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A Modified Intraoral Resin Mouthguard to Prevent Self-Mutilations in Lesch-Nyhan Patients
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Lesch-Nyhan syndrome, described in 1964 by Lesch and Nyhan [1], observing two affected brothers. It occurs in 1:100,000 to 1:380,000 male live births. LNS is characterized by a decrease in activity of hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase, an enzyme involved in purine metabolism, resulting in overproduction of uric acid. Hyperuricemia and neurological features including choreoathetoid spasticity, self-mutilation, and mental retardation clinically characterize this syndrome. In LNS patients the typical feature is loss of tissue from biting themselves with partial or complete amputation of fingers, lips, and tongue. The self-mutilation compares with the eruption of the deciduous teeth. Several drugs trials have been administered to improve self-destructive behavior and invasive treatment approaches, such as extractions of teeth and orthognathic surgery, have been suggested with variable effectiveness. Nowadays prevention is, therefore, the standard of care. The role of dentistry is essential in the management of the self-mutilating behavior, because the teeth represent the main self-injury instrument. This report presents a revision of various therapeutic approaches to manage self-destruction, highlighting the effectiveness of a preventive treatment. It describes a new technique: a resin mouthguard, realized at Gaslini Hospital, to obtain immediate healing of the oral lesions, confirmed in the follow-up period.

1. Introduction

Lesch-Nyhan syndrome was first recognized and clinically characterized in 1964 by Lesch and Nyhan [1], observing two affected brothers. It occurs in 1:100,000 to 380,000 male live births. LNS is a rare X-linked recessive disorder, resulting from a mutation on the gene encoding for the enzyme hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyl transferase (HGPRT), located on the long arm of the X chromosome between Xq26 and Xq27 [2].

The HGPRT is an enzyme involved in purine metabolism, which catalyses the condensation reaction which combines phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate (PRPP) and the purine bases hypoxanthine and guanine to form the respective nucleotides, inosinic acid, and guanylin acid.

The HGPRT deficiency causes a backlog of guanine and hypoxanthine that oxidize in uric acid and an increase of intracellular PRPP with a consequent major production of new purine. It results in an overproduction and accumulation of uric acid, which, if untreated, usually leads to renal failure and death in early childhood.

One of the first symptoms of the disease is the presence of orange sand-like crystals of uric acid in the diapers of the affected infant. Overproduction of uric acid may lead to the development of uric acid crystals or stones in the kidneys (nephrolithiasis), ureters, or bladder, causing hematuria and increasing the risk of urinary tract infection, of nephrite, and of renal failure. Another potential consequence of untreated hyperuricemia is gouty arthritis caused by uric acid crystal deposition in articular cartilage.

Individuals with Lesch-Nyhan syndrome typically have a normal prenatal and perinatal course. The affected children appear to be normal for the first few months of life, but hypotonia and development delay are evident from age three to six months. Later extrapyramidal involvement with dystonia, choreoathetosis, opisthotonus, dysarthria, dysphagia, and sometimes ballismus becomes evident. The patients also develop signs of pyramidal involvement as spasticity, hyper-reflexia, and extensor plantar reflexes. The motor disability
is so extensive that most individuals never walk and are
carried in a wheelchair. The cognitive disturbances, attention
deficit problems, and mental retardation are also present in
patients with Lesch-Nyhan syndrome [3].

The most distinctive symptom is the compulsive self-injury behavior. The affected children appear normal for
the first months of life; with the eruption of deciduous
teeth, they begin to show self-mutilative behavior, biting
their oral/perioral tissue and their fingers. Later patients
mutilate themselves not only with biting but also with their
fingers. The finger nails may be completely ripped, and the
self-destructive process involves the bone. In some cases,
extensive lesions appear as a mixture of trauma and local
infection. The secondary infection complicates and retards
the healing of traumatic lesions [4, 5].

Nyhan (1997) reported that children with LNS feel pain
and remorse when they mutilate themselves, but they are
unable to control and to stop their action. They are usually
relaxed when they have physical restraints, instead when it is
removed the patients become agitated and their compulsive
actions increase [6].

The relationship between the enzyme deficiency and the
neurological manifestations in Lesch-Nyhan syndrome is not
so clear. Those disorders may be associated with deficits in
dopaminergic activity in the basal ganglia; neurochemical
studies of LNS patients’ brains show large reductions in DA
levels, elevated numbers of DA receptors, and decreased
levels of DA transporters [7].

The therapy with allopurinol, a xanthine oxidase inhibitor
which blocks the metabolism of hypoxanthine and xanthine
into uric acid, can control the overproduction of uric acid,
reducing the risk of nephrolithiasis, gouty arthritis, and
correlated diseases. The dose of allopurinol has to maintain
the uric acid within normal limits; an excessive allopurinol
therapy results in the accumulation of hypoxanthine and
xanthine, which could result in xanthine stones.

