Inhibiting Glutamate Activity during Consolidation Suppresses Age-Related Long-Term Memory Impairment in Drosophila

**HIGHLIGHTS**

- Expression of the Eaat1 glutamate transporter increases during memory consolidation.
- This suggests that memory consolidation requires reduced glutamate signaling.
- Aged flies have reduced long-term memory and reduced Eaat1 induction.
- Increasing Eaat1 or inhibiting glutamate activity improves memory in aged flies.

Matsuno et al., iScience 15, 55–65 May 31, 2019 © 2019 The Authors.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2019.04.014
Inhibiting Glutamate Activity during Consolidation Suppresses Age-Related Long-Term Memory Impairment in *Drosophila*

Motomi Matsuno,¹ Junjiro Horiuchi,¹ Kyoko Ofusa,¹ Tomoko Masuda,¹ and Minoru Saitoe¹,²,*

**SUMMARY**

In *Drosophila*, long-term memory (LTM) formation requires increases in glial gene expression. Klingon (Klg), a cell adhesion molecule expressed in both neurons and glia, induces expression of the glial transcription factor, Repo. However, glial signaling downstream of Repo has been unclear. Here we demonstrate that Repo increases expression of the glutamate transporter, EAAT1, and EAAT1 is required during consolidation of LTM. The expressions of Klg, Repo, and EAAT1 decrease upon aging, suggesting that age-related impairments in LTM are caused by dysfunction of the Klg-Repo-EAAT1 pathway. Supporting this idea, overexpression of Repo or EAAT1 rescues age-associated impairments in LTM. Pharmacological inhibition of glutamate activity during consolidation improves LTM in *klg* mutants and aged flies. Altogether, our results indicate that LTM formation requires glial-dependent inhibition of glutamate signaling during memory consolidation, and aging disrupts this process by inhibiting the Klg-Repo-EAAT1 pathway.

**INTRODUCTION**

In many organisms including *Drosophila*, aging is associated with decreased memory, a phenomenon known as age-related memory impairment (AMI). In *Drosophila*, AMI consists of decreases in two types of memory, a short-lasting, middle-term memory (MTM), which can be measured 1 h after training (Tamura et al., 2003; Tully et al., 1990), and long-term memory (LTM), which can be measured 24 h after spaced training, repeated training with rest intervals in between trainings (Mery, 2007; Tully et al., 1994). Previous studies have identified several causes of age-related impairments in MTM (MTM-AMI), including reductions in polyamine amounts (Gupta et al., 2013), altered insulin signaling (Tanabe et al., 2017), and reductions in amounts of the neuromodulator D-serine (Yamazaki et al., 2014). However, the causes of age-related impairments in LTM (LTM-AMI) are still largely unknown (Tonoki and Davis, 2015). It is also unclear whether AMI defects in MTM are related to or independent of defects in LTM.

LTM formation requires *de novo* transcription and translation. Although transcription and translation in neurons has been relatively well studied, LTM also requires glial transcription mediated by a glia-specific transcription factor, Repo (Matsuno et al., 2015). Repo had previously been identified as a factor required for glial differentiation during development (Halter et al., 1995; Xiong et al., 1994; Yuasa et al., 2003), but its amounts also increase in adults after spaced training, and it is required acutely in astrocytic glia for LTM formation. Training-dependent increases in Repo require the cell-adhesion molecule Klingon (Klg), which is expressed in both neurons and glia and is proposed to be involved in neuron-glial communication (Matsuno et al., 2015; Matsuno et al., 2009). However, factors downstream of Repo that regulate LTM remained unexplored.

