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Abstract

Objective: The purpose of this study was to evaluate potential relationships between cytokine gene expression, complete blood counts (CBC) and animals that were sick or would become sick. The CBC and the transcript abundance of cytokines and their receptors expressed in leukocytes were measured from calves at two early timepoints, and again after diagnosis with bovine respiratory disease (BRD).

Results: Blood was collected from calves at pre-conditioning (n = 796) and weaning (n = 791) for CBC. Blood counts were also measured for the calves with BRD (n = 13), and asymptomatic calves (n = 75) after weaning. The CBC were compared for these animals at 3 time points. At diagnosis, neutrophils were higher and basophils lower in sick animals (P < 0.05). To further characterize BRD responses, transcript abundance of 84 cytokine genes were evaluated in 5 calves with BRD and 9 asymptomatic animals at all time points. There was more data for CBC than transcript abundance; hence, animal and temporary environmental correlations between CBC and transcript abundance were exploited to improve the power of the transcript abundance data. Expression of CCL16, CXCR1, CCR1 was increased in BRD positive animals compared to controls (P-corrected < 0.1). Cytokine expression data may help to provide insight into an animal’s health.
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Introduction

The ability to predict whether an animal is susceptible to bovine respiratory disease (BRD) would benefit producers. Cost savings may be realized by managing animals with higher potential susceptibility to BRD differently by attempting to reduce their exposure to illness or by monitoring susceptible animals more closely for illness. Alternatively, these animals might be treated prophylactically at an earlier stage to mitigate the risk of illness.

Previously, Leach et al. [1] described relationships between changes in WBC and lymphocytes in response to vaccine and health records in calves. White blood cells use small pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines as molecular signaling mechanisms to communicate with each other. Cytokines become elevated in response to the bacterial and viral causes of BRD [2–4]. The profiles of the most studied cytokines (TNF, INFγ, IL-1β, IL-6) vary depending on which pathogen the animal is infected with [4]. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the transcript abundance of multiple cytokine genes and receptors in calves at different production stages (i.e. pre-conditioning and weaning), and when BRD was detected to determine whether changes in blood cell counts and/or changes in the expression of specific cytokines might indicate which animals were sick or more likely to become sick with BRD.
Population

Heifer and steer calves for this study were born in the fall of 2016 and were from the continuous phase of the USMARC Germplasm Evaluation project [5]. This breeding population includes contributions from the following 18 breeds: Angus, Beefmaster, Brahman, Brangus, Braunvieh, Charolais, Chiangus, Gelbvieh, Hereford, Limousin, Maine Anjou, Red Angus, Salers, Santa Gertrudis, Shorthorn, South Devon, Simmental, and Tarentaise. Calves were part of a subset developed to monitor disease. Calves were all born and raised at USMARC at three locations with no fenceline contact. At pre-conditioning (~120 days of age), which is preweaning vaccination with Bovi Shield Gold One Shot (Zoetis) and Vision 8 with SPUR (Merck, USA) and at weaning (~150 days) with the vaccination Bovi Shield Gold One Shot, blood was collected as previously described [1]. Briefly, whole blood was collected into tubes containing liquid EDTA as an anticoagulant for a complete blood count (CBC) from 796 animals at pre-conditioning and 791 animals at weaning, when calves were relocated from pasture to a feedlot. At the same times, whole blood from a randomly selected subset of these animals (n=200) was also collected into Tempus RNA Blood tubes (Thermo, Waltham, MA, USA) for RNA isolation. Samples in Tempus tubes were stored at −20 °C prior to RNA isolation. All freshly collected whole blood samples with EDTA were evaluated using a HT5 veterinary hematology instrument (Heska, Loveland, CO, USA) and cell component data was recorded. The average, minimum and maximum values for the whole blood parameters are reported for all animals at pre-conditioning (n=796) and at weaning (n=791) in Table 1. Most of the average values obtained fell within the reference intervals of at least one of three bovine studies presented in Roland et al. [6] and Leach et al. [1]. All values fell within the reference intervals provided by hematomic reference chart in the Merck Veterinary Manual. Differences between this study and those described in Roland et al. [6] included slightly higher values for erythrocytes (10.9 × 1012/L at pre-conditioning and 10.6 × 1012/L at weaning), higher red cell distribution width (24.8% at pre-conditioning and 24.9% at weaning), and lower average values for mean corpuscular volume (34.7 fL at pre-conditioning, 35.1 fL at weaning). The CBC values for pre-conditioning and weaning were within the ranges of those presented in [1], except for eosinophils, which were lower in the animals presented in this study.

