The Atg18-Atg2 Complex Is Recruited to Autophagic Membranes via Phosphatidylinositol 3-Phosphate and Exerts an Essential Function
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Atg18 is essential for both autophagy and the regulation of vacuolar morphology. The latter process is mediated by PtdIns(3,5)P2 binding, which is dispensable for autophagy. Atg18 also binds to PtdIns(3)P in vitro. Here, we investigate the relationship between PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18 and autophagy. Using an Atg18 variant, Atg18(FTTG), which is unable to bind phosphoinositides, we found that PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18 is essential for full activity in both selective and non-selective autophagy. Atg18(FTTG) formed a complex with Atg2 in a normal manner, and Atg18-Atg2 complex formation occurred in cells in the absence of PtdIns(3)P, indicating that Atg18-Atg2 complex formation is independent of PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18. Atg18 localized to endosomes, the vacuolar membrane, and autophagic membranes, while Atg18(FTTG) did not localize to these structures. The localization of Atg2 to autophagic membranes was also lost in Atg18(FTTG) cells. These data indicate that PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18 is involved in directing the Atg18-Atg2 complex to autophagic membranes. Connection of a 2xFYVE domain, a specific PtdIns(3)P-binding domain, to the C-terminus of Atg18(FTTG) restored the localization of Atg18-Atg2 to autophagic membranes and full autophagic activity, indicating that PtdIns(3)P-binding by Atg18 is dispensable for the function of Atg18-Atg2 complex but is required for its localization. This also suggests that PtdIns(3)P does not act allosterically on Atg18. Taken together, Atg18 forms a complex with Atg2 irrespective of PtdIns(3)P-binding, associates tightly to autophagic membranes by interacting with PtdIns(3)P, and plays an essential role.

Eukaryotic cells are equipped with a self-digestion system called macroautophagy (hereafter autophagy). Autophagy is primarily utilized to recycle macromolecules under starvation conditions to allow survival (1,2). In addition, recent studies have demonstrated that
autophagy has a variety of other physiological functions, including clearance of aggregate-prone proteins, defense against pathogens, and antigen presentation (reviewed in 2). These diverse functions are supported by common processes: sequestration of cytoplasmic components and digestion within the lytic compartment, the vacuole or lysosome. The morphology of these processes has been studied extensively in starved yeast cells. Upon starvation, a cup-shaped membrane structure, called the isolation membrane, emerges in the cytoplasm, and extends to enwrap a portion of the cytoplasm (3). The ends of the isolation membrane are sealed to generate a closed double-membrane structure, the autophagosome. The outer membrane of the autophagosome fuses with the vacuole and the inner membrane structure, the autophagic body, is released into the lumen of the vacuole, where it is immediately degraded. Similar processes can be seen in autophagosome formation in starved mammalian cells (4). The discovery of autophagy in yeast cells and the subsequent isolation of autophagy-defective mutants have enabled the study of autophagy at the molecular level (5,6). To date, 31 ATG (autophagy-related) genes have been identified (6-8); among them, at least 18 genes are essential for normal autophagosome formation. The corresponding 18 Atg proteins are classified into five functional groups: (i) the protein kinase, Atg1, and associated proteins, (ii) the phosphatidylinositol (PtdIns) 3-kinase complex, (iii) proteins involved in ubiquitin-like conjugation of Atg12 and Atg5, (iv) proteins involved in ubiquitin-like conjugation of Atg8 and phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), and (v) proteins with unknown functions, including Atg2, Atg18, and Atg9. Characterization of each protein has been ongoing and investigations into the inter-relationships among these functional groups have also begun (1,9). However, in contrast to the detailed morphological descriptions, insight into the molecular mechanisms underlying autophagosome formation has been slow in coming.

The PtdIns 3-kinase complex plays an essential role during autophagy both in yeast and animal cells (10,11). In yeast, Vps34, the sole PtdIns 3-kinase, forms two distinct protein complexes (complexes I and II) that function in different cellular processes: complex I is essential for autophagy while complex II functions in vacuolar protein sorting via the endosome (11). The function of complex I in autophagy is specified by localization to the pre-autophagosomal structure (PAS), a peri-vacuolar structure where Atg proteins are localized (12), by a specific subunit, Atg14 (13). Though Atg14 homologues have not been reported in animal cells, a similar mechanism is assumed to exist since the mammalian homologue of Vps34 is also required both for autophagy and the sorting of lysosomal proteins (10,14,15). PtdIns 3-kinase activity of Vps34 is
essential for autophagy (16), and its product, PtdIns 3-phosphate [PtdIns(3)P], is highly enriched on isolation membranes, autophagosomes, and ambiguous structures near the elongating tips of isolation membranes, implying the direct involvement of this phosphoinositide in autophagosome formation (16). Missing from the current model is the function of PtdIns(3)P in autophagosome formation.

Atg18 is proposed to function downstream of PtdIns(3)P because it is able to bind phosphoinositides including PtdIns(3)P in vitro. Atg18 has a putative phosphoinositide-binding motif, PheArgArgGly (FRRG), within a predicted seven-bladed beta-propeller structure (17). Substitution of the FRRG motif to PheThrThrGly (FTTG) causes loss of in vitro phosphoinositide-binding (17,18). Interestingly, it was reported that this variant retains bulk autophagic activity, whereas the Cvt (cytoplasm to vacuole targeting) pathway, a type of selective autophagy, is completely blocked (18). From these results, it was concluded that phosphoinositide-binding by Atg18 is required for the Cvt pathway but not for autophagy.

