Bioactive substances in the stratum corneum of the epidermis found as indicators of skin damage due to sun exposure
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Abstract

Background/Purpose: Although an inflammatory response upon acute injury caused by ultraviolet radiation (UV) can be observed immediately, the influence of long-term, repetitive low-dose UV exposure on the skin cannot be precisely perceived, making early detection of chronic damage difficult. This study investigated bioactive substances in the stratum corneum as a potential early and sensitive indicator of the influence of sun exposure on the skin using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis.

Methods: Receiver operating characteristic analysis was performed to assess the responsiveness of cytokines [interleukin (IL)-1α, IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra), IL-10, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α], BCL2-associated protein X (Bax), Toll-like receptor (TLR)3, and TLR4 in the stratum corneum of healthy people exposed (dorsum of the hand) and unexposed (inner arm) to UV. Sunscreen was applied to patients with photodermatosis for 4 weeks to evaluate changes in IL-1ra/IL-1α, TNF-α, and Bax levels after sunscreen application, as these molecules exhibited high responsiveness to sun exposure according to ROC analysis. In addition, IL-1ra, IL-1α, and IL-10 levels were quantified by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, and TNF-α, Bax, TLR3, and TLR4 levels were semi-quantitatively assessed by immunocytochemistry.

Results: Receiver operating characteristic analysis identified IL-1ra/IL-1α, TNF-α, Bax, and TLR3 in the stratum corneum as highly responsive to sun exposure. Moreover, in participants, including patients with photodermatosis, IL-1ra/IL-1α, TNF-α, and Bax levels decreased significantly after sunscreen application.

Conclusion: The results revealed that IL-1ra/IL-1α, TNF-α, and Bax in the stratum corneum represent sensitive indicators of the influence of sun exposure on the skin.
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The skin is an organ that serves as the boundary between the body and the external environment and functions as a barrier that prevents the entry of various stimuli and foreign substances. The barrier function of the skin can be affected by exogenous stimuli, among which ultraviolet radiation (UV) from the sun is the most significant. UV exposure stimulates the release of various cytokines from keratinocytes at the epidermal level, resulting in inflammation and pigmentation. At the dermal level, the skin undergoes short- and long-term effects of UV in the form of degeneration of collagen fibers by fibroblastic production of degrading enzymes.1–3 Short-term excessive exposure to UV results in acute inflammation (commonly known as sunburn) and subsequent suntan, which occurs as pigmentation. Low-dose UV exposure that does not cause an inflammatory response can still cause aging (photoaging) effects, resulting in spots and wrinkles if the exposure is chronic and repetitive, as well as skin cancer.4,5 Acute damage caused by UV is easily recognized as sunburn and suntan, but it is more difficult to recognize chronic damage related to UV exposure at an early stage; thus, a prompt and sensitive indicator of the influence of UV on the skin is required.

Human skin presents increases in tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α levels after several hours of UV exposure and increased interleukin (IL)-1α and IL-10 levels after >10 hours of UV exposure.6 Moreover, the parts of the body chronically exposed to UV present significantly higher IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra) and IL-1ra/IL-1α levels relative to unexposed parts.7 In addition, Toll-like receptor (TLR)3 reportedly exacerbates UV-induced skin damage and radiation enteritis.8 Furthermore, UV exposure induces the production of inflammatory cytokines, resulting in acute and chronic inflammation, and a previous study suggests that TLR4 plays an important role in linking inflammation to cancer.9 Bcl-2-associated X protein (Bax) is also reported to be involved in p53-mediated apoptosis in UV-induced P53 gene mutations.10

Patients with photodermatosis and photoexacerbated conditions, such as systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), must be protected from sunlight daily.11,12 Healthy individuals should also protect themselves from sunlight in order to prevent both acute and chronic damage starting in childhood and throughout their life.13,14 Methods of skin protection from UV exposure include wearing long-sleeved clothing, hats, gloves, and parasols, as well as sunscreen application. The continuous use of these appropriate methods of sun protection should improve and prevent exacerbation of symptoms of photodermatosis and photoaging, with the efficacy of these methods commonly acknowledged.15–18

This study investigated the potential early indicators of the effects of sun exposure on the skin. We assessed common bioactive substances in the stratum corneum, which are under- or overexpressed in response to sun exposure in parts of the body exposed and unexposed to sunlight, using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis. Additionally, we determined whether these bioactive substances that responded to UV exposure would serve as indicators by observing the effect of sunscreen application on patients with photodermatosis and photoexacerbated diseases.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

The following tests were performed targeting IL-1ra, IL-1α, IL-10, Bax, TNF-α, TLR3, and TLR4 as parameters that reportedly fluctuate in epidermal keratinocytes in response to UV exposure and can be detected in the stratum corneum.

