Constraint-free wavelength conversion supported by giant optical refraction in a 3D perovskite supercrystal
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Nonlinear response in a material increases with its index of refraction as \( n^4 \). Commonly, \( n = 1 \) so that diffraction, dispersion, and chromatic walk-off limit nonlinear scattering. Ferroelectric crystals with a periodic 3D polarization structure overcome some of these constraints through versatile Cherenkov and quasi-phase-matching mechanisms. Three-dimensional self-structuring can also lead to a giant optical refraction. Here, we perform second-harmonic-generation experiments in KTN:Li in conditions of giant broadband refraction. Enhanced response causes wavelength conversion to occur in the form of bulk Cherenkov radiation without diffraction and chromatic walk-off, even in the presence of strong wave-vector mismatch and highly focused beams. The process occurs with a wide spectral acceptance of more than 100 nm in the near infrared spectrum, an ultra-wide angular acceptance of up to ±40°, with no polarization selectivity, and can be tuned to allow bulk supercontinuum generation. Results pave the way to highly efficient and adaptable nonlinear optical devices with the promise of single-photon-to-single-photon nonlinear optics.
Frequency conversion and parametric amplification are fundamental ingredients for a wide family of applications, including light sources, detection, optical processing, and quantum-state generation\(^1\). For quantum technology, a versatile and super-efficient nonlinear process is the key to photon-based quantum computing\(^2\)\(^-\)\(^7\). In most schemes, optical nonlinearity can only be effectively harnessed when the coupling mechanism is driven by a cumulative wave interaction based on constructive interference. This imposes specific constraints on the available conversion schemes, so-called phase-matching conditions that depend both on the polarization, wavelength, and direction of propagation of the interacting waves and on the specific nonlinear susceptibility of the medium\(^1\).

These constraints can be overcome in engineered ferroelectric crystals with a full three-dimensional (3D) periodic spontaneous polarization distribution through quasi-phase-matching\(^8\)\(^-\)\(^12\) and Cherenkov phase-matching\(^13\)\(^-\)\(^20\). 3D lattices of spontaneous polarization also occur naturally in nanodisordered ferroelectrics in the form of supercrystals\(^21\), in which case the highly ordered domain mosaic also leads to giant broadband optical refraction\(^22\). This has a direct effect on nonlinear scattering. Considering material polarization \(P\) in terms of the Taylor series expansion in the propagating optical field \(E_{\text{opt}}\), i.e., \(P = \varepsilon_0 \chi^{(1)} E_{\text{opt}} + \chi^{(2)} E_{\text{opt}}^2 + \ldots\)\(^{1,23}\), the first term describes linear response through the first-order susceptibility \(\chi^{(1)} = n^2 - 1\), while higher-order terms describe nonlinear effects. The validity of the expansion implies \(\chi^{(m+1)}/\chi^{(m)} = 1/\varepsilon_{\text{at}}\), where \(\varepsilon_{\text{at}}\) is the scale of the atomic electric field of the substance. The intensity of an arbitrary allowed nonlinear scattering process scales with \(\chi^{(m)} E_{\text{opt}}^m \sim (\chi^{(1)} E_{\text{opt}})^m (\chi^{(2)}/n^2)^m\), and the intensity of any higher-order scattering process scales with \(n^4\) (ref. 1). In these terms giant refraction, i.e., an index of refraction \(n > 1\) across the visible and near infrared spectrum, forms a direct route to strongly enhanced nonlinear response.

Here we investigate second-harmonic generation (SHG) in a ferroelectric supercrystal manifesting giant refraction. Enhanced response allows the process to occur through bulk nonlinear Cherenkov radiation even for highly focused non-phase-matched beams, a method to achieve constraint-free wavelength conversion.

