Evolution of physicochemical species concentration in streams based on heavy rainfall event data obtained for high-frequency monitoring
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ABSTRACT

Studies carried out over short time intervals assist in understanding the biogeochemical processes occurring relatively fast in natural waters. High frequency monitoring shows a greater variability in the water quality during and immediately after heavy rainfall events. This paper presents an assessment of the surface water quality parameters in the Atlantic Forest biome, caused by heavy rainfall events. The work was developed in two fluviometric sections of the Concordia River watershed, located in the state of Santa Catarina, southern Brazil. The spatial distribution of land use shows the predominance of Atlantic Forest in fluviometric section 1 (FS1) and pasture, forestry, agriculture, and Atlantic Forest in fluviometric section 2 (FS2). In each selected heavy rainfall event, the evolution rainfall height, the water level, and physicochemical parameters of water were analyzed. In all events, the water quality changed due to the heavy rainfall. After the events, an increase in water level and turbidity in both fluviometric sections were detected. In addition, the ammonium ion concentration increased in the river, and the pH value and nitrate concentration decreased. The electrical conductivity presented different behavior in each section. The dissolved oxygen concentration increased in 19 of 27 events. The principal component (PC1) correlated with the turbidity in FS1, and it correlated with level, turbidity, and pH in FS2.
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RESUMO

Estudos realizados em intervalos curtos de tempo auxiliam no entendimento dos processos biogeoquímicos, que ocorrem relativamente rápido em águas naturais. Os dados de alta frequência revelam maior variabilidade na qualidade da água durante e imediatamente após os eventos de precipitação intensa. Este artigo busca avaliar as alterações dos parâmetros de qualidade de águas superficiais, em bioma de Mata Atlântica, provocadas por eventos de precipitação intensa. O trabalho foi realizado em duas seções fluviométricas da bacia do Ribeirão Concordia, localizado no estado de Santa Catarina, sul do Brasil. A distribuição espacial do uso do solo demonstra a predominância de Mata Atlântica na seção 1 e pastagem, reflorestamento, Mata Atlântica e agricultura na seção 2. Em cada evento de precipitação intensa selecionado, foi analisada a evolução temporal da altura da precipitação, da cota fluviométrica e de cada parâmetro físico-químico da água. Em todos os eventos a qualidade da água sofreu alterações em virtude da precipitação intensa. Após os eventos, houve aumento da cota e da turbidez em ambas as seções fluviométricas, já o íon amônio teve acréscimo de concentração no rio e o pH diminuiu. A condutividade elétrica apresentou comportamento diferente em cada seção. O nitrito diminuiu sua concentração logo após a precipitação. O oxigênio dissolvido aumentou sua concentração em 19 dos 27 eventos. A componente principal CP1 apresentou correlação com a cota, nitrito e a turbidez, na seção 1 e com cota, turbidez e pH na seção 2.

Palavras-chave: Mata Atlântica; Floresta Ombrofíla Densa; Qualidade da água; Monitoramento in situ.
INTRODUCTION

Water composition is influenced by several physical, chemical, and biological factors. These factors are influenced by natural events and human actions. A natural phenomenon that often occurs is the runoff generated by intense or prolonged rainfall events, even in forested areas (KLIMASZKY et al., 2015). The changes that can occur in water composition depend on the intrinsic characteristics of the environment.

The heavy rainfall events influence the surface and subsurface runoff and carry physical, chemical, and biological elements into the stream, changing their characteristics quickly. Although there are numerous studies showing that weekly samples can be used to elucidate the seasonal response and nutrient flow, high frequency data show a greater variability in the water quality under the influence of heavy rainfall events. The temporal sample collection distribution is critical in determining the chemical status of the stream (WADE et al., 2012) and can be used as a basis for climate assessment and land use changes within a watershed area (MORAETIS et al., 2010).

