Recombinant human thrombopoietin improves the efficacy of intermediate-dose cyclophosphamide plus granulocyte colony-stimulating factor in mobilizing peripheral blood stem cells in patients with multiple myeloma
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Abstract

The combination of intermediate-dose cyclophosphamide (ID-CTX) and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) fails to mobilize peripheral blood stem cells (PBSCs) in approximately 20% of treated patients with multiple myeloma (MM).

In this cohort study, patients with MM underwent PBSC mobilization with either an ID-CTX plus G-CSF plus recombinant human thrombopoietin (rhTPO) regimen (72 patients; TPO group), or an ID-CTX plus G-CSF regimen (70 patients; non-TPO group).

In the TPO group, the median CD34+ harvest was 5.36 × 10⁶ per kg of body weight (0.50–22.39 × 10⁶ per kg of body weight), with a harvest success rate of 91.7% (66/72), and an excellence rate of 55.6% (40/72). In the non-TPO group, the median CD34+ harvest was 3.30 × 10⁶ per kg of body weight (0.20–21.14 × 10⁶ per kg of body weight), with a harvest success rate of 75.7% (53/70), and an excellence rate of 25.7% (18/70). The median count of the CD34+ cells collected, success rate of collection, and excellence rate of collection were significantly higher in the TPO group than in the non-TPO group (P<.0001, P=.01, and P=.0001, respectively). Time to granulocyte and platelet engraftment was faster among patients in the TPO group than that in those from the non-TPO group. No platelet engraftment delay (>21 days) was observed among patients in the TPO group, while 3 patients in the non-TPO group displayed delayed platelet engraftment.

Adding rhTPO to the ID-CTX chemotherapy plus G-CSF regimen improved treatment efficacy in mobilizing PBSCs for autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation.

Abbreviations: ASCT = autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, CTX = cyclophosphamide, DS = Durie–Salmon, G-CSF = granulocyte colony-stimulating factor, ID-CTX = intermediate-dose cyclophosphamide, IMWG = International Myeloma Working Group, ISS = International Staging System, MM = multiple myeloma, PBSCs = peripheral blood stem cells, rhTPO = recombinant human thrombopoietin.
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1. Introduction

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a common hematological malignancy, which is routinely treated with autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (ASCT). The application of new drugs in clinical practice, including bortezomib and lenalidomide, has significantly increased the overall survival in patients with MM,[1] elevating the treatment of MM into a “new-drug era.” However, ASCT remains an important component in the overall treatment strategy for MM in this new-drug era. Additional clinical studies are required to clarify how best to perform initial induction chemotherapy, as well as conditioning and maintenance therapies, following ASCT.[2,3]

One of the prerequisites for ASCT is the mobilization and collection of a sufficient number of autologous hematopoietic stem cells. Chemotherapy with cyclophosphamide (CTX) in combination with granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) is the most commonly used strategy for mobilization of peripheral blood stem cells (PBSCs) in patients with MM; however, suboptimal responses have been reported in some patients receiving this regimen.[4] The new stem cell-mobilization drugs that include plerixafor have the potential to further promote stem cell mobilization, and this study aimed to establish a new regimen combining plerixafor with a CTX plus G-CSF chemotherapy regimen.
enhance mobilization of PBSCs by the combination of CTX and G-CSF, which can improve collection yield and purity. Unfortunately, many of these drugs are still unavailable in China. In addition, in countries where these novel drugs are available, their high price markedly limits their wide use. In order to identify a more effective strategy for mobilization of autologous PBSCs, we employed a combination of intermediate-dose CTX (ID-CTX) chemotherapy and G-CSF, with or without recombinant human thrombopoietin (rhTPO), to mobilize and collect PBSCs in 142 patients with MM. Herein, we report the findings from the use of these combinatorial regimens.

