Effect of Different Weed Management Practices on Growth and Yield of Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.)
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**A B S T R A C T**

The present investigation was undertaken to evaluate the effect of integrated weed management practices on weeds as well as crop growth and yield parameters in brinjal cv. BH-674 during 2012-13 at vegetable research farm, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana. The field experiment comprised of various chemicals (weedicides) and mulch. All the weed management treatments significantly reduced the dry weight and count of weeds. Among various treatments, black polyethylene mulch was excellent in controlling weed population and it was followed by pre-emergence application of oxyflurofen @ 0.15 kg/ha along with hand weeding 45 days after transplanting (DAT) with respect to dry weight of weeds, plant height, number of branches, average fruit weight, fruits per plant, fruit length and girth and total yield. Maximum cost benefit ratio was obtained with oxyflurofen @ 0.15 kg/ha along with hand weeding.
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**Introduction**

Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) also known as eggplant or aubergine is an important commercial vegetable crop grown throughout the India mainly by small, marginal and resource-poor farmers. It is the second highest consumed vegetable in India after potato and is considered as poor man’s vegetable. It is quite high in nutritive value and can be well compared with tomato and is consumed as a cooked vegetable in various ways.

Brinjal is cultivated in different seasons in different parts of country. The maximum area under this crop is during kharif season. Where success of crop depends on many factors and effective weed management is one of most prior for a successful crop. Favorable environmental conditions, its wider spacing and liberal use of farmyard manure, chemical fertilizers and frequent irrigations encourage the weeds to grow vigorously and lead to severe weed competition particularly during early stages of its growth. It has been estimated that losses in yield due to weeds alone vary from 10 to 70% depending upon the extent of weed infestation (Mani *et al.*, 1968). It is difficult to control manually because of poor efficiency of the labour in summer and rainy season besides heavy cost of manual weeding. There seems to be good scope to make use of selective chemical and cultural control to attain season long control.
of weeds (Reddy et al., 2000). Mulching is often practiced in vegetables to effectively reduce moisture loss during hot summer months and to reduce soil erosion and nutrient loss (Clough et al., 1990). Mulching also provides effective control of weeds (Aniekwe et al., 2004). Black polyethylene mulch prevents sunlight from reaching the soil which helps to inhibit germination and growth of most of the weeds (Sinha, 2002). The present study was designed to investigate the feasibility of using mulch materials and herbicides as a weed control approach.

Materials and Methods

The present experiment was conducted at Vegetable Research Farm, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana situated at 30° 54’ N latitude and 75° 48’ E longitude at a mean height of 247 meters above sea level. The experimental design was Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications having fifteen treatments comprising of hand weeding, mulching, pre-transplanting treatments with trifluralin (0.240 kg/ha and 0.300 kg/ha), pendimethalin (0.56 kg/ha and 0.75 kg/ha), oxyfluorfen (0.15 kg/ha and 0.20 kg/ha) and post-transplanting treatment with paraquat (1.0 kg/ha) and un-weeded check. Treatment details are given in Table 1 and 2. The desired herbicide was dissolved in 500 liters of water per hectare and was done by hand operated knap-sack sprayer with flat-fan nozzle. Trifluralin, pendimethalin and oxyfluorfen were applied as blanket spray whereas paraquat was applied as directed spray as per treatments. Observations on various growth and yield parameters of crop viz., plant height, number of branches per plant, number and weight of fruits per plant, fruit yield as well as observations on weeds such as weed count, dry matter of weeds and weed control efficiency as influenced by various treatments were recorded. The economics of these weed management practices were also worked out. The data for each parameter were subjected to analysis of variance technique and the means were separated by LSD test (Steel and Torrie, 1981).

Results and Discussion

Weed species observed in the experimental fields were Cynodon dactylon, Eleusine indica, Cyperus rotundus, Phyllanthus niruri, Triantllhema portulacastrum, Portulaca oleracea, Parthenium hysterophorus, Euphorbia hirta, Amaranthus viridi and Convolvulus arvensis. The effect of various weed control practices on weed number, weed dry matter accumulation, weed control efficiency and weed index are presented in Table 1. Maintaining weed free conditions and black mulch caused most significant reduction in number and dry matter production by weeds at 30, 60 and 90 DAT (Table 1). In herbicide based treatments minimum number and dry weight of weeds were obtained in oxyfluorfen (pre-emergence) @ 0.20 kg/ha at 30 DAT followed by oxyfluorfen (pre-emergence) @ 0.15 kg/ha + hoeing (45 DAT) at 60 and 90 DAT. The weed control efficiency of the treatment black polyethylene mulch was 97.56, 96.72 and 96.59 percent after 30, 60 and 90 DAT respectively while weed index was is - 0.73 percent. Mulching with black polyethylene resulted in better weed control efficiency as soil was covered thoroughly so there was no space for weeds to grow. Manual weeding at 45 DAT showed better result followed by treatment comprising with post-emergence application of paraquat at 45 DAT, thus showing better result than other treatments. These findings are in line with similar results obtained by Nadagouda (1995). Among the herbicides oxyfluorfen was very effective in controlling the weeds and resulted in significantly higher weed control efficiency.
### Table 1 Effect of weed control treatments on weed count, weed dry weight, weed control efficiency at different intervals and weed index

