Modeling of Sonotrode System of Ultrasonic Consolidation With Transfer Matrix Method
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To establish an efficient model for sonotrode system, a key part that continuously applies ultrasonic oscillation on metal foils to form solid state bond in ultrasonic consolidation equipment, this research presents modeling methods for sonotrode system. After an introduction to the construction of sonotrode system along with its operating principle, the transfer matrix method was adopted to build the model for the system consisting two ultrasonic transducers and one sonotrode. Simulation results of transfer matrix model were compared to that of finite element method. A prototype was fabricated and tested. A comparison of the resonance frequencies calculated by two modeling methods to the experimental result showed that the difference between transfer matrix model and prototype is 6.96% while the difference between finite element model and prototype is 9.26%. The proposed transfer matrix method is an efficient way to simulate dynamic performances for sonotrode system, which provide a better foundation for further optimization.
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INTRODUCTION

Ultrasonic consolidation (UC) was developed based on metal ultrasonic additive manufacturing (UAM) which was mainly used for welding the congeneric metal and heterogeneous metal foil (Mariani and Ghassemieh, 2010; Jiao et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019b). In UC process, high-power ultrasonic energy is transmitted to layers of metal foil through an ultrasonically vibrating sonotrode, pressed onto them, resulting in metallurgical bonding between atoms and interfaces of metal layers (Li and Soar, 2008; Li and Soar, 2009). This process avoids the high temperature needed for recrystallization, thus no protecting atmosphere is necessary for avoiding oxidation and smaller thermal deformation is arisen (Foster et al., 2013; Obielodan and Stucker, 2014). UC has shown unique advantages and broad prospects in metal composite material manufacturing, intelligent structure processing, and complex functional structure manufacturing (Zhang et al., 2009; Zhang and Li, 2009; Zhang and Li, 2010; Panteli et al., 2012). In the past, the researches on ultrasonic consolidation mainly focused on the exploration of consolidation principle, numerical simulation, and thermal analysis of consolidation process, as well as the evaluation of the quality and process parameters of consolidated foil (Dehoff and Babu, 2010; Friel et al., 2010; Koellhofer et al., 2011; Schick et al., 2011; He et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2015; Han et al., 2020). However, as the core element of UC, the study of consolidation equipment is also important (Kelly et al., 2015). The first ultrasonic consolidation equipment is a single transducer structure invented by White. D et al., which can achieve continuous seam welding with the power of 3 KW. Later, the Edison Welding Institution
proposed a high-power composite vibration mode piezoelectric vibrator with two transducers in series with welding power of 9 kW (Sriraman et al., 2010). With the gradual improvement in this technology, Fabrisonic company combined the consolidation equipment with CNC machine tools and developed an ultrasonic consolidation automation equipment that can realize the one-step forming. Piezoelectric vibrator as the key energy conversion component of sonotrode system for ultrasonic consolidation equipment, the establishment of piezoelectric vibrator model is convenient for its optimal design and dynamic design, which is one of the key technologies in the development of this kind of equipment.

In the studies on the vibration characteristics of ultrasonic systems, the modeling methods commonly used for a piezoelectric vibrator include equivalent circuit method, transfer matrix method (TMM), and finite element method (FEM). In most cases, piezoelectric transducers can be modeled with the finite element method. The FEM can provide a relatively accurate solution. However, the customized TMM for a certain geometry model can significantly reduce computing time. As a result, the TMM is gradually adopted by researchers (Feyzollahzadeh and Bamdad, 2020). The TMM is more convenient for the optimization of ultrasonic systems in the circumstance of repeatedly modeling a single system with the same geometry (Wang et al., 2019a).

This work aimed at an efficient dynamic model for a push-pull transducer adopted in high-power ultrasonic welding equipment and a transfer matrix model for its sonotrode was proposed. In the model, the transducer was divided into three kinds of longitudinal vibration elements: the elastic bar with constant cross-section, elastic bar with variable cross-section, and the piezoelectric element. Besides, a finite element model of the same sonotrode was also built for comparison. Finally, a push-pull transducer prototype is manufactured and its impedance test was carried out. The feasibility of the two modeling methods was verified and the possible factors of errors were analyzed.

