Effects of light quality on reproduction, growth and pigment content of *Gracilaria birdiae* (Rhodophyta: Gracilariales)
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**Summary**: *Gracilaria* species are the main source of agar worldwide. Since laboratory cultivation is an important means of sustaining such production, this study aimed to assess the influence of two fundamental strategies of physiological algae adjustment, photoacclimation and photomorphogenesis, on *G. birdiae* physiology. Specifically, the effects of different spectral light qualities on reproduction, growth, and pigment content in two distinct photoperiods (8 and 14 hours) were examined. Tetrasporophytes and gametophytes were submitted to different light qualities: white, green, red, and blue over the course of nine weeks. At the end of this period, chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*), allophycocyanin, phycocyanin, and phycoerythrin contents were analysed. Gametophytes showed reproductive structures only under monochromatic radiation. A stimulatory effect on tetrasporangium differentiation was mainly observed under red light, but this high fertility was negatively correlated with growth rate, i.e. algae cultivated under red light showed the lowest growth rate. However, while growth rates were higher in algae exposed to white light, they were similar to those observed in algae subjected to green light and 14 hours of daylight. PE was the predominant pigment, irrespective of light quality. Phycocyanin and phycoerythrin concentration increased when algae were cultivated under green and blue light. Therefore, considering future management prospects for *G. birdiae* mariculture, we suggest that red light could be utilized as a reproductive inductor to produce tetraspores. Furthermore, if the aim is to achieve high phycoerythrin content, continuous blue light could be applied during a short photoperiod. Indeed, the combination of different wavelengths could allow better economic resource exploitation.
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Efectos de la calidad de luz en la reproducción, crecimiento y contenido pigmentario de *Gracilaria birdiae* (Rhodophyta: Gracilariales)

Resumen: Las especies del género *Gracilaria* son la principal fuente de agar en el mundo. El desarrollo de técnicas de cultivo en laboratorio es importante para mejorar la producción, y por ello, este estudio tuvo como objetivo la evaluación de la influencia de dos estrategias de ajuste fisiológico de las algas, la fotoaclimatación y la fotomorfogénesis en *Gracilaria birdiae*. De modo más específico, se evaluaron los efectos de diferentes calidades espectrales de luz sobre la reproducción, crecimiento y contenido pigmentario bajo dos fotoperíodos (8 y 14 horas). Tetrasporofitos y gametofitos fueron sometidos a las siguientes calidades de luz: blanca (WH), verde (GR), rojo (RD) y azul (BL) a lo largo de nueve semanas. Al final del período, se analizaron los contenidos de clorofila *a* (Chl *a*), allofococianina (APC), fococianina (PC) y ficoeritrina (PE). Los gametofitos carecieron de estructuras reproductivas exclusivamente en radiación blanca. La diferenciación de tetrasporangios fue obtenida bajo estimulo de luz roja, pero fue negativamente correlacionado con las tasas de crecimiento (GRTs), presentando las tasas más bajas. Por otro lado, las tasas de crecimiento fueron más altas en algas expuestas a luz WH, éstas fueron similares en algas mantenidas bajo luz GR y 14 horas de fotoperíodo. PE fue el pigmento predominante en *Gracilaria birdiae*, independientemente de la calidad de la luz aplicada. La concentración de PC y PE aumentaron cuando las algas recibieron luz GR y BL. De esa manera, considerando las perspectivas de manejo en la maricultura de *Gracilaria birdiae*, se sugiere que la luz roja puede ser utilizada como factor de inducción de la producción de tetraspores. Por otro lado, las algas cultivadas en fotoperíodos cortos y luz BL alcanzaron altos contenidos de PE. La combinación de diferentes longitudes de onda puede permitir una mayor valorización en la explotación económica de los recursos alginicos.
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INTRODUCTION

Light is primarily used as a source of energy, enabling organisms to adapt to a steadily changing environment. The utilization of light by an organism is regulated by a process known as photoacclimation, or chromatic adaptation, including mechanisms to avoid an excess of light energy, such as photoprotection (Falkowski and La Roche 1991) and mechanisms to take advantage of low irradiances in any given ambient lighting. Light can also be used as an environmental signal to control metabolic and reproductive processes (Rüdiger and Figueroa 1992). Monochromatic light can provide the signalling necessary to regulate algal metabolism, reproduction and growth (Dring 1988), prompting, in turn, a variety of photomorphogenetic strategies, such as the control of cell growth or induced enzymatic activation (Lüning 1992). Additionally, light can induce shade-avoiding plasticity (Monro and Poore 2005).

