INTRODUCTION

Previous studies have shown that depression negatively affects patients’ adherence to treatment and the effectiveness of rehabilitation program interventions. Therefore, it is important to screen patients for depression before they undergo rehabilitation.

To date, subjective assessments of depression have been mainly conducted via self-report methods, whereas endocrine/immunological evaluations have been used to obtain objective assessments. The majority of physiotherapists assess depression with self-report methods, because it is seldom practical to evaluate endocrine/immunological parameters in the clinical setting. However, existing evidence suggests that subjective assessments should not be performed for patients with significant cognitive impairments; the identification of depressive symptoms is especially problematic in patients with dementia or those with difficulties in verbal communication.

Previous studies have suggested an association between depression and autonomic activity. Autonomic activity has been systematically investigated by monitoring heart rate variability (HRV), which is simple non-invasive technique. High-frequency (HF), low-frequency (LF), and very low-frequency (VLF) bands can be extracted from HRV data. The HF band indicates vagal activity, whereas the LF/HF ratio reflects sympathetic activity. The VLF band represents the balance between sympathetic and vagal activity and has also been linked to the renin–angiotensin system and chronic inflammation.

A recent meta-analysis investigating the association between depression and HRV found that depressed older adults may have lower HRV, particularly LF-HRV, but HRV in the...
VLF band was not considered in the analysis because of insufficient data. \(^{12}\) Patients admitted to rehabilitation wards tend to be older and present with some disease because they are inpatients recovering from the acute phase of an emergency illness. \(^{13}\) Previous studies have shown that the level of chronic inflammation tends to be higher in inpatients presenting with disease, \(^{14}\) and that chronic inflammation is associated with the VLF band of HRV. \(^{10,11}\) Aging also increases chronic inflammation. \(^{15}\) Furthermore, depressive symptoms and chronic inflammation are associated with each other. \(^{16}\)

Therefore, we hypothesized that higher levels of depression would be associated with lower variability in the VLF band. The objective of this study was to determine the relationship between depression and the VLF band of HRV in patients admitted to a rehabilitation ward.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Research Period and Patient Recruitment**

The research period for the study was from July to October 2018. The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) undergoing rehabilitation for musculoskeletal disease or disuse syndrome; 2) available for evaluation within 30 days of hospitalization; and 3) received the lowest gait monitoring level in the ward [Functional Independence Measure (FIM) score ≥5 for mobility items]. The FIM is an evaluation chart for activities of daily living with 18 items, each rated on a 7-point scale. Of the 18 motor items, 6 items are for self-care, 2 items are for elimination control, 5 items are for transfer, and 5 items are for mobility. The FIM score ranges from 18 to 126, with higher scores indicating a greater ability to perform activities of daily living. \(^{17}\) The following exclusion criteria were used for our study: inability to provide written informed consent; difficulties communicating because of cognitive decline; arrhythmia; history of atrial fibrillation or cerebrovascular disease; New York Heart Association class III heart failure; or a postoperative diagnosis of malignant tumor. During the study period, 10 of 30 patients admitted to the convalescent rehabilitation ward met all of the inclusion criteria and were included in the study. Five patients of the 30 patients did not give consent to participate in the study and 15 patients were excluded because of communication difficulties (Fig. 1).

**Patient Characteristics**

The following patient characteristics, laboratory parameters, and assessment results were extracted from the electronic health records: sex, age, diagnosis, body mass index, medical history, serum albumin, serum hemoglobin, C-reactive protein, Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index (GNRI), Berg Balance Scale (BBS), Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB), and Hasegawa’s Dementia Scale (HDS-R).

The GNRI assesses nutritional status with the following three objective parameters: weight, height, and serum albumin. Patients with a GNRI higher than 98 are classified as having a normal nutritional status. Mild, moderate, and severe malnutrition are indicated by GNRI scores of 92–98, 82–91, and less than 82, respectively. \(^{18}\) The BBS evaluates balancing ability in elderly individuals. It consists of 14 items and is rated on a scale of 0 to 4; the maximum total score is 56. \(^{19}\) The SPPB assesses balance, gait, strength, and endurance. The summary score ranges from 0 to 12, with higher scores indicating a better function. \(^{20}\) HDS-R, first proposed in 1991, is a screening test for age-related dementia. \(^{21}\) It consists of nine simple questions, with a maximum total score of 30 points.

