Quadratic algebra structure and spectrum of a new superintegrable system in N-dimension
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Abstract
We introduce a new superintegrable Kepler–Coulomb system with non-central terms in N-dimensional Euclidean space. We show this system is multi-separable and allows separation of variables in hyperspherical and hyper-parabolic coordinates. We present the wave function in terms of special functions. We give an algebraic derivation of spectrum of the superintegrable system. We show how the $so(N+1)$ symmetry algebra of the $N$-dimensional Kepler–Coulomb system is deformed to a quadratic algebra with only three generators and structure constants involving a Casimir operator of $so(N-1)$ Lie algebra. We construct the quadratic algebra and the Casimir operator. We show this algebra can be realized in terms of deformed oscillator and obtain the structure function which yields the energy spectrum.
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1. Introduction

Superintegrable systems form a fundamental part of mathematical theories and modern physics such as quantum chemistry and nuclear physics. They possess many properties in particular analytic and algebraic solvability. Moreover, they have connections to special functions, (exceptional) orthogonal polynomials and Painlevé transcendents. Though it has much deeper historical roots, the modern theory of superintegrability was only started 45 years ago [1]. A systematic classification of maximally superintegrable systems is now complete for two and three-dimensional (3D) Hamiltonians on conformally flat spaces. The
classification in higher dimensions and with higher order integrals of motion is much more complicated. In lower dimensions much work has been done for systems involving spins, magnetic fields and monopoles [2]. We refer the reader to this review paper for an extended list of references, description of the properties, definitions of superintegrability and symmetry algebra in classical and quantum mechanics. One important property of such systems is that they possess non-Abelian symmetry algebras generated by integrals of motion. They can be embedded in non-invariance algebras involving non-commuting operators. These symmetry algebras are in general finitely generated polynomial algebras and only exceptionally finite dimensional Lie algebras. The most known examples whose symmetry algebras are Lie algebras generated by integrals of motion are \( N \)-dimensional hydrogen atom and harmonic oscillator. See [3–8] for systems with \( so(N+1) \) symmetry and [9–13] for those with \( su(N) \) symmetry.

Quadratic algebras have been used to provide algebraic derivation of the energy spectrum of superintegrable systems such as the Hartmann system that models the benzene molecule [14]. A systematic approach for 2D superintegrable systems with quadratic algebra involving three generators was proposed in [15]. This method is based on the construction of the Casimir operators and the realization of the quadratic algebra as deformed oscillator. It has recently been generalized to 2D superintegrable systems with cubic, quartic and more generally polynomial algebras [16]. In some cases degeneracy patterns for the energy level are non-trivial and one needs to consider a union of finite dimensional unitary representations to obtain the correct total degeneracies. It has been pointed out how the method can be adapted to study 3D, 4D, 5D and 8D superintegrable systems [17–20]. However, the generalization of this approach to \( N \)-dimensional superintegrable systems is an unexplored subject. Higher-dimensional superintegrable systems often lead to higher rank polynomial algebras and the structure of these algebras is unknown.

The purpose of this paper is to show how we can provide an algebraic derivation of the complete energy spectrum and the total number degeneracies of the \( N \)-dimensional superintegrable Kepler–Coulomb system with non-central terms. It is based on the quadratic algebra symmetry of the system with structure constant involving Casimir operator of \( so(N+1) \) Lie algebra. To our knowledge these type of calculations for higher dimensions or even arbitrary dimensions have not been explored before. This is a first step in the study of the polynomial algebra approach to general \( N \)-dimensional systems.

The structure of the paper is as follows: in section 2, we present a new superintegrable Hamiltonian system in \( N \)-dimensional Euclidean space and show that its Schrödinger wave function is multi-separable in hyperspherical and hyperparabolic coordinates. We present the wave function in terms of special functions and obtain its energy spectrum. In section 3, we give an algebraic derivation of the energy spectrum of the system. We construct the quadratic symmetry algebra and its Casimir operators. We investigate the realization of the quadratic algebra in terms of deformed oscillator algebra of Daskaloyannis [15] and obtain the structure functions which yield the energy spectrum. Finally, in section 4, we present some discussions with a few remarks on the physical and mathematical relevance of these algebras.

### 2. New quantum superintegrable system and separation of variables

Let us consider the following \( N \)-dimensional superintegrable Kepler–Coulomb system with non central terms
\[ H = \frac{1}{2} p^2 - \frac{c_0}{r} + \frac{c_1}{r(r + x_N)} + \frac{c_2}{r(r - x_N)}, \quad (2.1) \]

where \( \vec{r} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N), \) \( \vec{p} = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_N), \) \( r^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2, \) \( p_i = -i\hbar \partial_i \) and \( c_0, c_1, c_2 \) are positive real constants. This system is a generalization of the 3D system that appears in the classification of quadratically superintegrable systems on 3D Euclidean space \([21, 22]\). The 3D system has been considered using the method of separation of variables and various results obtained. This new \( N \)-dimensional superintegrable Hamiltonian includes as particular 3D case the Hartmann potential which has applications in quantum chemistry \([23, 24]\). Moreover, the classical analog of the Hartmann system possesses closed trajectories and thus periodic motion \([25]\). Such 3D models with non central potentials have also found applications in context of pseudo-spin symmetries in relativistic quantum mechanics and regard of the Klein Gordon and Dirac equations \([26–28]\). The \( N \)-dimensional model introduced here could have wider applicability and other aspects as quasi-exactly solvable deformations could be investigated. Moreover, it has also been shown that the 3D and 5D particular cases are still superintegrable with appropriate vector potentials, that are in fact respectively an Abelian monopole and non-Abelian \( su(2) \) monopole \([29–31]\). In addition, it has also been demonstrated that these 3D and 5D models with vector potentials admit dual that are also superintegrable. These properties make the \( N \)-dimensional generalization to be highly interesting. In this section we apply separation of variables to (2.1).

