Modeling of factors influencing exploration of medical career choices
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ABSTRACT

Majority of medical students choose clinical specialities as their career choice, compared to other medical fields. This study aimed to finding an effective model of career exploration behaviour in medical students to construct effective career interventions. This study obtained 1030 students of medical faculties in Indonesia. All data used an online survey questionnaire that was collected starting from October 12th-25th 2015. Data analysis used Partial Least Square-Path Modelling using R statistical software to create a model in order to find correlation and pathway among each variable. The result showed both direct and indirect correlation towards the variables studied. Personal accomplishment had a stronger influence on self-efficacy ($\beta=0.317$, $p<0.001$). This study concluded that verbal persuasion and self-efficacy correlate directly to career exploration. All variables are related to career exploration in medical students. Educators and policymakers are able to construct intervention in this area to encourage medical students to start exploring career options early.

1. INTRODUCTION

Careers in medicine are generally divided into two areas, namely the clinical and non-clinical careers. Clinical fields include general practitioners, family physicians, specialists, consultants, etc. Non-clinical fields include anatomy, physiology, histology, molecular biology, epidemiology, health economics, community medicine, medical education, etc [1]. Moreover, more physicians started to explore non-medical careers as well [2]. Majority of medical students around the world choose clinical specialities as their career choice, compared to other medical fields. It’s based on various reasons and considerations in themselves, the environment around them and career opportunities [3-5].

Based on the fact that the majority of medical students preferred to choose clinical careers especially in Indonesia, it is hard to find professionals based on non-clinical fields. Various cases in developed countries shows that the low interest in the field of non-clinical also supported by their concerns about income, curriculum lead faculty do not have the time to introduce and develop the interest of students, their policies
relating to the registration of doctors who make it difficult for them to focus on research [6, 7]. To get a balanced distribution of professions between clinical and non-clinical fields, medical students need to start exploring their career choices early, in the hope they do not only choose clinical careers as their career choice. Medical students can choose hundreds of medical careers that suit their preferences, personal values, and abilities. Finding career choices can help students to plan their college life accordingly. Various theories and researches emphasized the importance of self-efficacy on career choice [8]. Hence, sources of self-efficacy are also considered vital in constructing an individual career-related behavior [8-11]. Self-efficacy (beliefs about one’s ability to perform), outcome expectations (contingency beliefs that someone’s efforts will result in consequences they desired), and goals/intentions, are directly influence career action, such as career planning and career exploration that are important elements in career decision-making [10].

Finding an effective model for career exploration behavior in medical students is important in order to provide them with the most effective method to enhance medical students’ ability and desire to choose a suitable career path. The model product from this research is expected to help many medical students in choosing various types of medical careers. This model will provide an effective suggestion for counselling medical students in career choices and hopefully contribute to the equitable distribution of medical professions in Indonesia.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

A cross sectional design was used in this study. The population of this study was medical students from all 85 medical faculties in Indonesia. Participants were voluntary and did not receive incentives to participate in this study. Questionnaires submitted online through the Google Form application starting from October 12th-25th 2015 were delivered via emails to students’ representatives of every medical faculty, with a total response of 1030 participants from 67 universities obtained by accidental sampling. The minimum sample size is determined based on the sample size formula for the average difference hypothesis in the two independent groups which results in a minimum sample size of 1001. This research had been deemed ethically feasible from the research-ethics commission of Medical Faculty of Sriwijaya University and RSUP Mohammad Hoesin Palembang, number No.398/KEPKRSMHKUNSRI/2015.

The questionnaire used close-ended questions with Likert scale which have been translated and validated from the career decision making self-efficacy scale-short form (CDSME-SF) to measure self-efficacy, the career exploration survey-revised (CES-R) to measure career exploration, the Career Decision-making outcome expectations (CDMOE) to measure career outcome expectation, and the career exploratory plans or intentions (CEPI) to measure career exploration intention [9, 11-13]. The questionnaire validity test was done by Pearson Product Moment (r). The Alpha-Cronbach results on CSESS, CDMSE-SF, CES-R, CDMOE, and CEPI were 0.935, 0.912, 0.803, and 0.737.

The obtained data in this study was processed based on the results of the questionnaire. After the data were collected, statistical analysis and interpretation of data were performed using Mann-Whitney and Chi-square test (p<0.05) to compare characteristic respondents and career choice. While, Partial Least Square-Path Modelling using R statistical software to create a model in order to find correlation and pathway among each variable.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From 1030 samples, 685 samples (66.5%) were females and 345 (33.5%) were males. In career choice question, most of the students choose to be specialists, with 657 responses (63.8%). Table 1 displays the characteristic of participants and a bivariate correlation between socio-demographic. Age, marital status and current educational status were statistically related to career choices as shown in Table 1.

