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ABSTRACT

Carbonic anhydrases (CAs) are zinc metalloenzymes that catalyze the interconversion of CO₂ and HCO₃⁻ and are ubiquitous in nature. Higher plants contain three evolutionarily distinct CA families, αCAs, βCAs, and γCAs, where each family is represented by multiple isoforms in all species. Alternative splicing of CA transcripts appears common; consequently, the number of functional CA isoforms in a species may exceed the number of genes. CAs are expressed in numerous plant tissues and in different cellular locations. The most prevalent CAs are those in the chloroplast, cytosol, and mitochondria. This diversity in location is paralleled in the many physiological and biochemical roles that CAs play in plants. In this review, the number and types of CAs in C₃, C₄, and crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM) plants are considered, and the roles of the α and γCAs are briefly discussed. The remainder of the review focuses on plant βCAs and includes the identification of homologs between species using phylogenetic approaches, a consideration of the inter- and intracellular localization of the proteins, along with the evidence for alternative splice forms. Current understanding of βCA tissue-specific expression patterns and what controls them are reviewed, and the physiological roles for which βCAs have been implicated are presented.
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INTRODUCTION

Carbonic Anhydrases Are Essential for Photosynthetic Organisms and Their Intracellular Location Is Critical

Carbonic anhydrases (CAs) play essential roles in all photosynthetic organisms. In cyanobacteria, CAs located in the carboxysome are required for the conversion of accumulated HCO₃⁻ to CO₂ for fixation by ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) (Espie and Kimber, 2011). Loss of this carboxysomal CA results in abnormal growth of cyanobacteria when they are grown at ambient levels of CO₂ (Price and Badger, 1989). In Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, a thylakoidal CA is necessary for the functioning of the CO₂ concentrating mechanism (CCM) (Espie and Kimber, 2011). Loss of this carboxysomal CA results in abnormal growth of cyanobacteria when they are grown at ambient levels of CO₂ (Price and Badger, 1989). In Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, a thylakoidal CA is necessary for the functioning of the CO₂ concentrating mechanism (CCM) (Moroney and Ynalvez, 2007), and in the diatom, Phaeodactylum tricornutum, a CA in the pyrenoid is required for the CCM of this species (Harada et al., 2005). In these organisms, the conversion of HCO₃⁻ to CO₂ for Rubisco is needed in a very specific location in the cell. In addition, the CCM of C₄ plants requires CA activity specifically in the mesophyll (M) cell cytosol (Gutierrez et al., 1974). While the correct inter- and intracellular location of CAs is essential for efficient physiological functioning of photosynthetic organisms, it is also important that CA activity is not present in certain organelles or cell types. Price et al. (1992) demonstrated this when they transformed cyanobacteria with a gene encoding a human CA. This CA was expressed in the cytoplasm of the Synechocystis cells, effectively short circuiting the CCM (Price et al., 1992). Similarly, a defective C₄ CCM resulted when a cytosolic CA was expressed in bundle-sheath (BS) cells of the C₄ plant Flaveria bidentis (Ludwig et al., 1998). Recently, a number of research initiatives have been working to improve photosynthesis in plants by introducing CCM components from cyanobacteria, algae, or C₄ plants into terrestrial C₃ plants. While the introduction of active transporters and enzymes is required for these initiatives to work, it is also necessary to know where the endogenous CAs are active within the recipient...
Plant Carbonic Anhydrases

The genes encoding C₄-associated CAs from their ancestral C₃ orthologs.

PLANTS HAVE THREE TYPES OF CARBONIC ANHYDRASES

All CAs are zinc metalloenzymes that catalyze the interconversion of CO₂ and HCO₃⁻. The enzymes are ubiquitous in nature and are an example of convergent evolution, as multiple, structurally and sequentially distinct families of CA have been discovered (Hewett-Emmett and Tashian, 1996). Plants have three types of CA: α-, β-, and γ-type CAs (Moroney et al., 2001). The α-type CA (αCA) was first found in erythrocytes and was the first CA family discovered (Brinkman et al., 1932; Meldrum and Roughton, 1932). The majority of the enzyme is composed of 10 β strands that create a large central β sheet, which is surrounded by seven α helices on the periphery of the protein (Figure 1A; Liljas et al., 1972). The zinc at the αCA active site is coordinated by three His residues and one water molecule organized in a tetrahedral conformation (Liljas et al., 1972; Eriksson et al., 1988; Håkansson et al., 1992), and is located in the central part of the protein, at the bottom of a cone-shaped crevice (Liljas et al., 1972). While most αCAs are monomers, multimeric αCAs have been discovered as well as αCAs containing extra domains (Ishida et al., 1993; Hilvo et al., 2008). However, even in multimeric αCAs, the zinc ion is always coordinated by His residues from a single polypeptide.

The β-type CA (βCA) was first discovered in plants (Burnell et al., 1990; Fawcett et al., 1990; Roese and Ogren, 1990), and its protein sequence and structure are very different from that of the αCAs. In βCAs, the zinc ion is coordinated by two Cys residues, one His residue, and a water molecule (Figure 1B; Kimber and Pai, 2000). The structure of a βCA monomer is mostly composed of α helices that surround a β sheet consisting of four parallel β strands. There is also a fifth, C-terminal β strand involved in the oligomerization of βCA (Kimber and Pai, 2000). The functional unit of the βCA is a dimer, although the most common βCA oligomerization is a tetramer (Kimber and Pai, 2000; Rowlett, 2010). The βCA dimer is formed via extensive interactions created by two N-terminal α helices of one monomer wrapping around the second monomer and by minor hydrogen bonding between the second β strand of each monomer (Kimber and Pai, 2000). Tetramers are formed by interactions made primarily by the fifth, C-terminal β strand (Kimber and Pai, 2000). In pea, the chloroplastic βCA forms an octamer. For some βCAs, dicots have a unique C-terminal extension of the fifth β strand, whereas monocots do not (Kimber and Pai, 2000; Rowlett, 2010). Octamers are formed via slightly different interactions with these fifth β-strand extensions (Kimber and Pai, 2000; Rowlett, 2010).

