Overview of Legal and Policy Framework Approaches for Plastic Bag Waste Management in African Countries
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Plastic bag waste is a major challenge in several African countries. As a way of reducing the detrimental effects posed by plastic bags, governments have adopted various approaches for plastic bag waste management that include levies, bans and or the combination of the two. Despite the adoption of anti-plastic bag policies in several African countries, there has been limited investigation regarding their effectiveness. Thus, the present paper reviews the effectiveness of legal and policy framework approaches for plastic bag waste management in African countries. This systematic review covers legal and policy framework approaches for plastic bag waste management in African countries since 2004 with a view to find their effectiveness. Data sources included peer-reviewed journal articles, websites, books, reports, and dissertations. The databases from which literature was retrieved included Elsevier, Taylor, Springer, institutional repository, and Google Scholar. The current paper argues that poorly enforced plastic bag legislation, resistance from stakeholders, and limited effective substitutes are major factors hindering effective plastic bag waste management in Africa. A six-step model developed by Patton and Sawicki assisted in evaluating plastic bag policies in varied African nations. The study concluded that the key to effective legislation is hinged on consistent enforcement and educating the public to attain environmental buy-in. African countries should adopt and implement the Patton and Sawicki six-step rationalist model to achieve effective plastic bag legislation. African governments should enlighten consumers about other alternatives that are more specific to African countries, especially bags made of raffia and leaves. The findings are limited in that there may be other relevant articles (beyond published articles) about policy and legal approaches for plastic bag waste management, which are not available in the public domain. Therefore, data reviewed may not be exhaustive.

1. Introduction

Plastic bag waste is linked to environmental and socio-economic problems [1, 2]. They are responsible for the clogging of water drains. Blocked water reticulation systems cause water bursts that lead to excessive loss of water [3–5]. This has economic repercussions as local authorities have to repair or acquire new water pipelines. Furthermore, plastic bag waste has been linked to the spread of disease; they create standing water for mosquitoes [5–7]. The light nature of plastic bags makes them windblown, causing loss of aesthetic value in the environment [8–10].

Plastic bag pollution causes negative environmental effects in several African countries for example, Botswana, Uganda, Kenya, and Zimbabwe [11–14]. They negatively affect the aesthetic quality of the environment, block water channels, and are responsible for the deaths of some animals. Animals and livestock often mistake plastic bags for food and often die after ingesting discarded plastic bags. In Gondar city, Ethiopia, plastic bags were found in stomachs of cows in abattoirs [15]. Plastic bag waste in landfills leaches into water sources causing soil pollution. Plastic bag waste promotes breeding ground for mosquitoes that cause malaria [10]. Based on literature review, plastic bag pollution has detrimental effects on the environment.

In 2015, plastic bags and other plastic packaging waste accumulated and clogged drains during a heavy downpour in Ghana Accra. This scenario resulted in flooding in which at least 150 people lost their lives and infrastructure was damaged [16]. Improperly disposed plastic bag waste
promotes the spread of diseases. Diseases such as malaria and Zika virus can easily spread [17].

Plastic bag waste is made of toxic chemicals [18]. The decomposition of plastics in landfills cause environmental pollution [19]. Incinerated plastic bag litter releases dangerous pollutants such as furans and dioxins that have adverse effects on life [1, 20]. Some plastic bags contain toxic chemical additives, including persistent organic pollutants (POPs), which have been linked to health issues such as impairment of the nervous system, leukemia, skin diseases, cancer, eye irritation, vision failure, and developmental and reproductive diseases [21]. Microplastics can accumulate in food chains through water supplies and agricultural soils. As a result, this leads to health issues (oxidative stress, necrosis, and inflammation) linked to cardiovascular disease and cancer, if ingested by humans [1, 20]. Considering the previously mentioned observations, it can be concluded that improperly discarded plastic bag waste causes health problems, hence the need to regulate them.

Fears have been raised about the increasing volume of plastic production worldwide (Figure 1), and governments have adopted regulatory, normative and cultural systems to control the problem (Figure 2). Africa and Middle East share approximately 7% distribution of plastics production. Globally, between 1950 and 2015, there was a significant increase in manufactured plastics from 2 million tonnes to 380 million tonnes [1]. The volume of plastics in oceans is expected to increase significantly by 2025 [21]. This is of environmental concern as plastic packaging materials are a threat to aquatic life. The findings reveal that Asia and Europe are the leading continents in plastic production; however, plastic remains an environmental issue in Africa because of the environmental hazards they cause.

