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Regulatory Mechanisms between Quorum Sensing and Virulence in Salmonella
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Abstract: Salmonella is a foodborne pathogen that causes enterogastritis among humans, livestock and poultry, and it not only causes huge economic losses for the feed industry but also endangers public health around the world. However, the prevention and treatment of Salmonella infection has remained poorly developed because of its antibiotic resistance. Bacterial quorum sensing (QS) system is an intercellular cell–cell communication mechanism involving multiple cellular processes, especially bacterial virulence, such as biofilm formation, motility, adherence, and invasion. Therefore, blocking the QS system may be a new strategy for Salmonella infection independent of antibiotic treatment. Here, we have reviewed the central role of the QS system in virulence regulation of Salmonella and summarized the most recent advances about quorum quenching (QQ) in virulence attenuation during Salmonella infection. Unraveling the complex relationship between QS and bacterial virulence may provide new insight into the therapy of pathogen infection.
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1. Introduction

Salmonella is a foodborne pathogen that causes bacterial gastroenteritis in both humans and livestock or poultry [1]. The data show that there are 93 million Salmonella infectious cases around the world each year, and more than 155,000 people die from Salmonella infection each year, which brings great challenges to public health and the food industry [2]. The pathogenicity of Salmonella is closely related to the its virulence factors, including biofilm formation (BF), flagellar-mediated motility, adhesion and invasion, and the type III secretion system (T3SS) [3–5]. Antibiotic treatment is one of the common therapies for Salmonella infection [6,7]. Unfortunately, with increased frequency of antibiotic application, Salmonella has developed serious resistance to antibiotics. In this context, a new antibiotic-free antibacterial therapy is urgently required to treat Salmonella infection.

The quorum sensing (QS) system is an intercellular cell–cell communication mechanism that coordinates bacterial adaptation to the environment. Many bacteria can produce and release QS molecules into the environment, which allows bacteria to respond to their own and environmental conditions by receiving signal molecules from the environment and themselves [8]. With a threshold concentration of QS molecules reached, the receptor protein interacts with the QS molecules which induces a signaling pathway that consequently modulates cellular metabolic processes in response to environmental changes.
QS affects the biosynthesis and assembly of pef fimbria by regulating the expression of *Salmonella* (the resistance to complement killing) *rck* locus [9]. The cellular processes are associated with bacterial virulence and survival of bacteria in their environment [10,11], such as biofilm formation, flagellar-mediated motility, adhesion and invasion, and T3SS [9,12]. Consequently, inhibition of *Salmonella* QS has become a new and promising antibacterial strategy, which not only resists *Salmonella* virulence but also prevents the development of bacterial resistance. In this article, we described the formation of different QS in *Salmonella*, discussed regulatory mechanisms between QS and *Salmonella* virulence, and focused on a new strategy for using the QS system to combat *Salmonella* infection. Such a strategy may have the potential to be a promising next-generation therapy to control *Salmonella* infection and tackle the development of antibiotic resistance.

