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Abstract

Background: Neighborhood environments affect children's health outcomes. Observational methods used to assess neighborhoods can be categorized as indirect, intermediate, or direct. Direct methods, involving in-person audits of the neighborhoods conducted by trained observers, are recognized as an accurate representation of current neighborhood conditions. The authors investigated the associations of various neighborhood characteristics with young girls' diet and physical activity.

Methods: This study is based on a subset of participants in the Cohort Study of Young Girls' Nutrition, Environment and Transitions (CYGNET). In-person street audits were conducted within 215 girls’ residential neighborhoods using a modified St. Louis Audit Tool. From the street audit data, exploratory factor analysis revealed five neighborhood scales: “mixed residential and commercial,” “food and retail,” “recreation,” “walkability,” and “physical disorder.” A Neighborhood Deprivation Index was also derived from census data. The authors investigated if the five neighborhood scales and the Neighborhood Deprivation Index were associated with quartiles of total energy intake and expenditure (metabolic equivalent (MET) hours/week) at baseline, and whether any of these associations were modified by race/ethnicity.

Results: After adjustment for demographic characteristics, there was an inverse association between prevalence of “food and retail” destinations and total energy intake (for a one quartile increase, OR = 0.84, 95% CI 0.74, 0.96). Positive associations were also observed between the “recreation” and “walkability” scales with physical activity among Hispanic/Latina girls (for a one quartile increase in MET, OR = 1.94, 95% CI 1.31, 2.88 for recreation; OR = 1.71, 95% CI 1.11, 2.63 for walkability). Among African-American girls, there was an inverse association between “physical disorder” and physical activity (OR = 0.31, 95% CI 0.12, 0.80).

Conclusions: These results suggest that neighborhood food and retail availability may be inversely associated with young girls’ energy intakes in contrast to other studies’ findings that focused on adults. There is considerable variation in neighborhoods’ influences on young girls’ physical activity behaviors, particularly for young girls of different racial/ethnic backgrounds.

Background

Neighborhoods are geographic and social units that can have profound effects on health outcomes. For children, neighborhoods can encompass the schools they attend, the grocery stores their families patronize, the streets and roadways they travel on, and the other children and adults with whom they interact. These components also help to define different sub-neighborhood environments, such as the physical activity environment, the consumer environment, or the "information environment," [1] each of which may have different effects on children's health.

Previous studies have shown that neighborhood characteristics are associated with children's health outcomes, including low birth weight [2-4], childhood lead poisoning [5], asthma [6-9], pedestrian fatalities [10], and cognitive development [11,12]. As rates of childhood obesity have increased, researchers have focused on how certain...
neighborhood characteristics may affect children’s diet and physical activity.

Observational methods used to assess the neighborhood environment can be indirect, intermediate, and direct [13]. Indirect methods involve combining census or geographic information systems data to create indices of neighborhood/area deprivation, such as the Neighborhood Deprivation Index [14], Townsend Material Development Score [15], or the Carstairs Deprivation Index [16]. Intermediate measures include using aerial photography or telephone book yellow pages to determine regional land-use and neighborhood composition [17]. Direct methods involve in-person audits of the neighborhood conducted by trained observers. Direct methods may capture elements missing from indirect or intermediate methods, such as sidewalk width or landscape maintenance [18], and unlike other methods, are not limited by databases that can have out-of-date information [13].

Davison and Lawson [19] reviewed 33 studies about neighborhood environments and children’s physical activity. Access to recreational facilities and schools, presence of sidewalks, access to destinations and public transportation, were each associated with increased physical activity in children. Conversely, higher crime rates, physical disorder (e.g. presence of graffiti or empty beer bottles) and area deprivation (e.g. rates of car ownership, unemployment and crowding) were all negatively associated with children’s physical activity. Neighborhood effects on children’s diet have been less pronounced. Burdette and Whitaker [20] found no association between proximity to fast food restaurants and childhood obesity. Other evidence [21-23] has shown that school-based interventions can promote children’s consumption of fruits and vegetables. However, most of the previously mentioned studies have used indirect or intermediate measures of the neighborhood environment. Few studies have examined the association between neighborhood characteristics and total energy intake, especially among younger children.

