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The significance of photon addition in engineering the single- and two-mode (bipartite correlations) nonclassical properties of a quantum state is investigated. Specifically, the behavior of the Wigner function of two quasi-Werner states constructed by superposing two normalized bipartite m-photon added coherent states are analyzed. This allows the authors' to quantify the nonclassicality present in the quantum states using Wigner logarithmic negativity (WLN), while quantum correlations are measured using concurrence, entanglement of formation, and quantum discord. The WLN for a two-mode state corresponds to the sum of the single-mode nonclassicality and quantum correlations, and both of these are observed to enhance with photon addition manifesting the efficacy of photon addition in the entanglement distillation. Usefulness of photon addition is further established by showing that the performance of the quasi-Werner states as quantum channel for the teleportation of single-mode coherent and squeezed states, as quantified via teleportation fidelity, improves with the photon addition. The non-Gaussian operation is shown effective in stalling the detrimental effects of transmission through noisy channel and/or inefficient detection under realistic scenario. Further, in contrast to a set of existing results, it is established that the negative values of two-mode Wigner function is not a witness of quantum correlation.

1. Introduction

In 1935, the concept of quantum correlation, namely quantum entanglement, was introduced by Einstein et al.[1] and Schrödinger et al.[2] Since then entangled states have been established as a promising candidate for many applications in quantum information technology.[3–5] It is also found useful to improve our understanding of various foundational issues, ranging from the possibility of detecting graviton[6] to the black hole information paradox.[7] Moreover, the rapid development in quantum computation and communication has rendered further interest in the production and investigation of entangled resources. In such a context, a large number of theoretical as well as experimental schemes have been proposed for generating the entangled states based on photonic[8,9] and atomic[10,11] qubits as well as qudit[12] and infinite dimensional[13,14] states. With the advent of the newer applications of entanglement, it has become extremely important to quantify the amount of entanglement. As a consequence, several measures of entanglement have been proposed. For example, concurrence,[15] entanglement of formation,[16] tangle,[17] and negativity[18] are some widely used measures for quantifying the entanglement exhibited in a bipartite or multipartite quantum state.

Later, Ollivier, and Zurek[19] discovered that entanglement is not the only type of multiparty quantum correlation, and consequently the entanglement measures, like concurrence or entanglement of formation, cannot be considered as a complete measure of quantum correlation. They proposed quantum discord (QD),[20] a measure of quantum correlation, defined as the difference between the quantum versions of two classically equivalent expressions of mutual information. Since QD is based on the total correlation (mutual information), it can predict about quantum correlation in non-separable (entangled) as well as in separable states.[21] An appreciable amount of work related to the theoretical development of QD,[22–24] its dynamical property under the effect of decoherence,[25,26] and its comparison with entanglement[27,28] have been performed over the past 2 decades. The superiority of QD over entanglement in quantifying the quantum correlation and its applicability has kindled more interest in investigating the dynamics of QD in different quantum mechanical systems, like bipartite two-level atomic system interacting with a cavity field,[29,30] quantum dots,[31] spin chains,[32] and in different quantum states, like bipartite Bell diagonal, bipartite X class,[33] continuous variable Werner,[34] non-Gaussian Werner,[35] and multi-partite[36] states. It may be apt to note that a Werner state[37]...
which can be viewed as a statistical mixture of a maximally entangled pure and a maximally mixed states, is often used in the studies of quantum correlations as well as coherence.\(^1^{38}\) This motivated us to use quasi-Werner states to investigate the significance of photon addition (a local non-Gaussian operation) as a quantum state engineering tool to enhance the single- and two-mode (correlations) nonclassical properties of a quantum state. As far as photon addition is concerned, it is already established as a powerful tool for inducing and/or enhancing nonclassicality in single-mode Gaussian and non-Gaussian states (ref.\(^39\) and references therein). Some of these quantum engineered states were used recently for developing quantum technology, for instance, quantum metrology\(^3^{60}\) and cryptography.\(^4^{41}\) Interestingly, photon addition is one of experimentally accessible quantum state engineering tool.\(^1^{24}2^{43}\)

A variety of approaches have been proposed for the generation of nonclassical states in different optical systems, nonlinear optical processes, and cavity QED (refs.\(^4^{44},4^{5}\)) and references therein). Such type of radiation field not only provides a platform for testing fundamental concepts of quantum theory, but also for successful implementations of original quantum tasks, such as quantum teleportation, quantum cryptography, (ref.\(^4^{46}\) and references therein). Interestingly, a state with the Wigner function\(^1^{47}\) taking negative values over some region of the phase space is nonclassical\(^1^{48}\) as they have non-positive Glauber–Sudarshan $P$ function which means that the quantum state cannot be represented as a statistical mixture of coherent states. However, the converse is not necessarily true, that is, there exist states with positive Wigner function which show nonclassical properties, such as squeezed state.

In the recent years, a considerable amount of attention has been devoted to understand the connection between the negative Wigner values and other measures of quantum correlation. It has been diagnosed that nonclassicality can be used as resource of a striking quantum feature, entanglement.\(^4^{49}5^{1}\) A beam splitter is capable of converting nonclassicality of a single mode radiation into bipartite\(^1^{49}\) and multipartite\(^5^{2}\) entanglement. The quantum correlations of two-mode continuous variable separable states are studied recently in ref.\(^5^{3}\), which reported two well-defined measures of quantum correlation, namely QD and local quantum uncertainty. Further, a comparative study of QD and entanglement in quasi-Werner states based on bipartite entangled coherent states $|\alpha,a\rangle \pm |a,-\alpha\rangle$ and $|\alpha,-a\rangle \pm |a,a\rangle$ is also reported.\(^5^{4}\) Such states are found useful in the teleportation of coherent states (see\(^5^{1}\) for a review). Siyouri et al. addressed the pertinence and efficiency of the Wigner function in detecting the presence of quantum correlations.\(^5^{5}\) They considered quantum systems described by Werner states of a superposition of bipartite coherent states and showed that the Werner function is not sensitive to any kind of quantum correlations except entanglement. Here, we set ourselves a task to quantify the role of photon addition in altering quantum correlations present in the quasi-Werner states and in the teleportation of single-mode coherent and squeezed states using them as quantum channels. During this attempt, we also critically analyze the claims made by Siyouri et al.\(^5^{5}\) and establish that the Wigner function of the quasi-Werner state remains negative even in the absence of entanglement unlike claimed by them. We further analyzed the quality of quasi-Werner states as quantum channels for teleportation of coherent and squeezed states. This investigation revealed photon addition as a candidate to stall the detrimental effects of different sources of noise in realistic teleportation.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: Section 2 describes the quantum states of our interest. We have shed some light onto the nature of Wigner distribution and Wigner logarithmic negativity in next two sections. In Section 5, we have compared the behavior of Wigner function and quantum correlations. The performance of the quasi-Werner states in teleporting a single-mode coherent as well as squeezed states are analyzed in Section 6 with specific attention to the role of the photon addition. The paper is concluded in Section 7.