2. Different Signal Molecules Mediate QS in *Salmonella*

In *Salmonella*, there are five types of QS signal molecules that contribute to the regulation of bacterial survival and virulence. These include Autoinducer (AI)-1, AI-2, AI-3/Epinephrine (Epi)/Norepinephrine (NE), diffusible signal factors (DSF), and indole (Figure 1). Acyl Homoserine Lactones (AHLs), generally known as AI-1, are a common QS signal molecule in Gram-negative bacteria. AHLs are synthesized through *S*-adenosylmethionine (SAM) and acyl–acyl carrier protein in the presence of protein members of the LuxI family of AHL synthase. Interestingly, although *Salmonella* cannot synthesize AHLs by itself, it can still sense AHLs signal generated from other microorganisms by the SdiA (a LuxR homolog) of *Salmonella*, and control a wide variety of traits [3]. For example, AHL produced by *Aeromonas hydrophila* and *Yersinia enterocolitica* in the host intestine activates the AHL receptor SdiA which promotes intestinal colonization [13]. In addition, SdiA can directly and positively regulate *rck* binding to AHL which regulates bacterial invasion of host cells [14]. AI-2 is another type of main QS signal molecule in *Salmonella*, which is produced through the conversion of *S*-adenosyl homocysteine (SAH) by the AI-2 synthase LuxS [15]. The LuxS-dependent AI-2 activates the expression of *lsr* operon, which is composed of seven genes (*lsrACDBFGE*) and is responsible for AI-2 uptake in *Salmonella* [12,16]. Recent studies have shown that the transcriptional regulator LsrR can repress the expression of genes, such as *sodA*, *sodCI*, and *sodCII*, whose function is associated with *Salmonella* survival within macrophages, which contributes to the virulence of *Salmonella* [17]. Intriguingly, *Salmonella* can also utilize the QS signal molecules produced by other organisms, such as AI-3, Epi, and NE. AI-3 is a bacterial signal produced by the intestinal commensal microflora, while Epi and NE are the stress hormones of hosts [18,19]. The *Salmonella* express a histidine kinase (HK) sensor called QseC, which can recognize the AI-3/Epi/NE signal and further mediate bacterial virulence. It is reported that QseC activation upregulates the transcriptional expression of genes related to the colonization and motility in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium [20,21]. Notably, the bacterial QS system can crosstalk with mammalian genes through the perception of the AI-3/Epi/NE signal. Sperandio et al. found that the exogenous AI-3 and Epi restored the virulence phenotypes of the *luxS* mutant, but adrenergic antagonists can block this signal [22]. In addition to AIs, DSF and indole are two kinds of unusual QS signal molecules in *Salmonella*. Unlike other signaling molecules, the DSF and indole negatively regulate the virulence of *Salmonella*. DSF interacts with *Salmonella*’s master transcriptional regulator HilD, preventing the activation of *hilA* and inhibiting *Salmonella* invasion [23,24]. Indole, as an important microbiota metabolite, can attenuate bacterial motility, invasiveness, and virulence factors by reducing SPI-1 expression [25]; however, *Salmonella* cannot produce indole by itself.

In summary, although *Salmonella* cannot biosynthesize several QS signal molecules, the QS system plays a critical role in regulating the survival and virulence of *Salmonella*. For instance, the AI-2 produced by *Escherichia coli* can boost the oxidative stress response genes in *Salmonella*, increasing *Salmonella* pathogenicity [26]. This is consistent with the function of QS signaling molecules within *Salmonella*. Consequently, the QS signaling
molecules function as a chemical signaling molecule that can affect *Salmonella* virulence on both intraspecific and interspecific levels.

**Figure 1.** Regulatory mechanisms between QS and virulence in *Salmonella*. AHL-mediated QS regulated the expression of the *srgE* and *rck* locus through the SdiA receptor, which in turn affected the expression of the T3SS genes and bacterial invasion. LuxS/AI-2-mediated QS regulated the expression of flagella-related genes (*fliC*, *fliD*, and *flgL*), oxidative stress-response genes (*sodA*, *sodCI*, and *sodCII*), and TS33-related genes (*sipA*, *sipC*, and *sipD*), which in turn affected bacterial invasion. AI-3/Epi/NE-mediated QS regulated the expression of T3SS genes, which in turn affected bacterial invasion, flagella-related genes, and it can also regulate biofilm formation. DSF-mediated QS inhibited the expression of *hliD* thus inhibiting the expression of SPI-1. Indole-mediated QS inhibited the expression of *hliA* thus inhibiting the expression of SPI-1 and also inhibited the expression of *flhC* thus inhibiting flagella biosynthesis.

