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Abstract

Fix a rectangular Young diagram $R$, and consider all the products of Schur functions $s_\lambda s_{\lambda^c}$, where $\lambda$ and $\lambda^c$ run over all (unordered) pairs of partitions which are complementary with respect to $R$.

**Theorem:** The self-complementary products, $s_\lambda^2$ where $\lambda = \lambda^c$, are linearly independent of all other $s_\lambda s_{\lambda^c}$.

**Conjecture:** The products $s_\lambda s_{\lambda^c}$ are all linearly independent.

1 Introduction

The Schur functions $s_\lambda$, where $\lambda$ is a partition or its graphical representation as a Young diagram, play a pivotal role in the theory of symmetric functions and its many applications. The literature abounds with linear identities among products of two Schur functions, many of which follow from expressing $s_\lambda$ as a determinant of a certain matrix, to which the Plücker relations are readily applied. This paper instead presents a linear *independence* result among some products of pairs of Schur functions.

Schur functions are indexed by partitions $\lambda = \langle \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \rangle$ of all integers $n \geq 0$; we order the parts to be weakly decreasing and consider the partition to end with an infinite tail of 0’s, which we are free to omit. We identify $\lambda$ with its Young diagram, stacked rows of $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$ boxes, and write $\lambda \subseteq \mu$ if the diagram of $\lambda$ sits inside that of $\mu$, i.e. if $\lambda_i \leq \mu_i$ for all $i$. If we fix an $a \times b$ rectangular Young diagram $R = \langle b, \ldots, b \rangle = \langle b^a \rangle$, then for any $\lambda \subseteq R$, the boxes in $R$ which remain when $\lambda$ is removed from the upper left corner can be rotated $180^\circ$ to get a new partition $\lambda^c$, the complement of $\lambda$ with respect to $R$. For example, if $R = \langle 4^3 \rangle = \begin{array}{ccc}
\ast & \ast & \ast \\
\ast & \ast & \ast \\
\ast & \ast & \ast \\
\ast & \ast & \ast
\end{array}$ and $\lambda = \langle 421 \rangle = \begin{array}{ccc}
\ast & \ast & \ast \\
\ast & \ast & \ast \\
\ast & \ast & \ast \\
\ast & \ast & \ast
\end{array}$ then $\lambda^c = \langle 32 \rangle = \begin{array}{cc}
\ast & \ast \\
\ast & \ast
\end{array}$. 
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We work in the ring $\Lambda$ of symmetric formal polynomials in infinitely many variables $x_1, x_2, \ldots$, of which the Schur functions $\{s_\lambda\}$ form a linear basis. The structure constants of the basis, those $c^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ such that $s_\lambda s_\mu = \sum c^\lambda_{\mu\nu} s_\nu$, are nonnegative integers called the Littlewood–Richardson numbers. For example, in $\Lambda$:

$$
\begin{align*}
\square \square \square &= \square \square + \square \square \square + \square \square \square \\
\square \square &= \square \square + \square \square + \square \\
\square \square \square &= \square \square + \square \square \square + \square \square \\
\square &= \square
\end{align*}
$$

These are precisely the four distinct products $s_\lambda s_{\lambda^c}$ where $R$ is the $2 \times 2$ rectangle. In the fourth line $\lambda = (2, 2)$ and $\lambda^c$ is the zero partition $(0)$, whose diagram is empty and whose Schur function $s_{(0)}$ is $1 \in \Lambda$.

Note that the products in (1) are easily seen to be linearly independent. Each of the first two contains a basis element ($s_{(4)}$ and $s_{(1,1,1,1)}$, respectively) which appears nowhere else. We immediately know that these products cannot show up in any linear dependence; in this situation we say that some Schur function is a witness to the linear independence of the product in which it appears. Once the first two products are dropped from consideration, the third has two witnesses to its linear independence from all remaining products; once the third is dropped, the fourth product has a witness as well.

