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Abstract

The present study evaluated the effects of dietary inulin on growth performance, body composition, serum, biochemical, and mucus immune factors; as well as innate immune responses of rainbow trout fry challenged with *Aeromonas hydrophila*. Four diets were prepared using a commercially available fish feed as a basal diet and different levels of prebiotic inulin incorporation; 0 (control), 1, 2, and 3%; referred to as C, T1, T2, and T3, respectively. The findings of the 60-day feeding trial showed that inulin inclusion affected final weight, food conversion rate (FCR), and specific growth rate (SGR) compared to that of the control group (P < 0.05), in which the lowest FCR was observed in T3. Body composition analysis revealed that inulin significantly increased protein content and decreased lipid levels, especially in the T1 and T2 groups. The lowest ash level was noticed in T2 (P < 0.05). Blood total protein, albumin, globulin, cholesterol, and glucose were not affected by inulin
supplementation (P > 0.05). Analysis of humoral immune responses showed that the inulin supplements significantly increased lysozyme and complement activities (P < 0.05), as well as higher red blood cell count (RBC) and hemoglobin (Hb) in fish, fed 2% inulin, while no significant differences were observed among other treatments (P > 0.05). The mucosal parameters; including lysozyme, alkaline phosphatase (excluding ACH50); protease activities; and total Immunoglobulin (IgM) improved significantly (P < 0.05), particularly in the T2 group. The T2 group also demonstrated the highest survival rate among all groups. The present findings indicate that dietary administration of inulin promotes growth and biochemical parameters, as well as serum immunity and mucosal immune responses of rainbow trout, in which a 2% inclusion produced the best results.
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Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) is an economically important species for aquaculture in many countries (FAO, 2018). It is widely cultured in Iran under intensive or semi-intensive systems and a suitable species for cage culture in brackish waters. However, intensification of culture systems leads to stress and causes infectious diseases in trout farming, which accounts for a significant decrease in production levels in local farms (North *et al*., 2006; Sahin *et al*., 2014; Mirghaed *et al*., 2018; Hoseini *et al*., 2020). *Aeromonas hydrophila* is a major bacterial pathogen, which causes dermal ulceration and hemorrhagic septicemia in many fish species (Nya and Austin, 2009; Zargari *et al*., 2018; Hoseini *et al*., 2020). However, the use of antibiotics for disease prevention and fish growth enhancement result in negative effects upon consumer safety, as well as producing antibiotic residues which increase the regulatory restrictions on the antibiotics’ utilization (Cabello, 2006; Sørum, 2006). Moreover, emerging antibiotic-resistant strains of *A. hydrophila* have been reported in fish farms (Sørum, 2006). As the innate immune system in fish is vital in the response to primary stressors, as well as infectious diseases, the enhancement of innate immune responses, disease resistance, and
subsequent improvement in health are of growing interest to researchers (Song et al., 2014; Yan et al., 2017; FAO, 2018). Immunostimulants present a promising approach to prevent and/or control diseases in aquaculture (Chakraborty and Hancz, 2011; Hoseinifar et al., 2015; FAO, 2018; Hoseini et al., 2019). Prebiotics have recently attracted extensive attention in aquaculture (Ringø et al., 2010), as non-digestible food ingredients that metabolizable through gut microbiota (Ringø et al., 2013). They provide a beneficial influence on the host animal’s health through selective growth inducement, metabolizing health-inducing bacteria in the intestinal tract, and/or improving the host’s intestinal balance (Manning and Gibson, 2004; Kelly, 2009).

