Zeolite/magnetite composites as catalysts on the Synthesis of Methyl Esters (MES) from cooking oil
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Abstract. The using of zeolite/magnetite composite as a catalyst for the synthesis of methyl esters (MES) of cooking oil has been performed. In this study the natural magnetite was extracted from the iron sand of Semarang marina beach and milled by high energy Milling (HEM) with ball: magnetite ratio: 1:1. The composites prepared from natural zeolite and natural magnetite with zeolite: magnetite ratio 1:1; 2:1; 3:1 and 4:1. Preparation of methyl ester was catalyzed by composite of zeolite/magnetite through transeserification reaction, it was studied on variation of catalyst concentration (w/v) 1%, 3%, 5% and 10% to feed volume. The reaction product are mixture of methyl Oleic (MES), methyl Palmitic (MES) and methyl Stearic (MES). Character product of this research include density, viscosity, acid number and iodine number has fulfilled to SNI standard 7182: 2015.
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1. Introduction

Increased transportation demand leads to an increase in energy demand globally. Transportation sector is a sector that consumes the most energy, so it can increase environmental emission. Transportation using fuel oil is mainly processed petroleum products, while the world's oil reserves and Indonesia began to thin out. In an effort to find solutions to these problems, various studies reveal the existence of a variety of raw materials from renewable resources capable of being processed into a more environmentally friendly fuel as it reduces CO₂ emissions.

Methyl esters are a major component of biodiesel which is the most appropriate solution to replace the fuel fossils as the world's major source of energy transport, because biodiesel is a material fuel that can replace petrol diesel on a machine. Biodiesel is consisting of a mixture of mono-alkyl esters of long chain fatty acids, which are used as an alternative to fuel from diesel engines. Biodiesel or methyl esters are obtained from the transesterification of animal fats, vegetable oils, and even cooking oil waste, with catalytic process [1].

Actually Vegetable oil has a calorific value that is almost the same with conventional fuel, but usage directly as fuel is still encountered obstacles. When compared to biodiesel, vegetable oils have a much higher viscosity value at temperature 40°C. This may cause problems in the pumping and spraying process when they used as fuel [2]. The incandescent point (flash point) also much larger than diesel oil, this is it inhibit the injection process and result incomplete combustion. The use of oil
vegetable as a fuel also leaves residue carbon in the engine injector. Efforts to reduce viscosity and flash point vegetable oils, among others, by dilution of oil with solvents, emulsification, pyrolysis, and transesterification. The transesterification process is the most common way done because it does not require energy and temperature tall one. This reaction will produce methyl or ethyl esters, depending on the type of alcohol reacted. Methanol is used more as a source of alcohol because the chain is shorter, more polar and the price cheaper than other alcohols [3].

Both homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts can be used in transesterification reactions. Basic homogeneous catalysts such as KOH as well as sodium and potassium alkoxide [3], NaOH [4], while acidic ones such as sulfuric acid, sulfonic acid and hydrochloric acid are usually used as catalysts in transesterification reactions. The using of homogeneous catalysts has a disadvantage that is difficulty in the separation of methyl ester products. The lack of homogeneous catalysts can be overcome by using a heterogeneous catalyst that is a solid catalyst, because many advantages are obtained when transesterification reactions apply the use of heterogeneous catalysts as catalysts easily separated from the product, not corrosive and safer and more environmentally friendly [5-7]. Researches on heterogeneous/solid catalysts has been performed such as alkaline or alkaline earth oxides, alkali metals, zeolites, hydrotalcites [8-12], CaO [13], natural bentonite-supported CaO [14].

Zeolite is a porous material widely used as a catalyst based on its properties that have Bronsted acid sites and Lewis acid sites contained in pore zeolite. However, zeolites have less optimum activity and selectivity to convert reactants into desired products. Therefore we need an active phase that can minimize the less expected conditions. The widely used active phases are generally metals or metal oxides. The utilization of natural zeolite as catalyst support for transesterification of palm oil has reported. In the research, KOH was supported into natural zeolit [15]. In this work used magnetite (Fe₃O₄). Magnetite is in great demand as a catalyst because of its biocompatibility, stability, large surface area, and super paramagnetic properties [16]. Magnetite (Fe₃O₄) and γ-Fe₂O₃ which had spiral structure are used to improve biodiesel production [17]. However the magnetite embedded in zeolite as a catalyst in the transesterification reaction of used cooking oil has not been reported. As we know that in the Central Java region has great natural resource potential that is natural zeolite that found in Bayat Klaten area and iron sand/magnetite which is contained in sand of Marina Semarang beach. Therefore, in this research the utilization of both materials as raw material to preparation composite zeolite/magnetite. Furthermore the composite was applied as a catalyst for the transesterification reaction of used cooking oil to produce methyl esters.

