Effect of the Mendelsohn maneuver and swallowing training in patients with senile vascular dementia complicated with dysphagia
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Abstract

Objective: We investigated the effect of the Mendelsohn maneuver and swallowing training in patients with senile vascular dementia complicated with dysphagia.

Methods: We randomly classified 214 patients with senile vascular dementia and swallowing dysfunction into a control group (CG, n = 106) and observation group (OG, n = 108). Both groups underwent health education, psychological intervention, and training of the oral muscle group. The OG additionally underwent the Mendelsohn maneuver and swallowing training. The Hasegawa Dementia Scale (HDS), China Stroke Scale (CSS), and Neurobehavioral Cognitive Status Examination (NCSE) were used to evaluate dementia, neurological impairment, and cognitive dysfunction, respectively.

Results: The OG had a higher rate of effective therapy than the CG. After intervention, the OG showed better swallowing function than the CG. At 15 days and 1 month after intervention, the OG had higher video fluoroscopic swallowing exam scores than the CG. The OG had lower serum interleukin (IL)-1, IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor-α levels than the CG. After intervention, the OG had higher HDS and NCSE scores and lower CSS scores than the CG.
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Conclusions: The Mendelsohn maneuver and swallowing training can improve swallowing function in patients with senile vascular dementia complicated with dysphagia and help to ameliorate the inflammatory response.
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Introduction
Cerebrovascular disease can cause a cognitive impairment syndrome called vascular dementia, which is mainly characterized by hypomnesia, impaired emotional communication, personality changes, and cognitive dysfunction. The incidence of vascular dementia is approximately 3%, accounting for approximately 25% of all dementias. Dysphagia refers to movement problems or delays in transport of solids or fluids from the mouth to the stomach. Vascular dementia complicated with dysphagia can result in airway obstruction, aspiration pneumonia, and dehydration, among other problems, thereby increasing the risk of death. With the aging of Chinese society, the number of patients with vascular dementia complicated with swallowing disorder is increasing, thereby seriously exacerbating patients’ quality of life. Currently, these patients are generally managed using drug therapy and rehabilitation therapy. Rehabilitation therapy has the advantages of being a simple procedure with no side effects. Rehabilitation therapies include the Mendelsohn maneuver, which mainly induces and strengthens the pharyngeal phase initiation and improves swallowing function, as well as swallowing training, which mostly includes training of the oral muscle group, tongue, and esophagus to enhance oral and esophageal muscle strength. There are many rehabilitation treatment methods for senile vascular dementia complicated with dysphagia; most of these are treatments are comprehensive but are not targeted treatments, and the rehabilitation effect is limited. However, the Mendelsohn maneuver and swallowing training are targeted to dysphagia. These two techniques can remarkably improve the swallowing function of patients with Parkinson disease complicated with swallowing dysfunction. However, their application in patients with vascular dementia complicated with dysphagia has not yet been reported. In this study, we aimed to investigate the effects of these two techniques on patients with senile vascular dementia complicated with dysphagia, to determine their effectiveness in improving the swallowing function of these patients.

Materials and methods
Patients
In this study, we enrolled patients with senile vascular dementia complicated with dysphagia who were hospitalized between January 2017 and December 2019. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) met the Chinese Classification of Mental Disorders Version 3 diagnostic criteria for
dementia and the diagnostic criteria approved by the Chinese Cerebrovascular Diseases Conference; (2) had confirmed swallowing dysfunction after undergoing preliminary screening using the water-swallowing test and video fluoroscopic swallowing exam (VFSE); (3) had normal extremity function and swallowing function before developing any mental disorders; (4) were 60 to 80 years old; (5) had no language impairment; and (6) signed the informed consent in agreement with family members. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Houjie Hospital of Dongguan (approval number: [2020] HYLS No. (036)).

