GATA1-Activated HNF1A-AS1 Facilitates the Progression of Triple-Negative Breast Cancer via Sponging miR-32-5p to Upregulate RNF38

Background: Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) is a highly invasive subtype of breast cancer with a high mortality rate. Recently, long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are confirmed to modulate the progression of assorted cancers, including TNBC. However, the functions of lncRNA HNF1 homeobox A antisense RNA 1 (HNF1A-AS1) in TNBC are still unclear.

Aim: We aimed to investigate the function and mechanism of HNF1A-AS1 in TNBC.

Methods: The expression of genes in TNBC cells was tested by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) and Western blot. In vitro loss-of-function assays and in vivo xenograft experiments were conducted for evaluating the impact of HNF1A-AS1 on TNBC progression. RNA pull-down, luciferase reporter and RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) assays were utilized for assessing the correlations between molecules.

Results: We discovered that HNF1A-AS1 was highly expressed in TNBC tissues and cells. Knockdown of HNF1A-AS1 restrained cell proliferation but accelerated cell apoptosis. Besides, GATA-binding protein 1 (GATA1) activated HNF1A-AS1 transcription in TNBC. MicroRNA-32-5p (miR-32-5p) was slowly expressed in TNBC cells and sponged by HNF1A-AS1, and its overexpression hinders TNBC cell growth. Ring finger protein 38 (RNF38) was verified as the target of miR-32-5p, and HNF1A-AS1 was a competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) of RNF38 through sponging miR-32-5p. Rescue experiments indicated that upregulation of RNF38 reversed the inhibited impacts of silencing HNF1A-AS1 on TNBC cell growth.

Conclusion: GATA1-activated HNF1A-AS1 facilitated TNBC progression via miR-32-5p/RNF38 axis. The findings may provide new roads for developing targeted therapies of TNBC.
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Introduction
As we all know, breast cancer is the most common type of malignant tumor in women, which seriously harms women’s health.1 It has become the major cause of cancer-related deaths among women and TNBC-caused deaths account for 20% of women’s deaths in the whole world.2 Evidences have indicated that breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease and it can be divided into different pathological subtypes.3 Among them, triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) acts as a subtype, accounting for about 20% of all breast cancer cases.4 A major feature of TNBC is the lack of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR) and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2).5 Because of the highly aggressive nature of TNBC, the
prognosis and survival of patients are very poor. At present, common treatment methods such as surgery, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy are adopted as treatment strategies for TNBC, but the effects are not satisfactory.\(^6\) Hence, investigating the molecular mechanism of TNBC can conduct to discover new therapeutic directions and elevate patient survival.

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are defined as RNA transcripts that are more than 200 nucleotides in length and cannot encode proteins.\(^7\) Lots of lncRNAs have been indicated to modulate sundry biological behaviors in cancer cells, such as cell proliferation, apoptosis and migration.\(^8\) Of note, lncRNAs with abnormal expression are considered as crucial factors in cancer development. For example, SNHG20 was proved to be upregulated in non-small cell lung cancer cells and the inhibition of it could suppress cell proliferation and accelerate cell apoptosis in this cancer by serving as a miR-154 sponge.\(^9\) Also, ASAP1-IT1 could enhance cancer stemness and its upregulation could predict bad prognosis in patients with bladder cancer.\(^10\) Moreover, AWPPH expedited hepatocellular carcinoma progression via YBX1 and might be served as a prognostic biomarker.\(^11\) HNF1A homeobox A antisense RNA 1 (HNF1A-AS1) is an lncRNA that has been confirmed to exert regulatory functions in several cancers. For instance, HNF1A-AS1 was reported to accelerate cell growth in bladder cancer.\(^12\) Besides, HNF1A-AS1 could also expedite cell proliferation and invasion by its regulation on miR-17-5p in non-small cell lung cancer.\(^13\) Moreover, HNF1A-AS1 was suggested to accelerate carcinogenesis in colorectal cancer by inducing the activation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway.\(^14\) Nevertheless, it is not researched in TNBC.

