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A B S T R A C T

We describe the identification in aphids of a unique heterodimeric voltage-gated sodium channel which has an atypical ion selectivity filter and, unusually for insect channels, is highly insensitive to tetrodotoxin. We demonstrate that this channel has most likely arisen by adaptation (gene fission or duplication) of an invertebrate ancestral mono(hetero)meric channel. This is the only identifiable voltage-gated sodium channel homologue in the aphid genome(s), and the channel's novel selectivity filter motif (DENs instead of the usual DEKA found in other eukaryotes) may result in a loss of sodium selectivity, as indicated experimentally in mutagenised Drosophila channels.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of the Federation of European Biochemical Societies. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Voltage-gated sodium channels (Nav s) mediate the rising phase of the action potential in the majority of innervated metazoans. Due to this critical role in neurotransmission the channels are invariably highly conserved across phyla. Eukaryotic Nav s are multi-domain proteins, consisting of four non-identical domains (DI-DIV), with each domain comprising six transmembrane (TM) segments (S1–S6) containing a voltage sensor (S1–S4) and a membrane-spanning pore region (S5–S6). Typically, their ion (Na+) selectivity filter consists of one loop from each domain (located between S5 and S6 of the pore region) which collectively form a ‘DEKA’ (DI-aspartate; DII-glutamate; DIII-lysine; DIV-alanine) amino acid sequence motif at the entry to the pore. In evolution terms, Nav s are considered to be the most recent members of a large family of ion channels that includes voltage- and ligand-gated K+ channels, Ca2+ channels and several non-selective channels [1]. Prokaryotic channels, which are the likely progenitors, consist of single domain polypeptides that self-assemble to form functional tetrameric channels. These have some striking similarities to vertebrate monomorphic four domain (4x6TM) Nav and Ca channels, so it is likely that the multi-domain channels arose by multiple cycles of gene duplication and fusion from an ancestral single-domain protein [2] (Fig. 1). Contemporary Nav channels are thought to have evolved from a family of channels called Nav2 [3] which, because of their unique pore sequence (DKEA or DEEA), preferentially conduct Ca2+ [4]. Although this family of cation channels has apparently been lost in vertebrates, they can still be found in invertebrates [5]. Higher order metazoans typically contain multiple genes encoding 4x6TM Nav channels with different characteristics and functions [6]. These isoforms can be differentiated pharmacologically based on their sensitivity to the pore blocking toxin, tetrodotoxin (TTX). In contrast, all insects thus far studied have only one 4x6TM Nav gene, with alternative splicing of exons imparting functional variability [7] and all channel variants exhibiting high...
sensitivity to TTX. The 4x6TM Na<sub>s</sub> also characteristically bind modulatory drugs and other neurotoxins including local anaesthetics, voltage-sensor disabling scorpion toxins and insecticides such as DDT and the synthetic pyrethroids [8].

It was unexpected when genome annotation predictions for the pea aphid, *Acyrthosiphon pisum* (Harris) [9] identified two genes encoding putative ApNa<sub>1</sub> sequences, correlating with LOC100158802 (NCBI accession XP_008183364.1) encoding DI and DII and LOC100164620 (accession XP_001949648.2) encoding DIII and DIV. This suggested that the pea aphid has a two subunit channel. In this study, we further analysed this genomic data and sought corroborating evidence for the existence of a two subunit channel in aphids that reprises the role of a multi-domain Na<sub>s</sub> as found in other insect species. This led us to the identification of an evolutionarily-unique heterodimeric voltage-gated cation channel in aphids.

