Design of resonant microcavities: application to optical gyroscopes
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Abstract: We study theoretically and numerically the effect of rotation on resonant frequencies of microcavities in a rotating frame of reference. Cavity rotation causes the shifts of the resonant frequencies proportional to the rotation rate if it is larger than a certain value. Below the value, a region of rotation rate exists where there is no resulting the frequency shifts proportional to the rotation rate. We show that designing cavity symmetry as $C_{nv}$ ($n \geq 3$) can eliminate this region.
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1. **Introduction**

The propagation of light fields and the resonances of optical cavities in rotating systems have been experimentally and theoretically studied as one of the most basic and interesting problems of electromagnetics in arbitrary accelerated systems [1]. Many of these investigations have concentrated on rotating ring interferometers and rotating ring cavities because such devices can be applied to optical rotation velocity sensors [1, 2, 3, 4, 5].

One of the first suggestions to optically detect rotation rate was given by Sagnac in 1913 [1]. The basic idea is to use the difference in one round-trip time between clockwise (CW-) and counter-clockwise (CCW-) propagating waves due to rotation in a ring interferometer. The time difference was experimentally observed as phase difference. Today the measurement of phase difference in ring interferometers has become very precise due to optical fiber technology.

On the other hand, rotation also affects the resonances of optical cavities (modal structures). The degenerate resonant frequency of a non-rotating ring cavity was split into a doublet by rotation, and the fact was confirmed by the experiments of Macek and Davis [7]. The effect of the splitting has becomes the basic principle of the operation of ring laser gyroscopes, which are now used in airplanes, rockets, and ships etc. for autonomous navigation because they are the most precise sensors among any other types of gyroscopes.

So far, the effect of rotation on resonances (i.e., frequency splitting induced by rotation) has been explained as that which originated in the time difference between counter-propagating lights due to rotation. This fact has been derived by a kinematical approach (ray-optics) [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] or by a wave dynamical approach based on the electromagnetic equations of a naturally covariant form (or general theory of relativity) [1, 8, 9, 10]. For such theoretical approaches, the following assumptions are explicitly or implicitly used in their derivations: (i) The light field one-dimensionally propagates in slender waveguides such as optical fibers or ring cavities composed of more than three mirrors; (ii) The wavelength of the light is much shorter than the sizes of the cavities, i.e., The geometrical optics approximation is valid enough. The use of assumptions (i) and (ii) means that resonant modes can be expressed as CW- or CCW-propagating wave modes along a ring trajectory with identical resonant frequencies (i.e., degenerate states) in non-rotating cavities.

Recently, advanced semiconductor technologies make it possible to fabricate arbitrary-sized optical cavities [11, 12]. When the cavity size becomes smaller, however, it remains unclear whether assumptions (i) and (ii) are still valid and conventional theory based on them really works. In addition, resonant modes cannot always be expressed as counter-propagating waves in microcavities.

We have recently established a wave-dynamical approach to rotating resonant cavities with-
out assumptions (i) and (ii). We showed that, when the angular velocity is larger than a certain value, the nearly-degenerate standing wave modes of a non-rotating microcavity change into a pair of counter-propagating wave modes and their frequency difference starts to increase proportionally to the angular velocity [13]. It has been revealed that, below the value of the angular velocity, a region exists where the frequency difference almost does not change, suggesting that the existence of this region might be an obstacle in the practical sense when one operates the microcavities as optical gyroscopes.

In this paper, we discuss the relation between the existence of this region and the symmetry of cavity shape and show that designing cavity symmetry as $C_{n}v (n \geq 3)$ yields degenerate resonant frequencies and can eliminate the region.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. 2 we review the derivation for the shifts of resonant frequencies induced by rotation and the numerical results by a wave-dynamical approach without assumptions (i) and (ii). It is shown that there exists a region around zero angular velocity where the frequency shifts are almost not caused. Discussions are provided in Sec. 3, and we propose a method for eliminating the region in Sec. 4. Finally, a summary of this paper is provided in Sec. 5.

