A 63-year-old man presented to the emergency department with a 5-day history of a cool, painful left leg, new onset of paresthesia of the left leg and foot, and severe shortness of breath. The patient had no personal or family history of thrombotic events and no personal history or symptoms of arrhythmia. He was a nonsmoker with no symptoms or prior documentation of peripheral vascular, coronary or cerebrovascular disease. He had a history of obesity and hypertension controlled with a single agent. Two rapid point-of-care tests were negative for SARS-CoV-2 earlier on the day of presentation (Abbott ID NOW isothermal nucleic acid test). The patient had received his first dose of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine 20 days before the onset of his symptoms.

Upon presentation, we noted that the patient was obese (body mass index 41.8) and hypoxic (oxygen saturation 85% on room air, normal > 90%). His left foot was cold, pale and insensitive, with decreased motor function and absent arterial Doppler signals (Rutherford IIB acute limb ischemia). Laboratory investigations showed thrombocytopenia (platelets 36 [normal 150–400] × 10⁹/L), D-Dimer > 10 000 (normal ≤ 50) ng/mL fibrinogen-equivalent units, fibrinogen 1.4 (normal 1.6–4.1) g/L and international normalized ratio 1.3 (normal 0.9–1.1). A computed tomography angiogram showed left popliteal artery occlusion with no visible tibial vessels, even on delayed imaging. It also showed bilateral segmental pulmonary artery thrombi and thrombus adherent to the wall of the infrarenal aorta (Figure 1).

We started therapeutic anticoagulation with intravenous unfractionated heparin. Emergency surgical thrombectomy of the popliteal artery and all tibial arteries yielded a large amount of thrombus. We infused tissue plasminogen activator into the tibial arteries intraoperatively. We obtained good antegrade and retrograde flow, with marked pedal hyperemia and normal triphasic arterial Doppler signals upon reperfusion. Intraoperatively, the patient was intermittently hypoxic, consistent with his substantial pulmonary artery thrombus.

Our patient’s postoperative course was complicated by severe hypoxia, thrombocytopenia and substantial surgical site bleeding, for which he received several transfusions of platelets and red bloods. After 24 hours, forefoot hyperemia evolved into cyanosis, with subsequent infarction of the toes, despite therapeutic intravenous heparin (partial thromboplastin time 60–90 [normal ≤ 39] s). We did not observe any clinical sign of compartment syndrome. We performed a rapid assay for heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) 3 times and all results were negative (HemosIL HIT-Ab(PF4-H) latex-enhanced immunoassay). The patient had no previous heparin exposure. An antiphospholipid antibody screen for anticardiolipin, anti-β2 glycoprotein and lupus anticoagulant, and assays for extractable nuclear antigen, antinuclear
antibody, release factor and JAK2 V617F mutation were negative. A postoperative echocardiogram did not show a clinically important patent foramen ovale, which we considered ruled out paradoxical embolism.

We suspected vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia (VITT) based on ongoing postoperative bleeding, new digital thrombosis and thrombocytopenia that did not respond to platelet transfusion. We replaced unfractionated heparin with fondaparinux (10 mg/d subcutaneously, the dose recommended for body weight > 100 kg) and gave intravenous immunoglobulin (1 g/kg/d) for 2 consecutive days (Gammunex). Subsequently, the patient’s thrombocytopenia rapidly improved (Figure 2) and the bleeding at his surgical site stopped.

We confirmed VITT on postoperative day 11 by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) that detected platelet factor 4 (PF4)–immunoglobulin complexes in the patient’s serum, and by a serotonin-release assay that showed platelet activation when PF4 was added to the patient’s serum, without heparin (McMaster University Platelet Immunology Laboratory, Hamilton, Ont.). On postoperative day 15, arteriograms confirmed partial rethrombosis of the tibial circulation. Aspiration thrombectomy helped to clear this rethrombosis (Figure 3), resulting in substantial reperfusion of the forefoot. We have planned a transmetatarsal amputation once the forefoot fully demarcates (Figure 4).

**Discussion**

Vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia is a syndrome that was first reported in April 2021 among patients who had recently received the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine. It closely resembles HIT, in which antibodies, typically immunoglobulin G (IgG), are produced against circulating complexes of PF4 and endogenous heparin. Platelets neutralize endogenous heparin...
and regulate hemostasis by releasing PF4, a 70-amino acid protein that binds heparin. In HIT, the IgG antibody that is bound to the PF4–heparin complex also binds to platelet Fc\(\gamma\) receptors, triggering platelet activation and promoting thrombosis.\(^{10}\) In VITT, the vaccine is believed to lead to development of an IgG that targets PF4 (as opposed to the PF4–heparin complex), also binding platelet Fc\(\gamma\) receptors and activating platelets.\(^{7}\) Although IgG does not require heparin to activate platelets in VITT, heparin may aggravate VITT because circulating PF4–heparin complexes may also bind IgG from VITT as from HIT.