2. Results

The preliminary data described above suggested that the *A. pisum* Na<sub>s</sub> channel is encoded by, and assembled from, two unique 2x6TM heteromers (Fig. 2a), here designated as H1 and H2. On closer analysis of the genomic data we established that the two putative genes are orientated in opposite directions on scaffold 318, separated by approximately 23 Kb of non-coding sequence (Fig. 3). H1 has two identifiable alternative exons corresponding to exons j and b in the *Drosophila melanogaster* DmNa<sub>1</sub> (para) gene [7], but unusually the mutually exclusive c/d splice variants (DmNa<sub>1</sub> residues 923–976 (Fig. 4)) are absent. Furthermore, within this highly conserved region of the channel, *A. pisum* has an isoleucine (an atc codon) at position 946 (numbering according to the DmNa<sub>1</sub> sequence), whereas in other insects exon c has a valine (g/tm) and exon d a methionine (at/g), suggesting that the *A. pisum* channel may be derived from an ancestral Na<sub>s</sub> lacking the exon duplication found in contemporary insect Na<sub>s</sub>1s. Within H2, exons 6 and 7 correspond to the mutually-exclusive exons k/l in DIII of insect Na<sub>s</sub>1s (Supplementary Fig. 1).

To determine if the predicted heterodimeric organisation of the ApNa<sub>1</sub> is unique to *A. pisum*, we used degenerate PCR and RACE to amplify corresponding full-length Na<sub>s</sub>1 cDNAs from the closely related and agriculturally important pest aphid, *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer). The *M. persicae* channel was also found to be encoded by two genes (submitted NCBI Accessions FN601405 and FN601406), organized identically to those of the *A. pisum* gene prediction; the only exception being that the cDNA for H1 does not incorporate alternative exon j. The *M. persicae* and *A. pisum* H1 and H2 sequences are highly conserved at the amino acid level and have high (64% (DI–DII); 68% (DIII–DIV)) amino acid identity with equivalent domains in *D. melanogaster* DmNa<sub>1</sub> channels (Supplementary Fig. 1). For both aphids the heteromers encode the full set of positively charged residues in the S4 helices of the voltage-sensing domains required to sense changes in membrane potential and initiate channel activation (Supplementary Fig. 2). The conserved tripeptide ‘MFM’ motif, unique to invertebrate Nav<sub>s</sub>, which forms the fast inactivation particle in the intracellular loop between DIII and DIV [10], is present in H2 only, as are the adjacent charged residues that modulate fast inactivation [11].
Supplementary Fig. 3), a strong indication that H1 and H2 need to co-assemble to form a fully functional, multi-domain channel [12]. The presence of a conserved ‘MFM’ motif in H2, along with the high degree of sequence identity of H1 and H2 to contemporary insect 4x6TM Na+
channels, suggest that the aphid heterodimeric assembly has arisen by structural modification of an ancestral 4x6TM invertebrate Nav channel (Fig. 5). We believe that this modification most probably occurred by gene fission [13]. Prior to the gene fission event it is likely that there took place a duplication of part of the domain II–III linker region in the ancestral gene, cor-

Fig. 2. (a) Models for the H1 and H2 subunits. Each consists of two 6TM domains, with the approximate position of the fast inactivation particle ‘MFM’ motif and the L1014F and M918T mutations associated with pyrethroid resistance (indicated by a green *) highlighted. (b) Sequence alignments of the S6 segments of aphids, fruitfly and human TTX-resistant (Nav1.5) and -sensitive (Nav1.4) channels. The inner selectivity filter sequences are highlighted (grey frames). Of particular note, in relation to TTX insensitivity in aphids, is the presence within DI of a non-aromatic asparagine rather than an aromatic (phenylalanine or tyrosine) residue (orange framed).

A. pisum H1 & H2 genes on scaffold 318

M. persicae H1 & H2 genes on scaffold 5

Fig. 3. Genome organization. FGENESH (Softberry) gene predictions of the coding regions of the A. pisum (upper panel) and M. persicae (lower panel) channels on their genome scaffolds shows that, unlike other insects, aphid channels are encoded by two subunits. CDSf = first (starting with start codon) coding exon, CDSi = internal exon, CDSL = last coding segment, TSS = position of transcription start (TATA-box position), PolA = position of polyadenylation.