2. Theory

According to the electromagnetic equations of naturally covariant forms [8] or general theory of relativity [9, 10], electromagnetic fields in a rotating resonant microcavity are subject to the Maxwell equations generalized to a non-inertial frame of reference in uniform rotation with angular velocity vector $\vec{\Omega}$. We derived the following stationary wave equation from the Maxwell equations by assuming that microcavities can be modeled as a waveguide, which is wide in the $xy$ direction and thin in the $z$ direction [13]:

$$\left( \nabla_{xy}^{2} + n^{2}k^{2} \right) \psi - 2ik \left( \vec{h} \cdot \nabla \right) \psi = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\vec{h} = (\vec{r} \times \vec{\Omega})/c$. $\vec{r} = (x, y, z)$ denotes coordinates in a rotating frame of reference with angular velocity vector $\vec{\Omega} = (0, 0, \Omega)$. The cavity is at rest in the rotating frame of reference. $c$ and $n$ are respectively the velocity of the light and a refractive index inside the cavity, and $k$ is the wave number. We also assume that the TM or TE waves of the electromagnetic fields oscillate as $\vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) = (0, 0, \psi(x) \exp(-ikt) + c.c.)$. Below, we impose the Dirichlet boundary condition for simplicity, and resonant modes of rotating cavities can be obtained by solving Eq. (1) with two perturbation theories typically used in quantum mechanics.

First, we discuss a case where angular velocity $\Omega$ is smaller than the following value $\Omega_{th}$:

$$\Omega_{th} = n^{2} \int \int_{D} \left[ \psi_{1} \left( y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \psi_{2} \right] dxdy \left| \begin{array}{c} \psi_{1} \\ \psi_{2} \end{array} \right| c \Delta k_{0},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $D$ denotes the domain of the cavity, and $\Delta k_{0}$ is the spacing between adjacent eigenvalues $k$ of the wave number for Eq. (1) with $\Omega = 0$, i.e., $\left( \nabla_{xy}^{2} + n^{2}k^{2} \right) \psi = 0$. $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ are the wave functions of these eigenstates (resonant modes) that correspond to the adjacent eigenvalues. In this case, we apply the perturbation theory for non-degenerate states to Eq. (1) by assuming that, due to cavity rotation, the eigenvalue is shifted as $k = k_{1} + \delta k + O(\Omega/c^{2})$ and the wave function is changed as $\psi = \psi_{1} + \delta \psi$. By substituting them to Eq. (1), we obtain

$$\delta k = \frac{1}{n^{2}k_{1}} \int \int_{D} \left[ \psi_{1} \left( \vec{h} \cdot \nabla \right) \psi_{1} \right] dxdy = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)
up to the first order of $|\Omega/c|$ and $\delta \psi = \sum_{i \neq 1} c_i \psi_i$, where

$$c_i = \frac{2i k_1 \int \int_{D} \left[ \psi_i \left( \vec{h} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \right) \psi_1 \right] dxdy}{n^2 (k_1^2 - k_i^2)}. \quad (4)$$

These results mean that, as long as angular velocity $\Omega$ is small enough, frequency shift due to rotation does not occur, $\delta \omega = c \delta k = 0$, and the wave functions of the eigenstates do not change CW- or CCW- rotating waves.

Next we discuss the case where angular velocity is larger than $\Omega_{th}$, i.e., $\Omega \gg \Omega_{th}$. In this case, the perturbation theory used above breaks down because coefficient $c_i$ of resonant mode $i = 2$, which has the adjacent eigenvalue to $k_1$, is no longer small due to $|c_2| \approx |\Omega/\Omega_{th}| \gg 1$. Instead, we use the perturbation theory for degenerate states. According to the perturbation theory, two nearly-degenerate wavefunctions $\psi_1$ and $\psi_2$ are superposed to reproduce the solutions of Eq. (1) as follows:

$$\psi_z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\psi_1 \pm i \psi_2), \quad (5)$$

where the wavenumbers $k$ are shifted by rotation:

$$k_\pm = k_1 \pm \frac{1}{2} \int \int_{D} \left[ \psi_1 \left( \vec{h} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \right) \psi_2 \right] dxdy. \quad (6)$$

