Traits of Orthorexia Nervosa and the Determinants of These Behaviors in Elite Athletes
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Abstract: Background: The purpose of this study was to evaluate the traits of orthorexia nervosa (ON) and its relation to body composition and anthropometric indices among elite athletes. Methods: We studied 273 (125 female, 148 male) competitive athletes. ON was assessed with the self-administered ORTO-15 questionnaire. Total body composition was determined using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA). Results: The ORTO-15 score was independent of sex, type of effort or age. The ORTO-15 score was related to: total body mass (TBM) (p = 0.037; R = 0.170), body mass index (BMI) (p = 0.022; R = 0.187), bone mineral content (BMC) (p = 0.035; R = 0.172), lean soft tissue (p = 0.026; R = 0.182) and visceral adipose tissue (VAT) (p = 0.007; R = 0.255) in the male; BMI (p = 0.045; R = 0.412) and BMC (p = 0.012; R = 0.506) in weight-dependent male athletes. There was no relationship between ORTO-15 score and body composition in the total study group and subgroup of female athletes. In female athletes, ON tendencies were related to the weekly training time (p = 0.039), but in sprint and high-intensity intermittent efforts subgroup exclusively. Conclusions: Factors related to ON tendencies concerned exclusively BMI and body composition in male, and the weekly training time in female athletes. The results from this study demonstrate that a significant percentage of athletes, irrespective of sex, age, type of sport or hours of training per week, may manifest traits of orthorexia nervosa.
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1. Introduction

Orthorexia nervosa (ON) is a behavioral pattern described as a pathological fixation on healthy nutrition. Orthorexic individuals are typically concerned more about the quality of food in their diet than the quantity, which leads to an extremely restrictive diet, and consequently might contribute to nutritional deficiencies, malnourishment, medical complications and reduced quality of life [1]. Although there have been a few attempts to introduce diagnostic criteria of ON, none have been officially accepted so far. Therefore, currently, ON is not recognized as an eating disorder in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) or the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10) [2,3].

Some studies suggest that there is a link between ON and exercise habits [4–7]. Involvement in sport, particularly at the elite level, creates many nutritional challenges. Accordingly, it is common for athletes to maintain control over their diet in order to optimize training adaptations, enhance their
performance and improve recovery or to achieve desired body weight and/or body composition [8]. Some athletes approach their dietary habits in such a restrictive and rigid way that it can lead to eating disorders. Indeed, eating disorders are reported to be more common in athletes compared to the general population [9,10]. Studies show that, excluding athletes with muscle dysmorphia [11], the prevalence of eating disorders is higher among female (6–45%) than male athletes (0–19%), and it is more frequent in certain types of sport (e.g., aesthetic sports, weight-dependent sports and endurance sports) [9,12].

Little is known about the prevalence of ON in sport. The results of only few studies indicate that ON occurs more often in athletes than in nonathletes [13,14]. Even less is known about the relationship between ON and body composition in athletes. To the best of our knowledge, only one study, by Agopyan et al. (2019) [15], has evaluated the association between body composition and ON; however, participants of the study were female students of nutrition and dietetics, not athletes. In light of these limitations, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the traits of orthorexia nervosa among athletes from a range of sports. Moreover, we aimed to investigate the relationship between orthorexic behaviors expressed as ORTO-15 score and body composition, anthropometric indices and other factors such as age, sex, type of sport, physical loads and training experience in elite athletes.

We expected that risk of ON would be related to some parameters of body composition (i.e., percent body fat and lean soft tissue mass) as well as training experience. Our second hypothesis was that athletes participating in weight-dependent and endurance sports would present more orthorexic behaviors than other sports.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Participants

A cohort of 273 (125 female, 148 male) competitive athletes from nineteen different Olympic sports participated in this study. Subjects were mostly either members of senior or youth national teams. Based on the type of effort performed during competition and on the characteristics of the sports, athletes were stratified into four groups (Table 1): high-intensity intermittent efforts: athletics, badminton, football, indoor and beach volleyball \((n = 59)\), endurance: athletics (middle and long distance runners), biathlon, cross country skiing, road, mountain bike and track cycling, modern pentathlon, Nordic combined, swimming, triathlon \((n = 132)\), sprint: canoe sprint, track cycling \((n = 36)\) and weight-dependent sports (including aesthetic sports due to there being only two representatives of this type of sport): figure skating, judo, rowing lightweight, taekwondo \((n = 46)\). Written informed consent was obtained from the subjects or their parents or legal guardian if the participant was under the age of consent. Inclusion criteria included age of 14.0–39.9 years, professional sport engagement of ≥2 years. Due to methodological limitations of dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), the exclusion criterion was height >194 cm. The study was granted ethical approval (KEBN-20-53-0S) by the Ethics Committee of the Institute of Sport—National Research Institute, Poland, in agreement with the Helsinki Declaration.

| Effort-Type Group                          | Sport                  | Total n (%) | Female n (%) | Male n (%) |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------|
| High-intensity intermittent efforts \((n = 59)\) | Athletics              | 2 (0.7)     | 1 (0.8)      | 1 (0.7)    |
|                                           | Badminton              | 16 (5.9)    | 6 (4.8)      | 10 (6.8)   |
|                                           | Football               | 10 (3.7)    | 0 (0)        | 10 (6.8)   |
|                                           | Volleyball: indoor beach | 5 (1.8)    | 0 (0)        | 5 (3.4)    |
|                                           |                         | 26 (9.5)    | 13 (10.4)    | 13 (8.8)   |
Table 1. Cont.

