Healthcare maintenance in elderly patients with inflammatory bowel disease
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Abstract

The increasing number of older patients (age ≥60 years) with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) highlights the importance of healthcare maintenance in this vulnerable population. Older IBD patients are more susceptible and have higher rates of many disease- and treatment-related adverse effects. Compared to younger IBD patients, older patients are at increased risk for infection, malignancy, bone disease, eye disease, malnutrition and thrombotic complications. Preventive strategies in the elderly differ from those in younger adults and are imperative. Changes to the immune system with aging can decrease the efficacy of vaccinations. Cancer screening guidelines in older IBD patients have to account for unique considerations, such as life expectancy, functional performance status, multimorbidity, financial status, and patient desires. Additionally, providers need to be vigilant in screening for osteoporosis, ocular disease, depression, and adverse events arising from polypharmacy.
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Introduction

Healthcare maintenance strategies in older adults decrease influenza-related complications, colorectal cancer (CRC), and cardiovascular events [1-3]. Despite the clear benefits of health maintenance, less than 50% of older adults receive recommended vaccinations and cancer screenings [4]. In inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), older patients may be more susceptible to preventable events. However, IBD patients are less likely than the general population to receive preventive services [5]. The reasons for this disparity are unclear, but possible explanations include providers focusing more on IBD treatment than on preventive services, low patient priority for such services, provider time constraints and reimbursement issues, lack of a primary care physician (PCP) for many IBD patients, ambiguity in the medical community as to whether a gastroenterologist or a PCP should provide such services, and lack of physician comfort [5,6]. Moreover, advancing age is associated with lower rates of preventive services, partly as a result of the physician's and patient’s lack of expectation of benefits from these services [7].

Several strategies have been suggested for improving the rates of preventive services for IBD patients. These include separate preventive-care visits, use of quality improvement initiatives, use of electronic medical record tools to alert patients or providers of preventive services, and greater involvement of gastroenterologists in delivering such services. Improved collaboration between PCPs and gastroenterologists can improve the delivery of preventive services for IBD patients [8].

With an aging population and increasing prevalence of IBD [9], the identification and application of age-related health maintenance strategies is crucial. In this review, we discuss some of the most important healthcare maintenance issues for older IBD patients, including vaccinations, cancer screening, bone health, mental health, nutritional status, smoking cessation, prevention of venous thromboembolism, eye health, oral health and polypharmacy.

Vaccinations

Infections account for significant morbidity and mortality in older IBD patients, partly because of the age-related decline
in immune system function (i.e. immunosenescence) [10,11]. IBD treatment often requires the use of immunosuppressive therapy, which further increases the risk of infections [11]. In a study based on a national inpatient database in the US, IBD patients >65 years old had an increased risk of developing pneumonia (odds ratio [OR] 4.01, 95% CI 3.33-4.82) or sepsis (OR 2.30, 95% CI 1.90-2.79), and a 20% increased risk for infection-related hospitalizations [12]. In another study based on data from IBD patients at 16 Italian tertiary referral centers, IBD patients >65 years old on tumor necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitors had a higher rate of severe infections and mortality than control patients <65 years old (11% vs. 2.6% severe infections, P=.004; 10% vs. 1% mortality, P<0.0006) [11].

Vaccination recommendations are similar for elderly patients with and without IBD, though there are some exceptions regarding live vaccines [13-15]. Patients with IBD generally mount appropriate responses to vaccinations, although patients ≥65 years old and patients on biologics and/or immune-modifying agents may have a decreased immune response to vaccinations [16-21]. Therefore, particularly in the elderly, obtaining antibody titers and appropriate vaccinations before the initiation of immunosuppressive therapy may be especially important. Some authors have suggested using higher initial vaccination doses, checking post-vaccination titers, administering booster doses, and using conjugated vaccines, when appropriate [15,16,22,23]. Further studies are needed to assess the efficacy and cost-effectiveness of such vaccination strategies.

**Live vaccines**

Live vaccines are typically avoided in patients using glucocorticoids (prednisone >20 mg/day equivalent for ≥2 weeks or <3 months after stopping), immune-modifying agents (6-mercaptopurine [6-MP]/azathioprine/methotrexate, ongoing use or within 3 months of discontinuation), or biologics (ongoing use or within 3 months of discontinuation) and patients with severe protein calorie malnutrition [14]. Live vaccines could be administered 3-4 weeks before starting immune-modifying agents/biologics and 3 months after the last dose [22,24]. The latter delay may be reduced to 1 month in the case of corticosteroid use alone [25].

**Measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR)**

Adults born before 1957 are generally considered immune to measles and mumps. The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices makes no specific MMR vaccination recommendations for adults aged 60 or older, except for healthcare personnel. For unvaccinated healthcare personnel lacking immunity to any of these viruses, vaccination should be considered (2 doses for measles and mumps, 1 dose for rubella) [26]. Some authors suggest checking antibody titers in older IBD patients with an unknown vaccination history. In immunocompetent patients who lack immunity to any of these viruses, the vaccine should be administered [13].

**Varicella**

The absolute risk of primary varicella infection in older IBD patients is unknown. Cullen *et al* identified 20 reported cases of primary varicella infection in IBD patients, with 5 deaths [27]. The risk of infection increased with immunosuppressive therapy, particularly with corticosteroids and combination immunosuppressive therapy [27]. Although adults born in the US before 1980 are considered immune to varicella, with the exception of pregnant women and healthcare personnel, IBD patients should be tested for varicella zoster virus antibody titers if there is uncertainty about their history of infection or vaccination [13,28]. A two-dose varicella vaccine, with at least 4 weeks between doses, is recommended for seronegative, immunocompetent adults. In seronegative patients on immunosuppressive therapy, varicella vaccine may be administered 3-6 months following cessation of all immunosuppressive therapy [28].

