Solid surface frustrated Lewis pair constructed on layered AlOOH for hydrogenation reaction
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Designing heterogeneous solid surface frustrated Lewis pair (ssFLP) catalyst for hydrogenation is a new challenge in catalysis and no research has been reported on the construction of ssFLP on boehmite (AlOOH) surfaces up to now as far as we know. Herein, AlOOH with a layer structure is prepared and it is found that the Lewis basic OHv site (one H removed from OH) and an adjacent Lewis acidic unsaturated Al site (Al3+ unsatur.) proximal to a surface OHv (OH vacancy) on AlOOH layers could form the ssFLP. The layered structure of AlOOH and its abundant OH defects over the surface result in a high concentration of OHv/Al3+ unsatur. FLPs, which are conducive to highly efficient hydrogen activation for hydrogenation of olefins and alkynes with low H-H bond dissociates activation energy of 0.16 eV under mild conditions (T = 80°C and P(H2) = 2.0 MPa). This work develops a new kind of hydrogenation catalyst and provides a new perspective for creating solid surface FLP.

1 Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences, CAS Key Laboratory of Colloid and Interface and Thermodynamics, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China. 2 School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China. 3 These authors contributed equally: Shulin Liu, Minghua Dong. ✉email: liuhz@iccas.ac.cn

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-29970-6
Hydrogen activation is the key step in catalytic hydrogenation reaction, which is very important in the petrochemical industry. The process has been well developed over transition metal complexes, metal-based heterogeneous catalysts and nonmetallic N, S, B, or P heteroatom doped carbon-based catalysts. In 2006, W. Stephan group first reported a novel mode for H₂ activation that the frustrated Lewis pair (FLP) could activate H₂ and an appropriate distance between Lewis acid and base sites is critical. The early research of FLP was based on molecular-based homogeneous complex and the neighboring surface lattice oxygen was Lewis base. In oxides, bene…

The construction of surface FLP over noble-metal-based heterogeneous catalysts has been successfully demonstrated. For example, the formation of FLP consisting of sodium hydride (Na₂H⁻) and a framework-bound hydroxy proton O(H⁻) is reported upon H₂ treatment of zeolite NaY loaded with Pt nanoparticles (Pt/NaY). The Au powders and molecular Lewis base (for example, imine and nitrile) also could form FLP. It is well known that it is easy to construct defects on reducible oxides, beneficial from oxygen vacancies. Recently, solid surface FLP sites on reducible metal oxide CeO₂ were developed by Qu et al. since its reversible Ce³⁺/Ce⁴⁺ redox pair and rich surface defects chemistry. It was found that the richness of surface defects is the key to the construction of surface Lewis acidic center by two adjacent reduced surface Ce atoms near an oxygen vacancy and the neighboring surface lattice oxygen was Lewis base. Ozin and co-workers developed the surface FLP sites over In₂O₃(OH), composed of a Lewis basic surface OH adjacent to a Lewis acid indium proximal to an oxygen vacancy, which assisted the adsorption and heterolytic dissociation of H₂ in the reverse water gas shift (RWGS) reaction in photocatalysis. Ni-O Lewis pair at the NiOₓ-Ni interface was also fabricated for the activation of water. Obviously, the rational design and controllable construction of specific Lewis pairs with high catalytic performance are now limited to a few specific oxide systems through the formation of surface oxygen vacancies or construction of hydroxyl-metal ion pairs. The development of novel ssFLPs is very interesting from both scientific and practical perspectives and yet it is still a great challenge to construct an efficient ssFLPs site on inert metal oxide for hydrogenation reaction.

Boehmite (AlOOH), as one of the main components of bauxite ore, is abundant in natural resources and has been studied extensively for various applications, such as adsorbents, porous materials, catalyst support. Especially, AlOOH is a main group metal hydroxide and non-reducible. Herein, we prepared a series of layered AlOOH, where a novel ssFLPs site was found. With these layered AlOOH, the hydrogenation of olefins and alkynes could proceed efficiently under mild conditions (T = 80°C and P(H₂) = 2.0 MPa). It was found that the abundant OH vacancies (OH⁻) are the key to the construction of the Lewis acid center. The ssFLPs were created by a Lewis basic O⁻ₓ⁺ site and an adjacent Lewis acidic unsaturated Al site (Al³⁺ unsatur) proximal to a surface OH vacancy on AlOOH layers. The unique layer structure of AlOOH and abundant OH defects result in a high concentration of OH⁻/Al³⁺ unsatur FLPs, which are conducive to highly efficient hydrogen activation.

