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ABSTRACT

We investigate the ideas that a sizable fraction of the interferometrically unresolved infrared emission of the nucleus of NGC 1068 might originate from other processes than thermal dust emission from the torus. We examine the contribution of free-free or synchrotron emissions to the central mid- and near-IR parsec-scale emitting region of NGC 1068. Each mechanism is constrained with parsec scale radio data available for NGC 1068 in the \(10^9 \sim 10^{11}\) Hz regime, and compared to the highest-resolution interferometric data available in the mid-infrared. It is shown that the unresolved emission in the interferometric observation (<1 pc) is still dominated by dust emission and not by contributions from synchrotron or free-free emission. As recent studies suggest, the interferometric observations prefer a clumpy structure of the dust distribution. Extrapolation of the radio free-free or synchrotron emission to the IR indicates that their contribution is <20% even for the unresolved fraction of the interferometric flux.

The slope of the available radio data is consistent with a power law exponent \(\alpha = 0.29 \pm 0.07\) which we interprete in terms of either free-free emission or synchrotron radiation from quasi-monochromatic electrons. We apply emission models for both mechanisms in order to obtain physical parameters.

1. Introduction

The nucleus of the nearby Seyfert 2 galaxy NGC 1068 (\(d \sim 14.4\) Mpc; a scale of 10 mas corresponds to \(\sim 0.7\) pc) is the prime target of the most recent high-spatial resolution studies of AGN in the wavelength regime from infrared (IR) to the radio (e.g., Weigelt et al. 2004; Wittkowski et al. 2004; Jaffe et al. 2004; Gallimore et al. 2004). For the first time, it is possible to build-up a genuine nuclear spectrum of the immediate vicinity of the AGN. Furthermore, near- (NIR) and mid-infrared (MIR) interferometric observations resolved the nuclear IR emission source (Wittkowski et al. 1998; Weigelt et al. 2004; Jaffe et al. 2004). It is supposed that the nuclear radio emission comes from an accretion disk corona or an associated jet while the infrared emission is more likely caused by thermal dust emission in the circumnuclear dusty torus (e.g., Gallimore et al. 1996, 2004; Höning et al. 2006).

It is, however, yet unclear how much each component contributes at different wavelengths. MIR VLTI/MIDI interferometric observations by Jaffe et al. (2004) show that approximately 20% of the MIR emission originates from an unresolved source \(\leq 0.7\) pc. Wittkowski et al. (2004) report that \(K\)-band interferometry with the VLTI/VINCI instrument at 46 m baseline reveals a surprisingly high contribution of 40% to the whole nuclear flux by an unresolved source of \(\leq 0.3\) pc. This can be explained by either radiation from a small compact source which is associated to the nuclear radio spectrum (e.g., Wittkowski et al. 1998) or by substructure inside a clumpy dust torus (Höning et al. 2006).

In this paper, we use the combination of high-spatial resolution photometry, radiative-transfer simulations in the IR, and emission models in the radio to compare the extrapolated radio emission to measurements at IR wavelengths, in order to investigate the origin of the resolved and unresolved the near-IR and mid-infrared emission. In Sect. 2, we summarize the observational data used for our study. In Sect. 3 we describe the models which were used for the radio and IR regimes, respectively. In the following Sect. 4 we discuss the model results and analyse the maximum contributions of the radio component to the observed NIR and MIR emission of diffraction-limited and interferometric data. In Sect. 5 the contribution of the accretion disk to the IR spectrum is quantified and compared to the interferometric VLTI/VINCI observations. In Sect. 6 we summarize our results.

