IMAGE-LEVEL CLASSIFICATION IN HYPERSPECTRAL IMAGES USING FEATURE DESCRIPTORS, WITH APPLICATION TO FACE RECOGNITION
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ABSTRACT

Image-level classification from hyperspectral images (HSI) has seldom been addressed in the literature. Instead, traditional classification methods have focused on individual pixels. Pixel-level HSI classification comes at a high computational burden though. In this paper, we present a novel pipeline for classification at image-level, where each band in the HSI is considered as a separate image. In contrast to operating at the pixel level, this approach allows us to exploit higher-level information like shapes. We use traditional feature descriptors, i.e. histograms of oriented gradients, local binary patterns, and the scale-invariant feature transform. For demonstration we choose a face recognition task. The system is tested on two hyperspectral face datasets, and our experiments show that the proposed method outperforms the existing state-of-the-art hyperspectral face recognition methods.

1. INTRODUCTION

A hyperspectral image (aka. hyperspectral cube) consists of two spatial dimensions and a spectral dimension. The latter contains information pertinent to the intrinsic material properties of the object. This spectral information in HSI makes them well suited for classification tasks, such as scene recognition [1], 3D reconstruction [2], saliency detection [3], pedestrian detection [4, 5], material classification [6], cultural heritage [7] and many more. In this paper, we propose a framework for hyperspectral image classification, where each band in the HSI is considered as a separate image. Treating the problem at the image-level allows us to exploit high-level information, like shapes, that help to improve the classification performance. We use traditional feature descriptors (i.e. SIFT [8], HOG [9], LBP [10]) for image-level feature extraction and classification (See Fig. 1 for illustration). For the demonstration of our method, we investigate into face recognition using wavelengths ranging from the visible (380 − 700nm) to the near-infrared (750 − 1100nm) spectrum.

The availability of more bands than the usual three RGB bands has been shown advantageous in disambiguating objects. In literature, the common tendency to exploit the hyperspectral data has been addressed at pixel-level. As the spatial and spectral dimensions in HSI increase, it is difficult to separate hyperspectral data at the pixel-level for large classes dataset using statistical methods [11, 12]. However, in our method classification is done at the image-level, where from each image we extracted a limited number of features, far fewer than the number of pixels. With such smaller numbers of features at hand, we can afford to not further reduce the number of spectral bands that are considered. This allows us to exploit the entire input space without losing information for feature extraction. We show that the entire feature space information leads to significantly improved performance in a face recognition task.

Recently, deep learning (DL) a learning-based representation has outperformed traditional descriptors for deriving distinctive features in image classification task [13, 14], but DL has a major shortcoming: it requires many samples for the training process and an insufficient number of training samples quickly leads the network to overfitting. As we already know, in HSI the spectral bands are very unique and discriminative. Thus, we believe traditional feature descriptors can effectively exploit this spectral information and help to generate
powerful feature representation of their content that characterize the object better. In this work, we have explored the hand-crafted HSI features in V-NIR images and also have shown improvement in the classification performance by a significant margin for a face recognition task.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we discuss the related work, and Section 3 describes our proposed method. Experiments and analysis are given in Section 4, and Finally, the conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

2. RELATED WORK

There is an extensive work on HSI classification, but here we mention a few relevant papers on face recognition task only. During the last decade, face recognition has achieved great success. The research has tended to focus on RGB or b/w images, rather less attention has been paid to hyperspectral images. In this paper, we focus on HSI face recognition. The idea of face recognition in hyperspectral images, started with Pan et al. [15], who manually extracted the mean spectral signatures from the human face in the NIR spectrum, and were then compared using Mahalanobis distance. Further in [16] Pan et al. extended their work [15] by incorporating spatial information in addition to spectral. Similarly, Robila et al. [17] also uses spectral signatures but their comparison criterion was spectral angle measurements. Almost all the existing proposed HSI face recognition methods perform dimensionality reduction and the low-dimensional feature space are extracted for classification. Di et al. [18] projected the hyperspectral image into low dimensional space using 2D-PCA for feature extraction, and were then compared using Euclidean distance. In recent works, Shen and Zheng [19] apply 3D Gabor wavelets with different central frequencies to extract signal variances in the hyperspectral data. Liang et al. [20] utilize 3D high-order texture pattern descriptor to extract distinctive micro-patterns which integrate the spatial-spectral information as features. Uzair et al. [21] apply 3D discrete cosine transformation and extract the low frequency coefficients as features, where Partial Least Squares Regression is used for classification. Uzair et al. most recent work in [22] extended their previous study [21] and employed 3D cubelets to extract the spatio-spectral covariance features for face recognition. In contrast, in our work, the hyperspectral image is fully exploited without losing information by performing feature extraction and classification at the image-level.

