A cross-sectional study of breastfeeding practices and complementary feeding in Ecuador: implications for localized policy applications and promotion of breastfeeding
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Abstract

Background: Best practices in breastfeeding are often not followed despite appropriate levels of knowledge and positive attitudes regarding the benefits of human milk. For many reasons, some women do not initiate breastfeeding, suspend breastfeeding early, or initiate complementary feeding earlier than recommended. Usual measurement methods use large sample surveys at a national scale, which are not well suited for monitoring sub-national differences.

Methods: In order to understand how local infant feeding practices could influence policy and promotion practices, this paper uses data pooling methodology to analyse breastfeeding patterns in different Ecuadorian settings: Cumbayá parish, located near Quito, the Ecuadorian capital; the city of Macas and rural surroundings in the Amazon basin province of Morona Santiago; and the province of Galapagos. Using a cross-sectional design, we compiled and analysed data derived from three surveys of mothers of infants between 0 and 59 months of age. The surveys were conducted independently between August 2017 and August 2018. While the surveys are representative of each respective setting, sampling designs and survey methods differ, but the same demographic information and data based on standard breastfeeding indicators established by WHO were collected. In order to account for differences in the different settings, the design effect of each survey was considered in the analysis.

Results: Significant differences were found in breastfeeding practices, particularly between the suburban parish near Quito and Galapagos on one hand and urban and rural parts of Morona Santiago province, on the other. For instance, initiation of breastfeeding in the first hour after birth occurs in only 36.2 percent of cases in Cumbayá but in 75.4 percent of cases in urban Morona.

Conclusions: Differences among population segments reflect specific opportunities and barriers to practices related to promoting optimal infant health and nutrition. Consequently, regional or local conditions that often are not apparent in national-level data should orient policies and promotion activities in specific populations.

Background

Many mothers throughout the world do not breastfeed, suspend breastfeeding early, or initiate complementary feeding earlier than recommended by international organizations [1]. The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that new-borns initiate breastfeeding (BF) in the first hour after birth and continue exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) for six months and complementary feeding (CF) for an additional 18 months or more. The benefits of BF and correct timing of EBF and CF are well established [1]. Human milk is a nutritious and safe food that is easily absorbed and provides appropriate levels of vitamins, minerals, fat, proteins, and energy. Health benefits that accrue to infants include improved nutritional status and survival rates, prevention of infectious disease in infancy and of chronic disease (including diabetes) and obesity in adulthood [2]. Breastfeeding also provides for extension of post-pregnancy amenorrhea [3]. In social terms, one of the greatest benefits is that BF is free and safe—critical
advantages in poor populations [4,5]. Most mothers can and should breastfeed; only in exceptional circumstances is breastmilk contraindicated [6].

Despite these many benefits, reported rates of BF are generally lower than would be expected. The proportion of infants from birth to five months of age who are exclusively breastfed is only 42 percent worldwide, 38 percent in Latin America and the Caribbean, and 39.6 percent in Ecuador, as compared to 58.3 percent in Bolivia, 66.4 percent in Peru, 36.1 percent in Colombia, and 32.0 percent in Argentina [7]. Dramatic as these data are, however, national-level data obfuscate important regional differences, which remain to be fully elucidated. The analysis of breastfeeding practices at the national level require large amounts of data that are not well suited for evaluation at the sub-national level, and the lack standardization methods limits the validity of dataset comparisons [8].

Factors associated with less-than-optimal BF, EBF, and CF practices include maternal age, low educational level, low income, urban residence, institutionalized health care that does not conform to baby-friendly norms, perceived insufficiency of breast milk supply, maternal or infant illness, discomfort or injury, previous inability to breast feed, lack of social support, emotional stress, and the pressure of advertising that touts what are purported to be the advantages of industrialized milk substitutes [4,9,10]. Maternal employment represents an important barrier to appropriate BF practices when women are obliged (often for economic reasons) to return to work without appropriate conditions for continuation of BF [11].

The aim of this paper is to show how the analysis of pooled data from independent subnational surveys in different Ecuadorian settings can influence BF policy and promotion practices at the regional or local level. This methodology can be relevant in other parts of the region and the world.

The Quito and Galapagos surveys were approved by the IRB of the Universidad San Francisco de Quito, while the Morona Santiago survey was approved by the IRB of the Universidad de las Americas, both in Quito, Ecuador.

