Revealing the full-length transcriptome of caucasian clover rhizome development
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Abstract

**Background:** Caucasian clover (*Trifolium ambiguum* M. Bieb.) is a strongly rhizomatous, low-crowned perennial leguminous and ground-covering grass. The species may be used as an ornamental plant and is resistant to cold, arid temperatures and grazing due to a well-developed underground rhizome system and a strong clonal reproduction capacity. However, the posttranscriptional mechanism of the development of the rhizome system in caucasian clover has not been comprehensively studied. Additionally, a reference genome for this species has not yet been published, which limits further exploration of many important biological processes in this plant.

**Result:** We adopted PacBio Sequencing and Illumina Sequencing to identify differentially expressed transcripts in five tissues taproot (T1), horizontal rhizome (T2), swelling of taproot (T3), rhizome bud (T4) and rhizome bud tip (T5) of the caucasian clover rhizome. In total, we obtained 19.82 GB clean data and 80,654 nonredundant transcripts were analysed. Additionally, we identified 78,209 open reading frames (ORFs), 65,227 coding sequences (CDSs), 58,276 simple sequence repeats (SSRs), 6,821 alternative splicing (AS) sites, 24,29 long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) and 4,501 putative transcription factors (TFs) from 64 different families. Compared with other tissues, T5 exhibited more differentially expressed genes, and co-upregulated genes in T5 are mainly annotated as involved in phenylpropanoid biosynthesis. We also identified betaine aldehyde dehydrogenase (BADH) as a highly expressed gene-specific to T5. A weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) cluster analysis of transcription factors and physiological indicators were combined to reveal 11 candidate genes (MEgreen-GA3), three of which belong to the HB-KNOX family, that are up-regulated in T3. We analysed 276 differential transcripts involved in hormone signaling and transduction, and the largest number of transcripts are associated with the IAA signaling pathway, with significant up-regulation in T2 and T5.

**Conclusions:** Taken together, this study contributes to our understanding of gene expression across five different tissues and provides preliminary insight into rhizome growth and development in caucasian clover.

**Background**
Caucasian clover (*Trifolium ambiguum* M. Bieb.) also known as Kura clover, is low-crowned perennial legume that is strongly rhizomatous[1]; the species originates from the region encompassing Caucasian Russia, eastern Turkey and northern Iran[2]. Caucasian clover can protect lawns as a flowering species and an ornamental plant[3]. Compared with white clover, caucasian clover has lower fiber concentrations, greater protein concentrations and forage digestibility [4][outperforming in high aluminum soils[5] and provided high-quality fodder during the year when white clover grows insufficient[6]. Caucasian clover has deep, semi-woody, usually branched main roots, and many branched roots grow new plantlets, either at the ends or nodes[7-9]. The species can tolerate continuous grazing by cattle (*Bos taurus*)[7], extreme winter temperatures[8], seasonal moisture deficit and many serious diseases that affect other types of clover[9, 10]. These features are attributed to its prominent primary roots, low-spread crowns and well-developed rhizome systems[11].

Recently, high-throughput RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) technology has become a powerful and cost-efficient means to facilitate an understanding of differential gene expression and regulatory mechanisms, especially for plant species without a reference genome[12, 13, 14]. RNA-seq has been widely used in the study of rhizome transcriptomics, including in various rhizomatous species such as sorghum (*Sorghum halepense* and *Sorghum propinquum*)[15, 16], bamboo (*Phyllostachys praecox*)[17], *Oryza longistaminata*[18-20], *Equisetum hyemale*[21], *Panax ginseng*[22], *Phragmites australis*[23], tropical lotus (*Nelumbo nucifera*)[24], CangZhu (*Atractylodes lancea*)[25], *Ligusticum chuanxiong*[26], ginger (*Zingiber officinale*)[27], and *Miscanthus lutaria riparius*[12]. Identification of the energy, metabolism, hormones and protein genes associated with rhizome development has revealed that plant rhizomes are also rich in growth-related regulatory factors. For example, 48 important transcription factors (TFs) belonging to the bHLH families YABBY, NAM, TCP, TALE, and AP2 are expressed specifically or in abundance in the shoot tip and elongation regions of *Oryza longistaminata* [18-20].

