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ABSTRACT

Coronaviruses (CoVs) assemble at endoplasmic reticulum Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC) membranes and egress from cells in cargo vesicles. Only a few molecules of the envelope (E) protein are assembled into virions. The role of E in morphogenesis is not fully understood. The cellular localization and dynamics of mouse hepatitis CoV A59 (MHV) E protein were investigated to further understanding of its role during infection. E protein localized in the ERGIC and Golgi with the amino and carboxy termini in the lumen and cytoplasm, respectively. E protein does not traffic to the cell surface. MHV was genetically engineered with a tetracysteine tag at the carboxy end of E. Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) showed that E is mobile in ERGIC/Golgi membranes. Correlative light electron microscopy (CLEM) confirmed the presence of E in Golgi cisternae. The results provide strong support that E proteins carry out their function(s) at the site of budding/assembly.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Coronavirus (CoV) envelope (E) proteins play multiple roles during infection, including virus morphogenesis and, at least in the case of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) CoV, pathogenesis. E proteins are small (74-109 amino acids) hydrophobic viroporins (Hogue and Machamer, 2008; Ruch and Machamer, 2012a; Wilson et al., 2006, 2004). The proteins consist of two distinct structural domains, a longer than typical hydrophobic domain and a charged cytoplasmic tail. E proteins from across the virus family exhibit amino acid sequence variability. However, E proteins from other coronavirus can replace MHV E (Kuo et al., 2007). This suggests that the proteins provide a common function that is interchangeable among the viruses, but this has not been tested yet for other CoVs. Surprisingly, a truncated variant of the M protein was shown to also enhance the growth of MHV lacking the E gene (Kuo and Masters, 2003). Deletion of the E proteins from the α genus transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV) results in replica-ation-competent, but propagation-defective viruses, as is the case with the β genus Middle Eastern Syndrome virus (MERS) (Curtis et al., 2002) (Almazan et al., 2013; Ortego et al., 2007, 2002). SARS CoV, also a member of β genus, exhibited only a 20- to 200-fold reduction in virus output in the absence of E that was dependent on the cell type used for infection (DeDiego et al., 2007, 2014, 2008).

CoVs assemble at intracellular membranes in the ERGIC compartment where they bud into the lumen and are subsequently transported out of the cell by exocytosis in cargo vesicles (Krijnse-Locker et al., 1994; Tooze and Tooze, 1985). For all CoVs the membrane (M) and spike (S) proteins constitute the majority of the protein that is incorporated into the viral envelope, whereas only a few molecules of the E protein are present (Godet et al., 1992; Liu and Inglis, 1991; Yu et al., 1994). The envelope surrounds the ~30 kb single-stranded, positive sense RNA genome that is encapsidated by the phosphorylated nucleocapsid (N) protein as a helical nucleocapsid.

The role of E proteins in assembly and egress is not fully understood. The absolute requirement for E during virus morphogenesis varies depending on the virus genus. The E protein is not absolutely essential for β genus MHV, but virus production is significantly compromised (1000-fold) in the absence of the protein, thus indicating that the protein plays an important role during morphogenesis (Kuo and Masters, 2003). Deletion of the E proteins from the α genus transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV) results in replication-competent, but propagation-defective viruses, as is the case with the β genus Middle Eastern Syndrome virus (MERS) (Curtis et al., 2002) (Almazan et al., 2013; Ortego et al., 2007, 2002). SARS CoV, also a member of β genus, exhibited only a 20- to 200-fold reduction in virus output in the absence of E that was dependent on the cell type used for infection (DeDiego et al., 2007, 2014, 2008).
E proteins also oligomerize and form ion channels (Wilson et al., 2006, 2004). The relevance of ion channel activity in morphogenesis is still not clear, but recent studies have shown that SARS-CoV E protein is a virulence factor that influences pathogenesis in a mouse model (DeDiego et al., 2007, 2014, 2008; Regla-Nava et al., 2015). It remains to be shown if other CoV proteins are contributors to pathogenesis.