Cytokine gene expression

Total RNA was isolated from the whole blood collected in Tempus tubes drawn at the three time points (pre-conditioning, weaning and diagnosis) from the animals diagnosed with disease (n=5) and control animals (n=9). The Tempus Spin RNA isolation kit (Thermo) was used to isolate RNA following the manufacturer’s instructions. Quality of RNA was assessed using a Bioanalyzer 2200 Tape Station (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). All samples produced RNA integrity values (RIN) of >8. The RT² First Strand Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD) was used for cDNA preparation from 1 μg of total RNA. The cDNA was diluted with water and added to the RT² SYBR Green Mastermix for a final reaction volume of 25 μL.

The master mix with cDNA was placed into the wells of the Bovine Inflammatory Cytokine and Receptor PCR Array (Catalog #PABT-011Z; Qiagen) plates for the purpose of assessing the level of transcript abundance of 84 different immune genes. Thermal cycling conditions on a CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) were: 95 °C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 1 min. Data were entered into the Qiagen RT2 profiler data analysis software (http://pcrdataylisis.sabiosciences.com/prcr/arrayanalysis.php). The gene hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1 (HPRT1) was chosen as the housekeeping gene for normalization because it was the most stable of the five housekeeping genes on the plates between the BRD positive and control animals. The 2−ΔΔCT method [7] was used to calculate the expression values for all 84 genes and these values were used to evaluate these genes for differential expression between sick and asymptomatic animals.

Statistical analysis of hematologic and cytokine gene expression

Multiple trait mixed model analyses were applied to hematologic and cytokine (both ligand and receptor) transcript abundance. Each cytokine was analyzed in a separate mixed model analysis with 5 hematologic cell
counts: neutrophils, lymphocytes, monocytes, eosinophils, and basophils. Six cytokines or receptors were eliminated from mixed model analysis because of more than 5 missing values (> 10% missing); hence, we ran 78 mixed model analyses. For each analysis we included 36 equations for fixed effects; 4 phenotype (sick verses healthy) by time point subclasses times 6 traits; 5 CBC cell counts and transcript abundance for one cytokine. For random animal effects there were 534 equations, 89 animals times 6 traits. In a $6 \times 6$ variance covariance matrix, there are 21 unique variances and covariances. We estimated 2 variance–covariance matrices, one for animal effects and 1 for temporary environmental effects for a total of 42 variances and covariances. We used REML combined with a Cholesky decomposition to constrain the variance matrix to be positive definite in R using optim() using method = “L-BFGS-B. Differences between sick and healthy animals at diagnosis were tested using an F test with 1 df for the numerator and 83 df for the denominator for cell counts and 8 df for the denominator for cytokine expression. Experiment-wise type I error was controlled for multiple testing of 84 genes using a Bonferroni adjustment. The multiple trait mixed model analysis incorporates indirect information from CBC to help estimate and interpret cytokine expression levels where information was more limited.

### Hematology analysis in BRD case vs. control animals

Complete blood count information for sick and control animals are presented in Table 2. Lower levels of monocytes were identified at weaning ($P_{corrected} \leq 0.02$) in those calves that went on to develop BRD in the feedlot compared to calves that remained healthy/asymptomatic in the first 42 days after weaning. Higher levels of neutrophils and lower levels of basophils were detected in the sick animals compared to the asymptomatic controls at the time of diagnosis ($P_{corrected} \leq 0.006$). Higher neutrophil levels are indicative of inflammation related to infection caused by bacterial infection [8].

### Pathogen detection in BRD case animals

BRD is a multi-factorial disease that can be initiated by various viruses and bacterial pathogens. Thus, diagnostic testing was performed to identify which pathogens were associated with BRD diagnosis. Multiplex reverse transcription real-time polymerase chain

### Table 1 Averages for the whole blood parameters for crossbred beef calves at preconditioning (n = 796; approximately 120 days) and at weaning (n = 790; 150 days)