2.1 | Measuring bioactive substances in the stratum corneum of healthy individuals upon sun exposure

2.1.1 | Participants

Participants included healthy individuals aged ≥20 years, without any underlying diseases, and who wore long-sleeved shirts throughout the year. The dorsum of the hand and inner arm were selected as exposed and unexposed body parts, respectively. This study was approved by the Yoyogi Mental Clinic Ethics Review Board (N049). All participants were informed of the aims and methods of the study and provided their written consent.

2.1.2 | Collection of stratum corneum samples by tape stripping

After wiping the dorsum of the hand and inner arm, stratum corneum was collected once by attaching and peeling a strip of 24 mm × 100 mm adhesive tape (CELLOTAPE™; Nichiban).

2.1.3 | Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

The 24 mm × 60 mm strips of tape with adhered stratum corneum samples were cut into ~5-mm² pieces and immersed in 1 mL phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and sonicated for 30 seconds on ice to obtain the extract. Samples were then centrifuged at 4°C and 16,100 g for 10 minutes to eliminate the insoluble fraction, and the supernatant was used for further analysis as the stratum corneum extract. Total protein content in supernatant was measured using a Quick Start protein assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories), with bovine serum albumin (BSA) used as a reference. The levels of IL-1α, IL-1ra, and IL-10 in the supernatant were measured using respective ELISA kits (R&D Systems). The amount of target proteins per total protein content was calculated, and the IL-1ra/IL-1α ratio was calculated, as previously described.19

2.1.4 | Immunocytochemistry

Biologically active substances that could not be quantified by ELISA due to their low amounts in the stratum corneum were measured by immunocytochemistry. According to a previously described method,20 sample tape strips were pasted onto a glass slide to transfer the
corneal cells by immersing them in xylene overnight. The cells were subsequently fixed with cold acetone, washed with PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 (PBST), and blocked with 0.3% Triton X-100 and 1% BSA containing 0.1% NaCl (1% BSA in PBST; Vector Laboratories). The primary antibody was added overnight at 4°C, and samples were washed with PBST and then reacted at room temperature for 2 hours with fluorescence-labeled secondary antibodies (Invitrogen). Primary antibodies included anti-TNF-α (Sigma-Aldrich), anti-Bax (Proteintech), anti-TLR3 (Abcam), and anti-TLR4 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). To detect Bax levels, samples were treated with 10 mmol/L citrate buffer (pH 6.0) at 98°C for 20 minutes and then reacted with the antibody. In the control experiments, the primary antibodies were replaced by normal IgG (Abcam). After the secondary reaction, cells were washed with PBS and mounted on a cover glass for observation under a fluorescence microscope (Keyence). Image analysis was performed using Photoshop software (Adobe Systems Incorporated) to calculate the fluorescence intensity per cellular area.

2.1.5 | Statistical analysis

The Mann-Whitney U test and ROC analysis were performed to determine the significance of differences in the measured levels of IL-1ra/IL-1α, Bax, TNF-α, TLR3, TLR4, and IL-10 between exposed and unexposed stratum corneum. SPSS software (v. 27.0; IBM Corp.) was used for the analyses, and a P < .05 was considered statistically significant.

2.2 | Measurement of the indicators after sunscreen application

2.2.1 | Participants

Participants were patients with photodermatosis [eg, photosensitive dermatitis (of unknown cause), chronic actinic dermatitis, xeroderma pigmentosum, and drug-induced photodermatosis], photoexacerbated diseases [eg, SLE and dermatomyositis], dermatitis with dryness (atopic dermatitis and other diseases in which avoiding sun exposure is considered desirable for treatment], and other diseases [eg, solar keratosis]. However, patients meeting the following criteria were excluded: previous experience of severe skin symptoms associated with sunscreen use; presence of a lesion at the evaluation site that was unsuitable for sunscreen application; complications or undergoing treatment that might affect sunscreen application; unable to be examined 4 weeks later; or otherwise deemed ineligible to participate in this trial by the attending physician.