### 2. States of Interest

An appropriate basis for describing many continuous variable quantum systems is a set composed of the so-called coherent states.\(^3^{37}\) These states can easily be generated by applying a unitary operation, that is, displacement operator $D(\alpha)$, to the vacuum state $|0\rangle$ of the quantized field as $|\alpha\rangle = D(\alpha)|0\rangle$. The Fock state representation of a single-mode coherent state is $|\alpha\rangle = e^{-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle$. A photon-added coherent state is obtained by performing a non-unitary operation, creation operator $a^\dagger$, on the coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$. An $m$-photon-added coherent state is defined as\(^5^{8}\)

$$|\alpha, m\rangle = \frac{a^m |\alpha\rangle}{\langle a|a^\dagger a^m |\alpha\rangle^{1/2}}$$

where $m$ is an integer and $L_n(\alpha)$ is the usual Laguerre polynomial of order $m$.\(^5^{9}\)

If the coherent states $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$ are employed on the Hilbert spaces $H_A^k$ and $H_B^l$, respectively, then the two-mode state $|\alpha\rangle |\beta\rangle$ is represented in the tensor product space $H_A^k \otimes H_B^l$. Extending the idea, an $m$-photon-added bipartite coherent state may be defined as

$$|\alpha, \beta, m\rangle = \frac{a^m b^m |\alpha, \beta\rangle}{\langle a^m b^m |a, \beta\rangle^{1/2}}$$

where $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$ are any two coherent states having amplitudes $\alpha$ and $\beta$, respectively. The states $| -\alpha\rangle$ and $| -\beta\rangle$ are $\pi$ radians out of phase with the corresponding coherent states $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$, respectively.

In this paper, we consider two superposed $m$-photon-added bipartite coherent states as

$$|\psi^\pm\rangle = N_\pm [|\alpha, \beta, m\rangle + | -\alpha, -\beta, m\rangle]$$

$$|\psi^-\rangle = N_\pm [|\alpha, \beta, m\rangle - | -\alpha, -\beta, m\rangle]$$

where the normalization factor $N_\pm$ can be computed as

$$N_\pm = \left\{ e^{\frac{|\alpha|^2+|\beta|^2}{2}} L_m (-|\alpha|^2) L_m (-|\beta|^2) \right\}^{1/2}$$

with

$$L_m (x, y) = e^{-x+y} L_m (x) L_m (y)$$
Let us consider another basis formed by the \( m \)-photon-added even and odd coherent states in the following manner:

\[
\begin{align*}
|+\rangle &= n^e_\alpha [\alpha, m] + |-\alpha, m\rangle \\
|-\rangle &= n^e_\alpha [\alpha, m] - |-\alpha, m\rangle \\
|+\rangle &= n^o_\beta [\beta, m] + |-\beta, m\rangle \\
|-\rangle &= n^o_\beta [\beta, m] - |-\beta, m\rangle
\end{align*}
\]

where the normalization constants are given by

\[
n^e_\alpha = \left[ \frac{\rho_{\alpha}^2 e^{i\xi_\alpha} L_m(-|\xi_\alpha|^2)}{2\left\{ e^{i\xi_\alpha} L_m(-|\xi_\alpha|^2) \pm e^{-i\xi_\alpha} L_m(|\xi_\alpha|^2) \right\} } \right]^{1/2}
\]

with \( \xi \in \{ \alpha, \beta \} \). Then the superposed \( m \)-photon-added bipartite coherent states in Equation (3) can be rewritten in terms of the basis Equation (6) as

\[
|\psi^+\rangle = \frac{N_\alpha}{2} \left[ \frac{|+\rangle + |\rangle}{n^e_\alpha n^e_\beta} + |\rangle \right]
\]

\[
|\psi^-\rangle = \frac{N_\alpha}{2} \left[ \frac{|-\rangle + |\rangle}{n^e_\alpha n^e_\beta} + |\rangle \right]
\]

Based on these superposed \( m \)-photon-added bipartite coherent states, two quasi-Werner states\(^{[37]}\) are defined as

\[
\rho(\psi^\pm, a) = (1 - a) \rho_{\alpha} + a |\psi^\pm\rangle \langle \psi^\pm| + \rho_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{4} + a |\psi^\pm\rangle \langle \psi^\pm|
\]

with \( a \) being the mixing parameter ranging from 0 to 1 and \( I \) as an identity matrix corresponding to maximally mixed state. Note that quasi-Werner states are different from continuous variable Werner state in ref. [34]. It is clear that the quantum correlations present in these quasi-Werner states depend on the mixing parameter \( a \), the coherent state amplitudes \( \alpha \), and \( \beta \), as well as the photon excitation number \( m \).

### 3. Wigner Distribution

The nonclassicality of a quantum state \( \rho \) can be studied well in terms of its phase-space distribution characterized by the Wigner function.\(^{[60]}\) The Wigner functions for two quasi-Werner states \( \rho(\psi^\pm, a) \) are obtained as

\[
W_{\pm}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1 - a}{4\pi^2} \left[ \frac{2}{\pi^2 \rho_{\alpha}^2 L_m(|\alpha|^2, |\beta|^2)} \left[ L_m(|z_1|^2) \right. \right.
\]

\[
\left. \left[ L_m(|z_2|^2) \right] e^{2i\alpha z_1^*} e^{-2\beta z_2^*} \right]
\]

\[
+ L_m(|z_1|^2) L_m(|z_2|^2) e^{-2i\alpha z_1^*} e^{2\beta z_2^*}
\]

\[
\pm L_m(z_1 z_2^*) L_m(z_2 z_1^*) e^{2i\alpha z_1^* - 2i\beta z_2^*}
\]

\[
- e^{-2i\lambda m}|z_1|^2 e^{2i\lambda m}|z_2|^2 + \text{c.c.}
\]

where Im[\( u \)] gives the imaginary part of \( u \), c.c. corresponds to the complex conjugate of the rest of the quantity in the curly brackets, and the auxiliary functions \( z_\pm = z_1 \pm \alpha, \beta_\pm = z_2 \pm \beta \) and \( z_\pm = z_1 + \alpha, z_\pm = z_2 + \beta \). A detailed description of obtaining the Wigner function (Equation (10)) is provided in Appendix A.

