Influence of the length of target DNA overhang proximal to the array surface on discrimination of single-base mismatches on a 25-mer oligonucleotide array
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Abstract

Background: The performance of probes on an oligonucleotide microarray can be characterised in terms of hybridisation signal strength and the ability to discriminate sequence mismatches between the probe and the hybridising target strand, such as those resulting from SNPs. Various properties of the probe affect mismatch discrimination, such as probe length and the position of mismatched bases, and the effects of these factors have been well characterised in a variety of array formats.

Results: A low-density microarray was developed to systematically investigate the effect of a probe’s position within hybridised target PCR products on the tolerance and discrimination of single-nucleotide mismatches between the probe and target. In line with previous reports, hybridisation signals were attenuated by different degrees depending on the identity of the mismatch, the position of the mismatch within the probe, and the length of the PCR product. However, the same mismatch caused different degrees of attenuation depending on the position of the probe within the hybridising product, such that improved mismatch discrimination was observed for PCR products where a greater proportion of the total length was proximal to the array surface.

Conclusions: These results suggest that the degree of mismatch discrimination can be influenced by the choice of PCR primers, providing a means by which array performance could be fine-tuned in addition to manipulation of the properties of the probes themselves.
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Background

High-density microarrays in a conventional glass slide format with fluorescence detection, such as those used for gene expression studies, pose a relatively high operational burden due to time-consuming protocols and the cost of the equipment required for high-resolution fluorescence detection. In the context of pathogen detection, however, the requirement is more likely to be for a method which allows large numbers of samples to be tested efficiently at one time. Low-density microarrays, such as those using the ArrayTube (AT) platform [1-3] allow the detection of a smaller number of targets (typically < 100) with improved simplicity of handling and low-cost scanning instrumentation.

A typical low-density array protocol involves subjecting sample DNA to multiplex PCR with labelled nucleotides or primers, followed by application of the labelled products to the array and identification of the probes to which the products have hybridised. A frequently used approach is to select conserved target genes, enabling amplification using broad-range PCR primers followed by discrimination of different products by hybridisation to specific probes [4]. Conversely, in order to reliably detect sequence variants (for example, different viral strains or novel viruses) it can be necessary to tolerate sequence differences both in the PCR primers and...
the probes on the array [5]. In order to detect diverse targets (for example, bacterial and viral pathogens) on a single array, it may therefore be necessary to maximise mismatch discrimination for some targets while tolerating mismatches for others.

The performance of probes on an array is affected by factors including probe length [6,7], number and distribution of mismatches between the probe and target [8-10], orientation of attachment to the array surface and presence or absence of spacers [11,12]. The effects of mismatched bases on probe-target hybridisation have been studied in great detail, using microarrays in a variety of formats [10,13]. Greater specificity is achieved by using shorter probes [7], but longer probes are associated with higher hybridisation signals [6]. Furthermore, mismatches located at the centre of the probe have a greater effect on hybridisation signals than mismatches close to the ends of the probe [8,13,14]. Hybridisation is also dependent on the properties of the target nucleic acid; in particular, relatively long targets are associated with secondary structure which can significantly hinder hybridisation [15-17]. The performance of the array is therefore also influenced by the PCR primers used, in terms of the specificity they confer, their general suitability for PCR (since array sensitivity is largely determined by the sensitivity of the PCR) and the secondary structure of the amplified product. Generalisations can be made about favourable properties of the PCR products, such as length [10] and labelling method, for optimal performance of an array in terms of signal strength, specificity and limit of detection. The location of the PCR primers within the target sequence will also determine the position of the probe within the amplified product. Peytavi et al. [18] reported that the position of the probe can affect hybridisation signal strength; while Stedtfeld et al [19] found that false positive signals can be caused by interaction between overhanging target nucleic acid and labelled background DNA. We hypothesise that the position of the probe within the PCR product (i.e. it's proximity to the 5’ or 3’ end of the hybridising strand) could also influence its performance in terms of the tolerance or discrimination of mismatches. A 25-mer oligonucleotide array in an AT format was designed to investigate this hypothesis.

