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Abstract

Background: Tourette Syndrome (TS) appears to be an inherited disorder, although genetic abnormalities have been identified in less than 1% of patients, and the mode of inheritance is uncertain. Many studies have investigated environmental factors that might contribute to the onset and severity of tics and associated comorbidities such as obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD) and attention deficit hyperactive disorder (ADHD). A systematic review and qualitative analysis were performed to provide a broad view of the association between pre- and perinatal factors and TS.

Methods: The Medline, Embase and PsycINFO databases were searched using terms specific to Tourette’s syndrome and keywords such as “pregnancy”, “prenatal”, “perinatal”, “birth” and “neonatal”. Studies were limited to studies on human subjects published in English or French through October 2012.

Results: 22 studies were included. Studies were of limited methodological quality, with most samples derived from specialty clinics, and most exposures ascertained retrospectively. The majority of the results for demographic factors of parents, including age, education, socioeconomic status, and marital status, revealed no significant association with the onset of TS, or the presence of comorbidity. Many factors were reported to be significantly associated with the onset of TS, the presence of comorbidity and symptom severity, but the most consistently reported factors were maternal smoking and low birth weight.

Conclusions: There are few studies evaluating the relationship between pre and perinatal events and TS, and existing studies have major limitations, including the use of clinic rather than epidemiologically derived samples, retrospective data collection on pre and perinatal events and multiple hypothesis testing without appropriate statistical correction. The mechanism by which prenatal and perinatal adversities could lead to TS onset or symptom severity is unknown, but may be related to changes in the dopaminergic system as a result of early brain injury.
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Background

Tourette Syndrome (TS) appears to be an inherited disorder, although genetic abnormalities have been identified in less than 1% of patients, and the exact mode of inheritance has not been determined [1]. Some genetic studies have reported infrequent associations of specific genes with TS, including the mutation of SLITRK1 encoding SLIT and NTRK-like protein 1 and a rare functional mutation in the HDC gene encoding L-histidine decarboxylase [2-9]. While current research suggests that genetic factors confer the greatest risk for the development of TS, many studies have investigated environmental factors that might contribute to the onset of TS, associated comorbidities and more severe symptoms. Among these environmental factors, many studies report that pre- and perinatal factors may play an important role in the pathogenesis of TS [10-28]. However, analysis of these studies has revealed inconsistent results.

We performed a systematic review to gain a complete perspective on the association between pre- and peri-natal adversities and TS, including the onset of symptoms, symptom...
severity, and the presence and severity of comorbidities. There are no up to date systematic reviews focusing on this issue. In this article, a systematic review and qualitative analysis were performed to provide a broad view of the association between pre- and perinatal factors and TS.

Methods

Electronic searches and selection of studies

The Medline, Embase and PsycINFO databases were searched using terms specific to Tourette’s syndrome and keywords such as “pregnancy”, “prenatal”, “perinatal”, “birth” and “neonatal” (Additional file 1). Studies were limited to studies on human subjects published in English or French through October 2012. Two reviewers independently screened the titles and abstracts. Review articles, commentary articles, editorials, animal studies, and case-reports were excluded. Potentially relevant articles for full-text review were identified by reading abstracts and titles. Full text review was performed on any study for which the abstract or title suggested that the study evaluated pre or perinatal risk factors associated with the development of TS or related to TS severity. Disagreements were resolved by consensus between the two reviewers. Full texts of the selected articles were further reviewed and the following criteria were used to include articles for data extraction: 1) studies specific factors in the prenatal and perinatal period 2) evaluates association with the diagnosis or symptom severity of Tourette syndrome or its comorbidities 3) original study with fully published article available.

Data extraction

The following information was extracted onto piloted forms: 1) First author, year and geographic location of the study 2) case definition and ascertainment, sources and numbers of cases and controls, matching factors 3) severity scales for Tourette’s syndrome or its comorbidities 4) specific risk factors 5) study results, including risk estimate and indicators of statistical significance.

Results

The electronic searches yielded 141 abstracts, with 31 abstracts selected for full-text review [10-40]. After full text review of these 31 articles, 9 were excluded because only case series were studied [31,32,35,37,38], only poster abstract was available [33], or the contents didn’t include pre- or peri-natal adversities [10,34,40]. Because of the extreme diversities among studies, the included 22 articles were further categorized according to the different purpose, study design, investigated factors, and outcome. In these 22 articles, two of them were duplicates of data [11,14]. Therefore, the data in one report was selected according to the completeness of data contained in both reports [11] (see Figure 1).

Of the 21 studies reporting original data, three were prospective cohort studies, nine were case control studies, four were single group cross sectional studies evaluating disease severity, one was a cross-sectional study with matched case–control pairs, three were twin or family studies, and one was a multiple case group comparative study. The three prospective cohort studies collected pre- and perinatal data prospectively. Two case control studies used pre- and perinatal data recorded on registered birth certificates at the time of delivery, and one cross-sectional study examined children for minor physical anomalies. The remaining fifteen studies collected pre- and perinatal risk factor data retrospectively through parental interview. With the exception of the three prospective cohort studies and one case control study, all samples of cases were derived from speciality clinic settings. See Table 1 for information on study design, sources of cases and controls, data collection and risk factors studied.

Studies investigating the association between pre- and perinatal factors and the onset of Tourette syndrome, and/or risk of comorbidity

There were 14 articles investigating individual pre- or perinatal factors associated with the onset of TS, risk for comorbidities such as ADHD or OCD, or different genders in TS [11-23]. The summarized results are shown in Table 2. Because of diverse methods for measurement of the risk factors among studies, the results are presented according to the original definition by each study. Similar factors were grouped together but quantitative summarization was not possible because of small sample sizes and the diversity of studies.

Demographic factors and pre-conception health status

In Table 2, the majority of the results for demographic factors of parents, including age, education, socioeconomic status, and marital status, revealed no significant association with the onset of TS, or the presence of TS comorbidity such as ADHD [11,13,14,17,20]. There was only one small study (25 cases and 25 controls) in which the mother’s age in the group with TS was significantly younger than the control group (20 ± 1.4 versus 23 ± 3.4, p < 0.01) [13]. For pre-conception health status, major health problems prior to pregnancy were not associated with the onset of TS, or TS comorbidity, according to the results of two published studies [15,17].

