Research Article

Analysis of the Expression and Polymorphism of APOE, HSP, BDNF, and GRIN2B Genes Associated with the Neurodegeneration Process in the Pathogenesis of Primary Open Angle Glaucoma
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Glaucoma is characterized by optic neuropathy of the RGC or retinal nerve fiber. The aim of this study was to evaluate a relationship between the neurodegenerative genes’ polymorphisms of the APOE (rs449647), BDNF (rs2030324), GRIN2B (rs3764028), and HSP70-1 (rs1043618) and the occurrence risk of POAG and to investigate its effect on allele-specific gene expression. Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood. Analysis of the genes’ polymorphisms was performed using PCR-RFLP. The level of mRNA expression was determined by QRT-PCR. We showed a statistically significant association of BDNF and APOE genes’ polymorphisms with a risk of POAG occurrence. There was a statistically significant association of the rs2030324 polymorphism with progression of POAG based on cup disc ratio value and rs1043618 polymorphism based on nerve fiber index and rim area. Furthermore, we found that mean HSP70-1 mRNA expression was significantly lower in the case of individuals with the G/G genotype than in the case of minor allele carriers, that is, G/C and C/C. We also found that BDNF and HSP70-1 expression level are associated with the progression of POAG based on rim area value. In conclusion, our results suggest that BDNF, APOE, and HSP70-1 genes might be associated with a risk of POAG occurrence in the Polish population.

1. Introduction

Glaucoma is one of the causes of blindness in the world, especially among the elderly [1]. The recent data have indicated that the number of people suffering from glaucoma reaches nearly 70 million, and among them, more than 7 million people are blind. The most common type of glaucoma is primary open angle glaucoma (POAG). In Poland, it constitutes about 80% of patients with glaucoma [2, 3].

Glucomal is an optic neuropathy characterized by retinal ganglion cell (RGC) death, axon loss, and an excavated appearance to the optic nerve head [4]. Elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) is one of the causes of glaucoma development. However, in some patients with glaucoma, IOP is observed within normal limits (11–21 mmHg) [5]. On the other hand, despite the reduction of IOP as a result of medical or surgical treatment, progressive loss of vision is still noticeable [6]. For this reason, there must be an IOP-independent mechanism
leading to glaucomatous degeneration. Glaucoma along with Alzheimer’s (AD), Parkinson’s disease (PD), and multiple sclerosis (MS) is classified as a neurodegenerative disorder. A lot of data have indicated that there are similarities in cellular events leading to the development of glaucoma in the aforementioned diseases. These similarities include the selective loss of neuron populations, transsynaptic degeneration in which the disease spreads from injured neurons to connected neurons, and common mechanisms of cell injury and death [6]. Among patients with AD and PD there exists higher neurons, and common mechanisms of cell injury and death the diseases spread from injured neurons to connected tivelossofneuronpopulations,transsynapticdegenerationin
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2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Subject. The case-control study included a total of 769 nonfamilially related Caucasian subjects. The subjects with POAG consisted of 363 patients (98 males and 265 females; mean age 73 ± 10), while the control group, without glaucoma symptoms, consisted of 406 patients (174 males and 232 females; mean age 68 ± 13) (Table 1). All patients and controls were age-matched (no difference was calculated, \( P > 0.05 \)). All subjects underwent ophthalmologic examinations, including evaluation of intraocular pressure, best-corrected visual acuity, slit-lamp examination, gonioscopy, and fundus examination using noncontact and contact fundus lenses with a slit lamp. Inclusion criteria for the control group were as follows: intraocular pressure within normal limits, no changes in the optic nerve after examination of the fundus, and no changes in the structures of the anterior and posterior segment of the eye after slit-lamp examination. If any one or more of these conditions were not fulfilled, those subjects were excluded from the control group.

Among the glaucomatous patients, the diagnosis of POAG was stated prior to enrollment and in accordance with the guidelines of European Glaucoma Society (Terminology and Guidelines for Glaucoma, Second Edition, Dogma, Savona, 2003, Italy). A comprehensive medical history was obtained from each glaucomatous patient. The following conditions excluded patients from the study group: use of any eye drops other than antiglaucoma preparations, present or past treatment with glucocorticosteroids or immunosuppressive therapy (if these treatments had not been stopped at least 1 year before collection of specimens), and any ocular surgeries or laser treatments performed in the previous 6 months.

All subjects involved in the study were nonfamilially related Caucasians and inhabited the Warsaw District in Poland. All patients and controls were examined in the Department of Ophthalmology, Medical University of Warsaw (Poland). The study design was approved by the Committee for Bioethics of the Medical University of Lodz (Poland) and met the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. An informed written consent was explained and signed by all participants before the study was initiated.

in the cholesterol metabolism have been associated with
ageing and the development of neurodegenerative diseases,
such as AD [22–24]. Several studies have suggested that
those who inherit the APOEε4 gene may be predisposed to
development of some disorders including atherosclerosis and
AD [22, 25]. Furthermore, APOEε4 may be responsible for
disrupting the Aβ clearance and therefore the accumulation
of the toxic amyloid plaque in the brain [26].

In our study, we examine the relationship between single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of APOE (rs449647), BDNF (rs2030324), GRIN2B (rs3764028), and HSP70-1 (rs1043618) genes and the possible occurrence risk of primary open angle glaucoma in the Polish population. Additionally, we tested the effect of these SNPs on allele-specific mRNA expression and correlated the results we obtained with known clinical parameters.
Table 1: The clinical parameters characteristic of open angle glaucoma (POAG) patients and control groups.

| Parameters                                   | Patient groups | Control groups |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Number                                       |                |                |
| Gender (male/female)                         | 98/265         | 174/232        |
| Hypertension *                               | 218            | 132            |
| Low blood pressure **                        | 75             | 54             |
| Vascular disease                             | 124            | 92             |
| Diabetes mellitus type 2                     | 70             | 104            |
| Number                                       |                |                |
| Age (years)                                  | 73 ± 10        | 64 ± 16        |
| Intraocular pressure, IOP (mmHg)             | 12.82 ± 2.9    | 11.9 ± 1.9     |
| Cup disk ratio (c/d)                         | 0.74 ± 0.15/0.74 ± 0.27 | PNM          |
| Rim area (RA)                                | 1.45 ± 3.57/1.29 ± 1.07 | PNM          |
| Retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL)             | 0.39 ± 3.25/0.94 ± 12.13 | PNM          |
| Nerve fiber index (NRI)                      | 32.47 ± 19.57/25.92 ± 17.11 | PNM          |

* Systolic pressure > 140; diastolic pressure > 90 mmHg.
** Systolic pressure < 90; diastolic pressure < 60 mmHg.
PNM: parameter not measured.

