Molecular Markers Associated to Two Non-allelic Genic Male Sterility Genes in Peppers (Capsicum annuum L.)
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Male sterility is of high importance in hybrid seed production of hot and sweet peppers. Genic (or nuclear) male sterility (GMS) is a simply inherited (usually monogenic recessive) and highly stable trait. However, one major disadvantage of using GMS is 1:1 segregation of male sterile to male fertile plants in every subsequent generation. Molecular markers tightly linked to genic male sterility (ms) genes would facilitate an efficient and rapid transfer of ms genes into different genetic backgrounds through marker-assisted backcrossing. The two non-allelic genic male sterility genes ms³ and ms𝑤 in hot and sweet pepper backgrounds, respectively, are monogenic recessive. Genotyping by sequencing (GBS) in an F₂ population segregating for ms³ gene in hot pepper and in an F₆ inbred near-isogenic line (NIL) population segregating for ms𝑤 gene in sweet pepper yielded 9,713 and 7,453 single nucleotide polymorphism markers, respectively. Four candidate SNPs co-segregating with ms³ gene and one co-segregating with ms𝑤 gene were identified by bulk segregant analysis and physically mapped to chromosomes 1 and 5, respectively. In hot pepper, two markers [HPGMS2 (CAPS) and HPGMS3 (dCAPS)] located 3.83 cM away from the ms³ gene and in sweet pepper the dCAPS marker SPGMS1 co-segregated (completely linked) with the ms𝑤 gene were developed. These markers will increase the efficacy of the male sterility genes for pepper breeding, as they can be useful in developing the genic male sterile lines in parental inbred lines of commercial hybrids through marker-assisted backcrossing, hybrid seed production, and genetic purity testing of hybrid seeds.
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INTRODUCTION

The mechanism of male sterility has long been of interest among plant scientists and breeders. This interest stems from the use of male sterility systems to produce hybrids and their seeds in a cost-effective manner, as well as the differential/spatial expression of male sterility genes, the interaction between the nuclear and cytoplasmic genome, and serves as a model to better understand the endosymbiosis. Peppers (Capsicum spp.) are important commercial cash crops valued...
globally for their pungent (hot pepper) and non-pungent (sweet pepper) fruits that are used as a spice and vegetable, respectively. Both nuclear male sterility (genic male sterility, GMS) and cytoplasmic male sterility (CMS) systems are used to produce the cost-effective commercial pepper hybrid seed (Dhalial and Jindal, 2014; Lin et al., 2016). The CMS hot pepper lines have been used for commercial hybrid seed production, especially in India (Reddy et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2016; Schreinemachers et al., 2016). However, the use of the CMS system in sweet pepper has been limited because of high instability of male sterility expression at low temperatures and poor fertility restoration (Rf) ability in most of the sweet pepper genotypes (Lin et al., 2015). Since two independently isolated and commercially utilized S-cytoplasms of pepper are genetically similar (Kumar et al., 2009), the diversification of S (CMS)-cytoplasm is advisable to avoid risks associated with vulnerability of commercial hot pepper hybrids derived from single S-cytoplasm (Kumar et al., 2009; Yeh et al., 2016). To avoid such risks due to the monopolistic use of the same S-cytoplasm in peppers, GMS lines with highly stable and absolute expression of male sterility (Dhalial and Jindal, 2014) should also be increasingly used. More than 20 monogenic recessive nuclear male sterile (ms) genes in pepper are known (Dhalial and Jindal, 2014). The ethyl methanesulphonate (EMS) induced ms10 allele (originally named ms509) (Daskalov and Poulos, 1994) was found to be allelic with the natural msk and ms2 alleles but was non-allelic to the ms1 allele (Shifriss, 1997). Molecular markers linked to ms1 (Lee et al., 2010a), ms3 (Lee et al., 2010b), ms8 (Bartoszewski et al., 2012), ms10 (Aulakh et al., 2016), and to one ms with undisclosed or unknown origin (Lee et al., 2012) have been reported. The ms8 and ms10 genes were mapped to chromosome 4 and chromosome 1, respectively. The ms3-linked AFLP converted CAPS marker was reported, but the primer sequence and chromosome location were not provided (Lee et al., 2010b).

