Application of close-range aerial infrared thermography to detect landfill gas emissions: a case study

G Tanda¹,²*, M Migliazzi³, V Chiarabini⁴ and P Cinquetti⁵

¹ DIME, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy 
² RIT4Waste Center – University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy 
³ GLOBI Hi-Tech Srl, Genoa, Italy 
⁴ KIM-RemoteSensing GmbH, Klagenfurt am Wörthersee, Austria 
⁵ AMIU, Genoa, Italy

*Corresponding Author. E-mail: giovanni.tanda@unige.it

Abstract. Monitoring waste disposal sites is important to check that the produced biogas, potentially explosive, is properly collected by the biogas extraction system of the landfill site and to evaluate the residual biogas flow escaping from upper surface of the landfill. As the biogas migrates to the surface, the soil through which it flows is expected to reach a higher temperature than the surrounding environment; thus, measuring the thermal footprint of the landfill soil surface could allow the detection of biogas leakages and spots suitable for the gas extraction. Close-range aerial infrared thermography is an innovative approach able to identify thermal anomalies with a good resolution over a large region of the landfill surface. A simple procedure to deduce the biogas flow rate emerging from the soil into the atmosphere, based on infrared thermography measurements, is presented. The approach has been applied to a case study concerning a large landfill located in Genoa (Italy). Aerial infrared photographs taken during different days and seasons showed the presence of thermal anomalies over regions along the peripheral boundary of the landfill still not interested in biogas extraction.

1. Introduction

Solid wastes collected in urban landfills contain a significant percentage of organic substances whose degradation produces a large amount of biogas that, due to its large methane content, is a precious energy source with high global warming potential. Few landfills are thought to recover more than 60% of the available gas [1]; the rest is allowed to escape to the atmosphere. Biogas leakages have a large impact on the environment even at a local scale, due to risks of explosions, odour, and adverse effects on human health and vegetation. The landfill biogas is a product of anaerobic digestion of organic compounds and this reaction is accompanied by release of heat. As the biogas migrates to the surface, the soil through which it flows is expected to reach a higher temperature than the surrounding environment. Measuring the thermal print of the landfill soil surface could allow the detection of biogas leakages and spots suitable for the gas extraction. In principle, the evaluation of the flow rate of the biogas emerging from the soil into the atmosphere can be deduced from a steady-state heat balance. The thermal power produced by digestion is dissipated to the environment by radiation, convection and conduction; thus, heat transfer dissipated to the environment is basically a function of heat transfer surface area and the soil surface temperature.

Infrared thermography (IRT) is an innovative diagnostic tool able to detect thermal anomalies on the landfill surface. It constitutes a non-invasive technique that registers the temperature distribution by means of a thermal camera that receives and processes the infrared radiation emitted from the target.
surface. A first review of application of IRT to monitor waste disposal sites has been provided by Lewis et al. [1], where most of the mentioned previous studies concerned the use of ground based infrared (IR) cameras. The IRT inspections of landfills as viewed from nearby sites have been recently documented in refs. [2–4]. The main limitation of a ground based monitoring system is that the target surface is viewed largely away from the normal direction. As known, the directional emissivity of dielectric materials tends to be markedly dependent upon the viewing angle (i.e. the angle between direction of emission and the normal to the emitting surface) when it exceeds about 60°, while a quite constant and relatively high emissivity is typically registered for angles less than about 60° [5]. Therefore, a ground based IR imaging could lead to a markedly increased uncertainty in the surface temperature measurements due to the large uncertainties in the ground directional emissivity.

