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**Abstract:** The present work was undertaken to shed additional light on the globular-α microstructure produced during FSP of Ti-6Al-4V. To this end, the electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) technique was employed to characterize the crystallographic aspects of such microstructure. In contrast to the previous reports in the literature, neither the texture nor the misorientation distribution in the α phase were random. Although the texture was weak, it showed a clear prevalence of the P 1 and C-fiber simple-shear orientations, thus providing evidence for an increased activity of the prism-<a> and pyramidal <-c+a> slip systems. In addition, the misorientation distribution exhibited a crystallographic preference of 60° and 90° boundaries. This observation was attributed to a partial α→β→α phase transformation during/following high-temperature deformation and the possible activation of mechanical twinning.
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1. **Introduction**

Ti-6Al-4V is an important structural material which is widely used in aerospace, marine, and a number of other industries. The optimal balance of service properties in this alloy is often achieved by the formation of a “globular” microstructure. To this end, the material is subjected to a complex thermo-mechanical treatment, the final step of which involves processing below the β-transus temperature (at which α + β → β). Unfortunately, conventional industrial techniques (e.g., rolling, extrusion, forging) do not impose sufficient deformation to achieve the desired fully globular microstructure. Accordingly, the development of novel techniques is necessary to improve the performance of Ti-6Al-4V. One of these approaches is friction-stir processing (FSP) [1].

FSP involves a combination of very large strains and high temperatures and thus often leads to a substantial refinement in microstructure. Because of relatively high technological requirements (e.g., tool materials, working loads, etc.), FSP of titanium alloys is relatively difficult, and until
recently, microstructural observations of FSPed Ti-6Al-4V were limited. However, rapid progress in this technology during the past few years has promoted growing interest.

The first investigations of the FSP of Ti-6Al-4V revealed a relatively narrow processing window for this technique [2–18]. This is believed to be due to the relatively low ductility of Ti-6Al-4V even at elevated temperatures as well as its low thermal conductivity which gives rise to considerable temperature gradients during FSP. Moreover, a significant portion of the processing window often lies above $\beta$ transus. FSP in such regimes does not provide the globular microstructure thus being not practical for material processing. Another important challenge is extensive wear of the processing tool [5,9,19–22]. This effect shortens tool life (thereby increasing processing cost) and results in a substantial contamination of the processed material by tool debris. Despite these shortcomings, careful control of process parameters may provide a desirable, fully globular microstructure which consists of fine-grain (or even ultrafine-grain) $\alpha$ phase [6,10,23–35]. In such instances, the processed material exhibits excellent superplastic properties [36–41].

Until recently, relatively little attention was given to examination of the crystallographic characteristics of such microstructures. It has sometimes been reported that the texture and misorientation distribution after FSP of Ti-6Al-4V are nearly random [12,24,27,28,34,35]. Despite valuable prior efforts to describe the underlying microstructural mechanisms [42], it is still not clear whether such results are isolated cases or represent reproducible, general trends. Hence, the present work was undertaken to shed additional light on this issue. To this end, the electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) technique was employed to characterize the globular-$\alpha$ microstructure produced during FSP of Ti-6Al-4V.

2. Materials and Methods

The material used in the present effort was Ti-6Al-4V, which was supplied as 7-mm-thick plate in the mill-annealed condition. Microstructural details of the base material are shown in supplementary Figures S1–S3. This material was friction-stir processed using a cobalt-based FSP tool. Based on previous experience, a relatively-low spindle (rotation) rate of 120 rpm was used to keep the processing temperature below the $\beta$ transus. To investigate two points in a possible processing window, trials using feed rates of 15 and 30 mm/min were conducted. The welding tool had a diameter of 17.5 mm and a probe of 5.8 mm in length. Additional processing details were proprietary to Hitachi Ltd. To maintain consistency with the terminology in the literature, the principal directions of the process geometry are denoted herein as the welding direction (WD), transverse direction (TD), and normal direction (ND).

Microstructural observations were performed on a transverse cross-section (TD × ND plane) using optical microscopy (OM), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), electron probe microanalysis (EPMA), and EBSD. For the OM, SEM, and EPMA examinations, sectioned samples were first ground with silicon carbide abrasive papers, mechanically polished with 1-µm diamond, and then chemically etched with Kroll’s reagent (3% HNO$_3$, 2% HF, and 95% H$_2$O). A suitable surface finish for EBSD was obtained by mechanical polishing in a similar fashion followed by long-term (24 h) vibratory polishing with colloidal silica.