Knowledge about its cellular localization is important to fully understand the roles that E proteins play during infection, whether in morphogenesis or pathogenesis. To help further our understanding of the mechanistic role(s) in virus assembly and egress or other roles that CoV E proteins play, we carried out a study to precisely define the cellular localization and expression dynamics of E from MHV A59, one of the long-standing prototype members of the β genus. We show that MHV E localizes in both the ERGIC and Golgi and that the protein does not traffic to the cell surface during infection. The protein is oriented with its carboxy end on the cytoplasmic side of the membranes and the amino end on the luminal side, as was suggested some years ago. To investigate the kinetics of E trafficking, MHV was genetically engineered with a tetracysteine (TC) tag appended to the carboxy end of the protein to allow for real-time imaging during infection. When monitored in real-time, the E protein was found to be mobile in ER/ERGIC membranes. Additionally, using correlative light electron microscopy E was visualized directly in Golgi stacks. The results provide further support showing that CoV E proteins carry out their functions at intracellular membranes where virus assembly occurs. This is the first report to describe live-cell imaging of a CoV E protein, which expands opportunities to analyze the interplay between E proteins and their host cells to help further understanding of the functions they provide during morphogenesis and pathogenesis.

Results

MHV-A59 E localizes in the ERGIC and Golgi

To identify the cellular localization of E protein within infected cells, 17Cl1 mouse cells were infected with wild-type MHV A59. The E protein exhibited compact localization adjacent to the nucleus as early as 6 h p.i. that did not overlap with the ER marker, protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) (Fig. 1A). Instead, the protein co-localized with markers of both the ERGIC and Golgi structures (middle two panels). Cells dual-labeled for the M and E proteins exhibited complete overlap of the signals (lower panels), indicative of colocalization.

To determine the distribution of the E protein in the Golgi, colocalization with cis-Golgi GM-130, medial-Golgi Mann-II and trans-Golgi p230 was examined (Fig. 1B). E colocalized most extensively with the cis- and medial-Golgi markers and less so with the trans-Golgi marker p230. Altogether, the results clearly define MHV E localization during infection in both the ERGIC and Golgi, with it being concentrated primarily in the cis and medial regions of the latter.

MHV E does not traffic to the cell surface

To further address localization and trafficking of MHV E, protein expression was examined in cells during a time course following infection. The S and M proteins were analyzed in parallel as controls (data not shown). The S protein is known to colocalize with M at the site of assembly, but it is also transported to the cell surface (Heald-Sargent and Gallagher, 2012). The M protein localizes in the Golgi (Corse and Machamer, 2002; Klumperman et al., 1994). At 8 h p.i. the E and M proteins continued to colocalize as was observed at 6 h p.i. (Fig. 2). By 12 h p.i. the M protein was localized in areas of the cell distant from the ERGIC/Golgi region, whereas the E protein remained localized at the perinuclear site. Additionally, E did not localize with the M or S proteins distant to the perinuclear sites when all three proteins were analyzed at 12 h p.i. (data not shown). At 16 h p.i., and more prominently at 24 h p.i., a large portion of the M protein was visualized throughout the cytoplasm, presumably as virus output increased and virions are being transported out of the cell. In contrast, at the late time points E continued to remain localized primarily in the ERGIC/Golgi region. Only a few small punctate dots were seen outside of these regions, presumably due to the extensive fusion that is
The amino end of the M protein (luminal side) was recognized by monoclonal antibody J1.3 in the perinuclear region after cells were permeabilized with TX-100, but not with digitonin, consistent with the orientation of the protein at intracellular membranes and its known localization (Fig. 3B, middle images) (Klumperman et al., 1994; Locker et al., 1992). The S protein was detected inside the cell and also on the cell surface (Fig. 3B, top images). The E protein was detected in the perinuclear region in both TX-100 and digitonin treated cells when probed with antibody 9410 that recognizes the carboxy terminus (Fig. 3B, bottom images). This is consistent with the carboxy tail of the E protein being located on the cytoplasmic side of internal membranes, as suggested previously (Raamsman et al., 2000). No signal was detected on the surface of nonpermeabilized cells with the 9410 antibody (Fig. 3C, lower images). This indicates that the carboxy end of the E protein is not exposed on the cell surface.

