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Epichloë fungal endophytes are broadly found in cool-season grasses. The symbiosis between these grasses and Epichloë may improve the abiotic and biotic resistance of the grass plant, but some Epichloë species produce alkaloids that are toxic for livestock. Therefore, it is important to understand the characteristics of the grass-Epichloë s symbiosis so that the beneficial aspects can be preserved and the toxic effects to livestock can be avoided. Since the 1990s, Chinese researchers have conducted a series of studies on grass-Epichloë symbiosis. In this review, we describe the current state of Epichloë endophyte research in Chinese native grasses. We found that more than 77 species of native grasses in China are associated with Epichloë endophytes. In addition, we review the effects of various Epichloë species on native grass responses to abiotic and biotic stress, phylogeny, and alkaloid production. We provide an overview of the study of Epichloë species on native grasses in China and directions for future research.
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INTRODUCTION

Fungi of the genus Epichloë (Clavicipitaceae, Ascomycota) and their asexual state (Neotyphodium) are common endophytes of cool-season grasses in the subfamily Pooideae (Leuchtmann et al., 2014). Most previous research has indicated that asexual Epichloë species (29 species) are efficiently transmitted through host seeds (vertical transmission) (White et al., 1993; Leuchtmann et al., 2014). However, some recent studies have suggested that strictly asexual Epichloë endophytes are occasionally transmitted horizontally among plants in close proximity via frequent mowing, trampling, and grazing (Cheplick and Faeth, 2009; Iannone et al., 2009; Wiewióra et al., 2015; Saikkonen et al., 2016), and by conidia from epiphytic mycelia (Tadych et al., 2007, 2012; Oberhofer and Leuchtmann, 2014) via splashing water and possibly wind. Sexual Epichloë species (12 species) are transmitted to new hosts with filamentous ascospores in addition to conidia (horizontal transmission) (Leuchtmann et al., 2014; Saikkonen et al., 2016). Leuchtmann et al. (2014) renamed the anamorphs of Neotyphodium as the asexual endophyte genus Epichloë and examined the classification of sexual and asexual Epichloë species and varieties based on β-tubulin (tubB) sequences.

Epichloë species often provide numerous benefits to their hosts, such as increased tolerance to drought (Malinowski and Belesky, 2000; Kannadan and Rudgers, 2008; Gundel et al., 2013b), disease resistance (Vignale et al., 2013), resistance to herbivory and parasitism (Bush et al., 1997; Schardl et al., 2007; Gundel et al., 2013a), and enhanced aboveground and belowground vegetative
and reproductive growth (Marks et al., 1991; Clay and Holah, 1999; Yue et al., 2000; Gundel et al., 2013b; Tadych et al., 2014). Previous studies have confirmed that certain alkaloids play a crucial role in a plant’s pasture persistence. For example, lolines and peramine may confer significant toxicity against insect pests (Johnson et al., 1985, 2013; Schardl et al., 2013; Philippe, 2016). However, conflicting results have been reported. When Lolium perenne was grown under conditions of extremely poor nutrient availability, Epichloë festucae var. lolii infection led to a reduced root: shoot ratio and reduced photosynthetic shoot fraction (Cheplick, 2007). Some symbiont combinations, such as Schedonorus arundinaceus with Epichloë coenophiala and Lolium perenne with E. festucae var. lolii, accumulate alkaloids that are toxic to grazing animals (Di Menna et al., 2012; Schardl et al., 2013; Philippe, 2016). On the other hand, sexual Epichloë species could result in “choke disease” in host plants, in which sexual Epichloë species produce stromata that envelop the inflorescences and upper leaf sheaths of flowering culms; this leads to a reduced number of offspring (Lembicz et al., 2010).

Various Epichloë species have been discovered in China, but have not been formally taxonomically described. There are two reasons for this lack of taxonomic data: (i) the limited number of researchers in this field and (ii) insufficient knowledge on the identification and classification of Epichloë species. To address the latter issue, Chinese researchers are establishing collaborations with international institutes. The topic of hybrid occurrence in Chinese Epichloë species is not discussed in-depth in this manuscript because few Epichloë species are confirmed to be of hybrid origin. However, known hybrid species from native grasses appear to have the same two ancestors, for two main reasons. First, researchers have only confirmed some Epichloë species crosses for the Epichloë bromicola × Epichloë typhina complex. Second, hybrid species are distributed in the same and similar natural and geographic environments. Accordingly, these hybrid species underwent the same hybridization process, but are hosted by different grasses. This topic will be discussed in future reviews when more data are available on hybrid endophytes.

We have built a long-term collaboration with Prof. Christopher L. Schardl from the University of Kentucky and Prof. German Spangenberg from the Australian Academy of Technological Sciences and Engineering. With their help, two kinds of Epichloë endophytes in drunken horse grass were confirmed. The whole genome sequencing of an Epichloë endophyte in Festuca sinensis is near completion. These studies will push Epichloë research to a new level in China. We firmly believe that the research prospects with respect to Epichloë species are bright in our country.

### The Distribution and Diversity of Grass-Epichloë Symbiosis

More than 77 species of native grasses in China have been documented as infected with Epichloë species (Nan and Li, 2000; Li et al., 2004, 2006b, 2009, 2012b; Wang et al., 2005; Wei et al., 2006; Moon et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2009; Ji et al., 2009, 2011, 2012; Kang et al., 2009, 2011a; Zhan et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2009, 2011a; Han et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2013; Card et al., 2014; Leuchtmann et al., 2014). The endophytes have been found in the following grass genera: Achnatherum, Agropyron, Agrostis, Brachypodium, Bromus, Calamagrostis, Cleistogenes, Dactylis, Deschampsia, Elymus, Elyttrigia, Eragrostis, Festuca, Hordeum, Koeleria, Leymus, Melica, Poa, Polypogon, Roegneria, and Stipa (Table 1). Among these, many species of Triticeae, Stipeae, and Poeae have been reported as infected and some new Epichloë species have been described from these tribes (Li et al., 2004, 2006b; Wei et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2009; Kang et al., 2009, 2011a; Zhu et al., 2013). To date, nine Epichloë species have been identified from Chinese native grasses (Li et al., 2009; Leuchtmann et al., 2014). Unfortunately, many isolates from Chinese native grasses have not been identified to the species level based on morphology and DNA data (Table 1). For example, an Epichloë endophyte was isolated from Festuca sinensis (Figure 1). We found that this Epichloë endophyte is likely a new species, based on phylogenetic trees constructed using many markers. However, this research is still in progress. We posit that many Epichloë species new to science could be infecting Chinese native grasses.

Most Epichloë species are asexual endophytes without external symptoms in their Chinese host grasses (Leuchtmann et al., 2014), such as E. bromicola, E. gansuensis, E. gansuensis var. inebrians, E. sibirica, and E. sinica. However, Dactylis glomerata (Li et al., 2009), Roegneria kamoji (Li et al., 2006b), and Poa pratensis ssp. pratensis (Kang et al., 2011a) can also be infected with sexual Epichloë species. Although most Chinese Epichloë endophytes are not hybrids, E. sinofestucae (from F. parviglumis) (Chen et al., 2009), E. sinica (from Roegneria spp.) (Kang et al., 2009), E. liyangensis (from P. pratensis ssp. pratensis) (Kang et al., 2011a), and E. sp. (from F. myuros) (Han et al., 2012) are hybrids of E. bromicola and E. typhina (Table 1). E. bromicola is abundant in its host genera Elymus, Hordeum, and Roegneria, including some of the most widely distributed grass species native to China. The hybrid species E. liyangensis, E. sinica, E. sinofestucae, and other Epichloë spp. have a common ancestor, e.g., the sexual E. bromicola from R. kamoji in China.

