Specific Heat and Entropy of a Three Electron Model in Bismuth Based Cuprate Superconductor
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Abstract: A theoretical study considering Bi2201, Bi2212 and Bi2223 bismuth based cuprates whose critical Temperatures (Tc) are 20K, 95K and 110K with one, two and three CuO planes respectively; based on a three electron model in Bismuth based cuprates oxide shows that there is a direct correlation between energy of interaction and the number of CuO planes at the Tc. The specific heat for a mole of Bismuth based cuprates at Tc was found to be 7.471×10^-24JK^-1 regardless of the number of CuO planes; though the specific heat per unit mass, Sommerfeld coefficient as well as entropy per unit mass decreased with an increase in the number of CuO planes. The entropy of a mole of Bismuth based cuprates at Tc was found to be 5.603×10^-24JK^-1 irrespective of the Tc or mass. The peak Sommerfeld coefficient temperature was noted to occur at the ratio T/Tc=0.66 in the bismuth based cuprates.
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1. Introduction

Cuprate superconductivity has been studied for the past three decades due to the foreseen applications that will revolutionize the world if the microscopic mechanism behind high temperature superconductivity is discovered. Superconductivity was first discovered by Kamerligh Onnes in 1911 [1], and a further discovery of High Temperature superconductivity (HTS) by Bednorz and Mueller in 1986 [2] inspired intensive research in this area of cuprate high temperature superconductivity resulting to the discovery of Y-Ba-Cu-O [3], Bi-Sr-Ca-Cu-O [4], Ti-Ba-Ca-Cu-O [5] and Hg-Ba-Ca-Cu-O [6]. The highest achieved experimental critical temperature (Tc) is 140 K in optimally oxygen doped mercury cuprates superconductor HgBa2Ca2Cu3O8 at ambient pressure [7] and 156 K under 2.5 × 10^10 Pa pressures in the same substance [8]. Iron based HTS was discovered in 2008 [9], whereas in 2015 the highest experimental Tc of 203 K under pressures of 200 GPa was found in a non – cuprate Sulfur Hydride (H2S) [10].

The discovery of Bismuth based superconductor was first done by Michel et al., in 1987 [11]. The Tc for this bismuth based cuprates ranged between 7 and 22 K containing Bi-Sr-Cu-O. This discovery was overshadowed by the nearly immediate discovery of YBa2Cu3O7-δ which achieved a Tc of 93 K [3]. However in January 1988, Maeda et al., reported a new compound of bismuth based cuprates after adding calcium to the initial compound used by Michel et al., and achieving a Tc of about 110 K [4]. This encouraged researcher in this area to focus on bismuth based compound because the material’s Tc was above liquid nitrogen boiling point, an indication that nitrogen can be used as a cryogenic material rather than the expensive mercury. Bismuth based HTS cuprate compounds can be described by the general formula Bi2Sr2CaO2+x (n = 1, 2 and 3) where n imply the number of CuO2 planes, which results to three bismuth superconducting cuprates Bi2Sr2CuO2+x (one CuO2 plane with Tc=7-22 K), Bi2Sr2CaCu2O8+x (two CuO2 planes with Tc=85 K) and Bi2Sr2Ca2Cu3O10+x (three CuO2 planes with Tc=110 K) abbreviated as Bi2201, Bi2212 and Bi2223 respectively [4]. The maximum Tc increases with increasing number of CuO2 planes [12, 13, 14]. This gave rise to the expectation that Tc may increase further when the structural cell has more CuO2 layers [15]. Superconductivity occurs predominantly in
the CuO$_2$ planes. Interlayer and intra-layer interactions in layered HTS Cuprates play an important role in the enhancement of $T_c$ [12, 16, 17], whereas $T_c$ has been found to be proportional to the number of Cu–O layer in Bi–Sr–Ca–Cu–O and Hg–Ba–Ca–Cu–O compounds [13, 20]. Table 1 below shows the number of cuprates plane and the $T_c$ of Bismuth based HTS cuprates.