2. Observational data

The location of NGC 1068’s nucleus in the radio is assumed to be at the center of a 0.8 pc size, disk-like structure known as S1, resolved at 5 and 8.4 GHz by Gallimore et al. (1997, 2004).
The radio to optical SED of N1068’s nucleus is extracted from subarcsec photometry currently available for this source. Overall, we sample spatial scales at radio, MIR and NIR wavelengths down to few parsecs. Radio data for the source S1, which is assumed to be NGC 1068 nucleus, is taken from the VLA/MERLIN 65 mas beam (Muxlow et al. 1996; Gallimore et al. 2004) and VLBA-observation (Roy et al. 1998; Gallimore et al. 2004) report that they resolved the nuclear source S1 at 5 and 8.4 GHz. S1 appears disk-like with a reference size of ~11 mas (for detailed sizes see Table 1). The millimeter data at 1 mm and 3 mm are taken from Krips et al. (2006) and correspond to a beam size of 1 × 0.6 arcsec. Because of the possible contribution of the jet in the 3 mm data, only the peak value as specified by Krips et al. (2006) is considered.

Data in the 8–25 µm range are taken from Bock et al. (2000) and Tomono et al. (2001), using their reported fluxes in aperture diameters of ~200 mas. This high resolution was obtained by deconvolving their 10 m Keck and 8.2 m Subaru images, respectively. It is important to note that both sets of deconvolved-extracted fluxes differ by <30%.

Table 1. High spatial resolution observations of the nuclear emission of NGC 1068 from the radio to the infrared regime.

| Frequency | Flux [Jy] | spatial scale [mas] | Reference |
|-----------|----------|---------------------|-----------|
| 2.3 × 10^{-4} | 0.0084 | 78 | this work |
| 1.8 × 10^{-4} | 0.022 | 130 | this work |
| 1.4 × 10^{-4} | 0.098 | 78 | this work |
| 1.2 × 10^{-4} | 0.32 ± 0.03 | 78 | this work |
| 7.9 × 10^{-3} | 1.5 | 700 | [1] |
| 6.7 × 10^{-3} | 2.5 | 162 | this work |
| 3.8 × 10^{-3} | 4.23 | 200 | [2] |
| 3.0 × 10^{-3} | 3.33 | 200 | [2] |
| 2.5 × 10^{-3} | 7.1 | 200 | [2] |
| 1.6 × 10^{-3} | 9.6 | 290 × 180 | [3] |
| 1.2 × 10^{-3} | 9.4 | 200 | [2] |
| 2.3 × 10^{-3} | 0.022 ± 0.008 | 1000 × 600 | [4] |
| 1.15 × 10^{-3} | 0.023 ± 0.05 | 1400 | [4] |
| 4.3 × 10^{-4} | 0.019 ± 0.003 | 75 | [5] |
| 2.25 × 10^{-3} | 0.016 ± 0.006 | 75 | [5] |
| 8.4 × 10^{-3} | 0.0054 ± 0.0005 | 11.0 ± 3.7 | [6] |
| 5.0 × 10^{-3} | 0.0091 ± 0.0008 | 16.6 ± 12 | [6] |
| 5.0 × 10^{-3} | 0.0051 | 14 × 3 | [7] |
| 1.7 × 10^{-3} | < 0.0026 | 14 × 12 | [7] |
| 1.4 × 10^{-3} | < 6 × 10^{-5} | 16.0 × 7.6 | [6] |

[1] Marco & Brooks (2003); [2] Bock et al. (2000); [3] Tomono et al. (2001); [4] Krips et al. (2006); [5] Muxlow et al. (1996); [6] Gallimore et al. (2004); [7] Roy et al. (1998).

In the mid-IR, a gaussian decomposition of VLTI/MIDI interferometry data sets an upper limit of 0.7 pc × <1 pc on the size of the central source at wavelengths < 10 µm (Jaffe et al. 2004). At 2 µm, the combination of speckle observations (Weiriet al. 2004) and VLTI/VINCI interferometry (Wiritkowski et al. 2004) confirm the presence of a compact core with FWHM ~0.4 pc. Shortward of 1 µm, the NGC 1068 nucleus is fully obscured and undetected.

3. Models

We focused our modelling efforts on two components: (1) the near- and mid-infrared component in the range of 10^{13}–10^{14} Hz, and (2) the radio component at frequencies from 10^{16} Hz to 10^{17} Hz. Our aim is to quantify the contribution of each component in different wavelength regimes.