Aircraft-mounted cameras improve the potential of the technique, since a large area, viewed with the sensor perpendicular to the target, can be monitored in a relatively short time [6–9]. Aerial IRT has its root in military applications but it can be successfully applied to many fields such as inspection of environment (to monitor sea, river and coastal pollution, waterways and drainage systems, forest fires, landfills, etc.), buildings (to detect roof moisture and heat losses), industrial sites (to identify leaks from heating systems or faults in electric distribution and transmission systems) and agriculture (to investigate physical transport phenomena between vegetation and atmosphere). Aerial infrared applications can be divided into two types: those where a straight-down view and/or a large area view is needed and those where long distances must be covered in a limited amount of time [7]. Both helicopters and light airplanes can be used to perform aerial infrared surveys. In the case of landfill surveys aerial IRT might miss small scale details (i.e., small biogas leaks) due to large distances from the target.

The use of unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) represents the added value to the thermographic inspection of waste disposal sites. In fact, UAVs permit to gather IR images with a viewing angle close to zero and to vary the scale of the imaging by properly arranging the distance between the UAV and the target (typically from 10 to 70 m) in order to provide an adequate resolution of the surface thermal map. The IR camera, mounted on UAVs, is typically synchronized with the position log of the UAV navigation system and with a built-in visual camera in order to obtain the precise thermal mapping of the landfill.

Applicability and limitations of IRT to detect gas leakages from landfills are examined in ref. [1]: generally speaking, results may be markedly affected by weather and soil conditions. Windy and warm days are usually not indicated as well as the presence of surface wetness or different types of ground materials; all these factors could give rise to anomalies without connections to landfill gas leakage or dilute the associated thermal anomaly. Conversely, night-time surveys reduce light condition influences while cloudy conditions mitigate the effect of the sky radiation. It is apparent that the variability of environmental conditions (e.g., air temperature and solar/sky radiation) and of the observed targets (e.g., modifications in the soil coverage and vegetation) makes quantitative IRT a non-trivial issue, requiring specific expertise by the user as well as an analysis performed in comparative terms (i.e., by looking at differences between targets with known radiometric properties and targets under study). Combination of different techniques, in order to get more elements for the interpretation and validation of results by cross-checking the information, is also advisable [3].

2. Monitoring of waste disposal sites

The digestion process to produce biogas is accompanied by a release of heat. This thermal power is dissipated to the environment by radiation, convection and conduction. Assuming for the sake of simplicity, steady-state conditions and neglecting thermal conduction, the energy balance is given by the following equation:

\[
Q = \sigma \varepsilon A (T_w^4 - T_{\text{sur}}^4) + h A (T_w - T_{\text{air}})
\]  

(1)

where \( T_w \) [K], \( T_{\text{sur}} \) [K] and \( T_{\text{air}} \) [K] are the temperatures of the soil surface, the surroundings, and the ambient air, respectively, \( \sigma = 5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}^4 \) is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, \( \varepsilon \) is the total hemispherical emissivity of the soil, \( h \) [W/m²-K] is the convective heat transfer coefficient and \( A \) [m²]
is the soil surface area. In equation (1), the first term on right-hand side represents the heat transfer rate from the soil surface to the surroundings by radiation (evaluated assuming an enclosure formed by a gray body at emissivity $\varepsilon$ surrounded by a very large surface), while the second term is the convective heat transfer rate from the soil surface to the environmental air. In order to identify the heat transfer rate associated with the biogas production, the surroundings and the ambient air temperatures can be replaced by the undisturbed soil temperature $T_{w,\text{ref}} [K]$, as follows:

$$Q = \sigma \varepsilon A (T_w^4 - T_{w,\text{ref}}^4) + h A (T_w - T_{w,\text{ref}})$$

(2)

Literature empirical equations, which take into account the effect of wind, can be used for the convective heat transfer coefficient $h$. For instance, the following equation, taken from ref.[10], can be assumed for $h$:

$$h = 5.7 + 3.8 V$$

(3)

where $V$ is the wind velocity [m/s].