All hypothesized pathways are explained, with path coefficient or β values indicating the effect direction and magnitude of relationship as shown in Table 2. In this model, there were significant direct pathways: (a) Personal accomplishment to self-efficacy (β=.317, p<.001); (b) Emotional arousal positive to self-efficacy (β=.161, p<.001); (c) Emotional arousal negative to self-efficacy (β=-.139, p<.05); (d) Verbal persuasion to self-efficacy (β=.129, p<.05); (e) Self-efficacy to outcome expectations (β=.229, p<.001); (f) Self efficacy to career intentions (β=.257, p<.001); (g) Self efficacy to career exploration (β=.446, p<.001); (h) Outcome expectation to career intentions (β=.422, p<.001); (i) Career intentions to Career exploration (β=.080, p<.001). Regarding the direct pathways of career exploration, self-efficacy had the highest path coefficient. The model accounted for 40% of the variance in self-efficacy, 13% in outcome expectations, 34.6% in career intentions, and 52.5% in career exploration.
Marital status and current educational status are both influenced by age, as Indonesian regulation has a restriction on marriage and university admission age. Age as a determinant in a career choice is in accordance with previous career-related researches and theories [3, 14-16].

| Table 1. Characteristics of participants |
| Variable | Frequency | Percentage (%) | p-value |
|----------|-----------|----------------|---------|
| Age      |           |                |         |
| Mean     | 21.29     |                |         |
| Median   | 21.35     |                |         |
| SD       | 2.10      |                | < 0.001 |
| Maximum  | 29        |                |         |
| Minimum  | 16        |                |         |
| Gender   |           |                |         |
| Male     | 345       | 33.5           | 0.451   |
| Female   | 685       | 66.5           |         |
| Marital status |       |                |         |
| Married  | 1003      | 97.4           | < 0.007 |
| Unmarried| 27        | 2.6            |         |
| University of origin |     |                |         |
| State    | 697       | 67.7           | 0.096   |
| Private  | 333       | 32.3           |         |
| Current education status |       |                |         |
| Fresh graduate | 149 | 14.5          |         |
| Clinical clerkship | 305 | 29.6          |         |
| Preclinical students | 567 | 55.9          | < 0.001 |
| Career choice     |              |                |         |
| Specialists      | 657       | 63.8           |         |
| Non-specialists  | 97        | 9.4            |         |
| Undecided        | 276       | 26.8           |         |
| Total            | 1030      | 100.0          |         |

| Table 2. Summary data and path coefficient correlation, n=1030 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Variables | Mean | SD  | Self-efficacy | Outcome expectations | Career intentions | Career exploration |
|-----------|------|-----|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Vicarious learning | 3.404 | 0.422 | 0.098 | 0.205 | 0.159 | 0.145 |
| Personal accomplishment | 3.438 | 0.626 | 0.317<sup>a</sup> | -0.091 | -0.07 | 0.079 |
| Emotional arousal positive | 3.564 | 0.564 | 0.161<sup>a</sup> | 0.0386 | -0.055 | 0.027 |
| Emotional arousal negative | 2.834 | 0.913 | -0.13<sup>a</sup> | -0.008 | -0.043 | 0.057 |
| Verbal persuasion | 3.528 | 0.716 | 0.129<sup>a</sup> | 0.032 | 0.015 | 0.128<sup>a</sup> |
| Self-efficacy | 3.629 | 0.650 | - | 0.229<sup>a</sup> | 0.257<sup>a</sup> | 0.446<sup>a</sup> |
| Outcome expectations | 4.024 | 0.525 | - | - | 0.422<sup>a</sup> | -0.028 |
| Career intentions | 4.187 | 0.609 | - | - | - | 0.080<sup>a</sup> |
| Career exploration | 3.235 | 0.672 | - | - | - | - |

Significance level of .05 (<sup>a</sup>p<0.05; <sup>b</sup>p<0.01; <sup>c</sup>p<0.001)

The youngest group of samples in this study was sixteen years old, which was currently still on their early stage of career exploration during their crystallization phase of career task [16]. On this phase of career development, someone started to experience and explore possible careers for them in order to narrow their choices and start to develop tentative vocation goals. Mean age of the samples twenty-one years old, was categorized to be in the later phase of the career exploration stage. During this phase, their choices and goals are being made and they started to act on their plan, such as going to a certain workshop related to their preferred career. These career tasks are called specification and implementing. After they finished their exploration stage, they will start to choose, adapt, and determine their preferred career [16, 17, 18].

