CHARACTERIZATION OF ENDOPHYTIC BACTERIA ASSOCIATED WITH SOME MEDICINAL PLANTS

Eman A.S. Ahmed1*, Enas A. Hassan2, El-Tobgy1 K.M.K. and Elshahat M.Ramadan2

1- Central Lab., Horticulture Research Institute, Agric. Res. Cent., P.O. Box 12619, Giza, Egypt.
2- Agric. Microbiology Dept., Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., P.O. Box 68, Hadayek Shubra 11241, Cairo, Egypt.

*Corresponding author: emadel21@yahoo.com

Received 3 October, 2019 Accepted November, 2019

ABSTRACT

A total of 89 endophytic bacterial cultures were isolated by two techniques from seven medicinal plants; Coriandrum sativum, Anethum graveolens, Pelargonium graveolens, Ocimum basilicum, Rosmarinus officinalis, Saliva officinalis and Origanum majorana. To address the biological activity of these isolates as endophytic bacteria, preliminary screening showed 44, 36, 28, 22, 82 isolates out of total one gave positive results for cellulase, pectinase, amylase activities, indole acetic acid (IAA) and gibberellic acid (GA3) production, respectively. The secondary screening twenty endophytic bacterial isolates were selected and examined for their antagonistic effect against four pathogenic fungi, antioxidants activity, total phenols, indole acetic acid, ammonia (NH3), siderophores production and nitrogenase activity. According to the last screening; six isolates were chosen because of their multi plant growth promoting (PGP) traits. They recorded a wide range of total flavonoids concentration in endophytic bacterial supernatant. The values of total flavonoids varied from 1.43 to 31.14 ppm. While the alkaloids production was detected by all tested isolates except isolate S14. Isolate B3 gave the maximum alkaloids concentration in its supernatant being 0.34 ppm. Four isolates are able to solubilize phosphate, low variations between tested isolates in phosphate solubilization ranged from 5.65 ppm by isolate RO14 to 3.85 ppm by isolate C8. All isolates showed negative result for hydrogen cyanide (HCN) production. Furthermore, the colonization of the six most efficient isolates inside the tissue of two medicinal plant Ocimum basilicum and Coriandrum sativum were performed by two techniques; 2,3,5-triphenyl tetrazolium chloride (TTC) stain and Transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Based on some morphological and biochemical characteristics of the most efficient isolates (RO10, RO14, S14, D6, C8 and B3); the six isolates were similar to three genera (Enterobacter sp., Aeromonas sp. and Bacillus sp.). Hypersensitivity test proved that all the six endophytic bacterial isolates are nonpathogenic bacteria. Where, these isolates gave negative symptoms of hypersensitivity reaction (HR) test on the pepper plants (Capsicum annuum) used as indicator plant.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, there is an increasing in consumption rate of fertilizers around the world, causes critical problems to environment. This excess using of chemical fertilizers can increase the accumulation of heavy metals in ground water, soil and plant body. Beside, Plants absorb this inorganic fertilizers from the soil which can enter the food chain then reached to human and animals. Thus, Agrochemical applications lead to soil, water and air pollution (Serpil, 2012). Great attention focuses to minimize the harmful effects of the classical application of agriculture, a new techniques based on microbial inoculation are now gaining more interest (Hassan, 2017).

The term of ‘Endophytic’ is a kind of microbes which resident in the intercellular or intracellular area of healthy plant tissues during their periods of life or the whole, without cause any visible symptoms or show harmful effects to plant hosts (Aly et al 2011). These microorganisms help their plants
hosts by producing a number of bioactive metabolites which presented protection and enhance the growth of their hosts (Sánchez-López et al 2017). The host plant provides endophytic bacteria with a rich habitat while endophytic bacteria supply nutrients to plants like soluble potassium, iron, and phosphate, fixed nitrogen and produced phytohormones (Sánchez-López et al 2017). So, these endophytic microbes are a great tool in strategy for sustainable agriculture because they can minimize the environmental pollution from chemical application of fertilizers and other inorganic pesticides (Li et al 2018). Medicinal plants through ancient ages until now are gaining a global attention, because traditional medicines are effective and easily available alternate to pharmaceuticals. Medicinal plants are used around the world as therapy for different diseases (Cushnie et al 2014). Endophytic bacteria colonize with medicinal plants offers progress survival strategies to improve plant growth, rise up resistance to abiotic and biotic stresses, facilitate nutrient uptake and consolidate systemic resistance and paly a great role as biocontrol agents for many plant diseases (Daffonchio et al 2015).

