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**A B S T R A C T**

We provide the first genetic sequence data for a *Dipylidium* species from a wild carnivore plus an analysis of the effects of ecological, demographic, physiological and behavioural factors on *Dipylidium* sp. infection prevalence in a social carnivore, the spotted hyaena (*Crocuta crocuta*), in the Serengeti National Park, Tanzania. Our sequence data from a mitochondrial gene fragment (1176 base pair long) had a similarity of between 99% and 89% to *Dipylidium caninum*. We determined infection prevalence in 146 faecal samples from 124 known animals in three social groups (termed clans) using molecular screening and *Dipylidium* proglottid presence. Our analysis revealed significantly higher infection prevalence in juveniles (55%) than adults (15.8%), indicating that predominantly juveniles maintained infection in clans. The likelihood of infection in juveniles significantly: (1) increased as the number of adults and older juveniles (>6 months) at communal dens increased, implying a positive relationship between this factor and the size of the intermediate host (probably a flea species) population at communal dens; (2) decreased as the number of younger juveniles (<6 months) increased, suggesting that the chance of susceptible juveniles ingesting infected fleas during self-grooming declined as the number of infected fleas per younger juvenile declined; and (3) decreased during periods of low prey abundance in clan territories when an increased reliance on long-distances foraging excursions reduces the number of clan members visiting communal dens, possibly resulting in a decline in flea populations at dens. Long-distance foraging also increases the intervals (in days) between nursing visits by lactating females to their offspring. Lengthy intervals between milk intake by infected juveniles may reduce adult *Dipylidium* fecundity and hence decrease infection prevalence in the den flea population. Our study provides useful insights into *Dipylidium* epidemiology in a social carnivore population subject to large fluctuations in prey abundance.
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1. Introduction

The adult form of *Dipylidium caninum* is an intestinal parasite with a worldwide distribution in the domestic dog (*Canis familiaris*) and domestic cat (*Felis catus*). Occasional cases of infection in humans, particularly children, can occur (e.g., Wong, 1955; Molina et al., 2003). This parasite (or a closely related *Dipylidium* species) also infects wild carnivores in the families Canidae and Hyaenidae (Table 1) and infection prevalence varies both within and between host species (Table 1).

Adult *D. caninum* shed proglottids (containing packets of eggs) which can be visible on the surface of faeces. Fleas in the genus *Ctenocephalides* are considered the main intermediate hosts of *D. caninum* but fleas in the genus *Pulex* and the dog louse *Trichodectes canis* can also act as intermediate hosts (Pugh, 1987). Eggs of *D. caninum* are ingested by flea larvae and *D. caninum* adult worms) because larval fleas typically ingest whole worm packets, resulting in the development of multiple cysticercoids per flea (Nichol et al., 1981).

In domestic carnivores, *D. caninum* infection prevalence is higher among younger than older animals (e.g., Fontanarossa et al., 2006; Martinez-Moreno et al., 2007) and rural, stray and feral animals are more often infected than individually owned and
responsibly cared for animals in urban environments (e.g. Dubná et al., 2007; Martinez-Moreno et al., 2007). Factors influencing infection by Dipylidium spp. in wild carnivores (see studies quoted in Table 1) are less well known.

Whether ingestion of an infected flea results in the development of an adult Dipylidium spp. parasite may depend on the quality of the immune response of the mammalian host to infection. Studies on mammalian host immune responses to Taenia species (reviewed by Lightowlers et al., 2003) and of rodents to Hymenolepis diminuta infection (reviewed by McKay, 2010) have revealed complex host immune responses to cestode infection and evidence for the development of immunological memory following an initial infection with some but not all cestodes. Furthermore, cross immunity between cestode species may occur, as previous and infection with some but not all cestodes. Furthermore, cross immunity between cestode species may occur, as previous and infection (reviewed by McKay, 2010) have revealed epis diminuta infection in juvenile spotted hyaena (Benhaiem et al., 2013). When glucocorticoid concentrations are chronically elevated they can also reduce immunocompetence (Wingfield et al., 1998; Hofer and East, 2012).

In East Africa, the spotted hyaena is infected with Dipylidium sp. (Engh et al., 2003, Table 1). Neither the genetic identity of this parasite nor the factors influencing infection in spotted hyaenas are known. Our study aimed to provide the first genetic information for the Dipylidium species infecting this host in East Africa and to investigate ecological, demographic, behavioural and physiological factors that may influence Dipylidium infection in this social carnivore. We assumed the intermediate host of this Dipylidium species is probably a flea (Insecta, Siphonaptera) and possibly the ‘stick fast flea’ Echidnophaga larina. Adult stick fast fleas live attached to the skin of their host. E. larina was found on nine spotted hyaenas examined in the Kruger National Park, South Africa (Horak et al., 2004) and a species of stick fast fleas occur on spotted hyaenas in our study population. There is also evidence from Kenya that E. larina from an unspecified jackal species carry Dipylidium cysticercoids in Kenya (Spinage, 2012).