In recent years, increasing researches have indicated that lncRNAs could regulate the progression of cancers through sponging microRNAs (miRNAs) to modulate the expression of messenger RNAs (mRNAs).\(^15\) This regulatory mechanism is called competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) mechanism which has been frequently discovered in cancers.\(^16\) For example, HNF1A-AS1 could accelerate non-small cell lung cancer progression by acting as a ceRNA to sponge miR-149-5p and upregulate Cdk6.\(^17\) However, whether HNF1A-AS1 can play the role of ceRNA in TNBC remains to be deeply studied.

MiRNAs are a kind of endogenous small noncoding RNA molecules with 19–26 nucleotides in length. As mentioned above, a flow of researches have confirmed that lncRNAs exert their functions by interacting with miRNAs.\(^18\) In addition, miRNAs can also play the different roles on cancer process. For example, miR-32-5p was confirmed to modulate the progression of colorectal cancer and cervical cancer.\(^19\) Meanwhile, miRNAs can be targeted by miRNAs and the encoded proteins become the crucial factors in the regulation of cancers.\(^21\) As an example, ring finger protein 38 (RNF38) has been supported as an oncogene in some cancers such as hepatocellular carcinoma, gastric cancer and non-small cell lung cancer.\(^22\)\(^-\)\(^24\)

In the current study, we proposed to search the function and molecular mechanism of HNF1A-AS1 in TNBC. We firstly detected its expression in TNBC tissues and cells. Further, functional assays were designed for evaluating the role of HNF1A-AS1 in TNBC cells. Besides, the interaction among HNF1A-AS1, miR-32-5p and RNF38 was also investigated by mechanistic assays. Our finding may offer a novel idea for the investigation of TNBC treatment.

**Materials and Methods**

**Clinical Specimens**

The TNBC tissues and paired para-tumor tissues were surgically acquired from 28 TNBC patients who received no other treatment before operation. All samples were processed with liquid nitrogen immediately after excision and then stored at −80°C until use. Each patient signed the informed consent prior to this study. Tissues were collected and applied under the approval of the Ethics Committee of the First People’s Hospital of Yunnan Province.

**Cell Lines**

Human TNBC cell lines (MDA-MB-453, MDA-MB-468, MDA-MB-231, BT-20 and MDA-MB-436) and the normal human breast epithelial cell line (MCF-12F), were available from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; Manassas, VA, USA) and then incubated under 37°C in 5% CO₂. Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 1% penicillin/streptavidin and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), was acquired from Gibco (Rockville, MD, USA) for cell culture purposes.

**Total RNA Extraction and Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR)**

Total RNA was extracted from cell samples by use of TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad CA, USA), and then converted into complementary DNA (cDNA) by use of PrimeScript Reverse Transcriptase Kit (Takara, Shiga,
Japan. Gene expression was then quantified by qPCR with SYBR Green PCR Kit (Takara) and calculated based on the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. U6 snRNA or glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) mRNA served as the endogenous control. The experiment was repeated at least three times.

**Cell Transfection**

The specific short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) to HNF1A-AS1 and the nonspecific negative control (NC) shRNAs were designed and constructed by Genechem (Shanghai, China). The full-length cDNA sequences of GATA1 and RNF38 were separately inserted into the pcDNA3.1 vectors for gene overexpression, with empty vectors utilized as NC. The miR-32-5p mimics, miR-32-5p inhibitor and their corresponding NCs were acquired from GenePharma (Shanghai, China). MDA-MB-436 and BT-20 cells in 6-well plates (1 × 10^6 cells/well) were transfected in the presence of Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen) for 48 h, and then harvested for analysis. The experiment was repeated at least three times.

**Colony Formation Assay**

Transfected MDA-MB-436 and BT-20 cells in 6-well plates were seeded at the cell density of 500/well for 14 days. Then, the resulting colonies were fixed for 30 min in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and stained for 5 min in 0.5% crystal violet solution, finally imaged and counted manually. The experiment was repeated at least three times.

**5-Ethynyl-2’-Deoxyuridine (EdU) Assay**

EdU assay was undertaken in transfected MDA-MB-436 and BT-20 cells using BeyoClick™ EdU Cell Proliferation Kit (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) with Alexa Fluor 594. After nuclear detection in 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) solution, cells were analyzed using the fluorescence microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The experiment was repeated at least three times.

