Carbon nitride materials: impact of synthetic method on photocatalysis and immobilization for photocatalytic pollutant degradation
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ABSTRACT

Different synthesis routes for carbon nitride materials (CN) and the resulting products were compared to study the photocatalytic activity (pollutant degradation) in dependence on structure and properties. The CN materials were synthesized by thermal decomposition of dicyandiamide in air and under argon as well as in sealed ampoules with or without the use of a salt melt. The as-prepared materials were characterized by IR spectroscopy, nitrogen adsorption measurement, solid-state NMR spectroscopy, diffuse reflectance UV–Vis spectroscopy, elemental analysis and powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD). The surface polarity of the CN materials was estimated by adsorption of the dicyanobis(1,10-phenanthroline)-iron(II) complex, which allows an evaluation of the degree of condensation. The CN materials were tested with regard to the photocatalytic degradation of rhodamine B (RhB). It is shown that the photocatalytic activity increases with higher surface polarity. Promising CN materials with high RhB degradation of 85% within 25 min and high surface polarity of 0.89 were selected for an immobilization approach to obtain coatings on a silicone substrate using a high-volume low-pressure (HVLP) spray coating technique. To study the photocatalytic activity of the catalyst coatings, the degradation rates of an aqueous RhB solution and solutions of organic pollutants such as triclosan and ethinyl estradiol were examined. Pollutants are decomposed with up to 63% of the initial concentration. Xenon lamps and different LEDs were used as light sources for comparison. Particularly high degradation efficiencies were obtained using LEDs, and the degradation rates are increased by adjusting the emission spectrum of the lamp to the pollutant and absorption edge of the catalyst, which results in a 40 times higher degradation efficiencies of LEDs compared to a Xe lamp.
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Introduction

The use of pharmaceuticals, biocides and dyes increased in the last decades, e.g., the consumption of antibiotics showed an increase by 35% between 2000 and 2010 [1]. The use and thus the irresponsible disposal of these organic compounds contribute significantly to environmental pollution and drinking water contamination worldwide [2]. Increased exposure of ethinyl estradiol (EE2), which is used as an active ingredient in the contraceptive pill, to fish and amphibians affects live in several ways: feminization of male animals, behavioral disorders and organ damage were observed [3]. Another water contaminant represents triclosan, which is frequently used in surgical soaps and lotions, but also in toothpaste as a disinfectant. It has been detected in urine samples in Australia, the USA and China. Triclosan is suspected of affecting fertility in humans, as well as promoting food intolerances and asthma [4–6].

The degradation of these pollutants is therefore an important research goal in water purification. AOPs (advanced oxidation processes) can be applied in wastewater treatment plants to oxidise hazardous organic compounds by use of UV irradiation, ozone, hydrogen peroxide and combined methods. The potential of AOPs to decompose pollutants to CO₂ and H₂O is widely recognized, but the high costs for the total oxidation of pollutants limits their practical application [7–9]. Therefore, the decomposition of hazardous organic pollutants such as dyes, biocides, organic pesticides and pharmaceutical residues using heterogeneous visible light photocatalysis is becoming a promising alternative to AOPs [7, 10, 11]. The heterogeneous photocatalytic process for wastewater treatment has some decisive advantages over the AOP such as lower operating costs [12]. In this field TiO₂ (band gap Anatas 3.2 eV) is a commonly used photocatalyst, but TiO₂ uses only 4% of the solar spectrum for photocatalytic reactions [11–13]. Therefore, materials with a band gap in the visible light region are intensively sought for photocatalytic degradation of pollutants [14, 15].

We focus on the use of carbon nitride materials (CN), which show a band gap of approximately 2.7 eV, as potential photocatalyst in water purification systems. CNs have received much attention recently, because they can be prepared from earth abundant materials, do not contain a metal and are...
environmentally benign [13, 16]. They show a unique long-term stability, suitable band gap and are therefore promising candidates for photocatalytic applications [17, 18]. Carbon nitrides can be easily synthesized by the use of inexpensive precursors such as melamine, dicyandiamide or urea [19–21]. However, the materials obtained differ in properties, originating from the different synthetic processes, respectively, from subtle changes of the final structures [18, 22, 23].

For photocatalytic applications CN with a melon like structure is a widely examined system, but with main focus on water-splitting. Melon consists of melamine-based C6N7 structural units (1; Scheme 1), which have a higher thermodynamic and thermal stability than triazine units (C3N3) [17, 23]. The heptazine units of melon are connected to polymer strands (2a, 2b; Scheme 1). The melon structure, which is also known as Liebig’s melon, is often described as a graphitic carbon nitride with the corresponding formula C3N4. This description is somehow misleading and originates from the structural similarity of graphite and carbon nitride (C3N4), both showing up with a 2D structure. However, the terminology does not reflect the different bonding characteristics of melon and graphite. As described by Lotsch et al. the stacking between layers of carbon nitride materials is caused by hydrogen bonding between amino groups of melon strands.

Noteworthy, the perfect stoichiometric C/N ratio of 0.75 for C3N4 (3a, 3b; Scheme 1) has not been achieved so far [24–26]. There is no precise and uniform nomenclature for CN materials. Lotsch et al. therefore proposed the term of a defect-rich graphitic carbon nitride, while Rahman et al. proposed the term of a polymeric carbon nitride [24, 26–28]. Most CN materials include a low amount of hydrogen of approximately 1.5 \( \omega \%) and have a C/N ratio of approximately 0.68, which is characteristic for melon-based materials. Beside the heptazine-based CN materials, triazine-based CN materials have also been reported. The common synthesis path for these materials is the thermal decomposition of a suitable precursor in a salt melt, which provides polytriazine imides (PTI/Li\(^+\)Cl\(^-\)) with intercalated ions such as Li\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) ions (4; Scheme 1) [18, 23, 26, 29–31].