3. PROPOSED METHOD

In the last two decades, researchers have developed robust descriptors (feature extraction methods) to extract useful feature representations from the image that are highly distinctive and are perfect for an efficient and robust object recognition. The traditional feature descriptors (SIFT, HOG, LBP and more) have several advantages. These features are invariant to image scaling, geometric and photometric transformations: translations or rotations, common object variations, minimally affected by noise and small distortions, and to a great extent invariant to change in illumination. These descriptors when combined with hyperspectral images, make the extracted features even more robust and powerful. We believe that the extracted new class of features obtained from hyperspectral images shall give near-perfect separation because these features are generated from highly discriminative bands (Fig. 3) in HSI that are captured in different wavelengths. These images captured in different wavelength range, contain discriminative information that characterize the object better with great precision and detail.

Fig. 2 shows the schematic layout of our framework for hyperspectral image classification. In our work, each mono-spectral band in the HSI is treated as a separate image. Treat-
ing the mono-spectral bands as separate images, allows us to exploit: the discriminative texture patterns present in the images captured in different wavelengths, hence able to utilize the entire space without losing information for feature extraction. Then, the features are extracted for each mono-spectral band using a feature extraction method (e.g. HOG, LBP, and SIFT). This allows us to capture the high-level information like shapes and abstract concepts from images: making it more suitable for high-level visual recognition tasks, similar is not possible at pixel-level. Classification at the pixel-level (think of raw pixel values) comes at high computational burden, and it turns out that, it is difficult to disambiguate objects with large classes dataset by simple concatenating of multi-spectral data into single pixel-related vectors using statistical methods. Thus, we extract from each image a limited number of features, far fewer than the number of pixels. With such smaller numbers of features at hand, we show that, we achieve better recognition results, significantly outperforming pixel-level features (see Table 2), and can afford to not further reduce the number of spectral bands that are considered. Then, the extracted feature vectors are fed to linear one vs all SVM classifier, where we have a SVM for each band. For the final label prediction, we merge the predictions of all SVM learners trained on different bands using majority voting.

For feature extraction of each mono-spectral image, we use a fixed-size representation to extract SIFT, LBP and HOG features. The parameters for feature extraction (i.e. window size, step size, number of oriented bins, number of clusters, and more) contribute to the good results, and play a crucial role in improving the recognition performance. In Section 4, we describe the implementation setup in detail.

The performance of the the proposed approach is demonstrated for face recognition in HSI. Excellent results show that these robust feature descriptors are perfect for reliable face recognition in hyperspectral images.

4. EXPERIMENTS

The proposed method in the previous section was tested on two standard hyperspectral face datasets [18] [23] for a face recognition task. Our experiments consist of two parts. In the first part, we compare our proposed method with the state-of-the-art HSI face recognition methods. Then in the second part, we compare the usefulness of the discriminative spectral bands with the RGB image representation of HSI. We now introduce the datasets used and then we move onto training/testing protocol, and implementation details.

4.1. Experimental Setup

For the experimental evaluation, we used a desktop with Intel i7-2600K CPU at 3.40GHZ, 8GB RAM. All experiments were performed using vlfeat library [24].

Hyperspectral Face Datasets: Hong-Kong Polytechnic University Hyperspectral Face Dataset (PolyU-HSFD) [18] (see Fig. 3 and Table 1) is acquired using the CRI’s VariSpec LCTF with a halogen light source. The database contains data of 48 subjects acquired in multiple sessions, with 1-7 cubes over all sessions. Following the same experimental protocol of [20, 21, 22], only first 25 subjects were used for evaluation. The acquired images for the first 6 and the last 3 bands are noisy (i.e. very high shot noise). So they are discarded from the experiment, as suggested in the previous work. In all, 24 spectral bands were used with spectral interval (i.e. step size) of 10nm. The database has significant appearance variations of the subjects because it was constructed over a long period of time. Major changes in appearance variation were in hair-style and skin condition.

