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Summary

Aims: Five-α reductase inhibitor (5ARI) therapy has been associated with sexual dysfunction in some patients. This study assessed the impact of a fixed-dose combination of the 5ARI dutasteride 0.5 mg and the α₁-adrenoceptor antagonist tamsulosin 0.4 mg (DUT-TAM FDC) on Men's Sexual Health Questionnaire (MSHQ) domain scores in patients with lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH).

Methods: This was a post hoc analysis of a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, multicentre study in sexually active patients, aged ≥50 years, with a confirmed clinical diagnosis of BPH. Sexual activity, sexual desire, and bother domain scores of the MSHQ were assessed at baseline and at Months 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12. Correlation between MSHQ sexual activity/desire scores and ejaculation, erection, and satisfaction domains at baseline was also evaluated.

Results: In the intent-to-treat population (N = 489), 243 and 246 patients were randomised to DUT-TAM FDC and placebo groups, respectively. Compared with placebo, DUT-TAM FDC therapy resulted in statistically significant reductions (worsening) from baseline in adjusted mean MSHQ sexual activity and bother domain scores at Months 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12 (all P < 0.05) and in adjusted mean MSHQ sexual desire domain scores at Months 6, 9, and 12 (all P < 0.05). Significant moderate correlations in the expected direction were observed at baseline between the sexual activity/desire domains and the ejaculation, erection, and satisfaction domains (P < 0.0001).

Conclusions: These findings help clarify the degree and impact of libido changes in sexually active men treated with DUT-TAM FDC and may support clinical decision-making.
1 | INTRODUCTION

The fixed-dose combination of the 5α-reductase inhibitor (5ARI), dutasteride 0.5 mg, and the α₁-adrenoceptor antagonist, tamsulosin 0.4 mg (DUT-TAM FDC), is recommended as a first-line treatment for the management of moderate- to -severe lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) because of benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH), in patients at risk of disease progression. However, the potential for sexual dysfunction with 5ARI-α₁-blocker combination therapies can limit their use in clinical practice, despite patient satisfaction with treatment and clinical studies indicating efficacy in reducing symptoms, clinical progression, and the risk of surgery. A systematic review and meta-analysis revealed that erectile dysfunction (ED) and libido alteration were notably more prevalent in patients treated with combination therapy compared with those treated with α₁-blocker monotherapy alone. The risk of ED was higher in those treated with combination therapy but the risk of libido alteration was the same for both patients treated with 5ARI monotherapy and those treated with combination therapy.

Although previous research has reported libido alterations as part of the assessment of sexual adverse events (AEs), the discussions within the literature have focused on the effects of 5ARIs on erectile and ejaculatory function. Evidence from epidemiological studies has suggested that it is also important to consider sexual desire and satisfaction when assessing male sexual dysfunction. It should be noted that the assessment of sexual function in most studies in this field has been restricted to spontaneous reporting of sexual AEs, including decreased libido, as part of regular clinical trial AE reporting. Spontaneous AE reporting presents a disadvantage as it is not quantitative. Furthermore, information regarding the onset, character, and resolution of AEs is subject to patients’ interpretation and the potential but not uncommon misunderstanding of the domains of sexual function. Another disadvantage of spontaneous AE reporting is its dependence on the patient’s decision to mention it during the study visit (without prompt). Consequently, understanding of the sexual AEs associated with combination therapy is limited.

The validated 25-item Male Sexual Health Questionnaire (MSHQ) was developed for use in a BPH registry to assess specific aspects of male sexual dysfunction. The questionnaire contains three core domains (erection, ejaculation, and satisfaction) and includes additional items related to sexual activity and desire, as well as bother, all of which may be affected by the increased severity of LUTS. Sexual desire is thought to more accurately reflect libido than sexual activity, though the latter can be considered a surrogate marker for libido; therefore, these domains are complimentary.

