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Abstract

More and more courses are no longer based on the examination results to evaluate the effect. As the main participants, students are paid more attention to, especially their mental feelings. This paper explores the mediating effect of self-efficacy and the mutual relationship between personality traits and course subjective well-being. A questionnaire was conducted among 310 students in the course “comprehensive experiment of management”, correlation and regression methods were used to investigate the relationship between variables. The course participation role, gender, family origin and subjective character identification all significantly affect the course subjective well-being. Openness has no significant correlation with course well-being, neuroticism has a negative correlation, and extroversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness and self-efficacy have a positive correlation. Self-efficacy has a complete mediating effect between neuroticism and course well-being and a partial mediating effect between extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness and course well-being. The course subjective well-being of students is influenced not only by their innate personality traits but also by their acquired self-efficacy.
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1. Literature Review

Subjective Well-Being refers to the holistic assessment of the evaluator's life
quality according to self-determined criteria [1]. With the economic and social
development in China, the subjective well-being of different groups has become
an important indicator to judge their psychological quality of life, students [2]
[3], the elderly [4], left-behind children [5] and other groups have been used to
study by scholars.

Subjective well-being (SWB), as individual psychological perception, is af-
fected by individual personality traits certainly. In recent years, scholars have ve-
ified that personality traits are a powerful factor affecting SWB. Z.-Q. Li et al.
[6] and Diener et al. [7] found that temperament personality traits (neuroticism
and extroversion) play the strongest role in relationship with SWB. Furth-
more, the research on the intermediary factors between has also become the f o-
cus of scholars’ attention. Job involvement [8], Confucian psychological assets
[9], self-efficacy [10] have been used as intermediary variables to study the rela-
tionship between personality and SWB.

Under the stimulation of objective reality and subjective evaluation, congenit-
al personality traits will play a more important role [10]. That is, personality
traits impact SWB by psychological cognition. The main life activities of college
students are on the campus and in the classroom, the perception in the class-
room will produce subjective psychological evaluation, and the accumulated
learning experience will change the students’ learning confidence. Self-efficacy is
the result of individual cognition and evaluation of their ability. It mainly de-
termines the personal action direction from perceptual cognition to final beh a-
vior [11], which can reflect the degree of individual affected by the accumulation
of objective conditions. Therefore, this paper chooses self-efficacy as the inter-
mediary variable.

This research chooses the students in a comprehensive training course as the
sample. The course is in the way of competition among enterprises in a sim u-
lated business social environment. The course conducts in a continuous and
centralized way, during which students experience a high intensity of psych o-
logical stress. Under this condition, the test can reflect the students’ psychol o-
gical perception in the course. Based on the above, this paper puts forward the
concept of course subjective well-being; that is, the subjective well-being of the
students in the course cycle.

To sum up, this research attempts to study the relationship between personal-
ity traits, self-efficacy, and subjective well-being in the course cycle.

2. Sample and Methodology

2.1. Sample

To determine the needed sample size (n), we use the formula below which is
most popularly used in similar researches. After calculation, the minimum sam-
ple size is 299.

\[
n = \frac{Z_{\alpha/2}^2 p(1-p)N}{\delta^2(N-1) + Z_{\alpha/2}^2 p(1-p)}
\]
where $\alpha$ represents the significance level, $\delta$ represents the allowable error, $p$ represents the general estimate population rate, and $N$ represents the total samples.

This research selects 310 subjects from the course of Management Comprehensive Training in Anhui University of Finance and Economics (China), including 87 male students and 223 female students.

### 2.2. Methodology

#### 2.2.1. NEO-FFI Scale

This research selects the NEO-FFI scale (NEO Five Factors Inventory, Big five Personality brief edition), including 60 items. Five personality traits (Neuroticism, Extraversion, Openness, Agreeableness, and Conscientiousness) can be obtained after the calculation. The scale has been widely used in psychology and personality traits research around the world [12] [13].

#### 2.2.2. General Self-Efficacy Scale

This research selected the General Self-Efficacy Scale (GSES). It includes ten items and uses a five-point single-dimensional structure. The higher the total score indicates the stronger general self-efficacy. The internal consistency reliability of the scale in this study was 0.903.

#### 2.2.3. Subjective Well-Being Scale

The main indicators of SWB are satisfaction With Life Scale (SWLS) and Positive Affective and Negative Affective Scale (PANAS). According to the research of former scholars [14], the course satisfaction scale is designed for evaluating individual satisfaction in the course period. The questionnaire consists of 15 items, including students and curriculum two dimensions. After trial test-revision-formal test, the course satisfaction can be obtained. The internal consistency reliability of the scale is 0.951. PANAS is compiled by Watson et al., and its Chinese version is revised by Q.-A Li, L. Ai and Y. Zhang. The internal consistency reliability of positive emotion and negative emotion is 0.902 and 0.839, respectively. After standardizing the scores, the scores of SWB were subtracted by course satisfaction plus positive emotions and minus negative emotions [15].

