Tumor-derived exosomal BCYRN1 activates WNT5A/VEGF-C/VEGFR3 feedforward loop to drive lymphatic metastasis of bladder cancer
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Graphical Abstract
Intronic RNA BCYRN1 is upregulated in urinary exosomes from bladder cancer (BCa) patients and associated with LN metastasis and poor prognosis of patients. BCYRN1 upregulates WNT5A expression to activate WNT/β-catenin pathway and promotes the secretion of VEGF-C in BCa. Exosomal BCYRN1 stabilizes VEGFR3 mRNA in HLECs, constituting a feedforward loop to promote lymphangiogenesis and LN metastasis of BCa.
Tumor-derived exosomal BCYRN1 activates WNT5A/VEGF-C/VEGFR3 feedforward loop to drive lymphatic metastasis of bladder cancer

Hanhao Zheng¹,²,# | Changhao Chen¹,²,# | Yuming Luo³,# | Min Yu³,# | Wang He¹,² | Mingjie An¹,² | Bowen Gao⁴ | Yao Kong³ | Yiyao Ya⁵ | Yan Lin¹,² | Yuting Li³ | Keji Xie⁵ | Jian Huang¹,² | Tianxin Lin¹,²

¹ Department of Urology, Sun Yat-sen Memorial Hospital, Guangzhou, Guangdong, P. R. China
² Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Malignant Tumor Epigenetics and Gene Regulation, Sun Yat-sen Memorial Hospital, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Guangzhou, Guangdong, P. R. China
³ Department of General Surgery, Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital, Guangdong Academy of Medical Sciences, Guangzhou, Guangdong, P. R. China
⁴ Department of Pancreatobiliary Surgery, Sun Yat-sen Memorial Hospital, Guangzhou, Guangdong, P. R. China
⁵ Department of Urology, Guangzhou First People's Hospital, School of Medicine, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, China

Correspondence
Tianxin Lin, Jian Huang, and Changhao Chen, Department of Urology, Sun Yat-sen Memorial Hospital, 107 Yanjiangxi Road, Yuexiu District, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, P. R. China
Email: lntx@mail.sysu.edu.cn; huangj8@mail.sysu.edu.cn; chenchh53@mail.sysu.edu.cn
Keji Xie, Department of Urology, Guangzhou First People's Hospital, School of Medicine, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, China

Abstract
Background: Patients with lymph node (LN) metastatic bladder cancer (BCa) present with extremely poor prognosis. BCa-derived exosomes function as crucial bioactive cargo carriers to mediate the signal transduction in tumor microenvironment triggering tumor metastasis. However, the mechanisms underlying exosome-mediated LN metastasis in BCa are unclear.

Methods: We conducted the high-throughput sequencing to explore the expression profile of long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) in urinary exosomes (urinary-EXO) from patients with BCa and further evaluated the clinical relevance of
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1 | BACKGROUND

Bladder cancer (BCa) is one of the most frequently diagnosed urinary malignancies and is the second main reason of urinary cancer-associated death worldwide.1 Tumor metastasis is the leading cause for the poor prognosis of patients with BCa, among which lymph node (LN) metastasis is recognized as the predominant metastatic manner.2,3 Previous studies have demonstrated that patients with LN-positive BCa have a worse 5-year survival rate compared with patients with LN-negative BCa, which decreased from 77.6% to 18.6%.4,5 Despite the increasing evidences indicate that LN metastasis is a poor prognostic factor of BCa, the definite mechanisms driving LN metastasis of BCa are still unclear. Therefore, fully determining the molecular mechanisms triggering LN metastasis of BCa is of great clinical importance to explore efficient drug targets for therapeutic interventions in BCa patients.

The vascular endothelial growth factor-C (VEGF-C)/VEGF receptor 3 (VEGFR3) pathway is one of the most essential signaling for LN metastasis of tumors.6,7 VEGF-C induces dimerization and autophosphorylation of VEGFR3 to drive the differentiation of cardinal vein cells into lymphatic endothelial cells, resulting in the lymphangiogenesis of tumors.8,9 It is well-established that the VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling is mediated by multiple biological processes during LN metastasis of tumors, including transcriptional regulation, chemokine induction, and the crosstalk between signaling pathways.10-12 Transducin (β)-like 1 X-linked receptor 1 transcriptionally promotes VEGF-C expression through binding with its promoter, thus enhancing lymphatic metastasis of esophageal carcinoma.13 Inhibition of tumor necrosis factor alpha-activated inflammatory macrophage-secreted VEGF-C is identified as a promising intervention for lymphangiogenesis of tumors.14 Nevertheless, the mechanisms underlying the coordinate stimulation of

exosomal lncRNA BCYRN1 in a larger 210-case cohort. The functional role of exosomal BCYRN1 was evaluated through the migration and tube formation assays in vitro and the footpad-popliteal LN metastasis model in vivo. RNA pull-down assays, luciferase assays, and actinomycin assays were conducted to detect the regulatory mechanism of exosomal BCYRN1.

Results: lncRNA BCYRN1 was substantially upregulated in urinary-EXO from patients with BCa, and associated with the LN metastasis of BCa. We demonstrated that exosomal BCYRN1 markedly promoted tube formation and migration of human lymphatic endothelial cells (HLECs) in vitro and lymphangiogenesis and LN metastasis of BCa in vivo. Mechanistically, BCYRN1 epigenetically upregulated WNT5A expression by inducing hnRNPA1-associated H3K4 trimethylation in WNT5A promoter, which activated Wnt/β-catenin signaling to facilitate the secretion of VEGF-C in BCa. Moreover, exosomal BCYRN1 was transmitted to HLECs to stabilize the VEGFR3 mRNA and thus formed an hnRNPA1/WNT5A/VEGFR3 feedforward regulatory loop, ultimately promoting the lymphatic metastasis of BCa. Importantly, blocking VEGFR3 with specific inhibitor, SAR131675 significantly impaired exosomal BCYRN1-induced the LN metastasis in vivo. Clinically, exosomal BCYRN1 was positively associated with the shorter survival of BCa patients and identified as a poor prognostic factor of patients.

Conclusion: Our results uncover a novel mechanism by which exosomal BCYRN1 synergistically enhances VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling-induced lymphatic metastasis of BCa, indicating that BCYRN1 may serve as an encouraging therapeutic target for patients with BCa.
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VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling leading to LN metastasis of BCa are largely unknown.