The γ-type CA (γCA) was first discovered in archaea (Alber and Ferry, 1994) but has since been found in photosynthetic bacteria (Price et al., 1993; Peña et al., 2010) and in plants (Parisi et al., 2004). The first crystal structure of γCA from Methanosarcina thermophila was reported by Kisker and colleagues in 1996 (Figure 1C). Much like the active site of αCA, the active site of γCA also contains a zinc atom coordinated by
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three His and a water molecule (Kisker et al., 1996). However, unlike the structure of $\alpha$CAs, which are monomers, the functional unit of $\gamma$CA is a trimer, with three active sites spanning the monomer-monomer interfaces. The zinc ion is coordinated by His residues provided by two different subunits (Kisker et al., 1996). A $\beta$-strand region dominates the structure of $\gamma$CA and consists of seven complete turns creating a left-handed $\beta$ helix (Kisker et al., 1996). Each full turn contains three $\beta$ strands making the $\beta$ helix look like an equilateral triangle from the top view (Kisker et al., 1996). In photosynthetic organisms, $\gamma$CA may contain extra domains as seen in the cyanobacterial CcmM proteins of cyanobacteria that have two or three repeated C-terminal domains with high similarity to the small subunit of Rubisco (Long et al., 2007). In cyanobacteria, CcmM sometimes functions as an active CA, but some CcmM proteins do not have activity (Peña et al., 2010; de Araujo et al., 2014). However, CcmM is thought to organize the packing of Rubisco in the carboxysome even when it does not have CA activity.

### PLANTS HAVE MULTIPLE GENES ENCODING ALL THREE TYPES OF CARBONIC ANHYDRASES

Plants have a large number of genes encoding CA. There are 17 distinct genes in total encoding all $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ isoforms in Arabidopsis, including two $\gamma$-like CAs (Table 1). A similar number of genes are present in the genomes of other plant species, including mosses, monocots, and dicots (Table 1). Since plants can be polyploids or paleopolyploids, having undergone genome duplication in the past, the number of CA genes may be much higher. For example, in soybean, a diploid plant thought to have undergone genome duplication relatively recently, the total number of genes coding for CA is in excess of 25. Genes encoding CA are expressed in almost all tissues of the plant and CA isoforms can be found in most intracellular compartments, such as chloroplasts, mitochondria, the plasma membrane, and the cytoplasm.

### GREEN ALGAE ALSO CONTAIN THE THREE TYPES OF CARBONIC ANHYDRASE

It is likely that the numbers and types of CA are quite ancient in the plant lineage as the unicellular green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii also has multiple genes encoding $\alpha$-, $\beta$- and $\gamma$-CAs. C. reinhardtii has three genes encoding $\gamma$CA, six encoding $\beta$CA, and three encoding $\gamma$CA and $\gamma$CA-like proteins (Mitra et al., 2005). The CA isoforms of C. reinhardtii are found throughout the algal cell: in the periplasmic space (cell wall), chloroplast, cytoplasm, and mitochondria. As seen in higher plants, the C. reinhardtii $\gamma$CAs are mitochondrial with evidence suggesting they are part of Complex I of the mitochondrial electron transport chain, and the $\beta$CAs are found in similar intracellular locations as in higher plants, with isoforms in the mitochondria, chloroplast, and cytoplasm. However, two of the C. reinhardtii $\beta$CAs have hydrophobic C-terminal extensions (Ynalvez et al., 2009), this is not observed so far in terrestrial plants. In addition, in C. reinhardtii the $\gamma$CAs seem to play different physiological roles. In terrestrial plant species, only $\beta$- and $\gamma$CAs have been implicated in CCMs, whereas two $\alpha$CAs play important roles in the CCM of C. reinhardtii: CAH1, which is located in the periplasmic space, and CAH3, which is found in the thylakoid lumen. For more information on Chlamydomonas CAs, see Moroney et al. (2011).

#### PLANT $\alpha$ CARBONIC ANHYDRASES

$\alpha$CAs are the largest CA gene family in most plants, but they are also the least studied. The scarcity of published work on

| Plant type | Species                        | PS type | Type and number of CA genes |
|------------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|
| Moss       | Physcomitrella patens          | $C_3$   | $\alpha$ 5 $\beta$ 6 $\gamma$ 5 |
| Club moss  | Selaginella moellendorffii      | $C_3$   | $\alpha$ 10 $\beta$ 5 $\gamma$ 4 |
| Dicots     | Arabidopsis italiana           | $C_3$   | $\alpha$ 8 $\beta$ 6 $\gamma$ 5 |
|            | Medicago truncatula            | $C_3$   | $\alpha$ 8 $\beta$ 7 $\gamma$ 4 |
|            | Vitis vinifera                 | $C_3$   | $\alpha$ 5 $\beta$ 6 $\gamma$ 3 |
| Populus trichocarpa |                        | $C_3$   | $\alpha$ 8 $\beta$ 7 $\gamma$ 5 |
| Monocots   | Brachypodium distachyon        | $C_3$   | $\alpha$ 6 $\beta$ 4 $\gamma$ 3 |
|            | Oryza sativa                   | $C_3$   | $\alpha$ 9 $\beta$ 3 $\gamma$ 4 |
|            | Setaria italica                | $C_4$   | $\alpha$ 9 $\beta$ 4 $\gamma$ 3 |
|            | Sorghum bicolor               | $C_4$   | $\alpha$ 9 $\beta$ 5 $\gamma$ 3 |
|            | Ananas comosus                 | CAM     | $\alpha$ 4 $\beta$ 3 $\gamma$ 3 |

Table 1. Total Number of $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ Carbonic Anhydrase Genes in Different Plants.

Genes were identified based on multiple sequence alignment in Clustal Omega using Arabidopsis carbonic anhydrase (CA) genes as query. Sequences for Physcomitrella patens, Selaginella moellendorffii, Medicago truncatula, Vitis vinifera, Populus trichocarpa, Brachypodium distachyon, Oryza sativa, Selaginella italica, Sorghum bicolor were obtained from CoGe as described in Ming et al., 2015. $\gamma$CA gene numbers include $\gamma$-like CA genes. PS, photosynthetic type; $C_3$, $C_3$ photosynthesis; $C_4$, $C_4$ photosynthesis; CAM, crassulacean acid metabolism.
There is very little information on the intracellular location of \( \alpha \)CA isoforms. Two reports suggest that *Arabidopsis* \( \alpha \)CA1 is a chloroplastic protein (Villarejo et al., 2005; Blanco-Rivero et al., 2012). The targeting of this \( \alpha \)CA1 is unusual as it moves through the endoplasmic reticulum and is glycosylated. However, the location of \( \alpha \)CA1 in the chloroplast has not yet been confirmed by proteomic studies. Possibly the amount of \( \alpha \)CA in leaves is low, or perhaps the glycosylation obscures its detection. There are no reports on the subcellular location or function of \( \alpha \)CAs from other plants at this time.