We recently reported the results of the first domain-specific quantitative evaluation of DUT-TAM FDC therapy on three sexual function domains of the MSHQ. Aiming to further understand the impact of DUT-TAM FDC therapy on libido, this post hoc analysis assessed the change in prospectively collected MSHQ sexual activity and desire domain scores in sexually active men with LUTS secondary to BPH who were treated with 12 months of DUT-TAM FDC therapy, or placebo. For completeness, we also present the results from MSHQ items related to bother.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study design

This post hoc analysis of a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study was conducted at 51 centres across Europe and Australia (GSK116115/NCT01777269) between 18 February 2013 and 5 April 2016. Following a 4-week run-in period, patients were randomised (1:1) to receive once-daily dutasteride 0.5 mg and tamsulosin 0.4 mg (DUT-TAM FDC) or placebo for 12 months. Lifestyle advice, relevant to maintaining sexual function and improving LUTS, was provided at baseline to subjects in both treatment groups.

The objective of the current analysis was to evaluate the impact of DUT-TAM FDC treatment on libido using the scores for the sexual activity, sexual desire and bother domains of the MSHQ, which were prospectively collected from patients included in the intent-to-treat (ITT) population from the primary analysis.

The primary study was approved by the appropriate regulatory and ethics committees, and performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki 2008 and Good Clinical Practice guidelines. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient prior to study participation.
TABLE 1  Summary of the items within the sexual activity and sexual desire domains of the MSHQ and the scoring system applied

| MSHQ domain | Options for answer | Scoring rangea |
|-------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Sexual activity (items 19 and 20) | | |
| 19. In the last month, how often have you had sexual activity, including masturbating, intercourse, oral sex, or any other type of sex? (Check only one) | 5-Daily or almost daily 4-More than 6 times per month 3-4-6 times per month 2-1-3 times per month 1-0 times per month | 2-10 |
| 20. Compared with one month ago, has the number of times you have had sexual activity increased or decreased? | 5-Increased a lot 4-Increased moderately 3-Neither increased nor decreased 2-Decreased moderately 1- Decreased a lot | |
| Sexual desire (questions 22, 23, and 25) | | |
| 22. In the last month, how often have you felt an urge or desire to have sex with your main partner? | 5-All of the time 4-Most of the time 3-About half of the time 2-Less than half of the time 1-None of the time | 3-15 |
| 23. In the last month, how would you rate your urge or desire to have sex with your main partner? | 5-Very high 4-High 3-Moderate 2-Low 1-Very low or none at all | |
| 25. Compared with one month ago, has your urge or desire for sex with your main partner increased or decreased? | 5-Increased a lot 4-Increased moderately 3-Neither increased nor decreased 2- Decreased moderately 1- Decreased a lot | |
| Sexual bother (Q4, 12, 21, and 24) | | |
| 4. In the last month, if you have had difficulty getting hard or staying hard without using drugs like Viagra, have you been bothered by this problem? | 5-Not at all bothered/Did not have a problem with erection 4-A little bit bothered 3-Moderately bothered 2-Very bothered 1-Extremely bothered | 4-20 |
| 12. In the last month, if you have had any ejaculation difficulties or have been unable to ejaculate, have you been bothered by this? | 5-Not at all bothered 4-A little bit bothered 3-Moderately bothered 2-Very bothered 1-Extremely bothered | |
| 21. In the last month, have you been bothered by these changes in the number of times you have had sexual activity? | 5-Not at all bothered 4-A little bit bothered 3-Moderately bothered 2-Very bothered 1-Extremely bothered | |
| 24. In the last month, have you been bothered by your level of sexual desire | 5-Not at all bothered 4-A little bit bothered 3-Moderately bothered 2-Very bothered 1-Extremely bothered | |

MSHQ: Men's Sexual Health Questionnaire.  
aHigher scores indicate increased sexual activity/desire and less sexual bother.
2.2 | Study population

Eligibility criteria for enrollment in the study have been reported previously. In brief, patients were male, aged ≥50 years, who were sexually active (engaged in sexual activity with a partner during the past 4 weeks and planning to be active during the next 4 weeks), with a confirmed clinical diagnosis of BPH, and International Prostate Symptom Score of ≥12 at screening, a prostate volume of ≥30 cc as assessed by transrectal ultrasonography and a total serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) of ≥1.5 ng/mL at screening. Prior use of BPH therapy was permitted, with the exception of 5ARIs.

