Effects of Selective Laser Melting Parameters on Relative Density of AlSi10Mg
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Abstract—Selective Laser Melting (SLM) is an advance Additive Manufacturing (AM) technique in which a component is manufacturing in a layer by layer manner by melting the top surface of a powder bed with a high intensity laser according to sliced 3D CAD data. AlSi10Mg alloy is a traditional cast alloy that is often used for die-casting. Because of its good mechanical and other properties, this alloy has been widely used in the automotive industry. In this work, the effects on the relative density is investigated for SLM-produced AlSi10Mg parts on one factor at a time (OFAT) basis by keeping constant various parameters such as laser power, scanning speed and hatching distance. It is shown that AlSi10Mg parts produced by SLM having best relative density values are at 350 watt laser power, 1650 mm/s of scanning speed and hatching distance of 0.13mm.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Selective Laser Melting (SLM) is a rapid manufacturing technology to manufacture complex shape and near net-shaped components from computer aided design (CAD) data. The working mechanism of SLM processing can be defined as: Creating the engineering component model from 3D-CAD software and convert it to standard STL format. Slice the STL model into horizontal layers with a certain thickness (usually 20–50 µm) in the computer control center of the SLM facility. Spread a layer of metal powder on the top of the building platform. Melt and fuse the powder by a laser beam, as it traces the geometry of the generated slice. Lower the fused layer and spread a new layer of powder with the recoated. Laser scan the new surface and fuse the metal particles to each other and to the lower layer. Repeat the process until the component is fully fabricated. Finally, remove the part from the machine and sieve back the unsintered powder to the powder dispenser for reuse [1-4].

AlSi10Mg is a typical casting alloy with virtuous casting properties and is typically used for cast parts with thin walls and complex geometry and it provides good strength, hardness and dynamic properties and is therefore also used for parts subject to high loads [5]. Conventionally cast components in this type of aluminum alloy are often heat treated to improve the mechanical properties, for example using the T6 cycle of solution annealing, quenching and age hardening [6]. The laser-sintering process is characterized by extremely rapid melting and re-solidification and produces a metallurgy and corresponding mechanical properties in the as-built condition which is similar to T6 heat-treated cast parts therefore such hardening heat treatments are not recommended for laser-sintered parts, but rather a stress relieving cycle of 2 hours at 300 °C (572 °F) [7]. Due to the layer wise building method, the parts have a certain anisotropy, which can be reduced or removed by appropriate heat treatment [8].

II. AISI10Mg ALLOY MOLDS

AlSi10Mg alloy aluminium moulds are utilized for high-volume production and are considered as an attractive preference for an increasing number of applications because it can decrease cycle times intensely, speedup the mould manufacturing time, and reduce tooling costs [9]. An effective application utilizing an AlSi10Mg alloy Aluminium Injection Mould will typically operate 20% to 40% faster than the same part operating in a steel mould and benefitted into a cost advantage for the moulder and customer [10].

Researches on the AlSi10Mg alloy moulds have shown that the alloy has low interfacial heat resistance (High Conductivity) and will remove heat from the plastic melt even during injecting and not only during the cooling time of the component. It is significant to counter act this fast cooling phenomena of AlSi10Mg alloy mould by using slightly faster the speed of supply material and slightly elevated mold temperatures to counteract surface blemishes caused by quick injection/melt/solidification. However, even with slightly higher
mold set temperatures (10 - 20 degrees) the plastics solidification will occur more quickly than with steel molds, therefore result is faster melt solidification and shorter cooling/ cycle times [11 and 12].

There are many factors that affect the relative density of the SLM fabricated samples such as: the raw material characteristics (powder size, morphology and size distribution), the laser heat input, laser power, scanning speed and hatching distance. Some studies [13] used the energy density concept to compare the porosity development with the heat input, but the trend was generally unpredictable, although it recognized as an optimal energy density level where the build density can be maximum. Alternatively, the use of design of experiments (DOE) methods such as the Response Surface Method, statistical analysis using the analysis of variance (ANOVA), one factor at a time (OFAT) method and many more have been shown to be useful approaches to study the effect of parameters in SLM material processing applications [14]. This paper focuses on the effect of SLM parameters such laser power, scanning speed and hatching distance for fabricating AlSi10Mg to find relative density of the samples.

