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Individuals with fetal alcohol spectrum disorder (FASD) experience remarkably high rates of mental health and substance use challenges, beginning early in life and extending throughout adulthood. Proactive intervention can help to mitigate some of these negative experiences. Although the literature on FASD intervention is growing, there is currently a lack of consolidated evidence on interventions that may improve mental health and substance use outcomes in this population. Informed by a life course perspective, we undertook a systematic review of the literature to identify interventions that improve mental wellness through all developmental stages for people with prenatal alcohol exposure (PAE) and FASD. A total of 33 articles were identified, most of which were focused on building skills or strategies that underlie the well-being of children with PAE and FASD and their families. Other interventions were geared toward supporting child and family wellness and responding to risk or reducing harm. There was a notable lack of interventions that directly targeted mental health and substance use challenges, and a major gap was also noted in terms of interventions for adolescents and adults. Combined, these studies provide preliminary and emerging evidence for a range of intervention approaches that may support positive outcomes for individuals with FASD across the life course.

Key Words: Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder, Prenatal Alcohol Exposure, Mental Health, Substance Use, Intervention, Life Course.

FETAL ALCOHOL SPECTRUM disorder (FASD) is a lifelong brain- and body-based disability resulting from prenatal alcohol exposure (PAE), affecting up to 5% of people in North America (Popova et al., 2019; Roozen et al., 2016). Individuals with FASD experience a range of impairments across physical, cognitive, social–emotional, and behavioral functioning and, without adequate or appropriate supports, are at risk of experiencing an array of adverse outcomes throughout the life course (McClellan et al., 2020; Streissguth et al., 1996, 2004). FASD is a heterogeneous disability and no 2 individuals present with the same pattern of needs, though most will require ongoing support with daily living (Doyle et al., 2019).

Mental Health and Substance Use in FASD

Mental health problems are one of the most prevalent adverse outcomes associated with FASD (Streissguth et al., 1996), with an estimated 90% of this population experiencing mental health challenges over their lifetime (Pei et al., 2011). These problems are evident even among people with low levels of PAE (Ichikawa et al., 2018) and range from emotional adjustment and attachment issues in infancy to complex psychiatric comorbidities in adulthood (O’Connor & Paley, 2009). Elevated rates of both internalizing and externalizing problems have been reported among people with FASD (Khoury et al., 2018), and common comorbid diagnoses include attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder,
conduct disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, and psychotic disorders (Fryer et al., 2007; Lange et al., 2018; Pagnin et al., 2019; Patel et al., 2020; Popova et al., 2016; Rasmussen et al., 2010; Weyrauch et al., 2017). Individuals with FASD also experience high rates of suicidality (Landgren et al., 2019; O’Connor et al. 2019; Streissguth et al., 1996), which has been linked to comorbid mental health and substance use problems, impaired emotion regulation, history of trauma, financial stress, and a lack of stable social support (Dirks et al., 2019; Huggins et al., 2008; Temple et al., 2019). PAE is also associated with the development and high rates of substance use problems later in life (Dodge et al., 2019; Goldschmidt et al., 2019; McLachlan et al., 2020; Streissguth et al., 1996, 2004; Yates et al., 1998).

The etiological mechanisms that seem to underlie mental health and substance use problems among individuals with FASD are complex and likely involve both biological and environmental factors (O’Connor, 2014). In fact, mental health and substance use disorders often co-occur in this population (Grant et al., 2004a). Beginning in the earliest stages of life, PAE has been shown to disrupt the developing brain’s stress–response system (Hellemans et al., 2010; McLachlan et al., 2016; Weinberg et al., 2008). This disruption can lead to a biological vulnerability that is believed to influence mental health (Hellemans et al., 2010) and a propensity toward substance use (Chotro et al., 2006). Environmental influences may further compound this biological vulnerability, such as the lifelong adversity experienced among people with FASD (Henry et al. 2007; McLachlan et al., 2020; Price et al., 2017; Streissguth et al., 1996, 2004). Experiences of adversity may contribute to problems with attachment, socio-emotional functioning, mental health, and substance use (Fagerlund et al., 2011; Koponen et al., 2009; Streissguth et al., 2004).

The way in which mental health is conceptualized has evolved over time, and currently, the World Health Organization (WHO) defines the construct as “more than the absence of mental disorders” (WHO, 2020). Broadly, mental health encompasses biological, psychological, social, environmental (Galderisi et al., 2015), and spiritual factors (Michaelson et al., 2019). Given the multifaceted nature of mental health, there are many potential targets for intervention to support positive outcomes among individuals with FASD. Critically, because of the complex needs and ongoing challenges associated with FASD, interventions to promote mental wellness should be delivered as early and proactively as possible.

**A Life Course Perspective on FASD and Well-Being**

It is important to recognize how trajectories and transitions impact one’s life (Clausen, 1986; Grenier, 2012; Hareven, 1994; Hutchison, 2016). Individual’s lives can be understood through structured pathways and trajectories that shift over time to impact identities and behaviors (Elder and Shanahan, 2007). In the context of FASD, PAE can have detrimental effects on well-being across the life course (Connor and Streissguth, 1996), beginning with biological and genetic vulnerabilities, and exacerbated by behavioral, social, and other environmental factors that vary across the life course (O’Connor, 2014). On the other hand, fostering stability and nurturing skills and abilities that contribute to mental well-being through all developmental stages may improve long-term outcomes for people with FASD. Importantly, individuals with FASD possess strengths and abilities (Flannigan et al., 2018) which can be built upon in various ways throughout the life course to promote healthy outcomes (Pei et al., 2019).

**Current Study**

Although it is recognized that responses for individuals with FASD should be coordinated, long-term, and informed by the neurocognitive vulnerabilities associated with PAE (Mela et al., 2019), there is currently a lack of consolidated information about responses intended to support positive mental health and substance use outcomes in this population. Several review studies have been published in the last decade on FASD interventions more broadly (e.g., Paley & O’Connor, 2011; Peadon et al., 2009; Pei et al., 2016; Petrenko & Alto, 2017; Reid et al., 2015). However, none of these have been focused specifically on mental health or substance use outcomes for individuals with FASD, despite there being a notable gap in this area (Pei et al., 2016). To fill this gap, we conducted a systematic literature review to collate the findings of all existing research related to interventions that improve mental health and substance use outcomes for individuals with PAE/FASD across the life course. The goal was to identify meaningful mechanisms of change for this population and to inform FASD best practice and policy. The study was guided by the following research questions:

1. What interventions exist that improve mental health or substance use in the PAE/FASD population?
2. What desired outcomes have been identified for these interventions?
3. How effective have these interventions been at improving mental health or substance use outcomes for individuals with PAE/FASD?

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

To conduct this review, we followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines, as delineated by Liberati and colleagues (2009). The study was registered with PROSPERO (CRD42018103951).

**Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

Studies were considered for review if they were original and peer-reviewed, contributed empirical data (quantitative, qualitative, or mixed), and included the following: (i) interventions for individuals of any age with PAE or FASD, (ii) with quantitatively or qualitatively reported outcomes related to mental health and/or substance use, and (iii) published in English, from the year 2000 onward. Studies were not excluded based on design or setting but were required to involve some type of investigation of an intervention to be
considered. Case studies and case series with outcome measures were included, as were feasibility and pilot studies. Review articles, commentaries, book chapters and reviews, dissertations, unpublished studies, and all other anecdotal or nonscientific writing were excluded.

For the purpose of this review, mental health was conceptualized based on the current WHO definition, and informed by a comprehensive wellness perspective, including emotional, psychological, spiritual, behavioral, and social well-being. In order to be reviewed, intervention studies were required to report on at least one outcome related to this broad definition of mental health. Specifically, we included studies measuring change in symptoms associated with traditional mental illness or formal psychiatric diagnoses (e.g., externalizing and internalizing behaviors, emotional functioning), as well as other, more tangential indicators of mental health (e.g., attachment, self-regulation, risk behavior related to substance use).

Studies were excluded if they involved animal subjects or focused on dietary or pharmacological interventions. Studies that were focused on improving cognitive skills, language and communication, academics and literacy, and physical or motor outcomes were only included if they also measured a mental health or substance use outcome. Adaptive skills intervention studies were included only if they involved some social or emotional component (e.g., interventions for social skills were included; safety skills and activities of daily living were not). For studies reporting on attention-related outcomes, only those with a behavioral component were included (e.g., behavioral measures of distractibility were included; cognitive aspects of attention were not).

Search Strategy and Study Selection

In August 2018, studies were collected through searching the electronic databases MEDLINE (1946-Present), PsychINFO (1827-Present), Web of Science (1975-Present), and the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. Duplicates were removed within the databases when the option was available. This search strategy was developed in collaboration with a librarian who completed each database search. An identical search was conducted in April 2020 to capture any additional articles published since 2018. We also reviewed the reference lists of included publications for other relevant articles that were not captured by our search strategy. Search terms included keywords related to PAE/FASD; mental health treatment, intervention, and services; and substance-related disorders and addictions treatment. A full list of subject headings and keywords used are outlined in Appendix 1.

Two authors independently screened and reviewed titles and abstracts of potentially relevant articles (KCH, TA). A third author reviewed the articles deemed relevant by the first 2 reviewers and resolved discrepancies (KF). Full texts were reviewed by 2 of these authors (KCH, KF). Any further discrepancies were resolved through discussions with 2 additional authors (MM, JP).

Quality Assessment

In order to assess the methodological quality of quantitative studies included in this review, we used the Effective Public Health Practice Project (EPHPP) tool (Thomas et al., 2004). This tool was designed to evaluate research on the effectiveness of public health interventions, with items derived from key components identified in the literature. The EPHPP tool encompasses a variety of research designs, not limited to randomized control trials (RCTs), which was important for the current study because of the relative scarcity of research that exists in the FASD intervention literature. The EPHPP tool has also been used in previous reviews of the FASD intervention literature (e.g., Reid et al., 2015; Symons et al., 2018).

Using the EPHPP tool, researchers are guided through multiple domains of quality assessment, yielding 6 component ratings: selection bias; study design; confounders; blinding; data collection methods; and withdrawals and dropouts. These component ratings are combined to form an overall global rating, categorized as “weak,” “moderate,” or “strong.” Intervention integrity and data analysis are also considered, though not included in the global rating. For the 1 qualitative study included in the current review, we followed the Critical Appraisal Skills Program (CASP) Qualitative Checklist (CASP, 2018), which consists of 3 sections to assess the validity, results, and value of the research.

Two authors (KF and KCH) independently assessed the quality of each study and resolved any disagreements through discussion. The individual component scores for each quantitative study included in the current review are presented in Table 1.

Data Extraction and Synthesis

Data were extracted systematically for each study, using a standardized form which included the location, study design, sample size and characteristics, and FASD diagnostic approach (see Table 2). Intervention details were also compiled, including a description of each intervention’s broad aim, target(s/mechanism(s) of change, delivery format, outcome measure(s), and key findings (see Table 3).

