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Glycogen Synthase Kinase 3β and Activin/Nodal Inhibition in Human Embryonic Stem Cells Induces a Pre-Neuroepithelial State That is Required for Specification to a Floor Plate Cell Lineage
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ABSTRACT

The floor plate is one of the major organizers of the developing nervous system through its secretion of sonic hedgehog (Shh). Although the floor plate is located within the neural tube, the derivation of the floor plate during development is still debatable and some studies suggest that floor plate cells are specified by Shh in a temporarily restricted window different to neuroepithelial cells. Using human embryonic stem cells (hESC) as a model of neurogenesis, we sought to determine how floor plate cells may be temporarily specified by Shh signaling during human embryogenesis. We found that inhibition of both GSK3β and activin/nodal pathways in hESC induces a cellular state of SOX2+/PAX6− expression, we describe as “pre-neuroepithelial.” Exposure of SHH during this pre-neuroepithelial period causes the expression of GLI transcription factors to function as activators and consequently upregulate expression of the floor plate marker, FOXA2, while also suppressing PAX6 expression to inhibit neuroepithelial fate. FOXA2+ cells were able to efficiently generate mesencephalic dopaminergic neurons, a floor plate derivative. Overall, this study demonstrates a highly efficient system for generating floor plate cells from hESC and, most importantly, reveals that specification of floor plate cells is temporally dependent, whereby it occurs prior to the onset of PAX6 expression, within a pre-neuroepithelial stage.

INTRODUCTION

The floor plate is a secondary organizer that secretes Sonic hedgehog (Shh) in order to pattern ventral regions of the developing neural tube [1]. Cells within the floor plate express the forkhead winged helix transcription factor FoxA2 and are predominantly non-neurogenic except within the mid-brain regions of the neural tube where they give rise to mesencephalic dopaminergic neurons [2]. The generation of the floor plate itself is controversial and also seems to be species dependent [3–5]. The long-standing model of ventralization of the neural tube has been that, during gastrulation, neuroepithelial cells exposed to increasing concentrations of Shh give rise to more ventral neural progenitor subtypes [5]. However, certain studies in chick and mouse embryos have indicated that this model does not hold true for specification of the floor plate, and that high concentrations of Shh signaling may not in itself be sufficient to induce specification of floor plate cells in the neural tube [3, 6]. Studies in chick embryos have demonstrated that exposing the dorsal neural tube to Shh signaling promotes ventralization to motor neurons but not to a floor plate fate [6], suggesting that high levels of Shh itself are not enough to confer commitment to a floor plate identity. Other studies contradict this theory by showing that Shh can promote a floor plate phenotype in explant cultures from embryonic rat brain [7]. Shh, however, is critical for floor plate specification as Shh knockout mice do not develop a floor plate and have severe dorsoventral patterning defects [8]. More recently it was demonstrated in chick that in addition to requiring high levels of Shh concentration, the timing and duration of Shh signaling is critical for specifying cells toward a floor plate fate [9]. These studies suggest that floor plate cells are specified by Shh in a temporarily restricted window, earlier than the neighboring neuroepithelial cells. The critical timing of SHH signaling was also reported by Fasano et al. [10] using human embryonic stem cells (hESC). In this study, they demonstrated that early exposure of SHH promoted floor plate fate at the expense of differentiation toward anterior neuroectoderm, and that delaying SHH treatment to cultures
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from day 1 to day 3 resulted in a significant reduction in FOXA2+ cells [10].

If the timing of Shh exposure is critical for floor plate specification, then this also questions what are the parent progenitors that generate floor plate cells. Elegant studies in the chick embryo describe a “dual origin” of floor plate cells, derived from both Hensen’s node as well as neuroectoderm (Sox1+ cells), defined as medial and lateral floor plate cells, respectively [3]. Interestingly, the medial floor plate cells can give rise to lateral floor plate cells but not vice versa. Subsequent studies in the chick further defined the origins of anterior floor plate cells and determined that they were derived during gastrulation, from the prenodal epiblast region that lies anterior to Hensen’s node [11]. The timing and origin of floor plate cells in mammalian development, particularly in human embryos, remains to be determined. Also, differences in gene expression profiles across species of early pan-neuroepithelial markers, such as Pax6 and Sox1, further compunds this investigation. In human embryos, Pax6 is expressed in the neural plate, which is the earliest neural structure to form [12]. Pax6 is also expressed in early neuroepithelial cells derived from hESC, and forced expression of Pax6 in hESC drives their fate to neural [12–14]. In contrast, Sox1 is expressed prior to Pax6 in the neural plate of mice embryos [15]. Furthermore, forced Pax6 expression in mouse ESCs does not induce their neural fate [12, 16]. Since the floor plate develops at a similar developmental stage as the neural plate, there may be fundamental differences between humans and other species in the molecular pathways involved in generating floor plate cells.

