Nervous system and Fabry disease, from symptoms to diagnosis: damage evaluation and follow-up in adult patients, enzyme replacement, and support therapy
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Abstract The X-linked genetic Fabry disease causes multiorgan lesions due to intracellular storage of the substrate globotriaosylceramide. Neurological involvement ranges from painful, small fiber neuropathy to cerebrovascular disorders to multifocal aggressive forms. Disease identification through proper differential diagnosis and timely assessment of organ damage should guide a careful treatment planning. Mainstay treatment, include enzyme replacement and support therapy. Neurologists have a pivotal role in early instrumental and clinical detection of organ damage. A panel of experts has developed a set of consensus recommendations to guide the approach of neurologists to Fabry disease.
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Definition

Fabry disease is an X-linked lysosomal storage disorder caused by the deficiency of the enzyme α-galactosidase A (α-Gal A). Intracellular accumulation of the substrate globotriaosylceramide (Gb3) leads to multiorgan lesions [1, 2]. Fabry disease affects men, but it is often found in heterozygous women as well.

Clinical pictures and course

The classic form of Fabry disease is generally observed in male patients in the pediatric age group. Symptoms are sometimes not recognized until the youth or adult age, when organ lesions are already established. The earliest manifestations of disease, painful neuropathy and angiokeratoma, are observed in the majority of patients [3–6]: 72, and 88%, respectively, in our experience [7]. The onset of painful neuropathy is observed on average around the eleventh year of life, but it has also been reported in earlier years. Gastrointestinal disturbances in young patients are not rare. Cornea verticillata without alterations of vision is a very frequent finding. Later, in adult men between 30 and 40 years, complications arise involving vital organs, i.e., the heart, central nervous system, and kidney (altered renal function ranges from proteinuria to progressive renal failure leading to kidney transplant). Neurosensorial hearing loss and paroxysmal vertigo can also be observed; the latter may be an indication of labyrinth damage. Life expectancy was about 41–50 years before enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) and kidney transplant were available [3, 4, 6]. In women, the clinical course is less aggressive, with onset later in adult life. In women, and more rarely in men with atypical clinical pictures, manifestations involving only
one organ are paramount (e.g., central nervous system or the heart, while angiookeratoma has only limited extension or it is not referred to the physician by the patient, and proteinuria is mild or absent), and painful neuropathy seems to have a lower incidence than in men. The average onset of painful neuropathy in women is around age 17 years, but it may also be observed in earlier years of life. The Fabry registry reports a median age of onset at 10 years. Life expectancy in these patients is 55–70 years, lower than the average life expectancy in women in the general population [3, 4, 6].

From clinical hypothesis to diagnosis of Fabry disease: opportunities for the neurologist

Early diagnosis is important to establish specific (i.e., ERT) and symptomatic and preventive therapy. Clinical suspicion of Fabry disease, if clinical pictures as those described should occur, can be validated by clinical history, physical examination with special attention to specific signs, and by symptoms not spontaneously reported by the patient, such as angiookeratoma and heat intolerance. Proteinuria, ECG alterations (shortage of the PR interval), cornea verticillata, and echocardiographic alterations suggestive of hypertrophic myocardiopathy are important clues for the disease. However, such signs and symptoms may be absent or not clearly defined in typical manifestations of the disease and they are easily missed unless carefully sought for. When suspecting Fabry disease, diagnosis is achieved as follows: in men through a leukocyte α-galactosidase A assay (in typical forms, activity is low or absent) later confirmed by molecular genetic analysis; in women, DNA analysis is strongly required. In women, leukocyte enzyme activity shows strong inter-individual variability, ranging from normal to significantly reduced values, probably because of random inactivation of one of the X chromosomes in the early stages of development. Central and peripheral nervous system symptoms may also have diagnostic value, and it is worth alerting the general practitioner and the pediatrician of the changing clinical features of nervous system involvement in Fabry disease [7–11]:

1. painful neuropathy (small fiber neuropathy);
2. cerebrovascular disorders (small and large vessel disease and/or cardiovascular involvement with cerebral embolism);
3. aggressive clinical forms with central multifocal, relapsing, and progressively invalidating symptoms, with possibly superimposed inflammatory conditions (aseptic meningitis, multiple sclerosis-like syndromes).

