HMGA2, the Architectural Transcription Factor High Mobility Group, Is Expressed in the Developing and Mature Mouse Cochlea
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Abstract

Hmga2 protein belongs to the non-histone chromosomal high-mobility group (HMG) protein family. HMG proteins have been shown to function as architectural transcription regulators, facilitating enhancerome formation on a variety of mammalian promoters. Hmga2 are expressed at high levels in embryonic and transformed cells. Terminally differentiated cells, however, have been reported to express only minimal, if any, Hmga2. Our previous affymetrix array data showed that Hmga2 is expressed in the developing and adult mammalian cochleas. However, the spatio-temporal expression pattern of Hmga2 in the murine cochlea remained unknown. In this study, we report the expression of Hmga2 in developing and adult cochleas using immunohistochemistry and quantitative real time PCR analysis. Immunolabeling of Hmga2 in the embryonic, postnatal, and mature cochleas showed broad Hmga2 expression in embryonic cochlea (E14.5) at the level of the developing organ of Corti in differentiating hair cells, supporting cells, in addition to immature cells in the GER and LER areas. By postnatal stage (P0–P3), Hmga2 is predominantly expressed in the hair and supporting cells, in addition to cells in the LER area. By P12, Hmga2 immunolabeling is confined to the hair cells and supporting cells. In the adult ear, Hmga2 expression is maintained in the hair and supporting cell subtypes (i.e. Deiters’ cells, Hensen cells, pillar cells, inner phalangeal and border cells) in the cochlear epithelium. Using quantitative real time PCR, we found a decrease in transcript level for Hmga2 comparable to other known inner ear developmental genes (Sox2, Atoh1, Jagged1 and Hes5) in the cochlear epithelium of the adult relative to postnatal ears. These data provide for the first time the tissue-specific expression and transcription level of Hmga2 during inner ear development and suggest its potential dual role in early differentiation and maintenance of both hair and supporting cell phenotypes.

Introduction

The mammalian inner ear is an intricate organ responsible for the perception of sound and balance. The first developmental process involving the mouse inner ear is the thickening of the ectoderm, known as the otic placode, next to the hindbrain at embryonic day 8.5 (E8.5) As development continues, the placode invaginates and pinches off from the surface ectoderm to form the otic vesicle at E9.5 [1,2]. Subsequently, neuroblasts delaminate from the ventral thickening of the otic vesicle and form the otic ganglion that undergoes a series of morphological changes until it reaches its mature shape by E17 [3,4]. The mammalian inner ear consists of six sensory organs: the three cristae in the semi-circular canals and the maculae in the utricle and saccule are responsible for vestibular function; the organ of Corti is responsible for auditory function. The sensory epithilia in these organs consist of sensory hair cells and non-sensory supporting cells.

The development of sensory patches in the mammalian inner ear requires complex processes of both prosensory cell specification of placode otic progenitor cells and cell fate determination [5,6]. Several lines of evidences reported that early expressed inner ear genes with long-term lasting expressions and specific effects on all or subsets of placode progenitor cells are Eya1/Six1, Pax2/8, Gata3 and Sox2 [7–9] which may help regulate neurosensory development through gene expression regulation [10,11]. While these genes have a dose and/or time dependent preferential effect on cochlear neurosensory development, Sox2 has a more dominant effect on all neurosensory precursors [12,13]. Although incomplete, a loss as in a hypomorph of Sox2 can interfere with the mammalian inner ear neurosensory precursor formation. Furthermore, it has been reported that Sox2 has a refined interaction with downstream genes such as the bHLH gene Atoh1 and shown to be required for its expression but will also be downregulated following the expression of Atoh1 in the inner ear sensory hair cells [14].