SEM and EBSD analysis were conducted using a Hitachi S-4300SE field-emission-gun SEM (FEG-SEM) (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a TSL OIM$^\text{TM}$ EBSD system (EDAX, Mahwah, NJ, USA) and operated at an accelerating voltage of 25 kV. All SEM examinations were made in the secondary-electron mode. To reveal the microstructure at different length scales, EBSD maps were acquired with scan step sizes of either 0.5 or 0.2 µm. For each diffraction pattern, nine Kikuchi bands were used to minimize mis-indexing errors. To ensure reliability of the EBSD data, small grains comprising one or two pixels were automatically removed from the maps by applying the grain-dilation feature of the EBSD software. To eliminate spurious boundaries caused by orientation noise, a lower-limit boundary misorientation cut-off of 2° was employed. Furthermore, a 15° criterion was applied to differentiate low-angle boundaries (LABs) and high-angle boundaries (HABs). The grain size was quantified from the EBSD data by applying either the grain-reconstruction approach [43] (i.e., converting
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Preliminary Analysis

Low-magnification optical images of the FSP'ed samples (Figure 1) revealed a distinct stir zone for both feed rates. In the sample friction-stirred at the higher rate, a tunnel-type defect was found (indicated by the arrow in Figure 1b). Considering the relatively small change in process variables for the two cases, this observation suggested a rather-narrow processing window, a finding consistent with prior work [12–18]. To avoid the possible influence of this defect on the interpretation of microstructure evolution and material flow, subsequent examination was thus focused on the defect-free material produced using a feed rate of 15 mm/min.

![Figure 1](image_url)

Figure 1. Low-magnification optical images showing a transverse cross section of samples processed using a feed rate of (a) 15 mm/min or (b) 30 mm/min. RS and AS denote the retreating side and the advancing side, respectively. The reference frame for both cases is shown in the bottom left corner of (a). In (b), the arrow indicates a tunnel-type defect.

One of the most striking features of the processed material was the very specific structural pattern which evolved at the advancing side and (Figure 1), to a lesser extent, at the upper surface of the stir zone (not shown). Such structures are often observed in friction-stirred Ti-6Al-4V and are usually attributed to tool wear [9,19–22]. SEM and EPMA of the material at the advancing side revealed the origin of this macrostructure (Figure 2). In the SEM image (Figure 2a), the α phase is dark, and the β phase is light. From this micrograph, it is appeared that the lighter features were β (or a β-rich) phase. The conclusion that some regions had transformed to β was rationalized by EPMA chemical maps (Figure 2b–e). These maps did indeed indicate a relatively-low concentration of titanium (Figure 2b) and an enrichment in cobalt, tungsten, and nickel (Figure 2c–e, respectively). Each of these elements are included in the specification for the processing-tool material. Therefore, it was likely that the observed clustering of these elements in the stir zone was associated with tool wear during FSP. The appropriate binary phase diagrams (not shown) indicated that additions of these elements to titanium decrease the β transus. Accordingly, a local inter-alloying of the stir zone material by these elements should result in stabilization of the β phase, as was observed (Figure 2a). Moreover, these observations are in good agreement with prior findings [9,19–22].

3.2. Microstructure Distribution within Stir Zone

In addition to the tool wear discussed in the previous section, noticeable variations in microstructure were found in the thickness direction of the stir zone (Figure 3). As indicated by the SEM micrographs, all of the microstructures were dominated by a relatively-fine globular-α phase. This indicates that the processing temperature was indeed below the β transus. In the upper and mid-thickness sections of the stir zone, in particular, a significant amount of secondary α (αs) was also noted (Figure 3a,b). These observations suggested that the temperature in these areas likely exceeded ~900 °C, and that the material had experienced a partial α→β→αs phase transformation sequence.

Each grain to a circle with equivalent area and calculating the associated circle-equivalent diameter or the conventional grain-boundary intercept method. EPMA measurements were conducted with a JEOL XM-85300FBU FEG-SEM (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) operated at an accelerating voltage of 15 kV.
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3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Preliminary Analysis

Low-magnification optical images of the FSP'ed samples (Figure 1) revealed a distinct stir zone (~900 μm thickness) that was ~200 μm thick in the maximum thickness direction. While the stir zone root was found to be ~100 μm thick, the end of the stir zone was ~50 μm thick. Thus, the coarsest microstructure was observed at the stir zone root (Figure 3c). This is consistent with previous work, and is usually explained in terms of the relatively-low thermal conductivity of titanium alloys [13,15,18,24,44–46] and the fact that the main source of heating during FSP is friction between the workpiece surface and tool shoulder [1]. Accordingly, the local temperature is typically believed to decrease in the downward direction, thereby enhancing the microstructure-refinement effect at the stir zone root. Nevertheless, it was surprising to find a fine grain structure in the upper region of the stir zone as well (Figure 3a). The source of this effect is less clear, but is sometimes attributed to the relatively-large strain induced by the tool shoulder during FSP. Thus, the coarsest microstructure was observed at the mid-thickness of the stir zone (Figure 3b).