**JC-1 Assay**

Cell apoptosis was examined via the change in mitochondrial membrane potential (ΔΨm) using JC-1 assay. After transfection, 1 mL of cell suspension in 6-well plates were incubated for 30 min with 2.5 μg/mL of JC-1 dye at 37°C, and then analyzed by the microscope (Olympus). The experiment was repeated at least three times.

**Terminal Deoxynucleotidyl Transferase (TdT) dUTP Nick-End Labeling (TUNEL) Assay**

TUNEL assay was accomplished in transfected MDA-MB-436 and BT-20 cells with the application of TUNEL reagents (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). After washing and fixing, cells were double-stained in TUNEL and DAPI, and then assayed via the fluorescence microscopy (Olympus). The experiment was repeated at least three times.

**Flow Cytometry Analysis**

The apoptosis of MDA-MB-436 and BT-20 cells were studied using Annexin V-FITC/PI double staining kit (Invitrogen). Cell samples were stained in the dark for 15 min, and then studied by flow cytometry (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). The experiment was repeated at least three times.

**In vivo Xenograft Model**

To simulate tumor growth in vivo, TNBC cells transfected with sh-NC or sh-HNF1A-AS1#1 were injected into the back of mice (4–6 weeks old; female) that were purchased from SLRC Laboratory Animal Center (Shanghai, China). After that, tumor volume was monitored every 4 days. After tumors grew for 28 days, the mice were sacrificed and the xenografts were isolated, photographed and weighed. The experiment was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the First People’s Hospital of Yunnan Province.

**Luciferase Reporter Assay**

The sequences of HNF1A-AS1 promoter region covering wild-type (WT) or mutant (Mut) GATA1 binding sites were cloned into the pGL3-basic vector for promoter analysis, and then the recombinant vectors were co-transfected with pcDNA3.1 or pcDNA3.1/GATA1 into MDA-MB-436 and BT-20 cells for 48 h. The full-length HNF1A-AS1 or RNF38 3’UTR (3’-untranslated region) fragments covering wild-type or mutant miR-32-5p binding sites were inserted into the pmirGLO dual-luciferase vectors for interaction analysis. Then, the constructs were co-transfected with miR-32-5p mimics or NC mimics into MDA-MB-436 and BT-20 cells for 48 h. The luciferase activity was studied using the dual-luciferase reporter assay system (Promega, Madison, WI). The experiment was repeated at least three times.
Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP)
ChIP assay was undertaken in MDA-MB-436 and BT-20 cells by the EZ ChIP™ Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Kit (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). The cells were fixed in 4% PFA for 15 min’s crosslink, and then subjected to ultrasonic to shear DNA into 500 bp of fragments. Then, the fragments were subjected to immunoprecipitation for 6 h with GATA1 antibody or control immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibody. The magnetic beads (30 µL) were added for collecting the precipitated chromatin fragments, followed by the fragments analyzed via qRT-PCR. The experiment was repeated at least three times.

Subcellular Fractionation
The subcellular localization of HNF1A-AS1 in MDA-MB-436 and BT-20 cells was determined by subcellular fractionation as per the protocol of PARIS™ Kit (Invitrogen). GAPDH and U6 were separately defined as the endogenous control for cytoplasmic or nuclear RNAs. The experiment was repeated at least three times.

Fluorescence in situ Hybridization (FISH) Assay
The RNA FISH probe designed for HNF1A-AS1 was acquired from Ribobio (Guangzhou, China). MDA-MB-436 and BT-20 cells were fixed in 4% PFA, digested and air-dried, and then subjected to incubation in hybridization buffer with HNF1A-AS1 probes. After Hoechst staining, cells were studied by the fluorescence microscope (Olympus). The experiment was repeated at least three times.

RNA Pull-Down Assay
RNA–RNA interaction was assessed by RNA pull-down by use of Pierce Magnetic RNA-Protein Pull-Down Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) following the user guide. Cell lysates obtained via the cell lysis buffer were mixed overnight with biotinylated HNF1A-AS1 probes or miR-32-5p sequences with wild-type or mutant seed region, or the corresponding control probes. After that, the mixtures were added with magnetic beads, and then the captured RNAs were analyzed by qRT-PCR. The experiment was repeated at least three times.