Carnegie Mellon University Hyperspectral Face Dataset (CMU-HSFD) [23] (see Fig. 3 and Table 1) is acquired using the CMU developed AOTF with three halogen light sources. The database contains data of 54 subjects acquired in multiple sessions, with 1-5 cubes over all sessions. Following the same experimental protocol of [20, 21, 22], only first 48 subjects were used for evaluation. The acquired images for the first 6 and the last 3 bands are noisy (i.e. very high shot noise). So they are discarded from the experiment, as suggested in the previous work. In all, 24 spectral bands were used with spectral interval (i.e. step size) of 10nm. The database has significant appearance variations of the subjects because it was constructed over a long period of time. Major changes in appearance variation were in hair-style and skin condition.

Training and Testing Protocol: Following the same training and testing protocol as defined in [20, 21, 22], only frontal
view has been considered for evaluation of both datasets. When only one sample per subject is used for training and two samples per subject are used for testing. Both gallery set (or training set) and probe set (or testing set) were constructed for 5 times by random selection and the average recognition accuracy was reported.

**Data Preprocessing:** All images were cropped and resized to size $263 \times 263$ in spatial dimension. Due to low signal-to-noise ratio (i.e. high shot noise) in the datasets, we apply a median filter of size $3 \times 3$ to remove shot noise.

**Implementation Details:** To extract the HOG and LBP features, we use a window size of $8 \times 8$, and number of orientation bins of 9 for HOG. For SIFT, we use a bin size of 4, step size of 8, then the extracted SIFT-features are Fisher encoded. To compute Fisher encoding, we build a visual dictionary using GMM with 100 clusters. We normalize the features using L2-normalization. We denote dense SIFT Fisher vectors by DSIFT-FVs. These parameters are fixed for all descriptors. To compute Fisher encoding, we build a visual dictionary using GMM with 100 clusters. We normalize the features using L2-normalization. We denote dense SIFT Fisher vectors by DSIFT-FVs. These parameters are fixed for all descriptors. To compute Fisher encoding, we build a visual dictionary using GMM with 100 clusters. We normalize the features using L2-normalization. We denote dense SIFT Fisher vectors by DSIFT-FVs. These parameters are fixed for all descriptors.

We use linear SVM with $C = 10$ from LIBSVM [25] to train classifier with features.

### 4.2. Results

**Comparison with state-of-the-art methods:** In Table 2, we quantitatively evaluate the recognition accuracy of our proposed method, and compare it with state-of-the-art hyperspectral face recognition methods reported in the literature. We observe from the results that among the traditional feature descriptors, as expected DSIFT-FVs outperforms the LBP and HOG recognition accuracy by a significant margin. Also, it should be noted that DSIFT-FVs outperforms all the traditional methods listed in the literature as well as the other methods on CMU-HSFD dataset. Furthermore, this examination reveals that SIFT shows the same trend of performing better in face recognition in hyperspectral images as in RGB images.

**Comparison of HSI with RGB Image:** For this evaluation, we generate an RGB image for each of the hyperspectral images. In this regard, we refer the reader to the book by Ohta and Robertson [26] for detailed steps. Then, we apply the proposed method to an RGB image (with three channels) in the same way as we applied to the hyperspectral image, discussed earlier in Section 5.

In Table 3, we quantitatively evaluate the recognition accuracy of the whole band set in the hyperspectral cube, and compare it with a three channels RGB image. It is evident from the comparison that the classification performance for the computed features from HSI images is significantly better than the computed features from RGB images on both datasets. In the literature, it has been shown by Pan et al. [15], NIR images exhibit a distinct spectral properties of the skin and this information leads to more accurate classification in comparison to retained information in RGB images (i.e. visible range). The reason being, in NIR range the spectral responses of the tissues are more discriminative due to larger penetration depth, which is dependent on the portion of melanin and hemoglobin [27] in the human skin.

### 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed a novel pipeline for image-level classification in the hyperspectral images. By doing this, we show that the discriminative spectral information at image-level features lead to significantly improved performance in a face recognition task. We also explored the potential of traditional feature descriptors in the hyperspectral images. From our evaluations, we observe that SIFT features outperform the state-of-the-art hyperspectral face recognition methods, and also the other descriptors. With the increasing deployment of hyperspectral sensors in a multitude of applications, we believe that our approach can effectively exploit the spectral information in hyperspectral images, thus beneficial to more accurate classification.
6. REFERENCES

[1] Matthew Brown and Sabine Süsstrunk, “Multi-spectral sift for scene category recognition,” in CVPR, 2011.

