Deciphering the Principles of Bacterial Nitrogen Dietary Preferences: a Strategy for Nutrient Containment
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ABSTRACT A fundamental question in microbial physiology concerns why organisms prefer certain nutrients to others. For example, among different nitrogen sources, ammonium is the preferred nitrogen source, supporting fast growth, whereas alternative nitrogen sources, such as certain amino acids, are considered to be poor nitrogen sources, supporting much slower exponential growth. However, the physiological/regulatory logic behind such nitrogen dietary choices remains elusive. In this study, by engineering Escherichia coli, we switched the dietary preferences toward amino acids, with growth rates equivalent to that of the wild-type strain grown on ammonia. However, when the engineered strain was cultured together with wild-type E. coli, this growth advantage was diminished as a consequence of ammonium leakage from the transport-and-catabolism (TC)-enhanced (TCE) cells, which are preferentially utilized by wild-type bacteria. Our results reveal that the nitrogen regulatory (Ntr) system fine tunes the expression of amino acid transport and catabolism components to match the flux through the ammonia assimilation pathway such that essential nutrients are retained, but, as a consequence, the fast growth rate on amino acids is sacrificed.

IMPORTANCE Bacteria exhibit different growth rates under various nutrient conditions. These environmentally related behaviors reflect the coordination between metabolism and the underlying regulatory networks. In the present study, we investigated the intertwined nitrogen metabolic and nitrogen regulatory systems to understand the growth differences between rich and poor nitrogen sources. Although maximal growth rate is considered to be evolutionarily advantageous for bacteria (as remarked by François Jacob, who said that the “dream” of every cell is to become two cells), we showed that negative-feedback loops in the regulatory system inhibit growth rates on amino acids. We demonstrated that in the absence of regulatory feedback, amino acids are capable of supporting fast growth rates, but this results in ammonia leaking out from cells as “waste,” benefiting the growth of competitors. These findings provide important insights into the regulatory logic that controls metabolic flux and ensures nutrient containment but consequently sacrifices growth rate.

Previous physiological studies demonstrated that glutamine serves as an internal sensor of external nitrogen availability in enteric bacteria (1, 2). Under nitrogen-limiting conditions, the bacterial nitrogen regulatory (Ntr) system responds to the decrease in the internal concentration of glutamine and activates the expression of Ntr-regulated genes/operons (3–5), whose products facilitate the efforts of bacteria to scavenge nitrogenous compounds available in the environment (6).

The Ntr system of Escherichia coli comprises a hierarchical regulatory network, including the bifunctional uridylyltransferase/uridylyl-removing enzyme (UTase/UR) GlnD, the two PII signal transduction proteins GlnB and GlnK, and the NtrBC two-component regulatory system (7–11). Under nitrogen-limiting conditions, GlnD covalently modifies GlnB, enabling NtrB to phosphorylate NtrC, which then activates Ntr-dependent genes, including the expression of glnK (which encodes GlnK). Although GlnD also covalently modifies GlnK under nitrogen-deficient conditions, there is evidence that GlnK feedback inhibits some Ntr-dependent promoters during nitrogen starvation (5), which is likely to result from the incomplete uridylation of GlnK. This interaction of the non-covalently modified form of GlnK with NtrB represses the kinase activity and activates the phosphatase activity of NtrB (12). The consequent dephosphorylation of NtrC prevents activation of Ntr-dependent genes. However, the physiological function of GlnK during nitrogen-limiting exponential growth is unclear.

Growth rate maximization is considered to be an important factor in the survival and fitness of unicellular organisms. Among various nitrogen sources, bacteria prefer ammonia, which supports a fast growth rate in E. coli compared with alternative nitrogen sources such as amino acids (13). It has been confirmed that the Ntr system maintains a fast growth rate across a wide range of ammonium concentrations, primarily by regulating both the expression and activity of the glutamine synthetase enzyme GS (glutamine synthetase) and also of the ammonium transporter AmtB (5, 14–16).
TABLE 1 Growth rates and total nitrogen influx measurements

| Strain | Nitrogen source | μ (h⁻¹) | JNJ (mM/OD₆₀₀/h) |
|--------|----------------|---------|------------------|
| WT     | Ammonium       | 0.67 ± 0.02 | 3.22 ± 0.07 |
| Glutamate | 0.16 ± 0.01     | 0.53 ± 0.03 |
| Arginine | 0.16 ± 0.01     | 0.75 ± 0.02 |
| TCE-Glu | Glutamate       | 0.36 ± 0.00 | 1.71 ± 0.06 |
| Arginine | 0.37 ± 0.02     | 2.03 ± 0.09 |
| TCE-Arg | Arginine        | 0.58 ± 0.04 | 2.54 ± 0.13 |

*WT, GlnK, TCE-Glu, and TCE-Arg represent the wild-type (PKUW13), glnK (PKUW23), glutamate TCE (PKUW151), and arginine TCE (PKUW81) strains, respectively. μ, growth rate; JNJ, total nitrogen influx.