3.1. IR torus model

For the infrared regime of the NGC 1068 SED, we used the clumpy torus models of Hönig et al. (2009). With these models, we aimed at fitting the photometric data from 1.2 – 23 × 10^{13} Hz, simultaneously with the 78 m baseline data as observed with VLTI/MIDI. A grid of models has been calculated where we tested (a) different radial cloud distributions (radial distribution function ∝ r^α with a = 1, 0.6, 1, 1, 2, 0), (b) inclination angles, and (c) average numbers of (optically thick) clouds, N_0, in an equatorial line of sight. For each set of model parameters we simulated 10 different random arrangements of clouds. This accounts for the variations in SEDs which occur due to the actual cloud distribution. Our best-fitting model is shown as blue-dashed lines in Figs. 1 & 2.

The modeled torus has a line-of-sight inclination of 90 deg, which corresponds to an edge-on view of the torus. In radial direction, the clouds are distributed according to a power-law of r^{-3/2}, which is well consistent with clumpy torus accretion scenarios where the torus is located in the sphere of influence of the central supermassive black hole (Beckert & Duschl 2004). Due to the Compton thickness of the source and extension of the emission, we do not include X-ray photometry in our modelling of the nucleus.
Fig. 1. High-spatial resolution SED of the nucleus of NGC 1068, in comparison to thermal dust emission from a torus in the IR and non-thermal synchrotron emission in the radio. The blue line shows the clumpy torus model that was used in this study to model the single-dish IR photometry (diamonds) and interferometric observations by VLTI/MIDI (orange solid line; model: dashed-dotted blue line). The radio data (diamonds) are modelled by a spectrum $F_\nu \propto \nu^{1/3} \exp\left(-\left(\nu/\nu_{ff}\right)^{-2}\right)$ (Synchrotron emission + free-free absorption; green-dotted line) and extrapolated into the infrared. The dashed line shows the synchrotron spectrum attenuated by dust obscuration ($\tau_V = 16.8$) to reproduce the $J$-band data.

Table 2. Parameters of the synchrotron models A, B, and C for the nuclear source S1 of NGC 1068: The linear scale $s$ is the half-thickness in model A & B and the radius of the emission spheres in model C. For the mean Lorentz factor $\langle \gamma \rangle$ of the electron distributions (width $\Delta \gamma/\langle \gamma \rangle = 2$) there are only lower limits derived from the assumed upper cut-off frequency $\nu_c = 3 \times 10^{14}$ Hz of the synchrotron spectrum. The values for $B_{\text{Min}}$ is the minimum energy $B$-field for the claimed size of $s = 5.6$ mas in model A and upper limits for B and C. The electron density in the last column corresponds to $B_{\text{Min}}$.

| Model | $s$  | $s \times d$ | $\langle \gamma \rangle$ | $B_{\text{Min}}$ | $n_e$ |
|-------|------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|-------|
| A     | 5.6  | 0.39         | $1.5 \times 10^3$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| B     | $2 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-8}$ | $1.3 \times 10^4$ | $< 2.2$ | $< 3.0$ |
| C     | $1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ | $8 \times 10^3$ | $< 6.1$ | $< 350$ |

As compared to other model parameters in our grid, it simultaneously reproduces (1) the NIR slope, (2) the depth of the silicate feature, (3) the slope from $N$-band to $Q$-band, and (4) the correlated MIDI flux. Due to the ambiguity in actual cloud arrangement, there is some degeneracy in the model parameter space (compare results in Höning et al. 2006), especially when fitting the SED alone. On the other hand, the presented dust distribution parameters are the only one for which we found good agreement with the SED properties (1 – 3) and the interferometric data (4). As a general guideline, $a > 1.5$ produces bluer NIR colors and less $Q$-band flux, and vice versa for $a < 1.5$ (see also discussion in Polletta et al. 2008).

