Cohort profile: the Environmental-Pollution-Induced Neurological Effects (EPINEF) study: a multicenter cohort study of Korean adults
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The general population is exposed to numerous environmental pollutants, and it remains unclear which pollutants affect the brain, accelerating brain aging and increasing the risk of dementia. The Environmental-Pollution-Induced Neurological Effects study is a multi-city prospective cohort study aiming to comprehensively investigate the effect of different environmental pollutants on brain structures, neuropsychological function, and the development of dementia in adults. The baseline data of 3,775 healthy elderly people were collected from August 2014 to March 2018. The eligibility criteria were age ≥ 50 years and no self-reported history of dementia, movement disorders, or stroke. The assessment included demographics and anthropometrics, laboratory test results, and individual levels of exposure to air pollution. A neuroimaging sub-cohort was also recruited with 1,022 participants during the same period, and brain magnetic resonance imaging and neuropsychological tests were conducted. The first follow-up environmental pollutant measurements will start in 2022 and the follow-up for the sub-cohort will be conducted every 3-4 years. We have found that subtle structural changes in the brain may be induced by exposure to airborne pollutants such as particulate matter 10 μm or less in diameter (PM2.5), particulate matter 2.5 μm or less in diameter (PM2.5), Mn, and nitrogen dioxide in healthy adults. This study provides a basis for research involving large-scale, long-term neuroimaging assessments in community-based populations.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Dementia—including Alzheimer’s disease (AD), Parkinson’s disease dementia, and vascular dementia—is a global health challenge of increasing importance, as 40-50 million people are currently diagnosed with it [1-3]. This condition also leads to overwhelming national, societal, and familial burdens due to the long course of its progression and demand for continuing care. The global age-standardized disability-adjusted life years (DALY) rate...
(per 100,000) for AD and other types of dementia has gradually increased from 460.9 (95% confidence interval [CI], 394.5 to 544.4) in 1990, to 469.6 (95% CI, 403.2 to 552.4) in 2006 and 470.6 (95% CI, 401.2 to 556.3) in 2016 [4]. In the United States, the total health care cost for dementia is significantly higher than that for heart disease, cancer, or other illnesses [5], and the total cost for AD care is projected to increase from US$305 billion in 2020 to over US$1 trillion by 2050 [6].

The increasing burden of dementia also represents an issue in Korea. For 2 decades, the Korea has been an aging society and is expected to become a super-aged society in 2025 [7]. A 2014 survey revealed a 9.2% prevalence of dementia among Korean older adults (aged ≥ 65 years); furthermore, the age-specific prevalence of dementia doubled with a 5.8-year increase in age [8]. Dementia contributed the most to the overall disease burden among elderly people in 2010, yielding 274,849 DALYs, an estimate that is projected to increase 3-fold by 2050 [9].

To curb the increasing burden of dementia, prevention is of paramount importance because most types of dementia are progressive and incurable. Specifically, primary prevention strategies involve the identification and management of risk factors. The long-established risk factors for dementia include smoking, heavy alcohol consumption, cardiovascular disease, and diabetes. In recent decades, growing evidence has also emerged regarding environmental pollution (specifically, particulate matter [PM]) as a risk factor for dementia and some early or preclinical phases of dementia (e.g., mild cognitive impairment and cognitive decline). Furthermore, when our cohort was established in 2014, few studies had examined the effect of PM on sensitive neurological outcomes such as brain imaging markers. However, members of the general population are exposed to numerous environmental pollutants in varying degrees, and it remains unclear which pollutants affect the brain, accelerating brain aging and increasing the risk of dementia. Hence, a cohort study specifically designed to comprehensively investigate various environmental pollutants and their effects on the long course of neurodegeneration, from preclinical brain structural changes to overt cognitive decline and dementia, is warranted.

We launched a multi-city prospective cohort study in 2014, named the “Environmental-Pollution-Induced Neurological Effects (EPINEF)” study, as part of the Environmental Health Action Program of the Korean Ministry of Environment. The aim was to comprehensively investigate the effect of different environmental pollutants on brain structures, neuropsychological function, and the development of dementia in adults. The study may contribute to the improvement of public health policies by presenting guidelines for the management of neurological diseases that reflect the peculiarities of dementia and exposure sectors.