Results and discussion

Giant refraction Cherenkov SHG. In the paradigm nonlinear optical process, SHG is generated at wavelength \(\lambda/2\) (and angular frequency \(2\omega\)) by the anharmonic response of dipoles driven by the pump at wavelength \(\lambda\)\(^3\). The process occurs most efficiently when the converted signal interferes constructively with the pump itself, a phase-matching condition that embodies momentum conservation for the interaction. For any given material, dispersion causes phase-matching to occur naturally in the direction of the pump only for converted light (signal beam) whose wavevector \(k_{\text{sw}}\) forms a finite angle \(\theta_{\text{cs}}\) relative to the pump itself \(k_{\text{p}}\). This leads to wavelength-dependent constraints on the process geometry while the wavevector mismatch \(\Delta k = k_{\text{sw}} - 2k_{\text{p}}\) is accompanied by chromatic walk-off (see Fig. 1a, top panels). Collinear phase-matching (\(\Delta k = 0\)) can, in turn, be achieved using material birefringence, which introduces wavelength and polarization constraints\(^1\), and quasi-phase-matching, which requires periodic material microstructuring and is also wavelength-selective\(^25\)\(^-\)\(^26\). With \(n > 1\), the angle at which Cherenkov phase-matching occurs is greatly reduced (\(\theta_{\text{cs}} \approx 0\)) so that chromatic walk-off does not intervene (see “Giant refraction Cherenkov phase-matching” in “Methods” and in Fig. 1a (bottom panels)).

The specific geometrical structure of Cherenkov SHG combined with giant refraction is illustrated in Fig. 1b. The pump propagates inside the sample along the normal to its input facet irrespective of launch angle \(\theta_{\text{i}}\) (left panels) and the Cherenkov SHG copropagates with the pump inside the sample (\(\theta_{\text{cs}} \approx 0\), central panels). At the output facet the pump and signal separate at a now finite angle \(\theta_{\text{cs}}\), as illustrated for the two cases of transverse electric (TE) and transverse magnetic (TM) polarizations (central and right panels, respectively). For the TE case, while the pump exits with an angle to the normal \(\theta_{\text{p}}\), the Cherenkov SHG forms two beams aligned with respect to the pump by \(\theta_{\text{cs}} \neq \theta_{\text{p}}\), with the same polarization as the pump and on the incidence plane (the \(xz\) plane). In turn, for the TM case, the SHG Cherenkov radiation separates at the output in the orthogonal plane (the \(yz\) plane) (see “Giant refraction Cherenkov SHG” in “Methods”).

We perform experiments in two samples of nanodisordered oxide ferroelectric KTN:Li perovskite (see “Materials” section in “Methods”). These manifest giant refraction, with record-high broadband index of refraction \((n > 26)\) at visible wavelengths. The effect is associated to the emergence of an underlying supercrystal\(^1\). Each lattice site of the supercrystal is the core of a periodic 3D vortex and anti-vortex structure, a mesh of spontaneous polarization that forms below the Curie point (see “Supercrystal preparation” section in “Methods”). Typical broadband giant refraction for sample 1 is reported in Fig. 1c, d (see “Giant refraction experiments” section in “Methods”). In Figs. 1e, f we illustrate the scheme used to investigate SHG using 190 fs pulses from a mode-locked Ti:Sa source (see “SHG setup” section in “Methods”). In Fig. 1g we report output SHG power versus pump input power. The observed scaling \(P_{\text{sw}} \propto (P_{\text{sw}})^2 L_z^{-2}\), where \(L_z\) is the length of the sample in the \(z\) direction, is reminiscent of the undepleted pump regime of standard SHG\(^1,23\). The \(P_{\text{sw}}/P_{\text{p}}\) ratio is independent of input polarization, and output polarization is found to coincide with the input. In Fig. 1h we report SHG conversion varying the pump wavelength in the available pump spectrum (see “Acceptance” section in “Methods”). As reported in Fig. 1i, SHG conversion is observed for all accessible input angles \(\theta_{\text{p}} (= \theta_{\text{cs}})\), indicating that the conversion occurs also with no input angular acceptance (see “Acceptance” section in “Methods”).