### 2.1. Hyperspherical coordinates

The \( N \)-dimensional hyperspherical coordinates are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1 &= r \sin(\Phi_{N-1}) \sin(\Phi_{N-2}) \cdots \sin(\Phi_1), \\
x_2 &= r \sin(\Phi_{N-1}) \sin(\Phi_{N-2}) \cdots \cos(\Phi_1), \\
&\vdots \\
x_{N-1} &= r \sin(\Phi_{N-1}) \cos(\Phi_{N-2}), \\
x_N &= r \cos(\Phi_{N-1}),
\end{align*}
\]

(2.2)

where the \( N \) \( x_i \)'s are Cartesian coordinates in the hyperspherical coordinates, \( \{\Phi_0, \ldots, \Phi_{N-1}\} \) are the hyperspherical angles and \( r \) is the hyperradius. The Schrödinger equation \( H \psi = E \psi \) in \( N \)-dimensional hyperspherical coordinates can be expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
&\left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{N - 1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r^2} \Lambda^2(N) + \frac{2c_0'}{r} - \frac{2c_1'}{r^2(1 + \cos \Phi_{N-1})} \\
&\quad - \frac{2c_2'}{r^2(1 - \cos \Phi_{N-1})} + 2E' \right] \psi(r, \Omega) = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(2.3)

where \( c_0' = \frac{c_0}{N}, \) \( c_1' = \frac{c_1}{N}, \) \( c_2' = \frac{c_2}{N} \) and \( E' = \frac{E}{N} \), \( \Lambda^2(N) \) is the grand angular momentum operator which satisfies the recursive formula

\[
-\Lambda^2(N) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \Phi_{N-1}^2} + (N - 2) \cot(\Phi_{N-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi_{N-1}} - \frac{\Lambda^2(N - 1)}{\sin^2(\Phi_{N-1})},
\]

(2.4)

valid for all \( N \) and \( \Lambda^2(1) = 0 \). The separation of the radial and angular parts of (2.3)

\[
\psi(r, \Omega) = R(r)Y(\Omega_{N-1})
\]

(2.5)
gives rise to
\[
\frac{d^2R}{dr^2} + \frac{N - 1}{r} \frac{dR}{dr} + \left( \frac{2c_i^0}{r} + 2E - \frac{A}{r^2} \right) R = 0,
\]
(2.6)
\[
A^2(N) + \frac{2c_i^0}{1 + \cos(\Phi_{N-1})} + \frac{2c_i^0}{1 - \cos(\Phi_{N-1})} - A \right)y(\Omega_{N-1}) = 0,
\]
(2.7)
where \(A\) is the separation constant and \(N > 1\). Again we may separate the variables of (2.7) [32, 33]
\[
y(\Omega_{N-1}) = \Theta(\Phi_{N-1})y(\Omega_{N-2}),
\]
(2.8)
we obtain
\[
\left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \Phi_{N-1}^2} + (N - 2)\cot(\Phi_{N-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi_{N-1}} - \frac{2c_i^0}{1 + \cos \Phi_{N-1}} - \frac{2c_i^0}{1 - \cos \Phi_{N-1}} \right] + A \left( I_{N-2}(I_{N-2} + N - 3) \right) \sin^2 \Phi_{N-1}\]
\[
= 0,
\]
(2.9)
and
\[
A^2(N - 1) - I_{N-2}(I_{N-2} + N - 3) y(\Omega_{N-2}) = 0, \quad (N > 2),
\]
(2.10)
where \(I_{N-2}(I_{N-2} + N - 3)\) is the separation constant and \(I_{N-2} \in \mathbb{Z}\). The solution of (2.10) is obtained recursively in \(N\).

We now turn to (2.9), which can be converted, by setting \(z = \cos(\Phi_{N-1})\) and \(\Theta(z) = (1 + z)^{\lambda}(1 - z)^{\lambda}f(z)\), to
\[
\left( 1 - z^2 \right) f''(z) + \left\{ 2a - 2b - (2a + 2b + N - 1)z \right\} f'(z) + \left( A - (a + b)(a + b + N - 2) \right) f(z) = 0,
\]
(2.11)
where \(2a = \delta_1 + I_{N-2}, \quad 2b = \delta_2 + I_{N-2}\) and
\[
\delta_i = \left\{ \left( I_{N-2} + \frac{N - 3}{2} \right)^2 + 4c_i^0 \right\} - I_{N-2}, \quad i = 1, 2.
\]
(2.12)
Comparing (2.11) with the Jacobi differential equation
\[
\left( 1 - x^2 \right) y'' + \left\{ \beta - \alpha - (\alpha + \beta + 2)x \right\} y' + \lambda(\alpha + \beta + 1)y = 0,(2.13)
\]
we obtain the separation constant
\[
A = \left( l + \frac{\delta_1 + \delta_2}{2} \right) \left( l + \frac{\delta_1 + \delta_2}{2} + N - 2 \right),
\]
(2.14)
where \(l = \lambda + I_{N-2}\). Hence the solutions of (2.11) are given in terms of the Jacobi polynomials as
\[ \Theta(\Phi_{N-1}) = \Theta_{l_0}(\Phi_{N-1}; \delta_1, \delta_2) \]
\[ = F_{l_0}(\delta_1, \delta_2)\left(1 + \cos(\Phi_{N-1})\right)^{\frac{(\delta_1 + l_0 + 1)}{2}} \left(1 - \cos(\Phi_{N-1})\right)^{\frac{(\delta_2 + l_0 + 1)}{2}} \]
\[ \times F_{l_0}(\delta_1 + l_0 + 1; \delta_1; \delta_2)\left(\cos(\Phi_{N-1})\right), \]
(2.15)