The exclusion criteria were as follows: have (1) malignant tumor; (2) severe systemic disease; (3) other mental illnesses; (4) organ dysfunction; (5) a long history of alcoholism; (6) a long history of psychotropic substance abuse; (7) neurological dysfunction; (8) dementia caused by infection, metabolic disorder, or poisoning; or (9) myocardial infarction, systemic acute and chronic infection, or any unstable condition. Using the random number table method, patients were classified into a control group (CG, n = 106) and an observation group (OG, n = 108).

Methods

Control group. Conventional therapy in the control group (CG) comprised the following. (1) Basic treatment: According to Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Dementia in China, aspirin (100 mg, orally, once/day) was given to control blood pressure and blood sugar and improve brain microcirculation. (2) Health education: Information about vascular dementia complicated with swallowing dysfunction was provided to patients and their families. To improve patient compliance, we explained the contents and key points of rehabilitation training. (3) Psychological intervention: According to patients’ degree of dementia, psychological care was provided according to the patient’s condition. We ensured a caring, warm attitude, and use of a gentle tone to cultivate a positive nurse–patient relationship. Observation and evaluation were based on abnormal psychological issues such as anxiety, pessimism, irritability, and nervousness. Additionally, we provided comfort, encouragement, and good examples of rehabilitation training to raise patients’ confidence. (4) Routine training points: We instructed patients to perform neck exercises such as forward flexion, backward flexion, left rotation, right rotation, and side flexion. Additionally, the therapist instructed the patient to open and close their mouth, puff out their cheeks, and to practice sucking movements. The training time was 30 minutes/day, and the training frequency was 5 times/week with a 1-month training cycle.

Observation group. In addition to the treatment provided in the CG, the Mendelsohn maneuver and swallowing training were adopted in the observation group (OG), as follows. (1) The procedures involved in the Mendelsohn maneuver are described in Table 1. The Mendelsohn maneuver involved training once daily for 10 minutes. (2) Swallowing training: The patient was instructed to adopt a reclining decubitus position, with the neck bent forward at 30 degrees, or a lateral decubitus position. Using a small shallow spoon, the therapist fed patients 3 to 5 mL of soft food in each session, and the patient was instructed to swallow the food in several bouts. After completing the final bout of swallowing, the patient was to swallow the food again. According to the patient’s improvement, the amount of food was increased in each session, up to 15 mL per tablespoon. The training was conducted for 30 minutes, and
the training frequency was twice daily over a 1-month cycle.

**Measures**

*Grading of dysphagia and evaluation of curative effect.* To evaluate dysphagia before and after the intervention, we used the water-swallowing test. The patient adopted a sitting position and was provided with a cup containing 30 mL of warm water. Patients were classified as follows: Class I (drank within 5 s and did not cough); Class II (drank more than 5 s twice and did not cough); Class III (drank once and coughed); Class IV (drank twice and coughed); and Class V (could not finish drinking and coughed continuously).

Significantly effective therapy indicates that the condition improved by two levels. Effective therapy means the condition improved by one level. Null therapy indicates that the condition did not improve or worsened. The total effectiveness was calculated as follows: Total effectiveness = Significant therapy + Effective therapy.

*Video fluoroscopic swallowing exam.* A video fluoroscopic swallowing exam (VFSE) was used before the intervention and at 15 days and 1 month after the intervention. The oral stage was scored as follows: 0 (the food could not enter the throat), 1 (only scraps of food could flow down the throat), 2 (the food could not enter the throat by swallowing once), and 3 (the food could enter the throat by swallowing once). The pharyngeal stage was scored as follows: 0 (the throat and arcus palatine could not be raised to occlusion), 1 (large amounts of food remained in the epiglottis and pyriform pits), 2 (small amounts of food remained and could be swallowed after several attempts), and 3 (the food could be sent into the throat by swallowing once). The esophageal stage was scored as follows: 0 (swallowing mostly failed, without coughing), 1 (swallowing mostly failed, with coughing), 2 (swallowing rarely failed, without coughing), 3 (swallowing rarely failed, with coughing), and 4 (no coughing).