Genotyping by sequencing (GBS) is an efficient technology for the simultaneous discovery and genotyping of SNPs (Elshire et al., 2011; Taranto et al., 2016). Because of its cost-effectiveness, GBS has been widely adopted for high density genotyping for linkage analyses, diversity studies, and genome wide association studies (He et al., 2014). The SNP markers have become a broadly used marker system due to the relative abundance of SNPs in most genomes and the co-dominant and bi-allelic nature of these markers (Mammadov et al., 2012). Once the SNP markers associated with a trait of interest are identified, for genotyping large numbers of individuals, suitable SNP assays can be developed (Semagn et al., 2014) or the SNPs can be converted to PCR-based CAPs or dCAPs markers (Lee et al., 2010b; Schafleitner et al., 2016).

The molecular markers linked to the recessive male sterility genes provide an unprecedented increase in efficacy for developing male sterile female inbred lines for hybrid seed production by identifying the male sterile and male fertile plants at the seedling stage. Hence, this study was conducted to perform an allelic test between two monogenic recessive genic male sterility genes and develop and validate molecular markers linked to male sterility genes using GBS. The results are presented and discussed in the regards to currently available molecular markers linked to ms genes in peppers for their wider application in pepper breeding.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Materials**

**Male Sterile Lines and Genes**

Two GMS lines, one each in the genetic background of hot and sweet peppers, were used. The hot pepper GMS line possessed the monogenic recessive ms3 allele for male sterility. The ms3 allele was originally derived from the hybrid “Novator F1,” which was provided to the World Vegetable Center (WorldVeg) by the Vegetable Crops Research Institute (VCRI), Hungary in 1987. For mapping the ms3 gene and for marker development, 71 F2 plants derived from a cross between Novator (ms3ms3) and PBC315 (Ms3Ms3) were phenotyped and 47 of these were used for GBS. For ms3-associated molecular marker validation, a separate F2 population of 183 lines was used. The sweet pepper male sterile line possessed an unknown ms allele (designated temporarily as ms0) derived through continuous selfing and simple selection of a GMS-based sweet pepper hybrid “Forever” developed by Syngenta Private Limited. A stable F6 inbred population of 91 plants segregating into 50% male sterile (ms0ms0) and 50% male fertile (Ms0Ms0) progenies was created and used for mapping and marker development.

**Crosses for Testing Allelism of ms Genes**

Since the sweet pepper male sterile line segregated into 50% male sterile (ms0ms0) and 50% male fertile (Ms0Ms0) and the exact genotype of hot pepper male fertile plants was unknown at male sterility locus (Ms3Ms3 or Ms3ms3), we crossed one hot pepper male sterile plant (ms3ms3) with one sweet pepper male fertile plant (Ms0ms0) for testing allelism between the ms3 and the ms0 alleles. A total of 60 F1 plants were phenotyped for male sterility expression with the hypothesis that both the alleles would be allelic if F1 plants segregate at a 1:1 ratio of male sterility and male fertility.

**Phenotyping for Male Sterility**

Seeds were sown in small pots (6 inches diameter) in an insect-proof nethouse at WorldVeg, Tainan, Taiwan. All the seedlings were allowed to flower and set fruits. Ten freshly opened flowers of each plant were examined visually by physically tapping on the anthers of the flowers and observing the presence of abundant pollen as a powdery mass (male fertile) or absence of a powdery mass (male sterile) (Figure 1). Ambiguous flowers were tested for pollen viability by 1% acetocarmine staining (Marks, 1954; Figure 2). The final phenotype of each plant was assessed based on their ability (male fertile) or inability (male sterile) to produce fruits with seeds after self-pollination.

**Chi Square Test**

The probability values between the expected and observed segregation ratios of male sterile and male fertile plants were tested through Chi square for goodness of fit in the Microsoft
excelsheet. The calculated chi square value was compared with the t-value. If the calculated value was found to be lower than the t-value, it was considered non-significant, indicating the best fit for the observed and expected ratios.