**CONSTRUCTION AND WORKING PRINCIPLE**

**Construction**

The requirement of consolidation equipment for ultrasonic consolidation is a high power, large output vibration amplitude, and stable operation. The design consists of two sandwich piezoelectric transducers with symmetrical sonotrode. The structural parameters of the left and right transducers are identical, as shown in Figure 1. The sonotrode includes a welding head in the middle and amplitude adjusters on both sides. In order to achieve the purpose of continuous welding, the sonotrode is a wheel disc type, with grooves on both sides to facilitate the loading of static pressure. The sandwich transducer consists of three parts: piezoelectric element, metal front cover plate directly contacting with the horn end, and metal back cover plate connecting the ultrasonic generator. The energy generated by the transducer radiates the longitudinal vibration efficiently to the horn end through the front cover plate, while the back cover plate contacts the air directly. The whole piezoelectric vibrator uses the first-order longitudinal vibration to generate axial vibration, so as to obtain high-frequency vibration at the welding head and achieve consolidation effect through friction.

![Sonotrode Diagram](image1.png)
**Working Principle**

The movement direction of the left and right sandwich transducers of push-pull piezoelectric vibrator keeps the same direction all the time. When one side shrinks, the other side stretches, and the transducers produce longitudinal vibration as a whole. To realize the push-pull excitation, two sandwiched transducers are applied with two voltage excitation of reverse phases, or the piezoelectric ceramics in the two transducers are polarized in opposite direction with the same voltage.

---

**FIGURE 2** | Push-pull excitation (A) The piezoelectric ceramics polarized in opposite direction with the same voltage (B) The piezoelectric ceramics polarized in same direction with two voltage excitation of reverse phases.

**FIGURE 3** | Working principle of sonotrode system.
polarized in opposite directions, as shown in Figure 2. The working principle is shown in Figure 3.

The specific materials to be fixed are mainly aluminum foil and titanium alloy, and the working frequency of the ultrasonic vibration system is aimed at about 20 kHz. The parameters of PZT8 are shown in Table 1.

### TABLE 1 | Parameters of piezoelectric ceramics.

| Parameters | Dielectric constant matrix (F/m) | Piezoelectric constant matrix (C/m²) | Stiffness matrix(N/m²) |
|------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| PZT8       | $\begin{bmatrix} 6.04 & 6.04 \\ 6.04 & 6.04 \end{bmatrix} \times 10^{-9}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -5.2 \\ 0 & 0 & -5.2 \\ 0 & 0 & 15.1 \\ 0 & 12.7 & 0 \\ 12.7 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 120 & 53.5 & 51.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 53.5 & 120.6 & 51.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 51.5 & 120.6 & 51.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 31.3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 31.3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times 10^{10}$ |

MODELING

### Transfer Matrix Modeling

The transfer matrix method discretizes the complex system and then connects them through the transfer connection between each discrete element (Eduard, 1963). The TMM can obtain the accurate solution of the vibration velocity of each discrete element with the resonance frequency of the system, which plays a key role in analyzing whether the frequency of the designed transducer and the vibration velocity of the welding head meet the requirements. Since there is only one vibration form of longitudinal vibration in the whole sonotrode system, the longitudinal vibration wave equations of elastic rod and piezoelectric element were established, and its transfer matrix can be obtained, and simple boundary conditions can be set up to solve the problem.

As shown in Figure 4, the piezoelectric vibrator was mainly divided into the right transducer (A), the left transducer (B), and the sonotrode (C). According to symmetry, the sonotrode can be divided into three parts: left amplitude modulator, right amplitude modulator, and M-type welding head. All the discrete elements can be divided into three types: the longitudinal vibration of the constant cross-section bar, the longitudinal vibration of the variable cross-section bar, and the longitudinal vibration of the piezoelectric element.

#### Longitudinal Vibrations of Elastic Rod

The longitudinal vibration of elastic rod was divided into constant cross-section and variable cross-section, as shown in Figure 5.

