Demographic profile of Acute Pancreatitis in Eastern India: A Single Centre Experience
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Abstract

Background: Little is known about the etiological risk factors of acute pancreatitis (AP) in Eastern India. Aims and Objective: The aim of this study is to assess current trends in the etiology of AP in Eastern India. Materials and Methods: A retrospective study with cross-sectional design was undertaken. It was based on patient records admit between January 2014 and January 2017 with physician-assigned diagnoses of AP at KPC Medical College & Hospital, Kolkata (n = 234). Multivariate analyses were done to identify risk factors, and distribution was compared on the basis gender. Groups were selected on the basis of a working diagnosis. A stratified comparison was done in 3 commonest etiology groups identified: ‘alcohol’, ‘non-alcohol’ and ‘idiopathic’. Results: The commonest etiologies were attributed to alcohol (29.4%), idiopathic cause (20.5%), and obstructive cause (14.5%). Prevalence of AP was higher with alcoholism in men (37% vs. 10.8%; p<0.01), however, idiopathic cause (12.3% vs. 37.8%), duct obstruction (1.2% vs. 43.2%), obesity (6.1% vs. 24.3%), and hypertriglyceridemia (6.1% vs. 14.8%) were higher among females (p< 0.001 for all comparisons). Compared to non-alcoholics, patients with idiopathic AP were more likely to be overweight (p = 0.019) and have T2 DM (p = 0.021). Impact assessment of T2 DM status further revealed that the risk of AP was even greater with obesity (Odds Ratio [OR] 1.37; 95% Confidence Interval [CI] 0.57 – 3.26; p = 0.047) and smoking (OR 1.72; 95% CI 1.0 – 2.97; p = 0.049). Eighteen cases (7.7%) of ‘severe’ AP were identified, mostly due to: trauma in 6 (2.5%), idiopathic in 6 (2.5%), gallstones in 4 (1.7%), and alcoholism in 2 (0.8%). Conclusion: Alcohol intake is the predominant etiological risk factor for acute pancreatitis in Eastern India. Gender and type 2 diabetes mellitus are important contributory determinants.
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Background

Acute Pancreatitis (AP) is an inflammatory process due to autodigestion of the gland by pancreatic digestive enzymes, leading to impairment of function or any morphologic changes.¹ It can recur intermittently, contributing to ongoing insult, referred to as ‘Chronic Pancreatitis’ (CP).² Severe AP (SAP) develops in about 25% of patients with AP. The average mortality rate in SAP approaches 2–10%.³ The incidence of AP is much higher in USA, Finland, and Scotland (49.3, 46.6 and 41.9 per 100,000 populations, respectively).⁴ In 2009, it led to approximately 275,000 hospitalizations per year in the US.⁵ Hospitalization rates due to AP are found to increase progressively with age.⁶ For people aged 35-75 years, the rates double for males and quadruple for females.⁷ Blacks are 3 times more risk of developing AP to whites.⁸ However, little is known about the reasons for such racial disparity.

Conditions that can predispose to development of AP include: alcoholism, gallstones, abdominal surgery, certain medication re-exposures (didanosine, asparaginase, azathioprine, valproic acid, pentavalentantimonials,
pentamidine etc.), tobacco smoking, cystic fibrosis, Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2 DM), family history of pancreatitis, hypercalcemia (due to hyperparathyroidism), hypertriglyceridemia, infection, abdominal injury and pancreatic cancer. There are regional differences observed in demographic distributions of AP. For e.g., ‘Alcohol-Related Pancreatitis’ (ARP) is more common in the West, compared to Asian countries. Prevalence is increased approximately 4-fold among subjects with a history of alcoholism. Alcohol consumption has been steadily increasing in developing countries, such as China and India, due to rapid urbanization and increased affluence, there has been a gradual shift mimicking the western world trend. ARP is more common in men, though gender differences disappear with similar levels of alcohol consumption. AP in women is more likely related to gallstones, procedures like endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP), autoimmune diseases, or idiopathic disease. Common etiologies of AP in pediatric patients include gallstones, medication, and idiopathic cause. Advances in diagnostic imaging, molecular & cell biology and genetics, have broadened the list of possible etiologies. The number of presumed ‘idiopathic’ cases (10-15%) will decrease as our understanding of the disease improves. However, the etiology should be determined as early as possible to allow physicians to choose appropriate treatment strategies.

Since, little is known about the etiological risk factors of AP in Eastern India, the specific aim of this study was to assess current trends etiology of AP in Eastern India.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics
The study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki II declaration and protocol was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC) at KPC Medical College & Hospital, Kolkata, India.

Study design
A retrospective study with cross sectional design was undertaken based on available medical records of patients admit between January 2014 and January 2017 with physician-assigned diagnoses of AP at KPC Medical College & Hospital, Kolkata (n = 234). Patients admit with a physician-assigned diagnosis of AP were enrolled and followed up. Data relating to clinical phenotype, risk factors, diagnostic and therapeutic interventions were noted and analyzed subsequently. Disease severity was assessed by Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) scoring system and available contrast-enhanced computed tomography scan reports (if any).

