Factors associated with physical and sexual violence among school-going adolescents in Nepal: Findings from Global School-based Student Health Survey
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Abstract

Background

Globally violence is a matter of public health concern with severe physical and mental health implications and social consequences. Evidence suggest that adolescents have an elevated risk of exposure to physical and sexual violence. However, there is a lack of nationally representative research on violence and its associated factors in Nepal to inform interventions. This paper attempts to find the factors associated with various forms of physical and sexual violence among school-going adolescents in Nepal.

Methods

We analysed the cross-sectional data from the Global School-based Student Health Survey (GSHS) 2015. The GSHS survey applied a two-stage cluster sampling process to select a representative sample of 7 to 11 grade students from 74 schools across the country. We applied logistic regression analysis to identify the factors associated with physical and sexual violence.

Results

Out of the total 6,529 participants, 45.24% of them faced a physical attack, 39.25% were involved in a physical fight, and 11.65% were victims of sexual violence in the survey administered between 7 August 2015 to 14 March 2016. In a multiple regression analysis, the age of participants, parental supervision, feeling unsafe at school, and the number of close friends were found to be associated with a physical attack. Participants who were bullied, had multiple sex partners, and had received corporal punishment in school had a higher engagement in a physical fight. Likewise, school grade, having parents who understand the problems, having multiple sex partners, and corporal punishment at school were associated with instances of sexual violence.
Conclusion

The study identified multiple factors associated with experiences of physical attacks, involvement in a physical fight, and sexual violence among school-going adolescents. This study results can have important implications for school administration, parents, and policymakers alike to plan appropriate anti-violence strategies and interventions. Since various forms of violence share some common risk factors, a comprehensive strategy could be worth considering to prevent such acts of violence.

Introduction

Adolescence is a period of transition. The changeover period exposes adolescents to vulnerable conditions and situations of violence, both as victims and aggressors [1,2]. With widening social interaction, adolescents start spending more time away from home, making them vulnerable to physical and sexual violence such as a physical attack, physical fight, and sexual harassment [2]. Furthermore, people in this age group are too young to unveil their experience of violence, which amplifies the problem and its consequences [3].

Recent evidence suggests that adolescents are disproportionately affected by physical and sexual violence in all settings across the world [4–8]. A study by Han et al. that analysed the data from 68 countries reported that 35.6% of school adolescents had faced a physical attack, and 36.4% were involved in physical fighting [9]. The prevalence of physical attack and physical fight were 39.7% and 28.1%, respectively, in the South-East Asian Region [9]. Evidence also suggests that globally approximately 9% of girls and 3% of boys are sexual violence victims [10]. An earlier study in Nepal had revealed that the prevalence of physical violence was 11% among women in the age group 15–19 years. In the same study, the proportion of adolescents women facing sexual violence in their lifetime was 3% [11]. Likewise, a study conducted among female students in a municipality of Kathmandu district reported that approximately 76% had faced some form of sexual harassment in their lifetime [12].

Violence is a deplorable act. However, evidence show that it is socially acceptable in some context. Approximately a third of the male adolescents in the rural settings (29%) and close to a quarter of the male adolescents in the urban settings (24%) justified wife-beating in Nepal [13]. Previous studies have revealed that factors such as being a male, smoking, consuming alcohol and drugs and bullying victimisation increase the odds of being involved in a physical fight, whereas parental supervision was found to have a protective effect [14]. Meanwhile, in a study among Kuwaiti adolescents, increased involvement in a physical fight was associated with food deprivation and truancy [15]. In a study, alcohol consumption and having friends who consume alcohol were associated with an increased risk of sexual violence [16]. Likewise, Ohene et al. had revealed the association of previous engagement in sexual activity and bullying victimisation with sexual violence among high school students [1].

Violent behaviour, primarily physical and sexual violence, has been a significant public health problem worldwide because of its severe personal and social consequences [2,8,17]. Violence amongst adolescents affects the victims’ lives in multiple ways and also have serious consequences on family, community, and the nation [18–22]. Adolescents who experience physical and sexual violence have been found to have low social adjustment capacity compared to those not facing such violence [23]. Violence can affect the body systems, including the gastrointestinal, nervous, reproductive, cardiovascular, musculoskeletal, genitourinary, immune and endocrine systems [18]. It also contributes to the adoption of high-risk behaviour such as
smoking, tobacco use, unhealthy diet and an eating disorder, harmful use of alcohol, and drug use that are considered a risk of non-communicable diseases [18]. In one of the previous studies, the adolescents who faced physical attacks and were involved in physical fights had almost two-fold higher odds of having serious injuries [24]. Similarly, those who had faced physical attacks had 1.38 times higher odds of having suicidal ideation and 2.42 times higher odds of suicidal attempts [25]. Apart from health outcomes, violence and its consequences also incur huge health care expenditure worldwide with substantial direct and indirect costs on the victims [5].

With increasing recognition of the burden of violence and its consequences, violence among adolescents has received global attention in recent years [19]. Given the global priority, it is necessary to estimate the prevalence of violence among adolescents to inform policy, drive actions against violence and monitor progress against the targets. Nepal lacks evidence from a representative nationwide study that shows the burden of violence and its associated factors. Having such evidence in the Nepalese context could help local, provincial, and federal governments formulate appropriate policy interventions to reduce the burden of violence and mitigate its impact. In this context, this study aimed to identify the factors associated with physical and sexual violence among school-going adolescents in Nepal.

**Methods**

This study is a further analysis of the Global School-based Student Health Survey (GSHS) 2015. The GSHS survey applied a two-stage cluster sampling process to select a representative sample from the study population of 7 to 11 grade students. Firstly, the survey selected 74 schools based on probability proportional to school enrolment size from among the total schools that teach 7 to 11 grade students. Secondly, from 74 schools, an intact classroom was selected randomly, and the entire class was included in the study based on their eligibility criteria. Among 8,670 students approached for participation in the study, 6,531 participants completed the survey questionnaire. After the data cleaning procedure, 6,529 completed questionnaires were usable for data analyses.

Data were collected through the self-administration of a standardised questionnaire. The GSHS questionnaire used for this study contained 58 core questions, 33 expanded questions addressing all the GSHS core modules covering the students’ demographics, dietary behaviours, hygiene, violence and unintentional injury, tobacco use, mental health, alcohol and drug use, sexual behaviours, and physical activity. The methodology has been detailed out in the full report of the study [26].