The observed and modeled diameter of the dust torus is $\sim 20-30$ mas ($\sim 1.4-2$ pc), depending on wavelength and orientation. To fit the observed fluxes, a bolometric luminosity of the central AGN of $2 \times 10^{45}$ erg/s has been used. We note that this model luminosity is larger than inferred from the measured fluxes assum-
3.2. Radio models

In the radio regime at frequencies lower than 1 THz, we used two different emission models to reproduce the observed high-spatial resolution data. In order to study the possible influence of the radio emission sources to the observed IR emission, we will assume that the model spectra reach the near-IR regime without the radio emission sources to the observed IR emission. We will compare the used $\tau V$ values with the torus model and the estimated broad-line region obscuration in the next section.

Synchrotron radiation. The radio data of NGC 1068 shows an inverted spectrum ($\alpha > 0$) between 5-230 GHz with an apparent turnover at $\nu \sim 10^6$ Hz. From a least-square fit to actual radio detections we obtain a slope of $\alpha = 0.29 \pm 0.07$. This is consistent with a power law index $\alpha_{\text{mono}} = 1/3$, which can be produced by optically thin synchrotron radiation coming from quasi-monoenergetic electrons (Beckert & Duschl 1997). In such a scenario, the emission spectrum can be approximated by

$$S_\nu \propto \nu^{1/3} \exp(-\nu/\nu_c)$$

(1)

with an exponential turnover, $\nu_c$, at high frequencies. For the purpose of estimating the maximum possible NIR contribution of the radio source, we assume that $\nu_c \gg 300$ THz, so that the actual high-frequency cutoff can be neglected hereafter. At low frequencies, a turnover can occur, e.g. if the synchrotron source is located within dense plasma leading to thermal free-free absorption $\tau_{\text{ff}} \propto \nu^{-2.1}$ which produces a sharp turnover towards low frequencies (e.g., Beckert et al. 1996). As a result, the synchrotron spectrum is modified by a factor $\exp(-\nu/\nu_{\text{ff}})^{-2.1}$, with the low-frequency turnover at $\nu_{\text{ff}}$, so that the flux can be parameterized by

$$S_\nu \propto \nu^{1/3} \exp\left(-\nu/\nu_{\text{ff}}\right)^{-2.1},$$

neglecting the $\exp(-\nu/\nu_c)$ turnover at high frequencies.

In Fig. 1 we compare the synchrotron model SED with observed radio fluxes. The low-frequency free-free absorption turnover occurs at $\nu_{\text{ff}} = 3$ GHz which is consistent with the upper limit on detections around 1.5 GHz. The spectrum was attenuated by standard ISM dust absorption of optical depth $\tau_V = 16.8$ to reproduce the $J$-band observation.

An alternative explanation for the low-frequency turnover is synchrotron self-absorption (SSA) within the source. This would result in a spectral turnover from the optically thin $F_\nu \propto \nu^{1/3}$ to the optically thick case $F_\nu \propto \nu^\alpha$ which is less steep than in case of free-free absorption as discussed above. The optically thick part behaves like a thermal Rayleigh-Jeans branch in contrast to the standard power-law electron distribution which produces $F_\nu \propto \nu^{5/3}$ (e.g., Pacholczyk 1970). Given the detection of $S_1$ in NGC 1068 at 5 GHz and the upper limits at ~1.4 GHz, the turnover should occur inbetween. The observed lower limit for the power law index in this frequency range ($\alpha \gtrsim 3.5$) favors the free-free absorption scenario over SSA.

In a quantitative analysis, we inverted the low-frequency model approximation to synchrotron emission and self-absorption from quasi-monoenergetic electrons (Beckert & Duschl 1997). We suppose that the minimum energy assumption holds for our emission region to link $B$-field and electron density, $n_e$. The emission region is assumed to be an ellipsoid with apparent axes $r, s$ (volume $4/3\pi r^2s^2$, and distance $d$ to NGC 1068; disk-like if $s < r$). In case the low-frequency turnover is due to SSA and the peak of the spectrum (end of the $\nu^{1/3}$-part) can be determined from observations, it is generally possible to fix the main model parameters, i.e. the $B$-field strength, the electron density $n_e$, and the Lorentz factor $\gamma$. Here, we don’t know the peak of the spectrum and have upper limits to the self-absorption frequency since we assume that the steep low-frequency turnover is due to free-free absorption rather than SSA. Thus, only limits of the physical parameters can be determined.

