High-density lipoprotein infusion protects from acute graft-versus-host disease in experimental allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation
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Acute graft-versus-host disease (aGVHD) is a major limitation of the therapeutic potential of allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. Lipopolysaccharides (LPS) derived from intestinal gram-negative bacteria are well-known aGVHD triggers and amplifiers. Here, we explored the LPS metabolism in aGVHD mouse models using an innovative quantification method. We demonstrated that systemic LPS accumulation after transplantation was due, at least partly, to a defect in its clearance through lipoprotein-mediated transport to the liver (i.e., the so-called reverse LPS transport). After transplantation, reduced circulating HDL concentration impaired LPS neutralization and elimination through biliary flux. Accordingly, HDL-deficient (Apoa1tm1Unc) recipient mice developed exacerbated aGVHD. Repeated administration of HDL isolated from human plasma significantly decreased the mortality and the severity of aGVHD. While the potential role of HDL in scavenging circulating LPS was examined in this study, it appears that HDL plays a more direct immunomodulatory role by limiting or controlling aGVHD. Notably, HDL infusion mitigated liver aGVHD by diminishing immune infiltration (e.g., interferon-γ-secreting CD8+ T cells and non-resident...
1 | INTRODUCTION

Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (alloHCT) is the only curative therapy for some hematologic malignancies. Its efficacy is dampened by acute graft-versus-host disease (aGVHD), a severe inflammatory reaction associated with important mortality and morbidity rates. It has been suggested that preliminary recipient conditioning alters the intestinal barrier integrity by inducing intestinal epithelial cell (IEC) apoptosis, promoting immune cell infiltration, and disorganizing the crypt/villus structures. Recently, it has been proposed that the conditioning regimen combined with early allogeneic immune responses alters type 2 innate lymphoid cells (ILCs) inducing damage-associated molecular pattern release and local tolerance inhibition. Allogeneic donor T cells then reach intestinal epithelium and create tissue damages by injuring Paneth cells, type 3 ILC and IEC. Intestinal epithelium damages compromise the barrier function and act as a permissive mechanism in intestinal aGVHD. At the same time, antibiotic exposure and antimicrobial peptide deregulation can lead to dysbiosis (i.e., alteration of microbiota diversity) and facilitate pathogenic microorganism emergence, such as gram-negative bacteria. These bacteria and their metabolites can cross the injured epithelium from the intestinal lumen to general circulation by bacterial translocation. Although some metabolites from commensal bacteria, such as butyrate or indoles, have protective effects on intestinal barrier, other microbiota-derived compounds can trigger inflammation. Lipopolysaccharides (LPS), major outer membrane components released by gram-negative bacteria during their division or lysis, are well-described aGVHD inducers. The presence of LPS in the systemic circulation triggers recipient antigen-presenting cell (APC) priming, cytokine release and mucosal damage sustainment, leading to a durable inflammatory state. Interruption of LPS inflammatory signaling by genetic or pharmacological inhibition, protects recipients from aGVHD in alloHCT mouse models. Nevertheless, none of these studies has been translated to a clinical therapeutic approach. For this reason, we hypothesized that a comprehensive analysis of LPS metabolism in the course of aGVHD could bring into light new prophylaxis or treatment strategies. The use of innovative LPS quantification techniques allows the exploration of the reverse LPS transport (RLT). The RLT, initially described in sepsis, corresponds to the neutralization and elimination of LPS by circulating lipoproteins. This pathway was named after its similarities with reverse cholesterol transport in which cholesterol in excess in extrahepatic compartments is integrated into circulating lipoproteins for liver clearance. LPS are amphipathic molecules harboring a hydrophilic, polysaccharidic moiety (O antigen and core) and a hydrophobic domain (lipid A) containing several acyl chains. This latter moiety is anchored in bacterial membranes and carries the immune stimulatory capacities of the molecule. Because LPS partly share their structure with host endogenous lipids, they can be incorporated in high-density lipoproteins (HDLs) by plasmatic phospholipid transfer protein (PLTP) or LPS-binding protein (LBP) activities, or by CD14 transfer. The binding of LPS to HDL masks the lipid A moiety, thus limiting its activity, and facilitates its transport to the liver. HDL then deliver LPS to hepatocytes, endothelial cells, and Kupffer cells (i.e., hepatic resident macrophages) for detoxification and biliary elimination.