In recent years, an increasing number of Pacific Biosciences (PacBio) sequencing full-length transcriptomes have been generated. The PacBio sequencing is a single-molecule sequencing
technology with a longer read length than second-generation sequencing and an average read length of up to 15 kb, also named Single Molecule, Real-Time (SMRT). It does not require assembly and can completely retain the entire sequence from the 3' to 5' ends of an RNA, but with a higher error rate; moreover, the second-generation stepwise approach can correct mistakes[28-31]. PacBio has been utilized to detect more than 42,280 different splicing isoforms and a large number of AS events were found to be associated with the rhizome system and assist genome annotation in orchardgrass[32,33]. The results indicate that posttranscriptional regulation plays an important role in the rhizome system. Moreover, a combination of Illumina and PacBio sequencing applied to various root tissues, particularly the periderm, has provided a more complete view of the Danshen (Salvia miltiorrhiza) transcriptome[34]. PacBio sequencing and RNA-seq analysis together have also been used to identify differentially expressed transcripts along a developmental gradient from the shoot apex to the fifth internode of Populus P. deltoides×P. euramericana cv.‘Nanlin895’, showing 15,838 differentially expressed transcripts, of which 1,216 are TFs[35].

Compared with traditional herbage legumes, such as white clover and red clover, the rhizome is one of the most distinctive characteristics of caucasian clover[9, 36]. The rhizome system has important functions regarding energy storage, transport and vegetative reproduction[37-40]. Elucidating the molecular mechanisms underlying rhizome initiation and development will not only contribute to a better understanding of this important biological process but will also serve as a theoretical basis for efficiently identifying finding important rhizome genes. In this study, we combined Illumina and PacBio sequencing to generate a more complete caucasian clover full-length transcriptome, with analysis of gene expression in five different tissues of caucasian clover rhizomes. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on transcriptome profiling of candidate genes related to rhizome development in caucasian clover. These genes are excellent candidates for further functional characterization to elucidate their roles in rhizome differentiation, growth and development.

Results

**Analysis of PacBio sequencing datasets**

Transcriptome sequencing of the caucasian clover rhizome was completed, and 19.82 GB of clean
data were obtained using one cell. We identified 658,323 reads of inserts (ROIs) with a mean length of 2,286 bp, quality of 0.94; 12 passes from 720,832 polymerase reads with full passes>=0 and a predicted consensus accuracy>0.8 (Table 1). In total, the ROIs included 62.87% (449,460) full-length (FL) reads and 29.4% (193,513) non full-length reads of the entire transcriptome sequence from the 5’ to the 3’ end and polyA tail. Additionally, the number of full-length nonchimeric (FLNC) reads was 441,885, with an average full-length nonchimeric read length of 1,969 bp (Table 1). The main number distribution of cDNA and ROIs were shown in Additional file 1: Figure S1a and S1b.

As PacBio sequencing results have a high error rate, FLNC reads were clustered using the iterative isoform-clustering (ICE) algorithm and corrected with the Illumina Hiseq2500 platform to correct errors. We generated 227,516 consensus isoforms with an average consensus isoform length of 2,086 bp, including 148,836 high-quality isoforms (Table 1). We successfully obtained 80,654 non-redundant transcripts using CD-HIT for caucasian clover rhizomes analysis.

**Prediction of ORFs, SSRs and IncRNAs and identification of AS events**

To identify putative protein-coding sequences, we predicted 78,209 open reading frames (ORFs) using TransDecoder. In total, 65,227 coding sequences (CDSs) were identified with start and stop codons, and the distribution of the numbers and lengths of complete CDSs is shown in Fig.1a. Among them, 12,630 transcripts were distributed in the 100-200 bp range.

A total of 79,424 sequences (167,351,883 bp) were examined, including 58,276 simple sequence repeats (SSRs) and 36,110 SSR-containing sequences (Additional file 2: Table S1). The number of sequences containing more than one SSR was 13,856, and the number of SSRs present in compound form was 10,041. In addition, most are mononucleotides (33,533), dinucleotides (8,610) and trinucleotides (14,026).

In this study, 2,429 long noncoding RNAs (lncRNA) transcripts were predicted by a coding potential calculator (CPC), coding-non-coding index (CNCI), pfam protein structure domain analysis and coding potential assessment tool (CPAT) (Fig.1b), revealing candidate lncRNAs for future research.

Regarding alternative splicing (AS) events, 6,821 were detected. Because no reference genome is available for caucasian clover, we could not identify the types of AS. Nonetheless, as AS is an
important mechanism for regulating gene expression and producing proteome diversity, we show the results of these AS events in the KEGG enrichment (Fig.1c), and the genes were found to be highly enriched in the following categories: “glycolysis/Gluconeogenesis” (147), “spliceosome” (129), “carbon metabolism” (129), “protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum” (109) and “biosynthesis of amino acids” (96).

