The Relationship between Teacher Support and Students’ Academic Emotions: A Meta-Analysis
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This meta-analysis examines the association between teacher support and students’ academic emotions [both positive academic emotions (PAEs) and negative academic emotions (NAEs)] and explores how student characteristics moderate these relationships. We identified 65 primary studies with 58,368 students. The results provided strong evidence linking teacher support and students’ academic emotions. Furthermore, students’ culture, age, and gender moderated these links. The correlation between teacher support and PAEs was stronger for Western European and American students than for East Asian students, while the correlation between teacher support and NAEs was stronger for East Asian students than for Western European and American students. Also, the correlation between teacher support and PAEs was strong among university students and weaker among middle school students, compared to other students. The correlation between teacher support and NAEs was stronger for middle school students and for female students, compared to other students.
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INTRODUCTION

As students spend much of their time with their teachers in school, teacher support can be vital to students’ academic development, including not only learning outcomes but also affective or emotional outcomes. Many empirical studies have shown that teacher support was significantly positively correlated with positive academic emotions (PAEs; e.g., enjoyment, interest, hope, pride, and relief) and significantly negatively correlated with negative academic emotions (NAEs; anxiety, depression, shame, anger, worry, boredom, and hopelessness), but their effect sizes vary substantially across studies (Skinner et al., 2008; Mitchell and DellaMattera, 2011; King et al., 2012; McMahon et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016). Hence, there is a need for a systematic integration of the results of these studies to better understand the relation between teacher support and students’ academic emotions and attributes that moderate this relation. This meta-analysis addresses this issue by examining 65 primary studies with 58,368 students. We begin by defining the two central notions: teacher support and academic emotions.

Teacher Support

Self-determination and social support offer two definitions for teacher support. The self-determination view suggests that teacher support occurs when students perceive cognitive (Skinner et al., 2008), emotional (Skinner and Belmont, 1993), or autonomy-oriented support from a teacher during the students’ learning process (Wellborn and Connell, 1987). According to Ryan and Deci (2000), individuals do work and complete tasks based on their values, interests, and
hobbies, but others close to them can influence their related emotions and motivations. Teacher support includes three dimensions: support for autonomy, structure, and involvement. Support for autonomy is teacher provision of choice, relevance, or respect to students. Structure is clarity of expectations and contingencies. Involvement is warmth, affection, dedication of resources, understanding the student, or dependability (Skinner et al., 2008). Research applying this definition of teacher support has found that it can influence anxiety, depression, hope, and other emotions among students (Reddy et al., 2003; Skinner et al., 2008; Van Ryzin et al., 2009).

In the social support model, teacher support can be viewed in two ways: broad or narrow. The broad perspective, based on Tardy's (1985) social support framework, defines teacher support as a teacher giving informational, instrumental, emotional, or appraisal support to a student, in any environment (Tardy, 1985; Kerres Malecki and Kilpatrick Demary, 2002). Informational support is giving advice or information in a particular content area. Instrumental support is giving resources such as money or time. Emotional support is love, trust, or empathy. Appraisal support is giving evaluative feedback to each student (Malecki and Elliott, 1999). The narrow perspective views teacher support in the form of help, trust, friendship, and interest only in a classroom environment (Fraser, 1998; Aldridge et al., 1999).

Teacher support enhances a teacher’s relationship with a student. Specifically, teachers who support students show their care and concern for their students, so these students often reciprocate this concern and respect for the teacher by adhering to classroom norms (Chiu and Chow, 2011; Longobardi et al., 2016). When teachers shout at students, blame them, or aggressively discipline them, these students often show less concern for their teachers and fewer cooperative classroom behaviors (Miller et al., 2000).

As might be expected from this variation and diffuseness in definitions of teacher support, none of them specify a direct relationship between teacher support and students’ academic emotions, making it difficult to determine the salient levers for intervention and support. Therefore, we conduct a meta-analysis to integrate these diverse frameworks and streamline the knowledge base, thereby promoting the development of this field.

Academic Emotions

Academic emotions refer to the emotional experience of learning (and teaching), including enjoyment, hopefulness, boredom, anxiety, and anger (Pekrun et al., 2002), which can affect students’ learning outcomes (Dong and Yu, 2007). Researchers have generally divided academic emotions into two categories: positive academic emotions (PAEs) and negative academic emotions (NAEs); however, they disagree about how to delineate their boundaries. According to Pekrun et al. (2002), PAEs include relief, hope, enjoyment, and pride, while NAEs include shame, anxiety, boredom, anger, and hopelessness. Other researchers also include calmness and contentment in PAEs or depression and fatigue in NAEs (Dong and Yu, 2007; Sorić, 2007). PAEs may also include excitement, happiness, and other indicators (Dong and Yu, 2007), while NAEs may include sense of threat, fear, and others (Dong and Yu, 2007). Based on the literature, the current study define PAEs as including interest, hope, enjoyment, pride, calmness, contentment, and relief; and NAEs as including shame, anxiety, anger, worry, boredom, depression, fatigue, and hopelessness. For a fuller picture, the measurement of academic emotions should include both PAEs and NAEs.

