Using Adsorption as Means to Treat Water Pollution
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Abstract

Background: Water is the source of life form and this crucial source is continuously being polluted through variety of human activities and from natural sources. Many methods have been used to treat water from these pollutants with different degrees of success; one of the most promising techniques is adsorption. Adsorption have been used extensively with variety of adsorbents range from the expensive activated carbon to cheap plant waste.

Aim of study: This review provides a scope on the adsorption process and the factors affect the adsorption, types of adoption, isotherm studies and models as well as some examples on the past studies conducted to eliminate various types of contaminants such as chemical dyes, heavy metals and crude oil and other aromatic compounds.

Keywords: Adsorption, isotherm models, physical adsorption, chemical adsorption, factors, review.

Introduction

Water is essential for all life forms as it consist a substantial part of all human-beings and other living organisms and for that reason and more this valuable resource must be protected from any pollution as well as treated whenever it necessary to sustain its integrity. Generally, water bodies are being continuously and greatly polluted by variable anthropogenic activities (1). With the advance in different aspects of life there is an increase in organic pollutants, hazardous heavy metals, and pharmaceutical waste along with other harmful chemicals waste which impact the water quality significantly (2).

There are many methods that can be used for treating water ranging from oxidation, ozone oxidation, coagulation-filtration, ion exchange to the precipitation, as well as adsorption with different degree of success. each one of these methods has its ups and downs subject to the impurity type required Q to be removed, its composition, physical properties and some other factors such as cost, space and time required for application (3).

Adsorption has recently become a major interest as it shows the highest ability to remove the organic pollutants, inorganic pollutants and industrial pollutants, since it has a low cost and require less space for application (4). In adsorption process the molecules of the pollutants are either physical or chemically attached to the adsorbent active site located on the surface of the adsorbent. In this process the pollutants dynamic is decrease as a result for this attachment and there by reduced from the solution (5).

Adsorption as promising technique to treat water has been studied extensively in all around the world. Ademiluyi, et al. (6) used the Nigerian bamboo after converted to activated carbon to remove organic pollutants with good efficiency. Ahmed, et al. (7) found that thermally treated Saudian clay has a good potential to remove lead from waste water. Salman, et al. (8) found that activated water melon seed shell has ability to remove methylene blue dye with removal efficiency up 82% of the dye. Macias-Garcia, et al. (9) found that activated carbon has a respected ability to get rid of paracetamol in hospital waste.

Figure 1: The adsorption process
Types of adsorption

Generally, there are two types of adsorption, these are as follows:

1- Physical Adsorption

Any material (gas or liquid) that become in direct contact with solid surface can be adsorbed. Physical adsorption can be applied by simply placing the adsorbent in a solution that contain the adsorbate (the material that are need to be removed) and the adsorbate will be adsorbed on the surface due to the week Van Der Waals forces or electrostatic force (10,11). Physical adsorption can also happen when an ionic adsorbate is being adsorbed on an ionic or polar surface but without a chemical bond (12).

In physical adsorption the adsorption energy is ranged between 10 to 40 Kj/mole. No chemical change is observed in the matter that are being adsorbed. Physical adsorption does not require heat and has a low specificity to the adsorbate (13), it can occur in multiple layers and because that the physical adsorption is a week connection it can be reversed (desorption may occur) (14), and since it is a reversible process, it can be applied in many techniques and process such as catalyst preparation as well as hydrogen collection and storage (15).

On the other hand, physical adsorption can offer a huge amount of information on the adsorbent surface area, heterogeneity characterization, also the matter distribution on the surface in regard to the adsorption bond energy (16).

2- Chemical Adsorption

Chemical adsorption is a surface attachment where the electrons are shared between the surfaces of both adsorbent and adsorbate, furthermore, adsorption heat is up to 800 Kj mole⁻¹, it is a single layer phenomenon which means it can occur only when there is a direct contact between the adsorbate and the adsorbent surface. However, it must be mentioned that both chemical and physical adsorption can happen in the same time depending on the temperature applied (14). The chemical adsorption includes the attachment of both molecules and atoms to the solid surface of adsorbent. Therefore, there is an increasing interest in understanding the crystallography of the adsorb-ate that involved in this process (17).

Chemical adsorption depends on the chemical bond and so that there is a high specificity between adsorbent and its adsorb-ate. Since it requires a high energy, the process is irreversible and the adsorbed materials are chemically different from the original material (13).in the term of energy involve in the process there are two type of chemical adsorption: activated adsorption that require high temperature and energy and non-activated adsorption which require less energy (8.4-83.7) Kj mole⁻¹(18).

