Image investigation using higher moment statistics and edge detection for recognizing abnormal skin
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ABSTRACT

This paper discusses the recognition of skin abnormalities by investigating the images using high-level statistics and edge detection. Six images of skin diseases were analyzed using several statistical parameters, including high-level moments such as skewness and kurtosis. In comparison, some images from other categories such as animal, architecture, art, vehicle, food, people, and scenery have been analyzed as well. The results were compared to skin disease images. It is expected that the general pattern of statistical parameters can distinguish skin images against images from other categories. MATLAB is used as a medium to calculate the values of statistical parameters. The mean and median of the skin disease image are much larger. Meanwhile, the standard deviation is the smallest compared to other categorical images. Nearly all the analyzed images close to symmetry. Almost all the images category are distributed more leaning to the left, except for the images of the art category, which is slightly more leaning to the right. Moreover, the edge detection process has been done using the Sobel algorithm. The result, however, cannot clearly distinguish a skin's abnormality. This difficulty is because of the lack of accuracy in selection the intensity.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license.

1. INTRODUCTION

The increasing use of mobile electronic devices makes it easy for people to interact with each other. The increase also happens in remote areas, as more and more telecommunications devices are available. Besides, interacting with the virtual world has become a trend everywhere. There are no questions that cannot be answered at this time. Someone will quickly find an answer from the internet [1]. The phenomena are also supported by the increasing number of available information in cyberspace [2, 3]. Most people will write down the knowledge they know on the online site so that anyone can read it. In the field of health, more and more websites are also available that provide information on how to keep healthy and also how to treat it. However, skin disease is a disease that is often ignored by people, especially those who live in remote areas[4-7]. Often, new patients come to health workers when the disease becomes severe [8, 9]. So we need another solution to this problem. One way to overcome these problems is by the rapid analysis of skin diseases through mobile phones. The method is in line with the increasing number of mobile phone users in remote areas.

This study aims to find ways to analyze skin diseases through images. The analyzed image is the result of photos of diseased or unhealthy skin. Typical features of the images will be analyzed by
calculating several statistical parameters such as mean, median, standard deviation, and other higher moments. Then further analysis will also be done through the edge detection of normal skin image and skin disease image. Both studies are performed on MATLAB using the Sobel algorithm.

In this paper, some fundamental theories of imaging in MATLAB, and its processing are covered in the next section. Section III provides a detailed comparison between skin disease images and other image categories. Section IV provides the process of edge detection of the normal skin image and abnormal skin images. Finally, the summary of the presented work is presented in section V.

2. BACKGROUND THEORY

2.1. Higher moment statistic

Skewness and kurtosis are two of the statistics of the higher moments. Skewness is an asymmetry of a probability distribution of its mean [10, 11]. A qualitative understanding of the skew of distribution is complicated. Skewness can mean positive, negative, or completely undefined. In the unimodal distribution, the negative skewness value shows the tail from the left side of the probability density function (pdf) longer or thicker than the other side. Conversely, if skewness is positive, then the right side is longer or thicker [12]. Figure 1 shows an example of distribution with positive skewness. While in a discrete or multimodal distribution, it is challenging to interpret the meaning of the slope. However, the important thing is that the slope is not unbound to the mean and median, so in some cases, the data can be changed so similar to the normal distribution [13].

![Example distribution with non-zero (positive) skewness](image)

In probability and statistic, kurtosis (of Greek: κυρτός, kyrtos or kurtos, which mean "curved, arching") is a measure of the "tailedness" of the probability distribution of a real-valued random variable [14]. Likewise, to the concept of skewness, kurtosis is a parameter often used to explain the shape of the distribution. There are different ways of skewness quantifying for a theoretical distribution and corresponding ways of viewing it from a sample from a population. In practice, there are various explanations of kurtosis, and of how particular measures have to be translated. According to Karl Pearson, kurtosis measurements are based on scale transcription from the fourth moment of the data or population. So according to these measurements, kurtosis is related to the tail of the distribution (not the peak) [4]. Therefore, there is often an error in measuring kurtosis because it takes into account its peak. So if kurtosis is of high value, it means that there is an extreme aberration.

