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Abstract

The application of chemical stabilizer in soil stabilization can effectively reduce the negative environmental impact in the construction industry. However, the stabilization of soft clay remains a challenge due to the costly and non-eco-friendly materials such as cement and lime. This research demonstrates the combination of SF and ESA in stabilizing the kaolin soils, based on the basic engineering properties and undrained shear strength (USS). Its effect was studied via the inclusion as cement replacement material in kaolin soil at 2, 4 and 6% (by weight of dry soft kaolin clay soil) of SF and ESA substitutions of 3, 6 and 9% (by weight of dry soft kaolin clay and SF content). The result shows a considerably lower specific gravity (4.9% reduction), reduced plasticity index (PI) (48.4% reduction), decreased maximum dry density (MDD) (5.5% reduction), increased optimum moisture content (OMC) (8.7% increment), and higher USS (68.8%). In conclusion, the combinations of SF and ESA as soil stabilization agents successfully enhance the soil strength of the kaolin opening a route to the low cost and eco-friendly materials in soil stabilization.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

In the past few years, the main challenges that geotechnical engineers would have to tackle is the construction and execution of land developmental works on areas of the globe with a serious concentration of high swelling clayey soils [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Lack of consideration for infrastructure and building construction on soft soils is highly risky due to the high compressibility and low shear strength [6; 7]. Among these types of soil, clay soil is known for its problematic properties by their innate capacity to undergo volumetric changes corresponding to changes in the moisture regime [3, 4, 5, 8, 9].

Clay soil is flaky in shape with particle sizes less than 2 µm (5 µm in some classification system) and usually has a very large surface area. The small size of the particle associated with a very large surface area cause the clay to exhibit high ability in retaining water, thus causing the swell-shrink characteristic when subject to moisture variations [3, 10]. Such expansive properties have made the clay soil become a troublesome soil that needs special treatment for the construction activity [11]. Therefore, it is crucial to enhance and improve the soil properties using stabilization techniques that can respond to the drastic demand situations.

The interaction of soil adjustment is generally used to improve the geotechnical and actual properties of the soil. The most recent technique for soil adjustment is to supplant the mismatched soil with more grounded materials like cement, seriously soil, geotextiles and geo-lattices [12]. As per the past research, a few added substances were utilized as settling specialists. A portion of these stabilizer added substances comprises different sorts of bitumen, pozzolanic added substances, lime and concrete. Soil adjustment or soil treatment with added substances is a well-informed and extremely savvy procedure that has been utilized to improve the sturdiness and mechanical properties of the extensive soils [5].

Traditionally, cement has been used massively for the soil stabilizer compared to other materials [13, 14]. However, the substantial creation fundamentally affects the natural quality. The production of concrete in the concrete industry is a significant outflow of CO₂ outflow and cause the release of unsafe ozone harming substances [14, 15, 16]. The concrete assembling interaction can deliver from as low as 600 kg to 1200 kg of CO₂ emanation to the air for each metric ton of water-driven concrete created [8, 14, 17, 18, 19].

Thus, a decrease of concrete creation on the natural effect has been started by supplanting it with another maintainable material, for example, pozzolan (i.e eggshell ash, silica fume, fly ash, risk hush ash, etc.) [20]. To limit the adjustment costs, concrete supplanting with squander materials, like fly ash, rice husk ash and biomass ash has been generally applied practically speaking. Nevertheless, agro waste products have newly attracted the focus due to the massive availability of solid waste from this field [21].

Presently, most specialists are taking more noteworthy interests in the use of waste materials. Notwithstanding land occupation, the waste warehouse created from different assembling enterprises causes numerous natural issues too [5, 12]. Therefore, efficient utilization of resources is one of the most important issues that should be highlighted today [22]. As of now, it has become evident that waste materials are utilized for different designing and geotechnical properties and lessen their ecological effect on the climate. Furthermore, utilizing waste materials have remarkable properties for some designing and geotechnical applications. The properties of waste materials like toughness, strength and high obstruction are required for the plan of the establishment of a structure and parkway development [4].

