Three-dimensional Structure and Enzymatic Function of Proapoptotic Human p53-inducible Quinone Oxidoreductase PIG3*

Received for publication, February 10, 2009, and in revised form, March 31, 2009 Published, JBC Papers in Press, April 5, 2009, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M109.001800

Sergio Porte†, Eva Valencia§, Evgenia A. Yakovtseva‡, Emma Borràs§, Naeem Shafqat§, Judit É. Debreczenyi, Ashley C. W. Pike‡, Udo Oppermann‡‡, Jaume Farrés§, Ignacio Fita‡, and Xavier Parés††

From the †Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Faculty of Biosciences, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain, the ‡Institut de Biologia Molecular (IBMB-Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas) and IRB Barcelona, Parc Científic de Barcelona, Josep-Samitier 1-5, 08028 Barcelona, Spain, the ¶Structural Genomics Consortium, Old Road Research Campus, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7DQ, United Kingdom, and the ‡1Botnar Research Center, Oxford Biomedical Research Unit, Oxford OX3 7LD, United Kingdom

Tumor suppressor p53 regulates the expression of p53-induced genes (PIG) that trigger apoptosis. PIG3 or TP53I3 is the only known member of the medium chain dehydrogenase/reductase superfamily induced by p53 and is used as a proapoptotic marker. Although the participation of PIG3 in the apoptotic pathway is proven, the protein and its mechanism of action were never characterized. We analyzed human PIG3 enzymatic function and found NADPH-dependent reductase activity with ortho-quinones, which is consistent with the classification of PIG3 in the quinone oxidoreductase family. However, the activity is much lower than that of ζ-crystallin, a better known quinone oxidoreductase. In addition, we report the crystallographic structure of PIG3, which allowed the identification of substrate- and cofactor-binding sites, with residues fully conserved from bacteria to human. Tyr-59 in ζ-crystallin (Tyr-51 in PIG3) was suggested to participate in the catalysis of quinone reduction. However, kinetics of Tyr/Phe and Tyr/Ala mutants of both enzymes demonstrated that the active site Tyr is not catalytic but may participate in substrate binding, consistent with a mechanism based on propinquity effects. It has been proposed that PIG3 contribution to apoptosis would be through oxidative stress generation. We found that in vitro activity and in vivo overexpression of PIG3 accumulate reactive oxygen species. Accordingly, an inactive PIG3 mutant (S151V) did not produce reactive oxygen species in cells, indicating that enzymatically active protein is necessary for this function. This supports that PIG3 action is through oxidative stress produced by its enzymatic activity and provides essential knowledge for eventual control of apoptosis.

PIG3 is an essential transcription factor in the control of the cell cycle, inducing cell cycle arrest or apoptosis, through the control of the expression of distinct genes (1). TP53I3 (tumor protein p53-inducible protein 3), also called PIG3 (p53-inducible gene 3), is one of the p53 protein targets and is used as a long lived proapoptotic marker. PIG3 was discovered along with 12 other proteins in a serial analysis of gene expression studies designed to determine genes induced by p53 before the apoptosis onset (2). In addition, PIG3 expression can also be elicited by p63 and p73 (3), which are able to induce apoptosis in a p53-independent manner (4) and which are implicated in the induction of PIG3 through flavonoid exposure (5). PIG3 expression is largely regulated through its promoter (6), and polymorphisms within this site have been associated with invasive bladder cancer (7) and leukemia (8). Some p53 mutants, which are able to induce cell cycle arrest but not apoptosis, could not induce PIG3 expression (9). Moreover, it has been recently reported that PIG3 expression is also regulated by a new transcription factor (hCAS/CSE1L), which associates with a subset of p53 target genes increasing apoptosis. The factor binds to the PIG3 promoter region, whereas hCAS/CSE1L silencing leads to decreased PIG3 transcription and to increased methylation of histone H3Lys-27 found within the PIG3 gene, finally resulting in decreased apoptosis (10). Despite its clear relationship with apoptosis, the mechanism of the PIG3 action has not been established. The protein shares significant sequence identity with the quinone oxidoreductase (QOR) family, which led us to propose a possible role in apoptosis through a putative QOR function. QORs catalyze qui-
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none reduction, generating hydroxyquinone (11–13), which is reoxidized in the presence of O$_2$ leading to the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Scheme 1). On the basis of this mechanism, it has been proposed that PIG3 may induce oxidative stress, like other p53 targets (14). In support of this notion, oxidative stress has been proposed as a proapoptotic mechanism (15), and PIG3 expression precedes the appearance of ROS in p53-induced apoptosis. However, evidence that supports an apoptotic role of PIG3 by increasing oxidative stress through a QOR function is only indirect because its enzymatic activity has never been reported, and only preliminary information exists on the role of PIG3 in ROS production 	extit{in vivo} (2, 16).

QOR is a poorly studied protein family within the medium chain dehydrogenases/reductases (MDR). There is no report of a quinone by NAD(P)H-dependent quinone-reducing enzymes yields one-electron transfer yields the semiquinone radical. Two-electron reduction excreted. Alternatively, the hydroxyquinone can be oxidized to the semiquinone state by NAD(P)H-dependent quinone-reducing enzymes yields one-electron anion, thereby sustaining ROS generation. Taken in a modified form from Oppermann (13).

In this study, we have characterized the x-ray structure and analyzed the enzymatic properties of PIG3 and its role in ROS generation. The study has been supported by the side-by-side characterization of ζ-crystallin, a more active protein which served as a model and which allowed the recognition of general properties and the enzymatic mechanism of QORs. The identification of PIG3 substrates and active-site structure provides a target for the pharmacological control of apoptosis.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Sequence Analysis and Phylogeny of the PIG3 Subfamily—Orthologous PIG3 genes were identified in annotated eukaryotic genomes using the Ensembl Genome Browser version 38, and both their coding sequences and 5’-untranslated regions were retrieved. In addition, the Xenopus laevis PIG3 protein sequence was obtained by searching the UniProt data base, which also contained the complete Xenopus tropicalis, bovine, and human sequences. PIG3 proteins from nonvertebrate species, including Arabidopsis thaliana, Dicysteostium discoi-

