Methylphenidate use among students living in junior on-campus residences of the University of the Free State
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Background: The use of methylphenidate as cognitive enhancer is a growing trend among students at tertiary institutions globally. This study aimed to determine the prevalence of methylphenidate use and co-use with alcohol among on-campus residence students of the University of the Free State (UFS).

Methods: For this cross-sectional study, 10 junior residences were randomly selected and 1 761 anonymous questionnaires handed out for all students living in these residences during 2015. Data were collected on demographics, use of methylphenidate and co-use of methylphenidate with alcohol.

Results: In total, 585 questionnaires (response rate 33.2%) were received and analysed. Sixty-six (11.3%) participants reported past-year use of methylphenidate. While only 18 (27.3%) of past-year users were diagnosed with ADHD, 44 (66.7%) obtained their prescriptions through doctors’ prescriptions, 21 (31.8%) from friends without payment, and 4 (6.1%) bought it from illegal sources. Of the past-year users, 24.2% had used methylphenidate before consuming alcohol.

Conclusion: Off-label prescribing, diversion of prescriptions and illegal trade in methylphenidate occur among students at the UFS. The frequent co-use of methylphenidate and alcohol may indicate a lack of information on the effects of the medication, rather than deliberate misuse.
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Introduction

Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is the most commonly diagnosed developmental disorder. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reports a progression in prevalence among the population of the United States, from 10.2% in 2001 to 14% in 2013 in boys and from 3.7% to 5.9% in girls over the same period.1 About 50% of cases persist into adulthood.2 In a large cross-national study, Fayyad et al.3 found an adult prevalence of 3.4%, although the authors conceded that this is a conservative figure. There are no published data on the prevalence of ADHD in the South African general population, though it was estimated at around 10% by 2010.4

Methylphenidate is still recommended as the first-line choice for treatment of ADHD in children as well as adults, with remarkable effects on ADHD symptoms in classroom settings over the short term,5 but long-term effects are not well known.6 In addition to being effective for adult ADHD, methylphenidate has been shown to reduce the development of addictive behaviours in the ADHD population, which is known to have a higher propensity for addictive behaviours.7 The average dose of methylphenidate for an adult is 20–30 mg/day and the maximum recommended dose is 60 mg/day, yet sometimes higher doses are required for therapeutic effect.8

Indiscriminate use of methylphenidate in the absence of ADHD bears the risks of addiction, psychiatric complications and cardiac toxicity when abused.9 Toxic effects of methylphenidate appear at excessive doses, with a wide inter-individual variation in response. The combination of dose, route of administration and specific formulation, and co-use with other stimulants or alcohol modifies the toxicity of the drug. Nasal or intravenous administration or combination with other stimulant drugs causes effects similar to amphetamine and cocaine, causing euphoria, delirium, paranoia, hallucinations and aggressive behaviour.5 It is therefore classified as a schedule 6 drug in South Africa.9

In the wake of the growing awareness of ADHD, the increase in the sales of methylphenidate remains one of the most spectacular in the pharmaceutical industry. Initially introduced to the market in 1952, the production of methylphenidate increased sixfold between 1990 and 2005. Some 80% of the worldwide supply was consumed in the United States of America (USA) at the time.10 By 2007, 6 million schoolchildren in the USA were using Ritalin®. Though the number of Ritalin® users has stabilised, the market for methylphenidate has expanded with the introduction of new brands and formulations.10

Similar to the trend in the USA, methylphenidate is increasingly prescribed for school-going children in South Africa. An analysis of the prescription records of a large medical scheme administrator, spanning the prescription records of 24 011 medical scheme members, showed that 115 patients received prescriptions for methylphenidate in 2002.11 Of these, only 7 (1.5%) were in the age group 20–29 years, while the peak incidence of methylphenidate prescriptions (50.4%) occurred in the age group 10–19 years. This figure is not a true reflection of the use of methylphenidate in the South African private health sector, as data on private paying patients were not captured.

Evidence for an increase in non-medical use of methylphenidate, mostly to improve cognitive function, is, however, also escalating among healthy students worldwide.12 This generates concerns...
about the safety of methylphenidate, particularly the long-term effects in healthy individuals, and the effects of co-use with alcohol in a population known for binge-drinking behaviour.12

**Aim of the study**
The objective of this study was to determine the prevalence of methylphenidate use and co-use of methylphenidate with alcohol in students living in junior on-campus residences of the University of the Free State (UFS).