RNA Immunoprecipitation (RIP)
RIP assay was performed in MDA-MB-436 and BT-20 cells by applying the Magna RIP™ RNA-Binding Protein Immunoprecipitation Kit following the standard method (Millipore, Bedford, MA). Firstly, cells were lysed via RIP lysis buffer. Then, cell lysates were cultured overnight in RIP buffer covering magnetic beads and human argonaute RISC catalytic component 2 (Ago2) antibody or control IgG antibody. Finally, the precipitated RNAs were studied using qRT-PCR. The experiment was repeated at least three times.

Western Blot
Cell samples were lysed in radio-immunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) lysis buffer. Then, the collected proteins were separated by 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), and then shifted onto polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes. After sealing by 5% nonfat milk, PVDF membranes were probed with the specific primary antibodies at 4°C all night, and then with the secondary antibodies at room temperature for 2 h after washing in Tris-buffered saline Tween-20 (TBST). All of the used antibodies were procured from Abcam (Cambridge, MA). The protein signal was detected via the enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) luminous liquid (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). The experiment was repeated at least three times.

Statistical Analysis
Data were exhibited as the means ± standard deviation (SD) of three individually implemented assays. Statistical analyses of this study were undertaken with Student’s t-test or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) by use of GraphPad Prism 7 (La Jolla, CA), with p-values below 0.05 as the cutoff value.

Results
HNF1A-AS1 Was Upregulated in TNBC and Silencing HNF1A-AS1 Restrained the Tumorigenesis of TNBC
In lots of researches, the imbalance of lncRNA expression is associated closely with the occurrence and development of cancers.25 Thus, firstly, we detected the expression of HNF1A-AS1 in TNBC cell lines (MDA-MB-453, MDA-MB-468, MDA-MB-231, BT-20 and MDA-MB-436) relative to normal human breast epithelial cell line (MCF-12F). The qRT-PCR results indicated that HNF1A-AS1 was upregulated in TNBC cells, particularly in BT-20 and MDA-MB-436 cells (Figure 1A). Besides, we also wondered its expression pattern in breast cancer cell lines originated from other subtypes. Interestingly, HNF1A-AS1 expression seemed to
be also elevated in ER-positive T47D and MCF7 cells but almost unchanged in HER-positive MDA-MB-453 and SKBR3 cells (Figure S1A). Thereafter, the clinical data further validated the upregulation of HNF1A-AS1 in 28 TNBC samples compared with the paired non-tumor controls (Figure S1B). Thus, we speculated that HNF1A-AS1 might have some relation to TNBC progression. To certify this, we then investigated the biological function of HNF1A-AS1 in BT-20 and MDA-MB-436 cells. Through utilizing shRNAs targeting HNF1A-AS1, we discovered that HNF1A-AS1 expression was knocked down in BT-20 and MDA-MB-436 cells (Figure 1B). After that, we designed and conducted loss-of-function experiments in BT-20 and MDA-MB-436 cells. The results from colony formation assay manifested that the number of colonies was declined after silencing HNF1A-AS1 (Figure 1C), indicating that the proliferative capability of BT-20 and MDA-MB-436 cells was restrained by HNF1A-AS1 depletion. Meanwhile, EdU staining experiment also verified that cell proliferation could be hampered by silencing HNF1A-AS1, validated by the notable reduction of EdU positive cells in this case (Figures 1D and S1C). In the meantime, we evaluated the influence of silencing HNF1A-AS1 on cell apoptosis via JC-1 assay, TUNEL assay and flow cytometry analysis. It was discovered that JC-1 ratio was decreased when HNF1A-AS1 was knocked down in both TNBC cells (Figure 1E). Also, the proportion of TUNEL positive cells was elevated after HNF1A-AS1 was inhibited (Figures 1F and S1D). Moreover, cell apoptosis rate was obviously heightened after silencing HNF1A-AS1 (Figure 1G). These results