The spotted hyaena is a social carnivore which forms multifemale, multi-male clans (Kruuk, 1972; Frank, 1986a; East and Hofer, 2013). Clans are fission fusion societies in which individual members or small groups operate independently and clan members only congregate at large carcasses (Kruuk, 1972; Frank, 1986a), or when called to cooperate in activities such as boundary disputes with neighbouring clans (East and Hofer, 1991). All adult females reproduce and rear their offspring at a communal den inside the clan’s territory (Kruuk, 1972). Individuals of both sexes visit communal dens (Fig. 1a) to socialise with other clan members (Smale et al., 1993) and scent-mark (East et al., 2013).

### Table 1

| Species          | Scientific name | Family          | Prevalence (%) | N   | Method         | Location          | Reference                  |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----|----------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Domestic dog     | Canis familiaris| Canidae         | 0.1            | 1400| Coprology     | Australia          | Palmer et al. (2008)      |
| Domestic dog     | Canis familiaris| Canidae         | 0.1            | 8438| Coprology     | Germany            | Barutzki and Schaper (2003)|
| Domestic dog     | Canis familiaris| Canidae         | 0.7            | 3780| Coprology     | Czech              | Dubná et al. (2007)       |
| Domestic dog     | Canis familiaris| Canidae         | 0.7            | 271 | Coprology     | Brazil             | Oliveira-Sequeira et al. (2002) |
| Domestic dog     | Canis familiaris| Canidae         | 0.8            | 2153| Coprology     | Argentina          | Fontanarrosa et al. (2006) |
| Domestic dog     | Canis familiaris| Canidae         | 1.3            | 45  | Coprology     | Czech              | Dubná et al. (2007)       |
| Domestic dog     | Canis familiaris| Canidae         | 2.2            | 540 | Coprology     | Zambia             | Nonaka et al. (2011)      |
| Domestic dog     | Canis familiaris| Canidae         | 8.9            | 45  | Coprology     | Brazil             | Santos et al. (2012)      |
| Domestic dog     | Canis familiaris| Canidae         | 11.9           | 841 | Coprology & necropsy | Spain | Martinez-Moreno et al. (2007) |
| Domestic dog     | Canis familiaris| Canidae         | 14.7           | 366 | Coprology & necropsy | South Africa | Minnaar et al. (2002)    |
| Domestic dog     | Canis familiaris| Canidae         | 44.0           | 63  | Coprology & necropsy | Mexico           | Eguia-Aguilar et al. (2005) |
| Domestic dog     | Canis familiaris| Canidae         | 38.5           | 83  | Necropsy       | Argentina          | Barutzki and Schaper (2003) |
| Domestic dog     | Canis familiaris| Canidae         | 60.0           | 102 | Necropsy       | Germany            | Barutzki and Schaper (2003) |
| Domestic cat     | Felis catus     | Felidae         | 0.03           | 3167| Coprology     | Australia          | Palmer et al. (2008)      |
| Domestic cat     | Felis catus     | Felidae         | 0.2            | 1063| Coprology     | Egypt              | Khalafalla (2011)        |
| Domestic cat     | Felis catus     | Felidae         | 5.0            | 113 | Coprology     | Spain              | Calvete et al. (1998)     |
| Domestic cat     | Felis catus     | Felidae         | 20.7           | 58  | Necropsy       | England            | Nichol et al. (1981)      |
| Domestic cat     | Felis catus     | Felidae         | 34.8           | 92  | Necropsy       | Brazil             | Labarthe et al. (2004)    |
| Domestic cat     | Felis catus     | Felidae         | 52.6           | 135 | Necropsy       | Spain              | Segovia et al. (2001)     |
| Grey wolf        | Canis lupus     | Canidae         | 6.4            | 47  | Necropsy       | Spain              | Hackett and Walters (1980) |
| Red fox          | Vulpes vulpes   | Canidae         | 2.0            | 280 | Necropsy       | England            | Richards et al. (1995)    |
| Red fox          | Vulpes vulpes   | Canidae         | 3.8            | 843 | Necropsy       | Iran               | Dalimi et al. (2006)      |
| Red fox          | Vulpes vulpes   | Canidae         | 9.1            | 22  | Necropsy       | Italy              | Masi et al., 2009         |
| Golden jackal    | Canis aureus    | Canidae         | 0.0            | 10  | Necropsy       | Iran               | Dalimi et al. (2006)      |
| Golden jackal    | Canis aureus    | Canidae         | 0.03           | 316 | Necropsy       | Kenya              | Nelson et al. (1965)      |
| Side-striped jackal | Canis adustus | Canidae         | 0.0            | 7   | Necropsy       | Kenya              | Nelson et al. (1965)      |
| Silver-backed jackal | Canis mesomelas | Canidae       | 0.0            | 112 | Coprology     | Tanzania           | Schmid-Hempi (1999)       |

