Interannual variability of Eastern China Summer Rainfall: the origins of the meridional triple and dipole modes
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Abstract The Eastern China Summer Rainfall (ECSR) has a strong interannual variability, and the leading mode of interannual ECSR variability is characterized by either meridional triple or dipole structures as claimed by previous studies. In this study, decadal differences of the leading ECSR modes are investigated, using observational data and long-term integrations of climate models. Observational analyses show that the leading mode of ECSR is characterized by a meridional triple structure during 1979–1993 whereas a meridional dipole structure during 1994–2014. In the 200-year air–sea coupled simulation of Community Climate System Model version 4 (CCSM4), the leading mode of ECSR is characterized by triple structure in some decades whereas dipole structure in other decades, and decadal shifts between the triple and dipole structures are seen. In the 200-year simulation of the stand-alone atmospheric component of CCSM4 (i.e., CAM4) forced by fixed SST annual cycle, the triple mode and dipole mode are also identified, suggesting both of these two modes and their decadal shift can be generated by atmospheric internal dynamics without air–sea interaction. As agreed by observation, CCSM4 and CAM4 simulations, the positive (negative) phase of the triple leading mode is associated with enhanced (weakened) western north Pacific subtropical high, southward (northward) shifted East Asian jet, and meridional wave train along the East Asian coast. The positive (negative) phase of the dipole leading mode is associated with enhanced (weakened) western north Pacific subtropical high, enhanced (weakened) East Asian jet, and zonal wave train over mid-latitude Eurasian continent.
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1 Introduction

Eastern China is the most densely populated region and the most important agricultural region of China. Regulated by East Asian monsoon, Eastern China receives the largest fraction of annual rainfall in summer (Wu et al. 2003). The Eastern China Summer Rainfall (ECSR) is characterized by strong interannual variability, which may arise from the strong interannual variability of the western North Pacific subtropical high (WNPSH) (Lu 2001; Sui et al. 2007; Wu and Zhou 2008). The interannual variability of ECSR leads to floods in some areas but droughts in some other areas of Eastern China, and it greatly affects the agricultural production and economic activities of China. Great efforts have been devoted to studying the mechanism for the interannual ECSR variability (e.g., Lu 2005; Huang et al. 2011, 2014; Ma et al. 2011).

The interannual variability of ECSR is usually characterized by meridional rainfall anomaly pattern. Empirical Orthogonal Function (EOF) analyses were widely used to extract the leading modes of interannual ECSR variability (Chen et al. 2006; Han and Zhang 2009; Ye and Lu 2012).
Many studies revealed that the leading mode of interannual ECSR variability is characterized by a meridional triple structure, i.e., excessive rainfall along the Yangtze River accompanied by deficient rainfall over South China and North China, and vice versa (Ding et al. 2008; Huang et al. 2012; Day et al. 2015; Sun and Wang 2015). In contrast, some other studies argued that the meridional dipole pattern is also an important mode, i.e., excessive rainfall over south of Yangtze River accompanied by deficient rainfall north of the Yangtze River, and vice versa (Ding et al. 2008; Han and Zhang 2009). The formation of the meridional triple and dipole modes is explained by the interannual variability of Sea Surface Temperature (SST) anomalies (Wu et al. 2003; Hsu and Lin 2007; Han and Zhang 2009), especially the El Nino-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) (Wu et al. 2003; Feng et al. 2011; Li et al. 2014).

The leading mode of ECSR is an important benchmark for seasonal rainfall prediction (Ai et al. 2008; Pang et al. 2014). However, the pattern of the leading mode is not stable with time and may suffer to decadal changes (Ye and Lu 2012; Sun and Wang 2015). Although the decadal changes in the mean state of ECSR have been well documented (e.g., Gong and Ho 2002; Ding et al. 2008, 2009; Zhao et al. 2011), little attention was paid on the decadal difference of the interannual ECSR mode. It was reported that the leading modes of ECSR differ in different decades (Ye and Lu 2012; Sun and Wang 2015). However, it is still unclear whether the decadal difference of the leading mode originates from the air–sea coupled system or atmospheric internal noise.

