Effect of dominant follicle status at the time of retrieval on the clinical outcomes in natural cycle IVF combined with immature oocyte treatment
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ABSTRACT

Objective: It is commonly believed that the oocytes from small follicles are unhealthy when a dominant follicle (DF) is recruited in the ovaries, especially when the DF is ovulated. This study aims to confirm whether the presence or ovulation of DF at the time of retrieval affects the clinical outcome of the natural cycle IVF with in vitro maturation (NC-IVF/M) treatment.

Methods: Data were collected from 446 women with regular menstrual cycle and 536 retrieval cycles using NC-IVF/M treatment. The cycles were divided into three groups based on the results of the oocyte retrieval cycle. Group A covers the collection of oocytes from the DF and small follicles; Group B incorporates failed oocyte retrieval from DF and then the oocytes are retrieved only from small follicles; and Group C includes the retrieval of oocytes only from small follicles accompanied with an ovulated DF. Furthermore, Group B and C have subgroups to include whether in vivo matured oocytes were obtained from small follicles. Following aspiration of DF and small follicles, mature oocytes were inseminated on the date of retrieval by intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) and the immature oocytes were matured in vitro. If the immature oocytes were matured in vitro, they were inseminated using ICSI, and then the embryos obtained from in vivo and in vitro matured oocytes were transferred accordingly.

Results: The oocytes from DF were successfully retrieved in 445 cycles (83.0%), failed to be retrieved in 54 cycles (10.1%) and ovulated in 37 cycles (6.9%). In Group A, an average of 2.0 ± 1.7 mature oocytes were retrieved, which was significantly higher than the average of Group B, with 1.3 ± 1.3 matured oocytes and Group C, with an average of 1.1 ± 1.5 matured oocytes (P < 0.01). However, the average number of immature oocytes retrieved from each group show no difference among the three groups. There was no significant difference in maturation rates of immature oocytes, fertilization rates among the three groups. The clinical pregnancy rate per transfer cycle is 34.5%, 34.6% and 25.7% in Group A, B and C, respectively. No significant differences were observed in embryonic development and implantation capacity in Group B and C in
comparison to Group A. And there was no significant difference in clinical pregnancy, implantation, live birth and miscarriage rates among the three groups. No significant differences were observed in the developmental and implantation capacity according to with or without in vivo matured oocytes were retrieved in Group B and Group C.

Conclusion: The presence or ovulation of the dominant follicle from the ovaries does not significantly influence the developmental and implantation capacity of immature oocytes retrieved from small follicles, suggesting that NC-IVF/M is a promising treatment option for women without ovarian stimulation.

INTRODUCTION

The world first in vitro fertilization (IVF) baby was born from natural cycle [1]. With the efficiency of IVF treatment, natural cycle was gradually replaced by ovarian stimulation cycle, as the success rate was directly related to the number of oocytes retrieved [2, 3]. Initially, the relatively simple and cheap medication, clomiphene citrate, combined with or without urinary gonadotrophins were used to stimulate ovaries [2]. Later, the use of gonadotrophin releasing hormone agonists (GnRH-a) was introduced in the mid-1980s to prevent premature luteinizing hormone surges [3]. The early 1990s introduced the development of highly purified and then recombinant gonadotrophins [4]. Gonadotrophin stimulation with GnRH analogues became the golden standard method to retrieve more oocytes [4, 5]. However, ovarian stimulation is always accomplished with direct or indirect side-effects, especially higher risk of ovarian hyper stimulation syndrome (OHSS), which is a life-threatening condition for women [4]. Therefore, many attempts with different efficiency on modified protocols were applied [6–8].

