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Soluble Urokinase-Type Plasminogen Activator Receptor, Changes of 24-Hour Blood Pressure, and Progression of Chronic Kidney Disease
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BACKGROUND: Soluble urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor (suPAR) is associated with cardiovascular risks and poor renal outcomes. However, whether elevated suPAR levels are associated with 24-hour blood pressure patterns or kidney disease progression in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) is unclear.

METHODS AND RESULTS: A total of 751 patients with CKD stage 1 to 5 were recruited from CMERC-HI (Cardiovascular and Metabolic Disease Etiology Research Center–High Risk) cohort study (2013–2018). The relationship of serum suPAR levels to 24-hour blood pressure parameters and CKD progression was analyzed. The median serum suPAR level was 1439.0 (interquartile range, 1026.2–2150.1) pg/mL, and the mean estimated glomerular filtration rate was 52.8±28.5 mL/min per 1.73 m² at baseline. Patients with higher suPAR levels had significantly higher levels of office, 24-hour, daytime, and nighttime systolic blood pressure and nighttime diastolic blood pressure than those with lower suPAR levels. The highest suPAR tertile was associated with an increased risk of a reverse dipping pattern (odds ratio, 2.93; 95% CI, 1.27–6.76; P=0.01). During a follow-up of 43.2 (interquartile range, 27.0–55.6) months, the CKD progression occurred in 271 (36.1%) patients. The highest suPAR tertile was significantly associated with higher risk of CKD progression than the lowest tertile (hazard ratio [HR], 2.09; 95% CI, 1.37–3.21; P=0.001). When the relationship was reevaluated with respect to each dipping pattern (dipper, extreme dipper, nondipper, and reverse dipper), this association was consistent only in reverse dippers in whom the risk of CKD progression increased (HR, 1.43; 95% CI, 1.02–2.01; P=0.03) with every 1-unit increase in serum suPAR levels.

CONCLUSIONS: Elevated suPAR levels are independently associated with CKD progression, and this association is prominent in reverse dippers.
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Chronic kidney disease (CKD), defined as reduced kidney function or kidney damage, is one of the major public health concerns worldwide.1 The prevalence of CKD affects >10% of the worldwide population.2,3 Patients with CKD are at a high risk for adverse outcomes, including cardiovascular disease.
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Approximately half of individuals with advanced CKD stages die because of CVD; thus, preventing the progression of CKD is crucial in this population. Despite the extensive resources devoted to CKD treatment, the incidence of progression to ESRD and the mortality risk remain high. Thus, it is important to identify the risk factors of kidney function decline in patients with CKD.

SuPAR, a circulating form of a glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol–anchored 3-domain membrane protein, has been acknowledged to be associated with the pathogenesis of kidney disease, including focal segmental glomerulosclerosis and diabetic nephropathy, via interfering podocyte migration and apoptosis.10–12 SuPAR is expressed in a variety of cells, such as immune cells, endothelial cells, and podocytes. SuPAR has also been reported to be involved in the pathogenesis of various inflammatory diseases, and elevated SuPAR levels contribute to poor outcomes in diverse patient populations.10,11,14 Individuals with high SuPAR levels are at an increased risk for cardiovascular events, independent of traditional risk factors.15–17 Recently, SuPAR is suggested to be associated with kidney function decline in patients with CKD.18–20 In addition, previous studies have found that elevated SuPAR levels are significantly associated with endothelial dysfunction caused by chronic inflammation, which is the cornerstone of vascular complication or subclinical organ damage in both the general population and patients with CKD.21,22

Although SuPAR has been suggested to have a role in endothelial dysfunction and progression of CKD in patients with reduced kidney function, it is unclear whether SuPAR levels, kidney function decline, and 24-hour blood pressure (BP) patterns, which are the representatives of endothelial dysfunction, have a mutual interrelationship. Therefore, the objective of this study was to assess whether SuPAR is useful for predicting CKD progression and to evaluate its association with 24-hour BP patterns in patients with CKD.

**CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE**

What Is New?
- In patients with chronic kidney disease, the elevated serum soluble urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor levels are associated with increased levels of office, 24-hour, daytime, and nighttime systolic blood pressure and nighttime diastolic blood pressure and increased risk of a reverse dipping pattern.
- The higher serum soluble urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor levels are significantly associated with higher risk of progression of chronic kidney disease.
- The association of high soluble urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor levels and increased risk of chronic kidney disease progression is prominent in reverse dippers.

What Are the Clinical Implications?
- These findings support the importance of serum soluble urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor levels, which can be used to predict the progression of kidney disease in patients with chronic kidney disease, especially in those with altered circadian blood pressure patterns.

**METHODS**

**Study Population**

Study subjects were recruited from the CMERC-HI (Cardiovascular and Metabolic Disease Etiology Research Center–High Risk) cohort study (clinicaltrials.gov: NCT02003781). The detailed profiles and methods of how the CMERC-HI study was designed have been previously described elsewhere and are summarized in Data S1.23 The study cohort included 3270 subjects who met the inclusion criteria from December 2013 to June 2018. In this study, we selected patients with CKD stage 1 to 5 (defined by Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes guideline24; presenting at least >3 months of proteinuria or estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR] <60 mL/min per 1.73 m²) and excluded those with ESRD requiring long-term dialysis or those who had undergone kidney transplantation, those with inadequate samples, and those with missing ambulatory BP monitoring profiles or baseline and follow-up creatinine data (Figure 1). Finally, 751 subjects were analyzed. All subjects voluntarily participated and provided informed consent. This study was performed in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the institutional review board of Yonsei University Health System Clinical Trial Center (4-2013-0581). The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**Nonstandard Abbreviations and Acronyms**

| CMERC-HI                  | Cardiovascular and Metabolic Disease Etiology Research Center–High Risk |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| suPAR                     | soluble urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor                   |

(CVD), end-stage renal disease (ESRD), and death.4–8 Approximately half of individuals with advanced CKD stages die because of CVD; thus, preventing the progression of CKD is crucial in this population. Despite the extensive resources devoted to CKD treatment, the incidence of progression to ESRD and the mortality risk remain high. Thus, it is important to identify the risk factors of kidney function decline in patients with CKD.

Soluble urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor (SuPAR), a circulating form of a glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol–anchored 3-domain membrane protein, has been acknowledged to be associated with the pathogenesis of kidney disease, including focal segmental glomerulosclerosis and diabetic nephropathy, via interfering podocyte migration and apoptosis.9–12 SuPAR has also been reported to be involved in the pathogenesis of various inflammatory diseases, and elevated SuPAR levels contribute to poor outcomes in diverse patient populations.10,11,14 Individuals with high SuPAR levels are at an increased risk for cardiovascular events, independent of traditional risk factors.15–17 Recently, SuPAR is suggested to be associated with kidney function decline in patients with CKD.18–20 In addition, previous studies have found that elevated SuPAR levels are significantly associated with endothelial dysfunction caused by chronic inflammation, which is the cornerstone of vascular complication or subclinical organ damage in both the general population and patients with CKD.21,22

Although SuPAR has been suggested to have a role in endothelial dysfunction and progression of CKD in patients with reduced kidney function, it is unclear whether SuPAR levels, kidney function decline, and 24-hour blood pressure (BP) patterns, which are the representatives of endothelial dysfunction, have a mutual interrelationship. Therefore, the objective of this study was to assess whether SuPAR is useful for predicting CKD progression and to evaluate its association with 24-hour BP patterns in patients with CKD.

**CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE**

What Is New?
- In patients with chronic kidney disease, the elevated serum soluble urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor levels are associated with increased levels of office, 24-hour, daytime, and nighttime systolic blood pressure and nighttime diastolic blood pressure and increased risk of a reverse dipping pattern.
- The higher serum soluble urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor levels are significantly associated with higher risk of progression of chronic kidney disease.
- The association of high soluble urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor levels and increased risk of chronic kidney disease progression is prominent in reverse dippers.