To obtain either heptazine-based or triazine-based CN materials various synthesis paths are reported in the literature. The thermal decomposition of melamine or dicyandiamide in a crucible with a lid to prevent sublimation and the decomposition in sealed ampoules with or without the use of salt melts are the most frequently used routes [18, 25, 33–35]. Bojdys et al. and Algara-Siller et al. described the formation of crystalline carbon nitride by decomposition of dicyandiamide in a salt melt, while the as-prepared product contains traces of lithium ions and chloride ions.

![Scheme 1](https://example.com/scheme1.png)

**Scheme 1** Structures of melamine (1) and different structure models for a melon networks (2a and 2b); structure models for a graphitic carbon nitride based on heptazine building blocks (3a) and triazine building blocks (3b), with lithium ions and X = Cl- or Br- intercalated in triazine-based carbon nitride (4) [26, 29, 32].
(4; Scheme 1) and the building blocks consist of triazine units [22, 28, 31, 36]. Wang et al. described that a higher degree of condensation and therefore a high crystallinity was obtained for carbon nitrides that were prepared via thermal decomposition of dicyandiamide in sealed ampoules with and without the use of a salt melt. However, most of the photocatalytic degradation experiments were carried out with defect-rich, less crystalline and more active carbon nitrides consisting of heptazine units. Thus, it is concluded that surface termination seems to be an important factor with regard to active sites, [37] but studies comparing differently prepared materials are not reported so far.

We focus on a comparison of the photocatalytic efficiency of differently prepared CN materials with regard to the photocatalytic degradation of pollutants in water. The CN materials were synthesized via four different synthesis routes, the thermal decomposition of dicyandiamide in a crucible (air vs. argon atmosphere) and sealed ampoules as well as in sealed ampoules with use of a flux medium. To investigate the different chemical properties, UV–Vis spectroscopy, elemental analysis, IR spectroscopy, powder X-ray diffraction and NMR spectroscopy were used. The surface polarity was estimated by adsorption of the dye dicyano-bis(1,10-phenanthroline)-iron(II) ([Fe(phen)2(CN)2]). This allows conclusions to be made concerning the adsorption of pollutants to the catalyst surface [38]. The CN materials were tested for their capability to degrade aqueous RhB, which shows absorbance in the visible light region. CN materials with the highest potential for the photocatalytic degradation of pollutants were selected for an immobilization approach. In the literature, degradation processes are usually evaluated by means of dispersions of the photocatalyst [13, 39–42]. Generally, dispersions show significant higher activities than immobilized particles, but to avoid the phase separating process of catalyst particles in an industrial approach, it is essential to establish immobilization methods. Thus, the challenge for immobilization of photocatalysts is attracting increasing attention [43, 44]. We have chosen the HVLP spray coating technique which is easy to handle, has low acquisition costs and is transferable to other photocatalyst materials. The prepared coatings were used to study the degradation rate of an aqueous RhB solution with regard to different applied catalyst masses. Selected films were used for the degradation of triclosan and ethinyl estradiol and the influence of different light sources on the degradation mechanism and degradation rates was investigated.

Results and discussion

Preparation and characterization of the photocatalysts

In a first step several carbon nitride materials were prepared for a comparative photocatalytic study. First, a condensation reaction of dicyandiamide at 550 °C and 600 °C with variation of time (4 h and 12 h) was carried out in ambient atmosphere (CN550–C4, CN550–C12, CN600–C4, CN600–C12) and under argon (CN550–T4/Ar). This method is expected to provide defect rich CN materials mainly consisting of heptazine units [31, 37, 45]. The same parameters (temperature, time) were used for a second set of samples using sealed ampoules (CN550–A4, CN550–A12, CN600–A4, CN600–A12), a synthetic path which should provide highly ordered heptazine-based networks [26]. In a fourth approach the synthesis is carried out in lithium chloride/potassium chloride melt at 600 °C starting from dicyandiamide (CN–M). This method was reported to yield triazine-based CN materials which show intercalation of LiCl [36].

The XRD patterns of CN–C and CN–A samples exhibit two characteristic reflections at 2θ = 12.9° and 2θ = 27.6° and fit to the reference for heptazine-based
CN materials (Fig. 1, SI 1). The main reflex at 2θ = 27.6° is reported for the (002) plane and describes the distance between the layers of the material [25–27]. For CN–A samples a slight shift to 2θ = 27.9° compared to the reference is observed. A higher crystallinity for CN–A as compared to CN–C is in agreement with literature data. Additional reflexes at 2θ = 14.2° and 2θ = 25.8° represent reflection for the (020) and the (420) plane, respectively [26, 37, 42]. The XRD pattern and the intensity distribution of the reflexes of CN–M is also in accordance with literature values [31, 36] and are clearly distinguished from those of CN–C and CN–A samples. The reasons are intercalation of the flux medium and formation of an amine-linked carbon nitride material based on triazine units [18, 30, 31, 36].

The empirical formula of C₆N₉H₃ is provided for melon polymers, which is in accordance with our data from elemental analysis for CN–C and CN–A (SI 2) [26, 28]. The C/N ratio is given with 0.64–0.68, the hydrogen content is in the range of 1.6–1.7 wt%, which is in accordance with reported values. [25–27, 46, 47] The elemental analysis of CN–M differs significantly from the values for CN–C and CN–A. The carbon and nitrogen contents are lower as a result of the incorporation of lithium chloride, and the hydrogen content in CN–M is much lower due to a higher degree of condensation. The values are in agreement with the literature [18, 22, 31].

