A Controllable DCCS-Based PT Temperature Sensor in High Precision Molecular Spectroscopy Application
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ABSTRACT In this paper we discuss the factors affecting the measurement accuracy of temperature sensor employing platinum resistance in a resonant quartz crystal tuning fork (QCTF) detector based wavelength modulation spectroscopy (WMS). A bridge temperature sensor powered by a dual constant current source (DCCS) is proposed. The DCCS was controlled to keep the constant current of 1mA in this work. Furthermore, we used the 3-wire measurements powered by DCCS to eliminate the impact of lead resistances and self heating of the platinum resistance so as to reduce measurement errors. A piecewise linearization model by linear approximation algorithm is employed to evaluate the measurement calibration and increase the measurement accuracy. Detection of trace methane (CH\textsubscript{4}) was demonstrated using a near infrared distributed feedback diode laser near 1.653\,\mu m and a single pass gas absorption cell with an optical length of 20 cm. An example of the temperature sensor employing Pt100 with 3-wire measurement is developed in the detection of CH\textsubscript{4} with the temperature range from 0\,\degree C to 100\,\degree C. The controllable DCCS method is demonstrated by the measurement results of the deploying Pt100 sensors. Experimental results show that the accuracy of our proposed temperature sensor is improved in comparison with the conventional platinum resistance thermometer. The measurement accuracy is relatively increased due to employing the piecewise linear approximation model. The results also show good performance for measurement calibration, especially for high temperature region.

INDEX TERMS Temperature measurement, wavelength modulation spectroscopy, controllable dual constant current source, platinum resistance, piecewise linear approximation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Atmospheric methane (CH\textsubscript{4}) is a significant greenhouse gas which may has a great influence on atmospheric compositions and climate [1]. Furthermore, CH\textsubscript{4} is also known as an industrial safety hazard and a variety of techniques have been presented for CH\textsubscript{4} detection. Among these detection technologies, a standard quartz crystal tuning fork (QCTF) was proposed [2], [3], which converts an optical signal into an electrical signal via quartz piezoelectric properties. The temperature measurement requirement is an essential parameter in CH\textsubscript{4} detection technology. The accuracy of temperature measurement may have an important impact on CH\textsubscript{4} detection results. High accuracy temperature measurement is also widely applied in many industrial and scientific applications such as solar radiation environments [4], ocean temperature measurements [5], Prognostics and health management [6], intelligent manufacturing [7], industrial process [8], agriculture monitoring and machinery fault diagnosis applications [9]. It is desirable to measure temperature with high precision in an industrial temperature range (−40\,\degree C and +85\,\degree C) and an extended temperature range (−40\,\degree C and +125\,\degree C) in various areas.

Platinum resistance [10], [11] with high precision, wide temperature sensing range and stable performance, has been applied to civil, industrial, military and other fields. In some applications of the limited requirements for measurement...
accuracy and temperature range, platinum resistances can be used with its linear characteristics. But in some fields with wider measurement range and higher accuracy (e.g. ±0.1°C), the conventional platinum resistance temperature transducer is hard to be qualified. For example, in the sterilization of drug production, the measurement accuracy worse than ±0.1°C will have a serious influence on the quality of the drug [12]. In the process of the crystal growth, the temperature precision control is rigorous [13]. It is desirable to measure the temperature precisely by transducers, in particular for specific industrial fields. There are lots of work aiming to construct the high precision temperature sensors. Sanyal et al. [14] proposed a novel non-linear analog signal conditioning circuit for correcting the transfer curves of constant temperature anemometers. An improved lead resistance compensation technique for the 3-wire resistance temperature detectors was presented by Pradhan and Sen [15]. A new lead wire compensation technique was presented for conventional two wire resistance temperature detectors (RTDs) by Sen [16]. Minardo et al. proposed a temperature measurement by dual wavelength brillouin sensors [17]. Chakraborty et al. presented a simple temperature measurement and transmission system of an electric heater operated in water bath [18]. Sarma and Boruah designed a high precision thermometer with the conditioned signal linearised using a 9th order polynomial and a 12-bit analog-to-digital converter and a 8-bit microcontroller for industrial application [19]. The technique of linearization of Pt sensors has been used and published in instrument industries such as Analog Device, Maxim, and Microchip [20]–[22]. Measurement accuracy of most of the products can’t reach ±0.1°C according to the investigation on the current platinum resistance temperature transducer in the market. A four-wire electrical configuration was used to eliminate the effects of contact resistance and increase measurement accuracy by Shen et al. [23]. Zhang and Chen presented the relevant analysis and demonstrates the potential benefits of an integrated circuit solution to Johnson noise thermometry (ICJNTs) [24]. McDaniel et al. presented a dynamic, double ended calibration routine in response to site-specific challenges and constraints for calibration considerations [25]. A temperature measurement was developed in a single pass glass absorption cell with a length of 20 cm by Ma et al. [26]. Chuanliang et al. used technique for trace gas detection by tunable diode laser absorption spectroscopy, in which the spectral signals at different pressures and temperatures were acquired [27]. A laser sensor for trace ammonia (NH3) was developed by Xinqian et al., which was based on near-infrared laser absorption spectroscopy and a multipass cell with variable temperature is adopted [28].