Fructooligosaccharides (FOS), transgalactooligosaccharides (TOS), mannoooligosaccharides (MOS), lactose, and inulin are well-known and often used prebiotics (Teitelbaum and Walker, 2002; Huebner et al., 2007; Kelly, 2009; Hoseinifar et al., 2015). Inulin and its derivatives, contain linear chains of fructose, known as fructans (Madrigal and Sangronis, 2007), and are abundant in several plant species. Several studies have reported inulin’s involvement in the digestion, absorption, and metabolism of various nutrients in terrestrial organisms (Teitelbaum and Walker, 2002; Huebner et al., 2007); as well as the beneficial effects on aquatic animal growth and innate immune responses (Ibrahim et al., 2010; Sheikholeslami Amiri et al., 2012; Attia et al., 2015; Syed Raffic et al., 2016). However, contrasting results have also been reported on the dietary effects of inulin on growth, immunity, and survival rates in different fish species; suggesting the species-dependent characteristics of the prebiotic, as observed in Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) (Ibrahim et al., 2010), Caspian roach (Rutilus rutilus caspicus) (Khosravi et al., 2010; Soleimani et al., 2012), common carp (Cyprinus carpio) (Akrami et al., 2012; Ebrahimi et al., 2012; Amirkolaie and Rostami, 2015), rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) (Staykov et al., 2007; Akrami et al., 2009a; Sheikholeslami Amiri et al., 2012; Amirkolaie et al., 2013; Yarahmadi et al., 2014a,b;
Yarahmadi et al., 2016; Khodadadi et al., 2018), beluga (Huso huso) (Akrami et al., 2009b; Akrami et al., 2011; Ahmadifar et al., 2011), common carp (Cyprinus carpio) (Eshaghzadeh et al., 2015), turbot larvae (Mahious et al., 2006), stellate sturgeon (Akrami et al., 2013), juvenile white shrimp (Zhou et al., 2007; Li et al., 2009; Yousefian and Amiri, 2009), hybrid striped bass (Burr et al., 2010), Rachycentron canadum (Salze et al., 2008), Penaeus semisulcatus (Genc et al., 2007a), hybrid Tilapia (Genc et al., 2007b; Ibrahim et al., 2010), European sea bass (Torrecillas et al., 2007), and salmon (Grisdale-Helland et al., 2008).

While previous studies on inulin have focused on the improvements of growth performance and general health conditions (Sheikholeslami Amiri et al., 2012), little information is available on the effects of inulin on the blood biochemical parameters, or humoral and mucosal immune responses in rainbow trout. The present study, therefore, was conducted to assess the effects of dietary inulin on growth performance, body composition, and innate immune responses; as well as the hematology, biochemical, and skin mucosal responses of rainbow trout after infection with A. hydrophila.

Material and Methods

Fish rearing and experimental design

Rainbow trout fingerlings were purchased from a private local fish farm in Karaj, Iran; and transferred to the laboratory. After acclimatization to laboratory conditions for two weeks; fish, with an average weight: 20.57 ± 0.87 g, were randomly selected and placed in twelve circular 300 L fiberglass-reinforced plastic (FRP) tanks, maintained with continuous water supply at a rate of approximately 2–3 L min⁻¹ with constant aeration via air stones connected to a central air compressor. The experimental diets were conducted in triplicate with ten fish each. Fish were fed 3% of their respective biomass, three times a day, for 60 days, following Yarahmadi et al., 2016. Water quality parameters, measured daily with a portable multi-meter
(HACH, USA); included temperature, dissolved oxygen, and pH; maintained at 15.38 ± 0.75 °C, 8.28 ± 0.74 mg L⁻¹, and 7.18 ± 0.42, respectively.

**Experimental diets**

A commercial diet (FFT2, Faradaneh.co) containing 44 % crude protein, 14 % crude lipid, 8 % moisture, 10 % ash, and 1 % phosphorous was used as the basal diet. The commercially available prebiotic Inulin Orafti®GR (Beneo Company, Belgium) was suspended in codfish oil, and incorporated into the basal diet at 0 % (control, C), 2 % (T2), and 3 % (T3); and stored at -20 °C until used.