III. MATERIAL AND EXPERIMENTS

A. Material

Besides the effect of processing parameters, the size, shape, particles distribution and chemical composition of the powder have a significant influence in the melting and fusing activity. The chemical composition of the AlSi10Mg powder having sieving powder of 63 micron, loose density of 7.68 g / cm³ and was supplied by LPW Technology Ltd is shown in Table I. In this research work, the powder was sowed on a double-sided carbon tape and softly shaken to assure a thin layer of powder being left on the sample block.

|     | Cu  | Fe  | Mg  | Mn  | N   | Ni  | Pb  | O   | Si  | Sn  | Ti  | Zn  | Al  |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Cu  | 0.05| 0.10| 0.39| 0.01| 0.20| 0.01| 0.11| 10.00| 0.01| 0.15| 0.15| 0.15| Bal |

B. Experimental works

Using the Selective Laser Melting (SLM) System SLM 125HL 20121213-EN shown in Figure 1 (a) with technical specification mentioned in Table 1, spatial structures can be created layer by layer from a powder-forming metal source material. In the process, the energy of a laser beam is absorbed by the metal powder, resulting in the locally-limited melting of particles. Nearly any three-dimensional form can be construction direction from 3-D construction data in this manner. The SLM process is a cyclical process which consists of three steps which repeat until the end of the construction process. First a recoater (point 2 in figure 1 (b)) applies an even coating of metal powder (point 10 in figure 1 (b)) in layer thicknesses of 20 to 100 μm. The next step, the exposure, consists of locally solidifying the powder with a laser beam (point 4 in figure 1 (b)). The absorption of the laser radiation causes the metal powder to heat up above the melting temperature of the metal. This causes the blending of the exposed areas of the current layer and the already solidified areas of the layer beneath it through metallurgic melting.

![Fig. 1: Schematic overview of a SLM Machine](image)
TABLE II. Technical Specification of the SLM System SLM 125HL 20121213-EN

| S. No | Technical Parameters                                | Values                                                                 |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1     | Build Envelope (L x W x H)                         | 125 x 125 x 125 mm³ reduced by substrate plate thickness              |
| 2     | 3D Optics Configuration                            | Single (1x 400 W) IPG fiber laser                                      |
| 3     | Build Rate                                         | up to 25 cm³/h                                                         |
| 4     | Variable Layer Thickness                           | 20 µm - 75 µm, 1 µm increments                                         |
| 5     | Min. Feature Size                                  | 140 µm                                                                |
| 6     | Beam Focus Diameter                                | 70 µm - 100 µm                                                         |
| 7     | Max. Scan Speed                                    | 10 m/s                                                                |
| 8     | Average Inert Gas Consumption in Process           | 2 l/min (argon)                                                       |
| 9     | Average Inert Gas Consumption Purging              | 70 l/min (argon)                                                      |
| 10    | E-Connection / Power Input                         | 400 Volt 3NPE, 32 A, 50/60 Hz, 3 kW                                   |
| 11    | Dimensions (L x W x H)                             | 1400 mm x 900 mm x 2460 mm                                             |
| 12    | Weight (incl. / without powder)                    | approx. 750 kg / ca. 700 kg                                           |

According to the Archimedes method the densities of the substances can be measured by comparing the weight in ethanol, distilled water and air, and expressed in % relatively to the materials.

\[ \rho_S = \frac{m_S}{V_S} \]  \quad \text{Eq. 1}  

Where:

\[ V_S = V - V_{H2O} = \frac{m_{H2O} - m'_{H2O}}{\rho_{H2O}} \]  \quad \text{Eq. 2}  