In this paper, we proposed a temperature measurement technique with a controllable dual constant current source by using platinum resistance for high accuracy temperature sensing in a QCTF based methane detection. This temperature sensing is used in a high sensitivity QCTF based wavelength modulation spectroscopy. A controllable DCCS sensor is equipped on the gas cell of methane detection. As for a basic characteristic of each measurement result, it needs to be calibrated and evaluated [29]. We employed a piecewise linear approximation model for calibration of measurement and reducing the measurement error in accordance with the application requirements. The comparison between simplified cut-off linearization model and piecewise linear approximation model, during a case study for platinum resistance calibration is illustrated.

II. MEASUREMENT ERRORS ANALYSIS

The temperature measurement by utilizing platinum resistance may produce errors because of the environment influence and measurement methodology [30], [31]. In order to lower the errors of temperature sensor, we discuss and analyze the measurement methodology herein.

The accuracy, reliability and consistency of the sensor are unavoidable because of manufacturing error. Besides, the non-linear characteristics of platinum resistance, wires of sensors, lead resistances, quantization error [32] and the other errors associated with thermoelectric effects and amplifier offsets may have an influence on measurement results. A. NON-LINEAR CHARACTERISTICS OF PLATINUM RESISTANCE

The general function for the industrial platinum resistance is defined as [33]:

\[
R_t = R_0[1 + at + bt^2 + c(t - 100)rt^3](-200°C < t < 0°C) \tag{1}
\]

\[
R_t = R_0[1 + at + bt^2](0°C < t < 850°C) \tag{2}
\]

where,

- \(t\): temperature to be measured;
- \(R_t\): resistance at temperature \(t\);
- \(R_0 = 25.5359\), resistance at 0°C or 0.001°C;
- \(a = 3.9083 \times 10.3\);
- \(b = -5.775 \times 10.7\);
- \(c = -4.183 \times 10.12\).

It is clear that platinum resistance itself has non-linear characteristics. As the coefficients \(b\) and \(c\) are very small (10^{-7} and 10^{-12}), they are omitted in many applications.

Small temperature range and low accuracy of measurement. The simplified linear equation (it is called cut-off linearity equation) is given by:

\[
R_t = R_0[1 + at](0°C < t < 850°C) \tag{3}
\]

Software or hardware methods are often used to compensate for the non-linear characteristics of platinum resistance. The measurement accuracy will be seriously lowered when platinum resistance is used as a linear element. The computing result of platinum resistance with non-linear characteristics at the range of 0°C~300°C is shown in Fig.1.

In this Figure, line 1 in blue is the computing result of platinum resistance by the equation (2). Line 2 in green is the result of platinum resistance with the simplified linear characteristics by equation (3). There is a deviation between
line 1 and line 2. The maximum error of about 13°C occurs at 300°C.

**B. NON-LINEAR CHARACTERISTICS OF SIGNAL CONDITIONING CIRCUIT**

The platinum resistance temperature sensor transforms temperature change into that of resistance. Then the change of resistance is transformed into a proportional voltage or current variation by a signal conditioning circuit. In addition, the conditioning circuit will be responsible for the span and bring the nonlinear error to the measurement. There are two types of conditioning circuit which are constant voltage source and constant current source.

Constant voltage source based conditioning circuit is illustrated in Fig.2.