A recent report suggests that in the human brain, glial cells show larger changes in gene expression upon aging than neurons (Soreq et al., 2017). With age, glial cells lose their regional identity, whereas neuronal patterns are preserved. How these changes affect LTM-AMI has been unclear. In this study, we identify the glial glutamate transporter EAAT1 (Soustelle et al., 2002) as a downstream effector of Klg and Repo, required for LTM. We also show that the expressions of Klg, Repo, and EAAT1 decrease upon aging, and overexpression of Repo or EAAT1 restores normal LTM in aged flies. Consistent with the role of EAAT1 in reducing glutamate amounts in the synaptic cleft (Rival et al., 2004, 2006), we find that pharmacological inhibition of glutamate signaling after spaced training also suppresses LTM impairments in aged flies. These results indicate that glutamate signaling needs to be inhibited during memory consolidation and that age-related reductions in LTM are caused by the inability of aged glia to decrease glutamate activity during consolidation.
RESULTS
Impaired Repo Expression in Aged Flies Causes Age-Related Impairments in LTM
Age-related impairments in MTM are ameliorated by hypomorphic mutations in DC0, the gene encoding the catalytic subunit of PKA in Drosophila (Yamazaki et al., 2007). To determine whether age-related impairments in LTM occur through a similar mechanism, we examined memory 24 h after spaced training in both young (3- to 5-day-old) and aged (25-day-old) DC0<sup>P3</sup>/+ flies. Memory 24 h after spaced training consists of two types, protein synthesis-dependent LTM, which decreases upon aging, and anesthesia-resistant memory (ARM), which is unaffected by aging (Mery, 2007; Tully et al., 1994; see also Isabel et al., 2004). Previously, we demonstrated that DC0<sup>P3</sup>/+ mutations improve ARM in an age-independent manner (Horii et al., 2008). Consistent with this, we observed a general increase in 24-h memory in both young and aged DC0<sup>P3</sup>/+ mutants compared with wild-type (+/+), suggesting that age-related impairments in LTM occur through a mechanism independent of impairments in MTM.

We previously demonstrated that LTM formation requires activity of Klg, a homophilic cell adhesion molecule that is expressed in both neurons and glia, and Repo, a glial transcription factor induced by spaced training. Repo activation requires Klg, indicating that Klg functions upstream of Repo in the same pathway during LTM formation. To next determine whether this Klg/Repo pathway is affected by aging, we examined Klg and Repo expression in heads from young and aged flies and found that expression significantly decreased at age 25 days (Figures 1B and 1C). This suggested that decreased expression may be a cause of age-related impairments in LTM, and we next examined whether age-related LTM defects could be rescued by increasing Repo expression in aged flies using a glial-Geneswitch-GAL4 driver (glial-GS) and a UAS-repo transgene. The glial-Geneswitch-GAL4 driver induces expression of the UAS-repo transgene specifically in glial cells in the presence of an inducer, RU486. Interestingly, we found that LTM in young flies was inhibited upon strong activation of repo expression by feeding flies 0.5 mM RU486 for 3 days before spaced training, whereas leaky or low levels of repo expression in the absence of RU486 had no effects (Figure S1A). In contrast, in aged flies, LTM was unaffected by RU486-dependent repo expression and improved by leaky expression in the absence of RU486 (Figures 1D and S1C). This suggested that moderate expression of repo is sufficient to rescue age-related deficits in repo expression and LTM, whereas strong overexpression has deleterious effects. To examine this idea further, we measured Repo protein amounts in heads from young and aged wild-type flies, and from aged glialGS > repo flies expressing both leaky and RU486-dependent repo expression (Figure S1B). Aged flies showed a greater than 2-fold reduction in Repo protein amounts compared with young flies, a result consistent with the gene expression data in Figure 1C. RU486-dependent repo overexpression significantly increased Repo protein amounts, such that there was approximately 2-fold more Repo in aged glialGS > repo flies fed RU486 compared with young wild-type flies. Leaky expression, in the absence of RU486, also increased Repo in aged flies, although this increase did not reach statistical significance when compared with the amounts of Repo in aged wild-type flies. However, we note that there is also no statistical difference in Repo amounts when comparing aged glialGS > repo flies and young wild-type flies, suggesting that leaky repo expression in glialGS > repo flies can counter the effects of age-dependent reductions in Repo. Altogether, our results suggest that decreased Repo activity causes age-related impairments in LTM and that this impairment can be rescued by mild expression of repo in aged flies. We did not study the effects of klg on LTM-AMI because overexpression of klg both decreases LTM (Figure S1D) and increases lifespan (Seong et al., 2001).

EAAT1 Is a Downstream Effector of Klg/Repo Signaling
To understand how Repo contributes to LTM, we next searched for glial genes that are regulated by Repo and required for LTM. We used a directed screen in which we examined seven previously identified glial genes known to regulate behavior and physiology, loco (Granderath et al., 1999), axotactin (Yuan and Gaetzky, 1999), Eaat1 (Soustelle et al., 2002), crammer (Comas et al., 2004), Vdup1 (Mandalaywala et al., 2008), genderblind (Grosjean et al., 2008), and ebony (Suh and Jackson, 2007). To determine if these genes are regulated by Repo, we measured expression in a heat-shock-inducible repo RNAi line. We heat-shocked hs-GAL4/+; repoRNAi/+ flies for 30 min at 37°C 6 h before harvesting, compared expression to non-heat-shocked controls, and found that expression of genderblind, crammer, and Eaat1 were significantly reduced (Figure S2A). We next examined the expression of these three genes 24 h after spaced training and found significant increases in Eaat1 and crammer, but not genderblind (Figures 2A and S2B). As crammer is expressed in neurons, including the mushroom bodies (MBs), as well as glia (Comas et al., 2004), we decided to focus on Eaat1, which is only expressed in glia (Soustelle et al., 2002).
When we measured Eaat1 mRNA transcripts after different training protocols, we found significant increases in expression after spaced training, which produces LTM, but not after massed training, which produces ARM (Figure 2A).