**Notes:** Table 1 includes data from studies examining Dipylidium infection in domestic and wild carnivores (N indicates number of samples screened).
Information on flea biology (reviewed by Dryden and Rust, 1994; Bitam et al., 2010) suggests that spotted hyaena communal dens are the location within a clan’s territory likely to contain a large part of the intermediate host population (in terms of adult fleas and their larvae) of *Dipylidium* because flea infestations are known to accumulate at sites frequently used by mammal hosts. Hence we assume that the non-adhesive eggs produced by fleas infesting spotted hyaenas at clan communal dens (including animals visiting dens and juveniles based at dens) fall onto soil in the den area (Fig 1a) and into underground burrows or are removed during grooming, and that the accumulation of eggs in the den vicinity increases with the number of clan members present at communal dens. Flea egg development requires a microenvironment in which temperature and relative humidity should remain within certain limits. We suspect this rarely occurs above ground but is likely in underground burrows of the communal den. Moreover, as flea larvae avoid light and move towards gravity, and are susceptible to desiccation, larvae that hatch or move into burrows are possibly more likely to survive than those exposed to desiccation and high temperatures above ground (see Dryden and Rust, 1994; Bitam et al., 2010). Hence communal den areas are likely to be locations with an accumulation of flea eggs and underground burrows of dens provide a microhabitat conducive to flea laval development.

Our study was conducted in the Serengeti National Park in northern Tanzania, where clans have the same social structure as populations elsewhere (East and Hofer, 2013) and defend territories of approximately 56 km$^2$ (Hofer and East, 1995). The foraging behaviour of spotted hyaenas in the Serengeti National Park is unusual in that it has evolved to permit year-round consumption of migratory herbivores, mostly wildebeest (*Connochaetes taurinus*), Thomson’s gazelle (*Gazella thomsoni*), and plains zebra (*Equus quagga*) (Hofer and East, 1993a,b). When prey abundance is low in a clan’s territory, all migratory herbivores are absent and only resident prey is present. During these periods, solitary clan members or small groups travel long-distances on round trips of between 80 and 140 km (termed commuting trips) to locate large congregations of migratory prey on which to feed before returning to their home territory (Hofer and East, 1993a,b). When a high abundance of migratory prey is present in a clan’s territory, all clan members forage in their home territory (Hofer and East, 1993c). During periods between these two extremes, when only small herds of migratory prey are present in a clan’s territory, commuting frequency is determined by an individual’s social status. Commuting trips of lactating females last between 2 and 9 day (Hofer and East, 1993c).

Commuting behaviour is likely to influence *Dipylidium* infection in several ways. Firstly, commuting reduces the number of clan members visiting communal dens (Hofer and East, 1993c), which would be expected to lead to a reduction in the size of the communal den flea population. Secondly, commuting increases the period between nursing bouts for dependent juveniles at communal dens and can significantly reduce their milk intake (Hofer and East, 1993c, 2008). We expected the reduced nutritional status of juveniles during commuting periods to compromise juvenile immune responses and hence increase infection prevalence. Juveniles show considerable variation in faecal glucocorticoid metabolite concentrations (fGMCs) ranging between 4.6 and 377.4 ng/g (Benhaiem et al., 2012), and those experiencing challenging social and physical environments have elevated fGMCs, indicative of an elevated allostatic load (Benhaiem et al., 2013). We expected highly elevated fGMCs to increase prevalence of *Dipylidium* infection because of allocation trade-offs in the investment of body resources in immunity and maintenance.

Female spotted hyaenas with high social status have priority of access to food resources in their clan territory (Kruuk, 1972; Frank,
1986b). Offspring with mothers of high social status have higher growth rates (Hofer and East, 2003) and survival (Holekamp et al., 1996; Hofer and East, 2003) than offspring of female with low social status. Hence high-borne offspring should less often need to trade-off investment in growth and immunity during their development, and have lower prevalence of Dipylidium infection than low-born offspring.

In several previous studies for which we collected spotted hyena faeces (e.g., Goymann et al., 2001; East et al., 2004; Goller et al., 2013) we often observed Dipylidium proglottids on faeces from juveniles but more rarely on faeces from adults. For this reason, in this study we predicted a higher infection prevalence in juveniles than in adults and hence focused our study on factors likely to influence infection in juveniles. Our general expectations were that infection prevalence in spotted hyenas would be modulated by factors influencing the population size of the intermediate flea host and the susceptibility of spotted hyenas to infection by adult worms.

Spotted hyenas can only be infected with Dipylidium when they consume an infected adult flea. We suspect that fleas are mostly consumed when animals groom either themselves or other clan members, such as mothers grooming their offspring. Grooming consists of vigorous licking and ‘nibbling’ of fur or skin with incisors. Licking removes flea eggs and hence might reduce the level of flea infestation, but might also result in the ingestion of Dipylidium infected adult fleas (Dryden and Rust, 1994). We investigated grooming behaviour to assess how often juveniles groom themselves and mothers groom their offspring and whether the frequency of grooming change with juvenile age. Overall we expected that grooming behaviour should increase the likelihood of infection of juvenile spotted hyaena by Dipylidium.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Study population