We classified participants as encountering physical attack if they had been attacked physically at least once in the past 12 months before the survey. Similarly, physical fighting refers to being involved in a physical fight at least once in the last 12 months. Participants were considered to have encountered sexual violence if they had been forced for sex when they did not want sexual intercourse ever in their lifetime. The definitions of outcome variables and selection of independent variables for analysis are based on previous publications from GSHS [8,27]. The definition of each study variable in our study is available in the supplementary file (S1 Table).

We used Stata 15 version to edit, process and analyse the data. We applied a weighting factor to each student’s record to adjust for the non-response and for the varying probabilities of the participant’s selection based on the sampling strategy. The weighing factor took into consideration the selection probability of school, the selection probability of classroom within the school, school level non-response and student-level non-response. Details of the calculation of the weighting factor are presented in a full report of the study [26].
We developed three multiple regression models: Model 1 (physical attack), Model 2 (physical fight) and Model 3 (sexual violence) and included all the independent variables in the models. Descriptive analysis and inferential analysis were performed using complex sample analysis considering the primary sample unit, strata, and each participant’s selection probability. We performed separate regression analysis after imputation of missing data, and the results have been presented in a supplementary file (S2 Table).

The Ethical Review Board of Nepal Health Research Council ethically cleared the research. The student’s participation in the study was voluntary, and the privacy and confidentiality of the research participants were ensured. After selecting the classrooms, all the students were provided with an information paper with detailed survey information to obtain voluntary written consent from parents or guardians. The following day, the survey question was administered among students having written informed consent. The students recorded their response on a computer scannable answer sheet during class hours.

Results

Characteristics of the participants

As shown in Table 1, the proportion of male and female participants in the study was 48.67% (95% CI = 46.55, 50.81) and 51.33% (95% CI = 49.19, 53.45) respectively. The proportion of participants with age 12 years or under was 11.99% (95% CI = 9.44, 15.12) and 16 years and above was 23.79% (95% CI = 21.10, 26.70). The proportion of participants ranged from 20.96% (95% CI = 16.36, 26.44) in grade ten or above to 27.70% (95% CI = 22.14, 34.04) in grade seven. Similarly, 4.68% (95% CI = 2.62, 8.23) had faced food insecurity, 50.86% (95% CI = 47.23, 54.47) had been bullied, 6.55% (95% CI = 5.45, 7.86) had felt lonely and 4.59% (95% CI = 3.73, 5.64) had anxiety. Almost two thirds 65.21% (95% CI = 61.20, 69.02) had at least three close friends. The proportion of participants with current cigarette use was 6.35% (95% CI = 4.87, 8.25), current alcohol use was 5.49% (95% CI = 4.20, 7.14) and current marijuana use was 3.23% (95% CI = 2.33, 4.47) (Table 1).

The prevalence of physical attack was found to be 50.29% (95% CI = 45.50, 55.07) in males, 40.12% (95% CI = 35.45, 44.98) in females and 45.24% (95% CI = 41.00, 49.55) in both sexes. The prevalence of physical attack ranged from 40.81% (95% CI = 35.07, 46.81) among adolescents of age 16 years or above to 55.02% (95% CI = 47.09, 62.70) among adolescents of age 12 years or under. Similarly, the prevalence of physical attack ranged from 36.42% (95% CI = 30.29, 43.02) among adolescents in grade ten or above to 52.56% (95% CI = 45.96, 59.07) in grade seven (Table 2).

The prevalence of physical fight was 43.68% (95% CI = 40.01, 47.42) among males, 34.85% (95% CI = 30.84, 39.09) amongst female and 39.25% (95% CI = 36.19, 42.41) in both sexes. The prevalence of physical fight ranged from 35.53% (95% CI = 28.09, 43.74) among adolescents under 12 years of age to 41.03% (95% CI = 37.52, 44.62) among adolescents of age 14 years. Similarly, the prevalence of physical fight ranged from 37.93% (95% CI = 33.71, 42.35) among adolescents in grade eight to 41.51% (95% CI = 35.18, 48.13) among adolescents in grade seven (Table 2).

The overall prevalence of sexual violence was 11.65% (95% CI = 9.24, 14.58). Gender-wise disaggregation shows that 11.95% (95% CI = 8.93, 15.81) of males and 11.08% (95% CI = 8.52, 14.29) of females had faced sexual violence. Sexual violence ranged from 8.80% (95% CI = 6.057, 12.63) among adolescents of age 13 years to 15.61% (95% CI = 11.01, 21.67) among adolescents 12 years or below. Similarly, sexual violence ranged from 8.17% (95% CI = 5.60, 11.76) among adolescents of grade ten or above to 16.09% (95% CI = 11.49, 22.06) among adolescents in grade seven (Table 2).
Table 1. Characteristics of research participants.