Our studies provide evidence for the existence of a pre-neuroepithelial cellular state, that is, prior to Pax6 expression, whereby SHH signaling mediates specification to a floor plate fate in the human system. Most significantly, we identified the key signaling pathways activated in hESC to attain this pre-neuroepithelial state. Small molecule inhibition of both glycogen synthase kinase 3β (GSK3β) and Activin/Nodal signaling is able to efficiently direct hESC to nonpluripotent (OCT4+/NANOG−), pre-neuroepithelial (SOX2+/PAX6−) progenitors. We show that these pre-neuroepithelial cells can upregulate expression of GLI1 and specify their fate to floor plate in response to high levels of SHH signaling. Moreover, continued SHH signaling is not required for commitment to a floor plate state and that instead a state of cellular memory is induced in the progenitor cells through their exposure to SHH signaling. These studies reveal the events that delineate cell lineage commitment and specification toward floor plate versus neuroepithelium.

**Neural Induction in Defined Medium**

hESCs were mechanically dissected into pieces approximately 0.5 mm in diameter and transferred to laminin-coated organ culture plates in N2B27 medium containing 1:1 mix of neurobasal medium with DMEM/F12 medium, supplemented with insulin/transferrin/selenium 1%, N2 1%, retinol-free B27 1%, glucose 0.3%, penicillin 25 U/ml, and streptomycin 25 μg/ml (all from Invitrogen) for 11 days [19]. SB431542 (10 μM, Tocris) was added to the media only for the first 4 days followed by the addition of basic fibroblast growth factor (20 ng/ml, R&D, Minneapolis, MN, http://www.rndsystems.com) for the remaining 7 days. For conditions C–H, cultures were grown on laminin for the first 4 days after which they were dissected into 0.5 mm pieces and cultured in suspension in low-attachment 96-well plates (Corning, Acton, MA, http://www.corning.com/lifesciences) in N2B27 medium. Where indicated, cultures were supplemented with either: smoothed agonist (SAG; 400 nM, Merck, Whitehouse Station, NY, http://www.merck.com), GSK3β inhibitor CHIR99021 (3 μM, Stemgent), FGF8 (100 ng/ml, R&D), or MEK1/2 inhibitor PD0325901 (1 μM, Tocris). For 21- and 42-day neuronal differentiation, the last 10 and 14 days, respectively, of culture, spheres were plated onto laminin-coated dishes in N2B27 medium without factors. Schematics of conditions are shown in relevant figures and further described in Supporting Information Methods.

**Fluorescent Activated Cell Sorting Analysis**

hESCs or differentiated derivatives were dissociated into single cells with TrypLE Express (Invitrogen), centrifuged and resuspended in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 10 minutes, and subsequently washed in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and permeabilized with 0.25% Triton X in PBS (PBT). The following primary antibodies were used: mouse anti-Nkx2.1 (1:20, Abcam, Cambridge, U.K., http://www.abcam.com), goat anti-FoxA2 (1:10, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, http://www.scbt.com), goat anti-Sox2 (1:30, R&D), mouse anti-Oct4 (1:20, Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Antibodies were diluted in blocking solution (PBT with 10% FCS), and cells were centrifuged and resuspended in primary antibody solution overnight at 4°C. Following three 10-minute washes in PBT, cell were resuspended in the corresponding secondary antibodies for 30 minutes at room temperature (RT); donkey anti-goat Cy5, donkey anti-mouse Cy2 (1:400, Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA, http://www.jacksonimmuno.com) followed by a wash in blocking solution before being immediately sorted using an LSR Fortessa cell analyzer.

