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Three point mutations (A30P, E46K, and A53T) as well as gene triplication genetically link the 140-residue protein \(\alpha\)-synuclein (aS) to the development of Parkinson disease. Here, the structure and dynamics of micelle-bound aS(A30P) and aS(A53T) are described and compared with wild-type aS, in addition to describing the aS-micelle interaction. A3S is sensed only by directly adjacent residues and leaves the backbone structure and dynamics indistinguishable from the wild type. A30P interrupts one helix turn (Val26–Ala29) and destabilizes the preceding one. A shift in helix register following A30P disturbs the canonical succession of polar and hydrophobic residues for at least two turns. The shortened helix-N adopts a slightly higher helical content and is less bent, indicating that strain was present in the micelle-bound helix. In the vicinity of the A30P-induced perturbations, the underlying micelle environment has rearranged, but nevertheless all aS variants maintain similar interrelationships with the micelle. Moreover, aS-micelle immersion correlates well with fast and slow aS backbone dynamics, allowing a rare insight into protein-micelle interplay.

Parkinson disease (PD)3 is characterized by the selective demise of neurons of the substantia nigra pars compacta, leading to progressive motoric dysfunction (1–3). Cell death occurs as a result of the accumulation of intraneuronal inclusions known as Lewy bodies (ubiquitinated protein deposits in the cytoplasm) and Lewy neurites (thread-like proteinaceous inclusions within neurites). Biochemical and histological analyses have identified the 140-residue protein \(\alpha\)-synuclein (aS) to be a major component of Lewy bodies and Lewy neurites (4, 5). Furthermore, aS gene triplication as well as any of the mutations A30P, E46K, and A53T have been genetically linked to familial PD (6–9). At the molecular level, misfolding of aS into aggregates appears to be a common denominator in the pathogenesis of PD, which strongly correlates with age. Misfolding benefits from the impaired degradation of aS (10) and, in particular, oxidation of aS by reactive oxygen species (11, 12) created by impairments in mitochondrial complex I activity (11), caused, for example, by environmental toxins, and catalyzed by iron and copper. Moreover, the dopamine-containing neurons of the substantia nigra pars compacta exhibit a unique sensitivity to impairments in mitochondrial complex I activity (11).

In aqueous solution aS is predominantly unfolded but readily associates with small unilamellar vesicles (SUV) and micelles containing negatively charged lipids and detergents, respectively (13–16), supporting its association with presynaptic vesicles in vivo and rationalizing its localization primarily at axon termini (17, 18). In complex with SUV of 300–400 Å diameter, the repeat region of aS (Fig. 1) is likely to form a single, uninterrupted \(\alpha\)-helix (19), whereas in complex with smaller diameter micelles the repeat region is partitioned into two anti-parallel \(\alpha\)-helices, helix-N (Val1–Val15) and helix-C (Lys55–Thr59), with no detectable tertiary contacts, connected by a well ordered linker (20). The C-terminal tail of aS remains at all times free in solution, preceded, at least in the micelle-bound state, by a short extended region (Gly93–Lys95) (15, 16, 20). The one or more exact physiological functions of aS are still under investigation, but aS may be relevant for synaptic plasticity and neurotransmitter release (13, 21–24) in good agreement with the impact of aS binding on SUV or micelles (14, 20, 25, 26).

In aqueous solution aS can be induced to aggregate (27, 28), and Val71–Val82 appear important in this respect (29). In the presence of certain lipid environments, which also induce \(\alpha\)-helical structure, aggregation kinetics is accelerated even further (30–32). Moreover, in aqueous solution all three aS PD variants (A30P, E46K, and A53T) aggregate faster than wild-type aS (27, 30, 33–35). For the aS(A53T) variant a consensus exists that this mutation does not affect vesicle binding or aS helical content (36–38). The just recently identified aS(E49K) variant enhances liposome binding (34). In contrast, for the aS(A30P) variant lipid binding is decreased, although reports vary from only relatively small decreases (36, 37) to pronounced effects (18, 38).

For the pathogenesis of PD and related synucleopathies, a number of factors may synergistically contribute, based also on the overall genetic make-up and environmental factors of individuals. Of course, it is exceedingly difficult to comprehensively address PD pathogenesis and the current study merely characterizes the effects of the A30P and A53T substitutions on the structure and dynamics of wild-type aS when bound to micelles. As the here-employed solution NMR spectroscopy is limited by particle size, only micelle- rather than vesicle-bound aS can be studied. However, both A30P and A53T mutations lie within the two helices of micelle-bound aS that bear close structural resemblance to the vesicle-bound state (20), and the reported results are therefore expected to be relevant for the vesicle-bound state, too. Indeed, the vesicle-binding properties of the PD variants are readily rationalized by our findings. Examination of altered protein-micelle interactions between the different aS variants as well as delineation of their immersion into the micelle provides additional information on the effect of these mutations at the molecular level.
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 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Protein Production—The human α-synuclein variants A30P and A53T were expressed from the kanamycin-restricted, T7lac-promoter-controlled pET-41 vector (Novagen) in Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) cells. M9 minimal medium (39) cultures, containing 7H, 13C, and 15N enriched precursors, were grown, and the α-s variants were purified to >98% purity as described for wild-type α-s (20).