2. Experimental Method
2.1. Materials
Sand from Marina beach Semarang, natural zeolite from Bayat Klaten. Both areas are located in Central Java, used cooking oil. Fluoric acid p.a, methanol absolute, polyethylenglycol (PEG) 4000 p.a reagents were supplied by Merck.

2.2. Instruments
X-ray diffraction (XRD) Rigaku Multiplex with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.54184 Å) at generator voltage 40 kV and current 40 mA. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) JEOL, JED 2300. GCMS-TQ8030 Shimadzu Serial O207051.

2.3. Preparation zeolite/magnetite composite catalyst
Zeolite as catalyst support was prepared by activation of natural zeolite from Bayat Klaten using HF 1% solution. The activated zeolite washing until it reaches a neutral pH, then dried to a constant weight. Characterization using XRD is used to determine the constituent components and the structure of material. Magnetite was prepared by extraction method of sand from marina beach. Treatment by using HEM-3D (High energy milling) method with planetary ball milling is used to purify and reduce the size of magnetite particles. The mass ratio of magnetite:ball was 1:1, speed 400 rpm for 5 h. The milled magnetite characterized by X-ray Diffraction (XRD) and Scanning Electron Microscopy
(SEM). The catalyst of zeolite/magnetite composite was prepared in a way activated natural zeolite was mixed with milled magnetite ($\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$). The various of zeolite:magnetiteratio mass were 1:1, 2:1, 3:1 and 4:1. The next step, addition of aquadest to the mixture and stirred in a magnetic stirrer at room temperature at 1200 rpm. An amount of PEG 4000 solution as binder was slowly added suspension. The product was dried and characterized by X-ray Diffraction (XRD).

2.4. Application zeolite/magnetite composite as transesterification catalyst

Catalytic activity tests of catalyst to transesterification reaction with used cooking oil as feed. Previously step the used cooking oil are filtered to eliminate impurities and heated to remove the water. Transesterification of used cooking oil in excess methanol ($v/v$) (1:12) by composite catalyst was carried out at 60°C for 4 hours. The concentration variations of catalyst were 1%, 3%, 5% and 10% ($w/v$). The resulting product is separated in a separating funnel for 8 hours to form 2 layers. The top layer was methyl ester and the bottom layer was glycerol. The top layer is separated and evaporated to remove the excess methanol which not participate in reaction. Furthermore, the components of the product transesterification were determined by gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS). Besides that the physical characters such as density, viscosity, acid number, iodine number and content of water also determined. Product methyl ester is weighed.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Characterization of zeolite/magnetite composite catalyst

Zeolite activation is done by using fluoric acid. HF solution is selected for zeolite activation because HF only slightly lowers the radius of the zeolite pores, so the radius of zeolite is still large enough and easy to do further modification. The aim of activation by fluoric acid to form decationation that influenced the zeolite surface area to increase due to the decrease of impurity covering the pores of zeolite. The increase of surface area are needed, therefore the catalytic performance of zeolite as catalyst support can be more optimal. The activation process causing the skeleton of zeolite easy to substitute with metal.

The X-ray diffraction of activated natural zeolite shown in table 1. The highest intensity peak is at $2\theta = 25.56^\circ$. Identification of peaks mordenite minerals in activated natural zeolites was matched with JCPDS 6-239 data while clinoptilolite minerals were matched with JCPDS data 39-1383 as shown in the Table 1.

| Table 1. XRD data of activated natural |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Component | 2$\theta$ Standart (deg) | 2$\theta$ observed (deg) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Mordenite JCPDS 6-239 | 13.2 | 13.36 |
| | 19.7 | 19.52 |
| | 25.7 | 25.56 |
| | 27.7 | 27.62 |
| Clinoptilolite JCPDS 39-1383 | 22.1 | 22.18 |
| | 26.6 | 26.54 |
| | 29.9 | 29.98 |

The effect of the separation using permanent magnet can be seen in figure 1. It is clearly difference in color and size of the iron sand.
Extracted material (magnetite) | Milled material (milled magnetite)

Figure 1. The difference of magnetite appearance

Extracted materials from sand is magnetite/iron sand has more dark color. This is due to the reduction of impurities from the iron sand. After milling treatment using HEM-3D, it appears that magnetite has a finer size than before the HEM-3D process. The diminished magnetic particle size results in increased surface area, where it is necessary to enlarge sites that react with feeds. The evidence of magnetite in milled material can be seen in Table 2.

| Component               | 2θ Standart (deg) | 2θ observed (deg) |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Magnetite               | 30.083            | 30.093            |
| JCPDS 89-4319           | 35.434            | 35.462            |
|                         | 43.064            | 43.099            |
|                         | 56.949            | 56.981            |
|                         | 62.536            | 62.592            |