In addition, race/ethnicity may act as an effect modifier in the associations between the neighborhood environment and total energy intake and expenditure. Previous studies have already reported disparities in the local food environment. Individuals residing in neighborhoods with predominantly White residents generally have more access to chain supermarkets, fruit and vegetable markets, and bakeries than individuals residing in neighborhoods with predominantly low-income or minority residents [24,25]. A study from the Youth Risk Behavior Survey [26] found that living in neighborhoods with high proportions of Hispanic residents was associated with more healthful dietary habits while living in low socioeconomic neighborhoods was associated with poorer dietary habits among adolescents. Another study of eighth-grade girls in South Carolina [27], found that White girls reported greater access to sports equipment, ability to walk safely in the neighborhood, and self-efficacy for physical activity than African-American girls.

In this study, we examined associations between neighborhood characteristics and energy intake and expenditure among young girls. We conducted in-person audits of the geographic neighborhoods in which these young girls resided, and used a factor analysis approach with these audit data to develop neighborhood scales. These scales and a combined Neighborhood Deprivation Index derived from census data were examined in association with measures of total energy intake and energy expenditure. Lastly, we examined whether these associations were modified by race/ethnicity.

Materials and methods
Study participants
This study is based on a subset of participants in the Cohort Study of Young Girls’ Nutrition, Environment, and Transitions (CYGNET). A project of the Bay Area Breast Cancer and the Environment Research Center, the CYGNET Study is a prospective cohort study that focuses on identifying environmental and other exposures associated with differences in age-at-onset of pubertal development [28]. The CYGNET Study began in 2005 when 444 girls were recruited from the Kaiser Permanente Northern California (KPNC) health plan membership. Participants for the CYGNET Study were identified through the KPNC Infant Cohort File, a database containing information on all live births occurring in KPNC facilities. Children were eligible for recruitment if they were female, age six or seven years at time of recruitment, current members of KPNC, residents in Marin County, San Francisco, and select East Bay Communities currently and at the time of birth, and not intending to move from the area in the near future. Exclusion criteria included having a pre-existing medical condition known to influence puberty or a psychiatric condition that could potentially limit study participation.

From June 2005 to August 2006, participants completed a baseline clinical visit where a range of clinical assessments were conducted, including collection of blood and urine samples, anthropometric measurements, and Tanner stage evaluation. Tanner stage evaluation consists of five stages of breast and pubic hair development, and is used to assess the degree of pubertal development of young girls [29]. The visit also included an interview with the primary caregiver about chemical exposures, weight changes, and residential history. Participants were informed that they would be called approximately every three months to assess food intake by a telephone 24-hour dietary recall. Dietary recalls were
conducted by trained interviewers at the Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Dietary Data Entry Center, using methods developed by the University of Minnesota Nutrition Coordinating Center. All CYGNET Study procedures were approved by the Kaiser Permanente Institutional Review Board.

Study procedures and data collection
From the CYGNET study, 215 girls were randomly selected to participate in an auxiliary study on the relation between neighborhood environments and dietary and physical activity behaviors. Direct observations of the girls’ neighborhoods were conducted using a modified version of the St. Louis Audit Tool [30], which consisted of a 68-item checklist of mostly dichotomous response categories (e.g. visible or not visible). Audit tool items fit into five categories: land use environment, transportation environment, facilities, park or playground contents, and physical disorder.

