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ABSTRACT.
We have explored the domino reaction between propargylic alcohols, carbon dioxide and various alcohols with the double objective to prepare oxo-alkyl carbonates with a high yield and selectivity under mild conditions and to extend the process to the synthesis of phosgene-free polycarbonates. We first searched for a common catalytic system that was highly selective for the two reactions involved in the domino process, i.e. the cycloaddition of CO$_2$ to propargylic
alcohol to yield $\alpha$-alkylidene cyclic carbonate ($\alpha$CC), and the alcoholysis of $\alpha$CC to furnish the oxo-alkylcarbonate. Kinetics studies monitored by operando IR spectroscopy and supported by $^1$H-NMR analyses and DFT modeling have permitted to identify an efficient binary catalytic system composed of a combination of tetrabutylammonium phenolate [TBA][OPh] and silver iodide (AgI) (or copper iodide (CuI)) and to understand its action mode. The [TBA][OPh]/AgI catalytic system (5 mol%) was then successfully implemented for the selective preparation of a range of oxo-alkylcarbonates by the domino reaction with alcohols and propargylic alcohols of different structures. Most of these oxo-alkylcarbonates were produced at a high yield ($\geq$ 97%) under mild operating conditions, i.e. at 60 °C and 1 bar of CO$_2$. The one-pot synthesis of various poly($\beta$-oxocarbonate)s from bis(propargylic alcohol)s, diols and CO$_2$ was finally investigated and the best operating conditions ([TBA][OPh]/AgI (10 mol%), 60 °C, 15 bar) afforded polycarbonate oligomers with weight-average molar masses of 4,300 g/mol. Although the system should be optimized to produce longer polymer chains, this process offers a new phosgene-free alternative to the synthesis of functional polycarbonates (poly(oxo-carbonate)s) under mild conditions.

INTRODUCTION.

Today, the upsurge in CO$_2$ utilization as renewable C1 feedstock has opened avenues in modern chemistry for the synthesis of a large diversity of (novel) organic scaffolds (e.g. organic carbonates, $^1$–$^5$ carbamates $^6,7$, oxazolidones, $^5,8$–$^{11}$ carboxylic acids, $^{12}$–$^{14}$ etc.) and polymers $^{15}$ (e.g. polycarbonates, $^{16}$–$^{20}$ polyurethanes, $^{21}$–$^{24}$ polyesters, $^{25}$ polyureas $^{26,27}$). Among them, $\alpha$-alkylidene 5-membered cyclic carbonates ($\alpha$CCs) are emerging as a novel class of CO$_2$-sourced building blocks highlighted by BASF as promising molecules for organic and polymer chemistries. $^{28}$
They are typically synthesized by a 100 % atom efficiency carboxylative coupling of CO\textsubscript{2} to propargylic alcohols\textsuperscript{29-33} with some of them that are easily accessible from acetylene and industrial formaldehyde waste\textsuperscript{34}. Unlike the conventional 5-membered cyclic carbonates, \(\alpha\)-alkylidene 5-membered cyclic carbonates display a remarkable reactivity towards amines, alcohols and thiols to afford urethanes\textsuperscript{35} (oxazolidones or \(\beta\)-oxo-carbamates\textsuperscript{36,37}), \(\beta\)-oxo-alkylcarbonates,\textsuperscript{38,39} and thiocarbonates or sulfur-containing tetrasubstituted ethylene carbonates,\textsuperscript{40,41} respectively. The presence of the exocyclic olefin increases the ring-strain of the molecule and ensures the selective ring-opening by the nucleophiles with the formation of an enol intermediate which tautomerizes into a ketone, thus acting as the driving force for the reaction. The unique chemical features of \(\alpha\)CCs were exploited by our group to pioneer a novel route to CO\textsubscript{2}-sourced polycarbonates with unprecedented microstructures\textsuperscript{40} that already showed relevance as solid electrolytes for Li-ion batteries\textsuperscript{42,43}. In contrast to the conventional synthesis of CO\textsubscript{2}-sourced polycarbonates by the direct ring-opening copolymerization of CO\textsubscript{2} with epoxides\textsuperscript{44-47}, our approach focused on the polyaddition of bis(\(\alpha\)-alkylidene cyclic carbonate)s (bis-\(\alpha\)CCs) to diols in the presence of an organobase as catalyst to afford regioregular and defects-free poly(\(\beta\)-oxo-carbonate)s at room temperature. Early this year, Schaub engineered a novel synthetic protocol to provide bis\(\alpha\)CCs and also demonstrated their utility for the fabrication of low molar mass poly(\(\beta\)-oxo-carbonate)s (Mn ~ 2,000 g/mol).\textsuperscript{48} However, the synthetic routes to bis\(\alpha\)CCs are still tedious with demanding purification procedures. To push away these boundaries, we introduce in this work a novel domino terpolymerization approach to produce poly(\(\beta\)-oxo-carbonate)s from a mixture of CO\textsubscript{2}, bispropargylic alcohol and diols (Scheme 1b), some of them being selected for their ease of production from lignin biomass. If many publications focused on the one-pot domino synthesis of oxazolidinones and oxopropyl
carbamates from CO$_2$, propargylic alcohols and amines$^{49-54}$, only few examples reported the preparation of oxoalkyl carbonates by reacting propargylic alcohols, CO$_2$ and alcohols (Scheme 1a). The challenge lies in the identification of suitable catalysts capable of fixing CO$_2$ to propargylic alcohols to *in-situ* form $\alpha$CCs in a selective manner and to promote their alcoholysis. Song reported on the synthesis of oxoalkyl carbonates with yields of 22-76 \% using DBU/Zn salts (40:20 mol\%) as catalytic systems at 80 °C, 10 bar in 16 h.$^{55}$ Hu obtained yields up to 99 \% with AgCl/butylmethylimidazolium acetate ([BMIm][OAc]) binary catalytic systems. However the ionic liquid was added in large amount (100 mol\%) to promote the reaction at room temperature and atmospheric CO$_2$ pressure.$^{39}$ He’s group used a combination of Ag$_2$CO$_3$ and PPh$_3$ to catalyze the formation of oxoalkyl carbonates scaffolds with yields of 62-78 \%. Working with a 0.5 eq excess in the alcohol was required to obtain higher yields (98 \%).$^{56}$ Zhang reported high yields of 81-93 \% and a large product scope using a silver sulfadiazine/EtNBr (5 mol\%) dual system at 1 bar, 80 °C for 24 h.$^{57,58}$ This later system suffers from the multi-step synthesis of sulfadiazine that is not a straightforward procedure. Although different catalytic systems exist to provide simple $\alpha$CCs and oxoalkyl carbonates, none of them has been utilized for both the quantitative synthesis of bis$\alpha$CCs and their *in-situ* transformation into poly($\beta$-oxocarbonate)s in a cascade reaction. In addition, as previously exemplified, none of them supported the features needed to respond to the requirements of a step-growth polymerization process that is extremely sensitive to the stoichiometry of the reaction. The bis$\alpha$CCs intermediate produced *in-situ* by carboxylative cycloaddition of CO$_2$ to bis(propargylic alcohol) will be directly involved in the polyaddition to the diol. Any side reactions will therefore result in a deviation from the perfect stoichiometry and cause the termination of the domino terpolymerization. To pursue our goal, it
is therefore crucial to identify operating conditions and a catalytic system compatible for both steps, i.e. the selective formation of bis-$\alpha$CC and its copolymerization with the diol.