**Transcript annotation**

We annotated 77,927 (96.61%) transcripts in at least one of seven databases, including NCBI non-redundant protein (NR), Swiss-Prot (a manually annotated and reviewed protein sequence database), Gene Ontology (GO), Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COG), EuKaryotic Orthologous Groups (KOG), Protein family (Pfam) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG). The number of detailed annotations for five of the databases (GO, COG, NR, KEGG and Swiss-Prot) is shown in a Venn diagram (Additional file 3: Figure S2).

Through homologous species analysis comparing transcriptome sequences in the NR database, 77,721 transcripts were annotated. Approximately 65.23% (50,689) of the sequences were aligned to *Medicago truncatula* sequences, followed by *Cicer arietinum* (23.72%, 18,435) (Fig.2a).

All the assembled transcripts were subjected to searches against the COG database to evaluate the effectiveness and completeness of the transcriptome annotation, and the results were divided into 26 main categories (Fig.2b). The clusters “general function predicted only” (10,388), “transcription” (6,199), “replication, recombination and repair” (5,988), represented three of the largest groups, followed by “signal transduction mechanisms” (5,828) and “posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperone” (2,943).

A 18,529 KEGG pathway analysis was performed to identify associated biochemical pathways (Fig.2c). A total of 33,383 (42.84%) transcripts were matched in the KEGG database and further classified into 128 KEGG pathways. “biosynthesis of amino acids” (1396), “carbon metabolism” (1389), “protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum” (1232), “starch and sucrose metabolism” (1189) and “spliceosome” (1170) were the most represented pathways.

Based on the GO analysis, 57,583 transcripts were enriched in the three ontologies (Fig.2d)
“biological process”, “molecular function” and “cellular component”. Transcripts involved in biological processes mainly included “metabolic process” (39,010), “cellular process” (33,383), and “single-organism process” (26,933). In molecular function, transcripts were mainly enriched in “binding” (32,350), “catalytic activity” (32,206), and “transporter activity” (3,404). Regarding the “cellular component” category, the major classes of transcripts were related to “cell part” (24,076) “cell” (23,984) and “organelle” (16,648).

**Expression of specific genes and statistics of differentially expressed genes (DEGs)**

We investigated the transcript expression levels in the five tissues, and T1 had the highest number of expressed genes (76,124), followed by T4 (75,978), T2 (75,885), T3 (74,396) and T2 (74,327) (Additional file 4: Figure S3a). The number of genes co-expressed in each tissue was 68,241.

Fragments per kilobase of transcript sequence per million base pairs sequenced (FPKM) values were used to represent the expression levels of genes, and the distributions in each tissue are shown in Additional file 4: Figure S3b. To determine specific genes expressed in tissues and provide insight into the specialized developmental process, specific genes (at least two repeats and FPKM>0.1) with the top 5 expression levels were selected for investigation (Table 2). Among them, FPKMs were higher in T2 and T5: F01_cb16574_c994/f1p0/1592 (mitogen-activated protein kinase, MAPK3) and F01_cb7158_c94/f1p0/1000 (betaine aldehyde dehydrogenases, BADH).

To identify the gene expression differences in the development of the caucasian clover rhizome, we focused on the identification of differentially expressed genes (DEGs). As shown in the diagram of the DEG distribution in different rhizome tissues (Fig.3a), T3 and T4 had the largest number (33,612) of DEGs, with 18,372 up-regulated and 15,240 down-regulated genes. Moreover, T5 and other tissues (T1, T2, T3 and T4) had more DEGs; 4,585 co-upregulated genes and 4,196 co-downregulated genes were found in T5 compared with different tissues (Fig.3b and c). With regard to the up-regulated genes in T5, only 65 were enriched in the KEGG analysis: “phenylpropanoid biosynthesis” (9), “protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum” (8), “phenylalanine metabolism” (7), “carbon metabolism” (7) and “isoflavonoid biosynthesis” (6) (Fig.3d). For the down-regulated genes in T5, 231 genes were annotated, mainly involving “carbon metabolism” (35), “biosynthesis of amino acids” (34),
“glycolysis/gluconeogenesis” (29), “protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum” (25) and “spliceosome” (21) (Fig.3e).

