Genetic epidemiology of Scheuermann’s disease
Heritability and prevalence over a 50-year period
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Background and purpose The genetic/environmental etiology of Scheuermann’s disease is unclear. We estimated the heritability of the disease using an etiological model adjusted for sex and time of diagnosis, and examined whether the prevalence of Scheuermann’s disease was constant over time.

Methods 46,418 twins were sent a questionnaire about health and disease. Of these, 75% returned the questionnaire and 97% answered the question “Have you been diagnosed as having Scheuermann’s disease by a doctor?”

Results Responders included 11,436 complete pairs of twins. Data were analysed using classical twin modeling methods. Tetrachoric correlations were used to decide which etiological model to fit. The best-fitting model was the AE model. Heritability was 0.74 (95% CI: 0.65–0.81), while variance explained by environmental factors was 0.26 (95% CI: 0.19–0.35). A threshold of 2.1 (95% CI: 1.9–2.2) was calculated, corresponding to a prevalence of 1.9% (95% CI: 1.3–2.8) for women. Regression coefficients for age and sex were 0.000 (95% CI: –0.003 to 0.002) and –0.32 (95% CI: –0.42 to –0.23).

Interpretation We found a heritability of 0.74 in Scheuermann’s disease. The threshold in men was lower than in women, corresponding to a male prevalence that was almost twice that of females. We found no change in the prevalence of Scheuermann’s disease throughout the 50-year age span that we examined.

There is a considerable debate regarding the pathogenesis, natural history, and treatment of Scheuermann’s disease (Tsirikos 2009). For a long time, it has been questioned whether a genetic etiology (Halal et al. 1978, Findlay et al. 1989, McKenzie and Silence 1992, Axenovich et al. 2001, Graat et al. 2002) or an environmental etiology (Scheuermann 1920, Sørensen 1964, Lowe 1990) is most important. We have already reported results on the crude prevalence, concordance, and heritability of Scheuermann’s disease based on a study of twins—with evidence of a major genetic contribution to the etiology (Damborg et al. 2006).

Twin studies are advantageous in determining whether or not the etiology of a disorder is genetic. Monozygotic (MZ) twins have identical segregating genes while dizygotic (DZ) twins, like siblings, have about 50% gene identity. Both MZ and DZ twin pairs share a common environment, which is why only genetic factors can account for a higher similarity in MZ twins than in DZ twins.

We calculated the heritability of Scheuermann’s disease using an etiological model adjusted for age and sex, and examined whether the prevalence of Scheuermann’s disease has been constant over the designated period of time.

Methods

The Odense-based Danish Twin Registry (DTR) contains data on 76,000 twin pairs born in Denmark over the last 130 years. It was the first population-based twin registry to be established, and today it is one of the largest and most comprehensive twin registries in the world (Harvald et al. 2004). The zygosity of the twins in the registry is based on 4 questions of similarity, and has an accuracy of more than 95% (Magnus et al. 1983, Christiansen 2003).

Tetrachoric correlations (Hopper 1998) and estimation of heritability and the best-fitting etiological model were done using univariate structural equation modeling by using the MX software computer program (Neale et al. 2002). These calculations were based on a liability threshold model, which assumes that the dichotomous distribution of Scheuermann’s disease (affected versus not affected) reflects an underl-
ing normally distributed liability in the population. When a threshold value of the liability is exceeded, an individual is affected, otherwise not. Different thresholds in different groups, e.g. the two sexes or siblings versus unrelated individuals, reflect different loads of genetic and environmental risk factors in the groups, and thereby also the prevalence of the trait. Adjustment for age and sex effects was done through a probit regression model on the thresholds. These are standard assumptions in quantitative genetic analysis of categorical traits (Falconer and Mackay 1996). Structural equation modeling quantifies sources of individual variation by decomposing the observed phenotypic variance into genetic and environmental variance (Neale et al. 2002). The genetic contribution can be further divided into an additive (A) genetic variance component (representing the influence of alleles at several loci acting in an additive manner) and a non-additive (D) genetic variance component (representing intra- and inter-locus interaction). The environmental component is subdivided into a common (C) environmental variance component (representing environmental factors affecting both twins in a pair, and a source of similarity) and an individual/unique environmental variance component (E) (environmental factors not shared by twins and making them dissimilar). The latter also contains measurement error. Heritability is defined as the proportion of the total phenotypic variance that is attributable to genetic variance.

The tetrachoric correlations are used to decide which etiological model to fit. Selection of the best-fitting sub-model is based on a balance between goodness of fit and parsimony. Here the AE model is the “best-fitting model.”

|           | A     | D     | C     | E     | AIC       | p-value a | p-value b |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| ACE       | 0.74  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.26  | –25485.44 | 0.00      | 1.00      |
| ADE       | 0.74  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.26  | –25485.44 | 0.00      | 1.00      |
| AE        | 0.74  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.26  | –25487.44 | 0.00      | 1.00      |
| DE        | 0.75  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.25  | –25479.42 | 0.00      | 1.00      |
| CE        | 0.57  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.43  | –25468.82 | < 0.01    | < 0.01    |
| E         | 1.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 1.00  | –25327.80 | < 0.01    | < 0.01    |

a comparing sub-model to ACE model.
b comparing sub-model to ADE model.