Patients with a total serum PSA of >10.0 ng/mL at screening were excluded as were those with a history or evidence of prostate cancer and/or those who had used prohibited medications.

2.3 | End-points

The primary study assessed changes in the sexual function domains of the MSHQ over a 12-month study period; details of the primary
TABLE 2  Summary of MMRM analysis for change from baseline in sexual activity and sexual desire domain scores (ITT population)

| Visit   | Sexual activity domain score | Sexual desire domain score |
|---------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
|         | Estimate* | 95% CI       | P     | Estimate* | 95% CI       | P     |
| Month 1 | -0.28     | -0.49, -0.08 | 0.007 | -0.53     | -0.83, -0.24 | < 0.001* |
| Month 3 | -0.25     | -0.48, -0.02 | 0.030 | -0.33     | -0.66, 0.01  | 0.057 |
| Month 6 | -0.33     | -0.56, -0.10 | 0.006 | -0.89     | -1.27, -0.51 | < 0.001 |
| Month 9 | -0.29     | -0.53, -0.06 | 0.015 | -0.65     | -1.00, -0.30 | < 0.001 |
| Month 12| -0.31     | -0.53, -0.09 | 0.006 | -0.35     | -0.70, -0.01 | 0.045 |

CI: confidence interval; DUT-TAM FDC: fixed-dose combination of dutasteride (0.5 mg) and tamsulosin (0.4 mg); ITT: intent-to-treat; MMRM: mixed model repeated measures.

* A negative estimate indicates a worsening with DUT-TAM FDC therapy compared with placebo.

The P-value for Month 1 could not be interpreted as statistically significant because of the step-down multiplicity criteria.

and secondary end-points have been published previously.\(^\text{14}\) In this post hoc analysis, sexual activity, sexual desire, and bother were assessed at baseline and at Months 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12, using the MSHQ sexual activity, sexual desire, and bother domain scores respectively. Table 1 provides a summary of the MSHQ items included in this analysis and the scoring system applied to each domain. The change in sexual activity, sexual desire, and bother domain scores from baseline were also assessed at Months 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12. Additionally, the correlation between sexual activity/sexual desire domains and the ejaculation, erection, and satisfaction domains, reported in the primary publication,\(^\text{14}\) was evaluated at baseline.

The incidence of AEs, including serious AEs (SAEs), drug-related AEs, serious drug-related AEs, and AEs leading to discontinuation of the study medication or study withdrawal, were assessed. AEs of special interest were also evaluated (including sexual and breast AEs). Abnormal laboratory test results or other safety assessments were recorded as AEs or SAEs. Participants with unresolved sexual AEs at the end of the study (after discontinuation of treatment) were followed up via phone call after 6 months.

2.4 Statistical analysis

The sample size was based on the change in the total MSHQ score. Assuming a 6-unit treatment difference with a standard deviation (SD) of 18 units, 190 patients per treatment group were required to provide a 90% power at a 0.05 significance level. Assuming a 20% withdrawal rate, 238 patients per treatment group were required for randomisation.

The changes in the MSHQ domain scores from baseline were analysed using a mixed model repeated measures analysis. As for the primary publication, as the end-points were assessed across multiple time points, a step-down procedure for interpreting P-values was adopted. Data for each end-point were analysed in the ITT population.

