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Purpose. To identify whether non-overweight students were different from their overweight or obese peers with respect to diet, suboptimal meal behaviours, and physical activity using a self-administered web-based survey. Methods. 4097 adolescents living in Alberta, Canada completed Web-SPAN (Web Survey of Physical Activity and Nutrition). Students were classified as overweight or obese, and differences were described in terms of nutrient intakes, physical activity, and meal behaviours. Results. Non-overweight students consumed significantly more carbohydrate and fibre, and significantly less fat and high calorie beverages, and had a higher frequency of consuming breakfast and snacks compared to overweight or obese students. Both non-overweight and overweight students were significantly more active than obese students. Conclusions. This research supports the need to target suboptimal behaviours such as high calorie beverage consumption, fat intake, breakfast skipping, and physical inactivity. School nutrition policies and mandatory physical education for all students may help to improve weight status in adolescents.

1. Introduction

Obesity has been recognized as a significant public health challenge worldwide. The obesity epidemic is of concern in Canadian adolescents due to the dramatic increase in prevalence over the past 25 years [1]. Further, numerous health consequences of adolescent obesity exist including risk for cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes [2], and persistence of obesity into adulthood [3, 4]. Equally concerning are psychosocial consequences such as discrimination and teasing that may occur, which can have a long-term effect on self-esteem and body image [5]. Obesity presents an enormous burden to our health care system, estimated in the billions of dollars, which is only expected to increase with the dramatic rise in obesity prevalence [6].

Given the numerous consequences of obesity, surveillance systems and measurement tools are needed to determine the effectiveness of obesity prevention programs and interventions [7]. However, it is becoming increasingly difficult to collect relevant and geographically diverse information in a time- and cost-effective manner. Web-based methods of surveillance provide a cost-effective means to survey large numbers of participants concurrently throughout wide geographic areas. Access to hard-to-reach areas is enhanced, thus improving the ability to obtain a representative sample [8]. The Web Survey of Physical Activity and Nutrition
(Web-SPAN), a self-administered web-based survey for adolescents, has previously been validated [9]. However, Web-SPAN has not yet been used to determine the relationship between bodyweight status and health behaviours such as dietary intake and suboptimal meal behaviours. Therefore, this study provides the much-needed insight on how web-based methods of surveillance can be used to further our understanding of the factors that contribute to overweight/obesity in youth and will help inform intervention development and refinement.

Although several studies have examined the relationship between overweight/obesity and obesity determinants, few have utilized a web-based platform in order to capture this information. Previous studies have indicated that obesity is a complex condition involving individual/behavioural, environmental, and social factors. Further, it has been proposed that a basic imbalance of energy intake and energy expenditure leads to increased adiposity [10]. Specifically, individual behaviours such as increased consumption of high calorie foods (which include high calorie beverages) [1, 11–13], physical inactivity, and increased sedentary activity [14–16] have been identified as key factors contributing to the development of obesity.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to use a web-based method of surveillance to investigate the current bodyweight status of Alberta adolescents and to identify whether non-overweight students were different from their overweight or obese peers with respect to macronutrient intakes, consumption of Other Foods (foods not included in Canada’s Food Guide), suboptimal meal behaviours including breakfast skipping and consuming meals away from home, and physical activity levels. It was hypothesized that non-overweight students would have different intakes including lower intakes of fat and Other Foods, and a lower frequency of meal skipping and consuming meals away from home. Further, it was hypothesized that non-overweight students would be more active than their overweight and obese peers.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants. The Web Survey of Physical Activity and Nutrition (Web-SPAN) was a self-administered web-based survey of grade 7 to 10 students that assessed diet, physical activity, related meal behaviours (i.e., meal skipping and consuming meals away from home), and height and weight and is described below. All 59 public and separate school boards in the province of Alberta, Canada were selected for participation, which included schools in both rural and urban areas, public schools, Catholic schools, and private schools. Of these 59 school boards, 48 (81%) agreed to participate and an average of seven schools within each school board was randomly chosen and contacted after obtaining school board approval. Private schools (approximately 10% of all Alberta schools) were also included in the study and were selected to ensure that they were appropriately represented in the sample. These schools were initially stratified according to the five Alberta Education zones in the province (Calgary and South, Central, Edmonton and area, North Central, North) and were then randomly selected.

