Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Pretreated Kenaf using a Recombinant Xylanase: Effects of Reaction Conditions for Optimum Hemicellulose Hydrolysis
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Abstract: An efficient hemicellulose hydrolysis has now become a crucial step for xylooligosaccharides production for food additives and nutraceuticals industries. In this study, xylanase hydrolysis of pretreated hemicellulosic kenaf (Hibiscus cannabinus) for substantial hemicellulose hydrolysis was demonstrated. Experiments were performed primarily to investigate the effect of several critical reaction conditions towards the enzymatic hydrolysis of the pre-treated kenaf. The effects of various ranges of reaction conditions (substrate loading, xylanase loading, pH, temperature and time) were systematically and thoroughly studied. The study of hemicellulosic kenaf conversion using single enzyme was proven to effectively yield up to 59% of conversion after 48 h incubation using 3% (w/v) of substrate loading and 400 U of xylanase loading at 50°C in pH 4.0 buffer systems. The use of a single xylanase on the pre-treated kenaf was a key parameter in understanding the action of the main hemicellulose degrading enzyme towards hydrolysing the hemicellulose backbone of the pre-treated kenaf. Enzyme feeding strategy was also conducted and the results revealed that a batch feeding strategy of 400 U xylanase loading yielded the highest hemicellulose hydrolysis compared to the other fed batch enzyme feeding strategy. It was concluded that each reaction conditions and enzyme feeding strategy gave a significant impact towards the enzymatic hydrolysis and the use of optimum reaction conditions resulted in a high product yield at the end of the reaction. With the discovery of the importance of each reaction conditions involved in a hydrolysis, this study highlights the need for a comprehensive investigation on the reaction conditions using a mixture of enzymes towards achieving a complete hydrolysis with a higher product yield.

Keywords: Enzymatic Hydrolysis, Hemicellulose, Kenaf, Reaction Conditions, Xylanase

Introduction

Lignocellulosic biomass is the non-starch, fibrous part of a plant material which is useful for its renewability and abundance (Otiendo and Ahring, 2012a). It is now considered the most promising feedstock for producing biofuels and other biochemical due to its versatility, availability and low
cost (Gonçalves et al., 2012). Being non-edible portion of the plant, lignocellulosic biomass materials are drawing more attention as these resources are carbon neutral and hence do not cause additional increases in the carbon dioxide level in the earth’s atmosphere (Öztürk et al., 2010). Lignocellulosic complex lattice biomolecule structures consist of three basic parts; cellulose (35-50%), hemicellulose (20-35%) and lignin (5-30%), along with smaller amounts of pectin, protein, extractives and ash. Nevertheless, the composition of each constituent varies from one plant species to another (Lin et al., 2010). The cellulose and hemicellulose are both polymers built up by long chains of sugar units, which (after pretreatment and hydrolysis) can be converted into intermediate products. The majority of the previous studies on hydrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass have focused on the cellulose (Ninomiya et al., 2012; Tippkötter et al., 2014; Ying et al., 2014). Only a few of them performed their study on the hemicellulose (Qiu et al., 2012) and hence, this will be the main focus of this study.

Hemicellulose, the second most abundant polysaccharide in the world (Peng et al., 2012a), acts as a potential substance as a reserve for fuel and support of cellulose. This fraction mainly presents branched structure composed of pentoses (D-xylose and L-arabinose), hexoses (D-galactose, D-mannose and D-glucose) and small amounts of acetic and uronic acids (D-glucuronic, D-4-O-methyl-glucuronic and D-galacturonic) (Canilha et al., 2013). Since the main component of the hemicellulosic fraction is xylan, this heteropolymers has been converted into many different value-added products such as xylose, xylitol, antioxidants and xylooligosaccharides (Akpinar et al., 2009). However, a good pre-treatment method needs to be applied prior to hydrolysis to remove as much lignin as possible and to enable an efficient hydrolysis. The lignin layer acts as a big barrier which may result in a poor substrate degradation. In this context, a previously well pre-treated substrate with the highest lignin removal was used (Wan Azelee et al., 2014).

The enzymatic route has been receiving a great deal of attention as an alternative technology for hydrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass due to mild operation condition and minimal formation of degrading compounds (Silva et al., 2015). Enzymatic methods are desirable as they do not requires any special equipment to be operated at high temperatures (Wang and Lu, 2013), are able to perform reactions with lower energy consumption and lower environmental impact (Mussatto et al., 2008). However, the enzymatic digestion of hemicellulose fractions in natural biomass substrates are always limited by its complex structure and components (Lin et al., 2010). Hence, the optimization of critical reaction parameters including substrate concentrations (Hardt et al., 2014), enzyme loadings (Carlos et al., 2012), pH (Romaniyud et al., 2009), temperature (Jin et al., 2011) and hydrolysis time (Akpinar et al., 2009; Ovissipour et al., 2009) should be performed in relation to different substrates.

