Yield Response of Drip Irrigated Cucumber to Mulch and Irrigation Regimes under Different Shading Net
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Abstract

The field experiment was conducted at Instructional Farm of Department of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, to study the yield response of cucumber (cv. Gypsy) grown under shade net house to 35, 50, 75 per cent shading and Red shade net with 50 % shading and in open field condition. The results were compared with the performance of the crop grown in open field (control) condition and also statistically analyzed. Irrespective of nutrient sources applied, the performance of crop grown inside the Red shade net was comparatively better than grown in open field conditions.
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Introduction

In the horticultural production systems of India, the high temperatures and changing air moisture levels are prominent during the cultivation period. This causes stress in those crops whose production cycles coincide with these periods, and production is reduced because of setting and fruiting problems. The high solar radiation levels and temperatures experienced lead to high rates of plant water loss often causing irreversible burns or withering. The main purpose of shading is to reduce the temperature of the plant and so reduce this problem.

During the last decades, due to increased air temperature and intensity of solar radiation caused by climate changes, an increasing area of crops is being grown under shading materials of various types the advantages that the greenhouses can provide to the protected plants are numerous, among these advantages some can be highlighted including harvesting crops of the season, higher product quality, early crop maturity, seedling production, better control of diseases and pests, conservation of raw materials and water, planting of selected varieties and considerable increase in production.

The shading of crops results in number of changes on both local microclimate and crop activity. These changes on local microclimate modify CO₂ assimilation and consequently crop growth and development.
Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at Instructional Farm of Department of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering, Mahatma PhuleKrishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri during Feb – May – 2015. The experiment was carried out in four shade net of a Green-white net houses with 35, 50, 75 per cent intensity 50 % Red shade net and in full sunlight that is control treatment with split plot design. The hybrid variety “Gypsy” of cucumber was grown under shade net house and in control treatment. The size of the each shade net and the open field was 18 m × 16 m. The size of each plot was 2 m × 3.9 m. A 0.5 m buffer strip was provided between two beds to avoid lateral movement of water from one bed to another.

Treatments and experimental details

Main-treatment

S1: 35 % Green-white shade net
S2: 50 % Green-white shade net
S3: 75 % Green-white shade net
S4: Red 50% shade net
S5: Open (Control treatment) (Fig. 2 and 3).

Sub-treatment

T1 = Drip irrigation @ 120% of ETc with plastic mulch.

T2 = Drip irrigation @ 120% of ETc without plastic mulch.

T3 = Drip irrigation @ 100% of ETc with plastic mulch.

T4 = Drip irrigation @ 100% of ETc without plastic mulch.

T5 = Drip irrigation @ 80% of ETc with plastic mulch.

T6 = Drip irrigation @ 80% of ETc without plastic mulch.

T7 = Drip irrigation @ 60% of ETc with plastic mulch.

T8 = Drip irrigation @ 60% of ETc without plastic mulch.

Climatological data

The meteorological data on important weather parameter during the crop growth period were collected on daily basis from the meteorological observatory situated at the Instructional Farm of Department of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering.

The data included maximum and minimum temperature, minimum and maximum relative humidity, actual sunshine hour and daily wind speed etc.

On the basis of climatological data, daily water requirement for cucumber was estimated. Irrigation with 120%, 100%, 80 %, 60 % of ET0 was given on daily basis through drip system (Tribhuvan et al., 2010). Gator pump was used to inject the fertigation in drip system as per the requirement of cucumber. Fruits were harvested at their marketable size.

Biometric observations recorded

For recording various biometric observations sample plants were selected from each treatment and tagged for their identification. The observations such as average diameter of fruit, average length of fruit, average weight of fruit, days to 50 per cent flowering, average length of vine at last harvest, number of fruits per vine, total yield per plot were recorded throughout the growing season. The fruit yield of cucumber in shade net house was compared with that in open field conditions and correlated with other data.
Results and Discussion

The experimental findings obtained from the present study have been discussed in following heads:

Water requirement

Total water requirement of cucumber crop was 493.89 mm for the entire season. Figure 1 reveals that, the net water requirement for cucumber crop varied from 1.9 mm to 4 mm. The higher water requirement in later growth period of cucumber may be due to higher temperature, sunshine hours and wind speed during the later growth period of cucumber crop.

