History of the Emergence and Spatiotemporal Spread of Tobacco Mosaic Virus in China
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Abstract

The ongoing spread of Tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) throughout China threatens and diminishes proceeds from production of tobacco and other crops. Determining how and when TMV first emerged in China, its current evolutionary rate, diffusion pathways, spatial and plant host distributions, can help minimize the risk associated with mosaic disease (MD). Here, 110 TMV Coat Protein (CP) gene sequences sampled from 12 distinct plant hosts between 1997 and 2015 from 18 geographical locations within China (14 Provinces, two Municipalities and two Autonomous regions) were used in a probabilistic Bayesian inferential framework implemented in BEAST v1.8.1 to reconstruct TMV’s evolutionary history from emergence to spatiotemporal diffusion. This entailed estimating and inferring: (a) the time when and location where TMV’s most recent common ancestor (MRCA) emerged, (b) the evolutionary rate, (c) diffusion pathways, (d) levels of genetic diversity and, (e) phylogenetic relationships amongst viruses. This study infers that TMV emerged around 1924 (95% HPD: 1860 to 1971) in Henan province. Its mean nucleotide substitution rate of 1.09 × 10^{-3} is marginally higher than previous TMV and Tobamovirus species rates. TMV’s current wide spatial and plant host distribution across China is due largely to (i) utilization of 15 Bayes factor supported diffusion pathways, 60% of which were outward bound viral movements from Yunnan province to proximal and distant sampling locations and (ii) a growing shift toward cost-efficient tobacco crop substitution alternatives and adoption of a mixed-crop farming system. These analyses also suggest that Yunnan province is most probably both a source rather than a sink of TMV dispersal throughout China and a major thoroughfare of trans-China TMV movements. Finally, results also indicate that TMV populations exhibited both low effective population sizes and levels of genetic diversity, while individuals from distinct hosts were phylogenetically similar probably due to strong bottlenecks and purifying selection.
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Introduction

China is the world’s largest producer and consumer of tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum L. sp), an important economic crop in China and worldwide [1]. Tobacco farming is very labor intensive. Its cultivation in China begins in summer and harvesting occurs in mid-August. Although most tobacco varieties grown in China are traded for prices lower than those for cotton, rice or sugarcane [2], the tobacco industry in China remains one of the most important sources of tax revenue for central government [3]. Principally, Chinese farmers grow tobacco widely because; (i) it has a far more limited market and price risk as compared to other agricultural crops since it is sold on contract at a guaranteed price and, (ii) cash payouts by the government are usually prompt and uncomplicated upon delivery of tobacco [2]. The 2016 release of the International Committee on taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV; http://www.ictvonline.org/virustaxonomy.asp), classifies Tobacco mosaic virus (TMV), the causal agent of mosaic disease (MD), within the genus Tobamovirus under the Virgaviridae family. This genus currently holds 37 viral species (ICTV; http://www.ictvonline.org/virustaxonomy.asp). Infection of tobacco and other crops by TMV, greatly diminishes crop yields, financial returns, and threatens farmer livelihoods throughout China [4].

Tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) infects more than 350 plant species within nine plant families of nutritional, economic, horticultural and ornamental importance [4–6]. Here, weeds and members of the Solanaceae (e.g. tobacco, tomato, potato, eggplant, pepper, and petunia), Brassicaceae (e.g. cabbage, radish), Cucurbitaceae (e.g. cucumber, watermelon, zucchini, pumpkin), and Leguminkeae (e.g. bean) plant families, as well as ornamentals such as chrysanthemums (Chrysanthemum indicum L.), and impatiens (Impatiens balsamina) [7–11], are most susceptible to TMV. The virus is rarely seed-borne and is not vector-transmitted [12,13]. In addition to transmission through susceptible weeds and plant reservoirs, TMV can also be transmitted mechanically through use of contaminated cutting tools, handling, plant cuttings, grafting, contact between plants or other contaminated surfaces, soil and/or potting material [5]. Surveys conducted in China and Turkey have shown that, the risk of MD outbreaks occurring elevates with an increase in TMV reservoirs [1,8]. Mosaic disease (MD) symptoms include, leaf necrosis, curling, mosaicism, mosaic patterns, stunted growth, and loss of apical dominance which leads to poor flower and fruit development [4,5]. The spread of TMV may be reduced through increased use of pathogen free plantlets or cuttings, biological pesticides, scouting, rouging, disinfecting tools, benches and surfaces from the greenhouse to the field [5,14].

Tobacco was first introduced to China from the West in the 16th and 17th centuries via three routes; (i) the Philippines and Vietnam to the south of China, (ii) South Korea to the northeast of China, and (iii) Japan to the east of China [15]. Also, in May of 1858, China’s Qing...
Dynasty’s Xianfeng Emperor authorized negotiations with delegations representing interests of Russia, the Second French Empires, the United Kingdom and the United States culminating in the signing of a collective of documents in June 1858, described as the Treaty of Tianjin. Reluctant ratification of this seemingly unequal Treaty by the Emperor of China in the Convention of Peking in 1860 opened Chinese ports to foreign trade, legalized the import of opium and paved the way for duty-free imports of tobacco seed and cigarettes into China [16,17]. By 1890, the first machine-made cigarettes had reached China courtesy of the American Tobacco Company, later known as British American Tobacco Company (BAT) [18].