Exosomes are nano-sized lipid bilayer microvesicles ranging from 30 to 150 nm in diameter that possess tissue-specific anchoring proteins in the transmembrane, enabling their highly targeted endocytosis by recipient cells. Tumor-derived exosomes served as crucial cargo carriers of bioactive molecules contribute to the trafficking between tumor cells and the tumor microenvironment (TME). Transmission of exosomes from tumor cells to establish the premetastatic niche is a vital step in the metastatic cascade resulting in cancer dissemination. Exosomes transfer the miR-221-3p to lymphatic endothelial cells to remodel the TME, inducing the LN metastasis of cervical squamous cell carcinoma. Moreover, targeting exosomal contents to inhibit macrophage polarization is an attractive method to prevent tumor metastasis. However, the regulatory mechanisms of exosomes in lymphatic metastasis of BCa remain confusing.

In the present study, we conducted a high-throughput sequencing to identify a long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) BCYRN1, which was significantly overexpressed in urinary exosomes (urinary-EXO) from patients with BCa and was closely associated with LN metastasis and poor prognosis of patients. We demonstrated that exosomal BCYRN1 enhanced lymphangiogenesis and LN metastasis of BCa in vitro and in vivo. Mechanistically, exosomal BCYRN1 constituted a feedforward loop with hnRNPA1/WNT5A/VEGFR3 regulatory axis and synergistically enforced the VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling to facilitate the lymphangiogenesis of BCa. Our results uncover the precise mechanism by which exosomal BCYRN1 promotes lymphatic metastasis of BCa, implying that targeting BCYRN1 may become an encouraging therapy for patients with LN metastatic BCa.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Clinical specimens

The tissues and urine specimens were obtained from patients in Sun Yat-sen Memorial Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University (Guangzhou, China). Pathologically, tissues specimens were verified as BCa through two independent professional pathologists. The clinical characteristics of the participants were listed in Table S1. We obtained the informed consent from involved patients and the ethical approval of the Committees for Ethical Review of Research Involving Human Subjects at Sun Yat-sen University (approval number:2013[61]).

2.2 | Cell culture

All cell lines involved in our study except human lymphatic endothelial cells (HLECs), human dermal lymphatic endothelial cells (HDLECs), and human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). T24 and 5637 cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640 (Gibco, Shanghai, China), UM-UC-3 cells in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Gibco, Shanghai, China), and SVHUC-1 cells in F-12K medium (Hyclone, Logan, UT, USA). All media were supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, Shanghai, China). HLECs were purchased from ScienCell Research Laboratories (Carlsbad, CA, USA) while HDLECs and HUVECs from Promocell company (Heidelberg, Germany), both of which were kept in endothelial cell medium with 5% FBS and 1% matched growth factor (ScienCell, CA, USA). All cell lines mentioned above were cultured in a humidified incubator at 37°C with 5% CO2.

2.3 | RNA sequencing and data analysis

The extraction of total RNA in the urinary-EXO isolated from five patients with muscle invasive BCa and five healthy controls was conducted with TRIZOL (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The RNA was qualified by Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), after which the qualified RNA was subjected to remove rRNA through a Ribo-Zero Magnetic Kit (Epicentre) and sequenced on a Hiseq4000 platform.

2.4 | Mouse popliteal LN metastasis model

The BALB/c nude mice (about 4- to 5-week-old) were purchased from the Experimental Animal Center, Sun Yat-sen University (Guangzhou, China) and used to construct the footpad tumor model as described in our previous study, in which 5 × 10^5 UM-UC-3 cells supplemented in 20 µl phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and 10 µg indicated exosomes supplemented in 20 µl PBS were slowly injected into the footpad of mice, respectively. Then, the footpad tumors and popliteal LNs were resected and embedded with paraffin for further analysis. The experiments were carried out under the approval of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Sun Yat-sen University.
2.5 | RNA pull-down assays

To examine BCYRN1-interating proteins, biotin RNA pull-down assays were conducted. Transcript Aid T7 High Yield Transcription Kit and Pierce Magnetic RNA-Protein Pull-Down Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were used to synthesize biotinylated BCYRN1 and captured the BCYRN1 binding proteins, respectively.

2.6 | Chromatin isolation by RNA purification (ChIRP) assays

The ChIRP assays were carried out through a Magna ChIRP RNA Interactome Kit (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) with instructions of the manufacturers. The BCYRN1-specific probes were designed with an online tool and labeled with biotin in its 3’ terminus (Table S9).

2.7 | Fluorescence resonance energy transfer analysis

To verify the triplex structure forming between BCYRN1 and WNT5A promoter, the fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) analysis was conducted, in which the triplex-forming oligonucleotides (TFO) in BCYRN1 were labeled with 5-carboxytetramethylrhodamine, and the triplex target site (TTS) in WNT5A promoter was labeled with 5-carboxyfluorescein. Then, the binding buffer added with TFO and TTS was incubated at 55°C for 10 min and 37°C for 10 h, after which the fluorescence wavelengths were detected with a Molecular Device M5 Plate Reader.

2.8 | Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays

The chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays were conducted to detect the interaction between hnRNPA1 or H3K4me3 and their target chromatin with EZ-Magna ChIP A/G kit (Millipore). Briefly, BCa cells were harvested to fix in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min after which the fixed cells were resuspended in cell lysis buffer to thoroughly lyse the cells. Then, the chromatins of cell lysate were sheared by sonicator and added into the mixtures of primary antibodies and magnetic beads for further incubation at 4°C overnight. Finally, the binding chromatins were eluted and further analyzed through quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) assays.

2.9 | CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene deletion

The lentiCRISPR v2 vectors contain with single guide RNAs targeted the BCYRN1 were purchased from GenePharma (Shanghai, China) and further transfected into HLECs to construct the BCYRN1-knockout HLECs. qRT-PCR analysis was performed to evaluate the knockout efficiency.