**Drosophila** EAAT1 is the only high-affinity glutamate transporter in flies and is predominantly expressed in astrocyte-like glia (Rival et al., 2006). Nine Repo-binding sites are found in **Eaat1** gene region (Kerr et al., 2014), and its expression is disrupted in repo mutants (Soustelle et al., 2002). Thus, to next determine whether the increase in Eaat1 expression after training occurs through KlglRepo signaling, we examined whether this increase is prevented by a dominant-negative Repo. In

---

**Figure 1. Increased Repo Expression in Glia Improves LTM in Aged Flies**

(A) DC0^{def}/+ mutants show normal reductions in LTM upon aging. Wild-type flies (+/+) show a characteristic reduction in memory 24 h after spaced training upon aging. Three-day-old flies were used for young flies, and 25-day-old flies were used for aged flies. DC0^{def}/+ mutants show a similar age-dependent reduction. The increased baseline memory seen in DC0^{def}/+ mutants is due to increased ARM in these mutants. **p < 0.01 compared with appropriate young flies. Numbers in bar graphs indicate sample sizes.

(B) Klgl expression decreases upon aging in wild-type fly heads as assayed by qPCR. ***p < 0.001.

(C) Repo expression decreases upon aging in wild-type fly heads as assayed by qPCR. *p < 0.01.

(D) Increasing glial expression of repo rescues age-related impairments in LTM. repo was overexpressed using leaky expression from the glial-GS driver in the absence of RU486 in a UAS-repo/+; glial-GS-GAL4/+ line. Weak overexpression was sufficient to rescue age-related impairments in LTM, whereas strong overexpression inhibited LTM in both young and aged flies (see Figure S1). ****p < 0.0001 compared with appropriate young flies. Data are represented as means ± SEMs.
Different constructs, we expressed GFP; glial-GS-GAL4/+ or UAS-Eaat1 is sufficient to rescue these defects, whereas expression of an unrelated protein, GFP (Figure 2C). Furthermore, increased expression 24 h after spaced training in glial cells is sufficient to inhibit LTM (Matsuno et al., 2015). Expression of an unrelated protein, GFP, has no effects, whereas we found that glial expression of Eaat1 is sufficient to rescue these defects (Figures 2E and 2F). Furthermore, similar LTM improvement also occurs with the overexpression of Eaat1 in a repoEX84 mutant background (Figure S3B). As Eaat1 clears glutamate from extracellular spaces, our results suggest that the function of glial transcription and translation is to reduce glutamate activity after spaced training during LTM consolidation.

Defects in Eaat1 Induction Causes LTM-AMI
As the expressions of klg and repo decrease with age, our results raised the possibility that decreased amounts of Eaat1 during consolidation may be a cause of age-related impairments in LTM. Eaat1 expression after spaced training was significantly reduced in 25-day-old flies compared with 3-day-old flies (Figure 3A). This decrease was rescued by overexpression of repo in glial cells. As repo overexpression rescues both age-related LTM defects and decreases in Eaat1 expression, we next examined whether Eaat1 overexpression may be sufficient to rescue age-related LTM defects. Control flies showed decreased LTM at age 25 days, whereas glial-GS/UAS-Eaat1 flies, which overexpress Eaat1 in glia, maintained young memory levels at this age (Figure 3B). This suggests that the primary cause of age-related impairments in LTM is an inability of aged flies to reduce glutamate signaling during memory consolidation.

