INTRODUCTION

Worldwide, cardiovascular diseases (CVD), including coronary artery disease (CAD), are the leading cause of death (World Health Organization, 2016). Enhancing the knowledge about predisposing factors of CVD can result in developing prognostic tools and providing early, cost-effective interventions (Dastani et al., 2022; Gholoobi et al., 2021).

Recently, the role of uric acid (UA) and hyperuricemia as independent risk factors in CAD has regained attention (Ndrepepa, 2018). The xanthine oxidase activity produces UA as the final product of purine catabolism (Richette et al., 2017). While a clear link between the serum level of UA and cardiovascular disease outcomes remains inconclusive, the role of UA in the prediction of adverse cardiac events is under investigation.

In this light, data regarding the prognostic role of UA in acute coronary syndrome (ACS) is scarce. A total number of 100 patients, 59 males and 41 females, diagnosed with ACS were recruited in this study. At the time of admission to the hospital, the serum level of UA was measured. In addition, the HEART score was calculated based on each patient’s profile. Participants were on average 61.37 ± 12.08 years old. The most prevalent risk factors were hypertension (48%), a history of coronary artery disease (40%), and diabetes mellitus (33%). The average serum level of UA was 5.81 ± 1.81 mg/dl, and the calculated HEART score had a median of six (minimum of two and maximum of ten). A positive yet statistically insignificant correlation was found between the measured UA level and the calculated HEART score (R = 0.375, p = 0.090). However, further studies with larger sample size are required to assess the direct association of UA level with major adverse cardiac events in patients with cardiovascular disease.
of UA and a series of conditions, including hypertension, chronic kidney injury, diabetes mellitus, obesity, dyslipidemia, and CAD, has been established (Kuwabara et al., 2017; Kuwabara et al., 2018; Li et al., 2017), the exact mechanism has been a subject of debate (El Din et al., 2017; Landolfo & Borghi, 2019).

On the one hand, UA may adversely impact the cardiovascular system through injuring kidneys leading to a pro-oxidative state and inflammation that induces arteriosclerosis and atherosclerosis (Sánchez-Lozada et al., 2008). On the other hand, excessive intracellular levels of UA may induce endothelial damage and microvascular dysfunction by reducing nitric oxide levels (Askari et al., 2021; Yu et al., 2010). Despite these possible interpretations, information regarding the association of UA with major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) is limited. The existing data had resulted from long-term and mid-term MACE investigations in patients presenting with myocardial infarction (MI) or acute coronary syndrome (ACS) (Kaya et al., 2012; Lazzeri et al., 2010; Magnoni et al., 2017; Timóteo et al., 2013; Tscharre et al., 2018). As far as we know, no study has yet addressed the association of UA with short-term MACE in patients with ACS.

In this regard, a widely used prognostic tool to assess the risk of short-term MACE in patients presenting with non-specific chest pain is the HEART score (Six et al., 2008). Due to the high sensitivity and specificity of the HEART score for predicting MACE (Van Den Berg & Body, 2018), we used it as an indirect measure. Therefore, we aimed to investigate the association between HEART score and UA serum level in patients diagnosed with ACS.

2 | METHODS
2.1 | Ethical statement
In order to conduct this study, approval from the Ethics Committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences was obtained (approval code: IR.MUMS.MEDICAL.REC.1398.703). Furthermore, all participants signed an informed written consent form prior to recruitment.

2.2 | Calculation of sample size
The power (β) was set as 90%, and the significance level (α) was adjusted to 5%. According to a prior study (Erdogan et al., 2005) and the formula for correlation between two parameters (UA and carotid intima-media thickness, as a predictor for development and/or progression of atherosclerosis), the estimated sample size was 84.

\[
N = \left(\frac{Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} + Z_{1-\beta}}{C}\right)^2 + 3
\]

\[
C = 0.5 \ln \left(\frac{1 + r}{1 - r}\right)
\]

where \(Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} = 1.96, Z_{1-\beta} = 1.28, r = 0.346, C = 0.36\), and \(N = 84\). In this regard, 100 patients were included in the current clinical study due to a possible dropout rate of about 20%.

2.3 | Study design and population
The present cross-sectional study was conducted on all ACS patients referred to the Ghaem hospital affiliated with Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran, from March to September 2018. ACS patients who fulfilled the American college of cardiology guidelines for both sexes were included in the study. The exclusion criteria were patients who did not complete their treatment course (self-discharged or transferred to another hospital) or lack of consent.