Fig. 4. The mutually exclusive Drosophila ‘para’ c/d splice variants (DmNav1 residues 923–976) are absent in aphid channels. Furthermore, within this highly conserved region of the channel, aphid channels have an isoleucine (an atc codon) at position 946 (numbering according to the DmNav1 sequence), whereas in other insects (such as Drosophila) exon c has a valine (g/tn) and exon d a methionine (at/g), suggesting that the aphid channels may be derived from an ancestral Nav lacking the exon duplication found in contemporary insect Nav1s.
responding to exons 20 and 21 in H1 to give rise to exons 2 and 3 in H2, which may have triggered the gene fission. This can be seen in the duplication of the sequence motifs IGDGME and SXGXH(X)n-D(X)2KE in this region (Fig. 6). Short novel bits of DNA (7 bp (exon 22 in H1) and 19 bp (exon 1 in H2) must have subsequently been acquired to provide start and stop codon sequences for H2 and H1, respectively. No comparable examples of 2-domain Navs could be identified by BLAST searching of the available metazoan genomes in the NCBI database, suggesting that this is a rare evolutionary divergence which may be confined to aphids.

Many insect species, including important crop pests such as aphids, have evolved resistance to pyrethroid insecticides via specific amino acid substitutions within the Nav1 channel[14]. Further evidence supporting a heterodimeric Nav1 organization in aphids was obtained by amplification of full-length H1 cDNAs from pyrethroid-resistant M. persicae (Supplementary Table 2), using primers unique to the 5’ and 3’ termini of H1. This yielded H1 amplicons containing the classic DII L1014F and M918T amino acid substitutions associated with pyrethroid-resistance [8], demonstrating the amplification of a functional gene that has been subjected to recent evolutionary modification [15] rather than a pseudogene. Taken together all of this evidence strongly supports the view that aphids have a 4x6TM Nav channel encoded by two genes.

The recent pre-release of the M. persicae genome (on AphidBase) further corroborates these findings, with both H1 and H2 sequences of M. persicae being identifiable on scaffold 5 of the ver-

Fig. 5. Scheme of the proposed evolutionary relationships between members of the eukaryotic Na+ channel family. The DIII-IV fast inactivation particle ‘MFM’ motif, unique to invertebrate Na1.s, is present in aphid H2 only, suggesting that H1 and H2 need to co-assemble to form a fully functional, multi-domain channel [12]. The presence of the conserved ‘MFM’ motif in aphid H2, along with the high degree of H1 and H2 sequence identity with contemporary insect 4x6TM Na1 channels, suggests that the aphid heterodimeric assembly has arisen by functional modification of an ancestral 4x6TM invertebrate Na channel.

Fig. 6. Proposed duplication of part of the domain II-III linker region of an ancestral Na1, corresponding to exons 20 and 21 in H1, to give rise to exons 2 and 3 in H2, illustrated by the duplicated sequence motifs IGDGME and SXGXH(X)n-D(X)2KE, highlighted in black.
sion 2 assembly for clone G006. The two genes are again (as with the A. pisum channel) orientated in opposite directions on the genomic scaffold (Fig. 3), but this time in immediate (~1 Kb) proximity to each other. Given this well-maintained gene organization in the aphid genomes, one could speculate that expression of these subunits may be controlled by regulatory elements such as enhancers which can work in a bidirectional manner [16], so the “back-to-back” orientation of the two genes may be highly instrumental in allowing the simultaneous co-expression of the two genes. Notably, the H1 prediction for clone G006 also contains both pyrethroid-resistance associated mutations [8].