### 3. Regulatory Mechanisms between QS and Virulence in *Salmonella*

The regulation of *Salmonella* virulence by QS involves a variety of processes. QS contributes to bacterial virulence by regulating the expression of genes involved in BF, flagella, T3SS, bacterial adhesion and invasion, and resistance to oxidative killing in macrophages (Figure 1). The role of various genes in QS regulation of *Salmonella* is listed in Table 1. The research on the regulation of QS on the virulence of *Salmonella* is helpful for attenuation *Salmonella* infections.
### Table 1. Role of various genes in QS regulation of Salmonella.

| Signals        | Pathway                  | Role in Virulence Regulation                                                                 | Reference |
|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| AHL            | SdiA                     | Modulates expression of T3SS, increases biofilm formation and adhesion to epithelial cells, and regulates flagellin motility | [27–29]  |
| Rck region     | glgC/fliF/lpfA/fimF       | Increases biofilm formation and modulates flagellin motility                                | [30,31]  |
| T3SS (hilA/invA/invF) | LuxS                       | Increases motility, adhesion, and invasion                                                  | [32]     |
| T3SS (hilA/invA/invF) |                 | Regulates flagella, biofilm formation, and enhances the expression of SPI-1                 | [30]     |
| AI-2           | lsrR                     | Decreases expression of SPI-1 and flagella genes and regulates the invasion of Salmonella into epithelial cells | [35,36]  |
| AI-2           | fliC/fliD/flgL            | Increases motility                                                                          | [22,35]  |
| T3SS (sipA/sipC/sipD) |                 | Enhances the expression of SPI-1 and regulates the invasion of Salmonella into epithelial cells | [37]     |
| AI-3/Epi/NE    | QseBC                    | Regulates biofilm formation, flagella regulon, and increases adhesion                        | [40,41]  |
| DSF            | HillD                    | Inhibits invasion                                                                           | [24]     |
| Indole         | SPI-1(invF/hilA)          | Inhibits flagella biosynthesis                                                              | [42]     |
|                |                          |                                              |           |

**3.1. QS Affects BF-Mediated Virulence in Salmonella**

Biofilm is a conglomeration of individual microbial cells which attach to a surface within a self-produced matrix of extracellular polymeric substances (EPSs) [43]. BF not only increases the virulence and persistent infection ability of Salmonella, but also enhances the development of antibiotic resistance, which plays an important role in Salmonella survival in adverse environments [44,45]. Some studies reported that Salmonella SdiA can utilize AI-1 molecules produced by other bacteria, which induce the formation of biofilm and affect its pathogenicity [46,47]. These data are supported by the fact that exogenously added AI-1-mediated QS molecules C4-HSL and C6-HSL, at a level of 1 µM, enhances BF as well as EPS secretion of S. Typhimurium [48]. In addition, BF can be triggered by AI-1-mediated QS molecules, C12-HSL, after 36 h under anaerobic conditions in Salmonella enterica Enteritidis [49]. This is consistent with the discovery that presence of C12-HSL enhances the expression of glgC, fliF, lpfA, and fimF genes which are implicated in the production of BF in S. Enteritidis [30,31]. The AI-2-mediated QS can also contribute to the formation of Salmonella biofilm through the expression of luxS. AI-2 disrupt BF in S. Typhimurium by reducing the expression of luxS [50]. The Epi-mediated QS not only affects the growth of Salmonella, but also impacts the BF of Salmonella under stress conditions in poultry breeding (especially in Salmonella-infected chickens) [51]. In the AI-3 QS system, it has been shown that BF is reduced when qseB are mutated, whereas qseC mutants were deficient in BF [52]. Thus, different QS signaling molecules promote the formation of biofilm in Salmonella, which facilitates its sustained colonization and exerts its pathogenic capacity.