The study was conducted between 2003 and 2012 on three large, closely monitored clans that are part of a long-term research programme in the Serengeti National Park, Tanzania. Clans contained a mean ± SEM of 89 ± 1.49 animals, including a mean of 33 ± 5.8 adult females during the study period and approximately the same number of reproductively active adult males. All animals were individually known (East et al., 2003; Benhaim et al., 2012) and their life histories were monitored (Hofer and East, 2003). The age of animals born in study clans was determined (±7 days) using pelage and other characteristics (Pournelle, 1965; East et al., 1989). Animals were considered adult when 24 months of age (East et al., 2009). Juveniles were categorised as ‘younger’ when <6 months of age (mean age 122.3 ± 3.7 days) and ‘older’ when between 6 months and 24 months of age (mean age 284.7 ± 15.4 days). The sex of juveniles was determined at approximately 3 months age (mean age 122.3 ± 3.7 days) and ‘older’ when between 6 months and 24 months of age (mean age 284.7 ± 15.4 days). The sex of juveniles was determined at approximately 3 months age (mean age 122.3 ± 3.7 days) and ‘older’ when between 6 months and 24 months of age (mean age 122.3 ± 3.7 days). The sex of juveniles was determined at approximately 3 months age (mean age 122.3 ± 3.7 days) and ‘older’ when between 6 months and 24 months of age (mean age 122.3 ± 3.7 days).

Clan social structure consists of separate linear dominance hierarchies among adult females and reproductively active, mostly immigrant adult males (East and Hofer, 2001; East et al., 2003). The social rank of adult females and reproductively active males in their respective hierarchies was assessed using submissive responses in dyadic interactions (see East and Hofer, 2001; East et al., 2003). To compare rank positions across clans, individuals were assigned a standardised rank within a dominance hierarchy by distributing ranks evenly between the highest rank (standardised rank = 1) and the lowest rank (standardised rank = 1−1), with the median rank being scored as 0 (Goymann et al., 2001). Juveniles were assigned the rank of their mother (Hofer and East, 2003). All adult females and their offspring were socially dominant over adult immigrant males (East and Hofer, 2001; East et al., 2009).

2.2. Sample collection for genetic screening and identification of Dipylidium

In total, 146 faecal samples were collected from 124 spotted hyenas aged between 48 days and 12.7 years (117 juveniles, 20 adults). To compare infection in the same individual when juvenile and again when adult, 13 animals were sampled when juvenile and again when adult (mean time between adult and juveniles samples 3.3 years ± 186.8 days). All faeces were collected immediately after deposition, typically from communal latrines in the vicinity (8–100 m) of the communal den. The presence or absence of Dipylidium proglottids on faeces (Fig. 1c) was systematically noted for 117 samples when collected.

Faecal samples obtained shortly after deposition were mixed, sub-sampled and preserved in RNAlater (Sigma–Aldrich Inc., St Louis, MO, USA), and initially stored frozen at −10 °C, transported frozen and then stored at −80 °C. Gravid proglottids were collected from faeces and preserved in either 70% ethanol or RNAlater for genetic analysis. Proglottids in RNAlater were stored frozen.
To compare sequence data from Dipylidium sp. obtained from spotted hyaenas in Tanzania to sequence data for the same gene fragment of D. caninum in GenBank we extracted total DNA from proglottids using a Nucleospin Soil kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instructions. This procedure included a homogenisation step (Precellys 24, Bertin Technologies, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France) to break cestode cells. DNA concentration and quality were assessed using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop, Wilmington, DE, USA). Extracts were stored at −20 °C.

Initially we used published sequences for cestode primer pairs (60.for. and 375.rev.) and a published protocol described by von Nickisch-Rosenegk et al. (1999) to obtain a conserved, short fragment of 314 base pair (bp) (minus primers) of the mitochondrial 12S rRNA gene. Polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) were performed with approximately 20–40 ng template DNA in 50 µl reactions containing 6.25 µM of each primer, 1.25 units Maxima Hot Start PCR Mastermix (Fermentas GmbH, St. Leon-Rot, Germany) and nuclease free water. The PCR program comprised an initial denaturation step of 4 min at 95 °C, 35 cycles at 94 °C for 60 s, 55 °C for 45 s and 55 °C for 45 s, followed by a final extension step at 72 °C for 4 min. We then used the sequence data we generated for this short fragment plus recently published sequence data of the complete mitochondrial gene from D. caninum (Nakao et al., 2013, GenBank Accession No. AB732959.1) to design two new primer pairs (Dca_rrnL1 – 4) to obtain a longer fragment that included the complete mitochondrial 12S rRNA gene plus a large section of the 16S rRNA gene. The PCR was performed in a 25 µl volume with 50 µM of each primer (Dca_rrnL1 5’ TGA GTT AAC ACC GGC GTG AG 3’ and Dca_rrnL2 5’ TGG ACA CTT TGC CTC GGA CG 3’; Dca_rrnL3 5’ TGG TAG TGC TGC TCT TAT GT 3’ and Dca_rrnL4 5’ TGG ACC CGC TGG CTC AC 3’) and 0.75 units Maxima Hot Start PCR Mastermix. After an initial denaturation step for 4 min at 95 °C, amplification was carried out for 35 cycles as follows: denaturation for 30 s at 95 °C, annealing for 45 s at 55 °C and elongation for 1 min at 72 °C. We sequenced two overlapping fragments of 542 and 447 bp respectively. We combined sequence data from all three fragments to obtain a fragment of 1176 bp including gaps but excluding primers.

