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Abstract: The World Health Organization (WHO), in its Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality, defines domestic water as the "water used for all usual domestic purposes including consumption, bathing and food preparation" [1]. Today, securing adequate safe drinking water and proper sanitation became a major challenge facing Lebanon. This work is a case study with objectives the assessment of the domestic water profile of the region surrounding Al-Ghadir River at Kfarshima and Al-Sahra. Samples were collected from 3 types of household water sources (Municipality water, Private wells and Water Vended Gallons) and assessed for their physiochemical and bacteriological profile. Results showed deterioration pattern in domestic water quality profile in the three water sources. The measured physiochemical and bacteriological parameters indicates the degree of deterioration of private well sources by the sea and the wastewater infiltration necessitating the enforcement of legislations associated with the use and the management of private wells, municipality water and private vending water.
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1. Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO), in its Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality, defines domestic water as the "water used for all usual domestic purposes including consumption, bathing and food preparation" [1].

Lebanon is facing today a deterioration in its water resources specifically its drinking and groundwater resources and this is not only due to climate change and saline intrusions but also due to an increase in water anthropogenic activities and improper integrated management of its water resources. Today, the management of providing safe drinking water and proper sanitation became a major challenge facing this country. The key problems are encountered in providing water to overpopulated cities, such as Beirut and its suburbs [2]. These suburbs are the residence of about 3.8 million citizens, which constitute one third of Lebanon's total population according to the projected figure for the year 2001 [3]. The surface area of Beirut's suburbs is about 28 km² with a population density of 25,400 [4].

Recent attempts to estimate water demands and availability in Lebanon and Beirut have revealed a significant deficit. Domestic water need in Lebanon is estimated at 850,000 m³/day, with 450,000 m³/day available [5]. Beirut alone needs 280,000 m³/day, where only 180,000 m³ is accessible [6] [7].

Beirut water authorities are the main suppliers of water for the capital and its suburbs. But the scarcity of water in Beirut has led these authorities to apply some drastic measures, such as rationing the water supply to 10h every other day [7]. Therefore, it is highly probable that water contamination is also induced throughout the distribution system by the negative pressure and inward suction during cutoff periods [8]. Water rationing as a remedial action, has become a firmly established practice for the past 4 decades. Consumers as such are resorting to using other complementary water sources. These sources are provided by water vendors, the
industrial sector and by pumping private wells.

Exploitation of ground water through private wells is uncontrolled and is still increasing up to the present time [5]. The excessive exploitation of ground water over the years has led to the infiltration of seawater and the deterioration of the fresh water aquifer [8] [9] [10] [11]. Parallel to the extraction of water from private wells, water shops are mushrooming. The estimated number of these shops is not available due to the complete absence of quality-control monitoring legislations. These practices have exposed the citizens to contaminated water and its resulting health problems [7] [12] [13] such as gastrointestinal diseases which are mainly due to fecal contamination of drinking water resulting from deficiencies in storage tanks and cross-connections of sewer pipes with domestic water [8]. Even though the assessment of the relative disease burden is deficient, still the disease registry of the Public Health Ministry is reporting increasing incident rates of diarrhea, dysentery and typhoid [12] [14] [15] and this is not only from contaminated water, but also from the vegetables irrigated from contaminated wells [16]. In addition to this problem, the rapid increase in urban population challenges the ability of the public sector to comply with water demands [17] [18] [19] and therefore households transfer to a number of other alternatives or complementary water sources that satisfy their need. These sources vary from owning private wells, "water vending and vended water bottles" and bottled water [7] [8] [13] [20] and again this problem has in turn aggravated the health problems. Therefore, the objective of this study is an assessment of the domestic water profile for Al-Ghadir region in the suburbs of Beirut. This assessment is attained through physical, chemical and microbiological analysis of domestic water samples.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sampling

Domestic water was collected during the dry season from 75 houses from the house tap. The sampling sites (houses) were chosen based on the availability of municipality and/or complementary well water. In addition to the house tap samples, 75 Water Vended Gallons samples from 3 companies were also sampled from the same houses. Samples were taken from Kfarshima and Al-Sahra region near Al-Ghadir River. This region is highly populated with moderate drilling of private wells. This region suffers from a shortage in the municipality water especially during the dry season and therefore it depends on well water. Both municipality and well waters are not used for all domestic purposes, they are used only for cleaning and bathing and water vended bottles were used for consumption and food preparation. Water samples (300 ml) were collected in borosilicate glass bottles for bacteriological analysis. In addition, a 1-1 polyethylene bottle soaked overnight with 10% v/v nitric acid was also used for water sampling collection. The method of sampling and collection are in accordance with Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater [21].

During sampling, a survey was also done to know for what purposes each type of water is used.

2.2. Field Analysis

Parameters sensitive to environmental changes were measured on site. Temperature, electrical conductivity (ECe), pH, Eh, dissolved oxygen (DO) and total dissolved solids (TDS) were measured using Real time data logger model: YK-2005WA.