How PtdIns(3)P-binding by Atg18 is involved in autophagy remains unclear. Here, we determine Atg18-related steps that require or do not require Atg18-PtdIns(3)P binding during autophagy.

### Experimental procedures

#### Yeast strains and media

The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains used in this study were derived from SEY6210 (19) or BJ2168 (Yeast Genetic Stock Center, Berkley, CA, USA), as specified in Table 1. We used standard methods and media for yeast manipulation (20). Autophagy was induced by transferring the cells into nitrogen-depleted medium SD (-N) (0.17% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids and ammonium sulfate, and 2% dextrose) or nitrogen- and carbon-depleted medium S (-NC) (0.17% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids and ammonium sulfate). Rapamycin was directly added to the medium at a final concentration of 0.2 µg/ml.

#### Genetic and DNA manipulations

Gene disruption was performed by replacing the entire or part of the coding region with a marker gene. Successful gene disruption was verified by PCR and immunoblot analysis. The *SNF7-mRFP* strain was generated as follows. The sequence encoding mRFP (monomeric RFP; 21) was amplified by PCR from the *mRFP* sequence on the pRSETB vector obtained from the Carlsberg Research Center, such that *Pac* I and *Asc* I sites were generated at the 5' and 3' ends, respectively. The amplified fragment was ligated into *Pac* I/*Asc* I digested pFA6a-GFP (S65T)-kanMX6 to replace the GFP sequence. The region containing *mRFP*, the
ADH1 termination sequence, and the kanMX6 marker was amplified by PCR with a primer set containing the homologous region of the target gene. Amplified cassettes were inserted directly into the chromosome. Successful tagging of mRFP to the C-terminus of Snf7 was verified by PCR analysis and fluorescence microscopy. The ATG18-GFP and ATG2-GFP strains were generated as reported previously (9).

Plasmids for the expression of Vps34 (pKHR54) and vps34^N736K (pKHR60) in yeast cells have been described previously (11). The plasmid for the expression of Atg18 and Atg18(FTTG) in yeast cells was generated as follows. The genomic sequence covering the promoter, coding region, and 3’UTR of ATG18 was amplified by PCR, digested with Spe I and Sac I, and ligated into Spe I/Sac I digested pRS316, yielding the pMO101 plasmid. Point mutations generating amino acid substitutions (FRRG to FTTG at residues 284 to 287) were introduced in pMO101 using a QuickChange Site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene), resulting in pATG18(FTTG). The plasmid for expression of ATG18-HA-GFP was generated as follows. The promoter, coding region, and 3’ UTR of ATG18 was amplified by PCR to have Kpn I and Xho I, Sal I and Xho I, and Not I and Sac I sites at the 5’ and 3’ ends. The sequence coding 3HA-GFP following a linker sequence was amplified by PCR from pOK5 (13) to have Sal I and Not I sites at the 5’ and 3’ ends, respectively. In pOK5, an SpH I site was generated at the 3’ end of the HA sequence. These fragments were cloned into pRS316, resulting in pATG18HG. The ATG18(FTTG)-HA-GFP plasmid was generated as follows. The region containing the mutated FTTG sequence was excised from pATG18(FTTG) by Bgl II and Mro I digestion. The fragment replaced the corresponding Bgl II-Mro I region of pATG18HG containing the FRRG motif, yielding pOK178. The ATG18(FTTG)-HA-2xFYVE plasmid was generated as follows. The 2xFYVE fragment with preceding linker sequence was amplified by PCR from pEGFP-2xFYVE (kind gift from Dr. H. Stenmark) such that SpH I and Not I sites were generated at the 5’ and 3’ ends, respectively. The GFP sequence in pOK178 was excised with SpH I and Not I, and the 2xFYVE fragment was inserted into that site, resulting in pOK180. Successful construction of plasmids was verified by sequencing and immunoblot analysis.

Microscopy

The intracellular localization of GFP- and mRFP-tagged proteins was observed using inverted fluorescence microscopes (IX-71 and IX-81, Olympus) equipped with cooled CCD cameras (CoolSNAP HQ, NIPPON ROPER). For the simultaneous observation of GFP- and mRFP-fusion proteins, cells were excited simultaneously with blue (Sapphire 488-20, Coherent) and yellow lasers (85-YCA-010,
Melles Griot). A U-MNIBA2, from which the excitation filter was removed, was used for GFP visualization, and an FF593-Di02 dichroic mirror and an FF593-Em02 excitation filter (Semrock) were used to analyze mRFP. The accumulation of autophagic bodies was examined by phase-contrast microscopy (IX-71, Olympus). Images were acquired using MetaMorph software (UNIVERSAL IMAGING) and processed using Adobe PhotoShop software (Adobe).

**Immunoblotting**

Immunoblotting was performed using anti-ApeI (kind gift from Dr. D. Klionsky), anti-Atg18, anti-HA (HA-7, Sigma), and affinity-purified anti-Atg2 (22) antibodies. Immunodetection utilized an ECL system (Amersham Biosciences) with a bioimaging analyzer (LAS1000, Fujifilm).