**Method**

**Study design**
A cross-sectional study design was used.

**Target population and sampling**
The target population consisted of students living in junior on-campus residences of the UFS. Junior residences only admit undergraduate students, with 40% first-year students.13 The normal age restriction of 22 years may be exceeded in extraordinary cases where there is no accommodation available in a senior residence.14 The 10 randomly selected residences had 1,761 students out of a potential 3,457 students in 19 residences. The residences included male, female and mixed residences. All residents at the selected facilities were included. Participants had to be registered students of the UFS and living in junior on-campus residences in 2015.

**Questionnaires**
The anonymous, self-administered questionnaire was available in English, and consisted of four sections with a total of 31 questions. Section A consisted of six general demographic questions. Section B (not reported on here) consisted of 11 questions pertaining to alcohol use. Section C consisted of 10 questions pertaining to methylphenidate use, and Section D contained four questions pertaining to the combined use of alcohol and methylphenidate. Most questions were closed ended, but the questionnaire also allowed elaboration under the section ‘other’ for mentioning different types of cognitive-enhancing drugs. There are no validated instruments for determining non-medicinal use of methylphenidate; the researchers formulated questions based on issues with regard to methylphenidate use highlighted in the literature.

**Data collection**
The primaria of each selected residence received an information document regarding the study. Data collection took place during the second half of the year when no examinations were scheduled. The research team visited the residences during house meetings to explain the aim of the study and to seek the residents’ cooperation. The 10 residences consisted of 203 single rooms and 779 double rooms. One questionnaire was handed out at each single room and two questionnaires at each double room. A collection box was placed in the foyer of each residence and completed questionnaires were collected daily for a period of two weeks.

**Pilot study**
A pilot study was done on five students living in on-campus junior residences that were not selected for the main study. No adjustments to the questionnaire were necessary.

**Data analysis**
The completed questionnaires were computerised by the research team on an Excel® spreadsheet (Microsoft Corp, Redmond, WA, USA). Data analysis was done by the Department of Biostatistics, Faculty of Health Sciences, UFS, using SAS® Version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Results are summarised by frequencies and percentages (categorical variables) and means, standard deviations (SD) or percentiles (numerical variables, depending on data distribution). The association between the demographic variables age, gender, faculty and year of study and use of methylphenidate was investigated using forward stepwise logistic regression.

**Ethical aspects**
Permission to conduct the study (STUD NR 33/2015) was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Health Science, the Vice-Rector Research and the Acting Dean of Student Affairs of the UFS. Participation was voluntary. An information document was attached to each questionnaire. As the questionnaire was anonymous, completion thereof was considered consent.

**Results**
Of the 1,761 questionnaires handed out, 585 were retrieved and analysed (response rate 33.2%). The highest percentage of participants were female (69.6%) and in their second year of study (38.1%) (Table 1). The mean age of the participants was 20.2 years (SD 1.38; range 17–28 years).

Overall, 66 (11.3%) participants reported using methylphenidate in the past year. Of these 66 students, only 18 (27.3%) were diagnosed with ADHD. Ritalin® was the most commonly used brand (n = 34, 51.5%), followed by Concerta® (n = 31, 47.0%). Table 1 indicates the past year use of methylphenidate in the demographic subgroups. Male students were twice as likely as female students to report using methylphenidate in the past year, as 41 (18.0%) males compared to 25 (6.2%) females reported use in the past year (Table 2). The academic year was not a significant factor in selecting participants for the study.

**Table 1: Demographic description of participants and past year use of methylphenidate in demographic subgroups**

| Factor                              | All participants (n = 585) | Participants using methylphenidate in the past year |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
|                                     | n (%)                     | n (%)                                               |
| Gender                              |                           |                                                     |
| Male                               | 178 (30.4)                | 32 (18.0)                                           |
| Female                             | 407 (69.6)                | 34 (8.4)                                            |
| Faculty                            |                           |                                                     |
| Natural and Agricultural Sciences   | 159 (27.2)                | 22 (13.8)                                           |
| Humanities                         | 129 (22.1)                | 18 (14.0)                                           |
| Economic and Management Sciences    |                           |                                                     |
| (includes Business School)         | 124 (21.2)                | 8 (6.5)                                             |
| Law                                | 61 (10.4)                 | 8 (13.1)                                            |
| Education (includes School of Open |                           |                                                     |
| Learning)                          | 59 (10.1)                 | 5 (8.5)                                             |
| Health Sciences                    | 50 (8.6)                  | 4 (8.0)                                             |
| Theology                           | 3 (0.5)                   | 1 (33.3)                                            |
| Academic year of study             |                           |                                                     |
| First year                         | 175 (29.9)                | 22 (12.6)                                           |
| Second year                        | 223 (38.1)                | 27 (12.1)                                           |
| Third year                         | 140 (23.9)                | 14 (10.0)                                           |
| Fourth year                        | 41 (7.0)                  | 3 (7.3)                                             |
| Fifth year                         | 6 (1.0)                   | 0                                                   |
The majority of users used methylphenidate to study better, overwhelmingly to improve concentration. Enhanced confidence and to calm down were highly sought-after effects, while weight loss was not a prominent reason for use. None of the participants and to calm down were highly sought-after effects, while weight loss was not a prominent reason for use. None of the participants aimed to achieve.

