Interaction of *Vibrio cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 with Copepods, Cladocerans and Competing Bacteria in the Large Alkaline Lake Neusiedler See, Austria
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**Abstract** *Vibrio cholerae* is a human pathogen and natural inhabitant of aquatic environments. Serogroups O1/O139 have been associated with epidemic cholera, while non-O1/non-O139 serogroups usually cause human disease other than classical cholera. *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 from the Neusiedler See, a large Central European lake, have caused ear and wound infections, including one case of fatal septicaemia. Recent investigations demonstrated rapid planktonic growth of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 and correlation with zooplankton biomass. The aim of this study was to elucidate the interaction of autochthonous *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 and correlation with zooplankton biomass. The aim of this study was to elucidate the interaction of autochthonous *V. cholerae* with two dominant crustacean zooplankton species in the lake and investigate the influence of the natural bacterial community on this interaction. An existing data set was evaluated for statistical relationships between zooplankton species and *V. cholerae* and co-culture experiments were performed in the laboratory. A new fluorescence in situ hybridisation protocol was applied for quantification of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 cells, which significantly reduced analysis time. The experiments clearly demonstrated a significant relationship of autochthonous *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 with cladocerans by promoting growth of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 in the water and on the surfaces of the cladocerans. In contrast, copepods had a negative effect on the growth of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 via competing bacteria from their surfaces. Thus, beside other known factors, biofilm formation by *V. cholerae* on crustacean zooplankton appears to be zooplankton taxon specific and may be controlled by the natural bacterial community.
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Introduction

*Vibrio cholerae* is both a human pathogen and a natural inhabitant of aquatic environments [1–3]. *V. cholerae* serogroups 01 and 0139 have been shown to be associated with epidemic cholera, while *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 serogroups sometimes cause disease other than epidemic cholera. Less severe watery diarrhoea, wound infections, blood-stream infections or ear infections have frequently been reported [4, 5]. *V. cholerae* O1/O139 usually live in association with crustacean zooplankton, mainly copepods, where they build biofilms on external and internal surfaces [6–8]. It has been hypothesised that attachment to surfaces serves as a survival strategy of *V. cholerae* to escape from heterotrophic nanoflagellate grazing [9]. Due to its ability to degrade chitin, these surfaces are also used as a nutrient source [10, 11]. Moreover, chitin has been shown to induce DNA uptake by *V. cholerae* [12, 13] enhancing the environmental fitness of this bacterium.

In contrast to the vast knowledge of the ecology of *V. cholerae* O1/O139, much less is known about the environmental behaviour of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 strains. In Austria, *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 has caused several ear and wound infections in recent years [14]. Most of these cases, including a lethal septicaemia, were explicitly linked to recreational activities in the Neusiedler See, a large shallow lake in Central Europe. A recent study also demonstrated the permanent autochthonous existence of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 strains in this moderately saline, alkaline lake [15].

Over a period of 4 years, a significant correlation of the relative frequency of *V. cholerae* positive samples with total zooplankton biomass was reported [15]. The aim of the present investigation was to elucidate the association of autochthonous *V. cholerae* and a ‘foreign’ *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 strain with the two dominant crustacean zooplankton species of the open water area of the Neusiedler See. *Arctodiaptomus spinosus* is the dominant copepod and *Diaphanosoma mongolianum* is the dominant cladoceran. The 4-year data set accumulated by our laboratory was re-evaluated to determine statistically significant relationships between the two dominant zooplankton species and *V. cholerae*. Laboratory microcosm co-culture experiments were performed to study interaction of *V. cholerae* with *A. spinosus* and *D. mongolianum*. Batch cultures were prepared with filter sterilised and 2-μm filtered lake water to determine the influence of the natural bacterial community on the association of *V. cholerae* with zooplankton.

Our results showed that *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 strains minimally attached to copepods in the lake but much more frequently to cladocerans. The competing natural bacterial community was found to have a significant negative influence on the growth of planktonic *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139.