**Results and discussion**

**Structural characterizations.** The catalysts were prepared using Al(NO₃)₃·9H₂O as the precursor and urea as the precipitant by a solvothermal method at 180°C for 5 h, as shown in Fig. 1. When the mole ratio of urea and Al(NO₃)₃·9H₂O was 1:1, the catalyst was denoted as AIOOH-U1. When the mole ratio of urea and Al(NO₃)₃·9H₂O was above 1:1, the catalyst was denoted as AIOOH-Uₓ and x stands for the mole ratio of Al and urea used.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images are shown in Fig. 2. AIOOH-U₂, AIOOH-U₃ and AIOOH-U₄ presented layered structure, while AIOOH-U₅ showed the initial formation state of the layer due to the lower OH⁻ concentration from urea decomposition during the solvothermal reaction. Al and O elements were presented in the EDS mapping images with different colors, meaning successful acquisition of AlOOH. The catalysts were also characterized by powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) and the results were shown in Fig. 3a. No peaks were observed in the PXRD pattern of AlOOH-U₁ due to the amorphous nature of AlOOH made of a recipe of less urea. AIOOH-U₂, AIOOH-U₃ and AIOOH-U₄ exhibited the typical peaks of orthorhombic AIOOH crystal structure (JCPDS No. 21-1307), while the weak peak intensities indicated the poor crystallinity. The coexistence of small crystalline areas was shown in the following HR-TEM images (Fig. 2g), and several series of patterns, corresponding to (010) facets, can be found in FFT images. Three (200), (134), and (140) facets which are orthogonal to the (020) facets can be observed in the images. It demon states that as-prepared AIOOH exposed (010) facets. Furthermore, the reflection of (002) lattice plane shifted to smaller 2θ angles compared with pristine AIOOH, implying the existence of lattice strain. It demonstrates that the preparation method is conducive to the stripping of the catalyst along the [010] direction. The surface energies of different facets were calculated, and (010) facets had the lowest surface energy, as shown in Supplementary Table 1. The expanded lattice spacings of the as-prepared catalysts can be rationalized in terms of the formation of layered structure AlOOH rather than nanosheets. These are also supported by images (Supplementary Fig. 1) of the other two samples AIOOH-U₃, AIOOH-U₄.

The FTIR spectra of the catalysts are shown in Fig. 3b. A strong and broad band at ca. 3415 cm⁻¹ in the spectra of all samples are assigned to the stretching vibration of free OH, probably from hydrogen-bonded hydroxyl groups or adsorbed water. The peak at ca. 1648 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to the bending vibration of surface OH or adsorbed water. The peaks at ca. 3099 cm⁻¹ and 1075 cm⁻¹ in the spectra of AIOOH-Uₓ are attributed to the stretching and bending vibration modes of -OHAl-OH, respectively, further confirming that AIOOH was obtained. However, these two peaks were not observed in the spectra of AlOOH-U₁. The band at ca. 738 cm⁻¹ is associated with the vibration mode of AlO₆.

XPS technique was used to analyze the surface state of O elements in the catalysts and the spectra are shown in Fig. 3c. The fitted O₁s spectra of AIOOH-U₂, AIOOH-U₃ and AIOOH-U₄ presented three peaks at 529.5-529.8, 530.9-531.1, and 532.3-532.7 eV, attributing to oxygen in lattice structure Al-O-Al (O₁), hydroxyl bound with Al to form Al-OH (-OH Al-OH) or oxygen species (Oad -OHad) adsorbed on the surface OH defects and adsorbed water (Oₐd(OH)), respectively. As a contrast, the deconvolution of the O₁s spectrum for AlOOH-U₁ only gave two peaks at 530.6 eV and 532.1 eV, assigning to the lattice oxygen and surface adsorbed water, which is consistent with the results of FTIR analysis. Compared with AIOOH-U₁, the Al 2p binding energy (Fig. 3d) for AIOOH-U₂, AIOOH-U₃ and AIOOH-U₄ was lower which was caused by the electron-rich unsaturated Al attributed to OH defects on the surface. In addition, the ratio of Oₐd(OH)O total of AlOOH-U₁, AIOOH-U₂, AIOOH-U₃, and AIOOH-U₄ are 39.4, 14.5, 21.6, and 32.9% (Supplementary Table 3), respectively, which is related to the catalytic activity, discussed below.

