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ABSTRACT

Background: Drug utilization studies are crucial and create a positive awareness about proper use of drugs. Analysing the pattern of prescriptions is important in the settings of intensive care units where patients receive multiple antibiotics. The objective of this study was to analyse the use of antibiotics in a Medicine Intensive Care Unit (MICU) of Government Medical College and Hospital, Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India, a tertiary health care centre.

Methods: Total 988 number of patients admitted in MICU from January 2017 to June 2018 were enrolled in the study and fulfilling inclusion criteria. Demographic details, disease state and prescription of antibiotic was recorded.

Results: Out of 988, n=700 patients received antibiotics. Most common diseases for which patients were admitted in MICU included OP poisoning (24.86%), snake bite (15.14%), bilateral pneumonitis (12.71%), HELLP syndrome (12.14%), and the least common conditions were chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), meningitis, sepsis with multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS), Guillen barre syndrome (GBS), lung abscess etc., Most common single antibiotic used in the studied cases was ceftriaxone (21.42%) followed by either alone or in combination piperacillin and tazobactam (12.04%), metronidazole (11.85%) etc.

Conclusions: Total 700 patients received systemic antibiotics in present study bringing the incidence of systemic antibiotics use in MICU to be 70.85%. Ceftriaxone and combination of piperacillin and tazobactam are most commonly used antibiotics in MICU of the hospital. All patients admitted in this MICU received antibiotics as per the standard protocols of the hospital.
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INTRODUCTION

Drug utilization studies are crucial since they identify the problems and provide feedback to prescribers. The obtained information can provide insights of drug use, drug prescribing pattern, quality of use, and therapeutic outcomes.¹ Irrational, prolonged and improper use of antibiotics is responsible for menace of increasing drug resistance amongst the infecting organisms. Appropriate use of antibiotics has reduced the morbidity and mortality due to infections to a significant level.² Analysing the pattern of prescriptions becomes all the more important in the settings of Medicine Intensive Care Unit (MICU) as in this setting patients are critically ill and are known to be receiving multiple antibiotics.³ Not only the cost of hospitalization is high in MICU but also the cost of medications is also considerably high. Most of the patients in MICU often suffer from severe illnesses, multiple organs dysfunction and coexisting medical disorders.² In such situation, prescribing multiple drugs becomes.³ A major portion of drugs prescribed to patients admitted in MICU consist of antibiotics. The present scenario of
Government hospitals and public hospitals which cater to ever increasing burden of patients and where effectiveness cost is an important consideration while managing seriously ill patients admitted in MICU makes drug utilization studies significant for framing proper protocols of antibiotic use in hospitals. Management in MICU by physicians with varying levels of critical care training is associated with major patient safety challenges and thus requires complex and urgent decision making considering all possible outcomes.6

Periodic evaluation of drug prescribing patterns in the MICU, therefore, becomes necessary for optimum use of health care delivery system, proper use of resources and prevention of emergence of multidrug resistance organisms. Identifying the antibiotics usage especially in MICU will provide insights into the strategies and policies in a respective tertiary care set up where the patients load in immense. The aim of present study was to analyse antibiotic prescribing pattern in MICU of a Tertiary Care Government Medical College and Hospital, Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India.

Aims and objectives

Primary

To study the prescription pattern of antibiotics in Medicine Intensive care unit (MICU).

Secondary

To identify the disease states and class of antibiotics commonly used in MICU and use of one or more than one antibiotic in MICU.

METHODS

Present study was prospective, observational, open label and descriptive clinical study where 988 patients admitted in MICU between January 2017 to June 2018.

Inclusion criteria

- Patients admitted in MICU,
- Patients or relatives willing to give informed consent.

Exclusion criteria

- Not willing to give informed consent,
- Not willing to give follow up if required,
- Incomplete data and patients who stayed for less than 24 hours.

Procedure

Subjects fulfilling the inclusion/exclusion criteria and giving a written informed consent were enrolled in the study. All the patients coming under the inclusion criteria were explained about the need for doing this study and their written informed consent was taken. The records of the patients were obtained from the case record form. Baseline demographic variables were recorded. The prescription data of all patients at admission into the MICU was noted with regards to antibiotic prescription and the class of antibiotics. The antibiotics prescription pattern was analyzed till the patient was discharged from MICU.