From its founding in 1902, BAT maintained a lucrative monopoly of the market until 1915 when Nanyang Brothers Tobacco Company (NBTC), whose headquarters were in Hong Kong and Shanghai, entered China and extended its operations into the country’s major markets despite the use of aggressive tactics against it [19]. Both companies grew rapidly during the 1920s, and competition between them reached its peak, but by 1930 NBTC weakened, bringing an end to serious commercial rivalry. Foreign tobacco companies such as BAT in China were financially fruitful at this time because, they maximized tobacco cigarette sales and brought-in agricultural specialists to breed tobacco for improved production. By 1934, an estimated 300 000 rural Chinese households were cultivating tobacco to sell to BAT [18]. While public records suggest that tobacco cultivation was firmly established by the mid-1800s in China [20], no exact information is given on where and/or when, the first tobacco crop was cultivated. It is widely known though that China’s modern production of tobacco for cigarettes began in 1914 when BAT introduced tobacco seed to Yunnan province and planted in Yuxi County the following year [21]. Although the Sino-Japanese War (1937-1945) disrupted cigarette supplies to China, their popularity had taken hold [17]. When the People's Republic of China (PRC) was founded in 1949, the tobacco industry was nationalized and strong relationships between the central government and cigarette manufacturers in the provinces were formed [17,22].

Soon after 1950, most foreign owned tobacco companies including BAT were forced out of China by the PRC because of suspected meddling in and influencing of China’s socio-political and economic affairs; for purportedly participating in illicit dealings with the Kuomintang and the Chinese Communist Party, and for instigating diplomatic incidents, boycotts, strikes, student protests, and exploiting relations with peasant tobacco growers [19]. With limited provincial and regional control of tobacco and cigarette production in China, profits from the tobacco industry between 1950 and 1977 remained flat [18]. To reassert tobacco control within her borders, China founded the China National Tobacco Corporation (CNTC) in 1981 [23], and shortly thereafter in 1983, it also established the State Tobacco Monopoly Administration (STMA) [24]. The CNTC is the umbrella company for approximately 31 smaller tobacco companies in China, and it is responsible for centralized management of staff, finance, properties, products, supply, distribution, and domestic and foreign trade of the country’s tobacco industry [20,25]. The STMA in conjunction with CNTC, determines the overall government tobacco policy, including tobacco leaf quotas, pricing, cigarette production quotas, and it also controls tobacco production, marketing, imports, and exports [26,27].

To this day, the CNTC is China’s and the world’s largest manufacturer of tobacco products. It receives jurisdiction from the STMA to enforce tobacco monopoly in China on marketing, production, distribution, and sales of tobacco products [27]. During the Deng Xiaoping era (1978-1997), China’s tobacco and cigarette production industry experienced rapid growth as manufacturers actively competed with one another for foreign currency to purchase cutting edge European equipment and newer varieties of tobacco seed stock [22]. Currently, all the four largest transnational tobacco companies; Philip Morris International (PMI), British American Tobacco (BAT), Japan Tobacco International (JTI) and Imperial Tobacco (IT), have direct partnerships with the CNTC [20,25,28].

Although twenty-seven of China’s thirty-one tobacco provincial, municipal and autonomous region companies plant tobacco, tobacco production is largely concentrated in Yunnan, Guizhou, Henan, and Sichuan provinces [3]. Currently, these four tobacco production hub-provinces collectively account for over 60% of China’s tobacco planting area [1,3]. Nevertheless, the majority of China’s state administered tobacco industrial companies are distributed mostly within Yunnan, Hunan, Shanghai, Henan, Jiangsu, Zhejiang, Shandong and Guizhou provinces [29]. These tobacco companies have since been designated into three geographical groups; (i) the Shanghai group including Shanghai, Jiangsu, Zhejiang, Beijing, and Tianjin, (ii) the Yunnan group, including the northeastern and southwestern parts of China as well as Hainan and, (iii) the Hunan group, including Hunan, Hubei and central China [3,20].