What Are the Clinical Implications?
- These findings support the importance of serum soluble urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor levels, which can be used to predict the progression of kidney disease in patients with chronic kidney disease, especially in those with altered circadian blood pressure patterns.
Data Collection

Demographic and socioeconomic data, including age, sex, smoking status, alcohol intake, family history, and medical histories, were collected at enrollment. Anthropometric parameters, such as height, body weight, and body mass index, were measured by skilled evaluators. Smoking and alcohol history was assessed on the basis of the answer from survey questionnaires and classified into 2 groups: current or former versus never smoker or drinker. Hypertension was defined as a self-reported hypertension history, antihypertensive medication use, or 24-hour BP ≥130/80 mm Hg at the time of visit. Diabetes mellitus was defined as self-reported diabetes mellitus history, antidiabetic medication use, fasting plasma glucose levels >126 mg/dL, or hemoglobin A1c ≥6.5%. CVD was defined as a composite of a medical history of coronary artery occlusive disease, ischemic heart disease, congestive heart failure, and cerebrovascular accident. The following biochemical data were collected by testing fasting blood samples: blood urea nitrogen, creatinine, hemoglobin, glucose, hemoglobin A1c, albumin, total cholesterol, triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and CRP (C-reactive protein). Serum creatinine level was determined using the rate-blanked compensated Jaffe kinetic method with the Roche reagent CREA (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and the Roche Calibrator for Automated Systems, which is traceable to an isotope dilution mass spectrometry reference method, in the Hitachi Automatic Analyzer (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). eGFR was calculated using the CKD–Epidemiology Collaboration equation. Urine samples were collected in the morning after the first voiding. Fresh urine samples were analyzed using URISCAN Pro II (YD Diagnostics Corp, Seoul, Korea). Presence of albuminuria was assessed by urine albumin/creatinine ratio.

Measurement of Circulating suPAR Levels

Fasting venous blood samples were obtained, and serum and plasma were stored at −80°C on study enrollment. The serum levels of suPAR were measured with a commercially available ELISA kit (suPARnostic kit; ViroGates, Copenhagen, Denmark), which has a lower limit of detection of 100 pg/mL (according to the manufacturer, the intra-assay variation is 2.75% and the interassay variation is 9.17%). The study subjects were classified into tertiles based on baseline serum suPAR levels.

BP Measurements

The 24-hour BP measurements were obtained using the Takeda TM-2430 instrument (A&D Medical,
Ambulatory BP monitoring was considered adequate if the monitor had been worn for a full 24-hour period and if there was at least 70% of expected measurements with 20 acceptable readings between 9 AM and 9 PM (daytime) and 7 acceptable readings between 1 AM and 6 AM (nighttime). Ambulatory BP readings were averaged for the 24-hour, daytime, and nighttime values. On the basis of nighttime fall in ambulatory systolic BP, patients were classified as dipper (nighttime BP fall, 10%–20%), extreme dipper (nighttime fall in BP, >20%), nondipper (nighttime BP fall, 0%–10%), and reverse dipper (nighttime fall in BP, <0%).

Office BP was obtained using a validated automatic device (HEM 7080-IC; Omron, Kyoto, Japan), which was programmed to automatically measure the sitting BP of a person at 5, 7, and 9 minutes. After positioning the participant in the sitting position and the right arm supported at heart level and setting the device, a trained nurse left the participants alone in the examination room. After a 5-minute rest, automatic BP measurements at 2-minute intervals were obtained in the examination room. After 3 measurements, a trained nurse recorded the BP data. The mean of the 3 BP readings was used as the office BP.