| Variables          | Attribute         | n       | Percentage (95% CI) |
|--------------------|-------------------|---------|---------------------|
| Sex                | Male              | 3016    | 48.67 (46.55, 50.81) |
|                    | Female            | 3406    | 51.33 (49.19, 53.45) |
| Age                | 12 or under       | 606     | 11.99 (9.44, 15.12)  |
|                    | 13 years          | 1174    | 19.56 (17.96, 21.28) |
|                    | 14 years          | 1504    | 24.55 (22.11, 27.18) |
|                    | 15 years          | 1445    | 20.10 (18.29, 22.05) |
|                    | 16 or above       | 1752    | 23.79 (21.10, 26.70) |
| Grade              | Grade seven       | 1407    | 27.70 (22.14, 34.04) |
|                    | Grade eight       | 1830    | 27.68 (22.69, 33.29) |
|                    | Grade nine        | 1496    | 23.66 (19.00, 29.06) |
|                    | Grade ten or above| 1707    | 20.96 (16.36, 26.44) |
| Food insecurity    | No                | 6206    | 95.32 (91.77, 97.38) |
|                    | Yes               | 272     | 4.68 (2.62, 8.23)    |
| Physically attacked| No                | 3685    | 54.76 (50.45, 59)    |
|                    | Yes               | 2737    | 45.24 (41, 49.55)    |
| Physical fighting  | No                | 4051    | 60.75 (57.59, 63.81) |
|                    | Yes               | 2456    | 39.25 (36.19, 42.41) |
| Bulled             | No                | 3213    | 49.14 (45.53, 52.77) |
|                    | Yes               | 2988    | 50.86 (47.23, 54.47) |
| Felt lonely        | No                | 5986    | 93.45 (92.14, 94.56) |
|                    | Yes               | 402     | 6.55 (5.45, 7.86)    |
| Anxiety            | No                | 6206    | 95.41 (94.36, 96.28) |
|                    | Yes               | 282     | 4.59 (3.73, 5.64)    |
| Close friends      | No close friend   | 268     | 4.58 (3.28, 6.35)    |
|                    | One close friend  | 833     | 13.99 (12.14, 16.08) |
|                    | Two close friends | 990     | 16.22 (14.53, 18.07) |
|                    | Three or more close friends | 4326 | 65.21 (61.20, 69.02) |
| Current cigarette use | No             | 6025    | 93.64 (91.75, 95.13) |
|                    | Yes               | 356     | 6.36 (4.87, 8.249)   |
| Current alcohol use | No              | 6085    | 94.51 (92.86, 95.80) |
|                    | Yes               | 300     | 5.49 (4.20, 7.14)    |
| Ever used drug     | No                | 5712    | 91.12 (88.89, 92.93) |
|                    | Yes               | 495     | 8.88 (7.07, 11.11)   |
| Ever used marijuana | No              | 6057    | 95.89 (94.2, 97.11)  |
|                    | Yes               | 227     | 4.11 (2.89, 5.80)    |
| Current marijuana use | No              | 6215    | 96.77 (95.53, 97.67) |
|                    | Yes               | 181     | 3.23 (2.33, 4.47)    |
| Multiple sex partners | No              | 6047    | 96.21 (94.16, 97.56) |
|                    | Yes               | 228     | 3.79 (2.44, 5.84)    |
| Truancy            | 0-2times          | 5834    | 90.63 (88.15, 92.64) |
|                    | 3 times or more   | 524     | 9.37 (7.36, 11.85)   |
| Parents understand problem | No        | 2838    | 47.39 (42.65, 52.18) |
|                    | Yes               | 3513    | 52.61 (47.82, 57.35) |
| Parental monitoring | No               | 2998    | 50.62 (45.87, 55.36) |
|                    | Yes               | 3422    | 49.38 (44.64, 54.13) |
| Felt unsafe at school | No              | 3933    | 58.28 (52.49, 63.85) |
|                    | Yes               | 2513    | 41.72 (36.15, 47.51) |

(Continued)
In bivariate analysis, a statistically significant association was found between age and being physically attacked. Compared to adolescents of age 12 years or under, adolescents of age 14 years (OR = 0.69, 95% CI = 0.51, 0.94), 15 years (OR = 0.56, 95% CI = 0.40, 0.79), and 16 years or above (OR = 0.56, 95% CI = 0.40, 0.80) had lower odds of being physically attacked. Female adolescents were less likely to be physically attacked (OR = 0.66, 95% CI = 0.55, 0.79) and getting engaged in a physical fight (OR = 0.69, 95% CI = 0.56, 0.85) compared to males. Adolescents who had faced food insecurity had 1.82 times (OR = 1.82, 95% CI = 1.38, 2.41) and 1.69 times (OR = 1.69, 95% CI = 1.08, 2.64) higher odds of being physically attacked and being forced for sexual intercourse, respectively. Bullied participants had 3.35 times higher odds (OR = 3.35, 95% CI = 2.78, 4.02) of being physically attacked, 3.78 times higher odds (OR = 3.78, 95% CI = 3.06, 4.66) of being involved in a physical fight and 1.45 times higher odds (OR = 1.45 95% CI = 1.01, 2.07) of facing sexual violence. Adolescents who felt lonely had 1.87 times higher odds (OR = 1.87, 95% CI = 1.45, 2.42) of being physically attacked, 1.82 times higher odds (OR = 1.82, 95% CI = 1.34, 2.47) of being involved in a physical fight and 2.16 times higher odds (OR = 2.16, 95% CI = 1.46, 3.19) of facing sexual violence. Adolescents who had anxiety had 1.84 times higher odds (OR = 1.84, 95% CI = 1.32, 2.58) of being physically attacked, 1.48 times higher odds (OR = 1.48, 95% CI = 1.11, 1.98) of being involved in a physical fight and 2.83 times higher odds (OR = 2.83, 95% CI = 1.53, 5.23) of facing sexual violence. Similarly, adolescents involved in tobacco use, alcohol use, marijuana use had higher odds of being physically attacked, being involved in a physical fight and encountering sexual violence. The association of other variables with being physically attacked, being involved in a physical fight, and facing sexual violence are shown below (Table 3).

Sex of the participants was found to have a statistically significant association with being physically attacked with females (AOR = 0.79, 95% CI = 0.68, 0.92) having lower odds compared to males. The participants of the age group 15 years (AOR = 0.64, 95% CI = 0.43, 0.95) and 16 years or above (AOR = 0.62, 95% CI = 0.39, 0.98) had lower odds of being physically attacked compared to participants of age 12 years or under. Those who were bullied had 2.65 times higher odds (AOR = 2.65, 95% CI = 2.15, 3.26) of being physically attacked compared to their counterparts who were not bullied. Participants who had three or more friends had 1.65 times higher odds (AOR = 1.65, 95% CI = 1.07, 2.53) of being physically attacked than those not having any close friends. Adolescents with parental supervision had lower odds (AOR = 0.83, 95% CI = 0.70, 0.99) of being physically attacked than those who did not. Those who felt unsafe in school had almost 1.91 times higher odds (AOR = 1.91, 95% CI = 1.55, 2.34) of being physically attacked compared to their other counterparts. Similarly, those who had received corporal punishment like being hit, slapped, or were physically hurt by the teacher were found to have 1.40 times higher odds (AOR = 1.40, 95% CI = 1.17, 1.67) of being physically attacked compared to other counterparts (Table 4).