**See Materials and Methods and Fig. S1 in the supplemental material for the calculation of total nitrogen influx (JNJ). Data are indicated as means ± SD.**

Alternative nitrogen sources, such as amino acids, support much slower growth rates and are considered to be “poor” nitrogen sources (1), although genes for the utilization of certain amino acids also belong to the Ntr regulon. This nitrogen preference is likely to reflect physico-chemical constraints on the transport and catabolism of amino acids. However, our studies suggest that this nitrogen dietary preference is deliberately maintained by regulatory constraints, enforced particularly by the Ntr system.

We report a direct correlation between nitrogen influx (JNJ) and growth rate in E. coli, regardless of the nitrogen source used, and demonstrate that the slow growth rate on specific amino acids is limited by constraints on transport and catabolism, as anticipated (17). In contrast, when two transport-and-catabolism (TC)-enhanced (TCE) strains were constructed, they exhibited fast growth on cognate amino acids, comparable to the growth rates observed with ammonium as the sole nitrogen source. Remarkably, these engineered strains prefer to utilize the cognate amino acid rather than ammonia as the sole nitrogen source. However, this switch in nitrogen dietary preferences results in ammonium leakage from the TCE strains, benefiting the growth of competitors. Our quantitative analysis demonstrated that in wild-type E. coli, nutrient leakage is efficiently prevented by two negative-feedback loops in the Ntr system that downregulate the expression of the TC genes, which, in turn, slow the growth rate on amino acids.

RESULTS

Linear relationship between the JNJ and growth rate. Consistent with previous data for Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (1), ammonium supported the fastest growth rate of E. coli, whereas much slower growth rates were observed when individual amino acids were used as the sole nitrogen source (Table 1). To investigate the relationship between growth rate and nitrogen metabolism, we measured the total nitrogen influx (JNJ) during cell growth on different nitrogen sources (Table 1; see Materials and Methods and Fig. S1 in the supplemental material for the calculation of the JNJ value). A linear relationship between JNJ and growth rate was observed (Fig. 1A), thus confirming that growth rate is quantitatively dependent on the rate of nitrogen utilization.

The nitrogen metabolism-regulation model. On the basis of the linear growth rate relationship shown in Fig. 1A, we propose that the phenotype of slow growth on alternative nitrogen sources relates to the level of JNJ as depicted in the metabolism-regulation model (Fig. 1B). The Ntr system regulates both amino acid metabolism and ammonium assimilation (AA) in E. coli by controlling the expression of some transport and metabolic genes/operators (Fig. 1B, upper panel), and it is well accepted that transport...
and catabolism (TC) of amino acids generate ammonium and/or glutamate (13). These metabolites enter the AA process to enable glutamine biosynthesis, catalyzed by GS. The products of ammonium assimilation, glutamine and glutamate, provide nitrogen for almost all the nitrogenous compounds assimilated (i.e., by nitrogen anabolism [NA]) in the cell (Fig. 1B, lower panel). The TC components are upstream of the AA pathway in the metabolic architecture; therefore, compared to the total nitrogen influx of the wild-type strain on ammonium ($J_{N,\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}} = 3.22$), the low levels of nitrogen influx on arginine ($J_{N,\text{Arg}} = 0.75$) or glutamate ($J_{N,\text{Glu}} = 0.53$) are likely to be limited by the TC components, since utilization of either ammonium or amino acids as nitrogen sources involves the same AA and NA components (Fig. 1B).

**TCE strains exhibit fast growth on amino acids.** To verify this hypothesis, we constructed amino acid transport-and-catabolism-enhanced (TCE) strains for the utilization of either glutamate (TCE-Glu) or arginine (TCE-Arg) as the sole nitrogen source (see Materials and Methods). In the presence of saturating concentrations (>40 μM) of IPTG (isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside), the enhanced expression of the TC components increased $J_{\text{N}}$ as well as the growth rate of each TCE strain on the cognate amino acid (Table 1 and Fig. 1). In the case of the TCE-Glu strain, glutamate supported a growth rate (0.85 h$^{-1}$) faster than that of the wild-type strain grown on ammonium (0.67 h$^{-1}$). Although arginine degradation should release more ammonium per amino acid, the growth rate of the TCE-Arg strain (0.58 h$^{-1}$) was notably slower than that of the TCE-Glu strain. Kinetic limitations in either the transport or catabolism components of the TCE-Arg strain may account for this difference. These results confirm that the growth rate on amino acids is limited by $J_{\text{N}}$ which in turn is limited by the TC components, irrespective of the nature of the nitrogen source.