An underlying 3D nonlinear lattice. Wavelength conversion is mediated by the second-order nonlinear susceptibility response \(\chi^{(2)}\) of the KTN:Li perovskite in its noncentrosymmetric tetragonal 4 mm state. In distinction to single-domain or to quasi-phase-matching schemes, the nonlinear process is mediated by a supercrystal with its specific 3D geometry, giant refraction, and underlying ferroelectric domain structure\(^17,27\). Hence, while giant refraction causes conversion efficiency to be essentially independent of polarization, input angle, and wavelength, the details of the SHG output strongly depend on input parameters and the supercrystal structure. As illustrated in Fig. 2a, the structure of the 3D supercrystal is a volume lattice of 3D polarization vortices that emerge as the cubic symmetry is broken and polarization charge is screened\(^21,22\). The supercrystal forms from the periodic compositional disorder along the growth direction (the \(a\)-axis). Each domain has its spontaneous polarization along one of the six principal directions (the direction of the spontaneous polarization is labeled using different colors, see white arrows and colored solids in Fig. 2a). In each domain (of a given color), the corresponding nonlinear susceptibility tensor \(d\) depends on its orientation. Consider now the pump focused into a vortex site on the \(a, b\) facet of the supercrystal (Fig. 2b, c). For a TM polarization, most of the component solids lead to a net zero \(\chi^{(2)}\) effect, as light...
Fig. 1 Giant refraction Cherenkov second-harmonic generation. **a** For $n-1$, a finite Cherenkov phase-matching $\theta_{\text{ch}}$ leads to a limited beam interaction region associated to a finite beam width and chromatic walk-off. For $n \gg 1$, chromatic walk-off $\theta_{\text{ch}} \approx 0$, so that the interaction region is expanded. **b** Geometry of giant refraction SHG for the TE and TM cases (see “Giant refraction Cherenkov SHG” section in “Methods”). **c** Giant refraction is observed in a nanodisordered KTN:Li crystal cooled 15 K below the $T_C = 313$ K Curie point using a white light from a commercial projector, leading to a signature achromatic propagation orthogonal to the input facet (along $z$) irrespective of the launch direction $\theta$ (and launch angle $\theta_\omega$) with diffraction only occurring as the beam leaves the sample (see “Giant refraction experiments” section in “Methods”). **d** Top view of basic evidence of giant refraction for white light propagation in KTN:Li. **e, f** SHG is observed using a mode-locked Ti:sapphire laser (see “SHG setup” section in “Methods”). **g** Average output SHG power versus pump input power along two different lengths of one sample (sample 1). Conversion scales with $P_o^2$ and with $L_{\text{eff}}^2$ as would occur for bulk SHG conversion. **h** Super-broad SHG (h) wavelength and (i) angular acceptance (see “Acceptance” section in “Methods”).

