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Abstract: Little is known about injury of the arcuate fasciculus (AF) in patients with mild traumatic brain injury (TBI). We investigated injury of the AF in the dominant hemisphere in patients with mild TBI, using diffusion tensor tractography (DTT).

We recruited 25 patients with injury of the left AF among 64 right-handed consecutive patients with mild TBI and 20 normal control subjects. DTTs of the left AF were reconstructed, and fractional anisotropy (FA), apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC), and fiber number of the AF were measured.

Among 64 consecutive patients, 25 (39%) patients showed injury of the left AF. The patient group showed lower FA value and fiber number with higher ADC value than the control group (P < 0.05). On K-WAB evaluation, aphasia quotient and language quotient were 95.9 ± 4.1 (range 85–100) and 95.0 ± 5.4 (range 80–100), respectively. However, 23 (92.0%) of 25 patients complained of language-related symptoms after TBI; paraphasia in 12 (48.0%) patients, deficits of comprehension in 4 (16.0%) patients, deficits of speech production in 1 (4.0%) patient, and >2 language symptoms in 6 (24.0%) patients.

We found that a significant number (39%) of patients with mild TBI had injury of the AF in the dominant hemisphere and these patients had mild language deficit. These results suggest that DTT could provide useful information in detecting injury of the AF and evaluation of the AF in the state of the AF in patients with language deficits following mild TBI who complains of mild language deficit.

INTRODUCTION
Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a major cause of neurological disability in adults worldwide. TBI is classified as mild, moderate, and severe according to the severity, and mild TBI has been reported in 75% to 85% of cases of TBI. Patients with mild TBI frequently experience various neurological symptoms derived from neural injury. Previous studies have reported that 80% to 100% of patients with TBI had some forms of language deficit. However, little is known about the incidence and the pathogenetic mechanisms of language deficits in mild TBI. In addition, patients with mild TBI rarely show significant abnormality on standardized language assessment tools. As a result, language deficits in patients with mild TBI have been overlooked so far.

The arcuate fasciculus (AF) is an important neural tract for language with the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus. Various language deficits including conduction aphasia can be caused by injury of the AF. Therefore, precise estimation of the state of the AF in patients with language deficits following mild TBI is clinically important because it would be useful for clinicians in setting precise rehabilitative strategy and predicting prognosis of language deficits.

Recent development of diffusion tensor tractography (DTT), which is derived from diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), has enabled 3-dimensional reconstruction of the architecture and integrity of the neural tracts at the subcortical level. Injury of the neural tracts in patients with mild TBI, which is not detected on conventional MRI, has been demonstrated in many studies using DTT. However, so far, little is known about injury of the AF.

In the present study, we attempted to investigate injury of the AF in the dominant hemisphere in patients with mild TBI using DTT.

METHOD
Subjects
Twenty-five right-handed patients with mild TBI (9 men, 16 women; mean age 43.4 ± 14.8, range 19–64) and 20 age- and sex-matched right-handed healthy control subjects (10 men, 10 women; mean age 40.25 ± 13.6, range 21–63) were recruited for the study. Patients were recruited among 64 right-handed consecutive patients with mild TBI who were admitted to the rehabilitation department of a university hospital, according to the following inclusion criteria: (1) loss of consciousness (LOC) for <30 min, post-traumatic amnesia (PTA) for <24 hours, and initial Glasgow Coma Scale score of 13 to 15.
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lesion on conventional magnetic resonance imaging, (3) the time of head trauma: >18 years old, (4) left AF injury which was defined in terms of DTT parameters (the fiber number or FA value of the left AF deviated >2 SDs from the value of the control group) or DTT configuration (the integrity of the left AF was disrupted or the left AF was not reconstructed), (5) no symptoms related to injury of the corticobulbar tract, dysarthria, (6) no cognitive impairment to rule out the effect of cognition on the result of language evaluation as assessed by the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE ≥ 25),21 (7) no previous history of neurologic or psychiatric disease, and (8) no oromotor dysfunction or language disorder before the head trauma. The study was conducted retrospectively, and the Institutional Review Board of the Yeungnam University Hospital approved the study protocol.

Language Evaluation

The aphasia quotient (AQ) and language quotient (LQ) of K-WAB, the Korean version of the Western Aphasia Battery, were used for assessment of language deficit (range 0–100th percentile, severity criteria; mild: 80–99, mild to moderate: 60–79, moderate: 40–59, moderate to severe: 20–39, severe: 0–19) at mean 5.57 ± 6.57 months after the trauma. Both the reliability and validity of the K-WAB are well established.23 In addition, patients were also asked to describe any language symptoms which have been started since the onset of the trauma.

