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Preparation, Physicochemical Characterization, and Bioactivity Evaluation of Strontium-Containing Glass Ionomer Cement
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Background. Glass ionomer cements are one of the most important restorative materials in dentistry. One of the disadvantages of glass ionomer cements is their undesirable mechanical properties and bioactivity. Aim. The aim of this work was preparation and characterization of strontium-containing glass ionomer cement and evaluation of its bioactivity in the simulated body fluid.

Materials and Methods. The ceramic component of glass ionomer cement was made by melting method. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) was used to study the size and the shape of glass particles. In order to determine the phase combination in the produced material, X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was carried out. The chemical composition of the glass was evaluated by X-ray florescence (XRF), and the surface area of the particles was determined using BET method. In order to investigate the biological properties of the glass, samples were immersed in simulated body fluid (SBF). Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and scanning electron microscope (SEM) were used to recognize and confirm the apatite layer on the composite surface.

Results and Conclusions. The result of X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis confirmed the glassy structure of the produced ionomer cements. The result of XRF confirmed the presence of Sr in the chemical composition. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy test and electron microscope confirmed the formation of apatite layer on the surface of material. The final result of this research was gaining glass ionomer cement containing Sr with improved bioactivity.

1. Introduction

Due to desirable characteristics such as chemical-physical bonding to hydrophilic tissues of enamel and dentine, microlaque reduction, long-term release of fluoride, capability of absorbing fluoride and storing it, low thermal expansion coefficient, and biocompatibility with pulp and gum tissues, use of glass ionomer cements is increasing in recent years [1–3].

Despite the mentioned advantages, this kind of materials has disadvantages too that limit their use in some areas. One of their disadvantages is their brittleness that, as a result, the material has no resistive capability against chewing forces. The other main disadvantages of these materials could be regarded as long-term hardening, sensitivity to humidity (that requires water balancing maintenance during working with these materials), surface roughness, lack of adequate strength, high erosion, opaqueness, and short life. Enormous efforts have been done since the invention of glass ionomer to modify the defects, such that most of the problems today have been rectified in new glass ionomers [3–5]. The glass ionomer cement compounds are complex and variable, and two commercial samples are not even similar with regard to their compounds, and they may also be different qualitatively. But, some chemical characteristics are common in these materials. The main material forming glass ionomer cement is calcium fluoridoluminosilicate. The approximate compound of a common glass ionomer is shown in Table 1 [6]. The three main forming parts of applicable glass ionomer cements in dentistry include silica (SiO₂), alumina (Al₂O₃), and calcium...
2. Materials and Methods

The used primary materials in this research for producing the ceramic part of glass ionomer cement include aluminum oxide (Al₂O₃), silicon oxide (SiO₂), fluoride strontium (SrF), aluminum phosphate (AlPO₄), and calcium fluoride (CaF₂) with the purity of 99.8%. All the raw materials were produced by Merck Co. (Germany). The ceramic part of glass ionomer cement was produced by the melting method. First, a defined weight percentage of the mentioned oxides was prepared and mixed in a ball mill with aluminum balls for homogenizing the powders with each other. Then, a defined amount of raw materials were placed in an electric melting furnace (Atash, 1500) and heated for three hours with the rate of 5°C/min, to reach the temperature of 1400°C. For the melting of materials, an alumina pot was used, thus, in the condition that the determined amount of raw materials was in the pot at the temperature of 1400°C; a series of chemical reactions including melting, decomposition, and oxidation took place. Melted glass resulted from melting the mentioned crystalline materials at 1400°C. The glass was cooled at ambient temperature and underwent shattering process for 5 hours in a ball mill (Fritsch, Pulverisette 5) with zirconium chamber resistant to erosion and by observing parameters such as the ratio of the ball to powder, number of balls, and rotational speed. The obtained powder at this stage was passed through a 200 mesh sieve (equivalent to 76 μ) in order to be defined as glass powder according to ASTM [10]. The obtained powder is the ceramic part of glass ionomer cement. The produced ceramic powder in the next stage was mixed with a polymer liquid (polyacrylic acid) and the glass ionomer samples were prepared for the tests. First, the glass ionomer powder was dispersed on a cool plate for preparing the samples. Then, half of the dispersed powder was placed slowly into the polymer liquid (polyacrylic acid) and mixed rapidly at a time of 20 seconds. Then, the second part of the powder was placed completely into the mixture that took place only in 20 seconds. The final mixture had a shiny and wet surface, and the working time on it was about 30 to 45 seconds at this stage. Afterwards, the final mixture was poured into aluminum molds with the height of 6 mm and diameter of 4 mm. After proper drying, the samples were removed from the molds, prepared for the next test stages. Measuring the specific surface area of glass ionomer powder particles was carried out using BET method (Sorptometer Kelvin 1042, Costech), and the density of the produced glass ionomer particles was measured using the replacing method of fluid (Archimedes). X-ray fluorescence elemental analysis (XRF, Bruker, S4 PIONEER, Germany) was used to confirm the presence of oxides in the final compound of glass ionomer powder. Also the phase and glassy structure of glass ionomer powder were analyzed by X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD, Philips Xpert). To analyze the morphology and microstructure of the cement powder, a scanning electron microscope (SEM) and X-ray fluorescence elemental analysis (XRF, Bruker, S4 PIONEER, Germany) was used. Finally, for evaluating and confirmation of the glass ionomer powder bioactivity, the glass particles were soaked in the simulated body fluid (SBF). The amount of apatite formation (as the sign of bioactivity) on the glass cement powder was considered after 28 days of soaking in the simulated body fluid, by fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR, 6300, JASCÔ, Japan) and scanning electron microscope (SEM) [11].