The Relationship between Teacher Support and Students’ Academic Emotions

Many empirical studies have shown that students with more teacher support have higher PAEs or lower NAEs. Specifically, students with more teacher support have more enjoyment, interest, hope, pride, or relief (PAEs); or less anxiety, depression, shame, anger, worry, boredom, or hopelessness (NAEs) (Ahmed et al., 2010; King et al., 2012; Tian et al., 2013). As the effect sizes differ substantially among these studies (Skinner et al., 2008; King et al., 2012; McMahon et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016), later studies tried to summarize the earlier results (e.g., Weber et al., 2001; Clark, 2008; Arbeau et al., 2010; Lazarides and Ittel, 2013). However, these studies only partly verified the underlying phenomena, as some studies had limitations such as convenience sampling or ignoring sample size—resulting in low reliability and reducing the quality of the research. Therefore, to determine clearly the link between teacher support and students’ academic emotions, a meta-analysis is needed.

Through a review of past empirical research on teacher support and students’ academic emotions, we found that many effect sizes were heterogeneous, suggesting that moderators might account for these differences. Specifically, we examined the potential moderating roles of students’ cultures, ages, and genders.

Potential Moderators of the Link between Teacher Support and Students’ Academic Emotions

Culture

Several studies have implied that culture may influence the association between teacher support and students’ academic emotions. For example, Karagiannidis et al. (2015) study of students from Greece showed a strong correlation between teacher support and PAE indicators but only a weak correlation between teacher support and NAE indicators. In contrast, King et al.’s (2012) study of students from Philippines, found a weak correlation between teacher support and PAE indicators but a strong one between teacher support and NAE indicators.

Age

The link between teacher support and students’ academic emotions might differ by the latter’s (Klem and Connell, 2004; Frenzel et al., 2007). For example, past studies found that the relation between teacher support and indicators of PAE was lowest among middle school students and highest among university students, relative to elementary and high school students (Aldridge et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016). Meanwhile the link between teacher support and indicators of NAE was strongest for middle school students (Taylor, 2003; Huang et al., 2010; Martinez et al., 2011). According to these findings, we
expect age to moderate the relation between teacher support and students’ academic emotions.

**Gender**

Female students tend to receive more teacher support than do male students (Lutz, 1996; Baumeister and Sommer, 1997), and several empirical studies have shown gender differences in the link between teacher support and indicators of students’ academic emotions, such as interest, depression, anxiety (Van Ryzin et al., 2009; Sylva et al., 2012; Nilsen et al., 2013). According to these findings, we expect gender to moderate the correlation between teacher support and students’ academic emotions.

**Study Purpose**

This meta-analysis of 65 studies analyzed the relations between teacher support and students’ academic emotions (positive and negative) and their moderators. Specifically, this study examined: (a) the correlations between teacher support and students’ positive academic emotions, (b) the correlations between teacher support and students’ positive academic emotions, and (c) whether culture, age, or gender moderated these correlations.

**METHODS**

**Literature Search**

To locate studies on teacher support and students’ academic emotions, we systematically searched the literature from January 1994 (Through search in above-mentioned database, “the relationship between teacher support and students’ academic emotions” was firstly proposed by Karabenick and Sharma, 1994) to January 2016 using the following electronic databases: ProQuest Dissertations, Web of Science, Google Scholar, Springer, Taylor & Francis, EBSCO, PsycINFO, and Elsevier SDOL. Indexed keywords constituted terms reflecting teacher support (support, involvement, care/caring, warmth, closeness, teacher enthusiasm, teacher help, learning environment, classroom environment, social support, relationship between teacher and student/child) and academic emotions (anxiety, pride, shame, achievement emotion, interest, anger, depression, enjoyment, boredom, hope, worry, hopelessness, positive affect, academic emotions, negative affect, relief, well-being). We obtained full-text versions of articles from libraries when they could not be found online, limiting ourselves to articles written in English. We used inclusion and exclusion criteria described in the next subsections to analyze and filter the collected studies.

