Difference in adsorption properties of Fe(III), Mo(VI) oxides and Fe(III) molybdate as a cause of high selectivity of methanol oxidation on iron molybdate catalyst

A mixture of iron (III) molybdate with molybdenum (VI) oxide at a molar ratio Mo/Fe=2–5 is a well-known iron molybdate catalyst used for the oxidation of methanol to formaldehyde. Despite the industrial success, the work in the field of a further improvement of iron molybdate catalysts is still in progress [1–4]. It was shown that the adsorption energies of organic bases are linearly correlated with the effective charge of nitrogen atoms and their adsorbability changes in the following range: \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 > \text{MoO}_3 > \text{Fe}_2(\text{MoO}_4)_3 \). An explanation of these correlations was proposed based on the concept of the domination of electrostatic interactions. Heterogeneous-catalytic oxidation of methanol and formaldehyde was proposed to consider assuming two forms of adsorption of their molecules: via binding of oxygen atoms with Lewis acid sites, or via the interaction of carbon and hydrogen atoms of the C–H bonds with Lewis basic centers of a catalyst. It was concluded that the selectivity of the iron molybdate catalyst in the partial oxidation of methanol can be caused by low adsorbability of intermediate products of the methanol oxidation reaction on the catalyst surface.
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highly reactive radical oxygen species. The organic acids and bases were used as test reagents, which characterized the adsorption properties of the polar solids [8–10]. The studies of the adsorption of such compounds allow characterizing not only the acid-base properties, but also the redox properties of the adsorbent, and identifying the dominant force of the adsorption interaction. Previously, we showed that the adsorption of organic acids and bases can be considered according to two approaches: charge-controlled adsorption, when the adsorption is mainly determined by the atom charges of the adsorbate and adsorbent; and frontier-controlled adsorption, when the frontier orbital energies of the adsorbate and adsorbent are close to each other. At present, it is reliably known that charge-controlled adsorption is characteristic of wide-zone polar adsorbents. Adsorption of polar organic compounds on these adsorbents is caused by the steric accessibility and by the values of the highest effective charges of atoms taking part in forming surface hydrogen or coordination bonds.

If we take into account that Fe(III) and Mo(VI) ions have unfilled d-electron levels, we can suggest the possibility of adsorption of the organic acids or bases on oxides through displacing their surface HO- ions with the formation of donor-acceptor bonds. For example, the possibility of the replacement of HO-ions in the coordination sphere of Fe(III) was studied by authors [11], where the adsorption of the phosphate ions on α-ferric oxide was studied. It was found that the adsorption of the phosphate ions was accompanied by a partial (non-equivalent) absorption of H+ ions (or the separation of HO− ions). To explain these data, the following equations have been proposed:

\[ \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^- \rightarrow \text{FeH}_2\text{PO}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O}, \]

\[ \text{FeOH} + \text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^- \rightarrow \text{FeH}_2\text{PO}_4 + \text{HO}^- . \]

However, the authors [11] have not taken into account that some portion of the acid is consumed in the processes of the hydrolysis of phosphate ion. Also, the change of pH during the establishment of hydrolytic equilibrium in the solution and on the surface of the hematite should be taken into consideration. In relation to this fact, the assumption about the possibility of the HO− ions displacement from the surface of the ferric oxide requires additional studies.

It is known that the coordination properties of Fe(III) ion are more similar to properties of cations with the electron shells s^2 and s^2p^6 rather to those of d-elements. The stability of the cations’ complexes with s^2 and s^2p^6 shells depends on the electrostatic characteristics of the ligand and the central cation: the higher the charge and the smaller the radius of these particles, the higher is the stability. Therefore, the most stable complexes are formed by the ligands with the highest charge density on the donor atoms. For example, the affinity to such cations of negatively charged oxygen atoms is higher than the affinity of nitrogen-bearing ligands. We believe that similar behavior could be observed also for the adsorption on ferric and molybdenum oxides.

To verify this assumption, the adsorption of some organic acids and bases on α-FeO(OH), α-MoO₃ and Fe₅(MoO₄)₃ was studied. The energy of adsorption interaction was calculated based on the adsorption isotherms. To determine the nature of the adsorption interaction, the material balance of adsorption was calculated, and spectroscopic studies of adsorbed compounds were used. Also, the possibility of correlations between the energies of adsorption and effective charges of polar atoms of the organic compounds was considered. Based on these data, it was proposed to consider the selectivity of the iron molybdate catalyst as a result of the difference between adsorption properties of the oxides Fe(III), Mo(VI) and Fe(III) molybdate.