High frequency measurements are improving and becoming commonplace with the help of automatic monitoring instruments. These technological developments provide rainfall measurements, stream flow, and physicochemical parameters in short time intervals (KIRCHNER et al., 2004), such as seven-hour intervals (HALLIDAY et al., 2012; NEAL et al., 2013; NEAL et al., 2012), two-hour intervals (DONN; BARRON; BARR, 2012), hourly intervals (BOWES et al., 2015; HALLIDAY et al., 2014; JORDAN et al., 2007; RÜCKER; SCHRAUTZER, 2010; WADE et al., 2012), or in minutes (CASSIDY; JORDAN, 2011; DOWNING et al., 2009; MORAETIS et al., 2010; TERCIER-WAEBER et al., 2009). High frequency monitoring helps our understanding of the biogeochemical processes that occur in natural waters in short time intervals (MORAETIS et al., 2010; SANDFORD; EXENBERGER; WORSFOLD, 2007; WADE et al., 2012). These processes play an important role in water balance systems. Studies done in the last 20 years helped to demonstrate the importance of interconnections between the biogeochemical processes in water bodies (NIMICK; GAMMONS; PARKER, 2011).

KIRCHNER et al. (2004) reported that quantitative and qualitative high frequency hydrological monitoring allows the identification of the evolution of variables in short time intervals. Weekly monitoring may miss important rainfall events and thus not reveal the coupling between hydrological and chemical dynamics. Even in daily measurements, observations of these processes can be compromised. PRIEN (2007) stated that in situ sensors are needed to acquire new knowledge of the dynamics of natural systems, such as preserved watersheds.

Cassidy and Jordan (2011) stated that neither random sampling nor increasing the sampling frequency from weekly to daily intervals enabled the proper assessment of the descriptors for water quality parameters. These samples are adequate to characterize the phosphorus transfer through subsurface flow, for example. However, the accuracy in the evolution of total phosphorus concentration was obtained only in samples with hourly intervals or higher frequencies.

Halliday et al. (2014) showed the complexity of the dynamics of nutrients, such as the diurnal cycle two values of maximum concentration, indicating influence of wastewater. The identification of this behavior was only possible due to the high frequency monitoring for a period of two years. The sampling frequency adopted in conventional monitoring programs for water is not representative.

Studies with high frequency sampling of streams managed to correlate changes in the electrical conductivity to the water runoff (ROBSON et al., 1992), demonstrate the diurnal and seasonal dynamics of nutrients (BOWES; SMITH; NEAL, 2009; CASSIDY; JORDAN, 2011; DONN; BARRON; BARR, 2012; HALLIDAY et al., 2014, 2012; MORAETIS et al., 2010; NEAL et al., 2012; SANDFORD; EXENBERGER; WORSFOLD, 2007; SCHOLEFIELD et al., 2005; WADE et al., 2012) and metal species (TERCIER-WAEBER et al., 2009), enable mass balances in watersheds (JORDAN et al., 2007; RÜCKER; SCHRAUTZER, 2010), and analyze the spatial and temporal variations of dissolved oxygen (MALCOLM; SOULSBY; YOUNGSON, 2006).

High-frequency sampling research occurs mainly in Europe, especially in the UK. Thus, this article seeks to assess changes in surface water quality parameters of the Atlantic Forest biome, in Brazil, that are due to heavy rainfall events.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted with high-frequency monitoring data from the Concordia River watershed, located in the state of Santa Catarina (SC), southern Brazil. The watershed has a 30.74 km² drainage area. The location of the study area and the sampling points are shown in Figure 1. Figure 1 shows a map of Brazil with the remaining area of Atlantic Forest coverage, which extends all along the Brazilian coast.

The predominant soil is Cambisols, Argisols, and Gleysols. The climate, according to the Köppen classification, is defined as CFA (humid temperate climate with hot summer). This region has a subtropical climate, which is constantly moist, without a dry season, and with hot summers (average temperature of the warmest month > 22.0 °C). It has an average annual rainfall of 1,514 mm. The original vegetation coverage is Ombrophilous Dense Forest, one of three phytoecological regions of Atlantic forest found in Santa Catarina State. The watershed comprises mainly agricultural activities in small and medium-sized farms (EPAGRI, 2005; SANTA CATARINA, 2006).

