Abstract

**Background:** The nutritional status and systemic inflammation are thought to be associated with outcome in multiple types of cancer. The objective of this study was to determine the prognostic value of pretreatment albumin and fibrinogen combined prognostic grade (AFPG) in prostate cancer (PCa).

**Methods:** 462 prostate cancer patients who had undergone androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) as first-line therapy at four centers were retrospectively analyzed. The serum albumin levels and plasma fibrinogen levels were measured at the time of diagnosis. The AFPG was calculated according to albumin and fibrinogen levels dichotomized by optimal cut-off values or clinical reference values. Univariate and multivariate cox regression analyses were performed to determine the associations of AFPG with progression-free survival (PFS), cancer-specific survival (CSS) and overall survival (OS). Prognostic accuracy was evaluated with the Harrell concordance index.

**Results:** Multivariate analyses identified AFPG as an independent prognostic indicator for PFS, CSS and OS (each \( p < 0.01 \)). According to optimal cut-off values, the addition of AFPG to the final models improved predictive accuracy for PFS, CSS and OS compared with the clinicopathological base models, which included Gleason score and incidence of metastasis. Moreover, AFPG according to optimal cut-off values was a better prognostic predictor than albumin levels alone or fibrinogen levels alone or AFPG according to clinical reference values.

**Conclusion:** Decreased AFPG could predict a significantly poor prognosis in patients with PCa. Thus, we recommend adding AFPG according to optimal cut-off values to traditional prognostic model to improve the predictive accuracy.
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Introduction

The morbidity and mortality of prostate cancer (PCa) in China is increasing in recent years, it is estimated that there will be about 60,300 newly diagnosed PCa and 26,600 Chinese will die from PCa in 2015, and the proportion of aged or advanced or metastatic PCa patients in all PCa patients is higher than that in western countries [1]. Androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) is the mainstay of therapy for patients with locally advanced or metastatic PCa or patients with early-stage disease who are ineligible
for local regional treatments due to health disparity [2].

A great deal of evidence indicates that the prognosis of patients with cancer is associated with the nutritional status and systemic inflammatory response to tumor [3-5]. Serum albumin level, which is commonly used to assess the nutritional status, is an important prognostic factor in advanced cancer [6]. Sejima T et al. [7] reported that low pre-operative levels of serum albumin could predict lymph node metastases and ultimately correlated with a biochemical recurrence of prostate cancer in radical prostatectomy patients. A number of systemic inflammation-based parameters including C-reactive protein (CRP) [5], neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) [8], platelet to lymphocyte ratio (PLR) [9], have been reported to be associated with the prognosis of PCa. Nutrition and inflammation are not independent, but regulated with each other [10]. Based on this, a novel inflammation-based prognostic system named the combination of systemic inflammation and nutritional status was increasingly investigated, such as modified Glasgow prognostic score which defined as the combination of CRP and albumin [11], albumin and neutrophil combined prognostic grade which defined as the combination of albumin and neutrophil [12], Inflammatory-Nutritional Index which defined as ratio of albumin to CRP [13]. However, CRP is not commonly used in clinic for its low sensitivity and unconventional detection. Similar to CRP, the plasma fibrinogen is also an acute-phase reaction protein of host response to tumor [10], but its measurement is routinely performed. Besides, we have showed an association between elevated plasma fibrinogen with poor clinical outcome for PCa patients [14].

Based on aboved studies, we proposed a new index named albumin and fibrinogen combined prognostic grade (AFPG), and hypothesized that pretreatment AFPG was a valuable prognostic indicator for PCa. The objective of this study was to verify our hypotheses and evaluate whether it could improve the predictive accuracy for prognosis of PCa.

Materials and Methods

Study population

After obtaining approval from the Ethics Committee at four hospitals, and informed consent from patients, medical records of 535 PCa patients who had undergone ADT as first-line therapy at four centers between January 2010 and December 2014 were retrospectively reviewed. We excluded patients with hepatopathy, coagulation-related diseases, inflammatory diseases, autoimmune diseases, cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases, other types of cancer, and those patients lost to follow-up. We finally assembled a cohort of 462 PCa patients who had liver function and coagulation measured within 2 weeks before prostate biopsy.

