The newly recognized bacterial second messenger 3',5'-cyclic diguanylic acid (c-di-GMP) has been shown to regulate a wide variety of bacterial behaviors and traits. Biosynthesis and degradation of c-di-GMP have been attributed to the GGDEF and EAL protein domains, respectively, based primarily on genetic evidence. Whereas the GGDEF domain was demonstrated to possess diguanylate cyclase activity in vitro, the EAL domain has not been tested directly for c-di-GMP phosphodiesterase activity. This study describes the analysis of c-di-GMP hydrolysis by an EAL domain protein in a purified system. The Vibrio cholerae EAL domain protein VieA has been shown to inversely regulate biofilm-specific genes (vps) and virulence genes (ctxA), presumably by decreasing the cellular pool of c-di-GMP. VieA was maximally active at neutral pH, physiological ionic strength, and ambient temperatures and demonstrated c-di-GMP hydrolytic activity with a K_m of 0.06 μM. VieA was unable to hydrolyze cGMP. The putative metal coordination site of the EAL domain, Glu_170, was demonstrated to be necessary for VieA activity. Furthermore, the divalent cations Mg_2^+ and Mn_2^+ were necessary for VieA activity; conversely, Ca_2^+ and Zn_2^+ were potent inhibitors of the VieA phosphodiesterase. Calcium inhibition of the VieA EAL domain provides a potential mechanism for regulation of c-di-GMP degradation.

The c-di-GMP phosphodiesterases contain a domain known as the GGDEF domain, which is characterized by the presence of a conserved Gly-Gly-Asp-Glu-Phe (GGDEF) motif. The GGDEF domain has been shown to be necessary for VieA activity; conversely, Ca_2^+ and Zn_2^+ were potent inhibitors of the VieA phosphodiesterase. Calcium inhibition of the VieA EAL domain provides a potential mechanism for regulation of c-di-GMP degradation.

In the classical biotype of the facultative intestinal pathogen Vibrio cholerae, not only does the c-di-GMP control biofilm formation (6), but it also affects expression of virulence factors such as cholera toxin (CT) (7). Specifically, increased intracellular concentrations of c-di-GMP favor biofilm formation by activating expression of Vibrio exopolysaccharide (vps) genes (6), which encode enzymes that produce the extracellular matrix that provides the infrastructure for the characteristic three-dimensional shape of biofilms. Decreased levels of c-di-GMP, on the other hand, are associated with reduced vps expression and increased production of CT (6, 7). The mechanisms involved in c-di-GMP-mediated regulation of these phenotypes are entirely unknown.

The inverse regulation of environment-specific (biofilm) and infection-specific (virulence) genes is of particular interest because of the shift in gene expression that is central to the pathogenic V. cholerae life cycle. In endemic regions, V. cholerae is typically found in aquatic reservoirs, and there is evidence that it forms biofilms on abiotic surfaces, including the chitinous exoskeletons of zooplankton and phytoplankton (8–10). V. cholerae undergoes a shift in gene expression upon entry into the host; vps expression ceases (11), and expression of virulence genes is induced (12–16). Whereas much research has been dedicated to studying environmental forms, such as biofilms, and to elucidating mechanisms of virulence gene regulation, little is understood about the molecular mechanisms that control the shift from the environmental to virulent state of V. cholerae. The intracellular concentration of c-di-GMP and proteins that modulate c-di-GMP levels may be key determinants in the transition from the environmental biofilm state to the virulent state of V. cholerae.

Biosynthesis and degradation of c-di-GMP have been predicted to occur through the activities of diguanylate cyclases and phosphodiesterases, respectively. These activities have been attributed to proteins containing GGDEF and/or EAL domains (17, 18). The putative diguanylate cyclases contain a conserved domain with a Gly-Gly-Asp-Glu-Phe motif (GGDEF, also known as domain of unknown function 1, or DUF1) (18). The GGDEF domain has limited but significant homology to eukaryotic nucleotide phosphodiesterases (reviewed in Ref. 23) and is found that control the intracellular concentration of c-di-GMP, each containing a GGDEF and an EAL domain (18, 22). The phosphodiesterase activity attributed to the EAL domain is based on limited homology to eukaryotic nucleotide phosphodiesterases (reviewed in Ref. 23) and is
predicted to result in the linearization of c-di-GMP to pGpG, a physiologically inactive dinucleotide; a second, presumably ubiquitous phosphodiesterase, PDE-B, would hydrolyze pGpG to two GMP molecules.