The dominant follicle (DF) selection and ovulation in mammals are complex and precisely regulated processes [9]. It is a common belief that only a dominant follicle is selected from a single cohort of antral follicles in the follicular phase of human menstrual cycle. The DF continues to develop and to ovulate while all other subordinate follicles regress [10–13]. However, it is demonstrated that the developmental competence of bovine oocytes from the small antra follicles is not adversely affected either by the presence of a dominant follicle or by the phase of folliculogenesis [14]. The serial transvaginal ultrasonographic evaluation revealed a wave phenomenon of follicular development where the small antral follicles in the luteal phase may not necessarily be in atresia but in the early stages of follicular development in women [15–17]. Interestingly, the natural cycle IVF combined with in vitro maturation (IVM) of immature oocytes (NC-IVF/M) treatment has been established as a vital treatment for different types of infertility [8, 18–20].

The dominant follicle can be distinguished from other follicles by its size of >10 mm in diameter in the natural cycle treatment [11]. It has been reported that the optimal timing of immature oocyte collection is based on the size of the DF in NC-IVF/M treatments [8, 21–24]. However, there are conflicting evidence regarding the importance of the DF on the day of aspiration before IVM. Some studies have shown a benefit in performing retrieval when the leading follicle reaches up to 10 mm in diameter [24, 25] whereas others indicated that there is a detrimental effect to the small follicles [22] and suggested that the treatment cycles should be cancelled [21, 26]. Therefore, the debate is ongoing on whether and how the sibling immature oocytes exposed to the selected DF could contribute to the overall pregnancy success [8, 21–23, 27–30] in unstimulated cycles, even though there is no difference observed in fertilization and embryo development [21, 22].

In clinical practice, the key point for natural cycle IVF/M treatment is the combination of oocyte from dominant follicle (DF) with the other oocytes from non-dominant follicles [8, 18, 19, 28–30]. This procedure, therefore, represents an interesting model for studying the development capacity from dominant and small follicles involved in the oocyte maturation process. In terms of the dominant follicles, whether or not ovulated when ultrasound scanning at retrieving, how to successfully retrieve the oocyte from DF, whether or not successfully retrieved were the focus we concentrate on. Therefore, the pattern of oocyte from DF and SF at the time of retrieval is closely related to the development capacity of resulted embryos and the clinical outcomes after embryo transfer (ET). However, little is known about how the pattern of DF in clinical practice actually influences the development capacity of oocytes from small follicles even though after ovulation and the subsequent clinical outcomes in detail. Therefore, the real place for it has yet to be defined as we lack information for the oocytes from the small follicles when the dominant follicle was selected and ovulated in natural cycle IVF/M treatment.
In this study, we were to confirm the efficiency of natural cycle IVF/M treatment and to present the primary evidence of oocytes from the small follicle on the subsequent embryonic development capacity and clinical outcomes under the different patterns of dominant follicle in natural cycle IVF/M treatment in a large group.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

A total of 536 oocyte pickup (OPU) cycles were performed for 465 patients in this study. NC-IVF/M treatment cycles were performed on patients with at least 2 years of infertility, from April 2005 to December 2019. All women had normal ovaries, uterus and regular menstrual cycles. Patients diagnosed with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) [4] were not included from this study. The mean age of the patients was 31.5 ± 4.1 years old. The study was approved by the Hospital Institutional Review Board and the written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Natural cycle IVF/M treatment

The treatment procedure for natural cycle IVF/M was performed as described previously [8, 18, 19]. In brief, a baseline transvaginal ultrasound scan on day 2 or 3 of the menstrual cycle was initiated and more than seven small antral follicles in both ovaries were evaluated. The ultrasound scans were repeated on day 7–9. When the dominant follicle reached 12–14 mm in diameter and the endometrial thickness was more than 6 mm, the patients were injected 10,000 IU human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG, Choragon, Ferring Pharmaceuticals, Mexico) intramuscularly.

