In Vitro Activity of New Cephalosporins vs *Streptococcus pneumoniae* from the Canadian Bacterial Surveillance Network: 2008–2011
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Abstract Between 2008 and 2011, 6,895 *Streptococcus pneumoniae* isolates were submitted to the Canadian Bacterial Surveillance Network and underwent in vitro susceptibility testing. Fifteen percent of *S. pneumoniae* isolates were collected from pediatric patients (0–15 years old), 48.6 % of isolates were collected from adults between 16 and 64 years of age, and 36.1 % from adults aged ≥65 years; age data were not available for 11 patients. Forty-five percent of *S. pneumoniae* isolates were recovered from sterile specimens, and 55 % of isolates were from nonsterile specimens. Overall, 0.4 % of isolates were resistant to penicillin, 0.4 % to ceftriaxone, 3 % to amoxicillin, 25 % to erythromycin, and 13 % to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole; 6.6 % of isolates were multidrug resistant (MDR). Among MDR isolates, resistance rates exceeded 95 % for erythromycin, tetracycline, and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole. The MIC\textsubscript{90} of cethromycin, ceftaroline, and ceftobiprole against MDR isolates were 0.12, 0.25, and 1 mg/L, respectively. Ceftaroline, the active form of the prodrug ceftaroline fosamil, exhibited potent in vitro activity against the tested *S. pneumoniae* including all 456 multidrug-resistant strains. No ceftaroline-resistant isolates were identified.

Introduction

*Streptococcus pneumoniae* is the most common bacterial pathogen associated with community-acquired bacterial pneumonia (CABP) [10, 14]. The use of pneumococcal conjugate vaccines has decreased the incidence of invasive pneumococcal disease. However, the number of strains that are resistant to commonly used antibiotics continues to increase [6].

Ceftaroline, the active form of the prodrug ceftaroline fosamil, is a parenteral cephalosporin exhibiting broad spectrum in vitro bactericidal activity against gram-positive pathogens, including multidrug-resistant (MDR) *S. pneumoniae* and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, and common gram-negative organisms [5, 12, 15]. Ceftaroline fosamil is approved in the United States for the treatment of patients with CABP and acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections and for similar indications in Europe [16, 18]. We previously demonstrated that ceftaroline was the most active β-lactam agent tested against a subset of 260 MDR *S. pneumoniae* isolates collected across Canada between 2003 and 2008 [13].

The Canadian Bacterial Surveillance Network (CBSN) has collected *S. pneumoniae* isolates as part of a nationwide surveillance program since 1988. In recent years, there has been not only an increase in the prevalence of MDR *S. pneumoniae*, but also an increase in the degree of...
resistance to the β-lactam antibiotics. Surveillance studies in the United States also indicate an increase in nonsusceptibility of *S. pneumoniae* to common β-lactam antibiotics [6, 7]. The objective of this study was to assess the in vitro activity of ceftaroline and comparative agents against CBSN *S. pneumoniae* isolates collected from 2008 to 2011.

The CBSN encompasses volunteer community and hospital-affiliated laboratories across Canada, which provide services to community and tertiary-care hospitals, community clinics, physician offices, and long-term care facilities. All ten Canadian provinces and two of three territories are represented. In total, 186 laboratories have participated in the CBSN, with 40 laboratories submitting annually since 1993. Only one isolate per patient episode is included; laboratories are asked to submit all sterile-site isolates and a defined number of consecutive nonsterile-site isolates annually, based on laboratory size. All isolates are submitted to a central laboratory where they are confirmed as *S. pneumoniae* and serotyped using latex antisera (Staten Serum Institute, Denmark) and Quellung reaction [17]. Isolates that cannot be serotyped at the central laboratory are serotyped at Canada’s National Microbiology Laboratory. Broth microdilution susceptibility testing is performed and interpreted according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines [1]. For this study, nonmeningeal breakpoints for ceftaroline, penicillin, amoxicillin, and ceftriaxone are used to interpret MIC results [1]. In addition, an analysis by meningeal breakpoints was included to determine resistant isolates to penicillin and ceftriaxone.

From 2008 to 2011, 6,895 *S. pneumoniae* isolates from 59 centers underwent antimicrobial susceptibility testing. There were 1,043 (15.1%) isolates collected from pediatric patients (0–15 years old), 3,350 (48.6%) isolates collected from adults between 16 and 64 years of age, and 2,491 (36.1%) from adults aged ≥65 years; age data were not available for 11 patients. Of 6,895 isolates, 3,088 (45%) were recovered from sterile specimens (2,868 blood, 76 cerebral spinal fluid, 63 pleural fluid, and 81 other), and 3,796 (55%) isolates were from nonsterile specimens (2,572 sputum, 417 eye, 247 ear, and 560 other).

