Obligate Ligation-Gated Recombination (ObLiGaRe): Custom-designed nuclease-mediated targeted integration through nonhomologous end joining
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Custom-designed nucleases (CDNs) greatly facilitate genetic engineering by generating a targeted DNA double-strand break (DSB) in the genome. Once a DSB is created, specific modifications can be introduced around the breakage site during its repair by two major DNA damage repair (DDR) mechanisms: the dominant but error-prone nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ) pathway, and the less-frequent but precise homologous recombination (HR) pathway. Here we describe ObLiGaRe, a new method for site-specific gene insertions that uses the efficient NHEJ pathway and acts independently of HR. This method is applicable with both zinc finger nucleases (ZFNs) and Tale nucleases (TALENs), and has enabled us to insert a 15-kb inducible gene expression cassette at a defined locus in human cell lines. In addition, our experiments have revealed the previously underestimated error-free nature of NHEJ and provided new tools to further characterize this pathway under physiological and pathological conditions.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]

The development of custom-designed nucleases (CDNs), including zinc finger nucleases (ZFNs) and Tale nucleases (TALENs), has made it possible to perform precise genetic engineering in many cell types and species (Kim et al. 1996; Bibikova et al. 2003; Porteus and Baltimore 2003; Moehle et al. 2007; Hockemeyer et al. 2009; Christian et al. 2010; Meyer et al. 2010; Urnov et al. 2010). CDNs are hybrid endonucleases consisting of a FokI nuclease domain and a DNA binding domain assembled from optimized DNA binding modules that are specific for either single-nucleotide (for TALENs) or trinucleotide motifs (for ZFNs). Once introduced into cells, CDNs generate a double-strand break (DSB) in the genome at or near the desired modification site and induce DNA damage repair (DDR) to mend the break (Rouet et al. 1994). Repair is largely accomplished by error-prone nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ), in which the two ends are processed and ligated together in a way that is frequently accompanied by nucleotide insertions and deletions. Though highly efficient, NHEJ produces knockout alleles that are often heterogeneous, and individual cell clones must be isolated for characterization. Currently, specific gene modification relies on homologous recombination (HR), in which exogenous DNA fragments flanked by homologous sequences around the DSB site are copied faithfully from a template with defined boundaries (Rouet et al. 1994).

We have successfully applied ZFNs to generate knockout and knock-in alleles directly in mouse zygotes (Meyer et al. 2010; Cui et al. 2011). While optimizing gene targeting conditions, we observed that a donor plasmid can be “ligated” into the genome if it contained the same ZFN recognition site as the targeted genomic locus. It has been reported that short, double-stranded DNAs with 5’ overhangs could be ligated to complementary ends generated after ZFN digestion (Orlando et al. 2010). This observation has not been further explored, probably because it requires the knowledge of the overhangs generated by ZFNs, and only insertions of small oligonucleotides have been described (Orlando et al. 2010). Furthermore, it has also been shown that donor molecules, including single-strand oligodeoxynucleotides (ssODNs) (Radecke et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2011) and larger external linear sequences, can be captured at DSB sites generated by ZFNs (Mittelman et al. 2009; Fung and Weinstock 2011; Gabriel et al. 2011; Li et al. 2011). This feature has been harnessed to track “off-target” effects of the homing endonuclease I-SceI and ZFNs (Petek et al. 2010). Based on these reports and our own observation in mouse embryos, we surmised that it should be possible to directly ligate an external DNA fragment linearized in situ by the same ZFNs that target the genome.

We took advantage of the obligated heterodimeric property of the CDNs (Miller et al. 2007; Szczepk et al. 2007; Doyon et al. 2011; Ramalingam et al. 2011) and designed a strategy to achieve efficient and precise gene targeting without homology in the donor plasmid. We named this method ObLiGaRe (Obligate Ligation-Gated Recombination) to reflect the etymologic meaning of the Latin verb obligare (“to bind,” “to join to”). ObLiGaRe should be broadly applicable across different cell types and provides an additional approach for genetic engineering.

