Anterior Cruciate Ligament Sizing Tools Can Be Interchanged Without Affecting Graft Diameter Measurement
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Purpose: To determine whether different types of measurement tools can be interchanged without significantly affecting the resulting graft diameter. Methods: Hamstrings (gracilis and semitendinosus) and quadriceps tendons in 33 cadaver knees were harvested. Three different anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) graft combinations were created using these tendons, making 99 cadaver graft samples available to measure. The grafts were randomly passed through sizing tubes and a slotted measurement block to determine their diameter. Interobserver and intraobserver reliabilities of measurements were assessed. Pearson correlation test, as well as Bland Altman graph, were used to evaluate the interchangeability of the tools. Results: In 95% of cases, the diameter difference between the tubes and the block measures was less than the 0.5 mm in increment cutoff. Both the intraobserver and interobserver reliability were excellent. Conclusions: This study showed that the ACL graft diameter measurement does not vary whether a slotted block or sizing tube from the same company is used. Clinical Relevance: ACL graft size has an influence on the surgical technique and clinical outcomes. Therefore it is important to have reliable sizing tools.

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction is among the most common elective procedures in Orthopaedics. In the United States alone, approximately 130,000 primary ACL reconstructions are performed each year.\textsuperscript{1,2} Autologous hamstring tendon (HT) grafts are often selected for their biomechanical properties and their size. The harvesting technique is simple and reproducible. Moreover, HT grafts can easily be folded or augmented to increase graft diameter.\textsuperscript{3,4} Graft sizing is crucial for adequate bone tunnels preparation and to determine the relative risk of graft failure. It has been shown that each 0.5 mm increment in ACL graft diameter significantly lowers graft failure rate.\textsuperscript{5,6} Therefore the sizing technique must be reproducible and reliable.\textsuperscript{7,8} Multiple sizing tools have been developed by several implant companies. Some ancillaries provide an open sizing block, closed tubes or both to determine the graft diameter. If an ACL reconstruction system contains
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measurement tools of different geometry, these need to be interchangeable so that the measured graft diameter does not change according to the tool used. The purpose of this study was to determine whether different types of measurement tools can be interchanged without significantly affecting the resulting graft diameter. Our hypothesis was that given that some tendon fibers could escape through the opening, the slotted sizing block could underestimate the graft diameter.

**Methods**

The present study used a descriptive correlational design. The protocol was approved by the center’s Ethics committee.

**Experimental protocol**

Between January and March 2019, tendons were harvested from 33 cadaver knees obtained through the center’s cadaver laboratory. Two attending orthopaedic sports surgeons and a postgraduate year 4 level resident performed open semitendinosus, gracilis and quadriceps tendon harvests. The hamstring tendons were obtained using a standard open technique over the pes anserinus. A tendon harvester (Conmed, Largo, FL /Linvatec Corporation, Largo, FL) was used in the process. The quadriceps tendons (QT) were collected at the middle third off their insertion on the patella. All QT grafts involved only the thickness of the rectus femoris tendon and measured 6 mm wide /9 cm long.

This process allowed for 3 different combinations of tendons from the same donor knee to obtain the following: (1) doubled semitendinosus and gracilis (4HT); (2) tripled semitendinosus and gracilis (6HT); (3) doubled semitendinosus and gracilis augmented with unfolded quadriceps tendon graft (4HT+QT). In total, 99 different graft samples were available for graft diameter measurement (Fig 1). In addition, 10 tendons, randomly selected by the research coordinator, were measured a second time by both surgeons for intraobserver reliability evaluation. All measurements were performed by the 2 attending surgeons who prepared the grafts (i.e., total of 109 samples measured by 2 surgeons, using 2 different measurement tools). The tendon grafts were measured using the Conmed Graft Max ACL (Conmed) (Fig 1) system, which contains a sizing block with slotted holes (open slot) and multiple sizing tubes (closed) from 6.5 to 11 mm in 0.5 mm increment.

First, the tendons were folded in halves and measured (4HT). The quadriceps tendon was then added in the middle of the folded HT as the second configuration (4HT+GT) (Fig 2). Finally, the HT tendons were folded in thirds, braided, and measured again (6HT). To proceed with the diameter measurement, each sample was passed through the sizing block slotted holes by 0.5 mm decrement (Conmed Graft Max ACL system) until the smallest possible diameter was reached (Fig 3). Samples were also measured with the tubes, using the same process (Paramax ACL system; Conmed). The 2 sizing methods were attempted in no specific order. The observers were not allowed to measure the graft more than once with each sizing tool to prevent sample compression, sizing alterations, and measurement bias.

**Statistical Analyses**

In accordance with our first aim, intraobserver reliability was assessed using an intracorrelation coefficient (ICC). Guidelines used for interpretation assume poor reliability for ICC under 0.5, moderate reliability between 0.51 and 0.75, good reliability for ICC between 0.75 and 0.90, and excellent above that threshold (Koo and Li, 2016: A Guideline of Selecting and Reporting Intraclass Correlation Coefficients for Reliability Research). Inter-rater reliability was also assessed through an ICC and interpreted following the above-mentioned guidelines. Interchangeability of the two measurement tools was then assessed two ways. First, a Pearson correlation test was used to get an intuitive appreciation of the correlation. Then, the Bland-
Altman method was used to assess more precisely the agreement between the two devices. This method proposes an alternative analysis, based on the quantification of the agreement between two quantitative measurements by studying the mean difference and constructing limits of agreement. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (v23.0.0 from IBM).