Methods

This paper analyses BF patterns in different settings in Ecuador, where the diverse geographical, socioeconomic, and cultural milieu strongly influences local health- and nutrition-related behaviour in general. While the variables discussed here may be specific to Ecuador, a similar analysis can be applied elsewhere. This study was designed to understand the degree to which BF indicators, which are generally reported at the national level, may limit the ability to obtain accurate estimators for specific age groups and to translate those data into locally appropriate BF promotion and policies that reflect social, economic, and cultural specificities.

Study sites
Located in Ecuador’s northern highlands, Cumbayá parish is home to a heterogeneous population of long-time residents who maintain rural lifestyles alongside newer, often wealthier residents, many of whom commute 10 km to Quito, the nation’s capital. According to the most recent census, Cumbayá had 31,463 residents in 2010 compared to a total of 21,078 in the previous (2001) census [12], representing a 10-year growth rate of 33%. Although Cumbayá is classified as a rural parish, due to its close geographical, economic, and social proximity to Quito and the rapid development of office buildings, shopping centres, and residential clusters referred to as urbanizaciones, Cumbayá in many respects resembles North American and European suburbs in terms of access to goods and services, including health care. In 2010, 3.4% of Cumbayá’s residents identified themselves as indigenous, compared to the national figure of 7.0% [13]. In sum, this parish is similar to other places characterized by relatively rapid social change and economic development and where access to health care is favourable.

The province of Morona Santiago, located in the southern part of Ecuador’s tropical Amazon region, had a population of 147,940 in 2010; one third lived in urban areas (mostly in the provincial capital of Macas) and two thirds in rural areas. Nearly half (48.4%) of the province’s residents identified themselves as indigenous [13]. This province is similar to other parts of the country where social change and economic development have proceeded more slowly and where access to health care and other services is limited.

The province of Galapagos is renowned for its endogenous animal and plant species but was also home to 25,124 residents in 2010 and 25,244 in 2015. In all, 82.5% of residents live in urban areas, mostly in the two largest cities of Puerto Ayora and San Cristobal. Before regulations were promulgated to limit permanent settlement, immigration was rapid, especially from the coastal mainland, although a community of highland indigenous residents also developed, such that 7.0% of Galapageños identified themselves as indigenous in 2010 [13,14]. Galapagos is similar to other tropical parts of Ecuador in terms of climate, but is also a place of more rapid, specialized development because of its status as a global tourist attraction. At the same time, while the urban population has access to basic public and private health care, the province is isolated from the rest of the country and lacks specialized health care services.

Data and collection and management

Surveys were conducted independently in Cumbayá, urban and rural Morona Santiago, and Galapagos between August 2017 and August 2018. Data recollection was conducted by different research groups, and datasets were subsequently standardized and merged through data pooling for this cross-sectional analysis. That is, different sampling methods were employed, but each dataset was representative of the respective subregion. The surveys included mothers of infants between 0 and 59 months of age, who did not suffer from acute or chronic illnesses, and who provided informed consent. The questionnaire was adopted from an instrument designed by WHO [15,16], which collected information on household composition and indicators to assess infant feeding practices for evaluating breastfeeding practices worldwide. Socioeconomic information and birthing history included in the questionnaire provided data
on informants’ age, marital status, employment, educational level, number of childbirths, and type of delivery.

Our analysis was conducted using indicators established by WHO [15,16], which we divide into four groups. The first group of two indicators are linked because the probability of age-appropriate BF practices is closely associated with successful early initiation.

1. Early initiation of BF: percentage of children born in the past 24 months who were put to the breast within one hour of birth.
2. Age-appropriate breastfeeding: percentage of infants 0-5 months of age who receive only breast milk and of children 6-23 months of age who received breast milk as well as solid, semi-solid, or soft foods during the previous day.

The second group of five indicators reflect appropriate breastfeeding practices during different stages of infancy and early childhood.

3. Exclusive BF (< 6 months): percentage of infants 0-5 months of age who were fed exclusively with breastmilk during the previous day.
4. Continued BF: proportion of children 12-15 months who are fed breast milk.
5. Infants ever breastfed: percentage of children born in the past 24 months who were ever breastfed.
6. Continued BF to 24 months: percentage of children 20-23 months of age who are fed breast milk.
7. Predominant BF (< 6 months): percentage of infants 0-5 months of age who received breast milk as the predominant source of nourishment during the previous day.

The third group is composed of five indicators that reflect different aspects of CF.

