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Abstract

Winter mortality is a major factor regulating population size of the mountain pine beetle, *Dendroctonus ponderosae* Hopkins (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Glycerol is the major cryoprotectant in this freeze intolerant insect. We report findings from a gene expression study on an overwintering mountain pine beetle population over the course of 35 weeks. mRNA transcript levels suggest glycerol production in the mountain pine beetle occurs through glycogenolytic, gluconeogenic and potentially glyceroneogenic pathways, but not from
metabolism of lipids. A two-week lag period between fall glycogen phosphorylase transcript and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase transcript up-regulation suggests that gluconeogenesis serves as a secondary glycerol-production process, subsequent to exhaustion of the primary glycogenolytic source. These results provide a first look at the details of seasonal gene expression related to the production of glycerol in the mountain pine beetle.

**Abbreviations**

6-PGL, 6-phosphoglucolactonase; ADH, alcohol dehydrogenase; CS, citrate synthase; DHAP, dihydroxyacetone phosphate; FBP, fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase; G6PDH, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase; GAP, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate; G3PDH, glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; GP, glycogen phosphorylase; GS, glycogen synthase; PEPCK, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase; PK, pyruvate kinase; PPP, pentose phosphate pathway; TAGL, triacylglycerol lipase; TPI, triosephosphate isomerase

**Introduction**

Winter cold temperatures are often cited as the largest single source of mortality in the mountain pine beetle, *Dendroctonus ponderosae* Hopkins (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) (Safranyik 1978; Cole 1981; Safranyik and Carroll, 2002; Stahl *et al.*, 2006; Aukema *et al.*, 2008). While fall and winter temperatures regularly reach far below the equilibrium freezing point of mountain
pine beetle bodily fluids, larvae are able to avoid the damaging effects of ice formation. The phenomenon of quiescence (Powell and Logan, 2005) grants overwintering mountain pine beetle larvae the ability to reallocate limited energy reserves from developmental and basal metabolism toward biosynthesis of antifreeze compounds (Li et al., 2002; Joanisse and Storey, 1994b).

Freeze avoidant insects, like the mountain pine beetle, evade cold mortality by producing cryoprotectants, often polyols, that alter the freezing properties of their bodily fluids (Bale, 2002; Baust, 1983). Glycerol is the most common cryoprotectant used by insects to achieve states of freeze avoidance (Storey, 2004). While little work has been done to illuminate mechanisms by which the mountain pine beetle achieves a state of cold tolerance, Bentz and Mullins (1999) assessed the composition and seasonal quantity of polyols in mountain pine beetle hemolymph and showed that glycerol is the most abundant cryoprotectant accumulated by overwintering larvae. The metabolic pathways that lead to glycerol production in insects and other animals are well understood (Figure 1).

The precise mechanisms by which mountain pine beetle larvae produce glycerol and the timing of production are unknown. Because glycerol is important in cold tolerance physiology in the mountain pine beetle, a better understanding of the dynamics of gene expression related to glycerol biosynthesis is of significant ecological importance, particularly as the insect moves into a new, and colder, habitat (Cullingham et al., 2011; Janes et al., 2014).
The objective of this study was to document seasonal gene expression differences in the mountain pine beetle for genes associated with glycerol production by assessing changes in transcript levels for genes in that biosynthetic pathway.

**Materials and methods**

*Sample and Temperature Collection*

Eleven lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*) trees that had been attacked by mountain pine beetles in the previous summer – located west of Tête Jaune Cache, British Columbia, Canada (N53° 3’ 35.28” W119° 36’ 52.74”’) – were sampled in 2008. Three temperature data loggers (iButton® data loggers; Maxim, Sunnyvale, CA, U.S.) were affixed to each tree – at the base of the tree, at breast height on the north side of the tree, and at breast height on the south side of the tree. Temperature data were recorded every 30 minutes throughout the study period. Due to technical difficulties, seventeen hours of temperature data were lost between 9:36 a.m., 1 April, 2009 and 2:36 a.m., 2 April, 2009. Daily minimum, mean and maximum temperatures for 1 April, 2009 were estimated by averaging daily minimum, mean and maximum temperatures for 31 March, 2009 and 2 April, 2009.