For reference, we use the radio flux of the partly resolved core S1 at $\nu_1 = 5$ GHz from (Gallimore et al. 2004) of $F_{\nu_1} = 9.1 \pm 0.8$ mJy. The size of the elliptical source is 16.6 and 11.2 mas for major and minor axis respectively. In Table 2 we use the half axis values corresponding to radii in the source model.

Here, we present three synchrotron models for S1. All are based on the $\nu^{1/3}$-power law spectrum as described above. In the first case (model A), we assume that the disk is resolved in the radial and vertical direction with a half major axis $r = 8.3$ mas and a half minor axis $s = 5.6$ mas. The corresponding source parameters are given in Table 2. In model B, we assume that the actual disk thickness is smaller than the minor axis, $s < 5.6$ mas, so that the observed vertical extension might be due to disk inclination or warping. In this case, we derive a lower limit for $s$ from the absence of SSA down to 3 GHz. Thus, a lower limit for the mean Lorentz factor $\gamma$ of the electron distribution is obtained, as well as an upper limit for the corresponding $B$-field and also for the density of relativistic electrons in the radiating plasma (values see Table 3).

The alternative model C is motivated by the orientation of the major axis of S1 which is almost perpendicular to the large scale radio jet in NGC 1068 (Muxlow et al. 1996, Gallimore et al. 2004). S1 may, therefore, be associated with a perpendicular shock front close to the base of an outflow or the corona of an accretion disk. The large size of S1 and its low brightness temperature of $T_{5\text{GHz}} = 3.5 \times 10^6$ K argues against a large scale shock. Instead, a corona above an accretion disk may be heated in localized regions through magnetic reconnection or small scale shock fronts. The corresponding emission regions are much smaller than the size of the underlying disk. In this model C, we assume $N \sim 100$ unresolved coronal emission regions which together form S1 and give it the appearance of a thick disk. For simplicity we assume spherical emission regions and use a 3 times larger size than implied by the SSA limit to avoid mutual absorption by emission regions superimposed on the sky. We obtain lower limits to the sphere radius $s$ and to a mean Lorentz factor $\langle \gamma \rangle$, and upper limits for $B$-field and relativistic electron density $n_e$. The results are summarized in Table 2. In this picture, the relatively large size of S1 as compared to the small emission regions might be due to electron scat-
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Fig. 2. Same as Fig. 1 but with our free-free emission model in the radio. The free-free spectrum was attenuated by dust with \( \tau_V = 6 \) to reproduce the \( J \)-band data.

tering not directly connected to the small emission regions (e.g., surrounding medium) and provides only an upper size limit.

For NGC 1068, the possibility of synchrotron emission from quasi-monoenergetic electrons was already explored by Wittkowski et al. (1998) but with less radio data available. Similar models have been suggested for other galactic nuclei like Sgr A* (Duschl & Lesch 1994; Beckert & Duschl 1997) or M81 (Reuter & Lesch 1996). According to Wittkowski et al. (2004), such an emission mechanism would require a source size of the order of 100 AU and electron densities of \( 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3} \). While the size is consistent with our estimates, we require lower electron densities in the presented analysis.

Free-free emission. The fluxes at the highest radio frequencies \( >100 \text{ GHz} \) are consistent with a flat spectrum. This opens the possibility that the radio spectrum is produced by thermal free-free emission, as already suggested by Gallimore et al. (1997, 2004).

