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ABSTRACT

The dataset presented examines the levels of stress persisting in healthcare professionals of the Northeast region of Mexico. Using an online platform to obtain data, a survey was developed and distributed through electronic means during a 6-week period covering July and August 2020, considered one of the periods with the highest reported COVID cases in Mexico. Our survey looked at six major stress developing areas: danger, fear of contamination, social economic consequences, xenophobia, compulsive checking and reassurance seeking, and traumatic stress; we added an extra question to assess fear of being an asymptomatic patient. The data was statistically analyzed looking for correlations and dependencies.
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Thus, helping in policy and decision-making processes to assist and manage stress in healthcare professionals.
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**Specifications Table**

| Subject                        | Public Health and Health policy |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Specific subject area          | Infection disease and virology  |
| Type of data                   | Primary data, Dataset           |
| How data were acquired         | Data was collected using an online survey written in MS FORMS (Windows ®). The questionnaire is provided as a supplementary file. |
| Data format                    | Raw and re-categorized/processed |
| Parameters for data collection | The questionnaire was applied during a 6-week period spanning from July to August 2020, during which information was obtained for a total 112 respondents. |
| Description of data collection | The questionnaire was conducted through online survey, which was distributed to regional healthcare professionals, via social media such as medical professional social groups on Whatsapp, direct emails and word of mouth from respondents. |
| Data source location           | Country: Mexico                 |
|                               | Cities: Matamoros Tamps, Nuevo Laredo Tamps, Monterrey NL, and San Luis SLP. |
| Data accessibility             | Database is uploaded on Kaggle repository [1] |
|                               | Direct URL to data: 10.34740/KAGGLE/DSV/1731818 |
| Related research article       | J.L Delgado-Gallegos, R.J. Montemayor-Garza, G.R. Padilla-Rivas, H. Franco-Villareal and J.F Islas. Prevalence of Stress in Healthcare Professionals during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Northeast Mexico: A Remote, Fast Survey Evaluation, Using an Adapted COVID-19 Stress Scales. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2020, 17, 7624. |

---

**Value of the Data**

- The data is important, as it is one of the initial surveys testing the levels of stress in healthcare professionals in Mexico. The survey is adapted from the COVID Stress Scales (CSS) [2] which looks at the six major psychometric areas involved in anxiety and stress: danger, fear of contamination, social economic consequences, xenophobia, compulsive checking and reassurance seeking, and traumatic stress.
- The data is important as it correlate with current studies which measure the importance of manageable levels of stress within the healthcare community.
- Our results are important as they can help set precedence in the decision making and policy-making processes of how and who should be attending COVID patients, and how security measures should be in place.
- The data was taken during one of the highest peaks of daily reported COVID cases.
- The data looks at one of the major industrial regions in Mexico, because of the industrialized area, the cities involved have high levels of national and international migration.
- The regions in Mexico where the data was taken has high economic ties to the US.

---

**1. Data Description**

The dataset provided relates to the adapted COVID Stress Scales (CSS) for healthcare professionals [3] and is available at https://www.kaggle.com/chepox/css-mexico. There are 2 datasets involved: raw and re-categorized/processed data. The RAW.CSV file contains the results for
the original questionnaire. All sections used a Likert format to evaluate answers. Sections 1–4 contain 6 questions each, with the exception of section 1, which includes an extra question, evaluating the fear of being an asymptomatic patient or FOBAPE. The sections were evaluated as never, little, moderate, much, and extreme. Sections 5–6, also containing 6 questions, were evaluated as never, rarely, sometimes, occasionally, and almost always. The 2 variation of the answers in the Likert format are in accordance to the original format [2].

The second dataset, CSS Mexico database.CSV file, contains re-categorized answers to a set of determined values. On sections 1–4 values were given as followed never=0, little=1, moderate=2, much=3, and extreme=4. Meanwhile, the values given to sections 5–6 were never=0, rarely=1, sometimes=2, occasionally=3, and almost always=4. After assigning values to all answers, we recollected their sum in the columns of Total points per section. Furthermore, we added sections 1 and 4 as a single area (Danger and Contamination), the rest of the sections were subsequently re-branded as areas, and are all found in Total points per area.

