Corneal Topography With Upper Eyelid Platinum Chain Implantation Using the Pretarsal Fixation Technique
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To determine the effect of upper eyelid platinum chain implantation, with the pretarsal fixation technique, on corneal astigmatism.

Methods: This is a prospective, cohort study. Fifteen eyes of 15 patients underwent upper eyelid platinum chain implantation, with the pretarsal fixation technique, for facial nerve palsy. Information recorded included patient demographics, etiology for facial palsy, weight of the implant, time from onset of paresis to upper eyelid platinum chain implantation, associated surgical procedures, and preoperative and postoperative corneal topography measurements.

Results: Of the 15 patients studied, 10 were male and five were female. The mean age was 55.9 ± 13.8 years (range, 33–87 years). The most common etiology for facial palsy was acoustic neuroma. The weight of the implant ranged from 0.6 to 1.6gr (median 1.2gr). The time from onset of paresis to upper eyelid platinum chain implantation varied from 1 week to 3 months (median 1 month). Four patients had an associated procedure to correct the effect of paralytic ectropion. There was no statistically significant difference in with the rule astigmatism before and after platinum chain implantation.

Conclusions: Upper eyelid platinum chain implantation, with the pretarsal fixation technique, does not appear to cause significant change in corneal astigmatism. This is contrary to data for pretarsal gold weight implantation, which does induce significant with the rule astigmatism.

KEY WORDS

Corneal Astigmatism, Corneal Topography, Facial Nerve Palsy, Gold Weight Implants, Platinum Chains

©2014, Med Hypothesis Discov Innov Ophthalmol.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial 3.0 License (CC BY-NC 3.0), which allows users to read, copy, distribute and make derivative works for non-commercial purposes from the material, as long as the author of the original work is cited properly.

Correspondence to:
Dr. Ioannis Mavrikakis; Solonos 18 Kolonaki 106 73 Athens Greece; Tel: +30 210 3619006; Fax: +30 210 3619339; E-Mail: jmavrikakis@yahoo.co

INTRODUCTION

Surgical loading of the upper eyelid helps gravity-assisted closure in paralytic lagophthalmos. Since its introduction by Sheehan (1), several materials have been used, including lead (2), gold (2–8), and platinum (9). Gold is now the most widely used material because of its high density, malleability, minimal tissue reactivity, and color compatibility with skin.
However, implantation of gold weight can affect the contour of the upper eyelid. It could also increase the degree of eyelid-cornea contact. Therefore, it seems plausible that this procedure might alter corneal curvature and affect the patient’s refractive error. A recent study demonstrated that pretarsal gold weights induce a statistically significant increase in with the rule corneal astigmatism (10). In attempts to identify methods of minimizing the induction of with the rule corneal astigmatism associated with surgical loading of the upper eyelid efficiently, we conducted the current study in which we use platinum chains implanted pretarsaly.

The purpose of this study is to evaluate any changes in corneal topography after platinum chain implantation in patients with seventh cranial nerve palsy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a single-center, prospective cohort study. All eligible patients undergoing upper eyelid platinum chain implantation for facial nerve palsy at the Athens Eye Hospital, Athens, Greece, were enrolled. Patients were examined over a 3-year period, from 2010 to 2012.

Inclusion criteria were platinum chain implantation, informed consent, and lagophthalmos of 2 mm on forced closure. An exclusion criterion was severe corneal disease preventing reliable corneal topography measurements either preoperatively or postoperatively. As a result, of 20 eligible patients, 18 were enrolled in this study while three were lost to follow-up. We recorded all patient demographics, etiologies for facial nerve palsy, time from onset of paralysis to chain implantation, weight of the chain, associated surgical procedures and preoperative and postoperative corneal topography measurements.

All platinum chains (Fig. 1) were implanted by one surgeon (IM). The weight was determined during the preoperative consultation, when it was adhered to the upper eyelid in the area overlying the tarsus with benzoin solution, and eyelid closure was assessed in the upright position (11). The weight was sized up or down as needed to achieve adequate closure without significant ptosis (10).