where \( F_{l_0}(a, b; c; z) \) denotes a Jacobi polynomial and \( l \in \mathbb{N} \). The normalization constant \( F_{l_0}(\delta_1, \delta_2) \) in (2.15) is given by
\[ F_{l_0}(\delta_1, \delta_2) = \frac{(-1)^{(l+1+\delta)/2}}{2^{l+1+\delta}} \]
\[ \times \sqrt{\frac{(2l + \delta_1 + \delta_2 + N - 2)(l - [l_0 - 1])!\Gamma(l + l_0 + \delta_1 + \delta_2 + N - 2)}{2^{l+\delta_2+N-1}\pi^{l+\delta_1}\Gamma(l + \delta_1 + N - 2)\Gamma(l + \delta_2 + N - 2)}}. \]
(2.16)

Let us now turn to the radial equation. Using (2.14), we have
\[ \frac{d^2R}{dr^2} + \frac{N - 1}{r} \frac{dR}{dr} + \left[ \frac{2c_0}{r} + 2E' - \frac{1}{r^2} \right] \times \left( l + \frac{\delta_1 + \delta_2}{2} \right) \left( l + \frac{\delta_1 + \delta_2}{2} + N - 2 \right) R = 0. \]
(2.17)

(2.17) can be converted, by setting \( z = \epsilon r, R(z) = e^{\frac{-2c_0}{\epsilon}} z f(z) \) and \( E' = -\frac{z^2}{8} \), to
\[ \frac{z}{dz} \frac{d^2f(z)}{dz^2} + \left\{ (2l + \delta_1 + \delta_2 + N - 1) - z \right\} \frac{df(z)}{dz} \]
\[ - \left( l + \frac{\delta_1 + \delta_2}{2} + \frac{N - 1}{2} - \frac{2c_0}{\epsilon} \right) f(z) = 0. \]
(2.18)

Set
\[ n = \frac{2c_0}{\epsilon} - \frac{\delta_1 + \delta_2}{2} = \frac{N - 3}{2}. \]
(2.19)

Then (2.18) can be written as
\[ \frac{z}{dz} \frac{d^2f(z)}{dz^2} + \left\{ (2l + \delta_1 + \delta_2 + N - 1) - z \right\} \frac{df(z)}{dz} - (-n + l + 1)f(z) = 0. \]
(2.20)

This is the confluent hypergeometric equation. Hence we can write the solution of (2.17) in terms of the confluent hypergeometric function as
\[ R(r) \equiv R_{nl}(r; \delta_1, \delta_2) = F_{nl}(\delta_2, \delta_2)(er)^{\delta_1 + \frac{\delta_2}{2}} e^{-\frac{er}{2}} \]
\[ \times F_{l_0}(-n + l + 1, 2l + \delta_1 + \delta_2 + N - 1; er). \]
(2.21)

The normalization constant \( F_{nl}(\delta_1, \delta_2) \) from the above relation is given by
\[ F_{nl}(\delta_1, \delta_2) = \frac{2(-c_0)^{3/2}}{n + \frac{\delta_1 + \delta_2}{2}} \Gamma\left(2l + \delta_1 + \delta_2 + N - 1\right) \]

\[
\times \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n + l + \delta_1 + \delta_2 + N - 2)}{(n - l - 1)!}}.
\]  

(2.22)

In order to have a discrete spectrum the parameter \(n\) needs to be positive integer. From (2.19)

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{2c_0}{\hbar^2 \left( n + \frac{\delta_1 + \delta_2}{2} + \frac{N-3}{2} \right)}
\]

(2.23)

and hence the energy \(E = -\frac{e^2 \hbar^2}{8}\) is given by

\[
E \equiv E_n = -\frac{c_0^2}{2\hbar^2 \left( n + \frac{\delta_1 + \delta_2}{2} + \frac{N-3}{2} \right)^2}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3 \ldots
\]

(2.24)

Here \(n\) is the principal quantum number.

2.2. Hyperparabolic coordinates

The \(N\)-dimensional hyperparabolic coordinates are given by

\[
x_1 = \sqrt{\xi_1} \sin (\Phi_{N-2}) \cdots \sin (\Phi_1),
\]

\[
x_2 = \sqrt{\xi_2} \sin (\Phi_{N-2}) \cdots \cos (\Phi_1),
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
x_{N-1} = \sqrt{\xi_{N-1}} \cos (\Phi_{N-2}),
\]

\[
x_N = \frac{1}{2} (\xi - \eta),
\]

\[
r = \frac{\xi + \eta}{2},
\]

(2.25)

where the \(N\) \(x_i\)'s are Cartesian coordinates in the hyperparabolic coordinates, \(\{\Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_{N-1}\}\) are the hyperparabolic angles and the parabolic coordinates \(\xi, \eta\) range from 0 to \(\infty\). The Schrodinger equation \(H\psi = E\psi\) in the hyperparabolic coordinates can be written as

\[
\left[ -\frac{2}{\xi + \eta} \left( \Delta(\xi) + \Delta(\eta) - \frac{\xi + \eta}{4\xi \eta} \Lambda^2(\Omega_{N-1}) \right) - \frac{2c_0'}{\xi + \eta} + \frac{2c_2'}{\eta(\xi + \eta)} \right] U(\xi, \eta, \Omega_{N-1}) = E' U(\xi, \eta, \Omega_{N-1}),
\]