**Herpes zoster**

Old age is a known risk factor for herpes zoster infection and post-herpetic neuralgia [25]. The risk is increased among patients with IBD, especially those on immunosuppressive therapy [29]. In a retrospective cohort study based on a general practice database from the United Kingdom, the incidence of zoster infection increased with advancing age, with the highest rates among IBD patients ≥65 years old (1291 per 100,000 person-years in patients with Crohn's disease [CD] and 1143 per 100,000 person-years in patients with ulcerative colitis [UC]). The incidence of zoster was significantly higher for both CD and UC patients compared with their age- and sex-matched controls [29].

A one-dose zoster vaccine is recommended for immunocompetent individuals ≥60 years old [25]. Unlike with other live vaccines, it is not necessary to check the varicella antibody titer prior to vaccination [22]. Although live vaccines are generally avoided in immunosuppressed patients, the use of the live zoster vaccine in IBD patients is controversial. Patients on short-term corticosteroid therapy (<14 days), or long-term alternate day treatment with low to moderate doses of short-acting systemic corticosteroids, or low doses of methotrexate (<0.4 mg/kg/week), azathioprine (<3.0 mg/kg/day), or 6-MP (<1.5 mg/kg/day), are not considered sufficiently immunosuppressed and therefore can receive the vaccine [25]. Data on the safety and efficacy of zoster vaccine in patients using biologics are limited. In a retrospective cohort study among older patients (≥60 years) with selected immunemediated diseases, including 66,751 patients with IBD, Zhang *et al* found that none of the 633 patients with immunemediated disease exposed to biologics at the time of zoster vaccination or within the subsequent 42 days developed herpes zoster or varicella, suggesting the possible safety of zoster vaccine in such patients [30]. Nonetheless, it is advisable to practice caution while administering zoster vaccine to patients on biologics, taking into account the immune status of the recipient on a case-by-case basis to determine the risks and benefits of vaccination.
A two-dose inactivated adjuvant vaccine (HZ/su) has been found to reduce the risk of herpes zoster significantly in older adults [31]. Inactivated zoster vaccine may be particularly useful in older, immunosuppressed IBD patients.

**Inactivated vaccines**

Inactivated vaccines can be administered safely to older IBD patients, regardless of their immune status. Although the immune system's response to such vaccines may be diminished in older patients and in patients on immunosuppressive therapy [17-21], it is still important to administer the recommended vaccines, as the majority of these patients may still develop sufficient antibodies to provide protection [16,21,32].

**Influenza**

Over 80% of influenza-related deaths occur in the elderly and older adults have significantly higher morbidity from the infection [33]. In a cohort of about 700,000 community-dwelling older people, the influenza vaccine was associated with a 27% reduction in hospitalizations due to pneumonia or influenza and a 48% reduction in deaths [1].

A one-dose inactivated influenza vaccine is recommended annually for all elderly patients, optimally before the onset of influenza season. Live attenuated influenza vaccine should be avoided in adults over the age of 49 years and in immunosuppressed patients [26].

Vaccination strategies such as the use of high-dose influenza vaccine or administration of booster doses have been suggested [15]. High-dose inactivated influenza vaccine was found to be more effective than standard-dose influenza vaccine in a multicenter trial involving adults aged 65 years or older [34]. Although standard-dose influenza vaccine is recommended for older IBD patients, high-dose influenza vaccine may be considered, particularly if there is a concern about an inadequate immune response.

**Pneumococcal vaccine**

Pneumococcal pneumonia and sepsis cause significant morbidity and mortality in older adults. The rate and mortality of pneumococcal disease increase significantly at age 65 [35]. Patients with IBD are at increased risk for pneumonia [36]. In a large retrospective cohort study including 108,604 IBD patients, the overall incidence of pneumonia in IBD patients was 138/10,000 person-years, compared with 76/10,000 person-years in the non-IBD cohort (incidence rate ratio 1.82). IBD patients >60 years old had the highest incidence of pneumonia at 226/10,000 person-years. The use of immunosuppressive medications, particularly corticosteroids, was associated with an increased risk of pneumonia among IBD patients [36]. Furthermore, older IBD patients are at increased risk of pneumonia-related hospitalizations and deaths [11,12]. Therefore, pneumococcal vaccine is recommended for all older IBD patients, regardless of their immunosuppression status [15,28].

Both 23-valent polysaccharide vaccine (PPSV23; Pneumovax) and 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV13) can be administered to adults aged 65 years or older. For all elderly patients, at least one dose of PCV13 is recommended to be included in the vaccination regimen (Table 1) [26].

**Tetanus, diphtheria, and pertussis**

Older adults are at increased risk for tetanus and tetanus-related mortality, primarily because of inadequate or no vaccination. More than half of tetanus cases occur in adults ≥60 years old. The rate of tetanus and mortality increase significantly at age 80 [37].

A one-dose of Tdap with a Td booster every 10 years is recommended for all older IBD patients, regardless of immunosuppression [15]. Any patient with an unknown vaccination history should complete a primary vaccination series with the first two doses at least 4 weeks apart and the third dose 6-12 months after the second dose [26].

**Hepatitis A**

A two-dose vaccine followed by a booster dose 10 years later should be considered in all older IBD patients who have not been vaccinated or lack immunity to hepatitis A virus [13,22,38]. Hepatitis A vaccine is generally considered safe and effective in IBD patients.

