Rust diseases continuously threaten global wheat production: stem rust, leaf rust, and yellow rust caused by *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici*, *Puccinia triticina*, and *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*, respectively. Recent studies indicated that the average losses from all these three rusts reached up to 15.04 million tons per year, which is equivalent to an annual average loss of around US $2.9 billion per year. The major focus of Mexican and worldwide breeding programs is the release of rust resistant cultivars, as this is considered the best option for controlling rust diseases. In Mexico, the emphasis has been placed on genes that confer partial resistance in the adult plant stage and against a broad spectrum of rust races since the 1970s. In this study, a set of the first-generation tall varieties developed and released in the 1940s and 1950s, the first semi-dwarfs, and other releases in Mexico, all of which showed different levels of rust resistance have been phenotyped for the three rust diseases and genotyped. Results of the molecular marker detection indicated that *Lr34*, *Lr46*, *Lr67*, and *Lr68* alone or in different gene combinations were present among the wheat cultivars. Flag leaf tip necrosis was present in all cultivars and most were positive for brown necrosis or Pseudo Black Chaff associated with the *Sr2* stem rust resistance complex. The phenotypic responses to the different rust infections indicate the presence of additional slow rusting and race-specific resistance genes. The study reveals the association of the slow rusting genes with durable resistance to the three rusts including Ug99 in cultivars bred before the green revolution such as Frontera, Supremo 211, Chapingo 48, Yaqui 50, Kentana 52, Bajio 52, Bajio 53, Yaqui 53, Chapingo 53, Yaktana Tardio 54, and Mayo 54 and their descendants after intercrossing and recombination. These slow rusting genes are the backbone of the resistance in the current Mexican germplasm.
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INTRODUCTION

The three rust diseases continuously threaten global wheat production: stem rust, leaf rust, and yellow rust caused by *Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici* (Pgt), *Puccinia triticina* (Pt), and *Puccinia striiformis f. sp. tritici* (Pst), respectively. It is estimated that global annual losses to wheat rust pathogens range between US$ 4.3 to 5.0 billion (Philp Pardey University of Minnesota, unpublished; Figueroa et al., 2018). The deployment of rust resistant cultivars is considered the best option to control rust diseases and their development is the major focus of the breeding program at CIMMYT and worldwide.

Resistance to wheat rusts is generally categorized into two non-exclusive types, race-specific and race non-specific. Race-specific resistance is generally qualitative and usually short-lived due to the evolution of potentially virulent pathogens (Huerta-Espino et al., 2011; Wellings, 2011). In contrast, adequate levels of race non-specific resistance involve genes which might contribute from minor to intermediate effects. Plants carrying this type of resistance are susceptible at the seedling stage but express resistance at the post-seedling stages of plant growth. This characteristic is called slow rusting and often associated with some forms of adult plant resistance (APR) (Lagudah, 2011).

In Mexico, emphasis has been placed on genes that confer partial resistance in the adult plant stage since the 1950s. The most important genes belonging to the category of “slow rusting” or APR include *Lr34/Yr18/Sr57/Ltn1* (Singh et al., 2012), *Lr46/Yr29/Sr58/Ltn2* (Singh et al., 2013) and *Lr67/Yr46/Sr55/Ltn3* (Herrera-Foessel et al., 2014), which confer partial resistance to leaf rust, yellow rust, and stem rust. These genes are associated with flag leaf tip necrosis (LTN) a post-flowering morphological trait (William et al., 2003; Singh et al., 2011; Herrera-Foessel et al., 2014). Another important gene in this category is *Lr68/Ltn4*, which confers resistance to leaf rust (Herrera-Foessel et al., 2012), and apparently confers a certain degree of stem rust resistance not yet quantified and confirmed. Another example is the *Sr2* gene for resistance to stem rust which provides useful protection, although at a lower level, than most of the race specific stem rust genes. It confers an “adult plant” type of resistance and became the backbone of the Mexican germplasm being effective since their introduction until now (Singh et al., 2011; Singh et al., 2015). The *Sr2* gene expresses as a “slow rusting” type of resistance in which the rate of epidemic development is considerably reduced. *Sr2/Yr30* confers resistance to stem rust and yellow rust (Singh et al., 2005; Singh et al., 2008; Mago et al., 2011b). This gene is derived from the cultivar Hope and had provided durable resistance to stem rust in the CIMMYT-Mexican spring wheat germplasm and can be identified by its linkage with the pseudo-black chaff or brown necrosis phenotype observed on the glumes and below the nodes (Borlaug et al., 1949).

The effects of these APR genes when alone, are moderate, however, they play an important role in gene combinations and interactions with other major genes and a range of minor QTLs that cause additive effects, resulting in high levels of durable resistance. In general, accumulating APR genes into a single cultivar can result in “near-immunity” or a high level of resistance: three to four in the case of leaf rust, four to five in the case of yellow rust (Singh et al., 2000), but more than five for stem rust (Knott, 1988; Singh et al., 2015).

The objective of the present study was to investigate the presence and effectiveness of the known/unknown slow rusting resistance genes against the three rust diseases in selected tall and dwarf wheat cultivars released in Mexico.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material

Fifty-one bread wheat cultivars (Table 1) released in Mexico before and after the green revolution era were characterized in seedling tests in the greenhouse (GH) and in the field against stem rust, leaf rust, and yellow rust.

Seeding Testing

Stem Rust

Seeding evaluations against the stem rust RTR race were conducted at CIMMYT’s GH facilities in El Batan, Mexico. Eight to 10 seeds per cultivar were sown per entries in plastic trays, as well as a set of both 20 differential from the Minnesota Cereal Disease Laboratory (CDL) (Jin et al., 2007) and the CIMMYT standard Pgt set (Singh, 1991). The seedling plants were inoculated 10 days after planting, when the seedlings had developed the second leaf, using urediniospore sprays of the RTR Pgt race (Table 2) suspended in Soltrol 170® (Phillips Petroleum Company, Borger, TX) mineral oil (Singh, 1991) at a concentration of 5 mg/ml (Herrera-Foessel et al., 2007). Plants were placed inside a dew chamber overnight and then moved back to the GH.

A second set of the 51 cultivars and susceptible checks were tested at the seedling stage against Pgt race TTKSK at the seedling stage at the United States Department of Agriculture - Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS) CDL, St. Paul, MN, following the procedure described by Rouse et al. (2011) and Jin et al. (2007). Ten seeds of each cultivar were planted in trays. Eight-day-old seedlings were inoculated with fresh urediniospores of Pgt race TTKSK.

In both SR evaluations, infection types (ITs) on seedlings were recorded 14 days post-inoculation using a 0 to 4 scale as described by Stakman et al. (1962). ITs “0,” “1,” and “2” were considered resistant, whereas ITs “3” to “4” were considered susceptible.

