Optimization of Fermentation Process Parameters for Ginsenoside Re Bioconversion by Plackett-Burman and Box–Benhnken Design
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Abstract. In this work, Plackett-Burman (PB) and Box-Behnken (BB) experiment design were used to investigate the medium components of fermentation forth of ginsenoside Re bioconversion to ginsenoside Rh1. Firstly, nine variables including cultivation time, temperature, pH, reactant concentration, inoculum’s size, peptone, MgSO\(_4\)\(\cdot\)7H\(_2\)O, K\(_2\)HPO\(_4\) and NaNO\(_3\) were screened. The most important effective factors were estimated according to the statistical regression. Subsequently, the steepest ascent experiment was used to approach the optimum response range for each effective factor. Then Box-Benhnken Design (BBD) was finally used to analyze the response surface of the screened factors for further optimization. The optimized conditions for the influential factors were: ginsenoside Re 1.0 g/L, pH 4.5 and 7 days. The bioconversion of ginsenoside Re to Rh1 could reach up to 51.76 %.

1 Introduction

The history of people utilized natural product has been gone through nearly for thousands of years, especially in Asia. For a few decades, people concerned increasingly the definite component of the natural product as it has been reported that these main components have the properties of neuroprotection, cardiovascular system protection, anti-oxidant, anti-diabetic, anti-cancer et al. [1-4]. Nowadays, minor components such as taxol, ginsenoside Rg3, Rh1 are gaining increasingly attention as they have more physiological activities [5-8]. Bioconversion catalyzed by either microorganism or isolated enzyme nowadays has become an attracted approach to produce pharmaceuticals, especially such kind of minor component [9-13]. Though biotransformation has the characteristic of the mild reaction condition, relative higher selectivity, the target transformation ratio was still in a relative lower level due to the very complex condition of bio-reaction system. The common methods “one factor at a time” would not account for the interactions between the factors. In recent years, the statistical methods served as an effective way to screen for the important factors among many variables was the use of the Plackett-Burman design. The key is forming various combinations of the factors with various combinations of the factors with various amounts and could limit the factors for optimization. Subsequently, the actual values of these factor could achieve by Box-Behnke design which could understand the interactions among various process parameters [14-18].

In our previous work, PB and BBD methods once succeeded in optimizing the biotransformation of glycyrrhizin [19]

In this study, PB and BBD experimental designs were used to optimize the parameters of fermentation forth of ginsenoside Re bioconversion to Rh1. The variables were screened, and the actual value of the important factors were estimated.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Material

2.1.1 Microorganism

Aspergillus tubingensis was isolated at The Department of Microorganism of the University of Science and Technology Liaoning and identified according to its morphology and rDNA gene sequence (KX664401.1) by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai) Co. Ltd.

2.1.2 Media and cultivation

Slope plate medium (g/L): Potato juice, 200; glucose, 20.00; peptone, 10.00; agar, 20.00; pH 5.50; Seed culture (g/L): Potato juice, 200; raw extraction of GL, 1.00; glucose, 10.00; peptone, 10.00; pH 5.50; in a shaker for cultivation; Basal fermentation cultivation medium (g/L): 98% GL, 1.20; NaNO3, 1.50; K2HPO4, 1.00;
All the media and cultivation were sterilized under the temperature of 121 °C and keep for 20 minutes.

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Preparation of Aspergillus tubingensis seed culture

Under the case of sterilization, an A. tubingensis spore suspension was prepared by washing spores from slope plates by sterile water. The suspension was inoculated for 72 h in a rotary shaker under the temperature of 28°C and the rate of 160 r/min. Then seed cultivation medium was filtered with gauze and was washed for several times with sterile water until the prepared mycelia suspensions contained no ginsenoside Re. The seed culture was then used for further experiment.