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Plant samples and bacterial endophytic isolation

Seven healthy green medicinal plants were collected from Giza government; Coriandrum sativum, Anethum graveolens, Pelargonium graveolens, Ocimum basilicum, Rosmarinus officinalis, Saliva officinalis and Origanum majorana. The plant roots and leaves were used to isolate the endophytic bacteria by followed two techniques.

2.2. Isolation of endophytic bacteria

The plant samples (1cm pieces) were washed by running tap water with two drops of tween 20 (as wetting agent) then surface sterilized by ethanol 70% for 2 min, followed by sodium hypochlorite 2% for 1 min. Finally, they were washed in sterile distilled water for 3 times and drying in sterilized filter paper under aseptic conditions (laminar air flow) the last washing distilled water was plated onto nutrient agar and potato dextrose agar media and then incubated at 28°-30°C for 2-5 days to confirm that the surface of plant pieces were effectively decontaminated. The plant surface sterilized plant samples (1 cm pieces) placed on nutrient agar medium, incubated at 28°-30°C for 5 days. While the second technique were carried out by macerated the surface sterilized plant in 10 ml of saline solution. Serial dilutions were performed till reached 10^3. Individual colonies were picked up, purified and microscopically examined for morphological characteristics. Isolates were maintained on the same media at 4°C. Sub-culturing of the purified isolates was monthly done.

2.3. Assessment of potential plant beneficial traits

2.3.1. Screening for enzymes activity

Endophytic bacterial isolates were screened for cellulase, pectinase and amylase activities by growing the each one individually on carboxymethylcellulose medium (Ray et al 2007), pectin screening agar medium (PSAM) (Raju and Divakar, 2013) and nutrient agar medium supplemented with 1% soluble starch (Fouda et al 2015) respectively. The appearance of clear halo around colonies indicated on enzymes production, the substrate solubization of enzyme was expressed as the following equation: solubilizing index= colony+ halo diameter/ colony diameter (mm).

2.3.2. Phytochemical screening in endophtytic bacterial culture

The extract culture filtrate was subjected to phytochemical screening for the determination of some metabolites like; indole acetic acid, gibberellins, antioxidants activity, phenols, alkaloids and flavonoids as follows.

2.3.2.1. Indole acetic acid (IAA) assay

The ability of endophytic isolates to produce indole acetic acid was qualitatively assayed by growing the tested isolates on nutrient agar medium supplemented by 1mM tryptophan for 3 days/28°-30°C. While the quantitative assay was performed in culture supernatant by the colorimetric technique using Salkowski reagent as described by Bric et al (1991).
2.3.2.2. Gibberellins determination

The total gibberellins content in extracts were determined spectrophotometrically according to the method of Udagwa and Kinoshita (1961). Gibberellic acid was used as a standard curve.

2.3.2.3. Antioxidant assay

The free radical scavenging DPPH assay was used to evaluate the antioxidant potential of endophytic bacterial extract. The inhibition percent of free radical formation (I%) was determined in one ml of cell free bacterial culture using standard method as described by Burits and Bucar (2000) and was calculated by the following formula

\[ I\% = \left( \frac{A_{\text{blank}} - A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{blank}}} \right) \times 100 \]

Where: \( A_{\text{blank}} \) is the absorbance of the control reaction (containing all reagents except the test compound) and \( A_{\text{sample}} \) is the absorbance of the test compound.

2.3.2.4. Total phenols

Determination of total phenols in cell free culture of selected endophytic bacterial isolates using a colorimetric method described by Yadav et al (2014). Gallic acid was used as a standard curve.

2.3.2.5. Alkaloids content

Total alkaloids were assayed in cell free culture were carried out according to Shamsa et al (2008) after the centrifugation at 10000 rpm for 20 min. under cooling condition (1 ml of cell free culture added to 5 ml phosphate buffer solution + 1ml of bromocresol green solution and shacked the mixture with 10 ml of chloroform in separator funnel) the absorbance of the complex in chloroform was measured at 578 nm. Atropine was used as a standard curve.

2.3.2.6. Flavonoids content

The total flavonoids content in free cell cultures of tested isolates were determined by spectrophotometry according to the method of Christel et al (2000). Quercetin equivalent was used as a standard curve. The content of flavonoids was expressed in milligram per milliliter of quercetin equivalent.