**Hepatitis B virus (HBV)**

Cases of severe reactivation of chronic HBV infection related to anti-TNF therapy have been described in patients with Crohn's disease [39]. A three-dose hepatitis B vaccine at 0, 1-2, and 4-6 months is recommended for all older unvaccinated IBD patients with specific risk factors (Table 1) [15,26].

Older age and anti-TNF therapy are strongly associated with low response rates to hepatitis B vaccination [16,40]. In a study of 129 IBD patients, Vida Perez et al found that nearly two thirds of the patients had a suboptimal serological response after HBV vaccination, with older patients showing a lower response rate than younger patients (30.91 vs. 39.91, P<0.001) [40]. Another study also noted a lower response rate to HBV vaccination in older IBD patients (OR 0.96, P<0.001) [40]. In addition to older age, anti-TNF therapy was associated with a lower response rate (OR 0.39, P<0.01) [16]. Therefore, it is recommended to test all older IBD patients for hepatitis B serology prior to initiation of immunosuppressive therapy [13]. Post-vaccine titers should be checked 1-2 months after the last dose to determine the need for revaccination. In patients who show a suboptimal response, revaccination with twice the standard dose of hepatitis B vaccine in three or four doses should be considered. Alternatively, vaccination with a combined hepatitis A and B vaccine can be considered, as the hepatitis A component may provoke an adjuvant-like effect. These strategies seem to be effective in generating an adequate immune response in such patients [41].

---
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Table 1 Vaccination recommendations for older patients with inflammatory bowel disease

| Type of vaccine | Need for titers before vaccination | Frequency of administration | Patient on immunosuppressive therapy | Comment |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| **Live vaccine** |                                   |                             |                                      |         |
| MMR             | Yes if unknown vaccination history | If no immunity, 2 doses, 4 weeks apart | Contraindicated                      | Immuno-suppressive therapy should not be started for a minimum of 4 weeks from vaccination |
| Varicella       | Yes if unknown history of vaccination or infection | If no immunity, 2 doses at least 4 weeks apart | Contraindicated                      | Immuno-suppressive therapy should not be started for a minimum of 3-4 weeks from vaccination |
| Zoster          | No                                 | 1 dose at age 60 years or older | Consider on case-by-case basis taking into account the immune status of the recipient | Immuno-suppressive therapy should not be started for a minimum of 1 month from vaccination |
| **Inactivated vaccine** |                                   |                             |                                      |         |
| Influenza       | No                                 | 1 dose annually             | Administer only inactivated vaccine. Avoid live influenza vaccine | High dose inactivated influenza vaccine may be considered |
| Pneumococcal    | No                                 | 1 dose PCV13 followed by PPSV23 at least 1 year after PCV13 | 1 dose PCV13 followed by PPSV23 at least 8 weeks after PCV13 | Consider vaccination prior to immunosuppressive therapy to improve immune response |
| Td/Tdap (tetanus, diphtheria, pertussis) | No | If no prior vaccination, 3 doses (0, 1, 6-12 months). Otherwise, 1 dose of Tdap followed by a booster of Td every 10 years | Same as recommended regimen |         |
| Hepatitis A     | Yes                                | 2 doses at 0, 6-12 months; booster >10 years | Same as recommended regimen | Consider vaccination prior to immunosuppressive therapy to improve immune response |
| Hepatitis B     | Yes                                | 3 doses at 0, 1-2, 4-6 months for patients with specific risk factors | Vaccination with double dose | Check post-vaccination titers after 1-2 months. If no response, revaccinate with double dose or combined hepatitis A and B vaccine |
| Meningococcal   | No                                 | If no prior vaccination, vaccinate at-risk patients with 2 doses at 0, 2 months | Same as recommended regimen |         |

(Contd...)
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IBD patients on immunosuppressants should be vaccinated with higher doses of hepatitis B vaccine [26,28]. For those with an ongoing risk of exposure to HBV, annual testing for anti-HBs antibody titers and booster doses should be considered [28].

Meningococcal

Meningococcal vaccine should be given to all at-risk older IBD patients, regardless of their immunosuppression status (Table 1) [13,15,26].

Haemophilus influenzae

A one- or three-dose Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib) vaccine is recommended for persons with specific risk factors (Table 1) [26]. A 6-year analysis of a nationwide inpatient sample found an increased risk of hospitalization with Haemophilus influenzae pneumonia in patients with IBD [42]. One quarter of these patients were older than 70 years. Therefore, Hib vaccination may be considered in elderly patients with IBD.

Cancer screening

IBD patients are at increased risk of malignancy compared with the general population [43]. In a population-based cohort study from Canada, patients with CD had a significantly greater incidence of cancer at all sites compared with the non-IBD population (incidence rate ratio 1.29). IBD patients ≥60 years old had a significantly higher risk of colon cancer compared with the age- and gender-matched non-IBD cohort (incidence rate ratio 2.09) [43]. Old age is an independent risk factor for many cancers associated with IBD and its treatments [44-49]. As a result of the chronic inflammation associated with IBD, these patients are at increased risk of CRC, small intestinal adenocarcinoma (SIA), and cholangiocarcinoma (CC) [44-46]. IBD-related treatment with thiopurines is associated with an increased risk of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and non-melanoma skin cancer [47-52].

An effective approach to address the increased risk of malignancy in older IBD patients is through appropriate cancer screening (Table 2). When considering screening in older patients, multiple factors need to be considered, including life expectancy, functional performance status, comorbidities, patient-specific risk factors for individual cancers, psychosocial support, financial status, and patient desires. Screening should only be offered to patients who have good life expectancy (more than 10 years) and are healthy enough to undergo the cancer treatment [53].