**Genotyping by Sequencing**

From 71 \( F_2 \) hot pepper plants, 47 plants consisting of 28 male fertile (\( Ms3Ms3 \) or \( Ms3ms3 \)) and 19 male sterile (\( ms3ms3 \)) were selected for GBS. In the case of sweet pepper, from 91 NILs, 47 plants consisting of 23 male fertile (\( Ms_mms_m \)) and 24 male sterile (\( ms_mms_m \)) were selected for GBS. The DNA was isolated using the DNEasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. Fluorometric quantification of the DNA was done on a Qubit device (Invitrogen). Sequencing of both the sweet and hot peppers was done at Diversity Array technologies, Canberra, Australia using the DArTSeq\textsuperscript{TM} technology (Sansaloni et al., 2011). Restriction enzymes \( PstI \) and \( MseI \) were used for complexity reduction, and digestion/ligation reactions were performed as described by Kilian et al. (2012), replacing a single \( PstI \) compatible adapter with a \( PstI \) barcode adapter, also including the Illumina flow cell attachment and sequencing primer sequence, and a \( MseI \) common adapter. Barcodes were...
used as described (Elshire et al., 2011). For PCR amplification, primer pairs that only bound to fragments containing the *PstI* adapter on one side and the *MseI* adapter on the other side were used, thereby leading to selective amplification of fragments having both restriction enzyme cutting ends. The PCR conditions were 1 min at 94°C for initial denaturation; 30 cycles each consisting of 20 s at 94°C for denaturation, 30 s at 58°C for annealing, and 45 s at 72°C for extension; and a final extension step for 7 min at 72°C. After PCR, equimolar amounts of the amplification products from each sample were bulked and applied to c-Bot (Illumina) bridge PCR followed by sequencing on Illumina Hisq 2500 (Ren et al., 2015). Sequences generated from each lane were processed using the proprietary DAriT analytical pipelines, as described (Ren et al., 2015).

**Bulk Segregant Analysis**

In total, 9713 and 7453 SNP markers were used for bulk segregant analysis for the hot and sweet pepper populations, respectively. Individual male fertile and male sterile plants used for genotyping were grouped into male fertile and male sterile bulks, respectively. In hot pepper, the F2 population segregation did not deviate from a 1:2:1 ratio for the *ms3* gene (*Ms3ms3: Ms3ms3: ms3ms3*), therefore heterozygous or homozygous SNPs (N/N or N/n) in male fertile plants (28 plants) and homozygous SNPs (n/n) in male sterile plants (19 plants) were selected as candidates associated with the *ms3* gene. For the sweet pepper NIL population, segregation did not deviate from a 1:1 ratio into heterozygote male fertile (*Msnon*msw) and homozygote recessive male sterile (*msnonmsw*) plants, and hence a heterozygous SNP (N/n) in male fertile plants (23 plants) and homozygous (n/n) in male sterile plants (23 out of 24 male sterile plants) were selected as candidate SNPs for marker development.

**Marker Development**

The sequence context of the candidate SNPs was searched in the *Capsicum annuum* cv CM334 genome sequence (Kim et al., 2014) by BLAST alignment1 to acquire elongated sequences for the marker development. The SNPs were converted to cleaved amplified polymorphic sequences (CAPS) using the CAPS designer tool2. In cases where conversion of SNPs to CAPS was not possible, dCAPS markers were developed using the dCAPS finder4 (Neff et al., 1998). Primer 3 input (version 0.4.0) program4 was used for designing primers.

**Marker Validation**

Genomic DNA was extracted from actively growing young leaves (Fulton et al., 1995). Polymerase chain reaction was performed using specific primers listed in Table 2. Each PCR contained 30 ng of DNA, 0.2 mM of each primer, of deoxy ribonucleotides, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM TrisHCl (pH 8.3), 1.5 mM MgCl2, and 0.5 unit of Taq DNA polymerase. The PCR conditions include initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, annealing temperature for 45 s, 72°C for 45 s, and final extension at 72°C for 7 min. The annealing temperature was optimized for each primer combination by gradient PCR.