NMR Sample Preparation—Unless stated otherwise, all samples were prepared in H2O to contain α-s at a concentration of 0.5 mM (ε280 = 5120 m−1 cm−1), 75 mM SDS, 6% D2O, 0.02% (w/v) NaN3, and 20 mM NaH2PO4/Na2HPO4, pH 7.4, in a total volume of 270 μL. Besides isotropic samples, alignment of the protein-micelle complex relative to the magnetic field was achieved in the presence of stretched, negatively charged polyacrylamide gels (40, 41). Gels were polymerized from a 4.6% w/v solution of acrylamide, 2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid (AMPS), and bisacrylamide with a monomer to cross-linker ratio of 39:1 (w/w) and a molar ratio of 96:4 of acrylamide to AMPS, employing the previously described conditions and conventions (20).

NMR Spectroscopy—All experiments were carried out at 25 °C on Bruker spectrometers operating at 1H frequencies of 600 and 800 MHz, equipped with cryogenic probes. Data were processed and analyzed with the NMRPipe package (42) and the program Xeasy (43). Throughout most experiments, the TROSY N–H component was selected (44, 45), and the solvent signal was returned to +I0 before acquisition (46, 47). 1H, 13C, and 15N assignments were made from HNCA, HN(C)CA, HN(CO)CA, HNCO, HN(CA)CO, and HSQC-NOESY-TROSY experiments.

H–D and H–N NOEs were measured from HSQC-NOESY-TROSY experiments (τmix = 170 ms). 1JHN–13CHC, 1JHN–15CN, and 1JHN–13CD NOE 1JHN–13CD–15CN+1DCN couplings were determined from mixed-constant time, H–D-coupled HNCO (48), quantitative J-correlation HN(CA)CO (49), and quantitative J-correlation HNCO experiments (50) of isotropic and aligned samples, respectively. The 1H–N relaxation parameters R1, R2, and [1H]–15NOE were determined at 60.8 MHz (51, 52). For the [1H]–15NOE measurement, 5 s of presaturation preceded by a recycling delay of 4 s were used for the NOE experiment and a 9-s recycle delay for the reference experiment.

Structure Calculation—In complete analogy to the α-s wild-type structure calculation (20), backbone dihedral angle restraints were derived by molecular fragment replacement (MFR) (53, 54). Considering that large amplitude internal dynamics complicates such an analysis, MFR results are restricted to residues exhibiting generalized order parameters, S2, above 0.6. The remaining residues are represented by random-coil conformations. A fragment length of seven residues was used during MFR (20). For the αs(A30P) variant, 278 N, Cα, and C′ chemical shifts and 261 1DNH–13DCαC, and 1DCαC–13DCRN RDCs were used; for the αs(A53T) variant these numbers were also 278 and 261, respectively. H–D and H–N internuclear distances were quantified from H–D and H–N NOE values using an empirical 1/r−4 dependence (55) for the ratio of diagonal-to cross-peak intensities, calibrated to a H–D distance of 2.8 Å within α-helical conformation. Although MFR yields well defined local geometry for structured regions that are mobile with respect to the main part of the protein, such mobility typically results in a reduction in magnitude of the local alignment tensor, Dv. Backbone torsion angles can therefore be obtained for the ordered part of the protein (S2 > 0.6), but the relative orientation of structural elements with much reduced Dv values cannot be defined accurately by RDCs. Consequently, during structure calculations a global alignment tensor and RDCs were only used for residues within fragments exhibiting Dv > 6 Hz and S2 > 0.8 (residues 9–21, 57–63, and 70–80 for A30P; residues 11–22, 50–62, and 71–79 for A53T). Based on essentially indistinguishable relative orientations of the N- and C-terminal helices from those previously observed in wild-type α-s, the same interhelix distance restraints previously measured for wild-type α-s on the basis of paramagnetic relaxation enhancements (20) were used in the structure calculations of A30P and A53T. Simulated annealing calculations were carried out using the program Xplor-NIH 2.9.5 (56), using a temperature gradient from 500 to 0 K, in the presence of empirical potentials of mean force for backbone-backbone hydrogen-bonding (57) and torsion angles (58). The energy-minimized average structures of αs(A30P) and αs(A53T), respectively, were calculated from the ensemble of twenty lowest energy structures.