STUDY PARTICIPANTS

Study population and design

The EPINEF study was based on 6 prospective community-based cohorts (4 pre-existing and 2 newly established cohorts) involving areas with low, moderate, and high exposure risk (Figure 1). The 4 pre-existing cohorts included the Korean Genome and Epide-
miology Study (KoGES) in Gangwha [10], the Korean Urban Rural Elderly cohort in Seoul [11], the Cardiovascular and Metabolic Diseases Etiology Research Center cohort in Seoul [12], and the Seoul incinerator cohort [13]. The new cohorts were based in Namdong-gu, Incheon (where a large industrial complex is located) and Wonju/Pyeongchang (rural areas). The Seoul incinerator and Namdong cohorts, which include regions within a specific distance (300 m or 1 km) from the source of pollution (industrial complex region) were classified as having high exposure risk, whereas the KoGES and Wonju/Pyeongchang cohorts, which are considered as rural areas, were classified to have low exposure risk. The remaining cohorts were classified to have moderate exposure risk. By distinguishing between high exposure risk and moderate/low exposure risk based on the surrounding proximity of the industrial complex, we were able to obtain a basis for the classification of exposure and non-exposure to environmental pollutants and analyze differences between exposed and non-exposed regions.

This multi-city design was chosen to obtain sufficient variation in the level of exposure to environmental pollutants. The survey centers were located at 3 university-based hospitals: Yonsei University Severance Hospital (Seoul and Gangwha), Gachon University Gil Medical Center (Incheon), and Wonju Severance Christian Hospital (Wonju and Pyeongchang).

Eligibility and enrolment
The eligibility criteria were age ≥ 50 years and no self-reported history of dementia, movement disorders, or stroke. Recruitment was conducted during the baseline survey period from August 2014 to March 2018. Eligible participants were asked to participate through on-site invitations, telephone calls, and media campaigns. Individuals who agreed to participate were invited to visit each survey center (Figure 2) and undergo questionnaire surveys, anthropometric measurements, blood sampling, and urine collection. Additionally, a total of 1,022 participants who visited between 2014 and 2018 were enrolled in a sub-cohort for brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and detailed neuropsychological tests. We used competitive enrollment to achieve the goal of 50 participants per institution (approximately 250 participants per year). All participants were informed of the sub-cohort examination and voluntarily agreed to participate in the study. Although some factors (e.g., mean age, sex, education level, marriage status, smoking, alcohol consumption) significantly differed between the study participants included in the sub-cohort and those who were not, no significant differences were found in monthly income and cognitive function (Supplementary Material 1).