(2.26)

where \(\Lambda^2(N)\) is the grand angular momentum operator defined in the previous subsection and

\[
\Delta(\xi) = \xi^{-N-3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \xi^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi},
\]

\[
\Delta(\eta) = \eta^{-N-3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \eta^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta},
\]

\[
c_0' = \frac{c_0}{\hbar^2}, \quad c_1' = \frac{c_1}{\hbar^2}, \quad c_2' = \frac{c_2}{\hbar^2} \quad \text{and} \quad E' = \frac{E}{\hbar^2}.
\]
The equation can be separated in radial and angular parts by setting
\[ \xi \eta \Omega = -\frac{\xi'}{\xi} - \frac{\eta'}{\eta} + 2\frac{E'}{E} + \frac{1}{4\xi}I_{N-2}(I_{N-2} + N - 3) \]
we obtain two equations
\[ \Delta \xi \eta \Omega = -\frac{1}{4\eta}I_{N-2}(I_{N-2} + N - 3) \]
\[ U_1(\xi, \eta) = 0 \tag{2.27} \]
and
\[ A^2(\Omega_{N-1})y(\Omega_{N-1}) = I_{N-2}(I_{N-2} + N - 3)y(\Omega_{N-1}), \tag{2.28} \]
where \( I_{N-2}(I_{N-2} + N - 3) \) being the general form of the separation constant. The solution of (2.29) is well-known. By looking for solution of (2.28) of the form
\[ U_i(\xi, \eta) = f_i(\xi)f_2(\eta), \tag{2.30} \]
we get two coupled equations
\[ \Delta(\xi) - \frac{c_1'}{\xi} + \frac{E'}{2\xi} - \frac{1}{4\xi}I_{N-2}(I_{N-2} + N - 3) + v_1 \]
\[ f_1(\xi) = 0, \tag{2.31} \]
\[ \Delta(\eta) - \frac{c_2'}{\eta} + \frac{E'}{2\eta} - \frac{1}{4\eta}I_{N-2}(I_{N-2} + N - 3) + v_2 \]
\[ f_2(\eta) = 0, \tag{2.32} \]
where \( v_2 = -v_1 - c_0' \) and \( v_1 \) is the separating constant. Putting \( z_1 = \epsilon \xi \) in (2.31), \( z_2 = \epsilon \eta \) in (2.32), and \( E' = -\epsilon^2 \), these two equations become
\[ z_i \frac{d^2 f_i}{dz_i^2} + \left( \delta_i + I_{N-2} + \frac{N - 1}{2} - z_i \right) \frac{df_i}{dz_i} - \frac{\delta_i + I_{N-2} + \frac{N - 1}{2}}{2} = \frac{1}{\epsilon}v_i \]
\[ f_i = 0, \tag{2.33} \]
where
\[ \delta_i = \sqrt{(I_{N-2} + \frac{N - 3}{2})^2 + 4c_i'} - \frac{N - 3}{2} - I_{N-2}, \quad i = 1, 2. \]
Let us now denote
\[ n_i = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \delta_i + I_{N-2} + \frac{N - 1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}v_i, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{2.34} \]
Then (2.33) can be identified with the Laguerre differential equation. Thus we have the normalized wave function
\[ U(\xi, \eta, \Omega_{N-1}) = U_{n_1n_2}(\xi, \eta, \Omega_{N-1}; \delta_1, \delta_2) \]
\[ = \frac{\hbar e^{\delta_1}}{\sqrt{-8c_0}f_{n_1n_2}(\xi; \delta_1)f_{n_2n_1}(\eta; \delta_2)}e^{i(h\Omega_{N-1} - \Omega_{N-1})}, \tag{2.35} \]
where

\[ f_{n_1n_2}(t_1, \delta_1) \equiv f_i(t_i) = \frac{1}{I(\delta_1 + \Gamma_1 + \frac{N-1}{2})} \left[ \Gamma \left(n_i + I_{N-2} + \delta_i + \frac{N-1}{2} \right) n_i! \right] \times \left( \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right)^{(I_{N-2}+\delta_1)/2} e^{-t_1/\delta} \times \{(-n_i, I_{N-2} + \delta_i + \frac{N-1}{2}; \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \} \]

\[ i = 1, 2 \text{ and } t_i \equiv \xi_i, t_2 \equiv \eta. \]

We look for the discrete spectrum and thus \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) are both positive integers.

An expression for the energy of the system in terms of \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) can be found by using \( E = -\hbar^2 \epsilon^2 \) in (2.34) to be

\[ E \equiv E_{(n_1n_2)} = \frac{-c_0^2}{\hbar^2 \left(n_1 + n_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\delta_1 + \delta_2 + 2I_{N-2} + N - 1) \right)^2}. \]  

(2.36)

We can relate the quantum numbers in (2.24) and (2.36) by the following relation

\[ n_1 + n_2 + I_{N-2} = n - 1, \]  

(2.37)

where \( n_1, n_2 = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \)

### 3. Algebraic derivation of the energy spectrum

In this section we present an algebraic derivation of the energy spectrum for the non-central Kepler–Coulomb system in \( N \)-dimension. For this purpose we recall some facts about the central Kepler–Coulomb system in the next subsection.