Materials and Methods

Study Site and Sampling

The lake Neusiedler See is the largest shallow alkaline lake in central Europe (surface area 321 km²; maximum depth 1.8 m; mean depth 1.1 m; pH 8.5 to 9.1, salinity: 1–2 g L⁻¹). About 55% of the lake is covered with reeds. Due to the shallow water column, water temperature changes rapidly in response to weather events and frequent resuspension of sediment caused by winds and currents results in a high concentration of suspended solids in the water column [15, 16]. During the period from 2001 to 2004, at five sampling stations, lake water samples were collected and tested for the presence of culturable *V. cholerae* at weekly to biweekly intervals from April to October and at longer intervals (4 to 8 weeks) from November to March. Concomitantly, samples for crustacean zooplankton abundance and a variety of environmental variables were taken, as described in detail in Kirschner et al. [15]. Data from those investigations were re-evaluated for statistical relationships between the abundance of the two dominating zooplankton species (*A. spinosus* and *D. mongolianum*) and *V. cholerae* prevalence.

For laboratory growth experiments, water samples were collected in clean 10-L canisters from sampling site 5, a station representative for the centre of the lake (Supporting Information: Fig. S1), during different seasons between June 2007 and September 2008. Zooplankton samples were collected with vertical net hauls (mesh size, 250 μm) resulting in integrated samples of the entire water column. Depending on water depth at the respective station (between 75 and 180 cm), 50 to 120 L of lake water was filtered. Zooplankton was collected from the net and placed into sterile 200-mL glass bottles. All samples were transferred to the laboratory at in situ temperature in an isolated box in the dark within 1.5 h. Copepods (*A. spinosus*) and cladocerans (*D. mongolianum*) were separated in Petri dishes under a stereoscopic microscope (MBS 10, USSR) at ×20 magnification after careful rinsing with sterile filtered lake water (NSW, see below). Extreme care was taken to avoid damage to the animals and only active individuals in all development stages (nauplii to adults) and both sexes (females with egg masses) were collected for the experiments. In contrast to other studies where zooplankton was from laboratory stock cultures [e.g. 25], we designed our experiments to reflect the natural environment as closely as possible by using freshly collected zooplankton. This was considered to reduce bias in the experimental design. On the other hand, zooplankton freshly collected from the lake are colonised by *V. cholerae*, as was observed during the experiments. However, the number of *V. cholerae* on freshly collected individuals was in all cases
Design of Growth Experiments

Batch growth cultures with different concentrations of copepods and cladocerans were prepared in sterile 1-L glass flasks. A volume of 800 ml was chosen, because smaller volumes increase the probability of the ‘bottle effect’ [17], leading to overestimation of bacterial growth. For each experiment, 150, 50 and 0 (control) freshly collected zooplankton were added to separate flasks. Flasks were filled either with freshly collected sterile filtered lake water (NSW) or with 2-μm filtered (‘with competition’) lake Neusiedler See water (NSW). Sterile filtered NSW was produced via prefiltration through a clean 10-μm plankton net, followed by filtration through 0.2-μm cellulose acetate filters (Whatman, Dassel, Germany) and through 0.1-μm Anodisc aluminium oxide filters (Whatman). Filtration through a 2-μm polycarbonate filter (Whatman) resulted in the effective elimination of potential protozoan grazers [17], while the natural bacterial community was still present in large numbers. In sum, six flasks were prepared for each experiment, which was repeated three times at three different temperatures for copepods and cladocerans, respectively. For inoculation, the V. cholerae strain non-O1/non-O139 (Vc070521H5) isolated from lake Neusiedler See in the beginning of the experimental series following the protocol of Kirschner et al. [15] was thawed from a frozen (−80°C) stock and cultured overnight in liquid Luria-Bertani (LB) medium at 37°C. An aliquot (100 μl) was transferred to 50 ml LB broth and grown on a rotary shaker until an optical density at 620 nm of 0.6 to 0.8 was reached (1.5 to 3 h). Aliquots were centrifuged (7,500×g, 10 min) and washed three times with sterile filtered autoclaved lake water. The washed pellet was resuspended in 10 ml of sterile lake water and stored at the respective in situ temperature until the beginning of the experiment (approximately 4 h) to allow adaptation to the environmental conditions. An aliquot (5 to 10 μl) was inoculated into the flasks to yield a final concentration of 0.8×10^4 to 2.5×10^4 cells ml^-1, a density which was of the same magnitude as in other microcosm studies with V. cholerae [15, 18]. The flasks were sealed with a sterile cap and bubbled slightly with sterile filtered air. The cultures were incubated in the dark at different temperatures ±1°C according to the in situ temperature at the respective sampling date (copepods: 10, 15, 20°C; cladocerans: 20, 23, 25°C) and sub-sampled at regular intervals of 6 h for a period of 66 h. These temperature ranges were chosen because copepods are present throughout the year in the lake, while cladocerans dominate the plankton during the warm season. Additional experiments were performed at 25°C for both zooplankton species to rule out a possible temperature effect (see below: multi-strain experiments). Subsamples for enumeration of V. cholerae using Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization (FISH) (20 ml) as well as for total bacterial numbers using acridine orange direct counting (1 ml) were collected with sterile glass pipettes at each sampling time. Samples for FISH were fixed with 5 ml of para-formaldehyde (1% final concentration) for 12 h at 4°C; samples for acridine orange direct count were fixed with 50 μl formaldehyde (2% final concentration) for up to 3 days at 4°C.