As the soil emissivity $\varepsilon$ is a known parameter, typically assumed to be 0.9-0.95, the heat produced by digestion and dissipated to the environment is basically a function of heat transfer surface area $A$ and the soil surface temperatures $T_w$ and $T_{w,\text{ref}}$. Dividing $Q$ by the heat associated with the production of methane (about 7325 kJ / m$^3$, ref. [6]) leads to the estimation of the methane flow rate reaching the soil surface. The calculated methane flow rate from the above procedure is just a rough estimation due to the assumptions done in equation (1); weather conditions may induce not-steady surface temperature distributions, uneven material properties (surface emissivity and thermal conductivity) may affect the energy balance as well. Moreover, small gas leakages flowing through long pathways to reach the surface could not be recognized since insufficient to maintain the soil at a surface temperature relatively higher than that of the undisturbed soil.

2.1 Test case for validation

A simple experiment has been designed in order to validate the previously described procedure. An aluminum plate (150 mm × 110 mm, thickness 8 mm), connected to an electric plane heater on the bottom side, has been placed inside a large container (400 mm × 500 mm) made of 20mm-thick extruded polystyrene, as shown in figure 1. The container has then been filled with a 10mm-thick sand layer. Several fine-gauge thermocouples have been placed inside the heated plate and along the sand layer. Once electric power is delivered to the heater, the plate, at steady-state, attains a uniform temperature value, detected by thermocouples and controlled by the DC power supply. The surface temperature of the sand is then detected by an infrared camera (FLIR T335, 320 x 240 pixels) assuming a thermal emissivity $\varepsilon$ for sand of 0.95. Experiments were conducted in a laboratory room with ambient and wall temperatures equal to 26.5 ± 0.3 °C, without air currents ($V = 0$ m/s in equation 3). The delivered electric power, measured by means of a voltmeter and an amperometer, was adjusted in order to maintain a prescribed temperature difference between the plate and the ambient air.

A preliminary experiment has been conducted to evaluate the heat conduction losses from the container polystyrene walls. The sand layer facing the ambient air was covered by a 20mm-thick polystyrene layer in order to inhibit any convection and radiation heat transfer from the sand surface. At the steady-state, a thermal power of 1.6 W was dissipated by the heater to maintain a uniform temperature of 53°C over the aluminum plate (ambient air temperature equal to 26.5°C). Due to the symmetrical arrangement of the insulation layers, it was assumed that an equal quantity of 0.8 W has been dissipated by thermal conduction across the bottom and the top insulation layers. Once the top insulation layer was removed (thus allowing convective and radiant heat exchanges between the sand surface and the surroundings), the dissipated thermal power required to maintain the same temperature (53°C) over the heated plate was 5.1 W. If the conduction losses (0.8 W) through the bottom insulation layer are subtracted, the convective and radiant heat transfer rate $Q_{\text{meas}}$ from the sand surface to the surrounding was measured to be 4.3 W.
Figure 2 displays the thermal map of the sand surface under the above described conditions. Two different areas have been identified: the larger one (Ar1) encompasses the entire thermal anomaly registered by the IR camera, the smaller one (Ar2) includes the surface region where the surface temperature is significantly higher with respect to boundaries. The imaging process has been performed using a dedicated software for thermal images elaboration (Flir QuickReport©, FLIR Systems) and equation (1) for the estimation of heat transfer rate. Results for the two regions of interest identified were:

Ar1) $Q_{\text{calc}} = 4.6 \text{ W (52\% radiation, 48\% convection)}$
Ar2) $Q_{\text{calc}} = 3.5 \text{ W (53\% radiation, 47\% convection)}$

**Figure 1.** The container simulating a landfill with biogas production: the thermal source (left) and the covering sand layer (right).

**Figure 2.** Temperature map of the sand surface, provided by the IR camera, for the simulated landfill experiment.
By comparing the calculated heat transfer rates ($Q_{\text{calc}}$) with the measured one ($Q_{\text{meas}} = 4.3$ W), it can be argued that the heat transfer rate from the sand surface is correctly estimated (within a 7% error) by averaging the wall temperature over the region of area $A_{r1}$ and it is slightly underestimated (by 19%) when a smaller area ($A_{r2}$) is used for the analysis. Further experiments performed by varying the heated plate-to-ambient temperature difference (from 17 to 35 K) and the thickness of the sand layer (from 1 to 2.5 cm) gave rise to similar qualitative results, i.e. an agreement within 20% between the heat transfer rate from the sand surface to the environment based on the measurement of dissipated electric power and that deduced by IR imaging over a significant surface area.