The theorem will be proved by constructing a witness for each self-complementary $\lambda$, as in the above example (if the rectangle’s dimensions are both odd, then for $\lambda$ and $\lambda^c$ that differ only in which contains the center box of $R$). The strong form of the conjecture is that the above procedure of successive elimination via witnesses always proves the linear independence of all the products.
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2 Theorem

Fix positive integers \(a\) and \(b\), and let \(R\) be the partition \(<b^a>\), whose Young diagram is an \(a \times b\) rectangle. We take all complements with respect to \(R\), and say \(\lambda \subseteq R\) (and \(s_\lambda\)) is self-complementary if \(\lambda = \lambda^c\). If \(a\) and \(b\) are odd, there are no self-complementary \(\lambda\). In this case we will say \(\lambda\) is almost self-complementary if \(\lambda\) and \(\lambda^c\) differ only in which one contains the central box of \(R\).

Theorem. The squares of the self-complementary Schur functions, \(s^2_\lambda\) for \(\lambda = \lambda^c\), are linearly independent of all other products \(s_\mu s_{\mu^c}\) for \(\mu \subseteq R\). If the dimensions of \(R\) are both odd, the products \(s_\lambda s_{\lambda^c}\) for \(\lambda\) almost self-complementary are linearly independent.

By way of proof, we show that for each such \(\lambda\) there is another partition \(\pi\) which acts as a witness to the linear independence: \(s_\pi\) appears in the Schur function expansion of \(s_\mu s_{\mu^c}\) if and only if \(\mu = \mu^c = \lambda\). First we define a new family of notations for partitions.

Definition. Let \(c\) and \(d\) be nonnegative integers, and let \(w\) be a word of length \(c + d\) consisting of the letters \(h\) and \(v\) appearing \(c\) and \(d\) times, respectively. Let \(\lambda\) be a partition with at most \(c\) parts larger than \(d\).

1. The \(w\)-decomposition for the Young diagram of \(\lambda\) partitions the diagram into \(c + d\) pieces. The \(i\)th piece is part of one horizontal row or vertical column, depending on whether the \(i\)th letter of \(w\) is an \(h\) or a \(v\), respectively. It begins with the upper-left-most box not contained in any previous piece, and extends right or down, respectively, to the end of the diagram.

2. The \(w\)-notation for \(\lambda\) is the \(c + d\)-tuple of integers \(\lambda^w = (\lambda^w_1, \ldots, \lambda^w_{c+d})\), where \(\lambda^w_i\) is the number of boxes in the \(i\)th part of the \(w\)-decomposition.

3. The \(w\)-ordering on partitions is the lexicographic ordering on their \(w\)-notations.

For example, Figure 1(a) depicts the \(w\)-decomposition of \(\mu = \langle 5411\rangle\) with \(w = hvvh\); we see that \(\mu^w = (5, 3, 1, 2)\). The \(w\)-ordering has the following useful property:

Lemma. Fix a word \(w\) as above, and take two partitions with \(w\)-notations \(\mu^w = (\mu^w_1, \ldots, \mu^w_{c+d})\) and \(\nu^w = (\nu^w_1, \ldots, \nu^w_{c+d})\). Let \(\pi\) be the partition with \(\pi^w_i = \mu^w_i + \nu^w_i\). Then \(s_\pi\) appears in the Schur expansion of \(s_\mu s_\nu\), and it is the maximal partition (in the \(w\)-ordering) to appear.

Proof. We show that \(s_\pi\) appears in \(s_\mu s_\nu\) by presenting a Littlewood–Richardson tableau of shape \(\pi/\mu\) and content \(\nu\). This is straightforward: if the \(i\)th letter of \(w\) is an \(h\) (or \(v\), respectively), then we extend the \(i\)th part of the \(w\)-decomposition of \(\mu\) horizontally (or vertically, respectively) by \(\nu^w_i\) boxes. These new boxes are filled with the row numbers of...
Figure 1: $w$-decompositions for $w = hvvh$, illustrating the lemma.

the boxes in the $i$th part of the $w$-decomposition of $\nu$. It is easy to verify that the above algorithm maximizes each $\pi^w_i$ in turn, so $\pi$ is $w$-maximal.

