An Observational Study on Barbers’ Practices and Associated Health Hazard in Fiji

Dip Chand¹, Masoud Mohammadnezhad¹ & Sabiha Khan¹

¹ School of Public Health and Primary Care, Fiji National University, Suva, Fiji

Correspondence: Associate Professor Dr Masoud Mohammadnezhad, School of Public Health and Primary Care, Fiji National University. Tel: +679-97-26-127. E-mail: masraqo@hotmail.com

Received: January 20, 2022   Accepted: February 28, 2022   Online Published: March 1, 2022
doi:10.5539/gjhs.v14n3p108          URL: https://doi.org/10.5539/gjhs.v14n3p108

Abstract

Background: The barbers’ work is associated to many infectious diseases which lead to major cause of morbidity and mortality in human’s population globally. This study aimed to determine barbers’ practices and health hazards associated with their profession in Fiji.

Materials and Methods: A cross sectional quantitative study was conducted to collect data from 50 observational sessions among barbers in Suva, Fiji in 2020. A sample of 25 barbers were randomly selected to participate in this study. A checklist was used to record and collect data. Out of the 25 barbershops observed none of them carried out any form of sterilization.

Results: Only 4 (16%) did some form of disinfection with home bleach and savalon randomly while 84% did not have any form of decontamination in place. The results further illustrate that 22 (88%) of the disinfection were not potent while 3 (12%) were unknown. Similarly, 22 (88%) had inappropriate methods of disinfection and 3 (12%) were questionable. None of the barbershops observed had supply of hot water and only 15 (60%) had sufficient privy and hand washing facilities. Furthermore, only 6 (24%) used PPEs compared to 19 (76%) were in non-compliance.

Conclusion: This finding calls for immediate attention of authorities to enforce relevant laws and create awareness and training to improve standards in barbering profession.
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1. Introduction

The current estimated burden of infectious diseases in Fiji is around 18.4% which are preventable and treatable as well (MOHMS Fiji, 2017), but continue to thrive owing to ignorance to personal and environmental hygiene including poor political commitment to public health over clinical services (Chaudhry et al., 2010). According to the World Bank (WB) and World Health Organization (WHO) report “Tracking progress towards Universal Health Coverage”, millions of people globally have insufficient provision of essential health services (WHO & WB, 2015). Furthermore “The global epidemiology of infectious diseases” report states that many families in Low- and Middle Income Countries (LMICs) are propelled into utmost poverty due to excessive health care costs (WHO, 2006). Currently, at least half of the world’s population do not have access to health services they need (Lopez et al., 2006). The lack of equipment’s and medicine, water and electricity; shortages of doctors; prohibitive costs; obsolete infrastructure of hospitals and clinics; poorly trained nurses and midwives; they all stand in the way of providing good quality healthcare to all. This must change (Kruk et al., 2018).

The above situations aggravate the delivery of health care services in developing countries, where infectious diseases are the major cause of all human deaths, killing more than 11 million people annually apart from diminishing the lives of countless others (Downey, 2005; Abia et al., 2016). Furthermore, infectious diseases related to barbers’ profession remains the major cause of morbidity and mortality in human beings particularly in developing and underdeveloped countries (Wazir et al., 2008). Even though many infectious diseases are preventable and treatable in developing countries, personal, environmental and food hygiene, poor water and sanitation (WASH) pollution caused by poor waste management, ignorance to Good Hygiene Practices (GHP) and poor political commitments persist (Khandiat et al., 1999).

There are several transmission modes of infectious diseases however the most common routes of transmission in
barbering operations in Fiji may expose a large proportion of its clients with several health hazards silently if preventive measures are continued to be ignored as demonstrated by previous studies. (Amir & Raymond, 2005; Shahid et al., 2013). Considering the grave consequences of infections especially Hepatitis, HIV and recent outbreak of COVID19, related to barbers’ profession; bona fide barbering practices is imperative to control infections associated with this profession (Janjua & Nizamy, 2002).