| Effort-Type Group | Sport               | Total n (%) | Female n (%) | Male n (%) |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|------------|
|                   | Athletics           | 1 (0.4)     | 0 (0)        | 1 (0.7)    |
|                   | Biathlon            | 28 (10.3)   | 13 (10.4)    | 15 (10.1)  |
| Endurance (n = 132) | Cross country skiing | 32 (11.7)   | 15 (12)      | 17 (11.5)  |
|                   | Cycling: road       | 2 (0.7)     | 0 (0)        | 2 (1.4)    |
|                   | mountain bike       | 10 (3.7)    | 2 (1.6)      | 8 (5.4)    |
|                   | track               | 1 (0.4)     | 1 (0.8)      | 0 (0)      |
|                   | Modern pentathlon   | 12 (4.4)    | 4 (3.2)      | 8 (5.4)    |
|                   | Nordic combined     | 5 (1.8)     | 0 (0)        | 5 (3.4)    |
|                   | Swimming            | 39 (14.3)   | 21 (16.8)    | 18 (12.2)  |
|                   | Triathlon           | 2 (0.7)     | 2 (1.6)      | 0 (0)      |
| Sprint (n = 36)   | Canoe sprint        | 24 (8.8)    | 16 (12.8)    | 8 (5.4)    |
|                   | Cycling: track      | 12 (4.4)    | 8 (6.4)      | 4 (2.7)    |
| Weight-dependent sports (n = 46) | Figure skating    | 2 (0.7)     | 1 (0.8)      | 1 (0.7)    |
|                   | Judo                | 28 (10.3)   | 15 (12)      | 13 (8.8)   |
|                   | Rowing lightweight  | 7 (2.6)     | 3 (2.4)      | 4 (2.7)    |
|                   | Taekwondo           | 9 (3.3)     | 4 (3.2)      | 5 (3.4)    |

2.2. Orthorexia Nervosa Measure

ON was assessed with the Polish adaptation of the self-administered ORTO-15 questionnaire. The Polish version was adapted from the original Italian ORTO-15 [16,17] and has satisfactory reliability (Cronbach’s Alpha 0.70–0.90) [17]. The ORTO-15 includes 15 questions with response options in the form of a four-level Likert scale. Answers to each question are scored from 1 to 4 points, with lower numbers corresponding to more traits of orthorexia. The total ORTO-15 score ranges from 15 to 60, with the value 40 [16,18], 35 [17,19] or 24 [20] being considered the cut-off point below which ON tendencies are indicated.

2.3. Body Composition Assessment

Whole-body composition measurements were performed using a Lunar Prodigy Pro DXA device (GE Healthcare, Madison, USA); whole-body scans were analyzed using GE Encore v16 software. Body composition assessments were performed under standardized scanning conditions. Participants were measured in the morning hours (7:30–9:30 a.m.) following an overnight fast, wearing only underwear, with all metal objects removed and bladder voided. Each day, right before the examination, the DXA machine was calibrated with a quality assurance calibration block. The following whole-body composition estimates were assessed: fat tissue (FAT, kg), percent body fat (FAT%), lean soft tissue mass (LST, kg), bone mineral content (BMC, g). Visceral adipose tissue mass (VAT, g) was additionally measured in athletes over 18 years of age.

2.4. Statistical Analyses

Collected data were processed using Statistica v.13. Continuous variables were reported as means (x) and standard deviations (SDs), whereas categorical variables were presented as absolute frequency (n) and percentage (%). Normality of the continuous variables was tested with the Shapiro-Wilk test. For most variables, the assumptions of normal distribution were not met; therefore, nonparametric tests were performed. Association between two continuous variables was assessed with the Mann-Whitney U test, whereas for multiple comparisons the Kruskal–Wallis one-way analysis of variance was used, and the Dunn test as a post hoc test. The correlations between normally distributed variables were analyzed with the Spearman’s rank-order test (R). The chi-square statistic ($\chi^2$ test) was used to compare differences in the frequencies of categorical variables. Test significance was set at an $\alpha$ level of $p < 0.05$. Due to statistically significant differences between female and male athletes in terms of body
composition and anthropometric indices, the relationship between them and ORTO-15 score was assessed separately for each sex.

3. Results

Mean age of the participants was 20.9 ± 4.7 years (range: 14.1–38.8 years). They were involved in professional training for 10.2 ± 4.8 years (range: 2.0–24.0 years), and they spent on average 17.7 ± 6.0 h per week exercising (range: 4.5–40 h/week). The detailed characteristics of the study participants are displayed in Table 2.