**PLANT \( \gamma \) CARBONIC ANHYDRASES**

Genes encoding \( \gamma \)CAs and \( \gamma \)CA-like proteins have been found in all plants. In fact, every species appears to have at least two genes encoding \( \gamma \)CAs and at least one encoding a \( \gamma \)CA-like protein (Table 1). For example, *Arabidopsis* has three \( \gamma \)CA genes and two genes encoding \( \gamma \)CA-like proteins (Parisi et al., 2004; Perales et al., 2004). \( \gamma \)CAs are well conserved in photosynthetic organisms, from green algae, to mosses, monocots, and dicots. While no higher plant \( \gamma \)CA with CA activity has been identified, the proteins have the active-site residues found in \( \gamma \)CAs from archaebacteria and cyanobacteria. In contrast, the \( \gamma \)CA-like proteins do not have the required Zn coordinating amino acid residues. While \( \gamma \)CAs are encoded by the nucleus, they are mitochondrial proteins. They have been shown to be part of the mitochondrial Complex I (NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase), and make up an extrinsic domain known as the carbonic anhydrase domain of the oxidoreductase (Sunderhaus et al., 2008), which is composed of three subunits: two \( \gamma \)CA subunits and one \( \gamma \)CA-like subunit (Klodmann et al., 2010). The \( \gamma \)CA and \( \gamma \)CA-like proteins are part of nine plant-lineage-specific subunits.

The expression level of genes coding for \( \gamma \)CA and \( \gamma \)CA-like isoforms is average or above in almost all tissues for which expression data are available (Figure 2). This is not surprising for a subunit of Complex I as the mitochondrial electron transport chain is found in most plant tissues and cell types. If either \( \alpha \)γCA1 or \( \alpha \)γCA2 is knocked out, there is a small reduction in Complex I (Perales et al., 2005); however, if both \( \alpha \)\( \gamma \)CA1 and \( \alpha \)\( \gamma \)CA2 are knocked out, the plant is profoundly and adversely affected. The \( \gamma \)CA2 mutants lack Complex I altogether, and do not produce viable seed, having to be maintained using an embryo rescue method, which involves supplying the embryos with sucrose in the growth medium (Fromm et al., 2016a, 2016b). The double mutants also exhibit high levels of Complexes II and IV (succinate dehydrogenase and cytochrome oxidase, respectively), and the alternative oxidase, and in contrast, reduced levels of photosynthetic proteins (Fromm et al., 2016c).

---

**Figure 2. Relative Expression of Carbonic Anhydrases in Sorghum bicolour Organs.**

(A) \( \alpha \), (B) \( \beta \), and (C) \( \gamma \) carbonic anhydrase expression in different organs of *Sorghum bicolour* taken from MOROKOSHI - The Sorghum Transcriptome Database (Makita et al., 2015), in fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM). Note that the \( \gamma \) axis for the \( \beta \)CA expression is different than that of the \( \alpha \)CA or \( \gamma \)CA graphs.

\( \alpha \)CAs is most likely because the proteins are not highly abundant in leaves and roots. In *Arabidopsis*, complete expressed sequence tags (ESTs) exist for only three of the eight \( \alpha \)CA genes, and RNA-seq data also only poorly cover the other five annotated genes. *Arabidopsis* \( \alpha \)CA8 is clearly a pseudogene as it encodes in-frame stop codons. Limited expression information for some \( \alpha \)CA genes is available through RNA-seq data on genome sites. Interestingly, in sorghum, the \( \alpha \)CA Sb5G039000 is expressed specifically in anthers (Figure 2; Makita et al., 2015), while in *Medicago trunculata*, the \( \alpha \)CAs Mt1g059900 and Mt1g059940 are expressed in root nodules (Tang et al., 2014). In *Arabidopsis*, \( \alpha \)CA2 is expressed in trichomes of the leaf. Clearly at least some \( \alpha \)CA genes show quite specific organ or tissue expression patterns. To date, there are no reports of plants where one or more \( \alpha \)CA genes have been disrupted.

---

**Molecular Plant**
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PLANT β CARBONIC ANHYDRASES

Plants have a moderate number of βCA genes, usually between four and seven (Table 1). There have been a number of studies on the βCAs as they are highly expressed in leaf tissue (Figure 2). βCAs have been found in chloroplasts, mitochondria, the cytosol, and the plasma membrane of Arabidopsis (Table 2), and in the cytosol and chloroplasts of many plants. When the predicted amino acid sequences of βCAs from monocots and dicots are aligned, the isoforms can be divided roughly into three groups (Figure 3). One group was found in all monocots and dicots considered (Figure 3) and is represented by AtβCA5 and AtβCA6, which localize to the chloroplast and mitochondria, respectively. The second group was found only in dicots, and the Arabidopsis AtβCA1, AtβCA2, AtβCA3, and AtβCA4 proteins are in this group. All dicots examined had at least two βCA proteins in this group (Figure 3). The third group contains only monocot CAs, and these proteins are known to localize to the chloroplast and cytosol. The length of the C termini of the proteins was an

| Gene/Accession No. | Protein | Location         | Reference                  |
|-------------------|---------|------------------|----------------------------|
| **MONOCOT**       |         |                  |                            |
| Neurachne alopecuroidea (C3) |         |                  |                            |
| NaloCA1a          | jICA1a  | Chloroplast      | Clayton et al. (2016)      |
| NaloCA1b          | jICA1b  | Cytosol          | Clayton et al. (2016)      |
| Neurachne munroi (C4) |         |                  |                            |
| NmunCA1a          | jICA1a  | Cytosol          | Clayton et al. (2016)      |
| NmunCA1b          | jICA1b  | Cytosol          | Clayton et al. (2016)      |
| NmunCA2a          | jICA2a  | Cytosol          | Clayton et al. (2016)      |
| NmunCA2b          | jICA2b  | Chloroplast      | Clayton et al. (2016)      |
| **DICOT**         |         |                  |                            |
| Arabidopsis thaliana (C3) |         |                  |                            |
| AT3G01500         | jICA1   | Chloroplast      | Fabre et al. (2007)        |
|                  |         |                  | Hu et al. (2015)           |
| AT5G14740         | jICA2   | Cytosol          | Fabre et al. (2007)        |
|                  |         |                  | DiMario et al. (2016)      |
| AT1G23730         | jICA3   | Cytosol          | Fabre et al. (2007)        |
| AT1G70410         | jICA4.1 | Plasma membrane  | Fabre et al. (2007)        |
|                  |         |                  | Hu et al. (2010)           |
|                  |         |                  | Hu et al. (2015)           |
|                  |         |                  | Wang et al. (2014)         |
|                  |         |                  | DiMario et al. (2016)      |
| AT1G70410         | jICA4.2 | Cytosol          | Fabre et al. (2016)        |
| AT4G33580         | jICA5   | Chloroplast      | Fabre et al. (2007)        |
| AT1G58180         | jICA6   | Mitochondrion    | Fabre et al. (2007)        |
|                  |         |                  | Jiang et al. (2014)        |
| Flaveria bidentis (C3) |         |                  |                            |
| AAA86939.2        | jICA1   | Chloroplast      | Tetu et al. (2007)         |
| AAO17573.1        | jICA2   | –                | Tetu et al. (2007)         |
| AAO17574.1        | jICA3   | –                | Tetu et al. (2007)         |
| Flaveria pringlei (C3) |         |                  |                            |
| AAA86992.1        | jICA1   | Chloroplast      | Tanz et al. (2009)         |
| ABC41657.1        | jICA2   | –                | Tanz et al. (2009)         |
| ABC41658.1        | jICA3   | Chloroplast      | Tanz et al. (2009)         |

