ON THE POSITIVITY OF TWISTED $L^2$-TORSION FOR 3-MANIFOLDS
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Abstract. For any compact orientable irreducible 3-manifold $N$ with empty or incompressible toral boundary, the twisted $L^2$-torsion is a non-negative function defined on the representation variety $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(N), \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$. The paper shows that if $N$ has infinite fundamental group, then the $L^2$-torsion function is strictly positive. Moreover, this torsion function is continuous when restricted to the subvariety of upper triangular representations.

1. Introduction

Let $N$ be a compact orientable irreducible 3-manifold with empty or incompressible toral boundary. The $L^2$-torsion of $N$ is a numerical topological invariant of $N$ that equals $\exp(\frac{\text{Vol}(N)}{6\pi})$, where $\text{Vol}(N)$ is the simplicial volume of $N$, see [Lüc02, Theorem 4.3]. The idea of twisting is to use a linear representation of $\pi_1(N)$ to define more $L^2$-torsion invariants. The first attempt is made by Li and Zhang [LZ06b, LZ06a] in which they defined the $L^2$-Alexander invariants for knot complements, making use of the one dimensional representations of the knot group. Later Dubois, Friedl and Lück [DFL16] introduced the $L^2$-Alexander torsion for 3-manifolds which recovers the $L^2$-Alexander invariants. A recent breakthrough is made independently by Liu [Liu17] and Lück [Lüc18] that the $L^2$-Alexander torsion is always positive, and more interesting properties of the $L^2$-Alexander torsion are revealed in [Liu17] and [FL19], for example, we now know that the $L^2$-Alexander torsion is continuous and its limiting behavior recovers the Thurston norm of $N$.

Generally, let $R_n(\pi_1(N)) := \text{Hom}(\pi_1(N), \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ be the representation variety. One wishes to define $L^2$-torsion twisted by any representation $\rho \in R_n(\pi_1(N))$, and we have this twisted $L^2$-torsion function abstractly defined on the representation variety of $\pi_1(N)$:

$$\rho \mapsto \tau^{(2)}(N, \rho) \in [0, +\infty), \quad \rho \in R_n(\pi_1(N)).$$

A technical obstruction to defining a reasonable $L^2$-torsion is that the corresponding $L^2$-chain complex must be weakly $L^2$-acyclic and of determinant class (see definition 2.3). If either condition is not satisfied, we define the $L^2$-torsion to be 0 by convention.

It is natural to question the positivity and continuity of this function. The first result of this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.1. Let $N$ be a compact orientable irreducible 3-manifold with empty or incompressible toral boundary. Suppose $N$ has infinite fundamental group, then the twisted $L^2$-torsion $\tau^{(2)}(N, \rho)$ is positive for any group homomorphism $\rho : \pi_1(N) \to \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

When $N$ is a graph manifold the twisted $L^2$-torsion function is explicitly computed in Theorem 4.1. Other cases are dealt with in Theorem 4.5 where we only need to consider fibered 3-manifolds thanks to the virtual fibering arguments. We carefully construct a CW-structure for $N$ as in [DFL16] and observe that the matrices in the corresponding twisted $L^2$-chain complex are in a special form so that we can apply Liu’s result [Liu17, Theorem 5.1] to guarantee the positivity of the Fuglede-Kadison determinant.

For continuity of the twisted $L^2$-torsion function, we have the following partial result:

Theorem 1.2. Let $N$ be a compact orientable irreducible 3-manifold with empty or incompressible toral boundary. Suppose $N$ has infinite fundamental group. Define $R^*_n(\pi_1(N))$ to be the subvariety
of $R_n(\pi_1(N))$ consisting of upper triangular representations. Then the twisted $L^2$-torsion function
\[ \rho \mapsto \tau^{(2)}(N, \rho) \]
is continuous with respect to $\rho \in R^+_n(\pi_1(N))$.

The continuity of the twisted $L^2$-torsion function in general is open. It is mainly because the Fuglede-Kadison determinant of an arbitrary matrix over $\mathbb{C}[\pi_1(N)]$ is very difficult to compute. However, the $L^2$-torsion twisted by upper triangular representations are relatively simpler because we can reduce many problems to the one-dimensional case, which is well studied under the name of the $L^2$-Alexander torsion (see section 5). We remark that the work of Benard and Raimbault [BR22] based on the strong acyclicity property by Bergeron and Venkatesh [BV13] shows that the twisted $L^2$-torsion function is positive and real analytic near any holonomy representation $\rho_0 : \pi_1(N) \to \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ of a hyperbolic 3-manifold $N$.

The proof relies on the continuity of $L^2$-Alexander torsion with respect to the cohomology classes, which is conjectured by [Luc18, Chapter 10]. This is done by introducing the concept of Alexander multi-twists (see section 5). One can similarly define the “multi-variable $L^2$-Alexander torsion” and our argument essentially shows that the multi-variable function is multiplicatively convex (compare Theorem 5.7), generalizing [Liu17, Theorem 5.1]. This then applies to show the continuity as desired.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we introduce the terminology of this paper and some algebraic facts. In section 3, we define the twisted $L^2$-torsion for CW complexes and state some basic properties. In section 4 we prove Theorem 1.1 in two steps: first for graph manifold, then for hyperbolic or mixed manifold. In section 5 we begin with the $L^2$-Alexander torsion and then prove Theorem 1.2.
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2. Notations and some algebraic facts

In this section we define the twisting functor and introduce $L^2$-torsion theory. The reader can refer to [Luc18] where discussions are taken on in a more general setting.

2.1. Twisting $CG$-modules via $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ representations. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group and let $CG$ be its group ring. In this paper our main objects are finitely generated free left $CG$-modules with a preferred ordered basis. We will abbreviate it as based $CG$-modules unless otherwise stated. A natural example of a based $CG$-module is $CG^m$ as a free left $CG$-module of rank $m$, with the natural ordered basis $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m\}$ where $\sigma_i$ is the unit element of the $i^{th}$ direct summand. Any based $CG$-module is canonically isomorphic to $CG^m$ for some non-negative integer $m$ and this identification is used throughout our paper.

We fix $V$ throughout this paper to be an $n$-dimensional complex vector space with a fixed choice of basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$. Let $\rho : G \to \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a group homomorphism, then $V$ can be viewed as a left $CG$-module via $\rho$, in the following way:

$\gamma \cdot e_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \rho(\gamma^{-1})_{i,j} \cdot e_j, \quad \gamma \in G$

where $\rho(\gamma^{-1}) \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a square matrix. We extend this action $\mathbb{C}$-linearly so that $V$ is a left $CG$-module. In other words, left action of $\gamma$ corresponds to right multiplication to the row coordinate vector of the matrix $\rho(\gamma^{-1})$.

We are interested in twisting a based $CG$-module via $\rho$. In literature, there are two different ways to twist a based $CG$-module, namely the “diagonal twisting” and the “partial twisting”
(compare [Luc18]). They are naturally isomorphic. We only consider the diagonal twisting in this paper.

**Definition 2.1.** Recall that \( CG^m \) is a based \( CG \)-module with a natural basis \( \{\sigma_i\}, i = 1, \cdots, m \). We define \( (CG^m \otimes_C V)_d \) to be the \( CG \)-module with diagonal \( CG \)-action, i.e.

\[
(CG^m \otimes_C V)_d \cong CG^m \otimes_C V, \quad g \cdot (u \otimes v) = gu \otimes gv
\]

for any \( g \in G, u \in CG^m \) and \( v \in V \), and then extend \( C \)-linearly to define a \( CG \)-module structure.

With the definition above, we can see that

\[
(CG^m \otimes_C V)_d = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m}(CG \otimes_C V)_d
\]

is a based \( CG \)-module with a basis

\[
\{\sigma_1 \otimes e_1, \sigma_1 \otimes e_2, \cdots, \sigma_1 \otimes e_n, \sigma_2 \otimes e_1, \cdots, \sigma_m \otimes e_n\}.
\]

Let \( A \) be the category whose objects are finitely generated free left \( CG \)-modules with a preferred ordered basis and whose morphisms are \( CG \)-linear homomorphisms. We consider the following “diagonal twisting” functor

\[
D(\rho) : A \rightarrow A
\]

which sends any object \( M \) to the based \( CG \)-module \( (M \otimes_C V)_d \) and sends any morphism \( f \) to \( D(\rho)f := f \otimes_C id_V \). The following proposition describes how matrices behave under the twisting functor.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \( \rho : G \rightarrow \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) be any group homomorphism. Suppose that a homomorphism between based \( CG \)-modules

\[
f : CG^r \rightarrow CG^s
\]

is presented by a matrix \( (\Lambda_{i,j}) \) over \( CG \) of size \( r \times s \), i.e., let

\[
\{\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_r\}, \quad \{\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_s\}
\]

be the natural basis of \( CG^r \) and \( CG^s \) respectively, we have

\[
f(\sigma_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \Lambda_{i,j} \tau_j, \quad i = 1, \cdots, r.
\]

We form a new matrix \( \Omega \) of size \( nr \times ns \) by replacing each entry \( \Lambda_{i,j} \) with an \( n \times n \) square matrix \( \Lambda_{i,j} \cdot \rho(\Lambda_{i,j}) \). Then \( \Omega \) is a matrix presenting the diagonal twisting morphism \( D(\rho)f \), under the natural basis

\[
\{\sigma_1 \otimes e_1, \cdots, \sigma_1 \otimes e_n, \sigma_2 \otimes e_1, \cdots, \sigma_r \otimes e_n\},
\]

\[
\{\tau_1 \otimes e_1, \cdots, \tau_1 \otimes e_n, \tau_2 \otimes e_1, \cdots, \tau_s \otimes e_n\}
\]

of the diagonal twisting based \( CG \)-modules \( D(\rho)(CG^r) \) and \( D(\rho)(CG^s) \) respectively.