**Experimental**

**Oxides preparation and characterization**

The oxides α-MoO₃, α-Fe₂O₃ and molybdate Fe₅(MoO₄)₃ were used as adsorbents. For their preparation, reagent grade Fe(NO₃)₃, 9H₂O, (NH₄)₂MoO₄·4H₂O, HNO₃, NH₄OH and distilled water were used.

The preparation methods of Fe₂O₃ and MoO₃ were quite similar. At the initial stage, gradual mixing of either concentrated solutions of Fe(III) nitrate and ammonium hydroxide at the pH of 9–10 or concentrated solutions of ammonium heptamolybdate and nitric acid at the pH of 1–2 was performed at room temperature at a continuous stirring using a propeller stirrer. The resulting slurry was filtered using a Buchner funnel, then the obtained sediment was dried in a vacuum desiccator and then calcined at 500°C for 8 h to remove NO₃− and NH₄+ impurity ions. The structures of α-Fe₂O₃ and α-MoO₃ were verified by X-ray diffraction analysis.

Fe₅(MoO₄)₃ was prepared by drop-wise adding of concentrated solution of Fe(III) nitrate to a hot (~70°C) solution of ammonium heptamolybdate acidified with nitric acid to pH 2 with continuous stirring. The resulting slurry was evaporated, oven dried at 110°C and then calcined at 500°C for 48 h.
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The structure of Fe$_2$(MoO$_4$)$_3$ was verified by X-ray diffraction analysis.

**Methods of adsorption measurements**

Aromatic acids, alcohols, amines and heterocyclic nitrogen-containing compounds were used as adsorbates. Before using, all compounds were purified by distillation or recrystallization. The choice of aromatic and heterocyclic compounds is caused by their rapid quantitative determination in aqueous solution by spectrophotometry.

The adsorption was studied by static method. Weighed amounts of adsorbent (0.50–1.00 g) were added to precisely measured volumes (10.00–20.00 cm$^3$) of the organic compounds solution. A supporting electrolyte (0.1 M KCl) was added to the solutions to maintain constant ionic strength. The suspensions were kept to stand for 24 h with periodic stirring and then they were centrifuged. The concentrations of the solutions were determined by spectrophotometry method using Specord M40 with corrections for the evaporation of highly volatile compounds being made via blank experiments. Values of adsorption were calculated as (C$_0$–C)/C$_0$V/m, where C$_0$ and C are the initial and equilibrium concentrations of organic compounds in solution, respectively. All measurements were performed at 18±2°C. Reproducibility of adsorption measurements was verified by experiments repetition. It was found that the highest possible relative standard deviations for three repeated measurements did not exceed the following values: 14.5% for the values of adsorption and 3.5% for the free energies of adsorption.

**Study on adsorption material balance**

The calculation was based on consideration of equilibriums of H$^+$, HO$^-$ and organic compounds adsorption on MeOH groups of hydrated oxide surface. The surface of oxides is known to acquire a charge due to dissociation of surface HO-groups or adsorption of H$^+$ and HO$^-$ ions (these two models are thermodynamically indistinguishable):

\[ \text{MeOH} + \text{H}^+ \leftrightarrow \text{MeOH}_2^+, \]  
(1)

\[ \text{MeOH} + \text{HO}^- \leftrightarrow \text{MeO}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O}. \]  
(2)

Adsorption of organic molecules HAn on MeOH groups without release of H$^+$ and HO$^-$ ions can be described by the following equations:

\[ \text{MeOH} + \text{HAn} \leftrightarrow \text{MeOH} \cdots \text{AnH}, \]  
(3)

\[ \text{MeOH} + \text{HAn} \leftrightarrow \text{MeO(H)} \cdots \text{HAn}, \]  
(4)

and, with a release of H$^+$ and HO$^-$ ions, by the following equations:

\[ \text{MeOH} + \text{HAn} \leftrightarrow \text{MeOHAn}^+ + \text{H}^+, \]  
(5)

\[ \text{MeOH} + \text{HAn} \leftrightarrow \text{MeAn} + \text{H}_2\text{O}. \]  
(6)

In general, the adsorption of organic acid anions can also be described as the formation of hydrogen, ionic, or coordination bonds:

\[ \text{MeOH} + \text{An}^- \leftrightarrow \text{MeAn} + \text{HO}^-, \]  
(7)

\[ \text{MeOH} + \text{An}^- \leftrightarrow \text{MeOH} \cdots \text{An}^- . \]  
(8)

Similar expressions can also be derived for the surface =MeO$^-$ and =MeOH$^+$ groups.