3.3. Microstructure Morphology and Grain Size

EBSD maps taken from the central section of the stir zone (Figure 4) provided deeper insight into microstructure evolution. The corresponding microstructure statistics derived from the EBSD maps are summarized in Figures 5–7. Due to difficulties in indexing the β phase, however, only limited data on this microstructural constituent could be obtained. Thus, the analysis in the present investigation was focused on the α phase, and the fine β particles (Figure 3b) were neglected during the evaluation of grain size and misorientation distribution for the α phase.

The low-resolution EBSD maps (Figure 4a) showed that the microstructure was very uniform. Equally important, there was no pronounced clustering of grains sharing a common crystallographic axis (i.e., so-called “microtexture”) in contrast to conventional processing of Ti-6Al-4V. This observation has been also highlighted by Pilchak, et al. [42]. In that work, the effect was attributed to the specific nature of deformation during FSP, which is close to simple shear and therefore has no true “end”/stable crystallographic orientation(s). The effect may also be enhanced by the relatively-complex strain path inherent to FSP in general.

Figure 2. (a) SEM image and electron probe microanalysis (EPMA) (composition) maps for (b) titanium, (c) cobalt, (d) tungsten, and (e) nickel taken from the advancing side of the stir zone.

Figure 3. Typical SEM micrographs showing the microstructure distribution in the thickness direction of the stir zone: (a) Upper section, (b) mid-thickness, and (c) bottom section. Note the difference in magnification.

As expected, the finest microstructure was observed at the stir-zone root (Figure 3c). This is consistent with previous work, and is usually explained in terms of the relatively-low thermal conductivity of titanium alloys [13,15,18,24,44–46] and the fact that the main source of heating during FSP is friction between the workpiece surface and tool shoulder [1]. Accordingly, the local temperature is typically believed to decrease in the downward direction, thereby enhancing the microstructure-refinement effect at the stir zone root. Nevertheless, it was surprising to find a fine grain structure in the upper region of the stir zone as well (Figure 3a). The source of this effect is less clear, but is sometimes attributed to the relatively-large strain induced by the tool shoulder during FSP. Thus, the coarsest microstructure was observed at the mid-thickness of the stir zone (Figure 3b).
As expected, the microstructure was dominated by the fine grains (Figure 4b) with a mean circle-equivalent diameter of 1.4 µm (Figure 5a) and mean HAB intercept length of 1 µm (Figure 5b). The HAB fraction was 86%. Not surprisingly, the remnant LABs were clustered primarily within relatively-coarse α grains which tended to subdivide them into smaller-scale fragments; an example is indicated by the arrow in Figure 4b. These observations suggested that microstructure evolution within the α phase during FSP occurred by a process of continuous dynamic recrystallization (CDRX), thus being consistent with similar reports in the literature [25,35,42]. Also in agreement with previous work, the α grains exhibited a nearly-globular shape, thus confirming the formation of a globular structure (Figure 4b).

Figure 4. (a) Low-resolution EBSD inverse-pole-figure map and (b) higher-resolution EBSD grain-boundary map for the α phase taken from the central region of the stir zone. The reference frame for both maps is given in the top right corner of (a). In (b), low-angle boundaries (LABs) and high-angle boundaries (HABs) are depicted by red and black lines, respectively. Note: The black clusters denote the β phase.

Figure 5. Grain-size distributions for the α phase measured by (a) the grain-reconstruction approach and (b) the grain-boundary intercept method from the EBSD map in Figure 4b.

3.4. Texture

It is commonly accepted that the deformation imposed during FSP is close to a mode of simple-shear [47,48]. However, according to Pilchak et al. [42], the strain path is simple shear only at limiting points around the tool; elsewhere, it is a mixture of simple and pure shear. The ideal orientations expected during simple shear of hcp crystals (such as α titanium) are given in Figure 6a and Table 1. For FSP, the shearing direction is tangential to the tool-rotation direction [48]. However, the orientation of the shear plane is usually less evident. Often, it is thought to be tangential to the tool-workpiece interface [49] or oriented nearly-parallel to the boundary between the stir zone and the thermo-mechanically affected zone (TMAZ) as in the truncated-cone model [50].
Figure 6. [0001] and [11̅20] pole figures showing (a) ideal simple-shear textures expected for hexagonal close packed metals (after Fonda, et al. [48]), (b) those derived from Figure 4a, and (c) those derived from Figure 4a which were rotated 50° about the TD and then 15° about the ND to align with the presumed geometry of simple shear. For comparison purposes, several ideal simple-shear orientations are indicated in (c).