Faecal samples were screened using the same DNA extraction procedure and used for proglottids. For screening we designed primers 68.for (5’-AGC AAG TGA TAA CGC CTG AG 3’) and 68.rev (5’-GCA TAA CTA TAA GCA GCA C-3’) targeting a conserved 169 bp fragment of the mitochondrial 12S rRNA gene of D. caninum using Primer3 v0.4.0 (Rozen and Skaltsky, 2000). Primer specificity was evaluated by in silico validation using nucleotide BLAST. There was no cross-reaction with host DNA. Correct amplification of the target fragments was confirmed by sequencing three randomly selected PCR products. DNA extracts were screened for Dipylidium in 10 µl PCRs consisting of 10–15 ng template DNA, 2.5 µM of each primer, 0.25 units DreamTaq™ PCR MasterMix (2X) (Fermentas GmbH, St. Leon-Rot, Germany) and nuclease-free water. PCR cycling conditions started with initial denaturation at 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 57 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 45 s, and terminated with a final elongation step at 72 °C for 4 min. We investigated PCR sensitivity using a serial dilution of Dipylidium DNA extract mixed within faeces from adult captive spotted hyaenas not infected with any species of Dipylidium or other cestode species, at a volume of 1:1. We detected PCR products at all the following dilutions of 0.25, 0.125, 0.025, 0.0125 and 0.005 DNA/µl.

PCR products were either purified using RapidTip (Difffinity Genomics, West Henrietta, NY, USA) or QIA quick Gel Extraction (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany). Sequencing was bidirectional and conducted using a BigDye® Terminator Cycle sequencing kit 3.1 (Applied Biosystems [ABI], Darmstadt, Germany). PCR products were analysed by gel electrophoresis and visualised by GelRed (Biotium Inc, Hayward, CA, USA) staining. Sequences were visualised on a Hitachi 3130 Genetic Analyzer (ABI) and identified using BLAST search in GenBank database (Altschul et al., 1997). Nucleic acid sequences were aligned using ClustalW (Larkin et al., 2007) in MEGA 5.05 (Tamura et al., 2011). Information on the presence or absence of Dipylidium proglottids observed on faeces was not known when samples were screened.

2.3. Measurement of faecal glucocorticoid metabolite concentrations (fGMCs)

We measured fGMCs in 53 faecal samples from 51 juvenile spotted hyaenas, including 50 samples also screened for infection by Dipylidium species and faeces from three juveniles obtained within a short period (≤ 6 days) following sampling to screen for infection. Faeces were collected immediately after deposition, mechanically mixed, sub-sampled and stored as described by Benhaiem et al. (2012). fGMCs were quantified using a cortisol-3-CMO enzyme immunoassay validated for spotted hyaenas (Benhaiem et al., 2012). fGMCs were measured as ng/g faecal matter. Intra-assay and inter-assay coefficients of variation were 16.5% and 23.1% for a low (N = 9), and 5.3% and 10.1% for a high concentration pool (N = 11) (Benhaiem et al., 2012).

2.4. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were undertaken using SYSTAT 13.0 (Systat Software Inc., Richmond, VA, USA). Means are given ±SEM to avoid pseudo-replication in the analyses we used only one (randomly chosen) faecal sample per juvenile. We used a Fisher’s exact test to compare the prevalence of Dipylidium sp. positive animals in 117 juveniles (including 52 females and 65 males) and 20 adults. A Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare infection in 13 females screened when juvenile and again later as adults. We applied a Chi-square test to compare the incidence of positive samples in faeces with fGMCs above or below the mean concentration in juveniles. We used binary logistic regression models to investigate biologically relevant factors that might influence infection likelihood in juveniles, including those likely to alter flea infestation at communal dens and juvenile immune status. Infection of an animal (the dependent variable) was scored as present if the result of PCR screening was positive or Dipylidium proglottids were present on the faeces when collected, or absent if the PCR screening result was negative and no proglottids were observed on the faeces when collected. Our final model (Table 2) included the following parameters for the date on which each faecal sample was collected: (1) the number of younger juveniles present at the communal den, the most naive age class to Dipylidium infection; (2) the number of adults and older juveniles present at the communal den as an index of the number of adult fleas brought to the den and of the flea eggs these fleas were likely to shed at the den; (3) maternal standardised rank as an index of juvenile body resources; (4) prey abundance (3 levels: high, medium and low) as an index of current maternal input in terms of the interval between visits to nurse offspring at the den; and (5) juvenile age in days. This was the model with the smallest values for the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and Schwarz’s Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). In preliminary models we had considered alternative indices of the number of adult fleas brought to the den, namely the number of adult males at the den, the number of adult females at the den and total clan size. Replacing these parameters with parameter (2) above substantially reduced the AIC and BIC. We also considered clan identity as a possible source of variation and fGMCs as a measure of the allometric load of each juvenile (Benhaiem et al., 2013). Removing these from the preliminary model also reduced the AIC.
and BIC. Models were considered equally parsimonious when the differences in AIC and BIC were less than 2. The significance threshold of tests was fixed at 5% and all tests were two-tailed.