In total, 18,484 students were sent consent forms across 363 schools and 4981 adolescents agreed to participate in the study. However, participating students who signed on to the website but did not complete the survey (n = 9), and students with extreme values of BMI, total caloric intake, or physical activity levels based on outlier analyses (non-mutually exclusive outliers: BMI, n = 35; total caloric intake, n = 24; physical activity, n = 14; total outliers removed, n = 71) were excluded. BMI classification could not be determined on 804 students due to missing height and/or weight, thus they were excluded from the analyses. The final sample was 4097 students (boys = 1974; girls = 2123; mean age = 13.7 years) from 136 schools (37% school response rate) within 44 school boards (75% board response rate). Age and sex characteristics of our overall sample reflected the sampling frame of the provincial school population for grades 7–10: the mean age of our sample was 13.7 (±1.2) years versus 13.3 (±1.3) years for grade 7–10 Alberta school youth (n ~ 130,000), and the proportion of males in our sample was 48% versus 51% for the province. During data collection, students were free to end the survey at any time and were not required to answer every question in order to participate. Therefore, sample size varied throughout the analyses.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Web-SPAN. Web-SPAN was a self-administered web-based survey that was developed at the University of Waterloo and modified by researchers at the University of Alberta in order to include questions regarding meal behaviours (i.e., meal skipping and consuming meals away from home), self-efficacy, and physical activity. Students respond to electronic survey questions providing information on height, weight, dietary intake, physical activity, and other health behaviours. Web-SPAN has previously been validated [9] and has been described elsewhere [17–21].

2.2.2. Weight Status. Students provided self-reported height and weight. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated (weight [kilograms]/height [meters²]), and students were categorized as overweight or obese using the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) cut-offs [22]. Derived from adult BMI cut-off points (25 and 30 kg/m² for overweight and obesity, resp.), age and sex specific cut-off points for youth 2 to 18 years of age were extrapolated by placing these values (25 kg/m² and 30 kg/m²) at 18 years of age where prevalence of overweight and obesity were matched. The IOTF cut-offs were used to determine overweight and obesity status based on the recommendation of Dietitians of Canada, the Canadian Paediatric Society, the College of Family Physicians of Canada, and the Community Health Nurses Association of Canada [23]. Among a subsample of 459 students in our study, Web-SPAN showed good agreement for self-reported height (r = 0.88) and weight (r = 0.94) when compared to measured height and weight [9].
2.2.3. Dietary Intake. As part of Web-SPAN, a 24-hour web-based dietary recall was administered to students to measure dietary intake using the Food Behaviour Questionnaire developed at the University of Waterloo [24] and modified by researchers at the University of Alberta [17]. Responding to the electronic survey questions, students reported all foods and beverages consumed during the previous day. To help students recall their intake, portion size images and cues regarding beverage intake were provided. The 24-hour recall component of the Food Behaviour Questionnaire (FBQ) has been validated using several methods and has been described previously [9]. Briefly, in comparison to a dietitian-administered 24-hour dietary recall, the FBQ showed good agreement for total energy and nutrient intakes [25], and compared to a 3-day food record, intraclass correlation coefficients were within ranges reported elsewhere in the adolescent population [9].