#### 3.1. Kepler–Coulomb system

The Hamiltonian of the (central) Kepler–Coulomb system in \( N \)-dimensional Euclidean space is given by

\[ H = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathbf{r}}^2 - \frac{c_0}{r}, \]  

(3.1)

where \( \mathbf{r} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N) \), \( \mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_N) \), \( r^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2 \) and \( p_i = -i\hbar \partial_x \). This system has integrals of motion given by the Runge–Lenz vector

\[ M_j = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (L_{ji}p_i - p_iL_{ij}) - \frac{c_0 x_j}{r}, \]  

(3.2)

\[ = -x_j \left( \frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathbf{r}}^2 + H \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i p_i p_j - \frac{N - 1}{2} i\hbar p_j - \frac{c_0 x_j}{r}, \]  

(3.3)

and the angular momentum

\[ L_{ij} = x_i p_j - x_j p_i \]  

(3.4)
for $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. They commute with the Hamiltonian (3.1),

$$[L_{ij}, H] = [M_i, H] = 0.$$  

The Runge–Lenz vector and angular momentum components generate a Lie algebra isomorphic to $so(N + 1)$ for bound states and $so(N, 1)$ for scattering state

$$[L_{ij}, L_{kl}] = i(\delta_{ik}L_{jl} + \delta_{jk}L_{il} - \delta_{il}L_{jk} - \delta_{jl}L_{ik})h,$$

$$[M_i, L_{ij}] = -2i\hbar HL_{ij}, \quad [M_k, L_{ij}] = i\hbar(\delta_{ik}M_j - \delta_{jk}M_i).$$

An algebraic derivation of the energy spectrum was obtained using a chain of second order Casimir operators (i.e., subalgebra chain $so(N + 1) \supset so(N) \supset \cdots \supset so(2)$) to define appropriate quantum numbers [3, 5–8, 12]. Another derivation consist in using higher order Casimir operators. This has been performed for the 5D hydrogen atom for which the $so(6)$ Casimir operators of order two, three and four, and the related eigenvalues were used to calculate the energy spectrum [31]. The calculation was involved and to our knowledge no such calculation for higher dimensions or even arbitrary dimensions have been done. Let us also remark that the symmetry algebra is not the only algebraic structure of interest and one can use various embeddings of the symmetry algebra into a larger one called non-invariance algebra to perform algebraic derivation in particular for $so(4, 2), so(7, 4)$ and $sp(8, R)$ [34–36].

3.2. Quadratic Poisson algebra in the non-central Kepler–Coulomb system

We now consider the non-central Kepler–Coulomb system with Hamiltonian given by (2.1). This system is superintegrable. The system has the following second order integrals of motion

$$A = \sum_{i < j} L_{ij}^2 + \frac{2cr_1}{r + x_N} + \frac{2cr_2}{r - x_N},$$

$$B = -M_N + \frac{c_1(r - x_N)}{r(r + x_N)} - \frac{c_2(r + x_N)}{r(r - x_N)}.$$  

This can be checked by proving

$$\{H, A\}_p = 0 = \{H, B\}_p,$$

where $\{, \}_p$ is the Poisson bracket defined as $[X, Y]_p = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial X}{\partial q_j} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial Y}{\partial q_j} \frac{\partial X}{\partial p_j} \right)$. We still have first order integrals of motion

$$L_{ij} = x_i p_j - x_j p_i \quad \text{for} \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, N - 1$$

as $[H, L_{ij}]_p = 0$. The system is minimally superintegrable as it allows $N + 1$ integrals of motion and in 3D the potential reduces to the Hartmann system which described axially symmetric systems (i.e. ring-shaped molecules) [23, 24] which is also known to be minimally superintegrable. The integrals are $H, A, B$ and $N - 2$ components of the angular momentum $L_{ij}$. Define

$$J^2 = \sum_{i < j} L_{ij}^2.$$  

$J^2$ is the Casimir operator of the $so(N - 1)$ Lie algebra and is also a central element of the Poisson algebra. Other Casimir operators are associated to this $so(N - 1)$ Lie algebra. After a
long computation, we can show that the integrals of motion generate the quadratic Poisson algebra

\[
\{ A, B \}_p = C, \tag{3.8}
\]

\[
\{ A, C \}_p = -4AB + 4(c_1 - c_2)c_0, \tag{3.9}
\]

\[
\{ B, C \}_p = 2B^2 - 8HA + 4J^2H + 8(c_1 + c_2)H - 2c_0^2, \tag{3.10}
\]

where

\[
C = -\sum_{i,j}^N x_i x_j p_i p_j p_N + \sum_j^N \left[ 2r^2 p_j^2 p_N + \frac{2c_0}{r} x_j x_N p_j - \frac{2c_1}{r} x_i p_j \right. \\
+ \frac{2c_2}{r} x_0 p_j \left. - 2c_0 p_N + \frac{4c_1 p_N}{r + x_N} + \frac{4c_2 p_N}{r - x_N}. \right] \tag{3.11}
\]

The first order integrals of motion generate a \( \text{so}(N-1) \) Lie algebra

\[
\{ L_{ij}, L_{kl} \}_p = \delta_{ik} L_{jl} + \delta_{jk} L_{il} - \delta_{ik} L_{jl} - \delta_{jk} L_{il}
\]

for \( i, j, k, l = 1, ..., N - 1 \). Moreover, \( \{ A, L_{ij} \}_p = 0 = \{ B, L_{ij} \}_p \). So the full symmetry algebra is a direct sum of the quadratic algebra and \( \text{so}(N-1) \) Lie algebra. Thus \( \text{so}(N+1) \) symmetry algebra in the central Kepler–Coulomb system is deformed to the quadratic algebra with defined by (3.8)–(3.10). Its Casimir operator is given by

\[
K = C^2 + 4AB^2 - 8(c_1 - c_2)c_0 B - 8HA^2 + \left[ 16(c_1 + c_2)H + 8J^2 - 4c_0^2 \right] A. \tag{3.12}
\]

Using the realization for \( A, B, C \) (i.e. (3.5), (3.6) and (3.11)), we can show that the Casimir operator (3.12) becomes in terms of the central elements \( H \) and \( J^2 \)

\[
K = 8(c_1 - c_2)^2 H - 8(c_1 + c_2)c_0^2 - 4c_0^2 J^2. \tag{3.13}
\]

The study of the Poisson algebra and its Casimir operator is important as they will correspond to the lowest order terms in \( \hbar \) the quadratic algebra and Casimir operator of the corresponding quantum system.