2.2.2 | Institutions

This study was conducted in six facilities in Japan (Kobe University Hospital, Hokkaido University Hospital, Kyushu University Hospital, Kumamoto University Hospital, Kanagawa Children's Medical Center, and Nashinohana Dermatological Clinic). Before starting this trial, the protocol was approved by the institutional review boards of the participating facilities (Kobe University Hospital: 1575, Hokkaido University Hospital: 013-0286, Kyushu University Hospital: 25086, Kumamoto University Hospital: advance 1811, and Kanagawa Children's Medical Center: 83-01). The trial at Nashinohana Dermatological Clinic was approved by the Hattori Clinic Ethics Review Board. All trial participants were informed of the aims and methods of the study and provided their written consent.

2.2.3 | Sunscreens

The sunscreens used in this study did not contain UV absorbers, contained titanium oxide and zinc oxide as UV reflectors (Noevir Co., Ltd.), and were as follows: lotion type, “NOV® UV LOTION EX” SPF32, PA+++; W/O emulsified cream type, “NOV® UV SHIELD EX” SPF50+, PA++++; O/W emulsified milk type, “NOV® UV MILK EX” SPF32, PA+++; stick-type (skin color and foundation type). “NOV® UV STICK EX” SPF50+, and PA++++ with high visible light blocking effect.

2.2.4 | Application method

Sunscreen was applied to the body parts exposed to the sun, such as the face, for 4 weeks. The attending physician selected one or more sunscreens from the four described products depending on the skin condition, severity of sun exposure, and preference of the participant. A stick-type sunscreen was used in combination for participants that required blocking of visible light. Sunscreen (2 mg/cm²) was applied to the parts of the body exposed to sunlight in the morning regardless of whether the participant was going to go outdoors during the day. Participants were further instructed to reapply sunscreen every 3 hours on days when they were spending long hours outdoors. Internal and external drugs and products, including cosmetics, in use by participants remained unchanged during the study period. Sunscreens previously used by participants before the trial were switched to those selected by the attending physician.

2.2.5 | Observation and assessment

Observation and assessment of the stratum corneum were performed on the face (cheeks). The attending physician recorded participant identification code (linked and anonymized prior to the start of the trial), age, sex, diagnosis, disease duration, treatment history, complications, and drugs concomitantly used during the study period (drug name, dosage form, and dosage). Additionally, clinical skin findings of the face and stratum corneum sampled by
tape stripping were recorded once at the start and at the end of the study period. The degrees of erythema, itching, and dryness were assessed at the start and completion of the study period using a 5-point scale (None: 0; Slight: 1; Mild: 2; Moderate: 3; Severe: 4). Items of analysis of the stratum corneum included those that showed a significant response to sun exposure according to ROC.

**FIGURE 1** Comparison of bioactive substances in the stratum corneum of sun-exposed and unexposed parts of the body in healthy individuals. Levels of (A) IL-1ra/IL-1α, (B) IL-10, (C) TNF-α, (D) Bax, (E) TLR3, and (F) TLR4. Exposed part: dorsum of the hand; and unexposed part: inner arm. Data represent mean ± standard error of the mean. **P-value was calculated using the Mann-Whitney U test (n = 14). **P < .01

**FIGURE 2** ROC curve of bioactive substances in the stratum corneum of sun-exposed and unexposed parts of the body in healthy individuals. AUC, area under the curve.
2.2.6 | Statistical analysis

Scores at the start and completion of the study and level of bioactive substances in the stratum corneum were analyzed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. IBM® SPSS® Statistics Windows version 27.0 (IBM Corp.) was used for all statistical analyses, and a $P < .05$ was considered statistically significant.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Response of bioactive substances in the stratum corneum to sun exposure in healthy individuals

The participants included 14 individuals (7 men and 7 women) with a mean age of $38.1 \pm 10.7$ years and no underlying diseases, such as atopic dermatitis. The dorsum of the hand and inner arm were assessed as sun-exposed and -unexposed parts, respectively. The levels
of IL-1ra/IL-1α, TNF-α, Bax, and TLR3 in the exposed parts were significantly higher than those in the unexposed parts (P < .001, P < .001, P < .001, and P < .001, respectively) (Figure 1A, C-E). However, we observed no significant difference in IL-10 and TLR4 levels between exposed and unexposed parts (Figure 1B, F). The area under the ROC curve (AUC) for TLR3, IL-1ra/IL-1α, Bax, TNF-α, IL-10, and TLR4 was 0.985, 0.974, 0.934, 0.903, 0.482, and 0.457, respectively (Figure 2).