Table 2: Primer sequences and restriction endonucleases used in the gene polymorphisms analysis by polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP).

| Gene polymorphism | Primer sequence | Annealing [°C] | PCR product [bp] | Enzyme |
|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|
| rs1043618         | F: 5'-CGCCATGGAGACCAACACC-3'  
                   | R: 5'-GGGTTCCCTGCTCTGTTC-3' | 63              | 488    | BsrBI  |
| rs3764028         | F: 5'-CGCTCTCCGTCGTTGCTGT-3'  
                   | R: 5'-CTGGGGAAGTGGGTTGGAAG-3' | 61              | 115    | HpyCH4IV |
| rs2030324         | F: 5'-TTGCAATCTGCTCAATGTC-3'  
                   | R: 5'-TTGCTAGGAAAGAAGCAGCTGA-3' | 62              | 348    | HpyCH4IV |
| rs449647          | F: 5'-CGCCATGGAGACCAACACC-3'  
                   | R: 5'-GGGTTCCCTGCTCTGTTC-3' | 69              | 1426   | —      |
| (mismatched)      | F: 5'-CGCCATGGAGACCAACACC-3'  
                   | R: 5'-GGGTTCCCTGCTCTGTTC-3' | 63              | 227    | DraI   |

2.2. DNA Preparation and Genotyping. Blood samples were collected in 5 mL EDTA tubes. Genomic DNA was isolated from the white blood cells using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiaagen, Chatsworth, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA purity and concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically at 260 and 280 nm. Each DNA sample was stored at −20°C until analysis was performed.

The polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) method was used to determine the genotypes of BDNF, HSP70-1, GRIN2B, and APOE genes according to previously described procedures with some modifications. Primer sequences used for the amplification are the same as described in previous publications [27–31]. PCR assay was performed in a total reaction volume of 20 μL containing the following components: 10 ng genomic DNA, 1.25 U Taq polymerase (Qiagen, Chatsworth, CA, USA) in 1x PCR buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3; 500 mM KCl; 11 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% gelatine), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 50 nM dNTPs, and 250 nM of each primer (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Thermal cycling conditions with primer sequences are displayed in Table 2. The PCR was carried out in a T100 thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA, USA). To examine the rs449647 polymorphism, the 1423 bp fragment was amplified using PCR reaction. Then, the 1423 bp product was used as a template for the following RFLP-PCR analyses: the 227 bp fragment, generated by amplification with mismatched primers, was digested for 16 hours at 37°C with 2 U of the restriction enzyme DraI (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). The fragment of the APOE gene containing A variant was digested into the 206 bp and 21 bp fragments whereas the T remained intact. To
genotype the rs3764028 polymorphism, PCR amplification product, 115 bp, was digested with 1 U HpyCH4IV (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) for 16 hours at 37°C. The wild-type C allele remained uncut, while the A allele was digested into 96 bp and 19 bp fragments. To examine the rs1043618 polymorphism, PCR amplification product, 488 bp, was digested with 1 U BsrBI (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) for 16 hours at 37°C. The wild-type G allele was digested into 461 bp and 27 bp fragments, while the C allele, which lacks the restriction site, remained uncut. The genotype of the rs2030324 polymorphism was obtained from the 348 bp fragment, which was digested for 16 hours at 37°C. The C allele, which lacks the restriction site, remained intact. Digested PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on a 3% agarose gel in a TAE buffer and visualized by ethidium bromide staining under UV light. In the study, to verify the activity of the restriction enzymes, we used pBR322 plasmid (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) with multiple cloning sites containing 2, 10, and 3 restriction sites for BsrBI, HpyCH4IV, and DraI enzymes, respectively.

2.3. RNA Isolation and cDNA Synthesis. Blood samples were collected in 5 mL EDTA tubes. Total RNA was isolated from peripheral lymphocytes using Felenzo gel reagent (A&A Biotechnology, Gdynia, Poland) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Total RNA was extracted from 54 subjects with POAG and 49 subjects without glaucoma. RNA was eluted in 50 μL RNase-free water and stored at −20°C. The yields were quantified spectrophotometrically. RNA with a 260/280 nm ratio in the range of 1.8–2.0 was considered high quality and was used for further analysis. First-strand cDNA was synthesized by reverse transcription of 1 μg of total RNA using AffinityScript QPCR cDNA Synthesis Kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol.

2.4. Real-Time Quantitative PCR. For real-time PCR analysis of APOE, BDNF, GRIN2B, and HSP70-1 mRNA, Brilliant II SYBR QPCR and QRT-PCR Master Mix Kits (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) were used according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The GAPDH gene was used as an internal sample control. The sequences of specific primers for GAPDH and target genes are presented in Table 3. For real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR), reaction mixtures consisting of 400 nM each primer, 2x Brilliant II SYBR Green QPCR master mix, and 20 ng of template cDNA were preincubated for 10 minutes at 95°C followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 sec, 60°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 30 sec. The reactions were performed in duplicate. The RT-qPCR reaction was carried out using the Mx3005P from Agilent Technologies.

A positive result was defined by a threshold cycle (Ct) value lower than 40 (the Ct value is determined by the number of cycles needed to exceed the background signal). Abundance of target genes mRNA in studied material was quantified by the ΔΔCt method.

2.5. Statistical Data Analysis. To compare the distributions of demographic variables and selected risk factors between patients and controls, the Chi-square test was used. The observed number of cases for each genotype in the study and control group was compared with the expected number according to the Hardy-Weinberg principle, using the χ² test. The χ² analysis was also used to test the significance of the differences between distributions of genotypes in glaucoma patients and controls. The association between case-control status and each polymorphism, measured by the odds ratio (OR) and its corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI), was estimated using an unconditional multiple logistic regression model. When calculating the probability, Pearson correction was used, and if the expected cell values were less than 5, Fisher’s exact test was used. The odds ratios were then adjusted for possible interfering factors, including hypertension, low blood pressure, vascular disease, and diabetes. Clinical features in patients with POAG were also compared between genotypes of each polymorphism by using an analysis of variance (ANOVA with post hoc Tukey’s HSD test) for the comparison with discrete variables. The nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test was applied to determine the levels of mRNA expression in blood of POAG patients and healthy subjects. The nonparametrical statistical tests (ANOVA with post hoc Tukey’s HSD test) were applied to compare the level of mRNA expression with genotypes of each polymorphism in studied material specimens. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Significant probability values obtained were analyzed for multiple testing using Bonferroni correction (P value after Bonferroni correction (Pcorr)). Post hoc power analysis with preestablished effect size, error probability, and sample size was performed using the GP Power version 3.1.3 program [32]. Statistical analysis was performed using STATISTICA 6.0 software (Statsoft, Tulsa, OK, USA).