**Catalytic performance.** The catalytic performance of the as-prepared catalysts was checked for the hydrogenation of styrene...
to ethylbenzene, as shown in Fig. 3e. The reaction conditions were optimized as shown in Supplementary Table 2. AlOOH-U2 exhibited the best catalytic performance with a complete conversion and >99% selectivity towards ethylbenzene. Despite the low catalytic activity of AlOOH-U2 observed in the initial 2 h (Supplementary Table 2, entry 5), a satisfying complete hydrogenation of styrene was realized by prolonging the reaction time to 6 h at 80 °C (Supplementary Table 2, entry 7).

Fig. 1 Catalyst preparation. Schematic process for the fabrication of AlOOH catalyst.

Fig. 2 TEM and HR-TEM images for catalysts. a AlOx-U1. b AlOOH-U2. c AlOOH-U3. d AlOOH-U4. e Al and f O EDS elemental mapping images of the AlOOH-U2. g HR-TEM images of the AlOOH-U2 and the illustration as FFT images. h Crystal plane models of (200), (002), (101).
The reaction process depends upon H2 pressure and the conversion increases with the increase of H2 pressure (Supplementary Table 2, entry 10–12).

The reusability of AlOOH-U2 was evaluated under the optimized reaction conditions. The catalysts were recovered by centrifugation and washed with THF several times after reaction, and then dried at 60 °C in a vacuum oven overnight for the next use. The AlOOH-U2 can be used at least 5-times without a considerable decrease in activity and selectivity (Fig. 3f). The almost unchanged phase and layered morphology illustrate that the catalyst AlOOH-U2 is stable during hydrogenation reactions (Supplementary Fig. 2b, d). Furthermore, it should be noted that the activity of AlOOH-U2 after calcination in H2 flow at 300 °C did not decrease (Table1, entry 3). The FTIR characteristic peak (ca. 3099 cm⁻¹ and 1075 cm⁻¹) of AlOOH-U2 still existed after calcination, indicating the catalyst was stable under H2 atmosphere even at 300 °C (Supplementary Fig. 3).

Figure 4a shows the thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) curves for AlOOH-U2. There are two obvious steps of weight loss. The endothermic peak at ca. 97.4 °C is assigned to the loss of physically adsorbed water30. The peak at ca. 446.7 °C is ascribed to the weight loss of hydroxyl groups bonded with Al (-OHAl-OH), i.e. the dehydroxylation of AlOOH.

Role of surface defects for hydrogenation. To further confirm the OH defects on the AlOOH surface, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) was used to study these catalysts. The EPR results shown in Fig. 4b suggest that there are two kinds of defects in the different chemical environments on the surface of AlOOH34, a OH vacancy (OHv) and a surface O site (O(OHv)) created by one H removed from OH. The fitting results of all samples are shown in Supplementary Fig. 4 and a hyperfine coupling from surrounding H species was observed in SysA with an AH-value of 53.69 MHz (Supplementary Table 4-1). Thus SysA, SysB and SysC could be assigned to Al-OHv-Al, Al-OHv-Al and background signal, respectively. DFT calculation also shows that OH and O(Hv) could form FLPs. A combination of EPR and XPS results revealed that the adsorbed water occupied the defects on the surface of AIOx-U1, AlOOH-U3 and AlOOH-U4, resulting in the decrease in activity. It is easier to construct FLPs with both defects on the catalyst surface.

To clarify the role of surface OH defects, some control experiments were conducted. Based on TGA analysis, the chemical bonds of Al-OH in AIOOH could be broken at ca. 450 °C. The catalyst AlOOH-U2 was calcined in air at 450 °C for 3 h, denoted as AlOOH-U2-air-450 °C. As the FTIR spectra shown in Fig. 4c (green line), two characteristic absorption peaks of AlOOH at ca. 3099 cm⁻¹ and 1075 cm⁻¹ associated with -OHAl-OH disappear, implying that the chemical bonds of Al-OH in AlOOH was broken. The EPR of AlOOH-U2-air-450 °C and background signal, respectively. DFT calculation also shows that OH and O(Hv) could form FLPs. A combination of EPR and XPS results revealed that the adsorbed water occupied the defects on the surface of AlOOH-U1, AlOOH-U3 and AlOOH-U4, resulting in the decrease in activity. It is easier to construct FLPs with both defects on the catalyst surface.