| Treatment                                           | Weed count (number/m²) | Dry weight (g/m²) | Weed control efficiency (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
|                                                     | 30 DAT | 60 DAT | 90 DAT | 30 DAT | 60 DAT | 90 DAT | 30 DAT | 60 DAT | 90 DAT |
| Pendimethalin (pre transplant) @ 0.56 kg/ha         | 38.0   | 217.0  | 329.0  | 81.6   | 116.6  | 155.0  | 81.46  | 31.11  | 19.95  |
| Pendimethalin (pre transplant) @ 0.75 kg/ha         | 35.0   | 211.7  | 347.3  | 70.0   | 111.6  | 166.6  | 82.93  | 32.80  | 15.49  |
| Pendimethalin (pre transplant) @ 0.56 kg/ha + hoeing (45 DAT) | 40.7   | 91.0   | 209.7  | 71.6   | 55.3   | 81.6   | 80.16  | 71.11  | 48.99  |
| Pendimethalin (pre transplant) @ 0.56 kg/ha + paraquat 1.0 kg/ha (directed spray at 45 DAT) | 33.3   | 161.0  | 240.0  | 76.6   | 65.0   | 125.0  | 83.74  | 48.89  | 41.61  |
| Oxyfluorfen (pre transplant) @ 0.15 kg/ha          | 39.3   | 198.0  | 313.7  | 71.6   | 105.0  | 161.6  | 80.81  | 37.14  | 23.68  |
| Oxyfluorfen (pre transplant) @ 0.20 kg/ha          | 30.0   | 113.0  | 308.7  | 65.0   | 103.3  | 160.0  | 85.37  | 64.13  | 24.90  |
| Oxyfluorfen (pre transplant) @ 0.15 kg/ha + hoeing (45 DAT) | 33.7   | 86.3   | 190.3  | 40.0   | 71.3   | 68.3   | 83.58  | 72.59  | 53.69  |
| Oxyfluorfen (pre transplant) @ 0.15 kg/ha + paraquat 1.0 kg/ha (directed spray at 45 DAT) | 33.3   | 150.3  | 203.0  | 72.0   | 65.0   | 123.3  | 83.74  | 52.28  | 50.61  |
| Trifluralin (pre transplant) @ 60 kg/ha             | 43.3   | 207.6  | 342.0  | 88.3   | 110.0  | 143.3  | 78.86  | 34.07  | 16.79  |
| Trifluralin (pre transplant) @ 0.90 kg/ha          | 40.0   | 191.6  | 324.0  | 75.0   | 91.6   | 108.3  | 85.49  | 39.15  | 21.17  |
| Trifluralin (pre transplant) @ 0.60 kg/ha + hoeing (45 DAT) | 41.3   | 112.3  | 206.3  | 83.6   | 62.0   | 95.0   | 89.84  | 64.34  | 49.80  |
| Trifluralin (pre transplant) @ 0.60 kg/ha + paraquat 1.0 kg/ha (directed spray at 45 DAT) | 40.7   | 161.0  | 276.0  | 85.0   | 63.6   | 105.0  | 80.16  | 48.89  | 32.85  |
| Black mulch                                        | 5.0    | 10.3   | 14.0   | 10.0   | 25.0   | 20.0   | 97.56  | 96.72  | 96.59  |
| Weed free                                          | 0.0    | 0.0    | 0.0    | 0.0    | 0.0    | 0.0    | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Un-weeded                                           | 205.0  | 315.0  | 411.0  | 218.3  | 228.6  | 253.3  | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   |
| C D (P=0.05)                                       | 6.8    | 8.6    | 8.6    | 8.6    | 24.4   | 25.5   | -      | -      | -      |
Table 2 Effect of weed control treatments on growth, yield attributes, fruit yield of brinjal and cost benefit ratio