Since Fiji is a small island nation, and barbering profession is considered as small and medium enterprises (SME) that provides employment opportunities to school drop outs and substantially contributes to Fiji’s economic growth. In this regard barbershop standard is well stipulated in the Public Health Act and Regulations which forms the basic standards on the Hair Dresser and Chiropractor practices in the country. These standards were formulated by the Colonial British Government which is still very much practical. Despite having stringent laws in place, the enforcement of these Laws are still neglected by the relevant authorities especially in barbershops when inspections are carried out for annual licensing. However, Hair salons and beauty salons which are niche market for higher class including tourism have higher rate of compliance and standards which would be a bench mark for compliance in barbershops that serves ordinary Fijians at an affordable cost. Therefore, the purpose of the study was to observe practices and health hazards associated with barber’s profession in Suva Fiji and to bench mark against regulated standards of practice as per Public Health Act and Regulations. The results of this study will help the health authorities to understand the dynamics of disease transmission and design appropriate interventions in relation to barbering profession in Fiji which serves majority of the ordinary Fijians.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Design and Settings

A cross-sectional study was conducted to observe practices and health hazards associated with barber’s profession between June and November 2020 in Suva, Fiji which also serves as the national and regional hub for Fiji and the Pacific respectively. There were 48 barbershops operating at the time of this study.

2.2 Study Sample

All the barbershops operating in Suva were considered as study population. Out of 48 barbershops that were in operation during the time of study, a sample size of 25 barbers were randomly selected for this study. The inclusion criteria applied was all those barbershops that were operating at least for 6 months in Suva and were currently licensed. Beauty salons and hair salons were excluded from the study including those barbershops who were hesitant to participate were also excluded. A sample size of 25 barbers were randomly selected for this study.

2.3 Data Collection Tool

A checklist was used for the observation. Checklist was developed using relevant literatures (John J, 2011) to fulfill the aim and objective of the study. The observational checklist had 10 sections or areas for observation such as whether any decontamination was practiced, method of decontamination, name of disinfectants, Virucidal potency of the decontamination, appropriateness of the decontamination exercise, accidental cuts, GHP, conditions of the barbershops, adequate supply of hot and cold water, adequate provision of privy facilities and provision of Personal Protective Equipment’s (PPEs) to bench mark against Fiji Public Health Act and Regulations on Hairdressers (Kruk et al., 2018). The observation was carried out by the principle researcher at least 2 random sessions to avoid biasness and to maintain the reliability and credibility of the observational sessions conducted.

2.4 Study Procedure

Suva City Council (SCC) provided the facility approval and records of barbershops, their locations and other relevant details. The owners of the barbershops were contacted by phone and physical visit by the principle researcher and were explained the purpose of this observational study. The barbershop owners were assured that no disturbances will be caused to the normal operations during the time of observations. Barbershops anonymity and
privacy of information was assured. A written consent was obtained once the participating barbershop agreed to participate. All barbershop owners were informed of their privilege and right to withdraw from this study at any time. The checklist prepared was used to collect the information while observing the practices. Questions that needed to be responded were asked during the observation session and forms filled accordingly.

2.5 Data Management and Analysis

Data was managed manually as per the checklist criteria. The notes taken during the observations was rechecked to ensure that quality is maintained. Data analysis was done using the checklist which was tabulated under different headers. Raw data was entered under each header and frequency and percentage was calculated manually.

2.6 Ethics Approval

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from Fiji National University (FNU) College of Health Research Committee (CHREC) and the facility approval was also obtained from SCC. For data collection all barbershop owners were informed through the information sheet the purpose of the visit and documented consents were secured from barbershop owners.

3. Results

3.1 Demographic Characters of Participants

Twenty-five barbers representing the entire traceable barbers in the study area were interviewed during the observational sessions. The age of the participants ranged between 18 and 39 years. When grouped, age group 18-29 years predominated at 18 (72%). Hundred percent of the barbers were males and Fijians of Indian descent. Major religion practiced were Hinduism among majority respondents. Sixteen (64%) were currently married and 100% had secondary level of education. All the participants earned $150 to $250 Fijian Dollars per week. All barbershops were in operation for more than 4 years and non-had undergone any form of training (Table 1).

