GRAINE balloon experiment in 2015

Precise observations of cosmic gamma rays by a high-resolution emulsion telescope
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Abstract. Observations of cosmic gamma rays are important for studying high energy phenomena in the universe. Since 2008, the Large Area Telescope on the Fermi satellite has surveyed the whole gamma-ray sky in the sub-GeV/GeV energy region, and accumulated a large amount of data. However, observations at the low galactic latitude remains difficult because of a lack of angular resolution, increase of background flux originating from galactic diffuse gamma rays, etc. The Gamma-Ray Astro-Imager with Nuclear Emulsion (GRAINE) is a gamma-ray observation project with a new balloon-borne emulsion gamma-ray telescope. Nuclear emulsion is a high-resolution 3D tracking device. It determines the incident angle with 0.1° resolution for 1 GeV gamma rays (1.0° for 100 MeV), and has linear polarization sensitivity. GRAINE aims at precise observation of gamma-ray sources, especially in the galactic plane, by repeating long-duration balloon flights with large-aperture-area (10 m2) high-resolution emulsion telescopes. In May 2015, we performed a balloon-borne experiment in Alice Springs, Australia, in order to demonstrate the imaging performance of our telescope. The emulsion telescope that has an aperture area of 0.4 m2 was employed in this experiment. It observed the Vela pulsar (the brightest gamma-ray source in the GeV sky) at an altitude of 37 km for 6 hours out of the flight duration of 14 hours. In this presentation, we will report the latest results and the status of the GRAINE project.

1. Introduction

1.1. Sub-GeV/GeV gamma-ray observation

Observations of cosmic gamma rays emitted from black Holes, pulsars, super nova remnants, etc. are important to understand such high-energy objects or phenomena in the universe. AGILE [1] launched in 2007 and the Large Area Telescope on the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope (Fermi-LAT) [2] launched in 2008 have surveyed the sub-GeV/GeV gamma-ray sky. They have achieved good results and contributed to the development of gamma-ray astronomy: detection of more than 3000 gamma-ray sources [3]; discovery of cosmic-ray proton acceleration in super nova remnants [4,5].

On the other hand, new issues have come to light. Observations at the low galactic latitude remain difficult because of a lack of angular resolution, an increase of background flux originating from galactic diffuse gamma rays, etc. Unassociated sources are still included in the high rate in gamma-ray sources detected in the galactic plane [3]. Furthermore, an unexpected gamma-ray excess in the galactic center region was reported [6].

On the other hand, new issues have come to light. Observations at the low galactic latitude remain difficult because of a lack of angular resolution, an increase of background flux originating from galactic diffuse gamma rays, etc. Unassociated sources are still included in the high rate in gamma-ray sources detected in the galactic plane [3]. Furthermore, an unexpected gamma-ray excess in the galactic center region was reported [6].

Polarimetry data of high-energy photons from pulsars etc. is important to study the mechanism of gamma-ray emission. Several observations in the hard X-ray region have been initiated, but substantially sensitive observations in the gamma-ray region have not been carried out so far. To make more progress in gamma-ray astronomy, not only a quantitative increase in the observation data but also qualitative improvement is required.

1.2. GRAINE project

The Gamma-Ray Astro-Imager with Nuclear Emulsion (GRAINE) project aims at precise observations of gamma-ray sources by a balloon-borne gamma-ray telescope. The high-angular resolution gamma-ray telescope, called emulsion telescope, consists of nuclear emulsion films.

Nuclear emulsion is a high precision tracking detector. It can measure the incident angle and the position of charged particles with milli-radian and sub-micron resolution in spite of the thin material (∼10^{-3} in the radiation-length unit). Read-out process by a microscope is needed after exposure and development because of an integrating detector, but automated and fast scanning systems have been developed and used practically in accelerator neutrino experiments.

Because nuclear emulsion can determine angles of electrons and positrons at the beginning point of pair creation interaction (γ → e^+ e^-), the angular resolution for gamma rays (10 MeV–10 GeV) is about one order of magnitude higher than that of Fermi-LAT (Fig. 1).

In addition, nuclear emulsions can measure an azimuthal angle of a plane consists of electron and positron tracks, so it has sensitivity of a linear polarization of gamma rays [7]. Nuclear emulsion has no time resolution, but gamma-ray incident timing is given by a new technique
Figure 1. Angular resolution of the emulsion gamma-ray telescope (lines show simulation results and dots with error bars show experimental results), Fermi-LAT (dotted lines), and EGRET (a broken line).

