Dynamic Inequalities in Quotients with General Kernels and Measures
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1. Introduction

In [1], Hardy stated and proved the following integral inequality:

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \theta^{-1} \int_{0}^{\theta} \! f(\eta) \, d\eta \right)^{\xi} \, d\theta \leq \left( \frac{\xi}{\xi - 1} \right)^{\xi} \int_{0}^{\infty} f^{\xi}(\theta) \, d\theta, \quad \text{for} \; \xi > 1,
\]

(L1)

where \( f \) is a positive function and the constant \( \left( \xi/(\xi - 1) \right)^{\xi} \) is the best ever. We rewrite (1) with the function \( f^{\xi^{-1}} \) rather than \( f \), and by assuming limit \( \xi \to \infty \), we acquired the limiting instance of the inequality of Hardy known as the inequality of Pólya-Knopp (see [2]), that is,

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left( \theta^{-1} \int_{0}^{\theta} \ln f(\eta) \, d\eta \right) \, d\theta \leq e^{\int_{0}^{\infty} f(\theta) \, d\theta}. \tag{2}
\]

Lately, Kaijser et al. in [3] pointed out that both (1) and (2) are just special states of the much more general inequality of Hardy-Knopp for positive function \( f \):

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} \Phi \left( \theta^{-1} \int_{0}^{\theta} \! f(\eta) \, d\eta \right) \, d\theta \leq \Phi \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} f(\theta) \, d\theta \right), \tag{3}
\]

where \( \Phi \in C((0,\infty), \mathbb{R}) \) is a convex function. A popularization of inequality (3) with two weight functions is proved in [4]. Particularly, it was proved that if \( 0 < b \leq \infty, \lambda : (0, b) \to \mathbb{R} \) is a nonnegative function and \( \Phi \) is a convex on \((a, c)\), then the inequality

\[
\int_{0}^{b} \lambda(\theta) \Phi \left( \frac{1}{\theta} \int_{0}^{\theta} f(\eta) \, d\eta \right) \, d\theta \leq \int_{0}^{b} \nu(\theta) \Phi(f(\theta)) \, d\theta, \tag{4}
\]

is available for all integrable functions \( f : (0, b) \to \mathbb{R}, \) such that \( f(\theta) \in (a, c) \) and \( \nu \) is defined by
\[ v(\eta) = \int_{\eta}^{b} \frac{u(\theta)}{\theta^2} d\theta, \quad \text{for} \ \eta \in (0, b). \]  

Using the inequality of Jensen for convex functions and the theorem of Fubini, Kajisier et al. [5] established an inviting popularization of (1). Particularly, they proved that if \( \lambda : (0, b) \to \mathbb{R} \) and \( k : (0, b) \times (0, b) \to \mathbb{R} \) are nonnegative functions such that \( 0 < K(\theta) = \int_0^\theta k(\theta, \eta) d\eta < \infty, \) for \( \theta \in (0, b) \) and

\[ v(\eta) = \int_{\eta}^{b} \lambda(\theta) \frac{k(\theta, \eta)}{K(\theta)} d\theta < \infty, \quad \text{for} \ \eta \in (0, b), \]  

then

\[ \int_{\eta}^{b} \lambda(\theta) \Phi(A_k f(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \leq \int_{\eta}^{b} v(\theta) \Phi(f(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta}, \]  

where \( \Phi \in C([0, \infty)) \) is a convex function and

\[ A_k f(\theta) := \frac{1}{K(\theta)} \int_{0}^{\theta} k(\theta, \eta) f(\eta) d\eta, \quad \theta \in (0, b). \]  

For further popularization of (7), Krulić et al. [6] proved that if \( (\Omega_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1) \) and \( (\Omega_2, \Sigma_2, \mu_2) \) are two measure spaces with positive \( \sigma \)-finite measures \( \lambda : \Omega_1 \to \mathbb{R} \) and \( k : \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \to \mathbb{R} \) which are nonnegative measurable functions such that \( 0 < K(\theta) = \int_{\Omega_1} k(\theta, \eta) d\mu_2(\eta) < \infty, \) \( \theta \in \Omega_1, \) \( 0 < \xi < \xi^* < \infty, \) and \( v \) is defined by

\[ v(\eta) = \left( \int_{\Omega_1} \lambda(\theta) \left( \frac{k(\theta, \eta)}{K(\theta)} \right)^{\frac{\xi}{\xi^*}} d\mu_1(\theta) \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi^*}}, \quad \eta \in \Omega_2, \]  

then the inequality

\[ \left( \int_{\Omega_1} \lambda(\theta) \Phi^{\frac{\xi}{\xi^*}}(A_k f(\theta)) d\mu_1(\theta) \right)^{\frac{\xi^*}{\xi}} \leq \left( \int_{\Omega_1} v(\theta) \Phi(f(\theta)) d\mu_2(\theta) \right)^{\frac{\xi^*}{\xi}}, \]  

is available for all measurable functions \( f : \Omega_2 \to \mathbb{R} \) such that \( f(\Omega_2) \subseteq \mathbb{I} \), where \( \Phi \in C([0, \infty)) \) is a convex function and \( A_k \) is defined by

\[ A_k f(\theta) := \frac{1}{K(\theta)} \int_{\Omega_2} k(\theta, \eta) f(\eta) d\mu_2(\eta), \quad \theta \in \Omega_1. \]  

In [7], Iqbal et al. checked some new weighted Hardy-type inequalities on \( (\Omega_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1) \) and \( (\Omega_2, \Sigma_2, \mu_2) \) measure spaces with positive \( \sigma \)-finite measures by replacing \( k(\theta, \eta) \) by \( k(\theta, \eta) f_1(\eta) \) and \( f \) by \( f_1 f_2 \), where \( f_1 : \Omega_2 \to \mathbb{R} \) are measurable functions in (10) as follows:

\[ \int_{\Omega_1} \lambda(\theta) \left[ \Phi \left( \frac{g_1(\theta)}{g_2(\theta)} \right)^{\frac{\xi}{\xi^*}} d\mu_1(\theta) \right]^{\frac{1}{\xi^*}} \]  

\[ \leq \left( \int_{\Omega_2} v(\eta) \Phi \left( \frac{f_1(\eta)}{f_2(\eta)} \right) d\mu_2(\eta) \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi^*}}, \]  

where \( 0 < \xi < \xi^* < \infty, \) \( \Phi \in C([0, \infty)) \) is a convex function, \( k : \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \to \mathbb{R} \) is a nonnegative measurable function, and \( f_i \) are defined by

\[ v(\eta) = f_2(\eta) \left( \int_{\Omega_1} \lambda(\theta) \left( \frac{k(\theta, \eta)}{g_2(\theta)} \right)^{\xi^*} d\mu_1(\theta) \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi^*}}, \quad \theta \in \Omega_2, \]  

\[ g_i(\theta) = \int_{\Omega_2} k(\theta, \eta) f_i(\eta) d\mu_2(\eta), \quad (i = 1, 2). \]  

