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Many laboratories have attempted to develop an in vitro or in vivo test to characterize the sensitivity or resistance of human tumours to specific drugs for subsequent clinical use (for review see Mattern & Volm, 1982). It appears that in spite of apparent improvements in the methods, the information provided by these systems remains the same: Clinical correlations of results have shown that most tests can determine which antitumour drugs will not be clinically useful, but none satisfactorily predict which drugs will be most effective (Salmon et al., 1978; Volm et al., 1979). Thus, although some procedures have achieved clinical importance in a few centers (e.g. Group for Sensitivity Testing of Tumours, 1981), no single test system has acquired widespread clinical acceptance. It is therefore not surprising that a general disillusionment has developed in this area of research.

However, perhaps the wrong question has been asked. Instead of predicting which particular drugs may or may not be effective in any individual patient it may be that the more important question to address is: “Which patients would benefit from any course of chemotherapy?” Frequently, resistant cells possess a cross-resistance to a wide range of compounds which have no obvious structural or functional similarities (e.g. alkaloids, anthracyclines and antibiotics). This phenomenon has been designated as pleiotropic or multidrug resistance (Bech-Hansen et al., 1976). With this in mind we used in the present prospective study a simple test in which a tumour cell suspension is prepared from thefresh surgical specimen, treated with adriamycin and the uptake of radioactive nucleic acid precursors is determined (Volm et al., 1979; Volm, 1984). We investigated surgical adenocarcinoma specimens of the lung in stage III and compared the test results with survival of patients treated with chemotherapy.

Thirty-two patients with previously untreated adenocarcinomas of the lung in stage III (pT, pN) were included in this investigation. The patients were operated on between 1980 and 1982. The minimum follow up time is 5 years. The histologic classification of the tumours, based on the World Health Organization (1981) Study, was performed by Dr Kayser, Institute of Pathology, University of Heidelberg and Dr Komitowski, Institute of Experimental Pathology, German Cancer Research Center. All 32 patients had surgical resection of the tumour (lobectomy: 15 pts; pneumonectomy: 8 pts; thoracotomy and resection: 9 pts). The patients were staged at the time of surgery. The classification of the stage (pTNM) was made according to the guidelines of the American Joint Committee for Cancer Staging and End Results Reporting (AJC). Fourteen patients were only treated by surgical procedures (group S=surgery alone), 18 patients were additionally treated with cytotoxic drugs (CT group). The chemotherapy protocols used in this study were:

(A) adriamycin (50 mg m⁻² × 1), cyclophosphamide (1,000 mg m⁻² × 1), vincristine (2 mg m⁻² × 1), every 3 weeks, repeated up to 6 cycles.
(B) BCNU (40 mg m⁻² × 5), 5-fluorouracil (400 mg m⁻² × 5), daily, repeated every 6 weeks for 4–6 cycles.
(C) cisplatinum (120 mg m⁻² × 1), vindesine (3 mg m⁻² × 1) weekly for 6 weeks, then every 2 weeks for 6 months.

Follow-up data were obtained through hospital charts and correspondence with the patients’ referring physicians. Patients surviving less than 4 weeks after surgery were excluded from the study (3 pts). No patients were lost to follow-up.

Tumours of all patients were analyzed by the in vitro test. The short term test has been described earlier (Volm et al., 1979; Volm, 1984). Briefly, the suspensions are incubated with adriamycin (concentration, 0.1–100 µg ml⁻¹) in a water bath for 3 h. Subsequently, ᵃᵢ⁻H-uridine is added during the last hour of incubation. Aliquots of the cell suspensions are pipetted onto filter discs, the acid-soluble radioactivity is extracted, and the incorporated activity measured by scintillation counting. Uptake values for the individual concentrations are expressed as percentages of controls. Tumours were defined as being sensitive or resistant depending on whether uridine uptake was inhibited by more or less than 35%, respectively (concentration of adriamycin: 10 µg ml⁻¹). This threshold was based on earlier studies (Volm et al., 1979; Group for Sensitivity Testing of Tumours, 1981).