We previously demonstrated that Repo needs to be expressed in astrocyte-like glia for LTM (Matsuno et al., 2015). Eaat1 is also expressed specifically in astrocyte-like glia. To determine the glial subtype where Eaat1 needs to be expressed to suppress age-related impairments in LTM, we expressed Eaat1 in both ensheathing glia (from the MZ20709-Gal4 driver) (Doherty et al., 2009) or in astrocyte-like glia (from the Eaat1-Gal4 driver) and measured LTM at young and old ages. When Eaat1 was overexpressed in astrocyte-like glia, age-related impairments in LTM were significantly suppressed in aged flies (Figure 3C). In contrast,
LTM was significantly reduced in aged control flies and in aged flies in which Eaat1 was overexpressed in ensheathing glia. Thus, similar to Repo, Eaat1 needed to be expressed during memory consolidation.

Inhibiting Glutamate-Activity during Consolidation Rescues LTM in Aged Flies and klg Mutants

EAAT1 clears glutamate from synaptic areas, preventing neural over-excitation and glutamate toxicity (Rival et al., 2004, 2006). If EAAT1 works similarly during LTM consolidation, artificial inhibition of neuronal or glutamate activity may bypass the requirement for EAAT1 and restore normal LTM to klg mutants, repo mutants, and aged wild-type flies. To test this possibility, we next examined the effects of various pharmacological agents, including riluzole, an anti-excitotoxic agent (Rival et al., 2004), two NMDAR antagonists, memantine (Wang et al., 2012) and MK801 (Tomita et al., 2015; Miyashita et al., 2012), and (s)-4C3HPG, a mGluR1 antagonist/mGluR2 agonist (Zhang et al., 1999) on LTM formation. Significantly, feeding flies riluzole during the 24-h period between spaced training and testing rescued LTM defects in klg mutants (Figure 4A) and improved LTM in aged wild-type flies (Figure 4B). Feeding flies memantine during consolidation also had similar effects, increasing LTM in klg and repo mutants and in aged wild-type flies (Figures 4C, 4D, and S4A). If aged flies were fed memantine for the same amount of time before training, LTM was not improved (Figure 4E), suggesting that glutamate activity needs to be inhibited specifically during consolidation. Another NMDA receptor (NMDAR) antagonist, MK801 also improved
Figure 4. Inhibiting Glutamate Activity during Consolidation Rescues LTM in klg Mutants and Aged Flies

(A and B) Feeding flies 1 mM riluzole, an anti-excitotoxic agent, immediately after spaced training significantly rescues the LTM in both klg mutants (A) and aged flies (B). *p < 0.05 and ***p < 0.001.

(C and D) Feeding flies memantine (20 μg/mL), an NMDAR antagonist, after spaced training significantly rescues LTM in klg mutants (C) and aged flies (D). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

(E) Administration of memantine before spaced training did not rescue LTM impairments in aged flies. **p < 0.01.

(F and G) Feeding (s)-4C3HPG (100μM), a mGluR1 antagonist/mGluR2 agonist, after spaced training significantly rescues LTM in klg mutants (F) and aged flies (G). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ****p < 0.0001.

(H and I) Feeding D-serine (1mM), a coagonist of NMDARs, after spaced training did not rescue the LTM in either klg mutants (H) or aged flies (I). *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01. In (H), two-way ANOVA indicates significant effects of D-serine feeding (F(1,28) = 5.645, p = 0.0246), suggesting that increasing NMDAR activity during LTM consolidation inhibits LTM.

Data are represented as means ± SEMs.
LTM (Figures S4B–S4D). (S)-4C3HPG had similar effects to memantine, MK801, and riluzole when fed during consolidation (Figures 4F and 4G). In contrast, feeding flies D-serine, an NMDAR coactivator that suppresses age-related impairments in 1-h memory (Yamazaki et al., 2014), did not improve LTM in klg mutants or in aged flies (Figures 4H and 4I). Instead, D-serine feeding during consolidation tended to attenuate LTM in young wild-type flies, suggesting that increasing NMDAR activity during consolidation may inhibit LTM. Taken together, these results suggest that EAAT1-dependent inhibition of glutamate activity is necessary for LTM consolidation and aged flies have a deficiency in this process.

DISCUSSION

Changes in glial transcription due to neuronal activity have been studied previously (Hasel et al., 2017; Muthukumar et al., 2014; Steward et al., 1991), but a specific role of glial transcription in LTM has been less characterized. Expression of the glial transcription factor, Repo, increases shortly after spaced training, and this increase is required for LTM formation (Matsuno et al., 2015). In this report, we identified Eaat1 as a Repo-regulated glial gene required for LTM consolidation. Eaat1 encodes a glial glutamate transporter that removes glutamate from synaptic sites and transports it into astrocytes (Besson et al., 1999; Kanai, 1997; Takahashi et al., 1997). Thus, our data indicate that glutamate signaling needs to be inhibited during LTM consolidation.