### 3.2 Validating indicators of sunscreen use in patients

The total of 136 participants (mean age: 36.5 ± 23.7 years) included 36 patients with photodermatosis (mean age: 49.6 ± 22.0 years), 37 with photoexacerbated diseases (mean age: 45.8 ± 15.9 years), 56 with dermatitis with dryness (mean age: 20.4 ± 19.5 years), and 7 with other diseases (mean age: 47.1 ± 26.1 years) (Table 1). After 4 weeks, scores for all observed parameters (erythema, itching, and dryness) significantly decreased in photodermatosis, photoexacerbated diseases, dermatitis with dryness, and all diseases as compared with those at the start (P < .001, P < .001, P < .001, and P < .001, respectively). No significant changes were observed for other diseases (Table 2).

Additionally, IL-1ra/IL-1α, TNF-α, and Bax levels in photodermatosis decreased significantly, and that of TLR3 increased significantly after 4 weeks from study initiation (P = .019, P = .038, P = .003, and P = .016, respectively). For dermatitis with dryness, TNF-α and Bax levels decreased significantly, and TLR3 level increased significantly (P = .006, P = .012, and P < .001, respectively). In all diseases, IL-1ra/IL-1α, TNF-α, and Bax levels decreased significantly, and the level of TLR3 increased significantly (P = .016, P = .040, P = .005, and P < .001, respectively) (Table 2).

#### TABLE 2  Changes in clinical skin findings by the application of sunscreen

| Photo dermatosis | Photoexacerbated diseases | Dermatitis with dryness | Other diseases | All diseases |
|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| Erythema         |                           |                         |               |             |
| Before           | 34                        | 35                      | 53            | 7           | 129         | 1.6 ± 1.2    |
| After            | 1.7 ± 1.1                 | 2.1 ± 1.1               | 1.2 ± 1.2     | 0.8 ± 0.9   | 1.1 ± 0.4   | 1.0 ± 1.0    |
| P                | < .001**                  | < .001**                | < .001**      | .180        | < .001**    |

| Itching          |                           |                         |               |             |
| Before           | 34                        | 35                      | 53            | 7           | 129         | 1.3 ± 1.2    |
| After            | 1.3 ± 1.2                 | 1.3 ± 1.4               | 1.2 ± 1.1     | 0.8 ± 0.9   | 0.6 ± 0.8   | 0.7 ± 0.9    |
| P                | < .001**                  | < .001**                | < .001**      | .180        | < .001**    |

| Dryness          |                           |                         |               |             |
| Before           | 33                        | 35                      | 53            | 6           | 127         | 1.4 ± 1.1    |
| After            | 1.4 ± 1.2                 | 1.2 ± 1.0               | 1.5 ± 1.0     | 0.9 ± 0.9   | 0.7 ± 0.5   | 0.8 ± 0.9    |
| P                | < .001**                  | < .001**                | < .001**      | .180        | < .001**    |

Note: P-value was calculated using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

**P < .01.

### DISCUSSION

This study investigated whether common bioactive substances in the stratum corneum, the expression of which fluctuates in response to sun exposure, can function as indicators of skin damage upon sun exposure. IL-1ra/IL-1α, TNF-α, Bax, and TLR3 levels in sun-exposed parts of the stratum corneum differed significantly from that in unexposed parts. In ROC analysis, an AUC from 0.9 to 1.0 is considered to indicate high accuracy; thus, in the present study, the AUC > 0.9 determined for IL-1ra/IL-1α, TNF-α, Bax, and TLR3 levels indicated their high response to sun exposure. Indeed, IL-1ra/IL-1α, TNF-α, and Bax levels decreased significantly after sunscreen use in patients with photodermatosis, confirming that these bioactive substances in the stratum corneum could serve as indicators of the influence of sun exposure on the skin.

