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Abstract

To compile a modern dictionary that catalogues the words in currency, and to study linguistic patterns in the contemporary language, it is necessary to have a corpus of authentic texts that reflect current usage of the language. Although there are numerous Arabic corpora, none claims to be representative of the language in terms of the combination of geographical region, genre, subject matter, mode, and medium. This paper describes a 100-million-word corpus that takes the British National Corpus (BNC) as a model. The aim of the corpus is to be balanced, annotated, comprehensive, and representative of contemporary Arabic as written and spoken in Arab countries today. It will be different from most others in not being heavily-dominated by the news or in mixing the classical with the modern. In this paper is an outline of the methodology adopted for the design, construction, and annotation of this corpus. DIWAN (Al-Shargi and Rambow, 2015) was used to annotate a one-million-word snapshot of the corpus. DIWAN is a dialectal word annotation tool, but we upgraded it by adding a new tag-set that is based on traditional Arabic grammar and by adding the roots and morphological patterns of nouns and verbs. Moreover, the corpus we constructed covers the major spoken varieties of Arabic.

1 Introduction

A collection of texts in machine-readable format is called a corpus. The creation of a corpus is often motivated by interest in linguistic phenomena. Therefore, the design and creation of a corpus is always linked to purpose of usage. Thousands of corpora have been created and many are freely available. These corpora vary in size, type, format, usage, and purpose of creation. They are usually annotated with morphological, syntactic, semantic, discoursal, or prosodic information. Individual texts in a corpus often have meta-data in the header that give information about such attributes as genre of the text, author, source, date and country of publication, etc. (Baker et al., 2006).

Building a balanced and representative corpus remains an ideal goal for corpus creators. A balanced corpus includes a wide range of texts from the different genres and domains that the corpus claims to depict. Sometimes, this type of corpus is referred to as a reference, general, or core corpus. Similarly, a corpus is claimed to be representative if it contains the major linguistic variation in the concerned language. Although it is not an easy task to achieve balanceness and representiveness in a corpus, it can be done with a level of approximation and scalability (McEnery and Hardie, 2012; Baker et al., 2006).

The web provides a massive collection of texts which is growing rapidly. Constructing corpora by harvesting web pages is usually referred to as web-crawling. The web is an excellent information source with large amounts of data which one can select, organize, and compile into corpora of all types (McEnery and Hardie, 2012). Since the late 1980s, Arabic corpora have been constructed. However, not many of them are freely available as open-source. Most are for written Modern Standard Arabic (MSA). Morphosyntactically annotated Arabic corpora are very rare and not freely available to researchers.

This paper reports on the construction and annotation of a comprehensive 100-million-word corpus of contemporary Arabic. The purpose is to provide an open-source corpus of contemporary Arabic which is balanced, representative of the language, and comparable to the internationally recognized British National Corpus. The text of the corpus was selected from a wide range of genres, domains, and types. It consists of 83% written language and 17% spoken language. The texts of the corpus were collected primarily from text materials available online but also from the
transcripts of purpose-made recordings (see Section 3). The corpus was automatically annotated both morphologically and syntactically. A sample of one million words was manually and semi-manually verified; it was additionally annotated for sentiment and glossed in English. To accomplish this annotation, we used DIWAN (Al-Shargi and Rambow, 2015) but had to specifically develop for it morphological and syntactic annotation schemes on the basis of the long-established Arabic linguistic tradition (see Section 5). We also added new features to the DIWAN annotation tool to facilitate our semi-manual annotation process (see Section 6).

2 Literature Review

Arabic corpora vary in size, type, purpose, design, text type, etc. (Al-Sulaiti and Atwell, 2006). Zaghouani, 2017 surveyed freely available Arabic corpora and classified 66 of them into six main categories, namely (i) raw text corpora, (ii) annotated corpora, (iii) lexicons, (iv) speech corpora, (v) handwriting recognition corpora and (vi) miscellaneous corpora.

