Fermi-crossing Type-II Dirac fermions and topological surface states in NiTe$_2$
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Transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) offer an ideal platform to experimentally realize Dirac fermions. However, typically these exotic quasiparticles are located far away from the Fermi level, limiting the contribution of Dirac-like carriers to the transport properties. Here we show that NiTe$_2$ hosts both bulk Type-II Dirac points and topological surface states. The underlying mechanism is shared with other TMDs and based on the generic topological character of the Te $p$-orbital manifold. However, unique to NiTe$_2$, a significant contribution of Ni $d$ orbital states shifts the energy of the Type-II Dirac point close to the Fermi level. In addition, one of the topological surface states intersects the Fermi energy and exhibits a remarkably large spin splitting of 120 meV. Our results establish NiTe$_2$ as an exciting candidate for next-generation spintronics devices.

Topologically protected electronic states hold great promise for the development of next-generation electronic and spintronic devices$^{1-3}$. For topological insulators (TI), such as the well-known case of Bi$_2$Se$_3$$^4$, the carriers associated with the topologically-protected surface states have the unique properties of spin-momentum locking and suppression of backscattering, making the surfaces of such TIs highly attractive platform for spin-transport applications. In the case of topological semimetals, topologically protected crossings occur in the bulk band structure, leading to the formation of bulk Dirac points. In the vicinity of these points, the electronic bands cross linearly, opening up the potential for carriers with ultrahigh-mobility, such as are found in Cd$_3$As$_2$.$^5$

The class of transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs), already well-known for hosting an array of correlated electronic phenomena, has recently been identified as a materials system which also hosts topologically-protected states$^6$-$^{11}$. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations for a wide range of compounds predict a set of bulk Dirac points and band inversions (associated with topological surface states, TSS), as the chalcogen orbital symmetries combined with a natural hierarchy of energy scales ensure that these states exist rather generically. However, the energies at which they occur is not guaranteed by any physical constraint, and many are predicted to exist in the unoccupied states, where they are hard to verify experimentally. On the other hand, topological states below the Fermi level (EF) can be readily verified and scrutinised by angular resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) and spin-ARPES. When these states lie at high binding energies however, they do not affect the transport properties, limiting any potential applications.

Given that TMDs are being increasingly incorporated into device structures$^{12-17}$, it is highly desirable to identify a candidate material whose transport properties might be predominantly derived from topologically protected states. An intriguing candidate in this regard is NiTe$_2$. Recent transport measurements have confirmed a substantial magnetoresistance effect, the response becoming large and linear in magnetic field, a characteristic feature of topological semimetals$^{18}$. The Berry phase of the observed quantum oscillations is close to $\pi$, often interpreted as a signature of the contribution of a topologically non-trivial state. Moreover, DFT calculations have suggested that one of the bulk Dirac points of NiTe$_2$ may indeed lie much closer to the Fermi level than in
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other members of the family where the equivalent states are much further away and thus less relevant to transport (or superconductivity). These calculations strongly motivate an experimental survey of the electronic structure of NiTe₂ using ARPES.

In this paper, we demonstrate with a combination of ARPES and comprehensive DFT calculations that the band structure of NiTe₂ shows the formation of type-II Dirac fermions near the Fermi level and a set of spin-polarised topological surface states. A Fermi surface consisting of electron pockets associated with type-II Dirac fermions and topological surface states is predicted. The band crossing leading to type-II Dirac fermions is dominated by Te p-orbitals, consistent with the mechanism of band inversion from the chalcogen p-orbital manifold shown in other TMDs. Our ARPES study shows the formation of electron pockets hosting Dirac carriers at the Fermi level. Topological surface states observed through ARPES agree well with our DFT calculations. One of the surface states is found to intersect the Fermi level, forming electron pockets. The measured Fermi surface map matches well with our calculations and the observation of electron pockets implies finite contribution of Dirac and surface carriers to the transport properties.

