Collaboration of MLLT1/ENL, Polycomb and ATM for transcription and genome integrity
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\textbf{SUMMARY}
Polycomb group (PcG) repress, whereas Trithorax group (TrxG) activate transcription for tissue development and cellular proliferation, and misregulation of these factors is often associated with cancer. ENL (MLLT1) and AF9 (MLLT3) are fusion partners of Mixed Lineage Leukemia (MLL), TrxG proteins, and are factors in Super Elongation Complex (SEC). SEC controls transcriptional elongation to release RNA polymerase II, paused around transcription start site. In MLL rearranged leukemia, several components of SEC have been found as MLL-fusion partners and the control of transcriptional elongation is misregulated leading to tumorigenesis in MLL-SEC fused Leukemia. It has been suggested that unexpected collaboration of ENL/AF9-MLL and PcG are involved in tumorigenesis in leukemia. Recently, we found that the collaboration of ENL/AF9 and PcG led to a novel mechanism of transcriptional switch from elongation to repression under ATM-signaling for genome integrity. Activated ATM phosphorylates ENL/AF9 in SEC, and the phosphorylated ENL/AF9 binds BMI1 and RING1B, a heterodimeric E3-ubiquitin-ligase complex in Polycomb Repressive complex 1 (PRC1), and recruits PRC1 at transcriptional elongation sites to rapidly repress transcription. The ENL/AF9 in SEC- and PcG-mediated transcriptional repression promotes DSB repair near transcription sites. The implication of this is that the collaboration of ENL/AF9 in SEC and PcG ensures a rapid response of transcriptional switching from elongation to repression to neighboring genotoxic stresses for DSB repair. Therefore, these results suggested that the collaboration of ENL/AF9 and PcG in transcriptional control is required to maintain genome integrity and may be link to the MLL-ENL/AF9 leukemia.
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\section*{ENL/AF9 in elongation and PcG in repression}

ENL (MLLT1) protein and its paralog AF9 (MLLT3) are fusion partners of the mixed-lineage leukemia (MLL) protein,\textsuperscript{21,28,34,51} and are components of the transcriptional Super Elongation Complex (SEC).\textsuperscript{14,21,23,44,55,58} In SEC, ENL or AF9 (ENL/AF9) have been reported to connect SEC with other transcriptional factors and to regulate transcriptional elongation.\textsuperscript{21,23,58} ENL/AF9 has a YEATS-domain in the N-terminus and an ANC1 homology domain (AHD) in the C-terminus. The YEATS domain binds directly with histone and PAF1, a component of the PAF complex, suggesting that ENL/AF9 delivers SEC to Pol II on a chromatin template through the PAF complex, and that SEC and PAF complexes cooperatively promote transcriptional elongation.\textsuperscript{14,21,23,57,58} The AHD of ENL/AF9 directly binds with factors in transcriptional activation, DOT1L (a histone methyl transferase that promotes transcription) and AF4 (another component of SEC), and CBX8 (a factor in PcG).\textsuperscript{11,14,15,20,23,24,28,42,44,48,55,57,58} Structural analysis revealed that AHD might allow AF9 to exchange between binding partners in response to changes in local concentrations and post-transcriptional modifications, suggesting that ENL/AF9 may be essential to dynamic transcriptional control.\textsuperscript{20}

On the other hand, PcG repress transcription.\textsuperscript{27,46} Two core complexes of PcG, PRC1 and PRC2, are thought to mainly work cooperatively; PRC2 methylates histone (H3-K27me3) and this methylation recruits PRC1 onto chromatin leading to the ubiquitination of histone H2A to block initiation and elongation of RNA Polymerase II-mediated transcription.\textsuperscript{4,6,9,35,36,40,41,47,53}
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**PcG in DNA double strand break (DSB) repair and genome integrity**

Several recent reports have shown that PcG proteins are also involved in DSB repair. Factors of PRC2, EZH2 and SUZ12 are recruited at Laser-induced DSB sites and Fok1-nuclease induced DSB sites. The H3-K27me3 increased at the sites of DSB, and ubiquitination of H2A (H2A-K119ub) at DSB sites depends on both PRC1 and PRC2, suggesting that PRC2 is involved in the recruitment of PRC1 at DSB sites. Whereas other groups reported that H3-K27me3 at the sites of DSB did not increase, and it is consistent with other finding that PRC1 did not require PRC2 activity to be recruited to DSB sites. Therefore, the relationship between PRC1 and PRC2 in DSB repair remains elusive.

PRC1 is also involved in DSB repair. The factors PRC1, BMI1 and RING1B, are recruited at DSB sites, and the knockdown of BMI1 showed the sensitivity to IR and impaired DSB repair. BMI1 and RING1B are required for Non-Homologous End Joining (NHEJ) and Homologous Recombination (HR) in DSB repair.