| Entry | Catalyst | Conv./% | Sel./% |
|-------|----------|---------|--------|
| 1a    | AlOOH-U2  | 0       | -      |
| 1b    | AlOOH-U2  | 0       | -      |
| 1c    | AlOOH-U2  | 0       | -      |
| 4     | AlOOH-U2-H2-300 °C | 99 | >99 |
| 5     | AlOOH-U2-air-450 °C | 17.0 | >99 |
| 6     | AlOOH-U2-Ar-450 °C | 100 | >99 |
| 7d    | AlOOH-U2-Ar-450 °C | 100 | >99 |

Reaction conditions: Catalyst (50 mg), temperature (80 °C), H2 pressure (2 MPa), time (6 h), styrene (0.5 mmol), THF (2 mL), stirring speed (600 rpm) and n-decane as internal standard.
(Supplementary Fig. 5) shows a g value of 2.003 with only one peak, indicating that the surface FLPs are locked by O after calcination in air. As shown in XRD patterns (Fig. 4d, green line), AlOOH-U2-air-450 °C exhibited an amorphous aluminum oxide structure. However, the layered structure of AlOOH-U2 was preserved for AlOOH-U2-air-450 °C as shown in Supplementary Fig. 2a. As expected, the catalytic activity of AlOOH-U2-air-450 °C was decreased significantly, (Table 1, entry 4). The decreased catalytic activity may be attributed to the disappearance of surface OH defects, which leads to the destruction of FLP sites. For comparison, a perfect FLP surface catalyst was prepared by treating AlOOH-U2 under Ar atmosphere at 450 °C for 3 h, denoted as AlOOH-U2-Ar-450 °C. The AlOOH-U2-Ar-450 °C also presents an amorphous alumina oxide structure (Fig. 4d, pink line). The FTIR spectra (Fig. 4c, pink line) also show that the stretching and bending vibration modes of -OH Al-OH disappeared. However, a higher field chemical shift was observed in the 27Al NMR of AlOOH-U2-Ar-450 °C compared with AlOOH-U2-air-450 °C (Fig. 5). This was attributed to the electron shielding effects on the Al nucleus caused by abundant OH defects. As expected, the catalytic activity of AlOOH-U2-Ar-450 °C was higher than that of AlOOH-U2. As shown in Table 1, entry 6,
complete conversion was achieved in 4 h. These experiments also provided evidence that the catalytic activity was related to the OH defects.

The Lewis acidic and basic sites in AlOOH-U2 were characterized by FT-IR of pyridine adsorption and CO2-TPD, and the results showed that there were both Lewis acidic and basic sites on the AlOOH-U2 surface (Supplementary Fig. 6). It has been reported that Lewis acidic sites could be destroyed by Lewis bases pyridine. The catalytic activity of AlOOH-U2 was checked in the presence of pyridine and it was found that the activity of the catalyst was completely quenched (Table 1, entry 2). We also found that the catalyst was not active in the presence of benzoic acid since the Lewis basic sites were blocked by benzoic acid (Table 1, entry 3). These results demonstrated the important role of Lewis acidic and basic sites during the catalysis. Furthermore, no product was detected in H2O since H2O can destroy the structures of FLP sites by competitive adsorption on OH defect sites, resulting in serious deactivation of the catalyst (Table 1, entry 1).

Mechanism investigations. All above control experiments strongly suggest that the OH defects play extremely important roles in activating H2 and achieving high catalytic activity for hydrogenation reactions under mild conditions. To clarify how the OH defects construct FLP active sites on the AlOOH surface, the calculations were performed using spin polarized DFT methods as implemented in the QUICKSTEP code of the CP2K package. Three slab models containing different OH defects were built as shown in Fig. 6. In the first model (Fig. 6a, d), a hydroxyl group in AlOOH (010) is removed, forming one OH vacancy (OHv) on the surface. The distance of OHv1 and O is 3.73 Å in line with FLPs to activate molecular hydrogen, but only weak charge separation is observed. Two adjacent OH vacancies are constructed in model II (Fig. 6b, e), while the electrostatic potential in two vacancies is symmetric indicating no FLP site is formed in model II. Considering the non-reducibility of AlOOH, in model III (Fig. 6c, f) one hydroxyl and one hydrogen are removed on the (010) surface to construct one FLP site between the OH vacancy (OHv) and surface oxygen (OHv). Compared to the model I, the absence of hydrogen increases the electron density of surface oxygen, meanwhile, the Lewis acidic site in OH vacancy is stronger than that of model I. Notably, the distance between OHv1 and O is 3.73 Å, the same as the distance between OHv1 and OH in model I.

