Emerging roles of small GTPases in secondary cell wall development

Yoshihisa Oda1,2,3 * and Hiroo Fukuda4

1 Center for Frontier Research, National Institute of Genetics, Mishima, Japan
2 The Graduate University For Advanced Studies, Mishima, Japan
3 Precursory Research for Embryonic Science and Technology, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Kawaguchi, Japan
4 Department of Biological Sciences, Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

Edited by:
Takashi Ueda, The University of Tokyo, Japan

Reviewed by:
Viktor Zarsky, Charles University, Czech Republic
Stephanie Robert, SLU/Umeå Plant Science Center, Sweden

*Correspondence:
Yoshihisa Oda, Center for Frontier Research, National Institute of Genetics, Yata1111, Mishima, Shizuoka 411-8540, Japan
E-mail: oda@nig.ac.jp

INTRODUCTION

Post-embryonic development of plants requires prominent cell growth and cell differentiation phases, during which cells dramatically increase their volume and assume appropriate shapes and functions. The plant cell-shaping process depends entirely on the presence of a well-ordered cellulosic primary cell wall, which restricts cell enlargement to ensure anisotropic cell expansion in the direction perpendicular to the cellulose microfibrils. In contrast to the relatively thin and elastic primary cell wall found in all plant cells, secondary cell walls are thick, lignified structures deposited between the primary cell wall and the plasma membrane, and are only found in mature and non-dividing cells in vascular plants. Massive secondary cell walls provide mechanical rigidity and strength to xylem components, including xylem fibers, xylem vessels, and xylem parenchyma cells. In this manner, secondary cell walls support the plant form and facilitate water transport throughout the plant (Ye et al., 2002). For example, the woody tissue of trees is mainly made of secondary cell walls developed in the secondary xylem (Demura and Ye, 2010). Secondary cell walls are also developed in the anther and silique pods (the two-valved seed pods of plants of the crucifier family), where they enable dehiscence of the anther and shattering of the pod, respectively (Mitsuda et al., 2005). Although the nature of the secondary cell wall differs from that of the primary cell wall, proper patterning of cell wall materials is similarly essential for the normal function of secondary cell walls (Zhong et al., 2002).

The deposition pattern of cellulose microfibrils in plant cell walls is largely contingent on the cortical microtubules that regulate the trajectory of cellulose synthase complexes during both primary and secondary cell wall development (McFarlane et al., 2014). Cortical microtubules act as a target site for the trans-Golgi network vesicles that deliver cellulose synthase complexes to cell wall deposition locales (Crowell et al., 2009; Gutierrez et al., 2009). Polarized actin microfilaments are also critical for the secretion of the membrane compartments that supply cellulose synthases and other cell wall synthetic materials to the apex of tip-growing root hairs and pollen tubes, and the consequent maintenance of polarized tip growth (Park et al., 2011; Gu and Nielsen, 2013; Rounds and Bezañilla, 2013). In general, cytoskeletal organization and membrane trafficking are essential for the proper formation of primary and secondary cell walls.

Rho and Rab family small GTPases are crucial for the regulation of these processes in higher plants. Rab GTPases mainly control the targeting and tethering of membrane compartments, while Rho GTPases mainly control the polarized organization of the cytoskeleton and vesicle trafficking, as well as various cellular responses against biotic/abiotic stress and other events. Plants have evolved a Rho of plants (ROP) family of GTPases as a counterpart to the families of conserved Rho/Rac GTPases in animal and yeast cells (Nagawa et al., 2010). ROP GTPases, Rab GTPases, and other small GTPases typically bind to GTP and then interact with effector proteins, thereby stimulating relevant signaling cascades in the plant cell. The GTP-bound active form of the small GTPase is inactivated by GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs), which promote hydrolysis of GTP. In contrast, guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) catalyze the activation of small GTPases by exchanging GTP for GDP. Thus, GAPs...
and GEFs ensure spatially and temporally controlled action of Rho and Rab GTPases to modulate plant cell cytoskeletal organization and membrane trafficking.