Among sterile-site isolates, the most common serotypes were 19A (17%), 7F (13%), and 3 (8%). Among nonsterile-site isolates, the most common serotypes isolated were 19A (11%), 3 (9%), and 11A (9%). There were decreases in many common serotypes following the introduction of PCV10 in some provinces in 2009 and PCV13 in 2010. Serotypes included in PCV10 (1, 4, 5, 6B, 7F, 9V, 14, 18C, 19F, 23F) and PCV13 (all in

---

**Fig. 1** Percent of isolates nonsusceptible to common antibiotics and multidrug-resistant (MDR) isolates by year, 2008–2011. *MDR* = multidrug-resistant, resistant to >2 classes of antibiotics (classes: β-lactams [penicillin/amoxicillin/ ceftriaxone], erythromycin, tetracycline, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, ciprofloxacin). Nonsusceptibility based on CLSI [17] interpretive breakpoints (amoxicillin MIC >2 mg/L; ceftaroline MIC >0.5 mg/L; ceftriaxone MIC >1 mg/L; erythromycin MIC >0.25 mg/L; penicillin MIC >2 mg/L; trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (SMX/TMP) MIC >0.5 mg/L) and MIC >2 mg/L for ciprofloxacin.
PCV10 and 3, 6A, 19A) accounted for 53.9% of isolates in 2008, but this decreased to 44.6% of isolates in 2011.

The proportion of isolates resistant to more than two classes of antibiotics (MDR isolates) increased over time (Fig. 1). Overall, 6.6% (456/6,895) of pneumococcal isolates were MDR. Among MDR isolates, resistance rates exceeded 95% for erythromycin, tetracycline, and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (Table 1). The MIC₉₀ of ceftaroline, ceftobiprole, and cethromycin were 0.25, 2, and 4 mg/L, respectively. The MIC₉₀ of ceftriaxone was 16-fold lower than the MIC₉₀ of ceftriaxone across penicillin-, amoxicillin-, or erythromycin-resistant isolates and in MDR isolates (Table 3). The MIC₉₀ of ceftriaxone increased over the study period from 0.25 mg/L in 2008 to 0.5 mg/L in 2011 (data not shown).

Additionally, among all isolates, 13.2% demonstrated high-level erythromycin resistance (MIC ≥ 16 mg/L) and 12.0% demonstrated low-level erythromycin resistance (MIC < 16 mg/L) (Table 1).

### Table 1 Percent of resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae isolates from Canada, 2008–2011

| Drug                        | Percent (%) of isolates resistant |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                            | All isolates (N = 6,895)         |
|                            | MDR* isolates (n = 456)          |
|                            | [n/N = 6.6%]                     |
| Penicillin (nonmeningitis)  | 0.4                              |
| Penicillin (meningitis)     | 18.6                             |
| Amoxicillin                 | 3.4                              |
| Ceftriaxone (nonmeningitis) | 0.4                              |
| Ceftriaxone (meningitis)    | 4.6                              |
| Erythromycin                | 25.1                             |
| High-level                  | 13.2                             |
| Low-level                   | 12.0                             |
| Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole | 12.7                           |
| Tetracycline                | 12.9                             |
| Ciprofloxacin               | 25.1                             |

* MDR multidrug-resistant, resistant to ≥2 classes of antibiotics (classes: β-lactams [penicillin/amoxicillin/ceftriaxone], erythromycin, tetracycline, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, ciprofloxacin)

b Nonmeningeal breakpoints used

c High-level erythromycin resistance = MIC ≥ 16 mg/L; low-level erythromycin resistance = MIC ≥ 1 to <16 mg/L

### Table 2 In vitro activities of antimicrobial agents against multidrug-resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae isolates from Canada, 2008–2011 (N = 456)