Enumeration of V. cholerae Attached to Zooplankton

In the beginning and at the end of all experiments, six to ten live A. spinosus or D. mongolianum individuals were collected from the growth cultures with sterile pipettes to test for associated V. cholerae non-O1/non-O139. The zooplankton was carefully rinsed with sterile filtered NSW. For enumeration using FISH, the animals were placed into 10 ml PBS and fixed with 10 ml para-formaldehyde (final concentration 1%). After 6 h, 20 ml of a tetrasodium-pyrophosphate solution (0.05 M final concentration) was added and shaken on ice for 6 h. Samples were sonicated for 30 s at 40 W (Branson sonicator S250D) and filtered through a 56-μm mesh sterile nylon net to remove large particles. In all three copepod experiments and in two cladoceran experiments (20, 25°C), more than 90% of the individuals were alive at the end of the experiments, only a few individuals were found dead at the bottom of the experimental flasks. In the cladoceran experiment carried out at 23°C, fewer than 20% of all individuals survived, but this had no influence on the general trends as observed in the other experiments.

Multi-strain Experiments

To test for ecological variability of the relationship of V. cholerae and the two zooplankton species, we performed two experiments with five V. cholerae non-O1/non-O139 strains randomly selected from our lake Neusiedler See strain collection and one non-O1/non-O139 V. cholerae strain from the national Dutch strain collection (NCCB36033). The V. cholerae cells from pre-cultures were added to 400 ml of 2-μm-filtered lake water (‘with competition’), with and without 50 zooplankton individuals and monitored over 72 h. A common temperature of 25°C was used in order to rule out that differences between the single strain experiments with copepods and the ones with cladocerans are attributed to the different temperatures used. Again, at the end of the experiments more than 90% of all copepod and cladoceran individuals were alive.
Samples for *V. cholerae* and total cell number determination were taken and fixed as described above.