In this study, we found that sex did not influence medical career choices as theories and previous researches [3, 8, 19-21]. There are more ways to explain how the result was different to previous theories and researches in career choices. Aside of gender belief and stereotypes on what considered as appropriate career choices for a certain sex, the high amount of female medical students and physicians as role models in the medical field slowly makes career choices in medical to be more equal [22-24]. Moreover, previous research on similar demographic shown that sex has weak correlations with career aspirations, planning, and exploration [3, 25].

However, there were non-significant direct pathways. Vicarious learning had no significant direct effect on self-efficacy, outcome expectations, career intentions, and career exploration. No significant direct effect was found in personal accomplishment, positive emotional arousal, and negative emotional arousal to outcome expectations, career intentions, and career exploration, respectively. Verbal Persuasion had no
significant direct effect on outcome expectation and career intentions. Moreover, outcome expectations had no significant direct effect on career exploration. Furthermore, in regards to indirect link pathways of career exploration, there were several significant indirect effect to career exploration: (a) Personal accomplishment (via self-efficacy, CIs=0.22 to 0.43); (b) Positive emotional arousal (via self-efficacy, CIs=0.03 to 0.28); (c) Negative emotional arousal (via self-efficacy, CIs=-0.26 to -0.01); (d) Verbal persuasion (via self-efficacy, CIs=0.01 to 0.24); (e) Self efficacy (via career intention, CIs=0.28 to 0.43). All the results are made into a model with only significant paths included avoiding visual chaos as shown in Figure 1.

Three of sources of self-efficacy, personal accomplishment, positive emotional arousal, and verbal persuasion, had a positive relationship to self-efficacy, while negative emotional arousal had a negative relationship to self-efficacy. The strongest predictor of self-efficacy was a personal accomplishment, as it was supported in previous researches [11, 26]. High self-efficacy beliefs from past experiences of achievement and mastery will influence individuals to think positively about their future career path. Emotional arousal, whether it was on a positive or negative state, had its influence on self-efficacy. People often rely on their emotion as an encouragement to help determine whether they will be successful at a certain task [26].

Verbal persuasion is also proven to be statistically influential to self-efficacy as it was shown in previous theories and researches [11, 25, 26]. One particular research in a similar cultural setting also shown that verbal persuasion affects career exploration although there is no significant influence in self-efficacy. It is assumed that parents expect their children to pursue occupations that are financially secure and rewarding and that are practical or more marketable [27]. An interesting finding in this study is the occurrence of direct influence from verbal persuasion to career exploration. In a collectivist culture as Indonesia, the act of exhortation and suggestion goes a long way, especially if the reinforcement comes from someone in higher hierarchy level, parents as examples [25, 28]. High power distance culture makes children value their seniors’ suggestions and expectations, so much they are willing to compromise with their career path [25, 29]. In a collectivist culture, parents’ expectations and suggestions are influential on important life decisions such as choosing a career. It is considered a joint decision instead of a personal decision [25]. So, children in the collectivist community, verbal persuasion can enhance not only personal self-efficacy but the act of career exploration.

Self-efficacy has a significant influence on outcome expectation, as supported by previous findings [10, 11]. Outcome expectation, both academic and career ones, are needed in the career decision making process [13]. Having high outcome expectation in a medical career is considered given because generally public assumed that when someone entering profession career field, like medical or law, they will be practicing the field professionally, and independently [30]. Self-efficacy influence career intention directly, and indirectly through outcome expectation as mediator. Previous research has already shown that high self-efficacy will lead to an interest in developing themselves [9, 11]. It applies to outcome expectation as well. Self-efficacy also shows a direct relationship to career exploration as supported by a previous study [11, 25].
In order to increase medical students’ career exploration, high school and medical schools can support them with personal or family career counselling, in order to find common ground and decide together what will be the best for the student’s interest [29]. Early exposure to a variety of careers will enhance students’ awareness of their future options [31] while finding what they can do best in certain fields will increase their personal performances aiding in their career decisions. Faculty can accommodate these activities by making internship opportunities, courses or programs that will help students learn more about their possible choices [32]. Parents can also be more involved in these activities, so they can give rational guidance and personal persuasion to their children.

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the results and discussion of this research, it is concluded that contributable variables studied are related to career exploration in medical students, both directly and indirectly. Verbal persuasion and self-efficacy affect career exploration directly. Several activities can be done early in their program of study in order to increase medical students’ career exploration and help them to find the career that is suitable for their personal values, interest, and ability. The findings demonstrate the importance of sources of self-efficacy in medical students’ career exploration behavior. Educators and policymakers are able to construct intervention in this area to encourage medical students to start exploring career options early.
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