Involvement of peripheral nerves, with neuropathic pain and acroparasthesia, which is triggered or worsened by temperature increase, is the most frequent and early manifestation of Fabry disease, and often the first one. These symptoms are due to a dysfunctional condition of small caliber nervous fibers [12–14].

Symptoms described above are observed in about 80% of male patients, more rarely in women, and appear early. In adults, symptoms may also be induced by stress and alcohol intake. Upper and lower limbs are involved, particularly the palm of the hands and the foot sole, while the skin color is not affected and such symptoms are always associated with paresthesia, mainly thermal sensitivity, while deep tendon reflexes are preserved. Severity is variable among patients; and variable in the same patient over time. Nerve conduction studies (NCS) can be normal even in the late stages of the disease, because small fiber involvement is the predominant feature of the disease. The incidence of the carpal tunnel syndrome looks higher than in the general population [13]. Owing to NCS results, small fiber neuropathy may be difficult to substantiate. Skin biopsy, revealing loss of axons and osmophilic inclusions, may be useful in selected cases [15, 16]. Involvement of the autonomous nervous system is frequent, and should carefully be looked (Table 1). Gastrointestinal manifestations of autonomous nervous system involvement may range from abdominal pain to diarrhea and rarely stypsis; in women abdominal pain may be considered, by mistake, of gynecological origin. Altered sweating function is a frequent and classic feature. High temperature increases poor tolerance; fever, and high environmental temperature, as well as physical exercise can trigger acute pain at the extremities with weakness, which are often intense, and generalized malaise (thermoregulation through sweating is not effective in Fabry disease). Impaired autonomic function with altered thermoregulatory sweating causes such symptoms; such symptoms can also be seen with low environmental temperature. Either hypotension (more often) or hypertension may also be seen.

| Table 1 Other conditions leading to painful neuropathy besides Fabry disease |

| Differential diagnosis of painful neuropathy in Fabry disease |
|---|
| 1. Juvenile rheumatoid arthritis |
| 2. Pain from other rheumatic disorders |
| 3. Pain commonly attributed to growth |
| 4. Painful neuropathy in other inherited metabolic conditions (e.g., amyloidosis and porphyria) |
| 5. Painful neuropathy in other metabolic conditions (e.g., diabetes mellitus type I, alcohol abuse, deficiency of vitamins) |
| 6. Neuropathies in systemic vasculitides |
| 7. Psychogenic pain |
Cochleovestibular disorders are also common, especially hypoacusia [17]. Cerebrovascular ischemic events (brain infarctions or TIA) are present in over 25% of patients, and their frequency increases with age. Involved areas are mostly, although not exclusively, those supplied by the posterior arterial circulation. An etiology of each single event is hard to identify, and it is likely to be mixed even in the same patient at different times: arteriolar obstruction, arteriosclerosis, arterio-arterial embolism and cardiogenic embolism are all potential mechanisms. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is useful to assess nervous system lesions. Typically, MRI may show lesions attributable to small infarctions and diffuse alteration of the white matter, especially in deeper sections, with images suggestive of arteriolar involvement of the perforating arteries (lacunar infarctions and leukoaraisis). These lesions are often silent, and they are discovered at early stages in adult patients undergoing screening and follow-up. In some instances, abnormalities of brain MRI related to small vessel pathology (white matter lesions) are the only signs leading to diagnosis of Fabry disease [18, 19]. Characteristic, but non specific, neuroradiological features of Fabry disease have been found almost exclusively in men. Indeed, bilateral T1 hyperintensity can be seen in the posterior region of thalamus ("pulvinar sign") in male patients [20]. Except for the "pulvinar sign" differential neuroradiological diagnosis of Fabry's CNS lesions with other vascular pathologies or inflammatory disorders can be rather difficult. Vertebro-basilar arterial dolichoectasy is very common in neuroimaging studies (38% in men, 57% in women according to Rolfs et al., up to 87% in the report of Fellgiebel et al.) [21, 22], even relatively early in the course of the disease with the endothelial thickness still normal. Transcranial Doppler data provides not conclusive results in Fabry patients, and it still has no role in the clinical management of individual cases [23, 24]. Fabry disease should always be considered in the differential diagnosis of stroke in young age, even without clinical evidence of cardiac or renal involvement. A recent prospective study suggests that 4% of cryptogenic strokes in the youth are attributable to Fabry disease, and 1–2% of patients with stroke between 18 and 55 years have Fabry disease [21]. Table 2 shows a list of clinical conditions for the differential diagnosis with Fabry disease. Etiology of stroke may be cardiac (cardiomyopathy, valvulopathy, arrhythmias), hypotensive or it may follow deposition of GL3 in vessel walls. Embolic strokes have been described in elderly women with Fabry disease with cardiomyopathy and atrial fibrillation [25]. Glial scars following cortical necrosis in patients with vascular encephalopathy may be epileptogenic and cause seizures, albeit rarely. Cerebrospinal examination (CSF), sometimes suggesting an altered blood–brain barrier (BBB), is helpful in discriminating among different putative causes.