Rho of plants and Rab GTPases play important roles in the patterning and deposition of primary cell walls. Rab GTPases regulate the delivery of cell wall synthetic materials and secreted proteins to the growing apex via actin microfilaments in growing root hairs and pollen tubes (de Graaf et al., 2005; Szumlanski and Nielsen, 2009), whereas ROP GTPases coordinate the actions of cortical microtubules, actin microfilaments, and membrane trafficking in growing pollen tubes, root hairs, and epidermal cells (Yang, 2008; Craddock et al., 2012). However, the roles of small GTPases in secondary cell wall formation are still poorly understood, although a few studies have implicated the presence of ROP GTPases in xylem cells (Nakanomyo et al., 2002; Brembu et al., 2005; Ko et al., 2006).

Recent studies on xylem vessel cells revealed that ROP GTPases are central to the spatial patterning of secondary cell walls. Because xylem vessels exhibit distinct patterns of secondary cell wall deposition (i.e., annular, spiral, reticulate, scutiform, and pitted patterns), investigations of xylem vessels provide an effective platform to explore the molecular mechanisms underlying the spatial control of secondary cell wall deposition. The purpose of this mini-review is thus to summarize recent progress toward elucidation of the roles of small GTPases in secondary cell wall development in the xylem of higher plants.

**ROP GTPase SIGNALING INITIATES PATTERNING OF THE SECONDARY CELL WALL**

Metaxylem vessels form secondary cell walls with numerous secondary cell wall pits in cases where the secondary cell wall is not deposited for lateral transport of xylem sap. Oda and Fukuda (2012a) reported that ROP signaling initiates the spatial patterning of secondary cell wall deposition in metaxylem vessels, and that the ROP11 GTPase is locally activated in secondary cell wall pits in *Arabidopsis thaliana* metaxylem cells. Introduction of a constitutively active form of ROP11 into differentiating xylem cells inhibited the typical patterning of secondary cell walls, instead resulting in the formation of a flat secondary cell wall lacking secondary wall pits. These observations demonstrated that limited ROP activation is required for secondary cell wall patterning.

The authors also found that ROPGEF4 (an ROP GEF) and ROPGAP3 (an ROP GAP) are co-localized in secondary cell wall pits, and that loss of ROPGEF4 leads to a reduced number of secondary cell wall pits. Importantly, co-introduction of ROPGEF4, ROPGAP3, and ROP11 into non-xylem cells resulted in the presence of dispersed spots of active ROP11 on the plasma membrane in a manner reminiscent of the localization of active ROP11 in xylem cells. These findings demonstrate that ROPGEF4 and ROPGAP3 mediate *de novo* local activation of ROP11 to establish the fundamental patterning of secondary cell wall pits (Oda and Fukuda, 2012a). While the precise mechanism by which ROPGEF4 and ROPGAP3 organize active ROP11 into discrete patches on the plasma membrane remains unclear, these effector molecules are undoubtedly indispensable to the regulatory machinery that determines secondary cell wall pit positioning and density.

**ROP11 SIGNALING LOCALLY INHIBITS SECONDARY CELL WALL DEPOSITION**

Cortical microtubules are arranged into distinct patterns that direct secondary cell wall deposition (Oda et al., 2005; Oda and Hasezawa, 2006). Various microtubule-associated proteins reportedly regulate cortical microtubule rearrangements during xylem vessel differentiation (Mao et al., 2006; Pesquet et al., 2010; Oda and Fukuda, 2012b, 2013c). Recent work showed that the rearrangement process proceeds through the local destabilization of cortical microtubules under the control of the plant-specific microtubule-associated protein, MIDD1, and the microtubule depolymerizer, kinesin-13A (Oda et al., 2010; Oda and Fukuda, 2013b).

