Emergence and growth of maize submitted to inoculant doses associated with saline water irrigation
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INTRODUCTION

Maize (Zea mays L.) is one of the main grain crops produced in Brazil, especially in the rainy periods, due to its use in family farming, which, in several cases, use this crop for family consumption. As that crop originates from a tropical climate, throughout its vegetative cycle, it requires heat and water. In line with these factors, it also needs ecophysiological requirements, because the processes of photosynthesis, respiration and transpiration are directly linked to heat, while the growth, development and translocation of photoassimilates are due to water availability (Fancelli 2015).

Therefore, the water quality becomes extremely important in the maize cultivation, especially in semi-arid regions, requiring water use policies, due to its scarcity. In theory, the use of saline water has been studied for some years; however, maize, according to Ayers & Westcot (1999) and Farooq et al. (2015),
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is considered moderately sensitive to salinity, with a water threshold of 1.1 dS m⁻¹, what hampers its growth, development and production.

In researches related to maize submitted to saline environments, there is a clear reduction in vigor, growth, physiological, biochemical and production variables. However, from these deleterious effects of salinity in this crop, it is necessary to study techniques or application of products, hormones and bacteria that will mitigate these damages.

The use of growth-promoting bacteria can be a way to mitigate the effect of salinity on plants, because they promote several benefits, such as biological nitrogen fixation; increase in the nitrate reductase activity; production of hormones such as auxins, cytokinins, gibberellins and ethylene; and increase in the root system, among others (Hungria 2011).

Among the growth-promoting bacteria species, the *Azospirillum brasilense* genus stands out, producing phytohormones that stimulate the growth of the root system of several plants, including maize, through indole-acetic acid, gibberellins and cytokinins (Döbereiner & Day 1976, Tien et al. 1979, Rocha & Costa 2018).

As a result, a greater root growth due to the inoculation of these bacteria may result in an increase in the absorption of water and nutrients and improvement in the physiological responses of plants, such as chlorophyll content and stomatal conductance, in addition to increasing the proline content in shoots and roots, water content of the apoplast, elasticity of the cell wall, biomass production, plant height and water potential (Barassi et al. 2008).

Therefore, this research aimed to evaluate the effect of *A. brasilense* doses on the emergence and growth of maize submitted to salinity concentrations of the irrigation water.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The research was conducted in a greenhouse, at the Universidade Federal de Campina Grande, in Campina Grande, Paraiba state, Brazil (7°13’11”S, 35°52’31”W and 550 m of altitude), between December 2019 and May 2020. According to the Köppen climate classification, adapted to Brazil (Alvares et al. 2013), the climate in the place is CSa, that is, semi-humid, with hot and dry summers (from November to March) and autumn and winter rains.

In the experiment, the NS 50 PRO variety of super-early maize was used, presenting high yields with grain quality and high rooting capacity (Nidera Sementes 2020).

The used soil was collected from an area located in Alagoa Nova, Paraíba state, being classified as sandy loam. The pots were filled with a 3-cm layer of gravel at the bottom, and then with 20 kg of soil, being arranged in a single row spaced 0.7 m between pots and 1 m between plants.

Based on the recommendation by Novais et al. (1991), the soil was fertilized with NPK [33 g of simple superphosphate (P) in a single application before seeding; 5.8 g of potassium chloride (K) at 30 days after the emergence; and 4.4 g of urea divided into three portions of 1.45 g, during the maize vegetative stage]. Sowing was carried out manually, placing 5 seeds per polyethylene pot with a capacity of 20 L, containing a transparent hose of 5 mm in diameter and connected to its base, for drainage. At 10 days after emergence (DAE), thinning was performed, leaving only one plant per pot, being considered the one with the greatest vegetative vigor. The seeds were inoculated with the *A. brasilense* strain, in a mixture in the proportion of 16 mL of the inoculant for 10,000 seeds.

The experiment was designed in randomized blocks, in a 3 x 5 bifactorial arrangement [inoculant doses (0.0, 0.32 and 0.48 mL), that is, control without inoculation, inoculated 2 times and inoculated 3 times with the recommended dose) and electrical conductivity levels of irrigation water (0.3, 0.6, 1.1, 1.7 and 2.3 dS m⁻¹)], with 4 replications, totaling 60 experimental units.

The irrigation solution was prepared in order to have an equivalent proportion of 7:2:1 for Na:Ca:Mg, respectively, from the salts NaCl, CaCl₂.2H₂O and MgCl₂.6H₂O (Medeiros 1992, Audry & Suassuna 1995).