Fluorescence in Situ Hybridisation

Fixed samples were filtered on white polycarbonate membranes (ø 25 mm, pore-size 0.2 μm, Whatman) and air-dried for about 1 h. Dry filters were either used immediately or transferred into sterile 1.5-ml Eppendorf tubes and stored at −20°C until use. For hybridisation, a 6-FAM-labelled probe, Vchomim1276 (5′-[6-FAM]-ACT TTG TGA GAT TCG CTC CAC CTC G-3′) was used [19] at a working concentration of 50 ng μl⁻¹ in sterile water. 270 μl hybridisation solution (0.9 M NaCl, 20 mM TRIS-HCl, 0.01% SDS, 35% formamide) was mixed with 30 μl 6-FAM-labelled probe in a 0.7-ml Eppendorf tube. A quarter of each frozen filter was cut out with a scalpel and added to the hybridisation mix. Ten to 15 filter sections can be put into one 0.7-ml Eppendorf tube. Hybridisation was performed at 46°C for 1.5 h [20]. Alternative to hybridisation on filters, cells can be centrifuged and hybridised in poly-L-lysine coated multi-well slides [19], a procedure which may be advantageous for turbid samples. In that protocol, a 24-h-hybridisation period is necessary to make the target region accessible [21]. After hybridisation, the filter sections were transferred in 50-ml pre-warmed washing buffer (80 mM NaCl, 20 mM TRIS-HCl, 5 mM EDTA, 0.01% SDS) and incubated for another 30 min at 48°C. The washing buffer with filter sections was poured through a suction strainer, the filter sections were rinsed with ethanol (80–100%) and air-dried on paper in the dark. For counterstaining, the filter was placed on top of a drop of DAPI mix (5.5 parts Citifluor [Groepl, Tulln, Austria], 1 part Vectashield [Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA], 0.5 parts 1× PBS, DAPI [Sigma–Aldrich, Vienna, Austria] final concentration 1 μg ml⁻¹) on a microscope slide, covered with another drop DAPI mix, mounted with a cover slip and incubated for 10 min in the dark. Microscope slides can be stored at −20°C for several months. Stained filters were examined under a Nikon Eclipse 8000 microscope at ×1,250 magnification and at least 20 microscopic fields were counted for the enumeration of *V. cholerae*. For ruling out false positives, we tested a variety of related *Vibrio* species (*V. fluvialis, V. alginolyticus, V. parahaemolyticus, V. vulnificus, V. fischeri*) which were all negative for the probe. During summer 2008, the FISH probe was also applied for a series of environmental samples from the Lake Neusiedler See and compared to results from cultivation. There was no significant difference between the results from the two methods, indicating that the use of the FISH probe Vchomim1276 does not overestimate *Vibrio cholerae* numbers (Kirschnner, unpublished data).

Growth Rate and Growth Yield

From the increase in cell numbers, the specific growth rate (μ) was calculated using the formula $\mu = (\ln BN_1 - \ln BN_0) \times (T_1 - T_0)^{-1}$, where $BN_0$ and $BN_1$ are the bacterial numbers at the beginning (time zero $T_0$) and at the end ($T_1$) of the exponential growth phase, respectively. The yield (absolute increase in bacterial numbers) was calculated by subtracting $BN_0$ from the maximal BN.

Acridine Orange Direct Count

The fixed 1-ml subsamples were filtered through black polycarbonate 0.2-μm-pore-size filters (Whatman) and stained with acridine orange according to the method of Hobbie et al. [22]. Stained filters were examined for total bacterial numbers, as described above.

Statistical Analysis

SPSS 17.0 for Windows was used for all statistical calculations. Paired *T* test and Mann–Whitney *U* Test were applied for testing for significant differences between treatments.

Results

Zooplankton Development and Correlation of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 with Zooplankton and Temperature

During the period from 2001 to 2004, the concentration of the two dominant crustacean zooplankton species in the lake varied between 3 and 320 L⁻¹ for the copepod, *A. spinosus* and 0 to 250 L⁻¹ for the cladoceran, *D. mongolianum* (Supporting information: Fig. S2A). *D. mongolianum* development followed a typical seasonal pattern with significant increase in numbers during the warm months of May to September and showed significant correlation with temperature (rho=0.74; *p*<0.001; *n*=96). *A. spinosus* was also present in large numbers during the winter months, with no significant correlation with temperature (rho=0.15; *p*>0.1; *n*=96). The prevalence of culturable *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139, determined as percentage of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 positive samples, followed a typical seasonal pattern and was significantly correlated with temperature (rho=0.65; *p*<0.001; *n*=102; Supporting information: Fig. S2B). A significant correlation was found with *D. mongolianum* (rho=0.62; *p*<0.001; *n*=94) but not with *A. spinosus* (rho=0.13; *p*>0.2; *n*=94).

Growth Experiments with Cladocerans

The addition of cladocerans to the microcosms significantly enhanced growth of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-
Table 1 Summary of growth experiments with cladocerans

