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ABSTRACT

Building on our previous spectroscopic and photometric analysis of the isolated Local Group dwarf irregular (dIrr) galaxy WLM, we present a comparison of the metallicities of its red giant branch stars with respect to the well-studied Local Group dwarf spheroidal galaxies (dSphs) and Magellanic Clouds. We calculate a mean metallicity of [Fe/H] = −1.28 ± 0.02 and an intrinsic spread in metallicity of σ = 0.38 ± 0.04 dex, similar to the mean and spread observed in the massive dSph Fornax and the Small Magellanic Cloud. Thus, despite WLM’s isolated environment, its global metallicity still follows expectations for mass and its global chemical evolution is similar to other nearby luminous dwarf galaxies (gas-rich or gas-poor). The data also show a radial gradient in [Fe/H] of d[Fe/H]/dr ≈ −0.04 ± 0.04 dex r−1, which is flatter than that seen in the unbiased and spatially extended surveys of dSphs. Comparison of the spatial distribution of [Fe/H] in WLM, the Magellanic Clouds, and a sample of Local Group dSphs shows an apparent dichotomy in the sense that the dIrrs have statistically flatter radial [Fe/H] gradients than the low angular momentum dSphs. The correlation between angular momentum and radial metallicity gradient is further supported when considering the Local Group dEs. This chemodynamic relationship offers a new and useful constraint for environment-driven dwarf galaxy evolution models in the Local Group.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Dwarf galaxies in the Local Group offer a strong test for mass assembly theories in ΛCDM cosmologies, as hierarchical merging of protogalactic fragments of similar stellar mass (10^5–10^9 M_☉) is expected to be one channel for the formation of larger disk galaxies like our own Milky Way (MW) or Andromeda (M31) (e.g., Navarro et al. 1997; Moore et al. 1999; Madau et al. 2001). Characterizing the physical properties of the building blocks of larger galaxies requires an understanding of the global properties of dwarf galaxies such as mass, size, angular momentum, chemistry, and luminosity, to observe whether the merging fragments are consistent with the properties of the disk and halo of the large spirals (however, it is not certain how similar the surviving dwarfs are to those merging fragments; Font et al. 2006).

Studies of dwarf galaxies can also shed light on how baryons populate dark matter halos at the faint end of the galaxy luminosity function, and in turn offer a chance to study the star formation (SF) and galaxy assembly at low metallicities and masses (Bullock & Johnston 2005). If low SF efficiency in dwarf galaxies is due to internal feedback effects (Brooks et al. 2007), or H2 regulated SF (i.e., Krumholz & Dekel 2012), will the age and metallicity of a galaxy’s stellar population change substantially? Understanding this question can also provide insight toward what produces the range of morphologies (dwarf spheroidals, transition dwarfs, dwarf irregulars) seen in the Local Group. Quantitative comparisons of the chemical and kinematic signatures of dwarf galaxies can also constrain evolutionary connections (e.g., tidal transformation scenarios; Mayer et al. 2006) between the two morphological classes of dwarf galaxies.

Detailed signatures of environmental or internal evolutionary mechanisms can be traced by the evolved stellar populations (red giant branch (RGB) stars) in dwarf galaxies. With spectroscopic observations of these long-lived (>1 Gyr) stars, questions about whether SF and chemical enrichment proceed with the same efficiency in isolated and tidally perturbed galaxies can be addressed through analysis of the stellar age–metallicity relation (AMR) and star formation history (SFH). While the present-day appearance and morphology–density relations exhibited by dwarf galaxies in the Local Group and other groups (Weisz et al. 2011b) indicate that they are susceptible to environmental processing, the details of how environment has influenced them over a Hubble time are still difficult to ascertain. For example,
in a sample of distant dwarf galaxies, Weisz et al. (2011a) showed that the SFH of dIrrs and dSphs are nearly identical over the first 12 Gyr and only differ markedly in the most recent 1 Gyr. However, deeper photometric views of lower luminosity Local Group dwarf galaxies find subtle differences between the morphological classes (Hidalgo 2011). The contrasting radial SFHs between dIrrs and dSphs in that study may be due to differences in internal processes or environment-dependent feedback; however, disentangling the two and understanding why dIrrs retain more gas to power current SF is difficult.

The presence of radial abundance gradients and their ubiquity within different classes of dwarf galaxies is one of the primary testing points to infer whether internal or external processes are responsible for the current abundance properties in dwarf galaxies. Depending on the mechanism for creating, sustaining, and erasing such chemical gradients, their presence and strength may correlate with physical properties or environment of the host system (cf. Koleva et al. 2011). At present it is unclear if radial metallicity gradients are ubiquitous in low-mass dwarf galaxies of all types, as seen in some dSphs (Saviane et al. 2001; Harbeck et al. 2001; Tolstoy et al. 2004; Battaglia et al. 2006, 2011; Kirby et al. 2010). Therefore, it is also unclear if angular momentum and/or radial migration mechanisms mediate these gradients with different efficiencies in galaxies with different dynamical histories such as the dIrrs (Schroyen et al. 2011; Roškar et al. 2008).

There have been several large-scale spectroscopic surveys of the RGB stars in the nearby (<250 kpc) dSphs of the Local Group (e.g., Battaglia et al. 2006; Walker et al. 2009b; Kirby et al. 2010). The gas-rich dIrrs lie at distances 500–1100 kpc away from the MW, which renders analogous surveys observationally expensive. Studying these isolated dIrrs is informative (1) because they provide important initial structural and kinematic conditions for tidal transformation scenarios which evolve dIrrs into dSphs (cf. Kazantzidis et al. 2011a) and (2) being isolated, they offer a unique opportunity to study internal secular evolution in low-mass halos that have not been strongly perturbed by the tidal forces of the MW.

In the earlier papers in this series (Leaman et al. 2009; Paper I) and (Leaman et al. 2012; Paper II), we presented some of the first kinematic analyses of the stellar populations in an extremely isolated dIrr, WLM. This dwarf galaxy sits 1 Mpc from both the MW and M31, and 250 kpc from the nearest neighbor, the low-mass dSph, Cetus. From its Local Group position and velocity it is inferred that WLM’s last pericenter passage was 11–17 Gyr ago, which means it has had at most one close interaction with a massive galaxy (Leaman et al. 2012). The low mass and metallicity of WLM also make it an excellent laboratory for probing chemical evolution in regimes where the SF efficiency is expected to be low (Krumholz & Dekel 2012; Kuhlen et al. 2012). WLM clearly has spent the majority of its lifetime in isolation, and therefore is ideal for disentangling environmental and internal evolutionary processes.