**Literature Exclusion Criteria**

We included articles based on the following criteria: (a) studying the relationship between teacher support and students’ academic emotions, (b) measuring teacher support, including any of the keywords mentioned above, (c) measuring academic emotions, again including any of those above keywords, (d) including an explicit sample size, and (e) explicitly reporting the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient (r) or a t or F value that could be transformed into r. After applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 65 articles remained.

**Coding**

To facilitate meta-analysis, feature coding was conducted on 65 articles. We considered the following variables: author(s) and publication year, proportion of male students, ages, indicators of teacher support, indicators of academic emotions, types of academic emotions (PAEs and NAEs), number of students, and r effect size. The following criteria guided the coding procedure (see Table 1): (a) effect sizes of each independent sample were coded based on an independent sample, and separately coded if a study had several independent samples; (b) correlations between different indicators of teacher support and academic emotions were separately coded; (c) correlations between teacher support and different indicators of academic emotions were separately coded; (d) this number was used if an independent sample provided effect sizes (expressed as r) for sample characteristics such as sex; and (e) if a study reported multiple correlations between teacher support and an academic emotion, their mean value was used.

When coding was complete, effect sizes between teacher support and students’ academic emotions were calculated for each sample, based on the principles of meta-analysis (Lipsey and Wilson, 2001). The moderators tested for influence on the association between teacher support and students’ academic emotions were (a) culture, (b) age, and (c) gender.

**Data Analysis**

We used the comprehensive meta-analysis software CMA 2.0 to analyze all the data. A fixed-effects model calculated the homogeneity and mean effects. Averaged weighted correlation coefficients (within- and between- inverse-variance weights) of independent samples were used to compute mean effect sizes. Moderators were identified by the homogeneity test, which revealed variance in effect sizes between different samples’ characteristics. Where the homogeneity test was significant (Q \( Q_{het} > 0.05 \)), post-hoc analysis confirmed the different groups statistically. For continuous variables, this study used meta-analysis to examine variation in effect sizes explained by the moderator.