In [8], the author founded the time scale version of (1). Particularly, he proved that if \( \xi > 1, \) \( f \in C_{\sigma, d}(a, \infty), \) \( \mathbb{R} \) is a nonnegative function and \( \int_{a}^{\infty} f^\xi(t) \Delta t \) exists, then

\[ \int_{a}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma(t) - a} \int_{a}^{\sigma(t)} f(\theta) \Delta \theta \right)^{\xi} \Delta \eta \leq \left( \frac{\xi}{\xi - 1} \right)^{\xi} \int_{a}^{\infty} f^\xi(\eta) \Delta \eta. \]  

In [9], the authors proved the time scale version of (4), which is given by

\[ \int_{a}^{b} \lambda(\eta) \Phi \left( \frac{1}{\sigma(\theta) - a} \int_{a}^{\sigma(\theta)} f(\eta) \Delta \eta \right) \Delta \theta \leq \int_{a}^{b} v(\theta) \Phi(f(\theta)) \frac{\Delta \theta}{\theta - a}, \]  

where \( \Phi \in C((c, d), \mathbb{R}) \) is a convex function, \( \lambda \in C_{\sigma, d}(a, b), \mathbb{R} \) is a nonnegative function, and \( v \) is defined by

\[ v(t) = (\eta - a) \int_{t}^{b} \frac{\lambda(\eta)}{(\sigma(\theta) - a) \Delta \theta} \Delta \theta, \quad \text{for} \ \eta \in (a, b). \]  

In [10], the authors outstretched a number of Hardy-type inequalities with certain kernels on time scale. Namely, they proved that if \( (\Omega_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1) \) and \( (\Omega_2, \Sigma_2, \mu_2) \) are two time scale measure spaces, \( \xi : \Omega_1 \to \mathbb{R}_+, \) \( k : \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \to \mathbb{R}_+, \) and \( \mu \in \Sigma \), which are nonnegative measurable functions such that

\[ K(\theta) = \int_{\Omega_1} k(\theta, \eta) \Delta \eta < \infty, \quad \theta \in \Omega_1, \]
and \( v \) is defined by
\[
v(\eta) = \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{k(\theta, \eta) \xi(\theta)}{K(\theta)} \Delta\theta < \infty, \quad \eta \in \Omega_2, \quad (18)
\]
then the inequality
\[
\int_{\Omega_1} \xi(\theta) f(\eta) \Delta\theta \leq \int_{\Omega_1} v(\eta) f(\eta) \Delta\theta,
\]
is available for all \( \Delta t \)-integrable \( f : \Omega_2 \to \mathbb{R} \) such that \( f(\Omega_2) \subset I \) and \( f \in C(I, \mathbb{R}) \) is a convex function. For development of dynamic inequalities on time scale calculus, we refer the reader to articles [11–18].

The article is regimented as follows. In Section 2, we recall the precepts related to the punctuated time scales. In Section 3, we prove our results and give some remarks. Particularly, we prove a general dynamic weighted Hardy-type inequality with a nonnegative kernel. In Section 4, we critique a few particular states of the obtained inequalities, related to power and exponential functions and to the most simplest shapes of kernels.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will premise some fundamental precepts and effects on time scales which will be beneficial for deducing our major results. The following definitions and theorems are referred from [19, 20].

A nonempty arbitrary locked subplot of the real numbers \( \mathbb{R} \) is called a time scale which is denoted by \( \mathbb{T} \). For \( \tau \in \mathbb{T} \), if \( \inf \Phi = \sup \Phi = \inf \mathbb{T} \), then the forward jump operator \( \sigma : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T} \) and the backward jump operator \( \rho : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T} \) are defined as
\[
\sigma(\tau) = \inf \{ \theta \in \mathbb{T} : \theta > \tau \}, \quad \rho(\tau) = \sup \{ \theta \in \mathbb{T} : \theta < \tau \},
\]
respectively. The \( \Delta \)-derivative of \( \chi : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R} \) at \( \tau \in \mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T}/\{\rho(\tau), \sigma(\tau) \} \) is the number that enjoys the property that for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists a neighborhood \( U \subset \mathbb{T} \) of \( \tau \in \mathbb{T}^k \) such that
\[
|\{\left| \chi(\sigma(\tau)) - \chi(\theta) \right| - \chi^\Delta(\tau) |\sigma(\tau) - \theta| \} \leq \varepsilon |\sigma(\tau) - \theta|, \quad \text{for all } \theta \in U.
\]

Furthermore, \( \chi \) is called a delta differentiable on \( \mathbb{T}^k \) if it is delta differentiable at every \( \tau \in \mathbb{T}^k \). Similarly, for \( \tau \in \mathbb{T}_k \), we define the \( \nabla \)-derivative of \( \chi : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R} \) at \( \tau \in \mathbb{T}_k = \mathbb{T}/(\inf \mathbb{T}, \sigma(\tau)) \) as the number that enjoys the property that for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists a neighborhood \( V \subset \mathbb{T} \) of \( \tau \in \mathbb{T}_k \) such that
\[
|\{\chi(\rho(\tau)) - \chi(\theta) - \chi^\nabla(\tau) (\rho(\tau) - \theta) \} | \leq \varepsilon |\rho(\tau) - \theta|, \quad \text{for all } \theta \in V.
\]

Moreover, \( \chi \) is called a nbla differentiable on \( \mathbb{T}_k \) if it is nbla differentiable at every \( \tau \in \mathbb{T}_k \). For \( \theta, t \in \mathbb{T}^k \), the delta integral of \( \chi^\Delta \) is defined as
\[
\int_\theta^t \chi^\Delta(t) \Delta t = \chi(t) - \chi(\theta).
\]

Similarly, for \( \theta, t \in \mathbb{T}_k \), the nbla integral of \( \chi^\nabla \) is defined as
\[
\int_\theta^t \chi^\nabla(t) \nabla t = \chi(t) - \chi(\theta).
\]

Now, let \( \chi(\tau) \) be differentiable on \( \mathbb{T} \) in the \( \Delta \) and \( \nabla \) senses. For \( \tau \in \mathbb{T}_k^\Delta \), where \( \mathbb{T}_k^\Delta = \mathbb{T}_k \cap \mathbb{T}_k \), the diamond-\( \alpha \) dynamic derivative \( \chi^\Delta_\alpha (\tau) \) is defined by
\[
\chi^\Delta_\alpha (\tau) = \alpha \chi^\Delta(\tau) + (1 - \alpha) \chi^\nabla(\tau), \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1.
\]

The diamond-\( \alpha \) derivative debases to the standard \( \Delta \)-derivative for \( \alpha = 1 \) or the standard \( \nabla \)-derivative for \( \alpha = 0 \).