**RESULTS**

**Phenotypic Segregation**

Seventy-one and 183 F2 plants were, respectively, used for molecular marker development and validation of hot pepper segregating for *ms3* gene. Ninety-one plants of the sweet pepper NIL population segregating for the unknown *ms* gene (*Msnomsnom*mswmsw) were phenotyped for the expression of male sterility.

In the first set of the F2 population of hot pepper, 52 out of 71 plants were male fertile and 19 were male sterile, which were in agreement with the single recessive gene ratio of 3:1 ($\chi^2 = 0.089$ at $P = 0.76$). Likewise, in the second set of 183 F2 plants, the segregation ratio was also found to be a good fit to a single recessive gene segregation ($\chi^2 = 0.117$ at $P = 0.73$ (Table 1)). The segregation of the NIL population of sweet pepper did not deviate from a 1:1 ratio for male fertile: male sterile, which fit well in the expected single gene segregation ($\chi^2 = 0.01$ at $P = 0.92$) (Table 1). Segregation ratios revealed that the male sterility genes in both populations of sweet pepper (*msw*) and hot pepper (*ms3*) are monogenic recessive.

**Allelism Test**

Sixty F1 plants produced from a cross between male sterile hot pepper (*ms3ms3*) and the sweet pepper plants with the unknown heterozygous male sterility allele (*Msnonmsw*) were found to be 100% male fertile, indicating that these two genes (*ms3* and *msw*) are non-allelic. Alternatively, had these been different alleles of the same gene there would have been 1:1 segregation of male fertile and male sterile plants.

**Genotyping by Sequencing**

From the 9,713 SNPs identified in the hot pepper library, 6909 (71%) could be mapped to the reference genome. Likewise, in the sweet pepper library, 7453 SNPs were identified and the proportion of mapped markers was 68.4%, which is similar to the hot pepper library. The SNPs covered all the 12 chromosomes of pepper, with 458 to 707 SNPs per chromosome for hot pepper and 376–533 SNPs per chromosome for sweet pepper. From all the SNPs, 2,804 and 2,354 SNPs could not be attributed to a chromosome in hot and sweet peppers, respectively. The average distance between two markers in hot pepper amounted to 497 kb (maximum distance 15 Mb) while it was 656 kb (maximum distance 16 Mb) in sweet pepper.

**Bulk Segregant Analysis**

Since the expression of male sterile genes *ms3* and *msw* was strictly monogenic recessive, we conducted a bulk segregant analysis (BSA) comparing the marker genotypes between bulks. For hot pepper, 4 SNPs were selected as candidates for molecular markers from 47 genotyped plants because they were homozygous recessive (n/n) in 19 male sterile (*ms3ms3*)

---

1. https://www.solgenomics.net/tools
2. https://solgenomics.net/tools/caps_designer/caps_input.pl
3. http://helix.wustl.edu/dcaps/dcaps.html
4. http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0
plants, heterozygous (N/n) in 20 male fertile (Ms3ms3) plants, and homozygous dominant (N/N) in 8 male fertile (Ms3Ms3) plants. All these four candidate SNPs were physically mapped on chromosome 1 at positions 70,730,824–96,273,123 bp and co-segregated with male sterility.

In sweet pepper, only one SNP marker was heterozygous in all 23 male fertile (Ms3ms3) plants and homozygous recessive in 23 out of 24 male sterile plants (mswmsw). This candidate SNP was physically mapped to chromosome 5 at the physical position 32,890,811.

**Marker Development**

The candidate SNPs were converted to PCR-based CAPS and dCAPS markers. In hot pepper, for candidate SNPs 1 and 3 CAPS markers were developed, whereas for candidate SNPs 2 and 4, dCAPS markers were developed because these SNPs could not be converted to CAPS markers (SNP accession # PRJEB28302).