In this work, we present the spectroscopic analysis of the Calcium II triplet (CaT) based [Fe/H] measurements in a sample of 126 RGB stars in the dIrr galaxy WLM. As this represents the first isolated Local Group dIrr with a sizable spectroscopic survey of its evolved stellar populations, we proceed with comparing its dynamical, chemical, and structural properties to the less isolated dSphs and Magellanic Clouds. These comparisons are used to examine the interplay between environmental and internal feedback in an observational sense, and by selecting data sets that have substantially larger spatial extents and unbiased metallicity estimators than past studies, they offer a significant improvement in the ability to accurately differentiate the chemical properties of Local Group dwarf galaxies.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA SOURCES

Resolved stellar spectroscopic data for this paper are from the following sources: spectra of 180 RGB stars were observed with the Focal Reducer and low dispersion Spectrograph (FORS2; Appenzeller et al. 1998) at the Very Large Telescope (VLT; Paper I) and the Deep Imaging Multi-Object Spectrograph (DEIMOS; Faber et al. 2003) at Keck II (Paper II) for WLM. Metallicities from FORS2 data were published in Paper I, and velocities from both samples were published in Paper II. In this paper, we determine metallicities from DEIMOS data and re-calibrate the FORS2 equivalent width (EW) obtained in Paper I. The dSph RGB spectroscopic data come from the following sources: the Sculptor, Fornax, Sextans, and Carina data are taken from the original surveys of Tolstoy et al. (2004), Battaglia et al. (2006, 2011), and Koch et al. (2006), respectively. These samples have since been updated by the Dwarf Abundances and Radial Velocity Team (DART). The updates include observations of additional stars with the same instrument setup and reduction as described in the original papers, 15 applying the new CaT–[Fe/H] calibration from Starkenburg et al. (2010) to all stars, visual inspection of low-metallicity candidates, and quality control cuts (S/N > 10, δVhel < 5 km s−1). Throughout this paper spectra from these four galaxies will be referred to as the “DART sample,” meaning these updated catalogs which are based on the original papers. The full sample of eight dSphs from Kirby et al. (2010, hereafter K10) were also considered; however, as the goal was to characterize the chemical properties of the complete spatial extent in these dwarf galaxies, only Leo I and Leo II are used from that work, as they both show spatial coverage out to at least three core radii (and past the tidal radii).

The WLM and dSph data are supplemented with observations of RGB field stars in the Magellanic Clouds. For the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) we draw from the work of Cole et al. (2005), Pompèia et al. (2008), and Carrera et al. (2008b) and for the Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC) we rely on the studies of Carrera et al. (2008a) and Parisi et al. (2010). The data taken from the literature represent spectroscopic surveys of at least 100 stars per galaxy where [Fe/H] estimates are available for each star, and the sample extends to at least 75% of the tidal radius, rτ, of the galaxy. In addition, WLM and most of the dwarf galaxies compiled in this work are well sampled along both the minor and major axes, providing a high degree of angular uniformity. The data sources and references for the nine Local Group dwarf galaxies used in this paper are summarized in Table 1. We refer the reader to the individual studies for more detailed information on the reductions, but throughout this paper differences in methodology will be discussed when relevant to comparisons we draw.

2.1. Auxiliary Measurements

Additional parameters aside from the published, directly measured velocities and metallicities are required for our analysis. These are core radii of the dwarf galaxy (rτ), elliptical radii, and ages of the stars. With these parameters it is possible to explore

15 The exception is Carina, whose stars from Koch et al. (2006) were taken from the ESO archive and reprocessed using the DART pipeline procedures.
the spatial and temporal variations that may illuminate differences or connections between the evolutionary history of dIrrs and dSphs. For the elliptical radii measurements, a galaxy center, mean ellipticity, and position angle (P.A.) are required. In the case of WLM the field center from Mateo (1998) and the ellipticity, P.A., and $r_e$ from Paper II were adopted. For Leo I and Leo II dSphs we adopt the field center from K10 and the P.A., $r_e$, and $e$ from Irwin & Hatzidimitriou (1995). Values for the field center for the DART dSphs were taken from the original papers listed in Table 1 and references therein, and the core radii, $e$, and P.A. are from Irwin & Hatzidimitriou (1995). The field centers, $e$, and P.A. for the SMC were taken from Harris & Zaritsky (2006), and from van der Marel (2001) for the LMC. The $r_e$ for the LMC and SMC were computed as a weighted mean of the values in the recent study of Belcheva et al. (2011). The geometrical radii for all samples have been derived, and in each case these have been calculated using the global ellipticities and P.A.s listed above.

3. WLM SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

For the spectral analysis of WLM, we have used the previously observed FORS2 spectra as well as the newly acquired DEIMOS data. In merging the two data sets, possible systematic offsets due to instrumental signatures, EW measuring techniques, and metallicity calibration must be explored. The following section outlines the influence of the metallicity calibrations on the full sample, with special emphasis on joining the old and new data sets in a way that is consistent within the errors. This allows for a homogeneous joint sample to be created which has global metallicity properties that are insensitive to instrumental and calibration details.

As in Paper I, [Fe/H] values were derived based on the empirically calibrated Calcium II triplet (CaT) method (e.g., Armandroff & Da Costa 1991; Rutledge et al. 1997). Originally calibrated with old galactic globular clusters (GCs), work has also been done extending the CaT calibration to younger ages (Cole et al. 2004), which is relevant here given that the RGB populations in WLM are expected to span 10 Gyr (Dolphin 2000). However, due to the fact that the empirical calibrators (Galactic GCs) are only found as metal-poor as [Fe/H] $\sim -2.3$, the method has intrinsic limitations. In recent years, work by Kirby et al. (2008) has shown the advantage of using synthetic spectral techniques that can derive [Fe/H] in a way that is not limited by the properties of the calibrators—thus pushing down to lower metallicities. Additionally, the empirical linear calibration of the CaT method has been revised by Starkenburg et al. (2010) in order to address the CaT calibration limitation. They found that at low EWs and magnitudes, the CaT behavior becomes nonlinear, resulting in an overestimate of the [Fe/H] for low CaT EWs.

3.1. Equivalent Width Measurements of DEIMOS Spectra

EW measurements of the Calcium II triplet lines in the new DEIMOS spectra were done with pixel–pixel integration methods, as in Paper I. For comparison, EW measurements were also produced using integration over the line and continuum bandpasses of Cenarro et al. (2001), and fits to the lines using Gaussian, Lorentzian, Moffat, and Voigt functions. A comparison of the pixel integration versus several fitted EW estimates for stars in the DEIMOS calibration clusters shows excellent consistency, however, the integration bandpasses of Cenarro et al. (2001) tend to produce larger values for the EW relative to other methods. For comparison, the difference in EWs measured by pixel integration and Gaussian plus Lorentzian fits in the lower resolution spectra from Paper I translated into a 0.17 dex difference in [Fe/H]—which as we will demonstrate is much less than our random uncertainties. For these data, which have relatively low spectral resolutions, the direct pixel integration shows the best agreement with Gaussian fits for the calibration stars over all metallicities. However, as in Paper I, the resolution and signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of the WLM stars necessitate using the integrated EWs rather than functional fits, as the line FWHMs are on the order of the spectral resolution and contaminating noise features nullify any difference between the functional fits.

3.2. Placement of the Joint Sample onto the Metallicity Scale

We derive metallicities for WLM using five different CaT–[Fe/H] calibrations to explore the variation in global metallicity properties; these include Cole et al. (2004), Battaglia et al. (2008), two from Starkenburg et al. (2010), and one based on our DEIMOS calibration clusters. Any of these CaT–[Fe/H] calibrations requires a summed EW determination for each star, and are most precise when the EW measurements are done using the same line measuring technique as the original calibrations. In Cole et al. (2004), each of the three calcium triplet line measurements were combined in an unweighted fashion to yield a summed EW per star $\Sigma W = W_{8498} + W_{8542} + W_{8662}$. With this relation the calcium index $W' = \Sigma W + \beta (V - V_{1H})$ is formed. The term in parentheses provides a correction for the changes in $T_{eff}$ and log($g$) for stars in different phases on the RGB. Our
Our CaT-[Fe H] is close to the horizontal branch for the calibration based on the Carretta & Gratton (1997) scale, the calcium index is converted to a metallicity ([Fe/H]_{CGF}) using Equation (3) of Paper I.