**Altered nitrogen diet of the TCE strains.** Compared to the TCE strains, the wild-type strain does not exhibit maximal growth rates on amino acids, suggesting that fast growth may be not the primary criterion for the metabolism of alternative nitrogen sources. Surprisingly, the improved utilization of the cognate amino acid suppressed the assimilation of ammonium in the TCE strains (Fig. 2). When grown on a mixture of ammonium and amino acids as nitrogen sources, the wild-type strain displayed diauxic growth, exhibiting a preference to utilize ammonium rather than the amino acid (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). To investigate the nitrogen source priority in TCE strains, we investigated which nitrogen sources were made available to the wild type in culture filtrates of the TCE strain. Initially, TCE strains were grown on cognate amino acids supplemented with 2 mM ammonium and filtered samples of the culture medium were taken during exponential growth (Fig. 2, left panels). The wild-type strain was then grown in this filtered medium derived from the TCE strains (Fig. 2, right panels). In all cases, we observed that the wild-type strain exhibited diauxic growth on this combination of nitrogen sources, irrespective of whether it was grown in fresh medium as a control (Fig. 2, red circles) or in filtered medium derived from the TCE strains (Fig. 2, blue and green circles). This suggests that TCE strains metabolized their cognate amino acid first, leaving the ammonium level in the medium almost unchanged. Thus, the newly constructed TCE strains had switched to an alternative nitrogen diet, with a growth advantage on the cognate amino acids.

**Cost/benefit analysis of TCE strains.** It is intriguing that *E. coli* is not evolutionarily optimized with respect to the utilization of amino acids as nitrogen sources. We evaluated the cost/benefit for TCE strains on different nitrogen sources by calculating the reductions or increases in growth rate relative to the growth rate of the wild-type strain on 20 mM ammonium (see Materials and Methods). The benefit of removing all native regulatory constraints on glutamate transport is that it confers a growth advantage of 0.27 for the TCE-Glu strain (PKUW151) when induced with saturating concentrations of IPTG (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). However, optimal expression of the TC genes/operon for the amino acid may itself incur a cost penalty associated with increased protein expression (18, 19). To assess the cost of expressing the arginine TC enzymes, we measured the growth rates of the TCE-Arg strain (PKUW81) grown on ammonium at various IPTG concentrations. Since there is no benefit in expressing these components when strains are grown on ammonium, any growth rate penalties reflect the costs of TC operon expression. Under these conditions, we observed a linear cost dependence on the expression of these operons, representing a 5% cost burden for cells upon full induction of the TC components with IPTG (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material). Thus, the benefits of optimal TC expression far outweigh the costs of the increased protein synthesis required.
The TCE-Arg strain is oversensitive to the toxic amino acid analogue L-canavanine. Increasing the efficiency of amino acid transport in TCE strains may incur risks associated with an enhanced ability to import toxic amino acid analogs (20), which may counterbalance the growth advantage of TCE strains. To investigate this, L-canavanine, a toxic analogue of arginine produced by leguminous plants (21–24), was added to cultures of the TCE-Arg strain (PKUW81) grown in minimal medium containing 20 mM ammonium as the nitrogen source and induced with 40 μM IPTG (see Fig. S4 in the supplemental material). In the absence of L-canavanine, the TCE-Arg strain and the wild-type strain had similar growth rates. In contrast, growth of the TCE-Arg strain was far more sensitive to the presence of L-canavanine than growth of the wild-type strain. The TCE-Glu strain (PKUW151) was also tested and behaved similarly to the wild-type strain under these conditions, indicating that the effect observed with the TCE-Arg was due to the toxic effects of L-canavanine transported specifically through the overexpressed arginine transport system. Thus, TCE strains may exhibit increased sensitivity to toxic analogs in the natural environment.

**Nutrient leakage from TCE strains.** The change in nitrogen dietary preferences resulted in nutrient leakage from the TCE strains, benefiting the growth of competitors (Fig. 3). When arginine (or glutamate) was the sole nitrogen source, cocultivation with the cognate TCE strain enhanced the growth rate of the wild-type strain by ~2.88-fold (or 4.63-fold) (Fig. 3A). However, the growth enhancement was not observed when the TCE-Arg strain was cocultivated with a strain lacking the amtB ammonium transporter gene (Fig. 3B), suggesting that ammonium produced by the TC component is excreted from the TCE strain. Although growth enhancement still existed when the TCE-Glu strain was cocultivated with the amtB strain, the concentration of ammonium released by this engineered strain was sufficient for passive diffusion rather than activation of ammonium uptake by AmtB (14). Direct measurement of the ammonia concentration in the culture confirmed that the level of ammonia excreted from the TCE-Arg strain was below the threshold required to activate AmtB-mediated ammonium uptake (30 μM (14), but the TCE-Glu strain released 144 μM ammonia into the medium after 4 h of growth in exponential phase (Fig. 3C). Therefore, the growth advantage of TCE strains on the cognate amino acids was counterbalanced by a deficiency in nutrient containment.

