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Long term safety, efficacy and clinical outcomes of transobturator tension free tape (inside-out technique) in women with stress urinary incontinence
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ABSTRACT

Background: Stress urinary incontinence (SUI) is commonly encountered in Gynaecological practice. The management of stress urinary incontinence underwent a paradigm shift with focus changing from bladder neck suspension to support of mid urethra in the last two decades. The aim of our study is to know the safety, efficacy, subjective and objective outcomes of Transobturator Tension Free Tape (TOT) (in-out technique) in women with Stress urinary incontinence.

Methods: This is a prospective study conducted in the departments of Gynaecology and Urology at Maharajah’s Institute of Medical Sciences, Nellimerla, Vizianagaram district, Andhra Pradesh, India. 62 patients were treated with Transobturator Tension Free Tape with inside-out technique using polypropylene mesh. This study was conducted from June 2012 to May 2014. These women were followed up annually for a period of 3 years after surgery. 3 year subjective and objective outcomes were assessed. Subjective outcome is defined as no loss or leak of urine on physical activity and objective outcome is defined as negative Pad test.

Results: The subjective and objective cure rates were 91.93% and 95.16% respectively.

Conclusions: Transobturator Tension free Tape is an effective treatment for Stress urinary incontinence in women providing high subjective and objective efficacy upto 3 years after surgery.

Keywords: Stress urinary incontinence, Transobturator tension free tape, Urinary leak, Urinary retention

INTRODUCTION

Stress Urinary incontinence (SUI) is the complaint of involuntary loss of urine with physical exertion (i.e. walking, straining, exercise), with sneezing, coughing or other activities raising intraabdominal pressure. Overall urinary incontinence has a prevalence of 25% - 40%, of which SUI accounts for 50% of cases.

The economic, social and emotional burden of urinary incontinence pro-foundly impacts patients’ lives and hence mandates the treatment of Stress urinary incontinence.

Patients with SUI may benefit variably from conservative measures using pelvic floor muscle exercises, biofeedback, electrical stimulation and pharmaco-therapy. Urethral bulking injection therapy can provide an intermediate option between non-surgical and surgical therapies, but surgery remains the mainstay of treatment for SUI. Although needle suspensions remain only as a point of historic discussion, retropubic suspensions have
persisted as a reasonable treatment option for SUI. However, slings, using a variety of materials, insertion approaches and anchoring techniques, have effectively become the standard options for women with SUI.

Petros and Ulmsten (1990) proposed “Integral Theory” of SUI which pin-points the site of maximal continence zone in the mid urethra at the pubourethral ligaments, suburethral vaginal hammocks and pubococcygeus muscle. Urethral slings are currently the procedure of choice for surgical correction of female SUI. In 1998, Ulmsten and colleagues described the Transvaginal Tape (TVT) procedure.

In 2001, Delorme described the first Transobturator procedure, which did not violate the retropubic space that lies in close proximity to bladder and there-fore decreased the risk of bladder injury. In 2003, de leval developed INSIDE-OUT technique with comparable results of Delorme’s OUTSIDE-IN technique.

As with retropubic synthetic slings, this is a minimally invasive midurethral sling using a synthetic tape; specially designed needles are passed from vagina to inner groin. When performed in an appropriate fashion, the needle and subse-quenty the sling pass through vaginal incision, Obturator internus, Obturator membrane, Obturator externus, Adductor brevis, gracilis tendon, subcutaneous fat and skin incision.

Patients with transobturator tape (TOT) slings demonstrate similar rates of cure compared with retropubic slings, with fewer bladder perforations and post-operative irritative voiding symptoms with almost elimination of catastrophic bowel and major vessel injury. The trade off is that the patients experience more complications referable to groin such as pain and leg weakness or numbness.

The aim of present study is to assess the safety and outcomes of inside-out technique for Stress urinary incontinence on follow-up for 3 years.

