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Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1: Analysis of period and carryover effects

Output from mixed effects analysis assessing period and carryover effects. Coef represents the coefficient (difference in HbA1c (mmol/mol) between the category and reference category (‘ref’)), 95% CI is the corresponding 95% confidence interval, z is the z statistic and p is the value, from a mixed effects model with drug, period, and a carryover variable (i.e. drug in previous period) as fixed effects, participant as a random effect, and HbA1c as the outcome. The global p is the overall p value for comparing across all 3 groups from the same model.

|               | Coef   | 95% CI   | z      | p     | Global p |
|---------------|--------|----------|--------|-------|----------|
| **Period**    |        |          |        |       |          |
| (ref=1)       |        |          |        |       |          |
| 2             | -1.38  | -2.54, -0.23 | -2.35 | 0.02  |          |
| 3             | -0.28  | -1.46, 0.90 | -0.47 | 0.6   |          |
| **Carryover** |        |          |        |       |          |
| (ref=A)       |        |          |        |       |          |
| B             | 0.98   | -0.32, 2.29 | 1.47  | 0.14  |          |
| C             | 0.13   | -1.19, 1.44 | 0.19  | 0.9   |          |

Supplementary Table 2: Overall differences in on-treatment characteristics split by drug.

Differences in on-treatment characteristics for all participants trying therapy (excluding withdrawals). Data presented as mean or n (%) plus 95% confidence intervals in square brackets. P values obtained by mixed effects models with drug (3 level factor) and period (3 level factor) as fixed effects and participant ID as the random effect.

|                | Pioglitazone | Sitagliptin | Canagliflozin | p       |
|----------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|
| **HbA1c (mmol/mol)** | 59.6 [58.5, 60.7] n=421 | 60.0 [59.0, 61.1] n=391 | 60.6 [59.7, 61.6] n=408 | 0.2     |
| **Discontinued therapy within 12 weeks** | 26/469 (5.5% [3.7, 8.0]) | 44/474 (9.3% [6.8, 12.3]) | 41/474 (8.6% [6.3, 11.6]) | 0.05    |
| **Mean number of side effects** | 1.49 [1.36, 1.62] n=469 | 1.19 [1.06, 1.32] n=474 | 1.58 [1.43, 1.72] n=474 | 5 x 10^-6 |
| **Weight (kg)** | 95.0 [93.3, 96.7] n=462 | 93.3 [91.6, 95.0] N=467 | 91.1 [89.4, 92.8] n=470 | 8 x 10^-17 |


### Supplementary Table 3: Participant Characteristics Hypothesis 1

Comparison of characteristics between participants with and without valid HbA1cs eligible for hypothesis 1. Data presented as mean +/-SD (with p value assessed using t tests) or n(%) (with p value assessed using chi-squared tests). *HbA1c heavily skewed at baseline due to lower limit of inclusion criteria so median and inter-quartile range presented an p value calculated by Mann-Whitney.

| Variable                         | Eligible for Hypothesis 1 (n=356) | Not eligible for hypothesis 1 (n=169) | p     |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|
| Male                             | 251 (73.3%)                       | 122 (72.2%)                          | 0.07  |
| Age at screening (y)             | 62.5 +/- 9.1                      | 60.0 +/- 10.2                        | 0.03  |
| Age at diagnosis (y)             | 53.3 +/- 8.9                      | 52.6 +/- 9.4                         | 0.4   |
| Treatment:                       |                                   |                                      |       |
| Metformin only                   | 162 (45.5%)                       | 92 (54.4%)                           | 0.056 |
| Metformin + SU                   | 194 (54.5%)                       | 77 (45.6%)                           |       |
| Ethnicity:                       |                                   |                                      |       |
| White                            | 339 (95.2%)                       | 156 (92.3%)                          | 0.2   |
| Mixed                            | 0 (0%)                            | 2 (1.2%)                             |       |
| Asian                            | 8 (2.3%)                          | 8 (4.7%)                             |       |
| Black                            | 2 (0.6%)                          | 1 (0.6%)                             |       |
| Other                            | 4 (1.1%)                          | 0                                    |       |
| Not Stated                       | 3 (0.8%)                          | 2 (1.2%)                             |       |
| BMI (kg/m²)                      | 31.8 +/- 5.3                      | 31.5 +/- 5.9                         | 0.7   |
| Obese (BMI>30) n (%)             | 215 (60.4%)                       | 92 (54.4%)                           | 0.2   |
| eGFR (mls/min/1.73m²)            | 89.2 +/- 13.6                     | 90.8 +/- 14.2                        | 0.2   |
| eGFR>90 n(%)                     | 91 (53.9%)                        | 184 (51.7%)                          |       |
| HbA1c at screening visit (mmol/mol)* | 69 (63, 77)          | 70 (64, 80)                          | 0.04  |
Supplementary Table 4: Participant Characteristics split by BMI strata