**Immunostaining**

Cell monolayers and neurospheres were fixed in 4% PFA for 20 minutes at 4°C and then washed briefly in PBS. Neurospheres or day 4 cell aggregates were embedded in TissueTek OCT compound (Labtek), cut at 10 μm on a cryostat, and sections were placed on superfrost slides. Sections or culture dishes were blocked for 1 hour at RT in blocking solution. The following primary antibodies were used: goat anti-FoxA2 (1:300, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), mouse anti-Nkx2.1 (1:300, Abcam) goat anti-Sox2 (1:500, R&D), rabbit anti-Oct4 (1:2000, Merck Millipore), rabbit anti-TH (1:1000, Promega, Madison, WI, Pel Freez), mouse anti-Tuj1 (1:500, Promega, Madison, WI, DMEM/nutrient mixture F-12, supplemented with β-mercaptoethanol 0.1 mM, NEAA 1%, glutamine 2 mM, penicillin 25 U/ml, streptomycin 25 μg/ml, and KSR 20% (all from Invitrogen). All cells were cultured at 37°C 5% CO2. Colonies were mechanically dissected every 7 days and transferred to freshly prepared MEFs or HFFs. Media was changed every second day.
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PAX6 [12] (Fig. 1A–1D; Supporting Information Fig. 1). How-
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Statistical Analysis

Fluorescent activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis was per-

formed on at least 10,000 events per replicate. These events

were counted after gating out cell debris and doublets on the

forward and side scatter. For QPCR and FACS analysis,

experiments were repeated at least three times. One-way

ANOVA's were performed for statistical analyses.

**RESULTS**

**Standard Neural Induction Using Activin/Nodal**

**Inhibition and SHH Agonist Is not Sufficient for Floor Plate Specification**

Standard protocols for hESC neural induction involves the use

of a coculture system using stromal cells as a feeder or, alter-

atively, in feeder-free conditions when hESC are treated with the

Activin/Nodal inhibitor SB431542 (which blocks phosphoryla-

tion of the ALK4, ALK5, and ALK7 receptors) and/or Noggin

(which blocks signaling through the bone morphogenetic pro-

tein (BMP) receptors) [19, 21, 22]. We, and others, have previ-

ously reported that supplementation with recombinant SHH is

required to induce hESC neural differentiation toward ventral

cell types [22, 23]. However, these studies show that recombi-

nant SHH is not efficient at inducing floor plate cells from

hESC, even when administered at high concentrations. One

possible explanation is that the SHH recombinant protein is not

lipid modified and thus cannot transduce a signal equivalent to

endogenous levels [24, 25]. This may be circumvented with the

use of a small molecule agonist of the SHH pathway, SAG, which

directly binds to the smoothened receptor and thus acti-

vates a SHH responsive signal [26]. To assess the effectiveness

of SAG in inducing floor plate cells from hESC, the laminin

substrate neural induction system was used, as previously

described [22]. Briefly, this induction system involves plating

hESC on a feeder-free, laminin substrate in neural media for 11

days, where the first 4 days includes treatment with the small

molecule Activin/Nodal inhibitor, SB431542, to promote neural

induction. Using this system, SAG treatment was included

throughout the 11-day protocol (Fig. 1, condition A). It was

found that after 11 days of SAG treatment, 75.18% ± 7.9%

SEM of the colonies expressed the ventral marker, NKK2.1,

with a corresponding loss of the early neuroepithelial marker,

PAX6 [12] (Fig. 1A–1D; Supporting Information Fig. 1). How-

ever, despite this ventralization by SAG treatment, almost no

FOXA2+ cells were observed (Fig. 1B), suggesting that activation/

repression of alternative signaling pathways may be needed to
efficiently induce floor plate specification under these

conditions.

**GSK3β Inhibitor Prevents the Onset of PAX6 During Neural Induction**

Our previous studies showed that intrinsic ectopic expression of

GLI1 was able to induce floor plate cells in hESC-derived

neuroepithelial cells by directly activating the SHH signaling

cascade [22]. Although Q-PCR analyses of SAG treatment

(condition A) at day 4 shows a significant increase in GLI1,

there was also a corresponding significant increase in GLI2

and GLI3 factors (p < .05, Supporting Information Fig. 2).