Genes encoding proteins with GGDEF and/or EAL domains are abundant in bacterial genomes, usually in combination with other regulatory or sensory domains. In *V. cholerae*, 22 open reading frames encode proteins with homology to the EAL module, and 40 encode GGDEF domain proteins. There is considerable overlap of these proteins, as 10 possess both domains. One particular EAL domain protein of interest, VieA, is a putative response regulator with a conserved C-terminal helix-turn-helix DNA binding domain. Encoded in the N-terminal phosphoreceiver domain, an internal EAL domain, and a C-terminal DNA-binding domain, VieA is a putative response regulator with a conserved regulatory or sensory domains. In *V. cholerae*, 22 open reading frames encode proteins with homology to the EAL module, and 40 encode GGDEF domain proteins. There is considerable overlap of these proteins, as 10 possess both domains. One particular EAL domain protein of interest, VieA, is a putative response regulator with a conserved C-terminal helix-turn-helix DNA binding domain.

Encoded in the *vieA* three-component signal transduction system operon, the *vieA* locus has been demonstrated to be required for virulence of *V. cholerae* in the infant mouse (24). A *vieA* mutant demonstrates decreased CT production and 10-fold attenuated virulence (7, 24). Moreover, deletion of *vieA* results in increased intracellular c-di-GMP, *vps* expression, and biofilm formation (6). Conversely, overexpression of VieA decreases c-di-GMP levels and *vps* expression (6). Mutation of the phosphoreceiver or DNA-binding domains of VieA does not affect these phenotypes, whereas mutation of the central EAL domain does (6, 24). Expression of VieA and subsequent c-di-GMP activity during infection may thus be a critical signal, mediated by the modulation of c-di-GMP concentrations, for *V. cholerae* to down-regulate environment-specific genes and increase production of virulence factors.

There is a large body of indirect evidence that proteins containing the EAL domain possess c-di-GMP phosphodiesterase activity. Recently, the EAL domain was demonstrated to possess phosphodiesterase activity on an unnatural substrate, but the specificity of the EAL domain for c-di-GMP was not addressed (25). In the present work, we show that the EAL domain protein VieA acts as a c-di-GMP phosphodiesterase in a purified system. In addition, we have characterized the enzymatic properties of VieA in terms of optimal reaction conditions, ion requirement, and kinetics.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Strains and Growth Conditions**—Strains used in this study are listed in TABLE ONE. *V. cholerae* were grown in LB broth containing 100 μg/mL streptomycin at 37 °C with aeration. *E. coli* were incubated in LB containing 50 μg/mL ampicillin or 10 μg/mL chloramphenicol, as appropriate, at 37 °C with aeration, except during induction of protein expression (see details below).

**Cloning of EAL Domain Proteins**—Full-length vieA and vieAE170A were cloned into expression vector pMMB67EH. Briefly, wild type vieA and the E170A point mutant were amplified from AC61 genomic DNA by PCR using primers *vieAF2* (5′-CGAGCTCTTTAGGATACATT-TTATGAAAAATATGATAGTAAAG-3′) and *CHVBR1* (5′-AAC-TGCAAGCTAAATGATAGTAAAG-3′). Primer *vieAF2* introduced a SacI restriction site (underlined), a ribosomal binding site (italicized), and a start codon (boldface type). Each reverse primer directed a PstI site (underlined), a His-tag (italicized), and a stop codon (boldface). The PCR fragments were digested with SacI and PstI, ligated into pMMB67EH, and transformed into *E. coli* DH5α by electroporation. The correct clones were confirmed by digestion and sequencing.