8. Introduction of solid, semi-solid, or soft foods (6-8 months): percentage of infants 6-8 months of age who were fed with solid, semi-solid, or soft foods during the previous day.
9. Minimum dietary diversity (6-23 months): percentage of children 6-23 months of age who received foods from at least 5 out of 8 defined food groups during the previous day.
10. Minimum meal frequency (6-23 months): percentage of children 6-23 months of age who received solid, semi-solid, or soft foods (but also including milk feeds for non-breastfed children) the minimum number of times or more during the previous day.
11. Minimum acceptable diet (6-23 months): percentage of children 6-23 months of age who received a minimum acceptable diet during the previous day.
12. Bottle feeding (0-23 months): proportion of children 0-23 months of age who were fed with a bottle during the previous day.

The final indicator refers to children who were not breastfed.
13. **Milk feeding frequency for non-breastfed children**: proportion of non-breastfed children 6-23 months of age who received at least two milk feedings during the previous day.

The questionnaire was applied in Spanish in Cumbayá and Galapagos, while in some cases, it was applied in indigenous languages in Morona Santiago after validation by trained bilingual interviewers. Sample sizes were calculated considering a standard normal deviation of 1.96, adjusted by expected prevalence of appropriate breastfeeding prevalence for children 0 to 24 months of age in each subregion: 0.5 in Galapagos, 0.48 in Cumbayá and Morona Santiago, using calculations from the national health and nutrition survey [17] and a 5% margin of error [18]. Additional adjustments for finite population size and non-response rates of 5% in urban areas and 2% in rural areas were applied for each subregion [19]. In the case of Galapagos, children above 24 months were not part of the study. See Table 1.

**Table 1** Sample size by study site and infants’ age groups.

| Age group (months) | Study site (Cumbayá) | Study site Galapagos | Study site Morona Santiago (urban) | Study site Morona Santiago (rural) |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 0 to 5             | 81                   | 51                   | 57                               | 106                              |
| 6 to 23            | 147                  | 187                  | 142                              | 237                              |
| 24 to 59           | 58                   | 0                    | 275                              | 486                              |
| Total:             | 286                  | 238                  | 474                              | 829                              |

Trained personnel conducted face-to-face interviews with eligible mothers in Cumbayá, urban and rural Morona Santiago, and Galapagos. Participants in Cumbayá were recruited opportunistically, identified, and selected through random sampling among women who attended either of two public health centres. Participation was solicited when women entered the health centres and a description of the study was provided along with the informed consent procedure. This process continued until the calculated sample size was reached.

In Morona Santiago, a representative sample of rural and urban residents was employed using national census tracks definitions. As in Cumbayá, participants were recruited for voluntary participation and informed consent upon entering public health centres.

In Galapagos, a preliminary list of children from 0 to 24 months of age was obtained from government-operated day care centres. In order to reach the required number of children, snowball sampling was applied to obtain additional participants in order to arrive at the required sample size. A total of 279 women were surveyed and 247 valid interviews were included in the analysis.

**Data analysis**

Indicators for feeding practices are reported using weighted data and calculated using the Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) approach to handling missing data [10]. Data cleaning and post-stratification
were performed for the Galapagos and Morona surveys using additional demographic data and raking algorithm. The Cumbayá dataset required no adjustment after the field survey. In order to calculate differences in indicators between Cumbayá, Galapagos, and urban and rural Morona Santiago, the Tukey Contrast test was used to assess multiple comparisons of means (MCT) for each pair of surveys in order to determine which means among a set of means differ from the others [20]. The test compares the difference between each pair of means with appropriate adjustments for multiple testing accounting for bias between surveys.

**Results**

This section provides an analysis of BF practices as measured by the twelve BF indicators discussed above. First, Table 2 provides descriptive data on socioeconomic characteristics in the study locations in order to contextualize factors that could impact regionally appropriate promotion and policy actions. It can be seen that most women in the four study sites were married and lived with a partner, although the proportion was lower in urban and rural Morona Santiago, where the proportion of single mothers was higher. In Cumbayá, over half of women reported having a university education while in Galapagos, over half reported a university education and over half had a high school education. In contrast, less than half of respondents in urban and rural Morona Santiago had attended a university and a high proportion reported a primary school education.

In Cumbayá, the largest proportion of mothers was between 30 and 39 years of age, while in Galapagos, more than 90% were almost equally divided between the 20-29- and 30-39-years age groups. In contrast, a higher proportion of mothers in urban and rural Morona Santiago were between 15 and 19 years of age. With respect to type of birth, Cumbayá and Galapagos differ from Morona Santiago in that the proportion of women reporting Caesarean sections was high compared to WHO guidelines [20], while in the latter, few women had delivered via Caesarean section.