Using Equation (10), the Wigner functions of quasi-Werner states are illustrated in Figure 1 for different values of \( \alpha, \beta, a \), and \( m \) in the phase-space. It is easy to observe that if no photon is added at the beginning, \( \rho(\psi^+, a) \) shows a Gaussian peak while \( \rho(\psi^-, a) \) has a crater at the center (cf. Figure 1a, b). This implies that for \( m = 0, a = 0.2, \) and \( \beta = 0.1 \), the Wigner function reveals the nonclassical character of \( \rho(\psi^+, a) \), but cannot provide a conclusive witness of nonclassicality for \( \rho(\psi^+, a) \) because negativity of the Wigner function is a clear signature of nonclassicality of the related state, but it is a one-sided condition. If we consider \( \alpha, \beta \) as constant and raise \( m \) from 0 to 2, a number of peaks and troughs of low height are found to be elevated in the surroundings of the central Gaussian peak of \( \rho(\psi^+, a) \) (cf. Figure 1c). This can be attributed to the contribution from higher-orders of the Laguerre polynomial as its zeroth order is unity. In a similar way, for \( \rho(\psi^-, a) \), the crater in the middle is enclosed by a few small peaks as shown in Figure 1d. Furthermore, keeping \( m \) fixed and increasing \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) values, the contribution

---

**Figure 1.** Wigner function of the state \( \rho(\psi^+, a) \) in Column 1 and \( \rho(\psi^-, a) \) in Column 2 with \( a, b) a = 0.2, \beta = 0.1, a = 0.4, m = 0, c,d) a = 0.2, \beta = 0.1, a = 0.4, m = 2, e,f) a = 1.2, \beta = 1.1, a = 0.4, m = 2, g.h) a = 1.2, \beta = 1.1, a = 0.4, m = 4, respectively.
of interference terms dominates over the role of photon addition and thus distribution of \( \rho(\psi^+, a) \) appears similar to that for the same \( a \) and \( \beta \) without photon addition (see Figure 1e). The number of interference patterns in the phase space further increases for the higher number of photons added (see Figure 1g for \( m = 4 \)). The distribution of \( \rho(\psi^-, a) \) also behaves similarly, but the behavior of \( W_\gamma (\text{Re}(z_x), \text{Im}(z_x)) \) remained more relatable to \( -W_\gamma (\text{Re}(z_x), \text{Im}(z_x)) \) (see Figure 1f,h). There is a negative region in both the cases, which is a clear evidence of the nonclassical nature of the associated states. Therefore, the presence of the negative part of the Wigner distribution in almost all the cases illustrates the nonclassical nature of the considered quasi-Werner states.

However, it failed to give us a quantitative analysis of the effect of photon addition on the negativity of the Wigner function in particular and nonclassicality in general.

### 4. Wigner Logarithmic Negativity

A nonclassicality quantifier based on the negative values of the Wigner function, namely Wigner logarithmic negativity, is defined as

\[
\text{WLN} (\rho) = \log \left( \int |W_\gamma (z) | \, d^2z \right)
\]

(11)

where the integration is taken over the whole phase-space \( R^{2n} \) for \( n \) number of modes.

The negativity of the Wigner distribution exposes the nonclassical nature of quasi-Werner states in Figure 1, but the quantitative dependence of nonclassicality on different parameters cannot be predicted from that figure. In Figure 2, we have shown that the Wigner logarithmic negativity of the photon added quasi-Werner state diminishes with the increasing value of the coherent amplitudes \( a \) and \( \beta \) (see in Figure 2a, \( a = 0.2, \beta = 0.1 \) while in Figure 2c, \( a = 1.2, \beta = 1.1 \)) for \( m > 0 \). However, the opposite is observed for \( m = 0 \). This can be observed from the variation of \( \text{WLN} \) with coherent amplitude \( a \) shown in Figure 2c,f. When more photons are added, an enhancement in the amount of nonclassicality is observed for both the quasi-Werner states, \( \rho(\psi^+, a) \) and \( \rho(\psi^-, a) \) though this advantage is not significant for larger values of coherent amplitudes (see Figure 2a,d). Thus, photon addition is an effective tool for enhancement of nonclassicality of quasi-Werner states formulated with weak coherent states.

The thin lines in Figure 2a–d represent the \( \text{WLN} \) of the single mode states corresponding to each subsystem (after tracing out the other subsystem). We can clearly observe that \( \text{WLN} \) for the subsystems is zero for \( m = 0 \) but increases with the photon addition and is always non-zero for all \( m > 0 \). This shows that the photon addition in both the modes of the quasi-Werner states increases local nonclassicality that is, the nonclassicality of the single-mode states obtained by tracing out one of the modes of the quasi-Werner state. Whether photon addition, which is a local operation on a subsystem of two-mode state, can only enhance local nonclassicality or affect quantum correlations in the states as well will be further discussed in the next section. Note that the \( \text{WLN} \) for two-mode state acts like an upper bound for the respective single-mode \( \text{WLN} \) in all the cases as the former constitutes of both single-mode nonclassicality as well as correlations.

### 5. Quantum Correlations

Here, we discuss three measures of quantum correlations—concurrency, entanglement of formation (EOF), and QD—to analyze the effect of photon addition on quantum correlations. In this section, we have used the fact that the considered quasi-Werner states belong to a \( 2 \otimes 2 \) Hilbert space spanned by the \( m \)-photon added even-odd coherent state basis defined in Equation (6). This remains valid only in the absence of losses.

#### 5.1. Concurrence

Concurrence is a widely used measure of entanglement of a composite two-qubit system.\(^{[62]}\) In general, if \( \rho_{XY} \) denotes the density matrix of a bipartite system \( XY \), then concurrence is defined as

\[
C_\rho(XY) = \max \left[ 0, \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - \lambda_4 \right]
\]

(12)

where \( \lambda_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \)) are non-negative real number and the square roots of the eigenvalues of the non-Hermitian matrix \( \rho_{XY}^\dagger \rho_{XY} \) arranged in decreasing order. Also, the spin-flipped density matrix is

\[
\tilde{\rho}_{XY} = (\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y) \rho_{XY}^\dagger (\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y)
\]

(13)
where \( \rho_{XY}^* \) is the complex conjugate of \( \rho_{XY} \), \( \sigma_i \) is the Pauli spin matrix \( i = (0, -1) \).