Methods

Probe design

Perfect match probes with a length of 25 bases and a melting temperature of approx. 62°C were designed to target Burkholderia pseudomallei chromosome II (accession number CP000571). They were selected in regions of the sequence (Table 1) such that single-base changes could be introduced to result in eight mismatches between the probe and the target sequence (AA, AG, GG, CC, AC, CT, TT, and GT) at three different positions (in the 3’ third, the middle third, and the 5’ third). In this way, sets of 25 probes were constructed: a perfect match probe and 24 mismatch probes each containing a single mismatch with the target sequence. Microarrays were manufactured by Alere (Jena, Germany) using their ArrayTube platform and consisted of oligonucleotide probes with a 3’ amino modification and C6 spacer. Probes were spotted in duplicate.

PCR primer design

PCR primers were designed based on B. pseudomallei sequence (accession number CP000571) to generate PCR products of different lengths and in different positions relative to the probes. The PCR products generated by different primer pairs could be characterised in terms of the total length of the PCR product and the length of the section of the PCR product overhanging the probe sequence at its 3’ end, referred to as the 5’ segment of the product (see Figure 1); this is the region referred to as the ‘surface-proximal tail’ by Stedtfeld et al. [19]. Primers were synthesised by MWG Eurofins (Ebersberg, Germany). Their sequences are shown in Table 2.

DNA extraction

Burkholderia pseudomallei CLO2 DNA was prepared and quantified by the Defence Science and Technology Organisation (DSTO) in Melbourne, Australia. The DNA extract was sterility checked to enable work under Biological Safety Level 2 (BSL2) conditions.

PCR with biotin labelling

PCR was carried out in 50 μl reactions containing 1× Go Taq Flexi Colourless Buffer (Promega, Madison, WI, USA); 1.5 mM MgCl₂; 80 μM each dATP, dCTP and dGTP; 52 μM dTTP; 28 μM biotin-11-dUTP (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA); 300 nM forward primer; 300 nM reverse primer; 1.25 units Go Taq polymerase (Promega) and 1 ng B. pseudomallei DNA. Primer combinations were as shown in Table 2. Cycling conditions were 95°C for 2 min, followed by 30 three-step cycles of 95°C for 30 s 58°C for 30 s and 72°C for 1 min, with a final extension step at 72°C for 5 min. After amplification, reactions were purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and eluted in nuclease-free water. Approximate DNA concentrations of the purified PCR products were determined using a Qubit fluorometer and dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Life Technologies, Foster City, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Approximate copy numbers were estimated on the basis of DNA concentration and the molecular weight of the predicted product. Each primer combination was initially tested in unlabelled PCR with dNTPs at 80 μM each and
the products analysed by agarose gel electrophoresis to confirm the approximate size of the product and absence of primer dimers or other amplification artifacts (data not shown).

Asymmetric PCR with biotin labelling

Asymmetric PCR is often used to generate predominantly single-stranded PCR products for hybridisation to microarrays, and the presence of the complementary, non-hybridising strand has been shown to influence hybridisation efficiency and other factors [18,20]. In order to investigate the effect on tolerance and discrimination of mismatches, forward primer for 4 was modified for asymmetric PCR taking into account design considerations for Linear-After-The-Exponential (LATE) PCR [21] as shown in Table 2. PCR reactions and cycling conditions were the same as those used for symmetric PCR, except that the concentration of the reverse (excess) primer was increased to 500 nM and the concentration of the modified forward (limiting) primer was reduced to

| Table 1 Probe sequences (Continued) |
|------------------------------------|
| 5TT 2 | ACGTATCCTCGAAAAGACGATCAA |
| MTT 2 | ACGATATCTCCTGAAGACGATCAA |
| 3TT 2 | ACGATACCTCGAAAAGACGATCAA |
| SGT 2 | ACGATATCCCTCGAAAAGACGATCAA |

Mismatched bases are indicated in bold.
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Figure 1 Illustration of the position of probes within PCR-amplified product. The section of the product overhanging the probe proximal to the array surface is referred to as the 5‘ segment of the product, and the section overhanging the 5‘ end of the probe is referred to as the 3‘ segment of the product.