2. Methods

2.1. Patients

From January 2010 to June 2015, 142 patients with MM who underwent ID-CTX chemotherapy combined with G-CSF, with or without rhTPO, for the mobilization and collection of autologous PBSCs at our hospital were recruited. All patients met the diagnostic criteria of MM, as issued by the International Myeloma Working Group (IMWG). The Durie-Salmon (DS) and International Staging System (ISS) criteria were used for MM staging in these patients. Of the 142 patients, 81 and 61 were male and 61 female, respectively, with a median age of 51 years (range, 29–68 years). Seventy-two patients received ID-CTX and G-CSF along with rhTPO (referred to as the TPO group), and 70 patients received ID-CTX and G-CSF without rhTPO (the non-TPO group). Two patients in the non-TPO group received the rhTPO-containing strategy for secondary mobilization; in order to collect higher CD34+ cell counts, some patients received 2 mobilizations sequentially (the second mobilization was called “secondary mobilization”). All the patients provided informed consent. The mobilization and collection protocols were approved by the Beijing Chaoyang Hospital Ethics Committee.

2.2. Mobilization strategies

ID-CTX chemotherapy combined with G-CSF, with/rhTPO, was used to mobilize PBSCs in patients with MM. The ID-CTX chemotherapy, a dose of 2.5g/m2 was administered once every 3 days according to the hemogram, and the CD34+ cell count was taken to be ≥5 × 106 cells/kg of body weight, the PBSCs were collected for 1–3 continuous days according to the hemogram, and the CD34+ cell count was determined. The collection was considered excellent and successful if the CD34+ cell count was ≥5 × 106 cells/kg of body weight and ≥2 × 106 cells/kg body weight, respectively. A CD34+ cell count <2 × 106 cells/kg of body weight was considered a collection failure.

2.3. Collection of the PBSCs

Post administration of the mobilization regimen, when the WBC count recovered rapidly to >4 × 109/L and the CD34+ count was ≥20 cells/μL, femoral vein catheterization was performed and stem cells were collected using COM.TEC (Fresenius Kabi AG, Bad Homburg, German); PBMCs were isolated using a lymphocyte isolating program. The percentage of CD34+ cells in the collected PBMCs was routinely measured. The general target of the collection was to obtain CD34+ cells with a count ≥5 × 106 cells/kg of body weight. The PBSCs were collected for 1–3 continuous days according to the hemogram, and the CD34+ cell count was determined. The collection was considered excellent and successful if the CD34+ cell count was ≥5 × 106 cells/kg of body weight and ≥2 × 106 cells/kg body weight, respectively. A CD34+ cell count <2 × 106 cells/kg of body weight was considered a collection failure.

2.4. Statistical analysis

IBM SPSS Statistics software (version 20.0, IBM Corp, Armonk, NY) was used for statistical analysis. A P value <.05 indicated significant difference for the various tests. Normality testing was applied for continuous data. For data with a normal distribution frequency, values were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD), and the Student t test was used to compare data between 2 groups. The Pearson χ2 test was used to compare rates between groups. By contrast, for data that was non-normally distributed, the median (range: minimum–maximum) was used to describe the data, and the rank-sum test was used to compare data between groups. The Mann–Whitney U test or the Kruskal–Wallis H test was used to compare nonparametric data between groups.

3. Results

3.1. Baseline characteristics of the patients

The baseline characteristics of the patients including age, sex, MM type, DS stage, ISS stage, treatment before mobilization, and disease status at mobilization (Table 1). The patients in the TPO and non-TPO groups had similar baseline characteristics. The
general characteristics of the 2 patients in the non-TPO group who received a rhTPO-containing regimen in the secondary mobilization were as follows: patient 1 (male, aged 32 years, IgG-κ type, DS stage IIIA, and ISS stage I), received 4 cycles of chemotherapy with the TAD regimen (thalidomide, epirubicin, and dexamethasone) before mobilization, with the patient entering partial remission before mobilization; patient 2 (female, aged 58 years, a light-chain type, DS stage IIIA, and ISS type III), received 4 cycles of chemotherapy with a PTD regimen (bortezomib, thalidomide, and dexamethasone) before mobilization, with the patient entering a phase of very good partial remission before mobilization.