3. Results

The genotype and allele frequency and the odds ratios of the examined genes’ polymorphisms in the study and control group are displayed in Table 4. The observed genotype frequencies of HSP70-1 (P > 0.05; χ² = 2.56), BDNF (P > 0.05;
Table 4: The genotype and allele frequency and odds ratios (OR) of the gene polymorphisms in open angle glaucoma (POAG) patients and controls.

| Genotype or allele | POAG patients \( n = 363 \) | Control subjects \( n = 406 \) | OR (95% CI) | \( P \) | OR adjusted\(^1\) (95% CI) | \( P \) |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|--------|-------------------------------|--------|
| **HSP70-1**        |                               |                                |             |        |                               |        |
| G/G                | 155 (43%)                     | 179 (44%)                      | Ref         | Ref    | Ref                           | Ref    |
| G/C                | 169 (47%)                     | 170 (42%)                      | 1.15 (0.85–1.55) | 0.371  | 1.29 (0.94–1.77)              | 0.107  |
| C/C                | 39 (10%)                      | 57 (14%)                       | 0.79 (0.50–1.25) | 0.315  | 0.67 (0.42–1.08)              | 0.103  |
| G                  | 479 (66%)                     | 528 (65%)                      | Ref         | Ref    | Ref                           | Ref    |
| C                  | 247 (34%)                     | 284 (35%)                      | 0.96 (0.78–1.18) | 0.699  | 0.94 (0.76–1.17)              | 0.630  |

Post hoc power analysis: \( df = 2, n = 769 \)
Effect size \( w = 0.132 \); power \((1 - \beta \text{ err prob}) = 0.919 \)

**BDNF**

| Genotype or allele | POAG patients \( n = 363 \) | Control subjects \( n = 406 \) | OR (95% CI) | \( P \) | OR adjusted\(^1\) (95% CI) | \( P \) |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|--------|-------------------------------|--------|
| C/T                | 86 (24%)                      | 146 (36%)                      | 1.72 (1.23–2.39) | <0.001 | 1.43 (1.04–1.95)              | 0.024  |
| T/T                | 85 (23%)                      | 70 (17%)                       | 2.06 (1.36–3.12) | <0.001 | 2.01 (1.92–2.23)              | 0.008  |
| C                  | 364 (50%)                     | 482 (59%)                      | Ref         | Ref    | Ref                           | Ref    |
| T                  | 362 (50%)                     | 330 (41%)                      | 1.45 (1.19–1.78) | <0.001 | 1.45 (1.18–1.78)              | <0.001 |

Post hoc power analysis: \( df = 2, n = 769 \)
Effect size \( w = 0.262 \); power \((1 - \beta \text{ err prob}) = 0.999 \)

**GRIN2B**

| Genotype or allele | POAG patients \( n = 363 \) | Control subjects \( n = 406 \) | OR (95% CI) | \( P \) | OR adjusted\(^1\) (95% CI) | \( P \) |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|--------|-------------------------------|--------|
| C/C                | 331 (91%)                     | 360 (89%)                      | Ref         | Ref    | Ref                           | Ref    |
| C/A                | 31 (8%)                       | 46 (11%)                       | 0.73 (0.45–1.18) | 0.203  | 0.80 (0.47–1.35)              | 0.417  |
| A/A                | 1 (1%)                        | 0 (0%)                         | —           | —      | —                             | —      |
| C                  | 693 (95%)                     | 766 (94%)                      | Ref         | Ref    | Ref                           | Ref    |
| A                  | 33 (5%)                       | 46 (6%)                        | 0.79 (0.50–1.25) | 0.320  | 0.87 (0.52–1.43)              | 0.589  |

Post hoc power analysis: \( df = 2, n = 769 \)
Effect size \( w = 0.096 \); power \((1 - \beta \text{ err prob}) = 0.660 \)

**APOE**

| Genotype or allele | POAG patients \( n = 363 \) | Control subjects \( n = 406 \) | OR (95% CI) | \( P \) | OR adjusted\(^1\) (95% CI) | \( P \) |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|--------|-------------------------------|--------|
| A/A                | 205 (56%)                     | 257 (63%)                      | Ref         | Ref    | Ref                           | Ref    |
| A/T                | 127 (35%)                     | 124 (31%)                      | 1.28 (0.94–1.75) | 0.112  | 1.19 (0.85–1.65)              | 0.302  |
| T/T                | 31 (9%)                       | 25 (6%)                        | 1.55 (0.89–2.72) | 0.119  | 1.61 (0.88–2.93)              | 0.115  |
| A                  | 537 (74%)                     | 638 (79%)                      | Ref         | Ref    | Ref                           | Ref    |
| T                  | 189 (26%)                     | 174 (21%)                      | 1.29 (1.02–1.63) | 0.034  | 1.35 (1.05–1.73)              | 0.018  |

Post hoc power analysis: \( df = 2, n = 769 \)
Effect size \( w = 0.167 \); power \((1 - \beta \text{ err prob}) = 0.990 \)

\(^1\) Odds ratio adjusted for hypertension, low blood pressure, vascular disease, and diabetes.