Study End Point

The study end point was the progression of CKD. The level of eGFR was assessed every 3 months, and median number of eGFR measurements was 11.7 (interquartile range, 5.0–15.0). CKD progression was defined as the composite outcome of the development of eGFR <60 mL/min per 1.73 m² or a 30% decrease of eGFR from baseline in patients with CKD stage 1 to 2 and a 50% decrease of eGFR or progression to ESRD in those with CKD stage 3 to 5. The renal events were assessed by 2 researchers independently, and the occurrence of ESRD was decided with electronic medical records review.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as mean±SD, and categorical variables are expressed as absolute numbers with percentages. All data were tested for normality before the statistical analysis. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was performed to determine the distribution normality. Between-group comparisons were performed using ANOVA or Student t test for continuous variables with a normal distribution, and the χ² test or Fisher exact test for categorical variables. Data with a nonnormal distribution are presented as medians with interquartile ranges and compared using the Mann-Whitney U test or Kruskal-Wallis test. Logistic regression analysis was performed to identify the relationship between suPAR levels and 24-hour BP patterns (dipping, extreme dipping, non-dipping, and reverse dipping). Cumulative survival rates were estimated using Kaplan-Meier analysis and the log-rank test. Survival time was defined as the time from baseline to the onset of outcome or the last follow-up. Subjects who were lost to follow-up or death were censored at the last examination date. Cox proportional hazard models were constructed to determine the significant predictive values of suPAR for the study outcome. Variables that showed statistical significance in the univariable analyses or were considered to have clinical significance were included in the multivariable models, such as age, sex, smoking status, body mass index, history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, or CVD, baseline levels of hemoglobin, total cholesterol, and CRP, albuminuria, and 24-hour systolic BP. Results were expressed as hazard ratios and 95% CIs. To test the relationship between the risk of CKD progression and suPAR levels as a continuous variable, restricted cubic spline analyses using Cox proportional hazard model were conducted. Before investigating the interactive effect of kidney function on the impact of suPAR levels on outcomes, the multicollinearity between eGFR and serum suPAR levels was assessed on the basis of the variance inflation factor. We found that the variance inflation factor was in the acceptable range (<2.0) to adjust eGFR for showing the effect of suPAR levels on the study outcome. To evaluate the effect of 24-hour BP patterns on the association between suPAR levels and kidney function, the study subjects were classified according to the 24-hour BP patterns (dipping, nondipping, and reverse dipping), and the predictive value of suPAR on CKD progression was analyzed. The mediation analysis was performed, and an interaction term was determined to investigate the effect of dipping patterns on the association between kidney function and suPAR levels. For all analyses, P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS software for Windows version 25.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY) and R software version 3.3.1 (http://www.R-project.org).

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics

The baseline characteristics of the study subjects, according to the tertiles of baseline serum suPAR levels, are shown in Table 1. The mean age of the study subjects was 61.4±11.4 years, and 395 (52.6%) subjects were men. The median serum suPAR level was 1439.0 (interquartile range, 1026.2–2150.1) pg/mL, and the mean eGFR level was 52.8±28.5 mL/min per 1.73 m² at baseline. The higher tertiles of suPAR showed significantly increased levels of office systolic
BP, and the prevalence of diabetes mellitus was higher in tertiles with higher suPAR than in the lowest suPAR tertile. With respect to laboratory findings, the mean eGFR level was lower and the urine albumin/creatinine ratio was higher in tertiles with higher suPAR than in the lowest suPAR tertile. The levels of hemoglobin, serum albumin, and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol were lower, and the CRP level was higher, in tertiles with higher suPAR than in the lowest suPAR tertile.

Association of 24-Hour BP Parameters With Serum suPAR Levels

We further evaluated the association between 24-hour BP parameters and serum suPAR levels (Table 2). At baseline, tertiles with higher suPAR showed significantly higher levels of office, 24-hour, daytime, and nighttime systolic BP than the lower suPAR tertiles. However, with respect to diastolic BP, only nighttime diastolic BP was significantly higher in patients in
higher suPAR tertiles than in those in the lowest suPAR tertile. Furthermore, we classified the subjects according to 24-hour BP patterns into dippers, extreme dippers, nondippers, and reverse dippers, and evaluated the association with serum suPAR levels. In patients with higher suPAR levels, the proportion of dippers was significantly lower \((P=0.01)\) and that of reverse dippers was higher than in patients with the lowest suPAR level \((P<0.001)\).