The catalytic performance of the magnetite will be affected by the high magnetite surface area. However, due to the small particle size, agglomeration often occurs if the catalyst applied at high temperature. Therefore, magnetite particles supported in the zeolite matrix to prepare composite zeolite/magnetite as a heterogeneous catalyst. This step is expected can be improve both catalytic properties at the transesterification stage in the preparation of methyl esters from used cooking oil. The presence of magnetite in composite that the magnetic properties is expected to facilitate the separation so as to prevent the formation of hydrogen bonds so as to reduce the purity of glycerol. The evidence showing the composite has been formed on Table 3.

| Component   | 2θ Standart (deg) | 2θ observed (deg) |
|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Mordenite   | 13.2              | -                 |
| JCPDS 6-239 | 19.7              | -                 |
| Clinoptilolite | 22.1          | 22.14             |
| JCPDS 39-1383 | 26.6              | 26.58             |
3.2. Structure morphology of magnetite
A slight difference was observed in surface structure of the appearance of the magnetite and after treatment by HEM-3D. The magnetite extracted from the sand shows the area of crystals or magnetite particles appear less clear and regular. In addition, the images in Figure 2 also reveal that the material still contains impurities. While on the magnetite of treatment with HEM-3D has a crystal or particles with the edge specified. From the figure 2 also investigated that the particle size of milled magnetite seems more smooth and homogeneous. It indicates that the milling treatment using a planetary ball mill (high energy milling/HEM-3D) causing a very strong collision between the magnetite particles and the spheres/balls on the planetary ball mill, therefore reducing on magnetite particles size.

![Magnetite before HEM-3D treatment](image1)

![Milled magnetite with HEM-3D](image2)

**Figure 2.** SEM images of magnetite

3.3. Zeolite/magnetite composite as catalyst in transesterification reaction
In this transesterification reaction, triglycerides in used cooking oil react with methanol to produce methyl esters and glycerol. This reaction will more quickly achieve equilibrium with the addition of a catalyst. In biodiesel (methyl ester) synthesis, methanol is mostly used as alcohol because of its low cost, availability and production of alkyl esters with lower viscosity [18]. In this research, transesterification reaction of used cooking oil with methanol was catalyzed by zeolite/magnetite composite as heterogeneous catalysts. This composite were formed from zeolite superseded by magnetite. The use of this zeolite/magnetite composite as heterogenous catalyst will be more effectively used in transesterification reactions because it has two active sites of zeolite and magnetite that work simultaneously and for faster triglyceride splitting. Furthermore, this is because the zeolite/magnetite catalyst has two catalytically active sites namely the active site of zeolite in the form of Bronsted acid and the active site of the magnetite in the form of oxide. The Bronsted acid in this zeolite initiates triglycerides to convert the C = O bond to a C-OH bond because the Brønsted acid is able to attack the C = O bond to the C-OH bond. Whereas in this magnetite the iron oxide FeO will bind to methanol.

Based on GC-MS analysis, its known that in this transesterification reaction produce methyl ester compounds.
Figure 3 shows the chromatogram GC of transesterification product. Moreover, fragmentation using mass spectroscopy (MS) indicates the presence of methyl esters in the reaction product. Methyl ester of transesterification product without catalyst produces methyl oleic/9-octadecanoic acid (m/z = 296) compound which is the highest peak fragmentation compound followed by methyl palmitic/hexadecanoic acid (m/z = 270) and Methyl stearic/octadecanoic acid (m/z = 270), meanwhile the using of concentration 1% ZM (zeolite/magnetite composite) catalyst resulted the methyl Palmitat (m/z = 270) as the highest peak fragmentation compound followed by methyl Oleic (m/z = 296). For concentration 10% ZM catalyst produces the methyl oleic (m/z = 296) compound which is the highest peak fragmentation followed by Methyl palmitic (m/z = 270).

The influence of the catalyst concentration ranging 1-10 % (w/v) on the transesterification of the used cooking oil is presented in Figure 4. The Fig. 4 shows transesterification reaction efficiency to yielded methyl ester strongly depends upon the catalyst amount, therefore increasing of the catalyst amount would increases the yield percentage. However, utilization of zeolite/magnetite composite catalyst in large amount less effective precisely because the content of water in methyl ester product is
too great. It beyond which no considerable change in oil conversion is observed due to increasing the viscosity of the mixture. Mansir [19] reveals that catalytic conversion of triglycerides to biodiesel/methyl ester involves the use of catalyst which lowers the activation energy and speeds up the reaction. In this process, the amount of catalyst utilized affects the biodiesel content. Usually biodiesel production reaction involves the use of catalyst. Lower catalyst loading is applied in the initial stage of the reaction and the conversion yield of biodiesel is measured. The subsequent catalyst loading will be increased periodically at constant reaction parameters until optimum biodiesel content from a particular feedstock is observed.