Since antibodies that recognize the amino end of E were not available, we made use of a construct with a Strep tag appended on the amino end of the E protein to help further determine if it traffics to the cell surface (Fig. 3C). VLPs can be assembled with the Strep-tagged E which is coexpressed with M (data not shown), thus we reasoned that it would provide a relevant assessment for whether the amino end is accessible at the cell surface. BHK-21 cells were transfected with the pCAGGS vector containing the Strep-tagged E gene. The amino end of the E protein was detected by an antibody that recognizes the Strep tag, but only when cells were permeabilized with TX-100 and not when permeabilized with digitonin (Fig. 3C, bottom images). This indicates that the amino end is located inside the lumen and not exposed on the cytoplasmic side of the ERGIC/Golgi membranes. In parallel, a signal was observed in both cases with the 9410 antibody, indicating that the carboxy end of E is located in the cytoplasm. No signal was observed with either antibody when cells were not permeabilized, which shows that indeed the amino end is also not exposed on the cell surface.

Generation of MHV with a tetracysteine (TC)-tagged E protein

To investigate the dynamics of E expression in live cells, we constructed a recombinant E protein with a TC tag (CCPGCC) appended at the carboxy end. It was designated as pCAGGS E-TC (Fig. 4). TC tags form hairpin structures that bind small, membrane-permeable fluorescein derivatives such as the fluorescein arsenical hairpin binder Lumio™ Green (FlAsH™) or a variant, resorufin arsenical hairpin binder Lumio™ Red (ReAsH™), a red-shift analog (Gaietta et al., 2002; Griffin et al., 1998). The biarsenicals are fluorescent when they bind to TC tags. We initially confirmed that the tagged E could support VLP formation when the M and TC-tagged E proteins were co-expressed in 293T cells (data not shown). We also confirmed that the TC-tagged E protein localized correctly in the ERGIC/Golgi (Fig. 4). It was noted that the signal from recognition of the TC tag by either Lumio™ Green or Red is lower than what is achieved by the high-affinity 9410 antibody that recognizes the carboxy end of the E protein. However, the signal and resolution achieved for the TC tag was strong enough to allow clear localization and monitoring of the protein.

Having determined that the tag does not interfere with the protein’s localization and that it supports VLP production, we then constructed a recombinant MHV A59 with the wild-type E gene replaced by the TC-tagged form. The recombinant virus was designated as MHV E-TC. When MHV E-TC infected 17Cl1 cells were labeled with Lumio™ Red, the red fluorescence colocalized with the signal from the 9410 antibody that recognizes the carboxy end of E (Fig. 5A). Colocalization with the anti-E antibody demonstrated specificity of the Lumio™ Red staining in the infected cells. The E-TC virus did produce smaller plaques and growth kinetic differences than the wild-type virus.
analysis showed that the virus grew slower, yielding titers that were ~100-fold less than the WT untagged virus by 20 h p.i. (Fig. 5B). Nonetheless, sequencing and the continued presence of the TC tag, as indicated by fluorescence, demonstrated that the E-TC virus was stable through five passages. This indicated that MHV E-TC was replication- and assembly-competent and thus, a good model to study the dynamics of the protein in live cells.

**Live-cell imaging of E protein in infected cells**

The MHV E-TC virus allowed us to use live cell imaging to monitor the E protein during infection in mouse 17Cl1 cells. At 6 h p.i. Lumio™ Green was added to the medium and cells, maintained in an environmentally controlled chamber, were analyzed by confocal microscopy. Over the \( t=0-180 \) min timecourse the E protein remained at intracellular membranes and did not traffic to the cell surface, consistent with its localization in ERGIC/Golgi compartments (Fig. 6A).

To analyze the extent of mobility at the site of localization, FRAP (fluorescence recovery after photobleaching) was performed on MHV E-TC infected 17Cl1 cells at 8 h p.i. A region of interest (ROI) in the perinuclear area expressing E-TC was photobleached and recovery of fluorescence was monitored over a 300 s timecourse by confocal microscopy (Fig. 6B). The mobile fraction (\( M_s \)), the fraction of molecules capable of diffusing into the bleached ROI, was calculated from measurements taken from cells (\( n=14 \)) in multiple experiments (Fig. 6C). The recovery ranged from 41% to 87%, with an average mobility fraction (\( M_s \)) of 61% ± 0.14, indicating that E is free to move within ERGIC/Golgi membranes. Four different recovery trends were observed in the infected cells (\( n=14 \)) (Fig. 6D). Examples of the recovery trends (T2C5, T2C10, T3C5, T3C7), along with the corresponding images pre and post, are shown (Fig. 5B and D). While the mobility fraction revealed that the E protein was mobile, the rate of recovery was wide, ranging from 10 s to 200 s (Fig. 6E).