experiences a sequence of oppositely polarized tetrahedrals. The tetrahedrals that dominate $\chi^{(2)}$ response are those with a spontaneous polarization in the $a$ direction, if light propagates along the $c$ direction shifted in the $b$ direction above and below a single polarization vortex. Here conversion occurs through a sequence of solids with identically oriented polarization (see Fig. 2b). In the TE case, the situation is analogous, but the SHG signal is now produced for light propagating in the $c$ direction in regions shifted in the $a$ direction in proximity of the vortex (see Fig. 2c). Focusing the pump on the $ab$ facet into a polarization vortex leads to the output intensity distribution reported in Fig. 2d–f. For a $\lambda = 810$ nm pump beam polarized at $45^\circ$ with respect to the crystal $a$ and $b$ axes, a signature SHG Cherenkov output peaked at $\lambda/2 = 405$ nm is detected formed by two TE components in the $a$ direction and two TM components in the $b$ direction, the pump beam being at the center of this diamond-like distribution (Fig. 2d). For a TE pump, two TE components in the $a$ direction are dominant (Fig. 2e), while for a TM pump, two TM components along the $b$-axis form (Fig. 2f). Similar results are observed in both samples 1 and 2. An illustration of the SHG experiments for a pump focused into a single polarization vortex in the $ab$ facet and into an anti-vortex both in the $bc$ and $ac$ facets is reported in Fig. 2g. The situation for a pump focused onto the $ac$ facet is reported in Fig. 2h. Here, only the $b$ oriented ferroelectric tetrahedrals can contribute to giant refraction Cherenkov SHG, and this only for the TM polarization, a condition that is achieved focusing the pump on an anti-vortex as opposed to a vortex. The output structure preserves the TM polarization and has a greatly enhanced output angular spectrum that no longer manifests localized peaks. A similar situation occurs also for light focused onto the $bc$ facet, as reported in Fig. 2i, where the output SHG is emitted at all available angles (see Fig. 2i inset photographs). The observed SHG follows the basic giant refraction SHG Cherenkov mechanism illustrated in Fig. 1b (see “Cherenkov SHG experiment section” in “Methods”).

Results on Cherenkov SHG reported in Fig. 2, these including conversion for light propagating along all three principal crystal axes, provide a nonlinear corroboration of evidence of a 3D
ferroelectric lattice associated to transmission microscopy and polarization transmission microscopy. They also provide, through a direct measurement of $\theta_C$, an estimate of the supercrystal $\Delta n_{2w} = (\sin \theta_C)^3$ (see “Cherenkov SHG experiment” section in methods). In the case of Fig. 2b, $2\Delta n_{2w} \approx 0.08$. Snell refraction experiments in this direction provide $n_{2w} > 26$, so that we expect a $\Delta n < 0.001$, corresponding to an ultra-low approximate dispersion of $dn/d\lambda < -0.002 \mu m^{-1}$. The prediction fits in well with our understanding of the supercrystal phase, for which chromatic dispersion is expected to be strongly reduced. To investigate this further we directly measured supercrystal chromatic dispersion using group-velocity dispersion for $T > T_C$, where no supercrystal forms, and $T < T_C$ where the supercrystal forms. Results are reported in Fig. 3a. As expected, the onset of the
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**Fig. 2 SHG in a supercrystal.** a Illustration of a specific realization of a supercrystal. The spontaneous polarization, the white arrows, determines the specific $\chi^{(2)}$ response of each composing tetrahedral (a color coding is implemented). The actual structure of a fundamental $\Lambda \times \Lambda \times \Lambda$ cube is illustrated through a sequential build-up adding groups of tetrahedral domains. The lattice constant $\Lambda (\approx 50 \mu m)$ is fixed by the built sample growth process (see “Materials” section in “Methods”). The supercrystal can have many different chiral realizations. The one illustrated here serves to explain the specific results found, relative to the crystal growth axis $a$. Indicated are the regions leading to strongest SHG, i.e., the core of polarization vortices in the $ab$ facet and the core of anti-vortices in the $ac$ and $bc$ facets. b, c Non-zero contributions to $\chi^{(2)}$ are illustrated for the TM (b) and TE cases (c). d-f Output spatial distribution and polarization distribution for a pump polarized at 45° (d), TE (e), and TM (f). g Schematic illustration of the experiments for a pump focused into a single polarization vortex in the $ab$ facet and into an anti-vortex both in the $bc$ and $ac$ facets. h SHG for a pump focused in an anti-vortex in the $ac$ facet. As illustrated in the inset, the only finite contribution to SHG is mediated by $b$ polarized domains through the $d_{15}$ component for TM. i SHG for a pump focused in an anti-vortex in the $bc$ facet. Results are analogous to the $ac$ facet, while here a full angle Cherenkov emission is clearly visible ($2\theta_C = \alpha$). Note how, in distinction to random-phase-matching, no lateral emission is observed, and all SHG is originating, as expected, solely from the output facet (see inset photographs).
Since giant refraction allows no diffraction or pump-signal walk-off, Cherenkov phase-matching will occur for all wavelengths. In turn, not all Cherenkov SHG can actually leave the output facet of the sample, as total internal reflection occurs for wavevectors that have an internal incidence angle $\theta_i > 1/n_m$ with the output facet. Hence, for a $\theta_i = 0$, $\theta_i = \theta_C = \arccos(n_i/n_m)$, a zero emitted SHG will result for $\arccos(n_i/n_m) > 1/n_m$. The effect can be appreciated recalling the full angle-integrated measurement reported in Fig. 1h (blue circles). For a given pump wavelength, the same effect will occur as a function of $\theta_i$: assuming the previously evaluated $\sqrt{2\Delta n n_m} \approx 0.28$, we expect to observe a total internal reflection for an input $|\theta_i| > 46^\circ$ (see “Total internal reflection” section in “Methods”). Measured values of $\theta_C(\lambda)$ are reported in Fig. 4b and are in agreement with chromatic dispersion results of Fig. 3a. In Fig. 4c we report SHG output, for a $\lambda = 810$ nm pump, for different pump launch angles, as in Fig. 1i, but distinguishing between the two Cherenkov components $+\theta_C$ (violet circles) and $-\theta_C$ (magenta circles). SHG suppression is observed for $|\theta_i| > 25^\circ$. Illustration of the geometry leading to SHG suppression caused by total internal reflection of the Cherenkov radiation is reported in Fig. 4d. Once again, the broad spectral and angular acceptance underline how the Cherenkov mechanism in action is not Bragg in nature nor does it relate to quasi-phase-matching.