2.2.2 Preparation of fermentation culture

10 ml seed medium was inoculated in the 100 ml fermentation culture medium in a 250 ml Erlenmeyer flask and then cultured in a rotary shaker at 28°C and 160 r/min for 120 h. Finally, the fermentation culture was centrifuged at 4000 r/min for 10 min. Then the transformation yield was calculated with the following formula:

\[ A\% = \frac{C_1V_1}{C_0V'_0} \times 100\% \] (1)

Where \( A \) is the transformation ratio, \( C_0 \) is the initial concentration of ginsenoside Re at the initial time of the fermentation, mg/L; \( V_0 \) is the initial volume of transformation forth, mL; \( C_1 \) is the concentration of ginsenoside transformed, \( V_1 \) is the final volume of fermentation forth. Ginsenoside Re, Rh1 were measured using Agilent 1100 HPLC (Agilent technology, USA) with Agilent Extend C18 (4.6 mm×250 mm, 5 μm). Chromatographic conditions for analysis as: mobile phase, acetonitrile: H2O=70:30 (v/v); column temperature, 25.0 °C; mobile phase rate, 1.00 ml/min; wavelength, 203 nm; UV detector.

2.3 Experiment design

2.3.1 Steepest ascent design

Plackett-Burman experiment design was first used to estimate the influence factors. And then steepest ascent design was used to approach the optimal range of each factor. Steepest ascent design takes the variation direction of the gradient as the ascent direction to make the process of optimization rapidly and economically

2.3.2 Box-Behnken design (BBD)

BBD is a statistical method to solve multivariable problem. Compared with other general methods such as single-factor experimental and orthogonal design, BBD was characterized by its less experiment time, and a relative higher accurate regression equation [20-23].

3 Result and discussion

3.1 Estimation of the main influence factors

The software Design-Expert 8.0.6 experimental design was used in this work for designing PB experiment. According to the numbers of factors of the biotransformation system, experimental runs was set to N=12. nine influential factors include: cultivation time (A), MgSO4•7H2O (D), NaNO3 (E), K2HPO4 (F), peptone (G), inoculation amount (H), cultivation temperature(I), and pH (J) were investigated. Besides, (C) and (K) were two virtual variables to meet the running of the software. Each factor was considered by low level and high level, respectively. Bioconversion ratio was served as the response value, and the experiment was carried out duplicate. Detailed experiment design and the results of running were listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Plackett-Burman design and the corresponding response measurements variable (coded value) (N=12)

| A  | B  | C  | D  | E  | F  | G  | H  | I  | J  | K  | A%  |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|
| 1  | 1  | -1 | -1 | 1  | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1  | -1  | 14.4 |
| 2  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | -1  | 27.5 |
| 3  | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1  | 19.5 |
| 4  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  | 1  | -1  | 16.0 |
| 5  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  | 1  | -1  | 16.8 |
| 6  | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1  | 16.3 |
| 7  | -1 | 1  | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1  | 37.2 |
| 8  | -1 | -1 | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1   | 6.6  |
| 9  | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1   | 22.4 |
| 10 | -1 | -1 | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1   | 8.0  |
| 11 | -1 | -1 | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1   | 32.9 |
| 12 | -1 | -1 | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1   | 13.7 |

The experimental results of PB was input to the software SPASS for statistical analysis. The effects of various factors on response variable are cultivate time (A), Re concentration (B) and pH(J). In Table 2, item B indicated the positive or negative of effect of the factor. Therefore, from Table 2, cultivation time (A) and pH (J) need increase, while Re concentration (B) may need decreased in the following experiment.

3.2 Estimation optimal factor levels of by steepest ascent method Estimation optimal factor levels of by steepest ascent method

Based on the results showed in Table 2. A steepest ascent experiment was designed, and the range of the influential factors was shown in Table 3. The other factors were maintained at their optimal levels. The results obtained were also shown in Table 3.
three factors were simultaneously changed. The polynomial terms (A², B², and C²) were included to investigate nonlinearity. The regression model needed to be evaluated for model significance and the lack-of-fit. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for these evaluations.