Prenatal period

During the prenatal period, many studies found that some prenatal adversities were significantly associated with the onset of TS or comorbidities, although the results were not consistent (Table 2). Burd (1999) reported that TS was associated with earlier prenatal care and
more prenatal visits compared to the control group [11]. One cross sectional study evaluating the association between minor physical anomalies and TS suggested that early insults during pregnancy might be associated with the onset of TS, as children with TS had a significantly higher number of minor physical anomalies [12]. In 4 studies investigating the association between pregnancy complications and TS onset, the presence of comorbidity, or gender, one study showed a borderline association of one or more pregnancy complications with TS onset (OR = 2.33, 95% CI = 0.92-5.89, p = 0.069) [18]. Another study demonstrated that one or more pregnancy complications were significantly associated with TS with co-morbid ADHD compared to controls, but not associated with TS only patients [17]. One study found that the overall number of prenatal problems was associated with a higher risk of ADHD comorbidity (OR = 2.97, 95% CI: 1.27-6.94, p = 0.02) [26]. Some individual and combined factors during pregnancy were also investigated, including maternal hypertension, psychosocial stress, antibiotic exposure, or medications/procedures [17,19,21]. In these studies, psychosocial stress was not significantly associated with the onset of TS or TS with ADHD compared to controls. In another study, antibiotic exposure was significantly associated with both TS and TS with ADHD (p = 0.02) [17].