Note that the ratio between the amounts of H$^+$ and HO$^-$ ions in a solution is determined by the equilibrium:

\[ \text{H}^+ + \text{HO}^- \leftrightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}, \]  
(9)

and therefore possible variations in the acidity of solutions during adsorption, for example, by Eqs. (5) and (7), do not reflect absolute amounts of released H$^+$ and HO$^-$ ions, but only their relative excesses. Dissociation of acid molecules should also be taken into account in addition to acid-based equilibria mentioned above:

\[ \text{HAn} \leftrightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{An}^- . \]  
(10)

On the basis of Eqs. (1)–(10), we derived the equations of the material balance for the case pH<pH$_0$ (where pH$_0$ is the point of zero charge). Assuming that the adsorption is accompanied by the substitution of HO$^-$ ions, the initial (in.) and equilibrium (eq.) amounts of H$^+$ and HO$^-$ ions could be related through the following equations:

\[ n(\text{H}^+)_{\text{in}} - n(\text{H}^+)_{\text{eq}} + n(\text{H}^+)_{\text{eq}} = n(\text{H}^+)_{\text{eq}} , \]  
(11)

\[ n(\text{HO}^-)_{\text{in}} + n(\text{HO}^-)_{\text{eq}} - n(\text{HO}^-)_{\text{eq}} = n(\text{HO}^-)_{\text{eq}} , \]  
(12)

where n is the molar amount of H$^+$ or HO$^-$ ions. Subscripts at n(\text{H}^+) and n(\text{HO}^-) correspond to the number of equations.

The amount of HO$^-$ ions adsorbed according to Eq. (2) is neglected in this case, because the fraction of the surface =MeO$^-$ groups at pH<pH$_0$ is significantly smaller than that of =MeOH$^+$ and =MeOH groups. According to Eq. (9), the value of n(\text{H}^+)$_{\text{eq}}$ is equal to n(\text{HO}^-)$_{\text{eq}}$, and therefore the combination of Eqs. (11) and (12) yields:
where \( n(HO^-) = n(HO^-\text{eq}) + n(H^+) - \Gamma_{\text{H}^+} + \Delta C_{\text{H}^+\text{An}} \) (13)

The results obtained by the procedure (7), \( \Delta n(H^+) = n(H^+)\text{eq} - n(H^+)\text{eq} \), \( \Delta n(\text{HO}^-) = n(\text{HO}^-)\text{eq} - n(\text{HO}^-)\text{eq} \), \( \Gamma_{\text{H}^+} = n(H^+)\text{eq} \) is the molar amount of \( H^+ \) ions adsorbed by oxide according to Eq. (1), and \( \Delta C_{\text{H}^+\text{An}} = n(H^+)\text{eq} \) is the amount of \( H^+ \) molecules dissociated in the solution according to Eq. (10). All components of these equations are expressed in moles.

Equation for the calculation of the amount of \( H^+ \) ions released in adsorption according to Eq. (5) can be obtained similarly:

\[ n(H^+) = \Gamma_{\text{H}^+} - \Delta n(\text{HO}^-) - \Delta n(H^+) - \Delta C_{\text{H}^+\text{An}}. \] (14)

Hence, knowing \( pH_{\text{eq}} \) and \( pH_{\text{m}} \) and determining \( \Gamma_{\text{H}^+} \) (from the data on potentiometric titration of oxide) and \( \Delta C_{\text{H}^+\text{An}} \) (from the data on the initial and equilibrium values of concentration of acid in a solution), we can calculate the amount of \( HO^- \) and \( H^+ \) ions that can be released in adsorption.