Interestingly, Epichloë endophytes in natural grasses are morphologically diverse, e.g., the species that infect Achnatherum sibiricum (Wei et al., 2007) and Elymus species (Song et al., 2015b). Ren et al. (2009) isolated 484 Epichloë endophytes from seven populations of A. sibiricum in Inner Mongolia, China and detected five morphotypes that also exhibited different magnitudes of inhibition of Rhizoctonia solani, Fusarium oxysporum, Carvularia lunata, Cladosporium cucumerinum, and Phomopsis vexans. Researchers have also detected morphological differences along an altitudinal gradient. Epichloë isolates from populations of Elymus above 3000 m present similar morphological traits, while Epichloë populations below 3000 m are morphologically variable (Song et al., 2015b). Asexual Epichloë endophytes below 3000 m tend to grow faster on potato dextrose agar than asexual Epichloë endophytes above 3000 m (Song et al., 2015b). In addition, a phylogenetic analysis showed that Epichloë endophytes above 3000 m form a clade, but isolates from regions below 3000 m belong to several clades (Song et al., 2015b).
TABLE 1 | Summary of *Epichloë* endophytes in Chinese native grasses.

| Endophyte          | Isolate | Host species          | Host tribe     | Hybrid status            | Sexual reproduction | References                  |
|--------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| *Epichloë* bromicola | Yes     | *Brachypodium sylvaticum* | Brachypodieae | Not observed             | Observed            | Ji et al., 2011, 2012       |
| *Epichloë* bromicola | Yes     | *Bromus magnus*       | Bromae         | Non-hybrid               | Not observed        | Zhang et al., 2013          |
| *Epichloë* bromicola | Yes     | *Elymus dahuricus*    | Triticaceae    | Non-hybrid               | Not observed        | Song and Nan, 2015          |
| *Epichloë* bromicola | Yes     | *Elymus dahuricus var. cylindricus* | Triticaceae | Non-hybrid               | Not observed        | Song and Nan, 2015          |
| *Epichloë* bromicola | Yes     | *Elymus excelsus*     | Triticaceae    | Non-hybrid               | Not observed        | Song and Nan, 2015          |
| *Epichloë* bromicola | Yes     | *Elymus nutans*       | Triticaceae    | Non-hybrid               | Not observed        | Song and Nan, 2015          |
| *Epichloë* bromicola | Yes     | *Elymus tangutorum*   | Triticaceae    | Non-hybrid               | Not observed        | Song and Nan, 2015          |
| *Epichloë* bromicola | Yes     | *Elymus tibeticus*    | Triticaceae    | Non-hybrid               | Not observed        | Song and Nan, 2015          |
| *Epichloë* bromicola | Yes     | *Leymus chinensis*    | Triticaceae    | Non-hybrid               | Not observed        | Wei et al., 2006; Zhu et al., 2013 |
| *Epichloë* bromicola | Yes     | *Roegneria kamoji*    | Triticaceae    | Non-hybrid               | Observed            | Li et al., 2006b            |
| *Epichloë* gansuensis | Yes    | *Achnatherum inebrians* | Stipeae       | Non-hybrid               | Not observed        | Nan and Li, 2000; Li et al., 2004 |
| *Epichloë* gansuensis | Yes    | *Achnatherum pekinense* | Stipeae       | Non-hybrid               | Not observed        | Leuchtmann et al., 2014    |
| *Epichloë* gansuensis var. inebrians | Yes | *Achnatherum inebrians* | Stipeae       | Non-hybrid               | Not observed        | Moon et al., 2007          |
| *Epichloë* liyangensis | Yes | *Poa pratensis ssp. pratensis* | Poeae       | Hybrid-*Epichloë* bromicola x *Epichloë* typhina complex | Observed | Kang et al., 2011a |
| *Epichloë* sibirica | Yes     | *Achnatherum sibiricum* | Stipeae       | Non-hybrid               | Not observed        | Zhang et al., 2009          |
| *Epichloë* sinica | Yes     | *Roegneria spp.*      | Triticaceae    | Hybrid-*Epichloë* bromicola x *Epichloë* typhina complex | Not observed        | Kang et al., 2009          |
| *Epichloë* sinofestucae | Yes | *Festuca parvigluma* | Poeae       | Hybrid-*Epichloë* bromicola x *Epichloë* typhina complex | Not observed        | Chen et al., 2009          |
| *Epichloë* stromatolonga | Yes | *Calamagrostis epigeios* | Aveneae       | Non-hybrid               | Not observed        | Ji et al., 2009             |
| *Epichloë* typhina | Yes     | *Dactylis glomerata*  | Poeae         | Non-hybrid               | Observed            | Li et al., 2009             |
| *Epichloë* sp. No | No     | *Achnatherum purpurascens* | Stipeae       | Not observed             | Not observed        | Wei et al., 2006            |
| *Epichloë* sp. No | No     | *Achnatherum splendens* | Stipeae       | Not observed             | Not observed        | Nan and Li, 2000; Wei et al., 2006 |
| *Epichloë* sp. No | No     | *Agropyron cristatum cvr. pectiniforme* | Triticaceae | Not observed             | Not observed        | Wei et al., 2006            |
| *Epichloë* sp. No | No     | *Agropyron desertorum* | Triticaceae    | Not observed             | Not observed        | Nan and Li, 2000            |
| *Epichloë* sp. No | No     | *Agropyron elongata*  | Triticaceae    | Not observed             | Not observed        | Wei et al., 2006            |
| *Epichloë* sp. No | No     | *Agropyron mongolicum* | Triticaceae    | Not observed             | Not observed        | Wei et al., 2006            |
| *Epichloë* sp. Yes | No     | *Agrostis sp*         | Aveneae       | Not observed             | Not observed        | Ji et al., 2011             |
| *Epichloë* sp. Yes | No     | *Agrostis spp*        | Aveneae       | Not observed             | Not observed        | Wang et al., 2005           |
| *Epichloë* sp. No | No     | *Bromus inermis*      | Bromae        | Not observed             | Not observed        | Nan and Li, 2000            |
| *Epichloë* sp. Yes | No     | *Bromus sp.*          | Bromae        | Not observed             | Not observed        | Ji et al., 2011             |
| *Epichloë* sp. Yes | No     | *Bromus spp.*         | Bromae        | Not observed             | Not observed        | Wang et al., 2005           |
| *Epichloë* sp. Yes | No     | *Calamagrostis sp.*   | Aveneae       | Not observed             | Not observed        | Zhan et al., 2009           |
| *Epichloë* sp. No | No     | *Cleistogenes squarrosa* | Eragrostideae | Not observed             | Not observed        | Wei et al., 2006            |
| *Epichloë* sp. No | No     | *Deschampsia caespitosa* | Aveneae       | Not observed             | Not observed        | Nan and Li, 2000            |
| *Epichloë* sp. Yes | No     | *Elymus ciliaris*     | Triticaceae    | Not observed             | Not observed        | Card et al., 2014           |
| Endophyte | Isolate | Host species       | Host tribe | Hybrid status | Sexual reproduction | References                  |
|-----------|---------|--------------------|------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Elymus cylindricus* | Triticeae  | Not observed  | Not observed        | Nan and Li, 2000            |
| Epichloë sp. | Yes | *Elymus dahuricus var. excelsus* | Triticeae  | Not observed  | Not observed        | Card et al., 2014           |
| Epichloë sp. | Yes | *Elymus gmelinii* | Triticeae  | Not observed  | Not observed        | Card et al., 2014           |
| Epichloë sp. | Yes | *Elymus nevski* | Triticeae  | Not observed  | Not observed        | Card et al., 2014           |
| Epichloë sp. | Yes | *Elymus sibiricus* | Triticeae  | Not observed  | Not observed        | Wei et al., 2006; Card et al., 2014 |
| Epichloë sp. | Yes | *Elymus sp.* | Triticeae  | Not observed  | Not observed        | Nan and Li, 2000            |
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Elytrigia dahuricus* | Triticeae  | Not observed  | Not observed        | Nan and Li, 2000            |
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Elytrigia repens* | Triticeae  | Not observed  | Not observed        | Wei et al., 2006            |
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Elytrigia smithii* | Triticeae  | Not observed  | Not observed        | Wei et al., 2006            |
| Epichloë sp. | Yes | *Eragrostis pilosa* | Eragrostideae | Not observed | Not observed        | Ji et al., 2011            |
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Festuca alatavica* | Poeae      | Not observed  | Not observed        | Nan and Li, 2000            |
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Festuca modesta* | Poeae      | Not observed  | Not observed        | Nan and Li, 2000            |
| Epichloë sp. | Yes | *Festuca myuros* | Poeae      | Hybrid–Epichloëbromicola x Epichloë typhina complex | Not observed | Han et al., 2012 |
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Festuca rubra* | Poeae      | Not observed  | Not observed        | Nan and Li, 2000            |
| Epichloë sp. | Yes | *Festuca sinensis* | Poeae      | Not observed  | Not observed        | Nan and Li, 2000            |
| Epichloë sp. | Yes | *Festuca spp.* | Poeae      | Not observed  | Not observed        | Ji et al., 2011            |
| Epichloë sp. | Yes | *Hordeum bogdani* | Triticeae  | Not observed  | Not observed        | Wang et al., 2005           |
| Epichloë sp. | Yes | *Hordeum brevisubulatum* | Triticeae  | Not observed  | Not observed        | Nan and Li, 2000; Wei et al., 2006 |
| Epichloë sp. | Yes | *Hordeum jubatum* | Triticeae  | Not observed  | Not observed        | Unpublished data           |
| Epichloë sp. | Yes | *Hordeum roshevitzii* | Triticeae  | Not observed  | Not observed        | Card et al., 2014           |
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Hordeum violaeum* | Triticeae  | Not observed  | Not observed        | Nan and Li, 2000            |
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Koeleria cristata* | Aveneae    | Not observed  | Not observed        | Wei et al., 2006            |
| Epichloë sp. | Yes | *Melica przewalskyi* | Meliceae   | Not observed  | Not observed        | Li et al., 2012b            |
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Poa alpina* | Poeae      | Not observed  | Not observed        | Nan and Li, 2000            |
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Poa angustifolia* | Poeae      | Not observed  | Not observed        | Wei et al., 2006            |
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Poa annua* | Poeae      | Not observed  | Not observed        | Wei et al., 2006            |
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Poa palustris* | Poeae      | Not observed  | Not observed        | Wei et al., 2006            |
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Poa paucifolia* | Poeae      | Not observed  | Not observed        | Wei et al., 2006            |
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Poa pratensis* | Poeae      | Not observed  | Not observed        | Wei et al., 2006            |
| Epichloë sp. | Yes | *Poa spp.* | Poeae      | Not observed  | Not observed        | Ji et al., 2011            |
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Poa sphenododes* | Poeae      | Not observed  | Not observed        | Nan and Li, 2000            |
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Poa tibetan* | Poeae      | Not observed  | Not observed        | Nan and Li, 2000            |
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Polygonum monspeliensis* | Agrostideae | Not observed | Not observed        | Nan and Li, 2000            |
| Epichloë sp. | Yes | *Roegneria canina* | Triticeae  | Not observed  | Not observed        | Zhang et al., 2011a         |
| Epichloë sp. | Yes | *Roegneria ciliaris* | Triticeae  | Not observed  | Not observed        | Wang et al., 2005           |
| Epichloë sp. | Yes | *Roegneria hybilda* | Triticeae  | Not observed  | Not observed        | Wang et al., 2005           |
| Epichloë sp. | Yes | *Roegneria mayebarana* | Triticeae  | Not observed  | Not observed        | Wang et al., 2005           |
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Roegneria stricta* | Triticeae  | Not observed  | Not observed        | Nan and Li, 2000            |
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Roegneria turczaninovii* | Triticeae  | Not observed  | Not observed        | Wei et al., 2006            |
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Stipa grandis* | Stipeae    | Not observed  | Not observed        | Wei et al., 2006            |
| Epichloë sp. | No | *Stipa purpurea* | Stipeae    | Not observed  | Not observed        | Nan and Li, 2000            |
EFFECTS OF *EPICHLOÉ* SPECIES ON ABIOTIC AND BIOTIC STRESS IN GRASSES