See Figure 1 for an illustrated example of the proof. We take $w = hvvh$. In (a) and (b) we see the $w$-decompositions of $\mu = \langle 5411 \rangle$ with $\mu^w = (5, 3, 1, 2)$ and of $\nu = \langle 3322 \rangle$ with $\nu^w = (3, 3, 3, 1)$; each box in $\nu$ is labelled with its row. In (c) we see the $\pi$ such that $\pi^w = (8, 6, 4, 3) = \mu^w + \nu^w$, as a partition $\pi = \langle 8522211 \rangle$. The boxes in $\pi/\mu$ are filled with the same numbers as the corresponding pieces of the $w$-decomposition of $\nu$ from (b), giving a Littlewood–Richardson tableau.

If the word $w$ is $hh \ldots h$, the $w$-decomposition just breaks $\lambda$ into its rows, and the lemma reduces to the familiar fact that $s_{\mu+s\nu}$ is the maximal term appearing in $s_{\mu}s_{\nu}$ in the dominance order. A word of all $v$’s does the same for reverse dominance order, and other words somehow interpolate between the two.

Proof of Theorem. Assume first that both dimensions of the rectangle $R$ are even; this is the case in which the proof is clearest. Let $c = a/2$ and $d = b/2$ and pick any word $w$ with $c$ $h$’s and $d$ $v$’s, as above. We will determine the $w$-maximal partition $\pi$ that can appear in any product $s_{\mu}s_{\mu^c}$ for $\mu \subseteq R$. By the lemma, we must maximize $\mu^w + (\mu^c)^w$. We do so by maximizing each of $\mu^w_i$ and $(\mu^c)^w_i$ independently: the $w$-decompositions of $\mu$ and $\mu^c$ each begin with an entire row or column of the rectangle (where $w$ begins with an $h$ or a $v$, respectively). Continuing inductively, we maximize each $\mu^w_i$ and $(\mu^c)^w_i$ in turn; they are independent and equal, because the $i$th pieces of the corresponding $w$-decompositions are exchanged by rotating the rectangle $180^\circ$. We conclude that $\mu = \mu^c$.

Thus the $w$-maximal $s_{\pi}$ appears in $s_\lambda^2$, where $\lambda$ is the $w$-maximal self-complementary partition, and in no other $s_{\mu}s_{\mu^c}$. The map from words $w$ to self-complementary $\lambda$ is a bijection. Each such $\lambda$ is determined by the path of length $c + d$ running from the upper-right corner to the midpoint of the rectangle, separating $\lambda$ from its complement. The $i$th letter in the corresponding word $w$ is $h$ or $v$ depending on whether the $i$th step in this path is (confusingly) vertical or horizontal, respectively. See Figure 2 for an illustration.

Now assume that one dimension of $R$ is odd. The above map from words $w$ (with $c = \frac{a-1}{2}$ or $d = \frac{b-1}{2}$) to self-complementary $\lambda$ still provides the proof. By the time the last step of $w$ that heads in the even-length direction is read, the maximizations fix the boundary between $\mu$ and $\mu^c$ and again they are equal. Finally, if both dimensions of $R$
Figure 2: Here $R$ is $4 \times 6$ and we pick $w = hvvhv$. At each stage we assign boxes of $R$ to $\mu$ or $\mu^c$ to make successive rows or columns as long as possible, depending on whether the corresponding letter in $w$ is an $h$ or $v$ respectively. Note how the letters in $w$ give the $\mu$-$\mu^c$ boundary: $h = \bigcirc$, $v = \downarrow\downarrow$.

are odd and $\lambda$ is almost self-complementary, then the final maximization step leaves us free to assign the central square of $R$ to either $\mu$ or $\mu^c$.

3 Conjecture

A natural result that would subsume the theorem is the following:

**Conjecture (weak version).** Fix a rectangle $R$. The products $s_\lambda s_{\lambda^c}$ are all linearly independent.

The set of products here is of course parametrized by unordered pairs $(\lambda, \lambda^c)$ complementary in $R$. But the witnesses that appear in the above proof show something much stronger than linear independence, and it too has a natural extension:

**Conjecture (strong version).** Fix a rectangle $R$, and define the sets:

\[
P_0 = \{s_\lambda s_{\lambda^c}\} \text{ as above},
W_i = \{s_\lambda s_{\lambda^c} \in P_i \mid \exists \pi : s_\lambda s_{\lambda^c} \text{ is the only product in } P_i \text{ containing } s_\pi\},
P_{i+1} = P_i \setminus W_i.
\]

Then some $P_i$ is empty.