Clinical and pathological evaluation

Medical data on clinical characteristics including age, prostate-specific antigen (PSA), serum albumin levels and plasma fibrinogen levels at diagnosis, clinical tumor stage, biopsy Gleason score and follow-up information were collected. The pathologic slides were re-reviewed by the urologic pathologists, and the Gleason scores were obtained from the original pathology reports. For clinical tumor stage, patients underwent pelvic Computed Tomography or Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Radionuclide bone scan was performed to determine whether there was bone metastasis. PCa patients were stratified into low-, intermediate-, and high-risk groups according to the EAU guidelines [15].

Eligible patients were treated with continuous ADT as first-line therapy, including castration and antiandrogen therapy. Castration involved surgical or medical castration by using a luteinizing hormone releasing hormone (LHRH) agonist, such as goserelin 3.6 mg, administered subcutaneously every month. Antiandrogen therapy was by bicalutamide tablets 50 mg per day orally or flutamide 3 times a day, 250 mg each time orally.

Follow-up

Patients were followed for survival information every 3 months. Duration of the follow-up was assessed from the date of treatment until the last follow-up (Mar 2016) or death, which was defined as cancer-related or a different cause. Progression was defined as castration-resistance or death, and the castration-resistance was judged according to the EAU guidelines [16]. The median follow-up duration was 43.69 months (IQR, 30.06-59.60).

Laboratory assays

Venous blood samples were collected before the prostate biopsy. Serum albumin levels and plasma fibrinogen levels were routinely measured before meals. Plasma fibrinogen levels were measured by the Clauss standard method with bovine thrombin, and serum albumin levels were estimated by bromocresol green albumin method.

Definition of albumin and fibrinogen combined prognostic grade (AFPG)

The AFPG was calculated according to pretreatment albumin and fibrinogen levels dichotomized by optimal cut-off values and clinical
Results

Clinical characteristics

The clinical characteristics of the patients are detailed in Table 1. 462 PCa patients were suitable for analysis. The median age of the patients was 76 years old (IQR, 68-79).

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of prostate cancer patients treated with ADT (n=462)

| Parameters                | Values       |
|---------------------------|--------------|
| Age (median, interquartile range), years | 76 (68-79)   |
| PSA (median, interquartile range), μg/L | 78.90 (29.78-157.00) |
| Gleason Score (n, %)       |              |
| <7                        | 43 (9.31)    |
| 3+4                      | 66 (14.26)   |
| 4+3                      | 112 (24.24)  |
| 8                        | 153 (33.12)  |
| >8                       | 88 (19.05)   |
| Metastasis (n, %)         |              |
| No                        | 258 (55.84)  |
| Yes                       | 204 (44.16)  |
| Risk Stratification (n, %) |              |
| Low                       | 3 (0.65)     |
| Intermediate              | 44 (9.52)    |
| High                      | 415 (89.83)  |
| Albumin (median, interquartile range), g/L | 42.09 (39.49-44.91) |
| Fibrinogen (median, interquartile range), g/L | 3.07 (2.53-3.70) |
| AFPG, according to the optimal cut-off values (n, %) | |
| Grade 1                   | 58 (12.55)   |
| Grade 2                   | 180 (38.96)  |
| Grade 3                   | 224 (48.49)  |
| AFPG, according to clinical reference values (n, %) | |
| Grade 1                   | 6 (1.30)     |
| Grade 2                   | 92 (19.91)   |
| Grade 3                   | 364 (78.79)  |
| Progression-free survival (n, %) | 259 (56.06) |
| Cancer-specific survival (n, %) | 374 (80.95) |
| Overall survival (n, %)   | 353 (76.41)  |
| Follow-up time (median, interquartile range), months | 43.69 (30.06-59.60) |

Abbreviations: PSA: prostate-specific antigen; AFPG: pretreatment albumin and fibrinogen combined prognostic grade; ADT: androgen deprivation therapy.