In this study, the material that is used as a soil stabilizing agent is eggshell ash (ESA) and silica fume (SF). Some studies have implemented calcium ions usage from sources such as limestone and eggshells as low-cost alternatives to pure calcium salts [23, 24]. The eggshells contain a limestone or calcium carbonate usually called calcite (CaCO₃) [25, 26]. Eggshell ash (ESA) is another bio-waste material that can be utilized to reduce the cement in concrete production [27]. Eggshells, the byproduct of eggs utilization, can establish an irritation in our current circumstance through unpredictable removal [29]. Additionally, the eggshells which is the hard external layer of an egg make up around 10% of the egg weight with a normal load of 60g [25, 26].

There is a slight addition of moving in egg creation worldwide and it is required to produce more than 8 million tons of eggshell squander each year [26]. Consequently, as the population keep growing, the eggshell waste will keep increasing [26, 29]. Moreover, the removal of ES is one of the enormous issues as it can deliver a bothersome smell and hypersensitivities. Along these lines, this will bring about understanding environmental issues that may request a legitimate treatment [29]. The eggshell comprises developing layers of CaCO₃ that structure 95% of the shell [29]. With the permeable unpredictable molecule size of 2 to 900 mm that wealthy in calcite (CaCO₃) present in the ESA, it is additionally can be utilized for divider tile creation [29]. ESA is a useful stabilizing material for clay soil [30]. Besides, ESA also is a suitable material to replace part of lime in soil stabilization [26, 29] and can replace cement in improving the soil properties [26].

The high strength of concrete can be produced using silica-fume (SF) as a replacement for cement or by using an additive [6, 31]. In the previous studies, SF was assimilated to the clay soil with the combination of other materials such as lime, cement, sawdust, bamboo slag, etc. and the results stated that the addition of SF can modify the properties of the soil and enhance the strength parameters of clay soil [6, 32, 33]. The introduction of SF contributed to higher...
compressive strength or thermal conductivity values ratios and greater compressive strength compared to the fly ash, due to the pore-filling and pozzolanic effect of silica fume itself [32, 33]. Hence, in this present study, the gap is filling with the potential of using the combination of SF and ESA in soft clay soil as a soil stabilization agent and a new alternative method to enhance the shear strength of the clay soils. Based on the previous study, there are a lot of researches conducted related to the usage of ESA in cement replacement material but only a few of them discussed the application of the ESA as a soil stabilizing agent. The optimum mixture between SF and ESA with the clay soils not only can enhance the shear strength of the soft clay soils but also lower the specific gravity, reduced the plasticity index (PI), and increased the optimum moisture content (OMC). Thus making the silica fume-egg shell ash mixture a sustainable material for weak soil stabilizing agent which is environmentally friendly and cost-effective. Moreover, the correlation equation for the basic engineering properties was also established.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Materials

Kaolin S300, purchased from Kaolin (M) Sdn. Bhd. was used as the soil sample in this present research. It is a white colour clay (Figure 1(a)) manufactured in the laboratory and is fine in size. Kaolin, which is also known as kaolinite clay is a type of clay that either can be found in nature or made in the laboratory [11]. The type of SF (grey colour powder) used in this study was Scanfume (Figure 1 (b)), a densified SF for concrete, which has a very large surface area of a minimum of 15000 m$^2$/kg. The reactivity of the pozzolanic reaction is influenced by the total surface area of the SF.

As the total surface area greater, the reactivity of the pozzolanic reaction higher [11]. The raw chicken eggshells were collected from the restaurants in Kuantan, Pahang. The raw chicken eggshells were then washed, air-dried for seven (7) days, crushed and heated at 800°C for 1 hour by using the chamber furnace to generate the ESA. The ESA formed from calcination was grey in colour as was stored in a desiccator for 24 hours to cool down the ash and prevent the hydration process between ESA and moisture from the atmosphere as shown in Figure 1(c). The preparation of eggshell ash is clearly shown in Figure 2. The availability and eco-friendly characteristics of eggshell waste were the reasons that eggshell ash been selected as the stabilizing agent in this study. It is also more cost-effective as compared with other ordinary soil stabilizer such as lime, cement and, etc [25, 29] up of the CaCO$_3$ and transformed to CaO under the calcination process.