**Transcriptional silencing by ATM and PcG in response to DSBs**

The relationship between transcription and DNA repair has been most studied in transcription-coupled DNA repair (TCR); UV damage on the transcribed DNA template is removed by nucleotide excision repair (NER) in a transcription-coupled manner. While UV damage leads to stalling of RNA Polymerase II and recruitment of DNA repair proteins and induces TCR, DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) lead to ATM or DNA-PKcs-dependent transcriptional repression. In TCR, Polymerase II stalled by DNA damage in gene body results in backtrack ing and/or removal by proteasome. Furthermore, The chromatin remodeling activity and ATM-mediated phosphorylation of PBAF complex were reported to be required for transcriptional silencing and ubiquitination of K119/120-H2A in response to DSBs.

Collaboration between ENL/AF9 and PcG in transcriptional repression under ATM-signaling

The mechanism of transcriptional repression by PRC1 in response to DSBs was revealed through the study of the interaction between PRC1 and ENL/AF9. ENL/AF9 is a transcriptional activation factor that binds transcriptional activation sites and promotes transcription, but PRC1 does not, indicating that these factors have different functions in transcriptional activation.
In response to DSBs, ENL/AF9 in SEC was phosphorylated by ATM, the master kinase of the DSB response,\(^3\) and this phosphorylation increases the interaction between ENL in SEC and BMI1/RING1B in PRC1. The phosphorylated ENL in SEC recruits PRC1 at transcriptional elongation sites leading to the ubiquitination of H2A and results in transcriptional repression (Fig. 1B, II). Therefore, this mechanism enables PRC1 to halt, rapidly and transiently, mobile Pol II at transcriptional elongation sites in response to cellular emergency signaling, such as ATM-signaling (Fig. 1B). This mechanism would have benefits for smoothly restarting paused RNA Polymerase II-mediated elongation after the end of the cellular signaling. We showed that ENL phosphorylation induces a charge alteration and/or conformational change in SEC and enables its interaction with BMI1 in PRC1 without influencing other binding partners of SEC. After a decrease in kinase activity of ATM by completion of DNA repair, dephosphorylation of ENL in SEC may release PRC1 at elongation sites. This would lead to de-ubiquitination of ubiquitinated H2A on the template around paused RNA Polymerase II by USP16, as previously reported,\(^37\) and thus, RNA Polymerase II on the template could restart smoothly at the elongation sites with SEC. Therefore, this SEC-mediated transcriptional control may ensure a rapid “off and on” state of the transcriptional machinery in cellular signaling.

Mutations of ENL or BAF180 that led to inability to repress transcription in response to DSBs resulted in cellular sensitivity to IR, suggesting that a defect in transcriptional repression inhibits DSB repair\(^18,52\) (Fig. 1A). Additionally, the recruitment of KU70 proteins at DSB sites was reduced in the ENL mutation.\(^52\) Therefore, it is suggested that ATM-controlled transcriptional repression mediated by SEC and PRC1 enables DSB repair proteins to access to DSB sites and promotes DSB repair.\(^18,52\) It seems that ATM may maintain genome stability by regulating SEC-mediated elongation and PRC1-mediated repression to promote DSB repair instead of transcription for genome stability.

**ENL/AF9 in SEC is a key factor controlling both elongation and repression**

Whereas ENL/AF9 has been reported to interact with transcriptional elongation factors, such as PAF1, Dot1L and other SEC factors to promote transcriptional elongation, recently we found that ENL/AF9 interacts with transcriptional repressive factors, BMI1 and RING1B in PcG to repress transcription, as described above (Figs. 1 and 2, top).\(^1,2,14,21,23,26,33,52,57\) In addition, ENL/AF9 has been reported to interact with other PcG proteins, CBX8, RING1 and PCGF1\(^11,15,28,33\) (Fig. 2, top). While the meaning of these interactions were not clear, CBX8 interacts with ENL/AF9 through their AHD domain in vivo and in vitro, and ENL/AF9 and CBX8 were found co-localized in the nuclei.\(^11,15,28\) From structural analysis, it has been proposed that AHD of ENL/AF9 might allow ENL/AF9 to exchange between binding partners in response to post-transcriptional modifications for control of transcription.\(^20\) Therefore, ENL/AF9 could change binding partners between factors in transcriptional activation and repression in response to cellular signaling, and this mechanism of changing partner may enable SEC to switch transcription rapidly between elongation and repression.

SEC containing p-TEFb is involved in rapid transcriptional induction, including induction of heat shock genes, serum-inducible genes and certain developmentally controlled genes, by phosphorylation of the RNA polymerase II CTD. This leads to release of paused RNA polymerase II from the pause sites and switches transcriptional elongation to the “on” state.\(^23,42,58\) During elongation, the phosphorylation of ENL/AF9 in SEC by ATM recruits PRC1 at transcriptional elongation sites through ENL/AF9, and this leads SEC-mediated rapid transcriptional pausing, the “off” state.\(^52\) Therefore, SEC may switch transcription rapidly “on and off” state by controlling the phosphorylation of RNA polymerase II and the recruitment of PRC1. ENL/AF9 in SEC would be key to controlling this repression mechanism, in response to cellular signaling.

