Vorapaxar in Patients With Diabetes Mellitus and Previous Myocardial Infarction

Findings From the Thrombin Receptor Antagonist in Secondary Prevention of Atherothrombotic Ischemic Events-TIMI 50 Trial
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Background—Vorapaxar reduces cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction (MI), or stroke in patients with previous MI while increasing bleeding. Patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) are at high risk of recurrent thrombotic events despite standard therapy and may derive particular benefit from antithrombotic therapies. The Thrombin Receptor Antagonist in Secondary Prevention of Atherothrombotic Ischemic Events-TIMI 50 trial was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of vorapaxar in patients with stable atherosclerosis.

Methods and Results—We examined the efficacy of vorapaxar in patients with and without DM who qualified for the trial with a previous MI. Because vorapaxar is contraindicated in patients with a history of stroke or transient ischemic attack, the analysis (n=16,896) excluded such patients. The primary end point of cardiovascular death, MI, or stroke occurred more frequently in patients with DM than in patients without DM (rates in placebo group: 14.3% versus 7.6%; adjusted hazard ratio, 1.47; P<0.001). In patients with DM (n=3623), vorapaxar significantly reduced the primary end point (11.4% versus 14.3%; hazard ratio, 0.73 [95% confidence interval, 0.60–0.89]; P=0.002) with a number needed to treat to avoid 1 major cardiovascular event of 29. The incidence of moderate/severe bleeding was increased with vorapaxar in patients with DM (4.4% versus 2.6%; hazard ratio, 1.60 [95% confidence interval, 1.07–2.40]). However, net clinical outcome integrating these 2 end points (efficacy and safety) was improved with vorapaxar (hazard ratio, 0.79 [95% confidence interval, 0.67–0.93]).

Conclusions—In patients with previous MI and DM, the addition of vorapaxar to standard therapy significantly reduced the risk of major vascular events with greater potential for absolute benefit in this group at high risk of recurrent ischemic events.

Clinical Trial Registration—URL: http://www.clinicaltrials.gov. Unique identifier: NCT00526474.

(Circulation. 2015;131:1047-1053. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.013774.)
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Antithrombotic therapies, such as glycoprotein IIb/IIIa antagonists, enoxaparin, and prasugrel, have shown a consistent pattern of greater absolute and, in some cases, greater relative benefit in patients with DM compared with patients without this condition.2–10 Vorapaxar is a first-in-class protease-activated receptor-1 antagonist that potently inhibits thrombin-induced activation of platelets. Vorapaxar is effective for secondary prevention in patients with a history of atherosclerosis while increasing moderate or severe bleeding.8,10,11 Because of this tradeoff in potential benefit versus risk, it is of interest to identify patients, in particular among those with a history of myocardial infarction (MI), who may be appropriate candidates for treatment with vorapaxar.11 Therefore, in the present analysis, we examined the efficacy and safety of vorapaxar for secondary prevention of cardiovascular (CV) events in patients with and without DM who were enrolled in a large, randomized trial of vorapaxar versus placebo in the 2 weeks to 1 year after a qualifying MI.

Methods

Study Population
We have previously reported the design and results of the multinational, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of vorapaxar for secondary prevention of atherothrombosis (Thrombin Receptor Antagonist in Secondary Prevention of Atherothrombotic Ischemic Events-TIMI 50 [TRA 2P-TIMI 50]).12,13 As reported previously, 17 779 patients qualified for the TRA 2P-TIMI 50 trial on the basis of a history of MI within 2 weeks to 12 months and were randomly assigned to treatment with either vorapaxar sulfate 2.5 mg daily or placebo. Key exclusion criteria included a high risk of bleeding (history of a bleeding diathesis, recent active bleeding, or treatment with a vitamin K antagonist) or active hepatobiliary disease. Vorapaxar is approved for clinical use in the United States but is contraindicated in patients with a history of transient ischemic attack (TIA) or stroke. We therefore focused this analysis on the population of 16 896 patients with a history of a qualifying MI but without a history of stroke or TIA who are eligible for vorapaxar and relevant to clinical practice. Data for the broader population approved for clinical use in the United States (MI and peripheral arterial disease with no previous stroke or TIA) are provided (Tables I and II in the online-only Data Supplement).