Results

ObLiGaRe mediated precise end-joining

In order to directly ligate an exogenous DNA fragment into the genome, we initially introduced ZFN binding sites into a donor plasmid with the same orientation as in the genome. However we found this strategy often yielded unpredictable products, presumably because the same ZFN binding sites were produced after ligation, which could then be repetitively digested by the ZFNs, a process that could stimulate end recessing before joining (Pruett-Miller et al. 2008). One essential requirement for ZFN-mediated site-specific digestion using obligated heterodimers is that a pair of ZFNs needs to form heterodimers through the modified FokI nuclease domain after binding to their targeted DNA sequences on the opposite strands. We reasoned that if we altered the orientation of the ZFN recognition sequences in the donor plasmid, we could lock the ligation product in a palindromic identical half ZFN.
recognition sites that would no longer be sensitive to the same obligate heterodimeric ZFN pairs. We used the well-characterized AAVS1 ZFN binding site (located in the first intron of PIP1R12C) (Hockemeyer et al. 2009) to illustrate this design principle (Fig. 1A).

Here we inverted the two half AAVS1 ZFN binding sites in the vector without changing the orientation of the linker region (Fig. 1A, ObLiGaRe donor). The AAVS1 ZFNs cut both the genome and the donor plasmid to produce complementary overhangs. After ligation, the newly formed junctions are resistant to further digestion by AAVS1 ZFNs.

To test our hypothesis, we constructed a vector containing the modified AAVS1 ZFN site followed by a promoter-less T2A-puro cassette. Precise ligation of this cassette into the AAVS1 site in the genome would render the cells resistant to puromycin. We also included a constitutive GFP reporter driven by CAG promoter (Xu et al. 2001) in the vector to track the cells transfected with the plasmid. We transfected the donor plasmid into HCT116 cells and observed an increase in the number of puromycin-resistant colonies when the donor plasmid was cotransfected with the plasmid encoding AAVS1 ZFNs (Supplemental Fig. 1). We isolated four randomly chosen puromycin-resistant, GFP-positive clones and examined the vector integration by Southern blot. All four clones were heterozygous insertions of the vector, as indicated with an AAVS1 locus-specific probe (Fig. 1B). One clone had a 2-nucleotide insertion in the linker region at the 5' insertion junction, which was not predicted to affect the downstream splicing acceptor (sa) and the expression of puromycin-resistant gene (Fig. 1B).

We characterized four puromycin-resistant clones and found three had the expected insertions at the AAVS1 locus with no detectable random insertions as judged by Southern blot (Fig. 1B). All clones (clones 2–4) were hemizygous for the insertion, as expected, due to the haploid nature of the AAVS1 locus in KBM7 cells. We then sequenced the PCR amplified 5' and 3' insertion junctions of these clones. In the cases that we were unable to directly sequence the PCR products (3' junction in clones 1 and 4, Fig. 1B), amplified junctions were cloned and sequenced. In contrast to experiments in RKO and HCT116 cells, the sequences of the junctions in KBM7 cells were heterogeneous and often involved deletions, probably between potential microhomologies (Fig. 1B). This high proportion of aberrant ligation might be due to the unique DDR mechanisms associated with haploid KBM7 cells, which are also karyotypically unstable (Andersson et al. 1995; Kobayashi et al. 1998; Kotecki et al. 1999; Skorski 2008).

To determine whether ObLiGaRe is restricted to the AAVS1 locus and/or AAVS1 ZFNs, we used PTEN-specific ZFNs to insert a vector containing a...
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promoter-less T2A-mCherry cassette and a CAG-neo selection marker into the PTEN locus in HCT116 cells. Because the human genome contains a PTEN pseudogene, PTENP1 (Poliseno et al. 2010), that is also targeted by the PTEN ZFNs, we expected to observe insertions at both PTEN and PTENP1. We isolated four G418-resistant clones and examined integration sites at both PTEN and PTENP1 loci by Southern blot. Because we used an autonomous selection marker, we expected that a proportion of G418-resistant clones would result from random insertion of the donor plasmid into the genome. Surprisingly, all clones had targeted insertions in the PTEN locus. Clones 1 and 3 were heterozygous, and clone 4 was homozygous for the insertion as judged by Southern blot (Fig. 2B). Interestingly, clone 2 had targeted homozygous insertions in both PTEN and PTENP1 loci (Fig. 2B). However, analysis of clone 2 DNA also showed a larger than expected band in the Southern blot (Fig. 2B). This size of the band implied it was the result of incorporating two copies of donor plasmid ligated head-to-tail, which was confirmed by further characterization (Supplemental Fig. 2). Clone 1 had an extra insert in the genome as detected by the vector-specific probe (cm probe), which could be the result of either random insertion or insertion in other unidentified locus cleaved by PTEN ZFNs. We sequenced the 5’ junctions at PTEN locus in clones 1, 3, and 4 and found they all had precise predicted sequences at the junction between PTEN and T2A-mCherry (Fig. 2B). We used a TOPO TA Cloning (Life Technologies) strategy to isolate and sequence 5’ junctions at both PTEN and PTENP1 locus in clone 2 and identified additional ligation products in this clone, suggesting the two alleles of both genes might have been modified differently (Fig. 2B, table).