**Co-immunoprecipitation**

Cells were treated with rapamycin for 10 min and converted to spheroplasts. During the generation of spheroplasts, cells were maintained in rapamycin-containing buffers. The spheroplasts were lysed by osmotic shock, then solubilized for 30 min at 4°C in IP buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 200 mM sorbitol, 150 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mg/ml BSA, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM phenylmethylsulphonylfluoride (PMSF), 4 mM Pefabloc SC (Roche), 40 µg/mL aprotinin, 10 µg/mL pepstatin A, 20 µg/mL leupeptin, 40 µg/mL benzamidin, protease inhibitor cocktail (Complete, EDTA-free; Roche), and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (EDTA-free; Nakarai tesque, Kyoto, Japan)]. After the removal of cell debris by centrifugation at 500 x g for 5 min, samples were centrifuged at 100,000 x g for 30 min. Supernatants were incubated with or without affinity-purified anti-Atg2 antibody at 4°C for 2 h. Following the addition of Protein G-Sepharose beads, samples were incubated for an additional hour at 4°C. Beads were washed four times with IP buffer. Bound proteins were eluted with SDS sample buffer and separated by SDS-PAGE.

**Gel-filtration**

Cells were treated with rapamycin and converted to spheroplasts as described above. The spheroplasts were lysed by osmotic shock and then solubilized for 30 min at 4°C in Gel-f buffer [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM phenylmethylsulphonylfluoride (PMSF), 4 mM Pefabloc SC (Roche), 40 µg/mL aprotinin, 10 µg/mL pepstatin A, 20 µg/mL leupeptin, 40 µg/mL benzamidin, protease inhibitor cocktail (Complete, EDTA-free; Roche), and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (EDTA-free; Nakarai tesque, Kyoto, Japan)]. After the removal of cell debris by centrifugation at 500 x g for 5 min, samples were centrifuged at 100,000 x g for 30 min. The resulting
supernatant was separated by size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 200 column (Amersham Biosciences). The column was equilibrated with Gel-f buffer without protease and phosphatase inhibitors. The supernatant (about 0.6 mg of protein in 70 µl) was applied to and eluted from the column at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min, and 0.8-ml fractions were collected. The column was calibrated with both high and low molecular mass gel filtration protein standards (Amersham Biosciences) containing Blue Dextran (marker for the void fraction), thyroglobulin (669 kDa), ferritin (440 kDa), catalase (232 kDa), aldolase (158 kDa), albumin (67 kDa), and ovalbumin (43 kDa).

Results

PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18 and autophagic activity

Previous studies have shown that PtdIns(3)P but not PtdIns(3,5)P2 is essential for autophagy (17). Atg18 is a candidate PtdIns(3)P effector since it binds to PtdIns(3)P in vitro and its localization to the PAS is dependent on Atg14, a subunit of the PtdIns 3-kinase complex (complex I) (9). To investigate the relationship between PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18 and autophagy, we utilized an Atg18 variant, Atg18(FTTG), which harbors amino acid substitutions (FRRG to FTTG) in the putative phosphoinositide-binding motif and therefore is unable to bind to phosphoinositides (17,18).

First, we examined the efficiency of autophagy in atg18Δ cells exogenously expressing Atg18(FTTG) by observing autophagic bodies accumulated in the vacuole. For the clear visualization of autophagic bodies, we used S(-NC) medium lacking both nitrogen and carbon sources, since cells cultured in this medium usually contain a single large central vacuole, which allows easier monitoring of autophagic bodies. In Atg18(FTTG) cells, the accumulation of autophagic bodies was severely reduced (Fig. 1A and 1B). We next estimated autophagic activity by ALP assay. ALP assay monitors the transport of cytoplasm into the vacuole via autophagy by measuring the activity of alkaline phosphatase that is artificially expressed in the cytosol as proform and transported into the vacuole via autophagy, where it is processed to the mature form. This assay has been shown to report autophagic activity quantitatively (23). As shown in Fig. 1C, Atg18(FTTG) cells had reduced autophagic activity. Since PtdIns(3,5)P2 is dispensable for autophagy (Fig. S1; 17), this defect likely arose from loss of binding to PtdIns(3)P rather than to PtdIns(3,5)P2, thus indicating that PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18 is required for full autophagic activity. The Cvt (cytoplasm to vacuole targeting) pathway is thought to be a type of selective autophagy that transports aminopeptidase I (ApeI) from the cytosol to the vacuole under nutrient-rich conditions. Progression of this pathway can be monitored by detecting the mature form of ApeI.
cleaved inside the vacuole. Similar to the case of non-selective autophagy induced by starvation, PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18 was required for efficient progression of the Cvt pathway (Fig. 1D).