After 36–38 hours after hCG injection, oocyte retrieval was performed using transvaginal ultrasound-guided aspiration. For the DF aspiration, 17-gauge double lumen needle (COOK, Eight Mile Plains, Queensland, Australia) was connected to a portable pump with a vacuum pressure of <100 mmHg. For small follicles, a 19-gauge single lumen needle (COOK) with a pressure <40 mmHg was used. The aspirated follicular fluid was collected in 10 mL tubes containing Quinn’s Advantage Medium w/HEPES with 2U/mL of sodium heparin. The follicular aspirates for small follicles were filtered with a Cell Strainer (4730 µm, Falcon, Becton Dickinson and Company, NJ, USA), and washed three times with heparinized Quinn’s Advantage Medium with HEPES to collect the cumulus-oocyte complexes (COCs). The oocyte maturity of COEs from the DF and small follicles were assessed under a stereo-microscope.

**In vitro fertilization (IVF) and in vitro maturation (IVM)**

The mature oocytes were inseminated 2 or 3 hours later by intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI). The immature oocytes at Metaphase-I (MI) and germinal vesicle (GV) stages (Figure 1) were cultured in 1 mL maturation medium to induce final oocyte maturation at 37°C in 6% CO₂, 5% O₂ and 89% N₂ with high humidity. The IVM culture medium contained 30% serum of the patient’s own (inactivated at 56°C for 30 min) with 75 mIU/mL follicle stimulating hormone (FSH, Serono, Switzerland), 10 mIU/ml human menopausal gonadotrophin (Livzon Medical Groups; Zhu Hai, China) and 10 ng/ml recombinant human epidermal growth factor (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The maturity of MI stage oocytes was re-evaluated after 3 hours IVM culture and the matured oocytes were subjected to ICSI 2 hours later. After 24 to 48 hours of IVM, if the oocytes mature, they were then inseminated by ICSI.

Following 16–18 h after ICSI, fertilization was evaluated by the appearance of 2 distinct pronuclei and 2 polar bodies. The zygotes were cultured in 20 µL droplets of G1-PLUS medium (Vitrolife, Gothenburg, Sweden) covered with paraffin oil (Vitrolife) and incubated according to standard procedures for further development.

**Embryo transfer and endometrial preparation**

Embryo transfer (ET) was performed on day 3 after ICSI using an Ultrasoft Frydman catheter set (Laboratorie CCD, France) with echogenic guide. The endometrial preparation was started by the administration of 6 mg Estradiol Valerate (Delpharm Lille SAS, France) once daily from the day of oocyte retrieval. Luteal support was initiated with 100 mg progesterone in oil (Solvay Pharmaceuticals B.V., Weesp, Netherlands) daily on the day of ICSI procedure. On day 15 or 16 following oocyte retrieval, the level of serum β-hCG was tested to determine the pregnancy and clinical pregnancy was confirmed by the appearance of a gestational sac and fetal heart beat on ultrasound scan 6 weeks after ET.

**Group design**

Natural cycle IVF/M procedures provide us a good model and allow us to distinguish oocytes from dominant follicle and small follicles in a same cycle and to characterize dominant follicle selection on the developmental capacity of embryos from small follicles under different situations.
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Firstly, based on whether the oocyte from dominant follicle was retrieved or not from the DF at the time of retrieval, the treatment cycles were divided into 3 groups: Group A covers the successful retrieval of oocyte from the DF at the time of retrieval, with oocytes from small follicles; Group B includes the failed retrieval of oocytes from the DF at the time of oocyte pickup and the oocytes were then retrieved only from small follicles; Group C consists of DF that had ovulated at the time of retrieval and oocytes were retrieved only from small follicles (Figure 2). Furthermore, Group B and C were classified with B1 and B2, C1 and C2 with respect to whether in vivo mature oocytes were obtained small follicles or not, respectively.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 20.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Comparison of frequency data between groups, such as clinical pregnancy, implantation, live birth, and miscarriage rates were performed by chi-square test. The non-paired t-test and Mann–Whitney test were applied to compare mean numbers. For other quantitative comparisons, analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used. A p-value below 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

In total, 536 cycles (465 patients) were enrolled to perform NC-IVF/M treatment in this study. The basal characteristics and hormone levels of the three groups were shown in Table 1, no significant differences were found between groups. As shown in Table 1, the oocytes were successfully retrieved from the DF in 377 women with 445 cycles (Group A), in which was 83.0% of the treatment cycles. In Group B, there were 54 cycles (10.1% of the total treatment cycles) which failed to obtain the oocytes from the DF at the time of retrieval. In Group C, there were 37 cycles (6.9% of the total treatment cycles), in which oocytes from DF had ovulated from 34 patients at the time of retrieval. The mature oocytes can be retrieved from the DF but also from the small follicles (Figure 2).