2.10 | Further applied methods

Further methods of immunohistochemistry (IHC) analysis, in situ hybridization (ISH) analysis, cytosolic and nuclear fraction, immunofluorescence, fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), isolation of exosomes, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) lentivirus infection and cell transfection, 5-ethynyl-20-deoxyuridine (EdU) assays, Cell Counting Kit 8 (CCK-8) assays, subcutaneous tumorigenicity model, tube formation and Transwell assays, western blotting analysis, RNA extraction, RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) assays, serial deletion analysis, TOPflash/FOP-flash assays, dual-luciferase reporter assays, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), internalization analysis of exosomes, actinomycin assays and bioinformatic analysis were provided in Supplementary Methods.

2.11 | Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS v.13.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and \( p < 0.05 \) was considered as statistically significant. All quantitative data performed in triplicate were expressed as mean ± SD. Kaplan–Meier analysis was carried out to evaluate the survival time of patients with BCa. Moreover, chi-square tests (\( \chi^2 \) tests) and one-way ANOVA or two-tailed Student’s \( t \)-test were performed to assess the statistical significance between non-parametric variables and parametric variables, respectively.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Exosomal BCYRN1 is correlated with LN metastasis of BCa

It has been proposed that targeting exosome-dependent transmission of specific lncRNA to a prearranged cell represents a promising clinical intervention to inhibit
FIGURE 1 Exosomal BCYRN1 overexpression correlates with LN metastasis of BCa. (A) Flow chart for the identification of exosomal BCYRN1 overexpression in urinary-EXO from patients with BCa and correlated with VEGFR3 expression. (B and C) qRT-PCR analysis of VEGFR3 expression in HLECs treated with relative IncRNA-silenced BCa cells-secreted exosomes. (D) qRT-PCR analysis verified the overexpression of BCYRN1 in urinary-EXO from 210 patients with BCa compared with those from 112 healthy controls. (E and F) FISH and
tumor metastasis. However, its role in LN metastasis of BCa is still largely unknown. To determine the mechanism and clinical application potential of exosomal lncRNA in LN metastasis of BCa, we first conducted high-throughput sequencing to explore the lncRNA expression profile of urinary-EXO (GEO ID: GSE156308). As shown in Figure 1A, 255 lncRNAs were overexpressed in the urinary-EXO from BCa patients compared with those from healthy controls. Next, we evaluated the essential exosomal lncRNA that correlated with the activation of the VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling which is the most prominent manner in stimulating LN metastasis of tumors. The results revealed that three lncRNA, BCYRN1, MAP4K3-DT, and RP5-857K21.7 were positively associated with the VEGF-C/VEGFR3-induced LN metastasis of BCa (Figures 1B and 1C and Table S2). Further validation in our larger 210-case clinical cohort confirmed that BCYRN1 was the most significantly overexpressed lncRNA in urinary-EXO from patients with BCa as compared with those from healthy controls (Figure 1D).

Using FISH and subcellular fractionation assays, we found that BCYRN1 was detected in both cytoplasm and nucleus of UM-UC-3 and 5637 cells (Figures 1E and 1F). Moreover, statistical analysis of The Cancer Genome Atlas database demonstrated that BCYRN1 was strikingly overexpressed in multiple cancers and is associated with poor prognosis of patients (Figures S1A-S1I).

To further explore the clinical role of BCYRN1 in BCa, qRT-PCR analysis was conducted and revealed that BCYRN1 was markedly overexpressed in BCa tissues as compared with paired normal adjacent tissues (NATs) (Figure 1G). As shown in Figure 1H and Table S1, BCYRN1 overexpression in BCa was related to the LN metastasis of patients. Furthermore, BCYRN1 expression in metastatic LN was markedly higher than that in corresponding primary tumors (Figure 1I), indicating that BCYRN1 is a vital constituent in LN metastatic BCa cells. Kaplan–Meier analysis showed that BCYRN1 overexpression was positively related to the poor prognosis of BCa patients (Figures 1J and 1K). Strikingly, ISH analysis showed that BCYRN1 was hardly detected in NATs and slightly increased in BCa tissues without LN metastasis, while was significantly upregulated in BCa tissues with LN metastasis (Figure 1L). A positive correlation between the expression of BCYRN1 and density of microlymphatic vessel was observed in both peritumoral and intratumoral regions of BCa tissues, as indicated by a positive signal of lymphatic vessel endothelial hyaluronan receptor 1 (LYVE-1) in IHC analysis (Figures 1M and 1N), suggesting that BCYRN1 may involve in the lymphangiogenesis of BCa. Taken together, these findings indicate that BCYRN1 contributes to the LN metastasis and poor prognosis of patients with BCa.

3.2 BCYRN1 is overexpressed in BCa cell-secreted exosomes

Since we observed that BCYRN1 was remarkably overexpressed in the urinary-EXO from patients with BCa, the level of BCYRN1 in exosomes secreted by BCa cells was further determined. First, we collected the culture medium (CM) of BCa cells to isolate and purify the exosomes. TEM and nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) were performed to show the cup-shaped structure of isolated particles with a size distribution of 30–150 nm (Figures 2A, 2B, and S1J). Western blotting analysis detected the enrichment of typical exosomal protein markers CD9, ALG-2-interacting protein X (ALIX), CD81 and Tumor Susceptibility 101 (TSG101) in the isolated particles but not in the cell extracts (Figures 2C and S1K), confirming that the isolated particles are exosomes. Subsequently, qRT-PCR analysis revealed that BCYRN1 levels were significantly increased in BCa cell-secreted exosomes compared with that in exosomes secreted by human normal bladder epithelial cells (SVHUC-1) (Figure 2D). Intriguingly, a higher level of BCYRN1 was found in BCa cell-secreted exosomes compared with its intracellular level (Figure 2D), suggesting that BCYRN1 may function preferentially via exosomal transmission. Overexpressing BCYRN1 in BCa cells significantly increased the BCYRN1 level in relative exosomes, while silencing BCYRN1 decreased its levels in corresponding exosomes (Figures 2E–2H), indicating that altering the intracellular BCYRN1 expression has an obvious effect in the level of exosomal BCYRN1. Collectively, our results reveal that BCYRN1 is overexpressed in exosomes secreted by BCa cells.
FIGURE 2  Exosomal BCYRN1 promotes the lymphangiogenesis of BCa in vitro. (A and B) The characteristics of exosomes isolated from UM-UC-3 cells culture media were identified by TEM and NTA. Scale bars: 100 nm. (C) Western blotting analysis of the indicated exosomal markers in the UM-UC-3 cell lysate and purified exosomes from the culture media. (D) qRT-PCR analysis of BCYRN1 expression in SVHUC-1 and BCa cell lines (T24, UM-UC-3, 5637) and their corresponding exosomes isolated from the culture media. (E and F) qRT-PCR analysis of BCYRN1 expression in UM-UC-3 and 5637 cells after silencing or overexpressing BCYRN1. (G and H) qRT-PCR analysis of BCYRN1 levels in exosomes isolated from BCYRN1-silenced or overexpressing UM-UC-3 and 5637 cells culture media. (I-K) Representative images and quantification of tube formation and Transwell migration for HLECs treated with PBS, culture media from UM-UC-3si-NC or UM-UC-3si-BCYRN1#1 cells, and their corresponding exosomes. Scale bars: 100 μm. (L-N) Representative images and quantification of tube formation and Transwell migration for HLECs treated with PBS, culture media from UM-UC-3Vector or UM-UC-3BCYRN1 cells, and their corresponding exosomes. Scale bars: 100 μm. The statistical difference was assessed through one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s tests in D, E, G, J, K, M, and N; and two-tailed Student’s t test in F and H. Error bars show the standard deviations derived from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01
3.3 | Exosomal BCYRN1 enhances lymphangiogenesis of BCa in vitro