Street observers were provided a map with a circle representing a quarter-mile radius drawn around each girl’s residence. In order to preserve participant confidentiality, we have provided a sample map using an arbitrary street address to illustrate the selections of streets audited by street observers (Figure 1). Random selections of every third street segment were highlighted inside the circle. A street segment was defined as the length of the street to the nearest crossroad or major curve. Partial street segments were excluded from observation. The street observers completed one audit form for both sides of each highlighted street segment and were blinded to the addresses of the girls’ residences. The range of street segments observed per girl ranged from 1 to 32 segments, depending on the length and density of the segments within each neighborhood. A mean of 10.8 segments were observed per girl, with 2,328 street segments observed in total. To ensure accuracy, duplicate audits were conducted on 246 street segments by different observers. Thirty total discrepancies were found among 16,728 audit tool observations, yielding a 0.2% disagreement rate. The physical disorder category (i.e. whole or broken bottles, garbage or litter) contributed the majority of discrepancies noted between observers.

Neighborhood data were collected from June to November of 2007. The auxiliary study was approved by both UCSF and Kaiser Permanente’s Institutional Review Boards.
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Dependent variables

Dietary and physical activity variables were collected as part of the CYGNET Study.

**Diet**

Total energy intake was calculated from 24-hour dietary recalls collected up to four times over the course of a year and approximately three months apart. The girl and her parent or guardian most knowledgeable of the girl's diet completed the 24-hour recalls. Total energy intake was averaged over all 24-hour recalls completed in the baseline year. Girls who had completed only one 24-hour recall were excluded (n = 3). For analysis, total energy intake was categorized by quartiles with cut-points at 1365.30, 1554.19, and 1745.54 kilocalories.

**Physical activity**

Physical activity was measured in metabolic equivalents (MET), where one MET is defined as the energy requirement per kilogram of body weight per hour of activity divided by the energy requirement per kilogram of body weight at rest [31]. Data were based on a physical activity questionnaire that collected information about the number of hours per week over a one-year period that a girl participated in various physical activities, such as dance, soccer, basketball, and swimming, in the baseline year. Individual activities were converted to MET values, which contributed to each girl's total energy expenditure. Questions from the physical activity questionnaire have been previously validated in other studies [32]. For each participant, responses for these activities were combined to derive an estimate of total MET hours per week. Girls who had incomplete or missing MET hours per week were excluded (n = 6). Because the data were positively skewed, physical activity was categorized into quartiles with cut-points at 1.20, 8.33, and 16.00 MET hours per week for analysis.

**Neighborhood measures**

**Neighborhood Deprivation Index**

The Neighborhood Deprivation Index was calculated using the method described by Messer et al. [14]. The Index is an empirical summary of socioeconomic deprivation based on eight census variables: % of individuals with income in 1999 below poverty level; % of families with female headed households with no husband present and children under age 18 y; % of households with incomes under $30,000/year; % of households with public assistance income; % of people age 16 or older in civilian labor force currently unemployed; % of males in management; % of all persons age 25 or older with less than a high school degree; and, % of households with more than one person per room. Using census data, we fit a principal component analysis (PCA) to obtain the item loadings, which were used to weight each census variable's contribution to the first principal component. The component was standardized to create individual Neighborhood Deprivation Indices for census tracts and counties within the study area.

**Neighborhood scales**

Neighborhood audit data were averaged across the number of street segments observed per girl, in order to preserve the unit of observation as the individual. Because of the skewed distribution of several neighborhood items, we did not transform the neighborhood items as this would not have helped to normalize the distributions. Rather, the resulting means were dichotomized with the value 1 if the targeted attribute was visible on any observed street segment within a quarter-mile radius of the girl's residence, and 0 if not visible.

**Other covariates**

Weight was measured in kilograms, using a calibrated digital Tanita® scale TBF 300A, where participants stood without shoes or socks. Height was measured in centimeters using a fixed stadiometer. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as the ratio of weight in kilograms over the square of height in meters. BMI-for-age ≥85th percentile, using age (in months)-specific distribution standards from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, was defined as overweight and obese.

