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S1 Experimental Section

S1.1 General methods and materials

All chemicals were commercially available except those whose synthesis is herein described. Anhydrous MgSO₄ was used for drying organic extracts and all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. All reaction mixtures and column eluents were monitored by TLC using commercial glass backed thin layer chromatography (TLC) plates (Merck Kieselgel 60 F₂₅₄). The plates were observed under UV light at 254 and 365 nm. The technique of flash chromatography was used throughout for all non-TLC scale chromatographic separations using Merck Silica Gel 60 (less than 0.063 mm). Melting points were determined using a Stuart SMP10 digital melting point apparatus. Small scale (μL) liquid handling measurements were made using variable volume (1.00–5000.00 μL) single channel Gilson PIPETMAN precision micropipettes. Solvents used for recrystallisation are indicated after the melting point. IR spectra were recorded on a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS5 FTIR spectrometer with iDS ATR accessory and broad, strong, medium and weak peaks are represented by b, s, m and w, respectively. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE III HD machine (at 400 and 100 MHz, respectively). An AVANCE III 300 MHz NMR Spectrometer was also used for reaction monitoring. The benchtop NMR that was used for flow reaction monitoring was a NANALYSIS corp. NMRReady 60 Benchtop ¹H NMR. Chemical shifts (δ) are expressed in ppm and coupling constants J are given in Hz. Data are represented as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet and/or multiple resonances, br s = broad singlet). Deuterated solvents were used for homonuclear lock and the signals are referenced to the deuterated solvent peaks. For the acquisition of mass spectra the samples were prepared as detailed below and analysed by positive ion nanoelectrospray (nES) using a Thermo Scientific™ LTQ Orbitrap XL™ ETD Hybrid Ion Trap-Orbitrap Mass Spectrometer. The absolute PLQY of of each sample was measured using a calibrated spectrofluorometer (Edinburgh Instruments, FLS920), equipped with an integrating sphere (Jobin-Yvon). Flow reactions were carried out with a commercial E-series Photochem reactor by Vapourtec Ltd, with two V-3 peristaltic pumps and using an LED module emitting at 390-440 nm with 7.44 W light output (EPILED, Future Eden Ltd.). For the collection of LC-MS data a Shimadzu LC-2040C 3D Plus instrument was used.
S1.2 Preparation of 5-[[2-(iodophenyl)amino]methylene]-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione 1

To a stirred solution of 2-iodoaniline (3.00 g, 13.6 mmol), in anhydrous acetonitrile (50 mL) at ca. 20 °C was added in one portion Meldrum’s acid (2.15 g, 15.0 mmol), followed by triethyl orthoformate (2.41 g, 16.3 mmol). The resulting mixture was then heated to ca. 95 °C (reflux) for 2 h until the 2-iodoaniline was consumed (by TLC). The resulting mixture was then allowed to cool to ca. 20 °C, evaporated to dryness under vacuum, and the residue recrystallised by hot ethanol (EtOH) to afford the title compound 1 (4.01 g, 78%) as orange cubes, mp (hot-stage) 177-178 °C (EtOH) [lit value: 176.1-177.9 °C (EtOH)]; Rf 0.44 (n-hexane/EtOAc, 60:40); υ_{max}/cm\(^{-1}\) 3163w (aryl C-H), 2995w (alkyl C-H), 1726m and 1680s (C=O), 1601w, 1582m, 1568m, 1493w, 1433w, 1389m, 1377m, 1319s, 1300m, 1269s, 1227w, 1200s, 1144w, 1119w, 1016m, 993w, 984m, 930m, 769w, 745w, 724m, 718m, 706w, 688w, 579w, 555m, 543w, 520w, 504w, 489w, 473w, 454w, 431w, 412w, 399w, 385w, 365w. δ_{H} (400 MHz, DMSO-d_{6}) 11.37 (1H, d, J = 14.0 Hz, NH), 8.65 (1H, d, J = 14.0 Hz, =CH), 7.93 (1H, dd, J = 7.6 Hz, 1.2 Hz, Ar H), 7.73 (1H, dd, J = 8.0 Hz, 0.8 Hz, Ar H), 7.46-7.50 (1H, m, Ar H), 7.05 (1H, dd, J = 7.8 Hz, 7.8 Hz, 1.6 Hz, Ar H), 1.69 (6H, s, CH3); δ_{C} (100 MHz, DMSO-d_{6}) 164.6, 162.3, 153.5, 139.6, 139.2, 129.9, 128.0, 118.6, 104.6, 90.7 87.7, 26.6; identical to an authentic sample.\(^1\)

S1.3 Preparation of 8-iodoquinolin-4(1H)-one 2

To stirred diphenyl ether (5 mL) preheated to ca. 220 °C (Wood’s metal bath), was added portion wise over a 4 min period 5-[[2-(iodophenyl)amino]methylene]-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione 1 (1.00 g, 2.7 mmol) and the mixture was heated at ca. 220 °C for another 5 min until the starting material was consumed (by TLC). The resulting mixture was then allowed to cool to ca. 20 °C, diluted (n-hexane, 15 mL) and the insoluble precipitate was isolated by filtration and recrystallised by hot toluene (PhMe) to afford the title compound 2 (679 mg, 93%) as beige-colored cubes, mp (hot-stage) 76-77 °C (PhMe) [lit value: 75.3-76.3 °C (PhMe)]; Rf 0.41 (THF/EtOAc, 50:50); υ_{max}/cm\(^{-1}\) 3090 brw (N-H), 1618s (C=O), 1585s, 1543s, 1504s, 1431m, 1325m, 1300w, 1240m, 1215w, 1188s, 1099w, 1074w, 1051m, 889w, 866w, 835w, 793s, 775m, 746s; δ_{H} (400 MHz, DMSO-d_{6}) 10.72 (1H, br s, NH), 8.18 (1H, dd, J = 7.6 Hz, 1.2 Hz, Ar H), 8.11 (1H, dd, J = 8.0 Hz, 1.2 Hz, Ar H), 7.88 (1H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, Ar H) 7.11 (1H, dd, J = 8.0 Hz, 8.0 Hz, Ar H), 6.13 (1H, d, J = 6.0 Hz, Ar H); δ_{C} (100 MHz, DMSO-d_{6}) 176.7, 142.2, 140.7, 140.4, 126.6, 125.6, 124.7, 109.0, 87.4; identical to an authentic sample.\(^1\)