\( \chi^2 = 0.37, \) GRIN2B \( (P > 0.05; \chi^2 = 1.46), \) and APOE \( (P > 0.05; \chi^2 = 3.51) \) in the control group were in agreement with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

A statistically significant increase in the frequency of the C/T BDNF genotype \((OR 1.72; 95\% CI, 1.23–2.39; P < 0.001)\) and T/T BDNF genotype \((OR 2.06; 95\% CI, 1.36–3.12; P < 0.001)\) as well as T BDNF allele \((OR 1.45; 95\% CI, 1.19–1.78; P < 0.001)\) in patients with POAG in comparison with control group. And after the Bonferroni correction, the positive association remained \((P_{corr} < 0.001).\) We also observed positive association of the −491 T APOE allele \((OR 1.29; 95\% CI, 1.02–1.63; P = 0.034)\) occurrence with an increased POAG development risk but after using Bonferroni correction, this association was no longer statistically significant \((P_{corr} = 0.136).\) However, comparison of the genotype and allele distributions of the −421 C/A GRIN2B gene polymorphism as well as 190 G/C polymorphism of HSP70-1 gene and analysis of the odds ratio \((OR)\) showed no statistically significant differences between POAG patients and controls \((P > 0.05).\) Using power calculation we demonstrated that the study had >90% power in detecting associations of rs449647 and rs2030324 polymorphisms with risk of POAG, at a significance level of 0.05 \((df = 2).\) We were not able to show an association between the rs3764028 polymorphism and POAG in our population, which possibly may be due to a lack of power calculation. In addition, statistical analysis demonstrated that the polymorphisms of APOE, BDNF, GRIN2B, and HSP70-1 genes are not related with hypertension, diabetes, low blood pressure, and vascular disease.
Table 5: Analysis of BDNF (rs2030324) gene polymorphism depending on the clinical parameters in patients with primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) for each eye counted.

| Clinical parameter | Genotype | POAG patients | Mean | SD | Quartile 25% | Median | Quartile 75% | P  |
|--------------------|----------|---------------|------|----|--------------|--------|--------------|----|
| NFI                | C/C      | 87            | 28.2 | 20.1| 15.0         | 23.0   | 38.0         | 0.098|
|                   | C/T      | 231           | 33.6 | 23.3| 17.0         | 26.0   | 42.0         |       |
|                   | T/T      | 84            | 24.9 | 12.9| 18.0         | 23.0   | 29.0         |       |
| c/d                | C/C      | 155           | 0.74 | 0.13| 0.67         | 0.70   | 0.85         |       |
|                   | C/T      | 364           | 0.74 | 0.16| 0.60         | 0.80   | 0.85         |       |
|                   | T/T      | 150           | 0.66$^a$ | 0.16| 0.60         | 0.70   | 0.80         |       |
| RA                 | C/C      | 155           | 1.21 | 0.37| 0.96         | 1.22   | 1.42         |       |
|                   | C/T      | 364           | 1.23 | 0.43| 0.91         | 1.26   | 1.49         |       |
|                   | T/T      | 150           | 1.30 | 0.35| 1.03         | 1.29   | 1.56         |       |
| RNFL               | C/C      | 121           | 0.18 | 0.08| 0.13         | 0.19   | 0.23         |       |
|                   | C/T      | 276           | 0.21 | 0.20| 0.12         | 0.19   | 0.26         |       |
|                   | T/T      | 124           | 0.21 | 0.16| 0.14         | 0.19   | 0.26         |       |

NFI: nerve fiber index; c/d: cup disc ratio; RA: rim area; RNFL: retinal nerve fiber layer.
Tukey's HSD test: $P < 0.05$ ($^a$C/T versus T/T).

Table 6: Analysis of HSP70-1 (rs1043618) gene polymorphism depending on the clinical parameters in patients with primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) for each eye counted.

| Clinical parameter | Genotype | POAG patients | Mean | SD | Quartile 25% | Median | Quartile 75% | P  |
|--------------------|----------|---------------|------|----|--------------|--------|--------------|----|
| NFI                | G/G      | 178           | 31.6 | 21.3| 16.0         | 25.5   | 43.0         | 0.004|
|                   | G/C      | 183           | 26.3 | 17.8| 16.0         | 23.0   | 29.0         |       |
|                   | C/C      | 41            | 44.16 | 25.1| 22.0         | 26.0   | 59.5         |       |
| c/d                | G/G      | 196           | 0.72 | 0.16| 0.60         | 0.75   | 0.85         | 0.250|
|                   | G/C      | 313           | 0.71 | 0.16| 0.60         | 0.75   | 0.80         |       |
|                   | C/C      | 160           | 0.77 | 0.14| 0.66         | 0.80   | 0.85         |       |
| RA                 | G/G      | 232           | 1.21 | 0.38| 0.95         | 1.24   | 1.47         | 0.009|
|                   | G/C      | 232           | 1.33 | 0.37| 1.03         | 1.28   | 1.52         |       |
|                   | C/C      | 58            | 1.08$^a$ | 0.47| 0.73         | 1.10   | 1.41         |       |
| RNFL               | G/G      | 231           | 0.20 | 0.14| 0.13         | 0.18   | 0.25         | 0.519|
|                   | G/C      | 232           | 0.21 | 0.20| 0.13         | 0.19   | 0.27         |       |
|                   | C/C      | 58            | 0.21 | 0.18| 0.12         | 0.17   | 0.26         |       |

NFI: nerve fiber index; c/d: cup disc ratio; RA: rim area; RNFL: retinal nerve fiber layer.
Tukey's HSD test: $P < 0.05$ ($^a$G/C versus C/C).

Analyses of the genes' polymorphisms in correlation with the clinical parameters in POAG patients for each eye counted separately are displayed in Tables 5–8. There was statistically significant association of the BDNF (rs2030324) gene polymorphism with progression of POAG based on the cup disc ratio value – c/d, $P = 0.004$ ($P_{corr} = 0.016$). We found an association of the T/T genotype of BDNF gene with a decreased c/d ratio value in patients with POAG group (Table 5). Moreover, the analysis of the HSP70-1 (rs1043618) gene polymorphism showed a correlation with progression of POAG based on clinical parameters: nerve fiber index (NFI) and rim area (RA), $P = 0.004$ ($P_{corr} = 0.016$); respectively. We observed an association of a decreased RA value (rim area) with the occurrence of the 190 C/C genotype of HSP70-1 gene (Tukey’s HSD test: $P < 0.05$; RA C/C versus G/C). An increased NFI value was observed in patients with POAG group connected with the 190 C/C HSP70-1 genotype (Tukey’s HSD test: $P < 0.05$; NFI C/C versus G/C) (Table 6). However, there were no statistically significant associations of GRIN2B (rs3764028) and APOE (rs449647) genes’ polymorphisms with progression of POAG based on clinical parameters, $P > 0.05$ (Tables 7 and 8, resp.).

The results of APOE, BDNF, GRIN2B, and HSP70-1 genes’ expression in blood of POAG patients and healthy subjects are presented in Figure 1. The APOE gene displayed a significantly higher expression in the blood of POAG patients than in healthy subjects ($P = 0.01$ ($P_{corr} = 0.04$)). No statistically significant differences were found in BDNF, GRIN2B, and HSP70-1 mRNA levels between POAG patients and controls ($P > 0.05$).