Pt is a platinum resistance of which value will change with temperature. A 3-wire measurement is connected in this diagram to eliminate the influence of lead wire resistances r. For simplification, it is assumed that r = 0. In the circuit, the bridge has a constant voltage +Ec power supply. The output of the constant voltage circuit bridge is derived as follows:

$$\Delta u = u_a - u_b = \left(\frac{P_t}{P_t + R_1}\right)E_c - \left(\frac{R_3}{R_2 + R_3}\right)E_c$$

$$= \frac{P_t R_2 - R_1 R_3}{(P_t + R_1)(R_2 + R_3)}E_c$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

**C. DRIFTS AND FLUCTUATIONS OF RESISTANCE**

The temperature signals acquired by resistance will go through a signal conditioning circuit, an amplifier and an analog to digital (A/D) converter. The characteristics of various electronic devices may change with time and temperature. Thus inaccuracy and uncertainty of temperature measurement will be caused by the drift [35], [36] and/or fluctuation. The measurement results are frequently compensated and calibrated by means of resistance’s zero point output, thermal zero shift, full-scale output etc. Also the measurement errors may include other factors such as thermoelectric effects, amplifier offsets and self heating of the resistance.

**D. QUANTIZATION ERROR**

The quantization error [37], [38] in the measurement is unavoidably caused by the digital methodology. The error is mainly from A/D converting and signal processing. It is one of the factors which may reduce the measurement accuracy.

**III. METHODOLOGIES**

**A. A CONTROLLABLE DCCS BASED MEASUREMENT**

It is mentioned previously that the measurement is influenced by lead resistances in constant voltage source based
conditioning circuit with 3-wire measurements. This is because that the lead resistance is eliminated on condition of the same current flowing on two bridge arms. However it is difficult to keep the same current on two bridge arms in constant voltage current conditioning circuit. Once the temperature changes, the current will vary with the platinum resistance. Therefore the measurement accuracy is reduced.

Within this work we proposed a controllable DCCS method using 3-wire measurements to eliminate the influence of lead resistances and drifts. The 3-wire measurements diagram is shown in Fig.2. Resistance $r$ is the lead resistance. Three wires are with the same characteristics, sizes and the same resistances value $r$. The platinum resistance $Pt$ is 100 ohms when the measured temperature is $0^\circ$C. The resistance $R3$ is equal to 100 ohms. Usually $R1$ is equal to $R2$, the two bridge arms have the same current. As a result, the voltage difference delta $U$ between $Ua$ and $Ub$ is zero.

The proposed framework of two bridge arms powered by controllable dual constant current source is shown in Fig.4. In order to eliminate the effect lead resistance, two bridge arms are powered by two constant current sources $IS1$ and $IS2$ respectively. In order to keep the current on two bridge arms to be equal, the $IS1$ and $IS2$ are controllable. The basic characteristics of the constant current source is that the output current $IS1$ and $IS2$ are kept constant when the load changes. The Pt100 platinum resistance will change with the temperature changes. As two constant current sources $IS1$ and $IS2$ are kept same, the current flowing through the bridge arm will not change. Therefore it is able to eliminate effect of platinum resistance on the measuring accuracy caused by lead resistance.

The output $\Delta U$ of the bridge is derived by Eq.(6):

$$\Delta U = PtIS1 - R1IS2$$

Assuming $IS1 = IS2 = IS$, we have:

$$\Delta U = (Pt - R1)IS$$

It is a linear output of bridge according to Eq.(8). By means of this method, the error created by non-linearity is eliminated. Actually the constant current is set to 1mA, which is benefit for reducing the influence of temperature drift caused by current self-heating of the platinum resistance. The controllable dual constant current source design framework is shown in Fig.5.

In this figure, two constant currents are decided by two outputs voltage of DA1 and DA2 converter respectively which are controlled by a micro computer. The two outputs $IS1$ and $IS2$ of constant current sources flow through bridge arms constructed by $R1$, $R2$, Pt100 and R under the control of multiplexer MUL1. The voltage difference of bridge and voltage of $R$ are input to a differential amplifier controlled by multiplexer MUL2. The output of AD converter is received by a micor computer and is used to compute the result of measured temperature. Besides, the output of AD converter rectifies the tow constant current sources outputs which are kept the same to 1mA by DA1 and DA2.