Finally, as the reverse dipping pattern was significantly associated with higher suPAR levels, we performed logistic regression analysis to further investigate the association of serum suPAR levels with dipping patterns (Table 3). In multivariable logistic regression analysis, the highest tertile of suPAR was associated with a 2.93-fold increased risk of the reverse dipping pattern \((95\% \text{ CI}, 1.27–6.76; P=0.01)\). This association was consistent when serum suPAR levels were treated as a continuous variable, in which each 1-unit increase in log-transformed baseline suPAR increased the risk of a reverse dipping pattern by 2.10-fold \((95\% \text{ CI}, 1.22–3.60; P=0.01)\).

### Association Between Serum suPAR Levels and CKD Progression

At baseline, negative correlation was observed between kidney function with eGFR as continuous variable and suPAR levels \((\beta = -27.65; 95\% \text{ CI}, -30.28 \text{ to } -25.01; P<0.001)\) (Table S1). During a median follow-up of 43.2 (interquartile range, 27.0–55.6) months, progression of CKD occurred in 271 (36.1%) patients. The incidence of CKD progression was higher in patients in higher tertiles of suPAR than in those in the lowest suPAR tertile \((15.2\%, 27.1\%, \text{ and } 48.8\% \text{ in the lowest, middle, and highest suPAR tertiles, respectively}; P \text{ for trend } <0.001)\) (Table 4). The Kaplan-Meier curve showed that the highest tertile of suPAR was significantly associated with an increased risk of CKD progression \((P<0.001\); Figure 2). Furthermore, multivariable Cox proportional hazard analyses were performed to assess the association between serum suPAR levels and CKD progression. The highest tertile of suPAR was significantly associated with a 2.09-fold increased risk of CKD progression compared with the lowest tertile \((95\% \text{ CI}, 1.37–3.21; P=0.001)\). This association was consistent when the serum suPAR level was treated as a continuous variable in which each 1-unit increase of log-transformed serum suPAR level increased the risk of CKD progression by 1.29-fold \((95\% \text{ CI}, 1.02–1.62; P=0.03)\) (Table 4).

### Effect of Dipping Pattern on the Association Between suPAR Levels and CKD Progression

As suPAR has a role in endothelial dysfunction and CKD progression in patients with reduced kidney function, and we have confirmed that higher serum suPAR levels were associated with an increased risk for a reverse dipping pattern, we assessed whether suPAR is useful for predicting CKD progression in
### Table 3. Association Between Baseline Serum suPAR Concentration and Changes of 24-Hour BP