**Results**

Ninety-nine graft samples from 33 knees were measured using both sizing tools by two attending surgeons (n = 198). The demographic cadaver’s information are detailed in Table 1. The intraobserver reliability was excellent with intra-correlation coefficients varying between 0.931 and 0.99 for both the slotted sizing block and the sizing tubes. The interobserver reliability was also good to excellent with a coefficient between 0.897 and 0.953. Pearson correlation score of 0.991 (P < .001) was assessed between the 2 measuring tools (see Tables 2 and 3 for detailed results). Moreover, the Bland-Altman graph showed a mean difference of −0.05, with 95% limits of agreement between −0.46 and 0.37. When breaking down per surgeon, surgeon 1 showed a mean difference of 0.01 between the 2 techniques (95% limits of agreement: −0.38, 0.39), whereas surgeon 2 showed a mean difference of −0.10 (95% limits of agreement: −0.52, 0.32).

**Discussion**

The results of our study showed that slotted sizing block and closed tubes are interchangeable. Adequate ACL graft sizing is extremely important. Accurate graft diameter measurement ensures that the femoral and tibial tunnels will have the optimal size for graft passage and integration. A study by Spragg et al. also showed that every 0.5mm in graft diameter increment is inversely proportional to graft failure rate. The surgeon could therefore use a threshold diameter value for which to enlarge the graft to lower the failure rate. The harvested graft diameter measurement has therefore to be reliable.

Reliability was first assessed through intraobserver and interobserver reliability. In this specific case, both the intraobserver and interobserver reliability were excellent, with the 2 surgeons obtaining the same value in more than 80% of sample measurements. A study by Dwyer et al. obtained similar results using cadaver hamstrings.

The second aspect of reliability concerns the different measurement tools. Our initial hypothesis was that the slotted sizing block could underestimate the graft diameter because some tendon fibers could potentially escape through the opening. However, in 95% of cases, the diameter difference between the tubes and the block measures was less than the 0.5 mm increment cutoff. Thus if the block does underestimate the graft diameter, the size difference cannot be determined with the resolution of our instruments. This could also explain the fact that the 0.5 mm increment, which represents one tube size increment, was included in the confidence interval. This could have been avoided with the use of smaller scale instruments (0.2 mm increment, for example), which are, to our knowledge, nonexistent.

Our results support therefore that in the context of graft sizing, the open and closed instruments tested can

**Table 1. Demographic Information**

|                           | Number of specimens | Average age | Body mass index | Sex            |
|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|
|                           | 17 (33 knees)       | 76.2 ± 13.6 years | 22.6 ± 4 kg/m²  | 52.9% males    |

**Table 2. Intrarater and Inter-Rater Reliability**

|                        | Slotted sizing tool | Tubes |
|------------------------|---------------------|-------|
|                        | ICC  | 95% CI      | ICC | 95% CI      |
| Intrarater reliability |       |             |      |             |
| Surgeon 1              | 0.962 | [0.858, 0.990] | 0.931 | [0.738, 0.983] |
| Surgeon 2              | 0.972 | [0.894, 0.993] | 0.988 | [0.956, 0.997] |
| Overall                | 0.965 | [0.914, 0.986] | 0.952 | [0.880, 0.981] |
| Inter-rater reliability| 0.980 | [0.970, 0.987] | 0.979 | [0.950, 0.989] |

ICC, intracorrelation coefficient; CI, confidence interval.
be considered interchangeable. The strength of this study resides in the number of cadaveric grafts used (n = 33) for a total of 99 graft samples used for measurement.

Limitations
Comparing measuring devices from only 1 company (Conmed Medical) is this study’s principal limitation. Although we may believe that the engineering of those tools must respect the same standards and precision when it comes to the inner diameter values from one company to the other, the study conclusion cannot be automatically transposed to other ACL ancillaries. Also, there was no predetermined sequence in which the grafts had to be measured. Both observers simultaneously measured the grafts after preparing them. They used the first available sizing tool because they were all used at the same time on a common working table. The lack of an organized, reproducible sizing sequence could lead to precision errors. However, this is the technique used in the operating room setting. The graft diameter is thought to be reduced under continuous compression.\footnote{Cruz A, Fabricant P, Seeley M, Ganley T, Lawrence J. Change in size of hamstring grafts during preparation for ACL reconstruction: Effect of tension and circumferential compression on graft diameter. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2016;98:484-489.} Repetitive measurements may have affected the graft size but the ICC results showed very minimal subclinical impact of creep phenomenon in this study.

Conclusions
This study showed that the ACL graft diameter measurement does not vary whether a slotted block or sizing tube from the same company is used.
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