The pharmacological treatment of self-injurious behavior
is addressed to control the deregulation in the dopaminergic,
opiatergic, or serotonergic systems, as a possible cause of
compulsive self-mutilating behavior. The administration
of baclofen or benzodiazepines helps in muscle relaxation
and keeps the patient calm. The use of hydroyxtryptophan
together with decarboxylase inhibitor has been proven effective
in reducing self-mutilation for a short time. Recent therapeu-tic options also include gabapentin, botulinum toxin A,
injected into bilateral masseters, and deep brain stimulation
in globus pallidus.

The self-destruction behavior should be managed by a
combination of physical restraints, behavior modifications,
and pharmaceutical therapy, according to Olson and Houli-
han 2000 [8].

2. Management of Self-Mutilative Behavior:
   Literature Review

In the literature various therapeutic approaches to manage
self-destruction behavior are described, but to date the
treatment to solve the source of the problem has not
been found yet. Pharmacological treatment of dopaminergic
dysfunction, the possible opiate, and/or serotonin system
dysfunction have shown variable successes, but there are dis-
advantages to pharmacologic treatment, as it usually requires
chronic use of the drug that often places the patients in a state
of chronic stupor.

The use of botulinum toxin A (BTX-A) should be consid-
ered as a good treatment for self-mutilating behavior in LNS,
but the action of BTX-A in decreasing self-inflicted injury
is not clear. BTX-A may be acting directly on peripheral
nerve endings, inhibiting the release of acetylcholine and
indirectly on the basal ganglia, resulting in muscle weakness
and in decreased behavior. Inquiries on BTX-A nowadays
are not enough and a further study is necessary to define the
mechanism of action and the therapeutic results [9, 10].

The “deep-brain stimulation” has been performed on a
few patients with Lesch-Nyhan syndrome and some patients
experienced a decrease in spastic self-injurious symptoms.
Deep brain stimulation must be considered experimental at
present.

Published literature suggests that the role of dentist is
crucial to prevent and control self-mutilation [11]. In several
cases, the extraction of deciduous teeth is adopted in young
patient, but the extraction of all teeth is necessary also in
permanent dentition.

The extraction of only anterior permanent teeth (incisors
and canines), as recommended by Rosenbloom and other
authors, is not effective in preventing self-injury and so all
permanent teeth are involved. The extraction of all teeth
represents an extreme solution that may cause functional
problems and requires a treatment under general anesthesia.
To avoid the extractions, a series of intraoral appliance is
suggested to limit self-injuries.

Budnick studying a Lesch-Nyhan syndrome patient,
unable to chew his lips for lack of anterior occlusion, decided
to create an acrylic splint firstly cemented in the lower arch
and secondly in the upper one. He experimented a new
technique by covering the posterior teeth to create anterior
open bite, eliminating wounds caused by incisors [12]. Dicks
highlighted the necessity of different therapeutic steps for
different self-mutilative behaviors. In the most collaborative
patients with few self-mutilations he proposed only normal
care of preventive odontology; in patients showing such
a grade of collaboration and of spasticity to allow the
intraoral alginate impression, he suggested the use of soft
splint without cemented retention which caused hygienic
and demineralization problems. Finally, in patients with
insufficient collaboration the only solution was represented
by the extractions of all teeth [13]. A hard acrylic chin-cup
with lip extension fitted by means of orthodontic headgear
was proposed. The appliance was well tolerated by patients
and it guaranteed the health of the oral tissue but increased
the dribbling habit with a consequent fungal infection of
chins dermis. To solve fungal inflammation the appliance
had to be removed. The long use of this appliance was not
possible [14]. A thin soft resin mouth guard was employed to
prevent finger and lower lip self-mutilation in combination
with an arm restraint. In six days of treatment and after
three months the patient self-mutilations disappeared and
he stopped biting himself, also without the mouthguard [15]. In order to prevent dermatitis a soft resin mouthguard not covering the lip was built. It was first cemented and then to increase the retention he preferred heat-cured acrylic resin due to adequate rigidity and he added head strap; it had to rebuild to be adapted to development of teeth and it was not indicated in periodontal patients [16]. In some resistant cases orthognathic surgery was proposed to create open bite and when the conservative options of treatment failed he suggested the amputation of teeth, as an alternative method to extraction of all teeth to preserve the alveolar bone. The upper and the lower incisors, canines, and the first premolars were performed with formocresol pulpotomies, the crowns were amputated on gingival level, and the dental root canals were filled with light curing glass ionomer cement and polished with softflex discs. The patient was sedated using choral hydrate and hydroxane, but in case of poor cooperation the general anesthesia was indicated [17–19]. A removable acrylic dental appliance was made to create anterior open bite, orthodontic acrylic resin covered the palate, and occlusal surface of posterior teeth and Adam’s claps on first upper molar increased the retention [20].