| Temperature | Treatment | Without competition | With competition |
|-------------|-----------|---------------------|------------------|
|             | μ [h⁻¹]  | Yield               | p value          | μ [h⁻¹]  | Yield               | p value          |
| 25°C        | Control  | 0.076               | 2.30×10⁴         | –       | 0.002               | 0.04×10⁴         | –               |
|             | 50 clad   | 0.120               | 6.31×10⁴         | <0.01   | 0.068               | 2.99×10⁴         | <0.01           |
|             | 150 clad  | 0.176               | 21.3×10⁴         | <0.01   | 0.166               | 14.9×10⁴         | <0.01           |
| 23°C        | Control  | 0.095               | 4.39×10⁴         | –       | 0.025               | 0.82×10⁴         | –               |
|             | 50 clad   | 0.184               | 20.0×10⁴         | <0.01   | 0.088               | 11.3×10⁴         | <0.01           |
|             | 150 clad  | 0.188               | 61.0×10⁴         | <0.01   | 0.095               | 10.3×10⁴         | <0.01           |
| 20°C        | Control  | 0.128               | 10.8×10⁴         | –       | 0.106               | 13.8×10⁴         | –               |
|             | 50 clad   | 0.134               | 69.8×10⁴         | <0.01   | 0.137               | 105×10⁴          | <0.01           |
|             | 150 clad  | 0.130               | 61.0×10⁴         | <0.01   | 0.158               | 39.9×10⁴         | <0.01           |

Data indicate calculated growth rates (μ) and growth yield of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 without (control) and with (50 and 150) added cladocerans (clad).

All experiments were performed ‘with competition’ (2-μm filtered lake water) and ‘without initial competition’ (sterile filtered lake water) by the natural bacterial community.

Each single μ and yield value is derived from one growth curve consisting of 12 consecutive sampling points.

The p value indicates the significance of the difference in cell numbers between control and the cultures with cladocerans (paired T test; based on cell number data in logarithmic and stationary phase).

V. cholerae O139 in comparison to the respective controls (for growth rate: p<0.001; for growth yield: p<0.001; n=12; significance values calculated from pooled results in Table 1). A representative example of *V. cholerae* growth rates with two concentrations of cladocerans and in the respective control is shown in Fig. 1. After a short lag phase of 6 to 18 h, *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 increased markedly, to 1.5 orders of magnitude, in all batch cultures with cladocerans, while slower growth was observed in the controls. Also, in the sterile filtered cultures (‘without initial competition’), a bacterial community, inoculated from the cladoceran surfaces, developed during the experiment to a final concentration of 3.5×10⁶ ml⁻¹ (Fig. 1a, small insert). In the 2-μm filtered lake water (‘with competition’) the natural bacterial community increased from 1×10⁶ to 2.5×10⁶–4×10⁶ cells ml⁻¹ (Fig. 1b, small insert). Table 1 summarises results of all experiments. Based on cell number data from logarithmic and stationary phase, the differences between control and the cultures with cladocerans were in all experiments statistically significant. In the batch cultures at 25°C and 23°C, without initial competition, *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 growth rates (μ) approximately doubled in the presence of cladocerans compared to the control, and growth yield increased ten times to 14 times with cladocerans. At 20°C, a similar growth rate and a significantly (six times) higher growth yield was observed with cladocerans. In all batch cultures with a competing natural bacterial community, cladocerans had a significant positive effect on *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 growth. Growth rates were 1.5–83 times and growth yields 7.6–370 times higher in all batch cultures with cladocerans than in the controls. The presence of a competing natural bacterial community in the batch cultures had a significant negative effect on growth rate (p<0.05; n=9) and growth yield (p<0.01; n=9) of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139, when comparing all values obtained from experiments ‘without initial competition’ with values obtained from experiments ‘with competition’.

Enumeration of *V. cholerae* Attached to Cladocerans

*V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 associated with surfaces of cladocerans were determined in the beginning and at the end of each experiment. In the beginning, FISH-based cell numbers were between 0 and 340 cells per individual and increased to 30×10⁴ to 77×10⁴ (25°C), 0.2×10⁴ to 1.2×10⁴ (23°C) and 0.4×10⁴ to 1.2×10⁴ (20°C) *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 per individual.

Multi-strain Experiments - Cladocerans

To test for the variability of the observed patterns among different *V. cholerae* strains, additional experiments, run at 25°C, with five autochthonous *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 strains from our strain collection and one ‘foreign’ *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 strain (NCCB36033) were performed. All strains showed a higher growth rate and growth yield in the presence of cladocerans than in the control (Table 2). In comparison to the autochthonous *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 strains, the ‘foreign’ *V. cholerae* strain grew slower and with lower growth yield. Also, the number...
of *V. cholerae* attached to cladocerans was markedly lower than for the autochthonous strains.