Leaf Rust

Seedling tests were carried out at CIMMYT headquarters GH. Single spore races were used in the evaluations. Wheat seedlings were grown for 10 days under GH conditions (20°C/23°C night/day temperatures) and inoculated with the rust in a Soltrol 170 spore suspension at a concentration of 5 mg/ml. The LR race used at seedling test was MBJ/SP described by Herrera-Foessel et al. (2012) (Table 2). After the inoculation, plants were kept in a humid chamber at 22°C for 16 h. Plants were then placed back
in the GH for 2 more weeks and scored for IT on a 0–4 scale as described in Roelfs et al. (1992).

Yellow Rust
Nine to 12-day-old seedlings (two-leaf stage) were inoculated by using an atomizer to spray urediniospores suspended in lightweight mineral oil, Soltrol 170 at a concentration of 5 mg/ml. The yellow rust race used in the study was MEX14.191 (Randhawa et al., 2018). The trays carrying inoculated seedlings were then placed in a mist chamber and incubated at 7–9°C for 24 h. Seedlings were then moved to a GH room maintained at 15/18°C night/day temperatures. The ITs were recorded 14 days post-inoculation using a 0–9 scale (McNeal et al., 1971).

Field Testing
The 51 bread wheat cultivars and the susceptible checks as follows: Sr RTR-Apav 1; TTKSK (UG99) Cacuke; Lr Avocet and Apav 1; Yr Avocet and Apav 1 were evaluated in field

| Variety      | Year of release | Type  | Cross                                      |
|--------------|-----------------|-------|--------------------------------------------|
| FRONTERA    | 1943            | Tall  | FRONTERA/HOPE/MEDITERRANEAN                |
| SUPREMO 211 | 1943            | Tall  | SUPRESA/HOPE/MEDITERRANEAN                |
| MARROQUI 588 | 1945            | Tall  | FLORENCE/AURORE                            |
| CANDEAL 48  | 1948            | Tall  | SELECCION CANDEAL                          |
| CHAPINGO 48 | 1948            | Tall  | NEWTATCH/MARROQUI                          |
| KENTANA 48  | 1948            | Tall  | KENYA 32/MENTANA                           |
| YAQUI 48    | 1948            | Tall  | NEWTATCH/MARROQUI                          |
| YAQUI 48    | 1948            | Tall  | NEWTATCH/MARROQUI                          |
| EGIPTO 101  | 1950            | Tall  | KENYA GOVERNOR= K155                      |
| HUAMANTLA   | 1950            | Tall  | FROCOR/FRONTERA/YAQUI 50                   |
| LERMA       | 1960            | Tall  | MENTANA 3/KENYA                            |
| LERMA 50    | 1960            | Tall  | MENTANA 3/KENYA                            |
| LERMA ROJO  | 1950            | Tall  | LEE/FRONTERA/KENTANA 52A                   |
| NAYAR       | 1950            | Tall  | NEWTATCH/A GUERI A 4/MTA/TH                |
| VERANO PELON| 1950            | Tall  | NEWTATCH/A GUERI A 4/MTA/TH                |
| YAQUI 50    | 1950            | Tall  | NEWTATCH/MARROQUI                          |
| KENTANA 51  | 1951            | Tall  | KENYA 32/MENTANA                           |
| BAJIO 52    | 1952            | Tall  | YAQUI 48/KENTANA 48                        |
| CANDEAL 52  | 1952            | Tall  | NEWTATCH/TORREON                           |
| KENTANA 52  | 1952            | Tall  | KENYA 32/MENTANA                           |
| YAKTANA TARDIO (YAKNA T) | 1952 | Tall  | YAQUI 48/KENTANA 48                      |
| BAJIO 53    | 1953            | Tall  | YAQUI 48/KENTANA 48                        |
| CHAPINGO 53 | 1953            | Tall  | KENTANA 48/YAQUI 48                       |
| CONSTITUCION| 1953            | Tall  | MARROQUI 588/TH/EYPT 101/GABO             |
| TOLUCA 53   | 1953            | Tall  | YAQUI 48/KENTANA 48                        |
| YAQUI 53    | 1953            | Tall  | YAQUI 48/EYPT 101/GABO                    |
| HUAMANTLA ROJO (HUJA R) | 1954 | Tall  | LEE/FRONTERA/KENTANA 52A                  |
| KENTANA 54  | 1954            | Tall  | KENTANA/RIO NEGRO                         |
| LERMA ROJO  | 1954            | Tall  | LERMA 50/Y 48/2/ME/SPO211                 |
| MAYO 54     | 1954            | Tall  | EGYPT 101/GABO/MAYO 48                    |
| NARINO 59   | 1959            | Tall  | FROCOR/MCM/KT48/Y48                      |
| CRESPO 63   | 1963            | Dwarf | Y50/N10b/I52/3/2/Lr                       |
| LERMA ROJO 64 | 1964        | Dwarf | Sn64a/T4/2pp/Nal60                        |
| JARAL F86   | 1966            | Dwarf | T22/22a/Sn64a                             |
| TOBARI F66  | 1966            | Dwarf | T22/22a/Sn64a                             |
| BAJIO M67   | 1967            | Dwarf | L64/Sn64                                  |
| NORTENO M67 | 1967            | Dwarf | Cno/Sn64/Kr3/3/8156                      |
| SARIC F70   | 1970            | Dwarf | Fraternal Selection                       |
| CHAPINGO VF74 | 1974       | Dwarf | CIOANO F 67/SIETE CERROS T 66/CC/TOBARI F 66 |
| ORIZABA 77  | 1977            | Dwarf | Inia66/Cno/Cal3/3/Yr resel (b)            |
| YECCORATO F77 | 1977        | Dwarf | Hop/Ron/Kal                               |
| HUASTECCO M81 | 1981         | Dwarf | Yr resel(b)/Trl/Rek/Tml                   |
| VICTORIA M81 | 1981          | Dwarf | TRY7617/F3/3/H/RA/2F2                    |
| GUARINA 85  | 1985            | Dwarf | las68/4/Cal/Bb/Cf3/3/3/Aid                |
| CURINDA M87 | 1987            | Dwarf | PV/Vee/6                                  |
| MOCHIS T88  | 1988            | Dwarf | BuG/T22/22pp/n46/Cno67/3/P1t               |
| TEPOCA M89  | 1989            | Dwarf | Cno67/Mfc/Moncho/Ser7 M92                 |
| BATAN F92   | 1992            | Dwarf | Vee/Kor                                   |
| HUITES F95  | 1995            | Dwarf | Bor155/Rabe                               |
| PALMERIN F2005 | 2005      | Dwarf | 845.63.6/SL/SUM/CUBA/3/CALIOPA-E/B/4/LIMP  |
experiments conducted at the CIMMYT-CENEB (Campo Experimental Normal E. Borlaug) in Cd. Obregon, Sonora and at El Batan (BV) research stations in Mexico. Cultivars were evaluated at CIMMYT-CENEB for stem rust during 2014–15, 2015–16, and 2016–17 and against LR during the 2014–15, 2015–16, and during summer 2016 at El Batan. The cultivars were evaluated against yellow rust at the CIMMYT-Toluca (MV) experimental station during 2014, 2015, 2016, and at BV during 2016. All the cultivars were also evaluated during 2016 at the off main growing seasons for SR (Ug99) at Kenya Agricultural Research Institute (KARI).