To investigate this possibility we setup a free-free emission model. The flux from thermal free-free emission at frequency \( \nu \) for a given electron temperature \( T \) is described by

\[
S_\nu = B_\nu(\nu, T) (1 - e^{-\tau_{\text{ff}}})
\]

where \( B_\nu(\nu, T) \) denotes the Planck function \( B_\nu = 2\nu^3/\pi c^2 \cdot \exp(h\nu/(kT)) - 1 \)^{-1}. The optical depth for the free-free process can be described as

\[
\tau_{\text{ff}} = 1.13725 \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{\nu}{T}} \right) g_{\text{ff}}(\nu, T)
\]

\[
\times \left( \frac{T}{K} \right)^{-1/2} \left( \frac{\nu}{\text{GHz}} \right)^{-3} \left( \frac{n_e}{\text{cm}^{-3}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\ell}{\text{pc}} \right).
\]

Table 3. Parameters for the free-free emission model as described in the text.

| Model | \( \ell/D \) | \( \ell \) | \( n_e \) | \( T \) | \( \tau_V \) |
|-------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| F     | 11          | 0.75  | \( 8 \times 10^3 \) | \( 1.3 \times 10^8 \) | 6     |

Here, the emission measure \( EM = \int n_e^2 \text{df} \) is approximated by a slab with constant electron density \( n_e \) and a typical size \( \ell \). The function \( g_{\text{ff}} \) is the Gaunt factor which accounts for the difference between semi-classical and quantum mechanical treatment of free-free emission (Kramers 1923; Gaunt 1930). For low frequencies where \( g_{\text{ff}} > 1 \) we use the thermally averaged approximation by Oster (1961, 1970),

\[
g_{\text{ff}}(\nu, T) = 1.5 \ln \left( \frac{T}{K} \right) - \ln \left( \frac{\nu}{\text{GHz}} \right) - 5.307.
\]

For high frequencies, exact treatments show that \( g_{\text{ff}} \rightarrow 1 \) (e.g., Beckert et al. 2000) which we account for by a function that steps in when eq. (5) would become \( \leq 1 \).

In Fig. 2, we show a free-free emission model fit to the radio SED of NGC 1068. The model parameters (noted as model F) are shown in Table 3. We attenuated the model with ISM dust of optical depth \( \tau_V = 6 \) to be consistent with the NIR measurements, which concerns mainly the \( J \)-band data point in this case. The low-frequency cutoff occurs at the transition where the medium becomes optically thick to free-free emission. With out parameters, we obtain a cutoff frequency of \( \nu(\tau_{\text{ff}} = 1) = 13.1 \text{ GHz} \). For the size of the emission region, we used the resolved core diameter of 5 and 8.4 GHz observations by Gallimore et al. (2004), semi-major axis \( \sim 11 \text{ mas} \). These au-
thors also provide parameters for a free-free model, based on fluxes at their observed frequencies, which are approximately in agreement with our model using a broader frequency range.

4. The influence of the radio components to the IR

Since there is a gap between the 100 GHz and 10 THz regime, it is difficult to judge how the IR and radio components are connected. In particular, a high frequency turnover for the radio component cannot be determined from the existing data. As a consequence, the radio source either breaks before the IR or reaches IR frequencies and, thus, may contribute to the unresolved fluxes according to our models as discussed in the previous section. The latter possibility leaves two open questions: (1) Is the unresolved flux in the long-baseline MIR interferometric data from VLTI/MIDI coming from the central AGN, i.e. the radio source? (2) Can the radio source (especially a possible synchrotron source) be responsible for a significant fraction of the unresolved K band flux as observed by Wittkowski et al. (2004)? To address these questions, we extended the two investigated radio models into the IR regime, as discussed in the previous section (Figs 1 & 2 green dotted lines).

We assume that the radio source is surrounded by the dusty torus. As a result, it will suffer from extinction by the dust within the torus (Figs 1 & 2 green dashed lines). The extinction that were used to reproduce the J-band observation with the radio emission models are, however, much smaller than what is inferred from the lack of optical and infrared broad lines (A_V > 50 mag Lutz et al., 2000). Thus, the actual IR contribution of the radio emission source is most likely less than what is derived from our approach to reproduce the J-band data. This enforces the analysis in terms of obtaining strong upper limits for the contribution to the unresolved K-band and mid-IR flux.