Diffusion Tensor Imaging and Tractography

DTI scanning was performed at an average of 5.49 ± 6.57 months after head trauma using a 6-channel head coil on a 1.5 T Philips GyroscanIntera (Philips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands) with single-shot, spin echo-planar imaging. Sixty-seven contiguous sections were acquired for each of the 32 noncollinear diffusion-sensitizing gradients. Imaging parameters were as follows: acquisition matrix = 96 × 96; reconstructed to 192 × 192; field of view = 240 mm × 240 mm; TR = 10,726 ms; TE = 76 ms; parallel imaging reduction factor (SENSE factor) = 2; EPI factor = 59; b = 1000 s/mm²; NEX = 1; slice gap = 0 mm and a slice thickness = 2.5 mm.

Eddy current-induced image distortions were removed using affine multiscale 2-dimensional registration at the Oxford Centre for FMRIB Software Library (FSL; www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fls).24 DTI studio software (CMRM, Johns Hopkins Medical Institute, Baltimore, MD) was used for evaluation of the left AF,25 based on the fiber assignment continuous tracking (FACT) algorithm and the multiple-ROIs approach. Using the method of Nucifora et al and Vernooij et al,26,27 2 ROIs were selected for tracking of the AF (i.e., the seed region of interest was located in the posterior white matter of the posterior parietal area of the superior longitudinal fascicle and the target region of interest was located in the posterior temporal lobe) and fiber tracts passing through both ROIs were designated as the final tracts of interest. Termination criteria used for fiber tracking were FA < 0.2 and angle <60. The values of fiber number, fractional anisotropy (FA), and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) of the reconstructed left AF were measured. The patients were classified according to 3 groups based on the severity of the left AF injury. (1) The AF was not reconstructed. The nonreconstruction of the AF was confirmed by lowering the FA value to 0.1 and placing only 1 region of interest along the AF pathway. (2) The AF was discontinued between Broca’s and Wernicke’s area. (3) The integrity of the AF was preserved but severely narrowed (Figure 1).

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences for Windows (SPSS, Version 17.0; Chicago). The Mann–Whitney test was used for comparison of differences in DTT parameters (fiber number, FA, and ADC) between the patient group and healthy group. The level of statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

RESULTS

Among 64 consecutive patients with the same inclusion criteria, 25 (39%) patients with injury of the left AF were recruited. The demographic data of the patients are summarized in Table 1. The causes of TBI were as follows: motor vehicle accidents (21 patients), falls (2 patients), and hit by falling objects (2 patients).

The patient group showed a significantly lower FA value and fiber number compared with the control group (P < 0.05). By contrast, a significantly higher ADC value was observed in the patient group compared with the control group (P < 0.05) (Table 2). A summary of the AQ and LQ of K-WAB and language symptoms is shown in Table 3. On K-WAB evaluation, AQ and LQ were 95.9 ± 4.1 (range 85–100) and 95.0 ± 5.4 (range 80–100), respectively. Fourteen (56.0%) of 25 patients showed an abnormal score in terms of AQ and LQ of K-WAB (severity criteria—mild: 80–99, mild to moderate: 60–79, moderate: 40–59, moderate to severe: 20–39, severe: 0–19) and all 14 patients corresponded to mild language impairment (80–99%ile) on K-WAB. According to DTT, among 25 patients, 10 patients showed discontinuation of the left AF, and 15 patients showed narrowing of the left AF. In the patients with the discontinued left AF, the mean AQ and LQ were 96.46 ± 4.75 and 96.16 ± 4.50, respectively, and in the patients with the narrowed AF, the mean AQ and LQ were 96.09 ± 3.65 and 95.81 ± 5.98, respectively.

Twenty-three (92.0%) of 25 patients complained of language-related symptoms after the trauma. The most common symptom was paraphasia in 12 (48.0%) patients. They confuse the words or replace 1 word with another real word or feel difficulty in word-finding. Four (16.0%) patients complained of deficits of comprehension when they communicate, and 1 (4.0%) patients felt difficulty in making sentences while speaking. Six (24.0%) patients complained of >2 language symptoms (paraphasia and deficits of comprehension: 4 [16.0%]; deficits of comprehension and repetition: 1 [4.0%]; paraphasia and deficits of speech production: 1 [4.0%]).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated injury of the AF in the dominant hemisphere on DTT in patients with mild TBI. AF injury was defined in terms of DTT parameters (fiber number or FA value of the left AF deviated >2 SDs from the value of the control group) or DTT configuration (the integrity of the left AF was disrupted or the left AF was not reconstructed). The results were as follows: (1) among 64 consecutive patients with the same inclusion criteria, 25 (39%) patients showed injury of the left AF, (2) in the group analysis, the patient group showed lower FA value and fiber number with higher ADC value than the control group, and (3) 14 (56%) of 25 patients showed an
abnormal score on K-WAB and all 14 patients corresponded to the mild language impairment (80–99%ile) on K-WAB.