For the aphid Na$_{A1}$ channels the highly conserved P-loops that form the outer vestibule of the channel pore are identifiable. However, the sodium selectivity filter motif, formed by a single residue from each of the 4 domains, which is normally DEKA in Na$_{A5}$, has an asparagine (N) in DII and a serine (S) in DIV, producing a DENS selectivity filter (Fig. 2a and b). A precedent for a DENS-like selectivity filter motif in a Na$_{A}$ is the DENS motif of the human Na$_{A}$ iso- type, which putatively functions as a sodium sensor channel [17]. In most Na$_{A}$s the positively charged DIII selectivity filter lysine is critical for channel function, stabilizing the pore via electrostatic interactions with the negatively charged DI aspartate, whereas its interaction with the negatively charged DIIL glutamate appears to provide a basis for the selective permeation of Na$^+$ over K$^+$ [18]. Electrostatic repulsion by the lysine side chain also promotes block of Ca$^{2+}$ and other divalent cations [18,19]. Thus the DENS selectivity filter in the aphid channel may profoundly affect the ion selectivity of the channel due to the absence of the critical lysine residue (as described later). The DIII lysine in the Na$_{A}$, selectivity filter is also integral to the binding of TTX [20]. Another principal TTX binding determinant is a DI aromatic phenylalanine or tyrosine residue, located adjacent to the aspartate of the selectivity filter [21], and substitution with a non-aromatic amino acid at this position accounts for TTX-insensitivity in the majority of tetrodotoxic animals [22–26]. Significantly, both aphid channel sequences have an asparagine at this position (Fig. 2b).

A phylogenetic analysis, to determine whether the aphid channels are more closely related to Na$_{A1}$ (e.g. D. melanogaster ‘para’) or the sodium channel ancestor Na$_{A2}$ (e.g. D. melanogaster DSC1 [27]), was crucial in order to understand the evolution of these intriguing aphid channels. Previous work has shown that because of their unique pore (DKEA or DEEA) Na$_{A2}$ channels conduct calcium [4,28] and act to modulate the stability of neuronal circuits [29]. Our studies indicate that the channels identified in aphids typically cluster with Na$_{A1}$ type channels (Fig. 7), rather than Na$_{A2}$ channels (Supplementary Fig. 4), and that the aphid Na$_{A1}$-like channels segregate with other hemipteran Na$_{A1}$ channels on the tree. Interestingly, the DSC1 homologues identified in aphids are 4x6TM multi-domain channels [5], so the evolutionary modification described applies only to aphid Na$_{A1}$ homologues.

Further evidence that no conventional TTX-sensitive Na$_{A1}$ channel is present in aphids was obtained experimentally by determining the sensitivity of aphids to TTX. Bioassays of M. persicae with high concentrations of TTX (25 000 ppm, 78 mM) resulted in little mortality with the aphids being >2500-fold less susceptible to the toxin than were D. melanogaster (Fig. 8). Thus the Na$_{A1}$ homologue in aphids must be highly insensitive to TTX, ruling out the presence of a conventional TTX-sensitive Na$_{A1}$. The contributions of the aphid DIII (K→N) and DIV (A→S) selectivity filter substitutions to TTX insensitivity were also tested directly using heterologous expression and two-electrode voltage clamp (Table 1, Fig. 9f). Because the aphid channels did not express in oocytes, we used D. melanogaster DmNa$_{A1}$ as a platform for analysis of the aphid channel’s selectivity filter. Three permutations of the selectivity filter, DEKS, DENA and DENS were introduced into the TTX-sensitive DmNa$_{A1}$. The wild-type DEKA channels were highly susceptible to block by TTX (IC$_{50}$ = 8.7 nM), the DEKS channels were marginally more sensitive, the DENA filter reduced the sensitivity by 38-fold (consistent with the findings of Penzotti et al. [20]), whereas the aphid sequence DENS was 275-fold less sensitive. We have further modelled the interaction of the aphid Na$_{A1}$ P-loops with TTX in silico (Fig. 10). Our pore model, based on the P-loop region of the bacterial Na$^+$ channel NavAb [30], differed somewhat from a previous TTX binding model of Fozzard & Lipkind [31] that was developed from homology with potassium channels prior to the availability of any sodium channel crystal structures (for a detailed comparison see Supplementary data 1). In our model, TTX docked with the unmodified DEKA channel shows classic interactions with known TTX-binding determinants, including D377 [20] and F378 [21] (housefying numbering) in DI, E985 (DII) [20] and K1497 (DIII). These observations agree with those of Penzotti et al. [20], who suggested that the K1497 extended side-chain underpins and stabilizes the TTX-bound state. A predicted sensitivity to TTX in the order: DEKS (GoldScore 67.9) > DEKA (59.4) > DENS (51.5) > DENA (44.3) was calculated from docking simulations, in good agreement with the IC$_{50}$ values obtained in the electrophysiology experiments (Table 1). The presence of an additional hydrogen bond between the DIV serine and TTX in the DEKS model (Fig. 10) may provide a molecular basis for the increased TTX sensitivity of the DEKS channel over the DEKA channel. We conclude that the high level of insensitivity to TTX in aphids is principally due to the absence of an aromatic residue adjacent to the selectivity filter residue in DI, with the effect enhanced by the DIII lysine-asparagine substitution within the channel filter. We were, however, unable to detect any fingerprints of positive selection for TTX-insensitivity in the aphid channels using comparative analysis of multiple Na$_{A1}$ sequence alignments and several codon-based maximum likelihood methods to estimate the rates of non-synonymous vs synonymous (dNdS) substitution (also known as Ka/Ks) ratios at each codon.