In all locations and years, about 5-g seed of each cultivar was sown in 0.7-m long paired-row raised-bed plots with a spacing of 0.3 m between them in Mexico and on flat beds in Njoro, Kenya, where one row was left unplanted between plots.

Spreader rows were planted on each side of the experimental area, as well as hill plots in the middle of the 30-cm pathway to the side of each experimental plot. The spreaders consisted of a mixture of susceptible cultivars (differing) for each rust disease at Cd. Obregon (Mexico). For the stem rust TTKSK race a mixture of the stem rust susceptible wheat cultivar Cacuke and six Sr24-carrying cultivars were used. For leaf rust, a mixture of Avocet resistant to yellow rust was used, whereas in the case of YR, a mixture of wheat cultivars carrying Yr9+Yr27, Morocco, and Avocet near-isogeneic cultivars for gene Yr17 and Yr3I was used as spreader.

Spreader Inoculation
The stem rust and leaf rust spreaders at Cd. Obregon were inoculated with spores of the Mexican Pgt race RTR and Ptl race MBJ/SP (Singh, 1991), respectively, suspended in Soltrol 170 at a concentration of 5 mg/ml 8 weeks after sowing. In Njoro, the spreaders were inoculated with a field collection of stem rust races including TTKSK by spraying with a mixture of urediniospores suspended in water at a concentration of 5 mg/ml plus Tween 20 and performing needle inoculations using the same suspension (Jin et al., 2008).

For the yellow rust evaluations, the Mexican Pst race Mex14.191 suspended in Soltrol 170 at a concentration of 5 mg/ml was inoculated into YR spreaders (Ponce-Molina et al., 2018). The inoculation method was as described by Lan et al. (2014).

### Rust Evaluation
Disease severity (DS) on the cultivars was scored at two to three times in each experiment using the modified Cobb’s scale (Peterson et al., 1948) and host response to infection was determined according to Roelfs et al. (1992).

Cultivars were further categorized based on the final DS (Singh et al., 2008; Huerta-Espino et al., 2013) as following: near-immune (NI, 1% severity), resistant (R, 5–10% severity), resistant to moderately resistant (RMR, 15–20% severity), moderately resistant (MR, 30% severity), moderately resistant to moderately susceptible (MRMS, 40% severity), moderately susceptible (MS, 50–60% severity), moderately susceptible to susceptible (MSS, 70–80% severity), and susceptible (S, 90–100% severity) compared to the necrotic status, due to 100% DS, for the susceptible checks as follows: stem rust RTR-Apav 1; TTKSK (UG99) Cacuke; leaf rust Avocet and Apav 1; for yellow rust Avocet and Apav 1.

### Leaf Tip Necrosis (LTN) and Pseudo Black Chaff (PBC) or Brown Necrosis
LTN and PBC morphological traits were scored as “+” when visible at all locations and years; if not clear or the presence of PBC was in doubt, we did not give the “+” score.

### Genotyping Slow Rusting Genes via Molecular Markers
Gene-based and closely linked molecular markers were used to determine the presence of the resistance alleles of genes Lr34, Lr46, Lr67, Lr68, and Sr2 (Supplemental Table 2). For Lr34, a SNP marker designed around the 3bp indel in exon 11 was used (Lagudah et al., 2009). The Lr46 gene is not cloned yet, therefore functional markers were not available. Two SNP markers in the proximity of Lr46 were therefore deployed (Viccar, L., Chandramohan, S., and Lagudah, E. unpublished data). For Lr67, three diagnostic markers were applied, one sequence tag site (STS marker) and two SNP markers. Markers included the functional SNP observed in exon 2 of the gene (SNP_TM4) (Moore et al., 2015). A SNP marker derived from the linked CAPS marker, cSLBNLRR, was used to determine the resistance allele for the gene Lr68. As with Lr46, Lr68, and Sr2 have not yet been cloned and hence no functional marker at

| Rust          | Race     | Avirulence genes                                            | Virulence genes                                      | Reference           |
|---------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Stem rust     | RTR      | Sr7a, Sr9e, 10, 12, 13, 14, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 35, | Sr5, 6, 7b, 8a, 8b, 9a, 9b, 9d, 9f, 9g, 11, 15, 17, 21, 28, 34, 36 | Singh, 1991        |
|               | TTKSK    | Sr24, 36, Tmp                                              | Sr5, 6, 7b, 8a, 9a, 9b, 9d, 9e, 9g, 10, 11, 17, 30, 31, 38,  | Jin et al., 2007   |
| Stripe rust   | Mex14.191| Yr1, 4, 5a, 10, 15, 24, 26, 5b, Poli                        | Yr2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 17, 27, 31, A                     | Randhawa et al., 2018|
| Leaf rust     | MBJ/SP   | Lr2a, 2b, 2c, 3a, 9, 16, 19, 21, 24, 25, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 36 | Lr1, 3, 8b, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14a, 14b, 15, 17a, 18, 20, 23, (2b) 27+31 | Herrera-Foessel et al., 2012 |
present exist. To infer the resistance allele for Sr2, a SNP marker based on linked CAPS marker cSr2 was applied (Mago et al., 2011a). In addition to gene-based markers for slow rusting resistance, markers for the two most important height reducing genes (Rht-B1 and Rht-D1) were evaluated.

The SNP polymorphisms were scored using Kompetitive Allele Specific PCR (KASP) reagents (www.lgcgenomics.com) in reactions containing 2.5-ml water, 2.5-ml 2xKASPar Reaction mix, 0.07-ml assay mix and 50 ng of dried DNA with a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) profile of 94°C for 15 min activation time followed by 20 cycles of 94°C for 10 s, 57°C for 5 s, and 72°C for 10 s which were then followed by 18 cycles of 94°C for 10 s, 57°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 40 s. Fluorescence was read as an end point reading at 25°C.