**TABLE 1**

PIG3 data collection and refinement statistics

|                      | Crystal                                      | Crystal                                      |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
|                      | Orthorhombic (2OBY)                         | Tetragonal (2J8Z)                            |
| Space group          | C22,1                                        | P4,22                                        |
| Cell parameters      |                                             |                                              |
| a, b, c              | 68.3, 184.4, 318.0 Å                        | 46.9, 46.9, 315.9 Å                         |
| a, b, γ              | 90, 90, 90°                                  | 90, 90, 90°                                  |
| Subunits per asymmetric unit | 5                                              | 1 |
| No. of observed reflections | 34,254 (6514)                          | 13,313 (881)                                |
| Completeness (%)     | 88.6 (65.0)                                  | 99.8 (99.6)                                 |
| Average 1/σ(f)       | 6.9 (2.5)                                    | 12.1 (4.4)                                  |
| R$_{sym}$            | 10.9% (42.4%)                                | 6.2% (31.1%)                                |
| Resolution range     | 19.94 to 3 Å (3.14 to 3.0 Å)                 | 30 to 2.5 Å (2.56 to 2.5 Å)                 |
| R$_{work}$           | 22% (41.8%)                                  | 23% (30.7%)                                 |
| R$_{free}$           | 26% (45.2%)                                  | 27% (37.7%)                                 |
| No. of observed reflections | 34,254 (6514)                          | 13,313 (881)                                |
| Ramachandran plot statistics |                                             |                                              |
| Residues in most favored regions | 88.8%                                        | 90.3%                                       |
| Residues in additional allowed regions | 10.9%                                        | 9.7%                                        |
| Residues in generously allowed regions | 0.4%                                         | 0%                                         |
| Residues in disallowed regions | 0%                                             | 0%                                         |
| Final model parameters |                                              |                                              |
| No. of monomers      | 5                                             | 1                                           |
| Residues (each monomer) | 334                                          | 330                                         |
| Hetero groups (each monomer) | 1 NADPH                                      | 1 NADPH                                     |
| No. of water molecules | 3                                              | 11                                          |
| Average B-factor protein | 40.6 Å$^2$                                   | 53.8 Å$^2$                                  |
| Covalent bond lengths | 0.005 Å                                       | 0.010 Å                                     |
| Bond angles          | 0.935$^\circ$                                 | 1.302$^\circ$                               |

* R$_{work}$ = $\sum_{i}$$\sum_{j}$F$_{obs}$ - |F$_{calc}$|/$\sum_{i}$$\sum_{j}$F$_{obs}$, where F$_{obs}$ and F$_{calc}$ are the observed and calculated structure factors, respectively.
* R$_{free}$ has the same definition as R$_{work}$ for a cross-validation set of about 5% of the reflections. The last resolution shell is shown in parentheses.
deum, and Paenibacillus larvae, were identified using BLASTP 2.0 (23) in a homology search against nonredundant PIG3 sequences at the NCBI protein database. Sequence analysis and manipulation were carried out using BioEdit Sequence Alignment Editor, version 7.0.4.1 (24). Multiple amino acid sequence alignments were performed using the ClustalW (25) executable version 1.4 distributed with BioEdit and were manually revised.

Cloning of PIG3 cDNA into Bacterial Expression Vectors pGEX-4T-2 and pET-30 Xa/LIC and Mammalian Expression Vector pCMV-HA—Within this study several expression constructs were generated. PIG3 cDNA was obtained from Mammalian Gene Collection (MGC) clones (Open Biosystems, MGC:8642 IMAGE:2961571). Initially, PIG3 cDNA was cloned into pET-30 Xa/LIC (Novagen) by using two primers containing the ligation-independent cloning (LIC) tags (supplemental data). For PIG3 bacterial expression (orthorhombic crystal form) or transient expression in human cells, PIG3 cDNA was amplified by using two primers containing restriction sites for EcoRI, in the forward primer, and SalI, in the reverse primer (supplemental data). The resulting PCR product was inserted into pGEX-4T-2 (GE Healthcare) or pCMV-HA (Clontech). Alternatively, for PIG3 expression in the tetragonal crystal form, PIG3 cDNA was cloned by PCR-LIC into a LIC-adapted, isopropyl 1-thio-β-d-galactopyranoside-inducible (Roche Applied Science), bacterial expression vector (pNIC28-Bsa4, Structural Genomics Consortium, GenBank™ accession number EF198106) with an N-terminal His6 tag and an integrated tobacco etch virus protease site.

Site-directed Mutagenesis—PIG3 mutants were obtained by using the wild-type PIG3 cDNA cloned into pET30-Xa/LIC as a template. Based on the QuickChange™ site-directed mutagenesis kit method (Stratagene), we designed two primers for each mutation as follows: Y51F, Y51A, and S151V (supplemental data). The PIG3 and ζ-crystallin variants were obtained using PIG3 cDNA cloned into pET30-Xa/LIC as a template (17) and two primers for each mutation (supplemental data). All reactions were performed in a DNA thermal cycler (MJ Research) with Pfu Turbo DNA polymerase (Stratagene). PCR products were incubated with DpnI at 37 °C for 60 min to select against the dam-methylated parental strand. The resulting nicked-circular mutagenic strands were transformed into Escherichia coli BL21. Prior to expression, DNA was completely sequenced to ensure that unwanted mutations were absent.

Protein Expression and Purification—For kinetic studies, PIG3 and ζ-crystallin were cloned into pET30 Xa/LIC as
PIG3 Structure and Enzymatic Function

TABLE 2

| Protein                  | Organism       | Amino acid sequence homology | Reaction                       | Best substrates          |
|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| PIG3 (Q53FA7)            | Homo sapiens   |                              |                                |                          |
| Probable QOR (Q8L3C8)    | T. thermophila | 100 100 0                    |                                |                          |
| TED2 (Q41724)            | Zinnia elegans | 28 48 5                      |                                |                          |
| QOR (P28304) (1QOR)      | E. coli        | 28 44 11                     |                                |                          |
| Zta1p (P38230)           | S. cerevisiae  | 28 47 12                     | Quinone → semiquinone           | 9,10-Phenanthrenequinone |
| (1YBS)                   | S. aureus      | 24 40 21                     | Quinone → semiquinone           | 9,10-Phenanthrenequinone |
| Trans-2-Enoyl-CoA reductase (Q9RFV79) | H. SAPIENS | 22 37 20 | trans-2-Enoyl-CoA → acyl-CoA | (2E)-Hexadecenoyl-CoA |
| (1ZSY)                   | Cavia porcellus| 22 36 24                     | Alk-2-enal → n-alkanal           | 15-Keto prostaglandin E₂ |
| Leukotriene B₄, 12-hydroxydehydrogenase (Q9EQZ5) 2 (2DM6) | A. thaliana | 21 37 27 | Quinone → semiquinone           | 9,10-Phenanthrenequinone |
| NADP-dependent oxidoreductase P1 (Q39172) 2 (2J3K) | C. tetanomosoides | 21 37 27 | Alk-2-enal → n-alkanal | trans-2-nonenal, diamide |

* Data are taken from Fernández et al. (17).
* Data are taken from Maruyama et al. (18).
* Data are taken from Chen et al. (39).
* Data are taken from Yokomizo et al. (59).
* Data are taken from Mano et al. (60).
* Data are taken from Mano et al. (61).