The higher degree of condensation of CN–M was confirmed by ATIR spectroscopy (Fig. 2, SI 3). A band of comparatively low intensity between 3500 and 3000 cm⁻¹ corresponds to bridging NH groups in comparison with CN materials, which consist of heptazine units and thus show both NH and NH₂ groups, the NH band in CN–M is much less pronounced and sharp, which is assigned to the high degree of condensation [18, 31]. CN–A samples show sharper bands than CN–C, which is assigned to their higher structural order. This agrees with the XRD analysis. The ATIR spectra for CN–A and CN–C are consistent with the literature data [26, 42]. Broad bands between 3500 and 3000 cm⁻¹ indicate NH or NH₂ groups. The range between 1200–1100 cm⁻¹ and 1600–1350 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the uncondensed C=N bands and condensed C–N–C networks. Bands at 1315 cm⁻¹ are allocated to the C–N stretching modes. At 1630 cm⁻¹ bands for C=N stretching modes are present. The characteristic bands of C₃N₃ rings are observed at 810 cm⁻¹. [18, 26, 30, 33] The IR spectra cannot be used to differentiate between the individual heptazine or triazine networks, which makes solid-state NMR spectroscopy necessary [36].

The solid-state ¹³C{¹H}-CP-MAS-NMR spectra provide an indication for the structure of the basic building blocks (Fig. 3, SI 4). For CN–C and CN–A, two signals are observed at 157 ppm and 165 ppm. These values are consistent with literature data [26] obtained for melem and for CN materials accessible via the synthesis method used here. The signal at 157 ppm is assigned to the CN₃ unit and the signal at 163 ppm to the carbon atom bound to the amino groups. It is concluded that the as-prepared CN materials are composed of the thermodynamically

Figure 2 ATIR spectra of selected samples CN₅₅₀–C₄, CN₅₅₀–A₄ and CN–M.

Figure 3 ¹³C{¹H}-CP-MAS-NMR of selected samples CN₅₅₀–C₄, CN₅₅₀–A₄ and CN–M (PTI/Li±Cl⁻).
stable heptazine units. This is also consistent with the data of the elemental analyses [23, 26, 31]. The shoulder at 163 ppm in CN–A is explained by differently linked structures of the adjacent nitrogen atoms, whereby simultaneous interactions via hydrogen bonds between the melon strands and a linkage to other heptazine units are present [26]. The spectrum of CN–M differs from the others and is in agreement with reports of Wirnhier et al. [36] According to the literature, the PTI/Li+Cl− structure includes Li+ and Cl− which are located in the voids between the NH-bridged triazine rings. Due to the stacking of the 2D layers, channels containing the intercalated ions are formed. The Cl− is located in the center of these channels and surrounded by Li+. Due to structural disorder, Li+ is partly replaced by H+ ions which protonates the N atom of the triazine rings. This results in a different chemical environment for the carbon atom of the triazine rings. This disorder is represented by two different signals at 158 ppm and 163 ppm for these carbon atoms. Carbon atoms adjacent to non-protonated triazine rings show a signal at 167 ppm which corresponds to literature data for triazine-based CN networks [30, 36, 48].

A comparison of the specific surface area of the different CN materials shows an increase in the BET surface area with increasing synthesis temperature and a minor increase with increasing reaction time (Table 1, SI 5). The increased surface area is explained by a slightly increasing pore volume (SI 6) due to the higher amount of NH3 release upon longer reaction times and higher reaction temperatures.

CN–C materials have a light yellow color, the CN–A materials are beige and the product CN–M is dark brown. The absorption edges were estimated by UV–Vis spectroscopy (SI 7). Based on the UV–Vis spectra the band gaps were determined using Tauc plots (Table 1, SI 8). For CN–C, the band gaps are at 2.8 eV. The presented data are in good agreement with the literature [47, 49, 50]. The CN–A materials show a band gap of ca. 3.0 eV. For CN–M a band gap of 3.1 eV is determined [18, 51]. Therefore, all synthesized catalysts are suitable for excitation with visible light.

To get a better idea of the degree of NH-condensation for the samples—at least at the surface—the surface polarity was investigated. Estimation of the ability to provide hydrogen bonds as a hydrogen bond donor (HBD) is possible by adsorbing the dye [Fe(phen)2(CN)2] onto the particle surface [38]. The shift of the UV–Vis absorption band λmax is used to calculate the HBD ability according to Eq. (3). The HBD ability may influence the photocatalytic activity, since polar amino groups are reported to be the active sites in CN materials [37]. To ensure that only a thin layer of the dye is adsorbed, different concentrations were used to investigate the resulting shift exemplary for sample CN550-C4 (Fig. 4). With a higher dye concentration of 1.2 mg g−1, a bathochromic shift is observed which is attributed to those complexes [Fe(phen)2(CN)2], which are not adsorbed. The absorption maxima λmax for concentrations of 0.6 mg g−1 and 0.3 mg g−1 differ only slightly; therefore, a mass concentration of 0.3 mg [Fe(phen)2(CN)2]/g catalyst is used for further experiments.