### Table 4. Box-Benhnken experimental design conditions and the corresponding values

| Trial number | Cultivation time | A: pH | B: Cultivat | C: Ginsenoside | A % |
|--------------|------------------|------|------------|----------------|-----|
| 1            | 0                | 0    | 0          | 39.6           |     |
| 2            | 1                | 0    | -1         | 38.6           |     |
| 3            | -1               | 0    | 1          | 24.0           |     |
| 4            | 0                | 0    | 1          | 21.6           |     |
| 5            | 0                | 0    | 0          | 53.6           |     |
| 6            | -1               | -1   | 0          | 17.7           |     |
| 7            | 0                | -1   | 1          | 37.6           |     |
| 8            | 0                | 0    | 0          | 49.5           |     |
| 9            | 0                | 0    | 0          | 39.9           |     |
| 10           | 0                | -1   | -1         | 42.4           |     |
| 11           | 1                | -1   | 0          | 47.5           |     |
| 12           | 0                | 0    | 0          | 48.7           |     |
| 13           | 1                | 1    | 0          | 16.0           |     |
| 14           | 0                | 0    | 0          | 49.8           |     |
| 15           | 0                | 1    | 1          | 15.5           |     |
| 16           | -1               | 0    | -1         | 42.7           |     |
| 17           | 0                | 1    | -1         | 30.0           |     |

From Table 3, we can see that the highest biotransformation ratio was obtained in trial 3. Hence, the factor levels of this trial were used as the central point of response surface optimization in the subsequent BBD experiment.

### 3.3 Estimation of optimal levels of the main factors by Box-Benhnken Design

The results of the steepest ascent design were used for further optimization in BBD to estimate factors and levels. Three influence factors i.e. cultivation time, pH and concentration of Re with three level’s response surface analysis experiment were carried out. Two types of experimental data points were selected: the factorial point and the zero point which was the central point of the investigation range. The results of seventeen trials are shown in Table 4.

### 3.4 Analysis experiment data of BBD

The biotransformation ratio was served as the response variable. Multi-variable regression analysis was carried out using the software Design-Expert 8.0.6. The analysis results are shown in Table 5. After regression and fitting, a quadratic regression polynomial was obtained. The response value verse variables A, B and C in term of code is as followed:

\[ Y = 48.24 + 4.51A - 7.77B - 8.85AB + 5.02AC - 2.40BC - 8.82A^2 - 13.73B^2 - 3.12C^2 \]

(2)

Where A was the dependent variable, the arithmetic mean response of the 17 runs was 48.24, and the estimated coefficients for factors A, B, and C were 4.51, -7.77 and -8.85, respectively. The main effects (A and B) represented the average result of changing one factor at a time from low to high value. The interactive terms (AB, BC, and AC) showed how the response changes when
3.5 Verification test

To verify the accusation of the model established, the biotransformation of Re was carried out duplicate under the optimized condition i.e. Re 1.0 g/L, cultivation time 7 days and pH 4.50. The average percent transformation got reach to 51.76 %, a satisfied result for acquiring ginsenoside Rh1.

4 Conclusion

In this study, based on basal fermentation medium, PB design method was applied to estimate the most important influence factors among nine which may affect biotransformation ratio of Re to Rh1. The results showed that Re, pH and cultivate time were the most obviously influence factors. To search the central point of the response surface experiment in a reasonable and simply way, steepest ascent experiment was used. The optimum fermentation conditions achieved by BBD are: Re 1.0 g/L, NaNO₃ 3.0 g/L, MgSO₄•7H₂O 1.5 g/L, K₂HPO₄ 3.0 g/L, peptone 2.0 g/L, pH 4.50, inoculums size 30.0 mL, temperature 28.0 °C and cultivate time 7 days. 51.76 % transformation ratio obtained based on above conditions.
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