Triangular Fixation to Prevent Proximal Screw Pullout in Adult Spinal Deformity Surgery: A Technical Note
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Abstract

Background

Adult spinal deformity (ASD) is caused by spinal malalignment and results in severe low back pain, neurological dysfunction, and severe deformity. Proximal screw back-out represents a difficult problem in minimally invasive ASD surgery. We describe a novel technique to prevent screw pullout in ASD.

Methods

A 71-year-old woman was referred to our hospital with severe low back pain and gait difficulty. Her daily life had been affected by severe lower back pain for more than 6 months. Standing radiograms indicated severe kyphoscoliosis. Two-stage minimally invasive corrective T10-to-pelvis fixation was performed.

Results

The first surgery was an L1–S1 C-arm-free oblique lumbar interbody fusion, with an operation time of 3 h 57 min and an estimated blood loss of 240 mL. After 1 week, the second percutaneous pedicle screw (PPS) fixation was performed and proximal screws were inserted under a transdiscal approach (T11) and with a lower angulation trajectory (T10) to enhance pullout strength. For this second surgery, operation time was 3 h 33 min, and estimated blood loss was 320 mL. No postoperative complications or neurological compromise was reported. In terms of clinical outcomes, Oswestry Disability Index improved from 56–24%, and visual analog scale score for lower back pain improved from 62 mm to 24 mm at the 1-year follow-up.

Conclusions

Minimally invasive circumferential surgery with triangular fixation is effective for preventing proximal screw back-out and surgical invasiveness. With this new technique, surgeons and operating room staff can avoid the risk of adverse events due to intraoperative radiation exposure.

Background

Adult spinal deformity (ASD) affects a large number of patients (8.9% of individuals >40 years old and 68% of those >60 years old and is caused by spinal malalignment, resulting in severe low back pain, neurological dysfunction, and severe deformity of the body [1, 2]. Surgical treatment offers improved patient-reported outcomes compared to conservative treatment [3]. However, the complication rate of ASD surgery is reportedly very high (10–45%) [4, 5]. Circumferential minimally invasive surgery (cMIS) to reduce the morbidities and perioperative complications of ASD has been receiving increasing attention [6].
Proximal junctional kyphosis (PJK) occurs at the rostral junction between the fixed instrumented spinal segment and overlying mobile vertebral levels after ASD surgery. PJK is defined by a proximal junctional sagittal Cobb angle $\geq 10^\circ$, and also $\geq 10^\circ$ more than the preoperative measurement. If this condition requires revision surgery, it is referred to as proximal junctional failure (PJF) [7]. PJF is one of the most common complications of ASD surgery [8]. To prevent PJK and pullout of proximal screws, options such as taping [9], bone cement [10], administration of parathyroid hormone [11], and semi-rigid junctional fixation [12] have been proposed. However, these options are difficult to adapt to cMIS techniques. We present herein a novel technique to prevent screw pullout in adult spinal deformity surgery.

**Methods**

**Case presentation**

**Patient history**

A 71-year-old woman was referred to our orthopedic department with severe low back pain and gait difficulty. Her daily life had been affected by low back pain for more than 6 months.

**Physical examination**

The patient could not walk or stand unaided. On examination, the patient could walk for only 100 m due to left leg pain and low back pain (Figure 1A, B). No hyperreflexia of the legs was detected, and abdominal reflexes were normal, but the patient experienced severe pain in the left leg with limited range of spine motion.

**Preoperative imaging**

Radiograms at initial visit demonstrated severe degenerative lumbar scoliosis. Preoperative spinal radiograms indicated coronal sagittal malalignment: Cobb angle, 52° (L2–5); coronal trunk shift, 40 mm; sagittal vertical axis (SVA), 27 mm; pelvic tilt (PT), 36°; pelvic incidence (PI)-lumbar lordosis (LL), 22° (Figure 1C, D). Preoperative computed tomography (CT) indicated L3 lateral slip, osteoarthritis and disc air vacuum phenomenon (Figure 2). Preoperative magnetic resonance imaging revealed severe disc degeneration from L1 to L5 and mild stenosis at both the L3–4 and L4–5 level (Figure 3). She had severe osteoporosis (bone mineral density, 0.642 g/cm$^2$; T score, -2.0

**Results**

**Surgery and postoperative imaging**

The patient underwent two-stage C-arm-free cMIS. The first surgery comprised L1–S1 C-arm-free oblique lumbar interbody fusion (OLIF), with an operation time of 3 h 57 min and an estimated blood loss of 240 mL. After 1 week, the second PPS fixation was performed and proximal screws (T10, T11) were inserted
with a transdiscal approach (T11) and with lower angulation trajectory (T10) to enhance pullout strength (Figure 4). For this second stage, operative time was 3 h 33 min and estimated blood loss was 320 mL. No postoperative complications or neurological compromise was reported.