To identify Eaat1, we screened various genes regulating glial physiology for altered expression during LTM formation and found that expression of Eaat1 and crammer increase after spaced training. As Eaat1, but not crammer, is expressed exclusively in glia (Soustelle et al., 2002), we focused on Eaat1 as a likely Repo-regulated gene. Indeed, spaced-training-induced increases in EAAT1 depend on Repo and Klg activity. Interestingly, expression of the glial gene, genderblind, which encodes another glial glutamate transporter (Grosjean et al., 2008), required Repo activity for expression, but was not affected by spaced training, suggesting that other transcriptional regulatory factors besides Repo are likely necessary to differentially regulate genes required for memory consolidation from those required for other glial functions.

Because we only screened selected genes, it is possible that Repo induces the expression of other unidentified genes after spaced training. However, somewhat unexpectedly, we found that overexpression of Eaat1 alone in glial cells is sufficient to rescue the LTM defects of klg and repo mutants. This indicates that the major function of the Klg/Repo signaling pathway is to induce glial expression of Eaat1. It further suggests that one function of astrocytes is to decrease glutamate signaling during LTM consolidation.

Combined with results from previous studies, our work identifies a putative pathway linking neuronal activity to glial inhibition of glutamate signaling. In flies, the homophilic cell adhesion molecule, Klingon, is expressed in both neurons and glia, and needs to be expressed in both cell types for normal LTM (Matsuno et al., 2015). Repo expression normally increases after spaced training, whereas it fails to do so in klg mutants, indicating that Klg-mediated neuron-glia communication is necessary for this increase (Matsuno et al., 2015). Thus, we propose that spaced training increases neuronal activity, which induces signaling to glia via the cell adhesion molecule Klg. This results in increased Repo activity in glia, which increases Eaat1 expression, and subsequently decreases glutamate signaling.

Previous work from various groups including ours has shown that glutamate signaling through NMDA-type receptors (NRs) is necessary for learning and memory. Overexpression of NRs in mice enhances learning and memory formation (Kalev-Zylinska et al., 2009; Tang et al., 1999), and we and others have shown that glial production of D-serine, a neuromodulator that functions as a coactivator of NRs, is necessary for short-lasting memory (Billard, 2013; Yamazaki et al., 2014). In our current study, we focus on glutamate activity specifically during memory consolidation, instead of during initial learning and memory formation. Considering our current findings with those of previous studies, we propose that NR-dependent glutamate signaling needs to be initially high, during formation of short-lasting memories, but low during a later phase where short-lasting memories are consolidated into LTM. This suggests that glia play at least two roles in memory. They produce D-serine that contributes to high NR activity during memory formation and also produce EAAT1 after learning, which functions to reduce glutamate signaling during memory consolidation.

Age-related impairments in Drosophila memory do not consist of a general decrease in all forms of learning and memory, but instead consist of decreases in two specific phases of memory, MTM and LTM (Mery,
Our results suggest that both these memory effects are caused by age-related glial dysfunction. Glia in young flies are able to produce sufficient amounts of D-serine for normal MTM, whereas D-serine amounts decrease 2-fold in aged flies (Yamazaki et al., 2014). This decrease is responsible for age-related impairments in 1-h memory, because increasing glial production of D-serine, or directly feeding of D-serine to aged flies, rescues this impairment. Likewise, glial dysfunction is also responsible for age-related impairments in LTM because aged glia are unable to inhibit glutamate signaling during consolidation. Thus, in contrast to young flies, aged flies are unable to modulate glutamate activity during learning and consolidation, leading to defects in the two memory phases.

