Dural repair using autologous fat: Our experience and review of the literature
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Abstract

Background: Various materials have been proposed to obliterate dead spaces and to reconstruct dural defects during a neurosurgical approach. This study describes our technique of using the abdominal autologous fat graft and evaluates the complications and characteristics related to the use of this tissue during cranial procedures.

Methods: Autologous fat grafts were used in 296 patients with basicranial and convexity extraaxial tumors from April 2005 to January 2015. The adipose tissue was removed from the paraumbilical abdominal region and was transformed into a thin foil. When possible, a watertight suture was made between the dural or bone edge with a fat graft. We always used fibrin glue to reinforce the dural closure.

Results: Complications occurred between 2 days and 1 year following procedure. Cerebrospinal fluid leaks were found in 11 cases. No case of mortality, pseudomeningocele, fistula, infections, bacterial meningitides, or lipid meningitides was reported. No patient required removal of the graft. No graft was observed between the brain and the autologous fat. Other fat-related complications observed were 2 cases of fat necrosis in the abdomen and 2 cases of abdominal hemorrhage.

Conclusion: The technique of harvesting and applying fat grafts is fairly simple, although it must be performed meticulously to be effective. Our experience has led us to believe that the use of fat grafts presents low morbidity and mortality. However, a neurosurgeon should never forget the possible late or early complications related to the use of fat grafts.
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INTRODUCTION

Both the reconstruction of dural defects after cranial surgery and the obliteration of the dead space after resection of large basicranial tumors are required.¹²¹ The watertight closure of the dura mater is needed to prevent the most common complications such as cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leaks, infections, hypertensive pneumocephalus, pseudomeningocele, and other complications leading to a longer period of hospitalization.¹¹ However, a watertight dural closure is not always achievable because of dural retraction phenomena, or because of the presence of a
friable dura around the bony margins, or because the dura has been resected (e.g., meningiomas).\textsuperscript{[11,22]} Furthermore, the extirpation of larger lesions creates formidable complex defects in the soft tissue, bone, and dura, which must necessarily be repaired.\textsuperscript{[8]} Therefore, various types of materials have been evaluated to determine the ideal dural replacement technique.\textsuperscript{[11]}

Dural substitutes could be autologous tissues (pericranium, fascia lata, fat, muscle) or artificial dural substitutes. The latter are generally derived from bovine tendon, bovine pericardium/dura, porcine collagen, polyurethane, polyglactin, polytetrafluoroethylene-based sheets, human acellular dermis, human fibrinogen and thrombin with equine collagen, and agents including polyglycolic acid mesh.\textsuperscript{[17]} Dural substitutes are designed to be either placed as an onlay over dural defects or sutured into place,\textsuperscript{[17]} whereas an autologous tissue is commonly used for skull base reconstructions to repair geometrically complex extirpation defects and reinforce the dural closure.\textsuperscript{[8,21]} Frequently, dural substitutes are used with the addition of dural sealants such as polyethylene glycol hydrogel, glutaraldehyde with bovine albumin, human fibrinogen with thrombin plus albumin and aprotinin, and human fibrinogen with thrombin.\textsuperscript{[17]} However, many of these products have been associated with postoperative complications, some of which are serious, such as hemorrhages, development of corticomegangeal adhesions, meningitides, inflammatory reactions, and Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease.\textsuperscript{[11]}

This study presents our experience in using abdomin al subcutaneous fat in a series of patients who required the dural graft implantation and, when necessary, the obliteration of the dead space during cranial procedures. In addition, it examines the frequency and nature of complications.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Between April 2005 and January 2015, 296 patients underwent autologous fat graft during basicranial and convexity extraaxial tumor resections at our Department of Neurosurgery; all patients are included in our retrospective study. The data regarding surgical approach, sex, and average age are reported in Table 1.

No patient underwent a preoperative or postoperative radiotherapy. All patients were previously informed regarding methods and risks of the withdrawal of abdominal fat. The antibiotic prophylaxis was done with second-generation cephalosporins administered intravenously 30–60 min before the skin incision. The autologous fat was used in 281 procedures on the skull base and in 15 procedures on the convexity. The patients’ charts were analyzed to determine their demographic parameters, diagnosis, surgical approach, complications, treatment, and outcome.