In the Normal distribution, the value of kurtosis involving a variate is 3. Any distribution whose kurtosis value is less than 3 is defined as platykurtic, but not necessarily the distribution is flat-topped [15, 16]. The uniform distribution is one example of platykurtic distribution because it has no tail. Whereas if a distribution has a value of kurtosis greater than 3 is defined as leptokurtic. Laplace distribution is one example, where its tail is not symmetrical and tends to be near zero (more gradual than Gaussian distribution). In practice, people often use Pearson's improvement model, i.e., minus 3 kurtosis, to distinguish it from the Normal distribution [17]. Several authors use "kurtosis" by themselves to lead to the excess kurtosis. However, in general, there is no precise meaning of kurtosis that reflects the description of distribution.

2.2. Edge detection

The Sobel edge detectors use the masks in Figure 2 to approximate digitally the first derivatives \( G_x \) and \( G_y \). In another word, the gradient at the center point in neighborhoods is computed as follows (1) by the Sobel detectors [18, 19].
Then, we saw that a pixel at location \((x, y)\) is an edge pixel if \(g \geq T\) at that location. Where \(T\) is a specified threshold. Sobel detection technique can be implemented by filtering an image (using \texttt{imfilter}) with the left masking, filtering again with the other mask, squaring the pixels values of each filtered image, adding the two results, and computing their square root.

\[ g = \left[ G_x^2 + G_y^2 \right]^{1/2} = \left[ \left( (z_7 + 2z_6 + z_9) - (z_1 + 2z_4 + z_3) \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \]

(1)

Figure 2. Edge detector masks and the first-order derivatives they implement

3. **STATISTIC COMPARISON OF SKIN IMAGE AGAINST OTHER IMAGES**

Each image has unique color features that distinguish it from others [20]. Likewise, the image of human skin has different color parameters with other images. We can quickly recognize which image of the skin and which is not just by looking at the pictures. However, in digital image processing, the difference between an image and another image is based on the color characteristics [21]. Therefore, we must find the distinctive features of an image so that the processing can be recognized.

In general, a group of images (not individual images) has distinctive features that distinguish them from others. Therefore, it is necessary to group the pictures into several categories. To obtain the characteristics of the skin images, it is essential to analyze and trace some parameters that distinguish them from other groups of images. Here are some categories of images that will be studied in this paper, such as animal, architecture, art, vehicle, food, people, and scenery. The grouping of these images is only based on general observations, but there may be overlap with images that can fit into more than one category.

Figure 3 shows some images of randomly selected skin diseases, ignoring the types of diseases to represent different kinds of images. The pictures have been reduced, adjusted, and uninformed to 100x100 pixels. The process of clip images to eliminate the effect of various background colors. Selection of these sizes to facilitate the analysis process, although the actual size of the image is less enjoyable when viewed with the eye.

Figure 3. Six images for representing various skin diseases
The images will be analyzed statistically using MATLAB. Therefore, all of these images will be captured and represented by a 3D matrix in RGB color space. In the space, the image is represented by the number in an 8-bit integer format or its value from 0 to 255. Some statistical parameters already extracted, such as minimum value, maximum value, the range of values, mean, median, and standard deviation [22]. An additional parameter, such as skewness and kurtosis, will be investigated as well. Table 1 shows the results of the analysis of some statistical parameters on the six skin images shown in Figure 3.