Pearson correlations were calculated to measure the strength of a linear association between MSHQ sexual activity/desire domain scores at baseline and MSHQ ejaculation, erection, and satisfaction domain scores at baseline and (ITT population).
3.4 | MSHQ bother domain

Baseline MSHQ bother scores were similar between treatment groups, with the mean (SD) scores of 16.7 (3.36) and 17.1 (3.18) in the DUT-TAM FDC therapy group and in the placebo group, respectively. Mean MSHQ bother scores decreased in both treatment groups at each postbaseline assessment (adjusted mean MSHQ bother score changes from baseline ranging from −0.9 to −1.6 in the DUT-TAM FDC therapy group compared with 0 to −0.5 in the placebo group). Statistically significant treatment differences (P < 0.05) were observed between treatment groups at each postassessment visit (Months 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12) in the change from baseline MSHQ bother score. Adjusted mean (95% confidence interval) treatment differences (DUT-TAM FDC-placebo) were −0.63 (−1.16, −0.10) at Month 1 (P = 0.021), −0.67 (−1.24, −0.09) at Month 3 (P = 0.023), −1.57 (−2.20, −0.95) at Month 6 (P < 0.001), −1.18 (−1.79, −0.57) at Month 9 (P < 0.001), and −1.20 (−1.81, −0.60) at Month 12 (P < 0.001).

Figure 3 highlights the changes from baseline in the sexual activity, sexual desire, and bother domains at Months 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12 in relation to the changes in ejaculation, erection, and satisfaction domains.
3.5 | Domain correlations at baseline

Moderate correlations were observed at baseline between the sexual activity domain scores and the scores for the ejaculation (correlation coefficient \( r = 0.43 \)), erection \( (r = 0.41) \), and satisfaction \( (r = 0.35) \) domains assessed in the primary analysis (Table 3). Each of these correlations was found to be significant \( (P < 0.0001) \).

Similar correlations were seen between the sexual desire domain scores and those for the ejaculation \( (r = 0.40) \), erection \( (r = 0.46) \), and satisfaction domains \( (r = 0.51) \) (Table 3). Each of these correlations were also found to be significant \( (P < 0.0001) \).

3.6 | Safety

As previously reported, the proportion of patients with any AEs, SAEs, and drug-related AEs was significantly higher in the DUT-TAM FDC therapy group than in the placebo group. The proportion of patients with altered (decreased) libido AEs (including

### TABLE 3
Pearson correlation coefficients for domain scores at baseline (ITT population)

|                              | Baseline values | Ejaculation domain | Erection domain | Satisfaction domain |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Sexual activity domain score | Correlation coefficient | 0.43<sup>a</sup> | 0.41<sup>a</sup> | 0.35<sup>a</sup>    |
|                              | Number of observations | 447                | 456             | 432                 |
| Sexual desire domain score   | Correlation coefficient | 0.40<sup>a</sup> | 0.46<sup>a</sup> | 0.51<sup>a</sup>    |
|                              | Number of observations | 444                | 447             | 431                 |

ITT: intent-to-treat.

<sup>a</sup>All of the pairwise correlations are significantly different from 0, with \( P \)-value < 0.0001.
decreased libido, loss of sexual desire, and sexual dysfunction) was numerically higher in the DUT-TAM FDC group than in the placebo group (10% [n = 24] vs 5% [n = 12], respectively); however, none were serious. Four subjects in the DUT-TAM FDC therapy group and two in the placebo group withdrew from the study because of altered (decreased) libido AEs.