Results and discussion

In what follows, we adopt the tight-binding-based analysis introduced in Refs. for understanding the band structure of NiTe₂, which is distinct from previously known cases of isovalent and isostructural transition-metal dichalcogenides TMX₂ (TM = Pd, Pt; X = Te, Se). Our tight-binding model incorporates two chalcogen sites (Fig. 1a), and captures the manner in which the chalcogenide p-orbitals transform from the chalcogenide p-orbital manifold develops into dispersive bands which generically possess topological characteristics: bulk Dirac points, inverted band gaps (IBGs), and topologically protected surface states (TSS).

In NiTe₂, the triply degenerate energy levels of the Te pₓz-orbitals split into pₓ (upper-state) and pₜ (lower-state) manifolds due to crystal-field splitting (CFS) (Fig. 1c). Additionally, spin–orbit coupling (SOC) causes the pₓ orbitals to split into singlets (R₁ and R₂,5,6 levels) and modifies the energetic separation between pₓ and pₓz. The pₓ orbitals transform according to the irreducible representation (IREP) R₁. The two Te sites in the unit cell imply that the p-orbitals are split into bonding (B) and antibonding (AB) levels at the Γ (k = (0, 0, 0)) and the A (k = (0, 0, π/3)) points of the Brillouin zone. Symmetry-wise, the p bands associated with B and AB levels at Γ and A points transform as the IREPs of the double space groups Γ x (X₀, X₁, X₂) and Γ x (X₀, X₄, X₅, X₆) for these two levels along the Δ point. Additionally, spin–orbit coupling causes hybridisation (SOC) as seen from the identical IREP, X₀, for these two levels along the Δ point. This leads to a parity inverted band gap (IBG) and a set of spin–orbit states (TSS).

When the bandwidth of pₓ-derived states becomes greater than the combination of CFS and SOC, a crossing between the px and pₓz states occurs as a function of kₓ, resulting in band inversion. The states formed due to the B-AB splitting of p-orbitals pick up even (+) or odd (−) parity since the Te-atom sites at the Γ and A points are located across the crystal inversion point. Therefore, kₓ dispersion of a single orbital (p) manifold leads to band parity inversion. Figure 1c shows the symmetry correspondence between IREPs of the double group at the Γ and A point, and along the Δ symmetry line for any k= (0, 0, kₓ) with 0 < kₓ < π/3. A crossing between Rₓz (±) and R₂ (±) causes hybridisation as seen from the identical IREP, X₀, for these two levels along the Δ point. This leads to a parity inverted band gap (IBG) (See Fig. 1c). In addition, the opposite parity of R₂ (±) and R₄ (±) suggests that the IBG will have a Z₂ topological order and are capable of hosting topological surface states.

On the other hand, R₁ (±) and R₂,5,6 (±) have different symmetry properties along the Δ line, as they transform differently under threefold rotation. This allows band crossing between R₁ and R₂,5,6 to lead to the formation of the bulk Dirac points (BDPs) along the kₜ direction (valid for 0 < kₓ < π/3). The location of the BDP in k-space is determined by the bandwidth of R₁ (±)-derived bands and the strength of CFS.

The discussion above is based on symmetry, yielding a generic and phenomenological viewpoint. To obtain a more quantitative prediction of the location of the expected bulk Dirac points and IBGs, we turn to ab-initio DFT calculations. Our calculated band structure showing band dispersion and the orbital character of bands is shown in Fig. 2a. The density of states (DoS) confirms that the bands close to the Fermi level, EF, are dominated by Te p-orbitals. Ni d-orbital derived bands appear away from the Fermi level at E–E_F ~ −2 eV (Fig. 2b). The px,py bands show significantly stronger dispersion along the in-plane high symmetry directions Γ(NA)-M (L) and Γ(A)-M (K), compared to the out-of-plane Γ-A direction. However, consistent with the tight-binding analysis, the px bands have a large dispersion along Γ-A with wide band width and cross the py bands as a function of kₓ.