The PCR assay reaction mixture in single 10-µl reactions used to amplify the STS marker for Lr67 contained final concentrations of 1× Buffer with Green Dye (Promega Corp., US), 200 µM deoxynucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs), 1.2 mM magnesium chloride (MgCl2), 0.25 µM of each primer, 1U of DNA polymerase (GoTaq®Flexi, Promega Corp., Cat. # M8295) and 50ng of DNA template. The PCR profile was 94°C for 2 min followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 56°C and 72°C for 2 min. The amplified products were separated on 1.2% agarose gels in tris-acetate/ethylene-diamine-tetra acetic acid (TAE) buffer.

RESULTS

Cultivars under evaluation were separated into two groups, tall and dwarf in order to see the possible negative or positive effect of the dwarfing genes on the disease resistance. The grouping allowed us to estimate the frequency, i.e., presence/absence of the slow rusting resistance genes before and after the dwarfing genes were introduced and other relatively recent released materials.

Seedling Testing

At seedling stage, all tall cultivars tested (except Marroqui 588 and Lerma 50) were resistant to the RTR stem rust race; all dwarfs were also resistant to Pgt race RTR. Yaqui 50, Yaqui 53, Bajojo 53, Chapingo 53, and Crespo 63 were resistant to the TTKSK (Ug99) stem rust race and the rest were susceptible. In the case of leaf rust, most cultivars tested were susceptible except Bajojo 52, Toluca 53, and Bajojo 53 among the tall (Table 3) and Curinda M86 and Mochis T88 among the dwarfs (Table 4). In the case of yellow rust, a behavior like that of leaf rust was observed, where most of the cultivars were susceptible as seedlings to race MEX14.191, except for Marroqui 588, Chapingo 48 and Kentana 48 among the tall, and Tobari F66, Orizaba 77, Huasteco M81, Huites F95, and Maya S2007 among the dwarfs.

Field Testing

Despite seedling resistance against Pgt race RTR of stem rust, different degrees of final disease severities were observed among the tall cultivars. These responses varied from near immune (NI) response in Candeal 48, Candeal 52, Verano Pelon, and Egipto101, to MSS in Lerma 50; and from NI in Saric F70, Guarina 85, Palmerin F2005 and Chapingo VF74, to MRMS in Curinda M87 among the dwarfs (Tables 3 and 4; Figures 1 and 2). The response of the cultivars to the TTKSK race was similar, but the number of resistant were less, and no NI were observed. Among the seedling susceptible (SS), Toluca 53 was resistant; the seedling resistant Crespo 63, Chapingo 53, Yaqui 50, and Yaqui 53 were classified as resistant in the field among the tall cultivars. Saric F70, Guarina 85, and Maya 74 were susceptible at seedling stage, but resistant in the field. In contrast, Kentana 54 among the tall cultivars, Mochis T88 and Yecorato F77 among the dwarfs showed the highest DS against stem rust race TTKSK.

In the case of leaf rust, most of the cultivars varied from near immunity to resistant in both Tall and Dwarf cultivars. Crespo 63, Kentana 52, Yactanta Tardio, Frontera, Candeal 48, Yaqui 50, Egypt 101, Kentana 51, Candeal 52, Narinio 59, Bajojo53, and Toluca 53 were NI. Among the dwarfs, Mochis T88 and Palmerin F2005 were NI, whereas Tobari 66, Jaral F66, Saric F70, Orizaba 77 Guarina 85, and Tepoca M89 were resistant to leaf rust race MBI/SP, except for Chapingo VF74 (MRMS).

Yellow rust resistance levels were less than those shown by tall and dwarf cultivars in the response to leaf rust. However, Kentana 52 and Crespo 63 among the tall cultivars were SS but highly resistant, respectively at the adult stage, other seedling resistant cultivars were Narinio 59, Chapingo 48, and Kentana 48. Whereas Orizaba 77, Chapingo VF74, Palmerin F2005, Maya S2007, and Huites F95 were classified into the resistant group.

LTN and PBC

LTN (Figures 3 and 4) was observed in almost all cultivars tested, except for Candeal 48 Candeal 52 and Yakanta Tardio. In contrast, PBC or brown necrosis (Figure 5) was not clear or conspicuous in Lerma, Huamatla, Kentana 52, Huamantla Rojo, Yakanta Tardio, and Toluca 53 among the tall cultivars (Table 5) or in Orizaba 77, Huites F95, Bajojo M67, Yecorato F77, Victoria M81, and Tepoca M89 among the dwarf cultivars (Table 6).

Molecular Marker Detection

All genotypes tested were positive for one or more of the molecular markers associated with the presence of Lr34/Yr18/ Sr57/Ltn1, Lr46/Yr29/Sr58/Ltn2, Lr67/Yr46/Sr55/Ltn3, and Lr68/ Ltn4 (Tables 5 and 6). Among the tall genotypes, markers indicative of the presence of Lr34 and Lr46 were the most common, followed by the markers associated with Lr67 and Lr68 (Table 5). Few cultivars showed positive association with a single marker, i.e., Lr34 alone in Yakanta Tardio; Lr46 alone in Candeal 48, Yaqui 48, Candeal 52, and Bajojo 53; Lr67 alone in Yaqui 50, Chapingo 53 Yaqui 53, and Constitucion. However, no cultivar with the Lr68 linked marker alone was identified. The gene combinations, Lr34 +Lr46 was the most frequent, followed by Lr46+Lr67. The combination Lr46+Lr68 was only present in Egypt 101 and Lr34+Lr46+Lr68 was identified in Frontera. The combinations Lr34+Lr67, Lr34+Lr68, and Lr67+Lr68 were not observed among the cultivars tested.