Table 2. Experimentally Derived Subcellular Locations of Plant β Carbonic Anhydrase Isoforms.
Data for Flaveria βCA subcellular locations were obtained using chloroplast import assays, and Arabidopsis and Neurachne βCA subcellular locations were determined using fluorescent protein fusion constructs. Dash indicates that the protein is not targeted to the chloroplast. Arabidopsis βCA sequences are available in TAIR, Flaveria sequences are identified by GenBank accession numbers, and Neurachne sequences are as described in Clayton et al., 2016.
EVIDENCE OF ALTERNATIVE SPlicing OF β CARBONIC ANHYDRASE TRANSCRIPTS

Alternative splicing can result in a single gene coding for multiple proteins that may show tissue-specific expression patterns and/or to targeted to different organelles of the cell. Deposited ESTs in TAIR, as well as RNA-seq data (Oh et al., 2014), indicate that transcription of the AtβCA1 gene may result in two different mRNAs (Figure 4). The RNA variants arise from the splicing of the ninth and tenth exons, where one variant has all 10 exons, and the other has an extended ninth exon, making proteins that differ slightly at their C termini. Two different transcription start sites for AtβCA2 (Figure 4) are predicted to encode two AtβCA2 isoforms with different N termini, resulting in the two proteins having different projected destinations in the plant cell. AtβCA4 is another example of a gene that can produce multiple mRNA forms (Figure 4; Aubry et al., 2014), due to different transcription start sites (Figure 4). The shorter AtβCA4 mRNA lacks the first two exons, encoding a different N terminus relative to the longer form. Interestingly, RNA-seq data for AtβCA4 indicate that the shorter mRNA has a unique first exon that is not present in the longer transcript and is
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Arabidopsis βCA1 TAIR Model (At3g01500)

Arabidopsis βCA2 TAIR Model (At5g14740)
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Neurachne munroi βCA1

Neurachne munroi βCA2

Figure 4. Alternative Splicing of β Carbonic Anhydrase Genes in Arabidopsis thaliana and Neurachne munroi.

Blue lines indicate genomic DNA with larger boxes representing exons. Green and magenta boxes indicate exons present in different splice forms, with green representing open reading frame sequence and magenta representing untranslated regions. Asterisks indicate that the Neurachne munroi CA1 and CA2 genomic DNA sequences are incomplete; for each gene, exon 4 and the downstream exons are present in both splice forms, as represented by the green arrows. Data from DiMario et al. (2016) and Clayton et al. (2016).

expressed in both roots and leaves (DiMario et al., 2016). In contrast, the longer AtβCA4 mRNA is expressed specifically in leaves of Arabidopsis (DiMario et al., 2016).

In Neurachne munroi leaves, four βCA transcripts are expressed that are derived from two genes by way of alternative splicing (Figure 4; Clayton et al., 2016). For each gene, the alternatively spliced transcripts encode polypeptides that share the same active-site residues but have distinct N termini, thereby influencing the subcellular location of each isoform (Clayton et al., 2016). In N. munroi, the CA1 gene encodes NmCA1a and NmCA1b. Exon 2 is not present in NmCA1a transcripts, such that in CA1a and CA1b, the initiating AUG and N-terminal regions are encoded in different frames (Figure 4; Clayton et al., 2016). In the case of the NmCA2 gene, NmCA2a transcripts do not contain exons 2 and 3, while exon 1 is not present in the NmCA2b transcript, again resulting in each splice form encoding a distinct N-terminal region (Figure 4; Clayton et al., 2016). The same gene-transcript relationship was observed in all other Neurachne species for which transcript and genomic DNA sequences have been obtained (data not shown). While experimental evidence for alternative splicing of other monocot βCA genes has not been reported, parsing of EST databases and comparison with genome sequences suggest that alternative splice forms do exist in other species.

SUBCELLULAR LOCATIONS OF β CARBONIC ANHYDRASE FROM EXPERIMENTAL DATA

Linking CA to photosynthesis has been a major focus in the plant CA field. Studies using reverse transcription (RT)–PCR, microarrays, and RNA-seq have shown that all six βCA genes are expressed in leaves of Arabidopsis (Schmid et al., 2005; Fabre et al., 2007; Winter et al., 2007; Ferreira et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2014; DiMario et al., 2016); however, subcellular localization studies using green fluorescent protein (GFP) fusion constructs showed that not all of the proteins localize to the chloroplast. AtβCA1 was shown to have a long chloroplast transit peptide over 100 amino acids in length (Fett and Coleman, 1994; Kim et al., 1994), and was later confirmed to be located in the chloroplast via GFP studies (Table 2; Fabre et al., 2007; Hu et al., 2015). AtβCA2 and AtβCA3 are cytosolic βCAs (Table 2; Fabre et al., 2007; DiMario et al., 2016) although AtβCA2 is expressed at a much higher level than AtβCA3 in Arabidopsis leaves (Schmid et al., 2005; Winter et al., 2007; Ferreira et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2010; DiMario et al., 2016). The long form of AtβCA4, AtβCA4.1, localizes to the plasma membrane while the short form, AtβCA4.2, is cytosolic, as it no longer has a secretory transit peptide (Table 2; Fabre et al., 2007; DiMario et al., 2016). Studies using GFP fusions also showed that AtβCA5 localizes to the chloroplast (Fabre et al., 2007), and AtβCA6 is a mitochondrial form of the enzyme (Table 2; Fabre et al., 2007; Ji et al., 2014).

To date, three transcripts encoding distinct βCA isoforms (CA1, CA2, and CA3) have been found in leaves of Flaveria species (Tetu et al., 2007; Tanz et al., 2009). Chloroplast import assays showed in both F. bidentis (a C₄ species) and F. pringlei (a C₃ species) that CA1 was imported into chloroplasts, while CA2 was not (Table 2; Tetu et al., 2007; Tanz et al., 2009). However, the subcellular location of CA3 was not conserved between the two species; FpCA3 was found to be chloroplast targeted, while FbCA3 was not (Table 2; Tetu et al., 2007; Tanz et al., 2009). Comparison of the predicted amino acid sequences of the CA3 polypeptides revealed that FpCA3 lacks 71 amino acids at the N terminus, including the chloroplast transit peptide, when compared with FpCA3, a situation that was proposed to be important to the molecular evolution of C₄ photosynthesis in F. bidentis (Tanz et al., 2009).