Because of the exploratory nature of this review and the heterogeneity of studies identified, our data synthesis was largely descriptive and narrative. Interventions were first organized developmentally (see Table 3) and then further synthesized into broad categories based on the intervention’s mechanism(s) of change (see Table 4). In cases where an intervention comprised multiple components, we relied on the overarching aim of the intervention to determine categorization. Categories were as follows: (i) supporting attachment and family wellness; (ii) building skills and strategies; and (iii) responding to risk and reducing harm. Generally, interventions with a caregiver component were considered in the attachment and family category, as the primary mechanism of change was to improve family wellness. However, in some interventions, caregiver components were a secondary focus intended to support skill-building with the child, which was the primary mechanism of change, and these interventions were therefore considered in the skills and strategies category. Although some interventions in the risk and harm category also included elements of skill-building and family support, the primary aims of these interventions were to stabilize individuals and reduce risk or harm.

RESULTS

Study Selection and Characteristics

Our searches yielded a total of 10,129 articles (8,877 in 2018 and an additional 1,252 in 2020), 1,882 of which were duplicates and 641 of which were deemed relevant through preliminary screening. Of these screened titles, 206 abstracts and 59 full-text articles were reviewed. The final number of articles included in this study was 33. See Figure 1 for a PRISMA flowchart of study selection.

Most studies were RCTs (n = 12) and controlled clinical trials (CCTs; n = 8); 4 were case studies, 3 were case series, 3 were cohort (before and after) studies, one was a file review, one was an implementation study, and one was an exploratory study. The most common age group of participants was middle childhood, aged 6 to 12 years (n = 21). Seven studies included participants with a mean age in early childhood (birth to 5 years), 2 studies included participants in middle...
childhood to adolescence (13 to 18 years), and 3 studies included participants in emerging adulthood and beyond (19 + years).

Twenty-three studies were conducted in the United States, 8 were from Canada, one was from South Africa, and one was from Australia. The FASD diagnostic approach used in studies was most often the Institute of Medicine (IOM) guidelines (n = 10), followed by the University of Washington’s 4-Digit Code system (n = 8), the 2005 Canadian guidelines (n = 5), and the Gestalt method (n = 1). Diagnostic approach was unspecified or absent in 9 studies. See Table 2 for a complete description of study characteristics.

**Intervention Characteristics**

Within the early childhood age group, most interventions involved supporting attachment and family wellness as the mechanisms of change (n = 9), and all involved caregiver participation as a critical component of the intervention. For middle childhood and early adolescence, interventions primarily involved building skills and strategies as a mechanism of change to support behavioral, social, or emotional functioning. In this category, the most common targets for change were self-regulation (n = 7), social skills (n = 6), and behavioral skills (n = 5). Only one intervention was designed to teach mental health literacy skills more holistically. For later adolescence, emerging adulthood, and adulthood, there were 5 studies identified, categorized as responding to risk and reducing harm, most often related to substance use (n = 4) and one intervention administered in a correctional setting. Interestingly, across studies, very few mechanisms of change were specifically targeted at improving mental health per se. Rather, more commonly, the measured outcomes of the interventions were operationalized in terms of mental health functioning. See Tables 3 and 4 for more intervention details.

**Quality Assessment**

All but one study identified in this review were primarily quantitative, and their overall global EPHPP quality assessment ratings were roughly evenly distributed, with 12 studies assessed as having weak methodological rigor, 10 as moderate, and 10 as strong (see Table 1). The 1 qualitative study,
| Author(s) and date | Country | Study design | Sample | Mean age (range) | Diagnostic approach |
|--------------------|---------|--------------|--------|------------------|---------------------|
| Early childhood (birth to 5 years) Zarnegar and colleagues (2016) United States | Cohort (before–after) | 10 children with FASD experiencing maltreatment or loss, and their adoptive parents \(n = 20\) | 3 years (1 to 4) | Unspecified |
| Connolly and colleagues (2016) United States | Case study with data collection mid- and postintervention | Female with pFAS and PDD-NOS | 3 years | Unspecified |
| Kartin and colleagues (2002) United States | CCT | 78 postpartum mothers within 1 month of birth, 78 of their children with PAE; 53 mother–child dyads in treatment group (23 recruited from hospital, 30 from community) and 25 in control group | Child age = 3 years; client mean age = 28 years (ranges not specified) | None |
| Wiskow and colleagues (2018) United States | Case study with reversal design | Male with FAS and sensory processing disorder | 4 years | Unspecified |
| Hanlon-Dearman and colleagues (2017) Canada | Implementation study with 3-month follow-up | 12 children with PAE and their caregivers; 8 in intervention group, 4 in treatment-as-usual control group | 4 years (2 to 5) | Canadian guidelines |
| Hajal and colleagues (2019) United States | Case series | 3 children with known or strongly suspected PAE and their caregivers | 3, 4, and 5 years | 4-Digit Code Modified IOM criteria |
| Gurwitch and colleagues (in Bertrand, 2009) United States | RCT | 46 children with FASD and their caregivers; 23 in treatment group; and 23 in caregiver-only support and management comparison group | 5 years (3 to 7) | |
| Middle childhood (6 to 12 years) Kable and colleagues (2007) United States | RCT | 56 children with FASD or alcohol-related facial features, and their caregivers; 29 children in math group, 27 in psychoeducational contrast group | 6 years (3 to 10) | IOM criteria |
| Coles and colleagues (2009) United States | RCT; 6-month follow-up to Kable and colleagues (2007) | 54 children with FASD or alcohol-related facial features, and their caregivers and teachers; 28 children in math group, 26 in psychoeducational contrast group | 6 years (3 to 10) | IOM criteria |
| Kable and colleagues (2012) United States | RCT | 59 caregivers of children with FASD or alcohol-related facial features; 23 in workshop group, 18 in web-based group, 18 in community comparison group | Differed across groups (6 to 7) | IOM criteria |
| Petrenko and colleagues (2017) United States | Pilot RCT | 27 children with PAE or FASD, and their primary caregivers; 15 in treatment group, 12 in comparison group who received neuropsychological assessments and community referral | 7 years (4 to 8) | Revised IOM guidelines |
| Petrenko and colleagues (2019) United States | Pilot RCT; 6-month follow-up to Petrenko and colleagues (2017) | 24 children with FASD or PAE, and their primary caregivers; 14 in treatment group, 10 in comparison group who received neuropsychological assessments and community referral | 7 years (4 to 8) | Revised IOM guidelines |
| Coles and colleagues (2015) United States | Pilot RCT | 30 children with FASD or alcohol-related facial features; 2 treatment groups—GoFAR program \(n = 10\) and FACELAND contrast \(n = 10\)—and control group \(n = 10\) | 7 years (5 to 10) | IOM criteria |
| Coles and colleagues (2018) United States | Pilot RCT; additional data from Coles and colleagues (2015) | 30 children with FASD or alcohol-related facial features; 2 treatment groups—GoFAR program \(n = 10\) and FACELAND contrast \(n = 10\)—and control group \(n = 10\) | 7 years (5 to 10) | IOM criteria |
| Kable and colleagues (2016) United States | Pilot RCT; additional data from Coles and colleagues (2015) | 28 children with FASD or alcohol-related facial features; 2 treatment groups—GoFAR program \(n = 9\) and FACELAND contrast \(n = 10\)—and control group \(n = 9\) | 7 years (5 to 10) | IOM criteria |
| Olson and colleagues (in Bertrand, 2009) United States | RCT | 52 children with FASD and their caregivers; 26 in treatment, 26 in community standard of care group | 8 years (5 to 11) | 4-Digit Code |

Continued.
assessed using the CASP Qualitative Checklist, was determined to have adequate validity, results, and research value.

Summary and Synthesis

Supporting Attachment and Family Wellness. We identified 9 interventions that were targeted toward supporting early child development, attachment, and positive caregiver interactions within the family context. All of these studies included caregiver components (with 1 study including only caregivers), many were based in the home, and the target children were all in early or middle childhood. Zarnegar and colleagues (2016) tested a psychosocial intervention program for infants and young children with PAE and their caregivers, focused on attachment and caregiver mindfulness. They reported notable positive impacts of the program.

Table 2. (Continued)

| Author(s) and date               | Country       | Study design                  | Sample                                                                 | Mean age (range) | Diagnostic approach               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Clark and colleagues (2014)      | Canada        | CCT                           | 12 teachers and 13 students with FASD; 6 teachers and 7 students in intervention group, 6 teachers and 6 students in comparison group | 8 years (6 to 12 years) | Gestalt guidelines                |
| Adnams and colleagues (in Riley et al., 2003) | South Africa | Cohort (before-after) RCT     | 10 students with FAS; 5 in treatment group, 5 in control group | 8 years (range unspecified) | Unspecified                        |
| Wells and colleagues (2012)      | United States |                              | 78 children with FASD in out-of-home placements; 40 in treatment group, 38 in control group | 8 years in treatment group, 9 years in control (6 to 11) | 4-Digit Code                      |
| Timler and colleagues (2005)     | United States | Feasibility with case study   | Female with FASD                                                        | 9 years          | 4-Digit Code                      |
| O’Connor and colleagues (2006)   | United States | CCT with 3-month follow-up    | 96 children with FASD and measurable social skills deficits; 49 in CFT group, 47 in delayed treatment group | 9 years (6 to 12) | 4-Digit Code                      |
| Keil and colleagues (2010)       | United States | CCT; additional data from     | 96 children with FASD and measurable social skills deficits; 49 in CFT group, 47 in delayed treatment group | 9 years (6 to 12) | 4-Digit Code                      |
| O’Connor and colleagues (2012)   | United States | CCT                           | 67 children, with and without PAE, seeking community mental health support; 32 in CFT group, 35 in standard of care group | 9 years (6 to 12) | 4-Digit Code                      |
| Soh and colleagues (2015)        | Canada        | CCT                           | 48 children with and without FASD; 29 with FASD (13 treatment, 16 delayed treatment control) and 19 in typically developing control group | 10 years (8 to 12) | Canadian guidelines               |
| Nash and colleagues (2015)       | Canada        | CCT with 6-month follow-up    | 25 children with FASD; 12 in treatment group, 13 in delayed treatment control group | 10 years (8 to 12) | Canadian guidelines               |
| Griffin and Copeland (2018)      | United States | Case study with reversal      | Male with ARND, ADHD, ODD, RAD, and LD                                  | 11 years         | Unspecified                       |
| Keightley and colleagues (2018)  | Canada        | Feasibility with case series  | 3 children with FASD and their caregivers (n = 3) and program facilitators (n = 4) | 9, 10, and 14 years | Canadian guidelines               |
| Reid and colleagues (2017)       | Australia     | Feasibility with single-case  | Families of 2 children with FASD                                        | 9 and 12 years   | 4-Digit Code                      |
| Middle childhood to adolescence (13 to 18 years) | Katz and colleagues (2020) | Canada Cluster RCT           | 113 students with neurodevelopmental disabilities (60 with FASD, 31 with ASD, 22 with ID); 61 in treatment group, 52 in control group | Grade 7 (3 through 12; age not specified) | Unspecified                       |
| O’Connor and colleagues (2016)   | United States | CCT with 3-month follow-up    | 54 adolescents with FASD; 26 in treatment group, 28 in control group | 17 years (13 to 18) | Revised IOM criteria             |
| Emerging adulthood and beyond (19 + years) | Grant and colleagues (2004b) | United States Cohort (before-after) nested pilot study | 39 females with diagnosed (n = 11) or suspected (n = 8) FASD | 22 years (14 to 36) | Unspecified                       |
| Denys and colleagues (2011)      | Canada        | Retrospective file review     | 24 females with diagnosed (n = 12) or suspected (n = 12) FASD           | 30 years (19 to 47) | Unspecified                       |
| Brintnell and colleagues (2019)  | Canada        | Exploratory study with 3- and 6-month follow-up | 49 incarcerated males with suspected FASD | 30 years (19 to 50) | Canadian guidelines               |
Table 3. Intervention Details and Key Findings

