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SUMMARY

Intestinal stem cells in the adult Drosophila midgut are regulated by growth factors produced from the surrounding niche cells including enterocytes and visceral muscle. The role of the other major cell type, the secretory enteroendocrine cells, in regulating intestinal stem cells remains unclear. We show here that newly eclosed scute loss-of-function mutant flies are completely devoid of enteroendocrine cells. These enteroendocrine cell-less flies have normal ingestion and fecundity but shorter lifespan. Moreover, in these newly eclosed mutant flies, the diet-stimulated midgut growth that depends on the insulin-like peptide 3 expression in the surrounding muscle is defective. The depletion of Tachykinin-producing enteroendocrine cells or knockdown of Tachykinin leads to a similar although less severe phenotype. These results establish that enteroendocrine cells serve as an important link between diet and visceral muscle expression of an insulin-like growth factor to stimulate intestinal stem cell proliferation and tissue growth.

INTRODUCTION

The gastrointestinal (GI) tract is a complex organ essential for nutrient absorption and whole-body metabolism (Miguel-Aliaga, 2012). The Drosophila midgut is an equivalent of the mammalian stomach and small intestine. The midgut epithelium has no crypt-villus structure but instead is a monolayer of absorptive enterocytes (ECs), with interspersed intestinal stem cells (ISCs), enteroblasts (EBs), and enteroendocrine cells (EEs) located closer to the basement membrane (Michelli and Perrimon, 2006; Ohlstein and Spradling, 2006).

All cells in the midgut likely constitute together the niche that regulates ISC proliferation and EB differentiation for tissue homeostasis. The visceral muscle secretes Wingless, insulin-like peptides, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) ligands, and Decapentaplegic (Dpp)/bone morphogenetic protein (Guo et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2008; O'Brien et al., 2011). The mature ECs are a major source of stress-induced Dpp, EGFR ligands, and the JAK-STAT pathway ligands Unpaired (Upd) 1–3 (Biteau and Jasper, 2011; Buchon et al., 2010; Guo et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2009, 2011; Li et al., 2013a; Osman et al., 2012; Tian and Jiang, 2014; Xu et al., 2011). The differentiating EBs also produce Upds, Wingless, and EGFR ligands (Cordero et al., 2012; Jiang et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2013). The surrounding trachea secretes Dpp, while the innervating neurons can also regulate intestinal physiology (Cognigni et al., 2011; Li et al., 2013b).

EEs constitute a major cell type in the Drosophila midgut epithelium. While the mammalian secretory lineage is differentiated into Paneth cells, goblet cells, enteroendocrine cells, and tuft cells (Gerbe et al., 2012), the entire population of secretory cells in the Drosophila midgut is collectively called EEs and marked by the homeodomain protein Prospero (Proe) (Michelli and Perrimon, 2006). Nonetheless, different subsets of hormones are produced from different subtypes of midgut EEs (Ohlstein and Spradling, 2006). In the mouse intestine, the Lgr5+ ISCs directly contact Paneth cells, and isolated ISC-Paneth cell doublets have higher efficiency to form organoids (Sato et al., 2011). However, mouse genetic knockout that has Paneth cells removed did not result in the loss of Lgr5+ ISCs (Durand et al., 2012). Only recently have Drosophila midgut EEs been shown to negatively regulate ISC proliferation via EGFR ligand production and to regulate ISC differentiation via the Slt/Robo pathway (Biteau and Jasper, 2014; Scopelliti et al., 2014). Therefore, the function of EEs in regulating stem cell activity largely remains to be investigated. Here, we show that Drosophila midgut EEs serve a niche function by producing hormones such as Tachykinin (Tk) to regulate insulin peptide expression in the surrounding muscle that in turn affects intestinal homeostasis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

scute RNAi and Deletion Result in EE-less Flies

Previous evidence shows that adult midgut mutant clones that have all the AS-C genes deleted are defective in EE formation while overexpression of scute (sc) or asense (ase) is sufficient
Figure 1. EE-less Fly Guts after Loss of sc Function Have Growth Defects
(A) The number of Pros+ nuclei was counted within 0.08 mm² surface area of a microscopic image from a similar region of each posterior midgut. The scRNAi midguts were completely devoid of EEs.

