Ex vivo cultures combined with vivo-morpholino induced gene knockdown provide a system to assess the role of WT1 and GATA4 during gonad differentiation

Lucas J. Rudigier1,*, Christof Dame2, Holger Scholz1, Karin M. Kirschner1

1 Institut für Vegetative Physiologie, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Campus Charité Mitte, Berlin, Germany, 2 Klinik für Neonatologie, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Campus Virchow-Klinikum, Berlin, Germany

* Current address: Institute for Biology, IRI for the Life Sciences, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany

holger.s cholz@charite.de

Abstract

Gonad morphogenesis relies on the correct spatiotemporal expression of a number of genes that together fulfill the differentiation of the bipotential gonad into testes or ovaries. As such, the transcription factors WT1 and GATA4 are pivotal for proper gonadal development. Here we address the contributions of GATA4 and WT1 to the sex differentiation phase in testes and ovaries. We applied an ex vivo technique for cultivating gonads in hanging drop-lets of media that were supplemented with vivo-morpholinos to knockdown WT1 and GATA4 either alone or in combination at the same developmental stage. We show that WT1 is equally important for both, the initial establishment and the maintenance of the sex-specific gene expression signature in testes and ovaries. We further identified Foxl2 as a novel putative downstream target gene of WT1. Moreover, knockdown of WT1 reduced mRNA levels of several molecular components of the hedgehog signaling pathway in XY gonads, whereas Gata4 vivo-morpholino treatment increased transcripts of Dhh and Ptc1 in embryonic testes. The data suggest that for its proper function, WT1 relies on the correct expression of the GATA4 protein. Furthermore, GATA4 down-regulates several ovarian promoting genes in testes, such as Ctnnb1, Fst, and Bmp2, suggesting that this repression is required for maintaining the male phenotype. In conclusion, this study provides novel insights into the role of WT1 and GATA4 during the sex differentiation phase and represents an approach that can be applied to assess other proteins with as yet unknown functions during gonadal development.

Introduction

The bipotential genital ridge is a unique tissue in the embryo because it can develop into either testes or ovaries. Cell fate decisions with respect to the male or female phenotype constitute a counterbalanced system, in which the activation of one pathway leads to the repression of the
other one [1]. This bifurcation step is accomplished by a complex regulatory network, within which the two zinc finger transcription factors, WT1 (Wilms tumor 1) and GATA4 (GATA binding protein 4), play prominent roles [2].

Embryonic development requires the correct expression of WT1 and GATA4. Homozygous knock-out mice for WT1 and Gata4 are embryonic lethal and exhibit several abnormalities including gonadal and myocardial malformations [3–5]. In addition, WT1 and GATA4 are essential for the initial development of the genital ridge [6,7]. Testis development occurs in the presence of Sry (sex determining region Y), and WT1 has been implicated in its regulation [8]. Remarkably, selective ablation of the WT1 protein isoform, that contains an additional three amino acids insertion, lysine–threonine–serine (KTS), in zinc finger three causes complete male-to-female sex reversal, most likely as a consequence of diminished SRY protein levels [9]. The regulation of the Sry gene, however, occurs synergistically with GATA4 and NR5A1/SF1 (nuclear receptor subfamily 5 group A member 1/ steroidogenic factor 1) [10]. Thus, it is not surprising that conditional deletion of Gata4 by embryonic day 10.5, but not at later stages, culminates in a sex-reversed phenotype emphasizing the role of GATA4 during the sex determination phase [11]. In order to fulfill its normal function, GATA4 interacts with the co-factor FOG2 (friend-of-GATA). Indeed, mice carrying a Gata4 knock-in allele, which abrogates the binding of GATA4 to FOG2 show abnormal differentiation of XY gonads [12].

Conditional gene targeting in mice revealed the essential roles of WT1 and GATA4 in gonadal development and provided important insights into the individual function of each transcription factor [9,12]. Yet, it is still unclear how WT1 and GATA4 interact during sex differentiation in testes and ovaries. This shortcoming is most likely due to the lack of an experimental model allowing for the simultaneous and controlled knockdown of both genes in the gonads at defined developmental stages.

Thus, additional experimental tools are needed to dissect the presumed interplay between WT1 and GATA4, particularly during the later phases of gonadal development. Ideally, these tools facilitate a rapid and precise analysis and as such provide the means to functionally explore the involvement of novel candidate genes [13], which have been previously identified and thus await in vivo validation [14–16]. Along these lines, shRNAs or morpholino oligomers have been successfully used to knockdown candidate genes [17,18]. While the injection of shRNAs into bipotential gonads caused a restricted sex reversal at the site of application, tissues are highly susceptible to mechanical damage due to the injection procedure [18]. In this situation, the transfection of ex vivo organ cultures with vivo-morpholinos may provide an alternative approach to silence one or several genes in developing testes and ovaries without causing tissue injury. Vivo-morpholinos have previously been applied to knockdown Wt1 and other genes in kidney explants [19,20].

In the present study, we applied vivo-morpholinos to murine embryonic gonads grown ex vivo in hanging droplets of culture media. This experimental setting was used to examine the contributions of GATA4 and WT1 to the sex differentiation phase in testes and ovaries. To this end, we inhibited GATA4 and WT1 protein translation, either alone or in combination, and subsequently determined gene expression patterns and changes in gonadal cell proliferation.

The data show that this strategy allows, in a fast and reliable manner, not only to efficiently knockdown critical transcription factors in gonadal explants, but also to analyze downstream effects at the same developmental stage in testes and ovaries. Furthermore, the results indicate that WT1 is equally important for both, the initial establishment and the maintenance of a sex-specific gene expression signature. These effects of WT1 require normal levels of the GATA4 protein. Our data also demonstrate that GATA4 inhibits typical ovarian promoting genes, e.g. Ctnnb1 (Catenin beta 1), Fst (Follistatin), and Bmp2 (Bone morphogenetic protein 2), in the male gonads suggesting that this repression is required for maintaining the testis phenotype.
Materials and methods

Animals
All procedures were performed according to the Animal Protection Law guidelines and approved by the legal authorities represented by the Landesamt für Gesundheit und Soziales Berlin (LAGeSo). Experiments were conducted under permit no. T0308/12 issued by the LAGeSo. Heterozygous Wt1^{+/−} breeding pairs (C57BL6 strain, The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) were mated in the local animal facility. The morning of vaginal plug was considered 0.5 days post conception (dpc). Timed pregnant mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and sacrificed by cervical dislocation. Embryos were collected and genotyped as previously described [21].

Culture of embryonic gonads
Embryos were collected from timed pregnant mice (C57BL6 strain) and tail somites were counted to determine the embryonic stage [22]. Gonads with attached mesonephroi were dissected at the indicated developmental stages. Sex determination of the embryos was performed by PCR amplification of the Y chromosomal gene Kdm5d from genomic DNA using the following primers: mKdm5d-F, 5′-CTGAAGCCTTTTGGCTTTGAG-3′; mKdm5d-R, 5′-CCACTGCCAAATTCTTTGG-3′ [23]. For pairwise comparison, the gonads of each embryo were cultured separately in a hanging droplet of DMEM nutrient with stable L-glutamine (PAA Laboratories, Coeln, Germany) supplemented with 10% FCS (Biochrom, Berlin, Germany), 100 IU/ml penicillin (PAA Laboratories) and 100 μg streptomycin (PAA Laboratories) according to a modified protocol [24]. The explants were grown in a humidified 5% CO₂ chamber at 37˚C and transfected with *vivo*-morpholino oligomers (Gene Tools, Philomath, OR) as described [20]. The organ cultures were processed after 72 h incubation in the presence of the following *vivo*-morpholinos used at 10 μM concentrations each for sequence-specific inhibition of protein translation:

5′-CAGGTCCCGCGACGCTCCAGGACCCT-3′ (*Wt1 vivo*-morpholino),
5′-CAGCTCCGGCACCTCGCAACGATG-3′ (*Wt1 mismatch vivo*-morpholino),
5′-GGCCATGGCCAGGCTTTGGTACAC-3′ (*Gata4 vivo*-morpholino),
5′-GGCGATGCCAGCCTTCTCGATGT-3′ (*Gata4 mismatch vivo*-morpholino).