| Author(s)                     | Broad aim                                         | Target/mechanism of change                                                                 | Format | Outcome measures                              | Key findings                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Early childhood (birth to 5 years) Zarnegar and colleagues (2016) | Improve child developmental outcomes             | Early psychosocial intervention based on the Neurosequential Model of Therapeutics model (NMT), integrating biological, psychological, social, learning, and problem-solving development, and involving: 1. *Child-Parent Psychotherapy* (CPP) to improve child-caregiver attachment 2. *Mindful Parenting Education* (MPE) to enhance caregiver self-reflection, mindfulness, and empathy | 6 months; twice-weekly CPP (joint child-caregiver) and weekly MPE (caregiver only) sessions (length unspecified) | Child: Developmental skills (BDI-2)  Regulatory capacity (NMT metrics)  Caregiver: Stress and satisfaction (PSI-SF, survey) | • Significant pre- to posttreatment improvements in overall child developmental skills, regulatory capacity  • Significant pre- to posttreatment reduction in parenting stress, maintained at 3-month follow-up  • Positive feedback on satisfaction survey |
| Connolly and colleagues (2016) | Improve adaptive behavior and functional communication skills | Verbal Behavior Intervention, a one-on-one applied-behavior analysis-based day treatment involving an assessment of strengths and challenges, and targeted at improving functional communication and verbal behavior skills | 23 months; 15 hours/week in therapy setting and natural settings (frequency unspecified) | Child: Functional communication (cold probe data)  Intellectual functioning (DAS-2)  Adaptive behavior (VABS-2)  Emotional/behavioral functioning (CBCL) | • Improvements across all domains of functional communication throughout intervention  • Clinically significant increase in verbal (but not visual or spatial) intellectual skills after 9 months of treatment and maintained at discharge  • Substantial gains in caregiver-reported adaptive functioning after 9 months of treatment, largely maintained at discharge (except for socialization skills)  • Clinically significant improvement in caregiver-reported emotional/behavioral functioning after 9 months of treatment, largely maintained at discharge (except for increase in teacher-reported rule-breaking behavior) |
| Kartin and colleagues (2002) | Substance use recovery and reduce associated challenges; improve child outcomes | Seattle Birth to 3 program (now known as PCAP), a paraprofessional home visitation advocacy and mentorship intervention for postpartum women, based on relational theory and focused on connecting women with services to reduce challenges associated with substance use | 3 years; weekly visits for 6 weeks, followed by minimum twice monthly visits (length unspecified) | Child: Developmental outcomes (BSID-II) | • No significant group differences on any scale |
| Wiskow and colleagues (2018) | Improve behavior                                  | *Good Behavior Game*, a summer group program involving therapist-delivered praise, corrective feedback, and positive reinforcement, with rewards for rule following and appropriate behavior | 3 weeks; 2.5 hours/day, game sessions administered 1-4 times per day | Child: Disruptive behavior (observation) | • Substantial reduction in disruptive behavior from baseline to the final phase of intervention |

Continued.
| Author(s)                  | Broad aim                                      | Target/mechanism of change                                                                 | Format                          | Outcome measures                                                                 | Key findings                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Hanlon-Dearman and       | Promote child-caregiver attachment            | *Circle of Security*, a community home-based intervention targeted at improving caregiver emotion regulation through increasing observation skills, sensitivity and responsiveness, and self-reflection | 9 months; 36 sessions (joint child-caregiver; frequency and length unspecified) | Child  
  • Attachment behavior (SBSH)  
  • Adjustment (SDQ)  
  • Caregiver efficacy and stress (Parenting Scale, PSI-3, DASS)  
 | - Clinically significant pre- to posttreatment improvements in attachment (shift from insecure to secure, or increased signs of attachment security) for 5 of 8 children in intervention group; no change in caregiver perceptions of child behavior/adjustment  
 | - Pre- to posttreatment reduction in caregiver stress maintained at 3 months  
 | - No pre- to posttreatment change in caregiver depression, anxiety, or perceived efficacy  
 | - No group comparisons conducted because of small sample size | |
| Hajal and colleagues      | Promote school readiness                      | *Strategies for Enhancing Early Developmental Success* (SEEDS), a manualized preschool program involving:  
  1. Child classroom group activities based on attachment and positive behavior principles  
  2. Child-caregiver activities to practice skills and promote positive child-caregiver interactions  
  3. Caregiver group to improve knowledge and engagement | 14 weeks; twice-weekly 3-hour sessions (combination of child-only, caregiver only, and joint activities) | Child  
  • Adjustment (SDQ)  
  • Classroom behavior (teacher ratings)  
  • Child-caregiver relationship (interview)  
  • Stress (PSI-SF)  
 | - Clinically significant pre- to posttreatment improvements in 2 cases for classroom behavior (e.g., following instructions) and caregiver interactions (e.g., reciprocal play); and standardized measures of child functioning (e.g., conduct problems), and caregiver stress  
 | - Mixed results in 1 case: increased ability to tolerate caregiver separation, improved turn-taking, but significant challenges with classroom transition, inconsistent engagement in child-caregiver interaction, and sustained caregiver stress | |
| Gurwitch and colleagues   | Reduce child behavior problems and caregiver stress | *Parent-Child Interaction Therapy*, involving caregiver training on behavioral parenting skills to enhance child-caregiver relationship, increase social skills, decrease negative behavior, and implement positive discipline | 14 weeks; weekly 90-minute sessions (joint child-caregiver) | Child  
  • Emotional/behavioral functioning (CBCL; ECBI)  
  • Child-caregiver interactions (DPICS-II)  
  • Stress (PSI)  
 | - Significant improvements over time in child behavior problems and intensity in both groups (no group differences)  
 | - Significant improvements over time in caregiver stress in both groups (no group differences) | |
Table 3. (Continued)

| Author(s) | Broad aim | Target/mechanism of change | Format | Outcome measures | Key findings |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------------|
| **Middle childhood (6 to 12 years)**<br>Kable and colleagues (2007) | Improve math skills and behavioral functioning | *Math Interactive Learning Environment*, a socio-cognitive habilitation intervention involving:<br>1. Targeted individual math intervention for children<br>2. Improving caregiver knowledge about the impacts of PAE, resources available to support learning, strategies for managing child behavior, and support for case management<br>3. Case management (psychiatric consultation and coordination with teachers) | Two 2-hour caregiver workshops, followed by 6 weeks of tutoring services (child-only) with concurrent weekly caregiver instruction (session length unspecified) | Child<br>• Emotional/behavioral functioning (CBCL)<br>• Academic outcomes (numerous math measures)<br>Caregiver<br>• Satisfaction and knowledge of workshop material (questionnaires) | • Significant pre- to posttreatment reduction in caregiver-reported problem behaviors in both groups (no group differences)<br>• High caregiver satisfaction and significant pre- to posttreatment gains in knowledge of FASD advocacy and behavioral regulation in both groups<br>• Math improvements in both groups, but significantly higher gains in the math group |
| **Coles and colleagues (2009)** | Six-month follow-up to Kable and colleagues (2007) | See Kable and colleagues (2007) | See Kable and colleagues (2007) | Child<br>• Emotional/behavioral functioning (CBCL)<br>• Academic outcomes (numerous math measures)<br>Caregiver<br>• Satisfaction and knowledge of workshop material (questionnaires) | • Significant reduction in caregiver- and teacher-reported problem behaviors in both groups (no group differences), from pretest to 6 months posttreatment; behavioral improvements were greatest among younger children<br>• Significantly more math gains in math group than contrast group, maintained at 6 months; math gains were significantly greater in younger children and those with younger caregivers<br>• High caregiver satisfaction and knowledge gains in both groups (no group differences), maintained at 6 months |
| **Kable and colleagues (2012)** | Improve behavior | Caregiver training focused on increasing knowledge about the neurodevelopmental consequences of PAE, strategies to provide behavioral supports, and improving capacity and advocacy skills | Two 2-hour in-person caregiver workshops, or web-based translation of the same material (length and frequency unspecified) | Child<br>• Emotional/behavioral functioning (CBCL) Caregiver<br>• Satisfaction and knowledge of training content (questionnaires) | • Significant pre- to posttraining improvements in caregiver-reported child behavior for workshop group only<br>• Significant pre- to posttraining knowledge gains in caregiver advocacy and behavioral regulation for web and workshop groups, but only in behavioral regulation for community group<br>• Significantly higher caregiver satisfaction in the workshop group in a range of variables; lowest levels of satisfaction in the community group |

Continued.
| Author(s) | Broad aim | Target/mechanism of change | Format | Outcome measures | Key findings |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|--------|------------------|--------------|
| Petrenko and colleagues (2017) | Improve family adaptation and reduce risk for adverse outcomes | *Families on Track*, a preventative in-home intervention for reducing risk factors and promoting protective factors, and involving: 1. Child skills groups to build social competence and reduce problem behaviors 2. In-home parent behavioral consultation to improve family-level factors such as family interactions, caregiver experiences of stress and efficacy, family needs, and child behavior | 30 weeks; weekly 90-minute child groups, biweekly 90-minute caregiver visits | Child  - Self-perception (BPI)  - Challenging behavior (ECBI)  - Emotion regulation (ERC)  - Level of impairment (IR5/Caregiver)  - Knowledge and advocacy (K&A)  - Parenting practices (PPI)  - Sense of competence (PSOC)  - Stress (PSI-4-SF)  - Perceived social support (PSSS)  - Self-care, family needs met, and intervention satisfaction (CSQ, PEI-FOT)  
Caregiver  - Knowledge and advocacy (K&A)  - Sense of competence (PSOC)  - Stress (PSI-4-SF)  - Family needs met (rating scale) |  - Significant pre-to posttreatment improvements in caregiver-reported child emotion regulation in intervention group compared to comparison group  
- All children showed significant pre-to posttesting improvements in disruptive behavior and negative affect over time (no group difference)  
- All children showed significant declines in self-esteem over time (no group difference)  
- Significant pre-to posttreatment increase in caregiver FASD knowledge and advocacy as well as perceived family needs met in intervention group but not comparison group  
- High intervention satisfaction among caregivers |