In other words, if we take the set of all products $s_\lambda s_{\lambda^c}$ and iterate the operation “eliminate any products which can now be proved linearly independent by some witness $s_\pi$,” then we eventually eliminate all products. This is equivalent to the statement that with appropriate orderings of the set of products and Schur functions, the matrix expanding the products in the Schur basis is upper triangular.

Note an interesting difference between the weak and strong versions of the conjecture. Verifying linear independence as in the weak version requires, a priori, knowing the actual values of all of the Littlewood–Richardson coefficients $c_{\lambda \lambda^c}$. The strong version only makes claims about which of these coefficients are nonzero. The collection of triples of partitions $\{(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \mid c_{\lambda \mu}^\nu \neq 0\}$ consists of all integer points in some cone, according to the Saturation Conjecture, now a theorem of Knutson and Tao \[3\]; moreover the minimal set of linear inequalities defining the cone is now known \[3\]. The strong version of the conjecture, if true, follows from this set of linear inequalities.
The remainder of this section gives evidence supporting this conjecture: computer verification for small rectangles, proofs in some special cases, and the beginning of a sadly incomplete inductive proof. Finally we mention a few failed attempts at generalization.

Computer observations

The strong version of the conjecture has been verified by computer, using Anders Buch’s Littlewood–Richardson calculator, for all $R$ fitting inside the $8 \times 8$ or $7 \times 9$ rectangle. For a sense of scale, the $8 \times 8$ calculation involves 6470 products, and they are linear combinations of 395,377 distinct Schur functions (out of the 487,842 Schur functions of degree 64 whose partitions fit in a $16 \times 16$ box).

The computer results confirm that the conjecture still holds if we require the witness $s_\pi$ to always have coefficient one. That is, with respect to some ordering, the matrix writing the products in the Schur basis appears to be upper unitriangular.

The theorem is the statement that the set $W_0$ contains all of the self-complementary or almost self-complementary products. According to the computer calculations, these appear to be the entirety of $W_0$.

Quick Proofs for $1 \times b$ and $2 \times b$

When $R$ is a single row (or column), the conjecture is checked easily, e.g.:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\ \ \ \ = & \ \ \ + & \ \ \\
\ \ \ \ = & \ \ \ + & \ \ \\
\ \ \ \ = & \ \ \ + & \ \\
\ \ \ \ = & \ \ \\
\end{array}
\]

Here we used that $s_(j) s_(k) = \sum s_(i+j-k-i, i)$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, \min(j, k)$.

We can also give explicit witnesses for the $2 \times b$ case. To fix notation, let us always choose $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ to be larger than $\lambda^c$, so that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \geq b$. We partially order the products $s_\lambda s_{\lambda^c}$ by increasing values of $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$; note that this ordering begins with the cases $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = b$, which holds precisely when $\lambda = \lambda^c$. The general witness for $\lambda$ is $\pi = (2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \lambda_2, b - \lambda_1, b - \lambda_1)$. There are no choices in constructing the unique Littlewood–Richardson tableau of shape $\pi/\lambda$ and content $\lambda^c$:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
\end{array} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{ccc}
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
\end{array}
\]

To see that $s_\pi$ has coefficient zero in all products larger in the ordering, observe that the fourth row of the witness forces $\lambda_1$ to be small, but its first row forces $\lambda_1 + (\lambda^c)_1$ to be as large as possible. This argument is left sketchy in part because we will see one that subsumes it shortly.
A Little Induction

We can find some witnesses by induction from smaller rectangles.

Suppose $R$ is a $a \times b$ and we have a complementary pair $\lambda, \lambda^c$ such that the entire top row of $R$ is in $\lambda$ and the entire bottom row is in $\lambda^c$, i.e. $\lambda_1 = (\lambda^c)_1 = b$. Then strip off these two rows to get a pair $\lambda, \lambda^c$ in the $(a - 2) \times b$ rectangle.