BrdU labeling of cultured embryonic gonads
To identify proliferating cells, 5-bromo-2-deoxyuridine (BrdU, 10 μM) was added to the culture medium 24 h before harvest. Organs were fixed in 10% (v/v) formalin (J.T. Baker, Muenchen, Germany) for 20 min, incubated in quenching solution (1x PBS/50mM NH₄Cl) for 30 min and embedded into moulds covered with TissueTek® (Sakura Finetek, Staufen, Germany). Embedded organs were stored at -80˚C until cryosectioning. For immunostaining, 8 μm cryosections were heated in a microwave oven for 7 min in 1x citrate buffer (1.8 mM citric acid, 8.2 mM trisodium citrate, 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20, pH 6.0) and subsequently blocked for 10 min at room temperature in serum-free DakoCytomation protein block (Dako, Hamburg, Germany). To detect proliferating cells, a biotinylated antibody against BrdU (51-75512L, BD Pharmingen, Heidelberg, Germany) was used together with antibodies against WT1 (rabbit anti-WT1, N-180, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Heidelberg, Germany) or GATA4 (goat anti-GATA4, C-20, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), each diluted 1:100 in blocking solution.
Tissue sections were incubated with primary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature and then washed in 1x PBS/Tween20. Streptavidin-Cy3 (Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany) was used for visualization of the bound primary anti-BrdU antibody. The use of secondary antibodies for the detection of WT1 and GATA4 is described below. The cell nuclei were counterstained with Dapi (4’,6-diamino-2-phenylindole). Gonads were mounted using Vectashield mounting medium (H-1000, Vector Laboratories, Eching, Germany) and examined under an upright epifluorescence microscope (BX61, 20x objective, Olympus, Hamburg, Germany) equipped with a motorized stage (H1P1BX, serial no. 66404, Prior Scientific, Cambridge, UK). BrdU-positive cells were quantified using the macro feature in ImageJ. All images were post-processed in the same way. Briefly, RGB images were split in separate channels. A region of 1000 x 500 pixels was selected for analysis. The red (BrdU) and the blue (Dapi) channels were filtered using an unsharp mask-, medium- and maximum filter with a radius of 2 pixels. Background noise was subtracted, a threshold was set, and the cell area was measured. The BrdU-positive cell area was normalized to the total Dapi-positive area.

**Preparation of RNA, reverse transcription (RT) and quantitative PCR**

Total RNA from single gonads was isolated using the RNeasy MicroKIT (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). First strand cDNA synthesis was conducted with oligo(dT)12-18 primers and SuperScriptTM III reverse transcriptase (Life Technologies, Darmstadt, Germany). Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed in duplicates using a SYBR Green Master mix (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) in combination with the StepOnePlusTM system (Life Technologies) as described [25]. The sequences of the PCR primers are listed in Table 1. Relative expression levels were obtained by subtracting the Ct value of the housekeeping gene (Gapdh or Sdha) from the Ct value of the gene of interest. Differences in mRNA levels were calculated according to the equation $2^{\Delta\Delta Ct}$ as reported previously [26].

**SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting**

Cells and tissues were lysed in Laemmli buffer and processed as described in detail elsewhere [27]. After separation on a denaturing 10% polyacrylamide gel, immunoblotting was performed consecutively with antibodies against WT1 (C19, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), GATA4 (C-20, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and pan-Actin (clone C4, Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). Peroxidase-coupled secondary antibodies (Santa-Cruz Biotechnology) were visualized with the Western Lightning Plus ECL reagent (PerkinElmer Life Sciences, Rodgau, Germany).

**Immunohistochemistry**

Gonads were obtained from mouse embryos at the indicated developmental stages and fixed in 10% (v/v) formalin for 20 min. After incubation in quenching solution (1x PBS/ 50 mM NH$_4$Cl) for 30 min, the whole-mount preparations were blocked overnight at 4˚C in 1x PBS, 0.2% bovine serum albumin, 0.05% Triton X- 100. Double-immunofluorescent stainings were performed with antibodies against WT1 (monoclonal rabbit anti-WT1, ab89901, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and GATA4 (goat anti-GATA4, C-20, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) diluted 1:100 in antibody diluent (Life Technologies) and applied simultaneously overnight at 4˚C. Normal sera (Jackson ImmunoResearch, Hamburg, Germany) from the donor species were used as a negative control. For visualization of the bound primary antibodies Alexa Fluor 488-AffiniPure donkey anti-goat IgG (1:100 dilution, Jackson ImmunoResearch) and Cy3-AffiniPure donkey anti-rabbit IgG (1:200 dilution, Jackson ImmunoResearch, Suffolk, UK) were used. The cell nuclei were counterstained with bisbenzimide H33342 (Sigma-Aldrich). Overview images were acquired with an epifluorescence microscope (Axiovert100, Carl Zeiss, Jena,
Germany) attached to a digital camera (Spot RT Slider, Diagnostic Instruments, Sterling Heights, MI). High resolution pictures were taken on a confocal microscope (Leica DM 2500, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with the LAS AF Lite software (Leica Microsystems). Image post-processing was performed with the ImageJ software and Adobe Photoshop.

| primer name | gene         | NCBI no. (mus musculus) | sequence 5'-3'         |
|-------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Gata4-fwd   | Gata4        | NM_008092                | GATGGGACGGGACACTAACCCTG |
| Gata4-rev   |              |                          |                         |
| Gapdh-fwd   | Gapdh        | NM_002046.5              | ACGGACCCTCCATTGACCTCA   |
| Gapdh-rev   |              |                          | TTTGCGCTACCCTAGGGTCAG   |
| Wt1-fwd     | Wt1          | NM_144783                | TGCCCTCTGCTACCTACTA     |
| Wt1-rev     |              |                          | GATGGTCACCTGACCTGCTA    |
| Dax1-fwd    | Dax1         | NM_007430.4              | TCCTGAGTTGGCCCAAGAT     |
| Dax1-rev    |              |                          |                         |
| Wnt4-fwd    | Wnt4         | NM_009523.2              | GCAGAATGTGCTGATCTG     |
| Wnt4-rev    |              |                          | CCTGCTAGAAGATGGTGTA    |
| β-catenin-fwd| β-catenin    | NM_007614.3              | CGCGCTTAATAATGCCGCTC   |
| β-catenin-rev|             |                          |                         |
| Fst-fwd     | Fst          | NM_008046.2              | AGTACTACCTCCAGCCT      |
| Fst-rev     |              |                          |                         |
| Foxl2-fwd   | Foxl2        | NM_012020.2              | ACGGCCCTTTCATCATGAGT   |
| Foxl2-rev   |              |                          | AGTTGCTGGCCATCTATT     |
| Nr5a1-fwd   | (Nr5a1)Sf1  | NM_130051                | CTGCCCTTCAGAAATGCCT    |
| Nr5a1-rev   |              |                          | GAGATGGGCCCTCAAGGTCA   |
| Sox9-fwd    | Sox9         | NM_011448.4              | ACAGGGAGTGTCAGAAACTC   |
| Sox9-rev    |              |                          |                         |
| Amh-fwd     | Amh          | NM_007445                | GGCGCTGAGGGAGACTG      |
| Amh-rev     |              |                          | CCCTGCCAGAAGCTCCTT    |
| Amhr2-fwd   | Amhr2        | NM_144547.2              | CGTTTACTACGTCTGCG      |
| Amhr2-rev   |              |                          |                         |
| Star-fwd    | STAR         | NM_011485                | TGGATGGTCAGTCTCAGC     |
| Star-rev    |              |                          |                         |
| Sdha-fwd    | Sdha         | NM_023281.1              | ACCGGCTGAGCAAAATCT    |
| Sdha-rev    |              |                          | TCAAAACCATTCTCCCTGCG   |
| Dhh-fwd     | Dhh          | NM_007857.5              | AGCAACTGTCGCTCTAGTA   |
| Dhh-rev     |              |                          | TGGAGTAAACTGTCGAGT     |
| Ptc-fwd     | Ptc          | NM_008975.2              | TGGAGCAGTATTCCAAAAGGGA |
| Ptc-rev     |              |                          |                         |
| Smo-fwd     | Smo          | NM_176996.4              | CAAGTCTGCTCAGTCTCAGC  |
| Smo-rev     |              |                          |                         |
| Gli1-fwd    | Gli1         | NM_010296.2              | GGTGACACATATCAACAGT    |
| Gli1-rev    |              |                          | GCTGCAACCTTCTCTACAC    |
| Gli2-fwd    | Gli2         | NM_00108125.1            | CACTCCGCCAAAGGATG     |
| Gli2-rev    |              |                          |                         |
| Gli3-fwd    | Gli3         | NM_008130.2              | TCTGATCTTCTACGACGACG  |
| Gli3-rev    |              |                          | ACAAGCTGATCTTCTGGTGTCG |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0176296.t001
Statistics