Table 2. Characteristics of subjects and statistical differences by sex.

| Variable                  | Total (n = 273) | Female (n = 125) | Male (n = 148) | p Value 1 |
|---------------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|
| Age (years)               | 20.9 ± 4.7     | 20.2 ± 4.5       | 21.5 ± 4.8    | 0.009     |
| Training experience (years) | 10.2 ± 4.8     | 9.4 ± 5.1        | 10.9 ± 4.3    | 0.002     |
| Training (h/week)         | 17.6 ± 6.0     | 17.6 ± 5.7       | 17.7 ± 6.3    | 0.593     |
| ORTO-15                   | 35.3 ± 3.5     | 35.1 ± 3.1       | 35.4 ± 3.7    | 0.506     |
| Height (cm)               | 176.5 ± 9.3    | 169.8 ± 7.2      | 182.1 ± 6.9   | <0.001    |
| TBM (kg)                  | 69.9 ± 11.7    | 62.4 ± 8.1       | 76.3 ± 10.5   | <0.001    |
| BMI (kg/m²)               | 22.2 ± 2.5     | 21.5 ± 2.3       | 22.8 ± 2.5    | <0.001    |
| BMC (g)                   | 2.9 ± 0.6      | 2.5 ± 0.3        | 3.3 ± 0.5     | <0.001    |
| FAT (kg)                  | 11.6 ± 3.4     | 13.0 ± 3.6       | 10.4 ± 2.7    | <0.001    |
| FAT (%)                   | 17 ± 5.2       | 20.8 ± 4.6       | 13.7 ± 3.2    | <0.001    |
| VAT (g)                   | 59.2 ± 81.8    | 25.5 ± 50.9      | 82.6 ± 90.7   | <0.001    |
| LST (kg)                  | 55.4 ± 11.1    | 46.8 ± 6.4       | 62.6 ± 9.0    | <0.001    |

1 Mann-Whitney U test; Abbreviations: TBM—total body mass; BMI—body mass index; BMC—bone mineral content; FAT—fat tissue; FAT%—percent body fat; VAT—visceral adipose tissue; LST—lean soft tissue; statistically significant p values are in bold.

The mean ORTO-15 score for the entire group was found to be 35.3 ± 3.5 (range: 25–47 pt.), and there were no differences between sexes according to mean ORTO-15 score (p = 0.506) or in the prevalence of the scores below the fixed cut-off value of 35 (p = 0.756) or 40 points (p = 0.168). Similarly, no effort-type related differences were observed for any of the ORTO-15 results, either in the entire population, or in the subgroups based on sex (Table 3). Moreover, no significant difference was observed between adults (≥18 years) and underage (<18 years) athletes in relation to ORTO-15 score (adults 35.3 ± 3.4 vs. underage 35.3 ± 3.6; p = 0.793), or according to prevalence of orthorexic tendencies stated on the basis of the cut-off value of 35 (adults 39.4% vs. underage 45.9%; p = 0.311) or 40 (adults 87.8% vs. underage 89.4%; p = 0.695). Additionally, none of the studied athletes scored below 25 points in ORTO-15 questionnaire.

No relationship was observed between ORTO-15 score and body composition indices in the total study group and subgroup of female athletes (Table 4). However, in the male subgroup there was a very weak positive linear correlation between ORTO-15 score and TBM (p = 0.037; R = 0.170), BMI (p = 0.022; R = 0.187), BMC (p = 0.035; R = 0.172), LST (p = 0.026; R = 0.182) and VAT (p = 0.007; R = 0.255).
Table 3. Sample mean ORTO-15 score and prevalence of scores below fixed cut-off value of 35 or 40 points including sex and type of effort.

| Variables                        | Total Sample | Total Sample | High-Intensity Intermittent Efforts | Endurance | Sprint | Weight-Dependent Sports | p Value |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------|-------------------------|---------|
|                                 | (n = 273)    | (n = 132)    | (n = 59)                            |           |        | (n = 125)               |         |
| ORTO-15 (x ± SD) Cut-off [n(%)] |              |              |                                    |           |        |                         |         |
| <35 pts                          | 113 (41.4)   | 50 (37.9)    | 29 (49.2)                           | 18 (50.0) | 16 (34.7) | 18 (50.0)               | 0.8627  |
| <40 pts                          | 241 (88.3)   | 122 (92.4)   | 50 (84.7)                           | 30 (83.3) | 39 (84.8) | 30 (83.3)               | 0.2310  |
| Female (n = 125)                 |              |              |                                    |           |        |                         |         |
| ORTO-15 (x ± SD) Cut-off [n(%)] |              |              |                                    |           |        |                         |         |
| <35 pts                          | 53 (42.4)    | 20 (34.5)    | 11 (55.0)                           | 13 (54.2) | 9 (39.1) | 9 (39.1)                | 0.6129  |
| <40 pts                          | 114 (91.2)   | 54 (93.1)    | 17 (85.0)                           | 21 (87.5) | 22 (95.7) | 21 (87.5)               | 0.5325  |
| Male (n = 148)                   |              |              |                                    |           |        |                         |         |
| ORTO-15 (x ± SD) Cut-off [n(%)] |              |              |                                    |           |        |                         |         |
| <35 pts                          | 60 (40.5)    | 30 (40.5)    | 18 (46.2)                           | 5 (41.7)  | 8 (30.4) | 8 (30.4)                | 0.6844  |
| <40 pts                          | 127 (86.0)   | 68 (91.9)    | 33 (84.6)                           | 10 (76.9) | 18 (75.0) | 10 (76.9)               | 0.1059  |

a Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA; b χ² test.