To further confirm the requirement of Atg18-PtdIns(3)P binding in autophagy, we employed an engineered form of Atg18 in which the 2xFYVE domain derived from mammalian Hrs was fused to Atg18(FTTG). The 2xFYVE domain has been demonstrated to bind specifically to PtsIns(3)P with high affinity both in vitro and in vivo (24). Interestingly, full autophagic activity was restored in atg18Δ cells expressing Atg18(FTTG)-HA-2xFYVE (Fig. 1). The defect in the Cvt pathway was also suppressed by targeting Atg18(FTTG) to PtdIns(3)P-enriched sites by the 2xFYVE domain (Fig. 1D). These results led to the following conclusions. First, PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18 is required for full activity of both non-selective autophagy under starvation conditions and the Cvt pathway under nutrient-rich conditions. Second, once tightly associated to PtdIns(3)P-enriched sites, Atg18 exerts its function in a manner that does not require Atg18-PtdIns(3)P binding through the native site since the Atg18(FTTG) variant was fully active when the 2xFYVE domain was fused to bypass the targeting step.

Formation of Atg18-Atg2 complex irrespective of binding to PtdIns(3)P

We next used the Atg18(FTTG) variant to clarify which process is dependent on PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18. Atg18 is known to form a complex with Atg2 (9). To determine whether PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18 is required for Atg18-Atg2 complex formation, co-immunoprecipitation analysis was performed using affinity purified anti-Atg2 antibody. The Atg18(FTTG) variant was co-immunoprecipitated with Atg2 with similar efficiency to wild-type Atg18. Atg18 and Atg18(FTTG) were co-immunoprecipitated in a manner dependent both on the anti-Atg2 antibody and Atg2 (Figs. 2A-B), confirming the specificity of this assay. Thus, PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18 is not necessary for Atg18-Atg2 complex formation. Atg18(FTTG)-HA-2xFYVE was also co-immunoprecipitated with Atg2. Co-immunoprecipitation assays were also performed in vps34Δ cells expressing a PtdIns 3-kinase-deficient enzyme, Vps34N736K. Since Vps34 is the sole PtdIns 3-kinase in S. cerevisiae, vps34Δ cells with the Vps34N736K variant do not contain detectable PtdIns(3)P despite normal formation of PtdIns 3-kinase complexes (11, 25). In Vps34N736K cells, Atg18 was also co-immunoprecipitated with Atg2 (Fig. 2B). Taken together, Atg18-Atg2 complex formation does not require PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18. Given the ability of Atg18(FTTG) to bind Atg2, it also seems unlikely that the amino acid substitutions at the FRRG motif affect the
tertiary structure of Atg18, which is consistent with the fact that Atg18(FTTG)-HA-2xFYVE can support normal autophagic activity (Fig. 1).

The Atg18-Atg2 complex was further analyzed by gel-filtration. Cells were treated with rapamycin to induce autophagy and their post-solubilization 100,000 x g supernatants were subjected to gel-filtration analysis. Approximately 20~30\% of Atg18-HA-GFP was eluted in the fractions corresponding to approximately 500 kDa while the remaining protein was mostly eluted in fractions around 150-200 kDa (Fig. 2C and 2D). Since the elution buffer contained 1\% Triton X-100, the exact size of the approximately 500 kDa complex cannot be determined, and thus hereafter it is simply called the “Large complex”. The elution profile of Atg18(FTTG)-HA-GFP was similar to that of Atg18-HA-GFP. We also determined the elution patterns of Atg18 and Atg18(FTTG) without the HA-GFP tag. Both Atg18 and Atg18(FTTG) eluted as part of the Large complex and as monomers (Fig. S2). Thus, Atg18-HA-GFP and Atg18(FTTG)-HA-GFP eluting in the 150-200 kDa fraction likely represent dimers caused by interactions between GFP molecules (26,27).

Atg2 was also eluted at fractions corresponding to approximately 500 kDa, where the Large complex elutes (Fig. 2C and 2D). Monomer Atg2, approximately 170 kDa, was not detected. In \( \text{atg2}\Delta \) cells, Atg18-HA-GFP and Atg18(FTTG)-HA-GFP were mostly absent from the Large complex and almost entirely eluted in the 150-200 kDa fractions (Fig. 2C and 2D), indicating that Atg18 and Atg2 are components of the Large complex. Likewise, untagged Atg18 disappeared from the Large complex and was eluted mostly as a monomer in the absence of Atg2 (Figs. 2D and S2). These results show that Atg18 and Atg2 reside in the Large complex regardless of the PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18.

Recruitment of Atg18-Atg2 complex to autophagic membranes via PtdIns(3)P

Atg18 is known to localize to the vacuolar membranes and peri-vacuolar punctuate structures including the PAS (9,29). On the vacuolar membrane, some Atg18-positive motile foci are observed (29). We carefully examined the localization of Atg18 to identify the peri-vacuolar punctuate structures other than the PAS. We found that most of the Atg18-positive peri-vacuolar punctuate structures were endosomes, since they were also labeled with an endosomal marker, Snf7-mRFP (Fig. 3A). Endosomal localization of Atg18 was seen both at logarithmic growth and under starvation conditions. In addition to endosomes, we also detected Atg18 on the vacuolar membrane and the PAS (data not shown) as reported previously. A substantial amount of Atg18 detached from the vacuolar membrane at 4 h of nitrogen starvation (Fig. 3B). At present we cannot distinguish the PAS from isolation membranes or autophagosomes by fluorescent
microscopy as they are all represented only as fluorescent dots due to limitations of spatial resolution. Therefore, the PAS, isolation membranes, and autophagosomes will be hereafter referred to as autophagic membranes. 