| Variable                        | CKD Progression, % | Model 1 | P Value | Model 2 | P Value | Model 3 | P Value | Model 4 | P Value |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|
|                                 |                    | OR (95% CI) |         | OR (95% CI) |         | OR (95% CI) |         | OR (95% CI) |         |
| Extreme dipping vs dipping       | suPAR*              | 16.6    | 1.06 (0.66–1.72) | 0.80 | 1.06 (0.66–1.72) | 0.81 | 1.07 (0.66–1.75) | 0.78 | 1.45 (0.77–2.71) | 0.25 |
|                                 | Tertile 1           | 19.2    | Reference |         |         |         |         |         |         |
|                                 | Tertile 2           | 11.6    | 0.55 (0.26–1.16) | 0.16 | 0.54 (0.25–1.13) | 0.10 | 0.51 (0.24–1.09) | 0.08 | 0.67 (0.29–1.57) | 0.36 |
|                                 | Tertile 3           | 19.4    | 1.01 (0.51–2.01) | 0.90 | 1.00 (0.50–2.00) | 0.99 | 1.03 (0.51–2.10) | 0.91 | 1.71 (0.61–4.83) | 0.31 |
| Nondipping vs dipping            | suPAR*              | 50.3    | 1.25 (0.95–1.63) | 0.11 | 1.33 (1.00–1.78) | 0.05 | 1.27 (0.97–1.67) | 0.08 | 1.47 (1.00–2.15) | 0.05 |
|                                 | Tertile 1           | 46.9    | Reference |         |         |         |         |         |         |
|                                 | Tertile 2           | 50.9    | 1.17 (0.81–1.69) | 0.40 | 1.19 (0.82–1.72) | 0.36 | 1.20 (0.83–1.74) | 0.33 | 1.28 (0.84–1.96) | 0.25 |
|                                 | Tertile 3           | 53.5    | 1.30 (0.89–1.90) | 0.18 | 1.30 (0.89–1.91) | 0.17 | 1.33 (0.90–1.96) | 0.15 | 1.65 (0.96–2.83) | 0.07 |
| Reverse dipping vs dipping       | suPAR*              | 22.1†   | 2.14 (1.44–3.19) | <0.001 | 2.04 (1.35–3.09) | 0.001 | 2.10 (1.38–3.20) | 0.001 | 2.10 (1.22–3.60) | 0.01 |
|                                 | Tertile 1           | 15.4†   | Reference |         |         |         |         |         |         |
|                                 | Tertile 2           | 17.0†   | 1.13 (0.60–2.14) | 0.71 | 1.15 (0.60–2.18) | 0.68 | 1.20 (0.63–2.31) | 0.58 | 1.23 (0.60–2.52) | 0.58 |
|                                 | Tertile 3           | 33.8‡   | 2.81 (1.59–4.96) | <0.001 | 2.83 (1.59–5.05) | <0.001 | 2.96 (1.65–5.30) | <0.001 | 2.93 (1.27–6.76) | 0.01 |

Model 1: unadjusted. Model 2: adjusted for demographic factors (age, sex, smoking status, and body mass index). Model 3: adjusted for model 2+comorbidities (history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and cardiovascular disease). Model 4: adjusted for model 3+additional factors (hemoglobin, total cholesterol, CRP [C-reactive protein], estimated glomerular filtration rate, and albuminuria) and mean daytime systolic BP. BP indicates blood pressure; CKD, chronic kidney disease; OR, odds ratio; and suPAR, soluble urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor.

*OR per 1 increase of log-transformed baseline suPAR.
†P<0.001.
‡P for trend<0.001.
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First, we performed mediation analysis to investigate the effect of dipping pattern (dipping, nondipping, and reverse dipping) on the association between CKD progression and suPAR levels; outcome variable for the analysis was CKD progression, predictor variable was suPAR levels, and mediator variable was dipping pattern. The indirect effect of suPAR on CKD progression was statistically significant (β, 0.18; P <0.001). However, the effect of suPAR levels on progression of CKD completely disappeared after adding dipping pattern as mediator (β, 0.06; P=0.07), suggesting that dipping pattern mediates between suPAR levels and kidney function decline.

When the subjects were divided according to the dipping pattern, the association between higher suPAR levels and increased risk for CKD progression was consistent only in reverse dippers in whom the risk of CKD progression was increased by 1.43-fold (95% CI, 1.02–2.01; P=0.03) with every 1-unit increase in log-transformed serum suPAR levels (P for interaction=0.004) (Figure 3).

**DISCUSSION**

In this prospective cohort of patients with CKD, we identified a mutual interrelationship between elevated serum suPAR levels, reverse dipping BP pattern, and CKD progression. In particular, higher serum suPAR levels were associated with an increased risk of CKD.
progression, and this association was more prominent in reverse dippers.

