On the quark propagator singularity
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Using the method of Fukuda and Kugo the continuation of Euclidean solution is performed to the timelike axis of fourmomenta. It is shown that assumed presence of the real simple pole in quark propagator is not in agreement with the solution. The simple pole disappears because of the discontinuity in the resulting quark mass function.
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Theoretical analysis of the singularities and the behaviour of QCD Greens functions in the timelike momentum regime is still not well understood. Natural nonperturbative framework for the study of infrared properties of QCD Green’s functions is the formalism of Schwinger-Dyson equations (SDEs). For a review of recent progress in the whole QCD see. Few possibilities of the quark propagator behaviour have been discussed in the literature. As quarks are confined objects in nature one of the most natural expectation is the absence of a real pole in quark propagator. Some of the models suggest that the real pole can be split into the complex ones. The complex conjugated poles have been phenomenologically appreciated in various studies. Furthermore, it has been recently realized in that the structure of light quark propagator is not reliably described by the Lehmann representation. The numerical analyzes exhibit inefficiency of spectral representation for correct description of QCD chiral symmetry breaking. It is also known that when the strong interaction happen the highly infrared singular interaction then the real quark pole naturally disappear.

However, the absence of pole in the quark propagator is not the only possible mechanism of quarks confinement and the existence/absence of the real pole is still undetermined. The primary objective of this paper is to check the presence/absence of a real quark propagator pole by using a simple generalization of the Fukuda–Kugo timelike continuation of ladder fermion SDE to the case of QCD, e.g. the asymptotic freedom is correctly taken into account through the known behaviour of running coupling. Using usual assumptions we describe contradicting results we obtained: The quark propagator does not exhibit the simple pole behaviour, instead, the mass function is largely discontinuous at observed real branch point.

The following conventions are used: the positive variables $x, y$ represent the square of momenta such that $x = p^2$ for timelike momenta when $p^2 > 0$, while $x = -p^2$ for $p^2$ in spacelike region. Our metric is $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$. For purpose of clarity the mass function $M$ is labeled as $M_t$ in the spacelike region of fourmomenta and as $M_s$ when evaluated for timelike fourmomenta (i.e., $M(p^2) = M_s(x)\theta(-p^2) + M_t(x)\theta(p^2)$).

The quark propagator $S$ can be conventionally characterized by two independent scalars, the mass function $M$ and renormalization wave function $Z$ such that

$$S(p) = \frac{Z(p)}{p - M(p) + i\varepsilon},$$

noting the bare fermion propagator is $S_0 = (p - m_0)^{-1}$, where SDE for the inverse of $S$ reads

$$S(p)^{-1} = \frac{\hat{p}A(p^2) - \hat{B}(p^2)}{\hat{p} - m_0} - \frac{i\hat{g}^2}{P^2} \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} \Gamma_\alpha(q, p) G_\alpha^\beta(p - q) S(q) \gamma_\beta,$$  

where simply $M = B/A$, $A = 1/Z$ and $\Gamma$ is the full quark gluon vertex. $G_\alpha^\beta$ represents gluon propagator, both Greens functions satisfy their own SDE. To make the later continuation to the timelike regime more easily tractable we introduce approximations that we believe do not change qualitatively feature of solution. We will work in Landau gauge and take $A = 1$. The importance of (un)presence of $A$ can be estimated from similar Euclidean studies. The so called Analytic Running Coupling (ARC) is used to properly include the running of the QCD coupling. Noting that the effect of dynamical chiral symmetry breaking has been already studied within ARC-SDE combined framework.

In dressed one loop approximation the following prescription of the SDE kernel is used

$$g^2 G_\mu^\nu(k) \Gamma_\nu(q, p) \rightarrow 4\pi \alpha(k^2, \Lambda) \frac{-g_\mu^\nu + k^\mu k^\nu}{k^2 + i\varepsilon}, \gamma_\nu,$$

with ARC written via dispersion relation as

$$\alpha(q^2, \Lambda_{QCD}) = \int_0^\infty d\lambda \frac{\rho_0(\lambda, \Lambda_{QCD})}{q^2 - \lambda + i\varepsilon}.$$  