S1.4 Preparation of 8,8’-[(Benzo[c][1,2,5]thiadiazole-4,7-diyl)bis(quinolin-4-ol) 5

To a stirred solution of 8-iodoquinolin-4(1H)-one 2 (100.0 mg, 0.368 mmol) in dioxane/H\(_2\)O (75:25) (1 mL) at ca. 20 °C was added in sequence powdered K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (101.6 mg, 0.720 mmol), followed by 4,7-bis(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzo[c][1,2,5]thiadiazole 4 (71.4 mg, 0.184 mmol), and then Pd(dppf)Cl\(_2\)-CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (15.0 mg, 5 mol %). The reaction mixture was then immersed into a preheated oil bath and heated at ca. 89 °C (reflux) for 5 min until the iodoquinolinone 2 was consumed (by TLC, THF/EtOAc, 50:50). The reaction mixture was then allowed to cool to ca. 20 °C leading to the formation of a powdery yellow precipitate, which was isolated
by filtration, washed thoroughly using THF (2 × 5 mL), CHCl₃ (2 × 5 mL) and EtOH (2 × 5 mL), and then dried under a stream of air, to afford the title compound 5 (75.2 mg, 97%) as a fine yellow powder, mp (TGA) > 400 °C (decomp.); λₘₐₓ(DMSO)/nm 306 inf (log ε 3.42), 317 (3.48), 325 (3.35); νₘₐₓ/cm⁻¹ 3166brw (C-H), 1619s, 1617s, 1567s, 1506s, 1433m, 1403w, 1335m, 1310w, 1284w, 1247w, 1191s, 1146w, 1122m, 1066m, 979w, 975m, 901w, 880m, 849m, 810m, 795m, 756s; δₜ (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) 8.28 (2H, dd, J = 8.0 Hz, 1.2 Hz, Ar Hₐ), 7.94 (2H, s, Ar Hₐ), 7.75 (2H, dd, J = 7.2 Hz, 1.2 Hz, Ar Hₐ), 7.65 (2H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, Ar Hₐ), 7.47 (2H, dd, J = 7.6 Hz, 7.6 Hz, Ar Hₐ), 6.07 (2H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, Ar Hₐ); m/z (ESI+): calculated for [M+H]+ 423.0910, found 423.0905.

S1.5 Preparation of 5H₂²⁺ from 5

To a 5 mL glass vial was added compound 5 (20.0 mg, 0.047 mmol) in 1 mL of TFA. The reaction mixture was then allowed to stir at ca. 20 °C for 10 minutes at which point all of the solid was dissolved. The contents of the vial were then transferred into a 50 mL conical flask containing 10 mL of distilled water, leading to the formation of a powdery dark yellow precipitate, which was isolated by filtration, washed thoroughly using cold distilled water (2 × 5 mL) and acetone (2 × 5 mL), and then dried under a stream of air, to afford the title compound 5H₂²⁺ (25.0 mg, 82%) as a fine yellow powder. Crystals of 5H₂²⁺ were grown by dissolving a small amount (~5 mg) in a 1:1 mixture (1 mL) of hot chlorobenzene (PhCl) and TFA. mp (TGA) > 400 °C (decomp.) (TFA/PhCl); found: C, 51.30; H, 2.42; N, 8.43. C₂₈H₁₆F₆N₄O₆S requires: C, 51.70; H, 2.48; N, 8.61%; λₘₐₓ(TFA)/nm 306 inf (log ε 3.42), 317 (3.48), 325 (3.35); νₘₐₓ/cm⁻¹ 3166brw (C-H), 1619s, 1617s, 1567s, 1506s, 1433m, 1403w, 1335m, 1310w, 1284w, 1247w, 1191s, 1146w, 1122m, 1066m, 979w, 975m, 901w, 880m, 849m, 810m, 795m, 756s; δₜ (400 MHz, TFA-d) 8.73 (2H, dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 1.2 Hz, Ar Hₐ), 8.57 (2H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, Ar Hₐ), 8.25 (2H, dd, J = 7.2 Hz, 1.2 Hz, Ar Hₐ) 8.15 (2H, s, Ar Hₐ), 7.04 (2H, dd, J = 7.2 Hz, 1.2 Hz, Ar Hₐ), 7.39 (2H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, Ar Hₐ); δₐ (100 MHz, TFA-d) 172.8 (1), 155.6 (7), 146.7 (11), 140.0 (10), 139.3 (6), 134.8 (9), 131.3 (8), 130.8 (4), 129.7 (5), 127.4 (3), 123.0 (2), 107.7 (12); δₐ (376 MHz, DMSO-d₆) -74.49.; m/z (ESI-): calculated for [M-TFA-H]⁻ 535.0693, found 535.0665.
Heterogeneous flow mediated photocatalytic generation of singlet oxygen with in-line NMR analysis

The procedure for the heterogeneous flow photosensitisation of 5 to produce singlet oxygen was adapted from Thomson et. al. and was carried out as follows:

To a transparent borosilicate glass column fixed bed reactor (6.6 mm ID, 100 mm length) was added a mixture of photocatalyst 5 (1.1 mg, 0.0025 mmol, 1 mol%) and 500 mg chromatography grade (60A, 40 – 63 μm particle size) SiO₂. The dry-packed mixture was then attached to the Vapourtec flow machine and it was primed with CDCl₃ (10 mL) for 5 min by flowing solvent through the column at 5 mL/min. To a dry 20 mL round bottom flask loaded with a magnetic stirrer bar, was added α-terpinene (34.1 mg, 40.1 μL, 0.25 mmol) and CDCl₃ (5 mL) before sealing with a septum. The flask was connected to the Vapourtec flow machine and then covered to prevent photosensitisation events from ambient light. The reaction solution was pumped at 5 mL/min using peristaltic pumps (Vapourtec V-3) to a T-junction where it was mixed with O₂ gas from a cylinder which was being pumped concurrently at 5 mL/min by a second peristaltic pump. The heterogeneous liquid-gas mixture formed a slug flow at the T-junction and was pumped through the fixed bed column reactor placed in a reflective housing, irradiated by an LED array (390 - 440 nm, 7.44 W). After exiting the photoreactor, the slug flow was then passed through a back pressure regulator which kept the pressure at 2 bar before passing through a 60 MHz Nanalysis-60e benchtop ¹H NMR instrument which monitored the reaction progression in real time. Following that, the slug flow was returned to the initial reaction flask to be continuously cycled for 25 min. The catalyst bed was permitted to form a ‘mixed bed’ regime (hybrid between a packed bed and fluidised bed) to prevent pressure build up.

After the reaction was complete, as indicated by the bench-top NMR, the resulting solution was evaporated in vacuo to afford an oily residue. ¹H NMR (300 MHz) analysis of the residue revealed the complete disappearance of the α-terpinene alkene protons (δH 5.6–5.7 ppm) and the appearance of the corresponding ascaridole alkene protons (δH 6.4–6.6 ppm), confirming the bench-top NMR data and the complete transformation of the starting material.

For the cycling experiments, the loaded packed bed reactor was left in place, 15 mL of fresh CDCl₃ was flowed through the aforementioned set-up and it was then discarded. A new cycle could then be initiated by following the protocol above.
S1.7 Photoluminescence quantum yield (PLQY) calculations

The FLS920 spectrofluorometer was equipped with an extended red-sensitive single-photon counting photon multiplier (Hamamatsu, R2658P, 200-1010 nm), which was used to measure all spectra. A Xenon lamp, centered to 320 nm, was used as the excitation source.

The PLQY is defined by equation 1. It is determined by dividing the number of photons emitted ($L_{\text{sample}}$), by the number of photons absorbed. The later is calculated by measuring the intensity of excitation light ($E_{\text{reference}}$) and subtracting it from the intensity of excitation light not absorbed by the sample ($E_{\text{sample}}$).

$$\text{PLQY} = \frac{\text{# photons emitted}}{\text{# photons absorbed}} = \frac{L_{\text{sample}}}{E_{\text{reference}} - E_{\text{sample}}}$$

Eq. 1

$L_{\text{sample}} = \text{emission intensity}$

$E_{\text{reference}} = \text{intensity of excitation light}$

$E_{\text{sample}} = \text{intensity of excitation light not absorbed by the sample}$
S2 Complementary data and discussion

S2.1 TGA curves of 5 and 5H$_2^{2+}$

Figure S1. a) TGA thermogram of freebase compound 5 has a very small % mass loss at around 320 °C which may be associated with a small impurity that is present in the sample. b) TGA thermogram of 5H$_2^{2+}$ in which the first % mass loss corresponds to the loss of the two TFA molecules.
S2.2 Crystallographic data of compound $5\text{H}_2^{2+}$

The structure has been deposited with the CCDC with a Deposition Number 2086024.

**Crystal data for [5H$_2^{2+}$ 2TFA$^-$] 2TFA:** $[\text{C}_{2x}\text{H}_{18}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}^{2+} 2(\text{C}_2\text{F}_3\text{O}_2^-)].2\text{C}_2\text{F}_3\text{O}_2\text{H}$, $M = 878.56$, yellow plates, $0.18 \times 0.04 \times 0.03$ mm$^3$, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$ (No. 14), $a = 8.14670(10)$, $b = 21.3962(3)$, $c = 20.1733(3)$ Å, $\beta = 90.509(2)^\circ$, $V = 3516.24(8)$ Å$^3$, $Z = 4$, $D_c = 1.660$ g cm$^{-3}$, $F_{000} = 1768$, SuperNova, Dual, Cu at home/near, Atlas, MoK$_\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71065$ Å, $T = 100(2)$ K, $2\theta_{\text{max}} = 51.9^\circ$, 12906 reflections collected, 6738 unique ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.0269$). Final $\text{GooF} = 1.035$, $R_1 = 0.0513$, $wR_2 = 0.1380$, $R$ indices based on $5767$ reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ (refinement on $F^2$), 660 parameters, 0 restraints. Lp and absorption corrections applied, $\mu = 0.220$ mm$^{-1}$.

Comparison of the calculated structures with the structure determined from the TFA- crystal of $5$ indicates that the match in conformation and geometry is high. It appears structurally there is little difference in the protonation of $5$ on distances or angles. This is highlighted by the low RMSD and maximum deviation numbers when comparing the molecular geometries (Table S1 and Figure S2).

**Table S1.** Comparison of the geometry parameters from the X-ray structure and the optimised structures in the gas phase at the RB3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level of theory.

| Structures compared | RMSD (Å) | Maximum deviation (Å) |
|---------------------|----------|-----------------------|
| Crystal:[5H$_2^{2+}$ 2TFA$^-$] 2TFA | 0.1947 | 0.3532 |
| Crystal:[5H$_2^{2+}$ 4TFA$^-$] 4TFA | 0.1775 | 0.3247 |
| Crystal:5 | 0.0605 | 0.1507 |
| [5H$_2^{2+}$ 4TFA$^-$] 4TFA:5 | 0.1876 | 0.3668 |