**Table 2** Sample description of mothers’ socioeconomic characteristics between survey data.
| Indicators                      | Cumbayá | Galapagos | Morona Santiago (urban) | Morona Santiago (rural) |
|--------------------------------|---------|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|                                | n  %    | n  %      | n  %                    | n  %                    |
| Marital status                 |         |           |                         |                         |
| Divorced or separated          | 11  4   | 15  6     | 13  4                   | 33  7                   |
| Married with partner           | 257 85  | 207 85    | 240 78                  | 389 79                  |
| Single                         | 33 11   | 22 9      | 55 18                   | 73 15                   |
| Educational level              |         |           |                         |                         |
| Primary                        | 21 7    | 25 10     | 125 40                  | 268 54                  |
| Secondary                      | 108 36  | 143 58    | 164 53                  | 217 44                  |
| University                     | 172 57  | 78 32     | 20 6                    | 10 2                    |
| Mother’s age                   |         |           |                         |                         |
| 15 to 19                       | 9 3     | 22 9      | 58 19                   | 76 15                   |
| 20 to 29                       | 123 41  | 114 46    | 159 52                  | 256 52                  |
| 30 to 49                       | 169 56  | 111 45    | 92 30                   | 162 33                  |
| Type of birth                  |         |           |                         |                         |
| Cesarian                       | 175 58  | 97 39     | 13 4                    | 7 1                     |
| Vaginal                        | 126 42  | 149 61    | 296 96                  | 488 99                  |
| Total                          | 301 100 | 247 100   | 309 100                 | 495 100                 |

Table 3 presents comparisons of those indicators for the four study locations. For each indicator, Tukey Contrasts assess multiple comparisons.
of means for each pairs of surveys, or all pairwise comparison using R `multcomp` package [21]. They provide statistically significant differences (p<0.5) results. For readability, comparable means are reported with subscript letters a through e. The absence of subscript indicates that a reported mean is statistically different from all other means.

In Galapagos, children for those indicators include those between 0 and 24 months of age.

In Panel A, it can be seen that the rates of early BF initiation and age-appropriate BF are significantly higher in urban and rural Morona Santiago than in Cumbayá or Galapagos. The data presented in Panel B suggest that EBF and CF practices do not differ significantly among the four study locations. In general, these data reflect a relatively high rate of EBF for six months, while BF rates are reduced thereafter until two years of age. It can be seen, though, that the EBF rate is higher in rural parts of Morona Santiago, reflecting both the persistence of cultural beliefs of largely indigenous communities as well as limited resources and access to industrialized milk substitutes. Interestingly, though, rates of continued BF are lower in urban and rural Morona Santiago than in their more urbanized counterparts. The comparisons of predominant BF under six months (indicator 7) reveal that proportionately, more than half of infants <6 months are breastfed, and that again, the practice is most evident in rural Morona Santiago.

Panel C of Table 3 reports on data related to CF practices. It can be seen that there are no significant differences between Cumbayá and Galapagos, but that there are with urban and rural Morona Santiago, reflecting less appropriate practices in the latter. Finally, panel D reports on milk feeding frequency for non-breastfed infants from 6 to 23 months of age. These data, collected only in Cumbayá and Galapagos, show no significant differences between those two study sites, in that in both places, a large proportion of infants received at least two portions of milk the day before the survey.
Table 3

Multiple mean comparison of WHO Breastfeeding practices indices, between the four study areas.