Governments have enacted laws to reduce the detrimental effects of plastic bag litter. These measures include levies and bans and the hybrid of the two [26, 27]. In Africa, several countries have enacted plastic bag ban legislation; however, plastic bag pollution is still a challenge [5, 8, 9, 12, 28]. The previously mentioned disposition has spurred the authors to provide a review of legal and policy framework approaches for plastic bag waste management in African countries.

Several studies [27, 29, 30] are based on legal and policy approaches for plastic bag waste management in developed countries. The current review paper takes a unique approach by examining literature on legal and policy approaches for plastic bag waste management in African countries. To achieve this objective, the researcher sought to answer the following research questions: how effective are legal and policy framework approaches for plastic bag waste management in African countries? The main research question was answered through the following subquestions.

(i) Which African countries have enacted plastic bag legislation?

(ii) Are enforcement and monitoring systems effective?

(iii) Is the public accepting or resisting plastic bag legislation?

While the current paper review offers novel insights about policy and legal approaches for plastic bag waste management in Africa, it also has limitations.

2. Methodology

2.1. Protocol. The protocol included eligibility criteria, information sources, study selection, data collection process, data items, risk of bias in individual studies, and data analysis. This systematic review was informed by Cochrane handbook guidelines and was reported in line with PRISMA requirements [31].

2.2. Eligibility Criteria. Relevant reports, books, websites, and peer-reviewed journal articles about policy and legal approaches for plastic bag waste management in Africa (from 2004 to date), policy analysis, and lessons from successful global policies were independently reviewed at the title and abstract level by two authors. Plastic bag legislation in Africa dates back from 2004 (for example Rwanda and South Africa) up to date. Any differences were resolved by discussion until consensus was reached. A list of African countries that have enacted plastic bag laws and policies was collected from several researchers [5–8]. Information sources were done by keyword searches such as plastic bag legislation in Africa, using Google.

2.3. Data Items. Data sources included 57 relevant peer-reviewed reports, websites, books, and peer-reviewed journal articles about policy and legal approaches for plastic bag waste management in Africa, policy analysis, and lessons from successful global policies. The databases from which literature was retrieved included the following: Elsevier, Taylor, Springer, Google Scholar, institutional repository, reports, and books. Information was collected by key word searches derived from expertise in the subject field (for example, plastic regulation in Africa) using Google. The two authors independently searched for data sources. Disagreements about data selection were resolved through discussion between the two authors [31].

Data about African countries that have enacted legislation to control the use of plastic bags was presented in tabulated form. Data was grouped according to outcome to evaluate effectiveness of policy and legal approaches for plastic bag waste management in African countries. Data analysis included a process for synthesising, analysing, and presenting descriptive summaries. Results were grouped based on African countries that have enacted plastic bag legislation and effectiveness of plastic bag policies (enforcement and monitoring systems and public acceptance or resistance of plastic bag legislation).

3. Theoretical Framework

Theories help to understand, explain, and make predictions about a given subject matter [32, 33]. The institutional theory helps guide and understand that the human be-
behaviour can be controlled by the regulatory pillar. The regulatory pillar is also interconnected to normative and cultural pillars. All these pillars influence the effective implementation of any plastic bag legislation [34, 35].

3.1. Institutional Theory. According to the institutional theory, institutional structures control humans (Figure 2), namely, regulatory, normative, and cultural systems [35, 36]. These social structures shape behaviour and restrict certain human actions [36]. Although regulatory, normative, and cultural systems are regarded as important components of institutions, it is important to consider individual’s behaviour and material resources as significant factors that can influence sustainable plastic bag waste management [22, 23].

The regulatory pillar constrains and regularises human behaviour [25]. Government and local authorities govern and enforce laws on the public. However, legislation must be interpreted, conflicts resolved, and surveillance measures put in place to achieve intended objectives [23, 37].

The normative pillar underlines the importance of values and norms in shaping human behaviour [22, 35, 36]. Normative pillar consists of both values and norms. Values are conceptions of the desired while norms specify how people are supposed to behave [37, 38].

The cultural cognitive element gives emphasis to cultural legitimacy based on a common reference [38]. Although norms, values, rules, and cultural beliefs are key ingredients of institutions, the theory must also consider material resources and individual’s behaviour [22–24].