Petrenko and colleagues (2019) | Six-month follow-up to Petrenko and colleagues (2017) | See Petrenko and colleagues (2017) | See Petrenko and colleagues (2017) | Child  - Challenging behavior (ECBI)  - Emotion regulation (ERC)  - Level of impairment (IR5)  
Caregiver  - Knowledge and advocacy (K&A)  - Sense of competence (PSOC)  - Stress (PSI-4-SF)  - Family needs met (rating scale) |  - Gains in disruptive behavior and negative affect were maintained and all children showed continued significant declines at follow-up (no group differences)  
- Self-esteem significantly worsened in all children over time (no group difference)  
- Treatment group showed diminishing gains in emotion regulation whereas the comparison group showed a significant increase between posttest and follow-up  
- Caregiver FASD knowledge and advocacy gains were maintained at 6-month follow-up in both groups (no group differences)  
- Significantly more family met needs in intervention group than comparison group at follow-up, but all caregivers reported significant declines in needs met at follow-up  
- Significantly greater caregiver self-efficacy at follow-up than baseline for intervention group, and greater in intervention group than comparison group at follow-up  
- Significant gains in parenting satisfaction at follow-up for comparison group but not intervention group |
| Author(s)                      | Broad aim                                      | Target/mechanism of change                                                                 | Format                                      | Outcome measures                                                                 | Key findings                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Coles and colleagues (2015)   | Improve self-regulation and adaptive living skills | GoFAR self-regulation intervention involving: 1. Child metacognitive skill-building through computer game learning 2. Caregiver training on the neurodevelopmental and behavioral impacts of PAE 3. Behavior analog therapy (BAT), allowing dyads to implement learning with a therapist, and receive instruction on the use of techniques in everyday contexts | 5 weeks; weekly 1-hour concurrent but separate child and caregiver sessions, followed by 5 weeks of weekly BAT (joint child-caregiver) | Child  
- Disruptive behavior (DBRF)  
- Game play characteristics and learning (observation) | Significant improvements in caregiver-reported disruptive behavior in both treatment groups, but those in GoFAR showed improvement after game learning, while those in FACELAND did not show improvement until after BAT |
| Coles and colleagues (2018)   | Additional data from Coles and colleagues (2015) | See Coles and colleagues (2015)                                                           | See Coles and colleagues (2015)            | Child  
- Neurocognitive functioning (TOVA, NEPSY-II)  
- Emotional/behavioral functioning (CBCL, BRIEF, CBQ)  
- Adaptive functioning (VABS-2)Caregiver  
- Satisfaction (rating scale) | Sustained attention and impulse inhibition were significantly improved pre- to posttreatment in GoFAR group only  
Domestic adaptive skills were significantly improved pre- to posttreatment in both treatment groups relative to the control group  
Negative affect (i.e., fear) was significantly reduced in both treatment groups compared to control group  
No significant differences between treatment groups in caregiver satisfaction |
| Kable and colleagues (2016)   | Additional data from Coles and colleagues (2015) | See Coles and colleagues (2015)                                                           | See Coles and colleagues (2015)            | Child  
- - Disruptive behavior (DBRF) Caregiver  
- - Engagement (homework completion, therapy goals, goal achievement) satisfaction (survey) | Significant pre- to posttreatment reduction in caregiver-reported child frustration in GoFAR but not FACELAND or control groups  
Comparing only the treatment groups, children in GoFAR showed significantly greater pre- to posttreatment reductions in caregiver-reported problems with sustained mental effort  
Child's regulatory improvements were significantly related to achievement of therapy goals  
No significant difference between treatment groups in caregiver satisfaction |
| Author(s)                      | Broad aim                                                                 | Target/mechanism of change                                                                 | Format                                                                 | Outcome measures                                                                 | Key findings                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Olson and colleagues (in Bertrand, 2009) | Improve caregiver efficacy; meet family needs; reduce problematic child behaviors | Families Moving Forward, a behavioral consultation intervention based on social learning theory and intended to modify caregiver attitudes and responses, emphasizing positive behavior support and increasing caregiver capacity to advocate for their child | 9-11 months; 16 biweekly 90-minute sessions | Child  
- Challenging behavior (ECBI)  
- Caregiver  
- Sense of competence (PSOC)  
- Stress (PSI)  
- Self-care (rating scale)  
- Family needs met (rating scale)  
- Satisfaction with service provider (MAPS) | - Significant pre- to posttreatment decrease in challenging behavior among children in treatment but not comparison group  
- Significant pre- to posttreatment improvements in caregiver sense of competence and self-care in treatment but not comparison group  
- Significantly higher posttreatment family needs met and caregiver satisfaction in treatment than comparison group  
- No group differences in caregiver stress |
| Clark and colleagues (2014)    | Improve student behavior and opportunities for learning                    | Professional development program for teachers, including an overview of FASD, and training on how to use and organize information from student records to identify accommodations based on student strengths | One school year; 2 full-day and 4 half-day workshops, along with weekly meetings between teacher and mentor | Child  
- Classroom behavior (BASC-2 TRS and SOS)  
- Academic achievement (CBM)Teacher  
- Perceptions of program (interview) | - Significant pre- to postintervention improvement in teacher-reported adaptive skills and reduction in school problems in intervention group, but not comparison group  
- No change in teacher-reported externalizing or internalizing problems, researcher-observed behavior, or academic achievement  
- Perceived positive impacts on teaching practice, improved ability to accommodate students with FASD, changes in behavior perception, confidence in leveraging strengths and developing accommodations |
| Adnams and colleagues (in Riley et al., 2003) | Improve self-observation and self-regulation                              | Cognitive Control Therapy, a classroom group intervention targeted at rehabilitation of metacognitive control, focused attention, resisting distraction, information processing, and improving body and self-awareness | 10 months; weekly 1-hour sessions | Child  
- Neuropsychological functioning (numerous tests)  
- Classroom behavior (PBC)  
- Socioeconomic deprivation (interview) | - Significant pre- to posttreatment improvements in classroom behaviors in treatment but not in control group  
- Qualitative pre- to posttreatment improvements in treatment group, with trends toward improved teacher- and therapist-reported attitudes to learning and self (no group differences)  
- No posttreatment group differences in neuropsychological measures |
| Author(s) | Broad aim | Target/mechanism of change | Format | Outcome measures | Key findings |
|----------|------------|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------|--------------|
| Wells and colleagues (2012) | Improve self-regulation | Neurocognitive habilitation intervention, an adaptation of the Alert Program, involving: 1. Skills groups to teach children how to identify and manage levels of arousal through mind-body awareness, metacognitive strategies, and sensory strategies 2. Caregiver psychoeducational groups focused on information about FASD 3. Opportunities in each session for dyads to practice new skills | 12 weeks; weekly 75-minute sessions (first separate child and caregiver, then joint) | Child - Day-to-day executive functioning behaviors (BRIEF) - Emotional and social problem solving (RATC) | Significant pre- to posttreatment improvements in caregiver-reported executive functioning behaviors and emotional problem solving in the treatment group compared to control group |
| Timler and colleagues (2005) | Improve social communication | Social communication intervention targeted at improving mental state verb use and social cognitive skills | 6 weeks; 2 weeks of individual sessions (1 hour, 2X/week), followed by 4 weeks of group sessions (2 hours, 3X/week) | Child - Mental state verb use (false belief tasks) - Social cognitive skills (intervention checklists) | Improved mental state verb production and ability to generate alternative strategies for approaching social interactions No change in ability to predict the consequences of her actions |
| O'Connor and colleagues (2006) | Improve social skills | Children's Friendship Training (CFT), a parent-assisted intervention based on social learning theory and involving: 1. Child instruction on rules of social behavior 2. Concurrent caregiver sessions focused on information related to FASD and skills being taught to children Intervention was modified to account for neurocognitive difficulties | 12 weeks; weekly 90-minute concurrent but separate child and caregiver sessions | Child - Social knowledge (TSSK) - Social skills (SSRS) | Significant pre- to posttest improvement in child social skills knowledge in treatment group compared to control group Significant pre- to posttest increase in caregiver-reported social skills and reduction in problem behaviors in treatment group compared to control group Improvements in treatment group maintained over 3 months Comparable treatment outcomes in delayed treatment group after receiving intervention No statistically significant improvements in teacher-reported social skills |
| Keil and colleagues (2010) | Improve social skills | Additional data from O'Connor and colleagues (2006) | See O'Connor and colleagues (2006) | Child - Hostile attributional tendencies (Cartoon Stories Task) | Significant pre- to posttreatment reduction in hostile attributions in peer group entry (but not provocation) scenarios in treatment group compared to control group Improvements in treatment group maintained over 3 months |

Continued.
| Author(s)                     | Broad aim                      | Target/mechanism of change                                                                 | Format                                                                 | Outcome measures                                                                 | Key findings                                                                                                                                 |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| O'Connor and colleagues (2012) | Improve social skills         | Community mental health-based implementation of *Children's Friendship Training* (see O'Connor et al., 2006) | 12 weeks; weekly 90-minute concurrent but separate child and caregiver sessions | Child                                                                 | - Social knowledge (TSSK)  
- Self-concept (Piers-Harris-2)  
- Social skills (SSRS)  
- Caregiver and therapist satisfaction (questionnaire)  
- Significant pre- to posttreatment improvements in child social skills knowledge and self-concept in treatment group compared to control group  
- No significant pre- to posttreatment gains in overall caregiver-reported social skills, but significant improvements in assertion and responsibility in treatment group compared to control group  
- Similar response to treatment for children with and without PAE  
- Comparable caregiver satisfaction between groups, but significantly more caregivers in the CFT group than SOC group reported confidence in their child's social skills following treatment  
- Positive response from therapists who implemented CFT, but concerns about integrating it into existing practice |
| Soh and colleagues (2015)    | Improve self-regulation       | *Alert Program*, an intervention focused on teaching children how to identify and manage their level of arousal through mind-body awareness, metacognitive strategies, and sensory coping strategies; no caregiver component in this study | 12 weeks; weekly 1-hour sessions (child-only)                              | Child                                                                 | - Emotion regulation (BRIEF)  
- Inhibition (NEPSY-II)  
- Brain structure and function (MRI)  
- Significantly greater pre- to posttreatment improvement in caregiver-reported emotion regulation in treatment group compared to waitlist and control groups  
- Significantly greater pre- to posttreatment improvement in inhibition in treatment and control groups compared to waitlist group  
- Significant pre- to posttreatment increases in gray matter in brain regions related to self-regulation in treatment but not control or waitlist groups; similar (and larger) posttreatment gray matter volumes in treatment and control groups compared to waitlist group |
| Nash and colleagues (2015)   | Subset of Soh and colleagues  | See Soh and colleagues (2015)                                                             | See Soh and colleagues (2015)                                            | Child                                                                 | - Cognitive executive functioning (NEPSY-II, TEA-Ch, CANTAB)  
- Socio-affective executive functioning (NEPSY-II, TSC)  
- Emotional/behavioral functioning and social skills (BRIEF, CBCL, SSIS)  
- Significant pre- to posttreatment improvements in inhibition and affect recognition in treatment group compared to waitlist control group  
- Significant pre- to posttreatment improvements in caregiver-reported behavior regulation (in particular emotional control) in treatment group compared to controls  
- No change in caregiver-reported social skills  
- Improvements maintained at 6-month follow-up |