Table 4. Relationship between ORTO-15 score and anthropometric measurements, body composition, training experience and physical loads in athletes including sex.

| Variables                        | Total (n = 273) | Female (n = 125) | Male (n = 148) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|
| Height (cm)                      | 0.011           | -0.151           | 0.043          |
| TBM (kg)                         | 0.078           | -0.056           | 0.170          |
| BMI (kg/m²)                      | 0.103           | -0.005           | 0.187          |
| BMC (g)                          | 0.085           | -0.048           | 0.172          |
| FAT (g)                          | 0.022           | 0.016            | 0.065          |
| LST (kg)                         | 0.074           | -0.071           | 0.182          |
| FAT%                             | -0.010          | 0.060            | 0.007          |
| VAT (g)                          | 0.121           | -0.109           | 0.255          |
| Training experience (years)      | -0.002          | -0.010           | 0.065          |
| Training time (h/week)           | 0.000           | -0.171           | 0.129          |

Spearman’s rank-order correlation; p < 0.05. Significant correlations values are in bold. Abbreviations: TBM—total body mass; BMI—body mass index; BMC—bone mineral content; FAT—fat tissue; LST—lean soft tissue; FAT%—percent body fat; VAT—visceral adipose tissue.

Due to the small sample size of the female high-intensity intermittent efforts group (n = 20), for analysis of correlation, high-intensity intermittent efforts and sprinter athletes were classified as the other sports group. In the group of female athletes, regardless of the type of effort, there was no relationship between ORTO-15 score and anthropometric measurements or body composition. There was only a relationship between ORTO-15 score and training time (p = 0.039; R = −0.252) in the other sports (i.e., sprint and high-intensity intermittent efforts) subgroup (Table 5).

Due to the small sample size of the male sprint group (n = 13), for analysis of correlation, sprinter and high-intensity intermittent efforts athletes were classified as the other sports group. Taking into account the distribution into three effort-type groups, in male athletes ORTO-15 score was positively related to BMI (p = 0.045; R = 0.412) and BMC (p = 0.012; R = 0.506) only in weight-dependent sports (Table 6).
Table 5. Relationship between ORTO-15 score and anthropometric measurements, body composition and training time and experience in female athletes including type of effort.

| Variables             | Female Athletes |                  |                  |
|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
|                       | Endurance (n = 58) | Weight-Dependent Sports (n = 23) | Other Sports (n = 44) |
| Height (cm)           | −0.176          | −0.200           | −0.137           |
| TBM (kg)              | −0.005          | 0.042            | −0.063           |
| BMI (kg/m²)           | 0.070           | 0.163            | −0.009           |
| BMC (g)               | −0.131          | 0.071            | 0.045            |
| FAT (kg)              | 0.100           | 0.184            | −0.039           |
| LST (kg)              | −0.062          | 0.000            | −0.032           |
| FAT%                  | 0.121           | 0.128            | −0.031           |
| VAT (g)               | −0.266          | −0.292           | −0.064           |
| Training experience (years) | 0.071          | −0.187           | −0.135           |
| Training time (h/week) | −0.120          | −0.331           | −0.252           |

Spearman’s rank-order correlation; p < 0.05 significant correlations values are in bold. Abbreviations: TBM—total body mass; BMI—body mass index; BMC—bone mineral content; FAT—fat tissue; LST—lean soft tissue; FAT%—fat tissue content; VAT—visceral adipose tissue.

Table 6. Relationship between ORTO-15 score and anthropometric measurements, body composition, training loads and training experience in male athletes including type of effort.

| Variables             | Male Athletes |                  |                  |
|-----------------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|
|                       | Endurance (n = 74) | Weight-Dependent Sports (n = 24) | Other Sports (n = 52) |
| Height (cm)           | 0.106          | 0.023            | −0.052           |
| TBM (kg)              | 0.103          | 0.334            | 0.107            |
| BMI (kg/m²)           | 0.001          | **0.412**        | 0.179            |
| BMC (g)               | 0.131          | **0.506**        | −0.051           |
| FAT (kg)              | 0.125          | 0.375            | −0.233           |
| LST (kg)              | 0.104          | 0.282            | 0.184            |
| FAT%                  | 0.097          | 0.257            | −0.250           |
| VAT (g)               | 0.270          | 0.213            | 0.278            |
| Training experience (years) | 0.038          | −0.121           | 0.057            |
| Training time (h/week) | 0.161          | 0.019            | 0.192            |

Spearman’s rank-order correlation; p < 0.05 significant correlations values are in bold. Abbreviations: TBM—total body mass; BMI—body mass index; BMC—bone mineral content; FAT—fat tissue; LST—lean soft tissue; FAT%—percent body fat; VAT—visceral adipose tissue.

4. Discussion

This is the first study to analyze the relationship between body composition measured with DXA and risk of ON in elite athletes, and one of the very few to investigate the risk of ON in this subpopulation.