In order to confirm that ObLiGaRe does not rely on any specific mutations in HCT116 and RKO cells, which are known to be defective in DNA mismatch repair mechanisms (Brown et al. 2003), we decided to use a pair of ZFNs to target exon 3 of Nras gene in mouse myoblast C2C12 and primary mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) cells (Fig. 3). We cotransfected C2C12 cells either with the Nras ZFN plasmid alone or with an ObLiGaRe vector containing a promoter-less T2AmCherry cassette and a PGKneo selection marker. We then studied the integration in four randomly selected G418-resistant, mCherry fluorescent clones by Southern blot. Because we used an autonomous selection marker containing a promoter-less T2AmCherry cassette and a PGKneo selection marker, we expected to observe insertions at both PTEN and PTENP1 loci by Southern blot. Because we used an autonomous selection marker, we expected that a proportion of G418-resistant clones would result from random insertion of the donor plasmid into the genome. Surprisingly, all clones had targeted insertions in the PTEN locus. Clones 1 and 3 were heterozygous, and clone 4 was homozygous for the insertion as judged by Southern blot (Fig. 2B). Interestingly, clone 2 had targeted homozygous insertions in both PTEN and PTENP1 loci (Fig. 2B). However, analysis of clone 2 DNA also showed a larger than expected band in the Southern blot (Fig. 2B). This size of the band implied it was the result of incorporating two copies of donor plasmid ligated head-to-tail, which was confirmed by further characterization (Supplemental Fig. 2). Clone 1 had an extra insert in the genome as detected by the vector-specific probe (cm probe), which could be the result of either random insertion or insertion in other unidentified locus cleaved by PTEN ZFNs. We sequenced the 5’ junctions at PTEN locus in clones 1, 3, and 4 and found they all had precise predicted sequences at the junction between PTEN and T2A-mCherry (Fig. 2B). We used a TOPO TA Cloning (Life Technologies) strategy to isolate and sequence 5’ junctions at both PTEN and PTENP1 locus in clone 2 and identified additional ligation products in this clone, suggesting the two alleles of both genes might have been modified differently (Fig. 2B, table).

In order to confirm that ObLiGaRe does not rely on any specific mutations in HCT116 and RKO cells, which are known to be defective in DNA mismatch repair mechanisms (Brown et al. 2003), we decided to use a pair of ZFNs to target exon 3 of Nras gene in mouse myoblast C2C12 and primary mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) cells (Fig. 3). We cotransfected C2C12 cells either with the Nras ZFN plasmid alone or with an ObLiGaRe vector containing a promoter-less T2AmCherry cassette and a PGKneo selection marker. We then studied the integration in four randomly selected G418-resistant, mCherry fluorescent clones by Southern blot. Because all the clones were mCherry positive, suggesting correct in-frame fusion of T2AmCherry into Nras exon 3, only clone 4 had the predicted targeted integration of the vector at the Nras locus without additional genomic insertion events (Fig. 3B). Clone 1 had a smaller band hybridizing to the Nras-specific probe (NB), which might represent a deleted allele present in their largely tetraploid genome (Casas-Deluchii et al. 2011). We sequenced the junctions between Nras and mCherry in the genome of clone 4 and confirmed they were perfectly ligated (data not shown). In applying the same strategy to target primary MEF cells, we could not perform clonal selection, so we genotyped pooled cells 3 d after transfection using genomic PCR with primers specific for either the 5’ or 3’ junctions. We obtained the PCR products expected for correct integration only in cells transfected with the ObLiGaRe vector and Nras ZFN plasmid (Fig. 3C, D+Z). We sequenced individually cloned PCR products and found that the majority of the sequences were the precise end joining products at both the 5’ and 3’ ends (Fig. 3C).