A measure of diet quality was used to classify students into groups of poor (meeting minimum servings of 0-1 food group), average (2-3 food groups), or superior (all 4 food groups) diet quality using a food-based diet quality index [26] modified to reflect Canada’s Food Guide to Healthy Eating (CFGHE) [27] foods [17]. The number of servings from each CFGHE food group [27] was calculated using data from the 24-hour dietary recall and included the following food groups: Grain Products, Vegetables and Fruit, Milk Products, and Meat and Alternatives. Foods that were not classified as CFGHE foods were categorized as Other Foods and further divided into the following subcategories: foods containing mostly sugar (e.g., candies), high salt/fat foods (e.g., potato chips), high calorie beverages (e.g., regular soft drinks), low calorie beverages (e.g., low calorie soft drinks), or high sugar/fat foods (e.g., pastries) based on Canadian Nutrient File definitions [28]. The CFGHE was subsequently revised and the new food guide [29] was released in early 2007. In spite of this, the 1992 CFGHE was the government recommendation during data collection and was also a component of Alberta school curriculum [30, 31]. Therefore, it was most appropriate to compare intakes to the 1992 CFGHE recommendations. Macronutrient intakes were assessed using ESHA Food Processor (version 7.9; Salem, OR) and the 2001b Canadian Nutrient File database.

2.2.4. Suboptimal Meal Behaviours. Survey questions examining meal behaviours (i.e., meal skipping and consuming meals away from home) were comparable to questions developed for Project EAT (Eating Among Teens), a well-established survey instrument [32, 33]. Frequency of meal consumption was assessed by asking “How often do you usually eat?” followed by “breakfast,” “lunch,” “dinner,” “morning snacks,” “afternoon snacks,” or “evening snacks” with response options of “Never,” “On weekends only,” “Less than half of the week (≤3 days/week),” “More than half of the week (≥4 days/week),” “Every day,” and “Not answered.” Frequency of consuming meals away from home was assessed by asking “How often do you eat meals or snacks prepared away from home?”, with response options of “rarely or never,” “once a month,” “once a week,” “2–6 times a week,” and “once a day.” The following locations were assessed: school cafeteria, fast food restaurant or take out, other restaurants, vending machines, snack bars, and convenience stores.

2.2.5. Physical Activity. A web-based version of the Physical Activity Questionnaire for Older Children (PAQ-C) was used to assess physical activity levels over the previous 7-day period. The PAQ-C was specifically designed for Canadian school-aged youth and includes components of physical activity during the school day as well as after school and weekend activity [34]. The questionnaire consists of 9 items which are used to calculate an activity score (scores range from 1.00 to 5.00). The PAQ-C has been shown to be a reliable [35] and valid [36] method to assess physical activity in youth. In addition, among a subsample of 411 students in our study, when compared to the paper-based PAQ-C, the web-based PAQ-C showed good agreement (r = 0.70) [9].

2.3. Procedures. School boards and schools were individually contacted by mail and followup phone calls were made to request permission to survey students. Parents and students received information letters, and parental consent was obtained using active consent. All students provided assent after signing on to the web-based survey. The anonymous 24-page survey took approximately 45 minutes to complete and was conducted during class time within the school day. Survey data were collected between January and November 2005 (except in July and August when schools were closed for summer). This research was approved by a university ethics board and by all participating school boards and schools.

2.4. Statistical Analyses. Overweight and obesity prevalence were analyzed using descriptive statistics. Four 2 × 3 multivariate analyses of covariance (MANCOVA) were used to evaluate the association between gender and BMI classification for the four sets of dependent variables: nutrient intakes, Other Foods categories, frequency of meal consumption, and location of meal consumption. Total caloric intake was used as the covariate for all four MANCOVAs. Univariate followups were completed on significant MANCOVA results for dependent variables. Prior to the MANCOVA analyses, the assumption of homogenous slopes [37, 38] was tested, and interactions indicated that the slopes differed among levels of the independent variables. Therefore, adjusted values were based on custom models which included these interactions for the following MANCOVA analyses: macronutrients, Other Foods, and frequency of meal consumption. Differences in physical activity levels and diet quality scores between non-overweight, overweight, and obese students were assessed using a 2 × 3 analysis of variance (ANOVA) for gender and weight status.