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of participants (n=25)

| Characteristics          | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Age                      |           |            |
| 18–29 years              | 18        | 72         |
| 30–39 years              | 7         | 28         |
| Sex (Gender)             |           |            |
| Male                     | 25        | 100        |
| Race                     |           |            |
| Fijian of Indian Decent  | 25        | 100        |
| Religion                 |           |            |
| Hindu                    | 21        | 84         |
| Muslim                   | 4         | 16         |
| Marital Status           |           |            |
| Currently married        | 16        | 64         |
| Never married            | 9         | 36         |
| Education Level          |           |            |
| Secondary                | 25        | 100        |
| Weekly Income            |           |            |
| $150-$250                | 25        | 100        |
| Duration of Practice     |           |            |
| >4 years                 | 25        | 100        |
| Training received        |           |            |
| No                       | 25        | 100        |
3.2 Barbers Practices

Out of the 50 barbering sessions observed, 100% use equipment’s such as electrical clippers, scissors, razors, barber blades, combs, hair brush, save brush, cape, neck duster, hand mirror, powder puff and water sprayer.

As Table 2 shows, out of the 25 barbershops observed only 4 (16%) did some form of disinfection with home bleach and savalon randomly while 84% did not have any form of decontamination in place. The results also reveal that none of the 25 barbershops observed carry out any form of sterilization of barbering equipment’s while only 16% used some form of chemical decontamination and 84% had no means of decontaminating there barbering equipment’s. In terms of using disinfectant, the results showed that only 4% used Methylated spirits with 10% methanol, Sodium hypochlorite (Janola-home bleach) and Savalon while majority (88%) did not use any form of chemicals or sterilization.

Table 2. Participants practices (n=25)

| Factors                                | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Instrument decontamination done?       |           |            |
| Yes                                    | 4         | 16         |
| No                                     | 21        | 84         |
| Method of Instrument Decontamination   |           |            |
| Sterilization                          | 0         | 0          |
| Disinfection                           | 4         | 16         |
| None                                   | 21        | 84         |
| Name of disinfectant used              |           |            |
| Methylated spirits with 10% methanol   | 1         | 4          |
| Sodium hypochlorite (Janola- Bleach)   | 1         | 4          |
| Savalon                                | 1         | 4          |
| Others                                 | 22        | 88         |

Table 3 illustrates that twenty-two (88%) of the disinfection were not potent while 3 (12%) were unknown. Similarly, 22 (88%) had inappropriate methods of disinfection and 3 (12%) were questionable. During the observations, 2 (8%) sessions involved incidental cuts and only 14 (56%) had GHP and their barbershops in good order. None of the barbershops observed had supply of hot water and only 15 (60%) had sufficient privy and hand washing facilities. Furthermore, only 6 (24%) used PPEs compared to 19 (76%) were in non-compliance.

Table 3. Observation results (n=25)

| Factors                                | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Virucidal potency of the decontamination |           |            |
| Potent                                 | 0         | 0          |
| Not potent                             | 22        | 88         |
| Unknown                                | 3         | 12         |
| Appropriateness of the decontamination exercise |           |            |
| Appropriate                            | 0         | 0          |
| Inappropriate                          | 22        | 88         |
| Questionable                           | 3         | 12         |
| Accidental cut                         |           |            |
| Yes                                    | 2         | 8          |
| No                                     | 23        | 92         |
| GHP & Barbershop in Good order         |           |            |
| Yes                                    | 14        | 56         |
| No                                     | 11        | 44         |
4. Discussion

This study aimed to determine barbers’ practices on health hazard associated with their profession in Fiji. Out of the 25 barbershops observed, all barbers were males and 72% were between the ages of 18–29 years. All Berbers had education up to secondary school level and non-had any training on barbering. The results also show that out of the 25 barbershops observed none of them carried out any form of sterilization. Only 4 (16%) did some form of disinfection with home bleach and savalon randomly while 84% did not have any form of decontamination in place. The results further illustrate that 22 (88%) of the disinfection were not potent while 3 (12%) were unknown. Similarly, 22 (88%) had inappropriate methods of disinfection and 3 (12%) were questionable. During the observations, 2 (8%) sessions involved incidental cuts and only 14 (56%) had Good Hygiene Practice (GHP) and their barbershops in good order. None of the barbershops observed had supply of hot water and only 15 (60%) had sufficient privy and hand washing facilities. Furthermore, only 6 (24%) used PPEs compared to 19 (76%) which were in non-compliance.

