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ABSTRACT

Macrodontia is a dental condition where a tooth or group of teeth are abnormally larger than average. Functional and aesthetic discrepancies may arise in affected individuals resulting in lowering the quality of life. It has been noted that macrodontia is associated with several genetic and endocrine abnormalities. Among which, KBG syndrome is a rare genetic disorder characterized by developmental and dental abnormalities. This case report provides a brief overview of the significance of macrodontia, along with presenting a case of KBG syndrome with atypical features in a South African, 16-year-old female. The dental manifestations are often overshadowed by other more conspicuous and complex syndromic features. Recognition of both the clinical and oral changes that occur in KBG syndrome facilitates accurate diagnosis and appropriate management of this condition. The authors highlight the importance for clinicians to be cognizant of the clinical implications of macrodontia.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of University of Washington. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Introduction

Macrodontia is a term used when teeth that are physically larger than what is considered normal is present [1]. It is thought to affect between 0.03% to 1.9% of people worldwide [2]. A tooth, or teeth that is greater that two standard deviations larger than the average for their age and gender is considered to be macrodontic [3]. True generalized macrodontia is rare and seen infrequently in conditions such as pituitary gigantism [4]. The localized phenotype involving just one or a few teeth is even more rare [4]. Macrodontia is far less com-
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mon than microdontia and occasionally the term “megadon-
tia” may be used for this condition [5].

Macrodontia can be classified as:

(i) True generalized macrodontia where all teeth are larger
than normal. The condition is extremely rare and most
often seen in cases of pituitary gigantism [1].

(ii) Relative generalized macrodontia, where teeth might be
normal or only slightly larger in size but erupts in small
jaws. This condition is also called pseudo-macrodontia
and can happen when a child inherits jaw size from one
parent and tooth size from the other [4].

(iii) Macrodontia of a single tooth, which involves a nor-
mal tooth in every aspect, aside from size. It is a
highly unusual variant when an isolated tooth display-
ing macrodontia resulting from gemination or fusion of
two teeth [4].

Aetiology

Several aetiological factors have been associated with
macrodontia, including genetic, environmental, and en-
docrine abnormalities [6]. It has been reported that macrodon-
tia occurs more frequently in people of Asian descent, Native
Americans and Alaskans [2,7]. Moreover, males are more
likely than females to develop macrodontia [4].

Macrodontia is associated with endocrine abnormalities.
Acromegaly and pituitary gigantism are two rare conditions
resulting from excessive secretion of growth hormone (GH),
usually as a result of pituitary adenoma formation [8]. Pitu-
itary gigantism occurs when there is excessive GH secretion
and/or high levels of its mediator, Insulin-like growth factor-1
which overlaps with the period of rapid linear growth during
childhood and adolescence [8,9]. Over the past two decades,
our increasing understanding of the molecular and genetic ae-
tiologies of pituitary gigantism and acromegaly yielded sev-
eral genetic causes, including multiple endocrine neoplasia
type 1 and 4, McCune-Albright syndrome, Carney complex, fa-
miliar isolated pituitary adenoma, pituitary adenoma associa-
tion due to defects in familial succinate dehydrogenase genes,
and the recently identified X-linked acrogigantism [9]. Gen-
erally, pituitary gigantism is a sporadic and isolated condition.
However, it may occur within the context of a coexisting dis-
order or arise according to a pattern of familial inheritance
[10]. Syndromes in which gigantism is a well-recognized fea-
ture include McCune-Albright syndrome; multiple endocrine
neoplasia type 1; multiple endocrine neoplasia type 4; Carney
complex; and the paraganglioma, pheochromocytoma, and pi-
tuitary adenoma association known as 3PA [9,10]. GH excess
has also been observed in the setting of neurofibromatosis and
optic nerve tumors. The frequency of gigantism is established
in only a subset of these conditions and varies significantly [9].

According to researchers, variations in genes which regu-
late tooth growth could cause teeth to grow together. These
variations can also cause the teeth to continue to grow be-
yond what is considered normal. This results in larger than
normal teeth [11]. Genetic conditions in which macrodontia
often occurs is listed in Table 1.

Molecular basis of macrodontia

Odontogenesis is a complex process involving genes, growth
factors, transcription factors as well as signaling pathways to
ensure normal tooth formation [12]. Any mutation in these
genes and any disruption of the regulatory molecules could
result in a dental anomaly [13].

Odontogenesis is under the control of homeobox genes, re-
ferred to as HOX genes, together with regulatory mesenchy-
mal molecules and their respective receptors. The HOX gene
family is composed of sonic hedgehog, orthodontical, goosec-
oid, muscle segment (Msx1 and Msx2), distal-less (Dlx) and
paired box gene 9 (Pax9) [13]. The position, development and
maturation of tooth buds is regulated by Msx1 and Msx2 genes
respectively. While, the development of molar teeth is con-
trolled by Dlx1, Dlx2, and Barx1 genes [14,15].