Figure 1 HNF1A-AS1 was upregulated in TNBC and its knockdown restrained the tumorigenesis of TNBC. (A) The expression of HNF1A-AS1 in TNBC cell lines (MDA-MB-453, MDA-MB-468, MDA-MB-231, BT-20 and MDA-MB-436 cells) and human breast epithelial cell line (MCF-12F) was tested through qRT-PCR. (B) The knockdown efficiency of HNF1A-AS1 in BT-20 and MDA-MB-436 cells was detected through qRT-PCR. (C) Colony formation assay was implemented to measure the cell proliferation after knocking down HNF1A-AS1 in BT-20 and MDA-MB-436 cells. (D) EdU staining experiment was further conducted to estimate cell proliferation after silencing HNF1A-AS1 in BT-20 and MDA-MB-436 cells. (E) Cell apoptosis was estimated through JC-1 assay in BT-20 and MDA-MB-436 cells transfected with sh-HNF1A-AS1#1/2. (F) TUNEL assay was utilized to test cell apoptosis when HNF1A-AS1 was inhibited. (G) Flow cytometry experiment was performed to detect cell apoptosis rate after HNF1A-AS1 was knocked down. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.
demonstrated that cell apoptosis could be enhanced by HNF1A-AS1 deficiency. To further verify the role of HNF1A-AS1 in TNBC tumorigenesis, we also carried out in vivo xenograft experiments. As anticipated, in comparison to the data obtained from the control group, loss of HNF1A-AS1 slowed tumor growth rate (Figure S2A), resulting in smaller tumor size and lighter tumor weight (Figure S2B–C). Therefore, we concluded that HNF1A-AS1 was upregulated in TNBC, and silencing HNF1A-AS1 could repress the tumorigenesis of TNBC in vitro and in vivo.

HNF1A-AS1 Was Transcriptionally Stimulated by GATA1 in TNBC Cells

Reports have revealed the crucial role of transcription factors (TFs) in the dysregulation of IncRNAs in cancers. Here, we adopted UCSC (University of California, Santa Cruz; http://genome.ucsc.edu/) and JASPAR (http://jaspar.genereg.net/) to discover the probable TFs of HNF1A-AS1. As a result, GATA-binding protein 1 (GATA1) was found to potentially regulate HNF1A-AS1 transcription. In addition, according to the binding motif of GATA1 predicted by JASPAR (Figure 2A), we discovered three latent binding sites for GATA1 in HNF1A-AS1 promoter (Figure 2B). After that, we validated that the expression of GATA1 was boosted by pcDNA3.1/GATA1 transfection but reduced by the transfection of sh-GATA1#1/2 in BT-20 and MDA-MB-436 cells through qRT-PCR (Figure 2C). Importantly, qRT-PCR tested that HNF1A-AS1 expression in BT-20 and MDA-MB-436 cells was strengthened under GATA1 overexpression but declined by GATA1 depletion (Figure 2D), indicating a positive correlation between GATA1 and HNF1A-AS1 in TNBC. Then, luciferase reporter experiment was implemented and the results demonstrated that the luciferase activity of wild-type HNF1A-AS1 promoter was visibly elevated by GATA1 overexpression, while such elevation could be gradually counteracted after mutation in the predicted three sites and completely offset when all the sites were mutated (Figure 2E). This suggested that GATA1 could bind with HNF1A-AS1 promoter at all the three sites. Moreover, ChIP assay data further proved that HNF1A-AS1 promoter was highly enriched in anti-GATA1-precipitated complexes (Figure 2F). Taken together, we confirmed that HNF1A-AS1 was transcriptionally activated by GATA1 in TNBC.