**Salt Stress**

Plant cells are harmed by salt stress and do not intake sodium as an essential element for their physiology. Although plants have evolved several strategies to adapt to salt stress (Zhu, 2003; Dinneny, 2015), only a few studies have confirmed that *Epichloë* endophytes can increase salt tolerance in a grass host (Reza Sabzalian and Mirlohi, 2010). When *Hordeum brevisubulatum* was infected with *Epichloë* (EI), the grass exhibited significantly increased N, P, and K⁺ concentrations, which led to an increase in total biomass. The *Epichloë* infection also reduced Na⁺ accumulation in the EI plants compared to *Epichloë*-free plants (EF) (Song et al., 2015d). Based on this work, we inferred that salt tolerance could be further increased in grass-*Epichloë* symbiosis, which potentially provide a valuable resource for improved salt tolerance in crops.

**Drought Stress**

Compared to salt stress, crop plants are inclined to suffer from drought stress (Boyer, 1982). Studies have confirmed *Epichloë* endophytes play a vital role in increasing drought tolerance in EI grasses (Richardson et al., 1992; Clay and Schardl, 2002; Schardl et al., 2004). A relationship between increased drought tolerance and EI has been well documented in five EI grasses that are native to China. Under drought stress, EI *Leymus chinensis* had significantly more total biomass than EF *L. chinensis*, regardless of fertilizer levels (Ren et al., 2014). Peng et al. (2013) found that seed hydropriming treatment is an effective strategy to improve seed germination and plant growth in EI *F. sinensis*. *Epichloë* infection also increased the germination of *Elymus dahuricus* under different osmotic potential pressures, but germination success was variable among populations (Zhang and Nan, 2010). Several studies have shown that *Epichloë* infection can improve the relative fitness of grasses under drought stress (Faeth, 2002; Faeth et al., 2004; Iannone et al., 2012). Zhang and Nan (2007b) showed that EI *E. dahuricus* produced more biomass, more tillers, and taller plants under low water treatment, but EI had no influence on plant biomass in the high water treatment. However, in a study of EI *A. sibiricum*, the addition of fertilizer resulted in greater plant growth, but this advantage decreased under reduced water and/or nutrient availability (Ren et al., 2011). Moreover, Song et al. (2015e) demonstrated that asexual *Epichloë* endophyte infection can increase resistance to waterlogging stress in *H. brevisubulatum*. The effect of EI on drought tolerance seems to differ among grass species. It remains to be determined whether these effects are caused by the species of infectious *Epichloë*, the grass species, or other factors.

