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1. Introduction

Several techniques for the optimisation of thermal transfer have been used in practice. The recent development in nanotechnology makes it possible to synthesize solid structures at a nano-size. Furthermore, investigators have shown that the suspension of nano-sized solid structures is important in fluids, observing a rise in the thermal conductivity of liquids and noting that fluids with nanostructures behave as suitable coolants. These fluids with nano-sized particles are called nanofluids. The thermal performance of such coolants is optimized due to the dispersion of such nanostructures. Consequently, energy losses can be minimized. Based on this fact, researchers have studied heat transfer in nanofluids and observed a remarkable enhancement in that heat transfer. For example, Masuda et al. [1] presented in the early 1990s the concept of nanometer-sized particles. Choi [2] worked on nano-sized particles in the Argonne National Laboratory of USA and introduced the term nanofluid, a fluid with nano-sized solid structures. Phelan et al. [3] published on the applications related to nanoparticles in fluid during the heat transport phenomenon. Lee et al. [4] devised the strategy for measuring the impact of oxide nanoparticles on the thermal conductivity of fluids. Eastman et al. [5] also conducted a study on enhancing a fluid’s thermal conductivity due to the immersion of nanoparticles in it. Huaqing et al. [6] analyzed the effects of the suspension of alumina particles on the increase
in thermal conductivity of a fluid. Yimin and Li [7] published significant outcomes related to the suspension of nanoparticles in fluid and their impact on the effectiveness of thermal conductivity. Philbot et al. [8] discussed how the dispersion of nanoparticles causes thermal enhancement. Naseem et al. [9] discussed an enhancement in temperature performance, inserting impacts of nanoparticles past a stretching surface via a shooting approach. Nazir et al. [10] investigated the comparative performance of thermal aspects among hybrid nanoparticles and nanoparticles using the finite element method. Koriko et al. [11] performed a bio-convection flow in a thixotropic nanofluid under a magnetic field considering the stretching surface. Ali et al. [12] investigated the consequences of peristaltic flow in a Jeffrey liquid using hybrid nanoparticles along with the slip conditions under the action of an MHD flow. Tian et al. [13] studied 3D configurations of hybrid nanoparticles using the impacts of magnetic fields in fins. Mumraiz et al. [14] performed a study of entropy generation in the presence of hybrid nanoparticles along with a variable heat flux. Awais et al. [15] discussed the impacts of pressure drop in thermal energy including nanofluids. Nazir et al. [16] used the finite element method to consider the consequences of thermal energy in a Carreau—Yasuda liquid when inserting hybrid nanoparticles past a heated cone.

The transport of heat during industrial processing plays an essential role in a product being manufactured. For example, food processing, the welding of joints of mechanical tools, the fabrication of materials, casting processes, and coating processes, etc., are engineering applications where the process of heat transport is an essential factor. Theoretical and experimental investigations have been published regarding industrial applications in various emerging applied fields where heat transfer is encountered. It is for this reason, for instance, that Bibi et al. [17] developed the boundary value problems associated with thermal transport in a Williamson fluid exposed to a magnetic field and thermal radiation. They observed that thermal transport can be increased by dispersing nanomaterials in the fluid. Ijaz et al. [18] studied the entropy generation in a Sisko fluid when joule heating and activation energy are significant. Majeed et al. [19] discussed the transfer of heat in a fluid between two coaxially rotating disks. They used non-Fourier’s law to study the impact of thermal relaxation on the transport of heat energy. Ali et al. [20] analyzed the effect of thermal relaxation time on the transfer of heat energy in a Jeffrey fluid. Tanveer et al. [21] studied the peristaltic phenomenon in fluid theoretically. They examined the role of the contraction and expansion of muscles on food transport and the transfer of heat. Tanveer et al. [22] examined the dynamics of the flow of blood in a micro-channel. Khan et al. [23] modelled the movement of fluid and heat transfer in MHD (magnetohydrodynamic) flow over the parabolic surface. Abbas et al. [24] discussed the extension of the Yamada—Ota and Xue models for a micropolar fluid subject to a stagnation point. Rahman et al. [25] considered implementing the finite element method (FEM) for simulations associated with heat transfer under hydrodynamic forces in a grooved channel having two partially heated circular cylinders. Zahri et al. [26] performed numerical simulations related to the thermally magnetized rectangular chamber optimization of a practically heated continuous stream. Hayat et al. [27] discussed the thermal radiation emitted by the MHD (magnetohydrodynamic) flow of a Maxwell fluid during thermal energy transport. Hayat et al. [28] examined the impact of the role of nanoparticles on the transfer of heat energy in a couple stress fluid squeezed by two parallel plates. Saif et al. [29] also studied the impact of nanomaterials in optimizing the transport of heat in a second-grade fluid. Hayat et al. [30] discussed the effect of the diffusion of wall temperature into a fluid with nanoparticles over a non-uniformly moving surface.

A porous medium makes a vital impact in adjusting the thickness of the MBL (momentum boundary layer). Therefore, several researchers have considered a porous medium in the modelling of thermal processes in flowing fluids. Some recent references are given in [31–33].

Solute and thermal characteristics have been shown to have significant effects in various studies in view of engineering and industrial processes. Several researchers have
studied heat transfer phenomena caused by the concentration and temperature gradients. The mechanism of heat transfer that occurs due to the concentration gradient is called the Dufour effect, whereas the mechanism of heat transfer that happens due to the temperature gradient is called the Soret effect. Therefore, heat transfer phenomena make a vital impact on the Dufour and Soret theories [34–38]. Naseem et al. [39] studied the impacts of thermal energy, including the variable properties under a magnetic field, considering the Soret and Dufour impacts over a melting surface. Naseem et al. [40] discussed the characterizations of thermal energy in the presence of the Soret and Dufour effects.