3.2. Overall results of the mobilization and collection

A total of 165 mobilizations were performed for the included 142 patients. The median count of the CD34+ cells collected was $4.13 \times 10^6$ cells/kg of body weight (range, $0.20–22.39 \times 10^6$ cells/kg of body weight); the success rate of collection was 83.8% (119/142), while the excellence rate was 40.8% (58/142). Twenty-three of the 142 patients underwent secondary mobilization. Of the 23 secondary mobilizations, 20 were rescue mobilizations (performed due to collection-failure following the initial mobilization). Collection success was achieved in 15 of the 20 patients who underwent rescue mobilization. Collection failure was found in 23 of the 142 patients; among these patients, only 5 patients received secondary mobilization, while the remaining 18 did not receive secondary mobilization.

Of the 2 patients in the non-TPO group who received a TPO-containing regimen for secondary mobilization, the CD34+ cell count in the collected cells was $3.60 \times 10^6$ cells/kg of body weight (0.37–10^6 cells/kg of body weight after the initial mobilization and 3.23 \times 10^6 cells/kg of body weight after the secondary mobilization) for the female patient, and 4.88 \times 10^6 cells/kg of body weight (2.00 \times 10^6 cells/kg of body weight after the initial mobilization and 2.88 \times 10^6 cells/kg of body weight after the secondary mobilization) for the male patient. The collections in both patients were considered successful.

3.3. Results of the mobilization and collection in the TPO and non-TPO groups

Six patients in the TPO group failed collection; among these, 5 did not receive a secondary mobilization. Further, in the TPO group, a total of 5 patients received secondary mobilization; 4 of these were rescue mobilizations. Of the 4, collection success was achieved in 3 patients, including 1 that achieved an excellent collection. However, collection failure was still found in the other patient.

Collection failure was observed in 17 patients in the non-TPO group; of these 13 did not receive a secondary mobilization. Further, in the non-TPO group, a total of 18 patients underwent secondary mobilization, of which 16 were rescue mobilizations. Of the 16 patients, collection success was achieved in 12 (12/16), including 2 that achieved excellent collection (2/12). However, collection failure was still found in the remaining 4 patients (4/16).

The number of CD34+ cells collected, as well as the success and excellence rates of collection, in patients from the TPO and non-TPO groups are shown in Table 2. When compared with the non-TPO group, the odds ratio (OR) of the success and excellence rates in the TPO group was 3.528 (95% CI: 1.300–9.576) and 3.611 (95% CI: 1.776–7.341), respectively.

3.4. Data of ASCT in the TPO and non-TPO groups

Of the 142 study patients, 119 underwent ASCT. The conditioning regimen for the patients before transplantation was melphalan (200 mg/m², 2 days prior to ASCT), with some patients also receiving bortezomib (1.3 mg/m², 6 and 2 days prior to ASCT, and 1 and 4 days post-ASCT). The median CD34+ cell count was $2.27 \times 10^6$ cells/kg of body weight (range, 0.25–10.85 \times 10^6 cells/kg of body weight) in 119 patients. For all these ASCT patients, granulocyte and platelet engraftments were found at 11 days (range, 9–19 days) and 12 days (range, 9–37 days) after transplantation, respectively. Three patients had platelet engraftment delay (>21 days), and no transplantation-related mortality was found in any patients (Table 3). Among patients

---

### Table 2

| Results of the mobilization and collection in the TPO and non-TPO groups. | TPO group (n = 72) | Non-TPO group (n = 70) | P value |
|---|---|---|---|
| Count of the CD34+ cells collected ($\times 10^6$/kg body weight) | 5.36 (0.50–22.39) | 3.30 (0.20–21.14) | .000 |
| Total number of apheresis sessions | 2 (1–5) | 2 (1–6) | .30 |
| Success rate of the collection | 91.7% (66/72) | 75.7% (53/72) | .010 |
| Excellence rate of the collection | 55.6% (40/72) | 25.7% (18/70) | .000 |