Amino acid substitutions within the P-loops of Na$_{A5}$ that decrease TTX-binding also invariably reduce Na$^+$ permeability or Na$^+$ selectivity [23]. Our electrophysiological experiments that introduced the DENA and DENS selectivity filters into DmNa$_{A1}$ revealed large shifts in reversal potential (V$_{rev}$) compared to DEKA (Table 1, Fig. 9c) as well as the appearance of tail currents. This indicates a compromised selectivity for Na$^+$ and (consistent with observations of Favre et al. [18]) an increase in Ca$^{2+}$ permeability possibly causing the activation of Ca$^{2+}$-activated Cl$^-$ currents. However, it should be noted that these results were obtained using a limited number of modifications on a DmNa$_{A1}$ template, and other critical (as yet unidentified) differences in residues within the pore region of the aphid Na$_{A1}$ channels (Fig. 2b) may play an important role in determining the channels actual ion selectivity and conductance properties.

3. Discussion

We have identified a unique heterodimeric voltage-gated Na$_{A1}$-type channel in aphids which may have arisen through evolutionary adaptation (fission) of a monomeric 4x6TM invertebrate Na$_{A1}$ channel ancestor. The alternative scenario of a gene duplication followed by two alternative domains being lost individually in two duplicated genes which then reconstitute a functional channel is not at present well supported. Evidence to substantiate the feasibility of a two subunit Na$_{A1}$ channel has previously been demonstrated by the ability of two split poly-peptides to reconstitute sodium channel function [12]. The modified selectivity filter of the aphid channels also raises questions about how aphids propagate and maintain action potentials along their neurons.
The possibility that aphids have an evolutionary adaptation whereby propagation of action potentials is no longer exclusively due to sodium is intriguing. It has been shown previously that, compared with other insects, aphids maintain a uniquely low concentration of sodium (0.2–2 mM) and calcium (2 mM) in their haemolymph [32,33], which may be related to their phloem feeding. The levels of Na⁺ (and Ca²⁺) concentrations recorded are unlikely to support conventional action potentials unless highly effective ion-exchange barriers are in place to concentrate these ions in the neuronal micro-environment; there is however no definitive anatomical evidence to support this.

It is notable that other closely related phloem-feeding hemiptera such as whitefly (e.g. *Trialeurodes vaporariorum*) have fully TTX-sensitive Naᵥ₅.1s [34]. This suggests that the evolutionary driver for Naᵥ₅.1 channel adaptation in aphids was not the low sodium concentrations encountered in the phloem sap, but possibly the necessity for acquiring insensitivity to a TTX-like molecule. In most cases where TTX is found in vertebrates, it is produced by symbiotic bacteria, or taken up from the food chain by consuming TTX-accumulating organisms [22]. Significantly, many aphids have bacterial symbionts that may have a facultative role in protecting them from environmental stresses and predators [35]. One such symbiont, *Serratia symbiotica*, is closely related to free-living members of the same genus, including *Serratia marascens* which is capable of producing TTX. However, despite the possibility of previous exposure to TTX (or a TTX-like molecule) being a credible hypothesis for the evolution of a TTX-insensitive channel in aphids, we were unable to detect any fingerprints of positive selection for TTX-insensitivity in the aphid channels on comparative analysis of multiple Naᵥ₅.1 sequence alignments using several codon-based models.