Comparison of baseline characteristics between participants split by BMU strata (BMI<30 and BMI>30) eligible for hypothesis 1. Data presented as mean +/-SD (with p value assessed using t tests) or n(%) (with p value assessed using Chi-squared tests). *Median and IQR presented for HbA1c (and p value assessed using Mann Whitney) as with other baseline analyses.

| Variable                      | BMI<30 n=141 | BMI>30 n=215 | p     |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| Male                          | 104 (74%)    | 157 (73%)    | 0.8   |
| Age at screening (y)          | 64.2 +/- 8.7 | 61.5 +/- 9.2 | 0.006 |
| Age at diagnosis (y)          | 54.1 +/- 9.4 | 52.7 +/- 8.6 | 0.15  |
| Treatment:                    |              |              |       |
| Metformin only                | 74 (52%)     | 88 (41%)     | 0.03  |
| Metformin + SU                | 67 (48%)     | 127 (59%)    |       |
| Ethnicity:                    |              |              |       |
| White                         | 131 (92.9%)  | 208 (96.7%)  | 0.5   |
| Mixed                         | 0            | 0            |       |
| Asian                         | 5 (3.6%)     | 3 (1.4%)     |       |
| Black                         | 1 (0.7%)     | 1 (0.5%)     |       |
| Other                         | 2 (1.4%)     | 2 (0.9%)     |       |
| Not Stated                    | 2 (1.4%)     | 1 (0.5%)     |       |
| eGFR (mls/min/1.73m²)         | 87.9 +/-12.7 | 90.0 +/-14.1 | 0.14  |
| HbA1c at screening visit (mmol/mol)* | 66 (63, 74) | 70 (64, 78) | 0.006 |

Supplementary Table 5: Primary Analysis Hypothesis 1 full mixed effects model

Coef is the regression coefficient, 95% CI is the 95% confidence interval around the coefficient, z is the z statistic, and p is the p value from a mixed effect model with HbA1c as the outcome, participant as the random effect, and drug, period, BMI strata and drug*BMI strata interaction as fixed effects. The drug*BMI strata interaction represents the effect size of interest.

|                      | Coef  | 95% CI      | z   | p   |
|----------------------|-------|-------------|-----|-----|
| Drug (ref=Pioglitazone) |       |             |     |     |
| Sitagliptin          | -1.46 | -2.94, 0.02 | -1.9| 0.05|
| Period (ref=1)       |       |             |     |     |
| 2                    | -1.50 | -2.77, -0.22| -2.30| 0.02|
| 3                    | -0.07 | -1.32, 1.18 | -0.11| 0.9 |
| BMI>30kg/m²           | -0.68 | -2.93, 1.57 | -0.6 | 0.6 |
| Sitagliptin*BMI>30 interaction | 2.88 | 0.98, 4.79 | 3.0 | 0.003 |
**Supplementary Table 6: Participant Characteristics Hypothesis 2**

Comparison of characteristics between participants with and without valid HbA1cs eligible for hypothesis 2. Data presented as mean +/- SD (with p value assessed by t tests) or n(%) (with p value assessed by Chi-squared test). *HbA1c heavily skewed at baseline due to lower limit of inclusion criteria so median and inter-quartile range presented an p value calculated by Mann-Whitney.