The data that are shown in Table 1 is a 3-dimensional matrix, which is a representation of the RGB color model. The matrices contain numbers whose range approach a maximum of 255. Therefore, the numbers in the matrix do not show the typical properties of the specificness of the skin image. The mean and median values which averaged in the middle indicate that the distribution is quite evenly distributed throughout the range of values. It can also be seen that the lowest standard deviation score is 28.32 and the highest is 61.93. Meanwhile, the maximum skewness value is about 0.42 with a minimum value of about -1.03, indicating all the distributed data is more leaning to the left except skin5. The level of transient distribution around the most frequent values is shown by the parameters of kurtosis. The skin5 image has a more pointed distribution of the normal distribution because its kurtosis value is greater than 3, while other skin disease images have a flatter (platykurtic) peak.

| Table 1. Properties of representational matrices of images of skin disease |
|-----------------|--------|--------|----------|--------|-------------------|--------|--------|
|                | Min    | Max    | Range   | Mean   | Median           | Standard Deviation | Skewness | Kurtosis   |
| skin1          | 24     | 255    | 231     | 140.62 | 131              | 50.44             | 0.3336   | 1.9603     |
| skin2          | 33     | 255    | 222     | 158.44 | 147              | 48.93             | 0.2549   | 1.8813     |
| skin3          | 23     | 255    | 232     | 144.86 | 144              | 42.52             | 0.0755   | 2.1704     |
| skin4          | 47     | 255    | 208     | 152.85 | 136              | 54.67             | 0.4219   | 1.8398     |
| skin5          | 31     | 255    | 224     | 188.95 | 193              | 28.32             | 1.0340   | 4.7845     |
| skin6          | 19     | 255    | 236     | 146.51 | 142              | 61.93             | 0.0130   | 1.6277     |

Figures 4-10 show some drawings of the randomly selected animal, architecture, art, vehicle, food, people, and scenery categories. Those figures were captured in the Pinterest and Instagram websites [23, 24]. The images have been reduced, adjusted, and uninformed to 100x100 pixels. The process of clip images to minimize the effect of various background colors. Selection of these sizes to facilitate the analysis process, although the actual size of the image is not very good when viewed with the eye.

![Figure 4. Six images for representing various animal](image)

The data shown in Table 2 is a 3-dimensional matrix, which is a representation of the RGB color model. The matrices contain numbers whose range approach almost maximum of 255. Therefore, the numbers in the matrix do not show the typical properties of the specificness of the animal figure. Regarding animal category, the mean and median values which are on average slightly left compared with the middle of the range indicate that the distribution is quite evenly distributed across the entire range of values. It can also be seen that the lowest standard deviation score is 46.98, and the highest is 65.10. Meanwhile, the maximum skewness value is about 0.86 with a minimum value of about -0.39, indicating some of the distributed data is more leaning to the left, and the other part is more leaning to the right. The animal2 image has a distribution similar to the normal distribution because the value of the kurtosis is about 3, while the other animal images have a flatter peak (platykurtic).

Figure 5 shows some images of randomly selected architecture categories without taking into account all types of images to represent the architecture category [23]. In the architecture category shown in Table 2, the matrices contain numbers whose range maximum of 255 except the figure of architecture6. Therefore, the numbers in the matrix do not show the typical properties of the specificness of the architecture view. Moreover, the different mean and median values that tend to be inconsistent indicates that the spread.
is also quite variable across the entire range of values. It can also be seen that the lowest standard deviation value is 52.45, and the highest is 75.30 (this is in line with the considerable variation of mean and median). Meanwhile, the maximum skewness value is about 0.85, with a minimum value of about -1, indicating almost some distributed data is more leaning to the left except architecture4 and architecture5. The architecture3 and architecture5 images have a distribution similar to the normal distribution because the kurtosis value is about 3, while the other architecture images have a flatter (platykurtic) peak.

Figure 5. Six images for representing various architecture

Figure 6 shows some drawings of randomly chosen art categories without taking into account all types of images to represent the category [23]. Regarding Art group data showing in Table 2, the matrices contain maximum numbers (255) except the figure of art2. The mean and median values that vary considerably and tend to be very inconsistent indicates that the spread is also quite variable across the entire range of values. It can also be seen that the lowest standard deviation score is 33.59, and the highest is 87.62 (this is in line with the considerable variation of mean and median). Meanwhile, the maximum skewness value is about 1.27, with a minimum value of about -1.38, indicating that almost some distributed data is more leaning to the left except art4 and art6. Images of art2, art4, and art6 have more rutheous distributions than normal distributions because the kurtosis value is greater than 3, while other art images have flatter peaks (platykurtic).