**Purification of EAL Domain Proteins**—Expression of His-tagged EAL proteins was induced in exponential growth phase bacteria with 0.5 mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside at 30 °C with aeration. Bacteria were collected by centrifugation and resuspended in His buffer consisting of 10 mM Tris, pH 8, 300 mM NaCl, 50 mM NaH₂PO₄, 10% glycerol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 2 mM imidazole. All subsequent steps were carried out at 4 °C. The suspensions were lysed by French press at 16,000 p.s.i. and then briefly sonicated to break up genomic DNA. The lysates were fractionated by centrifugation at 10,000 × g for 30 min. The soluble fraction (supernatant) was incubated with Ni²⁺-nitrilotriacetic acid-agarose resin (Qiagen) for 1 h with gentle rocking. Subsequently, the samples were applied to a 5-mL polypropylene column (Qiagen), and the resin was allowed to settle. After elution of the His⁺ buffer, the column was washed twice with wash buffer (His⁺ buffer, 40 mM imidazole). The His⁺-tagged proteins were eluted from the column with elution buffer (His⁺ buffer, 250 mM imidazole) and then dialyzed overnight in Slide-A-Lyzer 10,000 molecular weight cut-off dialysis cassettes (Pierce) against 75 mM Tris, pH 8, 250 mM NaCl, 25 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 30% glycerol. The lysates, column washes, and elutions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining to assess protein purity. The concentrations of the purified protein samples were determined using the modified Lowry protein assay kit (Pierce). Dialyzed proteins were stored at −20 °C.

**Synthesis of c-di-GMP Substrate**—c-di-GMP substrate for the phosphodiesterase assay was synthesized enzymatically using the VCA0956 protein, which contains a GGDEF diguanylate cyclase domain. VCA0956 was amplified from AC61 genomic DNA with primers A0956F2 (5′-GCTCTAGATTAGGATACATTTTTATGCTCATCATCATCATGACAACTGAGAATTCTAAA–3′) and A0956R (5′-CATGCGATGTTAGCAGCGCATGACATG-3′). Primer A0956F2 introduced an XbaI restriction site (underlined), a ribosomal binding site (boldface type), and an N-terminal His tag (italicized). Primer A0956R introduced an SphI site (underlined) after the stop codon. The PCR fragment was digested and ligated into the arabinose-inducible expression vector pBAD33 and transformed into *E. coli* DH5α. Clones were confirmed by digestion and sequencing. Protein expression was induced in exponential phase cells using 0.2% L-arabinose. His⁺-VCA0956 was purified by Ni²⁺-nitrilotriacetic acid affinity chromatography using methods similar to those described for purification of the EAL domain proteins, except the His⁺ binding buffer contained 35 mM imidazole, and the His⁺ wash buffer contained 50 mM imidazole.

Purified His⁺-VCA0956 (60 ng/μL) was incubated 4 h to overnight at 23 °C with 3 μL of [α-²⁵P]GTP (3000 Ci/mmol, 3.3 μM; PerkinElmer Life Sciences) in buffer containing 75 mM Tris, pH 8, 250 mM NaCl, 25 mM KCl, and 10 mM MgCl₂. When higher concentrations of c-di-GMP were desired, unlabeled GTP was included at 0.01 or 0.1 mM final concentra-
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**TABLE ONE**

| Strain     | Description                              | Reference/Source |
|------------|------------------------------------------|------------------|
| AC61       | Classical *V. cholerae* O395 lacZ::res-tet-res. | Ref. 33          |
| AC575      | DH5α pMMB67EH                            | Ref. 38          |
| AC1596     | *V. cholerae* O395 vieAE170A             | Ref. 6           |
| AC1758     | *E. coli* DH5α pBAD33::His⁺-VCA0956      | This work        |
| AC1817     | *E. coli* DH5α pMMB67EH::vieA-His⁺        | This work        |
| AC1835     | *E. coli* DH5α pMMB67EH::vieAE170A-His⁺ | This work        |

*VieA c-di-GMP Phosphodiesterase*
tions. The reactions were treated with calf intestinal phosphatase (New England Biolabs) for 30 min to hydrolyze noncyclized phosphate groups. The c-di-GMP product was separated from proteins by centrifugation through an Ultrafree-MC 5000 molecular weight cut-off column (Centricron) for 45 min at 5000 × g. Reaction products were separated by thin layer chromatography using polyethyleneimine (PEI)-cellulose plates and 1.5 M KH₂PO₄, pH 3.65, buffer (1) and then analyzed were separated by TLC in 1.5 M KH₂PO₄, pH 3.65, and visualized by the reaction on PEI-cellulose and allowing it to air-dry. Nucleotides or 80 °C (2). For optimization of reaction pH, the pH of the standard inhibited effects of divalent cations were performed at 23 °C using 75 mM Tris, pH 8, 25 mM NaCl, 25 mM KCl, and 10 mM MgCl₂. A no enzyme negative control and a snake venom phosphodiesterase (SVPD; Amersham Biosciences, product number E20240Y) positive control were included in all assays. The reactions were stopped by spotting 0.5 μl of the reaction on PEI-cellulose and allowing it to air-dry. Nucleotides were separated by TLC in 1.5 M KH₂PO₄, pH 3.65, and visualized by phosphorimagery.