All cultivars positive for Lr67 were tested several times including RL6077 in order to validate the marker(s) and
| VARIETY       | Year of Release | STERM RUST          | Leaf RUST          | YELLOW RUST         |
|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
|               |                 | RTR         | TTKSK         | RTR        | TTKSK         |
| SUPREMO 211   | 1945            | 2+         | 5           | R           | 3           | 15         | RMR       | 4           | 15         | RMR       |
| MARROQUI 588  | 1945            | 3+         | 40          | MRMS        | 3           | 60         | MS        | 4           | 5          | RMR       |
| FRONTERA      | 1945            | X          | 60          | MS          | 3           | 60         | MS        | 4           | 1          | RMR       |
| CANDEAL 48    | 1948            | 2C         | 10          | R           | 3           | 30         | MR        | 4           | 10         | RMR       |
| CHAPINGO 48   | 1948            | X          | 20          | RMR         | 3           | 20         | RMR       | 4           | 70         | MSS       |
| YAQUI 48      | 1948            | X          | 60          | MS          | 3           | 60         | MS        | 4           | 5          | R         |
| VERANO        | 1950            | 2           | 1           | Ni          | 3           | 15         | RMR       | 4           | 5          | R         |
| PELON         |                 |             |             |             |             |             |           |             |             |           |
| EGYPT 101     | 1950            | 2           | 1           | Ni          | 3           | 20         | RMR       | 4           | 10         | RMR       |
| YAQUI 50      | 1950            | 2C         | 5           | R           | 2           | 10         | R         | 4           | 1          | Ni        |
| YAQUI 50      | 1950            | X           | 5           | R           | 3           | 20         | RMR       | 4           | 15         | Ni        |
| LERMA ROJO    | 1950            | 2           | 10          | R           | 3           | 60         | MS        | 4           | 5          | R         |
| LERMA         | 1950            | ;1          | 30          | MR          | 3           | 60         | MS        | 4           | 5          | R         |
| NAYAR         | 1950            | 2+:C       | 40          | MRMS        | 3           | 40         | MRMS      | 3+          | 5          | R         |
| HUAMANTLA     | 1950            | ;1=         | 40          | MRMS        | 3+          | 50         | MS        | 4           | 5          | R         |
| LERMA 50      | 1950            | 3           | 70          | MSS         | 3           | 60         | MS        | 4           | 5          | R         |
| KENTANA 51    | 1951            | 2           | 60          | MS          | 3+          | 60         | MS        | 4           | 1          | Ni        |
| CANDEAL 52    | 1952            | 2+          | 0           | Ni          | 3+          | 40         | MRMS      | 4           | 1          | Ni        |
| YAKNA T       | 1952            | ;1          | 20          | RMR         | 3+          | 40         | MRMS      | 4           | 0          | Ni        |
| KENTANA 52    | 1952            | 2+          | 40          | MRMS        | 3+          | 40         | MRMS      | 4           | 0          | Ni        |
| BAJO 52       | 1952            | 2C          | 40          | MRMS        | 2+          | 40         | MRMS      | X           | 5          | R         |
| YAQUI 53      | 1953            | ;1          | 10          | R           | 2           | 10         | R         | 4           | 10         | R         |
| CHAPINGO 53   | 1953            | 2C          | 10          | R           | 3           | 30         | MR        | 4           | 10         | R         |
| CONSTITUCION  | 1953            | ;1          | 10          | R           | 3+          | 50         | MS        | 4           | 20         | RMR       |
| TOLUCA 53     | 1953            | 2C          | 20          | RMR         | 3           | 5          | R         | ;1=         | 1          | Ni        |
| BAJO 53       | 1953            | X           | 20          | RMR         | 3           | 15         | RMR       | X           | 0          | Ni        |
| LERMA ROJO    | 1954            | 1           | 20          | RMR         | 3+          | 30         | MR        | 4           | 10         | R         |
| MAYO 54       | 1954            | 2           | 30          | MR          | 3           | 40         | MRMS      | 4           | 10          | R         |
| HUA R         | 1954            | ;1          | 30          | MR          | 3+          | 60         | MS        | 4           | 5          | R         |
| KENTANA 54    | 1954            | X            | 60          | MS          | 3+          | 60         | MS        | 4           | 5          | R         |
| NARINO 59     | 1959            | 2           | 20          | RMR         | 3           | 10         | R         | 4           | 1          | Ni        |
| CRESPO 63     | 1963            | 2           | 30          | MR          | 1+          | 5          | R         | 4           | 0          | Ni        |
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| VARIETY       | Year of Release | STEM RUST |         | LEAF RUST |         | STRIPE RUST |         |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-------------|---------|
|               |                 | RTR       | TTKSK   | MBJ/SP    | MEX14.191 |
| LERMA ROJO    | 1964            | ;         | 5       | R         | 3+      | RTR         | 60      | MS     |
| TOBARI F66    | 1966            | 0;        | 10      | R         | 3+      | TTKSK       | 50      | R      |
| JARAL F66     | 1966            | 2C        | 40      | MRMS      | 3+      | MBJ/SP      | 5      | R      |
| NORTENO M67   | 1967            | ;         | 10      | MRMS      | 3+      | MEX14.191   | 5      | R      |
| TOBARI F66    | 1966            | 0;        | 10      | MRMS      | 3+      | MEX14.191   | 5      | R      |
| JARAL F66     | 1966            | 1         | 10      | MRMS      | 3+      | MEX14.191   | 5      | R      |
| NORTENO M67   | 1967            | ;         | 10      | MRMS      | 3+      | MEX14.191   | 5      | R      |
| TOBARI F66    | 1966            | ;         | 10      | MRMS      | 3+      | MEX14.191   | 5      | R      |
| JARAL F66     | 1966            | ;         | 10      | MRMS      | 3+      | MEX14.191   | 5      | R      |
| NORTENO M67   | 1967            | ;         | 10      | MRMS      | 3+      | MEX14.191   | 5      | R      |
| TOBARI F66    | 1966            | ;         | 10      | MRMS      | 3+      | MEX14.191   | 5      | R      |
| JARAL F66     | 1966            | ;         | 10      | MRMS      | 3+      | MEX14.191   | 5      | R      |
| NORTENO M67   | 1967            | ;         | 10      | MRMS      | 3+      | MEX14.191   | 5      | R      |
| TOBARI F66    | 1966            | ;         | 10      | MRMS      | 3+      | MEX14.191   | 5      | R      |
| JARAL F66     | 1966            | ;         | 10      | MRMS      | 3+      | MEX14.191   | 5      | R      |
| NORTENO M67   | 1967            | ;         | 10      | MRMS      | 3+      | MEX14.191   | 5      | R      |
the methodologies for a new discovered-cloned leaf rust resistance gene.

Among the dwarf cultivars (Table 6), the frequency of markers associated with *Lr34* and *Lr46* were the most common, followed by that of *Lr67*. *Lr46* alone was identified in Guarina 85, Huites F95, Maya S2007, Batan F92, and Curinda M87. No cultivars positive for *Lr67* alone or in combination were identified. No *Lr34* or *Lr68* alone were identified. The most common combinations were *Lr34*+*Lr46*, followed by the *Lr34*+*Lr68* in Chapingo VF74, Tobari F66, and Bajio M67. Victoria M81, Orizaba 77, and Mochis T88 were positive for the combination *Lr34*+*Lr46*+*Lr68*.

Sr2 associated molecular markers were positive in Frontera, Marroqui 588, Kentana 48, Candeal 48, Candeal 52, Yaqui 53, Bajio 53, Kentana 54, and Egiptot 101 among the tall cultivars (Table 5). Among the dwarfs, the *Sr2* molecular marker was positively associated in Tobari F66, Jaral F66, Bajio M67, Orizaba 77, Victoria M81 Curinda M87, Mochis T88, Tepeca M89, and Batan F92 (Table 6).