*Serum inflammatory factors.* We collected 3 mL of venous blood before the intervention and 1 month after the intervention. Blood samples were centrifuged at 845 × g. The concentration levels of interleukin (IL)-1, IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α in extracted serum were determined using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). All ELISA kits were purchased from Shanghai Westang Bio-Tech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Plates were read using a Multiskan FC microplate photometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The testing procedure was
performed in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Degree of dementia, neurological impairment, and cognitive dysfunction.** The degree of dementia was evaluated using the Hasegawa Dementia Scale (HDS), with scores ranging from 0 to 30. Higher scores indicate fewer dementia symptoms. The degree of neurological impairment was evaluated using the China Stroke Scale (CSS), with scores ranging from 0 to 45. Higher scores indicate more serious impairment. The degree of cognitive dysfunction was evaluated using the Neurobehavioral Cognitive Status Examination (NCSE), with scores ranging from 0 to 100. Higher scores indicate better cognitive function.

**Activities of daily living (ADL).** Activities of daily living (ADL) were evaluated using the ADL scale, with a total score of 100. Scores of 61 to 100 indicate basic daily living capability, 41 to 60 indicate a need for assistance, 20 to 40 indicate a considerable need for assistance, and scores below 19 indicate the inability to live independently.

**Family satisfaction.** We used a customized questionnaire to assess the satisfaction of patients’ families with the intervention. Scores above 90 indicated that the family was highly satisfied, 60 to 89 indicated generally satisfied, and scores less than 59 indicated dissatisfaction. Family satisfaction was calculated as follows: Satisfaction = Highly satisfied rate + Generally satisfied rate.

**Statistical analysis.** The data were analyzed using IBM SPSS 25.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Measurement data are presented as mean ± standard deviation, and differences between the OG and CG were compared using the Student t-test. Repeated measures analysis of variance was used before and after treatment, and with $P < 0.05$, pairwise comparison was conducted before and after treatment. Enumeration data were presented as number (%), and differences between the two groups were compared using the chi-square test. Additionally, ranked data were compared with the Mann–Whitney U test. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Differences in general clinical indicators between the two groups**

A total of 214 patients with senile vascular dementia and swallowing dysfunction were randomly classified into a CG (n = 106) and OG (n = 108). Among the total patients, there were 126 men and 88 women, aged 60 to 80 years. Sex, mean age, dementia course, degree of dementia, and degree of dysphagia were not significantly different between the two groups (Table 2).

**Differences in curative effect between groups**

The effective therapy rate in the OG was significantly higher than that in the CG (87.04% vs. 66.04%) ($P < 0.05$), indicating that the Mendelsohn maneuver and swallowing training had significantly better curative effect in patients with senile vascular dementia complicated with dysphagia (Table 3).

**Comparison of swallowing function after intervention**

Patients in the OG had a significantly better swallowing function than those in the CG after the intervention ($P < 0.05$), indicating that the Mendelsohn maneuver and swallowing training could remarkably improve the swallowing function of patients with senile vascular dementia complicated with dysphagia (Table 4).
Comparison of VFSE scores after intervention

The VFSE scores in both groups after the intervention were higher than those before the intervention and at 15 days and 1 month after the intervention. However, patients in the OG had significantly higher scores than those in the CG ($P < 0.05$) (Table 5).

Comparison of inflammatory factors between groups after intervention

The serum IL-1, IL-6, and TNF-α levels of both groups were lower after the intervention than before the intervention, and patients in the OG had lower levels than those in the CG ($P < 0.05$ for all). Hence, the Mendelsohn maneuver and swallowing training could considerably reduce inflammatory factor levels in our patients (Figure 1).

Comparison of HDS, CSS, and NCSE scores after intervention

HDS and NCSS scores were higher and CSS scores were lower after the intervention than those before the intervention ($P < 0.05$ for all). The OG had higher HDS and
NCSS scores and lower CSS scores than the CG (both \( P < 0.05 \)). Thus, the Mendelsohn maneuver and swallowing training could markedly improve the cognitive function of patients with senile vascular dementia complicated with dysphagia (Figure 2).