Beyond transcription factors, chromatin-remodeling regulation to allow proper transcription has been identified as a major step in neuronal specification [15] and likely plays a role in ear development as well. Among the many chromosomal transcription...
Table 1. Primers pairs used for Real time PCR.

| Gene name | Forward 5’→3’ | Reverse 5’→3’ |
|-----------|---------------|---------------|
| Hmga2     | GTGCACAGAAGGAGGAC | TTGGACTCTGTCGGCTG |
| Jag1      | GCTGATATTGTCGTCGTTG | CTCCCAGCGCTTCCATAC |
| Hes5      | CTAATTGGCCTAGAGTCTC | TGTCGGGCTTCTCAGTTG |
| Sox2      | TCACAAAATCTACAAACATCG | GAATGCCAATTGGGATGAAAA |
| Atoh1     | GTAGGGGCACTTAAGAGG | GTAGAGGAGGAAAGGAGTTG |
| GAPDH     | TGTCGCTGGTGGATCGA | CCTGCTCACCCACCTCTTG |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088757.t001

regulators is the high mobility group family member Hmga2 [16–18]. This protein contains structural DNA-binding domains and act as a transcriptional regulating factor. In addition, the Hmga2 has been shown to promote maintenance of stem cell populations and proliferation by multiple means, including maintaining the expression of pluripotency genes like Sox2 and UTF [19–20]. Indeed, our previous microarray analysis of gene expression of the developing and adult cochleas identified Hmga2 and Sox2 among the differentially expressed genes between the early postnatal day-3 (P3) and adult cochlear sensory epithelia [21]. To facilitate a deeper understanding of its role in inner ear development, we present here the first comprehensive description of the expression profile of Hmga2 in the developing and adult inner ear in relation to the expression of Sox2.

These data suggest a dual role of early and long-lasting Hmga2 expression in neurosensory formation and maintenance of hair and supporting cell fates.

Methods

Ethics Statement

All animal work was conducted according to the Guide to the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals [22]. Animal housing and experiments were conducted in accordance with French national legislation (JO 87-848) and approved by our local ethics committee named “Direction De partementale de la Protection des Populations, Pré fecture des Bouches du Rho’ne” (France), with permit number: B13-053-25.

Culture of mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs)
The undifferentiated mESCs (CGR8 line kindly provided by Bernard Binetruy, Aix-Marseille University, France) were expanded in the absence of feeder cells on DMEM culture medium (Gibco by Life Technologies) supplemented with LIF (leukemia inhibitory factor) on gelatin-coated plates. When the propagated cells were confluent at 80-90% (about 5-7 days), they were passaged using 0.25% trypsin-EDTA (Gibco by Life Technologies). The undifferentiated and untreated cells used for immunohistochemistry were harvested from passage 2 cell cultures and fixed in paraformaldehyde 4% in Phosphate Buffer Solution (PBS) for 20 min at room temperature. After several rinses they were processed for immunohistochemistry with Hmga2 antisemur following the same immunostaining protocol used for cochlear tissue sections [21].

Animals

Wild-type mice from Swiss Webster background were used in these experiments. Female mice in mating cages were checked daily for the presence of a plug, which indicated embryonic day 1.

Fluorescent Immunohistochemistry

For embryos (E13–E14.5), whole embryos were dissected, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C overnight. Heads were then dissected and processed as described below.

For early neonatal (P0-P5) tissue, the animals were decapitated and their inner ears were immersed in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C overnight. For postnatal (P12 and adult) and untreated cells, the animals were killed using CO2 and intralabyrinthine perfusion of fixative 4%paraformaldehyde through the round window was quickly performed prior to immersion of the temporal bone in fixative at 4°C overnight. The inner ears were then immersed in a solution containing 10% EDTA and 1% paraformaldehyde at 4°C for 2-4 days for decalcification.

Next, the tissue was washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and incubated on ice in 10%, sucrose solution for 0.5 h or until the tissue sank, and then incubated in 20% sucrose at 4°C overnight. The tissue was then incubated in 1:1 Cryo-OCT Compound (VWR, France) and 20% sucrose at 4°C overnight. Then, the tissue was washed in 100% OCT for 10 min and then frozen, cryosectioned in 10 μm sections, and subsequently stored at −20°C.