Observational records may be too short to document decadal climate variability. In this study, we use the outputs of Community Climate System Model version 4 (CCSM4) and its atmospheric component, i.e., Community Atmospheric Model version 4 (CAM4). This model has been widely used by previous studies and it performs reasonably well in the simulation of ENSO (Chen et al. 2013; Krishnamurthy and Krishnamurthy 2014) and Asian monsoon (Sperber et al. 2013; He and Zhou 2014; Song and Zhou 2014). The climate variability in CCSM4 with constant external forcing (greenhouse gases, aerosols, etc.) originates from the air–sea coupled system, whereas the climate variability in CAM4 forced by fixed SST originates from the atmospheric internal dynamics. Based on observation and model outputs, the current study focuses on the following questions: (1) Are there any decadal changes in the leading ECSR mode in recent decades? (2) What are the leading ECSR modes in CCSM4 and CAM4? Is there any decadal shift in the leading mode between triple pattern and dipole pattern in CCSM4 and in CAM4?

The rest of this article is organized as follows. The data, model and methods are introduced in Sect. 2. The observed decadal difference in the leading mode within 1979–2014 is addressed in Sect. 3. Extended analyses using the long-term control simulation of CCSM4 and CAM4 are documented in Sects. 4 and 5, respectively. Finally, the major findings in this study are summarized in Sect. 6.

2 Data, model and methods

In the observational analysis in Sect. 3, the following observation (including reanalysis) data spanning the period of 1979–2014 are adopted: (1) Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP) monthly precipitation (Adler et al. 2003). (2) Monthly geopotential height, vertical velocity and wind from National Centers for Environmental Prediction-Department of Energy AMIP-II reanalysis (NCEP2) (Kanamitsu et al. 2002). (3) Extended Reconstruction SST (ERSST) version 3b (Smith et al. 2008). We focus on the seasonal mean precipitation of June–July–August (JJA) over Eastern China (20°–43°N, 107°–122°E).

The pre-industrial control run of CCSM4 is downloaded from Coupled Model Inter-comparison Project Phase 5 (Taylor et al. 2012), to save the computational cost. The pre-industrial control run of CCSM4 spans 500 years, and only the last 200 years are selected for analyses in this paper to keep the figures clear and readable. The first 300 years are also analyzed, and the results are consistent with the last 200 years. The long-term control simulation helps us to extend the observational analyses, and it also helps to investigate whether the internal variability of the air–sea coupled system could generate decadal shifts of the leading ECSR mode.

In order to investigate the interannual mode originating from atmospheric internal dynamics, we had run an experiment using CAM4 (the atmospheric component of CCSM4), which is forced by the climatological annual cycle of SST derived from the last 200 years in the pre-industrial control simulation of CCSM4. The CAM4 simulation is performed under a finite volume dynamic core. It has 26 vertical hybrid sigma-pressure levels and a horizontal resolution of 0.9° in latitude and 1.25° in longitude, which is the same as the CCSM4 simulation. The radiative forcing (e.g., greenhouse gases, aerosols) are set fixed at the pre-industrial level, which is the same as the CCSM4 simulation. The CAM4 simulation was run for 203 years and the last 200 years are selected for analyses.

To focus on the interannual variability, an 8-year high-pass filter is applied on the year-by-year time series before further analyses. In order to reveal the changes of the leading interannual mode, the 15-year running EOF analysis is applied to the zonal averaged precipitation of Eastern China (107°–122°E averaged), and the time-latitude profile of the first running EOF mode is obtained. The EOF decomposition on the zonal averaged precipitation over Eastern China
is reasonable, since seasonal rainfall anomalies over Eastern China is dominated by east–west elongated belts (Han and Zhang 2009; Day et al. 2015). According to the running EOF analyses, typical epochs with different leading modes are identified, and further analyses are done on these epochs, respectively.

Traditional EOF analyses are performed separately on the standardized precipitation anomalies for different epochs with different leading modes, and the time series of the first principle component (PC1) are obtained. Composite analyses are performed on the circulation and SST anomalies, for the years with the PC1 value exceeding ±0.5 standard deviation. The composite analyses are performed for the positive and negative phases respectively, to pay attention to possible asymmetry (Hsu and Lin 2007). The statistical significance in the composite map is determined by Students’ t test at the 90 % confidence level.