![Diagram of probe position](image-url)
25, 50 or 100 nM to give primer ratios of 20:1, 10:1 and 5:1, respectively. Approximate total DNA concentrations (single- and double-stranded DNA) were determined for symmetrical and asymmetrical PCR products using a Qubit fluorometer and ssDNA Assay Kit (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and concentrations were adjusted to give approximately equal total DNA concentrations. The symmetrical and asymmetrical PCR products were treated with S1 nuclease and subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis to determine the presence or absence of single-stranded amplification products [22]. For treatment with S1 nuclease, 10 μl PCR product was combined with 20 units S1 nuclease and 4 μl 5× S1 nuclease reaction buffer (Thermo Scientific) in a final reaction volume of 20 μl and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Treated and untreated PCR products (10 μl and 5 μl, respectively) were analysed by electrophoresis in 1.2% agarose gels containing GelRed nucleic acid stain (Biotium, Hayward, CA) at a final concentration of 0.5×.

Array hybridisation and analysis

Biotin-labelled PCR products were made up to a volume of 40 μl in nuclease-free water and mixed with 70 μl Nexterion Hybridisation Buffer (Schott, Mainz, Germany), then heated to 95°C for 4 min and cooled to 55°C. Array Tubes were conditioned by applying 500 μl nuclease-free water and incubating at 55°C with shaking at approx. 500 rpm for 5 min, after which the water was removed and 500 μl Nexterion Hybridisation Buffer was added and incubated at 55°C for 5 min with shaking. The buffer was removed and the pre-heated PCR products were applied to the Array Tubes and incubated at 55°C for 1 h with shaking at approx. 500 rpm. The Array Tubes were washed three times: first in 2× SSC containing 0.01% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA), then 2× SSC and finally in 0.2× SSC; for each wash step, the previous solution was removed, 500 μl relevant wash buffer was added and the ArrayTubes were incubated for 5 min at room temperature with shaking at approx. 500 rpm. The final wash buffer was removed and 100 μl blocking solution containing 1× phosphate buffered saline (PBS), 2% dried milk powder and 0.05% Triton X-100 was applied to each ArrayTube and incubated at 30°C for 15 min, then removed. HRP-linked anti-biotin antibody (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) was diluted 1 in 100 in blocking solution and 100 μl diluted antibody was applied to

| Forward primer | Reverse primer sequence (5’ to 3’) | Reverse primer sequence (5’ to 3’) | Amplicon length (bases) | Length of 5’ segment (bases) |
|---------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| for1          | CTGAGGGGACCTCCTCCCCTAC           | rev1                             | GTCGGACACTGCGGCTC       | 94                         | 43                          |
| for2          | CCTCCGTTTGTCACCCCTAG            | rev1                             | as above               | 145                        | 43                          |
| for3          | CCGCCAGCGCCCGATGT              | rev1                             | as above               | 243                        | 43                          |
| for4          | GCGGACACGACGCGCTATGT            | rev1                             | as above               | 312                        | 43                          |
| for4 lim*     | AACGGCGCGGACATCCGAGCGCTATGT     | rev1                             | as above               | 317                        | 43                          |
| for5          | GCGCAATCAGTCTCCCTAAAGGC         | rev1                             | as above               | 978                        | 43                          |
| for6          | CTGGGGGACCGCTACTA               | rev3                             | as above               | 298                        | 107                         |
| for7          | as above                       | rev4                             | as above               | 300                        | 149                         |
| for8          | as above                       | rev4                             | as above               | 382                        | 191                         |
| for9          | as above                       | rev4                             | as above               | 624                        | 191                         |
| for10         | as above                       | rev4                             | as above               | 1126                       | 191                         |
| for11         | as above                       | rev5                             | as above               | 323                        | 272                         |
| for12         | CCAATGGATGCTGGCTGC             | rev6                             | as above               | 541                        | 272                         |
| for13         | as above                       | rev5                             | as above               | 878                        | 272                         |
| for14         | as above                       | rev5                             | as above               | 1027                       | 272                         |
| for15         | as above                       | rev6                             | as above               | 296                        | 23**                        |
| for16         | as above                       | rev7                             | as above               | 295                        | 95**                        |
| for17         | as above                       | rev8                             | as above               | 289                        | 149**                       |
| for18         | as above                       | rev9                             | as above               | 301                        | 201**                       |
| for19         | as above                       | rev10                            | as above               | 317                        | 246**                       |