Using Equation (12) the concurrence of the quasi-Bell states (Equation (8)) can be derived as

\[
C(\rho) = \frac{N_x^2}{2 n_x n_y n_x^* n_y^*} \tag{14}
\]

where \( \rho^2 = |\psi^\pm\rangle\langle\psi^\mp| \). Thus, the concurrence for the quasi-Werner state \( \rho(\psi^\pm, a) \) can be obtained as

\[
C_a = \max \left[ 0, \left( \frac{N_x^2}{2 n_x n_y n_x^* n_y^*} - \frac{1-a}{2} \right) \right] \tag{15}
\]

### 5.2. Entanglement of Formation

The EOF is defined as the average entropy of entanglement of its pure state decomposition, minimized over all such possible decompositions. If \( \rho_{XY} = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i| \) is the density matrix for a pair of quantum systems \( X \) and \( Y \) then EOF is defined as

\[
E(\rho_{XY}) = \min \sum_i p_i E(|\psi_i\rangle) \tag{16}
\]

where \( E(|\psi_i\rangle) \) is the entropy of entanglement. For an arbitrary two-qubit state, this EOF can be presented as\(^{[62]}\)

\[
E(\rho_{XY}) = H\left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - C^2(\rho_{XY})}}{2} \right) \tag{17}
\]

where \( C(\rho_{XY}) \) is concurrence defined in Equation (12) and binary entropy function

\[
H(x) = -x \log_2 x - (1-x) \log_2 (1-x) \tag{18}
\]

Thus, EOF for quasi-Werner states can be easily obtained in terms of concurrence reported in Equation (15).

### 5.3. Quantum Discord

QD\(^{[64,65]}\) is defined as the difference between two classically equivalent expressions for mutual information after extending to the quantum regime. If \( \rho_X \) and \( \rho_Y \) are the marginal states of a bipartite density operator \( \rho_{XY} \) shared by parties \( X \) and \( Y \), the expressions for quantum mutual information are

\[
I(\rho_{XY}) \equiv S(\rho_X) + S(\rho_Y) - S(\rho_{XY}) \tag{19}
\]

\[
J(\rho_{XY}) \equiv S(\rho_X) - S(\rho_{XY} | \rho_Y) \tag{20}
\]

where \( S(\rho_{XY}) \) is the von Neumann entropy of the quantum state \( \rho_{XY} \) and \( S(\rho_{XY} | \rho_Y) \) is the quantum conditional entropy. The quantum versions of these two classically equivalent expressions are not equal. To quantify QD, Ollivier and Zurek\(^{[66]}\) suggested the use of von Neumann type measurements which consist of a set of 1D projectors that sum to the identity operator. Let the projection operators \( \{\Pi^\pm_k\} \equiv \{|\pi_0\rangle\langle\pi_0|, \{|\pi_1\rangle\langle\pi_1|\} \) describe a von Neumann measurement for subsystem \( Y \) only, where \( |\pi_0\rangle = \cos \theta |0\rangle + e^{i\phi} \sin \theta |1\rangle \) and \( |\pi_1\rangle = e^{-i\phi} \sin \theta |0\rangle + \cos \theta |1\rangle \) with \( (ij) = \delta_{ij}, \forall i,j \in \{0,1\} \). The conditional density operator \( \rho_{XY} |\Pi_k^+\rangle \) associated with the measurement result \( k \) would then be

\[
\rho_{XY} |\Pi_k^+\rangle = \frac{1}{p_k} (I \otimes \Pi_k^+) \rho_{XY} (I \otimes \Pi_k^+) \tag{21}
\]

where the probability \( p_k = \text{tr}[(I \otimes \Pi_k^+) \rho_{XY} (I \otimes \Pi_k^+)] \). The quantum conditional entropy with respect to this measurement is given by\(^{[67]}\)

\[
S(\rho_{XY} |\Pi_k^+\rangle) = \sum_k p_k S(\rho_k) \tag{22}
\]

and the quantum mutual information associated with this measurement is given by

\[
J(\rho_{XY}) = S(\rho_X) - \min |\Pi_k^+\rangle S(\rho_{XY} |\Pi_k^+\rangle) \tag{23}
\]

where the minimization is taken over all such possible 1D projectors \( \{\Pi_k^+\} \). QD can then be found by using the Equations (19) and (22) as

\[
QD(\rho_{XY}) = I(\rho_{XY}) - J(\rho_{XY}) \tag{24}
\]

In our case, the quantum states after applying projective measurements \( \Pi_j^+ \forall j \in \{0,1\} \) over party \( Y \) are

\[
\rho_{XY}^j |\Pi_j^+\rangle = \frac{1}{4p_j^+} \left[ \left[ (1-a) + aC_{j}^2 \right] |j\rangle\langle j| + \left[ (1-a) + aS_{1-j}^2 \right] |1-j\rangle\langle 1-j| + \left[ aC_{j}^2 - e^{i\phi} |0\rangle\langle 1| + \text{H.c.} \right] \right] \tag{25}
\]

where H.c. corresponds to Hermitian conjugate terms, \( C_j^\pm = x_j^\pm \cos \theta, \ S_j^\pm = x_j^\pm \sin \theta, \ x^\pm_0 = \frac{N_j}{N_j N_{1-j}}, \text{ and } x^\pm_1 = \frac{N_{1-j}}{N_j N_{1-j}} \). Also, the probabilities are given by

\[
p_j^+ = \frac{1-a}{2} + \frac{a}{4} \left( C_j^2 + S_{1-j}^2 \right), \forall j \in \{0,1\} \tag{26}
\]

Thus, the eigenvalues of the matrix \( \rho_{XY}^j |\Pi_j^+\rangle \) are

\[
\nu_j \text{ and } 1 - \nu_j, \forall j \in \{0,1\} \tag{27}
\]

where \( \nu_j = \frac{a}{N_j} \text{ and } \nu_1 = \frac{a}{N_{1-j}} \). Further, using Equation (19) with Equations (23)–(26), QD for the quasi-Werner state \( \rho(\psi^\pm, a) \) can
of darker color show the variation of same quantities after single photon function without photon addition, respectively. Corresponding thin lines be obtained as 4(\(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\)) and \(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\) for real coherent amplitude \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) and integer \(j\). Notice that for \(\alpha = 0 = m\) the quantum state \(|\psi^+\rangle\) defined in Equation (3) becomes separable as \(N_1|0\rangle(|\beta\rangle + | - \beta\rangle)\), in that situation, the negativity of Wigner and \(P\) function is a signature of single mode nonclassicality, that is, superposition of coherent states. In fact, \(P\) function obtained from Equation (A.9) in this case with \(\alpha = 0 = m, a = 1\) reduces to a factorized form as

\[
P(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} e^{i\xi_1^2 + i\xi_2^2} \delta(\xi_2)(z_1) \left[ \delta(\beta_2) + \delta(\beta_2^*) \right]
\]