Marine red algae occur in environments subjected to wide variations of irradiance and spectral distribution, and their pigment contents are regulated by irradiance and light qualities. These responses may be the result of photomorphogenetic signalling processes with photoreceptor control that regulate growth and metabolism (Talarico and Maranzana 2000). Photosynthetic changes can result from an imbalance of photosystems, a difference in the rate at which photosystems turn over, a deficiency in the electron transport chain, or a major change in the spatial relationship between the two photosystems (Gantt 1990).

An increase in phycobiliprotein and chlorophyll a (Chl a) content was observed in Chondrus crispus cultivated under blue, red, and green light in comparison with white light controls (Franklin et al. 2001). Halymenia floresii optimized its growth rates under green light, inducing Chl a and lutein synthesis, whereas blue and red light stimulated the accumulation of phycobiliproteins (Godínez-Ortega et al. 2008). Blue light also stimulated nitrogen assimilation and the accumulation of such N-compounds as biliproteins (Figueroa et al. 1995a). These alterations in red alga pigment content may be related to the size and amount of chromophores, as well as the number, structure or size of phycobilisomes, which are modified to optimize light absorption (Kursar et al. 1983b, López-Figueroa and Niell 1989, 1991). Furthermore, the synthesis of chlorophyll and biliprotein can be regulated by phytochrome (red/far-red light) and blue light photoreceptors (López-Figueroa and Niell 1991). Other responses in macroalgae have been assigned to photoreceptors, such as blue light control of reproduction in Laminaria saccharina gametophytes and hair differentiation in Scytosiphon lomentaria (Dring and Lüning 1973). Blue light also induced reproductive responses of Petalonia fascia and P. zosterifolia and, in addition, erect axes were induced by red light for these species (Lüning and Dring 1973). Lemanea germination was inhibited by blue light but this process was reverted by subsequent white light exposure (Thirb and Benson-Evans 1983).

Gracilaria species are the main source of agar and, as such, drive a market worth millions of dollars around the world (Armisén 1995). Gracilaria birdiae Plastino and E.C. Oliveira has shown higher potential for agar extraction, although only natural banks have been exploited (Plastino and Oliveira 2002). The species has been harvested in northeast Brazil near coastal communities, and the short time interval between one harvest and the next is not sufficient to permit the complete recovery of seaweed beds (Carneiro et al. 2011). This species occurs from the northeast (3°S) to the southeast (20°S) coast of Brazil (Plastino and Oliveira 2002), and its extreme populations are in geographical areas 2500 km apart. Fertility is commonly observed, with abundance of tetrasporophytes in comparison with gametophytes (Carneiro et al. 2011). Considering its geographic distribution and daily photoperiods (Brazilian National Institute for Space Research, http://sonda.csst.inpe.br/basedados/index.html), G. birdiae may be exposed to photoperiods ranging from 10.8 to 13.9 h in the winter and summer solstices, respectively.

In accordance with the importance of G. birdiae, studies under controlled conditions are imperative to provide information about the biology of the species. In the laboratory, different strains of G. birdiae were isolated from different regions of the Brazilian coast, from southeastern to northeastern regions (Costa and Plastino 2001, 2011, Plastino et al. 2004), and some studies have been performed to understand the photoperiodic influence on the fertility of G. birdiae, tetrasporangium differentiation could be induced by variation of irradiance and specific light qualities. Therefore, since it is advantageous to understand and manage the reproduction of G. birdiae in the laboratory for future seedling production in mariculture, we designed light-controlled experiments to choose the best induction conditions of fertility in both gametophytes and tetrasporophytes. Fertility, growth and pigment content were all monitored. These parameters provided information about the relationship between fertility.
and vegetative performance. Moreover, a comparative analysis between the isomorphic stages of life history of the species is presented.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Biological material

