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Abstract

Japan underwent a nationwide self-restraint of human activities in spring 2020 to prevent the spread of the COVID-19 infection. In order to evaluate the effect of suppressed human activities on temperature in the Tokyo Metropolitan area, a statistical analysis was made for temperature anomalies during the self-restraint period using hourly data on the AMeDAS network. The temperature anomaly was defined by the departure from the value that would have been observed without self-restraint, estimated from regression analysis for temperatures at surrounding non-urban stations. It was found that the temperature in central Tokyo (Kitanomaru Park) had a negative anomaly of −0.49°C with a 95% confidence range of ±0.19°C on the average over the strong self-restraint period from April to May. The anomaly was larger in the nighttime than in the daytime, and was found in an area spreading for several tens of kilometers, with a decreasing magnitude according to the distance from Tokyo. These facts indicate a possibility that the reduction of anthropogenic heat release during the self-restraint period resulted in substantial decrease of temperature in the Tokyo Metropolitan area.
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1. Introduction

It is believed that anthropogenic heat release is one of the causes of the urban heat island. A number of numerical simulations using urban canopy parameterization have shown that anthropogenic heat is a leading cause of nighttime urban heat island, apart from complicated interaction of more than one factor (Ichinose et al. 1999; Kusaka and Kimura 2004; Ryu and Baik 2012; Aoyagi et al. 2012; Li et al. 2013). As an observational evidence of the contribution of anthropogenic heat to urban temperature, Fujibe (1988, 2010) showed that temperature was lower on holidays (Sundays and national holidays) than on weekdays by about 0.2°C in central Tokyo, and 0.1−0.15°C in central Osaka, on the basis of statistical analysis of observed data. Earl et al. (2016) reported weekly temperature cycles in Melbourne, and cited a number of references on this theme. The temperature in Beijing has been found to decrease by the order of 0.5°C during the Chinese New Year holiday (Zhang et al. 2015; Zhang and Wu 2017; Dou and Miao 2017).

In the spring of 2020, Japan underwent a nationwide suppression of human activity in response to the Government’s request of “self-restraint” to prevent the spread of COVID-19 (coronavirus) infection. The present article describes the temperature anomaly during the self-restraint period in the Tokyo area using data on a routine observation network of the Japanese Meteorological Agency (JMA).

Figure 1 shows the geography of the area around Tokyo and distribution of stations, for which details are described in Section 3.1. Tokyo Metropolis has a population of 13.5 million according to the 2015 national census, accounting for 10.6% of the total population of Japan. Its eastern part is the core area (Tokyo Ward Area) comprised by 23 special wards with a total population of 9.27 million. The annual mean temperature at the JMA observatory in the central part of the Tokyo Ward Area is found to have risen by 3°C since the early 20th century (Matsumoto et al. 2011; JMA 2018). Urban area has spread over surrounding prefectures to form the "Tokyo Metropolitan area" extending for several tens of kilometers, where a well-defined heat island is formed (Yamato et al. 2011; JMA 2018). The aim of this study is to find how the heat island in the Tokyo Metropolitan area was modified in the COVID-19 self-restraint period.

2. Outline of the COVID-19 self-restraint in Japan

Figure 2a shows the time series of daily new cases of COVID-19 infection in Japan (J.A.G Japan 2020). Infection was first reported on 16 January, and slowly spread in February. A moderate self-restraint began as the Prime Minister requested cancellation, delay, or scale-back of large events on 26 February. The self-restraint slightly relaxed in the middle of March, but again intensified as infection rapidly increased by the end of March. The Government declared a state of emergency to Tokyo Metropolis and twelve prefectures on 7 April, and extended it to the entire Japan on 17 April, so that a strong self-restraint continued until
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3.1 Data and selection of stations

In the present study, the former half of the year 2020 was divided into four periods according to the degree of self-restraint as

- Period 1 (almost no restraint): 1 January to 26 February
- Period 2 (moderate restraint): 27 February to 7 April
- Period 3 (strong restraint): 8 April to 25 May
- Period 4 (moderate to weak restraint): 26 May to 30 June