The main chemical composition of kaolin, SF and ESA are shown in Table 1.

| Composition | Unit | Kaolin | SF | ESA |
|-------------|------|--------|----|-----|
| SiO$_2$    | %    | 66.11  | 74.02 | 0.02 |
| CaO        | %    | 0.08   | 0.00  | 62.50 |
| Al$_2$O$_3$| %    | 19.25  | 0.45  | 0.01 |
| K$_2$O     | %    | 2.85   | 4.27  | 0.05 |
| MgO        | %    | 1.23   | 3.73  | 0.71 |
| Fe$_2$O$_3$| %    | 0.73   | 0.71  | 0.02 |

2.2 Experimental Methods

The basic engineering properties of all of the materials were determined through the stated laboratory tests. Kaolin S300 was chosen instead of the natural soil is to control the standardization of the study. Next, the soft kaolin was treated with 2%, 4% and 6% of SF by the dry weight of the soil and the alterations in the physical, strength and compaction properties of soil were examined. Table 2 shows the sample coding that was used throughout this study. The percentage of SF that attributed to the maximum undrained shear strength (USS) was marked as the optimum SF content. This optimum SF content was then admixed with soft kaolin and 3%, 6%, and 9% of ESA by the dry weight of the soil sample. The geotechnical characteristics of the soil mixture and the optimum ESA content corresponding to the maximum strength were then defined. The percentage of SF and ESA adopted in this present research were chosen based on the previous researches [30, 11]. The laboratory tests and standards that applied to study the engineering properties of kaolin, SF, ESA and the kaolin-SF-ESA mix were presented in Table 3.

| Test                          | Standard                        |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Mechanical Sieve Analysis     | BS 1377: Part 2: 1990           |
| Hydrometer Test               | BS 1377: Part 2: 1990           |
| Specific Gravity Test         | BS 1377: Part 2: 1990           |
| Atterberg Limit               | BS 1377: Part 2: 1990           |
| Standard Proctor Test         | BS 1377: Part 4: 1990           |
| Unconfined Compression Test   | ASTM D2166                      |

In this examination, the unconfined pressure tests were performed to research the mechanical properties of kaolin, SF and ESA. Table 4 shows the details of kaolin, SF and ESA used for UCT test. Field Scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) tests were additionally led to notice the microstructure of the examples when blending the kaolin in with the SF and ESA. Additionally, the strength boundaries of the inward grinding point and attachment as per the Mohr-Coulomb rule were likewise decided. The specimens used for this test were prepared by
compacting soil samples with an optimum water content of the soils. The examples were ready and compacted in a barrel-shaped steel form with the elements of 100 mm in high x 50 mm in diameter. Furthermore, to ensure the consistency of the example and the accuracy during the UCT test, the density of the example is controlled using consistent volume and control of the mass of the example. The unconfined pressure tests were led on examples at a pace of 0.5% to 2% each minute until shear failure. The correlations of the engineering properties of soft kaolin with the varying SF and ESA content was also established.

Figure 1 Materials used in the study; (a) Kaolin, (b) Silica Fume, (c) Eggshell Ash

![Materials used in the study](image1.jpg)

Figure 2 Preparation process for eggshell ash

![Preparation process for eggshell ash](image2.jpg)

