Isolation and Identification of Pseudo Seven-Coordinate Ru(III) Intermediate Completing the Catalytic Cycle of Ru-bda Type of Water Oxidation Catalysts
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Isolation of RuIII-bda (17-electron specie) complex with an aqua ligand (2-electron donor) is challenging due to violation of the 18-electron rule. Although considerable efforts have been dedicated to mechanistic studies of water oxidation by the Ru-bda family, the structure and initial formation of the RuIII-bda aqua complex are still controversial. Herein, we challenge this often overlooked step by designing a pocket-shape Ru-based complex 1. The computational studies showed that 1 possesses the crucial hydrophobicity at the RuV(O) state as well as similar probability of access of terminal O to solvent water molecules when compared with classic Ru-bda catalysts. Through characterization of single-crystal structures at the RuII and RuIII states, a pseudo seven-coordinate “ready-to-go” aqua ligand with RuIII⋯O distance of 3.62 Å was observed. This aqua ligand was also found to be part of a formed hydrogen-bonding network, providing a good indication of how the RuIII-OH2 complex is formed.
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Introduction

Splitting water into hydrogen and oxygen is a promising strategy to store solar energy in the form of chemical bonds. However tempting this strategy is, its implementation is still limited by the sluggish kinetics of the four-electron catalytic water oxidation process. Significant advances in catalytic water oxidation have been achieved since the first well-characterized Ru-based water oxidation catalyst blue dimer was reported. Blue dimer features two Ru$^{II}$-aqua centers being bridged by an oxo group, as shown in Chart 1, where the aqua ligand provides protons for the subsequent proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) steps to achieve redox-potential leveling. A subsequent report has shown that a single catalytic site complex with a bonded aqua ligand, Ru-tnp-OH$_2$, is also capable of catalyzing water oxidation, although the turnover frequency (TOF) was on the order of 10$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The emergence of nonaqua ruthenium complex Ru-bda is a milestone for the field, and its catalytic efficiency is comparable with that of photosystem II. The superiority of Ru-bda mainly originates from negatively charged backbone ligands and its variable coordination number at different oxidation states. In detail, six-coordinate Ru-bda was proposed to form its aqua adduct in the presence of water, thanks to which Ru-aqua complex can be oxidized to the reactive seven-coordinate Ru$^{V}$O specie at a low overpotential (200 mV). Subsequently, a great number of Ru-bda analogues such as Ru-tda, Ru-bpaH$_2$, and Ru-bds have been designed for efficient Ce$^{IV}$-driven/electrocatalytic water oxidation. It is noteworthy that Ru-tda and Ru-bds demonstrated impressively high activity for electrocatalytic water oxidation (TOF is on the order of 10$^5$ s$^{-1}$), while the incomplete formation of the catalytically active Ru-aqua species of Ru-tda due to competitive carboxylate coordination was considered a significant drawback of this catalyst. In addition to Ru-bda, other polypyridyl-based nonaqua ruthenium complexes have also been reported to behave as water oxidation catalysts. Overall, binding of the aqua ligand and its oxidation to metal-oxo/oxyl are elementary steps of water oxidation required for any kind of catalysts, and are often overlooked. Even for the state-of-the-art Ru-bda family, there is still a long-standing question, that is, the structure of Ru-aqua complex at low oxidation state. In consequence, a thorough understanding of the following PCET steps has been impeded. To allow rational improvement of water oxidation catalysts, it is indispensable to detail how the aqua ligand is presented to the active center.

Based on in-depth experimental and computational studies, nonaqua ruthenium complexes such as Ru-bda have served as invaluable platforms for advancing our understanding of water coordination at the initial step.