Association between AFPG and clinical and pathological characteristics

Patients with decreased albumin levels, but elevated fibrinogen levels were assigned as grade 1, patients with both decreased albumin and fibrinogen levels or elevated albumin and fibrinogen levels were assigned as grade 2, and patients with elevated albumin levels, but decreased fibrinogen levels were assigned as grade 3.
According to optimal cut-off values, all patients were divided into either low albumin group \((n = 118, 25.54\%)\) or high albumin group \((n = 344, 74.46\%)\), either low fibrinogen group \((n = 284, 61.47\%)\) or high fibrinogen group \((n = 178, 38.53\%)\), either grade 1 \((n = 58, 12.55\%)\), grade 2 \((n = 180, 38.96\%)\) or grade 3 \((n = 224, 48.49\%)\) of AFPG, and there were significant differences between grade 1, 2, and 3 of AFPG in terms of age \((p = 0.005)\), PSA \((p < 0.001)\), Gleason score \((p < 0.001)\) and incidence of metastasis \((p < 0.001)\) and risk stratification \((p = 0.025)\).

According to clinical reference values, the number of patients with decreased and elevated albumin levels was 20 \((4.33\%)\) and 442 \((95.67\%)\), respectively; decreased and elevated fibrinogen levels was 378 \((81.82\%)\) and 84 \((18.18\%)\), respectively; grade 1, grade 2 and grade 3 of AFPG was 6 \((1.30\%)\), 92 \((19.91\%)\) and 364 \((78.79\%)\), respectively, and there were significant differences between grade 1, 2, and 3 of AFPG in terms of PSA \((p = 0.002)\), Gleason score \((p < 0.001)\) and incidence of metastasis \((p < 0.001)\), however, age \((p = 0.908)\) and risk stratification \((p = 0.077)\) were similar between grade 1, 2, and 3 of AFPG.

**Association between AFPG and prognosis of PCa**

The median follow-up duration was 43.69 months, out of 462 patients with usable follow-up information, 203 \((43.94\%)\) patients experienced disease progression, and 109 \((23.59\%)\) patients died, including 88 \((19.05\%)\) patients died of PCa at the end of the last follow-up.

According to optimal cut-off values or clinical reference values, the patients with decreased albumin levels or elevated fibrinogen levels or decreased AFPG had a significantly worse survival than those with elevated albumin levels or decreased fibrinogen levels or elevated AFPG with regard to PFS, CSS and OS (Log-rank test, each \(p < 0.001\), Figure 1 and Figure 2). As shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4, according to optimal cut-off values, in the subgroup of patients with Gleason score > 7 or bone metastasis, decreased AFPG predicted worse PFS, CSS and OS (Log-rank test, each \(p < 0.001\)), however, in the subgroup of Gleason score \(\leq 7\) or non-metastasis, the differences of clinical outcomes were not significant between high AFPG group and low AFPG group (Log-rank test, each \(p > 0.05\) except for OS in the subgroup of non-metastasis (Log-rank test, \(p = 0.005\)).