Multiple live mountain pine beetle larvae of mixed instar and undetermined sex were manually removed from trees on the following dates: 19 September, 2008, 3 October, 2008, 17 October, 2008, 31 October, 2008, 14 November, 2008, 18 March, 2009, 1 April, 2009, 14 April, 2009, 29 April, 2009 and 13 May,
2009. Larvae were individually deposited in 1.5mL microcentrifuge tubes, immediately flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and were transported back to UNBC laboratory facilities on dry ice where they were immediately stored at –80°C until RNA extractions were conducted.

**RNA Isolation and cDNA Synthesis**

Larval samples were incubated for 18 hours in RNAlater®-ICE (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) at –20°C and completely homogenized by use of a GeneoGrinder 2000 (SpexCertiprep, Metuchen, NJ, USA). RNA extractions were conducted with MagMax™-96 Kits (Ambion, USA) containing a DNase digestion step. RNA concentration was acquired by use of a Qubit Quantification System (Invitrogen, USA). Estimates of sample purity were obtained by use of a Nanodrop ND-1000 (Nanodrop Technologies, Inc., USA), with sample 260/280 ratios ranging from 1.8-2.2. Sample integrity was assessed via Experion StdSens (BioRad, USA) microfluidics chips. RNA aliquots were assessed for genomic DNA contamination via RT-qPCR, ensuring a minimum difference of 5 C\textsubscript{q} between RNA and cDNA runs for the same biological sample (Nolan et al., 2006). RNA samples were stored at –80°C until reverse transcription reactions were conducted. Sample cDNA was produced from 800ng of total RNA using random decamers and the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transciption Kit (Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA, USA) in a total reaction volume of 40µL following the manufacturer’s protocol.
RT-qPCR Target Oligonucleotide Information and Protocol

Candidate gene sequences used for the identification of transcripts and proteins of glycerol biosynthesis were identified from previously developed mountain pine beetle EST and full-length cDNA databases (Keeling et al., 2012). We investigated differential transcript accumulation for the following mountain pine beetle gene sequences: pyruvate kinase (PK, BT127907), glycogen phosphorylase (GP, APGK01006417), citrate synthase (CS, APGK01047658), 6-phosphoglucolactonase (6-PGL, BT128455), glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH, APGK01024792), glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (G3PDH, BT128609), fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (FBP, BT128229), alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH, BT127435), triosephosphate isomerase (TPI, BT127767), phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK, BT127980), glycogen synthase (GS, APGK01035513) and triacylglycerol lipase (TAGL, BT127387). Primer sequences and gene-specific properties are shown in Table 1. Hydrolosis probes were designed for each of the genes of interest and used TAMARA, ROX or FAM fluorophores (Table 2).

All reactions were conducted on an iQ5 (Biorad) real-time quantitative PCR machine and consisted of a denaturation step at 95°C for 3 minutes followed by 40 cycles of 10 seconds at 95°C and 30 seconds at each gene of interest’s specific annealing temperature (Table 1). cDNA for each sample was diluted 1:9 with nuclease-free water and was run in duplicate. Reaction volumes consisting of 25µL total volume consisting of the following component volumes were conducted: 2.5µL forward primer (9µM), 2.5µL reverse primer (9µM), 2.5µL
Probe (2.5μM), 2.5μL cDNA template, 2.5μL nuclease-free water and 12.5μL iQ Supermix (2X; Biorad).

Data Analysis

Transcript accumulation normalization for each biological replicate was achieved from a normalization factor made up of: RNA polymerase II (RPII, BT126845), porphobilinogen deaminase (PBD, GAFW01009520), actin (ACT, BT126695), tyrosine 3-monoxygenase (YWHAZ, BT128603) reference gene transcript accumulation data. These four reference genes were determined by geNorm analysis (Vandesompele et al., 2002) to be most appropriate for transcript accumulation normalization consisting of biological replicates from all treatment groups. For statistical analysis of each gene of interest, logarithmically transformed normalized mRNA expression data for biological replicates were analyzed in R (Version 2.9.2). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) assumptions of homoscedasticity (Levene’s test) and normality (histograms, quantile-quantile plots, the Shapiro-Francia normality test) were assessed for mRNA expression data. One-way ANOVAs were conducted for mRNA expression data followed by Tukey’s HSD post-hoc test for pair-wise multiple comparisons. For graphical analyses, data normalization was performed as in Willems et al., (2008).