The Ntr system finely controls the expression of amino acid transport and catabolic genes, in order to retain nutrient. Nitrogen-limiting conditions are imposed when amino acids are utilized as the sole nitrogen source, signaling the Ntr system to activate the expression of Ntr genes/operons (11, 14, 16). Since the GlnK signal transduction protein feedback inhibits the expression of some Ntr genes during ammonium starvation (5), we investigated whether glnK influences growth rates in cultures with amino acids as the sole nitrogen source. Consistent with previous observations in liquid glucose-arginine medium (8), the glnK deletion strain exhibited a 2-fold increase in growth rate compared with its wild-type counterpart when either arginine or glutamate was used as the sole nitrogen source (Table 1), suggesting that the wild-type strain maintains relatively slow growth rates on amino acids via exacting regulation by the Ntr system (Fig. 1B).

The metabolism-regulation model shown in Fig. 1B predicts that such growth rate enhancement in the glnK strain requires an increase in $f_{av}$, which could be satisfied by NtrC-P-mediated up-regulation of TC operon expression in the absence of negative feedback by GlnK. Accordingly, gene expression levels were compared when arginine was used as the sole nitrogen source. Expression from the arginine transport ($argT$) and catabolic ($astC$) promoters was increased in the glnK strain (Table 2), whereas the $gltB$ (encoding the large subunit of glutamate synthase) promoter was repressed by NtrC-P, as anticipated (see Fig. 1B) (25).

In order to further test which operon(s) contributes to the GlnK-mediated growth inhibition, we genetically manipulated regulation of TC operon expression in the absence of negative feedback by GlnK. Accordingly, gene expression levels were compared when arginine was used as the sole nitrogen source. Expression from the arginine transport ($argT$) and catabolic ($astC$) promoters was increased in the glnK strain (Table 2), whereas the $gltB$ (encoding the large subunit of glutamate synthase) promoter was repressed by NtrC-P, as anticipated (see Fig. 1B) (25).
amino acid transport and catabolic operons separately. We
replaced the native promoters for the arginine transport operon
argT-hisJQMP and catabolic operon astCADBE with the synthetic
P_{lacO1} promoter (26, 27), hence disrupting their regulatory
interaction with GlnK and enabling controlled expression by the
inducer IPTG. When the argT transporter was expressed from the
P_{lacO1} promoter with 40 µM IPTG (strain PKUW59; glnK+),
the growth rate on arginine was similar to that of the wild-type
strain (Fig. 4A), indicating that the overexpression of this trans-
porter does not relieve GlnK-mediated growth inhibition (Ta-
ble 1). In contrast, overexpression of the astCADBE operon in
the glnK+ background (strain PKUW78) resulted in an in-
creased growth rate on arginine similar to that of the glnK deletion
strain (Fig. 4A), indicating that the negative influence on
growth rate imposed by GlnK can be bypassed through
NtrC-independent expression of the arginine catabolic operon.

To investigate which glutamate transport system(s) might be
responsible for GlnK-dependent growth inhibition in the pre-
ence of glutamate (Table 1), we deleted glutamate transport sys-
tems and examined growth rates. When all four known glutamate
transport systems (gltP, gltS, gadC, gltI-sroC-gltJKL) were deleted,
the mutant strain (PKUW195; gltI−sroC-gltJKL) was unable to grow on
glutamate, as anticipated (Fig. 4B). However, to our surprise,
when the gltK gene was also deleted in the gltP, gltS, gadC, gltI-
sroC-gltJKL background (strain PKUW196; gltK deletion), the
ability to grow on glutamate was restored, with a growth rate simi-
lar to that of the wild-type strain (PKUW13) (Fig. 4B). This sug-
gests that there is an unknown transport system(s) that can func-
tionally transport glutamate but this transporter is inhibited by
the presence of GlnK.

These results demonstrate that the presence of GlnK results in
repression of the catabolic operon for arginine (astCADBE) and of
an unknown transport gene(s) for glutamate, ensuring fine tuning
of nitrogen influx and growth rate on these alternative nitrogen
sources. Similarly to TCE strains, the growth advantage of the gltK
deletion strain was also counterbalanced by the deficiency in nu-
trient containment (Table 3).