**Results and discussion**

**Adsorption of organic acids and bases from aqueous solutions**

The studies of the adsorption of organic acids and bases from aqueous solutions on \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) showed that the carboxylic aromatic acids (benzoic, \( n \)-nitrobenzoic and 3,5-dinitrobenzoic) have the highest surface activity. The quinolones, pyridines and aromatic amines are adsorbed to a lower extent. Absorption was not found for benzamide, indole, phenol, pyrocatechol, resorcinol and 1-naphthol. These data show significant difference between the surface properties of the ferric oxide and coordination properties of \( \text{Fe}(III) \) cation: aromatic alcohols are not adsorbed on the oxide stronger than nitrogen-bearing compounds. So far as the adsorbed molecule must displace \( HO^- \)-ion from coordination sphere of \( \text{Fe}(III) \) for the interaction with its d-orbitals, it can be suggested that only anions of carboxylic acids are capable to compete with \( HO^- \)-ions. To check this hypothesis, the adsorption of benzoic acid at different acidity of solutions was studied.

Study of the adsorption of benzoic acid on \( \alpha-\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) as a function of the solution acidity showed that the values of adsorption \( \Gamma \) decrease monotonically with an increase in \( pH \) (Fig. 1, curve 1).

Calculation of material balance shows that adsorption of benzoic acid is accompanied by the release of \( H^+ \) ions. In this case, with decreasing value of the adsorption of benzoic acid, the amount of the released \( H^+ \) ions first increases and then proportionally decreases only at \( pH > 6.5 \) (Fig. 1, curve 2). Taking into account that anions of acid dominate in the solution in the studied \( pH \) range and the values of the released \( H^+ \) ions at \( pH > 6.5 \) are coincident with the values of acid adsorption, we believe that the data obtained can be described by the following equilibria:

\[ \text{FeOH}^- + \text{An}^- \leftrightarrow \text{FeO}^\text{OH}^- \text{An}^- + \text{H}^+, \]

\[ = \text{FeOH}_2^- + \text{An}^- \leftrightarrow \text{FeO}^\text{2OH}^- \text{An} + \text{H}^+. \]

The fact of unconformity of the values of the released \( H^+ \) ions and acid adsorption at \( pH_{\text{eq}} < 6 \) can be explained by the spending of released \( H^+ \) ions in the following reaction:

\[ \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + 6\text{H}^+ \rightarrow 2\text{Fe}^{3+} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}. \]

Thus the material balance of the adsorption of the organic substances and the potential-determining ions \( H^+ \) and \( HO^- \) has shown that the adsorption centers of the ferric oxide surface for the benzoic acid anions are protonated hydroxide groups \( \text{FeOH}^- \). It can be assumed that the main reason that the group \( \text{FeOH}_2^- \) acts as an adsorption center lies in its Coulomb interaction with the anion of the acid.

In order to verify the assumption that the Coulomb attraction determines the nature of the adsorption center, we studied the material balance of the adsorption of nonionic compound benzonitrile \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CN} \) on \( \alpha-\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \). The molecules of benzonitrile do not undergo the dissociation and the protonation in aqueous solutions. The results obtained by the
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adsorption measurements, potentiometric measurements and calculations of material balance for benzonitrile adsorption on $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ are shown in Fig. 2.

It was found that the adsorption of non-ionic compound on oxide is accompanied by the release of both HO$^-$ ions (Fig. 2, curve 2) and H$^+$ ions (curve 3). At first sight, the results of material balance calculations for the adsorption of benzonitrile and benzoic acid are different. Adsorption of benzonitrile at pH<6.6 is accompanied by the release of HO$^-$ ions (Fig. 2, curve 2). With increasing value of the solution pH, the amount of the released HO$^-$ ions monotonically increases and at pH>6.6 adsorption of benzonitrile is accompanied by relatively small release of H$^+$ ions (Fig. 2, curve 3). It is necessary to note that all data at pH<6.6 were obtained for solutions with initial pH below ~4, when ferric oxide can partially dissolve. The amount of H$^+$ ions consumed in this reaction can be identical to the amount of the released HO$^-$ ions because dissolution process was not taken into account in Eqs. (13) and (14). In our opinion, this conclusion is well conformed to the data of the material balance examination of the adsorption of benzoic acid on ferric oxide. The point is that the adsorption of benzoic acid is accompanied by the release of such amount of H$^+$ ions which is dominate over the amount of H$^+$ ions consumed in the reaction with Fe$_2$O$_3$.