In this work, we have developed a novel binary catalyst and evaluated its activity and selectivity on model compounds. Kinetic insights via operando FT-IR spectroscopy, correlated to mechanistic DFT calculations enabled us to understand and rationalize the mode of action of the catalyst. We then used it to selectively synthesize oxo-alkylcarbonates of different structures by the domino reaction between CO$_2$, propargylic alcohols and mono-alcohols. Finally this process was exploited for the preparation of PCs under mild operative conditions.

(a) Cascade synthesis of oxo-alkylcarbonates

(b) Cascade synthesis of poly($\beta$-oxo-carbonate)s

Scheme 1. One pot domino synthesis of (a) oxo-alkylcarbonates and (b) poly($\beta$-oxo-carbonate)s

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Catalyst design and optimization of the reaction parameters. Model carboxylative coupling reactions of CO$_2$ with 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol to provide $\alpha$CC were first screened (Scheme 2). We selected tetrabutylammonium phenolate ([TBA][OPh]) as the organocatalyst as we
previously demonstrated its high efficiency for the envisioned reaction under moderate conditions.\textsuperscript{59} This activity resulted from a good compromise between ion-pair separation controlled by steric effect and the basicity of the anion as evidenced in a previous benchmarking study.\textsuperscript{59} Moreover, it is easily prepared from cheap phenol, a bio-based product derived from the fractionation of lignin.\textsuperscript{60}

![Scheme 2. Coupling of CO$_2$ to 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol.](image)

The model reaction was carried out at 50 bar and 80 °C in acetonitrile with a low catalyst loading (5 mol%). Under these conditions, the expected $\alpha$CCs 2 was produced with 90 % yield in only 1 h (Table 1, entry 1). However, the main side reaction observed was the formation of the linear oxo-carbonate 2a by the addition of the propargylic alcohol to $\alpha$CCs. To extend the use of this catalytic system for the targeted domino reaction and prevent this side reaction, an optimization of both the reaction conditions and the catalyst structure was required.

**Table 1.** Influence of the temperature on the carboxylative cyclisation of CO$_2$ with 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol catalyzed by [TBA][OPh]

| Entry | Temp. | Reaction time | Conv. of 1 | Selectivity for 2 |
|-------|-------|---------------|------------|------------------|
|       |       |               |            |                  |


| (°C) | (h) | (%) | (%) |
|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1    | 80  | 1   | 100 | 90  |
| 2    | 60  | 1   | 20  | 99  |
| 3    | 60  | 6   | 100 | 96  |
| 4    | 40  | 1   | 6   | 99  |
| 5    | 40  | 24  | 100 | 99  |

Conditions: 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol (2 mL, 0.02061 mol), [TBA][OPh] (5 mol%), acetonitrile (2 mL), CO₂ (50 bar). The conversions and selectivities were determined by ^1^H-NMR spectroscopy in CDCl₃.

By decreasing the temperature to 60 °C, the reaction slowed down (6 h was required for full conversion) meanwhile the selectivity in 2 increased to 96 %, with 4 % of hydroxyketone formed from the hydrolysis of αCC (Table 1, entry 3). When we further decreased the temperature to 40 °C, the reaction rate decreased considerably with propargylic alcohol conversion of only 6 % after 1 h (Table 1, entry 4). Importantly, 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol was fully and selectively converted into the desired product 2 with no side product when the reaction time was extended to 24 h (Table 1, entry 5).

In order to improve the selectivity in αCC 2 without excessively compromising the reaction rate, we considered the use of a cocatalyst that was expected to activate the alkyne for the carboxylative addition. Some metal-based catalysts (such as monovalent silver and copper salts) are capable of pi-coordination with the alkyne bond and are therefore expected to be beneficial
for this reaction. Various salts were tested and results are summarized in Table 2. Adding silver(I) or copper(I) salts favored the reaction. The best catalytic activity was obtained when [TBA][OPh] was combined to CuI or AgI, with an increase in the conversion of 1 from 6% (without cocatalyst, Table 2, entry 1) to 81% (with CuI, Table 2, entry 7) or 100% (with AgI, Table 2, entry 3) in 1 h at 15 bar and 40 °C. Both [TBA][OPh]/CuI and [TBA][OPh]/AgI binary systems drove the reaction with a 100% selectivity in the targeted product 2 as confirmed by $^1$H-NMR spectroscopy (Figure S2). The difference in activity for the reactions carried out in the presence of the different silver salts (Ag$_2$CO$_3$, AgOAc and AgI) is at this stage difficult to rationalize. However, the different solubility of the silver salts in the reaction medium might be at the origin of this observation. Indeed, when preparing the reaction medium before pressurization with CO$_2$, none of these cocatalysts were fully soluble. However, quantifying their solubility in the reaction medium under pressure was not possible. Verpoort and Song.

We then screened some solvents using CuI as cocatalyst and found the reaction to be fastest in acetonitrile and slowest in DMSO (Table 2, entries 7 and 11), with the same selectivity in product 2 (100%).