The summed EWs were also converted to an [Fe/H] scale using the calibration from Battaglia et al. (2008), as well as two nonlinear calibrations presented in Starkenburg et al. (2010). In these three cases the summed EW was computed using the two longer wavelength calcium triplet lines. We also created a linear calibration based on our stellar cluster (GC) calibrators (NGC 6791, Pal 14, NGC 7078) to check that there were no strong dependencies on instrument resolution.

Figure 1 shows the summed EW as a function of V magnitude relative to the horizontal branch for the calibration based on nonlinearity in the low EW and faint end of the parameter space is evident in this diagram. While the calibration GC stars shown in this figure extend to low magnitudes below the horizontal branch, we note that most of our WLM member stars are in the region of the parameter space where large differences between the linear and nonlinear calibrations are not expected.

While there is a more recent [Fe/H] scale based on GCs from Carretta et al. (2009), this is an average of four past scales (including the Carretta & Gratton 1997 scale). Unfortunately, only one of our calibrating clusters is directly measured in the Carretta et al. (2009) sample (NGC 7078) for which the Starkenburg et al. (2010) calibration shows excellent agreement. From the FORS2 calibrating clusters alone we find that the difference between the Carretta & Gratton (1997) and Carretta et al. (2009) scales for NGC 104 and NGC 7078 is only 0.06 and 0.20 dex, respectively, using the Cole et al. (2004) calibration. Given the relative size of the random uncertainties, and other factors discussed in joining these merged data sets, this choice of absolute [Fe/H] scales does not introduce a change in our analysis.

This is apparent from examining the metallicity distribution functions (MDFs) for 126 stars of the 180 member stars which had sufficiently high S/N (>10 Å−1)—shown for each of the five calibrations in Figure 2. While the calibrations are all in good agreement with one another for the relatively bright and metal-rich stars of WLM, we wish to compare WLM to low-metallicity dSph systems and therefore adopt the nonlinear calibration of Starkenburg et al. (2010) based on the horizontal branch magnitude for the analysis in the rest of this paper. This allows us to compare WLM to the dSphs on a consistent scale that does not suffer from saturation biases which would be prevalent in the faint, low-metallicity dSph stars.

Metallicity calibration biases are especially important to consider when studying the spatial distribution of metallicities within a galaxy. In Figure 3, we show the effect on spatial variations in [Fe/H] for two different CaT-based [Fe/H] calibrations. There are visible differences even for the relatively bright and metal-rich stars in our sample, which would be even more severe in low-metallicity systems. As gradients have such large implications for dwarf galaxy formation and evolution, care must be taken during interpretation, and this starts with an accurate understanding of the calibration biases.

Uncertainties on the metallicities were propagated from the initial line width measurements to the calibrated [Fe/H] values, as in Paper I. The mean uncertainty of the FORS2 stars is 0.25 dex, and 0.26 dex for the DEIMOS stars. While the DEIMOS data are of higher spectral resolution, the signal to noise is typically lower, which allowed us to derive reliable metallicity estimates for only 50% of those spectra.

### 3.2.1. Consistency Checks

The MDFs presented in Figure 2 show good qualitative agreement and have similar dispersions and mean values within the uncertainties. While ideally a homogeneous instrument setup for the full sample of stars is preferred, we find consistent metallicity signatures between Paper I and this joint sample within the large uncertainties. Evidence for this comes from repeat measurements of the two stars in common between the

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)

**Figure 1.** Equivalent width as a function of magnitude relative to the horizontal branch for stars in the calibrating clusters NGC 6791 (red), Pal 14 (magenta), and NGC 7078 (blue). Shown as the solid black lines is the [Fe/H] calibration of Starkenburg et al. (2010), illustrating the nonlinearity clearly. Calibration lines proceed in constant [Fe/H] values from solar to −2.5 dex in 0.5 dex steps, according to the given calibration. Dashed red, magenta, and blue lines are [Fe/H] values corresponding to the calibrating cluster’s mean metallicity as taken from Harris (1996) and Dotter et al. (2008) (Pal 14).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
DEIMOS and FORS2 observations (which show a difference of $0.13 \leq \Delta[\text{Fe/H}] \leq 0.31$), and a similar mean metallicity ($-1.27 \pm 0.04; -1.28 \pm 0.02$) for all of the stars in Paper I and this full sample. Additionally, the radial gradient computed in Paper I ($d[\text{Fe/H}]/dr_c \simeq -0.076 \pm 0.03$ dex $r_c^{-1}$) is unchanged when we apply the Cole et al. (2004) calibration to the joint sample of FORS2 and DEIMOS spectra ($d[\text{Fe/H}]/dr_c \simeq -0.08 \pm 0.03$ dex $r_c^{-1}$). Therefore, while there are subjective choices on the metallicity scale, EW measurements, and CaT calibration, these changes are all within the errors. This suggests that given the large uncertainties inherent in the spectroscopy of stars in distant galaxies like WLM, our joint MDF is sufficiently robust to analyze the global metallicity properties.

3.3. Age Derivations

Age derivations were discussed in Paper I and Paper II for the WLM sample. Ages were derived using the published photometry and the Demarque et al. (2004) stellar evolution models. The older library is chosen due to the metallicities in the larger sample and the new calibration being outside the range of the Victoria–Regina models used in Paper I. In addition, a greater flexibility in $\alpha$-abundances is possible with the Demarque et al. (2004) models. The V and I photometry, reddening, and distance moduli were taken from Papers I and II on WLM, and are discussed therein. The ages were interpolated using the grid of isochrones, the dereddened photometry, and spectroscopic $[\text{Fe/H}]$ and $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ estimates. As in Paper II, the $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ values were interpolated as a function of $[\text{Fe/H}]$ using the literature values from Colucci et al. (2010), Venn et al. (2003), and Bresolin et al. (2006) to describe the mean trend of $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ versus $[\text{Fe/H}]$ in WLM. Errors were assigned by propagating the photometric, reddening, and distance modulus uncertainties, as well as the spectroscopic abundance uncertainties into the position of the star on the color–magnitude diagram.

Ages derived using this method will be valid in a differential sense within a sample, as there are strong systematic uncertainties between the stellar evolution libraries used in various studies. However, the metallicity uncertainties dominate over the choice of evolution library for such distant systems as WLM, therefore the general age–metallicity properties of WLM may be extracted. The relative random uncertainty on age for an individual WLM star is $\sim 50%$.

3.3.1. Quantifying Systematic Age Errors

The random error captures the uncertainty in derived age due to errors in color, magnitude, and $[\text{Fe/H}]$, however, there are three additional systematic errors not included in the previous section that must be quantified—asymptotic giant branch (AGB) contamination, differential (internal) reddening, and variations in $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$. WLM exhibits an extended SFH (Dolphin 2000), therefore it is highly probable to sample stars on the giant branch with ages 1.6–12 Gyr. In addition, the distance of WLM makes it difficult to accurately differentiate second ascent giant branch stars from photometry with much confidence. This means that within the sample there may be AGB stars; these do not affect the derived $[\text{Fe/H}]$ or velocities but can produce a bias in the inferred age. Using the SFH of Dolphin (2000), it is possible to roughly estimate the AGB contamination rate within the color and magnitude range of the WLM spectroscopic targets. A conservative upper limit on the contamination fraction is $\sim 40\%$, with about 1/3 of those AGB stars being younger than 2.5 Gyr, and a third older than 9 Gyr. Using a grid of isochrones, it is possible to work out for a given color and magnitude the difference in age between an RGB and an AGB star. The systematic age error due to AGB contamination is found to be strongest at young ages. Specifically, an AGB star of 1.6 Gyr would have its age underestimated by $20\%$ if it were considered an RGB star in the sample. This percentage drops to $10\%$ for a 2 Gyr star, and $5\%$ for a 10 Gyr star.