To minimize the financial burden incurred by farmers, in 2006, China’s central government eliminated all agricultural taxes except for a special tobacco leaf tax, which was intentionally retained and redesigned [30]. China’s central government declares that the special tobacco leaf tax is a macroeconomic instrument to control tobacco production and consumption and it is also a source of revenue for local government through the CNTC [31]. This decision angered tobacco farmers, most of whom continue to argue that the special tax further erodes their already meager proceeds. As a retaliatory response to this action by central government, throughout China, there has been an ongoing increase in substitution of tobacco for other crops and a shift toward mixed-cropping by traditional tobacco farmers [1]. As an example, in a 3-year pilot study that began in 2008 in Yunnan province, more than 450 traditionally tobacco farming families substituted the crop for others such as tomatoes, flowers, vegetables, arrowhead, and grapes and reported earning between 21% and 110% more per acre than they had been earning from tobacco farming alone [32]. Promotion of tobacco substitution and mixed-cropping on otherwise traditionally tobacco farms, fields and/or plantations increases the risk of sporadic TMV spread across China. So far, sixteen Chinese tobacco growing provinces have reported detection of TMV in plants [33]. Also quite recently, a two-year average TMV detection rate of 55% was reported [34], using 409 symptomatic tobacco leaf samples collected between 2010 and 2011 from Anhui, Fujian, Guangxi, Guizhou, Henan, Hainan, Jiangxi, Hebei, Hunan, Liaoning, Shandong, Shaanxi, and Yunnan. Consequently, early detection and effective control of TMV dispersal in China is crucial given that once a field is contaminated, decreasing and decontaminating TMV in soils and plant hosts is extremely difficult [14].

Using TMV coat-protein (CP) gene sequences isolated from China and available in the public database Genbank, this study employs a probabilistic Bayesian inferential phylodynamic framework to reconstruct the history of TMV’s emergence and spatio-temporal diffusion throughout China’s tobacco producing regions. In essence, this will entail; (i) estimation and inference of the evolutionary rate, time to emergence and geographical locations of key ancestral TMV
variants, (ii) identification of statistically significant transmission pathways and quantifying levels of genetic diversity amongst TMV populations; (iii) describing the phylogenetic relationships amongst TMV sampled from different plant hosts throughout China.

Materials and Methods

Viral Isolates

A total of 110 TMV coat-protein (CP) gene sequences retrieved from Genbank and isolated between the years 1997 and 2015 from 12 plant hosts that include; tobacco (n=84), pepper (n=10), eggplant (n=3), tomato (n=3), beans (n=3), pumpkin (n=1), Rehmannia glutinosa (n=1), Syringa oblata (n=1), Gynostemma pentaphyllum Makino (n=1), Atractylodes lancea (n=1), Agrimonia species (n=1) and Schisandra chinensis (n=1), displaying MD symptoms. These sequences were collected or sampled from 18 Chinese geographical locations encompassing 14 Chinese Provinces, two Central Government Controlled Municipalities and two Autonomous Regions. The corresponding respective sequence accession numbers, geographical sampling locations, sampling dates and plant host data used here are listed in Tables S1a and S1b in the Supplementary Information.

Multiple sequence alignment and editing

A multiple sequence alignment for the TMV CP gene dataset was prepared and aligned with MUSCLE [35], in MEGA v6.06 [36] and thereafter, manually edited using the Improved Alignment Editor (IMPALE: http://web.cbio.uct.ac.za/~arjun/) to maintain the reading-frame integrity.

Estimating levels of genetic diversity and variability

The levels of genetic diversity in nucleotide sequence datasets for TMV CP were estimated by calculating the overall mean pairwise genetic distance (dissimilarity) first for the full dataset and secondly, for province-specific datasets in MEGA v6.06 [36] and the percentage sequence identity score (a measure of sequence similarity) using the Species Demarcation Tool v1.2 [37]. In addition, to reconstruct changes in TMV population size dynamics as a proxy for the estimate of the change in levels of genetic diversity amongst TMV pathogen populations circulating around China, the Bayesian SkyGrid nonparametric model coupled with a piece-wise constant function and/or >5 but <10, were viewed to have substantial support; and those with BF equal to and/or >5 but <10, were viewed to have substantial support; and movements with BF values >10 but less than 100 had strong support, whereas those with BF values >100 were taken to have decisive statistical support respectively [46,47].

Results and Discussion

Minimally variable mean pairwise genetic distance levels

For the full TMV-CP dataset, an overall mean pairwise genetic distance (d) of 0.038 and ≥ 83% nucleotide sequence similarity were estimated using MEGA v6.06 [36] and SDT [37] respectively. Whereas most sampling locations had d estimate values less than 0.015, the highest overall mean pairwise genetic distances were observed for Henan (d=0.180); Hubei and Shandong (d=0.045); Yunnan (d=0.036); Sichuan (d=0.031); Chongqing and Guanxi (d=0.019), and Liaoning (d=0.015) respectively. Altogether, these estimates show that isolates used in this study were very closely related and are TMV.