With regard to maternal smoking, one study revealed borderline results in the association with onset of TS or TS with ADHD compared to the control group. The odds ratios were very high: TS (OR = 4.6), TS with ADHD (OR = 8.5) (Table 2) [17]. The study found that TS with ADHD had an even higher association with maternal smoking than TS only. This trend was also noted in another study- that compared to TS only patients, the presence of ADHD comorbidity was significantly associated with maternal smoking during the
| Study               | Study design                                      | Cases          | Controls         | Source of cases                             | Sources of controls         | Matching factors | Diagnosis of TS | Data source                                             | Prospective or retrospective collection of data on perinatal events | Risk factors evaluated                                                                 |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Atladottir 2007 [29] | Cohort study                                     | TS             | Non-TS           | Danish Medical Birth Registry cohort        | Danish Medical Birth Registry cohort | ICD-10 in Psychiatric Registry | Registry        | Prospective                                             | Season of birth                                                                | Birth year (1990–1991, 1992–1993, 1994–1995)                                         |
| Atladottir 2007 [29] | Cohort study                                     | TS             | Non-TS           | Danish Medical Birth Registry cohort        | Danish Medical Birth Registry cohort | ICD-10 in Psychiatric Registry | Registry        | Prospective                                             | Birth year (1990–1991, 1992–1993, 1994–1995)                                         | Pregnancy complications, Delivery complications, First-week postnatal complications, Prenatal smoking exposure, Prenatal alcohol exposure |
| Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] | Single group cross-sectional; comparison of tic and ADHD severity in those with/without risk factors | TS (62), Chronic motor (12) or vocal (1) tics | -                | Psychiatry clinic (60) or TS Association (15) | -                           | DSM-IV-TR       | Parent interview and questionnaire | Retrospective                                                                     | (1) Genetic factors (Dopamine receptor D4 (D4R4) and interaction with environmental factors; (2) Pregnancy complications: HT, DM, infections, (pre)eclampsia, psychosocial stress; (3) Delivery complications: meconium-stained amniotic fluid, premature rupture of the membranes, nuchal cord, fetal bradycardia, placenta previa, artificial delivery; (4) Smoking during pregnancy |
| Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] | Single group cross-sectional; comparison of symptom severity in those with/without risk factors and genetic factors | Tic disorders | -                | Psychiatry clinic (86) or TS Association (24) | -                           | DSM-IV-TR       | Parent interview and questionnaire | Retrospective                                                                     | (1) Genetic factors (Dopamine receptor D4 (D4R4) and interaction with environmental factors; (2) Pregnancy complications: HT, DM, infections, (pre)eclampsia, psychosocial stress; (3) Delivery complications: meconium-stained amniotic fluid, premature rupture of the membranes, nuchal cord, fetal bradycardia, placenta previa, artificial delivery; (4) Smoking during pregnancy |
| Burd 1999 [11]     | Matched Case control (1:5)                      | TS             | Healthy          | North Dakota TS registry                    | North Dakota Health Department Registry | Sex, year of birth, month of birth | DSM-III, DSM-III-R, DSM-IV | Birth certificate data | Prospective                                             | Birth weight, Apgar scores, mother’s and father’s age and education, month prenatal care began, number of prenatal visits, gestational age |
| Csabi 2008 [12]    | Cross-sectional study with matched case control pairs (1:1) | TS             | Healthy          | Outpatient clinic                           | Local elementary schools      | Sex, age, ethnic origin        | DSM-IV         | Mehes Scale for evaluation of minor physical anomalies | Prospective                                             | Presence of minor physical anomalies on examination                            |
| Study            | Design Type       | TS Definition | Exclusion/Inclusion Criteria | Evaluations | Data Collection | Study Type   | Additional Details                                                                 |
|------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Hyde 1992        | Twin study        | TS            | Not stated                  | Twins       | Diagnostic interview | Retrospective | Birth weight (n = 13 pairs), birth order (n = 8 pairs), handedness (n = 7 pairs), medical events and environmental factors (n = 13 pairs) |
| Kano 2002        | Family study      | TS            | Not stated                  | First degree relatives | DSM-III Report from mother | Retrospective | Premature delivery, use of forceps, apnea at birth, cyanosis at birth, slowed heartbeat |
| Khalifa 2005     | Matched Case      | Healthy       | Total population of children in a town in central Sweden | Total population of children in a town in central Sweden | Sex, age, school | Retrospective | reduced optimality in the pre-, peri-, and neonatal periods (modified Prechtl's non-optimal score) |
| Klug 2003        | Matched Case      | Healthy       | North Dakota TS registry    | North Dakota Health Department Registry | Sex, year of birth, month of birth | Retrospective | Birth weight, Apgar scores, mother’s and father’s age and education, month prenatal care began, number of prenatal visits, gestational age, number of child malformations |
| Kondo 1992       | Case control      | TS            | Duchenne dystrophy          | Other hospitals | Not stated | Not stated | Patient and mother interview | Prenatal, perinatal and developmental history |
| Leckman 1990     | Single group      | TS            | Monozygotic twins discordant for TS | -            | DSM-III | Retrospective | 41-item Obstetric Complications Scale (OCS), Perinatal complication scale (PCS), Level of Stress severity, Coping Ability Scale, 41-item Life Stress ‘Scale (LSS) |
| Leckman 1987     | Twin study        | Monozygotic twins discordant for TS | Unaffected twin | Twins       | Not stated | Parental interview | Retrospective | Birth weight |
| Mathews 2006     | Single group      | TS            | -                           | TS specialty clinics; TS Association; Health care professionals, media advertisements, schools | DSM-IV | Parental interview | Retrospective | Prenatal problems, perinatal problems, and in utero medication exposure (details in the article) |
| Study | Design | Group 1 | Group 2 | Setting | Data Collection | Study Design | Details |
|-------|--------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|--------------|---------|
| Motlagh 2010 [17] | Case control | TS, TS + ADHD | Healthy | TS specialty clinic and TS Association | Telemarketing lists | Age, ZIP codes | DSM-IV | Maternal interview | Retrospective | Prenatal, obstetric, medical risk factors, life circumstances during pregnancy, birth weight, perinatal adverse events |
| Pasamanick 1956 [18] | Matched Case control (1:1) | Children with tics | Healthy | Child Psychiatry clinic | Birth register of the Bureau of Vital Records of the Baltimore City Health Department | Same place of birth, race, sex, maternal age group | Not stated | Hospital medical record of birth | Prospective | Pregnancy history, length of labour, complications of pregnancy and delivery, birth weight, condition of child during neonatal period |
| Saccomani 2005 [23] | Case control | TS, chronic tics | Healthy | Outpatient clinic Offspring of hospital personnel | DSM-IV-TR | Parental interview | Retrospective | Definition of pre- and perinatal events: threatened miscarriage, prematurity, prolonged labour, umbilical cord around the neck, forceps delivery, and neonatal jaundice |
| Santangelo 1994 [19] | Multiple case group comparison; comparison of presence of comorbid OCD in those with/without risk factors | TS, TS + OCD | TS Association | DSM-III-R | Maternal interview | Retrospective | (1) pregnancy complications, (2) delivery complications, (3) Medications/procedures, and (4) Coffee, cigarettes, alcohol |
| Shimada 2012 [20] | Case Control | TS | General population data | Psychiatry outpatient clinic General population database | DSM-IV-TR | Parent interview and questionnaire | Retrospective | Parental age, education level of parents, use of assistive reproduction, birth weight, gestational age |
| Pringsheim 2009 [21] | Nested case–control | TS + ADHD | TS | TS Specialty clinic | TS Specialty clinic | DSM-IV-TR | Parental interview and questionnaire | Retrospective | Maternal smoking, Maternal alcohol exposure, Low BW, Premature, small for GA, Breathing problems at birth, Maternal HT, Operative delivery, prenatal drug use, |
| Iida 1996 [22] | Case control | TS + OCS | TS | Outpatient psychiatry clinic | Outpatient psychiatry clinic | DSM-III-R | Maternal interview | Retrospective | Perinatal complications (postnatal jaundice, cord around neck, breech birth, premature birth) |
| Study          | Design               | Population Description                | Instrumentation                        | Setting          |
|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Whitaker 1997  | Prospective cohort   | Regional birth cohort of low birth    | DSM-III-R Psychiatric diagnosis assessed at age 6 by structured diagnostic interview | Prospective      |
|                | study                | weight children                       |                                        | cohort study of low birth weight babies who were examined at age 6 for psychiatric disorders including TS. The study examined the relationship between neonatal cranial ultrasound abnormalities in these children and the diagnosis of psychiatric disorders at age 6. |
| Factors                                      | Results (Cases vs. Controls)* | P value  | Case number | Control number | Note                        | Reference     |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| **Demographic factors**                     |                              |          |             |                |                             |               |
| Mother’s age                                | 25.69 (5.29) vs. 25.12 (5.2) | p = 0.33 | 92          | 460            | Matched analysis            | Burd 1999 [11]|
|                                              | 20 (1.4) vs. 23 (3.4)        | p < 0.01 | 25          | 25             |                              | Khalifa 2005 [13]|
|                                              | 29.8 (4.5) vs. 29.6          |          | 66          | 1174999        | Adjusted for birth year     | Shimada 2012 [20]|
|                                              | TS: 28.9 (4.4), TS + ADHD: 28.1 (5.3), Control: 28.3 (4.1) | NS       |             | 65             |                             |               |
| Father’s age                                | 28.87 (6.25) vs. 27.78 (5.96) | p = 0.11 | 92          | 460            | Matched analysis            | Burd 1999 [11]|
|                                              | 31.9 (5.0) vs. 32.7          |          | 65          | 1174999        | Adjusted for birth year     | Shimada 2012 [20]|
|                                              | TS: 30.9 (5.0), TS + ADHD: 30.2 (6.2), Control: 30.4 (5.0) | NS       |             | 65             |                             |               |
|                                              |                              |          |             |                |                             |               |
| Mother’s education                          | 12.90 (2.11) vs. 12.64 (1.92) | p = 0.24 | 92          | 460            | Matched analysis            | Burd 1999 [11]|
| Father’s education                          | 12.69 (2.44) vs. 12.67 (2.15) | p = 0.96 | 92          | 460            | Matched analysis            | Burd 1999 [11]|
| Parent’s education                          | TS: 15.5 (2.0), TS + ADHD: 14.9 (1.8), Control: 15.3 (2.0) | NS       |             | 65             |                             | Motlagh 2010 [17]|
| Mean socioeconomic status                   | TS: 50.4 (2.7), TS + ADHD: 47.4 (10.6), Control: 48.5 (10.7) | NS       |             | 65             |                             | Motlagh 2010 [17]|
| Socio-economic status, parental education or divorce rate | No difference (no data provided) | NS       | 25          | 25             |                             | Khalifa 2005 [13]|
| Marital status                              | 4/92 vs. 36/460              | p = 0.93 | 92          | 460            |                             | Klug 2003 [14]|
| **Pre-conception condition**                |                              |          |             |                |                             |               |
| Major diseases before pregnancy or pre-existing medical conditions | (20/42 vs. 21/43) OR = 0.95, 95% CI = 0.41-2.23 | p = 0.91 | 42          | 43             |                             | Kondo 1982 [15]|
| TS vs. Control (1/45 vs. 4/65); TS + ADHD vs. Control (4/60 vs. 4/65) | NS       |             | 65             |                             | Motlagh 2010 [17]|
| **Pre-natal period**                        |                              |          |             |                |                             |               |
| Month prenatal care began                   | 2.47 (1.27) vs. 3.01 (1.40)  | p = 0.001| 92          | 460            | Matched analysis            | Burd 1999 [11]|
| Prenatal visits                             | 10.00 (3.57) vs. 8.51 (3.22) | p < 0.01 | 92          | 460            | Matched analysis            | Burd 1999 [11]|
| Prenatal care begun after first trimester   | OR = 0.49 (0.27-0.90), (14/92 vs. 123/460) | p = 0.03 | 92          | 460            |                             | Burd 1999 [11]|
| Minor physical anomalies (numbers)          | 5.46 (2.15) vs. 1.11 (1.18); Mann–Whitney U-test 49.50, Z = −4.92 | p = 0.001| 24          | 24             |                             | Csabi 2008 [12]|
| Number of prenatal problems                 | Presence of ADHD, OR = 2.97, 95% CI: 1.27-6.94 | p = 0.02 |             | 180            |                             | Mathews 2006 [26]|
| Disordered pregnancies                      | (12/42 vs. 15/43) OR = 0.75, 95% CI = 0.30-1.87 | p = 0.53 | 42          | 43             |                             | Kondo 1982 [15]|
| Any pregnancy complication                 | Male TS vs. Female TS: 63% vs. 83% | NS       | 46 M        | 7 F            |                             | Santangelo 1994 [19]|
|                                            | TS + OCD vs. TS: 67% vs. 65%  | NS       | 15          | 34             |                             | Santangelo 1994 [19]|