The only monocot species so far in which the subcellular location of βCA isoforms has been experimentally determined are from the genus Neurachne (Clayton et al., 2016). GFP fusion constructs...
indicated that N. munroi CA2b is imported into tobacco chloroplasts or mitochondria, while NmCA1a, NmCA1b, and NmCA2a localize to the cytosol (Table 2; Clayton et al., 2016). As in Flaveria, the location of the isoforms is not conserved between C3 and C4 Neurachne species. While the GFP localization experiments showed that NaCA1b from the C3 species N. alopecuroidea is cytosolic as predicted, NaCA1a is imported into the chloroplasts, in contrast with the cytosolic location of CA1a from N. munroi (Table 2; Clayton et al., 2016).

Analyses of the predicted proteins indicated that 11 amino acids present in the N-terminal region of the NaCA1a polypeptide, but absent in NmCA1a, is important for chloroplast targeting (Clayton et al., 2016).

**PREDICTING β CARBONIC ANHYDRASE SUBCELLULAR LOCATIONS**

Numerous algorithms exist for predicting protein subcellular location. Predicted jCA amino acid sequences from various monocot and dicot species were analyzed using four algorithms: Predotar, ChloroP, TargetP, and MultiLoc (Supplemental Table 1; Emanuelsson et al., 1999; Small et al., 2004; Höglund et al., 2006; Emanuelsson et al., 2007). The results indicate that each species contains at least one isoform that is chloroplast targeted and at least one isoform that is likely cytosolic, and that many also contain a mitochondrial jCA (Supplemental Table 1). Comparison with actual experimental results for Arabidopsis, Flaveria, and Neurachne (Table 2) indicates that these predictions are often but not always correct (cf. Table 2 and Supplemental Table 1).

The above results indicate that all predictions must be experimentally tested, keeping in mind that the proteins resulting from different splice forms of a single gene may have different subcellular locations (Table 2; Clayton et al., 2016; DiMario et al., 2016). In addition, predicting jCA subcellular location based on the products of orthologous genes in closely related species may not assist in assigning location since it has been shown that locations are not conserved between closely related C3 and C4 species (Tanz et al., 2009; Clayton et al., 2016).

**ORGAN-, TISSUE-, AND CELL-TYPE-SPECIFIC EXPRESSION OF β CARBONIC ANHYDRASES**

To date, the specific expression patterns of all identified jCA isoforms have been reported in the scientific literature for only three species: Arabidopsis thaliana (Schmid et al., 2005; Fabre et al., 2007; Winter et al., 2007; Ferreira et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2014; DiMario et al., 2016), F. bidentis (Tetu et al., 2009), and F. pringlei (Tanz et al., 2009). An in-depth CA expression study of Arabidopsis rosette leaves found that AtjICA1, AtjICA2, and AtjICA4 are the most highly expressed CA genes in M cells, and AtjICA1, AtjICA4, and AtjICA6 are the most highly expressed CA genes in guard cells (Hu et al., 2010). Many studies also report CA gene expression in Arabidopsis roots (Schmid et al., 2005; Fabre et al., 2007; Winter et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2014; DiMario et al., 2016), with RNA-seq data showing that AtjICA2 and AtjICA3 are the two lowest expressed jCA genes in Arabidopsis roots, whereas AtjICA4 and AtjICA5 are the two most highly expressed genes (DiMario et al., 2016). Microarray analyses indicated all AtjICA genes are expressed in roots of Arabidopsis, albeit AtjICA1, AtjICA2, and AtjICA3 are expressed at very low levels (Schmid et al., 2005; Winter et al., 2007). Results of an RT–PCR analysis showed that transcripts from most of the AtjICA genes are found in Arabidopsis roots with the exception of AtjICA2 (Wang et al., 2014), whereas another RT–PCR experiment found that AtjICA3 and AtjICA6 show the highest expression in roots (Fabre et al., 2007). Both RT–PCR studies found that Arabidopsis genes encoding jICA are expressed in stems and floral tissues (Fabre et al., 2007, Wang et al., 2014), and all six AtjICA genes are expressed in Arabidopsis stem and floral tissues according to microarray analyses (Schmid et al., 2005; Winter et al., 2007). Interestingly, the microarray data indicate that all six AtjICA genes are expressed in Arabidopsis seeds although, with the exception of AtjICA5 and AtjICA6, their expression diminishes as the seeds develop (Schmid et al., 2005; Winter et al., 2007).

In F. pringlei, RT–quantitative (q)PCR assays indicated that transcripts encoding FpCA1 and FpCA3 were primarily expressed in leaves, whereas FpCA2 was expressed in leaves, roots, and flowers (Tanz et al., 2009). By contrast, transcripts encoding CA1, CA2, and CA3 were detected in F. bidentis leaves, roots, and flowers, but FbCA1 and FbCA3 transcripts were most abundant in leaves, whereas FbCA2 mRNA levels were consistent among the three tissues (Tetu et al., 2007).

While numerous reports have shown that the majority of CA activity in leaves of C4 species is in the M cells (Gutierrez et al., 1974; Ku and Edwards, 1975; Burnell and Hatch, 1988), the location of multiple jCA isoforms within a leaf has not been comprehensively examined in any species. In F. bidentis, immunocytochemical experiments showed that CA is expressed predominantly in M cells and is undetectable in BS cells (Tetu et al., 2007). Presumably this is the FbCA3 isoform previously shown to be essential for C4 photosynthesis (von Caemmerer et al., 2004).

The tissue/cell type-specific expression patterns of FbCA1 and FbCA2 were not examined.

Recently, advances in laser-capture microdissection and next-generation sequencing have enabled M and BS cell transcriptomes to be obtained. Comparative transcriptome analyses of leaf M and BS cells of Panicum virgatum (Rao et al., 2016), Setaria viridis (John et al., 2014), Gynandropsis gynandra (formerly Cleome gynandra; Aubry et al., 2014), and Zea mays (Li et al., 2010; Chang et al., 2012) showed that particular jCA transcripts are enriched in M cells. Specifically, Pavi. J08788 and Pavi.J05107 transcripts are approximately three times more abundant in M cells than in BS cells in P. virgatum (Rao et al., 2016), and a similar fold difference is also observed for two transcripts encoding jICA in G. gynandra, GgICA1 and GgICA2 (At3g01500 and At5g14740 orthologs; Aubry et al., 2014), one transcript in S. viridis (Si03061m;g ortholog; John et al., 2014), and one in Z. mays (GVMZM2G414528; Li et al., 2010; Chang et al., 2012). However, in the latter two species, there are several jCA transcripts that show much higher M-specific abundance, with at least a 20-fold enrichment compared with BS cells. These include Si003885m;g in S. viridis (John et al., 2014), and GVMZM2G121878, GVMZM2G348512, and GVMZM2G094165 in Z. mays (Li et al., 2010; Chang et al., 2012).
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2010; Chang et al., 2012; Rao et al., 2016). Interestingly, the maize GRMZM2G145101 transcript is the only βCA transcript identified so far that shows higher abundance in BS cells than M cells (Li et al., 2010; Chang et al., 2012; Rao et al., 2016), while no βCA mRNAs from S. viridis, G. gynandra, and P. virgatum show this pattern. Taken together, the current findings suggest that within a C4 species, genes encoding the different βCA isoforms show different tissue- and cell-specific expression patterns, with some isoforms showing preferential expression in the leaf M cells.