Univariate and multivariate cox regression analyses (stepwise analysis) of the factors influencing PFS, CSS and OS were presented in Table 3 and 4. Univariate analyses demonstrated that Gleason score, incidence of metastasis, albumin levels, fibrinogen levels and AFPG according to optimal cut-off values or clinical reference values were significant predictors for PFS, CSS and OS (each \(p < 0.05\)), age was a significant predictor for PFS, not for CSS and OS. In the multivariate analyses, we identified that Gleason score, incidence of metastasis and AFPG were independent prognostic indicators for PFS, CSS and OS (each \(p < 0.01\)). According to optimal cut-off values, decreased AFPG was independently associated with poor PFS \((HR = 1.306, 95\% CI 0.954-1.789, p = 0.096, grade2/3; HR = 2.601, 95\% CI 1.758-3.847, p < 0.001, grade1/3)\), CSS \((HR = 1.717, 95\% CI 0.989-2.971, p = 0.055, grade2/3; HR = 4.014, 95\% CI 2.204-7.309, p < 0.001, grade1/3\) and OS \((HR = 1.449, 95\% CI 0.907-2.314, p = 0.120, grade2/3; HR = 3.406, 95\% CI 2.024-5.729, p < 0.001, grade1/3)\), respectively. As for clinical reference values, AFPG was also proved to be an independent prognostic factor for PFS \((HR = 1.930, 95\% CI 1.393-2.673, p < 0.001, grade2/3; HR = 3.061, 95\% CI 1.330-7.046, p = 0.009, grade1/3)\), CSS \((HR = 2.223, 95\% CI 1.407-3.514, p = 0.001, grade2/3; HR = 4.178, 95\% CI 1.640-10.648, p = 0.003, grade1/3)\) and OS \((HR = 1.939, 95\% CI 1.276-2.945, p = 0.002, grade2/3; HR = 3.738, 95\% CI 1.480-9.440, p = 0.005, grade1/3)\), respectively.

The predictive accuracy was calculated and presented in Table 5. In the univariate analysis, the c-indexes of AFPG according to optimal cut-off values for PFS, CSS and OS were 0.632, 0.704 and 0.677, respectively, which were higher than that of Gleason score, albumin levels, fibrinogen levels or AFPG according to clinical reference values but not incidence of metastasis. In the multivariate analysis, the predictive accuracy of the base model including the traditional predictor variables of Gleason score and incidence of metastasis for PFS, CSS and OS was
72.7%, 80.0% and 74.6%, respectively; with the addition of albumin levels according to optimal cut-off values, the predictive accuracy was 74.4%, 83.2% and 78.3%, respectively; with the addition of albumin levels according to clinical reference values, the predictive accuracy was 73.3%, 81.5% and 75.7%, respectively; with the addition of fibrinogen levels according to optimal cut-off values, the predictive accuracy was 74.5%, 82.5% and 76.6%, respectively; with the addition of fibrinogen level according to clinical reference values, the predictive accuracy was 73.9%, 82.2% and 76.3%, respectively; with the addition of AFPG according to optimal cut-off values, the predictive accuracy was 75.0%, 84.0% and 79.0%, respectively; with the addition of albumin levels according to clinical reference values, the predictive accuracy was 74.4%, 82.8% and 76.7%, respectively. Our new model integrating Gleason score, incidence of metastasis and AFPG according to optimal cut-off values retained its advantage than the base model, or other integrated models, including the traditional predictors and albumin levels or fibrinogen levels or AFPG according to clinical reference values.

**Discussion**

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the most commonly diagnosed cancer and the second leading cause of cancer death in men in the United States [17]. Androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) is the main treatment for aged or advanced or metastatic PCa. Despite recent progress in the identification of genetic and molecular alterations in PCa, the routine prognostic risk assessment of PCa patients currently relies on traditional clinicopathological prognostic factors, including Gleason score, clinical tumor stage, serum PSA level, and metastasis at the time of diagnosis. The predictive accuracy of this traditional prognostic model needs be further improved by the incorporation of novel prognostic biomarkers.
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Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier curves for albumin levels (I), fibrinogen levels (II) or AFPG according to the clinical reference values (III). A. Progression-free survival (PFS), B. Cancer-specific survival (CSS) and C. Overall survival (OS).

The serum albumin levels and plasma fibrinogen levels are routinely measured blood-based parameters. In this large cohort of PCa patients treated with ADT, we found that pretreatment decreased albumin and fibrinogen combined prognostic grade (AFPG) was associated with increased risk for disease recurrence, cancer specific mortality and all-cause mortality. These findings remained significant after adjusting for clinicopathological features, indicating an independent association of pretreatment decreased AFPG with adverse outcomes.