**Figure S2.** Overlay of the crystal structure with the computed structures $5$, [5H$_2^{2+}$ 4TFA$^-$]:4TFA and [5H$_2^{2+}$ 2TFA$^-$] 2TFA. a) Crystal:[5H$_2^{2+}$ 2TFA$^-$] 2TFA, b) Crystal:[5H$_2^{2+}$ 4TFA$^-$] 4TFA, c) Crystal:5, d) [5H$_2^{2+}$ 4TFA$^-$] 4TFA:5. Molecules in ball-and-stick representation and only molecules of 5 shown for clarity.
Figure S3. Crystal packing structure of $5H_2^{2+}$ with the molecules shown as capped stick representations and viewed down the $a$ axis. Disorder of one of the hydrogen bonded $\text{CF}_3\text{COO}^-$ $\text{CF}_3\text{COOH}$ pairs shown. $\pi-\pi$ stacking of $5$ results in layers of $5$ and layers of $\text{CF}_3\text{COO}^-$ $\text{CF}_3\text{COOH}$. There is extensive hydrogen bonding between the $\text{O}-\text{H}$ and $\text{N}-\text{H}$ groups of $5$ and the donors and acceptors of the $\text{CF}_3\text{COO}^-$ and $\text{CF}_3\text{COOH}$.

Figure S4. Crystal packing structure of $5H_2^{2+}$ with the molecules shown as capped stick representation. a) Structure as viewed down the $a$ axis. b) Structure as viewed down the $c$ axis. Disorder not shown for clarity.
S2.3 Computational data

The geometries of the molecule 5 in neutral and protonated form were fully optimized at the DFT RB3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level of theory and analytical second derivatives were computed using vibrational analysis to confirm each stationary point to be a minimum by yielding zero imaginary frequencies. TD-DFT calculations were performed also at the RB3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level of theory to obtain the vertical excitation energies. All the above computations were performed using the Gaussian 03 suite of programs.\(^5\)

X-ray crystallography showed that molecule 5 exists in the solid state as the phenolic prototautomeric form 5" cocrystallised with molecules of TFA that participate via various H-bonding interactions (Figure S5). In the absence of TFA or in the gas or solution phase the structure of compound 5 can differ. As two possible symmetrical prototautomers 5' and 5" exist, and one unsymmetrical, 5"', all were optimised at the RB3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level of theory in gas phase. The quinolone tautomer 5' was computed to be 42.3 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\) more stable than prototautomer 5" and 14.6 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\) more stable than 5"'.

![Structures of the three possible prototautomers of compound 5.](image)

**Figure S5.** Structures of the three possible prototautomers of compound 5.

TD-DFT calculations on the optimized structures of tautomers 5', 5" and 5"'', revealed a significant blue shift for the longest wavelength absorption of tautomer 5" compared to 5' and 5"'', ca. 140 and 132 nm, respectively, with the absorption of the former being in best agreement with the experimental absorption spectra (Figure S6).

![Comparison of the experimental absorption spectrum for compound 5 and the theoretical absorption spectra for the prototautomers 5', 5" and 5"'.](image)

**Figure S6.** Comparison of the experimental absorption spectrum for compound 5 and the theoretical absorption spectra for the prototautomers 5', 5" and 5"'.
The main vertical excitations for molecule S" were calculated using TD-DFT at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level of theory (Table S2), which indicated that the longest wavelength absorption corresponds to a HOMO → LUMO transition; observed as a shoulder in the theoretical and the experimental spectrum, blue shifted in the latter by ca. 51 nm). The two other absorptions observed theoretically at 322 and 312 nm, have a main contribution from a HOMO-2 → LUMO and a HOMO → LUMO+1 transition, respectively.

| Table S2. Singlet excited states for S" from TD-DFT data at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level of theory |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| excited state | transition (contribution) | energy (eV) | λ (nm) | osc. strength |
|----------------|---------------------------|-------------|--------|--------------|
| S1             | HOMO-2 → LUMO (2%)        | 3.2951      | 376    | 0.1525       |
|                | HOMO → LUMO (91%)         |             |        |              |
| S2             | HOMO-1 → LUMO (98%)       | 3.5507      | 349    | 0.0007       |
| S3             | HOMO-2 → LUMO (84%)       | 3.8447      | 322    | 0.0955       |
|                | HOMO → LUMO+1 (4%)        |             |        |              |
| S4             | HOMO-2 → LUMO+1 (6%)      | 3.9741      | 312    | 0.1171       |
|                | HOMO → LUMO+1 (85%)       |             |        |              |

Figure S7. The molecular orbitals associated with the vertical excitations responsible for the absorption profile of tautomer 5" revealed that the HOMO → LUMO transition has significant charge transfer character from the quinolinol moieties to the benzothiadiazole core.

As supported by X-ray crystallography, in TFA we expect the quinolins to be in the protonated form, and as such the dication in the presence and absence of coordinated TFA molecules were studied computationally. In the absence of explicitly added TFA molecules in the cationic form the absorption is significantly red shifted owing to an intramolecular hydrogen bond between the NH of the
protonated quinolinol and the nitrogen of the thiadiazole ring. This leads to increased planarity of the system, and, as such, the absorption was shifted to longer wavelengths presumably owing to greater conjugation (Figure S8).

![UV-vis absorption spectra](image_url)

**Figure S8.** Comparison of the experimental absorption spectrum for compound 5 and the theoretical absorption spectra for the neutral and dication species of 5.

The UV-vis absorption of the neutral molecule and the pyridinium form in the presence of coordinated anionic and neutral TFA molecules, however, is in good agreement with the experimental UV-vis absorption in TFA as solvent. The more TFA molecules incorporated into the calculation, the closer is the absorption to the experimental data in TFA.

![HOMO and LUMO](image_url)

**Figure S9.** HOMO and LUMO representations of the optimized structure of molecule 5 in the presence of eight TFA molecules (4 neutral and 4 anions, total charge -2). TFA molecules are omitted for clarity.
Figure S10. Optimized structures of molecule 5 in (a) neutral form; (b) pyridinium form without counterion; (c) pyridinium form with two trifluoroacetates and two TFA; (d) pyridinium form with four trifluoroacetates and four TFA molecules.