Our DFT calculations indicate several important differences between NiTe₂ and the other TMX₂ compounds. Weakly dispersive bands of predominantly d-character are found at E–E_F ~ −2 eV (Fig. 2a, c). The location of these bands is almost 1.5 eV closer to the Fermi level compared to PtTe₂, a significant difference on replacing Pd with Ni. In addition, at K close to the Fermi level (E–E_F ~ −250 meV), the electron pockets are predominantly formed by bands derived from d-orbitals.

The large shift of TM-derived bands towards the Fermi level in NiTe₂ increases the hybridisation between Ni-d and the Te-p bands. For example, the crossing of Te px and py bands occurs near the A point around E–E_F ~ −1 eV, but the px bands in this energy range show a significant hybridisation with Ni d-orbitals, unlike in other TMX₂ compounds. However, this hybridisation does not disrupt the universal mechanism of formation of the inverted band gaps, Dirac fermions and topological surface states in TMX₂. A type-II bulk Dirac point (BDP-I) appears at E–E_F ~ −1.5 eV, which is followed by a type-II protected bulk Dirac point (BDP-II) at E–E_F ~ −76 meV and kₓ ~ ±0.36eV/c (c = 2π/3). The bands forming the BDP-II are labelled as 1 and 2 (Fig. 2d). These bands cross EF and form electron pockets. This type-II Dirac fermion has been found in other TMX₂; however, the proximity of BDP-II to the Fermi level is unique to NiTe₂. In NiTe₂, the location of BDP-II in momentum space is slightly closer to A-point than PtTe₂ (kₓ ~ ±0.346eV/c) but further away from A than in PdTe₂ (kₓ ~ ±0.40eV/c).
This implies that the strength of CFS and bandwidth of $R_4(\pm)$ derived bands is intermediate between PtTe$_2$ and PdTe$_2$. From the calculated band structure we found that close to BDP-II, the $p_z$ derived bands form inverted band gap IBG-I at $E_\text{F} \approx -0.65$ eV (smaller gap $\approx$ 200 meV), which is accompanied by IBG-II at $E_\text{F} \approx -1.5$ eV (larger gap $\approx 1$ eV). We want to point out that the inverted band gaps (IBGs) at the A-point have inverted parity, implying the existence of topological states.

With these predictions in hand, we now turn to the experimental measurements of the bulk and surface electronic structure of NiTe$_2$. First, we focus on tracking the BDP-II and surface states along $\Gamma$-$A$ using...
photon-energy-dependent ARPES and measure the occupied states below the Fermi level (Fig. 2e). The band features are broadened due to the finite $k_z$ resolution of photoemission but the states are in good agreement with Figure 2. (a) Electronic DFT bulk band structures with orbital character of bands. Type-II Dirac fermion formed by crossing of band 1 and 2 near Fermi level. (b) Density of states (DoS) showing dominant contribution of Te $p$-bands at the Fermi Level compared to Ni $d$-bands. Above Fermi level, the DoS spectra is scaled up by factor of 2. (c) Band dispersion along the $\Gamma$-$A$ direction with inverted band gaps (IBG) and bulk Dirac points (BDP) marked. (d) and (e) Zoomed-in dispersion and measured photon-energy dispersion with linear horizontal polarization along the $\Gamma$-$A$ direction. A non-dispersive feature is marked with an arrow, which does not match with bulk DFT calculation. Figures made using Igor29 and Adobe Illustrator30.
calculated bulk bands. Both IBGs, near Fermi level (E–E_F ~ ~ 0.65 eV) and away from it (E–E_F ~ ~ 1.5 eV) are found. The BDP-II lying above the Fermi level is not accessible by ARPES, but the bands 1 and 2 forming the BDP-II are observed at the A-point. Interestingly, a non-dispersive two-dimensional band is found at E–E_F ~ ~ 1.35 eV close to A-point which does not match any calculated bulk band (marked in Fig. 2c).