The Corpus of Contemporary Arabic (Al-Sulaiti and Atwell, 2006) was the first freely available Arabic corpus. Around one million words were collected from newspapers and magazines. Since then, most monolingual Arabic corpora have been constructed by collecting texts from news sources (i.e. newspaper articles). Examples of such corpora are: the Open Source Arabic Corpora (OSAC) which contain around 18 million words of written MSA and Classical Arabic (CA) texts (Saad and Ashour, 2010); Akhbar Al Khaleej 2004 Corpus consists of 3 million words of newspaper texts (Abbas and Smalii, 2005); Al-Watan 2004 Corpus contains 10 million words of newspaper texts as well (Abbas et al., 2011); KACST Arabic Corpus includes more than 700 million words collected from 10 text source types such as newspapers, magazines, books, old manuscripts, university theses, refereed periodicals, websites, curricula, news agencies, and official prints (Al-Thubaity, 2015). There is also the International Corpus of Arabic (ICA) which was constructed by Bibliotheca Alexandrina and it contains 100 million words that were collected from the press, net articles, books, and academic text sources (Alansary and Nagi, 2014). The ArabiCorpus at Brigham Young University is one of the most popular web-based corpora. It consists of around 174 million words, 77% of which is from newspapers. It does, however, include around 9 million words of premodern literature, 1 million words of modern literature, 28 million words of non-fiction, and a token of colloquial Egyptian (0.164 million words).

The King Saud University Corpus of Classical Arabic (KSUCCA) consists of around 50 million words (Alrabia et al., 2014). The corpus includes texts of six genres, namely religion, linguistics, literature, science, sociology, and biography. The arTenTen corpus used web crawlers to automatically harvest 5.8 billion words from Arabic websites (Belinkov et al., 2013). Its purpose was linguistic and lexicographic in nature. It was automatically annotated using MADAMIRA and it is available on Sketch Engine.

The Historical Arabic Corpus (HAC) has 45 million words that were organized into primary and secondary resources, seven genres, and 100-year eras in the Gregorian calendar. Its intended purpose is historical semantics and etymological lexicography (Ismail et al., 2014).

Two specialized Arabic corpora use the Quran as a source of their textual content; hence, each consists of the same number of words in the Quran, 77430 words. The Quranic Arabic Corpus is morphologically and syntactically annotated. Its annotation was done automatically and verified collaboratively by the wider community (Dukes et al., 2013). The second corpus is the Boundary Annotated Quran Corpus. It is annotated with prosodic information and phrase boundaries (Brierley et al., 2012; Sawalha et al., 2012). It took advantage of boundary markups that flag starts and stops in the Quran (Sawalha et al., 2014; Brierley et al., 2016). Interest in dialectal Arabic corpora has recently surged. An example of such corpora is the Curras Palestinian Arabic corpus, a corpus of more than 56K tokens, which are annotated with morphological and lexical features (Jarrar et al., 2017). There are Arabic corpora that are only available for a fee, such as the Linguistic Data Consortium’s\(^1\) The Penn Arabic Treebank\(^2\) and the European Language Resources Association’s\(^3\) An-Nahar Newspaper Text Corpus\(^4\).

\(^1\)https://www.ldc.upenn.edu/
\(^2\)https://catalog.ldc.upenn.edu/LDC2016T02
\(^3\)http://catalogue.elra.info/en-us/
\(^4\)catalogue.elra.info/en-us/repository/browse/ELRA-W0027/
This brief review, which is based on a more extensive survey of the literature, points to the absence of resources that make the claim that they represent in a comprehensive manner the Arabic of today as written and spoken by contemporary native speakers. There is a great need for a corpus of modern Arabic as used by present-day native speakers of the language. The corpus must be truly representative of the language that the current inhabitants of the Arab World use, regardless of whether it is of the high or low variety. It must also be balanced in its representation of the written and spoken language, and of the various discourse genres. It must truly depict the language of the curricula and academia.