C. Relative Density with Laser Power

In this study the Archimedes standard method was used to find the density of the SLM processed AlSi10Mg samples and compared with the distilled water in the pycnometer shown in Figure 2 (a) by using equation 1, in the first the laser power in the SLM were ranged from 320 watt to 380 watt and varied with the interval of 6 watt with keeping scanning speed and hatching distance constant at 1650 mm / s and 0.13 mm respectively to find the relative density of the AlSi10Mg powder samples shown in Figure 2 (b), The obtained results are shown in Figure 3.
It is physically difficult to increase the relative density of a specimen without stimulating grain growth of the particles, which results in impossible to isolate and analyse individually. Higher sintering temperature also cause to increase the density of the specimen which expedites the movement of the spins as the number of pores. Thus, a densely sintered microstructure is important for high permeability, as it is important for the imaginary permeability-sintered relative density requirement [16]. Aluminium alloys powders are fundamentally light with poor flow ability and high reflectivity along with high thermal conductivity when compared to other SLM candidate materials; this means that a high laser power is required for melting and to overcome the rapid heat dissipation. Rapid heat dissipation is more important for the solid Al substrate and less common for the Al powder. Moreover, Al alloys are highly susceptible to oxidation, which promotes porosity [15 and 17]. One of the major challenges in producing Al alloys parts using SLM is minimizing porosity which directly affects the relative density. Several studies have investigated the effect of processing parameters on relative density [17, 18]. After laser power 350 watt, it cause the reductions in the density results of the material.

**D. Relative Density with scanning speed.**

The average relative density values for AlSi10Mg samples fabricated through SLM with scanning speed ranging from 1550 mm / s to 1750 mm / s along with varying at intervals of 20 mm /s and keeping constant other two parameters: laser power and hatching distance constant at 350 watt and 0.13 mm respectively, the obtained result is shown in Figure 4 and the preeminent value of relative density is 99.108 % found at 1650 mm /s of scanning speed.
However, relative density by itself does not express the whole story, it could be characterized as metallurgical pores and keyhole pores. Metallurgical pores, also recognized as hydrogen porosity and are spherically shaped and small in size (less than 100 µm), whereas keyhole pores are irregularly shaped and large in size (above 100 µm) [19–21]. Metallurgical pores are created at slow scanning speeds from gases trapped within the melt pool or evolved from the powder during consolidation. Keyhole pores arise from keyhole instability, which can be attributed to rapid solidification of the metal without complete filling of gaps with molten metal [19, 20].

In most cases, the density of a collection of grains increases as material flows into voids, causing a reduction in overall volume. Mass movements that follow during sintering consist of the decrement of total porosity by repacking, followed by material transport due to evaporation and condensation from diffusion. In the final stages, metal atoms transport along crystal boundaries to the walls of internal pores, redistributing mass from the internal bulk of the object and smoothing pore walls and the surface tension is the driving force for this movement.

E. Relative Density with hatching distance

The values of relative density data with hatching distance ranging from 0.1 mm to of 0.15 mm with intervals of 0.05 mm with laser power and scanning speed at 350 watt and 1650 mm/s constant respectively are shown in Figure 5, the preeminent result for the relative density was 99.34 % at hatching distance of 0.13 mm. Although manufacturing the parts with large hatch spacing is a means for quicker fabrication, it should be noted that larger hatch spacing will require smaller layer thicknesses to confirm both inter-layer and intra-layer overlap resulting the cylindrical or segmental shape of the individual melt pools, i.e. the parts will be sliced into extra number of layers, and hence further time is added [22]. A compromise between the energy density and the speed of fabrication should be considered and concluded that the best overlap was achieved when using hatch spacing values of 0.05 and 0.1 mm. After hatch distance of 0.13mm, the results showed inverse trends and it is because by increasing further it cause the reductions in the density of the material.

V. CONCLUSION

After characterization and analysis of the powders’ size, morphology and chemical composition through to the assessment of mechanical properties of AlSi10Mg SLM as-fabricated samples, it can be determined that:

1. The OFAT method has been used to evaluate the influence of process parameters on the porosity of SLM processed AlSi10Mg, which shows the trends of porosity in the SLM fabricated samples.
2. The SLM process is controlled by the set of parameters involved in the process of selective laser melting such as scanning speed, hatch spacing, laser power and layer thickness.
3. At constant of scanning speed and hatch distance at 1650 mm/s and 0.13 mm respectively with the variation of laser power from 320 watt to 380 watt having an interval of 6 watt, the preeminent value of relative density is 99.11 % and occurred at laser power of 350 watt.
4. At constant laser power and hatch distance at 350 watt and 0.13 mm along with variation of scan speed from 1550 mm/s to 1750 mm/s with equal intervals of 20 mm/s, the good result of relative density is 99.11 % and occurred at 1650 mm/s.
5. While at constant laser power and scanning speed at 350 watt and 1650 mm/s and varying the hatch distance from 0.1 mm to 0.15 mm with equal intervals of 0.05 mm, the best value of relative density is 99.26 % and occurred at 0.13 mm.
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