Lymphangiogenesis is well-characterized as the rate-limiting process of tumor LN metastasis.23 Our results showed that BCYRN1 overexpression positively correlated with lymphangiogenesis in BCa tissues. Therefore, the tube formation and migration assays were performed to explore the regulatory function of BCYRN1 in lymphangiogenesis of BCa. As shown in Figures 2I–2K and S2A, the tube formation and migration of HLECs were significantly inhibited after incubating with the CM from BCYRN1-silenced UM-UC-3 and 5637 cells. Importantly, we found that silencing BCYRN1 attenuated the ability of exosomes secreted by UM-UC-3 and 5637 cells to enhance the tube formation and migration of HLECs, the results of which were confirmed by another lymphatic endothelial cell lines, HDLECs (Figures 2I–2K and S2A and S2B). Conversely, the CM and isolated exosomes from BCYRN1-overexpressing BCa cells dramatically increased the tube formation and migration of both HLECs and HDLECs (Figures 2L–2N and S2C and S2D). Since tumor angiogenesis is also crucial for tumor metastasis,24 we further evaluated the role of exosomal BCYRN1 in the angiogenesis of BCa by conducting the tube formation and migration assays using HUVECs, which revealed that the CM and isolated exosomes from BCYRN1-silenced or overexpressing UM-UC-3 cells have no significant effect on the tube formation and migration of HUVECs (Figures S3A–S3F). Moreover, silencing BCYRN1 markedly inhibited the proliferation of BCa cells as determined by EdU and CCK-8 assays, while no obvious effect of silencing BCYRN1 on the invasion of BCa cells was observed (Figures S4A–S4F), further confirming that exosomal BCYRN1 contributes to the LN metastasis of BCa through mediating the lymphangiogenesis. Collectively, these findings reveal that overexpression of exosomal BCYRN1 promotes the lymphangiogenesis of BCa in vitro.

3.4 | Exosomal BCYRN1 promotes the LN metastasis of BCa in vivo

Next, we examined the impact of exosomal BCYRN1 in the LN metastasis of BCa in vivo. Since tumorigenicity is vital for tumor LN metastasis,6 we first evaluated the oncogenic function of BCYRN1 in the tumorigenicity of BCa by constructing the subcutaneous xenograft model. The results showed that the tumor volume in sh-BCYRN1#1 group was significantly smaller than that in sh-NC group (Figures S5A and S5B). Higher expression level of Ki-67 was observed in the sh-NC group compared with sh-BCYRN1#1 group (Figures S5C and S5D), indicating that BCYRN1 contributed to the tumorigenicity of BCa. To further detect the regulatory function of exosomal BCYRN1 in LN metastasis, a footpad-popliteal LN metastasis model was established. UM-UC-3 cells labeled with luciferase were implanted into the footpad of nude mice, after which the mice were randomly assigned to two groups following with the treatment of equivalent vector plasmid-transfected UM-UC-3 cell-secreted exosomes (UM-UC-3-EXOVector) or BCYRN1 plasmid-transfected UM-UC-3 cell-secreted exosomes (UM-UC-3-EXOBCYRN1) (Figure 3A). Strikingly, UM-UC-3-EXOBCYRN1 injection prominently enhanced the metastasis of the primary tumor to the popliteal LNs of nude mice compared with the group treated with UM-UC-3-EXOVector, as detected using an In Vivo Imaging System (IVIS) (Figures 3B and 3C and S5E–S5G). We found that UM-UC-3-EXOBCYRN1 significantly enlarged the volume of popliteal LNs and increased the rate of LN metastasis (Figures 3D–3G, Tables S3 and S4). IHC analysis showed a higher density of lymphatic vessels in UM-UC-3-EXOBCYRN1-treated group than UM-UC-3-EXOVector-treated group, whereas no significant difference of blood vessels density as indicated by CD34 was observed between these two groups (Figures 3H and 3I), suggesting that exosomal BCYRN1 markedly induces lymphangiogenesis in vivo. Collectively, these findings reveal that exosomal BCYRN1 enhances the lymphangiogenesis and LN metastasis of BCa in vivo.