**Chart 1** | Chemical structures of landmark Ru-based water oxidation catalysts. tnp: 4-tert-butyl-2,6-di(1,8-naphthyridin-2-yl)pyridine; bda2-: 2,2′-bipyridine-6,6′-dicarboxylate; tda2-: 2,2′:6,2′-terpyridine-6,6′-dicarboxylate; bpaH22-: 2,2′-bipyridine-6,6′-diylbis(hydrogen phosphonate); bds2-: 2,2′-bipyridine-6,6′-disulfonate.
In this series, the coupling between two Ru\(^{II}\)(O) species or oxidizing Ru\(^{IV}\)(OH) to Ru\(^{V}\)(O) have been proposed as the rate-determining step (RDS), as shown in Figure 1.\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^32\) The highest TOF values observed so far are on the order of 10\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\), which means the catalysis operates on the microsecond time scale.\(^33\) Accordingly the other steps in the catalytic cycle such as water coordination should theoretically be faster than the RDS. Thus, it is challenging to observe a water coordination step that occurs on a short time scale experimentally. The structures of six-coordinate Ru\(^{II}\)-bda and seven-coordinate Ru\(^{IV}\)(OH)-bda have been clearly characterized by X-ray diffraction studies,\(^34\) and the seven-coordinate Ru\(^{V}\)(O)-bda has also been detected recently by in situ X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS), as shown in Figure 1.\(^35\) As the only missing part in the catalytic cycle, the coordination sphere of Ru\(^{III}\)(OH\(_2\)) that provides access to the catalytic cycle, has been previously assigned to six-coordinate, or equilibrium between six- and seven-coordinate species according to the electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) and XAS studies.\(^36\)–\(^38\) However, solid evidence of Ru\(^{III}\)-bda bearing an aqua ligand (e.g., X-ray crystal structures) has never been provided.

A well-established method to observe aqua ligand transfer pathways is to capture the surrounding water molecules\(^39\) by engineering the secondary coordination sphere because the interactions with the local aqueous environment are controlled by a subtle interplay of weak intermolecular contacts. Unfortunately, discovery of preorganized water molecules in the second coordination sphere has been almost impossible due to the long distance between Ru and O.\(^30\) Introduction of a pocket-type secondary coordination sphere is a promising strategy to preorganize substrates within confined spaces through noncovalent interactions (NCIs).\(^32\)\(^,\)\(^40\)\(^,\)\(^41\) Wüthner et al.\(^32\) reported a macrocyclic Ru complex which, according to computational studies, facilitated preorganization of up to 10 water molecules inside the formed pocket at the Ru\(^{IV}\) state. The follow-up studies provided the crystal structure of this macrocycle at the Ru\(^{II}\) state, but the initial catalytic state of Ru\(^{III}\)-aqua complexes has not yet been revealed.\(^41\)\(^,\)\(^42\)

Overall, insufficient understanding of the secondary coordination sphere and the lack of suitable secondary ligands have imposed a great challenge on the observation of Ru-aqua structures in low oxidation states.

Herein, a bio-inspired Ru-based catalyst 1 with a hydrophilic pocket is synthesized (Chart 2), where the pocket ligand provides a microenvironment to mimic the secondary coordination sphere of the oxygen-evolving complex (OEC) in photosystem II. This catalyst design was hypothesized to afford the necessary stabilization of the preorganized seven-coordinate aqua ligand to allow for its characterization. Spectroscopic, structural, and electrochemical studies in concert with computational results reveal that this pocket-type water oxidation catalyst could hold a “ready-to-go” aqua at the Ru\(^{III}\) oxidation state as a pseudo seven-coordination ligand with minimal structural rearrangement, shedding light on details of the key water coordination step.
Experimental Methods

Synthesis and characterization

Complex 1 and 2 were prepared following slightly modified literature procedures. In short, the desired catalyst was prepared by refluxing a degassed mixture of Ru(bda)(DMSO)$_2$ (DMSO = dimethyl sulfoxide) and the axial ligand in methanol over 4 h under N$_2$. The catalyst was obtained through flash column chromatography as reddish-brown powder and characterized by NMR and high-resolution mass spectroscopy (HRMS; Figure 2 and Supporting Information Figure S1–S4; for details, see Supporting Information). The X-ray crystallographic data (Supporting Information Table S1) for the structures reported in this article have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) with the numbers of CCDC 1955358 and 1986038. These data can be obtained free of charge from the CCDC via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif

Results and Discussion

Characterization of Ru$^{II}$ complex

The retention of the symmetry of molecule 1 is evident from the $^1$H NMR spectra, exhibiting only three signals for the bda backbone unit ($H_a$, $H_b$, and $H_c$) and four signals for the axial ligand ($H_d$, $H_e$, $H_f$, and $H_g$). As previously observed, this symmetry can be easily disturbed by adding acetonitrile to the solution of 1 (Supporting Information Figure S5), splitting the protons from the bda unit into two separate peaks. This indicates that the cyclic ligand does not prevent incoming small molecules from coordinating with the Ru center. In contrast, the obtained crystal structure of 1·H$_2$O shows an imperfect symmetrical structure with the axial macrocyclic ligand rotated away from the vertical axis by around 22.8° (Figure 3b), suggesting dynamic behavior of the axial ligand of 1 in solution.

