Global pattern of historical and future changes in rapid temperature variability
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Abstract

Day-to-day (DTD) temperature variation reflects a rapid weather variability, which significantly affects human health and ecosystems. However, while a few of studies have addressed certain regional variations, no global pattern of rapid temperature variability has yet been investigated. Here, using global daily temperature observation data, we present a study of the worldwide spatial heterogeneity of rapid temperature variability and its long-term trends over the past 60 years. We found a significant decline in northern mid and high latitudes in boreal winter but a significant increase in the Arctic coast, South China and Australia in boreal summer during the study period. Using observational data and Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) multi-model ensemble simulations, we further demonstrate that the human-caused increase in greenhouse gases (GHGs) concentration leads to a significant change in meridional temperature gradient, which in turn results in the observed decline of rapid temperature variability in the mid and high latitudes and the increase in rapid temperature variability in Arctic Coast in summer. In contrast, human-induced increase in GHGs and aerosol accounts for approximately one third and two third of the decline of rapid temperature variability in North China in boreal summer, respectively. However, the increase in summer rapid temperature variability in southern China appears to be primarily associated with the long-term internal climate variability. It is further shown that, based on the CMIP5 multi-model ensemble simulations, the projected rapid temperature variability shows a significant decrease in the high latitudes in winter but a slight increase in tropical zones by the end of this century. These findings clearly reveal an important role of human activities on the historical and future rapid temperature variability.

1. Introduction

The human society is very sensitive to weather and climate variability and extreme events (Katz and Brown 1992, Schär et al 2004). Both observational data and climate model simulations have revealed significant decreases in sub-seasonal temperature variability over the northern mid to high latitudes in the cold season due to the Arctic amplification induced by increased greenhouse gases (GHGs) (Screen 2014, Schneider and Bischoff 2015). Climate model projections have indicated that monthly and interannual temperature variability decreases in mid latitudes in winter, but increases over most land areas in summer (Fischer and Schär 2009, Fischer et al 2012, Holmes et al 2016). Marine and terrestrial temperature proxies have shown a decrease in the variance of temperature globally by a factor of four from the Last Glacial Maximum to the Holocene epoch (Rehfeld et al 2018). Note that most of these earlier studies focused on changes in low-frequency temperature variabilities. The global patterns of rapid temperature variability, measured by the absolute difference of temperature between two adjacent days, have been the
focus of less attention, with a few exceptions (Karl et al. 1995). This is due to the limitations of long-term global observational data.

It is known that high-frequency temperature variability can affect both human health and natural ecosystems. For example, enhanced high-frequency variability could affect the transmission of malaria and epidemic influenza (Paaijmans et al. 2015). It could also cause damage to crop growth in terrestrial ecosystems, but reduce the risks of coral bleaching in marine ecosystems (Wilks and Riha 1996, Safaie et al. 2018).

Previous studies found a less significant decrease in the variance of daily temperature and in the mid-high latitudes (e.g. Shen et al. 2011, Donat and Alexander 2012, Lewis and King 2017). The decrease in temperature variance contributes 20% reduction of cold air outbreaks in North America (Gao et al. 2015). Note that the variance of daily temperature contains the variabilities at various time scales. To isolate the rapid temperature variation from the slow temperature variation, Karl et al. (1995) introduced a day-to-day (DTD) temperature variability, which is defined by the absolute difference of the surface air temperature between two adjacent days. Their results suggested that, in association with global warming, the area-averaged DTD temperature variability has gradually decreased in the United States, China and much of the Northern Hemisphere. However, a recent study based on station data in China has suggested that DTD temperature variability shows clear spatial heterogeneity, characterized by a significant increase in DTD maximum temperature variability in South China and a clear decrease in North China during the summer (Wu et al. 2017). A significant increase in DTD temperature variability was also observed in the Canadian Arctic (Przybylak and Vizi 2005). Simply computing the area-averaged temperature variability over certain countries may therefore lead to cancellation between positive and negative changes. Moreover, most previous studies focused on DTD temperature variability at specific stations or in limited regions (Moberg et al. 2000, Rebetez 2001, Gough 2008, Gough and Hu 2016) rather than considering global patterns. In this paper, we review changes in rapid temperature variability with a special focus on spatial patterns by using an up-to-date global observational dataset and Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) multi-model simulations.