Data analysis and visualization was performed using R [28] and the ggplot2 package [29]. Student’s t-test and ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test were performed as indicated. P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

WT1 is essential for the establishment and maintenance of a sex-specific gene expression signature

To gain further insight into the molecular mechanisms of gonadal development, we compared gene expression states in gonads derived from Wt1-deficient (Wt1−/−) murine embryos (13.5 dpc) to those of wild-type (Wt1+/+) littersmates. This approach allows one to assess the long-term effect of WT1 depletion from the bipotential stage through sex determination until mid-gonadal morphogenesis. In order to analyze the role of WT1 in the implementation of a sex-specific gene expression signature, we distinguished between male and female sexes and analyzed a set of genes with known functions during ovarian development, e.g. Foxl2 (forkhead box L2), Fst and Ctnnb1, and testis formation, e.g. Nr5a1(Sf1), Sox9 (SRY(sex determining region Y) -box 9), Amh (anti-Müllerian hormone), Amhr2 (anti-Müllerian hormone receptor type 2) and Star (steroidogenic acute regulatory protein). In addition, the expression of Nr0b1 (nuclear receptor subfamily 0 group B member 1, Dax1) and Gata4, both of which are involved in gonadal development of either sex, was studied. Two distinct expression patterns were discerned in Wt1−/− gonads of 13.5 dpc staged embryos. Firstly, mRNAs such as Dax1, Amh, Amhr2, Star, and Gata4 were reduced in Wt1−deficient gonads independent of sex (Fig 1A). Secondly, genes with significantly different mRNA levels in wild-type XY and XX gonads, i.e. Fst, Foxl2, Amh, Sox9, and Ctnnb1, were down-regulated in a sex-specific manner (Fig 1B). Fst and Foxl2 transcripts, which are essential during ovarian development, were significantly reduced in Wt1−deficient XX but not in XY gonads. Indeed, Foxl2 transcripts were not detectable in embryonic XY gonads. Amh, which is required for regression of the Müllerian duct in males, was down-regulated in Wt1−deficient XY gonads, but barely detectable in ovaries of either wild-type or Wt1−/− embryos (Fig 1B). Sox9 mRNA levels were significantly higher in wild-type XY than in XX gonads, and this difference was lost in the absence of WT1. Lastly, Ctnnb1 mRNA, which encodes for β-catenin and is crucial for female gonadogenesis was increased exclusively in Wt1−/− XY gonads (Fig 1B). These results indicate that WT1 is essential for establishing a male- and female-specific gene expression signature during gonadal development. Furthermore, loss of this sex-specific gene expression pattern is associated with disrupted morphology of Wt1−deficient XY and XX gonads (Fig 1C). In Wt1−/− XY gonads the seminiferous tubules could not be identified, limiting the macroscopic distinction between male and female murine gonads. Whilst Wt1-deficient gonads were also reduced in size, Wt1−/− mesonephroi appeared to be rather enlarged in both sexes (Fig 1C). These data show that WT1 is required for establishing and maintaining a sex-specific gene expression signature in both, testes and ovaries and confirm its role in gonadal morphogenesis.

WT1 and GATA4 are co-expressed during embryonic development in testes and ovaries

WT1 and GATA4 have prominent roles during gonad development in both sexes. Both proteins have been reported to act in a synergistic manner on the transcription of certain genes [30]. In order to address the spatial relationship between WT1 and GATA4, we examined whether both proteins are co-expressed in the developing gonads of wild-type mice. WT1, an
established marker of Sertoli cells [31], was co-localized with GATA4 in these cells, while GATA4 showed additional immunoreactivity in testicular interstitial cells of XY gonadal primordia from 13.5 dpc staged embryos (Fig 2A). In developing ovaries (13.5 dpc), both proteins were co-expressed in the nuclei of somatic cells (Fig 2B). Only WT1 but no GATA4 was detectable in mesenchymal cells of the mesonephroi in both sexes (Fig 2A and 2B). This co-expression was observed throughout development into adulthood (data not shown). WT1 and GATA4 do not co-localize in cells of the mesonephros, which only contain WT1 protein. These data demonstrate that WT1 and GATA4 are co-expressed in Sertoli cells of seminiferous tubules of testes as well as in somatic cells of ovaries.
Vivo-morpholino induced knockdown in *ex vivo* gonad cultures provides a tool to study gene function beyond the stage of sex determination

In order to study the functional relationship between WT1 and GATA4 past sex determination, we modified and combined an *ex vivo* droplet culture technique with an antisense strategy to knockdown WT1 and GATA4. The advantage of this approach is that it allows cultivating individual gonads, knocking-down both proteins in all expressing gonadal cell types, and assessing the same developmental stage in both sexes. The experimental set-up of the assay is shown in Fig 3A. Testes and ovaries were dissected from 12.5 dpc embryos and placed into a droplet of medium, supplemented with *vivo*-morpholino. The contra-lateral gonad served as a control and was treated with a mismatch-morpholino. The knockdown
efficiency in individual gonads was assessed by immunohistochemistry using antibodies against either WT1 or GATA4. The observed homogenous decrease of WT1 and GATA4 proteins in XY and XX gonads suggests a high and reproducible delivery efficiency of the respective \textit{vivo}-morpholinos (Fig 3B and 3C).

WT1 and GATA4 regulate cell proliferation in various tissues, and a reduced mitotic activity of progenitor cells contributes to the developmental defects in \textit{Wt1} - and \textit{Gata4} -deficient animals [32,33]. We therefore explored whether treatment with \textit{Wt1} and \textit{Gata4} \textit{vivo}-morpholinos was associated with impaired cell proliferation and whether gross morphological changes occurred upon the \textit{vivo}-morpholino treatment. To address this, we visualized actively dividing cells of cultured gonadal primordia (12.5 dpc) by the means of BrdU incorporation (Fig 3B and 3C). Inhibition of \textit{Wt1} significantly reduced the number of BrdU-positive cells in the gonads and mesonephroi of both sexes, whereas \textit{Gata4} \textit{vivo}-morpholino treatment decreased cell proliferation only in tissues of males (Fig 3B and 3C). Thus, combining an \textit{ex vivo} organ droplet culture technique with \textit{vivo}-morpholinos provides an experimental tool that allows for an efficient and precisely timed knockdown of WT1 and GATA4 in embryonic testes and ovaries beyond the stage of sex determination.