There is a lot of lignocellulosic biomass available all around the world. Among alternative biomass resources, kenaf (Hibiscus cannabinus) is a good candidate for bioenergy because of its fast growth rate and abundant biomass production (Öztürk et al., 2010). Kenaf also possess several features which are responsible to be considered as an ultimate choice for biomass and bioenergy production. Kenaf has low feedstock, high biomass content and negligible pesticide requirement along with low crop rotation (Saba et al., 2015). Currently, many countries have paid more attention to kenaf research and promotion because of its high biological efficiency and wide ecological adaptability (Saba et al., 2015). Kenaf is a natural complex lignocellulosic biomass composed of intertwined cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin components. In the hydrolysis of hemicellulosic kenaf backbone (xylan), xylan must firstly penetrate cellulose and lignin barriers in order to bind and digest the xylan (Wang and Lu, 2013).

In this study, the suitability of the pre-treated kenaf from the previous alkaline-acid pre-treatment method (Wan Azelee et al., 2014) was tested with enzymatic xylanase hydrolysis under five different reaction conditions. The effectiveness of xylanase hydrolysis on pre-treated kenaf was also compared with the untreated kenaf with respect to the percentage of hemicellulose hydrolysis. The aim of this work is to determine the effect of several important reaction conditions that may significantly influence the enzymatic hydrolysis. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study investigating the effect of several reaction conditions on hemicellulosic kenaf hydrolysis using a single xylanase enzyme that managed to produce a substantial level of hemicellulose hydrolysis.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals and Raw Material

Beechwood xylan and all other chemicals used in this study were of analytical grade obtained either from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), or Merck Chemical Companies (Deisenhofen, Germany) unless specified. Kenaf was obtained from the Everise Crimson Sdn. Bhd., a kenaf processing company in Bachok, Kelantan (North-East Malaysia).

Lignocellulosic Biomass Preparation

Raw kenaf stem was oven dried until constant weight and ground using a mechanical grinder (Model RT-20, China) to obtain particle sizes of 40-60 mesh. Dried kenaf was also compared with the untreated kenaf with respect to the percentage of hemicellulose hydrolysis. The aim of this work is to determine the effect of several important reaction conditions that may significantly influence the enzymatic hydrolysis. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study investigating the effect of several reaction conditions on hemicellulosic kenaf hydrolysis using a single xylanase enzyme that managed to produce a substantial level of hemicellulose hydrolysis.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals and Raw Material

Beechwood xylan and all other chemicals used in this study were of analytical grade obtained either from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), or Merck Chemical Companies (Deisenhofen, Germany) unless specified. Kenaf was obtained from the Everise Crimson Sdn. Bhd., a kenaf processing company in Bachok, Kelantan (North-East Malaysia).

Lignocellulosic Biomass Preparation

Raw kenaf stem was oven dried until constant weight and ground using a mechanical grinder (Model RT-20, China) to obtain particle sizes of 40-60 mesh. Dried
cloned into vector pPICZαA (3,600 bp) located downstream of the 5′- and 3′-terminus, respectively. The gene was cloned into P. pastoris X33 (EasySelect Pichia Expression Kit, Invitrogen). The plasmid was purified and linearized by restriction sites, respectively. The gene was cloned into vector pPICZαA (3,600 bp) located downstream of the Sall and NotI restriction sites, respectively. The plasmid harboring pPICZα-Xyn2 was transformed into E. coli DH5α (GIBCOBRL, Grand Island, NY, USA) and selected under the pressure of 25 g mL⁻¹ Zeocin (Invitrogen). The plasmid was purified and linearized by Xhol for transformation into the expression host P. pastoris X33 (EasySelect Pichia Expression Kit, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). One transformant, X3371-61 was found to feature the most pronounced xylanase activity and was used as the xylanase-producing strain for this study.

The recombinant grew and expressed heterologously in BMGY [1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 100 mM potassium phosphate (pH 6.0), 1.34% YNB, 0.0004% biotin and 1% glycerol] and BMMY [1% yeast extract, 2% Beechwood xylan (Sigma) solution in 200 µL sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.0) at 50°C. Samples were taken out after 5 min of incubation and the reaction was immediately stopped by boiling the samples for 15 min after the addition of 750 µL DNS solution and 50 µL NaOH. DNS method was used for the determination of reducing sugar concentration released during the enzymatic reaction. One unit is defined as the quantity of enzymes that liberates 1 µmol of xylose per min at 50°C, pH 5.0 (Bailey et al., 1992). The assay were performed in triplicates and were corrected for blank and controls.

**Reducing Sugar Measurement**

Total reducing sugars were quantified according to the dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method using a modified DNS reagent (Miller, 1959). A standard curve for the DNS assay was prepared using xylose as a suitable standard and expressing the activity in terms of reducing sugars released as D-xylose equivalents. The assay was performed in triplicates.

**Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Hemicellulose**

Different ranges of parameters (substrate loading, enzyme loading, pH, temperature and time) were tested for hydrolysing the pre-treated kenaf. Enzymatic hydrolysis of biomass was carried out with a total of 20 mL reaction volume. The range of each parameter screened is tabulated in Table 1. The best chosen value of the initial parameter was used for subsequent parameter screening. All hydrolysis was standardized using 50 mM sodium acetate buffer with 0.02% tetracycline HCl to prevent microbial contamination. All the hydrolysis was incubated in an orbital shaker (Sastec model) agitated at constant 200 rpm. Liquid samples were withdrawn from the reaction media periodically, centrifuged and filtered. The hydrolysis were stopped by drawing 750 µL samples from the hydrolysate suspension, added with 750 µL DNS solution and 50 µL of 1 M NaOH prior boiling for 15 min. The samples were cooled to room temperature, centrifuged at 13,000×g for 5 min and the supernatants were used to monitor reducing sugar yields. The efficiency of the hydrolysis reactions for the optimization of the hydrolysis conditions was based on quantification of reducing sugars. All parameter screenings were carried out in triplicates and the standard deviations were shown in error bars. The hemicellulose (xylan) hydrolysis of the pre-treated kenaf were eventually calculated as in Equation 1 (Sharma et al., 2004):

\[
\text{Xylan hydrolysis (\\%)} = \frac{\text{The amount of sugar produced} \times 0.88 \times 100}{\text{The amount of hemicellulosic xylan in pretreated kenaf}}
\]

where, 0.88 is the correction factor to compensate for the addition of a water molecule during hydrolysis.
Kenaf Stem Composition

Raw kenaf has the following compositions (% dry weight): Cellulose, 37.29; hemicellulose, 51.83 and lignin, 14.38 (Wan Azelee et al., 2014). The high content of hemicellulose in kenaf gives an advantage for the production of high xylose and xyloooligosaccharides. However, these chemical compositions may vary for different lignocelluloses depending on the genetics, growth environment and age of the plant, the harvest method of the biomass as well as the storage conditions of the raw material (Hames et al., 2003; Wan Azelee et al., 2014). In order to make the carbohydrates in the lignocellulose accessible for enzymatic hydrolysis, a pre-treatment step is necessary (Valchева et al., 2003). Two different pre-treatment conditions, Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2}-PPA were performed and most of the lignin compositions were successfully removed while maintaining high hemicellulose composition. The combinations of PPA together with the Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} have successfully enhanced the removal of the lignin composition from the kenaf compared to the pre-treatment with Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} alone (Wan Azelee et al., 2014). This is because, PPA is known as a lignin-oxidation pre-treatment with low-energy input. The use of PPA treatment has also improved enzymatic digestibility of hybrid poplar and sugar cane bagasse with no need of high temperatures (Teixeira et al., 1999; Zhao et al., 2008). Moreover, the Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} treatment are helpful in reducing the peracetic acid requirements in the pre-treatment (Teixeira et al., 1999). The chemical compositions for the unpre-treated and pre-treated kenaf are summarized in Table 2.

Hydrolysis using suitable enzymes can effectively liberate simple sugars from hemicellulose materials due to their substrate specificities. In the current study, endo-xylanase was used with the aim to hydrolyze the main xylan backbone of kenaf hemicellulose for the liberation of high reducing sugar yield. However, the enzymatic hydrolysis can be influenced as well as hampered by various reaction conditions such as substrate loading, enzyme loading, pH, temperature and time. Therefore, these reaction conditions were screened and analyzed in the present study.

Results and Discussion

Kenaf Stem Composition

Enzymatic kenaf hydrolysis at high solid concentrations is advantageous from an environmental point of view. However, increased substrate concentrations (for insoluble substrate) up to a certain concentration have unfavourably resulted in a concentration effect or solids effect with a decreased in hydrolysis rate at constant enzyme-to-substrate ratios and a decreased maximum attainable degree of hydrolysis (DH %) (Hardt et al., 2014). In this study, different substrate concentrations of kenaf were screened from 0.5 to 3% (w/v). Figure 1 indicates that hemicellulosic kenaf hydrolysis gradually increased up to 3.3-fold from 0.5 to 3% substrate concentrations. Generally, for most cases, the efficiency of an enzymatic hydrolysis increases with the increase in substrate concentration. Subsequently, it could be clearly understood that the higher amount of substrates in the reaction medium indicates to larger surface areas that are available for the enzyme active sites to attack.