2.4. Screening for bio-control activity

2.4.1. Antifungal activity

The endophytic bacterial isolates were used to estimate their inhibition effect against four soil born pathogenic fungi (Fusarium oxysporium B27, Fusarium solani B99, Rhizoctonia solani Z7 and Sclerotium rolfsii B20) separately, using dual culture method (Skidmore and Dickinson, 1976) on potato dextrose plates. The pathogenic fungal cultures were obtained from plant protection department, agriculture research center, Giza, Egypt. Antifungal activity was calculated as % fungal growth reduction according to Vincent (1927) by the following formula \[ X= 100 - \left[ \frac{G2}{G1} \times 100 \right] \]

where, \( X \) = % of reduction in growth (\( G1 \) = growth of pathogenic fungus in control plates) (\( G2 \) = growth of pathogenic fungus in dual plates with bacterial isolates).

2.4.2. HCN production

The most efficient isolates were cultured individually in nutrient broth medium, supplemented with 4.4 g/l glycine to detect HCN production; the development of yellow to dark brown color after incubation period indicated HCN production (Bakker and Schippers, 1987).

2.5. Screening for nutrients availability

2.5.1. Ammonia production

The selected endophytic bacterial isolates were tested for their ability to produce ammonia using Nesseler’s reagent after the incubation period for isolates in peptone broth medium. Whereas the positive result observed in change of color to faint yellow (small amount of ammonia) deep yellow to brown (indicated maximum ammonia production) according to Singh et al (2014).

2.5.2. Siderophores production

The amount of siderophores was determined by modified CAS (chrome Azurol S) assay solution method (Alexander and Zuberer, 1991).

2.5.3. Nitrogenase activity

Nitrogenase activity was determined separately by the method of acetylene reduction technique according to standard method described by Dilworth (1966). Results were calculated as Nmole C2H2/100 ml/h.
2.5.4. Phosphate solubilization activity

The efficient endophytic bacterial isolates were examined individually for their potential to solubilize tricalcium phosphate on Pikovskaya's broth medium (Subba Rao, 1982). The amount of soluble phosphate was determined by spectrophotometry in culture filtrates according to Jackson (1967).

2.6. Localization of endophytic bacteria within plant tissue

2.6.1. Vital staining Technique using spermsphere model

Seed of two medicinal plants (Ocimum basilicum and Coriandrum sativum) were used to detect the colonization of six most efficient isolates within their root tissue by two methods. Ocimum basilicum (basil) and Coriandrum sativum (coriander) seeds were obtained from Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt and El-Korma Egyptian company for seeds, oils and chemicals, respectively. The seeds were washed under tap water in presence of two drops of tween 20 as wetting agent for 10 minutes and surface sterilization was done using ethanol alcohol for 30 second then rinsed thoroughly under aseptic condition in sterile distilled water following by soaking in 20% sodium hypochloride for 15 minutes. Finally washed three times in sterile distilled water and put them in a petri dish on a filter paper 10 minutes to dry until seed culture. Basil seeds were aseptically cultured in perti dish contain 30 ml of MS medium without any growth regulators (Murashige and Skoog, 1962). In the other hand coriander seeds germination was done on sterilized Jars contain 2.5 gram cotton wetted with 50 ml distilled water, every jar contain 5 seeds. After the five days of germination for basil and coriander seeds; spermsphere model was used as described by Thomas-Bauzon et al (1982) to detect the colonization. The most efficient isolates were cultured in 50 ml nutrient broth under shaking condition 200 rpm at 30°C for 48 h, after the incubation period 0.5 ml of bacterial culture (10^6 CFU/ml) individually was inoculated to the spermsphere model which contain the germinated seeds of medicinal plant, followed by an incubation period (5 days) to be sure that the colonization occurred. In the last day of the incubation period, the roots of basil and coriander in spermsphere model treated with 2,3,5 triphenyl tetrazolium chloride (2 ml TTC solution/sample) for 3 h to detect the colonization by change the root color to red.

2.6.2. Transmission electron microscope examination

Microscopic visualization using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed to observe the colonization of endophytic bacterial isolates in the roots (with red color after treated with TTC solution) by JEOL-JEM-2100 TEM.

2.7. Phenotypic characteristics of endophytic bacteria

Microscopic and biochemical characterization were performed to classify the bacterial isolates; gram reaction and endospore staining, motility, catalase, oxidase activity, colony shape, producing of diffusible pigment and fluorescent pigmentation on nutrient and king’s media.