3.3. Quadratic algebra in the quantum non-central Kepler–Coulomb system

We now consider the Hamiltonian of the quantum non-central Kepler–Coulomb system

\[
H = \frac{1}{2} p^2 - \frac{c_0}{r} + \frac{c_1}{r(r + x_N)} + \frac{c_2}{r(r - x_N)}, \tag{3.14}
\]

Similar to the classical case, the integrals of motion are

\[
A = \sum_{i<j}^N L_{ij}^2 + \frac{2rc_1}{r + x_N} + \frac{2rc_2}{r - x_N}, \tag{3.15}
\]

\[
B = -M_N + \frac{c_1(r - x_N)}{r(r + x_N)} = \frac{c_2(r + x_N)}{r(r - x_N)}. \tag{3.16}
\]
\[ J^2 = \sum_{i<j} L_{ij}^2. \]  

(3.17)

We still have a set of first order integrals of motion

\[ L_{ij} = x_i p_j - x_j p_i \quad \text{for} \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, N - 1. \]

The integral of motion \( A \) is associated with the separation of variables in hyperspherical coordinates and the integral of motion \( B \) is associated with the separation of variables in hyperparabolic coordinates. There is no other coordinates systems in which the Schrödinger equation, related to this model admits separation of variables, as this is connected to the existence of second order integrals of motion. We can easily verify the commutation relations

\[ [H, A] = [H, B] = [A, J^2] = [B, J^2] = [H, L_{ij}] = [L_{ij}, J^2] = 0. \]

For later convenience we present a diagram representation of the above commutation relations

\[ [H, A] = [H, B] = [A, J^2] = [B, J^2] = [H, L_{ij}] = [L_{ij}, J^2] = 0. \]  

(3.18)

The left figure shows that \( J^2 \) is a central element. The right figure illustrates \( J^2 \) is the Casimir operator of \( so(N - 1) \) Lie algebra realized by angular momentum \( L_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N - 1. \)

After a long direct but involving analytical computations and the use of various commutation identities and relations, we can show that the integrals of motion close to the quadratic algebra \( Q(3), \)

\[ [A, B] = C, \]  

(3.19)

\[ [A, C] = 2\hbar^2 \{ A, B \} + (N - 1)(N - 3)\hbar^2 B - 4(c_1 - c_2)\hbar^2 c_0, \]  

(3.20)

\[ [B, C] = -2\hbar^2 B^2 + 8\hbar^2 HA - 4\hbar^2 J^2 H + (N - 1)^2 \hbar^4 H - 8\hbar^2 (c_1 + c_2) H + 2\hbar^2 c_0^2. \]  

(3.21)

where

\[ C = \sum_{i,j}^N 2i\hbar x_j p_j p_N \sum_{i}^N \left[ 2i\hbar x_i p_i p_N + 2\hbar^2 x_N p_i^2 - 2\hbar^2 x_i p_i p_N \right] \]

\[ + \frac{2i\hbar c_0}{r} x_i x_N p_i + \frac{2i\hbar c_1}{r} x_N x_i p_i + \frac{2i\hbar c_2}{r} x_N x_i p_i \]

\[ - 2i\hbar c_0 p_N + \frac{4c_1}{r + x_N} i\hbar p_N + \frac{4c_2}{r - x_N} i\hbar p_N + \frac{(N - 1)c_0}{r} \hbar^2 x_N \]

\[ - \frac{(N + 1)r - (N - 3)x_N}{r(r + x_N)c_1} \hbar^2 + \frac{(N + 1)r - (N - 3)x_N}{r(r - x_N)c_2} \hbar^2. \]  

(3.22)

This quadratic algebra is the quantization of the Poisson algebra in the previous subsection. It can be shown that the Casimir operator is
\[ K = C^2 - 2\hbar^2 \left\{ A, B^2 \right\} + \left[ 4\hbar^4 - (N - 1)(N - 3)\hbar^2 \right] B^2 + 8(c_1 - c_2)\hbar^2 c_0 B + 8\hbar^2 HA^2 + 2 \left[ -4\hbar^2 J^2 H + (N - 1)^2\hbar^4 H - 8\hbar^2 (c_1 + c_2)H^2 + 2\hbar^2 c_0^2 \right] A. \]  

(3.23)

By means of the explicit expressions of \( A, B, C \) (i.e. (3.15), (3.16) and (3.22)), we can show that the Casimir operator (3.23) becomes in terms of the central elements \( H \) and \( J \)

\[ K = 2(N - 3)(N - 1)\hbar^4 H J^2 - 8\hbar^2 (c_1 + c_2)^2 H + 4(N - 3)(N - 1) \times (c_1 + c_2)\hbar^4 H - \hbar^6 (N - 3)(N - 1)^2 H + 4\hbar^2 c_0^2 J^2 \]
\[ + 8\hbar^2 (c_1 + c_2) c_0^2 - 2(N - 3)\hbar^4 c_0^2. \]  

(3.24)

The first order integrals also generate a \( so(N - 1) \) Lie algebra as in the classical case

\[ \left[ L_{ij}, L_{kl} \right] = i \left( \delta_{ik} L_{jl} + \delta_{jk} L_{il} - \delta_{il} L_{jk} - \delta_{jl} L_{ik} \right) \hbar \]  

(3.25)

for \( i, j, k, l = 1, \ldots, N - 1 \). Furthermore, \([A, L_{ij}] = 0 = [B, L_{ij}] \). So the full symmetry algebra is the direct sum of \( Q(3) \) and \( so(N - 1) \) (i.e. \( Q(3) \oplus so(N - 1) \)). A chain of second and higher order Casimir operators are associated with this \( so(N - 1) \) component. However for the purpose of the algebraic derivation of the spectrum we rely mainly on the quadratic algebra and its Casimir operator.