Next, we recall the inequality of Minkowski and the inequality of Jensen on time scales which are utilized in the proof of the major results.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose \( (\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu_\Delta) \) and \( (\Lambda, \mathcal{L}, \lambda_\Delta) \) are two finite-dimensional time scale measure spaces, and let \( u, v \) and \( g \) be positive functions on \( \Omega, \Lambda \), and \( \Omega \times \Lambda \), respectively. If \( \xi \geq 1 \), then the inequality
\[
\left[ \int_\Omega \left( \int_\Lambda g(\theta, \eta) u(\eta) d\mu_\Delta(\eta) \right)^\xi \right]^{1/\xi} \leq \int_\Lambda \left( \int_\Omega g(\theta, \eta) u(\theta) d\mu_\Delta(\eta) \right) \quad (26)
\]
is available for all integrals in (26). If \( 0 < \xi < 1 \) and
\[
\int_\Omega \left( \int_\Lambda g(\theta, \eta) u(\theta) d\mu_\Delta(\eta) \right)^\xi u(\eta) d\mu_\Delta(\eta),
\]
is available, then (26) is reversed. For \( \xi < 0 \), in addition with (27), if
\[
\int_\Lambda g(\theta, \eta) u(\theta) d\mu_\Delta(\eta) > 0,
\]
is available, then again (26) is reversed.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( \varepsilon, l \in \mathbb{T} \) and \( u, v \in \mathbb{R} \). Suppose that \( g_1 \in C_{rd}([\varepsilon, l], \mathbb{T}, (u, v) \mathbb{T}) \) and \( h_1 \in C_{rd}([\varepsilon, l], \mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) \) are nonnegative with \( \int h_1(s) \Delta s > 0 \). If \( \Phi \in C((u, v), \mathbb{R}) \) is convex, then
We begin with an evident identity
\[
\frac{i\int h_1(s)g_1(s)\Delta s}{i\int h_1(s)\Delta s} \leq \frac{i\int \Phi(h_1(s))g_1(s)\Delta s}{i\int h_1(s)\Delta s}.
\] (29)

3. Inequalities with General Kernels

In this section, we state and prove our major results. Before presenting the results, we labeled the following hypotheses.

\begin{enumerate}[(H1)]
\item \((\Omega_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1)\) and \((\Omega_2, \Sigma_2, \mu_2)\) are two time scale measure spaces
\item \(k : \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \to \mathbb{R}\) is a positive measurable kernel and \(K(\theta) := \int_{\Omega_2} k(\theta, \eta)\Delta \mu_2(\eta) < \infty, \ \theta \in \Omega_1\), \(\theta \in \Omega_1\), (30)
\item \(u : \Omega_1 \to \mathbb{R}\) is a \(\Delta \mu_1\)-integrable and \(v(\eta) = \left(\int_{\Omega_2} u(\theta)\left(\frac{k(\theta, \eta)}{K(\theta)}\right)^{\xi/\ell} \Delta \mu_1(\theta)\right)^{1/\xi} < \infty\), (31)
\end{enumerate}

where \(0 < \xi \leq \ell^* < \infty\).

In what follows, we will prove the foundation theorem that will be the decisive step in establishing our major result.

**Theorem 3.** Assume \((H1)-(H3)\).

If \(\Phi \in C(I, \mathbb{R})\) is a positive convex, then the following inequality
\[
\left(\int_{\Omega_1} u(\theta)(\Phi(A_k(f(\theta))))^{\xi/\ell} \Delta \mu_1(\theta)\right)^{1/\ell} \leq \left(\int_{\Omega_1} v(\eta)\Phi(f(\eta))\Delta \mu_2(\eta)\right)^{1/\ell},
\] (32)
is available for all nonnegative \(\Delta \mu_1\)-integrable function \(f : \Omega_2 \to \mathbb{R}\) such that \(\text{Im} f \subseteq I\), where \(A_k f : \Omega_1 \to \mathbb{R}\) is defined by
\[
A_k f(\theta) = \frac{1}{K(\theta)}\int_{\Omega_2} k(\theta, \eta)f(\eta)\Delta \mu_2(\eta), \ \theta \in \Omega_1.
\] (33)

**Proof.** We begin with an evident identity
\[
\int_{\Omega_1} u(\theta)(\Phi(A_k(f(\theta))))^{\xi/\ell} \Delta \mu_1(\theta)
\] = \[
\int_{\Omega_1} u(\theta)\left(\Phi\left(\frac{1}{K(\theta)}\int_{\Omega_2} k(\theta, \eta)f(\eta)\Delta \mu_2(\eta)\right)\right)^{\xi/\ell} \Delta \mu_1(\theta).
\] (34)

Utilizing the inequality of Jensen (29) and the theorem of Minkowski (2) on (34), we find that
\[
\left(\int_{\Omega_1} u(\theta)(\Phi(A_k(f(\theta))))^{\xi/\ell} \Delta \mu_1(\theta)\right)^{1/\ell} \leq \left(\int_{\Omega_1} \left(\frac{1}{K(\theta)}\int_{\Omega_2} k(\theta, \eta)\Phi(f(\eta))\Delta \mu_2(\eta)\right)^{\xi/\ell} \Delta \mu_1(\theta)\right)^{1/\ell}.
\] (35)

Taking into computation definition (31) of \(v\), it follows that
\[
\left(\int_{\Omega_1} u(\theta)(\Phi(A_k(f(\theta))))^{\xi/\ell} \Delta \mu_1(\theta)\right)^{1/\ell} \leq \left(\int_{\Omega_1} v(\eta)\Phi(f(\eta))\Delta \mu_2(\eta)\right)^{1/\ell}.
\] (36)

Finally, elevating (36) to the \(\xi^*\)th power, we acquired (33).

**Remark 4.** For the Lebesgue scale measures \(\Delta \mu_1(\theta) = \Delta \theta, \Delta \mu_2(\eta) = \Delta \eta\), and \(\xi = \xi^* = 1\), inequality (32) reduces to inequality (19) premised in Section 1. Choosing \(T = \mathbb{R}\) in Theorem 3, then inequality (32) reduces to inequality (10).

In what follows, we labeled few particular convex functions starting with power functions.

**Corollary 5.** Suppose the supposition of Theorem 3 be gratified only with \(\Phi(x) = x^s\) for \(\xi > 1\). Then, inequality (32) yields the following result:
\[
\left(\int_{\Omega_1} u(\theta)(A_k(f(\theta)))^{\xi/\ell} \Delta \mu_1(\theta)\right)^{1/\ell} \leq \left(\int_{\Omega_1} v(\eta)(f(\eta))^s \Delta \mu_2(\eta)\right)^{1/\ell}.
\] (37)

**Remark 6.** For the Lebesgue scale measures \(\Delta \mu_1(\theta) = \Delta \theta, \Delta \mu_2(\eta) = \Delta \eta\), and \(\xi = \xi^*\), Corollary 5 coincides with Corollary 3.3 in [10].

Now, considering Theorem 3 with \(\Phi(x) = e^x\) and \(f = \ln (g^x)\), we get the following inviting result.

**Corollary 7.** Suppose the supposition of Theorem 3 is gratified only with \(\Phi(x) = e^x\). If \(0 < \xi \leq \ell^* < \infty\), then inequality (32) yields the following result:
Corollary 11. Assume \( (H1) \) and \( (H2) \) and \( v \) be defined on \( \Omega_2 \) by

\[
\left( \int_{\Omega_2} \nu(\eta) \Phi \left( \frac{g_1(\theta)}{g_2(\theta)} \right) \Delta \mu_2(\eta) \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}} \leq \left( \int_{\Omega_2} \nu(\eta) \Delta \mu_2(\eta) \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}}.
\] (38)

Remark 8. For the Lebesgue scale measures \( \Delta \mu_1(\theta) = \Delta \theta, \Delta \mu_2(\eta) = \Delta \eta \), and \( \xi = \xi^* \), Corollary 7 coincides with Corollary 3.4 in [10]. Also, in the special case \( \xi = 1 \), Remark 8 coincides with Corollary 3.5 in [10].