The institutional review board or ethics committee for each participating institution trial reviewed and approved the trial. All of the patients gave written informed consent.

End Points
The primary end point for this analysis was the composite of CV death, MI, or stroke.13 The key secondary end point was the composite of the primary end point or recurrent ischemia leading to urgent revascularization. Bleeding was classified using the Global Utilization of Streptokinase and t-PA for Occcluded Coronary Arteries (GUSTO) definition.14 A blinded clinical events committee adjudicated all elements of the primary and secondary efficacy end points, as well as all bleeding events in the trial.

Statistical Methods
A prespecified analysis was performed based on the patient’s history of DM as recorded by the local investigator at the time of random assignment. Comparisons of baseline characteristics between patients with and without DM were made using the χ² test for categorical variables and the Wilcoxon rank-sum test for continuous variables. A Cox proportional hazard model was used for the efficacy analyses with the investigational treatment allocation and planned use of a thienopyridine as covariates. The interaction of DM with the randomized treatment was assessed in the overall US approval cohort with the addition of an interaction term (DM × treatment allocation) to the Cox proportional hazard model along with each of the main effects. Interaction P values <0.10 were considered evidence of a possible interaction. Kaplan-Meier 3-year cumulative event rates are presented with patients censored at the occurrence of an end point, death, or at the time of the last visit. Absolute risk differences and associated confidence intervals were generated using the risk reductions and confidence boundaries from the Cox model. Analyses of bleeding were performed in patients who received 1 or more doses of study drug. These analyses included all of the events that occurred from the first dose of study drug until 30 days after a final visit at the conclusion of the trial or 60 days after premature drug discontinuation.13

A Cox proportional hazard survival model was developed to describe the association between DM and the risk of CV death, MI, or stroke. Given the differences in patients with and without DM, the model included covariates that were thought to be potential confounders a priori (treatment allocation, age, sex, race, history of hypertension, history of hyperlipidemia, ongoing tobacco abuse, history of peripheral arterial disease, history of stroke or TIA, history of congestive heart failure, creatinine clearance <60 mL/min, weight <60 kg, and region). The proportional hazard assumption was tested using visual inspection of the Schoenfeld residuals. Analyses were performed with Stata version 12.1 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX).

Results
Among the 16 896 patients with a previous MI and no previous stroke or TIA randomly assigned to vorapaxar or placebo, 3623 (21%) had DM. Patients with DM were older, more often women, and were more likely to have hypertension, peripheral arterial disease, renal dysfunction, and obesity (Table 1). The majority (84%) of the patients with DM were being treated with either insulin or noninsulin therapies for hyperglycemia. Evidenced-based therapies for secondary prevention of atherothrombosis were used in a high proportion of both patients with and without DM (Table 1). The baseline characteristics in patients with and without DM stratified by treatment allocation were similar (Table III in the online-only Data Supplement).

CV Outcomes and Bleeding in DM
Among placebo-allocated patients, those with DM, when compared with those without, had nearly double the incidence of CV death, MI, or stroke at 3 years (14.3% versus 7.6%; P=0.001). After adjusting for potential confounders (treatment allocation, age, sex, race, history of hypertension, history of hyperlipidemia, ongoing tobacco abuse, history of peripheral arterial disease, history of stroke or TIA, history of congestive heart failure, creatinine clearance <60 mL/min, weight <60 kg, and region), DM was still associated with a 47% higher risk of CV death, MI, or stroke (adjusted hazard ratio [HR]adj, 1.47 [95% confidence interval {CI}, 1.24–1.75]; P<0.001; Figure 1). Patients with DM were also at increased risk of the individual end points of CV death (4.4% versus 1.7%; HRadj, 1.58 [95% CI, 1.13–2.21]; P=0.008) and recurrent MI (10.2% versus 5.6%; HRadj, 1.96 [95% CI, 1.20–1.79]; P<0.001), with a trend toward a higher risk of stroke (2.5% versus 1.1%; HRadj, 1.54 [95% CI, 1.00–2.37]; P=0.051). The risk of GUSTO moderate/severe bleeding was similar in patients with and without DM (2.6% versus 1.9%; HRadj, 0.93 [95% CI, 0.63–1.38]; P=0.72).

