Imaging coronal magnetic-field reconnection in a solar flare
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Magnetic-field reconnection is believed to play a fundamental role in magnetized plasma systems throughout the Universe5, including planetary magnetospheres, magnetars and accretion disks around black holes. This letter presents extreme ultraviolet and X-ray observations of a solar flare showing magnetic reconnection with a level of clarity not previously achieved. The multi-wavelength extreme ultraviolet observations from SDO/AIA show inflowing cool loops and newly formed, outflowing hot loops, as predicted. RHESSI X-ray spectra and images simultaneously show the appearance of plasma heated to > 10 MK at the expected locations. These two data sets provide solid visual evidence of magnetic reconnection producing a solar flare, validating the basic physical mechanism of popular flare models. However, new features are also observed that need to be included in reconnection and flare studies, such as three-dimensional non-uniform, non-steady and asymmetric evolution.

The early concept of magnetic reconnection was proposed in the 1940s (ref. 1) to explain energy release in solar flares, the most powerful explosive phenomena in the solar system. The reconnection process reconfigures the field topology and converts magnetic energy to thermal energy, mass motions and particle acceleration. The theories and related numerical simulations, especially three-dimensional modelling, are still subjects of extensive research to obtain a full understanding of the process under different conditions. Meanwhile, observational studies have made progress in finding evidence of reconnection and deriving its physical properties to constrain and improve the theories.

In situ measurements of the magnetic field, plasma parameters and particle distributions have shown the existence of magnetic reconnection in laboratory plasmas4–8, fusion facilities and magnetospheres of planets9,10. Such in situ measurements are still not possible in the extremely hot solar atmosphere. Instead, observations are obtained through remote sensing of emissions across the entire electromagnetic spectrum from radio to X-rays and gamma rays. However, in the corona the magnetic field pressure dominates the plasma pressure (low plasma beta) and the magnetic flux is frozen into the highly conductive plasma. As a result, the emitting plasma trapped in coronal loops outlines the geometry of the magnetic field and their structural changes reflect the changes of the field connectivity (in general). Considerable pieces of evidence for features probably linked to reconnection in solar flares11–14 and coronal mass ejections15 (CMEs) have been obtained so far. These include signatures of plasma inflow/outflow16–18, hot cusp structures19, current sheets18–20, fast-mode standing shocks20 and plasmoid ejection21. However, most evidence has been indirect and fragmented. Detailed observations of the complete picture are still missing owing to the highly dynamic flare/CME process and limited observational capabilities.

The launch of the Solar Dynamic Observatory22 (SDO) in 2010 significantly improved this situation. In particular, the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly22 (AIA) has enabled continuous imaging of the full Sun in ten extreme ultraviolet (EUV), ultraviolet and visible channels, with a spatial resolution of ~0.6 arcsec and a cadence of 12 s. Simultaneously, the Raymat High Energy Solar Spectroscopic Imager23 (RHESSI) is continuing to provide X-ray imaging and spectroscopic diagnostics of the heated plasma and accelerated electrons. The flare of interest was observed close to the southeast limb of the solar disk on 17 August 2011, but no CME was detected. The peak soft X-ray flux (1–8 Å) recorded by the X-ray monitor on a Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES) was 2.3 × 10^-6 W m^-2, implying a modest flare magnitude of C2.3. The favourable timing, intensity, position and orientation allowed us to observe the most complete evolution yet obtained of magnetic reconfiguration and energy release in an interacting coronal loop arcade.

The clearest visual evidence of the reconnection process comes from the synchronous imaging of cool inflow loops (Fig. 1a,b) and hot outflow loops (Fig. 1c and see also Supplementary Movies 1–3). From ~04:05 UT to ~04:28 UT, discrete coronal loops with temperatures from ~0.05 to 2 MK merged and disappeared near a central plane (the red line in Fig. 1b–c), the same location where a hot X-shaped structure (~10 MK, first image in Fig. 1c) gradually formed by ~04:10 UT. The two cusps above and below the X-type neutral point began to separate to form a V-inverted V structure at ~04:15 UT (second image in Fig. 1c). Newly formed hot loops (~10 MK) then appeared in two separated groups. Both flow away from the reconnection region (Fig. 1c), presumably owing to magnetic tension forces.