Described above and by Fernández et al. (17). Briefly, E. coli BL21, transformed with pET-30 Xa/LIC, was grown in 2 × YT medium at 24 °C for 8 h. Protein expression was induced by the addition of 1 mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside, and cells were further incubated for 16 h. After cell lysis by sonication, the homogenate was applied onto a nickel-charged chelating Sepharose™ fast flow column (5 ml) (GE Healthcare). After washing with 60 mM imidazole in 50 mM NaH₂PO₄, 0.5 M NaCl, pH 8.0, the enzyme was eluted with a 0.06–1.0 M imidazole gradient in the same buffer. Protein fractions were concentrated, and imidazole was removed with an Amicon ultra device (Millipore). The protein was stored at 4 °C in 50 mM NaH₂PO₄, 0.5 M NaCl, pH 8.0.

For PIG3 production (orthorhombic crystals), E. coli BL21 strain was transformed with pGEX-4T-2, containing a PIG3 cDNA insert, and grown in 2 × YT medium at 24 °C for 8 h. Protein expression was induced by 0.1 mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside and further incubated for 16 h. PIG3 was purified batchwise by using glutathione-Sepharose™ 4B (GE Healthcare). After washing with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM NaH₂PO₄, 2 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 7.4), the protein was eluted by thrombin digestion (GE Healthcare, 50 units/liter of culture) for 15 h at room temperature. Active enzyme was further purified with a Reactive Red 120-agarose (Sigma) resin and eluted by a 0–2 M NaCl gradient in PBS. Buffer was exchanged to 2.5 mM NADP⁺ in PBS using a PD-10 exchange buffer column (GE Healthcare).

For PIG3 production (tetragonal crystals), expression and purification of uncleaved protein were achieved by using nickel-affinity chromatography (GE Healthcare) and size-exclusion chromatography (HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 200, GE Healthcare).

Pure protein was concentrated using Centricon (Millipore) up to 10–15 mg/ml and stored at −80 °C. Protein homogeneity was checked by SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie® Brilliant Blue (Sigma) staining, as well as liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry using an Agilent electrospray ionization-time of flight instrument. Protein concentration was determined by a dye binding assay (Bio-Rad) using bovine serum albumin as a standard (26) or using a Nanodrop UV spectrophotometer.

Crystallization, Structure Determination, and Docking—The structure of human PIG3 was determined by x-ray crystallography using a tetragonal and an orthorhombic crystal form (Table 1). Tetragonal crystals were grown by vapor diffusion at 20 °C using sitting drops of 300 nl from a protein solution (15.1 mg/ml) and 300 nl from the reservoir solution containing 0.22 M lithium sulfate, 0.1 M sodium acetate, pH 4.0, 45% polyethylene glycol 300. Data were collected at the Swiss Light Source synchrotron beamline SLS-X10 from liquid nitrogen flash-cooled crystals. Orthorhombic crystals were grown also at 20 °C by vapor diffusion using hanging drops of 1 l of the precipitant solution (10 mg/ml) mixed with 1 l of a protein standard (26) or using a Nanodrop UV spectrophotometer.
subunits in the orthorhombic crystal. The resulting refined PIG3 models were deposited in the PDB with codes 2I8Z and 2OBY for the tetragonal and orthorhombic crystals, respectively (Table 1). The initial docking of 1,2-naphthoquinone into the PIG3 model was done manually and then regularized using REFMAC. Figures were prepared with PyMOL.

**Determination of Coenzyme Binding by Fluorescence Quenching**—NAD(P)H binding to PIG3 was followed by measuring the quenching in protein fluorescence by using a 605-40 spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer Life Sciences). Relative fluorescence intensity was determined at 25°C in 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0. The assay contained 50 μg of enzyme in 1-mL total volume, to which 5-μL aliquots from concentrated cofactor solution were added up to 2 μM NADPH with PIG3 and up to 20 μM for the control assays. Fluorescence was obtained by tryptophan excitation (280 nm) and by measuring the emission intensity (340 nm). Binding curves were reported as fluorescence quenching. The dissociation constant (K_D) for NADPH binding to PIG3 was calculated as described previously (31, 32).

**Kinetic Characterization**—Activities were determined in 0.1 M sodium phosphate, pH 7.0, in the presence of 0.15 mM NADPH, with freshly prepared substrate solutions. Stock quinone solutions were prepared in ethanol, resulting in final concentrations lower than 3% (v/v) ethanol in the assay mixture. 4-Hydroxyestrone-o-quinone was a generous gift from Dr. A.R. de Lera, Dr. R. Alvarez, and P. García (University of Vigo, Spain). The enzymatic activity was measured in a Cary 400 Bio (Varian) spectrophotometer by following the consumption of NADPH at 340 nm (ε_{NADPH} = 6200 M^{-1}·cm^{-1}). The activity toward 2,6-dichloroindophenol (DCIP) was determined by following the absorbance decrease at 600 nm (ε_{DCIP} = 4010 M^{-1}·cm^{-1}). The enzymatic assay toward retinaldehyde was performed as described (33). Controls, lacking either substrate or enzyme, were run routinely. The reactions started with the addition of the substrate. For the kinetic studies, initial velocities were measured in duplicate with five different substrate concentrations, and the kinetic constants were calculated using the nonlinear regression program Grafit 5.0 (Eritacus Software Ltd.). All reported values are expressed as the mean ± S.E. of at least three independent experiments.

**Detection of Hydrogen Peroxide Production by Peroxyoxalate Chemiluminescent Reaction**—H₂O₂ was measured as a product of the PIG3-catalyzed reaction. The reaction mixtures contained 50 μM 1,2-naphthoquinone or 100 μM diamide with 150 μM NADPH and 28 μg of PIG3. After 2 min at room temperature, a 2-μL aliquot of the 1,2-naphthoquinone reaction or a 5-μL aliquot of the diamide reaction was added to the H₂O₂ reaction assay. The detection of H₂O₂ was performed with 50 μL of Amplex Red reagent (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer’s instructions in a final volume of 100 μL. Amplex Red reagent reacts with H₂O₂ to produce highly fluorescent resorufin. The H₂O₂ reactions were performed on 96-well plates, and fluorescence was measured using a Victor® spectrofluorimeter (PerkinElmer Life Sciences) by exciting at 530 nm and measuring emission at 590 nm. Positive controls were performed by adding 50 μL of 0.5, 2, and 10 μM hydrogen peroxide solutions.
atmosphere containing 5% CO₂, 95% air. For transfection, cells were plated and, after 24 h, transfected with Lipofectamine™ Plus (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After 4 h, fresh medium was added, and cells were incubated overnight.