DSBs are thought to arise not only by DNA damage (UV, IR and environmental materials) but by proliferation and senescence (arising from the misregulation of DNA replication, transcription and chromosomal segregation). In addition to DSBs, other DNA damage and DNA replication stress induce activation of ATR-signaling and/or DNA-PKcs-signaling. ATR and DNA-PKcs phosphorylate at the same SQ sites as ATM,\(^39\) thus the phosphorylation of ENL/AF9 may arise from ATR and DNA-PKcs leading to increase in the interaction with PRC1 and promotion of repression, in response to other cellular events in addition to DSBs. Furthermore, ENL/AF9 would have other modifications that leads to change transcriptional binding partners for rapid “on and off” state mediated by SEC.
There may be more other mechanisms to discover about the control of transcription by SEC.

**Collaboration between MLL-ENL/AF9 oncoproteins and PcG in leukemia**

Several frequent translocation partners in MLL have been found in SEC.\textsuperscript{21,23,58} The SEC-mediated transcriptional elongation is disrupted in MLL-SEC rearranged leukemia, suggesting that misregulation of this elongation stage of transcription often causes leukemia.\textsuperscript{14,21-23,44,55,58} MLL-ENL/AF9 oncoproteins are recruited to the normal target genes of MLL, leading to the rest of the SEC recruitment and, therefore, to premature activation of transcriptional elongation\textsuperscript{21,28,34,51} (Fig. 2, bottom).

**Figure 1.** (A) Link between DNA damage and transcription, and pathway of DSB-induced transcriptional repression. (Left) UV damage-stalled RNA Polymerase II recruits NER proteins and induces transcription coupled repair (TCR). (Right) DSBs activate ATM and/or DNA-PKcs and promote DSB-induced transcriptional repression and DSB repair. Under the ATM-signaling, PBAF, RNF8/RNF168 and ENL/PRC1 were reportedly involved in DSB-induced transcriptional repression. (B) Models of collaboration between ENL/AF9 and PRC1 in DSB-induced transcriptional repression. I) During transcriptional activation, ENL/AF9 in SEC promotes transcriptional elongation. II) When DSB(s) is introduced near transcriptional sites, ATM phosphorylates ENL/AF9 at evolutionarily well-conserved SQ-sites. This phosphorylation of ENL/AF9 recruits PRC1 at transcription-sites through BMI1 to promote ubiquitination of H2A and transcriptional repression. Thus, ENL/AF9 in SEC would be key factors for the switch of transcriptional elongation to rapid repression, in response to cellular signaling. Dot-line indicates that it is not clear how these factors repress transcription.
Surprisingly, unexpected collaborations between MLL-ENL/AF9 Leukemia and PcG have been reported (Fig. 2, bottom). MLL-AF9 collaborates with BMI1, a factor in Polycomb repressive complex 1 (PRC1), and overcomes senescence for the development of leukemic stem cells. CBX8, a protein in PRC1, interacts with MLL-fused oncoprotein, MLL-ENL/AF9, and this interaction causes leukemia by promoting abnormally activated transcription. It is not clear whether the interaction between CBX8 and MLL-ENL/AF9 recruits Tip60 at transcription sites or inhibits PRC1 function. Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) is also required for MLL-AF9 leukemia (Fig. 2, bottom). It is poorly understood how these factors, MLL-ENL/AF9 and PcG, collaborate during tumorigenesis. This unexpected collaboration may arise from inappropriate MLL-ENL/AF9 and PcG activity, such as mutational activity and alteration of expression levels, which predisposes to cancer. Alternatively, uncovered novel functional collaboration between ENL/AF9 and PcG in transcriptional regulation would cause tumorigenesis in MLL-ENL/AF9 Leukemia.

**Conclusion and future perspectives**

ATM controls transcriptional switches from SEC-mediated elongation to PRC1-mediated repression by regulated collaboration between ENL/AF9 in SEC and E3-ubiquitin ligase of PRC1. ATM may also control other factors involved in transcriptional repression, such as other subunits of PRC1, PRC2, RNF8, RNF168 and PBAF, in response to DSBs. It remains unclear how RNF8, RNF168 and PBAF regulate ubiquitination of H2A for repression, and the relationship between PRC1 and PRC2 in the repression. Further studies on how these factors regulate DSB-induced transcriptional repression under ATM-signaling remain important.

We are only just beginning to understand the mechanism of functional collaboration between ENL/AF9 and PcG for SEC-mediated rapid control of transcription, “on and off” state, in response to cellular signaling. Therefore, further investigation into the mechanisms of collaboration between ENL/AF9 and PcG will provide new insights into the system of transcriptional control by SEC, and possibly into ENL/AF9-MLL rearranged Leukemia.
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