2. Data and methods

2.1. Observational data

We used the gridded global daily near-surface maximum and minimum temperatures (HadGHCND) over the period from 1960 to 2013 (Caesar et al. 2006). The HadGHCND dataset was created using quality-controlled daily station observations from the U.S. National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) Global Historical Climatology Network-Daily (GHCND) database, which consists of data from more than 27,000 stations. An angular-distance weighting approach was used to interpolate the stations’ data on a 2.5° latitude by 3.75° longitude grid (Caesar et al. 2006). The data were designed primarily for the study of climate extremes and climate model evaluation. The data coverage was less than 40% in 1946, but increased to more than 50% after 1960 (Caesar et al. 2006). Therefore, we used the data after 1960 in this study to take more land area into account. Given the coarse resolution of the GHCND data, our study mainly focused on the large-scale spatial pattern of rapid temperature variability. In the current study, we used the gridded observational data to facilitate the comparison with CMIP5 simulations as both gridded data appear in the similar spatial resolution. The gridded daily minimum and maximum temperature and precipitation in China were also used to investigate rapid temperature variability at the finer spatial scale of 0.5° × 0.5°. The gridded temperature (precipitation) data was constructed using gauge observations at 751 stations (2200) in China (East Asia) (Xie et al. 2007, Xu et al. 2009).

2.2. Model simulations

To determine the contribution of anthropogenic forcing to the historical changes in rapid temperature variability, we used the daily maximum and minimum surface air temperature data derived from CMIP5. The CMIP5 experiments used were four types of historical experiments (HIST, historicalGHG, AA and historicalNat) and two RCP experiments (RCP4.5 and RCP8.5) (Taylor et al. 2012). The HIST experiment was forced by observed natural forcing (volcanoes and solar variability) and anthropogenic forcing (time-evolving GHGs, anthropogenic aerosols (AAs) and land cover in some of the models). The historicalGHG, AA and historicalNat experiments were driven by, respectively, only historical GHG forcing, only AA forcing, and only natural forcing. The comparison of four types of historical simulations helps to identify the contribution of natural forcing and various types of anthropogenic forcing to the changes in rapid temperature variability. The CMIP5 models analyzed in this study are CCSM4, CNRM-CM5, CSIRO-Mk3-6-0, CanESM2, GFDL-CM3, GFDL-ESM2M, IPSL-CM5A-LR, MIROC-ESM, MRI-CGCM3. Each type of historical experiment (1960–2005) includes simulations derived from five to nine models (supplementary table 1 which is available online at https://stacks.iop.org/ERL/15/124073/mmedia). We use the coupled simulations from CMIP5 under the RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios to project the changes of rapid temperature during the period of 2071–2100 relative to the historical period of 1971–2000. All
model outputs were regraded to a common resolution of $2.5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$.

### 2.3. Definition of rapid temperature variability

Following Karl et al (1995), we defined the DTD temperature variability index by the absolute difference of the surface air temperature between two adjacent days to measure the rapid temperature variability. Gough (2008) compared the DTD temperature variability to the commonly used standard deviation of daily temperature. He concluded that the DTD variability is more representative than the standard deviation to characterize the orderly and oscillatory climates (Gough 2008, Gough and Shi 2020). For example, assuming we have an orderly (e.g. 25, 25, 25, 25, 15, 15, 15) and an oscillatory (e.g. 25, 15, 25, 25, 15, 25, 15, 25) temperature scenarios with the same standard deviations of 5.27. Under the orderly temperature scenario, one can only experience significant temperature change once but 7 times under the oscillatory temperature scenario. Thus, the DTD temperature difference is a more practical index to measure the rapid temperature variability than the standard deviation as the climate records usually exhibited a degree of orderly behavior. In addition, unlike the standard deviation of daily temperature that includes variabilities at various time scales, DTD temperature difference can separate the rapid temperature variability from other temporal scales.

We examined the significance of the trend of rapid temperature variability by using a two-tailed t-test, which takes serial correlation into account (Zwiers and von Storch 1995). A bootstrap resampling method was used to test the significance of the difference in rapid temperature variability between 2071–2010 and 1971–2000. We resampled 30 samples with replacement from the historical and future period and calculated the means at each grid cell. Repeating such a resample 1000 times, we obtained the distribution of difference in mean values. The 95% confidence interval is defined by the interval between the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles of the distribution.