Figure 6. Six images for representing various art

Figure 7 shows some images of randomly chosen vehicle categories without taking into account all types of images to represent the group [23]. The data shown in Table 2 indicate that the range is a maximum of 255. Thus, the numbers in the matrix do not show the typical properties of the specificness of the vehicle view. Mean and median of vehicle images are varied considerably and tend to be inconsistent indicates that the spread is also quite variable across the entire range of values. It can be seen that the lowest standard deviation value is 58.55, and the highest is 90.56 (this is in line with the significant variations of the mean and median). The maximum skewness value is about 0.95 with a minimum value of about -0.12, indicating some distributed data is more leaning to the left, and some more data is leaning to the right. Figure vehicle6 has a more rutheous distribution than the normal distribution because the kurtosis value is greater than 3, while the other vehicle images have a flatter peak (platykurtic).

Figure 7. Six images for representing the various vehicle
Figure 8 shows some images of randomly selected food categories without taking into account all types of images to represent the class [23]. The data listed in Table 2 (part of food), which their matrices contain numbers whose range is a maximum of 255. Again, the numbers in the matrix do not show the typical properties of the specificness of the food view. Mean and median are varied considerably and tend to be inconsistent indicates that the spread is also quite variable across the entire range of values. The lowest standard deviation value is 58.26, and the highest is 75.24 (this is in line with the less significant variations of the mean and median). Meanwhile, the maximum skewness value is about 0.72 with a minimum value of about -0.18, indicating some of the data is distributed more leaning to the left, and some more data is leaning to the right. The level of transient distribution around the most frequent values is shown by the parameters of kurtosis. All food category images have a flatter (platykurtic) distribution than the normal distribution.

![Figure 8. Six images for representing various food](image)

Figure 9 shows some pictures of randomly selected people categories without taking into account all types of images to represent the group. Those figures were captured in the Pinterest and Instagram websites [23, 24]. Based on data from people figures in Table 2, their matrices contain numbers whose maximum range is 255. Thus, the numbers in the matrix do not indicate the typical nature of the peculiarities of the public view. From mean and median values that vary considerably and tend to be inconsistent, the spread is also quite variable across the range. It can also be seen that the lowest standard deviation score is 47.57, and the highest is 77.89 (this does not match the considerable variation of mean and median). Meanwhile, maximum skewness is around 1.66, with a minimum of about 0.29 showing all the distributed data is more leaning to the left. The level of tiles around the values most often arises by the parameters of kurtosis. All category images of people have a flatter (platykurtic) distribution than the normal distribution, except those with a kurtosis score of about 5.22.

![Figure 9. Six images for representing various people](image)

Figure 10 shows some randomly chosen scenery category images without taking into account all types of images to represent the category [23]. The matrices contain numbers whose range is almost the maximum of 255 (listed in Table 2). Therefore, the numbers in the matrix do not show the typical properties of the specificness of the scenic view. Similarly, mean and median values, which tend to be less consistent, indicating that the spread also varies throughout the range of values. It can also be seen that the lowest standard deviation score is 53.96, and the highest is 70.83 (this is not in line with the variations of the mean and median). Then, the maximum skewness value is about 0.61 with a minimum value of about -1.06, indicating some of the data is distributed more leaning to the left, and some other data is more leaning to the right. The level of transient distribution around the most frequent values is shown by the parameters of kurtosis. All scenery category images have a flatter (platykurtic) distribution than the normal distribution, except for scenery6 with a kurtosis value slightly higher than 3.
Table 3 shows the average comparison of some statistical parameters between skin diseases and images from other categories. In the table, statistical parameter data from skin disease images in red color to facilitate the comparison process. In general, the parameter value of the skin disease image is different from the parameter value of the other categorical images. The skin disease image has the highest minimum value (29.5) and the lowest maximum value (225.5). So automatically, the image also has the smallest range. The mean value of the skin disease image is much greater than the mean of the other images. This is also in line with its larger median value (148.83).