**Comparison of ADL between groups after intervention**

After the intervention, the rate of patients with good ADL capability was 50.00% in the OG whereas that in the CG was only 24.53% \( (P < 0.05) \). Therefore, the Mendelsohn maneuver and swallowing training significantly improved the ADL capability of patients in this study (Table 6).

**Comparison of family members’ satisfaction between groups after intervention**

Post-intervention, the satisfaction of family members in the OG was 96.30% whereas that in the CG was only 81.13% \( (P < 0.05) \). Therefore, the Mendelsohn maneuver and swallowing training significantly improved satisfaction in the family

**Table 5.** Video fluoroscopic swallowing exam scores between the two groups after intervention.

| Group        | N   | Before intervention | 15 days after intervention | 1 month after intervention | F     | P      |
|--------------|-----|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|--------|
| Observation  | 108 | 3.73 ± 0.76         | 7.05 ± 0.89*              | 8.71 ± 1.07*               | 828.455 | <0.001 |
| Control      | 106 | 3.67 ± 0.82         | 5.19 ± 0.95*              | 6.95 ± 1.16*               | 293.381 | <0.001 |
| t            | 0.393 | 10.454             | 8.160                     | –                           | –     |        |
| P            | 0.695 | <0.001              | <0.001                    | –                           | –     |        |

\* \( P < 0.05 \), compared with before intervention. 

Values in the table are mean ± standard deviation or score, unless otherwise noted.

**Figure 1.** Inflammatory factors between the two groups. \* \( P < 0.05 \) compared with before intervention; \# \( P < 0.05 \) compared with the control group.

IL, interleukin; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

**Figure 2.** Scores on the Hasegawa Dementia Scale (HDS), China Stroke Scale (CSS), and Neurobehavioral Cognitive Status Examination (NCSE) in the two groups. \* \( P < 0.05 \) compared with before intervention; \# \( P < 0.05 \) compared with the control group. Values are mean ± standard deviation or score.
of patients with senile vascular dementia complicated with dysphagia (Table 7).

**Discussion**

Clinically, vascular dementia is caused by stroke and dysphagia, which are common sequelae of stroke. After stroke, patients with dysphagia are prone to aspiration, with the incidence of aspiration increased 11.5 times.\(^9\) Considering the frailty and basic disease of patients with senile dementia, drug therapy for senile vascular dementia complicated with dysphagia involves tremendous health risks. Therefore, rehabilitation is essential in patients with this condition.

Dysphagia may be caused by impairment of the deglutition center. Individuals cannot coordinate the duration and intensity of swallowing and cannot effectively control the movement of facial, lingual, and masticatory muscles, leading to dysphagia and swallowing hesitation.\(^10\) Additionally, cortical damage may also lead to abnormal pharynx muscular contraction and lower esophageal sphincter abnormality, causing dysphagia.\(^11\) Routine training methods include instructing patients to perform neck exercise training, opening and closing the mouth, puffing out the cheeks, and sucking exercises. These active training points can improve the flexibility and coordination of the oropharyngeal muscles and improve dysphagia. The Mendelsohn maneuver causes patients to feel the lifting of the prominentia laryngea, inducing the laryngeal reflex, reducing pressure during the resting period on the upper esophageal sphincter, prolonging the long-term relaxation time, and increasing the degree of relaxation.\(^12,13\) Lifting of the prominentia laryngea causes the surrounding muscles to be pulled, resulting in opening of the lower esophageal sphincter. Hence, stimulating the nerves associated with swallowing, and contractile reflex induction improves swallowing function.\(^14\) During swallowing training, food intake is increased as the oral and esophageal

---

**Table 6.** Activities of daily living in the two groups.

| Group       | N   | No ability to live independently (%) | Considerable need for assistance (%) | In need of assistance (%) | Basic daily living ability (%) |
|-------------|-----|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Observation | 108 | 6 (5.56)                            | 20 (18.52)                           | 28 (25.93)               | 54 (50.00)                    |
| Control     | 106 | 18 (16.98)                          | 38 (35.85)                           | 24 (22.64)               | 26 (24.53)                    |
| $\chi^2$    |     | 3.507                               | 4.066                                | 0.157                    | 7.414                         |
| $P$         |     | 0.061                               | 0.044                                | 0.692                    | 0.007                         |

Values in the table are number (percentage), unless otherwise noted.