**3.2. QS Affects the Flagellar-Mediated Motility That Contributes to Virulence in Salmonella**

Flagella, the important rotational propulsive organelles of Salmonella, play an important role in the adhesion and invasion of host cells, which contribute to Salmonella infection. The reduced expression of sdiA can decrease flagella formation (motility) and fimbria formation through affecting the expression of virulence factors controlled by QS, such as pefI/srgC operon, srgE, and sirA [53–55]. Additionally, it has been reported that the AI-2-mediated QS system affects Salmonella flagellum formation which contributes to its virulence [35]. The expression of luxS and fliC decreased which influences the expression of flagella. It causes a reduction in Salmonella motility and affects the pathogenicity of Salmonella. Furthermore, it has also been shown that overexpression of LsrR, a repressor which regulates and controls various genes in response to AI-2-P, in Salmonella substantially reduces the expression levels of fliC, fliD, as well as flgl, which have a critical function.
in flagellar formation and motility [5,22,35]. In addition, LsrK, the AI-2 kinase, plays an essential contribution to the regulation of flagellar photosynthesis and motility processes in Salmonella [35]. In the AI-3, Epi, and NE-mediated QS, various signaling molecules act as a global regulator of virulence by activating the expression of genes involved in flagellar biosynthesis and bacterial motility [56]. In indole-mediated QS, the signaling molecules of indole can inhibit bacterial flagella biosynthesis by reducing the expression of flhC, a major regulator of Salmonella flagellar biogenesis, which leads to decreased Salmonella motility [25]. In conclusion, different signaling molecules, mediated QS systems, decrease or increase the motility of Salmonella by affecting its flagella, which contributes to its virulence.

3.3. QS Regulates the Gene Expression of T3SSs Affecting Virulence in Salmonella

The T3SS system is encoded by SPI (Salmonella pathogenicity island) and constitutes the main vehicle for Salmonella to invade intestinal epithelial cells [57]. It plays a crucial role in its pathogenesis and virulence genes expression. SPI-1 is necessary for Salmonella intestinal invasion, while SPI-2 is necessary for Salmonella to proliferate in host cells [58,59]. SdiA, an AI-1 signaling molecule-mediated QS receptor, can regulate the expression of Salmonella virulence genes, such as pefl/srgC operon, srgE, and sirA, which in turn affect T3SS-dependent virulence, such as bacterial adhesion and invasiveness [60]. The addition of C12-HSL, an AI-1 signaling molecule, can increase the expression of hilA, invA, and invF of Salmonella SPI-1 [30]. In the AI-2 QS system, the AI-2 transporter complex, LsrR repressor, LsrABCD, and LsrK signaling kinase, constitute the Salmonella regulatory network [61]. LsrR negatively regulates SPI-1. Exogenous overexpression of the LsrR transcription factor reduces the expression of SPI-1. The levels of SPI-1 effector SipA, SipC, and SipD are also reduced, which is consistent with the regulatory effect of LsrR on SPI-1 [37]. In addition, deletion of LuxS reduces SPI-1 transcription and attenuates Salmonella invasion [35]. Furthermore, in the AI-3 QS system, the role of QseC is global as it regulates the gene expression of T3SSs in vitro and in vivo during mouse infection, thus affecting the virulence of bacteria [27]. On the other hand, indole signaling molecules inhibited SPI-1 expression in a concentration-dependent manner [25]. To summarize, the QS regulator of Salmonella plays an important role in regulating T3SS in different ways, which further controls the virulence of Salmonella against the host.