Albeit rarely, acute and recurrent cerebrovascular events may associate with aseptic meningitis, and CSF concentration of proteins are increased due to an altered brain–blood barrier [10]. In one case, a beneficial effect of high-dose steroids has been reported [10].

### Table 2 Other conditions, leading to stroke and vascular encephalopathy, which should be differentiated from Fabry disease

| Stroke and vascular encephalopathy in Fabry disease: differential diagnosis |
|---|
| 1. Juvenile cryptogenetic ischemic stroke |
| 2. Juvenile ischemic stroke associated with other acquired risk factors |
| 3. Juvenile stroke in monogenic diseases (e.g., CADASIL, homocystinuria) |
| 4. Mitochondrial diseases (e.g., MELAS) |
| 5. Central nervous system and systemic vasculitis |
| 6. Multifocal/lacunar leukoencephalopathy of unspecified etiology |
| 7. Amyloidosis |
| 8. Demyelinating diseases (CSF examination) |

**Early evaluation of Fabry disease-related organ damage and clinical course**

Instrumental diagnosis allows for early detection of organ damage before symptoms are established. Early detection of organ damage is important because any treatment will have more chances of success if started before irreversible structural organ damage develops [7, 26]. Structural, irreversible organ damage can develop early in the asymptomatic stage, but it is more extensive and diffuse in the symptomatic stages of the disease. Direct correlation of organ damage with Fabry disease should be documented by biopsy whenever applicable. Of course, even when there is no such direct evidence, clinical and instrumental investigations will lead the expert physician to establish the correlation between organ damage and Fabry disease. Pathogenesis of organ damage is multifactorial in Fabry disease as in many other monogenic diseases, and that would explain the heterogeneity of phenotypic manifestations within the same family and in individuals of the same sex and age [27]. Multifactorial pathogenesis is of special value to explain how vasculopathy, with involvement of small and large vessels, originates in Fabry disease. Early documentation of organ damage, in addition to diagnosis, is important in clinical practice to address treatment options. Neurological signs and symptoms, even though they are limited to peripheral neuropathy, frequently
trigger the search for medical assistance, and they may be crucial in guiding treatment. Organ involvement should be monitored in male and female Fabry patients, ideally in specialized healthcare facilities. The following evaluations are useful in monitoring peripheral and central nervous system involvement in male and female patients, even in asymptomatic patients and in patients who are not undergoing treatment:

1. neurological assessment;
2. disability and quality of life evaluation, e.g. with Rankin scale [28], Euro-Qol [29], and Mainz Severity Score Index or MSSI [30], together with the traditional SF-36 quality of scale, which is used in the Fabry Registry;
3. non contrast-enhanced MRI of the brain with MRI angiography of intracranial vessels;
4. diagnostic ultrasonography of neck vessels;
5. examination and evaluation for cardiovascular risk—i.e., combination of common acquired or genetic risk factors.