MIDD1 belongs to the ROP-binding family of ROP interactive partner (RIP)/interactor of constitutive active ROPs (ICRs) proteins (Lavy et al., 2007; Li et al., 2008). MIDD1 is preferentially expressed in differentiating xylem cells (Oda et al., 2010). The RIP-binding protein is anchored to the plasma membrane in secondary cell wall pits by activated ROP11, where it destabilizes cortical microtubules. Knockdown of MIDD1 inhibits local disassembly of cortical microtubules, resulting in the loss of secondary cell wall pits (Oda et al., 2010). Nevertheless, MIDD1 has no activity to depolymerize cortical microtubules by itself, but instead recruits kinesin-13A to provoke microtubule depolymerization (Oda and Fukuda, 2013b). On the other hand, kinesin-13A alone has microtubule depolymerization activity *in vitro*, but requires positioning of MIDD1 at cortical microtubule sites to exert its depolymerization activity *in vivo*. Loss and overexpression of kinesin-13A leads to the formation of smaller than normal or larger than normal secondary cell wall pits, respectively, suggesting that ROP11 signaling locally inhibits secondary cell wall deposition through the disassembly of cortical microtubules via the MIDD1/kinesin-13A complex (Oda and Fukuda, 2013b).

**ROP11 SIGNALING MODULATES GEOMETRY OF THE SECONDARY CELL WALL**

Microtubule stabilization by taxol causes formation of elongated, oblique secondary cell wall pits in xylem vessels (Falconer and Seagull, 1985; Oda et al., 2005). Therefore, cortical microtubules are apparently not the only target of ROP11/MIDD1/kinesin-13A signaling. Rather, cortical microtubules might act to counteract ROP11 signaling under certain circumstances. Oda and Fukuda (2012a) demonstrated this concept by reconstructing the plasma membrane domains of active ROP11 in the non-xylem leaf epidermis. The cortical microtubules restricted the border of the reconstructed, active ROP11 domains and maintained them in an oval shape, whereas microtubule disruption deformed the domains into a round shape (Oda and Fukuda, 2012a, 2013a). The microtubule-mediated restriction of active ROP11 domains also required full-length MIDD1. In xylem cells, disruption of the cortical microtubules yielded diffusion of the active ROP GTPase away from the secondary cell wall pits (Oda and Fukuda, 2012a, 2013a). Therefore, ROP11 signaling appears to act as a spatial buffer between cortical microtubule depolymerization and polymerization sites by facilitating a mutual inhibition between microtubule assembly and the ROP11/MIDD1/kinesin-13A pathway.
Global cortical microtubule polymerization activity may affect ROP-mediated spatial buffering to influence the formation of various secondary cell wall patterns, where higher microtubule polymerization activity is expected to strongly restrict the area of depolymerization and to enhance the formation of secondary cell walls with smaller and narrower secondary wall pits. By contrast, weaker microtubule polymerization activity is expected to be less restrictive and to enhance the formation of secondary cell walls with larger and rounder secondary cell wall pits. Therefore, this regulatory system might account for the assorted deposition patterns of secondary cell walls.

**ROP GTPases May Function in Directed Exocytosis During Patterned Secondary Cell Wall Deposition**

Considering the remarkable deposition of secondary walls in xylem cells, it is reasonable to hypothesize that strictly directed exocytosis takes place during xylem differentiation to supply various cell wall synthetic materials to the developing walls. Octameric complexes termed exocysts mediate membrane fusion during directed exocytosis and are composed of eight conserved subunits: subunit of exocyst complex (SEC) 3, SEC5, SEC6, SEC8, SEC10, SEC15, EXOcyst (EXO) 70, and EXO84 (Hala et al., 2008). In yeast, SEC3 and EXO70 are localized at the target membrane of trans-Golgi network vesicles, while the other exocyst complex members are localized at the vesicle membrane itself.

Li et al. (2010, 2013) revealed that an exocyst subunit gene, EXO70A1 (one of 23 EXO70 genes encoded in the Arabidopsis genome) is preferentially expressed in xylem cells and is required for normal secondary cell wall development. Loss of EXO70A1 leads to disorganized secondary cell wall thickening and abnormal accumulation of membrane compartments in differentiating xylem cells, with no inhibition of secondary cell wall deposition. This finding indicates that EXO70A1 regulates the targeting of exocytotic vesicles to the cortical microtubule-marked plasma membrane in differentiating xylem cells.