Surgical procedures were performed under local anesthesia. The approach was through a supratarsal skin crease incision and dissection was carried through the orbicularis muscle to the tarsal plate. A pocket approximately the size of the implant was created over the tarsus, staying at least 2 mm superior to the eyelid margin. The appropriate implant was placed in the pocket, centered medial to the midpupillary line, and sutured directly to the tarsus with two 6–0 Vicryl sutures through the medial and lateral holes. The orbicularis muscle and skin were then closed in layers with interrupted 6–0 Vicryl sutures. Antibiotic ointment was placed over the wound, and a double eye pad applied for 24 hours (10).

Corneal topography was performed with an Eyemap EH-290 (Alcon Surgical Inc., Ft Worth, TX). An absolute scale using 45 colors was used to display dioptric power in 0.25 diopter steps (midpoint value 45.00). We assessed the keratometry (K) readings at 0°, 90°, 180°, and 270° at 3, 5 and 7 mm diameter zones before and after implantation of the platinum chain in the upper eyelid. The amount of with the rule astigmatism was calculated by subtracting the mean of the K readings at 0° and 180° from the mean of the 90° and 270° meridians at the 3mm optical zone which is the most visually relevant (10). The change in a vertical steepness was calculated by subtracting the with the rule astigmatism pre platinum chain implantation

Figure 1. Platinum Chain Implant
from the amount post-implantation (10). Corneal
topography was performed at least 3 months post
insertion of the platinum chain. Most patients required
installation of topical lubricants to achieve, where
possible, a stable tear film for performing corneal
topography. Refractions were not carried out in this
study, as it was thought that this particular group of
patients with poor blink reflex disrupted tear film, and
corneal exposure problems are difficult to assess
accurately.

Data were analyzed using SPSS software, version 13
(SPSS Inc.). The significance of the changes in corneal
astigmatism was evaluated using a paired T-test while
the difference in K measurements for all zones between
pre and post platinum chain implantation was assessed
using the Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test. Institutional
Review Board approval was obtained for this study.

RESULTS

Of the 15 patients, 10 were male (66.6%), and five were
female (33.3%). The mean patient age was 55.9 ± 13.8
years (range, 33–87 years). Tumors caused facial nerve
paralysis in 11 cases (10 with acoustic neuroma and one
with parotid tumor). There was also one case each
due to cerebral vascular accident, idiopathic Bell’s
palsy, Ramsay Hunt syndrome, and polio.

The weight ranged from 0.6 to 1.6 gr (median 1.2 g). The
time from onset of paralysis to upper eyelid platinum
chain implantation varied from 1 week to 3 months
(median 1 month). Four patients had an associated
procedure during the upper eyelid platinum chain
implantation to correct the effect of paralytic ectropion.
Of these, 3 had a lateral tarsal strip and mid face lift, and
one had a lateral tarsal strip alone. There was no
statistically significant difference in K measurements for
any zone between pre and post platinum chain
implantation and in the changes in corneal astigmatism.

The pre and post-implantation keratometry readings and
change in with the rule astigmatism for the 3 mm zone
for each patient are shown in Table 1.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we evaluate any changes in corneal
topography after upper eyelid platinum chain
implantation in patients with seventh cranial nerve palsy,
using the pretarsal fixation technique. Masses arising in
the eyelid can cause pressure on the corneal surface with
distortion of its topography. Such lesions cause flattening
of the globe directly under the area of compression with
steepening of curvature adjacent to the area of
compression. Eyelid lesions such as hemangiomas and
large chalazia may induce central or paracentral
compression, whereas more peripheral flattening is
induced by masses at or behind the limbus such as
dermoids or explants from retinal surgery. The
association between astigmatism and upper eyelid
abnormalities, such as congenital ptosis (12),
hemangiomas (13, 14), and chalazia (15) has been
documented.

Pretarsal gold weight implantation also induces corneal
astigmatism. More specifically, it was found that, with
the rule corneal astigmatism increased significantly by
1.4 diopters ± 2.0, from a mean of 0.3 to 1.7 D after gold
weight implantation (p=0.034) (10). The astigmatism is
usually mild and can be corrected with spectacles.
However, it has been previously found that patient
satisfaction following gold weight implantation correlates
closely with post-implantation visual acuity (16).
Therefore, a surgical technique that reduces induced
astigmatism may significantly improve patient
satisfaction.
Table 1. With the Rule Astigmatism Pre and Post Platinum chain Implantation