As can be seen from Figs 1 & 2 the maximum possible extrapolated mid-IR flux of the synchrotron and free-free power law is still a factor of 5-10 below the unresolved 78 m baseline MIDI flux. As a conservative limit, we estimate the maximum flux contribution of the radio source to the MIR \( F_{\text{Radio}}(\text{MIR}) / F(\text{MIR}) < 0.2 \) if it is synchrotron emission. This means that the unresolved MIDI flux is most likely originating exclusively from thermal dust emission in the torus rather than from the radio component. As shown in the previous section (see also Höning et al. 2006, 2007), the unresolved emission can be fully interpreted in terms of a clumpy torus model.

In the near-IR, the constraints are even tighter since (1) the assumption that 100% of the J-band flux is very conservative and (2) the difference in “true” (= broad-line region) and modelled extinction affects near-IR frequencies stronger than mid-IR frequencies. As can be seen in Figs 1 & 2 the non-torus contribution to the K-band emission is certainly much less than 20%, no matter if the radio emission is due to synchrotron or free-free emission. This very strong upper limit is important for the interpretation of the unresolved flux measured by Wittkowski et al. (2004) using VLTI/VINCI: Even though the size of this unresolved emission is \( \leq 0.3 \) pc, it must originate from the torus. Kishimoto et al. (2007) show that this size is consistent with the sublimation radius as expected from reverberation mapping of type I objects and assuming a central AGN luminosity of \( 10^{45} \) erg/s. As an alternative, substructure in a clumpy torus can also account for the unresolved flux (Höning et al. 2007).

5. Quantifying the contribution of the accretion disk

An alternative interpretation for the unresolved VLTI/VINCI flux is contribution from the putative accretion disk in the center of NGC 1068, as discussed by Wittkowski et al. (2004). In that case, the torus requires to be clumpy so that the accretion disk can be seen through “holes” of low or no extinction within the torus.

In a clumpy medium with high optical depth of each dust cloud, the probability, \( P_{\text{AD}} \), that an accretion disk photon crosses all clouds without being absorbed can be estimated by Poisson statistics, \( P_{\text{AD}} = \exp(-N) \) (\( N \) = number of clouds along the line-of-sight; Natta & Panagia, 1984). From the edge-on torus model as presented in Sect. 3, we obtained \( N = 10 \). This results in a probability to see the accretion disk through the torus clouds of \( P_{\text{AD}} \sim 10^{-5} \), which makes the scenario very unlikely. Nevertheless, we aim for constraining the possible accretion disk contribution to the K-band flux. For that, we used a standard accretion disk spectrum of the shape \( F_\nu \propto \nu^{1/3} \exp(\nu/v_{\text{max}}) \) (e.g., Shakura & Sunyaev, 1973). The turnover frequency, \( v_{\text{max}} \), is characterised by the accretion disk temperature at the innermost stable orbit (\( \sim 3R_{\text{schwarzschild}} \)), which can be inferred from the mass of the central black hole (\( M_{\text{BH}} \approx 10^7 M_\odot \), Greenhill et al. 1996) and the modelled bolometric luminosity (\( L = 2 \times 10^{40} \) erg/s, see Sect. 5.1). For NGC 1068, we obtain \( v_{\text{max}} \approx 8 \times 10^{15} \) Hz which corresponds to a temperature of approximately \( 1.5 \times 10^8 \) K. We extended the accretion disk spectrum down to IR wavelengths, so that the integrated accretion disk spectrum equals the bolometric luminosity as inferred from torus modelling. This allows to get an idea of the thermal emission from the accretion disk, although it is completely obscured in the UV and optical.