| Parameter | Preconditioning | Weaning |
|-----------|-----------------|---------|
|           | Average (SD)    | Minimum | Maximum |
| WBC (10^9/L) | 11.1 (2.5) | 4.71 | 22.66 |
| NEU (10^9/L) | 3.3 (1.4) | 0.74 | 10.82 |
| LYM (10^9/L) | 7.0 (1.7) | 2.85 | 17.63 |
| MONO (10^9/L) | 0.55 (0.2) | 0.11 | 1.51 |
| EOS (10^9/L) | 0.15 (0.11) | 0.02 | 1.18 |
| BAS (10^9/L) | 0.09 (0.04) | 0.0 | 0.32 |
| NEU%      | 29.4 (8.1) | 8.2 | 60.2 |
| LYM%      | 63.5 (8.6) | 33.2 | 88.5 |
| MONO%     | 4.9 (1.5) | 1.3 | 11.8 |
| EOS%      | 1.4 (0.9) | 0.4 | 10.9 |
| BAS%      | 0.8 (0.3) | 0 | 2.4 |
| RBC (10^12/L) | 10.9 (1.0) | 3.7 | 14.57 |
| HGB (g/dL) | 12.96 (0.9) | 5.1 | 15.7 |
| HCT%      | 37.8 (2.7) | 14 | 46.4 |
| MCV (fL)  | 34.7 (2.4) | 28 | 43.1 |
| MCH (pg)  | 11.9 (0.8) | 9.7 | 15 |
| MCHC (g/dL) | 34.3 (0.9) | 31.2 | 36.6 |
| RDW%      | 24.8 (1.9) | 21.1 | 35.4 |
| PLT (10^9/L) | 721.7 (244.9) | 34 | 1692 |

WBC white blood cells, NEU neutrophils, LYM lymphocytes, MONO monocytes, EOS eosinophils, BAS basophils, RBC red blood cells, HGB hemoglobin, HCT hematocrit, MCV mean corpuscular volume, MCH mean corpuscular hemoglobin, MCHC mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration, RDW red cell distribution width, PLT platelets, MPV mean platelet volume
reaction (RT-qPCR) was used to detect bovine coronavirus (BCV), bovine respiratory syncytial virus (BRSV), bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV), and bovine herpesvirus-1 (BHV-1) in nasal swab samples as previously described [9]. For bacterial diagnostics, two techniques were used. First, a set of nasal swabs was sent to the University of Nebraska Lincoln Veterinary Diagnostic Center for bacterial culture and identification. Second, variable regions 1 through 3 in the 16S ribosomal RNA gene were sequenced and bacterial taxa were identified as previously described [10]. Viral pathogens associated with BRD were detected in nasal swabs from 11 of 13 cases. Six were positive for BCV, three were positive for BCV and BRSV, and two were positive for BRSV. Bacterial culture techniques identified *Pasteurella multocida*, *Mannheimia haemolytica*, or *Histophilus somni* in calves diagnosed with BRD. In addition, evaluation of the microbiome through sequencing identified predominant bacteria genera previously reported to be associated with cattle diagnosed with BRDC including *Mycoplasma* sp. and *Psychrobacter* sp. [10].

**Leukocyte gene expression analysis from sick and case control animals**

Three genes were identified in this study as differentially expressed between sick and healthy control animals at the time of diagnosis of disease. These genes included *CCL16*, *CXCR1*, and *CCR1* (P<0.1; Table 3). This is the first study to present a potential association between the expression of these genes with BRD in cattle. The *CXCR1* gene is a chemokine receptor that when stimulated by IL-8 (or CXCL8) attracts and activates neutrophils [11]. Interestingly, the increase in transcript abundance of *CXCR1* coincided with an increase in neutrophil count among the sick animals (Table 2), and its ligand IL-8 (CXCL8) also showed an increase in transcript abundance in these animals (Pnominal = 0.008, Additional file 1).

**Table 2 Averages (SD) for the complete blood count for crossbred beef calves for diagnosed with BRD and for asymptomatic, healthy controls at each time point**