One possibility for the lack of FOXA2 expression in the

SAG-treated cultures is that the inhibitory activity of GLI2

and GLI3 may be overriding the GLI1 pathway. Suppressor

of fused (Sufu) is an essential negative regulator of the hedge-

hog pathway and is involved in the processing of GLI2/3 into

their transcriptional repressors [27–29]. Phosphorylation of

Sufu is required for its stabilization and is mediated by

protein kinase A (PKA) and GSK3β, with GSK3β also able to

form a trimolecular complex with GlI3 and Sufu for the

processing of GlI3 into repressors [30, 31]. Thus, an alternate

approach may be to prevent the repressive activity of the

hegedhog pathway in parallel to direct activation with SAG.

The small molecule CHIR-99021 (referred to as “CHIR”)

is a potent inhibitor of GSK3β and GSK3α. We propose that

blocking the action of GSK3β by CHIR would prevent the

activation of SUFU and inhibit its ability to effectively process

the GLI2/3 into their transcriptional repressive states, thus

shifting the balance toward a GLI activation state and hence

allowing greater efficiency of SHH signaling through SAG. To

test this model, CHIR was added at day 4 during hESC neural

induction and remained supplemented in the culture until day

11 (Fig. 1, condition B). Day 4 was chosen as a time point for

administering CHIR based on previous studies suggesting that

CHIR treatment may help maintain hESC pluripotency under

certain conditions [32]. At day 11, colonies were analyzed for

expression of ventral neural markers, including FOXA2. It was

found that, unlike condition A, there was no increase in the

ventral marker NKK2.1 but instead the expression of the early

neuroepithelial marker PAX6 was observed, and very few

FOXA2+ cells were observed (Fig. 1E–1H).
One possibility for the lack of FOXA2 expression in the CHIR-treated cultures described above is the timing of CHIR exposure. It may be that commitment toward a neuroepithelial lineage has already occurred by day 4 and thus precluding subsequent floor plate specification. To investigate this hypothesis, our neural induction protocol (condition A) was

Figure 1. Early treatment with sonic hedgehog (SHH) agonist is not sufficient for floor plate specification. (A–D): Neural induction in defined media with SAG yields few FOXA2+ cells (condition A). (E–H): In condition B, addition of the glycogen synthase kinase 3β inhibitor CHIR from days 4 to 11 resulted in no detectable NKX2.1+ cells and expansion of the neuroepithelial marker PAX6. No detectable FOXA2+ cells were found. Scale bars = 500 μm. Abbreviations: DAPI, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; SAG, smoothened agonist; SB, SB431542.
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Figure 2. GSK3β and activin/nodal inhibition results in a pre-neuroepithelial state. (A–B′): In condition A Early, at day 4, cells coexpress neuroepithelial markers, SOX2 and PAX6. (C): Cultures treated with SB431542, CHIR, and SAG (condition C Early) results in a cellular aggregate arising in the central regions of the colony by day 4 (dashed line). (D–J′): Aggregates were harvested at day 4 and examined by immunofluorescence for SOX2 (D′), PAX6 (D′), NANOG (E′), OCT4 (F′), FOXA2 (G′), OTX2 (H′), TRA-1-181 (I′), and TRA-1-60 (J′). (K–L′): Aggregates obtained from SB, CHIR, and SAG-treated cultures were harvested at day 4 and cultured in suspension to day 11 (condition C). At day 11, cells were stained for SOX2 (K′), PAX6 (K′), FOXA2 (L′), and NKX6.1 (L′). Scale bars = 100 μm. Abbreviations: CHIR, CHIR-99021; DAPI, 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; SAG, smoothened agonist; SB, SB431542.
analyzed at day 4 for expression of early neuroepithelial markers, PAX6 and SOX2. Rapid induction of PAX6 and SOX2 was found at 4 days with the SB431542 inhibition together with SAG, suggesting an early commitment toward a neuroepithelial lineage (Fig. 2A–B’). Given these results, the neural induction protocol was modified to include CHIR treatment from day 0 (condition C). After 4 days of combined SAG, SB431542, and CHIR treatment, cells within the central regions of the colony differentiated into aggregate structures, which could be mechanically harvested (Fig. 2C). Immunostaining analyses of these cell aggregates showed downregulation of the pluripotent markers, OCT4, NANOG, TRA-1-60 and TRA-1-81, however, expression of the pluripotent/neural marker, SOX2, was maintained (SOX2+ cells were 89.17% ± 2.7% SEM and OCT4+ cells were 0.91% ± 0.12% SEM, Fig. 2C–2J; Supporting Information Fig. 3). Cells surrounding the aggregate were also OCT4+/SOX2+ (data not shown). Interestingly, OTX2 was expressed in the cellular aggregates (an epiblast and anterior neural patterning marker) although no PAX6+ cells were observed (Fig. 2H, 2D’). Despite an absence of PAX6 expression, no FOXA2+ cells were found at this stage in these conditions (Fig. 2G’).