Results

34,944 subjects (75%) responded to the questionnaire and 34,007 (97%) of them answered the question about Scheuermann’s disease. 943 twin individuals (380 females and 563 males) reported having Scheuermann’s disease, corresponding to an overall prevalence of 2.8% (95% CI: 2.6–3.0). The prevalence was 2.1% (CI: 1.9–2.3) in females and 3.6% (CI: 3.2– 4.1) in males (chi-square; p < 0.001). The prevalence of Scheuermann’s disease was not statistically significantly different between monozygotic (MZ) and dizygotic (DZ) twins. Of the above 34,007 individuals, there were 11,436 twin pairs where both twins answered the question, and of these pairs, 645 pairs reported having been diagnosed with Scheuermann’s disease.

The tetrachoric correlation in MZ twins was twice as high as in DZ twins: 0.73 (CI: 0.64–0.81) as opposed to 0.33 (CI: 0.22–0.43), and this indicated that an AE sub-model should fit the data. There was no evidence of sex differences on the tetrachoric correlations (p = 0.8). We therefore continued with a joint genetic model for men and women combined. The heritability derived from the AE sub-model was 0.74 (CI: 0.65–0.81) and the environmental factor accounted for 0.26 (CI: 0.19–0.35) of the variance (Table).

We calculated a threshold of 2.1 (CI: 1.9–2.2) for women and 1.8 (CI: 1.6–1.9) for men. This threshold corresponded to a prevalence of 1.9% (CI: 1.3–2.8) for women. Regression coefficients for age and sex effects on the thresholds were calculated. The regression coefficients were 0.0 (95% CI: –0.003 to 0.002) for age and –0.32 (95% CI: –0.42 to –0.23) for sex. The negative regression coefficient for sex meant a lower threshold for men than for women, and hence a higher male prevalence. The calculated male prevalence was 4.0% (CI: 2.8–5.7).

Discussion

The reported prevalence of Scheuermann’s disease in the general population ranges from 1% to 8% (Sorensen 1964, Scholes et al. 1991). We regard the observed self-reported preva-
The main challenge was identification of the patients. Although this was done using a questionnaire, the case definition was not simply self-reported since the disorder had to be diagnosed by a doctor. It should therefore be kept in mind that the patients would have to recall that they had been diagnosed as having Scheuermann’s disease; secondly, patients with unrecognized kyphosis may not have sought medical advice and the diagnosis would not have been made; thirdly, some of these patients were born in the 1930s and 1940s, and for those patients the diagnosis may not have been made—since Scheuermann’s disease was first described in 1920 (Scheuermann 1920) and may not have been well-known or accepted at the time. There is therefore a risk that cases with no symptoms or cosmetic complaints would be underestimated in this study. This means that the prevalence estimates are probably conservative.

We also calculated a regression coefficient of age. Since the questionnaire was cross-sectional, the information about Scheuermann’s disease does not reflect the age at which the different individuals had their diagnosis. It merely tells us who has been diagnosed with the disease in the time in question, and it therefore tells us whether there is a tendency for the diagnosis to become more or less frequent over time.

The fact that we found a regression coefficient for age of 0, with a very narrow 95% confidence interval (−0.003 to 0.002), implies that there had been no changes in the prevalence of Scheuermann’s disease over the 50-year age span that we examined.

Different theories mainly based on genetic factors (Halal et al. 1978, Findlay et al. 1989, McKenzie and Sillence 1992, Axenovich et al. 2001, Graat et al. 2002) and mechanical factors (Scheuermann 1920, Sørensen 1964, Lowe 1990) have been proposed to explain the etiology in Scheuermann’s kyphosis.

The first group to report a possible mode of autosomal dominant inheritance was Kewalramani et al. (1976), who found this in a family with Scheuermann’s kyphoscoliosis in combination with the Charcot-Marie-Tooth syndrome. Halal et al. (1978) supported this theory by describing 5 families in which the prevalence of Scheuermann’s kyphosis appeared to follow an autosomal dominant pattern. Later studies supported this (Findlay et al. 1989, McKenzie and Sillence 1992). Axenovich et al. (2001) published a study on 90 pedigrees with Scheuermann’s disease and via the transmission probability model they concluded that the inheritance could be described within the framework of a dominant major gene diallele model. According to this model, Scheuermann’s disease should never occur in the absence of the mutant allele—so that all of the males as opposed to only half of the female carriers of the mutant allele would develop the disease.

Our results are mainly in accordance with a multifactorial inheritance pattern of Scheuermann’s disease, but we cannot rule out that a major gene might be involved in the etiology. Our values of the male to female ratio of approximately 2 males to 1 female could be seen to substantiate the above-mentioned theory, where Scheuermann’s disease should never occur in the absence of the mutant allele so that all the male carriers as opposed to only half the female carriers of the mutant allele develop the disease, giving a male-to-female factor of 2.

We believe that the estimate of the heritability of Scheuermann’s disease, threshold values, and regression coefficients provided by this study will be valuable in the design of future studies to identify underlying genes.
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