In this study, using mouse alloHCT models, we confirmed the systemic LPS exposure by an innovative technique based on high-performance liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC/MS/MS) and investigated the role of RLT by modulating several effectors. Our major findings showed that in the complete absence of circulating HDL (i.e., in ApoA1m1Unc mice), recipient mice experienced a more severe aGVHD associated with an increased APC maturation and type 1 T cell responses in the spleen and the liver. Furthermore, the level of circulating HDL was collapsed in aGVHD mice but was corrected by repeated intravenous infusion of exogenous HDL. The restoration of HDL pool led to an increased survival and a decreased aGVHD severity. HDL infusion reduced the concentration of circulating and biliary LPS, the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines by liver macrophages, as well as limits liver alloreactive Tc1 responses and injuries. Overall, these results suggest that HDL infusion is a potential prophylactic approach for aGVHD.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

Please refer to the Supplementary information.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Allogeneic T cell infusion lowers LPS neutralizing capacity of plasma due to a circulating HDL drop

Due to their heterogeneity and the lability of their physicochemical properties, the quantification of LPS, notably in biological fluids, has always been tricky. Previous studies on the impact of LPS during
aGVHD used the *Limulus* amebocyte lysate (LAL) assay.\textsuperscript{10,11} This approach only reflects free and biologically active LPS. In order to characterize the LPS metabolism in the C57Bl/6 → BALB/c mouse aGVHD model (Figure 1A, Figure S2), we measured 3HM, the most common hydroxylated fatty acid found in LPS lipid A, using HPLC/MS/MS\textsuperscript{23} (Figure 1B). Six days after transplantation, plasmatic and biliary 3HM was significantly higher in allografted mice compared to syngeneic controls. This was confirmed by a trend toward a three-fold increase in the plasmatic LPS activity measured by LAL assay (Figure 1C). This phenomenon was sustained by the rise of soluble CD14, a toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) cofactor and nonspecific marker of monocyte activation, in the allografted mouse plasma at day+15 after transplantation (Figure 1D). This could reflect an extended LPS exposure. Previous studies demonstrated that systemic LPS came mainly from intestinal bacterial translocation,\textsuperscript{1,5} and we confirmed the intestinal epithelium disruption in our model (Figure S2).

In physiological conditions, systemic LPS would be neutralized and eliminated by the RLT. As LPS accumulate in the plasma of recipient mice, we hypothesized that RLT might be impaired in the course of aGVHD. Using a HEK-Blue TLR4 cell-based test, we noticed a decreased ability of allografted mouse plasma to neutralize the activity of a known amount of *Escherichia coli* LPS in the first days following transplantation (Figure 2A). These data suggest that one or more plasmatic compounds failed to achieve LPS activity neutralization. In sepsis, it has been demonstrated that PLTP activity, through its role of LPS transfer during RLT, is critical and that recombinant PLTP administration reduces sepsis-induced mortality in mouse models.\textsuperscript{24} Although PLTP activity was slightly decreased after allogeneic T cell infusion, the complete lack of PLTP activity in *ptp\(^{-/-}\) recipient did not exacerbate aGVHD (Figure S3). Hence, PLTP activity appears as a potential factor in the impairment of RLT during aGVHD, but not a critical one. We next presumed that the transporter particles themselves could be the limiting factor. In mice, LPS are mainly transported by HDL which are the major lipoproteins in these mammals.\textsuperscript{25} We observed a collapse in circulating HDL levels as soon as day+6 after transplantation in plasma from recipient mice (Figure 2B). While LPS associated with HDL represented an average of 16.5% of total circulating LPS in both allogeneic and syngeneic mice, the concentration of LPS in the lipoprotein free fraction was more than four times higher in allotransplanted recipients (Figure 2C). Consequently, it seems that the RLT capacity is saturated in our experimental aGVHD model. To assess if the LPS neutralizing capacity relies on the availability of HDL, increasing doses of HDL isolated from healthy blood donors were incubated with 1 EU/ml *E. coli* LPS and the remaining detectable LPS activity was measured with HEK-Blue TLR4 test (Figure 2D). We confirmed in vitro that LPS activity neutralization by HDL particles is a dose-dependent mechanism and reinforced the hypothesis that HDL is critical in LPS metabolism in the course of aGVHD.