For co-labeling experiments, the tissue cryosections were incubated in PBS supplemented with 4% normal BSA plus 0.3% Triton X-100 (Sigma) for 2 h. Next the cryosections were incubated in a solution containing 1:200 dilution of rabbit antiserum anti-Hmga2 (Dr. Narita, Cambridge Research Institute, UK) and in either 1:200 anti-Sox2 antibody (made in goat; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), 1:200 anti-MycosVIIa antibody (made in mouse; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank; University of Iowa), 1:200 anti-parvalbumin antibody (made in guinea pig; Synaptic Systems) diluted in PBS supplemented with 4% BSA plus 0.1% Triton X-100 overnight at 4°C. Then, the sections were washed, then incubated in either anti-rabbit AlexaFluor 350 or anti-rabbit AlexaFluor-360 or anti-mouse AlexaFluor-560 or anti-guinea pig AlexaFluor-488 (Molecular Probes) diluted 1:500 in PBS supplemented with 1% BSA plus 0.1% Triton X-100 for 2 h at RT.

In some experiments, the tissue cryosections were counterstained with a phalloidin conjugated to either AlexaFluor-350 or AlexaFluor-560 (Molecular Probes) for 30 min to reveal the cellular borders of hair and supporting cells. In a subset of sections, nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. The sections were washed, then mounted using fluorescence mounting medium (Dako). Images were recorded using either DMRB fluorescence microscope (Leica) or confocal microscopy LSM 710 NLO Zeiss (Carl Zeiss).

Control experiments included negative control cochlear sections (without primary antibody) and positive control (with CGR8 mouse embryonic stem cells line) that were processed in parallel.

Quantitative Real Time PCR

We performed art-PCR to compare the expression level of Hmga2 transcripts between postnatal day-3 (P3) and adult cochlear sensory epithelia. We also included four inner ear developmental genes (Sox2, Atoh1, Jagged1, and Hes5) known to be expressed in the cochlea in the art-PCR measurements. Primers were created using the Roche Universal Probe library assay center (www.universalprobelibrary.com) which utilizes Advance Primer3 to calculate optimal size, melting point, and complementarities of primer sets. A list of primers used can be found in Table 1. We first prepared three independent RNA samples for each stage (P3 and adult) using U necasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). Each RNA sample was converted to cDNA using hexamer primers and M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). The PCR reactions were run in triplicate for each sample using a Light Cycler instrument (Roche) in a reaction mixture containing RNA free water, QuantitTect SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Qiagen) at 1×0.5 μM of each of the primer pair and cDNA at 1:25 final dilution. The amplification was performed under the following conditions: initial DNA polymerase activation and DNA denaturation at 95°C for 15 min, followed by 45 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 55°C for 20 s and 72°C for 25 s. The GAPDH gene was used as endogenous control and the data are analyzed using the delta Ct (ΔCt) method [23], where Ct is the cycle threshold, and ΔCt = Ct gene of interest − Ct of GAPDH. The gene expression levels relative to control are represented as 2E−ΔCt×10^E on a log10 scale.
We used Mann Whitney test from GraphPad software to analyze the RT-per data. The differences among cochlear samples were considered significant when the p-value was ≤0.05.