A resin mouthguard thick in posterior part was combined with a lip bumper in order to open anterior bite and to protrude lower lip from the teeth, but this treatment had minimal to partial success [21]. The acrylic resin bite plate’s retention was increased by a combination between Adam’s clasps on the premolars and ball clasps among incisors [22].

3. Method

The operators of the orthodontic ward of Gaslini Hospital realized a new orthodontic device to preserve oral and perioral tissue of LNS patients. At first irreversible hydrocolloid impressions of maxillary and mandibular arches were obtained to realize individual impression trays.
Second impressions were made with individual trays and an occlusal wax recorded the occlusion. These impressions were finally taken in spite of patient’s lack of compliance; operators’ persuasive capacity, family, and operators’ efforts to change the negative behavior of M.C. were crucial. On these impressions a plaster model was built, the undercuts were eliminated (Figures 1 and 2), and the orthodontic dispositive was realized by thermoforming disc materials (with a hard copolyester outer layer and a soft polyurethane inner layer) (Figure 3), using a positive-pressure thermoformer (Ministar) (Figures 2 and 5).

The hard side was placed in contact with teeth to increase friction and retention; on the contrary the soft one was placed in contact with antagonist dental arch to prevent traumatic occlusion. The first devices were removed by patients’ tongue, so it was decided to change the dispositive, reducing mucosal flange to prevent the mouthguard’s removal (Figure 4).

At the beginning, patients used the device only for few hours, but time by time they were persuaded to wear it longer. At first a thick lower bite was made to produce an anterior open bite; then it was substituted by a thinner maxillary and mandibular mouthguards well tolerated by the patient also during the meals.

After these treatments, the patients were able to wear the device for 24 hours and it guaranteed the health of the oral and perioral tissue.
4. Discussion

The most common disadvantages of preventive intraoral devices are the design that may cause fungal infections, extensive laboratory time, and difficulty in daily oral care. Also frequent adjustments are required and heavy biting forces added to poor cooperation may interfere with impression taking.

These devices extend to the vestibule area and the splint that creates an open bite increases the drooling habit with a consequent fungal infection of chin dermis. Cemented splint requires an extensive laboratory work, daily hygiene care is difficult, and the demineralization of the teeth is frequent.

The presence of metal clasps can make the placement of device more difficult. The intraoral impression is necessary to create a device customized for each individual case.

Some patients with LNS show a degree of collaboration to allow the intraoral alginate impression, in other LNS patients it is impossible to have a degree of cooperation on making the intraoral impression; in fact sedation is sometime necessary.

The use of putty-type vinyl polysiloxane impression material or quick alginate to overcome the insufficient patient’s collaboration that makes the intraoral impression very difficult is suggested. It is possible to obtain greater patient’s collaboration thanks to behavioral modification and persuasive capacity of family and operators.

The simple intraoral device presented by Gaslini operators is easy to fabricate; it is a mouthguard realized by thermofoming disc and characterized by two different matrices: hard and soft. The hard matrix guarantees a good retention, so during the cementation, the head straps, the splint extension to vestibule area, and the metal clasps are not necessary to give stability. The soft matrix protects the oral and perioral tissue from traumatic bite. The double matrix device is readily removed for everyday cleaning and the placement is also comfortable. The bite is very thin, it permits that the patient can wear the mouthguard all day, also during the meals, and consequently the drooling habit is not accentuated. The device is built on the patient plaster model; it can be customized for each individual case. Heavy biting force and poor patient’s collaboration may interfere with impression taking.

In the case described here the first impression to create an individual impression trays was taken and a second one to fabricate the device.

It was possible because the patient was collaborative; he modified his behaviour. Also the work was simplified by the use of quick alginate.

In a second moment, the mucosal flange was reduced to prevent dispositive removal caused by the tongue.

The efficacy of this device was observed during a period of about 7 years. During these years self-inflicted oral and/or perioral mutilations did not happen. The major difficulties encountered during this therapy are as follows:

(i) necessity of modifying the dispositive to adapt it to the mouth changes,

(ii) risk of small cracks on the occlusal resin bite that must be often repaired.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion the literature analysis demonstrates that the intraoral appliances, despite some limitations, can represent a good alternative option to invasive treatment and a good choice of therapy to limit and to prevent self-mutilative behavior in LNS patients.

The thin resin mouthguard described was successfully employed to prevent self-mutilation of oral and perioral structures. It represented a conservative solution and a good alternative option to invasive treatment of extracting the teeth or of orthognathic surgery.

In addition, the device is easy to build and is well accepted by patient. A good choice of treatment for improving the quality of life of these patients can be represented.
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