**Table 2.** Screening of cocatalyst and solvents for the coupling of CO$_2$ to 2-methyl-3-butyne-2-ol catalyzed by [TBA][OPh]

| Entry | Cocatalyst | Solvent | Conv. of 1 (%) | Selectivity for 2 (%) |
|-------|------------|---------|----------------|-----------------------|
| 1     | /          | ACN     | 6              | 100                   |

Verpoort and Song.
|   | Compound   | Solvent | Conversion (%) | Selectivity (%) |
|---|------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|
| 2 | Ag$_2$CO$_3$ | ACN     | 70             | 100             |
| 3 | AgI        | ACN     | 100            | 100             |
| 4 | AgOAc      | ACN     | 38             | 100             |
| 5 | CuCl       | ACN     | 50             | 100             |
| 6 | CuBr       | ACN     | 47             | 100             |
| 7 | CuI        | ACN     | 81             | 100             |
| 8 | CuI        | CDCl$_3$| 63             | 100             |
| 9 | CuI        | THF     | 70             | 100             |
| 10| CuI        | DMSO    | 58             | 100             |
| 11| CuI        | Neat    | 92             | 98              |

Conditions: 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol (2 mL, 0.0206 mol), [TBA][OPh] (5 mol%), cocatalyst (5 mol%), solvent (2 mL), CO$_2$ (15 bar), 1 h, 40°C. The conversions and selectivity were determined by $^1$H-NMR in CDCl$_3$ (see Figure S1 for the procedure).

In prelude of our subsequent study on the fabrication of poly(oxo-carbonate)s by the domino reaction, one selected DMSO as the solvent to continue our study. Unlike acetonitrile, its choice was justified by its capability to totally solubilize the bis-propargylic alcohol and provide homogeneous conditions at the initial stage of the reaction.
To benchmark the activity of the [TBA][OPh], CuI/[TBA][OPh] and AgI/[TBA][OPh] catalysts, we studied the kinetics of the reaction using online high-pressure FT-IR spectroscopy at 40 °C using both CuI and AgI. Figure 1a shows an overlay of the IR spectra at different times obtained with the [TBA][OPh]/AgI system. We observed a signal at 3300 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponding to the OH band of the alkynol which decreased gradually over time and the concomitant formation of the cyclic carbonate and exovinylene group with characteristic vibrations of \(\nu\ C=O\) at 1823 cm\(^{-1}\) and \(\nu\ C=C\) at 1680 cm\(^{-1}\). The CO\(_2\) band at 2330 cm\(^{-1}\) was observed and remained constant due to the large excess of CO\(_2\) under the investigated conditions. A similar profile was obtained with the [TBA][OPh]/CuI system (Figure S3). By following the evolution of the \(\nu\ C=O\) band at 1823 cm\(^{-1}\) (Figure 1b) over time, the yield in exovinylene cyclic carbonate 2 was calculated for the reactions catalyzed by [TBA][OPh], [TBA][OPh]/CuI or [TBA][OPh]/AgI systems (Figure 1c, see supporting information for the quantification procedure).

**Figure 1.** Synthesis of 4,4-dimethyl-5-methylene-1,3-dioxolan-2-one by organocatalyzed coupling of CO\(_2\) to 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol. (a) FT-IR spectra at different times for the [TBA][OPh]/AgI catalyzed reaction; (b) 3D profile of the 1500 to 2200 cm\(^{-1}\) region obtained by on-line FT-IR spectroscopy for [TBA][OPh]/AgI catalyzed reaction; (c) Yield of cyclic carbonate for the different catalyzed reactions. Conditions: 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol (16 mL, 0.165
mmol), [TBA][OPh] (5 mol%), AgI or CuI (5 mol%), T = 40 °C, pCO₂ = 15 bar, solvent = DMSO (V = 16 mL).

The cycloaddition was complete in 180 min with the [TBA][OPh]/CuI binary system, and in less than 50 min with the [TBA][OPh]/AgI one, no secondary product was detected as already noted during the batch screening tests. By using [TBA][OPh] as sole catalyst, the reaction was very slow, requiring 24 h for completion, but remained selective. This comparative study highlights the synergistic role of the cocatalyst. It must be mentioned that few articles have been published with copper iodide as cocatalyst for this type of reaction. Although high yields were obtained (70-98 %), moderate to high temperatures (60-120 °C) were most often required. Room temperature operation was recently reported by using CuI in combination with phosphonium levulinate. However, a high pressure of CO₂ (pCO₂ = 100 bar) was required and the phosphonium salt was used in large amount (66 mol%). Our system involving [TBA][OPh]/CuI is thus competitive for operating under mild reaction conditions, at a low catalyst loading (5 mol%).

The mechanism of the carboxylative cyclisation of I was then investigated by DFT calculations (Figure 2) with the help of the M062X functional (see ESI for details) where the Gibbs free energy of each structure is relative to the sum of the individual Gibbs free energy of the reactants for each pathway, i.e. : propargylic alcohol + CO₂ + [TBA][OPh] for the reaction catalyzed by the sole [TBA][OPh] ; propargylic alcohol + CO₂ + [TBA][OPh] + CuI (AgI) for the reaction catalyzed by [TBA][OPh] / CuI (AgI).

We initially explored the reaction pathway with [TBA][OPh] as catalyst only, which is composed of two elementary steps. From the van der Waals complex that exhibits hydrogen bonding between the oxygen atom of the phenolate anion and the hydrogen atom of the hydroxyl
group of 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol, the first step consists of the deprotonation of the propargylic alcohol simultaneously to the nucleophilic attack onto the carbon atom of CO$_2$ with the formation of a carbonate anion intermediate (-11.2 kcal.mol$^{-1}$). The activation barrier of this first step is calculated to be 5.9 kcal.mol$^{-1}$ and, as the transition state associated to it is submerged with respect to the separated reactant limit (fixed at the zero Gibbs free energy), it is supposed to occur very quickly. The second step, which is the rate-determining step with a barrier height of 23.2 kcal.mol$^{-1}$, involves the intramolecular nucleophilic addition from the carbonate anion to the C≡C bond and the simultaneous protonation of the alkenyl anion by the PhOH leading to the formation of 2 and the regeneration of [TBA][OPh] catalyst.

Figure 2. Gibbs free energy profiles for α-methylene carbonate formation with [TBA][OPh], [TBA][OPh]/CuI and [TBA][OPh]/AgI as catalytic system
In the presence of CuI or AgI as cocatalyst, the Gibbs free energy profile encountered an important exothermicity (about tens of kcal.mol\(^{-1}\)), which considerably accelerated the reaction kinetics as observed experimentally. While the first step of the whole reaction is identical to the one with the sole [TBA][OPh] catalyst, the 2 remaining steps to form the target product 2 are thus: i) the ring formation from the carbonate anion together with the attack of the CuI (AgI) on the C≡C bond with an associated Gibbs free energy barrier of 24.5 kcal.mol\(^{-1}\) (18.8 kcal.mol\(^{-1}\)), ii) from the alkenyl metal intermediate a proto-demetallation takes place and the final product is formed by hydrogen proton transfer from PhOH. The Gibbs free energy activation barrier of this last step is calculated to be 10.1 kcal.mol\(^{-1}\) and 9.4 kcal.mol\(^{-1}\) for the cocatalysis with CuI and AgI, respectively.