(B) EE quantification in the midguts of flies with the genotypes indicated. Control was w−, and the deficiency for sc was Df(1)sc10-1 and for ato was Df(3R)p13. Young flies were 7 days old, and aged flies were 21 days old. NS, nonsignificant (p > 0.05), and all p values are from the Student’s t test.

(C and D) Light microscope images of control and esg>scRNAi fly midguts. The arrow and hair line point to the posterior midgut region where images were taken to measure the diameter.

(legend continued on next page)
Changing the Number of EEs Alters Lifespan

In sc RNAi guts, the mRNA expression of atalostatin (Ast), atalostatin C (AstC), Tachykinin (Tk), diuretic hormone (DH31), and neuropeptide F (NPF) was almost abolished (Figure S1 K), laid and the number of pupae formed from control and RNAi/EE-less gut showed no significant change (Figure S1 F), even though the sc RNAi guts were normal in terms of EE number. On the other hand, the mRNA expression of the same peptide genes in heads showed no significant change (Figure S1 I). Even though the EEs and regulatory peptides were absent from the midgut, the flies were viable and showed no apparent morphological defects. There was no significant difference in the number of eggs laid and the number of pupae formed from control and sc RNAi flies (Figure S1 M), suggesting that the flies probably have sufficient nutrient uptake to support the major physiological task of reproduction. However, when we examined the longevity of these animals, the EE-less flies after sc RNAi showed significantly shorter lifespan (Figure S1 N). In addition, when the number of EEs was increased in adult flies by esg-Gal4; tubGal80ts (esgts >)–driven sc overexpression (Bardin et al., 2010; Figure S3), an even shorter lifespan was observed. These results suggest that a balanced number of EEs is essential for the long-term health of the animal. Moreover, there may be important physiological changes in these EE-less flies that are yet to be uncovered, such as reduced intestinal growth described in detail below.

EE-less Flies Have Reduced Intestinal Growth as Observed under Starvation Conditions

One of the phenotypic changes we found for the sc RNAi/EE-less flies was that under normal feeding conditions, their midguts had a significantly narrower diameter than that of control midguts (Figures 1C and 1D). When reared in poor nutrition of 1% sucrose, both wild-type (WT) and EE-less flies had thinner midguts. When reared in normal food, WT flies had substantially bigger midgut diameter, while EE-less flies had grown significantly less (Figure 1E). The cross-section area of enterocytes in the EE-less midguts was smaller (Figure 1F), suggesting that there is also a growth defect at the individual cell level.

A series of experiments showed that ingestion of food dye by the sc RNAi/EE-less flies was not lower than control flies (Figure S2C). The measurement of food intake by optical density (OD) of gut dye contents also showed similar ingestion (Figure S2D). The measurement of excretion by counting colored deposits and visual examination of dye clearing from guts showed that there was no significant change in food passage (Figures S2E and S2F). The normal fecundity shown in Figure S1M also suggested that the mutant flies likely had absorbed sufficient nutrient for reproduction. Nonetheless, another phenotype we detected was a substantial reduction of intestinal digestive enzyme activities including trypsin, chymotrypsin, aminopeptidase, and acetate esterase (Figures 1G, 1H, S2A, and S2B). These enzyme activities exhibit strong reduction after starvation of WT flies. The EE-less flies therefore have a physiological response as if they experience starvation although they are provided with a normal diet.

EE-less Midguts Have Reduced ISC Division and Dilp3 Expression

A previous report has established that newly eclosed flies respond to nutrient availability by increasing ISC division that leads to a jump start of intestinal growth (O’Brien et al., 2011). When we fed newly eclosed flies on the poor diet of 1% sucrose, both WT and sc RNAi/EE-less guts had a very low number of p-H3-positive cells (Figure 2A), which represent mitotic ISCs because ISCs are the only dividing cells in the adult midgut. When fed on normal diet, the WT guts had significantly higher p-H3 counts, but the sc RNAi/EE-less guts were consistently lower at all the time points. The sc9/sc10-1 hemizygous mutant combination exhibited a similarly lower mitotic activity on the normal diet (Figure 2B).
Figure 2. EE-less Guts Have ISC Proliferation and Dilp3 Expression Defects

(A and B) Newly hatched flies (day 1) were collected and kept in normal food vials or plastic vials with filter paper soaked with 1% sucrose (starved). Each day after, midguts were dissected from flies of the indicated genotypes and stained for p-H3 to detect mitotic cells. Average number of p-H3+ cells is plotted as shown. The esg > GFP in (A) or sc/+ in (B) served as controls. The deficiency is Df(1)sc10-1.