**Sampling**

After the trial, feeding ceased for 24 hours. Nine fish from each treatment were then randomly removed and anesthetized with clove powder (200 ppm) in preparation for blood and mucus sampling. Blood samples were divided into two parts; transferred into 2.5 ml heparinized syringes and non-heparinized syringes, respectively, stored without anticoagulant overnight at 4 °C, then centrifuged at 3500 rpm for ten minutes. The serum was collected and kept at -80 °C for biochemical and immunological parameter assay. Blood samples within the heparinized syringes were used to assay the hematological parameters.

Nine fish were also collected from each treatment for skin mucus collection. Specimens were dipped in water for the collection of higher sample volumes and deposited in polyethylene bags containing 10 ml of 50 mM NaCl, according to the practice of Ross et al., 2000. The bags were gently shaken by hand for approximately two minutes, and the mucus samples were transferred to 15 ml sterile tubes and centrifuged at 1500 g (4 °C) for ten minutes. The supernatant was then stored at -80 °C for further analysis. The whole-fish body was analyzed for moisture, crude protein, crude lipid, and ash contents according to the standard methods of the AOAC (2019). Moisture was determined by drying the samples at 105 °C for 24 hours to
determine ash content and incinerated at 550 °C, the protein was measured via the Kjeldal method, and total lipids were measured using the Soxhlet method.

**Growth performance**

At the end of the 60-day feeding trial, growth performances of all fish were calculated through the following formulas (Khodadadi et al., 2018):

Feed conversion rate (FCR) = \( \frac{\text{total Feed Given}(g)}{\text{weight gain}(g)} \)  

Specific growth rate (SGR) (% d\(^{-1}\)) = \( \frac{\ln \text{final wt}(g) - \ln \text{initial wt}(g)}{\text{days}} \) \times 100

Survival rate (SR) (%) = \( \frac{\text{final numbers}}{\text{initial numbers}} \) \times 100

**Hematological parameters**

Heparinized blood was diluted in PBS to quantify white blood cells (WBC) and red blood cells (RBC) using a hemocytometer slide (Sarder et al., 2001). Average red blood cell volume (MCV), mean red blood cell hemoglobin (MCH), and mean blood concentration of hemoglobin (MCHC) parameters in the red blood cells were measured through the following equations (Benfey and Sutterlin, 1984):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{MCHC} &= \frac{\text{Hb} \times 10}{\text{Hct}} \\
\text{MCV} &= \frac{\text{Hct} \times 10}{\text{RBC} \text{ (million)}} \\
\text{MCH} &= \frac{\text{Hb} \times 10}{\text{RBC} \text{ (million)}}
\end{align*}
\]

Hematocrit (Hct) was determined by the microhematocrit method, as described by Brown, 1988; and reported as the percentage of packed cell volume. Optical Density (OD) factor of the solution was measured using semi-automatic spectrophotometry at 540 nm, and compared to the standard curve, to determine hemoglobin (g/dl) levels, based on the cyanohaemoglobin method (Larsen, 1964).

**Biochemical parameters**

Commercial kits (Pars Azmun Co., Tehran, Iran) were used to estimate the total serum protein, albumin, glucose, triglyceride, cholesterol, and cortisol levels of the fish serum
according to Yarahmadi et al., 2016. All biochemical parameters were measured using an automatic biochemical analyzer (Roche Hitachi 911 Chemistry Analyzer, Tokyo, Japan).

**Immunological parameters**

Serum lysozyme activity, determined according to Parry et al., 1965; was measured in terms of the susceptibility of the characterized gram-positive bacteria *Micrococcus lysodeikticus* (Sigma, USA). The Micro-protein determination method was employed to quantifying serum total immunoglobulin levels (total Ig) (C-690; Sigma). A 12% polyethylene glycol solution was applied to measure the precipitation and total Ig levels. Protein contents were estimated both before and after precipitation (Siwicki and Anderson, 2000). Alternative complement activity (ACH50) was determined, based on sheep red blood cell (SRBC) hemolysis (Ortuno et al., 1998). ACH50 represents the volume of serum yielding 50% hemolysis and is expressed in units per ml.