Growth Experiments with Copepods

The addition of copepods had a significant negative impact on planktonic *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 growth in comparison to the respective controls (for growth rate, \( p < 0.001 \); and growth yield, \( p < 0.05 \); \( n = 12 \); significance values calculated from pooled results in Table 3). A representative example of FISH-based *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 enumeration is shown in Fig. 2. In comparison to the control, growth rates and growth yield were lower in all batch cultures with copepods. In this experiment, the lowest growth and the largest difference compared with the control were found in the cultures with 50 and not with 150 copepods. As in the experiments with cladocerans, a competing bacterial community developed in the batch cultures with sterile filtered lake water (‘without initial competition’) due to the inoculation of bacteria from the copepod surfaces (Fig. 2a, small insert). Competing bacteria reached similar cell concentrations (approximately \( 2 \times 10^6 \) cells ml\(^{-1} \)) as in the cultures with 2-\( \mu \)m filtered lake water (Fig. 2b, small insert). Table 3 summarises the results of FISH-based *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 enumerations of all experiments. Based on cell number data from logarithmic and stationary phase, the differences between control and the cultures with copepods were in all experiments statistically significant (exception 10°C, with competition). Without initial competition, growth rates were 1.5 to 2 times and growth yield up to 32 times higher in the controls than in the batch cultures with copepods. With competition, the difference between controls
and copepod cultures was 1.2–1.6 times for growth rates and up to 13 times for growth yield. In the batch cultures run at 10°C, the differences between the treatments were negligible because of reduced *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 growth rates due to the low temperature. The presence of competing bacteria had a significant negative impact on *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 growth in all experiments (Table 3). On the one hand, this became obvious by direct comparison between batch cultures with and without initial competition. Growth rates of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 under competition were between 1.1 to 5 times \( (p<0.001, n=9) \) and growth yields between 1.4 to 50 times lower \( (p<0.001, n=9) \) than without initial competition. On the other hand, there was a significant negative correlation between the abundance of competing bacteria developing in the batch cultures with sterile filtered lake water and the difference of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 numbers between the control and cultures with copepods (Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients ranging from \(-0.87\) to \(-0.94; p<0.001\)). This means that the higher the concentration of competing bacteria was, the lower the growth performance of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 irrespective of the number of copepods in the culture.

**Enumeration of *V. cholerae* Attached to Copepods**

*V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 associated with external surfaces of the copepods were determined in the beginning and at the end of each experiment. Cell numbers per individual copepod were significantly lower than for cladocerans. Cell numbers ranged from 0 to 100 cells per individual in the beginning and increased to \(1\times10^3\) to \(7\times10^3\) \((20°C)\), 700 to \(1.3\times10^3\) \((15°C)\) and 700 to \(2.5\times10^3\) per individual \((10°C)\).

**Multi-strain Experiments - Copepods**

In additional experiments, run at 25°C, with five autochthonous *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 and one "foreign" *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 strain (NCCB36033), the presence of copepods had also a significant negative impact on *V. cholerae* growth rates and growth yields in all cases \( (p<0.001, n=6) \). As observed in the multi-strain experiment with cladocerans, the ‘foreign’ *V. cholerae* strain had a much worse performance than the autochthonous strains (Table 2). The number of *V. cholerae* attached to copepods ranged from \(7\times10^2\) to \(6\times10^3\) cells per individual.