The unknown nature of differential internal reddening and star-to-star variations in $\alpha$-element abundances in WLM stars will contribute additional systematic errors. To numerically estimate the combined systematic uncertainty due to these two factors and the abovementioned AGB contamination, we proceeded as follows. For a test star of a given true age and $[\text{Fe/H}]$, and fixed evolutionary position $\sim 0.5$ mag below the tip of the RGB (TRGB), we randomly drew a possible variation in $(V-I)$ (due to reddening), and $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$, as well as gave it a $50\%$ chance of being an AGB star. The distribution of internal reddenings was drawn from a Gaussian of $\sigma_{(V-I)} = 0.03$ mag (for comparison, the line-of-sight reddening in the direction of WLM is $E(V-I) = 0.037$ (Schlegel et al. 1998)). The
distribution of differential $[\alpha/Fe]$ was taken from a Gaussian of $\sigma_\alpha = 0.05$ dex—which was chosen primarily to keep $[\alpha/Fe]$ within the range of the isochrone grid. In a given iteration, the test star had its age rederived using the new color and magnitude on a grid of isochrones reflecting its new $[\alpha/Fe]$. If the star was also drawn to be an AGB star, the new age was modified by the systematic age offsets discussed in the above paragraph.

In Figure 4, we show the systematic errors due to these combined effects for 10,000 iterations on each input star of a given canonical age and $[Fe/H]$. While AGB contamination most strongly impacts the age systematics at young ages, the effect of differential reddening and $\alpha$-element variation dominates for low-metallicity stars. The standard deviation of Monte Carlo trials is indicated as ellipses, with the mean movement indicated by the black arrows. Where appropriate in this work and in Paper II, we adopt the semimajor axis of the ellipses as an estimate of the total systematic uncertainty for stars of various ages and metallicities, and incorporate that along with the individual random uncertainty on age for a star. The distance of WLM makes deriving ages difficult, however, we are aided by the relatively metal-rich and young populations of stars in this sample.

4. RESULTS

We now compare the distribution of metallicities, both spatially and with age (where possible), using the spatially extended sample of metallicities for hundreds of stars in each of WLM, LMC, SMC, Fornax, Leo I, Sculptor, Leo II, Sextans, and Carina. Through this analysis, we will test whether an isolated galaxy such as WLM, with its relatively quiescent tidal evolution and gas content history, shows differences in chemical evolution compared to the gas-poor tidally disturbed dSphs or gas-rich tidally disturbed Magellanic Clouds.\(^{16}\)

4.1. Metallicity Distribution Functions

In comparing the MDFs between the dwarf galaxies, it is important to be aware of the sample sizes and spatial extents of the data sets for comparison galaxies, and where $[Fe/H]$ has been calculated using differing methods. Both the K10 and the DART sample have $[Fe/H]$ estimates that should be free of calibration biases (see Section 3). Systematic differences remain due to the varying spatial coverage and number of stars in each study, however. The global metallicity distribution may be biased in studies where only central regions of a galaxy are sampled, especially in the case where there is a radial metallicity gradient. Similarly, when the sample size is small the metallicity may be underestimated, as a minority metal-poor population is more difficult to sample as efficiently due to a population bias resulting from mass-dependent stellar evolutionary timescales.\(^{17}\) This effect will be enhanced in the presence of any age–metallicity relationship and is still present for populations with extended SFHs like WLM.

To study the impact of these biases, MDFs were computed for the galaxies using an equal spatial range, and with equal

---

\(^{16}\) It should be kept in mind that tidal influences on the Magellanic Clouds may have only begun recently (\(<2\) Gyr) if they are on first infall to the MW (Besla et al. 2007; Boylan-Kolchin et al. 2011).

\(^{17}\) For a given time interval, more young, high-mass, high-metallicity stars will evolve to the TRGB than older, low-mass, metal-poor stars in the same time interval. The dominant fraction of young stars at the TRGB is large enough to outnumber the metal-poor old stars, despite the contradictory initial relative numbers of the IMF (cf. Cole et al. 2008).
Figure 4. Representation of systematic age errors on artificial input stars of various [Fe/H] and true age. Each oval shows an estimate of the combined systematic uncertainty in recovered age due to the effects of differential reddening, [$\alpha$/Fe] variations, and AGB contamination for 10,000 artificial star tests. Arrows show movement in the mean recovered age from the combined effects. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 5. Panels show example of spatial and number biases in metallicity distributions of four dwarf galaxies in the sample. In addition to the full CDFs, the CDF within the inner 1.5 $r_c$ is shown (dashed line), along with the mean and dispersion from 10,000 iterations of random sampling of 31 stars from that inner region in each galaxy (blue solid and dashed). The small difference between the solid blue and black dashed lines indicates that the primary bias in comparing samples is consistent spatial coverage, especially when dealing with galaxies that show strong metallicity gradients. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

numbers of stars. The samples were restricted in these test cases to stars within 1.5 $r_c$ of the dwarf galaxy center (roughly the smallest radial extent of dSph samples in the K10 catalog). Any one galaxy that has a strong metallicity gradient will be biased in this case, however, the MDFs of the inner regions will be much more appropriate to compare to each other in a differential sense. To study the bias in sample size, the data sets were also resampled from the inner 1.5 $r_c$ so that they have equal numbers of stars. In this case the sample sizes have been constructed to have 31 stars (equal to the smallest sample of stars within 1.5 $r_c$ in any of the galaxies). Each of the galaxies was resampled from the larger population 10,000 times, computing
Table 2

| Galaxy | 10th% | 50th% | 90th% | p [Z⊙] | [Fe/H] |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|
| LMC    | -1.06  | -0.45  | -0.18  | 0.430   | -∞     |
|        |        |        |        | 0.363   | -1.30  |
| SMC    | -1.53  | -1.05  | -0.64  | 0.100   | -∞     |
|        |        |        |        | 0.085   | -1.91  |
| WLM    | -1.74  | -1.24  | -0.75  | 0.070   | -∞     |
|        |        |        |        | 0.064   | -2.34  |
| Fornax | -2.04  | -1.17  | -0.74  | 0.093   | -∞     |
|        |        |        |        | 0.090   | -2.66  |
| Leo I  | -1.84  | -1.42  | -1.11  | 0.090   | -∞     |
|        |        |        |        | 0.037   | -2.18  |
| Sculptor | -2.45 | -1.96  | -1.41  | 0.014   | -∞     |
|        |        |        |        | 0.013   | -3.14  |
| Leo II | -2.29  | -1.59  | -1.28  | 0.036   | -∞     |
|        |        |        |        | 0.033   | -2.68  |
| Sextans | -2.89 | -2.26  | -1.66  | 0.007   | -∞     |
|        |        |        |        | 0.007   | <-5.0  |
| Carina | -2.47  | -1.87  | -1.51  | 0.019   | -∞     |
|        |        |        |        | 0.017   | -3.02  |

Notes. Effective yields in the first row for each galaxy represent the best-fitting value from a leaky-box model; those in the second row represent the effective yield in the pre-enriched model and initial [Fe/H].

