The Study Involving Partial Replacement of Slag Sand in Polymer Modified Concrete and its Application in Interlocking Concrete Paver Block (ICPB)
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Abstract: The idea of Carriageway design and maintenance needs to be such that it bears loads due to the climate change and also for the social comfort which caters the all range of people who use the road way. In this study the potential of the paver blocks is evaluated in the laboratory. Styrene-Butadiene rubber induced paver blocks will have greater tension, good ductility and also, good impact strength because of the 3D polymer network. The bridging between cracks and also due to voids filling nature, the porosity decreases. A Styrene-Butadiene rubber polymer induced along with granular slag sand in ICPB to improve the ductility and flexure of polymer modified concrete (PMC). The structural properties of PMC in paver blocks are studied, the study is divided into two series, Series 1 is to cast paver blocks solely of granular slag sand and Series 2 is to cast paver blocks of PMC where fine aggregates with few parts replaced with Granular slag sand. The comparative study is done for various strength parameters. The study concludes that the paver blocks with PMC concrete with 15% of Granular slag sand replaced with fine aggregates.
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1. Introduction

The aggregate production is responsible for 50% of total greenhouse gas emissions for both asphalt and concrete pavements. Moreover, pavement networks are affected not only by traffic loading but also by environmental factors, such as ambient temperature and moisture. Therefore, pavement design methods should consider structural and other stresses stemming from the service condition. In addition to the service condition, the anthropogenic climate change imposes additional stresses that significantly influence the structural performance of the pavement during its life span. In this regard, the optimum life span and the mode and intensity of failures can change, which results in variations in the pavement management strategies, models of investment, and capital resource losses.

Polymers have good binding properties and good adhesion with aggregates. They have long-chain structure, which helps in developing long-range network structure of bonding. In reference, cement materials provide short-range structure of bonding. As a result, polymer materials usually provide greater compressive, tensile and flexural strength to the concrete compared to Portland cement. Some polymer materials may selectively provide higher compressive strength.

2. Methodology and Materials

A. Methodology

The SBR polymer is chosen for the polymer modified concrete. The basic tests for every material are done and the specifications is noted down. The mix proportion is arrived at using IS 10262:2019 and IRC SP63: 2004. The casting process for ICPB is divided into two series, Series 1 is cast with granular slag sand and Series 2 is cast with granular slag sand and polymer. The casting specification will be chosen from IS 15658:2006 for the particular application which is aimed at. The testing or Physical requirements for ICPB are divided into two types general and optional. The Series 1 mixes are evaluated through compressive strength and the mix which gives the satisfactory results is chosen for Series 2 where then the different proportions of polymer are experimented with to arrive at an optimum polymer proportion, for Series 2 the flexural strength is chosen as a base for evaluation.

B. Materials and testing

The Materials for conventional concrete’s paver block are the M-sand, Coarse aggregate which is 10mm down and 6mm retained, Cement of grade 53, Superplasticizer 0.4% and Class F fly ash is used. The basic tests are done and found to be in limits as per Indian standards. The materials for Series 2 is a partial replacement of Granular slag sand with increments of
5%, 10%...20%. The materials for the Series 2 same as conventional concrete but the addition of an optimum proportion of granular slag sand and the polymer styrene butadiene rubber is used which should be in increments of 5, 10, 15%. The slump to check the workability of fresh concrete as specified by code IRC SP: 63:2004 should be between 25-50mm, the paver block which caters for (M-50) heavy vehicles are chosen to cast which has a thickness of 100mm.

3. Mix Design

The guidelines are given in IRC SP: 63:2004 is used to arrive at a mix design which gives the strength of 53N/mm² for conventional concrete and the for series 1 it is just a partial replacement of Granular slag sand and for Series 2. Handbook for polymer modified concrete was referred which specifies to replace the polymer by cement.