Table 2 Samples Coding

| Sample                                           | Coding       | Kaolin (%) | SF (%) | ESA (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------|--------|---------|
| Kaolin (Control)                                 | K            | 100        | -      | -       |
| Kaolin with 2% of Silica Fume                    | K2SF         | 98         | 2      | -       |
| Kaolin with 4% of Silica Fume                    | K4SF         | 96         | 4      | -       |
| Kaolin with 6% of Silica Fume                    | K6SF         | 94         | 6      | -       |
| Kaolin with 6% of Silica Fume and 3% of Eggshell Ash | K6SF3ESA     | 91         | 6      | 3       |
| Kaolin with 6% of Silica Fume and 6% of Eggshell Ash | K6SF6ESA     | 88         | 6      | 6       |
| Kaolin with 6% of Silica Fume and 9% of Eggshell Ash | K6SF9ESA     | 85         | 6      | 9       |
3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Basic Engineering Properties

3.1.1 Specific Gravity

The specific gravity for Kaolin S300 is 2.64, which determined the kaolinite clay as mentioned by previous researchers which stated that the specific gravity for kaolinite clay lies between the range of 2.62 to 2.66 [6, 11]. The inclusion of SF decreased the specific gravity of the soft kaolin.

A 3.3% reduction in specific gravity was determined with the addition of SF up to 6% by dry weight of soil, where it dropped to 2.50 from 2.64. The addition of the 3%, 6% and 9% of ESA to the kaolinite-SF mixture displayed an initial increment of specific gravity at 3% ESA content as ESA is coarse, and it was then reduced to 2.51. This is attributable to the rearrangement of soil particles as lighter SF and ESA were added. Therefore, it can be concluded that, the inclusion of 6% of SF and 6% of ESA can reduce the specific gravity of the kaolin.

3.1.2 Atterberg Limit

The moisture content for the penetration at 15 mm, 20 mm, and 25 mm was determined via Liquid Limit (LL) test. The LL and Plastic Limit (PL) for kaolin is 40.7% and 31.4% respectively, while the PI is 9.3%. The LL and PL of SF are high, which is 90.5% and 80.5% respectively due to the water adsorption characteristic of the SF and causes the SF to be very friable. For ESA, the LL and PL recorded are 27.4% and 21.6% respectively with the PI value of 5.8%. The LL and PL were initially reduced by 7.4% and 5.1%, from 40.7% to 37.7% and from 31.4% to 29.8%, respectively, with 2% of SF included. Then, both LL and PL value increased with the increment in SF content up to 6%. The PI of the samples decreased with the addition of SF from 0% to 6% which proved that the clay content of the samples tested decreased with the addition of SF. For ESA, both LL and PL value reduced by 17.0% and 15.4% respectively with ESA content up to 6%. Further inclusion of ESA increased the LL and PL of the sample. The PI recorded a decreasing trend along with the increased ESA content which is attributable to the ability of ESA to coarsening the soil samples.

The PI of the kaolin was reduced by 29.0% with the increased content of SF (from 9.3% to 6.6%) while an increment in ESA content, resulted in a 27.3% reduction of PI (from 6.6% to 4.8%).

Based on the results obtained in Figure 3, both of the stabilizers can bind and flocculate the kaolin to become coarser particles, with a reduction of fine clay content via the cation exchange activity and pozzolanic reactions. These outcomes are similar to the previous studies conducted by other researchers, aforesaid in the previous section and paragraph [34]. The diminution in PI upon the addition of SF and ESA shows that the expansive characteristics of kaolin clay are reduced.

![Figure 3 Relationship between types of a sample with sample Atterberg limits (%)](image)

3.1.3 Standard Compaction Test

The Maximum Dry Density (MDD) and Optimum Moisture Content (OMC) of untreated kaolin, SF, ESA, kaolin admixed with 2%, 4% and 6% of SFA and optimum kaolin-SF admixed with 3%, 6% and 9% of ESA content are determined via a series of Standard Proctor Compaction Test. The dry density initially showed a rising trend with the addition of water up to 19% and then dwindling. The MDD for untreated kaolin was 1.606 g/cm³, with an OMC of 19%. According to previous findings, MDD of clay soil is within the range of 1.4 g/cm³ to 2.0 g/cm³ while the OMC is ranging from 14% to 30% [6, 11]. Therefore, the compaction results of the untreated kaolin obtained in this study are in the

