Parasitic amorphous on single-domain crystal: Structural observations of silicate glass-ceramics
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Glass-ceramics (GCs) are materials obtained from the crystallisation of functional phases in glass, and have a structure that the crystallised phase embedded in the glass matrix. Glass-forming oxides are commonly added to the functional phases to improve the stability of precursor glass; however, the issue of glass-ceramics permitting the presence of residual phases resulting from addition is required to be clarified. To elucidate this issue, we prepared ‘perfectly surface-crystallised’ GC consisting of fresnoite-type Sr$_2$TiSi$_2$O$_8$ from a non-stoichiometric glass and performed texture/morphology observations. Numerous SiO$_2$-rich binodal-like nanospheres ($\sim$10 nm) were parasitic on the fresnoite single-crystal domains. The parasitic texture is considered to form via the following process: (i) binodal-type phase separation into stoichiometric fresnoite (crystalline matrix) and SiO$_2$-rich phases (amorphous nanoparticles) and (ii) single-domain formation by surface crystallisation in the matrix. Furthermore, in terms of texture, the resulting GC differs from the GCs reported to date, i.e., inverse GC.

Glass crystallisation is considered as the ordering process of a random structure that consists of ions or coordinated polyhedra in the supercooled liquid (SCL) regime. Because the glass phase is thermodynamically a non-equilibrium state, it could eventually convert to crystalline phases through structural relaxation by thermal/optical stimulation. The crystallised phases are mainly controlled by the composition of the glass and its short-/medium-range structure. Subsequently, glass-ceramics (GCs) characterised by dispersed crystalline nano or submicron particles, or highly oriented crystalline texture can be obtained. Therefore, the production of crystalline materials with glass as the precursor, i.e. GC processing, has been recognised as an effective approach to fabricate functional materials and create nanostructures. Consequently the GC processing has been rigorously investigated with the aim of extending its use to the fabrication of sophisticated materials/devices in electric, photonic and energy fields. Homogeneous-crystalline slab and line patterning for optical waveguides in glass in combination with special processing have also been realised.

To synthesise stable precursor glass, the composition is often selected from systems in which typical glass-forming oxides are added to a functional (e.g. ferroelectric) phase, resulting in non-stoichiometric glass. On the other hand, non-stoichiometric precursors possess uniform crystalline domain textures, which is sufficient for light propagation via annealing/laser irradiation. Although many functional optical-grade GCs have been prepared on the basis of the above procedure, the formation of a dense crystalline texture in non-stoichiometric glass is required to be clarified. If the precursor glass includes excess components such as glass-forming oxides, the residual phase should segregate from the SCL phase in the crystallisation regime, and consequently, the optical transmittance could considerably decrease owing to light scattering at the interface between the crystalline domain and the residual. To elucidate this issue, we prepared ‘perfectly surface crystallised (PSC)’ GC (PSC-GC), in which fresnoite-type Sr$_2$TiSi$_2$O$_8$ crystal domains are observed, and conducted micro and nanoscopic investigations of the GC texture.

**Results**

Preliminary studies of PSC-GC. Because fresnoite (Ba$_2$TiSi$_2$O$_8$) and its derivatives (e.g. Sr$_2$TiSi$_2$O$_8$ and Ba$_2$TiGe$_2$O$_8$) are non-centrosymmetric phases with excellent dielectric and optical properties, many researchers devoted time and effort to fabricate fresnoite-type GC and apply them to photonic components. Recently, Yamazaki et al. demonstrated the fabrication of a GC showing PSC, in which the crystalline domains are aligned along the crystallographic polar c-axis by isothermally heat treating a non-stoichiometric precursor glass with 35SrO–20TiO$_2$–45SiO$_2$ composition. They also demonstrated that
PSC-GC exhibit optical transmittance comparable to that of the precursor in the visible region. In this study, we followed the published procedures\(^\text{17}\) and were able to obtain a GC sample with good transparency via heat treatment [Fig. 1(a)]. We also confirmed the crystallisation of fresnoite-type Sr\(_2\)TiSi\(_2\)O\(_8\) by X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis, and the results suggested that the non-stoichiometric precursor is compatible with the reported precursor.