### 3. Results

DTD temperatures generally show greater variability in mid and high latitudes in boreal winter, and weaker variability in the tropical zone in boreal summer. For example, in the northern Euro-Asian continent and North America, the climatological mean rapid temperature variability is 3 $^\circ$C–4 $^\circ$C d$^{-1}$ in boreal winter but less than 1 $^\circ$C d$^{-1}$ in the tropical zones in boreal summer (supplementary figure 1). Over the past half-century (supplementary figure 2), the global mean rapid temperature variability has experienced a significant decline at a rate of 0.05 $^\circ$C–0.06 $^\circ$C per decade in both boreal summer and winter. However, the changes in rapid temperature variability show a clear spatial heterogeneity. The most significant decline in DTD minimum temperature (DTDTn) variability of 0.11 $^\circ$C per decade occurs in North America in boreal winter (figure 1, supplementary figure 2(d)). A similar decrease in DTDTn is also observed in spring and autumn (supplementary figure 3). In contrast, over the past half-century, DTDTn variability increased significantly in the Arctic coast regions, western Asia and southern Australia in boreal summer. The long-term trend of DTD maximum temperature (DTDTx) shows a very similar spatial pattern as that of DTDTn. However, DTDTx increases significantly in South and Southwest China in boreal spring and summer (figure 1(c), supplementary figure 3(c)), which is consistent with observations revealed by Chinese weather station data (Wu et al. 2017). In addition, significant increases in DTDTx are also observed in West Asia and Australia in boreal winter (figure 1(d)). As the global patterns of the changes in rapid temperature variability in spring and autumn are similar to those in winter, in the following analysis we focus on changes in winter and summer.

To investigate the causes of the changes in rapid temperature variability, we used four types of historical simulations generated by CMIP5 models: one using all forcing (HIST); the second using only GHG forcing (historicalGHG); the third using only natural forcing (historicalNat); and the fourth using only forcing by AA. The ensemble mean of the HIST experiment shows very similar spatial patterns of rapid temperature variability as those revealed by observational data, especially the decline in rapid temperature variability in mid to high latitudes in boreal winter and North China in boreal summer (figures 1 and 2). The HIST experiment also captures the increase in rapid temperature variability in the Arctic coast regions in boreal summer, although the modelled changes are less significant than the observed ones. The ensemble mean of the historicalGHG experiment generates similar spatial patterns of rapid temperature variability as both the HIST experiment and observational data (figures 1 and 2, supplementary figure 4). In contrast, the observed spatial patterns of rapid temperature variability are not found in the historicalNat experiment (supplementary figure 5). Thus, we can conclude that human-induced forcing accounts for most of the changes in the global pattern of rapid temperature variability, especially its decline in the mid to high latitudes in boreal winter and in North China in boreal summer.

The HIST and historicalGHG experiments generate almost the same linear trend of DTDTn in the northern mid and high latitudes in boreal winter (figure 3(a)). In contrast, DTDTn shows no trend in the historicalNat and AA experiments (figure 3(b)). Thus, the increased GHG concentrations account for the decline of DTDTn in the northern mid
and high latitudes over the period from 1960 to 2005. In North China, the observational data shows a significant decline in DTDTn in boreal summer, which is captured also by the ensemble mean of the HIST, historicalGHG and AA experiments (figures 3(c) and (d), supplementary figures 4 and 6). The increase in AAs leads to a decline of 0.018 °C per decade in DTDTn, while the increase in GHGs causes a decline of 0.009 °C per decade in DTDTn. In contrast, DTDTn shows no significant trend in the historicalNat experiment (figure 3(d)). Thus, the AAs account for about two-thirds of the decline of summertime DTDTn in North China over the period 1960–2005, and the increased GHGs account for the other one-third (figures 3(c) and (d)).