The profile for a biological reaction, however, is not always in proportional with each other. Further enhancements in the substrate concentration of kenaf (>3% w/v) resulted in a decrease of hemicellulose hydrolysis (Fig. 1). The distinct decrease from 16.5 to 15.4% in hemicellulose hydrolysis at 4 and 5% (w/v) of substrate concentration, respectively could be strongly related to the reduction of water content in the reaction medium which eventually caused mass transfer limitations. This phenomenon was clearly explained by Hardt et al. (2014), where a higher solid concentration resulted in a higher viscosity and therefore higher diffusion time, especially for substrates that cannot be dissolved completely (insoluble) in water. Thus, the smoothness of the enzyme, substrate and product diffusion was hindered, leading to a non-homogeneous distribution of enzyme and substrate (Roberts et al., 2011). Uğkun Kiran et al. (2013) have previously explained that increase solute and solid content in the medium can cause mass transfer limitations which could decrease the xylanase activity, thus further increases the enzyme inactivation. The ability of the enzyme to diffuse is impaired above a critical substrate concentration depending on a variety of aspects (type and the chemical composition of substrate used, reaction conditions etc.) (Cheng and Prud’homme, 2000; Modenbach and Nokes, 2014). In order to make the carbohydrates in the lignocellulose accessible for enzymatic hydrolysis, a pre-treatment step is necessary (Valtcheva et al., 2003). Two different pre-treatment conditions, Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2}-PPA were performed and most of the lignin compositions were successfully removed while maintaining high hemicellulose composition. The combinations of PPA together with the Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} have successfully enhanced the removal of the lignin composition from the kenaf compared to the pre-treatment with Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} alone (Wan Azelee et al., 2014). This is because, PPA is known as a lignin-oxidation pre-treatment with low-energy input. The use of PPA treatment has also improved enzymatic digestibility of hybrid poplar and sugar cane bagasse with no need of high temperatures (Teixeira et al., 1999; Zhao et al., 2008). Moreover, the Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} treatment are helpful in reducing the peracetic acid requirements in the pre-treatment (Teixeira et al., 1999). The chemical compositions for the unpre-treated and pre-treated kenaf are summarized in Table 2.

Hydrolysis using suitable enzymes can effectively liberate simple sugars from hemicellulose materials due to their substrate specificities. In the current study, endo-xylanase was used with the aim to hydrolyze the main xylan backbone of kenaf hemicellulose for the liberation of high reducing sugar yield. However, the enzymatic hydrolysis can be influenced as well as hampered by various reaction conditions such as substrate loading, enzyme loading, pH, temperature and time. Therefore, these reaction conditions were screened and analyzed in the present study.

### Table 1. Range of five parameters screened

| Parameter            | Range of values |
|----------------------|-----------------|
| Sub. conc. a (w/v)%  | 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 |
| Enzyme loading, U    | 50, 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700 |
| pH                   | 3.6, 4.0, 4.4, 4.8, 5.0, 5.6 |
| Temperature, °C      | 30, 40, 50, 55, 60 |
| Time, h              | 6, 12, 24, 48, 732, 96, 120 |

Sub. Conc. = Substrate concentration

### Table 2. Chemical compositions in raw and pre-treated kenaf

| Chemical composition | Raw kenaf | Pre-treated kenaf |
|----------------------|-----------|------------------|
| Cellulose            | 37.29±0.02 | 35.86±0.03       |
| Hemicellulose        | 51.83±0.04 | 45.46±0.03       |
| Lignin               | 14.38±0.01 | 5.89±0.01        |

aResults are shown in % w/w basis with ± SD. SD is the standard deviation based on the average of three replicates
bMoisture-free basis

The Effect of Substrate Concentrations on Hemicellulosic Kenaf Hydrolysis

Enzymatic kenaf hydrolysis at high solid concentrations is advantageous from an environmental point of view. However, increased substrate concentrations (for insoluble substrate) up to a certain concentration have unfavourably resulted in a concentration effect or solids effect with a decreased in hydrolysis rate at constant enzyme-to-substrate ratios and a decreased maximum attainable degree of hydrolysis (DH %) (Hardt et al., 2014). In this study, different substrate concentrations of kenaf were screened from 0.5 to 3% (w/v). Figure 1 indicates that hemicellulosic kenaf hydrolysis gradually increased up to 3.3-fold from 0.5 to 3% substrate concentrations. Generally, for most cases, the efficiency of an enzymatic hydrolysis increases with the increase in substrate concentration. Subsequently, it could be clearly understood that the higher amount of substrates in the reaction medium indicates to larger surface areas that are available for the enzyme active sites to attack.

The profile for a biological reaction, however, is not always in proportional with each other. Further enhancements in the substrate concentration of kenaf (>3% w/v) resulted in a decrease of hemicellulose hydrolysis (Fig. 1). The distinct decrease from 16.5 to 15.4% in hemicellulose hydrolysis at 4 and 5% (w/v) of substrate concentration, respectively could be strongly related to the reduction of water content in the reaction medium which eventually caused mass transfer limitations. This phenomenon was clearly explained by Hardt et al. (2014), where a higher solid concentration resulted in a higher viscosity and therefore higher diffusion time, especially for substrates that cannot be dissolved completely (insoluble) in water. Thus, the smoothness of the enzyme, substrate and product diffusion was hindered, leading to a non-homogeneous distribution of enzyme and substrate (Roberts et al., 2011). Uğkun Kiran et al. (2013) have previously explained that increase solute and solid content in the medium can cause mass transfer limitations which could decrease the xylanase activity, thus further increases the enzyme inactivation. The ability of the enzyme to diffuse is impaired above a critical substrate concentration depending on a variety of aspects (type and the chemical composition of substrate used, reaction conditions etc.) (Cheng and Prud’homme, 2000; Modenbach and Nokes, 2014).
Increasing solid concentrations can also favour enzyme inhibitions since they can hamper the diffusion of the product away from the reactive site (Hodge et al., 2009). This may also be one of the main factors of the decreased in hemicellulose hydrolysis of kenaf at 4 and 5% (w/v) of substrate loading (Fig. 1).