Now, by using a special substitution, we obtain our central result; that is, if we replace \( k(\theta, \eta) \) by \( k(\theta, \eta)f_2(\eta) \) and \( f \) by \( f_1/f_2 \), where \( f_j : \Omega_2 \to \mathbb{R} (j = 1, 2) \) are measurable functions, we obtain these results.

**Theorem 9.** Assume \( (H1) \) and \( (H2) \) and \( v \) be defined on \( \Omega_2 \) by

\[
\nu(\eta) = f_2(\eta) \left( \int_{\Omega_2} \nu(\theta) \left( \frac{k(\theta, \eta)}{g_2(\theta)} \right) \Delta \mu_1(\theta) \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}} < \infty, \quad g_2(\theta) \neq 0,
\] (39)

where \( \theta < \xi \leq \xi^* < \infty \). If \( \Phi \in C(I, \mathbb{R}) \) is a positive convex, then the following inequality

\[
\left( \int_{\Omega_2} \nu(\theta) \Phi \left( \frac{g_1(\theta)}{g_2(\theta)} \right) \Delta \mu_1(\theta) \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}} \leq \left( \int_{\Omega_2} \nu(\eta) \Delta \mu_2(\eta) \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}}.
\] (40)

is available for all measurable functions \( f_j : \Omega_2 \to \mathbb{R} (j = 1, 2) \) and

\[
g_j(\theta) = \int_{\Omega_2} k(\theta, \eta)f_j(\eta) \Delta \mu_2(\eta) \quad (j = 1, 2).
\] (41)

**Corollary 12.** Suppose the supposition of Theorem 9 is gratified only with \( \Phi(x) = x^{\xi} \) for \( \xi > 1 \). Then, inequality (40) yields the following result:

\[
\left( \int_{\Omega_2} \nu(\theta) \Phi \left( \frac{g_1(\theta)}{g_2(\theta)} \right) \Delta \mu_1(\theta) \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}} \leq \left( \int_{\Omega_2} \nu(\eta) \Delta \mu_2(\eta) \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}}.
\] (44)

**Corollary 13.** Suppose the supposition of Theorem 9 is gratified only with \( \Phi(x) = x^{\xi} \). If \( 0 < \xi \leq \xi^* < \infty \), then inequality (40) yields the following result:

\[
\left( \int_{\Omega_2} \nu(\theta) e^{\xi g_1(\theta)g_2(\theta)} \Delta \mu_1(\theta) \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}} \leq \left( \int_{\Omega_2} \nu(\eta) e^{\xi^{\xi^*} f_2(\eta)} \Delta \mu_2(\eta) \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}}.
\] (45)

**Remark 14.** Choosing \( T = \mathbb{R} \) in Corollary 11, then (43) takes the form

\[
\left( \int_{\Omega_2} \nu(\theta) \Phi \left( \frac{g_1(\theta)}{g_2(\theta)} \right) \Delta \mu_1(\theta) \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}} \leq \left( \int_{\Omega_2} \nu(\eta) \Delta \mu_2(\eta) \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}}.
\] (46)

where

\[
\nu(\eta) = f_2(\eta) \int_{\Omega_2} \nu(\theta) \frac{k(\theta, \eta)}{g_2(\theta)} d\mu_1(\theta) < \infty, \quad g_2(\theta) \neq 0.
\] (47)

which is the same result due to Iqbal et al. (Corollary 2.2, [7]).

**Corollary 15.** For the Lebesgue diamond-\( \alpha \) scale measures \( \Delta \mu_1(\theta) = \Theta_1 \Delta \mu_2(\eta) = \Theta_2 \eta, \Omega_1 = [a_1, b_1], \) and \( \Omega_2 = [c_1, d_1], \) inequality (40) takes the form

\[
\left( \int_{\Omega_2} \nu(\theta) \left[ \frac{k(\theta, \eta)}{g_2(\theta)} \right] \Delta \mu_1(\theta) \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}} \leq \left( \int_{\Omega_2} \nu(\eta) \left[ \frac{f_1(\eta)}{f_2(\eta)} \right] \Delta \mu_2(\eta) \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}}.
\] (48)
where $\theta < \xi \leq \xi_0 < \infty$, $\Phi \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$ is a positive convex, and
\[
v(\eta) = f_2(\eta) \left( \int_{a_i}^{b_i} u(\theta) \left( \frac{k(\theta, \eta)}{g_2(\theta)} \right) \theta_a^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} < \infty, \quad g_2(\theta) \neq 0,
\]
\[
g_2(\theta) = \int_{c_i}^{d_i} k(\theta, \eta) f_j(\eta) \theta_a^\alpha d\eta \quad (j = 1, 2).
\]

Remark 16. If we set $\xi = \xi_0 = 1$, then inequality (48) takes the form
\[
\int_{a_i}^{b_i} u(\theta)^\alpha \ln \left( \frac{g_1(\theta)}{g_2(\theta)} \right) \theta_a^\alpha \geq \int_{c_i}^{d_i} v(\eta)^\alpha \ln \left( \frac{f_1(\eta)}{f_2(\eta)} \right) \theta_a^\alpha d\eta,
\]
which coincided with inequality (7) in [21].

Remark 17. If we set $\Phi(\theta) = -\ln |\theta|$, then inequality (50) takes the form
\[
\int_{a_i}^{b_i} u(\theta) \ln \left( \frac{g_1(\theta)}{g_2(\theta)} \right) \theta_a^\alpha \geq \int_{c_i}^{d_i} v(\eta) \ln \left( \frac{f_1(\eta)}{f_2(\eta)} \right) \theta_a^\alpha d\eta,
\]
which coincided with Corollary 4 in [21].

Remark 18. In Remark 16, if we set $f_j(\eta) = 1$, $g_1(\theta) = g(\theta)$, $f_1(\eta) = f(\eta)$, and $\Phi \in C([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ be a convex function, then
\[
g_2(\theta) := \int_{c_i}^{d_i} k(\theta, \eta) \theta_a^\alpha d\eta = K(\theta), \quad \forall \theta \in [a_i, b_i]_T.
\]
We assume that $K(\theta) > 0$ and define
\[
v(\eta) := \int_{a_i}^{b_i} u(\theta) k(\theta, \eta) \frac{\theta_a^\alpha}{K(\theta)} \theta_a^\alpha d\eta, \quad \forall \theta \in [c_i, d_i]_T.
\]
Then, inequality (50) takes the form
\[
\int_{a_i}^{b_i} u(\theta) \ln \left( \frac{g(\theta)}{K(\theta)} \right) \theta_a^\alpha \geq \int_{c_i}^{d_i} v(\eta) \ln \left( \frac{f(\eta)}{g(\eta)} \right) \theta_a^\alpha d\eta,
\]
which coincided with inequality (2.7) in [22] (Theorem 2.2).