Distributions of genotypes and allele frequencies of genes’ polymorphisms with regard to levels of mRNA expression are presented in Table 9. It was found that mean HSP70-1 mRNA expression was significantly lower in the cases of individuals with the G/G genotype than in the cases of minor allele
Table 7: Analysis of GRIN2B (rs3764028) gene polymorphism depending on the clinical parameters in patients with primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) for each eye counted.

| Clinical parameter | Genotype | POAG patients | Mean   | SD   | Quartile 25% | Median | Quartile 75% | P    |
|--------------------|----------|---------------|--------|------|--------------|--------|--------------|------|
| NFI                | C/C      | 364           | 29.9   | 19.8 | 16.0         | 25.0   | 37.0         | 0.339|
|                    | C/A      | 36            | 36.0   | 28.3 | 18.0         | 24.5   | 50.5         |      |
|                    | A/A      | 2             | 12.5   | 14.8 | 2.00         | 12.5   | 23.0         |      |
| c/d                | C/C      | 609           | 0.72   | 0.16 | 0.60         | 0.75   | 0.85         | 0.124|
|                    | C/A      | 58            | 0.73   | 0.15 | 0.60         | 0.75   | 0.85         |      |
|                    | A/A      | 2             | 0.50   | 0   | 0.50         | 0.50   | 0.50         |      |
| RA                 | C/C      | 473           | 1.25   | 0.40 | 0.97         | 1.26   | 1.50         | 0.538|
|                    | C/A      | 47            | 1.18   | 0.36 | 0.92         | 1.23   | 1.43         |      |
|                    | A/A      | 2             | 1.34   | 0.02 | 0.70         | 1.34   | 1.35         |      |
| RNFL               | C/C      | 472           | 0.20   | 0.17 | 0.12         | 0.19   | 0.26         | 0.434|
|                    | C/A      | 47            | 0.23   | 0.19 | 0.14         | 0.21   | 0.25         |      |
|                    | A/A      | 2             | 0.20   | 0.01 | 0.20         | 0.20   | 0.21         |      |

NFI: nerve fiber index; c/d: cup disc ratio; RA: rim area; RNFL: retinal nerve fiber layer.

Table 8: Analysis of APOE (rs449647) gene polymorphism depending on the clinical parameters in patients with primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) for each eye counted.

| Clinical parameter | Genotype | POAG patients | Mean   | SD   | Quartile 25% | Median | Quartile 75% | P    |
|--------------------|----------|---------------|--------|------|--------------|--------|--------------|------|
| NFI                | A/A      | 225           | 28.9   | 20.4 | 16.0         | 24.0   | 36.0         | 0.341|
|                    | A/T      | 146           | 31.7   | 20.7 | 17.0         | 26.0   | 43.0         |      |
|                    | T/T      | 31            | 32.9   | 23.5 | 18.3         | 26.0   | 38.5         |      |
| c/d                | A/A      | 384           | 0.71   | 0.16 | 0.60         | 0.75   | 0.85         | 0.713|
|                    | A/T      | 227           | 0.72   | 0.16 | 0.60         | 0.70   | 0.85         |      |
|                    | T/T      | 58            | 0.75   | 0.11 | 0.70         | 0.80   | 0.80         |      |
| RA                 | A/A      | 298           | 1.24   | 0.39 | 0.95         | 1.24   | 1.48         | 0.620|
|                    | A/T      | 178           | 1.28   | 0.42 | 1.03         | 1.26   | 1.48         |      |
|                    | T/T      | 46            | 1.21   | 0.33 | 1.01         | 1.29   | 1.46         |      |
| RNFL               | A/A      | 297           | 0.21   | 0.19 | 0.12         | 0.18   | 0.25         | 0.750|
|                    | A/T      | 178           | 0.20   | 0.16 | 0.14         | 0.19   | 0.26         |      |
|                    | T/T      | 46            | 0.20   | 0.08 | 0.15         | 0.18   | 0.26         |      |

NFI: nerve fiber index; c/d: cup disc ratio; RA: rim area; RNFL: retinal nerve fiber layer.

Table 9: Comparison of mRNA expression in the blood of POAG with genotypes of genes. If P < 0.05 differences between each genotype and others tested post hoc with Tukey’s HSD test.

| Gene     | Genotype | POAG patients | Mean   | SD   | Quartile 25% | Median | Quartile 75% | P   |
|----------|----------|---------------|--------|------|--------------|--------|--------------|-----|
| HSP70-1  | G/G      | 20            | 2.77   | 0.85 | 2.12         | 2.68   | 3.62         | 0.016|
|          | G/C      | 22            | 3.46² | 0.78 | 3.02         | 3.92   |             |     |
|          | C/C      | 6             | 3.51* | 0.64 | 3.32         | 3.47   | 3.52         |     |
| BNDF     | C/C      | 9             | 19.84  | 1.38 | 19.07        | 19.47  | 21.25        | 0.183|
|          | C/T      | 32            | 19.52  | 1.43 | 18.57        | 19.55  | 20.43        |     |
|          | T/T      | 7             | 18.61  | 0.80 | 18.24        | 18.87  | 19.04        |     |
| GRIN2B   | C/C      | 44            | 18.02  | 1.94 | 16.57        | 18.29  | 19.46        | 0.325|
|          | C/A      | 6             | 18.92  | 0.83 | 18.52        | 18.76  | 19.43        |     |
|          | A/A      | —             | —      | —    | —            | —      | —            |     |
| APOE     | A/A      | 32            | 12.46  | 3.52 | 10.89        | 11.33  | 12.65        | 0.267|
|          | A/T      | 15            | 11.20  | 0.93 | 10.48        | 10.89  | 11.94        |     |
|          | T/T      | 1             | 12.59  | —    | 12.59        | 12.59  | 12.59        |     |

Tukey’s HSD test: P < 0.05 (²G/G versus C/C, *G/G versus G/C).
Table 10: Analysis of BDNF expression level depending on the clinical parameters in patients with primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) for each eye counted.