Clinical course will determine frequency of tests and clinical evaluation. Annual evaluations are also warranted for asymptomatic individuals, especially in women, for early detection of central nervous system involvement. In patients undergoing ERT, recommended examinations should be performed according to clinical opportunity, or at least once a year in the first 2 years of treatment.

Other examinations, which are useful for the neurologist, are

1. NCS (usefulness is limited, but it can reveal the coexistence of other neuropathic conditions such as kidney failure or dialysis-associated polyneuropathy);
2. skin biopsy for studying intraepidermic innervation (skin biopsy may be also warranted to look for indications of small fiber neuropathy).

Enzyme replacement therapy

Specific pharmacologic therapy for Fabry disease with ERT is endorsed by health regulatory agencies. Two authorized drugs are available in Europe for ERT in Fabry disease. Characteristics and efficacy data of the two drugs are summarized in two recent articles [31, 32]; the management of multiorgan involvement is described in Eng et al. [33]. Both are administered intravenously, with one infusion every 14 days. Agalsidase beta (Fabrazyme®) is approved for maintenance regimens of 1 mg/kg every 14 days. A dose of 0.3 mg/kg (after a 24-month 1 mg/kg treatment and depending on assessment of clinical course) may maintain GL-3 clearance in some patients with Fabry disease, but other patients seem to require a dose higher than 0.3 mg/kg to prevent recurrence of GL-3 accumulation in cells. The long-term clinical effects of transitioning to the lower dose were not evaluated. Nevertheless, the results clearly show variability in responsiveness to the lower dose of agalsidase beta and suggest that dosing strategies that deviate from the recommended therapeutic regimen of 1.0 mg/kg every 2 weeks should be systematically monitored [34]. Agalsidase alpha (Replagal®) is approved for maintenance regimens of 0.2 mg/kg every 14 days.

Evidence of efficacy is available for each product [34–39] and dosage. However, disease progression is also reported with both drugs, at least in the first years of ERT in patients in late clinical stages and with organ damage [7, 26]. Both synthetic enzymes do not cross the BBB. Treatments can, therefore, only act on the endothelial cells of the cerebral arterial circulation, at least when the BBB is intact (in aggressive or MS-like and aseptic meningitis-like forms of Fabry disease with lacunar infarcts, BBB may be seriously altered). At present, long longitudinal studies with adequate number of enrolled patients are not yet available to support evidence of efficacy of ERT in preventing new cerebrovascular events.

Young or adult Fabry disease patients with a genetic mutation leading to a lack or marked reduction in leukocyte alpha-galactosidase A are eligible to undergo ERT even with simple evidence of angiokeratoma and/or painful neuropathy and before the onset of signs of vital organ damage (male patients with the classic form of the disease). Prognosis for this group of patients is actually rather predictable, with high likelihood of developing terminal renal failure around age of 40 years. Fabry male patients with atypical clinical features—residual leukocyte alpha-galactosidase A activity, prevalent cardiovascular involvement, lengthy clinical course and lack of evidence of angiokeratoma, painful polyneuropathy—could be treated when relevant signs and symptoms are present. This more cautious conduct in initiating treatment is justified by lack of definite knowledge about the long-term prognosis of these patients. ERT is indicated in women as well, but guidelines published in other countries (Great Britain, Australia, Canada), and also guidelines by an expert panel [33] restrict its use to women with clinical signs or symptoms, always because of difficulties in predicting the outcome in the individual patient. In the literature, there are several evidences of symptoms improvement, as well as reports of improvement of some indicators of painful neuropathy and of hypo/anhidrosis [40–42]. These latter signs are also supported by clinical evidence, even if there is no evidence of intraepidermic nerves regeneration [43]. As a matter of fact, usually neuropathic pain and hypo/anhidrosis do respond to ERT, either in men either in women. In male patients, such symptoms are frequent, almost always in combination with
overt multiorgan clinical manifestations, while in women neuropathic pain can either be an isolated symptom or it can be one of the major symptoms. The follow-up is always important for assessing the real impact of ERT on neuropathic pain. Evidence of a favorable impact of ERT on central nervous system signs and symptoms has not yet been established. All favorable data, e.g., functional modifications of cerebral arterial circulation, assessed mainly with transcranial Doppler, are still under discussion and interpretation [23, 24]. Furthermore, documented structural alterations of cerebral parenchyma and/or cerebral vessels must be considered as non-early complications. Decision to start ERT, especially in men, is, therefore, rarely taken based only on the involvement of the nervous system, except for the following circumstances:

1. rare, very aggressive forms in young men with predominant CNS symptoms and not much evidence of classic signs (neuropathy, angiokeratoma);
2. women and men with atypical presentation, with prevalent clinical manifestation and/or neuroradiological specific involvement of central nervous system (TIA or stroke; subclinical MRI abnormalities, i.e. white matter changes), even when onset is late (e.g., 40–50 years).

ERT is authorized in Europe for all confirmed Fabry patients, regardless of organ involvement and clinical severity. For patients with prevalent central nervous system involvement, ERT should be prescribed by specialized physicians taking into consideration the following conditions:

1. without a confirmatory kidney biopsy, the medical team should ascertain that Fabry disease has a pathogenetic role. Finding of central nervous system MRI preclinical abnormalities, when correctly assessed by an expert team, may indicate a precocious stage of the disease, which could benefit of an early treatment, even though we do not have yet clinical studies which demonstrate such a result;
2. the patient must be informed of our limited current knowledge on this specific topic;
3. careful monitoring of the clinical course of disease and outcome of treatment are mandatory;
4. it must be kept in mind that cerebrovascular manifestations of Fabry disease often have a multifactorial pathogenesis—i.e., genetic and acquired risk factors for cerebrovascular disease and multiorgan involvement (cardiovascular and renal damage), including alterations of blood pressure regulation with arterial hypo-hypertension, both directly or indirectly linked to Fabry disease. Therefore, support therapy, i.e. anti-platelet or anticoagulant treatment and care of potentially associated cerebrovascular risk factors, should always be started together with the ERT.

Home-based ERT

Enzyme replacement therapy is a lifelong therapy. As home-based therapy, it could be beneficial for quality of life and optimization of healthcare resources. Some studies reported that home-based therapy is feasible and advantageous for both patients and the healthcare systems, provided that the patient is stable; his dwelling is suitable, community-based services are adequate, and intervention protocols for treatment of potential reaction associated with the infusion are in place [44–46].

Symptomatic and preventive therapy

Kidney transplants have changed life perspectives of Fabry patients. Other important preventive and support treatments, include antiplatelet drugs, anticoagulants, antiarrhythmics, ICDs, pacemaker, ACE inhibitors for proteinuria, and dialysis. Moreover, prevention measures addressing multifactorial vascular risk also have a key role in Fabry patients—e.g., treatments for hypertension. Gabapentin and carbamazepine may be effective symptomatic treatments for neuropathic pain [47, 48]; such treatments are often carried out in the setting of clinical teams including many specialists. Satisfactory results, albeit limited in time, have been recently reported in aggressive CNS involvement (MS-like forms or aseptic meningitis with lacunar infarctions) with bolus high-dose cortisone [49]; the authors also observed temporary beneficial effects of corticosteroid treatment in these cases.
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Appendix: neurologic group consensus: early diagnosis of Fabry disease

Recommendation 1
Suspected Fabry disease should be confirmed as follows
Leukocyte alpha-galactosidase A activity assay in men (in the classic form of disease, enzyme activity is always very low or absent)
In women, and more rarely in men with residual enzyme activity, molecular analysis of GLA gene must be performed.
Recommendation 2
Recognize the variable nervous system involvement in Fabry disease
- Painful neuropathy (small fiber neuropathy)
- Cerebrovascular disorders (small and large vessels and/or cardiovascular disorders with cerebral embolism)
- Aggressive forms with multifocal, relapsing central symptoms, and progressive disability (multiple sclerosis-like and/or aseptic meningitis)