Isotherm Studies

The adsorption isotherm is used to determine the adsorbent capability. They used an mathematical equation to represent the equilibrium of the adsorbate bulk presented on the active sites of the adsorbent to the adsorbate bulk still in solution (13).they are called isotherm to note the importance of temperature in this process. According to the shape of the slope presented it can be devided to four types: C shape it also called distribution coeffecient, it represent the simplest type, this type represent an equilibrium between the amount of the adsorbate in solution and adsorbate on adsobent surface at any concentration, it show a straight line. The 2nd is L shape in this type the amount of the adsobated adsorped by adsobent active sites decrease by the elevation in concentration. The 3rd type is H shape which is a special case of the L shape where there is a high effeinty between adsorbate and the adsorbent. The 4th shape is the S shape it took place when the material being adsorbed is non-polar so that the first layer is slow to form and then the following layers are fromed, it took the shape of S litter (19). The four types are detailed in Figure 2.

Figure 2: The four types of isotherms (19)
Isotherm models

On the other hand, there are six isotherms' models. The first one is the Langmuir isotherm equation even sites of adsorption and there are no lateral interactions. It must be noted that just solutions with low concentration can be explained by this model. The Langmuir equation can be stated as follow:

\[ \frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{b} \frac{X_m}{C_e} + \frac{C_e}{X_m} \]

Where \( C_e \) is the equilibrium concentration, \( q_e \) is the amount of adsorbate adsorbed, \( X_m \) is the adsorption capacity and \( b \) is a constant (20).

The second model is the Freundlich isotherm defines heterogeneous adsorption of the surface. In this model the distribution energy of the adsorptive site is an exponential type which is similar to the real situation. The Freundlich equation is:

\[ x = K C_e^\beta \]

Where \( x \) is the adsorption isotherm equation, \( K \) is an empirical parameter, \( C \) is the concentration of equilibrium solution, \( \beta = 1/2 \) (21).

The third model is Temkin isotherm. It can be expressed as follow:

\[ q_e = \frac{R T}{b} \ln (A C_e) \]

\( R \) is the adsorbate constant, \( T \) is the temperature, \( A \) and \( b \) are the Temkin constant, \( C_e \) unabsorbed adsorbate concentration, \( q_e \) adsorbed adsorbate concentration (22).

The fourth model is the Brunauer, Emmett, and Teller (BET) isotherm model; it is a generalization of Langmuir isotherm. It was attempting to present a integrated theory for physical adsorption, describing both monolayer and multilayer adsorption. It is generally used to measure surface area of porous solid material (23).

The fifth model is Gibbs isotherm which can be used for measuring the reduction in the free surface energy which indicates the occurrences of adsorption the Gibbs isotherm equation can be stated as follow:

\[ r = -2 \frac{a}{R T} \left( \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial q} \right)_T \]

Where \( r \) is the surface excess measured in moles cm\(^{-2} \), \( \gamma \) is the surface tension measured in dynes cm\(^{-1} \), \( a \) is the solute activity, \( T \) temperature, \( R \) is constant (24).

The sixth Giles model isotherm, the Giles isotherm form a special curve in which adsorbate will be adsorbed rapidly resulting in the shape of hill at the first part of the curve and flat out (25).

Factors affecting the adsorption process

A- Contact time

In general, the adsorption will increase as the retention time of adsorbent and the adsorbate together increase till it reaches equilibrium between the adsorption and desorption processes. After reaching a saturation of the active sites there will be no benefit gained of increasing the contact time any more (26). The best adsorption of pollutants can be achieved by determine the best contact time (27). The contact time is a crucial element that can affect both economic and efficiency of the removal process (28).

B- Adsorbent dose

The adsorbent capacity will be affected by the amount of active site available for adsorption (27), which means that the rise in the adsorbent amount will increase the adsorbent capacity, since that the increase in the adsorbent amount will cause an increase in the adsorbent active site, which can boost removal efficiency (26). In general, it was mention that the overall adsorption will decrease as adsorbent amount increase because of the interposition and aggregation of adsorbent active site (28, 29).

C- PH value

This value is a critical factor that can change adsorption outcome completely, as it affects adsorbent surface charge. Acidic condition (low pH) will favor the adsorption of anionic pollutants, while the alkaline media (high pH) will favor the adsorption of cationic pollutants (26). As the pH value rise it will cause an increase in hydrogen groups in the solution and subsequently increment the amount of negatively charged active sites that enticement positively charged adsorbate (30). Since that the condition and ionizing state of functional groups presented on the surface of the adsorbent can be changed by the change in pH of the solution, it must be set at the beginning of the process (27).
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D- Initial concentration of the adsorbate

In general, each adsorbent had a limited number of active sites on their surface that can adsorb the adsorbate and exceeding this limit will decrease adsorbent ability to adsorb it which lowers the adsorption capacity of the adsorbate (26). Increasing the initial concentration can increase the driving force for adsorbate mass will be expanding, while in low initial dye concentration the adsorption site available for adsorption is higher than the amount of adsorbate molecules presented in the solution (30).