**Correlative light electron microscopy of E protein in infected cells**

Availability of a TC tag allows proteins to be imaged directly in live cells, as described above, but it also offers the advantage that cells can be analyzed by electron microscopy (EM) following addition of diaminobenzidine (DAB) and photoconversion. Cells infected with MHV E-TC were initially viewed by confocal microscopy after addition of Lumio™ Red (Fig. 7A). Photoexcitation of the Lumio™ Red reagent bound to a TC tag results in release of singlet oxygen, which in turn polymerizes added diaminobenzidine (DAB). The precipitate that forms can be visualized directly by EM following
osmium tetroxide staining. In MHV E-TC virus infected cells a precipitate was visible that colocalized with the Lumio™ Red labeling, as expected in a perinuclear position, consistent with the ERGIC/Golgi localization described earlier (Fig. 5A). When examined by EM the electron-dense precipitate was localized in Golgi stacks (Fig. 7B). In some cells Golgi fragmentation was evident and E was associated with ~20-nm vesicles, apparently derived from the stacks (data not shown).

**Discussion**

Coronavirus E proteins are clearly important for virus assembly and egress, but their mechanistic role(s) is still not understood. Genetic approaches have been used to examine contributions of various conserved residues and domains to gain insight about the function of the protein. Many of the alterations significantly impact virus production and transport out of the cell (Boscaino et al., 2008; Fischer et al., 1998; Kuo and Masters, 2003; Lopez et al., 2008; Ruch and Machamer, 2011; Ye and Hogue, 2007). E proteins from other coronaviruses can substitute for MHV E (Kuo et al., 2007). Recent studies demonstrated that SARS E protein is a virulence factor (DeDiego et al., 2011, 2014). Several studies have shown that SARS E protein interacts with cellular proteins, some of which likely participate in the functional roles that the protein plays (Alvarez et al., 2010; Jimenez-Guardeno et al., 2014; Teoh et al., 2010).

Earlier work concluded that MHV E accumulates in pre-Golgi membranes, based on colocalization with Rab-1, a marker characteristic of ER and ERGIC compartments, in electron-dense convoluted membrane structures (Raamsman et al., 2000). Our confocal imaging, in parallel with a full panel of exocytic pathway cellular markers, clearly shows that MHV E is not in the ER, but is present in both the ERGIC and Golgi, primarily in the cis and medial cisternae of the latter, early during infection. Based on the apparent similar roles that the E proteins play in virus assembly, it stands to reason that they likely share common localization characteristics. IBV and SARS-CoV E proteins were previously reported to localize in the ER and/or Golgi (Corse and Machamer, 2000; Liao et al., 2006; Lim and Liu, 2001; Nal et al., 2005). Recent studies have shown that SARS-CoV E colocalizes with ERGIC-53 in infected cells and also when expressed transiently (Nieto-Torres et al., 2011; Teoh et al., 2010). Strong transient expression of SARS-CoV E colocalizes with ERGIC-53 in infected cells and also when expressed transiently (Lopez et al., 2006). Collectively, it can be concluded that coronavirus E proteins are expressed in both ERGIC and Golgi compartments, though the distribution may vary depending on the virus and cell type.