**RESULTS**

**Correlation between Teacher Support and Academic Emotions**

After filtering the literature, we used 65 independent samples, and the sizes of 121 effects were calculated (45 effect sizes...
| Author (year) | $N$ | $r$ | TS indicator$^a$ | AE indicator$^b$ | AE type | Culture$^c$ | Age$^d$ | Male (%)$^p$ |
|--------------|-----|-----|-------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------|---------|------------|
| Afari, 2013  | 352 | 0.24| TS                | Enjoyment         | PAEs    | 1           | 4       | 0.34       |
| Ahmed et al., 2010 | 238 | 0.28| TS                | Interest          | PAEs    | 2           | 2       | 0.46       |
| Ahmed et al., 2010 | 238 | 0.45| TS                | Enjoyment         | PAEs    | 2           | 2       | 0.46       |
| Ahmed et al., 2010 | 238 | −0.21| TS                | Anxiety           | NAEs    | 2           | 2       | 0.46       |
| Aldridge et al., 2013 | 352 | 0.24| TS                | Enjoyment         | PAEs    | 3           | 4       | 0.656      |
| Allen and Fraser, 2007 | 520 | 0.21| TS(p)            | Enjoyment         | PAEs    | 2           | 1       | 0.5        |
| Allen and Fraser, 2007 | 520 | 0.01| TS(a)            | Enjoyment         | PAEs    | 2           | 1       | 0.5        |
| Arbeau et al., 2010 | 169 | −0.26| C                | Anxiety           | NAEs    | 2           | 1       | 0.499      |
| Arslan, 2009  | 466 | −0.21| TS                | Enjoyment         | PAEs    | 3           | 3       | 0.457      |
| Birgani et al., 2015 | 180 | 0.36| TS                | Enjoyment         | PAEs    | 3           | 3       | 1          |
| Burić, 2015  | 365 | 0.27| TS                | Enjoyment         | PAEs    | 3           | 3       | 0.356      |
| Burić, 2015  | 365 | 0.05| TS                | Hope              | PAEs    | 3           | 3       | 0.356      |
| Burić, 2015  | 365 | 0.19| TS                | Pride             | PAEs    | 3           | 3       | 0.356      |
| Burić, 2015  | 365 | 0.15| TS                | RelieF            | PAEs    | 3           | 3       | 0.356      |
| Burić, 2015  | 365 | −0.17| TS               | Anger             | NAEs    | 3           | 3       | 0.356      |
| Burić, 2015  | 365 | −0.06| TS               | Anxiety           | NAEs    | 3           | 3       | 0.356      |
| Burić, 2015  | 365 | −0.09| TS               | Shame             | NAEs    | 3           | 3       | 0.356      |
| Burić, 1995  | 128 | −0.28| TS               | Depression        | NAEs    | 1           | 5       | 0.475      |
| Chirkov and Ryan, 2001 | 116 | −0.14| TS                | Depression        | NAEs    | 2           | 3       | 0.422      |
| Chirkov and Ryan, 2001 | 120 | 0.08| TS                | Depression        | NAEs    | 2           | 2       | 0.358      |
| Chirkov and Ryan, 2001 | 119 | 0.22| TS                | Positively emotions | PAEs | 2           | 2       | 0.532      |
| Chirkov and Ryan, 2001 | 118 | −0.03| TS               | Negatively emotions | PAEs | 2           | 2       | 0.532      |
| Cox et al., 2009 | 411 | 0.45| TS               | Enjoyment         | PAEs    | 2           | 2       | 0.436      |
| Cox et al., 2009 | 411 | −0.16| TS               | Worry             | NAEs    | 2           | 2       | 0.436      |
| Demaray et al., 2005 | 82  | −0.04| TS               | Emotional symptoms | NAEs    | 2           | 2       | 0.354      |
| Elmiedy et al., 2015 | 643 | −0.05| TS               | Depression        | NAEs    | 2           | 5       | 0.455      |
| Elmiedy et al., 2015 | 643 | 0.17| TS                | Anxiety           | NAEs    | 2           | 5       | 0.455      |
| Elmiedy et al., 2015 | 643 | −0.06| TS               | Depression        | NAEs    | 2           | 5       | 0.455      |
| Elmiedy et al., 2015 | 643 | 0.2 | TS                | Anxiety           | NAEs    | 2           | 5       | 0.455      |
| Federici and Skaalvik, 2014 | 309 | −0.14| ES               | Anxiety           | NAEs    | 2           | 2       | 0.482      |
| Federici and Skaalvik, 2014 | 309 | −0.13| IS               | Anxiety           | NAEs    | 2           | 2       | 0.482      |
| Frenzel et al., 2009 | 1,542 | 0.48 | TE            | Enjoyment         | PAEs    | 2           | 2       | 0.48       |
| Gläser-Zikuda and Fuß, 2008 | 431 | −0.26| TC               | Anxiety           | NAEs    | 2           | 2       | 0.494      |
| Hagenauer and Hascher, 2010 | 356 | 0.51| TC                | Enjoyment         | PAEs    | 2           | 2       | 0.336      |
| Hill et al., 1996 | 87  | −0.27| TS               | Anxiety           | NAEs    | 2           | 1       | 0.471      |
| Huang et al., 2010 | 158 | −0.26| TS               | Anxiety           | NAEs    | 1           | 4       | 0.684      |
| Jia et al., 2009 | 706 | −0.27| TS               | Depression        | NAEs    | 1           | 2       | 0.495      |
| Jia et al., 2009 | 709 | −0.25| TS               | Depression        | NAEs    | 2           | 2       | 0.482      |
| Karabenick and Sharma, 1994 | 288 | −0.11| TS               | Anxiety           | NAEs    | 2           | 4       | 0.36       |
| Karabenick and Sharma, 1994 | 288 | −0.17| TS               | Negatively affect | NAEs    | 2           | 4       | 0.36       |
| Karagiannidis et al., 2015 | 627 | 0.47| TS                | Enjoyment         | PAEs    | 2           | 2       | 0.499      |
| Karagiannidis et al., 2015 | 627 | −0.29| TS               | Boredom           | NAEs    | 2           | 2       | 0.499      |