Table 2. Properties of representational matrices of images of animal, architecture, art, vehicle, food, people, and scenery

| Animal      | Min | Max   | Range | Mean  | Median | Standard Deviation | Skewness | Kurtosis |
|-------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------------------|----------|----------|
| animal1     | 249 | 249   | 113.43| 131   | 59.31  | -0.3202            | 2.0649   |
| animal2     | 245 | 245   | 76.51 | 67    | 46.98  | 0.8602             | 3.2218   |
| animal3     | 249 | 249   | 117.24| 114   | 65.10  | 0.2723             | 2.1277   |
| animal4     | 243 | 243   | 113.68| 113   | 48.76  | -0.1461            | 1.9835   |
| animal5     | 255 | 255   | 123.33| 129   | 52.51  | -0.3991            | 2.5074   |
| animal6     | 247 | 247   | 138.70| 130   | 51.21  | 0.1693             | 2.0193   |

| Architecture | Min | Max   | Range | Mean  | Median | Standard Deviation | Skewness | Kurtosis |
|--------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------------------|----------|----------|
| architecture1| 255 | 255   | 89.55 | 57    | 75.34  | 0.3267             | 1.5250   |
| architecture2| 255 | 255   | 107.21| 98    | 65.98  | 0.4301             | 2.1913   |
| architecture3| 255 | 255   | 84.18 | 70    | 55.93  | 0.8594             | 3.0072   |
| architecture4| 255 | 255   | 154.37| 161   | 52.45  | -0.5957            | 2.8387   |
| architecture5| 255 | 255   | 172.44| 180   | 55.69  | -1.0032            | 2.9195   |
| architecture6| 255 | 255   | 125.73| 124   | 62.24  | 0.0410             | 2.1777   |

| Art          | Min | Max   | Range | Mean  | Median | Standard Deviation | Skewness | Kurtosis |
|--------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------------------|----------|----------|
| art1         | 255 | 255   | 108.96| 94    | 65.24  | 0.6053             | 2.3469   |
| art2         | 255 | 230   | 97.91 | 89    | 33.59  | 1.2784             | 4.6723   |
| art3         | 255 | 255   | 112.75| 111   | 42.53  | 0.1889             | 2.6732   |
| art4         | 255 | 255   | 207.74| 247   | 59.21  | -1.3847            | 3.8602   |
| art5         | 255 | 255   | 102.04| 77    | 87.62  | 0.3329             | 1.5342   |
| art6         | 249 | 255   | 149.68| 155   | 35.44  | -0.6092            | 4.2599   |

| Vehicle      | Min | Max   | Range | Mean  | Median | Standard Deviation | Skewness | Kurtosis |
|--------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------------------|----------|----------|
| vehicle1     | 255 | 255   | 139.84| 133   | 78.24  | -0.1269            | 1.4764   |
| vehicle2     | 255 | 255   | 120.20| 122   | 62.21  | -0.0445            | 1.8814   |
| vehicle3     | 255 | 255   | 120.97| 107   | 73.18  | 0.2029             | 1.6224   |
| vehicle4     | 255 | 255   | 126.84| 131   | 90.56  | -0.0966            | 1.4319   |
| vehicle5     | 255 | 255   | 94.30 | 85    | 74.64  | 0.7165             | 2.4487   |
| vehicle6     | 255 | 255   | 78.69 | 71    | 58.55  | 0.9516             | 3.5275   |

| Food         | Min | Max   | Range | Mean  | Median | Standard Deviation | Skewness | Kurtosis |
|--------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------------------|----------|----------|
| food1        | 255 | 255   | 124.56| 133   | 74.48  | -0.1547            | 1.7741   |
| food2        | 255 | 255   | 114.01| 115   | 74.14  | 0.0435             | 1.7587   |
| food3        | 255 | 255   | 75.74 | 69    | 60.78  | 0.6186             | 2.5395   |
| food4        | 255 | 255   | 132.00| 138   | 67.17  | -0.1852            | 2.0898   |
| food5        | 255 | 255   | 135.35| 139   | 75.24  | -0.1102            | 1.6528   |
| food6        | 255 | 255   | 78.22 | 68    | 58.26  | 0.7230             | 2.8148   |