Two fluviometric stations were deployed with a data logger recording rainfall, water level, and representative parameters of water quality. The rainfall height was measured at two points in the watershed, with the rain gauge Water Log®, the tipping bucket type, with compensation depending on rain intensity. The equipment was set to store the data at 15 minute intervals. Water levels were measured with a Thalimedes® sensor OTT, buoy, and counterweight inside a PVC pipe installed along the channel margin. The stream water quality parameters were measured in both locations with the use of a multiparameter probe, the Hydrolab DSSX model. The devices employed in this study use ion-selective electrodes to measure nitrate (NO⁻³) and ammonium (NH⁺₄), optical sensors to measure dissolved oxygen and turbidity; and potentiometric sensors to measure hydrogen potential (pH) and electrical conductivity.
The measurement and calibration of the probes were performed with standard solutions with an average time interval of 90 days. The criteria analyzed by these multi-parameter probes are representative of water quality. Of the 125 parameters analyzed by Christensen (2001), only 10 are significant for which water quality criteria were not met. The turbidity is a variable related to total phosphorus and suspended sediments in the water sample. Nitrate and ammonium are important nutrients to monitor for water quality because they originate with plants and agricultural activity (CHAPRA, 1997). The parameters electrical conductivity and pH are related to the water quality of ecosystems; they are the main parameter for this analysis. The pH is adopted as a general indicator of the resilience of a watershed and river against soil acidification. The electrical conductivity is an indicator of total dissolved solids and is generally used to estimate ionic contribution of rainfall and sub-superficial water (MAKINECI; DEMIR; KARTALOGLU, 2015).

Fluviometric section 1 (FS1) is located 726 m above sea level at a latitude 27° 12' S and longitude 49° 28' W and has 0.42 km² drainage area. Fluviometric FS2 (FS2) is located 330 m above sea level at latitude 27° 11' S and 49° 31' W longitude and has a 29.89 km² drainage area. The land use upstream of the Concordia river watershed is shown in Table 1. The spatial distribution of land use is shown in Figure 1. The watershed has a high fragmentation of the land use, mainly due the existence of small - and medium - sized farms.

The native forest area, presented in the FS1, is a remaining region of high-montane Ombrophilous Dense Forest in an advanced stage of succession. The interior of this forest consists of dense and shrubby vegetation, composed of ferns, arborescent bromeliads and palms. The soil of this area is well drained and there is a thick organic layer, due to low degradation of the leaf litter. This is due to the moderate local temperatures. The average temperatures are 5 to 10 °C in the winter and 18 to 25 °C in the summer (LINGNER et al., 2013).

The FS2 area receives contributions from the entire studied watershed. This section is located near the mouth the

---

**Table 1.** Land use upstream of fluviometric sections of the Concordia river watershed.

| Class          | FS1 (%) | FS2 (%) |
|----------------|---------|---------|
| Pasture        | 17.54   |         |
| Planted forest |         | 14.40   |
| Atlantic forest| 100     | 45.10   |
| Water body     | 1.11    |         |
| Agriculture    | 15.65   |         |
| Regeneration   | 2.30    |         |
| Other          | 3.90    |         |

---

**Figure 1.** Atlantic forest cover in Brazil, Santa Catarina State and land use in Concordia River watershed.
Concordia River. Whereas FS1 includes only native forest, the FS2 area represents fragmented land use with agricultural, forest and pasture influences (Table 1).

According to Robson et al. (1993) events that produce low runoff do not produce immediate chemical changes in streams; however, events that produce rising stream flow produce quick responses. Thus, heavy rainfall events were selected based on the results from the rain gauges data. In this study, heavy rainfall events are those where intensity is equal or greater than 56 mm h⁻¹, evaluated at 15 min time intervals. The monitoring period was from 12/16/2011 until 11/30/2013.