To summarize, after the first step of the overall process (addition of CO\(_2\) to the propargylic alcohol), the reactants have to overcome the highest energy transition state of +12 kcal/mol for the reaction only catalyzed by [TBA][OPh]. In contrast, in the presence of CuI (AgI), due to the strong exergonicity a significant part of the reactants might spontaneously react without any stabilization and accelerates the reaction kinetic compare to the case of the reaction only catalyzed by [TBA][OPh]. On the other hand, in the presence of CuI (AgI), the remaining part of the species that could be stabilized must undergo activation energies of 18.8 kcal/mol and 23.2 kcal/mol for the reactions co-catalyzed by AgI and CuI respectively, indicating that the reaction catalyzed by [TBA][OPh]/AgI is the fastest which is in good agreement with the kinetics studies by online FT-IR experiments. As the binary [TBA][OPh]/AgI systems displayed the highest catalytic activity, it was selected to pursue our objectives of domino reactions and polymerizations.
Synthesis of oxo-alkylcarbonates. We then evaluated the capacity of the [TBA][OPh] and [TBA][OPh]/AgI dual systems to catalyze the alcoholysis of αCC 2 into oxo-alkyl carbonates 3 at room temperature (T = 25°C) in DMSO. The reaction was tested for different alcohols and the results are summarized in Table 3. Importantly, [TBA][OPh] (5 mol%) displayed sufficient basicity to enable the complete and selective conversion of αCC 2 into the corresponding oxo-alkylcarbonate 3 when reacted with 1-butanol for 1 h at 25 °C, while no reaction was noted without the catalyst (Table 3, entries 1-2). The [TBA][OPh] acted as a hydrogen bond acceptor, thereby activating the alcohol molecule. When AgI was used as cocatalyst in combination to [TBA][OPh] αCC 2 was selectively converted into the oxo-alkylcarbonate (Table 3, entry 3), similarly to the reaction performed with [TBA][OPh] only (Table 3, entry 2). The addition of AgI did not induce any side reaction, at least during the investigated reaction period. We have repeated this experiment for a shorter reaction time (15 min instead of 1h) and an identical conversion of 2 (100%) and selectivity for 3 (100%) were again noted. Although further experiments would be required, it suggests that the silver salt has no noticeable effect on the alcoholysis of the α-alkylidene cyclic carbonate, in line with reports of Li and Han.

When using benzyl alcohol, the reaction carried out with [TBA][OPh] was also fast, quantitative and selective (Table 3, entry 4). In addition, the selectivity was still excellent, the conversion in αCC dropped to 68% when using a less reactive secondary alcohol, 2-propanol, under identical conditions (Table 3, entry 5). No reaction was however noted with the tertiary alcohol, 2-methyl-2-butanol (Table 3, entry 6). The lower reactivity of the secondary and tertiary alcohol arose from their steric hindrance that decreased their nucleophilic character.
Table 3. Alcoholysis of 4,4-dimethyl-5-methylene-1,3-dioxolan-2-one (αCC, 2) catalyzed by [TBA][OPh]

| Entry | Catalyst          | Alcohol      | Conv. of 2 (%) | Yield in 3 (%) |
|-------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1     | -                 | 1-butanol    | 0              | 0              |
| 2     | [TBA][OPh]        | 1-butanol    | 100            | 100            |
| 3     | [TBA][OPh]/AgI    | 1-butanol    | 100            | 100            |
| 4     | [TBA][OPh]        | benzyl alcohol | 100          | 100            |
| 5     | [TBA][OPh]        | propan-2-ol  | 68             | 100            |
| 6     | [TBA][OPh]        | 2-methyl-2- | 0              | /              |
|       | butanol           |              |                |                |

Conditions: αCC 2 (0.5 g, 3.904 mmol), alcohol (3.904 mmol), [TBA][OPh] (66 mg, 5 mol%), cocatalyst (AgI or CuI: 5 mol%), DMSO (1 ml), T = 25°C, t = 1 h. The conversions and selectivities were determined by ¹H-NMR in DMSO-d₆. (see Figure S4 for the procedure).

Cascade reaction on model compounds. As [TBA][OPh]/AgI was able to catalyze both the selective formation of DMACC by coupling CO₂ to 2-methyl-3-butyln-2-ol and its alcoholysis by
primary and secondary alcohols, we then evaluated its capacity to catalyze the one-pot cascade synthesis of the corresponding oxo-alkylcarbonate 3 from CO₂, 2-methyl-3-butynol and 1-butanol (Table 4). The reactions were carried out at 15 bar for 6h, using 5 mol% of [TBA][OPh] with and without AgI, and different temperatures were screened. Results are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Cascade synthesis of butyl (2-methyl-3-oxobutan-2-yl) carbonate from 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol, CO₂ and 1-butanol

| Entry | Catalyst          | T (°C) | Conv. of 1 (%) | 2a (%) | 3b (%) |
|-------|-------------------|--------|----------------|--------|--------|
| 1     | [TBA][OPh]        | 40     | 0              | 0      | 0      |
| 2     | [TBA][OPh]        | 60     | 0              | 0      | 0      |
| 3     | [TBA][OPh]        | 80     | 15             | 100    | 0      |
| 4     | [TBA][OPh]/AgI    | 40     | 100            | 93     | 7      |
| 5     | [TBA][OPh]/AgI    | 60     | 100            | 80     | 20     |
| 6     | [TBA][OPh]/AgI    | 80     | 100            | 23     | 74     |

Conditions: 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol (2 ml, 0.02061 mol), 1-butanol (1.89 ml, 0.02065 mol), [TBA][OPh] (5 mol%), AgI (5 mol%), DMSO (2 ml), CO₂ (15 bar), 6 h. a Selectivity for 2. b Selectivity for 3. The conversions and selectivity were determined by ¹H-NMR in DMSO-d₆. (see Figure S5 for the procedure).
While there is little to no reaction in the absence of AgI (Table 4, entries 1-3), full conversion of 1 was achieved at 40°C after 6h of reaction for the [TBA][OPh]/AgI system (Table 4, entry 4). However, the main product was αCC 2 (93%), with only 7% of the desired oxo-alkylcarbonate 3. By raising the reaction temperature to 60 or 80°C, the yield in 3 was increased to 20 or 74%, respectively (Table 4, entries 5 and 6).