### Table 3

| Characteristics of the ASCT in the TPO and non-TPO groups. | TPO group (n = 72) | Non-TPO group (n = 70) | P value |
|---|---|---|---|
| Patients underwent ASCT (n) | 60 (80/72) | 59 (59/70) | .369 |
| Pretreatment strategy | | | |
| Melphalan | 47 | 42 | |
| Melphalan+ bortezomib | 13 | 17 | |
| Count of the CD34+ cells transfused ($\times 10^6$/kg body weight) | 2.59 (0.68–10.85) | 1.78 (0.25–6.94) | .000 |
| Granulocyte restoration (ANC $\geq 1 \times 10^9$/L) (d) | 11 (9–13) | 12 (10–19) | .004 |
| Platelet restoration (PLT $\geq 20 \times 10^9$/L) (d) | 12 (9–21) | 13 (9–37) | .015 |
| Platelet engraftment delay | 0 (0/60) | 3 (3/59) | .119 |
who underwent ASCT, the median-infused CD34+ cell count was significantly higher in patients from the TPO group than that in patients from the non-TPO group.

4. Discussion

Mobilization and collection of a sufficient number of CD34+ cells is a precondition for ASCT. Many researchers believe that \( \geq 2 \times 10^6 \) CD34+ cells/kg of body weight is a safe cut-off value for the infusion of CD34+ cells for ASCT.\(^9\) Recent studies show that increasing the dose of transplanted CD34+ cells could not only shorten the time to granulocyte and platelet engraftment after ASCT, but could also promote improved long-term platelet engraftment and help improve overall survival.\(^10,11\) Therefore, the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation recommends that for the collection of autologous PBSCs the target count of CD34+ cells should be \( \geq 5 \times 10^6 \) CD34+ cells/kg body weight.\(^12\) Furthermore, as patients with MM have a high likelihood of requiring secondary ASCT, the IMWG recommends a target CD34+ cell count of \( \geq 8 \times 10^6 \) CD34+ cells/kg body weight for the collection of autologous PBSCs in patients with MM.\(^13\) In light of these findings and recommendations, the Italian Group for Bone Marrow Transplantation (GITMO)\(^14\) and the Mayo Clinic criteria\(^15\) were used in the present study to evaluate the collection of autologous PBSCs in patients with MM. A harvest of \( \geq 2 \times 10^6 \) CD34+ cells/kg of body weight was considered a successful collection, and \( \geq 5 \times 10^6 \) CD34+ cells/kg of body weight was considered an excellent collection; and \(< 2 \times 10^6 \) CD34+ cells/kg of body weight was considered a collection failure.

Previous studies show that collection failure may occur in about 25% of the patients who are otherwise eligible for ASCT.\(^4\) The previous data collected at our center also show that among patients with MM who received a combination of chemotherapy and G-CSF for mobilization, the success and optimal rates of collection were 74.5% and 27.5%, respectively.\(^16\) These findings suggest that new strategies are needed to improve the mobilization of CD34+ cells.

Plerixafor, a new mobilization-drug, when combined with G-CSF has demonstrated superior PBSC-mobilization, when compared with G-CSF alone\(^5,6\); however, plerixafor is still unavailable in China. In addition, the relatively high price of plerixafor has restrained its wide application, even in countries where it is accessible. Therefore, the commonly used mobilization strategy of CTX chemotherapy in combination with G-CSF was selected as the basic regimen going forward, and other stem cell-mobilizing drugs that are currently available in the Chinese market were used in combination to improve mobilization.