| King et al., 2012 | 1,147 | 0.15 | TS                | Enjoyment         | PAEs    | 1           | 2       | 0.542      |
| King et al., 2012 | 1,147 | 0.12 | TS                | Hope              | PAEs    | 1           | 2       | 0.542      |
| King et al., 2012 | 1,147 | 0.07 | TS                | Pride             | PAEs    | 1           | 2       | 0.542      |
| King et al., 2012 | 1,147 | −0.4 | TS                | Anger             | NAEs    | 1           | 2       | 0.542      |
| King et al., 2012 | 1,147 | −0.18| TS                | Anxiety           | NAEs    | 1           | 2       | 0.542      |
| King et al., 2012 | 1,147 | −0.23| TS                | Shame             | NAEs    | 1           | 2       | 0.542      |
| King et al., 2012 | 1,147 | −0.47| TS                | Boredom           | NAEs    | 1           | 2       | 0.542      |
| Author (year) | N   | r   | TS indicator | AE indicator | AE type | Culture | Age | Male (%) |
|--------------|-----|-----|--------------|--------------|---------|---------|-----|----------|
| King et al., 2012 | 1,147 | -0.33 | TS | Hopelessness | PAEs | 1 | 2 | 0.542 |
| Lapointe et al., 2005 | 593 | -0.11 | TH | Anxiety | NAEs | 2 | 2 | 0.496 |
| LaRusso et al., 2008 | 476 | -0.2 | TS | Depression | NAEs | 2 | 3 | N |
| Lazarides and Ittel, 2013 | 212 | 0.47 | TS | Interest | PAEs | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Lazarides and Ittel, 2013 | 149 | 0.42 | TS | Interest | PAEs | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Liu et al., 2016 | 873 | 0.45 | TS | Affect in school | PAEs | 1 | 1 | N |
| Liu et al., 2016 | 675 | 0.35 | TS | Affect in school | PAEs | 1 | 2 | N |
| Liu et al., 2016 | 610 | 0.33 | TS | Affect in school | PAEs | 1 | 3 | N |
| Ludwig and Warren, 2009 | 175 | 0.43 | TS | Hope | PAEs | 2 | 3 | 0.486 |
| MacPhail, 2012 | 125 | 0.25 | TS | Positively affect | PAEs | 2 | 1 | 0.472 |
| MacPhail, 2012 | 122 | -0.18 | TS | Negatively affect | NAEs | 2 | 1 | 0.472 |
| Martinez et al., 2011 | 140 | -0.27 | TS | Depression | NAEs | 3 | 2 | 0.429 |
| Martinez et al., 2011 | 140 | -0.44 | TS | Depression | NAEs | 3 | 1 | 0.429 |
| McMahon et al., 2013 | 188 | 0.02 | TS | Fear | NAEs | 2 | 5 | 0.37 |
| McMahon et al., 2013 | 188 | 0.09 | TS | Affect | NAEs | 2 | 5 | 0.37 |
| McMahon et al., 2013 | 188 | -0.02 | TS | Anxiety | NAEs | 2 | 5 | 0.37 |
| McMahon et al., 2013 | 188 | -0.18 | TS | Depression | NAEs | 2 | 5 | 0.37 |
| Murberg and Bru, 2009 | 198 | -0.19 | TS | Depression | NAEs | 2 | 3 | 0.439 |
| Myint and Fisher, 2001 | 1,188 | 0.31 | TS | Enjoyment | PAEs | 2 | 1 | 0.457 |
| Neville, 2008 | 159 | 0.27 | TS | Positively affect | PAEs | 2 | 2 | 0.47 |
| Neville, 2008 | 159 | -0.13 | TS | Negatively affect | NAEs | 2 | 2 | 0.47 |
| Nilsen et al., 2013 | 319 | -0.09 | TS | Depression | NAEs | 2 | 5 | 0.404 |
| Nilsen et al., 2013 | 319 | -0.2 | TS | Depression | NAEs | 2 | 5 | 0.404 |
| Ommundsen and Kvalø, 2007 | 194 | 0.63 | TS | Enjoyment/Interest | PAEs | 2 | 3 | 0.515 |
| Ommundsen et al., 2006 | 760 | 0.11 | TS | Enjoyment | PAEs | 2 | 5 | 0.499 |
| Pan, 2014 | 462 | 0.59 | TS | Enjoyment | PAEs | 2 | 3 | 0.561 |
| Piechurska-Kuciel, 2011 | 354 | -0.78 | TS | Anxiety | NAEs | 2 | 3 | 0.362 |
| Reddy et al., 2003 | 1,285 | -0.28 | TS | Depression | NAEs | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Reddy et al., 2003 | 1,300 | -0.25 | TS | Depression | NAEs | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Rey et al., 2007 | 89 | -0.07 | TS | Anxiety | NAEs | 2 | 1 | 0.472 |
| Rueger et al., 2008 | 108 | -0.25 | TS | Anxiety | NAEs | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Rueger et al., 2008 | 108 | -0.29 | TS | Depression | NAEs | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Rueger et al., 2008 | 138 | -0.06 | TS | Anxiety | NAEs | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Rueger et al., 2008 | 138 | -0.23 | TS | Depression | NAEs | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Ryan et al., 2005 | 474 | 0.4 | TS | Positively affect | PAEs | 2 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Ryan et al., 2005 | 474 | -0.15 | TS | Anxiety | NAEs | 2 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Sahaghi et al., 2015 | 180 | 0.37 | TS | Enjoyment | PAEs | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Sakiz, 2012 | 227 | 0.64 | TS | Enjoyment | PAEs | 3 | 4 | 0.374 |
| Sakiz, 2012 | 227 | -0.55 | TS | Hopelessness | NAEs | 3 | 4 | 0.374 |
| Sakiz, 2012 | 138 | 0.6 | TS | Enjoyment | PAEs | 3 | 1 | 0.514 |
| Sakiz, 2012 | 138 | -0.21 | TS | Anxiety | NAEs | 3 | 1 | 0.514 |
| Sakiz et al., 2012 | 317 | 0.62 | TS | Enjoyment | PAEs | 2 | 2 | 0.4 |
| Sakiz et al., 2012 | 317 | -0.41 | TS | Hopelessness | NAEs | 2 | 2 | 0.4 |
| Sakiz et al., 2007 | 99 | 0.67 | TS | Enjoyment | PAEs | 2 | 2 | 0.934 |
| Sakiz et al., 2007 | 99 | -0.36 | TS | Hopelessness | NAEs | 2 | 2 | 0.343 |
| Skinner et al., 2008 | 805 | -0.1 | TS(t) | Bored | NAEs | 2 | 5 | 0.488 |
| Skinner et al., 2008 | 805 | -0.12 | TS(t) | Anxiety | NAEs | 2 | 5 | 0.488 |
| Skinner et al., 2008 | 805 | -0.1 | TS(t) | Frustrated | NAEs | 2 | 5 | 0.488 |
| Skinner et al., 2008 | 805 | -0.56 | TS(s) | Bored | NAEs | 2 | 5 | 0.488 |
| Skinner et al., 2008 | 805 | -0.36 | TS(s) | Anxiety | NAEs | 2 | 5 | 0.488 |
between teacher support and PAEs, 76 between teacher support and NAEs). In all, 58,368 students participated in the studies reviewed; sample sizes of individual studies ranged from 46 to 1,766.