3.2 | The loss of apolipoprotein synthesis and of circulating HDL increases aGVHD severity by worsening immune cell infiltration and favoring pro-inflammatory phenotypes in the liver

To confirm the impact of circulating HDL on the severity of aGVHD, we developed a C3H → C57Bl/6 mouse model using wild type (WT)
or apolipoprotein A-I (ApoA-I) deficient (Apoa1tm1Unc) recipients (Figure 3A). HDL-cholesterol measurements confirmed: (i) the collapse of circulating HDL after alloHCT in a second mouse model; and (ii) the barely detectable circulating HDL concentration in Apoa1tm1Unc recipients (0.07 ± 0.01 g/L) (Figure 3B). Apoa1tm1Unc mice experienced significantly increased mortality and clinical score after allogeneic T cell infusion (Figure 3C), suggesting a high impact of HDL on the physiopathology of aGVHD. The Apoa1tm1Unc knock-out did not affect survival or general health of mice grafted with T cell–depleted bone marrow (TCD BM) only (Figure S4). Basal phenotype and in vitro polarization of splenic T cells were not affected by the Apoa1tm1Unc genotype (Figure S5). Thus, the poor survival of Apoa1tm1Unc allografted mice is unlikely explained by a higher radiation sensitivity or a particular polarization pattern of this strain. The quantification of 3HM by Endoquant® at day+6 after transplantation (Figure 3D) revealed reduced amount of LPS in the plasma of Apoa1tm1Unc mice compared to their WT counterparts, while this concentration remained superior to those of syngeneic controls. However, the LAL assay showed a similar plasmatic LPS activity in both Apoa1tm1Unc and WT recipients. This suggests that a part of circulating LPS was still neutralized by the remaining HDL in WT mice, whereas all the LPS stood in a free form in Apoa1tm1Unc plasma.

Acute GVHD in the C3H → C57Bl/6 model is known to be less severe than in the C57Bl/6 → BALB/c model; we observed a less important decrease in circulating HDL levels. Nonetheless, the lack of 3HM elimination in Apoa1tm1Unc recipient mice appears to be insufficient to explain the worsened GVHD severity. Therefore, we next investigated the immunological impacts of the loss of apolipoprotein synthesis and of circulating HDL. In the spleen, the proportions of both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells producing IFN-γ (T helper 1 [Th1] and cytotoxic 1 [Tc1] cells, respectively) were significantly increased in Apoa1tm1Unc mice 6 days after the transplantation (Figure 3E, Figure S6). At the same time, a higher expression of CD80 and CD86 was detected on CD11c+IA/IE+ splenic dendritic cells (DC) of the transgenic recipients (Figure 3F, Figure S7). Altogether, these results document the deleterious outcomes of circulating HDL loss on the survival and the severity of aGVHD, resulting in an amplified Th1/Tc1 polarization and in an accelerated maturation of DC in the spleen.

While sacrificing the mice at day+6 post-transplantation, we noticed macroscopic changes of Apoa1tm1Unc mouse liver aspect, with a size enlargement and a poorer perfusion. As a target organ
of aGVHD, as well as the place of LPS elimination, liver may play a central role in LPS metabolism after alloHCT. To better understand the role of liver in relationship with ApoA-I and HDL deficiency during aGVHD, the infiltrating immune cells were analyzed by flow cytometry. An increase in CD45+ cells in ApoA1tmc1Unc recipient liver (4.65 ± 0.65 × 10^6 cells) compared to WT allografted mice (2.80 ± 0.20 × 10^6 cells) was observed (Figure 4A). As in the spleen, the proportions of hepatic Th1 and Tc1 cells rose in allografted ApoA1tmc1Unc mice (Figure 4B, Figure S6). Then, we analyzed two subclasses of liver macrophages: CD11b+ F4/80low Kupffer cells (resident macrophages) and CD11b+ F4/80high NRM derived from monocytes, as described. The number of infiltrating NRM was nearly doubled in the liver of allografted mice lacking circulating HDL (2.24 ± 0.38 × 10^5 cells) compared to their WT counterparts (1.21 ± 0.14 × 10^5 cells) (Figure 4C). The number of Kupffer cells trended to rise the same way. Furthermore, IL-6 (Figure 4D) and TNF-α (Figure 4E, Figure S8) secretion of both Kupffer cells and NRM were significantly enhanced in ApoA1tmc1Unc recipients after ex vivo LPS stimulation. Altogether, this demonstrates that the absence of ApoA-I synthesis and of circulating HDL aggravates hepatic aGVHD and worsens mortality of allogeneic recipients.