Follow-up
The EPINEF study was designed as a longitudinal study, and the first follow-up surveys and environmental pollutant measurements will start in 2022, 8 years after the cohort was launched. A follow-up study was conducted in participants who had not changed their residence since the initial participation. Physical measurements, health surveys, laboratory tests, cognitive decline screening tests, and environmental pollutant measurements were performed using the same protocol as before. Medical history and events such as...
| Classification                          | Contents                                                                 | Method                        |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Physical measurements                  | Anthropometric measures (height, weight, body mass index, waist circumference¹, hip circumference¹, thigh circumference¹), handgrip strength², blood pressure | Examination                  |
| Health survey                          |                                                                          | Questionnaire/interview       |
| Demographic characteristics            | Age, sex, date of birth (legal and actual), educational level, marriage status, household income, number of household members, period of residence, occupation, period of occupation, medical history of angina pectoris/ myocardial infarction, hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, arthritis, osteoporosis, cataract, glaucoma, mental health disease, depression, neoplasim, immediate family history of dementia, stroke, or Parkinson’s disease, angina pectoris, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, mental health disease, neoplasim, reproductive health information (menarche, pregnancy, gestational diabetes and hypertension, oral contraceptive use, female hormone use), falls and external injuries (experiences/counts of falls and external injuries, injured areas, progress of hospital visits) | Questionnaire/interview       |
| Quality of sleep                       | Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index                                            |                               |
| Characteristics of environment         | Time spent indoors/outdoors, number of working days, ventilation availability, ventilation time, when cooking food (ventilation availability, fan operation), cleaning frequency, cleaning method, residence characteristics (regional scale, shape, area, number of building floors), highways around the residence (presence, traffic volume), beltway/main road near the residence (presence, traffic volume), characteristics of the residence, no. of roads around the residence, presence of facilities around the residence (bus stops, taxi stops, factories, incinerating facilities, gas stations/refueling stations, gasometer) |                               |
| Quality of life                        | Subjective perception of health, life satisfaction, exercise ability, self-management, daily activities, pain, and anxiety |                               |
| Health-related behaviors               | Smoking, drinking, physical activity (vigorous-intensity exercise, moderate-intensity exercise, walking), group activities (religion, friendship, exercise/leisure, charity) |                               |
| Screening test                         |                                                                          | Questionnaire/self-report     |
| Cognitive function                     | Mini Mental State Examination-K, Korean-Montreal Cognitive Assessment     |                               |
| Mental health questionnaire            | Depression (Korean version of the short form of Geriatric Depression Scale) |                               |
| Biochemical indicators                 |                                                                          |                               |
| Diagnostic blood test (blood)          | WBC count, lymphocyte, monocyte, eosinophil, and basophil counts, RBC count, hemoglobin, hematocrit, MCV, MCH, MCHC, platelet count | Laboratory analysis          |
| Electrolytes (blood)                   | Ca, Cl, P                                                                 |                               |
| Lipid markers (blood)                  | Total cholesterol, triglyceride, HDL cholesterol                          |                               |
| Hepatobiliary function test (blood)    | Total protein, albumin, total bilirubin, bilirubin, ALP, AST, GGT, ALT   |                               |
| Hormone test (blood)                   | T3, TSH, Free T4, PTH-intact                                             |                               |
| Urinalysis (urine)                     | Nitrite, pH, urine protein, ketone                                        |                               |
| Renal function test (urine)            | BUN, microalbumin, creatinine, uric acid                                 |                               |
| Other test (blood)                     | HbA1c, fasting blood glucose, hsCRP, vitamin D                           |                               |
| Environmental pollutants              |                                                                          |                               |
| Blood heavy metals concentration       | Al, Hg, Pb, Mn                                                            | Laboratory analysis          |
| Urine PAH metabolites                  | 2-OHF, 1-OHPHE, 1-OHP, 2-naphthol                                        | Laboratory analysis          |
| Ambient air pollutants                 | PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, Mn$_{10}$ (Mn in PM$_{10}$), Mn$_{2.5}$ (Mn in PM$_{2.5}$), acetaldehyde, styrene, toluene | Predictive modelling         |
| Brain MRI                            | Risk score of Alzheimer’s disease, white matter hyperintensity, resting functional MRI, dDTI axial, faDTI axial, isoDTI axial, 3D T1WI non-contrast coronal, 3D T1WI non-contrast axial, 3D T1WI non-contrast sagittal, T2WI axial, 3D T2 fluid-attenuated inversion-recovery | Examination                 |

(Continued to the next page)
The EPINEF study was approved by the Yonsei University Health System Institutional Review Board (approval No. 4-2014-0359), and all participants provided written informed consent. The study was performed in accordance with the ethical standards as laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

**MEASUREMENTS**

**Data collection**

The database consists of the following datasets: demographics and anthropometrics, laboratory test results (blood and urine), blood heavy metal concentrations, urine polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) metabolites, air pollutant concentrations, brain MRI data, and neuropsychological test results. These datasets can be linked at the individual level using encrypted identifiers. We also collected and stored DNA samples from all participants to investigate genetic-environmental interactions. Preliminarily, we performed a test to detect apolipoprotein E ε4 gene, which is associated with the risk of AD, using a subsample of 300 participants of the Namdong cohort. The baseline measurement items and the characteristics of all participants are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