ObLiGaRe mediated insertion of a 15-kb inducible gene expression cassette at the AAVS1 locus

Previously, inducible transgene expression from the AAVS1 locus was achieved by targeting a tetracycline controlled responder in the AAVS1 locus followed by delivery of the reverse tetracycline transcription activator (rtTA) via lentiviral transduction or gene targeting in a second allele of AAVS1 (Hockemeyer et al. 2009; DeKelver et al. 2010). We determined whether ObLiGaRe could facilitate insertion of the entire 15-kb inducible transgenic cassette into the AAVS1 locus in a single step. We inserted the modified AAVS1 ZFN site at the 5’ of an inducible cassette that contains all the components for doxycycline-induced transgene expression in mice (Y Yang, unpubl.) and cotransfected it with AAVS1 ZFN

![Figure 2. ObLiGaRe at the PTEN locus. (A) Strategy for targeting human PTEN and PTENP1. PTEN ZFN recognition sites are indicated as orange and cyan circles with the corresponding sequences displayed in the same color scheme. The predicted joining sequences between the vector and the genome are indicated. The insertion of the vector will cause a size shift of a BrsGI (B) digested fragment from wild type of 4.1 kb (WT) to the ligation product of 9.5 kb (LP) at PTEN locus and 25.7 kb (WT) to 31.0 kb (LP) at PTENP1 locus. PTEN internal probe (P1), PTENP1 (P2), and vector-specific probe (cm) are indicated in the map at the hybridization sites. (B) Southern blots using probes specific for PTEN (PT, left), PTENP1 (P1, middle), and the vector (cm, right) for four G418-resistant colonies. P1 probe also could detect exon 1 of PTEN, which is the lowest signal across all lanes (middle blot). The sequence of the 5’ junction between PTEN or PTENP1 and mCherry are indicated in the table. Insertions are indicated in red, where “ins” represents a 224-bp insertion.](https://www.genome.org/content/541/7/541.full.html)
In this study we developed ObLiGare, a strategy of site-specific gene insertion utilizing the NHEJ pathway. We speculated that ObLiGare might be mediated by NHEJ since it does not require any homology between the donor and the target. To test this hypothesis, we inserted a defective GFP (DGF) harboring a mouse Nras ZFN recognition site at the AAVS1 locus by HR in HCT116 cells (Fig. 5A). We chose one of the correctly targeted heterozygous clones as a reporter (clone 10, Supplemental Fig. 3). We designed two donor plasmids, one had 500-bp homology arms to the DGF and could reconstitute functional GFP by HR; the other had a modified Nras ZFN site 5' to a promoter-less T2A-mCherry cassette, which would lead to mCherry expression upon insertion by ObLiGare (Fig. 5A). We transfected Nras ZFN plasmid with both ObLiGare and HR donors into the reporter cell line and measured GFP and mCherry-positive cells by FACS. We detected six times more mCherry-positive cells than GFP-positive cells in the reporter line but not the parental line (Fig. 5B). All clones derived from the mCherry fluorescent cells presented precise end joining of the mCherry with the defective GFP (data not shown). Interestingly, when we added a potent inhibitor of DNA-PK (a key component of NHEJ) (Hollick et al. 2003) to the medium, the number of mCherry fluorescent cells was significantly reduced, whereas that of GFP fluorescent cells was increased (P < 0.001) (Fig. 5C). Conversely, a significant increase of mCherry fluorescent cells with a concomitant decrease of GFP fluorescent cells (P < 0.001) (Fig. 5C) was observed after adding 4 mM caffeine (a nonspecific inhibitor of the ATM and ATR kinases involved in HR) to the medium (Sarkaria et al. 1999). Taken together, these data suggest that ObLiGare is mediated via the NHEJ pathway.