WLM shows a median metallicity similar to that of some classical dSphs and the SMC, however, there appears to be a slight preference for the lower mass dSphs to show more asymmetric, extended metal-poor tails relative to their mean metallicity. Fornax, Leo II, Sextans, and Carina all show a significant asymmetry to their MDF compared to the dIrrs—however, it may be that the metal-poor stars in the gas-rich dwarfs are simply more difficult to sample efficiently in such cases with ongoing SF (see Section 4.1). The difference in metal-poor tails can be seen qualitatively in the right panels of Figure 6, where leaky-box and pre-enriched chemical evolution models have been overlaid. The formalism of Prantzos (2008) for the two models has been used, and the best-fitting models determined through a maximum likelihood approach assuming Poisson errors on the distributions. The estimated yields and initial metallicity for both chemical evolution models are listed for each galaxy in Table 2. Qualitatively, the simple leaky-box models provide reasonable fits to many of the dSphs, but the dIrrs and Leo I appear more closely fit by the pre-enriched solutions (see also Gullieuszik et al. 2009). In the case of Leo I this could be due to the low-metallicity stars lying at large radii (see Section 4.2) outside the spatial coverage of the K10 survey—as the DART sample of Fornax (which is nearly the same luminosity as Leo I) samples out twice as far in radius and shows a significant metal-poor tail. Alternatively for Leo I, Lanfranchi & Matteucci (2010) showed that models with infalling pristine gas could also reproduce the MDF.

The spread in [Fe/H] of a dwarf galaxy has been found to anticorrelate with mean metallicity in the recent study of Kirby et al. (2011). We revisit this relation here, as our literature sample explores both higher luminosity galaxies (some of which are gas-rich, and one of which is isolated), and typically have larger spatial coverage. The intrinsic dispersions have been calculated by subtracting in quadrature the mean error in metallicity for a sample from the total measured dispersion. Figure 7 shows the intrinsic spread in metallicity, σ[Fe/H], for the data in this paper as well as those derived in Kirby et al. (2011) and the linear correlation those authors found. The uncertainty on the dispersions are calculated using relations from Section 3.1 of Hargreaves et al. (1994) and the intrinsic and raw dispersions from this work and K10. Figure 7 suggests that the K10 relation still provides a good description of the large spread seen in [Fe/H] for the ultra-faint dwarfs (although Boote I was recently found to lie off this relation; Lai et al. 2011). However, at high luminosities (10^5 L⊙) the dispersion in metallicity may saturate, as hinted at in Norris et al. (2010), as it shows little change even up to the brighter dIrrs such as WLM and the Magellanic Clouds. This may be due to the high-luminosity systems having an enrichment timescale that is similar, or above some threshold duration to produce a well-mixed interstellar medium (ISM) (see Section 5.1; also Leaman 2012). Alternatively, it could suggest that the lowest mass systems are more impacted by gas and metal outflows, driving them to larger metallicity dispersions, compared to the higher mass galaxies which retain a larger fraction of their gas and metals.

4.2. Spatial Variations in Chemistry

Paper I showed that the metal-rich and metal-poor stars in WLM are similar in their spatial distributions with slightly more metal-rich stars in the inner regions. With only 78 stars in the original WLM sample, however, it was difficult to interpret the change as due to a superposition of two populations, or a smooth gradient. Here we analyze the spatial distribution of a cumulative metallicity distribution function (CDF) each time. An average CDF was constructed based on 31 stars in each galaxy. Figure 5 shows an example of the mean (solid blue) and dispersion (dotted blue line) of resampled CDFs for several of the galaxies in this study, as well as the impact of the radial cutoff. This shows that the primary bias affecting comparison of different studies is their spatial extent, rather than any sampling bias from differing numbers of stars. We have therefore selected surveys which have resolved spectroscopic data out to at least 75% of the galaxy’s tidal radius in hopes of minimizing any spatial bias when comparing the dwarf galaxies, as shown in Table 1.

Figure 6 shows the MDF of WLM, the Magellanic Clouds, and the six dSphs, ordered by luminosity. The full sample and central regions are shown for each galaxy. In each panel, the 10th, 50th, and 90th percentile metallicities of the MDFs are also shown (as dotted lines). The mean (median) metallicity of WLM is [Fe/H] = -1.28(-1.24) ± 0.02 dex, in good agreement with the trend shown by the Local Group luminosity–metallicity relation (LZR; cf. Woo et al. 2008). The uncertainty on the value for WLM represents the error on the mean, however typical systematic uncertainties for both synthesis and EW-based measurements from the literature are ~0.15 dex. The median value of WLM lies within the range of [Fe/H] distributions shown by the dSphs and is in close agreement to the more luminous members, Fornax and Leo I as well as the SMC. The metal-poor population below [Fe/H] = -1.74 forms a ~10% minority population in WLM, and stars are found as metal-poor as [Fe/H] = -2.85 dex. RGB stars with metallicities as enriched as [Fe/H] = -0.35 dex are found, consistent with the results from Venn et al. (2003) for two supergiants in WLM. Table 2 tabulates the percentiles of the MDF for each galaxy. The mean and extreme metallicities of the isolated, gas-rich WLM dIrr show little differences from the luminous dSphs, nor the gas-rich but (recently) tidally perturbed SMC.
Figure 6. Differential MDFs for the Local Group dSphs and dIrrs considered in this paper, ordered by decreasing luminosity from top to bottom. Galaxy names are color coded by the first author of the samples: red (Kirby), magenta (DART survey), orange (Magellanic Cloud surveys) (see Table 1). Left panels show metallicity distributions in each galaxy where only stars within 1.5 $r_c$ have been considered; right panels show the full sample of stars for a given galaxy. Panels show a normalized histogram only with respect to the particular sample (i.e., fraction of total stars for the right-hand panels, or fraction of stars within 1.5 $r_c$ for the left-hand panels). Dotted blue, magenta, and red lines indicate the 10th, 50th, and 90th percentile [Fe/H] values for each sample. Simple leaky-box and pre-enriched chemical evolution models (Prantzos 2008) are overlaid on the full samples in green and cyan. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

the WLM stellar populations using the new DEIMOS data and CaT-[Fe/H] calibration, and examine the metallicity gradient in comparison to those of dSphs (i.e., Tolstoy et al. 2004; Battaglia et al. 2006). With the new stars and calibration by Starkenburg et al. (2010), the metallicity distribution has changed and the spatial signatures are altered from Paper I. Figure 3 shows that the new calibration clearly favors a milder gradient.

Metallicity gradients in dSphs are still common to varying degrees as shown in Kirby et al. (2011). However, the spatial coverage in that work is relatively limited compared to the samples from Walker et al. (2009a) or the DART survey. Here we can explore comparisons of [Fe/H] gradients in a rigorous manner for the Local Group galaxies due to the large spatial coverage ($r_{\text{max}} \geq 0.75 r_c$) in the literature sample. Figure 8 shows [Fe/H] as a function of elliptical radius (in units of core radii), with the dashed lines showing linear least-squares weighted fits to the data.