**Proof.** Let \( \Phi = (\Phi_{i,j}), i = 1, \cdots, r, j = 1, \cdots, s \) be a block matrix of size \( nr \times ns \), with each entry \( \Phi_{i,j} \) an \( n \times n \) matrix, such that \( \Phi \) is the matrix presenting \( D(\rho)f \) under the natural basis. We only need to verify that \( \Phi_{i,j} = \Lambda_{i,j} \cdot \rho(\Lambda_{i,j}) \). The submatrix \( \Phi_{i,j} \) can be characterized as follows. Let \( \pi_j : D(\rho)(CG^r) \rightarrow D(\rho)(CG) \) be the projection to the \( j \)th direct component which is spanned by \( \{(\sigma_j \otimes e_1)_d, \cdots, (\sigma_j \otimes e_n)_d\} \). Then the following holds:

\[
\pi_j \circ D(\rho)f \begin{pmatrix}
(\sigma_1 \otimes e_1)_d \\
\vdots \\
(\sigma_i \otimes e_n)_d
\end{pmatrix} = \Phi_{i,j} \begin{pmatrix}
(\tau_j \otimes e_1)_d \\
\vdots \\
(\tau_j \otimes e_n)_d
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
On the other hand, for any $k = 1, \ldots, n$, we have

$$
\pi_j \circ D(\rho)f((\sigma_i \otimes e_k)_d) = \pi_j \left( \sum_{l=1}^{s} (\Lambda_{i,l} \tau_l \otimes e_k)_d \right)
= \pi_j \left( \sum_{l=1}^{s} \Lambda_{i,l} \cdot (\tau_l \otimes \Lambda_{i,l}^{-1} e_k)_d \right)
= \Lambda_{i,j} \cdot (\tau_j \otimes \Lambda_{i,j}^{-1} e_k)_d
= \Lambda_{i,j} \cdot \sum_{l=1}^{n} \rho(\Lambda_{i,j})_l (\tau_j \otimes e_l)_d.
$$

This shows that $\Phi_{i,j} = \Lambda_{i,j} \cdot \rho(\Lambda_{i,j})$ and hence $\Phi = \Omega$. \hfill \Box

We now mention that the twisting functor can be naturally generalized to the category of based $CG$-chain complexes. More explicitly, let $C_\ast$ be a based $CG$-chain complex, i.e.

$$
C_\ast = (\cdots \longrightarrow C_{p+1} \stackrel{\partial_{p+1}}{\longrightarrow} C_p \stackrel{\partial_p}{\longrightarrow} C_{p-1} \longrightarrow \cdots)
$$

is a chain of based $CG$-modules with $CG$-linear connecting morphisms $\{\partial_p\}$ such that $\partial_{p-1} \circ \partial_p = 0$. We can apply the functor $D(\rho)$ to obtain a new $CG$-chain complex

$$
D(\rho)C_\ast = (\cdots \longrightarrow D(\rho)C_{p+1} \stackrel{D(\rho)\partial_{p+1}}{\longrightarrow} D(\rho)C_p \stackrel{D(\rho)\partial_p}{\longrightarrow} D(\rho)C_{p-1} \longrightarrow \cdots)
$$

with connecting homomorphisms $\{D(\rho)\partial_p\}$. If $f_\ast$ is a chain map between based $CG$-chain complexes, the twisting chain map $D(\rho)f_\ast$ is a $CG$-chain map between the corresponding twisted chain complexes. So $D(\rho)$ generalizes to be a functor of the category of based $CG$-chain complexes.

2.2. $L^2$-torsion theory. Let

$$
L^2(G) = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} c_g \cdot g \mid c_g \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{g \in G} |c_g|^2 < \infty \right\}
$$

be the Hilbert space orthonormally spanned by all elements in $G$. Since $G$ is finitely generated, $L^2(G)$ is a separable Hilbert space with isometric left and right $CG$-module structure. We denote by $\mathcal{N}(G)$ the group von Neumann algebra of $G$ which consists of all bounded Hilbert operators of $L^2(G)$ that commute with the right $CG$-action. We will treat $L^2(G)$ as a left $\mathcal{N}(G)$-module and a right $CG$-module. The $L^2$-completion of a based $CG$-chain complex $C_\ast$ is then a Hilbert $\mathcal{N}(G)$-chain complex defined as

$$
L^2(G) \otimes_{CG} C_\ast
$$

and the $L^2$-completions of the connecting homomorphism $\partial$ and chain map $f$ are $id \otimes_{CG} \partial$ and $id \otimes_{CG} f$ respectively. Note that each chain module of $L^2(G) \otimes_{CG} C_\ast$ is simply a direct sum of $L^2(G)$:

$$
L^2(G) \otimes_{CG} C_p = L^2(G) \otimes_{CG} C^r_p = L^2(G)^r_p
$$

where $r_p$ is the rank of $C_p$.

The $L^2$-completion process converts a based $CG$-chain complex into a finitely generated, free Hilbert $\mathcal{N}(G)$-chain complex.

Definition 2.3. A finitely generated, free Hilbert $\mathcal{N}(G)$-chain complex is called weakly acyclic if the $L^2$-Betti numbers are all trivial. A finitely generated, free Hilbert $\mathcal{N}(G)$-chain complex is of determinant class if all the Fuglede-Kadison determinants of the connecting homomorphisms are positive real numbers.
Definition 2.4. Let $C_*$ be a finitely generated, free Hilbert $\mathcal{N}(G)$-chain complex. Suppose $C_*$ is of finite length, i.e., there exists an integer $N > 0$ such that $C_p = 0$ for $|p| > N$. Furthermore, if $C_*$ is weakly acyclic and of determinant class, we define the $L^2$-torsion of $C_*$ to be the alternating product of the Fuglede-Kadison determinants of the connecting homomorphisms:

$$\tau^{(2)}(C_*) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} (\det_{\mathcal{N}(G)}(\partial_p))(-1)^p.$$

Otherwise, we artificially set $\tau^{(2)}(C_*) = 0$.

We recommend [Luc02] for the definition of the $L^2$-Betti number and the Fuglede-Kadison determinant. We remark that our notational convention follows [DFL15, DFL16, Liu17], and the exponential of the torsion in [Luc02, Luc18] is the multiplicative inverse of our torsion.

Let $A$ be a $p \times p$ matrix over $\mathcal{N}(G)$. The regular Fuglede-Kadison determinant of $A$ is defined to be

$$\det^r_{\mathcal{N}(G)}(A) = \begin{cases} \det_{\mathcal{N}(G)}(A), & \text{if } A \text{ is full rank of determinant class,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We will need the following two lemmas in order to do explicit calculations, the proof can be found in [DFL15] Lemma 2.6, Lemma 3.2] combining with the basic properties of the Fuglede-Kadison determinant (see [Luc02] Theorem 3.14]).

Lemma 2.5. Let $\mathbb{Z}^k$ be a free Abelian subgroup of $G$ generated by $z_1, \ldots, z_k$. Let $A$ be a $p \times p$ matrix over $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_k]$. Denote by $p(z_1, \ldots, z_k)$ the ordinary determinant of $A$, then

$$\det^r_{\mathcal{N}(G)}(A) = \text{Mah}(p(z_1, \ldots, z_k))$$

where $\text{Mah}(p(z_1, \ldots, z_k))$ is the Mahler measure of the polynomial $p(z_1, \ldots, z_k)$.