*Modified for asymmetrical (Linear-After-The-Exponential) PCR.
**Probe set 2 – all other primer combinations for probe set 1.
each array and incubated for 15 min at room temperature. Finally, the ArrayTubes were washed three times as described above in 1x PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 (Sigma) (500 μl for each wash step). The final wash buffer was removed and 100 μl SeramunGrün Chip substrate (Seramun Diagnostica, Wolzig, Germany) was added to each ArrayTube. The ArrayTubes were scanned after approx. 15 – 20 min using an ATR-03 ArrayTube Reader (Alere), and images were analysed using the Iconoclust software package (Alere) as described by Cannon et al. [1].

**Results**

**Effect of probe position on single base mismatch discrimination**

Figures 2 and 3 shows typical results for arrays hybridised with PCR products (approximately $10^{12}$ copies per

![Figure 2: Array analysis of PCR products with similar overall length but different 5' segment lengths: probe set 1.](image)

Panels show the signal intensity for perfect match and mismatch probes hybridised to PCR products with total lengths between 293 and 323 bases and 5' segment lengths ranging from 43 to 272 bases; each panel shows the effect of a different mismatch at three positions within the probe. Approximately $10^{12}$ copies of PCR amplicon were applied to each array. Results shown are mean values for duplicate spots on the same array (error bars show standard deviation). SAA = AA mismatch between probe and PCR product located in the 5' third of the probe, etc.
array) of similar total length (289 to 323 bases) but with 5' segments ranging in length from 23 to 272 bases. Results are shown for eight different mismatches (AA, AC, AG, CT, GG, TT, CC and GT) in three different positions in the probe, as shown in Table 1. Similar signal intensities were observed for hybridisation to the perfect match probe, regardless of the probe position. Signal attenuation was observed for some mismatches in both probe sets (for example, AC and CC), while a GT mismatch was tolerated in both sets, and other mismatches (for example, AA and TT) were tolerated in the sequence context of one set but not the other. Mismatches located in the central section of the probe typically resulted in greater signal attenuation than equivalent mismatches located closer to the 3' or 5' end of the probe, in common with the results of others using various

**Figure 3** Array analysis of PCR products with similar overall length but different 5' segment lengths: probe set 2. Panels show the signal intensity for perfect match and mismatch probes hybridised to PCR products with total lengths between 289 and 317 bases and 5' segment lengths ranging from 23 to 246 bases; each panel shows the effect of a different mismatch at three positions within the probe. Approximately $10^{12}$ copies of PCR amplicon were applied to each array. Results shown are mean values for duplicate spots on the same array (error bars show standard deviation). 5AA = AA mismatch between probe and PCR product located in the 5' third of the probe, etc.
microarray platforms [7,8,14]. However, as shown in Figures 2 and 3, the degree of attenuation was observed to increase as the length of the 5′ segment of the PCR product (proximal to the array surface) was increased.

**Effect of probe position on limit of detection**

PCR products with similar total lengths but different 5′ segment lengths were diluted and hybridised to arrays to determine the limit of detection for hybridisation to perfect match probes. No difference in limit of detection was observed for a pair of PCR products with 5′ segment lengths of 43 and 272 hybridised to perfect match probe 1, or for PCR products with 5′ segment lengths of 23 and 246 hybridised to perfect match probe 2, as shown in Table 3.