Graph Pad Prism Software Version 7 was used for statistical analysis. For qualitative data chi-square/fisher exact test was used and for quantitative data student unpaired t-test/test of difference between two means was used for intergroup and paired t-test/test of difference between two means was done for intragroup. For statistical purposes, p value less than 0.05 was taken as statistically significant.

RESULTS

A total of 988 patients aged 18 years and above and who were admitted in MICU for the treatment of various illnesses were reviewed over a period from January 2017 to June 2018. Out of the total 988 patients admitted in MICU, 700 patients received systemic antibiotics bringing the incidence of systemic antibiotics use in the intensive care units to be 70.85% (Table 1).

Table 1: Antibiotics used in MICU.

| Antibiotics in MICU | No. of patients | % |
|---------------------|-----------------|---|
| Used                | 700             | 70.85% |
| Not used            | 288             | 29.15% |
| Total               | 988             | 100%  |

The analysis of gender distribution of the studied cases showed that out of 700 patients, there were 423 (60.43%) males and 277 (39.57%) females (Table 2). There was a male preponderance in studied cases with a M:F ratio of 1:0.65.

Table 2: Gender distribution of the studied cases.

| Gender   | No. of patients | % |
|----------|-----------------|---|
| Males    | 423             | 60.43% |
| Females  | 277             | 39.57% |
| Total    | 700             | 100%  |

Table 3: Age distribution of the studied cases.

| Age groups | No. of patients | % |
|------------|-----------------|---|
| Up to 20   | 54              | 7.71% |
| 21-30      | 277             | 39.57% |
| 31-40      | 195             | 27.86% |
| 41-50      | 27              | 3.86% |
| 51-60      | 58              | 8.29% |
| Above 60   | 89              | 12.71% |
| Total      | 700             | 100%  |

Mean age of the studied cases=34.16±14.13 years
The analysis of the age groups of the patients showed that the most common age group of the patient was 21-30 years (39.57%) followed by 31-40 years (27.86%), and above 60 years (12.71%) (Table 3). Least common age group was found to be 41-50 years in which there were only 27 (3.86%) patients. The mean age of the patients was found to be 34.16 with standard deviation of 14.13 years. The most common age group in male patients was found to be 21-30 years (25.43%) and 31-40 years (17.14%) similarly in females the most common age group was found to be 21-30 years (14.14%) (Table 4). The mean age of the male patients was found to be 33.99±13.10 while the mean age of females was found to be 35.13±15.17. The difference was not found to be statistically significant (P=0.29) and age groups of men and women were found to be comparable (Table 5).

| Age Groups | Males | Females | Total |
|------------|-------|---------|-------|
|            | No. of cases | Percentage | No. of cases | Percentage | No. of cases | Percentage |
| Up to 20   | 35    | 5.00%   | 19    | 2.71%   | 54    | 7.71%   |
| 21-30      | 178   | 25.43%  | 99    | 14.14%  | 277   | 39.57%  |
| 31-40      | 120   | 17.14%  | 75    | 10.71%  | 195   | 27.86%  |
| 41-50      | 12    | 1.71%   | 15    | 2.14%   | 27    | 3.86%   |
| 51-60      | 33    | 4.71%   | 25    | 3.57%   | 58    | 8.29%   |
| Above 60 y | 45    | 6.43%   | 44    | 6.29%   | 89    | 12.71%  |
| Total      | 423   | 60.43%  | 277   | 39.57%  | 700   | 100%    |

**Table 4: Gender wise distribution of age groups.**

| Gender | Mean age | SD | Test of significance |
|--------|----------|----|----------------------|
| Males  | 33.99    | 13.10 | P = 0.29, Statistically not significant |
| Females| 35.13    | 15.17 | |

The records of the patients were analyzed for presence of co-morbidity and systemic illnesses.

It was found that out of 700 patients, diabetes was present in 72 (10.29%) patients whereas hypertension was present in 102 (14.57%) cases. 98 (14%) patients were having diabetes as well as hypertension whereas in 428 (61.14%) there was no systemic illness prior to admission in the hospital (Table 6).