Thus, \(P\) function of cat state as \(P\) function of vacuum is a delta function. Thus, the nonclassicality revealed in this case is local nonclassicality. Therefore, there is a parametric space corresponding to separable quantum state and nonnegative Wigner function. Assuming \(p_1 = q_2 = 0\) we can obtain condition for Wigner minima in terms of relation between \(p_2\) and \(\beta\). Thus, we observed that the Wigner function remains negative while entanglement is zero (cf. Figure 3a,b). Notice that for \(m = 0\), WLN for reduced single mode states is zero for state \(|\psi^+\rangle, a\), that is, local nonclassicality is absent (cf. Figure 2a,b). Thus, Wigner function is not a witness of quantum correlation as claimed in refs. [53, 56]. The same fact remains valid for \(m > 0\) (cf. Figure 3), where we can observe that the Wigner minima is reducing due to higher-order Laguerre polynomials which creates some craters and peaks at other phase points at the cost of reduction in the Wigner minima.

6. Teleportation of a Single-Mode State

To investigate the utility of the photon addition in the quasi-Werner states, we use these states here as quantum resources in a specific quantum information protocol, that is, quantum teleportation. Without loss of generality, we may consider \(a = 1\) for this discussion as inclusion of the completely mixed state in the 2 × 2-dimensional basis only reduces the quality of continuous variable quantum teleportation.

6.1. Teleportation of a Coherent State

In this subsection, we consider the teleportation of a single-mode coherent state by using the quasi-Werner states (Equation (9)) as quantum teleportation channels. The teleportation is conducted and optimized using the Braunstein–Kimble protocol. [68] The success probability of teleporting a pure quantum state is described by the teleportation fidelity \(F = \text{tr}(\rho_\text{in} \rho_\text{out})\). In the continuous variable quantum teleportation formalism, the teleportation fidelity can be represented by [69]

\[
F = \frac{1}{\pi} \int d\mu \; \chi_\text{in}(\mu) \chi_\text{out}^\ast(-\mu)
\]

where \(\chi_\text{out}(\mu) = \chi_\text{in}(\mu) \chi_\text{in}^\ast(\mu^\ast, \mu)\) with \(\chi_\text{in}\) and \(\chi_\text{in}^\ast\) being the characteristic functions of the input state to be teleported and the two-mode quantum channel, respectively. The symmetrically ordered
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Figure 3. Comparison of quantum correlations and Wigner function for the state \(|\psi^+\rangle\) in Column 1 and \(|\psi^-\rangle\) in Column 2, for fixed parameter values such as \(q_1 = 0 = p_1 = q_2, p_2 = 0.5\). Variation for \(|\psi^-\rangle\) with respect to a,b) \(a\) with \(a = 0.6\); and c,d) \(a\) with \(a = 0.5\). \(\beta = \pi/4p_2\) and \(\beta = \pi/2p_2\) for \(|\psi^+\rangle\) and \(|\psi^-\rangle\), respectively. The blue solid, red dashed, magenta dot-dashed lines correspond to EOF, QD, and Wigner function without photon addition, respectively. Corresponding thin lines of darker color show the variation of same quantities after single photon addition.

be computed as

\[
\text{QD} = 3a_1 \log_2[a_1] + b_1 \log_2[b_1] - \sum_j \left( a_j \log_2[a_j] - b_j \log_2[b_j] \right)
\]

where \(b_j = a_j + a_i\) and \(a_j = 2a_1 + \frac{a_i^2}{a_j^2}\).

Using expressions Equations (15), (17), and (27), we can quantify the effect of photon addition on quantum correlations in the quasi-Werner states. As EOF is defined in terms of concurrence, it would be sufficient to discuss the former one only to quantify entanglement. In Figure 3, we can clearly observe that both EOF and QD increase with increasing \(a\) and mixing parameter, which is consistent with the behavior of WLN. Further, photon addition has an advantage in the enhancement of quantum correlations (see Figure 3). Thus, photon addition not only increases nonclassicality, it also increases two-mode quantum correlations, namely entanglement and QD. Recently Wigner function is claimed to be useful in revealing quantum entanglement only, but not QD (refs. [53, 56] and other papers by the same group of researchers who authored ref. [56]). The present study allows us to verify the role of Wigner function in detecting quantum entanglement. For the sake of argument, we can choose a point in the phase space and study the quantum correlations for the same set of parameters. There is no prescription for the choice of phase space parameters for the Wigner function in refs. [53, 56]. Minima of the Wigner function in the phase space may be an appropriate choice of parameters. The negative values of Wigner function of quasi-Werner states \(\rho(\psi^+\rangle, a)\) can be attributed to the interference terms. Using this fact, the condition for minima of Wigner function can be obtained as \(4(p_1, a + p_2) = (2j + 1)\pi\) and \(4(p_1, a + p_2) = 2\pi\)
characteristic function of the input coherent state $|\gamma\rangle$ is given by
\begin{equation}
X_{in}(\mu) = e^{\frac{1}{2}|\mu|^2} e^{\mu^* \sum n_{\alpha} \alpha_{n}}.
\end{equation}

The performance of a two-mode entangled quantum channel to successfully transport a single-mode state is measured by computing the teleportation fidelity (Equation (29)). The characteristic functions of the two-mode superposed $m$-photon-added coherent states $|\psi^\pm\rangle$ can be calculated as
\begin{equation}
X_{ch}^{\pm}(z_1, z_2) \approx \text{Tr}[\rho_{ch} D(z_1) D(z_2)]
\end{equation}