To the best of our knowledge, our analysis is the first study to take albumin and fibrinogen together to evaluate whether the combination of them could present a better predictive value for PCa patients’ clinical outcome, and strikingly we got satisfactory results. Our study showed that AFPG was an independent prognostic indicator for PFS, CSS and OS. Additionally, we made a subgroup analysis and built a new integrated prognostic model. In subgroup analysis, we found that decreased AFPG according to optimal cut-off values could predict poor prognosis in the subgroup of patients with Gleason score > 7 or bone metastasis. In the subgroup of Gleason score ≤ 7 or non-metastasis, however, AFPG was not statistically significantly associated with prognosis except for OS in the subgroup of non-metastasis, probably because the percentages of patients who reached the endpoints (progression, cancer-related death and overall death) in these subgroups were too small.
Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier survival curves stratified by AFPG according to the optimal cut-off values in prostate cancer patients with Gleason score ≤ 7(I) and Gleason score > 7(II). A. Progression-free survival (PFS), B. Cancer-specific survival (CSS) and C. Overall survival (OS).

Figure 4. Kaplan-Meier survival curves stratified by AFPG according to the optimal cut-off values in prostate cancer patients with non-metastasis(I) and metastasis(II). A. Progression-free survival (PFS), B. Cancer-specific survival (CSS) and C. Overall survival (OS).
### Table 3. Univariate analyses of various clinical parameters in prostate cancer patients

| Parameters | Progression-Free Survival | Cancer-Specific Survival | Overall Survival |
|------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
|            | HR (95% CI) P-value        | HR (95% CI) P-value      | HR (95% CI) P-value |
| Age (years) | 0.980(0.963-0.997) 0.019 | 0.985(0.961-1.010) 0.241 | 0.998(0.975-1.021) 0.845 |
| PSA (µg/L)  | 1.000(1.000-1.001) 0.059 | 1.000(1.000-1.001) 0.256 | 1.000(0.999-1.001) 0.672 |
| Gleason Score | ≤7 2.272(1.700-3.036) <0.001 | 4.578(2.695-7.77) <0.001 | 3.517(2.262-5.467) <0.001 |
|            | >7 1.000(1.000-1.001) 1  | 1.000(1.000-1.001) 1  | 1.000(0.999-1.001) 1 |
| Metastasis | No 1.000(1.000-1.001) 1  | 1.000(1.000-1.001) 1  | 1.000(0.999-1.001) 1 |
|            | Yes 3.816(2.850-5.111) <0.001 | 8.881(5.008-15.749) <0.001 | 4.840(3.145-7.450) <0.001 |
| Risk Stratification | Low-intermediate 1  | 1  | 1  |
|            | High 2.803(1.483-5.298) 0.002 | 11.285(1.572-81.029) 0.016 | 2.220(0.975-5.058) 0.058 |
| Albumin(g/L) | <39.55 1.786(1.334-2.389) <0.001 | 2.816(1.849-4.189) <0.001 | 2.653(1.818-3.880) <0.001 |
|            | ≥39.55 1  | 1  | 1  |
| Albumin(g/L) | <35.00 2.766(1.568-4.878) <0.001 | 3.492(1.680-7.258) <0.001 | 3.599(1.869-6.928) <0.001 |
|            | ≥35.00 1  | 1  | 1  |
| Fibrinogen(g/L) | <1.265 2.082(1.579-2.746) <0.001 | 3.134(2.025-4.848) <0.001 | 2.438(1.664-3.571) <0.001 |
| Fibrinogen(g/L) | ≤1.40 2.164(1.572-2.980) <0.001 | 3.229(2.078-5.020) <0.001 | 2.530(1.679-3.813) <0.001 |
| AFPG, according to optimal cut-off values | Grade 1 3.482(2.372-5.111) <0.001 | 7.614(4.227-13.714) <0.001 | 5.681(3.419-9.441) <0.001 |
| Grade 2 | 1.721(1.263-2.346) 0.001 | 2.790(1.622-4.798) <0.001 | 2.135(1.349-3.381) 0.001 |
| Grade 3 | 1  | 1  | 1  |

Abbreviations: HR: hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval; PSA: prostate-specific antigen; AFPG: pretreatment albumin and fibrinogen combined prognostic grade.