Previous studies reported that coronavirus E proteins traffic to the cell surface (Godet et al., 1992; Yu et al., 1994; Yuan et al., 2006). In addition to surface immunofluorescence, cellular permeabilization by E proteins and whole-cell patch clamp measurement of ion channel activity in cells expressing SARS-CoV E were suggestive of surface expression (Liao et al., 2004, 2006; Madan...
Fig. 6. Dynamics of TC-tagged E in infected cells. (A) Mouse 17C11 cells were infected with MHV E-TC at a MOI of 1. At 8 h p.i. cells were treated with Lumio™ Green. (A) Live cells were examined by confocal microscopy and images were collected at 20 min intervals over a 180 min time course with a Z-section setting of 0.5 μm. (B) FRAP was performed after treatment of infected cells with Lumio™ Green. Selected regions of interest were bleached and fluorescence recovery was monitored every 10 s over a ~300 s time course. Representative images from four cells are shown before and after photobleaching, bleached area indicated by arrows. (C) Fluorescence intensities normalized to prebleach values are shown plotted against time and the calculated mobile fractions (Mf) are indicated for each cell (n=14). Error bars indicate standard deviations from the mean. (D) Recovery fractions for the cells shown in B are plotted over the 300 s following photobleaching. (E) Recovery time constants for individual cells are shown. Asterisks in panels C and D indicate results from corresponding cells in panel B. FRAP images from 14 cells were analyzed from three independent experiments. Scale bar in A, 5 μm.
et al., 2005; Pervushin et al., 2009). We did not detect MHV E on the surface of nonpermeabilized transfected or infected cells. This is consistent with the recent report which clearly demonstrated that SARS-CoV E is not present at the plasma membrane (Nieto-Torres et al., 2011). Our confocal microscopy analysis over a time course following infection strongly illustrates that E remains in its perinuclear location even late during infection. Results from our live-cell and CLEM imaging also support the conclusion that MHV E remains at the site of assembly in ERGIC/Golgi membranes and does not transport to the plasma membrane. Our surface expression results yielded additional information confirming that MHV E assumes an orientation with the amino end located in the lumen and the cytoplasmic tail in the cytoplasm, consistent with the recently described topology of SARS-CoV E (Nieto-Torres et al., 2011). The cytoplasmic tail of MHV E was previously determined to reside in the cytoplasm in infected cells and thus, outside of the virion, but with a FLAG tag placed at the amino end it was suggested that the protein spans the lipid bilayer twice with both the amino and carboxyl ends in the cytoplasm (Maeda et al., 2001; Raamsman et al., 2000). Our results clearly show that the amino-terminal Strep tag does not alter the single pass topology with a luminal amino end and cytoplasmic carboxy end in the cellular membranes.

Live-cell imaging was only recently used to follow trafficking and dynamics of coronavirus proteins. Fluorescent tags such as GFP or mCherry were appended to nsp2, nsp4 or N proteins to study replication–transcription complexes and their relationship to formation of membrane structures in virus-infected cells (Freeman et al., 2014; Hagemeijer et al., 2011, 2010; Verheije et al., 2010). Results from our live cell imaging and FRAP analysis of MHV E-TC show that TC tagged E is mobile at internal membranes of infected 17Cl1 cells. Its average mobility ($M_f = 61\%$) is similar to what was measured for nsp4 in ER membranes (Hagemeijer et al., 2011). Nsp4 is a nonstructural integral membrane protein that localizes to the ER, but the protein is recruited during infection to replication complex structures that are interconnected with ER membranes, where its mobility ($M_f = 33\%$) is apparently restricted (Hagemeijer et al., 2011). The mobility of GFP tagged N and nsp2, both soluble cytoplasmic proteins, was also analyzed in cells infected with recombinant MHVs expressing the tagged constructs (Verheije et al., 2010; Hagemeijer et al., 2010). The N protein ($M_f = 40.4\%$) is dynamically associated with replication–transcription complexes, whereas nsp2 ($M_f = 9.9\%$) is thought to be immobilized through protein–protein interactions in the complexes in infected cells.

The E protein actually displayed a range of mobilities ($M_f = 41–87\%$) at 6 h p.i., the point at which virus assembly is actively ongoing. Several factors may account for the $M_f$ range. The population of E molecules that are actively involved in assembly may be associated with other structural proteins or possibly host factors that differently restrict lateral diffusion in membranes. The E protein assembles into oligomeric ion channels that could affect the proteins mobility. Possibly only a fraction of molecules exists as part of a channel at any given time. The E protein is palmitoylated (Boscarino et al., 2008; Lopez et al., 2008). We do not know if all potential cysteine residues are modified at the same time or if possibly the protein undergoes dynamic palmitoylation/ddepalmitoylation cycling during infection. The conserved cysteines are located adjacent to the transmembrane domain, which when palmitoylated may influence...
interaction of the protein tail with membranes and thus, its mobility. The HA protein of influenza virus is a transmembrane protein that is both palmitoylated and myristoylated. Yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) tagged HA exhibits different diffusion coefficients that vary based on the acylation state of the protein. WT HA-YFP has a diffusion coefficient of 0.14 μm²/s while a non-acylated mutant has a diffusion coefficient of 0.30 μm²/s. The acylation greatly reduces the mobility of the protein (Engel et al., 2010). Depending on the number of sites that are palmitoylated, this may affect the mobility of E molecules. If E plays a role in membrane curvature or in scission, lateral movement of the protein in membranes at the site of assembly could facilitate the budding process. This might also contribute to the range of mobilities observed in this study.