Using the identity $(a^\dagger - z)^m = \sum_{p=0}^{m} \binom{m}{p} (a)^p (-z)^{m-p}$, we have found
\begin{equation}
\langle a | a^m D(z_1) a^m | a \rangle = e^{-|\mu|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |z_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |z_2|^2} m! |F_1 (m, m)|^2
\end{equation}

where $F_1$ is the Kummer's hypergeometric function of the first kind.[79] Similarly calculating all the terms in Equation (31) and using $F_{n+1} (a, b, -x) = F_n (b-a, a, x)$ and $L_n^m (\alpha) = \binom{m+n}{m} F_1 (n, m+1, x)$,[71] the characteristic function can be simplified to
\begin{equation}
X_{ch}^{\pm}(z_1, z_2) = e^{-\frac{1}{2} z_1^2 \alpha^* \beta - \frac{1}{2} z_2^2 \beta^* \alpha} e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} z_i^a z_j^b} L_n^m (\alpha^* \beta, \beta^* \alpha) \\
\pm e^{\frac{1}{2} z_1^2 \alpha^* \beta - \frac{1}{2} z_2^2 \beta^* \alpha} e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} z_i^a z_j^b} L_n^m (\alpha^* \alpha, \beta^* \beta).
\end{equation}

Thus, offering the state $|\psi^+\rangle (|\psi^-\rangle)$ as an entangled resource, the teleportation fidelity $F_{coh}^{\pm}$ (F coh ) for transmitting a coherent state can be obtained using Equations (30) and (33) in Equation (29). The teleportation fidelity for teleportation of coherent state is independent of coherent state parameter $\gamma$ and thus it is same as average fidelity, which is obtained from teleportation fidelity by averaging over the input state parameters.[72]

In Figure 4, we numerically investigate the dependence of average fidelity for teleporting an arbitrary single-mode coherent state $|\gamma\rangle$ on the coherent state amplitudes $\alpha$ and $\beta$. The variation of average fidelity $F_{coh}^{\pm}$ with these parameters shows that maximum fidelity can be attained for $\alpha = \beta$. This further shows that the average fidelity depends on the photon excitation number. The increase in the number of photons added causes the enhancement of the average fidelity in both the cases which is consistent with WLN illustrated in Figure 2. For the state $|\psi^+\rangle$, the maximum average fidelity is $F_{max}^{\pm} \approx 0.611$ at $m = 0$ while for $m = 2$, it enhances to $F_{max}^{\pm} \approx 0.768$. This clearly indicates that there is an improvement in the maximum average fidelity with increasing $m$. For the state $|\psi^-\rangle$, when $m = 0$, the maximum average fidelity is $F_{max}^{\pm} \approx 0.361$ which is less than the classical threshold. For larger $m = 2$, $F_{max}^{\pm} \approx 0.565$ crosses the classical bound of teleportation of coherent state $0.573^{74}$ and thus can be treated as a success for continuous variable quantum teleportation according to the Braunstein–Kimble protocol. Thus, this verifies that the non-Gaussian photon addition operation improves the fidelity in both the cases. It can be attributed to the entanglement distillation properties of this non-Gaussian operation.[75] Specifically, the state $|\psi^+\rangle$ is preferable over $|\psi^-\rangle$ as a quantum channel while transporting a coherent state as it results in higher fidelity.

The role of photon addition in enhancement in the performance of teleportation of coherent state with respect to Gaussian channels is worth analysis. Here, we perform a comparative analysis for successful teleportation of a single-mode coherent state $|\gamma\rangle$ from Gaussian resources and the proposed states (Equation (3)). We begin by considering the two-mode entangled non-Gaussian states obtained by operating a squeezing operator on the superposed $m$-photon added bipartite coherent states (Equation (3)).

\begin{equation}
|\eta, \psi^\pm\rangle = S_{12}(\eta)|\psi^\pm\rangle
\end{equation}

where $S_{12}(\eta) = e^{-\eta \alpha^* \beta^* + \alpha \beta}$ is the two-mode squeezing operator with $\eta = re^{i\phi}$. Interestingly, the characteristic functions for the squeezed superposed $m$-photon-added bipartite coherent states $X_{coh}^{\pm}(z_1, z_2)$ are obtained in the same form as Equation (33). Here, the implicit dependence of $X_{ch}^{\pm}(z_1, z_2)$ on $\eta$ originates from the relations $z_1 = z_2 \cosh r + z_1 \eta \sinh r$, $k = l = 1, 2, k \neq l$. The teleportation implemented with the Gaussian two-mode squeezed state $|\eta, \psi^+\rangle = S_{12}(\eta)|\psi^+\rangle$ is given by

\begin{equation}
F_{coh}^{\pm} (|\psi^+\rangle)  = \frac{1}{2} (1 + |\gamma|^2)
\end{equation}

Figure 4. Contour plots of teleportation fidelity $F_{coh}^{\pm}$ (F coh ) in Column 1 (2) as a function of coherent state amplitude $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in (a,b) and (c,d) for photon excitation $m = 0$ and 2, respectively.
Figure 5. A comparison of average fidelity of teleporting a coherent state using $|\psi^{+}\rangle$ and $|\psi^{-}\rangle$ (and corresponding squeezed states) in (a,c) and (b,d), respectively. In (a) and (b), variation of $F_{\text{coh}}^{-}$ with squeezing parameter $\varphi$ and $\rho = \pi$ for $|\eta, \psi^{\pm}\rangle$ quantum channel with $\alpha = \beta = 0.67$ for different photon excitation $m = 0$ (blue solid line), $m = 1$ (red dashed line) and $m = 2$ (magenta dot-dashed line). Also, the black dotted line corresponds to two-mode squeezed vacuum quantum channel. In (c) and (d), variation of $F_{\text{coh}}^{-}$ obtained for quantum channel $|\psi^{\pm}\rangle$ with $\alpha = \beta$ for photon excitation $m = 0$ (blue solid line), $m = 3$ (red dashed line). Curves for ideal teleportation $\tau = 0 = n_{\text{th}}, T = 1$ (circle), and realistic teleportation $\tau = 0.1, n_{\text{th}} = 0, T = 1$ (square), $\tau = 0 = n_{\text{th}}, T = 0.9$ (diamond), $\tau = 0.1, n_{\text{th}} = 0, T = 0.9$ (triangle), $\tau = 0.1, n_{\text{th}} = 1$ (filled circle), $\tau = 0.1, n_{\text{th}} = 1, T = 0.9$ (filled square) are shown assuming $g^{2} = 1$.