Recommendation 3
Recognize painful neuropathy of Fabry disease for early diagnosis
- Painful neuropathy
  - Early onset (usually childhood), especially in men
  - Association between neuropathic pain and retained tendon reflexes and normal NCS
  - Association with intolerance to extreme temperatures and hypo/anhidrosis
  - Small fiber neuropathy (skin biopsy)

Recommendation 4
Fabry disease is often unrecognized as a cause of TIA/stroke
- TIA/stroke
  - In young men, and in women or in men with atypical forms, aged 40–50 years
  - Cerebral embolism in patients with atrial fibrillation, even at age >50 years
  - Association with intolerance to extreme temperatures and hypo/anhidrosis
  - Association with one or more of the following clinical features as angiokeratoma, cornea verticillata, proteinuria, and painful neuropathy in women and men
- Should TIA/stroke or brain MRI signs confirm small vessel disease in a patient aged 55 years or younger, consider Fabry disease. Brain MRI (Flair sequence) detects small cerebral vessels alteration with high sensitivity, but it lacks specificity

Documenting multiorgan damage

Recommendation 5
Validate diagnosis of organ damage
- Early documentation of organ damage in patients with confirmed diagnosis is currently considered an important feature to guide treatment in clinical practice
- Attribute a score to the extent of damage in the peripheral nervous system
- Neurologists will document peripheral nervous system involvement

Appendix continued
Scoring systems are used to assess neuropathic pain and monitor changes (visual analog scale or VAS, Brief Pain Inventory or BPI [50], McGill Pain Questionnaire) [51]
- Skin biopsy with study of intraepidermic innervation should currently be reserved to specific cases
- NCS has a role in differential diagnosis with large fiber neuropathy, which may also be seen in Fabry disease (e.g., with progression of kidney failure)

Recommendation 6
Useful tests to monitor cerebrovascular disorders in patients with Fabry disease
- Recommended investigations for early evaluation and monitoring of CNS damage
  - Anamnesis, genetic family tree, and neurological examination
  - Assessment of general health status (Rankin, EuroQol, SF-36, WHOQoL-100)
  - Brain MRI (including T1 sequence for identification of the pulvinar sign, and intracranial MRI angiography)
  - Diagnostic ultrasonography of epi-aortic vessels
  - Cardiologic evaluation, EKG and echocardiography
  - Assessment of modifiable cardiovascular risk factors (e.g., homocysteinemia, folate serum levels, etc.)
  - Biopsy for vasculitis
- Clinical evaluation suggests timing of examinations (at least yearly)
- Monitoring of ERT patients on ERT should be performed with the same tests, which will be repeated at least once a year for the first 2 years of treatment or according to clinical considerations

Therapy

Recommendation 7
Health Regulatory Agencies recommend ERT in confirmed Fabry disease
- Any decision on treatment should be made by considering the full clinical presentation
- Due to reduction in life expectancy, affected males should start ERT even during adolescence if angiokeratoma and/or painful neuropathy are reported
- Male patients with atypical clinical forms (with residual leukocyte alpha-galactosidase A, absence of angiokeratoma and painful polyneuropathy, slower clinical progression) should be treated at onset of organ involvement, even without related symptoms
- Not all affected women should be treated, but only those with involvement of vital organs or significant neuropathic pain
- ERT is prescribed in clinical settings specialized in the diagnosis and care of Fabry disease
Recommendation 8

Enzyme replacement therapy is effective in the treatment of painful neuropathy

Assess what symptoms are due to Fabry disease
Assess relevance of symptoms for the patient’s quality of life—mainly in women with only one symptom or sign
Make sure the patient is adequately informed on treatment and compliance is maintained
Carry out correctly the patient’s follow-up
Identify a symptomatic drug for the treatment of the neuropathic pain (e.g., gabapentin)
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