Although deletion of GlnK increased the expression of the TC
components and enhanced bacterial growth (Fig. 5A), the growth rate of the gltK deletion strain was much slower than that of the
TCE strains on the cognate amino acids (Table 1). For example,
although the expression of the catabolic operon for arginine
(astCADBE) was increased by ~2-fold in the gltK strain, with a
Corresponding author.
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TABLE 2 Gene expression differences between wild-type and gltK
strains

| Gene expression ratioa | argT | astC | gltB |
|------------------------|------|------|------|
| Strains                | qPCR | β-Gal | qPCR | β-Gal |
| GlnK+/WT               | 3.2 ± 1.0 | 0.7 ± 0.1 | 1.9 ± 0.2 | 1.2 ± 0.0 |
| GlnK−/WT               | 3.2 ± 1.0 | 0.7 ± 0.1 | 1.9 ± 0.2 | 1.2 ± 0.0 |

a Data represent gene expression ratios of gltK strain to that of the wild-type counterpart with 5 mM arginine as the sole nitrogen source.

b qPCR, quantitative real-time PCR analysis.

c For the β-galactosidase assays, we compared the LacZ expression level of the
corresponding promoter-lacZ fusions in the gltK strain with that in its wild-type
counterpart as follows: for argT-lacZ, PKUW61 (argT-lacZ) and PKUW63 (glnK
argT-lacZ); for astC-lacZ, PKUW86 (astC-lacZ) and PKUW88 (glnK astC-lacZ); for
gltP-lacZ, PKUW72 (gltP-lacZ) and PKUW64 (glnK gltP-lacZ).

FIG 4 Identification of the genes/operons responsible for growth rate limitation. (A) The limiting step(s) for growth on arginine. Arginine (Arg) (5 mM) was
used as the sole nitrogen source, and IPTG (40 µM) was added to induce the expression of the P_{lacO1-argT-hisJQMP} operon (PKUW59; glnK+; green triangle)
or the P_{lacO1-astCADBE} operon (PKUW78; glnK+; pink triangle). The wild-type (PKUW13; blue circle) and glnK (PKUW23; red square) strains were used as
control strains. (B) The limiting step(s) for growth on glutamate. Glutamate (Glu) (20 mM) was used as the sole nitrogen source. Without the four known
 glutamate transport systems, the gltP gltS gadC gltI-sroC-gltJKL strain (PKUW195; gltK+; cyan diamond) had a growth defect. However, the gltK gltP gltS gadC
gltI-sroC-gltJKL strain (PKUW196; gltK deletion; brown diamond) exhibited a growth rate (0.19 h−1) similar to that of the wild-type strain (PKUW13; blue
circle).

| TABLE 3 Relative fitness of the gltK (PKUW38; KanR) cocultured with the wild-type strain (PKUW13; KanR) |
|----------------------------------|----|----|----|
| Strain                          | Arginine | Glutamate |
|                                 | µ (h−1) | R | µ (h−1) |
| Mixturea                        | 0.31 ± 0.00 | 0.36 ± 0.03 |
| gltK−/WT                        | 0.34 ± 0.00 | −0.08 | 0.40 ± 0.04 |
| WTb                             | 0.37 ± 0.02 | 0.40 ± 0.01 |
| WTCb                            | 0.28 ± 0.01 | 0.75 | 0.32 ± 0.05 |
| WTg                            | 0.16 ± 0.01 | 0.17 ± 0.00 |

a Arginine (5 mM) (arginine columns) or glutamate (20 mM) (glutamate columns) was used as the sole nitrogen source. Data are expressed as means ± SD.
b Data represent gene expression ratios of gltK strain to that of the wild-type counterpart.
c Data represent the total growth rate of the coculture.
d “gltK−/WT” and “WTg” data represent the growth rates of the gltK and wild-type strain in the coculture, respectively.
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A
The nitrogen regulatory system finely controls the expression of amino acid transport and catabolic genes to retain nutrient. (A) Model for Ntr-mediated nutrient retention. Solid and dashed red lines/arrows represent different negative-feedback loops. For the TCE-Glu strain grown on glutamate, the carbon skeleton derived from the amino acid (e.g., α-ketoglutarate) represses the carbon influx ($J_2$) upon ammonia transport in catabolite repression. (B) Relationship between growth rate ($J_2$) and TC expression, with arginine used as the sole nitrogen source. The β-galactosidase activity of an astCADBE fusion was used to monitor expression of the NtrC-dependent astCADBE operon in the wild-type strain (PKUW67; closed blue circle) and the glnK strain (PKUW68; open blue circle). Open diamonds represent the predicted expression levels of the astCADBE operon in the wild-type strain required to achieve the same growth rate on arginine as that of the TCE-Glu strain grown on glutamate (see panel C). (C) Ntr-dependent activation of the gltA promoter was reduced in the TCE-Glu strain as the growth rate increased. The TCE-Glu strain (PKUW218) was grown on 20 mM glutamate supplemented with different concentrations of IPTG. The violet arrow represents wild-type E. coli (NQ158) grown on 20 mM ammonium.