If $IS1$ is not equal to $IS2$, the micro computer will drive D/A converter to output a new value to amplifier to keep the equal output. Hence the voltage on lead resistance of platinum resistance is invariable. In this way, the output currents of two constant current sources are controlled and maintained to 1mA by the micro computer.

The conventional Pt100 thermometer with 3-wire measurement can only compensate the lead resistance of the Pt100 at a specific temperature (for example $0^\circ$C). Once the temperature changes, the currents flowing through two bridge arms will not be equal if the resistance of the Pt100 changes. The Pt100 lead resistance may lower measurement accuracy due to incomplete compensation. In this case it is difficult to achieve a high accuracy measurement. The developed temperature sensor is shown in Fig.6.

**B. PIECEWISE LINEAR APPROXIMATION MODEL**

The defined function for the industrial platinum resistance belongs to a non-linear model. In industry practice, the equation of platinum resistance is commomly linearized to computer processing. The linearization is often achieved near inflection points in the temperature response curve by an approximation function. A piecewise linear approximation model connects a number of linear segment functions to
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better approximate the nonlinear platinum resistance transfer function. The linearization is that make resistance value as a linear function of temperature. The linearization model may lower the accuracy of measurement result compared with the original non-linear model. Three methods including feedback method, function transform method and function approach method are popular for calibrating the nonlinearity of platinum resistance. With these methods, the measurement result of sensor is calibrated and transformed to digital result. In this paper, we employ a typical piecewise linear approximation model for reducing the measurement error in accordance with the application requirements. The utilization of piecewise linear approximation model is illustrated in Fig.7. Curve A is the nonlinear function of platinum resistance. Line B is the ideal linearization model. Pt0, Pt2, Ptm are platinum resistances at temperature of t0, t2 and tm, respectively.

It is assumed that the measured temperature range is from lowerT to upperT. A linearization function is set to approximate the response curve of platinum resistance from lowerT to upperT. In the response curve, the resistance ptm of middle point temperature tm is computed to find the corresponding temperature t1 in linearization function B. These points are computed as follows:

\[ P(t_2) = 100^8(1 + c_1^* t_2 + c_2^* t_2^2) \]
\[ t_m = t_0 + (t_2 - t_0)/2 \]
\[ P(t_m) = P(t_0) + (P(t_2) - P(t_0))/2 \]
\[ t_1 = (t_2 - t_0)/(P(t_2) - P(t_0))*P(t_m) - P(t_0) + t_0 \]

If the difference between tm and t1 is higher than desired error value (ERR), the upperT is lowered in response curve A and a new linearization function C is setup. Each time the gap of lowered upperT is called a step. The approaching is put forward step by step. When the searching point arrived the final point upperT, piecewise linear approximation completes. The flow chart of piecewise linear approximation model is illustrated in Fig.8.

**IV. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS**

The measurement technique has been calibrated using 4 thermometers. Water is used as a measured object in the experiment because of its large heat capacity and slow change of temperature, which can reduce the error produced by the reading time difference. As we know that standard thermometers are extremely delicate instruments; shock, vibration or
any acceleration that causes the wire to flex will strain the wire and change its resistance. In this work, the temperature of ice-water mixture in a water tank is measured from 0°C to heated to boiling 100°C by using a Standard Mercury-in-Glass Thermometer (Grade I) (SMGT-I) as the standard calibrated thermometer A with an rated nominal accuracy of 0.01°C. A digital thermometer C with nominal accuracy of 0.1°C purchased from the market is used as a contrastive sample. A conventional Pt100 thermometer D with 3-wire measurement is designed in this work, which is comparied with a controllable DCCS thermometer B. In order to investigate the temperature and thermal effects, all sensors in the experiments were exposed to an open environment in the research laboratory (average room temperature: 25.5±0.5°C; average relative humidity: 44±3% RH; under a standard pressure of 101.325 Pa). In the heating process from 0°C to 100°C, both thermometer A, C and the designed temperature measurement sensor B, D are placed into the water tank. The sensing part of each sensors is put into the water tank so as to sense the temperature directly and accurately. The distance of four sensors in the water tank is less than 5cm, in order to minimize the measurement error. The water was heated by an electric water heater from outside the tank, as shown in Fig.9. In order to make the water has the same temperature in the tank, the water is perfectly stirred. Notice that the fixed point water in triple point of water is prepared by means of liquid-nitrogen as coolant. The measurement results of sensors are compared with A to compute the measurement error.