Lemma 2.6. Let

$$D_* = (0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}G^j \overset{C}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{C}G^k \overset{B}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{C}G^{k+l-j} \overset{A}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{C}G^l \longrightarrow 0)$$

be a complex. Let $L \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k+l-j\}$ be a subset of size $l$ and $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}$ a subset of size $j$. We write

$$A(J) := \text{rows in } A \text{ corresponding to } J,$$

$$B(J, L) := \text{result of deleting the columns of } B \text{ corresponding to } J$$

and deleting the rows corresponding to $L$.

$$C(J) := \text{columns of } C \text{ corresponding to } L.$$

View $A, B, C$ as matrices over $\mathcal{N}(G)$. If $\det^r_{\mathcal{N}(G)}(A(J)) \neq 0$ and $\det^r_{\mathcal{N}(G)}(C(L)) \neq 0$, then

$$\tau^{(2)}(l^2(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}G} D_*) = \det^r_{\mathcal{N}(G)}(B(J, L)) \cdot \det^r_{\mathcal{N}(G)}(A(J))^{-1} \cdot \det^r_{\mathcal{N}(G)}(C(L))^{-1}.$$

3. Twisted $L^2$-torsion for CW complexes

Let $X$ be a finite CW complex with fundamental group $G$. Denote by $\hat{X}$ the universal cover of $|X|$ with the natural CW complex structure coming from $X$. Choose a lifting $\hat{\sigma}_i$ for each cell $\sigma_i$ in the CW structure of $X$. The deck group $G$ acts freely on the cellular chain complex of $\hat{X}$ on the left, which makes the $\mathbb{C}$-coefficient cellular chain complex $C_*(\hat{X})$ a based $\mathbb{C}G$-chain complex with basis $\{\hat{\sigma}_i\}$. Recall that $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is any group homomorphism.

For future convenience, we introduce the concept of admissible triple for higher dimensional linear representations, generalizing the admissibility condition in [DFL15].
**Definition 3.1** (Admissible triple). Let \( \gamma : G \to H \) be a homomorphism to a countable group \( H \). We say that \((G, \rho; \gamma)\) forms an admissible triple if \( \rho : G \to \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) factors through \( \gamma \), i.e., for some homomorphism \( \psi : H \to \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \), the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & H \\
\rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\
\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) & & \\
\end{array}
\]

**Definition 3.2.** Let \((G, \rho; \gamma)\) be an admissible triple. Consider \( l^2(H) \) as a left Hilbert \( N(H) \)-module, and a right \( \mathbb{C}G \)-module induced by \( \gamma \). Define the \( L^2 \)-chain complex of \( X \) twisted by \((G, \rho; \gamma)\) to be the following Hilbert \( N(H) \)-chain complex:

\[
C_*^{(2)}(X, \rho; \gamma) := l^2(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}G} D(\rho)C_*(\tilde{X}).
\]

We define the \( L^2 \)-torsion of \( X \) twisted by \((G, \rho; \gamma)\) as

\[
\tau^{(2)}(X, \rho; \gamma) := \tau^{(2)}(C_*^{(2)}(X, \rho; \gamma)).
\]

**Proposition 3.3.** The definition of \( \tau^{(2)}(X, \rho; \gamma) \) with respect to any admissible triple \((G, \rho; \gamma)\) does not depend on the order or orientation of the basis \( \{ \sigma_i \} \), nor the choice of lifting \( \{ \tilde{\sigma}_i \} \). Moreover, let \( \rho' : G \to \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) be conjugate to \( \rho \), i.e., there exists a matrix \( T \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \), such that \( \rho' = T \cdot \rho \cdot T^{-1} \). Then \((G, \rho'; \gamma)\) is also an admissible triple and \( \tau^{(2)}(X, \rho; \gamma) = \tau^{(2)}(X, \rho'; \gamma) \).

**Proof.** The property of being weakly \( L^2 \)-acyclic does not depend on the choices in the statement. We only need to analyze how these choices change the Fuglede-Kadison determinant of the connecting morphisms.

Abbreviate by \( C_*(\tilde{X}, \rho) := D(\rho)C_*(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{C}) \) the diagonal twisting chain complex. Suppose the based cellular chain complex of \( \tilde{X} \) has the form

\[
C_*(\tilde{X}) = (\cdots \to \mathbb{C}G^{r_i+1} \overset{\partial_i}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{C}G^{r_i} \overset{\partial_i}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{C}G^{r_i-1} \to \cdots)
\]

where \( \partial_i \) is an \( r_i \times r_{i-1} \) matrix over \( \mathbb{C}G \), then the diagonal twisting chain complex \( C_*(\tilde{X}, \rho) \) has the form

\[
C_*(\tilde{X}, \rho) = (\cdots \to \mathbb{C}G^{nr_i+1} \overset{\partial_i^\rho}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{C}G^{nr_i} \overset{\partial_i^\rho}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{C}G^{nr_i-1} \to \cdots)
\]

where \( \partial_i^\rho = D(\rho)\partial_i \) is an \( nr_i \times nr_{i-1} \) matrix over \( \mathbb{C}G \) for all \( i \). An explicit formula for \( \partial_i^\rho \) is presented in Proposition [222]. Then the \( L^2 \)-chain complex of \( X \) twisted by \((G, \rho; \gamma)\) has the form

\[
C_*^{(2)}(X, \rho; \gamma) = (\cdots \to l^2(H)^{nr_i+1} \gamma(\partial_i^\rho) \overset{\gamma(\partial_i^\rho)}{\longrightarrow} l^2(H)^{nr_i} \overset{\gamma(\partial_i^\rho)}{\longrightarrow} l^2(H)^{nr_i-1} \to \cdots),
\]

the notation \( \gamma(\partial_i^\rho) \) means applying the group homomorphism \( \gamma \) to each monomial of any entry of the matrix \( \partial_i^\rho \), resulting in a matrix over \( \mathbb{C}H \subset N(H) \).

We now analyze how the choices affect the value of \( \tau^{(2)}(X, \rho; \gamma) \). If the basis of \( C_*^{(2)}(X) \) is permuted, and the orientations are changed, then \( \gamma(\partial_i^\rho) \) and \( \gamma(\partial_i^\rho) \) change by multiplying a permutation matrix, with entries \( \pm 1 \).

If one choose another lifting \( \bar{g} \tilde{\sigma} \) instead of \( \tilde{\sigma} \) for some \( g \in G \), then \( \gamma(\partial_i^\rho) \) and \( \gamma(\partial_i^\rho) \) change by multiplying a block matrix in the following form:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
I_{n \times n} & \cdots \\
\cdots & \rho(g)^{\pm 1} \cdot I_{n \times n} \\
\cdots & \cdots \\
I_{n \times n}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
If one replace \( \rho \) by \( \rho' = T \cdot \rho \cdot T^{-1} \) for a matrix \( T \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \), the corresponding connecting homomorphism is in the following form:

\[
\gamma(\partial^p_i') = \begin{pmatrix} T & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & T \end{pmatrix} \gamma(\partial^p_i) \begin{pmatrix} T^{-1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & T^{-1} \end{pmatrix}
\]

In all cases, the regular Fuglede-Kadison determinant of \( \gamma(\partial^p_i) \) and \( \gamma(\partial^p_i+1) \) are unchanged by basic properties of Fuglede-Kadison determinant, see \cite[Theorem 3.14]{Lüc12}.

Note that the “moreover” part of the previous lemma tells us that we don’t need to worry about the different choices of the base point when identifying the fundamental group \( \pi_1(X) \) with \( G \).

**Lemma 3.4.** Let \( T \) be a two-dimensional torus. For any admissible triple \((T, \rho : \pi_1(T) \to \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}); \gamma : \pi_1(T) \to H)\), if \( \text{im} \gamma \) is infinite, then

\[
\tau^{(2)}(T, \rho; \gamma) = 1.
\]

**Proof.** We consider the standard CW structure for \( T \) constructed by identifying pairs of sides of a square. Let \( P \) be the 0-cell. Let \( E_1, E_2 \) be the 1-cells. Let

\[
e_1 = [E_1] \in \pi_1(T), \quad e_2 = [E_2] \in \pi_1(T),
\]

then \( \pi_1(T) \) is the free Abelian group generated by \( e_1, e_2 \). There is a 2-cell \( \sigma \) whose boundary is the loop \( E_1E_2E_1^{-1}E_2^{-1} \). Let \( \tilde{T} \) be the universal covering of \( T \) with the induced CW structure. It is easy to see that the \( L^2 \)-chain complex of \( T \) twisted by \((\pi_1(T), \rho; \gamma)\) is

\[
C^{(2)}_s(T, \rho; \gamma) = (0 \to \ell^2(H)\langle \sigma \rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V \xrightarrow{\gamma(\partial^p_0)} \ell^2(H)\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V \xrightarrow{\gamma(\partial^p_1)} \ell^2(H)\langle P \rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V \to 0)
\]

in which

\[
\gamma(\partial^p_0) = (I^{n \times n} - \gamma(e_2)\rho(e_2) - I^{n \times n} + \gamma(e_1)\rho(e_1)), \quad \gamma(\partial^p_1) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \gamma(e_1)\rho(e_1) - I^{n \times n} \\ \gamma(e_2)\rho(e_2) - I^{n \times n} \end{array} \right).
\]

We assume without loss of generality that \( \gamma(e_1) \) has infinite order. Set \( p(z) := \text{det}(z\rho(e_1) - I^{n \times n}) \) as a polynomial of indeterminant \( z \). Then by Lemma 2.5

\[
\text{det}_H^\tau (\gamma(e_1)\rho(e_1) - I^{n \times n}) = \text{Mah}(p(z)) \neq 0.
\]

The conclusion follows from \cite[Lemma 3.1]{DLM15} which is a formula analogous to Lemma 2.6 but applies to shorter chain complexes.