Furthermore, it is pervasively known that many microbiological and enzymatic processes slow down substantially at low water activity. In the present study, as the substrate concentration was increased greater than 3% (w/v), the water activity decreased to a non-optimal condition for hemicellulosic kenaf hydrolysis. The amount of water, as well as the ratio between substrate and water, play a central role in enzymatic hydrolysis since water is important as a reactant in the hydrolysis reaction (Hardt et al., 2014). This theory was supported by the different enzyme: Substrate ratio (c1 and c2) performed in this study as shown in Fig. 2.

The results of the current study highlighted that the optimal ratio between solid and liquid was 3 g kenaf in 100 mL buffer for particle size mixtures which ranged from 1 to 5 mm. Increasing the substrate concentrations above the optimum level of solid and liquid ratio resulted in ineffective mixing of the enzyme mixture with the substrate (Cara et al., 2007; Modenbach and Nokes, 2013).

The Effect of Enzyme Loadings on Hemicellulosic Kenaf Hydrolysis

Based on Fig. 2, the efficiency of enzymatic hydrolysis of hemicellulosic xylan was also depended on enzyme loading, as shown by the amount of reducing sugar released during the reaction. The enzyme loading can vary over a wide range of unit activities depending on the type and concentration of substrates and the protocol used (Carlos et al., 2012). It was evident that the reducing sugar yield of hemicellulose was effectively enhanced by the addition of higher xylanase loadings. The optimum substrate loading previously obtained (3% w/v) was used for further enzyme loading study (50-700 U). The enzymatic reactions were performed at a constant temperature of 50°C in pH 5.0 buffer system for 24 h. It was found that the hydrolysis efficiency of kenaf increased sharply at the initial enzyme loading range (50-400 U). Up to 31% hemicellulose hydrolysis of kenaf was achieved at 400 U of xylanase loading. This was a great achievement as increase of the enzyme loadings can greatly influence a better hydrolysis compared to the lower enzyme loadings performed on brewer’s spent grain (Mussatto et al., 2008). Sun and Chen (2002) have reported that cellulase loadings can also vary from 7 to 33 FPU/g cellulose, depending on the type and concentration of substrates. Nevertheless, the use of higher enzyme loading (> 30 IU mL\(^{-1}\)) in the hydrolysis of wheat bran xylan resulted in the decrease of xylooligosaccharides (XOS) yield (Samanta et al., 2012).

This may be due to the low hemicellulose composition existed in the substrate (only 28.1%) where with higher enzyme loading have negatively affected the hydrolysis yield.

In the contrary, a higher enzyme loading was needed (>50 U) in order to achieve a significant hemicellulose hydrolysis (>15%) from kenaf (with 45.46% of hemicellulose composition) (Fig. 2). The high hemicellulose composition available in the pre-treated kenaf had provided with a large surface area for the high enzyme
loading to hydrolyze the substrate. Moreover, many other substrates exhibited lower hemicellulose composition (<28%) (Mussatto et al., 2008; Pallapolu et al., 2011; Samanta et al., 2012) compared to the kenaf and thus requires lower enzyme loadings. Nevertheless, the hydrolysis efficiency gradually and unexpectedly reduced when the enzyme concentration exceeded a certain level (>400 U). This phenomenon could be explained in three aspects: (1) The surface area of hemicellulose was not sufficient. It was assumed that the initially adsorbed enzyme on the fiber surface formed only a single layer in such a way that the excess of enzyme molecules were adsorbed, consequently forming additional multiple layers. Hence, only the enzyme adsorbed in the first layer participated in the hydrolysis. This superficially adsorbed enzyme on the first layer of the fiber would play a significant role in the hydrolysis, but would also restrict the diffusion process of enzyme through the structure of the substrate (Carrillo et al., 2005) and most likely impact the effectiveness of further loaded enzymes; (2) the surface area of enzyme was composed of active and inactive fractions with only those of biomass molecules adsorbed on the active fraction that participated in the hydrolysis (Lin et al., 2010); and (3) high enzyme loadings could overcrowd accessible hemicellulose chains and it was believed that this reduction in percentage of hemicellulose hydrolysis was due to the lack of available binding sites on the hemicellulose, thus reducing the rate at which hemicellulose was hydrolyzed (Modenbach and Nokes, 2013). All these possibilities eventually led to less catalysis and thus lower hemicellulose hydrolysis of kenaf at higher enzyme loading.

**The Effect of pH on Hemicellulosic Kenaf Hydrolysis**

The effect of pH on the hemicellulose hydrolysis was also studied. It has been proven that pH significantly affects the total net charge of enzymes (Romsaiyud et al., 2009). During biotic hydrolysis, the lignocelluloses are hydrolyzed by extracellular hydrolytic enzymes excreted by hydrolytic microorganisms which prefer sub-acid to neutral conditions (Chen et al., 2012). Hence, in this study, the effect of different pH values, ranging from 3.6 to 5.6, was analyzed on alkali-acid pre-treated kenaf (Fig. 3). The range of pH chosen was based on the widely practiced pH of 4.8-5.0 that is commonly recommended in conducting enzymatic saccharification of lignocelluloses (Wang et al., 2013). However, the results obtained in this study showed significant improvement in hemicellulose hydrolysis when enzymatic hydrolysis was conducted at lower pH (pH 4.0-4.4), as opposed to pH 4.8-5.0 which have been exclusively used in many literatures.