The literature reveals that the Lorentz force, porous mediums, convective boundary conditions, and buoyant force in the presence of a dispersion of nano-metallic structures have not been studied yet. Therefore, a constant magnetic field and the Soret and Dufour effects are herein considered. This article consists of five sections. Comprehensive literature examples are given in the introduction section. The development system of non-linear partial differential equations in a porous medium exposed to a magnetic field is given in Section 2 where boundary conditions are studied as well. The problems are solved numerically using the shooting technique. This is an appropriate technique as concluded in Refs. [41–44]. This numerical procedure is explained in Section 3. The graphical consequences are addressed in Section 4. Section 5 visualizes the prime findings of the current model.

2. Physical Statement of the Problem

A phenomenon of heat and mass transfer in a Casson liquid is observed towards the vertical melting surface. A constant magnetic field is exerted along the $y$-axis of the surface. The fluid runs due to the stretching of the surface moving along with velocity ($u = ax$). The Nano and hybrid nanoparticles have an important role when inserted into the base fluid for simulating the enhancement of heat and solute in fluid particles. The external heat source/sink is also considered in the heat equation carrying Joule heating, viscous dissipation, and the Dufour and Soret effects. The geometry of fluid behavior is considered in Figure 1. The following assumptions are considered:

- A constant magnetic field is considered,
- Dufour and Soret effects are addressed,
- A porous vertical surface is considered,
- Casson fluid particles are inserted,
- A phenomenon of Joule heating is noticed,
- The finite element method is used,
- Nano and hybrid nanoparticles are observed.

The following PDEs (partial differential equations) are used utilizing BLA (boundary layer thickness):

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0,
$$

(1)

$$
\frac{u \partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\rho_{nf}} \left( \mu_{nf} + \frac{\rho_y}{\sqrt{2\pi c}} \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \left( \beta_{hf} \right) \gamma \left( T - T\infty \right)
+ \left( \beta_{hf} \right) \gamma \left( C - C\infty \right) - \frac{\gamma_{nf} B_{0}^2 u}{\rho_{nf}} - \mu_{nf} \frac{u}{K_1},
$$

(2)

$$
\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{K_{nf}}{(\rho c_p)_{nf}} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{1}{(\rho c_p)_{nf}} \left( H_{nf} + \frac{\rho_y}{\sqrt{2\pi c}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{\gamma_{nf} B_{0}^2 u^2}{(\rho c_p)_{nf}} + \frac{Q_0}{(\rho c_p)_{nf}} \left( T - T\infty \right) + \frac{DK_{nf} T}{C_i C_p} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2},
$$

(3)

$$
\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} = \frac{(D_\Theta)_{nf}}{C_i C_p} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} + \frac{D_T}{T\infty} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2},
$$

(4)

Equation (1) is called the 2D continuity equation for incompressible and steady flow. It is noticed that boundary layer approximations and assumptions are used in the law.
of conservation mass. Equation (2) is known as the momentum equation in x and y directions for steady and incompressible flow. Equation (2) is derived from momentum conservation law considering the magnetic field, Casson fluid and the Bouncy effect. Equations (3) and (4) are energy and concentration equations considering effects (heat generation, Joule heating, chemical reaction, Soret and Dufour) over a vertical surface.

Figure 1. The physical arrangement and coordinates system.

Slip conditions are considered and derived using the slip theory concept. Slip theory is defined as the velocity of the fluid at the boundary that is not equal to the velocity of the boundary. The mathematical form of slip conditions near the surface of the boundary is defined as:

\[
\begin{align*}
ax &= u(x,0), v(x,0) = 0, -\gamma k_{\text{inf}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}(x,0) = h_f(T - T(x,0), T(x, \infty) = T_{\infty} \\
-\gamma D_{\text{inf}} \frac{\partial C}{\partial y}(x,0) &= h_c(C - C(x,0)), 0 = u(x,0), C(x, \infty) = C_{\infty},
\end{align*}
\]

Composite between hybrid nanoparticles and nanomaterials [45,46] are:

\[
\frac{\rho_{\text{inf}}}{\rho_f} = (1 - \phi_2) \left\{ (1 - \phi_1) + \frac{\phi_1 \rho_{s_1}}{\rho_f} \right\} + \frac{\phi_2 \rho_{s_2}}{\rho_f},
\]

\[
\frac{(\rho c_p)_{\text{inf}}}{(\rho c_p)_f} = (1 - \phi_2) \left\{ (1 - \phi_1) + \frac{\phi_1 (\rho c_p)_{s_1}}{(\rho c_p)_f} \right\} + \frac{\phi_2 (\rho c_p)_{s_2}}{(\rho c_p)_f},
\]

\[
\frac{\mu_{\text{inf}}}{\mu_f} = \frac{1}{(1 - \phi_1)^{2.5} (1 - \phi_2)^{2.5}}.
\]
\[
\frac{\sigma_{\text{hf}}}{\sigma_f} = \frac{\sigma_{s2} + (n-1)\sigma_f - (n-1)\sigma_2 (\sigma_f - \sigma_s2)}{\sigma_{s2} + 2\sigma_f + \varphi_1 (\sigma_f - \sigma_s2)}, \quad \frac{\sigma_f}{\sigma_f} = \frac{\sigma_{s1} + 2\sigma_f - (n-1)\varphi_1 (\sigma_f - \sigma_s1)}{\sigma_{s1} + 2\sigma_f + \varphi_1 (\sigma_f - \sigma_s1)}, \quad (9)
\]