In the Polish population a score of <24 indicates a strong preoccupation with consuming healthy food [20]. However, none of the studied athletes scored below 25 points in the ORTO-15 questionnaire. Moreover, the results of our study indicate that depending on the adopted cut-off value of 35 or 40 points, 41.3% to 88.3% of participants, respectively, manifested ON tendencies. The prevalence of scores below either 35 or 40 points found in our group is higher than observed in previous studies concerning athletes. Segura-Garcia et al. (2012) [14], using a cut-off point of 35, reported that the frequency of occurrence of ON among Italian athletes was 28% in females and 30% in males, whereas Clifford and Blyth (2019) [13], who set a threshold of 40 points to indicate ON tendencies in student athletes, found that 76% of participants scored below 40. Moreover, Bert et al. (2019) reported that in Italian athletes, who practiced endurance physical activity for >150 min/week, a mean ORTO-15 score lower than 35 and 40 was observed in 21.5% and 72.8% of subjects, respectively [21]. The discrepancies...
in our findings and the results reported by other researchers [13,14,21] might result from different competitive levels of studied athletes, since some data indicate that the higher the level of athleticism, the greater the likelihood of disordered eating behaviors [22] and dietary restrictions [23]. In our study all participants were elite athletes competing at national and international levels, whereas subjects participating in previous studies were either both recreational and professional athletes [14], members of university teams [13] or athletes competing only in local level events [21]. Moreover, the higher frequency of ON in our study group might also be explained by the high mean weekly volume of training (17.6 ± 6.0 h/week), because according to recent findings, the ON symptom rate is higher in athletes who spend >10 h per week training [13] or participate in endurance activities for >150 min/week [21].

It should be emphasized that we found a relationship between the number of hours of training per week and ON tendencies solely in the other sports (i.e., sprint and high-intensity intermittent efforts) female subgroup. Our results corroborate earlier findings of Eriksson et al. (2008), who reported that ON behaviors increased with the increase of self-reported exercise frequency, but only in female fitness participants [24]. The relationship between time spent on exercise and the ON symptoms rate was also confirmed by other studies conducted in the student’s population [5,25], among athletes [13,21] and gym attendees [4,6].

A recently published systematic review on sex differences in the frequency and levels of ON behaviors in the general population shows that the prevalence of pathologically healthy eating is somewhat higher among women than men [26]. Nonetheless, we did not observe any association between ON tendencies and sex. Our observations are in line with the results of studies aiming to analyze the issue of ON in sport [13,14,21]. Similar to our results, the authors of those studies also did not observe any differences between male and female athletes in the severity of orthorexic tendencies. Moreover, our study did not reveal any relationship between ON and type of sport, which contradicts the literature on eating disorders in athletes [12,27–30]. According to the narrative review by Joy et al. (2016), athletes who participate in sports in which having a low body mass is perceived as advantageous for performance, as well as in weight class sports and aesthetic sports, are more likely to engage in pathological eating behaviors [12]. Nevertheless, our results are in agreement with a study by Clifford and Blyth (2019), who did not observe any significant differences in ORTO-15 score between students who competed in weight-dependent sports and other student athletes [13].

Our findings of a similar, high rate of ON tendencies among female and male athletes, regardless of age or type of sport, raise questions of whether, and to what extent, this is due to a pathological obsession with healthy eating, or if it instead stems from a high preoccupation of proper nutrition aiming for high physical performance. The latter explanation may be supported by the results of a study by Pelly et al. (2018), who investigated factors in food decision-making during the Melbourne 2006 and Delhi 2010 Commonwealth Games [31]. When making food choices, the athletes placed factors influencing their performance (e.g., nutrient composition) above the factors relating to the sensory value of food [31]. Furthermore, most athletes are aware that optimal nutrition is an essential and integral element of a training program [32], which might influence their eating habits, not necessarily increasing the pathological behaviors. Therefore, it might be supposed that the ORTO-15 questionnaire may not be accurate enough for the identification of orthorexia nervosa in athletes. Despite the fact that ORTO-15 is the most frequently used tool to assess ON, it has met with some criticism [33]. Some authors claim that, presumably, the ORTO-15 fails to distinguish between healthy dieting and pathologically healthful eating. Thus, it likely overestimates the prevalence of ON in the general population [34,35]. To dispel these doubts, future research is needed, with simultaneous assessment of diet and nutrition in the subpopulation of athletes.

In the present study there was a weak correlation between orthorexic tendencies and BMI in male, but not in female athletes. The effects of BMI on the risk of ON have been widely studied so far, but the results are inconsistent. There are a few studies which found that ON was related to BMI [36,37]. However, in most studies, the authors did not observe any correlation between ON and
BMI classification [5,15,38–40]. So far only Agopyan et al. (2019) assessed the relationship between ON score and body composition measured with bioelectrical impedance analysis in female students of nutrition and dietetics [15]. No relationship was identified in the studied group. Similarly, in our study, despite the use of a more advanced method of body composition assessment (DXA), in the female athletes, regardless of the type of sport, no relationship was observed between ON score and body composition indices. However, in our findings, in the whole male subgroup as well as in one of the sport subgroup, orthorexic tendencies were related both to anthropometric and body composition indices. Higher TBM and LST in males with higher orthorexic tendencies explain the correlation between BMI and ORTO-15 in this subpopulation. This relationship may indicate that athletes who manifested lower ON tendencies could have less restrictive eating behaviors, which could translate into sufficient energy and macronutrient intake, which is essential for achieving or maintaining higher lean body mass [8,41]. Accordingly, this supposition could explain why adult males with less strict diets had higher VAT, and why athletes from weight-dependent sports had higher BMC [42,43]. However, in order to confirm these hypotheses, a thorough analysis of the diet and nutrition of subjects would be necessary, which was outside the scope of this study.