### Table 1. Performance of emulsion gamma-ray telescope.

| Energy range   | 10 MeV–10 GeV |
|----------------|---------------|
| Angular resolution | 0.93° @ 100 MeV |
| Polarization sensitivity | Yes |
| Energy resolution | 10%–20% |
| Effective area | 2.1 m² @ 100 MeV |
| FOV            | >2.2 sr |
| Time resolution | <1 s |
| Dead time      | No |

The emulsion telescope doesn’t consist of any electronic counter, so it is easy to enlarge the aperture area without deterioration of the resolution caused by limitation of the number of read-out channels. We started developing a large-area telescope (aperture area ~10 m²) and promoting long-duration balloon flights (~200 hours), similar to the JACEE or RUNJOB balloon-borne experiments, for scientific observation. The target objects are sources on the galactic plane/center, bright and extended sources (for example, SNR W44), galactic pulsars, gamma-ray bursts, etc. Table 1 shows the performance of the emulsion gamma-ray telescope.

2. Balloon-borne experiment in 2015

2.1. GRAINE 2015

In the first balloon experiment, GRAINE 2011, the technical feasibility was demonstrated by a small-scale emulsion telescope and a star camera system [9]. The second experiment, GRAINE 2015, aims at detecting gamma-ray sources, and demonstrating the imaging performance of the emulsion telescope in the 100 MeV energy region. By a medium duration balloon flight (12–24 hours) in Alice Springs, Australia, observation of the Vela pulsar, the brightest gamma-ray source in the sub-GeV/GeV gamma-ray sky, for 6.5 hours (within the field of view of the emulsion telescope) is expected.

### Detector

Figure 2 shows pictures and a cross sectional view of the emulsion gamma-ray telescope employed in GRAINE 2015. We enlarged the aperture area of the second telescope to 3780 cm², which is 29 times larger than that of the first telescope. The converter consists of a hundred high-sensitive emulsion films. The thicknesses of the plastic layer and the both-side emulsion layers in a film are 180 µm and 70 µm, respectively. The total thickness and the radiation length are 32 mm and 0.53 X₀, respectively. 34% of vertical-incident gamma rays convert to electron-positron pairs, and these tracks are recorded in emulsion films. The area of a converter film is 37.8 cm × 25 cm. Four units with the same structure were employed. An alignment unit (two or three emulsion films kept vacuum-packed with an aluminum honeycomb panel) was put on the top of each converter unit. The alignment unit is the standard surface of the detector system, and each angle of track recorded in the converter is calibrated by high momentum tracks penetrating both the alignment unit and the converter.

The multi-stage shifter system as time stamper was put at the bottom of the converter. 2–4 emulsion films were mounted on each movable stage. Three stages are driven by stepping motors. In the whole of the observation, they slide cyclically like an analog clock, and create independent combinations of the stage position. During the Vela-observation period, we changed the operation mode and made the speed of stage faster to obtain ~10 msec resolution (in the normal operation mode, the time resolution is expected to be below one second).

The energy measurement for multi-GeV gamma-ray events was performed by the analysis of the calorimeter. It has the sandwich structure of sixteen emulsion films and...
fifteen 1 mm-thick stainless steel plates. The thickness and radiation length were 19.3 mm and 0.90 $X_0$, respectively.

The balloon also carried three star trackers as attitude monitors, a balloon-style pressure vessel to maintain the vacuum-packed emulsion chamber, and several sensors (for GPS, temperature, pressure, etc.) as its payload.

### 2.3. Balloon flight

On May 12th, 2015, the balloon was launched from the Alice Springs balloon-launching station, Australia. The balloon left the ground at 6:33 Australian Central Standard Time (ACST), and reached 37.2 km altitude at 8:50. At 14:15 the Vela pulsar entered the field of view of the emulsion telescope. It observed the target for about six hours, then the balloon released the gondola at 20:22. The total flight duration in this experiment was 14.4 hours, with 11.5 hours of level flight at 36.0–37.4 km altitude and 4.7–3.8 hPa residual atmospheric pressure. The gondola landed about 130 km north of Longreach at 20:55. On the next morning, all payloads were recovered. More detail information is described in [10].

### 3. Flight data analysis

#### 3.1. Data Taking by emulsion scanning system

Data taking of emulsion films was done by the latest scanning system, Hyper Track Selector (HTS), which was developed in Nagoya University [11]. The first practical data taking of HTS went smoothly and it took about three months to finish 41 $m^2$ of the converter films and the time stamping films. Figure 3 shows the performance of scanning by HTS.

After alignment process of segmented tracks (each track has an angle, a position, and a darkness information on a coordinate of each film), tracks running on a coordinate of the emulsion chamber were reconstructed. The track-finding efficiency of HTS was higher than 95% at $\tan \theta < 2.0$ angular region as a result of evaluating the filling rate of tracks penetrating multiple films [12].