In each selected heavy rainfall event, the temporal evolution of height rainfall, water level, and physicochemical parameters of water were analyzed. Water quality time series was from 6 h before the start of rainfall until 18 h after the end of rainfall in order to establish the river situation before and after the event. In FS1, 24 rainfall events were selected, while in FS2, there were 20 events. However, only 12 and 15 events could be used in the study. Problems with the power supply for multiparameter probes prevented the analysis of all the events.

To evaluate any statistical differences before and after rainfall, each parameter was first checked for normal data distribution by using the Shapiro-Wilk test in the percentual difference of each parameter. The paired t-test for the data group, in which a normal distribution and the Wilcoxon test for data that does not have normal distribution, was applied. In all the tests, 0.05 was established as the significance level. The mathematical and statistical calculations were made using Excel 2010 software (Microsoft Office) and the Action package.

The evolution of physicochemical parameters in both gauged sections was compared. The original set of observed variables was transformed into a set of core components with the Past software. The percentual differences between the beginning and end of the rainfall events were used in the calculations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the period of approximately two years, several heavy rainfall events were recorded. Some of the events produced floods in contributing watersheds in FS1 and FS2. The selected events presented rainfall heights ranging from 14.6 - 35.1 mm, which generated maximum water levels ranging from 35 - 67 cm in FS1 and from 203 - 497 cm in FS2 (Table 2). The temporal evolution of rainfall in FS1 and FS2 is shown in Figures 2 and 3, respectively. Above the horizontal line as intense rainfall considered in this study.

**Figure 2.** Temporal evolution of rainfall height in FS1.

**Figure 3.** Temporal evolution of rainfall height in FS2.
Table 2. Rainfall heights, rainflowometric level, and physicochemical parameters prior to the event and at peak maximum variation.

| Rainfall event | Water level (cm) | N | Date | Hour | Rainfall (mm) | Level Before Event | Peak Level | Duration Hours | pH | Dissolved oxygen (mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) | Ammonium (µS/cm) | Nitrate (mg/L) |
|----------------|------------------|---|------|------|-------------|--------------------|------------|---------------|----|---------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| 1              | 00/01/12         | 15 | 00:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 2              | 01/02/12         | 15 | 01:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 3              | 02/02/12         | 15 | 02:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 4              | 03/02/12         | 15 | 03:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 5              | 04/02/12         | 15 | 04:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 6              | 05/02/12         | 15 | 05:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 7              | 06/02/12         | 15 | 06:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 8              | 07/02/12         | 15 | 07:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 9              | 08/02/12         | 15 | 08:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 10             | 09/02/12         | 15 | 09:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 11             | 10/02/12         | 15 | 10:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 12             | 11/02/12         | 15 | 11:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 13             | 12/02/12         | 15 | 12:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 14             | 13/02/12         | 15 | 13:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 15             | 14/02/12         | 15 | 14:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 16             | 15/02/12         | 15 | 15:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 17             | 16/02/12         | 15 | 16:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 18             | 17/02/12         | 15 | 17:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 19             | 18/02/12         | 15 | 18:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 20             | 19/02/12         | 15 | 19:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 21             | 20/02/12         | 15 | 20:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 22             | 21/02/12         | 15 | 21:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
| 23             | 22/02/12         | 15 | 22:00 | 2.88 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 | 17.00 | 17.32 | 0.23 |
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The maximum increase in the dimension of FS1 occurred on average 02 h 13 min after the onset of a heavy rainfall event, while in FS 2, the response time was 5 h 20 min.