**Table 6: Co-morbidities in the studied cases.**

| Presence of co-morbidities | No. of patients | %  |
|----------------------------|-----------------|----|
| Diabetes                   | 72              | 10.29 |
| Hypertension               | 102             | 14.57 |
| Diabetes and hypertension  | 98              | 14.00 |
| No co-morbidity            | 428             | 61.14 |
| Total                      | 700             | 100  |

Various pathologies for which the cases were admitted in MICU were analyzed and incidence of various diseases were studied.

The analysis of the patients on the basis of presence of etiology showed that the most common disease for which patients were admitted in MICU included organophosphorus poisoning (24.86%) followed by snake bite (15.14%), bilateral pneumonitis (12.71%) and HELLP syndrome (12.14%). The least common pathologies included alcoholic liver disease (1.57%), chronic kidney disease (1.43%), encephalitis (1.14%), infective endocarditis (1.57%) and stroke (1.29%) (Table 7).

**Table 7: Various pathologies encountered in the studied cases.**

| Pathologies in cases | No. of patients | %  |
|----------------------|-----------------|----|
| Alcoholic liver disease | 11          | 1.57 |
| Bilateral pneumonitis | 89           | 12.71 |
| Chronic kidney disease | 10          | 1.43 |
| COPD with respiratory failure | 73     | 10.43 |
| Encephalitis | 8          | 1.14 |
| Guillain Barre syndrome | 27          | 3.86 |
| HELLP syndrome | 85           | 12.14 |
| Infective endocarditis | 11          | 1.57 |
| Lung abscess | 21           | 3.00 |
| Meningitis | 43           | 6.14 |
| Organophosphate poisoning | 174       | 24.86 |
| Sepsis with MODS | 33           | 4.71 |
| Snake bite | 106          | 15.14 |
| Stroke | 9            | 1.29 |
| Total | 700          | 100  |

All prescriptions were analyzed to know the individual antibiotics used in patients admitted in MICU.

The careful analysis of the prescriptions showed that the most common antibiotic used in MICU was ceftriaxone which was used in 226 patients (21.42%). The other common antibiotics used were combination of piperacillin...
and tazobactam which was used in 127 (12.04%) patients, metronidazole (11.85%), combination of ceftazidime and sulbactam (10.05%) and meropenem which was used in 94 (8.91%) patients. Least commonly used antibiotics were cefotaxime (0.95%), combination of cefoperazone and sulbactam (1.04%), gentamicin (1.04%) and clindamycin (1.99%) (Table 8).

Table 8: Individual antibiotics used in patients admitted in MICU.

| Antibiotics                  | Prescriptions | %    |
|------------------------------|---------------|------|
| Ceftriaxone                  | 226           | 21.42|
| Ceftazidime+sulbactam        | 106           | 10.05|
| Cefotaxime                   | 10            | 0.95 |
| Cefoperazone+sulbactam       | 11            | 1.04 |
| Amoxicillin+potassium clavulanate | 39             | 3.70 |
| Piperaciline+tazobactum      | 127           | 12.04|
| Amikacin                     | 50            | 4.74 |
| Azithromycin                 | 34            | 3.22 |
| Clindamycin                  | 21            | 1.99 |
| Colistin                     | 33            | 3.13 |
| Gentamicin                   | 11            | 1.04 |
| Levofoxacin                  | 32            | 3.03 |
| Linezolid                    | 74            | 7.01 |
| Metronidazole                | 125           | 11.85|
| Vacomycin                    | 62            | 5.88 |
| Meropenem                    | 94            | 8.91 |
| Total                        | 1055          | 100  |

Table 9: Class of antibiotics used in patients admitted in MICU.

| Antibiotics  | Prescriptions | %    |
|--------------|---------------|------|
| Cephalosporins | 353          | 33.46|
| Penicillins  | 166           | 15.73|
| Aminoglycosides | 61           | 5.78 |
| Glycopeptides | 62           | 5.88 |
| Macrolides   | 34            | 3.22 |
| Lincosamides | 21            | 1.99 |
| Oxazolidinones | 74          | 7.01 |
| Quinolones   | 32            | 3.03 |
| Polymyxins   | 33            | 3.13 |
| Nitroimidazole | 125         | 11.85|
| Carbapenems  | 94            | 8.91 |
| Total        | 1055          | 100  |

All prescriptions were analyzed to know the class of antibiotics used in patients admitted in MICU.