For this, in the irrigation water preparation, the relationship between electrical conductivity (EC) and salt concentration (10*meq L⁻¹ = 1 dS m⁻¹ of EC) was considered, according to Rhoads et al. (1992), based on the water supply. To prepare the water with the proper electrical conductivity, the salts were weighed according to the treatment, adding water until the desired level of electrical conductivity was reached, checking the values with a portable conductivity meter adjusted to the temperature of 25 °C.

The volume of water initially applied to maintain the soil in the field capacity was calculated according
to the soil moisture retention curve, performed in laboratory. The water consumption was determined by the difference between the applied volume of water and the drained one, estimating the volume of water to be applied in the next irrigation event.

A leaching fraction of 15 % was weekly applied (Ayers & Westcot 1999), which served to monitor the salinity levels in the root zone of the plants. This monitoring was carried out through an equation proposed by Szabolcs (1989): \( V_{l} = (V_{a} - V_{d})/(1 - LF) \), in which: \( V_{l} \) is the volume of water to be applied in the irrigation process (mL); \( V_{a} \) the volume of water applied in the previous irrigation or in the period (mL); \( V_{d} \) the volume of water drained in the previous irrigation or in the period (mL); and \( LF \) the leaching fraction (0.15).

Before sowing, the soil was kept under field capacity, in order to homogenize the water conditions of each treatment. The plants were irrigated according to the crop’s water requirements.

The emergence percentage \([EP = (N/A) \times 100, \text{where } N \text{ is the number of emerged seeds and } A \text{ the total number of seeds placed to germinate}; \text{Brasil (2009)}]\) and emergence speed index \([ESI = (G_{1}/N_{1}) + (G_{2}/N_{2}) + \ldots + (G_{n}/N_{n})], \text{where } G_{1}, G_{2} \text{ and } G_{n} \text{ are the number of seeds emerged in the } 1\text{st}, 2\text{nd and } n, \text{up to the last count}; \text{Maguire (1962)}] \) were observed before sowing, the soil was kept under field capacity, in order to homogenize the water conditions of each treatment. The plants were irrigated according to the crop’s water requirements.

The emergence percentage \([EP = (N/A) \times 100, \text{where } N \text{ is the number of emerged seeds and } A \text{ the total number of seeds placed to germinate}; \text{Brasil (2009)}]\) and emergence speed index \([ESI = (G_{1}/N_{1}) + (G_{2}/N_{2}) + \ldots + (G_{n}/N_{n})], \text{where } G_{1}, G_{2} \text{ and } G_{n} \text{ are the number of seeds emerged in the } 1\text{st}, 2\text{nd and } n, \text{up to the last count}; \text{Maguire (1962)}] \) were observed daily, until 10 DAE.

The following growth variables were evaluated in three periods, every 15 days, among the vegetative stages V8 (eight developed leaves), V10 (ten developed leaves) and Vt (budding): plant height, stem diameter, number of leaves and leaf area \([LA (cm^{2}) = L \times W \times 0.75], \text{where } L \text{ is the length of the main rib of the maize leaf (cm) and } W \text{ the largest width perpendicular to the main rib of the maize leaf (cm); Tollenaar (1992)] \). The leaves, stem, tassel and roots were collected at the end of the crop physiological maturation and packed in paper bags to have their dry mass weighed in the laboratory.

The results of the variables determined during the maize cycle were subjected to analysis of variance (Anava), using the Sisvar statistical software, and the level of significance by analyzing the F test at 5 % of probability (Ferreira 2011).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The emergence percentage and emergence speed index were not affected by the salinity, *Azospirillum* and interaction factors (Table 1). However, the mean emergence percentage value was 92 %, indicating an excellent emergence of maize seedlings, which probably denotes that, at this early stage, both the bacterial inoculant application and the increasing salinity levels of the irrigation water did not influence the emergence of the used maize cultivar.

By evaluating the seed quality of four varieties of corn inoculated with *A. brasilense*, although there was a significant effect of inoculation on the emergence percentage and emergence speed index, Pereira et al. (2015) considered a very small difference, concluding that these results generated negligible effects between the treatments.

For studies concerning maize salinity at the germination/emergence stage, there are divergences in the results found. Conus et al. (2009) did not obtain significant effects on the germination of maize when submitted to differentiated osmotic potentials \((\psi_{s})\), corroborating the results obtained in the present research. However, Barbieri et al. (2014) found decreasing values for germination percentage as the \(\psi_{s}\) became more negative.