| Type of Sample                                      | Sample Coding | Mass (g) | Volume (cm³) | MDD (g/cm³) | OMC (%) |
|----------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| Kaolin                                             | K             | 310      | 196.35       | 1.606       | 19.0    |
| Kaolin + 2% Silica Fume                            | K2SF          | 310      | 196.35       | 1.550       | 19.5    |
| Kaolin + 4% Silica Fume                            | K4SF          | 310      | 196.35       | 1.548       | 19.8    |
| Kaolin + 6% Silica Fume                            | K6SF          | 310      | 196.35       | 1.522       | 20.4    |
| Kaolin + 6% Silica Fume + 3% Eggshell Ash          | K6SF3ESA      | 310      | 196.35       | 1.555       | 19.7    |
| Kaolin + 6% Silica Fume + 6% Eggshell Ash          | K6SF6ESA      | 310      | 196.35       | 1.525       | 20.5    |
| Kaolin + 6% Silica Fume + 9% Eggshell Ash          | K6SF9ESA      | 310      | 196.35       | 1.517       | 20.8    |
range of values stated by the previous researchers. The difficulty to form soil consistency in the PL test resulted in the unsuitable compaction test to be carried out for the SF and ESA. The result obtained from UCT recorded that the optimum SF content corresponding to the ultimate strength is at 6%, thus, various percentages of ESA were then added to the kaolin admixed with 6% SF for further strength improvement of untreated kaolin. Figure 4 shows the compaction curve of the kaolin-SF sample admixed with 0%, 3%, 6%, and 9% of ESA. The compaction curve plotted to illustrate that the particle arrangement of the sample changed with the addition of ESA with high CaO content, thus the specific area of the sample is altered and more water is required for the chemical reaction among the fine particles, to set off the stabilization process. In general, the higher MDD of the soil shows a better performance in construction work, but it also means that the swelling pressure is high for expansive soil [6].

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4** Compaction curve of kaolin admixed with 6% SF and various percentage of ESA content (%)

Figure 5 illustrate the correlation between MDD and OMC of the kaolin sample admixed with 6% of SF and various percentage of ESA.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5** The correlation between MDD (g/cm³) and OMC (%) of the kaolin sample admixed with 6% of SF and various percentage of ESA content (%).

The MDD of the treated sample was initially increased to 1.555 g/cm³ from 1.522 g/cm³ at 3% of ESA content and decreased to 1.525 g/cm³ and 1.517 g/cm³ at 6% and 9% of ESA content. The OMC of the treated sample decreased to 19.7% from 20.4% due to the adsorption capacity of ESA, attributable to the ESA porosity properties, and the increment in OMC with the inclusion of ESA up to 9% is due to the increased CaO content in the stabilized sample and thus more water is demanded [20] for the formation of CSH particles and pozzolanic reaction with the presence of SF. The trend of the graph obtained in this study is coherent to the study conducted by the previous researchers [30, 32]. Based on the data discussed, it can be concluded that the addition of both SF and ESA can reduce the swelling tendency of the soft kaolin.

### 3.2 Chemical Oxide Compositions

Cementitious properties and pozzolanic reaction are the two crucial factors in stabilizing the soft clay soil. The pozzolanic reaction is a slow process and is mainly responsible for the development of strength. Generally, CaO and SiO₂ are considered as the main constituents of cementitious material whereas both of the materials exhibit act as a binding agent when water is added. When hydration occurs, whereas with the addition of water, the CaO will react with the water and form calcium hydroxide, Ca(OH)₂ as shown in equation (1).

\[
\text{CaO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \xrightarrow{\text{hydration}} \text{Ca(OH)}_2
\]

Next, the pozzolanic reaction will take place when the SiO₂ from the SF and clay soil will react with Ca(OH)₂ to form CSH. Equation (2) shows the reaction when SF, the pozzolan reacts with Ca(OH)₂.

\[
\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{OH}^- + \text{SiO}_2 \rightarrow x\text{CaSiO}_3\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