Continued.
| Author(s) | Broad aim | Target/mechanism of change | Format | Outcome measures | Key findings |
|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------------|
| Griffin and Copeland (2018) | Improve behavior | In-home behavioral intervention informed by a functional behavioral assessment, and involving explicit teaching of self-monitoring strategies and use of contingent reinforcement | 20 sessions (frequency and length unspecified) | Child | • Task completion (observation/checklists)  
• Argumentativeness (observation/event recording)  
• Challenging behavior (ECBI)  
Therapeutic trends during training/intervention for task completion and argumentativeness  
Counter-therapeutic trends at baseline and reversals  
Slight decrease in the number and marked decrease in the intensity of problem behaviors after treatment  
Child- and parent-perceived behavioral improvements, and increased strategies, motivation, and communication |
| Keightley and colleagues (2018) | Facilitate social communication and engagement | Intensive theater-based skills training group intervention emphasizing collaboration, awareness of self and others, relaxation, listening, and curiosity and imagination | 4 weeks; 4-hour daily sessions  
5 days/week | Youth | • Qualitative experiences (focus groups, observation) Youth, caregivers, and facilitators  
Feasibility, usability, and acceptability of intervention (focus groups)  
Perceived pre- to posttreatment improvements in social and emotional abilities such as increased self-confidence and peer interaction, social communication, and understanding and communicating feelings  
Intervention was received well by all stakeholders |
| Reid and colleagues (2017) | Improve the child–caregiver relationship | Parents Under Pressure, a home-based individualized program focused on improving the child-caregiver relationship and teaching mindfulness and self-regulation strategies within the ecological context of the family | 27 weeks; weekly or biweekly 1- to 2-hour sessions (child-only, caregiver-only activities in each session) | Child | • Executive functioning behaviors (BRIEF)  
Inhibition (NEPSY-II)  
Psychosocial distress (Y-OQ)  
Cardiac activity (heart rate monitor)  
Caregiver  
Feasibility (questionnaire)  
Treatment experience (interview)  
Significant improvements in caregiver-reported child psychosocial distress; self-reported psychosocial distress improved in 1 child  
Improvements in caregiver-reported executive functioning behaviors for 1 family  
Fewer inhibition errors, but longer completion time for both children  
Inconsistent changes in cardiac activity  
Caregiver-perceived qualitative improvements in understanding self and child, feeling supported, and family functioning  
Intervention was perceived to be feasible and acceptable |
| Katz and colleagues (2020) | Increase protective factors related to resilience | School-based mental health program delivered by teachers, including:  
1. The Brain Unit mental health literacy program  
2. Dialectical behavior therapy skill-building (i.e., interpersonal effectiveness, emotion regulation, mindfulness meditation, distress tolerance) | 13 lessons throughout the school year (length unspecified) | Child | • Self-concept (SDQ-GS)  
Coping skills (RI)  
Social support (GPSMHY, SCC)  
Significantly more positive change for students in intervention group on all 3 outcome measures  
Majority of gains in intervention group were made during program delivery, and gains were maintained across the year for intervention but not control group |

Continued.
| Author(s) | Broad aim | Target/mechanism of change | Format | Outcome measures | Key findings |
|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------|--------|------------------|--------------|
| O’Connor and colleagues (2016) | Prevent/reduce alcohol-related harms | *Project Step Up* intervention to reduce alcohol use, involving: 1. Youth group focused on education around alcohol, and developing coping skills and adaptive responses to social pressures 2. Concurrent caregiver training on impacts of PAE and managing challenges related to their teen’s alcohol use | 6 weeks; weekly 60-minute concurrent but separate youth and caregiver sessions | Youth - Alcohol risk and alcohol-related negative behaviors (AUDIT, RAPI, CRAFFT) Youth and caregiver - Participant satisfaction (questionnaires) | - No changes in alcohol risk or alcohol-related negative behaviors for abstinent/in-frequent drinkers in the treatment group or control group  - Significantly lower posttreatment levels of self-reported alcohol risk and alcohol-related negative behaviors among light/moderate drinkers in the intervention compared to control group  - Gains in intervention group maintained at 3 months on the RAPI but not AUDIT  - Very high rates of satisfaction for participants |
| Emerging adulthood and beyond (19 + years) Grant and colleagues. (2004b) | Reduce risk for substance use and alcohol-exposed pregnancies | Community-based mentorship intervention (based on *PCAP*), focused on addressing environment challenges, facilitating client connection with community resources, and supporting health and safety through relationship-based case management and mentorship, and education and collaboration with service providers Intervention was modified for clients with FASD | 12 months of visits (frequency and length unspecified) | Client - Severity of problems related to substance use (ASI-5)  - Method of contraception (ASI addendum)  - Community service utilization and service needs (ASI addendum) | - Increase in medical and mental health needs being met  - Increased attainment of adequate housing  - Decreased alcohol and drug use  - Increased use of reliable contraceptives |
| Denys and colleagues (2011) | Stabilize mothers and strengthen connection to community | *Step by Step* mentorship program (based on *PCAP*), a strengths-based and individualized goal-oriented intervention focused on connecting clients with their communities and accessing resources to ensure the health, safety, and overall well-being of women and their children Intervention was modified for clients with FASD | 3 years of visits (frequency and length unspecified) | Client - Needs and achievement of goals (HOMES)  - Satisfaction (surveys) | - Significant overall reduction in clients’ needs scores and increase in goals score from pre- to postprogram  - Significantly greater reduction in needs for clients with FASD than those not diagnosed  - Experience of abuse was significantly correlated with substance misuse  - High client satisfaction |
| Author(s)                        | Broad aim                                         | Target/mechanism of change                                                                 | Format                          | Outcome measures                                           | Key findings                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Brintnell and colleagues (2019) | Promote overall health and well-being             | Mind, Body, and Spirit group therapy program, focused on improving communication, interpersonal skills, personal strengths, and physical health (i.e., hygiene and sexuality); exploring cultural manifestations of spirituality; and incorporating a regular exercise program; concurrent individual meetings with Transitional Advocates | 10 weeks; 4 days/week (length unspecified) | Client                                                                 | Self-reported benefits of program  
Self-reported improvements in anger and stress management, self-esteem, self-awareness and insight, reduced feelings of aggression, coping skills, self-reflection, relationship with family, learning about the holistic benefits of exercise  
Higher rates of recidivism for those with juvenile criminal record, certain neuropsychological deficits, independent living skills  
Few (10%) participants were connected to advocates at 6-month follow-up; support from transition advocates was primarily related to basic needs and personal supports (e.g., advocacy, mentorship) |

ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ARND, alcohol-related neurodevelopmental disorder; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; ASI-5, Addictions Severity Index—5th Ed.; AUDIT, Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test; BASC-2, Behavior Assessment Scale for Children—2nd Ed.; BDI-2, Battelle Developmental Inventory—2nd Ed.; BPI, Berkeley Puppet Interview; BRIEF, Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Functioning; BSID-II, Bayley Scales of Infant Development—2nd Ed.; CANTAB, Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery; CBCL, Child Behavior Checklist; CBM, Curriculum-Based Measure; CBQ, Child Behavior Questionnaire; COT, Controlled Clinical Trial; CRAFFT, 6-item tool to rate alcohol use; CSQ, Client Satisfaction Questionnaire; DAS-2, Differential Abilities Scale—2nd Ed.; DASS, Depression Anxiety Stress Scale; DBRF, Disruptive Behavior Record Form; DPICS-II, Dyadic Parent-Child Interaction Coding System-II; ECBI, Eyberg Child Behavior Inventory; ERC, Emotion Regulation Checklist; GPSMHY, Global Portrait of Social and Moral Health for Youth; HOMES, Hull Outcome Monitoring Evaluation System; ID, Intellectual Disability; IRS, Impairment Rating Scale; K&A, Knowledge and Advocacy Scale; LD, learning disability; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NMT, Neurosequential Model of Therapeutics; ODD, oppositional defiant disorder; PCAP, Parent-Child Assistance Program; PDD-NOS, Pervasive Developmental Disorder—Not Otherwise Specified; PEI-FOT, Parent Evaluation Inventory—FOT; pFAS, partial fetal alcohol syndrome; PPI, Parenting Practices Interview; PSI-3, Parenting Stress Index—3rd Ed.; PSI-4-SF, Parenting Stress Index—4th Ed. Short Form; RAD, reactive attachment disorder; RAPI, Rutgers Alcohol Problem Index; RATC, Roberts Apperception Test for Children; RCT, randomized control trial; RI, Resilience Inventory; SBH, Secure Based-Safe Haven; SCC, Sense of Classroom as a Community Scale; SDQ, Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire; SDQ-GS, Self-Description Questionnaire—General Subscale; SOS, Student Observation System; SSIS, Social Skills Improvement System; SSRS, Social Skills Rating System; TEA-Ch, Test of Everyday Attention for Children; TOVA, Test of Variables of Attention; TRF, Teacher Report Form; TRS, Teacher Rating Scale; TSC, Test of Social Cognition; TSSK, Test of Social Skills Knowledge; VABS-2, Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scale—2nd Ed.
| Category                          | Early childhood (birth to 5 years)                                                                 | Middle childhood (6 to 12 years)                                                                 | Middle Childhood to Adolescence (13 to 18 years) | Emerging Adulthood and Beyond (19 + years) |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Supporting attachment and family wellness | • Multicomponent child-caregiver therapy and caregiver education to improve attachment and caregiver self-reflection (Zarnegar et al., 2016)<br>• Home-based joint child-caregiver program to improve attachment, caregiver emotion regulation, and responsiveness (Hanlon-Dearman et al., 2017)<br>• Multicomponent preschool program to promote attachment, child-caregiver interactions, caregiver engagement, and positive behavior strategies (Hajal et al., 2019)<br>• Joint child-caregiver intervention to teach behavioral parenting skills and improve child-caregiver relationship (Gurwitch et al., in Bertrand, 2009) | • In-home joint child-caregiver program to improve relationships and mindfulness (Reid et al., 2017)<br>• Multicomponent in-home consultation to promote child social competence and address family interactions and experience (Petrenko et al., 2017, 2019)<br>• Home-based parent consultation to modify attitudes and responses, and increase advocacy for the child (Olson et al., in Bertrand, 2009)<br>• Caregiver training to improve knowledge and capacity to support children (Kable et al., 2012) | No studies identified | No studies identified |
| Building skills and strategies Behavior | • One-on-one treatment to improve child functional communication, adaptive behavior, and emotional-behavioral functioning (Connolly et al., 2016)<br>• Group program to improve rule following and appropriate behavior (Wiskow et al., 2019) | • Child-only classroom instruction on metacognitive skills (Adnams et al., in Riley et al., 2003)<br>• Multicomponent program to teach metacognitive skills to children and increase caregiver knowledge (Coles et al., 2015, 2018; Kable et al., 2016)<br>• Multicomponent program to teach children how to identify and manage arousal and apply metacognitive skills, and to provide caregiver psychoeducation (Wells et al., 2012; Nash et al., 2015; Soh et al., 2015) | Behavior<br>• Multicomponent habilitation to improve child learning and behavior; provide caregiver training to improve knowledge and strategies; and offer child case management (Coles et al., 2009; Kable et al., 2007)<br>• Teacher professional development to improve student learning and behavior (Clark et al., 2014)<br>• In-home treatment to teach child self-monitoring strategies and contingent reinforcement (Griffin and Copeland, 2018) | Social skills<br>• Multicomponent instruction for children and caregivers on rules of social behavior (Keil et al., 2010; O'Connor et al., 2006; O'Connor et al., 2012)<br>• Individual and group therapy to improve social communication (Timler et al., 2005)<br>• Theater-based group program to improve social skills (Keightley et al., 2018) | Mental health literacy<br>• School-based instruction for students on mental health literacy and dialectical behavior therapy skills (Katz et al., 2020) | No studies identified |
on child developmental and regulatory skills, as well as a reduction in caregiver stress, when measured pre- to post-treatment. Parents Under Pressure was another intervention integrating mindfulness as a means to improve the child–caregiver relationship (Reid et al., 2017). Findings from this study were mixed for the 2 families completing the intervention. However, pre- to posttreatment data indicated that this training led to some significant improvements in child distress and behavior. Caregivers perceived the program to increase their understanding of themselves and their children, enhance feelings of support, and improve overall family functioning.