Atg18(FTTG) was dispersed in the cytosol both at logarithmic growth and under starvation conditions (Fig. 3B). Occasionally, a faint signal was observed at autophagic membranes (data not shown). Likewise, Atg18 was dispersed in the cytosol in Vps34N736K cells. Therefore, localization of Atg18 to the membranes requires binding to phosphoinositides. Atg2 is also required for the localization of Atg18 to autophagic membranes since Atg18 does not co-localize with ApeI, a PAS marker (9), in the absence of Atg2 (Fig. 3C). In contrast, endosomal localization of Atg18 does not require Atg2 both at logarithmic growth phase and under starvation condition (Fig. 3C).

Next, we asked whether PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18 is required for the proper localization of Atg2. In wild-type cells, Atg2 localized to autophagic membranes under starvation conditions (Fig. 4C; 9). The localization of Atg2 to autophagic membranes was lost in atg18Δ cells expressing Atg18(FTTG), although a faint Atg2 signal was detected at the autophagic membranes in rare cases (Fig. 4C). These findings indicate that PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18 is required for the tight association of the Atg18-Atg2 complex to autophagic membranes. Considering that Atg2 is required for the localization of Atg18 to autophagic membranes, it seems likely that tight association of Atg18-Atg2 complex to autophagic membranes requires a synergistic effect of the Atg18-PtdIns(3)P interaction and an interaction between Atg2 and some factor(s) pre-existing at autophagic membranes (see Discussion).

Fusion of HA-2xFYVE to Atg18(FTTG) restored localization to endosomes, vacuolar membranes, and autophagic membranes, as indicated by co-localization with mRFP-ApeI and Snif7-mRFP, markers for the PAS and endosomes, respectively (Fig. 4A and B). Compared to the case of wild-type Atg18, signals on these membranes were clear and cytosolic signals largely decreased, probably reflecting a high affinity of the 2xFYVE domain to PtdIns(3)P. The localization of Atg2 to autophagic membranes was also restored in atg18Δ cells expressing Atg18(FTTG)-HA-2xFYVE (Fig. 4C). Localization of Atg2 to endosomes was seen in these cells; this is probably because most of the Atg18(FTTG)-HA-2xFYVE was targeted to the membranes and excluded from the cytosol. Taken together, these data indicate that the process requiring Atg18-PtdIns(3)P interaction is tight association of Atg18-Atg2 complex to autophagic membranes.

Relationship between autophagic activity and
Atg18 on the vacuolar membrane

Atg18 is also involved in regulation of vacuolar morphology. Localization of Atg18 to the vacuolar membrane is mediated by PtdIns(3,5)P2 but not by PtdIns(3)P, since Atg18 is not associated to the vacuolar membrane in the absence of PtdIns(3,5)P2 (17). Recently, it was shown that Atg18 located on the vacuolar membrane is responsible for the function of Atg18 in the regulation of vacuolar morphology (29). We then asked if PtdIns(3,5)P2-binding and following vacuolar membrane localization of Atg18 is responsible to normal autophagic activity in Atg18(FTTG) cells. We generated an Atg18 isoform in which GRAM domain derived from human myotubulalin (hMTM1) was fused to Atg18(FTTG)-HA. The GRAM domain of hMTM1 is known to be a PtdIns(3,5)P2-binding domain. In atg18Δ cells expressing the Atg18(FTTG)-HA-GRAM variant, autophagy was still severely affected (data not shown). It is likely that binding to PtdIns(3)P but not to PtdIns(3,5)P2 is required for full autophagic activity. We took another approach to examine the relationship between Atg18 on the vacuolar membrane and autophagy. Efe et al. (2007) anchored GFP-Atg18 exclusively to the vacuolar membrane by fusing it at the C-terminus to alkaline phosphatase (ALP), a vacuolar transmembrane protein. They showed that GFP-Atg18-ALP was functional in the regulation of vacuolar morphology but was not fully active in the Cvt pathway. We examined starvation-induced autophagy in atg18Δ cells expressing GFP-Atg18-ALP. Cells expressing GFP-Atg18-ALP had significantly reduced autophagic activity, suggesting that the Atg18 on the vacuolar membrane cannot support normal autophagic activity (Fig. 5). HA-Atg18-ALP yielded similar results (data not shown). This is consistent with the hypothesis that PtdIns(3,5)P2, which mediates the localization of Atg18 to the vacuolar membrane, is not essential for autophagy. The residual autophagic activity may result from a leakage of GFP-Atg18-ALP from the vacuolar membrane under starvation conditions (Fig. S3).

Discussion

Atg18-PtdIns(3)P association is required for full activity of autophagy and the Cvt pathway.

Atg18 has been shown to interact with PtdIns(3)P in vitro. However, the significance of phosphoinositide-binding of Atg18 during autophagy has not been shown clearly. We herein dissected the function of Atg18 in autophagy from the viewpoint of phosphoinositide-binding, and found that PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18 is essential for full activity of both autophagy and the Cvt pathway. Krick et al. (2006) reported that the Cvt pathway was completely blocked in Atg18(FTTG) cells, while autophagy was only slightly affected (18). From this result, they suggested that the function...
of Atg18 in the two pathways could be separated by the requirement for PtdIns(3)P-binding. Here, we obtained results slightly different from their work. We found that the Cvt pathway was not completely blocked even under nutrient-rich conditions in Atg18(FTTG) cells although a significant defect was seen. We also found that starvation-induced non-selective autophagy was significantly reduced in Atg18(FTTG) cells. It is likely that PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18 is not essential for the minimum level of autophagy or the Cvt pathway, but is required for the efficient progression of both pathways. Thus, we prefer the idea that the function of the Atg18-PtdIns(3)P interaction is similar in both pathways, rather than distinctive.