3. Results

3.1. Characterisation of the Dipylidium species infecting spotted hyaenas

Eggs contained in packets obtained from proglottids were morphologically indistinguishable from those of *D. caninum* (Fig. 1b). We obtained six identical sequences of 314 bp in length from the mitochondrial 12S rRNA gene, using gravid proglottids obtained from the faeces of six different spotted hyaenas and used one of these samples to obtain the longer fragment (1176 bp). Comparison of sequence data from our shorter fragment with the two published *D. caninum* sequences of the same fragment revealed a high (99%) similarity to one sequence from Europe (accession number L49460.1) but a considerably lower similarity (89%) to one sequence from Asia (accession number AB031362.1). Comparison of sequence data from our longer fragment (accession number KF202097) with the only similar fragment from *D. caninum* also revealed a relatively low similarity (89%).

3.2. Comparison of visible evidence of infection and PCR screening results

Of the total 146 samples screened, 89 (61%) were positive by PCR and/or the presence of proglottids. Of 117 samples for which we had both a PCR screening result and visual score for the presence or absence of proglottids, 66 (56.4%) were positive by PCR and 57 (48.7%) had at least one proglottid visible at collection. Overall, PCR screening results produced a higher (by 7.7%) prevalence of infection than the visual scoring of proglottid presence but there was no significant difference between these methods in the probability of detecting infection (Chi-squared test, $\chi^2 = 1.39$, df = 1, $p = 0.24$). Even so, both methods apparently yielded false negative results. *Dipylidium* proglottids were observed on the majority (68.2%, 45/66 samples) of faeces identified as positive by PCR screening, indicating false negative results in 31.8% of samples. Of 51 faeces identified as negative by PCR screening, proglottids were observed on 12 samples (23.5%), indicating false negative PCR results.

3.3. Infection prevalence: the effect of age

Juveniles were significantly more often infected than adults (55.1% and 15.8%, respectively; Fisher’s exact test, $p < 0.002$), but prevalence of infection between younger (83.3%) and older juveniles (74.1%) did not differ (Fisher’s exact test, $p = 0.15$). A

A

with-inindividual comparison of the impact of age on infection in 13 females screened when juvenile and when adult also revealed that these females were more often infected when juvenile than when adult (Wilcoxon signed-rank test, $W = 21$, exact $p = 0.031$). Of these 13 females, 8 (61%) were infected when juvenile and only 2 (14.4%) when adult. Infection among 52 juvenile females and 65 juvenile males was not influenced by sex (Fisher’s exact test, $p = 0.70$).

3.4. Faecal glucocorticoid metabolite concentrations (fGMCs) in juveniles

Juvenile fGMCs ranged between 13.1 and 395.1 ng/g (mean $51.0 \pm 10.9$ ng/g). Few juveniles had fGMCs that could be considered significantly elevated as only 8 juveniles had concentrations above the mean. The prevalence of infection in samples with fGMCs below (27 of 45) or above (6 of 8) the mean was similar (60% and 75%, respectively; Chi-squared test, $\chi^2 = 0.65$, df = 1, $p = 0.42$).

3.5. Factors modulating Dipylidium infection in juveniles

The results of the binary logistic regression (log likelihood ratio test, $G = 72.24$, df = 6, $p = 0.00002$, Table 2) revealed that the likelihood of infection in juveniles significantly decreased as the number of younger juveniles in the clan increased whereas the likelihood of infection increased with the combined number of adults and older juveniles that visited the den (means: 7.26 ± 0.34 adult females; 2.42 ± 0.21 adult males; 6.5 ± 0.27 older juveniles). In contrast to our prediction, the likelihood of infection was significantly lower during periods of low prey abundance in the clan territory than in periods with medium or high prey abundance (Fig. 2). Inclusion of juvenile age decreased both the AIC and Schwarz’s BIC values, resulting in weaker models than the results for that presented in Table 2.

3.6. Grooming behaviour

There was a non-significant trend for older juveniles to have higher grooming scores, i.e. to groom themselves more often ($0.51 \pm 0.08$, $N = 27$) than younger juveniles ($0.27 \pm 0.87$, $N = 21$. Mann–Whitney U Test, $U = 202.0$, df = 1, $p = 0.067$). Mothers were equally likely to groom younger and older offspring when they visited the den (maternal grooming scores: $0.51 \pm 0.11$, $N = 16$ younger juveniles; $0.60 \pm 0.11$, $N = 20$ older juveniles, $U = 141.0$, df = 1, $p = 0.51$).