Enhanced Fresnel reflection and extreme nonlinearity. The $n \gg 1$ regime leading to SHG (as discussed in Fig. 2) is accompanied by strong Fresnel reflection at the input and output facets. This does not allow a direct evaluation of enhanced wavelength conversion occurring inside the sample by detecting the converted light transmitted outside the sample. Fresnel reflection can be measured directly for the pump, that experiences a conventional $R \approx 0.2$, compatible with an average index of refraction ~2.6, as expected for light focused onto the vortex and anti-vortex dispersion measurement.

We compared supercrystal SHG in the two samples to identify possible growth and composition related effects. We found that sample 1 and 2 manifest the same geometrical behavior as regard to giant refraction and Cherenkov SHG, while their net SHG conversion efficiency is considerably different, as reported in Fig. 3b. This may be connected to the different values of Curie temperature and/or different values of $\Lambda$ (70 $\mu$m for sample 1 and 50 $\mu$m for sample 2). An estimate of the effective $J_{G \mu}$ is provided in the “$\Lambda$ G$\mu$ evaluation” section in “Methods”.

Spectral and angular acceptance. The angle at which Cherenkov phase-matching is achieved is wavelength-dependent ($\theta_C(\lambda)$). To characterize this we report in Fig. 4a measurements of spectral acceptance for a detector able to collect light only from a limited cone at two fixed angles $\theta_1$ (yellow dots) and $\theta_2$ (magenta dots). The result is a spectral bandwidth whose peak follows $\theta_C(\lambda)$ and whose width is in agreement with the angular acceptance (see “Angular versus wavelength acceptance” section in “Methods”).
Conclusions
The regime forwards a wide range of hereto unobserved and highly versatile nonlinear effects that side other pioneering experiments, such as mismatch-free nonlinear propagation in zero-index materials. In this paper we have reported our investigation of SHG in conditions of giant refraction. The converted light appears in the form of Cherenkov radiation even in the presence of phase-mismatch. This reduces constraints on launch angle, a feature that can considerably mitigate alignment requirements in nonlinear-based light sources. Furthermore, the SHG manifests increased tolerances in wavelength and polarization, a property that can be implemented to support multiple simultaneous nonlinear processes, with specific impact, for example, in the conversion of infrared images to the visible spectrum.