**Other Abiotic Stress**

*Epichloë* endophytes confer stress tolerance to native grasses in China and play a significant role in the survival of some plants in high-stress environments, such as cadmium (Cd)-contaminated soils and nutrient-depleted soils. *Epichloë*-infected *A. inebrians* (Zhang et al., 2010a,b) and *E. dahuricus* (Zhang et al., 2012a) had higher germination rates, more tillers, longer shoots and roots, and more biomass compared to EF plants in high Cd²⁺ concentrations. There was no significant difference between...
EI and EF plants under low Cd²⁺ concentrations, indicating that *Epichloë* infection was only beneficial to the growth and development of *A. inebrians* and *E. dahluricus* exposed to high Cd²⁺ concentrations.

Studies of nutrient acquisition in EI grasses have focused on the influence of nitrogen (N), since this element is a constituent of alkaloids in infected plants and is also one of the most important limiting resources for plant growth in general (Li et al., 2012a). It has been documented that increased N availability may change the relative availability of other nutrients, such as phosphorus (P) (Van Der Wouder et al., 1994). Li et al. (2012a) found that *A. sibiricum–Epichloë* associations are conditional on both N and P availability, but are more conditional on N than P. Changes in N allocation increase the photosynthetic ability of EI plants and also significantly increase their biomass. In addition, the benefits of *Epichloë* infection decline when nutrient availability decreases (Ren et al., 2011). *Epichloë* infection tends to reduce overall nitrogen concentration in *A. sibiricum* leaves, but causes the host to allocate significantly higher fractions of N to the photosynthetic machinery (Ren et al., 2011). Thus, EI plants have higher photosynthetic N use efficiency and shoot biomass than that of EF plants when fertilizer is limited (Ren et al., 2014). Song et al. (2015d) confirmed that EI *H. brevisubulatum* has lower ratios of C:N, C:P, Na⁺:K⁺ and a higher ratio of N:P than EF plants under salt stress. According to Jia et al. (2014), the effects of EI on *A. sibiricum* suggest that the *A. sibiricum* host genotype has a stronger influence on the response to stress than the influence of *Epichloë*. They found that *Epichloë* infection did not positively affect general growth, physiology, or nutrient content of *A. sibiricum*, before or after clipping.

**Pest Resistance**

The grass-*Epichloë* symbiosis provides the grass host protection from herbivorous insects by producing alkaloids in the form of secondary metabolites (Garcia Parisi et al., 2014; Thom et al., 2014). Aphid populations exhibit slow growth when feeding on grass infected with *Epichloë* species (Hartley and Gange, 2009; Saikkonen et al., 2010). However, Börschig et al. (2014) concluded that the effect of *Epichloë* endophytes on herbivores is generally weak and depends on the regional environmental context. They posit that more field research is necessary to detect the relative importance of *Epichloë* endophytes and environmental context on biotic interactions in grasslands (Börschig et al., 2014).

To date, insect resistance has only been reported for *L. chinensis–E. bromicola*, *A. sibiricum–E. sibirica* and *A. inebrians–E. gansuensis* associations in China. Jia et al. (2013) concluded that *L. chinensis–E. bromicola* and *A. sibiricum–E. sibirica* symbioses could diminish the negative effects of infection by *Meloidogyne incognita*. The researchers used a 72-h exposure to undiluted culture filtrates of the two endophytes and found *L. chinensis* infected with *E. bromicola* had an especially strong antagonistic effect on *Meloidogyne* infection. Similarly, Zhang et al. (2012b) found that *A. inebrians* infected with *E. gansuensis* reduced the survival of the aphids *Rhopalosiphum padi*, *Tetranychus cinnabarinus*, *Oedaleus decorus*, and *Messor acciculatus* under laboratory and field conditions. Additionally, they demonstrate that EI had an anti-herbivore effect on a wide range of arthropod groups (Zhang et al., 2012b).

**Pathogen Resistance**

Reports that EI grasses are resistant to diseases and pathogens are limited compared to evidence that EI increases pest resistance. *Epichloë* endophytes negatively impact the *in vitro* growth of plant fungal pathogens (White and Cole, 1985; Siegel and Latch, 1991). However, Sabzalian et al. (2012) found that EI tall fescue was not more resistant to powdery mildew (*Blumeria graminis*) than EF tall fescue. Yue et al. (2000) demonstrated that extracts from a wide range of *Epichloë* endophytes exhibited various degrees of antifungal activity and the greatest antifungal activity was detected from extracts of *E. festucae* and *E. tembladeraee*.

Li et al. (2007) confirmed that the fungi *Bipolaris sorokiniana*, *Curvularia lunata*, *Fusarium acuminatum*, and *Alternaria alternate* cause lesions on detached *A. inebrians* leaves, regardless of their status as EI or EF. When leaves were EF, the number and size of lesions caused by all pathogens were reduced compared to those on EI leaves. In addition, Xia et al. (2015) demonstrated that, in greenhouse conditions, EI reduced the ability of *Blumeria graminis* to colonize *A. inebrians* and enhanced the photosynthetic performance of host plants under pathogen stress or ameliorated host plant damage, to some degree (Xia et al., 2016). Zhou et al. (2015b) found that *F. sinensis* produced secondary metabolites that inhibited fungal pathogens, including *Alternaria alternata*, *Bipolaris sorokiniana*, *Curvularia lunata*, and *Fusarium acuminatum*. They found significant reductions in disease incidence and lesion size on EI detached leaves compared to EF leaves (Zhou et al., 2015b). Song et al. (2015f) found that *E. bromicola* from *Elymus tangutorum* exhibits antifungal activities against *Alternaria alternata*, *Fusarium avenaceum*, *Bipolaris sorokiniana*, and *Curvularia lunata*.

**MOLECULAR IDENTIFICATION OF CHINESE *EPICHLOË* SPECIES**

In the past, taxonomic identification of *Epichloë* endophytes relied on morphological features, e.g., colony morphology, colony growth rate, and spore type and size. Currently, allozyme profiles and molecular methods have been applied to *Epichloë* research and greatly aid in identification. Recent research combines morphological features and molecular data to identify *Epichloë* endophytes.

*Epichloë* endophytes are typically analyzed using β-tubulin (*tubB*) (Tsai et al., 1994), translation elongation factor 1-α (*tefA*) (Moon et al., 2002), actin (*actG*) (Moon et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2009), simple sequence repeats (SSR) (Moon et al., 1999; Schirrmann et al., 2015), amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AFLP) (Karimi et al., 2012), internal transcribed spacers of the nuclear ribosomal RNA (ITS) (Moon et al., 2000), calmodulin M (*calM*) (McCargo et al., 2014), and so on. The most common markers for taxon identification and determining phylogenetic relationships are *tubB*, *tefA*, and *actG* (Clay and Sardi, 2002). These studies have shown that asexual
Epichloë endophytes evolved from sexual Epichloë species and subsequently lost the ability to sexually reproduce (Moon et al., 2000).