**Combined effect of total product length and probe position**

Figure 4 shows typical results for groups of arrays hybridised with PCR products with the same 5′ segment length (43, 191 or 272 bases) and total lengths ranging from 94 to 978, 242 to 1126 or 323 to 1207 bases, respectively. Note that results are shown only for mismatches located in the middle third of the probes. As in the previous experiment, a GT mismatch was tolerated while other mismatches caused a greater degree of signal attenuation. The greatest signal attenuation was observed for PCR products where the surface-proximal 5′ segment constituted a larger proportion of the total PCR products; single base mismatches had a greater effect on signal intensity for short PCR products with a proportionally longer 5′ segment.

**Effect of primer ratio**

Figure 5A shows results for arrays hybridised with products of symmetrical PCR and asymmetrical PCR (primer ratio 1:5); similar results were observed for PCR products obtained using primer ratios of 1:10 and 1:20 (data not shown). Note that results are shown only for mismatches located in the middle third of the probes. The symmetrical and asymmetrical PCR products had total lengths of 312 and 317 bases, respectively, and 5′ segment lengths of 43 bases. Figure 5B shows the symmetrical and asymmetrical PCR products analysed by agarose

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Table 3** Limit of detection of PCR products with similar total lengths but different 5′ segment lengths

| Total length (bases) | 5′ segment length (bases) | Signal intensity (mean ± sd)* |
|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                     |                          | Approx. 8 × 10^5 copies       | Approx. 8 × 10^6 copies | Approx. 8 × 10^7 copies |
| 312                 | 43                       | 0.63 ± 0.03                   | 0.23 ± 0.03            | -                     |
| 323                 | 272                      | 0.62 ± 0.01                   | 0.22 ± 0.02            | -                     |
| 296                 | 23                       | 0.66 ± 0.06                   | 0.28 ± 0.05            | -                     |
| 317                 | 246                      | 0.57 ± 0.03                   | 0.24 ± 0.00            | -                     |

*Results shown are mean values for duplicate spots on the same array; −: negative.
gel electrophoresis before and after treatment with S1 nuclease to demonstrate the presence of single-stranded DNA in the product generated by asymmetrical PCR. For the product of symmetrical PCR, signal attenuation was observed for some mismatches; however, similar signals were observed for the perfect match probe and all mismatched probes for the asymmetrical product.

**Discussion**

The ArrayTube hybridisation architecture in the format used here contains around 200 locations for the deposition of DNA probes depending on the spacing between spots. With two replicates of each probe and an appropriate multiplexed PCR this allows the detection/discrimination of around 80–90 targets and subtyping of panels of associated agents along with appropriate controls such as for DNA extraction. Since this is an open platform, the user has control over the number of targets and the specific properties of the probes being used. The technology can also be used in a strip format for the simultaneous processing of up to 96 arrays, potentially allowing the development of higher throughput screening capabilities than other multiplex PCR-based platforms [23].

Clinical diagnostic applications require methods with high sensitivity and robustness. By using an array format it is possible to screen a sample for multiple targets from the same agent (for example, plasmid and chromosomal sequences) [3,24] and this redundancy has the potential to increase the robustness of detection in terms of the avoidance of both false positives and false negatives [24]. The ability to discriminate small sequence differences is crucial for some purposes, such as the discrimination of SNPs in the identification of antimicrobial resistance [25] or differentiation of bacterial genotypes [26]. Microarrays for pathogen detection are often designed to detect a relatively narrowly defined group of targets (for example, a particular bacterial taxon in a specified clinical matrix). More versatile arrays may be developed to perform a set of related functions, such as detection of a range of organisms and identification of the presence of antimicrobial resistance markers [4], or simultaneous detection of diverse agents, for example, bacteria and viruses, in the same sample. For the effective detection of viruses it may be necessary to tolerate multiple sequence differences, while for the detection of bacteria there may be a need to accurately discriminate between targets on the basis of minor sequence differences. While sensitivity will be largely influenced by PCR efficiency, our results indicate that it could be possible to leverage the specificity (or conversely, inclusivity) of detection by manipulating the length of the target’s PCR amplicon, positioning the probe with respect to the 5’ end of the hybridising strand and changing the primer ratio to generate double-stranded or partially single-stranded products.