**TFs prediction and WGCNA analysis**

Transcription factors (TFs) play critical roles in plant growth and development. We examined 4,501 putative TFs from 64 different families ((Additional file 5: Table S2), and the top 20 TF families are shown in Fig.4a, with the AP2/ERF-ERF (374), C3H (372), BHLH (324), WRKY (305), GRAS (302), NAC (270), BZIP (246), C2H2 (239), and MYB-related (180) families having the most. These TFs are widely involved in plant growth and responses to stress and are related to rhizome development.

We used weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) to further explore the relationship between TFs (filtering TF with FPKM value <1 and K-ME<0.7) and physiological characteristics in the rhizome of caucasian clover (Additional file 6: TableS3). Highly correlated TFs genes clusters are defined as modules, in which TFs genes within the same cluster are highly correlated. WGCNA analysis identified eight distinct modules (labelled with different colours in Fig.4b). The correlation coefficient between the characteristic genes of each module of 10 different modules and each different sample (trait) is presented in Fig.4c. Notably, IAA-trait and GA3-trait were significantly correlated with the MEgreenyellow modules and MEgreen modules ($r^2 > 0.8$, $p < 10^{-4}$).

The majority genes of the green module were up-regulated for six traits, except for ABA; most of these TFs were mainly up-regulated in T3 (Additional file 7: FigureS4). We identified 11 hub genes based on the criteria of KME (eigengene connectivity) $\geq 0.99$ and edge weight value $\geq 0.5$ in the green module based on the regulatory network (Fig.4d). These hub genes mainly belong to the HB-KNOK, AP2/ERF-ERF, GRAS, C2H2, C3H and NAC families (MEgreen-GA3); moreover, these TF families were upregulated in T1, T2 and T3, particularly in T3 (Fig. 4e), and may be related to the formation of nodules in the rhizome.

**Identification of hormone signalling-related genes in rhizome development**

Plant hormones play an important role in all aspects of development. Accordingly, we mapped DEGs to hormone signalling and transduction pathways for caucasian clover and analysed their expression in different tissues. In total, 276 transcripts are related to the synthesis and metabolism of eight
hormones, including auxin (IAA), abscisic acid (ABA), ethylene (ETH), cytokinin (CTK), gibberellic acid (GA), brassinosteroid (BR), jasmonic acid (JA) and salicylic acid (SA) (Fig.5). The maximum number of transcripts (62) is related to IAA synthesis and metabolism, followed by ABA at 60, JA at 52, SA at 24, ETH at 28, BR at 20, CTK at 18 and GA at 10. All these significant genes related to hormones synthesis and metabolism exhibited different expression in the different tissues. In addition, most genes associated with the IAA pathway were up-regulated in T2 and T5. Regarding SA signalling, almost all genes belonged to the TGA family, with up-regulation only in T4. Most transcripts associated with BR signaling showed higher levels in T4 and T5. For CTK transduction, all crucial genes associated with CTK signalling pathway were identified as DEGs. Only three genes showed no up-regulation trend in T3, which may be the tissues in which cells divide in large numbers. Only ten DEGs were associated with GA signalling; five transcripts were classified as GID1 and significantly up-regulated in T2. Genes related to ABA signalling and transduction displayed no significant change. For JA signalling, 52 transcripts all were annotated as JAZ, with up-regulation in T1, T2 and T3. In contrast, most of ETH signaling genes exhibited higher expression in T3, and all were down-regulated in T2.

**Verification of gene expression by qRT-PCR**

To confirm the accuracy of the genes obtained by RNA-seq, twelve genes including six plant hormone signal transduction genes, three TFs and three genes belonging to other classes were randomly selected for quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis. Good reproducibility between the qRT-PCR and RNA-seq results was indicated by Pearson’s correlation analysis, verified the accuracy and reliability of the RNA-seq data (Additional file 8: TableS4).

**Discussion**

The rhizome of caucasian clover is unique among legume species, endowing it with particular clonal reproduction characteristics and resistance to stress. In this study, we obtained high-quality transcript sequences for the caucasian clover rhizome by PacBio and Illumina sequencing, and the results will contribute to our understanding of rhizome growth and development and lay a molecular foundation for further study.

AS is a vital mechanism regulating gene expression and producing protein diversity[41]. The numbers
of AS events identified for the first time in the caucasian clover rhizome was found to be lower than that in *Medicago sativa* (7,568) [42] but higher than that in *Trifolium pratense* (5,492) [13]. Our study on the special characteristics of the caucasian clover rhizome was hindered by the lack of a reference genome, and it is impossible to judge the type of AS.