Statistical analyses were performed using the software program SPSS (version 15.0; SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill). A criterion α-level of P < 0.05 was used for all statistical comparisons given the small effect sizes previously observed using Web-SPAN [17–20].
Table 1: Percentage of overweight and obesity, by gender, among adolescents aged 11 to 17 years.

| BMI Classification | Total (n = 4097) | Boys (n = 1974) | Girls (n = 2123) |
|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Overweight         | 15.1†           | 19.0           | 11.4            |
| Obese              | 6.0             | 7.5            | 4.6             |

†Prevalence expressed as a percentage.

3. Results

3.1. Weight Status. Observed percentage of overweight and obesity, by gender, are presented in Table 1. In total, 21.1% of students (26.5% boys; 16.0% girls) were considered overweight or obese.

3.2. BMI Classification and Nutrient Intakes. Multivariate F values for BMI classification (Wilks’ Lambda = 1.00, F[8, 8134] = 3.46, P = 0.039, ηp² = 0.002) were significant, while the interaction between BMI classification and gender was not significant (Wilks’ Lambda = 1.00, F[8, 8134] = 0.59, P = 0.788, ηp² = 0.001) for nutrient intakes. Univariate followup analyses for BMI classification indicated that non-overweight students consumed significantly more carbohydrate and fibre, and significantly less fat compared to both overweight and obese students. Significant differences did not exist between overweight and obese students, or for protein intakes between BMI classifications (Table 2).

No significance was observed for the main effect of BMI classification (Wilks’ Lambda = 1.00, F[10, 8132] = 0.88, P = 0.552, ηp² = 0.001) or the interaction between BMI classification and gender (Wilks’ Lambda = 1.00, F[10, 8132] = 1.03, P = 0.417, ηp² = 0.001) with Other Foods subcategories (MANCOVA). The results of comparisons between intakes from the Other Foods subcategories and BMI classification are presented in Table 2. Although significant differences were not observed based on the MANCOVA, significance was observed for high calorie beverages based on univariate analyses where non-overweight students consumed significantly less high calorie beverages compared to obese students. No significant differences were observed between BMI classification and diet quality (χ² [4] = 5.31, P = 0.257).

3.3. BMI Classification and Suboptimal Meal Behaviours. Significant main effects for BMI classification (Wilks’ Lambda = 0.99, F[8, 6202] = 2.88, P = 0.003, ηp² = 0.004), but not for the interaction between BMI classification and gender (Wilks’ Lambda = 1.00, F[8, 6202] = 0.77, P = 0.627, ηp² = 0.001) were observed when meal frequency was assessed using MANCOVA. Followup univariate analyses revealed that non-overweight students had a higher frequency of consuming breakfast and snacks compared to obese students. Non-overweight students also consumed snacks more frequently than overweight students. No differences were observed for lunch or dinner consumption (Table 3).

The association between BMI classification and gender with frequency of consuming meals away from home assessed using a MANCOVA did not reveal significant F values for BMI classification (Wilks’ Lambda = 1.00, F[12, 7034] = 1.44, P = 0.140, ηp² = 0.002) or the interaction between BMI classification and gender (Wilks’ Lambda = 1.00, F[12, 7034] = 1.27, P = 0.227, ηp² = 0.002) (Table 3).

3.4. Physical Activity. Significant differences were observed in physical activity levels between non-overweight and obese students, and between overweight and obese students (F[2, 3669] = 15.78, P < 0.001) based on ANOVA. Both non-overweight (2.92 ± 0.01 [SE], P < 0.001) and overweight students (2.89 ± 0.03, P < 0.001) were more active than obese students (2.66 ± 0.05). Differences were also observed between BMI classifications based on gender for both boys (F[2, 1917] = 3.12, P < 0.001) and girls (F[2, 1919] = 3.07, P = 0.047) when assessed using ANOVA. Both non-overweight (3.06 ± 0.02, P < 0.001) and overweight boys (3.00 ± 0.04, P < 0.001) were more active than obese boys (2.63 ± 0.06), while non-overweight girls (2.81 ± 0.02, P = 0.048) were more active than obese girls (2.70 ± 0.07).

4. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to investigate the overweight and obesity status of Alberta adolescents and to identify differences in nutrient intakes, meal behaviours, and physical activity levels between BMI classifications using a web-based survey. One in five students were considered overweight or obese. They had significantly different nutrient intakes, meal behaviours, and physical activity levels than non-overweight students, such that suboptimal behaviours (e.g., skipping breakfast) were more prevalent in students classified as overweight or obese.

Self-reported height and weight were used to calculate BMI and to further classify students as overweight or obese. Although measured height and weight are more precise and accurate than self-reported measurements, self-report is a useful method that offers researchers a time- and cost-effective method to survey a large number of participants simultaneously [24, 39–41]. Overweight and obesity rates in the current study were similar to the Alberta rates from the 2004 CCHS [1]. The 2004 CCHS revealed that Alberta youth had a significantly lower prevalence of overweight/obesity than the national average, therefore it was most appropriate to compare to provincial statistics [1].

The association between dietary fat and weight status in the literature is inconclusive. Several researchers have suggested that an increase in dietary fat intake can be correlated to an increase in body fat in children 4 to 11 years [42–44]. However, Gillis and colleagues [45] and Troiano et al. [46] did not observe the same effect. In the current study, both overweight and obese students consumed significantly more total fat compared to non-overweight students, suggesting a relationship between dietary fat intake and BMI classification. In addition, overweight and obese students...
had significantly lower intakes of carbohydrate and fibre compared to their peers. Although mean intakes of total fibre were well below the recommended Dietary Reference Intake (DRI) in all BMI categories, higher intakes were observed in non-overweight students, representing an improvement in fibre intake with decreasing BMI. Significant differences were not observed between BMI classification and diet quality; however, recent findings from the 2004 CCHS suggested that youth who consumed fruits and vegetables more frequently (five or more times a day) were less likely to be classified as overweight/obese [1]. However, it is worth noting that diet quality was very poor in all students, regardless of weight status, which could explain the lack of significance in results [17]. Further, the food-based diet quality index used in the present study did not determine when students exceeded their CFGHE recommendations, which may provide a better

Table 2: Group differences in adjusted* nutrient intakes based on BMI classification¹.

| Nutrients (g) (n = 4080) | BMI Classification (mean ± SE) | Non-overweightb | Overweight | Obese | F value | P value |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------|---------|---------|
| Carbohydrate            |                               | 284.09 ± 0.99a  | 275.13 ± 2.25b | 275.35 ± 3.52bc | 8.88 | <0.001bc; 0.016ac |
| Protein                 |                               | 79.66 ± 0.46    | 81.95 ± 1.04  | 79.09 ± 1.63 | 2.25 | nsd     |
| Fat                     |                               | 77.36 ± 0.36a   | 79.95 ± 0.82b | 81.14 ± 1.29bc | 7.51 | 0.004ab, ac |
| Fibre                   |                               | 15.14 ± 0.13a   | 13.97 ± 0.29b | 13.79 ± 0.46bc | 9.86 | <0.001bc; 0.005ac |

Other food groups subcategories (servings/day) (n = 4080)

| Mostly sugar            |                               | 1.01 ± 0.03     | 0.91 ± 0.06  | 0.99 ± 0.10 | 1.12 | nsd     |
| High salt/fat           |                               | 0.62 ± 0.02     | 0.58 ± 0.05  | 0.64 ± 0.07 | 0.29 | nsd     |
| High calorie beverages  |                               | 0.79 ± 0.02     | 0.84 ± 0.05  | 1.09 ± 0.09 | 5.73 | nsd**   |
| Low calorie beverages   |                               | 1.25 ± 0.04     | 1.30 ± 0.08  | 1.33 ± 0.12 | 0.35 | nsd     |
| High sugar/fat          |                               | 0.67 ± 0.02     | 0.61 ± 0.04  | 0.54 ± 0.06 | 2.96 | nsd     |

BMI: Body Mass Index; g: grams; nsd: no significant difference; SE: standard error of the mean.
*Adjusted for total caloric intake (energy).
¹Univariate analyses.
²Non-overweight refers to all non-overweight, non-obese students.
**Significance was not observed based on multivariate analysis, however, univariate analysis revealed significance between non-overweight and obese students (P = 0.003).
§Different superscript letters in each row indicate significant statistical differences.