| Variable                               | Eligible for Hypothesis 1 (n=342) | Not eligible for hypothesis 1 (n=183) | p   |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----|
| Male                                   | 252 (73.7%)                       | 131 (71.6%)                           | 0.3 |
| Age at screening (y)                   | 62.3 +/- 9.4                      | 61.2 +/- 9.7                          | 0.2 |
| Age at diagnosis (y)                   | 53.2 +/- 9.1                      | 52.7 +/- 9.1                          | 0.6 |
| Treatment:                             |                                   |                                       |     |
| Metformin only                         | 162 (47.4%)                       | 92 (50.3%)                            | 0.4 |
| Metformin + SU                         | 180 (52.6%)                       | 91 (49.7%)                            |     |
| Ethnicity:                             |                                   |                                       |     |
| White                                  | 326 (95.3%)                       | 169 (92.4%)                           | 0.2 |
| Mixed                                  | 0                                 | 2 (1.1%)                              |     |
| Asian                                  | 8 (2.3%)                          | 8 (4.4%)                              |     |
| Black                                  | 1 (0.3%)                          | 2 (1.1%)                              |     |
| Other                                  | 4 (1.1%)                          | 0                                     |     |
| Not Stated                             | 5 (1.0%)                          | 2 (1.1%)                              |     |
| BMI (kg/m²)                            | 31.7 +/- 5.5                      | 31.6 +/- 5.7                          | 0.7 |
| Obese (BMI>30) n (%)                   | 205 (59.9%)                       | 102 (55.7%)                           | 0.9 |
| eGFR (mls/min/1.73m²)                  | 89.7 +/- 13.9                     | 89.8 +/- 13.5                         | 0.9 |
| eGFR>90 n(%)                           | 179 (53.3%)                       | 96 (52.5%)                            | 0.98|
| HbA1c at screening visit (mmol/mol)*   | 69 (63, 77)                       | 70 (64, 79)                           | 0.3 |
**Supplementary Table 7: Participant Characteristics split by eGFR strata**

Comparison of baseline characteristics between participants split by eGFR strata (eGFR 60-90 and eGFR>90) eligible for hypothesis 2. Data presented as mean +/-SD (with p value assessed by t tests) or n(%) (with p value assessed by Chi-squared test). *Median and IQR presented for HbA1c (and p value assessed using Mann Whitney) as with other baseline analyses.

| Variable                        | eGFR 60-90 | eGFR >90 | p     |
|---------------------------------|------------|----------|-------|
| Male                            | 127 (77.9%)| 125 (69.8%)| 0.09  |
| Age at screening (y)            | 67.2 +/- 7.4| 57.8 +/- 8.7| 2 x 10^{-23} |
| Age at diagnosis (y)            | 56.9 +/- 7.7| 49.8 +/- 8.9| 7 x 10^{-14} |
| Treatment:                      |            |          |       |
| Metformin only                  | 70 (43%)   | 92 (51%) | 0.12  |
| Metformin + SU                  | 93 (57%)   | 87 (49%) |       |
| Ethnicity:                      |            |          |       |
| White                           | 160 (98.2%)| 166 (92.7%)| 0.16  |
| Mixed                           | 0          | 0        |       |
| Asian                           | 3 (1.8%)   | 5 (2.8%) |       |
| Black                           | 0          | 1 (0.6%) |       |
| Other                           | 0          | 4 (2.2%) |       |
| Not Stated                      | 0          | 3 (1.7%) |       |
| BMI (kg/m^2)                    | 31.2 +/- 4.6| 32.2 +/- 5.9| 0.09  |
| HbA1c at screening visit (mmol/mol)* | 68 (62, 77) | 70 (64, 78) | 0.053 |

**Supplementary Table 8: Primary Analysis Hypothesis 2 full mixed effects model**

Coef is the regression coefficient, 95% CI is the 95% confidence interval around the coefficient, z is the z statistic, and p is the p value from a mixed effect model with HbA1c as the outcome, participant as the random effect, and drug, period, eGFR stratum and drug*eGFR strata interaction as fixed effects. The drug*eGFR strata interaction represents the effect size of interest.