3. Results

3.1. Phase Analysis (XRD). The pattern of X-ray diffraction from glass ionomer powder sample is shown in Figure 1 (before compounding with the polymer liquid). As observed from the figure, no apparent peak was detected in the pattern of X-ray diffraction. This was an indication of amorphic and glassy structure of the produced glass ionomer powder.

3.2. Measuring the Specific Surface Area (BET). The specific surface area of the produced glass ionomer particles that was measured by BET (Brunauer-Emmett-Teller) method was 0.73 m²/igr. Assuming spherical shape and similar size of the particles, the average of the glass ionomer particles sizes could
be calculated by [12]

\[ D = \frac{6}{S \cdot \rho}, \]

where “D” is the average dimension of particles in micron, “S” is the measured value by equipment (BET) (specific surface area), and “\( \rho \)” is the density of powder particles. By replacing the variables, the average size of each glass ionomer particle was measured to be approximately 3.656 \( \mu \)m. After relevant calculation, the density of the powder was determined to be 2.2 gr/cc (\( \rho = M_{\text{Glass}} / V_{\text{Glass}} = 2.2 \) gr/cc).

3.3. Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) and Energy Dispersive X-Ray Analysis (EDXA). Figure 2 shows the ceramic part of produced glass ionomer cement. The point noticed by the images is the irregular shape and the size of less than 100 micrometer of the glass particles. The SEM images from the integrated glassy structure of glass ionomers (mixture of glass ionomer powder and polymer liquid (polyacrylic acid)) are shown in Figure 3. The produced ceramic part was properly mixed with the polymer liquid, and subsequently the glass ionomer cement was properly strengthened. The rather homogeneous and flat surface of glass ionomer is clearly observed in the images taken by SEM.

The identified chemical elements in glass cement and their weight percentages that were obtained using energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDXA) are seen in Table 3. The identified carbon in the cement is related to the existing carbon in carboxylic acid (the polymer part of glass ionomer cement). Other identified elements are related to the ceramic part of cement and are as expected. Strontium is also observed in the results obtained from the chemical compound analysis and is as expected, too, although the weight percentages of the elements obtained by this method are not quite accurate.

3.4. X-Ray Fluorescence Analysis (XRF). Element analysis by XRF method was performed on glass ionomer powder to confirm the presence of oxides in the compound, according to the considered weight percents. The resulted findings were similar to the calculated composition for the sample (Table 2). This result showed that the chemical compounds of the ceramic part of glass ionomer cement by the melting method were to a great extent similar to the expected weight rates.

### Table 2: The amount of the existent materials in produced glass ionomer (X-ray fluorescence analysis result).

| Wt%   | Chemical composition | Compounds       |
|-------|----------------------|-----------------|
| 39    | SiO\(_2\)            | Quartz          |
| 25.5  | Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)       | Alumina         |
| 16.5  | AlPO\(_4\)           | Aluminum phosphate |
| 12    | CaF\(_2\)            | Fluorite        |
| 7     | SrF                  | Strontium fluoride |

3.5. Bioactivity Evaluation of Glass Ionomer Cement in the Simulated Body Fluid (SBF). The potential of apatite formation in SBF was considered and analyzed on the surface of glass ionomer cement as one of the signs of bioactive behavior.

3.6. Evaluation of Bioactivity by SEM. The amount of participated apatite on the surface of glass ionomer powder was considered by SEM. It is to note that comparison of SEM images is totally qualitative. The surface of glass ionomer cement before soaking in SBF is shown in Figure 4(a). Figures 4(b) and 4(c) show the surface of the same sample after 28 days of soaking in the fluid. As it can clearly be seen, the rather flat and homogeneous surface of the cement has been transformed to a rather porous and inhomogeneous surface after the soaking. The lighter color dispersed particles are the apatite particles participated on the cement surface from the simulated body fluid. Since glass ionomer cement is not recognized as a bioactive ceramic, the very little formation of apatite compounds on it is quite well justified.

3.7. Evaluation of Bioactivity Using Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometer (FTIR). The results of bioactivity evaluation of glass ionomer powder, carried out by fourier transform infrared spectrometer, are shown in Figure 5. Figure 5(a) shows the glass ionomer cement powder sample before soaking in SBF, and Figure 5(b) is related to the powder sample after soaking in SBF for 28 days at the temperature of 37°C. As it can be observed in Figure 5(a), there are three peaks related to vibrations \( v_1, v_2, \) and \( v_4 \), from phosphate groups clearly identified in theapatite compound. The related peaks to vibrations \( v_1, v_3, \) and \( v_4 \) have appeared in wavelength of 465 cm\(^{-1}\), 974 cm\(^{-1}\), and 1112 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. Created peaks at wavelengths 1398 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1625 cm\(^{-1}\) are, respectively, related to symmetric and asymmetric tensile
Figure 2: The SEM images of ceramic parts of the glass ionomer cement in different magnifications.