TMV has a small effective population size

The effective population size (EPS) and not the census population size (CPS), matters more to the evolution of a virus population because it represents the number of individual viral particles within a given population that contribute equally to the following generation as opposed to just the number of particles within an infected plant host [48]. The CPS estimate is usually larger than that of the EPS because it accounts for mutants within the population that often cannot replicate and therefore play no part in increasing the EPS and/or adding to the genetic diversity. For this reason the EPS estimate is a better indicator of changes in viral population dynamics as compared to the CPS estimate. Given that the number and diversity of plant virus populations within a single infected host can be very large, where for example TMV’s CPS in an infected tobacco leaf has been estimated to be in the range of 1011 to 1012 [49,50], estimation of the EPS range is
important. Here, estimation of the changes in the EPS dynamics as a proxy for the estimated change in levels of genetic diversity amongst TMV populations using the Bayesian SkyGrid non-parametric demographic model prior (Figure 1) implemented in BEAST v.1.8.1 indicates that, TMV has a small EPS in the range of 101 to 104, which is inferred here as translating to low levels of genetic diversity. It is strongly believed that TMV’s low intrinsic infectivity is one possible determinant of a small effective population size [51]. The EPS of TMV remained fairly constant at around 101 from 1924 until around 1997. After 1997, the EPS increased marginally above 102 up-to 2003, before falling in-between 2003 and 2007 to approximately 104, and finally decreasing to just under 102 from 2011 to 2015, the last sampling year. These four-year alternating cycles of low level EPS changes for TMV indicate that, the occurrence of genetic drift [52], is possibly high.

Figure 1: A Bayesian Skygrid demographic model plot displaying changes in TMV effective population sizes (EPS): TMV populations are shown here to have a small EPS in the range of 101 to 104, which is inferred here as translating to low levels of genetic diversity. The plot shows time from the past to the present in both “years before present” (YBP) and “calendar year” format, where “0” in the YBP format and “2015” in the calendar format each represent the most recent sampling date for the data. The horizontal solid black line represents the posterior median whereas the blue-shaded region represents the 95% Bayesian credibility interval of the effective population size Ne (t) based on the data. From right to left, the first dashed horizontal line represents the time-point for the inferred emergence of TMV in China’s Henan province and the last four dashed vertical lines represent the time-points where significant Ne (t) changes in TMV populations occurred.

Characterization of TMV recombinants

No evidence for the presence of intra-strain recombinant sequence tracts was detected in the TMV-CP gene dataset using methods implemented in the RDP [38]. Consequently, the resulting recombinant-free TMV-CP gene dataset was imported into the phylogeographic inferential analyses framework implemented in BEAST v1.8.1 [35].

Nucleotide substitution and evolutionary model choice

The evolutionary and spatiotemporal diffusion history of TMV in China was inferred using the best-fit generalized time-reversible nucleotide substitution model with four gamma rate categories (GTR +G4) and the best-fit uncorrelated lognormal relaxed clock coupled with a constant size population demographic prior, under the discrete reversible phylogeographic diffusion model implementing the Bayesian stochastic search variable selection (BSSVS) procedure. Implementing the BSSVS enables the construction of a Bayes factor (BF) test that identifies significant non-zero migration (transition) rates and locations which are epidemiologically linked so as to provide the most parsimonious description of the phylogeographic diffusion process [42]. Marginal likelihoods obtained for both molecular clock and demographic models evaluated using path sampling and stepping-stone methods [40], are displayed in Table S2 in the Supplementary Information.

Where and when TMV first emerged in China

Globally, the New World, centering about some part of Peru, Bolivia or Brazil has been implicated as being the original habitat of tobacco mosaic virus [53]. Here, using the discretized Bayesian phylogeographic diffusion inferential framework implemented in BEAST v1.8.1, the most recent common ancestor (MRCA) of TMV in China is inferred to have first emerged in Henan province (root posterior probability=0.3027) around 1924 (95% HPD; 1860 to 1971) (Figure 2). Henan province is located in eastern central China, on the plain between the Yellow and Huaihe rivers. Strong evidence to corroborate this inference exists. For example, in 1912, Henan Public Agricultural Major School, now known as Henan Agricultural University, located in Zhengzhou, was established in Henan province and it advanced quickly through inter-collegial ties with universities in the United Kingdom, Japan and the United States where the tobacco industry was already very well established and wherefrom, traders were importing tobacco seed and cigarettes into China since the ratification of the Treaty of Tianjin [16]. Also, Chinese agronomic records indicate
that tobacco cultivation was introduced into Henan [54], and that Henan was the primary hub of China’s tobacco production before being surpassed by Yunnan province in the late-1980s up until today [1,18,32]. This study infers that the expansion of the tobacco cultivation practice throughout and beyond Henan province heralded the genesis of the spatiotemporal diffusion of TMV's progenitor across China from province to municipality and/or autonomous region, until the pathogen achieved its current distribution range.

The original and long-term plant host of TMV in China

Several Nicotiana species commonly referred to as tobacco plants are cultivated as ornamental garden plants and those such as N. glutinosa and N. benthamiana, are model organisms for plant research [53,55]. Of these species, only the Nicotiana tabacum (N. tabacum) species is predominantly cultivated at commercial level worldwide for the production of tobacco leaf and other tobacco products such as cigarettes, cigars, and chewing tobacco [53], and the results obtained here indicate that N. tabacum, is unlikely to have been the first host of TMV in China. This is because land-use patterns were not as heavily devoted to tobacco cultivation prior to, and around 1915 and 1916, when tobacco cultivation began in the country in Henan, and Yuxi County, Yunnan [21,54]. However, N. tabacum became China’s preferred long-term host following.