METHODS

This is a prospective study conducted in a tertiary level referral hospital, Maharajah’s Institute of Medical Sciences, Vizianagaram district, Andhra Pradesh. This study was conducted after ethics committee approval, for a period of 3 years from June 2012 to May 2014 in the departments of Gynaecology and Urology.

62 Patients were treated with de leval’s Inside-Out TOT Technique using a mesh of 30x2cms with pores of greater than 75 µm with loosely woven strands of polypropylene (AMID’S Classification for synthetic materials – Type I).

Demographic information of all patients was obtained. Thorough history and physical examination was done in all patients. SUI was diagnosed on the basis of demonstrable leak on straining or coughing. Positive Bonney’s test, with no post-void residue on ultrasound and no signs of outlet obstruction on Cystoscopy.

| Type | Description | Brands |
|------|-------------|--------|
| I    | Pore Size >75 µm. Macroporous | Trelex, Marlex. |
| II   | Pore sizes< 10 µm. Microporous | Gore – Tex |
| III  | Macroporous with Multifilments or Microporous Components | Teflon, Tersilene (Beaded Dacron Mesh) |
| IV   | Submicronic pore size | Silastic |

Evaluation for occult SUI was performed with the anterior wall supported as SUI can be masked if significant prolapse kinks the urethra and outlet. Urethral mobili-ty and position was assessed at rest and with straining and coughing.

The Q-tip test was done to evaluate urethral mobility. Hyper mobility was defined as Q-tip angle of more than 30 degrees from horizontal. Urodynamic studies were done as per American Urological Association (AUA) guidelines when indicated. SUI was graded by Ferrari et al classification.

| Grade of SUI | Symptoms |
|--------------|----------|
| I            | Involuntary loss of urine with sudden increase in intra-abdominal pressure such as coughing or straining. |
| II           | Involuntary loss of urine with lesser degrees of stress such as walking or standing up. |
| III          | Involuntary loss of urine without any relation to physical activity or position for example lying on bed. |

Patients with Urge or Mixed Urinary incontinence, high grade Pelvic organ Pro-lapse (>grade 2), more than 50ml of post void residue, suspected neurogenic bladder, evidence of dysfunctional voiding, prior lower urinary tract surgery including anti-incontinence surgery and abnormal urinalysis were excluded.

Contraindications for the procedure include pregnancy, groin abscesses, chronic infection or pain and active anticoagulation.

All patients were counseled about the permanent nature of surgery and complications. All the procedures were done by a single surgeon.
Surgical technique

- Pre-operative considerations- Sterile urine is confirmed before the procedure. Single dose intravenous antibiotic is given for skin and vaginal flora coverage, usually Cephalosporins and Fluoroquinolones.
- Anaesthesia and position- Under Spinal Anaesthesia with patient positioned in dorsal lithotomy position with 70 degrees flexion at hip.
- Exit site of the needle- Stab incisions given 2 cm superior to horizontal line level with urethra and 2 cm lateral to labial folds which will be exit point for helical passer.
- Vaginal incision and dissection- Anterior retraction of vaginal mucosa with Al-lis clamp and anterior vaginal incision under the mid-urethra after hydrodissec-tion of vaginal mucosa with saline or with Epinephrine and Lidocaine. The dis-section should be done upto inferior pubic ramus.
- Trocar passage- Helical passer should pass from vaginal incision to exit site hugging the inferior pubic rami to prevent injury to Obturator vessels and nerve. The vaginal sulcus is inspected to ensure that no perforation or mucosal damage has occurred.
- Cystourethroscopy- Cystoscopy was done at the end of procedure to ensure no injury to urethra or bladder.
- Tensioning- The sling should lay flat against the urethra and tension adjusted over a right angled clamp.
- Closure- Vaginal wound is copiously irrigated and closed with absorbable 3-0 polyglycolic acid suture in a running fashion. Groin stab wounds are also closed with same suture.