\[
\frac{k_{\text{hf}}}{k_f} = \frac{k_{s2} + 2k_f - (n-1)\varphi_2 (k_f - k_{s2})}{k_{s2} + 2k_f + \varphi_2 (k_f - k_{s2})}, \quad \frac{k_f}{k_f} = \frac{k_{s3} + 2k_f - (n-1)\varphi_1 (k_f - k_{s3})}{k_{s3} + 2k_f + \varphi_1 (k_f - k_{s3})}, \quad (10)
\]

\[
\frac{D_{\text{hf}}}{D_f} = \frac{1}{(1 - \varphi_1)(1 - \varphi_2)}, \quad (11)
\]

Required transformations are:

\[
u = ax f'(\eta), \quad \psi = -b \sqrt{\frac{b}{f'}} y, \quad \theta(\eta) = \frac{T - T_0}{T_0 - \infty}, \quad \psi(\eta) = \frac{C - C_0}{C_0 - C_0}
\]

Hence, one gets:

\[
\frac{\nu_{\text{hf}}}{\nu_t} \left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right) f'' - \left[(f')^2 - f f''\right] + (Gr)_t \theta(\eta) + (Gr)_C \psi(\eta)
- M \left(\frac{\varphi_0}{\varphi_{\text{hf}}} \right) f' - K f'' = 0, \quad (13)
\]

\[
\left(\frac{k_{\text{hf}}}{k_f}\right) \left(\frac{\varphi_1}{\varphi_{\text{hf}}} \right) \left(\frac{C_p f}{C_p_{\text{hf}}} \right) \theta'' + \left(\frac{\nu_{\text{hf}}}{\nu_t}\right) \left(\frac{C_p f}{C_p_{\text{hf}}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right) Pr Ec (f'')^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma_{\text{hf}}}{\sigma_f}\right) \left(\frac{C_p f}{C_p_{\text{hf}}} \right) \left(\frac{\rho f}{\rho_{\text{hf}}} \right) M Ec (f'')^2 + f Pr \theta' + Pr \beta^* \theta + Pr D f \phi'' = 0, \quad (14)
\]

\[
\phi'' + Sc Sr \theta'' + Sc f \phi' = 0, \quad (15)
\]

Associated BCs are formulated as:

\[
\begin{align*}
 f'(0) &= 0, \quad \theta'(0) = \frac{\beta_1}{\tau} (1 - \theta(0)), \quad \phi'(0) = \frac{E_t}{\rho} (1 - \phi(0)), \quad f''(0) = 1
\end{align*}
\]

\[
Gr_t = \frac{a^2 \tau^2}{\rho (T_0 - T_0)} \tau, \quad (Gr)_C = \frac{g(T_0 - T_0)}{a^2 \tau}, \quad M = \frac{a \varepsilon^2}{\mu_k}, \quad K = \frac{\mu_k}{\mu_k}
\]

\[
Ec = \frac{\rho \mu f}{\rho \mu f}, \quad Pr = \frac{\mu_f}{\mu_f}, \quad \beta^* = \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_0}, \quad D_f = \frac{Dk_1 (C_0 - C_0)}{C_C (T_0 - T_0)}, \quad (17)
\]

\[
Sc = \frac{D_k (T_0 - T_0)}{v_f f_{\text{hf}} (1 - \varphi_1)(1 - \varphi_2)}, \quad Sc = \frac{v_f (1 - \varphi_1)(1 - \varphi_2)}{D_f}, \quad B_i = \frac{h_f}{k_{\text{hf}} \sqrt{\frac{b}{f'}}}. \quad \]

It is noticed that the physical magnitude reveals a dynamically mutual fluid-structure interaction at a rigid interface in which the strength of viscous frictional forces are evaluated as the trough skin friction coefficient called factor $C_f$. Surface force is also called the
skin friction coefficient. The skin friction coefficient, Nusselt number and concentration gradient are:

\[
C_f = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho U_0^2} = \frac{f''(0)}{Re^\alpha (1 - \varphi_1)^2 T (1 - \varphi_2)^{2.5}},
\]

\[
Nu = \frac{\kappa_{bf} \frac{dT}{dy} |_{y=0}}{\kappa_f (U_0 - U_1)} = -\frac{\frac{1}{Re^\alpha \kappa_{bf}} \theta'(0)},
\]

\[
Sh = \frac{\frac{1}{Re^\alpha \kappa_{bf}} \phi'(0)}{D_f (C_a - C_m)} = -\frac{\frac{1}{Pr^\beta \kappa_{bf}} \phi'(0)},
\]  

(18)

where \( Re_\alpha = \frac{4x^2}{v_f} \) is the Reynolds number. Thermo-physical properties are shown in Table 1.

Moreover, \( \tau \) is shear stress (force per unit area) applied perpendicular to the surface of the sheet.

Table 1. Values of properties of nano-structures and hybrid nano-structures.

| Physical Property | Ethylene Glycol (EG) | MOS_2 | MOS_2/SiO_2 |
|-------------------|----------------------|-------|-------------|
| \( \rho \) (Kg/m\(^3\)) | 1113.5 | 2650 | 5060 |
| \( k \) (W/(mK)) | 2430 | 730 | 397.746 |
| \( c_p \) (/kgK) | 0.253 | 1.5 | 34.5 |
| \( \sigma / (\Omega/m) \) | \( 4.3 \times 10^{-5} \) | 0.0005 | \( 1 \times 10^{-18} \) |

3. Numerical Procedure

The concept of the FEM is generated by structure mechanics. The vital role of the FEM is to handle complex geometries, unstructured grids and curved cells. An important phenomenon of the FEM is the discretization of the domain into elements. The FEM is a strong approach in terms of convergence, accuracy and stability rather than other numerical methods. Detailed discussion of the Galerkin finite element method can be found in [10,44,45].