In sweet pepper, the candidate marker was physically converted to a dCAPS marker. The details of the (d)CAPS markers such as primer sequence, restriction enzyme, and PCR product sizes are presented in Table 2.

**Validation of Markers and Mapping**

Molecular markers were validated in sets of phenotyped plants.

Four SNP markers physically mapped on chromosome 1 at positions 71,091,336 (SNP1), 96,273,123 (SNP 2), 71,574,503 (SNP 3), and 70,730,824 (SNP 4) co-segregated with the male sterile/fertile phenotype. In the initial validation of the GBS data in the 47 genotyped plants of the BSA, HPGMS1 primers designed for SNP 1 was not polymorphic, indicating that the GBS gave a false positive SNP at this position. In contrast, HPGMS2 and HPGMS3 were polymorphic among male fertile and sterile plants and co-segregated perfectly with the male sterile/fertile phenotype in the 47 genotypes. The HPGMS4 marker showed partial digestion interfering with scoring and was discarded. Therefore, the HPGMS2 (CAPS) and HPGMS3 (dCAPS) markers were validated in a test set of 183 F2 plants with known male fertility phenotype. Both markers differentiated heterozygous (Ms3ms3- male fertile), homozygous dominant (Ms3Ms3–male fertile), and homozygous recessive (ms3ms3 – male sterile) genotypes without any ambiguity (Table 3 and Figures 3, 4). Seven recombinants were found in 183 test plants between HPGMS2 and HPGMS3, and the sterility locus suggested a genetic distance between both markers and the ms3 gene to be 3.83 cM.

In sweet pepper, the dCAPS marker SPGMS1 (Table 3) co-segregated with sterility and differentiated the heterozygous (Ms3ms3) male fertile plants from the homozygous recessive (mswmsw) male sterile plants ($\chi^2 = 0.01$ at $P = 0.92$) (Table 3 and Figure 5).

**DISCUSSION**

Hybrid seed production through manual emasculation and pollination in pepper is labor demanding and not cost-effective. The introduction of male sterility systems can reduce up to 40% of the labor costs by eliminating the manual emasculation.
### TABLE 3 | Segregation of SNP-derived markers linked to ms3 and msₘₜ genes in hot pepper (F₂) and sweet pepper (near isogenic; NIL) populations.

| Marker Population | Total number of plants | Genotype observed (no of plants) | Expected ratio | χ² | P-value at P ≤ 0.05 |
|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----|---------------------|
|                   |                        | Male fertile (homozygous dominant) | Male fertile (heterozygous) | Male sterile (homozygous recessive) |                      |
| Hot pepper F₂ population (ms3) derived from the cross Novator × PBC 315 |                       |                                  |                |     |                     |
| HPGMS2 First set for marker development | 47                      | 9                                | 19             | 19  | Co-segregated with male sterile/fertile plants and validated the GBS data |
| HPGMS2 Second set for marker validation | 183                     | 46                               | 86             | 51  | 1:2:1               | 0.93 | 0.63 |
| HPGMS3 First set for marker development | 47                      | 9                                | 19             | 19  | Co-segregated with male sterile/fertile plants and validated the GBS data |
| HPGMS3 Second set for marker validation | 183                     | 45                               | 87             | 51  | 1:2:1               | 0.83 | 0.66 |
| Sweet pepper stable F₆ inbred NIL population (msₘₜmsₘₜ × Msₘₜmsₘₜ) derived from Forever hybrid |                       |                                  |                |     |                     |
| SPGMS1 NIL | 91                      | -                                | 46             | 45  | 1:1                 | 0.01 | 0.92 |

**FIGURE 3** | Gel profile of HPGMS2-CAPS marker linked to ms3 gene in an F₂ segregating population; M, 50 bp DNA ladder; F, male fertile (Ms₃Ms₃/Ms₃ms₃); S, male sterile (ms₃ms₃).