|               | Coef  | 95% CI      | z     | P     |
|---------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|
| Drug (ref=Sitagliptin) |       |             |       |       |
| Canagliflozin  | 1.79  | 0.55, 3.03  | 2.8   | 0.005 |
| Period (ref=1) |       |             |       |       |
| 2              | -1.16 | -2.33, 0.02 | -1.9  | 0.053 |
| 3              | -0.15 | -1.33, 1.02 | -0.3  | 0.8   |
| eGFR >90       | 1.69  | -0.41, 3.79 | 1.6   | 0.12  |
| Canagliflozin*eGFR>90 interaction | -2.90 | -4.61, -1.19 | -3.3  | 0.001 |
**Supplementary Table 9: Sensitivity analysis 1.** Coefficients (and their associated p values) from mixed effects models for each of the drug comparisons for hypothesis 1 and hypothesis 2 adjusted for study epoch (before/after inclusion criteria change to allow metformin only as well as metformin plus SU). Epoch coefficient represents the difference in HbA1c before and after the change in inclusion criteria. Effect size represents the drug*strata interaction from mixed effects models.

| Hypothesis (strata) | Effect size (95% CI) (p value) | Main primary analysis effect size (95% CI) | Epoch adjusted analysis effect size (95% CI) |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Pio v Sit (BMI strata) | -2.30 [-5.01, 0.41] (p=0.10) | 2.88 [0.98, 4.79] | 2.89 [0.98, 4.79] |
| Sit v Can (eGFR strata) | -0.87 [-3.47, 1.72] (p=0.5) | -2.90 [-4.61, -1.19] | -2.90 [-4.61, -1.19] |

**Supplementary Table 10: Sensitivity analysis 2 – comparison of the main effect sizes from the main primary analysis with two sensitivity analyses: a) including only those who were on therapy for at least 15 weeks and b) carrying out adjustment for differences in period intervals between HbA1c measurements. Effect size (and 95% confidence intervals and p values) represents the drug*strata interaction from mixed effects models.**

| Hypothesis (strata) | Main primary analysis: Effect size (95% CI) (p) | a) Sensitivity analysis: Effect size (95% CI) (p) | b) Sensitivity analysis: Effect size (95% CI) (p) |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Pio v Sit (BMI strata) | 2.88 [0.98, 4.79]; p=0.003; n=356 | 2.74 [0.73, 4.76]; p=0.008; n=323 | 2.62 [0.77, 4.46]; p=0.005; n=356 |
| Sit v Can (eGFR strata) | -2.90 [-4.61, -1.19]; p=0.001; n=342 | -3.13 [-4.96, -1.31]; p=0.001; n=307 | -2.87 [-4.58, -1.16]; p=0.001; n=342 |
Supplementary Table 11: Sensitivity analysis 3. Results from mixed effects models of an analysis examining the impact of being on the study drug for >18 weeks (protocol change in light of COVID-19 pandemic). Column 2 is the coefficient (and its corresponding 95% confidence interval and p value) from this mixed effects model which represents the difference in HbA1c between those who had >18 weeks between study visits and those who did not. Effect size represents the drug*strata interaction from mixed effects models.

| Comparison                  | “>18 weeks” coef in model [95% CI]; p value | Main primary analysis effect size [95% CI] | “>18wk” adjusted sensitivity analysis effect size [95% CI] |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Hypothesis 1 (BMI strata)   | Pio v Sit                                  |                                           |                                                         |
| -0.84 [-4.56, 2.87]; p=0.7 | 2.88 [0.98, 4.79]                          | 2.90 [1.00, 4.81]                         |
| Hypothesis 2 (eGFR strata)  | Sit v Can                                  |                                           |                                                         |
| 0.72 [-2.99, 4.43]; p=0.7  | -2.90 [-4.61, -1.19]                       | -2.86 [-4.58, -1.14]                      |

Supplementary Table 12 – Tolerability & BMI Strata full mixed effects model
Results from mixed effects logistic regression for tolerability comparing pioglitazone and sitagliptin across BMI strata. Data presented as odds ratio (OR) for tolerating therapy, 95% confidence intervals, z values and p values from a mixed effects model with participant as the random effect, and drug (reference category pioglitazone), period (reference category 1), BMI strata and drug*BMI strata interaction as fixed effects. (n=943 observations for 480 individuals). As in primary analysis, the key coefficient of interest in each analysis is the drug*strata interaction, representing the difference in odds ratio for tolerating therapy in one drug compared with another for obese v non-obese individuals.