The discretization of the problem domain into finite elements: Firstly, the domain of the current model is divided into the finite number of elements and a polynomial kind of solution is assumed over each element. Such approximation is then applied in the weak form (with the weighted residual scheme) which is derived from the strong form (Equations (13)–(16)). Therefore, the shape functions are multiplied with the residuals and integrated over the whole domain. The residuals of the current model are:

\[
\int_{\eta_c}^{\eta_{c+1}} W_1 [f'' - S] d\eta = 0, \quad (19)
\]

\[
\int_{\eta_c}^{\eta_{c+1}} W_2 \left[ \frac{\nu_{bf}}{v_f} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) S'' - \left[ (S)^2 - f S' \right] + (Gr) \gamma_1 \theta(\eta) + (Gr) \phi(\eta) \right] d\eta = 0, \quad (20)
\]

\[
\int_{\eta_c}^{\eta_{c+1}} W_3 \left[ \frac{K_{bf}}{k_f} \frac{\rho_f}{\rho_{bf}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \theta'' + Pr \beta^* \theta \right] d\eta = 0, \quad (21)
\]

\[
\int_{\eta_c}^{\eta_{c+1}} W_4 \left[ \phi'' + Sc Sr \theta'' + \frac{Sc}{(1 - \varphi_2)^{2.5} (1 - \varphi_1)^{2.5}} f \phi' \right] d\eta = 0, \quad (22)
\]
where $W_1, W_2, W_3$ and $W_4$ are called the shape functions, $f'$ is considered as $S$ for the Galerkin approach. Shape functions and variables used in the problem are:

$$
\chi_i = (-1)^{l-1} \frac{1 - \eta}{1 - \eta_i} 
$$

where $l$ is considered as 1 and 2, respectively:

$$
f = \sum_{i=1}^{2} (f_i \chi_i), \quad F = \sum_{i=1}^{2} (F_i \chi_i),
$$

$$
\theta = \sum_{i=1}^{2} (\theta_i \chi_i), \quad \phi = \sum_{i=1}^{2} (\phi_i \chi_i).
$$

**Problem domain:** The computational domain is made with the help of the problem domain while the problem domain is considered $[0, \infty)$. It is observed that asymptotic BCs are satisfied by assuming $\eta_\infty = 6$. Therefore, in this current model, the computation domain is $[0, 6]$.

**Assembly process:** This procedure plays a vital role to obtain the stiffness matrix, force vector, and integral boundary vector over the whole domain of the problem. Finally, the global stiffness matrix is simulated with the help of the assembly process. The Galerkin approach is used to find the stiffness elements that are:

$$
K_{ij}^{11} = \int_{\eta_e}^{\eta_{e+1}} \chi_i \left( \frac{d\chi_j}{d\eta} \right) d\eta, \quad K_{ij}^{12} = -\int_{\eta_e}^{\eta_{e+1}} \chi_i (\chi_j) d\eta,
$$

$$
K_{ij}^{13} = 0, \quad K_{ij}^{14} = 0, \quad K_{ij}^{23} = 0, \quad K_{ij}^{24} = 0,
$$

$$
K_{ij}^{33} = \int_{\eta_e}^{\eta_{e+1}} \left[ \left( \frac{C_{rho}}{\rho_f} \right) \left( \frac{C_{psi}}{\rho_f} \right) \frac{d\chi_i}{d\eta} \frac{d\chi_j}{d\eta} + \frac{Pr \beta^* \chi_i (\chi_j)}{Pr \beta^* \chi_i} \right] d\eta,
$$

$$
K_{ij}^{22} = \int_{\eta_e}^{\eta_{e+1}} \left[ \frac{v_{hvf}}{\eta_f} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\eta_f} \right) \frac{d\chi_i}{d\eta} \frac{d\chi_j}{d\eta} - \frac{\Sigma \chi_i \frac{d\chi_j}{d\eta} - \frac{\chi_i \frac{d\chi_j}{d\eta}}{\eta_f}}{\eta_f} \right] d\eta,
$$

$$
K_{ij}^{31} = \int_{\eta_e}^{\eta_{e+1}} \left[ \frac{v_{hvf}}{\eta_f} \left( \frac{C_{psi}}{\rho_f} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\eta_f} \right) Pr E \Sigma \chi_i \frac{d\chi_j}{d\eta} \right] d\eta,
$$

$$
K_{ij}^{32} = \int_{\eta_e}^{\eta_{e+1}} \left[ -Pr D_f \frac{d\chi_i}{d\eta} \frac{d\chi_j}{d\eta} \right] d\eta, \quad K_{ij}^{34} = 0, \quad K_{ij}^{31} = 0,
$$

$$
K_{ij}^{43} = \int_{\eta_e}^{\eta_{e+1}} \left( \frac{d\chi_j}{d\eta} \frac{d\chi_i}{d\eta} \right) d\eta, \quad K_{ij}^{51} = 0, \quad K_{ij}^{41} = 0,
$$

for the
\[
K_{ij}^{43} = -\int_{\eta_j}^{\eta_{j+1}} \left[ \frac{d\chi_j}{d\eta} \frac{d\chi_i}{d\eta} + \frac{\bar{f}_j \chi_i}{d\eta} \frac{d\chi_j}{d\eta} \right] d\eta,
\]

(35)

**Picard linearization approach**: This approach is used to convert non-linear equations to linear equations. This approach is called the linearization approach. In the current model, the Picard linearization approach is utilized to obtain the linear system of equations:

\[
\Sigma = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \chi_j \Sigma_j, \quad \bar{f} = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \chi_j \bar{f}_j.
\]

(36)

Here \(\Sigma_j\) and \(\bar{f}_j\) are nodal (unknown) values. The flow chart of this Picard linearisation approach is captured in Figure 2.