Molecular markers associated with the dwarfinfing genes *Rht-B1* and *Rht-D1* were identified in 18 of the 19 dwarfs. *Rht-D1* was the most common when compared to that of *Rht-B1*. Saric F70 and Victoria M81 carried the *Rht-B1*+*Rht-D1* combination. However, Curinda M87 carries neither *Rht-B1* nor *Rht-D1* (Table 6).

**DISCUSSION**

The concept of multiple disease resistance in cultivars containing the slow rusting genes and triple rust resistance were conceived by Dr. Borlaug and emphasized in his breeding schemes. Such concepts remain incorporated in the current breeding schemes at CIMMYT in the form of durable resistance genes which are effective against the actual rust races present worldwide. Bread wheat cultivars released in Mexico carried high levels of resistance to stem rust, leaf rust, and yellow rust. The molecular marker analysis revealed that most cultivars carry at least one or more of the following slow rusting resistance genes: *Lr34/Yr18/Sr57*, *Lr46/Yr29/Sr58*, *Lr67/Yr46/Sr55*, *Sr2/Yr30*, and *Lr68*. Among the tall and dwarf cultivars evaluated, there is a considerable variation in their response to rust in the different locations and years. When the presence of a single gene—based on the molecular markers of a determined bread wheat cultivar—showed a higher level of resistance compared to a cultivar with the presence of more than one resistance factor based on the markers, the difference could be attributed to the presence of additional, not yet cataloged slow rusting resistance genes. There exists great variation in cultivar resistance (APR) to the three rusts. In some cases, levels of APR are similar between cultivars independently of whether the...
cultivars were seedling resistant or susceptible. In fact, as expected, Chapingo 53, Yaqui 53, and Crespo 63, showed similar levels of APR as Supremo 211, Verano Pelon, Toluca 53, and Bajio 53 cultivars which were SS. However, the level of yellow rust resistance might not be adequate as with Verano Pelon, Yaqui 50, and Yaqui 53. The opposite was observed in the response to stem rust RTR and TTKSK races in the cultivars Frontera, Kentana 48, and Lerma 50 in which the levels of resistance to stem rust were not adequate, but high levels of resistance to yellow and leaf rust were displayed.

High levels of resistance to leaf rust exist in all the cultivars tested except for Yaqui 48 among the tall cultivars and Chapingo VF74 among the dwarfs, but response to other rust diseases varied from highly resistant to susceptible. In the case of the yellow rust, cultivars such as Kentana 48 and Kentana 52 were highly resistant but their resistance to stem rust was intermediate.

Resistance in Supremo 211, Yaqui 53, Toluca 53, Bajio 53, Narinio 59, and Crespo 63 could be attributed to the gene combination $Sr57+Sr58$ and the presence of $Sr2$ indicated by brown necrosis. InYaqui 53, however, the resistance could be attributed to the presence of $Sr55$ and $Sr2$. Supremo 211 clearly showed the necrosis in the glumes and internodes as noted by Dr. Borlaug since their release in Mexico in 1945 (Borlaug et al., 1949); however, the $Sr2$ marker used was negative in this variety. The same combination among the dwarfs Saric F70 and $Sr58+Sr2$ in Guarina 85 and Maya S2007 confers high levels of resistance.

In the case of Ug99, Chapingo 48 was positive for $Sr55$ and $Sr58$ and showed moderately resistance; but Candeal 52 was positive for $Sr2$ and $Sr58$. Among the Dwarfs, Tobari 66, Orizaba 77, and Palmerin F2005 were MR to leaf rust, but moderate susceptible to Ug99 stem rust.
Gene combinations in Verano Pelon, Egypt 101, and Yaqui 53, while being effective against stem and leaf rust races, may not be enough against present yellow rust races with more virulence factors. The opposite can be found where gene combinations Sr57+Sr58 in Kentana 51 and Kentana 52, or Sr57+Sr58+Sr2 in Kentana 48 are highly effective against leaf and yellow rust, but moderately susceptible to RTR and Ug99 stem rust.

Mayo 54 and Marroqui 588, although resistant to leaf and yellow rust, showed marginal resistance to stem rust RTR and Ug99 races. Constitucion (Sr55/Lr67/Yr46), on the other hand, was resistant to stem and leaf rust, but moderately susceptible to Ug99 and yellow rust. Chapingo VF74 and Huites F95 were resistant to yellow and stem rust but moderately resistant to leaf rust and Ug99 stem rust. Other dwarfs, such as Jaral 66, Curinda M87, and Mochis T88 although showing an adequate level of resistance to leaf rust, demonstrated low resistance to stem rust RTR, Ug99 and yellow rust.

It is expected that, as the number of slow rusting resistance alleles increases in the cultivars, the levels of slow rusting resistance should increase (Singh et al., 2000). Near immunity is expected against leaf rust when two to three slow rusting genes are together (Singh et al., 2000). The same is expected when three to four genes are present against yellow rust and more than five in the case of stem rust (Knott, 1988). Although that has proven to be true in most cases, cultivars in our study showed near immunity response to stem rust race while associated with one or two markers as was observed in Candeal 48 and Candeal 52 with Sr58 or in Yaqui 53 with Sr2+Sr55 and Constitucion with Sr55, indicating that other nonidentified slow rusting genes are present in those cultivars. Another example is the combination Sr2+Sr57+Sr58 which confers a NI response in Verano Pelon and Supremo 211 which was also found in the cultivars Kentana 54 and Lerma 50; but the different response of these cultivars to stem rust indicates the presence of other resistance genes in Verano Pelon and Supremo 211. One slow rusting gene alone conferring near immunity is unusual or never yet seen; therefore, more nonidentified or not yet cataloged genes must be present.

In the case of TTKSK (Ug99) stem rust, no NI groups were observed. Combination Sr57+Sr58+Sr2 in Crespo 63, Toluca 53, and Narinio 59 were grouped in the resistant category, but the same combination in Kentana 48, Lerma 50, and Kentana 54 and others resulted in their being grouped in the moderately susceptible category (up to 60% DS), again indicating the presence of other resistance genes besides those inferred by the molecular markers tested in the study.

In the case of leaf rust, apparently, a single slow rusting gene is enough to reduce the DS to a minimum in the tall cultivars, as in Yaktana Tardio (Lr34), Candeal 48 (Lr46), and Yaqui 53 (Lr67). In other instances, a combination of two resistance genes, as in Kentana 52 (Lr34+Lr46), Yaqui 50 (Lr46+Lr67), or Egypt 101 (= Kenya Governor) (Lr46+Lr68) are required, but three are required in the case of Frontera (Lr34+Lr46+Lr68). Among the dwarfs, Palmerin F2005 was highly resistant, but DS in most cases varied from resistant in Jaral F66 (Lr46) and Guarina 85 (Lr46), to MR in Batan F92 (Lr46), Huites F95 (Lr46), and Maya S2007 (Lr46). The same grouping was observed when a two gene combination existed: in Tobari F66 (Lr34+Lr68), Saric F70 (Lr34+Lr46) in the resistant group; and Bajio M67 (Lr34+Lr68) and Huasteco M81 (Lr34+Lr46) in the MR group. The gene combination of Lr34+Lr46+Lr68, present in Orizaba 77 and Victoria M81, gave a different rust response.