The correct one loop QCD running coupling at asymptotically large $-q^2$ is ensured when $\rho_0 \simeq \rho_1$

$$\rho_1(\lambda, \Lambda_{QCD}) = \frac{4\pi/\beta}{\pi^2 - \ln^2(\Lambda/\Lambda_{QCD}^2)},$$

while the infrared behaviour of ARC is modeled through the following modification of the spectral function:

$$\rho_g(\lambda) = (1 + f_{IR}(\lambda))\rho_1(\lambda)$$

$$f_{IR}(\lambda) = Ce^{-(1 - \sqrt{\lambda/\Lambda_{QCD}^2})^2}. $$
where \( \rho_1(\lambda) \) is given by (0.5). Nonperturbative extra term \( f_{IR}(\lambda) \) is chosen such that it does not affect ultraviolet asymptotics. Simultaneously it leads to the expected QCD scaling of infrared up and down quark masses \( M_{u,d}(0) \approx \Lambda_{QCD} \), where \( C = 15 \) numerically. In order to define the kernel entirely we fix \( \Lambda_{QCD} = 200 MeV \) and \( 4\pi/\beta = 1.396 \), noting the later value corresponds with one loop ARC \( \alpha(0) \) calculated for three active quarks.

After making the trace, SDE (0.2) for the mass function reads

\[
B(p^2) = m_0 + 3iC_A \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{4\pi\alpha((p-q)^2,\Lambda_{QCD})}{(p-q)^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{B(q^2)}{q^2(q^2) - B^2(q^2) + i\epsilon},
\]

(0.7)

where \( C_A = T_a T_a = 4/3 \) for \( SU(3) \) group generators.

Using the integral representation (0.4) and the following simple algebra

\[
\frac{\alpha(z)}{z} = \int d\lambda \frac{\rho_\lambda(\lambda)}{\lambda} \left[ -\frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{z - \lambda} \right],
\]

(0.8)

we get after the Wick rotation and angular integration the resulting equation for \( B \):

\[
B_s(x) = m_0 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty dy \frac{B_s(y)}{y + B_s^2(y)} K(x, y),
\]

(0.9)

\[
K(x, y) = -\int_0^\infty d\lambda \frac{\rho_\lambda(\lambda)}{\lambda} \left[ K(x, y, 0) - K(x, y, \lambda) \right],
\]

\[
K(x, y, z) = \frac{2y}{x + y + z + \sqrt{(x + y + z)^2 - 4xy}}.
\]

The gap Eq. (0.9) contains potential UV divergence and can be renormalized after a suitable regularization. Since low relevance for our topic, the explanation is simplified and the renormalization issues are not discussed. In presented work we do not renormalize at all and solve the regularized gap equation. For this purpose we follow hard cutoff scheme and introduce the upper integral regulator \( \Lambda_H \), the numerical data has been obtained for \( \Lambda_H = \Lambda_{QCD} \). Let us mention that we have explicitly checked that renormalization has only marginal numerical effect when comparing to the properly regularized solution solely. Within presented model the mass function of the light and and heavy quarks has been calculated. The current bare masses were chosen to be \( m_{u,d} = 5 MeV, m_s = 100 MeV, m_c = 1 GeV, m_b = 3.5 GeV \). The resulting mass functions are plotted in Fig. 1.

To make a continuation of Euclidean Greens function to the physical timelike momenta is a rather cumbersome task. The assumptions are indispensable and not rarely if checked consequently, they appear not justified in many physically important cases. In what follows we will show that the assumption of Fukuda-Kugo continuation in QCD is another case.

Changing trivially the order of the integrations we can immediately follow the Fukuda-Kugo prescription and write down the result for the timelike momentum. Continued SDE for the positive timelike square of fourmomenta can be written in the following way:

\[
B_t(x) = \hat{B}(x) - I(x) + i \int_0^\infty d\lambda \frac{\rho_\lambda(\lambda)}{\lambda} \left[ X(x, m^2, 0)\Theta(x - m^3) - X(x, m^2, \lambda)\Theta(x - (\lambda^{1/2} + m^2)^3) \right]
\]

(0.10)

\[
\hat{B}(x) = m_0 + \int_0^\infty dy \frac{B_t(y)}{y + B_t^2(y)} K(-x, y),
\]

\[
I(x) = \int_0^\infty d\lambda \frac{\rho_\lambda(\lambda)}{\lambda} \left[ \int_0^x dy X(x, y, 0)\Theta(x) - \int_0^{(\sqrt{x} - \lambda)^2} dy X(x, y, \lambda)\Theta(x - \lambda) \right] \frac{B_t(y)}{y - B_t^2(y) + i\epsilon}
\]

\[
K(-x, y) = \int_0^\infty d\lambda \frac{\rho_\lambda(\lambda)}{\lambda} \left[ K(-x, y, 0) - K(-x, y, \lambda) \right]
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty d\lambda \frac{\rho_\lambda(\lambda)}{\lambda} \left[ -\lambda - \sqrt{(-x + y)^2 + 4xy + \sqrt{(-x + y + \lambda)^2 + 4xy}} \right] /
\]

\[
-2x\lambda,
\]