More correct conclusions about the mechanism of adsorption can be drawn on the basis of the material balance data at pH$_{in}>$4, when the dissolution of oxide is not observed. Figure 2 (curve 1) shows that the value of benzonitrile adsorption virtually does not depend on solutions pH and almost twice dominates above the amount of the released H$^+$ ions.

Therefore, it can be concluded that the benzonitrile molecules in solutions with pH<pH$_0$ (where pH$_0$ 7.3) are adsorbed simultaneously both on $=\text{FeOH}_2^+$ and $=\text{FeOH}$ groups of the oxide:

$$\text{FeOH}+\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CN} \leftrightarrow \text{FeOH} \cdots \text{NCC}_6\text{H}_5,$$

$$\text{FeOH}_2^++\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CN} \leftrightarrow \text{FeOH} \cdots \text{NCC}_6\text{H}_5+\text{H}^+.$$ 

The UV spectra also give evidence of the fact that benzoic acid and benzonitrile cannot displace the HO$^-$ ions in $=\text{FeOH}$ and form the donor-acceptor bond with Fe$^{3+}$ ions of oxide surface. It was found that the spectra of all studied organic compounds adsorbed on Fe$_2$O$_3$ practically coincide with their spectra recorded both in water solutions and also on the surface of silica and alumina.

Thus it can be concluded that benzoic acid adsorbs from aqueous solutions mainly on $=\text{FeOH}_2^+$ groups, and benzonitrile adsorbs mainly on $=\text{FeOH}$ groups. The results of material balance calculations showed that anions and molecules of aromatic acid and nitrile can compete with water molecules and be absorbed on Fe$_2$O$_3$ by means of hydrogen bonds and cannot compete with surface HO$^-$ ions combined in complex with Fe$^{3+}$ ions.

From the point of view of charge-controlled model of adsorption [8,10], the difference between the values of effective charges of oxygen and hydrogen atoms in surface HO-groups of oxides and inconstancy of their quantity on surface are the main factors governing the selectivity of organic compounds adsorption on oxides.

It is unfortunately impossible to study the adsorption of organic compounds on MoO$_3$ and Fe$_2$(MoO$_4$)$_3$ by the material balance method based on measurements of the acidity of solutions. The fact is that the study of the adsorption of organic compounds on molybdenum oxide and ferrum molybdate showed that the acidity of aqueous solutions quickly changes. For example, with sample weights of 1 g and volume of solution 20 mL, the acidity changed to pH 3.8 and pH 2.6 for Fe$_2$(MoO$_4$)$_3$ and MoO$_3$, respectively. It is explained by the acidic nature of MoO$_3$ and its ability to react with water to form molybdate ions. It is obvious that the solubility of iron molybdate should be increased due to hydrolysis of iron cations. It leads to the formation of the iron hydroxide film on surface of iron molybdate at pH 3.8. Therefore, the study of the
adsorption from aqueous solutions was performed only for molybdenum oxide. It was found that the adsorption isotherms of aromatic amines and pyridines on MoO$_3$ have the shape of H-type by the classification of Giles and co-authors. It indicates the chemical interaction of molybdate ions with organic bases in acidic environments. Therefore, in order to reduce the solubility of MoO$_3$, further adsorption measurements were performed from methanol environments.

**Adsorption of organic bases from methanol solutions**

We studied the adsorption isotherms of eight organic bases (they are listed in Table) from their methanol solutions to compare the adsorption properties of the ferric and molybdenum oxides with those of iron (III) molybdate. It was found that all adsorption isotherms have the shape of Langmuir curve: the measured points are well fitted by the linear dependence in the coordinates «inverse adsorption vs. inverse concentration» within the studied concentration range (1–10 mmol/L). Free energies of adsorption of the organic compounds were calculated. The fact that the molecule of the organic base displaces one molecule of an alcohol at the adsorption on the oxide surface was taken into account by the equation: $\Delta G = -RT \ln 24.7 B$, where 24.7 is the molar concentration of methanol, B is the adsorption constant of Langmuir isotherm.

Fig. 3 illustrates the comparison of the adsorption energy of organic bases with the effective charges ($Q_N$) of their nitrogen atoms for Fe$_2$O$_3$, MoO$_3$, and Fe$_2$(MoO$_4$)$_3$. The values of atom effective charges (as a difference between charge of nuclear and effective number of electrons in the atom) were calculated by the iterative extended Huckel method, which differs from the usual RHM by the procedure of self-consistency for atomic charges of the molecule.