The ice water mixture is slowly heated to boiling with total time of about 30min. This was done very slowly, so that the temperature in the probe volume of sensors was the same as that measured by 4 thermometers. The readings of 4 thermometers A, B, C and D are recorded. The liquid is stirred at a constant speed to ensure that the ice water mixture is evenly heated. Repeating 10 times, the average of each reading is recorded, and then calculate the error between A and B,C,D respectively. We choose 6 tested points from 0°C to 100°C. The accuracy of the developed sensor B in this work is evaluated by two major indicators: The Average Error (AE) and the Mean squared Error (MSE) [39]. The average error is the average absolute value error between measured and real temperature of six testing points chosen from 0°C to 100°C. The average error AE is given as follows:

$$AE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |a_i - f_i|$$

(13)

MSE gives an overall idea of the errors which occurred during forecasting and measures the average squared deviation of predicted values from the real data. Its mathematical expression is as follows:

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (a_i - f_i)^2$$

(14)

where $a_i$ corresponds to the real temperature value at point i, $f_i$ represents the measured value and n is the total number of points constituting the temperature series.

The experimental results are shown in Table.1.

In order to clearly reveal the error of proposed measurement system B in this paper, a comparison is listed. The readings of A,B and C are listed and the errors of them are given. It can be seen that the errors of the sensor B proposed in this paper is much lower than that of the sensor C purchased from the market. Moreover, Table.1 shows a comparison between a common commercial sensor measured and real temperature and it is shown that the measured temperature of B is much closer to the real temperature. In this way, the errors of B and D are also computed and listed.

According to Table.1, the AE of B is 0.14, which is much lower than that of C (0.46). The MSE of B and C is 0.0203 and 0.2411, respectively. The measurement errors of B and C, B and D are shown in Fig.10.

This figure shows the curve of the measurement errors of B and C. Notice that the AE error of B is clearly smaller and more stable than that of C.

The measurement errors of conventional Pt100 thermometer D is also shown in Fig.10. From this figure, we can see that the measurement error of B is smaller and more stable than that of D.

This may be caused by the effects that both the platinum resistance and lead resistance will change with temperature, which make the current changed on two arms of a conventional 3-wire measurement. The current flowing through the arms of conventional 3-wire measurement is powered by a constant DC and is not controllable, which is mentioned in subsection 2.2. The conventional 3-wire connection method

![FIGURE 9. Four kinds of thermometer A, B, C and D.](Image)

| Table 1. Measurement results of A, B and C. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| N o | Readings of B(°C) | Readings of C(°C) | Readings of A°C | Errors of A and C(°C) | Errors of A and B(°C) |
| 1 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.16 |
| 2 | 20.12 | 19.26 | 20.00 | 0.74 | 0.12 |
| 3 | 40.13 | 40.61 | 40.00 | 0.61 | 0.13 |
| 4 | 49.88 | 50.33 | 50.00 | 0.33 | 0.12 |
| 5 | 80.12 | 80.42 | 80.00 | 0.42 | 0.12 |
| 6 | 98.76 | 99.01 | 98.57 | 0.44 | 0.19 |
can not completely compensate for effect of the lead resistance in the full temperature range [7]. The temperature change may lead to zero point and full range drifting. These drifts have a great influence on the accuracy of temperature sensor.

Furthermore, as mentioned in Fig.2, the conventional constant-voltage conditioning circuit will bring nonlinear error to Pt 100 sensor. In order to avoid the temperature rising caused by the bridge arm current flowing through PT100 and lower the temperature measurement accuracy in most PT100 based temperature measurement applications, the current flowing through the bridge arm should be strictly limited. In this work, it is less than 5mA. The resistance values of R1 and R2 in figure 2 usually reach thousands of ohms. To ensure that when the temperature is 0°C and the bridge output is 0V, the value of R3 is determined as 100 ohms. For the simplified design, the relationship between the simplified bridge output and PT100 resistance is linear, which ignores the non-linear error caused by the conditioning current of Pt100 sensor. The temperature accuracy of the constant-voltage PT100 temperature conditioning circuit is difficult to reach ±0.1°C. In view of the non-linear error caused by the constant-voltage 3-wire conditioning circuit of Pt100 temperature sensor, a new constant-current conditioning circuit without non-linear error is proposed. We have made some experiments of proposed new constant-current 3-wire sensor B which are compared with the conventional constant-voltage and 3-wire sensor D. The experimental results is shown in the Table 2.