Hence, it was assumed that pre-treated kenaf hydrolysis at pH 4.0 (more towards high acidic group) may have optimally altered the remaining lignin on the pre-treated kenaf surface compared to pH 4.4 and above. Furthermore, different enzymes have different isoelectric points (pI); therefore, pH change could also affect electrostatic interactions between enzyme and substrate component (Lan et al., 2013). The pH can also affect substrate surface charges through surface functional groups by altering the surface’s hydrophobicity. In this study, Xyn2 has a pI value of 9.0 and was very active hydrolysing the hemicellulosic kenaf at pH 4.0. When compared to the widely used pH of 5.0, there was a significant 1.2-fold (21.4%) increase in sugar production from kenaf at pH 4.0.

We also hypothesized that the ratio of the amount of xylanase bound productively to hemicellulose to the amount bound non-productively to lignin can vary with pH. This was due to the pH-induced lignin surface charge (via certain lignin functional groups) which could alter the surface hydrophilicity to reduce non-productive xylanase binding to lignin. Therefore, the pH range may slightly be different from the optimal pH range for a pure cellulosic or hemicellulosic substrate that does not contain lignin (Lan et al., 2013). This may also be one of the reasons why the pH of the present studied hemicellulosic kenaf hydrolysis differed from the commonly used pH as the pre-treated kenaf was not purely isolated. Furthermore, the degree of pH-induced surface charges was different from lignin content, as well as for xylanase from different origins, due to the differences in the type and amount of surface functional groups they possessed.
Table 3. The relationship between lignin content and pH optimum for hydrolysis

| Substrate                                    | Lignin content (%) | pH used for hydrolysis | Reference                      |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Alkali-peracetic acid pre-treated kenaf      | 5-10               | 4                      | This study                     |
| Green liquor pre-treated pulp                | 26                 | 4.8                    | (Xue et al., 2012)             |
| Woods                                       | 16.6-37.9          | 5.2-6.2                | (Lan et al., 2013)             |
| SPORL-pre-treated lodgepole pine solid + other woods | 20.2-34.7      | 5.5                    | (Wang et al., 2013)            |
| Ultrasound pre-treatment kenaf powder        | 35-40              | 5                      | (Ninomiya et al., 2012)        |
| Alkali and acid treated Switchgrass, corn stover | 19.74-26.7        | 4.8                    | (Zhao et al., 2012)            |
| Alkali-peracetic acid pre-treated sugarcane bagasse | 18.45              | 4.8                    | (Zhao et al., 2011)            |
| Alkaline pre-treated perennial biomass       | 9.81-11.8          | 4.8                    | (Sills and Gossett, 2011)      |
| Ionic liquid pre-treated poplar and switchgrass | 19.5-23            | 4.8                    | (Samayam and Schall, 2010)    |
| Alkaline pre-treated rice straw              | 14.07              | 4.5                    | (Cheng et al., 2010)           |

In Table 3, the relationship between lignin content and pH used for hydrolysis of several substrates was shown. It could be suggested that when a smaller amount of lignin content remains in the pre-treated substrate, a lower the pH is needed for optimum hydrolysis. This hypothesis was supported by a study on the hydrolysis of cassava starch factory residues using single commercial enzyme (Accelerase only) for the release of glucose. The study showed that with lower lignin content in the lignocellulose, the optimum pH required for the hydrolysis was also slightly reduced to lower pH values (Divya Nair et al., 2011). In a previous enzymatic study performed on kraft pulp (where the lignin content is normally < 10%), the hydrolysis was performed at a much lower pH of 3.5 (Valchev et al., 1998). Table 3 demonstrated that the optimal pH range for enzymatic hydrolysis of complex and insoluble lignocellulosic substrates differs with the type of substrates.

The Effect of Temperature on Hemicellulosic Kenaf Hydrolysis

Hemicellulose hydrolysis of biomass is an endothermic reaction where it requires additional heat for the reaction to occur. Hence, the influence of temperature and the possible interactions between pH and temperature on the enzymatic hydrolysis was evaluated (Fig. 4). Both profiles for pH 4.0 and pH 5.0 showed a similar trend except at temperature 30°C. At 30°C, pH 5.0 exhibited higher hemicellulose hydrolysis compared to pH 4.0. Consequently, the increase of temperature was conducive to the hydrolysis (Jin et al., 2011). In this study, the hemicellulose hydrolysis of kenaf increased with the increase of the temperature but started to gradually decrease as the temperature exceeded 50°C for both pH 4 and 5. The highest hemicellulose hydrolysis of 38.67% was achieved at pH 4.0 under 50°C. This phenomenon could be explained by the ionization of water molecules. Enzymatic xylan hydrolysis in a buffer system appears to involve the ionization of two water molecules to dissociate into hydronium ions (H$_3$O$^+$) and hydroxyl ions (OH$^-$). Consequently, the increment in hemicellulose hydrolysis with temperature was due to the hydronium ions (in the buffer system) at high temperatures that collided with the β-1,4 linkages in the xylan structure, resulting in an efficient depolymerisation into XOS and xylose (Otieno and Ahring, 2012b). However, at 60°C, there was a significant decrease in hemicellulose hydrolysis for both pH 4.0 and 5.0 (Fig. 4).