IBD-related cancers

CRC

Older patients with long-standing IBD-related colitis are at increased risk for CRC. Additionally, older patients are at increased risk for sporadic CRC arising from polyps [13]. In a US population-based case-control study, the incidence of CRC among IBD patients aged 65 and older was 8.2 per 10,000 person-years, compared to 6.1 per 10,000 person-years among those without IBD. The risk of CRC was increased in both UC (OR 1.93, 95% CI 1.54-2.49) and CD (OR 1.45, 95% CI 1.08-1.91) [44].

IBD patients with extensive disease, severe inflammation and longer disease duration are at higher risk of CRC [54]. In patients with long-standing disease transitioning to older age, the risk of CRC may be particularly high because of the cumulative effects of age and IBD.

Older-onset IBD may behave differently and the disease extent and duration may be less important. Baars et al found that IBD diagnosis at an older age was related to early CRC (CRC diagnosis within 8 years of IBD diagnosis) and the early CRC was not associated with IBD type, extent of inflammation, pseudopolyps, medication use, or concomitant primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) [55]. Other studies have also described a more rapid development of CRC among older-onset IBD patients [56,57].
Screening and surveillance colonoscopy can reduce the CRC-related mortality in IBD patients. Ananthakrishnan et al reported a reduced risk of CRC and a nearly 70% reduction in mortality rate from CRC in IBD patients who underwent colonoscopy screening [58].

There are no specific guidelines for CRC screening in older IBD patients. Most authors suggest surveillance strategies based on the duration and extent of colitis in these patients [13,59]. CRC screening guidelines in IBD suggest starting colonoscopy 8-10 years after the diagnosis or onset of symptoms of IBD [54,60,61]. The American Gastroenterology Association (AGA) recommends surveillance examinations every 1 to 3 years thereafter, depending on the risk factors, such as history of CRC in first-degree relatives, ongoing active inflammation, presence of inflammatory pseudopolyps, shortened colon, stricture, or concomitant PSC [54]. The British Society of Gastroenterology recommends yearly, 3-yearly or 5-yearly surveillance colonoscopies, depending on the risk factors. Recommendations include chromoendoscopy with targeted biopsy of abnormal areas, or 2-4 random biopsies from every 10 cm of the colon [60]. Although these strategies may be applicable to patients with long-standing disease transitioning to older age, revised surveillance strategies may be warranted for older-onset IBD patients, as they are at risk for early CRC [55-57]. In a study by Baars et al, the median duration to CRC diagnosis was 3.5 years in patients with IBD diagnosis at age >60 years. This led the authors to suggest an immediate start of surveillance at the time of IBD diagnosis for patients diagnosed with IBD above the age of 45 years [55]. Colonoscopy is an invasive procedure and the risk of colonoscopic complications increases with advanced age. The risk is further increased in the presence of comorbidities [62]. Therefore, clinicians need to consider these factors in the risk-benefit analysis of CRC screening in older IBD patients. Based on the current data, clinicians may consider starting screening colonoscopy within 1-2 years of IBD diagnosis in older-onset IBD patients.

SIA

Patients with CD are at increased risk of SIA [45,63]. Risk factors for SIA in CD include older age, male sex, duration of disease, and associated fistulizing disease [45,64].

Currently, there are no screening guidelines for SIA in CD patients. In a prospective French cohort study, the standardized incidence ratio of SIA in patients with small bowel CD was 2.6-fold higher in patients with >8 years as opposed to ≤8 years of small bowel CD (46.0 vs. 17.8) [63]. This led the authors to suggest endoscopic screening for SIA in patients with longstanding non-resected small bowel CD. Additionally, computed tomographic or magnetic resonance enterography and small bowel capsule endoscopy offer screening possibilities in CD patients; however, further studies are needed to assess their feasibility and efficacy [50].

Although the relative risk of SIA is increased in older patients with CD, the absolute risk is small [45]. Furthermore, the risk of endoscopic complications may be higher in older patients, who often have more comorbidities [62]. Therefore, considering the risks and benefits, SIA screening in all older CD patients with small bowel disease may not be beneficial.

### Table 2 Cancer screening for older patients with IBD

| Cancer                  | Initial screening                                      | Subsequent interval screening | Comments                                                                 |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Colorectal cancer       | Screening colonoscopy 8-10 years following diagnosis but screening within 1-2 years of diagnosis should be considered in older-onset IBD | Every 1-3 years depending on risk factors<sup>a</sup> | If available, chromoendoscopy with targeted biopsies can be considered |
| Small intestinal adenocarcinoma | Consider endoscopic or radiological screening in long-standing (>8 years) small bowel Crohn’s disease | Uncertain | Screening unlikely to be cost-effective |
| Cholangiocarcinoma      | Consider screening with ultrasound or MRCP and cancer antigen 19-9 in patients with IBD and PSC | Annually in patients with IBD and PSC | No specific guidelines in IBD patients |
| Melanoma and NMSC       | Full skin exams by physician, particularly upon initiation of thiopurines or anti-TNF agents | Annually | IBD treatment with anti-TNF agents and thiopurines may increase risk of melanoma and NMSC, respectively |
| Lymphoma                | No screening guidelines                               | -                            | In patients taking thiopurines, close surveillance for signs and symptoms of lymphoma, such as unexplained fever, weight loss, night sweats, fatigue, and swollen lymph nodes |

<sup>a</sup>Risk factors include history of colorectal cancer in first-degree relatives, ongoing active inflammation, presence of inflammatory pseudopolyps, shortened colon, stricture, or concomitant PSC.

IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; MRCP, magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography; NMSC, non-melanoma skin cancer; PSC, primary sclerosing cholangitis; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.
CC

IBD patients are at increased risk of CC [46]. In a Danish national cohort study, the incidence of CC in the IBD population was 7.6 per 100,000 person years, compared with 1.9 per 100,000 person years in a non-IBD population. Age had a significant effect on the incidence rate of CC, with the highest incidence rate of 15.2 per 100,000 person years being found in IBD patients aged 60–69 years [46]. A longer duration of IBD is associated with an increased risk for CC development [65]. PSC is often suggested as an intermediate step in CC development [46]. Most CC cases are diagnosed within the first year after the diagnosis of PSC [65]. Although there are no specific guidelines for CC screening in IBD patients, older patients may benefit from an annual ultrasound or magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography and a cancer antigen 19-9, particularly if other risk factors, such as concomitant PSC are present [50,66]. Further studies are needed to assess the costs and feasibility of CC screening in IBD.

IBD treatment-related cancers

Lymphoma

IBD treatment with thiopurines is associated with an increased risk of lymphoma, particularly non-Hodgkin's lymphoma [47,48,67]. The risk is even greater in older IBD patients. A recent meta-analysis found that IBD patients over the age of 50 years had the highest absolute risk of lymphoma per year on thiopurines, with a relative risk of 4.78 [67].

Although there are no formal guidelines for lymphoma screening in IBD patients, several strategies have been suggested for reducing the risk of lymphoma in IBD patients. Besides older age and thiopurine therapy, male sex and longer duration of IBD are associated with an increased risk of lymphoma [47,48,67]. As the absolute risk of lymphoma is highest in older IBD patients, these patients need to be carefully selected for thiopurine therapy. Thiopurine therapy should only be used in patients with endoscopic verification of moderate-to-severe disease. One possible strategy to reduce the risk of lymphoma may be to limit the use of thiopurines to 1-3 years [48,67]. Some authors have suggested weight-based dose adjustment and thiopurine metabolite monitoring to reduce the risk [48]. Another strategy may be to consider alternative therapy in older IBD patients, methotrexate over thiopurines in CD [13]. If anti-TNF therapy is needed, monotherapy is preferred over concomitant anti-TNF and thiopurine therapy [47].

Skin cancer

Thiopurine therapy in IBD patients is associated with an increased risk of non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC) [49,51,52]. In a prospective French cohort study, IBD patients >65 years old with current or past thiopurine exposure had the highest incidence of NMSC (4.04 and 5.70 per 1000 patient-years, respectively) [49]. Long et al reported a twofold increase in the risk of NMSC in IBD patients on thiopurine therapy. Anti-TNF monotherapy was not associated with an increased risk of NMSC, but concomitant use with thiopurines increased the risk by almost fourfold [51].

The role of IBD therapy in the development of melanoma skin cancer (MSC) is unclear. There is no significant association between thiopurine use and MSC [51,52]. The risk of MSC with anti-TNF therapy is controversial. Long et al reported a nearly twofold increased risk of melanoma with anti-TNF therapy. The incidence of melanoma increased with advancing age, regardless of IBD status [51]. However, anti-TNF therapy was not associated with an increased risk of MSC in other studies [68]. Further studies are needed to delineate the risk of MSC in older IBD patients.

Older IBD patients, particularly those on thiopurine therapy, should be educated about the risk of skin cancer. Preventive strategies are critical and may decrease the burden of skin cancer in IBD patients. Primary prevention strategies include limiting sun exposure, use of sun-protective clothing, broad-spectrum sunscreen, and reduction or elimination of modifiable risk factors. In addition to thiopurine therapy, older age, male sex, fair skin, high ultraviolet exposure, and a personal or family history of skin cancer are associated with an increased risk of NMSC in IBD patients [49,52,69]. It is unclear if the risk of NMSC persists after discontinuation of thiopurine therapy. In the CESAME study cohort, past thiopurine exposure significantly increased the risk of NMSC in IBD patients, indicating persistent risk following thiopurine withdrawal [49]. However, in a retrospective cohort study involving UC patients, Abbas et al found that the risk of NMSC reduced to pre-exposure levels after discontinuation of thiopurine therapy [52]. One possible strategy to reduce the risk of NMSC may be withdrawal of thiopurine therapy in older IBD patients after a period of deep remission [52]. Another strategy may be to consider alternative therapy, especially in older Caucasian men with a personal or family history of skin cancer. Secondary prevention measures include routine skin screening examinations for patients at risk. Although there are no specific guidelines regarding skin cancer screening in IBD, most experts recommend an annual dermatology examination by a physician [50,69]. In a study of a hypothetical cohort of CD patients, annual NMSC screening was cost-effective and led to the early detection of nearly 94% of incident NMSC cases [70].

Bone health

Older IBD patients are at increased risk of osteoporosis and fracture [71-73]. In a population-based study in CD patients from the US, aging significantly increased the risk of fracture, with a 30% increase in the risk for every 10-year increase in age [71]. These fractures can adversely affect the health and well being of older IBD patients. In a study based on a
nationwide inpatient database from the US, Ananthakrishnan et al reported 1653 hospitalizations for hip, vertebral or wrist fractures in IBD patients, accounting for an estimated 10,461 hospitalization days and US$46 million in total hospitalization charges. Old age was associated with the highest risk for fracture-related hospitalizations in IBD patients (OR 28.8, 95% CI 12.3-67.6). Compared with hospitalized IBD controls without fractures, IBD patients with fractures had significantly longer hospital stays, with higher hospitalization costs and in-hospital mortality [72].

Older age, ongoing intestinal inflammation, and corticosteroids can predispose IBD patients to osteoporosis. Other risk factors for osteoporosis in IBD include low body mass index, immobilization or sedentary lifestyle, previous fragility fracture, hypogonadism, smoking, excess alcohol consumption, malnutrition, malabsorption and deficiency of calcium and vitamin D [73]. The AGA guidelines suggest screening IBD patients for decreased bone mineral density with dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry scanning in the presence of one or more risk factors (Table 3) [74].