3 Methodology

To ensure that this corpus of modern Arabic is representative, balanced, comprehensive, and for general purposes, we followed the model of the British National Corpus (BNC)\(^5\). That is why this corpus contains slightly more than 100-million words of the same text types, domains, and genres. The corpus contains 87% of texts from written sources and 13% of transcribed spoken language. The written part includes texts from Applied Sciences, Arts, Belief and Thought, Com- mercial and Finance, Imaginative works, Leisure, Natural and Pure Sciences, Social Sciences, and World Affairs. The spoken subcorpus includes transcripts of Spontaneous Conversations (4.2%) and Context-Governed Spoken Language (6.2%) from the categories of Educational/Informative, Business, Public/Institutional, and Leisure. Tables 1 and 2 show the text categories of the corpus of the written and spoken subcorpora respectively.

Twenty million words of the category of World Affairs were selected from newspapers published in 20 Arab countries where around one million words were collected for each country from one or two newspapers published in that country. The different genres of newspaper articles include Politics; Arts and Culture; Economics; Local News; Opinions; Regional and International News; Sports; and Others (e.g., Weather Forecasts, News about Technology, Health, Tourism, etc.). The subcategory of Social Sciences includes around 14 million words of texts from books and online sources. It contains texts of the genres: Languages and Linguistics; Modern Arabic Dictionaries; Philosophy; Islamic Studies and Quran Interpretation; History; Geography; Anthropology and Sociology; Law; Education; Food and Nutrition; Travel; Lectures; Sports; etc. The subcategory of Belief and Thought consists of about three million words of texts of sacred books such as: the Quran; Quran Interpretation; the Hadith including Hadith Qudsi; the Old Testament; the New Testament; Dictionary of the Bible; and Interpretations of the Testaments, etc.

More than seven million words were collected from online sources to fill the subcategory of Commerce and Finance. These articles belong to a variety of topics within the commerce and finance genre. They include Accounting; Taxes; Investment; Finance; Financial Legal Issues; Inventory; Currency, etc. The subcategory of Imaginative Language consists of 16 million words. The texts were collected from written sources that include: stories; novels; poetry; plays; translations of international stories and novels. The subcategory of Leisure consists of 12 million words which include articles on topics such as Animals; Cars; Technology; Health; Women; Tourism; Cooking Recipes; How to; Arabian Cities; Jordanian Stories and Traditions; and Fitness. The subcategory of Arts was collected from web sources and comprises around seven million words. The texts of this category contain articles on Arts; Digital Photography; Film and Video Production; Printing; Area Planning and Landscaping; Sculpture; Ceramics and Metals; Computer Graphic Arts; Entertainment and Performance; Cinema and Theater; Photography; Music; Architecture; Fine Arts; Decorative Arts; International Arts; Arabic Calligraphy, etc. Around seven million words were collected from books and web resources for the category of Mathematics, Physics, Chemistry, Biology, etc.

The corpus is designed to have detailed metadata about each article. This is valuable knowledge that can be used to guide the search within the corpus. It can also be used in text classification and text data mining. Moreover, the corpus and its metadata constitute an excellent dataset for training machine learning algorithms on such tasks as genre identification. The metadata include infor-

\(^5\)http://www.natcorp.ox.ac.uk/
Table 1: Text categories of the written subcorpus

| Written Subcategory       | Words (million) | Percentage |
|---------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Applied Sciences          | 7               | 8%         |
| Arts                      | 7               | 7.50%      |
| Belief and Thought        | 3               | 4%         |
| Commerce and Finance      | 7.2             | 8.30%      |
| Imaginative               | 16              | 18.50%     |
| Leisure                   | 12              | 14%        |
| Natural and Pure Sciences | 3.8             | 4%         |
| Social Sciences           | 14              | 16%        |
| World Affairs             | 20              | 20%        |
| **Total**                 | **90**          | **100%**   |

Table 2: Categories of the Context-Governed Spoken Language subcorpus.