Atomic Cartesian Coordinates

8,8’-(Benzo[c][1,2,5]thiadiazole-4,7-diyl)bis(quinolin-4(1H)-one) (5’)

| Atom | Coordinates (Å) | C     | H     | C     |
|------|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|
| C    | -0.709799       | 1.416556 | -0.155216 | 4.684557 | 0.844111 | 2.152379 |
| C    | 0.709800        | 1.416557 | 0.155212  | 5.589974 | 0.103289 | 1.416158 |
| C    | 1.434817        | 0.187251 | 0.314698  | 6.638348 | 0.043905 | 1.682107 |
| C    | 0.695079        | -0.965350 | 0.155683  | -2.878924 | 0.175188 | -0.658756 |
| C    | -0.695078       | -0.965350 | -0.155673 | -3.823222 | -0.557284 | 0.107698 |
| C    | -1.434816       | 0.187249 | -0.314696 | -3.341262 | 0.880654 | -1.766671 |
| H    | 1.181986        | -1.924867 | 0.291713  | -5.179232 | -0.599079 | -0.276486 |
| H    | -1.181985       | -1.924869 | -0.291699 | -4.684552 | 0.844098 | -2.152388 |
| N    | -1.224708       | 2.644304 | -0.265066 | -2.633501 | 1.460238 | -2.345755 |
| N    | 1.224709        | 2.644306 | 0.265052  | -5.589971 | 0.103281 | -1.416164 |
| S    | -0.000001       | 3.696067 | -0.000002 | -5.006890 | 1.395245 | -3.027664 |
| C    | 2.878926        | 0.175192 | 0.658756  | -6.638345 | 0.043895 | -1.682115 |
| C    | 3.823222        | -0.557285 | -0.107696 | -6.184984 | -1.367033 | 0.511349 |
| C    | 3.341266        | 0.880665 | 1.766665  | 6.184983 | -1.367037 | -0.511347 |
| C    | 5.179233        | -0.599078 | 0.276486  | -5.652408 | -2.021790 | 1.697310 |
8,8'-(Benzo[c][1,2,5]thiadiazole-4,7-diyl)bis(quinolin-4-ol) (5'')

| Atoms | Coordinates (Å) | C      | y     | z     |
|-------|----------------|--------|-------|-------|
| C     | -4.342803      | -1.920073 | 2.024877 | O       | -7.363332 | -1.426497 | 0.174998 |
| C     | 4.342798       | -1.920087 | -2.024866 | O       | 7.363332 | -1.426499 | -0.174998 |
| C     | 5.652404       | -2.021802 | -1.697302 | N       | -3.446093 | -1.227226 | 1.260314 |
| H     | -6.333597      | -2.587205 | 2.318407  | N       | 3.446090 | -1.227235 | -1.260306 |
| H     | -3.926426      | -2.385439 | 2.910204  | H       | 2.493561 | -1.136487 | -1.576551 |
| H     | 3.926419       | -2.385459 | -2.910190 | H       | -2.493565 | -1.136475 | 1.576561  |
| H     | 6.333591       | -2.587221 | -2.318397 |

8-[7-(4-Hydroxyquinolin-8-yl)benzo[c][1,2,5]thiadiazol-4-yl]quinolin-4(1H)-one (5'')

| Atoms | Coordinates (Å) | H       | y     | z     |
|-------|----------------|--------|-------|-------|
| C     | -2.84023       | -0.98341 | -0.29274 | H       | -4.48749 | 2.823885 | 1.496588 |
| C     | -3.93168       | -0.13641 | 0.050782 | C       | -6.07256 | 1.532409 | 0.867429 |
| C     | -5.25876       | -0.54416 | -0.20955 | C       | -1.41969 | -0.74288 | 0.088737 |
| C     | -5.4974        | -1.75916 | -0.86257 | C       | -0.68744 | -1.75891 | 0.665659 |
| H     | -6.53083       | -2.02656 | -1.04639 | H       | -1.19157 | -2.68877 | 0.900986 |
| C     | -4.44513       | -2.56359 | -1.2529  | C       | 0.701835 | -1.66516 | 0.964571 |
| H     | -4.62479       | -3.49431 | -1.77796 | H       | 1.190365 | -2.53051 | 1.397952 |
| C     | -3.13555       | -2.17439 | -0.95804 | C       | 1.448036 | -0.54586 | 0.69585  |
| H     | -2.31211       | -2.81093 | -1.26011 | C       | 0.733711 | 0.561823 | 0.133839 |
| C     | -4.77628       | 1.880931 | 1.047449 | C       | -0.68764 | 0.469075 | -0.15543 |
8,8'-{Benzo[c][1,2,5]thiadiazole-4,7-diyld}bis(4-hydroxyquinolin-1-ium) [5H₂⁺]