**Environmental hazardous pollutants**

From 2014 to 2017, we measured levels of indoor (window or veranda; 251 measurement points) and outdoor (points within the same residential complex or within 1 km; 208 measurement points) environmental pollutants in the participants’ residences (Supplementary Material 2). We investigated the presence of PM (10 μm or less in diameter [PM_{10}], 2.5 μm or less in diameter [PM_{2.5}]), total volatile organic compounds (including 17 different volatile organic compounds [VOCs]), PAHs (8 compounds), organophosphorus pesticides (6 types), and carbonyl compounds (10 compounds) in the environmental atmosphere. Further, we measured the concentrations of heavy metals (19 metals) and pesticides in the total concentration of PM_{10} and PM_{2.5} (Supplementary Material 3). In addition, 301 consecutive indoor and outdoor measurements were conducted from 2016 to 2018 to adjust for temporal trends in prediction modeling of environmental pollutant exposure. Lastly, to identify the levels of VOCs, organophosphorus pesticides, and PAHs in the residences’ floor dust and translate these data to an inhalation exposure scenario, 84 field samplings were conducted in 2016 (Supplementary Material 2).

**Prediction of long-term concentrations of environmental hazardous pollutants**

We developed prediction models for exposure to 10 pollutants: PM (PM_{10}, PM_{2.5}), manganese in PM_{10} and PM_{2.5}, acetaldehyde, styrene, toluene, and xylene (o-xylene, m, p-xylene). Propionaldehyde, dichlorvos, tetrachloroethylene, and naphthalene showed multiple missing values or significantly low concentrations; thus, they were excluded from model development. As the sampling design of the EPINEF study is temporally imbalanced, we used additional air quality regulatory monitoring to account for temporal trends.

Due to the temporally-adjusted data, we applied the universal kriging model [14,15], a widely used prediction model for air pollution epidemiology, to estimate individual-level long-term air pollution concentrations at participants’ homes. This model assumes that pollutant concentration is composed of a long-term mean and spatial correlation [14,16,17]. The long-term mean was
Table 2. Baseline characteristics of participants of the Environmental-Pollution-Induced Neurological Effects (EPINEF) study according to the community-based cohorts