**Table 1. Bismuth based cuprates phases, their $T_c$ and Number of CuO$_2$ planes.**

| Cuprate Compound | Short hand notation | Maximum $T_c$ (K) | No of Cuprates planes |
|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Bi$_2$SrCuO$_4$  | Bi2201              | 20                | 1                     |
| Bi$_2$SrCaCu$_2$O$_8$ | Bi2212            | 95                | 2                     |
| Bi$_2$SrCaCu$_2$O$_4$ | Bi2223            | 110               | 3                     |

The Bi-based HTSC are superior to the YBCO in respect of higher $T_c$. This class of superconductors (unlike YBCO) are resistant to water or humid atmosphere and have the advantage of compositional / oxygen stability, e.g. some of its superconducting phases do not gain or lose oxygen, when the material is annealed at 850°C [12]. Another advantage of the BSCCO materials relates to the fact that Bio layers being Van der Waal bonded, this material can be easily rolled. This property has been utilized successfully for tape-casting and its texturing. Furthermore, Bi2223 has been used in making superconducting tape magnet for maglev train [19] and wires for large-scale and high-current applications [20]. This magnet is very successful and a train using this magnet has been shown to achieve a speed of up to 500 km/h [19]. However, it is generally agreed that Bi2212 samples have not reached the degree of purity and structural perfection obtained in YBCO [12]; hence a theoretical study is advised.

2. **Theoretical Formulation**

The order parameter of an interaction between Cooper pair and electron is given by a ket (1).

$$|\Psi| = \prod_{k,q=1}^n (u_k + v_k a_k^\dagger a_k^\dagger)(0)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

From (1), Cooper pair in momentum state $k$, comprises of two electrons creation operators in state $k$, i.e. spin up $a_k^\dagger$, and spin down $a_k^\dagger$. The independent electron in an excited state $q$ is created by $a_q^\dagger$ in a vacuum $|0\rangle$. Note that $u_k$ is the probability of a vacuum state $|0\rangle$ in momentum state $k$ being unoccupied by the Cooper pair $a_k^\dagger a_k^\dagger$ whereas, $v_k$ is the probability of a vacuum state $|0\rangle$ in momentum state $k$ being occupied by the Cooper pair $a_k^\dagger a_k^\dagger$. The complex conjugate for the order parameter is shown by a bra in (2) below

$$\langle \Psi | = \prod_{k,q=1}^n (0| a_q^\dagger v_k^* a_k^\dagger a_k^\dagger a_{-k}^\dagger a_{-k})$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The Hamiltonian for the interaction between Cooper pair and an electron based on Froehlich equation is given as

$$\hat{H} = \sum_q \epsilon_q a_q^\dagger a_q + \sum_k \epsilon_k a_k^\dagger a_k^\dagger a_{-k}^\dagger a_{-k} + \sum_{k,q} V_{k,q} a_q^\dagger a_k^\dagger + \sum_{k,q} V_{k,q} a_q^\dagger a_k^\dagger a_{-k}^\dagger a_{-k}$$

$$- \sum_{q,k} U_k a_q^\dagger a_k^\dagger a_{-k}^\dagger a_{-k}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

From (3), $\epsilon_q$ and $\epsilon_k$ are the kinetic energies for an electron and Cooper pair respectively. $V_{k,q}$ is the positive interaction potential between the electron and the Cooper pairs whereas $U_k$ is the negative Coulomb’s potential between the electron and the Cooper pair. The average energy needed during the interaction is written as

$$E_k = \langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Inserting (1) and its conjugate (2) as well as (3) into (4) and obeying the anti-commutation rule, the ground state energy $E_k$ is determined.

From (1), $C_v$, Sommerfeld coefficient ($\gamma$), entropy ($S$) and critical temperature ($T_c$) of the system

$$C_v = \frac{dE}{dT}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$\gamma = \frac{C_v}{T}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$S = \int C_v \frac{dT}{T}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$\left(\frac{d\gamma}{dT}\right)_{T=T_c} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