**Mucosal immunological indicators**

Similarly, skin mucus immune parameters, including total Ig, lysozyme, and ACH50 activities were also determined in the serum samples. Skin mucus protease activity was measured via the azocasein hydrolysis method described by Ross et al., 2000; with minor modifications. The activity alkaline phosphatase (ALP) in the mucus was measured using a commercial kit (Pars Azmun Co., Tehran, Iran).

**Aeromonas hydrophila challenge test**

After the 60-day feeding trial, 30 fish were removed from each treatment and anesthetized with clove powder (200 ppm). The specimens were then challenged with intraperitoneal injection; 0.05 ml of bacterial suspension [A. hydrophila (AH04), containing $1 \times 10^8$ CFUs mixed with 100 μL of PBS] per fish. Yarahmadi et al., 2016; suggested that this concentration may be indicative of the LD$_{50}$ properties of the *A. hydrophila* strain. Survival rates were calculated after two weeks (Farsani et al., 2019).
Statistical analysis
Initially, normality and homogeneity of variance were checked via Kolmogorov–Smirnov, and all data were represented as mean ± standard deviation, and analyzed using SPSS software, Version no. 24.00 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Differences of treatments determined through one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed Duncan's multiple range tests, in which P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Growth performance
The growth performances of rainbow trout fed with inulin-supplemented diets are presented in Table 1. The results determined that the T2 treatment had the highest values for FW, WG, and SGR; as well as the lowest FCR of all groups (P < 0.05). Survival rates were high, between 85 and 96 %, and most significant in the 1 % and 2% inulin inclusions (P < 0.05; Table 1).

Fish body composition
The specimens’ proximate body compositions are shown in Table 2. Moisture analyses indicated a significantly different level among all treatments, the lowest of which was found in the T1 treatment. Protein contents revealed significant increases in fish fed 1 and 2 % dietary inulin inclusions over both the 3 % inclusion and the control diet. The T1 and T2 inulin groups also presented significantly higher lipid contents compared to fish fed 3 % inulin and the control (P < 0.05). Significant decreases were also observed in the fish fed inulin (1 and 2%) compared to the control diet (P < 0.05).

Hematological indices
Hematological indices; including MCHC, MCH, MCV, RBC, WBC, Hct, and Hb, are presented in Table 3. Except for RBC and Hb, there were no significant differences among treatments. RBC and Hb were significantly higher in treatments with 2% inulin (P < 0.05).

Biochemical and innate immune responses
Throughout the 60-day experiment, triglyceride changed significantly compared to the control (P < 0.05). Cortisol significantly increased in the T2 group compared to the control group (P > 0.05), yet no significant difference was found among the other treatments (P < 0.05; Table 4).

Significant differences in the immune parameters of lysozyme and ACH50 were present in the T2 treatment compared to the control (P < 0.05); however, no significant differences were observed in total Ig levels among treatments (P > 0.05; Table 4).

**Mucosal immunological indices**

The immunological parameters of mucus were significantly influenced by inulin incorporation, except for ACH50 (P < 0.05; Figure 1-5). The results indicated that the 2 % incorporation of inulin produced higher values of lysozyme, ALP and protease activities, and total Ig. (P < 0.05; Figure 1-4).

**Fish survival rates of fish challenged with A. hydrophila**

The survival rate percentages of fish challenged with *A. hydrophila* are presented in Figure 6. The results demonstrated that the inulin supplemented groups recorded higher levels of survival than the non-treated control group. Specifically, the 2 % inulin treatment exhibited the highest survival rates in fish challenged with *A. hydrophila* up to 81 % on the 14th day, while only a 50% survival rate was observed in the control group (P < 0.05).