Table 3: Group differences in suboptimal meal behaviours* based on BMI classification¹.

| Meal frequency** (n = 3114) | BMI Classification (mean ± SE) | Non-overweightb | Overweight | Obese | F value | P value |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------|---------|---------|
| Breakfast                  |                               | 4.25 ± 0.03a    | 4.14 ± 0.06 | 4.02 ± 0.09c | 4.35 | 0.014bc |
| Lunch                      |                               | 4.66 ± 0.02    | 4.64 ± 0.04 | 4.51 ± 0.06 | 2.95 | nsd     |
| Dinner                     |                               | 4.87 ± 0.01    | 4.89 ± 0.02 | 4.78 ± 0.04 | 2.83 | nsd     |
| Snacks                     |                               | 3.35 ± 0.02a   | 3.31 ± 0.03b | 3.25 ± 0.08bc | 16.67 | <0.001bc |

Consuming meals and snacks away from home** (n = 3529)

| BMI Classification (mean ± SE) | Non-overweightb | Overweight | Obese | F value | P value |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------|---------|---------|
| School cafeteria              | 2.33 ± 0.03     | 2.35 ± 0.06 | 2.40 ± 0.10 | 0.27 | nsd     |
| Fast food/take out            | 2.31 ± 0.02     | 2.26 ± 0.04 | 2.19 ± 0.06 | 2.40 | nsd     |
| Other restaurant              | 2.05 ± 0.02     | 2.08 ± 0.04 | 2.06 ± 0.06 | 0.37 | nsd     |
| Vending machines              | 2.19 ± 0.02     | 2.16 ± 0.05 | 2.18 ± 0.08 | 0.22 | nsd     |
| Snack bars                    | 2.25 ± 0.02     | 2.15 ± 0.05 | 2.17 ± 0.08 | 1.93 | nsd     |
| Convenience store             | 2.52 ± 0.02     | 2.51 ± 0.05 | 2.56 ± 0.08 | 0.20 | nsd     |

BMI: Body Mass Index; nsd: no significant difference; SE: standard error of the mean.
*Adjusted for total caloric intake (energy).
¹Univariate analyses.
²Non-overweight refers to all non-overweight, non-obese students.
**Meal frequency; never = 1, on weekends only = 2, less than half of the week (<3 days/week) = 3, more than half of the week (≥4 days/week) = 4, every day = 5; snacks were averaged before analysis (morning snacks, afternoon snacks, evening snacks).
11Consuming meals and snacks away from home: rarely or never = 1, once a month = 2, once a week = 3, 2–6 times a week = 4, once a day = 5.
¹²Different superscript letters in each row indicate significant statistical differences.
understanding of the relationship between diet quality and overweight/obesity.

Although results did not indicate significance for the consumption of Other Foods subcategories, univariate analysis revealed that obese students consumed significantly more high calorie beverages compared to non-overweight students. The relationship between high calorie beverages (e.g., regular soft drinks) and body weight is of interest due to the tremendous increase in soft drink consumption in the adolescent population. In the United States, data from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Growth and Health Survey assessed longitudinal changes in beverage consumption among adolescent girls. Girls were assessed initially at age 9 or 10 years and annually up until 19 years of age. Data from multiple 3-day food records indicated that regular soft drink consumption nearly tripled over the 10-year period. In that study, for every 100 grams of regular soft drinks consumed, BMI increased by 0.01 unit and average daily caloric intake increased by approximately 82 kilocalories [12]. A more recent Australian study indicated that schoolchildren aged 4 to 12 years who consumed greater intakes of high caloric beverages (i.e., fruit juice/drink, soft drink) were more than twice as likely to be overweight/obese [13]. A meta-analysis which examined the effects of soft drink consumption on health revealed positive associations between soft drink consumption and body weight, although results varied depending on the methodology used to assess body weight [47].