Multivariate analyses were done to identify risk factors, and distribution was compared on the basis gender. Groups were selected on the basis of a ‘working diagnosis’ (WD). A stratified comparison was done in 3 commonest etiology groups identified: ‘alcohol’, ‘non-alcohol’ and ‘idiopathic’. All patients other than those belonging to ‘alcohol’ or ‘idiopathic’ etiology group were included in a single category of ‘non-alcohol’ group for comparison of demographics, risk factors, symptoms, and phenotypic characteristics. In addition, the prevalence of select ‘risk modifiers’ was identified: tobacco smoking, alcohol use, history of chronic kidney disease. Tobacco exposure was measured as ‘packs per year’ [1 pack = 20 cigarettes].

‘At-risk’ drinking, was identified from TWEAK questionnaire, data, which is a validated measure, defined as a score of 3 or higher, taken during index visit. A composite score (range, 0–7) was calculated based on patient responses, and classified into one of 5 drinking categories: (i) abstainer (no alcohol use or < 20 drinks in lifetime); (ii) light (≤ 0.5 drinks/day); (iii) moderate (> 0.1 drink/day for females, > 0.5 – 2 drinks/day for males); (iv) heavy (> 1 to < 5 drinks/day for females, >2 or < 5 drinks/day for males); and (v) very heavy (≥5 drinks/day for both genders). One ‘standard’ drink was defined as roughly 14 grams of pure alcohol, which is found in 12 ounces of regular beer (5% alcohol); 5 ounces of wine (12% alcohol); 1.5 ounces of distilled spirits (40% alcohol). Details of all documented adverse events (AE) during the study period were reviewed. Severity and causality were assessed using Modified Hartwig and Seigel scale and Naranjo’s Algorithm, respectively.

Statistical analysis
Descriptive analyses were presented as proportions for categorical data and as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or median and interquartile range (IQR) for continuous data, as applicable. Continuous variables were compared using the Student t test, and for categorical data using chi-square test, as applicable. Multivariate analysis was done to identify risk factors. The association between smoking, alcohol, and AP was assessed using multivariable logistic regression analyses. Alcohol consumption (as drinking categories), age (as a continuous variable), sex (male, female), prior history of CP or cholecystectomy, T2 DM, current or maximum BMI (normal/low < 25; overweight: 25 to 29; obese ≥30), and phenotypic features (exocrine insufficiency, endocrine insufficiency, duct dilatation/strictures and calcifications) were kept as covariates. A 2-sided P value < 0.05 was defined as statistically significant. Analysis was performed using SPSS version 21 (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, Illinois).
RESULTS

In total, 234 adult patients were recruited. WD cited in most cases were similar for both genders (p = 0.42). The mean age of patients at the time of enrollment was 44.4 ± 10.8 years; 69.2% were males and 31.6% females. The commonest etiology was attributed to alcohol (29.4%), idiopathic cause (20.5%), and obstructive cause (14.5%). Prevalence of AP was higher with alcoholism in men (37% vs. 10.8%; p < 0.01), however, other causes like idiopathic cause (12.3% vs. 37.8%), duct obstruction (1.2% vs. 43.2%), obesity (6.1% vs. 24.3%), and hyperglycemia (6.1% vs. 14.8%) were higher among females (p < 0.001 for all comparisons). Common obstructive causes included gallstones (20%) and pancreas divisum (9.4%). Other commonly encountered etiologies included: obesity (11.9%), hypertriglyceridemia (8.9%), and hereditary factors (3.4%). Miscellaneous causes included trauma (2.5%), hypercalcemia (1.7%), radiation exposure (0.8%), autoimmune conditions (0.8%) and cystic fibrosis (0.4%). (Table 1)

Trends were observed in subjects were distribution based on etiology groups. While more males were assigned to ‘alcohol’ (88.4%) & ‘non-alcohol’ group (69.2%), the proportion of females in ‘idiopathic’ group was higher (58.3%, p < 0.05). A history of CP was present in majority of subjects (62.3%). Chronic kidney disease was less frequently reported in the ‘idiopathic’ group (6.2%). For most other variables, comparisons were similar between groups. Among patients where the physician had reported ‘alcohol’ as a WD, 69.5% in ‘alcohol’ group were found to have self-reported at-risk drinking (based on TWEAK questionnaire taken during index visit). This was much higher compared to ‘idiopathic’ group (10.4% (p = 0.05)), after controlling for age, sex, BMI, tobacco smoking (OR 1.65; 95% CI, 1.08 –2.52). High prevalence of tobacco smoking was found in the ‘alcohol’ group (78.2%). The prevalence of obesity, defined as a maximum BMI of ≥ 30 were similar across all groups, however, patients in ‘non-alcohol’ group (63.2%) were significantly more overweight, compared to ‘alcohol’ (37.6%, p = 0.032) and ‘idiopathic’ group (45.8%, p = 0.019). Phenotypic characteristics including exocrine and endocrine insufficiency and pancreatico-biliary duct abnormalities were comparable across groups. Such results provided important information on proximal etiologies that could be linked to pancreatic pathologies via unknown mechanism. (Table 2)