This study has some limitations. The main limitation of this study is the application of only one tool for assessment of traits of ON i.e., the ORTO-15 questionnaire. Utility of the ORTO-15 that was used in presented study has been widely discussed, and some authors claim that this tool measures only healthy eating, and not pathologically healthy eating [19,44]. However, despite many flaws, the ORTO-15 is still the most commonly used psychometric tool [45–49]. There are a few diagnostic tools that are used to assess orthorexia nervosa in general population (ex. The ORTO-15, The EHQ (Eating Habits Questionnaire), the DOS (Dusseldorf Orthorexia Scale), the BOS (Barcelona Orthorexia Scale), and the TOS (Teruel Orthorexia Scale)), but only the ORTO-15 has been validated to the Polish conditions. It is worth noting that currently there is not a reliable tool to objectively assess the phenomenon of ON in the subpopulation of athletes. Furthermore, the study group was at the same time a limitation and a strength of this study. On one hand, the study group consisted of elite athletes, in a wide age range, from various sports, making it a representative sample of this subpopulation. On the other hand, the heterogeneity of the studied group made it difficult to make conclusions about the relationship between ON, body composition and anthropometric indices. Further studies should be conducted on a larger sample of athletes and should take into account: (1) more detailed analysis of eating habits with the Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ-6) or Eating Habits Questionnaire (EHQ), or other tools assessing habitual diet; (2) detailed analysis of food attitudes or symptoms and concerns typical for eating disorders, for example by using the Eating Attitude Test 26 (EAT-26) or the Brief Eating Disorder in Athletes Questionnaire (BEDA-Q) if female athletes were studied; (3) a broader analysis of ON risk with tools such as ORTO-15 accompanied by the BOS, DOS, EHQ or TOS questionnaires.

5. Conclusions

The results from this study demonstrate that a significant percentage of athletes, irrespective of sex, age, type of sport or hours of training per week, may manifest traits of orthorexia nervosa. In contrast to our expectations, the ON’s risk was not related to percent body fat and lean soft tissue mass or training experience. Moreover, no effort-type related differences were observed for the risk of ON. Factors related to ON tendencies observed in male athletes, solely participants of weight-dependent sports, concerned BMI and BMC. Whereas in female athletes, ON tendencies were related to the weekly training time, but in sprint and high-intensity intermittent efforts subgroup exclusively. Given that elite athletes are a group with specific nutritional needs, it seems worthwhile to create tools dedicated strictly for sport, which would allow for a more precise diagnosis of ON symptoms. For this purpose, future analysis is needed in this area with a simultaneous assessment of athletes’ diet and eating habits.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.B.-D. and O.S.; methodology, A.B.-D. and O.S.; formal analysis, O.S., J.M.-L., D.S., I.G. and A.B.-D.; investigation, O.S., J.M.-L., D.S., I.G. and A.B.-D.; resources, O.S. and J.M.-L.; data curation, O.S.; writing—original draft preparation, O.S., J.M.-L., D.S., I.G. and A.B.-D.; writing—review
and editing, O.S., J.M.-L., D.S., I.G. and A.B.-D.; visualization, O.S., J.M.-L., D.S., I.G. and A.B.-D.; supervision, J.M.-L.; project administration, O.S. and J.M.-L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Koven, N.S.; Abry, A.W. The clinical basis of orthorexia nervosa: Emerging perspectives. *Neuropsychiatr. Dis. Treat.* **2015**, *11*, 385–394. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

2. Dunn, T.M.; Bratman, S. On orthorexia nervosa: A review of the literature and proposed diagnostic criteria. *Eat. Behav.* **2016**, *21*, 11–17. [CrossRef]

3. Moroze, R.M.; Dunn, T.M.; Craig Holland, J.; Yager, J.; Weintraub, P. Microthinking About Micronutrients: A Case of Transition From Obsessions About Healthy Eating to Near-Fatal “Orthorexia Nervosa” and Proposed Diagnostic Criteria. *Psychosomatics* **2015**, *56*, 397–403. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

4. Almeida, C.; Vieira Borba, V.; Santos, L. Orthorexia nervosa in a sample of Portuguese fitness participants. *Eat. Weight Disord.* **2018**, *23*, 443–451. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

5. Oberle, C.D.; Watkins, R.S.; Burkot, A.J. Orthorexic eating behaviors related to exercise addiction and internal motivations in a sample of university students. *Eat. Weight Disord.* **2018**, *23*, 67–74. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

6. Bona, E.; Szel, Z.; Kiss, D.; Gyarmathy, V.A. An unhealthy health behavior: Analysis of orthorexic tendencies among Hungarian gym attendees. *Eat. Weight Disord.* **2019**, *24*, 13–20. [CrossRef]

7. Rudolph, S. The connection between exercise addiction and orthorexia nervosa in German fitness sports. *Eat. Weight Disord.* **2018**, *23*, 581–586. [CrossRef]

8. Thomas, D.T.; Erdman, K.A.; Burke, L.M. American College of Sports Medicine Joint Position Statement. *Nutrition and Athletic Performance*. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **2016**, *48*, 543–568. [CrossRef]

9. Sundgot-Borgen, J.; Torstveit, M.K. Prevalence of eating disorders in elite athletes is higher than in the general population. *Clin. J. Sport Med.* **2004**, *14*, 25–32. [CrossRef]

10. Martinsen, M.; Sundgot-Borgen, J. Higher prevalence of eating disorders among adolescent elite athletes than controls. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **2013**, *45*, 1188–1197. [CrossRef]