### 2.3. Data Process

SPSS22.0 was used for data processing, and this research also used correlation analysis, regression analysis, and Bootstrap mediating effects examine.

### 3. Result and Analysis

#### 3.1. Course Factors Affecting Subjective Well-Being

This research has carried out ANOVA analysis about participants’ background factors to their course SWB. The result can be seen in Table 1.

This research classifies the participation role into four types: CEO, department management, financial staff, and others. In Table 1, the CEOs are significantly
Table 1. Matrix of ANOVA result.

| Participation role | Gender | Source of family | Self-evaluation |
|--------------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|
| CEO                |        |                  | p = 0.135       |
| Department manager|        |                  |                 |
| Financial staff    |        |                  |                 |
| others             |        |                  |                 |
| male               |        |                  |                 |
| female             |        |                  |                 |
| urban              | 84.21  | 80.09            | 80.45           |
| Town               | 79.96  | 82.79            | 77.49           |
| rural              | 79.13  | 77.49            | 80.89           |
| Independence       |        |                  | 80.09           |
| Dependence         |        |                  | 76.90           |

Note: the table is calculated by SPSS22.0.

more course SWB than that of other roles.

The significance of the ANOVA analysis for gender to course well-being was 0.056. It indicates that gender had a significant impact on the course SWB. The course SWB of female students is higher than male students’, and this is consistent with the results of L. Qiu et al. [16].

The family source of students also had a significant difference in course SWB (p < 0.05). The course SWB of students from rural areas was lower than those from urban and towns.

According to the theory of American psychological management scientist H.A. Witkin, the individual independent personality of the students was divided into two items. It includes independence (good at thinking and solving problems independently, without panic in emergencies, and even like to impose their ideas on others) and dependence (vulnerable to the influence by others, dependent on others and often hesitant). The results showed that there was a significant difference between the two subjective personality cognizance (p < 0.05). The course SWB of the students who identified themselves as independent was higher than that of the dependent students.

3.2. Correlation of Big Five Personality, Self-Efficacy and SWB

After Pearson correlation analysis (see Table 2), among these variables in the course, there are 3 pair uncorrelated variables: openness and SWB, openness and neuroticism, openness and extraversion. While the other variables were significantly correlated respectively. Meanwhile, except neuroticism and SWB were negatively correlated, other personality traits, self-efficacy, and course SWB were significantly positively correlated.

3.3. Test of the Mediating Effect of Self-Efficacy on Personality Trait and Course SWB

Referring to the mediation effect test process proposed by Z.-L. Wen et al. [17], taking the big five personality traits as the independent variable and course SWB as the dependent variable, the Bootstrap test was conducted on the mediating effect of self-efficacy. The results are shown in Tables 3-6.

Self-efficacy had a suppressing effect between openness and course SWB (openness and course SWB regression coefficient were not significant), while neuroticism, extroversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, and course SWB
Table 2. Correlation matrix of big five traits, self-efficacy and course SWB.

|            | Neuroticism | Extraversion | Openness | Agreeableness | Conscientiousness | Self-efficacy | SWB |
|------------|-------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|-----|
| Neuroticism| 1           |              |          |               |                   |               |     |
| Extraversion| −0.52**     | 1            |          |               |                   |               |     |
| Openness   | −0.09       | 0.09         | 1        |               |                   |               |     |
| Agreeableness| −0.41**     | 0.36**       | 0.10     | 1             |                   |               |     |
| Conscientiousness| −0.58**     | 0.46**       | 0.15**   | 0.49**        | 1                 |               |     |
| Self-efficacy| −0.39**     | 0.37**       | 0.14*    | 0.16**        | 0.41**            | 1             |     |
| SWB        | −0.20**     | 0.41**       | −0.004   | 0.18**        | 0.24**            | 0.28**        | 1   |

Note: *means p < 0.05, **means p < 0.01.

Table 3. Mediating effect test of self-efficacy in neuroticism and course SWB.

|        | Regression coefficient | Sig.   |
|--------|------------------------|--------|
| c      | −0.196                 | 0.001  |
| a      | −0.025                 | 0.000  |
| b      | 7.128                  | 0.000  |
| c'     | −0.186                 | 0.095  |

Note: the figure in the table is calculated by SPSS22.0. ab/c' = 95.2% (the ratio of indirect effect to direct effect), this indicates the direct effect is not significant; it only has the mediating effect between neuroticism and course SWB.

Table 4. Mediating effect test of self-efficacy in openness and course SWB.

|        | Regression coefficient | Sig.   |
|--------|------------------------|--------|
| c      | 0.405                  | 0.000  |
| a      | 0.029                  | 0.000  |
| b      | 4.504                  | 0.007  |
| c'     | 0.784                  | 0.000  |

Note: the figure in the table is calculated by SPSS22.0. ab/c' = 16.5%, this indicates the direct effect is significant; there may have other mediating variables between extraversion and course SWB.

