Nasal carriage of Staphylococcus aureus in farm animals and breeders in North of Morocco
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Abstract

Background: The objectives of this study were to determine for the first time, in Morocco, the nasal carriage rate, antimicrobial susceptibility profiles and virulence genes of Staphylococcus aureus isolated from animals and breeders in close contact.

Methods: From 2015 to 2016, 421 nasal swab samples were collected from 26 different livestock areas in Tangier. Antimicrobial susceptibility phenotypes were determined by disk diffusion according to EUCAST 2015. The presence of nuc, mec A, mec C, lukS/F-PV, and tst genes were determined by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) for all isolates.

Results: The overall S. aureus nasal carriage rate was low in animals (9.97%) and high in breeders (60%) with a statistically significant difference, (OR= 13.536; 95% CI = 7.070- 25.912; p< 0.001). In general, S. aureus strains were susceptible to the majority of antibiotics and the highest resistance rates were found against tetracycline (16.7% in animals and 10% in breeders). No Methicillin-Resistant S. aureus (MRSA) was detected in animals and breeders. A high rate of tst and lukS/F-PV genes has been recovered only from animals (11.9% and 16.7%, respectively).

Conclusion: Despite the lower rate of nasal carriage of S. aureus and the absence of MRSA strains in our study, S. aureus strains harbored a higher frequency of tst and lukS/F-PV virulence genes, which is associated to an increased risk of infection dissemination in humans. This highlights the need for further larger and multi-center studies to better define the transmission of the pathogenic S. aureus between livestock, environment, and humans.

Background

Staphylococcus aureus, especially, methicillin-resistant S. aureus strains (MRSA) are considered as major pathogens that infect and/or colonize both humans and animals [1]. Providing a reservoir of the pathogen, nasal carriage has been shown to be a predisposing factor for infections [2]. The emergence of MRSA is linked to the acquisition of the mecA gene that encodes for a low-affinity Penicillin-Binding Protein (PBP) [3] or its homologue mecC [4]. MRSA appeared firstly in a hospital in the early 1960s [5] and since then, it has been established around the world as an endemic hospital pathogen (Hospital Acquired-MRSA: HA-MRSA), and as Community-Acquired MRSA (CA-MRSA) causing human infections in the community [6]. In addition to humans, MRSA colonization and infection have also been reported in a variety of animal species in many countries [7]. These strains known as Livestock associated MRSA (LA-MRSA) strains had a genetic origin which is different to that of human strains previously described, with isolates mainly belonging to clonal complex CC398 [8].

The emergence of LA-MRSA has also been increasingly associated with alarming rates of MRSA infection and colonization among humans in contact with livestock, suggesting an increased risk of zoonotic transmission [9, 10]. These pathogenic strains could be subsequently dispersed to the environment and to other species [7] through food chain [11] and direct contact [12,13,14]. Numerous studies have indicated that the increasing rate of the incidence of antimicrobial resistance in S. aureus in animals is a result of the misuse and unjustified utilization of antibiotics [11,14,15] in animal husbandry either, for therapeutic, preventive purposes or as growth promoters (GPs). The Moroccan authorities through the national food safety office have launched a surveillance program for some antimicrobials used in animals since 2001. However, the overuse of antibiotics still represents a challenging issue and GPs such as tetracycline are still permitted with a veterinary prescription in Morocco [17].

In African countries, studies about nasal carriage of LA-MRSA seem to be scarce. The first report of ST398 in humans in Africa was published by Elhani et al. [18] who isolated one MRSA-ST398-t899 in the nasal sample of a farmer from Tunisia. In Morocco, Mourabit et al. [19] documented a 1.4% nasal carriage rate of MRSA in healthy humans. Interestingly, this work identified for the first time in Morocco one isolate characterized as MRSA-ST398-t011.
Moreover, two other studies performed in Tangier on milk and milk products [20] and on cockroaches and the house flies [21] revealed higher incidence of *S. aureus* and suggested the potential role for food and insects as source and/or reservoir of *S. aureus* infection.

In Tangier livestock, little is known about antibiotic-resistant *S. aureus* carriage among animals, particularly farm animals which are in close contact with humans. The objective of this work was to determine the rate of nasal carriage of *S. aureus*, and the antimicrobial resistance and virulence genes in healthy farm animals and their breeders in Tangier.