Covariates for multivariable regression analyses included the girl's age at time of clinic visit (to the nearest tenth year), race/ethnicity (Non-Hispanic White, African-American, Asian, Hispanic/Latina, "Other or Multiple"), BMI, household size, age of birth mother, and household income (< $25,000; $25,000-$49,999; $50,000-$74,999; $75,000-$99,999; ≥$100,000).

**Statistical analysis**

We estimated the tetrachoric correlation matrix of the street audit items and fit an exploratory factor analysis (EFA) with oblique rotation [33], to reduce the dimensionality of the neighborhood audit items. We chose EFA in order to identify the underlying variables contributing to the shared variance of the observed neighborhood items without a priori hypotheses of how these relationships may exist. Prior to EFA analysis, specific neighborhood item variables were removed due to insufficient variation in their distributions across the study population. Multiple EFA models were fit to obtain the number of factors with the "cleanest" structure [34]. Neighborhood item variables were removed individually if they failed to load ≥0.45 on any factor or if they had similar loadings on multiple factors. Forty neighborhood item variables were retained in the final model. We then created neighborhood scales by summing the responses of the corresponding items. The internal reliability of the neighborhood scales was assessed by calculating Cronbach's alphas.
The relationships between the Neighborhood Deprivation Index and the neighborhood scales derived from EFA were estimated by Pearson correlation coefficients. Two girls were excluded from the analytical sample due to missing Neighborhood Deprivation Indices.

Next, using ordinal logistic models, total energy intake quartiles and physical activity quartiles were regressed onto the neighborhood measures. The Neighborhood Deprivation Index and neighborhood scales were introduced separately into the model. The first model adjusted for the total number of street segments observed per girl. The second model included age, race/ethnicity, and BMI. The third model added covariates of household size, age of birth mother and household income. Girls were excluded from the third model if their household income was missing (n = 3). Fitted models were single-level models because girls were originally sampled from a singular database based on being members of KPNC at time of birth, rather than sampled from a geographic entity (i.e. a census block).

Last, we assessed whether weight status or race/ethnicity modified the associations between neighborhood measures and total energy intake and physical activity. Interaction terms corresponding to each neighborhood measure were introduced into the third model. Statistical tests were two-sided and significance was determined at P < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

**Results**

Baseline sociodemographic characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 1. The mean age was 7.4 (0.4) years. The sample was comprised of mostly non-Hispanic Whites (40.5%), followed by Hispanics/Latinas (23.3%), and African-Americans (19.1%). Mean BMI was 17.3 (3.0) kg/m², and 29.8% of girls were overweight or obese, as defined by having a BMI-for-age ≥85th percentile.

Six scales initially emerged from the EFA on the neighborhood items (see additional file 1: Neighborhood Audit Tool Items, Standardized Regression Coefficients and Raw Cronbach’s Alphas for Scales Derived From Exploratory Factor Analysis). The first scale, which we called "mixed residential and commercial," (Cronbach’s α = 0.87) was associated with 11 items, including residential properties, convenience stores, and places of worship. The second scale, called "food and retail" (α = 0.83), was comprised of 11 items, including restaurants, supermarkets, and commercial destinations. The third scale, called "recreation" (α = 0.76) was comprised of parks, playgrounds, sports/playing fields, walking trails, and "complete sports equipment." The fourth scale called "walkability" (α = 0.50) was comprised of street shoulders, curb extensions, traffic circles, street signs asking drivers to watch out for children, and playground equipment. The fifth scale, called "physical disorder" (α = 0.58) was comprised of garbage, litter or broken glass; graffiti; railroad tracks, bridge, tunnel, highway or overpass; miscellaneous government buildings; and coffee shops. Only one item, "incomplete sports equipment," loaded on a sixth scale; therefore, we eliminated this scale from subsequent analyses.

The correlations between the five neighborhood scales derived from EFA and the Neighborhood Deprivation Index derived from census information are shown in Table 2. The Neighborhood Deprivation Index was modestly positively correlated with both the "mixed residential and commercial" and "physical disorder" scales. The "mixed residential and commercial" scale was also positively correlated with "food and retail" and "physical disorder."