Non-reproductive apical segments of tetrasporophytes and male and female gametophytes of *Gracilaria birdiae* Plastino and E.C. Oliveira were obtained from algal collections of the “Édison J. Paula” marine seaweed laboratory at the University of São Paulo, Brazil (Lourenço and Vieira 2004, Costa et al. 2012). Different individuals from the red wild type were utilized in this study. These algae were derived from a natural population of Paracuru (3°25’31”S and 39°01’29”W), Ceará State, Brazil.

General culture conditions

Unialgal cultures were established from non-reproductive apical segments of tetrasporophytes and gametophytes of *Gracilaria birdiae*, as described by Plastino and Oliveira (1990). Standard culture conditions were as follows: 25±2°C, alternating aeration periods of 30 minutes, 400 ml Erlenmeyer flasks, containing sterile seawater (salinity of 32) enriched with von Stosch medium (Ursi and Plastino 2001) diluted to 25%. This enriched seawater was changed weekly. Each flask contained four apices (all tetrasporophytes or two male plus two female gametophytes). The recognition of sexual stage of gametophytes was possible because these algal sections were derived from original fertile individuals, male and female gametophytes (with cystocarps). After sexual recognition, they were cultivated in different flasks for two months to avoid fertilization and to assure absence of cystocarps. In general, initial mean fresh weight biomass per flask (average±standard deviation) was 14.6±1.2 mg; if each reproductive stage was considered separately, there was 14.3±1.2 for tetrasporophytes, and 14.9±1.2 mg of fresh weight for gametophytes. Three replicates were utilized.

Experimental light treatments

Experiments were conducted over the course of nine weeks in biochemical oxygen demand culture chambers (Fanem, 347 G), with tubes positioned vertically in the door. Two light: dark cycles (L:D) were tested: 14L:10D and 8L:16D. Four light qualities were utilized at each light quality (4 tubes for WH, 14 for GR, 6 for RD and 16 for BL). The different light qualities were obtained from a combination of lamps and acrylic filters: transparent (equivalent to white light), green, red, and blue. Specific wavelengths could achieve the Erlenmeyer flasks where algae were cultivated. These flasks were distributed in different positions of chamber, so as to receive similar amounts of irradiance. Light transmittances of these filtering acrylic systems were obtained in a spectrophotometer (HP 8452A) by positioning a piece of each acrylic plate in the place of the cuvette. These curves are shown in Figure 1.

Irradiances were measured with a Li-COR spheric sensor (Model Li-193) connected to a Li-COR quantumeter (Model Li-185). The photon fluence rate in all treatments was 350 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. Photosynthetic responses of the species were not inhibited, as previously reported by Ursi et al. (2003). To achieve this photon fluence rate, different quantities of tubes were utilized at each light quality (4 tubes for WH, 14 for GR, 6 for RD and 16 for BL).

Fertility and growth rate analysis

Branch morphology and reproductive occurrences of tetrasporangia, spermatangial conceptacles or cystocarps were evaluated weekly throughout the experimental period. The differentiation of reproductive structure was assessed with a stereomicroscope, adding light quality filters to ensure uniform exposure of algae to light quality similar to that of the experimental chamber in order to avoid contamination of any white light flash throughout the experimental period. At the end of nine weeks, total (T) and fertile (F) lengths of tetrasporophytes and male gametophytes were measured in centimetres, starting from the basal portion of the branch. Considering each flask as a replicate, T and F were obtained from the sum of the lengths of four apices (for tetrasporophytes) and two apices (for male gametophytes) contained in each flask, totaling one value of T, F, and fertility per flask, and this value was considered as replicate. Percentage of fertility data (F%) were considered as F%=(F/T)100 to obtain a percentage. The number of cystocarps on female gametophyte thalli was also evaluated after nine weeks.
Fresh biomass was recorded weekly for nine weeks, and the growth rates (GRT) were estimated according to Lignell and Pedersén (1989): GRT = \((W_f/W_i)^{1/t} - 1\)\(^{100}\), where \(W_f\) is the final measure, \(W_i\) is the initial value of fresh weight, and \(t\) is the time. GRT unit was % day\(^{-1}\). Data for statistical analyses and comparisons were selected considering periods where these values remained constant regardless of the time. These were observed between the third and sixth weeks for all conditions and included the same quantity of days.