HNF1A-AS1 Sponged miR-32-5p in TNBC Cells

Researches have indicated that the regulatory mechanism IncRNAs exerted in cancer development depended on their localization in cancer cells. Hence, we implemented subcellular fractionation assay before investigating the regulatory mechanism of HNF1A-AS1 in TNBC. The results displayed that HNF1A-AS1 was mostly located in the cytoplasm of BT-20 and MDA-MB-436 cells (Figure 3A). Subsequently, the results of FISH assay further corroborated that HNF1A-AS1 was a cytoplasmic IncRNA in TNBC cells (Figure 3B). Recently, IncRNAs have been recognized to serve as a miRNA sponge to release miRNA-targeted mRNAs in cytoplasm. Thus, we utilized ENCORI (The Encyclopedia of RNA Interactomes; http://starbase.sysu.edu.cn/) to search for the miRNAs binding to HNF1A-AS1, and then eight miRNAs were discovered. Subsequently, RNA pull-down experiments were carried out to screen out the most suitable miRNA in TNBC cells. The results indicated that miR-32-5p could be visibly enriched by HNF1A-AS1 biotin probe, while other miRNAs did not represent notable enrichments (Figure 3C). Based on this, we selected miR-32-5p as the downstream miRNA of HNF1A-AS1 and tested its expression in TNBC cell lines through qRT-PCR. Results displayed a significant reduction of miR-32-5p expression in TNBC cells (Figure 3D). After that, RNA pull-down experiment further indicated that HNF1A-AS1 could be enriched by biotinylated miR-32-5p-WT rather than biotinylated miR-32-5p-Mut (Figure 3E), which demonstrated that miR-32-5p could bind with HNF1A-AS1. Then, we predicted the binding site of miR-32-5p in HNF1A-AS1 through ENCORI (Figure 3F). After overexpressing miR-32-5p in BT-20 and MDA-MB-436 cells with the transfection of miR-32-5p mimics (Figure 3G), we conducted luciferase reporter experiments to further verify the correlation between miR-32-5p and HNF1A-AS1. As a result, we discovered that the luciferase activity of HNF1A-AS1-WT was reduced by upregulated miR-32-5p, while that of HNF1A-AS1-Mut did not be affected under such circumstance (Figure 3H). In conclusion, HNF1A-AS1 could sponge miR-32-5p in TNBC cells.

MiR-32-5p Restrained Cell Proliferation and Facilitated Cell Apoptosis in TNBC

Then, we continued to search the function of miR-32-5p in TNBC cells. Seen from the data of colony formation assay,
Figure 2 HNF1A-AS1 was stimulated by GATA1 in TNBC. (A) The DNA binding motif of GATA1 predicted by JASPAR. (B) JASPAR predicted the binding sites of GATA1 in HNF1A-AS1 promoter. (C) The overexpression and interference efficiencies of GATA1 in BT-20 and MDA-MB-436 cells were evaluated by qRT-PCR. (D) The expression of HNF1A-AS1 was tested through qRT-PCR when GATA1 was overexpressed or inhibited in BT-20 and MDA-MB-436 cells. (E) Luciferase reporter experiments were adopted to detect the impact of GATA1 overexpression on the activity of different HNF1A-AS1 promoter. (F) ChiP assay was utilized to detect the correlation of GATA1 with HNF1A-AS1 promoter. *P<0.05, **P < 0.01. Abbreviation: n.s., no significance.

we discovered a remarkable reduction in the number of colonies under miR-32-5p overexpression (Figure 4A). Also, the outcomes of EdU assay also displayed that upregulating miR-32-5p led to an obvious decrease in the percent of EdU positive cells (Figure 4B). These data indicated that cell proliferation could be inhibited by miR-32-5p overexpression. Then, cell apoptosis was estimated through JC-1, TUNEL and flow cytometry experiments. The results of JC-1 experiment displayed that upregulating miR-32-5p evidently lessened the JC-1 ration in the two kinds of TNBC cells (Figure 4C). Also, the rate of TUNEL positive cells was increased due to miR-32-5p upregulation in BT-20 and MDA-MB-436 cells (Figure 4D). In addition, the apoptosis rates of both TNBC cells were elevated after overexpressing miR-32-5p (Figure 4E). These results demonstrated that cell apoptosis was accelerated by miR-32-5p overexpression. In short, we confirmed that miR-32-5p could restrain cell growth in TNBC.