Table 1. (Continued)

| Variables          | Attribute | n   | Percentage (95% CI) |
|--------------------|-----------|-----|---------------------|
| Corporal punishment| No        | 3935| 59.19 (56.17, 62.14)|
|                    | Yes       | 2496| 40.81 (37.86, 43.83)|
| Sexual violence    | No        | 5719| 88.35 (85.42, 90.76) |
|                    | Yes       | 656 | 11.65 (9.24, 14.58)  |

In bivariate analysis, a statistically significant association was found between age and being physically attacked. Compared to adolescents of age 12 years or under, adolescents of age 14 years (OR = 0.69, 95% CI = 0.51, 0.94), 15 years (OR = 0.56, 95% CI = 0.40, 0.79), and 16 years or above (OR = 0.56, 95% CI = 0.40, 0.80) had lower odds of being physically attacked. Female adolescents were less likely to be physically attacked (OR = 0.66, 95% CI = 0.55, 0.79) and getting engaged in a physical fight (OR = 0.69, 95% CI = 0.56, 0.85) compared to males. Adolescents who had faced food insecurity had 1.82 times (OR = 1.82, 95% CI = 1.38, 2.41) and 1.69 times (OR = 1.69, 95% CI = 1.08, 2.64) higher odds of being physically attacked and being forced for sexual intercourse, respectively. Bullied participants had 3.35 times higher odds (OR = 3.35, 95% CI = 2.78, 4.02) of being physically attacked, 3.78 times higher odds (OR = 3.78, 95% CI = 3.06, 4.66) of being involved in a physical fight and 1.45 times higher odds (OR = 1.45 95% CI = 1.01, 2.07) of facing sexual violence. Adolescents who felt lonely had 1.87 times higher odds (OR = 1.87, 95% CI = 1.45, 2.42) of being physically attacked, 1.82 times higher odds (OR = 1.82, 95% CI = 1.34, 2.47) of being involved in a physical fight and 2.16 times higher odds (OR = 2.16, 95% CI = 1.46, 3.19) of facing sexual violence. Adolescents who had anxiety had 1.84 times higher odds (OR = 1.84, 95% CI = 1.32, 2.58) of being physically attacked, 1.48 times higher odds (OR = 1.48, 95% CI = 1.11, 1.98) of being involved in a physical fight and 2.83 times higher odds (OR = 2.83, 95% CI = 1.53, 5.23) of facing sexual violence. Similarly, adolescents involved in tobacco use, alcohol use, marijuana use had higher odds of being physically attacked, being involved in a physical fight and encountering sexual violence. The association of other variables with being physically attacked, being involved in a physical fight, and facing sexual violence are shown below (Table 3).

Sex of the participants was found to have a statistically significant association with being physically attacked with females (AOR = 0.79, 95% CI = 0.68, 0.92) having lower odds compared to males. The participants of the age group 15 years (AOR = 0.64, 95% CI = 0.43, 0.95) and 16 years or above (AOR = 0.62, 95% CI = 0.39, 0.98) had lower odds of being physically attacked compared to participants of age 12 years or under. Those who were bullied had 2.65 times higher odds (AOR = 2.65, 95% CI = 2.15, 3.26) of being physically attacked compared to their counterparts who were not bullied. Participants who had three or more friends had 1.65 times higher odds (AOR = 1.65, 95% CI = 1.07, 2.53) of being physically attacked than those not having any close friends. Adolescents with parental supervision had lower odds (AOR = 0.83, 95% CI = 0.70, 0.99) of being physically attacked than those who did not. Those who felt unsafe in school had almost 1.91 times higher odds (AOR = 1.91, 95% CI = 1.55, 2.34) of being physically attacked compared to their other counterparts. Similarly, those who had received corporal punishment like being hit, slapped, or were physically hurt by the teacher were found to have 1.40 times higher odds (AOR = 1.40, 95% CI = 1.17, 1.67) of being physically attacked compared to other counterparts (Table 4).

Those who were bullied had almost 3.39 times higher odds (AOR = 3.39, 95% CI = 2.75, 4.18) of being involved in a physical fight compared to adolescents who were not bullied. Similarly, those with multiple sex partners had around 2.33 times higher odds (AOR = 2.33, 95% CI = 1.06, 5.14) of being involved in a physical fight compared to those who did not have
### Table 2. Prevalence of physical attack, physical fight, and sexual violence.