**Depression**

Depression was assessed using the Self-Rating Depression Scale (SDS) questionnaire. Items are categorized into depressive main emotions (2 items), physical symptoms (8 items), and psychological symptoms (10 items). \(^{22}\) The assessment was conducted by the ward physiotherapist or occupational therapist in the speech and hearing room between 8:40 and 10:00 a.m., before the start of the rehabilitation intervention.

**HRV**

HRV was measured within 30 days of admission using an active tracer (AC-301, GMS, Tokyo, Japan). A physical therapist from the ward visited each patient’s room at 7:00 p.m. Electrodes were attached at the bedside and connected to the active tracer. The active tracer was fixed with a belt at the level of the umbilicus; this was worn for 12 h during the night. The ward physiotherapist visited the patient’s room the next morning at 7:00 a.m. and removed the active tracer and electrodes at the bedside. The R–R interval (time between R waves) was calculated by dividing the time series data from 9:00 p.m. to 5:00 a.m. on the next day into 5-min segments using Mem Calc (a GMS spectral analysis software) and determining the power spectral density in each segment using the maximum entropy method. The integrated power values of the VLF (0.003–0.04 Hz), LF (0.04–0.15 Hz), and HF (0.15–0.4 Hz) bands were determined, and the LF/HF ratio was subsequently calculated. \(^{8}\) The frequency domain indices were log-transformed to normalize the distribution before performing statistical analysis. Previous reports have
suggested that data collected at night are more stable than data collected at other times because the effects of factors such as mental stress, exercise, and diet are eliminated.\textsuperscript{23,24)} As a result, we investigated HRV during nighttime rest.

**Statistical Analysis**

The normality of the measurements was confirmed by the Shapiro–Wilk test. Representative values of the measured indices are presented as mean ± standard deviation. The associations between depression and HRV, HDS-R, BBS, SPPB, and GNRI were assessed using Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient. Statistical analysis was performed with IBM SPSS Statistics Ver. 23. (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). The level of statistical significance was set at \( P<0.05 \).

**Ethical Considerations**

This study was conducted with the approval of the Ethics Review Committee of the International University of Health and Welfare (Approval No. 18-Io-3) and the Ethics Review Committee of Inzai General Hospital (Approval No. 3). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

**RESULTS**

**Patient Characteristics**

Patient characteristics, laboratory parameters, and assessment results are shown in Tables 1 and 2. The mean age of the 10 patients was 84.6 years. Six and four patients were undergoing rehabilitation for musculoskeletal diseases and disuse syndrome, respectively. The mean SDS, GNRI, SPPB, and BBS scores were 40.0, 89.9, 9.4, and 43.2, respectively. The mean HDS-R score was 25.5. Frequency analysis of HRV showed that the mean of ln VLF was 6.77, the mean of ln LF was 5.31, the mean of ln HF was 4.69, and the LF/HF ratio was 1.14.

**Relationship between Depression and Autonomic Activity**

The association between depression and each indicator is shown in Table 3. In addition, scatter plots of the association between depression and HRV are shown in Figs. 2 to 4. A significant negative correlation was found between the VLF band and SDS (\( \rho=-0.70, P<0.05 \)). However, SDS showed...
In this study, we investigated the relationship between depression and HRV in rehabilitation ward inpatients. The results showed that there was a significant negative correlation between SDS and the VLF band. However, SDS showed no significant correlation with LF band, HF band, HDS-R, BBS, SPPB, or GNRI.

The negative correlation between SDS and the VLF band suggests that higher severity of depression leads to lower parasympathetic nervous system activity and higher activities of the sympathetic nervous system, renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system, and hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis, which are reflected in the lower VLF. Decreased VLF bands are associated with chronic inflammation in many studies, and chronic inflammation is known to increase with age. In addition, levels of chronic inflammation tend to be higher in hospitalized patients who present with disease, and depressive symptoms and chronic inflammation are known to be associated with each other. In other words, the results of the present study, which showed a significant negative correlation between SDS and VLF, suggest that the level of chronic inflammation may be higher in patients admitted to rehabilitation wards.