To determine whether longer exposure of CHIR is needed to induce FOXA2 expression, hESC cultures were treated with SAG and CHIR from day 0 and maintained in these conditions for an additional 11 days (Fig. 2, condition C). Cultures analyzed at day 11 still did not show any significant numbers of FOXA2+ cells (Fig. 2L’). Interestingly, progenitors appeared to remain in a similar state as examined at day 4, that is, SOX2+/PAX6+ cells (Fig. 2K–K’). Taken together, these data suggest that early inhibition of the GSK3β pathway during neural induction in hESC can block differentiation toward neuroepithelial cell types and maintain the cells in a state we define as being “pre-neuroepithelial.”

**Transient and Early Exposure of CHIR Results in Highly Efficient Generation of FOXA2+ Cells**

The long-term exposure of CHIR during neural induction may not allow further differentiation of SOX2+/PAX6+ progenitors to a floor plate fate. To test this hypothesis, CHIR treatment was applied to the neural induction cultures for the first 4 days only, together with SAG, after which CHIR was removed. The cultures were then further treated with SAG and FGF only for an additional 7 days (Fig. 3, condition D). Immunostaining analyses at the day 11 time point of these conditions showed 86% ± 2.8% SEM FOXA2+ cells (Figs. 3B, 3D’, 4A; Supporting Information Fig. 4). The cells were also negative for PAX6 expression (Fig. 3C, 3D’). Thus, early transient exposure of CHIR results in PAX6+ progenitors, which can be efficiently ventralized into FOXA2+ cells through activation of the SHH pathway. In addition, at day 11 the FOXA2+ cultures showed no significant expression of the endoderm and mesoderm markers, SOX17 and BRACHYURY, respectively, confirming that they are of the floor plate progenitor lineage (Supporting Information Fig. 5).

**Both SHH Signaling and GSK3 Inhibition Are Required for Inducing Floor Plate Fate**

Each of the conditions described above incorporated SAG throughout the entire neural induction period since it is known to be a potent inducer of ventral neural cell types. To determine whether CHIR treatment alone can induce a floor plate phenotype, hESC cultures were treated as described above with CHIR exposure for the first 4 days only, in the absence of SAG (Fig. 3, condition E). Almost no FOXA2 cells were detected after 11 days (3.3% ± 1.2% SEM; Figs. 3H, 3J, 4A; Supporting Information Fig. 4). In contrast, several PAX6+ cells were observed, indicating that both CHIR and SAG are required for floor plate induction (Figs. 3I, 3J’). Interestingly, we also found high expression of the forebrain markers, FOXG1 and OTX2+ (PAX6+), in these cultures (Supporting Information Fig. 6). This suggests that CHIR induction of hESC to a pre-neuroepithelial state retains their capacity to differentiate to forebrain neural derivatives.

To examine whether the sequence of SAG and GSK3β inhibition signaling is critical for robust FOXA2 expression, hESC cultures were again treated with CHIR and SAG for 4 days, after which both compounds were removed (Fig. 3, condition F). These conditions resulted in significantly more numbers of FOXA2+ cells than treatment E (83.5% ± 5.9% SEM; p < .0001; Figs. 3N, 4A). The reverse sequence of SAG treatment was also examined, whereby hESC cultures were treated with CHIR and no SAG for the first 4 days and then removed, but SAG was then added at day 4 (Fig. 3P–3R, condition G) or at day 11 (Fig. 3S–3U, condition H), and cultures were maintained for an additional 7 days. High FOXA2+ expression (85.7% ± 5.7 SEM; Fig. 4A) was only found in the former, condition G (Figs. 3Q, 4A; Supporting Information Fig. 4). In contrast, when SAG treatment was performed at later stages of neural induction (condition H), it resulted in no detectable FOXA2+ expression, however, ventralization to the forebrain basal plate domain NKX2.1 was observed (Fig. 3S–3U). This suggests that, both GSK3β inhibition and SHH signaling are required at the earliest stages of neural induction for efficient floor plate specification of hESC, and that GSK3β inhibition needs to occur first. These data are consistent with the findings described above, when CHIR was added after 4 days of SAG treatment (condition B).