Hanlon-Dearman and colleagues (2017) examined the Circle of Security intervention program with preschool-aged children with PAE and their caregivers. They found clinically significant pre-to posttreatment improvements in attachment quality for the majority of children receiving the intervention, as well as reductions in caregiver stress that were maintained at 3-month follow-up. In another attachment-based intervention, Hajal and colleagues (2019) implemented the Strategies for Enhancing Early Developmental Success (SEEDS) preschool program for children with PAE and their caregivers, rooted in attachment and positive child–caregiver interactions. Descriptive findings for 3 cases were mixed, with positive and clinically significant pre-to posttreatment impacts for 2 children, but inconsistent impacts for the third. Gurwitch and colleagues (as cited in Bertrand, 2009) implemented another intervention focused on supporting the child–caregiver relationship, Parent-Child Interaction Therapy, and reported significant improvements throughout the course of treatment in terms of both child behavior and caregiver satisfaction. However, these improvements were not significantly greater than those found in a control group.

We identified a number of interventions that involved in-home consultation for caregivers and their children with PAE/FASD. Two studies were published on the Families on Track program, which is focused on family interactions and experiences and includes group treatment to support the child’s social competence (Petrenko et al., 2017, 2019). This intervention was received with high satisfaction and led to significant pre-to posttreatment gains in caregiver knowledge of FASD and advocacy, family needs being better met, and improvements in caregiver-reported child emotion regulation in the treatment group compared to families who did not receive the intervention (Petrenko et al., 2017). In both groups, children showed significant pre-to posttreatment improvements in disruptive behavior and negative affect (no group differences). Gains in caregiver knowledge were maintained at 6-month follow-up in both groups (Petrenko et al., 2019). Those who completed the intervention also had a greater sense of efficacy and family needs were better met at follow-up than those in the comparison group, although both groups reported an overall decline in needs met (Petrenko et al., 2019). Interestingly, caregivers in the comparison group reported significant improvements in parenting satisfaction at follow-up, but those in the treatment group

| Category | Middle Childhood to Adolescence (13 to 18 years) | Middle Childhood (6 to 12 years) | Early childhood (birth to 5 years) | No studies identified | No studies identified |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Responding to risk and reducing harm | Multicomponent treatment providing interventions on positive coping and adaptive coping training under PACE and challenges related to abortion and PAE and challenges related to adolescent health promotion and communication, physical health, and spirituality (Gurwitch et al., 2002) | Multicomponent treatment providing supportive mentorship, advocacy, and connection to community resources (Denys et al., 2011; Grant et al., 2004b; Kartin et al., 2002) | No studies identified | No studies identified |

Table 4. (Continued)
did not. Although all children showed continued improvements in disruptive behavior and negative affect at follow-up (no group differences), they also all showed significantly worsening self-esteem across time points, and the treatment group experienced diminishing gains in emotion regulation whereas the comparison group showed significant increases (Petrenko et al., 2019).

In the Families Moving Forward program, the in-home consultation intervention was intended to modify caregiver attitudes and responses, and increase child advocacy (Olson et al., as cited in Bertrand, 2009). Significant pre- to post-treatment improvements were reported in the treatment group but not the control group for child behavior problems as well as caregiver self-care, sense of competence, family needs met, and caregiver satisfaction, though caregiver stress was comparable across groups.

To test whether the delivery format of caregiver training influences caregiver knowledge or child behavior outcomes, Kable and colleagues (2012) compared the impacts of in-person workshop versus web delivery. Caregivers in both the workshop and web-based groups made significant pre- to post-training gains in advocacy skills and knowledge of behavior regulation, whereas the community comparison group showed significant knowledge gains in behavior regulation only. Caregiver satisfaction was highest in the workshop group, and only caregivers in the workshop group reported significant behavioral change in their children, indicating that the effectiveness of caregiver training may be specific to the context in which it is implemented.

Building Skills and Strategies. Our search yielded 19 studies focused on developing skills or strategies related to emotional, social, and behavioral functioning as a mechanism to improve mental health outcomes for individuals with PAE/FASD. Almost all of the participants with PAE/FASD in these studies were in their middle childhood years, though 2 case studies were reported on younger children, and 2 studies also included adolescents. Nearly half \((n = 9)\) of these interventions involved caregiver or teacher training to complement the direct instruction provided to children and
adolescents. Most of the studies were based on standardized interventions established as effective in other populations, implemented with adaptations to account for the neurocognitive deficits associated with FASD.

**Self-regulation**—In one of the first attempts to examine the impacts of a self-regulation intervention for children with FASD, Adnams and colleagues (in Riley et al., 2003) delivered *Cognitive Control Therapy* in a classroom setting to a small group of children, designed to teach metacognitive strategies and ultimately improve self-observation and self-regulation. The researchers found that after 10 months of weekly sessions, children in the treatment group but not the control group showed significant pre- to posttreatment improvements in classroom behavior, though no group differences in neuropsychological outcomes were reported.

The *GoFAR* program is another self-regulation intervention designed to build metacognitive strategies in children through computer game learning and caregiver training. In the 3 studies reporting data from this intervention, researchers compared outcomes of a small group of children who completed the *GoFAR* program with a contrast group who received a modified treatment (differing only in terms of the computer game content, but similar caregiver training components) and a nontreatment control group. Results indicated that both the *GoFAR* and contrast treatment groups showed significant pre- to posttreatment reductions in disruptive behavior (Coles et al., 2015), improvements in adaptive skills, and decreased negative affect (Coles et al., 2018) compared to the control group. Compared to the contrast and control groups, children in the *GoFAR* group showed significantly greater pre- to posttreatment improvements in attention regulation (Coles et al., 2018), sustained mental effort, and reductions in frustration levels (Kable et al., 2016). With respect to the *GoFAR* caregiver component, caregivers across studies who received the training were highly satisfied (Coles et al., 2018; Kable et al., 2016), and caregiver engagement was significantly related to improvements in child regulatory abilities (Kable et al., 2016).

Three additional self-regulation intervention studies were identified in which researchers examined the effectiveness of the *Alert Program* (Williams and Shellenberger, 1996) for children with PAE/FASD, which is designed to improve self-regulatory skills through “neurocognitive habilitation.” In the first study, researchers implemented an adaptation of the *Alert Program* with children with FASD and reported significant pre- to posttreatment improvements in both caregiver-reported executive functioning and emotional problem solving compared to a control group (Wells et al., 2012). Similar positive outcomes were reported by Nash and colleagues (2015), who noted significant pre- to posttreatment improvements in inhibition as well as affect and behavior regulation among children with FASD who received the intervention compared to a control group. Soh and colleagues (2015) provided additional evidence for the positive impacts of the *Alert Program* on emotion regulation and inhibition, as well as data suggesting gray matter changes in brain areas critical to self-regulation in children who received the intervention, but not in those who had not.

**Behavioral Skills**—Five studies were identified where children with PAE/FASD were taught skills and strategies to improve behavioral functioning. Three of these were case studies (Connolly et al., 2016; Griffin and Copeland, 2018; Wiskow et al., 2018), in which behavioral interventions were delivered in various settings to children with FASD (ranging in age from 3 to 11 years), and findings were predominantly positive. In Connolly and colleagues’ (2016) study, a long-term, intensive, and multidisciplinary applied-behavior analysis-based treatment led to posttreatment improvements in functional communication, adaptive behavior, and emotional–behavioral functioning for a young girl with FASD.

Griffin and Copeland (2018) reported on an in-home behavior therapy delivered to an 11-year-old boy with FASD and his caregiver, which resulted in increased task completion and reduced argumentativeness over the course of treatment. Lastly, a summer program involving a game-like behavioral treatment in a small-group setting led to substantial reductions in disruptive behavior from baseline to completion for a preschool-aged boy with fetal alcohol syndrome (Wiskow et al., 2018).