![Maximum-likelihood tree of insect and arachnid Naᵥ₅.1 channel a-subunits.](image)

Fig. 7. Maximum-likelihood tree of insect and arachnid Naᵥ₅.1 channel a-subunits. The best nucleotide substitution model (GTR) was selected by Topali v2 [41], based on codon alignment of Naᵥ₅.1 channels. PhyML 3.0 aLRT [42,43] gave estimated values for gamma shape parameters (0.421) and proportion of invariant sites (0.189). Naᵥ₅.1 channels from *Aedes albopictus*, *Aedes aegypti*, *Culex pipiens quinquefasciatus*, *Aphidius gambiae*, *Mayetiola destructor*, *Musca domestica*, *Drosophila melanogaster*, *Drosophila viridis*, *Drosophila pseudobscura*, *Nasonia vitripennis*, *Apis mellifera*, *Periplaneta americana*, *Blattella germanica*, *Platella xylostella*, *Bombyx mori*, *Heliothis virescens*, *Tribolium castaneum*, *Myzus persicae*, *Acyrthosiphon pisum*, *Rhodnius prolixus*, *Cimex lectularius*, *Pediculus humanus corporis*, *Pediculus humanus capitlis*, *Sarcopes scabiei*, *Mesobuthus martensi*, *Varroa destructor*, *bodes scapularis*, *Boophilus (Rhipicephalus) microplus* are represented on the tree and are grouped phylogenetically. Branch confidence values above 60% are indicated. Aphids (Hemiptera) are estimated to have diverged from Phthiraptera and other insect classes around 172.6 MYA and 371.9 MYA respectively, and from the Arachnida approximately 581.8 MYA [www.timetree.org].
maximum likelihood methods [36]. So the likelihood is that the TXT-insensitivity in aphid Na\textsubscript{1} channels is purely due to neutral evolution or the result of genetic drift. Clearly there is still much work to be done to understand the basis of the adaptations described and the true nature of neuronal signalling in aphids.

4. Methods

Insect strains: M. persicae clone 4106A is a laboratory-reared clone fully susceptible to insecticides and originating from potatoes in Scotland in 2000. 2169G is a clone initiated from a field collection from Brussels sprouts in Lincolnshire, England in 1997, highly resistant to pyrethroid insecticides. Post-collection, both aphid clones have been maintained on Chinese cabbage leaves in the insectary at Rothamsted. Clone G006 used for genome sequencing was collected from pepper plants in Geneva, New York in 2003.

Molecular biology: DNA sequence data was downloaded from AphidBase, http://www.aphidbase.com/aphidbase/. Aphid genomic scaffolds harbouring Na\textsubscript{1}-like sequences were identified using TBLASTN homology searching with the D. melanogaster DmNa\textsubscript{1} sequence. Gene predictions were facilitated using FGENESH software (http://www.softberry.com).

Total RNA was extracted from aphids (M. persicae) snap frozen with liquid N\textsubscript{2} using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen). PCR was used to amplify and clone two cDNA fragments corresponding to ~50% of DI–DII and DIII–DIV of the M. persicae channel. cDNA synthesis used 5 \mu g of freshly isolated total RNA and enhanced Avian reverse transcriptase (Sigma) at 50 °C with an oligo-\textit{dT}_{20} primer. PCR was performed initially with degenerate primers (Supplementary Table 3) complementary to the predicted channel sequence of \textit{A. pism} and subsequently with specific primers (Supplementary Table 3) and PFU enzyme (Promega). Rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) was used to obtain the sequences of the termini of both subunits using a RLM-RACE kit (Ambion); this enabled the unambiguous identification of the start and stop sequences for H1 and H2 and the first exon of H2. Thermo-cycling conditions were: 95 °C × 2 min, then 35 cycles of: 95 °C × 20 s, 50 °C × 20 s, 72 °C × 6 min, with a final elongation of 92 °C × 12 min. PCR products were sequenced either directly or once cloned using BigDye v1.1 (ABI). Full-length cDNAs were PCR amplified using specific primers and a proof-reading polymerase.