IL-1ra/IL-1α and TNF-α levels reportedly increase in response to inflammation or UV exposure. Moreover, although cells that suffer UV-induced DNA damage can undergo apoptosis, there are no previous studies on Bax, a protein involved in inducing apoptosis, in the stratum corneum. The present study revealed a relationship between sun exposure and TLR3 and Bax levels in the stratum corneum in healthy individuals. However, further investigation is needed to determine whether these fluctuations cause skin damage or occur as a result of skin damage.

Since IL-1ra/IL-1α, TNF-α, and Bax levels decreased significantly with the use of sunscreen in overall participants of this study including patients with photodermatosis, who required more rigorous protection from sunlight, it is possible that inflammation and apoptosis were inhibited by sun protection. Indeed, sun protection using sunscreens also improved clinical skin findings of the face in participants, including those with photodermatosis. TLR3 reportedly mediates the inflammatory response to UV; therefore,
TABLE 3 Changes in bioactive substances from stratum corneum analysis by application of sunscreen

|                  | Photodermatitis | Photoexacerbated diseases | Dermatitis with dryness | Other diseases | All diseases |
|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-------------|
|                  | n | Mean ± SD     | n | Mean ± SD     | n | Mean ± SD     | n | Mean ± SD     | n | Mean ± SD     |
| **IL-1ra/IL-1α [-]** |                      |                      |                      |               |             |
| Before           | 28 | 103.735 ± 156.394 | 33 | 74.122 ± 86.903 | 52 | 105.775 ± 164.509 | 6 | 34.554 ± 39.729 | 119 | 92.926 ± 140.645 |
| After            | 73.532 ± 128.969 | 64.002 ± 95.755         | 66.746 ± 138.531       | 41.490 ± 31.231 | 66.308 ± 121.133 |
| P                | .019*             | .469                   | .181                   | 1.000         | 1.000       |
| **TNF-α [-]**    |                      |                      |                      |               |             |
| Before           | 28 | 5.95 ± 1.90    | 35 | 4.55 ± 1.22    | 52 | 5.79 ± 2.20    | 6 | 4.79 ± 1.59    | 121 | 5.42 ± 1.94    |
| After            | 5.49 ± 1.78      | 5.05 ± 1.97           | 5.21 ± 1.73           | 4.96 ± 1.34   | 5.22 ± 1.78 |
| P                | .038*             | .055                   | .006**                 | .600          | .040*       |
| **Bax [-]**      |                      |                      |                      |               |             |
| Before           | 28 | 6.41 ± 1.89    | 35 | 6.48 ± 2.36    | 52 | 4.01 ± 1.75    | 6 | 5.55 ± 1.52    | 121 | 5.36 ± 2.28    |
| After            | 5.62 ± 1.48      | 6.45 ± 2.30           | 3.98 ± 1.86           | 5.42 ± 1.65   | 5.14 ± 2.17 |
| P                | .003**            | .539                   | .012*                  | .917          | .005**      |
| **TLR3 [-]**     |                      |                      |                      |               |             |
| Before           | 18 | 40.35 ± 20.01  | 23 | 31.88 ± 15.16  | 41 | 57.14 ± 47.72  | 5 | 34.68 ± 27.71  | 87  | 45.70 ± 36.87  |
| After            | 56.81 ± 29.48    | 37.68 ± 20.90         | 87.85 ± 48.72         | 46.08 ± 29.16 | 65.76 ± 43.70 |
| P                | .016*             | .191                   | <.001**                | .686          | <.001**     |

Note: P-value was calculated using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test.
*P < .05.
**P < .01.
we predicted that its level would be reduced after sunscreen use. Indeed, in healthy individuals, TLR3 levels were significantly lower in unexposed body parts relative to that in sun-exposed body parts. However, TLR3 levels were significantly elevated in photodermatosis patients, counter to what was predicted. Another study reported that interferon-gamma enhances TLR3 expression in keratinocytes, suggesting that other factors besides UV exposure are involved. Further investigation is required to validate TLR3 as an early indicator of the influence of sun exposure on the skin.

In conclusion, changes in the expression of IL-1ra/IL-1α, TNF-α, and Bax were linked to sunscreen use and also reflected the changes in skin symptoms after sunscreen protection, suggesting that they may serve as early indicators of the influence of sun exposure on the skin. The use of these indicators should assist in the early detection of sun exposure effects on the skin and thus help patients with diseases like photodermatosis in their daily life, preventing chronic damage.
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