| Characteristics                        | Total (n=3,775) | Unexposed cohort (n=1,611) | Exposed cohort (n=2,164) |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                        |                 | Low-risk urban area         | Moderate-risk area       | High-risk area            |
|                                        |                 | KoGES cohort, Wonju/Pyeongchang cohort | KURE/CMERC cohort | Incheon Namdong Industrial Complex cohort | Seoul incinerator cohort |
| Age (yr)                               | 68.80±6.67      | 66.49±7.48                  | 73.95±4.83               | 68.94±5.74                | 68.39±6.12               |
| Sex                                     |                 |                              |                          |                          |
| Male                                   | 1,209 (32.0)    | 463 (38.3)                  | 187 (15.5)               | 343 (28.4)                | 216 (17.9)               |
| Female                                 | 2,566 (68.0)    | 623 (24.3)                  | 338 (13.2)               | 924 (36.0)                | 681 (26.5)               |
| Education period (yr)                  | 12.00 (9.00-14.00) | 12.00 (6.00-14.00)        | 12.00 (9.00-14.00)       | 12.00 (9.00-14.00)        | 12.00 (9.00-14.00)       |
| Questionnaires                         |                 |                              |                          |                          |
| Marital status                         |                 |                              |                          |                          |
| Marriage, married and living with a spouse | 2,897 (76.7) | 913 (31.5)                  | 382 (13.2)               | 934 (32.2)                | 668 (23.1)               |
| Not married                            | 801 (21.2)      | 106 (13.2)                  | 142 (17.7)               | 329 (41.1)                | 224 (28.0)               |
| Unknown                                | 77 (2.0)        | 67 (87.0)                   | 1 (1.3)                  | 4 (5.2)                   | 5 (6.5)                  |
| Monthly income (10^4 Korean won)       |                 |                              |                          |                          |
| <100                                   | 856 (22.7)      | 285 (33.3)                  | 148 (17.3)               | 252 (29.4)                | 171 (20.0)               |
| 100-199                                | 932 (24.7)      | 286 (30.7)                  | 134 (14.4)               | 346 (37.1)                | 166 (17.8)               |
| 200-299                                | 651 (17.2)      | 155 (23.8)                  | 74 (11.4)                | 249 (38.2)                | 173 (26.6)               |
| 300-399                                | 443 (11.7)      | 140 (31.6)                  | 38 (8.6)                 | 150 (33.9)                | 115 (26.0)               |
| ≥400                                   | 508 (13.5)      | 173 (34.1)                  | 30 (5.9)                 | 154 (30.3)                | 151 (29.7)               |
| Unknown                                | 385 (10.2)      | 47 (12.2)                   | 101 (26.2)               | 116 (30.1)                | 121 (31.4)               |
| Smoking status                         |                 |                              |                          |                          |
| Non-smokers                            | 2,872 (76.1)    | 778 (27.1)                  | 383 (13.3)               | 986 (34.3)                | 725 (25.2)               |
| Ex-smokers                             | 727 (19.3)      | 251 (34.5)                  | 121 (16.6)               | 219 (30.1)                | 136 (18.7)               |
| Current smokers                        | 176 (4.7)       | 57 (32.4)                   | 21 (11.9)                | 62 (35.2)                 | 36 (20.4)                |
| Drinking status                        |                 |                              |                          |                          |
| Non-drinkers                           | 2,051 (54.3)    | 610 (29.7)                  | 289 (14.1)               | 713 (34.8)                | 439 (21.4)               |
| Ex-drinkers                            | 259 (6.9)       | 58 (22.4)                   | 59 (22.8)                | 110 (42.5)                | 32 (12.4)                |
| Current drinkers                       | 1,465 (38.8)    | 418 (28.5)                  | 177 (12.1)               | 444 (30.3)                | 426 (29.1)               |
| Anthropometric measurements            |                 |                              |                          |                          |
| Height (cm)                            | 157.44±10.67    | 158.40±13.17                | 157.17±7.82              | 156.97±11.09              | 157.09±7.67              |
| Weight (kg)                            | 61.27±9.89      | 62.27±11.49                 | 60.30±9.00               | 61.32±9.65                | 60.56±8.43               |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)                | 24.58±3.41      | 24.53±3.87                  | 24.39±3.03               | 24.73±3.49                | 24.53±2.89               |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)         | 130.00 (120.00-140.00) | 125.00 (116.00-134.00)       | 128.50 (117.50-140.50)    | 132.00 (124.00-141.00)       | 132.00 (121.00-141.00)       |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)        | 76.00 (70.00-81.00) | 79.00 (70.00-82.00)         | 73.00 (67.50-79.00)       | 76.00 (70.00-81.00)        | 75.00 (70.00-81.00)        |