The transcription factor, Pax9, is required for tooth mor-
phogenesis and instituting the inductive capacity of the tooth
mesenchyme which is essential for the mesenchymal ex-
pression of bone morphogenetic protein (Bmp4), Msx1 and
Lymphoid Enhancer Binding Factor 1 genes [16,17]. Fibroblast
growth factor, Bmp, sonic hedgehog , tumor necrosis factor
and wingless-related integration site are signaling pathways
which initiate tooth epithelium during 9th-11th day of em-
bryogenesis [13]. It has also been suggested that failure of nor-
mal apoptosis, a key regulating process in tooth morphogen-
esis, could lead to macrodontia [18,19,20].

Case report

KBG syndrome (OMIM 148050) was initially delineated in 1975
in three families as a “malformation and/or retardation syn-
drome” [21]. The condition was named after the initials of the
last names of these three original families. The common find-
ings among these families were developmental delays, short
stature, dysmorphic features, and macrodontia [22]. Reports
have shown that males were much more severely affected
than females; hence, the condition was considered as X-
linked inheritance for several years. Subsequently, an autoso-
mal dominant inheritance pattern was suggested which was
confirmed by demonstration of causative ANKR1D11 variants
in affected individual. ANKR1D11 gene on chromosome 16q24.3
encodes for a protein inhibitor of ligand-dependent transcrip-
tional activation. Mutations of ANKR1D11 triggers nonsense-
mediated decay , resulting in haploinsufficiency and disease
phenotype [23].

Oral and craniofacial presentation

A 16-year-old female of mixed ancestry heritage, with a con-
firmed diagnosis of KBG Syndrome, was referred to the Fac-
ulty of Dentistry for an evaluation. She was diagnosed with in-
tellectual and developmental delays. She was also diagnosed
with hypertension at 16 years associated with renal disease.
Her parents main complaint was “bleeding gums and crooked
teeth.”
Table 1 - Genetic conditions associated with macrodontia.

| Syndrome                              | Gene   | Clinical features                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Otodental syndrome (OMIM 166750)      | FGF3†  | Globodontia of primary and secondary dentitions, sparing incisors. Abnormal crown morphology and missing teeth. Bilateral sensorineural hearing loss. |
| Hemifacial hyperplasia (OMIM 133900)  | Unknown| Enlargement of all tissues - teeth, bone and soft tissues in the area.            |
| KBG syndrome (OMIM 148050)            | ANKRD11†| Hypertelorism, macrodontia, short stature delayed bone maturation, skeletal anomalies, and developmental delay (IQ less than 80). |
| Ekman-Westborg-Julin syndrome         | Unknown| Multiple macrodontic teeth. Teeth with multituberculism and the presence of central cusps, evaginations and invaginations. |
| Rabson-Mendenhall syndrome (OMIM 262190) | INSR§  | Hyperglycaemia, short stature, prematurely aged facial expression, hyperpigmentation and hyperkeratosis of skin, hirsutism, thick nails, lean appearance, macrodontia, early eruption, crowding and protrusive tongue. |
| Klinefelter (XXY) syndrome            | 47, XXY| Macrodontia, dental agenesis, bimaxillary protrusion, larger stature, large head circumference, neurodevelopmental delays, hypertension, genitourinary abnormalities. Males have rounded face with a broad forehead, hypertelorism, ptosis, downward slanted palpebral fissures, small nose with anteverted nares, maxillary hypoplasia, long philtrum and typically a normal IQ. |
| Aarskog syndrome (OMIM 10050)        | FGD1‡  | Facial asymmetry, hypertelorism, upward slanting palpebral fissures, broad nose, thin lips, and a prominent mandible. High arched palate, grooved tongue, macrodontia. |
| Simpson-Golabi-Behmel syndrome (OMIM 312870) | GPC3†  |                                                                                   |

* Online mendelian inheritance in man.
† Fibroblast growth factor 3.
‡ Ankyrin repeat domain 11.
§ Insulin receptor.
¶ Faciogenital dysplasia 1.
‖ Glypican 3.

Fig. 1 – (A) Frontal view. (B) Lateral profile. (C) Lateral clinical views of the patient at initial examination showing shovel shaped teeth with enamel hypoplasia and inflammatory gingival hyperplasia. (D) Lower occlusal view.

The extra-oral examination revealed her face to be triangular in shape, although not so apparent, and full, bushy eyebrows. She had a prominent nasal bridge, a bulbous nose and a thin vermilion border of the upper lip. Lip tension is present. Her hair was coarse, and she presented with a low hairline (Fig. 1A and B).

An intraoral examination revealed macrodontia of the upper and lower incisors as well as prominent mamelons on these teeth. The upper incisors were shovel shaped and enamel hypoplasia was evident on the premolars. The patient also presented with marked gingival hyperplasia and in-
flammation, most likely due to substantial plaque aggregates (Fig. 1C and D).

**CBCT radiological observations**

The CBCT scan revealed a missing maxillary right permanent first molar and mandibular left permanent first molar; although this is better assessed on bitewing radiographs multiple carious lesions of the maxillary and mandibular molars can be noted. The crypts of the maxillary right permanent third molar, maxillary left permanent third molar, and mandibular right permanent third molar were evident, however, the crypt of the mandibular left permanent third molar was absent. Dilaceration of the roots of the maxillary right permanent second premolar and the maxillary left permanent second premolar was noted. The mandibular right permanent second premolar is vertically impacted with incomplete root apex closure (Fig. 2C).