**Pigment analysis**

Pigment analysis was performed upon completion of the experimental period. Two hundred mg of tissue from tetrasporophytes and gametophytes cultivated in different treatments were ground with liquid nitrogen, followed by addition of 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 5.5. Crude extracts were centrifuged at 36000 g for 25 min to obtain phycobiliproteins. Chl \(\alpha\) was extracted after dissolving the pellet in 90% acetone and centrifuging at 12000 g for 15 min (Kursar et al. 1983a, modified by Plastino and Guimarães 2001).

Pigments were quantified in a spectrophotometer (HP 8452A), and concentrations of phycobiliproteins (allophycocyanin [APC], phycocyanin [PC] and phycoerythrin [PE]) and Chl \(\alpha\) were calculated according to Kursar et al. (1983a) and Jeffrey and Humphrey (1975), respectively.

**Statistical analysis**

Three-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) were performed on all data (independent variables: light quality, reproductive stage, and photoperiod). Homogeneity of variances (Cochran test) and normality were evaluated previously for ANOVA procedures. Where significant differences were detected, post hoc multiple comparisons were made using the Newman-Keuls test. Confidence interval (CI) utilized was at 95% (\(p<0.05\)) (Zar 1999). Fertility percent data were adjusted with arcsine data transformation. A Pearson correlation analysis was performed between growth rates and fertility values.

**RESULTS**

Apices of tetrasporophytes and gametophytes of *Gracilaria birdiae* cultivated in RD light became flattened after nine weeks (Fig. 2). Otherwise, those cultivated in WH, GR, and BL light remained filled, irrespective of photoperiod. In general, algae cultivated in WH and GR showed greater size and became more branched than those cultivated in RD or BL light (data not shown).

**Fertility**

Tetrasporangium differentiation was observed in thalli exposed to GR, RD, and BL light, irrespective of photoperiod. Otherwise, tetrasporangia were only observed in WH light when algae were cultivated in 8L:16D (Fig. 3). Under this photoperiod, the number of fertile tetrasporophytes among a total of 12 for each condition was 11 in WH, 8 in GR, 12 in RD, and 10 in BL. For the 14L:10D photoperiod, there were 10 in GR, 12 in RD, and 4 in BL.
Neither spermatangial conceptacles nor cystocarps were observed in gametophytes cultivated in WH light, but they could be seen in other conditions (Fig. 3). Under a photoperiod of 8L:16D, the number of fertile male individuals among a total of six for each condition was 1 in GR and 3 in the BL; for the 14L:10D photoperiod, there were 5 in GR, 4 in RD, and 6 in BL. Under a photoperiod of 8L:16D, the number of individuals with cystocarps among a total of 6 for each condition was one individual with only one cystocarp in BL; for the 14L:10D photoperiod, there were 4 in GR with a total of 10 cystocarps and 2 in RD with a total of 8 cystocarps.

Fertility percentage was significantly influenced by light quality and reproductive stage, while no effects were observed as a consequence of photoperiodic treatments (Table 1). Tetrasporophytes cultivated in RD showed a higher fertility percentage than those cultivated in WH, GR, and BL light, irrespective of photoperiod (Fig. 3). After nine weeks, tetrasporangia occupied the thalli in greater numbers than spermatangial conceptacles in all culture conditions (Fig. 3), with the exception of three conditions in which the occupation was similar: BL (both photoperiods) and GR (14L:10D).