| Context-Governed Subcategory | Words (million) | Percentage |
|------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Educational / Informative    | 1.6             | 26%        |
| Business                     | 1.3             | 21%        |
| Public / Institutional       | 1.7             | 27%        |
| Leisure                      | 1.6             | 26%        |
| **Total**                    | **6.2**         | **100%**   |

4 Copyrights

The texts of the written subcorpus were primarily selected from sources available online. To get around copyrights, we followed Eckart’s example by ‘scrambling’ the texts such that the original structure of a document would be destroyed. “This inhibits the reconstruction of the original documents. With respect to German copyright legislation this approach is considered safe” (Eckart et al., 2014). We assume this is satisfactory to copyright laws in most countries around the world.

5 Annotation

To create and annotate the comprehensive corpus of contemporary Arabic, we followed the principles presented in (Al-Shargi et al., 2016). This approach consists of several main steps. We started out by deciding on the categories, subcategories, and sizes in millions of words of the components of the corpus. To ensure balance, we simply followed the BNC proportions. Then we collected the target textual material from sources similar to those of the BNC, as well. We added texts from the social media, forums, and websites according to the various topical categories (cf. Tables 1, 2. Then, we modified the DIW AN annotation tool (Al-Shargi and Rambow, 2015) by adding new annotation tags such as root, pattern, and sentiment, by creating an elaborate CODA, and by developing a user interface that reflects these modifications. (See Tables 4, 5, 6 where the new tags we added appear in bold). After the primary annotation of the entire corpus was run automatically, we conducted an error detection round to find and correct annotation errors. (Figure 2 shows the workflow).

DIW AN assists human annotators in tagging each token with the relevant morphological, syntactic, and semantic information. DIW AN has the following annotation fields: 1) **Diac**: where the word to be annotated is shown with diacritics. 2) **Lex**: Here the lemma in its citation form appears. For example, the lemma of محبتي is **wAHbAbbA**
‘and her lovers’ will have the lex حبيب Hbyb ‘lover’. 3) **BWhash:** In this field, the Buckwalter rendition of the lemma is split into prefix, stem, and suffix. The stem is marked by the symbol # on both sides, 4) **Gloss:** The English translation of the lemma appears in this field.

There are features in DIWAN that indicate the proclitics and enclitics of words. The clitics are assigned slots: prc3, prc2, prc1, and prc0 for proclitics, and enc0; enc1, and enc2 for enclitics. A lower index indicates closer proximity to the stem. Additionally, there are features that mark the part of speech (POS), functional number and gender of nouns, and aspect of verbs. Functional number and gender refer to the function of a word, rather than its form. For example ٌقادة qAdp ‘leaders’ is functionally masculine and plural, even though it ends in ٌ, which is the marker of feminine singular nouns.

We added three new features to DIWAN, (i) **root** which is a base form, for example لمس lms to touch is the root of these two words سيلمسنيبا Sylmsnha they will touch it and يلمس ylms ‘he touches’, (ii) **sentiment** which shows the attitude towards a word as to whether it is negative, positive, or neutral; for example, the sentiment annotation of the word ‘sabba’ in سب العدو sb AlEdw ‘he cursed the enemy’ is negative while that of the word ‘ahabba’ in أحب المرأة >Hb Almr>پ ‘he loved the woman’ is positive and that of EmAn ‘Amman’ is neutral. And (iii) **pattern** the morphological mold that the root is formed by; e.g., the word كلاير kAsir ‘breaker’ is derived by the mold ٌكَلايْر faEl doer and the root كَلايْر kasara he broke. To show the details of the annotation, we present table 3.