Out of 1055 prescriptions, most common class of antibiotics used was cephalosporins (33.46%) followed by penicillins (15.73%), nitroimidazole (11.85%) and carbapenems (8.91%). Least commonly prescribed class of antibiotics were lincosamides (1.99%), quinolones (3.03%) and polymyxins (3.13%) (Table 9).

Combination of Ceftazidime and sulbactam was used in snake bite cases. All patients of meningitis received ceftriaxone and vancomycin. Only 2 patients of meningitis were given meropenem on the basis of culture sensitivity reports. All patients with chronic kidney disease received cefotaxime. Cefoperazone and sulbactam combination was given in 11 patients with alcoholic liver disease. Amoxicillin and potassium clavulanate combination was used in patients with COPD and respiratory failure whereas combination of piperacillin and tazobactam was used in bilateral pneumonia and patients with HELLP syndrome. Amikacin was given in patients with bilateral pneumonia as well as encephalitis whereas gentamicin was given in patients with infective endocarditis. Macrolide antibiotic azithromycin was given in 34 patients with COPD with respiratory failure. Patients diagnosed to be having lung abscess was treated by clindamycin. Colistin and levofloxacin was given in 33 and 32 patients with sepsis with multiorgan dysfunction. Metronidazole was given in 105 patients with snake bite and 11 patients with alcoholic liver disease. Meropenem was used in patients with bilateral pneumonia, GBS and lung abscess (Table 10).

The analysis of the patients on the basis of whether they received monotherapy or combination therapy showed that out of 700 patients 217 (31%) patients received monotherapy whereas remaining 483 (69%) patients received some or the other form of combination therapy. Generally, patients with chronic kidney diseases, stroke and organophosphorus poisoning received monotherapy and rest of the patients were given combination of different classes of antibiotics (Table 11).

The drugs used in monotherapy were ceftriaxone (24.86%) followed by azithromycin (4.71%) and cefotaxime (1.43%). Overall 217 (31%) patients received monotherapy. Monotherapy was given mainly in patients with chronic kidney diseases, stroke and organophosphorus poisoning (Table 12).

The most common combination of the drugs used was Ceftazidime+sulbactam along with metronidazole which was used in 106 (15.14%) patients with snake bite. The other common combination of antibiotics was piperacillin and tazobactam which was used in 85 (12.14%) patients of HELLP syndrome. Combination of meropenem and linezolid was used in 74 (10.57%) patients whereas piperacilline-tazobactum and amikacin was used 42 (6%) patients with bilateral pneumonia. Least commonly used combinations were ceftriaxone and metronidazole which was used in 9 (1.29%) patients whereas vancomycin and gentamicin was used in 11 (1.57%) patients (Table 13).

Finally, the prescriptions were analyzed for the duration of the treatment.

Patients with alcoholic liver diseases, chronic kidney diseases, COPD with respiratory failure, encephalitis, infective endocarditis, lung abscess, meningitis, sepsis and...
stroke were treated for 14 days whereas patients with bilateral pneumonitis, HELLP syndrome, OP poisoning and snake bite were treated for a mean duration of 7 days (Table 14).