(Continued to the next page)
Table 2. Continued

| Characteristics                  | Total (n=3,775) | Unexposed cohort (n=1,611) | Exposed cohort (n=2,164) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                  |                 | Low-risk urban area       | Moderate-risk area       | High-risk area               |
|                                  |                 | KoGES cohort, Wonju/Pyeongchang cohort | KURE/CMERC cohort | Incheon Namdong Industrial Complex cohort | Seoul incinerator cohort |
| Fasting blood glucose (mg/dL)    | 92.00 (85.00-99.00) | 94.00 (88.00-102.00) | 93.00 (87.00-102.00) | 89.00 (83.00-98.00) | 90.00 (84.00-97.00) |
| HbA1c (%)                        | 5.70 (5.50-6.00) | 5.60 (5.40-5.90) | 5.80 (5.50-6.10) | 5.70 (5.50-6.00) | 5.70 (5.50-5.90) |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL)        | 183.00 (158.00-207.00) | 187.00 (162.00-210.00) | 176.00 (153.00-200.00) | 181.00 (157.00-204.00) | 184.00 (160.00-208.00) |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)          | 51.00 (44.00-59.00) | 50.00 (42.00-59.00) | 50.00 (43.00-58.00) | 51.00 (44.00-59.00) | 53.00 (46.00-61.00) |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL)            | 112.00 (83.00-146.00) | 116.00 (86.00-153.00) | 113.00 (84.00-142.00) | 111.00 (83.00-145.00) | 105.00 (80.00-144.00) |
| BUN (mg/dL)                      | 15.90 (13.60-18.60) | 15.90 (13.60-18.50) | 16.65 (14.20-19.30) | 15.70 (13.40-18.50) | 15.80 (13.50-18.50) |
| Creatinine (mg/dL)               | 0.80 (0.67-0.93) | 0.82 (0.68-0.96) | 0.87 (0.74-0.99) | 0.80 (0.68-0.92) | 0.74 (0.64-0.88) |
| AST (IU/L)                       | 25.00 (22.00-29.00) | 26.00 (23.00-28.00) | 25.00 (22.00-28.00) | 26.00 (23.00-30.00) | 25.00 (22.00-29.00) |
| ALT (IU/L)                       | 20.00 (17.00-25.00) | 20.00 (17.00-26.00) | 19.00 (15.00-24.00) | 21.00 (17.00-25.00) | 20.00 (17.00-25.00) |
| hs-CRP (mg/L)                    | 0.67 (0.41-1.19) | 0.70 (0.43-1.21) | 0.64 (0.41-1.16) | 0.68 (0.41-1.20) | 0.64 (0.40-1.14) |
| Cognitive function<sup>1</sup>   |                 |                         |                         |                         |                         |
| Normal                           | 3,422 (90.6)    | 994 (29.0)              | 388 (11.3)              | 1,199 (35.0)            | 841 (24.6)            |
| Mild cognitive impairment        | 273 (7.2)       | 75 (27.5)               | 106 (38.8)              | 50 (18.3)               | 42 (15.4)             |
| Severe cognitive impairment      | 80 (2.1)        | 17 (21.2)               | 31 (38.7)               | 18 (22.5)               | 14 (17.5)             |
| Depression<sup>2</sup>           |                 |                         |                         |                         |                         |
| Normal                           | 3,210 (88.2)    | 955 (29.7)              | 379 (11.8)              | 1,086 (33.8)            | 790 (24.6)            |
| Depression                       | 428 (11.8)      | 93 (21.7)               | 130 (30.4)              | 133 (31.1)              | 72 (16.8)             |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation, number (%), or median (minimum-maximum).

KoGES, Korean Genome and Epidemiology Study; KURE, Korean Urban Rural Elderly; CMERC, Cardiovascular and Metabolic Diseases Etiology Research Center; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; AST, aspartate transaminase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein.

<sup>1</sup>Severe cognitive impairment was defined as a Mini-Mental State Examination (Korean version, MMSE-K) screening test score ≤19; mild cognitive impairment was defined as a MMSE-K screening test score of 20-23.

<sup>2</sup>Depression was defined as a Geriatric Depression Scale (short form, Korean version) screening test score <7.
Table 3. Concentration of environmental pollutants in participants of the Environmental-Pollution-Induced Neurological Effects (EPINEF) study according to the community-based cohorts