Results

Development of the organ of Corti, the auditory sense organ of mammals, involves the differentiation of two types of mechanosensory hair cells (inner and outer hair cells; IHC, OHCs) and three types of supporting cells (Deiters’s cells, inner phalangeal cells around IHCs and pillar cells separating the outer from the inner compartment). Additional cell subtypes provide the transition to the non-sensory epithelium: border cells toward the inner spiral sulcus and Hensen’s cells, toward the outer spiral sulcus (Fig. 1A). Previous histological studies suggest that during cochlear embryonic development, whereas the inner hair cells derive from multivillous columnar epithelial precursor cells located in the proximal region of the greater epithelial ridge (GER), the outer hair cells derive from the most distal cells in the lesser epithelial ridge (LER). Although the general cellular pattern of the mouse organ of Corti is complete at birth [24], the onset of auditory function is estimated to occur by postnatal day-12 (P12) and adult configuration by P21 in mice [25,26]. Hmga2 has been studied in detail in the mouse where it is highly expressed in pluripotent embryonic stem (ES) cells and during embryogenesis, but is absent or expressed at low levels in adult tissues [27].

In this study, we have first used the mouse embryonic stem line CGR8 known to express high level of Hmga2 as positive control. Intense and uniform Hmga2 immunolabeling is detected in all the nuclei of undifferentiated CGR8 cells maintained under proliferating culture conditions in feeder-free (leukemia inhibitory factor containing medium). This positive control was not carried out simultaneously and was only included as a supplemental reference tool. All the nuclei of the ES cells are uniformly immunolabeled with Hmga2 antiserum (shown in red). The nuclei are counterstained with DAPI (shown in blue). These images are taken from an earlier publication by Smeti et al., PlosOne, 2012 [21]. Scale bar: 100 µm (A) and 50 µm (B).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088757.g001

Figure 1. (A) Phase-contrast microscopic images of cross-sections through P3 and adult CSE. Inner hair cells (IHC) and outer hair cells (OHCs), as well as the non-sensory supporting cell types are indicated. Deiters’ cells (DCs) surround each OHC separating them from each other and their nuclei are located underneath the nuclei of the OHCs. The pillar cells (PCs) separate the IHCs from the OHCs. Hensen’s cells (HeCs) are external to the OHCs as indicated. Inner phalangeal (IPC) and border cells (BC) surround each IHC. The IHC and OHC derived from the greater epithelial ridge (GER) and the lesser epithelial ridge (LER), respectively, during embryogenesis. The cytologic changes that occur during the postnatal development within the GER area contribute to the formation of the inner sulcus (IS). The interdental cells (IDCs) are located in the limbus (LM) area of the adult organ of Corti. BM: basilar membrane. These images are taken from an earlier publication by Smeti et al., PlosOne, 2012 [21]. (B) Undifferentiated CGR8 mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells growing in feeder-free (LIF containing medium) cell culture medium used as positive control for Hmga2 expression. This positive control was not carried out simultaneously and was only included as a supplemental reference tool. All the nuclei of the ES cells are uniformly immunolabeled with Hmga2 antiserum (shown in red). The nuclei are counterstained with DAPI (shown in blue). These images are taken from an earlier publication by Smeti et al., PlosOne, 2012 [21]. Scale bar: 100 µm (A) and 50 µm (B).
marked the sensory primordia of the cristae, maculae and cochlear duct (Fig. 2A–B). Double labeling with Sox2 (Fig. 2–3) which labels in the embryo the developing prosensory domain of the otocyst and statoacoustic ganglion [12,13] confirmed that Hmga2 is expressed in this tissue.

We were first able to detect Hmga2 immunoreactivity in the mouse cochlear epithelium at E14.5 (Fig. 2C–G; 3A–C). Hmga2 immunolabeling was nuclear and spanned the depth of the sensory epithelium. The Hmga2 immunoreactive cells constitute a subset of the cells within the Sox2 prosensory domain and immature cells in the GER and LER regions (Fig. 2F–G). At this time point, Hmga2 signal is slightly higher in the vestibular epithelium (i.e., anterior crista, Fig. 3C) than the cochlear epithilum.

At E14.5, Hmga2 immunolabeling was also present in the nuclei of both the nascent inner hair cells and supporting cells of the developing cochlear and vestibular systems. In the cochlea of the embryo, Hmga2 was expressed in a gradient along the length of the cochlea. In the apical turns (Fig. 2G, 3A), Hmga2 expression is slightly lower than the middle (Fig. 2F, 3B) and most basal turns (data not shown).