Overall, 65.3% patients had a history T2 DM on admission, and about 56.2% were smokers. However, the prevalence of obesity, dyslipidemia, alcohol addiction was relatively lower. Among comorbidities, obesity (odds ratio [OR] 1.37; 95% confidence interval [95% CI], 0.57 – 3.26; p = 0.047) and smoking (OR 1.72; 95% CI, 1.08 –2.97; p = 0.049) had a significant impact on the risk of AP in T2 DM. Among other co-morbidities, alcoholism, hypertriglyceridemia represented a strong impact on the risk of AP, but represented few cases. (Table 3)

Eighteen cases of severe acute pancreatitis were reported, mainly due to: trauma in 6 (2.5%), idiopathic in 6 (2.5%), gallstones in 4 (1.7%), and alcoholism in 2 (0.8%). However, there were no deaths reported, and all patients were treated according to a designed protocol. There were 12 episodes of adverse drug reactions (ADRs) reported, namely, hypoglycemia (9 ADRs of Moderate L3 and 3 ADRs of Moderate L4 (a) in T2 DM patients receiving insulin (mostly pre-mixed insulin), which was averted by decreasing the daily dosage. 8 discrete episodes of gastrointestinal discomfort (6 ADRs of Mild L1 and 2 ADRs of Mild L2).

Table 1: Distribution of Etiologic groups in acute pancreatitis patients (Stratified by gender)

| Working diagnosis       | Total (n=234) | Female (n=74) | Male (n=162) | p value |
|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------|
| Alcohol                 | 69 (29.4)     | 8 (10.8)      | 61 (37.6)    | <0.001  |
| Idiopathic              | 48 (20.5)     | 28 (37.8)     | 20 (12.3)    | <0.001  |
| Non-alcohol             |               |               |              |         |
| Obstructive             | 34 (14.5)     | 32 (43.2)     | 2 (1.2)      | <0.001  |
| Gall stones             | 22 (9.4)      | 20 (27)       | 2 (1.2)      |         |
| Pancreatic divisum      | 9 (3.8)       | 7 (9.4)       | 5 (3)        |         |
| Intraductal papillary neoplasm | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 2 (1.2) |         |
| Sphincter of Oddi dysfunction | 1 (0.4) | 1 (1.3) | 0 (0) |         |
| Obesity                 | 28 (11.9)     | 18 (24.3)     | 10 (6.1)     | <0.001  |
| Hypertriglyceridemia    | 21 (8.9)      | 11 (14.8)     | 10 (6.1)     |         |
| Hereditary              | 8 (3.4)       | 3 (4)         | 5 (3)        |         |
| Miscellaneous           |               |               |              |         |
| Trauma                  | 6 (2.5)       | 1 (1.3)       | 5 (3)        |         |
| Hypercalcemia           | 4 (1.7)       | 1 (1.3)       | 3 (1.8)      |         |
| Radiation               | 2 (0.8)       | 0 (0)         | 2 (1.2)      |         |
| Auto-immune condition   | 2 (0.8)       | 1 (1.3)       | 1 (0.6)      |         |
| Cystic fibrosis         | 1 (0.4)       | 0 (0)         | 1 (0.6)      |         |
| Miscellaneous           | 11 (4.7)      | 4 (5.4)       | 42 (25.9)    |         |

Data are presented as n (%) except where otherwise indicated. P <0.05 statistically significant.
due to Metformin-induced AP (dose >1gm/day), was recorded mostly in patients with chronic kidney disease. However, none of the ADRs were severe and had an uneventful course. Among all the ADRs (n =20), 6 ADRs were of 'possible' category, and 2 were of 'probable' category on the causality assessment scale.

**DISCUSSION**

Our study observe interesting etiologic profile patterns in AP. Compared to a previous study at All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), New Delhi\(^23\), we recorded a higher prevalence of AP in Eastern India (234 patients recorded in 36 months, average of 6 patients per month). Although gallstones have been implicated as a predominant cause of AP in many studies\(^24-27\), majority cases in our study were alcohol-related. However, in a large number of cases were associated with 'idiopathic' etiology. There are several potential explanations for such a trend. First, patients who are actively drinking in urban areas are more likely to seek a referral, than patients who are referred from potential explanations for such a trend. First, patients who are actively drinking in urban areas are more likely to seek a referral, than patients who are referred from
study design with regular follow-up could have analyzed for diagnostic trends; (v) physician working diagnosis are susceptible to the extent of diagnostic evaluation during enrollment and to individual physician biases; (vi) use of tests to measure serum amylase, which detect milder cases of AP could also result in over-diagnosis; (vii) physician interpretation of alcohol related pancreatitis may be variable; (viii) results were probably conservative, given that subjects with ‘pre-diabetes’ may have been included in the non-diabetic cohort.

CONCLUSION

Alcohol has become the predominant etiological risk factor for acute pancreatitis in Eastern India recently. Gender and type 2 diabetes mellitus are important determinants in etiology of acute pancreatitis in Eastern India. Future studies may explain environmental and genetic interactions modifying disease development.
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