| Atoms | Coordinates (Å) | C     | H     |
|-------|-----------------|-------|-------|
|       | x y z           | 3.26474 | -1.83855 | 1.49442 |
| C     | -2.91105 -0.85154 -0.57627 | 4.59475 | -2.16697 | 1.79766 |
| C     | -3.98485 -0.11593 0.00708 | 4.79956 | -2.94464 | 2.52243 |
| C     | -5.33526 -0.47833 -0.25561 | 5.62629 | -1.51700 | 1.16552 |
| C     | -5.62634 -1.51693 -1.16551 | 6.65830 | -1.77606 | 1.35773 |
| C     | -6.65837 -1.77595 -1.35771 | 5.33525 | -0.47834 | 0.25566 |
| C     | -4.59484 -2.16690 -1.79772 | 3.98485 | -0.11590 | -0.00707 |
| C     | -4.79970 -2.94453 -2.52252 | 4.75417 | 1.61860 | -1.46397 |
| H     | -2.47568 -2.37805 -2.00249 | 6.07753 | 1.26521 | -1.30005 |
| C     | -4.75408 1.61853 1.46408 | 6.84981 | 1.81493 | -1.82250 |
| C     | -4.45651 2.45593 2.08173 | 6.38329 | 0.22736 | -0.42079 |
| C     | -6.07743 1.26519 1.30019 | -3.76896 | 0.95161 | 0.85308 |
| H     | -6.84967 1.81491 1.82269 | -1.23065 | 1.72629 | -0.12655 |
| C     | -6.38324 0.22738 0.42090 | 1.23059 | 1.72632 | 0.12643 |
| C     | -1.46282 -0.72975 -0.23032 | 3.76904 | 0.95168 | -0.85303 |
| H     | -0.70079 -1.87526 -0.11170 | -7.61850 | -0.16475 | 0.15297 |
| C     | -1.18590 -2.84067 -0.18284 | -8.28502 | 0.34597 | 0.63346 |
| C     | 0.70081 -1.87524 0.11164 | 7.61852 | -0.16482 | -0.15285 |
| C     | 1.18594 -2.84064 0.18285 | 8.28508 | 0.34590 | -0.63330 |
| C     | 1.46282 -0.72972 0.23022 | S -0.00005 | 2.79625 | -0.00004 |
| H     | 0.72173 0.48980 0.08996 | -2.83172 | 1.35868 | 0.85730 |
| C     | -0.72176 0.48978 -0.09007 | 2.83181 | 1.35878 | -0.85726 |
| C     | 2.91103 -0.85150 0.57622 | C     | 2.61870 | -4.80158 | -1.52613 |
| C     | 1.57201 -2.16677 -1.43442 | 3.02572 | -5.80328 | -1.54086 |
| C     | 2.88861 -2.42743 -0.97290 | 1.35497 | 4.53539 | -1.99185 |
| C     | 3.40872 -3.75085 -1.00746 | 0.73586 | 5.33384 | -2.38182 |
| C     | 0.83686 | -3.22781 | -1.93605 |

8,8'-{Benzo[c][1,2,5]thiadiazole-4,7-diyld}bis(4-hydroxyquinolin-1-ium) with two trifluoroacetates and two TFA molecules ([5H₂⁺ 2TFA ]2TFA)

| Atoms | Coordinates (Å) | C     | H     |
|-------|-----------------|-------|-------|
| C     | 1.57201 -2.16677 -1.43442 | 3.02572 | -5.80328 | -1.54086 |
| C     | 2.88861 -2.42743 -0.97290 | 1.35497 | 4.53539 | -1.99185 |
| C     | 3.40872 -3.75085 -1.00746 | 0.73586 | 5.33384 | -2.38182 |
| C     | 0.83686 | -3.22781 | -1.93605 |
and four TFA molecules

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H} & : -0.18138 & -3.04374 & -2.25394 \\
\text{C} & : 4.92352 & -1.64154 & -0.04212 \\
\text{H} & : 5.47904 & -0.77355 & 0.29183 \\
\text{C} & : 5.47382 & -2.91579 & -0.01658 \\
\text{H} & : 6.47484 & -3.04692 & 0.37451 \\
\text{C} & : 4.72659 & -3.97345 & -0.50646 \\
\text{C} & : 0.93344 & -0.82927 & -1.34497 \\
\text{O} & : 0.50432 & -0.14639 & -2.45376 \\
\text{H} & : 0.71870 & -0.54611 & -3.43822 \\
\text{C} & : -0.24013 & 1.06564 & -2.36570 \\
\text{H} & : -0.55611 & 1.54262 & -3.28609 \\
\text{C} & : -0.60162 & 1.61975 & -1.16517 \\
\text{C} & : -0.14894 & 0.95705 & 0.01798 \\
\text{C} & : 0.62415 & -0.25974 & -0.07135 \\
\text{H} & : -1.42651 & 2.85226 & -1.06839 \\
\text{C} & : -0.91605 & 4.07874 & -1.45367 \\
\text{H} & : 0.09852 & 4.12130 & -1.82732 \\
\text{C} & : -1.66564 & 5.26532 & -1.33297 \\
\text{H} & : -1.22226 & 6.20544 & -1.63774 \\
\text{C} & : -2.93633 & 5.23761 & -0.81287 \\
\text{H} & : -3.51759 & 6.14228 & -0.69781 \\
\text{C} & : -3.50556 & 4.00511 & -0.41991 \\
\text{O} & : -2.75239 & 2.80842 & -0.56105 \\
\text{C} & : -4.58294 & 1.54262 & 0.25999 \\
\text{H} & : -4.95196 & 0.54540 & 0.47245 \\
\text{C} & : -5.35790 & 2.67916 & 0.45157 \\
\text{C} & : -6.36164 & 2.55949 & 0.84059 \\
\text{C} & : -4.82948 & 3.91142 & 0.10869 \\
\text{H} & : 3.69590 & -1.41913 & -0.49976 \\
\text{N} & : 3.40264 & -0.41019 & -0.49290 \\
\text{N} & : 0.96444 & -0.76299 & 1.11383 \\
\text{N} & : -0.38112 & 1.34792 & 1.27053 \\
\text{N} & : -3.34522 & 1.61443 & -0.22150 \\
\text{H} & : -2.86589 & 0.67909 & -0.36899 \\
\text{O} & : 5.17823 & -5.23488 & -0.54228
\end{align*}
\]

\[8,8'-(\text{Benzo}[c][1,2,5] \text{thiadiazole-4,7-diyl}) \text{bis}(\text{4-hydroxyquinolin-1-ium}) \text{with four trifluoroacetates and four TFA molecules ([SH}^2\text{+ 4TFA}^-\text{]4TFA})\]