| Drug (ref=sitagliptin) | OR     | 95% CI     | z       | p     |
|------------------------|--------|------------|---------|-------|
| Pioglitazone           | 1.403  | 0.634, 3.105| 0.84    | 0.4   |
| Period (ref=1)         |        |            |         |       |
| 2                      | 0.652  | 0.306, 1.390| -1.11   | 0.3   |
| 3                      | 0.505  | 0.239, 1.070| -1.78   | 0.08  |
| BMI>30kg/m²             | 1.382  | 0.613, 3.115| 0.78    | 0.4   |
| Pioglitazone*BMI>30 interaction | 2.11    | 0.657, 6.761| 1.25    | 0.2   |
Supplementary Table 13: Tolerability sensitivity analysis

Comparison of the effect size (drug*strata interaction terms, with their corresponding 95% confidence intervals and p values) from mixed effects models examining tolerability for the two strata for each of the drug pairwise comparisons for the main analysis with tolerability defined as being on therapy for at least 12 weeks, and the sensitivity analysis where tolerability was defined as being on therapy for at least 15 weeks.

|                         | Main analysis effect size (tolerability >=12wks): OR for tolerability [95% CI] (p) | Sensitivity analysis effect size: (tolerability >=15wks) OR for tolerability [95% CI] (p) |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Pio v Sit by BMI strata (Hypothesis 1) | 0.47 [0.15, 1.52] (p=0.2) | 0.95 [0.42, 2.20] (p=0.9) |
| Sit v Can by eGFR strata (Hypothesis 2) | 0.42 [0.16, 1.14] (p=0.09) | 0.38 [0.18, 0.80] (p=0.01) |
Supplementary Table 14 – Tolerability & eGFR Strata full mixed effects model

Results from mixed effects logistic regression for tolerability comparing canagliflozin and sitagliptin across eGFR strata. Data presented as odds ratio (OR) for tolerating therapy, 95% confidence intervals, z values and p values from the mixed effects model, with participant as the random effect, and drug (reference category sitagliptin), period (reference category 1), eGFR strata and drug*eGFR strata interaction as fixed effects. (n=948 observations for 487 individuals). As in primary analysis, the key coefficient of interest in each analysis is the drug*eGFR strata interaction, representing the difference in odds ratio for tolerating therapy in one drug compared with another for eGFR 60-90 v eGFR>90 individuals.

|                        | OR     | 95% CI      | z     | p     |
|------------------------|--------|-------------|-------|-------|
| Drug (ref=sitagliptin) C | 1.755  | 0.849, 3.629| 1.5   | 0.13  |
| Period (ref=1) 2       | 0.716  | 0.392, 1.308| -1.09 | 0.3   |
|                        | 1.060  | 0.563, 1.995| 0.18  | 0.9   |
| eGFR>90                | 1.408  | 0.685, 2.900| 0.93  | 0.35  |
| Canagliflozin*eGFR>90 interaction | 0.424  | 0.158, 1.135| -1.71 | 0.09  |

Supplementary Table 15: Side effects by BMI Strata – mixed effects models

Mixed effects logistic regression model for the odds of experiencing at least one side effect for hypothesis 1 (pioglitazone v sitagliptin by BMI strata). Data presented as odds ratio (OR) for experiencing at least one side effect, 95% confidence intervals, z values and p values from mixed effects model with participant as the random effect, and drug (reference category pioglitazone), period (reference category 1), BMI strata, and drug* BMI strata interaction as fixed effects. (n=943 observations for 480 individuals). As in primary analysis, the key coefficient of interest is the drug*strata interaction.

|                        | OR     | 95% CI      | z     | p     |
|------------------------|--------|-------------|-------|-------|
| Drug (ref=pioglitazone) Sitagliptin | 0.719  | 0.408, 1.266| -1.14 | 0.3   |
| Period (ref=1) 2       | 1.578  | 0.963, 2.587| 1.81  | 0.07  |
|                        | 1.231  | 0.765, 1.981| 0.86  | 0.4   |
| BMI>30kg/m²           | 1.882  | 0.973, 3.640| 1.88  | 0.06  |
| Sitagliptin*BMI>30 interaction | 0.676  | 0.313, 1.454| -1.0  | 0.3   |
Supplementary Table 16: Side effects by eGFR Strata – mixed effects models