We also detected Hmga2 expression in both the developing spiral and vestibular ganglia (Fig. 3B–C); however, this expression is much weaker than that seen in the developing sensory epithelia at the same stage of maturation. Thus by E14.5, Hmga2 immunolabeling was present in the developing cochlear, vestibular organs and statoacoustic ganglion.

After birth (P0–P3), Hmga2 immunolabeling was downregulated in the spiral ganglion, vestibular sensory epithelia (data not shown), and in the GER immature cells located medially to the organ of Corti.

Between P0 and P3, the different supporting cells are easily distinguished by their position relative to the inner and outer hair cells and the basilar membrane (Fig. 4–5).

During this early postnatal stage, strong Hmga2 immunolabeling is detected in the inner and outer hair cells, in addition to Deiters’, inner phalangeal, pillar cell nuclei, in addition to the LER area (Fig. 4C, 5B). In contrast to the downregulation in GER cells, Hmga2 continues to be expressed in immature cells of the LER region located laterally to the organ of Corti.

In the sensory epithelium of early postnatal cochlea, Hmga2 was expressed in a gradient fashion along the length of the cochlea. In the apical turn (Fig. 4C), the hair and supporting cells exhibited a slightly stronger Hmga2 immunolabeling as compared to the basal turn (Fig. 5B). In contrast to the embryo expression pattern, in the postnatal stage, Sox2 is downregulated from the hair cells and the co-localization with Hmga2 was limited to the inner ear supporting cells (Fig. 4–5).

By P12, which is the approximate period of the onset of organ of Corti’s function in mice [25,28], Hmga2 immunolabeling was observed in both inner hair cells and outer hair cells and different supporting cell subtypes (Deiter’s cells, Hensen cells, pillar cells, inner phalangeal cells and border cells) (Fig. 6). Double labeling
with either Myosin VIIa (hair cell marker) or fluorescent-phalloidin (marker of stereocilia and cellular borders of the organ of Corti) and Sox2 at this postnatal age confirmed that Hmga2 immunolabeled both the hair cells and supporting cells in the organ of Corti’s (Fig. 6A–C). In the adult cochlea (i.e., P60), Hmga2 immunolabeling intensity although slightly decreased was maintained in the nuclei of both hair cells and in different supporting cell subtypes in the organ of Corti (Fig. 6D).

To confirm the immunohistochemistry findings, Hmga2 expression in the cochlear sensory epithelium was measured using quantitative real time PCR (qRT-PCR) at two key developmental stages (i.e., P3 and P60) of the mouse inner ear. The qRT-PCR results (Fig. 7) indicated that similar to the expression levels of other known inner ear differentiation genes (i.e. Sox2, Atoh1, Jagged1 and Hes5), Hmga2 transcripts are downregulated in the cochlear sensory epithelium of the adult (P60) when compared to the early postnatal (P3) mice.

Discussion

These results indicate that Hmga2 is expressed in the developing cochlea and that its expression level changes as the cochlea matures. We show that Hmga2 is broadly expressed in both the developing cochlea, vestibule and statoacoustic ganglion in the murine embryo. In addition, postnatal and adult inner ear sensory hair cells and their non-sensory supporting cells, which are terminally differentiated cells, express Hmga2; however, its transcriptional level decreases in the adult cochlear sensory epithelium as revealed by qRT-PCR measurements. Different populations of non-sensory supporting cells in the early postnatal, adolescent, and adult cochleas exhibited Hmga2 immunolabeling in the pillar cells and in the supporting cells in direct contact with inner hair cells (border cells and inner phalangeal cells) and outer hair cells (Deiters’s and Hensen cells).