The majority (n = 54; 81.8%) of past-year methylphenidate users (n = 30; 45.5%) used it before a test, and 15.5% (n = 10) indicated they used it daily.

For methylphenidate use, the median and mode age of onset was 18 years. Most of the participants (75.4%) were in the age range 21–25 years when they first started using methylphenidate, while 15.4% were younger than 16 years and 9.3% were in the age range 16–20 years. Table 3 reports the situations during which methylphenidate was used, and the effects that users aimed to achieve.

The majority (n = 54; 81.8%) of past-year methylphenidate users reported that methylphenidate improved their grades. Only 3.0% (n = 2) felt it lowered their grades while 15.2% (n = 10) felt that methylphenidate did not have any influence. Approximately a third of methylphenidate users (n = 24; 36.4%) obtained methylphenidate from a source other than a medical practitioner (Table 4).

**Co-use of alcohol and methylphenidate**

Of the past-year methylphenidate users, 16 (24.2%) had ever used methylphenidate prior to drinking alcohol. Fifteen of these students indicated frequency: two (13.3%) practised co-use more than once a month and one participant (6.7%) admitted to co-use two to four times per week. Of the 15 cases, 10 (66.7%) had experienced negative effects, mainly becoming intoxicated more easily (seven of the 10 cases).

**Discussion**

The current study is limited by the retrospective nature of the data and the use of self-reported data rather than formal diagnostic evaluation. No attempt was therefore made to estimate the prevalence of ADHD in the study group and the study focused on the prevalence of methylphenidate use. The use of junior residence inhabitants means that the results are not representative of all students at the UFS. Sixty-six participants (11.3%) reported past-year use of methylphenidate. The overall prevalence is very similar to the findings of Jain et al. regarding current methylphenidate use among medical students at the UFS. Our results, however, show a relatively lower rate among students in Health Sciences and higher rates for students in Natural and Agricultural Sciences, Humanities and Law. The overall rate of use is considerably lower than the 17.2% recently reported by Steyn for an unidentified South African university.

The strong male preponderance in methylphenidate use coincides with a higher prevalence of ADHD in males. The mode age for the onset of methylphenidate use of 18 years suggests that students are introduced to cognitive enhancers either in Grade 12 or in the first year at university. Hereafter, methylphenidate use declines with advancement in study years.

Almost all methylphenidate users in the current study used it to improve their concentration, and 9 out of 10 of these students believed that the drug improved their academic performance. The fact that most indicated that they only used the drug prior to assessments and not every day is suggestive of use for the purpose of cognitive enhancement, rather than for control of ADHD symptoms. Linssen et al. did an extensive review of studies on cognitive enhancement by methylphenidate in healthy individuals and reported enhanced but differential effects on distinct cognitive functions. Methylphenidate did enhance, in descending order, working memory; processing speed; verbal learning and memory; attention and vigilance; and reasoning and problem solving in psychological test situations. It had no influence on visual learning and memory, though. The authors warned that the cognitive enhancement effect might

---

**Table 2: Frequency of methylphenidate use among past year users (n = 66)**

| Frequency       | n (%) |
|-----------------|-------|
| Every day       | 10 (15.2) |
| Once a week     | 5 (7.6)  |
| Before a test   | 30 (45.5) |
| Once a month    | 6 (9.1)  |
| Once a year     | 10 (15.2) |
| Other           | 5 (7.6)  |

*Only one option could be selected.

**Table 3: Purpose of methylphenidate use (n = 66)**

| Purpose                                | n (%) |
|----------------------------------------|-------|
| Situations where methylphenidate is used |       |
| Studying before a test                 | 56 (84.9) |
| Enhancing general concentration in class | 12 (18.2) |
| Staying awake in class                 | 3 (4.6)  |
| Other                                  | 4 (6.1)  |

| Effects that users aim to achieve when using methylphenidate | n (%) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Improve concentration                                      | 63 (95.5) |
| Enhanced confidence                                        | 29 (43.9) |
| Calming down                                               | 29 (43.9) |
| Focus                                                      | 4 (6.1)  |
| Satisfying curiosity                                       | 4 (6.1)  |
| Weight loss                                                | 3 (4.6)  |
| Feeling good                                               | 2 (3.0)  |
| Other                                                      | 3 (4.6)  |

*More than one option could be selected.

