Introduction

One of the most common endocrine disorders in women during reproductive age is polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) with a prevalence of between 5-24% in different countries (1). The PCOS phenotypic characteristics included enlarged ovaries, menstrual irregularities, and clinical and biochemical hyper androgens (2).

Different situations, as well as obesity, insulin resistance, lipid disorders, ovulatory infertility, and endometrial cancer, are associated with PCOS (3). Hirsutism, acne, alopecia, and infertility can lead and psychological problems (4).

Criteria for PCOS diagnosis are oligo/amenorrhea, clinical and/or biochemical hyperandrogenism, and polycystic ovaries (5). Patients with PCOS have various complications such as hirsutism, which can potentially affect their life quality and also, influence their self-body image, which can be considered challenging aspects of this disease.

Sexual dysfunction is defined as a problem during any phase of the sexual response cycle that prevents a person or couple from being satisfied with sexual activity and can be caused by physical, social, and psychological factors (6). Some studies reported that changes in the physical appearance associated with PCOS may be led to decreased sexual satisfaction (7, 8). Dashti et al. (8) in Malaysia reported that Sexual dysfunction occurred in 62.5% of women with PCOS and was associated with arousal and lubrication with 93.8 and 87.5%, respectively. Eftekhar et al. (9) in Tehran, Iran reported the frequency of sexual dysfunction was 57.7% in PCOS women. The domains of desire and arousal were reported 99.2 and 98.5%, respectively.

According to the fact that the study hasn’t been conducted in the west of Iran, therefore, in this study, we evaluated the sexual functioning among a population of these patients of the west of Iran.

Materials and Methods

This study was a cross-sectional study among women with PCOS who referred to three clinics of gynecology, infertility, and dermatology affiliated with Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran.
Asian University of Medical Sciences, Iran, from September to November 2020. The Ethical Committee of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamedan, Iran, confirmed this protocol (IR.UMSHA.REC.1399.614). After explaining the aims of the study, written informed consent was obtained from the volunteer participants.

Women with the diagnosis of PCOS according to the Rotterdam diagnostic criteria were invited to contribute to the present study. These criteria included, Oligo/amenorrhea, clinical and/or biochemical hyperandrogenism, and polycystic ovaries (having 12 follicles or more in one or both ovaries and/or increased ovarian volume i.e. > 10 ml) (5).

The inclusion criteria for women were: age 18-45 years and married, not having non-classic adrenal hyperplasia, thyroid or metabolic disease, hyperprolactinemia, and not having psychiatric disorders. Pregnant women, breastfeeding mothers, and patients who received oral contraceptive pills (OCPS) or other hormonal medications that affect the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis 3 months ago were excluded.

For sample size calculation, we considered the parameter in Eftekhar et al. (9) study that reported the 57.7% prevalence of sexual dysfunction in women with PCOS. We reached a sample size of 130, although considering the margin of error (d)=15%, non-response=5%, and alpha level=5%.

The measurement tools included some questionnaires and clinical evaluations. a. Background demographic questionnaire included age, location (urban and rural), job, education, height, weight, and infertility characteristics. This questionnaire was designed for this purpose. The face and content validity were performed. The tool validity was checked by 10 reproductive health experts. Also, the reliability of the questionnaire was approved by 15 women with PCOS. b. Hirsutism was evaluated based on the Ferriman-Gallwey scoring method by a trained interviewer under the supervision of a physician. The range of Ferriman-Gallwey scoring is from 0 to 36 and scores of 8 or higher are considered of having hirsutism (10). c. Using female sexual function index (FSFI) questionnaire, sexual function was assessed. FSFI self-report scale is a 19-item questionnaire for the dimensions of sexual functioning in women during the last month. This questionnaire contains six domains, including the desire (2 questions), subjective arousal (4 questions), lubrication (4 questions), orgasm (3 questions), satisfaction (3 questions), and pain (3 questions). The minimum total score is 2, and the maximum total score is 36. The higher scores show better function, while lower scores show no sexual activity during the past month (11, 12). This scale has been validated in Iran by Mohammadi et al. (13) that sexual dysfunction was defined as a total FSFI score of less than 28.

Table 1: The association between demographic characteristics with FSFI score and its domains