Although new Epichloë endophytes have been identified based on traditional morphology, this method has limitations when determining whether the Epichloë endophytes experienced hybridization events. Fortunately, DNA sequencing can help resolve this problem. To date, all putative Epichloë hybrids contain more than one copy of tubB and can be detected by allozyme analysis (Moon et al., 2004; Oberhofer and Leuchtmann, 2012; Leuchtmann et al., 2014; Iannone et al., 2015). For example, E. chisosa and E. coenophiala each have three copies (Leuchtmann et al., 2014), indicating they experienced multiple ancient hybridization events or subsequent gene duplication. Oberhofer and Leuchtmann (2012) found four new Epichloë species in Hordelymus europaeus using five enzymes; two were interspecific hybrids and the others were of nonhybrid origin.

Molecular markers can be used to identify new species and to estimate evolutionary relationships with phylogenetic trees. Molecular studies on Chinese Epichloë species have mainly been applied to identify new species. Various Epichloë species, e.g., E. stromatalonga (Li et al., 2006b; Ji et al., 2009), E. sinica (Kang et al., 2009), E. sinoestucae (Chen et al., 2009), E. liyangensis (Kang et al., 2011a), and E. sp. (Han et al., 2012), have been described and exhibit natural symbioses with R. kamoji, Calamagrostis epigeios, Roegneria spp., F. parvigluma, P. pratensis ssp. pratensis, and F. myuros. These Epichloë species are native to China and were described based on host specificity, morphology, and molecular phylogenetic evidence. Zhang et al. (2009) identified a new Epichloë endophyte, E. sibirica (A. sibiricum), and three morphotypes based on morphological and phylogenetic analyses. They found that its ancestor was probably derived from E. sibirica (Zhang et al., 2009). Zhu et al. (2013) analyzed L. chinesis and found that its Epichloë associate is E. bromicola, which was classified into three morphotypes based on morphological features and phylogenetic analyses of tubB, tefA, and actG sequences. Additionally, a molecular phylogenetic study showed that E. gansuensis var. inebrians from Chinese A. inebrians is a unique and novel non-hybrid species (Moon et al., 2007).

Although some studies have examined the evolutionary relationships among Epichloë species, few have examined the phylogeny or co-evolution of Chinese Epichloë species and hosts. In the southern hemisphere, most asexual Epichloë species are the result of hybridization events between two sexual species (e.g., E. festucae and E. typhina) from the northern hemisphere (Gentile et al., 2005). These studies have looked at the extent of Epichloë gene flow between the Northern and Southern Hemispheres based on molecular data (Moon et al., 2002). Iannone et al. (2009) studied South American Epichloë endophytes from Bromus inermis and found that E. tembladerae was a hybrid of the Northern E. festucae and E. typhina, but the ancestral E. typhina genotype was distinguished based on tubB and tefA. Schirrmann et al. (2015) used 15 microsatellites to assess the population structure of sympatric species in the E. typhina complex and found that host specificity and maladaptation of Epichloë hybrids to host grasses may act as reproductive isolation barriers in asexual Epichloë and therefore promote their speciation.

Notably, Kang et al. (2011b) analyzed the asymmetric symbiosis between Roegneria and E. sinica and found no relationship between phylogeny and morphology in the E. sinica isolates. They concluded that E. sinica is a species complex that resulted from multiple, independent hybridization events (Kang et al., 2011b). In a comparison of genetic diversity in Epichloë species and their host plants, Zhang et al. (2010c) found approximately 4–7-fold greater diversity among Epichloë endophytes than among host plants based on SSR markers. This indicates more gene flow of Epichloë endophytes than hosts. The authors also state that Epichloë infection might confer selective advantages to A. sibiricum under certain conditions, which could help to maintain high-EI frequencies, even when their population structure would not suggest selection for EI (Zhang et al., 2010c).

Song et al. found that Epichloë species likely originated in Eurasia, and Epichloë gene flow between the Western and Eastern hemispheres is common based on phylogenetic and network analyses (Song and Nan, 2015; Song et al., 2015a). They suspect that migratory birds or humans might have aided the dispersal of Epichloë endophytes from Eurasia to other continents (Song and Nan, 2015). Furthermore, Song et al. (2015c) analyzed Hordeum-endophytes and Elymus-endophytes and found that Chinese Hordeum species likely contain two Epichloë endophyte species. One is also found in North American Elymus species and the other endophyte is found in Chinese Elymus species, indicating that Epichloë endophytes isolated from Chinese Hordeum are not host-specific. They proposed that Epichloë endophytes spread among different grass hosts by plant hybridization, and this could likely transform the hybrid offspring from EF status to EI status (Song et al., 2015c). This needs to be tested in future studies, but it would add further evidence to the hypothesis that asexual Epichloë endophytes are horizontally transmitted (Tadych et al., 2012; Wiewióra et al., 2015). Moreover, molecular phylogenetic studies based on tubB and tefA intron sequences have confirmed that E. gansuensis infected A. sibiricum and A. inebrians in China, indicating the potential of conidia cultures to mediate horizontal transmission (Li et al., 2015).

ALKALOIDS

From an agronomic point of view, a negative aspect of the grass-Epichloë symbiosis is that some Epichloë produce ergot and indole-diterpene fungal alkaloids that are highly toxic for livestock (Clay and Scharl, 2002). Variability in the profile and level of alkaloids has allowed researchers to inoculate grass cultivars with selected Epichloë endophytes that are not toxic to livestock and still confer benefits to host plants. This has become a key strategy for breeding drought-, salt-, and pest-resistant forage grasses (Gundel et al., 2013b; Johnson et al., 2013). A. inebrians is widely distributed in northern China and is commonly known as drunken horse grass because of its long-recognized toxic and narcotic effects on livestock, especially horses. Additionally, owing to the toxicity to livestock, recent research has shown that A. inebrians can protect biodiversity (Yao...
et al., 2015). These toxins are apparently caused by *E. gansuensis* (Li et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2014). *Epiclholoë*-infected drunken horse grass contains high levels of the ergot alkaloids, ergine, and ergonovine (Miles et al., 1996; Li et al., 2006a). High alkaloid levels have also been confirmed in *E. inebrians* under salt or drought stress, with higher levels of ergonovine than ergine (Zhang et al., 2011b). Cytotoxic effects to animal muscle tissue have been described after the consumption of ergonovine and ergine (Zhang et al., 2014). The EI *E. dahuricus* only produces the alkaloid peramine. Production is seasonal; the concentration of peramine is highest in October and below detectable levels in June (Zhang and Nan, 2007a). Recently, Zhou et al. (2015a) evaluated the effects of temperature on ergot alkaloid production in three *F. sinensis* ecotypes and found that concentrations of ergine and ergonovine differed considerably in the three endophyte-infected ecotypes. They also found the ecotypes varied in their production of secondary metabolites, the bioprotective alkaloids ergine and ergonovine, in response to short-term cold stress. However, compared to recent research abroad (Scharl et al., 2012), little is known about alkaloid production in Chinese native grasses using molecular methods. We hope to increase research in this area in the future.

CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

In this review, we briefly summarized progress in *Epiclholoë* endophyte research in China in the past 25 years. We found that more than 77 species of native grasses in China were infected with *Epiclholoë* species. To date, nine *Epiclholoë* species have been identified from Chinese native grasses. Additionally, seven have been confirmed as new *Epiclholoë* endophytes. *Epiclholoë* species originated in Eurasia based on the high species diversity in the area (Song and Nan, 2015). Unfortunately, many isolates from Chinese native grasses have not been identified to the species level. Therefore, to apply this precious resource, Chinese research should focus on taxonomical evaluations of *Epiclholoë* species from Chinese native grasses. In addition, Chinese studies have extensively examined abiotic and biotic resistance using *Epiclholoë* endophytes. However, little is known about *Epiclholoë* evolution, functional genomics, and comparative genomics. Nevertheless, we believe that Chinese researchers will intensify their efforts in these areas in the future.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

HS wrote the article. ZN served as the principal investigator, facilitated the project, and assisted in manuscript preparation. QS and CX wrote and revised the paper. XI, XY, WX, YK, PT, and QZ explored literature and modified the article.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We apologize to colleagues whose work could not be cited owing to space limitations. We sincerely thank Dr. Leopoldo J. Iannone for his critical reviews and comments on an earlier version of this paper. This study was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (2014CB138702), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31502001), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Izujbky-2014-76, Izujbky-2014-81).

REFERENCES

Börschig, C., Klein, A. M., and Krauss, J. (2014). Effects of grassland management, endophytic fungi and predators on aphid abundance in two distinct regions. *J. Plant Ecol.* 7, 490–498. doi: 10.1093/jpe/rtt047

Broyer, J. S. (1982). Plant productivity and environment. *Science* 218, 433–448. doi: 10.1126/science.218.4571.443

Bush, L. P., Wilkinson, H. H., and Schardl, C. L. (1997). Bioprotective alkaloids of grass fungal-endophyte symbioses. *Plant Physiol.* 114, 1–7.

Card, S. D., Faville, M. J., Simpson, W. R., Johnson, R. D., Voiyse, C. R., de Bonth, A. C. M., et al. (2014). Mutualistic fungal endophytes in the *Triticeae*-survey and description. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 88, 94–106. doi: 10.1111/1574-6941.12273

Chen, Y. G., Ji, Y. L., Yu, H. S., and Wang, Z. W. (2009). A new Neotyphodium species from *Festuca parvigluma* Steud. grown in China. *Mycologia* 101, 681–685. doi: 10.3852/08-181

Cheplick, G. P. (2007). Costs of fungal endophyte infection in *Lolium perenne* genotypes from Eurasia and North Africa under extreme resource limitation. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 60, 202–210. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2006.10.001

Cheplick, G. P., and Faeth, S. H. (2009). *Ecology and Evolution of the Grass-Endophyte Symbiosis*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Clay, K., and Holah, J. (1999). Fungal endophyte symbioses and plant diversity in successional fields. *Science* 285, 1742–1744. doi: 10.1126/science.285.5434.1742

Clay, K., and Schardl, C. L. (2002). Evolutionary origins and ecological consequences of endophyte symbiosis with grasses. *Am. Nat.* 160, S99–S127. doi: 10.1086/342161

Di Menna, M. E., Finch, S. C., Popay, A. J., and Smith, B. L. (2012). A review of the *Neotyphodium lolii/*Lolium perenne* symbiosis and its associated effects on animal and plant health, with particular emphasis on ryegrass staggers. *N. Z. Vet J.* 60, 315–328. doi: 10.1080/00480169.2012.697429

Dimmer, J. R. (2015). Traversing organizational scales in plant salt-stress responses. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 23, 70–75. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2014.10.009

Faeth, S. H. (2002). Are endophytic fungi defensive plant mutualists? *Oikos* 98, 25–36. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-0706.2002.980103.x

Faeth, S. H., Helander, M. L., and Saikkonen, K. T. (2004). Asexual *Neotyphodium* endophytes in a native grass reduce competitive abilities. *Ecol. Lett.* 7, 304–313. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00578.x

Garcia Parisi, P. A. G., Grimaldò, A. A., and Omacini, M. (2014). Endophytic fungi of grasses protect other plants from aphid herbivory. *Fungal Ecol.* 9, 61–64. doi: 10.1016/j.funeco.2014.01.004

Gentile, A., Rossi, M. S., Cabral, D., Craven, K. D., and Schardl, C. L. (2005). Origin, divergence, and phylogeny of *Epiclholoë* endophytes of native Argentine grasses. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 35, 196–208. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2005.01.008

Gundel, P. E., Helander, M., Casas, C., Hamilton, C. E., Faeth, S. H., and Saikkonen, K. (2013a). *Neotyphodium* fungal endophyte in tall fescue (*Schedonorus phoenix*): a comparison of three northern European wild populations and the cultivar *Kentucky-31*. *Fungal Divers.* 60, 15–24. doi: 10.1007/s11325-012-0173-x

Gundel, P. E., Pérez, L. I., Helander, M., and Saikkonen, K. (2013b). Symbiotically modified organisms: non-toxic fungal endophytes in grasses. *Trends Plant Sci.* 18, 420–427. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2013.03.003

Han, K., Ji, Y. L., Wang, Y., and Wang, Z. W. (2012). A *Neotyphodium* endophyte from *Festuca myuros* L. in Nanjing, China. *Mycologia* 3, 201–109. doi: 10.1080/21501203.2012.718292

Hartley, S. E., and Gange, A. C. (2009). Impacts of plant symbiotic fungi on insect herbivores: mutualism in a multitrrophic context. *Annul. Rev. Entomol.* 54, 323–342. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ento.54.110807.090614

Song et al.
Iannone, L. J., Cabral, D., Schardl, C. L., and Rossi, M. S. (2009). *Neotyphodium endophyte* species in the grass *Bromus auleticus* from South America. *Mycologia* 101, 340–351. doi: 10.3852/08-156

Iannone, L. J., Irisarri, J. G. N., McCargo, P. D., Pérez, L. I., and Gundel, P. (2013). Occurrence of *Epichloë* fungal endophytes in the sheep-preferred grass *Hordeum comosum* from patagonia. *J. Arid Environ.* 115, 19–26. doi: 10.1016/j.jarevn.2014.12.008

Iannone, L. J., Novas, M. V., Young, C. A., Battista, J. D. E., and Schardl, C. L. (2012). Endophytes of native grasses from South America: biodiversity and ecology. *Fungal Ecol.* 5, 357–363. doi: 10.1016/j.jaridenv.2011.05.007

Jia, T., Ren, A. Z., and Gao, Y. B. (2014). Host genotype overrides endophyte infection effects on growth, physiology, and nutrient content of a native grass, *Achnatherum inebrius*. *Frontiers in Microbiology* www.frontiersin.org 9

Jia, C., Ruan, W. B., Zhu, M. J., Ren, A. Z., and Gao, Y. B. (2013). *Neotyphodium sinicum* towards *Meloidogyne incognita* infection effects on growth, physiology, and nutrient content of a native grass, *Achnatherum inebrius*. *Frontiers in Microbiology* www.frontiersin.org 9

Ji, Y. L., Sun, X. H., and Wang, Z. W. (2011). A survey of the gramineous plant endophytes in Huangshan geopark (In Chinese). *J. Nanjing Agric. Univ.* 34, 147–150.