Rho GTPases directly bind to EXO70 and SEC3 subunits in yeast and mammalian cells and target exocytotic vesicles to particular plasma membrane domains (Robinson et al., 1999; He and Guo, 2009; Wu et al., 2010). Several lines of evidence suggest that ROP GTPases regulate targeting of exocyst complexes in plants. For example, a number of exocyst subunits, including EXO70A1, are localized at the growing tips of pollen tubes. Active ROP GTPases are also present at the growing tips of cyst subunit mutants exhibit pollen tube and root hair defects and are essential for polarized tip growth. Conversely, exocyst subunit mutants exhibit pollen tube and root hair defects (Wen et al., 2005; Synet et al., 2006). Importantly, SEC3 interacts with ROP-binding ICR1/RIP1 proteins in pollen tubes; like ROP GTPases, these interactions are essential for polarized pollen tube growth (Lavy et al., 2007), implying that ROP GTPases indirectly interact with the exocyst complex to modulate targeting of exocytotic vesicles. Similarly, ROP GTPases may regulate the localization of exocyst complexes in xylem cells to target exocytotic vesicles to cortical microtubule-tagged plasma membrane domains.

Additional evidence suggests that ROP GTPases are involved in secondary cell wall development. Foucart et al. (2009) reported that a Eucalyptus ROP GTPase gene, EgROP1, is strongly expressed in the cambium and differentiating xylem cells. Overexpression of constitutively activate EgROP1 in Arabidopsis inhibits lignification and xylan deposition in the secondary xylem, indicating that EgROP1 participates in secondary cell wall deposition (Foucart et al., 2009).

**RABG3B GTPase Promotes Secondary Cell Wall Deposition**

Several studies suggest that a Rab GTPase contributes to the control of secondary cell wall deposition in higher plants. Kwon et al. (2010a) report that RabG3b is upregulated during xylem differentiation and is likely involved in secondary cell wall development. RabG3b is highly similar to mammalian Rab7 and yeast Ypt7, which direct the later steps of membrane trafficking during autophagy (Kirisako et al., 1999; Gutierrez et al., 2004; Jager et al., 2004). Kwon et al. (2010a,b, 2011) found that the expression of constitutively active RabG3b promoted autophagy and xylem cell development in Arabidopsis and Populus. This also occurred in cultured cells, where treatment with brassinosteroid and boric acid induced xylem cell differentiation *in vitro*. By contrast, knockdown of RabG3b or introduction of a dominant negative form of RabG3b impaired both autophagy and differentiation, whereas activation of RabG3b upregulated the expression of programmed cell death (PCD)-related genes and secondary wall syntheses-related genes. However, RabG3b activation did not upregulate genes involved in the induction of xylem cell differentiation. Therefore, RabG3b activation appears to specifically promote PCD and secondary cell wall development through autophagy, but does not promote the induction of xylem cell differentiation (Kwon et al., 2010a). Nevertheless, the precise mechanism whereby RabG3b induces secondary cell wall development is still uncertain and requires further exploration.

**Signaling Through Multiple ROP GTPases May Spatially Coordinate Promotion and Inhibition of Secondary Cell Wall Development**