Interestingly, the characteristic function formalism adopted here for teleportation also allows us to study the performance of considered non-Gaussian channels in the realistic situation. Specifically, we analyze the performance of non-Gaussian resources for continuous variable teleportation under detrimental effect of different sources of imperfection, such as losses due to imperfect homodyne measurements, damping due to the propagation of the optical fields in a noisy channel. The former can be modeled as a beam-splitter with transmissivity $T$ with ideal detection, while latter can be studied using LGKS master equation or corresponding diffusion equation for the characteristic function. In the interaction picture, the Markovian dynamics of a system subject to damping is described by the master equation\[31\]

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \frac{Y}{2} \left\{ n_{\text{th}} [L(a_{+}^{\dagger}) \rho + (n_{\text{th}} + 1) L(a_{-}) \rho] \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)$$

where the Lindblad superoperators are defined as $L[O] \rho \equiv 2 O \rho O^{\dagger} - O^{\dagger} O^{\dagger} \rho - \rho O^{\dagger} O$, $Y$ is the mode damping rate, and $n_{\text{th}}$ is the average number of thermal photons. The combined effect of propagation through a damping channel and unitary displacement determines the characteristic function $\chi_{\text{out}}(\mu)$ of the final output state of the teleportation protocol as\[22\]

$$\chi_{\text{out}}(\mu) = \exp \left\{ -\Gamma \mu / 2 \right\} \chi_{\text{in}}(g^{2} T \mu) \chi_{\text{db}} \left( g^{2} T \mu^{*}, e^{-2} \mu \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)$$

where $\tau = Y t$ is rescaled time, $g$ is gain factor, $\Gamma = (1 - e^{\mu}) (\frac{1}{2} + n_{\text{th}})$ and $T$ is transmissivity of the beam-splitters. Teleportation fidelity can be obtained by using Equation (36) in Equation (29). Without loss of generality, we can assume gain $g = T^{-1}$. For the present discussion as this makes the teleportation fidelity for coherent state independent of the state to be teleported, that is, $\gamma$. The effect of all these parameters relevant in realistic scenario on the teleportation through $|\psi^{\pm}\rangle$ is shown in Figure 5c,d. This clearly establishes the role of photon addition in not only enhancing the performance of teleportation also combating the effect of losses and/or imperfect measurement. Specifically, we can observe that average fidelity decreases with rescaled time $\tau$ due to propagation through a lossy channel. $F_{\text{coh}}^{-}$ decrease further for thermal environment (for nonzero $n_{\text{th}}$).

Non-unity detection efficiency of homodyne measurement has more detrimental effect, which is further enhanced due to the propagation through noisy channels—vacuum and thermal environments, respectively. Notice that for $m = 0$ advantage of $|\psi^{+}\rangle$ disappears under realistic scenario as average fidelity falls below one-half. Though the effect of all these parameters under realistic scenario is more prominent on $|\psi^{+}\rangle$ compared to that on $|\psi^{-}\rangle$ but the former still performs better than the latter. The present results may also be used to study and compare the effect of noisy channels in different scenarios.\[83\]

6.2. Teleportation of a Squeezed State

Here we calculate the teleportation fidelity of a single-mode squeezed vacuum state $|\zeta\rangle = S(\zeta) |0\rangle$ with squeezing parameter $\xi = s e^{\phi}$. The characteristic function for this squeezed state is

$$\chi_{\text{sque}}(\mu) = e^{-s^{2} |\mu|^{2}}$$

where $\mu = \mu \cosh s + \mu' e^{i \phi} \sinh s$. Using a similar approach as above, the fidelity $F_{\text{sque}}^{+}$ ($F_{\text{sque}}^{-}$) to send the squeezed state $|\zeta\rangle$ via the entangled channel $|\psi^{+}\rangle$ ($|\psi^{-}\rangle$) is obtained.

Figure 6 illustrates the teleportation fidelity for teleporting a single-mode squeezed state via a superposed $m$-photon-added bipartite coherent state channel with varying parameters $s$, $\phi$, and $\alpha$. Unlike coherent state, where teleportation fidelity was independent of the parameters of the state to be teleported, the teleportation fidelity of the squeezed state is found to depend on the parameters of the squeezed state to be teleported, that is, its squeezing parameter and corresponding phase angle. Thus, average fidelity of teleportation of squeezed state can be obtained by averaging over input state parameters.\[72\] We observe that a high fidelity ($\approx 0.91$) can be achieved at the low squeezing regime using $|\psi^{+}\rangle$, which is much larger than that obtained with $|\psi^{-}\rangle$ (cf. Figure 6a,b). The fidelity value drops off for highly squeezed state as the resource requirement for teleporting highly nonclassical state increases. In addition, the phase angle $\phi$ has a limited effect on fidelity. However, $F_{\text{sque}}^{+}$ is maximum while $F_{\text{sque}}^{-}$ is minimum for $\phi = \pi$ (see Figure 6c,d). Figure 6e,f shows the variation of teleportation fidelity with parameter $\alpha$ of the quantum channel. The fidelity $F_{\text{sque}}^{+}$, using $|\psi^{+}\rangle$ as a quantum channel, arrives at the approximate maximum value 0.9 at $\alpha \approx 0.4$ and then saturates there. For the state $|\psi^{-}\rangle$, the maximum fidelity value 0.8 is obtained corresponding to $\alpha \approx 0.8$ in photon added quantum channels. Further, a comparison of the performance of non-Gaussian channels with two-mode squeezed vacuum and consideration under realistic scenario for teleportation of squeezed state is expected to lead to the same conclusion as in the case of teleportation of coherent state.

Figure 6. (a) Teleportation fidelity for $|\psi^{+}\rangle$ (red solid line), $|\psi^{-}\rangle$ (blue solid line), $|\chi\rangle = S(\zeta) |0\rangle$ (green solid line), and $|\psi^{+}\rangle$ for the present discussion as this makes the teleportation fidelity for coherent state independent of the state to be teleported, that is, $\gamma$. The effect of all these parameters relevant in realistic scenario on the teleportation through $|\psi^{\pm}\rangle$ is shown in Figure 5c,d. This clearly establishes the role of photon addition in not only enhancing the performance of teleportation also combating the effect of losses and/or imperfect measurement.
An enhancement of quantum correlations is shown to have direct consequence in terms of a useful quantum information processing task, continuous variable quantum teleportation. Specifically, teleportation fidelity quantifying the performance of quasi-Werner states as a quantum channel is shown to increase due to photon addition, which may be interpreted as entanglement distillation of the channel due to non-Gaussianity inducing operation. We have observed that $|\psi^+\rangle$ is preferable over $|\psi^-\rangle$ as a quantum channel for teleportation of a coherent and squeezed state as it results in the higher teleportation fidelity. Interestingly, $|\psi^+\rangle$ is observed to perform better than two-mode squeezed vacuum for quantum teleportation of coherent state. Further, photon addition is shown effective for realistic teleportation to stall the detrimental effects of transmission through noisy channel and/or inefficient homodyne detection. Further, in view of the recent results\cite{84,85} reporting stronger correlations present in superposition of coherent states, namely Bell nonlocality, and the present work, we expect that even stronger correlation can be enhanced due to photon addition. The role of photon addition in stalling the decay of Bell nonlocality in the quasi-Werner states will be further explored.\cite{86} We hope the present results will be useful in non-Gaussian state-based continuous variable quantum information processing tasks. Specifically, we may mention, implementation of measurement device independent direct communication scheme\cite{87} as well as teleportation based collective attacks on continuous variable quantum key distribution,\cite{88} channel purification,\cite{89} and quantum repeaters,\cite{90} teleportation can also be used to achieve device independence by circumventing side-channel attacks on the measurement devices in quantum key distribution.\cite{91} Further, it is well-known that continuous variable quantum key distribution would perform better (in the presence of noise) in establishing metropolitan quantum key distribution network. In such an effort, the present analysis is expected to be of use. Keeping that in mind, we conclude the present work with an optimistic view that the present work will lead to a set of interesting results in the context of continuous variable quantum communication.