---

**Figure 2:** Steps to Creating a Comprehensive Corpus for Contemporary Arabic

---

### 6 Morphology

Morphological annotation of the whole corpus was automatically performed using MADAMIRA (Pasha et al., 2014). We isolated a one-million word snapshot of the corpus for manual verification. Twenty-five B.A. students of Arabic at the University of Jordan carried out the manual verification and two professors of linguistics supervised their work and vetted their annotation. The annotators used DIWAN (Al-Shargi and Rambow, 2015) to review and verify MADAMIRA’s analysis. The morphological annotation required (1) Development of a new tag-set with detailed morphological description. Fourteen new noun-tags were added to Madamira. These new tags fall into three groups: i) derived nouns: Active participle, Passive participle, Exaggeration, Qualificative adjective, Noun of time/place, Noun of Instrument, and Elative noun; ii) underived nouns: Concrete noun and Abstract noun; and iii) gerunds: Original gerund, Gerund with initial miim, Gerund of instance, Gerund of state, and Gerund of profession. (2) Providing the roots of the nouns and verbs, since such a root conveys the core lexical meaning of a word. It normally consists of three consonants, and less frequently of two or four consonants. The majority of Arabic words (nouns and verbs) are derived from triliteral roots, uncommonly from biliteral or quadriliteral roots. For instance, the consonant root ٌدَرَس d.r.s has the basic lexical meaning of studying, from which these words are derived: ٌدَرَسN darosN ‘lesson’. ٌمَدَرَس muder~is ‘teacher’. ٌمَدَرَسA دراستة madorasap ‘school’. ٌدَرَسA dAris ‘student’. In all these derived words, the consonants ٌدَرَس constitute their root (McCarthy, John, 1981; Prunet et al., 2000; Davis and Zawaydeh, 2001). (3) Providing the morphological pattern of each noun and verb. This pattern constitutes a canonical template that consists of a series of discontinuous consonants including those of the root, a series of discontinuous vowels, and a templatic pattern. It carries a schematic meaning and grammatical information together including the word’s part of speech. For instance, the morphological pattern C1VVC2VC3 together with the vowel melody - a - i - represents the active participle of Form I verbs (Bat-El, 1994, 2001; Ratcliffe, Robert, 1998; Ussishkin, Adam, 1999, 2005).

### 7 Spoken vs Written Language

Languages often have a low variety that is used in everyday communication and a high variety that is used in formal settings. The spoken language
The table below shows annotated sentences of the JCCA Corpus. In this table, the abbreviation BW represents Buckwalter transliteration, gloss the English meaning, lex the lexical entry, pfx the prefix, sfx the suffix, gen the gender, root the consonantal roots, sntmnt the sentiment designation, and prtn the morphological pattern.

| Sentence | BW | gloss | lex: | pfx: | sfx: | gen:, num: | stm: | pfx: | lex: | gloss |
|----------|----|-------|------|------|------|------------|------|------|------|-------|
| العربية | Arabic | العربية | العربية | العربية | العربية | العربية | العربية | العربية | العربية |
| انظر | look | look | look | look | look | look | look | look | look |
| للثورة | for the revolution | for the revolution | for the revolution | for the revolution | for the revolution | for the revolution | for the revolution | for the revolution | for the revolution |
| إلى | to | to | to | to | to | to | to | to | to |
| الحرب | war | war | war | war | war | war | war | war | war |
| في | in | in | in | in | in | in | in | in | in |
| المنظور | observer | observer | observer | observer | observer | observer | observer | observer | observer |
| السياحة | tourism | tourism | tourism | tourism | tourism | tourism | tourism | tourism | tourism |
| إلى | to | to | to | to | to | to | to | to | to |
| الأماكن | places | places | places | places | places | places | places | places | places |
| من | from | from | from | from | from | from | from | from | from |
| للمؤرخين | for historians | for historians | for historians | for historians | for historians | for historians | for historians | for historians | for historians |

Table 3: Annotated sentences of JCCA Corpus. In this table, the abbreviation BW represents Buckwalter transliteration, gloss the English meaning, lex the lexical entry, pfx the prefix, sfx the suffix, gen the gender, root the consonantal roots, sntmnt the sentiment designation, and prtn the morphological pattern.