**Methods**

**Isolation and identification of nasal *S. aureus* isolates**

Livestock sampling areas included 16 small farms and 10 local sheep and goat farms in different regions of Tangier (Figure 1). In general, the preference for selection of sampling sites was given to small farms or locals near the most populated areas of the city. In farms, the herd was composed of 20 to 50 heads. Among these, there were 4 to 15 cattle. The locals hosted less animals with a number not exceeding 20 heads and were composed essentially by sheep and goats (Table S1). This study was carried out between 2015 and 2016 and focused on clinically healthy animals and volunteers in close contact with these animals. To avoid a selection of resistant forms that can occur, no antibiotics within the last 3 months had been taken by animals [22]. Likewise, all the volunteers had no ongoing illness during the sampling period, and had not used antibiotics nor been hospitalized in the last three months [22].

A nasal swab of both nostrils was done simultaneously for each of the animals and volunteer breeders.

Consent and ethics approval: As the majority of breeders were illiterate, informed oral consent was obtained from all participants following the explanation of the study objectives. This was approved by an internal Ethics Committee in the Faculty of Sciences and Techniques of Tangier. Confidentiality of the participants was maintained using unique code.

**Phenotypic and molecular identification**

To increase the chances of isolating the strains, the nasal samples were cultured in BHI medium and then incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The isolation was carried out by successive subcultures on Chapman medium (Biorad) and on chromogenic media (UriSelect™ 4 dehydrated; Biorad), then incubated for 24 to 48 hours at 37°C.

Suspicious colonies were identified as *S. aureus* by colony morphology, Gram staining, catalase and DNase tests. One presumptively *S. aureus* isolate/sample was further confirmed by PCR for 16S rRNA and *nuc* genes, as previously described [3]. Susceptibility testing was performed with the disk diffusion method according to the recommendations of the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST 2015) [23] for cefoxitin (30 µg), erythromycin (5 µg), lincomycin (15 µg), pristinamycin (15 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), gentamicin (10 µg), tobramycin (10 µg), kanamycin (30 UI), tetracycline (30 UI), rifampicin (30 µg), chloramphenicol (10 µg), cotrimoxazole (23.75 ± 1.25 µg) and fusidic acid (10 µg). Inducible or constitutive lincomycin resistance was determined by the double disk diffusion test (D-test).

To avoid underestimating the prevalence of methicillin resistance, the presence of the *mecA* and *mecC* genes was determined by PCR in all these isolates, as previously described [3, 4]. All of the *S. aureus* isolates have been tested for the presence of *lukS/F-PV* and *tst* genes by PCR [24]. *S. aureus* strains ATCC 43300 (*mecA*-positive), ATCC 2011S359 (*mecC*-positive), ATCC 29213 (*nuc*-positive), MW2 (*lukS/F-PV*-positive) and FRI913 (*tst*-positive) were used as positive controls for PCRs.

All phenotypic and molecular tests were carried out in the Biotechnology and Biomolecule Engineering Research Laboratory.
Statistical analyses

Comparisons between proportions were drawn with Fisher's exact test and the Chi-squared test. Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were also calculated. Differences showing a p value <0.05 were considered significant. Calculations were performed using SPSS version 20.0 software.

Results

Prevalence of *S. aureus* nasal carriage in farm animals

From 26 sampling sites (16 small farms and 10 local sheep and goat farms) in different regions of Tangier (Figure 1; Table S1), a total of 421 different animals (cattle, sheep and goats) and 50 breeders were nasally screened. Phenotypic and molecular methods were able to isolate and identify forty-two (42/421, 9.97%) and thirty (30/50, 60%) strains from animals and breeders, respectively (OR= 13.536; 95% CI = 7.070-25.912; p< 0.001).