The following experiments were done to determine the optimum parameters for phosphodiesterase activity (1). To determine the optimal reaction temperature, a master mix of the above reaction was diluted to yield linear initial reaction rates. For the data shown, 0.013 μl of the reaction on PEI-cellulose and allowing it to air-dry. Nucleotides were separated by TLC in 1.5 M KH₂PO₄, pH 3.65, and visualized by phosphorimagery.

The requirement of VieA for divalent cations was evaluated by first chelating divergent cations from the protein and substrate preparations. Briefly, Chelex-100 was added to 100-μl aliquots of VieA or c-di-GMP at ~10 mg of Chelex-100 per ml and incubated for 10 min at room temperature. The protein or substrate was then transferred to fresh Chelex-100; this was repeated for a total of five treatments. The final incubation was allowed to proceed for 1.5 h at room temperature. Chelated samples were stored at ~20 °C. The protein samples were confirmed to lack phosphodiesterase activity by incubating with [³²P]c-di-GMP in reaction buffer lacking MgCl₂. Divalent cations from MgCl₂, CaCl₂, MnCl₂, and ZnCl₂ were reconstituted into 75 mM Tris, pH 8, reaction buffer at 50 mM final concentrations. VieA was incubated in the buffer for 1 min prior to the addition of substrate. Phosphodiesterase activity was assayed in reactions containing each divalent cation alone or in combination (equimolar concentrations) with Mg²⁺ for 1 h at room temperature, analyzed by TLC, and quantified as percentage hydrolysis of c-di-GMP.

VieA kinetics experiments were done using the determined optimized conditions of 75 mM Tris, pH 8, 25 mM KCl, 25 mM MgCl₂ at 23 °C. Measurements were done with independently purified protein preparations. Because substrate concentrations were limiting, VieA was diluted to yield linear initial reaction rates. For the data shown, 0.013 μg of protein was used. C-di-GMP was included in the reactions at a range of concentrations between 11 nM and 0.91 μM. At 30-s intervals, aliquots of the phosphodiesterase reactions were spotted on PEI-cellulose plates for TLC and densitometry analysis. Mol of c-di-GMP hydrolyzed at each time point were calculated based on the percentage of total c-di-GMP hydrolyzed. Initial velocities of the reactions were determined by calculating the slope of the linear part of the reaction. Kₘ and Vₘₐₓ values were calculated using the Michaelis-Menten equation and Lineweaver-Burk methods.

To address the substrate specificity of VieA, phosphodiesterase assays were performed as described for c-di-GMP, except 1 μg of VieA and 13 nM [³H]cGMP (MP Biomedicals) were used. The reactions were incubated at 23 °C for 30 min to 16 h, and then the products were analyzed by TLC using PEI-cellulose plates and development in a solvent consisting of 5:2 methanol, 1 mM ammonium acetate (26). The dried plates were cut to separate the lanes of the individual samples and then divided into 40 sections of 0.5 cm each. Each section was placed in Ecoscint-A scintillation fluid (National Diagnostics), and counts were measured using a Beckman LS 5000TD counter. The presence of [³H]cGMP and [³H]GMP was determined by comparing the location of sections with radioactivity relative to the published Rₚ values of these molecules (26). A no enzyme negative control and SVPD-positive control were included in these experiments.

**RESULTS**

Detection of c-di-GMP Phosphodiesterase Activity by VieA—His₆-tagged VieA and VieAE170A, which contains a point mutation in the putative magnesium coordination site, were expressed and purified in *E. coli* DH5α to greater than 95% purity as determined by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining (Fig. 1A). These preparations, as well as that from the vector control processed in parallel, were tested for the ability to hydrolyze [³²P]-labeled c-di-GMP. Substrate and products were detected using TLC and phosphorimagery (1). All Rₚ values for nucleotide species detected in these assays agreed with those identified previously (1). The SVPD control rapidly hydrolyzed c-di-GMP (Rₚ = 0.27) to GMP (Rₚ = 0.61). It was apparent that the VieA preparation was capable of degrading c-di-GMP due to the disappearance of the spot at Rₚ = 0.27 (Fig. 1B). Interestingly, the hydrolytic products present in the VieA reaction included pGpG (Rₚ = 0.55) as well as GMP (Rₚ = 0.61). The appearance of GMP as a product of VieA activity is addressed below. Furthermore, whereas SVPD was able to hydrolyze cGMP, VieA was not, indicating that VieA phosphodiesterase activity was specific to c-diGMP (data not shown).

