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Abstract

Background: There is growing evidence to suggest that ambulance service staff may be at increased risk for suicide; however, few studies have explored risk factors within this occupational group.

Aim: To investigate factors commonly associated with ambulance staff suicides.

Method: Eleven ambulance service trusts across the United Kingdom were asked to return details of staff suicides occurring between January 2014 and December 2015. Coroners were then contacted to request permission to review the records of the deceased.

Results: Fifteen suicides were identified (73% male, mean age 42 years). Inquest data were available on 12 deaths. The most common method used was hanging. Possible risk factors identified included recent return to work following a period of sickness absence, poor mental health, relationship and debt problems, history of self-harm and the loss of a driving licence/change in job role.

Conclusion: Identifying characteristics of suicide among this high-risk group is important to inform the development of suicide prevention initiatives. Additional research is needed with an adequate control group to further explore the risk factors identified in this study.
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**Introduction**

There are marked variations in suicide risk between different occupations. Healthcare professionals, particularly nurses, have been found to have an elevated risk compared to other occupational groups (Alderson, Parent-Rocheleau, & Mishara, 2015; Hawton, Agerbo, Simkin, Platt, & Mellanby, 2011; Meltzer, Griffiths, Brock, Rooney, & Jenkins, 2008; Office for National Statistics, 2017). Recent figures from the United Kingdom and Australia have demonstrated that ambulance personnel are also at increased risk (Milner, Witt, Maheen, & LaMontagne, 2017b; Office for National Statistics, 2017). For example, the risk of suicide among male paramedics in the United Kingdom was found to be 75% higher than the national average. (There were too few suicides among female paramedics to reliably estimate risk.) Moreover, among male health professionals (including dentists, doctors and nurses), paramedics were the only group that had evidence of a heightened suicide risk (Office for National Statistics, 2017). However, little is known about the factors that may influence risk among ambulance service (AS) staff.

A systematic review of research investigating suicide among first responders (police, firefighters, emergency medical technicians (EMTs) and paramedics) identified only two studies that focused on EMTs/paramedics (Stanley, Hom, & Joiner, 2016). Surveys of ambulance personnel conducted in several countries have revealed high levels of mental health problems and suicidal thoughts and attempts, and low levels of help-seeking among this group (Jones, 2017; Mind, 2015; Newland, Barber, Rose, & Young, 2015; Sterud, Hem, Lau, & Ekeberg, 2008). In a UK survey of over 1300 AS staff, problems at work were often cited as the main cause of mental health problems. These included excessive workload, pressure from management, long hours, changing shift patterns and exposure to traumatic incidents (Mind, 2015). Exposure to trauma – a situation frequently experienced by paramedics – has been linked with increased capability for suicide (i.e. the degree to which an individual feels able to make a suicide attempt), which is a key component of recent theoretical models of suicide causation (Klonsky & May, 2015; O’Connor, 2011; Van Orden et al., 2010). In line with this, a recent study found that the extent of exposure to suicide attempts and deaths was positively correlated with suicidal behaviour among firefighters (Kimbel et al., 2016).

Despite being a high-risk occupational group, we are not aware of any studies that have investigated the characteristics of AS staff members who have died by suicide. This is important to inform prevention initiatives. The present study uses coroner records to identify characteristics and risk factors associated with suicide among ambulance staff in the United Kingdom.

**Methods**

Eleven ambulance NHS trusts in the United Kingdom (10 in England and the Welsh Ambulance Service) were contacted regarding the study. Trusts in Scotland and Northern Ireland were not contacted as it would not have been feasible for the research team to travel to these locations within the funding constraints for this study. Trusts were asked to return details of deaths that had occurred between January 2014 and December 2015 where the coroner recorded a suicide, accident/misadventure, narrative (a longer explanation which sets out the facts surrounding the death in more detail) or open conclusion (where there is insufficient evidence for any other conclusion) (Crown Prosecution Service, 2017); the latter categories of death were included, as previous research suggests that these are often self-inflicted (Gunnell et al., 2013). ASs were also asked to return employment data including information on sickness absence, disciplinary record, job role and access to AS-provided well-being services. Eight ASs returned data relating to 15 members of staff. Throughout this article, we refer to these deaths as suicide but recognise that in some cases they may not have been given a suicide conclusion. Table 1 provides a breakdown of the information received from each AS trust.