### Table 10: Type of antibiotics in different clinical conditions.

| Antibiotics prescribed | Alcohol liver disease | Bilateral pneumonitis | Chronic kidney disease | COPD with Respiratory failure | Encephalitis | GBS | HELLP Syndrome | Infective Endocarditis | Lung abscess | Meningitis | OP poisoning | Sepsis with MODS | Snake bite | Stroke | Total |
|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----|----------------|------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|---------|--------|
| Cefazidime + sulbactam  | -                    | -                    | -                      | -                             | -            | -   | -              | -                      | -            | -          | -            | -              | -           | -       | 106    |
| Ceftriaxone             | -                    | -                    | -                      | -                             | -            | -   | -              | -                      | -            | -          | 43          | 174             | -           | -       | 9      |
| Cefotaxime              | -                    | -                    | 10                      | -                             | -            | -   | -              | -                      | -            | -          | -            | -              | 10         | -       | 226    |
| Cefepamezone + sulbactam| 11                   | -                    | -                      | -                             | -            | -   | -              | -                      | -            | -          | -            | -              | -           | -       | 11     |
| Amoxicilline + potassium clavulanate | - | - | - | 39 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 39 |
| Piperacilline + tazobactum | - | 42 | - | - | - | 85 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 127 |
| Amikacin                | -                    | 42                   | -                      | 8                             | -            | -   | -              | -                      | -            | -          | -            | -              | -           | -       | 50     |
| Azithromycin            | -                    | -                    | 34                      | -                             | -            | -   | -              | -                      | -            | -          | -            | -              | -           | -       | 34     |
| Clindamycin             | -                    | -                    | -                      | -                             | 21           | -   | -              | -                      | -            | -          | -            | -              | -           | -       | 21     |
| Colistin                | -                    | -                    | -                      | -                             | -            | -   | -              | -                      | -            | -          | 33          | -              | -           | -       | 33     |
| Gentamycin              | -                    | -                    | -                      | -                             | 11           | -   | -              | -                      | -            | -          | -            | -              | -           | -       | 11     |
| Levofloxacin            | -                    | -                    | -                      | -                             | -            | -   | -              | -                      | -            | -          | 32          | -              | -           | -       | 32     |
| Linezolid               | -                    | 47                   | -                      | -                             | 26           | -   | -              | -                      | -            | -          | -            | -              | 1           | -       | 74     |
| Metronidazole           | 11                   | -                    | -                      | -                             | -            | -   | -              | -                      | -            | -          | -            | -              | 105         | 9       | 125    |
| Vancomycin              | -                    | -                    | 8                      | -                             | 11           | -   | -              | -                      | -            | -          | -            | -              | -           | -       | 62     |
| Meropenem               | 3                    | 12                   | 15                     | -                             | 2            | 12  | 1              | 2                      | 2            | 25         | 1            | 18             | -           | -       | 94     |

### Table 11: Monotherapy vs combination therapy in the studied cases.

| Monotherapy vs combination therapy | No. of cases | % |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---|
| Monotherapy                       | 217          | 31|
| Combination therapy               | 483          | 69|
| Total                             | 700          | 100|

### Table 12: Monotherapy in studied cases.

| Antibiotic              | No. of cases | % |
|-------------------------|--------------|---|
| Ceftriaxone             | 174          | 24.86|
| Azithromycin            | 33           | 4.71|
| Cefotaxime              | 10           | 1.43|
| Total                   | 217          | 31|

### Table 13: Combinations of antibiotics in studied cases.

| Combinations of antibiotics | Number of cases | Percentage |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Piperacilline+tazobactum+amikacin | 42             | 6.00%      |
| Cefepamezone+sulbactam+metronidazole | 11             | 1.57%      |
| Meropenem+linezolid         | 74             | 10.57%     |
| Amoxicilline+potassium clavulanate | 40             | 5.71%      |
| Vancomycin+amikacin        | 8              | 1.14%      |
| Piperacilline+tazobactum   | 85             | 12.14%     |
| Vancomycin+gentamycin      | 11             | 1.57%      |
| Meropenem+clindamycin      | 21             | 3.00%      |
| Ceftriaxone+vancomycin     | 43             | 6.14%      |
| Colistin+levofloxacin      | 33             | 4.71%      |
| Cefazidime+sulbactam+metronidazole | 106            | 15.14%     |
| Ceftriaxone+metronidazole  | 9              | 1.29%      |
| Total                      | 483            | 69%        |
Table 14: Mean duration of the treatment in studied cases.

| Pathologies                     | No. of patients | Mean duration of treatment (days) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| Alcoholic liver disease         | 11              | 14                               |
| Bilateral pneumonitis           | 89              | 7                                |
| Chronic kidney disease          | 10              | 14                               |
| COPD with respiratory failure   | 73              | 14                               |
| Encephalitis                    | 8               | 14                               |
| Guillain Barre syndrome         | 27              | 14                               |
| HELLP syndrome                  | 85              | 7                                |
| Infective endocarditis          | 11              | 14                               |
| Lung abscess                    | 21              | 14                               |
| Meningitis                      | 43              | 14                               |
| Organophosphate poisoning       | 174             | 7                                |
| Sepsis with MODS                | 33              | 14                               |
| Snake bite                      | 106             | 7                                |
| Stroke                          | 9               | 14                               |

DISCUSSION

The study was undertaken with an aim to analyse the prescription pattern of antibiotics in MICU. The prescription data of all patients at admission into the MICU was noted with regards to antibiotic prescription and the class of antibiotics. The antibiotics prescription pattern was analyzed till the Patient was discharged from Medicine ICU.