**Discussion**

The results indicate that dietary inulin administration improves growth performance, final weight, WG, SGR, and FCR, and several hemato-immunological and serum enzyme responses. Stress tolerance reinforcement and survival rates of juvenile rainbow trout also improved after infection with *A. hydrophila*. 
Several studies reported positive effects of dietary inulin in different species consistent with our results (Mahious et al., 2006; Bakke-McKellep et al., 2007; Cerezuela et al., 2008; Ibrahim et al., 2010; Burr et al., 2010; Partida-Arangure et al., 2013; Ortiz et al., 2013; Syed Raffic et al., 2016). Khosravi et al., 2010; reported improved growth performance and feed utilization in *Rutilus caspicus* due to dietary inulin inclusions (0.5 and 1 %). Contrastingly, Akrami et al., 2009a, b; 2011; reported no significant effects of dietary inulin on the growth or survival rates of juvenile rainbow trout and Beluga. Similarly, Akrami et al., 2009a, b; 2011; and Eshaghzadeh et al., 2015; found no significant improvement with dietary inulin supplementation on growth performance or feed uptake in common carp. These findings suggest that inulin may not always be an appropriate supplementation in all aquatic animal diets (Yarahmadi et al., 2016). These adverse effects of inulin inclusion on growth performance may be related to enterocyte impairment, due to the accumulation of unfermented prebiotics in the intestine, as a result of the limited ability of intestinal microbiota to ferment the given amounts of inulin (Amani Denji et al., 2015). Our results stressed the importance of prebiotic inulin supplementation in lower amounts (2 %), which proved effective in improving growth and feed utilization in rainbow trout fingerlings.

As hematological parameters are influenced by several conditions, including nutrition, environmental stress, and infection; they can be used as bio-indicators to evaluate an organism’s immunological and physiological conditions (Yarahmadi et al., 2014b). In the present study, RBC and Hb levels significantly increased in the T2 treatment compared to the other treatments. Reductions in RBC and Hb generally occur as a result of infections, and may also be symptoms of anemia, due to RBC cell destruction (Yarahmadi et al., 2016). In many studies, elevated WBC resulted from the invasion of pathogens, which reflect an organism’s general health. In this study, a 2 % inulin inclusion produced the highest WBC, though not significant, representing an improved immune function (Barclay et al., 2016; Kozłowska et al.,
Improved results were also demonstrated in all tested hematological parameters within the T2 group.

Past inulin supplements have produced varied effects on whole-body compositions, in which rainbow trout were affected by inulin dietary inclusions (Barclay et al., 2016; Kozłowska et al., 2016), whereas Lates calcarifer (Syed Raffic et al., 2016) and Oncorhynchus mykiss (Ortiz et al., 2013) were not. In our study, protein contents resulting from the inulin treatments were significantly higher than that of the control group; however, lipid, moisture, and ash contents of the inulin inclusion groups were significantly lower than the control. Similarly, Raffic et al., 2016 observed the significant effects of dietary inulin on the protein contents of Asian sea bass, which did not affect lipid or ash contents. Because whole-body composition is attributed to several factors; such as life stage, species, and feeding (Mumba and Jose, 2005), it can be used as a fish quality indicator, reflecting its level of nutrition. Ringø et al., 2010; determined that diet ingredients and nutritional values influence the effectiveness of supplemented prebiotics of fish in different rearing conditions.