Ji, Y. L., Sun, X. H., and Wang, Z. W. (2012). "An endophyte obtained from *Brachypodium sylvaticum* grown in Huangshan," in *Proceedings of the 8th international symposium on fungal endophyte of grasses* (Lanzhou), 38–40.

Ji, Y. L., Zhan, L. H., Kang, Y., Sun, X. H., Yu, H. S., and Wang, Z. W. (2009). A new stromata-producing *Neotyphodium* species symbiotic with clonal grass *Calamagrostis epigeios* (L.) Roth. grown in China. *Mycologia* 101, 200–205. doi: 10.3852/08-044

Johnson, L. J., de Bonth, A. C. M., Briggs, L. R., Caradus, J. R., Finch, S. C., Fleetwood, D. J., et al. (2013). The exploitation of epichloë endophytes for agricultural benefit. *Fungal Divers.* 60, 171–188. doi: 10.1007/s13225-013-0239-4

Johnson, M. C., Dahlman, D. L., Siegel, M. R., Bush, L. P., Latch, G. C. M., Potter, D. A., et al. (1985). Insect feeding deterrents in endophyte-infected tall fescue. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 49, 568–571.

Kang, Y., Ji, Y. L., Zhang, C. W., and Wang, Z. W. (2011b). *Neotyphodium sinicum*, from several *Roegneria* species throughout China, provides insights into the evolution of asexual endophytes. *Symbiosis* 54, 37–45. doi: 10.1007/s13199-011-0213-5

Kang, Y., Ji, Y., Sun, X., Zhan, L., Li, W., Yu, H., et al. (2009). *Neotyphodium* endophytes of Chinese native *Roegneria* plants. *Mycologia* 101, 211–219. doi: 10.3852/08-018

Kang, Y., Ji, Y., Zhu, K., Wang, H., Miao, H., and Wang, Z. (2011a). A new *Epichloë* species with interspecific hybrid origins from *Poa pratensis* sp. pratensis in Liyang, China. *Mycologia* 103, 1341–1350. doi: 10.3852/10-352

Kannadan, S., and Rudgers, J. A. (2008). Endophyte symbiosis benefits a rare grass under low water availability. *Funct. Ecol.* 22, 706–713. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2435.2008.01395.x

Karimi, S., Mirlohi, A., Sabzalian, M. R., Sayed Tabatabaei, B. E., and Sharifnabi, B. (2019). Identification of *Epichloë* endophytes in planata by a microsatellite-based PCR fingerprinting assay with automated analysis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 65, 1268–1279.

Ko, Z. B., and Li, C. (2000). "Neotyphodium* endophyte* species from the grass tribes Stipeae and Meliceae. *Mycologia* 99, 895–905. doi: 10.3852/mycologia.99.6.895

Ko, C. D., Miles, C. O., Lane, G. A., Menna, M. E., Garthwaite, L., Piper, E. L., Ball, O. J. P., et al. (1996). High levels of ergonovine and lysergic acid amide in toxic *Achnatherum inebrius* incomparably infection by an *Acremonium*-like endophytic fungus. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 44, 1285–1290. doi: 10.1021/jf950410k

Ko, C. D., Craven, K. D., Leuchtmann, A., Clement, S. L., and Schardl, C. L. (2004). Prevalence of interspecific hybrids amongst asexual fungal endophytes of *grasses*. *Mol. Ecol.* 13, 1455–1467. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2004.02138.x

Ko, C. D., Guillaumin, J. J., Ravel, C., Li, C., Craven, K. D., and Schardl, C. L. (2007). New *Neotyphodium* endophyte species from the grass tribes Stipeae and Meliceae. *Mycologia* 99, 895–905. doi: 10.3852/mycologia.99.6.895

Ko, C. D., Miles, C. O., Järlfors, U., and Schardl, C. L. (2002). The evolutionary origins of three new *Neotyphodium* endophyte species from grasses indigenous to the Southern Hemisphere. *Mycologia* 94, 694–711. doi: 10.2307/3761720

Ko, C. D., Scott, B., Schardl, C. L., and Christensen, M. J. (2000). The evolutionary origins of *Epichloë* endophytes from annual ryegrasses. *Mycologia* 92, 1103–1118. doi: 10.2307/3761478

Ko, C. D., Tapper, B. A., and Scott, B. (1999). Identification of *Epichloë* endophytes in planata by a microsatellite-based PCR fingerprinting assay with automated analysis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 65, 1268–1279.

Ko, Z. B., and Li, C. (2000). "Neotyphodium* endophyte* species in native grasses in China and observations on endophyte/host interactions," in *Proceedings of the 4th National International Symposium/Graz Interactions Symposium University Paderborn, Abteilung Soest, Fachbereich Agrarwirtschaft* (Paderborn), 41–49.

Oberhofer, M., and Leuchtmann, A. (2012). Genetic diversity in epichloë endophytes of * Hordeum europaeus* suggests repeated host jumps and interspecific hybridizations. *Mol. Ecol.* 21, 2713–2726. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05459.x

Oberhofer, M., and Leuchtmann, A. (2014). Horizontal transmission, persistence and competition capabilities of *Epichloë* endophytes in *Hordeum europaeus* grass hosts using dual endophyte inocula. *Fungal Ecol.* 11, 37–49. doi: 10.1016/j.fuene.2014.04.005

Peng, Q., Li, C., Song, M., and Nan, Z. (2013). Effects of seed hydropriming on growth of *Festuca sinensis* infected with *Neotyphodium endophyte*. *Fungal Ecol.* 6, 83–91. doi: 10.1016/j.fuene.2012.08.001

Philippe, G. (2016). Lolitrem, B, and indole diterpene alkaloids produced by endophytic fungi of the genus *Epichloë* and their toxic effects in livestock. *Toxins* (Basel). 8:47. doi: 10.3390/toxins8020047

Ren, A., Wei, M., Yin, L., Wu, L., Zhou, Y., Li, X., et al. (2014). Benefits of a fungal endophyte in *Leucoma chinensis* depend more on
Tadych, M., Ambrose, K. V., Bergen, M. S., Belanger, F. C., and White, J. F. Jr. (2012). Taxonomic placement of Epichloë pose sp. nov. and horizontal dissemination to seedling via conidia. *Fungal Divers.* 54, 117–131. doi: 10.1007/s13223-012-0170-0

Tadych, M., Bergen, M., Dugan, F. M., and White, J. F. Jr. (2007). Evaluation of the potential role of water in spread of conidia of the Neotyphodium endophyte of *Poa annua*. *Mycol. Res.* 111, 466–472. doi: 10.1016/j.mycres.2007.02.002

Tadych, M., Bergen, M. S., and White, J. F. Jr. (2014). *Epichloë* spp. associated with grasses: new insights on life cycles, dissemination and evolution. *Mycologia* 106, 181–201. doi: 10.3852/106.2.181