Many amino acids (phenylalanine, tyrosine and tryptophan) are not only important components of proteins but are also precursors of many secondary metabolites. These secondary metabolites are crucial for plant growth [43]. Similar to the rhizome of other plants (*Oryza longistaminata* and *Miscanthus lutarioriparius*) [19, 12], some basal metabolism plays an important role in the rhizome of caucasian clover, for example, carbon metabolism (1,389) and starch and sucrose metabolism (1,189).

By analysing the specific gene expression in each tissue, we found that MAPK3 (F01_c16574_c994/f1p0/1592) is mainly specifically expressed gene in T2 (Table 2). The MAPK family has been studied in tobacco, and it may be involved in growth, development, response to plant hormone signals and environmental signals [44]. T5 is very different from root tissue (T1, T2 and T3) (Fig.3a), with more DEGs, and it is where new aboveground parts are formed. A specific gene with the highest FPKM in T5 was observed for betaine aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 (F01_c17158_c94/f1p0/1000) (Table 2). BADHs are involved in glycine betaine synthesis and act as plant osmotic regulators, with important roles in abiotic stress [45]. Experiments have shown that BADH can increase the abiotic stress tolerance of sweet potato and carrot, such as salt stress, oxidative stress and low-temperature stress, maintaining cell membrane integrity [46, 47]. BADH was specifically expressed in a large amount in T5. This may be because T5 is relatively more fragile than other tissues, and BADHs may protect T5 for promoting the growth of new plants. Thus, we speculate that defence and stress response play a vital role in the development of caucasian clover. This may be the reason for its ability to grow in extreme winter temperatures, or it may be a necessary condition for a large rhizome system.

TFs can offer knowledge into the gene-regulating networks controlling developmental programs and are recognized as major players in better understanding root tissue differentiation and root development in response to internal growth regulators as well as environmental signals [48, 49]. It has
been reported that the genes involved in hormone metabolism, cellulose synthesis, energy, metabolism substance synthesis and transportation stress as well as expansion-related protein genes and TFs such as HLH, TCP, WRKY, bZIP, MYB and NAC participate in the formation of lotus root rhizomes. In addition, the AP2/ERF TF family had the greatest number in our rhizome (Fig. 4a), among which ethylene response factors, such as BBM/PLT4 and PLT1-3, have been described as master regulators of root meristem initiation and maintenance in Arabidopsis thaliana [50, 51]. In Raphanus sativus and Medicago sativa, the abiotic stress response mechanism regulated by AP2/ERF has been carefully studied [52, 53]. The Arabidopsis NAC family member NAC1 transduces auxin signals downstream of TIR1 to promote lateral root development [54]. In the WRKY family, WRKY75 was reported to be involved in regulating nutrient starvation response and root development [55]. It is worth noting that we performed WGCNA clustering for TFs and found that 3 of 11 hub genes belong to the HB-KNOX family in MEgreen-GA3 (Fig. 4d). GA can suppress the effect of elevated KNOX gene expression, and there is a possibility of modifying KNOX gene expression to alter plant structure through local changes in GA levels [56]. In Arabidopsis, the GA20ox1 mRNA level is reduced in leaves overexpressing the KNOX proteins STM or BREVIPEDICELLUS [55]. Moreover, in model plants, such as Arabidopsis, maize and tobacco, KNOX gene expression is confined to the shoot meristem and stem [58]. However, in the underground rhizome of caucasian clover, KNOX genes were identified as hub genes, especially in the main tissue of the swollen taproot (T3). Whether it is consistent with KNOX regulation of Arabidopsis meristem stems and buds are worth further investigation [59]. Xi Cheng found that in pear plants co-expressing KNOX and PbKNOX1 these factors are involved in cell wall thickening and lignin biosynthesis, with inhibition of key structural genes involved in lignin synthesis [60].

There is growing evidence that hormones affect tillering growth and the formation of storage organs [61, 12]. Yi Kun’s research has illustrated 600 mg·L⁻¹ GA₃ can promote the growth and development of caucasian clover rhizome and increase the content of endogenous IAA, ZT and GA₃ [62]. T1 and T2 showed high GID1 expression and GA may be involved in photoperiod induction
and regulation of the formation of storage organs and rhizome elongation[63]; therefore, T1 and T2 may be key organs for nutrient storage. In addition, JAZ accumulated in roots (T1, T2 and T3). It is believed that when pathogens invade and abiotic stress occurs, JAZ-MYC form an immune network, followed by JAZ protein degradation and MYC TF release[64]. T3 is closely linked to ETH and CTK-mediated pathways, and it may be responsible for root swelling. It has been demonstrated that auxin activates root formation and that cytokinins mediate root identity, early primordial disintegration and early loss of bud development initiation[65]. CTKs are conducive to rhizome enlargement but not to rhizome induction.