Electrical conductivity increased in value in 10 events in FS1 and four events in FS2. That variation occurs probably due to the runoff transporting substances present in the leaf litter, which is abundant in FS1 and rich in ions and polar molecules. Eisalou et al. (2013) compared the electrical conductivity of rainwater to the water flowing through the leaf litter and found a value 3.5 higher in the leaf litter, an increase from 40 - 140 μS.cm⁻¹. Monteiro et al. (2014) found a strong positive relationship between electrical conductivity and dissolved organic carbon in both wet periods and drought in the Amazon jungle. They also reported that the dissolved carbon concentration and electrical conductivity had a good correlation to the streamflow discharge.

There was a reduction of electrical conductivity in two events in FS1 and eleven in FS2, demonstrating that the water that arrived at the scene had a low concentration of polar particles, probably caused by a dilution effect due to increased flow. Additionally, it can be seen that at nine events, the maximum change in conductivity in FS2 occurred after 4 h of rainfall. Penna et al. (2015) found that the electrical conductivity of groundwater and soil was higher in summer, average on 535 μS.cm⁻¹, decreasing in autumn, and presenting the lowest values in spring, average on 238 μS.cm⁻¹, reflecting the effect of dilution as a result of high rainfall in those two seasons; a similar situation was found in most events in FS2. Additionally, Salimon et al. (2013) reported that specific electrical conductivity is inversely related to water discharge and rainfall in Rio Purus, southwestern Amazonia. This is similar to the results here, in that the increase in the flow reduced electrical conductivity.

The FS1 electrical conductivity has greater influence of the runoff than that of FS2. In FS2, the influence of dilution is present (see Figure 4). It should be noted that there is a statistical difference in electrical conductivity after rainfall in FS1 and FS2.

The ammonium ion concentration showed an increase with the passage of the flood. Shortly thereafter, a decrease was observed, and its value was already near the concentration before the passage of the flood. This behavior could be observed in both fluviometric stations in all the events. However, statistical differences were observed (Figure 5). The ammonium concentration increased in FS1 and FS2 from 50 - 2500% and from 12 - 448%, respectively. The great influence generated by the runoff in FS1 is probably due to the forest leaf litter, which is rich in ammonium compounds derived from the decomposition of proteins and nucleic acids. The maximum change occurred on average 1 h 19 min after the start of the event. In FS2, the time to register the maximum concentration of ammonium ion in the river was, on average, 3 h 32 min. The average concentration of ammonium ion in this station had higher values than in FS1, possibly due to the use of fertilizers from agriculture and leaching by surface and subsurface runoff. This result is corroborated by Chen et al. (2014), who confirmed that the agricultural areas were the main sources of nutrients, such as ammonia and sediment in the studied watershed.

Ammonium behavior, increasing at first discharge and reducing over time is similar to that found in a study by Bertol et al. (2011) worked in a burned native camp, subjected to simulated rain. The ammonium concentration in that study diminished...
exponentially from 2 mg L\(^{-1}\), in the beginning, to the end of the runoff, when it was in 0.5 mg L\(^{-1}\). According to the author, the ammonium concentration in the soil at the beginning of runoff is high, so it is transported in large quantities by the surface runoff, as this ion is very soluble in water. Over time, a dilution effect occurs.

The nitrate ion had similar behavior in 23 of the 27 events described. Initially, there is a reduction in concentration and after a few hours, a peak occurred, in most cases, that was higher than the initial concentration (Figure 6). The reduction of the concentration can be attributed to the effect of dilution, as the runoff water that feeds the river may have had low nitrate anion concentration. The subsequent increase in the concentration may have occurred because of an ammonium nitrification reaction, which is thermodynamically favorable in a dissolved oxygen rich environment. Additionally, nitrogen in an aquatic environment is usually found in the form of nitrate. The nitrate increase over time was observed by Tu et al. (2013). They demonstrated that ammonium concentration fell over a two month period, from 6 to 4 mg L\(^{-1}\). The nitrate increased in the same period, from 3 to 9 mg L\(^{-1}\), as the water moved through the leaf litter, showing a high nitrification rate.