| Clinical parameter | Stage of POAG | Number of POAG | Mean (expression level − ΔCt) | SD (expression level − ΔCt) | Quartile 25% | Median | Quartile 75% | P  |
|-------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|--------|---------------|----|
| NFI               | Normal (0–30) | 53             | 19.43                         | 1.43                       | 18.47         | 19.46  | 20.43         |    |
|                   | Early (31–50) | 13             | 19.34                         | 1.03                       | 18.89         | 19.50  | 19.84         | 0.681|
|                   | Advanced (>31) | 4              | 18.82                         | 0.77                       | 18.28         | 18.75  | 19.36         |    |
| c/d               | Normal (<0.3) | 0              | —                              | —                          | —             | —      | —             |    |
|                   | Early (0.3–0.7) | 53             | 19.56                         | 1.44                       | 18.60         | 19.19  | 20.71         | 0.260|
|                   | Advanced (>0.7) | 50             | 19.18                         | 1.28                       | 18.16         | 19.09  | 19.89         |    |
| RA                | Normal (1.39–1.78) | 33           | 20.33                         | 1.25                       | 19.06         | 19.67  | 20.65         |    |
|                   | Early (1.26–1.38) | 9              | 19.94                         | 1.52                       | 18.87         | 19.50  | 21.50         | 0.011|
|                   | Middle-advanced (0.81–1.25) | 34          | 19.25                         | 1.16                       | 18.87         | 19.28  | 19.82         |    |
|                   | Advanced (<0.81) | 9              | 18.68                        | 1.50                       | 17.76         | 18.21  | 18.91         |    |
| RNFL              | Normal (0.21–0.31) | 39           | 19.79                         | 1.34                       | 18.94         | 19.62  | 20.59         |    |
|                   | Early (0.20–0.18) | 7              | 19.82                         | 1.48                       | 19.28         | 19.83  | 20.39         | 0.360|
|                   | Middle-advanced (0.13–0.17) | 18          | 19.17                         | 1.05                       | 18.60         | 19.26  | 19.77         |    |
|                   | Advanced (<0.13) | 21             | 19.40                         | 1.47                       | 18.21         | 19.06  | 19.82         |    |

NFI: nerve fiber index; c/d: cup disc ratio; RA: rim area; RNFL: retinal nerve fiber layer.
Tukey’s HSD test: P < 0.05 (* normal versus advanced).
Table 11: Analysis of HSP70-1 expression level depending on the clinical parameters in patients with primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) for each eye counted.

| Clinical parameter | Stage of POAG | Number of POAG | Mean (expression level $-\Delta Ct$) | SD (expression level $-\Delta Ct$) | Quartile 25% | Median | Quartile 75% | P |
|--------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|--------|-------------|----|
| NFI                | Normal (0–30) | 53             | 2.93                               | 0.88                            | 2.35        | 3.08   | 3.53        |    |
|                    | Early (31–50) | 13             | 3.04                               | 0.92                            | 2.21        | 3.46   | 3.64        | 0.814 |
|                    | Advanced (>51) | 4              | 2.73                               | 0.62                            | 2.49        | 2.76   | 2.99        |    |
| c/d                | Normal (<0.3) | 0              | —                                  | —                               | —           | —      | —           |    |
|                    | Early (0.3–0.7) | 53             | 2.96                               | 0.89                            | 2.21        | 2.97   | 3.73        | 0.121 |
|                    | Advanced (>0.7) | 50             | 3.23                               | 0.84                            | 2.69        | 3.46   | 3.84        |    |
| RA                 | Normal (1.39–1.78) | 33         | 3.07                               | 0.93                            | 2.35        | 3.38   | 3.83        |    |
|                    | Early (1.26–1.38) | 8           | 2.68                               | 0.74                            | 2.03        | 2.64   | 3.53        | 0.004 |
|                    | Middle-advanced (0.81–1.25) | 34         | 2.85                               | 0.85                            | 2.21        | 3.01   | 3.53        |    |
|                    | Advanced (<0.81) | 9            | 3.94**<sup>†</sup>                  | 0.48                            | 3.58        | 3.89   | 4.27        |    |
| RNFL               | Normal (0.21–0.31) | 38         | 3.09                               | 0.99                            | 2.35        | 3.36   | 3.83        |    |
|                    | Early (0.20–0.18) | 7           | 2.78                               | 0.69                            | 2.22        | 2.61   | 3.41        | 0.403 |
|                    | Middle-advanced (0.13–0.17) | 19         | 2.80                               | 0.83                            | 2.01        | 2.84   | 3.51        |    |
|                    | Advanced (<0.13) | 21           | 3.18                               | 0.88                            | 2.59        | 3.46   | 3.85        |    |

NFI: nerve fiber index; c/d: cup disc ratio; RA: rim area; RNFL: retinal nerve fiber layer.

Tukey’s HSD test: $P < 0.05$ (°normal versus advanced; †early versus advanced; ‡middle-advanced versus advanced).

the RA parameter value (Table II). There were no statistically significant associations of the GRIN2B and APOE expression level with progression of POAG based on clinical parameters, $P > 0.05$ (Tables 12 and 13, resp.).

### 4. Discussion

Glaucoma is a disease characterized by degeneration of optic nerve axons and death of retinal ganglion cells and is frequently associated with high IOP. The progressive loss of vision often continues despite antihypertensive treatment; hence IOP-independent mechanisms are also implicated in glaucomatous degeneration [6]. However, many of the factors that cause degeneration of the optic nerve have not yet been known. Apoptotic RGC death is one of the hypotheses explaining the mechanism of glaucoma development. Typical apoptotic changes were observed in RGCs, including DNA degradation and blebbing of the plasma membrane [33, 34]. One of the mechanisms leading to apoptosis of RGCs is excitotoxicity [15]. In our research, we focused on determining the relationship between the $-421C/A$ polymorphism of the GRIN2B gene and the risk development of POAG. GRIN2B gene encodes the NR2B subunit of the NMDA receptor, which is responsible for the attachment of glutamate [28]. However, no significant differences in the distribution of genotypes and alleles were observed between the study group and the control group ($P > 0.05$). We could not compare the obtained results with other outcomes relating to the relationship of GRIN2B gene polymorphism with the risk of POAG because of the lack of data in the literature. In turn, study with Alzheimer’s patients has shown statistically significant differences in genotype ($P = 0.029$) and allele ($P = 0.010$) frequencies for $-421C/A$ GRIN2B in comparison with the control group [28]. Jiang and Jia (2009) using a luciferase assay have demonstrated a 34.69–39.79% decrease in transcriptional activity for $-421C$ allele of GRIN2B promoter compared with $-421A$ allele. These data have shown that $-421C$ allele can affect the decreased transcriptional activity of the GRIN2B gene and thereby reduce the expression of the NR2B subunit. GRIN2B decreased expression can be associated with the presence of the putative zinc finger binding sites, the ras-responsive element binding protein (RREB) within...
Table 12: Analysis of GRIN2B expression level depending on the clinical parameters in patients with primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) for each eye counted.