In line with previous reports, we observed that different mismatches were tolerated to different degrees, with the greatest effect when the mismatch was located close to the centre of the probe [8,27,28]. In the limited probe sets that we used, a GT mismatch was tolerated (little or no attenuation of the hybridisation signal) while CC, AC and CT mismatches caused significant signal attenuation. However, we also observed that the same mismatched probe

---

**Figure 5** Array analysis of symmetrical and asymmetrical PCR products. **A.** Signal intensity for hybridisation of symmetrical and asymmetrical PCR products of 312 and 317 bases, respectively, both with a 5’ segment length of 43 bases. Asymmetrical PCR was carried out using a forward primer modified for linear-after-the-exponential (LATE) PCR, with a primer ratio of 1:10. Approximately 500 ng total DNA (single-stranded plus double-stranded) was hybridised to each array. Results shown are mean values for duplicate spots on the same array. AA = AA mismatch between probe and PCR product, etc. **B.** Agarose gel electrophoresis of symmetrical and asymmetrical PCR products. The products of symmetrical and asymmetrical PCR were visualised by agarose gel electrophoresis with GelRed nucleic acid stain before and after treatment with S1 nuclease to confirm that the product of asymmetrical PCR contained single-stranded DNA (removed by S1 nuclease) as well as double-stranded DNA.
was observed to give different signal intensities when approximately equal numbers of copies of PCR products differing in terms of probe position were hybridised to the array. The maximum effect of single mismatches (and in particular CC, AC and CT mismatches) was observed for PCR products where a greater proportion of the total length was at the 3′ end relative to the probe (and therefore proximal to the array surface). A reduction in the discrimination of individual mismatches was observed if PCR primers were used at unequal concentrations resulting in generation of some single-stranded product, presumably because the complementary non-target strand competes with the shorter mismatched probe for hybridisation to the target strand. This is consistent with the proposal of Peytavi et al. [18] that the complementary strand destabilises the target-probe duplex, particularly in relation to the length of the distal segment of the hybridised target. We did not observe a reduction in signal strength with a longer 3′ segment of the PCR product (distal to the array surface) as was reported by Peytavi et al. (in fact, as shown in Figure 4, signal strength decreased with a shorter 3′ segment length); however, there are differences between the two experimental systems, including the probe orientation and hybridisation conditions, which could partially explain this difference. Our results suggest that in the experimental set-up used here, mismatch discrimination is most likely to be achieved using equal primer concentrations, while inclusive detection of sequence variants would be enhanced by the use of asymmetrical primer concentrations.

It should be noted that the results presented are for PCR using single primer pairs, and factors such as product length and primer ratio may impact on the performance of a primer pair in a multiplex reaction in terms of sensitivity. For example, shorter PCR amplicons may generally be preferable due to the likelihood of higher yields [29] and reduced formation of intra- and intermolecular structures which are reported to hinder hybridisation [15,18,19].

Conclusions
Our results suggest a strategy for the rational design of PCR primers in order to leverage the exclusivity (specificity) or inclusivity of detection of individual targets on the array. Specifically, positioning a probe within the PCR product such that there is a longer section proximal to the array surface may increase the discrimination of single mismatches. As these primer design strategies could be applied independently to each target as required, this presents a means of fine-tuning an array for detection of potentially diverse targets. Optimisation of array performance by adjustment of the primers used for multiplex PCR has the potential to increase flexibility and cost effectiveness in comparison with redesigning the probes themselves.
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