The expansion of the tobacco cultivation practice from traditional to non-traditional production regions.

The emergence of the TMV progenitor around 1924 in Henan and its spatiotemporal diffusion across proximal and distant provinces, municipalities and/or autonomous regions as a result of the latter. Results obtained here also indicate that, the 110 Chinese TMV sequences were collected from 12 species in 8 families (Solanaceae, Legumineae, Cucurbitaceae, Asteraceae, Oleaceae, Rosaceae and Schisandraceae).

In view of the above, and because TMV sequences used here were collected from only 18 geographical locations distributed across China, results obtained here indicate that currently, TMV has a wide plant host distribution throughout China. Also, inclusion of novel viral sequences sampled from locations within China that were not considered here, should show that the distribution of the virus amongst plant hosts in China is much wider. Furthermore, the aforementioned TMV plant hosts, may be key reservoirs of the virus from which spillovers, and even perhaps host-switches, occur seasonally often during MD outbreaks, furthering the dispersal of the pathogen across China.

The mean nucleotide substitution rate for TMV

The estimated mean nucleotide substitution rate for the TMV-CP segment dataset was $1.09 \times 10^{-3}$ (95% HPD: $6.59 \times 10^{-4}$ to $1.58 \times 10^{-3}$). While this estimate is about the average rate of nucleotide substitution for RNA viruses [13,56], it is a marginally higher rate of nucleotide substitution as compared to that of $1.1 \times 10^{-5}$ (95% HPD: $8.4 \times 10^{-6}$ to $2.5 \times 10^{-5}$) previously reported for the CP region of TMV [57] and it is significantly higher compared to that of $2.2 \times 10^{-6}$ reported for 320 tobamovirus CP genes representing 25 species [58]. Given that the CP-segment of TMV is functionally constrained and reportedly under strong negative selection pressure [56], the mean nucleotide substitution rate reported here for TMV remains within the inferred and expected fivefold range around the mean rate for RNA viruses of around $10^{-3}$ [29].

Major dissemination pathways used by TMV to achieve its current spatial and host distribution

A total of 15 statistically well supported (i.e. with BF<5.0) epidemiological links and movement pathways were identified for the TMV-CP dataset using the discretized phylogeographic model for the 18 geographical locations considered (summarized in Figure 3 below and Table S3 in the Supplementary Information). Following its emergence in Henan province around 1924, TMV is inferred here to have disseminated to and localized its spread during MD outbreaks, furthering the dispersal of the pathogen across China.
Yunnan is a source rather than a sink of TMV dispersal

Yunnan province is China's leading tobacco production hub and annually it has well over 400,000 hectares of land designated to tobacco cultivation [59]. Yunnan also accounts for 20% of the world's tobacco leaf yield; 40% of China's leaf yield and leaf exports respectively [60]. The Bayesian phylogeographic analyses of the TMV-CP dataset inferred that, Yunnan province is apparently a major source of TMV and also a thoroughfare of trans-China TMV movements. The position that Yunnan is possibly a major source rather than a sink of TMV spatiotemporal diffusion across China is based on the fact that nine (60%) of the 15 BF-supported TMV dissemination pathways inferred here were; (i) all outward-bound transmission events of the virus from Yunnan to other Chinese provinces, municipalities and/or autonomous regions and, (ii) the estimated timing of each of these dispersal events is congruent with detection of TMV in tobacco using electron microscopy in Yunnan [61] and detection and isolation of TMV from tobacco leaves collected in Yuxi, Qujing, Honghe, Dali, and Chuxiong counties, Yunnan province [61,62]. Together with Baoshan, Kunming, Wenshan, and Zhaoteng, the latter five Yunnan counties, are sub-suited, suitable and most-suited for tobacco cultivation and depending on this characterization, they each carry a measureable TMV threat to tobacco and other crops [60]. Results obtained here also indicate that, the outward-bound TMV transmissions from Yunnan may have occurred at a time when; (i) Yunnan had just usurped Henan as China's major tobacco production hub [18,63], (ii) China extended the tobacco planting area from hub-provinces of Yunnan, Guizhou, Sichuan, Henan and municipalities such as Chongqing, into marginal lands and non-traditional production areas [33], (iii) Yunnan was listed together with Shaanxi, Shandong, Liaoning, Heliangjiang provinces, as a location wherein TMV susceptible, to moderately susceptible tobacco cultivars were widely grown prior to, and between 2007 and 2011 [64], and (iv) 95% MD was reported in Yunnan [65]. These viral dispersal events partly explain the high, two-year average TMV detection rate of more than 55% reported throughout Anhui, Fujian, Guangxi, Guizhou, Henan, Heliangjiang, Hubei, Hunan, Liaoning, Shandong, Shaanxi, and Yunnan, between 2010 and 2011 respectively [34]. Invariably, the locations where TMV was detected in the above study contain marginal lands and non-traditional tobacco production areas.