**WT1 maintains sex-specific gene expression patterns beyond sex determination**

Our analyses of \textit{Wt1} \textit{-/} embryonic gonads revealed abnormal expression of genes involved in sex determination and gonadal differentiation (Fig 1). Since WT1 regulates \textit{Sry} expression, which is essential for transition of the bipotential gonad into a testis [8], changes in the gene expression pattern in male \textit{Wt1} \textit{-/} gonads could be a direct consequence of reduced SRY activity.

Still, the question arises whether WT1 is also necessary for maintaining a sex-specific gene expression pattern past the phase of sex determination, i.e. when SRY is normally down-regulated in developing murine testes (12.5 dpc). We applied the \textit{ex vivo} droplet culture technique in order to address this issue. Knockdown efficiencies were assessed by immunoblot analyses using individual gonads of either sex (Fig 4A). Treatment with \textit{Wt1} \textit{vivo}-morpholino significantly decreased \textit{Dax1} and \textit{Foxl2} mRNA levels in XX, but not in XY gonads (Fig 4B). As expected, \textit{Foxl2} transcripts were not detectable in developing testes, in which \textit{Nr5a1}, \textit{Sox9}, \textit{Amh}, and \textit{Gata4} transcripts were significantly down-regulated in response to antisense inhibition of \textit{Wt1}. This effect was not observed in XX gonads (Fig 4B). However, in both sexes, \textit{Amhr2} and \textit{Star} mRNA levels were significantly reduced upon WT1 knockdown, and this effect was more pronounced in XY than in XX gonadal primordia (Fig 4B). \textit{Ctnmb1} and \textit{Fst} transcript levels were not significantly altered between XY and XX gonads (Fig 4B). These data indicate that WT1 modulates the gonadal gene expression pattern in a sex-specific manner even beyond the stage of sex determination, i.e. after cessation of \textit{Sry} expression.

**GATA4 is crucial for repression of ovarian promoting genes upon gonadal commitment to the male fate**

Since WT1 synergizes with GATA4 in regulating genes involved in sex determination and gonadal differentiation [30], we used a specific antisense \textit{vivo}-morpholino to assess the role of GATA4 in regulating gene expression downstream of WT1. Notably, knockdown of GATA4, either alone or combined with \textit{Wt1} antisense treatment, did not change \textit{Wt1} transcript levels (Fig 4C and 4D). Although GATA4 protein was clearly reduced by treatment with \textit{Gata4} \textit{vivo}-morpholino (Fig 4A), \textit{Gata4} mRNA levels were significantly increased in XY and XX gonadal explants (Fig 4C and 4D). Knockdown of GATA4 exerted specific
WT1 and GATA4 in gonadal development

**WT1 requires GATA4 to propagate a sex-specific gene expression pattern**

To further elucidate whether WT1 and GATA4 functionally cooperate during gonadal development, we applied both *vivo*-morpholinos at the same time for simultaneous translational inhibition of WT1 and GATA4. Immunoblot analysis confirmed the efficient down-regulation of both proteins in this combined approach (Fig 4A). The most striking effects of the simultaneous WT1/ GATA4 *vivo*-morpholino treatment were observed on those transcripts that were predominantly down-regulated by sole WT1 inhibition (Fig 4B and 4D). In XY gonads, joint antisense treatment of WT1 and GATA4 prevented the decrease of Nr5a1, Sox9, Amh and Amhr2 transcript levels seen upon single WT1 inhibition (Fig 4B and 4D). In XX gonads, the same experimental approach abrogated the reduction of Dax1 and Star mRNA levels caused by sole WT1 silencing (Fig 4D and 4B). In contrast, all analyzed genes that were modulated by single GATA4 antisense treatment, were still regulated in the same manner by combined WT1/ GATA4 silencing, with the exception of the Bmp2 and Foxl2 transcripts. But, the latter of which showed a more pronounced effect, which is suggestive for the synergistic action of WT1 and GATA4 (Fig 4D). In summary, the double-knockdown approach indicates that WT1 requires GATA4 in order to maintain a sex-specific gene expression pattern and furthermore suggests that GATA4 fine-tunes correct thresholds of gene expression levels that are pivotal for the development of both, testes and ovaries.

**GATA4 represses the Gata4 exon 1b isoform in testis**

Remarkably, despite a successful knockdown of the GATA4 protein, its transcript levels increased significantly (Fig 4A, 4C and 4D). This observation is in line with the previous report of a regulatory feedback loop in which GATA4 acts as a selective repressor of its own *Gata4 E1b* isoform. According to this model, GATA4 is normally transcribed from the *E1a* promoter only, while it represses its more distant *E1b* promoter [35]. However, when GATA4 levels decline due to reduced transcription of *Gata4 E1a*, the repressive effect is relieved and *Gata4* is

---

**Fig 3. Experimental workflow and effect on cell proliferation upon WT1 or GATA4 knockdown.** (A) Dissected XX and XY gonad/ mesonephroi regions (12.5 dpc) were incubated for 72 h in a droplet of medium supplemented with either WT1 or Gata4 *vivo*-morpholino. Transfection with the corresponding mismatch *vivo*-morpholinos was performed as control. Efficient gene silencing was assessed by immunostaining of WT1 (B) and GATA4 (C) in XX and XY gonad/ mesonephroi explants. Cell proliferation was determined by means of BrdU incorporation, and nuclei were visualized with Dapi. Proliferating cells were counted in at least 5 tissue sections obtained from 3 different embryos and normalized to Dapi-stained nuclei. Scale bars indicate 100 μm. Error bars represent S.E.M., *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, Hest. mo = *vivo*-morpholino, mism = *vivo*-morpholino-mismatch. G = gonad. M = mesonephros.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0176296.g003

effects on the gene expression pattern in developing XX vs. XY gonads, which were different from those observed upon WT1 silencing (Fig 4B and 4C). Whilst the knockdown of GATA4 reduced Foxl2, Amh and Amhr2 transcripts in XX gonads, it decreased Star mRNA levels and, remarkably, increased ovarian promoting transcripts, i.e. Ctnnb1, Fst, and Bmp2 transcripts in XY gonads (Fig 4C). Elevated *Ctnnb1* mRNA levels in response to Gata4 *vivo*-morpholino treatment were also observed in XX gonads (Fig 4C). Lastly, no significant changes in Dax1, Nr5a1 and Sox9 mRNA levels were seen (Fig 4C). These results indicate that GATA4 not only regulates the expression of Foxl2 in XX gonads, but also represses ovarian promoting transcripts (Fst, Bmp2) in testes. Furthermore, GATA4 represses Ctnnb1 and Gata4 mRNAs in both, embryonic XY and XX gonads.
transcribed from the E1b promoter. This mechanism ensures sufficient cellular GATA4 levels. Notably, Gata4 deficient mice express elevated Gata4 E1b transcripts in their gonads [35]. Thus, we tested whether the same effect occurred in our experimental system upon vivo-morpholino knockdown of GATA4. Using Gata4 isofrom specific primers for qRT-PCR, Gata4 E1b mRNA levels were found to increase considerably upon GATA4 silencing in testes but not in ovaries (Fig 5A). On the contrary, Gata4 E1b and Gata4 E1a mRNA levels were both significantly decreased in XY gonads but remained unchanged in XX gonads upon Wt1 vivo-morpholino treatment (Fig 5B). Consistent with previous in vivo observations [35] these findings indicate that GATA4 down-regulates its own transcription from the E1b promoter in developing testes.