To investigate how increased GHGs affect rapid temperature variability, we calculated the meridional gradient of the surface air temperature using observational data and the four types of CMIP5 historical experiments. The results indicate that the long-term trend of the observational rapid temperature variability shows similar spatial patterns
as the trend of meridional temperature gradient derived from observation and both the HIST and historical GHG experiments, especially in the boreal winter (figure 1, supplementary figures 7 and 8). This indicates that the changes in rapid temperature variability are likely to be linked to the GHG-induced change in horizontal temperature gradient. As it is known that the high latitudes are warming faster than the tropics due to increased GHG concentrations, this leads to a significant decrease in meridional temperature gradient, especially in the mid and high latitudes in winter (Screen 2014, Tamarin-Brodsky et al 2019, 2020). The decrease in meridional temperature gradient further leads to reduced warm and cold temperature advections, which in turn causes reduced rapid temperature variability in the mid and high latitudes in boreal winter (figures 1(b) and (d), supplementary figures 7(b) and (d)).

In addition, rapid temperature variability shows a significant increase in the Arctic coast region in boreal summer, which is consistent with the finding in Przybylak and Vizi (2005). The enhanced rapid temperature variability also appears to be related to the GHG-induced change in meridional temperature gradient. In summer, the northern Euro-Asian and North American continents are warmer than the Arctic Ocean. The faster warming of these continents,
in association with increased GHG concentrations, leads to an enhanced meridional temperature gradient between them and the Arctic Ocean. This gradient causes a significant increase in rapid temperature variability in the Arctic coast in boreal summer. The observed pattern of the changes in meridional temperature gradient is successfully reproduced by the ensemble mean of HIST experiments (supplementary figures 7 and 8). In addition, the changes in rapid temperature variability in Canadian Arctic may also be modulated by the changes in radiative balance due to the changes in sea ice over past decades (Laidler et al 2009).

Note that the HIST experiment does not capture the enhanced DTDTx in South China in summer (figures 1(c) and 2(c)). This indicates that the DTDTx change may be primarily related to internal climate variability. We therefore examined the DTDTx and DTD precipitation variability (DTDPr) in China, using daily observational data. The results show that both temperature and precipitation had enhanced DTD variability in South China (20–35° N, 110–120° E) and reduced variability in North China (35–42° N, 110–120° E). The number of rain-fall days also shows an increase in South China and a decrease in North China (supplementary figure 9). The correlation coefficient between DTDTx and DTDPr ranges from 0.35 to 0.5 in South China in the summer season, reaching the significance level of 0.01. The enhanced rapid temperature variability appears to result from the changes in precipitation variability. It has been demonstrated that the East Asian summer monsoon has experienced a significant weakening over the past 60 years, which has led to significantly decreased precipitation in North China and increased precipitation in South China (Ding et al 2008). Previous studies have suggested that the changes in the East Asian summer monsoon rainfall is closely related to the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) during recent decades (Ma 2007, Zhou et al 2009, Yu et al 2015). In the late 1970s, precipitation decreases in North China and increases in South China in association with the shift of PDO from cold phase to warm phase. On wet days, increased cloud cover leads to a significant decrease in incoming solar radiation. Moreover, the wet land surface favours evaporation. As a result, daily maximum temperatures tend to decrease. Conversely, on dry days more incoming solar radiation and a drier land surface result in higher daily maximum temperatures. Thus, an increase in DTD precipitation variability tends to enhance the DTDTx variability in South China. Such a mechanism can also explain the difference in DTD temperature variability between the cities with relative drier and wetter background climates (Gough 2008, Gough and Shi 2020). In addition to this internal climate variability, AA forcing could also contribute to the weakening of the East Asian summer monsoon, especially for the drying of North China, through modulating the formation of clouds and the strength of contrast in land–sea heating (Song et al 2014, Li et al 2019). The decrease in precipitation frequency therefore contributes to the decrease in rapid temperature variability in North China (supplementary figure 9). Moreover, the significant urbanization in North China and South China may also contribute to the changes in rapid temperature variability. DTDTx is generally greater (smaller) than DTDTn in urban (rural) sites due to the difference in radiative partitioning between urban and rural areas (Tam et al 2015). Consequently, urbanization tends to generate a decline in DTDTn and increase in DTDTx.

In addition to the linear trend, rapid temperature variability may also vary on interannual to interdecadal time scales. Therefore, we carried out an empirical orthogonal function (EOF) analysis in terms of rapid temperature variability in boreal summer and winter (supplementary figures 10 and 11). All of the time series of the first leading EOF modes show a very clear linear trend. Moreover, the spatial pattern of the first mode of EOF analysis is very similar to the long-term linear trend of rapid temperature variability (figure 1, supplementary figure 10). The first leading modes for DTDTx and DTDTn can explain 19%–27% of total variance (figure 2, supplementary figure 10). As we elucidated before, the linear trends of DTDT rapid variability mainly result from anthropogenic forcing. We therefore can conclude that the human-induced changes in the global pattern of rapid temperature variability are the dominant signal compared with the changes induced by internal climate variability.