The results of this study revealed that none of them were practicing instrument decontamination and sterilization. Similar views have been reported in previous studies in Iran, (Almasi et al., 2016) South Africa (James et al., 2017) and Pakistan (Shahid et al., 2013). Findings reveal that barbers only clean their instruments with brush, wet cloth and oil the machines when required. The studies did not relate these findings to demographic characteristics however it is believed that most street, road side and corner barber shops do not adhere to best practices as regulated by these countries. Government policies on SMEs to promote income generating avenues in poor communities were the challenging regarding compliance issues by the authorities (James et al., 2017). Studies conducted in South Africa and Rawalpindi Pakistan reported similar findings (Amir & Raymond, 2005; Janjua & Nizamy, 2004). Perhaps decontamination is seen as synonyms with routine cleaning of barbering equipment’s with wet cloths, and oiling the clippers which is understood as physically clean, regardless of the invisible microbial load on the barbering equipment’s. Studies conducted in Pakistan and Ethiopia had similar findings (Janjua & Nizamy, 2004; Zuwudic et al., 2002). Though provision of hot water for decontamination of instruments is regulated by Public Health Act (PH Act) and Regulations poor awareness and enforcement compelled by political interference, barbershops compliance issues with barbershops have been eroded gradually. Obsolete laws and poor litigation capacity also contributes to non-compliances as stated in other studies (James et al., 2017; Kruk et al., 2018). These findings strongly suggest for aggressive awareness and training by the authorities to meet the minimum requirements.

Observations further revealed that no participant was found to be disinfecting or sterilizing their barbering equipment’s before use on each and every client contrary to requirements of the Public Health Act and Regulations of Fiji (PH Act, 1935).

In addition, most of the barbering practices observed posed direct risk of infection from their clients and from clients to clients through contaminated instruments which were neither sterilized nor decontaminated. This agrees with the findings reported among barbers in a study conducted in North-West Ethiopia (Beyen et al., 2012). Barbers are at direct risk of CD infection if they come into contact with an infected person or equipment’s especially blood or contagious diseases of the skin including air born viral infections. The risk becomes higher if they have cuts or bruises that are not properly protected, particularly on the arms (Jokhio et al., 2010). Findings revealed that the practice on equipment non decontamination was consistent in all barbershops irrespective of the location and scale of operations. This concludes that barbers lacked knowledge in the areas such as expertise on decontamination/disinfection and sterilization of barbering instruments; lacked recognition on basic infection control and insight on infection transmission and control. A study conducted in Hyderabad Pakistan showed...
similar results (Jokhio et al., 2010). However, this finding is in variance to a study conducted in Oyo State in Nigeria where findings demonstrated high sterilization rate among barbers (Salami et al., 2005). The study, probably needed further investigation whether decontamination procedures were probably administered.

Though majority of participants appeared not to observe the decontamination or sterilization process, only few who did used some form of decontamination which were inappropriately carried out. These findings are similar to studies conducted in Nigeria (Salami et al., 2005) and Bangladesh (Chanda et al., 2004). Standard disinfectants that are recommended for use in health care facilities and personal care settings do recognizes 5.25% household bleach, 70% isopropyl alcohol, and iodophors as intermediate level disinfectants which kills the microorganisms for low level disinfectants plus fungi but does not recognize water, wet cloth and oil as disinfectants (Chanda et al., 2004).

On the other hand, observations results revealed that majority of participants do not wear coats/overalls, face mask neither hand gloves while serving clients especially during this COVID19 pandemic. Furthermore, none were using any paper towels, clean and fresh face towels, neck protectors, neck cloths, and other fabrics for each and every customer as required by the Public Health Act of Fiji (Public Health Act, 1935) and the Australia Public Health (Hairdressing) Code of Practice. The finding of this study is similar to studies conducted on barber’s practices in Ethiopia (Zuwudic et al., 2002) and the purpose of wearing proper PPEs for barbers and clients may be the consequence of lack of knowledge on infection transmission and prevention.