The method for analysis of survival was the statistical failure time model with censored data according to Kaplan and Meier (1958). For comparison of the functions of different populations the log-rank test and rank-sum test were used (Gehan, 1965; Cox, 1972). Both statistical methods are integrated in a program package of the German Cancer Institute, Heidelberg (Edler et al., 1980).

Of the 32 patients in this study with previously untreated adenocarcinoma of the lung in stage III, 14 were treated with surgery alone (group S) and 18 were treated with surgery plus chemotherapy (group CT). An analysis of the survival times reveals that the survival curves are not different between the S and CT groups (log-rank p=0.63, rank-sum P=0.39, Figure 1a). These results are in agreement with other reports (Legha et al., 1977; Straus et al., 1983). However, when these same data are analyzed on the basis of the in vitro test results (resistant, sensitive) a different pattern emerges. As clearly evident in Figure 1b the CT patients whose tumours were sensitive in vitro lived significantly longer than those with resistant tumours (log-rank P=0.023, rank-sum P=0.006). The median survival times were 185 weeks for the patients with in vitro sensitive tumours and 31 weeks for the patients with in vitro resistant tumours. Of importance is that there is a statistically significant positive correlation (r=0.7) between level of adriamycin induced inhibition of uridine uptake and patient survival time. This means that the more sensitive the tumour was to adriamycin the more responsive the patient to chemotherapy treatment. At this point it is important to
realize that while the numbers of patients are small, the survival times of patients who were treated with surgery alone and divided according to the test results were not different (log-rank $P=0.14$, rank-sum, $P=0.24$, Figure 1c). Thus the observed differences in survival times of patients treated with surgery plus chemotherapy according to the test results (sensitive-resistant) may be largely attributed to the drug therapy.

The age, pT, pN, tumour size and surgery procedures were similar in all groups (Table I). The distribution of treatment procedures (A, B, C) is similar in both groups of patients treated with chemotherapy. Thus, it appears that the in vitro short term test was successful a priori in predicting which patients might respond significantly to a chemotherapy regimen. While we used only Adriamycin to predict the tumour response, the treatment protocols varied considerably and therefore, further studies are required to show whether multidrug-resistance or other reasons are responsible for this phenomenon. Should these results be supported by other clinical studies, it is quite possible that more effective therapies might be designed for patients with in vitro sensitive tumours. Similarly, those patients with in vitro resistant tumours might be better served by entirely new strategies, i.e. hyperthermia or immunotherapy.

Figure 1 Survival patterns of (a) all patients ($n=32$) with stage III lung adenocarcinomas subdivided according to therapy. Fourteen patients were treated with surgery alone (group S) and 18 were treated with surgery plus chemotherapy (group CT). (b) patients with stage III lung adenocarcinomas treated with surgery plus chemotherapy (group CT) and subdivided according to results using the in vitro short term test (sensitive, resistant). (c) patients with stage III lung adenocarcinomas treated by surgery alone (group S) and subdivided according to results of the in vitro short term test (sensitive and resistant).
### Table I  Clinical characteristics within the groups of patients

| Group | Number pts | Age (years) | pT | pN | Tumour size (cm³) | Surgery | CT  |
|-------|------------|-------------|----|----|-------------------|---------|-----|
|       |            |             | 2  | 3  | 0 1 2             | L  P  R | A  B  C |
| S     | 14         | 61± 5       | 1  | 13 | 6 3 5             | 475± 947 | 5  |      |
| CT    | 18         | 56±10       | 3  | 15 | 2 3 13            | 589± 997 | 10 |      |
| CTres | 8          | 54± 7       | 1  | 7  | 0 0 8             | 520± 911 | 4  |      |
| Sres  | 10         | 62± 6       | 0  | 5  | 1 2 2             | 590±1096 | 3  |      |
|       | 9          | 60± 5       | 1  | 8  | 5 1 3             | 394± 929 | 2  |      |

S = surgery alone, CT = surgery plus chemotherapy, sens = sensitive, res = resistant, L = lobectomy, P = pneumonectomy, R = resection, A, B, C = chemotherapy protocols (see text); *mean ± s.d.
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