Besides screening at-risk IBD patients, clinicians should emphasize primary prevention measures to promote and maintain bone health in older IBD patients. All patients with IBD should be educated about the importance of lifestyle changes, such as regular weight-bearing exercise, smoking cessation, and minimizing alcohol intake [61]. Osteoporotic patients or patients at risk for osteoporosis should receive adequate Vitamin D (400-800 IU/day) and calcium (up to 1500 mg/day) supplementation [24,74]. The use of corticosteroids should be minimized and steroid-sparing strategies should be developed upon initiation of corticosteroids. Budesonide sustained-release capsules (Entercort®) in mild to moderate ileal or right colonic CD and budesonide extended-release tablets (Uceris®) in mild to moderate UC are preferred over systemic corticosteroids, as they have less systemic absorption and effect on bone metabolism [75-77]. The AGA guidelines suggest bisphosphonate therapy in IBD patients with osteoporosis, atraumatic fractures, and patients on corticosteroids for >3 months [74]. For post-menopausal osteoporosis in IBD patients, estrogen therapy, a selective estrogen receptor modulator or calcitonin may be considered [74]. Osteoporosis associated with hypogonadism in male IBD patients should be treated with testosterone. Consultation with a bone disease specialist or an endocrinologist should be considered in managing older IBD patients with significant bone disease.

### Mental health

IBD carries significant psychological and social implications for patients. Moreover, IBD patients have higher rates of anxiety

| Health issue                  | Monitoring                        | Comment                                                                                   |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bone health                  | Screen at-risk patients for       | Prevention strategies:                                                                    |
|                              | decreased bone mineral densitya   | Minimize corticosteroid use                                                               |
|                              |                                   | Promote lifestyle changes, such as weight bearing activity, smoking cessation               |
|                              |                                   | Calcium and vitamin D supplementation                                                    |
|                              |                                   | Bisphosphonate therapy as indicatedb                                                       |
|                              |                                   | Consider consultation with bone disease specialist or endocrinologist if significant bone disease |
| Mental health                | Assess psychological status at    | Refer to a specialist                                                                       |
|                              | regular visits                    | (psychiatrist, psychologist) if significant psychological disorder                       |
| Nutritional status           | Record weight change and          | Annual serum vitamin B12, vitamin D, iron and folate levels in patients with small bowel Crohn's disease | |
|                              | inquire regarding food intake     | Refer to a registered dietitian if malnutrition                                             |
|                              | during regular visits             |                                                                                            |
| Smoking cessation            | Assess at regular visits          | Smoking cessation interventions:                                                           |
|                              |                                   | Patient education and counseling                                                           |
|                              |                                   | Nicotine replacement therapy, bupropion or varenicline                                      |
| Venous thromboembolism       | Assess for thromboembolism,       | Thromboprophylaxis recommended for all hospitalized patients                              |
|                              | particularly during flares and    | May consider outpatient prophylaxis during moderate to severe disease flares, particularly for patients with a previous thromboembolism who are no longer on anticoagulation |
|                              | hospitalizations                  |                                                                                            |
| Ocular health                | Annual ophthalmologic exams       | Risk factors include the extent of intestinal disease (colitis or ileocolitis), disease activity, presence of associated arthritis and long-term corticosteroid therapy (>3 months) |
| Oral health                  | Annual or biannual dental exams   | Risk factors include smoking, perianal disease and high disease activity in Crohn's disease |

*aRisk factors include post-menopausal state, men over the age of 50, >3 months of corticosteroid use, history of a low-trauma fracture, or hypogonadism.

*bIndications include patients with osteoporosis, osteoporotic fragility fractures, and patients on chronic corticosteroid therapy
and/or depression [78,79]. In a population-based study, IBD patients had a significantly higher risk of major depression compared to age- and gender-matched controls (OR 2.2, 95% CI 1.64-2.95) [79]. Older IBD patients, in particular, are at increased risk of developing depression [80]. In the general population, nearly 7% of older adults experience mood disorders [81]. In the older IBD population, the prevalence of depression is around 23%. Lower education level, higher corticosteroid use, and lower exercise levels are associated with depression in these patients [80]. Psychological health has important implications for other disease factors. The presence of depression is associated with higher IBD disease activity, frequent flares, lower remission rates, and decreased health-related quality of life, regardless of the severity of the underlying disease [78,80,82].

The European Crohn’s and Colitis Organisation (ECCO) consensus guidelines recommend assessment of the patient’s psychosocial status and need for additional psychological care at regular visits, with psychotherapy being provided if indicated [83]. The Assessment of the Demand for Additional Psychological Treatment (ADAPT) questionnaire, developed and validated in IBD patients, can be used to assess the need for psychological care in IBD patients [84]. The choice of psychotherapeutic intervention depends on the psychological disorder, and should be delivered in consultation with a specialist (psychiatrist, psychologist).

Corticosteroid use in IBD can also cause psychiatric side effects. Akerkar et al reported a sevenfold increased risk of mental status changes in CD patients >50 years old treated with corticosteroids compared to those not receiving corticosteroid treatment. The risk was similar for patients <65 and ≥65 years of age [85]. Treatment involves targeting the specific psychiatric symptoms and discontinuation of corticosteroids, when possible.