The mean number of oocytes retrieved from Group A was significantly higher than that of Group B (P < 0.05) with no difference to the mean of Group C (P > 0.05).

**Figure 1. Mature and immature oocytes collected at the time of retrieval.** (A) MII-stage oocyte with a disperse cumulus cells surrounding. Arrow indicates the first polar body. (B) Immature oocyte with compacting cumulus cells. (C) Immature oocyte with sparse cumulus cells. (D) Immature oocyte with compact (left) and denuded cumulus cells (right). Arrow indicates the germinal vesicle in (B, C and D). Scale bar: 10 μm.
Table 1. Comparison of clinical outcomes based on the retrieval or ovulation of DF at the time of retrieval with natural cycle IVF/M treatment.

| Variable                                         | A        | B        | C        | P       |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| No. of patients                                  | 377      | 54       | 34       |         |
| Age of women, years                             | 31.2 ± 4.0 | 30.9 ± 3.8 | 31.5 ± 4.1 | 0.803   |
| FSH (mIU/mL)                                     | 5.72 ± 2.45 | 6.27 ± 2.55 | 6.23 ± 2.43 | 0.094   |
| LH (mIU/mL)                                      | 3.20 ± 2.14 | 2.95 ± 2.33 | 3.09 ± 2.53 | 0.347   |
| Estradiol (pg/mL)                                | 41.59 ± 17.29 | 42.31 ± 21.69 | 41.09 ± 16.81 | 0.982   |
| Progesterone (ng/mL)                             | 0.55 ± 0.35 | 0.49 ± 0.2  | 0.56 ± 0.33 | 0.598   |
| No. of oocyte retrieval cycles (%)               | 445 (83.0) | 54 (10.1) | 37 (6.9) |         |
| No. of completed ET cycles                       | 441      | 52       | 35       |         |
| No. of oocytes retrieved                         | 4757 (10.7 ± 5.1) | 501 (9.3 ± 4.7)* | 354 (9.6 ± 5.1) | 0.036   |
| No. of oocytes retrieved from DF                 | 465 (1.0 ± 0.2) | –       | –       |         |
| No. of mature oocytes retrieved                  | 879 (2.0 ± 1.7) | 69 (1.3 ± 1.3)* | 39 (1.1 ± 1.5)* | <0.001  |
| No. of immature oocytes retrieved                | 3673 (8.3 ± 4.8) | 408 (7.6 ± 4.3) | 298 (8.1 ± 4.3) | 0.528   |
| Maturation rate                                   | 63.8 (2345/3673) | 65.4 (267/408) | 64.8 (193/298) | 0.788   |
| Fertilization rate                                | 87.5 (2670/3052) | 84.2 (266/316) | 85.3 (191/224) | 0.178   |
| No. of embryos transferred                       | 1246 (2.8 ± 0.7) | 137 (2.5 ± 1.0)* | 98 (2.7 ± 1.3) | 0.038   |
| Clinical pregnancy rate/ET cycle                 | 34.5 (152/441) | 34.6 (18/52) | 25.7 (9/35) | 0.571   |
| Embryo implantation rate                         | 15.2 (190/1246) | 16.1 (22/137) | 10.2 (10/98) | 0.377   |
| Cumulative live birth rate                       | 69.1 (105/152) | 66.7 (12/18) | 66.7 (6/9) | 0.969   |
| Singleton                                        | 88 (83.8) | 10 (83.3) | 6 (100.0%) | 0.562   |
| Twin                                             | 16 (15.2) | 2 (16.7) | 0 |         |
| Triplets                                         | 1 (1.0) | 0 | 0 |         |
| Miscarriage rate/clinical pregnancy              | 27.0 (47/152) | 33.3 (6/18) | 33.3 (3/9) | 0.969   |

Values are mean ± SD unless otherwise specified. Abbreviation: DF: dominant follicle. *Significant difference compared with Group A. Abbreviations: No: number; FSH: follicle-stimulating hormone; LH: luteinizing hormone.