E- Temperature

It is a vital parameter that affects the adsorption process. The theory of adsorption state that as the temperature elevate the adsorption will decrease and adsorbate molecules can be desorbed back to solution (31). The temperature of the solution can affect the adsorption process through many ways, it was found that rising the temperature can increments the adsorption process through establishment of transferrable sites, pore amplification as well as the sorption increase through the energy barrier (28).

F- Agitation Speed

In aqueous systems, the rise in the speed of agitation rate will boost the efficiency of the adsorption through changing film thickness around particles of the adsorbent (30). In other words, it improves the contact of adsorbate to the active sites of the adsorbent (29). Or it can increment the turbulence which causes a reduction in the layer frontier thickness around the molecules or particles of the adsorbent (25).

Previous Studies

There are a lot of studies conducted using adsorption to remove a variety of pollutants from aqueous solutions, such as removal of different dyes as illustrated in Table 1, removal of heavy metals as shown in Table 2 and removal of crude oil and other aromatic compounds as presented in Table 3.

Table 1: Previous researches and studies on adsorption of chemical dyes from aqueous solutions

| No. | Name of the dye       | adsorbent                                      | Reference                        | Year of publication |
|-----|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1   | Methylene Blue        | Cashew nut shell                              | Kumar, et al. (32)              | 2011                |
| 2   | Methylene Blue        | Different commercial activated carbon          | Xaviera, et al. (33)            | 2012                |
| 3   | Methylene Blue        | Pine cone powder                              | Yagub, et al. (34)              | 2013                |
| 4   | Methylene Blue        | Eggshells                                      | Hassan and Hassan (35)          | 2013                |
| 5   | Congo Red             | Roots of *Eichhornia crassipes*               | Wanyonyi, et al. (36)           | 2014                |
| 6   | Congo Red             | Groundnut shells and coconut shells            | Chine, et al. (37)              | 2015                |
| 7   | Congo Red             | Montmorillonite                               | Khaniabadi, et al. (38)         | 2017                |
| 8   | Malachite Green       | Wood apple / shell                            | Sartape (39)                    | 2013                |
| 9   | Malachite Green       | Spent coffee grounds                          | Mat, et al. (40)                | 2018                |
| 10  | Malachite Green       | Pine cone                                     | Kavci (41)                      | 2020                |
| 11  | Methyl Orange         | Pumpkin seed                                  | Subbaiah and Kim (42)           | 2016                |
| 12  | Methyl Orange         | Chitosan/diatomite composite                  | Zhao, et al. (43)               | 2017                |
| 13  | Methyl Orange         | Activated carbon                              | Jasni, et al. (44)              | 2019                |
| 14  | Saframin-O            | Kaolinite Clay                                | Adebowale, et al. (45)          | 2014                |
| 15  | Saframin-O            | Soybean hull                                   | Chandane and Singh (46)         | 2016                |
| 16  | Saframin-O            | Lignin nanoparticle-g-polyacrylic acid         | Azimvand, et al. (47)           | 2018                |
| 17  | Remazol Brilliant Blue| Rambutan peel                                 | Alroz, et al. (48)              | 2012                |
| 18  | Remazol Brilliant Blue| Pineapple leaf powder and Lime peel powder    | Rahmat, et al. (49)             | 2016                |
| 19  | Amido Black 10B       | Stem carbon of *Ricinus communis*             | Kaur and Kaur (50)              | 2019                |
| 20  | Evans Blue            | Magnetic spinel ZnFe2O4 nanomaterial           | Vergis, et al. (51)             | 2019                |
Table 2: Some of the previous studies conducted to remove heavy metals from aqueous solutions by adsorption.