To check the texture of the crystalline domain in the far-field view, we used polarised light microscopy (PLM); the observations revealed a uniform/dense crystalline texture in which fresnoite domains (width: \(\sim 5–10\) \(\mu\)m) grow from the surface and eventually their growth fronts impinge on each other (one can imagine it as a cross-section of rectangular ice candy) [Fig. 1(b)]. At first, we speculated that the excess component, which could be excluded from the SCL phase, is present in the growth front of the fresnoite domains because of the difference in composition between the SCL and fresnoite phase. If so, the domain growth would cause an accumulation of the excess component, thus disrupting the domain growth. Nevertheless, field-emission (FE) scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with an energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectrometer (EDX) analysis revealed no significant variation in the composition along the domain growth [Fig. 1(c)]. Although the composition of the precursor glass is richer in SiO\(_2\) than the fresnoite phase, the residual glass phase could not been observed in the polarisation micrograph.

**Nanoscopic observations of crystalline domains in PSC-GC.** Next, we used field-emission transmission electron microscopy (FE-TEM) to study the texture or morphology within the crystalline domains and investigate the ‘missing’ residual phase in the domains. Figure 2 shows a typical TEM image of a PSC sample obtained by isothermal crystallisation. The observation geometry is the same as the microscopic observation (Fig. 1), i.e. cross section of the PSC sample. Distinct domain regions (i.e. left and right areas) [Fig. 2(a)] can be observed. From the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns, it was found that the individual regions are fresnoite-type Sr\(_2\)TiSi\(_2\)O\(_8\) single-crystal domains with different crystal zone axes, i.e. \(B = [1\ 1\ 0]\) and \(B = [1\ 2\ 0]\) for the left and right domains, respectively, [Fig. 2(b) and (c)]; thus, the single-crystal domains grow along the polarisation c-axis. In addition, ‘white spots’ having a diameter of \(\sim 10–20\) nm distributed over a single domain can be observed, which resembles binodal liquid–liquid phase separation. Furthermore, the SAED of such a spot exhibited a halo pattern, which is characteristic of amorphous phases [Fig. 2(d) and (e)]. Because the increase in the atomic number of the constituent elements decreased the intensity of the transmitting electrons, we deduced that the binodal-like nanoparticles mainly consist of lighter elements such as Si. From the TEM-EDX analysis, we detected Si, Ti and Sr (constituents of the fresnoite-type Sr\(_2\)TiSi\(_2\)O\(_8\)) in the surrounding single-crystal region [Fig. 2(b), point A], while the signal intensity of Sr (Sr K\(\alpha\) and Sr K\(\beta\)) was hardly observed in the nanoparticle (point B) [Fig. 2(f)]. This means that the Sr abundance ratio of the particle is relatively less than that of the single domain. Both TEM and ED analyses strongly suggest that binodal-type phase separation, which provided the SiO\(_2\)-enriched nanoparticles, occurred in the SCL regime before the crystallisation of the fresnoite phase.

According to Baylor and Brown\(^\text{23}\), a large stable two-liquid region (i.e. opaque glass) is present in the ternary SrO–B\(_2\)O\(_3\)–SiO\(_2\) system between the stable immiscible regions of the SrO–SiO\(_2\) and SrO–B\(_2\)O\(_3\) joins. In addition, in the vicinity of stable-immiscibility boundary, the transparent glass (i.e. one-liquid region) had dispersed SiO\(_2\)-rich nanoparticles embedded in a SrO-rich glass matrix presumably because of metastable immiscibility. We find that the morphological features resemble those of PSC-GC in the SrO–TiO\(_2\)–SiO\(_2\) system. Therefore, we examined the approximate immiscible and glass-forming regions and discussed the phase separation trend in the ternary system. We examined 55 compositions and found the following features (Fig. 3): i) for SiO\(_2\) content >30 mol\%, the stable-immiscible region in the ternary system is considerably similar to that of the SrO–B\(_2\)O\(_3\)–SiO\(_2\) system, i.e. there is a large two-liquid region below the \(\sim 25\) mol\% SrO isopleth. Because the TiO\(_2\)–SiO\(_2\) and B\(_2\)O\(_3\)–SiO\(_2\) joins have a large two-phase liquid region\(^\text{24}\), it is reasonable to assume that the ternary SrO–B\(_2\)O\(_3\)–SiO\(_2\) and SrO–TiO\(_2\)–SiO\(_2\) systems exhibit immiscibility or phase-separation; and ii) for SiO\(_2\) <30 mol\%, the immiscible region decreased towards the SrO–TiO\(_2\) join, probably due to the decrease in the glass former.