The textures developed during FSP were interpreted in terms of [0001] and [11̅20] pole figures (Figure 6b) derived from the low-resolution EBSD map (Figure 4a). Assuming that the shear plane in the present work was parallel to the stir zone-TMAZ boundary, the measured pole figures were rotated 50° about the TD to align them with the presumed geometry of simple shear. They were also given an additional rotation of 15° about the ND in order to adjust the experimental data with the ideal textures. The rotated pole figures are shown in Figure 6c.

From the comparison of the rotated pole figures with the ideal textures in Figure 6a, it appeared that the FSP material was characterized by a preference for the P fiber (1̅100) < 2̅110 > and C-fiber components. Based on literature findings (Table 1), such results implied a prevalence of prism- <a> and pyramidal-<c+a> slip during FSP. In addition, there was some evidence for the development of a B-fiber component (Figure 6c), thus implying the possible activation of basal <a> slip as well (Table 1). However, these observations were not clear-cut, and thus further verification may be helpful. While the dominance of prism slip (with its low critical resolved shear stress) was expected, the activation of the pyramidal slip appeared somewhat surprising. It was likely necessitated by strain-compatibility requirements and perhaps the presence of the β phase. Despite these observations, the measured texture was weak with a maximum peak intensity of only ~2.5 times random (Figure 6c). This observation was in the line with previous texture studies [24,27,28,34,35].

It is also worth noting that the final microstructure was produced partially by a local α→β→αs phase transformation, as mentioned in Section 3.2. This process could influence texture evolution, and thus the analysis given in the present section may be oversimplified. Therefore, orientation measurements within the prior-β phase (and associated the secondary α) are needed to provide further insight into the evolution of texture during FSP.

Table 1. Ideal simple-shear textures in hexagonal close-packed metals (after Li [51], and Beausir et al. [52]).

| Notation | Euler Angles (ϕ1, Φ, ϕ2) | Miller-Bravais Indices [hkl]<uvw> | Primary Slip Mode |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| P-fiber  | (0;0–90;0)               | [hkl] < 21̅10 >                  | Prism <a> slip   |
| P1       | (00;0)                   | 1̅100 < 2̅110 >                  | Prism <a> slip   |
| B-fiber  | (0;90;0–60)              | 0001 < uwtv >                   | Basal <a> slip   |
| Y-fiber  | (0;30;30–60)             |                                  | Pyramidal <a> slip |
| C-fiber  | (60;90;0–60)             |                                  | Pyramidal <c+a> slip |
3.5. Misorientation Distribution

Misorientation data extracted from the high-resolution EBSD map (Figure 4b) were arranged as distributions of misorientation angles and misorientation (rotation) axes (Figure 7). To assist in the interpretation of these experimental results, a so-called uncorrelated (or texture-derived) misorientation distribution was also calculated. For this approach, 1000 pixels were arbitrarily selected from the EBSD map and all possible misorientations between them were determined using one of the standard options in the EBSD software. Results from this texture-derived distribution were broadly similar to the distribution for a texture comprising randomly oriented grains (Figure 7a). This observation was likely due to the very weak texture that was developed within the stir zone, as discussed in the previous section. On the other hand, the measured grain-boundary misorientation distribution was noticeably different from both the texture-derived and random ones. Specifically, it was characterized by a pronounced low-angle maximum, additional misorientation peaks near ~60° and ~90° (Figure 7a), and the clustering of misorientation axes around several preferred crystallographic directions (Figure 7b).

The low-angle peak was likely attributable to the very large strain experienced by the material during FSP and the continuous nature of CDRX. Surprisingly, the rotation axes of the LABs were distributed in a near-random fashion (Figure 7b). This behavior contrasted with the preferential clustering of LAB axes near the <0001> pole often observed in heavily deformed α titanium [53–55]. The present finding may thus be a result of the complex character of slip involving the activation of both prism-<a> and pyramidal <c+a> modes, as discussed previously.