### Table 4. Multivariate analyses of various clinical parameters in prostate cancer patients

| Parameters | According to optimal cut-off values | According to clinical reference values |
|------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|            | HR (95% CI) P-value                  | HR (95% CI) P-value                   |
| Age (years) | 0.096                               | 0.440                                 |
| Gleason Score | ≤7 1.703(1.265-2.923) <0.001       | 1.699(1.261-2.288) <0.001             |
|            | >7 2.890(1.687-4.955) <0.001        | 2.888(1.682-4.954) <0.001             |
| Metastasis | No 2.468(1.574-3.870) <0.001        | 3.420(2.538-4.708) <0.001             |
|            | Yes 1.000(1.000-1.001) 1            | 1.000(1.000-1.001) 1                  |
| AFPG       | Grade 1 3.156(2.394-4.368) <0.001  | 3.546(2.276-5.326) <0.001             |
| Grade 2    | 1.615(1.433-11.0) <0.001            | 3.420(2.538-4.708) <0.001             |
| Grade 3    | 1  | 1  | 1  |

Abbreviations: HR: hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval; PSA: prostate-specific antigen; AFPG: pretreatment albumin and fibrinogen combined prognostic grade.

In our cohort, the predictive accuracy of albumin levels or fibrinogen levels or AFPG according to optimal cut-off values was higher than that of albumin levels or fibrinogen levels or AFPG according to clinical reference values. This might be due to small changes of nutritional and inflammatory parameters for patients initially diagnosed as PCa, and they were still in normal clinical reference ranges. Moreover, the sample size of decreased albumin levels, elevated fibrinogen levels or grade 1 (AFPG) according to clinical reference values was too tiny. Therefore, the optimal cut-off values may be more suitable for dichotomy of these patients than the clinical reference values. In the multivariate model analyses, our new model integrating Gleason score, incidence of metastasis and AFPG according to optimal cut-off values showed great advantage than the base model, including Gleason score and incidence of metastasis, always in the top two.
or other integrated models, including Gleason score, incidence of metastasis and albumin levels or fibrinogen levels or AFPG according to clinical reference values, indicating AFPG according to optimal cut-off values was a strong independent prognostic predictor for PCAs and it based integrated model had a higher predictive accuracy than the base or other integrated models.

In this present study, patients with decreased AFPG were more likely to have higher PSA, Gleason score and incidence of metastasis. In our multivariate cox analyses, Gleason score and incidence of metastasis were independent predictors. Taken together, these differences of tumor characteristics may partly explain why the patients with decreased AFPG in our cohort had more aggressive disease.

The mechanisms responsible for this observation remains unclear and is yet to be elucidated. However, several previous experimental and clinical studies supported the observation of our study. A decreased AFPG includes both decreased albumin level and elevated fibrinogen level, which may be both associated with cancer progression and poor outcome. Gupta D et al. [10] performed a meta-analysis and found an association of higher serum albumin level with better survival of various tumors. Decreased albumin level not only reflects malnutrition status, but also indicates existence of inflammation in the host. Malnutrition is highly prevalent in cancer patients, and may produce a great deal of negative consequences, such as impaired immune functions, reduced response to cancer treatment and shortened overall survival [18]. As part of systemic inflammatory response to the tumor or from the tumor itself, inflammatory mediators including interleukin-1 (IL-1), IL-6, necrosis factor α and acute phase reactants were released, which might increase the transcapillary escape rate of albumin and modulate albumin synthesis by hepatocytes [19-22], thus albumin level could serve as a good indicator of prognosis for cancer. As for fibrinogen level, numerous studies have released its role in the development of cancer, metastatic spread and prognostic assessment [14, 23-25]. Yano HJ et al. [23] showed that fibrinogen interacted with multiple integrin and non-integrin receptors of cancer cells to regulate tumor cell proliferation, migration, and signaling. Sahni A et al. [24] noted that fibrinogen may bind to vascular endothelial cell growth factors, and stimulate endothelial cell proliferation and angiogenesis. Ziaaran et al. [25] demonstrated a significant increase of fibrinogen levels in prostate cancer patients after 12 months of androgen deprivation therapy, indicating fibrinogen may be association with tolerance of ADT. Our previous study showed an association between elevated plasma fibrinogen with poor clinical outcome for PCa patients [14]. Besides, as an acute-phase reaction protein [10], fibrinogen level would be increased during systemic response of host to tumor.