In this study, prescriptions of a total of 700 patients aged 18 years and above admitted to intensive care unit were reviewed. The overall antibiotic use was 70.85%. Around 29.15% did not receive antibiotics. The antibiotics were not only used for treatment of infection but also for prevention of secondary infections following invasive procedures. In comparison with this study observations, lesser use of antibiotics was found in the similar studies carried out by Curcio D (51%), Radji M et al (53.32 %), and Johnston D et al, (55.2%).

Data analysis of gender distribution in present study showed that there was a male predominance in this study. Out of 700 studied cases there were 423 males and 277 females with a M:F ratio of 1:0.65. Similar male preponderance was showed in studies carried out by Williams A et al, (males-131, females-69 and M:F-1:0.52), Anand N et al, (males-717, females-359 and M:F-1:0.50) and Perveen RA et al (males-115, females-101 and M:F-1:0.87). The difference between gender distribution was due to differences in admissions in MICU.

The analysis of mean age of the studied cases in MICU showed that in this study the mean age of the cases was found to be 34.16±14.13. In contrast, studies carried out by Mangrulkar SV et al, showed mean age of >60 years (62.2+/−16.24 years). Mean age >60 years was also reported by Kara A et al, (60.9+/−18.0 years) and Mondal K et al, (62.3+/−17.93 years) respectively. One of the important reasons for a younger mean age in this group appears to be due to the fact that many of the patients were admitted in ICU for organo-phosphorus poisoning and snake bite which were usually seen in younger and active males doing farming. The relatively high mean age in other studies was due to the fact that in those studies majority of the patients were admitted due to cardiac or pulmonary problems which is usually seen at a relatively older age.

In this study, the co-morbidities like diabetes, hypertension were seen in 38.86% patients. In comparison, higher incidence of co-morbidities was seen in studies carried out by Mondal K et al (76.6%), Adrie C et al, (48.1%) and Shallercross L et al, (45.7%) respectively. The differences could be because of different patient populations.

The analysis of indications for the admissions in MICU in this study showed that the most common disease for which patients were admitted in intensive care units included organophosphorus poisoning (24.86%), snake bite (15.14%), bilateral pneumonitis (12.71%), HELLP syndrome (12.14%), respectively and the least common pathologies included COPD with respiratory failure (10.43%), meningitis (6.14%), sepsis with MODS (4.71%), GBS (3.86%), lung abscess (3.0%), alcoholic liver disease (1.57%), chronic kidney disease (1.43%), encephalitis (1.14%), infective endocarditis (1.57%) and stroke (1.29%). In contrast, the most common indications for admission in MICU in study conducted by Crucio D was nosocomial pneumonia (21%), community pneumonia (14%), intra-abdominal infections (12%), genitourinary infections (12%), CNS infections (6.7%), skin infection (6.7%), sepsis (6.7%), etc. 7 Maharani B et al, reported most common cause of MICU admission to be infections (22.5%), cardiac pathologies (16.6%), hepatic pathologies (15.9 %), pulmonary disorders (13.9%) electrolyte disorder (9.3 %), CNS disorders (7.9%), chronic kidney disease (7.3%), poisoning (3.3 %) respectively. Irrespective of the pathology for which patients are initially admitted there are higher chances of patients receiving antibiotics in MICU as compared to the patients who are managed in wards because of increased incidence of invasive procedures like central lines, intubation, etc. in patients admitted in MICU.