Significant insights into the catalyst conformation can be gained by comparing the $^1$H NMR spectra of 1 and reference molecule 2 (Figure 2). Similar chemical shifts in the aromatic region were observed except for $H_d$ and $H_g$, which are located at the ortho position of the axial pyridine ligands. It is possible that the axial ligands linked together by a triethylene glycol unit form a rigid...
Figure 3 | Single-crystal structure of complex 1H₂O [(a) side view and (b) top view] with thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Color code: Ru, pink; O, red; N, blue; C, grey.

hydrophilic cavity, allowing the axial ligand to rotate only within a certain angle around the vertical axis at the front face of 1. As a result, H₂ is permanently located in the ring current of the bda-ligand and is thus more shielded, whereas H₃ is located in the electronegative pocket deshielding these protons in comparison to the freely rotating ligand from 2. The meta and para positions (H₁ and H₄) are more distant and are thus less affected by the ring current of the bda unit. We hypothesize that in solution the dynamic behavior of the macrocyclic axial ligand of 1 is limited to left-to-right switching in front of the catalyst active site, whereas the axial ligand of 2 can rotate freely.

The single-crystal structures for 1H₂O are displayed in Figure 3, showing the macrocyclic ligand located in front of the bda unit. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations (Supporting Information Figure S6) show that there is an energy difference of 9.62 kcal/mol between the front and back conformation, suggesting a 10⁴ times higher probability of the ethylene-glycol linker residing in front of the active site. The crystal structure of 1H₂O displays a typical distorted octahedral geometry around the Ru center with the O-Ru-O angle of 122.9°, which is similar to the previously reported conformation of Ru(bda)pic₂. This large accessible site plays a critical role by allowing coordination of substrate water to form seven-coordinate Ru intermediates. Due to the relatively small linker size, the axial pyridyl ligands are slightly bent away, giving a minor difference for the Naxial-Ru-Naxial angle of 169.0° for 1H₂O compared to 173.0° for Ru(bda)pic₂. A single water molecule is found to be present in the crystal lattice, which is located between the bda-carboxyl and ether linkages of macrocyclic ligand. Notably, besides residual water from methanol, no additional water was added during the synthesis and crystal growth process. This suggests that the presence of a rigid secondary coordination environment may facilitate the preorganization of water molecules.

Electrochemical characterization

The electrochemical properties were investigated by cyclic voltammetry (CV) and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) to ensure that the existence of the pocket ligand will not affect the redox process of 1 compared with the reference molecule 2, so as to ensure that we can reasonably use this model to stabilize the preorganized seven-coordinate aqua ligand to allow for its characterization. Three oxidation potentials around 0.71, 1.19, and 1.36 V versus normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) were observed (Supporting Information Figure S7), which were assigned to three consecutive one-electron-transfer processes Ru³⁺→Ru²⁺→Ru¹⁺→Ru⁰. This electron transfer process was also confirmed by the Pourbaix diagram (Supporting Information Figure S8). Once the Ru⁰ oxidation state is reached, a dramatic current increase is observed, attributed to the water oxidation process. Similar electrochemical behavior was also recorded for reference 2. To investigate what happens to the macrocyclic ligand at higher oxidation states, DFT calculations were performed. The optimized structures of 1 at Ru¹⁺ and Ru²⁺ states are depicted in Supporting Information Figure S9. The results indicate that the macrocyclic ligand rotates in the direction of carboxylates at higher oxidation states, which is conducive to the subsequent O=O formation.

Characterization of Ru³⁺ aqua complex

As one aqua ligand was clearly located in 1H₂O but not near the Ru center, we proceeded with considerable effort to isolate and crystallize the Ru³⁺ intermediate (1⁺) to see if and how water molecules interact with its catalytic center. Complex 1 (Ru³⁺) could be oxidized to 1⁺ (Ru⁴⁺) in the presence of Ce⁴⁺, which is confirmed by HRMS (Figure 4b and Supporting Information Figure S10; calc for Ce₂Ο₂H₂bdaO₆Ru⁴⁺, 704.0701; found, 704.0710) and UV–vis redox titration (Figure 4a). With the gradual addition of Ce⁴⁺ to 1, the metal-to-ligand charge transfer absorptions at 400 and 506 nm are bleached, and the absorbance at 290 nm increases simultaneously with an isosbestic point at 348 nm. It is worth noting that no absorption band around 690 nm is observed, which was previously assigned to green Ru-dimer or -trimer formation, even when the solution was left under air for 1 day (Supporting Information Figure S11). Oligomerization of the catalyst is considered to be one of the main routes of catalyst decomposition, which is circumvented by introduction of these macrocyclic ligands.