| People       | Min | Max   | Range | Mean  | Median | Standard Deviation | Skewness | Kurtosis |
|--------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------------------|----------|----------|
| people1      | 255 | 255   | 113.00| 102   | 57.61  | 0.4136             | 2.2457   |
| people2      | 255 | 255   | 86.91 | 80    | 57.54  | 0.3387             | 2.2824   |
| people3      | 255 | 255   | 93.52 | 69    | 76.11  | 0.4990             | 1.8939   |
| people4      | 255 | 255   | 60.75 | 41    | 47.57  | 1.6627             | 5.2234   |
| people5      | 255 | 255   | 96.09 | 87    | 77.89  | 0.2968             | 1.5456   |
| people6      | 255 | 255   | 84.40 | 44    | 76.03  | 0.7693             | 1.9838   |

| Scenery      | Min | Max   | Range | Mean  | Median | Standard Deviation | Skewness | Kurtosis |
|--------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------------------|----------|----------|
| scenery1     | 251 | 251   | 105.44| 96    | 55.91  | 0.1238             | 2.0897   |
| scenery2     | 255 | 255   | 159.58| 165   | 53.96  | -0.4095            | 2.8618   |
| scenery3     | 255 | 255   | 124.37| 117   | 70.83  | 0.1304             | 1.9448   |
| scenery4     | 253 | 253   | 110.77| 101   | 62.57  | 0.1796             | 1.5240   |
| scenery5     | 200 | 200   | 85.42 | 77    | 58.20  | 0.6109             | 2.4908   |
| scenery6     | 255 | 255   | 172.54| 191   | 62.81  | -1.0027            | 3.4791   |
Unlike the mean and median values, the standard deviation value of the skin disease image is the smallest compared to the mean values of the other images. This difference illustrates that the difference in values in the matrix is not too significant to the average value. In other words, the possibility of edges on the image of skin disease is not too sharp. The average skewness values vary, but the variation is minimal, and almost all values are close to 0. This variation indicates that the analyzed images are, on average close to symmetry. Practically all matrices of the decomposed image are distributed more leaning to the left, except for the matrices of the image of the slightly leaning to the right (the average curve value is 3.2245). After knowing the characteristics of skin disease images based on statistical parameters, then next, we can design a unique processor minimalist just for image processing of skin diseases.

### Table 3. Comparison of the average of the statistical parameter between skin disease and other images

|                | Min  | Max  | Range | Mean  | Median | Standard Deviation | Skewness | Kurtosis |
|----------------|------|------|-------|-------|--------|--------------------|----------|----------|
| Skin           | 29.5 | 255  | 225.50| 155.37| 148.83 | 47.8017            | 0.0108   | 2.3773   |
| Animal         | 0    | 248  | 248   | 114.15| 114    | 53.9783            | 0.0727   | 2.3208   |
| Architecture   | 0    | 254  | 254   | 122.25| 115    | 61.2717            | 0.0097   | 2.5052   |
| Art            | 4.17 | 254  | 249.83| 129.85| 128.83 | 53.9383            | 0.0686   | 3.2245   |
| Vehicle        | 0    | 255  | 255   | 113.47| 108.17 | 72.8967            | 0.2672   | 2.0647   |
| Food           | 0    | 255  | 255   | 109.98| 110.33 | 68.3450            | 0.1558   | 2.1050   |
| People         | 0    | 253.67| 253.67| 89.11 | 70.50  | 65.4583            | 0.6634   | 2.5291   |
| Scenery        | 1.17 | 251.83| 250.67| 126.35| 124.50 | 60.7133            | -0.0848  | 2.3984   |

4. **EDGE DETECTION OF SKIN IMAGES**

A block diagram of the system for identifying the abnormal skin based on the image is shown in Figure 11. Image 1 is an image of an allegedly ill (abnormal) skin, while Image 2 is a normal image surrounding the supposedly abnormal skin. Both images will be inserted into the Image Classification section to determine whether included in the category of skin images or not. The classification process is based on the unique characteristics that distinguish the skin image from other drawings, as shown in Table 3.