3.4. QS Regulates the Adhesion and Invasion of Host Cells Affecting Virulence in Salmonella

The invasion of host cells by Salmonella is considered to be the main feature of its pathogenesis. Salmonella can proliferate and survive once they enter host cells, thus triggering the onset of infection [62,63]. The AI-1-mediated QS receptor SdiA in Salmonella, which can bind to AYLLs from other bacteria, regulates rck, which is implicated in microorganism adhesion and invasion of epithelial cells as well as resistance to the host complement [32]. SdiA also can regulate the genes located on the virulence plasmid and in the chromosome of Salmonella, and affects the bacterial invasion of host cells [29]. Moreover, it is reported that LsrR is a transcription factor that binds to AI-2 and can mediate the capacity of Salmonella to invade host cells [64]. Meanwhile, AI-2-mediated QS plays an important role in the invasion of Salmonella adhesion which contributes to Salmonella virulence. Studies show that the absence of AI-2 results in the diminished invasiveness of Salmonella against epithelial cells, which in turn attenuates its virulence in mice [36]. Deletion of the luxS (AI-2) synthetic gene weakened expression of Salmonella SPI-1 virulence genes, which are necessary for Salmonella to invade intestinal epithelial cells [36]. In addition, AI-3, Epi, and NE-mediated QS systems contribute to adhesion and invasion of Salmonella [65]. In the AI-3 QS system, when qseC is mutated, it can downregulate the transcription of virulence factors which reduced the ability of Salmonella to adhere and invade [52]. c2-HAD, a type of DSF, causes the loss of HilD activity by preventing the interaction of HilD with its target DNA in S. Typhimurium [23,24]. HilD forms a feed-forward loop with HilC and RtsA to induce HilA, which activates the expression of the SPI-1 to control invasion of epithelial cells [66].
Overall, *Salmonella* QS signaling molecules or their receptors regulate the adhesion and invasion of *Salmonella* to host cells, which contributes to the virulence of *Salmonella*.

3.5. QS Resists Oxidative Killing within Macrophages Affecting Virulence in *Salmonella*

Macrophages, as the main cells of *Salmonella* survival, have an important role in the process of host systemic infection caused by *Salmonella*. Therefore, one of the most important host defense systems that *Salmonella* must overcome is the process of invading bacteria-inducing oxidative bursts to produce bactericidal reactive oxygen species (ROS) [39]. It was proved that overexpression of LsrR can impede the evasion of oxidative killing in macrophages to make *Salmonella* virulence diminished [9]. Overexpression of *lsrR* leads to hypersensitivity to NADPH-dependent oxidative stress which inhibits the survival of *Salmonella* in macrophages [67]. Under *lsrR* overexpression conditions, the expression of oxidative stress-response genes such as *sodA*, *sodCl*, and *sodCII* decreased, resulting in *Salmonella* being highly sensitive to ROS, which is not conducive to the proliferation of *Salmonella* in macrophages [38,39]. It was revealed that epinephrine provides a clue to the proliferation of *S. Typhimurium* in macrophages [27]. Environmental cues for upcoming macrophage-derived peroxidation stress can be seen in the diminished survival of *S. Typhimurium* in macrophages to survive in the presence of adrenaline. In addition, NE and catecholamines support *Salmonella* survival in macrophages by mediating the expression of SPI-2 [27]. Thus, *Salmonella* senses its surrounding environment through QS signaling molecules, which help *Salmonella* to colonize and exert virulence beyond host defenses.

4. A New Strategy for Using the QS System to Regulate Bacterial Virulence

Inhibition of QS systems not only leads to intensive reduction and regulation of severe infection but also reduces *Salmonella* infections and development of antibiotic resistance in humans. QS inhibition strategies are called “quorum quenching” (QQ) [19,68]. The interference of the QS process can be divided into the following three aspects (Figure 2), which are important tools to mitigate *Salmonella* infections and antibiotic resistance [69–71].
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**Figure 2.** Strategies for QS interception. Strategies of QS inhibiting agents are marked with numbers on the diagram: (1) inhibit AIs synthesis; (2) inactivate AIs and degrade the enzyme of AIs; and (3) interfere with the AIs receptors.

4.1. Inhibition of the Synthesis of Signal Molecules to Inhibit Virulence in *Salmonella*

The signaling molecules of QS perform an essential function in regulating physiological activities of bacteria [5,72]. *Salmonella* utilizes signaling molecules such as AI-2 as a communication tool to transmit interspecies information and express physiological characteristics [73]. The synthesis of QS signaling molecules requires the participation of various enzymes. For example, LuxS can catalyze the cleavage of the thioether bond degraded from SAH to SRH under the action of 50-methylthioadenosine/S-adenosylhomocysteine nucleosidase (Pfs), thereby generating 4,5-dihydroxy-2,3-pentanedione (DPD), the precur-
sor molecule of AI-2 [74]. Thereby, the synthesis of signal molecules can be inhibited by reducing the activity of related proteins or enzymes. Therefore, this may be a more direct way to interrupt the QS system and inhibit virulence factors such as biofilm by inhibiting the biosynthesis of QS signaling molecules.