The influence of the working pressure on the yield and selectivity of the reaction was then evaluated by on-line IR spectroscopy at 60°C. Unfortunately, because the bands corresponding to the CC triple bond (890 and 960 cm\(^{-1}\)) and the OH bond (3260 cm\(^{-1}\)) of the propargylic alcohol overlapped with other bands, we could not follow the consumption of the propargylic alcohol over time. However, by analysing a sample of the reaction mixture at the end of each experiment by \(^1\)H-NMR spectroscopy, we could confirm the total conversion of the propargylic alcohol for reactions performed at \(p_{\text{CO}_2}\) of 1, 5 or 15 bar. We monitored the formation of αCC 2 and its conversion into the oxo-alkylcarbonate 3 by following the evolution of the carbonyl band of the cyclic carbonate 2 at 1820 cm\(^{-1}\) and of the linear carbonate 3 at 1740 cm\(^{-1}\) (Figure 3a). The yields of each product are plotted vs time in Figure 3b. At 60 °C and 15 bar of CO\(_2\), the formation of the oxo-alkyl carbonate 3 was observed after about 2.5 h of reaction and was relatively slow. After 20 h of reaction, a mixture of product 2 (45 %) and 3 (40 %) was collected. By decreasing the CO\(_2\) pressure to 5 bar, the formation of αCC 2 was faster and its conversion into 3 started after 45 min. After 20 h, the yield in 2 was only 3 % while the desired product 3 was formed with 87 % yield. Importantly, when the pressure was further reduced to 1 bar, αCC 2 was rapidly formed and was simultaneously converted into 3. The domino reaction was complete in less than 10 h at 1 bar and selective towards the formation of 3. These kinetics studies clearly highlight that a low CO\(_2\) pressure was required for an efficient domino reaction and for the selective
production of 3. It was assumed that high CO₂ pressure favoured the carbonation of 1-butanol, consequently deactivating it for the alcoholysis of the in-situ formed αCC 2.

**Figure 3.** One pot domino synthesis of butyl-(2-methyl-3-oxobutan-2-yl) carbonate from CO₂, 2-methyl-3-butyln-2-ol and 1-butanol catalyzed by [TBA][OPh]/AgI at 60 °C. (a) 3D profile for a reaction conducted at p_CO₂ of 1 bar; (b) influence of CO₂ pressure on the formation of cyclic carbonate 2 and oxo-alkylcarbonate 3. Conditions: [TBA][OPh] (5 mol%), AgI (5 mol%), 2-methyl-3-butyln-2-ol (12 mL), 1-butanol (11.3 mL), DMSO (12 mL)

To give additional clue to this hypothesis, we monitored by online FT-IR spectroscopy the possible formation of a zwitterionic compound from 1-butanol, CO₂ and [TBA][OPh] in the solvent used for the reaction (DMSO) at a pressure of 15 bar. We indeed observed the rapid growth (< 5 min) of a band at 1660 cm⁻¹ which is typical of the v C=O of a carbonate anion,⁶⁴ thus supporting the *in-situ* carbonation of 1-butanol favoured at high pressure of 15 bar (Figure S6).
To highlight the versatility of the [TBA][OPh]/AgI catalytic system for the domino reaction under the optimum conditions \((T = 60 \, ^\circ C, p_{CO2} = 1 \, \text{bar}, t = 10 \, \text{h})\), we enlarged the scope of propargylic alcohols and mono-alcohols to access various oxoalkyl carbonate compounds (Scheme 3).

**Scheme 3.** Product scope for the synthesis of oxo-alkylcarbontes. Conditions: propargylic alcohol (20.61 mmol), aliphatic alcohol (20.61 mmol), [TBA][OPh]/AgI (5 mol%), DMSO (2 mL), \(p_{CO2} = 1 \, \text{bar}, t = 10 \, \text{h}\). Yields were determined by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy in DMSO-d\(_6\) after 24 h \((^a)\) and 72 h \((^b)\) under neat conditions. \(^1\)H and 13-C NMR are given in Figure S7-S16.

In all cases, all propargylic alcohols were fully consumed. The corresponding oxo-alkylcarbonates were formed with a high yield \((\geq 97 \%)\) when primary alcohols such as 1-butanol or benzyl alcohol were involved with the less sterically hindered propargylic alcohol, 2-methyl-3-butyln-2-ol. When a secondary 2-propanol or tertiary alcohol 2-methyl-2-butanol was employed together with 2-methyl-3-butyln-2-ol, the yields in oxo-alkylcarbonate dropped to 60 % (product 3C) and 12 % (product 3D), respectively. Similarly, when 1-butanol was reacted with
more sterically hindered propargylic alcohols such as 3-methyl-1-pentyn-3-ol and 3,5-dimethyl-1-hexyn-3-ol, very low yields (13 % for product 3E, and 11 % for product 3F), respectively, were obtained. The remaining percentage corresponded to the unreacted cyclic carbonate intermediate. Interestingly, by extending the reaction time to 72 h, the selectivity and yield in the target oxo-alkylcarbonates increased to 99 % for both 3E and 3F.

**Synthesis of poly(β-oxo-carbonate)s by one-pot domino terpolymerization.** The reaction conditions were first optimized for the terpolymerization of 4,4'(ethane-1,2-diyl)bis(4-methyl-5-methylene-1,3-dioxolan-2-one) B1, 1,6-hexanediol D1 and CO₂ using [TBA][OPh]/AgI as binary catalytic system (Table 5). When carried out in DMSO at 1 bar of CO₂, oligomers with a number average molar mass ($M_n$) of 1,000 g/mol were collected after 48 h at 60 °C (Table 5, entry 1). By increasing the CO₂ pressure to 15 bar, $M_n$ was increased to 1,500 g/mol, however no significant evolution was observed when the reaction time was extended to 72 h (Table 5, entries 2 and 3). When the reaction was performed under neat conditions, similar results were obtained. Interestingly the molar mass was almost doubled when using DMF as solvent (Table 5, entries 8-9). Increasing the reaction temperature to 80 °C was detrimental to the polymerization with the formation of oligomers of lower $M_n$ (Table 5, entries 4 and 5 in DMSO and entry 10 in DMF). Several factors might be at the origin of this observation. First, we demonstrated that increasing the reaction temperature decreased the selectivity in the in-situ formed α-alkylidene cyclic carbonate (Table 1), with the consequence that the stoichiometry between α-alkylidene cyclic carbonate and alcohol groups is not respected. Second, some thermally induced side reactions (e.g. transcarbonation) might consume reactive groups and thus will provoke a deviation from the perfect stoichiometry, thus lowering the polymer molar mass.
The SEC elugrams obtained on the crude reaction media (in order to avoid any fractionation of the sample during purification) are presented in Figure S18-21. They show that polymers prepared in DMF were of higher molar mass compared to those produced in DMSO or in the bulk. In the two latter cases, dimers and trimers were clearly observed. Dispersities were rather low for a step-growth polymerization process but are the result of the low molar mass polymers.