Accordingly, the frequency difference between the two eigenstates newly produced by cavity rotation is proportional to the angular velocity $|\Omega|$:

$$\Delta \omega = 2 \left| \int \int_{D} \left[ \psi_1 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \psi_2 \right] dxdy \right| \frac{\Omega}{n^2}, \quad (7)$$

where $\Delta \omega = c |k_+ - k_-|$. To demonstrate our theoretical results, we carry out the numerical simulation in a quadrupole cavity defined by boundary $R(\theta) = R_0 (1 + \varepsilon \cos^2 \theta)$, where $(R, \theta)$ denotes the cylindrical coordinates. The parameters of the cavity are set as $\varepsilon = 0.12$ and the refractive index is $n = 1$, where there is a ring trajectory shown by the dashed line in Fig. 1. When the cavity is not rotated, solving Eq. (1) with $\Omega = 0$ yields the wavefunctions of the eigen modes corresponding to the ring trajectory, as shown in Figs. 2 (a) and (b). As seen here, these modes are standing waves along the ring trajectory and have different parities with respect to the (horizontal and vertical) symmetry axes of the cavity. In addition, they have slightly different eigenvalues of the wavenumber; these modes are nearly-degenerate standing waves. We call the two modes shown in Figs. 2 (a) and (b) modes A and B, respectively.

When the cavity is rotated but the angular velocity $\Omega$ is smaller than $\Omega_{th}$ (where $R_0 \Omega_{th}/c \sim 5 \times 10^{-8}$), the frequency difference between modes A and B does not increase, as shown in Fig. 3 (a), and the wavefunctions of modes A and B do not drastically change, and they remain standing waves. However, for $\Omega > \Omega_{th}$, the frequency difference increases proportionally to the angular velocity $\Omega$. Then the wavefunctions of modes A and B are superimposed following Eq. (5) and change into the wavefunctions shown in Figs. 4 (a) and (b), respectively. The wavefunctions corresponds to the CW- and CCW- rotating waves $[15]$. From these results, one can observe the Sagnac effect (in cases of resonant cavities) as the frequency difference between counter-propagating wave modes $\Delta \omega$ proportional to the angular velocity. Note that a region of angular velocity $\Omega \ll \Omega_{th}$ exists where the frequency difference almost does not change. This result can never be derived from the conventional theory of the Sagnac effect using assumptions (i) and (ii).
Fig. 1. (Solid line) Optical cavity defined by boundary \( R(\theta) = R_0(1 + \varepsilon \cos 2\theta) \), where \((r, \theta)\) denotes cylindrical coordinates. The parameters of the cavity are set as \( R_0 = 6.2866 \mu m \), \( \varepsilon = 0.12 \), and \( n = 1 \). Dashed line denotes a ring trajectory.

Fig. 2. Wave functions of eigenstates localized around ring trajectory shown in Fig. 1 (a) (Dimensionless) eigen-wavenumber \( nk_A R_0 \) is 49.3380585 and the wave function has odd (odd) parity with respect to horizontal (vertical) axis. (b) Eigen-wavenumber \( nk_B R_0 = 49.3380615 \) and even (even) parity with respect to horizontal (vertical) axis. White curves denote cavity boundary.

3. Discussion

Note that the existence of region \( \Omega < \Omega_{th} \) is not akin to the so-called “lock-in” phenomenon that has been frequently observed in ring laser gyroscopes \([2, 3, 4, 16]\). The lock-in phenomenon in ring laser gyro is that CW- (CCW-) rotating wave modes are injection-locked to CCW-(CW-) propagating wave modes by backscatters in the ring lasers at a low rotation rate. However, in our analysis, the backscatters and the nonlinear effect of laser medium are not both taken into account. Our results show that a region of \( \Omega < \Omega_{th} \) shown in the previous section exists even without backscatters and the nonlinearity of laser medium.

As seen in Eq. (2), the existence of region \( \Omega < \Omega_{th} \) originates in the existence of non-degeneracy (or spacing \( \Delta k_0 \)). It is well-known that spacing \( \Delta k_0 \) is determined by the ratio between the characteristic radius of cavity \( R_0 \) and wavelength \( \lambda \) of the light, \( R_0 / \lambda \) (for example, Ref. [17]). Angular velocity \( \Omega_{th} \) increases as cavity size decreases. In such a case, the existence of the \( \Omega < \Omega_{th} \) region might prevent the optical cavity from operating as an optical gyroscope, because the frequency difference almost does not change for angular velocity \( \Omega \) smaller than \( \Omega_{th} \).

Recently, in a semiconductor microcavity laser called the quasi-stadium laser, the frequency difference between nearly-degenerate ring modes has experimentally measured as the frequency of oscillatory behavior, which the intensities of the CW- and CCW- output lights peri-
Fig. 3. (a) (Dimensionless) frequency difference $R_0\Delta\omega/c$ versus (dimensionless) angular velocity $R_0\Omega/c$. Frequency difference does not change for $R_0\Omega/c < R_0\Omega_{th}/c (\sim 5 \times 10^{-8})$. For $R_0\Omega/c > R_0\Omega_{th}/c$, it becomes proportional to angular velocity $\Omega$.