Flattening of the supraorbital ridge noted (Fig. 2A). A Welcher-basal angle of 158.5° was noted, indicating platybasia (Fig. 2D). Platybasia is the flattening of the skull base and a Welcher-Basal angle exceeding 140° is considered diagnostic [24]. The paranasal sinuses also showed abnormalities; aplasia of the frontal sinus and intermediate densities in the left maxillary sinus and ethmoid sinuses were present.

**Diagnosis of macrodontia**

Larger than normal upper and lower incisor tooth dimensions was noted on clinical exam. Alginate impressions were taken of the maxillary and mandibular arch to create study models. Measurements were taken directly from the casts by two, independent clinicians using callipers. The mean of the measurements from the two examiners were compared to measurements taken from the CBCT (Fig. 2B) and intra-oral dental scans. Our results (Table 2) are compared to that of an unpublished study by di Plaque on tooth dimensions in a South African population [25]. The patient measurements in bold are greater than two standard deviations compared to black and white female South Africans. Posterior teeth were unremarkable in size.

**Dental management**

The carious lesions and poor oral hygiene were treated conservatively under local anaesthetic. Regular follow-ups were scheduled at six monthly intervals. During this period, her overall oral health status had improved markedly. The patient is scheduled to commence orthodontic treatment.
Table 2 - Mesio-distal tooth dimension comparison.

| Tooth number | Black Female (mm^2) (SD standard deviation) | Patient (mm^2) | White female (mm^2) (SD standard deviation) |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 6            | 7.88 (SD 0.41)                             | 7.9           | 7.75 (SD 0.35)                              |
| 7            | 7.28 (SD 0.53)                             | 8.45          | 6.65 (SD 0.57)                              |
| 8            | 9.04 (SD 0.49)                             | 10.02         | 8.62 (SD 0.53)                              |
| 9            | 9.04 (SD 0.49)                             | 10.32         | 8.62 (SD 0.53)                              |
| 10           | 5.28 (SD 0.53)                             | 8.37          | 6.65 (SD 0.57)                              |
| 11           | 7.88 (SD 0.41)                             | 8.0           | 7.75 (SD 0.35)                              |
| 22           | 7.10 (SD 0.31)                             | 7.00          | 6.71 (SD 0.33)                              |
| 23           | 6.13 (SD 0.33)                             | 7.05          | 5.89 (SD 0.37)                              |
| 24           | 5.47 (SD 0.31)                             | 6.07          | 5.35 (SD 0.35)                              |
| 25           | 5.47 (SD 0.31)                             | 6.29          | 5.35 (SD 0.35)                              |
| 26           | 6.13 (SD 0.33)                             | 6.92          | 5.89 (SD 0.37)                              |
| 27           | 7.10 (SD 0.31)                             | 7.10          | 6.71 (SD 0.33)                              |

6, maxillary right permanent canine; 7, maxillary right permanent lateral incisor; 8, maxillary right permanent central incisor; 9, maxillary left permanent central incisor; 10, maxillary left permanent lateral incisor; 11, maxillary right permanent canine; 22, mandibular left permanent canine; 23, mandibular left permanent lateral incisor; 24, mandibular left permanent central incisor; 25, mandibular right permanent central incisor; 26, mandibular right permanent lateral incisor; 27, mandibular left permanent canine; *, millimetre.

Discussion

This report aimed to give a brief overview of macrodontia and presented a case of the rare, KBG syndrome in South Africa. This case illustrates the importance of considering syndromic associations when macrodontia is observed. Members of the dental fraternity may be the first recognize macrodontia which is associated with genetic disorders and can provide a valuable service to their patients by proper referral to a medical geneticist and/or genetic counsellor.

Dental tooth dimensions are known to differ between different populations [26]. It should be noted that several of the patient’s anterior teeth fell within normal range of Black South African females [25], even though they appeared to be visibly macrodontic. Conversely, all incisors were macrodontic compared to Caucasian South African females. Because no normative tooth measurements exist to describe South Africans of mixed ancestry, we are reliant on using measurements on other population groups which may not adequately identify tooth size aberrations in all populations. Therefore, although normative values are required, some flexibility is required when attending to individuals of different ethnicities and a clinician should anticipate these differences and use a personalized approach in the diagnosis of all patients [26]. It was also noted that our patient has platybasia. Cranial base abnormalities impact on dental therapy in that caution is warranted when a patient’s head is manipulated in order to avoid atlanto-axial subluxation and spinal cord compression.

Conclusion

As with many genetic disorders, the dental manifestations are often overshadowed by other more conspicuous and complex syndromic features. Recognition of both the clinical and oral changes that occur in KBG syndrome facilitates accurate diagnosis and appropriate management of this condition.

Ethical considerations

All investigations were undertaken in accordance with ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national), the Declaration of Helsinki as updated in the version promulgated in June 2013 [27], and the Singapore Statement on Research Integrity [28]. Written informed consent for the study and publication of photographs was obtained from the patient’s mother. The patient provided ascendant.
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