The sensory cochlear hair cells expressed Hmga2 from the onset of hair cell differentiation in the mouse embryo by E14.5 [29,30] through the adult (P60). This is in contrast with the expression of Sox2 which is also expressed in both developing hair cells and supporting cells until early postnatal day-2 (P2), but its expression is maintained only in the supporting cells of postnatal and adult mice [12–14]. However, Hmga2 and Sox2 expression overlapped in both the hair cells and supporting cells in the embryo and overlapped only in the supporting cells in postnatal and adult mice. Furthermore, the intensity of Hmga2 labeling exhibits a base-to-apex gradient, with a relatively stronger immunolabeling in the hair and supporting cells in the apical turns compared with the basal turn of the early postnatal cochleas.

Finally, Hmga2 labels also both hair cells and supporting cells in the embryonic and adult vestibular system of the murine inner ear.

It has been previously demonstrated that Hmga2 is highly expressed in undifferentiated cells during embryogenesis, in neural stem cells, in transformed cells and barely detectable in normal adult tissue [16,31,32]. Given that Hmga2 acts as architectural transcription factor linked to proliferation and expressed in pluripotent embryonic stem cells and neural stem cells [31–33], it is surprisingly to detect its expression in the postnatal and adult mouse inner ear sensory hair cells and their supporting cells, which are terminally differentiated cells at this maturation stage.
The Sox2 is another stem cell marker linked to proliferation and differentiation within the inner ear sensory epithelia and similar to Hmga2, its expression spanned a whole development including both the embryonic and mature inner ear stages [34,35].

Interestingly, it has been suggested that Hmga2 plays a dose-dependent role in the inversely correlated phenomena of stemness and differentiation [18] as what was reported for the dose-dependent function of Sox2 in different cell lineages [36,37].

Figure 4. Hmga2 immunostaining in the newborn cochlea. Tangential section through the mid-turn (z-section) of the cochlea showing widespread expression of Hmga2 (shown in red) in the nuclei of hair cells and supporting cells; in the LER region; Deiter’s cells and in pillar cells. In the organ of Corti area, Hmga2 is colocalized (shown in yellow) with Sox2 in the supporting cells. Phalloidin marker (shown in blue) was used to outline the general structure of the cochlear epithelium including stereocilia (D, arrows) and the cellular borders of hair and supporting cells. Scale bars = 20 μm in all panels. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088757.g004
Although, Hmga2 is downregulated in the adult cochlea, its extended and overlapped expression with Sox2 may suggest a possible dual role of Hmga2 during inner ear development.

**Figure 5. Hmga2 expression in postnatal day-3 (P3) inner ear (A–D).** Low-magnification micrograph of inner ear longitudinal section (A) immunostained with Hmga2 (shown in red) and Sox2 (shown in green). Higher-magnification view at the level of the basal cochlear turn (B–D) in the region indicated by the box in (A). Hmga2 expression is observed in the nuclei of hair cells and different supporting cell subtypes. Hmga2 is colocalized with Sox2 in the supporting cells within the organ of Corti’s area (shown in yellow). Intense Sox2 signal (shown in green) is also observed in cells of the GER. Higher-magnification of the utricular macula (E) in the region indicated by asterix in (A) showing moderate Hmga2 (shown in red) expression at the lumenal hair cell layer while Sox2 (shown in green) expression is restricted to supporting cells at basal layer of the macula. In all panels, cell nuclei are counterstained with DAPI (blue). Scale bars = 100 μm in (A,E) and 50 μm in (B–D).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088757.g005