| Sample    | BET surface area/m² g⁻¹ | Band gap/eV |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------------|
| CN550–C4  | 18                      | 2.8         |
| CN550–C12 | 20                      | 2.8         |
| CN600–C4  | 40                      | 2.8         |
| CN600–C12 | 41                      | 3.0         |
| CN550–A4  | 14                      | 2.9         |
| CN550–A12 | 23                      | 3.0         |
| CN600–A4  | 26                      | 2.9         |
| CN600–A12 | 31                      | 3.0         |
| CN–M      | 44                      | 3.1         |

Figure 4 Solid-state UV–Vis spectra of CN550–C4 with different amounts of added [Fe(phen)2(CN)2].
The values for the absorption maxima and the calculated $a$ values are summarized in Table 2. The highest value $a$ is calculated for sample CN$_{550}$-C4. Compared to TiO$_2$ [11] with $a$ values of 1.41, the HBD of the CN materials is lower and is found in the area of $a$ values as reported for CaCO$_3$ and MgO, which range between 0.48 and 0.84 [38]. Higher $a$ values are obtained for CN materials as prepared with shorter reaction times and lower reaction temperatures. These CN materials tend to show a lower degree of condensation and therefore a higher amount of uncondensed polar amino groups.

### Photocatalytic behavior of the CN materials

To evaluate the photocatalytic performance of the different CN materials, the degradation rates of an aqueous RhB solution using a 300 W Xe lamp were measured first (Fig. 5). CN–C enable higher photocatalytic degradation rates than CN–A and CN–M with up to 85% RhB degradation within 25 min for sample CN$_{550}$-C4. The degradation rates for CN$_{550}$-C12, CN$_{600}$-C4 and CN$_{600}$-C12 are slightly lower compared to CN$_{550}$-C4. Since the same reaction setup and the same catalyst mass were used for the measurements performed here, the conversion is equivalent to the activity, and thus the highest photocatalytic activity is determined for CN$_{550}$-C4. CN–A materials and CN–M (Fig. 5) all show lower photocatalytic activities than CN–C materials. The highest degree of conversion of the CN–A samples was measured for CN$_{550}$-A4. It degrades 87% RhB within 150 min irradiation time. CN–M degrades only 10% within 150 min; thus, the triazine-based CN–M shows the lowest photocatalytic activity. A slight hypsochromic shift, of the RhB absorption maximum during the degradation process caused by a stepwise de-ethylation, is observed for all CN materials, which is indicative for a photosensitive degradation mechanism (SI 9) [52, 53].

The photocatalytic activity of the CN materials is related to the structure formed as a result of different synthesis parameters. Materials of lower crystallinity like the CN–C materials have, compared to CN–A and CN–M, an increased number of defects which influence the photocatalytic properties [17, 37]. Sample CN$_{600}$-C4 and CN$_{600}$-C12 adsorb twice as much RhB by stirring in the dark than the other CN–C samples, as a result of both their doubled specific surface area compared to, e.g., CN$_{550}$-C4 and a tendency toward higher surface polarity compared to CN–A samples. Higher BET surface areas correlate with the adsorption capacity, but do not result in a higher photocatalytic activity. This trend is obvious for CN–A samples (SI 10). Noteworthy, CN–M shows a high HBD and BET surface area, but negligible photocatalytic activity compared to CN–C. Even CN materials with a low BET surface area such as CN$_{550}$-C4 show high photocatalytic RhB degradation rates, and it is concluded that the surface area does not determine the photocatalytic activity in first instance. This is confirmed by comparison of materials with similar BET surface areas but different HBD. CN–A and CN–M were synthesized in vacuum and showed quite low photocatalytic activity, while highly photocatalytic active samples (CN–C) were obtained in air (SI 10). For comparison a material synthesized analogously to CN$_{550}$-C4, but in argon atmosphere was prepared (CN$_{550}$-C4/Ar, SI 11). Crystallinity, band gap, elemental analysis and the BET surface area do not differ significantly. However, the HBD of CN$_{550}$-C4/Ar amounts to 0.59, which is significantly lower than the value of 0.89 for CN$_{550}$-C4 and indicates lower surface polarity. In a similar manner the photocatalytic degradation rates of RhB with CN$_{550}$-C4 and CN$_{550}$-C4/Ar and the adsorption behavior during the dark phase differ significantly from each other. CN$_{550}$-C4/Ar degrades 92% RhB within 120 min irradiation time and adsorbs 5% RhB in the dark phase while CN$_{550}$-C4 adsorbs 15% and degrades the RhB almost fully within 25 min. Therefore, it is concluded that the surface

### Table 2 Absorption maxima for CN materials and calculated $a$ values in comparison with some literature data

| Sample   | $\lambda_{\text{max}}$/nm | $a$  |
|----------|---------------------------|------|
| TiO$_2$ (Rutile) | –             | 1.48 [38] |
| TiO$_2$ (Anatase) | –              | 1.41 [38] |
| CaCO$_3$     | –              | 0.78 [38] |
| MgO     | –              | 0.67 [38] |
| CN$_{550}$-C4 | 548.98        | 0.89  |
| CN$_{550}$-C12 | 557.22        | 0.77  |
| CN$_{560}$-C4 | 552.51        | 0.84  |
| CN$_{600}$-C12 | 556.48        | 0.78  |
| CN$_{550}$-A4 | 555.49        | 0.79  |
| CN$_{550}$-A12 | 555.51        | 0.79  |
| CN$_{600}$-A4 | 564.98        | 0.65  |
| CN$_{600}$-A12 | 577.48        | 0.48  |
| CN–M       | 554.98        | 0.80  |