The bright flare regions on the surface (observed at AIA 1,600 Å, see Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. S1) are the footpoints of coronal loops heated by thermal conduction and/or non-thermal electrons. As a result of the reconnection, the magnetic topology probably changed from an arcade of loops connecting footpoints A–B in the southern end and C–D in the northern end to two vertically separated new sets of loops (Fig. 2b). One group connects the lower cusp to regions A and C. This relaxes downward onto the flare arcade. The other group probably connected the higher cusp to the regions B and D. This expands outward, contributing to the loops (magnetic flux rope) building above the arcade.
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Figure 1 | SDO/AIA overview of the reconnection process observed in EUV. These false-colour images are taken from Supplementary Movies 1–3 at different times during the flare on 17 August 2011. They show cool inflow loops merging horizontally (north/south) and hotter outflow loops separating vertically (east/west). The white curved line in each frame marks the visible edge (horizon) of the solar disk. The X and Y coordinates of each image are in arc seconds (1 arcsec ≈ 735 km) from the disk centre. a, AIA images at 211, 193, 171 and 304 Å (1 Å = 0.1 nm) show inflowing loops with temperatures between ~0.05 and 2 MK. The white rectangle in the third image indicates the field of view for Fig. 4. b, The same as the images shown in a after subtracting images taken one minute earlier. These difference images show moved/brightened inflow loops in white relative to their original positions/intensities in black. The red line in each frame marks the initial location of the X structure where the inflow loops seemed to merge and disappear; the red arrows show the inflow directions. c, AIA images at 131 Å showing plasma structures heated to ~10 MK. The yellow dashed curves marked C1 and C2 are used to derive the inflow and outflow profiles shown in Fig. 3. The two red arrows in the third image show the outflow directions of the hot, separating loops.

X-ray emissions from the heated plasma confirm the energy release from a reconnection process in both timing and location. The RHESSI X-ray flux began to increase at 04:06:40 UT, close to the time when the EUV inflow loops visibly started moving together (Fig. 3d). The GOES 1–8 Å flux started to decrease after ~04:29 UT, the time when the last inflow loops (Fig. 3d) were seen disappearing. On the other hand, X-ray images and spectra show heated plasma (up to 17 MK, Fig. 2c) in the reconnected flare loops. The RHESSI coronal source in the 4–10 keV energy range (first and third images of Fig. 2a) indicates the presence of plasma at > 6 MK at/near the reconnection site. After 04:19 UT, a high coronal source appeared in the 10–20 keV images above the higher, relaxing cusp (Fig. 2a and Fig. 4b). This source and the extended source above the flare loops are known as double coronal X-ray sources, first observed in RHESSI images alone for a different flare16. Unlike the famous single Masuda source19, which may be a signature of fast-mode standing shocks below the reconnection site, double coronal sources are thought to be related to heating in both the upper and lower outflow regions. With the support from the EUV images presented here, the double coronal sources become strong evidence for plasma heating following a reconnection process.

The formation of either a single Masuda source or double corona sources remains an open question. In particular, the higher coronal source has been rarely detected and discussed24. The absence of a clear power-law bremsstrahlung component in the RHESSI spectra may indicate a negligible contribution of heating from non-thermal electrons in this case. One mechanism that could explain the double coronal sources is the slow mode magnetosonic shocks during flux retraction, which cause heating and density enhancements in the contracting loops ejected from the reconnection site25.

To quantitatively investigate the inflow and outflow motions evident in the EUV movies, we defined two curves labelled C1 and...
C2 in Fig. 1c. The resulting time–distance plots for C1 (Fig. 3a) show coronal slices of loops that moved inward (towards the central line) from both sides and disappeared. The apparent inflow velocities increased from \(\sim 10\) to over \(50\) km s\(^{-1}\) as the loops approached the point of disappearance. The final inflow velocities range from \(\sim 20\) to \(\sim 70\) km s\(^{-1}\). The outflows are evident in the time–distance plots made for C2 from the 131 Å images (Fig. 3b). Signatures of some superposed fast-moving structures can be seen from 04:08 to 04:22 UT (Fig. 3b and solid cyan lines in Fig. 3d) along the paths of the two separating cusps (the two dashed cyan lines in Fig. 3d). We suggest that these structures are the new loops ejected from the reconnection region, and the two cusps are the subsequently heated thermal sources. The initial outflow velocities \(V_{\text{out}}\) range from \(\sim 90\) to \(\sim 440\) km s\(^{-1}\). If we neglect the projection effect and assume\(^{14}\) the measured outflow velocity is approximately the local Alfvén velocity \(V_A\), we estimate that the reconnection rate, \(M_A = \frac{V_{\text{out}}}{V_A} \approx \frac{V_{\text{out}}}{V_{\text{in}}}\), varies from \(\sim 0.05\) to 0.5 (Fig. 3e).