To test our hypotheses, we calculated sample sizes (k), weighted effect sizes (r), and 95% confidence intervals (see Table 2). A fixed effects model was used to homogenize the analysis. The results showed that students with more teacher support had higher PAEs \([r = 0.340 \text{ (z = 51.909, } p < 0.001, k = 45, 95\% \text{ CI = } 0.328, 0.351)]\) or lower NAEs \([r = -0.215 \text{ (z = -41.769, } p < 0.001, k = 76, 95\% \text{ CI = } -0.225, -0.206)]\). These effect sizes were suitable for moderator analysis (Cohen, 1969).

**Moderator Analysis**

To test the aforementioned factors moderating the relationship between teacher support and students’ academic emotions, we conducted two total homogeneity tests across 45 and 76 independent samples for PAEs and NAEs respectively. The results showed significant homogeneity coefficients between teacher support and academic emotions \([Q_{(44)PAE} = 823.197, p < 0.001; Q_{(75)NAE} = 1218.358, p < 0.001]\). This indicates that culture, age, and gender moderated the relations between teacher support and students’ PAEs and NAEs. We used meta-analysis of variance to confirm whether culture and age moderated the correlations between teacher support and academic emotions, and used meta-regression analyses to examine whether gender influenced these correlations.

**Culture**

As indicated in Table 3, the homogeneity test showed a significant homogeneity coefficient between teacher support and PAEs across our three cultures (East Asian, Western European/American, and other) \([Q_{(BET)} = 60.599, df = 2, p < 0.001]\). As the table shows, the Western European/American

---

**Table 2** Fixed model of correlations between teacher support and academic emotions.

|     | k | N    | Mean r | 95% CI for r | Homogeneity test | Tau-squared | Test of null (two-tailed) |
|-----|---|------|--------|--------------|------------------|-------------|--------------------------|
|     |   |      |        |              |                  |             |                          |
|     |   |      |        |              |                  | Tau-squared | SE  | Tau  | z-value |
|     |   |      |        |              |                  | Tau-squared | SE  | Tau  |         |
|     |   |      |        |              |                  | Tau-squared | SE  | Tau  |         |
|     |   |      |        |              |                  | Tau-squared | SE  | Tau  |         |
|     |   |      |        |              |                  | Tau-squared | SE  | Tau  |         |
| PAEs| 45| 21690| 0.340  | 0.328, 0.351  | 823.197          | 0.038       | 0.194  | 51.909*** |
|     |  76| 36678| -0.215 | -0.225, -0.206| 1218.358         | 0.032       | 0.179  | -41.769*** |

****p < 0.001.
group had a stronger correlation \( r = 0.384, 95\% \text{ CI} = 0.368, 0.400 \) than the East Asian group \( r = 0.286, 95\% \text{ CI} = 0.266, 0.305 \). Likewise, the homogeneity test found significant differences in the correlation between teacher support and NAEs across the three cultures \( \text{QBET} = 119.523, df = 2, p < 0.001 \); however, in this case, the East Asian group \( r = -0.307, 95\% \text{ CI} = -0.326, -0.288 \) showed a stronger correlation between teacher support and PAEs than the West European/American group \( r = -0.190, 95\% \text{ CI} = -0.202, -0.178 \).

