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Abstract

This paper presents the participation of the IRNLP_DAIICT team from Information Retrieval and Natural Language Processing lab at DA-IICT, India in DravidianLangTech-EACL2021 Offensive Language identification in Dravidian languages. The aim of this shared task is to identify Offensive Language from a code-mixed data-set of YouTube comments. The task is to classify comments into Not Offensive (NO), Offensive Untargeted (OU), Offensive Targeted Individual (OTI), Offensive Targeted Group (OTG), Offensive Targeted Others (OTO), Other Language (OL) for three Dravidian languages: Kannada, Malayalam and Tamil. We use TF-IDF character n-grams and pretrained MuRIL embeddings for text representation and Logistic Regression and Linear SVM for classification. Our best approach achieved Ninth, Third and Eighth with weighted F1 score of 0.64, 0.95 and 0.71 in Kannada, Malayalam and Tamil on test dataset respectively. Our code is publicly available here.

1 Introduction

The emergence of smartphones and widespread access to internet, social media platforms like Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, etc have become increasingly popular. People express their opinions on such platforms on various issues. Due to lack of moderation, a significant amount of offensive content is often posted on these platforms. Since social media is become a indispensable part of our life, the content posted on the social media platforms has great impact on the society. It has been seen recently that offensive and provoking content on these platforms can lead to riots. Hence the moderation of content in these platforms is a very important task. Since the amount of content generated is very high, there is a need to perform automated moderation of the content.

Natural language processing can used to perform automated analysis of text. By identifying and classifying the text content posted, offensive content can be removed and users could be warned. This can help in curbing offensive content online.

The goal of this shared task is to classify offensive language from a code-mixed data-set of comments of Dravidian languages collected from YouTube. Shared task was introduced as six class classification of the YouTube for three Dravidian languages: Kannada, Malayalam and Tamil (Chakravarthi et al., 2021)

Our approaches use TF-IDF character n-grams and MuRIL embeddings for the text representation and Logistic Regression, Linear SVM, Random Forest for classification.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section we discuss the related work followed by Section 3 which describes the shared task dataset and Methods are presented in Section 4. Results and Analysis is given in final Section 5 and Section 6 present Conclusion.

2 Related Work

The identification of hate and offensive Speech in social media is of great importance and receives much attention in the text classification community. Due to the lack of resources and morphological complexity there is a huge demand for research in code-mixed Dravidian languages.

Some of the shared tasks in the recent past are OffensEval (Zampieri et al., 2019, 2020), HateEval (Basile et al., 2019) and HASOC (Mandl et al., 2019, 2020). Among these shared tasks, HASOC 2020 shared task is based on Dravidian languages. In OffensEval (Zampieri et al., 2020), BERT (Devlin et al., 2019), ROBERTa (Liu et al., 2019) and...
ELMo (Peters et al., 2018) were used for offensive language identification. In HASOC (Mandl et al., 2020) Track multilingual transformer based methods like XLM-ROBERTa, mBERT, etc were employed and fine tuned for the task. Other popular techniques were TF-IDF along with Character n-grams combined with machine learning classifiers like Logistic Regression, SVM and XGboost.

### 3 Dataset

Offensive Language Identification shared task organizers provide datasets in three languages Kannada (Hande et al., 2020), Malayalam (Chakravarthi et al., 2020a) and Tamil (Chakravarthi et al., 2020b). Dataset has been curated from Youtube comments using the YouTube Comment Scraper ². The number of comments is 28451 in the Kannada dataset, 20198 in the Tamil dataset and 10705 in the Malayalam dataset. Full statistic of dataset given in Table 1

### 4 Methods

For all the YouTube comments we first preprocess the text and then create a text representation and finally classify the text using the machine learning classifiers. Figure 1 illustrates the set of steps used to classify the YouTube comments.

#### 4.1 Pre-Processing

Since there is a lot of noise in the social media text we perform the following preprocessing operations. URL’s, user mentions of the form @user, emojis, digits, punctuations are removed and the text is lowercased.