Lysozyme is a determinate factor in a fish's innate immune system, and actively plays a bactericidal role against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, and other pathogens (Saurabh and Sahoo, 2008). Serum lysozyme activity of rainbow trout was significantly elevated by dietary inulin supplementation, whereas no significant reductions in total Ig activities were observed. Similar results in rainbow trout fed MOS (mannan oligosaccharide) (2 g kg\(^{-1}\)) reported lysozyme activity improvement (Staykov et al., 2007). He et al., 2003; observed that feeding MOS supplements to hybrid tilapia induced lysozyme and alternative complement pathway activities (ACH50). Any different or irregular findings may be the result of several factors: species type; size; age; adaptation period; culture system hygiene; feeding behavior; physiologic characteristics; quality and quantity of primary diet materials; diet formulation; type, dosage, and purity of the prebiotic; and incorporation method.
Tests involving mucus immune response revealed that fish fed 2% inulin produced significantly higher skin mucus lysozyme and protease activity, as well as total Ig and ALP levels compared to those of the other treatments and the control groups, although the effect on complement activity (ACH50) was not noticeable. Meyer, 2008; revealed within fish defense mechanisms against pathogens, the innate immune system efficiency is greater than that of the adaptive immune system. Staykov et al., 2007; further noted enhanced antibody titration and lysozyme action in rainbow trout fed a MOS-supplemented diet (0.2% w/w). Torrecillas et al., 2007; also demonstrated high levels of macrophage phagocytic activity of the head kidney in European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) fed a MOS-supplemented diet (4%). In addition to the positive effects of supplements conferred on fish immune systems, they have also been found to prevent nonspecific immunity from definite responses (Meyer, 2008). For example, a feeding trial with MOS supplements in the diet of channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus) produced no apparent growth improvement or improved immunity function (Welker et al., 2007). These contradictory results may be related to the suitable receptors on rainbow trout immune cells, as evidenced by the improvements observed in the non-specific immune parameters; lysozyme and ACH50. The resumed adjuvant activity of insoluble inulin (γ-inulin) has been suggested to activate alternative complement pathways (Silva et al., 2004). The stimulation of the alternative complement pathway through foreign bacteria was characterized as an important defense mechanism in fish (Holland and Lambris, 2002). Additionally, researchers reported the combination and expansion of specific lectin-like receptors on leucocytes and subsequent macrophage as a consequence of immune system induction with long-chained inulin (Causey et al., 1998; Seifert and Watzl, 2007; Meyer, 2008). Cerezuela et al., 2008; claimed that gilthead sea bream had no receptors on white cells capable of binding to inulin; therefore, inulin is an unsuitable choice as an immuno-stimulant for every fish type.
Our findings presented significant alterations in serum biochemical parameters through the inclusion of 2% inulin administration. Serum triglyceride and cortisol circulation were significantly higher in the fish fed inulin. The present results are in agreement with previous studies on the effects of dietary non-starch polysaccharides (non-digestible but fermentable oligosaccharide) on serum biochemical parameters. In contrast to our findings, Hoseinifar et al., 2011; reported cholesterol level reductions after the inclusion of oligofructose to the diets of juvenile beluga (Huso huso). The reduction of free cholesterol and triglyceride levels, as a result of feeding fish and other non-ruminant animals with non-starch polysaccharides (NSPs), has also been demonstrated (Trautwein et al., 1998; Hossain et al., 2003; Leenhouwers et al., 2007). Inulin, another type of NSP, did not significantly affect the circulating cholesterol in Oncorhynchus mykiss and Huso huso (Akrami et al., 2009a, 2011). However, within his present study, cortisol levels were highest in the 2% inulin inclusion group. Contrary to our findings, Amirkolaie et al., 2013, 2015; reported no noticeable changes in circulating cortisol levels after the addition of 1–2% immunogen® in rainbow trout diets, yet did not significantly affect growth improvement and feed efficiency.

Serum total protein is one of the humoral innate immune parameters in fish that is induced by immunostimulants (Siwicki et al., 1994). Major serum proteins within the immunity system include albumin and globulin, which projects a fish’s health and immunity status (Kumar et al., 2011; Tahmasebi-Kohyani et al., 2012). Where fish fed inulin presented no significant effects on serum albumin and globulin, rainbow trout fed a dietary symbiotic (Biomin IMBO) revealed elevated levels of serum total proteins (Mehrabi et al., 2012).