**Age**

The results of the homogeneity test \( \text{QBET} = 42.450, df = 4, p < 0.001 \) suggested that age influenced the link between teacher support and PAEs. Teacher support was significantly correlated with PAEs for elementary school \( r = 0.348, 95\% \text{ CI} = 0.316, 0.378 \), middle school \( r = 0.310, 95\% \text{ CI} = 0.294, 0.327 \), high school \( r = 0.350, 95\% \text{ CI} = 0.319, 0.379 \), and university \( r = 0.415, 95\% \text{ CI} = 0.346, 0.481 \); however, undergraduates showed a stronger correlation than the other students, and middle school students showed a weaker correlation than the other students. As shown in Table 3, the homogeneity test \( \text{QBET} = 164.830, df = 4, p < 0.001 \) suggested that age moderated the link between teacher support and NAEs. Broken down by age group, significant correlations were observed between teacher support and NAEs for elementary students \( r = -0.160, 95\% \text{ CI} = -0.204, -0.116 \), middle school students \( r = -0.276, 95\% \text{ CI} = -0.289, -0.262 \), high school students \( r = -0.120, 95\% \text{ CI} = -0.120, -0.086 \), and undergraduates \( r = -0.135, 95\% \text{ CI} = -0.187, -0.081 \). The results indicated that middle school students had a stronger correlation between teacher support and NAEs than the other three groups.

**Gender**

To examine whether gender moderated the link between teacher support and students’ academic emotions, \( r \) was meta-regressed onto the percentage of male students in each sample. As seen in Table 4, the meta-regression analysis \( \text{Q}_{\text{Model}}[1, k = 40]_{\text{PAE}} = 0.781, p > 0.05 \) suggested that gender did not moderate the relationship between teacher support and PAEs. However, meta-regression \( \text{Q}_{\text{Model}}[1, k = 72]_{\text{NAE}} = 4.208, p < 0.05 \) demonstrated that the relation between teacher support and NAEs was moderated by gender; the effect size of the correlation between teacher support and NAEs for an all-female sample \( r = -0.252 \) was much stronger than for an all-male sample \( r = -0.196 \).

**Publication Bias**

To examine whether the results were biased due to the effect sizes from various sources, a funnel plot was drawn (see Figure 1); it indicated that the 121 effects were symmetrically distributed on both sides of the average in terms of size. Egger’s regression (Egger et al., 1997), an effective method for examining publication bias (Teng et al., 2015), revealed no significant bias \( t_{119} = 0.698, p = 0.486 \). In addition, we also twice conducted
TABLE 4 | Meta-regression analyses of gender.

| Variable | Parameter | Estimate | SE  | z-value | 95% CI for b |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----|---------|--------------|
|          |           |          |     |         | LL           | UL           |
| PAEs     | Male (%)  | $\beta_0$ | 0.375 | 0.031  | 11.961       | 0.314 - 0.436 |
|          |           | $\beta_1$ | -0.055 | 0.062  | -0.884       | -0.176 - -0.066 |
|          |           | $Q_{Model}(1, k = 40) = 0.781, p > 0.05$ |     |         |              |              |
| NAEs     | Male (%)  | $\beta_0$ | -0.196 | 0.014  | -13.859      | -0.224 - -0.168 |
|          |           | $\beta_1$ | 0.056  | 0.027  | 2.051        | -0.110 - 0.002 |
|          |           | $Q_{Model}(1, k = 72) = 4.208, p < 0.05$ |     |         |              |              |

FIGURE 1 | Funnel plot of effect sizes of the correlation between teacher support and academic emotions.

Egger’s regression analysis on teacher support, for PAEs and for NAEs. The results showed no publication bias [$t_{PAE(43)} = 0.800, p = 0.428; t_{NAE(74)} = 0.453, p = 0.652$]. This indicates that the overall correlation between teacher support and students’ academic emotions was stable.