Previous studies have used LF and HF variabilities and LF/HF ratio as objective measures of changes in autonomic function associated with depression. Other studies have also found that HF variability is decreased in depressed adults and depressed children. In a studies of patients aged over 60 years, LF band was found to be decreased when depression was present. In comparison to the previous studies described above, the subjects of the current study were recovering from the acute phase of an emergency illness, were in the rehabilitation ward, and very old. In addition, each of them was in a convalescent but diseased state, suggesting that chronic inflammation may have been increased. This characteristic of patients admitted to the rehabilitation ward may have affected the depressive symptoms of the subjects in this study and may have been associated with the VLF band.

The results the present study suggest that the VLF band may be one of the indicators of autonomic activity that captures depression in inpatients in rehabilitation wards because VLF variability showed a significant association with depression when compared with LF and HF variabilities, which have been associated with depression in previous studies. In the past, assessment of depression in hospitalized patients has been conducted mainly by self-report methods. However, self-report methods have been found to be problematic in identifying depressive symptoms in patients with dementia or those that have difficulty with verbal communication. In the present study, HRV analysis suggested that the VLF band and depression are interrelated and that VLF may be a use-

### Table 1. Representative patient attributes and metrics

| Item                        | All patients (n=10) |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| Male/female                 | 4/6                 |
| Age (years)                 | 84.6 ± 4.5          |
| Height (cm)                 | 152.5 ± 9.8         |
| Weight (kg)                 | 46.5 ± 9.2          |
| BMI (kg/m²)                 | 19.9 ± 3.1          |
| Alb (g/ml)                  | 3.77 ± 0.43         |
| Hb (g/dL)                   | 11.3 ± 1.6          |
| CRP (mg/dL)                 | 0.25 ± 0.26         |
| GNRI score                  | 89.9 ± 21.5         |
| HDS-R score                 | 25.5 ± 2.6          |
| FIM mobility items score    | 5.8 ± 0.4           |
| SPPB score                  | 9.4 ± 1.5           |
| BBS score                   | 43.2 ± 9.0          |
| SDS score                   | 40.0 ± 6.7          |
| ln VLF                      | 6.77 ± 0.74         |
| ln LF                       | 5.31 ± 0.80         |
| ln LF                       | 4.69 ± 0.93         |
| LF/HF                       | 1.14 ± 0.11         |

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation.
BMI, body mass index; Alb, serum albumin; Hb, serum hemoglobin; CRP, C-reactive protein.

no significant correlation with ln LF (ρ=−0.32, P=0.36), ln HF (ρ=−0.26, P=0.46), HDS-R (ρ=−0.43, P=0.20), BBS (ρ=−0.43, P=0.20), SPPB (ρ=−0.10, P=0.77), or GNRI (ρ=−0.53, P=0.11).
## Table 2. Patient assessment outcomes