### 3.3 HDL infusion reduces aGVHD severity by partially neutralizing available LPS and lowering local inflammation in the liver

After confirming that alteration of HDL metabolism was a critical element in the aGVHD physiopathology, we proposed a therapeutic approach aiming to restore the circulating HDL level in recipient mice. In the C57Bl/6 → BALB/c model, mice received 12 intravenous infusions of HDL isolated from plasma of healthy donors (20 mg/kg) between day-1 and day+24 after transplantation (Figure 5A). This administration schedule raised significantly HDL-cholesterol levels in recipient plasma as early as day+6 after alloHCT (0.56 ± 0.10 g/L for treated mice vs. 0.31 ± 0.03 g/L for mice that received only NaCl) (Figure 5B), without completely recovering the syngeneic group level (1.06 ± 0.04 g/L). HDL infusion limited the long-term severity of aGVHD by doubling the median survival time (45 vs. 22 days) and mitigating clinical score (Figure 5C). Early after transplantation, systemic total LPS measured by both Endoquant and LAL assay tended to decrease in the plasma and in the bile of HDL-treated mice (Figure 5D). Even if these results were not statistically significant, 3HM was reduced on average by 28.6% in the plasma of treated mice; this decline in both compartments was inversely correlated with the circulating HDL level with Spearman ρ = -0.6897 in plasma (p < .0001, Figure 5D) and r = -0.7912 in the bile (p < .0001, data not shown) of allografted mice. We assumed here that, at this early time-point, LPS were taken up by HDL, its activity was neutralized but it had not been eliminated yet through the liver. Regarding immunological responses, circulating IL-6 (Figure 5E) and ex vivo proliferation of naïve T cells cultured with recipient splenocytes were decreased for HDL-treated mice (Figure S9).

Since the liver plays a major role in the occurrence of aGVHD, we compared the infiltrating immune cells of mice treated or not by HDL using flow cytometry. Early after transplantation, the number of cells was slightly decreased by the HDL treatment (Figure 6A). At the same time, the proportion of CD8+ cells among T cells decreased when mice received HDL infusions. Moreover, the number of Tc1 cells was also significantly reduced (Figure 6B, Figure S6). In contrast, we did not observe any change in the number or the proportion of IL-17+ CD4+ and IL-17+ CD8+ T cells in the liver of HDL-treated mice (Figure S10). In the first days after alloHCT, the HDL treatment modulated mainly hepatic NRM. Notably, NRM from allografted mice treated with HDL exhibited a significantly lower production of IL-12 than their control counterparts (Figure 6C, Figure S8). The effect of HDL treatment was sustained in the course of aGVHD, as the trend toward reduced liver immune infiltrate persisted at the end of the infusion period (day+24, 9.53 ± 1.17 × 10^6 vs. 11.78 ± 0.94 × 10^6 cells) (Figure 6A). Of note, at this time-point, while HDL-treated mice exhibited a 75% survival rate, only 40% of mice receiving vehicle were still alive (Figure 5C). At day+24 after transplantation, HDL treatment reduced the cytokine production of Kupffer cells after ex vivo LPS stimulation with a significant decrease in IL-12 (Figure 6D, Figure S8). Finally, histological analysis of the liver at day+24 post-transplantation revealed that HDL-treated mice exhibited less cholangitis than untreated mice (Figure 6E). Therefore, the repeated administration of HDL permitted to rise up the level of circulating HDL of recipient mice (Figure S8). Consequently, the mice were protected from aGVHD-related mortality and experienced a less severe form of the disease. The mechanisms involved in this protection could imply, at least partly, the reduction of circulating active LPS concentration and the limitation of hepatic inflammation and of Tc1 infiltration, leading to a mitigated liver aGVHD.