Our results revealed significant improvements in skin mucus immune parameters; (lysozyme, ALP, protease, and total Ig, in rainbow trout, fed 2% inulin over the 1%, 3%, and control groups. In agreement with these results, the administration of 2% GOS in a rainbow trout diet significantly increased skin mucus immune response (Hoseinifar et al., 2015).
Additionally, the administration of the prebiotic xylooligosaccharide in Caspian white fish (*R. frisii kutum*) showed several beneficial effects on skin mucus immune parameters, which can be attributed to improved immune response following the dietary administration of inulin. However, despite these beneficial results, the involved mechanisms which affect skin mucus immune responses are unknown and merit further research.

**Conclusion**

The results presented herein reveal that dietary inulin as a prebiotic supplementation improves growth performance and body composition of rainbow trout, as well as skin mucus and innate immune responses and biochemical parameters. Furthermore, a 2 % inclusion of dietary inulin was found to increase the survival rates of rainbow trout after exposure to the pathogens *A. hydrophila*. However, further investigation of the effects of inulin on intestine microflora and immunity-related gene expression is needed to substantiate the effectiveness of inulin within varied supplemental levels and fish species.
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Table 1: Growth performance of rainbow trout fed with dietary inulin at different levels for 60 days (n = 30).

| Parameters               | Control     | T1          | T2          | T3          |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Initial weight (g)       | 20.67±0.17  | 20.84±0.22  | 20.34±0.43  | 20.42±0.24  |
| Final weight (g)         | 50.52±0.45  | 59.35±0.03b | 63.5±0.22a  | 54.26±0.09c |
| Weight gain (g)          | 29.85±0.22  | 38.51±0.25b | 43.15±0.65a | 33.84±0.15c |
| FCR                      | 1.65±0.03a  | 1.31±0.03c  | 1.21±0.03d  | 1.43±0.05b  |
| SGR (%/d)                | 1.48±0.01d  | 1.74±0.01b  | 1.89±0.04a  | 1.62±0.01c  |
| SR (%)                   | 85.33±1.52c | 95.66±0.57a | 96.00±1.00a | 92.66±1.52b |

WG: weight gain; SGR: specific growth rate; FCR: feed conversion ratio; SR: survival rate. Data represented as mean ± SD. Different letters (a–c) in the same row indicate significant differences (P < 0.05).

Table 2: Body compositions of rainbow trout fed dietary inulin at different levels for 60 days (n = 30).

| Parameters (%)       | Control     | T1          | T2          | T3          |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Moisture             | 71.55±0.09a | 70.25±0.14d | 70.65±0.15c | 71.22±0.12b |
| Crude protein        | 14.51±0.2b  | 15.29±0.16a | 15.31±0.18a | 14.81±0.13b |
| Crude lipid          | 8.58±0.11a  | 7.92±0.07c  | 7.77±0.09c  | 8.17±0.07b  |
| Ash                  | 4.26±0.14a  | 3.85±0.15b  | 3.46±0.12c  | 4.16±0.04a  |

Data represented as mean ± SD. Different letters (a–c) in the same row indicate significant differences (P < 0.05).
Table 3: Hematology performance of rainbow trout fed different levels of dietary inulin for 60 days (n = 30).

| Parameters          | Control         | T1              | T2              | T3              |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| RBC (× 10^6/µl)     | 1.21±0.02^b     | 1.24±0.02^ab    | 1.26±0.02^a     | 1.22±0.01^ab    |
| WBC (× 10^3/µl)     | 4.70±0.20       | 4.90±0.30       | 5.00±0.30       | 4.83±0.25       |
| Hct (%)             | 22.33±1.52      | 24.00±2.00      | 24.66±2.08      | 23.00±2.00      |
| Hb (g/dl)           | 5.90±0.10^b     | 6.10±0.10^ab    | 6.23±0.15^a     | 5.93±0.15^b     |
| MCHC (g/dl)         | 26.51±2.07      | 25.54±2.33      | 25.41±2.64      | 25.96±2.96      |
| MCH (pg/cell)       | 48.49±0.62      | 49.20±1.39      | 49.47±0.91      | 48.49±0.64      |
| MCV (nm^3)          | 183.58±12.83    | 193.66±17.73    | 195.84±17.41    | 188.16±18.63    |

Data represented as mean ± SD. Different letters (a–c) in the same row indicate significant differences (P < 0.05).