SDS-protein Cross-relaxation Effects—The availability of deuterated wild-type α-s and PD variants was used as an opportunity to detect cross-relaxation between protein backbone 1H–H nuclei and the SDS protons in a straightforward manner. The ratio of H–N cross-peak intensities from TROSY spectra recorded with and without selective presaturation of a particular SDS resonance, I/I0, was quantified. Experiments were recorded in an interleaved manner, and 15N Boltzmann magnetization was eliminated by phase cycling. SDS exhibits four well resolved 1H signals, corresponding to (CH2)1, (CH2)2, (CH2)3–11, and (CH2)12 (cf. the Spectral Data base for Organic Compounds, SDBS 2985HSP-46-269), which can be selectively irradiated. The employed presaturation (500 ms, γsB1 = 22 Hz) of, for example, (CH2)3–11 attenuated the signal intensities of neighboring resonances (CH2)1, (CH2)2, and (CH2)12 by 25%, 15, and 40%, respectively. To assess any contribution from residual carbon-bonded protein protons, I/I0 was also measured using deuterated SDS with presaturation of (CH2)3–11. An essentially random fluctuation with I/I0 = 0.995 ± 0.009 was obtained (supplemental Fig. S1), confirming the validity of the current approach.

SDS Titration—Titrations as a function of SDS concentration were performed in 20 mM NaH2PO4/Na2HPO4, pH 7.4, 6% D2O at 25 °C and 800 MHz. At each titration point a TROSY-HSQC spectrum was recorded with t1max(15N) = 145 ms, t2max(HH) = 114 ms, using final digital resolutions of 1.7 and 2.2 Hz/point, respectively. For both αs(A30P) and αs(A53T), starting protein concentrations were 0.2 mM. A total of 11 titration steps were performed for each sample, from molar αs/SDS ratios of 1.0 to 1:422. The first titration step was chosen at 2.8 mM SDS (αs/SDS = 1:14), just above its estimated critical micelle concentration of 2.6 mM (59). Between the titration steps corresponding to αs/SDS ratios of 1:14 and 1:43, complex spectral properties are obtained for resonances of the repeat region (20). Between αs/SDS ratios of 1:58
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and 1:422, peak positions change relatively little and assignments follow directly from those made at aS:SDS = 1:150.

RESULTS

Structure and Dynamics of aS(A53T) Are Essentially Indistinguishable from Wild-type aS—Chemical shifts of nuclei are sensitive probes of local structure and, in particular, differences in chemical shifts are highly sensitive indicators for differences in structure. Because each nucleus provides a unique reporter site, differences in structure between two proteins can be compared at numerous sites. Here, the chemical shift, δ, of the backbone amide proton (\(1^H\)) and the secondary shift of the \(13^C\) nucleus for each amino acid residue are compared between the aS(A53T) variant and wild-type aS. The \(1^H\) chemical shift depends on the immediate chemical environment, including both local geometry and hydrogen bonding (60–62). \(13^C\) shifts depend most strongly on the backbone torsion angles φ and ψ (63), and the difference between the experimental \(13^C\) shift and tabulated random-coil values yields readily interpretable structural information.

Detectable \(1^H\) shift differences between aS(A53T) and aS extend over approximately ±8 amino acid residues from the site of mutation (Fig. 2A). In agreement with the local \(1^H\)-helical secondary structure, the most pronounced \(1^H\) shift changes are observed for the \(1^H\) nuclei closest to the new side chain of residue 53, in particular those in positions −3, +3, and +4 from the site of mutation (Fig. 2A). Except for residues 53 and 54, \(13^C\) secondary shifts in aS(A53T) and aS are essentially the same (Fig. 2B). Small increases in \(13^C\) secondary shift for Thr53 and Thr54 in the mutant suggest the adoption of slightly higher local helical character compared with wild-type aS. However, when calculating the structure of the aS(A53T) variant, it was found to be indistinguishable from wild-type aS, as expected on the basis of the very similar chemical shifts for the remaining residues, and therefore warrants no further discussion.

The backbone dynamics of the aS(A53T) variant and wild-type aS are compared on two timescales. On a fast timescale (picosecond-nanosecond), the amplitude of the fluctuations of H–N bond vector orientations are reported by the generalized order parameter, \(S^2\), which ranges from...
0 to 1 (64). Low values, such as those observed for the C-terminal tail of aS (Fig. 2C), report unstructured regions and vice versa. On an intermediate timescale (nanosecond-millisecond) the fluctuations of entire segments of backbone structure are reflected in the observed local alignment in an anisotropic medium (65–68), quantified here by the alignment tensor magnitude, $D_a$, derived from backbone $^{15}N$ relaxation analysis using an isotropic model (79). In the presence of the unfolded tail of aS, an isotropic model was found to reproduce the relaxation data well (data not shown). If only residues in the structured region are considered, for which relaxation parameters could be extracted for all three examined aS forms (54 residues), rotational correlation times, $\tau_\rho$, of 15.1 ± 0.1 ns and 16.0 ± 0.1 ns are obtained for aS(A30P) and wild-type aS, respectively. Statistical errors in $D_a$ are smaller than the data symbols. $D_a$ values are available for this region. To compensate for a slight variation in the alignment strength of the employed aligning media, the $D_a$ values of aS(A30P) have been uniformly scaled to obtain a match with wild-type aS for the average $D_a$ value of fragments 10–20. The root-mean-square deviation of $D_a$ values for the ten best MFR fragments is shown as an error bar for selected, representative fragments.