| Clinical parameter | Stage of POAG | Number of POAG | Mean (expression level − ΔCt) | SD (expression level − ΔCt) | Quartile 25% | Median | Quartile 75% | P |
|-------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------|-------------|---|
| NFI               | Normal (0–30) | 53             | 17.99                         | 2.04                        | 16.59        | 18.26  | 19.47       |   |
|                   | Early (31–50) | 13             | 18.24                         | 1.64                        | 16.89        | 18.32  | 19.53       | 0.923 |
|                   | Advanced (>51)| 4              | 18.03                         | 2.06                        | 16.43        | 18.02  | 19.62       |   |
| c/d               | Normal (<0.3) | 0              | —                             | —                           | —            | —      | —           |   |
|                   | Early (0.3–0.7)| 53             | 18.02                         | 1.70                        | 16.78        | 18.32  | 19.43       | 0.614 |
|                   | Advanced (>0.7)| 50             | 18.20                         | 1.98                        | 16.64        | 18.46  | 19.56       |   |
| RA                | Normal (1.39–1.78)| 34         | 18.46                         | 1.73                        | 18.09        | 18.70  | 19.52       |   |
|                   | Early (1.26–1.38)| 8           | 18.02                         | 1.16                        | 17.35        | 18.09  | 18.54       | 0.485 |
|                   | Middle-advanced (0.81–1.25)| 34       | 18.05                         | 2.08                        | 16.43        | 18.34  | 19.53       |   |
|                   | Advanced (<0.81)| 9             | 17.51                         | 1.29                        | 16.59        | 17.46  | 18.45       |   |
| RNFL              | Normal (0.21–0.31)| 40         | 17.99                         | 1.96                        | 16.4         | 18.24  | 19.37       |   |
|                   | Early (0.20–0.18)| 6            | 18.16                         | 1.88                        | 17.32        | 18.65  | 19.36       | 0.869 |
|                   | Middle-advanced (0.13–0.17)| 19        | 18.34                         | 1.88                        | 17.65        | 18.87  | 19.49       |   |
|                   | Advanced (<0.13)| 20            | 18.34                         | 1.43                        | 16.80        | 18.49  | 19.53       |   |

NFI: nerve fiber index; c/d: cup disc ratio; RA: rim area; RNFL: retinal nerve fiber layer.

the −421 C/A polymorphism [28]. The study has found that RREB may have negative transcription factors and reduce the promoter activity of some genes and consequently inhibit the protein expression [35]. However, our study showed no statistically significant association between GRIN2B gene polymorphism in POAG patients and mRNA expression levels (P > 0.05).

Suppression of neurotrophic factors can also lead to nerve cell death. Yang et al. (2012) have found that the mRNA transcription level of p53 was elevated in BDNF knocked down MDA-MB-231 cells compared with vector control [36]. An increase of p53 transcription induced by lower BDNF expression may enhance cell apoptosis, since p53 is a well-known proapoptotic protein [37]. BDNF has been found to mediate protection from apoptosis by p53 activation [38]. Numerous studies have indicated that the cell death of RGCs in glaucoma may be associated with a deficit of neurotrophins, including BDNF. Quigley et al. (2000) have shown that, in rats with experimentally induced glaucoma, BDNF flow to the retina is significantly reduced [39], whereas, in another study, using an animal model of glaucoma, it was demonstrated that injection of BDNF into the vitreous cavity is associated with greater RGC survival than untreated eyes [40]. Recent study has confirmed the participation of BDNF in maintaining the inner retinal integrity under normal conditions and adverse effects of neurotrophin scarcity on the retina and the optic nerve during development of glaucoma. BDNF(+/−) animals showed greater susceptibility to morphological, functional, and molecular degenerative changes in the retina caused by elevated IOP [41]. Our study showed no significant differences in BDNF mRNA levels in the blood between POAG patients and controls (P > 0.05), while analysis of the BDNF expression level in correlation with the clinical parameters showed a decrease of mRNA levels with a decrease of the RA value (P = 0.011), which confirms the protective role of BDNF in the development of glaucoma. Gao et al. (1997) have observed elevated mRNA expression of BDNF after optic nerve injury in animal models [42]. Using the northern blot assay, they demonstrated a 38% elevation in BDNF expression above control levels 48 hours after optic nerve injury. Our analysis of the genotype and allele frequency of BDNF (rs2030324) gene polymorphism showed a statistically significant increase in the frequency of the C/T BDNF genotype (OR 1.72; 95% CI, 1.23–2.39; P < 0.001) and
Table 13: Analysis of APOE expression level depending on the clinical parameters in patients with primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) for each eye counted.

| Clinical parameter | Stage of POAG | Number of POAG | Mean (expression level − ΔCt) | SD (expression level − ΔCt) | Quartile 25% | Median | Quartile 75% | P  |
|-------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------|--------------|----|
| NFI               | Normal (0–30) | 53             | 12.55                       | 3.88                        | 10.42        | 11.32  | 12.68        |    |
|                   | Early (31–50) | 12             | 11.24                       | 0.94                        | 10.50        | 10.89  | 12.20        | 0.735 |
|                   | Advanced (>51)| 5              | 11.61                       | 0.73                        | 11.84        | 11.84  | 12.03        |    |
| c/d               | Normal (<0.3) | 0              | —                           | —                           | —            | —      | —            |    |
|                   | Early (0.3–0.7)| 53             | 12.35                       | 3.89                        | 10.46        | 11.11  | 12.58        | 0.805 |
|                   | Advanced (>0.7)| 50             | 12.09                       | 2.91                        | 10.87        | 11.12  | 11.98        |    |
| RA                | Normal (1.39–1.78) | 38           | 11.55                       | 2.52                        | 10.45        | 11.07  | 11.67        |    |
|                   | Early (1.26–1.38)| 6             | 14.92                       | 6.27                        | 10.76        | 11.67  | 19.39        | 0.412 |
|                   | Middle-advanced (0.81–1.25) | 32         | 11.36                       | 0.94                        | 10.46        | 11.06  | 12.40        |    |
|                   | Advanced (<0.81) | 9             | 11.53                       | 0.39                        | 11.24        | 11.68  | 11.86        |    |
| RNFL              | Normal (0.21–0.31) | 46           | 11.72                       | 2.43                        | 10.78        | 11.07  | 12.18        |    |
|                   | Early (0.20–0.18) | 6             | 11.51                       | 1.01                        | 10.46        | 11.49  | 12.58        | 0.875 |
|                   | Middle-advanced (0.13–0.17) | 19           | 12.00                       | 3.47                        | 10.33        | 11.32  | 12.59        |    |
|                   | Advanced (<0.13) | 20            | 11.51                       | 1.98                        | 10.56        | 11.22  | 11.60        |    |

NFI: nerve fiber index; c/d: cup disc ratio; RA: rim area; RNFL: retinal nerve fiber layer.

