From Insect Bites to a Skin Autoimmune Disease: A Conceivable Pathway to Endemic Pemphigus Foliaceus
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In the endemic variants of pemphigus foliaceus (PF), in Brazil and Tunisia, patients generate pathogenic IgG4 anti-desmoglein 1 autoantibodies. Additionally, these patients possess antibodies against salivary proteins from sand flies that react with Dsg1, which may lead to skin disease in susceptible individuals living in endemic areas. This minireview focuses on recent studies highlighting the possible role of salivary proteins from Lutzomyia longipalpis (L. longipalpis) in EPF from Brazil and Phlebotomus papatasi (P. papatasi) in EPF from Tunisia. We will briefly discuss the potential mechanisms of molecular mimicry and epitope spreading in the initiation and development of endemic PF (EPF) in Brazil and Tunisia.
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INTRODUCTION

Autoimmune diseases affect more than 2.5% of populations (1). Both genetic and environmental factors drive the development of autoimmune diseases. Loss of immunological tolerance to self-antigens results in autoimmunity. Autoantibodies could have evolved during B cell clonal expansion, activated either by self-antigens or by foreign antigens. Molecular mimicry (shared immunologic epitope between foreign and self-antigens) is a general mechanism to explain how exogenous antigens can trigger a specific autoimmune response (2, 3). Infections could induce autoimmune diseases by molecular mimicry between microbes and self-antigens. For instance, molecular mimicry between microbes and certain self-antigens may be implicated in the development of rheumatic fever (4) and rheumatoid arthritis (5, 6). Epitope spreading (ES) may be another fundamental mechanism of the immune responses to foreign antigens relevant in autoimmunity (7–10). ES is utilized by the immune system to diversify the B cell and T cell responses to specific regions of antigens and, in some cases, to cross-reactive epitopes located on
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unrelated proteins (mimicry). From this initial response, the recognition units of the immune system spread their reactivity to epitopes within the initial antigen (intramolecular) or to epitopes located in neighboring molecules (intermolecular). In some cases, ES involves previous sensitization of T cells by cryptic neoantigens released of apoptosis or inflammation of local tissues or organs. Additionally, T cells may be activated not by antigenic epitopes but rather by local inflammatory cytokines. The term “bystander activation” has been used for this process (9, 10). The possible mechanisms of ES in autoantibody-mediated blistering diseases of the skin have been thoroughly reviewed previously (11, 12).

The environmental inducers of most autoimmune diseases remain to be fully disclosed (13); hence, identifying these triggers is important for closing a knowledge gap and developing strategies to avoid or eliminate the triggers for disease prevention and for novel therapeutic approaches.

THE ENDEMIC VARIANTS OF AUTOIMMUNE PEMPHIGUS FOLIACEUS

The Endemic PF From Brazil

The endemic form of PF, also known as Fogo Selvagem (FS), has been reported in certain subtropical regions of Brazil since the beginning of the 20th century (14). FS shows several unique epidemiologic features, such as the geographic and temporal clustering of patients, an increased frequency of familial patients among young adults and children (15), and an association with certain distinct HLA-DR alleles DRB1*0404, 1402, or 1406 (16). Like the nonendemic forms of PF seen in the USA and worldwide, FS is characterized by subcorneal blisters with acantholysis and pathogenic IgG4 autoantibodies that recognize conformational and calcium-dependent epitopes on the ectodomain of desmoglein 1 (Dsg1), a key desmosomal adhesion molecule in the epidermis (15, 17–24). Purified IgG4 and its Fab fragments from FS anti-Dsg1 IgG fractions were pathogenic using the passive transferred mouse model (25, 26). T cells from FS patients also recognize Dsg1 and produce IL-4, IL-5, and IL-6, but not γ-IFN, which this response has a Th2-like cytokine profile (27).