According to Newton’s second law, the wave equation of elastic rod with constant cross section in free boundary can be formulated as:
is the wave velocity. \( c_0 \) is the wave speed of the elastic rod. The transfer matrix model of the elastic rod with constant cross-section can be obtained with the theory of the separated variable method as follow:

\[
Z_{0,l} = T_{0,l}Z_{0,l} = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos(k_0 l) & -jA_0 z_0 \\
jA_0 z_0 & \cos(k_0 l)
\end{bmatrix} Z_{0,l}
\]  

(2)

where \( T_{0,l} \) is the longitudinal vibration transfer matrix of elastic rod. \( Z_{0,l} = [v_{0,l} F_{0,l}]^T \) and \( Z_{0,l} = [v_{0,r} F_{0,r}]^T \) represent the input state vector and the output state vector, respectively. \( \xi = \sqrt{E_0/\rho_0} \) is the wave velocity. \( E_0 \) is the elastic modulus of rod. \( \rho_0 \) is the density of rod. \( k_0 = \omega/c_0 \) is the wave number. \( A_0 \) is the section area of rod and \( l_0 \) is the length of the rod.

The wave equation of variable cross-section can be formulated as:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \begin{bmatrix}
E_b A_b(x) \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x}
\end{bmatrix} = \rho_b A_b(x) \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial t^2}
\]

(3)

where \( A_b(x) = (ax + b)^n \) is the section area of rod, \( \rho_b \) is the density of rod, and the transfer matrix model as follow:

\[
Z_{b,r} = T_{b,r}Z_{b,l} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\sin(\xi l)}{E_b} & \frac{-jA_0 z_0 \sin(\xi l)}{E_b}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\cos(\xi l)}{E_b} & \frac{jA_0 z_0 \cos(\xi l)}{E_b}
\end{bmatrix} Z_{b,l}
\]

(4)

where \( Z_{b,r} = [v_{b,l} F_{b,l}]^T \) and \( Z_{b,l} = [v_{b,r} F_{b,r}]^T \) represent the input state vector and the output state vector of variable cross-section rob, respectively. \( T_{b,r} \) is the longitudinal vibration transfer matrix of variable cross-section rob. \( \xi = \omega/\sqrt{\rho_b E_b} \), \( E_b \) is the elastic modulus of the rod and \( l_b \) is the length of the rod.

**Longitudinal Vibrations of Piezoelectric Element**

In the sandwich transducer structure, the piezoelectric element with \( d_{33} \) vibration mode is mainly used, and its polarization direction is along the thickness direction. To maximize the amplitude of piezoelectric ceramics, the polarization direction of two adjacent ceramic sheets was opposite. The thickness of the copper electrode is so thin that it can be ignored. The model is shown in Figure 6, which is the transfer matrix model of two ceramic plates.

The elastic coupling matrix, dielectric coupling matrix and piezoelectric coupling matrix of PZT8 were written as a whole, and the relationship among strain, stress, electric field and potential shift \( (j_i = 1,2,3,4,5,6) \) of piezoelectric element can be obtained intuitively:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
S_{1,p} \\
S_{2,p} \\
S_{3,p} \\
S_{5,p} \\
S_{6,p} \\
D_{1,p} \\
D_{2,p} \\
D_{3,p}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\xi_1 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{31} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \xi_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{32} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \xi_5 & 0 & 0 & d_{33} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \xi_6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{34} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{24} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \xi_7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{35} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \xi_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(5)
\( S_{ij}^k \) ( \( j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \) ) is the compliance coefficient, \( \varepsilon_{ij}^T \) is the dielectric constant and \( d_{ij}^k \) is the piezoelectric constant of PZT ceramic.

Wave equation of PZT element is similar to the elastic rod which can be formulated as:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 u_p(x,t)}{\partial x^2} = \frac{1}{c_p^2} \frac{\partial^2 u_p(x,t)}{\partial t^2}
\]

(6)

And the transfer matrix of PZT element is:

\[
Z_{p} = T_p Z_{p} = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos(t, k_p) & -\sin(t, k_p) & -a_p \sin(t, k_p) \\
j A_p \gamma_p \sin(t, k_p) & \cos(t, k_p) & \alpha_p [\cos(t, k_p) - 1] \\
a_p [\cos(t, k_p) - 1] & \alpha_p \sin(t, k_p) & \alpha_p \cos(t, k_p) - \frac{\pi}{\omega} \sin(t, k_p)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(7)