Additionally, the subsurface flow probably lixiviated more nitrates, which previously leached into the soil profile. The nitrate in FS2 also has its origin with agricultural activities. However, the initial values of nitrate in FS1 are bigger than in FS2. However, in FS2 there is not a statistical difference in the amount of nitrate ion after heavy rainfall; all the other parameters, on both sections, presented statistical differences after the event. Zhang et al. (2013) showed that gross autotrophic nitrification rates in forest soils (average of 0.19 mg kg\(^{-1}\) d N\(^{-1}\)) in humid subtropical climates were significantly lower than the values determined in agricultural soils (mean 1.81 mg kg\(^{-1}\) d N\(^{-1}\)); the opposite situation was found in the fluviometric sections studied.

Turbidity was usually null or very low in FS1 before intense rainfall. After the event, there was an important increase in turbidity, which rapidly returned to a value close to the original after the flood (Figure 7). The turbidity increased in all events, with statistically significant differences in both stations. In the small Teshio river in northern Japan, which has floodplain and forest characteristics, Aramaki et al. (2010) found an increase of turbidity during a heavy rain event, from 2.4 - 78.2 NTU. The river flow causes the increase in turbulence that facilitated the release of particles that were on the bottom and side, leaving them in suspension. Additionally, the runoff carried the particles from eroded hillsides of the watershed, increasing the radiation dispersion indices measured by turbidity. Blavet et al. (2009) found only positive trends between runoff and turbidity parameters. Kuhlmann et al. (2014) found that the Ipiranga River, although fully inserted in a protected area, shows a strong correlation between rainfall and runoff, similar to the Concordia river, which also includes forest areas.

The FS2 station presents higher initial values of turbidity than FS1, possibly because of the location, as FS2 receives drainage from the entire watershed that has different land uses, and FS1 has only forested area. Supporting this assumption, Kuhlmann et al. (2014) worked at the head area of the Paraibuna River, located in the Atlantic Forest, and reported that the turbidity was one of the variables affected by land use.

An increase in dissolved oxygen occurred in all events in FS1, with an average of 6.6%, and 7 of 14 events in FS2, with an average increase of 35.0%. This is possibly because of the turbulence caused by increased river flow (Figure 8). Moraitis et al. (2010) detected increased dissolved oxygen during heavy rainfall events in a high-frequency monitoring of a Mediterranean river.

FS2 is located at a lower altitude and receives all of the watershed water. A correlation between the dissolved oxygen and land use was not identified. Lee, Choi and An (2012) analyzed the annual and seasonal trends of long-term water chemistry on the
land use patterns and rainfall seasonal Namhan River in Korea and found that dissolved oxygen values had a poor relationship with the land use patterns of forest and farmland.

The oxygen decrease observed in seven events in FS2 may be caused by the oxidation of organic matter. In addition to these phenomena, there is a greater amount of decaying organic matter in FS1, which may have undergone oxidation in water and reduced the concentration of dissolved oxygen along the streamflow. Chiba de Castro, Cunha-Santino and Bianchini Junior (2013) reported that changes in the ecosystem, with the increased availability of nutrients, would reduce the availability of dissolved oxygen. This may explain the low increase in dissolved oxygen in FS2 compared to FS1. However, in both sections, there is a statistical difference in oxygen concentration due to heavy rainfall events.

The pH showed uniform behavior, reducing its value in all heavy rainfall events (Figure 9). In tropical forests, soil acidification is a pedogenic process driven by proton sources, such as acid deposition, nitrification, decoupling of carbonaceous, organic acids, and excessive uptake of cations by vegetation in forest ecosystems (Fujii et al., 2011). Thus, when there is runoff from those soils to the rivers, there may be a reduction in the pH of the water.

The reduction of the pH value followed the rainfall event, was concurrent with the flow, and continued for a longer period. This behavior may be partially attributed to rainwater, which, due to the presence of CO₂ in the air, causes it to have a slightly acidic characteristic. Diniz et al. (2013) analyzed the internal rainfall of a secondary forest in an advanced stage of the Atlantic Forest biome and found a pH of 6.5.