Fluorescently labeled SARS-CoV structural proteins were also expressed previously to study VLP production and trafficking in live cells (Siu et al., 2008). VLPs were assembled with chimeric N and S proteins containing a fluorescent protein (cyan – eCFP or green – eGFP) tag fused at their carboxy termini, but similarly tagged M protein could not be incorporated. Data was not shown, but the authors indicated that the E protein did not tolerate addition of the large fluorescent protein tags (Siu et al., 2008). Our study highlights the potential utility and tolerance of the smaller TC tag since we were able to generate a recombinant virus with the tag fused to the carboxy end of E. The recombinant virus produced smaller plaques and reached titers of only 1 × 10⁶ PFU/ml compared with wild-type MHV A59 that generally yields titers higher resolution views of ultrastructural changes that occur during infection as a result of excess protein self-aggregation (Raamsman et al., 2000). During the time frame in which our CLEM are induced by E protein expression late during coronavirus infection (Raamsman et al., 2000). The latter are thought to be equivalent to previously described smooth tubular membranous structures that contain an active H⁺ ATPase that has been suggested to be possibly other roles during infection from its position in the internal membranes. As these membranes E may through its ion channel transport of cations directly influence the immediate microenvironment in the ERGIC lumen by alteration of Na⁺ or K⁺ or other ion concentrations that could in turn result in luminal pH changes that are balanced by activation of vacuolar H⁺ ATPase. The ERGIC does contain an active H⁺ ATPase that has been suggested to be possibly involved in directional transport and concentration of cargo molecules (Ying et al., 2000). A second, previously suggested, possibility is that E plays a role in helping mediate membrane curvature or scission (Vennema et al., 1996). Influenza M2, a well characterized multifunctional small integral membrane protein with proton-selective ion channel activity, plays a significant role in entry during infection, but it is also involved in virus assembly (Chen et al., 2008; Iwatsuki-Horimoto et al., 2006; McCown and Pekosz, 2006; Pinto and Lamb, 2006). Recently M2 was shown to mediate membrane curvature and scission during budding (Rossman et al., 2010). Coronavirus E proteins could play a similar role. Thirdly, coronavirus E proteins may through interactions with host proteins facilitate virus budding or vesicular transport. A large number of host proteins copurify with SARS virions and recently host and viral proteins that interact with E were identified (Alvarez et al., 2010; Neuman et al., 2008; Teoh et al., 2010). Finally, E ion channel activity at internal membranes may result in signaling that activates ion channel activity at the cell surface. While the latter is only speculative at this point, measurement of ion channel activity at the surface of cells expressing SARS-CoV E might reflect a response to such signaling and while such activity might be less likely to play a role in virus assembly and transport of LVCVs, such activity could impact a role of the protein as a virulence factor during infection (DeDiego et al., 2007, 2008; Pervushin et al., 2009; Teoh et al., 2010). SARS-CoV lacking the E gene is attenuated in animal models, thus the protein, at least for this virus, is a virulence factor (DeDiego et al., 2007, 2008; Netland et al., 2010). Recent results indicate that ion channel activity is an important contributor to virulence (DeDiego et al., 2014; Nieto-Torres et al., 2011). Thus, there are a large number of potential functions for the E protein which makes it an attractive target for antiviral therapeutic development.

Evidence for disruption of protein trafficking by overexpression of IBV E or during MHV infection has been described (Ruch and Machamer, 2011, 2012b). It is clear that E protein residence in the ERGIC/Golgi region impacts the local cellular environment, which may be linked to possibly multiple roles that it plays in virus assembly/release, as well as pathogenesis. The ability to monitor E directly in live cells and to extend this to ultrastructural studies using CLEM should expand the opportunities to analyze interactions between the protein and the cell during infection to help increase our understanding of its role(s) and mechanistically how it functions.