2.8. Hypersensitivity test

HR test is used as a quick and useful determinative test to identified saprophytes from plant pathogens. The suspensions of endophytic bacteria were prepared individually in nutrient broth medium, for 24 h at 28-30°C and adjusted to 10^8 CFU/ml using a JASCO V-630 spectrophotometer. The bacterial suspension 200 µl was injected into the abaxial surface of the lower epidermis of Capsicum annuum leaves using a syringe without a needle. Every isolates suspension injected into three leaves as a replicates, while the control plant injected its leaves with distilled water. The treated plants were grown in a greenhouse under control condition (Wai et al 2015).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Isolation of endophytic bacteria

Endophytic microorganisms (bacteria and fungi) have been found in every plant species (Gustavo et al 2016). 89 endophytic bacterial isolates were isolated by followed two techniques from surface sterilized roots of seven medicinal plants, the selected plants were found to harbor a variety of endophytic bacteria. The distribution percentages of collected bacterial isolates associated with the roots of the tested plants was given in Fig. (1). The
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highest percentage of collected isolates being 21.34% followed by 19.1% and 17.97% were detected from the roots of *Rosmarinus officinalis*, *Pelargonium graveolens* and *Ocimum basilicum*, respectively. The pure single isolated colonies were picked up on the basis of their cultural and morphological characteristics. Endophytic bacterial isolates were belonged to two bacterial groups, 53 isolates long rods whereas 36 isolates short rods. The variety of endophytes within the tissue based on the distribution of rhizospheric PGPB in nature according to Timmusk et al (2011). The plant endo-rhizosphere niche comprises a diverse population of bacterial taxa (endophytic bacteria) which may detrimental or beneficial to the associated plant (Ullah et al 2018).

3.2. Preliminary screening of PGP traits for endophytic isolates

All endophytic bacteria isolated from tested plants were screened for multi plant growth promoting traits in order to obtain promising endophytic bacteria

3.2.1. Enzymatic activity

Endophytic bacteria secreted extracellular enzymes as a tool to penetrate and colonize inside the tissue of host plant. In the present study all the 89 endophytic bacterial isolates were screened for cellulase, pectinase and amylase activities. The plates which the colonies showed clear zone around it was selected as a producer for extracellular enzymes Fig. (2), then the enzymes activity was calculated and represented as solubilizing index. Out of 89 bacterial isolates, 44 isolates (31 long rods- 13 short rods) can hydrolysis the CMC, 36 isolates (26 long rods- 10 short rods) can produce pectinases enzymes, also 28 isolates (24 long rods- 4 short rods) gave positive result for starch solubilization. The result is similar to Egamberdieva et al (2017) who reported that endophytic bacteria isolated from the medicinal plants *Ferula songorica, Hypericum perforatum*, and *Ziziphra capta* could secrete different hydrolytic enzymes including cellulase, protease, and amylase. Naveed et al (2014) demonstrated that endophytic bacteria may modify the cell wall of the plant by secreting cellulolytic enzymes (endoglucanase, cellulases and pectinases), which facilitate the entry and spread of bacteria in plant. The lytic enzymes activities were recorded according to their solubilizing index into three ranks as demonstrated in Fig. (2 & 3).

3.2.2. Phytohormones production

Ullah et al (2018) reported that, endophytic bacteria can promote plant health through synthesizing more than one of phytohormones auxins, gibberellins and cytokinins or by regulation the internal hormone levels in the host plant body leading to enhance plant growth by stimulate cell enlargement, differentiation and cell division. All the 89 endophytic bacterial isolates were screened for their ability to produce IAA qualitatively and determination the amount of GA3. Twenty two isolates produced IAA, while 82 isolates produced GA3. The ability of IAA production for positive isolates was varied from one isolate to another and expressed in three categories according to their efficacy, six short rods isolates gave the high potent of IAA, namely C4, C5, RO6, RO9, S2 and S14. Out of eighty two endophytic bacterial isolates produced GA3 in great variation which ranged from less than 12 to 22 ppm. From the preliminary screening, the highest twenty potent endophytic bacteria were selected for further studies.