The researcher examined all legal and policy approaches for plastic bag waste management based on the regulatory pillar and determined the level of private and public participation guided by the influence of cultural and normative systems. As suggested by [23], the researcher took into consideration material resources individual’s behaviour as determinants of effective plastic bag legislation. Institutions can be weakened by exogenous factors such as economic crises [39]. Many researchers [26, 40, 41] have established that sustainable waste management is dependent and deeply rooted in institutional structures (regulative, normative, and cultural systems) in most African countries such as Tanzania, Uganda, and South Africa. African governments have enacted laws to govern consumption of plastic bags.

4. Policy Analysis

Policy analysis is a process of identifying and evaluating policies meant to reduce or manage socioeconomic and physical problems [42]. Policy analysis is vital in identifying
and evaluating plastic bag policies. A six-step model developed by [42] was adopted (Table 1) in determining the effectiveness of plastic bag policies in several African countries. The model can help develop effective policies that can help manage plastic bag waste problems in Africa.

A six-step model developed by [42] was adopted to determine the effectiveness of plastic bag policies in several African countries. Several researchers have used political acceptability, compliance, and monitoring systems to determine the effectiveness of plastic bag management policies.[5, 6, 9].

Thus, the researchers made use of factors such as political acceptability, compliance, and monitoring systems to determine the success and failure of legislation in countries such as Zimbabwe, South Africa, Botswana, and Rwanda.

5. Results

5.1. Plastic Bag Legislation in Africa. The African continent has the highest number of countries that has enacted legislation to control the use of plastic bags. Some of these countries include Mali, Kenya, Zimbabwe, Guinea-Bissau, Ethiopia, Eritrea, Tanzania, Chad, Cameroon, Mauritania, Morocco, Niger, Somalia, Rwanda, Tunisia, Mozambique, Botswana, and South Africa (Table 2) [5, 8, 14, 43]. Legislation enforcement remains a challenge to many African countries mainly because of stakeholder resistance and inconsistent/weak enforcement [5, 6, 16].

Poorly enforced and outdated waste management legislation has been noted as one factor hindering sustainable plastic bag waste management in Africa [44]. In Zimbabwe, legislation pertinent to solid waste management was previously administered by more than four government ministries. Following the enactment of the Environment Management Act (EMA) (Chapter 20:27) into law in 2002, environmental legislation such as Natural Resources Act (Chapter 20:13) and Natural Resources Act (Chapter 20:13) were replaced to bring about harmonization in environmental legislation.

5.2. Effectiveness of Plastic Bag Policies. Studies in several African countries such as Uganda, South Africa, Kenya, and Zimbabwe have enacted legislation to control the use of plastic bags [45–47]. Plastic bag ban legislation in some countries have been effective, while others have encountered limited or no noticeable changes [3, 48].

5.2.1. Enforcement and Monitoring Systems. In Africa, Rwanda carries the torch in plastic bag waste management. The country has enacted strict laws prohibiting the use of single use plastic bags to the extent that travellers from abroad are not allowed to enter the country with plastic bags [49]. The Rwanda reinstated plastic bag ban in 2008 and the legislation is effectively enforced to date [9]. The legislation came into place because of plastic bag pollution and its impacts on the agricultural sector [14]. However, alternatives at that time did not exist and environmentally friendly bags were to be imported [50]. Other successful cases have been observed in Eritrea, where plastic bags have been replaced by cotton bags, and in Senegal, where recycling system has been implemented for the recovery of plastic products [5, 6, 9].

Burkina Faso and Madagascar enacted a ban on the use of thin plastic bags in 2014 and 2015, respectively [5, 51]. Problems that have hindered the effective legislation enforcement include stakeholder resistance, poor enforcement, and lack of substitutes [26]. Weak or poor plastic bag ban enforcement has been detected in DRC, Cote d’Ivoire, Ethiopia, Mali, Malawi, Niger, Morocco, and Tanzania [5, 6, 8, 9]. Inconsistent enforcement is still a challenge in many African countries, hence the need for a consistent enforcement monitoring system.

5.2.2. Public Resistance of Plastic Bag Legislation. In 2017, Kenya reinstated a plastic bag ban. The ban came after the global call of managing plastic bag litter, which is blamed for disastrous effects on marine life and the environment in general [13]. However, the ban of plastic bags has been condemned by other stakeholders in waste management. Kenya Association of Manufacturers (KAM) challenged the plastic bag ban legislation arguing that if upheld, it would lead to immense losses in the affected companies. The court, however, dismissed the suit holding that if KAM’s orders were granted, the plastic bags would bring about negative environmental problems [52].