Fig. 4. Wave functions of rotating cavity with (dimensionless) angular velocity $R_0\Omega/c \approx 6.28 \times 10^{-3} (> R_0\Omega_{th}/c)$ respectively corresponding to (a) mode A and (b) mode B.
odically oscillate with \( \pi \) phase difference \([18]\). The frequency difference \( \approx c\Delta k_0/(2\pi) \) was around 3 MHz, although the value was changed by the pumping power. Applying Eq. 2 to the case of the quasi-stadium cavity, \( \Omega_{th} \) can be estimated as the order of 10^6 (degree/second), which is so large that one might not be able to experimentally observe frequency shift due to rotation even with any equipments. In the next section, we propose a method to remove the \( \Omega < \Omega_{th} \) region.

4. Application: design of resonant microcavity gyroscopes

According to Eq. 2, one can see that \( \Omega_{th} \) equals zero in the case of

\[ \Delta k_0 = 0. \quad (8) \]

The simplest case that satisfies condition (8) is when a cavity has continuous symmetry, such as circular symmetry, (e.g., micro ring or microdisk) because there are degenerate CW- and CCW-rotating waves in the non-rotating cavity. In a real system, however, the cavity must be coupled with an apparatus, such as a coupler or a photo detector, to actually measure beat frequency (frequency difference) between the counter-propagating waves. The total configuration of the cavity and such an apparatus cannot have continuous symmetry. Therefore, the break in symmetry causes frequency splitting even in the absence of rotation, i.e., \( \Delta \omega_0 (= c\Delta k_0) \neq 0 \). Thus, coupling the cavity with continuous symmetry with an apparatus complicates satisfying condition (8). Accordingly, to preserve the existence of degeneracy even in cases of total configuration, the cavity must have at most discrete symmetry because it is possible to preserve the discrete symmetry of the configuration by symmetrically depositing the apparatus.

4.1. Degeneracy and irreducible representations of symmetry group of cavity

The existence of degenerate eigenstates in a cavity with discrete symmetry can be shown by applying the representation theory of groups to wave Eq. 1 with \( \Omega = 0 \) \([19]\). Here we are concerned with the finite point group that leaves the cavity invariant in two dimensions.

An irreducible representation (IR) of the symmetry group of the cavity can characterize an eigenstate of Eq. 1 with \( \Omega = 0 \) \([20]\). Then the degree of degeneracy of the eigenvalue equals the dimension of the IR. That is, when the symmetry group of the cavity has a two-dimensional IR, the wave equation can give a degenerate eigenstate corresponding to the IR.

Based on group theory, the point groups (rotations and reflections) in two dimensions can have one-dimensional and two-dimensional IR’s. A requirement for the existence of a two-dimensional IR is that the group is not commutative. If the symmetry group of the cavity is commutative group, such as \( C_i \) symmetry, \( C_n \) (\( n = 1, 2, \ldots \)) symmetry or \( C_{nv} \) (\( n \leq 2 \)) symmetry, the group only has one-dimensional IR’s, namely, no degenerate eigenstates (barring accidental degeneracy). Note that the symmetry of the cavity shown in Fig. 1 is classified into \( C_{2v} \). Since there are only non-degenerate eigenstates in the cavity, the \( \Omega < \Omega_{th} \) region is caused by the lack of degeneracy, as shown in the previous section. Thus, the only symmetry group that is not commutative is \( C_{nv} \) (\( n \geq 3 \)).

Here, let \( R_n \) and \( \sigma \) be the rotation operator of \( 2\pi/n \) around the origin of the coordinate system and the reflection symmetry operator with respect to a symmetry axis, respectively. Then, we denote wavefunctions that have even and odd parities with respect to the symmetry axis by \( \psi_+ \) and \( \psi_- \), respectively, as

\[ \sigma \psi_{\pm} = \pm \psi_{\pm}. \quad (9) \]