Both files contain identifier numbers (ID #) assigned in accordance to participation order, additional questions related to the willingness to take part in the study, levels of professional training/type of training, area of work (RAW.CSV file open answer), and whether they see COVID patients and the number of patients with COVID seen daily. In the CSS Mexico database.CSV file, the information provided in the open answer question referring to the area of work, was re-assigned into the following categories: front line, pediatrics, radiology, ICU, Internal medicine, COVID designated area, surgical, ER, OBAP, and others. As these categories best represent the overall work area. The overall structure of dataset files is described on Table 1.

Supplementary data contains the translated version of the modified CSS, as originally applied. The structure of the questionnaire is: initial questions which relate area of work, training and work with patients, next sections 1–6 and finally questions which include if the volunteer has had a previous diagnosis of COVID-19 and if the participant wishes to take part in future studies. The original questionnaire (in Spanish) is available at: https://forms.office.com/Pages/ResponsePage.aspx?id=EZDKymp73kSHWlaLKiDtwzGchlbZ9OiitP9InTctMdUFRMSFhCQkZEVUjCRDBZTIc0UU9HKTJUUi4u.

2. Experimental Design, Materials and Methods

We based our study on the application of the adapted CSS. We began by regionalizing the original CSS to the Spanish-speaking healthcare professional community in Mexico [3]. We based
the design on the original 36-item questionnaire CSS which is used to measure stress levels and anxiety symptoms in daily life [2]. Our adapted questionnaire for healthcare professionals analyzes six psychometric areas of the CSS: danger and contamination fears (evaluated together as area 1), fears about economic consequences (area 2), xenophobia (area 3), compulsive checking and reassurance seeking (area 4), and traumatic stress symptoms (area 5), and how these all related to the stress of COVID-19 in different areas of the medical field. We next distributed the test online using direct distribution such as professional social media groups, direct email, and WhatsApp to healthcare professionals from the northeast part of Mexico. The targeted population were from Monterrey, San Luis Potosi, Nuevo Laredo, and Matamoros. We applied the questionnaire anonymously, acquiring no personal data, through a 6-week period from July to August 2020. As this time frame represents an interval in which Mexico had the highest peak of daily cases, according to the Mexico health ministry, at the time [4,5].

We further applied statistical analysis and correlations to the recorded data using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). These tests included Pearson’s chi-squared ($p < 0.05$), calculation of degrees of freedom, verisimilitude and linear association. Results from these tests help evaluate the prevalence in the alteration of mental health in healthcare professionals attending COVID-19 patients in Mexico.

In order to define our intervals of evaluation, we devised a scaling system using the following formula EQ1:

$$\text{Interval width} = \frac{\# \text{ of categories} - 1}{\# \text{ of results}} \quad (1)$$

There were 5 categories (0, 1, 2, 3, 4) and 4 different results (absent, mild, moderate, and severe) with 6 questions per section, as seen in our manuscript supplemental Table 8 [3]. In order to define the category per each section, we added the total number of points. Table 2 shows the Scoring system that was applied per each section. We should note that, in the particular case of areas, we took together sections 1 and 4 (area of Danger and Contamination), we summed the 2 sections together and doubled the necessary points of Table 2 to maintain consistency when changing category. In all other areas, point system remained the same as in sections. Finally, we calculated a general classification as the sum of all the areas (supplemental Table 8).

In addition, we added a question to measure “the fear of being an asymptomatic patient” (FOBAP) and scored it independently (supplemental Table 7). Other items regarding the healthcare professionals, corresponding to the level or type of training, specialties, areas of work, number of COVID-19 patients attended per day, and if the subjects had themselves a previous diagnose of COVID-19, and their willingness to continue participating in follow-up questionnaires, totaling 45 items.
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