Efficacy and Safety of Vorapaxar
In patients with DM, treatment with vorapaxar reduced CV death, MI, or stroke at 3 years by 27% (hazard ratio [HR], 0.73
Vorapaxar is a novel platelet inhibitor that is effective for the secondary prevention of atherothrombosis. As with other potent antiplatelet agents, its clinical use should take into account an individualized assessment of the potential anti-thrombotic benefits and risk of bleeding. Our findings from the TRA2°P-TIMI 50 trial showed a higher risk of recurrent major CV events in diabetic versus nondiabetic patients with established atherosclerosis despite standard medical therapy. When added to these standard therapies, treatment with vorapaxar reduced CV death, MI, stroke, or recurrent ischemia requiring urgent revascularization plus GUSTO moderate/severe bleeding (HR, 0.77 [95% CI, 0.65–0.93]). Notably, the absolute risk difference for CV death, MI, stroke, recurrent ischemia requiring urgent revascularization, or GUSTO moderate/severe bleed in patients with DM was −3.89% (95% CI, −1.34 to −6.11) and in those without DM was −0.53% (95% CI, 0.61 to −1.57).

Discussion

Vorapaxar is a novel platelet inhibitor that is effective for the secondary prevention of atherothrombosis. As with other potent antiplatelet agents, its clinical use should take into account an individualized assessment of the potential anti-thrombotic benefits and risk of bleeding. Our findings from the TRA2°P-TIMI 50 trial showed a higher risk of recurrent major CV events in diabetic versus nondiabetic patients with established atherosclerosis despite standard medical therapy. When added to these standard therapies, treatment with vorapaxar reduced CV death, MI, stroke, or recurrent ischemia requiring urgent revascularization plus GUSTO moderate/severe bleeding (HR, 0.77 [95% CI, 0.65–0.93]). Notably, the absolute risk difference for CV death, MI, stroke, recurrent ischemia requiring urgent revascularization, or GUSTO moderate/severe bleed in patients with DM was −3.89% (95% CI, −1.34 to −6.11) and in those without DM was −0.53% (95% CI, 0.61 to −1.57).

![Figure 1. Incidence of cardiovascular (CV) death, myocardial infarction (MI), or stroke stratified by diabetes mellitus status (placebo group only). KM indicates Kaplan-Meier.](image-url)
DM and Secondary Prevention

Patients with established atherosclerosis who have DM have a high residual risk of recurrent events despite treatment with intensive medical therapy. This increased risk is related to the high prevalence of other risk factors in patients with DM (e.g., hypertension and obesity), as well as the direct adverse pathological consequences of DM, including endothelial dysfunction, vascular inflammation, abnormal platelet reactivity, and decreased responsiveness to commonly used therapies.

Notably, we found that, after adjusting for potential confounders, despite the use of aspirin in 98%, lipid-lowering agents in 97%, and renin-angiotensin pathway antagonists in 78%, patients with DM were still at 47% increased risk of major CV events. As the prevalence of DM increases, the secondary prevention of atherothrombosis will assume heightened importance in this high-risk group.