It follows from the data shown in Fig. 3 that the observed adsorption energy is linearly correlated with the effective charges of nitrogen atoms of the studied aromatic and heterocyclic amines.

According to the data given in Fig. 3, the magnitudes of adsorption energies for all studied compounds are regularly decreased in the following sequence: Fe$_2$O$_3$, MoO$_3$, Fe$_2$(MoO$_4$)$_3$. Such a correlation can be explained if the adsorption of polar molecules in the polar adsorbent is considered to be the result of intermolecular interactions, predominantly through hydrogen bonds. It is known that total energy of molecular hydrogen-bonded complexes for the equilibrium interatomic distances often coincides with the electrostatic component of the interaction. Therefore, a linear dependence could exist between the adsorption energy and the largest effective charge of adsorbate [10].

The adsorption properties difference of Fe$_2$O$_3$, MoO$_3$, and Fe$_2$(MoO$_4$)$_3$, can be explained by the

| No. | Organic compound       | $-Q(N)$, e | $-\Delta G$, kJ/mol |
|-----|------------------------|------------|---------------------|
|     |                        |            | $\alpha$–Fe$_2$O$_3$ | $\alpha$–MoO$_3$ | Fe$_2$(MoO$_4$)$_3$ |
| 1   | 1-naphthylamine        | 0.187      | 18.7                | 17.9             | 17.6               |
| 2   | N,N-dimethylaniline    | 0.195      | 19.8                | 18.6             | 17.6               |
| 3   | m-toluidine            | 0.199      | 19.4                | 19.0             | 18.3               |
| 4   | pyridine-3-aldehyde    | 0.203      | 19.5                | 19.0             | 17.9               |
| 5   | aniline                | 0.207      | 20.3                | 18.8             | 18.0               |
| 6   | 2,8-dimethylquinoline  | 0.210      | 19.9                | 19.2             | 18.5               |
| 7   | pyridine               | 0.234      | 22.2                | 20.8             | 19.5               |
| 8   | 2-picoline             | 0.240      | 3                   | 20.9             | 19.9               |
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difference in effective charges of hydrogen atoms of surface HO-groups which essentially are the adsorption centers for nitrogen containing molecules. As far as adsorption constants of organic bases are the highest for Fe₂O₃ and the smallest for Fe₂(MoO₄)₃, we can conclude that effective charges of hydrogen surface atoms in HO-groups for adsorbents involved changes in the following sequence: \( Q_{\text{H}}(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3) > Q_{\text{H}}(\text{MoO}_3) > Q_{\text{H}}(\text{Fe}_2(\text{MoO}_4)_3) \).

It is necessary to note that the conclusion about the possibility of a linear correlation \( \Delta G(Q_a) \) is true first of all in those cases where the adsorbate molecule has only one atom with a sufficiently large charge and the influence of the electric multipole moments can be ignored. A more rigorous description of the relationship \( \Delta G(Q_a) \) requires consideration of the charge redistribution in the molecule under the influence of the electric field of the adsorbent. In addition to this fact, we also must take into account the statistical nature of adsorption and solvation processes: the probability of required orientation of adsorbate particle is determined by its size, inertial properties, etc.

**Difference in adsorption as a basis of catalyst selectivity**

As follows from the previous subsection, the difference in the effective charges of hydrogen or oxygen atoms is determined by the difference in adsorption properties of the oxides surface. With respect to the oxidation of methanol to formaldehyde on iron molybdate catalysts, the difference in the effective charges of oxygen atoms for oxides Fe₂O₃ and MoO₃ in comparison with Fe₂(MoO₄)₃ may determine the different magnitudes of the surface concentrations of formaldehyde as an intermediate product of methanol oxidation.

At present, a number of kinetic equations are proposed in the literature for the oxidation reaction of methanol by oxygen air. The applicability of these equations usually depends on the concentrations of methanol and oxygen involved in the experiments [12]. For the methanol/air mixtures with high concentration (up to 6.5% methanol and 19% oxygen), it was quite convincingly shown that the order of oxidation reaction with respect to oxygen is zero. At the same time, the reaction order with respect to methanol for model catalysts is less than 1, while for industrial catalysts the reaction often is of the first order.