The Arabidopsis genome encodes 11 ROPs with divergent roles in microtubule and actin organization, membrane trafficking and the establishment of polarity, and responses to environmental and pathogenic cues (Nibau et al., 2006; Yang and Fu, 2007). Expression data indicate that at least eight ROPs including ROP11 are expressed in xylem cells (Winter et al., 2007). Because ROP11 functions in ROP/MD1/kinesin-13A signaling to form secondary cell wall pits, ROPs other than ROP11 may participate in secondary cell wall development. In this regard, two spatially discrete ROP GTPase signaling pathways act together in leaf epidermal cells to achieve interdigitated cell morphology: ROP6 signaling stabilizes cortical microtubules through the RIC1 effector, while ROP2 signaling stabilizes actin microfilaments through the RIC4 effector (Fu et al., 2005). These two signaling pathways mutually inhibit each other and enhance themselves through a positive feedback mechanism (Fu et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2010). Similarly, the plural ROP signaling pathways might exist in xylem cells to control cytoskeletal organization, which in turn regulates the targeting of exocytotic vesicles through EXO70A1 to the area where cortical...
FIGURE 1 | A multi-Rho of plants (ROP) signaling model for secondary cell wall development. ROPGEF4 and ROPGAP3 establish the ROP11/MIDD1/kinesin-13A pathway to generate a cortical microtubule-absent area by promoting microtubule depolymerization, which results in pits of secondary cell walls in metaxylem vessels. Cortical microtubules restrict signaling from the ROP11/MIDD1/kinesin-13A pathway and promote local secondary cell wall deposition via an unknown ROP pathway and/or an ROP-independent pathway that controls targeting of exocytotic vesicles through EXO70A1. An adaptor protein such as RIP1/ICR1 might mediate interactions between the putative ROP exocysts, and cortical microtubules. Vesicles from the trans-Golgi network are directly targeted to cortical microtubules, and subsequently supply cellulose synthase complexes to the cellular sites where microtubules are present.

microtubules are present (Figure 1). Another scenario, however, is still possible in which only the ROP11 pathway operates in xylem cells, and the exocytic vesicles are targeted to the microtubule-positive area independently of the ROP GTPases. Because active ROP11 inhibits membrane recycling (Bloch et al., 2005), locally activated ROP11 may downregulate membrane recycling in the area where cortical microtubules are absent, thereby facilitating membrane trafficking outside the realm of active ROP11 domains where cortical microtubules are present. Alternatively, microtubules may function as a direct target of exocytosis. Further studies are required to elucidate the precise actions of ROP GTPases in directed exocytosis, and to uncover the regulatory mechanism of membrane trafficking in secondary cell wall development.

PERSPECTIVES AND CONCLUDING STATEMENTS

Several studies on xylem cells have revealed that ROP and Rab GTPases essentially direct cortical microtubule organization and membrane trafficking to establish secondary cell wall patterning and deposition. In addition, targeting of exocytic vesicles to the plasma membrane domains where cortical microtubules are aligned is likely under the control of ROP GTPase signaling. To understand the molecular processes behind secondary cell wall development, a number of issues must still be resolved. For example, secondary cell wall pits are usually generated in a face-to-face fashion, forming a pit pair that bridges two adjacent xylem cells. Recently, Xu et al. (2014) reported that transmembrane receptor-like kinases mediate intercellular communication through auxin and ROP GTPase signaling during pavement cell morphogenesis (Xu et al., 2014). Furthermore, certain ROP GEFs interact with receptor-like kinases (Duan et al., 2010; Akamatsu et al., 2013; Chang et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2013). These observations raise the hypothesis that intercellular signaling might regulate the position of secondary cell wall pit pairs via the ROP11/MIDD1/kinesin-13A pathway.

Another issue concerns the question of whether ROP GTPase signaling is involved in secondary cell wall patterning in protoxylem cells, where secondary cell walls are deposited in annular or spiral patterns. Interestingly, overexpression or knockdown of signaling molecules in the ROP11/MIDD1/kinesin-13A pathway only slightly affected secondary cell wall formation in protoxylem vessels (Oda and Fukuda, 2012a, 2013b). These data suggest that discrete ROP signaling either does not crosstalk with the ROP11/MIDD1/kinesin-13A pathway, or that ROP-independent signaling may organize the secondary cell wall in protoxylem cells.

In addition, the manner in which small GTPases modulate membrane trafficking to ensure the local deposition of secondary cell walls at the area marked with cortical microtubules is an important issue for further exploration. Lastly, actin microfilaments are essential structural components of membrane trafficking in xylem cells (Wightman and Turner, 2008), and it would be most interesting to investigate the potential participation of small GTPases in the regulation of actin microfilaments in xylem cells. Future studies focusing on the functions of small GTPases will most certainly contribute to our further understanding of regulation of complicated secondary cell wall development.
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