3. **Results and Discussion**

3.1. **Energy of the System**

From figure 1(a), the energy of a mole of Bi2201, Bi2212 and Bi2223 is $0.747 \times 10^{-22}$ J, $3.548 \times 10^{-22}$ J, and $4.109 \times 10^{-22}$ J respectively [13]; whereas the energy of Ti2201, Ti2212 and Ti2223 is $3.548 \times 10^{-22}$ J, $3.922 \times 10^{-22}$ J, and $4.669 \times 10^{-22}$ J respectively [13], at $T_c$. The energy per unit mass is found to be $0.05977$ J/Kg$^{-1}$, $0.2466$ J/Kg$^{-1}$ and $0.2466$ J/Kg$^{-1}$ respectively at $T_c$ as shown in figure 1(b). The shape of the graph relating energy to temperature in figure 1 is half–stretched sigmoid curves. This shape of curve was also observed by other researchers [13, 14, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25]. For the Bismuth based cuprates, a decrease in temperature results to a decrease in energy (figure 1). The effect of number of particles on the thermal properties of a heavy nuclei system showed that a decrease in temperature leads to a reduced particle interaction with a decrease in energy [26]. This concurs with observations in figures 1, that a decrease in temperature results into a decrease in energy which effectively implies a reduction in particle interaction as a result of reduced temperature. Comparatively the energy at $T=T_c$ for an electron – Cooper pair interaction for Ti2201, Ti2212 and Ti2223 is $3.548 \times 10^{-22}$ J, $3.922 \times 10^{-22}$ J, and $4.669 \times 10^{-22}$ J respectively [13]; whereas the energy of interaction for an electron – Cooper pair at $T=T_c$ is found to be $3.661 \times 10^{-22}$ J, $4.781 \times 10^{-22}$ J, and $5.043 \times 10^{-22}$ J for Hg1201, Hg1212 and Hg1223 respectively [14]. The ARPES measurements on BSCCO indicate a $d$-wave energy gap with
\( \Delta_0 \sim 30 \text{ meV} [27] \) and \( \Delta_0 \sim 27 \text{ meV} [28] \). From the comparative results it is noted that the experimental technique applied during experimental measurement determines the likely energy of interaction and it is close to our prediction for Bismuth based cuprates.

Figure 1. Energy of Bismuth based Cuprates as a function of Temperature (a) for a mole and (b) per unit mass.

3.2. Specific Heat of the System

The graph for specific heat as a function of \( T/T_C \) shown in figures 2, are skewed Gaussian shaped curves. This has been observed by other scientists for varied materials under varied conditions [29-31]. The specific heat in a mole of Bismuth based cuprates is found to be \( 7.471 \times 10^{-24} \text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \) at the \( T_C \) of Bi2201, Bi2212 and Bi2223 as shown in figure 2 (a). The specific heat per unit mass in Bismuth based cuprates is found to be 5.977 mJg\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\), 5.064 mJg\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\) and 4.393 mJg\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\) for Bi2201, Bi2212 and Bi2223 as shown in figure 2 (b). Peak specific heat occurs at critical temperature [32].

Comparatively Kibe [22] while studying the pairing symmetry of the singlet and triplet pairing observed specific heat capacity of \( 4.8 \times 10^{-23} \text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \) at \( T_C \). It has been noted that at \( T = T_C \), the specific heat for Tl2201, Tl2212 and Tl2223 is 5.337 mJg\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\), 4.597 mJg\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\), and 4.038 mJg\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\) respectively [13] whereas Hg1201, Hg1212 and Hg1223 has specific heat per unit mass of 7.463 mJg\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\), 5.839 mJg\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\), and 4.965 mJg\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\) respectively [14]. We notice that at the \( T_C \) for Bismuth based cuprates just as in the case for Thallium and mercury based HTS, as the number of CuO\(_2\) planes increases, the specific heat decreases proportionally [13, 14].

Figure 2. Specific heat for Bismuth based cuprates as a function of Temperature for (a) a mole of Bismuth based cuprates (b) a unit mass of bismuth based cuprates.