New races with new virulences and adaptation to warmer temperatures are common in the yellow rust populations. Therefore, a single resistance gene is not going to be enough, as in the case of leaf rust, i.e., Yaktana Tardio (positive for Yr18) showed a maximum DS of 40%. Among the cultivars positive for the Yr29+Yr30, Candeal 48, Candeal 52, Bajio 53, and Yaqui 48 showed different degrees of resistance. Yaqui 53 (Yr30+Yr46) evidently carries additional alleles in order to be able to reduce the DS. The Yr18+Yr29 combination was very effective in Bajio 53, but less in Nayar, Lerma or Huamantla Rojo. Ten cultivars carried the Yr18+Yr29+Yr30 combination, which showed differing degrees of resistance, indicating that Narinio 59, Crespo 63, and Kentana 48 evidently carry additional resistance alleles.
TABLE 5 | Molecular markers associated with the slow rusting resistance genes Lr34/Yr18/Sr57/Ltn1, Lr46/Yr29/Sr58/Ltn2, Lr67/Yr46/Sr55/Ltn3, Lr68, Sr2/Yr30, and the morphological traits leaf tip necrosis (LTN) and pseudo black chaff (PBC) displayed by tall Mexican wheat cultivars.

| VARIETY          | Year of release | Morphological trait | Molecular Marker | Stem rust |
|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------|
| SUPREMO 211      | 1945            | +                   | Lr34, TCCIND     | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| FRONTERA         | 1945            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| MARROQUI 688     | 1945            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| CHAPINGO 48      | 1948            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| KENTANA 48       | 1948            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| CANDEAL 48       | 1948            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| YAJUI 48         | 1948            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| YAJUI 50         | 1950            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| VERANO PELON     | 1950            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| NAYAR 68         | 1950            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| CRESPO 63        | 1950            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| LERMA 50         | 1950            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| LERMA ROJO       | 1950            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| HUAMANTLA        | 1950            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| EGYPT 101        | 1950            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| KENTANA 51       | 1951            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| KENTANA 52       | 1952            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| BAJIO 52         | 1952            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| CANDEAL 52       | 1952            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| YAKTANA TARD.    | 1952            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| YAJUI 53         | 1953            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| CONSTITUCION     | 1953            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| TOLUCA 53        | 1953            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| BAJIO 54         | 1954            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| MAYO 54          | 1954            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| LERMA ROJO       | 1954            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| HUAMANTLA ROJO   | 1954            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| KENTANA 54       | 1954            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |
| NARINO 59        | 1959            | +                   | Lr34             | Lr34 + Lr46 |

TABLE 6 | Molecular markers associated with the slow rusting resistance genes Lr34/Yr18/Sr57/Ltn1, Lr46/Yr29/Sr58/Ltn2, Lr67/Yr46/Sr55/Ltn3, Lr68, Sr2/Yr30, dwarfin genes Rht-B1 and Rht-D1, and the morphological traits leaf tip necrosis (LTN) and pseudo black chaff (PBC) displayed by dwarf Mexican wheat cultivars.

| VARIETY          | Year of release | Morphological Trait | Leaf rust Marker | Stem rust | Dwarfin gene |
|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------|
| LERMA ROJO 64    | 1964            | +                   | Lr46 snp        | Lr46      | Rht-B1       |
| JARAL F66        | 1966            | +                   | Lr46             | Lr46      | Rht-D1       |
| TOBARI F66       | 1966            | +                   | Lr46             | Lr46      | Rht-D1       |
| NORTENO M67      | 1967            | +                   | Lr46             | Lr46      | Rht-D1       |
| BAJIO M67        | 1967            | +                   | Lr46             | Lr46      | Rht-D1       |
| SARIC F70        | 1970            | +                   | Lr46             | Lr46      | Rht-D1       |
| CHAPINGO VF74    | 1974            | +                   | Lr46             | Lr46      | Rht-D1       |
| YECORATO F77     | 1977            | +                   | Lr46             | Lr46      | Rht-D1       |
| ORIZABA M81      | 1981            | +                   | Lr46             | Lr46      | Rht-D1       |
| VICTORIA M81     | 1981            | +                   | Lr46             | Lr46      | Rht-D1       |
| GUARINA 85       | 1985            | +                   | Lr46             | Lr46      | Rht-D1       |
| CURINDA M67      | 1987            | +                   | Lr46             | Lr46      | Rht-D1       |
| MOCHIS T88       | 1988            | +                   | Lr46             | Lr46      | Rht-D1       |
| TEPOCA M89       | 1989            | +                   | Lr46             | Lr46      | Rht-D1       |
| BATAN F92        | 1992            | +                   | Lr46             | Lr46      | Rht-D1       |
| HUITES F95       | 1995            | +                   | Lr46             | Lr46      | Rht-D1       |
| PALMERIN F2005   | 2005            | +                   | Lr46             | Lr46      | Rht-D1       |
| MAYA S2007       | 2007            | +                   | Lr46             | Lr46      | Rht-D1       |

All dwarf cultivars tested were negative for the Lr67 marker.
Under the conditions tested, this gene has no effect on yellow presence of due to the lack of the additional resistance provided by Mochis T88, were not the same as in Palmerin F2005, apparently combination in Yecorato F77, Tepoca M89, Victoria M81, and is more likely due to the presence of a race-specific gene effective at all growth stages. Recently, a study was carried out (Muleta et al., 2017) to determine if Lr68 influenced yellow rust; the authors indicated that the presence of the marker could have a disease reducing effect.

The Yr18/Yr29+Yr30 combination among the dwarfs grouped the cultivars positive for these markers into a range of resistant in Palmerin F2005, to moderately susceptible in Lerma Rojo 64. The level of disease provided by the Yr18+Yr29 combination in Yecorato F77, Tepoca M89, Victoria M81, and Mochis T88, were not the same as in Palmerin F2005, apparently due to the lack of the additional resistance provided by Yr30. The presence of Lr68 in Victoria M81 and Mochis T88 indicates that, under the conditions tested, this gene has no effect on yellow rust. The same could be true when the combination Yr18+Yr30 +Lr68, as in Chapingo VF74 and Tobari F66, was compared to Bajo 67 (Yr18+Lr68). Orizaba 77 was nearly immune to yellow rust with the Yr18+Yr29+Lr68 combination, but their resistance is more likely due to the presence of a race-specific resistance gene effective at all growth stages. Recently, a study was carried out (Muleta et al., 2017) to determine if Lr68 influenced yellow rust; the authors indicated that the presence of the marker could have a disease reducing effect.