| Variables         | Physical attack (Prevale nce (95% CI)) | Physical fight (Prevale nce (95% CI)) | Sexual violence (Prevale nce (95% CI)) |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| **Sex**           |                                        |                                        |                                        |
| Male              | 50.29 (45.5, 55.07)                    | 43.68 (40.01, 47.42)                   | 11.95 (8.93, 15.81)                    |
| Female            | 40.12 (35.45, 44.98)                   | 34.85 (30.84, 39.09)                   | 11.08 (8.52, 14.29)                    |
| **Age**           |                                        |                                        |                                        |
| 12 years or under | 55.02 (47.09, 62.70)                   | 35.53 (28.09, 43.74)                   | 15.61 (11.01, 21.67)                   |
| 13 years          | 47.95 (40.93, 55.04)                   | 38.90 (34.22, 43.8)                    | 8.80 (6.05, 12.63)                     |
| 14 years          | 45.85 (41.16, 50.62)                   | 41.03 (37.52, 44.62)                   | 12.52 (8.85, 17.42)                    |
| 15 years          | 40.84 (35.77, 46.1)                    | 39.65 (35.92, 43.5)                    | 10.92 (8.22, 14.36)                    |
| 16 or above       | 40.81 (35.07, 46.81)                   | 39.07 (35.53, 42.73)                   | 11.64 (8.57, 15.62)                    |
| **Grade**         |                                        |                                        |                                        |
| Grade seven       | 52.56 (45.96, 59.07)                   | 41.51 (35.18, 48.13)                   | 16.09 (11.49, 22.06)                   |
| Grade eight       | 47.03 (41.59, 52.53)                   | 37.93 (33.71, 42.35)                   | 10.96 (8.29, 14.35)                    |
| Grade nine        | 42.72 (36.51, 49.16)                   | 39.01 (32.54, 45.89)                   | 9.58 (7.15, 12.71)                     |
| Grade ten or above| 36.42 (30.29, 43.02)                   | 38.65 (34.7, 42.75)                    | 8.17 (5.60, 11.76)                     |
| **Food insecurity**|                                       |                                        |                                        |
| No                | 44.51 (40.4, 48.71)                    | 39.05 (35.75, 42.45)                   | 11.34 (8.88, 14.38)                    |
| Yes               | 59.38 (52.68, 65.74)                   | 42.55 (39.15, 57.15)                   | 17.75 (14.56, 21.73)                   |
| **Bullied**       |                                        |                                        |                                        |
| No                | 30.24 (26.95, 33.75)                   | 23.94 (20.55, 27.7)                    | 9.54 (7.66, 11.82)                     |
| Yes               | 59.19 (53.92, 64.26)                   | 54.33 (50.94, 57.68)                   | 13.23 (9.54, 18.07)                    |
| **Felt lonely**   |                                        |                                        |                                        |
| No                | 44.21 (40.06, 48.44)                   | 38.02 (34.92, 41.22)                   | 10.86 (8.52, 13.75)                    |
| Yes               | 59.75 (52.24, 66.83)                   | 52.73 (44.99, 60.33)                   | 20.85 (15.29, 27.77)                   |
| **Anxiety**       |                                        |                                        |                                        |
| No                | 44.38 (40.25, 48.59)                   | 38.78 (35.88, 41.77)                   | 10.86 (8.53, 13.75)                    |
| Yes               | 59.54 (50.26, 68.19)                   | 48.46 (39.7, 57.32)                    | 20.85 (15.29, 27.77)                   |
| **Close friends** |                                        |                                        |                                        |
| No close friend   | 38.01 (29.34, 47.53)                   | 48.40 (37.7, 59.28)                    | 21.57 (14.79, 30.34)                   |
| One close friend  | 46.24 (40.61, 51.97)                   | 40.88 (34.53, 47.55)                   | 13.95 (10.33, 18.56)                   |
| Two close friends | 45.90 (39.83, 52.08)                   | 39.09 (34.37, 44.02)                   | 15.7 (12.37, 19.72)                    |
| Three or more close friends | 45.22 (40.78, 49.74) | 38.18 (34.83, 41.65) | 9.13 (6.81, 12.12) |
| **Current cigarette use** | | | |
| No                | 43.28 (39.29, 47.35)                   | 37.12 (34.15, 40.18)                   | 10.19 (8.27, 12.49)                    |
| Yes               | 70.16 (61.09, 77.88)                   | 65.38 (55.86, 73.8)                    | 30.42 (21.72, 40.78)                   |
| **Current alcohol use** | | | |
| No                | 43.72 (39.69, 47.83)                   | 37.49 (34.59, 40.48)                   | 10.44 (8.59, 12.64)                    |
| Yes               | 61.24 (48.65, 72.48)                   | 61.46 (51.59, 70.46)                   | 21.92 (13.85, 32.91)                   |
| **Ever used drug** | | | |
| No                | 43.1 (38.97, 47.33)                    | 36.22 (33.09, 39.47)                   | 9.982 (7.78, 12.72)                    |
| Yes               | 59.13 (52.06, 65.83)                   | 58.82 (53.55, 63.90)                   | 20.8 (15.1, 27.94)                     |
| **Current marijuana use** | | | |
| No                | 43.97 (39.92, 48.09)                   | 37.83 (34.78, 40.98)                   | 10.98 (8.73, 13.73)                    |
| Yes               | 70.55 (61.2, 78.45)                    | 68.24 (58.67, 76.49)                   | 32.35 (23.78, 42.31)                   |
| **Multiple sex partner** | | | |
| No                | 44.26 (40.18, 48.42)                   | 37.58 (34.24, 41.03)                   | 10.76 (8.66, 13.29)                    |
| Yes               | 55.8 (45.43, 65.68)                    | 70.19 (57.91, 80.13)                   | 26.7 (19.14, 35.91)                    |

(Continued)
multiple sex partners. Similarly, compared to their other counterparts, adolescents who had received corporal punishment from teachers in school had 1.56 times higher odds (AOR = 1.56, 95% CI = 1.25, 1.94) of being involved in a physical fight (Table 4).

Adolescents in grade nine (AOR = 0.51, 95% CI = 0.32, 0.81) and grade ten or above (AOR = 0.34, 95% CI = 0.20, 0.60) had lower odds of having sexual violence compared to adolescents in grade seven. Adolescents who had multiple sex partners had 2.02 times higher odds (AOR = 2.02, 95% CI = 1.15, 3.54) of facing sexual violence than their counterparts. Adolescents whose parents understand their problems had lower odds (AOR = 0.68, 95% CI = 0.53, 0.87) of facing sexual violence compared to their other counterparts. Corporal punishment in school was also found to have a statistically significant association with sexual violence. Those who faced corporal punishment had 2.38 times higher odds (AOR = 2.38, 95% CI = 1.73, 3.27) of facing sexual violence (Table 4).

### Discussion

Our study showed that physical fight, physical attack, and sexual violence among adolescents are associated with several socio-demographic, behavioural and other factors. For example, male sex, having three and more close friends, not having parental supervision, unsafe feeling in school, and corporal punishment were found to have higher odds of experiencing a physical attack. The results showed that being a victim of bullying and corporal punishment are the common factor statistically associated with a physical attack, physical fight, and sexual violence.

As per a multi-country study, the prevalence of physical fights among school-going adolescents was 21.2% in Bangladesh, 25.1% in Indonesia, 28.4% in Thailand, 32.1% in the Maldives, and 33.6% in Timor-Leste [9]. Likewise, our study revealed that 39.5% of school-going adolescents were involved in a physical fight in Nepal. Similarly, the proportion of school-going adolescents reporting physical attacks differed across countries. The prevalence was 9.8% in Thailand, 31.5% in the Maldives, 34.3% in Indonesia, 41.1% in Timor-Leste, and 62.5% in Bangladesh [9]. In Nepal, we found that 45.24% of school-going adolescents were physically
Table 3. Factors associated with physical attack, physical fight, and sexual violence in bivariate analysis.