**Growth rates**

Growth rates were influenced by all analysed factors and all of their interactions. However, the main factor causing differences in this parameter was photoperiod (50.73% of variance), followed by light quality (30.56% of variance). Although significant, interactive effects represented less than 8% of growth rate variations (Table 1). Tetrasporophytes showed higher GRT than gametophytes when cultivated under 14L:10D photoperiod in WH and GR light (Fig. 4). However, no differences were observed in other conditions. Algae cultivated in WH and GR light showed higher GRT than those cultivated in RD and BL, irrespective of photoperiod (Fig. 4). Compared with algae cultivated in WH and GR light, the growth rate in 14L:10D was

---

**Table 1.** Results of a three-way ANOVA showing isolated and interactive effects among independent variables. Data were obtained for tetrasporophytes and gametophytes of *Gracilaria birdiae* cultivated under two photoperiods and four light qualities. GRT, growth rate; APC, allophycocyanin; PC, phycocyanin; PE, phycoerythrin; Chl a, chlorophyll a. Bold values indicate significant data with a confidence interval of 95% (*p*<0.05). n=3. * Regardless of time; ** Considering GRT obtained between the third and the sixth weeks.

| Trait          | DF  | F-value | Prob > F | % Var | df1 | df2 | df3 |
|----------------|-----|---------|----------|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| Fertility*     |     | 1.28    | 0.27     | 0.01  | 78.46| 1   | 1   |
|                | F   | 182.8   | 0.00     | 0.00  | 108.0| 1   | 1   |
| GRT**          | 105 | 182.8   | 0.00     | 0.00  | 105  | 1   | 3   |
| Pigments       |     | 0.42    | 0.52     | 0.44  | 51.73| 1   | 3   |
| Concentration  |     | 0.42    | 0.52     | 0.44  | 51.73| 1   | 3   |
| APC            |     | 163.66  | 0.00     | 0.00  | 242.12| 1   | 1   |
|                | F   | 550.73  | 0.00     | 0.00  | 1123.52| 1   | 1   |
| PC             |     | 0.00    | 0.00     | 0.00  | 29.22 | 1   | 1   |
|                | F   | 314.85  | 0.00     | 0.00  | 55.37 | 1   | 1   |
| PE             |     | 0.00    | 0.00     | 0.00  | 37.49 | 1   | 1   |
|                | F   | 550.73  | 0.00     | 0.00  | 1123.52| 1   | 1   |
| Chl a          |     | 0.00    | 0.00     | 0.00  | 77.68 | 1   | 1   |

---

Fig. 3. – Total fertility (%), considering tetrasporangia and spermatangial conceptacles on the thallus surface in relation to the total thallus length of tetrasporophytes (T) and gametophytes (G) of *Gracilaria birdiae* after nine weeks of cultivation in different light qualities (WH, white; GR, green; RD, red; and BL, blue) and photoperiods. A. 8L:16D; B. 14L:10D. Data are expressed as means±SD (n=3). Different letters indicate significant differences (*p*<0.05) by the Newman-Keuls test.
higher than that observed in 8L:16D, whereas algae cultivated in RD and BL showed a similar GRT in both photoperiods (Fig. 4). In 14L:10D, the GRTs of algae cultivated in WH and GR light were similar, whereas in 8L:16D, the GRTs were higher in WH than in GR light.

Tetrasporangium differentiation and growth rates showed a significant negative correlation (p<0.05) in algae cultivated in GR and RD light (8L:16D: GR, r=−0.67; RD, r=−0.78; and 14L:10D: GR, r=−0.63; RD, r=−0.82). However, differentiation of spermatangial conceptacles or cystocarps showed no correlation with growth rates in any condition (p>0.05).

Pigment content

Light quality was the main factor that promoted variation in the content of accessory pigments in G. birdiae. More than 50% of variations in APC, PE and PC content were observed as a result of these treatments (Table 1). PE and PC contents were also influenced by the isolated photoperiod factor. Algae cultivated in different light qualities showed higher PC and PE contents in the 8L:16D photoperiod than algae cultivated in 14L:10D (Fig. 5). Considering each photoperiod, algae cultivated in BL showed higher PC and PE contents than those of algae exposed to WH, GR, and RD light. In 14L:10D and 8L:16D, PC and PE contents of algae cultivated in GR were higher than those under WH and RD. Furthermore, in 8L:16D, tetrasporophytes exposed to GR and RD showed higher PC content than tetrasporophytes cultivated in WH light. In general, APC content was also higher in BL and GR-cultivated samples. The highest amount was recorded for gametophytes cultivated in 8L:16D and tetrasporophytes cultivated in 14L:10D, both of which showed higher APC content under BL light than either gametophytes or tetrasporophytes exposed to WH, GR, and RD under both photoperiods (Fig. 5).