Table 3 presents the results of logistic regression analyses for total energy intake. After adjusting for all covariates, "food and retail" was inversely related to total energy intake, where each one-item increase on the "food and retail" scale was associated with a 16% decreased odds of consuming the next highest quartile of total energy (OR = 0.84, 95% CI 0.74, 0.96). Race/ethnicity did not modify the associations between neighborhood measures and total energy intake.

The relations between neighborhood measures and physical activity, as measured in MET hours per week, are shown in Table 4. In the first model, adjusting for total number of street segments observed per girl, there were significant inverse associations between physical activity and neighborhood deprivation (OR = 0.80, 95% CI 0.72, 0.89), "mixed residential and commercial" destinations (OR = 0.91, 95% CI 0.83, 0.99), and "physical disorder" (OR = 0.73, 95% CI 0.55, 0.96). However, these associations were attenuated after adjusting for other covariates.

Some associations between the neighborhood measures and physical activity were modified by race/ethnicity. In our sample, Asian girls were most active, averaging 16.9 MET hours per week, and Hispanic/Latina girls were least active, averaging 9.0 MET hours per week. Among Hispanic/Latina girls, both neighborhood recreation and walkability were positively associated with physical activity. Each one-item increase on the "recreation" scale was associated with a 94% increased odds of engaging in the next highest quartile of physical activity (OR = 1.94, 95% CI 1.31, 2.88). Similarly, each one-item increase on the "walkability" scale was associated with a 71% increased odds of engaging in the next highest quartile of physical activity (OR = 1.71, 95% CI 1.11, 2.63). An inverse association was also observed between "physical disorder" and physical activity among African-American girls (OR = 0.31, 95% CI 0.12, 0.80).
Discussion
This study examines the relations between neighborhood measures with total energy intake and expenditure among a sample of young girls, using both indirect and direct assessments of the neighborhood environment. While there is considerable evidence for the associations between neighborhood characteristics and health outcomes among adults, these findings are not necessarily generalizable to children. For adults, the literature suggests that living closer to supermarkets may increase fruit and vegetable intake [35,36], whereas living closer to fast-food restaurants is associated with obesity risk [37,38]. Contrary to these findings, we observed an inverse association between the prevalence of “food and retail” destinations and total energy intake among young girls. Compared to adults, children may be less influenced by environmental food availability because children usually have limited ability to purchase food without supervision. Fisher and Birch [39] found that restricting food access among young children promoted overeating when the restrictions were lifted. On the other hand, perhaps diversification of the neighborhood food environment deters energy consumption among children due to the unrestricted accessibility to assorted food options.

Our findings show crude inverse associations between physical activity and neighborhood deprivation, access to “mixed residential and commercial” destinations, and “physical disorder.” These corroborate previous studies which found similar negative associations between children’s physical activity and neighborhood violent crime [40] and physical and social disorder [41].

The significant interaction effects of race/ethnicity indicate that certain neighborhood characteristics are more strongly associated with physical activity among