3.5 | BCYRN1 directly binds with hnRNPA1

Considering that lncRNAs frequently exert its biological functions in cellular processes by interacting with proteins,25 we conducted RNA pull-down assays using biotinylated BCYRN1 and antisense BCYRN1 as control to determine the BCYRN1 interacting proteins in BCa cells. The results showed that an apparent band in 35–40 kDa was enriched by biotinylated BCYRN1, which was validated as heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein A1 (hnRNPA1) using mass spectrometry (MS) (Figures 4A–4C). Western blotting analysis after RNA pull-down assays confirmed the interaction between BCYRN1 and hnRNPA1 (Figures 4D and 4E). Confocal microscopy revealed the co-localization of BCYRN1 and hnRNPA1 (Figures 4F and S6). RIP assays were implemented to show that BCYRN1 was obviously enriched by hnRNPA1 compared with the negative control (Figures 4G and S6A), further demonstrating that BCYRN1 directly binds with hnRNPA1. Moreover, serial deletion assays confirmed that the 50–100-nt sequence in the 5′-terminal of BCYRN1 was essential for its interaction with hnRNPA1 (Figure 4H). We next utilized POSTAR2,
FIGURE 3  Exosomal BCYRN1 enhances LN metastasis of BCa *in vivo*. (A) Schematic diagram for the construction of the nude mice popliteal LN metastasis model. (B and C) Representative images and quantification of bioluminescence for popliteal LN in the nude mice model intratumorally injected with UM-UC-3-EXO\textsubscript{Vector} or UM-UC-3-EXO\textsubscript{BCYRN1} \((n = 12)\). (D) Representative images of anti-luciferase IHC analysis for popliteal LN in UM-UC-3-EXO\textsubscript{Vector} or UM-UC-3-EXO\textsubscript{BCYRN1}-treated nude mice \((n = 12)\). Scale bars: 50 μm. (E) Representative image of a popliteal LN in the nude mouse model. (F) Representative image of the enucleated popliteal LNs in UM-UC-3-EXO\textsubscript{Vector} or UM-UC-3-EXO\textsubscript{BCYRN1}-treated nude mice \((n = 12)\). (G) The volume for the popliteal LN in nude mice model treated with UM-UC-3-EXO\textsubscript{Vector} or UM-UC-3-EXO\textsubscript{BCYRN1} \((n = 12)\). (H and I) Representative images and percentages of LYVE-1 indicated lymphatic vessel or CD34 indicated blood vessel density in peritumoral and intratumoral regions of footpad primary tumor tissues from the IHC analysis. Scale bars: 50 μm. The statistical difference was assessed through two-tailed Student’s *t* test in C, G, H, and I. Error bars show the standard deviations derived from three independent experiments. \( *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01 \)
FIGURE 4  **BCYRN1** directly interacts with hnRNPA1. (A) The image of silver staining for RNA pull-down assay with **BCYRN1** sense and antisense RNAs. (B and C) Mass spectrometry analysis of **BCYRN1**-binding proteins after RNA pull-down assay. (D and E) Western blotting analysis after RNA pull-down with nuclear extract or recombinant hnRNPA1. (F) Representative images of immunofluorescence of **BCYRN1** and hnRNPA1 in 5637 and UM-UC-3 cells. Scale bars: 5 μm. (G) The enrichment of **BCYRN1** by the anti-hnRNPA1 antibody after RIP assays in UM-UC-3 cells. IgG was used as negative control and U1 as non-specific control. (H) Western blotting analysis of RNA pull-down with serial deletions of **BCYRN1**. (I) The prediction showed the stem-loop structure of hnRNPA1 binding sequences in **BCYRN1**. (J) qRT-PCR analysis of RIP assays after deleting the 50–100-nt region of **BCYRN1** in UM-UC-3 cells. The statistical difference was assessed through a two-tailed Student’s *t* test in G and J. Error bars show the standard deviations derived from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01

**3.6 ** **BCYRN1** upregulates WNT5A expression by forming a DNA-RNA triplex with its promoter

In light of the emerging evidence that IncRNAs are widely participated in the regulation of cellular signaling pathways in tumor progression,27,28 we detected the alteration of essential signaling-related gene after silencing or over-expressing the **BCYRN1** in BCa cells. The results showed that WNT5A was the most obviously altered gene that
BCYRN1 epigenetically upregulates WNT5A expression to activate the Wnt/β-catenin pathway and promote the secretion of VEGF-C in BCa cells. (A) Core genes involved in the Wnt/β-catenin pathway were detected using qRT-PCR analysis in BCYRN1-silenced UM-UC-3 cells. (B) Luciferase activity was detected in BCYRN1-overexpressing UM-UC-3 cells transfected with truncate WNT5A promoter plasmids. (C) Schematic diagram of the predicted BCYRN1 binding sites in the WNT5A promoter. (D) ChIRP assays detected the BCYRN1-associated chromatin in UM-UC-3 cells. (E-G) FRET analysis of TFO in BCYRN1 with TTS in WNT5A promoter. The Control ssRNA with TTS in WNT5A promoter was used as negative control and the TFO in LNMA1 with TTS in CCL2 promoter as positive control. (H and I) ChIP-qPCR analysis evaluated the hnrNPA1 occupancy and H3K4me3 status in the WNT5A promoter in BCYRN1-silenced UM-UC-3 cells. (J-M) Western blotting analysis evaluated the activation of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway by BCYRN1 overexpression in UM-UC-3 cells. (N and O) The secretion of VEGF-C from UM-UC-3 and 5637 cells after silencing or overexpressing BCYRN1, as evaluated using ELISA. (P and Q) ELISA for XAV939 treatment on BCYRN1 overexpression-induced VEGF-C secretion by UM-UC-3 and 5637 cells. The statistical difference was assessed through one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s tests in A, B, D, H, I, N, P and Q; and two-tailed Student's t test in O. Error bars show the standard deviations derived from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01

correlated with BCYRN1 expression, in which it was dramatically downregulated in BCYRN1-silenced BCa cells and upregulated in BCYRN1-overexpressing BCa cells (Figures 5A and S6F-S6H). Moreover, luciferase assays revealed that transfecting the plasmids containing -500 to -750 bp fragments of the WNT5A promoter significantly increased the luciferase activity in BCYRN1-overexpressing BCa cells (Figures 5B and Figure S6I). ChIRP assays showed that BCYRN1 directly bound to the region of -661 to -671 bp in WNT5A promoter (Figures 5C and 5D and S6J). In addition, we used a prediction tool for the binding motifs between lncRNA and DNA, LongTarget,29 to predict five potential TFOs in BCYRN1 and the corresponding TTS in WNT5A promoter (Table S5). The FRET analysis was performed to show a remarkable increase of the fluorescence intensity at 570–580 nm and a reduction at 520 nm
in the BCYRN1 TFO3/WNT5A TTS3 group as compared with single-stranded RNA/WNT5A TTS3 control group, which was consistent with that in the LNMA1T TFO/CCL2 TTS positive control group. To further determine the essential role of −661 to −671 bp region of WNT5A promoter in BCYRN1-induced transactivation of WNT5A, the luciferase assays were performed and revealed that the luciferase activity of WNT5A promoter was markedly reduced by silencing BCYRN1 and increased by overexpressing BCYRN1, while mutating the WNT5A promoter (WNT5A-P3) impaired the BCYRN1 induction of luciferase activity of the WNT5A promoter (Figures S6K–S6N). We previously demonstrated that hnRNPA1-induced H3K4 trimethylation (H3K4me3) plays a vital role in the epigenetic reprogramming contributing to the regulation of gene expression. Therefore, we evaluated whether BCYRN1 mediated the transcriptional activation of WNT5A by increasing the hnRNPA1-induced H3K4me3 on WNT5A promoter. ChIP-qPCR analysis showed that the enrichment of hnRNPA1 and H3K4me3 status in WNT5A promoter were significantly reduced after silencing BCYRN1, while overexpressing BCYRN1 dramatically increased the occupancy of hnRNPA1 and H3K4me3 in WNT5A promoter in BCa (Figures 5H and 5I). Moreover, silencing hnRNPA1 significantly impaired BCYRN1-induced H3K4me3 enrichment in WNT5A promoter and transcriptional activation of WNT5A in BCa cells (Figures S7G–S7J). Taken together, our findings verify that BCYRN1 upregulates WNT5A expression, whereas it was increased after overexpressing BCYRN1 (Figures S7K and S7L), suggesting that BCYRN1 upregulates WNT5A to activate the Wnt/β-catenin pathway in BCa cells.