Remark 19. Apply Remark 8 for $\Phi(\theta) = -\ln |\theta|$, which is convex with domain $(0, \infty)$. Then, inequality (54) takes the form
\[
\int_{a_i}^{b_i} u(\theta) \ln \left( \frac{g(\theta)}{K(\theta)} \right) \theta_a^\alpha \geq \int_{c_i}^{d_i} v(\eta) \ln \left( \left| f(\eta) \right| \right) \theta_a^\alpha d\eta,
\]
which coincided with inequality (2.10) in [22] (Corollary 2.3).

Remark 20. Apply Remark 18 for $\Phi(\theta) = e^\theta, \theta \geq 0$. Then, inequality (54) takes the form
\[
\int_{a_i}^{b_i} u(\theta) e^{\Phi(\theta)K(\theta)} \theta_a^\alpha d\theta \leq \int_{c_i}^{d_i} v(\eta) e^{\Phi(\eta)K(\eta)} \theta_a^\alpha d\eta,
\]
which coincided with inequality (2.11) in [22] (Corollary 2.4).

Remark 21. If we set $\alpha = 1$, then the delta version form of inequality (50) takes the form
\[
\int_{a_i}^{b_i} u(\theta) \delta(\theta) \frac{g_1(\theta)}{g_2(\theta)} \theta_a^\alpha d\theta \leq \int_{c_i}^{d_i} v(\eta) \delta(\eta) \frac{f_1(\eta)}{f_2(\eta)} \theta_a^\alpha d\eta,
\]
which coincided with inequality (8) in [21].

Remark 22. If we set $\alpha = 0$, then the nabla version form of inequality (50) takes the form
\[
\int_{a_i}^{b_i} u(\theta) \phi(\theta) \frac{g_1(\theta)}{g_2(\theta)} \theta_a^\alpha d\theta \leq \int_{c_i}^{d_i} v(\eta) \phi(\eta) \frac{f_1(\eta)}{f_2(\eta)} \theta_a^\alpha d\eta,
\]
which coincided with inequality (9) in [21].

Remark 23. In particular, if $T = \mathbb{R}$ and $[a_i, b_i]_T = [c_i, d_i]_T$, then inequality (50) takes the form
\[
\int_{a_i}^{b_i} u(\theta) \delta(\theta) \frac{g_1(\theta)}{g_2(\theta)} d\theta \leq \int_{c_i}^{d_i} v(\eta) \delta(\eta) \frac{f_1(\eta)}{f_2(\eta)} d\eta,
\]
where
\[
v(\eta) = f_2(\eta) \int_{a_i}^{b_i} u(\theta) \left( \frac{k(\theta, \eta)}{g_2(\theta)} \right) d\theta, \quad \forall \eta \in [a_i, b_i]_T, g_2(\theta) \neq 0,
\]
\[
g_j(\theta) = \int_{a_i}^{b_i} k(\theta, \eta) f_j(\eta) d\eta, \quad \forall \theta \in [a_i, b_i]_T, j = 1, 2.
\]

This result is given in [23, 24].

4. Inequalities with Special Kernels

The next theorem states the general result for Hardy’s inequality in quotient.

Theorem 24. Suppose $0 \leq \xi < \xi_0 < \infty$ and $u$ be a weight function. Define $v$ on $[\xi, l]_T$ by
\[
v(\xi) = f_2(\eta) \left( \int_{\xi}^{l} \left( \int_{\eta}^{l} f_2(\xi) \Delta\eta \right) u(\theta) \Delta\theta \right)^{-\xi/l} < \infty.
\]

If $\Phi \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$ is a positive convex, then the following inequality
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Remark 26. If we put in Corollary 25 \( f_2(\eta) = 1, \xi = \xi^* \), and \( l = \infty \), then we acquired Hardy’s inequality on time scale

where

Remark 27. If we put in Theorem 24 \( T = \mathbb{R} \), \( e = 0 \), and \( l = \infty \), we see that \( \sigma(\theta) = \theta \) and then (62) takes the form

Remark 28. When \( T = \mathbb{R} \), \( e = 0 \), \( l = \infty \), and \( f_2(\eta) = 1 \), we see that \( \sigma(\theta) = \theta \) and then (74) takes the form

Now, for the convex function \( \Phi \in C(I, \mathbb{R}) \) defined by \( \Phi(\theta) = \exp(\theta) \), we can give the general form of Pólya-Knopp’s inequality in quotient.
Theorem 29. Suppose $0 \leq \xi \leq \xi^* < \infty$ and $u$ be a weight function defined on $[e, l]$. Define $v$ on $[e, l]$ by

$$v(\eta) := \ln f_2(\eta) \left( \int_e^l \left( \int_0^{\eta/(\Delta \theta)} \ln f_2(\eta) \, d\eta \right) \frac{\xi^*}{\Delta \theta} u(\theta) \, d\theta \right)^{\xi^*} < \infty.$$  

(76)

Then, the following inequality:

$$\left( \int_e^l u(\theta) \left( \exp \left( \int_e^l \frac{\ln f_2(\eta)}{\ln f_2(\eta)} \, d\eta \right) \right)^{\xi^*} \Delta \theta \right) \leq \left( \int_e^l v(\eta) \exp \left( \frac{\ln f_1(\eta)}{\ln f_2(\eta)} \right) \Delta \eta \right)^{\xi^*},$$  

(77)

is available for all positive measurable functions $f_j : [e, l] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($j = 1, 2$).

Proof. We rewrite inequality (40) with $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = [e, l]$, $A \mu_1(\theta) = \Delta \theta$, $A \mu_2(\theta) = \Delta \eta$, and $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\Phi(\theta) = \exp (\theta)$; we obtain

$$\left( \int_e^l u(\theta) \left( \exp \left( \frac{g_1(\theta)}{g_2(\theta)} \right) \right)^{\xi^*} \Delta \theta \right) \leq \left( \int_e^l v(\eta) \exp \left( \frac{f_1(\eta)}{f_2(\eta)} \right) \Delta \eta \right)^{\xi^*}.$$  

(78)

Define $k(\theta, \eta)$ such as Theorem 24. Substituting $g_j(\theta)$ ($j = 1, 2$) defined by (64) in (78), we acquired

$$\left( \int_e^l u(\theta) \left( \exp \left( \frac{\ln f_1(\eta)}{\ln f_2(\eta)} \right) \right)^{\xi^*} \Delta \theta \right) \leq \left( \int_e^l v(\eta) \exp \left( \frac{f_1(\eta)}{f_2(\eta)} \right) \Delta \eta \right)^{\xi^*}.$$  

(79)

Replacing $f_j$ by $\ln f_j$ ($j = 1, 2$) in (79), we acquired (77).