---

**Figure 1 | Macro and microscopic observations of GC samples.** (a) Appearance of GC (left) and its precursor glass (right). The GC sample was prepared on the basis of the report by Yamazaki et al. (b) Polarised light micrograph of the cross-section for the GC obtained at 940°C for 3 h. White bar corresponds to 0.5 mm. (c) SEM image (above) and EDX line analysis for Sr, Ti and Si (bottom) in the cross-section of PSC-GC. Red line corresponds to the scanned position.
In terms of the SrO–TiO2–SiO2 system, the analogy in the phase-separation morphology and immiscibility lead us to expect that an immiscible region also extends into the one-liquid region (from which the transparent samples were obtained) near the boundary below the liquidus temperature (metastable immiscibility). The composition of the precursor glass for PSC-GC is also situated near this boundary. Considering the phase-separation trend in the aforementioned one-liquid region, and because the resulting PSC-GC crystallises single-phase fresnoite, the precursor glass in the SCL state...
the domain growth, the already settled binodal-like nanoparticles are captured at the fresnoite growth front and consequently, the nanoparticles are frozen in the domains, result in 'crystal domains parasitised by amorphous nanoparticles'. Surface crystallisation often exhibits a strongly oriented texture originating from competitive crystal growth of nuclei generated on the glass surface based on geometrical selection\(^{59}\). This mechanism can be adopted to explain the orientation of the c-axis in PSC-GC as well.

Wisniewski et al. recently reported the surface crystallisation of fresnoite-type \(\text{Sr}_2\text{TiSi}_2\text{O}_8\) in Si\(_2\)O\(_2\)-enriched non-stoichiometric glass, in which the opaque GCs exhibited excellent piezoelectric properties owing to the strong orientation of the texture\(^{27,28}\). This research group has also attempted to explain residual Si\(_2\)O\(_2\) using electron backscatter diffraction and SEM and predicted the presence of a Si\(_2\)O\(_2\)-rich structure at the nanoscale (i.e. nanoporous with channels similar to sponge)\(^{27}\). Our study validates this idea; however, the nanostructure in their study is apparently different from that in our study (i.e. binodal nanoparticle). This difference is presumably attributed to the difference in glass composition (i.e. 34.8SrO\(_2\)–17.4TiO\(_2\)–47.8SiO\(_2\) by Wisniewski et al.\(^{27}\), and 35SrO–20TiO\(_2\)–45SiO\(_2\) by Yamazaki et al.\(^{17}\)), thus suggesting that nanostructures are sensitive to variation in the composition.

Basically, the transparency of GC materials depends on two factors. According to Beall and Duke, the intensity of the light is described on the basis of Rayleigh scattering by the following equation,

\[
I(\theta) = \frac{I_0}{r^2} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{\lambda^4}{\lambda^4} a^6 \left( M^2 - 1 \right) \left( M^2 + 2 \right),
\]