Desmosomal cadherins, i.e., desmogleins (Dgs) Dsg1, Dsg2, Dsg3, and Dsg4 and desmocollins (Dsc) Dsc1, Dsc2, and Dsc3 are critical for epidermal integrity (28, 29). The ectodomain of cadherins is composed of five domains (EC1-EC5), and the C-terminal EC5 is proximal to the membrane with no significant homology to the four “cadherin repeats” (EC1-EC4). Harrison et al. have convincingly demonstrated that the interaction between Dsg and Dsc is heterophilic, with their ectodomains intermingling with each other by trans adhesive forces that generate epidermal cell-cell adhesion (29). It is thought that tryptophan 2 (Trp2) from one desmosomal cadherin monomer of a cell insert into an “acceptor pocket” made, in part, by the RAL (Dsg) or YAT (Dsc) hydrophobic residues from an opposite monomer by a strand-swap process (30, 31). Mutations of Trp2 or RAL pocket residues impair the adhesive function of these molecules (32–36). Importantly, we determined that pathogenic IgG4 from FS patients binds a conformational epitope on the EC1 domain of Dsg1 that overlaps the “acceptor pocket” or adhesive site of this molecule, thus impairing the heterophilic interaction of Dsg1 and Dsc1 (24). These findings have been confirmed and extended using IgG autoantibodies from patients with active PF, Pemphigus Vulgaris (PV), and pathogenic monoclonal antibodies against the trans adhesive site of Dsg1 and Dsg3 (37). The authors concluded that autoantibody-induced steric hindrance, rather than intracellular activation, maybe a relevant mechanism of acantholysis in these patients. Additional mechanisms may operate in the acantholysis induced by PV autoantibodies as reported by Di Zenzo et al. (38). They generated monoclonal antibodies from two PV patients and found that some of these antibodies are pathogenic in vitro and in vivo and recognize epitopes located on the cis-adhesive site of EC1 and EC2 of Dsg3. Hence, it is likely that in PV, the population of pathogenic anti-Dsg3 autoantibodies may include subpopulations that impair the trans and cis interactions of Dsg3. Original studies by Waschke et al., utilizing atomic force microscopy and IgG from PV and PF patients, showed that PV autoantibodies blocked Dsg3 homophilic trans-interactions, whereas PF autoantibodies did not inhibit Dsg1 homophilic interactions (39–41). In conclusion, the autoantibody tools provided by FS, PF, and PV patients are enabling investigators to test the role of desmosomal cadherins in acantholysis, the hallmark of these autoimmune skin diseases.

The Unique Humoral Autoimmune Response to Dsg1 in FS and Healthy Inhabitants From Endemic Regions of FS

We have focused our studies on the Amerindian reservation of Limao Verde (LV) located in the State of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil, where a high prevalence (3.4% population) of FS was reported (19). Remarkably, a significant number (55%) of healthy individuals from LV possess nonpathogenic IgG anti-Dsg1 antibodies (42). FS possess predominantly IgG4 pathogenic anti-Dsg1 autoantibodies and a few mixed with non-pathogenic IgG1-IgG3 as well (21) (43–46). Moreover, a highly sensitive and specific “IgG4 predictor” of FS was developed by serological analysis of the IgG isotypes of the anti-Dsg1 response from 214 FS patients and 261 normal individuals from endemic areas (46). Using this predictor, we found that approximately 50% of FS patients possess IgG4 anti-Dsg1 autoantibodies from one to seven years before disease onset, during the preclinical stage of the disease (42, 46, 47). We further showed that IgG autoantibodies from active FS patients recognize the EC1 and EC2 domains of Dsg1, whereas antibodies from FS patients prior to disease onset and healthy individuals from LV all recognize the EC5 domain of the molecule (47).