During the growth assessments (Table 1), a significant effect of the salinity factor was identified

| Source of variation | DF PH (V8) PH (V10) PH (Vt) SD (V8) SD (V10) SD (Vt) NL (V8) NL (V10) NL (Vt) LA (V8) LA (V10) LA (Vt) cm² | Medium squares - Growth |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Salinity (S)         | 4                                                          | 1.76* 0.03* 0.03* 3.10* 2.95* 6.40* 1.98* 6.04* 1.14* 28,573.11* 19,612.58* 38,307.40* |
| Azospirillum (A)     | 2                                                          | 0.02* 0.01* 0.01* 1.32* 3.41* 1.51* 1.07* 0.12* 0.87* 786.91* 2,439.88* 3,349.95* |
| S x A               | 8                                                          | 0.08* 0.02* 0.02* 1.44* 1.08* 1.16* 0.61* 0.74* 0.68* 690.40* 741.08* 1,182.34* |
| Blocks              | 3                                                          | 0.41* 0.11* 0.09* 4.95* 1.42* 2.81* 2.60* 1.64* 5.88* 22,078.70* 1,398.16* 15,046.64* |
| CV (%)              |                                                            | 25.48 5.50 5.60 6.95 6.87 5.96 8.57 6.21 14.39 5.90 5.95 9.43 |

* Not significant; * significant at 5 % of probability.
in the first two assessments for plant height (V8 and V10), with significance of p < 5 % for the *Azospirillum* factor and for the interaction salinity x *Azospirillum*. There was no effect (p < 5 %) of the analyzed factors on the stem diameter. The number of leaves was significantly affected by the salinity in the evaluations at V8 and V10, while the leaf area suffered the effect of this isolated factor in all evaluations. Statistically, the number of leaves and leaf area were not significant at 5 % of probability for the *Azospirillum* factor and for the interaction salinity x *Azospirillum*.

In the first plant height assessment (Figure 1A), there was a linear decrease as the levels of water electrical conductivity increased. In the second one (Figure 1B), a quadratic increase of 3.7 % was observed up to the water electrical conductivity of 1.1 dS m⁻¹, with an effective decrease after this level. Such trend may demonstrate that the *A. brasilense* doses, when associated with levels of water electrical conductivity below the threshold salinity of maize (1.1 dS m⁻¹), help in its growth after the V8 stage.

There were increases of 12.8 % and 18.8 % in the number of healthy leaves (senescence in less than 50 % of the leaf blade), when related to the difference between the lowest and highest levels of water salinity (Figures 1C and 1D). That may be related to a possible precocious effect on the vegetative development of these plants when subjected to high levels of salts, that is, they reduce their growth in height, however, they develop the insertion of leaves more quickly, and may come to be considered a mechanism of adaptation to salinity.

In addition, the bacterial inoculant may have contributed to maintaining the low senescence of the leaves, even under salinity, because, according to Lambrecht et al. (2000), these bacteria serve as nitrogen supplementation and produce hormones that stimulate the plant growth, retarding the leaf senescence.

Sangoi et al. (2015), studying the leaf senescence and chlorophyll content in maize leaves, in a seed treatment with *Azospirillum* and nitrogen application, found that the senescence was higher in treatments without inoculation (11.7 leaves), when compared to treatments with inoculation (11.0 leaves).

Although the number of leaves was higher in treatments with a high water electrical conductivity level, the leaf area faced a linear reduction with the increase in salinity (Figures 1E, 1F and 1G), which may be related to the lesser development of the leaf blade, resulting in a leaf with short length and width.

Souza et al. (2014) and Ricardi & Rosa (2018) studied the effect of salinity on maize development and noticed a decrease in plant height, number of leaves, stem diameter and leaf area due to the increase in salinity levels, not corroborating, partially, the results obtained in this research.

These harmful effects are attributed to several problems. Among them, the most common is the increase in the soil osmotic pressure, making it more negative than that of the plant, thus allowing the water to be absorbed by the roots and affecting its cell division and cell extension (Taiz & Zeiger 2009). This also justify the fact that the reduction of the leaf area can present a defense of the plant cultivated in a saline environment, aiming to reduce the water loss thought transpiration.

Studies have already demonstrated the deleterious effect of salinity on growth variables of maize plants (Silva et al. 2017, Sousa et al. 2018); however, there have been no studies about the interaction of this abiotic stress with the application of *A. brasilense* as a saline attenuating agent in maize.