| No. | Heavy Metals type | Adsorbent | Reference | Year of publication |
|-----|-------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|
| 1   | Lead              | Siderite  | Erdem, and Özverdi (52) | 2005               |
| 2   | Lead              | Leaves of phoenix tree | Liang, et al. (53) | 2016               |
| 3   | Lead              | Sugarcane bagasse activated carbon | Salihi, et al. (54) | 2017               |
| 4   | Lead              | Polypyrrole-Based Activated Carbon | Alghamdi, et al. (55) | 2019               |
| 5   | Nickel            | 13 types of Indian soils | Ramachandran and D’Souza (56) | 2013               |
| 6   | Nickel            | Doum seed coat | El-Sadaawy and Abdelwahab (57) | 2014               |
| 7   | Nickel            | Pomegranate peel | Khawaja, et al. (58) | 2015               |
| 8   | Nickel            | Nano-bentonite | Taha, et al. (59) | 2016               |
| 9   | Cadmium           | Spent Coffee Grounds | Patterer, et al. (60) | 2017               |
| 10  | Cadmium           | Biogenic aragonite shells | Van, et al. (61) | 2018               |
| 11  | Cadmium           | Pine sawdust | Liu, et al. (62) | 2019               |
| 12  | Coper             | Grape bagasse activated carbon | Demiral, et al. (63) | 2016               |
| 13  | Coper             | Groundnut, sesame seed and coconut wastes | Kumar, et al. (64) | 2019               |
| 14  | Chromium          | Bamboo waste activated carbon | Dula, et al. (65) | 2014               |
| 15  | Chromium          | Lignocellulose waste activated carbon | Labied, et al. (66) | 2018               |
| 16  | Chromium          | silica nanoparticles | Jang, et al. (67) | 2020               |
| 17  | Mercury           | Activated Carbons | Hadi, et al. (68) | 2015               |
| 18  | Mercury           | Cassava and Lemon waste | Tovar, et al. (69) | 2015               |
| 19  | Mercury           | Dried sewage sludge | Park and Lee (70) | 2018               |
| 20  | Mercury           | date pits | Al-Ghouti, et al. (71) | 2019               |

Table 3: Some studies that dealt with the removal of crude oil and other aromatic compounds from aqueous solutions by adsorption:

| No. | Pollutant                              | Adsorbent                        | Reference                  | Year of publication |
|-----|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| 1   | Benzene and Toluene                    | Activated carbon                 | Wibowo, et al. (72)        | 2007               |
| 2   | Benzene and Toluene                    | Diatomite                        | Sheshdeh, et al. (73)      | 2014               |
| 3   | Benzene and Toluene                    | Cupric Oxide Nanoparticles       | Mohammadi, et al. (74)     | 2017               |
| 4   | Phenol                                 | Rice husk                        | Daffalla, et al. (75)      | 2020               |
| 5   | Crude oil                              | Raw rice husk                    | Razavi, et al. (76)        | 2014               |
| 6   | Crude oil                              | Hydrophilic open-cell polymer foams | Cherukupally, et al. (77) | 2017               |
| 7   | Crude oil                              | Hafnium oxide ceramics           | Hussain, et al. (78)       | 2020               |
| 8   | Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons       | Periodic mesoporous organo-silica | Vidal, et al. (79)         | 2011               |
| 9   | Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons       | Silica-based organic–inorganic nanohybrid material | Balati, et al. (80) | 2015               |
| 10  | Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons       | Organic montmorillonite sodium alginate nanocomposites | Dai, et al. (81) | 2020               |
References