WLM shows a mild gradient with $d\text{[Fe/H]}/dr_c = -0.04 \pm 0.04$ dex $r_c^{-1}$, similar to the Magellanic Clouds. It should be noted that the LMC RGB metallicities of Carrera et al. (2008b) show a correlation with magnitude, which manifests itself as a systematic steepening of the gradient. Correction
Figure 7. Intrinsic dispersion in [Fe/H] as a function of host galaxy luminosity for the sample of Kirby et al. (2010) (red circles) and other dwarf galaxies considered in this paper (filled black dots). Solid lines connect values where the intrinsic dispersion has been measured on the same data in this work, and in Kirby et al. (2010) as a check on consistent methodology for removing the contribution of measurement uncertainty to the dispersion. Dotted lines connect the derived dispersion between the different DART and Kirby et al. samples for Fornax, Sculptor, and Sextans. Red dashed line shows the best-fitting relation found by Kirby et al. (2010) including the ultra-faint dSphs.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 8. Plot of [Fe/H] vs. geometrical radii in units of core radii for WLM and the Local Group dwarfs from literature. Galaxy names are color coded by the first author of the samples: red (Kirby), magenta (DART survey), orange (Magellanic Cloud surveys) (see Table 1). Dashed lines show weighted linear fits to the data. Solid lines show the running boxcar averages of Figure 9 overlaid. The rotating dIrrs appear to show statistically flatter abundance gradients than the dispersion-dominated dSphs (weighted averages of $-0.03 \pm 0.01$ vs. $-0.13 \pm 0.01$).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
of this reduces the measured gradient by half, consistent with an extended sample of RGB stars from a forthcoming study\cite{Cole2011}—therefore, the LMC gradient shown here could be taken as a lower limit. In contrast, the dSphs show radial metallicity profiles which are much steeper.

Many of the individual galaxies in Figure 8 show complex trends of $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ with radius, and as noted in Battaglia et al.\cite{Battaglia2011} some galaxies show steep profiles in the inner few core radii, which then flatten to a low-metallicity plateau. In such cases, the gradient may be a superposition of two populations with differing concentrations—a possibility that is difficult to rule out quantitatively. We have computed running boxcar averages of $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ versus radius in Figure 9 to track the mean metallicity more precisely. The dIrrs still show statistically flatter radial $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ gradients relative to the dSphs (especially considering the uncorrected systematic with the LMC profile). The low-metallicity plateau in Sculptor is quite evident at large radii, and while there is scatter between any given dSph, overall they show much steeper metallicity drop-offs in the inner three core radii. Figure 9 clearly shows the necessity for very spatially extended spectroscopic surveys in order to calculate the complete abundance gradient and global metallicity distribution, as within the inner $1.5 r_c$ of the dSphs there is a stochastic behavior before the abundances coherently fall at larger radii.

4.3. Age–Metallicity Relations

Photometric SFHs already suggest that WLM experienced extended SF over its lifetime\cite{Dolphin2000}, and with spectroscopic $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ and age estimates in WLM, we can compare the AMR to several other Local Group dwarfs in a differential sense. Figure 10 plots the AMRs for the three dwarf irregulars as well as Fornax. For the LMC and SMC, we have used the published AMRs in Cole et al.\cite{Cole2004} and Carrera et al.\cite{Carrera2008}, respectively. Similarly, with Fornax we have adopted the published mean AMR from Battaglia et al.\cite{Battaglia2006}. In those three studies, stars with ages older than 10 Gyr have been scaled as $t_{\text{new}} = 10 + 0.41(t - 10)$, in order to homogenize the oldest stars to a consistent maximum age, as the studies differ in the uppermost age the stellar evolution libraries consider. For WLM five bins have been computed for the AMR, and the error on the mean for the metallicity, and combined random and systematic age errors computed for each bin (Section 3.3.1). Where applicable the field RGB star data are supplemented with the $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ values from supergiant studies by Bresolin et al.\cite{Bresolin2006}, Venn et al.\cite{Venn2003}, and Levesque et al.\cite{Levesque2006}. Similarly, the oldest GCs in the LMC\cite{Colucci2011}, Fornax\cite{Strader2003}, and the one GC in WLM\cite{Colucci2010} were added and metallicities were taken from those spectroscopic studies. There appears to be good agreement between these anchor points and the youngest and oldest field RGB star age–metallicity data. The simple leaky-box and pre-enriched chemical evolution models which were fit to the MDFs in Figure 6 have been overlaid as well.

The AMRs of SMC, Fornax, and WLM in Figure 10 are all qualitatively similar in their shape, with relatively shallow metallicity enrichment over intermediate ages, and only an offset in metallicity at each age. WLM shows slightly more rapid metal enrichment in the last 3 Gyr compared to any of the other galaxies, consistent with the burst of central SF found by Dolphin\cite{Dolphin2000}. The nearly flat $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ values from 3 to 9 Gyr in WLM are quite similar to the SMC for that time frame, but Fornax shows a stronger increase in metallicity over the same timescale. This is likely due to the strong burst of SF estimated to have occurred between 3 and 8 Gyr ago in Fornax based on its CMD analysis\cite{Stetson1998, Saviane2000, Tolstoy2001, Coleman2008, deBoer2012}. For the first two Gyr of its lifetime, WLM does not appear to have undergone as rapid an enrichment as the LMC. The mean metallicity of WLM changes by $\lesssim 0.5$ dex in this period, similar to the SMC and Fornax, while the LMC changes its mean metallicity by nearly 1 dex.
The AMR of the LMC and SMC has been carefully examined by Pagel & Tautvaisiene (1998), where the primary difference in their AMR shapes was attributed to the relative strength of the SF burst(s) in the LMC. WLM’s SFH has been interpreted from Hubble Space Telescope (HST) color–magnitude analysis by Dolphin (2000), and the computed AMR from that work is also shown overlaid on the WLM data in Figure 10. There is remarkable agreement between the shape of the AMR derived from our spectroscopic sample, and that inferred from the photometric study of Dolphin (2000). The similarities in the AMR of WLM to that of the dSph Fornax illustrate that an AMR shown for these galaxies in Figure 10, the comparative metallicity properties suggest that the chemical enrichment proceeds largely independent of environment and is primarily dictated by the mass of the galaxy—in agreement with the simulations of Sawala et al. (2012). This is also consistent with the recent observations of the isolated dwarf galaxy VV124 by Kirby et al. (2012), which was found to lie on the Local Group MMR despite its extreme isolation.

5. DISCUSSION

In the following section, we assess the likelihood that secular or environmental factors could produce the particular similarities and differences observed in the metallicities, structure, and dynamics of the sample of galaxies.

5.1. Global Metallicity Properties in the Sample

Despite WLM’s isolation, Figures 6 and 7 suggest that its bulk chemical properties are similar to the more luminous of the tidally disturbed dSphs and the Magellanic Clouds. Approximately the same mean metallicity is found in WLM, Fornax, Leo I, and the SMC, while their tidal indices range from $\Theta = 0.2$ to $\Theta = 3.5$ (Karachentsev 2005). Stars are found as metal-poor as [Fe/H] $\approx -2.9$ in WLM, the same lower limit as Fornax, suggesting that dSphs and dIrrs of the same luminosity have stars of low metallicity present—consistent with the survey of Weisz et al. (2011a). Together with the similar AMR shown for these galaxies in Figure 10, the comparative metallicity properties suggest that the chemical enrichment proceeds largely independent of environment and is primarily dictated by the mass of the galaxy—in agreement with the simulations of Sawala et al. (2012). This is also consistent with the recent observations of the isolated dwarf galaxy VV124 by Kirby et al. (2012), which was found to lie on the Local Group MMR despite its extreme isolation.