| Parameter | Asymptomatic control animals (n = 71) | Animals with BRD (n = 16) |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
|           | Time 1 average (SD)*                 | Time 2 | Time 3 | Time 1 | Time 2 | Time 3 |
| WBC       | 11.3 (0.29)                          | 11.2 (0.32) | 10.2 (0.29) | 11.5 (0.59) | 11.4 (0.65) | 11.5 (0.58) |
| NEU       | 3.7 (0.14)                           | 3.6 (0.17) | 2.8 (0.17) | 3.4 (0.29) | 3.7 (0.35) | 4.9 (0.35) |
| LYM       | 6.8 (0.21)                           | 6.8 (0.25) | 6.7 (0.21) | 7.2 (0.42) | 7 (0.5) | 5.9 (0.43) |
| MONO      | 0.57 (0.02)                          | 0.61 (0.022) | 0.42 (0.019) | 0.57 (0.04) | 0.47 (0.044) | 0.46 (0.038) |
| EOS       | 0.19 (0.013)                         | 0.16 (0.007) | 0.18 (0.018) | 0.16 (0.027) | 0.14 (0.015) | 0.14 (0.036) |
| BAS       | 0.08 (0.005)                         | 0.1 (0.004) | 0.1 (0.005) | 0.09 (0.01) | 0.09 (0.009) | 0.07 (0.011) |
| NEU%      | 32.1 (0.87)                          | 32.1 (1.13) | 27.4 (1.11) | 30.2 (1.78) | 31.5 (2.3) | 40.8 (2.26) |
| LYM%      | 60.4 (0.88)                          | 60.1 (1.17) | 65.6 (1.1) | 62.7 (1.78) | 62.2 (0.38) | 53 (2.23) |
| MONO%     | 5.1 (0.16)                           | 5.5 (0.18) | 4.2 (0.2) | 4.9 (0.32) | 4.2 (0.36) | 4.3 (0.4) |
| EOS%      | 1.7 (0.11)                           | 1.4 (0.06) | 1.8 (0.16) | 1.4 (0.23) | 1.3 (0.12) | 1.3 (0.33) |
| BAS%      | 0.73 (0.04)                          | 0.87 (0.04) | 0.99 (0.049) | 0.79 (0.081) | 0.77 (0.081) | 0.64 (0.101) |
| RBC       | 11 (0.15)                            | 10.5 (0.11) | 11.3 (0.13) | 10.9 (0.3) | 10.5 (0.23) | 10.8 (0.26) |
| HGB       | 13.1 (0.15)                          | 12.5 (0.1) | 13.2 (0.12) | 12.9 (0.3) | 12.4 (0.2) | 12.6 (0.24) |
| HCT       | 38.3 (0.44)                          | 37 (0.3) | 40.4 (0.38) | 37.3 (0.89) | 36.4 (0.62) | 37.8 (0.78) |
| MCV       | 35 (0.28)                            | 35.4 (0.28) | 35.9 (0.32) | 34.5 (0.56) | 34.8 (0.56) | 35.3 (0.63) |
| MCH       | 12 (0.1)                             | 11.9 (0.1) | 11.8 (0.1) | 11.9 (0.2) | 11.9 (0.21) | 11.8 (0.21) |
| MCHC      | 34.3 (0.13)                          | 33.7 (0.11) | 32.8 (0.12) | 34.5 (0.26) | 34 (0.22) | 33.4 (0.25) |
| RDW%      | 24.8 (0.22)                          | 25.2 (0.21) | 25.4 (0.23) | 25.6 (0.45) | 25.4 (0.43) | 25.2 (0.47) |
| PLT       | 639 (28.01)                          | 548.8 (20.13) | 676.9 (25.8) | 816.6 (57.18) | 630.9 (41.1) | 624.6 (52.63) |
| MPV       | 4.7 (0.03)                           | 4.8 (0.03) | 4.6 (0.04) | 4.7 (0.07) | 4.7 (0.07) | 4.5 (0.07) |

* Time 1: Pre-conditioning; Time 2: Weaning; Time 3: Diagnosis of disease and case control. Parameters are presented as average values for all animals and standard deviation in parentheses.

WBC white blood cell count (10^9/L), NEU neutrophil count (10^9/L), LYM lymphocyte count (10^9/L), MONO monocyte count (10^9/L), EOS eosinophil count (10^9/L), BAS basophil count (10^9/L), NEU% neutrophil percent, LYM% lymphocyte percent, MONO% monocyte percent, EOS% eosinophil percent, BAS% basophil percent, RBC red blood cell count (10^12/L), PLT platelet count (10^9/L), HGB hemoglobin, HCT hematocrit, MCV mean corpuscular volume, MCH mean corpuscular hemoglobin, MCHC mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration, RDW red cell distribution width, PLT platelets, MPV mean platelet volume

* Time 1 average (SD)*
Naïve lymphocytes that respond to infection in the lung express CCR genes 1–10 [12]. Specifically, human monocytes have been shown to respond to respiratory syncytial virus by increasing expression of CCR1 receptors [13]. Mice lacking the CCR1 gene produce little if any inflammatory responses during the acute phase of viral pneumonia [14]. The pneumonia virus of mice (PVM) produces similar chemokine responses as human respiratory syncytial virus (RSV). In this study, we detected an increase in the transcript abundance of CCR1 at the time of illness. Moreover, of the five sick animals, one tested positive for bovine BRD and two were positive for BCV.