1. Pathak AK. Water Pollution and Treatment. International Journal of Environmental Engineering and Management. (2013); 4(3): 191-198.
2. Oputu OU, Ayanda OS, Fatoki OO, Akintayo CO, Olumayede EG, Amodu OS. Water Treatment Technologies: Principles, Applications, Successes and Limitations of Bioremediation, Membrane Bioreactor and the Advanced Oxidation Processes. OMICS Group eBooks. (2015): 1-30.
3. Anjum A. Adsorption Technology for Removal of Toxic Pollutants. In: E.R. Rene et al. (eds.), Sustainable Heavy Metal Remediation. Environmental Chemistry for a Sustainable World. (2017); 9: 25-80.
4. Rashed MN. Adsorption Technique for the Removal of Organic Pollutants from Water and Wastewater. In: Organic Pollutants - Monitoring, Risk and Treatment. Adsorption Technique for the Removal of Organic Pollutants from Water and Wastewater. In Tech. (2013): 168-194.
5. Mhemeed AHA. General Overview on the Adsorption. Indian Journal of Natural Sciences. (2018); 9(51): 16127-16131.
6. Ademiluyi FT, Amadi SA, Amakama NJ. Adsorption and treatment of organic contaminants using activated carbon from waste Nigerian Bamboo. J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage. (2009);13(3) :39-47.
7. Farooq A, Fouad E, Ahmed N. Removal of lead from wastewater by adsorption using Saudi Arabian clay. International Journal of Chemical and Environmental Engineering. (2014); 5(2): 65-68.
8. Salman JM, Abdal-Hussein NA, Al Muttairi AK. Removing the methylene blue dye from aqueous solutions by low-cost materials. International Journal of Advanced Research. (2015); 3(4): 523-530.
9. Macias-Garcia A, Garcia-Sanz-Calcedo J, Carrasco-Amador JP, Segura-Cruz R. Adsorption of paracetamol in hospital wastewater through activated carbon filters. Sustainability. (2019); l(11):1-11.
10. Bruch LW, Cole MW, Zaremba E. physical adsorption forces and phenomena. Dover Publications, Inc. Mineola, New York. (2007).
11. Tarazona NA, Machatschek R, Schulz B, Prieto MA, Lendlein A. Molecular Insights into the Physical Adsorption of Amphiphilic Protein PhaF onto Copolyester Surfaces. Biomacromolecules. (2019); 20(9): 3242–3252.
12. Thommes M. Physical Adsorption Characterization of Nano-porous Materials. Characterization of nanoporous materials. Chemie Ingenieur Technik (2010); 82(7):1059-1073.
13. Králik M. Adsorption, chemisorption, and catalysis. Chemical Papers.
14. Webb PA. Introduction to Chemical Adsorption Analytical Techniques and their Applications to Catalysis. MIC Technical Publications. (2003);1-12.
15. Tao J, Rappe AM. Physical Adsorption: Theory of van der Waals Interactions between Particles and Clean Surfaces. Physical Review Letters. (2014);112;(1-5).
16. Adamson AW. Physical Adsorption-A Tool in the Study of the Frontiers of Matter. Journal of Chemical Education. (1967); 44(12):701-716.
17. Norskov J K. Chemisorption on metal surfaces. Rep. Prog. Phys. (1993); 53: 1253-1295. Printed in the UK.
18. Saha P, Chowdhury S. Insight into Adsorption Thermodynamics. In: Mizutani Tadashi (Ed.), Thermodynamics. InTech (2011).
19. Yangui RBE. Removal of water pollutants by adsorption on activated carbon prepared from Olive- cake waste and by biological treatment by using ligninolytic fungi. Ph.D. Thesis at the University of Autonoma de Barcelona. (2013). 274pp.
20. Rashed MN. Adsorption Technique for the Removal of Organic Pollutants from Water and Wastewater. Organic Pollutants - Monitoring, Risk and Treatment. In TECH. (2013);167-194.
21. Goldberg S. Adsorption Models Incorporated into Chemical Equilibrium Models. Chemical Equilibrium and Reaction Models, SSSA Special Publication. (1995); 42: 75-95.

22. Ujile AA. ADSORPTION. In book: Kehinde, J. A. (Eds.). Chemical engineering unit operations, synthesis and basic design calculations (pp.23); Vol.1.Chapter: 4 Publisher: BOMN PRINTS Editors. (2014).

23. Dabrowski A. Adsorption- from theory to practice. Advances in Colloid and Interface Science. Elsevier. (2001); 93: 135-224.

24. Bailey GW , White JL. Factors influencing the adsorption, desorption, and movement of pesticides in soil. Springer-Verlag New York Inc. (1970): 29-92.

25. Zahoor M. Effect of Agitation Speed on Adsorption of Imidacloprid on Activated Carbon. J. Chem. Soc. Pak. (2011); 33(3): 305-312.

26. Razi MAM, Hishammudin MNAM, Hamdan R. Factor Affecting Textile Dye Removal Using Adsorbent from Activated Carbon: A Review. MATEC Web of Conferences 103, 06015. (2017).

27. Ayob S, Othman N, Altowayti WAH, Khalid FS, Bakar NA, Tzir M , Soedjono ES. A review on adsorption of heavy metals from wood-industrial wastewater by oil palm waste. JEE. (2021); 22(3): 249-265.

28. Iftekhar S, Ramasamy DL, Srivastava V, Asif MB , Sillanpaa M. Understanding the factors affecting the adsorption of Lanthanum using different adsorbents: A critical review. Chemosphere. (2018); 204: 413-430.

29. Mahadlek J , Mahadlek J. Investigation of various factors affecting methylene blue adsorption on agricultural waste: banana stalks. science,Engineering and health Studies.(2020);14(1): 47-61.

30. Albroomi HI, Elsayed MA, Baraka A , Abdelmaged MK. Factors affecting the removal of a basic and an Azo dye from artificial solutions by adsorption using activated carbon. JOTCSA. (2015); 2(1): 1-17.

31. Jnr MH , Spiff AI. Effects of temperature on the sorption of Pb2+ and Cd2+ from aqueous solution by Caladium bicolor (Wild Cocoyam) biomass. Electronic Journal of Biotechnology. (2005); 8(2):162-169.

32. Kumar PS, Ramalingam S , Sathishkumar K. Removal of methylene blue dye from aqueous solution by activated carbon prepared from cashew nut shell as a new low-cost adsorbent. Korean J. Chem. Eng. (2011); 28(1): 149-155.

33. Xavier A, Rajan JG, Usha D, Sathyra R. Removal of Methylene Blue by adsorption process - A comparative Study. Materials Science Forum. (2012); 699: 245-264.