ACTIVITY OF β CARBONIC ANHYDRASE IN C3 AND C4 SPECIES

Total leaf CA activity within herbaceous dicotyledonous plants ranges from 2- to 10-fold (Everson and Slack, 1968; Atkins et al., 1972; Triolo et al., 1974; Reed and Graham, 1981; Hatch and Burnell, 1990; Gillon and Yakir, 2001, supplementary material), whereas the leaves of some monocotyledons reportedly contain 1000 times more CA activity than other monocot species (Everson and Slack, 1968; Atkins et al., 1972; Triolo et al., 1974; Reed and Graham, 1981; Burnell and Hatch, 1988; Hatch and Burnell, 1990; Gillon and Yakir, 2001, supplementary material; Cousins et al., 2008). Total leaf CA activity in C3 monocots can be 500 times higher than that of C4 monocots (Everson and Slack, 1968; Triolo et al., 1974; Reed and Graham, 1981; Hatch and Burnell, 1990; Gillon and Yakir, 2001, supplementary material), while leaves of herbaceous C4 dicots demonstrate total CA activities that fall within the range of values for C3 dicot leaves (Everson and Slack, 1968; Atkins et al., 1972; Reed and Graham, 1981; Hatch and Burnell, 1990; Gillon and Yakir, 2001, supplementary material).

Very few studies have looked at CA activity in both isolated M and BS cells from C4 plant leaves; however, depending on the comparison being made, this is important. As it is the cytosolic CA in M cells that is associated with the C4 CCM, total leaf CA activity measurements may be misleading. Two forms of CA were isolated from Amaranthus cruentus leaves (Guliev et al., 2003). One form was found associated with the chloroplasts of the BS cells and was responsible for 8% of total leaf CA activity. In contrast, another form was found in the M cell cytoplasmic fraction, where it represented 62% of the total CA activity in amaranth leaves (Guliev et al., 2003). In another C4 dicot, Flaveria bidentis, BS cell CA activity was found to contribute 0.5% of total leaf CA activity (Ludwig et al., 1998).

Burnell and Hatch (1988) also found low CA activity in BS cells of species representing the three C4 subtypes: NADP-malic enzyme (NADP-ME), NAD-malic enzyme (NAD-ME), and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PCK). In the two NADP-ME-subtype species examined, sorghum and maize, BS cell CA activity was 1.8% and 1.6%, respectively, of total leaf CA activity. The activity of CA in the BS of NAD-ME-type (P. miliacea and Atriplex spongiosa) and PCK-type species (Urochloa panicoides and Chloris gayana) was even lower, representing just 0.5%–0.8% of total leaf CA activity (Burnell and Hatch, 1988). These results suggested low CA activity in the BS was a requisite for efficient functioning of the C4 pathway (Burnell and Hatch, 1988). This idea was later supported by a transgenic approach in which wild-type (WT) plants of the C4 species F. bidentis were transformed with the sequence encoding mature tobacco CA (i.e., no chloroplast transit peptide) that was under the control of a constitutive promoter (Ludwig et al., 1998). This allowed tobacco CA expression in the cytosol of all cells, including leaf BS cells. The transformatants showed increased BS leakage to inorganic carbon (C), reduced rates of photosynthesis, and an impaired CCM (Ludwig et al., 1998). Together these results support the idea that in C4 plants demonstrating Kranz leaf anatomy, the strict M and BS cell compartmentalization of CA is essential for the proper functioning of the C4 CCM.

REGULATION OF CELL-TYPE-SPECIFIC EXPRESSION OF β CARBONIC ANHYDRASE IN C4 PLANTS

Progress has been made in our understanding of cis elements and chromatin marks that control the preferential accumulation of transcripts encoding βCA in M cells of C4 species; however, the associated trans-acting factors remain elusive. Studies suggest several regulatory mechanisms were already present in ancestral C3 genes coding for βCA but were modified through recruitment of posttranscriptional pathways or the binding of different transcription factors during the evolution of C4 photosynthesis.

In leaves of the C4 species Gynandropsis gynandra, transcripts encoding the homolog of the Arabidopsis plasma-membrane-associated CA (AtβCA4; Fabre et al., 2007; Hu et al., 2010; Kajala et al., 2012), showed abundances similar to the levels of mRNAs coding for other C4-associated proteins (Bräutigam et al., 2011; Kajala et al., 2012). Elements in either the 5′-untranslated region (UTR) or 3′-UTR of the G. gynandra gene encoding βCA4 were found to be sufficient for M-cell-specific expression using GUS fusion constructs (Kajala et al., 2012). Similar sequences in the 5′- and 3′-UTRs of AtβCA4 were also shown to independently direct M-cell-specific expression when they were used to transform G. gynandra.

A more recent study showed the 3′-UTR of a second G. gynandra CA gene, GgCA2, for which high transcript levels are found in leaves, and the homologous region from AtβCA2 also direct preferential accumulation of GUS in M cells (Williams et al., 2016). A common, nine-nucleotide motif in these CA2 3′-UTRs, as well as in the 5′- and 3′-UTRs of AtβCA4 and GgCA4, were identified as sufficient to direct M cell-specific expression and was designated MEM2 for mesophyll expression module 2 (Williams et al., 2016). This study also showed that the MEM2 element does not control the level of GgCA4 gene expression but instead works post-transcriptionally through a mechanism that increases the amount of CA4 protein made in M cells relative to the BS. The high levels of GgCA4 transcripts in G. gynandra M cells appear to result from the loss of elements in the promoter region and introns from the ancestral CA4 gene that repress its expression in C3 species (Williams et al., 2016).

Epigenetic marks have been identified that contribute to M cell-specific expression of genes encoding CA isoforms important in C4 photosynthesis. Trimethylation of the Lys residue at position
4 on histone H3 (H3K4me3) is associated with transcriptionally active genes and is enriched in the 5′-region of the transcribed sequence (Santos-Rosa et al., 2002). Heimann et al. (2013) found the gene encoding one of the C₄-associated CAs in maize, GRMZM2G121878, showed a high ratio of H3K4me3 to the dimethylated form (H3K4me2) in M cells. This is consistent with the methylation state of histone H3K4 found at analogous positions in genes coding for the C₃-associated forms of PEPC and pyruvate phosphorokinase (PPDK), which also show preferential accumulation of transcripts in M cells (Heimann et al., 2013).