Methods
Giant refraction Cherenkov phase-matching. In a material with giant broadband refraction, \( n_{\infty} n_{2\omega} \gg 1 \). At the sample input facet the plane-wave components of the pump refract according to the Snell’s law \( \theta_r = \arcsin \left( \frac{\sin \theta_i}{n_{\omega}} \right) \), where \( \theta_i \) and \( \theta_r \) are the incidence and refraction angle. Cherenkov phase-matching occurs for SHG wavevectors at an angle relative to the pump \( \theta_0^C = \arccos \left( \frac{n_{\omega}}{n_{2\omega}} \right) \), insomuch that \( \Delta n = (n_{2\omega} - n_{\omega})/n_{\omega} \ll 1 \), even for a finite \( n_{2\omega} - n_{\omega} \).

Giant refraction Cherenkov SHG. SHG polarization is \( P_{2\omega} = d_{ij} E_\omega E_i^* \), where \( E_\omega \) is the pump field and \( d_{ij} \) is the nonlinear optical susceptibility tensor, that has non-zero components \( d_{31}, d_{33}, \) and \( d_{15} \) for the tetragonal 4mm symmetry of KTN:Li.

Fig. 4 Cherenkov spectral and angular acceptance. a Spectral acceptance for a detector placed at two fixed angles (yellow and magenta circles) compared to the super-broad spectral acceptance capturing all emitted light (blue circles). b Observed Cherenkov angle versus wavelength. c Angular acceptance considering the two TE Cherenkov radiation beams separately (magenta and violet circles). d Illustration of the geometry leading to SHG suppression caused by total internal reflection of the Cherenkov radiation.

Fig. 5 Strong SHG conversion versus limited net conversion efficiency. a, b Top view of pump and SHG signal scattered light (\( T = T_C - 35 \) K, sample 2). c Scattered light from the body of sample 2 of SHG signal, almost constant for \( T < T_C \) from the input facet of the sample to the output facet, while disappears when the sample is heated above the Curie point \( T_C \). d Analysis of the scattered light versus propagation distance in the sample for different temperatures indicates a characteristic absence of propagation dynamics for the ferroelectric case (\( T = T_C - 35 \) K, magenta full circles), for the Curie temperature on heating from the ferroelectric phase (\( T_C \), heating from \( T_C - 35 \) K to \( T_C \)), and on cooling from the paraelectric phase (\( T_C^* \), cooling from \( T_C + 10 \) K to \( T_C \)). e Evidence of supercontinuum generation (see “Supercontinuum generation” section in “Methods”). For further details see Video in Supplementary Movie. f Spectrum and multispectral images (~10 nJ/pulse pump at input).
Considering the TE case for a spontaneous polarization parallel to the optical polarization (along the y-axis), \( P_{1y} = d_{33} E_{0y}^2 \). The emitted Cherenkov radiation then must have a \( k_{zz} \) in a plane orthogonal to \( P_{1y} \), i.e., in the incidence plane (\( xz \) plane). An estimated value of the emission is 290 nm, in agreement with the TM case, in which, for a spontaneous polarization parallel to the optical polarization (along the \( x \) axis), the non-polarization is dominated by the \( x \) component \( P_{2x} = d_{31} E_{0x}^2 \), so that Cherenkov SHG occurs in the \( yz \) plane (right panels in Fig. 1b). In the TM case, an SHG contribution arises also for a domain with a spontaneous polarization along the \( z \)-axis, i.e., \( P_{1z} = 2d_{22} E_{0z}^2 \) and \( P_{2z} = d_{31} E_{0x}^2 + d_{33} E_{0z}^2 \). The emitted Cherenkov SHG will then be TM polarized and have a \( k_{xx} \) orthogonal to \( P_{1y} \) in the incidence plane \( xz \) (i.e., along the y-axis). This situation is particularly relevant for results reported in Fig. 2h, i in this case the spontaneous polarization is oriented orthogonal to the input facet, so that while the pump is prevalently experiencing a standard index of refraction \( n_{s} \), the SHG is dominated by \( d_{13} \) and has a stronger component along the direction of spontaneous polarization. The result then is that \( n_{r}n_{s} \theta_{f} < 1 \), so that \( \theta_{f} \) inside the sample remains finite, while all waves still have their Poynting vectors along the normal to the input facet (giant refraction). The angular spectrum of the focused pump can then populate, in a continuous manner, a wide angle of SHG emission around the pump average propagation direction that, on output, can even occupy the entire angular spectrum (29 = ± 2π, \( \pi \)).