Our previous findings, using a relatively small sample of patients, showed that chemotherapy with \( \geq 4.0 \) g/m\(^2\) of CTX could not improve the harvesting of CD34+ cells\(^16\); on the contrary, this chemotherapeutic strategy might increase the risk of infection after chemotherapy, possibly requiring a blood transfusion.\(^17\) In light of our previous findings and those reported by other researchers,\(^16,18\) the ID-CTX strategy, comprising of a total dose of 2.5 g/m\(^2\) CTX over 2 days, was selected in the present study as the chemotherapeutic regimen. Further, combining G-CSF with other cytokines has been demonstrated to improve the harvest of CD34+ cells.\(^19\) However, in our previous study we obtained less than ideal results when we tested G-CSF in combination with granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) or IL-11 as the mobilization regimen.\(^16\) Furthermore, TPO improves the proliferation of stem/progenitor cells in vitro, and maintains and even enhances the activities of hematopoietic stem cells in mice.\(^20\) Studies in primates show that mobilization with G-CSF combined with pegylated megakaryocyte growth and development factor (pegMFGDF) improves the CD34+ cell count in the peripheral blood, suggesting that combinatorial regimens with TPO-receptor agonists could improve mobilization and collection of stem cells.\(^4\) In addition, studies in patients with breast cancer or other solid tumors also show that using a combination of chemotherapy and G-CSF with rhTPO achieves a higher stem-cell yield.\(^20,21\) Therefore, in the present study, a combination of ID-CTX chemotherapy and G-CSF with rhTPO was used for the mobilization of stem cells.

Previous studies have shown that several factors including age, number of chemotherapy cycles before collection, and treatment history (especially, chemotherapy with alkylating agents, and radiotherapy) affect stem-cell collection. In our study, these factors were similar between the TPO and non-TPO groups, and therefore the mobilization and collection results in these 2 groups were comparable. The success and excellence rates of collection were 91.7% and 35.6% in the TPO group, respectively, which were significantly higher than those observed in the non-TPO group (see in Table 2). The OR for both the success and excellence rates in the TPO group, when compared to the non-TPO group, was \( \geq 3 \). Collectively, these findings show that using TPO along with the combination of ID-CTX chemotherapy and G-CSF mobilization could achieve a higher stem-cell yield.

The success rate in the TPO group in the present study was similar to the success rate reported by the Mayo Clinic (86%); however, the excellence rate was comparatively lower in the current study (55.6% vs 70%).\(^15\) The reasons for this difference might include the following: In the Mayo Clinic study, the frequency of patients who underwent secondary mobilization was 15.7% (157/997). Of these, 63.7% underwent secondary mobilizations due to suboptimal initial mobilization (CD34+ count: \( \geq 2 \times 10^6 \) cells/kg of body weight, but \(< 5 \times 10^6 \) cells/kg of body weight), rather than mobilization failure. Furthermore, the number of cycles of chemotherapy in these patients, after the first partial remission and within 4 months after induction therapy, was relatively fewer. By contrast, most patients in the present study underwent secondary mobilization, and this was due to collection failure but not suboptimal collection after the initial mobilization. In addition, a relatively large proportion of the patients (20.8%, 15/72) underwent more than 6 cycles of chemotherapy before mobilization in the present study, and over half (6/10) of the patients refused secondary mobilization, both of which affected the overall success and excellence rates.

Our data showed that after ASCT, granulocyte and platelet engraftment in the TPO group was faster than that observed in the non-TPO group. No platelet-engraftment delay was found in patients who underwent secondary ASCT. Therefore, in the present study, a combination of ID-CTX chemotherapy and G-CSF with rhTPO was used for the mobilization of stem cells.
suggesting that the relatively higher dose of CD34+ cells that were infused might have prevented a delay in platelet engraftment for these patients.

These findings suggest that for patients with MM deemed eligible for transplantation or potentially requiring ASCT, the target-count of CD34+ cells in the collected PBSCs should be increased (ideal count of 8–10 × 10^6 and minimum count of ≥ 5 × 10^6 cells/kg body weight), with the aim of achieving a successful ASCT. For the patients who achieved successful collection after the initial mobilization, but did not reach the target count, a secondary mobilization and collection should be considered.

In summary, our findings show that the combination of ID-CTX chemotherapy and G-CSF, with rhTPO is an effective mobilization strategy; however, collection failure was still detected in about 5% of the patients. These findings suggest that for newly diagnosed patients that were eligible for transplantation, adverse factors that may affect treatment (i.e., numbers of treatment cycles, type of drugs, and treatment regimens) and the subsequent stem-cell collection should be avoided. In addition, more clinical studies are needed to help accurately identify the patients who are at a high risk for poor mobilization to develop more effective regimens for mobilization in these patients.
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