| Patient | Preoperative Keratometry (Diopters) | With the Rule Astigmatism (Diopters) | Postoperative Keratometry (Diopters) | With the Rule Astigmatism (Diopters) | Change in With the Rule Astigmatism (Diopters) |
|---------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|         | Axis 0° | Axis 90° | Axis 180° | Axis 270° | Axis 0° | Axis 90° | Axis 180° | Axis 270° | Axis 0° | Axis 90° | Axis 180° | Axis 270° | Axis 0° | Axis 90° | Axis 180° | Axis 270° | Axis 0° | Axis 90° | Axis 180° | Axis 270° |
| 1       | 41.96   | 41.87   | 37.51    | 42.86    | 2.63   | 41.75   | 42.24    | 42.45    | 0.535   | -2.095 |
| 2       | 40.29   | 46.17   | 41.15    | 44.87    | 4.8    | 39.99   | 42.81    | 39.48    | 41.07   | 2.205  |
| 3       | 42.47   | 44.18   | 42.35    | 43.95    | 1.655  | 42.68   | 43.70    | 42.30    | 46.71   | 2.76   |
| 4       | 45.22   | 45.89   | 44.30    | 47.56    | 1.965  | 45.18   | 48.39    | 44.15    | 45.97   | 2.515  |
| 5       | 43.11   | 42.63   | 42.79    | 42.90    | -0.185 | 41.49   | 40.20    | 42.06    | 42.04   | -0.655 |
| 6       | 42.06   | 46.12   | 45.19    | 47.52    | 3.195  | 45.48   | 48.88    | 46.31    | 45.92   | 1.505  |
| 7       | 43.78   | 43.91   | 43.98    | 44.37    | 0.26   | 43.77   | 43.99    | 43.50    | 46.29   | 1.505  |
| 8       | 46.05   | 46.20   | 47.41    | 49.05    | 0.895  | 48.27   | 45.23    | 47.26    | 48.66   | -0.82  |
| 9       | 46.57   | 42.15   | 39.16    | 42.25    | -0.665 | 41.64   | 44.77    | 44.42    | 47.55   | 3.13   |
| 10      | 41.57   | 43.28   | 41.32    | 41.64    | 1.015  | 44.46   | 47.42    | 42.42    | 40.10   | 0.32   |
| 11      | 43.33   | 39.87   | 30.58    | 42.30    | 4.13   | 42.55   | 43.13    | 38.66    | 43.99   | 2.955  |
| 12      | 47.33   | 41.14   | 49.00    | 43.45    | -5.87  | 40.67   | 38.78    | 39.01    | 38.67   | -1.115 |
| 13      | 46.17   | 47.15   | 40.12    | 46.19    | 3.525  | 46.10   | 50.50    | 45.04    | 44.63   | 1.995  |
| 14      | 39.48   | 40.41   | 41.01    | 46.65    | 3.285  | 38.63   | 40.68    | 39.51    | 42.20   | 2.37   |
| 15      | 39.55   | 41.07   | 38.94    | 39.65    | 1.115  | 39.88   | 40.04    | 39.17    | 40.35   | 0.67   |
| Mean    | 41.57   | 43.28   | 41.32    | 41.64    | 1.015  | 44.46   | 47.42    | 42.42    | 40.10   | 0.32   |
| P-value | 1.45    | 1.325   | -0.125 ± 2.1 |

In our study, we found that when using platinum chain implantation with the pretarsal fixation technique, no significant change occurred in the amount of corneal astigmatism (p=0.822). This may be because the platinum chain is flexible and adapts better to the changing radius of the tarsus with movement of the globe, in contrast to gold weights which are rigid (9). Another possible explanation is that the density of platinum differs from that of gold. As a result, for the same weight, the volume of a platinum implant is smaller than that of one in gold. There have been studies reporting the reduction of the side effect of corneal astigmatism using platinum chains with the pretarsal fixation technique (9,17). However, to our knowledge, there have been no studies published describing the effect of upper eyelid platinum chain implantation on corneal topography.

Other methods reported in the literature that have been shown to reduce the side effect of corneal astigmatism use gold weights with the combined high pretarsal and levator fixation technique (18,19). This may be because
the position of the implant is above the cornea and not in direct contact with the globe when the eye is open, inducing less corneal warpage (18,19). In conclusion, implantation of a platinum chain in the upper eyelid, in patients with facial nerve palsy, using the pretarsal fixation technique appears to significantly reduce the adverse effect of corneal astigmatism associated with pretarsal gold weight implantation.
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