**Intracellular Measurement of ROS**—The assay is based on 2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA, Fluka), a fluorogenic marker for ROS in living cells (34, 35). DCFH-DA is deacetylated by nonspecific intracellular esterases, generating a fluorescein product, which could be oxidized by ROS and thus emitting bright green fluorescence. Briefly, cells were transiently transfected with pCMV-HA and PIG3/pCMV-HA for 24 h. Cells that had been treated with phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate for 6 h were used as a positive control of ROS production. The cells were then incubated in 1 ml of Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium/F-12 with 20 μM DCFH-DA at 37 °C for 30 min in the dark. After incubation, cells were washed with PBS, trypsinized, and finally resuspended in PBS. Cells, which should be protected from light, were analyzed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (Caliber) within 30 min, using the excitation peak of fluorescein at 495 nm and the fluorescence emission at 529 nm. Data were expressed as means ± S.D. Statistical significance was assessed by the Student’s t test using SigmaStat 3.5 version.

**Western Blot Analysis**—Polyclonal antiserum-specific against PIG3 was from Oncogene™. Goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) peroxidase-conjugated antibody was from Bio-Rad.

**RESULTS**

**Sequence Analysis and Phylogeny of the PIG3 Subfamily**—In vertebrates, the PIG3 gene is found in most of the genomes examined ranging from primitive chordates to humans (Fig. 1). Specifically, it is detected in ascidians (Ciona intestinalis), fish (Danio rerio, Fugu rubripes, and Tetraodon nigroviridis), amphibians (X. laevis), birds (Gallus gallus), mammals (Bos taurus and Canis familiaris), non-human primates (Pan troglodytes), and humans. At this point, a PIG3 gene, including a full-length coding sequence, has not been found in rodents (Rattus norvegicus, Mus musculus, Cavia porcellus, Spermophilus tridecemlineatus, and Dipodomys ordii). In contrast, it is detected in rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus). Promoter analysis reveals the presence of the p53-responsive element (TGYCC), only in primate PIG3 promoters, confirming that p53 responsiveness was acquired during primate evolution (36). In addition, PIG3 orthologs are found in bacteria (Paenibacillus larvae), plants (A. thaliana), amoebozoa (D. discoideum), and other bacteria and fungi, indicating a wide distribution in living organisms. Comparison of the amino acid sequences from members of the PIG3 subfamily (Fig. 1) shows an overall 13% identity, increasing to 27, 35, and

---

![Figure 3. Structure of PIG3. A, quaternary structure. The molecule consists of two identical subunits, colored orange and light orange. The dimer interface is highlighted in gray and black. B, stereo view image of the tertiary structure of the monomer. The catalytic domain is colored orange, and the cofactor binding domain is colored light orange. The secondary structure nomenclature is the same as that used in Fig. 1. The β-strands and α-helices of the cofactor-binding domain are indicated by roman numerals and lowercase letters, respectively. Strand β* is not part of the classical Rossmann fold topology. Nomenclature of the catalytic domain is in arabic numerals and capital letters. A and B, NADP⁺ molecule is displayed with the electron density map in gray.](image-url)
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FIGURE 4. NADP-binding site of PIG3. A, LIGPLOT (38) describing interactions in the NADP-binding site. Residues Gly-173, Lys-177, and Tyr-192 are interacting with the 2'-phosphate of NADP⁺. B, (A/G)XXGXXG motif in orange, showing Ser-151 hydrogen bonds with the main chain oxygen and nitrogen atoms from the motif residues Ala-148 and Gly-154. C, model of the same region as in B but using the S151V inactive mutant, which apparently presents steric hindrances between Val-151 side chain (gray) and NADP⁺ that may result in the inability of the protein to bind the cofactor.

60% within chordate, vertebrate, and tetrapod sequences, respectively. In all cases the identity is higher than 40% in pairwise comparisons with the human PIG3.

Substrate Analysis of PIG3 and Cofactor Specificity—Table 2 presents the proteins structurally closest to PIG3, from which three-dimensional and/or functional information is known. All of them are MDR proteins lacking Zn²⁺ as metal cofactor, and most of them can be classified as QORs, except trans-2-enoyl-CoA reductase, included in the enoyl thioester reductase family, and leukotriene B₄ 12-hydroxydehydrogenase and Arabidopsis NADP-dependent oxidoreductase P1, both included in the alkenal/one reductase family. Only mammalian ζ-crystallins, as well as the yeast and Staphylococcus aureus-related proteins, are known to possess enzymatic activity within the QOR family.

We have tested PIG3 NADPH-dependent reductase activity with reported ζ-crystallin substrates, with substrates for close MDR families (Table 2), and with related compounds. PIG3 exhibits enzymatic activity with naphthoquinones (Table 3), with a strong preference for the ortho-quinone isomer (1,2-naphthoquinone) over the para isomer (1,4-naphthoquinone). From the compounds tested, 1,2-naphthoquinone is the only substrate able to saturate the enzyme (Table 4). A similar but larger compound, 9,10-phenanthrenequinone, the best substrate for ζ-crystallins in terms of kinetic constants and the specific activities calculated for 1,2-naphthoquinone (Table 4). Both the kinetic constants and the specific activities indicate that PIG3 has a considerably lower activity than ζ-crystallin with the substrates assayed, except for diamide.

Regarding cofactor preference, no activity was found when the best substrates were assayed with NADH instead of NADPH. Fluorescence quenching experiments showed that PIG3 binds NADPH with high affinity (K₅ = 0.7 μM), whereas NADH binding is weak, similar to the binding to bovine serum albumin, used as the control for nonspecific interactions (Fig. 2). Therefore, kinetic and binding approaches demonstrate a strict specificity for NADPH.

PIG3 Overall Crystallographic Structure—Two different constructs from the human PIG3 enzyme were crystallized in the presence of NADP⁺, giving tetragonal and orthorhombic crystal forms with space groups P4₃22 and C222₁, and resolution limits of 2.5 and 3.0 Å, respectively (Table 1). The asymmetric units of the tetragonal and orthorhombic crystal forms contained one and five protein subunits, respectively (Table 1). Size-exclusion chromatography analysis yielded an apparent Mₘ of 70,300, whereas the calculated Mₘ of the subunit is 36,000. Therefore, PIG3 behaves in solution as a stable dimer. This result is consistent with the crystal structures determined (Fig. 3, A and B). The final refined models exhibit the typical MDR structural fold and include 333 residues (with 79 and 293–295 missing) for the subunit in the tetragonal crystal (PDB code 2J8Z) and 334 residues (with 293–295 missing) for each of the five subunits in the orthorhombic crystal
sequence motif. In PIG3, the corresponding binding motif is modified as (A/G)XXSXXG, which is closer to the AXGXGXXG and GXGXXG binding motifs found in other QORs (41). The Ser-151 hydroxyl group from the PIG3 motif makes two hydrogen bonds with the main chain oxygen and nitrogen atoms from the motif Ala-148 and Gly-154, respectively (Fig. 4B). When a Gly is in that motif position, as in most MDR structures including other QOR subfamilies, a solvent molecule occupies the position corresponding to the Ser-151 hydroxyl group in PIG3, establishing similar interactions.