(C) Dilp3 mRNA expression assayed by qPCR. Newly hatched esg > GFP (control) and esg > GFP, scRNAi flies were kept in normal food vials for 1 to 5 days as indicated. At each indicated day, ten flies from each sample were used for gut dissection, RNA isolation, and qPCR. Each qPCR cycle number of Dilp3 was...
When we investigated possible signaling defects in the EE-less flies, we found that in addition to other gut peptide mRNAs, the level of Dilp3 mRNA was also highly decreased in these guts while the head Dilp3 was normal (Figures 2C and S1L). This is somewhat surprising, because Dilp3 is expressed not in the epithelium or EEs but in the surrounding muscle (O’Brien et al., 2011; Veenstra et al., 2008). We used Dilp3 promoter-Gal4-driven upstream activating sequence (UAS)-GFP expression (Dilp3 > GFP) to visualize the expression in muscle (Figure 2D). Both control and sc RNAi under this driver showed normal muscle GFP expression (Figure 2E), demonstrating that sc does not function within the smooth muscle to regulate Dilp3 expression. We then combined the esg-Gal4 and Dilp3-Gal4, and the control UAS-GFP samples showed the expected expression in both midgut precursors and surrounding muscles (Figures 2F–2H). When these combined Gal4 drivers were used to drive sc RNAi, the smooth muscle GFP signal was clearly reduced (Figures 2I–2K). These guts also exhibited no Prospero staining and overall fewer cells with small sizes as expected from esg > sc RNAi (Figures 2I–2K).

The report by O’Brien et al. (2011) showed an increase of Dilp3 expression from the surrounding muscle in newly eclosed flies under a well-fed diet (see also Figure 2C). This muscle Dilp3 expression precedes brain expression and is essential for the initial nutrient stimulated intestinal growth. Our EE-less flies show similar growth and Dilp3 expression defects, suggesting that EE is a link between nutrient sensing and Dilp3 expression during this early growth phase.

**Increasing the Number of EEs Promotes ISC Division Partly via Dilp3 Expression**

WT and AS-C deletion (scB57) mutant clones in adult midguts did not exhibit a difference in their cell numbers (Bardin et al., 2010). Moreover, we performed esgTs > sc RNAi in adult flies for 3 days but did not observe a decrease of mitotic count or EE number. Together, these results suggest that sc is not required directly in ISC for proliferation, and they imply that the ISC division defects observed in the sc mutant/EE-less flies is likely due to the loss of EEs. To investigate this idea further, we used the esgTs > system to up- and downshift the expression of sc at various time points and measure the correlation of sc expression, EE number, and ISC mitotic activity. The overexpression of sc after shifting to 29 °C for a few days correlated with increased EE number, expression of gut peptides, and increased ISC activity (Figure S3A–S3I). Then, we downshifted back to room temperature (23 °C) to allow the Gal80Ts repression to function again. The sc mRNA expression was quickly reduced within 2 days and remained low for 4 days (Figure 3A). Although we did not have a working antibody to check the sc protein stability, the expression of a probable downstream gene _phyllopod_ (Reeves and Posakony, 2005) showed the same up- and downregulation (Figure 3B), revealing that Sc function returned to normal after the temperature downshift. Meanwhile, the number of Pros+ cells and p-H3 count remained higher after the downshift (Figures 3C and 3D). Therefore, the number of EEs, but not sc mRNA or function, correlates with ISC mitotic activity.

We performed another experiment that was independent of sc expression or expression in ISCs. The antiapoptotic protein p35 was driven by the pros-Gal4 driver, which is expressed in a subset of EEs in the middle and posterior midgut (Figures S4B–S4E). This resulted in a significant albeit smaller increase in EE number and a concomitant increase in mitotic activity (Figures S3J and S3K), which was counted only in the middle and posterior midgut due to some EC expression of this driver in the anterior region (Figure S4C). Therefore, the different approaches show consistent correlation between EE number and ISC division.