where \( Z_{p} = [v_p \ F_p \ U_p]^T \) and \( Z_{p} = [v_p \ F_p \ U_p]^T \) are the input state vector and the output state vector of PZT element, respectively. \( A_p = \pi \left( R_p^2 - r_p^2 \right) \) is the cross-sectional area of PZT sheet, \( L_p \) is the thickness of PZT sheet, \( k_p = \omega \tau_p \) is the beam of piezoelectric element. \( z_p = \sqrt{\rho \tau_p / S_{33}} \) is the impedance of piezoelectric element. \( C_{op} = 4 \pi A_p \left( \varepsilon_{33}^T \frac{d_{33}^p}{S_{33}} \right) / L_p \) is the blocked piezoelectric capacitance. \( \alpha_p = A_p d_{33}^p / L_p S_{33} \) is the electromechanical translation factor.

**Transfer Conditions**

After the whole transducer was discretized, according to the two key conditions that the resultant force is zero and the velocity is equal between adjacent elements on their contact interface, each vibration unit is connected with the state vector. Each discretized part of the push-pull piezoelectric transducer was connected in series, which can be divided into two types. Type1 is the series connection of elastic rod and elastic rod, and Type2 is the series connection of piezoelectric element and elastic rod as expressed:

\[
\begin{align*}
C_i Z_{e,i+1} - C_i Z_{e,i} &= 0 \\
C_p Z_{p,i+1} - C_p Z_{p,i} &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

(8)

Where \( Z_{e,i} \) and \( Z_{e,i+1} \) represent the input state vector and the output state vector of variable cross-section rob \( i \) and \( i+1 \), respectively. \( C_i \) is the longitudinal vibration condition matrix between two adjacent elastic bars with a dimension of 2 × 2. \( Z_{p,i+1} = [v_{e,i+1} \ F_{e,i+1}]^T \) represent the input state vector and the output state vector of piezoelectric element \( i+1 \). \( C_p \) is the longitudinal vibration transfer condition matrix of piezoelectric element and \( C_p \) is the longitudinal vibration transfer condition matrix of rob as expressed:

\[
\begin{align*}
T_{LA} &= \begin{bmatrix}
T_{11} & -1 & & & & \\
T_{21} & -1 & & & & \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
T_{N1} & & \cdots & & & -1 \\
0 & \cdots & & 0 & & T_{NF}
\end{bmatrix}, \\
Z_{CA} &= \begin{bmatrix}
Z_{11} & & & & & \\
Z_{21} & & & & & \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
Z_{N1} & & \cdots & & & Z_{NF}
\end{bmatrix}, \\
B_{LA} &= \begin{bmatrix}
B_{11} & & & & & \\
B_{21} & & & & & \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
B_{N1} & & \cdots & & & B_{NF}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

(9)

Where \( T_{LA} \) is the physical matrix of transducer A, \( C_{LA} \) is the transfer condition matrix of transducer and \( B_{LA} \) is the boundary condition matrix of transducer. The three matrices constitute the total systematic transfer matrix of transducer \( S_{CA} \). \( Z_{CA} \) concludes the total input and output mechanical state vectors of transducer and \( B_{LA} \) represents the total boundary condition values.

The sonotrode C can be divided into three parts as shown in Figure 8.

The right amplitude modulator (R1~R4) and the left one (L1~L4) have the same transfer matrix can be expressed:

\[
\begin{align*}
T_{HR} &= \begin{bmatrix}
T_{11} & & & & & \\
T_{21} & & & & & \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
T_{N1} & & \cdots & & & T_{NF}
\end{bmatrix}, \\
Z_{HR} &= \begin{bmatrix}
Z_{11} & & & & & \\
Z_{21} & & & & & \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
Z_{N1} & & \cdots & & & Z_{NF}
\end{bmatrix}, \\
B_{HR} &= \begin{bmatrix}
B_{11} & & & & & \\
B_{21} & & & & & \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
B_{N1} & & \cdots & & & B_{NF}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

(10)

Where \( T_{HR} \) is the physical matrix of amplitude modulator, \( C_{HR} \) is the total transfer condition matrix of amplitude modulator. The three matrices constitute the total systematic transfer matrix of transducer \( S_{HR} \). \( Z_{HR} \) concludes the total input and output mechanical state vectors of transducer and \( B_{HR} \) represents the boundary condition values.