**Pathways Involved in CHIR- and SAG-Mediated Induction to Floor Plate**

GSK3β is involved in multiple pathways that include Shh and canonical Wnt signaling. To determine if CHIR treatment activates canonical Wnts in our induction system, subcellular localization expression of β-catenin was investigated. Nuclear staining of β-catenin was observed at day 4 in CHIR- and SAG-treated cultures (Fig. 5D–5F). These data suggest that the canonical Wnt pathway may be involved in directing hESC to a pre-neuroepithelial state.

To determine the mechanism of floor plate induction by CHIR and SAG treatments, Q-PCR analyses of FOXA2, SHH, and GLI transcription factors were performed at days 4 and 11 of differentiation. There was no significant increase in FOXA2 or SHH expression in hESC cultures after 4 days of treatment with CHIR and SAG, but when CHIR was removed at day 4, FOXA2 and SHH expression is then significantly upregulated (Fig. 4B, 4C). Interestingly, GLI1 transcript levels were significantly lower relative to hESC with CHIR treatment at day 4 (day 4 condition E; Fig. 4D). However, GLI1 was significantly increased in the CHIR/SAG-treated cultures compared to CHIR-only treated day 4 cultures (p = .065; day 4 conditions E and D, respectively; Fig. 4D). In contrast, no significant changes in GLI2 and GLI3 levels were observed at the day 4 time point with CHIR only or CHIR/SAG treatments. By day 11, FOXA2 expression was upregulated with some persistent GLI1 expression in condition D cultures. This is contrasted with no significant increase in FOXA2 expression at day 11 in condition D relative to hESC (Fig. 4B). Upregulated expression of GLI2 was only found in condition E at day 11, which is consistent with upregulated expression of PAX6 in these cultures (Figs. 3I, 3J, 3L’).
A pre-neuroepithelial stage can be differentiated toward floor plate or neuroepithelial fates. (A–F): Condition D cells were examined by immunofluorescence for FOXA2 (B) and PAX6 (C) expression, DAPI (A), box insert (D–D’). Condition D was also examined for SOX2 expression (F), DAPI (E). (G–L): Condition E cells were examined by immunofluorescence for FOXA2 (H) and PAX6 (I) expression, DAPI (G), box insert (J–J’). Condition E was also examined for SOX2 expression (L), DAPI (K). (M–O): Condition F was examined by immunofluorescence for FOXA2 (N) and NKX2.1 (O) expression, DAPI (M). Condition G was examined by immunofluorescence for FOXA2 (Q) and NKX2.1 (R) expression, DAPI (P). Condition H was examined by immunofluorescence for FOXA2 (T) and NKX2.1 (U) expression, DAPI (S). Condition D, F, and G all result in high proportions of FOXA2+ cells. Condition E results in PAX6+ cells, and condition H results in NKX2.1+ cells. Scale bars: A–C, E–I, K–U = 100 μm and D–D’’, J–J’’ = 10 μm. Abbreviations: CHIR, CHIR-99021; DAPI, 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; SAG, smoothened agonist; SB, SB431542.
GLI3 expression was also upregulated in condition E at day 11 ($p = .0249$; Fig. 4F). Taken together, these results show that CHIR/SAG treatment of hESC prevents the onset of PAX6 expression and also prevents upregulation of GLI2/3. This allows GLI1 expression to be induced by SHH signaling shifting the balance toward a GLI activation state. GLI1 then directly upregulates FOXA2 expression to specify progenitors to a floor plate fate.