The residual autophagic activity in Atg18(FTTG) cells may be conferred by low remaining localization of Atg18(FTTG)-Atg2 complex to autophagic membranes (Figs. 3 and 4), which might arise from a protein-protein interaction (see below). It is also possible that Atg2 has a weak affinity to the lipid enriched at autophagic membranes. Interestingly, atg18Δ cells expressing the Atg18(FTTG) variant could not maintain a normal vacuolar morphology and were indistinguishable with knockout cells (Fig. S4). The extent of dependence on the Atg18-phosphoinositide interaction might differ between these two processes.

Dissection of Atg18 functions during autophagy

In atg18Δ cells expressing the Atg18(FTTG)-HA-2xFYVE fusion protein, normal activity of the autophagy and Cvt pathways was restored. One important conclusion from this result is that PtdIns(3)P-binding by Atg18 through its native site is only for membrane targeting but not for any subsequent functional activities of Atg18. Phosphoinositide binding is known to induce conformational changes in some effector proteins. For example, binding to PtdIns(4,5)P2 evokes conformational changes in N-WASP that expose functional domains required for cell movement (30). Our results suggest that PtdIns(3)P does not regulate Atg18 function in such an allosteric manner during autophagy. Though we do not exclude the possibility that there remains another PtdIns(3)P-binding site that induces a conformational change in Atg18, such a putative site is not tightly associated with autophagic activity.

Co-immunoprecipitation and gel-filtration analyses indicated that formation of a protein complex including Atg18 and Atg2 was independent of Atg18-PtdIns(3)P binding. Atg18-Atg2 complex was retained even in PtdIns 3-kinase deficient Vps34<sup>N736K</sup> cells; nevertheless, Atg18 was thoroughly dispersed in the cytosol. Thus, a stable Atg18-Atg2 complex can be formed in the cytosol and does not seem to require membranes as a scaffold. Gel-filtration analysis of cells under nutrient-rich conditions gave similar elution profiles of Atg18 and Atg2 to those of
autophagy-induced cells (data not shown). These results suggest that the Atg18-Atg2 complex is formed constitutively and functions in both autophagy and the Cvt pathway. On the other hand, Atg18-PtdIns(3)P binding was required for the localization of Atg18-Atg2 complex to autophagic membranes, consistent with the recent demonstration that PtdIns(3)P is highly enriched on isolation membranes and autophagosomes (16). In addition to PtdIns(3)P, Atg2 is required for the localization of Atg18 to autophagic membranes (Fig. 3C; 9). Atg2 is known to bind to Atg9 (31) and potentially to some other proteins existing at autophagic membranes (our unpublished result). These protein-protein interactions are likely required for the proper targeting of Atg18-Atg2 complex to autophagic membranes. Cooperative recruitment by protein-lipid and protein-protein interactions may assure the fidelity of the localization of the Atg18-Atg2 complex. As mentioned above, Atg18-PtdIns(3)P binding is not directly involved in the function of Atg18-Atg2 complex on the autophagic membranes; instead, it is involved indirectly in that function through associating Atg18-Atg2 complex to autophagic membranes. These processes are summarized in Fig. 6.

What is the function of Atg18 after localizing to autophagic membranes? The simplest answer would be “none”: the only function of Atg18 is to concentrate Atg2 at autophagic membranes by binding to PtdIns(3)P. This possibility was examined by fusing the 2xFYVE domain to Atg2 to bypass Atg18 in targeting Atg2 to PtdIns(3)P-enriched autophagic membranes. atg18Δatg2Δ double knockout cells expressing Atg2-HA-2xFYVE had no autophagic activity (data not shown). Thus, this possibility appears not to be true. We also tested the possibility that the function of Atg2 is to concentrate Atg18 on autophagic membranes. Atg18(FTTG)-HA-2xFYVE was expressed in atg18Δatg2Δ double knockout cells and autophagic activity was examined. Although Atg18(FTTG)-HA-2xFYVE is functional in atg18Δ single knockout cells, it could not restore autophagic activity in atg18Δatg2Δ double knockout cells (data not shown). Thus, the Atg18-Atg2 complex seems to have an additional function at autophagic membranes. Atg18 and Atg2 are proposed to be involved in the release of a trans-membrane protein, Atg9, from the PAS to an unknown peripheral pool (32). However, Atg9 accumulates to high levels in most of the atg knockout cells (9), and thus it remains unclear whether the Atg18-Atg2 complex is directly involved in this process. We recently found that PtdIns(3)P is highly enriched on autophagic membranes (16). Notably, PtdIns(3)P was observed preferentially on the inner surfaces of isolation membranes and autophagosomes compared to the outer surface. In addition, PtdIns(3)P was enriched near the elongating tips of isolation membranes. From such information, one would speculate that the
Atg18-Atg2 complex may function in generating negative curvature at the inner surface of isolation membranes. If so, most of the Atg18-Atg2 complex should eventually detach from the inner membranes since Atg18 and Atg2 are not so massively transported into the vacuole (Fig. 4). Alternatively, it would also be possible that the Atg18-Atg2 complex functions around the elongating tips of isolation membranes to construct and maintain the edges of the isolation membranes. However, current understanding of the properties of the Atg18-Atg2 complex, particularly Atg2, is quite limited. The most important future issue is to characterize these proteins in detail by structural approaches in combination with systematic mutagenesis and in vitro assays.