![Flow chart of Picard linearization approach](image)

**Table 3.** Comparative study of a temperature gradient with published results of Qureshi et al. [45] in the case of nanofluid.

| Number of Elements | \(\frac{\kappa_{nf}}{\kappa_{water}}\) | \(\frac{\kappa_{nf}}{\kappa_{water}}\) | \(\frac{\kappa_{nf}}{\kappa_{water}}\) |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 30                 | 0.008089866763                   | 0.01503704758                     | 0.02481424434                     |
| 60                 | 0.008692521344                   | 0.008731223985                    | 0.01864316747                     |
| 90                 | 0.008867852479                   | 0.006377768777                    | 0.01586843723                     |
| 120                | 0.008952109139                   | 0.005111048492                    | 0.01419676870                     |
| 150                | 0.009001959002                   | 0.004307605387                    | 0.01304491414                     |
| 180                | 0.009034938370                   | 0.003747398644                    | 0.01218677926                     |
| 210                | 0.009058498510                   | 0.003331898105                    | 0.01151399434                     |
| 240                | 0.009076411866                   | 0.003009949525                    | 0.01096716142                     |
| 270                | 0.009034320136                   | 0.003009949525                    | 0.01096716142                     |
| 300                | 0.009094305031                   | 0.003010348213                    | 0.01092535030                     |

The Picard approach is used to simulate the heat conduction problems related to the local fractional. This approach is also used to determine the non-differential solution of the heat equation in view of the fractal media and non-differential solution. One of the most vital advantages is that it converts highly non-linear equations into linear equations. The engineering and heat transfer problems are associated with PDEs (partial differential equations). The Picard approach is used to simulate such equations in terms of the engineering and industrial fields.

**Error analysis**: The error analysis is simulated by the following equation that is:

\[
Error = \left| \tau^i - \tau^{i-1} \right|,
\]

(37)
and convergence criteria is mentioned as:

$$\text{Max} \left| t^i - t^{i-1} \right| < 10^{-5}. \quad (38)$$

**Meshfree analysis:** In this analysis, convergence analysis is tested in terms of a grid-independent study. This analysis is carried out in Table 2. The simulations are recorded at the mid of each element. The solution converges after the simulations of 300 elements. Hence, 300 elements are required for convergence of the problem. The mesh-free analysis is known as a grid-independent study. The outcomes are recorded of \( f \left( \eta_{\text{max}}^2 \right) \), \( \theta \left( \eta_{\text{max}}^2 \right) \) and \( \phi \left( \eta_{\text{max}}^2 \right) \) at the mid of each 300 elements. Hence, the solution of the problem is converged at 300 elements. Table 3 reveals the comparative results of the Nusselt number with published work [40].

Table 2. Mesh-free analysis of \( f \left( \eta_{\text{max}}^2 \right) \), \( \theta \left( \eta_{\text{max}}^2 \right) \) and \( \phi \left( \eta_{\text{max}}^2 \right) \) at the mid of the computational domain [0, 6].

| Number of Elements | \( f \left( \eta_{\text{max}}^2 \right) \) | \( \theta \left( \eta_{\text{max}}^2 \right) \) | \( \phi \left( \eta_{\text{max}}^2 \right) \) |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 30                | 0.008089966763    | 0.01503704758     | 0.02481424434     |
| 60                | 0.008692521344    | 0.008731223985    | 0.01864316747     |
| 90                | 0.008867852479    | 0.00637768777     | 0.01586843723     |
| 120               | 0.008952109139    | 0.005111048492    | 0.01419676870     |
| 150               | 0.009001959002    | 0.004307605387    | 0.01304491414     |
| 180               | 0.009034938370    | 0.003747398644    | 0.01218677926     |
| 210               | 0.009054898510    | 0.003331898105    | 0.01151399434     |
| 240               | 0.009076111866    | 0.003009949525    | 0.01096716142     |
| 270               | 0.009034320136    | 0.003009949525    | 0.01096716142     |
| 300               | 0.009094305031    | 0.003010348213    | 0.01092535030     |

Comparative study: It is observed that the results of the present problem are simulated with the help of the finite element method. Table 3 reveals a validation of results in view of the Nusselt number for the case of nanofluid. In Table 3, published results [47] are simulated by a shooting approach whereas these results are verified with the finite element method. Table 4. Shows the comparative study of FEM and shooting method.

Table 3. Comparative study of a temperature gradient with published results of Qureshi et al. [45] in the case of nanofluid.

|          | present results |          |
|----------|-----------------|----------|
| Nusselt number | Nusselt number     |          |
| 0.68    | 0.68           | 0.681052103137 |
| \( k_{nf} \) | 0.72141 | 0.723331807103 |
| 0.82458 | 0.824720819103 |

Comparative study: It is observed that the results of the present problem are simulated with the help of the finite element method. Table 3 reveals a validation of results in view of the Nusselt number for the case of nanofluid. In Table 3, published results [47] are simulated by a shooting approach whereas these results are verified with the finite element method. Table 4. Shows the comparative study of FEM and shooting method.