PHYSIOLOGICAL ROLES OF β CARBONIC ANHYDRASES

The total number of genes encoding CA is similar in dicots and monocots, and in plants using C₃ and C₄ photosynthesis (Table 1; Williams et al., 2012), with some of these genes encoding isoforms that likely perform the same function in all species. However, as highlighted above, differences in total CA activity and control of βCA expression patterns have been detected within and between these plant groups, and are responsible for the very specific physiological roles exhibited by some of the enzymes.

C₃ Photosynthesis

In the leaves of C₃ plants, the majority of CA activity localizes to M cell chloroplasts (Everson and Slack, 1968; Everson, 1970; Poincelot, 1972), where the enzyme can make up 1%–2% of total leaf protein (Okabe et al., 1984; Peltier et al., 2006). Although a major component of the C₃ leaf proteome, the actual role of βCAs in C₃ photosynthesis remains ambiguous (Figure 5). Initial suggestions included the conversion of HCO₃⁻ to CO₂ to ensure maximum rates of fixation by Rubisco (Everson, 1970; Poincelot, 1972; Werdan and Heldt, 1972), facilitating the diffusion of CO₂ across the chloroplast membranes (Poincelot, 1972), buffering short-term changes in pH in the chloroplast stroma induced by changing light conditions (Jacobson et al., 1975), and the hydration of compounds other than CO₂ (Jacobson et al., 1975). However, to date, none of these proposed functions has strong empirical support.

To determine the physiological function of C₃ plant chloroplast βCA, WT tobacco plants were transformed with antisense constructs directed against transcripts encoding the tobacco chloroplastic βCA isoform (Majeau et al., 1994; Price et al., 1994). Primary transformants with 1%–2% of the CA activity of WT plants showed no significant differences in CO₂ assimilation rates, Rubisco activity, and chlorophyll content relative to WT plants.

More recently, Arabidopsis antisense transformants and knockout lines of βCA1 were examined (Ferreira et al., 2008), and these plants did demonstrate an obvious phenotype. Both lines of transformants showed reduced seedling survival that could be rescued by including sucrose in the growth medium, or growing the seedlings in elevated CO₂. The cotyledons were found to have compromised CO₂ assimilation rates that resulted in the observed reduced seedling establishment before development of the first true leaves (Figure 5). However, when the transformants did survive, the mature plants showed no phenotypic differences from WT plants, strongly suggesting that AtβCA1 plays no direct role in photosynthesis of mature Arabidopsis plants (Ferreira et al., 2008).

C₄ Photosynthesis

In contrast to C₃ plants, most βCA activity in C₄ plants is found in the cytosol of M cells (Gutierrez et al., 1974), where it catalyzes the first reaction in the C₄ CCM (Figure 5; Hatch and Burnell, 1990), the conversion of atmospheric CO₂ to HCO₃⁻.

Transgenic approaches have been used to test the suggestion that only enough CA is present in the M cytosol of C₄ plants to limit photosynthesis (Hatch and Burnell, 1990). In one study (von Caemmerer et al., 2004), WT plants of the C₄ dicot F. bidentis were transformed with an antisense construct directed against transcripts encoding the C₄-associated CA3 (von Caemmerer et al., 2004; Tetu et al., 2007). A decrease in CO₂ assimilation rates was seen only when the transformants...
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contained less than 20% of WT CA activity, and transformants exhibiting less than 10% of WT activity had very reduced rates of photosynthesis, about 8% of WT plants, and required a high CO₂ environment to survive. In addition, this study also showed that the hydration rate of CO₂ was about 58 times the photosynthetic rate. Taken together, these results indicate that CA is not limiting photosynthesis in *F. bidentis*; however, the CO₂ response curves of the transformants indicated that a cytosolic CA is essential for an efficient C₄ CCM in this dicot species (von Caemmerer et al., 2004).

Maize plants carrying mutations in genes encoding two isoforms of CA that have been correlated with C₄ photosynthesis (ZmCa1 and ZmCa2; Studer et al., 2014) demonstrated that CA is not limiting for growth in this C₄ monocot species. Unlike the *F. bidentis* CA3 antisense plants, however, both the *ca1* single mutant and the *ca1ca2* double mutant, which contained 3% of WT maize CA activity, showed no impairment in CO₂ assimilation at ambient levels of CO₂. It was not until the concentration of CO₂ was sub-ambient that a decrease in CO₂ assimilation was detected (Studer et al., 2014). It was concluded from gas exchange and carbon isotope data, and CA and PEPC activities, that the *ca1* mutant contains only enough CA activity to supply PEPC with HCO₃⁻, while the activity in the double mutant is below this level, and the plants rely, at least to some extent, on the uncatalyzed conversion of CO₂ to HCO₃⁻ (Studer et al., 2014). Clearly, while CA in both WT maize and *F. bidentis* is not rate limiting for photosynthesis, and is necessary for efficient operation of the C₄ pathway when CO₂ availability to the leaf is limited (Boyd et al., 2015), differences exist between these two C₄ species with respect to the levels of CO₂ that result in impaired CO₂ assimilation. The basis for this discrepancy may be structural, enzymatic, or a combination of mechanisms (Studer et al., 2014; Ludwig, 2016).

A Ubiquitous, Basal Carbon-Concentrating Mechanism in Plants

A mitochondrial βCA along with the γ and γ’-like CAs associated with the mitochondrial Complex I are proposed to be part of a mechanism found in all plants that facilitates the fixation of mitochondrial respiratory CO₂ in the chloroplasts (Figure 5; Zabaleta et al., 2012). Relative to WT plants, *Arabidopsis* mutants lacking the mitochondrial *AtβCA6* gene show a decrease in leaf area and overall biomass, inhibition of growth at low CO₂, and a significant increase in respiration rates (Jiang et al., 2014). In contrast, the overexpression of *AtβCA6* in *Arabidopsis* resulted in larger plants with higher shoot fresh and dry weights, and decreased rates of respiration compared with WT plants (Jiang et al., 2014). Interestingly, there appeared to be no significant difference in photosynthetic rates although the CO₂ compensation point of the knockdown lines was reportedly increased relative to WT values. From this work, the authors suggested that increasing expression levels of mitochondrial *AtβCA6* affect cellular respiration, which impacts positively on biomass production.

Carbonic Anhydrase Activity and Photosystem II

The finding that acetazolamide inhibited photosystem II (PS II) activity (Swader and Jacobson, 1972) raised the possibility that CA activity was associated with PSII. Later, Stemler (1997) presented evidence that included the finding of low levels of CA activity with thylakoid preparations and even core PSII fractions. The discovery of CrCAH3, in the thylakoid lumen of *C. reinhardtii* (Karlsson et al., 1998), resulted in two different hypotheses as to its physiological role. In one proposal, CAH3 functions in light-driven generation of CO₂ from accumulated HCO₃⁻, taking advantage of the low pH of the thylakoid lumen to drive the reaction toward CO₂ formation (Raven, 1997; Hanson et al., 2003; Moroney and Ynalvez, 2007). A competing hypothesis was that CrCAH3 was required on the oxidizing side of PSII (Park et al., 1999; Villarejo et al., 2002). Since CrCAH3 is an αCA, this hypothesis presented an attractive physiological role for αCAs in plants. However, evidence over the past 10–15 years strongly argues against a role for CA in PSII, with probably the most persuasive argument being the lack of CA in any of the crystal structures of PSII to date.

