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Abstract

Objective The oral cavity is a potential reservoir for respiratory pathogens which can predispose patients to bacterial super-infection. Several trials have correlated poor oral hygiene with hyper-inflammation. Similarly, COVID-19 severity has been linked to hyper-inflammatory responses. Hence, in this study, we assumed that increased COVID-19 severity may be linked to poor oral health status. This was achieved through assessing oral health status, severity of COVID-19 symptoms, C-reactive protein (CRP) levels and duration of recovery.

Methods Cross-sectional study based on a questionnaire; 308 Egyptian patients with confirmed positive polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tests were included in the study after exclusion criteria. The questionnaire was designed with two sections: the first section for oral health evaluation and the second section for COVID-19 severity evaluation. Assessment of the effect of oral health on COVID-19 severity was performed using an oral health score. The effect of oral health on CRP and recovery period were evaluated as secondary endpoints. Data of CRP levels and COVID-19 PCR tests were collected via the questionnaire and confirmed by reviewing medical records.

Results The correlation between oral health and COVID-19 severity showed a significant inverse correlation (p <0.001, r = -0.512). Moreover, the correlation between oral health with recovery period and CRP values also revealed a significant inverse correlation (p <0.001, -0.449 and p <0.001, -0.190, respectively), showing that poor oral health was correlated to increased values of CRP and delayed recovery period.

Conclusions Our study provided some evidence that oral health could have a potential impact on the severity of COVID-19. However, the correlation is limited by the study design. A more substantial research project is required to address this relation.

Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the virus responsible for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). The World Health Organisation (WHO) declared a global pandemic on 11 March 2020. By 17 November 2020, there were over 54 million confirmed cases of COVID-19 with 1,324,249 deaths globally. By this date, there were over 111,009 confirmed cases and 6,465 deaths.

COVID-19 can manifest with a range of symptoms, from mild flu-like symptoms of fever, dry cough, fatigue, muscle pain and diarrhoea, to more serious presentations characterised by severe pneumonia progressing to adult respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). Not all affected persons will display symptoms. The mortality rate of COVID-19 ARDS can approach 40–50%. Other cases can be deteriorated to aggressive counteracting of the immune system, known as 'cytokine storm syndrome', in which the levels of released cytokines – tumour necrosis factor (TNF), interleukin-6 (IL-6) and interleukin-1β (IL-1β) – are injurious to host cells. This may expose patients to an expanded hazard of vascular permeability which can cause damage to many organs, such as the kidneys and heart. Moreover, vascular complications have also been reported in severe cases.

C-reactive protein (CRP) is a marker of hyper-inflammation. Patients with high levels of CRP have been shown to have a worse prognosis with COVID-19. Recent studies have revealed that the increased CRP levels were likely due to acute inflammatory pathogenesis identified with COVID-19, during which various cytokines were released and their amount was associated with disease severity.

Several risk factors for COVID-19 infection have been recognised by the WHO and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), including ageing, diabetes, hypertension, immunodeficiency and cardiovascular diseases. These comorbidities are associated with increased severity of COVID-19; however, there are various other risk factors that could also be involved in affecting disease outcomes.

Recent studies have demonstrated the association between oral health status and systemic diseases, including systemic infections, cardiovascular disease, pregnancy outcomes and respiratory diseases. Moreover, the impact of good oral care on risk reduction of viral acute respiratory diseases has been reported in numerous studies. The oral cavity is well known as a potential reservoir for respiratory pathogens. It houses more than 700 bacterial species or phylotypes. Viral respiratory infections predispose patients to bacterial super-infections. It was
found that severe COVID-19 cases were significantly associated with secondary bacterial infections.\textsuperscript{15,16,17} Moreover, several trials have linked COVID-19 severity to high SARS-CoV-2 viral load in the nasal and oral cavity.\textsuperscript{16,17}

The latest survey conducted by the Egyptian Ministry of Public Health in collaboration with the WHO on the status of oral health among Egyptians noted that 40% of subjects have encountered dental problems at the time of examination. Visiting behaviours of subjects showed that almost 20% had not visited a dentist for more than two years, plus another 20% had never been to a dentist.\textsuperscript{18}

The aim of this study was to investigate the potential effect of oral health on COVID-19 illness severity in recovered patients.