Results of observational sessions revealed that none of the barbers were practicing the basic hand hygiene practice by washing their hand with soap and clean water after serving each and every client. Hundred percent sessions observed used the same cape for each and every client. Furthermore, there was no screening conducted for clients coming with infectious diseases. Studies conducted in Karachi Pakistan (Almasi et al., 2016) and Kumasi Ghana (Mutocheluh & Kwarteng, 2015) had similar findings that barbers would attend to any clients who present to them. However, these findings are at variance to studies conducted in developed countries. (Lyons et al., 2013; Amodio et al., 2010; Moda & King, 2019)

Observations further revealed that majority of the barbers used same brushes with powder on clients to remove hair from shoulder and neck and also for cleaning instruments in the same barbering procedure with the high chances of cross contamination. This finding was also consistent to similar studies conducted in Ethiopia (Beyen et al., 2012) and Cameroon (Kana et al., 1998). Lack of knowledge and awareness on cross contamination and GHP may be the rationale leading to improper practices. Studies suggest that to improve GHP in barbershops Massive, Repetitive, Intensive and Persuasive (MRIP) awareness may be involved (Lopez et al., 2006; Ottawa Charter for Health Promotion, 1986). This finding tends to suggest that authorities need to train, inform and create awareness on all barbershops to standardize the barbering practice. These were similar sentiments expressed in studies conducted in Hyderabad Pakistan and Sokoto Nigeria. (Jokhio et al., 2010; Ibrahim et al., 2007)

In addition, lack of enforcement and monitoring by authorities may be another reason for substandard hygiene practices among barbers. Similar findings have also been revealed in studies conducted in developing countries (Almasi et al., 2016). Contrary to findings in many developed countries where activities of barbers are properly regulated and monitored by way of thorough training and licensing process (Ann, 2006). Fijian authorities have neglected barbers’ activities despite having a stringent Public health laws in place. (Public Health Act, 1935)

Incidental cuts are known as skin damage which are preconditions required for infectious diseases to arise. Skin damage during barbering usually happens as an accidental cut or abrasion as a result of blade-to-skin contact or both, increases the potential of infection transmission. In many circumstances incidental cuts and skin damages occur due to blade-to-skin contact or when detachable plastic comb is not used. (TAOD & HPU, 2002)

All the sessions observed in this study involved procedures which led blade-to-skin contact with increased risk of skin abrasion and cuts. Consequently, two sessions observed actually resulted into accidental cuts on the clients whereby no action was taken to disinfect the instruments concerned and the victims of the cuts. Failure to disinfect instruments and provide first aid to clients due to minor cuts during barbering sessions seem to be regarded as insignificant as reported in several previous studies. (Amodio et al., 2010; Almasi et al., 2016; Ibrahim et al., 2007; Correa & Gisselquist, 2006) The raise in fashion especially in young Fijians for the zero-cut hairstyle may be a contributing factor for barbers not to use detachable plastic combs or clippers during barbering sessions which poses increased risk of incident cuts and abrasion (Australian Public Health (Hairdressing) Code of Practice 2000 and John 2011). Therefore, the Fijian authorities need to derive the best practices to maintain barbershops standards within the ambit of the current laws. The 1935 Public Health Act and Regulations relating to hairdressers need to be reviewed to address practices based on scientific data and research.
4.1 Limitations

As this study is a cross-sectional study, the results of this study can’t be generalized to all of Fiji. This study was conducted during the COVID19 pandemic; therefore, the sample size was small due to closer of few barbershops. Furthermore, more recent studies on the topic were not available on the several data base.

5. Conclusions

The finding from this study reveal that majority of barbershops did not practice decontamination and sterilization of barbering equipment’s. Those that had some form of decontamination such as home bleach and spirits were inappropriate and questionable. Majority barbers did not practice GHP neither cleaned the barbering equipment’s with appropriate decontamination solutions nor with hot and sterile cloth. Majority of them did not have proper PPEs and the conditions of many barbershops needed to comply with the requirements of the PH Act. This tends to suggest that authorities need to strengthen enforcement, train and create awareness on all barbershops to standardize the barbering practice. It also suggests that the training contents should place more emphasis on, and employ more appropriate techniques such as demonstration while teaching technical and practical subjects like decontamination. Laxity in monitoring and enforcement of Public Health Act is another contributing factor for noncompliance despite having a stringent Public health laws in place which needs to be reviewed to suite the current and future needs of the country.
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