There is another way to define the twisted \( L^2 \)-torsions, following Lück \cite{Lüc18}. Let \( H \) be a finitely generated group. Recall that \( \tilde{X} \) is called a *finite free \( H \)-CW complex* if \( \tilde{X} \) is a regular covering space of a finite CW complex \( X \), with deck transformation group \( H \) acting on \( \tilde{X} \) on the left. Choose an \( H \)-equivariant CW structure for \( \tilde{X} \), and choose one representative cell for each \( H \)-orbit, then the cellular chain complex \( C_*(\tilde{X}) \) becomes a based \( \mathbb{C}H \)-chain complex. For any group homomorphism \( \phi : H \to \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \), we form the diagonal twisting chain complex \( D(\phi)C_*(\tilde{X}) \) (recall the definition of the twisting functor \( D \) in section 2). The *\( \phi \)-twisted \( L^2 \)-torsion* of the \( H \)-CW complex \( \tilde{X} \) is defined to be

\[
\rho_H^{(2)}(\tilde{X}, \phi) := \log \tau^{(2)}(\ell^2(\tilde{H}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}H} D(\phi)C_*(\tilde{X})).
\]

Note that \( \rho \) is a unimodular representation in our setting, this torsion does not depend on a specific \( \mathbb{C}H \)-basis for \( C_*(\tilde{X}) \) (compare Proposition 3.3). We point out in the following proposition that both definitions of twisted \( L^2 \)-torsion are essentially the same.
Proposition 3.5. Following the notations above. Let $G$ be the fundamental group of $X = H \backslash \tilde{X}$, there is a natural quotient map $\gamma : G \to H$ by covering space theory. It is obvious that $(G, \phi \circ \gamma; \gamma)$ is an admissible triple. Then we have
\[
\tau^{(2)}(X, \phi \circ \gamma; \gamma) = \exp \rho_H^{(2)}(\tilde{X}, \phi).
\]

Proof. Let $\tilde{X}$ be the universal covering space of $X$, with the natural CW structure coming from $X$. Choose a lifting for each cell in $X$ and then $C_*(\tilde{X})$ becomes a based $CG$-chain complex. It is a pure algebraic fact that the two based $CH$-chain complexes are $CH$-isomorphic:

(*)\[ D(\phi)C_*(\tilde{X}) \cong CH \otimes CG D(\phi \circ \gamma)C_*(\tilde{X}). \]

Indeed, the $CH$-chain complex $CH \otimes CG D(\phi \circ \gamma)C_*(\tilde{X})$ is obtained from
\[
C_*(\tilde{X}) = (\cdots \to CG^{r+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{r+1}} CG^r \xrightarrow{\partial_r} CG^{r-1} \to \cdots)
\]
by the following two operations:

(1) (the diagonal twist) firstly, replace every direct summand $CG$ by its $n^{th}$ power $CG^n$, replace any entry $A_{i,j}$ of the matrix $\partial_i$ by a block matrix $A_{i,j} \phi \circ \gamma (A_{i,j})$, as in Proposition 2.2, resulting in a new matrix $\partial_{\phi \circ \gamma}$, and then

(2) (tensoring with $CH$) replace every direct summand $CG$ of the chain module by $CH$, and apply $\gamma$ to every entry of $\partial_{\phi \circ \gamma}$, resulting in a block matrix whose $i,j$-submatrix is $\gamma (A_{i,j}) \phi \circ \gamma (A_{i,j})$.

The resulting chain complex is exactly the chain complex $D(\phi) (CH \otimes CG C_*(\tilde{X}))$ (this can be seen by doing the above operations in the reversed order, thanks to the admissible condition). Combining the well-known $CH$-isomorphism $C_*(\tilde{X}) \cong CH \otimes CG C_*(\tilde{X})$ and then the isomorphism (6) follows.

Finally, we tensor $l^2(H)$ on the left of both $CH$-chain complexes and the conclusion follows from both taking $L^2$-torsion.

The following useful properties are obtained by translating the statements of [Luc18 Theorem 6.7] into our terminology.

Lemma 3.6. Some basic properties of twisted-$L^2$ torsions:

(1) $G$-homotopy equivalence.

Let $X, Y$ be two finite CW complexes with fundamental group $G$. For any admissible triple $(G, \rho; \gamma)$, suppose there is a simple homotopy equivalence $f : X \to Y$ such that the induced homomorphism $f_* : G \to G$ preserves $\ker \gamma$. Then we have
\[
\tau^{(2)}(X, \rho; \gamma) = \tau^{(2)}(Y, \rho; \gamma).
\]

(2) Restriction.

Let $X$ be a finite CW complex with fundamental group $G$. Let $\tilde{X}$ be a finite regular cover of $X$ with the induced CW structure. Suppose $\pi_1(\tilde{X}) = \tilde{G} < G$ is a normal subgroup of index $d$. Let $\tilde{\rho} : \tilde{G} \to SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be the restriction of $\rho : G \to SL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Then
\[
\tau^{(2)}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\rho}) = \tau^{(2)}(X, \rho)^d.
\]

(3) Sum formula.

Let $X$ be a finite CW complex with fundamental group $G$ and $\rho : G \to SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a homomorphism. Let
\[
i_1 : X_1 \hookrightarrow X, \ i_2 : X_2 \hookrightarrow X, \ i_0 : X_1 \cap X_2 \hookrightarrow X
\]
be subcomplex of $X$ with $X_1 \cup X_2 = X$. Let
\[
\rho_1 = \rho|_{\pi_1(X_1)}, \ \rho_2 = \rho|_{\pi_1(X_2)}, \ \rho_0 = \rho|_{\pi_1(X_1 \cap X_2)}
\]
be the restriction of $\rho$. If $\tau^{(2)}(X_1 \cap X_2, \rho_0; i_0) \neq 0$, then
\[
\tau^{(2)}(X, \rho) = \tau^{(2)}(X_1, \rho_1; i_1) \cdot \tau^{(2)}(X_2, \rho_2; i_2) / \tau^{(2)}(X_1 \cap X_2, \rho_0; i_0).
\]
4. Twisted $L^2$-torsion for 3-manifolds

In the remaining of this paper, we will assume that $N$ is a compact orientable irreducible 3-manifold with empty or incompressible toral boundary. We denote by $G$ the fundamental group of $N$ and assume $G$ is infinite. It is well known that $G$ is finitely generated and residually finite (see [Hem87]). For any group homomorphism $\rho : G \to \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $\gamma : G \to H$, we say $(N, \rho, \gamma)$ is an admissible triple if $(G, \rho, \gamma)$ is. In this case, we define the twisted $L^2$-torsion of $(N, \rho, \gamma)$ by

$$\tau^2(N, \rho; \gamma) := \tau^2(X, \rho; \gamma)$$

where $X$ is any CW structure for $N$. This definition does not depend on the choice of $X$, thanks to Lemma 3.6. Indeed, if $X, Y$ are two CW structures for $N$, denote by $f : X \to Y$ the corresponding homeomorphism, then $f$ is a simple homotopy equivalence by Chapman [Cha74, Theorem 1] and certainly preserves $\ker \gamma$. So we have $\tau^2(X, \rho; \gamma) = \tau^2(Y, \rho; \gamma)$.

The remaining part of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4.1. Twisted $L^2$-torsion for graph manifolds. We prove Theorem 1.1 for graph manifold $N$ with infinite fundamental group $G$.

**Theorem 4.1.** Suppose $M$ is a Seifert-fibered piece of the graph manifold $N$. Let $h \in \pi_1(M)$ be represented by the regular fiber of $M$. Let $\Lambda$ be the product of all eigenvalues of $\rho(h)$ whose modulus is not greater than 1. Suppose the orbit space $M/S^1$ has orbifold Euler characteristic $\chi_{\text{orb}}$. Then

$$\tau^2(N, \rho) = \prod_{M \subset N \text{ is a Seifert piece}} \Lambda^{\chi_{\text{orb}}}.$$  

**Proof.** This proof is a generalization of [BR22, Proposition 4.3]. Fix any Seifert-fibered piece $M$ of the JSJ-decomposition of $N$, then $\pi_1(M)$ is infinite as well. Suppose that $M$ is isomorphic to a model

$$M(g, b; q_1/p_1, \ldots, q_k/p_k), \quad k \geq 1, \ p_1 \cdots, p_k > 0$$

following Hatcher [Hat07], more explicitly, take a surface of genus $g$ with $b$ boundary components, namely $E_1, \ldots, E_b$, then drill out $k$-disjoint disks from it to form a new surface $\Sigma$ with $k$ additional boundary circles $F_1, \ldots, F_k$. These $k$ boundary circles correspond to $k$ boundary tori of $\Sigma \times S^1$, namely $T_1, \ldots, T_k$, then $M$ is obtained by a Dehn filling of slope $(q_1/p_1, \ldots, q_k/p_k)$ along $(T_1, \ldots, T_k)$ respectively. So we have

$$M = (\Sigma \times S^1) \cup_{T_1} D_1 \cup_{T_2} \cdots \cup_{T_k} D_k$$

in which $D_i$ is a solid torus whose meridian $(0,1)$-curve is attached to the $(q_i, p_i)$-curve of $T_i$. The orbit space can be viewed as a 2-dimensional orbifold, whose underlying topological space is a surface $\Sigma_{g,b}$ with $k$ singularities of indices $p_1, \ldots, p_k$ respectively. The orbifold Euler characteristic is

$$\chi_{\text{orb}} = 2 - 2g - b - \sum_{i=1}^{k} (1 - \frac{1}{p_i}).$$

More details can be found in [Sco83].