In Group A, an average of 2.0 ± 1.7 in vivo matured oocytes were retrieved, which was significantly higher than the averages in Group B (1.3 ± 1.3) and Group C (1.1 ± 1.5) (P < 0.01). However, the average of immature oocytes retrieved showed no differences as well as the rates of in vitro maturation and fertilization among three groups. The clinical pregnancy rates per transfer cycle were 34.5%, 34.6% and 25.7% respectively for Group A, B and C. There was no significant difference in clinical pregnancy, implantation, live birth and miscarriage rates among the three groups.

Table 2 demonstrates the clinical outcomes based on whether the mature oocytes were retrieved from the small follicles in Group B and Group C. No differences were observed in the rates of in vitro maturation, fertilization and the cleavage rate no matter with or without in vivo matured oocyte from small follicles in Group B and C. Also, there were no significant differences were observed for clinical pregnancy rates, implantation rates, live birth rates as well as miscarriage rates among between the subgroups of Group B and Group C. However, live birth rates of 40.0% in Group B and 60.0% in Group C were reduced with the retrieval of only immature oocytes from small follicles as opposed to the rates of 76.9% and 75.0% in Group B and Group C, respectively, with the retrieval of in vivo matured oocytes from small follicles. The miscarriage rates of
60.0% for Group B and 40.0% for Group C without the retrieval of mature oocytes were higher than the miscarriage rates of 23.1% and 25.0% with 23.1% and 25.0% with the retrieval of mature oocytes for Group B1 and Group C1, respectively.

DISCUSSION

The present study displays a clear model of dominant follicle at the retrieval time in natural cycle IVF/M treatment and demonstrates that the clinical outcomes of NC-IVF/M were not significantly influenced by the retrieval of oocyte from dominant follicle or the DF ovulation at the time of retrieval. Follicle selection has been documented to occur only once from a single cohort of antral follicles in the early- to mid-follicular phase of the menstrual cycle, and then to develop and to ovulate [11]. The selection of a dominant follicle is generally believed to suppress the development of subordinate follicles and to initiate the atresia of the small antral follicles. However, more recent research suggests that selection may occur more than once in approximately one-quarter of apparently healthy women [15]. To date, most of the understanding of folliculogenesis and ovulation have come from animal models, especially from domestic animal and primate species [14, 31–33]. Few studies have investigated the exact role of dominant follicle on the oocytes from cohort of small follicles during human follicular and luteal phases in detail. The introduction of IVM into natural cycle IVF not only widens the possibilities of oocyte source but also provides us opportunity with clinical outcomes and a good model to characterize the significance of dominant follicle selection on the small antral follicles during the ovulatory process. In contrast to the notion of a single dominant follicle selection from the recruited wave, it has been documented that two or three waves of antral follicle recruitment were recognized during each estrous cycle, where a group of antral follicles begin to grow simultaneously at regular intervals [15, 16]. The new wave model for folliculogenesis in ovaries provided better opportunities for women to initiate flexible approach to IVF programs in the late follicular or luteal phase. The present results of oocytes

![Study flowchart: pattern of dominant follicle at the time of retrieval](image)

**Figure 2. Study flowchart: pattern of dominant follicle at the time of retrieval.** Abbreviations: DF: dominant follicle; OPU: oocyte pickup; ICSI: intracytoplasmic sperm injection.
Table 2. Comparison of clinical outcomes based on whether or not mature oocytes were retrieved at the time of egg retrieval in Group B and C.