In order to select non-urban stations used for reference, population distribution data were obtained from the census results in 2015 for grid cells 30° of latitude and 45° of longitude in size (about 1 km × 1 km), available from the Portal Site of Official Statistics of Japan (e-Stat; https://www.e-stat.go.jp/gis/statmap-search?page=1&type=1&toukeiCode=00200521). The population density around station \( i \) was calculated as a weighted average defined by

\[
P_s(i) = \frac{\sum_g \omega \exp \left( - \frac{(r_{ig})^2}{R^2} \right) \pi R^2}{\sum_g \omega \pi R^2},
\]

where \( P(g) \) is the population of grid cell \( g \), \( r_{ig} \) is the distance of the grid cell from station \( i \), and \( R \) is a parameter indicating the size of the area in which the population density is to be calculated (Fujibe 2009, 2010). In the present study \( R \) was set to 3 km. Stations at which \( P < 300 \text{ km}^2 \) were regarded as non-urban and were used as reference stations for defining the urban anomaly, except for the Mt. Fuji station located at a height of 3775 m. Although some urban temperature anomaly has been found even at stations with \( P < 300 \text{ km}^2 \) (Fujibe 2009), these stations were regarded as non-urban and were used for reference in the present analysis, so that the spatial coverage of reference stations would be adequate. The subsequent analysis was based on data at 105 reference stations within 300 km of the Tokyo station. The reference station nearest to Tokyo is Sakahata (SA in Fig. 1), which is 60 km southeast of the Tokyo station.

3.2 Definition of temperature anomaly in the self-restraint period

The basic idea of the analysis is to compare the temperature at the Tokyo station observed in the self-restraint period with the temperature that would have been observed in a state without restraint. The latter was estimated from temperatures at reference stations on the basis of a regression equation that was developed using data for 2015 to 2019. The procedure is described in the following.

1. For each station, the climate mean temperature was calculated for each time of the day on each date of the year. The calculation was done in the same way as that of JMA (2011) to produce daily climate normals, except that the data period was from 2015 to June 2020 in the present study. The subsequent analysis was based on temperature departure from the climate mean. It is denoted by \( \Delta T_s \), where \( s \) is station and \( d \) is date. In order to reduce short-term temperature fluctuation, a three-hour average temperature was used in a way that averages for 0100 to 0300 JST, 0400 to 0600 JST, …, were regarded as the temperature at 0200 JST, 0500 JST, …, respectively. Additionally, averages over 0700 JST to 0600 JST of the next day, 0700 JST to 1800 JST, and 1900 JST to 0600 JST of the next day were used as the daily mean, daytime, and nighttime temperatures, respectively.

2. In order to express representative temperature patterns among the reference stations, principal component analysis was applied in the form

3. Data and procedure of analysis

3.1 Data and selection of stations

The study was made using hourly data on the network of the Automated Meteorological Data Acquisition System (AMeDAS) of JMA, including the Tokyo station in the central part of the Tokyo Ward Area (TK in Fig. 1). The site of the Tokyo station moved in December 2014 from a business area (Otemachi) to a park (Kitanomaru), resulting in a decrease of observed temperature by 0.9°C on the annual average (JMA 2016). The study is therefore based on data from 2015, up to June 2020.

The criteria of selecting stations for analysis are mostly the same as those used by Fujibe (2010). Data from stations at which the percentage of missing values exceeded 1% in any one of the six months from January to June were not used. To avoid the effects of discontinuities caused by site changes, data from stations that moved a horizontal distance of 1 km or more, or whose elevation changed by 5 m or more, were not used. Because a daily business cycle starts in the morning rather than at midnight, a day was defined as starting at 0600 Japan Standard Time (JST).
\[ \Delta T_\theta = \sum_{k=1}^{K} f_ka_k + \text{higher terms}, \]  

where \( a_k \) and \( f_k \) represent the spatial pattern and temporal change of the \( k \)-th principal component, respectively, and \( K \) is the number of principal components used for the analysis. Since temperature patterns can vary according to time of the day and season, principal components were defined separately for each time of the day and each month. The analysis was based on a covariance matrix that was calculated with a weight \( \exp[-(r/r_0)^2] \), where \( r \) is the distance between the Tokyo station and a reference station, and \( r_0 = 150 \) km. The value of 150 km for \( r_0 \) was given so that the temperature pattern influenced by the regional topography was captured. In the following analysis \( K \) was set to 10. The contribution of the ten components was 94.7\% on the average over times of the day and months, with a minimum and maximum of 92.1\% and 97.4\%, respectively.

[3] A regression equation for \( \Delta T \) at Tokyo on date \( j \), hereafter denoted by \( \Delta T_j^{(TK)} \), was created from data for 2015 to 2019 using a least-squares condition

\[ \left[ \Delta T_j^{(TK)} - \left( p_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k f_k \right) \right]^2 \rightarrow \text{minimum}, \]

where \( p_k (k = 0, \ldots, K) \) is a least-squares coefficient. The regression was made for each month and time of the day for the same reason as in the step [2].