---

**Table 2**

The influence of ecological and social factors on the likelihood of infection with *Dipylidium* sp. in juvenile spotted hyaenas. Results show the estimates of the parameters, their standard errors and their lower and upper 95% confidence intervals, and the $z$-value with associated $p$-values from a binary logistic regression. Negative parameter estimates indicate that an increase in the value of the parameter reduces the likelihood of infection. Positive parameter estimates indicate that an increase in the value of the parameter enhances the likelihood of infection. This model was selected using Akaike Information Criterion and Schwarz’s Bayesian Information Criterion values.

| Parameter | Estimate | Standard error | $Z$ | $p$-Value | 95% confidence intervals |
|-----------|----------|----------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|
|           |          |                |     |           | Lower | Upper         |
| Constant  | 2.251    | 1.203          | 1.872 | 0.061    | -0.106 | 4.609        |
| Total number of younger juveniles | -0.188 | 0.069 | -2.433 | $0.007$ | -0.323 | -0.053 |
| Maternal standardised rank | 0.497 | 0.407 | 1.221 | 0.222 | -0.301 | 1.295 |
| Number of adults and older juveniles | 0.129 | 0.039 | 3.336 | $0.0009$ | 0.053 | 0.206 |
| High prey abundance | -0.317 | 0.687 | -0.642 | 0.464 | 1.663 | 0.028 |
| Low prey abundance | 1.285 | 0.545 | 2.357 | $0.018$ | -2.354 | 0.216 |
| Age | 0.003 | 0.002 | -1.681 | 0.093 | -0.008 | 0.0006 |

---
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4. Discussion

Nucleotide sequence data of a relatively short fragment of the mitochondrial 12S rRNA gene from the *Dipylidium* sp. infecting spotted hyaena in the Serengeti National Park revealed a high similarity to the same short gene fragment described from *D. caninum* in Europe, but a lower similarity to *D. caninum* in Asia. Comparison of our longer fragment with the one other similar fragment available from *D. caninum* of unknown geographical origin (Nakao et al., 2013) revealed a relatively low similarity (89%). This genetic comparison is not sufficient to establish whether the *Dipylidium* species described to infect spotted hyaena hosts is *D. caninum* or a closely related species.

Our investigation of factors influencing the prevalence of *Dipylidium* infection in spotted hyaenas, which combined visible evidence of infection with results from genetic screening, revealed that juveniles were more often infected than adults. Among juveniles, the likelihood of infection decreased with the number of younger juveniles at the den and also during periods of low prey abundance in clan territories. The likelihood of infection increased as the combined total number of adults and older juveniles visiting the den increased.

Our results revealed that the likelihood of *Dipylidium* infection in juvenile spotted hyaenas was modulated by several factors. The decline in infection in individuals from when they were juvenile to when they were adult suggests that most animals in the population had acquired immunity against *Dipylidium* infection by the time they reached adulthood. This suggests an increased development of an immunological memory of *Dipylidium* infection in adults is the mechanism of acquired immunity against *Dipylidium* infection in adults. It is worth noting that although mothers frequently groomed their offspring and presumably often ingested *Dipylidium* infected fleas, only a relatively small proportion of adult females were infected with *Dipylidium*. This suggests that effective immunity against *Dipylidium* infection in the adult population may be maintained by the repeated ingestion of infected fleas throughout adulthood. A similar decrease in the prevalence of coronavirus infection with increasing age was observed in our study population (Goller et al., 2013) with juveniles having lower coronavirus antibody titres than adults (East et al., 2004). Another possible reason for the decreased prevalence of *Dipylidium* infection in adults is the development of cross immunity following infection with other cestode species. Ungulates are intermediate hosts of cestodes whose final hosts are carnivores. Decreased reliance on maternal milk during weaning is highly likely to lead to infection with other cestodes during adulthood which may cause the elimination of *Dipylidium*.

Our results indicate that the maintenance of *Dipylidium* infection in spotted hyaena clans requires the regular addition of susceptible juveniles to clan communal dens. Clans in the Serengeti National Park are large, and during this study contained a mean of 89 individuals, including approximately 33 adult females per clan. These females produced naïve young throughout the year, thereby regularly introducing new clan members susceptible to *Dipylidium* infection. Once infected, juveniles maintain infection in the flea population at communal dens in each study clan. Moreover, we interpret the significant increase in the prevalence of *Dipylidium* infection in juveniles associated with an increase in the combined number of adults and older juveniles at communal dens (Table 2), to imply an increase in the size of the intermediate host (flea) population at these dens.

Interestingly, infection among juveniles decreased as the number of younger juveniles in a den increased (Table 2). This result may be caused by a reduced chance of an infected flea being ingested by a naïve (younger) juvenile, because of a decline in the number of infected fleas per younger juvenile as the number of younger juveniles increased (i.e., a dilution effect), coupled with the tendency of younger juveniles to groom themselves less often than older juveniles. If the main intermediate host of *Dipylidium* sp. infecting spotted hyaenas is a stick fast flea species, then it may also be that younger juveniles are less able to remove small fleas anchored to their skin than are older juveniles.