*Note: TS = Tourette’s syndrome, ADHD = Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder, OCD = Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder.*
Table 2 Pre- and peri-natal factors associated with the onset of Tourette’s syndrome (TS), and the presence of comorbidity (Continued)

| One or more pregnancy complication | 17/51 vs. 9/51 (OR = 2.33, 95% CI = 0.92-5.89) | p = 0.07 | 51 | 51 | Pasamanick 1956 [18] |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------|----|----|---------------------|
| Maternal hypertension            | TS + ADHD: 27/60, Control: 16/65             | p = 0.01 | TS + ADHD: 60 | 65 | Motlagh 2010 [17] |
|                                  | TS: 17/45, Control: 16/65                   | NS      | TS: 45      | 65 | Motlagh 2010 [17] |
| Severe psychosocial stress       | TS + ADHD vs. TS, OR = 1.04 (95% CI: 0.44-2.42) | p = 0.93 | 181 | 172 | Unadjusted OR |
|                                  | TS vs. Control (10/45 vs. 5/62, OR = 2.6, 95% CI = 0.08-8.7, p = 0.11); TS + ADHD vs. Control (11/55 vs. 5/62, OR = 3.1, 95% CI = 0.9-11.1, p = 0.07) | p = 0.11 and 0.07 | TS: 45 | TS + ADHD: 60 | 65 | Adjusted for gender Motlagh 2010 [17] |
| Antibiotics exposure in pregnancy | TS: 11/45, TS + ADHD: 11/60, Control: 6/65 | both p < 0.05 | TS: 45, TS + ADHD: 60 | 65 | Motlagh 2010 [17] |
| Medication/procedures            | Male TS vs. Female TS: 37% vs. 50%           | NS      | 46 M | 7 F | Santangelo 1994 [19] |
|                                  | TS + OCD vs. TS: 27% vs. 44%                | NS      | 15 | 34 | Santangelo 1994 [19] |
| Number of medications exposed to in utero | Presence of ADHD, OR = 0.50, 95% CI: 0.25-1.00 | p < 0.05 | 180 | | Mathews 2006 [26] |
| Maternal smoking (>10 cigarettes/d) | TS vs. Control (3/45 vs. 1/62, OR = 4.6, 95% CI = 0.45-46.6, p = 0.19); TS + ADHD vs. Control (7/60 vs. 1/62, OR = 8.5, 95% CI = 0.97-75.2, p = 0.052) | p = 0.19 and 0.05 | TS: 45, TS + ADHD: 60 | 65 | Adjusted for gender Motlagh 2010 [17] |
| Maternal smoking                | TS + ADHD vs. TS, OR = 2.43 (95% CI: 1.23-4.82) | p = 0.01 | 181 | 172 | Adjusted OR Pringsheim 2009 [21] |
| Maternal smoking                | Presence of OCD (OR = 8.27, 95% CI = 0.87-78.20) | p = 0.07 | 180 | | Mathews 2006 [26] |
| Maternal alcohol exposure        | TS + ADHD vs. TS, OR = 0.81 (95% CI: 0.46-1.41) | p = 0.45 | 181 | 172 | Adjusted OR Pringsheim 2009 [21] |
| Coffee, cigarettes, alcohol     | Male TS vs. Female TS: 47% vs. 50%           | NS      | 46 M | 7 F | Santangelo 1994 [19] |
|                                  | TS + OCD vs. TS: OR = 5.0, 95% CI: 1.3-19.4 | p < 0.05 | 15 | 34 | Santangelo 1994 [19] |
| Perinatal period                | Disordered deliveries (16/42 vs. 14/43 (OR = 1.27, 95% CI: 0.52-3.11) | p = 0.59 | 42 | 43 | Kondo 1982 [15] |
|                                  | Any delivery complication Male TS vs. Female TS: OR = 9.4, 95% CI: 1.01-87.3 | p < 0.05 | 46 M | 7 F | Santangelo 1994 [19] |
|                                  | TS + OCD vs. TS: 75% vs. 54% | NS | 15 | 34 | Santangelo 1994 [19] |
|                                  | Operative delivery TS + ADHD vs. TS, OR = 1.10 (95% CI: 0.71-1.71) | p = 0.66 | 181 | 172 | Unadjusted OR Pringsheim 2009 [21] |
|                                  | Forceps delivery Male TS vs. Female TS: 44% vs. 14% | NS | 46 M | 7 F | Santangelo 1994 [19] |
|                                  | TS + OCD vs. TS: OR = 7.9, 95% CI: 3.2-19.5 | p < 0.01 | 15 | 34 | Santangelo 1994 [19] |
|                                  | Perinatal disorders: low BW, Asphyxia, severe vomiting, cyanosis, respiratory distress, jaundice, convulsion, high fever, incubator using, exchange transfusion | 8/43 vs. 9/43, X^2 = 0.07 (OR = 0.86, 95% CI = 0.30-2.50) | NS (p = 0.79) | 43 | 43 | Kondo 1982 [15] |
Table 2 Pre- and peri-natal factors associated with the onset of Tourette’s syndrome (TS), and the presence of comorbidity (Continued)

| Perinatal complications (postnatal jaundice, cord around neck, breech birth, premature birth) | TS + OCS vs. TS only: 5/13 vs. 0/10 | p < 0.01 | 13 | 10 | Fisher's exact test | Iida 1996 [22] |
| > 1 hypoxic event | TS vs. Control (17/45 vs. 18/65, OR = 1.4, 95% CI = 0.64-3.3, NS); TS + ADHD vs. Control (18/60 vs. 18/65, OR = 0.9, 95% CI = 0.4-2.2, NS) | NS | TS: 45, TS + ADHD:60 | 65 | Adjusted for gender | Motlagh 2010 [17] |
| Apgar 1 | 7.17+/−1.909 vs. 7.517+/−1.623 | p = 0.17 | 53 | 265 | Matched analysis | Burd 1999 [11] |
| Apgar 5 | 8.396+/−1.446 vs.8.789+/−1.123 | p = 0.03 | 53 | 265 | Matched analysis | Burd 1999 [11] |
| Breathing problems at birth | TS + ADHD vs. TS, OR = 1.95 (95% CI: 0.87-4.37) | p = 0.10 | 181 | 172 | Adjusted OR | Pringsheim 2009 [21] |