The quadratic algebra (3.19)–(3.21) can be realized in terms of the generator of the deformed oscillator algebra \([\mathcal{N}, b^\dagger, b] \) [37] which satisfies

\[ [\mathcal{N}, b^\dagger] = b^\dagger, \quad [\mathcal{N}, b] = -b, \quad bb^\dagger = \Phi(\mathcal{N} + 1), \quad b^\dagger b = \Phi(\mathcal{N}), \]  

(3.26)

where \( \mathcal{N} \) is the number operator and \( \Phi(x) \) is real function such that \( \Phi(0) = 0 \) and \( \Phi(x) > 0 \) for all \( x > 0 \). The realization of the quadratic algebra \( Q(3) \) is of the form \( A = A(\mathcal{N}), B = b(\mathcal{N}) + b^\dagger \rho(\mathcal{N}) + \rho(\mathcal{N}) b \), where \( A(x), b(x) \) and \( \rho(x) \) are functions. Similarly as the case of quadratic algebra for 2D superintegrable systems [15], we obtain

\[ A(\mathcal{N}) = \hbar^2 \left\{ (\mathcal{N} + u)^2 - \frac{(N - 1)(N - 3)}{4} - \frac{1}{4} \right\}, \]  

(3.27)

\[ B(\mathcal{N}) = -\frac{1}{4\hbar^4} \left\{ (\mathcal{N} + u)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right\}, \]  

(3.28)

\[ \rho(\mathcal{N}) = \frac{1}{3\cdot2^{-10} \cdot \hbar^{16}(\mathcal{N} + u)(1 + \mathcal{N} + u) \left\{ 1 + 2(\mathcal{N} + u) \right\}^2}. \]  

(3.29)

where \( u \) is a constant determined from the constraints on the structure function. We now construct the structure function \( \Phi(x) \) by using the Casimir operator (3.23) and the quadratic algebra ((3.19)–(3.21)) as

\[ \Phi(x, u, H) = 3145 728c_0^2 (c_1 - c_2)^2 h^{12} - 196 608h^{12} \left[ 8c_0^2 (c_1 + c_2) h^2 \right. \]
\[ - 8(c_1 - c_2)^2 h^2 H + 4c_0^2 h^2 J^2 - 2c_0^2 h^4 (N - 3) + 4(c_1 + c_2) h^4 H \]
\[ \times (N - 3)(N - 1) + 2h^4 H J^2 (N - 3)(N - 1) - h^6 H (N - 3) \]
\[ \times (N - 1)^2 \left\{ 1 + 2(x + u) \right\}^2 - 1024h^4 \left[ 128h^{10} \left\{ 2c_0^2 h^2 \right. \right. \]
\[ - 8(c_1 + c_2) h^2 H - 4h^2 H J^2 + h^4 H (N - 1)^2 \] \[ + 256h^{14} H \]
Here we have also used the expression (3.24) for the Casimir. A set of quantum numbers can be defined in the same way as [8] with a subalgebra chain for so(N − 1) Lie algebra and the related Casimir operators. Thus the eigenvalue of \( J_2 \) is

\[
\hbar \pm \cdots \pm \cdots + \pm \cdots 
\]

\[
\times (N - 3)(N - 1) + 96\hbar^{10} \left\{ 2c_0^2 \hbar^2 - 8(c_1 + c_2)\hbar^2H \right\}
\]

\[
- 4\hbar^2HJ^2 + h^4H(N - 1)^2 \left\{ -3 + 2(x + u) \right\}
\]

\[
\times (N - 3)^2(N - 1)^2 \left\{ -1 + 2(x + u) \right\}^2 + 98 \cdots
\]

\[
+ 512\hbar^3 \left\{ 2c_0^2 \hbar^2 - 8(c_1 + c_2)\hbar^2H - 4\hbar^2HJ^2 \right\}
\]

\[
+ h^4H(N - 1)^2 \left\{ -128\hbar^{10}H(N - 3)(N - 1) \right\}
\]

\[
\times \left\{ -1 + 2(x + u) \right\}^2 \left\{ -1 - 12(x + u) + 12(x + u)^2 \right\}.
\]

(3.30)

To obtain unitary representations we should impose the following three constraints on the structure function:

\[
\Phi(p + 1, u, E) = 0, \quad \Phi(0, u, E) = 0, \quad \Phi(x) > 0, \quad \forall x > 0,
\]

(3.31)

where \( p \) is a positive integer. These constraints ensure the representations are unitary and finite \((p + 1)\)-dimensional. The solution of these constraints gives us the energy \( E \) and the arbitrary constant \( u \).