A more pronounced and statistically significant variation in pH was observed in FS1 than FS2. The FS1 is completely covered by Atlantic Forest and the runoff through the leaf litter and soil leaching probably contributed to low pH values (PIAZZA et al., 2014). Miranda, Canellas, and Nascimento (2007) worked with Atlantic Forest fragments and detected pH values of 4.4 in the 0-10 cm soil samples profile. Fu et al. (2015) analyzed the changes in the acidity of the soil 27 years after reforestation in a subtropical area of China. The pH significantly decreased (average of 0.95) after reforestation. Thus, one can explain why FS1, which is a totally forested area, had the most pronounced changes in pH.

From the responses seen in FS1 and FS2, it is possible to establish the response of other places within the Atlantic forest biome. The expected behaviors for streams, with similar land use, and after heavy rainfall, are the same as described in Table 3.

Principal component analysis (PCA) was applied to evaluate the percentage difference that occurred in each parameter for each event after intense rainfall. In FS1 (Table 4), the first PCA (PC1) explains 98.83% of the variability in the data. In FS2 (Table 4), the first PCA (PC1) explains 99.77% of data variability.

According to Sousa and Naval (2015), the monitoring variables may be reduced, especially those that are uncorrelated with the main components. The correlation between the principal components, PC1 and PC2 for FS1 and FS2, are shown in Figures 10 and 11, respectively.

As shown in Figure 10, the parameters that influenced PC1 in FS1 were turbidity. In FS2 (Figure 11), the parameters that influenced PC1 are water level, turbidity, and pH. The parameter that has a greater relationship with PC2, for both FS1 and FS2,
One can relate these parameters to heavy rainfall, since shortly after it occurs, runoff brings decaying organic matter to the river, which over the biogeochemical nitrogen cycle, will release nitrate and ammonium. As reported by Klimaszyk et al. (2015) who investigated the physicochemical properties of the runoff in forests, which occurred within the catchment area of a lake in Poland, found the highest concentrations of ammonium and nitrate in water during heavy rainfall and melting snow. Additionally, Sun et al. (2014) concluded that the degradation of leaf litter in a forest located in the subtropical region of China is probably the main reason for the increase in the concentration of ions on the surface of water.

**Table 3. Water quality alteration after heavy rainfall in the Atlantic forest biome.**

| Parameter   | Quality water after heavy rainfall in Ombrophilous Dense Forest (FS1) | Water quality after heavy rainfall in multiple land use area (FS2) |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Electrical conductivity | Increase in electrical conductivity from 2 to 8. Maximum increase in electrical conductivity occurred between 53 min and 4 h 03 min after the rainfall began. In 8 of 12 events, the maximum alteration occurred less 2 h after precipitation began. | In 10 of the 14 events, the water had decreased ion concentration. Reduction of 6 to 36 µS.cm⁻¹; average of 16.9 µS.cm⁻¹. The maximum reduction occurred, on average, after 5 h after the rainfall began. |
| Ammonium | Concentration increased from 0.08 to 4.37 mg.L⁻¹; 1.16 mg.L⁻¹, on average. The biggest concentration increase occurred, on average, after 1 h 12 min of rainfall. | Concentration increased from 0.07 to 6.48 mg.L⁻¹; 1.30 mg.L⁻¹ on average. The maximum increase occurred about 4h 16 min after rainfall began. |
| Nitrate | Reduction of 0.20 to 12.95 mg.L⁻¹, with the average time being 1h 23 min after rainfall began. | Reduction of 0.11 to 0.62 mg.L⁻¹ in 11 of the 14 events. The maximum reduction occurred, on average, 4 h after rainfall began. |
| Turbidity | Turbidity increased, from 10.3 to 2751 NTU. In half of the events, the maximum turbidity continued until 2 h after the rainfall began. However, it oscillated between 16 min and 8 h 43 min, presenting average time of 2 h 57 min. | Turbidity increased from 223 to 2874 NTU; 1022 NTU on average. The maximum turbidity occurred between 2 h 30 mins and 13 h after the beginning of rainfall; 5h 17 min on average. |
| Dissolved oxygen | Little increase, from 0.26 to 0.80 mg.L⁻¹, with an average value of 0.50 mg.L⁻¹. The maximum value was found after 2 h of rainfall beginning. | In half of the events, an increase of 1.2 mg.L⁻¹ occurred (on average), and in the others, a decrease of 0.67 mg.L⁻¹ (on average). The extreme values were found, on average, after 5 h 41 min of the rainfall beginning. |
| pH | Reduction from 0.21 to 1.89; average of 1.19. The minimum value was found, on average, after 2 h 26 min of the rainfall beginning. | Reduction from 0.09 to 0.63; average of 0.337. The minimum value was found from 01 h 30 min to 10 h 14 min after the precipitation began, with an average time of 6 h 44 min. |

