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ABSTRACT

Objective: To study the relationship of vicarious traumatization with family relations and coping strategies among Health care professionals along with to find out the frequency of vicarious traumatization.

Study Design: Cross sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: Various hospitals in Rawalpindi and Islamabad, from Jan2018 to Jun 2018.

Methodology: A sample of 170 healthcare professionals (doctors=39, psychiatrists=27, psychologists=36, nurses=30 and others=38) (men=67, women=103) were taken from various hospitals in Rawalpindi and Islamabad. Data was collected by using the secondary traumatic stress scale, the index of family relations and the brief COPE scale.

Results: Results revealed that all the instruments have good reliability and there was significant positive correlation (r=0.71) between various aspects of VT (intrusion, avoidance and arousal) and poor family relations. Further analysis reflected that Emotion focused coping was positively correlated (r=0.22) with poor family relations whereas problem focused coping was negatively correlated (r=-0.39) with poor family relations.

Conclusion: So it is concluded that vicarious traumatization must be addressed and identified in health setting and coping skills training must be part of healthcare professionals’ education programs.
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INTRODUCTION

Pakistan has faced many unanticipated disasters in past few years. Which left massive legacy of human suffering by affecting the people physically and psychologically. These events also cause an incredible amount of fear and insecurity among the people who were not directly affected by these disasters. In such stressful situations, Healthcare Professionals are the individuals who assist victims. Their work is extremely challenging which make them vulnerable for vicarious traumatization.

Literature revealed that about 10 percent of these personnel get affected and 30% reported post-traumatic symptoms. A case study of therapist working in disaster situations in Pakistan showed that he became a victim of psychological suffering himself while working with sufferers.

A research on 236 social workers after 20 months of terrorist attack on World Trade Centre was conducted and their findings indicate the presence of secondary trauma among them. Similar results were found for 76 Humanitarian aid workers in India and 8% of them met the criteria of PTSD. Many other studies have been carried out with therapist, counselors, social workers and nurses with similar findings.

Vicarious trauma can also negatively affect work, relationships and the overall functioning of these professionals. One hundred and twenty seven wives of Israeli individuals who involved in combat were studied and results showed conflict and rigidity in their family functioning as compared to control group. A longitudinal study showed that post disasters symptomatology has negative relation with family life. These professionals employed a number of positive and negative coping strategies to deal with stress associated with their care-giving role. Jacobson studied social workers, psychologists, marriage/family
counselors and other mental health professionals who experienced secondary stress. He found that they tend to use both adaptive (problem focused) and maladaptive coping (emotional focused coping) skills to deal with their stress.

Further studies showed that the problem-focused coping strategies are correlated with greater well-being whereas emotion-based coping is inversely related to it. Researchers explored coping strategies retrospectively reported by professionals who work with maltreated children and their families. According to them, workers frequently used problem-focused coping strategies and sought social support. In another study, it is pointed out that sexual assault counselors experience greater emotional exhaustion and use more avoidance coping strategies.

Tough study of vicarious traumatization is gaining attention now days, but findings are meager and inconsistent. There are many researchers conducted in various parts of world showing the high prevalence of vicarious traumatization among all those who are working with traumatized individuals. Simultaneously, there is literature which does not support this hypothesis that trauma affects others. This situation creates the need to see the presence of vicarious traumatization in our healthcare professionals and to develop the strategies to help them. Because if healthcare professional becomes negatively affected through vicarious traumatization, the treatment of primary victims becomes compromised. In Pakistan, this area is neglected and there is very limited research on this topic especially after earthquake 2005, flood 2010 and APS attack 2014. Therefore, the present study is designed with objective to determine the frequency of vicarious traumatization (Arousal, Intrusion and Avoidance) among healthcare professional and its relationship with family functioning and coping strategies who are working with traumatized individuals in Pakistan.

**METHODOLOGY**

A sample of 170 (Men=67 Women=103) healthcare professional who were working with traumatized individuals (doctors=39, psychiatrists=27, clinical psychologists/psychologists=36, nurses=30 and others=30) was collected from various private/public sector hospitals in Rawalpindi and Islamabad through purposive sampling technique.