Photoperiod was the principal factor affecting Chl a content, although this pigment content was also influenced by light quality (Table 1). Algae cultivated in 8L:16D showed a higher Chl a content than algae cultivated in 14L:10D, irrespective of light quality and reproductive stage, with the exception of tetrasporophytes exposed to WH, which showed similar Chl a contents in both photoperiods (Fig. 5). Individuals cultivated in 8L:16D showed a higher content of Chl a when cultivated in GR and BL than when cultivated in WH and RD, whereas in 14L:10D only tetrasporophytes cultivated in GR had a higher Chl a content than those cultivated in WH and RD.

Reproductive stages did not seem to cause many significant variations in the pigment content of G. birdiae. This factor caused only a few variations in APC, PC and PE concentrations, i.e. only 5.05%, 3.38% and 0.41% of variation (Table 1). With few exceptions, detailed analyses showed the absence of pigment content differences between tetrasporophytes and gametophytes cultivated in the same conditions: i) in GR, tetrasporophytes had higher Chl a content than gametophytes (14L:10D) and ii) in BL, tetrasporophytes had higher APC, PC and PE contents than gametophytes (14L:10D), while gametophytes had higher APC, PC and PE contents than tetrasporophytes (8L:16D) (Fig. 5). Furthermore, interaction of factors was also significant for causing pigment content variations, although the highest percentage of variance was observed for interaction of photoperiod and reproductive stage (4.81%). Other factor interactions were reported, mainly involving APC, PE and Chl a contents (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

In this study, it is shown that both light quality and photoperiod influenced the differentiation of reproductive structures in Gracilaria birdiae. A stimulatory effect was mainly observed under RD light, since all tetrasporophytes showed changes in apical tips, as well as a higher percentage of fertility. Tetrasporangium development, as well as apical tip changes, could be regulated by red/far-red light phytochrome-like photoreceptors because these modifications were observed with RD light, although to confirm this hypothesis, it is necessary to show a reversion of the response by far-red light. Phytochrome-like photoreceptors have been identified in different algal species, including red macroalgae (López-Figueroa et al. 1989). These possible photoreceptors in G. birdiae can also be more active in short-day conditions (8L:16D), in which the highest percentage of tetrasporangium occurred. Considering its geographical distribution, G. birdiae occurs under

Fig. 4. – Growth rates (GRT) expressed as % day⁻¹ of tetrasporophytes (T) and gametophytes (G) of Gracilaria birdiae cultivated in different light qualities [white (WH), green (GR), red (RD), and blue (BL)] and two photoperiods. A, 14L:10D; B, 8L:16D. Data were obtained from the third to the sixth weeks. Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n=3). Different letters indicate significant differences (p<0.05) by the Newman-Keuls test.
different photoperiods, within a narrow range of 10.8 to 13.9 hours of light by day, depending on the latitudinal position. Thus, the photoperiod can influence reproductive status of tetrasporophytic thalli, even if not exposed exactly to 8L:16D in nature. Under these circumstances, the photoperiod could explain the frequency variation of reproductive stages observed in natural populations of *G. birdiae* by Carneiro et al. (2011). These authors observed higher tetrasporophyte percentages during the winter months when photoperiods are shorter than in summer months.