For NAD(H)-dependent MDRs, the presence of an Asp or a Glu residue at the C-terminal end of the second β-sheet, in the nucleotide-binding domain, is characteristic (42). This acidic residue is replaced by a small neutral residue, predominantly Gly, in the NADP(H)-dependent enzymes (43). Therefore, (PDB code 2OBY). One NADP⁺ molecule was found bound to each of the subunits.

The superimposition of C-α atoms from the PIG3 subunits shows root mean square deviations close to 0.6 Å between subunits from the tetragonal and the orthorhombic crystals. As it has been described for other MDR members (38), the PIG3 monomer is composed of two domains as follows: the catalytic domain (Met-1 to Ala-119 and Leu-265 to Gln-332) and the cofactor-binding domain (Ala-120 to Ser-264) (Fig. 38). These domains are separated by a deep cleft, which accommodates NADP⁺. The catalytic domain is dominated by a seven-stranded antiparallel β-sheet, whereas the cofactor-binding domain consists of two mononucleotide binding β₆αβ₆β motifs, which are assembled into the classical Rossmann fold. However, there is an additional β-strand (β₄), which was also described in the human enoyl thioester reductase (39).

Two β-strands and an α-helix of β₆α-β VI of the cofactor-binding domain are involved in the dimer interface between monomers (Fig. 3A). These β-strands are bonded through antiparallel hydrogen bonds with the corresponding sheets of the neighboring monomer. In the dimer interface the buried accessible surface area per monomer is 1200 Å².

**NADP⁺ Binding**—For all the subunits the NADP⁺ molecule was located in the cleft between the catalytic and the nucleotide-binding domains (Figs. 3 and 4). NADP⁺ is bound in an extended conformation with an averaged distance of 13.7 Å between the nicotinamide C-2 and the adenine C-6 reference atoms (40). Out of 13 residues directly implicated in NADP⁺ binding (Fig. 4A), 7 are fully conserved among the PIG3 sequences (Fig. 1). The binding of the dinucleotide cofactor to MDR enzymes has been described to require a GXGXX(G/A)}
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**TABLE 5**

| Human PIG3  | Human ζ-crystallin | E. coli QOR |
|-------------|---------------------|-------------|
| Asn-40      | Asn-48              | Asn-41      |
| Ala-42      | Val-50              | ile-43      |
| Met-45      | Tyr-53              | Tyr-46      |
| Tyr-51      | Tyr-59              | Tyr-52      |
| Leu-63      | Ser-71              | Thr-63      |
| Glu-123     | lle-131             | Leu-123     |
| Thr-127     | Thr-135             | Thr-127     |
| Leu-240     | Ser-248             | "           |
| Leu-255     | Met-260             | Leu-253     |
| Phe-256     | Ala-261             | Asn-254     |
| Leu-265     | Thr-270             | Ser-265     |

*a* Dash means a gap is present at this position in the sequence alignment.

Leu-240, Leu-265, and Phe-256* present some variability (Fig. 1). The carboxylate group of Glu-123, a residue conserved in all PIG3 proteins but absent in all other QOR enzymes (Table 5), is about 3.0 Å from the C-4 atom and surrounded by a strongly hydrophobic environment with Leu residues 63, 89, and 266. In addition, side chains from Tyr-51 and Ser-268 appear to be tightly bound to each other through a solvent molecule (Fig. 5).

Docking of 1,2-naphthoquinone shows that the substrate fits well into the PIG3 putative substrate-binding pocket, with the *ortho*-carbonyl oxygen atoms in the appropriate orientations to interact with the Tyr-51 hydroxyl group and with the C-4 atom of NADP+ (Fig. 5A). In contrast, in the *para*-naphthoquinones the carbonyl oxygen atoms do not present the proper orientation, which would result in unfavorable binding. Similarly the bulkier 9,10-phenanthrenequinone could not be fitted into the PIG3 pocket, in particular due to the presence of Glu-123, in agreement with the lack of activity toward this substrate.

**Site-directed Mutagenesis of the Active Site Tyr**—In several Zn2+-independent MDRs, evidence supports a Tyr residue acting as an acid/base catalyst (39, 45–47). The crystallographic structures suggest that Tyr-51 in PIG3 and Tyr-59 in ζ-crystallin are candidates to perform this catalytic function. To evaluate the hypothesis, mutant variants were prepared, and kinetic experiments were conducted (Table 4). In both proteins the change of Tyr to Phe did not reduce activity, but in contrast, a significant increase of *kcat/Km* was observed. This conclusively demonstrates that the active site Tyr is not the catalytic acid/base of these two QORs. The increase in catalytic efficiency of the Tyr/Phe mutants indicates that, instead, the hydrophobic change is favorable to catalysis. The substitution of Tyr to Ala does not eliminate the activity either, although the change decreases the binding of PIG3 with 1,2-naphthoquinone, which could not saturate the enzyme. The ζ-crystallin Y59A mutant displays similar kinetic constants as those of the wild-type enzyme.

**In Vitro PIG3 Activity and in Vivo PIG3 Overexpression Generate Reactive Oxygen Species**—The activity toward quinones catalyzed by ζ-crystallin leads to the production of superoxide anion and hydrogen peroxide (11). Here we analyze ROS generation as a consequence of PIG3 activity (Fig. 6). Production of hydrogen peroxide is already observed in the 1,2-naphthoquinone/NADPH mixture, which is consistent with a significant background reaction in the absence of enzyme, demonstrating a chemical rate of electron transfer between NADPH and the quinone. However, ROS production is much higher in the presence of the enzyme. It can be concluded that the reduction of quinones catalyzed by PIG3 generates ROS, suggesting a similar reaction mechanism as found with ζ-crystallin. In contrast, PIG3 reduction of diamide does not yield ROS.

We have also investigated whether the overexpression of active PIG3 in eukaryotic cells (human colon carcinoma HCT-116 cells) influences the production of ROS in *vivo* (Fig. 7). As a control we prepared an inactive variant that contained the S151V mutation in the coenzyme-binding site. The PIG3 mutant was unable to bind coenzyme (Fig. 2) and was devoid of enzymatic activity. Otherwise, the mutant exhibited a normal expression and purification behavior, and size-exclusion chromatography demonstrated a dimeric structure (*M* = 71,000), indicating a stable native form. HCT-116 cells were transfected with the corresponding vectors, and intracellular content of hydrogen peroxide was evaluated by a fluorescence method (Fig. 7). The mean fluorescence of the cells increased 24 h after transient transfection with the PIG3/pCMV-HA vector. The fluorescence was significantly higher than that of the cells transfected with the inactive mutant, which did not show differences toward mock transfection experiments. As expected, the inactive mutant did not generate ROS in *vivo* either (Fig. 6).