Table 4. Comparative numerical values of Nusselt number with shooting method for nanofluid.

|          |                 |          |
|----------|----------------|----------|
| Shooting Method [47] | Finite Element Method |          |
| \( Nu \) | \( Nu \)        |          |
| 0.9391  | 0.93890213031  |          |
| \( k_{nf} \) | 1.06696 | 1.06691013039 |
| 0.82458 | 0.824720819103 |          |
4. Outcomes and Discussion

Flow analysis: The effects of pertinent physical parameters are displayed in Figures 3–16. This parameter is defined as the ratio between the viscous force and buoyancy force.

Variation in the velocity of nanofluids under the influences of \((Gr)_t\) is presented in Figure 3. Figure 3 reveals that the velocity of the nanofluids is an increasing function of \((Gr)_t\) and the reason for this is that \((Gr)_t\) increases, it loosens up the intermolecular forces within the nanofluids and thereby enhances the velocity of the nanofluids. Figure 4 displays the behavior of \((Gr)_t\) on the nanofluids’ temperature. It is observed in Figure 4 that the temperature of the nanofluids’ has a direct relation with \((Gr)_t\), which is due to an enhancement in the nanofluids’ volumetric expansion. The effect of \(K\) on the velocity of nanofluids is displayed in Figure 5. Figure 5 reports that the velocity of the nanofluids is a decreasing function of \(K\). Physically, \(K\) enhances the resistance to nanofluids causing a reduced velocity of the nanofluids. Figure 6 demonstrates the impact of \(\beta\) (this parameter appears due to using a Casson liquid in the flow analysis) on the velocity profile of the nanofluids. It is observed in Figure 6 that the velocity of the nanofluids is a decreasing function of \(\beta\). Physically, when \(\beta\) is added to the nanofluids, the friction between particles slows. An inverse proportional relation is addressed among the Casson number and the motion of fluid particles. In Figures 1–4, it is noted that the velocity profiles of the hybrid nanofluid \((MOS_2 – SiO_2)\) is higher than the nanofluid \((MOS_2)\). Figures 5 and 6 elucidate the impacts of the magnetic field (the magnetic field is called the vector field near the region of the electric field in forces related to where the magnetic field is observed) on the velocity and temperature of nanofluids. It is noted in Figures 5 and 6 that velocity is enhanced with an increase in the magnetic field, while an opposite trend is found in the temperature profile. Physically, the Lorentz force produced due to the magnetic field is in the same direction of the nanofluids’ motion, so the flow boundary layer thickness increases and the thermal boundary layer decreases. Figure 5 also shows that the velocity of the nanofluid \((MOS_2)\) is higher when compared to the hybrid nanofluid \((MOS_2 – SiO_2)\), while an opposite trend is noted in the temperature profile (Figure 6).

Temperature analysis: Figure 7 demonstrates the effect of \(Ec\) (this parameter expresses ration among the enthalpy difference and the flow’s kinetic energy) on the temperature of nanofluids. It is observed from Figure 7, that \(Ec\) has an inverse relation with the temperature profile. The reason for this is that the heat transfer rate at the surface increases, thus, the thermal boundary layer thickness decreases with increases in the numerical value of \(Ec\). Figure 8 depicts the impact of \(\beta\) on the temperature of nanofluids. The temperature of nanofluids decreases with the increase of \(\beta\). The external heat source has an important impact on the temperature gradient of nanofluids, resulting in a decrement in both the thermal state of the nanofluid and temperature distribution. The variation effect of \(Bi\) on the nanofluids’ temperature is plotted in Figure 9. Figure 9 shows that the temperature of the nanofluids’ increases with an increase in the \(Bi\). Physically, the temperature gradient near the surface increases when increasing the numerical values of \(Bi\) and hence the thickness of the thermal boundary layer increases. Figure 10 reveals the variation in temperature with the effect of \(Pr\) (fractional between momentum to thermal boundary layers). It is noted from Figure 10 that the temperature of the nanofluids’ decreases as the numerical value of \(Pr\) is increased. This occurred because the thermal conductivity of the nanofluids’ decreased with an increase in the \(Pr\). Figures 7–10 report that the temperature profile is greater in the case of the hybrid nanofluid \((MOS_2 – SiO_2)\). The impact of \(Sr\) on the concentration profile is depicted in Figure 11. It is observed from Figure 11 that \(Sr\) has a direct relation with the concentration profile. The reason for this is that the \(Sr\) impact refers to the mass flux from a lower to greater solute concentration produced by the temperature gradient. The variation in the concentration profile under the influences of \(Sc\) is presented in Figure 12. It is observed in Figure 12 that the concentration profile decreased with an increased numerical value of \(Sc\) (ratio between mass diffusivity and momentum diffusivity). Physically, the kinematic viscosity increases with an increase in the \(Sc\) which turns the molecular diffusion, therefore, the velocity of the nanofluids’ decreases.
Concentration analysis: Figure 13 shows that the concentration profile increases versus the higher values of $Sr$. Figure 14 reveals a decreasing trend into solute particles when $Sc$ is increased. The role of $Ei$ versus concentration is observed in Figure 15 while the concentration increases. The reason for this is that as $Ei$ increases, mass diffusivity decreases and as a result of the concentration of nanofluids increases. Figure 16 reveals the variation of the concentration profile under the influences of $a$. It is noted from Figure 16 that the concentration profile has an inverse relation with $a$.