Combined pre- and peri-natal periods

| Total with abnormalities of prenatal and perinatal periods | 19/51 vs. 13/51 (OR = 1.74, 95% CI = 0.74-4.05) | p = 0.20 | 51 | 51 | | Pasamanick 1996 [18] |
| Reduced optimality in pre-, peri-, and neonatal periods | No statistical differences between cases and controls (no data provided) in reduced optimality | | 25 | 25 | | Khalifa 2005 [13] |
| Pre- and perinatal events | TS (26/48 (54%)), Chronic tics (24/48 (50%)) vs. Control (2/30 (6%)); TS: OR = 16.55 (95% CI = 3.54-77.40); Chronic tics: OR = 14.00 (95% CI = 3.00-65.44) | p < 0.001 (both) | | | |

Neonatal factors

| Gestation age | 39.64 (2.73) vs. 40.04 (3.54) | 0.44 | 92 | 460 | Matched analysis | Burd 1999 [11] |
| Gestational age (<37 week) | 5/106 vs. 62289/115363 | 106 | 115363 | | | Shimada 2012 [20] |
| TS + ADHD vs. TS, OR = 3.83 (95% CI: 15.1-9.69) | p < 0.01 | 181 | 172 | Unadjusted OR | Pringsheim 2009 [21] |
| Gestational age (<38 week) | TS: 1/45, TS + ADHD: 6/60, Control: 7/65 | NS | TS: 45, TS + ADHD:60 | 65 | | Motlagh 2010 [17] |
| Gestational age (>42 week) | TS: 4/45, TS + ADHD: 4/60, Control: 2/65 | NS | TS: 45, TS + ADHD:60 | 65 | | Motlagh 2010 [17] |
| Birth Weight | 3342.2+/− 661.38 vs. 3447.7+/− 607.71 | p = 0.14 | 92 | 460 | Matched analysis | Burd 1999 [11] |
| TS: 3538+/−530, TS + ADHD: 3438+/−538, Control: 3519+/−755 | NS | TS: 45, TS + ADHD:60 | 65 | | Motlagh 2010 [17] |
| TS vs. Discordant Twin: 2228 +− 244 vs. 2545 +− 216 | p = 0.006 | 6 | 6 | Twins study (paired t test) | Leckman 1987 [16] |
| Low birth weight (<2500 g) | TS vs. Control (1/45 vs. 2/65, OR = 1.3, 95% CI = 0.11-15.4, NS); TS + ADHD vs. Control (3/57 vs. 2/65, OR = 0.55, 95% CI = 0.08-3.7, NS) | NS | TS: 45, TS + ADHD:60 | 65 | adjusted for gender | Motlagh 2010 [17] |
| TS vs. Control (1/116 vs. 9162/100097, OR = 0.094) | 116 | 100097 | | | Shimada 2012 [20] |
| TS + ADHD vs. TS, OR = 2.74 (95% CI: 1.03-7.29) | p = 0.04 | 181 | 172 | Adjusted OR | Pringsheim 2009 [21] |
| Small for gestational age (<10 percentile) | TS + ADHD vs. TS, OR = 1.13 (95% CI: 0.63-2.04) | p = 0.69 | 181 | 172 | Unadjusted OR | Pringsheim 2009 [21] |
### Table 2 Pre- and peri-natal factors associated with the onset of Tourette’s syndrome (TS), and the presence of comorbidity (Continued)

| Birth weight above 2500 g | Presence of ADHD, OR = 0.69, 95% CI: 0.52-0.92 | p = 0.02 | 180 | Mathews 2006 [26] |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------|-----|-------------------|
| Birth order               | X^2 = 253                                       | NS      | 43  | 43                | Kondo 1982 [15] |
|                           | First (78/116 vs. 5394/100118), second (34/116 vs. 34594/100118), > = third (8/116 vs. 11577/100118) | 116     | 100118 | Shimada 2012 [20] |

*TS versus controls if not indicated. ADHD: Attention deficit hyperactive disorder; BW: birth weight; CI: confidence interval; NS: non-significant; OCD: Obsessive compulsive disorder; OCS:Obsessive compulsive symptoms; OR: odds ratio; TS: Tourette's syndrome, statistically significant results shown in bold.
preterm period (adjusted OR = 2.43, 95% CI: 1.23-4.82, p = 0.01) [21]. Maternal smoking was also reported to be associated with a borderline higher risk for OCD (OR = 8.27, 95% CI: 0.87-78.20, p = 0.07) [26]. In another study combining coffee, cigarettes, and alcohol exposures as one single factor, the presence of comorbid OCD with TS was significantly associated with these exposures compared to TS only patients (OR = 5.0, 95% CI: 1.3-19.4, p < 0.05) [19].

In summary, although there were no consistent results among studies, many factors were reported to be significantly associated with the onset of TS or the presence of comorbidities in TS patients. These factors included earlier prenatal care, more prenatal visits, pregnancy complications, antibiotic exposure, maternal smoking, and coffee, cigarette, and alcohol exposure. Prenatal adversities during a critical developmental stage may be associated with the onset of TS or the development of comorbidities in these patients.

### Perinatal period

The association between TS and perinatal risk factors was reported in 6 articles (Table 2) [11,15,17,19,21,22]. These studies suggest that while some perinatal risk factors were associated with specific conditions, the results are inconsistent. Among them, delivery complications were noted more frequently in boys with TS compared to girls (OR = 9.4, 95% CI: 1.01-87.3, p < 0.05) [19]. Forceps delivery was associated with the presence of OCD in TS patients compared to TS only OR = 7.9, 95% CI: 3.2-19.5, p < 0.01) [19]. In addition, Iida reported that perinatal complications were associated with the presence of obsessive-compulsive symptoms in TS patients compared to TS only [22]. For the onset of TS, Burd et al. (1999) reported that the Apgar score at 5 minutes was significantly lower in children with TS compared to controls (8.396 ± 1.446 vs. 8.789 ± 1.123, p = 0.028) [11]. Although significant associations between TS and the perinatal factors described above were reported in these 6 articles, many of these articles revealed non-significant results for different measurements. Compared to prenatal factors, a relatively smaller proportion of results for perinatal factors revealed significant associations with TS.