| Demographic characteristics | Desire  | Arousal  | Lubrication | Orgasm  | Satisfaction | Pain  | FSFI score |
|----------------------------|---------|----------|-------------|---------|--------------|-------|------------|
| **Location**               |         |          |             |         |              |       |            |
| Urban                      | 101 (77.69) | 3.69 ± 1.16 | 3.37 ± 1.28 | 3.75 ± 1.49 | 3.25 ± 1.37 | 3.77 ± 1.37 | 4.74 ± 1.04 | 22.59 ± 5.78 |
| Rural                      | 29 (22.31)  | 3.72 ± 0.86 | 3.93 ± 1.14 | 4.07 ± 1.66 | 3.41 ± 1.35 | 4 ± 1.28  | 3.74 ± 0.61 | 22.41 ± 5.67 |
| **P value**                | 0.89     | 0.04     | 0.33        | 0.59    | 0.42         | 0.18   | 0.19       |
| **Age group (Y)**          |         |          |             |         |              |       |            |
| 20-29                      | 69 (53.08) | 0.82 ± 1.17 | 3.7 ± 1.36  | 3.94 ± 1.58 | 3.23 ± 1.32 | 3.98 ± 1.37 | 4.79 ± 1.05 | 23.45 ± 5.83 |
| 30-45                      | 61 (46.92) | 3.54 ± 0.99 | 3.26 ± 1.24 | 3.69 ± 1.48 | 3.37 ± 1.42 | 3.65 ± 1.31 | 4.87 ± 1.08 | 22.38 ± 5.77 |
| **P value**                | 0.15     | 0.06     | 0.34        | 0.55    | 0.18         | 0.68   | 0.29       |
| **Job**                    |         |          |             |         |              |       |            |
| Housekeeper                | 105 (80.77) | 3.8 ± 1.11 | 3.6 ± 1.38  | 3.93 ± 1.57 | 3.41 ± 1.41 | 3.9 ± 1.39 | 4.98 ± 1.02 | 23.91 ± 5.84 |
| Worker                     | 25 (19.23)  | 3.24 ± 0.62 | 3.04 ± 0.93 | 3.8 ± 1.33  | 2.8 ± 1.02  | 3.5 ± 1.12 | 4.18 ± 1.06 | 20.14 ± 4.62 |
| **P value**                | 0.02     | 0.055    | 0.11        | 0.047   | 0.019        | <0.001 | 0.006      |
| **Education**              |         |          |             |         |              |       |            |
| Primary                    | 25 (19.23)  | 3.58 ± 1.28 | 3.46 ± 1.35 | 3.37 ± 1.63 | 3.04 ± 1.61 | 3.14 ± 1.02 | 4.94 ± 0.9 | 21.52 ± 5.66 |
| Guidance                   | 45 (34.62)  | 3.52 ± 1.03 | 3.35 ± 1.3  | 3.48 ± 1.37 | 3.33 ± 1.45 | 3.88 ± 1.29 | 4.64 ± 1.12 | 22.52 ± 5.39 |
| High school                | 38 (29.23)  | 3.5 ± 1.06 | 3.13 ± 1.33 | 3.61 ± 1.4  | 2.8 ± 0.95  | 3.42 ± 1.31 | 4.51 ± 1.11 | 20.98 ± 5.39 |
| Diploma                    | 13 (10.00)  | 4.68 ± 0.82 | 4.45 ± 0.74 | 5.63 ± 0.7  | 4.7 ± 0.73  | 5 ± 0.89  | 5.68 ± 0.5 | 29.55 ± 1.27 |
| Academic                   | 9 (6.92)   | 4.24 ± 0.37 | 4.43 ± 1.09 | 5.07 ± 1.14 | 4.7 ± 0.99  | 5.41 ± 0.63 | 5.52 ± 0.49 | 29.38 ± 0.55 |
| **P value**                | 0.003    | 0.004    | <0.001      | 0.004   | <0.001       | 0.001  | <0.001     |
| **BMI**                    |         |          |             |         |              |       |            |
| Normal                     | 31 (23.85)  | 3.72 ± 1.05 | 3.42 ± 1.07 | 4.1 ± 1.55  | 3.18 ± 1.48 | 4.05 ± 1.19 | 4.96 ± 0.87 | 23.46 ± 5.62 |
| Overweight                 | 53 (40.77)  | 3.71 ± 1.13 | 3.61 ± 1.4  | 4.02 ± 1.64 | 3.32 ± 1.35 | 3.86 ± 1.53 | 4.77 ± 1.16 | 23.31 ± 6.07 |
| Obese                      | 46 (35.38)  | 3.64 ± 1.1  | 3.4 ± 1.4   | 3.39 ± 1.32 | 3.33 ± 1.32 | 3.61 ± 1.19 | 4.79 ± 1.07 | 22.19 ± 5.67 |
| **P value**                | 0.94     | 0.69     | 0.06        | 0.87    | 0.35         | 0.22   | 0.54       |
| **Infertility**            |         |          |             |         |              |       |            |
| Yes                        | 58 (44.62)  | 3.75 ± 1.17 | 3.34 ± 1.37 | 3.83 ± 1.56 | 0.38 ± 1.49 | 3.70 ± 1.43 | 4.92 ± 0.97 | 22.93 ± 5.59 |
| No                         | 72 (55.38)  | 3.64 ± 1.03 | 3.61 ± 1.28 | 3.81 ± 1.52 | 3.22 ± 1.26 | 3.92 ± 1.27 | 4.75 ± 1.26 | 22.97 ± 5.72 |
| **P value**                | 0.57     | 0.25     | 0.94        | 0.50    | 0.34         | 0.37   | 0.97       |

Data are presented as mean ± SD or n (%). BMI; Body mass index and FSFI; Female sexual function index. Bold items are significant (P<0.05).
Statistical analysis

We used the independent t test and one-way ANOVA for comparison of background demographic characteristics with FSFI score (domains), and hirsutism. For data analysis, the Stata version 14 (StataCorp, College Station, TX) was used and P≤0.05 was considered significant.