| Surgical approach | Number of patients | Average age | Sex |
|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|-----|
| Transsphenoidal approach | 105 | 47.3 years | 47 f/58 m |
| Combined petrosal approach | 16 | 53.2 years | 12 f/4 m |
| Frontoorbito-temporo-zygomatic approach (FOYZ) | 25 | 45.1 years | 9 f/16 m |
| Far-lateral approach | 17 | 53.8 years | 11 f/6 m |
| Extreme-lateral approach | 7 | 41.2 years | 5 f/2 m |
| Retrosigmoid approach | 20 | 43.4 years | 9 f/11 m |
| Subtemporal approach | 15 | 52.2 years | 12 f/3 m |
| Petorial approach | 36 | 48.3 years | 13 f/23 m |
| Subfrontal approach | 14 | 61.7 years | 12 f/2 m |
| Frontoorbito-temporal approach (FOT) | 25 | 52.5 years | 13 f/12 m |
| Supraorbital Keyhole Approach | 1 | 36 years | m |
| Frontoparietal approach | 6 | 62.3 years | 4 f/2 m |
| Parasagittal approach | 4 | 51.2 years | 2 f/2 m |
| Frontal approach to the convexity | 2 | 60 years | 2 f |
| Parietal approach to the convexity | 3 | 58.6 years | 2 f/1 m |

**Surgical technique**

During the neurosurgical procedures, performed in a supine or lateral position, the adipose subcutaneous tissue is removed from the paraumbilical abdominal region. The excision of the subcutaneous fat is performed through a horizontal skin incision of 3 cm. The anatomy of the abdominal subcutaneous fat is divided into superficial and deep layer.\textsuperscript{[11]} The deep fat lies between the superficial fascia and the deeper muscular fascia.\textsuperscript{[13]} The upper extent of the deep fat is up to an imaginary line extending from one iliac crest to another passing through the umbilicus.\textsuperscript{[15]} According to Kumar,\textsuperscript{[15]} we take a fat-lobule from the deep layer. We consider it important to obtain it in one piece to assure a watertight seal. The fat graft can be used after being flattened with the use of a “Spence Cranioplastic Roller” or of a surgical hammer. It is transformed into a thin foil in order to avoid the dissemination of the fat cells in the subarachnoid space [Figure 1a].

When necessary, for example during a transsphenoidal approach, the surgical dead cavity is obliterated with a large “pancake” of autologous fat, which is then fixed with a film of fibrin glue to complete the sealing. In addition, according to Spaziante et al.,\textsuperscript{[19]} a cartilaginous fragment or bone of the sphenoid sinus can be used to close the sellar floor window.

For the dural reconstruction, the fat is positioned below the free dural edge and secured with Expanded Polytetrafluoroethylene (ePTFE) Nonabsorbable Monofilament No. 4-0. Subsequently, the fat is turned on the sutured dural edge, thus forming another layer, and then the entire suture is reinforced with fibrin glue [Figures 1b and d].
When there is no free dural margin, the fat is positioned below the edge of the bone and then fixed to the bone with ePTFE No. 3-0 through small drill holes prepared into the bony margins [Figure 1c], and then both are reinforced with fibrin glue [Figures 1e and f].

**RESULTS**

Abdominal fat grafts were used in 296 patients with convexity [Figure 1] and basicranial [Figures 2 and 3] extraaxial tumors from April 2005 to January 2015. In 278 of the patients, no complication was observed. No case of mortality was reported. We had no case of pseudomeningoceles, fistula, infections, bacterial meningitides, or lipid meningitides. No patient required removal of the graft.

Complications were observed in only 18 patients (7 males, 11 females) and their onset occurred between 2 days and 1 year. Clinical characteristics, diagnosis, surgical approach, treatment, and outcome of patients with complications are reported in Table 2.

CSF leaks were found in 11 of 18 cases. All these leaks were short-term complications because they appeared during the first 2 weeks after surgery. In 4 cases, the CSF leaks occurred after a transsphenoidal approach, and in 2 cases after a far-lateral approach. The remaining 5 cases of CSF leaks occurred after a frontoorbital-temporal approach, frontotemporal approach, retrosigmoid approach, combined petrosal approach, and supraorbital keyhole approach. No CSF leak occurred on the approaches to the convexity. Six cases were managed successfully with the placement of a temporary lumbar shunt for 3 days, whereas in 3 cases it was necessary to place a lumboperitoneal shunt. In 2 cases with persistent CSF leaks, a surgical reexploration and repair with another patch of autologous fat and fibrin glue were performed. Another short-term complication was the abdominal hemorrhage that in 2 cases presented during the second and third day after surgery. In both cases, it was necessary to make a surgical abdominal revision. Medium-term complications were identified between the 1st and the 3rd month after surgery. During this period, 2 cases of fat necrosis in the abdomen and 1 case of cerebral hemorrhage in a patient with esthesioneuroblastoma occurred. All cases were treated with appropriate revision of the surgical cavity in the abdomen and skull, respectively. Hydrocephalus was the only long-term complication observed. Two different patients, who underwent a resection of meningioma through a frontoorbito-temporal-zygomatic approach and a subfrontal approach, developed hydrocephalus after 7 months and 1 year. A ventriculoperitoneal shunt was implanted in both cases with resolution of hydrocephalus. No adhesion was observed between the brain and the autologous fat after reoperation for recurrence of some extraaxial tumors.