| Ambulance trust | Returned data on staff suicide | Number of staff suicides reported | Returned data on their well-being access | Returned data on their sickness record | Returned data on their disciplinary record | Returned data on their job role |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1               | Yes                           | 1                                | No                                     | Yes                                   | Yes                                    | Yes                           |
| 2               | Yes                           | 3                                | No                                     | No                                    | No                                     | Yes                           |
| 3               | Yes                           | 3                                | Yes                                    | Yes                                   | Yes                                    | Yes                           |
| 4               | Yes                           | 4                                | Yes                                    | Yes                                   | Yes                                    | Yes                           |
| 5               | Yes                           | 1                                | No                                     | Yes                                   | Yes                                    | Yes                           |
| 6               | Yes                           | 1                                | Yes                                    | No                                     | Yes                                    | Yes                           |
| 7               | Yes                           | 1                                | No                                     | Yes                                   | Yes                                    | Yes                           |
| 8               | Yes                           | 1                                | Yes                                    | Yes                                   | Yes                                    | Yes                           |
| 9               | (No suicides reported)        | 0                                | N/A                                    | N/A                                   | N/A                                    | N/A                           |
| 10              | No                            | N/A                              | No                                     | No                                    | No                                     | No                            |
| 11              | No                            | N/A                              | No                                     | No                                    | No                                     | No                            |
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Permission to access inquest records was requested from the relevant coroner. Following approval, a researcher visited each coroner and completed a data extraction form (Supplementary 1) to record information on possible risk factors and precipitants. Data were collected on known risk factors for suicide, including: (a) demographics; (b) financial and job problems; (c) relationship problems; (d) coroner’s decision and cause of death; (e) psychiatric and medical history; and (f) alcohol and substance use. A detailed description (vignette) of the circumstances leading up to the death including any precipitating factors was also compiled. Details of deaths with a misadventure, narrative or open decision (n = 3) were independently reviewed by two of the study authors with expertise in suicide research (BM and DG) and rated according to the likelihood they were a suicide (based on the rater’s professional opinion). Two cases were rated as high likelihood of suicide and one was rated as low-moderate. Findings were similar when excluding the individual with a low-moderate rating.

Results

Demographics and employment information

Eleven of the 15 identified suicides were male (73%) and the mean age was 42 (range 22–55). The recorded ethnicity for all the deaths was White British. Nine staff members were confirmed to be in patient facing roles, three worked in the AS call centres (999/111 in the United Kingdom) and three were defined as ‘other’. All worked full-time hours and the mean length of service was 16 years (73% > 10 years). Four of the deceased had a job role/location change in the study period, of which two occurred in the six months prior to their death. These included moving to a different station/trust and changing the number of hours worked. The reason for these changes was not provided.

Occupational health (OH) data on access to well-being services were available for nine individuals (from four AS trusts). Four had accessed the OH department through their AS, four had not accessed the service and one person declined OH support. Eleven of the 15 AS staff had data available regarding sickness absence during the study period (January 2014–December 2015). Each of these staff members reported at least one episode of sickness (Table 2) (42 episodes in total). Reasons included mental health (n = 5, 12% of episodes), musculoskeletal problems (n = 5, 12% of episodes), other problems (includes colds, coughs and gastro-intestinal reasons) (n = 12, 29% of episodes) and unknown reasons (n = 20, 47% of episodes). Over half (6/11) of the sample died within one month of returning to work following a period of sickness absence. One staff member was on sickness leave at the time of their death. None of the individuals were suspended from work at the time of their deaths.

Findings from coroner data

Eleven coroners allowed the researcher access to the deceased’s records and one forwarded a copy of the inquest report. Data are therefore available for 12 of the 15 deaths (80%) identified by the AS. Nine of the deceased were in patient-facing roles and three were call centre workers. Findings were similar when excluding the three call centre workers, therefore data are presented for the whole sample.

Data on demographics and risk factors are only available for individuals whose coroner’s records were reviewed (n = 11). The inquest record contained information on gender, occupation and the circumstances of the death. The age and gender distribution was similar to that of the whole sample. With regards to marital status, 27% (n = 3/11) were single, 36% (n = 4/11) were married and 36% (n = 4/11) were divorced/separated.

Findings from coroner data

Table 2 provides information about potential occupational and socioeconomic risk factors. Relationship problems, disciplinary problems at work and debt problems were each identified in 4/11 cases (n = 36%). Relationship problems included recent separation/relationship breakdown and falling out with a close friend. Two of the disciplinary issues were related to sickness absence. Debt problems ranged considerably from smaller payday and bank loans to very large amounts of debt. Loss of/restricted driving licence was also found to be a potential risk factor present in three of the deaths (27%). Problems with mental health were common (Table 4);
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Table 3. Potential occupational and socioeconomic risk factors.

| Risk factor                                         | Sample N  |
|----------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Debt problems, n (%)                               | 4/11 (36.4) |
| Relationship problems, n (%)                       | 4/11 (36.4) |
| Disciplinary issues at work, n (%)                 | 4/11 (36.4) |
| Loss of driving licence resulting in dismissal or change in job role, n (%) | 3/11 (27.3) |

Note: Total N = 11 as data are not known for one individual. Some individuals reported multiple risk factors.