Our model that EAAT1 inhibits glutamate activity during consolidation stems from EAAT1’s role in clearing glutamate from synaptic sites and transporting it into astrocytes (Besson et al., 1999; Kanai, 1997; Takahashi et al., 1997). This model is consistent with several mammalian studies that demonstrated decreased expression of astrocytic glutamate transporters upon aging, with a consequent reduction of glutamate uptake (Pereira et al., 2017; Potier et al., 2010). Further supporting this model, we found that feeding flies memantine or MK801, NMDA receptor antagonists, after spaced training, restores normal LTM in klg mutants and restores LTM in aged flies to youthful levels. This effect requires feeding after training during the consolidation phase. We obtained similar results by feeding riluzole, a glutamate modulator, which decreases glutamate release and increases astrocytic glutamate uptake (Nagoshi et al., 2015). Riluzole has also been reported to ameliorate age-related cognitive decline in mammals (Pereira et al., 2014), suggesting that the mechanisms of AMI may be conserved between species. In contrast, we found that D-serine feeding, which rescues age-related declines in short-lasting (1-h) memory, does not improve declines in LTM, but rather attenuates it. This is consistent with our model wherein declines in short-lasting memory and LTM are caused by distinct or opposing mechanisms and glutamate signaling needs to be suppressed during consolidation. Somewhat unexpectedly, we also found that (s)-4C3HPG, the mGluR1 antagonist/mGluR2 agonist, also ameliorated age-related impairments in LTM. This result indicates that glutamate activity through both ionotropic and metabotropic glutamate receptors antagonizes memory consolidation.

Currently, we are uncertain why glutamate signaling needs to be inhibited during consolidation, but a previous study has shown that Mg$^{2+}$ block mutations in NMDA-type glutamate receptors (NRs) cause specific defects in LTM in Drosophila (Miyashita et al., 2012). Although Mg$^{2+}$ block mutations have various effects, one effect is to increase NR activity. Increased NR activity results in increased activity of dCREB2b, an inhibitory isoform of CREB. CREB-dependent gene expression is required during consolidation of LTM (Hirano et al., 2016), suggesting that consolidation may be preferentially sensitive to NR activity.

Alternatively, it is possible that neuronal activity needs to be inhibited globally during memory consolidation. Sleep is known to be important for LTM. Sleep deprivation during consolidation prevents LTM formation (Ganguly-Fitzgerald et al., 2006), whereas artificially inducing sleep after training has been reported to improve LTM (Donlea et al., 2011). Thus we also suggest a second possibility that inhibition of glutamate signaling after spaced training may be a brain-wide phenomenon that promotes consolidation by inducing the organism to sleep. Thus far, we have not detected gross alterations in sleep duration in klg and repo mutants, although this does not preclude minor disruptions in sleep quality that may not be detectable by motion-based sleep assays. Finally, we envision a third possibility wherein neuronal inhibition may be required as a neuroprotective mechanism (Lewerenz and Maher, 2015) that may be necessary to prevent cell death in neurons that were extensively stimulated during spaced training.

Mapping the glutamatergic neurons whose activity is inhibited during consolidation will be of great interest in the future. As aversive olfactory memories are formed and stored in the Drosophila MBs (Heisenberg, 2003), it is possible that specific glutamatergic MB output neurons (MBONs) are inhibited during consolidation. Several glutamatergic MBONs are involved in feedback networks with the lobes of the MBs (Aso et al., 2014a, 2014b), suggesting that altering the activity of these neurons may modulate memory consolidation and memory-associated behavioral responses.

Limitations of the Study

In our study, we demonstrate that increased expression of Eaat1 is required for LTM consolidation. Based on numerous results from other groups, we hypothesized that Eaat1 functions to reduce glutamate signaling, and we provide support for this model by demonstrating that pharmacological inhibition of glutamate signaling during consolidation improves LTM under various conditions. However, due to
technical limitations, we have not been able to actually measure glutamate concentrations at synapses during memory consolidation and thus do not know where and how much glutamate signaling has to be inhibited for optimal LTM consolidation.

METHODS
All methods can be found in the accompanying Transparent Methods supplemental file.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2019.04.014.
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Figure S1. Overexpression of repo impairs LTM. Related to Figure 1.

(A) LTM, 24 hr memory after spaced training, was impaired in glial-GS/UAS-repo flies by feeding RU486 for 3 days prior to experiments. **p<0.01 compare to RU- control.

(B) Repo amount was tend to increase by leaky expression of repo transgene from glial-GS driver. Kruskal-Wallis test indicates significant differences between samples. *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01 compare to aged +/- flies. Bottom, Representative Western blot showing amounts of Repo in heads extract from young and aged wild-type (+/+), aged RU- UAS-repo/glia1GS and aged RU+ UAS-repo/glia1GS. α-Tubulin (Tub) amounts are shown for comparison.

(C) LTM was also declined in RU486 fed aged glial-GS/UAS-repo flies as compare to RU486 non-fed flies. glial-GS/UAS-repo rescues LTM-AMI. **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001 compare to glial-GS/UAS-repo flies.