The values for the absorption maxima and the calculated $a$ values are summarized in Table 2. The highest value $a$ is calculated for sample CN$_{550}$-C4. Compared to TiO$_2$ [11] with $a$ values of 1.41, the HBD of the CN materials is lower and is found in the area of $a$ values as reported for CaCO$_3$ and MgO, which range between 0.48 and 0.84 [38]. Higher $a$ values are obtained for CN materials as prepared with shorter reaction times and lower reaction temperatures. These CN materials tend to show a lower degree of condensation and therefore a higher amount of uncondensed polar amino groups.
polarity influences the adsorption behavior between the dye and the catalyst surface and a high HBD contributes adsorption interactions between the pollutant and the catalyst surface. This is of particular interest when photosensitive degradation mechanisms occur, since the adsorption behavior of the dye onto the catalyst surface is a key factor for these processes. In addition, the existence of polar groups on the catalyst surface seems beneficial to promote high photocatalytic activity. For this reason, the evaluation of the HBD ability is useful. It provides indirect information on the degree of condensation of the CN materials and their surface termination by functional groups. It is shown that the photocatalytic activity and the degree of photocatalytically induced conversion correlates with parameters of the materials syntheses, increase of activity with decreasing reaction temperature and reaction time. The determination of the HBD provides analytical evidence for literature data that postulated a high impact of surface polarity and polar groups on the photocatalytic activity of CN materials [37, 42].

When using the 300 W Xe lamp as light source, photosensitive degradation mechanisms and the direct excitation of the photocatalyst materials occur simultaneously, since the emission spectrum of the Xe lamp and the absorption edge of CN\textsubscript{550–C4} overlap (SI 12) and an additional excitation of the RhB molecule occurs. The use of 3 W LEDs with more distinct emission spectra might result in a change of the degradation mechanism with impact on the RhB degradation rates. Therefore, the influence of the wavelength of the LED on the degradation rate of RhB with CN\textsubscript{550–C4} (Fig. 6a) was investigated exemplarily, to clarify the interplay between direct photooxidation and photosensitive degradation. The comparison of the emission spectra of the LED with the absorption edge of CN\textsubscript{550–C4} shows that a direct excitation of the catalyst material is only possible by use of the blue 470 nm LED (SI 12). However, the highest degradation rate is observed using the 528 nm LED with a degradation of 85% RhB within 55 min. The use of the 470 nm LED gave 69% RhB degradation within 60 min, and irradiation with a 590 nm LED lead to an RhB degradation of 54%. Using a 625 nm LED gave an RhB degradation of only 13%. The stepwise de-ethylation of the RhB molecule is indicated by a hypsochromic shift of the absorption maxima of RhB during the degradation process by use of a 3 W LED (528 nm) (Fig. 6b). This shift is an evidence for photosensitive degradation mechanisms [52, 53]. It was expected that the 470 nm LED, which is the best suited LED used here for the catalyst activation, should enable the highest photocatalytic degradation rate as a result of photooxidation. It shows good match of emission and excitation wavelengths of the photocatalyst. However, the green vis LED (528 nm) gave a more efficient RhB degradation, which results from excitation of the RhB molecule and thus operation of the photosensitive degradation mechanism.
It is worth to note that the degradation rate is related to the power of the light source. Particularly efficient light sources generate high degradation rates at low powers. The calculation of energy efficiencies (SI 13) following the literature [54] shows that the 528 nm green LED with a value of 12.2 mL W$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ is about 40 times more efficient than the 300 W Xe lamp with 0.3 mL W$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$. In general, the nature and influence of the light source on degradation mechanisms and excitation of a photocatalyst material has to be considered whenever different measurement setups, light sources and catalysts are compared.

Spray coating of CN films

With regard to the previously presented analytical data, CN$_{550}$–C4 is a promising catalyst material for photocatalytic degradation reactions. It combines a suitable band gap, a high HBD and a high RhB degradation rate. Therefore, these particles are used for the immobilization approach and sprayed onto a substrate with an HVLP spray gun at 100 psi working pressure. The substrate is composed of a silicone tape which is attached on a glass substrate and placed on a heat plate during the spraying process for continuous solvent evaporation. First, different amounts of catalyst were immobilized on substrates to investigate the correlation between deposited mass, the degradation rate and the activity, respectively. Therefore, mass loadings of 0.80 mg (CN-1), 1.39 mg (CN-2) and 2.66 mg (CN-3) were applied. The light microscope and SEM images of the as-prepared coatings (Fig. 7) show a complete coverage of the black substrates for all samples. With increasing applied particle mass, larger particle agglomerates and rough surfaces are observed.

The layer thickness was estimated by measurements of the cross section of the films (SI 14). The film thickness increases with increased deposited mass from 5 $\mu$m (CN-1) to 11 $\mu$m (CN-2) and 23 $\mu$m for CN-3.

Photocatalytic degradation of organic dyes with immobilized catalyst

To determine the photocatalytic activity, the degradation rates of RhB with different amounts of deposited catalyst were evaluated in a photoreactor using 35 mL RhB solution ($1\times10^{-5}$ M) under visible light irradiation (Fig. 8). The highest degradation rate of RhB within 8 h irradiation time amounts to 71% and was obtained with the highest loading of the substrate (CN-3). Substrates CN-2 and CN-1 degrade 64% RhB and 58% RhB, respectively, within the same period of time. Thus, activities of $5.2 \times 10^{-10}$ mol $\text{mg}^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ for CN-1, $3.4 \times 10^{-10}$ mol $\text{mg}^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ for CN-2 and $1.9 \times 10^{-10}$ mol $\text{mg}^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ for CN-3 were calculated. A further increase in the applied catalyst mass above 0.80 mg (CN-1) does not
result in an increase in activity. Due to the highest photocatalytic activity of CN-1, analogously prepared samples were used for further investigations.