WT1 and GATA4 affect the expression of hedgehog signaling molecules in developing XY and XX gonads

Recent findings highlight the role of the hedgehog (HH) signaling pathway in promoting fetal Leydig cell differentiation [36]. Sertoli cells express and secrete the ligand desert hedgehog (DHH), which binds to its receptor patched 1 (PTCH1) on cells that constitute the gonadal interstitium. Signaling through the HH pathway culminates in the activation of glioma-associated oncogene homolog transcription factors (GLI1, GLI2, GLI3) [37]. While the deletion of Dhh is associated with aberrant Leydig cell differentiation, the loss of either Gli1 or Gli2 does not affect their differentiation, suggesting redundant roles of the GLI factors [17,36,38]. WT1 has previously been shown to regulate the expression of Dhh and Ptch1, the latter being identified as a candidate WT1 target in the posterior taste field in the developing tongue [39,40]. However, whether other components of the HH signaling pathway are regulated in the gonads by either WT1 or GATA4 remains as yet unclear. In order to address this, we performed single and double vivo-morpholino knockdowns of WT1 and GATA4. In agreement with previous studies [41,42], Dhh transcripts were several orders of magnitude higher in developing XY gonads than in XX gonadal explants. Interestingly, the WT1 and GATA4 knockdowns exert opposing effects on gene expression of HH signaling molecules in XY gonads. Knockdown of WT1 reduced Gli1, Gli2, Dhh, Ptch1 and Smo mRNA levels (Fig 6A), while Gata4 vivo-morpholino treatment significantly increased Dhh and Ptch1 mRNA levels. Transcript levels of other assessed HH signaling molecules remained unchanged in the GATA4 knockdown (Fig 6B). Further, double-knockdown of WT1 and GATA4, significantly reduced transcript levels of all tested components of the HH signaling pathway in XY gonads, except for Dhh mRNA levels, which were significantly increased (Fig 6C). In XX gonads, mRNA levels of HH signaling molecules also changed upon the knockdown of WT1 and GATA4. While Dhh and Smo transcript levels were significantly reduced in developing ovaries in response to Wt1 vivo-morpholino treatment (Fig 6D), knockdown of GATA4 caused a decrease of Gli2, Gli3 and Smo mRNAs (Fig 6E). Double-knockdown of WT1 and GATA4 produced similar changes as single GATA4 inhibition in cultured XX gonads, and additionally lowered Gli1 transcript levels (Fig 6F). In summary, these findings suggest that WT1 is necessary for the normal expression of
components of the HH signaling pathway in the developing testis and ovary. As such, it contributes to correct differentiation of fetal Leydig cells in the XY gonad and to normal follicular development in the XX gonad. On the contrary, GATA4 inhibits Dhh and Ptch expression in the embryonic testis suggesting that GATA4 may be required to fine-tune their expression in order to acquire correct threshold levels.

Discussion

The essential functions of WT1 and GATA4 during gonadal development are well documented, but it is poorly understood to what extent both transcription factors contribute to the sex differentiation process. We addressed this issue in a novel approach by combining an ex vivo organ culture technique with vivo-morpholino induced gene knockdown.

In order to establish readouts that represent sex-specific developmental gene expression patterns, we initially analyzed germline Wt1 knockout mice at 13.5 dpc. The rationale for the sex-specific analysis was based on the fact that previous studies did not consider putative differences between males and females [43]. Consistent with the proposed role of WT1 in regulating SRY transcription [8,30], we observed the loss of the sexually dimorphic gonadal gene signature upon germline Wt1 deletion (Fig 1). Considering that SRY, whose expression rapidly declines after 11.5 dpc, is a master regulator of male sex determination (reviewed in [44]), we investigated the importance of WT1 during gonadal differentiation shortly after sex determination and furthermore extended our analysis to the functional relationship with GATA4.

To analyze the functional interplay between WT1 and GATA4 in the expression of genes involved in sex determination and gonadal development, ex vivo cultures of murine embryonic gonads were combined with vivo-morpholino induced gene silencing. Vivo-morpholinos have been successfully used for the genomic characterization of WT1 targets in nephron progenitors [19] and, most recently, for identifying WT1 as a key regulator of fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signaling pathway genes in early kidney development [45]. Compared to Wt1 and Gata4 knockout mice, which exhibit abnormal morphology of their gonads and die at an early embryonic stage [3,12], the initial development of the gonadal ridges was preserved in our ex...
vivo preparations. Importantly, gene silencing with *vivo*-morpholinos is independent of the transcriptional network of factors influencing promoters/enhancers that drive transgene expression in conditionally mutant mice. For example, due to the differential expression of *Amh* in both males and females, the use of an *Amh*-Cre deleter mouse strain enables gene recombination only in the testis, while *vivo*-morpholino treatment was equally effective in down-regulating WT1 and GATA4 in both sexes (Figs 3B, 3C and 4). Another advantage of this approach is that the initiation of *Wt1* and *Gata4* gene silencing at the same developmental stage in XY and XX gonads allows for a direct comparison between sex-specific effects of both proteins. Furthermore, simultaneous inactivation of two or several genes can easily be accomplished in *ex vivo* cultured tissues, thus offering the opportunity to study redundant and/or combined effects of transcription factors.

Consistent with previous *in vivo* findings [33,39], morpholino-induced knockdown indicates that reduced cell proliferation contributes to abnormal morphogenesis of the gonads upon WT1 and GATA4 depletion (Fig 3B and 3C). Since GATA4 reduces BrdU incorporation only in developing testes but not in ovaries (Fig 3C), non-specific adverse effects of the *vivo-
morpholinos are unlikely to account for the changes in cell proliferation in XX gonads. With regard to the interpretation of data one should be aware that ex vivo cultured gonads may exhibit a developmental delay by approximately 24 h in comparison with gonads in vivo [46]. Due to the limited viability of the ex vivo preparations, later stages of gonadal development were not studied herein. Nevertheless, this can be done in future investigations by shifting the timeframe for tissue dissection and culture towards a later time point.

The observed down-regulation of Nr5a1, Sox9 and Amh only in XY but not in XX gonads indicates that WT1 is indeed necessary for maintaining a sex-specific gene signature in the developing testis (Fig 4B). Wt1 vivo-morpholino treatment, initiated at the same developmental stage also revealed specific effects of WT1 in embryonic ovaries as exemplified by the reduction of Dax1 and Foxl2 mRNA levels (Fig 4B). It has recently been reported that FOXL2 antagonizes the stimulatory effect of WT1(-KTS) on Nr5a1 expression by interacting with the proximal Nr5a1 promoter region [47]. Our data provide evidence that WT1 also interferes with the transcriptional network controlling ovarian development. FOXL2 is expressed in somatic cells of XX gonads [48], and Foxl2-deficient mice lack primary follicles in their disorganized ovaries [48]. By sustaining normal Fox2 expression in XX gonads (Figs 1A and 4B) WT1 up-regulates its own competitor on testis promoting target genes [47]. Hence, WT1 normally activates Nr5a1 expression in somatic cells of the testis (Figs 1A and 4B) [6], while FOXL2—up-regulated by WT1—inhibits Nr5a1 expression in the developing ovary. A similar sex-characteristic regulatory mechanism may also apply to the Sox9 gene whose expression is inhibited by FOXL2 [49]. Notably, Foxl2 transcripts were also significantly reduced by antisense inhibition of GATA4 in developing ovaries (Fig 4C) as previously reported in Sf1-Cre; Gata4flx/flx mice [33]. Analysis of single Gata4 vivo-morpholino treated gonads confirmed the regulation of Star [50] and led to the identification of novel downstream genes such as the ovarian markers Ctnnb1, Fst, and Bmp2. Our data suggest that GATA4 does not contribute to the sexually dimorphic expression of Ctnnb1 (Fig 4C and 4D), but rather sustains basal levels of β-catenin necessary for gonadal development in both, males and females [51]. FST is a secreted glycoprotein, which prevents the formation of testis-specific vasculature and germ cell death through inhibition of activin B [52,53]. Therefore, GATA4 may facilitate testis formation by inhibiting Fst expression, which in turn leads to increased activin B levels. This interpretation is in line with the observed increase in Bmp2 expression in testis upon GATA4 knockdown, because in ovaries FOXL2 and BMP2 cooperatively enhance Fst expression [54]. This observation suggests that GATA4 is required in order to repress ovarian promoting transcripts when cell fate decisions follow along the male pathway (Fig 4C).