Vorapaxar and Secondary Prevention

In light of the increased reactivity of platelets that contributes to the adverse CV outcomes in patients with DM, this group of patients was identified at the initiation of TRA 2°P-TIMI 50 as a population of particular interest. We have shown previously that potent inhibition of the platelet P2Y12 receptor pathway with prasugrel in patients

| Variable                                                                 | Vorapaxar Event Rate (n=1809), % | Placebo Event Rate (n=1814), % | HR (95% CI) | P Value |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| CVD, MI, or stroke                                                       | 11.4                             | 14.3                           | 0.73 (0.60–0.89) | 0.002   |
| CV death                                                                 | 3.9                              | 4.4                            | 0.87 (0.61–1.23) | 0.43    |
| MI                                                                       | 8.1                              | 10.2                           | 0.74 (0.59–0.94) | 0.01    |
| Stroke                                                                   | 1.4                              | 2.5                            | 0.53 (0.30–0.92) | 0.02    |
| Death                                                                    | 5.9                              | 6.6                            | 0.88 (0.67–1.16) | 0.36    |
| CVD or MI                                                                | 10.6                             | 12.6                           | 0.77 (0.63–0.95) | 0.01    |
| CVD, MI, stroke, or recurrent ischemia-urgent revascularization          | 14.5                             | 18.0                           | 0.73 (0.61–0.87) | 0.001   |
| CVD, MI, stroke, or recurrent ischemia-urgent revascularization, or hospitalization for unstable angina | 15.7                             | 19.7                           | 0.75 (0.63–0.88) | <0.001  |
| Recurrent ischemia-urgent revascularization                              | 4.0                              | 5.5                            | 0.63 (0.45–0.88) | 0.006   |
| Hospitalization for unstable angina                                      | 5.6                              | 7.6                            | 0.69 (0.53–0.91) | 0.009   |
| Coronary revascularization                                               | 14.3                             | 17.6                           | 0.75 (0.63–0.90) | 0.002   |

CI indicates confidence interval; CV, cardiovascular; CVD, cardiovascular disease; HR, hazard ratio; int, interaction; and MI, myocardial infarction.
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presenting with an acute coronary syndrome offers a greater benefit in patients with DM compared with nondiabetics.9 Similarly, patients with hemoglobin A1c ≥6% had evidence of a greater benefit from treatment with ticagrelor.18 Our data raise the possibility that vorapaxar, which inhibits platelets via a pathway separate from that of aspirin and P2Y₁₂ inhibitors, similarly offers a particular advantage for patients with DM.

First, we found that there was a consistent reduction in major CV events with vorapaxar added to standard therapy among patients with DM. Second, because of their higher rate of recurrent CV events, patients with DM had a higher absolute risk reduction and a number needed to treat of 29 compared with 74 in patients without diabetes mellitus. Third, a nominal treatment interaction was observed such that, compared with patients without DM, patients with

Figure 3. Effects of vorapaxar on ischemic events stratified by diabetes mellitus (DM) status. CABG indicates coronary artery bypass graft surgery; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; KM, Kaplan–Meier; MI, myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; RIUR, recurrent ischemia leading to urgent revascularization; and UA, unstable angina.

Table 3. Bleeding and Net Clinical Outcomes in Patients With and Without Diabetes Mellitus

| Variable                                      | Diabetes Mellitus          | No Diabetes Mellitus         |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
|                                               | Vorapaxar Event Rate (%)    | Placebo Event Rate (%)       |
|                                               | (n= 1805)                   | (n=1808)                     |
|                                               | Placebo Event Rate (%)      | HR (95% CI)                  |
|                                               | (n= 6638)                   | (n= 6604)                    |
|                                               | HR (95% CI)                 | P Value                      |
|                                               | HR (95% CI)                 | P Value                      |
|                                               | P Value                     |                              |
| Bleeding                                      |                             |                              |
| GUSTO moderate or severe bleed                | 4.4                         | 2.6                          | 1.60 (1.07–2.40) | 0.02 |
| GUSTO severe bleed                            | 1.5                         | 1.0                          | 1.28 (0.65–2.51) | 0.48 |
| GUSTO moderate bleed                          | 2.9                         | 1.7                          | 1.70 (1.04–2.79) | 0.04 |
| TIMI clinically significant bleed (TIMI major/minor/medical attention) | 17.1                        | 11.4                        | 1.50 (1.24–1.82) | <0.001 |
| TIMI major bleed                              | 2.5                         | 1.9                          | 1.11 (0.68–1.82) | 0.68 |
| TIMI minor bleed                              | 2.1                         | 0.7                          | 2.79 (1.44–5.41) | 0.002 |
| Fatal bleed                                   | 0.4                         | 0.3                          | 1.00 (0.20–4.97) | >0.99 |
| ICH                                           | 0.9                         | 0.7                          | 1.23 (0.51–2.97) | 0.65 |
| Net clinical outcomes                         |                             |                              |
| CV death, MI, stroke, RIUR, or GUSTO moderate/severe bleed | 16.7                        | 19.6                        | 0.79 (0.67–0.93) | 0.005 |
| Death, MI, stroke, or GUSTO severe bleed      | 13.6                        | 16.4                        | 0.77 (0.65–0.93) | 0.006 |