The D. melanogaster DmNa\textsubscript{1} CDNA inserted in a pDH Xenopus expression vector [37] was used as the template for the creation of selectivity filter mutants. Site-directed mutagenesis used the QuikChange SDM kit (Stratagene) to generate K1497N and A1790S single mutations and a K1497N plus A1790S double mutant (housefly numbering; Supplementary Table 1). All constructs were verified by DNA sequencing. Bacterial cultures of channel-containing plasmids were maintained at 30 °C to minimise plasmid rearrangements. The mMESSAGE mMACHINE kit with T7 promoter (Amhion) was used for cRNA synthesis from plasmid linearized with NotI.

Phylogenetic tree construction: DNA sequence data were imported into BIOEDIT v 7.0.9.0 [38] and converted to amino acid sequences for alignment with ProbCons v1.10 [39]. PAL2NAL [40] was used to convert the amino acid sequence alignment and the corresponding DNA sequences back into a codon alignment. The mMESSAGE mMACHINE kit with T7 promoter (Ambion) was used for cRNA synthesis from plasmid linearized with NotI.

Table 1

Effects of aphid selectivity filter residue changes to D. melanogaster DmNa\textsubscript{1} channels expressed in Xenopus oocytes: DENA and DENS mutants impart TXT-insensitivity and alter channel activity.

| Selectivity filter Motifs | DEKA | DEIS | DENA | DENS |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| V_{\text{rev}} (mV)       | 71.4 ± 2.7 | 83.9 ± 4.2* | 18.5 ± 0.6*** | 23.9 ± 0.7*** |
| V_{\text{Stax}} (mV)      | −17.6 ± 0.5 (16) | −14.3 ± 0.5 (12) | −12.6 ± 3.0 (8)** | −23.4 ± 0.7 (8)** |
| k_{\text{act}} (mV)      | 8.0 ± 0.5 | 9.6 ± 0.5 | 12.8 ± 2.2 | 6.1 ± 0.6 |
| V_{\text{Stinact}} (mV)  | −47.0 ± 0.3 (16) | −47.1 ± 0.6 (12) | −41.1 ± 1.5 (8)** | −41.8 ± 0.5 (8)** |
| k_{\text{inact}} (mV)    | 5.4 ± 0.3 | 5.2 ± 0.5 | 9.4 ± 1.2 | 7.4 ± 0.5 |
| \tau_{\text{dec}} − 10 mV (ms) | 1.3 ± 0.03 (16) | 1.4 ± 0.02 (12)** | 1.9 ± 0.1 (8)** | 1.8 ± 0.05 (8)** |
| TTX IC_{50} (nM)         | 8.7 ± 1.2 nM (7) | 3.2 ± 0.5 nM (10)** | 335 ± 47 nM (4)** | 2393 ± 262 nM (11)** |

Statistical comparisons were made between the DEKA channel and the three pore variants using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post-test. IC_{50} values were compared using an Extra Sum of Squares F test. Probability (P) values are *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. Numbers in parentheses indicate the number of oocytes tested.
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to remove gaps and regions of poor homology. TOPAl v2 [41] was used for phylogenetic model selection, and PhyML 3.0 aLRT [42,43] for Maximum-likelihood and Shimodaira-Hasegawa-like Approximate-Likelihood ratio based tree construction, with GTR nucleotide substitution model, 6 substitution rate categories and estimated values for transition/transversion ratio, gamma shape parameters and proportion of invariant sites. Trees were saved in .nwk format and visualized and edited in BIOEDIT.