2.4 | Data acquisition
The HEART score, widely used as a prognostic marker to assess outcomes in ACS, was calculated for each patient (Table 1). The HEART score is composed of five predictors: History, Electrocardiogram, Age, Risk factors, and Troponin level. Each of these predictors was given points ranging from zero to two. History was classified based on narrative records written in patients’ charts at admission. In the absence of specific chest pain characteristics, history was classified as “slightly suspicious” and scored zero. If both specific and non-specific elements were present, it was considered “moderately suspicious” and scored one, and if it contained only specific features, it was labeled as “highly suspicious” and scored two points. ECG findings, interpreted by a cardiologist, were labeled as follows: normal (zero points), with non-specific repolarization (one point) or significant elevation of ST-segment (two points). With regard to age, patients younger than 45 scored zero, those between 45 and 65 scored one, and older patients scored two.

In order to count the risk factors, a checklist including the following items was completed for each patient: history of diagnosed hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hypercholesterolemia, coronary artery disease, family history of coronary artery disease (parent or sibling with CAD before age 65), and smoking (current or cessation less than
1 month). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated using recorded height and weight; BMI > 30 was accounted as a risk factor. For patients with no risk factors, no points were given. Those with one or two risk factors were given one point, and those with more than two risk factors were assigned two points.

Finally, the troponin I level was assessed: zero points were given if the Troponin level was within the normal range (< 0.04 ng/ml), one point was given if it was one to three times of normal limit, and two points were assigned if it was more than three times of normal limit. The calculated Heart score was classified into three intervals: interval one with scores between zero and three, interval two with scores between four and six, and interval three with scores between seven and ten.

In addition, a blood serum sample was obtained from patients at the time of admission in order to measure the level of UA (mg/dl). The UA level was used as an independent factor in the analyses.

### 2.5 Statistics

Data were analyzed using the SPSS version. 23.0 statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois). Data distribution was assessed using the One-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Descriptive data were reported as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and median (interquartile range) for normally and non-normally distributed data, respectively. As appropriate, the comparison between quantitative variables was performed using parametric one-way analysis of variances (ANOVA) for normally distributed data or the Kruskal–Wallis test for the non-normally distributed variables. In addition, for comparing the qualitative variables, the Chi-squared test was used. Spearman’s correlation coefficient was used to assess the association between the HEART score and UA level. Statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05 for all the statistical tests.

### 3 RESULTS

Overall, 100 patients, 59 males and 41 females, were recruited in the study from 20th March to 20th September 2018. The mean age of patients was 61.37 ± 12.08 years old, and the calculated BMI was 24.31 ± 2.54 kg/m². Our results revealed that 48 (48.0%) patients had hypertension, 33 (33.0%) patients had Diabetes Mellitus, 29 (29.0%) had hypercholesterolemia, and 40 (40.0%) patients had a history of CAD. Moreover, 23 (23.0%) patients were smokers, and 17 (17.0%) had a positive family history of CAD. The HEART score was with a median of six, a minimum of two, and a maximum of 10, and the average serum level of UA was 5.81 ± 1.81 mg/dl among the enrolled patients.

The HEART score frequency between the enrolled patients is shown in Figure 1. For further analysis, patients were classified into three groups based on their HEART score: 6 (6.0%) patients were in group 1 with scores of 0–3, 57 (57.0%) in group 2 with scores of 4–6 and 37 (37.0%) in group 3 with scores of 7–10 (Table 2). Detailed descriptive data according to the HEART score is illustrated in

---

**TABLE 1 The HEART score**

| Component                  | Grade                        | Score |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------|
| History                   | Highly suspicious            | 2     |
|                           | Moderately suspicious        | 1     |
|                           | Slightly or non-suspicious: absence of specific characteristics of chest pain | 0     |
| Electrocardiogram         | Significant ST depression    | 2     |
|                           | Non-specific repolarisation disturbance | 1     |
|                           | Normal ECG                  | 0     |
| Age                       | ≥65 years                    | 2     |
|                           | 45–65 years                  | 1     |
|                           | ≤45 years                    | 0     |
| Risk factors              | ≥3 risk factors* or history of atherosclerotic disease | 2     |
|                           | 1 or 2 risk factors          | 1     |
|                           | No risk factors known        | 0     |
| Troponin                  | ≥3× normal limit             | 2     |
|                           | 1–2× normal limit            | 1     |
|                           | ≤ normal limit               | 0     |

*Risk factors for atherosclerotic disease: Hypercholesterolemia, Cigarette smoking, Hypertension, Positive family history of CAD, Diabetes Mellitus, Obesity (BMI > 30).
Table 2. Our results showed that patients with the higher HEART score had higher age \( (p = 0.002) \), higher prevalence of hypertension \( (p = 0.047) \), highly suspicious for ACS \( (p < 0.001) \), and higher troponin levels \( (p < 0.001) \), Table 2. In addition, no significant differences were observed in the serum UA level between the three HEART score groups \( (p = 0.150) \), Table 2.