To measure the changes in various strengths of DTD temperature events, we divide the DTD temperature events into four categories: weak (less than 3), mild (3–6), moderate (6–9) and strong (greater than 9 °C·d−1). The CMIP5 multi-models under the RCP8.5 scenario projected a significant decrease in the mild, medium and strong DTDTx and DTDTn events of three to six days per season in the high latitudes in winter. In contrast, the weak DTD temperature events showed a remarkable increase of more than eight days per season in the high latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere in winter (figures 4(a) and (b)). In the tropical regions, the CMIP5 models project a significant increase in the mild, medium and strong DTDTx and DTDTn events in boreal summer. However, the changes in tropical DTDTx events are on average less than 1 day per season. According to the CMIP5 models’ ensemble mean, under the RCP8.5 scenario the projected 5 year recurrence interval of extreme DTDTx and DTDTn events in the historical period could increase to around ten years in the mid and high latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere and Antarctic continent in boreal winter. In austral winter, the 5 year recurrence interval tends to decrease to 3 or 4 years.
in southern Australia, South Africa and southern Brazil (supplementary figure 12). The extreme rapid temperature variability shows a similar spatial pattern under the RCP4.5 scenario, except with relatively weak amplitude (supplementary figure 13). The projected changes in rapid temperature variability are generally consistent with the changes in temperature variance characterized by reduced variability in the mid-high latitudes and enhanced variability in the tropical regions (Chen et al 2019).

4. Discussion and conclusions

Our results suggest that rapid temperature variability experiences a significant decrease in the mid and high latitudes in boreal winter, which is generally the same as the findings reported in previous studies (e.g. Karl et al 1995, Gough 2008). However, our study further demonstrates a clear heterogeneity in the spatial pattern of long-term trend of rapid temperature variability. For example, we found a significant increase in the rapid temperature variability in the Arctic coast, South China and Australia in boreal summer during the study period in addition to the significant decrease in mid and high latitudes.

Our result suggests that changes in meridional temperature gradient associated with the increase in GHGs account for the decline of rapid temperature variability in mid and high latitudes. This is generally consistent with previous studies that demonstrated that the change in temperature lead to significant changes in monthly and daily temperature variability (Holmes et al 2016). Our study further demonstrates that the AA also plays an important role in modulating the rapid temperature variability. For example, the human-induced increase in GHGs and aerosol accounts for approximately one third and two third of the decline of rapid temperature variability in North China in boreal summer, respectively. Overall, our study demonstrates a dominant role of human activities in modulating the changes in historical rapid temperature variability and will continue to do so in the future. CMIP5 multi-model ensemble projects a significant decrease in the rapid temperature variability in the high latitudes in boreal winter but a slight increase in tropical zones by the end of this century.

Future changes remain uncertain due to the uncertainties in climate scenarios, internal climate variability and model uncertainties. In addition to GHGs and aerosols, human-induced changes in land use and land cover may also significantly affect rapid temperature variability. For example, rapid temperature variability can be very different over rural and urban areas even under the same climate background. Previous studies suggested that urbanization can strongly affect the DTD temperature variability through modulating the radiative budget (e.g. Tam and Gough 2012; Tam et al 2015, Gough and Hu 2016, Anderson et al 2018, Gough 2020). However, the effect of land use and land cover change, especially urbanization, is not well resolved or considered by coarse-resolution gridded observational data and general circulation models. Thus,the observational data may overlook the changes in rapid temperature variability in cities to a large extent. The reliable projections of changes in rapid temperature variability also remain a challenge especially at local scale. In addition, our results may also suffer from observational bias. For example, the daily maximum and minimum temperatures are affected by the absence of hourly observations and the difference in climatological observing windows (Zaknic-Catovic and Gough 2018). These issues warrant for further study. We hope that our results will draw attention in the climate change community and stimulate new insights.