The latter indicates that Yunnan, has significantly contributed far more than any other major tobacco production regions within China to the spatiotemporal diffusion of the virus throughout the country. In fact except for the single seeding of Yunnan by TMV from Henan, analyses conducted here did not reveal any other inward-bound transmissions of the virus into Yunnan. This may be because Yunnan is a donor rather than a recipient of TMV. Further inspection of outward-bound viral transmission movements from Yunnan indicates that there were far more introductions of virus into non-traditional and/or low-level tobacco production regions than there were movements of the virus into ready-established major tobacco production hubs such as Guizhou and Sichuan. The lower numbers of TMV movements reported here between Yunnan and other major production hubs may be the outcome of a strong protectionist policy that was exercised by premiers from the mid-1990's to the early 2000's to minimize the movement of and protect plants of economic importance from viral diseases [20,66]. Movements could also have been lower because the regions were more prepared to control the spread of the virus from the greenhouse to the field. In contrast, the scrapping of the inter-regional protectionist policy by the STMA and theCNTC possibly encouraged free movement of TMV-infected and/or highly susceptible plant materials between neighboring and/or proximal Chinese provinces, autonomous regions, and/or municipalities. Also, rapid conversion of neglected lands in non-traditional tobacco production regions, where lands where covered by weeds and/or other TMV-reservoirs, that could have increased the spread of the virus. Because, non-traditional tobacco production regions were probably not equipped to manage TMV, its spread into and within such areas would have been relatively easier and quicker. Such a scenario, would explain why more TMV movements from Yunnan to minority production areas were inferred here.

Possibly significant determinants of TMV spatiotemporal diffusion

Several factors appear to have aided the spatiotemporal diffusion of TMV across China since its inferred emergence in Henan. Chief amongst these is: (i) the limited sources of natural genetic resistance to TMV [64]; (ii) over-reliance on both N and N' gene mediated resistance in tobacco which is easily overcome by several mutant strains of the virus such as TMV-O [33,67-69]; (iii) reportedly high TMV detection rates ranging between 48% to 100% and MD incidences greater than 95% occurring within tobacco seedlings before and after transplanting from float tray production systems [65]. Another significant determinant is an increasing population of new tobacco farmers either lacking or receiving limited training in control and management of the virus from float trays, within greenhouses, and under field conditions. This leads to increased cultivation of TMV susceptible or moderately susceptible tobacco cultivars and increased losses due to the virus [33,34,64]. The dispersal of TMV throughout China is especially aided by the limited introduction, availability, affordability, distribution and breeding of resistant or moderately resistant cultivars (e.g. Coker86, Coker176, CV87, Zhongyan90 and Jiyan5) [64].

Yunnan is a source rather than a sink of TMV dispersal

Yunnan province is China’s leading tobacco production hub and annually it has well over 400,000 hectares of land designated to tobacco cultivation [59]. Yunnan also accounts for 20% of the world’s tobacco leaf yield; 40% of China’s leaf yield and leaf exports respectively [60]. The Bayesian phylogeographic analyses of the TMV-CP dataset inferred that, Yunnan province is apparently a major source of TMV and also a thoroughfare of trans-China TMV movements. The position that Yunnan is possibly a major source rather than a sink of TMV spatiotemporal diffusion across China is based on the fact that nine (60%) of the 15 BF-supported TMV dissemination pathways inferred here were; (i) all outward-bound transmission events of the virus from Yunnan to other Chinese provinces, municipalities and/or autonomous regions and, (ii) the estimated timing of each of these dispersal events is congruent with detection of TMV in tobacco using electron microscopy in Yunnan [61] and detection and isolation of TMV from tobacco leaves collected in Yuxi, Qujing, Honghe, Dali, and Chuxiong counties, Yunnan province [61,62]. Together with Baoshan, Kunming, Wenshan, and Zhaoteng, the latter five Yunnan counties, are sub-suited, suitable and most-suited for tobacco cultivation and depending on this characterization, they each carry a measureable TMV threat to tobacco and other crops [60]. Results obtained here also indicate that, the outward-bound TMV transmissions from Yunnan may have occurred at a time when; (i) Yunnan had just usurped Henan as China’s major tobacco production hub [18,63], (ii) China extended the tobacco planting area from hub-provinces of Yunnan, Guizhou, Sichuan, Henan and municipalities such as Chongqing, into marginal lands and non-traditional production areas [33], (iii) Yunnan was listed together with Shaanxi, Shandong, Liaoning, Helionjiang provinces, as a location wherein TMV susceptible, to moderately susceptible tobacco cultivars were widely grown prior to, and between 2007 and 2011 [64], and (iv) 95% MD was reported in Yunnan [65]. These viral dispersal events partly explain the high, two-year average TMV detection rate of more than 55% reported throughout Anhui, Fujian, Guangxi, Guizhou, Henan, Helionjiang, Hubei, Hunan, Liaoning, Shandong, Shaanxi, and Yunnan, between 2010 and 2011 respectively [34]. Invariably, the locations where TMV was detected in the above study contain marginal lands and non-traditional tobacco production areas.