Mixed effects logistic regression model for the odds of experiencing at least one side effect for hypothesis 2 (sitagliptin vs canagliflozin by eGFR strata). Data presented as odds ratio (OR) for experiencing at least one side effect, 95% confidence intervals, z values and p values from mixed effects models with participant as a random effect, and drug (reference category sitagliptin), period (reference category 1), eGFR strata and drug*eGFR strata interaction as fixed effects. (n=943 observations for 480 individuals). As in primary analysis, the key coefficient of interest is the drug*strata interaction.

| Drug (ref=sitagliptin) | OR   | 95% CI       | z   | p   |
|------------------------|------|--------------|-----|-----|
| Canagliflozin          | 1.328| 0.791, 2.230 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| Period (ref=1)         |      |              |     |     |
| 2                      | 1.188| 0.738, 1.911 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| 3                      | 0.742| 0.469, 1.175 | -1.3| 0.2 |
| eGFR>90                | 1.038| 0.600, 1.807 | 0.1 | 0.9 |
| Canagliflozin*eGFR>90 | 1.461| 0.702, 3.040 | 1.0 | 0.3 |

Supplementary Table 17: Assessment of period and carryover effect for weight

Output from mixed effects analysis assessing period and carryover effects for weight. Coef represents the coefficient (difference in weight (kg) between the category and reference category ('ref')), 95% CI is the corresponding 95% confidence interval, z is the z statistic and p is the value, from a mixed effects model with drug, period, and a carryover variable (i.e. drug in previous period) as fixed effects, participant as a random effect, and weight (kg) as the outcome.

| Variable                        | Coef (95% CI)  | Z   | P     |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-----|-------|
| Drug:                           |               |     |       |
| Pioglitazone (ref)              |               |     |       |
| Sitagliptin                     | -1.86 (-2.15, -1.57) | -12.6 | 2 x 10^{-36} |
| Canagliflozin                   | -4.23 (-4.52, -3.94) | -28.5 | 9 x 10^{-179} |
| Period:                         |               |     |       |
| 1 (ref)                         |               |     |       |
| 2                               | 0.94 (0.60, 1.28) | 5.4  | 6 x 10^{-8} |
| 3                               | 1.03 (0.68, 1.37) | 5.8  | 6 x 10^{-9} |
| Carryover (drug in previous period) |               |     |       |
| Pioglitazone (ref)              |               |     |       |
| Sitagliptin                     | -0.94 (-1.33, -0.55) | -4.7  | 2 x 10^{-6} |
| Canagliflozin                   | -1.37 (-1.75, -0.98) | -6.9  | 7 x 10^{-12} |

Supplementary Table 18: Hypoglycaemia by BMI strata – mixed effects models

Mixed effects logistic regression model for the odds of experiencing hypoglycaemia for hypothesis 1 (pioglitazone vs sitagliptin by BMI strata). Data presented as odds ratio (OR) for experiencing hypoglycaemia, 95% confidence intervals, z values and p values for a mixed effects model with participant as a random effect and drug (reference category pioglitazone), period (reference category 1), BMI strata, and drug* BMI strata interaction as fixed effects. (n=902 observations for 480 individuals). As in primary analysis, the key coefficient of interest is the drug*strata interaction.
|                                      | OR   | 95% CI          | z    | p     |
|--------------------------------------|------|-----------------|------|-------|
| Drug (ref=pioglitazone)              |      |                 |      |       |
| Sitagliptin                          | 0.992| 0.413, 2.379    | -0.02| 0.99  |
|                                      |      |                 |      |       |
| Period (ref=1)                       |      |                 |      |       |
| 2                                    | 0.817| 0.428, 1.560    | -0.6 | 0.5   |
| 3                                    | 0.465| 0.232, 0.931    | -2.2 | 0.03  |
| BMI>30kg/m²                          | 1.71 | 0.698, 4.185    | 1.17 | 0.2   |
|                                      |      |                 |      |       |
| Sitagliptin*BMI>30 interaction        | 0.744| 0.249, 2.216    | -0.5 | 0.6   |