where \(I\) is the intensity of the scattered light, \(\theta\) is the scattering angle, \(r\) is the distance from the scattering source, \(\lambda\) is the wavelength of the light, \(a\) is the radius of the particle in the matrix, \(M\) is the ratio of the refractive index of the particle to that of the matrix and \(I_0\) is the intensity of the incident light\(^{59}\). From Eq. (1), either the smallness of the crystals in the glass matrix (i.e. nanocrystallisation: \(a \ll \lambda\)) or the closeness of the refractive index of the crystals to that of the matrix (refractive matching: \(M \approx 1\)) is chosen. Nanocrystallisation can be used to reliably prepare precursor glass which would be transparent in the visible wavelength even if there is a significant difference in the refractive index between the glass matrix and the crystallised nanoparticles; GCs consisted of multi-component glass and Nb-ferroelectrics is a typical example\(^{29,31}\). On the other hand, the PSC-GC sample in this study is constructed by single-domain fresnoite in the matrix and parasitic nanoparticles (~10–20 nm), which correspond to the residual Si\(_2\)O\(_2\)-rich amorphous phase. Phase separation typically makes glass opalescent because of the structure developed at the scale of the visible wavelength by spinodal or binodal decomposition. However, the size of the parasitic nanoparticles is significantly less than the visible wavelength, indicating that light scattering is minimised. Thus, we demonstrated a method to fabricate ‘inverse GC’, in which the texture is completely reversed. Furthermore, the study of inverse GC also provides significant insight into single-crystal line patterning using laser processing\(^{13,15,32}\) because this study clarifies the location of the residual phase after single-line formation.

**Methods**

**Preparation of glass and PSC samples.** The glass samples we dealt with belonged to the ternary SrO–TiO\(_2\)–SiO\(_2\) system and were prepared by using the conventional melt-quenching technique. Commercial powders of reagent grade SrCO\(_3\) (99.9% purity), TiO\(_2\) (99.99%) and SiO\(_2\) (99.9%) were weighed and mixed. Then, the mixture was melted in a platinum crucible covered with a lid at 1350–1600 °C (the temperature condition depends on the composition) for 1 h in air. For example, 35SrO–20TiO\(_2\)–45SiO\(_2\) glass, which indicates PSC, was obtained at 1500 °C. The melt was poured onto a steel plate (~200 °C) and pressed with another steel plate. The as-quenched (amorphous) samples were annealed around the glass-transition.

**Figure 3 | Glass-forming and approximate two-liquid regions in the SrO–TiO\(_2\)–SiO\(_2\) system.** Closed and crossed symbols correspond to the examined compositions. Triangle: phase separated, circle: transparent glass and cross: devitrified. Star symbol shows the composition of PSC-GC, i.e. 35SrO–20TiO\(_2\)–45SiO\(_2\). Open triangles in the TiO\(_2\)–SiO\(_2\) and SrO–SiO\(_2\) joins correspond to the points where a two-liquid phase is reported by Devries et al. and Fields, Jr. et al., respectively. Dashed line across the diagram represents the lower limit of the glass-forming region in terms of SiO\(_2\), which was reported by Imaoka and Yamazaki. We found a large glass-forming region that extends to the low-SiO\(_2\) compositions.
temperature ($T_g$ = 760 °C) for 1 h to reduce internal stresses. The annealed samples were polished to get a mirror surface and then cut into several pieces with dimensions of ~10 mm × 10 mm × 1 mm. To fabricate PSC-GC, the precursor glass was subjected to isothermal heat treatment at appropriate temperatures (~900–1000 °C) in an electric furnace in air.

Characterisation of the glass and GC samples. The precursor glass was characterised by differential thermal analysis (bulk state, 10 °C/min heating rate) and XRD. In addition, XRD was used to identify the crystalline phase in the PSC-GC samples. We also used PLM, FE-SEM with EDX (JEOL, JSM-6500F) and FE-TEM with EDX (Hitachi, HF-2000) to characterise the crystallised fresnoite-phase. To determine the glass-forming region in the ternary system, the as-quenched samples were examined (i) by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) using Cu Kα radiation to determine whether the samples were amorphous and (ii) optically to clarify two-phase (opaque/white) or one-liquid phase (transparent) (Fig. 3).
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