**DISCUSSION**

The use of autologous fat in neurosurgery appeared in the early twentieth century. The first neurosurgeon to use fat to repair a large dural defect was Harvey Cushing in 1912.[23] Nowadays, the use of autologous fat is quite widespread, and it is a safe and effective method for a long-lasting reconstruction of skull base defects. This technique is well-tolerated by patients and has an extremely low incidence of morbidity, with few related reports present in the literature.[21]
In our study, complications were observed in 6.1% of cases. CSF leaks were described in 3.7% of cases, mainly during the transsphenoidal approach. Abdominal hemorrhage presented in 0.7% of cases. Fat necrosis and liquefaction, leading to a sterile oily transudate leaking from the wound in the abdomen, were described in 0.7% of cases. Exploration with removal of the necrotic fat was necessary in all cases. Hydrocephalus was developed in 0.7% of patients after approximately 1 year [Table 3].

CSF leaks after neurosurgical procedures can occur in 0.9–42% of cases depending on many factors such as surgical approach and location, general and local conditions (immunodepression, corticosteroid therapy, uncontrolled diabetes, renal or hepatic dysfunction, etc.), and surgical technique. CSF leaks are not only difficult to manage in a conservative manner (e.g., percutaneous lumbar subarachnoid drainage), but they can be a source of additional morbidity and even a risk of mortality. A watertight suture seems to be the optimal method to prevent CSF leaks. Although Barth et al. have affirmed that true watertight dural closures are not necessary for a majority of supratentorial craniotomies, according to Ferroli and Sekhar, we have strong preferences to perform watertight closures wherever possible. In fact, in our experience, no case of CSF leaks was observed after supratentorial convexity approaches.

Different from the observations of Ahmed, we had no cases of fat liquefaction in the skull, but only in the abdomen. However, the exact cause of fat liquefaction has not yet been clearly described in the literature. Several theories exist including an initial transient ischemia that causes an early necrosis and the presence of an infectious element that increases the extent of liquefaction.

The postoperative lipoid meningitis is a rare phenomenon because of the presence of fat in the subarachnoid space. The cause of this rare meningitis is the dissemination of liquefied fat into the subarachnoid space via a dural defect. Lumbar punctures create a negative pressure that may enhance fat suction into the subarachnoid space. The lipid meningitis arises more than 1 week after surgery, allowing sufficient time for fat liquefaction. It follows a chronic or intermittent course taking several weeks to resolve, and does not respond well to steroids. In our experience, no case of chemical, bacterial, or lipoid meningitis was observed.

The structural units of adipose tissue are fat lobules, the vascular supply of each lobule being complete and independent. Their vascularization originates from multiple, periumbilical, musculocutaneous perforators of the deep inferior epigastric vessels. We believe that the removal of individual lobules of fat in the abdomen allows for greater control of bleeding reducing the risk of hemorrhages.

The mechanism by which the fat aids in the sealing of dural defects is still being investigated; it is now hypothesized that mesenchymal stem cells, pluripotent stem cells known to contribute to the maintenance of the supportive connective tissue, are an important contributor. The adipose tissue is composed of various
cell types (adipocytes, endothelial cells, fibroblasts, blood and blood-derived cells, and adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells [adMSC]).[9] Human adMSC can differentiate into several different cell types.[9,23] These cells are involved in the regeneration of several tissue types, and multiple reports indicate that stem cells may be involved in the regeneration of tissues when transplanted to heal cerebrospinal fluid leaks after neurosurgical procedures.[7,20]

The criteria for determining the ideal dural substitute include prevention of CSF leaks, no increase in infection rate, minimal adhesions, minimal foreign bodies or allergic reactions, economy, ease of application, and availability.[10] With the increasing number of skull base surgeries and the variety of neurosurgical operations, tissue adhesives and different materials for dural closure have become more important.[10]