To better understand the band structure, we compared the experimental and calculated in-plane band dispersion along A–L and A–H in Fig. 3. The bulk bands show good agreement with bulk DFT calculations (Fig. 3a, b, d, e). Our DFT slab calculations (see “Methods” section for details) show a set of surface states which match well with the experimental data (Fig. 3c, f). The non-dispersive feature at E–E_F ~ ~ 1.35 eV is reproduced as one of the surface states by the slab calculations. We assign the surface state as TSS2. At the A-point, TSS2 forms sharp and intense bands. This feature threads through the IBG-II and connects the time-reversal invariant momentum (TRIM) points (here A, I, H). TSS2 represents a topological non-trivial band but lies far away from the Fermi level.

Slightly closer to the Fermi level, our DFT calculations show a topological surface state at E–E_F ~ ~ 0.65 eV within the band gap IBG-I. This is named as TSS1 and lies within the manifold of bulk bands. TSS1 experiences strong interaction with the bulk states and is classified as a surface resonance state. In ARPES spectra, we observe weak spectral intensity of TSS1 at A, which matches well with the calculations (Fig. 3a, c). However, the mixing of TSS1 with bulk bands makes it difficult to resolve.

At the Fermi level, a surface state is visible in our DFT surface band structure along A–L (Fig. 3c). This is assigned as TSS0, which shows a large spin splitting of around 120 meV and lies between E–E_F ~ ~ 0 to ~ 200 meV. TSS0 is not present along A–H (Fig. 3f). Experimentally, TSS0 is found between A and L with in-plane momentum k_0 = 0.5 Å^-1. TSS0 is formed from two parabolic-like upper (labelled as ε) and lower (γ) branches which intersect EF and form electron pockets (see Fig. 4a).

The k-π dependence of the electronic states spanning over a wide photon energy range (hν = 20–120 eV) shows that TSS0 is non-dispersive along Γ–A (Fig. 4b). This confirms that TSS0 is two-dimensional. The vicinity of TSS0 to EF in NiTe2 makes it unique compared to other surface states and implies finite contribution of topological surface carriers to the non-trivial transport properties. All topological surface states found previously in other TMX_2 are also found in NiTe_2, but notably TSS0 is absent in PtSe_2.

To complete our studies, we map the Fermi surface of NiTe2 using photon energy tuned to the A-point (hν = 23 eV) (Fig. 4c). It is found that the Fermi surface is formed by TSS0 and the bulk bands crossing the Fermi level. The ε and γ bands of TSS0 are identified. These bands form electron pockets at the Fermi level. The pockets formed by ε are more circular than the pockets of the γ band. Identical to PdTe_2, these bands form arc-like features and imply small projected bulk band gaps. At the A-point, band 2 forms an electron pocket (labelled as α) and the projected bulk bands form a hexagonal shape. We show that the calculated Fermi level surface agrees well with the experimental data (see Inset of Fig. 4c).

To identify the topological character of the surface states, the associated spin texture is calculated as shown in Fig. 4d, e. In our description, the x- and y-axis are along A–L and A–H, respectively. TSS2 has a chiral spin texture in the x–y plane with finite <S_x> and <S_y> components. Negligible <S_z> component is found (see Supplementary Information). The strong interaction with bulk bands for TSS1 limits the estimation of spin polarization, and we do not include polarization for TSS1. The electron pockets formed by TSS0 along L–A–L (indicated in Fig. 4c) are well separated from the bulk and hence it is possible to resolve spin texture here. We find that TSS0 has non-zero spin component solely along the γ-direction <S_γ>, which is normal to the A–L direction. The branches of TSS0, ε and γ, show opposite spin polarization. We want to point out that the spin-split branches of the surface state TSS0 close to the Fermi level are connected to the conduction band and the valence band separately, which highlights the topological non-trivial character. For completeness, we include spin polarization for TSS0 along the entire L–A–L and H’–A–H paths, but note that the only location where the surface character has not significantly hybridized with bulk is at the electron pockets, so calculated spin texture at all other locations does not accurately represent surface state spin texture of TSS0.