| Variables                  | Total (n=3,775) | Unexposed cohort (n=1,611) | Exposed cohort (n=2,164) |
|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
|                            | Low-risk urban area | Moderate-risk area | High-risk area |
|                            | KoGES cohort, Wonju/Pyeongchang cohort | KURE/CMERC cohort | Incheon Namdong Industrial Complex cohort | Seoul incinerator cohort |
| PM₁₀(µg/m³)                | 45.90±2.30       | 42.72±1.52                | 46.81±1.21               | 47.32±0.77               | 46.98±1.26               |
| PM₂.⁵(µg/m³)               | 26.13±0.58       | 26.66±0.26                | 25.84±0.54               | 25.89±0.49               | 26.03±0.60               |
| NO₂(ppb)                   | 27.68±10.71      | 10.91±3.56                | 33.72±5.08               | 33.34±3.34               | 33.75±4.26               |
| Mn₁₀(nmol/m³)              | 12.20±2.67       | 9.34±2.18                 | 12.45±1.88               | 13.67±1.79               | 13.23±2.03               |
| Mn₂.⁵(nmol/m³)             | 10.59±1.76       | 12.94±0.79                | 9.21±1.09                | 9.90±0.99                | 9.70±1.05                |
| Acetaldehyde(µg/m³)        | 10.72±1.58       | 8.73±1.36                 | 11.09±0.75               | 11.88±0.73               | 11.12±0.65               |
| Styrene(µg/m³)             | 16.30±4.21       | 13.01±2.57                | 16.80±3.65               | 17.55±4.22               | 17.99±3.94               |
| Toluene(µg/m³)             | 32.97±5.54       | 26.57±3.59                | 32.76±3.15               | 36.97±3.99               | 34.71±3.42               |

Values are presented as means±standard deviation.

KoGES, Korean Genome and Epidemiology Study; KURE, Korean Urban Rural Elderly; CMERC, Cardiovascular and Metabolic Diseases Etiology Research Center; PM₁₀, particulate matter 10 µm or less in diameter; PM₂.⁵, particulate matter 2.5 µm or less in diameter; NO₂, nitrogen dioxide; Mn₁₀, manganese in PM₁₀; Mn₂.⁵, manganese in PM₂.⁵.

The measurements were taken in the first to third year: Total number (n=1,711); Unexposed cohort (n=749); Exposed cohort (n=962).

The measurements were based on a few summary predictors estimated from 320 geographic variables that represent potential pollution sources such as traffic and land use [18].

Finally, we derived 3 models for PM: (1) 2014-2017 multi-year average model, (2) 2016-2017 2-year average model, and (3) 2014-2017 season average model. The final model had the best predictive power for measurement values. According to the cross-validation results, we selected the 2014-2017 multi-year average model for PM₁₀ and the 2016-2017 2-year average model for PM₂.⁵. For other pollutants, the final model was an annual average exposure prediction model based on a seasonal exposure model. We confirmed the power of the model using a cross-validation test. The concentrations of modeled environmental pollutants in each region are shown in Table 3.

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon metabolites in urine

To assess the exposure to environmental PAHs, we measured urinary concentrations of PAHs metabolites [19]. Urine samples were analyzed in a single laboratory (Green Cross Laboratories Corp., Yongin, Korea) using a gas chromatograph–mass spectrometer [20]. Urinary concentrations of the following four PAH metabolites were obtained: 1-hydroxypyrene (1-OHP), 2-naphthol, 1-hydroxyphenanthrene, and 2-hydroxyfluorene.

Neuropsychological assessment

All baseline participants were screened for cognitive impairment [21] and depressive symptoms of dementia [22] using the Korean version of the Mini-Mental State Examination [23] and short form Korean version of the Geriatric Depression Scale (SGDS-K) [24]. Participants of the sub-cohort were examined using the Korean version of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (K-MoCA) [25] and the Seoul Neuropsychological Screening Battery, second edition, which is a standardized neuropsychological test battery used in Korea consisting of 5 domains (Supplementary Material 4). Each measurement was a z-transformed score that was standardized based on the mean and standard deviation in the respective participant age-sex-education group [26].
The MRI data and information collected in this study have been or will be uploaded to the Dementias Platform United Kingdom data portal established for dementia research [28].