#### 3.2. Gamma-ray event analysis

##### 3.2.1. Event detection

Figure 4 shows a result of gamma-ray event ($\gamma \rightarrow e^+ + e^-$) selection by using a part of scan data from the converter ($13 \times 9 \times 100$ films). $3 \times 10^6$ segmented tracks at $|\tan \theta| < 1.0$ angular region in each projection were scanned by HTS from a film. First, data of eight adjoining films was extracted, then tracks penetrating the volume were eliminated. Second, the following tracks were selected: tracks starting from the fourth film; tracks running to the eighth film (the bottom film in the extracted volume). This process corresponds to veto by three films of the upstream. Third, the paired topology, another independent track runs abreast nearby the track, was requested. Here, the number of tracks was reduced by $\sim 2 \times 10^{-3}$. Fourth, these electron and positron candidate tracks were connected downward from the detected film nearby the vertex, that called track follow down process. The gamma-ray event candidates which reached the bottom film (100th film of the whole of the converter unit) become the effective events for timestamp analysis. Figure 5 shows 3D views of the above processes.

##### 3.2.2. Energy reconstruction

The energies of detected gamma-ray events below GeV were reconstructed by the converter data. The amount of multiple coulomb scattering of an electron (a positron) running in the converter was measured as RMS of angular difference and converted into momentum. Figure 6 shows a distribution of reconstructed gamma-ray energy from measured momentum of electrons and positrons. For high energy events without significant scattered angle, momentum can be determine by additional analysis of the calorimeter data.

##### 3.2.3. Comparison with simulation data

We checked the response of the gamma-ray selection by Monte Carlo simulation (MC). MC data was generated by geant4.10.01. Primary gamma rays were exposed to the detector, then the response of HTS (track-finding efficiency and position and angular accuracy) were applied to electron and positron tracks. A simple power low spectrum was adopted as the energy distribution of incident gamma rays. The topological selection was performed as same as the flight data analysis mentioned above.
Figure 5. 3D views of scanned track data. (a) indicates 2 mm × 2 mm area in a GRAINE 2015 film. The density is ∼400 tracks/mm². (b) indicates the volume of eight films. (c) is a typical gamma-ray event detected by the selection process. (d) is a wide view (30 mm × 30 mm) as a result of gamma-ray detection. (e) shows a typical event as a result of the track follow down.

Figure 6. Distribution of reconstructed gamma-ray energy.

Figure 7 shows distributions of the opening angle between electron and positron tracks, reconstructed momentum, the ratio of the division of energy, and the invariant mass. MC data reproduced the tendencies of the flight data. In the current conventional selection, the event-pickup efficiency in the converter was estimated at 65% and 83% for 100 MeV and 200 MeV gamma rays, respectively.

3.3. Background measurement at balloon altitude

The detected gamma-ray events at the converter were connected to the time stamper, and the incident time were given to them. The main backgrounds for the observation

Figure 7. Comparisons of kinematical distributions between flight data and MC.
of cosmic gamma-ray sources consist of the external component (atmospheric gamma ray), and the internal component (secondary gamma ray induced in the detector by proton or electron). The latter can be rejected by identifying the hadron interaction vertex or the electron running abreast with the same incident time as the gamma ray. Here, the atmospheric gamma-ray flux was measured at the Vela-observation period (the altitude 36.0–37.4 km). Gamma-ray events were selected from upstream events, which started from the 95th or upper films in the converter, to avoid the contamination of the internal component. Figure 8 shows a preliminary result of measurement of the atmospheric gamma-ray flux in 100–200 MeV energy region. We are obtaining the tendency similar to the past measurements and studying the detector response to reduce the systematic error to 5% or less.

4. Summary and prospects

The second balloon experiment of GRAINE project was performed on May 12th, 2015, to demonstrate the imaging performance of the emulsion gamma-ray telescope in the 100 MeV energy region. In the experiment, a 3780 cm$^2$ aperture telescope was employed. We succeeded in the balloon flight and recovery, and got the flight data (emulsion films). So far, 75% of data process (data taking by the scanning system, and gamma-ray event detection in the offline process) were finished. Currently, tuning of the detector response and the measurement of background is ongoing.

We will map gamma-ray events on the celestial coordinate by matching the attitude information and discuss detection of the Vela pulsar. After that, we will enlarge the aperture area and start the scientific observation with long-duration balloon flights.

The scientific balloon (DAIKIKYU) flight opportunity was provided by ISAS, JAXA. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 26247039, 26105510, and 16K17691.
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