\[
\begin{align*}
T_{HR} &= \begin{bmatrix}
T_{11} & & & & & \\
T_{21} & & & & & \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
T_{N1} & & \cdots & & & T_{NF}
\end{bmatrix}, \\
Z_{HR} &= \begin{bmatrix}
Z_{11} & & & & & \\
Z_{21} & & & & & \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
Z_{N1} & & \cdots & & & Z_{NF}
\end{bmatrix}, \\
B_{HR} &= \begin{bmatrix}
B_{11} & & & & & \\
B_{21} & & & & & \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
B_{N1} & & \cdots & & & B_{NF}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

(11)
Where $T_{HM}$ is the physical matrix of M-type welding head, $C_{HM}$ is the transfer condition matrix of welding head. The three matrices constitute the total systematic transfer matrix of welding head $S_{HM}$. $Z_{HM}$ concludes the total input and output mechanical state vectors of welding head and $B_{HM}$ represents the boundary condition values.

So the transfer matrix model of the sonotrode can be expressed:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
S_{HR} & S_{HM} \\
C_{RM1} & C_{RM2} \\
C_{ML1} & C_{ML2} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
Z_{HR} \\
Z_{HM} \\
Z_{HL} \\
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(12)

$S_{HL}$ is total physical matrix of the left amplitude modulator. $C_{RM1}$, $C_{RM2}$ is the total transfer condition matrix with a dimension of $2 \times 2$ between the right amplitude modulator and the welding head. $C_{ML1}$, $C_{ML2}$ is the total transfer condition matrix a dimension of $2 \times 2$ between the welding head and the left amplitude modulator. $Z_{HR}$, $Z_{HM}$ and $Z_{HL}$ three matrices constitute the total input and output mechanical state vectors.

From above, the sonotrode system is divided into three parts and the transfer matrix model of the piezoelectric vibrator is expressed:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
S_{CA} & S_{CC} \\
S_{CB} & S_{CG} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
Z_{CA} \\
Z_{CC} \\
Z_{CB} \\
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
B_{LA} \\
B_{LC} \\
B_{LB} \\
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(13)

$S_{CA}$ is the total physical matrix of the right transducer, $S_{CC}$ is the total physical matrix of the sonotrode and $S_{CB}$ is total physical matrix of the left transducer. $C_{AC}$, $C_{CA}$ is the total transfer condition matrix with a dimension of $2 \times 2$ between the right transducer amplitude and the sonotrode. $C_{CB}$, $C_{BC}$ is the total transfer condition matrix a dimension of $2 \times 2$ between the sonotrode and the left transducer. $Z_{CA}$, $Z_{CC}$ and $Z_{CB}$ three matrices constitute the total input and output mechanical state vectors. $B_{LA}$, $B_{LC}$, $B_{LB}$ are right side matrix including all boundary conditions of the entire piezoelectric vibrator.
Finite Element Modeling

The FEM of sonotrode system was established by ANSYS finite element analysis software. The quarter section of the piezoelectric vibrator is shown in Figure 9 and its geometrical sizes of the piezoelectric vibrator are listed in Table 2. Solid5 was selected as piezoelectric ceramic and solid 45 was selected as other metal parts which are shown in Table 3. To model the preloading bolt, a slot with a width of $G$ and length of $H$ was built at the back cover plate. Moreover, due to the existence of preloading bolts, there is a gap with the width of $G$ at the exit of the PZT sheets, which makes the piezoelectric ceramic sheet and the middle bolt not connect together on the grid.

To make the mesh more regular, the 1/4 model of the piezoelectric vibrator was first established, then the three element symmetry was adopted to obtain the finite element model of the whole piezoelectric vibrator, which is shown in Figure 10.
RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Through the calculation of the transfer matrix in Transfer matrix modeling Section, the relationship between the vibration velocity and frequency of the sonotrode system is shown in Figure 11. It can be seen that when the frequency is near 18645 Hz, there is a peak value of vibration velocity which gets its resonant frequency.