(D) Left, Klg overexpression did not affect ARM, 24 hr memory after massed training. The klg<sup>GS10439</sup> mutation (klg<sup>GS</sup>) results from an insertion of a P-UAS transposon 75 bp upstream of the proposed transcription start site (Seong et al. 2001) so that hs-GAL4 driver induces expression of klg transgene upon heat-shock (37°C for 15 min).

Right, klg overexpression disrupted LTM, 24 hr memory after spaced training. Deleterious effect to LTM was not seen with hs-GAL4 upon heat-shock (Matsuno et al., 2009). *p < 0.05 compare to hs- flies. Data are represented as means ± SEMs.
Figure S2. Brief screenings of Repo downstream genes. Related to Figure 2

(A) Relative amount of mRNA of seven candidate genes, genderblind, crammer and...
Eaat1 expressions were reduced by inducing knock down of repo or repo mutation (repo<sup>EX84</sup>). Some genes, including loco, axotaxin, and Vdup1 were only analyzed twice before being discarded as unlikely to be regulated by Repo. Because of this small sample size, we cannot conclude that expression of these genes is not affected by Repo knockdown.

(B) Changes in expression of candidate genes after spaced training. The increase in crammer becomes significant 24hr after training. **p<0.01 compared with naïve. Data are represented as means ± SEMs.

Figure S3 EAAT1 is required for LTM and functions downstream of Repo. Related to Figure 2.

(A) Acute inhibition of EAAT1 specifically disrupts LTM. The glial-GS driver was used to overexpress Eaat1 RNAi#2 upon RU feeding in a UAS-Eaat1 RNAi#2/+;glial-GS-GAL4/+ line. RU486 was fed to flies from 3 days before training until testing. ***p < 0.001 compared to RU- controls.

(B) Leaky expression of Eaat1 in glial cells rescues LTM defects of repo mutant. Weak
glial expression of *Eaat1* (*UAS-Eaat1, glialGS/repo<sup>ex84</sup>* is sufficient to rescue these defects, while expression of an unrelated protein, GFP (*UAS-GFP/+; glialGS/repo<sup>ex84</sup>) does not. *p<0.05, **p<0.01 and ****p<0.0001. Data are represented as means ± SEMs.

Figure S4 Inhibiting glutamate activity during consolidation rescues LTM in *klg* mutants, *repo* mutants and aged flies. Related to Figure 4.

(A) Feeding flies memantine (20ug/ml), an NMDAR antagonist, after spaced training significantly rescues LTM in *repo* mutants. **p<0.01 and ***p<0.001.

(B) Feeding flies 0.1mg/ml MK801, a NMDAR antagonist, immediately after spaced training significantly rescues the LTM in *repo* mutants. *p<0.05 and **p<0.01.

(C, D) Feeding flies 0.1mg/ml MK801 immediately after spaced training significantly
rescues the LTM in both *klg* mutants (C) and aged flies (D). *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 and ****p<0.0001. Data are represented as means ± SEMs.
**Transparent Methods**

*Fly stocks and genetics:*

Flies were raised under a 12h:12h, light: dark cycle at 25 degrees and 60% humidity. All flies used in this study were outcrossed to our wild-type line, w(CS10), for at least six generations. Approximately 100 flies were raised in food vials and transferred to fresh vials every 2 or 3 days. *hs-GAL4, UAS-klg RNAi (klg<sup>++</sup>), klg<sup>-</sup>, klg<sup>1026</sup> and klg<sup>20</sup>*(Matsuno et al., 2009), *repo<sup>+/-</sup>, UAS-repo RNAi (repo<sup>+/-</sup> RNAi), UAS-repo<sup>-</sup> (repo<sup>++</sup>)(UAS-repo-myc, the glial-geneswitch line, GSG3285-1 and UAS-GFP (Matsuno et al., 2015); MZ0709 (Doherty et al., 2009), *Eaat1-GAL4* and *UAS-Eaat1 RNAi (UAS-Eaat1-IRII)* (Rival et al., 2004) have all been described previously. *UAS-Eaat1<sup>oxo</sup>* was obtained from the Bloomington Stock Center (Indiana University), and UAS-*Eaat1 RNAi#2 (Eaat1<sup>oxo</sup>)* was obtained from the Vienna Drosophila RNAi Center.