The average serum level of UA was 5.81 ± 1.81 mg/dl among the enrolled patients. In order to assess the association between UA level and HEART score, a Spearman correlation analysis was performed, which resulted in a positive but insignificant correlation coefficient of 0.375 \( (p = 0.090) \). Linear regression analysis also yielded a similar positive insignificant association (Figure 2).

![Figure 1](image-url) The HEART score frequency between the enrolled patients.

| Variables                               | HEART score | 0–3 (N = 6) | 4–6 (N = 57) | 7–10 (N = 37) | Total (N = 100) | p-value |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|---------|
| Male (n, %)                             |             | 2 (33.3%)   | 32 (56.1%)   | 25 (67.5%)    | 59 (59.0%)     | 0.299   |
| Female (n, %)                           |             | 4 (66.6%)   | 25 (43.9%)   | 12 (32.5%)    | 41 (41.0%)     |         |
| Age (years, Mean ± SD)                  |             | 55.67 ± 5.16| 58.42 ± 11.71| 66.84 ± 11.59 | 61.37 ± 12.08  | 0.002   |
| Diabetes Mellitus (n, %)                |             | 1 (16.6%)   | 21 (36.8%)   | 11 (29.7%)    | 33 (33.0%)     | 0.526   |
| Hypertension (n, %)                     |             | 1 (16.6%)   | 24 (42.1%)   | 33 (89.2%)    | 58 (58.0%)     | 0.047   |
| Hypercholesterolemia (n, %)             |             | 3 (50.0%)   | 16 (28.0%)   | 10 (27.0%)    | 29 (29.0%)     | 0.502   |
| CAD (n, %)                              |             | 0 (0.0%)    | 24 (42.1%)   | 16 (43.2%)    | 40 (40.0%)     | 0.118   |
| Family history of CAD (n, %)             |             | 0 (0.0%)    | 13 (22.8%)   | 4 (10.8%)     | 17 (17.0%)     | 0.166   |
| Smoking (n, %)                          |             | 0 (0.0%)    | 15 (26.3%)   | 8 (21.6%)     | 23 (23.0%)     | 0.335   |
| BMI (kg/m², Mean ± SD)                  |             | 24.02 ± 2.0 | 24.18 ± 2.26 | 24.54 ± 3.02  | 24.31 ± 2.54   | 0.768   |
| History of chest pain                   |             |             |              |               |                |         |
| Slightly suspicious (0 points, n, %)    |             | 1 (16.6%)   | 6 (10.5%)    | 2 (5.4%)      | 9 (9.0%)       | 0.008   |
| Moderately suspicious (1 point, n, %)   |             | 4 (66.8%)   | 22 (38.6%)   | 5 (13.5%)     | 31 (31.0%)     |         |
| Highly suspicious (2 points, n, %)      |             | 1 (16.6%)   | 29 (50.9%)   | 30 (81.1%)    | 60 (60.0%)     |         |
| ECG interpretation                      |             |             |              |               |                |         |
| Normal (n, %)                           |             | 6 (100.0%)  | 27 (47.4%)   | 1 (2.7%)      | 34 (34.0%)     | <0.001  |
| Non-specific repolarization (n, %)      |             | 0 (0.0%)    | 10 (17.5%)   | 6 (16.2%)     | 16 (16.0%)     |         |
| Significant Elevation of ST segment (n, %) |         | 0 (0.0%)    | 20 (35.1%)   | 30 (81.1%)    | 50 (50.0%)     |         |
| Lab data                                |             |             |              |               |                |         |
| Troponin (ng/ml)                        |             | 0.01 (0.00–0.32) | 0.01 (0.00–0.50) | 0.91 (0.00–0.5) | 0.31 (0.00–0.5) | <0.001   |
| Median (interquartile range)            |             |             |              |               |                |         |
| UA (mg/dl)                              |             | 4.9 (2.6–6.0) | 5.9 (2.5–8.7) | 5.7 (2.4–13.9) | 5.81 ± 1.81    | 0.150   |
| Median (Interquartile range)            |             |             |              |               |                |         |

Abbreviations: BMI, Body mass index; CAD, Coronary artery disease.

\(^a\) Chi-square test was used.

\(^b\) One-way ANOVA or Kruskal–Wallis was performed.
Predicting the risk of short-term adverse outcomes in the setting of acute coronary syndrome is crucial for accurate and efficient decision-making. Therefore, it is necessary to recognize the risk factors that influence the course of the disease. In order to shed light on the role of UA as a risk factor in acute coronary syndrome, we investigated the relationship between UA and HEART score in patients diagnosed with ACS. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study evaluating this question.