3.3. Sommerfeld Coefficient of the System

The Sommerfeld coefficient sometimes called electronic specific heat is a ratio of specific heat to the temperature. In the case of a mole of Bismuth based cuprates it is found to be \( 4.633 \times 10^{-25} \text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-2} \), \( 0.9763 \times 10^{-25} \text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-2} \) and \( 0.8432 \times 10^{-25} \text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-2} \) at the \( T_C \) of Bi2201, Bi2212 and Bi2223 respectively as shown in figure 3 (a). The Sommerfeld coefficient per unit mass in Bismuth based cuprates is found to be 7.413 mJg\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-2}\), 6.287 mJg\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-2}\) and 5.454 mJg\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-2}\) for Bi2201, Bi2212 and Bi2223 respectively as shown in figure 3 (b).
Comparatively the Sommerfeld coefficient for Tl2201, Tl2212 and Tl2223 is $6.975 \times 10^{-5}$ Jg$^{-1}K^{-2}$; $5.436 \times 10^{-5}$ Jg$^{-1}K^{-2}$; and $4.01 \times 10^{-5}$ Jg$^{-1}K^{-2}$ respectively [13]; whereas for Hg1201, Hg1212 and Hg1223 the Sommerfeld coefficient is $9.455 \times 10^{-5}$ Jg$^{-1}K^{-2}$; $5.664 \times 10^{-5}$ Jg$^{-1}K^{-2}$ and $4.567 \times 10^{-5}$ Jg$^{-1}K^{-2}$ respectively[14]. The discrepancy between Sommerfeld coefficients arises from different extent of imperfections in samples of HTS cuprates used, as well as from inaccurate normalization that arises from imprecise oxygen composition determination [33, 34]. The structure of bismuth clip rates is very similar to the structure of thallium cuprates such as Tl2201, Tl2212 and Tl2223, with bismuth replaced by thallium, and strontium replaced by barium. In spite of similar structural features of bismuth and thallium compounds, there are differences in superconducting and normal-state properties [12]. From figure 3, the peak Sommerfeld coefficient occurs at a truncated temperature $T/T_c=0.6$ for all Bismuth based cuprates. This has also been observed in mercury based cuprates [13], and thallium based cuprates [14]. In conclusion, the number of planes of CuO$_2$ is inversely proportional to the Sommerfeld coefficient [13, 14].

### 3.4. Entropy of the System

Entropy is the disorder experienced in the material media. In case of a mole of Bismuth based cuprates is found to be $5.603 \times 10^{-24}$ J K$^{-1}$ at the $T_c$ of Bi2201, Bi2212 and Bi2223 as shown in figure 4 (a). Nearly similar entropy has been found per mole for: YBCO with value $3.036 \times 10^{-24}$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ [35]; whereas Rapando [23] based on theoretically study using the dipole mediated t-J model (t-J-d) found entropy to be $5.04693 \times 10^{-22}$ J K$^{-1}$. The specific heat per unit mass in Bismuth based cuprates is found to be $4.482 \text{ mJ g}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$, $3.798 \text{ mJ g}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ and $3.295 \text{ mJ g}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ for Bi2201, Bi2212 and Bi2223 as shown in figure 4 (b).

When the temperature is lowered from a higher value to a lower value, the entropy also decreases and the HTS Cuprates material becomes more ordered. Other scientists have also made similar observation on the trend of entropy below $T_c$[13, 14, 23, 24]. Comparatively, the entropy for TI2201, TI2212 and TI2223 was found to be $4.003 \text{ mJ g}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$, $3.448 \text{ mJ g}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ and $3.028 \text{ mJ g}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ respectively [13], while Hg1201, Hg1212 and Hg1223 had entropy per unit mass of $5.597 \text{ mJ g}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$, $4.38 \text{ mJ g}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ and $3.794 \text{ mJ g}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ respectively [14]. From the results, entropy decreases with an increasing number of CuO$_2$ planes in bismuth based cuprates as observed in thallium based cuprates [13], and mercury based cuprates [14].
4. Conclusion

In conclusion it's noted that at T=Tc the energy of interaction in a three electron model in Bismuth based cuprates increases with an increase in the number of CuO2 planes. It is noted that a decrease in the specific heat per unit mass results in a proportional increase in the number of CuO2 planes. Sommerfeld coefficient decreases with an increase in number of CuO2 planes. Specific heat and entropy per mole are constants not depending on CuO2 planes. When considered per unit mass entropy decreases with an increase in the number of CuO2 planes.
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