Hydrogen dissociation pathways on three models were also calculated using CI-NEB method. The energy profile is shown in Fig. 7. On the AlOOH (010) surface containing one OH defect (model I), the H2 was weakly adsorbed on OH defect sites with adsorption energies of -0.13 eV, and then dissociated over 0.74 eV energy barriers reaching the final states of 0.59 eV. Molecular H2 was not able to adsorb on the unsaturated Al sites in model II, and the energy barrier of dissociation was as high as 0.75 eV since no FLPs were formed in this model to activate H2. After H2 dissociation, two OH vacancies were filled with H species leading to the most stable final state among three models, of -3.02 eV. In model III, H2 was adsorbed on the FLP site with a strong adsorption energy of -0.43 eV, and the Hirshfeld population analysis (Supplementary Fig. 12) indicated that the H2 was evidently positive charge in the ISIII. Moreover, the H-H bond in model III was longer than that of the other two models. The strong adsorption and stretched H-H bond demonstrated that molecular hydrogen was activated in FLP sites of model III. The energy barrier of H2 dissociation was as low as 0.16 eV, while the reaction was exothermic -2.10 eV.
Among the three hydrogen adsorption modes, the net charge of molecular hydrogen in model III was $+0.613\ e$, while it was $+0.267\ e$ and $+0.218\ e$ in the other two modes (Supplementary Fig. 12). The net charge indicates that the Lewis acid site plays a vital role in AlOOH-based FLPs, which was also verified by Hirshfeld population analysis. As shown in Supplementary Table 5–Table 8, the charge on surface O species varied from $-0.826\ e$ to $-1.012\ e$, however the charge on Al species near defects was $+0.204\ e$ in model II and $+1.126\ e$ in model III (Supplementary Table 7, 8). Considering with small ion radius and high charge density of Al$^{3+}$ species, its acidity increased sharply when Al coordination decreases. In model I, the removal of one OH group leads to a single electron localized in the defect (Supplementary Fig. 13), which decreased the Lewis acidity of exposed Al as shown in Fig. 6a.

In model III, removal of one H$_2$O molecule in an appropriate distance from AlOOH (010) surface resulted in no localized single electron on the surface, while it increased the acidity of formed FLPs since Al’s orbitals in the defect was not occupied and the electron pair was localized in surface oxygen (O$_{OH}$). The twisted Al-O structures near the defect in model III also proved the unoccupied orbitals in the defect and enhanced Lewis acidity, compared to models I and II. The computational studies combined with characterizations have provided obvious evidence for the formation of FLPs on non-reducible oxides and their hydrogen activation ability.

According to our DFT calculations, H$_2$ adsorbed on AlOOH was readily split into H$^+$ and active H species with charges of 0.144 $e$ and 0.015 $e$, respectively. One of the H$^+$ bonded to the Lewis base site O$_{OH}$, to yield O-H$^+$, and the other active H species was stabilized by surrounding Al atoms. It indicates the mode of H$_2$ splitting on AlOOH is heterolytic. To test the proposed mechanism, we explored the kinetic isotope effect (KIE) with D$_2$ in styrene hydrogenation. Before the KIE experiment, we confirmed that the reaction follows the first-order kinetics with respect to hydrogen pressure (Supplementary Fig. 14a). A primary isotope effect was observed (ratio of reaction rates using H$_2$ and D$_2$, $k_{H_2}/k_{D_2} = 2.97$) implying the heterolytic dissociation of hydrogen$^{[40]}$ (Supplementary Fig. 14b). In addition, the GC-MS analysis detected the ethylbenzene with a molecular weight (Mw) 107, indicating the addition of an H atom and a D atom to styrene (Supplementary Fig. 15). These results demonstrate that the H$_2$ splitting over the AlOOH catalysts occurs via heterolytic mode.