The pozzolanic reaction depends on two factors which are the maximum amount of Ca(OH)₂ that pozzolan can react with and the surface area of pozzolan. A greater surface area of pozzolan leads to the higher pozzolanic reactivity [35]. ESA is mainly made up of CaO while SF is comprised of more than 85% of SiO₂. The particular gravity was somewhat diminished for eggshell powders because of their permeable nature. Empty openings exist between the calcite precious stones to permit the exchange of gases from the inward to the external side of the eggshell. The SF is considered an artificial pozzolan and is mainly comprised of silica glasses [36]. Since the pozzolans are low in CaO content, a material that contains a high percentage of CaO should be used. Therefore, as aforementioned in Table 1, the ESA is used in this study as the CaO content in ESA is high (62.50%) and can act as a settling specialist to improve the strength of the untreated kaolin. Initially,
the CaCO₃ of the nature eggshell was transformed into CaO through the burning action using the furnace. This CaO was then contributed to the formation of cementitious components during soil stabilization. Table 5 shows the chemical oxide compositions of the untreated kaolin and treated kaolin samples.

### 3.3 Mineralogy Characteristics

XRD investigation distinguished the mineralogical substance of the untreated kaolin admixed with the ideal substance of the stabilizer (6% of SF and 6% of ESA). These sets of boundaries were chosen to additionally legitimate the previous examination on compound oxides piece. Based on Figure 6(a) and Figure 6(b), the raw soil showed the presence of high clay minerals (quartz) and low aggregate minerals (muscovite). As the figure portrays there was a decrease in the most pinnacle powers of balanced out examples especially for test settled with the substance of the ideal stabilizer.

**Table 5** Chemical oxide compositions of the untreated kaolin and treated kaolin samples

| Sample          | Compositions (%) |
|-----------------|------------------|
|                 | SiO₂  | CaO   | Al₂O₃ | K₂O   | MgO   | Fe₂O₃ |
| Kaolin (Control) | 66.11 | 0.08  | 19.25 | 2.85  | 1.23  | 0.73  |
| SF              | 74.02 | 0.00  | 0.45  | 4.27  | 3.73  | 0.71  |
| ESA             | 0.02  | 62.50 | 0.01  | 0.05  | 0.71  | 0.02  |
| K₂SF            | 67.59 | 0.05  | 18.88 | 2.88  | 1.28  | 0.73  |
| K₄SF            | 69.07 | 0.05  | 18.49 | 2.91  | 1.33  | 0.73  |
| K₆SF            | 70.55 | 0.08  | 18.12 | 2.94  | 1.38  | 0.73  |
| K₆SF₃ESA        | 64.60 | 1.95  | 17.54 | 2.85  | 1.36  | 0.73  |
| K₆SF₆ESA        | 62.62 | 3.82  | 16.97 | 2.77  | 1.35  | 0.69  |
| K₆SF₉ESA        | 60.64 | 5.69  | 16.39 | 2.68  | 1.33  | 0.66  |

**Figure 6** The XRD results for (a) untreated kaolin (b) treated kaolin with 6% of SF and 6% of ESA content
The intensity of untreated kaolin shown in Figure 6(a) (>60000) is reduced when the sample was stabilized with 6% of SF and 6% of ESA (<60000) as shown in Figure 6(b). Similar results have been reported by past researches [37]. This could be ascribed to the event of the pozzolanic response that brought about the decrease of the soil properties in settled material and lower top powers [37]. Furthermore, the shapelessness of the pozzolanic molecule was among the basic component that will be engaged with the optional hydration measure. The progressions of force at tops demonstrated that the incorporation of the SF and ESA impacted the arrangement of the glasslike silica. The crystallinity of silica is diminishing the pozzolanic reactivity of the material because of the capacity of the nebulous silica to partake in the hydration cycle to respond with the calcium hydroxide [14].