Furthermore, both images are passed to the edge detection. Having done the edge detection process, the two images are compared to the level of similarity with each other. If both images are similar (high-similarity values), then it is decided that the skin whose image is analyzed is normal. Meanwhile, if the opposite, the value of similarity is low, then the skin may be abnormal or exposed to diseases.

![Block diagram of skin disease detection system](image)

To detect abnormalities in the skin through the image, several ways can be done. One of them is by applying an edge detection technique. This technique will process grayscale images in general to detect significant differences in intensity between adjacent pixels [25]. This technique is usually used for image segmentation and data extraction in the field of image processing, machine vision, and computer vision [26]. The edge detection technique involves a variety of mathematical methods that all aim to identify dots on digital images where the brightness of the image changes rapidly—the dots in the area where the image brightness changes drastically are usually arranged into a set of line curve segments called edges. Edge detection is an essential tool in image processing, even in the field of feature detection and extraction [18, 19].

Several algorithms are often used for edge detection, including Sobel, Canny, Prewitt, and fuzzy logic. Each of these algorithms has advantages and disadvantages [27]. In the paper, we used the Sobel technique for the edge detection of skin disease images. At this stage, we only apply the Sobel technique, leave it for future research for choosing the best technique of this application. The initial investigation of this technique using the Canny method was realized in the form of an Android-based application program [28]. However, the results
of the analysis are still difficult to determine the abnormality of the skin. The images of skin diseases as seen in Figure 1, will be in the edge detection process gradually to produce the last image used to determine a skin’s abnormality. Then the results of the process will also be compared with the results of normal skin edge detection.

Referring to edge detection using the Sobel technique, several steps must be taken for analyzing the image. Those steps such as grayscaling image (step 1), detecting the whole cell (step 2), dilating the image (step 3), filling interior gaps (step 4), removing connected objects on the border (step 5), and smoothening the object (step 6). Figure 12 shows step by step edge detection with the Sobel technique on skin1 of skin diseases [29].

To be able to do the edge detection process, the image must be two dimensional (2D) matrix. Therefore, the image of skin1 in Figure 1 is changed to the grayscale color format, as shown in Figure 12(a). The second step is the process of detecting the whole cell with Sobel technique using factor 0.75. The result of the first stage is shown in Figure 12(b). Figure 12(c) shows the results of the dilating process of the image using the strel function using parameter ‘line,’ and the binary gradient mask process is widened using vertical and horizontal elemental structures.

The next step process is filling interior gaps with the imfill function using parameter ‘holes.’ The results of this stage can be seen in Figure 12(d). Then, the following process is removing related objects and borders (border). The function used in this stage is imclearborder using clear level 4, and the results are shown in Figure 12(e). The final step is the process of refining the texture of the image with the strel function using the ‘diamond’ parameter. The result is, as shown in Figure 12(f).

Referring to the edge detection results of some skin images, it is tough to know that the skin analyzed is not normal. Therefore it needs comparison with normal skin image. Figure 13 shows the correlation between skin6 and normal image on each step of the edge detection process. If it refers to the system design as in Figure 11, it is challenging to see the difference between the images of normal skin results from the abnormal skin. Therefore, the comparison will be evaluated even before the result of the last stage of the edge detection process.

In Figure 13, the process stage edge detection of skin6 (left side) and edge detection of normal skin (right side). Of all the stages, it is seen that the difference is very striking is the final stage (step 6). However, further analysis can be done by directly comparing the color intensity of normal skin images with abnormal skin images at each stage. If there is no significant difference between both images at each stage, then it is necessary to examine the effect of changing the parameter on every step of the edge detection process.