The reduction in hemicelluloses hydrolysis most probably resulted from the denaturation of the enzyme at higher temperature which was above its optimum temperature that the protein could withstand. It has been previously investigated that temperature affect the rates of enzymatic reactions in two ways: (1) Higher temperatures increase the rate of the hydrolysis reaction but also; (2) increase the rate of thermal deactivation of the enzyme (Peterson et al., 1989). Thus, the thermal deactivation of enzymes counteracts the increase in reaction rates at higher temperatures and further leads to an exponential decay in enzymatic activity (Peterson et al., 1989). Therefore, the point where the enzyme started to deactivate is an important factor that needs to be considered in order to determine a suitable and optimum range of operating temperatures for an efficient hydrolysis. According to other studies, endo-xylanases have shown a wide range of optimum temperatures (40 to 75°C) and pH (2.0-8.0) (Gonçalves et al., 2012). These huge variations of temperature and pH of a reaction are hypothesized to be strongly dependent on the type of enzyme (origin of xylanase) and substrate used. A control of enzyme-free reactions shows a very low hemicellulose hydrolysis with no significant changes with different temperatures. This proves that the enzymatic reaction with xylanase increases the hemicellulose hydrolysis significantly compared with the auto-hydrolysis.

Effect of Hydrolysis Time on Hemicellulosic Kenaf Hydrolysis

At fixed values of substrate concentration, enzyme loading, pH and temperature obtained from previous screening, it was observed that different enzymatic hydrolysis time significantly affected the percentage of hemicellulose hydrolysis, which ranged from 22.5 to 63.6%, as shown in Fig. 5. The hydrolysis of hemicellulose in the first 6 h did not show a substantial increase in the reducing sugar yield (< 30%).
Fig. 4. Effect of different temperature on hemicellulose hydrolysis of pre-treated kenaf. The hydrolysis was carried out using 3% of pre-treated kenaf with 400 U of Xyn2 in two different acetate buffers of pH 4.0 and 5.0 for 24 h. The control is enzyme-free reaction with the pre-treated kenaf under pH 4.0. Vertical bars represent standard deviations based on the average of at least three replicates. The p-value is 0.0463 (p<0.05) for pH 4.0 and 0.2763 for pH 5.0. The hemicellulose hydrolysis performed at pH 5.0 is not significant (p>0.05).

Fig. 5. Effect of different reaction time on hemicellulose hydrolysis of pre-treated kenaf. The hydrolysis was carried out using 3% of pre-treated kenaf with 400 U of Xyn2 at 50°C in acetate buffer of pH 4.0. Vertical bars represent standard deviations based on the average of at least three replicates and p-value is 0.0012 (p<0.05). However, it started to gradually increase starting from 12 to 48 h. Hence, at 12 h of incubation, it was believed that the xylanase have started to actively hydrolyze the hemicellulose backbone. However, the hemicellulose hydrolysis was slowed after some period and reached almost a stable stage (48-96 h), indicating that the level of easily accessible hydrolysis sites in the xylan chain have decreased, or this may have also been caused by the decreased in xylanase activity by the end product inhibition (Akpinar et al., 2009).

Another possible factor is based on the fact that the remaining available substrate becomes less accessible for the enzyme attack due to fewer sites being exposed. This scenario could occur when there are still some branching groups left on the xylan backbone and, therefore, hindering further xylanase hydrolysis. From the time profile carried out on kenaf, 48 h was chosen as the best hydrolysis time. Although there was still an increase in hemicellulose hydrolysis at 72 and 96 h, the increment was not significant (< 10%). Hence, a further addition in reaction time would not be economically feasible for an upscale process. Moreover, a reduction in the hemicellulose hydrolysis after 96 h might be due to a decrease in the concentration of accessible bonds available for hydrolysis, enzyme inhibition and enzyme deactivation (Ovissipour et al., 2009).

It was also been observed (during the experiment) that the reaction medium after 96 h turned out to be cloudy with thick slurry. This was probably one of the reasons for the drop in the hemicellulose hydrolysis as the mass transfer would not be as efficient as before. Furthermore, the error bar at 120 h (Fig. 5) showed a huge deviation. This further proved that the hemicellulose hydrolysis became ineffective and unstable with a longer reaction time. The highest degree of hydrolysis (DH %) obtained from a similar enzymatic study performed on 4.4% (w/v) wheat gluten was 65% after 48 h (Hardt et al., 2014). In comparison, the hemicellulose hydrolysis obtained from kenaf within the same period of reaction time (48 h) was 59.04% with only 3% (w/v) substrate loading. This was a great improvement in terms of hydrolysis efficiency.