3.4 Morphology Analysis

Based on Table 6, for untreated kaolin, there is 52% of particles that are smaller than 0.075 mm, which is classified as the fine sample with particle size ranges from 0.0008 mm to 0.063 mm. The SF is a finer material as compared to Kaolin and present in the size ranging from 0.00008 mm to 0.15 mm due to the 56% of SF particles passed the size of 0.075 mm, where 44% of it retained above 0.075 mm. Based on the percent finer at 4.75 mm and 0.075 mm, LL and PI, the size of the SF used in this study can be characterized as MH (sandy/silty soil) with a high plasticity feature. According to AASHTO, SF is categorized as A-5 (fine silty) soil due to its LL and PL value. The ESA is a coarser material and exists in the size ranging from 0.063 mm to 0.3 mm with 26% of the particles passing the 0.075 mm sieve, while 74% of it retained above 0.075 mm sieve. Based on the percent finer at 4.75 mm and 0.075 mm, LL, and PI, the ESA is classified as SC (sandy-clay) particles and categorized as A-2-4 based on AASHTO classification due to its Atterberg limit characteristics.

Table 6 PSD of untreated kaolin, SF, ESA and kaolin stabilized with optimum stabilizer dosage

| Sample    | Compositions (%) | Kaolin | SF | ESA | Kaolin + 6% SF + 6% ESA |
|-----------|------------------|-------|----|-----|-------------------------|
| >4.75     | 0                | 0     | 0  | 0   | 0                       |
| 0.075–4.75| 48               | 44    | 74 | 66  |                         |
| ≤0.075    | 52               | 56    | 26 | 34  |                         |

The inclusion of SF and ESA to the soft kaolin prompt the reshuffling of soil particle matrix, forming a coarser kaolin-SF-ESA (K-SF-ESA) mixture where the PSD curve of the treated kaolin as shown in Figure 7 shifted slightly to the coarser right side. The treated soft kaolin is classified as a sand-silt mixture (SM) and is categorized as sandy-silt (A-2-4) in AASHTO. It consists of 0% gravel, 66% sand and 44% clay and silt (Table 6).

![Figure 7 PSD curve of untreated kaolin and treated kaolin with an optimum dosage of stabilizers (6% SF & 6% ESA)](image)

Based on the UCT result, the optimum stabilizer content corresponding to maximum USS, $q_u$ is 6% SF and 6% ESA. The treated kaolin sample is coarser than untreated kaolin with 34% of particles were smaller than 0.075 mm. Based on the PSD curve plotted in Figure 7, the treated kaolin shifted slightly to the right side (coarser indication) which is attributable to the inclusion of finer SF and coarser ESA that caused the reshuffling of soil particles matrix. The treated kaolin is classified as SM (sand-silt) mixture and also characterized in group A-2-4 (sandy-silt or sandy-clay) by referring to the AASHTO classification. The classification outcomes by using USCS and AASHTO classification for all of the materials are similar.

3.5 Shear Strength Analysis

The initial $q_u$ value of untreated soft kaolin is 42.11 kN/m$^2$. The $q_u$ value increased with the initial increment of SF content and recorded the highest improvement at 39.6% by using 6% of SF content by the dry weight of the soil as shown in Figure 8. This marks 6% SF as the optimum content to be used with ESA for further strength improvement.

![Figure 8 PSD curve of untreated kaolin and treated kaolin with an optimum dosage of stabilizers (6% SF & 6% ESA)](image)
A 3%, 6% and 9% of ESA were later added to the soft kaolin admixed with 6% SF to examine the performance in stabilizing the soft kaolin. The incorporation of the ESA up to 6% increased the $q_u$ value of soft kaolin to 71.10 kN/m$^2$, where a maximum improvement of 68.8% is recorded. The further incorporation of ESA content to 9% decreased the $q_u$ value of soft kaolin due to displacement of soil particles. Besides, due to the excess ESA content, the bonding form between the particles is weak. The results of UCT implies that the addition of SF and ESA gradually increased the formation of CSH and prompt the pozzolanic reaction that contributes to the enhancement in strength properties of soft kaolin. Figure 9 illustrate the stress-strain graph of five (5) samples of the kaolin treated with 6% of SF and 6% of ESA content (K6SF6ESA).