**The A30P Substitution Significantly Perturbs Wild-type aS Structure and Dynamics**—The A30P substitution causes backbone $^1H^N$ chemical shift changes that extend well over ±30 residues from the site of mutation, into the helix-helix connector and helix-C (Fig. 3A). The largest $^1H^N$ shift changes are detected for Lys$^{23}$–Glu$^{28}$ and Gly$^{31}$–Glu$^{35}$. The conformational space of a proline-preceding residue is restricted to extended conformations (69, 70) and, as anticipated, the largest difference in $^{13}C^\alpha$ secondary shifts is seen for the residue immediately preceding Pro$^{30}$, namely Ala$^{29}$ (Fig. 3B). Even larger, negative $^{13}C^\alpha$ secondary shifts are also seen for the residues preceding the five prolines in the C-terminal tail of aS. The region of Val$^{37}$–Thr$^{44}$, i.e. up to the beginning of helix-C, shows the largest increases in $^{13}C^\alpha$ secondary shift, by ∼0.5 ppm, whereas the $^1H^N$ shift changes in this region are moderate. Interestingly, for Glu$^2$–Thr$^2$ the $^{13}C^\alpha$ secondary chemical shifts are slightly, but systematically elevated (Fig. 3B), indicating a very small increase in...
propensity before helix-C commences (Fig. 3B), whose $^{13}$C$^\alpha$ secondary shifts are indistinguishable from the wild type, as also applies for the mostly unstructured C-terminal tail.

The unusually strong intermediate timescale dynamics of the $\alpha$-micelle system make the structure calculation of the aS(A30P) variant particularly difficult. Although information on the secondary structure elements present was obtained by MFR (53, 54), it did not prove accurate for defining the complex tertiary structure of residues Glu$^{28}$–Thr$^{44}$. Thus, only an illustrative picture of the effective, average secondary structure of aS(A30P) can be given (Fig. 4), which fails to accurately define the tertiary structure of Glu$^{28}$–Thr$^{44}$. The two helices of micelle-bound aS(A30P) are again found in an anti-parallel orientation. As a consequence of the A30P substitution, helix-N (Val$^{1}$–Val$^{37}$) terminates at Ala$^{29}$ instead of Val$^{37}$, but Asp$^{2}$ is now also classified as $\alpha$-helical (71) and Asp$^{2}$–Ala$^{27}$ are referred to as helix-N$'$. The isomerization state of Pro$^{30}$ is $trans$ and is well defined, with no minor resonances visible for residues in the vicinity of Pro$^{30}$. This contrast to some of the prolines in the $C$-terminal tail of aS, where minor conformers indicative of cis peptide bonds are clearly present (data not shown). The radius-of-curvature ($R_\psi$) of helix-N$'$ is 133 Å, and this helix is considerably less curved than Val$^{1}$–Ala$^{27}$ of helix-N of the wild-type, which exhibits an $R_\psi$ of 89 Å. The number of residues per turn, which is rather insensitive to helix curvature (72), is found to be $3.60 \pm 0.06$ for helix-N$'$ versus $3.63 \pm 0.10$ for Val$^{1}$–Ala$^{27}$ of helix-N in wild-type aS. Helix-C (Lys$^{35}$–Thr$^{23}$) exhibits its very similar, strong curvature in aS(A30P) and wild-type aS, with radii of curvature of 45 Å and 41 Å, respectively. For reasons already discussed for the aS(A53T) variant, MFR results are not unique for the transition from helix-C into the following extended tail region of aS (Fig. 3D). However, as there are no chemical shift changes in this region between aS(A30P) and the wild-type protein (Fig. 3, A and B), any structural changes can be safely excluded and the MFR fragments of the wild type, which have been selected using a larger number of restraints (20), are used for this region.

The Micelle Rearranges Slightly in Response to the A30P Mutation—The structure of wild-type aS in complex with a SDS micelle as well as the amino acid sequence of aS itself suggest that protein-micelle complex formation, and concomitant aS structuring from random-coil secondary structure, which have been selected using a larger number of restraints (20), are used for this region.

Another important consequence of the Pro-induced, extended conformation of Ala$^{29}$ is an ensuing shift in register of the amphiphilic helix by (at least) one (Fig. 4). This brings the canonical succession of residues to contact the micelle surface out of order, i.e. the succession of hydrophobic and polar residues is disturbed (Fig. 1) and seems responsible for not seeing higher $^{13}$C$^\alpha$ secondary chemical shifts compared with wild-type aS before Val$^{37}$ (Fig. 3B). The residues in Val$^{37}$–Leu-Phe-Val-Gly$^{41}$ are all hydrophobic and as such not overly sensitive to a shift in helix register, again permitting better defined helical conformation. However, interestingly, the boundary of helix-C does not change to incorporate one or two more turns of helix. Rather, as was also seen in wild-type aS, the more hydrophilic residues Lys$^{33}$–Thr$^{44}$ clearly interrupt helical