| Atoms | Coordinates (Å) | H | C |
|-------|-----------------|---|---|
| x     | y               | z |   |
| C     | -0.20534        | 1.09415 | -1.59379 | -5.18712 | -0.52129 | -0.63870 |
| C     | -3.40200        | 0.89783 | -1.30604 | -6.13050 | 0.47025  | -0.79645 |
| C     | -4.32241        | 1.96416 | -1.47698 | -7.16803 | 0.26849  | -0.57858 |
| C     | -3.85910        | 3.22144 | -1.91917 | -5.72310 | 1.74293  | -1.21627 |
| H     | -4.58174        | 4.01752 | -2.03703 | -0.99440 | 0.02886  | -1.45160 |
| C     | -2.52476        | 3.40711 | -2.18901 | -0.36732 | -0.51432 | -2.54327 |
| H     | -2.15806        | 4.37190 | -2.51860 | -0.69615 | -0.22647 | -3.53538 |
| C     | -1.61763        | 2.34495 | -2.02687 | 0.72193  | -1.42729 | -2.43059 |
|   | C   | F   | O   | H   | N   |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| H | 1.16810 | -1.81067 | -3.34123 | C | 10.98159 | -4.05020 | 0.01444 |
| C | 1.24190 | -1.81055 | -1.22190 | O | 11.28164 | -1.69616 | 0.00873 |
| C | 0.60869 | -1.28412 | -0.05289 | O | 9.19216 | -2.49116 | 0.23695 |
| C | -0.51504 | -0.37775 | -0.16764 | F | 11.17158 | -4.54244 | 1.26173 |
| C | 2.38889 | -2.75540 | -1.11891 | F | 12.15790 | -4.13535 | -0.63125 |
| C | 2.18882 | -4.10410 | -1.35339 | F | 10.12235 | -4.88621 | -0.61257 |
| H | 1.19032 | -4.44201 | -1.59619 | C | 9.98845 | 1.30434 | 0.92172 |
| C | 3.23825 | -5.03710 | -1.25846 | C | 8.99581 | 2.46733 | 0.65397 |
| H | 3.03458 | -6.08604 | -1.43952 | O | 10.51629 | 1.16566 | 1.99394 |
| C | 4.50474 | -4.62223 | -0.92607 | O | 10.10602 | 0.57549 | -0.15970 |
| H | 5.32828 | -5.31810 | -0.83977 | H | 10.63052 | -0.29396 | -0.00771 |
| C | 4.76080 | -3.25357 | -0.69342 | F | 8.88476 | 3.26821 | 1.72049 |
| C | 3.70074 | -2.31575 | -0.79159 | F | 7.76484 | 1.98283 | 0.37410 |
| C | 5.21925 | -0.55462 | -0.31365 | F | 9.38959 | 3.21703 | -0.39194 |
| H | 5.30702 | 0.52190 | -0.20190 | C | 3.01256 | 2.72764 | -0.65916 |
| C | 6.29323 | -1.41725 | -0.19393 | C | 2.12801 | 3.54743 | -0.80361 |
| H | 7.28262 | -1.03753 | 0.02095 | O | 4.23413 | 2.44360 | -0.46603 |
| C | 6.08563 | -2.78848 | -0.37693 | O | 2.38116 | 1.20543 | -0.77345 |
| N | -3.88550 | -0.31945 | -0.88601 | F | 1.09425 | 3.53600 | 0.05798 |
| H | -3.27432 | -1.14086 | -0.74676 | F | 1.59859 | 3.61665 | -2.05693 |
| N | -0.99557 | 0.02506 | 1.00792 | F | 2.80724 | 4.69106 | -0.60781 |
| N | 0.95368 | -1.54584 | 1.20767 | C | 5.83050 | 4.98782 | 1.05959 |
| N | 3.98273 | -0.98468 | -0.59349 | C | 6.83134 | 6.17133 | 1.11066 |
| H | 3.24983 | -0.23263 | -0.67814 | O | 5.78882 | 4.49096 | -0.15245 |
| O | -6.53358 | 2.74772 | -1.39325 | H | 5.11672 | 3.73765 | -0.22904 |
| H | -7.52853 | 2.50207 | -1.28483 | O | 5.20468 | 4.66650 | 2.03401 |
| O | 7.03023 | -3.69413 | -0.28806 | F | 6.29153 | 7.26231 | 0.51423 |
| H | 7.94048 | -3.29211 | -0.07289 | F | 7.11778 | 6.50705 | 2.37587 |
| S | -0.08190 | -0.70186 | 2.15620 | F | 7.98757 | 5.90178 | 0.48166 |
| C | -9.67055 | 2.34703 | -2.25455 | C | -2.96912 | -4.01474 | -0.34008 |
| C | -11.1881 | 2.03432 | -2.08671 | C | -1.69056 | -4.88648 | -0.52758 |
| O | -9.00085 | 2.15457 | -1.17063 | O | -2.77167 | -2.79585 | -0.43936 |
| O | -9.27378 | 2.72838 | -3.33602 | O | -4.01527 | -4.66610 | -0.11986 |
| F | -11.8835 | 2.24899 | -3.21555 | H | -5.23855 | -4.01063 | 0.20582 |
| F | -11.3900 | 0.74557 | -1.72844 | F | -1.17199 | -4.71002 | -1.77543 |
| F | -11.7436 | 2.80604 | -1.12128 | F | -1.89884 | -6.20381 | -0.37775 |
| C | -8.89773 | 1.38674 | 2.03368 | F | -0.72538 | -4.53009 | 0.34828 |
| C | -9.42743 | 0.88325 | 3.40043 | C | -6.73504 | -3.76604 | 1.50670 |
| O | -9.85713 | 1.35415 | 1.14157 | C | -8.01025 | -2.89378 | 1.67202 |
| H | -9.53531 | 1.68875 | 0.23789 | O | -6.14101 | -3.48030 | 0.37693 |
| O | -7.75912 | 1.75253 | 1.90115 | O | -6.43011 | -4.57407 | 2.34439 |
| F | -10.3519 | 1.74152 | 3.89293 | F | -8.55563 | -3.05060 | 2.88146 |
| F | -8.44060 | 0.79328 | 4.29853 | F | -8.93924 | -3.22381 | 0.75090 |
| F | -10.0115 | -0.32374 | 3.29921 | F | -7.73068 | -1.57892 | 1.51165 |
| C | 10.42796 | -2.59540 | 0.08170 |
S2.4 Additional photophysical data

**Figure S11.** a) UV-Vis absorption spectra of 5H2⁺⁺ dissolved in DMSO in various concentrations. Insert shows that a new peak appears at 460 nm consistent with excimer formation. b) Photograph of a concentrated (left) and dilute (right) solution of 5H2⁺⁺ reveals a stark colour difference between the two. The deep orange colour on the left is caused by the appearance of the new absorption peak at 460 nm.