We also notice that the surface hydroxyl groups are not rigidly restrained and may lead to a disordered catalytic surface. To validate the abovementioned mechanism, a disordered surface model was built with ‘heating and quenching’ techniques$^{[41]}$. Since Model III FLP site exhibits the lowest hydrogen dissociation barrier, a similar FLP site is introduced in disorder models. (Supportamental Note 1). The calculated molecular hydrogen activation pathways on the disordered surface show similar reaction energies and barriers to the above ideal surface. 

Hydrogenation scope of alkenes and alkynes. The scope of hydrogenation reactions catalyzed by AlOOH-U2 was demonstrated in Table 2. The substrate conversion of 100% was achieved under 80°C for the hydrogenation of p-methyl styrene and p-chlorostyrene (Table 2, entry 1 and entry 3). For p-methoxy-styrene, a 92.8% conversion was obtained which was slightly lower than that of p-methyl styrene (Table 2, entry 2). The catalytic activity of AlOOH-U2 for 4-tert-butylstyrene and trans-stilbene was very low due to steric hindrance. The conversion of 4-tert-butylstyrene was only 37% at 80°C (Table 2, entry 4). The conversion of trans-stilbene was still only 2.3% even by extending the reaction time to 24 h and increasing the temperature to 100°C (Table 2, entry 6). The lower reactivity of 4-aminostyrene (Table 2, entry 1 and entry 5) could be attributed to the interaction between amino (-NH$_2$) and Al$^{3+}$ unsatur. For the hydrogenation of phenylacetylene, styrene and ethylbenzene were yielded. A 100% conversion of phenylacetylene with 97.4% selectivity towards ethylbenzene was obtained under 3.0 MPa H$_2$ pressure at 80°C (Table 2, entry 7). A complete conversion but only 64.9% olefin selectivity was got for 4-methylphenylacetylene hydrogenation (Table 2, entry 8). For 1-chloro-4-ethylnbenzene and 4-ethylcyaniline, the conversions were all over 90% and the selectivity of olefin was slightly higher than that of alkenes (Table 2, entry 9 and 10). For diphenylacetylene, 92.2% conversion was afforded and the selectivity of cis-stilbene and trans-stilbene was 92.4% and 7.6%, respectively (Table 2, entry 11).

Furthermore, the reactivity of 4-vinylbenzaldehyde was also checked. Only 4-ethylbenzaldehyde was produced, with 54% yield and >99% selectivity. The lower reactivity of 4-vinylbenzaldehyde could be ascribed to the blockage of surface FLP sites on AlOOH by the strong adsorption of C = O at the OH vacancy. The DFT calculations further proved the result that the adsorption energies $\Delta H_{\text{ads}}$ of C = O and C-O-C = O are -1.97 eV and -0.69 eV (Supplementary Fig. 16), respectively.

In summary, we constructed a steric independent O$_{OH}$ /Al$^{3+}$ unsatur. surface FLP sites on non-reducible layered AlOOH for H$_2$ activation which could efficiently catalyze the hydrogenation of alkenes and alkynes with a wide scope under mild conditions (80°C, 2 MPa).

Based on DFT calculations, the H$_2$ dissociation barrier is as low as 0.16 eV. It is demonstrated that the rich OH defects over AlOOH are the key to generating Lewis base and Lewis acid center. In addition, the layered structure of ALOOH exposes more defects, resulting in a high concentration of O$_{OH}$ /Al$^{3+}$ unsatur. FLPs, which are conducive to highly efficient hydrogen activation.

Methods

Catalyst preparation. Layered AlOOH were prepared by the solvothermal method. In a typical experiment, 20 mmol Al(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O and a certain amount of urea were dissolved in 30 ml deionized water and 30 ml ethylene glycol, respectively. And then the Al(NO$_3$)$_3$ solution was added into the urea solution. After vigorously stirring at room temperature for an hour, the mixture was transferred into a 100 ml Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave, followed by a 150°C/24 h dry reaction treatment. After being cooled to room temperature, the white translucent gel was separated by centrifugation, washed with deionized water several times until the pH was about 7, and then dried in an oven at 80°C overnight. The final white catalysts were obtained by calcination under an air atmosphere at 350°C for 4 h. The obtained catalysts were denoted as ALOOH-UX, where X refers to the ratio of urea and Al precursor. The catalysts are ground with agate mortar before use.

