Foreign body impaction in the maxillofacial region: A case report
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Abstract
This article describes the presence of foreign bodies which are asymptomatic in nature in the orofacial soft tissue spaces. The patient had reported to the Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics with sensitivity as the chief complain in the lower right back tooth region. Clinical Examination were suggestive of generalised attrition in relation to #46. Radiographic findings revealed a well defined radiopacity in relation to distal root of #46. This radiolucency was seen to have moved to the mesial root when another radiograph of the same tooth was taken. An orthopantomograph and CBCT was then taken to study the case better which apparently showed around 12 such radio-opacities lodged in the oral cavity with the patient being absolutely asymptomatic of it. The provisional diagnosis of foreign bodies embedded in the soft tissue was considered.
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Introduction
Foreign bodies are objects that are not native to the human body. They may be inserted, ingested or deposited in the human body accidentally [1, 2]. Foreign bodies can get inserted into the body cavities by various ways, or can also get inserted into the body by accidental trauma or injuries. Road accidents, physical abuse or fights, and gunshot wounds are a common cause for traumatic foreign bodies [3, 4].

The common drug based lesions include deposition of materials used during certain conservative or endodontic procedures, amalgam and graphite tattoos, granulomas and introduction of dental materials and instruments mistakenly during dental procedures. Pieces like metal based objects, wood, twigs, splinters, glass based particles, brushes with tooth brushes being more common, fish hooks, caps like bottle caps or pen caps with spring, and fragments of smoking pipes are some foreign bodies that can have a high chance of impaction in the maxillofacial region [6, 7].

Foreign bodies can remain dormant in the soft tissue for years without causing any damage to the adjacent structures [8, 9]. However, some of these foreign bodies can produce some kinds of inflammatory reactions and be a prominent source of infection. Hence their identification and removal from the soft tissue is often necessary to prevent any further damage.

This paper describes about a case with impacted foreign bodies in the orofacial soft tissue spaces which was asymptomatic in nature.

Case Report
Chief complaint
A 60-year old male patient reported to the Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics with the chief complaint of sensitivity in the lower right back tooth region since 10 days.

Extra-oral examination
No Abnormality detected.
Intra-oral examination
- Generalised attrition with sensitivity in relation to #46 (Fig 1)
- No carious lesions
- No pain on palpation and percussion
- No swelling
- Immediate response observed on thermal (cold) vitality test

Radiographic examination
- Radiographic examination revealed a well-defined radiopacity in relation to distal root of #46 (Fig 2). To rule out any radiographic errors another radiograph of the same region was taken in which the radio opacity moved to the mesial root raising the suspicion of a foreign body (Fig 3).
- An orthopantomograph was then taken to study the case better which apparently revealed around 12 such radiopacities lodged in the oral cavity. However, the patient was absolutely asymptomatic. (Fig 4).

On further questioning, the patient then mentioned of being a victim of bullet injury 18 years back. The patient was not on any kind of medication and was asymptomatic for the same.(Fig 5,6)

Diagnosis
Therefore, the provisional diagnosis of foreign bodies embedded in the soft tissue was made.

Discussion
Foreign bodies which are found lodged in the oral or the maxillofacial region could be a result of traumatic injuries or may be due to the drugs that are being taken. Foreign objects can trigger inflammatory reactions and can be a potential source of pain and infection [10,11].
Frequently, it is difficult to detect these impacted foreign bodies clinically, and they pose a diagnostic challenge. The visibility of different materials on radiographs is highly
dependent on the object’s ability to attenuate-rays or absorb the rays. Foreign bodies are visualized, mostly depending on their radio density and the area associated with the soft tissue in which they are lodged \(^{[12]}\). Intraoral peri-apical X-ray, Cone Beam Computed Tomographic (CBCT) scan, Ultrasonography, Orthopantomographs and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) are some diagnostic tools helpful in confirming the presence, location, size, and shape of the foreign bodies that are present in the soft tissue \(^{[13]}\). Plain radiographs have 69-90% success rate of detection for most of the metallic foreign bodies while a success rate of 71–77% is seen for glass cases. Apparently, very little or no information is available for the identification of most of the organic materials such as wood (0–15%) \(^{[14]}\).

The orofacial soft tissue spaces are the anatomic spaces present between the deep fascial layers bounded by bones, muscles or salivary glands filled with loose connective tissue which are known to be very potent for foreign body impactions. These spaces have been classified into the suprahylloid and infrahylloid spaces in most of the literature. The impaction of any foreign body induces an inflammatory reaction, resulting in all these space being invaded by inflammatory enzymes mainly aiming for healing with the formation of inflammatory exudates subsequently.

This report describes the case of retained asymptomatic foreign bodies in an adult patient which subsequently alerts the clinicians to consider the possibility of some foreign bodies being present in the oral and maxillofacial regions. The patient was, however, unaware of the foreign bodies and was completely asymptomatic of it. It was only observed on routine radiographic examination which was then confirmed with the help of orthopantomograph and CBCT. This case report highlights the importance of a thorough and systematic intra-oral examination.

**Conclusion**

Though the foreign bodies present were asymptomatic at the time of examination, these retained foreign bodies are capable of causing inflammation, abscess formation as well as chronic pathologies including granulomatous tissue reaction, fistula formation and osteomyelitis if unnoticed but there is a very high possibility that they won’t cause any harm to the patient and lie dormant as can be seen in the case that has been discussed.
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