The latter indicates that Yunnan, has significantly contributed far more than any other major tobacco production regions within China to the spatiotemporal diffusion of the virus throughout the country. In fact except for the single seeding of Yunnan by TMV from Henan, analyses conducted here did not reveal any other inward-bound transmissions of the virus into Yunnan. This may be because Yunnan is a donor rather than a recipient of TMV. Further inspection of outward-bound viral transmission movements from Yunnan indicates that there were far more introductions of virus into non-traditional and/or low-level tobacco production regions than there were movements of the virus into ready-established major tobacco production hubs such as Guizhou and Sichuan. The lower numbers of TMV movements reported here between Yunnan and other major production hubs may be the outcome of a strong protectionist policy that was exercised by premiers from the mid-1990’s to the early 2000’s to minimize the movement of and protect plants of economic importance from viral diseases [20,66]. Movements could also have been lower because the regions were more prepared to control the spread of the virus from the greenhouse to the field. In contrast, the scrapping of the inter-regional protectionist policy by the STMA and the CNTC possibly encouraged free movement of TMV-infected and/or highly susceptible plant materials between neighboring and/or proximal Chinese provinces, autonomous regions, and/or municipalities. Also, rapid conversion of neglected lands in non-traditional tobacco production regions, where lands where covered by weeds and/or other TMV-reservoirs, that could have increased the spread of the virus. Because, non-traditional tobacco production regions were probably not equipped to manage TMV, its spread into and within such areas would have been relatively easier and quicker. Such a scenario, would explain why more TMV movements from Yunnan to minority production areas were inferred here.

Possibly significant determinants of TMV spatiotemporal diffusion

Several factors appear to have aided the spatiotemporal diffusion of TMV across China since its inferred emergence in Henan. Chief amongst these is: (i) the limited sources of natural genetic resistance to TMV [64]; (ii) over-reliance on both N and N' gene mediated resistance in tobacco which is easily overcome by several mutant strains of the virus such as TMV-O [33,67-69]; (iii) reportedly high TMV detection rates ranging between 48% to 100% and MD incidences greater than 95% occurring within tobacco seedlings before and after transplanting from float tray production systems [65]. Another significant determinant is an increasing population of new tobacco farmers either lacking or receiving limited training in control and management of the virus from float trays, within greenhouses, and under field conditions. This leads to increased cultivation of TMV susceptible or moderately susceptible tobacco cultivars and increased losses due to the virus [33,34,64]. The dispersal of TMV throughout China is especially aided by the limited introduction, availability, affordability, distribution and breeding of resistant or moderately resistant cultivars (e.g. Coker86, Coker176, CV87, Zhongyan90 and Jiyan5) [64].
Controlling TMV dispersal throughout China

Controlling TMV dispersal throughout China is increasingly challenging because major tobacco production hubs such as Yunnan, Guizhou, Henan, and Sichuan, experience high TMV detection rates and high MD incidences [34]. Just as these regions seed and spread TMV within and between themselves, they also introduce the virus into new and/or non-traditional tobacco production areas. Therefore, successful control and management of TMV diffusion throughout China hinges on meticulous, consistent, stringent implementation of MD.

Therefore, controlling the spread of TMV on tobacco and other plant species, Chinese researchers have put forward several strategies to help control the spread of virus. The first and most significant of these responses was the development of TMV resistant transgenic tobacco as early as 1992 [56]. Against strong opposition from both local and foreign farmers, tobacco and cigarette manufacturing companies, around 1994, cultivation of transgenic TMV resistant tobacco was revoked and remains to this day prohibited within China [56,60]. While the prohibition on cultivation of transgenic TMV resistant tobacco persists and is a huge setback on efforts to control the spread of the virus, China is at the forefront in developing molecular diagnostic technologies for rapid, robust and specific detection of TMV within soils and plant hosts. As an example, a One-step Immunocapture Real Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR) assay to detect the presence and quantify the load of the virus in soils was recently developed [71]. Additionally, a multiplex PCR assay for rapid detection of TMV and other tobamoviruses sampled from soil and plant hosts throughout China has since been developed [72], and a novel approach to discriminate TMV-infected tobacco based on laser-induced spectroscopy (LIBS) has been proposed [73]. It is clear then that, molecular diagnostic technologies for TMV such as those highlighted above, are good indicators of healthy and/or contaminated soils and/or plant hosts. These technologies permit early farmer intervention by way of decontamination and decreasing levels of the virus in soils, plants, and/or tools through sterilization, or use of biological, chemical and/or many other integrated MD management approaches.