### 4 DISCUSSION

Acute GVHD remains a major limitation of alloHCT as it occurs in nearly half of the recipients. Its first-line glucocorticoid treatment is effective only for 40%-70% of the cases depending on its severity. The use of ruxolitinib constitutes a recent significant advance to treat steroid-refractory aGVHD (SR-aGVHD). Nonetheless, SR-aGVHD remains a serious and difficult-to-treat entity. The development of original therapeutic approaches is needed to improve alloHCT outcomes and widen transplantation indications. New strategies are promising, such as fecal microbiota transplantation. This approach derived from increasing knowledge about intestinal microbiota impacts on alloHCT outcomes. Recently, motifs of intestinal microbiota modifications in allografted patients were identified as reproducible toward different transplantation centers. Even though the causal link between dysbiosis and aGVHD remains unclear, some studies have pointed out the impact of microbiota-derived metabolites in the severity and mortality associated with aGVHD.
Along with dysbiosis, intestinal damages allow the translocation of microorganisms, notably pathogenic bacteria, and their metabolites from the intestinal lumen to the general circulation. One of the first and most documented examples is LPS, released from gram-negative bacteria. As a danger signal, it strongly activates immune cells and LPS leakage has already been described in aGVHD setting. However, these studies used mainly the LAL assay, which did not depict the whole picture of LPS quantification. For this reason, we decided to quantify LPS and to explore its metabolism, beyond its presence in circulation, with a HPLC/MS/MS method that allows us to detect total LPS. Our major findings confirm the rise of systemic LPS in mouse models of alloHCT. This is associated

**Figure 4** The loss of apolipoprotein synthesis and of circulating HDL worsens immune cell infiltration and favors their pro-inflammatory phenotype in the liver. (A) Six days after transplantation, immune cells were quantified in the mouse liver after an isolation using a Percoll gradient \( n = 4–6 \) mice/group, Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn's post-test. (B) T cell polarization, notably the proportions of CD\(^4\)+ (Th1) and CD\(^8\)+ (Tc1) T cells secreting IFN-\(\gamma\), was analyzed in the mouse liver by flow cytometry on day+6 after BMT \( n = 5–6 \) mice/group, Mann-Whitney test. (C) Hepatic macrophages were distinguished as resident Kupffer cells (CD11b\(^+\)F4/80\(^{\text{high}}\)) and non-resident macrophages (NRM, CD11b\(^+\)F4/80\(^{\text{low}}\)) \( n = 5–6 \) mice/group, Mann-Whitney test. (D,E) IL-6 and TNF-\(\alpha\) secretion of Kupffer cells and NRM was quantified by intracellular staining analysis using flow cytometry after a 4h-LPS \( E. coli \) O55:B5 stimulation \( n = 5–6 \) mice/group, Mann-Whitney test \( (** p < .01)\).
with a decreased plasma capacity to neutralize LPS activity due to a collapse of circulating HDL concentration. The causes of this decline remain to be determined. We hypothesized that an intensified HDL consumption for the elimination of increased concentrations of LPS and other pro-inflammatory lipids and/or a defect in HDL synthesis caused by inflammation could lead to the low levels of circulating HDL. The relevance of HDL metabolism during aGVHD is confirmed in HDL-deficient ApoA1<sup>tm1Unc</sup> recipients, with greater aGVHD severity and mortality. While LPS elimination by HDL particles does not seem to play a major role, an increased APC activation...
and Th1/Tc1 polarization in the liver of ApoA1tm1Unc recipients were observed. These data suggest that other mechanisms may explain the worsened GVHD severity because of the lack of HDL or ApoA-I synthesis. Indeed, ApoA-I or HDL have been shown to modulate innate and adaptive immune responses in other pathological situations. These effects on immune cells differ depending on the considered disease. In line with our observations, upregulation of Th1 responses and DC maturation have been previously reported in ApoA1tm1Unc mice in an antigen-induced arthritis model. This suggests that ApoA-I and/or HDL may exert direct immunomodulatory effect, and this may modulate aGVHD. Nevertheless, these observations in ApoA1tm1Unc mice together with the drop of circulating HDL shaped a therapeutic strategy aiming to restore circulating HDL levels. Repeated infusions of HDL isolated from healthy donors limited mortality and, notably, mitigated hepatic aGVHD. HDL infusion reduced pathogenic IFN-γ-secreting CD8+ T cell infiltration in the liver of allografted mice on day +6 after BMT (n = 6 mice/group, Mann-Whitney test).
the liver, decreased pro-inflammatory cytokine production by hepatic macrophages and limited histologic lesions, particularly the bile duct inflammation (cholangitis). While a significant decrease in circulating IL-6 is detected after HDL infusion, no effect is observed on IL-17-secreting T cells. These data are in line with a recent study on atherosclerosis showing that while ApoA-I administration reduces significantly plasma IL-6 levels, no change in IL-17+ T cells is found.  