The highly conserved Glu residue of the EAL motif, Glu170, was predicted to be part of the coordination site for a divalent cation, specifically Mg²⁺ (23). Previous studies by our laboratory showed that mutation of conserved Glu170 to Ala abolished the ability of VieA to repress vps expression; the same effect was seen with deletion of vieA and had the downstream effect of increasing biofilm formation (6). This phenotype was attributed to an increase in intracellular c-di-GMP due to
activation of the putative EAL PDE. Our studies confirmed that the VieAE170A protein is unable to hydrolyze c-di-GMP (Fig. 1B).

**Activation and Inhibition of VieA Activity by Divalent Cations**—Ross et al. characterized c-di-GMP phosphodiesterase activity in cellulose synthase-containing membranes of *G. xylinum* (1). This activity was stimulated by the addition of 10 mM Mg\(^{2+}\) to the membrane extracts and strongly inhibited by the presence of 2 mM Ca\(^{2+}\). Based on these data, the effect of these divalent cations, as well as Mn\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\), on VieA phosphodiesterase activity was investigated.

Aliquots of VieA and [\(^{32}\)P]c-di-GMP substrate were treated with Chelex-100 to remove divalent cations present in the storage buffers and then tested for the ability to hydrolyze c-di-GMP. Mg\(^{2+}\) was able to restore phosphodiesterase activity to VieA, although not to the level present in non-Chelex-treated protein (Fig. 2, lane 3 versus lane 5). This is possibly due to degradation of the protein during Chelex-100 treatment at room temperature. Alternatively, chelation of Mg\(^{2+}\) may have yielded a fraction of VieA in which proper folding could not be restored upon reintroduction of Mg\(^{2+}\). Mn\(^{2+}\) could restore phosphodiesterase activity to ~55% of that seen with Mg\(^{2+}\). Neither Zn\(^{2+}\) nor Ca\(^{2+}\) permitted detectable hydrolysis of c-di-GMP in these assays. Interestingly, the addition of these cations in equimolar amounts as Mg\(^{2+}\) abolished VieA activity, suggesting an inhibitory role for Zn\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\). Furthermore, providing equimolar amounts of Mn\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) to the reaction gave activity similar to providing Mn\(^{2+}\) alone (57% activity). These results suggest that Mn\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\), and Zn\(^{2+}\) may have higher affinity than Mg\(^{2+}\) for the VieA metal coordination site.

To further investigate VieA inhibition by Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mn\(^{2+}\), the concentration of these cations that reduced VieA phosphodiesterase activity to 50% was determined. VieA activity was extremely sensitive to Ca\(^{2+}\); in reactions containing 0.43 mM VieA and 25 mM MgCl\(_2\), 100 \(\mu\)M CaCl\(_2\) was able to reduce activity to one-half \(V_{\text{max}}\). In contrast, 50–75 mM MnCl\(_2\) was required to reduce VieA activity to one-half \(V_{\text{max}}\) and even 500 mM MnCl\(_2\) was unable to inhibit activity completely, decreasing the reaction rate to ~37% of \(V_{\text{max}}\). The Mg\(^{2+}\) concentration necessary for optimal VieA activity was determined by performing the phosphodiesterase assays using a range of MgCl\(_2\) from 0 to 100 mM. VieA phosphodiesterase activity increased with increasing Mg\(^{2+}\) up to 25 mM MgCl\(_2\) (data not shown). Further increases in Mg\(^{2+}\) had no positive or negative effects on activity.

**Optimization of VieA Reaction Conditions**—The parameters for the VieA phosphodiesterase reaction were optimized for temperature, pH, and ionic strength (Fig. 3, A–C). For determination of optimal temperature, VieA activity was analyzed at temperatures ranging from 4 to 80 °C. VieA activity was significantly impaired at 52 °C and above, and no phosphodiesterase activity was apparent at 65 °C or above (not shown). Reaction rates were best and comparable at 23 and 30 °C after a 45-min incubation, suggesting that VieA phosphodiesterase is most
active at ambient temperatures; subsequently, all reactions were incubated at 23 °C.