Genes related to the IAA anabolic pathway were downregulated in T3 and T4. It may be that IAA does not participate in root enlargement or induce bud production but that it is closely related to lateral root development. T1, T2 and T3 might mainly function as storage organs, providing energy for plant growth. In addition, T4 tissue may be relatively fragile and require the SA pathway to mediate immunity to prevent pathogen infection and to grow new plants. BR signaling is mainly involved in plant growth and plant morphology development and related genes were upregulated in T5[66]. T4 and T5 are mainly associated with resistance to stress and secondary metabolic pathways. Of course, hormones are not the only factors that regulate the development of apical meristems and lateral organs; they often cooperate with TFs to balance the maintenance of meristems and organogenesis.

Conclusion
In summary, we provided a full-length transcriptome of the caucasian clover rhizome based on PacBio sequencing and Illumina sequencing, revealing gene expression and annotation for different tissues. We highlighted the role of hormones and TFs in the rhizome of caucasian clover, investigated the expression of hormone-pathway related genes in different tissue of caucasian clover and identified 11 candidate genes in TF- and GA-related modules by WGCNA. In this study, a set of genes related to rhizome development was identified, laying the foundation for further functional genomics research on rhizome development.

Methods

**Plant materials and RNA preparation**
The taproot (T1), horizontal rhizome (T2), swelling of taproot (T3), rhizome bud (T4) and rhizome bud tip (T5) of 3-year-old caucasian clover (Fig.6) were collected from a test field at Northeast Agricultural University (E 126º14'; N 45º05' in August 2018 ). We placed each sample collected into a 1.5 ml centrifuge tube with three replicates from five individual plants for each tissue to ensure RNA quantity. Each sample showed good correlation (R^2>0.8; Additional file 9: Figure S5). The original sources of the plant materials were introduced from Inner Mongolia Grass Variety Engineering Technology Research Center of Inner Mongolia Agricultural University. The Inner Mongolia Grass Variety Engineering Technology Research Center of Inner Mongolia Agricultural University undertook the formal identification of the samples, provided details of the specimens deposited and allowed the collection. The IPNI Life Sciences Identifier (LSID) for caucasian clover is urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:522843-1. Plants were removed from the soil bed, and the roots were washed gently with running water, frozen in liquid nitrogen and immediately stored at -80°C. Total RNA was extracted using Trizol. RNA degradation and contamination were monitored by 1.2% agarose gel electrophoresis. The quantity and integrity of the extracted total RNA were determined using a NanoDrop and an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer[13]. For each RNA sample, 1μg was pooled and sequenced by PacBio single-molecule long-read sequencing (PacBio Sequel, Menlo Park, USA) and Illumina sequencing (Illumina NovaSeq6000, California, U.S.A) in parallel.

**PacBio cDNA library preparation and sequencing**

Full-length cDNA was synthesized using the SMARTerTM PCR cDNA Synthesis Kit and then subjected to full-length cDNA PCR amplification and repair of cDNA ends. The concentration and quality of the cDNA library were determined using the Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer and an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer[67]. The 1-6-KB library was sequenced via PacBio Sequel.

**Illumina cDNA library construction and sequencing**

First, 15 samples of eukaryotic mRNA were enriched with magnetic beads with oligo(dT) and randomly broken into small fragments in a fragmentation buffer. First-strand cDNA was synthesized using six-base random hexamers with a small fragment of mRNA as a template. The second cDNA strand was synthesized by adding buffer, dNTPs, RNase H and DNA polymerase I, and the cDNA was
purified by AMPure XP beads. The purified double-stranded cDNA was subjected to end repair, a tail was added, and the sequencing linker was ligated; the fragment size was then selected using AMPure XP beads. The final cDNA library was assessed by PCR, and the quality of the cDNA library was determined using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Santa Clara, CA). The libraries were sequenced from both 5’ and 3’ ends using Illumina NovaSeq.