Retract $\Sigma$ along the boundary circle $F_k$ to an 1-dimensional complex $X$, it is a bunch of circles with one common vertex $P$, and edges

$$A_1, B_1, \ldots, A_g, B_g, E_1, \ldots, E_b, F_1, \ldots, F_{k-1}$$

where $A_1, B_1, \ldots, A_g, B_g$ come from the standard polygon representation of a closed surface $\Sigma_g$. Suppose that $A_i, B_i, E_i, F_i$ represents $a_i, b_i, c_i, f_i$ in $\pi_1(M)$ respectively. Let $H$ be the 1-cell of $S^1$ representing $h \in \pi_1(M)$, then $\Sigma \times S^1$ is given the product CW structure, we collect the cells in each dimension in the following:

$$\{A_1 \times H, B_1 \times H, \ldots, A_g \times H, B_g \times H, E_1 \times H, \ldots, E_b \times H, F_1 \times H, \ldots, F_{k-1} \times H\},$$
\{A_1, B_1, \ldots, A_g, B_g, E_1, \ldots, E_b, F_1, \ldots, F_{k-1}, H\}, \quad \{P\}.

We have \( f_i^n h^{\eta_i} = 1 \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, k - 1 \) by the Dehn filling.

Denote by

\[ \kappa : \Sigma \times S^1 \to N, \quad t_i : T_i \to N, \quad \zeta_i : D_i \to N, \quad i = 1, \ldots, k \]

the inclusion maps to the ambient manifold \( N \). Our strategy is as follows: cut \( N \) along all JSJ-tori and all tori \( \{T_1, \ldots, T_k\} \) that appears in each Seifert piece of the JSJ-decomposition of \( N \) as above. By Lemma 3.3, the JSJ-tori do not contribute to the \( L^2 \)-torsion. Then by the sum formula of Lemma 3.6 we have the following formula:

\[
\tau^2(N, \rho) = \prod_{M \subset N} \frac{\tau^2(\Sigma \times S^1, \rho \circ \kappa; \kappa)}{\prod_{i=1}^k \tau^2(T_i, \rho \circ \zeta_i; \zeta_i)}
\]

It remains to calculate the terms appearing in Theorem 11.

Firstly the easiest part. Since \( \zeta_i(\pi_1(T_i)) \) has infinite order in \( G \) then the twisted \( L^2 \)-torsion of the admissible triple \( (T_i, \rho \circ t_i; t_i) \) is trivially 1 by Lemma 3.4.

We now compute \( \tau^2(\Sigma \times S^1, \rho \circ \kappa; \kappa) \). Set \( \pi := \pi_1(\Sigma \times S^1) \), the CW chain complex of the universal cover \( \hat{\Sigma} \times S^1 \) is

\[
C_* \left( \hat{\Sigma} \times S^1 \right) = \left( 0 \to \mathbb{C} \pi \to \mathbb{C} \pi \to \mathbb{C} \pi \to 0 \right)
\]

in which

\[
\partial_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 - h & 0 & \cdots & 0 & * \\
0 & 1 - h & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & * \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 - h & *
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \partial_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
* \\
\vdots \\
* \\
1 - h
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Then the \( L^2 \)-chain complex of \( \Sigma \times S^1 \) twisted by \( (\pi, \rho \circ \kappa; \kappa) \) is

\[
C_*^2 \left( \Sigma \times S^1, \rho \circ \kappa; \kappa \right) = \left( 0 \to \mathbb{C} \pi \to \mathbb{C} \pi \to \mathbb{C} \pi \to 0 \right)
\]

in which

\[
\partial^\rho_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
I^{n \times n} - h \rho(h) & 0 & \cdots & 0 & * \\
0 & I^{n \times n} - h \rho(h) & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & * \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & I^{n \times n} - h \rho(h) & *
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \partial^\rho_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
* \\
\vdots \\
* \\
I^{n \times n} - h \rho(h)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We have identified \( h \) with its image under \( \kappa \), in \( \pi_1(N) = G \) for notational convenience. If the modulus of all eigenvalues of \( \rho(h) \) are \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \), by properties of regular Fuglede-Kadison determinant and Lemma 2.5, 2.6 we know that

\[
\tau^2(\Sigma \times S^1, \rho \circ \kappa; \kappa) = \det_{NC}^r (I^{n \times n} - h \rho(h))^{2g+b+k-2} \cdot \det_{NC}^r (I^{n \times n} - h \rho(h))^{2g+b+k-2} \cdot \det_{NC}^r (I^{n \times n} - h \rho(h))^{2g+b+k-2}
\]

\[
= \det_{NC}^r (I^{n \times n} - h \rho(h))^{2g+b+k-2} \cdot \det_{NC}^r (I^{n \times n} - h \rho(h))^{2g+b+k-2} \cdot \det_{NC}^r (I^{n \times n} - h \rho(h))^{2g+b+k-2}
\]

Then we compute \( \tau^2(T_i, \rho \circ \zeta_i; \zeta_i) \). It is easy to see that the generator of \( \pi_1(T_i) \) is represented by \( h^{m_i} f_i^{n_i} \), where \( (m_i, n_i) \) is a pair of integers such that \( m_i p_i - n_i q_i = 1 \). Then we have

\[
\tau^2(T_i, \rho \circ \zeta_i; \zeta_i) = \det_{NC}^r (I^{n \times n} - h^{m_i} f_i^{n_i} \cdot \rho(h^{m_i} f_i^{n_i}))^{-1}
\]
where \( h, f_i \) are again viewed as elements in \( G \). Since \( h \) and \( f_i \) commute and are simultaneously upper triangularisable, then the modulus of all eigenvalues of \( \rho(h^{m_i} f_i^{n_i}) \) are \( \lambda_1^{1/p_i}, \ldots, \lambda_n^{1/p_i} \). Note that \( h^{m_i} f_i^{n_i} \) is an infinite order element, by Lemma \( \ref{lem:lambda} \) we have
\[
\det_N^G(I^{n \times n} - h^{m_i} f_i^{n_i} \cdot \rho(h^{m_i} f_i^{n_i})) = \text{Mah}(\prod_{r=1}^{n}(1 - z\lambda_r^{1/p_i})) = \Lambda^{-1/p_i},
\]
and then \( \tau^{(2)}(D_i, \rho \circ \zeta_{is}; \zeta_{is}) = \Lambda^{1/p_i} \).

Finally, combining the calculations above, we have
\[
\tau^{(2)}(\Sigma \times S^1, \rho \circ \kappa_s; \kappa_s) \prod_{i=1}^{k} \tau^{(2)}(D_i, \rho \circ \zeta_{is}; \zeta_{is}) = \Lambda^{-2g+b+k-2+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\frac{1}{p_i}} = \Lambda^{c_{\text{orb}}}.
\]

And the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.

\[\square\]

### 4.2. Twisted \( L^2 \)-torsion for hyperbolic or mixed manifolds.

In this part, we assume that \( N \) is not a graph manifold, or equivalently, \( N \) contains at least one hyperbolic piece in its geometrization decomposition. Then \( N \) is either hyperbolic or so-called mixed. By Agol’s RFRS criterion for virtual fibering \cite{Agol08} and the virtual specialness of 3-manifolds having at least one hyperbolic piece \cite{AGM13, PW18}, we can assume that \( N \) has a regular finite cover that fibers over circle. For future convenience, we introduce the following notions.

**Definition 4.2.** Let \( G \) be a finitely generated, residually finite group. For any cohomology class \( \psi \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R}) \), and any real number \( t > 0 \), there is an 1-dimensional representation
\[
\psi_t : G \to \mathbb{C}^\times, \quad g \mapsto e^{t\psi(g)}.
\]
This representation can be used to twist \( \mathbb{C}G \), determining a \( \mathbb{C}G \)-homomorphism:
\[
\kappa(\psi, t) : \mathbb{C}G \to \mathbb{C}G, \quad g \mapsto e^{t\psi(g)}g, \quad g \in G
\]
and extend \( \mathbb{C} \)-linearly. The \( \mathbb{C}G \)-homomorphism \( \kappa(\psi, t) \) is called the Alexander twist of \( \mathbb{C}G \) associated to \( (\psi, t) \).