4. Results and Discussion

A. Series 1 Mix

The compressive strength is the base on evaluating an optimum proportion of granular slag sand. The graph below shows the trend for different proportions on the 14th day and 28th day. The graph shows that S3 is optimum hence the proportion of 15% is optimum for granular slag sand.

B. Series 2 Mix

Here Flexural strength is the base for evaluating the optimum for the series 2 mix which is polymer modified concrete with partial replacement of granular Slag sand. The below graph shows the trend. The SP3 mix dominates in Flexural strength for paver block over other mixes in series 2 and also the conventional concrete.

Table 1

| Specimen (S0) | Flexural Strength (N/mm²) |
|--------------|--------------------------|
|              | 14th day | 28th day |
| 1            | 3.50     | 5.23    |
| 2            | 3.65     | 5.10    |
| 3            | 3.12     | 4.92    |
| 4            | 2.93     | 5.32    |
| Avg.         | 3.25     | 5.125   |

Table 2

| Specimen (SP3) | Flexural Strength (N/mm²) |
|----------------|--------------------------|
|                | 14th day | 28th day |
| 1              | 4.12     | 7.70     |
| 2              | 4.35     | 7.63     |
| 3              | 4.23     | 6.05     |
| 4              | 4.92     | 7.92     |
| Avg.           | 4.405    | 7.325    |

C. Comparative study of SP3 Mix for compressive strength and water absorption with conventional concrete mix

1) Compressive strength

The comparative study for compressive strength shows there is no subsequent increase in strength which was found to be true in the literature survey. The graph below visualizes the same.
Table 3
Compressive strength for conventional concrete

| Specimen (S0) | Compressive strength (N/mm²) | 14th day | 28th day |
|---------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------|
| 1             | 43.22                       | 53.82    |          |
| 2             | 39.21                       | 56.8     |          |
| 3             | 41.12                       | 54.2     |          |
| 4             | 44.20                       | 56.1     |          |
| Avg.          | 41.87                       | 55.225   |          |

Table 4
Compressive strength for Series 2 concrete with 15% Polymer along with Slag sand

| Specimen (SP3) | Compressive strength (N/mm²) | 14th day | 28th day |
|----------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------|
| 1              | 42.42                       | 54.12    |          |
| 2              | 41.39                       | 51.56    |          |
| 3              | 40.58                       | 50.92    |          |
| 4              | 43.80                       | 54.91    |          |
| Avg.           | 42.047                      | 52.87    |          |

Table 5
Water absorption for conventional concrete

| Specimen (S0) | Water absorption (W%) | 14th day | 28th day |
|---------------|-----------------------|----------|----------|
| Conventional  | 5.89                  | 5.82     |          |
| 1             | 5.78                  | 5.72     |          |
| 2             | 5.99                  | 5.82     |          |
| Avg.          | 5.88                  | 5.78     |          |

Table 6
Water absorption for Series 2 concrete with 15% Polymer and 15% of Slag sand

| Specimen (SP3) | Water absorption (W%) | 14th day | 28th day |
|----------------|-----------------------|----------|----------|
| 1              | 5.76                  | 5.26     |          |
| 2              | 5.56                  | 5.12     |          |
| 3              | 5.63                  | 5.23     |          |
| Avg.           | 5.65                  | 5.203    |          |

5. Conclusion

The above results give us hope to utilize the polymer modified concrete paver blocks for the roads that cater to the high volume of vehicles. The waste materials and alternative materials pave way for the reduction of carbon footprint set on the earth so that we could help prevent ills happening due to climate change. The SP3 mix that is polymer modified concrete with partial replacement of granular slag sand proves to be the ultimate mix which gives the better Flexural strength over other mixes. The availability of waste materials such as granular slag sand proves economical too. The polymer modified concrete is water repellent to some extent where, polymers are used to seal roads and other construction structures to protect from water. The main advantage of the ICPB is that it is durable if rehabilitated at right time. The self-healing property of polymer modified could also help in casting the durable paver blocks.
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