| Table 1: Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics of Study Participants (n = 215) |
|-------------------------------------|-----|---|
| Age, mean (SD)                      | 7.4 (0.4) | Range: 6.5-8.1 |
| Age of birth mother, mean (SD)      | 40.0 (5.8) | Range: 22.0-54.0 |
| Height in cm, mean (SD)             | 125.0 (6.2) | Range 114.0-144.5 |
| Weight in kg, mean (SD)             | 27.2 (6.8) | Range 16.8-57.3 |
| Body mass index (BMI), mean (SD)    | 17.3 (3.0) | Range 12.2, 29.0 |
| Household size, mean (SD)           | 4.1 (1.0) | Range 2.0-8.0 |
| Total energy intake, kcals, mean (SD) | 1572.9 (316.8) | Range 810.4 - 2760.3 |
| Physical activity, MET hours/week, mean (SD) | 11.9 (14.3) | Range 0 - 80.0 |
| Race/Ethnicity                      |     |   |
| Non-Hispanic White                  | 87  | 40.5 |
| African-American                    | 41  | 19.1 |
| Asian                               | 10  | 4.7  |
| Hispanic/Latina                     | 50  | 23.3 |
| Other or Multiple                   | 27  | 12.6 |
| Overweight status (BMI-for-age ≥85th percentile) | 82 |   |
| Not overweight                      | 151 | 70.2 |
| Overweight or obese                 | 64  | 29.8 |
| Household income                    |     |   |
| < $25,000                           | 11  | 5.1  |
| $25,000 - $49,999                   | 34  | 15.8 |
| $50,000 - $74,999                   | 33  | 15.3 |
| $75,000 - $99,999                   | 43  | 20.0 |
| ≥ $100,000                          | 91  | 42.3 |
| Missing                             | 3   | 1.4  |
| MET, metabolic equivalent           |     |   |
children of different racial/ethnic groups. For example, Hispanic/Latina girls' physical activity behaviors were positively associated with neighborhood "recreation" and "walkability." "Physical disorder" was also associated with lower physical activity behaviors among African-American girls. This may result from wider variation in these scales among different racial/ethnic groups, enhancing the ability to detect associations.

A considerable strength of this study was the use of street audit data, a method generally recognized as an accurate representation of current neighborhood conditions. By using EFA, we were able to use the underlying correlation pattern of numerous street items to develop our neighborhood scales. However, the EFA model is provisional and we encourage attempts at replication. The literature for the relationship between neighborhoods and children’s dietary intake is still growing; our study suggests that neighborhood food and retail availability may influence children’s dietary behaviors differently from that of adults. In contrast, the associations we observed between neighborhood measures and children’s physical activity behaviors corroborate previous studies using different methods of neighborhood assessment.

One limitation of this study is its cross-sectional nature, which makes it difficult to infer causation. While most neighborhood studies also employ cross-sectional designs, longitudinal studies may better capture the fluidity of the neighborhood environment and how changes

| Table 2: Correlation between neighborhood deprivation and neighborhood scales (n = 213)* |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Neighborhood Deprivation Index** | **Scales derived from Exploratory Factor Analysis** |
| Mixed residential and commercial | Food and retail | Recreation | Walkability | Physical disorder |
| Neighborhood deprivation score | 1.00 | | | | |
| Mixed residential and commercial | 0.51** | 1.00 | | | |
| Food and retail | 0.08 | 0.63** | 1.00 | | |
| Recreation | -0.04 | 0.19* | 0.15* | 1.00 | |
| Walkability | 0.12 | 0.37** | 0.30** | 0.56** | 1.00 |
| Physical disorder | 0.33** | 0.65** | 0.57** | 0.14* | 0.33** | 1.00 |

* P > 0.05
** P > 0.0001
* Two girls were excluded from regression analyses due to missing Neighborhood Deprivation Index

| Table 3: Associations Between the Neighborhood Environment and Total Energy Intake (kcals/day) (n = 210)* |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Model description** | **Neighborhood Deprivation Index** | **Scales derived from Exploratory Factor Analysis** |
| | | Mixed residential and commercial | Food and retail | Recreation | Walkability | Physical disorder |
| Model 1b | 0.97 | 0.88, 1.07 | 0.94 | 0.86, 1.03 | 0.83* | 0.73, 0.94 | 1.02 | 0.87, 1.21 | 1.12 | 0.91, 1.37 | 0.92 | 0.70, 1.20 |
| Model 2c | 0.97 | 0.85, 1.10 | 0.94 | 0.85, 1.03 | 0.84* | 0.74, 0.95 | 1.03 | 0.87, 1.22 | 1.06 | 0.86, 1.32 | 0.97 | 0.73, 1.29 |
| Model 3d | 0.98 | 0.85, 1.12 | 0.93 | 0.84, 1.03 | 0.84* | 0.74, 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.82, 1.17 | 1.01 | 0.81, 1.26 | 0.95 | 0.71, 1.27 |