Materials and methods

Cells and viruses

Mouse 17 clone 1 (17Cl1) and L2, baby hamster kidney (BHK-21) and human 293T cells were maintained as previously described (Arndt et al., 2010). Wild type (WT) and recombinant MHV A59 virus stocks were grown in 17Cl1 cells at specified multiplicities of infection (MOI) and virus titers were determined in L2 cells.

Generation of TC-tagged E proteins

MHV E and tagged forms of the gene were expressed in the pCAGGS vector under the control of the chicken β-actin promoter
as described previously (Lopez et al., 2008), pCAGGS E-TC was constructed by PCR amplification using pCAGGS E as the template and appropriate primers that included the coding sequence for the tetracysteine tag (CCPGCC) and two preceding codons for alanine and serine.

Construction of recombinant MHV with TC tagged E

Recombinant MHV E-TC was made by reverse genetics using a MHV A59 clone (Yount et al., 2002). The coding sequence for WT E and serine tetracysteine tag (CCPGCC) and two preceding codons for alanine was replaced in the G clone with TC-tagged E as described above (Verma et al., 2006). Viruses were recovered, plaque purified, passaged and stability of the TC tag was confirmed after at least five passages.

Immunofluorescence

Mouse 17C11, hamster BHK-21 or human 293T cells were plated either on Nunc Lab-Tek chamber slides or coverslips (No. 1.5) in twofold plates 1 day prior to use. Cells were infected at the specified MOIs or transfected using TransIT-LT1 transfection reagent (MirusBio LLC, Madison, WI). At the specified times cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed with 100% methanol for 15 min at −20 °C for internal staining. Cells were washed with PBS and blocked with 0.2% gelatin in PBS for 1 h at room temperature (RT) or overnight at 4 °C. For surface staining cells were washed two times with PBS and fixed in freshly prepared 3% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 min at RT, followed by quenching with 10 mM glycine for 15 min. Cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 3 min for parallel internal staining, followed by washing and blocking with gelatin as described above. For digoxin permeabilization, cell chamber slides were placed on ice and rinsed in KHMBuffer containing 110 mM potassium acetate, 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.2) and 2 mM magnesium acetate. Cells were permeabilized with 25 μg/ml of digoxin in KHMBuffer on ice for 5 min, followed by two washes in PBS. Cells were fixed in 3% paraformaldehyde and quenched as described above.

Cells were incubated with appropriate primary antibodies for 2 h at RT, washed multiple times with 0.2% gelatin in PBS before incubation with AlexaFlour-labeled secondary antibodies (Invitrogen). Cells were washed several times in PBS containing 0.2% gelatin, once with PBS alone and mounted in ProLong Gold anti-fade reagent (Invitrogen). In most cases nuclei were stained with 4,6-diamino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) prior to mounting. Images were viewed using an epifluorescence Nikon inverted microscope (Nikon Inc., Melville, NY) with MetaMorph imaging software (Universal Imaging Corporation, Downingtown, PA). Image processing was performed using Adobe Photoshop. Laser scanning confocal microscopy was done using the Zeiss LSM 510 META microscope and software (Carl Zeiss, Inc., Thornwood, NY). Images were processed using Image J software (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/).

Primary antibodies used in this study included rabbit polyclonal 9410 generated in the Hogue Lab against the carboxyl terminal 21 amino acids of MHV E coupled to keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH). Mouse monoclonals J13 and 2.7 were previously described (Fleming et al., 1989) and polyclonal antibody A04 against MHV S was kindly provided by Kathryn Holmes, University of Colorado Health Sciences. Cells were processed for dual-label immunofluorescence detection with E using protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) (Alexis), ERGIC-53 (Alexis), or mannoseidase II (Mann-II) (Covance), as ER, ERGIC, and Golgi markers, respectively. Monoclonal antibody StrepMAB-Classic (IBA) was used to detect the Strep tag appended to the E protein.