**Figure 6. Hmga2 expression is maintained with Sox2 in postnatal day-12 (P12) and in adult (P60) cochleas.** By P12 (A–C), Hmga2 expression (shown in blue) is still observed in the nuclei of both hair cells co-labeled with the Myosin VIIa (shown in red, A) and supporting cells co-labeled with Sox2 (shown in green, A–B). A transverse section of P12 organ of Corti’s in mid-cochlear turn (C) co-labeled with Hmga2 (shown in blue) and phalloidin (shown in red) confirming the expression of Hmga2 in the hair and supporting cells. In the adult (D), Hmga2 immunolabeling (shown in blue) is maintained in the nuclei of hair and supporting cells of the organ of Corti. The supporting cells immunolabeled with Hmga2 included the Deiters cells (DCs), Pillar cells (PCs), inner phalangeal cells (IPC), Border cells (BC) and Hensen cells (HeCs, arrows). The organ of Corti outline is visualized by phalloidin-labeling (red color, B–D). Open arrowheads indicate the OHCs and filled arrowhead indicates the IHC (A–D). Scale bars = 20 μm in (A) all panels.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088757.g006

Hmga2 may be involved first, in establishing otic progenitor cells in the prosensory domain in the embryo and then, in subsequent stages, in the establishment and maintenance of the adult auditory epithelium.
differentiation and maintenance of hair cells and supporting cells in postnatal and adult cochleas. Furthermore, it has been shown that Hmga2 promote the maintenance of different stem cell populations and proliferation by multiple means, including maintaining the expression of pluripotency genes like Sox2, UTF1 and Oct4 [18,38], enhancing E2F1 activity [20]. Indeed, Hmga2 promotes neural stem cell self-renewal by reducing expression of negative regulators of the cell cycle, p16Ink4a and p19Arf [31].

Moving upstream from p16INK4a and Hmga2 signaling pathways, one may find that both microRNA and histone acetylation have a demonstrated role in Hmga2 expression levels. Indeed, microRNA levels of the translation-regulatory factor let-7 inversely correlate with expression of the Hmga2. In a mouse model, several reports demonstrate that members of the let-7 family of miRs target Hmga2 resulting in its posttranscriptional downregulation [39–41]. Thus let-7 miRs are potential targets for preventing proliferation not only via the loss of Hmga2 and all of its downstream effects, but also via the degradation of the known oncogene hRas [41].

Interestingly, the expression of several let-7 miRs are significantly decreased during hair cell regeneration in the adult newt [42], suggesting that let-7 miRNAs may indeed be important for the maintenance of quiescence in inner ear mechanosensory epithelia. Furthermore, it has been shown that several let-7 family members are expressed in the postnatal murine cochlea, and that their expression levels persist up to at least P100 [43], suggesting that let-7 miRs could negatively regulate Hmga2 in the postnatal and adult cochleas and prevent both hair cells and supporting cells proliferation.

The histone deacetylase enzymes (HDACs) have been also shown to play a role in the regulation of Hmga2, where inhibition of HDACs with the HDAC inhibitor Trichostatin A results in transcriptional repression of the Hmga2 gene in several different cell lines [44]. This may be important as the in vitro application of HDAC inhibitors to regenerating chicken utricles significantly reduced the numbers of proliferating supporting cells [45].

In addition, another chromatin remodeling factor, the SWI/SNF complex has been reported to be involved in the transcriptional activation that regulates neuronal development in the mammalian inner ear in cooperation with Eya/Six1 and Sox2 [46].

Finally, this is the first quantitative real time PCR data comparing the adult with the early postnatal inner ear. Our data suggest a decrease, but not a loss as suggested by some previous immunocytochemical data, of embryonic transcription factors such as Sox2 and Atoh1. These data suggest that the embryonic transcription regulation system remains active but at a much reduced level in the mouse adult inner ear.

The demonstrated effects of Hmga2 on numerous factors known to affect cell proliferation and stem cell self-renewal, suggest Hmga2 as an attractive potential target gene for manipulation in the mouse cochlea through loss and gain-of-function studies to ascertain whether or not its transcriptional downregulation with age could be in relation with the known in vivo quiescence of postmitotic sensory hair cells and supporting cells in the adult cochlea. However, more work is required to identify the functional role of Hmga2 and the type of its possible interaction with Sox2 in the mammalian cochlea.
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