* P > 0.05
* Five girls were excluded from regression analyses due to missing Neighborhood Deprivation Index or total caloric intake.
** Adjusted for total number of streets observed per girl.
*** Adjusted for total number of streets observed per girl, age, race/ethnicity, and BMI.
**** Adjusted for total number of streets observed per girl, age, race/ethnicity, BMI, household size, age of birth mother, and household income.
Three girls were further excluded due to missing household income information. N = 207
over time affect the health of its residents. On the other hand, many neighborhood aspects are fairly stable within the time frame of a few years, although changes in residence would also influence neighborhood characteristics.

Second, our sample size is relatively small compared with other studies. Davison and Lawson [19] observed that significant associations between the neighborhood environment and children’s physical activity behaviors were found mostly in studies with 1,000 participants or more, suggesting that these associations are small and only detectable within large sample sizes. While the sample size limited our ability to validate the neighborhood scales, other studies have found similar associations between the neighborhood environment and children's physical activity using indirect neighborhood assessment methods. Investigators who are conducting direct observations could use these new scales to guide their data collection.

Estimates of energy expenditure were derived from girls' individual activities; however, values based on MET equivalents for adults' activities were used to estimate total energy expenditure among girls since MET equivalents for children's activities were not available. Because all girls were classified the same way, nondifferential misclassification would not affect the overall rankings of girls' energy expenditures relative to one another, though our effect estimates for energy expenditure might be attenuated. Estimates of energy intake and expenditure were derived from self- and maternal-reported data. Though under-reporting of dietary intake is possible, study dieticians used the multiple pass dietary assessment and collected several 24-hour recalls to improve energy intake estimates. It is unlikely that systematic measurement error would occur in self-reported food intake or physical activity patterns by neighborhood characteristics; therefore, crude associations may be attenuated but not otherwise biased.

There may be unmeasured confounding by parental behaviors and practices. Under the assumption that parents can select into more desirable communities (i.e. communities with low crime rates, good public schools, parks and recreational spaces), parental practices may be a common cause of the neighborhood environment and girls’ energy intake and expenditure. Though we adjusted for parental income in our analyses, residual confounding may still exist. Future studies examining associations between the neighborhood environment and children's health behaviors should collect information on parental

| Table 4: Associations Between the Neighborhood Environment and Physical Activity (MET hours/week) (n = 207) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Model description** | **Neighborhood Deprivation Index** | **Scales derived from Exploratory Factor Analysis** |
|                   | Mixed residential and commercial | Food and retail | Recreation | Walkability | Physical disorder |
|                   | OR 95% CI | OR 95% CI | OR 95% CI | OR 95% CI | OR 95% CI | OR 95% CI |
| Model 1** | 0.80* 0.72, 0.89 | 0.91* 0.83, 0.99 | 1.03 0.91, 1.16 | 1.14 0.96, 1.36 | 1.11 0.91, 1.37 | 0.73* 0.55, 0.96 |
| Model 2c | 0.89 0.78, 1.01 | 0.96 0.87, 1.06 | 1.00 0.88, 1.13 | 1.10 0.92, 1.31 | 1.08 0.87, 1.34 | 0.78 0.58, 1.04 |
| Model 3d | 0.92 0.80, 1.06 | 0.99 0.89, 1.10 | 1.01 0.88, 1.15 | 1.10 0.91, 1.32 | 1.09 0.86, 1.37 | 0.80 0.59, 1.07 |