2.3. Laboratory Analysis

The collected water samples were divided in two bottles. One bottle was acidified with nitric acid to pH<2 and stored at 4°C for the analysis of Na by the flame photometer technique, and Fe by AAS. Working standard solutions were prepared by dilution of stock solutions (1 mg metal/ml in 2% HNO3) with milliQ water. The other bottle was stored at 4°C without the addition of preservatives for the analysis of water major parameters: titration procedure was used for alkalinity (0.02 N H2SO4), Cl− (0.014 N mercuric nitrate), Ca, Mg and total hardness (0.01M EDTA) and spectrophotometric for NO3− (Cadmium reduction), SO42- (turbidimetry) and PO43− (Ascorbic acid). The bacteriological quality was determined by membrane filtration technique (Millipore).

2.4. Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis of the physiochemical parameters was performed using the SPSS software.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Water Quality Profile of Well Water, Municipality Water and Water Vended Gallons

The mean values of the various measured physicochemical parameters of Well water are presented in Table 1, Municipality water in Table 2 and Water Vended Gallons in Table 3. Water samples were collected during the dry season from houses in Kfarshima and Al-Sahra regions near Al-Ghadir River. This sampling was done to assess the water quality profile of this region which showed to be miserable not only from the presence of Al-Ghadir River which is highly polluted but also from the different domestic water types utilized by the people living in the region. This very poor and highly populated region utilize the three water type sources for their domestic activities.

3.1.1. Well Water

During the dry season, the recharge of groundwater is nil and this leads to limited dilution of water parameters and water use is at its highest peak. During this season also, the Lebanese Water Authorities augment the deficiency in the supply of the water resources to this region and this explains the reason of the high mineral content present in both well and municipality waters. Beginning with well waters, a very
high mineral content was observed in these samples. The conductivity, the TDS levels and the concentrations of Cl\(^{-}\), Na\(^{+}\), SO\(_4^{2-}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) were above the drinking water standards recommended by the USEPA [22]. The mean conductivity value for collected samples is 3,669 \(\mu\)S/cm and is three times higher than the recommended upper limit of 1,250 \(\mu\)S/cm. The chloride (Cl\(^{-}\)) concentration of 1622 mg/l is even six times larger than the USEPA recommended upper level of 250 mg/l. The concentrations of Ca (\(=151\) mg/l) and of Mg (\(=89\) mg/l) are also higher than the set standards by USEPA. Though WHO [19] does not indicate the health hazards resulting from a considerable excess in ion concentrations, such as Cl, Mg and Ca and there is an absence of existing data relevant to human health effects from high concentrations of these ions, still these ions affect the household infrastructure and impact the corrosion of domestic pipes, the leaching of metals and the water taste [8] [19].

The high reported conductivity, Mg\(^{2+}\) and Cl\(^{-}\) concentrations in well water samples are primarily due to sea water intrusion, high rate of water extraction and can be due to domestic wastewater infiltration but cannot be due to natural water type occurrence. This high content of chloride does not imply a health hazard but it affects taste of water [1]. The mean pH values for well water samples (pH=8) were typical for water arising from carbonate bedrock [23]. Examining additional parameters, such as NO\(_3^{-}\) concentrations, it was determined that its mean value is 14.51mg/l with a maximum value of 27.71 mg/l. Both the mean and the maximum concentrations values exceed both the recommended standards of USEPA and WHO (\(=10\) mg/l). Nitrate concentrations higher than 10 mg/l are the cause of methemoglobinemia (blue-baby syndrome) [19]. The presence of NO\(_3^{-}\) in water reflects an additional water deterioration profile resulting from the improper management of domestic sewage. Although regulations recommend providing septic tanks, these are replaced by cesspools because of the improper enforcement of regulations.

### 3.1.2. Municipality Water

Compared to the well water, a lower mineral content was recorded for the municipality water which showed also to be lower than the recommended values set by both USEPA and WHO. The mean conductivity value (=1550 \(\mu\)S/cm) was lower than that of well water but was still higher than the lower recommended USEPA value level for drinking (= 400 \(\mu\)S/cm). The concentrations of chloride in municipality water showed to be higher than the maximum limit (= 250mg/l) recommended by the USEPA for drinking. These high Cl\(^{-}\) concentrations in municipality water are most probably the outcome of mixing well water with municipality water during the dry season in houses that use both water sources (Municipality and Well).