Figure 3: The SEM images of glass ionomer cement (ceramic part mixed with polyacrylic acid liquid) in different magnifications.
vibrations of COO$^-$ (in carboxylic acid salt compounds) in glass ionomer cement powder [13, 14]. Finally, the two observed peaks at wavelengths 666 cm$^{-1}$ and 3434 cm$^{-1}$ are related to hydrostructures in the glass ionomer compound.

By increasing the soaking time of glass ionomer powder, it is expected that [13]

(i) the relative intensity of phosphate peaks is to increase;

(ii) C–O tension in CO$_3^{2-}$ groups is to be observed within the range of 1413–1453 cm$^{-1}$;

(iii) a wide peak is to be observed at 1530–1650 cm$^{-1}$ range.

Phosphate peaks in Figure 5(b) had relative increase in the ranges of 465–594 cm$^{-1}$ and 960–1200 cm$^{-1}$. The C–O tensile peak is observed at the wavelength of 1461 cm$^{-1}$, and the presence of this peak confirms the formation of apatite carbonate layer on the surface of glass ionomer powder [14–16]. Also, the established peaks at 1555 cm$^{-1}$ and 1631 cm$^{-1}$ express that some of the carboxylate salt compounds are formed on the soaking powder.

The obtained results from FTIR show that the produced powder has an appropriate bioactive behavior.

4. Discussion

The results of X-ray diffraction showed that the produced glass ionomer powder has a completely amorphous and glassy structure. The results indicate the formation of a glass structure in glass cement. The obtained results were in
conformity with the reported results by Hesarak et al. [7] and Moshaverinia et al. [11]. The obtained sizes of glass particles (less than 100 micrometer) had proper conformity with the commercial example (Fuji, Japan). The irregular shape of the particles is due to milling them after getting the solidified melt. The more the time of milling is, the more the particle sizes will be smaller. The size of less than 100 micrometer for the glass particles produced by the melting method has also been reported by the researchers. The size of glass particles has great role in their performance after being mixed with polymer liquid (polyacrylic acid). The SEM images of the glass cement (mixed powder with the liquid) indicate an integrated glass phase of produced glass ionomer. Integrity and homogeneity of the final phase have great effects on the clinical performance of glass cement.

The result from analyzing the chemical compound of glass cement showed that the chemical compound of the ceramic part of glass ionomer cement produced by the melting method is to a great extent proportional to the expected weight percent of the materials. Existence of strontium in the glass compound will improve the mechanical properties as well as the clinical performance and biological characteristics of the cement. This has also been proved by other researchers [7].

Regarding the history of strontium, this element (Sr) is one of the ions known to reduce bone resorption and accelerate bone healing processes. Consequently, several studies have been also conducted on the use of Sr ions in the composition of bioceramic-based biomaterials. Landi et al. [17] synthesized Sr-substituted hydroxyapatite and studied its mechanical, physicochemical, and structural properties. There are, however, limited studies on strontium-containing bioactive glass bone substitutes. The role of Sr on the structure and reactivity of dental ionomer glasses has been reported by Boyd et al. [18]. Abou Neel et al. [19] reported some structural and physical characteristics of melt-derived phosphate glasses based on Na₂O-CaO-SrO-P₂O₅ system. Both in vitro reactivity and in vivo reactivity of a melt-derived bioglass system based on SrO-CaO-ZnO-SiO₂ were also evaluated by Towler et al. [20], and it was found from their results that the glass is incapable of forming an apatite layer in simulated body fluid (SBF). Against this result, Hesaraki et al. showed that adding Sr to calcium silicophosphate glasses will improve the apatite layer formation in simulated body fluid (SBF) [7].

As stated before, the obtained results from SEM and FTIR showed that the chemical reaction of glass ionomer powder with regard to the simulated body fluid (SBF) leads to participation of apatite layer on the powder surface. Formation of apatite layer after soaking in SBF indicates that the glass ionomer cement is bioactive [11]. Hence, the produced glass ionomer powder in this research could be used in dentistry and even orthopedic cases as a bioactive material.

5. Conclusions

The results from this study demonstrate that the strontium-containing glass ionomer cement can be produced using melting method. The characterization tests confirmed the glassy structure of the produced ionomer cements. The result of XRF confirmed the presence of Sr in the chemical composition. FTIR and SEM confirmed the formation of apatite layer on the surface of material. Therefore, the produced glass ionomer powder in this research is a bioactive material. Also, existence of strontium in the glass compound will improve the bioactivity of GIC in simulated body fluid. The final result of this research was producing glass ionomer cement containing Sr with improved in vitro bioactivity.
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