*Drug treatments:*

For RU486 treatment, RU486 (mifepristone, Sigma) was dissolved in ethanol, and mixed with fly food to a final concentration of 1% ethanol and 0.5 mM RU. Flies were fed RU486 for indicated periods of time. For riluzole (Sigma), memantine (Sigma), MK801 (TOCRIS), (s)-4C3HPG (TOCRIS), and D-Serine (Wako) treatment, flies were transferred to vials containing strips of Whatman filter paper soaked with indicated concentrations of each drugs and 5% sucrose. All drugs have been previously shown to affect neuronal or behavioral activity in *Drosophila* (see references), and effective concentrations were chosen from these references. For D-ser, the only drug that did not affect LTM-AMI, the 1 mM concentration used has previously been shown to ameliorate MTM-AMI (Yamazaki et al., 2014).

*Learning and memory assays:*

Standard single-cycle conditioning was performed as previously described (Tully et al.,...
1990). 100 flies were trained to the CS+ and CS- odors, with the CS+ odor paired with electric pulses. 3-octanol [OCT] and 4-methylcyclohexanol [MCH]) were used for conditioned stimuli (CS), and 1.5 s pulses of 60V DC electric shocks were used as the unconditioned stimuli (US). A performance index (PI) was calculated so that a 50:50 distribution (no memory) yielded a PI of zero and a 0:100 distribution away from the CS+ yielded a PI of 100.

Spaced and massed training sessions were also performed as described previously (Tully et al., 1994). Spaced training consists of 10 single-cycle training sessions, with a 15 min rest intervals. Massed training consists of 10 cycles of training without any rest intervals. After training, flies were stored in an 18 degrees incubator on a 12h:12h, light:dark cycle until testing. A performance index was measured 24hr after spaced or massed training.

**Quantification of transcripts by quantitative PCR:**

Total RNA from *Drosophila* heads was extracted with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) and cDNA was synthesized using RevTraAce (ToYoBo) as described previously (Matsuno et al., 2015). Transcript amounts were quantified using real-time PCR (model 7500; Applied Biosystems). GAPDH2 and rp49 were used for normalization. Primers used were as follows:

klg-F: 5’-GGAGTGCAAGGGATCTGGTA-3’
klg-R: 5’-GCTCCAGCTTCTCCAGTGTC-3’
repo-F: 5’-ACCATTGGATCATGGCAGT-3’
repo-R: 5’-AACACATCTCATCGGGCTTC-3’
Eaat1-F: 5’-CCTCATCATAGCCGTCGATT-3’
Eaat1-R: 5’-GGCCAAATCATCTTCGACA-3’
GAPDH2-F: 5’-GCGGTAAGATTGGGTAGAC-3’
GAPDH2-R: 5’-TGAAGAGCGAAAAACAGTAGC-3’
rp49-F: 5’-AGCATACAGGCCCAAGATCGT-3’
rp49-R: 5’-CGACAGCTTAGCATATCGATCC-3’
loco-F: 5’-GACTTACTCCGCGTCTTTCCG-3’
loco-R: 5’-GCGGATTTGATCTAGACGAG-3’
axo-F: 5’-ATTGCTTTGGCACCACACTAC-3’
axo-R: 5’-TAAACGCCTGGTGAGGAG-3’
cer-F: 5’-CCTTCTCGAACCTCGATTG-3’
cer-R: 5’-CCCTGGTTTCAGATGAGGAG-3’
Vdup1-F: 5’-CTGACCTCCACCAACACAGA-3’
Vdup1-R: 5’-GTGATGAATCCCATCGACCT
gb-F: 5’-AAGAAGGGTTCCACGACGT-3’
gb-R: 5’-CGGCTTTCTGTATCTCTTG-3’
e-F: 5’-GACATTATTGTGGCTAGCTTCTATAACAAG-3’
e-R: 5’-CGCTGTAGTGGTTCTCAAAAACACT-3’

Western blotting:
Monoclonal antibody against Repo (1:20, 8D12 from Hybridoma bank) was used for western blot of Repo. Mouse anti-α-tubulin antibody (1:1000, #DM1a from Seikagaku Kogyo) was used for normalization. Head extracts were made in homogenization buffer [25mM HEPES, 100mM NaCl, 1mM MgCl₂, 1mM CaCl₂, 0.2% Trion X-100, 0.2% Nonidet P-40 and protease inhibitors (Roche)]. Signals were detected using HRP conjugated secondary antibodies and ECL blotting reagents (GE Healthcare).

Statistics:
All data are expressed as mean ± SEM. Sample size are indicated in each bar in all graphs. Student’s t-test was used to evaluate the statistical significance between two
data sets. For multiple comparisons, one-way, two-way ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis test was used, followed by post hoc analyses using Prism software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).
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