**Interaction of PIG3 with RNA**—Using the electrophoretic mobility shift assay technique, we investigated whether PIG3 binds AU-rich elements in RNA. In contrast to ζ-crystallins, which exhibit significant interaction (17), PIG3 does not show any specific binding (supplemental data).

**DISCUSSION**

We here demonstrate that PIG3, found in most vertebrates, plants, protists, and bacteria, can reduce quinones. Kinetic constants could be determined for 1,2-naphthoquinone, the first substrate ever found for PIG3. Much lower activity is detected for ortho-quinones reported for other QORs (17) (Table 2). Interestingly, a related compound, 9,10-phenanthrenequinone, is inactive with PIG3, but it is the best substrate for the human and yeast ζ-crystallins, indicating a clear distinct specificity for the PIG3 subfamily.
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In addition to its quinone reductase activity, PIG3 is also able to hydrogenate the N=N double bond in diamide, or the N=C double bond in DCIP. The diamide reductase activity seems quite specific for PIG3, because mammalian double bond in DCIP. The diamide reductase activity seems exclusive NADPH-dependent.

The overall structure of human PIG3 exhibits a dimeric organization, where subunits present the expected MDR fold (Fig. 3). However, PIG3 differs from the best known MDR members in that subunits present the expected MDR fold (Fig. 4). Residues lining the substrate pocket are highly conserved among PIG3 sequences (Fig. 1). Some of these residues are also conserved in ζ-crystallin and E. coli QOR. However, nonconservative substitutions, especially that of Glu-123 which changes to Ile and Leu in ζ-crystallin and E. coli QOR, respectively (Table 5), indicate critical differences in substrate specificity. Glu-123, a residue located close to the substrate and the cofactor (Fig. 5), is found uniquely in all PIG3 members and thus it may be used as a sequence signature to identify the subfamily. The PIG3 substrate-binding pocket is smaller than that of ζ-crystallin (PDB code 1YB5), which is consistent with the PIG3 specificity toward small quinones. The absence of the catalytic Zn²⁺, and the substitution of the catalytic residue Ser/Thr-48, found in most MDR alcohol dehydrogenases, by Ala-42 in PIG3 and Val-50 in ζ-crystallin, indicate a very different catalytic mechanism in QORs. In PIG3, the phenol oxygen from Tyr-51 sits in the vicinity of the reactive C-4 atom of the nicotinamide (Fig. 5). An equivalent Tyr residue is also present in most ζ-crystallins and in E. coli QOR. In contrast, in bovine ζ-crystallin, which shows minimal QOR activity, the corresponding residue is a His, suggesting that the Tyr is essential in the kinetic mechanism (51). In addition, mutagenesis and/or structural data support the participation of a Tyr in the mechanism of the related, Zn²⁺-lacking MDRs (Table 2) enoyl reductase (39, 47), Arabidopsis NADP-dependent oxidoreductase P1, and leukotriene B₄-12-hydroxydehydrogenase (45, 46). Thus, it was appropriate to propose a catalytic role for PIG3 Tyr-51, the only Tyr residue in the active-site cavity, further supported by a docking model (Fig. 5). Remarkably, the PIG3 and ζ-crystallin mutants at the active site Tyr retained their enzymatic activity (Table 4). Therefore, Tyr-51 in PIG3 and Tyr-59 in ζ-crystallin do not appear to be essential for catalysis.

Other oxidoreductases using quinones may help to understand the kinetic mechanism of PIG3 and ζ-crystallin. A Tyr is also the proposed catalytic residue in enzymes that reduce quinones from other protein families: aldo-keto reductases (AKR), NADPH:quinone reductases (NQO1 or DT diaphorase), and short chain dehydrogenases/reductases (52). Several examples exist in which the Tyr/Phex change, or the absence of the Tyr, does not considerably affect the quinone reducing activity: NQO1 (53), a short chain dehydrogenases/reductase quinone reductase from E. coli (54), and the AKRs p-crystallin (55) and AKR1C9 (12). Interestingly, in AKR1C9, the exchange eliminates the 3-ketosteroid reductase activity but not the quinone reductase activity (12). In conclusion, the active site Tyr does not seem to be essential for catalysis with quinones. As indicated by Penning and co-workers (12), quinone reduction residues (Gly-173, Lys-177, and Tyr-192) conserved in all PIG3 sequences.

The sequence motif (A/G)XXSXXG, which replaces the classical dinucleotide-binding motif GAGXX(X/G/A) found in MDR alcohol dehydrogenases, is also fully conserved. The importance of the motif in cofactor binding is corroborated by the fact that the PIG3 S151V mutant binds neither NADPH nor NADH (Fig. 2). This was predicted because Ser-151 (or the residue at the homologous position) has a key role in the motif conformation (50), and the replacement by a Val may also result in steric hindrance with the cofactor (Fig. 4). Residues lining the substrate pocket are highly conserved among PIG3 sequences (Fig. 1). Some of these residues are also conserved in ζ-crystallin and E. coli QOR. However, nonconservative substitutions, especially that of Glu-123 which changes to Ile and Leu in ζ-crystallin and E. coli QOR, respectively (Table 5), indicate critical differences in substrate specificity. Glu-123, a residue located close to the substrate and the cofactor (Fig. 5), is found uniquely in all PIG3 members and thus it may be used as a sequence signature to identify the subfamily. The PIG3 substrate-binding pocket is smaller than that of ζ-crystallin (PDB code 1YB5), which is consistent with the PIG3 specificity toward small quinones. The absence of the catalytic Zn²⁺, and the substitution of the catalytic residue Ser/Thr-48, found in most MDR alcohol dehydrogenases, by Ala-42 in PIG3 and Val-50 in ζ-crystallin, indicate a very different catalytic mechanism in QORs. In PIG3, the phenol oxygen from Tyr-51 sits in the vicinity of the reactive C-4 atom of the nicotinamide (Fig. 5). An equivalent Tyr residue is also present in most ζ-crystallins and in E. coli QOR. In contrast, in bovine ζ-crystallin, which shows minimal QOR activity, the corresponding residue is a His, suggesting that the Tyr is essential in the kinetic mechanism (51). In addition, mutagenesis and/or structural data support the participation of a Tyr in the mechanism of the related, Zn²⁺-lacking MDRs (Table 2) enoyl reductase (39, 47), Arabidopsis NADP-dependent oxidoreductase P1, and leukotriene B₄-12-hydroxydehydrogenase (45, 46). Thus, it was appropriate to propose a catalytic role for PIG3 Tyr-51, the only Tyr residue in the active-site cavity, further supported by a docking model (Fig. 5). Remarkably, the PIG3 and ζ-crystallin mutants at the active site Tyr retained their enzymatic activity (Table 4). Therefore, Tyr-51 in PIG3 and Tyr-59 in ζ-crystallin do not appear to be essential for catalysis.