Table 5. Screening of parameters for the one pot domino synthesis of poly(β-oxo-carbonate)s

| Entry | Solvent | P (bar) | T (°C) | Time (h) | aConv, of B₁ (%) | aConv, of D₁ (%) | bMₙ (g/mol) | bMₘ (g/mol) | bPDI |
|-------|---------|---------|--------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|------|
| 1     | DMSO    | 1       | 60     | 48       | 100             | 20              | <1000       | -           | -    |
| 2     | DMSO    | 15      | 60     | 24       | 100             | 61              | 1500        | 1900        | 1.3  |
| 3     | DMSO    | 15      | 60     | 72       | 100             | 55              | 1700        | 2300        | 1.3  |
| 4     | DMSO    | 15      | 80     | 24       | 100             | 44              | 1500        | 1900        | 1.3  |
| 5     | DMSO    | 15      | 80     | 72       | 100             | 50              | 1500        | 1800        | 1.2  |
| 6     | Neat    | 15      | 60     | 24       | 100             | 35              | 1400        | 1700        | 1.2  |
| 7     | Neat    | 15      | 60     | 72       | 100             | 62              | 2150        | 3100        | 1.4  |
|   | DMF | 15 | 60 | 24 | 100 | 73  | 2700 | 4300 | 1.6 |
|---|-----|----|----|----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|
| 8 |     |    |    |    |     |     |      |      |     |
| 9 |     |    |    |    |     |     |      |      |     |
| 10|     |    |    |    |     |     |      |      |     |

**Conditions:** bis(propargylic alcohol) **B1** (0.3 g, 1.802 mmol), 1,6-hexanediol (**D1**) (0.213 g, 1.802 mmol), [TBA][OPh]/AgI (10 mol%), [bis(propargylic alcohol)] = 1.8 M when a solvent was used.

\(^a\) determined by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy

\(^b\) determined on crude products by GPC using DMF as eluent with PS standard
The structure of the poly(β-oxo-carbonate) was evidenced by $^1$H-NMR spectroscopy (Figure 4a) by the presence of a singlet at $\delta = 2.11$ ppm typical of the methyl group in alpha position of the ketone, at $\delta = 1.44$ ppm characteristic of the methyl group in alpha of the carbonate and at $\delta = 4.06$ ppm characteristic of the methylene linked to the carbonate group. The $^{13}$C-NMR (Figure 4b) analysis of the polymer evidenced the resonance of the ketone at $\delta = 206$ ppm and the carbonate at $\delta = 153$ ppm. All assignments were confirmed by COSY, HSQC and HMBC analysis (Figures S24-26).

The substrate scope was extended by testing other diols (primary D3 and secondary D2, D4) and a cycloaliphatic bis(propargylic alcohol) (B2) (Scheme 4). The corresponding poly(β-oxo-carbonate)s were obtained in all cases, with the structures that were confirmed by $^1$H-NMR analyses (Figures S27-S31). Although the molar masses of the polymers cannot be compared (they are obtained based on a polystyrene calibration and the polymers are characterized by different hydrodynamic volumes), the highest $M_n$ of 2,700 g/mol ($M_w = 4,300$ g/mol) was obtained for B1D1 (Scheme 4). Lower molar masses were collected for polymers prepared from the cycloaliphatic bis(propargylic alcohol) B2. As both B2D1 and B2D2 copolymers were only partly soluble in DMF at room temperature, and because no common organic solvent could totally dissolve them, fractionation of the samples occurred prior their analyses. Their molar masses determined by size exclusion chromatography were probably underestimated. Due to this lack of solubility, the determination of the degree of polymerization by $^1$H-NMR spectroscopy was not performed.
Scheme 4. One-pot domino synthesis of poly(β-oxo-carbonate)s and reagent scope. $M_n$ and PDI determined by SEC in DMF/LiBr with PS standards on crude products. SEC chromatograms of the crude products are provided in Figure S32 and S33.
CONCLUSIONS.

In this work, we have disclosed a domino approach to construct oxo-alkylcarbonate scaffolds and polycarbonates from CO₂, propargylic alcohols and mono-alcohols under mild operating conditions (pCO₂ = 1-15 bar, T = 40-80 °C). The keystone lied in the design and the utilization of a binary catalyst composed of tetrabutylammonium phenolate that operated in synergy with a Ag(I) or Cu(I) salt. Remarkably, this dual catalyst (at 5 mol% loading) enabled to drive the fast, selective and quantitative formation of exovinylene cyclic carbonates by carboxylative coupling of CO₂ to various propargylic alcohols, and it also catalyzed the alcoholysis of the in-situ generated 5-membered cyclic carbonates, providing an efficient platform for the preparation of oxo-alkyl carbonates at high yield. Operando FT-IR spectroscopy correlated to DFT calculations provided kinetic and mechanistic insights that helped us to optimize the one-pot process via a rational comprehension of the reactivity, the efficiency and the selectivity of the binary catalytic system. When this catalytic system was used on mixtures of CO₂, bis(propargylic alcohol)s and diols, poly(oxo-carbonate)s with Mₜₐ₂ up to 4,300 g/mol were produced by a new domino polymerization process under mild conditions (60°C, 15 bar). By varying the nature of the bis(propargylic alcohol) and the diol, various polycarbonates were successfully prepared, enlarging the scope of the process. Ongoing work focuses on the comprehension and the identification of the causes responsible for the moderate molar masses and the solutions to remove their hurdles.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION
Materials. Ethynylmagnesium bromide (Acros), silver iodide, silver acetate, silver carbonate, copper chloride, copper bromide, copper iodide, zinc iodide, 2,5-hexandione, 1,4-cyclohexandione, 1,6-hexandiol, 2,5-hexandiol, 1,4-butanediol, 1,4-cyclohexandiol (cis/trans mixture), 1-butanol, propan-2-ol, 2-methyl-2-butanol, 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol, 3-methyl-1-pentyn-3-ol, 3,5-dimethyl-1-hexyn-3-ol were purchased from Aldrich. CO\textsubscript{2} (N27) was purchased from Air liquid, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), dimethyl formamide (DMF), acetonitrile (ACN), methanol (MeOH), diethylether. DMSO was dried on a 3Å molecular sieve conditioned at 100°C under vacuum for 24h. All the other reagents were used as received without any further purification.