Remark 30. If we put $f_j(\eta) = \exp (\eta)$, $\xi = \xi^*$, and $l = \infty$ in Theorem 29, we have

$$\left( \int_e^l u(\theta) \exp \left( \int_e^l \frac{\ln f_1(\eta)}{\ln f_2(\eta)} \Delta \eta \right) \Delta \theta \right) \leq \left( \int_e^l \ln f_2(\eta) \exp \left( \frac{\ln f_1(\eta)}{\ln f_2(\eta)} \right) \Delta \eta \right) \frac{\xi^*}{\Delta \eta} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\xi^*}{\Delta \eta} < \infty,$$

(81)

which is the same result due to Iqbal et al. (Corollary 2.6, [7]).

Remark 31. If we put $f_j(\eta) = \exp (\eta)$, $\xi = \xi^*$, and $l = \infty$ in Theorem 29, we have

$$\int_e^l \exp \left( \int_e^l \frac{\ln f_1(\eta)}{\sigma(\theta)} \Delta \eta \right) \Delta \theta \leq \int_e^l v(\eta) \frac{\sigma(\theta)}{\sigma(\theta) - e} \Delta \eta,$$

(82)

where

$$v(\eta) := \int_e^l \frac{u(\theta)}{\sigma(\theta) - e} \, d\theta < \infty.$$  

(83)

Remark 32. In a private case of Remark 31, when $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and $e = 0$, $\xi$, we see that $\sigma(\theta) = \theta$, and inequality (82) reduces to

$$\int_0^l \exp \left( \int_0^l \frac{\ln f_1(\eta)}{\theta} \, d\eta \right) \Delta \theta \leq \int_0^l \ln f_1(\eta) \, d\eta,$$

(84)

where

$$v(\eta) := \int_0^l \frac{u(\theta)}{\theta} \, d\theta < \infty.$$  

(85)

Example 2. Particularly, for the weight function

$$u(\theta) := \frac{1}{\theta^2} \left( \int_e^l \ln f_2(\eta) \Delta \eta \right)^{\xi^*} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta \in [e, l],$$

(86)

in Theorem 29, we obtain

$$v(\eta) = \frac{1}{\theta^2} \ln f_2(\eta),$$

(87)

and inequality (77) becomes

$$\left( \int_e^l \ln f_2(\eta) \Delta \eta \right) \leq \left( \int_e^l \ln f_1(\eta) \exp \left( \frac{\ln f_1(\eta)}{\ln f_2(\eta)} \right) \Delta \eta \right)^{\xi^*} \frac{\xi^*}{\Delta \eta} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\xi^*}{\Delta \eta} < \infty.$$  

(88)

Remark 33. If we put $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, $\xi = \xi^*$, $f_j(\eta) = \exp (\eta)$, $e = 0$, and $l = \infty$ in (88), we see that $\sigma(\theta) = \theta$, and inequality (88) reduces to
\[
\int_0^\infty \exp \left( \frac{1}{\theta} \ln f_1(\eta) d\eta \right) d\theta \leq \int_0^\infty f_1(\eta) \frac{d\eta}{\eta}. \tag{89}
\]

The next general result is for Hardy-Hilbert’s inequality.

**Theorem 34.** Suppose \( 0 \leq \xi < \infty \) and \( u \) be a weight function defined on \([0, \infty)_T\). Define \( v \) on \([0, \infty)_T\) by

\[
v(\eta) = f_2(\eta) \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{u(\theta)}{(\theta + \eta)^{\xi/\theta}} \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{f_1(\eta)}{(\theta + \eta)^{\xi/\theta}} d\eta \right)^{-\xi/\theta} d\theta \right)^{1/\xi},
\]

then (91) becomes

\[
\int_0^\infty u(\theta) \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{f_1(\eta)}{(\theta + \eta)^{\xi/\theta}} d\eta \right)^{-\xi/\theta} d\theta \leq \int_0^\infty v(\eta) \Phi \left( \frac{f_1(\eta)}{f_2(\eta)} \right) d\eta,
\]

is available for all measurable functions \( f_j : [0, \infty)_T \to \mathbb{R} \) \((j = 1, 2)\).

**Proof.** We rewrite (40) with \( \Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = [0, \infty)_T \), \( \Delta \mu_1(\theta) = \Delta \theta \), and \( \Delta \mu_2(\eta) = \Delta \eta \). Define the kernel \( k : [0, \infty)_T \times [0, \infty)_T \to \mathbb{R} \) by

\[
k(\theta, \eta) = \frac{(\eta/\theta)^{\xi/\theta}}{(\theta + \eta)^{\xi}}, \quad \xi > 1.
\]

Then, \( g_j \) defined in (64) becomes

\[
g_j(\theta) = \int_0^\infty \frac{(\eta/\theta)^{\xi/\theta}}{(\theta + \eta)^{\xi}} f_j(\eta) d\eta = \int_0^\infty \frac{(\eta/\theta)^{\xi/\theta}}{(\theta + \eta)^{\xi}} f_j(\eta) d\eta.
\]

Substituting \( g_j(\theta)/(j = 1, 2) \) in (40), we acquire

\[
\int_0^\infty u(\theta) \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{(\eta/\theta)^{\xi/\theta}}{(\theta + \eta)^{\xi}} f_j(\eta) d\eta \right)^{-\xi/\theta} d\theta \leq \int_0^\infty v(\eta) \Phi \left( \frac{f_1(\eta)}{f_2(\eta)} \right) d\eta
\]

Writing \( f_j(\eta) \) instead of \( \eta^{(-2)/\xi} f_j(\eta) \) in (94), we obtain (91).

**Remark 35.** If we put in Theorem 34 \( \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R} \), then (91) takes the form

\[
\left( \int_0^\infty u(\theta) \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{f_1(\eta)}{(\theta + \eta)^{\xi/\theta}} d\eta \right)^{-\xi/\theta} d\theta \right)^{1/\xi} \leq \left( \int_0^\infty v(\eta) \Phi \left( \frac{f_1(\eta)}{f_2(\eta)} \right) d\eta \right)^{1/\xi}, \tag{95}
\]

which is the same result due to Iqbal et al. (Theorem 2.8, [7]).

**Example 3.** For \( 0 < \alpha < (s_k)^/\xi \) and taking the particular weight function

\[
u(\eta) = f_2(\eta) \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{(\eta/\theta)^{\xi/\theta}}{(\theta + \eta)^{\xi/\theta}} d\eta \right)^{1/\xi}, \quad \theta \in [0, \infty)_T,
\]

we obtain,

\[
u(\eta) = f_2(\eta) \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{(\eta/\theta)^{\xi/\theta}}{(\theta + \eta)^{\xi/\theta}} d\eta \right)^{1/\xi}.
\]

Let the function \( \Phi : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \) be defined by \( \Phi(\theta) = \theta^{\xi} \); then, inequality (91) becomes

\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{\theta^{\xi/\theta}}{(\theta + \eta)^{\xi/\theta}} d\theta \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{\eta^{\xi/\theta}}{(\theta + \eta)^{\xi/\theta}} d\eta.
\]

**Remark 36.** When \( \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R} \) in Example 3, we see that

\[
\nu(\eta) = \eta^{\xi/\theta} f_2(\eta) \left( B \left( \alpha, \frac{s_k^\xi}{\xi} \right) - \alpha \right)^{1/\xi}, \tag{100}
\]

and then (99) takes the form

\[
\left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\theta^{\xi/\theta}}{(\theta + \eta)^{\xi/\theta}} d\eta \right)^{1/\xi} \leq \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\eta^{\xi/\theta}}{(\theta + \eta)^{\xi/\theta}} d\eta \right)^{1/\xi}.
\]
where $B$ is the beta function, which is the same result due to Iqbal et al. (Example 2.9, [7]).