| Drug             | MIC (mg/L) | N (% of isolates) |
|------------------|------------|-------------------|
|                  | 0.008      | 0.016             | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1  | 2  | 4  | 8  | 16 | 32 |
| Amoxicillin b     | 60         | 20                | 13 (2.9) | 10 | 13 | 53 | 54 | 228 | 5 |
| (13.2) | (4.4) | (2.2) | (2.9) | (11.6) | (11.8) | (30.0) | (1.1) |
| Ceftriaxone a     | 92         | 9                  | (2.0) | 66 | 261 | 23 | 5 | 1.1 |
| (20.2) | (14.5) | (75.2) | (5.0) | |
| Ceftaroline a,b   | 104        | 182               | 162 | 8 (1.8) |
| (22.8) | (39.9) | (35.5) | |
| Ceftobiprole c    | 27         | 22                | 13 | 16 | 17 | 19 (4.2) | 209 | 132 | 1 (0.2) |
| (5.9) | (4.8) | (2.9) | (3.5) | (3.7) | (45.8) | (28.9) | |
| Erythromycin      | 1 (0.2)    | 3 (0.7)           | 28 | 27 | 21 | 1 |
| (6.1) | (5.9) | (4.6) | (0.2) | (82.2) | |
| Cethromycin c     | 89         | 75                | 122 | 144 | 20 | 4 (4.4) | 2 (0.4) | 2 (0.4) | 2 (0.4) |
| (19.5) | (16.4) | (26.8) | (31.6) | |

Bolded values = MIC₉₀; gray boxes = intermediate MIC values as defined by CLSI

a Nonmeningeal breakpoints

b MIC susceptibility breakpoint: ≤0.5 mg/L

c MIC breakpoints not established by CLSI
Emerging *S. pneumoniae* resistance, particularly for macrolides, is evident based on these surveillance data and reports from SENTRY [6]. High-level macrolide resistance is increasing, with more than half of erythromycin-resistant isolates considered to have high-level resistance in this study. Guidelines may no longer be able to recommend macrolides for first-line therapy based on >25% resistance levels [9]. Resistance to β-lactam agents, apart from cef- taroline, also increased throughout the study period.

Ceftaroline, ceftobiprole, and cethromycin exhibited more potent in vitro activity against MDR pneumococci than ceftriaxone. Potent in vitro activity of ceftaroline against pneumococci has also been reported from the Assessing Worldwide Antimicrobial Resistance Evaluation (AWARE) program [4]. In vitro activity of ceftaroline can be attributed to its high affinity for *S. pneumoniae* penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs), including PBPs 1a, 2b, and 2x [8, 11]. In an integrated analysis of 2 phase 3 clinical trials comparing ceftaroline fosamil with ceftriaxone in the treatment of patients with CABP, clinical cure at the test-of-cure visit was higher in the ceftaroline fosamil group than in the ceftriaxone group in patients with *S. pneumoniae* (85.5 vs 68.6%, respectively) [3]. An analysis of patients in these trials that evaluated clinical response rates at an earlier end point, 72 h after initiation of therapy, showed similar results, with 73% of patients in the ceftaroline fosamil group compared with 56% of patients in the ceftriaxone group experiencing clinical response following a *S. pneumoniae* infection (*P* = 0.03) [2].

In summary, the percentage of MDR *S. pneumoniae* isolates increased from approximately 5% in 2008 to 8% in 2011. Among the β-lactam antibiotics tested, ceftaroline demonstrated the most potent in vitro activity against MDR *S. pneumoniae*. The highest MIC observed for ceftaroline against any *S. pneumoniae* isolate was 0.5 mg/L. These data suggest that ceftaroline fosamil can play an important role in the treatment of infection caused by *S. pneumoniae*, including MDR strains. Based on the high clinical and microbiological response rates in clinical trials and the potent in vitro activity against *S. pneumoniae* in this analysis, ceftaroline fosamil is a useful option for management of CABP.
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**Table 3** In vitro activities of antimicrobial agents against *Streptococcus pneumoniae* isolates from Canada, 2008–2011.

| MIC (mg/L) (N) | Ceftriaxone | Ceftaroline | Ceftobiprole | Cethromycin |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| Penicillin    |             |             |              |             |
| <8 (6867)     | 0.25        | 0.25        | 0.06         | 0.06        |
| ≥8 (28)       | 4           | 8           | 0.25         | 0.5         |
| Amoxicillin   |             |             |              |             |
| <8 (6660)     | 0.25        | 0.25        | 0.06         | 0.06        |
| ≥8 (235)      | 2           | 4           | 0.25         | 0.25        |
| Erythromycin  |             |             |              |             |
| < 1 (5164)    | 0.25        | 0.25        | 0.06         | 0.06        |
| ≥1 (1731)     | 0.25        | 2           | 0.25         | 0.25        |
| MDR           |             |             |              |             |
| No (6439)     | 0.25        | 0.25        | 0.06         | 0.06        |
| Yes (456)     | 2           | 2           | 0.12         | 0.25        |

[MDR = multidrug-resistant, resistant to > 2 classes of antibiotics (classes: β-lactams [penicillin/amoxicillin/ ceftriaxone], macrolides, tetracycline, trimethoprim/ sulfamethoxazole, ciprofloxacin)]]
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