T/T BDNF genotype (OR 2.06; 95% CI, 1.36–3.12; P < 0.001) as well as T BDNF allele (OR 1.45; 95% CI, 1.19–1.78; P < 0.001) in POAG patients in comparison with healthy controls. Interestingly, our results showed the increase in cup disc ratio value associated with the T/T BDNF genotype (ANOVA, P = 0.004; Tukey’s HSD test: P < 0.05; T/T versus C/T). Thus, the mechanism of glaucoma development according to the BDNF (rs2030324) polymorphism remains unclear. The data suggest that the BDNF (rs2030324) polymorphism may be considered a risk factor for POAG occurrence, which is not associated with its progression. However, there is a lack of literature data on the rs2030324 gene polymorphism association with the risk development of POAG, whereas, in the study performed by Vepsäläinen et al. (2005) it was demonstrated that there was no relationship of this polymorphism with risk occurrence of AD [43]. The rs2030324 polymorphism is located in the promoter site of the gene; hence it might have an influence on gene expression [44]. However, our research showed no statistically significant association of BDNF genes polymorphism in POAG patients with mRNA expression levels (P > 0.05).

Recently, a lot of data have demonstrated that HSPs are also involved in the neuropathy of glaucoma. HSPs may have a pathogenic or protective effect in the development of glaucoma. A variety of stress conditions, including ischemia and excitotoxicity, can lead to overexpression of HSPs [20, 21]. Chidlow et al. (2014) have indicated that HSP70 and HSP27 expression was induced in the retina and optic nerve in four discrete models of RGC degeneration: axonal injury, somatodendritic injury, chronic hypoperfusion, and experimental glaucoma [45]. Meanwhile, Kwong et al. (2015) have demonstrated that pharmacological induction of HSP70 expression has a beneficial effect on the survival of injured RGCs. They have demonstrated that the overexpression of HSP70 in the retina was approximately twofold higher compared with expression in control animals without any treatment [46]. The 190 G/C polymorphism, which is located in the 5’ UTR region, can affect the level of HSP expression. A functional study of this polymorphism has reported that the C allele is related to reduced promoter activity and a lower level of HSP70 protein, compared to the G allele [47]. The above data highlight the hypothesis that the decline of
HSP levels leads to a reduction in their protective role in glaucoma pathogenesis. Our study demonstrated that mean HSP70-1 mRNA expression was significantly lower in the cases of individuals with the G/G genotype than in cases of minor allele carriers, that is, G/C heterozygotes and C/C homozygotes ($P < 0.05$). In turn, this finding highlights the participation of HSPs in the pathogenesis of glaucoma by an autoimmune response. Moreover, analysis of the HSP70-1 expression level in correlation with the clinical parameters showed an increase of mRNA levels with a decrease of RA value ($P = 0.004$) and consequently with the progression of POAG. Comparison of genotypes and allele distributions of the 190 G/C HSP70-1 gene polymorphism showed no statistically significant differences between POAG patients and controls ($P > 0.05$). Likewise, Tosaka et al. (2007) have not found any relationship between POAG and the 190 G/C polymorphism, but they have indicated that another polymorphic variant (−110 A/C) in HSP70-1 gene is associated with development of glaucoma (AA versus AC + CC; $P = 0.007$) [48]. Our data also demonstrated the relationship of 190 G/C HSP70-1 gene polymorphism with a progression of POAG based on NRI and RA clinical parameters ($P = 0.004$, $P = 0.009$, resp.). We observed an association of decreased RA value (rim area) and increased NFI value with the occurrence of the 190 C/C genotype of HSP70-1 gene (Tukey’s HSD test: $P < 0.05$; RA C/C versus G/C) confirming the role of 190 C/C genotype in the progression of POAG.

The major risk factor for neurodegenerative diseases, including glaucoma, seems to be APOE. Research has shown that APOE is synthesized by Müller cells in the retina and secreted into the vitreous. Subsequently, the apolipoprotein E gets to the RGCs and is transported along the optic nerve, which may play a role in axonal nutrition [49]. It has also been suggested that the APOE isoform may be related to neuronal degeneration in glaucoma. Vickers et al. (2002) have shown that inheritance of the $APOE$4 allele may represent a risk factor for glaucoma, particularly for cases associated with normal intraocular pressures [50]. It is demonstrated that in Alzheimer’s disease APOE4 interacts with β-amyloid, resulting in a decreased Aβ clearance, which leads to the accumulation of toxic amyloid plaques in the brain [26]. It was observed, in animal models with glaucoma, that β-amyloid is also formed in RGC [51]. Using a real-time PCR assay, we demonstrated that APOE gene had a significantly higher expression in the blood of POAG patients than in healthy subjects ($P < 0.01$), which suggests its pathological role in the development of POAG. Moreover, our study showed that the APOE−491T allele was more frequent in POAG patients compared to healthy subjects (26% versus 21%; $P = 0.034$). However, other studies have shown that the interaction of the −491T allele with MYOC gene promoter (−1000 G) can lead to IOP increase and thereby the degeneration of the optic nerve [52]. Compared to our findings, mRNA expression in the blood of POAG with genotype of −491 A/T APOE gene polymorphism did not show any statistical significance ($P > 0.05$).

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, our results suggest that BDNF (rs2030324) and APOE (rs449647) genes’ polymorphisms might be associated with a risk of POAG occurrence in the Polish population. Moreover, our findings indicate that HSP70-1 (rs1043618) gene polymorphism can affect the expression of HSP70-1. These data might be useful in the better understanding of POAG etiology. Identification of SNPs associated with glaucoma may be helpful in the discovery of molecular markers, which might allow for a fast diagnosis, more effective treatment, and a better prognosis for patients suffering from glaucoma.
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