3.3. Secondary screening of endophytic as biocontrol agent

The secondary screening was carried out on twenty endophytic bacterial isolates which have the high potential of lytic enzymes, IAA and GA3 production. Endophytic bacteria offer a beneficial effect on their host plant as a biocontrol agent to prevent pathogenic to infect the plant. According to initial screening, the selected isolates showed multiple PGP traits and were assessment of their antagonistic effect against 4 pathogenic fungi (*Fusarium oxysporium* B27, *Fusarium solani* B99, *Rhizoctonia solani* Z7 and *Sclerotium rolfsii* B20), results showed that isolates no. B3, S7, S14, D6 and C8 give the highest % of growth reduction being 43.90 % for isolates B3 & S14 isolate and 39.02 % for isolates S7, D6, C8 against *Fusarium oxysporium* B27 (Table 1). Isolate S14 and D2 recorded the highest percentage of growth reduction against *Fusarium solani* B99 being 46.94% and 43.90%, respectively (Table 1). One isolate (S14) among 20 tested bacterial isolates gave antagonistic effect against *Rhizoctonia solani* Z7 being 28.04% growth reduction (Table 1). Isolates B3, D6 and RO10 show the greatest percentage of growth reduction against *Sclerotium rolfsii* B20 being 28.04%, 26.21% and 23.77, respectively (Table 1). Diseases caused by fungal, viral, bacteria, insects and nematodes can be reduced by inoculation with endophytes (Berg and Hallmann, 2006).
Fig. 1. The distribution percentage of endophytic bacterial cultures isolated from the roots of seven medicinal plants

Fig. 2. Efficacy of hydrolysis enzymes produced by endophytic bacterial isolates on pectin, CMC and starch a) Pectin, b) CMC, c) starch

Fig. 3. Ranking of solubilizing index of extracellular enzymes produced by endophytic bacteria isolated from some medicinal plants
Table 1. Antagonistic effect of endophytic bacterial isolates against four soil borne pathogenic fungi as well as ammonia and siderophores production

| Morphological shape | Isolate's code | Antagonistic effect | NH$_3$ production | Amount of siderophore *(mMDFOM)* |
|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
|                     |                | *Fusarium oxysporium* | *Fusarium solani* | *Rhizoctonia solani* | *Sclerotium rolfsii* | |
|                     |                | % growth reduction   | % growth reduction| % growth reduction | % growth reduction | |
| Long rods           |                |                     |                   |                   |                   | |
| C3                  | -              | -                   | -                 | -                 | -                 | |
| C8                  | 39.02±0.3      | 40.24±0.7           | -                 | -                 | -                 | |
| D2                  | 34.75±0.3      | 43.90±0.7           | -                 | 26.21±0.5         | +++               | 59±1.9           |
| D6                  | 39.02±0.7      | -                   | -                 | 26.21±0.3         | +++               | 49±0.2           |
| D9                  | 34.14±0.7      | -                   | -                 | -                 | +                 | -                |
| R3                  | -              | -                   | -                 | -                 | +                 | -                |
| R8                  | -              | -                   | -                 | -                 | +                 | -                |
| R11                 | -              | -                   | -                 | -                 | +                 | -                |
| R15                 | -              | -                   | -                 | -                 | +++               | 67±0.3           |
| B3                  | 43.90±0.5      | -                   | 28.04±0.4         | +++               | 60±0.3           |
| B11                 | 26.82±0.6      | -                   | 21.34±0.3         | +++               | 68±0.2           |
| M3                  | -              | 40.85±0.6           | 28.04±0.3         | +++               | 66±0.2           |
| M4                  | 31.70±0.7      | -                   | -                 | +++               | 61±0.2           |
| Short rods          |                |                     |                   |                   |                   | |
| RO3                 | -              | -                   | -                 | -                 | -                 | |
| RO6                 | 37.8±0.7       | 19.5±0.5            | -                 | -                 | -                 | |
| RO10                | 34.14±0.7      | -                   | 23.77±0.8         | +++               | 49±0.2           |
| RO14                | 39.02±0.3      | -                   | 23.16±0.7         | +++               | 66±0.3           |
| S2                  | -              | -                   | -                 | -                 | -                 | |
| S7                  | 39.02±0.3      | 22.56±0.3           | -                 | -                 | +++               | 38±0.3           |
| S14                 | 43.90±0.7      | 46.94±0.5           | 28.04±0.7         | 28.65±0.3         | +++               | 67±0.2           |