**Follow-up results and imaging**

Follow-up radiography showed no PJK or screw loosening (Figure 5). In terms of clinical outcomes, Oswestry Disability Index improved from 56% to 24% and visual analog scale score for neck pain improved from 62 mm to 24 mm by the 1-year follow-up.

**Operation procedure**

**First surgery (L1–S1 OLIF)**

The patient was placed in the right lateral decubitus position on an adjustable hinged carbon operating table (OSI Axis Jackson table; Mizuho, Union City, CA, USA) to perform CT using an O-arm. An axillary roll was placed to protect the neurovascular structures in the axilla. The patient was secured to the Axis Jackson table with tape, and the table was adjusted to approximately 15° convex. The percutaneous reference frame was attached through the sacroiliac joint. The O-arm was then positioned, and 3-dimensional (3D) reconstructed images were obtained and transmitted to the Stealth station navigation system Spine 7® (Medtronic Sofamor Danek; Minneapolis, MN, USA). After verifying every navigated spinal instrument, the best entry point for each disc was marked by the navigated pinpoint probe. Typically, three oblique skin incisions of approximately 4 cm each are necessary for this technique.

The subcutaneous fat layers were dissected until the abdominal musculature was reached. The external, internal, and transverse abdominal muscles were divided parallel with the alignment of the muscle fibers to avoid cutting the muscle fibers. Both index fingers were inserted inside the retroperitoneal space, and were used to follow the internal abdominal wall posteriorly down to the psoas muscle, which can be visualized. The navigated first direct lateral dilator rested on the anterior border of the psoas muscle at the L5-S1 disc level. Use of a hand-held retractor with illumination placed between the peritoneal contents and the probe was used to minimize the risk of injury to the ureter and vascular structures anteriorly. The retractor assembly was attached to the flexible arm and stability pins were inserted to fix the retractor. Discectomy was performed using a bayoneted knife, Kerrison rongeurs, pituitary forceps, a navigated Cobb elevatorium, a navigated shaver (Figure 5) and navigated curved curettes (Figure 6A, B). After trialing (Figure 6C, D), a mixture of iliac bone and demineralized bone material was inserted into the cage hole. A mallet was then used to gently insert the OLIF cage (Clydesdale PTC®, OLIF51 Sovereign™ Spinal 173 System; Medtronic Sofamor Danek) while monitoring placement (Figure 8).

**Second surgery - Percutaneous pedicle screw (PPS) fixation**

The patient was placed in the prone position on the Axis Jackson table. The reference frame was attached around the T11 spinous process and 3D images were obtained from T10 to L3. After every
navigated instrument was verified, PPS was inserted by navigation (Figure 9). The length and diameter of pedicle screw were also measured by navigation. After T10–L3 screws were inserted, the reference frame was reattached to L3, and another 3D image was obtained from L4 to the pelvis. Sacral-alar-iliac (SAI) screws are recommended to enhance the pelvic anchors. The proximal screws (T10, T11) are inserted with a transdiscal approach (T11) (Figure 10) and with lower angulation trajectory (T10) (Figure 11) to enhance pullout strength. This technique results in triangular fixation of the upper instrumented vertebra (UIV) to prevent screw pull-out (Figure 12).

Anteroposterior and lateral radiograms should be obtained to ensure correct placement of pedicle screws and SAI screws. Rods were bent in an appropriate contour and inserted percutaneously. The Axis Jackson table was bent by more than 20° to create good lumbar lordosis. The set screws were gradually tightened to create a greater amount of lumbar lordosis.

**Discussion**

For ASD, surgery shows better results than conservative treatment, but is associated with many complications [3]. PJK is one such complication. Risk factors for PJK include older age, greater preoperative sagittal imbalance, large correction of sagittal deformity, anteroposterior spinal fusion, fusion to the sacrum, low bone density, and a high body mass index [14]. PJFs involve more serious clinical problems after long segment fusions in patients with ASD and require surgical treatment. PJF is a progressive form of the PJK spectrum, including vertebral fracture of UIV or UIV+1, subluxation between UIV and UIV+1, failure of fixation, and neurological deficits [15]. The prevalence of PJF ranges between 1.4% and 25%, with a mean time of 11.4 weeks to 6 months after primary surgery [15, 16]. The structural failure that occurs with PJF can present as vertebral body fracture, implant pull-out or breakage, and/or disruption of the posterior osteo-ligamentous complex [16, 17].