Geometric mean fold changes for each treatment were set relative to 19 September, 2008 mean mRNA expression level. Relative fold changes and their respective 95% confidence intervals were plotted in Microsoft Excel.
Results and discussion

RT-qPCR target gene expression results

In the fall (collection dates between September and November), statistically significant increases in transcript levels were observed for GP, PEPCK, FBP, GS, TPI, and G3PDH (Figure 2). In the spring (collection dates between March and May), statistically significant decreases in transcript levels were observed for GP, PEPCK, FBP, GS, TPI and G3PDH (Figure 3). TAGL, ADH, 6-PGL, G6PDH, PK and CS transcript levels did not exhibit differential accumulation during either the fall or the spring study periods (data not shown). When compared and contracted to proteomic data available for the same sample population, our results mirror quite closely (Bonnett et al., 2012).

Transcript accumulation indicates that glycerol biosynthesis involves both glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis

One of the predominant carbohydrate energy reserves used by overwintering insects is glycogen (Li et al., 2002; Han and Bauce, 1997; Klowden, 2002), whereas the most common lipid store comes in the form of triglycerides (Klowden, 2002). Because they do not feed during the winter (Régnière and Bentz, 2007; personal observations), mountain pine beetle larvae are in a state of near-starvation and must efficiently allocate limited energetic stores between maintaining basal metabolic levels and producing cryoprotectants, mainly glycerol (Bentz and Mullins, 1999). Measures of how overwintering metabolic rates vary and which energetic substrates are
consumed in the production of glycerol have yet to be obtained for the mountain pine beetle. As both glycogen and triglycerides have the potential to be converted into glycerol (Figure 1), genes involved in both glycogenolysis (GP) and lipolysis (TAGL) were selected for investigation.

There was no significant differential transcript accumulation for TAGL in either fall or spring study periods. This result does not support the hypotheses that glycerol is produced from the metabolism of triglycerides, and that triglycerides are used as an energy source for the mountain pine beetle post-quiescence. This finding is contrary to that of a study of the closely related pine engraver Ips pini Say (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) (Lombardero et al., 2000) which indicated that lipids were the source of overwintering glycerol metabolism. Mixed evidence has been generated from similar metabolite assays conducted within the goldenrod gall fly (Eurosta solidaginis). Early observations found a concomitant decline in lipid content and an increase in glycerol accumulation (Morrissey and Baust, 1976), but subsequent studies observed stable overwintering lipid levels for this same insect species (Storey and Storey, 1986), which mirrors our findings for TAGL expression. Furthermore, seasonal proteomic data obtained from the same population of mountain pine beetles sampled for this study (Bonnett et al., 2012), specifically results for the LSD1 protein – responsible for activating triglyceride breakdown – added additional support our TAGL findings.

During the fall study period a statistically significant increase in GP transcript accumulation from 19 September to 17 October was observed and
corresponded to a substantial decrease in temperature. Conversely, during the spring study period, a statistically significant decrease in GP transcript levels occurred from 18 March to 1 April and corresponded with a substantial increase in temperature. The opposing trends observed between GP transcript accumulation and temperature in both the fall (Figure 2A) and the following spring (Figure 3A) support the hypothesis that glycerol production is the result of the metabolism of glycogen in the mountain pine beetle. This conclusion is similar to the findings of numerous other studies of glycerol accumulation in overwintering insects, including: metabolite assays observing glycogen depletion (Storey et al., 1981a; Storey and Storey, 1986; Pullin and Bale, 1989; Churchill and Storey, 1989; Han and Bauce, 1998; Li et al., 2002); increased glycogenolytic enzyme activities (Storey and Storey, 1981b; Joanisse and Storey, 1994a; Joanisse and Storey, 1995; Clow et al., 2008); and increased glycogenolytic gene transcript accumulation (Richards et al., 2010). Transcript accumulation data reported for GP herein was found to be consistent with the proteomic findings of Bonnett et al. (2012).