The observation that BCYRN1 significantly promoted the lymphangiogenesis and LN metastasis of BCa prompted us to explore the regulatory role of BCYRN1 in the secretion of VEGF-C which is the crucial inducer of tumor lymphangiogenesis. The results revealed that VEGF-C secretion was markedly decreased by silencing BCYRN1 expression, whereas it was increased after overexpressing BCYRN1 (Figures 5N and 5O). We then evaluated whether the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway was indispensable for BCYRN1-promoted VEGF-C secretion in BCa cells. BCYRN1 overexpression significantly promoted VEGF-C secretion, while the treatment with XAV939, a specific inhibitor for Wnt/β-catenin pathway, reversed the stimulation of BCYRN1-overexpressing on VEGF-C secretion (Figures 5P and 5Q), confirming the essential function of Wnt/β-catenin pathway in BCYRN1-induced VEGF-C secretion. Taken together, our results reveal that BCYRN1 activates Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway to promote the secretion of VEGF-C in BCa.

### 3.8 Exosomal BCYRN1 is internalized by HLECs to promote lymphangiogenesis of BCa

Since our results showed that exosomal BCYRN1 significantly promoted secretion of VEGF-C and facilitated the lymphangiogenesis of BCa, we further examined the internalization of exosomal BCYRN1 by HLECs. The isolated BCa cell-secreted exosomes were labeled with PKH67 green dye and subjected to the incubation with HLECs, after which a punctate green fluorescence was observed in HLECs incubated with PKH67 green dye-labeled BCa cell-secreted exosomes, while no fluorescence was found in the PBS-treated group (Figure 6A). Furthermore, our results showed that UM-UC-3 or 5637 cell-secreted exosomes markedly upregulated the BCYRN1 level in HLECs compared with that in the PBS-treated group (Figure 6B). Incubation with exosomes secreted by BCYRN1-overexpressing UM-UC-3 or 5637 cells (UM-UC-3-EXOBCYRN1 and 5637-EXOBCYRN1) dramatically increased the level of BCYRN1 in HLECs, while silencing BCYRN1 abolished the upregulation of BCYRN1 level in HLECs (Figures 6C–6F), indicating that BCa cell-secreted exosomal BCYRN1 is internalized by HLECs. Moreover, confocal microscopy analysis was conducted to reveal the significant enrichment of exosomes in LYVE1-induced lymphatic endothelial cells in the mice footpad primary tumor tissues intratumorally injected with PKH67-labelled UM-
Exosomal BCYRN1 is transmitted to HLECs to promote the lymphangiogenesis of BCa. (A) Representative fluorescence images of HLECs incubated with PKH-67-labeled exosomes from UM-UC-3 or 5637 cells. Scale bars: 5 μm. (B) qRT-PCR analyzed BCYRN1 expression in UM-UC-3-EXO or 5637-EXO-treated HLECs. (C-F) qRT-PCR analysis of BCYRN1 expression in HLECs after incubating with PBS and BCYRN1-silenced or overexpressing BCa cells-secreted exosomes. (G) Schematic diagram for the deletion of BCYRN1 in HLECs using the CRISPR/Cas9 approach. (H) The knockout efficiency of BCYRN1 in HLECs was assessed by qRT-PCR analysis. (I-L) Quantification of tube formation and Transwell migration of BCYRN1 WT or BCYRN1 KO HLECs treated BCYRN1-overexpressing UM-UC-3 or BCYRN1-silenced 5637 cell-secreted exosomes. The statistical difference was assessed through one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s tests in B-F, and a two-tailed Student’s t test in H-L. Error bars show the standard deviations derived from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01

UC-3-EXO BCYRN1 (Figure S8A), further confirming the uptake of exosomal BCYRN1 by lymphatic endothelial cells in vivo.

To eliminate the possibility of BCa cell-secreted exosomal BCYRN1 inducing lymphangiogenesis by activating the transcription of endogenous BCYRN1 in HLECs, the CRISPR-Cas9 approach was performed to successfully establish BCYRN1 knockout HLECs (Figures 6G and 6H). Consistently, the tube formation and migration of HLECs with BCYRN1-KO or BCYRN1-WT were both significantly promoted after treating with UM-UC-3-EXO BCYRN1 and 5637-EXO BCYRN1, while silencing exosomal BCYRN1 diminished their promoted effect on the tube formation and migration of HLECs (Figures 6I–6L and S8B–S8E), indicating that BCa cell-secreted exosomes induced lymphangiogenesis through transporting BCYRN1 to HLECs instead of transcriptionally activating endogenous BCYRN1 in HLECs. Collectively, our findings verify that exosomal BCYRN1 is transported to HLECs to induce lymphangiogenesis of BCa.
FIGURE 7  Exosomal BCYRN1 upregulates VEGFR3 expression by enhancing its mRNA stability in HLECs. (A and B) qRT-PCR analysis of VEGFR3 expression in HLECs treated with BCYRN1-silenced or overexpressing UM-UC-3 cell-secreted exosomes. (C and D) Western blotting analysis confirmed the upregulation of VEGFR3 in HLECs by exosomal BCYRN1. (E) Schematic sequences of potential binding sites
3.9 | Exosomal BCYRN1 forms a feedforward loop with hnRNPA1/WNT5A/VEGFR3 regulatory axis

It has been well-characterized that VEGFR3 is widely involved in the sprout of lymphatic vasculature network and dissemination of tumors by initiating endothelial cell budding. Our results showed that VEGFR3 expression was significantly upregulated in HLECs treated with UM-UC-3-EXO<sub>BCYRN1</sub> and 5637-EXO<sub>BCYRN1</sub>, but downregulated after incubating with exosomes secreted by BCYRN1-silenced BCa cells (Figures 7A–7D and S8F–S8I), suggesting that exosomal BCYRN1 directly mediates VEGFR3 expression in HLECs.