TCE-Glu strain (Fig. 5C). Previous physiological studies demonstrated that glutamine serves as an internal sensor of external nitrogen availability in enteric bacteria (1, 2). Regardless of whether the native strength of TC promoters can support this level of expression, glutamine-mediated negative feedback in the Ntr system downregulated the expression of the Ntr genes/operons (including astCADBE) as the growth rate increased. Using the glta (glutamine synthetase) promoter as a monitor of Ntr-mediated regulation, we observed a reciprocal relationship between growth rate and expression of glta when an IPTG concentration gradient was applied to enable determination of the titers resulting from expression of the glutamate transporters in the TCE-Glu strain (Fig. 5C). Thus, although Ntr-mediated regulation of the TC components was circumvented in the TCE strains, the AA pathway remained subject to nitrogen regulation (Fig. 5A). As the internal glutamate concentration is likely to be saturating in the fully induced TCE-Glu strain, substrate availability for GS is likely to be nonlimiting, resulting in a high rate of glutamate biosynthesis and consequent feedback inhibition of the Ntr system. Downregulation of GS under these conditions is similar to the response of the wild-type strain under ammonium excess conditions. When the internal ammonia concentration in TCE-Glu cells exceeds the capacity for assimilation by GS, ammonia leaks out of the cells by passive diffusion across the cell membrane. The decreased ability of nonmodified NtrC to activate transcription under these conditions results in decreased expression of the glnk-amtB operon and, coupled with Glk-mediated inactivation of AmtB, impedes active transport of ammonium, thus exacerbating the potential for ammonia leakage.

In contrast, in the wild-type strain, the primary restriction on fast growth of cultures grown on alternative nitrogen sources was the high level of NtrC-P required to activate TC expression (Fig. 5B), which in turn was regulated by the negative-feedback loops within the Ntr system that control the NtrC-P level (Fig. 5A and C). Consequently, when the wild-type strain was grown on alternative nitrogen sources, the status of nitrogen limitation was relieved, but not overcome, and the flux through the ammonium assimilation pathway was carefully controlled (Fig. 1B). This ensured close coupling of amino acid transport and catabolism with ammonia assimilation, to prevent nutrient leakage, but sacrificed fast growth rates.

Notably, for the TCE-Glu strain, using glutamate as a nitrogen source also added an additional carbon source, which in turn could affect carbon metabolism. The additional input of carbon skeletons provided by the enhancement of glutamate transport and catabolism in TCE-Glu cells probably also affected the intracellular carbon status reflected by the status of carbon metabolic signal cyclic AMP (cAMP), since 2-oxoglutarate derived from the transamination of glutamate directly inhibits cAMP synthesis. To confirm this, AMP receptor protein–cAMP complex (CRP-cAMP)-dependent activation of the lac system was employed as a monitor of cAMP levels. Indeed, we observed that cAMP signaling was downregulated in the TCE-Glu strain grown on glutamate (see Fig. S5 in the supplemental material). Thus, carbon catabolite repression (CCR) may also influence growth rate (27) under these conditions by modulating the carbon flux ($J_2$) (Fig. 5A).

**DISCUSSION**

The overall strategy for Ntr-mediated regulation of nitrogen assimilation is apparently to optimize the growth rate in relation to internal ammonium availability as determined by the glutamine concentration (1). Our study results highlight the importance of feedback loops mediated by both Glk and the product (glutamine) in restricting the assimilation of alternative nitrogen sources. Although this has consequences for growth rate and optimal production of biomass, it fine tunes the level of nitrogen metabolites to prevent nutrient leakage. Therefore, we propose that the principle behind the preference for the nitrogen diet is that of trading off the benefits of fast growth on alternative nitrogen sources with the fitness penalty associated with excreting nitrogen that becomes available to competitors. This tradeoff is achieved through the highly complex Ntr regulatory circuitry, which prioritizes nutrient retention over fast growth, resulting in metabolic slowdown on alternative nitrogen sources. It was reported recently that when an alternative carbon source, such as glycerol, is used as the sole carbon source, enzymatic constraints on carbon uptake prevent acetate leakage from wild-type E. coli and, as a consequence, result in sacrifice of the enhanced growth rate (28). Therefore, nutrient containment could be a common strategy for both carbon and nitrogen metabolism in E. coli.

From the application perspective, it should be feasible to metabolically engineer efficient utilization of other amino acids as nitrogen sources, using synthetic biology approaches similar to those described here. Our studies are thus likely to underpin more-efficient biorefinery processes that utilize waste amino acids.
as raw material to achieve sustainable biofuel or biochemical production and recover fertilizer nitrogen (29, 30).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strain construction and growth conditions. All strains used here (Table 4) were derivatives of E. coli K-12 prototrophic strain NCM3722 (31). Mutant strains were constructed by lambda Red-mediated homologous recombination (27, 32) and P1 transduction. For TCE-Glu (PKUW151), the promoters of three of the four known glutamate transport operons genes (glt-sroc-gltJKL, gltP, and gltS) were replaced by the IPTG-inducible PLlac-O1 promoter (26), and for TCE-Arg (PKUW81), the promoters of both the arginine transport and the arginine catabolic operons (argT-hisJQMP and aceCDBE, respectively) were replaced by the synthetic PLlac-O1 promoter.