![Figure 7. Distributions of (a) misorientation angle and (b) rotation axis for the α phase derived from the EBSD data in Figure 4b. The arrows show the misorientations which presumably originated from an α→β→α_s phase transformation.](https://example.com/f7.png)

The noticeable proportion of ~60° boundaries was likely associated with the partial α→β→α_s phase transformation, as discussed in Section 3.2. In titanium alloys, this transformation is normally governed by the Burgers orientation relationship, viz., \([0001]_α//\{110\}_β\) and \(<11\overline{2}0>_α//<-111>_β\). Because of the crystallographic symmetry of the α and β phases, there are 12 crystallographic variants of this relationship. The possible misorientations between the variants inherited from the same prior-β grain are shown in Table 2. From the table, it is seen that 3 of 5 such variants provide a peak near 60° in the misorientation-angle distribution and a clustering of rotation axes near the <2110> pole, in broad agreement with the experimental data (indicated by the arrows in Figure 7). This provides an explanation for the origin of the 60° peak therefore. In addition, it is worth noting that the measured fraction of “inter-variant” boundaries constituted only ~6.5% of the total grain-boundary area. The relatively low fraction of such misorientations was probably associated with very fine-grain nature of the microstructure (Figure 3b) which typically results in the nucleation of only a single α variant within some prior β grains. On the other hand, an example of the prior-β grain structure containing several secondary-α colonies (which are responsible for the inter-variant misorientations) is shown in supplementary Figure S4.
Table 2. Predicted misorientations between α variants inherited from the same parent β grain (after Gey et al. [56] and Wang et al. [57]).

| Misorientation (Angle-Axis Pair) | Symbol | Location of Misorientation Axes on Stereographic Triangle |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 10.5° < 0001 >                   | ▲      | ![Stereographic Triangle](image)                        |
| 60° < 11120 >                    | ●      |                                                        |
| 60.8° < 1.377;1;2.377;0.359 >    | ○      |                                                        |
| 63.3° < 10;5;5;3 >               | □      |                                                        |
| 90° < 1;2.38;1.38;0 >            | □      |                                                        |

The crystallographic preference for ~90° < 2110 >, ~90° < 1010 >, and ~90° < 16,4;12,3 > misorientations (Figure 7b) is less clear. From a broad perspective, such boundaries in α titanium can be produced by mechanical twinning involving [1012], [1123], or [1012] → [1123] modes, respectively e.g., [58]. Indeed, evidence of twinning of the α phase has been found during uniaxial compression of Ti-6Al-4V at room temperature [59] and, very recently, during the hot compression of the single-phase-α alloy Ti-7Al [60]. It should be noted that lowering the FSP temperature (and the concomitant development of ultrafine microstructures similar to that in Figure 3c) suppresses the phase transformation, but may enhance mechanical twinning. Such changes would likely result in a different misorientation distribution. On the other hand, considering the relatively narrow processing window as well as the substantial temperature gradient within the stir zone, phase transformation and twinning may always exert an influence on microstructural evolution to some degree.

4. Conclusions

The present work was undertaken to provide insight into the globular microstructure developed during FSP of Ti-6Al-4V. To this end, the advanced capabilities of the EBSD technique were employed. The main results derived from this study were as follows.

The microstructure developed in the stir zone under nominally subtransus processing conditions results from a complex superposition of several processes. In addition to the strain-induced refinement (common to FSP), it is also influenced noticeably by the partial α→β→α substructure within remnant, relatively coarse α grains suggest a continuous dynamic recrystallization mechanism of α phase refinement.

Although the texture in the α phase is very weak, there is a crystallographic preference for the formation of P1<1100> < 1110 > and C-fiber simple-shear components. This observation may be attributed to the dominance of prism- <a> and pyramidal <a+c> slip activity during FSP.

The misorientation distribution in the α phase is characterized by a noticeable proportion of 60° and 90° boundaries. The former boundaries are likely associated with a partial α→β→α substructure within remnant, relatively coarse α grains suggest a continuous dynamic recrystallization mechanism of α phase refinement.

| Symbol | Location of Misorientation Axes on Stereographic Triangle |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| ▲      | ![Stereographic Triangle](image)                        |
| ●      |                                                        |
| ○      |                                                        |
| □      |                                                        |

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2075-4701/10/7/976/s1, Figure S1: SEM images of the microstructure of the base material at: (a) low magnification and (b) high magnification. Figure S2: EBSD characterization of the base material: (a) low-resolution orientation (inverse-pole-figure) map, and (b) (0001) and [11-20] pole figures showing the texture. In (a), LABs and HABs are depicted as white and black lines, respectively. Figure S3: EBSD characterization of the base material: (a) high-resolution orientation (inverse-pole-figure) map, and (b) misorientation distribution. In (a), LABs and HABs are depicted as white and black lines, respectively. In (b), the insert in the top right corner shows misorientation-axis distribution. Figure S4: SEM micrograph taken from the stir zone that exemplifies several secondary-alpha colonies within a prior-β grain.
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