Figure 4. Fluorescent activated cell sorting (FACS) quantification of conditions D–G for FOXA2 at day 11 and quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) analysis of conditions D and E at day 4 and day 11 relative to hESC. (A): FACS analysis of conditions D, F, and G all yielded high percentages of FOXA2+ cells, 86% ($\pm 2.8$ SEM), 83.5% ($\pm 5.9$ SEM), and 85.7% ($\pm 5.7$ SEM), respectively. Condition E resulted in few (3.3%; $\pm 1.2$ SEM) FOXA2+ cells, significantly lower than the other conditions ($p < .0001$). (B–F): Q-PCR analysis of conditions D and E at day 4 and 11. Q-PCR for FOXA2 (B), SHH (C), GLI1 (D), GLI2 (E), and GLI3 (F). Significant differences of relevant comparisons are indicated: *, **, *** indicated $p$ values of $<.05$, $<.005$ and $<.0005$, respectively. Not all significant differences are indicated. Abbreviation: hESC, human embryonic stem cell.

Figure 5. $\beta$-Catenin expression in hESC and day 4 condition C treated cultures. (A–C): hESC showed predominately membrane bound localization of $\beta$-Catenin. (D–F): CHIR- and SAG-treated cultures (condition C) at day 4, however, showed membrane bound and nuclear accumulation of $\beta$-catenin. Scale bar = 20 μm. Abbreviations: DAPI, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; hESC, human embryonic stem cell.
Our previous studies in hESC showed that GLI1 is a direct activator of FOXA2 expression. Thus, it may be that CHIR/SAG treatment directly induces GLI1 expression, which then upregulates FOXA2 expression in the absence of continued SHH treatment.

Differentiation of FOXA2+ Floor Plate Cells Results in Mesencephalic Dopaminergic Neurons

A special feature of floor plate cells in the midbrain is their neurogenic ability to directly generate mesencephalic dopaminergic neurons [2, 33]. We therefore investigated whether hESC-derived FOXA2+ cells had the capacity to give rise to mesencephalic dopaminergic neurons. hESC differentiation cultures treated by condition D were further differentiated with the addition of the specific MEK1/2 inhibitor PD0325901 from days 4 to 7, which has recently been demonstrated to induce caudalization of ESCs in order to specify a midbrain identity [34]. These cultures were then separated into 21- and 42-day neuronal differentiation groups (Fig. 6). For both groups, culturing the neurospheres onto laminin substrates at day 11 and 28, respectively, induced terminal differentiation of neural progenitors into TH+ dopaminergic neurons. A high proportion of FOXA2+ cells differentiated into TUJ1+ neurons (Fig. 6A–6C), and few tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) positive neurons were observed (data not shown). For the 42-day differentiation group, robust expression of TH+ neurons was observed, and a high proportion of these neurons was observed.
colocalized expression with FOXA2 and the midbrain marker Engrailed 1/2, indicative of mesencephalic dopaminergic identity (Fig. 6D–6I). In conclusion, hESC can be efficiently patterned into a midbrain floor plate progenitor state, with the capacity to subsequently differentiate into mesencephalic dopaminergic neurons, through manipulation of the SHH pathway by CHIR/SAG treatment at specific time points during neural induction.

**DISCUSSION**

Although the floor plate is located within the neural tube, some studies in chick and mouse have suggested that floor plate cells are specified differently to ventral neuroepithelial cells during development. The generation of the floor plate itself requires high levels of Shh, however, the timing and duration of Shh signaling is critical [9]. Our data provide evidence to support this hypothesis within the human system. Most significantly, we identified that by blocking GSK3β with CHIR may through its ability to promote self-renewal thereby change the morphogen gradient established by the dosage alone. We propose a similar mechanism within hESC whereby early cellular priming is required to establish a responsive pre-neuroepithelial state where the overriding effect of SHH signaling is ventralization through efficient activation of the GLI1 pathway in the absence of co-repressive activity through GLI2/3.

This shift attains cellular memory whereby the expression of FOXA2 and commitment to a floor plate fate occurs, even in the absence of continued exogenous SHH signaling. These events mimic those in development, whereby the continued exposure of SHH is not required once the floor plate has been fated [9].