Bioassays: Ten 3rd or 4th instar apterous aphids (M. persicae, susceptible clone 4106A) were transferred onto leaf disks excised from Chinese cabbage (Brassica rapa var chinensis) and kept hydrated on 15 ml agar plugs in individual plastic pots with vented lids. 24 h later a dilution series was made of technical grade deltamethrin (Rothamsted Research) or TTX (Sigma Life Sciences) in wetting agent (0.01% v/v Agral) and the aphids were immersed in the solution for 4 s, removed and blotted dry on tissue paper before being returned to their leaf disk. Drosophila treatment was similar except that they were immobilized with CO2 before immersion and were kept post treatment in vented glass vials with 300 l semi-defined Drosophila media set on the vertical wall of the vial. Insects were checked at 2, 18, 24, 38, 48, 72 and 96 h post treatment and scored as healthy, affected or dead. Those scored as “affected” at 48 h died by 72 h whereas those scored as alive survived the full time course. Analyses were performed by grouping the affected and dead insects.

Fig. 9. Electrophysiological characterization of WT DmNav1 and DEKA mutant Na+1 channels expressed in Xenopus oocytes. (a) and (b) Ionic currents evoked by stepping the membrane potential from –70 mV to values in the range –70 mV to +45 mV for DEKA (a) and DENS (b) channels. Shown are traces for –50 mV to –15 mV in 5 mV increments and –15 mV to +45 mV in 10 mV increments respectively. (c) Current–voltage relationships for the DEKA and DENS channel. Currents were activated by holding the oocytes at –70 mV and stepping the voltage to values in the range –70 to +45 mV in 5 mV increments. Data points are mean normalized current (I/Imax) ± S.E.M. and have been fitted by a Boltzmann-N equation. The reversal potentials were 71.4 ± 2.7 mV (n = 16) for the DEKA channel and 25.1 ± 0.7 mV (n = 8) for DENS. (d) Conductance–voltage relationships for the DEKA and DENS channels. Data points are mean normalized conductance (G/Gmax) ± S.E.M. plotted against test potential and have been fitted by a Boltzmann equation. The half maximal activation potentials were –17.6 ± 0.5 mV for DEKA and –23.4 ± 0.7 mV for DENS. (e) Voltage dependence of steady-state inactivation of DEKA and DENS channels. Channels were exposed to inactivating pre-potentials in the range –90 mV to –20 mV in 5 mV increments followed by a test potential of –10 mV. Data points are mean normalized current (I/Imax) ± S.E.M. in response to the -10 mV test potential plotted against the inactivating pre-potential. The curve-fit is to a Boltzmann equation giving half maximal inactivation potentials of –47.0 ± 0.3 mV (n = 11) for the DEKA channel and –41.8 ± 0.5 mV (n = 8) for the DENS channel. (f) Concentration-inhibition curves for TTX block of DEKA mutant channels. Channel current was evoked by holding the oocytes at –70 mV and stepping the voltage to –10 mV for 35 ms. Data points are mean% of control current (no TTX) ± S.E.M. and have been fitted by a four parameter logistic equation. IC50s were: DEKA, 8.67 ± 1.23 nM (n = 7); DEKS, 3.17 ± 0.65 nM (n = 10); DENA, 335 ± 47 nM (n = 4); DENS, 2393 ± 262 nM (n = 11).
values were calculated + channels: role of residues in the recording solution was: 95 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl2.

D. melanogaster

3RVY) [30] in MODELLER9v10 [46]. DeepView software [47] was used to generate the 3D model of the selectivity filter. Automated docking of TTX into the selectivity filter was performed using GOLD Version 5.1 [CCDC, Cambridge, UK]. For a more detailed description of the modelling methodology see Supplementary Materials and Methods.

dNds (Ka/Ks ratio) analyses: Comparative analysis of sequence alignments using state-of-the-art statistical models (http://www.datamonkey.org/) was employed to analyse the Na1,1 sequences for signatures of positive selection. Four different codon-based maximum likelihood methods, SLAC, FEL, REL [49], and FUBAR [50], were used to estimate the dN/dS (also known as Ka/Ks or ω) ratio at every codon in the alignment. Each programme was run with optimised substitution model selection.
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