Similarities in the AMR and chemical enrichment timescales of the SMC, WLM, and Fornax may also be indicative of a common initial mass— with subsequent differences in the present-day masses plausibly attributed to tidal stripping by the MW. Using estimates for the half-light masses from Wolf et al. (2010) and Paper II, in conjunction with the tidal evolutionary tracks of Peñarrubia et al. (2008), it can be shown that if the SMC were to have lost $\sim 40\%$ and $\sim 98\%$ of its total mass, it would have a similar half-light mass as WLM and Fornax, respectively. Similarly, were WLM to undergo stripping of $\sim 90\%$ of its total mass, it would have a present-day mass comparable to that of Fornax. It is certainly plausible then that the similar enrichment history of those three galaxies is linked to similar infall masses.

18 Karachentsev (2005) defines $\Theta \equiv \max[\log(M/M_\odot)/D]$ to be the amount a galaxy is acted on by its largest tidal disturber. As noted in that work, $\Theta = 0$ corresponds to an object with a Keplerian orbital period about MW/M31 equal to 1/$H$. For reference, Sgr dSph has $\Theta = 5.6$.

19 If Fornax became a satellite of the MW relatively recently or, as is suggested by proper motion measurements, has a circular orbit about the MW, similarities in chemical evolution may be expected despite the current present-day environmental differences.
Adding weight to this argument is the presence of GCs in both WLM and Fornax, as well as planetary nebulae (Magrini et al. 2005; Kniazev et al. 2007), which may imply common masses and SFH (cf. Saviane et al. 2009).

The measured global metallicity properties of WLM are in good agreement with the expectations for its luminosity, regardless of environment. WLM shows no discernible offset trend with respect to the LZR of Kirby et al. (2008). The offset between dIrrs and dSphs reported by Grebel et al. (2003) and Woo et al. (2008) does not appear as strongly, if at all, when plotting the updated metallicities for the galaxies we consider. Such systematic offsets are difficult to confirm and interpret in cases where biased metallicity indicators or small spatial coverage skew the average [Fe/H] values. WLM’s agreement with the dSph LZR is consistent with studies looking at large samples of more distant galaxies from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey—where only small deviations from the MZR are seen for galaxies of different environment or morphological class (Ellison et al. 2008, 2009). Environmental processing such as ram pressure stripping may not necessarily produce offsets in any one particular direction from the mass—metallicity relation, however there is still likely a complex interplay between gas stripping, triggered SF, and dilution of the ISM during infall of a dwarf galaxy to a larger spiral. This may add to the observed scatter in the LZR (Skillman et al. 1996; Boselli et al. 2008; Koleva et al. 2011). In addition, varying levels of angular momentum in the dwarfs may modulate SF efficiency (Schroyen et al. 2011), with the low angular momentum galaxies having more centrally concentrated gas which may be more efficient at cooling into the molecular phase (Kuhlen et al. 2012).

The spread in metallicity, $\sigma_{[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]}$, for the dwarf galaxies studied here is nearly constant over four orders of magnitude in luminosity, with a mean of $\langle \sigma_{[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]} \rangle = 0.38$. The anticorrelation with luminosity found for the ultra-faint dwarfs seems to saturate at this value for galaxies with luminosity $L \gtrsim 5 \times 10^5 L_\odot$ in Figure 7. If the spread in [Fe/H] reflects the stochastic nature of enrichment events, then perhaps higher luminosity systems (where SF proceeds over several Gyr and many supernovae occur) end up with a similar value of $\sigma_{[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]}$ which reflects the mixing efficiency in the ISM (Argast et al. 2000). Lower luminosity systems which have truncated SFHs and therefore fewer enrichment events will unevenly distribute metals through the galaxy in the short time they are forming stars leading to the higher dispersion [Fe/H].

5.2. Spatial Abundance Signatures of the Sample

Past studies (Winnick 2003; Koch et al. 2007; Gullieuszik et al. 2009; Kirby et al. 2011) have found both flat and statistically significant negative radial [Fe/H] profiles for dSphs. However, in studies using linear CaT calibrations unbiased gradient estimates have proved difficult to compute. Even then small spatial coverage may miss such a gradient. As pointed out by Kirby et al. (2011), their sample of stars in Fornax showed a significantly different gradient from the DART sample simply due to the small region of the galaxy sampled—which is reinforced when looking at the inner regions of our Figure 9. Due to the strong RGB metallicity gradients shown by the dSphs, it is necessary to sample out to large radii in order to detect the low-metallicity stars and build up a representative MDF.

In this work, we have selected literature data which show the least bias in terms of spatial coverage and [Fe/H] calibration to allow for a consistent differential comparison of the chemical trends with radius as traced by RGB stars. With the unbiased metallicity and spatial coverage in the sample we consider, there appears to be a slight dichotomy in the abundance gradients of dIrrs and dSphs—with the gas-rich rotating dIrrs showing significantly shallower radial gradients. While this could be due to differences in their total masses, further evidence supporting angular momentum as a driver of gradient strength comes when looking at the Local Group dEs: NGC 205, NGC 185, and NGC 147. The dispersion-dominated system NGC 205 ($V/\sigma \sim 0.3$; Geha et al. 2006) was found by Koleva et al. (2009) to have a metallicity gradient comparable to, or even steeper than the dSphs in our sampler ($d[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]/dr_c \gtrsim -0.14$ dex $r_c^{-1}$). By contrast NGC 147 and NGC 185 (both $V/\sigma \gtrsim 0.91$, $V/\sigma \lesssim 0.65$; Geha et al. 2010) were found to have gradients as flat or flatter than the dIrrs we consider here ($d[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]/dr_c \lesssim -0.02$ dex $r_c^{-1}$; Geha et al. 2010).

To quantize this, in Figure 11 we plot the slope of the radial metallicity gradient versus $V/\sigma$. $V/\sigma$ is not a singular quantity, but changes with radius within a galaxy—therefore, we show the range of $V/\sigma$ spanned by particular galaxies in this plot. The measured values for the dSphs (and the LMC) must be taken as coarse estimates, and should be interpreted with care. This is due to several factors: in the case of nearby dSphs with large angular extents it can be difficult to ascertain whether the observed velocity gradients are intrinsic rotation or perspective induced rotation, the uncertain inclination and axis of rotation for the dSphs may lead to an underestimate of any true rotational velocity (Łokas et al. 2010), and any tidal distortions to the dSphs make interpretation of their dynamical state difficult. Nevertheless, there is evidence for the lowest angular momentum systems to show steeper metallicity gradients in this literature sample.

It should be kept in mind that when comparing radial gradients (especially in systems with ongoing SF), ideally a
tracer population of stars of the same age should be used. Indeed, the change in abundance gradient as a function of population age offers a strong tool to study the chemical evolution (Cioni 2009; Vlajić et al. 2009). For example, the LMC shows a decrease in $V/\sigma$ with age, and the older stars are also more spatially extended. Therefore, one would want to estimate the kinematics, chemistry, and scale length of a single age stellar population in order to accurately test chemodynamic signatures; however, this is extremely difficult to do with current data of Local Group dwarfs. The LMC may be a very complex case which should be analyzed with caution, however, the similar metallicity gradients, dynamical state, mass, and size of WLM, SMC, NGC 147, and NGC 185 certainly offer a robust comparison sample to the dispersion-dominated dSphs. While the dSphs may show on average older RGB stars, we note that WLM and Fornax have nearly identical AMRs (Figure 10), yet show the most disparate radial abundance gradients.