Anti-Dsg1 Autoantibodies Cross-React With Sand Fly (Lutzomyia longipalpis) Salivary Proteins LJM11 and LJM17

Epidemiological studies suggest that hematophagous insect bites are risk factors of FS (48, 49) in FS endemic areas, including sand flies (L. longipalpis), kissing bugs (reduviid), and black flies...
(simulid). They are vectors of disease-causing parasites in leishmaniasis, Chagas disease, and onchocerciasis, respectively (49–51). We found that sera of these patients possess nonpathogenic IgG antibodies against the EC5 domain of Dsg1 (52), suggesting that insect-derived antigens cross-react with the EC5 domain of Dsg1. Because chronic exposure to environmental allergens or insect saliva usually induce IgE and IgG4 antibody responses (53, 54), we tested the sera of FS and healthy inhabitants from the endemic regions of FS for IgE and IgG4 anti-Dsg1 antibodies. We detected high levels of IgE and IgG4 anti-Dsg1 in both groups that were positively correlated (55, 56).

Since nonpathogenic anti-Dsg1 antibodies were detected in sera of leishmaniasis patients (52), we reasoned that while taking their blood meal in humans, *L. longipalpis* inoculates salivary proteins that induce cross-reactive anti-Dsg1 antibodies. Two of these salivary proteins, LJM11 and LJM17, were well-characterized and available (57, 58). It was also known that mice (59) and human volunteers (60), immunized by *L. longipalpis* bites, generate specific antibodies to salivary antigens LJM11 and LJM17, and is believed to be markers of chronic exposure of humans to *L. longipalpis* bites (61). We focused our studies on the Amerindian reservation of LV (19), where FS, leishmaniasis, and *L. longipalpis* are endemic. Thus, Qian et al. (62) showed that IgG4 and IgE antibodies from FS sera and anti-Dsg1 monoclonal antibodies reacted with salivary gland extracts from *L. longipalpis*. Additionally, they tested a small number of FS sera (n=10) and two FS anti-Dsg1 monoclonal antibodies against recombinant LJM11, LJM17, and LJL143 from *L. longipalpis* and found that they recognize only LJM11. By testing a larger set of sera from FS patients (n=68) and normal individuals (n=100) from LV and nonendemic control populations [from Sao Paulo (n=33), USA (100), and Japan (70)], we found that FS and normal settlers from this endemic focus had significantly higher values of IgG4 anti-LJM17 antibodies than nonendemic controls (P < 0.001) (63). LJM11 was also recognized by IgG4 antibodies from sera of FS and endemic normal settlers. The levels of IgG anti-Dsg1, IgG4 anti-LJM17, and anti-LJM11 antibodies correlated positively in normal settlers and patients with FS (63). Further, affinity-purified anti-Dsg1 IgG autoantibodies from sera of an FS patient and a healthy person living in LV cross-reacted with LJM17 and LJM11 (63). These findings suggest cross-reactivity of the anti-Dsg1 and anti-LJM17/LJM11 antibodies was extended to experimental animals. Interestingly, 6 of 10 mice, immunized with LJM17 produced IgG1 antibodies (human IgG4 homolog), strongly cross-reacted with recombinant human Dsg1, LJM17, and LJM11 (63). Dsg1 inhibited the binding of these murine IgG1 antibodies to Dsg1 in a dose-dependent manner, which suggests cross-reactivity of these antibody systems (63). The immune cross-reactivity between LJM17 and Dsg1 was confirmed by immunization of chickens (n=2) and rabbits (n=2), which do not possess IgG subclasses (63).

Thus, these findings (62, 63) strongly suggest that salivary antigens LJM17 and/or LJM11 from *L. longipalpis* elicit a cross-reactive anti-Dsg1 autoantibody response in FS patients and normal settlers from endemic areas of FS.