| Indicator | Study site | n  | %     | SD |
|-----------|------------|----|-------|----|
| A.        |            |    |       |    |
| 1. Early initiation of BF. | Cumbaya | 296 | 36.2  | 0.48 |
|           | Galapagos | 246 | 62.5  | 0.48 |
|           | Morona U | 277 | 75.4a | 0.43 |
|           | Morona R | 449 | 74.7a | 0.43 |
| 2. Age-appropriate breastfeeding (0-59 months*) | Cumbaya | 301 | 47.8  | 0.50 |
|           | Galapagos | 247 | 62.0  | 0.49 |
|           | Morona U | 475 | 20.1a | 0.40 |
|           | Morona R | 834 | 17.6a | 0.38 |
| B.        |            |    |       |    |
| 3. Exclusive BF to 6 months | Cumbaya | 81  | 51.9ab| 0.50 |
|           | Galapagos | 51  | 55.9ac| 0.50 |
|           | Morona U | 52  | 56.7bcd| 0.50 |
|           | Morona R | 96  | 84.0d | 0.37 |
| 4. Continued breastfeeding, 12-15 months | Cumbaya | 43  | 76.7ab| 0.43 |
|           | Galapagos | 56  | 82.4ac| 0.38 |
|           | Morona U | 32  | 56.5cd| 0.50 |
|           | Morona R | 63  | 52.4d | 0.50 |
| 5. Infants ever breastfed. | Cumbaya | 301 | 98.4a | 0.13 |
|           | Galapagos | 247 | 99.5a | 0.07 |
|           | Morona U | 309 | 93.0b | 0.26 |
|           | Morona R | 495 | 90.8b | 0.29 |
| 6. Continued breastfeeding at 2 years. | Cumbaya | 29  | 24.1abc| 0.44 |
|           | Galapagos | 38  | 41.1ade| 0.49 |
|           | Morona U | 27  | 13.8bdf| 0.35 |
|           | Morona R | 56  | 33.8cef| 0.47 |
| 7. Infants <6 months predominantly breastfed. | Cumbaya | 80  | 61.3ab | 0.49 |
|           | Galapagos | 51  | 65.5acd| 0.48 |
|           | Morona U | 52  | 69.7bce| 0.46 |
|   | Morona R | 96 | 84.0<sup>de</sup> | 0.37 |
|---|----------|----|-------------------|------|
| 8. Infants who received solid, semi-solid, or soft foods. | Cumbaya | 37 | 97.3<sup>a</sup> | 0.16 |
| | Galapagos | 37 | 92.0<sup>a</sup> | 0.27 |
| | Morona U | 29 | 70.6 | 0.46 |
| | Morona R | 44 | 43.2 | 0.50 |
| 9. Infants 6-23 months with minimum dietary diversity. | Cumbaya | 162 | 88.3<sup>a</sup> | 0.32 |
| | Galapagos | 196 | 89.6<sup>a</sup> | 0.31 |
| | Morona U | 154 | 57.2 | 0.50 |
| | Morona R | 255 | 32.4 | 0.47 |
| 10. Infants 6-23 months with minimum meal frequency. | Cumbaya | 162 | 87.7<sup>a</sup> | 0.33 |
| | Galapagos | 196 | 90.5<sup>a</sup> | 0.29 |
| | Morona U | 148 | 52.1 | 0.50 |
| | Morona R | 250 | 20.6 | 0.40 |
| 11. Infants 6-23 months with minimum acceptable diet. | Cumbaya | 162 | 72.2<sup>a</sup> | 0.45 |
| | Galapagos | 196 | 74.5<sup>a</sup> | 0.44 |
| | Morona U | 149 | 23.9 | 0.43 |
| | Morona R | 252 | 8.8 | 0.28 |
| 12. Bottle feeding. Proportion of children 0-23 months of age who are fed with a bottle. | Cumbaya | 242 | 46.7<sup>a</sup> | 0.50 |
| | Galapagos | 246 | 40.8<sup>a</sup> | 0.49 |
| | Morona U | 211 | 28.0 | 0.45 |
| | Morona R | 361 | 12.8 | 0.33 |

|   | Cumbaya | 59 | 79.7 | 0.41 |
|---|----------|----|-------------------|------|
|   | Galapagos | 63 | 79.5<sup>a</sup> | 0.40 |
|   | Morona U | - | - | - |
|   | Morona R | - | - | - |

* 0-24 months in Galapagos

**Discussion**

Achieving broad compliance with optimal BF practices has proved to be elusive. But while national-level data provide interesting snapshots and allow for international comparisons, they are less useful for developing and implementing effective policies and promotion strategies that can make a difference at the regional and local level in communities with characteristics that may inhibit successful linkages.
between knowledge, attitudes, and practices. It is not surprising, then, that the way in which different groups of women in the study areas manage EBF and CF do not necessarily conform to recommendations established by international organizations [1,6]. Nor do they necessarily reflect levels of knowledge and attitudes with regard to the benefits of breastfeeding.