Activation of the Amh promoter by GATA4 has been reported earlier [55], but the specific decrease of Amh and Amhr2 mRNA levels in embryonic ovaries upon GATA4 antisense inhibition is on the first view unexpected (Fig 4C and 4D). Given that Amh expression in the ovary generally is very low (Fig 1B), activation of Amh and Amhr2 by GATA4 may have no physiological significance. Regulatory feedback mechanisms could be detected on the level of Gata4 itself. Despite efficient inhibition of GATA4 protein translation (Figs 3C and 4A), its mRNA levels were significantly increased after 72 h of Gata4 vivo-morpholino treatment (Fig 4C and 4D). Such a robust GATA4 feedback regulation has been also described in other models [56]. In the gonads, this feedback control may involve the generation of two major alternative Gata4 transcripts, which differ in their first exon [35]. While the proximal Gata4 E1a RNA predominates in adult tissues, the developing gonads express both, the Gata4 E1a and the E1b transcripts at similar high levels [35]. Notably, the translation start site is located in exon2 of the Gata4 gene, and alternative exon1a and 1b are both non-coding. In line with repression of the Gata4 E1b
promoter by GATA4 in Leydig and Sertoli cells, elevated Gata4 E1b transcripts have recently been reported in Gata4 null gonads [35], which is supported by our data (Figs 4C, 4D and 5). Our findings further suggest that activation of transcription from the Gata4 E1b promoter provides an important back-up mechanism to ensure sufficient GATA4 levels for repressing ovarian promoting genes in testes. Despite elevated Gata4 exon1b mRNA levels GATA4 protein was reduced in the Gata4 vivo-morpholino treated cultures (Fig 4A) due to inhibition of protein translation.

GATA4 has been found to physically interact with WT1 in the co-regulation of the promoters of the Amh and Sry genes, respectively [30]. This was our rationale for investigating the combined effects of WT1 and GATA4 on gonadal genes by joint antisense inhibition of both molecules. For most genes (Dax1, Nr5a1, Sox9, Amh, Amhr2), combined down-regulation of GATA4 prevented the decrease of mRNAs that was caused by sole Wt1 vivo-morpholino treatment. This indicates that WT1 requires GATA4 in order to establish and maintain a sex-specific gene expression signature in the developing gonads. In addition, simultaneous knockdown of WT1 and GATA4 suggests a synergistic stimulatory effect of both proteins on the expression of Foxl2 (Fig 4B and 4D). Similar changes in transcript levels were caused by combined WT1 and GATA4 knockdown and by sole GATA4 depletion (Fig 4C and 4D).

Recent findings indicate that the hedgehog signaling pathway is important for male sex differentiation. Desert hedgehog (DHH) is a secreted protein expressed in Sertoli cells of the embryonic testis that induces precursor cells surrounding the embryonic testis cords to become androgen producing Leydig cells [36,57]. Male Dhh null mice are infertile lacking development of mature sperm cells likely due to impaired differentiation of peritubular myoid cells in the absence of HH signaling [58–60]. Down-regulation of Dhh transcripts in Wt1 vivo-morpholino treated XY gonadal explants (Fig 6A) is in line with a previous report of reduced Dhh expression in Wt1-deficient testes of adult mice [39]. Furthermore, our findings corroborate a most recent study illustrating decreased Dhh mRNA levels in Wt1-deficient testes at E13.5 [61]. By demonstrating that WT1 knockdown also decreased Gli1, Gli2, Pcth1 and SMO transcripts in embryonic XY gonads (Fig 6A), our findings suggest that WT1 activates the HH pathway at multiple stages. Notably, Wt1 vivo-morpholino treatment did not significantly change transcript levels of Gli3, which is thought to function mainly as a transcriptional repressor in the absence of active HH signals [62]. Our data further suggest that–opposite to WT1–GATA4 inhibits Dhh and Pcth1 expression in XY gonads (Fig 6B). Transcript levels of Dhh were significantly lower in XX than in XY gonads, suggesting a higher activity of the HH signaling pathway in embryonic testes than in embryonic ovaries [41,42]. Yet, given the observation that activation of the HH pathway in the mouse fetal ovary leads to the ectopic appearance of fetal Leydig cells and female pseudo-hermaphroditism [63], abnormal expression of WT1 and/or GATA4 may impair gonad development secondary to changes in HH signaling.

Targeted Wt1 inactivation in Sertoli cells has recently been reported to impair the differentiation of peritubular myoid cells and fetal Leydig cells during testis development [64,65] even though WT1 is normally absent from both, androgen producing Leydig cells in fetal testes and theca precursor cells in fetal ovaries. Disturbed Leydig cell development in response to Wt1 inactivation in Sertoli cells is therefore likely an indirect effect, e.g. resulting from the loss of Sertoli cell-derived signals that promote Leydig cell differentiation. Notably, Leydig cells and theca-interstitial cells are the steroidogenic cells and the major sites of Nr5a1 and Star expression in male and female gonads, respectively. We therefore assume that the changes in Nr5a1 and Star expression caused by WT1 knockdown are also indirect, i.e. due to abnormal paracrine signaling from Sertoli and granulosa cells to interstitial Leydig and theca precursor cells, respectively (Fig 4).
Although it remains to be determined which of the observed changes in gonadal gene expression are immediate consequences of WT1 and GATA4 protein depletion, our findings nevertheless contribute to the overall understanding of gonad development and can be summarized as represented in Fig 7. WT1 is required to establish a sex-specific gene expression program in developing gonads. Furthermore, it exerts a crucial role beyond the stage of sex determination by maintaining the expression of Nr5a1, Sox9, Amh, Star, Amhr2, Smo, Dhh and Ptc1. GATA4 represses ovarian promoting transcripts such as Ctnnb1, Bmp2, and Fst, in the testis, and thereby may be essential for the maintenance of the testis phenotype. GATA4 is also involved in repressing Dhh and Ptc1 transcript levels in embryonic testis, which may contribute to the fine-tuning of their threshold levels. Given such a pivotal role of GATA4, it is likely that sufficient GATA4 levels are ensured by a back-up mechanism provided by the GATA4 E1b isoform in the testis. During ovary development, i.e. in the absence of SRY, WNT4 and RSPO-1 levels are stabilized and promote canonical Wnt signaling. In the ovary, WT1 is required for Dax1 expression. GATA4 acts as a repressor of Ctnnb1 and serves as an activator for the transcripts Gli2, Gli3, and Smo, the latter of which may be regulated synergistically by both WT1 and GATA4. WT1 and GATA4 may also synergize on Foxl2 transcription, which contributes to a ovarian specific signature. Suggested stimulatory (→) and inhibitory (⊥) pathways identified herein are marked in red color.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0176296.g007

**Acknowledgments**

The expert technical assistance of Sabine Schröter, Ulrike Neumann and Ursula Kastner is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Leonie Ringrose for her helpful comments and input to the manuscript.