After the finite element model was obtained, the modal analysis of the piezoelectric vibrator was carried out. Taking the symmetry center as the origin, the longitudinal displacement of the nodes on the axis of the piezoelectric vibrator was extracted, and the vibration mode of the piezoelectric vibrator was obtained as shown in Figure 12. The resonant frequency of the longitudinal mode is 18,187 Hz. It can be seen that the model obtained a longitudinal vibration mode. The maximum displacement is at the sonotrode. When the transducer on one side is extended, the other side is shortened. It can be seen from the modal shape in the figure that both of them are close to the sinusoidal mode and are similar.

Apply the voltage with a phase difference of 180° and peak to peak value of 200 V on the left and right transducers to obtain the amplitude of the two models, respectively, as shown in Table 4. The absolute errors between them were also calculated.

It can be seen the frequency difference between the two models is small, but the amplitude difference is large. The amplitude of the FEM is related to the excitation, while the amplitude of the TMM is the theoretical value derived from the vibration rate.

Experiment

To verify the effectiveness of the two modeling methods, a prototype of sonotrode system was established as shown in Figure 13. The material and structural parameters were consistent with the proposed above. Two supporting flange plates were attached to the base and installed at the nodes of the transducer.

In order to obtain the resonant frequency of the push-pull transducer, the impedance experiment was carried out. An impedance analyzer ZX70A was used for the impedance test. The basic accuracy of the impedance analyzer is 0.05%, and the frequency accuracy is 1 mHz. Because the left and right transducers are the same, only one section of the transducer was connected to the impedance analyzer. The frequency range of 10 kHz–80 kHz and the number of scanning points 1,556 were set. It can be seen that the transducer has resonant frequencies in each frequency band. Then take the frequency close to 20 kHz, and set the number of scanning points 1,001. The admittance of the prototype was obtained. As shown in Figure 14, the maximum admittance frequency is 20,042 Hz. According to the resonance theory, there is a resonance frequency of the piezoelectric vibrator near and below this frequency.

DISCUSSION

Comparison of experimental results, the relative error of the resonant frequency of TMM model is 6.96% and that of the FEM model is 9.26%. The error of TMM is smaller and closer to the actual characteristics of the prototype. The reason for the error of TMM can be attributed to the fact that the chamfering was regarded as a variable cross-section. The reason for the error of the FEM may be the accuracy of the grid and the selected elements have an impact on the model. When building a finite element model, the preloading force between the preloading bolt and the piezoelectric ceramics sheet cannot be reflected after assembly. Besides, the processing technology still affects the properties of the material, thus affecting the solution of the vibration calculation. In order to compact the assembly of the transducer and the sonotrode, holes were punched in both the output amplitude horn and the modulator of the transducers, and the existence of such holes was not considered in both two models.

By comparing the two models and the experiment, it can be found that the TMM seems more accurate. When studying this kind of sandwich piezoelectric transducer, it’s found that the FEM is difficult to calculate very accurately, and the preload on the piezoelectric ceramic is very exquisite. In the FEM, the gap between the preloading bolt and the PZT sheet was simulated, and the joint end face was connected together, there are still some inevitable errors. In general, the FEM and the TMM have similar calculation themes, both of which discretizes the target object. FEM is very particular about grid division and the density and the size of mesh determine the solution accuracy. However, the TMM only needs to decompose the vibrating body according to its different vibration modes. Compared with the traditional finite element modeling method, the transfer matrix modeling method can indeed shorten the calculation time and intuitively obtain the working frequency. The TMM can intuitively reflect the relationship between the structural parameters and the resonant frequency.
TABLE 4 | Comparison of two models.

| Model types and errors | Resonant frequency (Hz) | The ratio of speed of vibration | Amplitude of sonotrode (μm) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| TMM model              | 18,645                  | 1.5898                         | 10.1                       |
| FEM model              | 18,187                  | 1.5333                         | 10.8                       |
| Relative error         | 2.5                     | 3.6%                           | 6.4                        |

FIGURE 12 | Modal analysis of piezoelectric vibrator.

FIGURE 13 | The prototype of sonotrode system.
CONCLUSION

Based on the sonotrode system for ultrasonic consolidation, two models were established, that is, the finite element model and the transfer matrix model. The ratio of the speed of vibration, resonant frequency, and amplitude ratio of the two models were compared. The prototype was made and its testing results verified the results of modeling. The dynamic performances calculated by both models were compared with the experimental results, which show that the transfer matrix method has the same feasibility as the finite element model and can achieve higher efficiency.
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