We found a modest positive yet statistically insignificant correlation ($0.375, p = 0.090$) between the serum level of UA and HEART score. Considering other analyzed variables, we only detected a significant positive correlation between age and hypertension as a risk factor and the HEART score.

Inconsistent with our findings, UA has been associated with multiple interrelated conditions, including hypertension, glucose intolerance, overweight and dyslipidemia (metabolic syndrome), renal diseases, and cardiovascular diseases (El Din et al., 2017; Li et al., 2017). In this regard, there has been uncertainty about the causality of the observed association; whether UA is merely a biological marker or has an independent prognostic value is important, mainly because its serum level can easily be reduced using proper available treatments. Recent findings have provided a large body of evidence supporting the independent causal role of UA (El Din et al., 2017; Kuwabara et al., 2017). It has also been shown that treatment with allopurinol decreases insulin resistance (Takir et al., 2015), body weight, and blood pressure (Madero et al., 2015; Soletsky & Feig, 2012).

Few yet consistent studies assessed the relationship between UA and MACE outcomes concerning CVD. Two studies reported that a higher level of UA was associated with in-hospital mortality and adverse outcomes in patients with MI undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) (Kaya et al., 2012; Lazzeri et al., 2010). Tscharre and colleagues asked the same question in a more diverse group of patients diagnosed with ACS undergoing PCI. They found that hyperuricemia was linked to a 1.6-fold increase in long-term MACE (Tscharre et al., 2018). In contrast to mentioned studies that measured long-term outcomes, Magnoni et al. (2017), Pagidipati et al. (2017), and Timóteo et al. (2013) evaluated mid-term (1 year) MACE outcomes in patients diagnosed with ACS and found the same results, higher UA level was associated with a higher incidence of in-hospital 1-year mortality.

These findings are further supported by evidence indicative of the role of UA in endothelial damage through inducing oxidative stress (Sánchez-Lozada et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2010), vascular inflammation caused by overexpression of chemokines (Kanellis et al., 2003; Spiga et al., 2017), and reduction in nitric oxide availability (Gersch et al., 2008). In addition, there are reports of the association between UA and coronary plaques (Saito et al., 2015), carotid plaques (Li et al., 2015), the extent of coronary atherosclerosis, reduced coronary flow reserve, and impaired coronary microvascular function (Akpek et al., 2011; Erdogan et al., 2006; Kaya et al., 2010). Overall, it seems reasonably justified to see and use UA to anticipate the mid- to long-term MACE outcomes in patients with CAD. However, it is still unclear whether it is of value in detecting and prognosis of short-term outcomes in acute settings of ACS.

Meanwhile, the HEART score is a new but repeatedly validated prognostic tool to estimate the risk of short-term MACE outcomes (6 weeks) in patients with non-specific chest pain or non-ST-elevation presentation of ACS (Six et al., 2008). It comprises of five classes of items; risk factors and age are relatively static components, whereas history, ECG pattern, and troponin I level are dynamic. It has been demonstrated that a low HEART score (0–3) is associated with a relatively low risk of adverse events (1.6%) in the next 6 weeks (Van Den Berg & Body, 2018), while moderate (Kuwabara et al., 2017; Richette et al., 2017; Ndrepepa, 2018) and high score (El Din et al., 2017; Kuwabara et al., 2018; Landolfo & Borghi, 2019; Li et al., 2017) comprise a higher risk of 11.6% and 65.2%, respectively (Backus
et al., 2010). Consequently, our observation of the comparative change in the distribution of UA, rise in median, and expansion of interquartile range along the HEART score bands suggests a possible prognostic role for UA in acute cardiovascular events.

Our study was designed as a pilot study to postulate the role of UA in acute cardiovascular events and assess its relation with the HEART score. The main limitation of this study was its indirect approach toward short-term MACE outcomes. In order to accurately evaluate the prognostic role of UA, the endpoints (i.e., MACE outcomes) should be clearly defined and recorded. Then it will be possible to compare the predictive value of the HEART score and UA and ponder whether the addition of the serum level of UA to the HEART score system would increase the precision of anticipating short-term MACE outcomes or not. Additionally, we evaluated 100 patients with ACS, and it is necessary to perform further studies with a larger sample size. Furthermore, the role of treatment with allopurinol to reduce UA and consequently prevent undesired cardiovascular events is another interesting subject to investigate.

5 | CONCLUSION

In acute coronary settings, making accurate and cost-effective decisions is critical. Thus, the most important factors should be incorporated to assess the situation better and predict the outcomes. While the HEART score is already in use for this purpose, the existing literature also suggests a promising role for UA. In fact, our results suggest a modest positive yet statistically insignificant correlation between the serum level of UA and the HEART score. However, further studies with a larger sample size are needed to determine the exact correlation between UA and HEART score.
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