**Figure S12.** Solid state fluorescence of 5. Maximum emission was recorded at $\lambda_{em} = 512$ nm.
Figure S13. Fluorescence spectra of $5H_2^+$ in DMSO with varying excitation wavelengths. Maximum emission intensity is observed when $\lambda_{ex} = 410$ nm.

Figure S14. IR emission spectrum of $5H_2^+$ in TFA/D$_2$O (1:1) solution shows a weak peak at 1274 nm which is attributed to $^1$O$_2$ phosphorescence.
Figure S15. UV-Vis spectra corresponding to the TFA solution series. Insert highlights the tailing-off effect caused by the production of nanoaggregates. It can be seen that at 80% water, tailing occurs. At 90% the effect is not as pronounced, possibly due to sedimentation of the nanoaggregates.
Figure S16. Picture of series in increasing water percentage compared to DMSO. Concentration was kept constant at $2.05 \times 10^{-4}$ M. a) Illumination with visible light shows that visible cloudiness occurs when water reaches 20%. b) Illumination with far UV light shows the AIE effect in action; When $5H_2^{2+}$ is fully dissolved in DMSO (0% vial), no emission is visible, but as the water percentage increases, a green-blue emission appears, originating from the solid that is precipitating out of solution.

Figure S17. Left: Solid 5 under far UV illumination. $\lambda_{em} = 512$ nm. Right: Solid $5H_2^{2+}$ under far UV illumination. $\lambda_{em} = 485$ nm.
S2.5 Continuous flow set-up and cycle data

Figure S18. Stacked 300 MHz $^1$H NMR spectra illustrating the conversion to ascaridole after each run. The conversion was monitored by the disappearance of the alkene protons of the α-terpinene starting material (5.6 - 5.7 ppm range) and the subsequent appearance of the alkene protons of the product (6.4 – 6.6 ppm range).
Figure S19. a) Photosensitisation of 5 (1 mol%) for $^{1}$O$_2$ production under flow conditions. The graph shows benchtop $^1$H NMR monitoring of α-terpinene disappearance over time, when an alumina column support is used instead of silica. b) 400 MHz $^1$H NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture after 2000 s shows 80.7% conversion to ascaridole. c) LC-MS trace of pristine 5 (sample was dissolved in DMSO and filtered prior to injection). Retention time (RT) was 4.734 min. d) LC-MS trace of alumina supported 5 reaction mixture after 2000 s of irradiation shows the presence of leached 5. The sample was obtained by removing the reaction solvent under vacuum and dissolving the resulting residue in DMSO.
Figure S20. a) Schematic of procedure that was conducted to test whether trace quantities of soluble 5 can photocatalyse the production of ascaridole from α-terpinene. b) 300 MHz $^1$H NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture after 60 min shows no conversion to ascaridole, confirming that the original flow reaction is indeed heterogeneously mediated. c) LC-MS trace of pristine 5 (sample was dissolved in DMSO and filtered prior to injection). Retention time (RT) was 4.734 min. d) LC-MS trace of filtered CDCl$_3$ solution that was stirred with solid 5 (a). No compound 5 was detected.
Figure S21. a) A loaded borosilicate glass column fixed bed reactor with silica and S. The column is primed with CDCl\textsubscript{3}. b) Picture depicting the flow reactor set-up that was used during the photosensitisation experiments.
S2.6 X-Ray powder diffraction (XRD) of 5

Figure S22. XRD diffractogram of compound 5 directly after its synthesis shows the presence of a semi-crystalline solid.
S2.7 Solubility of 5 in various organic solvents

Table S3. Solubility of 5 in various organic solvents

| Number | Solvent               | Solvent Relative Polarity | Solubility   |
|--------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| 1      | Water                 | 1.000                     | Negligible   |
| 2      | Trifluoroacetic acid  | N/A                       | Soluble      |
| 3      | Methanol              | 0.762                     | Negligible   |
| 4      | Acetonitrile          | 0.460                     | Negligible   |
| 5      | Dimethylsulfoxide     | 0.444                     | Partially soluble |
| 6      | Acetone               | 0.355                     | Negligible   |
| 7      | Methylene Chloride    | 0.309                     | Negligible   |
| 8      | Chloroform            | 0.259                     | Negligible   |
| 9      | Ethyl Acetate         | 0.228                     | Negligible   |
| 10     | Tetrahydrofuran       | 0.207                     | Negligible   |
| 11     | Diethyl Ether         | 0.117                     | Negligible   |
| 12     | Toluene               | 0.099                     | Negligible   |
| 13     | n-Hexane              | 0.009                     | Negligible   |

a As determined by HPLC analysis of a filtered solution resulting from stirring 1.1 mg of 5 in 1 mL of solvent.

S2.8 \(^1\)O\(_2\) generation efficiencies of 5 and 5H\(_2\)\(^{2+}\) under indentical conditions

Figure S22. \(\alpha\)-terpinene (0.25 mmol) conversion to ascaridole under batch conditions. Photocatalysts 5 and 5H\(_2\)\(^{2+}\) exhibited similar performance under identical conditions.
S2.9 Aerobic oxidative hydroxylation of phenylboronic acid (HPLC data)

Figure S24. HPLC chromatograms of a) Neat phenylboronic acid. b) Neat phenol. c) Reaction mixture when 5 was used as a photoredox catalyst for the hydroxylation of phenylboronic acid to phenol.
S3 NMR spectra of compounds 1, 2, 5 and 5H$_2^{2+}$
5-(((2-iodophenyl)amino)methylene)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione
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