Sensitivity to antibiotics

Twenty-two (73.3%) in breeders and thirty-two (76.2%) in animals of *S. aureus* had no resistance to the antibiotics tested. All the isolates were susceptible to cefoxitin, ciprofloxacin, trimethoprim/ sulfamethoxazole, tobramycin, gentamicin and chloramphenicol. The highest resistance rates were found for tetracycline (16.7% and 10%) and erythromycin (11.9% and 10%) in animals and breeders, respectively (Table 1). Screening for mecA and the mecC genes by PCR were negative. Of the resistant *S. aureus* isolates, six (6/8, 75%) and seven (7/10, 70%) recovered respectively from humans and animals presented resistance to one single antimicrobial drug. Co-resistance has been shown in two (2/8, 25%) isolates recovered from breeders and had as patterns (Tetracycline, Fusidic-acid); (Erythromycin, Fusidic-acid); and in three (3/10, 30%) isolates from animals with a unique pattern (Tetracycline, Erythromycin).

Exotoxin search

Of the 42 *S. aureus* isolates recovered from farm animals, 12 (28.57%) were toxinogenic. The genes encoding Panton Valentin Leucocidin toxin (PVL) and Toxic Shock Syndrome Toxin (TSST-1) were only identified in seven (16.7%) and five (11.9%) strains from animals. Among these strains, no one co-harborred lukS/F-PV and *tst* genes. Interestingly, no toxinogenic strain was recovered from breeders. All the toxinogenic *S. aureus* recovered from animals presented susceptibility to all tested antibiotics, except one isolate methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* (MSSA)-TSST+, which showed resistance to tetracyclin.

Discussion

In Morocco, livestock represents a large share of the Gross Domestic Product, which is between 25 and 30% according to the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries, Rural Development, Water and Forests [25]. This activity, which still plays an important socio-economic role, involves nearly 70% of the rural population [26]. However, animal farming also develops around urban centers where several farms with less than 20 to 60 heads or more are located in working-class areas or on the outskirts of the city (Figure).

In Tangier, no data about LA-MRSA nasal carriage has been reported yet. Our results revealed statistically lower prevalence of *S. aureus* nasal carriage in farm animals compared to breeders with a statistically significant difference (OR= 13.536; 95% CI = 7.070-25.912; p< 0.001). Unfortunately, we could not compare our results to Moroccan studies due to the lack of such data at the national and local scale. In a report from Tunisia including 261 healthy animals, a relatively lower rate of *S. aureus* (6.5%) was reported with different rates depending on animal species. Similarly to our findings, this group showed that all *S. aureus* isolates were MSSA [26]. In contrast, some studies investigating the distribution of *S. aureus* in different ecological niches from livestock in Algeria [27, 28] reported prevalence rates that are ranging from 18% to 53% if or
*S. aureus* and 5.4% to 7.6% for MRSA. Moreover, a recent study conducted by Dweba et al. [13], in South African livestock production systems identified MRSA isolates in 27% with a significant relationship (p < 0.001) with the animal host.

The current report revealed that all strains were MSSA and no significant differences were identified in antimicrobial resistance between LA-strains and human isolates (p>0.05) (Table 1). These similar antimicrobial susceptibility profiles may be due to the common shared environment and/or with the urban lifestyle associated breeding. Hence, bacteria could spread from the community, environment to animals, or vice versa [12,14,20,29]. The animals studied in our report were housed in, confined spaces located in working-class areas and fed mainly food waste or, on the outskirts of the city where sanitation infrastructure was lacking. Certainly, contamination by antibiotic residues contaminating food waste or waste water may be evoked [29], but this remains to be confirmed by other samples from the environment. In addition, transmission of livestock bacteria could also occurred through manure and/or when being carried in the air or in the dust, as it has been previously shown [12]. Moreover, breeders or persons in contact could also be contaminated when handling fields using manure from conventional farms as fertilizer [30].

On the other hand, transmission of resistant strains could also occur via insects as it has been suggested by Bouammmama et al. [21] in a study conducted on cockroaches and the houseflies collected from residential areas in Tangier. *S. aureus* isolated from the external bodies of these two species of insects represented 6.7% (17 cases) of which, one was identified as MRSA.

As discussed above, in addition to animal-to-human, environment to human and or/animals, bacterial strains could also be transmitted via contaminated food such as milk and milk products or meat and meat products [14], when handling raw food with bare hands. In the same region of North of Morocco, another study conducted by Bendahou et al. [20] about milk and milk products revealed a higher rate of *S. aureus* (40%). The antimicrobial susceptibility-profile of the isolated staphylococcal strains in this study was similar to our findings (25% and 10% for tetracyclin and erythromycin resistance, respectively).