* mMDFOM = mM deferoxamine mesylate

To estimate the endosymbiotic of the tested endophytes bacterial isolates, data presented in Table (2) recorded that 15% of the isolates (B3, M3 and M4) were superior in antioxidant activity which ranged between 18.53% - 64.50%. Data in Table (2) revealed that isolate D6 was found to have potential for use as antioxidant, since it produced highest figure of phenols being 22.38 ppm gallic acid equivalent. As regards to IAA production, data represented that the high potent were produced by 15% of the isolates (RO6, S2, S14) and ranged between 6.37 and 8.84 ppm, which recommended to use it in order to stimulate root formation and cell division in normal and harsh environmental condition. Yadav et al (2014) found that a high concentration of phenols produced from chaetomium sp. (60.13 ± 0.41 mg gallic acid equivalent) followed by Aspergillus niger. As well as the 36% of fungal extracts showed significant antioxidant activity ranged from 50% to 80%. Zhou and Chen (2006) reported that four endophytic fungi isolates isolated from a medicinal plant (Dioscorea opposite), gave strong antioxidant activity. Also Spaepen et al (2007) demonstrated that many endophytic bacteria species including Serratia sp., Enterobacter sp., Azotobacter sp. and Klebsiella produced IAA.

All the 20 selected isolates gave positive result for NH$_3$ production except isolates RO3 and S2 (Table 1). C8, D6, R15, RO14 and S7 isolates recorded the highest efficient for NH$_3$ production (+++++). Ullah et al (2018) described that the endophytic bacteria, which has the ability to produced ammonia may able to enhancing the plant growth as a result of fix nitrogen atmosphere to ammonia, as well as ammonia is available nutrient source for plant growth. Endophytic bacteria can breakdown the complex nitrogenous materials and convert it into ammonia an available nitrogen source. In addition formation of ammonia changes the conditions to alkaline, which have a great role to suppress the pathogenic fungi growth according to Sansanwal et al (2018). For siderophores production, fourteen isolates out of the 20 selected...
isolates produced different amount of siderophores ranged from 38- 67.5 mM deferoxamine mesylate (mMDFOM). The isolates C8, R15, B11, S14 and M3 recorded the highest values of siderophore production ranges from 66-68 mMDFOM. The results are in similar to the study done by Ramanuj and Shelat (2018) reported that the ability of bacterial endophytic isolated from medicinal plants to produce siderophore. Four isolates (RO14, C8, R15 and M3) out of the 20 selected isolates had nitrogenase activity giving 12, 6, 4 and 4 NmoleC$_2$H$_4$/100ml/h, respectively (Table 2). Li et al (2010) found that strains ST22 (Aspergillus

3.4. Screening for phytochemical compound

Endophytic microorganisms are excellent sources of novel bioactive compounds flavonoids, alkaloids and other bioactive compound. According to the previous PGP activities, six endophytic bacterial isolates (RO10, RO14, S14, D6, C8 and B3) were selected because of their efficiently for PGP activities. The selected endophytic isolates were tested for producing flavonoids, alkaloids, hydrogen cyanide and phosphate solubilization. There was a wide range of total flavonoids concentration in endophytic bacterial supernatant as shown in Table 2. The values varied from 1.43 to 31.14 ppm. The highest concentration of flavonoids was observed in cell free culture of isolates RO14 followed by isolate B3, whereas D6 recorded the lowest value. While the alkaloids production was detected by all the tested isolates except isolate S14. Isolate B3 gave the maximum alkaloids concentration in its supernatant being 0.34 ppm. Min et al (2010) found that strains ST22 (Aspergillus

### Table 2. Quantity assessment of antioxidant, total phenols, indole acetic acid production and nitrogenase activity by the selective endophytic bacterial isolates

| Morphological shape | Isolate's code | %Antioxidant activity | Total phenols (ppm) | IAA (ppm) | Nitrogenase Nmole C$_2$H$_4$/100 ml$^{-1}$h$^{-1}$ |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Long rods           | C3             | 49.22±0.2             | 10.83±0.2           | -         | 6±0.2                                         |
|                     | C8             | 57.69±1.0             | 9.38±0.3            | 0.71±0.08 | -                                             |
|                     | D2             | 55.62±0.3             | 22.38±0.3           | -         | -                                             |
|                     | D6             | 22.05±0.2             | -                   | -         | -                                             |
|                     | R3             | 18.53±0.3             | -                   | -         | -                                             |
|                     | R8             | -                     | -                   | -         | -                                             |
|                     | R11            | 19.57±0.3             | -                   | -         | -                                             |
|                     | R15            | 41.03±0.2             | 10.64±0.2           | -         | 4±0.15                                        |
|                     | B3             | 64.50±0.2             | 8.25±0.3            | -         | -                                             |
|                     | B11            | 35.30±0.2             | 7.95±0.3            | -         | -                                             |
|                     | M3             | 59.02±0.3             | 12.51±0.3           | 0.32±0.05 | 4±0.16                                        |
|                     | M4             | 57.97±0.2             | 8.25±0.3            | 0.29±0.03 | -                                             |
| Short rods          | RO3            | -                     | -                   | -         | -                                             |
|                     | RO6            | 38.24±0.3             | 5.45±0.2            | 7.69±0.15 | -                                             |
|                     | RO10           | 44.04±0.2             | -                   | 3.37±0.03 | -                                             |
|                     | RO14           | 30.90±0.3             | 6.85±0.2            | 2.74±0.08 | 12±0.2                                        |
|                     | S2             | -                     | -                   | 6.37±0.16 | -                                             |
|                     | S7             | 31.90±0.3             | 3.51±0.1            | -         | -                                             |
|                     | S14            | 26.48±0.2             | 7.39±0.2            | 8.84±0.18 | -                                             |
Aspergillus oryzae