**Methods**

**Sample size**
The sample size was 464 recovered COVID-19 Egyptian patients. The sample size was calculated from the targeted population (recovered COVID-19 patients) – in Egypt, by the date the study was performed, there were around 20,000. On estimating the sample size, it was 377, with 95% confidence level and 5% confidence interval.

**Study design**
The study design was a cross-sectional trial based on a questionnaire survey (Fig. 1). The included patients were recruited from COVID-19 dashboard records of the Egyptian Ministry of Health from 1 April 2020 to 1 July 2020. All patients were tested positive for the COVID-19 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test. The questionnaire contained demographic data regarding patient gender, age, weight, height, level of education and general health condition (online Supplementary Material 1). The questionnaire was divided into two sections: the first section for oral health evaluation assessed by a group of dentists (online Supplementary Material 2) and the second section for COVID-19 severity assessed by a group of physicians (online Supplementary Material 3). This was done via phone call interviews and/or a link on Google Drive sent to each patient via WhatsApp message. The analysis of the answers to both sections was blinded to the other.

**Inclusion criteria**
This included patients aged 19–55 years old, of both genders and with confirmed positive COVID-19 PCR test result. In addition, a link to the consent form was sent electronically.

**Exclusion criteria**
This included smokers, alcoholics, severe obesity (body mass index ≥35), pregnancy and patients with comorbidities (diabetes mellitus, hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, chronic kidney disease, chronic lung diseases and patients who had immunosuppressive conditions or were on immunosuppressive medications). This also included patients who failed to complete the questionnaire or refused to provide consent.

**Ethical approval**
This questionnaire and methodology were approved by the Ethics Committee of Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt (approval number: 21/6/20). All participants gave their informed consent to the interviewer verbally, using the telephone interview as a format for data collection. In addition, a link to the consent form was sent electronically.

**Questionnaire tool**
The questionnaire was reviewed by an expert committee consisting of dental clinicians, physicians and professors at Cairo University. The questionnaire design of the oral health section was guided by a study conducted by Levin et al. (2013)\textsuperscript{19} who reported that their questionnaire provided an accurate screening tool for caries risk assessment as reflected by clinical and radiographic examinations,\textsuperscript{19} in addition to Prado et al.’s (2017)\textsuperscript{20} questionnaire which was regarded as a reliable and valid tool for evaluating oral health status.\textsuperscript{20} All the questions regarding oral health status in both questionnaires were included in the current questionnaire design and a similar scoring system was utilised.
Assessment of the effect of oral health on COVID-19 severity as a primary endpoint

Oral health interpretation
Interpretation was carried out according to the scores mentioned in online Supplementary Material 2. The questionnaire consisted of 18 questions; each answer denoted a given score of points. The total score ranged from 0–37 with a higher score denoting good oral health status. The participants were categorised according to their answers into three groups: poor, fair and good oral health, with a threshold of 0–14, 15–23 and 24–37, respectively.

COVID-19 severity interpretation
Scoring and interpretation were done via the questionnaire. Patients were classified into mild and severe cases. Severe COVID-19 illness was characterised by the following criteria: high respiratory rate (>30 breaths per minute); heart rate >100 beats/minute; severe dyspnoea or chest pain; oxygen saturation <93%; and high-grade fever (>39 °C). Additionally, all hospitalised patients who required oxygen or intensive care unit admission were considered as severe cases. Patients who were not hospitalised due to a shortage of available hospital beds were considered as severe cases if they fulfilled the aforementioned criteria.

Assessment of CRP values
CRP values for each patient during the first week of illness were obtained retrospectively from laboratory test results supplied to the questionnaire, confirmed by reviewing medical records (online Supplementary Material 3). The inflammatory marker level was then correlated to the COVID-19 severity and oral health status of each patient.