RNF38 Was a Target of miR-32-5p in TNBC

Subsequently, we began to search for the target mRNAs of miR-32-5p through ENCORI and 3 mRNAs were found under the shared prediction of PITA, RNA22, miRmap, micrT and miRanda programs (Figure 5A). Then, qRT-PCR examined the expression of NSMAF (neutral sphingomyelinase activation associated factor), KLF4 (Kruppel
like factor 4) and RNF38 (ring finger protein 38) in BT-20 and MDA-MB-436 cells transfected with miR-32-5p mimics. Intriguingly, ectopic expression of miR-32-5p resulted in a visible declination of RNF38 expression while had almost no impacts on the levels of other mRNAs (Figure 5B). Thus, we selected RNF38 to conduct...
Further experiments. The results of qRT-PCR analysis displayed that RNF38 was highly expressed in TNBC cells (Figure 5C). Then, we utilized ENCORI to predict the binding site between RNF38 and miR-32-5p (Figure 5D). Thereafter, RIP assay was conducted and the results indicated that HNF1A-AS1, RNF38 and miR-32-5p were all enriched in the anti-Ago2 group (Figure 5E), demonstrating that all of them existed in RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC). Next, RNA pull-down experiments were implemented and the results indicated that RNF38 could be strongly enriched by biotinylated miR-32-5p-WT (Figure 5F). Furthermore, based on the data of luciferase reporter experiments, we discovered that only the luciferase activity of RNF38 3'UTR-WT was declined by miR-32-5p overexpression (Figure 5G). All in all, we verified that RNF38 was a target of miR-32-5p in TNBC.

HNF1A-AS1 Accelerated the Progression of TNBC via Regulating RNF38

To inquire whether HNF1A-AS1 could affect TNBC progression by regulating RNF38, we implemented rescue experiments. Before that, the overexpression efficiency of RNF38 in BT-20 and MDA-MB-436 cells was determined by qRT-PCR and Western blot experiments. The results displayed that both the mRNA level and protein level of RNF38 were elevated under the transfection of pcDNA3.1/RNF38 (Figure 6A). Then, according to colony formation assay data, we observed the decreased number of colonies caused by silenced HNF1A-AS1 was then recovered by overexpressed RNF38 (Figure 6B). Besides, it was indicated through EdU assay that the percentage of EdU positive cells was declined owing to the absence of HNF1A-AS1, but this trend was reversed after the co-transfection of pcDNA3.1/RNF38 (Figures 6C and S2D). These results demonstrated that RNF38 overexpression restored the repressed cell proliferation caused by HNF1A-AS1 inhibition. Later, through JC-1 assay, we discovered that HNF1A-AS1 depletion exerted the repressive function in JC-1 ratio and RNF38 overexpression reversed this phenomenon (Figure 6D). Next, TUNEL assay displayed that the declined rate of TUNEL positive cells due to HNF1A-AS1 deficiency was then normalized after upregulating RNF38 (Figures 6E and S2E). Finally, through flow cytometry experiment, we discovered that cell apoptosis rate that was enhanced by HNF1A-AS1 depletion could be abolished with the co-transfection of pcDNA3.1/RNF38 (Figure 6F). Taken together, HNF1A-AS1 could accelerate the progression of TNBC via an RNF38-mediated manner.

Discussion

Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) is a highly invasive subtype of breast cancer with a high mortality rate and has become a major threat to the health of women worldwide. In recent years, an increasing number of researches have confirmed that lncRNAs exert significant regulatory
functions in human cancers, including TNBC. For example, Tang et al uncovered that PVT1 could modulate the progression of TNBC through KLF5/beta-catenin signaling. Wang et al identified C-MYC-induced SNHG12 facilitated cell proliferation and migration in TNBC. Moreover, MALAT1 was discovered to facilitate TNBC cell proliferation and invasion via targeting miR-129-5p. Here, we chose HNF1A-AS1 to be our research object since it was confirmed to be an oncogene in bladder cancer, non-small cell lung cancer, colorectal cancer and so on. In our research, we first discovered that HNF1A-AS1 was overexpressed in TNBC tissues and cell lines. Of interest, its upregulation could also be seen in ER-positive cell lines but not in HER2-positive cell lines. This might suggest the potential role of HNF1A-AS1 in ER-positive breast cancer as well, while such speculation needs to be validated in the future. Furthermore, we demonstrated that knockdown of HNF1A-AS1 restrained cell growth in vitro and hindered tumorigenesis in vivo in TNBC. All the data validated the oncogenic property of HNF1A-AS1 in TNBC, the similar function as it exerted in other cancer types like osteosarcoma and gastric cancer.