### Anti-Dsg1 Monoclonal Antibodies From FS Patients Cross-React With LJM11 and Other Cadherins

Qian et al. (64) were successful in producing monoclonal antibodies from B cells of 7 FS patients and B cells from one individual in the pre-clinical stage of the disease, mostly IgM and IgG, and a few IgG4. Two of these mAbs recognized LJM11 (62). They concluded that the anti-Dsg1 response in FS is antigen-driven. Unfortunately, the amounts of mAbs were scarce, applicable to analytical assays, but hindering in vivo or in vitro testing for pathogenicity. Later, Qian et al. (65) constructed IgG4 phage display libraries using mRNA isolated from Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-transformed B cells derived from three FS patients. After panning the libraries with either Dsg1 or LJM11, 14 independent mAbs (single-chain fragment variable or scFv) were isolated. Unexpectedly, all the scFv mAbs cross-reacted with both Dsg1 and LJM11, and none showed monospecific reactivity. Potential cross-reactivity to LJM17 was not tested. The V genes of all the mAbs were extensively mutated, suggestive of antigen selection. However, two revertant mAbs (reverted to the germline sequence) still reacted with both Dsg1 and LJM11, suggesting that either LJM11 or Dsg1 or both could drive the development of the cross-reactive antibodies in FS (65).

A recent study by Peng et al. (66) showed that a set of 13 anti-Dsg1 FS mAbs recognized the ectodomains of the desmosomal cadherins (Dsg2-4, Dsc1-3, and E-cadherins) as well as with LJM11. They tested mAbs IgG1 and IgG4 anti-Dsg1 derived from FS patients and IgG1 anti-Dsg1 from a pre-FS. Sequence alignment of the eight cadherins revealed six relatively conserved segments. Peptides corresponding to the six homologous sequences of Dsg1 were synthesized and tested for their binding to the mAbs. Out of the six peptides, only a linear peptide 3 (Dsg1-3 peptide), located on the EC2 domain of Dsg1, showed reactivity with the mAbs. The authors proposed that the homologous epitope (homologs of Dsg1-3 peptide) on each of the cadherin is likely mimicked by LJM11 structurally. As a result, anti-LJM11 antibodies react with all the cadherins by cross-reactivity. Thus, the identified cross-reactive epitope on Dsg1 could be the primary target of anti-LJM11 antibodies, which lead to the initial autoantibody response in FS (66). A limitation of this study is that only mAbs were analyzed. The immunoreactivity of Dsg1-3 peptide with serum IgG of endemic healthy individuals, pre-FS, and FS patients was not tested. It is surprising that all the tested mAbs had the same cross-reactive specificity to eight cadherins and LJM11, and none showed monospecificity. Of note, the mAbs (hybridoma or scFv) were originated from EBV-transformed PBMC of FS patients (64, 65) and EBV transformation may have influenced the FS B cells. Nevertheless, mAbs cloned using these protocols of hybridoma or phage clones may be biased due to technical limitations and are not likely to represent the circulating antibody repertoire (67).

### The Endemic PF in Tunisia

Endemic PF was initially reported in Tunisia in the early 1990s by Morini et al. (68) and Bastuji-Garin et al. (69) and confirmed...
by clinical features and laboratory findings (histology and immunofluorescence). The frequency of PF cases was higher in young women from certain rural regions of Tunisia as compared with a large area of France, with few cases in children and men, unlike FS in Brazil. Tunisian EPF was also seen in family clusters like FS (70), but the HLA DRB1*03, a marker of Tunisian EPF patients (71), differed from HLA markers of FS (DRB1*0404, 1402, or 1406) (16). Additionally, there was an overlap of like FS (70), but the HLA DRB1*03, a marker of Tunisian EPF with a large area of France, with few cases in children and men, young women from certain rural regions of Tunisia as compared