In the fall study period a statistically significant increase in PEPCK transcript accumulation from 3 to 17 October was observed and corresponded with a substantial decrease in temperature. Conversely, in the spring study period a statistically significant decrease in PEPCK transcript accumulation occurred from 1 April to 14 April and corresponded with a substantial increase in temperature. The opposite trends observed between temperature and PEPCK transcript accumulation for both the fall (Figure 2B), and spring (Figure 3B) study
periods supports the hypothesis that, in addition to glycogenolysis, gluconeogenesis contributes to the production of overwintering glycerol in mountain pine beetle larvae. This hypothesis is further supported by proteomic findings for overwintering mountain pine beetles from the same population (Bonnett et al., 2012).

A two-week lag period between fall GP and PEPCK transcript up-regulation suggests that gluconeogenesis could serve as a secondary source for glycerol production subsequent to the potential exhaustion of the primary glycogenolytic source; a successive “one-two” punch of glycerol production. Further pieces of supporting evidence include the comparative intensities at which GP mRNA and PEPCK mRNA up-regulation occur. Between 3 and 17 October, GP relative fold-change values increased more than 282%. Over this same period of time, PEPCK relative fold-change values increased by 919%. Where GP mRNA up-regulation appears to be quite gradual over a four-week period, PEPCK mRNA up-regulation displays a much larger fold-change increase over a shorter (two week) period of time.

Increased PEPCK activity has been reported in cultured hepatocytes from fasting mammals (Azzout et al., 1986) and fasting mammalian liver tissues (Hagopian et al., 2008). Gluconeogenesis has been observed in other species of insects post-fasting (Zhou et al., 2004) and it is possible that increased PEPCK transcript accumulation in the mountain pine beetle larvae is induced by experiencing near-starvation during the winter in conjunction with, or even in spite of, declining fall temperatures.
Further supporting the hypothesis that gluconeogenesis is induced in overwintering mountain pine beetle larvae are the seasonal FBP transcript accumulation results (Figure 2C and 3C). FBP catalyzes reactions downstream of a mechanism branch point that can route carbon produced from the catabolism of amino acids to glycerol production (Figure 1). The FBP seasonal transcript accumulation profile produced for mountain pine beetle larvae suggests that, in addition to glycerol production, gluconeogenesis may produce additional glucose as well. In a similar way, in addition to producing glycerol, cold treatment of rainbow smelt hepatocytes also produced glucose (Clow et al., 2008).

Transcript accumulation dynamics indicate that glycerol is not converted to glycogen by glycogenesis

We observed the transcript levels of genes associated with glycerol consumption by mountain pine beetles after spring temperatures had increased and cryoprotection was no longer essential. Our results indicate that a negative relationship exists between spring glycogen synthase (GS) transcript accumulation and temperature, a finding similarly observed in proteomic data from the mountain pine beetle (Bonnett et al., 2012). These results fail to support the hypothesis that glycerol is converted to glycogen in the spring (Figure 2D). We did not expect to observe similar GS transcript profile and GP transcript accumulation profiles, as these two enzymes catalyze competing glycogenolytic and glycogenic reactions (Figure 3D).
Transcript accumulation dynamics indicate that glycerol is metabolized from a DHAP intermediate and by glyceroneogenesis

Within arthropods there has been much debate about from which intermediary substrates glycerol is produced (Storey, 1997). Consensus has centered around two triose-phosphate substrates, both produced in the process of glycogenolysis: dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP) and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP) (Figure 1).

Findings from in vivo studies comparing enzyme activity levels for G3PDH and G3Pase versus GAPase and ADH in the Asiatic rice borer (Li et al., 2002) and the goldenrod gall fly (Joanisse and Storey, 1994a) support the metabolism of GAP, versus DHAP, as a glycerol intermediate. Accumulation of G3P during the cessation of glycerol synthesis (Storey et al., 1981a) and increased transcript accumulation of G3PDH both prior and during glycerol production (Liebsher et al., 2006; Richards et al., 2010) present the metabolism of DHAP as plausible source of glycerol synthesis.

While no differential transcript accumulation was observed in the fall for ADH transcript (data not shown), a seasonal decline in transcripts was detected for TPI (Figure 2E) and G3PDH (Figure 2F). These results support the hypothesis that glycerol is metabolized from a DHAP intermediate rather than from a GAP intermediate in the mountain pine beetle.