The slow rusting APR genes Lr34/Yr18/Sr57, Lr46/Yr29/Sr58, Sr2/Yr30, and Lr68 were introduced into the Mexican germplasm in the first two cultivars released by Dr. Borlaug obtained by selection from crosses made by McFadden (Borlaug et al., 1949): Supremo 211 (Supresa/Hope/Mediterranean) and Frontera (Fronteira/Hope/Mediterranean), both sharing the same parents (Supresa = Polissu/Alfredo Chaves 6.21 and Fronteira = Polissu/Alfredo Chaves 6.21). The combination Lr46+Lr68+Sr2 was introduced through Egypt 101 (= Kenya governor). He also introduced Lr67/Sr55/Yr46 to the Mexican breeding program through Marroqui 588 (Florence/Aurore) in 1945 from Australia (Borlaug et al., 1949). Marroqui 588 is a cross made in 1922 in Australia and first released in Tunisia in 1925 (Wenholz et al., 1939). An additional source of Lr34 came to Mexico through Mentana, introduced directly from Italy (Borlaug et al., 1949); it is found in the pedigree of Kentana crosses (Kenya/Mentana). The first crosses made by Dr. Borlaug in Mexico were Marroqui 588/ Newthatch (Florence/Aurore/Hope/“Thatcher”), and Kenya/Mentana in 1945 (Stakman et al., 1967). Using a shortcut of producing two generations per year and shuttle breeding between Chapingo and the Yaqui Valley, by 1949, Yaqui 48, Chapingo 48, Nazas 48, and Kentana 48 were multiplied and released. Lr67/Yr46/Sr55/Ltn3, through Marroqui 588, added new sources of resistance to the already in use Sr2/Yr30 from Hope in Supremo 211 (Supresa/Hope/Mediterranean), Lr34+Lr46 in Frontera (Fronteira/Hope/Mediterranean) and Lr34+Lr46+Lr68 released in Mexico in 1945. Marroqui 588 was crossed with Thatcher and the cross was designated as C5 (Gutierres-Cruz, 1956). C5 was released in Mexico as Chapala. In our study, seed of this variety did not germinate, but DNA extracted from the seed indicated that Chapala was positive for the Lr67 marker. C5 also appears in the pedigree of Anahuac Barbon, Anahuac Pelon, Constitucion, and Leon 15, cultivars all positive for the Lr67 marker (data not shown) and was used intensively as a recurrent resistant parent (Gutierres-Cruz, 1956). The presence or absence of a resistance gene in a cultivar is the result of the presence of the gene in the parents; for example in the case of Lr67, the absence of the gene among the dwarfs can be explained by its absence in the parents rather than the effect of the dwarfing gene Rht-D1 located in the same chromosome (4DL), as has been suggested (Moore et al., 2015).

Lr34/Yr18/Sr57 and Lr67/Yr46/Sr55 molecular markers are undoubtedly linked to the resistance genes and we are confident that the cultivars mentioned indeed carry the gene(s) as is reflected by their levels of resistance. In contrast, despite molecular markers associated with Sr2/Yr30 and Lr46/Yr29/Sr58 not being diagnostic, the presence of brown necrosis and LTN lends support for the inferred presence of Sr2 and Lr46, particularly when LTN is present, and cultivars are negative for the other diagnostic markers.

Lr34 was first described in Canada by Dyck (1977) in the cultivar Frontana, Lr46 in Mexico by Singh et al. (1998) in the variety Pavon F76, and Lr67 by Dyck and Samborski (1979) in the Pakistani accession PI250413. Because NILs RL6077 and RL6058 showed similar responses to leaf rust, we used them at CIMMYT as sources of Lr34 until diagnostic markers were developed for Lr34 that showed otherwise (Kolmer et al., 2008; Krattinger et al., 2009; Lagudah et al., 2009; Spielmyer et al., 2013). Subsequently, the Lr67/Yr46 locus, which conferred resistance to leaf rust and yellow rust, was mapped to chromosome 4DL in two independent mapping studies (Hiebert et al., 2010; Herrera-Foessel et al., 2011). Herrera-Foessel et al. (2012) described Lr68 being present in a cultivar derived from the wheat cultivar Parula. All these adult plant slow rusting resistance genes have been used in the Mexican breeding program led by Dr. Borlaug since the release of Supremo 211 (Lr34+Lr46), Frontera (Lr34+Lr46+Lr68) in 1945, and Chapingo 48 (Lr46+Lr67) in 1948 (Borlaug et al., 1949).
however, the impact on yield is minimum compared to the protection offered by the slow rusting genes and the impact on rust epidemiology (Singh and Huerta, 1997).

Another important durable APR gene that has provided effective resistance for many years is the Sr2 gene, which, in combination with other unknown minor genes, is referred to as the Sr2 complex (Rajaram et al., 1988; Singh et al., 2006). This gene, besides conferring resistance to stem rust, confers resistance to yellow rust (Singh et al., 2000; Singh et al., 2005; Mago et al., 2011b). Sr2 can be associated or identified by the presence of a morphological trait observed as a result of a black pigmentation called brown necrosis or Pseudo-black Chaff which occurs around the glumes and the internodes of the stem after anthesis (McFadden, 1939; Borlaug et al., 1949). It has varying degrees of expression depending on the cultivar and environment (Singh et al., 2008).

McFadden (1937) indicates that brown necrosis was the result of infection by stem rust; but Mishra et al. (2005) suggested that resistance was not always associated with brown necrosis; and Kota et al. (2006) reported that the two traits were inseparable by recombination which was subsequently confirmed by Juliana et al. (2015). The presence of necrosis can be noticed in the absence of the disease. The Sr2 gene was first introduced into the Mexican germplasm by Dr. Borlaug in the Cultivar Supremo 211 (a Hope-derived cross made by McFadden) (McFadden, 1930) and remains as the backbone of stem rust resistance and is associated with the brown necrosis trait (Borlaug et al., 1949).

The slow rusting genes $Lr34$, $Lr46$, $Lr67$, and Sr2 can be considered as backbone genes, which present in combination with other major genes and/or with known or unknown small effect or minor genes (QTLs), have provided effective resistance over the years in wheat improvement (Ellis et al., 2014). $Lr68$ can be added to the backbone genes as a component of useful slow rusting genes that are important contributors to durable leaf rust resistance. The findings from the Mexican wheats lend further support to the significance of these backbone slow rusting APR genes, albeit in combination with unknown genes, in developing more durable rust resistance in wheat.
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