2.2 Close-range aerial IR inspection of a landfill site

The procedure has then been applied to the study of biogas emissions from the soil of a waste disposal site. To accomplish this task, several flights with UAVs equipped with infrared and visual cameras have been performed over the landfill of Mount Scarpino, located in Genoa, northern Italy and one of the widest European mountain landfill. This landfill, covering approximately an area of 58 hectares, has been used to dispose and manage the solid waste of the town of Genoa and its province for over forty years. A landfill gas power plant has been operating on site since 2007. The biogas (with methane concentration at 50% by volume) actually extracted from this site is about $50 \times 10^6$ m$^3$ per year, giving rise to an electrical energy production of about $65 \times 10^6$ kWh per year, saving more than 300,000 tons/year of CO$_2$.

Figure 3 shows an aerial image of the investigated landfill: the figure reports the distribution of wells and pipes for the biogas extraction. The investigation was conducted by performing several UAV flights over a period from February 2015 to March 2016, using different IR cameras and namely FLIR T460 (320 x 240 pixels), FLIR A65 (640 x 512 pixels), and FLUKE Ti 300 (240 x 180 pixels). Dedicated software programs (Flir Reporter Professional©, Flir Systems and SmartView©, Fluke Corporation, depending on the type of IR camera employed) and equation (2) were used to process the experimental data.

![Figure 3. Topographic view of the landfill. Wells and pipes for the biogas extraction as well as the inspected sites (No.1, 2, and 3) by aerial infrared thermography are reported.](image-url)
Flights were performed under different environmental conditions: in the mornings of one day of February, 2015 and one day of August 2015 (both with clear sky), and during the late evening (without solar radiation) of two different days in the month of March 2016.

Environmental conditions (ambient air temperature and relative humidity, wind velocity) were detected by a local weather station. For all cases, wind was moderate (typically 5 m/s or less) and the terrain was dry, except for one of the flights performed in March 2016, when the site was wet and muddy in some spots. A typical IR image of the landfill taken from the UAV is reported in figure 4, together with the visible image of the same site. The IR image clearly shows the pipes carrying hot biogas from the extraction well.

**Figure 4.** An example of application of aerial infrared thermography to the monitoring of the landfill: pipes carrying hot biogas extracted from the well are clearly visible in the left-hand IR image.

The main objective of the landfill survey was to investigate the boundaries of the landfill, not interested in biogas extraction, in order to identify residual biogas superficial emissions and to infer, through a thermal balance, a rough estimation of the amount of escaping biogas flow rate. Figure 5 shows the landfill peripheral surface mainly interested in aerial IR imaging, highlighted with color codes related to the detected surface temperatures.

Attention was turned to three specific sites deemed to be suitable for IR inspection: they were covered by bush vegetation, sufficiently uniform to minimize the risk of false identification of gas leaks, i.e., the occurrence of anomalies not connected to landfill gas leakage but associated with different types of ground materials and consequent different interactions with weather conditions. The investigated sites showed thermal anomalies occurring during all the flights. The three inspected sites are clearly identified in figure 3 (namely No.1, 2, and 3). Since the flights performed in March 2016 were featured by the most suitable conditions for aerial thermographic investigation (low ambient temperature, no direct solar radiation), only data acquired during these flights were processed, whereas those recorded during the morning flights (with direct solar radiation) were considered only for a qualitative comparison of the thermal footprints over the sites of interest.