The addition of excess aqueous NH₄PF₆ to the solution of 1⁺ resulted in slow formation of orange needle-like crystals, and the crystal refinement is presented in Figures 5a and 5b. The obtained crystal of 1⁺3H₂OCH₃CNPF₆⁻ is composed of one [Ru⁴⁺bda]⁺ cation, one [PF₆]⁻ counterion, one CH₃CN molecule, and...
The structural differences between 1 and 1ʼ are the increase of the O–Ru–O angle from 122.9° to 126.2°, as well as the inner N\textsubscript{axial}–Ru–N\textsubscript{axial} angle from 169.0° to 170.5°. Those larger angles facilitate accommodation of the incoming seven-coordinate aqua ligand. To our delight, indeed a trapped water molecule (W\textsubscript{3}) near the Ru center was captured with a Ru⋯O(W\textsubscript{3}) distance of only 3.62 Å (the sum of van der Waals radii for Ru and O is about 3.60–4.08 Å),

\[ \text{which indicates there should be weak interaction between them.} \]

To the best of our knowledge, this is the shortest Ru\textsuperscript{III}⋯aqua distance found for any analogue of the Ru-bda family. In addition, the oxygen atom of W\textsubscript{3} nearly bisects the O–Ru–O angle, which convinces us that W\textsubscript{3} is effectively preorganized for coordination with the Ru center. Taken together the above data indicate that W\textsubscript{3} is likely a “ready-to-go” seven-coordinate ligand. In addition, the steric effect of the macrocyclic ligand was checked by topographic steric maps (Supporting Information Figure S12).

Similar hindrances around catalytic sites were obtained from 1ʼ and Ru\textsuperscript{III}(bda)pic\textsubscript{2}, suggesting that the existence of the pocket ligand provided no further steric hindrance to substrate binding and reactions.

**Theoretical investigation**

The proposed pseudo seven-coordinate Ru\textsuperscript{III} aqua complex, denoted as Ru\textsuperscript{III}⋯OH\textsubscript{2}, was furthermore examined by DFT calculations. Three water molecules were involved in optimizing the conformation of Ru\textsuperscript{III}⋯OH\textsubscript{2} due to the same situation found in crystal structure. The calculated distance of Ru′′′–O (3.53 Å, the closest water) is similar to that of the single crystal, as shown in Supporting Information Figure S13a. Since the catalysis occurred in an aqueous microenvironment, one more water molecule was added to investigate the influence of solvent. A minor change in the solvent environment could alter the interaction between Ru and incoming aqua ligand as indicated by the decreased Ru⋯O distance of 3.35 Å (Supporting Information Figure S13b), which suggests that the seven-coordinated Ru\textsuperscript{III}⋯OH\textsubscript{2} was likely present in the catalytic cycle. In addition, two structures of Ru\textsuperscript{III} complex with three water molecules shown in Supporting Information Figures S18a and 18b were optimized in approaching and bonding modes as reported in our previous study. The atoms in molecules (AIM) and NCI analyses on the bonding mode complex (Supporting Information Figures S18b1 and S18b2) indicate that the incoming water molecule has strong interaction and was bonded to the Ru center of the catalyst, which shows a bonding mode Ru\textsuperscript{III}⋯OH\textsubscript{2} complex. The other structure in the approaching mode, which originated from the crystal, has weak van der Waals interaction, but no bond between the incoming water and Ru center (Supporting Information Figures S18a1 and 18a2). In addition, the water molecules can establish H-bonds network inside the pocket, which might benefit further catalytic reactions.

**Graphical representations** (Supporting Information Figure S18) and more detail about the interactions between the catalyst and water molecules are provided in Supporting Information.