Analysis of the Sherwood, skin friction coefficient and Nusselt number: Table 5 presents the surface force, Nusselt number and rate of mass diffusion for ($MOS_2 - SiO_2$) and nanofluid ($MOS_2$). The following remarks have been noted from Table 5. Under the effect of $Ei$ The local skin friction coefficient, Nusselt number and Sherwood number is higher in the case of the hybrid nanofluid ($MOS_2 - SiO_2$). Surface force is more significant in the case of the hybrid nanofluid ($MOS_2 - SiO_2$) with the effect of $Bi$ and $(Gr)$. Under the effects of $Bi$ and $(Gr)$, the rate of heat transfer is higher in the nanofluid ($MOS_2$) as compared to the hybrid nanofluid ($MOS_2 - SiO_2$).

Figure 3. Velocity profile for $(Gr)_c$ when $Ha^2 = 0.2$, $Pr = 2.36$, $Ec = 0.01$, $Sc = 0.8$, $Sr = 0.1$, $K = 0.1$, $Bi = 0.05$, and $β^* = 0.1$.

Figure 4. Variation in velocity for $(Gr)_t$ when $M = 0.2$, $Pr = 2.36$, $Ec = 0.01$, $Sc = 0.8$, $Sr = 0.1$, $K = 0.1$, $Bi = 0.05$, and $β^* = 0.1$. 
Figure 4. Variation in velocity for $(G_r)^1$ when $M = 0.2$, $Pr = 2.36$, $Ec = 0.01$, $Sc = 0.8$, $Sr = 0.1$, $Bi = 0.05$, and $\beta^* = 0.1$.

Figure 5. Variation in velocity for $K$ when $Ha = 0.2$, $Pr = 2.36$, $Ec = 0.01$, $Sc = 0.8$, $Sr = 0.1$, $Bi = 0.05$, and $\beta^* = 0.1$.

Figure 6. Variation in velocity for $\beta$ when $Pr = 2.36$, $Ec = 0.01$, $Sc = 0.8$, $Sr = 0.1$, $Bi = 0.05$, and $\beta^* = 0.1$.

Figure 7. Variation in velocity for $M$ when $Pr = 2.36$, $Ec = 0.01$, $Sc = 0.8$, $Sr = 0.1$, $Bi = 0.05$, and $\beta^* = 0.1$. 
Figure 7. Variation in velocity for $M$ when $Pr = 2.36, Ec = 0.01, Sc = 0.8, Sr = 0.1, Bi = 0.05, \beta^* = 0.1.$

Figure 8. Variation in temperature for $M$ when $Pr = 2.36, Ec = 0.01, Sc = 0.8, (Gr) c = 0.1, K = 0.1, Bi = 0.05, \beta^* = 0.1, \text{and } Sr = 0.1.$

Figure 9. Variation in temperature for $Ec$ when $M = 0.2, Pr = 2.36, Sr = 0.1, Sc = 0.8, (Gr) c = 0.1, K = 0.1, Bi = 0.05, \beta^* = 0.1.$

Figure 10. Variation in temperature for $\beta$ when $M = 0.2, Pr = 2.36, Sr = 0.1, Sc = 0.8, (Gr) c = 0.1, K = 0.1, Bi = 0.05, \beta^* = 0.1.$
Figure 10. Variation in temperature for $\beta$ when $M = 0.2$, $Pr = 2.36$, $Sr = 0.1$, $Sc = 0.8$, $(Gr)_c = 0.1$, $K = 0.1$, and $\beta^* = 0.1$.

Figure 11. Variation in temperature for $B_i$ when $M = 0.2$, $Pr = 2.36$, $Sr = 0.1$, $Sc = 0.8$, $(Gr)_c = 0.1$, $K = 0.1$, and $\beta^* = 0.1$.

Figure 12. Variation in temperature for $Pr$ when $M = 0.2$, $Sr = 0.1$, $Sc = 0.8$, $(Gr)_c = 0.1$, $K = 0.1$, and $\beta^* = 0.1$.

Figure 13. Variation in concentration for $Sr$ when $M = 0.3$, $Pr = 2.5$, $Ec = 0.3$, $Sc = 0.3$, $(Gr)_c = 0.1$, $K = 0.1$, $B_i = 0.3$, and $\beta^* = 0.5$. 
Figure 13. Variation in concentration for $S_r$ when $M = 0.3$, $Pr = 2.5$, $E_c = 0.3$, $S_c = 0.3$, $(Gr)_c = 0.1$, $K = 0.1$, $B_i = 0.3$, and $\beta^* = 0.5$.

Figure 14. Variation in concentration for $S_c$ when $M = 0.2$, $Pr = 2.36$, $S_r = 0.1$, $(Gr)_c = 0.1$, $K = 0.1$, $B_i = 0.05$, and $\beta^* = 0.1$.

Figure 15. Variation in concentration for $E_i$ when $M = 0.2$, $Pr = 2.36$, $S_r = 0.1$, $S_c = 0.8$, $(Gr)_c = 0.1$, $K = 0.1$, and $\beta^* = 0.1$.