Assessment of recovery period
Patients were categorised according to their recovery period (complete absence of symptoms) into: fast recovery (two weeks or less); intermediate recovery (four weeks); and delayed recovery (six weeks). Data obtained were then correlated to the COVID-19 severity and oral health status of each participant. This data was obtained from the questionnaire.

Statistical analysis
Data were coded and entered using the statistical package SPSS version 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, USA). Categorical variables were summarised as frequency and percentage. Quantitative variables were summarised as means ± standard deviation. Comparisons between groups were carried out using the chi-squared test when comparing for the incidence of studied parameters and an unpaired t-test was used when comparing variables between the two groups. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was done to detect the prediction value of oral health score. Spearman’s correlation was used for correlation between studied variables. A p value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Survey respondents
Responses were received from 464 participants, but only 308 respondents were included in the study as 156 respondents were excluded according to exclusion criteria – 4 patients were <19 years old, 18 were >55 years old, 30 were smokers, 34 were severely obese, 3 were pregnant, 63 had comorbidities and 4 failed to complete the questionnaire or refused to provide consent. See online Supplementary Material 4 for demographic data and online Supplementary Material 5 for descriptive statistics.

Table 1: Assessment of the effect of oral health of the included participants on COVID-19 severity (primary endpoint) with a significant difference as p value <0.001

| Oral health status | COVID-19 severity | P value |
|--------------------|-------------------|---------|
|                    | Severe | Mild |       |
| Poor oral health   | N      | 52   | 12    | <0.001 |
|                    | %      | 65.0%| 5.3%  |        |
| Fair oral health   | N      | 20   | 146   |        |
|                    | %      | 25.0%| 64.0% |        |
| Good oral health   | N      | 8    | 70    |        |
|                    | %      | 10.0%| 30.7% |        |

Effect of oral health on COVID-19 severity (primary endpoint)
The incidence of severe COVID-19 illness was significantly observed in participants with poor oral health status (p <0.001). Participants with good oral health status had a significantly reduced incidence of severe COVID-19 illness (p <0.001, r = -0.512) (Tables 1 and 2; Fig. 2a).

Subgroup analysis of serious COVID-19 cases
Subgroup analysis of severe COVID-19 cases according to deterioration timing showed 23.75% and 76.25% of serious cases deteriorated during the first and second weeks of illness, respectively. The incidence of poor oral health status in those who experienced first-week deterioration (63.1%) was significantly higher than that of good oral health status (10.6%) (p <0.001). Similarly, the incidence of poor oral health status in those who experienced second-week deterioration (65.6%) was significantly higher than that of good oral health status (9.8%) (p <0.001) (Table 3).

Table 2: Correlations between oral health and COVID-19 severity, recovery period and CRP values

| Variable          | Oral health status | COVID-19 severity | Recovery period | CRP   |
|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------|
|                   | R                  | -0.512**          | -0.449**        | -0.190*|
|                   | P value            | 0.000             | 0.000           | 0.018  |
|                   | N                  | 308               | 308             | 308    |
|                   |                    |                   |                 |       |
|                   | R                  | -0.512**          | 1.000           | -0.575**|
|                   | P value            | 0.000             | 0.000           | 0.000  |
|                   | N                  | 308               | 308             | 308    |

Key:
* = P value <0.05
** = P value <0.01, indicates more significance
Secondary endpoints

Effect of COVID-19 severity on the recovery period

The incidence of the delayed recovery period (six weeks) was significantly higher in severe COVID-19 patients (45.0%) compared to that in mild cases (6.1%) (p <0.001). Conversely, a fast recovery period (two weeks) was significantly observed in mild COVID-19 cases (70.2%) compared to that in severe cases (10%) (p <0.001, r = -0.575) (Tables 2 and 4).