| Parameter | Well water samples (N=75) | Drinking water guideline |
|-----------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Mean      | Min | Max | SD  | WHO | EEC | USEPA |
| Temperature °C | 23.05 | 15.7 | 30.4 | 3.4 | - | - | 25 |
| pH        | 7.97 | 7.25 | 8.84 | 0.5 | 6.5-8.5 | 6.5-8.5 | 6.5-8.5 |
| Eh (mVolt) | 132 | 53 | 187 | 85 | - | - | - |
| TDS (mg/l) | 2,430 | 875 | 3,985 | 824 | 1,000 | - | 500 |
| Conductivity (\(\mu\)S/cm) | 3,669 | 2,214 | 7,125 | 2,728 | - | 400-1250 | - |
| DO (mg/l) | 6.01 | 3.56 | 8.45 | 2.30 | - | - | - |
| T. hardness (mg CaCO\(_3\)/l) | 830 | 432 | 1,245 | 232 | 500 | - | - |
| Ca (mg/l) | 151 | 118 | 185 | 18 | - | 100 | - |
| Mg (mg/l) | 89 | 54 | 124 | 82 | - | 30 | - |
| PO\(_4\) (mg/l) | 0.48 | 0.12 | 0.85 | 0.15 | - | 0.4 | - |
| NO\(_3\) (mg/l) | 14.51 | 1.32 | 27.71 | 2.30 | 10 | 5-10 | 10 |
| SO\(_4\) (mg/l) | 78 | 31 | 123 | 30 | 250-250 | 250 | - |
| Cl (mg/l) | 1622 | 548 | 2852 | 647 | 250 | 25 | - |
| Na (mg/l) | 1550 | 328 | 2780 | 1530 | - | - | - |
| Fe (mg/l) | 20.26 | 7.35 | 33.17 | 10.45 | 0.3 | 0.05-0.20 | 0.3 |
| E. coli | 62% | - | - | - | - | - | - |

| Parameter | Municipality water samples (N=75) | Drinking water guideline |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Mean      | Min | Max | SD  | WHO | EEC | USEPA |
| Temperature °C | 21.3 | 15.4 | 25.3 | 3.4 | - | - | 25 |
| pH        | 8.00 | 7.30 | 8.63 | 1.3 | 6.5-8.5 | 6.5-8.5 | 6.5-8.5 |
| Eh (mVolt) | 137 | 83 | 192 | 64 | - | - | - |
| TDS (mg/l) | 873 | 514 | 1232 | 373 | 1,000 | - | 500 |
| Conductivity (\(\mu\)S/cm) | 1550 | 750 | 2,354 | 823 | - | 400-1250 | - |
| DO (mg/l) | 5.50 | 2.38 | 8.70 | 3.62 | - | - | - |
| T. hardness (mg CaCO\(_3\)/l) | 430 | 183 | 682 | 290 | 500 | - | - |
| Ca (mg/l) | 95 | 69 | 121 | 34 | - | 100 | - |
| Mg (mg/l) | 75 | 49 | 103 | 52 | - | 30 | - |
| PO\(_4\) (mg/l) | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.28 | 0.10 | - | 0.4 | - |
3.1.3. Water Vended Gallons
The average concentration of major water indicators in water vended gallons (WVVG) was within the acceptable standard levels (Table 3).

3.2. Bacteriological Water Quality Profile
According to the WHO guidelines [1], all water intended for drinking must be free from fecal coliform bacteria. While assessing the microbial profile of collected water samples, data revealed that the most contaminated domestic water type source is well water.

Fecal coliforms were reported in 39% of well water samples. The occurrence of this high contamination is due to (a) the infiltration of wastewater into aquifers or wells, resulting from the old deteriorating sewage network in this region, (b) the use of cesspools and (c) the cross-connection between domestic sewer pipes and domestic water pipes. What should been noted is that this water is used also for irrigation of the irrigated vegetables showed that these vegetables were contaminated. Fecal coliforms were reported in 39% of municipality water with domestic pipes. These results emphasized the need to: (a) promote awareness among end users of their water quality, (b) protect groundwater aquifer, (c) provide safe adequate water supplies, (d) implement proper management of domestic wastewater for the suburbs of Beirut. Initiating and sustaining these activities will protect and promote public health, reduce disease burden and achieve socioeconomic growth and development.

### Table 3.
| Parameter | Municipality water samples (N=75) | Drinking water guideline |
|-----------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
|           | Mean    | Min  | Max  | SD  | WHO | EEC | USEPA |
| NO₃ (mg/l) | 3.14    | 1.12 | 5.17 | 2.72 | 10  | 5-10 | 10 |
| SO₄ (mg/l) | 70      | 32   | 108  | 36   | 250 | 25-250 | 250 |
| Cl (mg/l)  | 350     | 138  | 562  | 320  | 250 | 25-250 | 250 |
| Na (mg/l)  | 280     | 93   | 483  | 240  | -   | -   | -   |
| Fe (mg/l)  | 1.3     | 0.13 | 2.63 | 0.78 | 0.3 | 0.05-0.20 | 0.3 |
| E. coli    | 39%     |      |      |      |     |     |     |

4. Conclusion
This study has assessed domestic water quality of one of the most populated regions in the suburbs of Beirut. Based on the results, it is evident that the situation is deteriorating at a fast pace due to the contamination of domestic well water from seawater and wastewater intrusions. Wastewater intrusions arise from the cross connection of sewer pipes...
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