In Morocco, recent reports have documented the massive use of antibiotics in food producing animals for curative and prophylactic purposes, which may induce the development of resistance to antibiotics and persistence of residues in animal products [17]. Rahmatallah et al. reported that resistance to tetracycline exceeded 90% in the tested strains. This molecule which, is frequently sold as GPs has probably an important role in the selection of resistant strains [15, 17].

To the best of our knowledge, the present study reports for the first time toxinogenic *S. aureus* strains, recovered from healthy farm animals in Tangier. The detection of MSSA PVL+ and MSSA TSST-1+ is relevant due to the important role that these toxins seem to play in serious infections in humans and animals [31, 28]. Such pathogenic strains could spread between different ecological niches and through the food chain causing subsequently major problems for the healthcare system [27, 31]. Mairi et al. suggested that MSSA strains might become a permanent reservoir of the luk S/F-PV genes that caused human infections [27].

We have previously described nasal carriage of toxinogenic strains *S. aureus* in healthy persons, in the same geographical area with 11.5% (46/400) harboring luk S/F-PV and 15.5% (62/400) *tst* genes. Some of these TSST-1 strains were found to be MRSA; however, all of the PVL+ were MSSA [19].

The current study shows several limitations. The nasal carriage of *S. aureus* could be transient and therefore some cases may go unnoticed at the time of our investigation. Furthermore, other unexplored sites including the extra nasal ones could also host this germ. Additionally, our screening survey was limited to *tst* and lukS/F-PV genes. However, nasal carriage strains could harbor additional virulence factors that may aggravate their pathogenicity in both animals and humans. The limited size of the sample is another limitation of our work, which probably influenced the statistical results. Finally, the clonality of strains was not determined to check the type of ST found in animals and humans.
Conclusion

Our nasal carriage study determined, for the first time in Tangier, a low prevalence of *S. aureus* with the absence of MRSA among farm animals. *S. aureus* strains harbored a higher rate of *tst* and *lukS/F-PV* genes, which is associated with an increased risk of dissemination of these strains in humans, animals and environment. Further studies are needed to better define the transmission of the pathogenic *S. aureus* between livestock, environment and humans.
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### Table 1. Comparison of antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of isolates

|                | Animals n=42 (%) | Breeders n=30 (%) | p-value | OR (95% CI) |
|----------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------|
| kanamycin      | 0 (0)            | 1 (3.3)           | 0.41    | NA          |
| Tobramycin     | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)             | NA      | NA          |
| Gentamicin     | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)             | NA      | NA          |
| Erythromycin   | 5 (11.9)         | 3 (10)            | 0.55    | 0.822 (0.181-3.740) |
| Erythromycin   | 5 (11.9)         | 3 (10)            | 0.55    | 0.822 (0.181-3.740) |
| Ciprofloxacin  | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)             | NA      | NA          |
| Tetracycline   | 7 (16.7)         | 3 (10)            | 0.32    | 0.556 (0.131-2.351) |
| Cotrimoxazole  | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)             | NA      | NA          |
| Chloranphenicol| 0 (0)            | 0 (0)             | NA      | NA          |
| Fusidic-acid   | 1 (2.4)          | 3 (10)            | 0.19    | 0.566 (0.450-46.112) |

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; NA, not applicable (cannot be calculated due to zero cell)

### Figures

![Figure 1](image-url)
Geographical distribution of the 26 Livestock sampling areas in different regions of Tangier. Abbreviations: A-: Animal with no cases of S. aureus; A: Animal carrying multi-susceptible S. aureus; A+: Animal carrying S. aureus resistant to one antibiotic; A++: Animal carrying S. aureus resistant to two antibiotics; B-: Breeder with no cases of S. aureus; B: Breeder carrying multi-susceptible S. aureus; B+: Breeder carrying S. aureus resistant to one antibiotic; B++: Breeder carrying S. aureus resistant to two antibiotics; A/BPVL: Animal/Breeder carrying Panton–Valentine leukocidin-positive (PVL) S. aureus; A/BTSST-1: Animal/Breeder carrying Toxic Shock Syndrome Toxin (TSST-1)-positive S. aureus. The map was created by the authors using the q geographic information system (QGIS) version 2.2 and GNU Image Manipulation Program (GIMP) version 2.10.20 software.
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