Molecular dynamics (MD) calculations were conducted to further comprehend the influence of involving the macrocyclic ligand into the classic Ru-bda type catalyst. During the 100 ns MD calculations at the Ru\textsuperscript{V}(O) state, the macrocyclic ligand can rotate flexibly in front of the bda unit. The average H-bonds formed between the terminal O of Ru\textsuperscript{V}(O) and the water solvent is 0.014, and this hydrophobic nature is consistent with our previous
Figure 5 | Single-crystal structure of complex 1’·3H2O·CH3CN·PF6− [(a) side view and (b) top view] with thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability. Hydrogen, acetonitrile, and PF6− anion are omitted for clarity. Color code: Ru, pink; O, red; N, blue; C, grey.

studies. The radial distribution function of the terminal O (Supporting Information Figure S14) showed that the first solvation shells were at 3.2 and 3.0 Å for Ru(III) with and without macrocyclic ligand respectively, indicating that the addition of the macrocyclic ligands still maintained access to the water molecule to Ru(III) and imposed little effect on water approaching the Ru(III). Given the steric hindrance to coupling two Ru(III) units caused by macrocyclic ligands, the catalytic mechanism switched from interaction of two metal-oxo entities (I2M) to water nucleophilic attack (WNA) (Supporting Information Figure S15 and Table S2); however, that is beyond the scope of this work. Detailed catalytic water oxidation performance data can be found in Supporting Information.

Determining hydrogen-bonding network around catalytic site

Considering the split positions of H1a/b and H2a/b at 1’·3H2O·CH3CN·PF6− and the similar positions among W1 of 1H2O, W1b, and W2a of 1’·3H2O·CH3CN·PF6−, we therefore suggest that W1a/b through W2a/b positions may act as the watergate through which aqua ligands coordinate to the catalyst active site during water oxidation. Therefore, to further investigate how the water molecules in the crystal interact with Ru-bda and each other, we conducted Hirshfeld surface analyses. Hirshfeld surface analyses were employed to offer a global visualization of the intermolecular interactions in the crystal structures of 1H2O and 1’·3H2O·CH3CN·PF6−. W1 of 1H2O was fixed between a bda-carboxyl group and the macrocyclic ligand by hydrogen-bonding interactions (Supporting Information Figure S16, red spots), whereas W1a/b of 1’·3H2O·CH3CN·PF6− only strongly bonded to the neighboring W2a/b (Figure 6b). W1a/b, W2a/b, and W5 of 1’·3H2O·CH3CN·PF6− strongly hydrogen bonded to each other, forming a hydrogen-bonding network (Figures 6b–6d). Interestingly, the “ready-to-go” aqua ligand W5 also showed strong affinities to H2 and O of the macrocyclic ligand with hydrogen-bond distances in the range of 2.4–2.7 Å (Figure 6a and Supporting Information Figure S17), which means that the semifixed coordination environment contributes considerably to capturing this aqua ligand. Hydrogen-bonded water networks play important roles in lowering the transition state (TS) energy in artificial photosynthetic systems and facilitate proton/electron transfer in natural photosynthesis. The water channel observed here offers a structural model to understand the mechanism of water coordination/transfer pathways.

Conclusions

A new Ru-bda type water oxidation catalyst was tailor-made and carefully studied as a model system to detail the water coordination pathway, and four vital points from this work are worth highlighting:

(1) For the first time, a “ready-to-go” pseudo seven-coordinate aqua ligand was captured at the Ru(III) state, which means minimum structural change is

Figure 6 | Hirshfeld surface for (a) RuII/bda cation, (b) W1a/b, (c) W2a/b, and (d) W5 in 1’ mapped with normalized contact distance (dnorm) from red (distances shorter than sum of van der Waals radii) through white to blue (distances longer than sum of van der Waals radii). Color code: Ru, pink; O, red; N, blue; C, grey; H, white.
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needed to shuttle substrate water molecules close to the catalytic center.

(2) A formed hydrogen-bonding network near Ru was observed. Although hydrogen-bonding networks have been found earlier in the crystal structure of many molecular water oxidation catalysts, here an aqua ligand is located a viable distance from the catalytic site.

(3) The introduction of macrocyclic ligands retain similar fundamental hydrophobicity and solvent exposure of Ru\(^{4+}\)(O), from which we envisage its water oxidation catalytic performance.

(4) Our approach constitutes a promising model system for studying processes near the catalytic site, which is also one of the motivations for this project. By replacing different types of linker between two axial ligands, this model can be further developed to study how the local hydrophobic/hydrophilic environment influences water oxidation activities and how the incorporation of redox-active/inactive metal ions affects the catalytic sites.

In summary, to close the catalytic cycle, a pocket-shaped pseudo seven-coordinate Ru\(^{11+}\)-bda with a “ready-to-go” aqua ligand was isolated and clearly characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. It is the first time visualizing how the Ru-based water oxidation catalyst captures substrate aqua ligands from bulk water through a hydrogen-bonding network. The strategies presented may also serve to inspire investigation of other types of Ru-based catalysis where the catalytic center attains coordination number seven at certain stages.
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