Additional experiments are required to determine the specific role of HDL, ApoA-I and of their receptor, scavenger receptor BI, in our observations.

Some differences exist between mouse and human lipoprotein metabolism and should be considered. As there is a total absence of cholesteryl ester transfer protein (CETP) expression in mice, the major lipoproteins for the transport of cholesterol are HDL. A part of this transport is supported by low-density lipoproteins (LDLs) in humans. This difference could also influence RLT, as LPS is mainly taken up by LDL in patients with systemic inflammatory response syndrome. However, the binding affinity of LPS is superior for HDL than for LDL in healthy donor blood samples. If the LPS activity seems to be also neutralized by LDL, this binding tends to lower the speed of lipoprotein elimination. In light of these facts, we decided to keep our study focused on HDL properties.

Hence, HDL infusion appears as an effective aGVHD prophylaxis in our experimental models. The decrease in systemic LPS concentration and activity appears insufficient to explain the significant effect of HDL administration (see above). Several bioactive lipids that may influence aGVHD are transported by HDL and eliminated by the liver. This is the case of other bacterial lipids (e.g., gram-positive bacterial lipoteichoic acid). Pro-inflammatory host-derived oxidized lipids are also neutralized by HDL. Furthermore, as previously mentioned, HDL exert other anti-inflammatory properties by modulating innate and adaptive immune responses. HDL can interfere with macrophage TLR expression and signaling by downregulating TLR-induced pro-inflammatory cytokines via the transcription factor ATF3. HDL can modulate APC functions by stimulating cholesterol efflux; given that cholesterol accumulation in APC increases antigen presentation capacity, inflammasome activation and pro-inflammatory cytokine production. Furthermore, HDLs are the main carriers of sphingosine-1-phosphate, a bioactive lipid which plays a regulatory role in cytokine secretion, endothelial barrier function and immune cell migration. The exact mechanisms involved in the beneficial effects of HDL administration need to be clarified, but we already demonstrate that the liver may play a central role. Mitigation of liver inflammation and aGVHD hepatic damages by HDL infusion could explain, at least partly, the protective effect of HDL. Notably, HDL-treated mice experienced a less severe cholangitis. Bile duct inflammation was described as a primary mechanism in human and experimental aGVHD and could be caused by sepsis or by aGVHD, which are both associated with a higher incidence of non-relapse mortality in allografted patients. The liver appears as a major contributor in the regulation of LPS and lipoprotein metabolisms during aGVHD. Inhibition of reverse lipid transport by local inflammation and danger signal abundance could be limited by HDL infusions. Thus, our results reveal the therapeutic interest of HDL administration in the prevention of aGVHD.

Concerning the clinical relevance of our study, no data exist on circulating HDL levels and aGVHD occurrence after alloHCT. HDL is critical in cholesterol metabolism and modulation of this metabolism has been shown to prevent aGVHD in mice. Indeed, Zeiser et al. demonstrated the prevention of aGVHD by statins that inhibit de novo cholesterol synthesis. A clinical study involving 113 patients reported that hypercholesterolemia in both recipient and donor at time of transplantation is associated with increased aGVHD. However, no significant association was found between HDL or LDL and the incidence of aGVHD. This suggests that HDL together with total and free LPS levels should be monitored in allografted patients to better transpose our data in clinical setting.
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