**Table 4: Source from which methylphenidate was obtained (n = 66)**

| Source                                               | n (%) |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Prescription from general practitioner               | 32 (48.5) |
| Prescription from specialist                         | 12 (18.2) |
| Friend (without payment)                             | 21 (31.8) |
| Dealer/contact (with payment)                        | 4 (6.1)  |

*More than one option could be selected.*
is not based on the registration conditions of the drug, but rather
Off-label prescribing is not illegal in South Africa, yet prescription
The figure represents 3.1% of the total study population.
A further concern is that, in a normal healthy brain, dopamine and
noradrenaline levels can easily be pushed beyond the optimal
levels, disrupting attention, locomotor and impulse control.18
The percentage of methylphenidate users who obtained
methylphenidate without a legal prescription in this study (36.4%) is very similar to the results reported by Steyn.16
The figures of 27.3% of past-year users of methylphenidate with
a diagnosis of ADHD, and 66.7% obtaining their methylphenidate
through a prescription from a general practitioner or specialist
are similar to the figures reported by Jain et al.19 for medical
students at the UFS (30.2% and 70.6%, respectively). Such
discrepancy indicates that there is a considerable degree of off-
label prescribing of the drug to healthy persons, presumably for
cognitive enhancement. It should be noted that the 27.3% refers
only to students indicating past-year use of methylphenidate.
The figure represents 3.1% of the total study population.

Off-label prescribing is not illegal in South Africa, yet prescription
is not based on the registration conditions of the drug, but rather
on the judgement of the prescriber.19 As such, the prescriber is
personally taking responsibility for harm caused by the particular
medication. A study19 done on the practices of GPs in the Free
State concerning methylphenidate showed that almost half of a
sample of GPs considered the parents of ADHD patients as being ‘difficult’. It is therefore possible that coercion plays a role in
prescribing methylphenidate.

The results of the current study also revealed that considerable
diversion of prescriptions for methylphenidate occurs, with
31.8% of the participants indicating that they obtain their supply
from friends (without payment). Diversion of prescriptions is
illegal20 and a potentially dangerous action as the user is exposed
to the drug without screening for contra-indications and without
proper counselling with regard to potential side effects and
interactions.

Illegal trading is also taking place with 6.1% of participants
buying methylphenidate from illegal sources. This is substantially
lower than the results reported by Steyn.16 In addition to the risks
involved in diversion of prescriptions, stock bought from illegal
sources bears the risk of questionable origin and quality control
as well as adulterants. Both diversion and illegal outlets are
criminal offences and may lead to prosecution. According to the
Drugs and Drug Trafficking Act No. 140 of 1992,21 possession of a
dependence-inducing drug such as methylphenidate bought
from sources other than the legal supply chain in South Africa is
a crime punishable by a fine or imprisonment for up to 10 years.
It is a matter of concern that, despite the apparently tight control
measures suggested by the high scheduling of the drug, the law
is easily ignored, probably due to lack of enforcement.

Nearly a quarter of past-year methylphenidate users in the
current study have used methylphenidate with alcohol.
Methylphenidate and alcohol do interact, increasing the risk of
stimulant toxicity, which manifests mainly as cardiac stimulation
and neuropsychiatric emergencies.22 Reasons for mortality in
such cases include cardiovascular incidents, convulsions and
suicide. Deaths related to alcohol intake in the presence of high
methylphenidate levels, as well as an increase in dependence
potential, may be related to the increased production of
ethylphenidate, a metabolite that is formed when
methylphenidate is metabolised in the presence of alcohol.23
The heightened sensitivity caused by co-use of alcohol and
methylphenidate is also thought to increase the risk for
dependency, and is particularly relevant for persons with a
history of drug and/or alcohol dependency.22

The authors recommend that prescribers of methylphenidate
should be enabled to diagnose and manage ADHD in the interest
of enhanced access to treatment. This can be done through
curricular changes in undergraduate programmes, combined
with continued medical education.

Students and the greater general public need to be informed
about the legal aspects of prescriptions and encouraged to
report transgressions. Orientation of new students should
include awareness of cognitive enhancer abuse and the potential
dangers thereof.

Conclusion
The prevalence of 11.3% for methylphenidate use among
students residing in junior on-campus residences at the UFS
occurs against the background of a low prevalence of formally
diagnosed cases of ADHD. Methylphenidate users in this study
indicated that the basic need driving their use of methylphenidate
is the need to concentrate, focus and calm down. Instances of
co-use of methylphenidate and alcohol indicate a lack of
knowledge of the medication involved, rather than deliberate
misuse.
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