Since glnK and the downstream amtB gene are located in the same operon (glnK-amtB) and controlled at the transcriptional level by a single promoter (glnKp), a different strategy was used to construct the glnK in-frame deletion strain to prevent polar effects on amtB. A kanamycin resistance cassette (from pKD13) followed by the nptII gene (see Table S2 and Text S1 in the supplemental material) was inserted at the transcriptional level by a single promoter (glnKp) and controlled at the transcriptional level by a single promoter (glnKp). Additional experiments were carried out to exclude polar effects on the downstream amtB gene (see Table S2 and Text S1 in the supplemental material). The default minimal medium was N-C-salts (17) plus 0.4% (wt/vol) glycerol as the carbon source. Nitrogen sources were specified in different experiments, and their default concentration was 20 mM total N. All batch cultures were grown aerobically at 37°C with shaking at 200 rpm and were inoculated from a preculture grown in the same medium (34).

Cost/benefit analysis of TCE strains. Since the wild-type strain exhibits the fastest growth rate under ammonia excess conditions, we compared the growth rates of strains with different nitrogen sources to the wild-type strain with different nitrogen sources and the wild-type strain with different nitrogen sources and the wild-type strain with different nitrogen sources. The default minimal medium was N-C-salts (17) plus 0.4% (wt/vol) glycerol as the carbon source. Nitrogen sources were specified in different experiments, and their default concentration was 20 mM total N. All batch cultures were grown aerobically at 37°C with shaking at 200 rpm and were inoculated from a preculture grown in the same medium (34).

TABLE 4 Strains used in this study

| Straina | Genotype |
|---------|----------|
| PKUW13  | Wild-type (Kmr)b |
| PKUW15  | ΔglnK-amtB (Kmr)b |
| PKUW19  | ΔamtB (Kmr)c |
| PKUW23  | ΔglnK (Kmr)b |
| PKUW33  | Wild-type (Kmr)c |
| PKUW36  | ΔamtB (Kmr)c |
| PKUW38  | ΔglnK (Kmr)c |
| PKUW59  | attB::sp-lacI-tetR ARGTP:PLlac-O1 (Kmr)d |
| PKUW61  | Δlac1-Plac-ARGTP (Kmr)c |
| PKUW63  | ΔglnK Δlac1-Plac-ARGTP (Kmr)c |
| PKUW64  | ΔglnK Δlac1-Plac-gltBp (Kmr)c |
| PKUW67  | Δlac1-Plac-gltBp (Kmr)c |
| PKUW68  | ΔglnK Δlac1-Plac-gltBp (Kmr)c |
| PKUW72  | Δlac1-Plac-gltBp (Kmr)c |
| PKUW78  | ΔattB::sp-lacI-tetR ΔastCp:PLlac-O1 (Kmr)c |
| PKUW81  | ΔattB::sp-lacI-ΔattB::sp-lacI-tetR ΔargTpc:PLlac-O1ΔastCp:PLlac-O1 (Kmr)c |
| PKUW151 | ΔattB::sp-lacI-tetR ΔgltIP:PLlac-O1ΔgltSp:PLlac-O1ΔgltP:PLlac-O1 (Kmr)c |
| PKUW195 | ΔgltI ΔgltP ΔgltSp ΔgltIP ΔgltIP ΔgltIP ΔgltIP (Kmr)c |
| PKUW196 | ΔgltI ΔgltP ΔgltSp ΔgltIP ΔgltIP ΔgltIP ΔgltIP (Kmr)c |
| PKUW218 | Δlac1-Plac-glnAp (Kmr)c |
| NQ158   | Δlac1-Plac-glnAp (Kmr)c |

a All strains listed are isogenic with respect to the prototrophic K-12 strain NCM3722. Boldface highlighting indicates TCE strains.

b A DNA fragment containing the kanamycin cassette (from pKD13) followed by the rrnB terminator sequence (rrnBT) was inserted upstream of the glnK promoter (glnKp) (27). This DNA fragment (Kan-rrnBT-glnKp) was then combined with the wild-type glnK-amtB operon or different in-frame deletions of the operon (ΔglnK, ΔamtB, and ΔglnK-amtB) and then integrated into the chromosome of E. coli to replace the glnK-amtB operon.

c The strain was constructed as described for the strains indicated with the superscript italic “b,” but the kanamycin resistance gene was eliminated by using the helper plasmid pCP20 (32).

d A DNA fragment containing the kanamycin cassette (from pKD13) followed by the rrnB terminator sequence (rrnBT) was inserted upstream of the PLlac-O1 promoter (26). The DNA fragment (Kan-rrnBT-PLlac-O1) was then integrated into the chromosome of E. coli to replace the promoter region of the target gene. A sp-lacI-tetR cassette was used to express constitutive expression of lacI at the attB site to tightly repress PLlac-O1 activity.

e A DNA fragment containing Kan-rrnBT (as described above) and the promoter region of the target gene was integrated into the chromosome of E. coli to replace part of lacI and the entire Plac promoter.

f The strain was constructed as described for the strains indicated with the superscript italic “d,” but the kanamycin resistance gene was eliminated by using the helper plasmid pCP20 (32).

g For the in-frame deletion of the operon, a DNA fragment extending from the second codon of the first gene in the operon through to the seventh codon from the C terminus of the last gene in the operon was replaced by the kanamycin cassette (from pKD13).

h This strain was provide by Terence Hwa. All the other strains were constructed in this study.