The explanations of these features are based on the assumption of selective adsorption of the reagents on different active centers of the catalyst surface. The supposition about the dominant character of this adsorption interaction still remains controversial in the literature.

Obviously, the structure of the formed adsorption compound should largely determine the structure of the transition state for methanol with active form of oxygen. Typically, the adsorption of molecules of methanol (as well as formaldehyde) on the oxide surface is considered to be the result of the interaction between the oxygen atom of organic molecules and the surface metal cations.

It is obvious that the total process rate can be controlled by the concentration of surface metal cations (Lewis acid centers) for the formation of \( =\text{Me}−\text{O}(\text{H})\text{CH}_3 \) surface compound and therefore the correlation between the oxidation rate and the energy of lattice oxygen is possible. This dependence exists, e.g., for cobalt, manganese, nickel and chromium oxides, which are characterized by low energy of oxygen binding [13,14]. The methanol molecule chemisorbed via oxygen is oxidized to formaldehyde, which then remains on the surface in the adsorbed state. Obviously, some fraction of the adsorbed formaldehyde is desorbed, while other fraction undergoes further oxidation to formic acid. In our opinion, such a reaction route which leads to the formation of adsorbed formaldehyde results in a high rate of the subsequent oxidation stage and is a main reason for the low selectivity of these oxides in the oxidation of the intermediate product.

In the case of oxides and metal molybdates with high energy of oxygen bonding, it could be supposed that the surface concentration of metal ions that have lost the oxygen and become available for the adsorption of oxygen-containing organic molecules is very small. Large excess of oxygen in the reaction mixture is another factor that contributes to the decrease of the concentration of free metal cations. In our opinion, among the possible mechanisms of adsorption of polar organic molecules in such conditions, the adsorption which involves the surface oxygen rather than the metal cation could be the prevalent one. As the binding with the lattice, oxygen is possible to interact only with positively charged atoms of adsorbed molecules, i.e. hydrogen and (or) carbon atoms, the molecule should be oriented with its carbon radical towards the surface. The contemporary concepts of the organic chemistry suggest that the most favorable for the process of oxidation of alcohol molecule is the nucleophilic attack by the reagent, e.g. by activated oxygen atom of the oxide surface. This attack is directed to the C–H bond and the result of this interaction is the introduction of oxygen atom between the atoms of C–H bond (Fig. 4).

The possibility of the formation of a transition
state of formaldehyde molecule with the chemisorbed or lattice oxygen on the surface of oxide molybdenum catalyst was studied by quantum chemical modeling [15]. It was shown that two ways of transformations of an activated complex are possible in the nucleophilic attack of a carbon atom: either the breakdown of the C–H bonds with the formation of CO and the chemisorbed H₂O molecule, or the weakening of one C–H bond with the introduction of an oxygen atom and the formation of formic acid. Thus the scheme of oxidation of methanol on iron molybdate catalyst can be presented as follows:

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OH}_{g.p.} \xrightarrow{k_1} \text{CH}_3\text{OH}_{ads.} \xrightarrow{k_3} \text{CH}_2\text{O}_{g.p.} \]

where \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are the rate constant of adsorption and desorption, respectively; \( k_3 \) is the rate constant for chemical transformation; g.p. and ads. denote gaseous phase and adsorbed state, respectively.

The kinetic equations for the first part of the considered scheme can be written in the following form:

\[
\text{r}_{\text{ads.}} = -k_1 C_{\text{CH}_3\text{OH}_{g.p.}} (1 - \theta_{\text{CH}_3\text{OH}}) + k_2 \theta_{\text{CH}_3\text{OH}},
\]

\[
\text{r}_{\text{chem.\,t.}} = -k_3 \theta_{\text{CH}_3\text{OH}},
\]

where \( \theta_{\text{CH}_3\text{OH}} = \alpha \theta_{\text{CH}_3\text{OH}} \), \( \alpha \) is the fraction of alcohol molecules adsorbed with the participation of the oxygen of surface oxide with respect to the total amount of adsorbed methanol molecules. Since in the steady state \( r_{\text{ads.}} = r_{\text{chem.\,t.}} \) (i.e. the rates of adsorption and chemical transformation are equal), the \( \theta_{\text{CH}_3\text{OH}}^* \) value can be expressed via the rate constants of elementary stages and the concentration of methanol in the gaseous phase. Then, substituting the resulting expression into the formula for the chemical reaction rate, we obtain the following kinetic equation:

\[
\frac{\text{r}_{\text{chem.\,t.}}}{k_3 C_{\text{CH}_3\text{OH}_{g.p.}}} = \frac{k_1 + \frac{k_2}{\alpha k_1}}{1 + \frac{1}{C_{\text{CH}_3\text{OH}_{g.p.}}}} = \frac{k_2 C_{\text{CH}_3\text{OH}_{g.p.}}}{a + b C_{\text{CH}_3\text{OH}_{g.p.}}},
\]