Thorn, E. R., Popay, A. J., Waugh, C. D., and Minnëe, E. M. K. (2014). Impact of novel endophytes in perennial ryegrass on herbage production and insect pests from pastures under dairy cow grazing in northern New Zealand. *Grass Forage Sci.* 69, 191–204. doi: 10.1111/gfs.12040

Tai, H. F., Liu, J. S., Staben, C., Christensen, M. J., Latch, G. C. M., Siegel, M. R., et al. (1994). Evolutionary diversification of fungal endophytes of tall fescue grass by hybridization with *Epichloë* species. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 91, 2542–2546

Van Der Wouder, B. J., Pegtel, D. M., and Bakker, J. P. (1994). Nutrient limitation after long-term nitrogen-fertilizer application in cut grasslands. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 31, 405–412. doi: 10.2307/2404438

Vignale, M. V., Astiz-Gassó, M. M., Novas, M. V., and Iannone, L. J. (2013). Epichloë endophytes confer resistance to the smut Ustilago bulbata in the wild grass *Bromus auleticus* (Trin.). *Biol. Control* 67, 1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2013.06.002

Wang, Z. W., Wang, S. M., Ji, Y. L., Zhao, M. W., and Yu, H. S. (2005). Plant endophyte research 6: detection and distribution of endophytic fungi in gramineous plants in saline-alkali area in Dongying (In Chinese). *Pratacultur Sci.* 22, 60–64

Wei, Y. K., Gao, Y. B., Xu, H., Su, D., Zhang, X., Wang, Y. H., et al. (2006). Occurrence of endophytes in grasses native to northern China. *Grass Forage Sci.* 61, 422–429. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2949.2006.00551.x

Wei, Y. K., Gao, Y. B., Zhang, X., Su, D., Wang, Y. H., Xu, H., et al. (2007). Distribution and diversity of *Epichloë*/Neotyphodium fungal endophytes from different populations of *Achnatherum sibiricum* (Poaceae) in the Inner Mongolia Steppe. *China. Fungal Divers.* 24, 329–345.

White, J. F. Jr., and Cole, G. T. (1985). Endophyte-host associations in forage grasses. III. In vitro inhibition of fungi by *Acremonium coenophialum*. *Mycologia* 77, 487–489. doi: 10.2307/3793206

White, J. F. Jr., Morgan-Jones, G., and Morrow, A. C. (1993). Taxonomy, life cycle, reproduction and detection of *Acremonium endophytes*. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 44, 13–37. doi: 10.1016/0167-8809(93)90037-P

Wiewióra, B., Zurek, G., and Pańka, D. (2015). Is the vertical transmission of *Neotyphodium lolii* in perennial ryegrass the only possible way to the spread of endophytes? *PLoS ONE* 10:e0127096. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0127096

Xia, C., Li, N., Zhang, X., Feng, Y., Christensen, M. J., and Nan, Z. (2016). An asexual *Epichloë* endophyte improves photosynthesis ability and dry matter production in perennial ryegrass the only possible way to the spread of endophytes? *PLoS ONE* 10:e0117231. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0117231

Xia, C., Li, N., Zhang, X., Feng, Y., Christensen, M. J., and Nan, Z. (2016). An *Epichloë* endophyte improves photosynthesis ability and dry matter production of its host *Achnatherum inebrinatis* infected by *Blumeria graminis* under various soil water conditions. *Fungal Ecol.* 22, 26–34. doi: 10.1016/j.funeco.2016.04.002

Xia, C., Zhang, X., Christensen, M. J., Nan, Z., and Li, C. (2015). *Epichloë* endophyte affects the ability of powdery mildew (*Blumeria graminis*) to colonise drunken horse grass (*Achnatherum inebrinatis*). *Fungal Ecol.* 16, 26–33. doi: 10.1016/j.funeco.2015.02.003

Yao, X., Christensen, M. J., Bao, G., Zhang, C., Li, X., Li, C., et al. (2015). A toxic endophyte-infected grass helps reverse degradation and loss of biodiversity of over-grazed grasslands in northwest China. *Sci. Rep.* 5:18527. doi: 10.1038/srep18527

Yue, Q., Miller, C. J., White, J. F. Jr., and Richardson, D. (2000). Isolation and characterisation of fungal inhibitors from *Epichloë festucae*. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 48, 4687–4692. doi: 10.1021/jf990685q

Zhan, L. H., Ji, Y. L., Yu, H. S., Kang, Y., Sun, X. H., and Wang, Z. W. (2009). Grass endophyte research 8 - Distribution and morphological characteristics of *Neotyphodium* sp. grown in *Calamagrostis spp.* in China (In Chinese). *Pratacultur Sci.* 26, 13–18.

Zhang, C. W., Ji, Y. L., Yang, S. L., Kang, Y., and Wang, Z. W. (2011a). Isolation and identification of a fungal endophyte obtained from *Roegneria canina* (L.) Nevski grown in Jilin, China (In Chinese). *J. Nanjing Agric. Univ.* 34, 78–84
Zhang, H. X., Ji, Y. L., Xing, Z. Q., and Wang, Z. W. (2013). Grass endophyte researches 17: biological properties of Bromus magnus-endophyte symbiosis grown in Huangshan (In Chinese). J. Nanjing Agric. Univ. 36, 59–64. doi: 10.7685/j.issn.1000-2030.2013.05.010

Zhang, X., Fan, X., Li, C., and Nan, Z. (2010a). Effects of cadmium stress on seed germination, seedling growth and antioxidative enzymes in Achnatherum inebrians plants infected with a Neotyphodium endophyte. Plant Growth Regul. 60, 91–97. doi: 10.1007/s10725-009-9422-8

Zhang, X., Li, C., and Nan, Z. (2010b). Effects of cadmium stress on growth and anti-oxidative systems in Achnatherum inebrians symbiotic with Neotyphodium gansuense. J. Hazard. Mater. 175, 703–709. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.10.066

Zhang, X., Nan, Z., Li, C., and Gao, K. (2014). Cytotoxic effect of ergot alkaloids in Achnatherum inebrians infected with the Neotyphodium gansuense endophyte. Mycosphere 5, 95–103. doi: 10.5829/idosi.mycosphere.2014.05.01.0005

Zhang, X., Ren, A., Wei, Y., Lin, F., Li, C., Liu, Z., et al. (2009). Taxonomy, diversity and origins of symbiotic endophytes of Achnatherum sibiricum in the Inner Mongolia Steppe of China. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 301, 12–20. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01789.x

Zhang, X., Li, C., and Nan, Z. (2011b). Effects of salt and drought stress on alkaloid production in endophyte-infected drunken horse grass (Achnatherum inebrians). Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 39, 471–476. doi: 10.1016/j.bse.2011.06.016

Zhang, X. X., Li, C. J., and Nan, Z. B. (2012a). Effects of cadmium stress on seed germination and seedling growth of Elymus dahuricus infected with the Neotyphodium endophyte. Sci. China Life Sci. 55, 793–799. doi: 10.1007/s11427-012-4359-y

Zhang, X. X., Li, C. J., Nan, Z. B., and Matthew, C. (2012b). Neotyphodium endophyte increases Achnatherum sibiricum (drunken horse grass) resistance to herbivores and seed predators. Weed Res. 52, 70–78. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3180.2011.00887.x

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Song, Nan, Song, Xia, Li, Yao, Xu, Kuang, Tian and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.