In order to find the similarity between normal skin and skin6, we try to find the difference between them by subtracting the matrix of normal skin to the matrix of skin6 in every stage. The result of the subtraction is a new matrix containing entries positive or negative. The next step is taking the absolute value of entries to convert them to positive. After that, we calculate the mean (average) of the matrix and consider the results as the similarity value, as shown in Figure 13 on the last right column.

The difference between normal and abnormal skins in stage 1 (mean=47.2017) is complicated to be distinguished because the color distribution is evenly distributed across the range of values from 0 to 255. The different mean of stage 6 is very small, which is about 0.0229. This number indicates that the image of normal skin and image of skin6 quite similar. Therefore, the image of skin6 indicates that the skin is maybe normal (no disease). However, as the figure was captured on the abnormal skin, the conclusion may be evaluated further to determine the suitable threshold (mean) in making a decision.

Figure 12. Edge detection steps of skin disease image of skin1, (a) grayscale image, (b) detecting entire cell, (c) dilating the image, (d) filling interior gaps, (e) removing connected objects on the border, (f) smoothening the object

Referring to the edge detection results of some skin images, it is tough to know that the skin analyzed is not normal. Therefore it needs comparison with normal skin image. Figure 13 shows the correlation between skin6 and normal image on each step of the edge detection process. If it refers to the system design as in Figure 11, it is challenging to see the difference between the images of normal skin results from the abnormal skin. Therefore, the comparison will be evaluated even before the result of the last stage of the edge detection process.

In Figure 13, the process stage edge detection of skin6 (left side) and edge detection of normal skin (right side). Of all the stages, it is seen that the difference is very striking is the final stage (step 6). However, further analysis can be done by directly comparing the color intensity of normal skin images with abnormal skin images at each stage. If there is no significant difference between both images at each stage, then it is necessary to examine the effect of changing the parameter on every step of the edge detection process.

In order to find the similarity between normal skin and skin6, we try to find the difference between them by subtracting the matrix of normal skin to the matrix of skin6 in every stage. The result of the subtraction is a new matrix containing entries positive or negative. The next step is taking the absolute value of entries to convert them to positive. After that, we calculate the mean (average) of the matrix and consider the results as the similarity value, as shown in Figure 13 on the last right column.

The difference between normal and abnormal skins in stage 1 (mean=47.2017) is complicated to be distinguished because the color distribution is evenly distributed across the range of values from 0 to 255. The different mean of stage 6 is very small, which is about 0.0229. This number indicates that the image of normal skin and image of skin6 quite similar. Therefore, the image of skin6 indicates that the skin is maybe normal (no disease). However, as the figure was captured on the abnormal skin, the conclusion may be evaluated further to determine the suitable threshold (mean) in making a decision.
Another analysis can also be done on the comparison of the mean matrix of the two images in the second, third, fourth, and fifth stages. As seen in Figure 13, the mean difference between the skin6 image and the normal image is 0.1538, 0.4579, 0.4580, and 0.2719 for the second, third, fourth, and fifth stages, respectively. In general, these values are greater than the comparison of the mean value in the sixth stage. However, if a comparison is required before the last stage, different threshold values must be determined for different stages [30].

5. CONCLUSIONS

Investigations using high-level statistical moments and edge detection for abnormal skin recognition have been done successfully. Six skin disease images have been analyzed using several statistical parameters, including high-level moments such as skewness and kurtosis. For comparison, some images from other categories have been examined, as well. The results were compared with the results of the analysis of skin disease images. In general, the parameter value of the skin disease image is different from the parameter value of the other categorical images. A system for detecting skin disease based on an image has been designed. The system also requires an edge detection process against the image of skin disease and normal skin. Sobel algorithm has been applied using MATLAB for detecting the edge. However, the results of the edge detection process, even in every stage, cannot distinguish a skin's abnormalities yet. Skin abnormalities may also be determined at stages before the final stage of the edge detection process. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust some of the selected parameters in several edge detection steps for more appropriate decision results.
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