Glyceroneogenesis is an abbreviated form of gluconeogenesis that leads from the catabolism of amino acid precursors to produce G3P (Hanson and
Reshef, 2003). Shown previously to be important in fat metabolism in other insects (Okamura et al., 2007), glyceroneogenesis, like gluconeogenesis, is highly regulated by the transcript accumulation of PEPCK. Fold-change increases for PEPCK mRNA in mountain pine beetle larvae during periods of cold exposure were far greater than any other gene investigated within this study, reaching a high of 58.64-fold 18 March. This increased PEPCK transcript accumulation is consistent with patterns expected during periods of cold-induced glyceroneogenesis.

As was indicated from the aforementioned GS transcript accumulation analysis (Figure 3D), when spring temperatures increase and cryoprotectant reserves are no longer essential to maintain, it is likely that the larvae do not reconver glycerol into glycogen. Others have hypothesized that glycerol is metabolized through the citric acid cycle (Storey and Storey, 1986; Joanisse and Storey, 1994a). We did not observe mRNA up-regulation during the spring study period for CS (an enzyme involved in the citric acid cycle); PK (a required glycolytic enzyme); or enzymes from either of the two possible glycerol producing pathways (ADH, G3PDH, and TPI) which catalyze reversible glycerol metabolizing reactions. Failure to observe mRNA transcript accumulation for these genes supports the hypothesis that glycerol is metabolized by means other than via citric acid cycle.

Transcript accumulation dynamics indicate that glycerol production does not involve the pentose phosphate pathway
Insect glycerol production involves a flux between oxidizing (i.e. NAD$^+$ or NADP$^+$) and reducing (i.e. NADH or NADPH) equivalents (Meyer, 1978; Wood and Nordin, 1980; Tsumuki et al., 1987; Storey and Storey, 1990). However differential transcript accumulation for two key PPP enzymes – 6PGL and G6PDH – was not observed in mountain pine beetle larvae during the time course of our study. While our results do not support the hypothesis that the PPP is involved in the production of glycerol in the mountain pine beetle, regulation of enzyme function could instead be accomplished at the protein activity control level as observed in other insect species (Joanisse and Storey, 1995; Kostal et al., 2004) or through other means (e.g., Li et al., 2002; Joanisse and Storey, 1994a; Joanisse and Storey, 1995).

Conclusion

Differential transcript accumulation of important glycerol biosynthetic pathway genes in overwintering mountain pine beetle larvae support the hypothesis of glycerol production through glycogenolytic, gluconeogenic, and potentially glyceroneogenic pathways, but not through lipolytic means. Aerobic metabolism, as indicated by activity within the citric acid cycle, seems to remain constant during periods of increased glycerol production. The PPP appears to be potentially uninvolved with glycerol production, and an alternative source for reducing equivalents may exist. Transcript accumulation results for TPI and G3PDH along constant expression results for ADH support the hypothesis that glycerol is produced from a DHAP, versus a GAP, intermediate. Glycogenesis
does not appear to occur in the spring when glycerol is no longer needed as a cryoprotectant for larvae. Our gene transcript results closely mirror quite to proteomic data produced from the same sample population (Bonnett et al., 2012). This study, and the recently sequenced MPB genome (Keeling et al., 2013), provide a foundation for subsequent metabolite investigation, which can further elucidate thermal cues, and levels of regulation other than transcriptional, of seasonal production of glycerol in larval mountain pine beetles.
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Figure 1 – The processes of glycogenesis and glycogenolysis involve the following enzymes: glycogen phosphorylase (GP); triosephosphate isomerase (TPI); glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (G3PDH); alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH); glycogen synthase (GS). The metabolism of lipids, specifically triglycerides, produces glycerol and occurs via triacylglycerol lipase (TAGL). Reducing equivalents required in the production of glycerol can be produced via the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP), involving the enzymes 6-phosphogluconolactonase (6-PGL) and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH). The enzyme citrate synthase (CS) is involved in the citric acid cycle (CAC) and gluconeogenic enzymes include phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK) and fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (FBP). Pyruvate kinase (PK) is an enzyme present within the lower-half of glycolysis. The following substrates are involved in the above processes: glucose-1-phosphate (G1P), glucose-6-phosphate (G6P), β-glucose-6-phosphate (β-G6P), glucono-1,5-lactone 6-phosphate (G-1,5-6P), fructose-6-phosphate (F6P), fructose-1,6-bisphosphatate (F16P), dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP), glycerol-3-phosphate (G3P), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP), glyceraldehyde (GA), phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) and oxaloacetate (OAA).
Figure 2 - Geometric mean fold change in mountain pine beetle transcript accumulation relative to 19 September, 2008 and corresponding daily seasonal thermal data at the larval collection site for the fall 2008 study period. Gene transcript accumulation values were obtained from 4-8 larval biological replicates, collected from eleven separate lodgepole pine trees, with 95% confidence intervals being displayed. One-way ANOVA were conducted for transcript accumulation data followed by Tukey’s HSD post-hoc test for pair-wise multiple comparisons. Means found to be statistically different (p<0.05) are denoted with different lowercase letters.