3 Decadal shift of the leading ECSR mode in observation

As shown in the running EOF pattern (Fig. 1a), the leading mode of the zonal mean rainfall is characterized by a meridional triple structure before the early 1990s, whereas a meridional dipole structure after the early 1990s. This decadal shift occurred around 1993/94. The running EOF mode of the vertical velocity is also characterized by a shift from a triple pattern into a dipole pattern in the 1990s (contours in Fig. 1a), which resembles that of rainfall. Based on the differentiated leading mode of ECSR, we call the period of 1979–1993 as Triple epoch and 1994–2014 as Dipole epoch in this section. To validate the results based on running EOF analysis, conventional EOF analyses on precipitation are performed for the Triple and Dipole epochs respectively, and the results are shown in Fig. 2.

During Triple epoch, the leading mode is characterized by triple structure, with a positive anomaly located along the Yangtze River at about 30°N, sandwiched by negative anomalies at North China and South China (Fig. 1a). This triple pattern explains 30.9 % of the total variance, and it closely resembles the spatial pattern shown by some previous studies (Fig. 1 in Hsu and Lin 2007; Fig. 2f in Sun and Wang 2015). In contrast to Triple epoch, the leading EOF mode for the Dipole epoch is characterized by a meridional dipole structure (Fig. 2d), with negative anomaly south of Yangtze River and positive anomaly north of Yangtze River. This dipole pattern explains 27.8 % of the total variance, and it resembles the pattern shown by Han and Zhang (2009) (their Fig. 1a) and Huang et al. (2011) (their Fig. 2a).

How about the higher order modes for the two epochs? The second and the third modes for Triple epoch are characterized by noisy spatial patterns, which resembles neither a triple nor a dipole pattern (Fig. 2b, c). The second mode for Dipole epoch is characterized by a meridional triple pattern (Fig. 2e), indicating the triple mode does not disappear but became less important in Dipole epoch. The third mode during Dipole epoch is also noisy (Fig. 2f).

Previous studies claimed that the East Asia-West Pacific climate experienced a decadal change in many aspects...
around 1993/94 (Wu et al. 2010a; Chen et al. 2012; Kajikawa and Wang 2012; Chen and Zhou 2014). Therefore, the decadal shift in the leading mode seems to be one facet the large-scale phenomenon. To investigate the large-scale atmospheric and oceanic anomalies associated with the leading mode in the Triple and Dipole epochs, the composite circulation anomalies at 850 and 200 hPa are shown in Fig. 3, and the composite SST anomalies at JJA and its monthly evolution are shown in Fig. 4.

During the positive phase of Triple epoch, an anomalous anticyclone at 850 hPa is located at the southeastern coast of China (Fig. 3a), indicating an enhanced WNPSH.
On one hand, the enhanced WNPSH is responsible for the deficient rainfall over South China. On the other hand, it contributes to the excessive rainfall along Yangtze River by anomalous northward water vapor transport on its western flank (Zhou and Yu 2005; Li et al. 2014). The enhanced WNPSH is a part of a meridional wave train, with anticyclone-cyclone-anticyclone anomalies from south to north along the East Asian coast (Fig. 3a). This meridional wave train resembles the Pacific-Japan or East Asia–Pacific (PJ/EAP) teleconnection (Nitta 1987; Huang and Sun 1992).

At 200 hPa (Fig. 3b), the composite zonal wind anomalies are characterized by enhanced (weakened) westerly wind on the southern (northern) flank of the jet axis, indicating a southward shift of East Asian Jet (EAJ). The southward shift of EAJ favors abundant rainfall along the Yangtze River valley and deficient rainfall over North China (Kuang and Zhang 2006; Ma et al. 2011; Li and Zhang 2014).