**Discussion**

**Interaction with Zooplankton**

The composition of the crustacean zooplankton as well as the presence of competing bacteria had a significant
influence on the growth performance of autochthonous *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 strains in the lake Neusiedler See. The high correlation of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 prevalence with abundance of the cladoceran species *D. mongolianum* that dominates the zooplankton in the lake during the warm season (April–October), suggested a possible strong relationship between the two. Addition of *D. mongolianum* to laboratory microcosms in all experiments showed significant enhancement of growth of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 in the lake water. In contrast, the copepod *A. spinosus*, which is present in the lake throughout the year, did not show significant correlation with *V. cholerae*. In batch cultures, addition of copepods resulted in significant decrease in the growth of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 in lake water, compared to controls. With cell densities varying from $2 \times 10^5$ to $7.7 \times 10^5$ per individual, *D. mongolianum* was much more intensely (3–320 times; average, 100 times) colonised by *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 than *A. spinosus*, with cell densities ranging from $7 \times 10^2$ to $7 \times 10^3$ per individual. For the ‘foreign’ *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 strain, growth performance was significantly worse and cell densities attached to zooplankton were $1.2 \times 10^4$ and $8 \times 10^5$ cells per cladoceran and copepod, respectively, lower than for the autochthonous strains. Numbers up to $7.1 \times 10^3$ were found for *V. cholerae*—*V. mimicus* organisms associated to the exoskeleton of calanoid copepods in Chesapeake Bay, which was judged to be a conservative estimate [19]. It has been demonstrated that *V. cholerae* 01/0139 preferably attach to copepods (*Eurytemora and Acartia spp.*) in the estuarine and marine environment, reaching cell densities of up to $10^5$ cells per individual [1, 6]. The association with zooplankton has been suggested as an important factor in transmission of human epidemic cholera. According to the results of this study, interaction between different *V. cholerae* serogroups with different zooplankton species seems to be significantly distinct. Most recently, it was shown that *V. cholerae* 01 strain consistently achieved higher abundances (7–20 times) than *V. cholerae* 0139 in colonising multiple life stages of copepods [8], and up to $3 \times 10^2$ cells per copepod were reported. In the lake Neusiedler See, autochthonous *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139—in contrast to *V. cholerae* 01 strains in the estuarine and marine environment—obviously show a preference for growth on cladocerans than on the local copepods. In addition, *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 growth was also enhanced in the surrounding water in the presence of *D. mongolianum*. Several reasons may be responsible for these observations. Most likely, specific serogroups may have differences in their adhesion capacities. Chiavelli et al. [23] proposed that deficient colonisation by *V. cholerae* 0139 may be associated with specific exopolysaccharides that contribute to biofilm formation. Although exopolysaccharides may provide the ability to form biofilms, they may hinder initial cell attachment [8]. Alternatively, the outer surface properties of *D. mongolianum* may be more appropriate for colonisation by *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139, in general, but no information on this is available to date. From the colonised outer surfaces, the swarming cells may be released to the

| Temperature | Treatment | Without competition | With competition |
|------------|----------|---------------------|-----------------|
|            |          | $\mu$ [h$^{-1}$] | Yield | $p$ value | $\mu$ [h$^{-1}$] | Yield | $p$ value |
| 20°C       | Control  | 0.153 | $1.13 \times 10^4$ | – | 0.058 | $2.23 \times 10^4$ | – |
|            | 50 cop   | 0.102 | $3.49 \times 10^4$ | <0.01 | 0.049 | $1.68 \times 10^4$ | <0.01 |
|            | 150 cop  | 0.086 | $2.80 \times 10^4$ | <0.01 | 0.047 | $1.56 \times 10^4$ | <0.01 |
| 15°C       | Control  | 0.146 | $2.50 \times 10^4$ | – | 0.101 | $7.14 \times 10^4$ | – |
|            | 50 cop   | 0.091 | $7.78 \times 10^4$ | <0.01 | 0.081 | $5.47 \times 10^4$ | <0.01 |
|            | 150 cop  | 0.076 | $4.16 \times 10^4$ | <0.01 | 0.060 | $1.21 \times 10^4$ | <0.01 |
| 10°C       | Control  | 0.063 | $1.36 \times 10^4$ | – | 0.013 | $0.28 \times 10^4$ | – |
|            | 50 cop   | 0.036 | $1.77 \times 10^4$ | <0.01 | 0.012 | $0.37 \times 10^4$ | n.s. |
|            | 150 cop  | 0.038 | $0.77 \times 10^4$ | <0.01 | 0.009 | $0.36 \times 10^4$ | n.s. |

Data indicate calculated growth rates ($\mu$) and growth yields of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 without (control) and with (50 and 150) added copepods (cop).

All experiments were performed ‘with competition’ (2-μm filtered lake water) and ‘without initial competition’ (sterile filtered lake water) by the natural bacterial community.

Each single $\mu$ and yield value is derived from one growth curve consisting of 12 consecutive sampling points.