| Variable                        | B: oocyte failed from DF at retrieval | C: DF ovulated at retrieval |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                                 | B1 | B2 | P       | C1 | C2 | P       |
| No. of oocyte retrieval cycles  | 33 | 21 | 0.833   | 20 | 17 | 0.988   |
| No. of ET cycles                | 33 | 19 |         | 20 | 15 |         |
| Age of women, years             | 30.8 ± 38 | 31.1 ± 4.0 | 0.673 | 31.5 ± 3.1 | 31.5 ± 5.1 | 0.988 |
| No. of oocytes retrieved        | 10.6 ± 5.1 | 7.2 ± 3.1 | 0.008 | 11.0 ± 5.7 | 7.9 ± 3.8 | 0.073 |
| No. of mature oocytes retrieved | 2.1 ± 1.0 | – |         | 2.0 ± 1.6 | – |         |
| No. of immature oocytes retrieved | 8.2 ± 4.9 | 6.6 ± 3.1 | 0.210 | 8.56 ± 4.7 | 7.5 ± 3.8 | 0.453 |
| Maturation rate in vitro        | 63.2 (170/269) | 69.8 (97/139) | 0.185 | 61.4 (105/171) | 69.3 (88/127) | 0.159 |
| Fertilization rate              | 86.6 (187/216) | 79.0 (79/100) | 0.086 | 83.5 (111/133) | 87.9 (80/91) | 0.356 |
| No. of embryos transferred      | 2.7 ± 1.0 | 2.6 ± 0.7 | 0.741 | 3.0 ± 1.1 | 2.5 ± 1.1 | 0.222 |
| Clinical pregnancy rate/ET cycle| 39.4 (13/33) | 26.3 (5/19) | 0.340 | 20.0 (4/20) | 33.3 (5/15) | 0.615 |
| Embryo implantation rate        | 17.0 (15/88) | 14.3 (7/49) | 0.673 | 8.3 (5/60) | 13.2 (5/38) | 0.670 |
| live birth rate/clinical pregnancy | 76.9 (10/13) | 40.0 (2/5) | 0.352 | 75.0 (3/4) | 60.0 (3/5) | 0.633 |
| Miscarriage rate/clinical pregnancy | 23.1 (3/13) | 60.0 (3/5) | 0.352 | 25.0 (1/4) | 40.0 (2/5) | 0.633 |

Values are mean ± SD unless otherwise specified. Abbreviation: DF: dominant follicle. B1, C1: cycles with mature oocytes were retrieved from small follicles and embryos derived from oocytes matured in vivo and in vitro; B2, C2: cycles with only immature oocytes were retrieved from small follicles and embryos derived from oocytes matured in vitro.

from the cohort of small follicles after the dominant follicle is ovulated may support this notion that these oocytes came from newly developed follicles that emerged in a wave of luteal recruitment [34–37]. Although the total number of mature oocytes retrieved in Group A was significantly higher than that of Group B and Group C, comparable yields were observed in the rates of oocyte maturation, fertilization and cleavage among these three groups. These results are similar to the other literatures in IVM cycle [21–23]. Most of the literatures were focused on the effects of the size of the DF and maturity of oocytes at retrieval on the development potential and/or clinical outcomes in natural cycle IVF/M treatment [14, 30–32]. Moreover, only few studies compared the laboratory parameter between follicular phase and luteal phase [23–26]. Laboratory parameters of IVM procedure from the follicular and the luteal phase were conducted for fertility preservation of women with cancer in urgent situations except that of Pongsuthirak and Vutyaninich [35]. Therefore, there is a dramatic lack of data on the clinical outcome of the cryopreserved oocytes or the resultant embryos for these patients. And the clinical outcomes from nondominant follicles after ovulation of the dominant follicle were reported only in few cases for women in IVF/M cycle [8, 19, 38].