Dilp3 expression was significantly although modestly increased in flies that had increased EE number after sc overexpression (Figure 3E), similar to that observed in fed versus fasted flies (O’Brien et al., 2011). We tested whether Dilp3 was functionally important in this EE-driven mitotic activity. Due to the lethality, we could not obtain a fly strain that had esg-Gal4, Dilp3-Gal4, UAS-Dilp3RNAi, tub-Gal80Ts, and UAS-sc to perform a comparable experiment as shown in Figure 2. So instead, we generated flies that contained a ubiquitous driver with temperature controlled expression, i.e., tub-Gal80Ts/UAS-sc; tub-Gal4/UAS-Dilp3RNAi. These fly guts showed a significantly lower number of p-H3+ cells than that in the tub-Gal80Ts/UAS-sc; tub-Gal4/UAS control flies (Figure 3F). These results demonstrate that the EE-regulated ISC division is partly dependent on Dilp3. The expression of an activated insulin receptor by esg-Gal4 could highly increase midgut proliferation, and this effect was dominant over the loss of EEs after scRNAi (Figure S4A), which is consistent with an important function of insulin signaling in the midgut.

**Tk-Secreting EEs Have a Role in Regulating Dilp3 and ISC Proliferation**

As stated above, normally hatched flies did not lower their EE number after esgTs > sc RNAi, perhaps due to redundant function with other basic-helix-loop-helix proteins in adults. The expression of proapoptotic proteins by the prosTs-Gal4 also could not reduce the EE number. We thus screened other drivers and identified a Tk promoter Gal4 (Tk-Gal4) that had expression recapitulating the Tk staining pattern representing a subset of EEs (Figures S4B and S4F–S4I). More importantly, when used to express the proapoptotic protein Reaper (Rpr), this driver caused a significant reduction in the EE number (Figure S4J), normalized with that of rp49 in a parallel reaction of the same RNA sample. The lowest Dilp3 expressing sample esg > scRNAi at day 1 was set as 1 (first black bar), and all other samples were calculated as relative level and plotted as shown. (D and E) Dilp3 promoter-Gal4 driven UAS-GFP expression (Dilp3 > GFP) illuminates the smooth muscle surrounding the adult midgut epithelium. This expression of muscle Dilp3 > GFP is not altered when the UAS-scRNAi construct is also driven by this Dilp3 promoter. (F–K) Confocal images of midgut at an anterior focal plane showing the visceral muscle staining, an inner focal plane showing the epithelium staining and 3D reconstruction of multiple focal planes. The control flies contained the combination of esg-Gal4 and Dilp3-Gal4 together driving UAS-GFP expression. The bottom panels (F–K) were from a fly strain that also contained the scRNAi construct. Data are presented as mean ± SEM (error bar).
Tk and Dilp3 mRNA (Figures 4A and 4B), and mitotic count (Figure 4C). The Tk-Gal4-driven expression of another proapoptotic protein, Hid, caused a less efficient killing of EEs (Figure S4J) and subsequently no reduction of p-H3 count (Figure 4C). The knockdown of Tk itself by Tk-Gal4 also caused significant reduction of p-H3 count (Figure 4D). A previous report revealed the expression by antibody staining of a Tk receptor (TkR86C) in visceral muscles (Poels et al., 2009), and our knockdown of TkR86C was a concomitant reduction of p-H3 count (Figure 4C). The Tk-Gal4-driven expression of another proapoptotic protein, Hid, caused a less efficient killing of EEs (Figure S4J) and subsequently no reduction of p-H3 count (Figure 4C). The knockdown of TkR86C was a concomitant reduction of p-H3 count (Figure 4C). The Tk-expressing EEs cause similar Dilp3 expression in the visceral muscle and ISC proliferation. Depletion of Tk-expressing EEs caused similar Dilp3 expression and ISC proliferation defects, although the defects appeared to be less severe than that in the sc RNAi/EE-less guts. The results together suggest that Tk-expressing EEs are part of the EE population required for this regulatory circuit. The approach we report here has established the Drosophila midgut as a model to dissect the function of EEs in intestinal homeostasis and whole-animal physiology.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