**Nutritional status**

Older IBD patients, particularly those with CD, are at increased risk of protein-energy malnutrition and micronutrient deficiencies. In a study based on a national inpatient database in the US, IBD patients ≥65 years old were more likely to have malnutrition than IBD patients <65 years old (7.3% vs. 5.4%). Furthermore, malnutrition was associated with higher in-hospital mortality (OR 1.86, 95% CI 1.38-2.51) [86]. In another study, up to 18% of older IBD patients were found to have deficiencies of vitamin B12, iron and vitamin D. Nutritional deficiencies correlated with the duration of disease in CD, but not in UC [87]. Malnutrition in CD is associated with increased rates of sepsis, pneumonia, postoperative complications, mortality, prolonged hospital stay, and higher costs [88].

Nutrition screening and implementation of targeted nutrition plans can reduce the risk of malnutrition. Inquiry about food intake and weight change, along with the measurements of body weights during clinic visits, can help clinicians to identify patients at nutritional risk. In patients with small bowel CD in remission, serum vitamin B12, vitamin D, iron and folate levels should be measured annually [24,87,88]. Patients at risk of malnutrition should be referred to a registered dietician to arrange a treatment plan.

**Smoking cessation**

Smoking rates are lower in patients ≥65 years old than in younger adults, but the cumulative effects of smoking in the elderly lead to higher rates of lung cancer and mortality related to chronic obstructive lung disease [89]. Smoking in CD patients is associated with a more severe disease course, increased relapse rates, need for corticosteroids and immunomodifying agents, and a higher risk of hospitalization and surgery [90,91]. In contrast, smoking in UC patients is associated with lower rates of disease exacerbation, hospitalization and colectomy [90,92]. However, a recent study failed to demonstrate a substantial benefit from smoking in UC [91]. The risk of developing CD is not significantly increased after age 55 years [90]. Nonetheless, smoking has detrimental effects on other aspects of health in IBD patients, such as bone health, cancer prevention, venous thromboembolism, and cardiovascular and pulmonary disease.

IBD patients who are informed about the effects of smoking are more likely to quit [93]. Although older smokers are less likely than younger smokers to attempt quitting, they are more likely to be successful. Older smokers are more responsive to targeted smoking cessation programs and are more likely to use cessation assistance, partly explaining their greater cessation success [89]. In a cost-utility analysis using a Markov model, Coward et al found that smoking cessation programs were cost-effective and could improve health outcomes in CD patients [94]. Therefore, smoking cessation is an important topic of discussion between providers and older IBD patients.

**Venous thromboembolism (VTE) prevention**

Patients with IBD have a 2- to 3-fold higher risk of VTE compared to the general population [95-98]. IBD-related factors, such as an active inflammation, hospitalization, malignancy, surgery, vitamin deficiency, corticosteroid treatment and dehydration, increase the risk of VTE in IBD patients [97,99,100]. Advanced age is an additional risk factor for VTE. In a study based on a nationwide inpatient database from the US, aging significantly increased the risk of VTE in IBD patients, with a 20% increase in the risk for every 10-year increase in age. Furthermore, VTE in IBD patients was associated with greater mortality, a longer length of hospital stay, and higher hospitalization cost [96].

IBD guidelines recommend anticoagulant thromboprophylaxis in hospitalized IBD patients [83,98]. In a multi-institutional cohort study of 2788 IBD patients with at least one IBD-related hospitalization, pharmacological
thromboprophylaxis during the index hospital stay was associated with a significantly lower risk of post-hospitalization VTE (HR 0.46, 95% CI 0.22-0.97) [99].

There are no specific guidelines for VTE prophylaxis in older IBD patients. Clinicians should implement intervention strategies to reduce or remove modifiable risk factors associated with VTE. Smoking cessation, weight reduction in obese patients, adequate hydration, early mobilization—particularly in a postoperative setting—and frequent ambulation during long-distance travel should be encouraged. Timely diagnosis and treatment of infections, limiting the use of venous catheters, correction of vitamin deficiency (B6, B12 and folic acid), and prompt treatment of active disease are other important measures for preventing VTE in IBD patients [100]. As the absolute risk of VTE increases with age and IBD flares in an ambulatory setting, some authors have suggested the use of outpatient VTE prophylaxis in older, non-hospitalized IBD patients during disease flares [95,97]. Consensus guidelines recommend against the routine use of anticoagulant thromboprophylaxis during an outpatient IBD flare. However, anticoagulation may be considered during moderate to severe IBD flares in IBD outpatients who are no longer on anticoagulation [98].

Eye health

IBD patients can develop eye disease, either as an extraintestinal manifestation of IBD or as a complication of IBD therapy. Although conjunctivitis, episcleritis, scleritis, and uveitis are the most common ophthalmologic complications of IBD, posterior uveitis, intraretinal hemorrhages, vasculitis, choriditis, optic neuropathy, and vaso-occlusive phenomena may also occur [101]. A prospective clinical study of 116 IBD patients reported no significant association of age with the development of ocular complications in IBD [102]. However, a population-based IBD cohort study found that patients >40 years old were more likely to have iritis/uveitis than those <40 years old [103]. Vision loss in the elderly can cause a significant decline in function and is related to falls and cognitive decline. Early diagnosis and treatment of ocular disease can prevent significant morbidity from vision loss. The AGA guidelines recommend annual ophthalmologic exams for patients on long-term corticosteroid therapy (>3 months) [75]. Since the burden of ocular disease is high in the elderly, older IBD patients are likely to benefit from annual ophthalmologic exams.

Oral health

IBD patients have a high prevalence of oral and dental diseases, such as gingivitis, dental caries, periodontitis, oral ulcers, and dry mouth [104,105]. Not surprisingly, IBD patients need more frequent dental care [104]. In one case-control study, smoking increased the risk of gingivitis, whereas brushing teeth regularly decreased the risk in IBD patients [105]. A recent study found an increased risk of oral cancers, particularly tongue cancer, in IBD patients [106]. In addition to regular brushing, flossing and appropriate denture care, annual or biannual dental exams should be encouraged in older IBD patients.