**Anti-Dsg1 Autoantibody Response in Healthy Tunisian Individuals and Tunisian PF**

Kallel-Sellami et al. (73) studied the anti-Dsg1 autoantibody response in Tunisian EPF patients (n=29) and normal individuals from the region (n=179). They found IgG4 anti-Dsg1 autoantibodies in EPF and 17% of the healthy individuals showed IgG2 anti-Dsg1 autoantibodies (73). The same investigators extended these studies to include a set of Tunisian EPF (n=90), healthy controls, unrelated to EPF patients (n=270), and 203 samples from healthy relatives of EPF patients. They found positive anti-Dsg1 IgG autoantibodies in over 80% of the patients, 7.4% of the unrelated control group, and 15.7% in healthy controls related to EPF patients (74). We reported, however, that 55% of normal individuals, relatives of FS showed positive IgG1 anti-Dsg1 tests (21, 42, 44). Toumi et al. (75) found that anti-Dsg1 IgG from healthy Tunisian individuals bound epitopes of the C-terminal extracellular domains (EC3 to EC5). Epitopes recognized by Tunisian PF patients, however, were more widely distributed throughout the extracellular domains, suggesting IgGs against EC1 and EC2 developed during disease progression by ES.

**Connecting Sand Fly Bites to the Anti-Dsg1 Response in Tunisian EPF**

In 2007, Sellami et al. (76) began to test the sera of patients with vector transmitted diseases such as cutaneous leishmaniasis (P. patatasi) and hydatidosis for anti-Dsg1/Dsg3 autoantibodies. They found positive results in 21.7% (n=23) of serum samples from patients with leishmaniasis and in 40% (n=35) of samples from hydatidosis patients which were mostly IgG1, IgG2, and IgG3. In serological studies conducted in Brazil, we found that 43% (n=88) of the sera of leishmaniasis cases possessed anti-Dsg1 autoantibodies that recognize the EC5 domain of Dsg1 (52). In additional studies, Zaraa et al. (77) tested the sera of Tunisian EPF (n=31), Tunisian zoonotic leishmaniasis (n=60), healthy controls (n=91), and bullous pemphigoid (n=31). They found that 58% of the EPF sera recognize Dsg1 and 26% a salivary gland extract from P. patatasi. They also found that 13% of the sera of zoonotic leishmaniasis bound Dsg1 and 53% the salivary extract. A low percentage of control sera bound these antigens.

In a recent study, these investigators have extended their studies to test the role of the salivary protein, PpSP32, from *P. patatasi* as the trigger of the humoral anti-Dsg1 and anti-Dsg3 responses in Tunisian EPF (78). They did not report endemic cases of PV, which is mediated by anti-Dsg3 antibodies. They showed that PpSP32 interacts with human Dsg1 and Dsg3 *in vitro* and interestingly, mice immunized with PpSP32 produce antibodies that recognize not only this protein but also Dsg1 and Dsg3. Since the reactions with Dsg1 and Dsg3 cannot be inhibited by PpSP32, they concluded that the antibodies produced by mice did not cross-react with Dsg1/Dsg3. The authors did not address if these PpSP32 induced anti-Dsg1 and anti-Dsg3 antibodies are independent systems or bear some degree of cross-reactivity. Additionally, they showed that sera from Tunisian leishmaniasis patients exhibited high titers of anti-PpSP32 (n=56), anti-Dsg1 (n=14), and anti-Dsg3 (n=17) antibodies, which positively correlated. Incubation of these sera with PpSP32 protein did not abolish the reactivity with Dsg1 or Dsg3.

**CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES**

Patients with EPF from Brazil and Tunisia, normal inhabitants, and patients with leishmaniasis who live in the same endemic areas are constantly bitten by *L. longipalpis* and *P. patatasi*, respectively (82). Thus, they are exposed to salivary antigens from these vectors and surprisingly generate anti-Dsg1 and anti-Dsg3 autoantibodies. Although these research studies are exciting, they are preliminary and have not yet fulfilled the criteria for environmentally associated autoimmune diseases (Koch’s postulates) (83) to attribute an etiologic role to the sand fly salivary antigens for EPF. Work is in progress to develop experimental animals that, upon immunization with the sand fly salivary antigens, may duplicate the human autoantibody-mediated skin disease.