There are many advantages to the use of fat grafts; they are impervious to water, cause relatively little scarring, and also allow for a watertight closure of dura mater to prevent CSF leaks.[2] Furthermore, they are cheap, easy to get, easy to apply, they do not increase the risk of infections, they do not create adhesions to the brain pia

| Patient number | Age/sex | Diagnosis | Surgical approach | Complications | Time after surgery | Further treatment | Outcome |
|---------------|---------|-----------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|---------|
| 1             | 74/female | Olfactory groove meningioma | Subfrontal approach | Fat necrosis in the abdomen | 3 months | Surgical abdominal revision | Alive |
| 2             | 42/male | Clival chordoma | Frontoorbito-temporo-zygomatic approach (FOTZ) | CSF leak | 3 days | Spino-peritoneal shunt | Recurrence clival chordoma |
| 3             | 67/female | Recurrent frontoorbito-temporal meningioma | Frontoorbito-temporal approach (FOT) | Fat necrosis in the abdomen | 1 month | Surgical abdominal revision | Alive |
| 4             | 42/male | Vestibular schwannoma | Retrosigmoid approach | CSF leak | 5 days | Reexploration and repair | Alive |
| 5             | 55/female | Sphenoorbital meningioma | Frontotemporal approach | CSF leak | 1 day | Temporary external lumbar shunt | Alive |
| 6             | 45/female | Petroclival meningioma | Combined petrosal approach | CSF leak | 5 days | Temporary external lumbar shunt | Alive |
| 7             | 66/male | Sphenopetrosclival meningioma | Frontoorbito-temporo-zygomatic approach (FOTZ) | Hydrocephalus | 7 months | Ventriculoperitoneal shunt | Alive |
| 8             | 47/female | Anterior skull base meningioma | Subfrontal approach | Hydrocephalus | 1 year | Ventriculoperitoneal shunt | Alive |
| 9             | 36/male | Anterior skull base meningioma | Supraorbital keyhole approach | CSF leak | 3 weeks | Temporary external lumbar shunt | Alive |
| 10            | 62/female | Esthesioneuroblastoma | Frontoorbito-temporo-zygomatic approach (FOTZ) | Cerebral hemorrhage | 1 month | Reexploration | Esthesioneuroblasta recurrence |
| 11            | 42/female | Foramen magnum meningiomas | Far-lateral approach | CSF leak | 7 days | Temporary external lumbar shunt | Alive |
| 12            | 21/female | Craniohypothyriangioma | Transsphenoidal approach | CSF leak | 3 days | Spino-peritoneal shunt | Alive |
| 13            | 61/female | Pituitary macroadenoma | Transsphenoidal approach | CSF leak | 4 days | Temporary external lumbar shunt | Alive |
| 14            | 55/male | Petroclival chondrosarcoma | Transsphenoidal approach | CSF leak | 12 days | Reexploration and repair | Chondrosarcoma recurrence |
| 15            | 41/male | Foramen magnum meningiomas | Far-lateral approach | CSF leak | 13 days | Temporary external lumbar shunt | Alive |
| 16            | 54/female | Craniopharyngioma | Transsphenoidal approach | CSF leak | 4 days | Spino-peritoneal shunt | Alive |
| 17            | 65/male | Parasagittal meningioma | Parasagittal approach | Abdominal hemorrhage | 2 days | Surgical abdominal revision | Alive |
| 18            | 71/female | Convexity en plaque meningioma | Frontoparietal approach | Abdominal hemorrhage | 3 days | Surgical abdominal revision | Alive |

CSF: Cerebrospinal fluid
mater, they do not induce allergic reactions, and do not increase the time of hospitalization.

The disadvantages of using fat grafts are; increased risk of chemical or lipoid meningitis,[21] increased operating time,[17] increased risk of fat necrosis in the abdomen and in the skull, possibility of bleeding in the abdomen after the removal of fat,[8] risk of a fat embolism syndrome,[1] and the presence of small scars and infections in the abdomen.

The morbidity related to the use of autologous fat grafts is extremely rare, and these grafts should be considered a safe and effective method for the dural reconstruction.[21] The additional use of fibrin glue during the reconstruction reinforces the dural closure,[17] in fact there is experimental evidence obtained in a model of rat CSF rhinorrhoea, showing that a muscle patch combined with fibrin glue provides superior results compared with those using each material alone in sealing CSF leaks.[2,10]

CONCLUSIONS

The factors involved in the successful repair of dural defects are multivariable, however, they depend on the size and location of the defect, as well as on the type of repair material used. The technique of harvesting and applying fat grafts is fairly simple, although it must be performed meticulously to be effective. When possible, we suggest the additional use of fibrin glue to apply on the edges of the watertight suture in order to decrease the risk of CSF leaks. Our experience has led us to believe that the use of fat grafts presents low morbidity and mortality. Furthermore, it has several qualities that make it an ideal dural substitute; flexibility, adaptability, no reactivity, no toxicity, easy availability, low cost, direct watertight suture, and no creation of adhesions. However, a neurosurgeon should never forget the possible late or early complications related to the use of fat grafts.
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