7. Conclusion

The effect of photon addition on the nonclassical properties of quasi-Werner states defined using superposition of coherent states is studied here with the help of various witnesses of single- and two-mode nonclassical features, like Wigner function, WLN, concurrence, EOF, and QD. Performance of the quasi-Werner states as quantum channel for teleportation of coherent as well as squeezed states is satisfactory. The channel displays high fidelity value, specially when $m \geq 2$. That means the nonclassical states generated by photon addition on superposition of bipartite coherent states offer a quantum advantage in continuous variable quantum teleportation, also the states provide a better channel for a larger number of photon addition.

Appendix A: Details of Quasidistribution Functions

Here, we summarize the details of Wigner and $P$ functions of two quasi-Werner states.

A.1. Wigner Function

The Wigner function $W(z, z^*)$ corresponding to an $m$-photon-added coherent state $|a, m\rangle$ can be evaluated in terms of the coherent state basis as\cite{92}

$$W(z, z^*) = \frac{2}{\pi} e^{2|z|^2} \int d^2 \beta e^{2(-\beta |a, m\rangle\langle a, m|\beta)} e^{2(z^* - \beta z \beta^*)} \quad (A.1)$$

Figure 6. The dependence of teleportation fidelity $F_{\text{sqz}}^+$ and $F_{\text{sqz}}^-$ on $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\phi$, and $\theta$ for a bipartite coherent state $|\psi\rangle = \left| (a, m) \right\rangle$ with $m = 0$, $m = 1$, and $m = 2$. The plots are for different numbers of photon addition $m = 0$ (blue solid line), $m = 1$ (red dashed line), $m = 2$ (magenta dot-dashed line), and $m = 3$ (cyan dotted line).
On simplification, the Wigner function for the state $|\alpha, m\rangle$ reduces to

$$W_{\alpha, m} = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{(-1)^m L_m(|2z - \alpha|^2)}{L_m(-|\alpha|^2)} e^{-2iz|z - m|^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.2)

where, for simplicity, we have not written phase space parameter $z$ in the argument.

The Wigner function for a superposition of single-mode coherent states, like $|\alpha\rangle + |\beta\rangle$, is given by\cite{93, 94}

$$W(z, z^*) = N_c z \left[ W_{\alpha, m} + W_{\alpha, -m} + W_{-\alpha, m} + W_{-\alpha, -m} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.3)

where $N_c$ is the normalization constant. Note that the first two terms in Equation (A.3) correspond to the individual coherent states in the superposition and the last two terms appear as interference terms. Each term in Equation (A.3) can be obtained proceeding in a way similar to the approach used in deriving Equation (A.2), specifically, a bit of computation would yield

$$W_{\alpha, -m} = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{(-1)^m L_m(|2z + \alpha|^2)}{L_m(-|\alpha|^2)} e^{-2iz|z + m|^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.4)

and the interference terms

$$W_{\alpha, -m} = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{(-1)^m L_m((2z - \alpha)(2z^* + \alpha^*))}{L_m(-|\alpha|^2)}$$

$$\times e^{-2iz|z - m|^2 - 2m\alpha^*}$$

$$= W_{\alpha, m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.5)

Further generalization to obtain Wigner function for a two-mode quantum state is obvious and yields\cite{95, 96}

$$W(z, z^*, z_1, z_1^*) = W(z_1, z_1^*) W(z_2, z_2^*)$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.6)

Thus, Wigner functions of two quasi-Werner states are obtained as reported in Equation (10).

### A.2. P Function

The density operator $\rho$ of an arbitrary statistical state of a 1D harmonic oscillator in the "diagonal" form can be expressed as\cite{38}

$$\rho = \int P(z)|z\rangle\langle z|d^2z$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.7)

where $P(z)$ is the Glauber–Sudarshan $P$ function and $|z\rangle$ is the coherent state. The distribution $P(z)$ associated with an $m$-photon added coherent state $|\alpha, m\rangle$ can be calculated by using the Fourier inverse transform as\cite{97}

$$P(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} e^{i|z|^2} \int d^2\beta \langle -\beta|m, \beta\rangle |\alpha, m\rangle e^{i|z^*\beta^*|^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} e^{i|z|^2} e^{-|\alpha|^2} \frac{\partial^{2m}}{\partial z^m \partial z^*^m} \delta^{(2)}(z - \alpha)$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.8)

where $\delta^{(2)}(z - \alpha) \equiv \delta(z - \alpha) \delta((z - \alpha)^*)$ is a Dirac delta function. Thus, for such fields, $P$ distribution is highly singular and thus nonpositive in the formalism of generalized functions.\cite{98} Extending the idea to a bipartite $m$-photon-added coherent state $|\alpha, \beta, m\rangle$, the $P$ functions for two quasi-Werner states $\rho(z, a)$ are obtained as

$$P(z, a) = \frac{1 - a}{4\pi} + \frac{a}{2\pi^2} e^{iz|z|^2} e^{iz|z|^2}$$

$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2m}}{\partial z^m \partial z^*^m} \left[ \delta^{(2)}(\alpha_j) \delta^{(2)}(\beta_j) + \delta^{(2)}(\alpha_j) \delta^{(2)}(\beta_j) \pm \delta^{(2)}(\alpha_j) \delta^{(2)}(\beta_j^*) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.9)

where $c.c.$ means the complex conjugate of the rest of the expression within curly brackets. The $P$ function, given by a series of terms of higher order derivatives of a delta function, is highly singular.\cite{99}
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