The expression of CCL16 has been widely reported in the liver [15], but its expression can also be induced in activated monocytes by IL-10, IFN-γ and bacterial lipopolysaccharide [16]. The cattle with BRD in this study displayed increased levels of CCL16. We detected a positive animal correlation between monocytes counts and CCL16; thus, a higher level of CCL16 expression among animals with BRD could suggest that these animals have greater numbers of activated circulating monocytes.

Many of the previous studies of hematology parameters for calves available in the literature are limited by small sampling sizes and include only one breed or a specific cross of cattle [17–19]. A recent study by Leach et al. [1] is the only example of CBC data on a large population of 2182 crossbred calves. Our study sampled 796 crossbred calves at 120 days and 791 at 150 days for normal hematology parameters, and also includes hematology and cytokine gene expression data from animals that developed BRD (up to 192 days of age). In addition, the data presented here includes crossbred heifers and steers representing 18 breeds of origin.

Summary
Complete blood counts can aid in the diagnosis of disease; thus, current information regarding the ranges of these values in beef cattle breeds may be useful veterinary tools. Our aim was to determine whether these values might provide insight into an animal’s subsequent health status. We have identified CBC values that were different in this set of animals between those that became sick and those that remained healthy at various time points. In addition, we identified differences among several cytokine genes and receptors that may also be useful biomarkers of BRD; however, it is critical that this study be replicated with a larger population of animals.

Limitations
While we present a larger number of normal, healthy control animals for CBC, we were limited by the small number of cattle that were diagnosed with bovine respiratory disease. The cost to collect blood from all animals into Tempus RNA tubes was prohibitive for all 800 calves, and of the 200 animals sub-sampled for RNA, only 5 were diagnosed with illness. In general, illness was low for the season with only 13 of the 791 weaned calves diagnosed with BRD. Because BRD is a phenotypic measurement of symptoms, it is possible that some cases were not identified. We intend to repeat this experiment to increase the population size of sick animals and will use RNA-Seq to evaluate the sick and control animals from this study and the repeated study. This will allow us to detect the expression levels of all genes expressed in the leukocytes, including the genes evaluated in this study.

Additional file

Additional file 1. Cytokine genes and receptors associated with bovine respiratory disease at the time of diagnosis in calves (N = 5 with BRD, N = 9 healthy control animals).

Abbreviations
BCV: bovine coronavirus; BHV-1: bovine herpesvirus-1; BRD: bovine respiratory disease; BRSV: bovine respiratory syncytial virus; BVDV: bovine viral diarrhea virus; CBC: complete blood count; cDNA: complimentary deoxyribonucleic acid; EDTA: ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; PCR: polymerase chain reaction; PVM: pneumonia virus of mice; RNA: ribonucleic acid; RNA-Seq: ribonucleic acid sequencing; RSV: respiratory syncytial virus; WBC: white blood cells.

Authors’ contributions
JWK conceived the study and experimental design was discussed with ALP, TGM, CCM and LAK. LAK, TGM, and AMW coordinated and collected samples, and sequenced 16S rRNA; JM assisted with gene expression data collection; AMW tested samples for viral presence; CCM assisted with data interpretation. LAK performed the analyses. ALP isolated the RNA, performed the gene expression assays and wrote the manuscript. All authors critically evaluated and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements
The authors gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Sam Nejezchleb, Tammy Sorensen, Sue Hauver, and the Cattle Operations staff for their expertise in
animal handling and blood collection. The authors also thank Stacy Bier- 
man for her assistance with the evaluation of the blood samples using the 
hematology analyzer. Mention of trade name proprietary product or specified 
equipment does not constitute a guarantee or warranty by the USDA and 
does not imply approval to the exclusion of other products that may be suit-
able. USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials
The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study that are not 
provided in this manuscript are available from the corresponding author on 
reasonable request.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
The U.S. Meat Animal Research Center (USMARC) Animal Care and Use Com-
mittee reviewed and approved all animal procedures. The procedures for 
handling cattle complied with the Guide for the Care and Use of Agricultural 
Animals in Agricultural Research and Teaching (FASS, 2010).