(0.11)
where the function \( K(a, b, c) \) is defined in Eq. (0.10), \( m \) is a pole mass, and the function \( X \) is defined as

\[
X(x; y, z) = \sqrt{(x - y - z)^2 - 4yz}.
\]  

Eq. (0.10) represents the integral equation for the mass function \( B_i(x) \) defined at the timelike axis \( x \). It consists of the dominant term \( B(x) \) represented by regular integral which including the mass spacelike regime defined function \( B_s \). It also contains the principal value integral that includes the complex function \( B_i \) itself. As usually, absorptive part of \( B_i \) is generated when crossing the branch point.

The gap equation has been solved by the standard numerical iteration. To check a numerical stability several integrators have been used to perform the integration numerically, e.g., the Gaussian and Simpson ones. The observed form of the discontinuity in numerically obtained quark mass function strongly indicates that the singularity of quark propagator is softened such that

\[
l_{m}^{-2m^{2}}(p^{2} - m^{2})S(p) = 0,
\]

which is in contradiction with the assumption. The limit (0.13) is well defined since the left and right limits coincide. Note that this is not a case of \( S \) because of discontinuity in \( M_i \). The function \( M_i \) becomes complex above the \( m \) with \( ImM \) starting from zero at this point. However, the right limit, if considered for the real part of \( M \), is a bit model dependent, however we should stress that it has never leaded to the singular propagator. There are two possible realizations observed numerically which spectacularly differ by the behaviour of \( M_i \) in the right vicinity of \( m \). Using a large UV cutoff one can find that the function \( M_i \) cuts the linear function \( p \) for some \( p > m \) but \( ImM \) is always nonzero at that point. The second possibility that the inequality \( p - M_i(p) \neq 0 \) is hold for any \( p \) is observed for low scale cutoff. Such situation is displayed in Fig.4 for the case \( \Lambda = 5 GeV \) and light quark mass \( m = 5\text{MeV} \). Of course, the later case is not real QCD, but this is rather qualitative test of cutoff independence. As mentioned the left limit of \( M_i \) is less affected by the model changes, here it has been lowered about 50MeV. Thus in any case, one can conclude that the shape and perhaps complexity of the function \( M_i \) in the vicinity of its discontinuity prohibit the appearance of the real pole in the dressed quark propagator.

Termed in other way, we obtain finite quark propagator with discontinuous mass function at the point where the ordinary threshold would be expected. If one need, the discontinuity can be classified

\[
l_{m}^{-2m^{2}} Tr S(p) \simeq \frac{-1}{\Delta},
\]

where \( \Delta = B_i(m_{-}) - m \) is the "left discontinuity" of the function \( B_i \) at the point \( m \). The point \( m \) is just defined by this discontinuity. Its numerical value has been searched by minimization of \( \Delta \) during the iteration procedure for each quark flavor separately. The numerical values we have found start from approximately \( \Delta_{u,d} \simeq 100\text{MeV} \) for vanishing current masses and slowly grows up to be \( \Delta_{c,b} \simeq 400\text{MeV} \) for heavy quarks. The ratio \( \Delta/m \) decreases for heavier flavors, which is agreement with expected supression of nonperturbative effects in the case of heavy quarks. The numerical solutions are displayed in Fig. 2 for the light quarks \( u, d, s \) and in
Fig. 4 for the heavy flavors, in the later case, the mass function for the strange quark is added for comparison.

In a strict sense the observed solutions should not be taken seriously, since based on wrong assumptions. On the other hand, the timelike continuation up to the discontinuity point \( m \) should be trustworthed. Then we can conclude that there is strong indication of disappearance of pure real pole at all, no matter what the continuation above the point \( m \) is. The observation of mass gap \( \Delta \) is in agreement with the confinement of quarks. Hadrons can never dissociate into the free quarks. A development of a new techniques for more complete study of timelike behaviour of QCD Greens function are beeing looked for.

**FIG. 4:** The detailed picture of discontinuity, the details are described in the text.
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