| Case | Sex  | Age (years) | Diagnosis                                    | Height (cm) | Weight (kg) | BMI (kg/m²) | Alb (g/ml) | Hb (g/dL) | CRP (mg/dL) | GNRI score | HDS-R score | FIM mobility items score | SPPB score | BBS score | SDS score | ln VLF | ln LF | ln HF | ln LF/ HF |
|------|------|-------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-----------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------------------------|------------|----------|----------|--------|-------|-------|---------|
| 1    | Female | 81        | Right femoral neck fracture                  | 145         | 34.3        | 16.1        | 3.8        | 10.1      | 0.25        | 88.6       | 27          | 6            | 9           | 46         | 41         | 6.05   | 5.69  | 5.01  | 1.14   |
| 2    | Female | 78        | Post-pneumonia disuse syndrome               | 140         | 42.8        | 21.8        | 4.0        | 13.9      | 0.09        | 101.1      | 28          | 6            | 8           | 38         | 40         | 7.33   | 5.93  | 5.46  | 1.09   |
| 3    | Female | 84        | Lumbar compression fractures                 | 148         | 36.9        | 16.9        | 3.6        | 9.1       | 0.10        | 89.1       | 29          | 6            | 10          | 48         | 31         | 7.25   | 5.86  | 4.99  | 1.17   |
| 4    | Male   | 84        | Post-pneumonia disuse syndrome               | 158         | 37.2        | 14.9        | 2.9        | 10.9      | 0.90        | 78.9       | 24          | 6            | 10          | 53         | 47         | 6.11   | 4.56  | 3.53  | 1.29   |
| 5    | Female | 94        | Post-pneumonia disuse syndrome               | 155         | 44.7        | 18.6        | 3.8        | 13.7      | 0.46        | 35.3       | 27          | 6            | 8           | 48         | 52         | 5.77   | 3.67  | 2.79  | 1.32   |
| 6    | Female | 84        | Lumbar compression fractures                 | 149         | 47.9        | 21.6        | 4.0        | 11.8      | 0.08        | 100.5      | 24          | 5            | 9           | 37         | 36         | 6.37   | 4.83  | 4.09  | 1.18   |
| 7    | Female | 85        | Lumbar compression fractures                 | 143         | 50          | 24.5        | 3.8        | 12.0      | 0.05        | 108.7      | 24          | 6            | 10          | 43         | 42         | 7.11   | 5.04  | 5.13  | 0.98   |
| 8    | Male   | 81        | Fracture of right femoral tilt               | 172         | 62          | 21          | 4.5        | 10.5      | 0.09        | 96.3       | 21          | 6            | 12          | 48         | 38         | 8.08   | 5.96  | 4.93  | 1.20   |
| 9    | Male   | 86        | Left femoral neck fracture                   | 163         | 58.4        | 22          | 4.0        | 11.7      | 0.36        | 110.2      | 28          | 5            | 7           | 22         | 43         | 6.32   | 6.35  | 5.83  | 1.01   |
| 10   | Male   | 89        | Post-pneumonia disuse syndrome               | 151         | 50.6        | 22.1        | 3.3        | 9.6       | 0.15        | 90.8       | 23          | 6            | 11          | 49         | 30         | 7.30   | 5.24  | 5.21  | 1.21   |

BMI, body mass index; Alb, serum albumin; Hb, serum hemoglobin; CRP, C-reactive protein.
ful indicator of autonomic activity to capture depression in inpatients in rehabilitation wards. HRV is easy to monitor in clinical settings because it is based on a simple non-invasive measurement. The results of this study may contribute to future research to validate objective assessment of depressive symptoms for patients with dementia and those that have difficulty with verbal communication.

Some limitations are acknowledged in the present study. First, the sample size was relatively small and included a wide variety of disease, so the results may change with an increased number of subjects. There has been no analysis comparing HRV with other predictive parameters. Second, given that a cross-sectional design was used, we were unable to confirm a causal relationship between depression and autonomic activity. Furthermore, considering that our study only included patients with more than 5 points for FIM mobility, our results may not be generalizable to patients who require mobility assistance. With regard to test–retest reliability, the diurnal variation in HRV may also compromise the reliability of single-day measurement. Therefore, future prospective studies utilizing larger sample sizes are required to confirm a causal relationship between depression and autonomic activity in patients admitted to convalescent rehabilitation wards. Such studies should also consider whether the assessment of autonomic activity can be used as a clinical indicator of depression.

### CONCLUSION

The results of the current study suggest that depression is associated with the VLF band of HRV in rehabilitation ward inpatients and may reflect a state of heightened sympathetic

| Table 3. Spearman's rank correlation coefficients for association between SDS and each indicator (n=10) |
|---------------------------------|------|------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|                                | ln VLF | ln LF | ln HF | LF/HF  | HDS-R  | BBS    | SPPB   | GNRI   |
| SDS                            | −0.70* | −0.32 | −0.26 | 0.36   | −0.43  | −0.43  | −0.10  | −0.53  |

*P<0.05.
activity. Therefore, the VLF band of HRV has potential for use as a valid indicator of autonomic activity for the assessment of depression in rehabilitative settings. However, to validate the usefulness of the VLF band of HRV as an indicator for detecting depression, further research is needed. Future studies should continue to examine the reliability and validity of the measurement method of HRV in patients admitted to rehabilitation wards.
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