The samples were weighed after catalysis to check for leaching. The deposited mass for sample CN-1 of $0.80 \pm 0.05$ mg changes to $0.75 \pm 0.05$ mg, for CN-2 a mass change from $1.39 \pm 0.06$ to $1.34 \pm 0.03$ mg is observed and for sample CN-3 the mass is reduced from $2.66 \pm 0.06$ to $2.48 \pm 0.06$ mg. Thus, only in case of the highest loading significant leaching is observed. In case of significant leaching, it has to be considered that dispersed CN particles contribute to the photocatalytic degradation and we thus conclude that the increased activity of CN-3 is a result of leaching. In addition to weighing the samples, a scotch tape test was carried out for CN-1 (SI 15). The light microscope images before and after the test show that, in particular, larger particles were pulled off the substrate. Nonetheless, a full coverage with catalyst particles is retained.

Sample CN-1 was chosen to evaluate the time needed for an almost complete degradation of the dye RhB. While establishing the adsorption–desorption equilibrium, 6% of RhB was adsorbed to the surface. Within 24 h 88% of the dye was decomposed (Fig. 9a). The degradation process follows the pseudo-first-order reaction kinetics with a reaction rate constant $k$ of $3.1 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$ (Fig. 9b). The linearity of the plot indicates that a change in the reaction rate constant does not occur, even when the residual RhB concentration is reduced. Thus, the process is not diffusion limited. The UV–Vis spectra of the RhB during photocatalytic degradation are indicative for a photosensitive degradation mechanism (Fig. 9c). In addition to experiments using the Xe lamp the green 528 nm 3 W LED was used for irradiation of CN-1 (Fig. 10). While irradiation of the catalyst film with
the 300 W Xe lamp reveals a degradation of 58% within 8 h, as expected the 3 W 528 nm LED is less effective and the value drops to 25%. The calculated activity of $5.2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol mg}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$ (300 W Xe lamp) decreases to a value of $2.4 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol mg}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$ (3 W 528 nm LED). The energy efficiencies for the Xe lamp are calculated with $0.01 \text{ mL W}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$, and it is thus many times lower than the energy efficiency of the green 3 W LED with $0.37 \text{ mL W}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$.

To evaluate the potential for the photocatalytic degradation of other pollutant classes, CN-1 was used to study the degradation behavior of triclosan and EE2 using the 300 W Xe lamp. A concentration of the 300 W Xe lamp reveals a degradation of 58% within 8 h, as expected the 3 W 528 nm LED is less effective and the value drops to 25%. The calculated activity of $5.2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol mg}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$ (300 W Xe lamp) decreases to a value of $2.4 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol mg}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$ (3 W 528 nm LED). The energy efficiencies for the Xe lamp are calculated with $0.01 \text{ mL W}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$, and it is thus many times lower than the energy efficiency of the green 3 W LED with $0.37 \text{ mL W}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$.

To evaluate the potential for the photocatalytic degradation of other pollutant classes, CN-1 was used to study the degradation behavior of triclosan and EE2 using the 300 W Xe lamp. A concentration of the aqueous pollutant solutions of $4 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$ was chosen, and the photolysis measurement of the respective aqueous solutions showed only minor degradation rates (Fig. 11). Using the catalyst films, 47% triclosan and 63% ethinyl estradiol were degraded after 8 h irradiation time. Both pollutants show absorbance in the UV region. By use of a 300 W Xe lamp with a 420-nm cutoff filter, only a direct excitation of the catalyst material is possible and photosensitive degradation mechanisms are suppressed. This shows that the used CN$_{550}$–C4 particles enable photosensitive degradation mechanism as well as direct degradation mechanisms to a sufficient value.

Figure 9 a Time-dependent photodegradation of an aqueous RhB solution ($1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$) under visible light irradiation with an 300 W Xe lamp ($t > 0 \text{ min}$) in the presence of the substrate CN-1 to evaluate the complete conversion and b semilogarithmic plot of the photodegradation of an aqueous RhB solution in the presence of the substrate CN-1 ($t > 0 \text{ min}$) c visible light spectra of RhB during the photodegradation process.
Conclusion

Melon-like polymeric carbon nitrides materials (CN) are obtained by decomposition of dicyandiamide in ambient atmosphere, under argon and in sealed ampoules at different reaction temperatures and using different reaction times. The partial condensation and the presence of amino groups in all synthesized heptazine-based compounds was confirmed. The thermal decomposition of dicyandiamide in a molten salt provides a highly ordered triazine-based carbon nitride network (CN–M, PTI/LiCl+) in accordance with literature data. The surface polarity and the degree of condensation of differently synthesized CN materials were determined by the hydrogen bonding donor ability (HBD). It is shown that the HBD has a greater influence on the photocatalytic activity of CN materials than the BET surface area. Triazine-based CN materials show a lower RhB degradation (10% RhB degradation in 150 min) than heptazine-based CN materials (85% RhB degradation in 25 min). Furthermore, the gas atmosphere during the synthesis of melon like CN materials influences the HBD and therefore the photocatalytic activity significantly due to a higher amount of polar groups that form on the CN surface. The incomplete condensation of the products leads to the termination of the surface with polar groups, and these “defects” contribute significantly to the photocatalytic reactivity. Therefore, it is concluded that defect rich CN materials, obtained following a simple synthesis protocol using a crucible under air (CN–C), are particularly active catalysts for photocatalytic decomposition of pollutants. Predictions from the literature that the surface polarity or surface termination of CN materials has a significant influence on the photocatalytic activity were confirmed by providing numerical values via the determination of HBD values. The polar groups on the catalyst surface influence the adsorption behavior of the dye, which is particularly important during the observed photosensitive degradation mechanisms, and contribute to the photocatalytic activity.