Most cyanobacteria do not have an αCA, and for most cyanobacteria, the only CA in the cell is in the carboxysome as part of the CCM. In *Arabidopsis*, total chloroplast (intact chloroplasts) proteome studies identify the only CAs in the chloroplasts are βCA1, βCA2, and βCA5 (Friso et al., 2004; Ferro et al., 2010). The other CA reported to be in the chloroplast, αCA1, has not been detected in proteome studies to date, and no other αCA has been found in chloroplasts. None of the *Arabidopsis* β-type CAs (AtβCA1, AtβCA2 and AtβCA5) have a leader sequence consistent with a thylakoid lumen location, and only the stromal *AtβCA1* is present at high levels in chloroplasts of photosynthetically active cells. Finally, Hillier et al. (2006) and McConnell et al. (2007) found no CA activity in highly active PSII preparations using the very sensitive membrane inlet mass spectrometry assay. They convincingly argued that any CA activity associated with PSII was due to contamination. This is not surprising as stromal CA activity is extremely high and even a relatively low level of contamination by this protein could result in measurable activity in enriched PSII preparations (McConnell et al., 2007).

Stomatal Movement and Development

Increased transcript abundances are found for the genes encoding AtβCA1 and AtβCA4 in *Arabidopsis* guard cells (Hu et al., 2010 and references therein). While single Atβca1 and Atβca4 T-DNA mutants demonstrated no CO₂-sensitive phenotype compared with WT plants, the double *ca1ca4* mutant showed impaired stomatal conductance in response to changing CO₂ concentration as well as higher stomatal numbers and density (Hu et al., 2010). Consequently AtβCA1 and AtβCA4 were implicated in guard cell movement through a role in the early steps of the CO₂ signaling pathway, and were suggested to function also in guard cell development (Hu et al., 2010). Recent work using reconstituted systems in *Xenopus* oocytes has suggested a model in which AtβCA4 functions alongside the aquaporin PIP2;1 at the guard cell plasma membrane, influencing intracellular CO₂/HCO₃⁻ levels, which when elevated, enhance S-type anion channel activity and stomatal closure (Figure 5; Wang et al., 2016). As yet, no direct interactions between AtβCA4 and PIP2;1 have been reported.

The *Arabidopsis* ca1ca4 mutants show an inverted response to CO₂ relative to WT plants in that, at high CO₂, they have increased
stomatal numbers in cotyledons and mature leaves (Engineer et al., 2014). Taking these results into account, as well as the earlier characterization of the double mutant (Hu et al., 2010), a preliminary model for the control of stomatal development has been constructed and involves an extracellular signaling pathway mediated by CA (Engineer et al., 2014, 2016). Not all the components or steps in the model have been identified (Engineer et al., 2014, 2016); however, it has been proposed that CA activity is necessary for the increased expression of the genes encoding the epidermal patterning factor EPF2, and the CO₂-inducible protease that cleaves it, facilitating its binding to the receptor kinase ERECTA, which has been implicated in the regulation of stomatal development (Figure 5; Shpak, 2013).

Biotic and Abiotic Stress Responses

Chloroplastic iCAs from C₃ plants are part of a defense mechanism that is induced upon attack by various pathogens (Figure 5; Slaymaker et al., 2002; Restrepo et al., 2005; Jung et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009; Collins et al., 2010). In tobacco and Arabidopsis, the CAs have been identified as salicylic-acid-binding proteins that function in an antioxidant role during viral infections (Slaymaker et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2009). Recombinant inbred lines of Arabidopsis with resistance to the insect herbivore, Plutella xylostella, had at least a 2-fold increase in abundance of Ati/CA1 and Ati/CA4 proteins (Collins et al., 2010).

Salinity induces an increase in iCA transcript abundance in maize, and it was suggested that this response paralleled the antioxidant role seen with the biotic stressors described above (Figure 5; Kravchik and Bernstein, 2013). Both salinity and an osmotic stress treatment using polyethylene glycol led to an increase in rice seedling total CA enzyme activity, and the level of mRNA coding for a predicted chloroplastic CA isoform (Yu et al., 2007). Overexpression of the rice CA in Arabidopsis led to improved growth on media containing salt compared with WT Arabidopsis (Yu et al., 2007). Amino Acid Biosynthesis

Cytosolic CAs have been implicated in affecting amino acid biosynthesis levels (Figure 5; Raven and Newman, 1994). While PEPC, the primary carboxylase of C₄ plants, uses HCO₃⁻ produced by cytosolic iCA activity to form C₄ acids, as part of the C₄ CCM, in C₃ plants an estimated 50% of the free aspartate pool is created by PEPC activity (Melzer and O’Leary, 1987). Arabidopsis double knockout mutants of the Ati/CA2 and Ati/CA4 genes, which code for cytosolic CAs, showed reduced growth rates and chlorosis of the younger leaves relative to WT plants when grown at 200 μL L⁻¹ CO₂. This phenotype was ameliorated when the plants were grown under high levels (1000 μL L⁻¹) of CO₂ (DiMario et al., 2016). The Ati/CA2CA4 double mutants also demonstrated reduced levels of aspartate, and a concomitant increase in glyoxylate and serine levels (DiMario et al., 2016). The low CO₂ growth phenotype and amino acid profile could be mitigated by complementation of the double mutant with the Ati/CA2 gene (DiMario et al., 2016). The elevated amounts of glycine and serine in the double mutant were unanticipated and hints CA activity affecting other biochemical pathways.

CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

Plants have many genes encoding α-, β- and γ-type CAs, which are found in most tissues and many intracellular compartments. In addition, alternative splicing and multiple transcription start sites have been shown in a number of iCAs, often leading to different proteins targeted to different organelles. Programs developed to predict protein targeting should be used with caution, particularly when working with monocot CAs or CAs predicted from gene models. The number of CA genes is relatively similar in monocot and dicots, and in plants using C₃ or C₄ photosynthesis, or CAM. Evidence is building that, during the evolution of the C₄ pathway, C₃ genes coding for CA were co-opted.
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through changes in cis-regulatory sequences, modification of posttranscriptional controls, and/or recruitment of different transcription factors. CAs, while clearly important in photosynthesis, are also required for other metabolic pathways as well as signaling and developmental pathways.
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