**Definition 4.3.** A positive function \( f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) is multiplicatively convex if the function
\[
F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad t \mapsto \log f(e^t)
\]
is a convex function. In particular, a multiplicatively convex function is continuous and everywhere positive.

Our main technical tool is the following theorem due to Liu \cite[Theorem 5.1]{Lin17}.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \( G \) be a finitely generated, residually finite group. For any square matrix \( A \) over \( \mathbb{C}G \) and any 1-cohomology class \( \psi \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R}) \), the function
\[
t \mapsto \det_N^G(\kappa(\psi, t)A), \quad t > 0
\]
is either constantly zero or multiplicatively convex (and in particular every where positive).

With the above preparations, we are now ready to prove Theorem 1 for hyperbolic or mixed 3-manifolds.

**Theorem 4.5.** Suppose \( N \) is a compact orientable irreducible 3-manifold with empty or incompressible toral boundary. Assume that \( N \) is hyperbolic or mixed. Then \( \tau^{(2)}(N, \rho) > 0 \).
Theorem 4.4 applies to show that these two Fuglede-Kadison determinants are positive when $t$ with regular Fuglede-Kadison determinant equal to 1, see [DFL16, Proposition 8.8]. Then Liu’s For any real number $t > 0$ we have

$$\tau(2)^{(N)} = \tau(2)^{(\tilde{T}_g(\Sigma), \rho)}.$$ We can assume by isotopy that $f$ has a fixed point $P$. Construct a CW structure $X$ modeled on $\Sigma$ with a single 0-cell $P$, $k$ 1-cells $E_1, \cdots, E_k$, and a 2-cell $\sigma$. By CW approximation, there is a cellular map $g : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ homotopic to $f$. Then the mapping torus $T_g(\Sigma)$ is homotopy equivalence to $N$, which is a simple homotopy equivalent since the Whitehead group of a fibered 3-manifold is trivial, see [Wal78, Theorem 19.4, Theorem 19.5]. Hence by Lemma 3.6 we have

$$\psi(h) = 1, \quad \psi(e_1) = \cdots = \psi(e_k) = 0.$$ The CW chain complex of $\tilde{T}_g(\Sigma)$ has the form

$$C_*(\tilde{T}_g(\Sigma)) = (0 \to \mathbb{C}G \xrightarrow{\partial_3} \mathbb{C}G^{k+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \mathbb{C}G^{k+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathbb{C}G \xrightarrow{\partial_0} 0)$$ in which

$$\partial_3 = (1 - h, *, \cdots, *), \quad \partial_2 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_{k \times k} & * \\ -h \cdot A & * \end{array} \right), \quad \partial_1 = \left( \begin{array}{c} * \\ 1 - h \end{array} \right)$$ and “*” stands for matrices of appropriate size, $A$ is a matrix over $\mathbb{C}[\ker \psi]$ of size $k \times k$. Denote by $A_{\rho}$ the matrix $A$ twisted by $\rho$, as in Proposition 2.2, then the $L^2$-chain complex of $\tilde{T}_g(\Sigma)$ twisted by $(G, \rho; \text{id}_G)$ is

$$C^{(2)}_*(\tilde{T}_g(\Sigma), \rho) = (0 \to \mathbb{C}^2(G)^n \xrightarrow{\partial_3^\rho} \mathbb{C}^2(G)^{n(k+1)} \xrightarrow{\partial_2^\rho} \mathbb{C}^2(G)^{n(k+1)} \xrightarrow{\partial_1^\rho} \mathbb{C}^2(G)^n \xrightarrow{\partial_0^\rho} 0)$$ in which

$$\partial_3^\rho = \left( I_{n \times n} - h \rho(h), *, \cdots, * \right), \quad \partial_2^\rho = \left( I_{nk \times nk} - h \cdot \rho(h)A_{\rho}, * \right), \quad \partial_1^\rho = \left( I_{nk \times nk} - h \cdot \rho(h)A_{\rho}, * \right) .$$ Consider the following two matrices

$$S := I_{n \times n} - h \rho(h), \quad T := I_{nk \times nk} - h \rho(h)A_{\rho}$$ and the matrices under the Alexander twist associated to $(\psi, t)$:

$$S(t) := \kappa(\psi, t)S = I_{n \times n} - t \cdot h \rho(h), \quad T(t) := \kappa(\psi, t)T = I_{nk \times nk} - t \cdot h \rho(h)A_{\rho}.$$ For any real number $t > 0$ sufficiently small, the two matrices $S(t)$ and $T(t)$ are both invertible with regular Fuglede-Kadison determinant equal to 1, see [DFL16, Proposition 8.8]. Then Liu’s Theorem 4.4 applies to show that these two Fuglede-Kadison determinants are positive when $t = 1$. It follows from Theorem 2.3 that $\tau(2)^{(N, \rho)} = \det^r_{NG} T(1) \cdot \det^r_{NG} S(1)^{-2}$ is positive. □

Theorem 4.1 then follows from Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.5.
5. Continuity of twisted $L^2$-torsion on representation varieties

Let $N$ be any compact orientable irreducible 3-manifold with empty or incompressible toral boundary, set $G := \pi_1(N)$. Suppose that $G$ is infinite, and denote by $\mathcal{R}_n(G) := \text{Hom}(G, \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ the representation variety, then Theorem $\text{[Lüc02, Theorem 3.35]}$ implies that the twisted $L^2$-torsion can be viewed as a positive function

$$\rho \mapsto \tau^{(2)}(N, \rho), \quad \rho \in \mathcal{R}_n(G).$$

The continuity of this torsion function is an interesting but rather hard question. The work of Liu $\text{[Liu17, Theorem 1.2]}$ have shown that the torsion function is continuous in $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{R})$ along the Alexander twists, we remark that in his article the twist is not unimodular, and an equivalence class for torsion functions is introduced to guarantee well-definedness. If $N$ is hyperbolic and $\rho_0 : G \to \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is a holonomy representation associated to the hyperbolic structure, and $\rho \in \mathcal{R}_2(G)$ is a lifting of $\rho_0$ (such lifting always exists, see $\text{[Cul86, Corollary 2.2]}$), then Bernard and Raimbault $\text{[BR22]}$ proved that the torsion function is analytic near $\rho$. The continuity of the torsion function in general is wide open. In this section we present a partial result on the continuity of the twisted $L^2$-torsion function, namely Theorem $\text{[1.2]}$ We start with a brief discussion of the $L^2$-Alexander torsions since it is closely related to the proof of Theorem $\text{[1.2]}$.

5.1. $L^2$-Alexander torsions. The $L^2$-torsion twisted by 1-dimensional representations are called the $L^2$-Alexander torsion. To be precise, for any 1-cohomology class $\psi \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R})$ and any real number $t > 0$, the $L^2$-Alexander torsion of $N$ associated to $(\psi, t)$ is defined to be

$$A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t) := \tau^{(2)}(C^{(2)}_*(N, \psi_t)).$$

Recall that $\psi_t : G \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ maps $g \in G$ to $t^{\psi(g)}$ is the representation associated to $(\psi, t)$. Since $\psi_t$ is not a unimodular representation, the $L^2$-Alexander torsion depends on the based $\mathbb{C}G$-chain complex $C_*(\hat{N})$. Indeed, altering the $\mathbb{C}G$-basis of $C_*(\hat{N})$, the base change matrix for $C^{(2)}_*(N, \psi_t)$ will be a permutation matrix with entries $\pm t^{\psi(g)}g_i$ (compare Proposition 3.3), whose regular Fuglede-Kadison determinant is $t^\sum_i \pm \psi(g_i)$. Since $g_i \in G$ are independent of $\psi$ and $t$, the continuity of $A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t)$ as a function of $(\psi, t) \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}_+$ is irrelevant of the choice of cellular basis, here $H^1(G; \mathbb{R})$ is given the usual real vector space topology.

In literature $\text{[DFL15, DFL16]}$, one consider $A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t)$ as a function of $t$, and introduce an equivalence relation between functions. Namely, two functions $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{R}_+ \to [0, +\infty)$ are equivalent if and only if there exists a real number $r$ such that

$$f_1(t) = t^r \cdot f_2(t)$$

holds for all $t > 0$. In this case we denote by $f_1 \equiv f_2$. So the equivalence class of $A(N, \psi, t)$ as a function of $t$ does not depend on the choice of cellular basis.