**FIGURE 4** | Gel profile of HPGMS3-dCAPS marker linked to ms3 gene in an F₂ segregating population; M, 50 bp DNA ladder; F, male fertile (Ms₃Ms₃/Ms₃ms₃); S, male sterile (ms₃ms₃).
process (Lin et al., 2015). Both GMS and CMS systems are available and well documented in peppers. For commercial hybrid development and seed production, the CMS is currently used only in hot pepper, while the GMS is used in both hot and sweet peppers (Dhaliwal and Jindal, 2014). This is because fertility restoration in sweet pepper is weak (Lin et al., 2015) and the expression of male sterility is conditioned by the presence of several \textit{restorer-of-fertility} (\textit{Rf}) loci including partial restoration loci in pepper (Lee et al., 2008). However, the worldwide commercially used male sterile cytoplasm is genetically similar (Kumar et al., 2009). This monopolistic use of a single source of a male sterile cytoplasm is not desirable and needs either diversification of CMS source in the long term, or promotion of GMS-based hybrid crops in the short term. Hence, to increase the efficacy of GMS in hybrid seed production in hot and sweet peppers, we mapped the \textit{ms} and \textit{msw} genes and developed molecular markers to distinguish the alleles for male fertility and sterility. Both genes \textit{(ms} and \textit{msw}) caused complete male sterility and their inheritance was monogenic recessive. Among the approximately 20 known spontaneously occurring or artificially induced nuclear male sterile genes in peppers (Wang and Bosland, 2006; Dhaliwal and Jindal, 2014), all are monogenic recessive (Shifriss, 1997; Lee et al., 2012; Aulakh et al., 2016) with the single exception of the dominant mutant male sterility gene \textit{Dms} (Daskalov and Poulos, 1994). Very few allelic tests have been performed among these male sterile genes (Dhaliwal and Jindal, 2014). However, \textit{ms}10 was found to be non-allelic to \textit{ms}3 (Yu, 1990) but allelic to the \textit{msk} gene (Yu, 1985). We found that \textit{ms}3 and \textit{msw} are non-allelic as all the \textit{F1} plants from the hybridization \textit{ms}3\textit{ms}3 \textit{msw} \textit{msw} were male fertile. This non-allelic relationship established through a genetic test was also confirmed through genotypic sequence data, as \textit{ms}3 and \textit{msw} genes were physically mapped on different chromosomes, chromosomes 1 and 5, respectively (Table 4). The \textit{ms}10 (originally \textit{ms}509) gene has also been recently mapped on chromosome 1 and the associated Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) marker (AVRDC-PP12) was developed (Aulakh et al., 2016). However, as expected, the AVRDC-PP12 marker for \textit{ms}10 did not produce polymorphism in our \textit{ms}3 population (data not shown), ascertaining that both are non-allelic. GBS revealed to be highly efficient in obtaining markers tightly linked to monogenic male sterility (\textit{ms}) genes using a small population. Hence, the method is rapid and involves relatively low cost (He et al., 2014). We employed GBS to identify the SNP markers for \textit{ms}3 and \textit{msw} genes and successfully converted the SNP markers into PCR-based CAPS and dCAPS markers and validated these markers in segregating populations. Since both the male sterile genes were monogenic recessive, 47 plants were sufficient to identify the markers associated with \textit{msw} in the NIL population and \textit{ms}3 in the \textit{F2} population. For the \textit{ms}3 gene, one CAPS (HPGMS2) and one dCAPS (HPGMS3) marker were developed. Both of these markers co-segregated with the \textit{ms}3 gene and the phenotypes were non-allelic in the NIL population and \textit{ms}3 in the \textit{F2} population. For the \textit{ms}3 gene, one CAPS (HPGMS2) and one dCAPS (HPGMS3) marker were developed. Both of these markers co-segregated with the \textit{ms}3 gene and the phenotypes were non-allelic in the NIL population and \textit{ms}3 in the \textit{F2} population.