It is important to note that the rapid, latex-enhanced HIT immoassay used in our laboratory does not detect IgG from VITT. However, ELISA assays for HIT generally do detect VITT-associated IgG, and should be ordered if VITT is suspected. With our patient, VITT was eventually confirmed with 2 assays that are currently available for HIT: an ELISA for PF4 that screens for IgG from VITT and the platelet serotonin-release assay that confirms VITT. In HIT, both assays detect PF4–heparin–IgG polyanion complexes. In HIT, the serotonin-release assay infers platelet activation by measuring the release of serotonin when patient serum that contains IgG is incubated with heparin.\(^{9}\) In VITT, the serotonin-release assay detects anti-PF4 IgG without the addition of heparin.\(^{11}\)

Intravenous immunoglobulin is a suggested treatment for patients with VITT who have a worrisome clinical presentation such as bleeding and continued thrombus formation, as with

---

**Figure 2:** Platelet count and anticoagulation during the patient’s clinical course of vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia. The platelet count was nonresponsive to platelet transfusion. Substantial recovery of platelet count occurred following administration of intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG). Note: UFH = unfractionated heparin.

**Figure 3:** Popliteal and tibial arteriogram of the patient’s left leg showing (A) postoperative rethrombosis of the anterior and posterior tibial arteries (arrows), then (B) recanalization after aspiration thrombectomy.
HIT. We believe this treatment contributed to our patient’s eventual improvement. Our decision to use intravenous immunoglobulin was not based on his platelet count, but on the clinical picture of ongoing bleeding and thrombosis that continued despite withdrawal of heparin. In both HIT and VITT, the mechanism of action of intravenous immunoglobulin is believed to be competitive binding of the platelet Fcγ receptor by intravenous immunoglobulin-derived IgG, which does not activate platelets.12,13

Not surprisingly, withdrawal of unfractionated heparin and substitution of fondaparinux 6 days after admission (Figure 2) did not immediately curtail our patient’s thrombocytopenia and bleeding. This was likely because of the ability of VITT-associated IgG to bind PF4 without requiring a PF4–heparin complex.7 However, clinicians should withdraw heparin (or not use it at all) in patients with VITT, as reduced levels of PF4–heparin complexes can lead to less circulating PF4 antigen.

In our case, we performed emergency surgical thrombectomy because of the severity of limb ischemia. Although we saw excellent early reperfusion of the ischemic extremity, the patient’s unrecognized VITT likely led to thrombosis and infarction of the toes, despite a weight-adjusted dose of fondaparinux. Platelet transfusions given to treat bleeding may have worsened thrombosis by providing further reagent for VITT-associated antibodies to trigger clotting. Earlier recognition and treatment of VITT with intravenous immunoglobulin may have lessened thrombosis after what appeared to be effective surgical thrombectomy. Finally, the inability of our latex-enhanced immunoassay for HIT to detect VITT antibodies against PF4 contributed to a delay in diagnosis.

The earliest published cases of VITT described mostly atypical venous thromboses, such as cerebral venous thrombosis and thrombosis of the splanchnic veins, in young healthy women 5–16 days after the first dose of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccination.2,3 In 1 study, all patients with VITT were Norwegian health care workers aged younger than 65 years, 80% of whom were female; at the time, this population was preferentially vaccinated with ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 in Norway.7 These early reports may not accurately portray the true demographic distribution and clinical behaviour of VITT. A recent publication from the United Kingdom is more representative of the general population that has been given the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine. It identified VITT in a much broader group of people aged 21–77 years, 60% of whom were female.7 Our patient was a middle-aged man whose symptoms started 20 days after he received his first dose of vaccine, and he presented with thrombosis in multiple vascular beds. Recent publications from Thrombosis Canada and the International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis provide diagnostic criteria and treatment information for VITT.14,15 They show that patients with VITT can present with a wide range of thrombotic events and they do not identify any age or gender diagnostic criteria. Two recent reports also describe VITT among patients who received the Ad26.COV2.S vaccine (Johnson & Johnson–Janssen);6,16 the International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis identifies both vaccines that use adenovirus vectors (ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 and Ad26.COV2.S) as potential triggers of VITT.15

**Conclusion**

All physicians should be aware of VITT, given the current use of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 and Ad26.COV2.S vaccination against SARS-CoV-2. This condition should be considered in any patient presenting with thrombosis and thrombocytopenia after recently receiving a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine that uses an adenovirus vector. The clinical findings of VITT are similar to...
HIT, but rapid immunoassays for HIT, such as the latex-enhanced assay, are often falsely negative in patients with VITT. In contrast, ELISA assays for HIT can detect VITT-associated IgG, and the serotonin-release assay confirms VITT if patient serum triggers platelet activation when incubated without heparin. Finally, if VITT is suspected, heparin should not be used and nonheparin anticoagulants, such as fondaparinux, argatroban or direct oral anticoagulants, should be used instead. For patients with intractable bleeding, ongoing thrombosis or other worrisome features, intravenous immunoglobulin can be beneficial.
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