Suppose their product has a witness $\pi$. Then $\pi$ is a witness for $\lambda, \lambda^c$, where $\pi$ is constructed by inserting a row of size $2b$ before the first row of $\pi$. The only complementary products $s_\mu s_{\mu^c}$ that could possibly contain any $s_\pi$ with $\pi_1 = 2b$ are those in which $\mu_1 = (\mu^c)_1 = b$, and $\pi$ appears in such products if and only if the associated $\pi$ appears in the corresponding product $s_{\pi}s_{\pi^c}$.

Likewise, if $\lambda$ contains the leftmost column of $R$ and $\lambda^c$ contains the rightmost, we can bootstrap a witness obtained from the $a \times (b - 2)$ rectangle by adding a column of height $2a$. It seems natural to hope for another induction for the remaining case, in which $\lambda$ contains both the top row and left column, but I cannot provide one.

This limited induction argument yields a witness-based proof of linear independence for the $(\lambda, \lambda^c)$ as long as the recursion never gets to a case where $\lambda$ contains both the top row and left column. But such $(\lambda, \lambda^c)$ are precisely the self-complementary pairs of the previous section; the witness bootstrapping process here gives the same witnesses constructed in the proof of the theorem.

This also gives a simplified proof of the $2 \times b$ case: by the induction step, we need only consider the situation when $\lambda = \langle b, \lambda_2 \rangle$, in which case the sequence of witnesses $\pi = \langle 2b - \lambda_2, \lambda_2 \rangle$ is transparent. Again, this bootstraps to the same witness already given explicitly.

Generalizations?

To save the interested reader some time, we mention a few plausible-sounding generalizations of the conjecture which appear to fail.

Complementation with respect to a rectangle is a natural operation from the Grassmannian point of view. But there and in other similar settings one takes restricted products of Schur functions, by specializing $s_\nu \mapsto 0$ if $\nu$ has too many rows or columns or both. These tend to fail almost immediately (e.g. the $2 \times 2$ case in the Introduction) just by running out of room.

Given an arbitrary partition $R$, there are two natural sets of products which specialize to $\{s_\lambda s_{\lambda^c}\}$ when $R$ is a rectangle. First, we could consider the set $\{s_\lambda s_{R/\lambda}\}$ for all $\lambda \subseteq R$; the special case of rectangular $R$ is the only one where the skew Schur function $s_{R/\lambda}$ is again a single Schur function. But for $R = \langle 21 \rangle$ we have the linear dependence $s_{\langle 1 \rangle}s_{R/\langle 1 \rangle} = s_{\langle 2 \rangle}s_{R/\langle 2 \rangle} + s_{\langle 11 \rangle}s_{R/\langle 11 \rangle}$.

Second, we could consider the set of products $\{s_\lambda s_\mu\}$ in which a term $s_R$ appears; this is closely related to the coproduct of the Hopf algebra structure on the ring of symmetric functions. A counterexample here comes from considering the two ways to parenthesize the product $\circ \bullet \circ \bullet$. We find that $(\circ \bullet + \bullet \circ) \circ = \circ (\circ \bullet + \bullet \circ)$, yet all four products contain a term $\circ \bullet \circ \bullet$. 

Finally, we could hope to replace Schur functions with their type-B analogues, the Schur-Q functions (or Schur-P functions, which differ only by a scalar, irrelevant here). They are indexed by strict partitions, often represented graphically by shifted diagrams. The role of the rectangle is played by the staircase partitions \( S = \langle n \ldots 321 \rangle \), and the complement of \( \lambda \subseteq S \) is the remaining boxes of \( S \) reflected through the line of slope 1. For example, \( \framebox{210} \) and \( \framebox{20\,\ 1} \) are complementary in \( \framebox{210} \). The conjecture fails for \( S = \langle 321 \rangle \), as 

\[
\framebox{210} + \framebox{20\,\ 1} = \framebox{200} + \framebox{1\ 1}.
\]
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