Effect of oral health on the recovery period

The incidence of the delayed recovery period (six weeks) was significantly higher in those with poor oral health status (40.6%) (p <0.001) and a fast recovery period (two weeks) was significantly observed in those with good oral health status (82.1%) (p <0.001, r = -0.449) (Tables 2 and 4; Fig. 2b).

Effect of COVID-19 severity on CRP values

The incidence of elevated values of CRP (>18 mg/L) significantly occurred in severe COVID-19 participants (75.0%) (p <0.001) and lower CRP values (<18 mg/L) were significantly observed in mild COVID-19 participants (81.6%) (p <0.001, r = -0.369) (Tables 2 and 4).

Effect of oral health on CRP values

The incidence of elevated values of CRP (>18 mg/L) significantly occurred in those with poor oral health status (65.6%) (p <0.001). Significantly, lower CRP values (<18 mg/L) were observed in those with good oral health status (74.4%) (p <0.001, r = -0.190) (Tables 2 and 4; Fig. 2c).

ROC curve analysis

Oral health score (ROC) curve analysis showed p <0.001; at a cut-off value of 18 for health score with a 71% sensitivity (true positive cases) and 80% specificity (true negative cases), positive predictive value (PPV) 77%, negative predictive value (NPV) 75% (Fig. 2d).

Discussion

We aimed to investigate the effect of oral health on the severity of COVID-19 illness in recovered patients via a detailed questionnaire, as well as previous access to health data through a nationwide database and blood investigation.
Table 3  Subgroup analysis of COVID-19 severe cases according to deterioration timing with a significant difference as p value <0.001

| Severe COVID-19 | Oral health status | P value |
|-----------------|--------------------|---------|
|                 | Poor oral health   | Fair oral health | Good oral health |
| First week deterioration | N | 12 | 5 | 2 | <0.001 |
|                  | %   | 63.1% | 26.3% | 10.6% | |
| Second week deterioration | N | 40 | 15 | 6 | |
|                  | %   | 65.6% | 24.6% | 9.8% | |

In the current study, it was observed that the severity of COVID-19 symptoms significantly increased in patients with poor oral health status. Moreover, symptoms of severity significantly decreased in those with good oral health status (p <0.001). These findings highlighted the potential impact of oral health status on COVID-19 severity. This is in agreement with several studies that demonstrated the role of oral health in secondary respiratory infections, either bacterial or viral. 

In patients with poor oral health status, the bacterial count colonising teeth was proven to be raised twofold to tenfold, thus introducing more bacteria into the bloodstream, resulting in bacteraemia. It was reported that, when a soluble antigen enters the bloodstream, it may interact with a specific circulating antibody and produce an immunocomplex. These macromolecular complexes stimulate various chronic and acute inflammatory reactions.

Moreover, the pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as gamma interferon, interleukins, prostaglandin E2 and TNF, attain increased tissue concentrations in periodontitis. These mediators fight against various microorganisms, but when the immunologic response becomes hyperactive, it can damage various tissues. The periodontium therefore acts as a reservoir of these cytokines, which in turn enter the circulation, induce systemic effects, and affect blood coagulation and platelet function. In addition, periodontitis as an inflammatory disease may encourage the liver to generate CRP. CRP binds to damaged cells and fixes complement, which in turn enhances phagocytes, mainly neutrophils.

In the same context, it was reported that patients with severe COVID-19 experienced an increased level of CRP compared to mild or moderate cases. In addition, it was shown that the levels of CRP increased tenfold in the patients who died compared to those who recovered. These increased levels of CRP might be linked to the overproduction of inflammatory cytokines which could be aggravated by poor oral health status.

The oral cavity could be a source of viral replication and secondary infections. Teeth are unique in being non-shedding surfaces in the body. This makes them a good environment for the growth and development of dental plaque, especially in those with poor oral hygiene status. A high viral and bacterial load in the oral cavity can worsen various systemic diseases, revealing the strong link between the body and the oral cavity. Moreover, improper oral hygiene increases the risk of inter-bacterial exchange between mouth and lungs, thus increasing the incidence of respiratory infections and post-viral bacterial complications.