The photocatalytic degradation of RhB with the CN materials under irradiation with a 300 W Xe lamp results from photooxidation as a result of direct excitation of the catalyst material combined with a photosensitive degradation mechanism. This assumption is supported by experiments using 3 W LEDs upon varying the emission wavelengths. The excitation of the RhB molecule by the use of a 528 nm LED was demonstrated to be very efficient, and gives high degradation rates. It was shown that the use of energy-saving LEDs is a viable alternative to Xe lamps, as efficiencies up to 40 times higher were determined for the 3 W LEDs compared to the 300 W Xe lamp. However, the use of LED arrays with a combination of different wavelengths is suggested.

In order to evaluate the potential of immobilized photocatalysts, the CN550–C4 particles were
deposited on a silicone tape via a spray coating technique. Small amounts of deposited CN (0.14 mg cm$^{-2}$) are sufficient for an almost complete degradation of RhB within 24 h. Leaching was not detected after photocatalytic experiments using substrates with low loadings (CN-1). Furthermore, the degradation experiments for triclosan and EE2 showed promising degradation rates of up to 63% of the initial concentration. This confirms the broad application range of carbon nitride materials, even in form of coatings. We conclude that films composed of carbon nitride materials and coatings show potential for wastewater treatment plants as an additional cleaning step using sunlight to reduce the concentration of disinfectants, contraceptives and dyes in water.

**Experimental section**

**Chemicals**

Dicyandiamide (99% Co. Alfa Aesar) was dried with P$_2$O$_5$ for 5 days under vacuum before use. The silicone tape was received from tesa SE. LiCl and KCl were received from Carl Roth.

**Catalyst preparation**

Dicyandiamide (1 g, 0.01 mol) was heated with 5 K min$^{-1}$ to 550 °C for 4 h (CN$_{550}$–C4), respectively, 12 h (CN$_{550}$–C12) in a crucible under ambient atmosphere. Samples prepared under analogous conditions at 600 °C are referred as CN$_{600}$–C4 and CN$_{600}$–C12. The obtained yellow powder is grounded in a mortar and sieved to a particle size smaller than 100 μm. A sample obtained at 550 °C for 4 h starting from dicyandiamide in a furnace, prepared analogously to CN$_{550}$–C4 but under argon atmosphere is referred to CN$_{550}$–C4/Ar. To obtain CN particles by decomposition of dicyandiamide in a sealed ampoule, 250 mg was placed in a 15-cm quartz glass ampoule (length 16 cm, diameter 1.7 cm and wall thickness 0.3 mm) and sealed under vacuum. The ampoule is placed in a stainless steel reactor (see SI16) and heated with 5 K min$^{-1}$ to 550 °C with a counterpressure of 12 bar, which was adjusted by adding water into the reactor. The temperature was set for 4 h (CN$_{550}$–A4), respectively, 12 h (CN$_{550}$–A12) at 550 °C. Samples prepared under analogous conditions at 600 °C are referred to CN$_{600}$–A4 and CN$_{600}$–A12. To synthesize carbon nitride materials in a salt melt as flux medium (CN–M), 0.2 g dicyandiamide, 0.9 g LiCl and 1.01 g KCl were mixed in a quartz glass ampoule (length 16 cm, diameter 1.7 cm and wall thickness 0.3 mm). The mixture is placed in a tubular furnace and heated for 6 h at 400 °C under argon atmosphere (2 L h$^{-1}$) with a heating rate of 5 K min$^{-1}$ starting at ambient temperature. The ampoule is then cooled down to room temperature, sealed under vacuum, placed in a stainless steel reactor in a furnace and heated to 600 °C for 12 h with a heating rate of 1 K min$^{-1}$. After cooling to room temperature, the brown solid was washed with deionized water several times to remove the flux medium. Note that during the decomposition of dicyandiamide in sealed ampoules, a high amount of ammonia gas is released; therefore, the quartz glass ampoules are under pressure (up to 12 bar) and must be opened with appropriate caution. For further details and safety instruction, see SI 16.

**Spray coating**

Spray coating is carried out with a HVLP (High-Volume Low-Pressure) airbrush spray gun. The spray gun is equipped with a 0.5-mm nozzle and operates at a working pressure of 100 psi with a working distance of approximately 20 cm. During the coating process the substrate is placed on a heat plate with a temperature of 80 °C. The as-prepared particles were dispersed in methanol with a mass concentration of 3 g L$^{-1}$ and sprayed on 23 mm × 25 mm silicone tapes attached to glass substrates of the same size. The applied masses were determined by weighing the samples after the coating process (triple determination). The scotch tape test was carried out with tape number 550.