Other oxidoreductases using quinones may help to understand the kinetic mechanism of PIG3 and ζ-crystallin. A Tyr is also the proposed catalytic residue in enzymes that reduce quinones from other protein families: aldo-keto reductases (AKR), NADPH:quinone reductases (NQO1 or DT diaphorase), and short chain dehydrogenases/reductases (52). Several examples exist in which the Tyr/Phex change, or the absence of the Tyr, does not considerably affect the quinone reducing activity: NQO1 (53), a short chain dehydrogenases/reductase quinone reductase from E. coli (54), and the AKRs p-crystallin (55) and AKR1C9 (12). Interestingly, in AKR1C9, the exchange eliminates the 3-ketosteroid reductase activity but not the quinone reductase activity (12). In conclusion, the active site Tyr does not seem to be essential for catalysis with quinones. As indicated by Penning and co-workers (12), quinone reduction...
exhibits lower activation energy than other substrates to reach the transition state, which is supported by the high nonenzymatic reduction of the quinones in the presence of cofactor. Thus, it appears that many quinone reductases provide a scaffold to bring quinone and cofactor molecules in close proximity and with the right orientation, sufficient for catalysis, without the participation of a catalytic residue. In contrast, in enzymes with wide substrate specificity, such as AKRs, the Tyr would be necessary for catalysis of non-quinone compounds.

Regarding QORs, two possibilities could be proposed for their enzymatic function: 1) the substrates are endogenous and/or xenobiotic quinones, and the activity is through propinquity effects; or 2) the physiological substrates are as yet unidentified compounds that need a catalytic residue, probably a Tyr, whereas the activity with quinones has no functional relevance. Both possibilities can apply to the ζ-crystallin and PIG3 subfamilies. However, despite their common quinone reductase activity, clear functional differences exist between the two groups. ζ-Crystallin exhibits high activity, especially toward larger and bulkier substrates as compared with PIG3. Furthermore, ζ-crystallin has demonstrated a structural role in the eye lens of some mammals and may have additional functions through RNA binding (17). In contrast, PIG3 catalyzes the reduction of smaller substrates and has lower activity; it does not have a known structural function, and it does not bind RNA.

Strong evidence provided by this study and other studies (56, 57) suggests that PIG3 apoptotic action is through, or results in, oxidative stress. In this regard we have demonstrated that in vitro PIG3 activity generates ROS, and that PIG3 overexpression in cells leads to ROS generation, which is dependent on active enzyme or at least on protein able to bind cofactor. A PIG3 function, independent of its enzymatic activity, cannot be ruled out, but the strictly conservation of the main active-site residues strongly supports a catalytic role. We have demonstrated two types of activity for PIG3, the reduction of quinones and the hydrogenation of an N≡N double bond such as in diaimide. However, only the first type produces ROS. In conclusion, data suggest that PIG3 action on ROS generation and, probably, on apoptosis is through its enzymatic activity with an endogenous substrate of the quinone type.

Unbalanced apoptotic response mediated by PIG3 is an underlying principle behind many pathological situations. Thus, insufficient apoptosis may result in cancer or autoimmune disease, whereas accelerated cell death is evident in degenerative diseases, immunodeficiency, and infertility (58). Here we provide invaluable structural and functional information that will allow to design and test PIG3 inhibitor drugs for control of cell death.

Acknowledgments—We thank Dr. A. R. de Lera, Dr. R. Alvarez, and P. Garcia (Universidade de Vigo, Spain) for the synthesis of 4-hydroxyestrone-o-quinone. We acknowledge Dr. Isidre Casals (Unitat de Técniques Separatives, Servei Científicotècnics, Universitat de Barcelona, Spain) for mass spectrometry analysis. We thank Dr. Miguel Angel Peinado (Institut d’Investigació Biomèdica de Bellvitge, L’Hospitalet, Spain) for providing the HCT-116 cell line.