For legislation to be effective, stakeholders must be adequately involved as this gives them a sense of belonging and to be committed to the successful implementation of plastic bag legislation [53, 54]. Plastic bag ban resistance has been observed in other countries like Zimbabwe, Benin, DRC, Ethiopia, Ghana, Mali, and Uganda [5, 8, 9]. Effective legislation is hinged on consistent enforcement and educating the public to attain environmental buy-in [6]. Thus, governments and local authorities should do extensive public awareness programmes to enlighten consumers about the detrimental effects of plastics and the need to use substitutes such as cotton bags and bags made from leaves or raffia as they are environmentally friendly.

Botswana adopted a plastic bag ban legislation and levy to reduce the detrimental effects of plastic bags [55]. The introduction of the plastic levy in Botswana caused a decline in the consumption of plastic bag [48, 55]. The plastic bag ban was challenged by manufacturers who argued that they were not adequately consulted before the ban was enacted and that there was no justification concerning plastic bag substitutes [56]. The ban had limited success and was reinforced in 2017.

South Africa introduced a plastic bag levy of 46 Rand cents in 2003, which was afterwards reduced to 32 Rand cents, with the retailers and the public paying public 15 and 17 Rand cents, respectively. Following the reduction of the plastic bag levy, plastic bag consumption rose again [28, 57]. The country then enacted a ban on single-use plastic bags in 2004 [58]. The ban came because of the negative impacts associated with plastics on the environment [12]. The use of
| Step | Description |
|------|-------------|
| Step 1: identify the problem | This entails problem identification and description. Many African governments have enacted plastic bag policies/legislation after observing their detrimental effects in the environment (Jambeck et al., 2018). This step is vital and forms a foundation for any effective and efficient plastic bag policy. Factors such as politically acceptance, public compliance, public awareness, policy enforcement, reduction or consumption of plastic bag, and legality are considered to evaluate how effective is a plastic bag policy [5, 8, 11, 26]. |
| Step 2: establish evaluation criteria | Several policies should be identified and combined to ensure their effectiveness and efficiency. Data collected from different governmental documents and journals showed that governments employ different plastic bag policies with varied results. Case studies from countries such as Rwanda, Zimbabwe, South Africa, and Kenya have helped to establish key factors determining failure or success of plastic bag policies [5, 8, 9, 11]. |
| Step 3: identify alternative policies | Alternative policies are evaluated based on political acceptance, public compliance, public awareness, policy enforcement, reduction or consumption of plastic bag, and legality to ensure their effectiveness [5, 9]. |
| Step 4: evaluate alternative policies | After the evaluation of alternatives, findings can be used to determine the most effective policies [5, 6, 8]. |
| Step 5: indicate the most effective plastic bag policies | After the evaluation of alternatives, findings can be used to determine the most effective policies [5, 6, 8]. |
| Step 6: monitoring the implemented policy | Policy analysis continues after policy implementation. After policy implementation, a monitoring system has to be developed to monitor the effectiveness of plastic bag policy. Policy analysis helps establish whether the policy is being correctly implemented and helps determine if there are any modifications necessary to ensure improved compliance [6, 8]. |

**Table 1**: Six-step rationalist (adapted from [42]).

| Country | Year |
|---------|------|
| Benin   | 2017 |
| Botswana| 2007 and 2017 (ban reinstated) |
| Burkina Faso | 2014 |
| Cameroon | 2014 |
| Cape Verde | 2016 |
| Chad | 2005 |
| Cote d’Ivoire | 2014 |
| Djibouti | 2016 |
| Egypt | 2017 |
| Eritrea | 2005 |
| Ethiopia | 2016 |
| Gabon | 2010 |
| Gambia | 2015 |
| Ghana | 2015 |
| Guinea-bissau | 2016 |
| Kenya | 2007, 2011 and 2017 (ban reinstated) |
| Madagascar | 2015 |
| Malawi | 2015 |
| Mali | 2013 |
| Mauritania | 2013 |
| Mauritius | 2016 |
| Morocco | 2015 |
| Mozambique | 2016 |
| Niger | 2014 |
| Nigeria | 2014 |
| Republic of the Congo | 2012 |
| Rwanda | 2004 and 2008 (ban reinstated) |
| Senegal | 2015 |
| Somalia | 2005 and 2015 (ban reinstated) |
| South Africa | 2004 (levy) |
| Tanzania | 2006 |
| Togo | 2014 |
| Tunisia | 2017 |
| Uganda | 2007 |
| Zimbabwe | 2010 |

**Table 2**: Examples of African countries that have enacted legislation to control the use of plastic bags [2, 5, 6, 43].
plastic bags dropped slightly, but then increased again because of law enforcement problems [12, 57].