Funding
Not applicable.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in pub-
lished maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 3 October 2018   Accepted: 30 October 2018
Published online: 03 November 2018

References
1. Leach RJ, Chitko-Mckown CG, Bennett GL, Jones SA, Kachman SD, Keele JW, Leymaster KA, Thallman RM, Kuehn LA. The change in differing leu-
kocyte populations during vaccination to bovine respiratory disease and 
their correlations with lung scores, health records, and average daily gain. J Anim Sci. 2013;91:3564–73.
2. Buriaga-Robles LO, Step DL, Krehbiel CR, Holland BP, Richards CJ, Montelongo MA, Confer AW, Fulton RW. Effects of exposure to calves per-
sistently infected with bovine viral diarrhea virus type 1b and subsequent 
infection with Mannheimia haemolytica on clinical signs and immune 
parameters: model for bovine respiratory disease via viral and bacterial 
interaction. J Anim Sci. 2010;88:2166–78.
3. Grell SN, Tjornehoj K, Larsen LE, Heegaard PM. Marked induction of IL-6, 
haptoglobin and IFNγ following experimental BRSV infection in young 
calves. Vet Immunol Immunopathol. 2005;103:235–45.
4. Krehbiel CR, Terrill SJ, Mills RL, Gifford CA, Step DL, Richards CJ, Buriaca-
Riales LO. Inflammation and nutrient metabolism in ruminants. In: 23rd 
national Florida ruminants nutrition symposium, 2012. Gainesville.
5. Schiermiester LN, Thallman RM, Kuehn LA, Kachman SD, Spangler MD. 
Estimation of breed-specific heterosis effects for birth, weaning, and 
yearling weight in cattle. J Anim Sci. 2015;93:46–52.
6. Roland L, Drillilch M, Iwerson M. Hematology as a diagnostic tool in 
bovine medicine. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2014;26:592–8.
7. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using 
real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(−ΔΔCt) Method. Methods. 2001;25:402–8.
8. Honda T, Uehara T, Matsumoto G, Ari A, Sugano M. Neutrophil left shift 
and white blood cell count as markers of bacterial infection. Clin Chim 
Acta. 2016;457:46–53.
9. Workman AM, Kuehn LA, McDaneld TG, Clawson ML, Chitko-Mckown CG, 
Loy JD. Evaluation of the effect of serum antibody abundance against 
bovine coronavirus on bovine coronavirus shedding and risk of respira-
tory tract disease in beef calves from birth through the first five weeks in 
a feedlot. Am J Vet Res. 2017;78:1065–76.
10. McDaneld TG, Kuehn LA, Keele JW. Evaluating the microbiome of two-
sampling locations in the nasal cavity of cattle with bovine respiratory 
disease complex (BRSV). J Anim Sci. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1093/jas/
sky032.
11. Nasser MW, Raghuvanshi SK, Grant DJ, Jala VR, Rajarathnam K, Richard-
son RW. Differential activation and regulation of CXCR7 and CXCR2 by 
CXCL8 monomer and dimer. J Immunol. 2009;183:3425–32.
12. Ackerman MR, Derscheid R, Roth JA. Innate immunology of bovine 
respiratory disease. In: Cooper VL, Broduerse BW, editors. Bovine respira-
tory disease, an issue of veterinary clinics: food animal practice. New York: 
Elsevier, Inc., 2010. p. 215–28.
13. Morrison PT, Thomas LH, Sharland M, Friedland JS. RSV-infected airway 
epithelial cells cause biphasic up-regulation of CXCR5 expression on 
human monocytes. J Leukoc Biol. 2007;81:1487–95.
14. Domachewskie JB, Bonville CA, Rosenberg HF. Animal models for study-
ing respiratory syncytial virus infection and its long term effects on lung 
function. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2004;23:5228–34.
15. Youn B-S, Zhang S, Broxmeyer HE, Antol K, Fraser MJ Jr, Hangoc G, Kwon 
BS. Isolation and characterization of LMC, a novel lymphocyte and mono-
cyte chemoattractant human CC chemokine, with myelosuppressive 
activity. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1998;247:217–22.
16. Musso T, Cappello P, Stornello S, Ravarino D, Caorsi C, Otero K, Novelli F, 
Baldolato R, Gavarelli M. IL-10 enhances CCL2 release and chemotaxis 
induced by CCL16 in human monocytes. Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol. 
2005;18:339–49.
17. Brun-Hansen HC, Kampen AH, Lund A. Hematologic values in calves dur-
ing the first 6 months of life. Vet Clin Pathol. 2006;35:182–7.
18. Bouda J, Jagos P. Biochemical and hematological reference values in 
calves and their significance for health control. Acta Vet Brno. 
1984;53:137–42.
19. Mohri M, Sharifi K, Eidi S. Hematology and serum biochemistry of 
Holstein dairy calves: age related changes and comparison with blood 
composition in adults. Rev Vet Sci. 2007;83:30–9.