**Table 7.** Families’ satisfaction in the two groups.

| Group       | N   | Highly satisfied (%) | Generally satisfied (%) | Dissatisfaction score (%) | Satisfaction score (%) |
|-------------|-----|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Observation | 108 | 58 (53.70)           | 46 (42.59)              | 4 (3.70)                 | 104 (96.30)            |
| Control     | 106 | 34 (32.08)           | 52 (49.06)              | 20 (18.87)               | 86 (81.13)             |
| $\chi^2$    |     | –                    | –                       | –                        | 6.177                  |
| $P$         |     | –                    | –                       | –                        | 0.013                  |

Values in the table are number (percentage), unless otherwise noted.
muscle groups are exercised. This training enhances motor coordination of the oral and esophageal muscle groups and improves patients’ swallowing function. The combination of the Mendelsohn maneuver and swallowing training produces a synergistic effect, which is beneficial to improving the swallowing function in patients. Our study demonstrated that the effective therapy rate, swallowing function, and VFSE of patients in the OG were better than those of patients in the CG, consistent with previous research.15

The inflammatory response is a key factor causing vascular dementia and neurodegenerative disease. IL-1 is a proinflammatory factor that activates various inflammatory cells and plays an essential role in secondary brain damage.16 IL-6 can stimulate astrocyte proliferation and promote the secretion of nerve growth factor. In patients with vascular dementia, the serum concentration of IL-6 is substantially increased.17 TNF-α promotes an inflammatory cascade that leads to secondary brain damage. In our study, the serum levels of IL-1, IL-6, and TNF-α in the OG were decreased after the intervention and were lower than those in the CG, consistent with previous studies.18 The reason might be that the Mendelsohn maneuver and swallowing training repeatedly stimulate the peripheral sensors, activate the neurons, promote microcirculation in ischemic areas of the brain, facilitate neuron regeneration, alleviate brain injury and local inflammatory responses, reduce the release of inflammatory factors, and consequently decrease the level of serum inflammatory factors.19,20

As cerebrovascular diseases lead to hypoperfusion in the brain area that controls cognition and behavior, patients with vascular dementia complicated with dysphagia have neurological deficits, which cause cognitive dysfunction and reduce their ADL capability. After the intervention in our study, HDS and NCSE scores in the OG were higher and CSS scores were lower than those in the CG. The rate of patients with good ADL capability was also higher in the OG than that in the CG. Furthermore, family members of patients in the OG were more satisfied than the family of patients in the CG, consistent with previous studies.21,22 Therefore, the Mendelsohn maneuver and swallowing training not only alleviated dysphagia but also lessened dementia and neurologic impairment, and improved cognitive function. The reason for these changes might be linked to the brain structure. These two techniques might activate the neurons, stimulate neurogenesis, and increase blood flow and oxygen supply. Consequently, the damaged areas are regenerated and can recover.23–25

In conclusion, the Mendelsohn maneuver and swallowing training can improve the swallowing function of patients with senile vascular dementia complicated with dysphagia and can help to alleviate cognitive and neurologic impairment, ameliorate the inflammatory response, and improve satisfaction in patients’ families. These two rehabilitation therapies are easy to perform and easy to master and are suitable for patients with senile dementia, without side effects. However, this study has limitations including (1) an extremely small sample size, (2) excessively short observation time, and (2) insufficient observation indexes. Hence, further experiments should be performed to resolve these limitations, such as by including a larger sample size.
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