Differences among specific population segments reflect a variety of opportunities and barriers to best practice in promoting optimal infant health and nutrition [22]. As would be the case elsewhere in the world, a consideration of contextual sociodemographic conditions suggests that in comparing women in the four study sites, those in Cumbayá are somewhat older on average, have higher level of education, are mostly non-indigenous, and are more likely to have given birth via Caesarean section. In contrast, those in Morona Santiago are on average younger, have lower levels of education, are much more likely to be indigenous, and to have not undergone Caesarean sections. The proportions of these indicators are intermediate in Galapagos. These factors, and others that are locally relevant, provide insights as to why pooled data from independent surveys reveal significant differences. Additionally, early initiation of BF (within the first hour) and age-appropriate BF practices may be due to the persistence of cultural practices—in this case, among indigenous residents of Morona Santiago. Although indigenous populations are not heterogeneous and BF practices may be declining, they may still be protected by the lower rates of Caesareans although conversely, CF practices may be less than optimal due to poorer socioeconomic conditions [23,24,25]. Conversely, while women in Cumbayá and Galapagos have more advantageous access to health services in general, they are more likely to give birth through Caesarean and in addition, to have greater access to milk substitutes and to mass-media advertising.

While this study emphasizes an approach to incorporating regional and local data into policy and promotion decision making, several limitations must be acknowledged. First, like any case study, the degree to which findings can be extrapolated to other places and other situations is an issue. In that regard, the data provided in this paper demonstrate differences that may be similar to other places where geographic location, rurality, and relative isolation are important factors. Similarly, contextualizing sociodemographic conditions is always important. In this case, indigenous identity is one such factor. We do not perform a statistical analysis of sociodemographic factors because we wished that they provide a backdrop for the pooling of data from three independent surveys which, we suggest, can be a useful tool that can be implemented elsewhere.

Second, as discussed earlier, the use of data derived from independent surveys implies differences in sampling strategies and outcomes. Nevertheless, the independent samples are all representative of the respective populations and having been pooled, permit comparison.

**Conclusions**

This paper argues that an understanding of regional and local BF practices may be obfuscated by national level data, and that appropriate analytical approaches can be used to elucidate relevant subnational factors. Most importantly, developing appropriate and effective policy and promotion
strategies can be based on relevant factors such as early initiation of BF, age appropriate BF practices, and rates of Caesarean sections in different parts of a given country. For example, the proportion of infants who benefitted from timely initiation of BF is quite high in Morona Santiago but surprisingly low in Cumbayá, where mothers can more easily receive prenatal care and give birth in well-equipped private hospitals as well as in public facilities. Ready access to quality health care is clearly advantageous in this regard but at the same time, it is contradictory that the rates of Caesarean sections are high in Cumbayá, representing a potential barrier to early initiation of BF [26], which in turn can affect other age-appropriate BF practices. Conversely, CF practices are less adequate in Morona Santiago, where rural mothers, many of them very young, are likely to be poor and hence, may not have access to nutritious complementary foods or to appropriate accurate nutrition and health information [27].

Established WHO BF indicators are widely used in a variety of settings, but they are not sensitive enough to provide information on the variability of BF practices at regional or local level. Therefore, it is necessary to include factors at those levels in order to better understand knowledge, attitudes, and practices, which are fundamental to early initiation of BF and the transition from EBF to CF, when it is essential to introduce appropriate foods that not only provide adequate nutrients, but also generate healthy eating practices that will last throughout the life cycle, since even moderately poor nutrition during infancy can lead to irreversible nutritional problems in the long term [28, 29]. In that context, on one hand, feeding practices during infancy and early childhood are critical precursors to good health and nutrition throughout the life cycle, so that promotion of appropriate CF strategies is essential [19, 30], taking into account locally- and regionally specific factors such as income and level of mothers’ education [31-33]. In that regard, behavioural sciences can contribute significantly to promoting positive change in nutritional outcomes using, for example, innovative tools such as social media platforms to promote appropriate BF behaviours [34].

Additionally, a broad range of policy options is available, including the provision of appropriate services provided by personnel trained in BF protection and promotion in baby-friendly hospitals, community outreach, and the control of the inappropriate distribution and promotion of milk substitutes [35] because optimal BF practices are undermined in many parts of the world by the early introduction of industrialized milk substitutes to the detriment of new-borns’ health and wellbeing. A study of the violations of the International Code of Marketing of Breast Milk Substitutes reports that many mothers of new-borns and infants receive promotional materials, free samples, diapers, and bottles to mothers of new-borns and infants from representatives of milk substitute producers and that in many cases, paediatricians recommended the use of milk substitutes [27].
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