According to this equation, the order of the methanol oxidation with the corresponding values of the constants \( a, b \) and \( k_3 \) could be less than unity. Thus, this leads to a kinetic equation with a reduced order of the reaction of methanol.

It should be noted that similar equations for the rates of oxidation of methanol and formaldehyde on iron molybdate catalyst were first reported in refs. [24,25], where the equations were simplified by substitution of the methanol concentration in the denominator by its initial value, therefore increasing the order of reaction to the unity. In kinetic equation proposed in this work, the first order requires the condition \( a \geq b \) which is possible at a sufficiently high concentration of the adsorbed alcohol molecules. It is obvious that with decreasing concentrations of methanol in the reaction medium its content on the catalyst surface will be reduced, resulting in a change of the relationship between different forms of adsorption.

**Conclusions**

The adsorption properties of Fe$_2$O$_3$, MoO$_3$ and Fe$_3$(MoO$_4$)$_2$ were studied at a temperature below the ignition temperature of the iron molybdate catalyst.
The impossibility of the formation of oxygen radical particles on their surfaces at room temperature allows separating the adsorption processes from the chemical conversion processes. This approach is very useful for the determination of the mechanism of the increased catalytic activity of iron and molybdenum oxides mixture. It is known that the adsorption stage in a heterogeneous catalytic process determines the surface concentration of the converting substance, and thus can influence the rate of the overall process. Therefore, differences in the adsorption properties of Fe(III), Mo(VI) oxides and iron molybdate can be a principal cause of differences in their catalytic selectivity.

Based on the performed adsorption measurements, it was unequivocally shown that Fe(III) and Mo(VI) oxides more active adsorb the organic compounds with electron-donating heteroatoms than iron molybdate (III).

It could be supposed that this difference of the surface properties of individual oxides and their mixtures leads to different magnitudes of the surface concentrations (as well as to the different retention times on the surface) of formaldehyde, which is an intermediate product of methanol oxidation. Therefore, it becomes obvious that the higher the concentration and larger residing time on the surface, the higher is the probability of further oxidation of formaldehyde. This leads to the conclusion that if Fe(III) and Mo(VI) individual oxides are involved, the methanol oxidation process is characterized by lower selectivity due to the increased rate of formaldehyde oxidation to formic acid and then to carbon dioxide.
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DIFFERENCE IN ADSORPTION PROPERTIES OF Fe(III), Mo(VI) OXIDES AND Fe(III) MOLYBDATE AS A CAUSE OF HIGH SELECTIVITY OF METHANOL OXIDATION ON IRON MOLYBDATE CATALYST
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The adsorption of a number of organic acids and bases from aqueous and methanol solutions was studied under static conditions at room temperature. It was shown that the organic compounds are adsorbed on Fe(III) and Mo(VI) oxides and Fe(III) molybdate due to the formation of the surface hydrogen bonds. The possibility of the adsorption by means of a donor-acceptor interaction of the organic compounds with Fe(III) was not detected. It was shown that the adsorption energies of organic bases are linearly correlated with the effective charge of nitrogen atoms and their adsorbability changes in the following range: Fe₃O₄>MoO₃>Fe₃MoO₄. An explanation of these correlations was proposed based on the concept of the domination of electrostatic interactions. Heterogeneous-catalytic oxidation of methanol and formaldehyde was proposed to consider assuming two forms of adsorption of their molecules: via binding of oxygen atoms with Lewis acid sites, or via the interaction of carbon and hydrogen atoms of the C=H bonds with Lewis basic centers of a catalyst. It was concluded that the selectivity of the iron molybdate catalyst in the partial oxidation of methanol can be caused by low adsorbability of intermediate products of the methanol oxidation reaction on the catalyst surface.
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