Polypharmacy/monitoring for adverse effects of IBD treatment

Advanced age is often associated with multimorbidity and polypharmacy. Older IBD patients are prescribed on an average 7-9 routine medications [87,107]. In a study in CD patients, major polypharmacy (≥25 medications) was noted in 50% of patients, with advanced age significantly increasing the risk (OR 1.9, 95% CI 1.2-3.4) [108]. A retrospective study in older IBD patients noted major polypharmacy in nearly 22% patients [87]. However, in a cohort study from a referral center, approximately 44% of older IBD patients had severe polypharmacy (≥10 routine medications) [107].

With increasing polypharmacy, there is greater potential for adverse drug events, drug-drug interactions, medication-related errors, non-adherence, and decreased quality of life [38,107,108]. Parian et al reported at least one potential medication interaction in almost two-thirds of older IBD patients [107]. Cross et al reported adverse drug reactions in nearly 55% of CD patients. In addition, polypharmacy correlated with decreased quality of life [108]. Therefore, it is important to review the medication list of older IBD patients at regular intervals to eliminate unnecessary or inappropriate medications.

Multimorbidity and polypharmacy, along with alterations in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, increase the risk of adverse drug reactions in older IBD patients. Age-related increases in body fat and decreases in lean body mass and total body water can alter the distribution of lipophilic and hydrophilic drugs. Additionally, age-related physiological changes, such as increased gastric pH, delayed gastric emptying, decreased absorption surface, gastrointestinal motility, hepatic mass and blood flow, renal blood flow and glomerular filtration rate can alter drug absorption, metabolism and elimination in the elderly [109]. Renal elimination of sulfasalazine, corticosteroids, and methotrexate may be slower in older IBD patients [13]. Therefore, older IBD patients should be closely monitored for any adverse drug reactions. Clinicians should also be vigilant about potential drug-drug interactions while starting these patients on any new medication.

Based on the level of disease severity, patients should undergo routine office visits at least every 3-6 months. Providers should consider obtaining baseline laboratory tests (complete blood count, metabolic profile, liver function testing, C-reactive protein, sedimentation rate). Patients on medical therapy should undergo laboratory testing every 1-6 months depending on their treatment regimen (Table 4) [11,24,38,75,110,111].
**Table 4** Medication-specific health issues for older patients with inflammatory bowel disease [11,24,38,75,110,111]

| Specific medications | Safety concerns | Comment |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Aminosalicylates     | Nephrotoxicity and interstitial nephritis | Overall risk of renal insufficiency is minimal. Renal function testing every 3-6 months in the first year of therapy and then annually. |
| Corticosteroids      | Adverse events from corticosteroid use include sleep and mood disturbances, glucose intolerance, hypertension, cataracts, glaucoma, osteoporosis, myopathy, edema, and increased susceptibility to infections | Adverse events are more frequent and severe in the elderly. Recommended tests include 25-OH vitamin D level, metabolic panel, and glucose. |
| Thiopurines          | The major concerning side effect is bone marrow suppression. Other side effects include mild gastrointestinal side effects, pancreatitis, hepatotoxicity, and non-specific reactions, such as fever, rash, and arthralgia. | No significant differences in efficacy, metabolism, and toxicity of thiopurine therapy between older and younger patients. A thiopurine methyl transferase genotype and enzyme activity testing prior to initiation of therapy; CBC and liver function testing at least every other week when initiating therapy as long as doses of medications are being adjusted; thereafter at least every 3 months. |
| Methotrexate         | Bone marrow suppression, hepatic fibrosis, alopecia, and hypersensitivity pneumonitis. | Periodic CBC and liver function testing; folate supplementation during therapy. |
| Cyclosporine         | Common side effects include hypertension, seizure, tremor, paresthesias, gingival hyperplasia, hypertrichosis, nephrotoxicity, electrolyte abnormalities, and opportunistic infections | CBC, serum chemistries, and cyclosporine levels regularly; Prophylaxis against *Pneumocystis carinii* is recommended. |
| Biologics            | Potential adverse effects include infections, heart failure, dermatological manifestations, infusion reactions and neurological manifestations | Conflicting evidence on efficacy of biologics among the elderly (European studies reported similar response rates in elderly and younger patients; however, a US study reported lower response rates in elderly compared with younger patients). Elderly patients may be more susceptible to adverse effects. Prior to initiation of therapy, hepatitis serologies and tuberculosis screening with tuberculin skin test or Quantiferon-TB Gold test; CBC and liver function testing every 3-6 months while on maintenance therapy. |

CBC, complete blood count

**Concluding remarks**

Older IBD patients often have a higher risk and are more vulnerable to adverse events associated with their disease and treatment. Therefore, health maintenance strategies are imperative. Infections, malignancy, bone disease, psychological disorders, venous thromboembolism, malnutrition and polypharmacy are issues of particular concern in older IBD patients. Despite the increased burden of preventable diseases in the IBD population, most IBD patients do not receive routine health maintenance. Older IBD patients, particularly those on biologics and/or immune-modifying agents, may have a decreased immune response to vaccinations. Clinicians should consider vaccinating these patients before the initiation of immunosuppressive therapy. Cancer screening should only be offered to patients who have good life expectancy and are healthy enough to undergo cancer treatment. Additionally, preventive strategies against osteoporosis and osteoporotic fractures, VTE, malnutrition, ocular disease and depression may have significant health benefits for older IBD patients. Further studies of different healthcare maintenance strategies in IBD patients will elucidate the need for additional age-related guidelines.
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