tends to be more liberal and more prone to change, the written variety more coded and more conservative. Arabic has three major varieties, two written
ucation and formal written communication from the Arab renaissance in the nineteenth century onward; and the dialects, the colloquial regional varieties that are spoken in everyday communication. Since the corpus constructed here is comprehensive and since it claims to be representative of contemporary Arabic, it has to exclude Classical Arabic, but include Modern Standard Arabic, and the regional dialects. We define Contemporary Arabic as the language both written and spoken by living native speakers of Arabic; therefore, the dialects need to be represented. We are not alone in this view, check out A Frequency Dictionary of Arabic (Buckwalter and Parkinson, 2011) and the Oxford Arabic Dictionary (Arts et al., 2014).

The major spoken varieties are, therefore, represented in the corpus: North Africa is represented by the Moroccan dialect; the Nile region by Egyptian; the Arabian Peninsula by Taizi, Sanaani, and Najdi; Greater Syria by Shami, Jordanian, and Palestinian. The data in the form of contextualized sentences were collected from (1) personal communication in Facebook and Whatsapp family groups; (2) jokes, songs, videoclips, movie scripts, and TV interviews in the local dialects; and (3) personal interviews of old speakers, especially those with minimal education. The data were collected by students who came from these regions. Like any other language, Arabic has differences between the dialects and the standard variety, between the spoken and written varieties. There is variation in the pronunciation of some consonants and vowels (e.g., q, D, Z, v, *, A); suppression of word final inflections; fixed word-order (i.e., subject-verb-object (SVO)); contracted forms (e.g., maZal→a Say’N ‘nothing remains’); use of high frequency lexical items(e.g., qAEid rather than jAlis ‘sitting’); use of some lexical items that are archaic in MSA (e.g., AifliH ‘Partake of food’ in Jordanian Arabic in addition to the senses in Standard Arabic of Plough! and Succeed!); liberal incorporation of foreign words (e.g., Abstrah ‘sent a message’); abandonment of the dual and the passive voice (e.g., *mas~aj ‘sent a message’).
Table 6: Tags for suffixes (Bold is new)

| Tag          | Type       | Arabic                                                                 |
|--------------|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| POSS_PRON    | Proclitic  | اَلْمَعْلُومَةُ al-mu'āloma                        |
| OBJ_PRON     | Proclitic  | قبلَ الْمَعْلُومَةِ qab'al-mu'āloma                      |
| NSUFF_FEM_SG | Suffix     | مُغَلِّبَةُ mughallabat                        |
| CASE_IBSREP | Suffix     | مُعْلُومٌ mualumu                    |
| NSUFF_FEM_PL | Proclitic  | مُغَلِّبَةُ mughallabat                      |
| NSUFF_MASC_PL | Suffix   | مُغَلِّبَةُ mughallabat                      |
| NSUFF_MASC_DU | Suffix   | مُغَلِّبَةُ mughallabat                      |
| NSUFF_MASC_GN | Suffix   | مُغَلِّبَةُ mughallabat                      |
| NSUFF_FEM_DU | Suffix   | مُغَلِّبَةُ mughallabat                      |
| NSUFF_FEM_GN | Suffix   | مُغَلِّبَةُ mughallabat                      |
| EMMPHATIC_NUN | Suffix    | مُغَلِّبَةُ mughallabat                      |
| REL_PRON     | Relative Pronoun | مُغَلِّبَةُ mughallabat                      |
| SINGULAR     | Number/Singular | مُغَلِّبَةُ mughallabat                      |
| PLURAL       | Number/Plural     | مُغَلِّبَةُ mughallabat                      |
| COLCV_NOUN   | Number/Collective noun | مُغَلِّبَةُ mughallabat                      |