![FIGURE 5 | Gel profile of SPGMS1-dCAPS marker linked to \textit{ms}w gene in an \textit{F1} NIL population; M, 50 bp DNA ladder; F, male fertile (\textit{Ms}w\textit{ms}w); S, male sterile (\textit{ms}w\textit{ms}w).](image-url)

| TABLE 4 | Markers reported for non-allelic nuclear male sterile genes in pepper. |
| \textit{ms} gene | Marker type | Chromosome location | Reference |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| \textit{ms}1 | AFLP | Not reported (sequence not available) | Lee et al., 2010a |
| \textit{ms}1 | HfRM | Chromosome 5 | Jeong et al., 2018 |
| \textit{ms}3 | AFLP- CAPS | Not reported (sequence not available) | Lee et al., 2010b |
| \textit{ms}3 | SNP | Chromosome 1 | This study |
| \textit{ms}8 | SCAR | Chromosome 4 | Bartoszewski et al., 2012 |
| \textit{ms}10 | SSR | Chromosome 5 | Aulakh et al., 2016 |
| \textit{msw} | SNP | Chromosome 5 | This study |
and therefore, only one candidate marker was obtained for this population, while four candidates were obtained for the F$_2$ population. The dCAPS marker SPGMS1 was developed for the ms$_w$ gene for sweet pepper, which showed complete co-segregation with the ms$_w$ gene differentiating the heterozygous male fertile plants from the homozygous recessive sterile plants. MAS is important for the GMS system because (i) transferring the ms gene into different genetic backgrounds through conventional backcrossing is tedious and time-consuming, as selfing after each successive backcross is required to select the male fertile heterogenous plant and (ii) for hybrid seed production, 50% male fertile plants need to be identified and removed in the hybrid seed production plot, which is also a time- and resource-consuming process. Both these obstacles are overcome by the use of molecular markers linked to the male sterility locus. Hence, the co-dominant molecular markers linked to the ms3 (HPGMS2 and HPGMS3) and ms$_w$ (SPGMS1) genes developed in this study will greatly reduce the amount of time and labor resources required for early (seedling stage) identification of the heterozygous male fertile plants (MsMs) in backcross breeding (Dhaliwal and Jindal, 2014). These molecular markers will be equally useful in selecting the male sterile seedlings for hybrid seed production (Lee et al., 2010b) and also in hybrid purity testing (Jang et al., 2004). In addition, the molecular markers identified in this study may be useful in the cloning of ms3 and ms$_w$ genes for various uses in strategic and applied research including map-based cloning of these two male sterility genes.

In peppers, although more than 20 ms genes have been reported, molecular markers linked to male sterility loci are known for only a few of them (Table 4). AFLP markers associated with the ms1 gene (Lee et al., 2010a), CAPS markers associated with the unknown ms gene (Lee et al., 2010c), SCAR markers associated with the ms8 gene (Bartoszewski et al., 2012), and a distantly linked SSR marker for the ms10 gene (Aulakh et al., 2016) have been developed. However, for the ms3 gene, the marker information (primer sequence) has not previously been revealed (Lee et al., 2010b; Table 4) and was not available for public use. For the first time we are reporting markers for the ms3 gene with complete and detailed information for public use. During the preparation of this manuscript, Jeong et al. (2018) reported that ms1 in Magnipio hybrid of Syngenta is located on chromosome 5, similar to ms$_w$ derived from Forever hybrid of Syngenta mapped in this study (Table 4). Moreover, the location of the marker for ms$_w$ (32187928) is within the fine mapped 869.9 kb ms1 gene (31516016–32385930) reported by Jeong et al. (2018). Hence, it is highly likely that ms$_w$ and ms1 are the same gene (allelic); however, a genetic test between ms$_w$ and ms1 would be desirable to confirm their functional allelism.

Nuclear male sterile genes in peppers are usually highly stable and possess a monogenic simply inherited trait; therefore, they could be relatively easy to use in breeding programs if molecular markers are available. We employed GBS technology to develop molecular markers linked to two non-allelic male sterility genes (ms3 and ms$_w$) in peppers. These markers will facilitate rapid and cost-effective development of GMS lines, useful in hybrid seed production and genetic purity testing of hybrid seeds in peppers.
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