Table 1: Offensive Language Identification Detection shared task Dataset Statistics

| Label | Kannada | Malayalam | Tamil |
|-------|---------|-----------|-------|
|       | Train   | Dev       | Test  | Train   | Dev       | Test  | Train   | Dev       | Test  |
| NO    | 3544    | 426       | 427   | 14153   | 1779      | 1765  | 25425   | 3193      | 3190  |
| OU    | 212     | 33        | 33    | 911     | 20        | 29    | 2906    | 356       | 368   |
| OTI   | 487     | 66        | 75    | 239     | 24        | 27    | 2557    | 307       | 315   |
| OTG   | 329     | 45        | 44    | 140     | 13        | 23    | 2343    | 295       | 288   |
| OTO   | 123     | 16        | 14    | -       | -         | -     | 2343    | 172       | 160   |
| OL    | 1522    | 191       | 185   | 1287    | 163       | 157   | 1454    | 65        | 71    |
| Total | 6217    | 777       | 778   | 16010   | 1999      | 2001  | 35139   | 4388      | 4392  |

2 https://github.com/philbot9/youtube-comment-scraper
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Figure 1: Steps involved in Offensive language identification

#### 4.2 Text representation and Classifiers

Representation of the text is one of the fundamental tasks in Natural language processing. We explore two representation techniques: TF-IDF and MuRIL. TF-IDF is a very popular text representation technique which takes into account the frequency of the word in a given document and the number of documents in which a word is present. We employ Scikit-learn TF-IDF vectorizer API for obtaining the text representation. Instead of word based TF-IDF representation we make use of character
n-grams based TF-IDF representation so as to effectively capture morphological variations of the words.

MuRIL\(^3\) (Multilingual Representations for Indian Languages) is a transformer based language model trained on 17 Indian languages on self-supervised masked language modeling task. MuRIL training consists of translation and transliteration segment pairs in addition to the standard training used in Multilingual BERT. Pretrained MuRIL model is used to obtain the text representation in the form vectors of 768 dimension.

Logistic Regression (LR), Random Forest (RF), Linear SVM classifiers have been used to perform the classification of the text. Scikit-learn API has been used to implement the classification task. So the six approaches we have used are TF-IDF (Char) + LR, TF-IDF(Char) + SVM, TF-IDF(Char) + RF, MuRIL + LR, MuRIL + SVM, MuRIL + RF for each language.

### 5 Results and Analysis

The submission evaluation on the test data of all the three languages is shown in tables 2, 3, 4. Our methods achieve Ninth, Third and Eighth rank in Kannada, Malayalam and Tamil respectively. For the Kannada language task, TF-IDF (Char) + LR and TF-IDF (Char) + SVM achieves the best results with weighted F1 score of 0.65 but for ranking task organize consider TF-IDF(char) + RF with weighted F1 score of 0.64. For the Malayalam language task, MuRIL representation of the text with Random Forest classifier (MuRIL + RF) achieves the best weighted F1 score of 0.95. For Tamil language TF-IDF character n-grams representation of the text with Logistic regression classifier ( TF-IDF (Char) + LR) achieves the best results with weighted F1 score of 0.71.

| Model          | W-Avg F1-score |
|----------------|----------------|
| TF-IDF (Char) + LR | 0.65           |
| TF-IDF(Char) + SVM  | 0.65           |
| TF-IDF(Char) + RF   | 0.64           |
| MuRIL + LR          | 0.39           |
| MuRIL + SVM          | 0.39           |
| MuRIL + RF           | 0.60           |

Table 2: Results for the Kannada on test dataset.

| Model          | W-Avg F1-score |
|----------------|----------------|
| TF-IDF (Char) + LR | 0.71           |
| TF-IDF(Char) + SVM  | 0.70           |
| TF-IDF(Char) + RF   | 0.66           |
| MuRIL + LR          | 0.61           |
| MuRIL + SVM          | 0.61           |
| MuRIL + RF           | 0.63           |

Table 3: Results for the Malayalam on test dataset.

| Model          | W-Avg F1-score |
|----------------|----------------|
| TF-IDF (Char) + LR | 0.71           |
| TF-IDF(Char) + SVM  | 0.70           |
| TF-IDF(Char) + RF   | 0.66           |
| MuRIL + LR          | 0.61           |
| MuRIL + SVM          | 0.61           |
| MuRIL + RF           | 0.63           |

Table 4: Results for the Tamil on test dataset.

It can be observed that MuRIL is not performing well particularly in case of Kannada and Tamil language but it has help us achieve rank 3 with weighted F1 score of 0.95 in Malayalam. TF-IDF character n-grams representation performs better than MuRIL in Kannada and Tamil. So there is no clear winner in terms of text representation or the classifier across all the three languages. Also, data imbalance problem is prevalent in all three languages making the task more difficult.

### 6 Conclusion

In this paper the details regarding our submission in Offensive Language Identification shared task have been presented. We explored two text representation techniques: TF-IDF character n-grams and MuRIL and conclude that MuRIL works better for Malayalam while TF-IDF works better for other two languages. In future to mitigate the data imbalance problem text augmentation techniques like back translation could be applied to oversample the minority class. Also, other methods like CNN, RNN and fine tuning of Language models could be explored.
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