### Combined preterm and perinatal period

When preterm and perinatal adversities were considered as one factor, only one of 3 studies revealed significant associations of preterm and perinatal adversity with TS (OR = 16.55, 95% CI = 3.54-77.40, p < 0.001) or chronic tics (OR = 14.00 95% CI = 3.00-65.44, p < 0.001) (Table 2) [23]. However, in the other two studies, no associations were reported [13,18].

### Neonatal factors

The relationship between gestational age, birth weight, birth order and TS has been investigated in several studies (Table 2) [11,15-17,20,21]. Most studies reported non-significant results for these factors. In 4 articles investigating gestational age, only one study revealed that the presence of ADHD in TS patients was significantly associated with prematurity (<37 weeks) compared to TS only patients in an unadjusted evaluation (OR = 3.83, 95% CI: 15.1-96.9, p < 0.01) [21]. The same study also found that low birth weight (<2500 g) was associated with a higher odds of comorbid TS and ADHD (OR = 2.74, 95% CI: 1.03-7.29, p = 0.04) [21]. Another study found that higher birth weight was associated with a lower risk of ADHD comorbidity in TS patients (OR = 0.69, 95% CI: 0.52-0.92, p = 0.02) [26]. In a twin study where only one twin was affected by TS, lower birth weight was noted in the TS twin compared to their discordant twin without TS (TS twins: 2228 ± 244 gm vs. Twins without TS: 2545 ± 216 gm, paired t test, p = 0.006) [16]. For birth order, both studies found that it is not associated with the onset of TS [15,20].

### Studies investigating the association between pre- and perinatal factors and severity of tic symptoms and comorbidities

There were 5 articles investigating the association between pre- and perinatal factors and the severity of tic symptoms and comorbidities [24-28]. All articles included only TS patients using a single group cross sectional design with comparison of disease symptom severity between those exposed and not exposed to perinatal adversity. All of the significant and non-significant results in these articles were summarized in Table 3.

### Prenatal factors

Some prenatal factors were reported to be significantly associated with the severity of tic symptoms or comorbidities (Table 3). Pregnancy complications which included hypertension, infections, (pre)ecclampsia, psychosocial stress, or diabetes mellitus were reported to be associated with greater tic severity at a single time point as measured by the Yale Global Tic Severity Scale (YGTSS) [27]. However, these pregnancy complications were found to be associated with lower mean compulsive CYBOCS ratings in these patients [28]. In addition, severity of nausea/vomiting during pregnancy was also noted to be associated with current tic severity as measured using the TS Global Scale based on all available information (direct examination, review of videotapes, parental- and self-reports, school reports, and medical records) [24]. With respect to utero medication exposure, this was associated with global tic severity and a borderline higher
### Table 3 Pre and perinatal factors associated with tic severity and severity of co-morbid ADHD, OCD, and other disorders

| Factors | Results (exposed vs. Non-exposed) | P value | Directions of association | Case Number | Scales of measurements | Reference |
|---------|----------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------|
| **Pre-natal period** | | | | | | |
| Pregnancy complications | Current YGTSS 22.3+/−9.4 vs. 17.3+/−8.4 | p = 0.03 | ↑ | 70 | YGTSS | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |
| | 2.0 +−3.7 vs. 3.9 +−4.9 | p = 0.05 | ↓ | 110 | CYBOCS | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |
| | No difference in worst ever tic severity | p = 0.68 | - | 70 | YGTSS | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |
| | No difference in ADHD severity | p = 0.45 | - | 65 | ADHD rating scale | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |
| | No difference in tic severity | NS | - | 110 | YGTSS | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |
| | No difference in social behaviours | NS | - | 110 | CSBQ | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |
| | No difference in anxiety and depression | NS | - | 110 | RCADS | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |
| Severity of nausea/vomiting | Associated with current tic severity | p = 0.01 | ↑ | 31 | TS Global scale and C-GAS (adjusted for gender) | Leckman 1990 [24] |
| Number of medications exposed in utero | Associated with increased global tic severity | p < 0.00001 | ↑ | 180 | YGTSS | Mathews 2006 [26] |
| | Interference of OCS | p = 0.08 | ↑ | 180 | YGTSS | Mathews 2006 [26] |
| Maternal smoking | ADHD Severity 26.4 +−10.1 vs. 19.1 +−10.9 | p = 0.05 | ↑ | 70 | ADHD rating scale | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |
| | Associated with more severe ADHD symptoms modified by positive family history of mental disorders | p = 0.04 | ↑ | 70 | ADHD rating scale | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |
| | 9.1 +−4.1 vs. 6.1 +−4.1 | p = 0.05 | ↑ | 110 | MDD RCADS | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |
| | 6.6 +−3.8 vs. 4.4 +−3.7 | p = 0.05 | ↑ | 110 | CSBQ subscale orientation ratings | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |
| Positive association with tic severity | p < 0.00001 | ↑ | 180 | YGTSS | Mathews 2006 [26] |
| | Interference of OCS | p = 0.03 | ↑ | 180 | YGTSS | Mathews 2006 [26] |
| | No difference in current tic severity | p = 0.38 | - | 70 | YGTSS | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |
| | No difference in worst ever tic severity | p = 0.86 | - | 65 | YGTSS | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |
| | No difference in tic severity | NS | - | 110 | YGTSS | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |
| | No difference in obsessive compulsive severity | NS | - | 110 | CYBOCS | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |
Table 3 Pre and perinatal factors associated with tic severity and severity of comorbid ADHD, OCD, and other disorders (Continued)

| Maternal alcohol drinking | No difference in current tic severity | p = 0.13 | - | 70 | YGTSS | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |
| Maternal alcohol drinking | No difference in worst ever tic severity | p = 0.10 | - | 70 | YGTSS | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |
| Maternal alcohol drinking | No difference in ADHD severity | p = 0.71 | - | 65 | ADHD rating scale | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |

Psychological stress

Associated with current tic severity

| Psychological stress | p = 0.03 | ↑ | 31 | TS Global scale and C-GAS | Leckman 1990 [24] |

**Perinatal period**

Delivery complications

Worst ever YGTSS 28.7+/−9.1 vs. 23.4+/−7.3

| Delivery complications | p = 0.01 | ↑ | 70 | YGTSS | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |

Associated with lesser total YGTSS ratings after adjusting for age and gender modified by DRD4 3R allele

| Delivery complications | p = 0.02 | ↓ | 110 | YGTSS | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |

Associated with higher total anxiety ratings and total RCADS after adjusting for age and gender modified by DRD4 2R allele

| Delivery complications | p = 0.004 and 0.006 | ↑ | 110 | RCADS | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |

No difference in current tic severity

| Delivery complications | p = 0.82 | - | 70 | YGTSS | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |

No difference in ADHD severity

| Delivery complications | P = 0.13 | - | 65 | ADHD rating scale | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |

No difference in tic severity

| Delivery complications | NS | - | 110 | YGTSS | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |

No difference in obsessive compulsive severity

| Delivery complications | NS | - | 110 | CYBOCS | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |

No difference in social behaviours

| Delivery complications | NS | - | 110 | CSBQ | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |

No difference in anxiety and depression

| Delivery complications | NS | - | 110 | RCADS | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |

Delivery complications and other perinatal adversities

No difference in tic severity between TS twins

| Delivery complications and other perinatal adversities | NS | - | 13 pairs | Shapiro scales | Hyde 1992 [25] |

Severity of perinatal complications

Borderline associated with the tic severity

| Severity of perinatal complications | p = 0.07 | ↑ | 31 | TS Global scale and C-GAS (adjusted for gender) | Leckman 1990 [24] |

Number of perinatal complications

No association with the tic severity

| Number of perinatal complications | NS | - | 31 | TS Global scale and C-GAS (adjusted for gender) | Leckman 1990 [24] |
Table 3 Pre and perinatal factors associated with tic severity and severity of co-morbid ADHD, OCD, and other disorders (Continued)

**Neonatal factors**

| Factor                        | Description                                                                 | p-value | Pair Count | Scale(s)                  | Reference            |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| Birth Weight                  | Higher tic scores in lighter body weight twin on both Shapiro and YGTSS scales | p = 0.001 and 0.01 | 13 pairs   | Shapiro scales and YGTSS   | Hyde 1992 [25]       |
|                               | Within-pair body weight difference is correlated with within-pair tic score difference (rho = 0.72) | p < 0.005 | 13 pairs   | Shapiro scale              | Hyde 1992 [25]       |
| Birth order in TS twins       | Mean first-born tic score vs. mean second-born tic score: 7.1 + 6.5 vs. 8.4 + 5.6 | p = 0.57 | 8 pairs    | Shapiro scales and YGTSS   | Hyde 1992 [25]       |
| Handedness in TS twins        | Tic score in right-handed vs. left-handed: 10.6 + 4.7 vs. 6.1 + 4.6         | p = 0.13 | 7 pairs    | Shapiro scales and YGTSS   | Hyde 1992 [25]       |

**Postnatal period**

| Factor                        | Description                                                                 | p-value | Pair Count | Scale(s)                  | Reference            |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| First-week postnatal complications | No difference in current tic severity                                        | p = 0.87 | 69         | YGTSS                     | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |
|                               | No difference in worst ever tic severity                                    | p = 0.74 | 69         | YGTSS                     | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |
|                               | No difference in ADHD severity                                              | p = 0.83 | 64         | ADHD rating scale         | Bos-Veneman 2010 [27] |

ADHD: Attention Deficit Hyperactive disorder; C-GAS: Children’s Global Severity Scale; CI: confidence interval; CSBQ: Children’s Social Behavior Questionnaire; CYBOCS: Children’s Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive scale; DRD4: Dopamine receptor D4; MDD: Major Depression Disorder; NS: non-significant; OCD: Obsessive Compulsive Disorder; OCS: Obsessive-Compulsive Symptoms; OR: odds ratio; RCADS: Revised Child Anxiety and Depression Scale; TS: Tourette’s syndrome; YGTSS: Yale Global Tic Severity Scale; Borderline (0.05 <= p < 0.10) or significant (p < 0.05) results are marked with the association directions, statistically significant results shown in bold.
risk for obsessive compulsive symptoms but a lower risk for symptoms of ADHD in individuals with TS [26].

In addition to the prenatal factors mentioned above, some studies have investigated the association of tic severity or comorbidity severity with maternal smoking, maternal alcohol use, psychological stress during pregnancy, and the overall number of prenatal problems (Table 3). More severe ADHD rating scale scores, measured with the parent version of the ADHD Rating Scale, were associated with maternal smoking (smoking versus non-smoking: 26.4 ± 10.1 versus 19.1 ± 10.9, p = 0.05) [27]. This association was more prominent when there was a positive family history of mental disorders (p = 0.04) [27]. In another study, higher depression and autism scale scores, measured with the major depressive disorder subscale of the Revised Child Anxiety and Depression Scale (RCADS) and the parent version of the Children’s Social Behaviour Questionnaire (CSBQ), were noted in TS patients with a history of exposure to maternal smoking [28]. Maternal smoking was also reported to be associated with greater worst ever lifetime tic severity as measured by the YGTSS, and more obsessive compulsive symptoms in another study [26]. These results suggest an association between maternal smoking and tic, ADHD and OCD severity in individuals with TS. In addition to maternal smoking, maternal psychological stress was noted to be associated with greater tic severity [24]. With regard to the prenatal alcohol exposure, there was no association between maternal alcohol use and tic severity or ADHD comorbidity [27].

**Perinatal factors**

The presence of delivery complications including meconium-stained amniotic fluid, premature rupture of the membranes, nuchal cord, fetal bradycardia, placenta praevia, or artificial delivery was reported to be associated with higher worst ever tic severity (presence versus absence: 28.7 ± 9.1 vs. 23.4 ± 7.3, p = 0.01) as measured by the YGTSS [27].

Dopaminergic genes are candidate genes in TS studies given that alterations in dopaminergic neurotransmission are believed to contribute to the etiology of tics. In a study investigating the interaction between the dopamine receptor D4 (DRD4) gene and perinatal factors associated with tic severity, TS patients with the DRD4 3R allele and a history of delivery complications had a lower total tic scale rating, measured with the YGTSS, compared to individuals without the DRD4 3R allele (p = 0.02) [28]. The same study also found that in patients with the DRD4 2R allele, higher total anxiety ratings and total Revised Child Anxiety and Depression Scale scores (RCADS) were noted in TS patients with delivery complications (p = 0.004 and 0.006 respectively). These results suggest an interaction of genetic and environmental factors on the severity of tics and associated comorbidities in TS patients.

**Neonatal factors**

In a twin study evaluating birth weight and lifetime tic severity, the twin with lower birth weight had higher tic scores measured with Shapiro Symptom Check List and YGTSS [25]. In the same study, the within-pair body weight difference was linearly correlated with the within-pair tic score difference measured with the Shapiro score (rho = 0.72, p < 0.005) [25]. These findings suggested that lower birth weights were associated with the greater tic severity between identical twins. In addition, these associations had a linear dose–response relationship. These results suggest that lower birth weight is associated with higher tic scores in TS patients.