**Discussion**

Targeted gene modification in mammalian cells has been achieved almost exclusively through laborious homologous recombination techniques and has been limited to cells where this pathway seems particularly active. Though CDNs have made gene targeting feasible in cell lines that have low intrinsic HR efficiency, NHEJ is still the dominant DSB repair pathway. As a result, knockout (KO) alleles generated by NHEJ are obtained at a much higher frequency than knock-in (KI) alleles generated by HR. A deficiency in NHEJ can significantly promote HR as seen in *Escherichia coli* in which NHEJ is negligible (Li et al. 1996; Beumer et al. 2008; Maresca et al. 2010). In this study we developed ObLiGare, a strategy of site-specific gene insertion utilizing the NHEJ pathway. It applies a similar logic to the one used in unidirectional loxP sites (Oberdoerffer et al. 2003) but maintains all the advantages and flexibility of CDNs. The alternate design of the ZFNs site that we insert in the vector is necessary and sufficient to achieve precise end joining of the vector in the genomic ZFN site. Though it is less frequent, we also observed aberrant joining products involving small deletions or insertions at the junctions in HCT116 cells (Figs. 1, 4; Supplemental Table 1). We speculated that these might result from processing alternative overhang types generated by the same ZFNs that were mismatched (Smith et al. 2000; Zeevi et al. 2008; Hockemeyer et al. 2003) but maintains all the advantages and flexibility of CDNs. The alternate design of the ZFNs site that we insert in the vector is necessary and sufficient to achieve precise end joining of the vector in the genomic ZFN site. Though it is less frequent, we also observed aberrant joining products involving small deletions or insertions at the junctions in HCT116 cells (Figs. 1, 4; Supplemental Table 1). We speculated that these might result from processing alternative overhang types generated by the same ZFNs that were mismatched (Smith et al. 2000; Zeevi et al. 2008; Hockemeyer et al. 2003).
et al. 2009; Orlando et al. 2010). Furthermore, genetic and cellular context might influence the ligation precision, as observed in KBM7 cells (Fig. 1B). Nevertheless, we never observed precise insertion of the vector at targeted genomic locus when a ZFNs site identical to the one present in the genome is introduced in the vector. In fact no integration of a surrogate reporter plasmid bringing a ZFN site identical to the one in the genome was observed in a recent study (Kim et al. 2011). While our manuscript was under revision, Cristea et al. (2012) reported that introducing a ZFN site in a donor plasmid could promote its integration into the ZFN targeting site in the genome. Because the same ZFN sites were used in donor plasmids, only a minority of genomic insertions had precise 5' and 3' junctions, presumably due to repetitive digestions by ZFNs (Cristea et al. 2012). Interestingly, they found that inefficient donor integration could also happen when the ZFN sites used for donor linearization and chromosomal cleavage were not identical. This is in agreement with what Orlando et al. (2010) reported previously that double-stranded oligos with mismatched overhangs to the DSB generated by ZFNs can be captured though at much lower frequency compared with those with complementary overhangs. ObLiGaRe should be more efficient under circumstances where precise ligation is critical, for example, to make an in-frame fusion between a reporter and the endogenous gene.

ObLiGaRe eliminates cloning homology arms into the donor vector and does not require any previous knowledge of the overhangs generated by specific CDNs. We are currently modifying the donor plasmids so that the vector backbone can be removed after integration using Cre or Flpe recombinases. Alternatively the ObLiGaRe donors lacking the vector backbone can be generated from minicircle DNA vectors (Kay et al. 2010).

Although we have tested ObLiGaRe mostly with ZFNs in this study, we found it also worked with AAVS1 TALENs (Supplemental Fig. 4). We also showed that it worked in a variety of cell types, including KBM7 and C2C12 cells, in which precise gene insertion has not previously been demonstrated. We are currently testing whether it can be used in primary, nonreplicating cells in which NHEJ seems to be the predominant pathway to repair DSBs. We are also exploring whether it can work in mouse and zebrafish embryos. Finally we used ObLiGaRe to insert the largest construct in the genome to date by ZFNs (Fig. 3), and we predict that we can use it to deliver even larger constructs, such as BACs, into a predefined genomic locus, which is very challenging, if not impossible, to achieve via HR.

Beyond the practical value of our method, ObLiGaRe can be used as a new tool in the study of NHEJ and the crosstalk between NHEJ and HR. Although similar strategies were reported using the

---

**Figure 4.** Introduction of a 15-kb inducible gene expression cassette in the AAVS1 locus by single-step ObLiGaRe. (A) Strategy to introduce an inducible gene expression cassette. ZFN cutting sites are the same as in Figure 1. The expression of rTA is controlled by the constitutive CAG promoter, while GFP is under the doxycycline responsive promoter (tetO) (Gossen and Bujard 1992). The STOP sign indicates a transcription termination cassette. Int and neo represent the probes for Southern blot. p1–p2 and p3–p4 are PCR primers used to amplify the 5' and 3' junctions. K indicates KpnI. (B) Southern blot of eight positive clones is shown with both internal (int) and vector-specific probe (neo). (LP) Expected ligation product. The sequences for 5' and 3' junctions of the eight clones are reported in the table on the right with dotted lines indicating deletions. (C) GFP fluorescence is detected by fluorescence microscopy in cells from clone 6 without (−) and with (+) 48 h treatment of 1 μg/ml doxycycline (dox).
I-SceI homing endonuclease (Certo et al. 2011), our strategy allows us to track any endogenous locus that can be targeted by ZFNs. We are interested in using this reporter system to monitor differential utilizations of NHEJ and HR in cells before and after oncogenic transformation. We are also continuing to identify chemical and genetic modulators that influence the cells to choose NHEJ or HR for DDR.