**Evaluation of photocatalytic activity**

The photocatalytic activity of the as-prepared composite coatings was evaluated in a water-cooled glass reactor ($T = 15$ °C) using the coated carrier material and 35 mL of an aqueous solution of 1 × 10$^{-5}$ M RhB. The reactor is equipped with a 300 W xenon lamp (type Cermax® VQTM ME300BF, Co. PerkinElmer, intensity of ~ 1839 W m$^{-2}$ (for other light sources see SI 12). A hot mirror filter ($λ ≤ 700$ nm) is located within a distance of 12.3 cm at one side of the reactor.
and directly illuminates an area of 4.5 cm². A cutoff filter (λc (ri = 0.50) = 420 ± 6 nm, GG420, Co. Schott) is used to remove the UV light. Before illumination, the solutions are stirred for a minimum of 30 min in the dark to establish the adsorption–desorption equilibrium of the dye at the catalyst surface. The progress of photodegradation and the adjustment of the adsorption–desorption equilibrium is studied by in situ UV–Vis spectroscopy. The illumination process is interrupted by stopping to stir and darkening the light beam by a cover prior to the UV–Vis measurement. The interval of measurement is timed every 10 min for the first 30 min, every 15 min between 30 and 90 min, every 30 min between 90 and 180 min and every 60 min to the last measurement at t = 480 min. The concentration of the pollutant is determined by calculating the area under the UV–Vis curve from 450 to 600 nm. The photodegradation is plotted as a function of the irradiation time. The 3 W LED lights were received from Co. Starlight. The photocatalytic activity is calculated according to Eq. (1).

\[ A = \frac{c_0 \cdot V \cdot X_{PC}}{m_{cat} \cdot t_{PC} \cdot 100} \] (1)

Here, \( c_0 \) is the initial concentration of the pollutant solution, \( V \) is the volume of the solution, \( X_{PC} \) is the conversion in moles at the time \( t_{PC} \) and \( m_{cat} \) is the catalyst mass. The determination of the photocatalytic activity for coatings is carried out by means of the conversion after 480 min irradiation time. The photocatalytic activity for dispersed particles is calculated using the determined degradation after 20 min irradiation time. The determination of the energy efficiency is based on Eq. (2) in accordance with the literature [54]:

\[ E_{eff} = \frac{X_{PC} \cdot V}{P \cdot t_{PC}} \] (2)

\( X_{PC} \) is the conversion after a defined period of time \( t_{PC} \), here 25 min for the dispersion measurements or 480 min for the coatings. \( V \) describes the volume of the pollutant solution and \( P \) the power of the light source.

**Materials characterization**

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) was carried out with a STOE STADI-P diffractometer equipped with a Ge(111)-monochromator. The X-ray source was CuKα1-radiation (40 kV, 40 mA). Diffuse reflectance UV–Vis spectroscopy was performed using a Carry 60 UV–Vis (Co. Agilent Technologies) equipped with a Barrelino™ (Co. Harrick Scientific Products) remote diffuse reflection probe. The band gap \( E_g \) of the semiconductor was estimated according to the equation \((zhv)^{1/n} = A \cdot (hv - E_g)\) where \( z \) is the absorption coefficient of the material, \( h \) is the photon energy and \( A \) represents a proportionality constant. For a direct band gap \( n = 0.5 \), for determination of an indirect band gap \( n = 2.55 \). In accordance with literature data [22] direct band gaps were assumed for all materials. The photocatalytic dye decomposition was analyzed by in situ UV–Vis spectroscopy using a Carry 60 UV–Vis spectrometer (Co. Agilent Technologies) equipped with fiber optics. ATIR spectroscopy was carried out using a Nicolet i55 (Co. Thermo Scientific). Nitrogen physisorption isotherms were obtained at −196 °C using an Autosorb IQ2 apparatus (Co. Quantachrome). All samples were activated in vacuum at 150 °C for 3 h prior to the measurements. Specific surface areas were calculated applying the single-point BET equation \((p/p_0 = 0.150 \pm 0.002)\). The light microscope images were recorded using an Axio Scope. A1 (Co. Zeiss), equipped with a HBO 100 illuminator and halogen lamp Hal 100 under polarized light. Elemental analysis (CHN analyses) were performed with a FlashEA 1112 analyzer (Co. Thermo). Solid-state NMR spectra were collected at 9.4 T on an Avance 400 spectrometer (Co. Bruker) equipped with double-tuned probes capable of magic angle spinning (MAS). \(^{13}\)C\[\text{[1H]}\]-CP-MAS-NMR spectra were measured at 100.6 MHz in 3.2 or 4.0 mm standard zirconium oxide rotors (BRUKER) spinning at 20 kHz or 12 kHz, respectively. Cross-polarization (CP) with a contact time of 3 ms was used to enhance sensitivity. The recycle delay was 5 s. The spectra were referenced externally to Si(CH₃)₄ (\( \delta = 0.00 \) ppm) as well as to adamantane (\( \delta = 38.48 \) ppm for \(^{13}\)C) as secondary standard. To estimate the HBD (\( \alpha \)-value), 0.3 mg [Fe(phen)\(_3\)]\(_2\)(CN)\(_3\)/\(1_{\text{Catalyst}}\), 0.6 mg [Fe(phen)\(_2\)](CN)\(_3\)/\(1_{\text{Catalyst}}\) and 1.2 mg [Fe(phen)\(_2\)](CN)\(_2\)/\(1_{\text{Catalyst}}\) were adsorbed onto the CN₅₆₀–C4 catalyst surface. Due to a slight shift at higher dye concentrations, the concentration of 0.3 mg [Fe(phen)\(_3\)](CN)\(_2\)/\(1_{\text{Catalyst}}\) was used for the other samples to allow comparability. The \( \alpha \) value was calculated by means of Eq. (1). The dye solution is prepared in dried dichloromethane.

\[ \alpha = -7.49 + 0.46 \cdot \text{max} \left([\text{Fe(phen)}_3(\text{CN})_2]\right) [10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-1}] \] (3)
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