34. Yagub MT, Sen TK , Ang M. Removal of cationic dye methylene blue (MB) from aqueous solution by ground raw and base modified pine cone powder. Environ Earth Sci. (2013); 71(4): 1507–1519.

35. Hassan AA , Hassan ZAS. Methylene blue removal from aqueous solution by adsorption on egg shell bed. Euphrates Journal of Agriculture Science. (2013); 5(2):11-23.

36. Wanyonyi WC, Onyari JM, Shiundu PM. Adsorption of Congo Red Dye from Aqueous Solutions Using Roots of Eichhornia crassipes: Kinetic and Equilibrium Studies. The International Conference on Technologies and Materials for Renewable Energy, Environment and Sustainability, TMREES14. Energy Procedia. (2014); 50: 862 - 869.

37. Chine SS, Korake SR, Patil CS. Congo Red Dye removal from aqueous solution using low cost adsorbent. International Journal of Modern Trends in Engineering and Research (IJMTER). Special Issue of ICRTEL’2015 IJMTER). (2015); 2(7):787- 793.

38. Khaniabadi YO, Basiri H, Nourmoradi H, Mohammedy MJ, Yari AR, Sadeghi S , Amrane A. Adsorption of Congo Red Dye from Aqueous Solutions by Montmorillonite as a Low-cost Adsorbent. International Journal of
Chemical Reactor Engineering. (2017); 16(1).
39. Sartape AS, Mandhare AM, Jadhav VV, Raut PD, Amuse MA, Kolekar SS. Removal of malachite green dye from aqueous solution with adsorption technique using Limoniaacidissima(wood apple) shell as low cost adsorbent. Arabian Journal of Chemistry. (2017); 10(2): S3229-S3238.
40. Mat SSA, Zuber SZHS, Abd Rahim SKE, Sohaimi KSA, Halim NAA, Zainudin NF, Yusoff NA, Rohaizad NM, Ishak NH, Anuar A, Sarip MS. Malachite Green Adsorption by Spent Coffee Grounds. IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering. (2018); 318.
41. Kavci E. Malachite green adsorption onto modified pine cone: Isotherms, kinetics and thermodynamics mechanism, Chemical Engineering Communications. (2020); 208(3): 318-327.
42. Subbaiah MV, Kim DS. Adsorption of methyl orange from aqueous solution by aminated pumpkin seed powder: Kinetics, isotherms, and thermodynamic studies. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety. (2016); 128:109–117.
43. Zhao P, Zhang R, Wang J. Adsorption of methyl orange from aqueous solution using chitosan/diatomite composite. Water Science and Technology. (2017); 75(7): 1633–1642.
44. Jasni MZ, Zulkifli NA, Abdul Razab MKA, Rasat MSM, Amin MFM, Amin MAM, Abdullah NH. Methyl orange adsorption from aqueous solution by activated carbon: Effect of acidic solution treatment. AIP Conference Proceedings 2068, 020044. (2019); 2068(1).
45. Adebowale KO, Olu-Owolabi BI, Chigbundu EC. Removal of Safranin-O from Aqueous Solution by Adsorption onto Kaolinite Clay. Journal of Encapsulation and Adsorption Sciences. (2014); 4: 89-104.
46. Chandane V, Singh VK. Adsorption of safranin dye from aqueous solutions using a low-cost agro-waste material soybean hull, Desalination and Water Treatment. (2016); 57(9): 4122-4134.
47. Azimvand J, Didehban K, Mirshokraie S. Safranin-O removal from aqueous solutions using lignin nanoparticle-g-polyacrylic acid adsorbent: Synthesis, properties, and application. Adsorption Science & Technology. (2018); 36(7–8): 1422–1440.
48. Alfrozi R, Zamanhuri NA, Osman MS. Adsorption of reactive dye Remazol Brilliant Blue R from aqueous solutions by rambutan peel. 2012 IEEE Symposium on Humanities, Science and Engineering Research. (2012); 21-26.
49. Rahmat NA, Ali AA, Salmiati HN, Muhamad MS, Kristanti RA, Hadibarata T. Removal of Remazol Brilliant Blue R from Aqueous Solution by Adsorption Using Pineapple Leaf Powder and Lime Peel Powder. Water, Air, & Soil Pollution. (2016); 227(4).
50. Kaur R, Kaur H. Adsorptive Removal of Amido Black 10B from Aqueous Solution using Stem Carbon of Ricinus communis as Adsorbent. Asian Journal of Chemistry. (2019); 31(5):1071–1076.
51. Vergis BR, Kottam N, Krishna RH, Nagabhushana BM. Removal of Evans Blue dye from aqueous solution using magnetic spinel ZnFe2O4 nanomaterial: Adsorption isotherms and kinetics. Nano-Structures & Nano-Objects. (2019); 18, 10290.
52. Erdem M, Özverdi A. Lead adsorption from aqueous solution onto siderite. Separation and Purification Technology. (2005); 42(3): 259–264.
53. Liang S, Ye N, Hu Y, Shi Y, Zhang W, Yu W, Wu X, Yang J. Lead adsorption from aqueous solutions by a granular adsorbent prepared from phoenix tree leaves. RSC Advances. (2016); 6(30): 25393–25400.
54. Salihi IU, Kutty SR, Isa MH. Adsorption of Lead ions onto Activated Carbon derived from Sugarcane bagasse. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering. (2017); 201, 012034.
55. Alghamdi AA, Al-Odayni AB, Saeed WS, Al-Kahtani A, Alharthi FA, Aouak T. Efficient Adsorption of Lead (II) from Aqueous Phase Solutions Using Polypyrrole-Based Activated Carbon. Materials. (2020);12(12).