Table 4: Biochemical and immunological indices of rainbow trout fed different levels of dietary inulin for 60 days (n = 30).

| Parameters           | Control         | T1              | T2              | T3              |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Total Protein (g/L)  | 4.19±1.49       | 4.92±1.2        | 5.67±1.37       | 4.84±1.53       |
| Albumin (g/L)        | 1.03±0.34       | 1.04±0.13       | 1.09±0.02       | 1.11±0.1        |
| Globulin (g/L)       | 1.25±0.27       | 1.18±0.25       | 1.22±0.38       | 1.24±0.23       |
| Triglyceride (mg/dL) | 198.3±2.15^a    | 187.56±2.59^b   | 189.72±3.13^b   | 188.81±1.74^b   |
| Cholesterol (mg/dL)  | 148.79±3.23     | 153.61±3.39     | 149.8±3.58      | 148.4±2.93      |
| Glucose (mg/dL)      | 40.26±1.76      | 41.49±1.98      | 40.84±1.59      | 41.55±0.9       |
| Cortisol (nmol/L)    | 19.15±1.31^b    | 20.58±1.92^ab   | 22.6±0.94^a     | 20.81±0.8^ab    |
| Total Ig (mg/ml)     | 11.57±1.00      | 13.07±1.68      | 13.38±0.95      | 13.13±1.33      |
| Lysozyme (U/ml)      | 31.56±2.37^b    | 34.59±0.89^ab   | 35.43±1.39^a    | 34.12±1.41^ab   |
| ACH50 (U/ml)         | 23.65±1.41^b    | 25.05±1.33^ab   | 26.80±1.18^a    | 23.96±1.31^b    |

Data represent as mean ± SD. Different letters (a–c) in the same row indicate significant differences (P < 0.05).
Figure 1. Effects of dietary inulin on skin mucus protease activity in rainbow trout after 60 days. Bars assigned with same superscripts are not significantly different ($P > 0.05$); values are presented as mean ± SD, (n = 30).

Figure 2. The effects of dietary inulin on skin mucus ALP activity in rainbow trout after 60 days. Bars assigned different superscripts are significantly different ($P < 0.05$); values are presented as mean ± SD, (n = 30).
Figure 3. The effects of dietary inulin on skin mucus total immunoglobulin level in rainbow trout after 60 days. Bars assigned different superscripts are significantly different ($P < 0.05$); values are presented as mean ± SD, (n = 30).

| Treatments | Control | T1     | T2     | T3     |
|------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| Total Ig (Mg/ml) | [Image] | [Image] | [Image] | [Image] |

Figure 4. The effects of dietary inulin on skin mucus lysozyme activity in rainbow trout after 60 days. Bars assigned different superscripts are significantly different ($P < 0.05$); values are presented as mean ± SD, (n = 30).

| Treatments | Control | T1     | T2     | T3     |
|------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| Lysozym (U/ml) | [Image] | [Image] | [Image] | [Image] |
Figure 5. The effects of dietary Inulin on skin mucus alternative hemolytic complement activity (ACH50) in rainbow trout after 60 days. Bars assigned different superscripts are significantly different ($P < 0.05$); values are presented as mean ± SD, (n = 30).
Figure 6. Survival rates of fry rainbow trout fed different levels of dietary inulin supplements (and the control) after 14 days of exposure to *Aeromonas hydrophilla*.