**KEY FINDINGS AND PUBLICATIONS**

**Air pollution, brain structural changes, and neuropsychological function**

In a study published in 2020, we investigated the associations of ambient air pollutants (PM_{10}, PM_{2.5}, and nitrogen dioxide [NO_{2}]) with brain MRI markers and neuropsychological function in adults [29]. An increase in PM_{10} or NO_{2} was associated with cortical thinning in the frontal and temporal lobes, and reduced volumes of the thalamus and nucleus accumbens. To minimize the impact of region-related confounding in air pollution exposure, we conducted propensity score matching (PSM) for the group exposed to high-level (66th percentile or higher) air pollution and the group exposed to low-level (33rd percentile or lower) air pollution. The propensity score was calculated using factors such as age, sex, educational level, marital status, income level, medical history, smoking, alcohol consumption, number of walking days (per week), body mass index (kg/m²), systolic blood pressure (mmHg), diastolic blood pressure (mmHg), total cholesterol level (mg/dL), and fasting blood glucose level (mg/dL). Even after PSM, the association between PM_{10} and temporal cortical thinning remained significant in both males and females. These findings suggest that subtle structural changes in the brain may be induced by exposure to airborne pollutants such as PM_{10}, PM_{2.5}, and NO_{2} in healthy adults. In addition, an increase in NO_{2} was associated with a lower K-MoCA score in both sexes. In females, an increase in all pollutants was associated with a lower z-score in the Ray Complex Figure Test delay function.

**Interaction of marriage in the relationship between air pollution and depressive symptoms**

In another study, based on data from 2,122 married and 607 single participants, we studied the magnitude of the association between air pollutant consumption (PM_{10}, PM_{2.5}, and NO_{2}) and SGDS-K scores according to marital status [30]. The analysis showed that married participants were less likely to have depressive symptoms associated with increased exposure to PM_{10} and PM_{2.5} concentrations than unmarried participants. In addition, the relationship between air pollution exposure and the SGDS-K score was analyzed after stratifying subjects by sex and region of residence. The stratification analysis found that married male and female who lived in non-metropolitan areas were less likely to have depressive symptoms associated with increased air pollutant concentrations than those who were not married. These findings suggest that marriage can act as a protective factor against depressive symptoms from exposure to air pollution.

**Urinary polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, brain structural changes, and neuropsychological function**

A third study included 421 males and 528 females with brain MRI and PAH data [31]. We found that high concentrations of urinary 2-naphthol were associated with cortical thinning in the global, parietal, temporal, and insular lobes in males; this observation remained significant even after correction for multiple comparisons. In females, high 1-OHP concentrations were associated with frontal and parietal thinning and reduction of the pallidum volume. In males, it was associated with reduction of the caudate volume. In both sexes, scores of verbal learning and memory tests declined with increasing urinary 1-OHP concentrations.

**STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES**

To the best of our knowledge, ours is the first cohort that has been specifically designed to comprehensively explore environmental pollutants related to neuroimaging and cognitive measures. This multicenter study has used a range of tools for quality control, including training for standardized protocols, regular research committee meetings, and frequent inspection of collected data. Moreover, data from nearly 1,000 brain 3T MRI examinations were collected at baseline, allowing us to conduct a relatively large neuroimaging study. This study is also strengthened by the availability of data on a variety of environmental pollutants using blood (heavy metals) and urine analyses (PAHs) and air pollution modeling (PM_{10}, PM_{2.5}, and NO_{2}).

However, there are several limitations to be acknowledged. Firstly, given that neurodegeneration gradually progresses over a long time, it is impossible to estimate the risk of developing a neurodegenerative disease attributable to environmental pollution at this early stage. A long follow-up period would be needed to detect the association between environmental pollution and the incidence of dementia in our cohort. Nevertheless, we aimed to investigate brain MRI markers and neuropsychological performance as the primary endpoints, and this approach may be useful to improve the understanding of the evolution of neurodegeneration induced by environmental pollution. Secondly, information on exposure routes was not available for some of the pollutants. For example, we could not differentiate the effects of inhaled versus ingested PAHs because we measured PAHs in urine samples. Additional modeling for airborne PAHs may be necessary to address this issue. Lastly, selection bias may have occurred due to loss to follow-up in this cohort study involving repeated measurements of exposures or intermediate outcomes. To minimize this bias, we plan to maintain a high follow-up rate (≥80%). In addition, information about disease events in participants who failed to be followed up will be collected via telephone contacts and secondary data linkage.
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