FIGURE 4. Structure of micelle-bound aS(A30P). A and B, ribbon diagrams illustrating the effective average secondary structures of aS(A30P). The complex tertiary structure between the two helices (Glu$^{28}$–Thr$^{44}$) could not be defined accurately (see main text). The dynamically disordered C-terminal tail has been omitted. The graphics were generated using PyMOL (W. L. DeLano (2002), www.pymol.org, DeLano Scientific, San Carlos, CA).
of aS at aS:SDS = 1:150, for the different aS-micelle complexes are with 16.0 ± 0.1, 15.8 ± 0.1, and 15.1 ± 0.1 ns for wild-type aS, aS(A53T), and aS(A30P), respectively, rather similar. The τr reports on the (effective) overall particle size and, thus, the τr values show that the effects of the A30P and A53T substitutions on the micelle size are small. The small difference in τr of aS(A30P) compared with wild-type aS and aS(A53T) is noteworthy, but because the hydrodynamic properties and shape of the aS-micelle particle are strongly influenced by the highly acidic, dynamically unstructured tail of aS, no quantitative interpretation of the small differences in τr values can be provided.

To now detect subtle changes in micelle shape from the viewpoint of aS, the different sensitivities of 1H NMR chemical shifts and 13C chemical shifts to structural changes are exploited. The absence of a change in 13C secondary shift in the presence of a change in 1H chemical shift at a given residue indicates alterations in the "outside" structural environment, including side-chain conformation, rather than the backbone. As discussed above, for the aS(A53T) variant the 1H chemical shift differences to wild-type aS (Fig. 2A) can be attributed to the presence of the new Thr side chain. For the aS(A30P) variant, helix-C exhibits readily detectable 1H shift changes without concomitant 13C secondary shift changes (Fig. 3, A and B). The long-range nature of the 1H shift changes, up to residue 70, cannot be explained by a propagation of A30P-induced structural changes along the protein backbone, but rather must arise through a subtle change in the interaction with the underlying micelle environment, i.e. a slight rearrangement of detergent molecules, resulting from the structural and dynamic perturbations created by A30P (Figs. 3 and 4). As a consequence of the anti-parallel arrangement of helix-N and -C, only the beginning of helix-C shows 1H shift changes, whereas after residue 70 no shift changes are observed (Fig. 3A).

The chemical shift changes shown in Figs. 2 and 3 are obtained at a molar aS:SDS ratio of 1:150. It is of some interest to confirm the long-range nature of 1HN chemical shifts and 13C secondary shifts to structural changes are exploited. The absence of a change in 13C secondary shift in the presence of a change in 1H chemical shift at a given residue indicates alterations in the "outside" structural environment, including side-chain conformation, rather than the backbone. As discussed above, for the aS(A53T) variant the 1H chemical shift differences to wild-type aS (Fig. 2A) can be attributed to the presence of the new Thr side chain. For the aS(A30P) variant, helix-C exhibits readily detectable 1H shift changes without concomitant 13C secondary shift changes (Fig. 3, A and B). The long-range nature of the 1H shift changes, up to residue 70, cannot be explained by a propagation of A30P-induced structural changes along the protein backbone, but rather must arise through a subtle change in the interaction with the underlying micelle environment, i.e. a slight rearrangement of detergent molecules, resulting from the structural and dynamic perturbations created by A30P (Figs. 3 and 4). As a consequence of the anti-parallel arrangement of helix-N and -C, only the beginning of helix-C shows 1H shift changes, whereas after residue 70 no shift changes are observed (Fig. 3A).

The chemical shift changes shown in Figs. 2 and 3 are obtained at a molar aS:SDS ratio of 1:150. It is of some interest to confirm the long-range nature of 1HN chemical shifts and 13C chemical shifts to structural changes are exploited. The absence of a change in 13C secondary shift in the presence of a change in 1H chemical shift at a given residue indicates alterations in the "outside" structural environment, including side-chain conformation, rather than the backbone. As discussed above, for the aS(A53T) variant the 1H chemical shift differences to wild-type aS (Fig. 2A) can be attributed to the presence of the new Thr side chain. For the aS(A30P) variant, helix-C exhibits readily detectable 1H shift changes without concomitant 13C secondary shift changes (Fig. 3, A and B). The long-range nature of the 1H shift changes, up to residue 70, cannot be explained by a propagation of A30P-induced structural changes along the protein backbone, but rather must arise through a subtle change in the interaction with the underlying micelle environment, i.e. a slight rearrangement of detergent molecules, resulting from the structural and dynamic perturbations created by A30P (Figs. 3 and 4). As a consequence of the anti-parallel arrangement of helix-N and -C, only the beginning of helix-C shows 1H shift changes, whereas after residue 70 no shift changes are observed (Fig. 3A).