Analytical methods.

\textsuperscript{1}H NMR analyses were performed on Bruker Avance 400 MHz spectrometers in DMSO at 25 °C in the Fourier transform mode. 16 scans for \textsuperscript{1}H spectra and 512 or 2048 scans for \textsuperscript{13}C spectra were recorded. Fourier transform infrared spectra were recorded using a Nicolet IS5 spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with a transmission or with a diamond attenuated transmission reflectance (ATR) device. Spectra were obtained in transmission or ATR mode as a result of 32 spectra accumulation in the range of 4000 – 500 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, with a nominal resolution of 4 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.

Number-average molecular weight (M\textsubscript{n}) and dispersity (D) of the different polymers were determined by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) in dimethylformamide (DMF) containing LiBr (0.025 M) at 55 °C (flow rate: 1 mL/min) with a Waters chromatograph equipped with two columns dedicated to the analysis of low molar mass polymers (PSS gram analytical 100 Å, separation range 300-60000 Da) and a pre column (100 Å), a dual \lambda absorbance detector (Waters
2487) and a refractive index detector (Waters 2414). A previously established PS calibration curve was used.

In-situ IR spectroscopy experiments were conducted using a stainless steel reactor suitable for high-pressure measurements (up to 400 bar) and high temperature (up to 100 °C) coupled with a FT-MIR spectrometer from Brucker, equipped with an air-cooled globar source (12V), a KBr beam splitter, a mechanical rocksolid interferometer, permanently aligned, a diamond ATR fibre probe IN350-T (Ø 6 mm) and a liquid nitrogen cooled mercury cadmium telluride (MCT). Single beam spectra recorded in the spectral range (670-3500 cm\(^{-1}\)) with a 4 cm\(^{-1}\) resolution were obtained after the Fourier transformation of 32 accumulated interferograms until the end of reaction time.

**Screening of the reaction parameters for the carboxylative coupling of CO\(_2\) to 3-methyl-2-butyn-3-ol.** In a clean dry reactor, equipped with a magnetic rod, a manometer and a gas inlet/outlet were introduced 3-methyl-2-butyn-3-ol (2 mL, 20.61 mmol), tetrabutylammonium phenolate [TBA][OPh] (0.3459 g, 1.031 mmol), CuI (0.1963g, 1.031 mmol) and acetonitrile (2 mL). The reactor was closed and placed in a silicon oil bath set heated at the desired temperature. After 30 minutes, CO\(_2\) gas was added at a constant pressure. The reaction ran for 1 h after which the reactor was depressurized and placed in a water bath to cool it down to room temperature. The crude reaction mixture was characterized by \(^1\)H-NMR spectroscopy in CDCl\(_3\). For the screening of the reaction parameters (T, solvent, catalyst), a similar protocol was applied

**Monitoring of the carboxylative coupling of CO\(_2\) to 3-methyl-2-butyn-3-ol by online FT-IR.** In a clean dry reactor of 80 mL equipped with a manometer, a heating mantle, gas inlet/outlets, a mechanical stirrer and a high pressure FT-IR probe were introduced 3-methyl-2-butyn-3-ol (16 mL, 164.9 mmol), tetrabutylammonium phenolate [TBA][OPh] (2.7603 g, 8.225 mmol), the
metal salt (AgI, 8.225 mmol) and dried DMSO (16 ml). The reactor was closed and heated to the desired temperature after which the FT-IR acquisition was launched. Then CO\(_2\) gas was added at constant flow. Spectra were recorded every 2 min. Once the reaction was complete, the reactor was cooled down to room temperature and depressurized. The crude mixture was recovered.

4,4-dimethyl-5-methylene-1,3-dioxolan-2-one (2) \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \(\delta\) (in ppm) = 4.79 (d, \(J = 3.8\) Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, \(J = 3.8\) Hz, 1H), 1.60 (s, 6H).

\(^{13}\)C NMR (100.6 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \(\delta\) (in ppm) = 158.67, 151.28, 85.92, 85.56, 27.37.

**General procedure for the synthesis of butyl-(2-methyl-3-oxobutan-2-yl) carbonate by alcoholsysis of aCC 2.** In a clean dry glass reaction tube, equipped with a magnetic rod and a three-way stopcock were introduced; 4,4-dimethyl-5-methylene-1,3-dioxolan-2-one (0.5 g, 3.904 mmol), butanol (0.357 ml, 3.904 mmol), tetrabutylammonium phenolate [TBA][OPh] (0.0664 g, 0.1952 mmol) and DMSO (1 ml). The reaction tube was placed in a silicon oil bath set at 25 °C. The reaction mixture was sampled after 15 min, 1 h, 2 h and 24 h, and characterized by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy in DMSO-\(d_6\).

**General procedure for the domino synthesis of butyl-(2-methyl-3-oxobutan-2-yl) carbonate from 2-methyl-3-butyln-2-ol, CO\(_2\) and alcohol.** In a stainless-steel reactor of 12 mL equipped with a magnetic bar, a manometer and a gas inlet/outlet were introduced 3-methyl-2-butyln-3-ol (2 mL, 20.61 mmol), tetrabutylammonium phenolate [TBA][OPh] (0.3459 g, 1.031 mmol), AgI (0.2419 g, 1.031 mmol) and 1-butanol (1.88 mL, 20.55 mmol). The reactor was closed and placed in a silicon oil bath set at 60 °C. After 30 min, CO\(_2\) was added at a constant pressure of 15 bar. The reaction ran for 6 h after which the reactor was slowly depressurized and placed in a water bath to cool it down to room temperature. The crude reaction mixture was characterized by
\(^{1}\)H-NMR spectroscopy in DMSO-d\(_6\). Then the catalyst was removed by silica gel chromatography with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), and the product dried under vacuum at room temperature for 24h.