Any significant intra operative bleeding was noted.

Patients were re-assessed at the end of 1 month for any urinary leak and subsequently at 1 year and 3 years of follow-up. Subjective assessment for urinary leak, urgency, voiding difficulties, urinary retention and objective assessment was done using Pad Test. Ultrasound for post-void residue and physical examination for mesh exposure.

Patients with no leak were considered cured. Follow-up stopped after 3 years if there were no significant problems.

RESULTS

A total of 62 patients underwent TOT repair between June 2012 and May 2014. The median age of the patients is 38.63 years (range 30-54 years) with a median Body Mass Index (BMI) of 24.48 and mean parity of the patient is 2.82.

On removal of catheter on first post-operative day, no patient developed SUI, 1 patient developed Grade-I SUI which resolved after re-catheterisation for 1 week.

The objective characteristics and subjective outcomes/cure rates at the time of discharge are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Operative characteristics and subjective outcomes or cure rates at the time of discharge.

| Operative characteristics | Subjective outcomes |
|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Mean operative time (min)±SD | 22.49±2.86 |
| Mean hospital stay (day)±SD | 1.86±0.72 |
| Mean follow-up (month)±SD | 30.42±5.68 |
|
| Cured | 91.9% |
| Improved | 6.48% |
| Persistent | 1.62% |

Subjective and objective outcomes at 1 year and 3 years of follow – up are shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Subjective and objective outcomes at 1 year and 3 years of follow up.

| Outcomes         | Follow up |
|------------------|-----------|
| Subjective       | 1 year    | 3 years |
| Cured            | 54 (87.09%) | 57 (91.93%) |
| Improved         | 7 (11.29%)  | 4 (6.45%)  |
| Worse/no improvement | 1 (1.62%) | 1 (1.62%) |
| Objective        | 1 year    | 3 years |
| No SUI           | 56 (90.32%) | 59 (95.16%) |
| Mild SUI         | 5 (8.06%)  | 2 (3.22%)  |
| Moderate-severe SUI | 1 (1.62%) | 1 (1.62%) |
| Post void residue| 0-50 (median 12.5) | 0-70 (median 10.5) |

No significant intra operative blood loss has occurred in any case. No bladder injury or bowel injury or vascular injuries were reported 8.9. No Obturator nerve injury was reported in our study. One patient had voiding dysfunction at 1 year of follow-up (1.62%) and she was treated with cutting of mesh (at 18 months). One patient had vaginal mesh exposure at 1 month and was managed conservatively.

Complications of the procedure are shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Complications of the procedure.

| Total patients (62) |
|---------------------|
| Complications      | Number (percentage) |
| Voidsing dysfunction | 1 (1.62%) |
| Mesh exposure       | 1 (1.62%) |
| Groin pain          | 2 (3.24%) |
The Patient Global Impression of Improvement (PGI-I) Score was evaluated on post-operative discharge and 3 months follow-up. The score was evaluated with respect to the pre-operative condition at each follow-up. At discharge 60 patients were completely satisfied and 2 patients were not happy. 1 due to groin pain and 1 due to voiding dysfunction. All were completely satisfied at 1 year of follow-up.

PGI-I Score (Patient Global Impression of Improvement) Score is shown in Table 6.

| Score                  | Discharge | 1 year | 3 years |
|------------------------|-----------|--------|---------|
| Completely satisfied   | 60        | 62     | 62      |
| Better                 | 2         | nil    | Nil     |