CKD is a public health problem that affects 10% of adults in the Western world and confers a high risk of complications, such as the development of ESRD and cardiovascular events. Hypertension is a major risk factor for the development and progression of CKD. In contrast, CKD is both a common cause and a sequela of uncontrolled hypertension. Uncontrolled BP consequently contributes to poor outcome in patients with CKD. In addition, patients with CKD show alteration in the circadian BP pattern, primarily a reduced or absent nighttime decrease in BP levels (nondipper or reverse dipper), which contributes to target organ damage and major cardiovascular events. The pathophysiological features underlying the altered circadian BP patterns in patients with CKD are multifactorial and remain incompletely understood. Several factors or mechanisms, including increased sodium and fluid volume retention, impaired baroreceptor sensitivity, altered sympathetic nervous system activity, activation of the renin-angiotensin system, endothelial dysfunction, oxidative stress, chronic inflammation, and increased arterial stiffness, have been proposed to explain the altered BP circadian rhythm in patients with CKD. Among these factors, endothelial dysfunction is a common event in the development of hypertension. In all stages of CKD, decreased kidney function is closely associated with abnormal circadian BP variation and a nondipping pattern. In patients with hypertension, nocturnal BP is elevated and endothelial function deteriorates in parallel with decreasing kidney function.

suPAR is a novel marker of chronic inflammation and endothelial dysfunction. It is expressed in various cells, including immune cells, endothelial cells, and podocytes. The circulating and membrane-bound forms play a direct role in regulating cell adhesion or migration, and are also involved in inflammatory processes and endothelial damage in tissues. Chronic inflammation and endothelial dysfunction are the cornerstone of the development of CVD; thus, suPAR is a good prognostic marker for the development of CVD. In the kidneys, suPAR regulates the permeability of the glomerular filtration barrier, and several lines of evidence about pathogenic role of suPAR in kidney disease have been mainly found in studies of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, in which suPAR was revealed to activate integrin in podocytes, leading to foot process effacement and proteinuria. Elevated suPAR levels have also been implicated in the pathogenesis of diabetic nephropathy. In animal models of diabetic kidney disease, blockade of integrin was protective against kidney function decline. Moreover, suPAR mediates kidney injury via several molecular mechanisms. suPAR interacts with a variety of molecules, inducing podocyte dysfunction, and mediates the development or progression of CKD. Recently, Hayek et al reported that elevated suPAR levels in 3683 subjects with normal kidney function were independently associated with incident CKD and an accelerated decline in eGFR. Moreover, Lv et al showed that elevated suPAR levels were independently associated with an increased risk of progression to ESRD in Chinese patients with CKD.

In the present study, in line with previous studies, patients with elevated suPAR levels showed a significantly increased risk of CKD progression, regardless of their baseline kidney function. Interestingly, this association was more prominent in patients showing a reverse dipping pattern. A reverse dipping pattern or increased nighttime BP and elevated suPAR levels both imply chronic

Figure 3. Cubic spline curves for risk of chronic kidney disease progression, according to baseline serum soluble urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor (suPAR) concentration in dipper (A), nondipper (B), and reverse dipper (C). Notes: Hazard ratio per 1 increase of log-transformed baseline suPAR (P for interaction=0.004) and models were adjusted for demographic factors (age, sex, smoking status, and body mass index), comorbidities (history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and cardiovascular disease), laboratory tests (hemoglobin, total cholesterol, CRP [C-reactive protein], and baseline estimated glomerular filtration rate), and 24-hour systolic blood pressure.
inflammation and endothelial dysfunction. Patients with a reverse dipping pattern and elevated suPAR levels are at high risk of target organ damage, such as kidney injuries and destruction of vascular structures.\textsuperscript{47,48} Thus, patients with both increased nighttime BP and elevated suPAR levels are prone to glomerular vasculature destruction and podocyte injuries, which eventually lead to progression of CKD. Consequently, our findings provide additional insights into the predictive role of suPAR in kidney disease by showing that altered BP patterns in patients with CKD are involved in the association between elevated suPAR levels and deterioration of kidney function.