[4] The regression equation obtained in the step [3] was applied to the data in 2020 to obtain the temperature that would have been observed at Tokyo without restraint, denoted by \( \hat{T}_j^{(TK)} \). Then the anomaly of the observed temperature \( \Delta T_j^{(TK)} \) was obtained as

\[ \delta\Delta T_j^{(TK)} = \Delta T_j^{(TK)} - \hat{T}_j^{(TK)}. \]

[5] The value of \( \delta\Delta T_j^{(TK)} \) on each day was averaged over each of the four periods defined in Section 2. The averaged value of \( \delta\Delta T_j^{(TK)} \) will be denoted by \( \langle \delta\Delta T_j^{(TK)} \rangle \). It is to be noted that \( \langle \delta\Delta T_j^{(TK)} \rangle \) is a function of time of the day. The confidence range of \( \langle \delta\Delta T_j^{(TK)} \rangle \) was based on the \( \tau \)-distribution. The validity of applying the \( \tau \)-test is discussed in Supplement 2. The above-mentioned analysis has a number of options in procedure and parameters such as \( R, r_0, \) and \( K \). In order to check the sensitivity of the result to these options, a series of comparative analyses based on different options was made. The results are shown in Supplement 3. Additionally, the procedure from the steps [3] to [5] was applied also to other stations in the vicinity of Tokyo in order to obtain the spatial pattern of \( \langle \delta\Delta T \rangle \).

4. Results

Figure 3a shows the time series of \( \delta\Delta T_j^{(TK)} \) for daily mean temperature. The values of \( \delta\Delta T_j^{(TK)} \) tend to be negative from April to May, although there are large day-to-day fluctuations. Figure 3b shows the diurnal variation of \( \langle \delta\Delta T_j^{(TK)} \rangle \) for each period. The values of \( \langle \delta\Delta T_j^{(TK)} \rangle \) for the daily mean, daytime, and nighttime are shown in Table 1. For Period 1, \( \langle \delta\Delta T_j^{(TK)} \rangle \) is statistically insignificant all day, while that in Period 2 has negative values of about \(-0.2^\circ C \) in the morning and evening, and is significant at the 10\% level for the daily mean and the daytime. For Period 3, \( \langle \delta\Delta T_j^{(TK)} \rangle \) has negative values exceeding \(-0.5^\circ C \) in the evening and early morning, with a significance of the 1\% level. In Period 4, \( \langle \delta\Delta T_j^{(TK)} \rangle \) is small and insignificant on the daily mean, although the value at 0500 JST \((-0.41^\circ C \) is significant at the 1\% level.

Figure 4 shows the distribution of \( \langle \delta\Delta T_j^{(TK)} \rangle \) in Period 3 for stations in the area around Tokyo. For daily mean temperature, many stations surrounding Tokyo have negative \( \langle \delta\Delta T_j^{(TK)} \rangle \) values that tend to diminish with the distance from Tokyo, except for a few stations having large absolute values of \( \delta\Delta T_j^{(TK)} \). The situation is basically the same for the daytime and the nighttime, although \( \langle \delta\Delta T_j^{(TK)} \rangle \) is larger in the nighttime than in the daytime.

Figure 5 shows the diurnal variation of \( \langle \delta\Delta T_j^{(TK)} \rangle \) for Period 3 averaged over stations in each circular area having a 25 km width, period. The values of \( \langle \delta\Delta T_j^{(TK)} \rangle \) for stations in each period, and for each circular area in Period 3. Units are in \(^\circ C \), and a plus-minus sign indicates the 95\% confidence range.

| Period | Station and area | Daily mean (07−06 JST) | Daytime (07−18 JST) | Nighttime (19−06 JST) |
|--------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 1      | Tokyo (Kitanomaru) | 0.08 ± 0.16           | −0.01 ± 0.16        | 0.16 ± 0.21           |
| 2      | Tokyo (Kitanomaru) | −0.19 ± 0.20          | −0.18 ± 0.19        | −0.20 ± 0.30          |
| 3      | Tokyo (Kitanomaru) | −0.49 ± 0.19          | −0.40 ± 0.21        | −0.59 ± 0.24          |
|       | 0 < r < 25 km (5 stn.) | −0.37 ± 0.16 | −0.38 ± 0.19 | −0.41 ± 0.19 |
|       | 25 ≤ r < 50 km (16 stn.) | −0.23 ± 0.11 | −0.26 ± 0.13 | −0.23 ± 0.12 |
|       | 50 ≤ r < 75 km (18 stn.) | −0.10 ± 0.06 | −0.07 ± 0.07 | −0.13 ± 0.08 |
|       | 75 ≤ r < 100 km (16 stn.) | 0.00 ± 0.04 | 0.03 ± 0.06 | −0.02 ± 0.06 |
| 4      | Tokyo (Kitanomaru) | −0.10 ± 0.20          | −0.00 ± 0.23        | −0.20 ± 0.27          |