The high occurrence of tetrasterangia in *G. birdiae* was negatively correlated with GRT, as previously observed in other *Gracilaria* species, in which lower GRTs were reported in both fertile tetrasporophytes (Santelices and Varela 1995) and cystocarpic plants (Guimarães et al. 1999). These results could be related to an energy transfer of growth to reproductive structure differentia-
tion, and in *G. birdiae* it was essentially stimulated by RD light. This light quality also promoted sorus differentiation in *Dictyota dichotoma*, as well as lower GRT, as reported by Müller and Clauss (1976). These authors suggested that these responses could have also occurred due to the absence of BL spectra, resulting in a reduction in photosynthetic rates. Moreover, according to the action spectra for photosynthesis in red algae, photosynthetic activity is lower under BL than under RD, owing to the low efficiency of electron transport in photosystem II under BL light because most chlorophyll (about 85%) in red algae is located in photosystem I (Lüning and Dring 1985, Grzyminski et al. 1997). By this logic, the absence of BL spectra could stimulate the investment of light energy for reproduction instead of photosynthesis and, consequently, growth in tetrasporophytes of *G. birdiae*. Otherwise, cystocarps and spermangial concepts were not differentiated in sufficient amounts to promote the decrease of GRT, and their differentiation could not be related to any specific wavelength. The higher fertility of tetrasporophytes in comparison with gametophytes agrees with the phenomenology of *G. birdiae* (Carneiro et al. 2011). Moreover, tetrasporophytes were much more abundant and efficient at occupying environmental niches than gametophytes, indicating a greater ability to respond to environmental signals as inductors of fertility, in turn resulting in higher propagation of the species.

Growth rates of *Gracilaria birdiae* cultivated in GR light were similar to those observed in algae subjected to WH light and 14L:10D. This response could have resulted from the absorption of green light by phycobiliproteins, which transferred the collected energy to essential pigments, thereby sustaining the performance of the photosynthetic apparatus (Lüning and Dring 1985). Similar GRTs in GR and WH lights were also observed in *Gracilaria* sp. (Beer and Levy 1983).

The response of photosacclimation in *Gracilaria birdiae* to GR light can also be related to a change in phycobilisomal structure, as reported in GR-acclimated *Phormidium* sp., in which phycobilisomes were twice those observed in RD light (Oliki and Fujita 1992). Phycobiliproteins in phycobilisomes are usually connected by photosystem II, and they can carry energy to photosystem I, thus optimizing energy absorption and increasing photosynthetic rates. In photosystem I, an elevated content of carotenoids can play a similar role (Figueroa et al. 1995a). The increase of phycobiliproteins that occurred in *G. birdiae* cultivated under BL and GR light could be related to improved energy absorption, which is transferred to Chl *a* to make up for the absence of other light qualities that are usually absorbed by chlorophyll. The same observation was shown in *Porphyra leucosticta* (Tsekos et al. 2002). Additional evidence of this optimization of light energy and possible rearrangement of phycobilisome structure in *G. birdiae* was achieved by the same proportion of pigment content that could be seen in algae cultivated in WH, GR, and RD light, irrespective of photoperiod, although the amounts of these pigments were higher in GR-cultivated samples. This aspect shows an evident induction of increasing phycobiliproteins and Chl *a* in *G. birdiae* cultivated in GR light.

While GR light was efficiently utilized in *G. birdiae*, BL light hindered its optimal development, but this light quality was also essential for maximal productivity of other alga species, such as brown algae, stimulating saturated photosynthesis as a result of the transport of inorganic carbon from seawater to chloroplasts (Forster and Dring 1994). For *G. birdiae*, however, any kind of response related to the positive effects of BL could be compromised by continuous exposure, and the absence of other essential light qualities minimized any positive effect. Higher GRTs under GR light than under RD or BL light were previously reported in *Palmaria palmata* (Sagert and Schubert 1995) and *Halymenia floresii* (Godínez-Ortega et al. 2008). In the latter case, it was suggested that the activity of Chl *a*, which accumulated under GR, increased the efficiency of light absorption. These types of response to BL and GR light could be considered as physiological acclimation in *G. birdiae*, similarly to *Mazzaella laminarioides* (Marquardt et al. 2010). These authors showed that macroalgae can follow physiological versus morphological photoacclimation strategies in response to variations in both irradiance and light quality (Marquardt et al. 2010).