Rates of c-di-GMP hydrolysis were measured at a wide range of buffer pH (3.5–12). Activity peaked at neutral pH; there were no significant differences in reaction rates at pH between 5 and 9, indicating that VieA is not extremely sensitive to pH. Because pH 8 consistently gave some-

what higher reaction rates than the others tested, pH 8 was used in subsequent assays.

The ionic strength of the VieA phosphodiesterase reaction buffer was also optimized. To the VieA buffer containing 75 mM Tris, pH 8, and 25 mM MgCl₂, 0–500 mM KCl was added. C-di-GMP hydrolysis was comparable for 0–50 mM KCl and decreased with further increases in KCl, suggesting inhibition of activity at high ionic strength, possibly due to masking of charges and reduced electrostatic interaction between Mg²⁺ and the metal coordination site of VieA. Similar results were apparent when NaCl was used to adjust ionic strength (data not shown). The use of 25 mM KCl in the reaction consistently gave the highest VieA activity and was used in subsequent experiments. The ionic strength of this reaction is ~190 mM, which falls in the physiologically relevant range of 150–200 mM. Examination of activity at very low ionic strength was limited by the minimum amount of MgCl₂ required for maximal c-di-GMP hydrolytic activity.

**Kinetics of VieA c-di-GMP Phosphodiesterase Activity**—The optimal reaction conditions determined above were used to analyze the kinetics of VieA c-di-GMP hydrolytic activity. VieA phosphodiesterase activity demonstrated standard Michaelis-Menten kinetics. \( K_m \) and \( V_{max} \) values were determined for VieA using two independently expressed and purified preparations of enzyme. The \( K_m \) and \( V_{max} \) calculated for each protein preparation were very similar. VieA demonstrated a \( K_m \) of 0.06 mM, suggesting high affinity of VieA for c-di-GMP. \( V_{max} \) was determined to be 20.5 \( \mu \)mol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein.

**Phosphodiesterase B Activity of VieA**—It has been suggested that a phosphodiesterase different from the EAL domain phosphodiesterase, PDE-B, hydrolyzes pGpG to GMP (27). Our experiments with VieA showed that GMP appeared only when higher concentrations of protein were used (data not shown). This introduced the possibility that a second phosphodiesterase was present in the VieA preparations. To address whether the appearance of GMP was due to a PDE-B contaminant, VieA, VieAE170A, and vector controls were used in phosphodiesterase assays using purified pGpG as substrate. Whereas SVPD was able to hydrolyze pGpG to GMP, neither VieA, VieAE170A, nor the vector control reactions showed GMP product after a 2-h incubation (Fig. 4). Even after 24 h, only a trace amount of GMP (<3%) was apparent in these reactions, compared with <1% for the c-di-GMP only control. Thus, if small quantities of a PDE-B are present, they are not responsible for the substantial amount of GMP that appears after a 45-min incubation of VieA with c-di-GMP. Because VieA was unable to hydrolyze pGpG to GMP when given pGpG as a substrate, it is possible that VieA can hydrolyze pGpG to GMP at a low rate when bound as an
intermediate during c-di-GMP hydrolysis but cannot bind pGpG when introduced exogenously.

**DISCUSSION**

There has been increasing interest in the novel second messenger c-di-GMP and in its effect on bacterial gene regulation. A role for c-di-GMP has been demonstrated in regulation of exopolysaccharide biosynthesis, motility, and virulence in a variety of bacteria, both Gram-negative and Gram-positive (1–5, 25). With the exception of cellulose biosynthesis in *G. xylinum* and *A. tumefaciens*, in which c-di-GMP functions as an allosteric activator of cellulose synthase (1, 17), the mechanism by which c-di-GMP modulates these phenotypes is unknown. However, there has been significant progress in understanding the metabolism of c-di-GMP. Genetic studies of genes encoding the GGDEF domain, which possesses weak homology to eukaryotic adenylate cyclases, provided evidence that the GGDEF domain functions as a c-di-GMP cyclase (17). Recently, GGDEF proteins from bacteria representing the major phylogenetic branches were purified and demonstrated to have diguanylate cyclase activity in *vitro* (21).