Biotinylation of surface proteins

Mouse 17C11 cells were plated on 60 mm cell culture dishes (BD Biosciences) 1 day prior to use. Cells were infected at a MOI of 0.1. At 8, 12 and 16 h.p.i. the media were aspirated and cells were washed twice in PBS. Cells were incubated on ice with 1 mg/ml biotin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 1 h. Cells were washed twice in PBS and biotin was quenched with 50 mM glycine in PBS for 5 min. Cells were lysed in biotinylation lysis buffer containing 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.2), 0.2% NP-40, 150 mM NaCl containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) at 0 °C for 10 min. Lysates were clarified at 16,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C. Streptavidin agarose resin (ThermoFisher Scientific) was equilibrated in biotinylation lysis buffer and added to the cell lysate. Binding was carried out at 4 °C with constant rotation. The Streptavidin agarose resin was pelleted at 4000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C. Biotinylated surface proteins were eluted from the agarose resin in Laemmli sample buffer by heating at 100 °C. 10% of the intracellular fraction and 40% of the surface fraction were loaded onto SDS-PAGE gels and subsequently analyzed by Western blotting.

Live cell imaging

Mouse 17C11 cells were grown in glass bottom 35 mm dishes (MatTek) and subsequently infected at a MOI of 0.1 with MHV E-TC virus. At 6 h.p.i. cells were washed in serum free Opti-MEM and labeled with 200 nM Lumio™ Green (FlAsH™ reagent) (Invitrogen) in Opti-MEM for 30 min at 37 °C in the presence of CO2. Cells were washed thoroughly with Opti-MEM, refed with 1X MEM without phenol red, plus 200 nM disperse blue for background reduction and allowed to recover for 30 min at 37 °C. Cells were monitored and imaged using a Zeiss LSM 510 META Confocal Microscope with the 488 nm laser at 5% power in a humidified chamber supplied with CO2 and equipped with a heated stage and objective. Images were captured every 10 min over a 3 h 20 min timecourse with Z-sections of 0.5 μm.

Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP)

Mouse 17C11 cells were grown in glass bottom 35 mm dishes (MatTek) and infected with MHV E-TC virus at a MOI of 0.1 pfu/ cell. Cells were stained with 200 nM Lumio™ Green (FlAsH™) reagent (Invitrogen) and imaged as described above. Individual cells were selected for FRAP analysis. Selected regions were photobleached with a 488 nm laser at 100% power and recovery was measured every 5 s for 5 min. Initial signal intensity was set at 100% and signal recovery was calculated accordingly. Mobility fraction was calculated as the percentage of fluorescence recovery from what was measured immediately following photobleaching.

Correlative light electron microscopy (CLEM)

Mouse 17C11 cells were grown on 35 mm grided glass bottom dishes (MatTek). Cells were infected with MHV E-TC virus at a MOI of 0.5 pfu/cell. At 8 h.p.i. cells were washed twice with Opti-MEM and labeled with 200 nM Lumio™ Red (ReAsH™ reagent) (Invitrogen) in Opti-MEM. Cells were incubated for 30 min in the presence of CO2. After washing, cells were refed with Opti-MEM containing 0.5 μM 2,3-dimercapto-1-propanol plus 20 mM DTT and incubated in the presence of CO2 for an additional 30 min. Cells were washed to remove all traces of DTT and refed with 1 ml of 1:1 DMEM:Opti-MEM containing 2.5% fetal calf serum. Cell images were collected using a Zeiss LMS 510 META Confocal Microscope.
For photoconversion after labeling with Lumio™ Red, cells were fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde in 100 mM sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C. Cells were rinsed in 100 mM sodium cacodylate buffer and treated for 5 min with blocking buffer (100 mM sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) supplemented with 10 mM potassium cyanide, 10 mM aminotriazole, 0.01% hydrogen peroxide and 50 mM glycine). After rinsing with blocking buffer a solution of 1 mg/ml diaminobenzidine in 100 mM sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) was added to cells. Photoconversion was performed using intense illumination (75 W xenon lamp without neutral density filters) focused through the 10 × microscope objective. Cells of interest for further electron microscopy analysis were identified by their location on the gridded coverslips.

Cells were washed with 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) before being fixed with a mixture of 1% osmium tetroxide and 0.8% potassium ferricyanide in distilled water for 1 h at 4 °C. Cells were stained with 2% uranyl acetate in water for 1 h and dehydrated through a series of increasing concentrations of acetone for 10 min each at RT. Resin embedding with epoxy TAAB 812 (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) was carried out by increasing the resin to acetone ratio from 25% to 100% and polymerization at 60 °C for 48 h. Previously selected cells were sectioned at 70 nm and collected on formvar coated copper grids. Images were collected on a Philips 80 kV STEM microscope and processed using Image J software (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/).
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