**Interaction with race/ethnicity**

| Non-Hispanic White | 1.30 0.91, 1.86 | 0.97 0.83, 1.13 | 0.98 0.80, 1.20 | 1.01 0.75, 1.34 | 0.97 0.72, 1.30 | 0.92 0.63, 1.34 |
| African-American | 0.86 0.68, 1.09 | 0.99 0.82, 1.18 | 0.81 0.57, 1.14 | 0.77 0.47, 1.26 | 0.91 0.50, 1.64 | 0.31* 0.12, 0.80 |
| Asian | 0.43 0.09, 2.12 | 0.88 0.59, 1.30 | 1.12 0.75, 1.68 | 0.90 0.44, 1.84 | 0.21 0.03, 1.55 | 1.46 0.03, 69.37 |
| Hispanic/Latina | 0.79 0.63, 1.01 | 0.98 0.83, 1.14 | 0.95 0.75, 1.21 | 1.94* 1.31, 2.88 | 1.71* 1.11, 2.63 | 0.64 0.34, 1.20 |
| Other or Multiple | 1.12 0.76, 1.64 | 1.08 0.88, 1.31 | 1.10 0.87, 1.39 | 0.79 0.48, 1.30 | 0.95 0.49, 1.85 | 0.78 0.39, 1.56 |

**MET, metabolic equivalent**
* P < 0.05
**Eight girls were excluded from regression analyses due to missing Neighborhood Deprivation Index or MET hours per week**
**Adjusted for total number of streets observed per girl.**
**Adjusted for total number of streets observed per girl, age, race/ethnicity, and BMI.**
**Adjusted for total number of streets observed per girl, age, race/ethnicity, BMI, household size, age of birth mother, and household income.**
Two girls were further excluded due to missing household income information. N = 205.
perceptions of the neighborhood to better control for any potential confounding.

The participants from the CYGNET Study may not be representative of the general population. All participants were members of KPNC at birth and at time of recruitment, although their health coverage in the interim has not been examined. The study sample also tended to be from higher socioeconomic status households. On the other hand, study participants are diverse racially and ethnically, with over 25 percent being Hispanic/Latina, reflecting the diversity of the San Francisco Bay Area. While non-representativeness suggests caution in extrapolating inferences to the general population, the aim of this study was to determine whether variations in neighborhood measures are associated with variations in energy intake or expenditure. As indicated by the substantial variation in the number of street segments that were observed per girl, we successfully achieved variation in the neighborhood measures.

Because the study population was comprised of young girls, we cannot generalize our findings to boys. Previous studies that have examined the neighborhood environment in relation to energy expenditure have been inconsistent, with some studies showing stronger associations in girls [42,43], and others showing larger effects among boys [44,45]. Further research is warranted to determine if neighborhood environments affect energy intake and expenditure differentially in girls and boys. Like other neighborhood studies, we also did not measure how participants used their neighborhood environments, nor did we measure the school environment. Therefore, we cannot determine if the observed associations between neighborhood measures and dietary and physical activity behaviors are direct or resultant from unmeasured characteristics. However, such information is now being collected in follow-up interviews with CYGNET Study participants, enabling future investigation of these aspects with the neighborhood measures presented here.

**Conclusion**

Principal findings of this study include an inverse association between prevalence of “food and retail” destinations and total energy intake; positive associations of “recreation” and “walkability” with energy expenditure among Hispanic/Latina girls; and an inverse association of “physical disorder” with energy expenditure among African-American girls. These results suggest that neighborhood food and retail availability may be inversely associated with children’s energy intakes in contrast to other studies’ findings, which focused on adults. There is considerable variation in neighborhoods’ influences on children’s physical activity behaviors, particularly for children of different racial/ethnic backgrounds.

The current body of literature suggests that neighborhood characteristics can affect children’s health outcomes through numerous processes. It is only when these mechanisms are better understood by health professionals, policymakers and community members that we can develop appropriate policy and behavioral changes to preserve children’s health.

**Additional material**

**Additional file 1 Neighborhood Audit Tool Items, Standardized Regression Coefficients, and Raw Cronbach’s Alphas for Scales Derived From Exploratory Factor Analysis** This file describes the results from exploratory factor analysis, including the individual neighborhood audit tool items, standardized regression coefficients, and raw Cronbach’s alphas for each of the five derived scales.
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