Materials: The two samples (sample 1 and sample 2) are zero-cut polished lithium-enriched solid solutions of potassium-tantalate-niobate (KTN-1l). They have the same composition \( K_{0.73}Ta_{0.66}Nb_{0.34}O_{3} \) as reported in the literature, with a transmission of \( 2 \) cm above the sample. Sample 1 measures along its three axes \( 6.62(\pm 0.03) \times 3.86(\pm 0.03) \times 1.63(\pm 0.03) \) mm while sample 2 is \( 6.69(\pm 0.03) \times 3.86(\pm 0.03) \times 1.63(\pm 0.03) \) mm. The sample forms perovskites with room-temperature cubic to-tetragonal (m3m to 4mm) ferroelectric phase-transition temperatures \( T_{C,2} \approx 333 \) and \( T_{C,1} \approx 315 \) K and \( T_{C,0} = 298 \) K. Both are grown through the seed-to-seed method that causes them to have a built-in spatially periodic oscillation in composition along the growth axis (the \( x \)-axis) that translates into an approximately periodic \( x = 30 \mu \text{m} \) grating (for sample 2) that then determines the lattice constant of the underlying supercrystal [21].

Supercrystal preparation: Each sample, initially equilibrated at \( T = 298 \) K and unbiased, is heated to \( 373 \) K at a rate of \( 0.6 \) K/min and is DC-biased by an electric field that increases at a constant rate from 0 to 4 kV cm\(^{-1}\) at a rate of \( 0.35 \) K/s immediately followed by a second cooling stage to \( T = 35 \) K at a rate of \( 0.1 \) K/s. Once the thermal protocol is completed, each sample is used for optical experiments at a given temperature \( T \leq T_{C} \).

Giant refraction experiments: The sample is cooled using a current-controlled Peltier junction to \( T = 35 \) K and rotated by a tunable angle \( \theta_{b} \) with respect to the optical polarization axis \( z' \) (see scheme illustrated in Fig. 1c). Light is collected from a commercial projector (NEC-VE281X, XGA, 2800 lumens) polarized using a linear polarization filter and focused into the input facet of the sample using a high-aperture long-working distance microscope objective (Edmund Optics, 100×, 3-mm working distance, achromatic, NA = 0.83) positioned ±30 cm from the output lens of the projector. The top-view image in Fig. 1d is taken using an Apple iPhone7. Top-view scattered light from within the sample and from the lower metallic support indicates strong refraction from the input facet and a non-spreading propagation inside the sample normal to the input facet irrespective of wavelength, \( \theta_{b} \), and launching polarization, and a regular diffraction of the beam exiting the sample, as expected for giant refraction.

SHG setup: SHG experiments (see scheme and photo of apparatus in Fig. 1c) are carried out in the 790–880 nm range using a Tsvasta Spectra Physics Ti:sapphire CR mode-locked laser (maximum output power of 0.66 W at \( \lambda = 810 \pm 7 \) nm), with a repetition rate of 80 MHz and a pulsewidth of 190 fs. Laser beam linear polarization, TM or TE, or a superposition of the two, is set using a 1/2 waveplate. The beam is focused onto the input facet of the \( \theta_{b} \)-rotated sample using a 50-mm-focal-length lens. The pump beam is focused to an input FWHM ≈ 15 μm. The SHG patterns are detected on a white screen placed at \( d = 7.0 \) cm from the output facet of the sample using a Canon EOS 50D. SHG power \( P_{\text{SHG}} \) is measured in Fig. 1g (and Fig. 3b) filtering and focusing converted light onto a power meter for a TM pump (and SHG).