**The Effect of Enzyme Feeding Strategy on Hemicellulosic Kenaf Hydrolysis**

Different enzyme feeding strategy was also applied in this study to evaluate the effect towards hemicellulose hydrolysis of kenaf. Four different enzyme feeding strategies were tested with a total of 400 U xylanase was added into the reaction system. For the first reaction, all of the 400 U xylanase were initially added (0 h). Another 300 U, 200 U and 100 U were added in the second, third and fourth reactions simultaneously (each reaction was performed in different flasks). After 24 h, another 100 U, 200 U and 300 U were added in the second, third and fourth reactions (or flasks), respectively. All of the reactions were left for 120 h (5 days) and the hemicellulose hydrolysis of kenaf was analyzed for every 24 h. The results obtained are shown in Fig. 6. The initial enzyme loading of 400 U resulted in the highest hemicellulose hydrolysis at all sampling hour.
The true reason behind the fact was hard to explain due to the complicated enzyme mechanism and substrate structure in the hydrolysis systems. It seemed that the amount of enzymes added at the initial stage played the most crucial role in determining the overall hydrolysis efficiency. It was hypothesized that the enzyme will effectively hydrolyze, degrade and alter the hemicellulose structure for the first 24 h. Subsequently, it is believed that it will form a so-called biofilm layer around the kenaf surfaces. Hence, the second addition of enzyme after 24 h was hindered from effectively hydrolysing the hemicellulosic substrate. It has been previously explained that an enzyme fed-batch mode does not necessarily give higher yield than batch mode, but when the enzyme-adding method is suitable (such as previously explained that an enzyme fed-batch mode), it will eventually hinder the hemicellulose hydrolysis structure. However, the complete hemicellulose hydrolysis of pre-treated kenaf has never been achieved up to now due to the recalcitrant nature of plant biomass (Beukes and Pletschke, 2010). A full set of enzymes (branching and debranching) and a synergistic action between all the enzymes are required in order to achieve this goal.

Due to the fact of future potential of hemicellulose, several researches had been carried out aimed to improve the hemicellulose hydrolysis. An efficient biomass pre-treatment using thermal treatment (Öztürk et al., 2010) is one of the strategies applied for improving the hemicellulose hydrolysis as well as the benefits of no chemicals were used. Moreover, Carapito et al. (2009) had produced a high level of endo-1,4-β-xylanase, 1,4-β-xylosidase and bifunctional xylosidase/arabinofuranosidase in an engineered *Escherichia coli*. The enzymes displayed compatible pH and temperature-dependences, allowing their utilization for simultaneous substrate digestions (Carapito et al., 2009). Furthermore, a variety of multistep fractionation and purification procedures have been proposed to prepare hemicelluloses of desired purity from plant cell walls. These include using ethanol precipitation (Peng et al., 2010), ammonium sulfate precipitation (Subba Rao and Muralikrishna, 2006), iodine complex precipitation (Peng et al., 2012b), supercritical anti-solvent precipitation (Haimer et al., 2008) and many more. Clearly, some of the remaining challenges in this field include the design of efficient enzymatic systems for economic degradation of the plant cell wall, elucidation of the regulatory mechanisms and design of new enzyme functionalities via protein engineering (Shallom and Shoham, 2003).

Table 4. Comparison of xylanase (400 U) hydrolysis on various substrates at 50°C (pH 4.0) using 3% (w/v) substrate loading after 48 h of incubation

| Substrate                      | Hemicellulose hydrolysis (%) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Unpre-treated kenaf            | 19.06±0.08                   |
| Alkali pre-treated kenaf       | 32.44±0.12                   |
| Acid pre-treated kenaf         | 21.38±0.11                   |
| Alkali-acid pre-treated kenaf  | 59.04±0.07                   |

*Commercial substrate

This shows that the alteration of the kenaf structure (especially during the pre-treatment process) did improve the hemicellulose hydrolysis up to about 3-fold (Table 4). The un-pretreated kenaf resulted in a very poor hemicellulose hydrolysis (19%) mainly due to the compact structure and restricted surface that prevent the enzyme from effectively hydrolyzing the targeted xylan composition in the hemicellulose. Furthermore, the use of one stage pre-treatment (alkali and acid pre-treatment, respectively) only resulted in a small increment in the hemicellulose hydrolysis. However, the complete hemicellulose hydrolysis from lignocellulosic biomass has never been achieved up to now due to the recalcitrant nature of plant biomass (Beukes and Pletschke, 2010). A full set of enzymes (branching and debranching) and a synergistic action between all the enzymes are required in order to achieve this goal.

62
Conclusion

This study provides a clear explanation on the effect of several reaction conditions on the enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulose. The detail discussion therefore, underscores the importance of each substrate loading, enzyme loading, pH, temperature and time towards obtaining an optimum hemicellulose hydrolysis on the pre-treated kenaf. The use of single xylanase on natural substrate further indicates that comprehensive knowledge of the reaction conditions will be indispensable for designing future optimized enzyme cocktails.
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