5.2.1. The Role of Environment

The RGB gradients in Figures 9 and 11 for the gas-rich, rotating dwarf galaxies are shallower than the low angular momentum gas-poor dSphs. However, the differences between the metallicity gradient of the isolated WLM galaxy and that of the tidally disturbed Magellanic Clouds are not as large. If the metallicity gradient is modulated by environmental factors such as ram pressure or tidal stripping, this would be consistent with recent observational and theoretical work suggesting that the Magellanic Clouds are on their first passage into the MW virial radius (Besla et al. 2007; Boylan-Kolchin et al. 2011). Despite their close present-day distance to the MW, their gas content and spatially extended SF may have been undisturbed, allowing chemical enrichment to proceed over the full body of the LMC and SMC for 10+ Gyr. In this environment-driven scenario, the steeper metallicity gradients of dSphs would be due to their early infall times—with their gas content and chemical enrichment quenched as they were accreted by the MW. This could perhaps lead to a radially shrinking SF region (and steeper metallicity gradient) within those dwarfs (i.e., Mayer et al. 2007; Mayer 2011).

In addition to ram pressure mediating metallicity gradients, it is not clear what factor tidal stripping of the stellar populations will play in preserving or erasing a gradient. As shown by Sales et al. (2010) the kinematic and metallicity gradients in dSphs near the MW may be subject to tidally induced modifications depending on their initial strength and the orbital properties of the dSph. In most cases, however, the metallicity gradient would remain, as the outermost metal-poor stars would be unbound first. Therefore, in situ formation of gradients for dSphs could still be preserved (or made milder) in the presence of environmental processing from the MW (see also Koleva et al. 2011). The trends in Figure 11 may present a test for merger- or tidally induced transformations of dIrrs into dSphs (e.g., Mayer et al. 2001; Klimentowski et al. 2009; Kazantzidis et al. 2011a, 2011b)—as in such scenarios it could be difficult to produce objects with steep metallicity gradients from rotating progenitors which had flat radial metallicity profiles. Ram pressure or additional baryonic effects may allow for more flexibility (Mayer et al. 2007; Kravtsov 2010) and further modeling which jointly treats the chemical and kinematic evolution of dwarf galaxies in the MW potential could comment directly.

5.2.2. Internal Processes

Simulations by Schroyen et al. (2011) showed that angular momentum plays a strong role in determining the radial metallicity profile of a dwarf galaxy. In their simulations, rotation produced a centrifugal barrier which in turn prevented gas from settling in the center of galaxies. This led to SF that occurred over the full extent of the dwarf at lower levels. This scenario naturally produced smoother radial metallicity profiles and extended SFHs. These results were in qualitative agreement with the observations of dwarf ellipticals by Koleva et al. (2009), but a larger sample by Koleva et al. (2011) from the literature has found weaker correlations with host galaxy properties. One simulation run from Schroyen et al. (2011) shows a metallicity gradient of $d[\text{Fe/H}]/dr_e \sim -0.12$ dex $r_e^{-1}$ for the non-rotating dwarf, with the rotating dwarf ($V/\sigma \sim 1.8$) having a gradient of $d[\text{Fe/H}]/dr_e \sim -0.03$ dex $r_e^{-1}$. This is in excellent agreement with the average radial gradients we find for the dSphs ($-0.13 \pm 0.01$) and dIrrs ($-0.03 \pm 0.01$). Together with the dEs discussed above these observations would support a correlation between angular momentum and radial metallicity gradient strength in Local Group dwarf galaxies.

Another secular process for flattening metallicity gradients demonstrated in simulations (Sellwood & Binney 2002; Roškar et al. 2008; Stinson et al. 2009; Loebman et al. 2011) is radial migration of stars. The migrations of the stellar populations are typically produced by global disk instabilities or transient spiral structures. These are thought to be common in MW-sized galaxies, however, it is unclear how ubiquitous such dynamical instabilities are in low-mass, thickened dwarf galaxies (Sotnikova & Rodionov 2003; Sánchez-Janssen et al. 2010; Mayer 2011). Redistribution of locally enriched material within a galaxy is also possible due to the SF-driven fountain mechanism as shown by De Young & Heckman (1994), but again this may not be dominant in low-mass, thick dwarfs (Schroyen et al. 2011). If the dIrrs were of high enough mass for one or both of these processes to operate, the dichotomy in metallicity gradients may then be due to total dynamical mass—however, the similar masses of NGC 205, 185, and 147 would argue against this.

In summary there could be several mechanisms at work to produce the observed radial $[\text{Fe/H}]$ gradients. More data are needed to reveal whether environment, total mass, or angular momentum is the more fundamental parameter modulating metallicity gradients in dwarfs—which may be difficult as a correlation between $V/\sigma$ and environment may also be present. Efforts to incorporate metallicity profiles into dynamical simulations of dwarf galaxy evolution may also increase constraints on the contribution of environment. In all cases, it is imperative to ascertain the dynamical and chemical profiles of the dwarfs out to large radii—as the value of $V/\sigma$ in particular will change dramatically from the inner to outer regions.

6. SUMMARY

In this paper, we have presented $[\text{Fe/H}]$ and age estimates for 126 RGB stars in the WLM dIrr galaxy. These estimates complement the kinematic and structural study of WLM presented in our earlier papers, and represent some of the first spectroscopic abundances and velocities of individual evolved stars in a truly isolated Local Group dwarf galaxy. For WLM and the literature data, we have computed the MDFs and characterized the bulk chemical abundance properties as well as calculated the radial metallicity gradients and AMR for each galaxy as traced by the RGB stars.
The key points from our study are as follows.

1. The global metal abundances (mean, median) and MDF for the isolated dwarf galaxy WLM are similar to those of the SMC and the more luminous dSph Fornax—in expectation with the LZR for the Local Group.

2. WLM shows stars at as low a metallicity as the dSph Fornax, however, the dSphs on average show more extended metal-poor tails and are better fit by simple leaky-box chemical evolution models than the dIrrs we consider.

3. The intrinsic spread in a galaxy’s metallicity is constant over four orders of magnitude in luminosity, suggesting that the dispersion in [Fe/H] may saturate for dwarf galaxies with luminosities $L \gtrsim 5 \times 10^5 L_\odot$.

4. The dispersion in metallicity increases with age in WLM as is found in the LMC—with both galaxies also showing an increase in velocity dispersion in age (Paper II).

5. WLM, along with the SMC and LMC, shows radial [Fe/H] profiles that are statistically flatter than the dSphs. This along with the flat gradients for the rotating dEs NGC 147 and NGC 185 supports a dichotomy in radial metallicity gradients which correlates with angular momentum for Local Group dwarfs.

The strength of this study lies in the use of spatially extended samples of stars which have unbiased [Fe/H] indicators. Further measurements of stellar spectra at large radii may reveal as yet undiscovered velocity and metallicity gradients in dSphs or dIrrs which could help confirm the chemodynamic correlations suggested here. If borne out by further observations, such correlations between angular momentum and radial metallicity gradients could offer useful constraints for models of environmentally driven transformations of dIrrs into dSphs. Specific simulations tracking the angular momentum and radial chemical enrichment of an infalling dSph progenitor would be useful to improve the current understanding of how the classes of dwarf galaxies are connected. This coupled with additional spatially complete surveys of the chemistry in isolated dIrrs as well as dSphs will undoubtedly shed light on the magnitude of internal and environmental effects that shape the morphologies and chemistries of the Local Group dwarf populations.
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