4.2. Inactivation or Enzymatic Degradation of Signal Molecules to Inhibit Virulence in Salmonella

Signaling molecules can be degraded or inactivated by QQ enzymes [68,75,76]. Most QQ enzymes are involved in AHL inactivation or degradation, these include AHL lactonase/paraoxonase (lactone hydrolysis), AHL acyltransferase (amide hydrolysis), and AHL oxidase/reductase (oxidative reduction). AHL-lactonase has been identified to effectively inhibit bacterial BF and attenuate virulence factors [19]. While LuxS is a key enzyme that is directly involved in the production of AI-2, its inhibition will reduce the amount of AI-2. Furthermore, it has been reported that AI-2 is phosphorylated by LsrK and ATP, and because enzyme LsrK can phosphorylate DPD, this reaction phosphorylates AI-2 outside the cell and cannot pass through the cell membrane [77,78].

4.3. Inhibition of the Binding of Signal Molecules to Receptor Proteins to Inhibit Virulence in Salmonella

Inactivation or competition of receptors for QS signaling molecules can disrupt the QS system. Furanones, QS inhibitors, which can compete with native AI for binding and subsequently block AHL receptors, can significantly reduce bacterial virulence factor production and BF [19,79]. The synthesis of structural analogs with alkyl chains and aromatic rings from natural or chemically synthesized QSI (quorum sensing inhibitors) halogenated furanones can inhibit the formation of biofilm [80,81]. AI-2 and DPD analogs can compete with natural signaling molecules for binding to receptor proteins [82,83]. The binding of AI-2 and DPD to receptor proteins can be disrupted by inactivating LuxS [84,85]. Berberine inhibit certain QS behaviors by binding to LasR receptors and preventing the binding of proteins to DNA, thus exerting anti-infective effects [86].

5. Conclusions and Future Perspectives

The QS system not only plays an important role in Salmonella survival, but also controls Salmonella virulence [33]. Currently, anti-virulence therapy through the agents interfering with QS in Salmonella provides a strategy to prevent Salmonella infection and the development of antibiotic resistance [87–89]. Unlike antibiotics that kill bacteria to reduce bacterial infection, interfering with QS does not kill or inhibit the growth of bacteria, but rather resists Salmonella infection by interfering with the expression of virulence factors of bacteria [90]. Therefore, interference with QS in Salmonella has the advantage of lower survival pressure on bacteria, which can reduce bacterial antibiotic resistance. The development of QS inhibitors has become a novel strategy for the treatment of Salmonella infection and provides a new aspect for the virulence effect of Salmonella. QSIs such as furanone and its derivatives can be used to inhibit the virulence associated with Salmonella, which can reduce Salmonella infection. In addition, the synthetic QSI, N-phenyl-4-phenylaminothioxomethyl amino-benzencesulfonyamide, can also inhibit Salmonella virulence [12,31]. Due to Salmonella being an intestinal pathogen, there are still some issues that deserve to be discussed. (1) What is the effect of QS interferer on the beneficial activity of host-associated microbiota during infection? (2) It is necessary to further understand whether QS directly drives pathogen virulence, or whether pathogens only use these events to indirectly create a favorable environment. (3) How fast does the resistance of Salmonella to QS interferers evolve? These issues will provide favorable conditions for the development of novel strategies to overcome, combat, or control Salmonella infection. In summary, considering the impact of Salmonella QS on bacterial virulence, it is helpful to control Salmonella infection and development of antibiotic resistance in the next generation of treatment to provide new insights.
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