Table 5. Mediating effect test of self-efficacy in agreeableness and course SWB.

|        | Regression coefficient | Sig.   |
|--------|------------------------|--------|
| c      | 0.182                  | 0.001  |
| a      | 0.016                  | 0.007  |
| b      | 7.623                  | 0.000  |
| c'     | 0.411                  | 0.016  |

Note: the figure in the table is calculated by SPSS22.0. ab/c' = 30.7%, this indicates the direct effect is significant; there may have other mediating variables between agreeableness and course SWB.

Table 6. Mediating effect test of self-efficacy in conscientiousness and course SWB.

|        | Regression coefficient | Sig.   |
|--------|------------------------|--------|
| c      | 0.244                  | 0.000  |
| a      | 0.033                  | 0.000  |
| b      | 6.439                  | 0.000  |
| c'     | 0.360                  | 0.006  |

Note: the figure in the table is calculated by SPSS22.0. ab/c' = 59.4%, this indicates the direct effect is significant; there may have other mediating variables between conscientiousness and course SWB.
have mediating effects. Among them, self-efficacy has only a mediating effect between neuroticism and course SWB, and the direct effect is not significant. The proportion of indirect effect between direct effect is 95.2%. The direct effects of extraversion, agreeableness and conscientiousness on courses SWB are significant, which played a part in the intermediary role. The proportion of indirect effects between direct effects are 16.5%, 30.7% and 59.4%, respectively.

4. Discussion

Classroom time is the main part of college students’ campus life. The experience in the course can not only reflect the subjective feelings of college students’ classroom learning but also provide an important reference for the course design. This study proposed the concept of course SWB, aiming to investigate students’ psychological perception in the concentrated course cycle, explore the factors affecting course SWB, and provide suggestions for course development. SWB in a course is not only affected by student’s innate personality traits, but also by the learning process and living circumstances cumulatively. This study selects the self-efficacy as the mediating variable, examines the mediating effect between college students’ personality traits and course SWB, and provides the empirical basis for the design of similar courses.

Through comparative analysis, it is found that students’ SWB in the role of CEO is significantly higher than other roles. Students in the role of CEO in the course have higher participation (participating in the course management, leading the competition of enterprises). They got the CEO position after campaign speech, indicating the CEOs have stronger subjective consciousness than others. Female students’ SWB is also significantly higher than male students. In the course, female students are generally more serious and have a strong sense of role involvement, which is the most probable reason. The different family sources of students also significantly affect the happiness of students, while the course SWB of rural students is lower than other students. Due to the course’s emphasis on teamwork, students with independent personalities have significantly higher course SWB than those with dependent personalities, this result indicates that individuals are more confident in their abilities and have higher positive emotions.

5. Conclusions

How do personality traits affect course SWB? This study found that there was no correlation between the openness personality trait and the course SWB. Openness represents an individual’s imagination, creativity, curiosity, and sensitivity, indicating that the openness personality trait does not determine the course SWB in a relatively concentrated course cycle and in an environment where imagination is not required. Neurotic personality traits and course SWB show a negative correlation. A person with high neuroticism has stronger stimulation responses to externals, and their emotional regulation ability is not good. They are more likely to experience negative emotions such as anger, anxiety, depres-
This personality illustrates that individuals with emotional instability in a course are less likely to produce happiness. There is a positive correlation between extroversion and course SWB. Extroversion represents the degree of a person’s positive involvement in the outside world. Since the course is a teamwork style, it means that individuals who actively participate in team activities are more likely to feel happiness. Agreeableness represents the attention to cooperation and interpersonal harmony, which is also highly positively correlated with the course SWB. This correlation also indicates that a high teamwork spirit is more likely to experience happiness. Conscientiousness is positively correlated with course SWB, which is a way for us to control, manage and regulate our impulses. This relationship indicates that individuals who avoid trouble and achieve team goals have higher course SWB in a relatively concentrated course cycle. Self-efficacy is an individual’s confidence in what they are doing. Self-efficacy also shows a significant positive correlation with course SWB, it illustrates that students with solid knowledge reserves have more confidence in problems they may encounter in course, which is conducive to improving course SWB.

This study also found that self-efficacy plays mediating roles between neuroticism, extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness and course SWB respectively except openness. This relationship illustrates the personality traits of students indirectly influence course SWB by the acquired knowledge accumulation. This finding confirms that the mental feeling of students is combined affected by nature and nurture factors. Moreover, self-efficacy has a completely mediating effect between neuroticism and course SWB, indicating that emotional instability can only contribute to course SWB under the combined effect of self-cognition established by acquired experience accumulation. Self-efficacy plays a partial mediating role between extroversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness and course happiness respectively, indicating there are other mediating factors between them, for example, in the study of G.-H. Jiang et al. [10], self-esteem, emotional regulation and achievement motivation all play a mediating role.

This paper discusses the relationship between course SWB and the personality traits, self-efficacy in one course period, puts forward the concept of course SWB and examines by a relatively concentrated and continuous course. Due to the research only chooses one course in one university, further research also needs to expand the scope to verify the results.
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