Similar to the GGDEF domain, the assignment of c-di-GMP hydrolytic activity was initially ascribed to the EAL domain based on genetic evidence (5, 6, 18). For example, mutation of the EAL-encoding gene vieA resulted in increased levels of c-di-GMP in nucleotide extracts (6). This work demonstrates c-di-GMP hydrolytic activity by the EAL-containing protein VieA. VieA was selected as the EAL protein of interest because of its role in regulation of *vps* expression and biofilm formation, and in CT expression and virulence of *V. cholerae*, a facultative intestinal pathogen. Furthermore, previous work investigating EAL domain function could not specifically attribute c-diGMP phosphodiesterase activity to the EAL domain, because the GGDEF domain was present in these proteins as well or because the protein contained both domains but showed primarily diguanylate cyclase activity (18). VieA lacks a GGDEF domain, which allows unambiguous assignment of c-diGMP phosphodiesterase activity to the EAL domain.

Using enzymatically synthesized c-di-GMP substrate, VieA was demonstrated to possess c-di-GMP hydrolytic activity. Protein preparations of vector alone, processed in parallel, demonstrated no activity. The conserved Glu residue (Glu170) in the EAL domain, which was predicted to be a divalent ion coordination site and probably required for EAL activity (23), was confirmed to be necessary for VieA activity, since an E170A mutation abolished c-diGMP phosphodiesterase activity of the protein. The measured *K_m* of VieA for c-di-GMP was calculated to be 0.06 μM. This *K_m* is considerably lower than that of other bacterial cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterases. For example, CpdA, a cAMP phosphodiesterase of *E. coli*, has a *K_m* of 0.5 mm cAMP (28). A *Vibrio fischeri* zinc-containing cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase showed a lower *K_m* of 73 μM cAMP (29). The measured *K_m* for VieA is also 40-fold lower than the *K_m* measured for phosphodiesterase-containing membranes of *G. xylinum*, 0.25 μM (27), suggesting high affinity of VieA for this substrate.

The VieA EAL domain protein appears to be specific for the c-di-GMP substrate. Whereas SVPD is a promiscuous phosphodiesterase that can hydrolyze among others c-di-GMP, pGpG, GMP, and cAMP, c-di-GMP was the only substrate tested that could be hydrolyzed by VieA. Previous studies by Ross et al. (27) addressed the ability of phosphodiesterase-containing membranes to hydrolyze cGMP and c-di-GMP analogues, including c-di-IMP, c-di-AMP, c-di-CMP, and diastereoisomers of c-di-GMP, so the latter were not considered in this work.

Ross et al. (27) predicted that EAL phosphodiesterases function solely in the first step of c-di-GMP hydrolysis to yield pGpG and that a second enzyme, PDE-B, degrades pGpG to GMP. Analysis of the VieA reaction products by TLC showed that not only was the linear pGpG product formed, but GMP was produced as well. Because GMP was detectable only in reactions containing 10–100-fold higher concentration of protein than was used in the kinetics studies, the possibility arose that a PDE-B was present as a contaminant in the protein preparation. However, direct testing of VieA, VieAE170A, and the vector control for the ability to hydrolyze pGpG as a substrate failed to detect a PDE-B. This was not due to a defect in the purification of pGpG, since the SVPD-positive control was able to hydrolyze pGpG to GMP, implying that PDE-B activity detected in these assays can be ascribed to VieA. Because the rate of GMP formation was orders of magnitude slower than that of pGpG, the PDE-B activity of VieA is probably not physiologically significant.

An intriguing result of this assay was that GMP product was not formed in the VieA reaction when pGpG was provided exogenously as the substrate. It is possible that VieA does not bind exogenous pGpG efficiently and that GMP is only produced when pGpG is already bound, immediately following the initial hydrolysis of c-di-GMP. Preliminary binding studies investigating the ability of VieA to bind c-di-GMP and pGpG support the hypothesis that VieA has very low affinity for pGpG relative to c-di-GMP (data not shown). Alternatively, because VieA also is predicted to be a response regulator, which often bind DNA as dimers to control transcription (30–32), it is possible that dimerization of VieA also affects its enzyme activity, specifically in mediating hydrolysis of the pGpG intermediate product. It is unlikely that VieA dimerization is required for the initial hydrolytic cleavage of c-di-GMP, since the conserved response regulator domains can be deleted from VieA without affecting its regulatory activity on *vps* expression (6). Determination of the crystal structure of VieA may elucidate the potential overlap between the presumed regulatory function of VieA and its enzymatic activity.