During the negative phase of the leading mode for the Triple epoch, the circulation anomalies are generally the opposite to the positive phase. The 850 hPa circulation anomalies are characterized by weakened WNPSH, associated with a meridional wave train of cyclone-anticyclone-cyclone anomalies from south to north along the East Asian coast (Fig. 3c). The composite circulation anomalies at 850 hPa for the negative phase is generally weaker than the positive phase, suggesting the asymmetry between the positive and negative phases. The 200 hPa circulation anomalies are characterized by northward displaced westerly jet (Fig. 3d).

During the positive phase of Dipole epoch, an anomalous anticyclone is also seen over subtropical WNP (Fig. 3e), indicating an enhanced WNPSH. The enhanced WNPSH is responsible for the deficient rainfall south of Yangtze River and the excessive rainfall on its north. In contrast to the positive phase of Triple epoch (Fig. 3a), the meridional wave pattern along the East Asian coast is absent during Dipole epoch (Fig. 3e, f). At both 850 hPa and 200 hPa, an anticyclone anomaly appears at about 60°E near the Ural mountain, suggesting stronger activity of the Ural blocking high (Gao et al. 2015). The anticyclone anomaly over Ural mountain is sandwiched by two cyclone anomalies located over Europe and northeastern China (Fig. 3e, f). The zonal
wave train pattern may be responsible for the cyclonic anomaly over northeastern China and excessive rainfall over North China. At the same time, anomalous westerly wind is seen along the westerly jet, indicating an enhanced EAJ (Fig. 3f).

During the negative phase of Dipole epoch, the circulation anomalies are generally asymmetric about the positive phase. The WNPSH is weaker than normal (Fig. 3g). A barotropic cyclone is seen around Ural mountain, sandwiched by anticyclone anomalies over Europe and northeastern China (Fig. 3g). Westerly wind anomaly is seen along the jet axis and the EAJ is weaker than normal condition (Fig. 3h).

The positive phase of the triple leading mode is associated with positive SST anomaly over equatorial central-eastern Pacific and tropical Indian Ocean, and negative SST anomaly along equatorial western Pacific (Fig. 4a). This SST pattern is associated with decaying El Nino in previous winter (Fig. 4b). The positive SST anomaly over TIO is a response to El Nino during its decay phase (Lau and Nath 2003; Du et al. 2009; Xie et al. 2009), and it acts as a capacitor which prolongs the impact of decaying El Nino on WNP climate (Li et al. 2008; Xie et al. 2009; Wu et al. 2010b). During the negative phase of Triple epoch, negative SSTA is seen over equatorial central-eastern Pacific and tropical Indian Ocean (Fig. 4c), associated with La Nina condition throughout the year (Fig. 4d).

The positive phase of the dipole leading mode is associated with negative SST anomalies over equatorial central-eastern Pacific, and positive SST anomalies around the maritime continent (Fig. 4e), corresponding to developing La Nina (Fig. 4f). The enhanced WPNSH can be forced by either the positive SST anomalies over the maritime continent (Sui et al. 2007; Chung et al. 2011) or the negative SST anomalies over equatorial central Pacific (Wang et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2015; He et al. 2015). The SST anomalies for the negative phase of the dipole leading mode are generally opposite to the positive phase (Fig. 4g), corresponding to developing El Nino (Fig. 4h). Compared with the positive phase, the composite SST anomalies for the negative phase are weaker and marginally significant, suggesting asymmetric nature of the dipole leading mode.

Based on the above observational analyses, the leading ECSR mode changed from meridional triple structure to meridional dipole structure in the early 1990s. Decadal climate changes in East Asia may be caused by many factors, such as anthropogenic greenhouse gas and aerosol forcing (Jiang and Tian 2013; Guo et al. 2013; He and Zhou 2015), decadal variability of the air–sea coupled system (Kajikawa and Wang 2012; Wu et al. 2016), and atmospheric internal dynamics such as mid-latitude wave train (Wu 2000; Wu and Wang 2002) and atmospheric blocking (Gao et al. 2015). It is hard to find out the accurate cause for the decadal change of leading ECSR mode in the early 1990s. However, by extending the observation with long-term integration of air–sea coupled model and its atmospheric component model, it is possible to investigate whether these two leading modes could originate from the air–sea coupled system and pure atmospheric internal dynamics. In the next section, we extend our analyses by using the long-term coupled simulation of CCSM4.