nigulans) and SX (Aspergillus oryzae) isolated from Ginkgo biloba L. twigs were able to produce flavonoids and phenolic compound. Phosphorus plays an important role in many plant processes (photosynthesis, nucleic acid synthesis, energy generation, cell signaling and respiration (Vance et al 2003). Four isolates out of the six most efficient isolates are able to solubilize phosphate. Table (3) show low variations between tested isolates in phosphate solubilization ranged from 5.65 ppm by isolate RO14 to 3.85 ppm by isolate C8. As a result, inoculation with the six most efficient isolates can increase phosphorus uptake by the plants. Phosphate solubilization technique revealed that isolates D6 and B3 can’t solubilize insoluble phosphate. Bacterial endophytes are able to promote plant growth indirectly through suppressive the growth of phytopathogens by secreting anti-microbial substance as HCN. The tested isolates gave no change in the filter paper color, so the six isolates showed negative result for HCN production (Table 3).

3.5. Colonization of endophytic inside the root tissue

3.5.1. TTC stain technique

2,3,5-triphenyl tetrazolium chloride (TTC) is colorless in the oxidized form and red when reduced by microorganisms, due to formation of formazan (triphenyl formazan (TPF) through enzymatic action (Vanerli et al 1999). The six most efficient isolates (RO10, RO14, S14, D6, C8 and B3) were tested on basil and coriander plants individually to detect the colonization of the tested bacteria in plant roots by using spermsphere model. All the six isolates gave positive result with basil and coriander plants, which appeared in change of roots color to red comparing to control which indicated that the tested bacteria colonized inside the plant root tissues of basil and coriander plants, whereas the control without bacteria showed no change of root tissue (Fig. 4). The results of this study is in line with Yachana and Subramanian (2012) studied the colonization of Paddy roots association bacteria in vivo by using TTC stain to visualize the bacteria in root cortex region.

3.5.2. Transmission electron microscope examination (TEM)

Endophytic bacteria always prefer to occupy intercellular spaces in the host plant, because these areas have a plenty amount of carbohydrates, inorganic nutrients and amino acids. To address this point, images obtained by TEM showed the endophytic bacteria on surface and colonize intercellular space and intracellular the cortex tissue of two medicinal plants (basil and coriander) Fig. (5). Colonization by endophytes can be local at tissue level or systematic in the plant body; in the early stages of endophytes colonization were first observed in root hairs as follow in the root cortex (Rangjaroen et al 2017). So, this investigation confirmed the endophytic nature of tested bacterial isolates.

3.6. Phenotypic characteristics

Based on some morphological and biochemical characteristics of the most efficient isolates (RO10, RO14, S14, D6, C8 and B3); the six isolates were similar to three genera (Enterobacter sp., Aeromonas sp. and Bacillus sp.). They are Aeromonas sp. RO10, Aeromonas sp. RO14, Enterobacter sp. S14, Bacillus sp. D6, Bacillus sp. C8 and Bacillus sp. B3). A large number of endophytic bacteria related to genus Enterobacter sp., Pseudomonas sp., Klebsiella sp., Alcaligenes sp., Bacillus sp. and Serratia have been found to enhance plant growth (Malfanova et al 2013).