The accessory pigment synthesis in *Gracilaria birdiae* was stimulated by BL light. This response could be controlled by cryptochrome-like photoreceptors, in a manner similar to that suggested for the inhibition of erect axis development in *Scytosiphon lomentaria* (Dring and Lüning 1975). Moreover, *G. birdiae* could have photoacclimated under BL, resulting in a package effect, as reported in *Porphyra umbilicalis* (Figueroa et al. 1995a). The package effect is related to the absorption of Chl *a* content and can be attributed to four factors: (1) changes in cell size, shape, or morphology; (2) changes in chloroplast structure; (3) changes in the degree of stacking of thylakoid membranes; and (4) changes in optical properties in thylakoid membranes (Berner et al. 1989). For *G. birdiae*, we have suggested a proportionally greater increase of the external part of phycobilisome in BL in contrast to RD, thus increasing the potential for a possible package effect, much like *P. umbilicalis* under BL light. Considering the high PE and PC contents in *G. birdiae*, a higher BL-enhanced production in pigment proteins is suggested, similar to *Chondrus crispus* (López-Figueroa 1991) and *P. umbilicalis* (Figueroa et al. 1995a). BL could also stimulate the accumulation of nitrogen compounds in *G. birdiae*, such as PE and PC, and the resultant higher density of phycobilisomes under BL than that observed under RD and GR, as reported in *P. leucosticta* (Tsekos et al. 2002). Because of the relatively rapid accumulation of PE, this photosynthetic pigment has been suggested as an N-store compound, as in cyanobacteria and red macroalgae (Lapointe et al. 1984, Figueroa et al. 1995a).

Since the condition of constant light quality is unusual to its development, *Gracilaria birdiae* could have utilized its possible photoprotection mechanisms to survive under these conditions. Two examples can be given. First, the high amount of PE in *G. birdiae* cultivated under BL could act as a system of energy dissipation, together with a possible xanthophyll cycle,
a phenomenon which has been related to energy dissipation (Demmig-Adams 2003). The occurrence of this cycle in *G. birdiae* was suggested by Uris et al. (2003), and an incomplete xanthophyll cycle was proposed for *G. tenusitistipita* by Barufi et al. (2011). A second photoprotective mechanism involves the position of different phycobiliproteins in the phycobilisome. *G. birdiae*, in general, showed a lower variation of APC content than that of other phycobiliproteins (PC and PE), indicating that APC is located in the innermost part of the phycobilisome, in accordance with phycobilisomal models suggested by Gantt (1990). Thus, whereas APC is protected against degradation by any specific wavelength, the other more externally located phycobiliproteins are at higher risk for degradation by any given wavelength.

The changes in apical tips of *Gracilaria birdiae* were probably a consequence of continuous RD. This is a typical case of morphological photoacclimation, such as those presented by Marquardt et al. (2010) for *Gracilaria chilensis*. However, we cannot directly associate these changes with RD because this condition also increased tetrasporangium development. These modifications in apical portions of the thalli could be an effect of interaction between radiation and reproductive status. In addition, a decrease in GRT was observed in this condition. It is not possible to ascribe a deleterious effect to RD because no alga mortality was observed, and mortality was considered imperative to characterize a deleterious effect (Gerard 1997). Nonetheless, further studies are required to clarify this issue, as well as any kind of reversibility of morphological changes, such as the transfer to alga to less stressful conditions after treatment under RD light.

Based on the light qualities tested, we provide indications of physiological mechanisms which allow improvements of possible mariculture and commercial exploitation approaches of *G. birdiae*. Although the photoperiod 8L:16D is not achieved in environmental distribution of the species, it is interesting to test the physiological capacity in broader photoperiodic conditions than those in nature to evaluate the potential capacity for a possible biotechnological application. Therefore, we suggest to test also usage of 10L:14D following the algal distribution pattern in future experiments. Our results for 8L:16D can be analysed as indications of short-day regulated responses. In addition, we have indicated RD light quality as a possible inductor of fertility to produce tetraspores, which could be used as seedlings. Otherwise, we have shown the negative effects of BL light exposure on GRT of *G. birdiae*. However, if the aim is to achieve high phycocyanin content, the exposure to this light quality could be a good alternative. Furthermore, a combination of different wavelengths could allow better economic resource exploitation.
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