The $p$ value indicates the significance of the difference in cell numbers between control and the cultures with copepods (paired $T$ test; based on cell number data in logarithmic and stationary phase).

n.s. Not significant.
surrounding water, increasing the planktonic *V. cholerae* concentration. *V. cholerae* cells could also be released from inner surfaces of the gut where digestive by-products may enhance their growth. In the estuarine and marine environment crustacean zooplankton are hotspots for bacterial growth, as they concentrate organic matter in their guts and faecal pellets and provide attachment sites for bacterial colonisation [24, 25].

**Influence of the Competing Natural Bacterial Community**

The negative influence of *A. spinosus* on planktonic *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 growth performance is most likely not due to grazing as grazing on particles in the size range of natural bacteria (diameter 0.2–1 μm) can be excluded for calanoid copepods like *A. spinosus* [26]. However, it was reported that copepods can selectively feed on large and active bacteria [27], as they may have occurred in the beginning of our experiments, when *V. cholerae* cells from pre-cultures were partly larger (maximum diameter approximately 1 μm) than at the end of the experiments. A direct negative influence of *A. spinosus* by grazing can thus not be completely ruled out. In our study, the natural bacterial community had exclusively a negative influence on *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 growth performance and was thus considered to be ‘competing bacteria’. In all experiments with competing bacteria, growth rates and growth yield of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 was significantly lower than in experiments with sterile filtered water. Moreover, a highly significant negative correlation was
found between the concentration of competing bacteria and the difference in *V. cholerae* concentrations between cultures with and without copepods. This clearly indicates that bacterial populations inoculated into the sterile filtered water from the copepod surfaces reduced *V. cholerae* growth. Antagonistic interactions of marine bacteria impeding proliferation of *V. cholerae* have been reported to be based on the biosynthesis of andrimid, an antibacterial agent [28]. Also, the natural bacterial community may compete effectively with *V. cholerae* for readily assimilable organic matter, thereby lowering *V. cholerae* growth [15]. Most probably, both mechanisms interact in regulating *V. cholerae* proliferation on particles and in the water.

**Effect of Temperature**

The effect of temperature on *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 growth was difficult to interpret. In the copepod experiments, as expected, *V. cholerae* growth was significantly lower at 10°C than at 15°C and 20°C. In the presence of competing bacteria, very low net growth of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 was observed at 10°C. In the cladoceran experiments, *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 growth did not significantly increase with increasing temperature. Because the microcosms were designed to be as close to natural conditions as possible, fluctuations in available dissolved organic matter or in the competitiveness of the natural bacterial community may mask the temperature effect. But irrespective of all this variation, the outcome of the experiments, namely that the presence of cladocerans led to an increase and the presence of copepods led to a decrease of *V. cholerae* growth was always the same.

**Appropriateness of FISH-Based Quantification of *V. cholerae***

Our experiments demonstrated that the FISH protocol is highly appropriate for monitoring growth of *V. cholerae* in batch cultures. For this type of experiment, collecting cells on filters and hybridising under rotation in an Eppendorf tube, significantly reduced the duration of the assay, compared to the original protocol where cells are collected by centrifugation and hybridised on multi-well slides [19]. FISH, in our opinion, is superior to green fluorescent protein (GFP)-labelled cells [18] for such kind of growth experiments in the presence of competitive bacteria, as it is known that GFP-cells may have lower fitness and thus bias environmental behaviour, compared to wild-type strains [29]. Moreover, we have observed in preliminary experiments that using GFP-tagged autochthonous *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 strains resulted in massive loss of GFP into the surrounding water during growth experiments. The cells were then surrounded by a green matrix and less visible by fluorescence microscopy, and thus also potentially less competitive.

In summary, the results of our studies clearly demonstrate a significant positive relationship of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 with the dominant cladocerans in the lake. The presence of *D. mongolianum* significantly enhanced *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 growth in the surrounding water, as well as on external or internal surfaces of the cladocerans. In contrast, copepods (*A. spinosus*) were on average 100 times less colonised than cladocerans. The bacterial community inoculated from the copepods surfaces into the microcosms had a negative effect on *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 growth most probably because of competition for nutrients. Thus, *V. cholerae* biofilm formation on crustacean zooplankton is zooplankton taxon specific and may be controlled, in addition to grazing pressure from planktonic protozoa [9], also by the natural bacterial community.
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