Table 4  Assessment of the effect of oral health and COVID-19 severity of the included participants on their recovery period and CRP values (secondary endpoints) with a significant difference as p value <0.001

| Secondary endpoint | COVID-19 severity | P value | Oral health status | P value |
|--------------------|-------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|
|                    | Severe Mild       |         | Poor Fair Good     |         |
| Six weeks Recovery period | Count | 36 | 14 | 26 | 18 | 6 | <0.001 |
|                    | %                 | 45.0% | 6.1% | 40.6% | 10.8% | 7.7% | |
| Four weeks Recovery period | Count | 36 | 54 | 28 | 54 | 8 | <0.001 |
|                    | %                 | 45.0% | 23.7% | 43.8% | 32.5% | 10.3% | |
| Two weeks Recovery period | Count | 8 | 160 | 10 | 94 | 64 | |
|                    | %                 | 10.0% | 70.2% | 15.6% | 56.6% | 82.1% | |
| More than 18 CRP | Count | 60 | 42 | 42 | 40 | 20 | <0.001 |
|                    | %                 | 75.0% | 18.4% | 65.6% | 24.1% | 25.6% | |
| Less than 18 CRP | Count | 20 | 186 | 22 | 126 | 58 | <0.001 |
|                    | %                 | 25.0% | 81.6% | 34.4% | 75.9% | 74.4% | |
It was verified that periodontopathic bacteria were present in the metagenome of patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection, where elevated concentrations of Fusobacterium, Prevotella and Staphylococcus were detected.\textsuperscript{39} Bacterial super-infections were reported to be high in patients with severe COVID-19 illness, with >50% of deaths manifesting bacterial super-infections.\textsuperscript{7,28}

There are four possible mechanisms that could explain how oral bacteria could be involved in the pathogenesis of respiratory infections: 1) aspiration of oral pathogens into the lungs;\textsuperscript{21} 2) periodontal disease-associated enzymes could facilitate colonisation and adherence of various respiratory pathogens to the airways; 3) under specific conditions, the dental plaque could harbour colonies of pulmonary pathogens and promote their growth;\textsuperscript{39} and 4) in untreated periodontal disease, a large variety of cytokines are continuously released which may alter the respiratory epithelium, making it more prone to get infected with respiratory pathogens.\textsuperscript{49}

Several trials have linked COVID-19 severity to viral load.\textsuperscript{41,44} Viral loads are not only high in the nasal cavity and nasopharynx but also in the oropharynx, making the oral cavity a rich source of potentially infectious viruses. Wölfel et al. (2020) reported active replication of SARS-CoV-2 in the throat, particularly during the first five days of symptoms. This was proven by the existence of viral RNA in the specimens. It was confirmed that viral mRNA is transcribed only in infected cells and is not packed into virions, thus suggesting the existence of newly infected cells in the specimens.\textsuperscript{40} Hence, if assuming that the throat functions as a major source of viral replication during the early stages of the viral course, proper oral hygiene measures in addition to usage of oral antiseptics could lower the viral replication affecting the viral load, thus not only reducing the transmission of the disease but also affecting its severity and seriousness.\textsuperscript{42,45}

It was reported that the pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 relies on the capability of this virus to penetrate the cells, and there is logical proof that the transmembrane protein angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) is the main receptor for virus entry into the cell.\textsuperscript{22} ACE2 is expressed in the lungs, intestines, heart and kidneys, in addition to the oral mucosa and salivary gland. Thus, oral tissues could act as a reservoir for SARS-CoV-2, developing a high viral load in the oral cavity (saliva and crevicular fluid).\textsuperscript{44} This has been tackled in dental practices by antimicrobial povidone iodine pre-rinses that showed promising results in terms of viral killing.\textsuperscript{50} Moreover, Yoon et al. (2020) reported that chlorhexidine mouthwash was effective in reducing SARS-CoV-2 viral load in the saliva for a short-term period.\textsuperscript{46}