11. Lavender, J.M.; Brown, T.A.; Murray, S.B. Men, Muscles, and Eating Disorders: An Overview of Traditional and Muscularity-Oriented Disordered Eating. *Curr. Psychiatry Rep.* **2017**, *19*, 32. [CrossRef]

12. Joy, E.; Kussman, A.; Nattiv, A. 2016 update on eating disorders in athletes: A comprehensive narrative review with a focus on clinical assessment and management. *Br. J. Sports Med.* **2016**, *50*, 154–162. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

13. Clifford, T.; Blyth, C. A pilot study comparing the prevalence of orthorexia nervosa in regular students and those in University sports teams. *Eat. Weight Disord.* **2019**, *24*, 473–480. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Segura-Garcia, C.; Papaianni, M.C.; Caglioti, F.; Procopio, L.; Nistico, C.G.; Bombardiere, L.; Ammendolia, A.; Rizza, P.; De Fazio, P.; Capranica, L. Orthorexia nervosa: A frequent eating disordered behavior in athletes. *Eat. Weight Disord.* **2012**, *17*, e226–e233. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Agopyan, A.; Kenger, E.B.; Kermen, S.; Ulker, M.T.; Uzsoy, M.A.; Yetgin, M.K. The relationship between orthorexia nervosa and body composition in female students of the nutrition and dietsetics department. *Eat. Weight Disord. Stud. Anorexia Bulim. Obes.* **2019**, *24*, 257–266. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Donini, L.M.; Marsili, D.; Graziani, M.P.; Imbriale, M.; Cannella, C. Orthorexia nervosa: Validation of a diagnosis questionnaire. *Eat. Weight Disord.* **2005**, *10*, e28–e32. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

17. Stochel, M.; Janas-Kozik, M.; Zejda, J.E.; Hyrnik, J.; Jelonek, I.; Siwiec, A. Validacja kwestionariusza ORTO-15 w grupie młodzieży miejskiej w wieku 15–21 lat. *Psychiatr. Pol.* **2015**, *49*, 119–134. [CrossRef]

18. Chaki, B.; Pal, S.; Bandypadhyay, A. Exploring scientific legitimacy of orthorexia nervosa: A newly emerging eating disorder. *J. Hum. Sport Exerc.* **2013**, *8*, 1045–1053. [CrossRef]

19. Cena, H.; Barthels, F.; Cuzzolaro, M.; Bratman, S.; Brytek-Matera, A.; Dunn, T.; Varga, M.; Missbach, B.; Donini, L.M. Definition and diagnostic criteria for orthorexia nervosa: A narrative review of the literature. *Eat. Weight Disord.* **2019**, *24*, 209–246. [CrossRef]

20. Brytek-Matera, A.; Krupa, M.; Poggio-galle, E.; Donini, L.M. Adaptation of the ORTHO-15 test to Polish women and men. *Eat. Weight Disord. Anorexia, Bulim. Obes.* **2014**, *19*, 69–76. [CrossRef]
21. Dimitrova, D.; Vanlyan, G. Factors Associated with Eating Disorders in Male Athletes. *PloS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0221399. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Picard, C.L. The Level of Competition as a Factor for the Development of Eating Disorders in Female Collegiate Athletes. *J. Youth Adolesc.* **2019**, *54*, 89–98. [CrossRef]

23. Dimitrova, D.; Vanlyan, G. Factors Associated with Eating Disorders in Male Athletes. *Spor Hekim. Derg.* **2019**, *54*, 89–98. [CrossRef]

24. Eriksson, L.; Baigi, A.; Marklund, B.; Lindgren, E.C. Social physique anxiety and sociocultural attitudes toward appearance impact on orthorexia test in fitness participants. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports* **2008**, *18*, 389–394. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

25. Varga, M.; Thege, B.K.; Dukay-Szabó, S.; Túry, F.; van Furth, E.F. When eating healthy is not healthy: Orthorexia nervosa and its measurement with the ORTO-15 in Hungary. *BMC Psychiatry* **2014**, *14*, 59. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

26. Strahler, J. Sex differences in orthorexic eating behaviors: A systematic review and meta-analytical integration. *Nutrition* **2019**, *67–68*, 110534. [CrossRef]

27. Chatterton, J.M.; Petrie, T.A. Prevalence of disordered eating and pathogenic weight control behaviors among male collegiate athletes. *Eat. Disord.* **2013**, *21*, 328–341. [CrossRef]

28. Rosendahl, J.; Bormann, B.; Aschenbrenner, K.; Aschenbrenner, F.; Strauss, B. Dieting and disordered eating in German high school athletes and non-athletes. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports* **2009**, *19*, 731–739. [CrossRef]

29. Mountjoy, M.; Sundgot-Borgen, J.; Burke, L.; Carter, S.; Constantini, N.; Lebrun, C.; Meyer, N.; Sherman, R.; Steffen, K.; Budgett, R.; et al. The IOC consensus statement: Beyond the Female Athlete Triad—Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport (RED-S). *Br. J. Sports Med.* **2014**, *48*, 491–497. [CrossRef]

30. Sundgot-Borgen, J.; Torstveit, M.K. Aspects of disordered eating continuum in elite high-intensity sports. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports* **2010**, *20* (Suppl. 2), 112–121. [CrossRef]