Data availability statement

The CMIP5 data used in this study are available from the Earth System Grid Federation server (https://esgf-node.llnl.gov/search/cmip5). The HadGHCND data can be downloaded from the Met Office (www.metoffice.gov.uk/hadobs/hadghcnd/).

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available at the following URL/DOI: www.metoffice.gov.uk/hadobs/hadghcnd/.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the World Climate Research Programme’s Working Group on Coupled Modelling, which is responsible for CMIP, and we thank the climate modeling groups (listed in supplementary table 1) for producing and making available their model output. The HadGHCND data was obtained from the Met Office at their website (www.metoffice.gov.uk/hadobs/hadghcnd/). The study was supported jointly by The National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant Nos. 2016YFA0600403) and the National Science Foundation of China Grant (Grant No. 41675080). This work was also supported by the Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center for Climate Change.

ORCID iDs

Zhongfeng Xu @ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1274-6438
Fang Huang @ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2904-5013

References

Anderson C I, Gough W A and Mohsin T 2018 Characterization and estimation of urban heat island at Toronto: revisiting the choice of rural sites using a measure of day to day variation Urban Clim. 25 187–95
Caesar J, Alexander I and Vose R 2006 Large-scale changes in observed daily maximum and minimum temperatures: creation and analysis of a new gridded data set J. Geophys. Res. 111 D05101
Chen J, Dai A G and Zhang Y C 2019 Projected changes in daily variability and seasonal cycle of near-surface air temperature over the globe during the twenty-first century J. Clim. 32 8537–61
Ding Y, Wang Z and Sun Y 2008 Inter-decadal variation of the summer precipitation in East China and its association with decreasing Asian summer monsoon. Part I: observed evidences Int. J. Clim. 28 1139–61
Donat M G and Alexander L V 2012 The shifting probability distribution of global daytime and night-time temperatures Geophys. Res. Lett. 39 L11407
Fischer E M, Rajczak J and Schär C 2012 Changes in European summer temperature variability revisited Geophys. Res. Lett. 39 L19H02
Fischer E M and Schär C 2009 Future changes in daily temperature variability: driving processes and role for temperature extremes Clim. Dyn. 33 917–35
Gao Y, Leung L R, Lu J and Masato G 2015 Persistent cold air outbreaks over North America in a warming climate Environ. Res. Lett. 10 044001
Gough W A 2008 Theoretical considerations of day-to-day temperature variability applied to Toronto and Calgary, Canada data Theor. Appl. Climatol. 94 97–105
Gough W A 2020 Thermal signatures of peri-urban landscapes J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol. 59
Gough W A and Hu Y 2016 Day-to-day temperature variability for four urban areas in China Urban Clim. 17 80–88
Gough W A and Shi B 2020 Impact of coastalization on day to day temperature variability along China’s east coast J. Coast. Res. 36 451–6
Guo Y et al 2011 A large change in temperature between neighbouring days increases the risk of mortality PLoS One 6 1–9
Holmes C R, Woollings T, Hawkins E and De Vries H 2016 Robust future changes in temperature variability under greenhouse gas forcing and the relationship with thermal advection J. Clim. 29 2221–36
Karl T R, Knight R W and Plummer N 1995 Trends in high-frequency climate variability in the twentieth century Nature 377 217–20
Katz R W and Brown B G 1992 Extreme events in a changing climate: variability is more important than average Clim. Change 21 289–302
Laidler G J, Ford J, Gough W A, Ikummaq T, Gagnon A S and Kowal S 2009 Travelling and hunting in a changing Arctic: assessing Inuit vulnerability to sea ice change in Igludlik Nunavut Clim. Change 94 363–97
Lewis S C and King A D 2017 Evolution of mean, variance and extremes in 21st century temperatures Weather Clim. Extremes 15 1–10
Li Z et al 2019 East Asian study of tropospheric aerosols and their impact on regional clouds, precipitation, and climate (EASTAIR2PCP). J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 124 2019JD030758