Event rates are presented as 3-year Kaplan–Meier estimates. The P values for the interaction between the effects of vorapaxar vs placebo in those with and without diabetes mellitus were all ≥0.05. CI indicates confidence interval; CV, cardiovascular; GUSTO, Global Utilization of Streptokinase and t-PA for Occluded Coronary Arteries; HR, hazard ratio; ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; MI, myocardial infarction; RIUR, recurrent ischemia leading to urgent revascularization; and TIMI, thrombolysis in myocardial infarction.
DM had a significantly greater relative reduction in hospitalization for unstable angina or coronary revascularizations. Although exploratory in nature, this observation that more potent antithrombotic therapy with vorapaxar provided a more pronounced reduction in ischemic events is consistent with what is known about the platelet pathobiology, as well as previous studies of antithrombotic agents in patients with DM (eg, patients treated with glycoprotein Ib/IIia inhibitors at the time of acute coronary syndrome). In light of these findings, when weighing the risk of bleeding with the antithrombotic benefits of vorapaxar, patients with DM appear to be particularly appropriate candidates for consideration for treatment with this new therapy.

Limitations
These findings should be considered in the context of the limitations of the study. First, our observations are based on subgroups in the overall trial. However, this analysis was prespecified and the subgroups were large. Patients with DM can differ in the duration of the disease, degree of glycemic control, and presence of other medical comorbidities. Given the randomized nature of the study, these characteristics were likely balanced between the vorapaxar and placebo groups and thus not expected to influence the treatment comparison. However, it is possible that the magnitude of our observed effect may not apply to the entire spectrum of manifestations of DM. Second, the nominally significant interaction in the efficacy of vorapaxar with regard to ischaemic end points should be regarded as hypothesis generating. Third, because the data were not captured in this trial, we are unable to perform additional exploratory analyses based on glycemic control or length of time in which patients have had DM.

Conclusions
Vorapaxar is an additional treatment option for long-term secondary prevention in patients with DM who have had a previous MI, in the absence of a previous stroke or TIA. DM is a high-risk indicator that identifies patients who appear to have a particularly favorable balance of antithrombotic efficacy and bleeding with vorapaxar.
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Vorapaxar is a first-in-class inhibitor of the platelet protease-activated receptor-1 pathway that is activated by thrombin. Vorapaxar is established to be effective for the secondary prevention of atherothrombosis and, like other potent antiplatelet agents, increases bleeding. The findings from this analysis of the TRA2°P-TIMI 50 show that, in high-risk patients with diabetes mellitus, the addition of vorapaxar to standard therapy significantly reduced the risk of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, or stroke with a favorable effect on net clinical outcomes. Although the relative benefit of vorapaxar was similar in patients with or without diabetes mellitus, there was a greater absolute risk reduction in cardiovascular events with vorapaxar in patients with diabetes mellitus such that only 29 patients needed to be treated to prevent one occurrence of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, or stroke over the period of follow-up (3 years). The use of vorapaxar in clinical practice should weigh the potential reductions in ischemic events with the concomitant risk of bleeding. These findings indicate that patients with diabetes mellitus have a particularly favorable balance between the risk of bleeding and reduction in thrombotic events with vorapaxar.