4 Leading mode of interannual ECSR variability in CCSM4

The above observational analyses suggest that the circulation and SST anomalies for the two leading modes are different. It seems that both the triple and dipole modes are coupled with SST. However, the observational record within 1979–2014 seems too short to exclude the possible impact of other external factors, such as anthropogenic greenhouse gases and aerosols. The long term integration of CCSM4 under constant external forcing may provide additional evidences to consolidate our results based on observation. In this section, the following questions will be addressed: What is the leading mode in the air–sea coupled CCSM4 simulation with fixed external forcing? Is there any decadal shift in the leading mode between the triple and dipole patterns? Are the associated circulation and SST anomalies similar to those in the observation?

The running EOF analyses suggest that there are generally two types of leading modes, i.e., meridional dipole structure and triple structure (Fig. 5). These two types of leading modes shift from one to another every several decades, and the decadal changes similar to the 1993/94 decadal change in the observation can be identified. The leading modes for the periods of 7–18, 40–67, 158–167 are characterized by typical triple pattern, and they are joined
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The leading modes for the periods of 100–131, 140–153, and 170–181 are characterized by typical dipole pattern, and they are joined together and referred to as Dipole epoch in this section.

The first three EOF modes for CCSM4 simulation are shown in Fig. 6. For the entire 200-year period, the first EOF mode is characterized by a meridional dipole pattern, which explains 16.8 % of the total variance (Fig. 6a). The second EOF mode is characterized by a meridional triple pattern and explains 15.0 % variance (Fig. 6b). The third EOF mode is characterized by a monopole pattern and explains 10.7 % variance (Fig. 6c). During Triple epoch, the triple leading mode explains 22.6 % of the total variance (Fig. 6d). The second mode is a meridional dipole mode and explains 14.4 % variance (Fig. 6e). The third mode shows a noisy spatial pattern and it explains 9.8 % variance (Fig. 6f). During the Dipole epoch, the dipole leading mode explains 22.7 % of the total variance (Fig. 6g). The second mode is a meridional triple mode and explains 14.7 % variance. The third mode is a monopole mode and explains 11.5 % variance. In CCSM4 simulation, the dipole (triple) mode does not disappear in the Triple (Dipole) epoch, but become the second mode and explains less variance than the leading mode.

Are the circulation and SST anomalies associated with these two leading modes in CCSM4 similar to those in observation? To answer this question, the composite circulation anomalies at 850 hPa and 200 hPa are shown in Fig. 7, for the positive and negative phases of the Triple epoch and the Dipole epoch of CCSM4, respectively. The composite SST anomalies for JJA and the monthly evolution of equatorial SSTA are shown in Fig. 8.

During the positive phase of Triple epoch (Fig. 7a), an anomalous anticyclone is located on the southeastern coast of China, indicating an enhanced WNPSH. The enhanced WNPSH is responsible for the deficient rainfall over South China and the excessive rainfall along the Yangtze River. The enhanced WNPSH is accompanied by an anomalous cyclone over the Japan Sea, resembling a PJ/EAP pattern. The 200 hPa zonal wind is characterized by easterly (westerly) wind anomaly on the northern (southern) flank of jet axis (Fig. 7b), indicating a southward shifted EAJ. During the negative phase of Triple epoch, the circulation anomalies are opposite to the positive phase. The weakened WNPSH at 850 hPa and the weakended EAJ at 200 hPa are also evident (Fig. 7g, h). In all, the circulation anomalies associated with the dipole leading mode of CCSM4 are also similar to those in observation.

The composite SST anomalies for the leading modes in CCSM4 are also similar to the observation. During the