Several multifactor-based strategies are available to reduce the incidences of PJK and PJF, such as preserving the interspinous and supraspinous ligaments and facet joint capsules at the UIV. With the advent of percutaneous pedicle screw fixation by applying minimally invasive surgery (MIS) principles, the soft tissue injuries and perioperative complications associated with open surgery can be minimized [18]. Osteoporosis is another factor responsible for increased hardware failure, such as screw cutout, screw pull out and higher incidences of PJK/PJF, thus increasing the risk of ASD patients needing revision surgeries [19]. Various techniques have been advised to reduce the chances of screw pull out. Expandable screws offer 60% higher pullout strength than traditional pedicle screws, but their availability and cost remain as important constraints. Moreover, these screws are mainly available for open fixations [20]. Bicortical screws are longer and 26% stronger than traditional pedicle screws, but this technique carries a major risk of injury to the great vessels lying anteriorly [21]. Screws with cement augmentation show an 86% improvement in pullout strength. This technique is very commonly used, but at the same time carries risks of cement leakage like pulmonary embolism, radicular symptoms and neurological injury [22]. Techniques that can be used in conjunction with MIS without increasing complication rates or adding to the financial burdens remain scant.
We have suggested an innovative surgical technique to reduce the chances of screw pull-out and PJK/PJF, in addition to maintaining the advantages of MIS procedures. We suggest inserting a trans-discal screw at the UIV-1 level. Abdu et al. in 1994 offered the first description of using transdiscal screw fixation for the management of spondylolisthesis. A trans-discal screw offers better pullout strength than a straight forward screw, at 1.6- to 1.8-times stronger than a traditional pedicle screw [23]. Inserting a trans-discal screw is avoided during UIV to prevent injury to the proximal junctional disc. Conversely, the UIV screw is placed directed cranio-caudally to get make maximum use of the length of the screw and obtain a triangular construct at the proximal end of the construct.

A triangular construct (Figure 12) offers superior fixation strength, since it depends on the mass of bone between the hardware rather than screw purchase alone [24]. Also, in osteoporotic bone, the insertion angle minimally affects the pull-out force. Insertion angle also has no significant effect on insertion torque and is based on the material properties of the bone and the length of screw engagement [25]. Longer screws thus offer better purchase. Another advantage of placing the UIV screw cranio-caudally is that significantly more bone is available cranial to the screw surface to resist the screw cut-out. Considering all these advantages to this technique with no added complications or financial burden and its applicability to MIS, we propose that adoption of similar techniques may significantly reduce the rates of complications related to bone-implant interface and thus the incidence of PJF.

**Conclusion**

Proximal triangular fixation is a useful technique to prevent screw pullout for adult spinal deformity surgery. This technique is available for cMIS such as percutaneous pedicle screw fixation.
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Preoperative figure and radiograms A: Posteroanterior view shows 52° of scoliosis. B: Lateral radiogram shows severe sagittal malalignment; SVA of 27 mm, PT of 57°, LL of 10°, and PI of 58°. C-D: CT
Figure 2

Preoperative magnetic resonance imaging. A: T2 weighted coronal image: severe disc degeneration was observed from the L1 to L5 disc space. B: T2 weighted sagittal image: C-F: T2 weighted axial images: the psoas muscles are atrophic and intermediate spinal canal stenosis is present at the L3-4 and L4-5 level.
Figure 3

Postoperative figure and CT A: Posteroanterior view: Lumbar scoliosis was reduced from 57° to 14°. B: Lateral radiogram shows good sagittal alignment; SVA 44 mm, PT 19°, LL 56°, PI 57°. C: Coronal reconstruction CT: a good coronal alignment is obtained. D: Sagittal reconstruction CT: a good sagittal alignment is obtained.
Figure 4

Final follow-up radiograms A; Posteroanterior radiogram, B; Lateral radiogram. Solid bony fusion was obtained.
Figure 5

Navigated shaver A; intraoperative image, B; Sagittal image, C; Axial image

Figure 6
Figure 6

Nagigated currette and trial A,C; Sagittal images of currette and trail, B,D: Axial images of currette and trial.

Figure 7

Cage insertion A; After disc preparation, B; After cage insertion.
Figure 8

Transdiscal screw The pullout strength of transdiscal screw is stronger than that of normal screw
Figure 9

The lower angulation trajectory screw Screws of T11 and T12 makes the triangular fixation

Figure 10

Percutaneous pedicle screw insertion All screws were inserted under navigation guidance
Figure 11

Triangular fixation T10 anatomical screw and T11 transdiscal screw make triangular fixation.