Interestingly, we found GATA1 was the transcription factor (TF) of HNF1A-AS1. Previously, GATA1 has been unveiled to be overexpressed and also function as a TF in breast carcinomas. Through mechanism experiments, we proved the interaction of GATA1 with HNF1A-AS1 promoter and also discovered that HNF1A-AS1 expression could be promoted by GATA1. It indicated that HNF1A-AS1 could be transcriptionally activated by GATA1 in TNBC.
Previous reports indicated that lncRNAs could modulate cancer progression through the competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) network in cytoplasm.\(^{36}\) It is worth noting that the ceRNA network is a main regulatory mechanism for lncRNAs localized in the cytoplasm to modulate gene expression at the post-transcriptional level.\(^{37}\) In our study, we first proved the predominant distribution of HNF1A-AS1 in the cytoplasm of TNBC cells. Then, we utilized bioinformatics tools and discovered miR-32-5p as the downstream miRNA of HNF1A-AS1 in TNBC. In others’ researches, miR-32-5p was found to restrain the malignant phenotypes in cervical cancer,\(^{20}\) clear cell renal cell carcinoma\(^{38}\) and even TNBC.\(^{39}\) Consistently, here we discovered the down-regulation of miR-32-5p in TNBC cells, and also unmasked that miR-32-5p overexpression repressed cell growth in TNBC.

Present work proved RNF38 as the target of miR-32-5p in TNBC. RNF38 was confirmed to be an oncogene in several cancers, such as gastric cancer,\(^{23}\) hepatocellular carcinoma,\(^{22}\) and non-small cell lung cancer.\(^{24}\) In our research, we discovered a remarkable upregulation of RNF38 in TNBC cells. Besides, RNF38 was positively regulated by HNF1A-AS1 and negatively modulated by miR-32-5p. Furthermore, RNF38 was proved to compete with HNF1A-AS1 for the binding of miR-32-5p. In the end, rescue experiments demonstrated that overexpressed RNF38 could offset the restraining influence of silencing HNF1A-AS1 on TNBC cell growth.

Present research unveiled the oncogenic role of HNF1A-AS1 in TNBC through in vitro and in vivo experiments, and

**Figure 6** HNF1A-AS1 accelerated the progression of TNBC via regulating RNF38. (A) The qRT-PCR and Western blot were adopted to detect the overexpression efficiency of HNF1A-AS1 in BT-20 and MDA-MB-436 cells after transfecting with pcDNA3.1/RNF38. (B) Colony formation assay was conducted in different groups to detect the influence of silencing HNF1A-AS1 and overexpressing RNF38 on cell proliferation. (C) Edu staining was further adopted to measure cell proliferation in different groups. (D) Cell apoptosis in indicated groups was accessed through JC-1 assay. (E) TUNEL assay was applied for testing cell apoptosis in different groups. (F) Flow cytometry analysis was performed to evaluate cell apoptosis in different groups. *\(^{\text{**}}\)P < 0.01.
this has also been unveiled by a recent report focusing on breast cancer.\textsuperscript{40} Intriguingly, although both the two studies suggested HNF1A-AS1 as a ceRNA, our work disclosed the HNF1A-AS1/miR-32-5p/RNF38 network in TNBC, while the abovementioned literature discovered HNF1A-AS1/miR-20a-5p/TRIM32 axis in breast cancer.\textsuperscript{40} Besides, the current research further figured out that HNF1A-AS1 upregulation in TNBC was transcriptionally induced by GATA1.

However, the lack of the data of the correlations among HNF1A-AS1, miR-32-5p and RNF38 in TNBC samples is still a shortcoming due to the limited sample size, so are the data of their association with the survival of TNBC patients. Also, the downstream mechanism whereby RNF38 contributes to TNBC progression is covered presently. Moreover, since we also found the distribution of some HNF1A-AS1 in the nucleus, we have to admit that it is one of our limitations for not exploring the nuclear role of HNF1A-AS1 in TNBC cells. Importantly, we believe all the limitations will be overcome in future studies.

**Conclusion**

Taken together, GATA1-activated HNF1A-AS1 exerted the carcinogenic effect on TNBC. In addition, we proved that HNF1A-AS1 could facilitate the progression of TNBC via sponging miR-32-5p to upregulate RNF38. This discovery may provide a new idea to explore the therapeutic strategies of TNBC.
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