Two, more rigorous, RCT studies provided outcome data from the socio-cognitive *Math Interactive Learning Environment (MILE)* intervention. In the first study, Kable and colleagues (2007) reported on immediate outcomes of the intervention, noting that children in the intervention group showed gains in math skills that were significantly greater than those seen in a psychoeducational contrast group. Caregivers in both groups experienced high levels of treatment satisfaction, and significant pre- to posttreatment improvements in their knowledge of caregiving advocacy and behavioral regulation principles. Caregivers also reported significant pre- to posttreatment reductions in child problem behaviors, though these were not significantly greater in the MILE group than those in the contrast group. The lack of group differences in caregiver knowledge and child behavioral gains suggests that the math tutoring itself may not have been as influential on behavioral outcomes as was the caregiver training workshops, delivered to both groups.

Coles and colleagues (2009) conducted a follow-up study of the same sample of families and reported that treatment impacts were maintained at 6 months postintervention. For all children, reductions in problem behavior extended to the classroom, with significant teacher-reported behavior improvements from pretest to 6 months posttreatment. Again, there were significantly greater gains in the intervention group than the contrast group for math skills, but not for behavioral outcomes or caregiver knowledge.

Adding to the evidence that external support may play a key role in improving outcomes for children with PAE/FASD, researchers in a small study reported that a teacher professional development intervention that was designed to
improve student behavior and learning had positive impacts on teaching practice, and led to significant gains in student adaptive behavior and reductions in classroom problem behaviors, none of which was apparent in a comparison group (Clark et al., 2014).

Social Skills—Five studies were identified that focused on building social skills to improve well-being among children with PAE/FASD. In 3 of these studies, researchers examined the impacts of the Children’s Friendship Training (CFT) intervention, with relatively large samples of children with FASD. These studies provided evidence that CFT, modified to account for neurocognitive deficits among school-aged children with FASD, can significantly improve social skills knowledge, as well as reduce hostile attributions (Keil et al., 2010) and caregiver-reported problem behaviors (O’Connor et al., 2006), compared to a control group. However, the CFT intervention was not shown to impact teacher perceptions of social skills (O’Connor et al., 2006), suggesting that learned skills may not always transfer across settings. In a translation of the CFT intervention to a community mental health setting, O’Connor and colleagues (2012) tested its effectiveness among children both with and without PAE and reported significantly improved social skills knowledge, self-concept, and some caregiver-reported social skills for all children who completed the intervention compared to those who did not. Children with PAE benefited from the intervention as much as children without PAE, suggesting that some children with PAE may be successfully integrated into widely offered community treatment programs that take into consideration their unique neurocognitive challenges. Positive feedback from program facilitators indicated the acceptability of this intervention in community settings (O’Connor et al., 2012).

Two additional social skills interventions were identified, both more limited in size and scope. Timler and colleagues (2005) presented a feasibility case study from a social communication intervention with a 9-year-old girl with FASD and reported improvements in her ability to generate social communication, and emotional awareness among participants. More recently, Keightley and colleagues (2018) piloted an innovative theater-based intervention with a small group of children and youth with FASD, their caregivers, and intervention facilitators. Qualitative data captured in this study on feasibility, usability, acceptability, and perceived outcomes revealed that the intervention was perceived to improve self-confidence, social interaction and communication, and emotional awareness among participants. Although these 2 studies represent a small number of people with FASD, they offer preliminary evidence on potential targets for intervention to promote skills important for social well-being.

Mental Health Literacy—In the final intervention in the skill-building category, researchers tested a comprehensive school-based holistic mental health program to promote resiliency among students through building mental health literacy and teaching dialectical behavior therapy (DBT) strategies (Katz et al., 2020). Participants included a large group of students with various neurodevelopmental disabilities. The researchers did not single out FASD in their analysis of outcomes, but the intervention led to significant improvements in self-concept, coping skills, and social support for all students receiving the intervention compared to those in the control group, and these gains were maintained throughout the school year.

Responding to Risk and Reducing Harm. In the third category of studies (n = 5), interventions were targeted at responding supportively to high-risk behaviors and reducing related harms as a means to improving mental wellness. Three of these studies were related to substance use and related challenges within a larger context of mentorship, advocacy, and access to resources. One study was an intervention to reduce alcohol use and related risks among youth with FASD. The last study was a holistic mental health intervention for adults with FASD who were incarcerated.

Substance Use—Three of the interventions in this category were based on the Parent-Child Assistance Program (PCAP) model, a home visitation mentorship and advocacy program for women, designed to reduce the risk of alcohol-exposed pregnancies (Ernst et al., 1999; Grant et al., 1999). The first of these studies was conducted with women with substance use challenges who recently gave birth to alcohol-exposed infants (Kartin et al., 2002). The intervention was focused on connecting clients with services to reduce substance use and associated challenges, and after 3 years, the researchers measured child development outcomes. No significant differences were found between children of mothers who completed the program and those who did not.

In a community pilot study, Grant and colleagues (2004b) implemented PCAP for the first time with modifications for clients with FASD, and reported a range of positive impacts. These impacts included increases in mental and physical health needs being met, use of reliable contraception, and attainment of adequate housing, as well as a decrease in substance use. Additional evidence for the positive impacts of supportive mentorship for women with FASD was provided by Denys and colleagues (2011), who conducted a retrospective record review of clients who had completed the Step by Step program, another modified version of PCAP for clients with FASD. Clients who partook in this program experienced a significant pre- to postprogram reduction in self-reported needs ranging from experiences of abuse and problems with substance use to community access and housing. This decrease in needs was significantly greater for those who had a formal diagnosis rather than those with suspected FASD. Moreover, clients experienced a significant increase in their achievement of personal goals. Although these interventions were wide-ranging in scope, they had a specific
positive impact on issues related to substance use for women with PAE/FASD.

Only 1 study specifically targeted substance use and associated harms in the FASD population, and it was limited to adolescents, most of whom (67%) were abstinent or consumed alcohol infrequently (O’Connor et al., 2016). The Project Step Up intervention (modified to account for neurocognitive deficits associated with FASD) was implemented to youth, along with concurrent, but separate, caregiver sessions. The intervention was reported to have no impact on abstinent/infrequent drinkers. However, in light/moderate drinkers, treatment was associated with significantly lower levels of self-reported alcohol-related risk behaviors posttreatment compared to those in the comparison group, with some of these gains maintained at 3-month follow-up.

**Justice Involvement**—As part of a larger study aimed at developing services and supports for individuals with FASD involved with the justice system, Brintnell and colleagues (2019) delivered the holistic Mind, Body, Spirit (MBS) program to incarcerated adult males with FASD. Although no analyses were conducted to examine the independent impacts of the MBS intervention, participants perceived that the overall program improved their self-esteem and insight, emotional functioning, coping skills, relationships, and appreciation for the benefits of exercise.

**DISCUSSION**

The goal of the current review was to consolidate the available evidence on interventions aimed at improving mental health and substance use outcomes for individuals with PAE/FASD. Mental health was conceptualized broadly in this review and comprised emotional, psychological, spiritual, behavioral, and social well-being. Much of the current FASD intervention literature is focused on improving functioning, and our goal was to explore this broad work to tease apart specific outcomes associated with mental health. Additional objectives were to identify gaps and inform best practice and policy change in order to support lifelong well-being for individuals with PAE/FASD. This study offers a valuable contribution to the literature by identifying promising areas for promoting mental wellness among individuals with PAE/FASD, particularly in terms of the mechanisms that may underlie and impact mental wellness, and how these mechanisms may evolve across the life course. We found favorable and emerging evidence for interventions to support attachment and family wellness, build skills and strategies, and respond to risk and reduce harm. Combined, these approaches may reflect the components critical to integrated and interdependent care planning for individuals with PAE/FASD across the life course.

Broadly, the findings from this review revealed that interventions to support mental wellness for people with PAE/FASD may be beneficial in different ways across the life course, through both preventative and responsive approaches. Preliminary work that explored attachment and family-based interventions was original and specifically designed for the PAE/FASD child–caregiver dyad and may be particularly (although not necessarily exclusively) impactful in early childhood. These interventions had positive impacts on attachment and child adjustment, including improved relationships, enhanced caregiving experiences, and increased family functioning. The positive results of these studies support a preventative model in which better bonding may aid the developmental process in the child and diminish the risk of adversity. Beyond early childhood, intervention efforts were responsive to the changing functional needs of individuals with PAE/FASD and their families. Acquiring skills and strategies to support optimal functioning took precedence in middle childhood. In later adolescence, emerging adulthood, and adulthood, as needs may become more complex, interventions shifted to a more responsive approach to mitigate risk and reduce harm.

Adopting a developmental approach to intervention allows for flexibility at each life stage for individuals with FASD, where there are multiple opportunities to tailor intervention efforts to strengthen the foundational experiences, skills, and support systems that underlie mental wellness. By such a mechanism, mental health challenges and the associated negative outcomes may be mitigated as in the non-FASD population (Rokita et al., 2018). Complex clinical presentations and comorbid conditions complicate treatment delivery for people with FASD, with case management often necessitating support for mental health and substance use needs, sexuality, legal issues, and medical issues (Paley & O’Connor, 2009). By considering mental health needs early and integrating FASD screening and diagnosis with assessment to identify any comorbid mental health concerns, clinicians are in a better position to cater to the unique needs of individual with PAE/FASD so that clinical recommendations are as comprehensive, holistic, and impactful as possible (Patel et al., 2020). It is important that people with FASD have access to these holistic assessments to ensure that their unique functional needs, abilities, and circumstances are understood and adequately addressed. This holistic approach may not only inform treatment planning, but also allow for a more comprehensive understanding of mental health trajectories among people with FASD, and help to guide future research about the multi-faceted needs of people with FASD and their families. Lifelong coordinated, multidisciplinary, accessible, and community-based services and supports, that are aimed to help individuals with FASD live and function independently, will be necessary to support the well-being of individuals with FASD as they age (Paley & O’Connor, 2009).

Interestingly, very few studies in this review were focused on mental health or substance use as primary targets of intervention. Rather, interventions most often targeted functional or adaptive skills, and mental health factors were considered to be significant potential outcomes. Notably, we found that
intervention efforts can support individuals with FASD to adopt and apply skills and strategies to improve their ability to function at their best. Nineteen articles, reporting on 7 evidence-based interventions indicate this potential impact. Self-regulation and social skills strategies have the strongest evidence for use in children with PAE/FASD, and there is also promising evidence for interventions to support the development of positive behavioral skills and strategies. Skill-building was not exclusive to the individual with PAE/FASD; in many cases, interventions also incorporated external support through facilitators, caregivers, teachers, or mentors. Importantly, these interventions led to improved indicators of mental health, suggesting that the acquisition of skills and strategies is one viable mechanism for individuals with FASD (and in many cases, their families), to cope, interact, and feel better.