There are some limitations to our current study. First, this was a retrospective investigation. Despite the strict enrollment criteria applied, we were unable to completely exclude other conditions that might cause hematologic and nutritional changes in PCa. Second, T and N stage were not showed for that 15% PCa patients with serum PSA level > 20 μg/L refused CT or MRI scan to evaluate tumor invasion depth and determine whether there was lymph node metastasis. Our results need to be validated by prospective research and patient data from other parts of the world.

| Parameters                        | Progression-Free Survival | Cancer-Specific Survival | Overall Survival |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
|                                   | Univarate Median(IQR)     | Multivariate Median(IQR)| Univarate Median(IQR) | Multivariate Median(IQR)| Univarate Median(IQR) | Multivariate Median(IQR)|
| Gleason Score (≤7/>7)             | 0.619                     | 0.676                    | 0.646           | 0.746                 |
|                                   | (0.598-0.650)             | (0.601-0.710)            | (0.609-0.682)   | (0.720-0.781)         |
| Metastasis (no/yes)               | 0.680                     | 0.744                    | 0.690           | 0.783                 |
|                                   | (0.658-0.702)             | (0.712-0.772)            | (0.668-0.724)   | (0.749-0.810)         |
| Albumin (g/L) (<35.55/≥39.55)    | 0.580                     | 0.625                    | 0.620           | 0.793                 |
|                                   | (0.555-0.601)             | (0.596-0.664)            | (0.592-0.658)   | (0.749-0.810)         |
| Albumin (g/L) (<35/≥35)          | 0.525                     | 0.529                    | 0.528           | 0.757                 |
|                                   | (0.512-0.540)             | (0.531-0.557)            | (0.514-0.592)   | (0.733-0.797)         |
| Fibrinogen (g/L) (<3.225/≥3.225) | 0.606                     | 0.652                    | 0.622           | 0.766                 |
|                                   | (0.585-0.637)             | (0.616-0.697)            | (0.595-0.665)   | (0.737-0.800)         |
| Fibrinogen (g/L) (≤5/>4)          | 0.578                     | 0.615                    | 0.595           | 0.763                 |
|                                   | (0.560-0.601)             | (0.591-0.659)            | (0.562-0.623)   | (0.739-0.799)         |
| AFPG, according to the optimal cut-off values (0.615-0.667) | 0.652 | 0.704 | 0.677 | 0.790 |
| AFPG, according to the clinical reference values (0.568-0.612) | 0.652 | 0.704 | 0.677 | 0.790 |

Abbreviations: IQR: Interquartile range; AFPG: pretreatment albumin and fibrinogen combined prognostic grade; Multivariate models include Gleason score and metastasis.
In conclusion, our study firstly proposed a new index named AFPG to predict clinical outcomes of PCa receiving ADT by taking pretreatment serum albumin levels and plasma fibrinogen levels together. We not only demonstrated that decreased AFPG could predict a significantly poor prognosis in patients with PCa, but also found AFPG according to optimal cut-off values showed great advantage than albumin levels alone or fibrinogen levels alone or AFPG according to clinical reference values in predicting prognosis. Thus, we recommend adding AFPG according to optimal cut-off values to traditional prognostic model to improve the predictive accuracy.
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