particles ـ هل hal and ـ أ: use of the suffix ـ أ at the end of a verb (e.g., ـ أ ما معدش ma qaEadSi$ rather than ـ أ ما معدش ma qaEadahde did not sit); loss of gender distinction, especially in the language of females (e.g., أئذ أ يت Alqassas rather than أئذ أ يت AlbanAt ‘the girls came’). Arabic has a free word order because of grammatical inflections. When all words’ grammatical functions are marked with appropriate inflections, it is not necessary to restrict the arrangement of words in a sentence; hence, Classical Arabic exhibits a totally free word order. Modern Standard Arabic shows preference for verb-subject-object even though inflections are amongst its distinctive features. The spoken varieties continue a historical tradition that we suspect had started as early as Islamic times, where case inflection had lost grounds to fixed word order. Preference in Classical Arabic for the default word order (i.e., verb-subject-object) in an otherwise free word order system was a portent of developments to come. As Islamic conquest brought Arabs in contact with foreigners who soon adopted the language, and as the diglossic gap widened, grammatical inflection lost favor in the low variety while it retained its glamour in the high variety, under the influence of the Quran. The spoken, the low, variety started to favor the subject-verb-object word order as a result of the loss of case inflections and to set apart the agent from the patient of the predicate. The written variety manifested in MSA, on the other hand, used the verb-subject-object order as the unmarked default and retained other combinations for special purposes. All modern regional varieties are descendants of old spoken varieties of Arabic in much the same way as Modern Standard Arabic is a successor of Classical Arabic, the written variety. Regional varieties of Arabic share great many syntactic features. For example, they have two negation patterns: single negation and discontinuous negation (Alqassas, 2015). The first uses the negative particle ـ أ ma followed by the verb phrase, whilst the second adds the negative marking suffix ـ أ to the verb in addition to the negative particle that precedes it. Thus, I didin’t say may be expressed as ـ أ ما مات ma qult-i$ or ـ أ ما مات ma qult. To negate the future, however, there are three options: (1) the negative particle followed by the imperfect verb as in ـ أ ما أسانسيف ma >asAfr-i ‘I will not travel’; (2) or followed by the imperfect inflicted with the negative marking suffix as in ـ أ ما أسانسيف ma >asAfr-i$; (3) or followed by the future particle ـ راH and the imperfect verb as in ـ أ ما تانيه ma raH >asAfr. JCCA consists in part of a spoken language component that is annotated morphologically and syntactically, glossed with MSA forms, and translated into English. This is especially useful with contractions, the hallmarks of spoken Arabic. The gloss is often the non-contracted equivalent in MSA as demonstrated in Table 7.

8 Conclusion and Future Work

This paper outlined the methodology for the design, construction, and annotation of the Jordan Comprehensive Contemporary Arabic Corpus (JCCA). The corpus is balanced, comprehensive, and representative of contemporary Arabic as written and spoken in Arab countries today. It consists of 100 million words that reflect current usage of the language. The corpus consists of 87% written and 13% spoken language. The text of the corpus was selected such that it would be representative of a wide range of geographical regions, genres, subject matters, modes, and media. DI-
Table 7: Contracted words in colloquial Arabic. In this table, the abbreviation Contracted represents examples of spoken words (i.e. contractions), BW is Buckwalter transliteration, Full Form is the non-contracted equivalent in MSA, gloss the English meaning.

| Contracted | BW   | Full Form | Gloss          |
|------------|------|-----------|----------------|
| شلونك      | Slwnk| أي شيء لونك | how are you?   |
| استطاف   | ASTT8| أصلب الذا تريد | whatever you want|
| أيشي     | <yS  | أي شيء؟ | pardon me?     |
| ليش      | lyS | لاي شيء؟ | why?           |
| شو       | Sw   | أي شيء هو | what?          |
| بيش     | byS  | باني شيء؟ | for how much?  |
| فيشي     | glyS | فيد أي شيء؟ | how much? |
| منش     | mlyS | ما عليه شيء؟ | it’s OK!       |
| منش     | mzIS | ماظل شيء؟ | nothing left   |
| اللى     | <ly  | الذي، أي شيء؟ | that/which     |
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