[2] Ali Zia, Jie Liang, Jun Zhou, and Yongsheng Gao, “3d reconstruction from hyperspectral images,” in WACV, 2015.

[3] Jie Liang, Jun Zhou, Xiao Bai, and Yuntao Qian, “Salient object detection in hyperspectral imagery,” in ICIP, 2013.

[4] Soonmin Hwang, Jaesik Park, Namil Kim, Yukyung Choi, and In So Kweon, “Multispectral pedestrian detection: Benchmark dataset and baseline,” in CVPR Workshops, 2015.

[5] Yun Luo, Jeffrey Remillard, and Dieter Hoetzer, “Pedestrian detection in near-infrared night vision system,” in Intelligent Vehicles Symposium, 2010.

[6] Neda Salamati, Clément Fredembach, and Sabine Süsstrunk, “Material classification using color and nir images,” in CIC, 2009.

[7] Costas Balas, Vassilis Papadakis, Nicolas Papadakis, Antonis Papadakis, Eleftheria Vazgiouraki, and George Themelis, “A novel hyper-spectral imaging apparatus for the non-destructive analysis of objects of artistic and historic value,” Journal of Cultural Heritage, 2003.

[8] David G Lowe, “Object recognition from local scale-invariant features,” in ICCV, 1999.

[9] Navneet Dalal and Bill Triggs, “Histograms of oriented gradients for human detection,” in CVPR, 2005.

[10] Timo Ojala, Matti Pietikäinen, and Topi Mäenpää, “Multiresolution gray-scale and rotation invariant texture classification with local binary patterns,” PAMI, 2002.

[11] David A Landgrebe, Signal theory methods in multispectral remote sensing, John Wiley & Sons, 2005.

[12] John A Richards and JA Richards, Remote sensing digital image analysis, Springer, 1999.

[13] Yaniv Taigman, Ming Yang, Marc’Aurelio Ranzato, and Lars Wolf, “Deepface: Closing the gap to human-level performance in face verification,” in CVPR, 2014.

[14] Ken Chatfield, Karen Simonyan, Andrea Vedaldi, and Andrew Zisserman, “Return of the devil in the details: Delving deep into convolutional nets,” arXiv preprint arXiv:1405.353I, 2014.

[15] Zhihong Pan, Glenn Healey, Manish Prasad, and Bruce Tromberg, “Face recognition in hyperspectral images,” PAMI, 2003.

[16] Zhihong Pan, Glenn Healey, and Bruce Tromberg, “Comparison of spectral-only and spectral/spatial face recognition for personal identity verification,” EURASIP, 2009.

[17] Stefan A Robila, “Toward hyperspectral face recognition,” in Electronic Imaging 2008. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2008.

[18] Wei Di, Lei Zhang, David Zhang, and Quan Pan, “Studies on hyperspectral face recognition in visible spectrum with feature band selection,” Systems, Man and Cybernetics, Part A: Systems and Humans, IEEE Transactions on, 2010.

[19] Linlin Shen and Songhao Zheng, “Hyperspectral face recognition using 3d gabor wavelets,” in ICPR, 2012.

[20] Jie Liang, Jun Zhou, and Yongsheng Gao, “3d local derivative pattern for hyperspectral face recognition,” in FGR, 2015.

[21] Muhammad Uzair, Arif Mahmood, and Ajmal Mian, “Hyperspectral face recognition using 3d-dct and partial least squares,” in BMVC, 2013.

[22] Muhammad Uzair, Arif Mahmood, and Ajmal Mian, “Hyperspectral face recognition with spatiotemporal information fusion and pls regression,” TIP, 2015.

[23] Louis J Denes, Peter Metes, and Yanxi Liu, Hyperspectral face database, Carnegie Mellon University, 2002.

[24] Andrea Vedaldi and Brian Fulkerson, “Vlfeat: An open and portable library of computer vision algorithms,” in Proceedings of the international conference on Multimedia, 2010.

[25] Chih-Chung Chang and Chih-Jen Lin, “Libsvm: A library for support vector machines,” ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST), 2011.

[26] Noboru Ohta and Alan Robertson, Colorimetry: fundamentals and applications. Chapter 3: CIE Standard Colorimetric System, John Wiley & Sons, 2006.

[27] Takanori Igarashi, Ko Nishino, and Shree K Nayar, “The appearance of human skin: A survey,” Foundations and Trends® in Computer Graphics and Vision, 2007.