Figure 9 Stress-strain graph of the K6SF6ESA sample

Figure 10(a) and Figure 10(b) are the typical failure mode that was observed in this study. Figure 10(a) is shown the shear failure of the compacted soil sample, for both untreated and treated samples, where the compacted soil cracks vertically from the upper layer to the bottom upon the application of compression load while Figure 10(b) exemplifies the bulging failure, where the failure occurs at the weak layer of soil. The failure in the soil is a complicated circumstance that can be caused by various factors and have a close relationship with the brittleness and ductility of the soil [11]. The variables including the confining pressure, pore pressure, rate of strain, temperature, fluid chemistry, modal composition, particle size, porosity and so on [11].

3.6 Microstructural Characterization

Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM) images under x10 000 magnification of untreated kaolin, raw SF, and raw ESA are shown in Figure 11(a), Figure 11(b), and Figure 11(c). Based on Figure 11(a), the raw kaolin has a flaky shape microstructure. Figure 11(b) shows that the SF particle was composed of a fine spherical shape with a particle size of several hundred nanometers. The ESA powder particles seemed to have a rugged, sporadic morphology and a fluctuating molecule size appropriation because of the pounding treatment directed as shown in Figure 11(c). The eggshell natural layer was not noticeable inside the examples. Figure 12(a), Figure 12(b), Figure 12(c) and Figure 12(d) illustrate the FESEM image of treated kaolin with 6% of SF and 6% of ESA under x10 000 magnification, x15 000 magnification, x30 000 magnification and x100 000 magnification respectively. Based on the figure shown, it clearly shows that the SF and ESA particles are present in the kaolin as the figures illustrate the spherical shape of SF and a jagged and irregular shape of ESA binding together with the flaky shape of the kaolin.
3.7 Correlation Analysis

The correlations of the engineering properties (i.e. specific gravity, Atterberg limit, Standard Proctor Compaction test and UCT) of soft kaolin with the varying SF and ESA content were established in this study. The correlations equation of the four (4) parameters investigated in this study is crucial to predict the best model for optimum usage of stabilizers of SF and ESA content. Thus, the equation will be a significant guideline for the soil stabilization method provided in this study. The correlation equations of the four (4) parameters studied are tabulated in Table 7.
From the correlation equation tabulated in Table 7, it can be deduced that, with the higher value of the coefficient of determination ($R^2$ value), the percentage of the variations of the four (4) parameters observed can be predicted by the inclusion of the SF and ESA as soil stabilizers. Based on the regression statistics established in the study, the coefficient of determination ($R^2$ value) for all parameters investigated are above 0.5. Hence, it can be proven that 50% of the variation in the parameters studied (specific gravity, Atterberg limit, compaction and shear strength) can be explained by the inclusion of SF content and ESA content. Therefore, the correlation established is the best model to be used to predict the optimum dosage usage of stabilizers (SF and ESA content).

### 4.0 CONCLUSION

Based on the experimental results and data analysis carried out in this study, the decreasing in the specific gravity of the stabilized kaolin mixture with SF and ESA indicates that the soil particles matrix is reshuffled and a lighter soil mixture is formed. A maximum reduction of 48.4 % in PI of the stabilized kaolin mixture with SF and ESA implies that clay content lessened and expansive characteristics of soft clay are effectively reduced.

Besides, the reduction in MDD is ascribable to the reshuffling of the soil particles matrix while OMC increased as more water is required to form the CSH compounds and to promote the pozzolanic reaction between the stabilizers and kaolin clay. The incorporation of SF and ESA can effectively improve the strength properties of soft kaolin and an ultimate enhancement of 68.8 % is attained at 6% SF and 6% ESA content, which indicates the optimum stabilizers content. The inclusion of SF and ESA coarsen the particles of soft kaolin mixture and the soil classification change to SM and A-2-4 with the 15% reduction in fine contents.
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