Average diameter of the fruit

The highest diameter of fruit was recorded in treatment S₄ (3.61 cm) which were at par with treatment S₂ (3.56 cm), followed by S₃ (3.34 cm) and S₁ (3.15 cm). The lowest diameter of the fruit (3.15 cm) was recorded from treatment S₅ i.e. control (Table 1 and Fig. 4A).

Average length of fruit

Average length of fruit was non-significantly affected by shading percentage of shade net (Table 1 and Fig. 4B).

Average weight of fruit

The maximum average weight (170.87 g) was recorded under shade net with 75 per cent shading, followed by average weight (167.15 g) recorded from 50 per cent shading at par to 35 per cent shading (165.32 g).

The lowest average weight (82.81 g) was recorded from control treatment (Table 1 and Fig. 4C).

| Treatment | Days to 50% flowering | Average diameter of fruit | Average length of fruit | Weight of fruit (g) | Length of vine at last harvesting | Number of fruits per vine | Yield per plot (kg) |
|-----------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| S₁        | 34.17                  | 3.23                    | 14.96                  | 176.55              | 2.99                             | 8.63                    | 1.49                |
| S₂        | 39.00                  | 3.56                    | 15.06                  | 159.70              | 3.46                             | 9.50                    | 1.47                |
| S₃        | 43.67                  | 3.34                    | 14.73                  | 160.98              | 3.82                             | 11.73                   | 1.51                |
| S₄        | 32.45                  | 3.61                    | 15.18                  | 207.14              | 4.74                             | 12.68                   | 1.52                |
| Open field| 47.17                  | 3.15                    | 14.43                  | 143.63              | 2.31                             | 7.54                    | 1.40                |
| SE±       | 0.17                   | 0.06                    | 0.18                   | 6.24                | 0.10                             | 0.39                    | 0.04                |
| CD        | 0.55                   | 0.20                    | NS                     | 20.35               | 0.32                             | 1.28                    | NS                  |

Table.1 Effect of shading percentage of shade net on yield and quality of cucumber

Fig.1 Water requirement of cucumber crop during growth period
**Fig. 2** Internal view of shade net

**Fig. 3** Fruit setting stage of cucumber

**Fig. 4** Effect of shading percentage of shade net on biometric observations

**Fig.(A)** Average diameter of fruit

**Fig.(B)** Average length of Fruit

**Fig.(C)** Average weight of fruit

**Fig.(D)** Length of vine at last harvesting
Length of vine at last harvest

The maximum length of vine (4.74 m) was observed in treatment S₄, which was followed by treatment S₃ (3.82 m), S₂ (3.46 m), S₁ (2.99 m). The minimum length of vine (2.31 m) was observed in treatment S₅ i.e. control treatment. This might be due to unfavorable environment in open field (control) on account of higher temperature that has adverse effect on growth and development of the crop (Table 1 and Fig. 4D).

Number of fruits

The cucumber grown under shade house condition, on an average produced higher number of fruits per vine. The treatment S₄ (12.68) was significantly superior to S₃ (11.73) and all other treatment.

The minimum number of fruits per vine was recorded in open field condition (7.54) i.e. treatment S₅ (Table 1 and Fig. 4E).

Yield of fruits

The maximum yield of fruit per plot was observed in treatment S₄ (32.14 kg) which was significantly superior to all other treatments. Treatment S₃ (29.83 kg) followed by treatment S₂ (20.50 kg) and S₁ (19.77 kg). Minimum yield was observed in treatment S₅ (14.87 kg) i.e. in open field condition respectively (Table 1 and Fig. 4F).

It can be concluded that the irrespective of nutrient sources applied, mean air temperature of 40.34 to 24.66 ⁰C, mean relative humidity 91.80 to 30.53 per cent, mean sunshine hours 8.04 to 11.04 hrs, wind speed 1.72 to 6.55 km/hr were found to be optimum for higher yield of cucumber 52.27t ha⁻¹ under Red shade net house with 50 per cent shading. The climatic condition proved adverse for cucumber cultivation under open field.
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