Results

All 130 women with PCOS diagnosis answered the questionnaires. Of them, 101 (77.69%) were urban residents and 105 (80.77%) were housekeepers. Their mean age of them was 29.74 ± 5.3 (range: 20.44 years). Only 16.92% of them had a diploma or academic education and more than 75% had overweight or obese. The association between categorical variables with the FSFI score and its domains is shown in Table 1. Women with higher education had reported higher scores of FSFI and its domains (P<0.05). Rural residents had a higher arousal score in comparison with urban residents (3.93 ± 1.4 vs. 3.37 ± 1.28, P=0.04). Moreover, housekeeper women had higher scores regarding desire, pain, and total FSFI score (P<0.05). There was no significant association among body mass index (BMI) and age of our participants with FSFI score and its domains.

The mean (± SD) of domains of sexual dysfunction and frequency of sexual dysfunction is presented in Table 2. In total, 60% of patients had reported sexual dysfunction related to lubrication, satisfaction, and pain as domains of sexual dysfunction.

Table 2: The mean (SD) and frequency (%) of domains of sexual dysfunction among women with PCOS

| Domain     | Mean ± SD | Minimum | Maximum | Frequency (%) |
|------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------|
| Desire     | 3.69 ± 1.09 | 1.2     | 6       | 48 (36.92)    |
| Arousal    | 3.49 ± 1.32 | 0.9     | 6       | 103 (79.23)   |
| Lubrication| 3.82 ± 1.53 | 1.2     | 6       | 130 (100)     |
| Orgasm     | 2.93 ± 1.36 | 0.9     | 6       | 112 (86.15)   |
| Satisfaction| 3.82 ± 1.35 | 1.2     | 6       | 130 (100)     |
| Pain       | 4.83 ± 1.06 | 1.6     | 6       | 130 (100)     |
| FSFI score | 22.95 ± 5.77 | 12.3    | 31.9    | 78 (60)       |

The relation between women’s hirsutism based on Ferriman-Gallwey scoring with FSFI and its domains has reported to restore normal sexual function in women with PCOS history.

Discussion

Khademi et al. (14) in Iran reported that only 7 women of 100 infertile women reported normal sexual functioning. The most prevalent sexual problem among women was arousal with 80%. Another study in India showed that female sexual dysfunction among women in the domains of desire, arousal, lubrication, orgasm, satisfaction, and the pain was 44.0, 49.0, 37.0, 32.0, 37.0, and 34.6%, respectively (15). In our study, the frequency of sexual dysfunction was 60.0% in the PCOS affected. Therefore, the frequency of sexual dysfunction in our study is approximately similar to Eftekhar’s (57.7%) and Dashi’s (62.5%) studies. The difference in the frequency of sexual dysfunction might be due to the different evaluation tools and demographic characteristics of the women such as age and BMI.

Eftekhar et al. in Iran reported that BMI levels higher than normal had decreased desire and satisfaction among women with PCOS in their study (9). Kogure et al. (16) reported that desired and BMI were risk factors for sexual dysfunction, and overweight and obesity were risk factors for the degree of dissatisfaction. Ferraresi et al. (17) reported that the obese women had a higher risk for sexual dysfunction and lower FSFI scores. However, our findings showed that there was not a significant association between BMI and FSFI scores. The probable reason for this difference could be due to the self-report of weight and height by the women in the present study. Also, Eftekhar et al. (9) showed that the effect of hirsutism was significant in all domains of the FSFI except for dyspareunia. Dashi et al. (8) in Malaysia showed that there was no significant association with any of the FSFI score domains between women with and without hirsutism, while in the present study, we observed a significant association between hirsutism and some of FSFI scores, including the domains of lubrication, orgasm, and satisfaction. In our study, the effect of hirsutism was not significant on dyspareunia, which is in line with Eftekhar’s results. In addition, a systematic review has been performed in 2019 with 19 studies (18). There was no sexual dysfunction between women with PCOS and control subjects (18), which was the same as our results.

Limitations: We did not determine stress, depression, and psychological aspects in these women. Also, our study was a cross-sectional study that clinical/biochemical parameters were not evaluated. In addition, we had not defined a control group. Also, we have some suggestions such as an examination of the psychological aspects of women with PCOS. In addition, prospective cohort studies with a large sample are recommended to evaluate therapies performed to restore normal sexual function in women with PCOS history.
Conclusion

Our results showed that there was a significant association between hirsutism and FSFI scores, different domains, including lubrication, orgasm, and satisfaction. Therefore, proper intervention for women with PCO is essential.
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