**DISCUSSION**

The ultimate goal of SUI management is to achieve perfect continence with minimal morbidity. Proper evaluation of pelvic floor anatomy and function should maximise the probability of outcomes. Transobturator tape (TOT) repair has proven to be safe and highly effective. Initial surgeries for SUI were aimed to lift and support Vesico-Urethral junction, but recently due to Integral Theory of Delancy, the emphasis has been shifted to supporting of mid-urethra. Although retropubic TVT gained much popularity with good results initially, it has been associated with a number of pre and post-operative complications including mesh erosion, acute urinary retention (AUR), post-op Over Active Bladder (OAB), Vascular and bladder injuries. These are mainly due to blind upward vaginal passage of trocars in retropubic space. To reduce the complications associated with blind passage of trocars in retropubic space, Delorme et al described the Trans obturator approach. Later de Leval described Inside-out technique of passing the tape obviating any complication. We have done 62 cases of Genuine Stress Incontinence using Inside-out technique as described by de Leval.

| Name of study | Number of patients | Type of mesh | Follow up | Cured (%) | Assessment |
|---------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Present study | 62                 | gynaeare tvt | 36 months | 91.9      | Stress test, pad test |
| Zuilo et al   | 37                 | gynaeare tvt-o | 12 months | 89        | Urodynamics |
| Rinne et al   | 131                | gynaeare tvt | 12 months | 93.1      | Stress test, pad test |
| Hinou et al   | 85                 | gynaeare tvt | 12 months | 96.4      | Stress test, pad test |
| Tincello et al| 238                | gynaeare tvt | 12 months | 97.6      | Stress test |

Cure rates of our study are compared with other studies and tabulated in Table 7.

Voiding disturbances were reported in Urethral Surgeries. One was seen in present study. This is probably due to increased tension on the tape, similar observation was made by Romero et al. One (1.62%) patient had increased (PVRU) Post void residual urine on USG which is similar to that reported by Romero et al (3%) and by Bozkurt et al (3.2%). No cases of de novo urgency were reported in our study. Voiding dysfunction with transobturator approach is 2.0% in the TOMUS trial. Pre-operative urodynamic variables have been evaluated to assess their predictive value in postoperative voiding dysfunction.

The risk of bladder injury is considerably lower, less than 1% with the transobturator approach, but it does exist and hence Cystoscopy should be considered in TOT procedures as well. The risk of urethral perforation with needle passage in transobturator space is 0.1-2.5%). In the presence of a urethral injury, sling placement is contraindicated, and the procedure can be undertaken after 6 weeks.

Bleeding with mid-urethral sling can occur either during the vaginal dissection or with needle passage and perforation of the transobturator space. The risk of bleeding in TOT is around 1%

Mesh exposure is another common complication, but it had presented in only one case in our study (1.62%), which was managed conservatively. Mesh extrusion of tape appears to be increased with the transobturator approach and is more likely to occur at the lateral vaginal sulci. The management is conservative with observation, but rarely partial or total removal of sling may be necessary.

The exact cause of these complications is debatable but they are likely to arise from a combination of patient and technical factors. These include patient body habitus, sub clinical infection, poor tissue in-growth into the sling, disturbed wound healing, rolling and twisting of sling, excessive friction between host tissue and sling.
iatrogenic injury and technical error. Biochemical properties of sling have shown to play a major role in the incidence of complications related to mesh exposure. Increased pore size allows excellent tissue in-growth and promotes interaction with host tissue and decrease encapsulation and infection. Adherence to meticulous surgical technique and use of poly propylene mesh with favourable mechanical properties should help to minimise complications.

The patient satisfaction following surgery is extremely good and patients are very much satisfied with the outcome of the surgery. Patient perception of relief of symptoms studied using the subjective PGI-I score indicates that mid-urethral sling surgery is able to achieve a high level of patient satisfaction.

Morey et al stated that the position of the Transobturator Tape replaces the damaged pubourethral ligament with a permanent mesh tape that provides the support needed to prevent leakage. The angle of the TOT Tape is much less acute than TVT. Therefore, not only is this more anatomically and natural, it also causes fewer problems with urinary dysfunction and obstruction.

CONCLUSION

The Transobturator Tape (TOT) surgery by INSIDE-OUT technique is safe and easy to perform. It has relatively low complication rate and better outcome in the long term.
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