In the forthcoming theorem, we give the Hardy-Littlewood-Pólya inequality in quotient.

**Theorem 37.** Suppose $0 \leq \xi \leq \xi^* < \infty$ and $u$ be a weight function defined on $[0,\infty)_T$. Define $v$ on $[0,\infty)_T$ by

$$v(\eta) = f_2(\eta) \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{u(\theta)}{(\max \{\theta, \eta\})^{\gamma+\pi}} \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{f_j(\eta)}{(\max \{\theta, \eta\})^{\gamma+\pi}} \Delta \theta \right)^{\xi-\alpha} \Delta \eta \right)^{\xi-\alpha} < \infty.$$  \hfill (102)

If $\Phi \in C(I,\mathbb{R})$ is a positive convex, then the following inequality

$$\left( \int_0^\infty u(\theta) \left( \Phi \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{f_j(\eta)}{(\max \{\theta, \eta\})^{\gamma+\pi}} \Delta \theta \right) \right)^{\xi/\alpha} \Delta \theta \right)^{1/\xi} \leq \left( \int_0^\infty v(\eta) \Phi \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{f_j(\eta)}{(\max \{\theta, \eta\})^{\gamma+\pi}} \Delta \theta \right) \Delta \eta \right)^{1/\xi},$$  \hfill (103)

is available for all measurable functions $f_j : [0,\infty)_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($j = 1, 2$).

**Proof.** Rewrite inequality (40) with $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = [0,\infty)_T$, $\Delta \mu_i(\theta) = \Delta \theta$, and $\Delta \mu_i(\eta) = \Delta \eta$. Define the kernel $\Phi : [0,\infty)_T \times [0,\infty)_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$k(\theta, \eta) = \left( \frac{\eta}{\theta} \right)^{-\alpha/\gamma} (\max \{\theta, \eta\})^{-\gamma}.$$  \hfill (104)

Then, $g_j$ defined in (64) becomes

$$g_j(\theta) = \int_0^\infty \frac{(\eta/\theta)^{-\alpha/\gamma}}{(\max \{\theta, \eta\})^{-\gamma}} f_j(\eta) \Delta \eta = \theta^{\alpha/\gamma} \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{f_j(\eta)}{(\max \{\theta, \eta\})^{\gamma+\pi}} \frac{\eta^{\gamma/\eta}}{(\max \{\theta, \eta\})^{\gamma+\pi}} \Delta \theta \right).$$ \hfill (105)

Substituting $g_j(\theta)$ ($j = 1, 2$) in (40), we acquired

$$\left( \int_0^\infty u(\theta) \left( \Phi \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{(\eta/\theta)^{-\alpha/\gamma}(f_j(\eta)/(\max \{\theta, \eta\})^{\gamma+\pi}) \Delta \theta \right) \right)^{\xi/\alpha} \Delta \theta \right)^{1/\xi} \leq \left( \int_0^\infty v(\eta) \Phi \left( \frac{f_j(\eta)}{f_2(\eta)} \right) \Delta \eta \right)^{1/\xi}.$$  \hfill (106)

Writing $f_j(\eta)$ instead of $\eta^{(\pi-2)/\xi} f_j(\eta)$ in (106), we obtain (103).

**Remark 38.** When $T = \mathbb{R}$ in Theorem 37, then (103) takes the form

$$\left( \int_0^\infty u(\theta) \left( \Phi \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{(f_1(\eta)/(\max \{\theta, \eta\})^{\gamma+\pi}) \Delta \theta \right) \right)^{\xi/\alpha} \Delta \theta \right)^{1/\xi} \leq \left( \int_0^\infty v(\eta) \Phi \left( \frac{f_1(\eta)}{f_2(\eta)} \right) \Delta \eta \right)^{1/\xi},$$  \hfill (107)

where

$$v(\eta) = f_2(\eta) \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{u(\theta)}{(\max \{\theta, \eta\})^{\gamma+\pi}} \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{f_j(\eta)}{(\max \{\theta, \eta\})^{\gamma+\pi}} \Delta \theta \right)^{\xi/\alpha} \Delta \eta \right)^{\xi/\alpha} < \infty.$$  \hfill (108)

which is the same result due to Iqbal et al. (Theorem 2.10, [7]).

**Example 4.** For $0 < \alpha < (s\xi^*)/\xi$ and taking the particular weight function

$$u(\theta) = \theta^{\alpha-1} \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{f_2(\eta)}{(\max \{\theta, \eta\})^{\gamma+\pi}} \Delta \eta \right)^{\xi/\alpha}, \quad \theta \in [0,\infty)_T,$$  \hfill (109)

we obtain

$$v(\eta) = f_2(\eta) \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\theta^{\alpha-1}}{(\max \{\theta, \eta\})^{\gamma+\pi}} \frac{\eta^{\gamma/\alpha}}{(\max \{\theta, \eta\})^{\gamma+\pi}} \Delta \eta \right)^{\xi/\alpha}. $$ \hfill (110)

Let the function $\Phi : [0,\infty)_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $\Phi(\theta) = \theta^k$, then inequality (103) becomes

$$\left( \int_0^\infty \theta^{\alpha-1} \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{f_1(\eta)}{(\max \{\theta, \eta\})^{\gamma+\pi}} \Delta \eta \right)^{\xi/\alpha} \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{f_2(\eta)}{(\max \{\theta, \eta\})^{\gamma+\pi}} \Delta \eta \right)^{\xi(1-\alpha)/\alpha} \Delta \theta \right)^{1/\xi} \leq \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\theta^{\alpha-1}}{(\max \{\theta, \eta\})^{\gamma+\pi}} \frac{\eta^{\gamma/\alpha}}{(\max \{\theta, \eta\})^{\gamma+\pi}} \Delta \eta \right)^{1/\xi}. $$ \hfill (111)

**Remark 39.** When $T = \mathbb{R}$ in Example 4, we see that

$$v(\eta) = \eta^{\xi/\xi} f_2(\eta) \left( \frac{s\xi^*}{\alpha(s\xi^* - \alpha\xi)} \right)^{\xi/\alpha}. $$ \hfill (112)
and then inequality (111) becomes
\[ \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{f_j(\eta)}{\max(\theta, \eta)} \right) d\eta \right)^{1/\xi^*} \leq \frac{\kappa^*}{\alpha(\kappa^*-\alpha \xi^*)} \left( \int_0^\infty \eta^{\xi^*} f_j(\eta) f_j^{-1}(\eta) d\eta \right)^{1/\xi}, \]
which is the same result due to Iqbal et al. (Example 2.11, [7]).