Atg18 in autophagy and regulation of vacuolar morphology

Atg18 is involved in the regulation of vacuolar morphology as well as autophagy. Recent work demonstrated that Atg18 on vacuolar membranes is responsible for this function. The vacuolar membrane localization of Atg18 is mediated by PtdIns(3,5)P2 and protein components such as Vac7 (29). Given that PtdIns(3,5)P2 is dispensable for autophagy and that Atg18 on the vacuolar membrane cannot fully function in autophagy (Fig. 5), the two Atg18-related processes, autophagy and vacuolar homeostasis, may not to be directly linked. However, there is a significant similarity of Atg18 action in these distinct processes. First, interaction between Atg18 and phosphoinositide is required for the proper localization of Atg18: Atg18-PtdIns(3)P interaction is required for localization to autophagic membranes, and Atg18-PtdIns(3,5)P2 interaction is required for localization to vacuolar membranes. Second, protein components act synergistically with phosphoinositides in recruiting Atg18 to the correct membranes: Atg2 is required for the localization of Atg18 to autophagic membranes, and Vac7 is required for the localization of Atg18 to vacuolar membranes. Third, after localizing to the proper sites, Atg18 exerts a function that does not require direct binding to phosphoinositides: mutant Atg18 proteins that cannot bind phosphoinositides are fully active in both processes if they are targeted to the proper membrane (29). Similarly, Atg18(FTTG)-HA-2xFYVE could restore normal vacuole morphology in atg18Δ cells because the fusion protein can bypass the normal PtdIns(3,5)P2-dependent targeting of Atg18 by the interaction between the FYVE domain and PtdIns(3)P on vacuolar membranes (Fig. S4). Thus, Atg18 uses similar modes for localization and function in these distinct biological processes. In this framework, Atg18 is sorted to specific functions by associating with distinct phosphoinositides and proteins, thereby locating itself to the correct place.

Another notable result is that the
Atg18-GFP signal on vacuolar membranes became less intense under nitrogen starvation conditions (Fig. 3B). As described above, Atg18 on the vacuolar membrane cannot fully function in autophagy (Fig. 5). It will be interesting to determine whether some mechanism leads to the release of Atg18 from vacuolar membranes under starvation conditions, enabling Atg18 to function preferentially in autophagy. Monitoring of Vac7 behavior, Fab1 activity, and the level of PtdIns(3,5)P2 under nitrogen starvation conditions is an important future step.

Atg18 is abundant on endosomes. Endosomal localization of Atg18 was shown to be dependent on the putative phosphoinositide-binding motif, FRRG sequence, but independent of Atg2. At present we do not know if unknown protein synergistically acts with phosphoinositide to target Atg18 to endosomes. Understanding of how Atg18 functions after localizing to endosomes is also limited. The localizing mechanism and function of Atg18 on endosomes is an important future issue.

In this paper, we showed that one of the functions of PtdIns(3)P in autophagy is to concentrate Atg18-Atg2 complexes at autophagic membranes. However, we believe that this is not the sole function of PtdIns(3)P in autophagy. We previously showed that proper localization of the Atg12–Atg5/Atg16 complex and Atg8-PE is dependent on PtdIns 3-kinase complex I (10). Since localization of these proteins is not dependent on Atg18, and vice versa, PtdIns(3)P likely recruits these proteins and the Atg18-Atg2 complex independently. It is also possible that PtdIns(3)P is involved directly in generating a curvature of isolation membranes without the assistance of PtdIns(3)P-binding effectors. We are now investigating these possibilities, which will provide additional insights into the mechanism of autophagosome formation.
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Figure Legends

**Figure 1 PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18 is essential for full autophagic activity.**
A, BJ2168 atg18Δ cells carrying empty vector (a), ATG18 plasmid (b), ATG18(FTTG) plasmid, or ATG18(FTTG)-HA-2xFYVE plasmid (d) were cultured in S (-NC) medium for 5 h. Autophagic bodies were observed by phase-contrast microscopy. B, frequency of cells with autophagic bodies was calculated. C, cells at logarithmic phase were cultured in SD (-N) medium for 4 h and autophagic activity was measured by ALP assay. Bars, STDEV. D, cells were collected at logarithmic phase. Cell lysates were prepared by the alkaline-TCA method and separated by SDS-PAGE. The pro- and mature-forms of ApeI were detected by immunoblotting with anti-Ape I antibody.
**Figure 2 Atg18-Atg2 complex formation is independent of PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18.**