In the individual analysis, among 64 consecutive right-handed patients with mild TBI, injury of the left AF was demonstrated on DTT in 25 (39%) patients. Results of the group analysis showed that the FA value and the fiber number were decreased and the ADC value was increased in the patient group compared with the control group. FA, ADC, and fiber number have been frequently used for evaluation of the state of a neural pathway in patients with brain injury.19,28,29 The FA value indicates the degree of directionality of water diffusion, therefore, indicates the white matter organization.19,28,29 The ADC value means the degree of water diffusion, which increases in some pathology such as vasogenic edema or axonal damage.19,28,29 By contrast, the fiber number indicates the existing number of voxels in a neural tract.30 Therefore, the decreased FA value or fiber number with the increased ADC
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TABLE 1. Demographic Data of Patient and Control Group

|                  | Patient Group | Control Group |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Age (y)          | 43.4 (14.8)   | 40.3 (13.6)   |
| Sex (n)          |               |               |
| Male:female      | 9: 16         | 10: 10        |
| Duration of LOC (min) | 7.3 (9.7)      |               |
| GCS score        | 15.0 (0.0)    | 15.0 (0.0)    |
| MMSE score       | 28.1 (1.7)    | 29.8 (0.5)    |
| Vector (n [%])   |               |               |
| Car driver TA    | 8 [32.0]      |               |
| Passenger TA     | 7 [28.0]      |               |
| Pedestrian TA    | 3 [12.0]      |               |
| Bicycle rider TA| 3 [12.0]      |               |
| Fall down        | 2 [8.0]       |               |
| Hit by falling object | 2 [8.0]    |               |

Values are presented as number or mean (±standard deviation). GCS = Glasgow coma scale, LOC = loss of consciousness, min = minute, MMSE = mini mental state examination, N = number, PTA = post-traumatic amnesia, TA = traffic accident.

TABLE 2. Comparison of Diffusion Tensor Tractography Parameters of Left Arcuate Fasciculus Between the Patient and Control Group

|                  | Patient Group | Control Group | P     |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|-------|
| Fractional anisotropy | 0.45* (0.03) | 0.48 (0.02)  | 0.004 |
| Apparent diffusion coefficient | 0.77* (0.04) | 0.74 (0.02)  | 0.043 |
| Fiber number     | 870.5* (350.9)| 2270.1 (445.6)| 0.001 |

Values are presented as mean (± standard deviation). *P < 0.05.
value confirms injury of the AF. Consequently, it appears that the results of the group analysis on DTT parameters were consistent with the results of the individual analysis.

Only 14 (56.0%) of 25 patients who had injury of the left AF showed an abnormal score on K-WAB (cut off value: <99%ile). In addition, the language abnormality on K-WAB was mild: the mean AQ and LQ were 95.9%ile (range 0–100%ile) and 95.0%ile (range 0–100%ile), respectively. Especially, in particular, even the mean AQ of K-WAB results of the patients who showed discontinuation of the left AF were 96.46%ile. In comparison with previous studies of stroke patients, the mean values of AQ in the group of stroke patients with disconnected AF in the dominant hemisphere were 52.43 ± 25.75%ile and 41.60 ± 24.50%ile, respectively. We can confirm that the language deficit in patients with injury of the left AF following mild TBI was much milder than stroke patients. On the other hand, these results suggest that more detailed language evaluation tools might be necessary for patients with mild TBI although K-WAB is a commonly used language evaluation tool.

Since the introduction of DTI, many studies have reported on the usefulness of DTI for evaluation of the AF in patients with brain injury; however, most studies focused on stroke. Regarding TBI, to the best of our knowledge, only 2 studies have reported on injury of the AF. In 2009, Wesson reported on a patient with mild TBI who showed conduction aphasia due to narrowing of the left AF on DTT following a blast injury. Recently, Liegeois et al. investigated language function and DTI parameters of the AF, uncinate fasciculus, and the corpus callosum in 32 patients with mild to moderate-severe TBI and found that long-term outcome regarding poor language following TBI could be predicted by changes in tractography-derived properties of the 3 language neural tracts described above. As a result, this is the first original study to investigate injury of the AF in patients with mild TBI. However, several limitations of this study should be considered. First, this study included a relatively small number of patients and did not include long-term follow up DTI data. Therefore, further long-term follow-up studies including a larger number of patients and DTI data to elucidate natural course and prognosis should be encouraged. Second, we recruited patients who had visited the rehabilitation department of a university hospital. Therefore, it is possible that among all patients with mild TBI, patients with severe clinical manifestations might be included in this study. Third, although DTI is a powerful anatomic imaging tool which can visualize the gross fiber architecture, because regions of fiber complexity and crossing can prevent full reflection of the underlying fiber architecture by DTT, it may underestimate or overestimate the fiber tracts. Finally, the fact that we did not analyze the other neural tracts which are involved in language function is another limitation in this study.

In conclusion, we investigated injury of the AF in the dominant hemisphere on DTT in patients with mild TBI and found that a significant number (39%) of patients with mild TBI had injury of the AF in the dominant hemisphere and these patients had mild language deficit. These results suggest that DTT could provide useful information in detecting injury of the AF, which could not be detected on conventional brain MRI in patients with mild TBI. Furthermore, evaluation of the AF using DTT would be necessary even in the case of a patient with mild TBI who complains of mild language deficit. Further studies on the other various neural tracts should be encouraged.
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