Now, we give the result for the Hardy-Hilbert-type inequality in quotient.

**Theorem 40.** Let \( 0 < \xi < \xi^* < \infty \) and \( u \) be a weight function defined on \([0, \infty)_T\). Define \( v \) on \([0, \infty)_T\) by
\[ v(\eta) = f_j(\eta) \left( \int_0^\infty u(\eta) \left( \frac{\ln \eta - \ln \theta}{\eta - \theta} \right)^{1/\xi^*} \left( \int_0^\infty \ln \eta - \ln \theta f_j(\eta) \Delta \eta \right)^{1/\xi} \right)^{1/\xi}. \]

If \( \Phi \in C(I, \mathbb{R}) \) is a positive convex, then the following inequality
\[ \left( \int_0^\infty u(\theta) \left( \Phi \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln \eta - \ln \theta f_j(\eta) \Delta \eta}{\eta - \theta} \right)^{1/\xi^*} \right)^{1/\xi^*} d\theta \right) \leq \left( \int_0^\infty v(\eta) \Phi \left( \frac{f_j(\eta)}{f_j(\eta)} \right) \Delta \eta \right)^{1/\xi}, \]

is available for all measurable functions \( f_j : [0, \infty)_T \to \mathbb{R} \) (\( j = 1, 2 \)).

**Proof.** Rewrite inequality (40) with \( \Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = [0, \infty)_T \), \( \Delta \xi_1 = \Delta \theta \), \( \Delta \xi_2 = \Delta \eta \). For \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \), we define the kernel \( k : [0, \infty)_T \times [0, \infty)_T \to \mathbb{R} \) by
\[ k(\theta, \eta) := \frac{\ln (\eta/\theta)}{\eta - \theta}. \]

Then, \( g_j \) defined in (64) takes the form
\[ g_j(\theta) := \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln \eta - \ln \theta}{\eta - \theta} \left( \frac{\theta^a}{\eta^a} \right) f_j(\eta) \Delta \eta = \left( \int_0^\infty \ln \eta - \ln \theta f_j(\eta) \right)^{1/\xi^*}. \]

Substituting \( g_j(\theta) \) in (40), we acquired
\[ \left( \int_0^\infty u(\theta) \left( \Phi \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln \eta - \ln \theta f_j(\eta) \Delta \eta}{\eta - \theta} \right)^{1/\xi^*} \right)^{1/\xi^*} d\theta \right) \leq \left( \int_0^\infty v(\eta) \Phi \left( \frac{f_j(\eta)}{f_j(\eta)} \right) \Delta \eta \right)^{1/\xi}. \]

Writing \( f_j(\eta) \) instead of \( \eta^{-\alpha}f_j(\eta) \) in (118), we obtain (115).

**Remark 41.** If we put \( T = \mathbb{R} \) in Theorem 34, we acquired the Hardy-Hilbert-type inequality in [7] (Theorem 2.12):
\[ \left( \int_0^\infty u(\theta) \left( \Phi \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln \eta - \ln \theta f_j(\eta) \Delta \eta}{\eta - \theta} \right)^{1/\xi^*} \right)^{1/\xi^*} d\theta \right) \leq \left( \int_0^\infty v(\eta) \Phi \left( \frac{f_j(\eta)}{f_j(\eta)} \right) \Delta \eta \right)^{1/\xi}, \]

where
\[ v(\eta) = f_j(\eta) \left( \int_0^\infty u(\eta) \left( \frac{\ln \eta - \ln \theta f_j(\eta) \Delta \eta}{\eta - \theta} \right)^{1/\xi^*} \left( \int_0^\infty \ln \eta - \ln \theta f_j(\eta) \Delta \eta \right)^{1/\xi} \right)^{1/\xi^*} \leq \left( \int_0^\infty v(\eta) \Phi \left( \frac{f_j(\eta)}{f_j(\eta)} \right) \Delta \eta \right)^{1/\xi}, \]

in (114), we obtain
\[ v(\eta) = f_j(\eta) \left( \int_0^\infty u(\eta) \left( \frac{\ln \eta - \ln \theta f_j(\eta) \Delta \eta}{\eta - \theta} \right)^{1/\xi^*} \left( \int_0^\infty \ln \eta - \ln \theta f_j(\eta) \Delta \eta \right)^{1/\xi} \right)^{1/\xi^*} \leq \left( \int_0^\infty v(\eta) \Phi \left( \frac{f_j(\eta)}{f_j(\eta)} \right) \Delta \eta \right)^{1/\xi}, \]

in Example 5. For \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \) and for
\[ u(\theta) := \theta^{-\alpha} \left( \frac{\ln (\eta/\theta) f_j(\eta) \Delta \eta}{\eta - \theta} \right)^{1/\xi^*}, \quad \theta \in [0, \infty)_T, \]

\[ v(\eta) = \eta^{1-\alpha \xi^*} f_j(\eta) C, \]

where
\[ C := \left( \int_0^\infty z^{-\alpha} \left( \frac{\ln z}{z - 1} \right)^{1/\xi^*} \Delta z \right)^{1/\xi}. \]

Let \( \xi \geq 1 \) and the function \( \Phi : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \) be defined by \( \Phi(\theta) = \theta^\xi \). Then, inequality (115) becomes
\[ \left( \int_0^\infty u(\theta) \left( \Phi \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln \eta - \ln \theta f_j(\eta) \Delta \eta}{\eta - \theta} \right)^{1/\xi^*} \right)^{1/\xi^*} d\theta \right) \leq \left( \int_0^\infty v(\eta) \Phi \left( \frac{f_j(\eta)}{f_j(\eta)} \right) \Delta \eta \right)^{1/\xi}, \]

Remark 42. When \( T = \mathbb{R} \) in Example 5, we see that
\[ u(\theta) := \theta^{-\alpha} \left( \frac{\ln (\eta/\theta) f_j(\eta) \Delta \eta}{\eta - \theta} \right)^{1/\xi^*}, \quad \theta \in [0, \infty), \]

\[ v(\eta) = \eta^{1-\alpha \xi^*} f_j(\eta) C, \]

where
\[ C := \left( \int_0^\infty z^{-\alpha} \left( \frac{\ln z}{z - 1} \right)^{1/\xi^*} dz \right)^{1/\xi}. \]
and then inequality (124) becomes

\[
\left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln(\eta/\theta)}{\eta - \theta} f_1(\eta)d\eta \right)^C \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln(\eta/\theta)}{\eta - \theta} f_2(\eta)d\eta \right)^{C(1-i)} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \leq \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\eta^\gamma(\gamma-\xi)}{(\xi^\gamma-\gamma)^{-1}} f_1(\eta)f_2(\eta)d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}},
\]

(127)

which is the same result due to Iqbal et al. (Example 2.13, [7]).

5. Conclusions

In this paper, with the help of special kernels, we obtained some novel forms for Hardy’s and related inequalities on time scales in quotients and also we presented some recent results for the Pólya-Knopp-, Hardy-Hilbert-, Hardy-Littlewood-Pólya-type inequalities. Furthermore, we got some continuous inequalities as special cases of the obtained dynamic inequalities.
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