Methods

Cell culture and transfection

HCT116 and RKO (American Type Culture Collection) were cultured in McCoy’s 5A medium (Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Life Technologies). C2C12 (American Type Culture Collection) were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Life Technologies). KBM7 cells were cultured in IMDM Glutamax (Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. HCT116, RKO, C2C12, and MEFs cells were plated in six-well plates (50%–80% confluence) and transfected with Lipofectamine LTX according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 2 μg of ZFN plasmid and 0.5 μg of ObLiGaRe vector were mixed with 12 μL of Lipofectamine LTX reagent and cotransfected into 5 × 10⁵ cells. Two days after transfection, cells were transferred to 15-cm plates and subjected to either puromycin (Life Technologies) selection (0.5 μg/mL) or G418 (Life Technologies) selection (100 μg/mL) the following day. GFP-positive, puromycin-resistant clones were harvested after 15 d of selection. We electroplated 10⁷ KBM7 cells with 8 μg of ZFN plasmids and 2 μg of ObLiGaRe donor using a Gene PulserII electroporation system (Bio-Rad) with electrical settings of 250 V and 950 μF. GFP-positive cells were sorted by FACS (FACArria, Becton Dickinson) 2 d after transfection, and 100 GFP-positive cells were seeded in 12 wells and selected with puromycin (1.0 μg/mL); GFP-positive, puromycin-resistant clones (pools) were harvested after 10 d of selection.

Comparison of HR to ObLiGaRe

We transfected 2 × 10⁵ reporter cells with 0.2 μg ZFN plasmid, 0.3 μg ObLiGaRe donor (Ob), and 0.5 μg HA donor plasmids (HA), using 4 μL Lipofectamine LTX in 12 wells.

Table 1. PCR primers

| Southern blot internal probe for AAV51 | 5'-tttctgtcagctcttgct 5' | 5'-ggtgtagaagggccacgataaa 5' |
| Southern blot probe for cm | 5'-tacctgtagatataccagttg 5'-tgccgctagcagttaacctcc |
| Southern blot probe for PTEN | 5'-ttccatcactctcagct | 5'-ttggtcaccttcagcttggc |
| Southern blot probe for PTENP1 | 5'-tgccgctagcacttcagcgc | 5'-ttggtcaccttcagcttggc |
| Southern blot probe for Nras | 5'-gttccagtgccctgttcaat 5'-cacaaccacttcccgaaact |
| Southern blot probe for neo | 5'-ttccagtgccctgttcaat 5'-cacaaccacttcccgaaact |

Figure 5. ObLiGaRe is mediated via NHEJ. (A) Illustration of the reporter DGF cassette in the AAVS1 locus. ZFN sites are the same as in Figure 3. A functional GFP is generated after HR using the HA donor, while a functional mCherry is inserted upon ObLiGaRe using the ObLiGaRe donor. The two donors are about the same size. (B) Determination of fluorescent cell number after cotransfection of Nras ZFN, ObLiGaRe, and HA donors by FACS. Detection of GFP and mCherry cells were gated using parental cells (WT, left), which did not show any fluorescent cells upon cotransfection. When the reporter cell line was cotransfected with the three constructs, GFP and mCherry cells were detected in their corresponding gates (DGF, right). (C) Representation of the percentage of GFP- and mCherry-positive cells out of total live cells in WT HCT116 cells, of reporter HCT116 cells without treatment (DGF), or after treatment with 10 μM Nu7026 or 4 mM caffeine. Error bars, SD; n = 3.
The percentage of GFP and mCherry-positive cells was measured using a FACS cantoll flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson) 4 d after transfection.

Treatment with Nu7026 (Sigma Aldrich) was started 1 d before transfection with a final concentration of 10 μM Nu7026 (from a 10 mM stock solution in DMSO) and was continued for 2 d after transfection. ANOVA and Tukey’s HSD tests were adopted to perform statistical analysis using R statistics software.

ZFN expression plasmids
ZFNs against the PTEN and Nrus loci were designed and manufactured by Sigma-Aldrich. ZFNs and TALENs used in this work carried obligate heterodimer forms of the FokI endonuclease. AAVS1 ZFNs and TALENs were made according to the method described by Hockemeyer et al. (2009, 2011). The ZFN expression cassettes were obtained by Sigma-Aldrich but modified to insert both ZFNs in one plasmid using ad hoc recombination (M Maresca, unpubl.). The sequence of linking the AAVS1 ZFN pair is shown in Supplemental Figure 5. SURVEYOR assay was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Transgenomic) using the primers listed in Table 1.
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