Figure 3 - Geometric mean fold change in mountain pine beetle transcript accumulation relative to 19 September, 2008 and corresponding daily seasonal thermal data at the larval collection site for the spring 2009 study period. Gene transcript accumulation values were obtained from 4-8 larval biological replicates, collected from eleven separate lodgepole pine trees, with 95% confidence intervals being displayed. One-way ANOVA were conducted for transcript accumulation data followed by Tukey’s HSD post-hoc test for pair-wise multiple comparisons. Means found to be statistically different (p<0.05) are denoted with different lowercase letters.
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Table 1. Sequences and properties of primers used in evaluation of twelve genes of interest employed to investigate seasonal cold tolerance in *D. ponderosae*.

| Reference Gene | Sequence (5'-3') | [Primer] nM | % GC | T<sub>A</sub> | Ampli con Size (bp) | DLR | E | R<sup>2</sup> |
|----------------|------------------|-------------|------|-------------|---------------------|-----|---|--------|
| PK Pyruvate kinase | CTTATCCTTTGGCTATTGC | 600 | 41.6 | 62 | 123 | 24pg – 75ng | 91 | 0.996 |
| | TTTGG | | | | | | | |
| | ATCTGTTGGTCAGCTTAATA | 300 | 40 | 62 | | | | |
| | GTATCG | | | | | | | |
| GP Glycogen phosphorylase | TGGATCAAATGCAGAACG | 600 | 43.4 | 63 | 107 | 5pg – 75ng | 94 | 0.999 |
| | GATTC | | | | | | | |
| | GTAATCGGCCAGCAAGAA | 600 | 50.0 | 63 | | | | |
| | GAAC | | | | | | | |
| CS Citrate Synthase | GACTTCGATTGTGACGA | 600 | 47.8 | 63 | 142 | 12pg – | 93 | 0.999 |
| | GAGAG | | | | | | | |
| | CAGACGTATGGAGGCAA | 300 | 50 | 63 | | | | |
| | CATC | | | | | | | |
| 6-PGL 6-phosphoglucolactonase | CCGATTGATCTACTGCT | 600 | 50 | 53 | 108 | 12pg – | 98 | 0.997 |
| | GCTG | | | | | | | |
| | GTGATTGGAGCCACCAT | 900 | 52.3 | 53 | | | | |
| | TTG | | | | | | | |
| G6PDH Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase | GCAGAAGTAAAGAATTCAG | 900 | 40 | 62 | 137 | 5pg – | 100 | 0.995 |
| | TTTGAGG | | | | | | | |
| | GCCATACCAAGGATTTTC | 900 | 52.3 | 62 | | | | |
| | ACC | | | | | | | |
| G3PDH Glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase | TGTTCTGCAGAACCCACCA | 900 | 47.6 | 53 | 126 | 24pg – | 95 | 0.999 |
| | TTG | | | | | | | |
| | CGCGGAAACTTCCACAG | 300 | 61.1 | 53 | | | | |
| FBP Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase | CACAGCTACGGAGAACT | 300 | 57.1 | 63 | 139 | 24pg – | 96 | 0.999 |
| | CAC | | | | | | | |
| | CACTTCTCGCCCTGTA | 900 | 47.8 | 63 | | | | |
| | ATTTG | | | | | | | |
| Reference Gene       | Sequence (5'→3')                                      | [Primer] | % GC | T<sub>a</sub> | Ampli con Size (bp) | DLR | E    | R<sup>2</sup> |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------|------|--------------|----------------------|-----|------|------------|
| ADH                  | ATCCTCTACACGGCGGTT                                     | 900      | 55   | 63           | 147                  | 12pg–75ng | 90 | 0.996     |
|                      | TG                                                    |          |      | .3           |                      |     |      |            |
|                      | ATCACCTGGCTTCACACT                                     | 300      | 55   | 63           |                      |     |      |            |
|                      | GG                                                    |          |      | .3           |                      |     |      |            |
| TPI                  | ACGCCCCAGCAAGCTCA                                      | 900      | 66.6 | 63           | 106                  | 24pg–75ng | 96 | 0.998     |