**Author Contributions**

*Conceptualization:* LJR CD HS KMK.
Data curation: LJR KMK.
Formal analysis: LJR KMK.
Funding acquisition: CD HS.
Investigation: LJR KMK.
Methodology: LJR CD HS KMK.
Project administration: CD HS.
Resources: CD HS.
Supervision: CD HS.
Validation: LJR CD HS KMK.
Visualization: LJR KMK.
Writing – original draft: LJR CD HS KMK.
Writing – review & editing: LJR CD HS KMK.

References
1. Bunce C, Capel B. Cycling in the Cell Fate Landscape. Curr Top Dev Biol. 2016; 116: 153–165. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ctdb.2015.10.001 PMID: 26970618
2. Munger SC, Capel B. Sex and the circuitry: Progress toward a systems-level understanding of vertebrate sex determination. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Syst Biol Med. 2012; 4: 401–412. https://doi.org/10.1002/wsbm.1172 PMID: 22605638
3. Kreidberg JA, Sariola H, Loring JM, Maeda M, Pelletier J, Housman D, et al. WT-1 is required for early kidney development. Cell. 1993; 74: 679–691. PMID: 8395349
4. Molkentin JD, Lin Q, Duncan SA, Olson EN. Requirement of the transcription factor GATA4 for heart tube formation and ventral morphogenesis. Genes Dev. 1997; 11: 1061–1072. PMID: 9136933
5. Watt AJ, Battle MA, Li J, Duncan SA. GATA4 is essential for formation of the proepicardium and regulates cardiogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004; 101: 12573–8. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0400752101 PMID: 15310850
6. Wilhelm D, Englert C. The Wilms tumor suppressor WT1 regulates early gonad development by activation of Sf1. Genes Dev. 2002; 16: 1839–1851. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.220102 PMID: 12130543
7. Hu YC, Okumura LM, Page DC. Gata4 Is Required for Formation of the Genital Ridge in Mice. PLoS Genet. 2013; 9: e1003629. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003629 PMID: 23874227
8. Bradford ST, Wilhelm D, Bandiera R, Vidal V, Schedl A, Koopman P. A cell-autonomous role for WT1 in regulating Sry in vivo. Hum Mol Genet. 2009; 18: 3429–3438. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddp283 PMID: 19549635
9. Hammes A, Guo JK, Lutsch G, Leheste JR, Landrock D, Ziegler U, et al. Two splice variants of the wilms’ tumor 1 gene have distinct functions during sex determination and nephron formation. Cell. 2001; 106: 319–329. PMID: 11509181
10. Svingen T, Koopman P. Building the mammalian testis: origins, differentiation, and assembly of the component cell populations. Genes Dev. 2013; 27: 2409–26. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.228080.113 PMID: 24240231
11. Manuylov NV, Zhou B, Ma Q, Fox SC, Pu WT, Tevosian SG. Conditional ablation of Gata4 and Fog2 genes in mice reveals their distinct roles in mammalian sexual differentiation. Dev Biol. 2011; 353: 229–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.02.032 PMID: 21385577
12. Tevosian SG, Albrecht KH, Crispino JD, Fujiwara Y, Eicher EM, Orkin SH. Gonadal differentiation, sex determination and normal Sry expression in mice require direct interaction between transcription partners GATA4 and FOG2. Development. 2002; 129: 4627–34. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12223418 PMID: 12223418
13. McClelland KS, Bell K, Larney C, Harley VR, Sinclair AH, Oshlack A, et al. Purification and transcriptomic analysis of mouse fetal Leydig cells reveals candidate genes for specification of gonadal
steroidogenic cells. Biol Reprod. 2015; 92: 145. https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.115.128918 PMID: 25855264

14. Beverdam A, Koopman P. Expression profiling of purified mouse gonadal somatic cells during the critical time window of sex determination reveals novel candidate genes for human sexual dysgenesis syndrome. Hum Mol Genet. 2006; 15: 417–31. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddi463 PMID: 16399799

15. Jameson SA, Natarajan A, Cool J, DeFalco T, Maatouk DM, Mork L, et al. Temporal transcriptional profiling of somatic and germ cells reveals biased lineage priming of sexual fate in the fetal mouse gonad. PLoS Genet. 2012; 8: e1002575. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002575 PMID: 22438826

16. Eggers S, Smith KR, Bahlo M, Louijenga LH, Drop SL, Juniarto ZA, et al. Whole exome sequencing combined with linkage analysis identifies a novel 3 bp deletion in NR5A1. Eur J Hum Genet. 2015; 23: 486–493. https://doi.org/10.1038/ejhg.2014.130 PMID: 25099250

17. McClelland KS, Wainwright EN, Bowles J, Koopman P. Rapid screening of gene function by systemic delivery of morpholino oligonucleotides to live mouse embryos. PLoS One. 2015; 10: e0114932. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0114932 PMID: 25629157

18. Svingen T, Wilhelm D, Combes AN, Hosking B, Harley VR, Sinclair AH, et al. Ex vivo magnetofection: a novel strategy for the study of gene function in mouse organogenesis. Dev Dyn. 2009; 238: 956–64. https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.21919 PMID: 19301396

19. Hartwig S, Ho J, Pandey P, Macisaak K, Taglienti M, Xiang M, et al. Genomic characterization of Wilms' tumor suppressor 1 targets in nephron progenitor cells during kidney development. Development. 2010; 137: 1189–1203. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.045732 PMID: 20215353

20. Jacobi CLJ, Rudiger LJ, Schoel H, Kirschner KM. Transcriptional regulation by the wilms tumor protein, WT1, suggests a role of the metalloproteinase Admats16 in murine genitourinary development. J Biol Chem. 2013; 288: 18811–18824. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.464644 PMID: 23661704

21. Wagner K-D, Wagner N, Vidal VPI, Schley G, Wilhelm D, Schedl A, et al. The Wilms' tumor gene WT1 is required for normal development of the retina. EMBO J. 2002; 21: 1398–1405. https://doi.org/10.1093/embj/21.6.1398 PMID: 11889045

22. Hacker A, Capel B, Goodfellow PN, Lovell-Badge RH, Behlke MA, Bogan JS, et al. Expression of Sry, the mouse sex determining gene. Development. 1995; 121: 1603–14. PMID: 7600978

23. Clapcote SJ, Roder JC. Simplex PCR assay for sex determination in mice. Biotechniques. 2005; 38: 702–706. PMID: 15945368

24. Maatouk DM, Dinapoli L, Alvers A, Parker KL, Taketo MM, Capel B. Stabilization of beta-catenin in XY gonads causes male-to-female sex-reversal. Hum Mol Genet. 2008; 17: 2949–2955. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddn193 PMID: 18617533

25. Martens LK, Kirschner KM, Warnecke C, Scholz H. Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) is a transcriptional activator of the TrkB neurotrophin receptor gene. J Biol Chem. 2007; 282: 14379–88. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M609857200 PMID: 17374610

26. Dame C, Kirschner KM, Bartz KV., Wallach T, Hussels CS, Scholz H. Wilms tumor suppressor, Wt1, is a transcriptional activator of the erythropoietin gene. Blood. 2006; 107: 4282–4290. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2005-07-2889 PMID: 16467207

27. Kirschner KM, Hagen P, Wallach T, Hussels CS, Wallach T, Hussels CS, Scholz H. The Wilms' tumor suppressor Wt1 regulates transcription of genes required for mammalian sex determination and differentiation. BMC Mol Biol. 2008; 9: 44. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2199-9-44 PMID: 18445271

28. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing [Internet]. Vienna, Austria; 2015. Available: http://www.r-project.org/

29. Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis [Internet]. Springer-Verlag New York; 2009.