After obtaining their consent they were asked to fill the three questionnaires along with demographic sheet; the Secondary Traumatic Stress Scale (STSS), which designed to specifically measure secondary trauma symptoms. It is a 17-item Likert-type response format ranging from 1 (never) to 5 (very often) instrument with its reliability is 0.94 for full scale and the Intrusion, Avoidance, and Arousal subscales had alphas of 0.83, 0.89, and 0.85, respectively. The Index of Family Relations (IFR) designed to measure the degree, severity, or magnitude of the problem that family members perceive in their relationship and its reliability alpha is 0.95. The IFR comprises of 25 items ranging from 1 (never) to 5 (very often). Reverse scoring has been assigned to following items (positively worded items); 1,2,4,5, 8,14,17,18,20,21 and 23. The negatively worded items scored in normal way. High scores on it indicated poor family relations. The Brief COPE scale is a self-report questionnaire used to assess a number of different coping behaviors and thoughts a person may have in response to a specific situation. The scale comprises of 28 items and 14 subscales, comprised of two items each. The Brief COPE full scale reliability 0.81 was divided into problem-based and emotion-based coping 0.79 and 0.75, respectively. The data was entered on SPSS-20 and analyzed. Descriptive Statistics were carried out to describe the mean, SD, frequencies and reliability coefficients. Person Correlation was used to see the relationship between different variables.

**RESULTS**

Results revealed that age of participants was between range of 19 to 51 years (M=31.74, SD= 6.74). Further, secondary traumatic stress scale (STSS) (r=0.92), Index of Family Relations
(IFR) \(r=0.90\) and BriefCOPE \(r=0.74 \text{ and } r=0.49\) were reliable.

Table-III shows the correlation coefficients of various aspects of vicarious traumatization and two types of coping with family relations. Results revealed that intrusion, avoidance and arousal components of vicarious traumatization were significantly correlated with family relations. Whereas Emotion Focused coping positively correlated with family relations and problem focused coping strategies were negatively correlated with family relations.

Table-I: Descriptive statistics of variables \((n=170)\).

| Variables                    | Mean ± SD |
|------------------------------|-----------|
| Vicarious traumatization     | 42.62 ± 11.77 |
| Intrusion                    | 11.82 ± 3.28 |
| Avoidance                    | 17.84 ± 5.34 |
| Arousal                      | 12.96 ± 4.21 |
| Family relations             | 64.56 ± 18.64 |

Table-II: Frequencies and percentages of various aspect of vicarious traumatization \((n=170)\).

| Symptoms                       | n (%)  |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| Intrusion                      | 113 (66.47) |
| Avoidance                      | 91 (53.53) |
| Arousal                        | 108 (63.53) |
| Vicarious traumatization       | 102 (60)  |

Table-III: Correlation coefficients of various aspects of vicarious traumatization and types of coping strategies with family relations \((n=170)\).

| Variables                       | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |
|---------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Intrusion                       | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | 0.57* |
| Avoidance                       | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | 0.69* |
| Arousal                         | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | 0.68* |
| VT                              | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | 0.71* |
| EF coping strategies            | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | 0.22* |
| PF coping strategies            | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -0.39* |
| Family Relations                | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |

*p<0.01

DISCUSSION

Pakistan is the country which faced all types of disasters and Health care professionals are individuals who come as frontline worriers in such situations. They are mostly rescue person-

nel, the military, trauma healthcare staff and certain sections of social services. These individuals come across to the extreme human suffering and observe the emotions of fear, helplessness and horror on daily basis. This may lead to increase in likelihood of experiencing a change in their own psychological functioning.

So present study was conducted to see the relationship of VT with family functioning and coping strategies among healthcare professionals. Psychometric properties of instruments were calculated and findings suggested satisfactory alpha coefficient all scales which were consistent with the previous findings.

Correlation coefficients were taken among the variables and values are satisfactory which shows that healthcare professionals are under continuous stress because of their professional hazards (vicarious traumatization) and strains in their personal lives because of negative impact of that stress. They employed a number of positive and negative coping strategies to deal with the stress associated with their care giving role.

CONCLUSION

Trauma and disasters are unavoidable conditions in our society and healthcare professionals are those individuals who help the sufferers of these conditions. They themselves are vulnerable and develop the symptoms of vicarious traumatization. In present research the prevalence of vicarious traumatization is high among these professionals which negatively affect their family relations. So it is suggested that if these professionals use emotion focused coping, it will make their family relations poor whereas problem focused coping help them to reduce strain in their relations. The findings of present research emphasized that vicarious traumatization must be addressed and identified in health setting and coping skills training must be part of healthcare professionals’ education programs.
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