Abbreviations used: TCE, tetramethylammonium chloride.
Differential expression analysis by qPCR. The wild-type strain (PKUW13) and the gkbK strain (PKUW23) were grown in minimal medium with 5 mM arginine as the sole nitrogen source. Samples (2 × 10^8 cells) were collected at an OD600 of ~0.4, frozen quickly in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C. Total RNA was extracted by the use of an RNAprep pure Cell/Bacteria kit (Tiangen), and cDNA was obtained using random hexamer primers and a cDNA library construction kit (TaKaRa). Subsequent quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) was performed by the use of ReaMaster Mix (SYBR green) (Tiangen), and the raw data were analyzed by the use of DART-PCR version 1.0 to evaluate the threshold cycle (Ct) values and the amplification efficiencies (35). Finally, the measured amplification efficiencies were used to calculate the relative gene transcript amounts. All the target transcripts were normalized to 16S rRNA.

Differential expression analysis by β-galactosidase assay. We constructed corresponding promoter-lacZ fusions for detecting the expression level of target genes/operons. DNA fragments containing the promoter region of the target genes were integrated into the chromosome of *E. coli* to replace part of lacZ and the entire PlacZ promoter. Samples (~0.3 ml of cell culture) were collected and frozen on dry ice. Five samples were collected for each culture (OD600 range, 0.15 to 0.5). The β-galactosidase assay was performed at 37°C according to the traditional Miller method (36). The differential rate—i.e., the “LaCZ expression level” (quantified in Miller units)—was obtained as described previously (27).

Calculation of the total nitrogen influx (JN). *E. coli* can grow at a constant rate on amino acids as the sole nitrogen source until the amino acid concentration in the medium drops below a certain threshold, at which point the growth slows down (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material) (16, 37). For a constant growth rate, we can calculate how much amino acid is needed to provide a certain cell density (OD600 = 1):

\[ C_i - C_i^{2} = 1/Y \times (OD - OD_i) \]  

where \([C]_i\) denotes the initial concentration of amino acid, \(OD_i\) is the initial OD600 value from the time point of the inoculation of the culture, \(OD\) is the final OD600 that *E. coli* can maintain a constant growth rate, and \(C_i\) is the threshold value below which *E. coli* cannot grow at a constant rate. \([C]_i\) may vary according to the amino acid used as the nitrogen source. 1/Y represents the amino acid consumption, which relates to how much amino acid is needed for growth at a constant rate at an OD600 of 1.

Taking the arginine consumption calculation as an example, we grew the wild-type strain (PKUW33) in default minimal medium with the following different concentrations (quantified in millimoles) of arginine \([C]_i\): 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, and 0.5. The cultures were set at the same initial OD600 (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). The ODi was determined from the growth curves, and by plotting \([C]_i\) versus ODi, the slope \((1/Y)\) represents amino acid consumption.

From equation 1, the amino acid concentration in the medium \([C]\) and the OD600 of the culture have the following relationship:

\[ [C] = [C]_i - 1/Y \times (OD - OD_i) \]  

where OD is the OD600 of the culture and \([C]_i\), Y, and OD are as defined for equation 1. From equation 2, we can calculate the total amino acid influx:

\[ \frac{d[C]}{OD \cdot dt} = \mu/Y \]  

where \(\mu\) represents the growth rate. The total nitrogen influx is then \(n \times \mu/Y\) (in millimoles per hour divided by the OD600), where \(n\) is number of nitrogen atoms in the amino acid.

When an amino acid combination was used as the nitrogen source, the growth rate of the wild-type strain was much faster than that seen with either of the individual amino acids. The total nitrogen influx was calculated as follows: 1 amino acid (amino acid A) was added at a high level (total concentration = 10 mM), and the concentration of the other amino acid (amino acid B) was varied. We first calculated the nitrogen influx for amino acid B to maintain the fast growth rate. Subsequently, amino acid B was added at a high level (total concentration = 10 mM), and the concentration of amino acid B was varied to calculate the nitrogen influx for amino acid A. The sum of nitrogen influxes for amino acid A and amino acid B is the total nitrogen influx for the amino acid combination.
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