Underlines indicate significance at the 1\% and the 10\% levels.
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The values of $\Delta T$ for the daily mean, daytime, and nighttime are shown in Table 1. Although $\Delta T$ decreases with distance from Tokyo, statistically significant negative values are found as far as in the 50−75 km area. Thus the negative temperature anomaly in Period 3 is a feature extending for several tens of kilometers from the center of Tokyo.

Finally, the same procedure as above was applied to six cities that have a population over a million and are in the central part of other regions of Japan. Figure 6 shows the location of these cities, and the diurnal variation of $\Delta T$ in Period 3 at the station in each city. Sendai and Fukuoka show negative $\Delta T$ with daily mean values of −0.26°C and −0.17°C, respectively. These values are statistically significant at the 1% level. However, $\Delta T$ in other cities is insignificant, although $\Delta T$ has more negative values than positive values.

5. Summary and remarks

The present study has revealed negative temperature anomaly in the Tokyo Metropolitan area in the depth of COVID-19 self-restraint from April to May 2020 (Period 3). The temperature anomaly in central Tokyo is about −0.5°C on the daily mean, and is larger in the nighttime than in the daytime. Negative temperature anomaly is found in an area extending for several tens of kilo-
the analysis.

Dou, J., and S. Miao, 2017: Impact of mass human migration of about −0.2°C is found also in the period of weak self-restraint from the end of February to the beginning of April (Period 2).

The net warming in central Tokyo since the early 20th century has been evaluated to be 3°C (Matsumoto et al. 2017), implying urban contribution of about 2°C in addition to the large-scale warming of about 1°C. Thus the depression of −0.5°C in the self-restraint period accounts for a substantial fraction of the total urban warming of Tokyo. This result is qualitatively consistent with the results of simulation studies that showed considerable contribution of anthropogenic heat to the formation of urban heat island, especially in the nighttime (Ichinose et al. 1999; Kusaka and Kimura 2004; Ryu and Baik 2012; Aoyagi et al. 2012; Li et al. 2013). The larger temperature anomaly in comparison to the weekday-holiday temperature difference (about 0.2°C; Fujibe 1988, 2010) implies a stronger suppression of human activity with a larger depression of anthropogenic heat release during the self-restraint period than on normal holidays, in agreement with our impression at that time. However, quantitative discussion will require an evaluation of the amount of anthropogenic heat emission in the self-restraint period, as well as detailed inspection of the results of numerical simulations that show some diversity in the intensity of the effect of anthropogenic heat on temperature.

The widespread temperature anomaly in the self-restraint period (Fig. 4) is similar to the feature of temperature anomaly on holidays (Fujibe 1988), with respect to an area of negative anomaly covering a large area in and around Tokyo. These results are consistent with a widespread heat island obtained from simulations (e.g., JMA 2018). However, a report on detailed distribution of anthropogenic heat shows an area of high emission limited to the central part of the Tokyo Ward Area (Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism and Ministry of the Environment 2004; Aoyagi et al. 2012). Further studies will be needed to make clear the horizontal scale of the area affected by anthropogenic heat.

The present study has indicated a possibility of temperature decrease in the self-restraint period in some other large cities as well (Fig. 6). However, an accurate evaluation of temperature anomaly has been hindered by large statistical uncertainty. The absence of significant anomaly for Osaka, which is the central city of the second largest urban area in Japan having a population of more than ten million, may appear to be inconsistent with the large anomaly for Tokyo. However, this can be a result of statistical fluctuation that has concealed the signal. It is a future task to make a more accurate evaluation of temperature anomaly for Osaka and other large cities.

Supplements

Supplement 1: Details of time series data used for Fig. 2.
Supplement 2: Applicability of the t-test to temperature anomaly.
Supplement 3: Comparison of results from different options in the analysis.
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