| Variables            | Physical attack | Physical fight | Sexual Violence |
|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
|                      | OR (95% CI)     | p-value        | OR (95% CI)     | p-value     | OR (95% CI)     | p value     |
| Sex                  |                 |                |                 |             |                 |             |
| Male                 | Ref             |               | Ref             |             | Ref             |             |
| Female               | 0.66 (0.55, 0.79) | <0.01         | 0.69 (0.56, 0.85) | <0.01       | 0.92 (0.67, 1.27) | 0.59        |
| Age                  |                 |                |                 |             |                 |             |
| 12 years or under    | Ref             |               | Ref             |             | Ref             |             |
| 13 years             | 0.75 (0.57, 1.00) | 0.05          | 1.16 (0.83, 1.61) | 0.38       | 0.52 (0.30, 0.92) | 0.03        |
| 14 years             | 0.69 (0.51, 0.94) | 0.02          | 1.26 (0.91, 1.76) | 0.16       | 0.77 (0.45, 1.32) | 0.34        |
| 15 years             | 0.56 (0.40, 0.79) | <0.01         | 1.19 (0.82, 1.73) | 0.34       | 0.66 (0.41, 1.07) | 0.09        |
| 16 and above         | 0.56 (0.40, 0.80) | <0.01         | 1.16 (0.86, 1.57) | 0.31       | 0.71 (0.46, 1.10) | 0.12        |
| Grade                |                 |                |                 |             |                 |             |
| Grade seven          | Ref             |               | Ref             |             | Ref             |             |
| Grade eight          | 0.80 (0.59, 1.08) | 0.15          | 0.86 (0.62, 1.21) | 0.37       | 0.64 (0.42, 0.99) | 0.05        |
| Grade nine           | 0.67 (0.50, 0.91) | 0.01          | 0.90 (0.62, 1.31) | 0.58       | 0.55 (0.40, 0.76) | <0.01       |
| Grade ten or above   | 0.52 (0.38, 0.70) | <0.01         | 0.89 (0.67, 1.18) | 0.40       | 0.46 (0.28, 0.77) | <0.01       |
| Food insecurity      |                 |                |                 |             |                 |             |
| No                   | Ref             |               | Ref             |             | Ref             |             |
| Yes                  | 1.82 (1.38, 2.41) | <0.01         | 1.16 (0.62, 2.17) | 0.64       | 1.69 (1.08, 2.64) | 0.02        |
| Bullied              |                 |                |                 |             |                 |             |
| No                   | Ref             |               | Ref             |             | Ref             |             |
| Yes                  | 3.35 (2.78, 4.02) | <0.01         | 3.78 (3.06, 4.66) | <0.01     | 1.45 (1.01, 2.07) | 0.04        |
| Felt lonely           |                 |                |                 |             |                 |             |
| No                   | Ref             |               | Ref             |             | Ref             |             |
| Yes                  | 1.87 (1.45, 2.42) | <0.01         | 1.82 (1.34, 2.47) | <0.01     | 2.16 (1.46, 3.19) | <0.01       |
| Anxiety              |                 |                |                 |             |                 |             |
| No                   | Ref             |               | Ref             |             | Ref             |             |
| Yes                  | 1.84 (1.32, 2.58) | <0.01         | 1.48 (1.11, 1.98) | 0.01       | 2.83 (1.53, 5.23) | <0.01       |
| Close friends        |                 |                |                 |             |                 |             |
| No close friend      | Ref             |               | Ref             |             | Ref             |             |
| One close friend     | 1.40 (0.93, 2.12) | 0.11          | 0.74 (0.45, 1.21) | 0.22       | 0.59 (0.33, 1.04) | 0.07        |
| Two close friends    | 1.38 (0.90, 2.13) | 0.14          | 0.68 (0.44, 1.06) | 0.09       | 0.68 (0.40, 1.14) | 0.14        |
| Three or more close friends | 1.35 (0.93, 1.95) | 0.11          | 0.66 (0.43, 1.02) | 0.06       | 0.37 (0.20, 0.68) | <0.01       |
| Current cigarette use|                 |                |                 |             |                 |             |
| No                   | Ref             |               | Ref             |             | Ref             |             |
| Yes                  | 3.08 (2.10, 4.52) | <0.01         | 3.20 (2.13, 4.81) | <0.01     | 3.85 (2.78, 5.34) | <0.01       |
| Current alcohol use  |                 |                |                 |             |                 |             |
| No                   | Ref             |               | Ref             |             | Ref             |             |
| Yes                  | 2.03 (1.25, 3.31) | 0.01          | 2.66 (1.79, 3.94) | <0.01     | 2.41 (1.48, 3.91) | <0.01       |
| Ever used drug       |                 |                |                 |             |                 |             |
| No                   | Ref             |               | Ref             |             | Ref             |             |
| Yes                  | 1.91 (1.45, 2.51) | <0.01         | 2.52 (2.08, 3.05) | <0.01     | 2.37 (1.59, 3.53) | <0.01       |
| Current marijuana use|                 |                |                 |             |                 |             |
| No                   | Ref             |               | Ref             |             | Ref             |             |
| Yes                  | 3.05 (2.02, 4.62) | <0.01         | 3.53 (2.31, 5.40) | <0.01     | 3.88 (2.68, 5.60) | <0.01       |
| Multiple sex partner |                 |                |                 |             |                 |             |
| No                   | Ref             |               | Ref             |             | Ref             |             |
| Yes                  | 1.59 (1.04, 2.44) | 0.04          | 3.91 (2.20, 6.96) | <0.01     | 3.02 (2.08, 4.39) | <0.01       |

(Continued)
attacked. An earlier study suggests that poverty level, cultural factors, substance use, and educational attainment could have led to intercountry variation in violence prevalence [9]. In the future, multi-country studies examining factors responsible for variations in prevalence, despite complexities in comparing the socio-economic, cultural, and behavioural indicators across countries, could be helpful.

Studies from Oman [28], Venezuela [29], Namibia [27] and Egypt [30] report that females, compared to males, have lower odds of being physically attacked. We did explore the gender differences associated with different forms of violence in our study through bivariate and multivariate analysis. Both the analysis show that females are less likely to be physically attacked than males. Our study also shows that the likelihood of females engaging in a physical fight was lesser than males in bivariate analysis. However, multivariate analysis shows that the association between participants’ sex and engagement in a physical fight is borderline. The social acceptance of male engagement in physical violence can be one factor contributing to gender differences. A study found that boys were more likely to engage in physical aggression like physical fighting while the girls are more likely to show verbal aggression and engage in indirect forms of violence [27], indicating that the nature of violence may vary with the sex of the participants. Hence, similar studies in future can look to examine if the forms of violence differ based on gender.