Martins et al. (2018), evaluating the interaction between *A. brasilense* inoculation and nitrogen fertilizer doses in maize, observed that, even without the application of nitrogen, that is, plants submitted only to the application of *A. brasilense* (control), there was an increase of 22.4 % in height. That was also verified by Costa et al. (2015) and Kappes et al. (2013), obtaining increases in plant height, on average, of 10 % and 5.84 %, respectively.

By observing the isolated factors salinity and *Azospirillum*, in addition to the salinity x *Azospirillum* interaction, concerning the leaf, stem, tassel and root dry masses, it was found a significant effect (p < 5 %) of the increasing levels of salts on leaf dry mass and stem dry mass, in addition to the interaction effect for root dry mass (Table 2).

The leaf dry mass showed a quadratic increase of 30.2 % with the increase in the levels of water electrical conductivity, varying between 37.94 g (0.3 dS m⁻¹) and 49.50 g (2.3 dS m⁻¹) (Figure 2A). The stem dry mass had a linear reduction as the levels of salts in the irrigation water increased (Figure 2B), with average values between the water electrical conductivity of 0.3 dS m⁻¹ and 2.3 dS m⁻¹, ranging from 136.83 g to 98.09 g, respectively.
In general, the salinity effect on maize shoot is harmful, reducing the dry biomass of leaves, stem and tassel. However, the usual application of *A. brasilense* in maize causes the opposite (Braccini et al. 2012, Quadros et al. 2014, Marini et al. 2015). That may justify the increase in the leaf dry mass (Figure 2A), reflecting a possible attenuator effect of these bacteria on the possible damage of salinity in the leaves, since the *A. brasilense* species is directly linked to the solubilization of nutrients and production of phytohormones related to plant growth.

Figure 1. Effect of increasing salinity levels of water irrigation on maize plant height (PH), number of leaves (NL) and leaf area (LA), in growth evaluations at V8, V10 and Vt.
growth, such as auxins, gibberellins and cytokinins (Picazevicz 2017).

By analyzing the split of inoculant doses at each water electrical conductivity level, it can be seen that increasing doses, mainly 0.48 mL, positively influenced the increase in the root dry mass in all the water electrical conductivity levels (0.3, 0.6, 1.1, 1.7 and 2.3 dS m⁻¹), showing increases of 30.11 %, 49.79 %, 15.80 %, 40.92 % and 81.89 %, respectively (Figure 3). It probably caused a mitigation of the adverse effects of salinity on the growth of maize plants through a larger root system, thus increasing its contact surface and, consequently, the water and nutrient absorption.

As the root system is the main way of water and nutrients absorption by plants, the results obtained for root dry mass may justify other results found in this research, for example, the increase in plant height up to the salinity of 1.1 dS m⁻¹ (Figure 1B) and the increase in the number of leaves (Figures 1C and 1D), as well as the increase in leaf dry mass (Figure 2A), demonstrating that, even submitted to saline stress, the plants probably managed to maintain their biochemical and physiological activities inherent to growth, even if in a slow way.

According to Spaepen & Vanderleyden (2015), auxin is the phytohormone produced by A. brasilense that is related to the increase in the plant root system, causing morphological changes such as increased length, branches and root hair.
Studies prove the effectiveness of *Azospirillum brasilense* in increasing the root dry mass. Okon & Vanderleyden (1997) analyzed the inoculation of *Azospirillum* on plant growth in the field and concluded that these bacteria provide positive yields in various conditions of climate and soil, and also increase the absorption surface of roots, providing a greater exploration of the soil.

Costa et al. (2015), studying the inoculation efficiency of these bacteria in maize seeds, observed an increase of 123% for root dry mass, when compared to the control. Domingues Neto et al. (2013), verifying the application of these bacteria via leaf, also obtained an increase for maize root dry mass.

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. The studied salinity levels of the irrigation water and *Azospirillum brasilense* doses do not affect the emergence of maize seedlings;  
2. After the V8 stage, the plant height increases up to the salinity of 1.1 dS m⁻¹. In addition, the number of leaves and leaf dry mass increase with major salt concentrations. However, salinity negatively affects the leaf area and stem dry mass;  
3. There is an interaction between the levels of electrical conductivity of the irrigation water and the *A. brasilense* doses for root dry mass, with an increase for root dry mass due to the increase of the bacterial inoculant doses;  
4. The use of saline water with electrical conductivity up to 1.1 dS m⁻¹ is recommended for maize growth;  
5. For the application of *A. brasilense* doses, both the doses of 0.32 mL and 0.48 mL are recommended for maize growth.
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