In the current work, subgroup analysis of serious COVID-19 cases according to date of deterioration showed that 23.75% of patients deteriorated during the first week of illness. However, 76.25% revealed deterioration in the second week. In both subgroups, the number of patients with poor oral health status was significantly higher than those with good oral health status, which suggests that oral health may play a role in COVID-19 deterioration either due to viral infection or secondary bacterial infection.\textsuperscript{49}

In the present study, CRP values were significantly higher in severe COVID-19 patients. This is in accordance with recent studies revealing that CRP levels may reflect the severity of COVID-19 disease.\textsuperscript{51,48} CRP serves as an early marker of infection and inflammation. The normal concentration of CRP in the blood is less than 10 mg/L; however, it rises rapidly and gives the highest peak in 48 hours from the disease onset.\textsuperscript{49} Although CRP is a nonspecific tool to differentiate between either viral and bacterial pneumonia or infectious and non-infectious conditions, several trials have shown a statistical significance between high CRP values and severity of the infection.\textsuperscript{20,31,32} Moreover, CRP levels have been shown to hold prognostic importance during infections.\textsuperscript{51}

In our present work, we investigated the correlation between oral health status and CRP values in the first week of COVID-19 diagnosis. We hypothesised that good oral hygiene could decrease viral load as well as secondary bacterial infections and hence decrease the severity of illness. The findings in our study supported this hypothesis, where significantly higher CRP values (>18 mg/L) were observed in participants with poor oral health (p <0.001). Moreover, CRP values significantly decreased in patients with good oral health (p <0.001). This is in agreement with several studies where high CRP values indicated more severe COVID-19 illness.\textsuperscript{47,48}

Moreover, the recovery period was significantly delayed (six weeks) in those with poor oral health, while patients with good oral health had a faster recovery (p <0.001), confirming the aforementioned hypothesis that oral health status could greatly impact the severity of the disease. However, some participants who had mild COVID-19 illness had a delayed recovery period, which can be explained from the literature by viral asthenia.\textsuperscript{51}

In this oral health questionnaire, a cut-off value was estimated for the impact of oral health on COVID-19 severity, showing 71% sensitivity (true positive cases) and 80% specificity (true negative cases). By this cut-off value, this detailed questionnaire evaluating oral health could be used on a larger scale for prediction of COVID-19 severity.

Finally, we can conclude that these types of questionnaires can introduce oral telemedicine, which could be applicable in future dental medicine since dental care is often invasive and requires aerosolisation, and dentists are always at higher risk of exposure to saliva. This is in line with several studies which recommend the reduction of face-to-face dental consultations to decrease the risk of infection and human-to-human transmission of diseases, especially SARS-CoV-2.\textsuperscript{34,55}

**Limitations**

The research team were unable to perform a dental examination due to quarantine restrictions and so a substitute oral health questionnaire was used; however, the authors acknowledge its limitations, in addition to confounding factors and limited study sample size. Moreover, COVID-19 severity is not only associated with respiratory disease and/or secondary infections, but can also be related to vascular injury or cytokine storm which were not included in the questions as they require a clinical examination. Furthermore, CRP is nonspecific and obtaining serial CRP levels during the full course of COVID-19 for each participant could not be achieved. However, some trials supported that the CRP value in the first week of illness could predict the disease prognosis.\textsuperscript{51,52} Despite these limitations, the findings of this study identify a future area for further research.

**Conclusions**

We concluded that oral health status could have a potential impact on the severity of COVID-19 illness. Furthermore, poor oral health was correlated to increased values of CRP during the first week of illness, reflecting a serious condition of the disease. Moreover, a delayed recovery period was observed in patients with poor oral health. The cut-off value obtained from the oral health scoring used in this questionnaire could be used on a larger scale for the prediction of COVID-19 severity. In the future, this type of questionnaire could be used before the clinical examination to reduce the exposure time for dental practitioners.
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