The most common single antibiotic used in the studied cases was found to be third generation cephalosporin ceftriaxone which was given in 21.42% patients. The other common antibiotics (either alone or in combination) administered was found to be combination of piperacillin and tazobactam which was used in 127 (12.04%) patients, metronidazole (11.85%), combination of ceftazidime and sulbactam (10.05%) and meropenem which was used in 94 (8.91%) patients. Cefotaxime combination of cefoperazone and sulbactam gentamicin and clindamycin was used in 0.95%, 1.04%, 1.04% and 1.99% patients respectively. In
comparison, Malacarne P et al, showed that the single different trends of antibiotic use; vancomycin (30.1%), ceftazidime (26.6%), ciprofloxacin (25.9%) and gentamicin (25.2%). Another study by Maharani B et al, showed yet another antibiotic usage pattern cefotaxime (37.6%), metronidazole (19.9%), azithromycin (17.2%), combination of piperacillin and tazobactam (12.2%) to be the common antibiotics used in MICU settings. These differences may be of different protocols followed by the MICU setups, admission patterns and comorbidities associated, availability of antibiotics as per the resources, resistance patterns reported in particular hospitals, and other reasons, etc.

The analysis of patients on the basis of whether they received monotherapy or combination therapy showed that 217 (31%) patients received monotherapy whereas remaining 483 (69%) patients received some or the other form of combination therapy. The patients with chronic kidney diseases were mostly used by monotherapy whereas the patients with sepsis, invasive procedures and HELLP syndrome were mostly treated by combination therapy. Similarly, studies dealing with the subject of antibiotic used in MICU showed that majority of the patients with combinations of antibiotics as compared to monotherapy: Ahmed A et al, reported that combination therapy was given in 53% cases. In another study, conducted by Chokshi R et al, reported that combinations of antibiotics was very common 61% cases.

The analysis of prescription with monotherapy showed that ceftriaxone (24.86) followed by azithromycin (4.71%) and cefotaxime (1.43%) was the most common single antibiotic used. Overall 217 (31%) patients received monotherapy. Similar studies conducted by Erbay E et al, showed that the most common monotherapy was given by ceftriaxone (15.2%) followed by aminoglycoside (12.1%). In another study conducted by Maharani et al, showed that the most common single antibiotic used in MICU was cefotaxime (37.6%) followed by metronidazole (19.9%) and azithromycin (17.2%). In all the studies, it was found that the cephalosporins were the most common single antibiotic used. These differences may due to difference patient admitted, organisms involved in indications, prophylactic indications, resistance patterns reported in particular hospital, etc.

The use of combination of the antibiotics in this study showed that, ceftazidime+sulbactam along with metronidazole was used in 106 (15.14%) patients with snake bite. Piperacillin+tazobactam was used in 85 (12.14%) patients of HELLP syndrome. Combination of meropenem+linezolid was used in 74 (10.57%) patients whereas piperacillin+tazobactam and amikacin was used 42 (6%) patients with bilateral pneumonia. As compared to this results, study carried out by Johnston D et al, showed most common use of amoxicillin+clavulanic (22.4%) followed by piperacillin+tazobactam (15.68), ceftazidime+sulbactam (13.72%). Another study carried out by Maharani B, et al, showed most common combination used amoxicillin+clavulanic (42.7%), piperacillin+tazobactam (12.8%) and amoxicillin+ benzylenicillin (44.2%) respectively. The different pattern of antibiotics usage reflected showed the usage trends in different setup. This may also be related to protocols of usages followed, indications and susceptible microorganisms being treated, prophylactic indications, etc.

Mean duration of antibiotic therapy was finally analyzed. In this study, the mean duration of antibiotic therapy was found to be 11.83±3.25 days which included the analysis of all patients admitted and receiving antibiotics for either 7 or 14 days. In general, the studies conducted by Durgad AG et al, and Zilahi G et al, reported mean duration of 10 days of antibiotic therapy.

CONCLUSION

Out of the total 988 patients admitted in MICU, 700 patients received systemic antibiotics bringing the incidence of systemic antibiotics use in the intensive care units to be 70.85%. Irrespective of the pathology for which patients are initially admitted there are higher chances of patients receiving antibiotics in MICU as compared to the patients who are managed in wards because of increased incidence of invasive procedures in patients admitted in MICU. The differences in the use of antibiotics, mono or combination therapy with antibiotics usage is definitely related to antibiotic usage protocols and antibiotic policies at an institute or hospitals across. Additionally, this will also depend on admission cases in MICU and comorbidities associated. A huge impact of antibiotic usage will also depend on availability of antibiotics, resources, current trends in resistance patterns, hospital infections, etc. Author concluded in the present study that, all patients admitted in this tertiary care hospital received antibiotics as per the protocols of the hospital and was found to be rational.
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