Parental supervision was found to reduce the odds of being physically attacked. Previous studies indicate that having a close parent-child relationship may improve youth’s ability to select pro-social friends [31] reduce the risk of substance use, aggression, and risky sexual behaviour [32], which could ultimately reduce the risk of involvement in different forms of violence. Furthermore, the extent to which parents exercise control over children’s different behaviours might create a difference in the association.

We found that bullied children were almost three times more likely to be involved in a physical fight and be physically attacked. Studies from Venezuela [29], the USA [33] and Egypt [30] also report an association between being bullied and being involved in a physical fight. Furthermore, a US study reports that bullied children are more likely to carry weapons or act as perpetrators than those not bullied [34]. Likewise, we also found that school-going

Table 3. (Continued)

| Variables                  | Physical attack |            | Physical fight |            | Sexual Violence |            |
|----------------------------|-----------------|------------|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|
|                            | OR (95% CI)     | p-value    | OR (95% CI)    | p-value    | OR (95% CI)    | p-value    |
| Truancy                    |                 |            |                |            |                |            |
| 0–2 times                  | Ref             |            | Ref            |            | Ref            |            |
| 3 times or more            | 1.67 (1.27, 2.18) | <0.01    | 1.6 (1.07, 2.38) | 0.02    | 2.06 (1.51, 2.81) | <0.01    |
| Parents understand problem|                 |            |                |            |                |            |
| No                         | Ref             |            | Ref            |            | Ref            |            |
| Yes                        | 0.72 (0.61, 0.84) | <0.01    | 0.88 (0.78, 0.99) | 0.03    | 0.46 (0.37, 0.58) | <0.01    |
| Parental supervision       |                 |            |                |            |                |            |
| No                         | Ref             |            | Ref            |            | Ref            |            |
| Yes                        | 0.6 (0.53, 0.69) | <0.01    | 0.72 (0.61, 0.85) | <0.01    | 0.48 (0.40, 0.59) | <0.01    |
| Felt unsafe in school      |                 |            |                |            |                |            |
| No                         | Ref             |            | Ref            |            | Ref            |            |
| Yes                        | 2.34 (1.98, 2.78) | <0.01    | 1.54 (1.32, 1.80) | <0.01    | 1.97 (1.51, 2.58) | <0.01    |
| Corporal punishment        |                 |            |                |            |                |            |
| No                         | Ref             |            | Ref            |            | Ref            |            |
| Yes                        | 1.93 (1.62, 2.3) | <0.01    | 1.9 (1.58, 2.29) | <0.01    | 2.60 (2.07, 3.26) | <0.01    |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248566.t003
### Table 4. Factors associated with physical attack, physical fight, and sexual violence in multivariate analysis.

| Variables | Physical attack (n = 4943) | Physical fight (n = 4993) | Sexual Violence (n = 4959) |
|-----------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|           | AOR (95% CI) | p-value | AOR (95% CI) | p-value | AOR (95% CI) | p-value |
| Sex       |             |         |             |         |             |         |
| Male      | Ref         |         | Ref         |         | Ref         |         |
| Female    | 0.79 (0.68, 0.92) | <0.01 | 0.80 (0.64, 1.00) | 0.05 | 1.19 (0.86, 1.65) | 0.28 |
| Age       |             |         |             |         |             |         |
| 12 years or under | Ref |         | Ref |         | Ref |         |
| 13 years | 0.77 (0.53, 1.1) | 0.15 | 1.28 (0.86, 1.92) | 0.22 | 0.66 (0.37, 1.19) | 0.16 |
| 14 years | 0.72 (0.5, 1.04) | 0.08 | 1.37 (0.84, 2.25) | 0.20 | 1.21 (0.61, 2.41) | 0.57 |
| 15 years | 0.64 (0.43, 0.95) | 0.03 | 1.21 (0.73, 2.02) | 0.45 | 1.48 (0.82, 2.66) | 0.18 |
| 16 and above | 0.62 (0.39, 0.98) | 0.04 | 0.98 (0.61, 1.6) | 0.95 | 1.34 (0.77, 2.35) | 0.30 |
| Grade     |             |         |             |         |             |         |
| Grade seven | Ref |         | Ref |         | Ref |         |
| Grade eight | 0.95 (0.7, 1.29) | 0.75 | 0.89 (0.65, 1.22) | 0.47 | 0.62 (0.35, 1.09) | 0.10 |
| Grade nine | 0.88 (0.64, 1.22) | 0.43 | 1.11 (0.78, 1.58) | 0.56 | 0.51 (0.32, 0.81) | 0.01 |
| Grade ten or above | 0.71 (0.49, 1.01) | 0.05 | 1.09 (0.82, 1.46) | 0.55 | 0.34 (0.2, 0.6) | <0.01 |
| Food Insecurity | No | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| Yes | 1.22 (0.87, 1.7) | 0.24 | 0.67 (0.38, 1.17) | 0.15 | 1.02 (0.45, 2.32) | 0.96 |
| Bullied | No | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| Yes | 2.65 (2.15, 3.26) | <0.01 | 3.39 (2.75, 4.18) | <0.001 | 1.10 (0.75, 1.61) | 0.62 |
| Felt lonely | No | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| Yes | 1.25 (0.86, 1.8) | 0.24 | 1.22 (0.78, 1.93) | 0.37 | 1.20 (0.68, 2.11) | 0.52 |
| Anxiety | No | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| Yes | 1.06 (0.72, 1.56) | 0.75 | 0.96 (0.61, 1.51) | 0.86 | 2.02 (0.95, 4.3) | 0.07 |
| Close friends | No close friend | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| One close friend | 1.58 (0.95, 2.63) | 0.08 | 0.87 (0.51, 1.48) | 0.60 | 1.03 (0.48, 2.21) | 0.94 |
| Two close friends | 1.46 (0.9, 2.35) | 0.12 | 0.81 (0.49, 1.34) | 0.40 | 1.23 (0.55, 2.79) | 0.61 |
| Three or more close friends | 1.65 (1.07, 2.53) | 0.02 | 0.76 (0.47, 1.23) | 0.25 | 0.71 (0.34, 1.5) | 0.36 |
| Current cigarette use | No | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| Yes | 1.49 (0.94, 2.36) | 0.09 | 1.25 (0.7, 2.23) | 0.44 | 1.75 (0.8, 3.81) | 0.16 |
| Current alcohol use | No | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| Yes | 1.04 (0.56, 1.95) | 0.89 | 1.18 (0.76, 1.82) | 0.44 | 1.24 (0.58, 2.64) | 0.57 |
| Ever used drug | No | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| Yes | 0.75 (0.49, 1.15) | 0.18 | 1.17 (0.8, 1.71) | 0.41 | 0.96 (0.37, 2.5) | 0.93 |
| Current marijuana use | No | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| Yes | 1.51 (0.81, 2.83) | 0.19 | 2.28 (0.93, 5.55) | 0.07 | 1.08 (0.33, 3.53) | 0.90 |
| Multiple sex partner | No | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| Yes | 0.84 (0.52, 1.38) | 0.49 | 2.33 (1.06, 5.14) | 0.04 | 2.02 (1.15, 3.54) | 0.02 |
adolescents who receive corporal punishment from teachers in a school are also more likely to be physically attacked, get involved in a physical fight, and become victims of sexual violence. We observed that adolescents are often victims of multiple forms of violence. However, because of the cross-sectional nature of data, cause and effect relationship cannot be established. Nevertheless, the co-occurrence of multiple forms of violence may also be related to harmful peer behaviour among school students, as indicated in the earlier studies [35].