Considering that specific interaction with the 3′-untranslated regions (3′-UTR) of target genes via the recognition of complementary sequences is the pivotal manner of exosomal lncRNA in regulating target genes, we used the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool to determine the possible complementary regions between BCYRN1 and the 3′-UTR of VEGFR3 (Figure 7E). Moreover, RNA pull-down assays with biotinylated oligonucleotides indicated the direct interaction between exosomal BCYRN1 and the 3′-UTR of VEGFR3, while inducing mutations of the potential binding sites in the 3′-UTR of VEGFR3 impaired its interaction with exosomal BCYRN1 (Figures 7F–7J and S9A and S9B). Luciferase assays revealed that mutating the binding sites reduced the luciferase activity promoted by exosomal BCYRN1 (Figures 7J and 7K), further confirming that these sites are essential for the interaction between exosomal BCYRN1 and VEGFR3. Since the interaction with the 3′-UTRs of mRNAs functions as a common characteristic to contribute to RNA stability in cells, we further performed the actinomycin D assays to reveal that exosomes secreted by BCYRN1-silenced BCa cells significantly shortened the half-life of the VEGFR3 mRNA, while exosomes from BCYRN1-overexpressing BCa cells prolonged the half-life of VEGFR3 mRNA (Figures 7L–7O and S9C–S9F), indicating that exosomal BCYRN1 promotes VEGFR3 mRNA stability in HLECs. Moreover, RNAalifold was used to predict the secondary structure of exosomal BCYRN1 binding sequences in the 3′-UTR of VEGFR3, which form a stem-loop structure (Figure 7P). Mutating the exosomal BCYRN1 binding region in the 3′-UTR of VEGFR3 notably abolished the ability of exosomal BCYRN1 to elongated the half-life of VEGFR3 mRNA (Figures 7Q and 7R and S9G and S9H). Collectively, our results demonstrate that exosomal BCYRN1 promotes VEGFR3 mRNA stability in HLECs via the interaction with the 3′-UTR of VEGFR3, thus constituting a feedforward loop with hnRNPA1/WNT5A/VEGFR3 regulatory axis.

3.10 | The feedforward loop-induced VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling is crucial for exosomal BCYRN1-mediated LN metastasis

Next, we explored whether inhibiting the feedforward loop-induced VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling attenuated the promoted effect of exosomal BCYRN1 in inducing lymphangiogenesis and LN metastasis of BCa. As shown in Figures 8A and S9I, incubation with exosomes secreted by BCYRN1-overexpressing BCa cells promoted the tube formation and migration of HLECs, which was abolished by silencing VEGFR3, indicating that the upregulation of VEGFR3 expression in HLECs contributes to the exosomal BCYRN1-mediated lymphangiogenesis of BCa in vivo. Moreover, we further determined the critical role of VEGFR3 in exosomal BCYRN1-induced LN metastasis of BCa in vivo by blocking VEGFR3 activity with its specific inhibitor, SAR131675, in the constructed footpad-popliteal LN metastasis model. Strikingly, the exosomal BCYRN1-induced promotion of the fluorescence intensity in nude mice popliteal LN was significantly impaired by treating with SAR131675, as determined using IVIS (Figure 8B). The popliteal LN metastasis rate in UM-UC-3-EXO<sub>BCYRN1</sub>+SAR131675 group was significantly lower than that in UM-UC-3-EXO<sub>BCYRN1</sub>+PBS group (Table S6). Furthermore, exosomal BCYRN1 significantly increased the WNT5A expression and the density of VEG3 or LYVE-1-induced lymphatic vessels in the footpad primary tumor tissues while treating with SAR131675 markedly attenuated...
FIGURE 8 The feedforward loop-induced VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling is crucial for exosomal BCYRN1-mediated LN metastasis of BCa. (A) Representative images and quantification of tube formation and Transwell migration for UM-UC-3-EXOvector or UM-UC-3-EXOBCYRN1-treated HLECs transfected with si-NC or si-VEGFR3#1. Scale bars: 100 μm. (B) Representative images and quantification of bioluminescence for popliteal LN in UM-UC-3-EXOvector or UM-UC-3-EXOBCYRN1-treated nude mice intratumorally injected with PBS or SAR131675.
the exosomal BCYRN1-induced increase of lymphatic vessels (Figures 8C–8F), suggesting that blocking VEGFR3 inhibits exosomal BCYRN1-mediated lymphangiogenesis of BCa in vivo. Taken together, these findings demonstrate that the feedforward loop-induced VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling is indispensable for exosomal BCYRN1-mediated lymphangiogenesis and LN metastasis of BCa.

3.11 Exosomal BCYRN1 correlates with LN metastasis of BCa patients

Urinary exosomal lncRNA is considered as encouraging diagnosis biomarker for patients with BCa. Therefore, the exploration of the clinical association of urinary exosomal BCYRN1 with BCa LN metastasis is of great importance. We isolated the urinary-EXOs from 210 BCa patients in our cohort and conducted qRT-PCR analysis to reveal that the urinary exosomal BCYRN1 levels were markedly higher in patients with LN metastatic BCa than that in patients without LN metastasis (Figure 8G). Exosomal BCYRN1 overexpression positively correlated with higher tumor stage and lymphatic metastasis of patients with BCa (Table S1). Moreover, a higher level of urinary exosomal BCYRN1 was accompanied with poor disease-free survival and overall survival (OS) of patients with BCa, as confirmed by Kaplan–Meier analysis (Figures 8H and 8I). Statistical analysis further determined the vital role of exosomal BCYRN1 as a prognostic factor for patients with BCa (Table S7 and Table S8), indicating the significant clinical relevance of exosomal BCYRN1 in BCa and supporting exosomal BCYRN1 as a promising therapeutic target for patients with LN metastatic BCa (Figure 8J).