Liu Q, Tan Z-M, Sun J, Hou Y, Fu C and Wu Z 2020 Changing rapid weather variability increases influenza epidemic risk in a warming climate Environ. Res. Lett. 15 044004
Ma Z 2007 The interdecadal trend and shift of dry/wet over the central part of northern China and their relationship to the Pacific decadal oscillation (PDO) Chin. Sci. Bull. 52 3138–9
Moberg A et al 2000 Day-to-day temperature variability trends in 160- to 275-year-long European instrumental records J. Geophys. Res. 105 22849–68
Paaijmans K P, Blanford S, Bell A S, Blanford J I, Read A F and Thomas M B 2010 Influence of climate on malaria transmission depends on daily temperature variation Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 107 15135–9
Przybylak R and Vizi Z 2005 Air temperature changes in the Canadian Arctic from the early instrumental period to modern times Int. J. Climatol. 25 1507–22
Rebetz M 2001 Changes in daily and nightly day-to-day temperature variability during the twentieth century for two stations in Switzerland Theor. Appl. Climatol. 69 13–21
Rehfeld K, Münch T, Ho S and Laepple T 2018 Global patterns of declining temperature variability from the Last Glacial Maximum to the Holocene Nature 554 356–61
Safaei A, Silbiger N J, McLanahan T R, Pawlak G, Barsbø D I, Hend J L, Rogers J S, Williams G J and Davis K A 2018 High frequency temperature variability reduces the risk of coral bleaching Nat. Commun. 9 2244
Schär C et al 2004 The role of increasing temperature variability in European summer heatwaves Nature 427 532–6
Schneider T and Bischoff T 2015 Physics of changes in synoptic midlatitude temperature variability J. Clim. 28 2312–31
Screen J A 2014 Arctic amplification decreases temperature variance in northern mid- to high-latitudes Nat. Clim. Change 4 577–82
Shen S S P, Gurung A B, Oh H-S, Shu T and Easterling D R 2011 The twentieth century contiguous US temperature changes indicated by daily data and higher statistical moments Clim. Change 109 267–317
Song F, Zhou X and Qian Y 2014 Responses of East Asian summer monsoon to natural and anthropogenic forcings in the 17 latest CMIP5 models Geophys. Res. Lett. 41 596–603
Tam B Y, Gough W A and Moshin T 2015 The impact of urbanization on day to day temperature variation Urban Clim. 12 1–10
Tam B Y and Gough W A 2012 Examining past temperature variability in Moosonee, Thunder Bay, and Toronto, Ontario, Canada through a day-to-day variability framework Theor. Appl. Climatol. 110 103–13
Tamarin-Brodsky T, Hodges K, Hoskins B J and Shepherd T G 2019 A dynamical perspective on atmospheric temperature variability and its response to climate change J. Clim. 32 1707–24
Tamarin-Brodsky T, Hodges K, Hoskins B J and Shepherd T G 2020 Changes in Northern Hemisphere temperature variability shaped by regional warming patterns Nat. Geosci. 13 414–21
Taylor K E, Stouffer R J and Meehl G A 2012 An overview of CMIP5 and the experiment design Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc. 93 485–98
Wilks D S and Riha S J 1996 High-frequency climatic variability and crop yields Clim. Change 32 231–5
Wu F, Fu C, Qian Y, Gao Y and Wang S 2017 High-frequency daily temperature variability in China and its relationship to large-scale circulation Int. J. Climatol. 37 570–82
Xie P, Chen M, Yang S, Yatagai A, Hayasaka T, Fujishima Y and Liu C 2007 A gauge-based analysis of daily precipitation over East Asia J. Hydrometeorol. 8 607–26
Xu Y, Gao X, Shen Y, Xu C, Shi Y and Giorgi F 2009 A daily temperature dataset over China and its application in validating a RCM simulation Adv. Atmos. Sci. 26 763–72
Yli Lu, Furevik T, Otterå O and Gao Y 2015 Modulation of the Pacific Decadal Oscillation on the summer precipitation over Iberia J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 120 2015JD008758
Zaknic-Catovic A and Gough W A 2018 A comparison of climatological observing windows and their impact on detecting daily temperature extrema Theor. Appl. Climatol. 132 41–54
Zhan Z, Zhao Y, Pang S, Zhong X, Wu C and Ding Z 2017 Temperature change between neighboring days and mortality in United States: a nationwide study Sci. Total Environ. 594 1152–61
Zhou T, Gong D, Li J and Li B 2009 Detecting and understanding coral bleaching Nat. Geosci. 2 660–69
Zwiers F and von Storch H 1995 Taking serial correlation into account in tests of the mean J. Clim. 8 336–51