Supplementary Table 19: Hypoglycaemia by eGFR strata – mixed effects models
Mixed effects logistic regression model for the odds of experiencing hypoglycaemia for hypothesis 2 (sitagliptin v canagliflozin by eGFR strata). Data presented as odds ratio (OR) for experiencing hypoglycaemia, 95% confidence intervals, z values and p values for a mixed effects model with participant as a random effect and drug (reference category sitagliptin), period (reference category 1), eGFR strata and drug*eGFR strata interaction as fixed effects. (n=921 observations for 485 individuals). As in primary analysis, the key coefficient of interest is the drug*strata interaction

|                                      | OR   | 95% CI          | z    | p    |
|--------------------------------------|------|-----------------|------|------|
| Drug (ref=sitagliptin)               |      |                 |      |      |
| Canagliflozin                        | 0.522| 0.192, 1.420    | -1.3 | 0.2  |
|                                      |      |                 |      |      |
| Period (ref=1)                       |      |                 |      |      |
| 2                                    | 0.482| 0.200, 1.162    | -1.6 | 0.1  |
| 3                                    | 0.725| 0.318, 1.652    | -0.8 | 0.4  |
| eGFR>90                              | 2.164| 0.745, 6.286    | 1.4  | 0.2  |
|                                      |      |                 |      |      |
| Canagliflozin*eGFR>90 interaction    | 0.730| 0.199, 2.672    | -0.5 | 0.6  |
Supplementary Table 20: The 24 recruiting centres participating in TriMaster

| No. | Recruiting Centre                                                                 |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Foundation Trust - RD&E Wonford Hospital                |
| 2   | NHS Tayside - Ninewells Hospital & Medical School                                   |
| 3   | Greater Glasgow & Clyde Health Board - BHF Glasgow Cardiovascular Research Centre  |
| 4   | Taunton and Somerset NHS Foundation Trust - Musgrove Park Hospital                 |
| 5   | Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust - Royal Sussex County Hospital   |
| 6   | Cumbria Partnership NHS Foundation Trust - Cumberland Infirmary                    |
| 7   | Oxford University Hospitals - Churchill Hospital                                   |
| 8   | Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Northern General Hospital       |
| 9   | The Newcastle Upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust - Royal Victoria Infirmary  |
| 10  | Barnsley Hospital NHS Foundation Trust - Barnsley Hospital                         |
| 11  | North Bristol NHS Trust - Southmead Hospital                                       |
| 12  | Plymouth Hospitals NHS Trust - Derriford Hospital                                   |
| 13  | Hywel Dda University Health Board - Prince Philip Hospital                          |
| 14  | Abertawe Bro Morgannwg University Health Board – Morriston Hospital                |
| 15  | Royal Cornwall Hospitals NHS Trust - Royal Cornwall Hospital                       |
| 16  | Cardiff and Vale University Health Board - University Hospital of Wales             |
| 17  | Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust - Guy's Hospital                         |
| 18  | Surrey and Sussex Healthcare NHS Trust - East Surrey Hospital                      |
| 19  | East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust - QETQM Hospital                |
| 20  | Harrogate and District NHS Foundation Trust - Harrogate District Hospital           |
| 21  | Buckinghamshire Healthcare NHS Trust - Wycombe General Hospital                    |
| 22  | East Suffolk and North Essex NHS Foundation Trust - Ipswich Hospital                |
| 23  | Royal Berkshire NHS Foundation Trust - Royal Berkshire Hospital                     |
| 24  | Portsmouth Hospitals NHS Trust - Queen Alexandra Hospital                          |

Supplementary Table 21: Side effects in TriMaster
Side effects asked about at baseline and follow-up study visits:

| Side Effect                                      |
|--------------------------------------------------|
| Swollen ankles/legs                              |
| Weight gain                                      |
| Broken bones                                     |
| Low blood sugar                                  |
| Headache                                         |
| Increased thirst                                 |
| Passing more urine                               |
| Feeling dehydrated                               |
| Tiredness                                        |
| Difficulty sleeping                              |
| Rashes                                           |
| Change in appetite with weight change            |
| Feeling or being sick                            |
| Constipation / diarrhoea                         |
| Urine infection                                  |
| Thrush / Rash or redness of foreskin             |
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