Figure 16. Variation in concentration for $\alpha$ when $M = 0.2$, $Pr = 2.36$, $S_r = 0.1$, $S_c = 0.8$, $(Gr)_c = 0.1$, $K = 0.1$, and $\beta^* = 0.1$. 
Table 5. Local skin friction coefficient, Nusselt number and Sherwood number for hybrid nanofluid ($\text{MOS}_2-\text{SiO}_2$) and nanofluid ($\text{MOS}_2$) when $M = 0.2$, $Pr = 2.36$, $Sr = 0.1$, $Sc = 0.8$, $(Gr)_c = 0.1$, $K = 0.1$, and $\beta^* = 0.1$.

|       | Hybrid Nanofluid ($\text{MOS}_2-\text{SiO}_2$) | Nanofluid ($\text{MOS}_2$) |
|-------|---------------------------------|------------------|
|       | $Re^2 C_f$  | $Re^{-1/2} Nu$ | $Re^{-1/2} Sh$ | $Re^2 C_f$  | $Re^{-1/2} Nu$ | $Re^{-1/2} Sh$ |
| $E_i$ | 0.2         | 0.797275      | 0.584809       | 0.471631    | 0.366215      | 0.515542       | 0.392980       |
|       | 0.3         | 0.781452      | 0.582914       | 0.553971    | 0.361498      | 0.513625       | 0.437901       |
|       | 0.4         | 0.775078      | 0.582143       | 0.587303    | 0.358824      | 0.512534       | 0.463449       |
|       | 0.6         | 0.813044      | 0.696015       | 0.702877    | 0.359494      | 0.612130       | 0.591962       |
| $B_i$ | 0.4         | 0.831321      | 0.565637       | 0.360010    | 0.747694      | 0.623337       | 0.346218       |
|       | 0.5         | 0.829379      | 0.782424       | 0.382862    | 0.737070      | 0.645848       | 0.344631       |
|       | 0.6         | 0.810632      | 0.602874       | 0.357482    | 0.729593      | 0.661806       | 0.343501       |
|       | 0.8         | 0.799329      | 0.623448       | 0.356074    | 0.746463      | 0.832262       | 0.372349       |
| $(Gr)_i$ | 0.0         | 1.097611      | 0.564346       | 0.350003    | 0.959086      | 0.618957       | 0.336153       |
|       | 0.1         | 0.954371      | 0.576780       | 0.354613    | 0.862183      | 0.633571       | 0.340746       |
|       | 0.2         | 0.819197      | 0.587380       | 0.358536    | 0.737070      | 0.645848       | 0.346631       |
|       | 0.3         | 0.690322      | 0.596671       | 0.361961    | 0.617928      | 0.656470       | 0.348014       |

5. Key Points of the Problem

The presented model, related to thermo-physical correlations, is developed for selected characteristics of heat and mass diffusions under the influence of viscous and Joule heating, and temperature and concentration gradients. The theoretical concepts are implemented utilizing the finite element method, with a comprehensive parametric study also presented. The following outcomes are crucial:

- Momentum boundary thickness is decreased against a variation in a magnetic field.
- The adjusting of BLT is controlled by varying the magnitude of the magnetic number.
- The fluid magnetic field interactions with hybrid nanofluid particles are more significant than the magnetic fluid interaction in the case of the nanofluid. Therefore, Joule heating in the hybrid nanofluid is more significant than that in the nanofluid.
- The role of dissipation of the thermal energy and heat generation is helpful for an enhancement in thermal performance. Further, BLT is inclined versus the variation of dissipation of thermal energy and heat generation.
- The rate of solute particles is inclined versus enhancement in the Soret number.
- In the porous medium, drag force exists due to the flow end; hence the convective transfer of heat and mass is compromised.
- Maximum production of heat energy is achieved for the case of hybrid nanoparticles rather than the production of heat energy for nanoparticles.
- Maximum acceleration is produced in the motion of particles for hybrid nanoparticles rather than the case of nanoparticles.
- Temperature and concentration gradients are significantly boosted for hybrid nanoparticles rather than nanoparticles.
- Convergence of the problem is obtained for 300 elements.
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Nomenclature

Symbols Used for
\( v, u \) Velocities
\( \mu \) Fluid viscosity
\( \mu_y \) Yield stress
\( \beta \) Casson fluid number
\( T, T_\infty \) Temperature and ambient temperature
\( \sigma \) Electrical conductivity
\( C_p \) Specific heat capacitance
\( k_1 \) Porosity number
\( D \) Mass diffusion
\( b_f \) Base fluid
\( \gamma \) Thermal slip
\( \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi \) Volume fractions
\( C_\infty \) Ambient concentration
\( (Gr)_c \) Grashof number
\( \infty \) Infinity
\( Pr \) Prandtl number
\( M \) Magnetic number
\( D_f \) Dufour number
\( Sr \) Soret number
\( a \) Stretching rate in x-direction
\( Nu \) Nusselt number
\( \tau \) Shear stress
\( y, x \) Space coordinates
\( T_w \) Wall temperature
\( \text{PDEs} \) Partial differential equations
\( g \) Gravitational force
\( \theta, \phi \) Dimensionless temperature and concentration
\( B_0 \) Magnetic field
\( k \) Thermal conductivity
\( \text{ODEs} \) Ordinary differential equations
\( C_s \) Concentration susceptibility
\( D_m \) Dufour number
\( h f_f, n_f \) Hybrid nanofluid and nanofluid
\( s_1, s_2 \) Solid particles
\( (Gr)_i \) Grashof number
\( \eta \) Independent variable
\( Ec \) Eckert number
\( \beta^* \) Heat generation number
\( Sh \) Sherwood number
\( C_f \) Skin friction coefficient
\( Re \) Reynolds number
\( Sc \) Schmidt number
\( EG \) Ethylene glycol
\( \text{BLA} \) Boundary layer approximation
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