According to this table, we can see that the average measurement errors of B is much less than that of D. It means that the DCCS based thermometer B can effectively avoid the temperature rising caused by the bridge arm current flowing through PT100. Besides, thermometer B is able to reduce the non-linear error caused by the constant-voltage 3-wire conditioning circuit of Pt100 temperature sensor D. So the measurement accuracy can be improved.

In the experiment, a piecewise linear approximation model is proposed to reduce the error. The linear approaching functions from 0°C to 100°C are computed and listed as Table.2.

According to Table 3, we can see that the temperature function is divided into 3 segmentations from 0°C to 100°C. Approaching functions are different with the temperature range of 36°C, 72°C, 100°C, respectively. Each approaching function is given in light of measurement accuracy which is ±0.1°C.

The computed results of the simplified cut off linearity equation (L) and piecewise linear approximation model (PLA) are listed in Table 4. In this table, it is shown that the errors of L become higher with the temperature rising. The maximum error is 1.5304°C at 100°C. On the contrary, the errors of PLA are very small in comparison with L and are fallen within the range of ±0.1°C. The maximum errors are located in the middle of three approaching functions which are 18°C, 54°C and 86°C, respectively.

The error comparison of L and PLA is clearly shown in Fig.11.

To estimate the accuracy of DCCS based sensors, we conduct the experiment which compares the sensor of ordinary constant voltage source based conditioning circuit and 3-wire measurements with the sensor employing DCCS. As is mentioned previously that the measurement accuracy may be decreased by lead resistances in constant voltage source based conditioning circuit with 3-wire measurements. We use an ordinary constant voltage source sensor Sa and a DCCS based sensor Sd as two samples. Both sensors’ measurement results
are compared with a Standard Mercury-in-Glass Thermometer (Grade I) and the same experiment condition with that of in Fig.9. The results and the measurement uncertainties are addressed in Fig.12.

This developed DCCS based sensor is applied in a resonant QCTF detector based wavelength modulation spectroscopy. A schematic diagram of the experimental setup is presented in Figure 13. A fiber-coupled distributed feedback (DFB) diode laser emitting at 1653 nm is used as the excitation light source for targeting the R3 of the 2ν3 band of CH4 near 6046.95 cm⁻¹. The laser beam is collimated by a fiber collimator and directed to a single pass glass absorption cell with a length of 20 cm. The gas cell is equipped with a DCCS based temperature sensor and a pressure gauge and two CaF2 windows with apertures of 25 mm for entrance and exit of the laser beam. After transmitted from the gas cell, the laser light was focused on the QCTF detector by an optical lens (CaF2, focal length f = 25 cm).

To verify the linear concentration response of the sensor system, a series of CH4 samples were made and the measured WMS-2f spectra with different CH4 mixing ratio are shown in Figure 14. The measured 2f signal peak amplitude as a function of the CH4 concentration and the linear fit are shown in Figure 15. Linear regression leads to a regression coefficient R2 of 0.999 was obtained, the good linearity shows a good agreement with the theoretical expectation.

V. CONCLUSION

It is demonstrated a high accuracy temperature measurement method implemented by a dual constant current source based conditioning circuit. The DCCS is used as a standard
power source in order to compensate the lead resistance and temperature drift of sensors, which is difficult to be achieved by conventional constant-voltage 3-wire measurements. A piecewise linear approximation model is employed for calibration of measurement and reducing the measurement error in advanced industry applications such as trace gas detection by laser absorption spectroscopy. The proposed DCCS sensor, which uses a dual constant current conditioning circuit, is able to eliminate the non-linear error caused by the conventional constant-voltage conditioning circuit of Pt100 temperature sensor. Therefore, the proposed precise temperature measurements can be performed in a much wider temperature range by DCCS and piecewise linear approximation model, instead of 4-wire measurements. Based on the present study, it can be expected that the method could extended to other measurements such as pressure, so that the applicability of the technique could be further improved in the future. It is also demonstrated by experiments with 4 samples that this technique can improve the measurement accuracy to ±0.1°C FS within the range of 0°C to 100°C. By using piecewise linear approximation model, the calibration of measurements is evaluated and validated.
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