The use of biocontrol agents such as, Pseudomonas putida A3-m strain [14], a pathogen isolated from tobacco rhizosphere soil and whose anti-TMV activity has been studied in vivo [74], has demonstrated the inactivation of TMV in field agricultural soil, helping to minimize early crop infections. Similarly, investigations for high efficacy, TMV biological and/or chemical pesticide controls have led to increased identification, availability and understanding of the mechanistic action underlying the functionality of efficient anti-TMV compounds. Compounds shown to exhibit a high efficacy against TMV include benzolactones [75], benzofuran derivatives [76], biphenyls from Garcinia tetrata [77], Ningningmycin (NNM), Antofine (ATF), Dufulin (DFL), Bingsingxiang (BQX) [78], and Alpha-monomorcharin [79]. Most of these anti-TMV biocontrol agents activate the systemic acquired resistance (SAR) response, thereby affording the plant protection against invading virus [67,80]. Only recently Chinese researchers revealed that the plant immune response against TMV in tobacco strengthens due to the presence of residues of the herbicide Bensulfuron-methyl (BSM) in treated tobacco plants and soils. The latter then results in increased levels of the phytotoxins, jasmonic acid (JA) and salicylic acid (SA); up-regulation of NtRD1 and NtRD6 genes key to fighting TMV and the expression of target genes (NtWIPK, NtPRia, NtPAL) in the JA and SA signaling pathway [81].

In fact it has recently been reported that salicylic acid and jasmonic acid are both essential for systemic resistance against TMV in tobacco (Nicotiana benthamiana) [55]. Similarly, a coat protein mediated-resistance (CP-MR) strategy against TMV that is not affected by either the form or the inoculum concentration was established in China for Rehmannia glutinosa, a comprehensive traditional Chinese medicinal herb. R. glutinosa is one of the many TMV susceptible or moderately susceptible crops rotated with tobacco under field conditions in major tobacco production areas throughout provinces, municipalities, and/or autonomous regions in China, and consequently, its cultivation suffers from infection by TMV [82]. Therefore, controlling the spread of TMV in plant hosts such as R. glutinosa, is a major step in the fight against MD.

Sources of resistance to TMV infection

Globally, the best resistance source to TMV infection is the N gene from Nicotiana glutinosa tobacco cultivars. Infection by TMV of Nicotiana species native to Central and South America: N. glutinosa is a native of Peru, N. repanda of Mexico, N. rustica of Ecuador and Peru, and N. langsdorftii of Brazil, elicits a hypersensitive response. In contrast, Nicotiana species from North America, southern South America, and Australia are most susceptible to TMV infection and have high virus titres [53]. The results obtained here suggest that seed for TMV susceptible Nicotiana species was most probably introduced into China from North America and Europe through the Treaty of Tianjin. This probably explains why N gene carrying tobacco cultivars are very few in China, because they are not indigenous to the country. Because TMV resistance sources are limited in China, this leads to high yield losses due MD [64]. While use of cultivars harboring the N gene would reduce yield losses and MD outbreaks, it is important to clarify that resistance to TMV in N gene carrying tobacco cultivars is not absolute. This is because, (i) N gene mediated resistance is suppressed at temperatures of 28°C and above, allowing TMV to spread [69] and, (ii) the N gene is a member of the TIR-NBS-LRR class of plant resistance genes and so deletion and/or point mutations of TIR can interfere with the wild-type N gene function, increasing the susceptibility of cultivars to TMV infection [83]. While it is the most effective resistance source of controlling TMV, introgression of the N gene into tobacco cultivars is associated with reduced yield and reduced yield quality [67], particularly so into N. tabacum, a variety popular globally and with Chinese farmers in major production areas [33,64]. So, to increase the yield and quality of tobacco, farmers in China must make use of an integrated disease management system. This system must stipulate breeding for and developing novel resistance sources, and environmentally friendly anti-TMV biocontrol agents. It should also encourage early and regular detection of TMV from soils and plant tissue using molecular diagnostic tools, decontamination and decreasing of pathogen levels in soils and plant hosts, and good agronomic practices such as crop rotation and use of sterile seed and farming equipment. If an integrated approach is not implemented, controlling the spread of TMV within and beyond China’s major tobacco production regions will remain a challenge. Farmers, tobacco companies and industry stakeholders will also continue to face the threat of dwindling revenues and increasing costs of MD management.

Conclusion

TMV is inferred here to have emerged in China in Henan province around 1924. Although its mean substitution rate is marginally higher...
than previously reported rates, the rate estimated here for the virus remains within the inferred mean evolutionary range for RNA plant viruses. The virus has achieved its current wide spatial and plant host distribution across China through, (i) 15 BF-supported diffusion pathways, 60% of which are outward bound transmission events from Yunnan province to other sampling locations and, (ii) a growing shift toward cost-efficient tobacco crop substitution alternatives and adoption of a mixed-crop farming system throughout China. The Bayesian phylogeographic analyses conducted here also suggest that Yunnan province is most probably a source, rather than a sink of TMV dispersal throughout China, and a major thoroughfare of trans-China TMV movements. Finally, results obtained here indicate that TMV populations exhibited both low effective population sizes and levels of genetic diversity, while individuals from distinct hosts were phylelogenetically similar probably due to strong bottlenecks and purifying selection.
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