|                      | G                                                     |          |      | .3           |                      |     |      |            |
|                      | CCGAACCGCGTATTGGA                                      | 900      | 57.1 | 63           |                      |     |      |            |
|                      | TTC                                                   |          |      | .3           |                      |     |      |            |
| PEPCK                | GCCATCGAACTCAGCTAC                                      | 600      | 57.1 | 63           | 129                  | 5pg–100ng | 100 | 0.995     |
|                      | TCC                                                   |          |      | .0           |                      |     |      |            |
|                      | GGTGCCGACCCAGGTGGA                                     | 300      | 72.2 | 63           |                      |     |      |            |
|                      | G                                                     |          |      | .0           |                      |     |      |            |
| GS                   | GAACGACCCGGTGCTCA                                      | 300      | 66.6 | 57           | 125                  | 24pg–92ng | 92 | 0.996     |
|                      | G                                                     |          |      | .8           |                      |     |      |            |
|                      | CGTAGTCCAGCCGAGA                                      | 600      | 63.1 | 57           |                      |     |      |            |
|                      | AG                                                    |          |      | .8           |                      |     |      |            |
| TAGL                 | TCTACGCTGATACCTCTCA                                     | 300      | 44   | 63           | 100                  | 24pg–92ng | 92 | 0.999     |
|                      | GAATCG                                                |          |      | .0           |                      |     |      |            |
|                      | GTGTCTCTGGTAGCACGAG                                    | 600      | 50   | 63           |                      |     |      |            |
|                      | AATC                                                  |          |      | .0           |                      |     |      |            |
Table 2. Sequences of hydrolysis probes used in evaluation of twelve genes of interest employed to investigate seasonal cold tolerance in *D. ponderosae*.

| Gene      | Probe sequence (5'-3')                                      |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| **PK**    | 56-TAMN-CAGCAGATCCTCCGCTTCCAACAA-3BHQ_2                   |
| **GP**    | 56-ROXN-CAGCCCAAGCAATCCAGACGAGTTC-3BHQ_2                   |
| **CS**    | 56-FAM-CCACAGCAACGAATAACACCACCA-3BHQ_1                    |
| **6-PGL** | 56-TAMN-ACACCTGCTCTCTGGTTTCCTTGACA-3BHQ_2                 |
| **G6PDH** | 56-ROXN-AGCCTCGCCTGTTGAACCTAATC-3BHQ_2                    |
| **G3PDH** | 56-TAMN-TCACTGCTCCACCACCACACTCG-3BHQ_2                    |
| **FBP**   | 56-ROXN-AGCTACTCAATGCCATCCAGACTGC-3BHQ_2                  |
| **ADH**   | 56-FAM-TCCAACACTCTCGAACCACACTACGC-3BHQ_1                  |
| **TPI**   | 56-TAMN-AAGTCCATCAGTCCGCTACGCAGTG-3BHQ_2                  |
| **PEPCK** | 56-ROXN-TTGACGAACCTCCTCGCTTTGAC-3BHQ_2                    |
| **GS**    | 56-TAMN-TCTTCAACACCGGAGGACCG-3BHQ_2                       |
| **TAGL**  | 56-ROXN-ACCCAAATAAGAGCCAGTGACA-3BHQ_2                     |