Note that even and odd parity wavefunctions \( \psi_+ \) and \( \psi_- \) are always the solutions of Eq. 1 with \( \Omega = 0 \) in a cavity with a symmetry axis. In addition, also note that wavefunctions \( \psi_+ \) and
ψ− are orthogonal. In a cavity with $C_{nv}$ ($n \geq 3$) symmetry, the eigenvalues of wavefunctions $\psi_{\pm}$, which satisfy the following condition for integer $k \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$, are degenerate:

$$R_n^k \psi_{\pm} \neq R_n^{-k} \psi_{\pm}. \quad (10)$$

The reason is because $\psi_{-(+)}$ can be converted to $\psi_{+(−)}$ as follows: First, odd parity wavefunction $\psi_-$ is rotated by $(2\pi/n)k$ clockwise and counterclockwise around the origin of the coordinate system. Rotated wavefunction $R_n^{\pm k} \psi_-$ also has the same eigenvalue as wavefunction $\psi_−$, because the cavity is invariant for rotational operators $R_n^{\pm k}$. Then linear combination $R_n^k \psi_- − R_n^{-k} \psi_-$ also has the same eigenvalue. Operating $\sigma$ to the linear combination yields the following result:

$$\sigma(R_n^k \psi_- − R_n^{-k} \psi_-) = R_n^{-k} \sigma \psi_- − R_n^k \sigma \psi_- = +(R_n^k \psi_- − R_n^{-k} \psi_-), \quad (11)$$

where $\sigma \psi_- = −\psi_-$ and formula $(\sigma R_n^k)^2 = 1$ are used. This means that the linear combination is even parity with respect to the same symmetry axis. That is, even-parity wavefunction $\psi_+$ can be written as $\psi_+ = c_k (R_n^k \psi_- − R_n^{-k} \psi_-)$, where $c_k$ is a constant. Even-parity wavefunction $\psi_+$ can also be converted to odd parity wavefunction $\psi_-$ in the same way. Accordingly, one can see that condition (10) can be satisfied for the eigenstates of the wavefunctions satisfying condition (11) in the cavity with $C_{nv}$ ($n \geq 3$) symmetry and that $\Omega_{th}$ becomes zero in this case.

### 4.2. Numerical simulation

Theoretical predictions are confirmed by numerical simulation in which we chose a cavity defined by boundary $R(\theta) = R_0(1 + \varepsilon \cos \theta)$ that has $C_3v$ symmetry, where $\varepsilon = 0.065$. Figure 5 shows the four parity symmetry classes of this cavity. In notation $A+\cdots$, the sign is $+(−)$ if the wave function is even (odd) with respect to the horizontal axis. Letter $A$ indicates a wavefunction that does not satisfy condition (10), while letter $B$ indicates a wave function that does. Therefore, solving Eq. (1) with $\Omega = 0$ yields two degenerate standing-wave eigenfunctions, as shown in Figs. 6(a) and (b), which are classified into $B+$ and $B−$, respectively.

For $\Omega > 0$, standing-wave eigenfunctions, as shown in Figs. 6(a) and (b), change into the rotating wavefunctions shown in Figs. 6(c) and (d), respectively. Then, as shown in Fig. 7 the frequency difference between the two eigenstates is proportional to angular velocity $\Omega$. Accordingly, the frequency difference can be observed in a rotating cavity with $C_3v$ symmetry for $\Omega \geq \Omega_{th}$.

### 5. Summary

In summary, we showed that designing the symmetry of cavity shape as $C_{nv}$ ($n \geq 3$) symmetry gives degenerate resonance frequencies in non-rotating cavities and eliminates region $\Omega < \Omega_{th}$ where there is no resulting the shifts of resonant frequencies proportional to rotation rate.

Recently, semiconductor ring lasers [21, 22] and various types of microcavities [23, 24, 25] have been studied experimentally and theoretically toward the realization of small-sized rotation velocity sensors. We believe that our results will be useful for designing such rotation velocity sensors.
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Fig. 5. Four parity symmetry classes of a $C_3v$ symmetric cavity defined by the boundary $R(\theta) = R_0(1 + \epsilon \cos 3\theta)$, where $\epsilon = 0.065$. Even (odd) symmetry is marked by dashed (solid) lines.

Fig. 6. (a-b) Degenerate standing-wavefunctions of (dimensionless) eigen-wavenumber $nkR_0 = 50.220063$ in non-rotating cavity. (c-d) Wavefunctions of rotating cavity with $R_0\Omega/c \approx 6.28 \times 10^{-11}$. White curves denote cavity boundary.
Fig. 7. (Dimensionless) frequency difference $R_0 \Delta \omega / c$ v.s. (dimensionless) angular velocity $R_0 \Omega / c$. 