The event also revealed other new features of inflows. Some inflow loops originated far from the reconnection site (up to \(29,000\) km). The inflow loops, visible in different AIA channels, covered a wide range of temperatures from \(\sim 0.05\) to 2 MK. This means that the plasma across the inflow field had different physical states. Compared with the steady, uniform case, the variable inflowing plasma could result in a variable heating rate and may help explain spikes observed in hard X-ray light curves during the impulsive phase of most flares. The highly curved inflow loops expanded as they approached the reconnection site. Detailed flow velocity maps (Fig. 4b) derived from the Fourier local correlation tracking\(^{26}\) method quantify this expansion. The diverging inflows, together with the signature of piling-up loops (white arrows in Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. S2) and the high reconnection rates, are suggestive of flux-pile-up reconnection\(^2\) rather than the classic Petschek-like reconnection\(^2\). The inflows were apparently asymmetric, assuming that inflow velocity vectors in both inflow regions are in the same plane. For example, the apparent inflow velocities at 04:20–21 UT were \(\sim 50\) km s\(^{-1}\) from the north and \(\sim 20\) km s\(^{-1}\) from the south. As the reconnection process consumes the same amount of opposite magnetic fluxes from the two inflow regions, one would expect that a weaker field requires a faster inflow speed. Then the region to the south would thus have a weaker field.

The inflow of magnetic loops is not a feature of the standard flare arcade reconnection model, in which loops within a linear
Figure 3 | Time profiles of plasma inflow, plasma outflow and X-ray flux. a, Time–distance plots (stack plots) showing (from the top) the time history of the intensity along curve C1 (the second image of Fig. 1c) at 171, 193, 211 and 304 Å. They are obtained from running ratio difference images relative to the images taken 24 s earlier. The stack plots taken from the original images of these channels are shown in Supplementary Fig. S2. The white arrow indicates the signature of piled-up loops. b, The same as in a but for curve C2 at 131 Å. c, Flare light curves in RHESSI X-ray counts (3–6, 6–12 and 12–25 keV bands, left axis, colour-coded curves) and GOES X-ray fluxes (1–8 Å, right axis, black curves). d, Signatures of inflow (orange) and outflow (cyan) indicated in the stack plots shown above. The grey curve shows the time derivative (times 10¹⁰) of GOES 1–8 Å flux as a proxy of the flare heating rate. The two dashed cyan lines show the locations of the two cusps with time. e, Solid colour curves and plus signs show the calculated plasma inflow (orange) and outflow (cyan) velocities (left scale) as a function of time. Plus signs indicate that only a single velocity value was obtained. The black solid line shows the estimated reconnection rate \( \dot{M}_A = \frac{V_{in}}{V_A} \approx \frac{V_{in}}{V_{out}} \) as a function of time. All plots start on 17 August 2011 at 04:00:00.

Figure 4 | Plasma flows and plasma heating in the reconnection region. This figure shows the curved, expanding inflow loops and the heated plasma observed in X-rays. It supports the idea that plasma in the outflow regions is heated during flux retraction. The area covered by these plots is indicated by the white rectangle in Fig. 1a and is rotated by 114° to match Fig. 2. a, Red, green and blue represent enhanced emission in the AIA 171, 193 and 131 Å images, respectively, relative to images taken 48 s earlier. b, RHESSI 4-10 keV X-ray images with the colour code shown in the lower right expressed as the percentage of the peak intensity in each image. White contours in the fourth image show 10–20 keV X-ray intensity at 10, 20, 40, 60 and 90% of the peak value. The coloured arrows indicate the flow velocity vectors from 10 to 80 km s⁻¹ derived using the Fourier local correlation tracking method and a pair of AIA images taken 24 s apart in each of the same three channels. See also Supplementary Movie 5.
arcade reconnect with each other. This inflow\textsuperscript{13} and the lateral development of the flare arcade are intrinsically three-dimensional phenomena\textsuperscript{35}. Realistic three-dimensional simulations are required to understand the coronal magnetic reconnection and energy release processes observed here.
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