31. Pelly, F.E.; Burkhart, S.J.; Dunn, P. Factors influencing food choice of athletes at international competition events. *Appetite* **2018**, *121*, 173–178. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Rosenbloom, C.A.; Jonnalagadda, S.S.; Skinner, R. Nutrition knowledge of collegiate athletes in a Division I National Collegiate Athletic Association institution. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* **2002**, *102*, 418–420. [CrossRef]

33. Valente, M.; Syurina, E.V.; Donini, L.M. Shedding light upon various tools to assess orthorexia nervosa: A critical literature review with a systematic search. *Eat. Weight Disord. Stud. Anorexia Bulim. Obes.* **2017**, *24*, 671–682. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

34. Gramaglia, C.; Brytek-Matera, A.; Rogoza, R.; Zeppegno, P. Orthorexia and anorexia nervosa: Two distinct phenomena? A cross-cultural comparison of orthorexic behaviours in clinical and non-clinical samples. *BMC Psychiatry* **2017**, *17*, 75. [CrossRef]

35. Reynolds, R. Is the prevalence of orthorexia nervosa in an Australian university population 65%? *Eat. Weight Disord.* **2018**, *23*, 453–458. [CrossRef]

36. Bundros, J.; Clifford, D.; Silliman, K.; Neyman Morris, M. Prevalence of Orthorexia nervosa among college students based on Bratman’s test and associated tendencies. *Appetite* **2016**, *101*, 86–94. [CrossRef]

37. Oberle, C.D.; Lipschuetz, S.L. Orthorexia symptoms correlate with perceived muscularity and body fat, not BMI. *Eat. Weight Disord.* **2018**, *23*, 363–368. [CrossRef]

38. Donini, L.M.; Marsili, D.; Graziani, M.P.; Imbriale, M.; Cannella, C. Orthorexia nervosa: A preliminary study with a proposal for diagnosis and an attempt to measure the dimension of the phenomenon. *Eat. Weight Disord. Anorexia, Bulim. Obes.* **2004**, *9*, 151–157. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

39. Maghetti, A.; Cicero, A.; D'Ignazio, E.; Vincenzi, M.; Paolini, B.; Lucchin, L.; Malvaldi, F.; Culicchi, V.; Ciondolo, I.; Malfi, G.; et al. Orthorexia prevalence among health care professionals involved in nutrition education: The ADI-O Study. *Med. J. Nutrition Metab.* **2015**, *8*, 199–204. [CrossRef]

40. Sanlier, N.; Yassibas, E.; Bilici, S.; Sahin, G.; Celik, B. Does the rise in eating disorders lead to increasing risk of orthorexia nervosa? Correlations with gender, education, and body mass index. *Ecol. Food Nutr.* **2016**, *55*, 266–278. [CrossRef]

41. Melin, A.K.; Heikura, I.A.; Tenforde, A.; Mountjoy, M. Energy Availability in Athletics: Health, Performance, and Physique. *Int. J. Sport Nutr. Exerc. Metab.* **2019**, *29*, 152–164. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

42. Papageorgiou, M.; Dolan, E.; Elliott-Sale, K.J.; Sale, C. Reduced energy availability: Implications for bone health in physically active populations. *Eur. J. Nutr.* **2018**, *57*, 847–859. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
43. Sale, C.; Elliott-Sale, K.J. Nutrition and Athlete Bone Health. *Sports Med.* **2019**, *49*, 139–151. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

44. Heiss, S.; Coffino, J.; Hormes, J. What does the ORTO-15 measure? Assessing the construct validity of a common orthorexia nervosa questionnaire in a meat avoiding sample. *Appetite* **2018**, *135*. [CrossRef]

45. Gorrasi, I.S.R.; Bonetta, S.; Roppolo, M.; Abbate Daga, G.; Bo, S.; Tagliabue, A.; Ferraris, C.; Guglielmetti, M.; Arpesella, M.; Gaeta, M.; et al. Traits of orthorexia nervosa and muscle dysmorphia in Italian university students: A multicentre study. *Eat. Weight Disord.* **2019**. [CrossRef]

46. Gramaglia, C.; Gambaro, E.; Delicato, C.; Marchetti, M.; Sarchiapone, M.; Ferrante, D.; Roncero, M.; Perpíná, C.; Brytek-Matera, A.; Wojtyna, E.; et al. Orthorexia nervosa, eating patterns and personality traits: A cross-cultural comparison of Italian, Polish and Spanish university students. *BMC Psychiatry* **2019**, *19*, 235. [CrossRef]

47. Meule, A.; Holzapfel, C.; Brandl, B.; Greetfeld, M.; Hessler-Kaufmann, J.B.; Skurk, T.; Quadflieg, N.; Schlegl, S.; Hauner, H.; Voderholzer, U. Measuring orthorexia nervosa: A comparison of four self-report questionnaires. *Appetite* **2020**, *146*, 104512. [CrossRef]

48. Plichta, M.; Jezewska-Zychowicz, M. Orthorexic Tendency and Eating Disorders Symptoms in Polish Students: Examining Differences in Eating Behaviors. *Nutrients* **2020**, *12*, 218. [CrossRef]

49. Plichta, M.; Jezewska-Zychowicz, M.; Gębski, J. Orthorexic Tendency in Polish Students: Exploring Association with Dietary Patterns, Body Satisfaction and Weight. *Nutrients* **2019**, *11*, 100. [CrossRef]