**Conclusion**

We have shown that NiTe2 exhibits topological surface states and bulk type-II Dirac points, which are derived solely from the Te 5p orbitals, consistent with the generic band inversion mechanism for transition metal dichalcogenides. However, the choice of Ni as the transition metal leads to 3d states significantly closer to the Fermi level compared with (Pd, Pt)(Se, Te)_2, allowing additional d–p hybridisations which tune the bulk Dirac point very close to EF. The band dispersions away from this BDP-II, which form the alpha electron pocket, thus have a topologically non-trivial character, likely to explain the non-trivial Berry phase of a small electron-like pocket observed in transport studies. We have also shown that NiTe2 harbours a unique topological surface state, TSS0, with one of the largest spin-splitting of up to 120 meV reported for any Fermi-crossing surface state of a transition metal dichalcogenide. For future studies, an exciting approach would be to exfoliate individual monolayers or grow very thin films of NiTe_2, with a significantly increased contribution of these topological surface carriers to the transport properties. Thus both the surface and bulk electronic structures of this material are candidates for engineering novel spintronics devices underpinned by a robust and generic topological mechanism.

**Note added**

The present work was made public through posting as an e-print (arXiv:1912.08535). We note that a photoemission studies, which reports some similar results as part of our findings was carried out independently by another group and was published: see ref. 25.
Methods

ARPES measurements. High quality single crystals of NiTe$_2$ were grown by chemical vapour transport as discussed elsewhere$^{18}$. We used the high-resolution vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) ARPES branch of I05 beam line at Diamond Light Source, UK$^{24}$. The samples were cleaved at 10 K, in ultra-high vacuum chamber of I05. Linear horizontal and vertical polarization of photon at energies between $h\nu = 20$ and 120 eV is used. The inner potential $V_\text{0} = 16$ eV of NiTe$_2$ is determined from the $k_z$ dispersion from photon-energy-dependent ARPES stud-
ies using a free electron final state model. We want to point out that the final state induced matrix element effect can lead to suppression of the spectral intensity. This might give an impression of asymmetric spectra in the experimental data.

**First principle calculations.** Our calculations were performed within density functional theory (DFT) with room-temperature lattice parameters (details on lattice parameters is discussed elsewhere18. We employ the Vienna ab-initio simulation package (VASP)25 with generalized gradient approximation (GGA) using the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional26 and projector augmented-wave (PAW) method27. We treat 3p, 3d, and 4s, and 5s and 5p electrons as valence for Ni and Te, respectively. We use an energy cut off of 550 eV for our plane-wave basis set, with a Γ-centred \( k \)-point mesh of 14 \times 14 \times 14 for the primitive unit cell. For surface state features, we perform full self-consistent DFT calculations using a Te-terminated supercell with a slab of ten

---

**Figure 4.** (a) In-plane dispersion of TSS0 forming electron pockets labelled as \( \varepsilon \) and \( \gamma \) and (b) the non-dispersive behaviour of TSS0 surface state close to the Fermi level in the photon-energy dependence. (c) Fermi surface measured with horizontal polarization of light and photon energy, \( h\nu = 23 \) eV, which corresponds to the \( A \)-plane. The region under the red dotted box is magnified by a factor of 5 to show the electron pockets \( \alpha \) (Band 2), \( \varepsilon \) and \( \gamma \) (TSS0) and the bulk bands. (Inset: calculated bulk Fermi surface consisting of the circular \( \alpha \) electron pocket and bands forming hexagonal contour, in good agreement with measured data). (d) and (e) Slab calculation with projected spin components of the topological surface states. TSS2 shows finite \( < S_x > \) and \( < S_y > \) components normal to \( H' - A - H \) and \( L' - A - L \) direction, respectively. TSS0 has finite spin component \( < S_x > \) normal to \( L' - A - L \) (note that TSS0 is hybridized with bulk in all other regions of the plots, so no further conclusions about surface state spin texture can be made). Figures made using Igor29 and Adobe Illustrator30.
primitive unit cells of NiTe₂ stacked along the [001] direction, with 15 Å of vacuum. We conducted calculations with $U$-parameter related to Ni-$d$ orbitals and found that the best agreement with experimental data occurs at $U=0$. Here, we do not show DFT calculations using GGA + $U \neq 0$, since they fail to improve the agreement with the experimental data.

**Data availability**

The datasets that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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