From (3.30) and eigenvalues of \( J^2 \) and \( H \), the structure function takes the following factorized form that will greatly simplify the analysis of finite dimensional unitary representations:

\[
\Phi(x) = 6291 \cdots
\]

\[
456\hbar^{18} \left\{ x + u - \frac{1}{2} \right\} \left\{ x + u - \frac{1}{2} \right\} \left\{ x + u - \frac{1}{2} \right\}
\]

\[
\times \left\{ x + u - \frac{1 + m_1 - m_2}{2} \right\} \left\{ x + u - \frac{1 + m_1 - m_2}{2} \right\} \left\{ x + u - \frac{1 + m_1 - m_2}{2} \right\}
\]

\[
\times \left\{ x + u - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{c_0}{\hbar\sqrt{2E}} \right) \right\} \left\{ x + u - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{c_0}{\hbar\sqrt{2E}} \right) \right\}
\]

(3.32)

with

\[
\hbar^2m_{1,2}^2 = 16c_{1,2} + \left( 4 \left( I_{N-2}(I_{N-2} + N - 3) \right) + (N - 3)^2 \right) \hbar^2.
\]

From the condition (3.31), we obtain all possible structure functions and energy spectra, for

\( \epsilon_1 = \pm 1, \epsilon_2 = \pm 1. \)

Set-1:

\[
u = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{c_0}{\hbar\sqrt{2E}}, \quad E = \frac{-2c_0^2}{\hbar^2(2 + 2p + \epsilon_1m_1 + \epsilon_2m_2)^2}.
\]

(3.33)
and

\[
\Phi(x) = \frac{786432 e_0^2 \hbar^2 x}{(2 + 2p + e_1 m_1 + e_2 m_2)^2} \\
\times \left[ 2 + 2p + 2x - (1 + e_1)m_1(1 + e_2)m_2 \right] \\
\times \left[ 2 + 2p + 2x - (1 - e_1)m_1 - (1 - e_2)m_2 \right] \\
\times \left[ 2 + 2p - 2 + (1 - e_1)m_1 + (1 - e_2)m_2 \right] \\
\times \left[ 2 + 2p - 2 - (1 + e_1)m_1 + (1 - e_2)m_2 \right].
\]

(3.34)

Set-2:

\[
u = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{c_0}{\hbar \sqrt{-2E}}. \quad E = \frac{-2c_0^2}{\hbar^2 (2 + 2p + e_1 m_1 + e_2 m_2)^2}
\]

(3.35)

and

\[
\Phi(x) = \frac{786432 e_0^2 \hbar^2 x}{(2 + 2p + e_1 m_1 + e_2 m_2)^2} \\
\times \left[ 2 + 2p + 2x - (1 + e_1)m_1 + (1 + e_2)m_2 \right] \\
\times \left[ 2 + 2p + 2x - (1 - e_1)m_1 - (1 + e_2)m_2 \right] \\
\times \left[ 2 + 2p + 2x + (1 + e_1)m_1 - (1 - e_2)m_2 \right] \\
\times \left[ 2 + 2p + 2x - (1 - e_1)m_1 + (1 + e_2)m_2 \right].
\]

(3.36)

Set-3:

\[
u = \frac{1}{2} (1 + e_1 m_1 + e_2 m_2), \quad E = \frac{-2c_0^2}{\hbar^2 (2 + 2p + e_1 m_1 + e_2 m_2)^2}
\]

(3.37)

and

\[
\Phi(x) = \frac{786432 e_0^2 \hbar^2 [1 + p - x]}{(2 + 2p + e_1 m_1 + e_2 m_2)^2} \\
\times \left[ 2 + 2p + (1 + e_1)m_1 - (1 - e_2)m_2 \right] \\
\times \left[ 2 + 2p + (1 + e_1)m_1 + (1 + e_2)m_2 \right] \\
\times \left[ 2 + 2p - (1 - e_1)m_1 + (1 + e_2)m_2 \right] \\
\times \left[ 1 + p + x + e_1 m_1 + e_2 m_2 \right].
\]

(3.38)

The structure functions are positive for the constraints \(e_1 = 1, e_2 = 1\) and \(m_1, m_2 > 0\). Using formula (2.12), we can write \(m_1\) and \(m_2\) in terms of \(\delta_1, \delta_2\) and \(I_{N-2}\) as \(m_1 = \frac{1}{2}(3 - 2I_{N-2} - N - 2\delta_1), m_2 = \frac{1}{2}(3 - 2I_{N-2} - N - 2\delta_2)\). Making the identification \(p = n_1 + n_2\), the energy spectrum becomes (2.36).
4. Conclusion

One of the main results of this paper is the construction of the quadratic algebra for the \(N\)-dimensional non-central Kepler–Coulomb system. We obtain the Casimir operators and derive the structure function of the deformed oscillator realization of the quadratic algebra. The finite dimensional unitary representations of the algebra yield the energy spectrum. We compare our results with those obtained from separation of variables.

Algebra structures appearing in \(N\)-dimensional superintegrable systems are an unexplored area. More complicated polynomial algebra structures are expected in general and it is non-trivial to generalize the present approach to these cases. Let us mention the possible generalizations to monopole interaction and their dual based on [17, 18]. Moreover, the classification of certain families of superintegrable systems with quadratic integrals of motion in \(N\)-dimensional curved spaces have been done and their quadratic algebra structures should be studied [38]. In recent a paper [39] a superintegrable system with spin has been obtained. An algebraic derivation of the spectrum would be of interest.

Let us point out that 2D superintegrable systems and their quadratic algebras have been related to the full Askey scheme of orthogonal polynomials via a contraction process. This illustrates a deep connection between superintegrable systems, orthogonal polynomials and quadratic algebras [40]. The relations between the quadratic algebras of superintegrable systems involving Dunkl operators and special functions have been studied in a series of papers [41, 42]. It would be interesting to generalize the results to \(N\)-dimensional superintegrable systems.
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