Another way to cure the ambiguity is to modify $\psi_t$ to be a unimodular 2-dimensional representation. Set

$$\psi_t \oplus \psi_{t^{-1}} : G \to \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}), \quad g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} t^{\psi(g)} & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-\psi(g)} \end{pmatrix}.$$  

Then it is easy to observe that $C^{(2)}_*(N, \psi_t \oplus \psi_{t^{-1}}) = C^{(2)}_*(N, \psi_t) \oplus C^{(2)}_*(N, \psi_{t^{-1}})$ and hence by Lück $\text{[Lüc02, Theorem 3.35]}$ we have

$$A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t) \cdot A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t^{-1}) = \tau^{(2)}(N, \psi_t \oplus \psi_{t^{-1}})$$

which does not depend on the choice of cellular basis. This fact motivates the following definition.

**Definition 5.1.** For any $\psi \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R})$ and $t > 0$, we define the symmetric $L^2$-Alexander torsion of $N$ associated to $(\psi, t)$ to be

$$A^{(2)}_{\text{sym}}(N, \psi, t) := \tau^{(2)}(N, \psi_t \oplus \psi_{t^{-1}})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
It is shown in [DFL16] Chapter 6 that the $L^2$-Alexander torsion satisfies
\[ A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t) = t^{-\psi(c_1(e))} \cdot A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t^{-1}) \]
where $c_1(e) \in H_1(N; \mathbb{Z})$ is independent of $(\psi, t)$. This shows that
\[ A^{(2)}_{\text{sym}}(N, \psi, t) = t^r \cdot A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t) \]
for some real number $r$. We remark that, as a function of $(\psi, t)$, the continuity of $A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t)$ defined by any CW structure is equivalent to the continuity of $A^{(2)}_{\text{sym}}(N, \psi, t)$.

As an illustration of the various definitions, we rediscover the $L^2$-Alexander torsion $A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t)$ for graph manifold $N$ using Theorem 4.1. The calculation is first carried out by Herrmann [Her16] for Seifert fibering space and by Dubois et al. [DFL16] for graph manifolds.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let $N$ be a graph manifold with infinite fundamental group. Suppose that $N \neq S^1 \times D^2$ and $N \neq S^1 \times S^2$. Then a representative of the $L^2$-torsion twisted by $(\psi, t)$ is
\[ A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t) = \max\{1, t^{x_N(\psi)}\} \]
where $x_N$ is the Thurston norm for $H^1(N; \mathbb{R})$.

**Proof.** For $t \geq 1$, set $\rho := \psi_t \oplus \psi_{t^{-1}}$, then by Theorem 4.1 we have
\[ A^{(2)}_{\text{sym}}(N, \psi, t^2) = \tau^{(2)}(N, \psi_t \oplus \psi_{t^{-1}}) = \prod_{M \subset N \text{ is a Seifert piece}} t^{-|\psi(h)|} x_{\text{orb}} \]
where $h \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ is represented by the regular fiber of $M$ and $x_{\text{orb}}$ is the orbifold Euler characteristic of $M/S^1$. By our assumption on $N$, we know that $x_{\text{orb}} \leq 0$, so $-|\psi(h)| x_{\text{orb}} = x_M(\psi)$ by [Her16] Lemma A, where $x_M$ is the Thurston norm for $H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$. Then by [ENN85] Proposition 3.5, we have
\[ \sum_{M \subset N \text{ is a Seifert piece}} x_M(\psi) = x_N(\psi) \]
and then
\[ A^{(2)}_{\text{sym}}(N, \psi, t^2) = t^{x_N(\psi)}, \quad t \geq 1. \]
Since the symmetric $L^2$-Alexander torsion is by definition symmetric, so
\[ A^{(2)}_{\text{sym}}(N, \psi, t) = \max\{t^{x_N(\psi)/2}, t^{-x_N(\psi)/2}\} = \max\{1, t^{x_N(\psi)}\}. \]

It follows that the $L^2$-Alexander torsion of graph manifolds is continuous in $(\psi, t) \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^+$. For a general 3-manifold $N$, the continuity of the $L^2$-Alexander torsion is a hard question. Liu [Liu17] and Lück [Lüc18] independently proved that the $L^2$-Alexander torsion function is always positive. Moreover Liu proved in the same article that $A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t)$ is multiplicatively convex with respect to $t$, and in particular it is continuous. Lück [Lüc18] Chapter 10 conjectured that this function is continuous with respect to $(\psi, t) \in H^1(N; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^+$. We will see that this statement is true.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let $N$ be a compact orientable irreducible 3-manifold with empty or incompressible toral boundary. Suppose $\pi_1(N) = G$ is infinite. Then any representative of the $L^2$-Alexander torsion function $A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t)$ is continuous with respect to $(\psi, t) \in H^1(N; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^+$. Theorem 1.2 is now a corollary of Theorem 5.3 as we restate here

**Theorem 5.4.** Let $N$ be a compact orientable irreducible 3-manifold with empty or incompressible toral boundary. Suppose $\pi_1(N) = G$ is infinite. Define $R^t_0(G)$ to be the subvariety of $R_0(G)$ consisting of upper triangular representations. Then the twisted $L^2$-torsion function
\[ \rho \mapsto \tau^{(2)}(N, \rho) \]
is continuous with respect to $\rho \in R^t_0(G)$. 

Proof. Fix a CW structure for $N$ and fix a choice of cell-lifting to $\hat{N}$, so we can talk about the $L^2$-Alexander torsion unambiguously. For any $\rho \in \mathcal{R}^1_n(G)$, we can assume that

$$\rho(g) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1(g) & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & \cdots & \chi_n(g) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\chi_k : G \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ are characters. The modulus of those characters can be written as

$$|\chi_k| = e^{\phi_k}, \quad g \mapsto e^{\phi_k(g)}$$

for some real 1-cohomology class $\phi_k \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R})$. The classes $\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_n$ are continuous with respect to $\rho \in \mathcal{R}^1_n(G)$.

Let $V_n$ be the $G$-invariant subspace of $V$ corresponding to $\chi_n$, and let $V' := V/V_n$, then there is an exact sequence of $G$-representations

$$0 \to V_n \to V \to V' \to 0$$

where the $G$-actions are given by

$$\rho_n(g) = \chi_n(g), \quad \rho(g) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1(g) & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & \cdots & \chi_n(g) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho'(g) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1(g) & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \chi_{n-1}(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. Then by Lück [Lüc18, Lemma 3.3], we have

$$\tau^{(2)}(N, \rho) = \tau^{(2)}(N, \rho_n) \tau^{(2)}(N, \rho').$$

Since unitary twists have no effects on $L^2$-torsions by Lück [Lüc18, Theorem 4.1], we have

$$\tau^{(2)}(N, \rho_n) = \tau^{(2)}(N, e^{\phi_n}) = A^{(2)}(N, \phi_n, e).$$

The above process can then be applied to $\rho'$ and finally we have the formula

$$\tau^{(2)}(N, \rho) = A^{(2)}(N, \phi_1, e) \cdots A^{(2)}(N, \phi_n, e).$$

Since the cohomology classes $\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_n$ vary continuously with respect to $\rho \in \mathcal{R}^1_n(G)$, the conclusion follows from Theorem 5.3.\qed

The following part of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 5.3. We will need the notion of Alexander multi-twists.

5.2. Alexander multi-twists of matrices. Recall that $G$ is any finitely generated, residually finite group. For any collection of 1-cohomology classes $\Phi = (\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n H^1(G; \mathbb{R})$ and any collection of positive real numbers $T = (t_1, \cdots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, we define a $\mathbb{C}G$-homomorphism

$$\kappa(\Phi, T) : \mathbb{C}G \to \mathbb{C}G, \quad g \mapsto t_1^{\phi_1(g)} \cdots t_n^{\phi_n(g)}, \quad g, \ g \in G.$$ 

This is called the Alexander multi-twist of $\mathbb{C}G$ associated to $(\Phi, T)$.

Proposition 5.5. Basic properties of the Alexander multi-twist:

1. (Associativity) Suppose $\Phi = (\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_n)$, $T = (t_1, \cdots, t_n)$. Then

$$\kappa(\Phi, T) = \kappa(\phi_1, t_1) \circ \cdots \circ \kappa(\phi_n, t_n).$$

2. (Commutativity) $\kappa(\phi_1, t_1) \circ \kappa(\phi_2, t_2) = \kappa(\phi_2, t_2) \circ \kappa(\phi_1, t_1)$.

3. (Change of coordinate) Let $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, then we have

$$\kappa(r_1 \phi + r_2 \phi, t) = \kappa(\phi_1, t^{r_1}) \circ \kappa(\phi_2, t^{r_2}).$$

$$\kappa(\phi, t_1^{r_1} t_2^{r_2}) = \kappa(r_1 \phi, t_1) \circ \kappa(r_2 \phi, t_2).$$
The Alexander multi-twist extends to an endomorphism of the matrix algebra with entries in $\mathbb{C}G$.