In Fig. 3 we show a comparison of the observed fluxes with the clumpy torus model (Sect. 3.1), and the accretion disk spectrum which is extrapolated from the UV to the MIR (dotted line) and attenuated by dust extinction with \( \tau_V = 9.6 \) (dashed line) to reproduce the J-band flux. The obscured accretion disk spectrum is obviously not able contribute significantly to the NIR or MIR emission. Again, it should be noted that the actual extinction towards the broad line region is much higher than used to obtain the upper limit as described in the previous section. Thus, we safely conclude that the unresolved K-band flux as observed with VLTI/VINCI (40% of the total nuclear flux) does not originate from the accretion disk.

6. Summary and Conclusions

We analysed the SED of NGC 1068 from \( 10^9 \) Hz to \( 10^{14} \) Hz. The SED was modelled by two components: (1) an IR component which is represented by a clumpy torus model, and (2) a radio component represented either by synchrotron emission with \( F_\nu \propto \nu^{1/3} \), or optically thin free-free emission. For the infrared, we used our clumpy torus model to simultaneously reproduce the high-resolution NIR-to-MIR SED and the VLTI/MIDI correlated fluxes at 78 m baseline. The model is characterized by a radial cloud density distribution \( \propto r^{-3/2} \) and a half opening angle of 40°. The central AGN is obscured by 10 dust clouds on average along a radial line of sight in the equatorial plane. For the radio source, we showed that the power-law index of \( 0.29 \pm 0.07 \) is consistent with a spectral slope proportional to \( \nu^{1/3} \) which is expected from synchrotron emission by quasi-monoenergetic electrons. Further more, we showed that the radio emission can also originate from thermal free-free emission. Both radio models require a low-frequency turnover between 1 and 10 GHz where
Fig. 3. Accretion Disk contribution to the IR spectrum. A standard accretion disk spectrum $F_\nu \propto \nu^{-1/3} \exp(\nu/\nu_{\text{max}})$ was adjusted for the assumed parameters $L = 2 \times 10^{45}$ erg/s and $M_{\text{BH}} \approx 10^7$ M$_\odot$ of NGC 1068 (green dotted line) and attenuated by dust obscuration (green dashed line) with $\tau_\nu = 9.6$ to be consistent with the NIR measurements.

the spectral slope changes. The steepness in the range between 1-6 GHz suggests that the turnover is caused by a foreground screen of thermal plasma which becomes optically thick below $\sim$3-6 GHz ($F_\nu \propto \exp(-\nu/(3 \text{GHz})^{2.1})$). This can be either connected to the gas in the galaxy or in the torus.

For both scenarios – synchrotron and free-free emission – we presented models to extract physical parameters from the radio SED, depending on the emission mechanism. The observed source sizes at 5 and 8.4 GHz are in agreement with synchrotron emission coming either from a disk (models A & B) or from small emission regions connected to the disk (e.g. magnetical reconnection zones in the disk corona) and possibly additional electron scattering in the surrounding medium (model C). The necessary Lorentz factors are $\gamma > 10^4$ with $B$-field strengths of the order of 0.1 – 1 G. In case the radio SED is due to thermal free-free emission (model F), the observed fluxes and source sizes require an electron density $n_e = 8 \times 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$ and a temperature $T = 1.3 \times 10^6$ K.

The main goal of this study was to spectrally disentangle the near- and mid-infrared torus dust emission from possible contamination by the radio source. For that, we extrapolated the free-free and synchrotron models to the infrared. It was possible to show that the radio source is contributing certainly <20% to the observed unresolved flux at 78 m baseline. A similar analysis shows that the unresolved $K$-band flux as observed with VLTI/VINCI is originating from the torus and almost uncontaminated by the radio source. We were also able to argue against the possibility that in the $K$-band the accretion disk might be seen through holes in the clumpy torus. This means that all observed near- and mid-IR emission – resolved and unresolved – is thermal dust emission from the torus. This interpretation is consistent with the clumpy torus model that was presented. It is, however, not clear if the unresolved $K$-band flux represents emission from the inner boundary of the torus as indicated by Kishimoto et al. (2007), or due to the clumpy substructure of the torus (Hönig et al. 2006; Hönig & Beckert 2007).
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