Catalyst Characterization. The powder X-ray diffraction spectra (Power XRD) measurements were performed on a Rigaku D/max 2500 instrument using Cu Ka radiation (50 kV). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were obtained on a JEM-2000EX electron microscope. The high-resolution TEM images were obtained at 200 kV on JEM-F200. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed on the Thermo Scientific ESCALab 250XI using 200 W monochromatic Al Kα radiation. The hydrocarbon C1s line at 284.8 eV from adventitious carbon is used for energy referencing. FT-IR spectra of the catalysts with pyridine adsorbed on the catalysts were recorded with a Bruker TENSOR 27 spectrometer. The catalysts were pretreated with a 20 wt% Al(OH)$_3$ solution at room temperature for 2 h. The products were analyzed quantitatively by a gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890B) equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID). Identification of the products and reactant was done using a GC-MS (Shimadzu QP2010, DB-5MS capillary column) as well as by comparing the retention time with n-decane as the internal standard used in GC traces. The EPR data were gained from Bruker EMXplus 9.5/1.2 T at 100°C.
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Table 2 Scope of AlOOH-U2 for catalytic hydrogenation of alkenes and alkynes.

| Entry | Substrate          | Product          | Temp./°C | Time /h | Pressure /MPa | Conv./% | Sel./% |
|-------|--------------------|------------------|----------|---------|---------------|---------|--------|
| 1     |                    |                  | 80       | 10      | 2             | 100     | >99    |
| 2     |                    |                  | 80       | 10      | 2             | 92.8    | >99    |
| 3     |                    |                  | 80       | 10      | 2             | 100     | >99    |
| 4     |                    |                  | 80       | 10      | 2             | 37.0    | >99    |
| 5     |                    |                  | 80       | 10      | 2             | 82.9    | >99    |
| 6     |                    |                  | 100      | 24      | 2             | 2.3     | >99    |
| 7     |                    |                  | 80       | 24      | 3             | 100     | 2.6    |
| 8     |                    |                  | 80       | 24      | 3             | 100     | 64.9   |
| 9     |                    |                  | 80       | 24      | 3             | 92.5    | 80     |
| 10    |                    |                  | 80       | 24      | 3             | 92.0    | 53.8   |
| 11    |                    |                  | 80       | 24      | 3             | 92.2    | 92.4   |

*a Reaction conditions: substrate (0.2 mmol), THF (2 mL), AlOOH-U2 catalyst (50 mg), stirring speed (600 rpm); the substrate conversion and product selectivity were determined by GC with n-decane as internal standard.

b n-Dodecane as internal standard.
spinning rate were 156 MHz and 12 kHz respectively. 27Al NMR measurements were performed without any pretreatment on the samples.

**Catalytic activity tests.** The reaction was carried out in a Teflon-lined stainless-steel reactor of 16 mL with a magnetic stirrer. In a typical experiment, the desired amount of catalyst, reactant and solvent were added into the reactor. The air in the reactor was replaced with H₂ for 3 times and then the reactor was pressurized with H₂ to a certain pressure. The reactor was put into an ice bath at the desired temperature. After a certain reaction time, the autoclave was placed into an ice-water mixture, cooled to room temperature, and a known amount of internal standard n-decane was then added to the reactor. Then the reaction solution was centrifuged to separate the catalyst and the liquid was analyzed by GC analysis. The conversion of reactants and the selectivity of the products were calculated based on the GC data. The reaction experiments of other substrates are the same as those mentioned above.

**Computational details.** All DFT calculations were performed using QUICKSTEP code35 of CP2K package.36 The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional was used to evaluate the exchange and correlation.32 The wave functions were expanded in a molecularly optimized (MOLOPT) double–ζ Gaussian basis set33 with a cutoff energy of 450 Ry and Goedecker–Teter–Hutter pseudopotentials.44 The empirical dispersion correction ( Grimme D3) within a range of 15 Å was used to correct dispersion effects.45 All transition states were located with the climbing image NEB method with a force convergence limit of 0.03 eV/Å. The two-layer p (5 × 4) slab models were based on boehmite crystal structure, and the most stable (1010) facet was selected to simulate the catalyst surface. The bottom layer was fixed during geometry optimization to mimic the bulk phase. A 15 Å thick vacuum layer was induced to eliminate the interaction between neighboring slabs.
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