Infrared and visible images taken during the flight were matched by a custom-made software to produce a mosaic image giving at the same time the topographic view and the thermal map of the inspected sites, as shown in figures 6–8. In each figure, only surface temperature data exceeding by more than 2°C the minimum surface temperature were displayed in coded colours.
Thermal anomalies were clearly detected over the three investigated sites. Namely, surface area framed within the boundaries of site No.1 registered a temperature ranging from 3.5 to 10.3°C (average 5.2°C), area of site No.2 showed a surface temperature between 4.2 and 14.1°C (average 8.4°C) and site No.3 was featured by a surface temperature from 4.2 and 13.3°C (average 7.4°C). Table 1 reports the estimated heat flux associated with the biogas digestion from each site and the relevant methane flow rate actually dispersed into the atmosphere. Repeated measurements over the same sites by using different images captured during the same flight or comparisons among measurements over the same site by processing data taken in different flights revealed differences in the estimated methane flow rate within ±30%. This figure can be realistically assumed as the uncertainty of this method, whose order of magnitude is comparable to that reported in similar studies [11] or associated with other measuring techniques, such as the tracer gas method or the static chamber method [12]. Taking into account the relatively large estimated uncertainty in the measurement, the amount of methane escaping from the inspected sites was found to be comparable to that actually collected by the existing wells and pipes conveying the extracted biogas to the thermal power station for energy conversion.

**Figure 5.** Mosaic image of the landfill containing the topographic view of the entire site and the IR imaging of the peripheral region.
Figure 6. Thermographic data gathered for site No.1.

Figure 7. Thermographic data gathered for site No.2.
Figure 8. Thermographic data gathered for site No.3.

Table 1. Estimated methane flow rates and main parameters included in the calculation procedure.

| Site No. | Surface area [m²] | Mean $T_w$ [K] | Undisturbed soil temperature $T_{w,ref}$ [K] | Measured wind velocity $V$ [m/s] | Imposed surface emissivity | Heat transfer rate [kW] by equation (2) | Estimated methane flow rate per m² [m³/h·m²] |
|----------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 1        | 350               | 278.3          | 276.6                                      | 5.0                           | 0.95                      | 17.4                                  | 0.0245                                     |
| 2        | 960               | 281.5          | 277.3                                      | 5.0                           | 0.95                      | 118.5                                 | 0.0607                                     |
| 3        | 1500              | 280.5          | 277.3                                      | 5.0                           | 0.95                      | 141.0                                 | 0.0462                                     |

3. Conclusions
Infrared thermography (IRT) has been applied to the study of biogas leakages from waste disposal sites. This diagnostic tool permits the identification of thermal anomalies on the landfill surface related to the biogas digestion processes. The implementation of this technique, whose applications in landfills documented in the literature are mainly based either on ground or aircraft inspections, consists in the use of unmanned flight vehicles (UAVs) to take infrared (IR) images of the landfill surface. The close-range aerial inspection permits the gathering of data over a large region and at the desired spatial resolution by properly arranging the distance between the UAV and the investigated soil.

A simple calculation procedure, based on the steady-state energy balance between the heat produced by the digestion and the heat dissipated into the atmosphere, capable to provide to a rough estimation of the methane flow rate escaping from the landfill surface, has been presented.
The proposed method has been successfully applied to a case study concerning a large landfill located in Genoa (Italy), from which an amount of biogas of about $50 \times 10^6$ m$^3$ per year is currently extracted. Aerial infrared photographs taken during different days and seasons showed the presence of thermal anomalies associated with biogas digestion over regions along the peripheral boundary of the landfill and revealed for these sites the potential for significant amount (from $2.5 \times 10^{-2}$ m$^3$ h per m$^2$ of surface area) of biogas extraction. Despite the limitations of the technique described throughout the paper, this study shows that close-range aerial IRT constitutes a reliable approach for monitoring urban landfills. The presented calculation procedure, based on IRT aerial inspections, is deemed to be a powerful tool to detect the biogas production from a waste disposal site and to provide a preliminary estimation of the methane production potential.
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