In our study, the prevalence of sexual violence was similar in males and females; 11.95% of the male participants and 11.08% of the female participants had faced sexual violence which is slightly higher than the prevalence of sexual violence in Bhutan (7.1%, both sexes) [36]. Although we did not find any statistically significant association between participants’ sex and sexual violence, earlier studies have reported that females are more likely to suffer sexual violence than males [8,37].

The study found that adolescents in grade eight or nine seem to be less likely to be forced for sex than adolescents in grade seven. One of the factors might be that, as the education level increases, adolescents tend to be more aware of others’ sexual intentions and better understand how to avoid such circumstances where adolescents are forced into sex. Furthermore, the perpetrator might have been reluctant in victimising adolescents in higher grades as they might be aware of legal procedures and seek legal and other solutions if forced for sex. Further studies on the topic could be useful in elucidating how these variables are associated.

We found that those with multiple sex partners had almost two-fold higher odds of being engaged in a physical fight and almost two-fold higher odds of encountering sexual violence. A study by Stockman et al. found that having multiple sex partners was associated with sexual violence [21]. Similarly, those who were intoxicated with alcohol or drug during sexual violence (coerced sex) had a higher risk of having multiple sex partners [21]. Another multi-country study from Africa found that those who had faced sexual violence had a higher risk of having multiple sex partners [8]. Nonetheless, we cannot comment on whether sexual violence leads to multiple sex partners or having multiple sex partners increases the risk of sexual violence because it is a cross-sectional study.

### Table 4. (Continued)

| Variables          | Physical attack (n = 4943) | Physical fight (n = 4993) | Sexual Violence (n = 4959) |
|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|                    | AOR (95% CI) p-value      | AOR (95% CI) p-value      | AOR (95% CI) p-value      |
| **Truancy**        |                           |                           |                           |
| 0–2 times          | Ref                       | Ref                       | Ref                       |
| 3 times or more    | 1.38 (0.99, 1.91) 0.06   | 1.31 (0.83, 2.07) 0.24   | 1.28 (0.8, 2.06) 0.29   |
| **Parents understand problem** |                           |                           |                           |
| No                 | Ref                       | Ref                       | Ref                       |
| Yes                | 0.91 (0.74, 1.13) 0.38   | 1.07 (0.92, 1.25) 0.36   | 0.68 (0.53, 0.87) <0.01 |
| **Parental supervision** |                           |                           |                           |
| No                 | Ref                       | Ref                       | Ref                       |
| Yes                | 0.83 (0.7, 0.99) 0.04   | 0.91 (0.73, 1.14) 0.40   | 0.77 (0.59, 1.00) 0.05   |
| **Felt unsafe in school** |                           |                           |                           |
| No                 | Ref                       | Ref                       | Ref                       |
| Yes                | 1.91 (1.55, 2.34) <0.01  | 1.09 (0.89, 1.34) 0.39   | 1.37 (0.98, 1.9) 0.06    |
| **Corporal punishment** |                           |                           |                           |
| No                 | Ref                       | Ref                       | Ref                       |
| Yes                | 1.40 (1.17, 1.67) <0.01  | 1.56 (1.25, 1.94) <0.001 | 2.38 (1.73, 3.27) <0.01 |
The study has some limitations. It is limited to school-going adolescents and does not cover any children out of school who may be equally or more likely to face physical and sexual violence. The study suffers from recall bias because it is a self-reported survey, and participants might have had problems recalling their experience of violence vividly and understanding the questions in some cases. Further, the study does not provide a cause and effect relationship because it is a cross-sectional study. Nevertheless, this is the first nationwide study among adolescents exploring violence and its associated factors.

Overall, the study suggests that school-going adolescents are exposed to multiple forms of violence. Therefore, it is essential to draft a comprehensive strategy that prevents school-going adolescents from all forms of violence and prioritises creating an environment free from violence. For example, as part of their curriculum, students could be taught to deal with violence in different settings. Furthermore, making students aware of when they should seek legal support and from where they can seek such support could be useful. Schools can be encouraged to create a violence-free environment through appropriate incentives from the local governments. Nonetheless, from a research perspective focus should be to understand how physical and sexual violence occur in a different setting with a broader set of social and cultural variables covering adolescents both in and out of school.

Conclusion

Sex, age, having three or more close friends, parental supervision, feeling unsafe at school, and receiving corporal punishment were significantly associated with being physically attacked. Similarly, having multiple sex partners was associated with involvement in a physical fight and encountering sexual violence. Among other factors associated with sexual violence, the study participant’s school grade was one of them. The school grade was significantly associated with sexual violence. Students from higher grades were found to have lower odds of facing sexual violence. Being bullied, receiving corporal punishment in school were associated with all forms of violence: physical attack, being involved in a physical fight, physical attack, and sexual violence. Since different forms of violence share some common risk factors, a comprehensive strategy could prevent violence.
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