REFERENCES

1. Levine, A. J., Momand, J., and Finlay, C. A. (1991) Nature 351, 453–456
2. Polyak, K., Xia, Y., Zweier, J. L., Kinzler, K. W., and Vogelstein, B. (1997) Nature 389, 300–305
3. Bergamaschi, D., Samuels, Y., Jin, B., Duraisingham, S., Crook, T., and Lu, X. (2004) Mol. Cell. Biol. 24, 1341–1350
4. Moll, U. M., and Slade, N. (2004) Mol. Cancer Res. 2, 371–386
5. Zhang, Q., Zhao, X. H., and Wang, Z. J. (2008) Food Chem. Toxicol. 46, 2042–2053
6. Contonte, A., Dittmer, A., Koch, M. C., Roth, J., and Dobbelstein, M. (2002) Nat. Genet. 30, 315–320
7. Ito, M., Nishiyama, H., Watanabe, J., Kawanishi, H., Takahashi, T., Kamoto, T., Habuchi, T., and Ogawa, O. (2006) Jpn. J. Clin. Oncol. 36, 116–120
8. Nomdedeu, J. F., Perea, G., Estivill, C., Badell, I., Lasa, A., and Aventin, A. (2008) Leuk. Res. 32, 186–188
9. Campomenosi, P., Monti, P., Aprile, A., Abbondandolo, A., Frebourg, T., Gold, B., Crook, T., Inga, A., Resnick, M. A., Igo, R., and Fronzia, G. (2001) Oncogene 20, 3573–3579
10. Tanaka, T., Ohkubo, S., Tatsuno, I., and Prives, C. (2007) Cell 130, 638–650
11. Rao, P. V., Krishna, C. M., and Zigler, J. S., Jr. (1992) J. Biol. Chem. 267, 96–102
12. Schlegel, B. P., Ratnam, K., and Penning, T. M. (1998) Biochemistry 37, 11003–11011
13. Oppermann, U. (2007) Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 47, 293–322
14. Liang, X. Q., Cao, E. H., Zhang, Y., and Qin, J. F. (2004) FEBS Lett. 569, 94–98
15. Johnson, T. M., Yu, Z. X., Ferrans, V. J., Lowenstein, R. A., and Finkel, T. (1996) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 93, 11848–11852
16. Flatt, P. M., Polyak, K., Tang, L. J., Scatena, C. D., Westfall, M. D., Rubinstein, L. A., Yu, J., Kinzler, K. W., Vogelstein, B., Hill, D. E., and Pietenpol, J. A. (2000) Cancer Lett. 156, 63–72
17. Fernández, M. R., Porte, S., Crosas, E., Barberá, N., Farrés, J., Biosca, J. A., and Parés, X. (2007) Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 64, 1419–1427
18. Maruyama, A., Kumagai, Y., Morikawa, K., Taguchi, K., Hayashi, H., and Ohta, T. (2003) Microbiology 149, 389–398
19. Huang, Q. L., Russell, P., Stone, S. H., and Zigler, J. S., Jr. (1987) Curr. Eye Res. 6, 725–732
20. Tang, A., and Curthoys, N. P. (2001) J. Biol. Chem. 276, 21375–21380
21. Krantl, B. V., Balasubramanian, N., and Rangarajan, P. N. (2006) Nucleic Acids Res. 34, 4060–4068
22. Ibrahim, H., Lee, Y. J., and Curthoys, N. P. (2008) Kidney Int. 73, 11–18
23. Altschul, S. F., Madden, T. L., Schäffer, A. A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., and Lipman, D. J. (1997) Nucleic Acids Res. 25, 3389–3402
24. Hall, T. A. (1999) Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser. 41, 95–98
25. Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G., and Gibson, T. J. (1994) Nucleic Acids Res. 22, 4673–4680
26. Bradford, M. M. (1976) Anal. Biochem. 72, 248–254
27. Orwinowski, Z., and Minor, W. (1997) Methods Enzymol. 276, 307–326
28. Vagin, A., and Teplyakov, A. (2000) Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr. 56, 1622–1624
29. Collaborative Computational Project (1994) Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr. 50, 760–763
30. Jones, T. A., Zou, J. Y., Cowan, S. W., and Kjeldgaard, M. (1991) Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A 47, 110–119
31. Stinson, R. A., and Holbrook, J. J. (1973) Biochem. J. 131, 719–728
32. Valencia, E., Larroy, C., Ochoa, W. F., Parés, X., Fita, I., and Biosca, J. A. (2004) J. Mol. Biol. 341, 1049–1062
33. Gallego, O., Belayaeva, O. V., Porté, S., Ruiz, F. X., Stetsenko, A. V., Shabrova, E. V., Kostereva, N. V., Farrés, J., Parés, X., and Kedishvili, N. V. (2006) Biochem. J. 399, 101–109
34. Royall, J. A., and Ischiropoulos, H. (1993) Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 302, 348–355
35. Delia, D., Aiello, A., Meroni, L., Nicollini, M., Reed, J. C., and Pierotti, M. A. (1997) Carcinogenesis 18, 943–948
36. Contonte, A., Zischler, H., Einspanier, A., and Dobbelstein, M. (2003) J. Biol. Chem. 278, 2769–2776
37. Hiromi, K., Kuwamoto, C., and Ohnishi, M. (1980) Anal. Biochem. 101, 421–426
38. Wallace, A.C., Laskowski, R.A., and Thornton, J.M. (1995) Protein Eng. 8, 127–134
39. Chen, Z.J., Pudas, R., Sharma, S., Smart, O.S., Juffer, A.H., Hiltunen, J.K., Wierenga, R.K., and Haapalainen, A.M. (2008) J. Mol. Biol. 379, 830–844
40. Rossmann, M. G., Liljas, A., Braënde´n, C. I., and Banaszak, L. J. (1975) in The Enzymes (Boyer, P.D., ed) Vol. XI, pp. 61–102, Academic Press, New York
41. Edwards, K. J., Barton, J. D., Rossjohn, J., Thorn, J. M., Taylor, G. L., and Ollis, D. L. (1996) Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 328, 173–183
42. Baker, P. J., Britton, K. L., Rice, D. W., Rob, A., and Stillman, T. J. (1992) J. Mol. Biol. 228, 662–671
43. Rosell, A., Valencia, E., Parés, X., Fita, I., Farrés, J., and Ochoa, W. F. (2003) J. Mol. Biol. 330, 75–85
44. Carugo, O., and Argos, P. (1997) Proteins 28, 10–28
45. Hori, T., Yokomizo, T., Ago, H., Sugahara, M., Ueno, G., Yamamoto, M., Kumasaka, T., Shimizu, T., and Miyano, M. (2004) J. Biol. Chem. 279, 22615–22623
46. Yoon, B., Kim, S. J., Moinuddin, S. G., Lee, C., Bedgar, D. L., Harper, A. R., Davin, L. B., Lewis, N. G., and Kang, C. (2006) J. Biol. Chem. 281, 40076–40088
47. Airenne, T. T., Torkko, J. M., Van den Plas, S., Sormunen, R. T., Kastaniotis, A. J., Wierenga, R. K., and Hiltunen, J. K. (2003) J. Mol. Biol. 327, 47–59
48. Jörnvall, H. (2008) Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 65, 3873–3878
49. Riveros-Rosas, H., Julián-Sánchez, A., Villalobos-Molina, R., Pardo, J. P., and Piña, E. (2003) Eur. J. Biochem. 270, 3309–3334
50. Yokomizo, T., Ogawa, Y., Uozumi, N., Kume, K., Izumi, T., and Shimizu, T. (1996) J. Biol. Chem. 271, 2844–2850
51. Rao, P. V., Gonzalez, P., Persson, B., Jörnvall, H., Garland, D., and Zigler, J. S., Jr. (1997) Biochemistry 36, 5353–5362
52. Kavanagh, K. L., Jörnvall, H., Persson, B., and Oppermann, U. (2008) Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 65, 3895–3906
53. Ma, Q., Cui, K., Xiao, F., Lu, A. Y., and Yang, C. S. (1992) J. Biol. Chem. 267, 22298–22304
54. Kim, I. K., Yim, H. S., Kim, M. K., Kim, D. W., Kim, Y. M., Cha, S. S., and Kang, S. O. (2008) J. Mol. Biol. 379, 372–384
55. Fujii, Y., Watanabe, K., Hayashi, H., Urade, Y., Kuramitsu, S., Kagamiyama, H., and Hayashi, O. (1990) J. Biol. Chem. 265, 9914–9923
56. Sablina, A. A., Budanov, A. V., Ilyinskaya, G. V., Agapova, L. S., Kravchenko, J. E., and Chumakov, P. M. (2005) Nat. Med. 11, 1306–1313
57. Naumann, U., Huang, H., Wolburg, H., Wischhusen, J., Weit, S., Ohgaki, H., and Weller, M. (2006) Cancer Gene Ther. 13, 469–478
58. MacFarlane, M., and Williams, A. C. (2004) EMBO Rep. 5, 674–678
59. Yokomizo, T., Izumi, T., Takahashi, T., Kasama, T., Kobayashi, Y., Sato, F., Taketani, Y., and Shimizu, T. (1993) J. Biol. Chem. 268, 18128–18135
60. Mano, J., Babiychuk, E., Belles-Boix, E., Hiratake, J., Kimura, A., Inze, D., Kushnir, S., and Asada, K. (2000) Eur. J. Biochem. 267, 3661–3671
61. Mano, J., Torii, Y., Hayashi, S., Takimoto, K., Matsu, K., Nakamura, K., Inze, D., Babiychuk, E., Kushnir, S., and Asada, K. (2002) Plant Cell Physiol. 43, 1445–1455