As a potential dual function protein, VieA phosphodiesterase and response regulator activities may be affected by phosphorylation. Phosphorylation of response regulators of two- and three-component regulatory systems typically increases affinity of the protein for its target promoter DNA and thus increases expression of that gene. Phosphorylation of VieA may positively or negatively affect its enzyme activity as well. Preliminary studies with VieA phosphorylated *in vitro* with acetyl phosphate suggest that there is no significant change in the rate of VieA hydrolysis of c-di-GMP (data not shown). In addition, deletion of the VieA phosphoreceiver domain, as stated above, or mutation of the conserved aspartic acid residue that is predicted to be the site of phosphorylation of VieA had no effect on the ability of the VieA EAL domain to repress expression of *vps* genes (6). Thus, we do not expect phosphorylation to affect the phosphodiesterase activity of VieA.

VieA was determined to have optimal activity at neutral pH, physiological ionic strength, and ambient temperatures. The *in vitro* optimum conditions with respect to pH and ionic strength coincided with those expected to be experienced by VieA in the bacterial cytoplasm. The temperature optimum is consistent with the facultative pathogen nature of *V. cholerae*, which includes growth in the human small intestine at 37 °C and growth in aqueous reservoirs in temperate zones around the world. *V. cholerae* encodes 21 additional EAL domain proteins and 41 GGDEF proteins (10 of these have both domains) (23), each of which could potentially affect the intracellular pools of c-diGMP. Interestingly, like VieA, other GGDEF and EAL proteins often contain sensory/regulatory modules that could participate in signal transduction. This introduces the likelihood that subsets of GGDEF and/or EAL domain proteins are expressed under specific conditions. The *vieSAB* locus, for example, is expressed at low levels during growth in LB broth *in vitro* but is induced during infection of the mouse as well as during...
growth in minimal medium supplemented with the amino acids Asn, Arg, Glu, and Ser (6, 33, 34). Other GGDEF and EAL proteins may dominate under other, as yet undefined conditions.

Strong inhibition of VieA c-diGMP phosphodiesterase activity by Ca\textsuperscript{2+} was observed in these studies, supporting earlier findings using phosphodiesterase-containing membrane preparations (1). In fact, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and Zn\textsuperscript{2+} inhibited activity even when VieA was preincubated with MgCl\textsubscript{2}, so VieA presumably was saturated with Mg\textsuperscript{2+}, suggesting that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is capable of displacing Mg\textsuperscript{2+} from the metal coordination site. These findings may be important for understanding the function of proteins in which both GGDEF diguanylate cyclases and EAL phosphodiesterase domains are present. In such proteins with domains of opposing functions, regulation of the individual domains is likely to be critical, and C-di-GMP may provide a means of regulating EAL-mediated degradation of c-di-GMP.

These biofilms have an altered architecture and cell-cell interaction that appear to be mediated by contacts between the lipopolysaccharide O-antigen of adjacent bacteria and are dependent on the presence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in the growth medium. Previous studies by our laboratory have demonstrated that mutation of an EAL can cause increased intracellular c-di-GMP and increased VPS-dependent biofilm formation. Similarly, inhibition of EAL activity by Ca\textsuperscript{2+} may positively regulate VPS-independent biofilm development as well. Because biofilm formation is thought to promote survival of V. cholerae in the environment, and because biofilm-associated V. cholerae are predicted to be more infectious due to protection from a variety of antimicrobial agents, regulation of c-di-GMP metabolism by Ca\textsuperscript{2+} may be important to V. cholerae fitness in certain environments.

The bacterial second messenger c-di-GMP is being implicated in a wide variety of bacterial phenotypes, especially multicellular behaviors such as biofilms. In addition, there is evidence in V. cholerae and S. typhimurium that c-di-GMP can regulate virulence phenotypes as well. C-di-GMP may be a more global regulator of bacterial gene and protein regulation than is realized, so it is critical that we understand the metabolism of this compound. These experiments provide the first direct evidence that an EAL domain protein, VieA, possesses c-di-GMP hydrolytic activity in a purified system. Moreover, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} inhibition may provide a means of regulating EAL-mediated degradation of c-di-GMP.
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