CHIR is a potent and specific inhibitor of GSK3β, which is involved in multiple signaling pathways including Wnt. Indeed, we found activation of canonical Wnt pathway at the day 4 stage of CHIR treatment, suggesting that Wnt signaling may be involved in mediating hESC to a pre-neuroepithelial state. Fasano et al. [10] showed that inhibition of the Wnt signaling via Dkk1 treatment helped induce hESC commitment toward anterior neuroectoderm and inhibition of Dkk1 resulted in an enhancement of floor plate specification. Recently others reported that CHIR is capable of inducing a caudalizing effect on hESC-derived neural progenitors into a midbrain identity, and it was suggested that this was mediated through its involvement in activating the WNT pathway [36, 37]. This mechanism is feasible given that Wnt proteins play a role in establishing the midbrain/hindbrain boundary during development [38]. Interestingly, however, our data show upregulation of the forebrain markers, NKX2.1, FOXG1, and OTX2/PAX6, in conditions H and E whereby SAG treatment was absent or delayed in the CHIR-treated hESC cultures thereby allowing upregulation of PAX6 expression. In these conditions, early exposure of CHIR only was not sufficient to induce a caudalizing effect. Overall, it is very possible that GSK3β inhibition has a dual temporal and spatial function in promoting floor plate specification and caudalization, respectively.

Although activation of the Wnt pathway may play a role in floor plate specification, we provide evidence to suggest that regulation of GLI transcription factors are also involved. Our previous studies showed that GLI1 directly induces floor plate specification and caudalization, respectively.

![Diagram of floor plate and neuroepithelial specification from hESC](image)
extending the temporal window for cells to remain in a pre-neuroepithelial state. Other studies have shown that GSK3β inhibition promotes self-renewal of neuroepithelial cells through its regulation of the cell cycle by interacting with C-Myc and N-Myc [40, 41]. Indeed, early and continued CHIR treatment (condition C) kept the pre-neuroepithelial progenitors in a SOX2+/PAX6– state and prevented their further differentiation, perhaps mediated by the regulation of Myc family members. Furthermore, exposure of CHIR to PAX6+ progenitors (condition B) resulted in persistent PAX6 expression and suppression of NKK2.1. Given this potential function of CHIR in self-renewal, it is understandable that the timing of CHIR treatment is essential for the outcome of cellular fate.

Along the rostral-caudal axis, the floor plate generates heterogeneous populations of neural and non-neural progenitors [42]. Within the midbrain region, floor plate cells are neurogenic and give rise to mesencephalic dopaminergic neurons [2, 33]. We demonstrated that through the use of caudalizing factors, specifically a MEK1/2 inhibitor PD0325901, we could efficiently pattern the floor plate progenitors to a midbrain identity and induce neuronal commitment, which gave rise to high proportions of mesencephalic dopaminergic neurons. Recently, it was shown that blocking MEK1/2 signaling upon neural induction induces caudalization toward a midbrain identity [34]. Taken together, this methodology may be used for generating mesencephalic dopaminergic neural progenitors for drug discovery and establishing cell replacement-based approaches for treating Parkinson’s Disease.

**CONCLUSION**

In summary, we demonstrate that development of the floor plate within the human system occurs in the same manner as what has been reported in other species (Fig. 7). The floor plate is established within a restricted developmental temporal window that exists before neuroepithelial commitment and is dependent on SHH signaling. Within human development, the onset of PAX6 expression confers a loss of floor plate competence and allows for the formation of the neuroepithelium. This temporal change is fundamentally important in that it prevents the overlying neural tube from adopting a floor plate fate since the floor plate itself secretes SHH at high concentrations. SHH secreted from the floor plate continues to pattern the ventral regions of the neural tube and demonstrates how similar SHH levels that once gave rise to floor plate can now specify basal plate identity (Fig. 7). These results also highlight how a temporal shift can confer multiple fate choice from a single morphogen concentration. Furthermore, we demonstrate that pre-neuroepithelial cells undergo a state of cellular memory in response to SHH signaling, which leads to the onset of FOXA2 conferring their commitment to a floor plate fate in the absence of continued exogenous SHH signaling. Overall, this study illustrates that responsiveness of human cells to SHH signaling to induce floor plate versus neuroepithelial fate is highly context dependent and directly linked to temporal aspects of development. These findings are significant for understanding how the floor plate develops during human embryogenesis.
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