30. Miyamoto Y, Taniguchi H, Hamel F, Silversides DW, Viger RS. A GATA4/WT1 cooperation regulates transcription of genes required for mammalian sex determination and differentiation. BMC Mol Biol. 2008; 9: 44. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2199-9-44 PMID: 18445271

31. Gao F, Maiti S, Alam N, Zhang Z, Deng JM, Behringer RR, et al. The Wilms tumor gene, Wt1, is required for Sox9 expression and maintenance of tubular architecture in the developing testis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006; 103: 11987–11992. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0600994103 PMID: 16877546

32. Wagner K-D, Wagner N, Bondke A, Naef B, Flemming B, Theres H, et al. The Wilms' tumor suppressor Wt1 is required for normal development of the kidney. FASEB J. 2002; 16: 1117–9. https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.01-0986fje PMID: 12039855

33. Efimenko E, Padua MB, Manuylov NL, Fox SC, Morse DA, Tevesian SG. The transcription factor GATA4 is required for follicular development and normal ovarian function. Dev Biol. 2013; 381: 144–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2013.06.004 PMID: 23769843
34. Svingen T, Spiller CM, Kashimada K, Harley VR, Koopman P. Identification of suitable normalizing genes for quantitative real-time RT-PCR analysis of gene expression in fetal mouse gonads. Sex Dev. 2009; 3: 194–204. https://doi.org/10.1159/000228720 PMID: 19752599

35. Mazaud-Guittot S, Prud'homme B, Bouchard MF, Bergeron F, Daems C, Tevosian SG, et al. GATA4 autoregulates its own expression in mouse gonadal cells via its distal 1b promoter. Biol Reprod. 2014; 90: 25. https://doi.org/10.1095/bioreprod.113.113290 PMID: 24352556

36. Yao HHC, Whoriskey W, Capel B. Desert Hedgehog/Patched 1 signaling specifies fetal Leydig cell fate in testis organogenesis. Genes Dev. 2002; 16: 1433–40. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.981202 PMID: 12050120

37. Huangfu D, Anderson KV. Signaling from Smo to Ci/Gli: conservation and divergence of Hedgehog pathways from Drosophila to vertebrates. Development. 2006; 133: 3–14. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.02169 PMID: 16339192

38. Barsoum I, Yao HHC. Redundant and differential roles of transcription factors Gli1 and Gli2 in the development of mouse fetal Leydig cells. Biol Reprod. 2011; 84: 894–9. https://doi.org/10.1095/bioreprod.110.088997 PMID: 21209421

39. Chen M, Wang X, Wang Y, Zhang L, Xu B, Lv L, et al. WT1 Is Involved in Leydig Cell Steroid Hormone Biosynthesis by Regulating Paracrine Factors Expression in Mice. Biol Reprod. 2014; 90: 25. https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.113.113290 PMID: 18391535

40. Gao Y, Toska E, Denmon D, Roberts SGE, Medler KF. WT1 regulates the development of the posterior taste field. Development. 2014; 141: 2271–8. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.105676 PMID: 24803588

41. Bitgood MJ, McMahon AP. Hedgehog and Bmp genes are coexpressed at many diverse sites of cell-cell interaction in the mouse embryo. Dev Biol. 1995; 172: 126–38. https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1995.0010 PMID: 7589793

42. Franco HL, Yao HHC. Sex and hedgehog: roles of genes in the hedgehog signaling pathway in mammalian sexual differentiation. Chromosome Res. 2012; 20: 247–58. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10577-011-9254-z PMID: 22105695

43. Klattig J, Sierig R, Kruspe D, Makki MS, Englert C. WT1-mediated gene regulation in early urogenital ridge development. Sex Dev. 2007; 1: 238–254. https://doi.org/10.1159/000104774 PMID: 25139092

44. Ryan J, Ludbrook L, Wilhelm D, Sinclair A, Koopman P, Bernard P, et al. Analysis of gene function in cultured embryonic mouse gonads using nucleofection. Sex Dev. 2011; 5: 7–15. https://doi.org/10.1159/000322162 PMID: 21099207

45. Motamedi FJ, Badro DA, Clarkson M, Lecca MR, Bradford SA, et al. WT1 controls antagonistic FGF and BMP-pSMAD pathways in early renal progenitors. Nat Commun. 2014; 5: 4444. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5444 PMID: 25031030

46. Liu CF, Parker K, Humphrey Y. WNT4/beta-catenin pathway maintains female germ cell survival by inhibiting activin betaB in the mouse fetal ovary. PLoS One. 2010; 5: e10382. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010382 PMID: 20454446
54. Kashimada K, Pelosi E, Chen H, Schlessinger D, Wilhelm D, Koopman P. FOXL2 and BMP2 act cooperatively to regulate follistatin gene expression during ovarian development. Endocrinology. 2011; 152: 272–280. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2010-0636 PMID: 21084449
55. Viger RS, Mertineit C, Trasler JM, Nemer M. Transcription factor GATA-4 is expressed in a sexually dimorphic pattern during mouse gonadal development and is a potent activator of the Müllerian inhibiting substance promoter. Development. 1998; 125: 2665–2675. PMID: 9636081
56. von Salisch S, Kiar M, Thirisch B, Bungert J, Dame C. Gata4 and Sp1 regulate expression of the erythropoietin receptor in cardiomyocytes. J Cell Mol Med. 2011; 15: 1963–1972. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1582-4934.2010.01193.x PMID: 21029371
57. Cool J, Capel B. Mixed signals: development of the testis. Semin Reprod Med. 2009; 27: 5–13. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0028-1108005 PMID: 19197800
58. Bitgood MJ, Shen L, McMahon AP. Sertoli cell signaling by Desert hedgehog regulates the male germ-line. Curr Biol. 1996; 6: 298–304. PMID: 8805249
59. Clark AM, Garland KK, Russell LD. Desert hedgehog (Dhh) gene is required in the mouse testis for formation of adult-type Leydig cells and normal development of peritubular cells and seminiferous tubules. Biol Reprod. 2000; 63: 1825–38. PMID: 11090455
60. Pierucci-Alves F, Clark AM, Russell LD. A developmental study of the Desert hedgehog-null mouse testis. Biol Reprod. 2001; 65: 1392–402. PMID: 11673255
61. Chen M, Zhang L, Cui X, Lin X, Li Y, Wang Y, et al. Wt1 directs the lineage specification of sertoli and granulosa cells by repressing Sf1 expression. Development. 2017; 144: 44–53. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.144105 PMID: 27888191
62. Taipale J, Beachy PA. The Hedgehog and Wnt signalling pathways in cancer. Nature. 2001; 411: 349–54. https://doi.org/10.1038/35077219 PMID: 11357142
63. Barsoum IB, Bingham NC, Parker KL, Jorgensen JS, Yao HH-C. Activation of the Hedgehog pathway in the mouse fetal ovary leads to ectopic appearance of fetal Leydig cells and female pseudohermaphroditism. Dev Biol. 2009; 329: 96–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2009.02.025 PMID: 19268447
64. Wen Q, Zheng Q-S, Li X-X, Hu Z-Y, Gao F, Cheng CY, et al. Wt1 dictates the fate of fetal and adult Leydig cells during development in the mouse testis. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2014; 307: E1131–43. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00425.2014 PMID: 25336526
65. Wen Q, Wang Y, Tang J, Cheng CY, Liu Y-X. Sertoli Cell Wt1 Regulates Peritubular Myoid Cell and Fetal Leydig Cell Differentiation during Fetal Testis Development. PLoS One. 2016; 11: e0167920. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0167920 PMID: 28036337