**Figure 10.** Correlation between PC1 and PC2 components in FS1.

**Table 4.** Principal component analysis of the variables studied in FS1 and FS2.

| PC | % variance | % CMT | PC | % variance | % CMT |
|----|------------|-------|----|------------|-------|
| PC 1 | 98.8310 | 98.831 | PC 1 | 99.7740 | 99.774 |
| PC 2 | 1.16830 | 99.999 | PC 2 | 0.17703 | 99.951 |
| PC 3 | 0.00071 | 100.000 | PC 3 | 0.03167 | 99.983 |
| PC 4 | 0.00035 | 100.000 | PC 4 | 0.01403 | 99.997 |
| PC 5 | 0.00004 | 100.000 | PC 5 | 0.00287 | 100.000 |
| PC 6 | 0.00001 | 100.000 | PC 6 | 0.00036 | 100.000 |
| PC 7 | 0.00000 | 100.000 | PC 7 | 0.00001 | 100.000 |

PC – Principal component. CMT – cumulative.
Analyses of the main components PC1 of FS1 and FS2 show the turbidity parameter. Heavy rainfall is a common cause for increased turbidity and raising the water level, such as FS2. Göransson, Larson and Bendz (2013) found a positive correlation between turbidity and rainfall in periods with heavy rainfall.

The FS2 receives water throughout the watershed, bringing with it litter components resulting from soil erosion into the river. The soil of the region has an acidic pH, reaching 3.96 in the Atlantic Forest area and 4.22 in pasture areas (PIAZZA et al., 2014). The leaf litter material in the flow may change the water pH; explaining PC1 in FS2 is related to the pH. In this context, Guigue et al. (2015) found pH 5.0 in leaf litter in a French forest. It is also possible that the rainfall that falls in the region may have slightly acidic pH, contributing in changing the pH of the water. Sun et al. (2014) found that pH from 4.89 - 5.14 in the rainfall of forests in subtropical regions of China.

CONCLUSION

In all studied events, water quality changed due to the occurrence of intense rainfall. Important changes were observed both in FS1, where the use and occupation of the land is only Atlantic Forest without an anthropic influence, and in FS2, where there are different land uses, such as pasture, forestry, Atlantic Forest, and agriculture.

After heavy rainfall, an increase in water level and turbidity were observed in both fluvimetric stations. The ammonium and pH showed similar behavior in the 27 events analyzed. When the ammonium concentration increased, the pH decreased.

The electrical conductivity presented different behavior in each station, demonstrating the relevance of the different land uses. In 23 of the 27 events, the nitrate concentration was reduced, and ion concentration later increased. The dissolved oxygen concentration increased in 20 of 27 events.

In FS1, the PC1 explained 98.831% of the variability of the data, and PC1 of FS2 explained 99.774% of this variability. PC1 correlated with the turbidity in FS1, and water level, turbidity, and pH in FS2.
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