![Figure 4. Correlation between Yield of methyl ester and catalyst concentration](image)

3.4. The properties of methyl ester as transesterification product

Investigation of the product of transesterification addressed to its physical properties. The physical properties include density, viscosity, acid number, iodine number and water content. The properties should be determined because reflecting the quality of the methyl ester. Hence it can be decided whether the methyl ester is feasible as a fuel substitute or not.

3.4.1. Density. Density methyl ester as a product from transesterification reaction using various catalyst presents in Figure 5.

![Figure 5. Density of methyl ester using various of catalyst](image)

In Fig. 5 can observe the effect of zeolite/magnetite catalyst concentration to the density of methyl ester. The using of zeolite only, magnetite or composite zeolite/magnetite catalyst causes the density decreased sharply. At various concentrations of catalysts the use of composite catalysts showed less
density than zeolite or magnetite only as catalyst. This suggests that composite catalysts are more effective because of the role of multiple active sites derived in magnetite ($\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$) as acid sites that initiate reactions with methanol and acid-active sites in zeolite. In all variations of concentration catalyst the range 1-10%, composite which was synthesized by zeolite/magnetite ratio 2:1 shows the lowest density.

3.4.2. Viscosity. The viscosity of methyl ester often called kinematic viscosity is the value of methyl ester treacly as fuel at 40°C. Viscosity of methyl ester as a product from transesterification using various catalyst presents in Figure 6.

![Figure 6. Viscosity of methyl ester using various of catalyst](image)

Viscosity is one of the important properties that must be known if the methyl esters are used instead of fuels. This is because these properties correlate with their performance on the machine. When overly high viscosity will make the fuel become larger droplets that will result in a deposit on the machine, however if the viscosity is too low it will produce a spray that is too smooth to form a rich zone that causes the formation of soot [20]. The role of the catalyst in influencing the viscosity properties has a tendency similar to that of the methyl ester density. Hence in all variations of concentration catalyst the range 1-10%, composite which was synthesized by zeolite/magnetite ratio 2:1 shows the lowest viscosity.

3.4.3. Acid number. The acid number is milligram amount of KOH needed for neutralized 1 gram of sample. The acid number is calculated from the percentage value of fatty acids free. The acid number of methyl ester as a product from transesterification using various catalyst presents in Figure 7.
Large acid numbers show large free fatty acids derived from oil hydrolysis or due to poor processing. The higher the acid number the lower the quality. In this work, the catalyst plays a very significant role in decreasing the acid number. In all variations of concentration catalyst the range 1-10%, composite which was synthesized by zeolite/magnetite ratio 2:1 shows the lowest acid number. When the reaction using zeolite/magnetite ratio 2:1 composite as catalyst cause the acid number increasing slightly. This data reveals that the need for magnetite in assisting the process of initiation of reactions between feeds and methanol.

3.4.4. Iodine number. The iodine number called iodine absorption number is the mass of iodine in grams absorbed at 100 grams of a chemical under the test conditions used. Iodine numbers are often used to determine the amount of unsaturation in fatty acids. The unsaturation is in the form of a double bond, which will react with the iodine compound. The higher the iodine number, the more the C = C bonds present in the fat [21]. Iodine number of methyl ester as a product from transesterification using various catalyst presents in Figure 8.
be low. Therefore based on the data in Fig. 8, the low iodine number is belonged by methyl esters of transesterified results catalyzed by zeolites. The explanation argument for this fact is that iodine is most likely absorbed by the pores of the zeolite, where it cannot occur in magnetite because magnetite is not a porous material. This is also cause the highest iodine number on magnetite catalyst. The ability adsorption of zeolite is reduced when zeolite compose with magnetite.

3.4.5. Water content. Water content is the difference of weight methyl ester before and after evaporating. In this work data of water content in methyl ester presents in Figure 9. In this data there is a tendency on catalyst has similarity of role with the viscosity data. This means that the lowest water content in the use of catalysts only zeolite, and the highest water content in magnetite. The role of the pores present in the zeolite structure absorb the water, therefore the catalyst having relatively high porosity as in the zeolite/magnetite 3: 1 composite also shows a low water content.

![Graph showing water content vs catalyst concentration](image)

**Figure 9.** The water content of methyl ester using various of catalyst

4. Conclusion
Preparation of methyl ester was catalyzed by composite of zeolite/magnetite through transesterification reaction using variation of catalyst concentration 1%, 3%, 5% and 10% (w/v) produce a mixture of methyl Oleic (MES) Palmitic Acid (MES) and Stearic Acid (MES). Character product such as density, viscosity, acid number and iodine number has fulfilled to SNI standard 7182: 2015.
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