**Monitoring of the synthesis of butyl-(2-methyl-3-oxobutan-2-yl) carbonate by on-line FT-IR.**

In a clean dry reactor of 80 mL equipped with a manometer, a heating mantle, gas inlet/outlets, a mechanical stirrer and a high pressure FT-IR probe were introduced 3-methyl-2-butyn-3-ol (12 mL, 123.6 mmol), 1-butanol (11.3 mL, 123.6 mmol), [TBA][OPh] (2.0749 g, 6.182 mmol), AgI (6.182 mmol) and dried DMSO (12 mL). The reactor was closed and heated to the desired temperature after which the FT-IR acquisition was launched and recorded every 5 min. Then CO\(_2\) gas was added at constant flow. Once the reaction was complete, the reactor was cooled down to room temperature and depressurized. The crude mixture was recovered and characterized by \(^{1}\)H-NMR spectroscopy in DMSO-d\(_6\).

Butyl-(2-methyl-3-oxobutan-2-yl) carbonate (product 3A)

\(^{1}\)H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d\(_6\)) \(\delta\) (in ppm) = 4.08 (t, \(J = 6.6\) Hz, 2H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 1.62 – 1.52 (m, 2H), 1.43 (s, 6H), 1.42 – 1.28 (m, 2H), 0.89 (t, \(J = 7.4\) Hz, 3H).

\(^{13}\)C NMR (100.6 MHz, DMSO-d\(_6\)) \(\delta\) (in ppm) = 206.50, 153.92, 85.11, 67.90, 40.90, 30.55, 24.13, 23.33, 18.83, 13.92.

These NMR data are in agreement with those reported in the scientific literature.

Benzyl-(2-methyl-3-oxobutan-2-yl) carbonate (Product 3B)

\(^{1}\)H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d\(_6\)) \(\delta\) (in ppm) = 7.39 (s, 4H), 7.45 – 7.32 (m, 1H), 5.15 (s, 2H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 1.45 (s, 6H).
\(^{13}\)C NMR (100.6 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \(\delta\) 206.43 (C=O), 153.77 (OC=OO), 135.78, 128.97, 128.89, 128.60, 85.50, 69.55, 24.18, 23.34.

These NMR data are in agreement with those reported in the scientific literature.

Isopropyl-(2-methyl-3-oxobutan-2-yl) carbonate (Product 3C)

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \(\delta\) (in ppm) = 4.74 (p, \(J = 6.2\) Hz, 1H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 6H), 1.23 (d, \(J = 6.2\) Hz, 6H).

These NMR data are in agreement with those reported in the scientific literature.

\(^{13}\)C NMR (100.6 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \(\delta\) (in ppm) = 206.57, 153.32, 84.95, 72.30, 24.08, 23.34, 21.86

2-methyl-3-oxobutan-2-yl tert-pentyl carbonate (Product 3D)

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \(\delta\) (in ppm) = 2.10 (s, 3H), 1.75 (q, \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 2H), 1.40 (s, 6H), 1.37 (s, 6H), 0.84 (dt, \(J = 9.3, 7.5\) Hz, 3H).

\(^{13}\)C NMR (100.6 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \(\delta\) (in ppm) = 206.24, 152.19, 84.91, 84.35, 36.29, 25.38, 23.71, 23.16, 8.21

Butyl-(3-methyl-2-oxopentan-3-yl) carbonate (Product 3E)

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \(\delta\) (in ppm) = 4.08 (tt, \(J = 6.9, 3.4\) Hz, 2H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 1.87 (dq, \(J = 15.0, 7.5\) Hz, 1H), 1.72 (dq, \(J = 14.7, 7.5\) Hz, 1H), 1.57 (h, \(J = 6.3, 5.8\) Hz, 2H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.34 (h, \(J = 7.3\) Hz, 2H), 0.90 (t, \(J = 7.4\) Hz, 3H), 0.81 (t, \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 3H).

\(^{13}\)C NMR (100.6 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \(\delta\) (in ppm) = 206.43 (C=O), 153.77 (OC=OO), 87.94, 68.46, 30.86, 28.89, 24.32, 19.68, 18.70, 14.06, 7.45
Butyl (3,5-dimethyl-2-oxohexan-3-yl) carbonate (Product 3F)

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$) δ (in ppm) = 4.08 (td, $J = 6.6, 3.1$ Hz, 2H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.71 (dd, $J = 13.2, 6.9$ Hz, 2H), 1.58 (dd, $J = 8.3, 6.3$ Hz, 2H), 1.48 (s, 3H), 1.33 (q, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 3H), 0.90 (dt, $J = 8.0, 4.4$ Hz, 9H).

$^{13}$C NMR (100.6 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$) δ (in ppm) = 206.24 (C=O), 153.77 (OC=OO), 87.58, 67.83, 44.07, 30.54, 24.60, 23.85, 23.78, 20.79, 18.80, 13.92

General procedure for the domino synthesis of poly(β-oxocarbonate)s by terpolymerization. In a stainless-steel reactor of 12 mL equipped with a magnetic bar, a manometer and a gas inlet/outlet were introduced 4,4’-(ethane-1,2-diyl)bis(4-methyl-5-methylene-1,3-dioxolan-2-one) B1 (0.3g, 1.802 mmol) prepared following Gennen’s protocol$^{40}$, 1,6-hexanediol D1 (0.2130 g, 1.802 mmol), tetrabutylammonium phenolate (60.5 mg, 0.1802 mmol), AgI (42.3 mg, 0.1802 mmol) and DMF (1mL). The reactor was closed and placed in a silicon oil bath set at 60 °C. After 30 min, CO$_2$ was added at a constant pressure of 15 bar. The reaction ran for 24 h, after which the reactor was depressurized and placed in a water bath to cool it down to room temperature. A sample of the reaction mixture was characterized by $^1$H-and $^{13}$C-NMR in DMSO-$d_6$ to determine the conversion and by SEC in order to evaluate the molecular parameters of the polymer. Then the catalyst was removed by silica gel chromatography with CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The solvent was evaporated, and the sample washed 4 times with a mixture of water and CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (5:1) to eliminate DMF. The organic phase was collected, and CH$_2$Cl$_2$ removed under vacuum at room temperature.
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**Synopsis**

This paper treats on the valorization of carbon dioxide and (bio) sourced diols as carbonyl source for the synthesis of oxoalkylcarbonates and polycarbonates
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