In the FS model (*Figure 1A* and *Table 1*), normal individuals and FS patients sharing the same endemic environment possess autoantibodies against Dsg1 (19–21, 42). In patients, these anti-Dsg1 autoantibodies are IgG4 restricted, pathogenic by passive transfer to neonatal mice, and specific to an epitope of the EC1 domain of Dsg1 (21, 24). During the pre-clinical stage of FS and
through clinical remission induced by therapy, the sera possess non-pathogenic IgG1 antibodies against the EC4-5 domain of Dsg1 (47). Importantly, in normal individuals from endemic areas, the non-pathogenic anti-Dsg1 autoantibodies are IgG1 and bind the EC4-5 epitopes of the antigen (24, 47). The production of pathogenic IgG4 anti-Dsg1 antibodies in FS patients is likely to be the result of the ES mechanism, from an initial reactivity to the EC4-5 of Dsg1 to the EC1 domain where the pathogenic epitopes are located. The ES runs in parallel with the IgG isotype switch from nonpathogenic IgG1 to pathogenic IgG4.

Of interest, the sera of patients with leishmaniasis, where L. longipalpis is the vector, contain non-pathogenic anti-Dsg1 autoantibodies that recognize the EC5 domain of Dsg1 (52). The sera of FS patients and normal individuals, sharing the same endemic environment, also possess IgG4 antibodies against the salivary proteins LJM17 and LJM11 from L. longipalpis. These antibodies against LJM17/LJM11 positively correlate with IgG anti-Dsg1 antibodies present in patients and controls (62, 63). Mice, chickens, and rabbits immunized with LJM17/LJM11 produced strong cross-reactive antibodies against Dsg1 (63). The cross-reactive antibodies, however, were not pathogenic when tested in neonatal mice. Whether the cross-reactive epitopes on the
ectodomain of human Dsg1 and *L. longipalpis* LJM17/LJM11 are similar remains to be determined. It is expected that genetically predisposed humans and experimental animals could develop pathogenic anti-Dsg1 autoantibodies if exposed to the appropriate cross-reactive epitopes of Dsg1 and LJM17/LJM11.

In the Tunisian EPF model (Figure 1B and Table 1), patients and normal individuals sharing the same endemic environment possess autoantibodies against Dsg1 and Dsg3 (78). Interestingly, a significant number of patients with Tunisian EPF and Tunisian leishmaniasis possess anti-Dsg1 IgG autoantibodies and antibodies against the PpSP32 salivary protein from *P. papatasi* that positively correlate. A recent study shows that the PpSP32 associates with Dsg1 and Dsg3 and produces an immunogenic complex that may initiate the autoantibody response in patients and mice. Although the investigators detected anti-Dsg3 antibodies in their Tunisian patients and controls, they did not report endemic cases of PV as reported in Brazil (84). As in Tunisian subjects, anti-Dsg3 autoantibodies were also found in low titers in the Brazilian endemic populations (85, 86), which may be relevant if cases of endemic PV are also identified in Tunisia. Marzouki et al. (78) found no cross-reactivity between antibodies to human Dsg1, or Dsg3, and PpSP32 using inhibition assays adapted to their needs. These results are expected since there is no sequence homology between PpSP32, LJM17/LJM11, and Dsg1. Thus, the anti-Dsg1 and anti-Dsg3 autoantibody response induced by PpSP32 likely resulted from intermolecular ES through the physical association of PpSP32 to epidermal Dsg1 and Dsg3.

In summary, these phenotypes of PF observed in Brazil and Tunisia represent autoimmune diseases where the autoantibody response may be linked to an environmental etiology, i.e., salivary proteins from sand flies autochthonous to these countries. Work is needed to generate an experimental animal that may exhibit the classic clinical and histological features of PF by active immunization with these antigens. These experimental models may offer the opportunity to study the progress of the IgG isotype and IgE responses to these antigens that lead to the generation of pathogenic anti-Dsg1 autoantibodies.
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