**Time trends and seasonal variation**

There was one article investigating time trends in the incidence of Tourette syndrome in a population-based cohort [30]. In this study, a statistically significant increase was found in the cumulative incidence across specific birth years for TS from 1990 to 1995. For example, for children age 9, the cumulative incidences are 2.3 (95% CI: 1.4-3.1), 2.4 (95% CI: 1.5-3.2), and 3.9 (95% CI: 2.9-4.9) for birth cohort in 1990–1991, 1992–1993, and 1994–1995, respectively. The study could not identify if there were environmental factors including pre- or perinatal adversities associated with this increase in incidence, or whether this was due to an improvement in identification and diagnosis of tic disorders over this time period. Another study was performed using the same cohort data to evaluate the seasonal variation in the incidence of TS. The results found that there was no evidence of seasonal variation of birth and TS incidence [29].

**Other types of studies**

Some indirect evidence also suggests that perinatal difficulties may play a role in the onset of TS. In one study investigating TS probands and relatives’ risks for TS, perinatal difficulties in TS probands were inversely related to the recurrence risk among first-degree relatives of TS probands [36]. This suggests that TS probands with perinatal problems had a lower risk of TS in first degree relatives. It provides indirect evidence that part of the risk for TS in these probands was related to these perinatal insults. In another study investigating the association between neonatal cranial ultrasound abnormalities and the prevalence of tic disorders in low-birth-weight children, the prevalence of tic disorders at age of 6 was significantly associated with parenchymal lesions and/or ventricular enlargement in neonatal cranial ultrasound examinations (OR = 8.7, 95% CI: 1.3-57.7, p = 0.02) [39]. These results...
suggest that neonatal brain injury might increase the risk for the onset of tic disorders.

Discussion

Overall, there were few articles investigating the association between pre- and perinatal adversities and the onset and severity of TS, and the presence and severity of comorbid disorders. Although some studies have reported associations between pre- and perinatal factors and TS, many of the results are inconsistent. Taken as a whole, there is only very weak evidence to support that pre- and perinatal adversity are associated with the development of TS, TS symptom severity and the presence or severity of comorbidities.

One of the major issues regarding the available literature on the relationship between TS and pre and perinatal risk factors is the overall poor methodological quality of the studies performed to date. Only five studies evaluated data on perinatal events that was collected prospectively on registered birth certificates or as part of a birth registry. The majority of studies used clinically ascertained samples of individuals with TS, which may differ substantially in disease severity than those ascertained through population based means. The available data are therefore susceptible to bias.

In comparing the results of the case control studies using birth certificate data with those who ascertained information about perinatal events from mothers retrospectively, there is no clear difference in the strength of association between TS and various perinatal risk factors. Burd [11] reported significantly earlier prenatal care, more prenatal visits, a lower odds of having care begun after the first trimester (three highly related factors), and lower Apgar scores at 5 minutes among cases than controls. Pasamanick [18] did not detect any significant relationships between abnormalities during the pre or perinatal period and TS.

Of the five studies examining the relationship between perinatal adversity and TS and comorbidity symptom severity, the majority of positive results reported would not remain significant after appropriate statistical correction for multiple hypothesis testing. The exception is the finding reported by Matthews [26] of the association between increased global tic severity and the number of medications reported in utero and maternal smoking (both p < 0.00001).

Of all the risk factors studied, maternal smoking and low birth weight appear to be the only risk factor with consistent significant associations. Maternal smoking was reported to be associated with the presence of comorbid ADHD and OCD, and TS, ADHD and OCD symptom severity. Although these results suggest a connection between maternal smoking and the onset of TS, other factors linking these exposures to TS need to be considered.

Nicotine has a therapeutic effect for some TS and ADHD patients [41-46]. Nicotine has been shown to reduce complex tics and improve behaviours related to inattention in TS patients [41]. Individuals with ADHD have a higher risk of smoking and nicotine dependence from adolescence to young adulthood [47]. If mothers with tics or ADHD smoke, the association of maternal smoking and TS in the offspring might be due to heritability of these disorders rather than smoking itself. Many individuals are unaware of their tics and are never diagnosed with TS and therefore may deny a family history of the disorder. This confounding effect should be carefully examined in future studies to clarify the association of prenatal smoking exposure and the onset or severity of TS, and the presence and severity of comorbid ADHD and OCD.

Most studies did not report significant associated neonatal factors with TS, except for low birth weight. Low birth weight was found to be associated with comorbid ADHD and tic severity. Low birth weight may be an independent factor associated with TS or comorbidities, or may be a consequence of prenatal adversity. Although this effect would be minimized in twin studies, further investigations are necessary to identify whether low birth weight itself is associated with TS or if it is the proxy of other prenatal insults.

The limitations of this systematic review include the overall poor methodological quality of the included studies, with differing study designs and analytic methods, and extreme diversity of measurements among different studies. Definitions of prenatal or perinatal adversities differed between studies, and were most commonly retrospectively ascertained. Finally, as the clinical symptoms of TS wax and wane during the disease course, the measurement of tic severity at a single point of time does not truly represent disease severity for patients. Although it is difficult to draw a valid conclusion from this literature, many pre-and perinatal adversities were reported to be associated with the onset of TS, the presence of comorbidities, and the severity of tics and comorbidities. Future studies are likely to make a significant contribution, especially if they include population derived cohorts, use data on perinatal events that was obtained prospectively, and use methods to control for multiple hypothesis testing. Standards for defining pre and perinatal events should be adhered to, and TS symptom severity should be measured at multiple time points if this outcome is being considered.

The mechanism through which prenatal and perinatal events lead to TS onset or worsening tic severity is unknown. These factors could contribute to microscopic abnormalities in brain structure or function that lead to the development of tics. TS is a neurodevelopmental disorder which shares common features with autism and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Dysfunction of the dopaminergic system has been implicated in all three
disorders, and evidence from animal studies suggest that prenatal stress may cause changes in the dopaminergic system [48-51]. The frequent comorbidity of these neurodevelopmental disorders in clinical practice suggests a common pathophysiological basis, with shared prenatal and perinatal risk factors in autism and ADHD supporting these relationships [52-54].

Conclusions
In conclusion, this systematic review provides weak evidence for an association between pre- and perinatal adversity and the onset of TS, comorbid disorders, and the severity of tics, ADHD and OCD symptoms. Of all the risk factors studied, maternal smoking and low birth weight appear to be most consistently implicated. Studies of higher methodological quality are needed in order to better understand the relationship between prenatal and perinatal events and TS.
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