3.7. Hypersensitivity test

Hypersensitivity test proved that all the six endophytic bacterial isolates (RO10, RO14, S14, D6, C8 and B3) are nonpathogenic bacteria. Where, these isolates gave negative symptoms of hypersensitivity reaction (HR) test on the pepper plants (Capsicum annuum) used as indicator plant. When the bacterial suspension was infiltrated into the abaxial surface of the lower epidermis of pepper plant leaves Fig. (6). Our results are similar to a study done by Wai et al (2015) on Capsicum sp. peppers in Korea. Stall et al (2009) observed that all phytopathogenic bacteria could produce a hypersensitive reaction in leaves mesophyll tissue, while the Saprophytes bacteria do not present this reaction. Hypersensitive test could recognize by necrosis led to change in the color of leaves in injection site from dark green to yellow then turned to light black within 24 hours. The further study was performed to screen these isolates according to their efficiency for induced systemic resistance and identified genetically of the efficient isolates.
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Table 3. Hydrogen cyanide, phosphate solubilization and bioactive compounds produced by endophytic bacterial isolates

| Morphological shape | Isolate’s code | HCN (ppm) | Soluble phosphate (ppm) | Flavonoids (ppm) | Alkaloids (ppm) |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Long rods           | C8             | -         | 3.85±0.2                | 5.68±0.19       | 0.23±0.02       |
|                     | D6             | -         | -                       | 1.43±0.07       | 0.22±0.02       |
|                     | B3             | -         | -                       | 16.10±0.3       | 0.34±0.02       |
| Short rods          | RO10           | -         | 5.05±0.2                | 10.09±0.2       | 0.23±0.02       |
|                     | RO14           | -         | 5.65±0.2                | 31.14±0.3       | 0.24±0.01       |
|                     | S14            | -         | 4.35±0.2                | 9.80±0.3        | -               |

Fig. 4. Coriander root plant treated with TTC stain (a & c control) (b & d inoculated roots)

Fig. 5. Images by transmission electron microscope of Coriander seedling root tissue stained by TTC stain after four days of inoculation showing the distribution of bacterial cells in cortical region. a) on surface, b) intercellular space and d) intracellular.
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4. CONCLUSION

The present study represents the distribution and bioactivity of endophytic bacteria associated with some medicinal plants. The selected endophytic bacteria have the potential to colonize plant root and interact beneficially with the host plant. The selected bacteria produced different hydrolytic enzymes (cellulase, pectinase and amylase), which may play an important role in initial plant infection. As well as, they showed various abilities related to plant growth promoting including; solubilization of phosphate, production of phytochemical substance, ammonia, siderophores production, nitrogen fixation and were able to inhibit some pathogenic fungi. Tested bacteria were visualized using TEM inside its natural niche plant tissue to confirm their potentiality of colonization. These endophytic bacterial isolates were classified based on their morphological and biochemical characteristics to *Aeromonas* sp. RO10, *Aeromonas* sp. RO14, *Enterobacter* sp. S14, *Bacillus* sp. D6, *Bacillus* sp. C8 and *Bacillus* sp. B3, which are saprophytic bacteria.
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الموجز

تم عزل 89 عزلة بكتيرية داخليه بطرقين مختلفين من سبع نباتات طبية وهي: الكزبرة، الريحان، الزنجبيل، الزLabour والشبانة، البردقوش، كتبيرا داخليا، تم عمل اختبارات أولاً لهذه العزلات بإجراء بعض الاختبارات والتي أوضحها (44، 36، 29، 37)

82 عزلة من المجموع الكلي للعزلات تعطي نتيجة

موجبة كلاً من نشاط السليوليز والبكتيناز والأميليز. وإنتاج الأندول أسيتك أسيد والبيروكسيديك أسيد على التوالي، وتم إختيار أكفأ عشرون عزلة بكتيرية ليعتمد عليهم الاختبار الثاني والذي يضمن اختبار القدرة

العدوى ضد أربع فطريات مرضية

لتلك العزلات. تم القيام بدراسة الأستيطان للعزلات داخل النسيج النباتي للعشر من النباتات

الطبيعية، وتم استخدام كل عزلة فردًا وحيدًا للفحص

على بعض الصفات المورفولوجية والفيزيولوجية لتلك العزلات (R10، RO14، S14، D6، C8، B3).

Enterobacter sp., Aeromonas sp. و Bacillus sp.

أثبتت الحساسية أن كل العزلات البكتيرية الداخليه هي

بكتيريا غير مرضية، حيث أظهرت نتيجة سالبة ولم

تظهر علاجات مرضية على نباتات الفلفل المستخدم

كانت كشف.

الكلمات الدالة: البكتيريا الداخلية، نباتات طبية، الميكروسكوب الإلكتروني، صبغة TTC، PGP، استطلاع الميكروبات الداخلية، عوامل PGP
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