Table 4. Psychiatric history.

| Risk factor                                         | Sample N  |
|----------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| History of psychiatric services contact, n (%)     | 5/11 (45.5) |
| Psychiatric disorder at time of death (yes/probably), n (%)1 | 9/12 (75.0) |
| Primary disorder at time of death, n (%)1           |           |
| Affective disorder (mainly depression/anxiety)     | 8/12 (66.7) |
| Other disorder                                     | 1/12 (8.3) |
| No disorder                                        | 3/12 (25.0) |
| History of psychiatric disorder in the past, n (%)  | 7/11 (63.6) |
| Time of last GP contact, n (%)                      | 6/11 (54.5) |
| < 1 month before death                             | 3/11 (27.3) |
| < 6 months                                         | 2/11 (18.2) |
| Unknown                                            |           |
| Previous self-harm, n (%)2                         | 4/11 (36.4) |

Note: Total N = 11 as data are not known for one individual. Some individuals reported multiple risk factors.

1Data were reported on the inquest report so data on current psychiatric disorder are available for 12 individuals.

2Note there was also one interrupted suicide which is not included as a suicide attempt.

9/12 (75%) had a psychiatric disorder at the time of their death (most often depression/anxiety) and approximately a third (4/11) had a history of self-harm (defined as any intentional non-fatal self-poisoning or self-injury, irrespective of degree of suicidal intent or nature of other types of motive) (National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2012).

Discussion

As far as we are aware, this is the first study to explore risk factors for suicide among AS staff. Fifteen deaths were identified over the two-year study period (January 2014–December 2015), although this is likely an underestimate of the total number of suicides of AS staff in England and Wales, as not all ASs returned data. Potential risk factors include recent return to work following sickness absence, relationship problems, psychiatric disorder, self-harm, debt problems and change in job role.

Comparison with previous research

The characteristics of those who had died by suicide in this study are in line with national data, which indicates that the highest rates of suicide are found among males aged 45–59 (Office for National Statistics, 2016). A higher proportion of registered paramedics are male (62%) than female (Health and Care Professions Council, 2017), and in keeping with this, and the increased risk of suicide among males in the general population, nearly three quarters of the suicides identified in this sample were male. The mean age of death among the paramedic suicides is consistent with the age distribution of all paramedics, with the highest proportion of the workforce being aged between 40 and 49 (Health and Care Professions Council, 2017).

Risk factors for suicide (including self-harm and psychiatric disorder) among the paramedic deaths were similar to those reported in a recent review of coroners’ records of suicides regardless of occupation in England (Palmer et al., 2014). The high levels of mental health problems found in our study could be considered surprising given that the sample were all in full-time employment at the time of their death (less than 40% were employed in the study by Palmer et al., 2014). However, a number of recent surveys have found that mental health problems, suicidal thoughts and suicide attempts are common among AS staff (Jones, 2017; Mind, 2015; Newland et al., 2015; Sterud et al., 2008). While many of the risk factors for suicide among AS staff are in line with those of the general UK population, some factors were identified that may be more specific to the AS, such as loss of/ restricted driving licence (although numbers were small). Given the nature of the work of a paramedic, a change
Limitations

The findings need to be interpreted in light of several limitations. First, the total sample included was small, meaning findings need to be interpreted with caution. Second, there was variation in the depth and breadth of information included in the coroner’s records, and it is possible that some risk factors may not have been recorded. Third, the eligible sample was limited to those who were employed within an AS trust during the study period. Staff working in similar roles in other sectors (e.g., for private organisations) and those who had retired/changed professions were not included. Finally, for most of the risk factors we identified, data across the wider AS workforce were unavailable; such data would have enabled our findings to be put into context. However, the approach taken (reviewing risk factors among those who have died by suicide) has been used in many previous studies to explore heightened risk of suicide in different occupational groups (Conner, 2011; Hawton et al., 2002; Hawton, Malmberg, & Simkin, 2004; Malmberg, Simkin, & Hawton, 1999).

Conclusion

Ambulance personnel are known to be at higher risk of suicide (Milner et al., 2017b; Office for National Statistics, 2017). This study identified several risk factors for suicide within this occupational group, including returning to work following a period of sickness absence, mental health, relationship and debt problems, history of self-harm and the loss of a driving licence/change in job role. Additional research with an adequate control group (i.e., AS staff who have not died by suicide) is needed to explore these issues further. Further research should also expand on this work by conducting psychological autopsy studies, and qualitative studies involving staff members who have attempted suicide, to identify relevant risk factors and explore attitudes towards help-seeking.
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