DISCUSSION

In the current meta-analysis 65 recent studies, including 121 effects and 58,368 students, were analyzed. The overall results showed that teacher support was positively correlated with PAEs and negatively correlated with NAEs; the correlation coefficients for these results were both medium. Furthermore, culture, age, and gender moderated these relations.

The Significant Correlation between Teacher Support and Students’ Academic Emotions

Meta-analysis results showed a significant positive correlation between teacher support and PAEs and a significant negative correlation between teacher support and NAEs. These results suggest that teacher support is an important mechanism through which teacher can foster students’ PAEs and reduce their NAEs (Lawman and Wilson, 2013). These results support a direct effect model, and future studies can test an indirect effect model.

Furthermore, students with difficult learning problems or other problems can seek teacher support as a strategy to improve their PAEs and reduce their NAEs. Furthermore, teacher support is readily accessible on school days and can supplement a student’s other interpersonal relationships, especially if the latter are unreliable. In addition, targeted interventions can help students facing difficulties seek out and capitalize on teacher support to improve their learning outcomes.

Moderation Effects

The results also showed that students’ culture, age, and gender moderated the relationship between teacher support and students’ academic emotions. Specifically, culture and student age moderated teacher support’s links with both PAEs and NAEs, and gender moderated teacher support’s links with NAEs.

Moderating Role of Culture

Culture moderated the link between teacher support and students’ academic emotions, consistent with many prior studies (Jia et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2016). This result suggests that training and interventions should consider cultural aspects, especially cultural differences when adapting training to a new culture. Specifically, the current study obtains the interesting finding that the Western group showed a stronger correlation between teacher support and PAEs among the Western European/American students than the East Asian students, teachers might have a larger impact on enhancing the PAEs of Western European/American students than those of East Asian students. In contrast, teacher support had a stronger negative correlation to NAEs among East Asian students than among Western European/American students, suggesting that teachers might have a larger impact on reducing the NAEs of East Asian students than those of Western European/American students. Future research can examine the mechanisms for these cultural differences.

Moderating Role of Age

Age moderates the relationship between teacher support and students’ academic emotions, consistent with past studies
Further analysis found that the middle school group showed a weaker correlation between teacher support and PAEs and a stronger correlation between teacher support and NAEs than other groups, while the university group obtained a stronger correlation between teacher support and PAEs than other groups. Middle school students are in a psychological weaning period (Huizhen, 2014), and teachers can have a large impact on such vulnerable students with large NAEs. However, their low baseline hinders teachers from sharply increasing their PAEs.

Moderating Role of Gender
Gender moderates the relationship between teacher support and NAEs, with a stronger correlation among female students than among male students; in contrast, gender did not moderate the link between teacher support and PAEs. As the emotional understanding and social skills of females often exceed those of males, female students might express their NAEs to their teachers more effectively than male students do, enabling their teacher support to reduce female students' NAEs more than male students' NAEs. In addition, this finding suggests that similar levels of teacher support may lead to lower NAEs among female than among male students. Considering both age and gender differences in the correlation between teacher support and NAEs, middle school boys emerge as the most vulnerable group, so targeting interventions for them might be especially fruitful.

LIMITATIONS AND IMPLICATIONS
The current meta-analysis has several limitations. First, only teacher support, involvement, care/caring, warmth, closeness, enthusiasm, and help were selected as indicators of teacher support; other indicators, such as concern, were excluded. Furthermore, the selected indicators may overlap. Second, parallel concerns also apply to indicators of academic emotions. Third, all the studies reviewed examined only direct effects; other studies have found that teacher support can indirectly affect students' academic emotions across other variables as well (Van Ryzin et al., 2009; Sakiz et al., 2012). Therefore, future studies can test for indirect effects, such as whether teacher support indirectly improves academic achievement via academic emotions. Fourth, the current study only considers whether students' culture, age, and gender moderate the relationship between teacher support and students' academic emotions; other variables, such as socio-economic status, can be examined in future studies. Fifth, this study included only English-language articles; future meta-analyses can include studies in other languages. Sixth, this meta-analysis was based on cross-sectional studies, so causal relationships cannot be inferred.

CONCLUSION
The results of this meta-analysis of 65 studies encompassing 121 effect sizes and 58,368 students revealed that teacher support was significantly correlated with students' academic emotions, and that these relations were moderated by culture, age, and gender. The positive link between teacher support and PAEs was stronger among Western European/American students than among East Asian students. In contrast, the negative link between teacher support and NAEs was stronger among East Asian students than among Western European/American students. The positive link between teacher support and PAEs was strongest among university students and weakest among middle school students. Also, the negative link between teacher support and NAEs was strongest among middle school students and among females.
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