We expected that hungry juveniles that are infrequently nursed by their mother during periods of low prey abundance would trade off immunity against costly functions such as maintenance or growth, and thus be more prone to *Dipylidium* infection. On the contrary, our model indicated that the prevalence of *Dipylidium* infection in juveniles significantly decreased during periods of low prey abundance (Table 2, Fig. 2) when juveniles often receive no milk from their mothers for several days (Hofer and East, 1993c, 2003, 2008). Three processes may explain this result. Firstly, because all adult clan members forage on distant, large concentrations of migratory herbivores when prey abundance in their territory is low, the low number of clan members visiting communal dens during periods of low prey abundance may cause a reduction in the flea population at the den. Secondly, during periods when juveniles receive no milk for several days, the nutrients available to *Dipylidium* (in the alimentary canal of hungry juveniles) for egg and proglottid production will be reduced. If the fecundity of *Dipylidium* is curtailed by decreased milk intake by juveniles, this might reduce infection prevalence in the intermediate (flea) population at the communal den. Finally, this result might simply reflect an increased number of false negative results caused by a low fecundity among adult *Dipylidium* in hungry juveniles. Interestingly, even though the offspring of high-ranking mothers are significantly better nourished than those of low-ranking mothers in the long-term (Hofer and East, 2003), there was no evidence that their offspring had a lower prevalence of infection.

We found no evidence that the likelihood of *Dipylidium* infection in juveniles was significantly altered by their fGMCs. However, we determined fGMCs in only 51 of the 117 juveniles in our study. This reduced the power of our model, and few of these juveniles had fGMCs likely to cause a biologically meaningful reduction in immune function. Furthermore, longer-term measures of fGMCs are required to identify chronically stressed juveniles in which immunosuppression might occur. As we determined only the presence or absence of *Dipylidium* infection, our results do not exclude the possibility that elevated fGMCs increase infection intensity in juveniles.

![Fig. 2. The effect of prey abundance in spotted hyaena clan territories on the proportion of juveniles infected with *Dipylidium* sp.](image-url)
Juvenile spotted hyaenas less than two months of age rarely venture from the immediate vicinity of entrances to underground burrows of the communal den, and at this age their mothers typically consume their faeces. Older clan members at dens generally leave the den area and defaecate in communal latrines (East et al., 2004) which are at least eight metres from the den area. These two behaviours possibly decrease the chance of flea larvae in communal den areas consuming Dipylidium eggs voided in faeces. Even though the use of latrines most likely greatly curtails the number of Dipylidium eggs available for consumption by flea larvae in the den, proglottids or egg sacs that adhere to fur around the anus of infected animals may be transported to communal dens and infect fleas.

Studies of intestinal parasite infection in mammals apply either necropsy or coprology methods (Table 1). Necropsy requires either the opportunistic collection of carcasses, which may not provide a representative sample of a host population, or the sacrifice of animals. Coprology methods can provide a representative sample of a host population and also permit the repeated assessment of infection in the same animal, for example at different life history stages or during different ecological conditions. Even so, coprology methods can yield false negative results, regardless of whether traditional methods (i.e. egg flotation and identification) or genetic screening techniques are applied (e.g. Drogemuller et al., 2004) because of the prepatent period following initial infection and the intermittent release of eggs by intestinal parasites. Our assessment of our genetic screening method indicated that it was reasonably sensitive, but even so a proportion of negative faeces (23.5%) had Dipylidium proglottids on them when collected. We suggest these false negative genetic screening results probably were caused by the clumped distribution of Dipylidium DNA in faeces (i.e. eggs in packets and proglottids). We therefore suggest to combine data on the occurrence of proglottids on freshly deposited faeces with genetic screening results to reduce the chance of false negative results in studies of Dipylidium infection in mammalian hosts.

Within the diverse guild of wild carnivore species present in the Serengeti National Park, evidence of Dipylidium infection was reported in golden jackal (Canis aureus), side-stripped jackal (Canis adustus) and silver-backed jackal (Canis mesomelas) in Kenya (Nelson et al., 1965, Table 1), and it is likely that these species are infected with Dipylidium in the Serengeti National Park. Studies on African lion (Panthera leo) in the Serengeti National Park did not find evidence of Dipylidium infection (Müller-Gräf, 1995; Bjork et al., 2000). Coprology studies of Dipylidium infection in wild carnivores rarely describe the age of the animals from which samples were collected. Our results indicate that information on host age is essential for the interpretation of results and comparisons of infection prevalence across species.

Horak et al. (2004) reported that E. larina frequently infests the warthog (Phacochoerus aethiopicus) but normally not carnivores which may be because most carnivores do not share underground burrows with warthogs. However, spotted hyaenas use warthog burrows to rest during the day and occasionally warthogs use burrows within spotted hyaena denning area. If E. larina is the main intermediate host of Dipylidium in the Serengeti National Park, co-habitation of warthog burrows may have a positive effect of E. larina populations in spotted hyaena clans.

5. Conclusion

This study revealed key factors that influenced Dipylidium infection prevalence in a highly social, wild carnivore. It is the first to show decreased infection during periods of low prey abundance in a migratory ecosystem where carnivores experience large fluctuations in prey abundance in their territories. Our study highlights the importance of communal denning, the presence of susceptible juveniles and the intermediate host population at dens for Dipylidium infection in spotted hyaena clans. We suspect that host age and denning behaviour are important factors influencing Dipylidium infection prevalence in other wild carnivores. More genetic information is needed from D. caninum worldwide to clarify whether Dipylidium species that infect wild carnivores are D. caninum or distinct species.
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