The chemical shift changes shown in Figs. 2 and 3 are obtained at a molar aS:SDS ratio of 1:150. It is of some interest to confirm the long-range nature of 1HN chemical shifts and 13C chemical shifts to structural changes are exploited. The absence of a change in 13C secondary shift in the presence of a change in 1H chemical shift at a given residue indicates alterations in the "outside" structural environment, including side-chain conformation, rather than the backbone. As discussed above, for the aS(A53T) variant the 1H chemical shift differences to wild-type aS (Fig. 2A) can be attributed to the presence of the new Thr side chain. For the aS(A30P) variant, helix-C exhibits readily detectable 1H shift changes without concomitant 13C secondary shift changes (Fig. 3, A and B). The long-range nature of the 1H shift changes, up to residue 70, cannot be explained by a propagation of A30P-induced structural changes along the protein backbone, but rather must arise through a subtle change in the interaction with the underlying micelle environment, i.e. a slight rearrangement of detergent molecules, resulting from the structural and dynamic perturbations created by A30P (Figs. 3 and 4). As a consequence of the anti-parallel arrangement of helix-N and -C, only the beginning of helix-C shows 1H shift changes, whereas after residue 70 no shift changes are observed (Fig. 3A).

The aS Micelle Immersion Varies and Correlates with Structural and Dynamic aS Parameters—The structural and dynamic parameters of micelle-bound aS vary significantly along the sequence (Figs. 2, 3, and 5). To what extent can this be rationalized by local differences in micelle immersion? Within the deuterated protein environment of aS, its micelle immersion is most readily studied by examining cross-relaxation effects between the aS backbone 1H nuclei and the different SDS 1H nuclei. Out of the two hydrocarbons of SDS three give well resolved 1H signals, (CH3)1, (CH2)2, and (CH2)12, whereas the remaining nine, (CH2)11, coincide (data not shown), thus providing the opportunity to study aS-micelle immersion at four different positions/regions along the SDS tail. As a measure of cross-relaxation effects, the ratio of the N−H signal intensity, of each aS residue in the presence and absence of selective presaturation of a particular SDS signal, I/I0, is evaluated.

Effects following presaturation of (CH3)11 are most pronounced, whereas for (CH2)12 irradiation the smallest variation in I/I0 ratios is observed (Fig. 6 and supplemental Fig. S4). Substantial effects from (CH2)1 or (CH2)2 irradiation are rare, but distinct (Fig. 6). To illustrate the immersion pattern, the I/I0 ratios for cross-relaxation originating on (CH2)11 are shown color-coded on the average structure of wild-type aS embedded in a putative ellipsoid micelle (Fig. 7). With the exception of the second half of helix-N, the structure can be placed within an ideal prolate ellipsoid micelle to satisfactorily explain the I/I0 ratios. The apparent absence of significant micelle immersion for the second half of helix-N is in agreement with the absence of significant chemical shift changes of this region upon populating a single micelle from higher order complexes (Fig. 5). Moreover, Ala40−Val57 of helix-N exhibit reduced helical character, relatively low order parameters (Fig. 3C), and fast exchange of their 1H nuclei with the solvent (20), indicating their proximity to the solvent layer of the micelle. A small deformation of an ideal ellipsoid micelle near the second half of helix-N can account for these structural and dynamic properties. In addition, this region, together with the helix-helix connector, is highly dynamic on the intermediate timescale, demonstrating a highly dynamic environment for entire secondary structure elements and suggesting that any deforma-
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Effects following presaturation of (CH3)11 are most pronounced, whereas for (CH2)12 irradiation the smallest variation in I/I0 ratios is observed (Fig. 6 and supplemental Fig. S4). Substantial effects from (CH2)1 or (CH2)2 irradiation are rare, but distinct (Fig. 6). To illustrate the immersion pattern, the I/I0 ratios for cross-relaxation originating on (CH2)11 are shown color-coded on the average structure of wild-type aS embedded in a putative ellipsoid micelle (Fig. 7). With the exception of the second half of helix-N, the structure can be placed within an ideal prolate ellipsoid micelle to satisfactorily explain the I/I0 ratios. The apparent absence of significant micelle immersion for the second half of helix-N is in agreement with the absence of significant chemical shift changes of this region upon populating a single micelle from higher order complexes (Fig. 5). Moreover, Ala40−Val57 of helix-N exhibit reduced helical character, relatively low order parameters (Fig. 3C), and fast exchange of their 1H nuclei with the solvent (20), indicating their proximity to the solvent layer of the micelle. A small deformation of an ideal ellipsoid micelle near the second half of helix-N can account for these structural and dynamic properties. In addition, this region, together with the helix-helix connector, is highly dynamic on the intermediate timescale, demonstrating a highly dynamic environment for entire secondary structure elements and suggesting that any deformation of the micelle would be highly dynamic, too. Gin52−Ala69 and
Gly84–Ser87 also readily exchange with the solvent (20) and exhibit pronounced fast timescale dynamics (Fig. 3C) in excellent agreement with their relatively high I/I₀ ratios (Fig. 6A) and placement relative to the micelle (Fig. 7). In conclusion, the micelle immersion of aS correlates particularly well with fast and slow aS backbone dynamics as well as SDS titration data, demonstrating their intimate interrelatedness and a high degree of self-consistency of our data. In passing, it is noted that the residues of helix-C that are close to the micelle surface (Fig. 7) are particularly well suited for the attachment of paramagnetic tags.