56. Ramachandran V, D'Souza SF. Adsorption of nickel by Indian soils. J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. (2013); 13(1).

57. El-Sadaawy M, Abdelwahab O. Adsorptive removal of nickel from aqueous solutions by activated carbons from doum seed (Hyphaenethebaica) coat. Alexandria Engineering Journal. (2014); 53(2): 399–408.

58. Khawaja M, Mubarak S, Zia-Ur-Rehman M, Kazi AA, Hamid A. Adsorption Studies of Pomegranate Peel Activated Charcoal For Nickel (II) Ion. Journal of the Chilean Chemical Society. (2015); 60(4): 2642–2645.

59. Taha AA, Ahmed AM, Abdel Rahman HH, Abouzeid FM, Abdel Maksoud MO. Removal of nickel ions by adsorption on nano-bentonite: Equilibrium, kinetics, and thermodynamics. Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology. (2016); 38(5): 757–767.

60. Patterera MS, Bavassoa I, Sambethb JE, Medici F. Cadmium Removal from Aqueous Solution by Adsorption on Spent Coffee Grounds. Chemical Engineering Transactions. (2017); 60: 157-162.

61. Van HT, Nguyen LH, Nguyen VD, Nguyen XH, Nguyen TH, Nguyen TV, Nguyen TH, Nguyen TV, Vigneswaran S, Rinklebe J, Tran HN. Characteristics and mechanisms of cadmium adsorption onto biogenic aragonite shells-derived biosorbent: Batch and column studies. Journal of Environmental Management. (2018); 241(1): 535-548.

62. Liu X, Xu X, Dong X, Park J. Adsorption characteristics of cadmium ions from aqueous solution onto pine sawdust biomass and biochar. BioRes. (2019);14(2): 4270-4283.

63. Demiral H, Gungör C. Adsorption of copper (II) from aqueous solutions on activated carbon prepared from grape bagasse. Journal of Cleaner Production. (2016);124:103–113.

64. Kumar GSVR, Malla KA, Yerra B, Rao KS. Removal of Cu (II) using three low-cost adsorbents and prediction of adsorption using artificial neural networks. Applied Water Science. (2019); 9(3).

65. Dula T, Siraj K, Kitte SA. Adsorption of Hexavalent Chromium from Aqueous Solution Using Chemically Activated Carbon Prepared from Locally Available Waste of Bamboo (Oxytenanthera abyssinica). ISRN Environmental Chemistry. (2014):1–9.

66. Labied R, Benturki O, Hamitouche AY, Donnot A. Adsorption of hexavalent chromium by activated carbon obtained from a waste lignocellulosic material (Ziziphus jujuba cores): Kinetic, equilibrium, and thermodynamic study. Adsorption Science & Technology. (2018); 36(3–4): 1066–1099.

67. Jang E, Pack SP, Kim I, Chung S. A systematic study of hexavalent chromium adsorption and removal from aqueous environments using chemically functionalized amorphous and mesoporous silica nanoparticles. Scientific Reports. (2020) 10:5558.

68. Hadi P, To M-H, Hai CW, Lin CSK, McKay G. Aqueous mercury adsorption by activated carbons. Water Research. (2015). Vol.73: 37–55.

69. Tovar CT, Ortiz AV, Garcés Jaraba LE. Kinetics of adsorption in mercury removal using cassava (Manhiot esculenta) and lemon (Citrus limonum) wastes modified with citric acid. Ing. Univ. (2015);19(2): 283-298.

70. Park J, Lee SS. Adsorption of mercury by activated carbon prepared from dried sewage sludge in simulated flue gas. Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association. (2018); 1–8.