Results of the present study indicated that non-overweight students had a higher frequency of consuming breakfast and snacks when compared to either overweight or obese students. Breakfast skipping is highly prevalent in the adolescent population, and consistent with previous reports, has been associated with increased prevalence of overweight [48]. Although snack consumption has been previously associated with higher intakes of Other Foods [49], the current results suggest that snacks may be associated with a lower BMI classification. Additional research that examines meal patterns may be helpful in clarifying the association between snack consumption and weight. Although significant differences were not observed between BMI classification and consumption of meals and snacks away from home, previous studies have found a positive association [50, 51]. This suggests that location alone may not be adequate to explain the relationship between meal behaviours and overweight/obesity. Information regarding the type (e.g., fried versus grilled, white versus whole wheat, whole versus skim milk, juice versus fruit) and quantity of the food consumed away from home may be necessary to provide a true understanding of the relationship between BMI classification and meal location. As well, in the current study, the frequency of family meals was not examined. Family meals may have provided a better understanding of BMI classification than consumption of meals away from home at independent locations.

Results from the current study indicated that both non-overweight and overweight students were more active than obese students. Further, differences were observed by gender where both non-overweight and overweight boys were more active than obese boys, and non-overweight girls were more active than obese girls. These findings are consistent with results from the 2004 CCHS which indicated that sedentary adolescent boys were more likely than active boys to be obese [1]. However, these results are dissimilar to other reports from the Canadian Health Measures Survey that utilized objective measuring devices for physical activity such as accelerometers [52]. In a study done by Thompson et al. [14], no significant differences were found in physical activity levels among BMI classifications.

A limitation of this study was the use of self-reported survey data. Although validated survey components were used, many adolescents experience recall bias, response bias, and underreporting of total energy intake which is known to occur in the adolescent population [53]. Strengths of this study include the large sample size, the use of validated questionnaires to assess behaviours, and the web-based methodology which facilitated access to a large number of students over a large geographic area. The survey also provided anonymity and privacy which have been shown to be important determinants of adolescents’ decision to report honest answers [54]. Further, students were not aware in advance what day the survey was to be completed, therefore reducing reactivity.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, this study examined the overweight and obesity status of Alberta adolescents and the associations between BMI classification and lifestyle behaviours including nutrient intakes, meal behaviours, and levels of physical activity using a web-based survey. Web-SPAN was a time- and cost-effective method that was used to assess health behaviours of adolescents throughout a wide geographic area, including hard-to-reach areas. Significant differences in intake, meal behaviours, and physical activity levels existed between BMI classifications. This research supports the need to target suboptimal behaviours such as high calorie beverage consumption, total fat intake, breakfast skipping, and low levels of physical activity in order to promote healthy weights in the adolescent population. Because behaviours established during adolescence have been shown to track into adulthood, it is important to target these behaviours during early adolescence. In Alberta, vending machines have been removed from many schools, and daily physical activity is mandatory in grades 1–9; however, this research supports the need to focus on older adolescents, including those in high school (grades 10 through 12). Additional nutrition education in schools may also help target suboptimal nutritional intakes such as high intakes of total fat and low intakes of fibre, by educating students on the importance of a healthy diet. Thus, priorities that aim to improve the whole school environment such as comprehensive school health which includes school nutrition policies, removal of vending machines, and mandatory physical activity may help to improve overall weight status in Alberta adolescents. Further, because diet quality was poor among all Alberta adolescents, regardless of weight status, priorities should be developed
that target students in all grade levels in order to improve the overall health and well-being of all adolescents.
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