This study had some limitations. First, serum suPAR levels may have been affected by kidney function. A previous study demonstrated a negative correlation between suPAR and eGFR levels, in which high serum suPAR levels were associated with decreased eGFR levels.\textsuperscript{48} However, Hayek et al\textsuperscript{46} recently reported that the negative correlation between suPAR level and baseline eGFR was weak in subjects with eGFR >90 mL/min per 1.73 m\textsuperscript{2}. Moreover, they showed that a considerable proportion of participants (>30%) with normal kidney function showed elevated suPAR levels in the absence of any sepsis or cancer. Therefore, high suPAR levels are unlikely to be a simple result of decreased kidney function and may be related to the underlying pathogenic mechanism in the progression of kidney disease. Second, the study cohort was relatively small and involved a single ethnicity. Therefore, there is a limit to the generalizability of the results. Third, because of the observational study design, a clear causality between serum suPAR levels and CKD progression cannot be deduced. Finally, a single measurement of baseline suPAR level was used. Further studies with serial measurements of suPAR levels, taking into account the changes over time, are needed.

In conclusion, we identified a mutual interrelationship between elevated serum suPAR levels, a reverse dipping BP pattern, and progression of CKD. In particular, a high serum suPAR level was associated with an increased risk of CKD progression, and this association was more prominent in reverse dippers in this study. Elevated suPAR levels can be used to predict the progression of kidney disease in patients with CKD, especially in those with altered circadian BP patterns. Future studies are needed to confirm whether an elevated suPAR level serves as early treatment and intervention in patients with CKD.
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SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL
Data S1.

Supplemental Methods

Study profiles of the Cardiovascular and Metabolic Disease Etiology Research Center-High Risk (CMERC-HI)

The CMERC-HI study is a prospective cohort study that included patients at a high risk of developing cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) and was designed to establish an individualized preventive strategy for CVDs and cerebrovascular diseases. The inclusion criteria were as follows: high-risk hypertension with estimated glomerular filtration rates (eGFRs) of >60 mL/min/1.73 m² and at least one or more target organ damage, or eGFRs of ≤60 mL/min/1.73 m² and diabetes mellitus with albuminuria; end-stage renal disease under dialysis treatment or kidney transplantation >3 months prior; acute myocardial infarction among relatives aged younger than 55 years (for men) or 65 years (for women); asymptomatic atherosclerotic (abdominal aorta diameter of ≥3 cm or ankle-brachial index of <0.9, carotid plaque or carotid intima-media thickness of ≥0.9 mm, asymptomatic old cerebrovascular accident, or >30% stenosis in at least one major coronary artery); rheumatic arthritis aged >40 years and taking methotrexate or steroid; and atrial fibrillation with CHA₂DS₂-VASc score of ≥1. The exclusion criteria were acute coronary syndrome, symptomatic coronary artery disease, symptomatic peripheral artery disease, and symptomatic heart failure histories; <6-month life expectancy owing to severe non-CVD; pregnancy or breastfeeding status; and contrast allergy and related adverse events histories.
Table S1. The association between baseline serum suPAR concentration and eGFR.

|                | Model 1  | Model 2  | Model 3  | Model 4  |
|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| suPAR*         | β (95% CI)| P        | β (95% CI)| P        | β (95% CI)| P        |
| suPAR*         | -29.75 (-32.44, -27.04) | <0.001 | -30.60 (-33.11, -28.09) | <0.001 | -30.98 (-33.46, -28.51) | <0.001 | -27.65 (-30.28, -25.01) | <0.001 |

* Linear regression analysis was performed for eGFR and log-transformed baseline suPAR, β = coefficient

Model 1: Unadjusted
Model 2: Adjusted for demographic factors (age, sex, smoking status, and BMI)
Model 3: Adjusted for Model 2 + comorbidities (history of hypertension, diabetes, and CVD)
Model 4: Adjusted for Model 3 + laboratory tests (hemoglobin, total cholesterol, and CRP), albuminuria, and 24-hr SBP

suPAR, Soluble Urokinase-Type Plasminogen Activator Receptor; CI, confidence interval; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; BMI, body mass index; CVD, cardiovascular disease; CRP, C-reactive protein; SBP, systolic blood pressure