.7 mm on the left and 5.6±1.5 mm on the right at C4–5, 5.6±1.2 mm on the left and 6.0±1.4 mm on the right at C5–6, 6.3±1.3 mm on the left and 7.3±1.7 mm on the right at C6–7, and 7.2±1.6 mm on the left and 8.1±2.1 mm on the right at C7–T1. We suggest rounding off these numbers to make it easier to remember, such that the longus colli muscles are dissected laterally approximately 5 mm at C3–5, 6 mm at C5–6, 7 mm at C6–7, and 8 mm at C7–T1. The right side required greater dissection than the left at all levels. There was no difference in the longus colli and uncinate distances between males and females. Except for the inter-longus colli distances at C4–5 and C7–T1, the inter-longus colli distances and inter-uncinate distances were larger in males than females. There were no differences in the longus colli distances, inter-longus colli distances, uncinate distances, and inter-uncinate distances among the different age groups. We were able to determine these values at all levels on all MRI and CT scans.

There are several reports concerning the lateral dimensions of the longus colli muscles using ultrasonography. The lateral dimension of the longus colli was reported to be 10.6±1.53 mm on the left side and 11.73±2.23 mm on the right side in 15 healthy subjects between 19 to 41 years old muscles measured by ultrasonography. In an ultrasonographic study of 20 pa-
tients with chronic neck pain and 20 healthy matched controls, the lateral dimensions of the longus colli were not different between the two groups. The lateral dimension of the longus colli in the patient group was 10.89±2.07 mm on the dominant side and 10.06±1.87 mm on the nondominant side versus 10.95±2.08 mm on the dominant side and 10.76±1.48 mm on the nondominant side in the controls. These values are higher than the ones we found. This is because the definition of the longus colli distance in our study (i.e., the amount of longus colli muscle needed to be dissected to expose the uncinate process) is different from the ultrasonographic study, which measured the total width of the longus colli muscle. Our results are similar to the previous cadaveric studies in which the distances between the medial borders of longus colli muscles increased from C3 to C7, 1,2,5,7

Our results showing increasing uncinate distances from C3 to T1 are similar to two previous studies (Table 5). However, another cadaveric study found no obvious pattern of increasing or decreasing distances from C3 to C7 (Table 5). This might be explained by the fact that they used different measuring methods: the width was measured from the medial to the lateral margins of the uncinate process at its base on the coronal plane, 10,11 or from the medial to the lateral surfaces of the uncinate process at the mid-portion of the uncinate process on the coronal plane. 7

As with any study, the present investigation has several limitations. First, the study was done in Koreans, and lengths may be different in other races. Second, the measurements were made in 120 cases and there may be rare variations in anatomy. There may also be individual variations based on body size such that one cannot blindly trust the numbers that we describe for any given patient. Our finding that CT and MRI scans could be utilized in all 120 cases points out the utility of using such studies to make these measurements prior to surgery. Despite these shortcomings, to our knowledge, this is the first report providing anatomic measurements that can serve as a guide and that individual measurements may differ due to anatomic variations. Therefore, it is recommended that the surgeon examine pre-operative CT or MRI prior to performing such dissection.
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