**PacBio sequencing data analysis**

Raw reads were processed into error-corrected reads of insert (ROIs) using the Iso-seq pipeline with minFullPass=0 and minPredictedAccuracy=0.80. Next, full-length, non-chimeric (FLNC) transcripts were determined by searching for the polyA tail signal and the 5’ and 3’ cDNA primers in ROIs. ICE was used to obtain consensus isoforms and FL consensus sequences from ICE data, which were further processed using Quiver. High-quality FL transcripts were classified with the criterion postcorrection accuracy above 99%. Iso-Seq high-quality FL transcripts were obtained, and redundancy was removed using cd-hit (identity > 0.99)[68].

**Illumina sequencing data analysis**

Raw data (raw reads) in FASTQ format were first processed through in-house Perl scripts. In this step, clean data (clean reads) were obtained by removing reads containing adapters, reads containing poly-N and low-quality reads from the raw data. At the same time, the Q20, Q30, GC-content and sequence duplication level of the clean data were calculated. All downstream analyses were based on these clean data with high quality. These clean reads were then mapped to the PacBio reference genome sequence. Clean data were normalized by converting the fragment counts to fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM). A differential expression analysis was performed using DESeq (v 1.10.1); a fold change ≥4 and FDR < 0.01 based on DESeq was considered differential expression[69].

**Detection of SSR, ORFs, AS and IncRNA**

Simple sequence repeats (SSRs) in the transcriptome were identified using MISA (http://pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de/misa/), with only transcripts ≥ 500 bp being detected.

TransDecoder software (https://github.com/TransDecoder/TransDecoder/releases) was employed to
identify reliable potential coding sequences (CDSs) from the transcript sequences based on the open reading frame (ORF) length, log-likelihood score, and amino acid sequence comparison in the Pfam database.

We used Iso-SeqTM data directly to run all-vs-all BLAST with high-identity settings[70]. BLAST alignments that met all criteria were considered products of candidate alternative splicing (AS) events[71], with two HSPs (high segmentation pairs) ≥ 1000 bp in the alignment. Two HSPs have the same forward/reverse orientation, and one sequence should be contiguous in the same alignment or have a small overlap of less than 5 bp. The other should be different to show "AS Gap", and the contiguous sequence should align completely with the different sequences. The AS gap should be greater than 100 bp and at least 100 bp from the 3'/5' end.

Four computational approaches include CPC[72]/CNCI/CPAT[73]/Pfam[74] were combined to sort nonprotein-coding RNA candidates from putative protein-coding RNAs among the transcripts. Putative protein-coding RNAs were filtered using a minimum length and exon number threshold. Transcripts with lengths more than 200 nt and more than two exons were selected as long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) candidates and further screened using CPC/CNCI/CPAT/Pfam, which have the power to distinguish protein-coding genes from noncoding genes.

**Functional annotation**

Annotation information on the obtained nonredundant transcript sequences was based on BLAST in the following databases: NR, Pfam[74], KOG[75], COG; swiss-Prot[76], KEGG[77] and GO[78].

**Real-time RT-PCR**

Quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) was conducted in a 10-μl volume containing 0.5μl diluted cDNA, 0.2μl forward primer, 0.2μl reverse primer, and 1×SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (TaKaRa) with the following conditions: 95°C for 180 sec, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec, 59°C for 15 sec and 72°C for 15 sec. The $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method was used to calculate relative expression levels. All reactions were performed with three replicates. All primers used are shown in Additional file 10: Table S5.
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Tables
### Table 1: Statistics of the PacBio sequencing data, ROI databases and ICE clustering

|                     | Value |
|---------------------|-------|
| cDNA library        | 1-6KB |
| PacBio sequencing   |       |
| polymerase reads    | 720,832 |
| Reads of Insert     | 658,323 |
| Read Bases of Insert| 1,505,553,284 |
| Mean Read Length of Insert | 2,286 |
| Mean Read Quality of Insert | 0.94 |
| Mean Number of Passes | 12 |
| Date size(GB)       | 19.82 |
| ROI databases       |       |
| Number of five prime reads | 506,205 |
| Number of three prime reads | 521,059 |
| Number of poly-A reads | 514,174 |
| Number of filtered short reads | 15,350 |
| Number of non-full-length reads | 193,513 |
| Number of full-length reads | 449,460 |
| Number of full-length non-chimeric reads | 441,885 |
| Average full-length non-chimeric read length | 1,969 |
| ICE clustering      |       |
| Number of consensus isoforms | 227,516 |
| Average consensus isoforms read length | 2,086 |
| Number of polished high-quality isoforms | 148,836 |
| Number of polished low-quality isoforms | 78,366 |
| Percent of polished high-quality isoforms(%) | 65.42 |
| Non-redundant transcripts | 80,654 |