Zimbabwe enacted a ban on all single-use plastic bags in a drive to reduce pollution [59]. Despite the growing unpopularity of plastic bags with Zimbabwean environmental groups supporting total ban, Mangizvo [57] is of the view that plastic regulation is better than total phasing out of thin plastic bags. Furthermore, the researcher called for adequate public consultation and extensive media coverage before the law is put in place and supports the idea of banning single-use plastic bags as they are difficult to recycle. However, legislation of plastic bag waste tends to be ineffective and inefficient if there is no strict enforcement and poor change management strategy. If government departments and local authorities are reluctant to prosecute people who violate provisions of the legislation, plastic bag waste management becomes a challenge.

6. Lessons from Successful Global Policies

Studies in several countries, such as England, Ireland, Netherlands, China, Philippine, and Australia, have shown that plastic bag fee is effective in controlling plastic bag use [5, 6, 8, 60]. China introduced plastic bag fee of 0.20–0.50 CNY in 2008. He [61] observed a 64% decline in the consumption of new plastic bags by consumers when shopping. England introduced a plastic bag fee of 5 pence in 2015 on major businesses. Poortinga et al. [27] conducted a study in an independent supermarket and revealed a 36% decrease in plastic bag consumption following the introduction of the plastic bag fee. Portugal introduced a plastic bag tax of 0.10 Euro on plastic bags in 2015. Martinho et al. [29] found out that there was a great reduction (74%) in plastic bag use and an increase (61%) of reusable plastic bags in one of the supermarkets following introduction of the tax. Wales introduced a single-use plastic bag fee of 5 pence (0.07 USD) in 2011. The consumption of plastic bags fell by 70% in a variety of retail shops following the introduction of the plastic bag fee. The number of people who used their own bags improved by 21% from 61% [30].

Global cases from other countries who have implemented plastic bag fee have shown a significant reduction in plastic bag consumption [8, 59]. The combined effect of plastic bag tax and ban, strict enforcement, and educational awareness campaigns can help realise effective plastic bag policies [5, 6, 26].

7. Conclusion

Several African countries have enacted legislation banning use of lightweight plastic bags to reduce the detrimental effects of plastic bag litter. However, there is complexity around the application of legal or policy framework in Africa. Identified legislation enforcement impediments included poor/limited enforcement, legislation resistance, and lack of substitutes. All these factors have hindered effective and sustainable plastic bag waste management. The findings are limited in that there may be other relevant articles (beyond published articles) about policy and legal approaches for plastic bag waste management, which are not available in the public domain. As a result, data reviewed may not be exhaustive.

8. Summary Measures

The current paper has established that poorly enforced plastic bag legislation, resistance from stakeholders, and limited effective substitutes are major factors hindering effective plastic bag waste management in Africa.

A six-step model developed by [42] should be adopted and implemented by African countries as it is vital in determining the effectiveness of plastic bag policies. The model is fundamental in the development of policies that are effective and sustainable for African countries. Plastic bag policies should be based on tested approaches and communicated and understood by members of the public to ensure transparency. A mechanism for stakeholder involvement should be established before law enactment. Sound legal frameworks contribute to effective law enforcement.

Governments need to move away from conformance and compliance to conscious decision making based on understanding people’s behavioural trends and their value in keeping the environment clean. Legislation must be interpreted, conflicts resolved, and surveillance measures put in place to attaining environmental buy-in and intended objectives. In that way, people can hold each other accountable.

Law enforcers should do routine monitoring to educate users and illegal traders about the negative impacts of plastic bags. Other strategies include the following:

(a) Conducting more foot patrols
(b) Developing strong connections and continually improve social networks into communities where there are no bonds
(c) Sharing policies, information, investigative results, and other important information about legislation
(d) Developing posters for antilittering and illegal dumping

The public should bring their own bags when shopping and actively partake in clean-up campaigns.
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