The rare effects from (CH₂)₁ or (CH₂)₂ irradiation are interesting in their own right. They mostly occur when the protein backbone tends to surface from within deeper regions represented by (CH₂)₃–₁₁ (Fig. 6). Within a putative ellipsoid micelle (Fig. 7), the orientation of the hydrocarbon tails of SDS relative to each other will vary widely, which may contribute to some of the smaller variations in I/I₀ ratios and differences between (CH₂)₁, (CH₂)₂, and (CH₂)₃–₁₁ (Fig. 6). The I/I₀ pattern following (CH₂)₃–₁₁ irradiation is overall complementary to effects obtained when adding paramagnetic ions directly to a solution of micelle-bound aS (74). Slightly larger variations to the current data are apparent when adding spin-labeled stereates to the micelle itself (73).

Between wild-type aS and the PD variants the obtained I/I₀ ratios are, within experimental errors, quite similar (Fig. 6 and supplemental Fig. S5). The aS(A30P) variant shows only slight differences to the other two forms in the vicinity of the site of mutation. The immersion pattern of Val37–Thr44 is interesting in this context: the sequence Val37-Leu-Phe-Val40 submerges before Ser42-Lys-Thr44 resurfaces again, following their hydrophobic and hydrophilic natures, respectively. For aS(A30P) this pattern seems more pronounced than for the wild type, suggesting that the A30P-induced helix break allows slightly more favorable interactions with the detergent molecules in this region. But overall the A30P substitution takes place in a region that is not deeply immersed into the micelle in the first place (Fig. 6), and the long range effects on the chemical shifts (Figs. 3A and 5) suggest that the micelle rearranges to optimize the immersion of aS(A30P).

**DISCUSSION**

For wild-type aS, we previously showed that the chemical shifts and thereby the structure of the protein are relatively insensitive to the type of detergent used (anionic SDS versus zwitterionic dodecyl phosphocholine) (20), and the favorable aS spectral characteristics observed in SDS are therefore used in the present comparison of aS with its PD mutants. In the micelle- or vesicle-bound states of wild-type aS, the side chain of Ala53 points “sideways” from the aS helix, i.e. parallel to the micelle or vesicle surface (20). As expected for such an orientation, the backbone structure and dynamics of the aS Parkinson disease (PD) variant A53T are found to be virtually unchanged from the wild type. The presence of the new Thr side chain is reflected in backbone amide proton (1HN) chemical shift changes for residues in positions 1₁₀₀⁻₁₁, 1₁₁₀⁻₁₁, and 1₁₂₀⁻₁₁ from the site of mutation, characteristic of /H9₂₅₁α-helical conformation.

The A53T substitution has no adverse effect on helix stability, neither on a sub-nanosecond nor on a nano- to microsecond timescale. In fact, increased ¹³C⁻H secondary shifts relative to wild type for Thr⁻³⁵-Thr⁻⁵⁴ indicate a small increase in local helical character at the site of mutation. This observation is interesting in light of the fact that a substitution of Thr for Ala is often a destabilizing /H9₂₅₁α-helical conformation (77). Within a helix, the /Branchched side chain of Thr is restricted to trans conformations: an entropic cost that is not present for Ala. A favorable enthalpic interaction attained for the Thr side chain in the context of the
micelle environment must be compensating for the entropic cost of A53T.

The side chain of Ala30 in wild-type αS also points sideways, but in the αS(A30P) variant the substitution to Pro impacts the backbone. It restricts the conformational space available to the preceding residue, Ala29, which directly faces the micelle surface in wild-type αS, to extended conformations (69–78), implying the loss of two intrahelical hydrogen bonds (78). Although certain combinations of X-Pro residues can form a favorable C-terminal helix cap, Ala-Pro is not one of them (70). Thus, based on arguments for helices of globular proteins, the A30P substitution will lead to an interruption and destabilization of helix-N (Val1–Val40). On the other hand, in micelle-bound wild-type αS, residues Ala30–Val37 already exhibit a lower helical character than helix-C or the other residues of helix-N, and the helices are significantly bent (20). An A30P-induced helix break therefore provides an opportunity for helix-N to relieve curvature strain, and for the residues following Pro30 to repartition and even to shift the boundary to helix-C (Lys45–Thr50).