Acceptance: Spectral acceptance is reported for \( \theta_{b} = 0 \) in arbitrary power units \( P_{\text{SHG}} \), normalized to the peak spectral value. Since each measurement at different wavelengths is carried out with different pump power, the output signal is rescaled, i.e., divided by the input power squared. Angular acceptance is evaluated for a 810 nm input and for all accessible launch angles. In both spectral and angular acceptance experiments, output SHG is collected by a lens and focused onto a power meter. That note on consequence of giant refraction, the effective propagation length in the sample is launch-angle independent and equal to the length in the sample in the propagation direction (see Fig. 1b). Wavelength dependence, due to the Fresnel reflection at output, is analyzed in Fig. 4.

Cherenkov SHG experiment: \( \cos^2(\theta_{f}) = (2k_{\text{ac}}/k_{p}) = n_{r}/n_{s} \). For normal dispersion \( n_{r}(\omega) > n_{s}(\omega) \), so that since \( 0 < \theta_{f} < \pi/2, \theta_{f} \approx \sqrt{\Delta n_{r}/n_{s}} \) outside the sample, the SHG \( \theta_{f} \) leads to an estimate of \( \Delta n_{r} = (\sin^2(\theta_{f}))/2(n_{s} \Delta \omega) \). For a pump focused on the sample facet in Fig. 1b, both for the TE and TM cases, the SH beams emerge in the \( x \)-\( \pi \) (i.e., ac) and \( y \)-\( \pi \) (i.e., bc) planes at an angle \( \theta_{f} \approx 0.28 \) rad with respect to the pump (for all accessible values of \( \theta_{b} \)). According to the Cherenkov model, this implies that \( \Delta n_{r} > 0.28 \) rad. For light focused on the \( x \)-\( \pi \) facet in Fig. 2b, both the TE and TM cases, the two SH beams emerge in the \( x \)-\( \pi \) (i.e., ac) and \( y \)-\( \pi \) (i.e., bc) planes at an angle \( \theta_{f} \approx 0.28 \) rad with respect to the pump (for all accessible values of \( \theta_{b} \)). According to the Cherenkov model, this implies that \( \Delta n_{r} > 0.28 \) rad.

Angular versus wavelength acceptance: To test this we maximized SHG efficiency, i.e., Cherenkov phase-matching is established for the specific pump wavelength \( \lambda \), and the SHG signal detector is placed so as to capture a single output diffraction-limited mode. As reported in Fig. 4a, changing the pump wavelength without altering the crystal and detector geometry leads to a relative spectral acceptance \( \Delta \lambda/\lambda_{c} \approx 0.047 \) that is in agreement with the input pump numerical aperture \( \Delta N A_{\text{in}} = 0.05 \).

Total internal reflection: Total internal reflection of the SHG signal occurs at the output facet when approximately \( \theta_{f} > 1/\mu_{s} \), with \( \mu_{s} = \sin(\theta_{b})/n_{s} \). Assuming that \( \theta_{f} = \sqrt{\Delta n_{r}/n_{s}} \), we have that total internal reflection occurs for \( \sin(\theta_{f}) > 1 - (1/\sqrt{\Delta n_{r}}) \). Taking the value of \( \Delta n_{r} = 0.28 \) gives \( \theta_{f} > 46^\circ \).

Supercontinuum generation: Experiments are carried out replacing the 50-mm lens by a 25 mm one. The pump is now focused in proximity of the input facet of sample 2 (Fig. 3e) and a characteristic white plume detected.

Data availability: The data that support the plots within this paper and other findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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