71. Al-Ghouti MA, Da’ana D, Abu-Dieyeh M, Khraisheh M. Adsorptive removal of mercury from water by adsorbents derived from date pits. Scientific Reports. (2019); 9(1).

72. Wibowo N, Setyadhi L, Wibowo D, Setiawan J, Ismadji S. Adsorption of
benzene and toluene from aqueous solutions onto activated carbon and its acid and heat-treated forms: Influence of surface chemistry on adsorption. Journal of Hazardous Materials. (2007); 146(1-2): 237–242.

73. Sheshdeh RK, Abbasizadeh S, Nikou MRK, Badii K, Sharafi MS. Liquid Phase adsorption kinetics and equilibrium of toluene by novel modified-diatomite. Journal of Environmental Health Science and Engineering. (2014);12(1).

74. Mohammadi L, Bazrafshan E, Noroozifar M, Ansari-Moghadam A, Barahue F, Balarak D. Adsorptive Removal of Benzene and Toluene from Aqueous Environments by Cupric Oxide Nanoparticles: Kinetics and Isotherm Studies. Journal of Chemistry. (2017), 1–10.

75. Daffalla SB, Mukhtar H, Shaharun MS. Preparation and characterization of rice husk adsorbents for phenol removal from aqueous systems. PLoS ONE. (2020);15(12): e0243540.

76. Razavi Z, Mirghaffari N, Rezaei B. Adsorption of crude and engine oils from water using raw rice husk. Water Science and Technology. (2014); 69(5): 947–952.

77. Cherukupally P, Hinestroza JH, Farnood R, Bilton AM, Park CB. Adsorption mechanisms of emulsified crude oil droplets onto hydrophilic open-cell polymer foams. AIP Conference Proceedings 1914, 170003. (2017); 1914(1).

78. Hussain FA, Zamora I, Ferrer IM, Kinyua M, Velázquez JM. Adsorption of Crude Oil from Crude Oil-Water Emulsion by Mesoporous Hafnium Oxide Ceramics. Environmental Science Water Research & Technology. Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol. (2020); 6:2035-2042.

79. Vidal CB, Barros AL, Moura CP, de Lima ACA, Dias FS, Vasconcellos LCG, Fechine PBA, Nascimento RF. Adsorption of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from aqueous solutions by modified periodic mesoporous organosilica. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science. (2011); 357(2): 466–473.

80. Balati A, Shahbazi A, Amini MM, Hashemi SH. Adsorption of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from wastewater by using silica-based organic–inorganic nanohybrid material. Journal of Water Reuse and Desalination. (2015); 5(1): 50–63.

81. Dai WJ, Wu P, Liu D, Hu J, Cao Y, Liu T Z, Okoli C P, Li L. Adsorption of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons from aqueous solution by Organic Montmorillonite Sodium Alginate Nanocomposites. hemosphere126074. (2020).
استخدام الامتزاز كوسيلة لمعالجة تلوث المياه

سما احمد مصطفى
علي خالد المطيري
ندى عبد الرحمن العيساوي

مركز البحوث والدراسات البيئية / جامعة بابل
قسم علوم البيئة / كلية العلوم / جامعة بغداد
قسم الصحة البيئية / كلية علوم البيئة / جامعة القاسم الخضراوي / بابل

Corresponding Author: allmankind10@gmail.com

الخلاصة
خلفية عن الموضوع: إن المياه هي المصدر الرئيسي لكل أشكال الحياة المختلفة وأن هذا المصدر المهم يتعرض بشكل مستمر للتلوث نتيجة ظواهر الطبيعية أو بسبب تدخل الإنسان بشكل مباشر أو غير مباشر. لقد تم تطوير العديد من الأساليب والتقنيات لمعالجة المياه من الملوثات المختلفة التي قد تؤثر سلباً على نوعية هذه المياه. وان من أكثر التقنيات التي تبدو واعدة في هذا المجال هي تقنية الامتزاز.

الهدف من الدراسة: تم استخدام تقنية الامتزاز في معالجة المياه بصورة مكثفة باستخدام مواد مازة مختلفة بدأ من استخدام الكربون المنشط الغالي الثمن نوعاً ما إلى استخدام المخلفات النباتية المتوفرة والرخيصة وبدرجات مختلفة من النجاح. إن هذه الدراسة تتناول تقنية الامتزاز، أنواع الامتزاز، استخدام الموديلات الرياضية بالإضافة إلى استعراض مجموعة من الدراسات السابقة التي تتناول إزالة مجموعة مختلفة من الملوثات كالاصبغة والمعدن الثقيلة ومشتقات النفط.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الامتزاز، الموديلات الرياضية، الامتزاز الفيزيائي، الامتزاز الكيميائي، العوامل المؤثرة.