| Treatment                                   | Plant height (cm) | Number of branches | Average fruit weight (g) | Fruits/plant | Fruit girth (cm) | Fruit length (cm) | Total yield (q/ha) |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|
|                                            | 30 DAT | 60 DAT | 90 DAT |                        |                 |                   |                    |                    |
| Pendimethalin (pre transplant) @ 0.56 kg/ha | 25.3   | 42.2   | 62.9   | 20.3                    | 47.5           | 35.3              | 38.2               | 10.89              | 437.6              |
| Pendimethalin (pre transplant) @ 0.75 kg/ha | 24.6   | 40.2   | 60.2   | 21.6                    | 48.9           | 36.2              | 36.8               | 10.22              | 438.7              |
| Pendimethalin (pre transplant) @ 0.56 kg/ha + hoeing (45 DAT) | 23.3 | 42.8 | 62.8 | 22.5 | 48.5 | 37.4 | 40.0 | 12.56 | 482.2 |
| Pendimethalin (pre transplant) @ 0.56 kg/ha + paraquat 1.0 kg /ha (directed spray at 45 DAT) | 26.1 | 46.2 | 64.2 | 22.3 | 50.0 | 37.9 | 38.0 | 11.78 | 471.0 |
| Oxyfluorfen (pre transplant) @ 0.15 kg/ha | 20.6   | 39.5   | 59.5   | 21.3                    | 49.1           | 35.1              | 37.5               | 9.89               | 426.5              |
| Oxyfluorfen (pre transplant) @ 0.20 kg/ha | 25.6   | 41.3   | 61.3   | 20.6                    | 48.5           | 35.9              | 37.4               | 9.78               | 434.9              |
| Oxyfluorfen (pre transplant) @ 0.15 kg/ha + hoeing (45 DAT) | 24.6 | 44.1 | 64.1 | 23.0 | 47.1 | 39.1 | 40.4 | 12.44 | 489.2 |
| Oxyfluorfen (pre transplant) @ 0.15 kg/ha + paraquat 1.0 kg /ha (directed spray at 45 DAT) | 23.0 | 42.7 | 62.7 | 22.6 | 48.1 | 36.8 | 38.0 | 11.55 | 430.9 |
| Trifluralin (pre transplant) @ 60 kg/ha | 22.1   | 43.1   | 61.1   | 19.6                    | 48.5           | 35.2              | 36.8               | 9.22               | 409.9              |
| Trifluralin (pre transplant) @ 0.90 kg/ha | 20.5   | 40.7   | 60.7   | 21.0                    | 47.0           | 36.2              | 36.5               | 10.00              | 424.2              |
| Trifluralin (pre transplant) @ 0.60 kg/ha + hoeing (45 DAT) | 25.0 | 43.4 | 63.2 | 23.0 | 47.5 | 38.1 | 40.3 | 12.00 | 477.2 |
| Trifluralin (pre transplant) @ 0.60 kg/ha+paraquat 1.0 kg /ha (directed spray at 45 DAT) | 23.1 | 40.6 | 60.6 | 22.0 | 48.0 | 38.4 | 37.8 | 11.78 | 472.1 |
| Black mulch                                 | 26.0   | 44.2   | 66.4   | 25.6                    | 55.5           | 45.9              | 42.3               | 14.67              | 510.5              |
| Weed free                                   | 23.1   | 41.5   | 61.8   | 25.1                    | 53.4           | 43.0              | 40.6               | 13.11              | 506.8              |
| Un-weeded                                   | 19.6   | 38.8   | 58.8   | 18.0                    | 37.0           | 28.7              | 35.0               | 8.78               | 341.0              |
| C D (P=0.05)                                | N.S    | 3.6    | 2.6    | 1.7                     | 6.6            | 2.7               | 1.6                | 0.91               | 4.2                |
In present study it was noticed that plants gained maximum height of 44.2 and 66.4 cm after 60 and 90 DAT and number of branches recorded were 25.6 (Table 2). It was most probably due to the fact that in these plants due to lesser competition with weeds it encouraged growth of plant which was depict in terms of better height and more number of branches.

With respect to yield parameters, significantly highest number of fruits per plant (45.9) and average weight of fruit (55.5g) were recorded with black polyethylene mulch. There was significant difference in yield of plots influenced by different treatments. It was observed that maximum yield of 510.5q/ha was obtained from plots which were influenced by black mulch which is significantly higher than other treated plots and was at par with weed free plots also. Among herbicides maximum yield of 489.2 q/ha was obtained in oxyfluorfen (pre-emergence) @ 0.15 kg/ha + hoeing (45 DAT) which was significantly higher than other chemically treated plots. The higher yield may be attributed to lower dry matter accumulation by weeds and decrease in their population that helped increasing the yield attributes which ultimately led to higher yield (Mekki et al., 2010).

Weed has become a major problem in vegetable nurseries as well as in crops. In rainy season weeds effect crop very badly. Since, in this research trial black plastic mulch is concluded as best remedy for controlling weeds in brinjal nursery as well as crop but is not economically good as per high cost of mulch. Chemical treatments integrated with cultural practices are more viable for controlling weeds. So, it is concluded that there should be new approach for controlling weeds that is integrated weed management which is both economic as well as eco-friendly. Integrating weed management practices may help easy and economic removal of weed without harming the harmony of nature.
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