The C-terminal tail and helix-C of αS(A30P) present themselves essentially indistinguishable from the wild type. The impact of A30P reaches the boundary to helix-C, as judged by 1H14 and 13C1 chemical shift changes relative to the wild type, but, interestingly, leaves it unchanged. An important factor for this behavior may be the hydrophathicities of the residues preceding helix-C. The hydrophobic stretch Val37–Val40 is found to immerse distinctly into the micelle, and its RDCs are compatible with helical conformation, whereas the hydrophilic stretch Ser42–Thr44 surfaces again and its RDCs are indicative of extended conformation. The residues immediately following A30P up to Gly36 are highly dynamic but exhibit a tendency toward helix formation, which may enable the, on average, α-helical conformation of Val37–Val40, which contrasts with the wild-type structure (20). The shortened helix-N* starts at Glu 46 and terminates at Ala27; Glu46 and in particular Ala28 are "pushed" toward extended conformations by the ring of Pro30 (78), which faces the micelle. Residues Asp2–Thr22 benefit from the A30P-induced helix break by adopting a slightly, but systematically, higher helical content, as shown by slightly higher 15N chemical shift changes relative to the wild type, whereas the remainder of helix-N (Lys23–Ala27) is destabilized as reflected by its dynamic parameters caused by the A30P substitution. Helix-N* is only slightly bent (radius of curvature Rc = 133 Å), particularly compared with helix-N of the wild-type (Rc = 76 Å, or 89 Å when only considering Val3–Ala27), indicating that helix strain was present in helix-N. This finding agrees with the notion that αS prefers to bind to vesicles of certain diameters (14 and, thus, will preferentially stabilize these vesicles, which may relate to the possible physiological functions of αS (13, 21–24).

The here reported structure and dynamics of micelle-bound αS and the PD variants αS(A30P) and αS(A53T) provide a structural basis for their vesicle-binding properties. For αS(A53T) no significant structural and dynamic changes compared with the wild-type are detected, in agreement with the absence of changes in vesicle binding (36–38). The interruption of one helix turn, destabilization of another one, and the register shift following the A30P substitution create a larger perturbation. However, given the many hydrophobic contacts (1 every 3.6 residues) and at least 9 lysine-detergent headgroup contacts, these disturbances are still relatively small, supporting only small perturbations in vesicle binding (36, 37). The third PD mutation, E46K, increases the ability of αS to bind to negatively charged liposomes (34). This result is readily rationalized based on the micelle-bound αS structure (20): Glu46 points sideways from helix-C, and E46K positions another lysine residue to favorably interact with a negatively charged lipid headgroup.

As a corollary to the above notion that strain has been relieved from helix-N* following A30P, it can be expected that the micelle shape has changed somewhat, too. Small, but readily detectable, long range 1H−13C chemical shift changes in helix-C of αS(A30P) compared with wild-type αS at several αS:SDS ratios, in the absence of concomitant backbone structural changes (13C nitrogen chemical shift changes), provide support for this conclusion. It should be noted, however, that a small change in micelle shape is inseparably intertwined with a small change in protein-micelle interaction. A titration of αS(A30P) with SDS shows no loss in micelle binding compared with the wild type, and the αS(A30P)-micelle immersion pattern is overall quite similar to the wild type, suggesting that a small rearrangement of detergent molecules can well accommodate the altered structure and dynamics of αS(A30P), i.e. the αS(A30P)-micelle system arrives at a similar free energy as the wild-type one. In the presence of vesicles rather than micelles, it has been reported that the unbound form of αS increases from 14 ± 2% for wild-type αS to 36 ± 3% for the αS(A30P) variant (37), showing that a lipid bilayer accommodates defects in the helical pattern of αS less well than a micelle or, in other words, a lipid bilayer is less dynamic than a micelle. Of course, lipids within a bilayer possess a higher order than detergents within a micelle and, consequently, the ability to change shape is much more restricted for the bilayer. Thus, the micelle and αS clearly influence each other’s geometry. In particular, the reduced curvature of helix-N* over helix-N shows that the micelle prefers to be less flat than the αS helices would like it to be, and vice versa.

The micelle immersion pattern of αS is in excellent agreement with fast (picosecond to nanosecond) and slow (millisecond to second) timescale αS backbone dynamics as well as αS chemical shift changes upon populating a single micelle from higher order complexes. The variations in slow and fast timescale dynamics have been previously related to the distribution of Gly residues within the αS helices (20). The stretches of αS that immerse well into the micelle show little cross-relaxation of their backbone amide protons to the first two hydrocarbons of the SDS detergent tail. Rather, effects are strongest for cross-relaxation to the tail region comprising hydrocarbons 3–11, whose chemical shifts overlap and therefore do not allow a further differentiation. From data using micelles doped with spin-labeled stereates, it was suggested that αS immersion, which is ultimately limited by the negatively charged functional groups on the “upper” side of the αS helices, is no deeper than hydrocarbon 4 (74). Taken together, this places the αS immersion depth near hydrocarbons 3 and 4, and brings some of the negatively charged side chains lining the upper side of the αS helices close to the sulfate headgroups of the detergent. Moreover, this shows that, within the helical segment, residues at all positions of the αS repeat region bear relevance for its interaction with vesicle membranes or micelles, although residues lining the “lower” side of the αS helices are most critical. The highly conserved nature of synuclein proteins is noted in this context. In summary, the immersion of αS into synaptic membranes will not only impact their fluidity, but also influence their surface charge distribution, creating a distinct “signature” at the membrane-water interface.
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