Although preliminary evidence suggests that individuals with FASD can acquire skills and strategies through more direct psychotherapeutic approaches, such as building mindfulness, distress tolerance, and coping skills via holistic mental health programs (Brintnell et al., 2019; Katz et al., 2020), current interventions are largely focused on improving underlying skill sets that indirectly influence mental health through regulation of behavior or problem-solving skills. For individuals with FASD, the current approach for managing mental health seems to be focused more on supporting adaptive or functional capacity rather than providing psychotherapy in the traditional sense. Considering the outcome measures reported in the reviewed studies (i.e., behavioral, emotional, social functioning), and their association with mental health function, one may infer that these interventions have the potential to make a positive impact. In many ways, current FASD interventions are more preventative in nature, attempting to either prevent the onset of mental health problems, or prevent additional harm. There is currently no evidence on effective mental health treatment approaches or best practices for individuals with FASD who are diagnosed with comorbid mental health problems, such as anxiety or depressive disorders, ADHD, or other psychiatric disorders, which are very common in this population. Moreover, there are no established best practices for individuals with FASD in the midst of a mental health crisis. Although not directly incorporating the traditional therapeutic approach, the skill-building interventions reviewed here are promising, considering that some of the negative outcomes associated with FASD (e.g., high-risk behavior, suicidality) may be rooted in the neurocognitive deficits associated with PAE (e.g., poor emotion regulation, impaired decision making, impulsivity; Rasmussen and Wyper, 2007; Temple et al., 2019). Again, targeted approaches to mitigating the functional consequences of these impairments are important and may help to prevent or minimize the later development of mental health or substance use problems.

Finally, our findings indicate that investing efforts to engage and train supporters may lead to environmental shifts that are conducive to optimized fit and positive outcomes for individuals with PAE/FASD. A recurring theme apparent from our review relates to the importance of supporters who understand FASD; engage in advocacy for their children, students, or clients; and practice skills to support everyday success. A common element of studies targeting child skill- and strategy-building was improved caregiver knowledge and skills to complement the child’s learning. Caregiver confidence, support, and skills appear to be some of the key ingredients for developing effective interventions for children with FASD. Accordingly, a comprehensive treatment approach requires the service provider to include specific recommendations geared toward knowledge and skills enhancement among those who support and care for people with FASD. Interactions between caregivers and service providers, virtually or in-person, may also provide additional resources for acquiring relevant knowledge and support. It is important to consider that intervention studies involving caregivers required active and intensive participation, and given the high rates of caregiver instability in this population (Olson et al., 2009), these positive outcomes may be more difficult to achieve for some children with FASD. As well, follow-up data from several studies indicated that not all of the gains made during intervention delivery were maintained, highlighting the necessity of ongoing supports across the life course.

The neurocognitive and learning deficits associated with PAE may impact a person’s receptiveness to treatment and subsequent completion rates (Grant et al., 2013). Modification of existing programs to account for PAE-related impairments was common (e.g., the Alert Program, CTF, PCAP, Project Step Up). Knowing that specific adaptations may be warranted for individuals with FASD, it is critical that screening for FASD and identification of functional needs and impairments is conducted within mental health and substance use treatment settings to inform program planning (Grant et al., 2013). For individuals with FASD who have had difficulty completing mental health or substance use treatment in the past, modifying the program rather than framing the difficulties as individual shortcomings can make the difference between treatment failure and success (Grant et al., 2013).

Limitations

Many of the studies identified in this review had methodological limitations, particularly in terms of selection bias and blinding, where no studies were rated strongly. Weak ratings in selection bias reflected the limited representativeness of the study populations, which was primarily because participants were identified through clinic databases or self-referral, or the authors did not describe the proportion of selected individuals who decided to participate. No studies were rated strongly with respect to blinding, because in all studies, either the outcome assessor was aware of the intervention status of the participants, the participants were aware of the research
question, or blinding was not explicitly described. Additionally, most studies relied on small sample sizes, and numerous interventions were with single cases, accounting for the weak ratings in study design. On the other hand, numerous studies had strong ratings in terms of study design and data collection because many were RCTs or CCTs, and data collection measures were reliable and valid. For most outcomes, data were primarily collected through informant report (i.e., self, caregiver, and/or teacher ratings) rather than via direct measures of functioning. Although this informant data reflects important perspectives with strong ecological validity, future research using a wider range of data collection tools would provide complementary information.

Notably, dropouts were reported as minimal in most studies, suggesting that as interventions currently stand, these approaches have appeal for individuals with FASD and their families. This high rate of participation may reflect a combination of a strong desire for supports and intervention on the part of families (Pepper et al., 2018). This desire may be combined with a belief that the concerns caregivers hold can be addressed to some extent and that their child has the capacity to learn and grow in response to these interventions.

Several studies included follow-up data, but this was limited to 6 months, at most, which is not sufficient to ascertain any long-term effects of the intervention. Almost all studies were conducted in North America—predominantly in the United States—which also limits generalizability to other geographical regions where there are high rates of FASD. Despite these limitations, the studies identified in this review contribute preliminary evidence to build upon in our efforts to establish empirically supported interventions for people with FASD across the life course.

Gaps and Future Research Considerations

One of the most noteworthy findings of the current review is the stark absence of traditional psychotherapeutic interventions to improve mental health or substance use outcomes for individuals with PAE/FASD. Although elements of this approach are integrated into some of the interventions identified, holistic mental health responses were implemented in only 2 studies (Brintnell et al., 2019; Katz et al., 2020), neither of which were strongly generalizable, and one of which was not specific to FASD. Psychotherapy is one of the most common recommendations for children with FASD and comorbid psychiatric diagnoses (Patel et al., 2020), and anecdotally evidence suggests that these approaches are being used in front-line FASD practice. However, research is critically needed to examine the use of evidence-based psychotherapeutic approaches, such as DBT, cognitive behavior therapy, acceptance and commitment therapy, eye movement desensitizing and reprocessing therapy, and others, within this population. Studies are needed to explore the effectiveness of these specific interventions in order to generate an understanding of what modifications may be required to best account for the brain-based difficulties experienced by individuals with PAE/FASD. In the future, researchers should explore the use of these therapies to address common psychiatric comorbidities in FASD, as well as examine the effectiveness or required adaptations for individuals with added layers of risk and complexity such as trauma, out-of-home care, self-harm and suicidal behaviors, and justice involvement. As more evidence is developed, clinicians should be relatively confident in the existing findings supported by the ease of initiation and completion of interventions. Specific suggestions regarding ways to leverage the diverse strengths and abilities of individuals with FASD will be critical, which should be incorporated into planning geared toward achieving and promoting healthy outcomes.

Another significant gap identified in this review is the lack of substance use interventions for individuals with PAE/FASD. Although we noted several studies where substance use outcomes were measured, most of these were set within a larger context of strengthening connection with community resources and reducing risk through mentorship and advocacy for mothers. In the single study where researchers directly targeted substance use and related risk behaviors, participants were adolescents, and two-thirds were abstinent or infrequent drinkers (O’Connor et al., 2016). This research offers important data on the feasibility and promise of substance use treatment for youth with FASD, especially as a means to intervene early and prevent the onset or worsening of substance use and related harms. However, research is needed with youth and adults with FASD who present with more severe challenges and risks. It has been suggested that the heightened risk of substance use in FASD results from a combination of complex factors, such as neurophysiological vulnerability, unstable early home environments, and concurrent mental disorders (Grant et al., 2013). Therefore, scientists and clinicians working in this area should take into consideration these biopsychosocial components as they relate to treatment and future research directions.

Finally, there are significant gaps in our knowledge about interventions for adolescents, emerging adults, and adults with FASD. In the current review, only 6 studies included participants over the age of 12 years, and only 3 were with individuals over 18 years. The lack of evidence-based services for adolescents and adults with FASD who present with more severe challenges and risks. It is during the adolescent period that mental health and substance use challenges often emerge, and then as individuals with FASD transition to adulthood, they may become increasingly disconnected from the very supports and services that are most essential. Indeed, the transition to adulthood seems to be a particularly vulnerable time for individuals with FASD (Burnside and Fuchs, 2013; Coons-Harding et al., 2019), with mental health and substance use problems especially relevant for this age group (Lynch et al., 2017). There is a critical and timely need for increased research and targeted service delivery during this life stage to provide wraparound supports for individuals with FASD who may otherwise lack resources and supports to promote healthy outcomes (McLachlan et al., 2020; Pei et al., 2019).
CONCLUSION

Recognizing the intersectoral nature of a life course approach, investing in early and ongoing intervention, supporting the acquisition of functional skills and strategies, engaging in preventative and harm-reduction responses, and promoting a supportive environment across the life course are all essential components for fostering well-being among individuals with PAE and FASD. Although early experiences are important, risk and protective factors are influential across the life course and trajectories can be altered by interventions at varying times. A developmental focus helps to elucidate the enduring nature of challenges related to FASD while also enabling our understanding of the implementation of effective interventions at various stages of life. The existing evidence illustrates a broad range of mental wellness interventions for people with FASD, the goals of which should be situated in symptom improvement, functional benefits, and ultimately supporting quality of life.
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2. (alcohol adj related adj birth adj defect*).mp.
3. (alcohol adj related adj neurodevelopmental adj disorder*).mp.
4. (alcohol-related adj birth adj defect*).mp.
5. FAES.mp.
6. FAE.mp.
7. FASD*.mp.
8. (fetal adj alcohol adj syndrome*).mp.
9. (growth adj2 retard* adj2 facial abnormalit* adj2 central adj2 nervous adj2 system adj2 dysfunction*).mp.
10. (fetal adj alcohol adj spectrum adj disorder*).mp.
11. FAS.mp.
12. (prenatal adj alcohol adj expos*).mp.
13. PAE.mp.
14. 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13

Continued.
15 exp Mental Disorders/
16 (behavio* adj2 disorder*).mp.
17 (diagnos* adj2 psychiatric).mp.
18 (mental* adj3 disorder*).mp.
19 (psychiatric* adj2 disorder*).mp.
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21 (mental* adj3 health*).mp.
22 (mental* adj3 hygien*).mp.
23 exp Self-Injurious Behavior/
24 suicid*.mp.
25 (self adj2 injur*).mp.
26 (self adj2 destruct*).mp.
27 (self adj2 harm*).mp.
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29 exp Substance-Related Disorders/
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32 drug*.mp.
33 substance*.mp.
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35 exp Drug-Seeking Behavior/
36 (drug adj2 seek*).mp.
37 Alcoholes/
38 Drug Users/
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43 opioid*.mp.
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47 cannabinoid*.mp.
48 dronabinol*.mp.
49 tetrahydrocannabinol*.mp.
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52 marijuana*.mp.
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55 exp Cocaine/
56 cocaine*.mp.
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60 amphetamine*.mp.
61 methamphetamine*.mp.
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63 hallucinogen*.mp.
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67 exp Rehabilitation/
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73 exp "Behavioral Disciplines and Activities*"/
74 exp Psychological Techniques/
75 Case Management/
76 (case adj2 manage*).mp.
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Continued.
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