Fig. 6 a–c The first three EOF modes for the entire 200-year CCSM4 simulation. d–f The first three EOF modes for the triple epoch marked with blue lines in Fig. 5. g–i The first three EOF modes for the dipole epoch marked with red lines in Fig. 5. The percentage of variance (unit: %) explained by each mode is marked on the upper-right corner of each panel.
positive phase of Triple epoch, the SST anomalies are positive over tropical Indian Ocean and equatorial central-eastern Pacific (Fig. 8a), associated with decaying El Nino from preceding winter (Fig. 8b). During the negative phase of Triple epoch, the SST anomalies are negative over tropical Indian Ocean and equatorial central-eastern Pacific (Fig. 8c), associated with decaying La Nina in previous winter despite of marginal statistic significance (Fig. 8d). During the positive phase of Dipole epoch, the SST anomalies are negative over equatorial central-eastern Pacific but positive around the maritime continent (Fig. 8e), accompanied by developing La Nina (Fig. 8f). During the negative phase of Dipole epoch, the SST anomalies are positive over equatorial central-eastern Pacific but negative around the maritime continent despite of marginal statistic significance (Fig. 8g), accompanied by developing El Nino (Fig. 8h). Generally, the simultaneous tropical SST anomalies for the triple and dipole leading modes are similar between observation and CCSM4. The association to ENSO phases are also similar between CCSM4 and observation, despite of small difference for the triple leading mode.
In all, both the triple mode and dipole mode can be simulated by CCSM4, and the decadal shifts of the leading mode between triple pattern and dipole pattern are also captured in CCSM4 with fixed external forcing. The circulation and SST anomalies associated with the leading modes in CCSM4 are similar to those in observation, for both the triple and the dipole leading modes. These evidences suggest that the both the triple leading mode and the dipole leading mode can originate from the air–sea coupled system, and the decadal shift in the leading mode between the triple pattern and dipole pattern can be generated by the intrinsic variability of the air–sea coupled system.

5 Leading mode of interannual ECSR variability in CAM4

What does the leading mode of ECSR look like if the SST is kept fixed? Is there any decadal shift in the leading mode between the triple and dipole patterns if there is no air–sea interaction? If there is, are the associated circulation anomalies similar to the air–sea coupled simulation? To document these questions, the 15-year running EOF mode for the 200-year simulation of CAM4 forced by fixed SST annual cycle is shown in Fig. 9. It is clear that the dipole pattern is the leading mode for most epochs, but the triple pattern is seen after the model year of 168. Decadal shift of the leading mode from dipole to triple pattern exists in CAM4 simulation (around the year 167), although the frequency of such shift is much lower than CCSM4 simulation. In this section, the period of 168–193 is referred to as Triple epoch, and the period of 8–163 is referred to as Dipole epoch.

The first three EOF modes for the entire 200-year simulation of CAM4 are shown in Fig. 10a–c. The leading EOF mode is characterized by a meridional dipole pattern. The second mode is characterized by non-typical triple pattern and the third mode is characterized by non-typical monopole pattern. During the Triple epoch (Fig. 10d–f), the leading triple mode explains 32.6 % of the total variance.
The second mode is a monopole mode and the third mode is characterized by east–west see-saw pattern. During the Dipole epoch (Fig. 10g–i), the leading dipole mode explains 21.7 % of the total variance, the second mode is a non-typical triple mode and the third mode is a non-typical monopole mode. In the CAM4 simulation without air–sea interaction, the percentages of variance explained by the leading modes are close to those in CCSM4 simulation.

The anomalous circulations associated with the leading mode of CAM4 are shown in Fig. 11. During the positive phase of Triple epoch, there is an anomalous anticyclone on the southeastern coast of China, indicating an enhanced WNPSH (Fig. 11a). A meridional wave train is seen along the East Asian coast, reminiscent the PJ/EAP pattern. At 200 hPa, the westerly jet is displaced southward from Eastern China to Japan (Fig. 11b). During the negative phase of the Triple epoch, the large scale circulation anomalies are opposite to the positive phase, including the weakened WNPSH (Fig. 11c) and northward shifted jet EAJ (Fig. 11d). The meridional cyclone-anticyclone-cyclone wave train is also seen along the East Asian coast (Fig. 11c).

During the positive phase of Dipole epoch, an anomalous anticyclone at 850 hPa is seen at the southeastern coast of China, but no PJ/EAP pattern is seen (Fig. 11e), which resembles the positive phase of Dipole epochs in observation and CCSM4. A zonal wave train is composed by the anomalous high pressure system at the Ural mountain at 60°E and the low pressure system over northeast Asia (Fig. 11e). This zonal wave train pattern is more evident at 200 hPa (Fig. 11f). During the negative phase of Dipole epoch, the WNPSH and the EAJ are both weaker than normal, and the mid-latitudinal zonal wave train is also seen (Fig. 11g, h). Compared with the positive phase, the anomalous circulation is weaker and shows weaker statistic significance, consistent with the asymmetry of the dipole leading mode in observation and CCSM4.