In the following part of this section, we shall fix a square matrix $\Omega$ over $\mathbb{C}G$, and suppose that $\det_N^\chi(\Omega)$ is not zero. For any collection of 1-cohomology classes $\Phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n)$ and positive real numbers $T = (t_1, \ldots, t_n)$, we introduce the notation

$$V_\Phi(T) := \det_N^\chi(\kappa(\Phi, T)\Omega).$$

**Proposition 5.6.** For any fixed choice of $\Phi$, the multi-variable function $V_\Phi(T)$ is everywhere positive and is multiplicatively convex in each coordinate with respect to $T = (t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$. 

**Proof.** By associativity and commutativity of Alexander multi-twist we have

$$\kappa(\Phi, T)\Omega = \kappa(\phi_k, t_i) \circ \kappa(\Phi', T')\Omega$$

where $(\Phi', T')$ are variables other than $(\phi_k, t_i)$. The conclusion then follows from applying Theorem 4.4 to each $i$. \qed

**Theorem 5.7.** For any fixed choice of $\Phi$, the multi-variable real function $V_\Phi(T)$ is multiplicatively convex with respect to $T = (t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$. 

**Proof.** We will prove that for any fixed choice of $\Phi$ and every positive integer $k \leq n$, the function $V_\Phi(T)$ is multiplicatively convex with respect to the first $k$ coordinates.

The case $k = 1$ is proved by Proposition 5.6. Assume the claim holds for $(k - 1)$ and consider

$$V_{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k}(t_1, \ldots, t_k) = V_\Phi(T)$$

as a function of the first $k$ variables of $\Phi$ and $T$. It suffices to prove that for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and any collection of positive numbers $r_1, \ldots, r_k > 0$, $s_1, \ldots, s_k > 0$, then

$$(V_{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k}(r_1, \ldots, r_k))^\theta \cdot (V_{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k}(s_1, \ldots, s_k))^{1 - \theta} \geq V_{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k}(r_1 s_1^{1 - \theta}, \ldots, r_k s_k^{1 - \theta}).$$

We can assume that $r_1 \neq s_1$, otherwise this inequality degenerates to the $(k - 1)$ case after permuting the coordinates. Consider $\psi_1 = \phi_1 + \lambda \phi_k$ for a real number $\lambda$ which will be determined later. We have the identity that for all $t_1, \ldots, t_k > 0$,

$$V_{\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_k}(t_1, \ldots, t_{k-1}, t_k) = V_{\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_k}(t_1, \ldots, t_{k-1}, t_1^\lambda t_k).$$

By induction hypothesis, for all $r > 0$, we have

$$(V_{\psi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_k}(r_1, \ldots, r_{k-1}, r))^\theta \cdot (V_{\psi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_k}(s_1, \ldots, s_{k-1}, s))^1 - \theta \geq V_{\psi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_k}(r_1 s_1^{1 - \theta}, \ldots, r_{k-1} s_{k-1}^{1 - \theta}, (r s)^{1 - \theta}).$$

which is equivalent to

$$(V_{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k}(r_1, \ldots, r_{k-1}, r_1^\lambda r))^\theta \cdot (V_{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k}(s_1, \ldots, s_{k-1}, s_1^\lambda r))^{1 - \theta} \geq V_{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k}(r_1 s_1^{1 - \theta}, \ldots, r_{k-1} s_{k-1}^{1 - \theta}, (r_1^\lambda r)^{1 - \theta}) \cdot (s_1^\lambda r))^{1 - \theta}).$$

Since $r_1 \neq s_1$, we can prescribe $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r > 0$ by solving the following equations

$$r_1^\lambda r = r_k, \quad s_1^\lambda r = s_k.$$

This finishes the induction. \qed

**Corollary 5.8.** For any fixed $(\Phi, T) \in \prod_{i=1}^n H^1(G; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^n_+$, the function $W_{\Phi, T} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$W_{\Phi, T}(s_1, \ldots, s_n) := \log (V_{s_1 \phi_1, \ldots, s_n \phi_n}(T))$$

is convex. In particular it is continuous.

**Proof.** This follows from the identity

$$W_{\Phi, T}(s_1, \ldots, s_n) := \log (V_{s_1 \phi_1, \ldots, s_n \phi_n}(T)) = \log (V_{t_1^s, \ldots, t_n^s}(T))$$

and the multiplicatively convexity of $V_\Phi(T)$. \qed
Theorem 5.9. The regular Fuglede-Kadison determinant map \( \det^r_{NG}(\kappa(\phi, t)\Omega) \) is continuous with respect to \( (\phi, t) \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}_+ \).

Proof. Let \( \Psi = (\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_k) \) be a basis for the real vector space \( H^1(G; \mathbb{R}) \). Suppose

\[
\phi = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \psi_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,
\]

where the coefficients \( c_i \) are continuous with respect to \( \phi \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R}) \). Then

\[
\kappa(\phi, t)\Omega = \kappa(c_1 \psi_1, t) \circ \cdots \circ \kappa(c_k \psi_k, t)\Omega
= \kappa(c_1 \log t \cdot \psi_1, e) \circ \cdots \circ \kappa(c_k \log t \cdot \psi_k, e)\Omega
= \kappa((c_1 \log t \cdot \psi_1, \cdots, c_k \log t \cdot \psi_k), (e, \cdots, e))\Omega.
\]

By definition we have

\[
\det^r_{NG}(\kappa(\phi, t)\Omega) = \exp W_{\phi,(e,\cdots,e)}(c_1 \log t, \cdots, c_k \log t).
\]

The continuity follows from corollary 5.8. \( \square \)

5.3. Applications to 3-manifolds. We are now ready to prove Theorem 5.3.

Proof of Theorem 5.3. If \( N \) is a graph manifold, then Theorem 5.2 offers an explicit formula for the \( L^2 \)-Alexander torsion, the theorem holds since the Thurston norm is continuous in \( H^1(N; \mathbb{R}) \).

If \( N \) is a compact connected orientable irreducible 3-manifold which is hyperbolic or mixed, then as in the proof of Theorem 4.5 we can find a regular finite covering \( p : \tilde{N} \to N \) of degree \( d \). Since by Lemma 3.6 we have

\[
\tau^{(2)}(N, \psi_t \oplus \psi_{t-1})^d = \tau^{(2)}(\tilde{N}, p^* \psi_t \oplus p^* \psi_{t-1}),
\]

and then \( A^{(2)}_{\text{sym}}(N, \psi, t)^d = A^{(2)}_{\text{sym}}(\tilde{N}, p^* \psi, t) \). Note that the pullback map \( p^* : H^1(N; \mathbb{R}) \to H^1(\tilde{N}; \mathbb{R}) \) is a continuous embedding, we only need to prove the theorem for \( \tilde{N} \). So we can assume without loss of generality that our manifold \( N \) fibers over circle. From proof of Theorem 4.5 we see that

\[
A^{(2)}(N, \psi, t) = \det^r_{NG}(\kappa(\psi, t)T) \cdot \det^r_{NG}(\kappa(\psi, t)S)^{-2}
\]

where \( T = I^k \times k - hA_{\rho}, \quad S = 1 - h \) are square matrices over \( CG \) with positive regular Fuglede-Kadison determinant. The conclusion follows immediately from Theorem 5.9. \( \square \)

The continuity result can be used to improve the calculation of the \( L^2 \)-Alexander torsion associated to fibered classes.

Theorem 5.10. Let \( N \) be any compact, connected, irreducible, orientable 3-manifold with empty or incompressible toral boundary. Suppose \( \pi_1(N) \) is infinite, \( N \neq S^1 \times D^2 \) and \( N \neq S^1 \times S^2 \). Let \( \phi \in H^1(N; \mathbb{R}) \) be in the interior of a fibered cone. Then there exists a representative of \( L^2 \)-Alexander torsion associated to \( (\phi, t) \) such that

\[
A^{(2)}(N, \phi, t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t < \frac{1}{h(\phi)}, \\ \tau^{(2)}_{\mathcal{N}(\phi)}, & t > h(\phi) \end{cases}
\]

where \( h(\phi) \) is the entropy function defined on the fibered cone of \( H^1(N; \mathbb{R}) \) (compare [DFL15, Section 8]).
Proof. Let \( \phi_n \in H^1(G; \mathbb{Q}) \) be a sequence in the fibered cone that converge to \( \phi \). By [DFL15, Theorem 8.5], for any \( n \) we have

\[
A^{(2)}(N, \phi_n, t) = \begin{cases} 
1, & t < \frac{1}{h(\phi_n)}, \\
x_N(\phi_n), & t > h(\phi_n).
\end{cases}
\]

By Theorem 5.3 we have

\[
A^{(2)}(N, \phi_n, t) \to A^{(2)}(N, \phi, t), \quad n \to \infty
\]

for any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \). Since the entropy and the Thurston norm are continuous functions of \( H^1(N; \mathbb{R}) \), we have

\[
h(\phi_n) \to h(\phi), \quad x_N(\phi_n) \to x_N(\phi), \quad n \to \infty.
\]

This proves our claim. \( \square \)
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