*Drosophila* Stocks and Tissue Staining

All *Drosophila* stocks were maintained at room temperature in yeast extract/cornmeal/molasses/agar food medium. UAS-mCD8GFP and w1118 were used for crossing with Gal4 and mutant lines as control. The fly stocks acRNAi (25800), npfrRNAi (29586), aseRNAi (31895), lscRNAi (20758), scRNAi (26206), atoRNAi (26316), TyRNAi (25800), NPFRRNAi (27237), scRNAi, scRNAi, scRNAi, atoRNAi, Dif1lscRNAi, Dif3lscRNAi, and UAS-sc were obtained from Bloomington Stock Center. TkR86CRNAi (13392), TkR99DRNAi (43329), and NPFR RNAi (107663) were obtained from VDRC. esgGal4, Dilp3RNAi (33681), Dilp3-Gal4, Mef2-Gal4, and pros-Gal4 have been described previously (Micchelli and Perrimon, 2006; O’Brien et al., 2011;
Sen et al., 2004). The Tk-Gal4 line was among a set of Tk promoter Gal4 lines screened for expression in the adult midgut, and it contains an approximately 1 kb fragment 2.5 kb upstream of the screened for expression in the adult midgut, and it contains an approximately 1 kb fragment 2.5 kb upstream of the transcribed region. The Tk-Gal4 line was among a set of lines used to drive expression of reporter genes in the adult midgut. The control sample at each time point was set as 1 and UAS-rpr samples were plotted as a fraction of the control.

The same flies as at 3 days as above and together with Tk > hid were used to quantify the number of p-H3+ mitotic ISCs.

The flies containing the Tk-Gal4 driver were crossed with UAS-RNAi strains for Tk and NPFR. The control was UAS-GFP. Three-day-old progeny flies were dissected for p-H3 staining and quantification.

The flies containing the Dilp3-Gal4 or Mef2-Gal4 expressing in visceral muscle were crossed with UAS-RNAi strains for the receptors TkR86C, TkR99D, and NPFR. The control was UAS-GFP. Three-day-old progeny flies were dissected for p-H3 staining and quantification. Data are presented as mean ± SEM (error bar).

Feeding, Fecundity, and Enzyme Assays

For feeding experiments, newly hatched or appropriately aged flies were kept in regular food vials or in plastic vials with a filter paper soaked with 1% sucrose in water and transferred to fresh vials every day. For dye-ingestion experiments, 20 flies were transferred to a plastic vial with a filter paper soaked with 5% sucrose and 0.5% bromophenol blue sodium salt (B5525, Sigma). The at indicated time, flies that showed visible blue abdomen were counted and colored excreta on the vial wall were counted at 4 and 24 hr time points. For gut-clearance assays, flies were first fed with bromophenol blue, and ten flies that had blue abdomen were transferred to a new vial containing 5% sucrose only. At 2 and 24 hr after, flies were counted based on whether they still had blue abdomen or not. For fecundity assays, newly hatched male and virgin female flies were aged for 5 days on a normal diet. A group of ten females and five males were put together in a new food vial and transferred to a fresh food vial every day. The number of eggs was counted in each vial for 10 days. Vials were kept to allow larvae and pupae to develop, and the number of pupae was counted for every vial. For digestive enzyme assays, midguts from fertilized females (7–10 days old) were homogenized in 50 µl PBS at 5,000 rpm for 15 s (Precellys 24, Bertin Technologies) and centrifuged (10,000 x g for 10 min). Substrates for trypsin enzymatic assay (C8022) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, and the reaction was set up following the manufacturer’s instructions. Increase in absorbance (405 nm) or fluorescence (355 nm/460 nm) after substrate cleavage was monitored by a microplate reader (Mithras LB 940, Berthold Technologies). Each genotype corresponded to five to six samples of ten midguts each.

Real-Time qPCR

Total RNA was isolated from ten dissected female guts and used to prepare cDNA for quantitative PCR (qPCR) using a Bio-Rad iQ5 System (Amchelzavsky et al., 2011). qPCR was performed in duplicate from each of at least three independent biological samples. The ribosomal protein 49 (rp49) gene expression was used as the internal control for normalization of cycle number. The primer sequences are listed in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures. Each genotype corresponded to five to six samples of ten midguts each.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures and three figures and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.08.052.
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