Nondominant small follicles have been reported to be a promising supplementary source of in vivo matured oocytes and the use of oocytes from those nondominant small follicles may increase the live birth rate in natural cycle IVF [38]. However, only women who had the retrieval of the DF combined with small follicles were included in their analysis and patients whose oocyte from the DF was not retrieved and ruptured were excluded in the study [38]. Therefore, the exact role of dominant follicle on the cohort of small follicles during the follicular development and ovulation in a regular menstrual cycle was not illustrated in detail and remains unclear. The present study is the first to report clinical outcomes of the oocytes derived from small follicles, especially after the ovulation of dominant follicle. As shown in the results of Table 1, because of the oocytes collected from dominant follicles, the mean number of in vivo matured oocytes and the total numbers of oocytes in Group A was significantly higher than that in Group B and C. However, no significant differences were observed for clinical pregnancy rates, implantation rates, live birth rates as well as miscarriage rates among these three groups. Even though the number of embryos transferred in group A with oocyte from DF retrieved was higher than that in group B with the failure of oocyte from DF retrieval, the clinical pregnancy rate
was still not as high as that in group B. This just shows that DF or the number of mature oocytes at the time of oocyte retrieval did not affect the clinical pregnancy rate and further illustrates the developmental potential of oocytes from small follicles and that in vitro maturation of immature oocytes can yield comparable clinical pregnancy rates. Meanwhile, the present clinical pregnancy (25.7%) and implantation (10.2%) rates were much higher when DF had ovulated than the rates when the DF diameter was > 14 mm (17.1% and 4.9%, respectively) in the study of Son et al. (2008, 22). Despite the failure of oocyte retrieval from DF or the ovulation of DF, our results indicate that the healthy oocytes with the maturational and developmental competence could be yielded from the nondominant follicles and could become competent for implantation and live birth. Surprisingly, mature oocytes can also be retrieved from subordinate small follicles when the DF is not retrieved or ovulated in both Group B and C (Table 2). Accordingly, the pregnancy rate of 39.4% with in vivo matured oocytes was higher than the rate of 26.3% of only immature oocytes retrieved in Group B. The mature oocytes from nondominant follicles increased the number of both good-quality blastocysts and resulted in live births with no oocyte from the DF in modified natural cycle IVF [38]. The nondominant follicle-derived matured oocytes yielded 22.1% blastocysts and 10.5% clinical pregnancy rate. And the DF derived-matured oocytes yielded 52.6% blastocysts and 24.7% clinical pregnancy rate in their study. From our results, when the oocyte was failed to be obtained from the DF or ovulated from DF, the immature oocytes were also a promising source in natural cycle IVF treatment, which produced higher clinical pregnancy than only matured oocytes retrieved from nondominant and dominant follicles.

The present results of clinical pregnancy rate (26.3%) from the retrieval of only immature oocytes in Group B was comparable to 9.1% [30] and 34.5% [28] of the retrieval of mature oocytes from both DF and small follicles. The embryos from in vitro–matured oocytes were diagnosed as chromosomally normal for the chromosome analysis as from in vivo–matured oocyte in natural cycle IVF/M treatment [39]. These results demonstrate that immature oocytes from the cohort of small follicles are a promising source as they can not only produce healthy mature oocytes following IVM [40] but can contribute to the overall pregnancy success when exposed to dominant follicle of any conditions. Therefore, it is recommended to continue to retrieve oocytes from small follicles even with an ovulated DF on retrieving day in NC-IVF/M treatment.

In conclusion, developmentally competent oocytes as well as comparable clinical yields could be produced from subordinate follicles in any phase of the menstrual cycle when a significant dominant follicle has developed or ovulated. These demonstrate that natural cycle IVF/M is a promising alternative for infertility treatment. Furthermore, with the opportunity for IVM to be improved and optimized, the complete development of an immature oocyte is to be ensured in the future. In addition, the pregnancy outcome and neonatal data in natural cycle IVF/M still needs to be classified.
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