4 | DISCUSSION

This was the first study to prospectively assess the impact of DUT-TAM FDC therapy on sexual activity, sexual desire, and bother in sexually active men with LUTS secondary to BPH, using the MSHARE instrument to evaluate specific aspects of male sexual dysfunction. The findings from this post hoc analysis of a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study revealed a statistically significant reduction (i.e., worsening) in MSHARE domain scores for sexual activity (Months 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12), sexual desire (Months 6, 9, and 12), and bother (Months 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12), in patients treated with DUT-TAM FDC, compared with placebo. At baseline, a moderate correlation was seen between the sexual activity and sexual desire domains and the ejaculation, erection, and satisfaction domains presented in the primary analysis.14

Although the observed reductions in sexual activity and sexual desire domain scores were significantly greater with DUT-TAM FDC than with placebo, the treatment differences in the mean domain scores across the time points were numerically small for sexual desire (–0.33 to –0.89) and sexual activity (–0.25 to –0.33) and unlikely to be clinically relevant, although a minimum clinically meaningful change in MSHARE score has yet to be determined. These results are in line with those observed in the primary analysis in that the absolute changes from baseline and the differences at 12 months between placebo and the DUT-TAM FDC therapy group, in terms of the erection and overall satisfaction domains, were numerically very small and unlikely to be of clinical relevance.14 Changes in the total MSHARE score are driven largely by changes in the scores for the ejaculation domain, which in the primary analysis, reduced by an average of 7.5 points from baseline to Month 12 in the DUT-TAM FDC therapy group compared with 0.6 points in the placebo group (P < 0.001).12 However, as for MSHARE total score, a minimum clinically meaningful change in ejaculation domain scores is yet to be determined. Previous studies have shown sensitivity of the total MSHARE scores to both diagnostic status and treatment conditions.17–19 The post hoc correlation analyses between sexual activity/desire and ejaculation, erection, and satisfaction domains were all in the expected direction, and in line with previously described correlations between sexual desire, erectile dysfunction, and sexual satisfaction.20

Currently, the potential mechanisms of loss or alteration of libido are unknown. A complex combination of biological, psychological, and social factors contributes to sexual desire.21 Based on the major influence androgens have on sexual desire, a reduction in libido may indicate androgen deficiency arising from either pituitary or testicular dysfunction, which can be evaluated by measurement of serum testosterone.22 If testosterone concentrations fall within the normal range, it is unlikely that endocrine factors are responsible for loss of libido.22 Interestingly, 5ARIs have been shown to elevate serum testosterone concentrations during treatment,23 which may suggest that endocrine factors are unlikely to be responsible for the loss of libido seen in this study. Serum concentrations of dihydrotestosterone (DHT), a more potent androgen than testosterone,24 decrease during treatment with 5ARIs because of inhibition of conversion from testosterone.25 Whether this contributes to loss of libido remains to be elucidated; however, it has been suggested that male sexual function is primarily related to testosterone as opposed to DHT.26 Evidence to support this comes from male pseudohermaphrodites, who are deficient in DHT and the 5α-reductase type 2 enzymes, but have been reported to have an intact sex-drive.26,27

A limitation of the findings presented in this paper is that the clinical relevance of the observed changes in MSHARE domain scores remains uncertain. In addition, there was no in-depth assessment of quality of life to accompany domain score changes. The 12-month study duration did not allow for evaluation of the long-term effects of DUT-TAM FDC on sexual activity and desire. Furthermore, MSHARE domain scores for sexual activity, sexual desire, and bother have not been independently validated, and therefore should be interpreted with caution. Finally, this post hoc analysis assessed the effect of DUT-TAM FDC therapy on the MSHARE sexual activity and desire domain scores, as compared with placebo, but it was not powered to compare the impact of either 5ARI or adrenoreceptor antagonist monotherapies with placebo. Therefore, we cannot infer what impact these therapies might have on male libido when used in isolation.

In conclusion, although significantly different from those seen with placebo, changes in sexual activity and sexual desire domain scores over 12 months of DUT-TAM FDC treatment were numerically small and of questionable clinical relevance. As anticipated, sexual activity and sexual desire domain scores were positively correlated with ejaculation, erection, and satisfaction domain scores in the expected direction. These findings help clarify the impact of combined therapy with DUT-TAM FDC on libido in sexually active men with BPH and can help inform clinical decision-making.
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