_A_, _atg18Δ_ cells expressing Atg18 variants were treated with rapamycin. The post-solubilization 100,000 x _g_ supernatants were subjected to immunoprecipitation with or without affinity-purified anti-Atg2 antibody. Precipitates were separated by SDS-PAGE, followed by immunoblotting with affinity-purified anti-Atg2 antibody and anti-Atg18 serum. Signals shown were obtained on the same membrane with the same exposure time, and processed equally. _B_, post-solubilization 100,000 x _g_ supernatants were prepared and subjected to immunoprecipitation with affinity-purified anti-Atg2 antibody. The precipitates were separated by SDS-PAGE, followed by immunoblotting with affinity-purified anti-Atg2 antibody and anti-Atg18 serum. _C_, cells were treated with rapamycin, and the post-solubilization 100,000 x _g_ supernatants were subjected to gel-filtration analysis. _D_, the signal intensity of each fraction shown in _C_ was measured and the percentage of the total was calculated. For the lower graph, see Figure S2.

**Figure 3 Localization of Atg18.**

_A_, cells expressing Atg18-GFP and Snf7-mRFP at logarithmic phase (-N 0 h) and under starvation condition (-N 2 h) were subjected to fluorescence microscopy. Atg18-GFP and Snf7-mRFP were excited and detected simultaneously (see Experimental procedures). _B_, localization of Atg18-GFP was observed by fluorescence microscopy at logarithmic phase (a) and after 4 h of nitrogen starvation (b). Localization of Atg18(FTTG)-HA-GFP was observed after 4 h of nitrogen starvation (c). In (d), Atg18-GFP was observed in _vps34Δ_ cells expressing the _vps34N736K_ variant. _C_, Atg18-GFP was observed in _atg2Δ_ cells expressing mRFP-ApeI or Snf7-mRFP, PAS and endosome marker, respectively, at logarithmic growth phase (a) and 2 h of nitrogen starvation (b). The GFP and mRFP signals were detected at the same time points as in _B_. Bars, 5 µm.

**Figure 4 Localization of Atg18-Atg2 complex is regulated by PtdIns(3)P.**

_A_, Atg18(FTTG)-HA-GFP-2xFYVE was monitored at logarithmic phase and under nitrogen starvation conditions. _B_, Atg18(FTTG)-HA-GFP-2xFYVE was observed simultaneously with mRFP-ApeI or Snf7-mRFP at logarithmic phase (-N 0 h) and under starvation condition (-N 30 min and 2 h). _C_, Atg2-GFP was observed at logarithmic phase (-N 0 h) and after 2 h of nitrogen starvation (-N 2 h) in _atg18Δ_ cells carrying empty vector, _ATG18_ plasmid, _ATG18(FTTG)_ plasmid, or _ATG18-HA-2xFYVE_ plasmid. Bars, 5 µm.
**Figure 5** Atg18 on the vacuolar membrane cannot support full activity of bulk autophagy.

* A, atg18Δ cells expressing Atg18 or GFP-Atg18-ALP were cultured in S (-NC) medium for 6 h, and autophagic bodies were observed by phase-contrast microscopy. Bar, 5 µm. 
* B, the frequency of cells containing autophagic bodies was calculated.

**Figure 6** Dissection of Atg18-related processes during autophagy.

A summary of Atg18-related processes during autophagy is shown. The process which requires PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18 is boxed. Atg18 can form a protein complex with Atg2 independent of binding to PtdIns(3)P. Atg18-Atg2 complex associates to autophagic membranes; this depends on a cooperation of Atg18-PtdIns(3)P binding and Atg2-X (existing at autophagic membrane) interaction. On the autophagic membranes, Atg18-Atg2 complex exerts an unknown function(s), which does not require direct interaction between PtdIns(3)P and Atg18. These processes are involved in starvation-induced autophagy and the Cvt pathway. The exact components and stoichiometry of the Atg18-Atg2 complex are to be clarified in the future. Direction of the complex toward the membrane is also unknown at present. Binding to PtdIns(3,5)P2 (and to some vacuolar protein component) is required for Atg18 to localize to the vacuolar membrane, where it functions to maintain vacuolar homeostasis (20).

**Figure S1** Production of PtdIns(3,5)P2 is dispensable for autophagy.

Cells were treated with rapamycin for 2 h, and autophagic bodies were observed by phase-contrast microscopy. For the clear visualization of the vacuole, ficoll was added to the suspension to a final concentration of 10% (w/v) at 5 min before observation. Bar, 5 µm.

**Figure S2** Atg18-Atg2 complex formation is independent of PtdIns(3)P-binding of Atg18.

Cells were treated with rapamycin, and their post-solubilization 100,000 x g supernatants were subjected to gel-filtration analysis. Arrows, specific signals for Atg18 and Atg18(FTTG). Asterisks, non-specific bands.

**Figure S3** Localization of GFP-Atg18-ALP under starvation conditions.

* A, atg18Δ cells expressing Atg18 or GFP-Atg18-ALP were cultured in S (-NC) medium for 6 h, and subjected to fluorescence microscopy. Arrows, GFP-Atg18-ALP detached from the vacuolar membrane. Bar, 5 µm.
Figure S4 Morphology of the vacuole in atg18Δ cells expressing Atg18 variants.

Cells at logarithmic phase were loaded with 2 µM FM4-64 for 20 min, washed, and chased for 30 min. Bar, 5 µm.
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