Based on the above results, the triple and dipole modes are also the leading mode of ECSR in CAM4, and the decadal shift of the leading mode between the triple pattern and the dipole pattern is also seen in CAM4. The circulation anomalies associated with the triple and the dipole leading modes in CAM4 resemble those in observation and CCSM4, corroborating the similar atmospheric origins of triple and dipole modes in coupled and un-coupled systems. These evidences suggest that both the triple and dipole modes can originate from the atmospheric internal dynamics without air–sea coupling.

6 Conclusion and discussion

The ECSR is characterized by strong interannual variability. The triple mode and the dipole mode are both important components of interannual ECSR variability. Using observational data and simulations by air–sea coupled model
and stand-alone atmospheric model, the leading mode of the interannual ECSR variability was investigated. Attention was paid on the decadal difference in the spatial structure of the leading mode and the associated circulation and SST anomalies. The main conclusions are summarized as follows.

1. The leading mode of the interannual ECSR variability has experienced a decadal shift in the early 1990s. The leading mode of ECSR for the 1979–1993 epoch is characterized by a meridional triple structure, the positive phase of which is associated with positive rainfall anomaly along Yangtze River and negative rainfall anomalies over North China and South China. In contrast, the leading mode of ECSR for the 1994–2014 epoch is characterized by a meridional dipole structure, the positive phase of which is associated with negative rainfall anomaly south of Yangtze River and positive rainfall anomaly north of Yangtze River.

2. In CCSM4 simulation under constant external forcing, the leading mode of ECSR is the triple mode in some
decades but is the dipole mode in some other decades. The leading mode shifts between the triple mode and the dipole mode every several decades. The circulation and SST anomalies associated with the triple and dipole leading modes in CCSM4 are similar to those in the observation. Therefore, both the triple and dipole leading modes and their decadal shifts can be generated by intrinsic variability of the air–sea coupled system.

3. In CAM4 simulation forced by fixed SST annual cycle, the leading mode also shifts between the triple mode and the dipole mode, although only one case of such decadal change is identified in the 200-year simulation. The circulation anomalies associated with the triple and dipole leading modes in CAM4 resemble those in observation and CCSM4. According to the CAM4 simulation, both the triple and the dipole modes and their decadal shifts can also be driven by atmospheric internal dynamics.

4. As agreed by observation, CCSM4 and CAM4 simulations, the triple leading mode is associated with enhanced (weakened) WNPSH and southward (northward) shifted EAJ at its positive (negative) phase. A meridional wave pattern along the East Asian coast resembling the PJ/EAP pattern is seen during the epochs with triple leading mode. The dipole leading mode is associated with enhanced (weakened) WNPSH and enhanced (weakened) EAJ at its positive (negative) phase, accompanied by zonal wave train over mid-latitude Eurasian continent.

Many previous studies emphasized the impact of decadal SST signals on the decadal changes of East Asian rainfall. The mean state rainfall of Eastern China experienced a decadal change in the late 1970s, characterized by wetter southern China and drier northern China (Ding et al. 2008, 2009). The Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) was claimed to be responsible for this decadal change (Li et al. 2010). The decadal change in SST mean state also alters the atmospheric mean state and may further alter the response of atmospheric anomalies to SST anomalies (Wu and Wang 2002). As agreed by observation and CCSM4 simulation, the difference of SST between Dipole epochs and Triple epochs is characterized by cold SST anomalies over tropical central-eastern Pacific (Fig. 12), resembling the negative phase of PDO. Therefore, the triple (dipole) mode is more likely to be the leading mode at the positive (negative) phase of PDO in the air–sea coupled system. However, the decadal SST anomalies are not the necessity since the shift between the triple and dipole pattern also exists in CAM4 simulation without air–sea interaction.
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