**KB (kilobase):** A commonly used length unit of DNA, indicating that a certain DNA molecule contains a thousand base pairs. **GB:** Used to measure the amount of data, where 1GB=1,000,000 bp.

| Gene                | FPKM | Description                          |
|---------------------|------|--------------------------------------|
| T1 F01_cb14545_c33/f1p0/902 | 1.04 | Diphosphoinositol-polyphosphate      |
|                     | 0.90 | ADP-ribosylation factor 1             |
| Accession | Description                                      | Score |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------|-------|
| F01_cb15376_c1/f1p0/1605 | Protein of unknown function                      | 0.66  |
| F01_cb14185_c1/f2p0/1231  | Putative DNA-binding protein                      | 0.50  |
| F01_cb8972_c16/f1p0/1728  | Cytochrome P450                                  | 0.42  |
| T2 F01_cb16574_c994/f1p0/1592 | mitogen-activated protein kinase                   | 18.39 |
| F01_cb17761_c3939/f1p0/3262 | SNF2 family N-terminal domain;                   | 1.57  |
| F01_cb10989_c11/f1p0/1938  | Cytochrome P450                                  | 1.21  |
| F01_cb16704_c9/f2p2/873    | Plant invertase/pectin methylesterase inhibitor   | 0.60  |
| F01_cb8297_c8/f1p0/2003    | EH-domain-containing protein;                    | 0.56  |
| T3 F01_cb8987_c27/f1p0/456  | ADP-ribosylation factor 1-like                   | 4.07  |
| F01_cb8782_c40/f44p1/1360  | peroxidase                                       | 3.55  |
| F01_cb7280_c24/f1p1/2016   | NAC domain-containing protein                    | 2.57  |
| F01_cb7489_c3/f1p0/2140    | PHD-finger                                       | 2.10  |
| F01_cb8820_c25/f1p0/1072   | ribosomal protein                                | 2.08  |
| T4 F01_cb17761_c89877/f1p0/2957 | Auxin response factor                           | 11.28 |
| F01_cb17761_c104162/f2p1/2284 | BURP domain                                    | 11.02 |
| F01_cb16338_c23/f1p0/313   | Calmodulin-like protein                          | 5.44  |
| F01_cb17761_c21336/f1p0/3638 | Leucine Rich Repeat                             | 3.97  |
| F01_cb1066_c94/f1p0/2823   | probable galactinol—sucrose galactosyltransferase 2-like | 3.35  |
| T5      | F01_cb7158_c94/f1p0/1000 | 146.47 | betaine aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 |
|---------|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|
|         | F01_cb5102_c38/f1p0/684  | 38.70  | probable protein phosphatase     |
|         | F01_cb16574_c20256/f2p0/1154 | 36.04  | 60S ribosomal protein L2         |
|         | F01_cb9053_c18/f1p0/304  | 30.32  | -                                |
|         | F01_cb11585_c6/f2p0/314   | 20.12  | -                                |

**Table 2** Specific genes of the top five FPKMs for each tissue

Figures
Figure 1

Prediction of CDS, IncRNAs and AS. a The distribution of CDS lengths with a complete open reading frame. b Venn diagram of the number IncRNAs predicted. c KEGG pathways of genes related to AS.
Figure 2

Transcripts annotated in four databases. a NR homologous species distribution diagram of transcripts. b COG function classification of transcripts. c KEGG pathway classification of transcripts. d Distribution of GO terms for all annotated transcripts.
Figure 3
Differentially expressed genes statistics in the rhizome of caucasian clover. a The number of DEGs in different tissues. b Venn diagram showing upregulated genes in tissues compared to T5. c Venn diagram showing down-regulated genes in tissues compared T5. d Co-upregulated genes in KEGG enrichment for T5. e Co-downregulated genes in KEGG enrichment for T5.
The results of TF WGCNA. a Number of top 20 TFs. b Hierarchical cluster tree showing co-expression modules identified by WGCNA. c Module-sample association relationships. d Correlation networks of hub genes in the green module. e Heatmap of hub genes in the
Figure 5

Heatmap of hormone signaling-related genes in the five tissues.
Figure 6

Schematic graph of tissues collected for PacBio sequencing and Illumina sequencing.
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