4 DISCUSSION

Lymphatic metastasis is the leading cause for the poor prognosis of BCa patients. Emerging evidence indicated that TME induced by lymphangiogenic signal transduction drives LN metastasis of tumors, but its underlying regulatory mechanisms in lymphatic metastasis of BCa are still unclear. In the present study, we have verified for the first time that lncRNA BCYRN1 was overexpressed in the urinary-EXO from BCa patients and promoted the VEGF-C-dependent lymphangiogenesis and LN metastasis of BCa. BCYRN1 epigenetically upregulated WNT5A expression to activate Wnt/β-catenin pathway and facilitated the secretion of VEGF-C in BCa cells. Moreover, exosomal BCYRN1 was delivered to HLECs to enhance VEGFR3 expression and constituted a feedforward loop with hnRNPA1/WNT5A/VEGFR3 regulatory axis resulting in LN metastasis of BCa. The present study systematically elucidates the precise mechanism by which exosomal BCYRN1 induces lymphatic metastasis of BCa, supporting that directly targeting BCYRN1 is an encouraging intervention for the treatment of BCa patients with LN metastasis.

VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling is essential for tumor LN metastasis by promoting the lymphangiogenesis which provides a potential entrance channel for tumor cells to the lymphatic drainage system. The deletion of VEGF-C aborts the proliferation and migration ability of nascent lymphatic endothelial cells, inhibiting lymphatic metastasis of tumors. Nevertheless, the regulatory mechanisms in activating the VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling triggering LN metastasis of BCa remain largely unknown. Herein, our results demonstrated the synergistic mechanism of exosomal BCYRN1 in the stimulation of VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling in BCa. We revealed that BCYRN1-mediated activation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway to induce the secretion of VEGF-C. Furthermore, BCYRN1 played a crucial regulatory role in upregulating the expression of VEGFR3 via exosomal transmission, thus coordinately mediating VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling-induced lymphangigenesis and LN metastasis of BCa. Our results fully elaborate the molecular mechanism in exosomal BCYRN1-induced synergistical activation of VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling, deepening our knowledge in the mediation of VEGF-C-dependent lymphatic metastasis of tumor.

Previous study reported that BCYRN1 was an oncogenic lncRNA contributing to the progression of various cancers by serving as a crucial microRNA sponge. Overexpression of BCYRN1 competitively bound with miR-204-3p to regulate the tumorigenesis of colorectal cancer. However, the biological role of BCYRN1 in exosome-induced LN
metastasis of BCa remains unclear. Herein, we revealed that BCYRN1 played a vital role in exosome-mediated communication between BCa cells and HLECs and triggered the lymphangiogenesis of BCa. BCYRN1 was significantly overexpressed in exosomes secreted by BCa cells and was transported to HLECs to stabilize VEGFR3 mRNA by interacting with its 3′-UTR, thus synergistically activating the VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling in BCa. Our results highlight a novel regulatory role of BCYRN1 in exosome-mediated VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling-induced LN metastasis of BCa and support BCYRN1 as a therapeutic target for BCa patients.

Feedforward loop is a critical manner for the regulation of transcription networks during tumor progression.44,45 It is proposed that the formation of a feedforward loop enables the oncogenic signaling to rapidly drive transcriptional program, leading to the metastasis of tumors.46–48 However, the biological role and precise mechanisms of feedforward loop in remodeling TME driving tumor LN metastasis have not been fully elucidated. Our present study demonstrated that the feedforward loop was formed between exosomal BCYRN1 and hnRNP1/WNT5A/VEGFR3 regulatory axis to reinforce the VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling in TME and enhance VEGF-C-dependent lymphangiogenesis and LN metastasis of BCa. Importantly, blocking the feedforward loop-induced VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling with its specific inhibitor SAR131675 inhibited exosomal BCYRN1-mediated LN metastasis in vivo, suggesting that the formation of this loop functions as a detector to enable the rapid and effective response for the activation of VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling and supports the promotion of BCa LN metastasis. These results reveal a novel mechanism in the induction of lymphangiogenesis through exosomal lncRNA-mediated feedforward loop, providing a new perspective of feedforward loop in mediating the lymphatic metastasis of tumors.

Exosomes served as a nanometer biological microvesicle in transmitting various biomolecule have been characterized as a promising therapeutic target for human diseases.49–51 Relevant studies have demonstrated the effectiveness achieved by targeting exosomal lncRNA to improve the immunotherapy and treat the metastatic peritoneal cancer, suggesting the wide application prospects of exosomal lncRNA in the treatment of tumors.52 Here, we revealed that high level of BCYRN1 in urinary-EXO from BCa patients was associated with the LN metastasis and poor prognosis of patients, providing the clinical evidence for targeting exosomal BCYRN1 to treat LN metastatic BCa. Recently, oligonucleotides targeting the oncogenic lncRNA have realized an observable anti-tumor effectiveness in vivo through selectively modulating the pathological alteration of lncRNA in tumor cells.53 Our results showed that silencing exosomal BCYRN1 prominently suppressed the VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling-induced lymphangiogenesis and LN metastasis of BCa in vitro and in vivo, indicating that inhibiting exosomal BCYRN1 with specific-designed targeting oligonucleotide may become a promising therapeutic strategy to block the pathological overactivation of VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling and develop a promising intervention for patients with LN metastatic BCa.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In summary, our findings highlight the crucial role of exosomal BCYRN1 in the lymphangiogenesis and LN metastasis of BCa by forming a feedforward loop with hnRNP1/WNT5A/VEGFR3 regulatory axis. Systematically exploring the molecular mechanism of exosomal BCYRN1 in mediating LN metastasis of BCa via a VEGF-C-dependent manner provides a new insight into exosomal lncRNA-induced lymphatic metastasis of tumors. Our findings support that targeting exosomal BCYRN1 to block the pathological overactivation of VEGF-C/VEGFR3 signaling is a promising clinical intervention for patients with LN metastatic BCa.
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