Observation of a new $D_{sJ}$ meson in $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0D^0K^+$ decays
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Abstract

We report the observation of a new $D_{sJ}$ meson produced in $B^+ \to \bar{D}^0 D_{sJ} \to \bar{D}^0 D^0 K^+$. This state has a mass of $M = 2715 \pm 11^{+11}_{-14} \text{ MeV}/c^2$, a width $\Gamma = 115 \pm 20^{+36}_{-32} \text{ MeV}/c^2$ and a spin-parity $1^-$. The results are based on an analysis of 449 million $B\bar{B}$ events collected at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance in the Belle detector at the KEKB asymmetric energy $e^+e^-$ collider.

PACS numbers:
At the level of quark diagrams, the decay $B \to DDK$ proceeds dominantly via the CKM-favored $b \to sW^+ \to cc\bar{s}$ transition. The transition amplitudes can be categorized as either external $W$- or internal $W$-emission (color-suppressed) diagrams. The decay $B^+ \to D^0D^0K^+$ can proceed through both types of diagrams, with the naive expectation that the internal $W$ contribution to the branching fraction is suppressed relative to that of the external $W$ by a factor of nine. $B$-meson decays to three-body $DDK$ final states are a promising area for searches for new $cs$ states as well as of some $cc$ states lying above $D^0\bar{D}^0$ threshold. Since the externally emitted $W$ produces $1^+, 1^-, 0^-$ states, $cs$ mesons with these quantum numbers should be copiously produced. The unexpected discoveries of the $D_{sJ}(2317)$ and $D_{sJ}(2457)$ mesons show that our understanding of the $cs$ spectroscopy might be incomplete. Experimental data on $cc$ states with decay channels open to $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ are scarce.

The decays $B \to DDK$ have been previously studied with a small data sample by ALEPH [2]. Recently a comprehensive study was performed by BaBar [3]. In this letter we report the first study of the Dalitz plot of $B^+ \to D^0D^0K^+$ decay.

The study is performed using data collected with the Belle detector at the KEKB asymmetric-energy $e^+e^-$ (3.5 on 8 GeV) collider [4], operating at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance ($\sqrt{s} = 10.58$ GeV) with a peak luminosity that exceeds $1.6 \times 10^{34}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$. The data sample corresponds to the integrated luminosity of 414 fb$^{-1}$ and contains 449 million $B\bar{B}$ pairs.

The Belle detector is a large-solid-angle magnetic spectrometer that consists of a silicon vertex detector, a 50-layer central drift chamber (CDC), an array of aerogel threshold Čerenkov counters (ACC), a barrel-like arrangement of time-of-flight scintillation counters (TOF), and an electromagnetic calorimeter comprised of CsI(Tl) crystals (ECL) located inside a super-conducting solenoid coil that provides a 1.5 T magnetic field. An iron flux-return located outside of the coil is instrumented to detect $K^0_L$ mesons and to identify muons. The detector is described in detail elsewhere [5].

We select charged tracks that originate from the interaction region by requiring $|dr| < 0.4$ cm and $|dz| < 5$ cm, where $dr$ and $dz$ are the distances of closest approach to the interaction point in the plane perpendicular to the beam and along the beam axis, respectively. Charged particles are identified by using combined information from the TOF, ACC and $dE/dx$ measurements in the CDC. Requirements on the particle identification variable are imposed that identify a charge kaon with 90% efficiency at $< 10^\circ$ $\pi \to K$ miss-identification probability and a charged pion with $\approx 100\%$ efficiency at $< 10^\circ$ $K \to \pi$ miss-identification probability. Any track that is positively identified as an electron is rejected.

Candidate $K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$ decays are identified by a displaced secondary vertex, a two-pion momentum vector that is consistent with a $K^0_S$ originating from the interaction point and by the invariant mass selection $|M_{\pi^+\pi^-} - m_{K^0_S}| < 15$ MeV/c$^2$. Candidate $\pi^0$ mesons are identified as pairs of ECL-identified photons, each with a minimum energy of 50 MeV, that have an invariant mass within $\pm 15$ MeV/c$^2$ of the $\pi^0$ mass.

$D^0$ mesons are reconstructed in the $K^-\pi^+, K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-, K^-\pi^+\pi^0, K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-$ and $K^-K^+$ decay modes. We preselect $D$ candidates using a signal window $\pm 30$ MeV/c$^2$ around the nominal $D$ meson mass for decay modes, except for $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+\pi^0$ decays, where a larger $\pm 50$ MeV/c$^2$ signal window is used. Mass- and vertex-constrained fits are applied to all $D$ meson candidates to improve their momentum resolution.

We retain events that have a kaon candidate and at least two candidate $D^0$ mesons, with allowed flavor combination and with the $D^0$ momenta in the $T(4S)$ rest frame ('cms')...
below the kinematical limit for $B^+ \rightarrow D^0 D^0 K^+$. To suppress the continuum background $(e^+ e^- \rightarrow q \bar{q}, q = u, d, s, c)$ we require the ratio of the second to the zeroth Fox-Wolfram moments [6] to be less than 0.3. Momenta of the secondaries from a $B$ meson candidate decay are refitted to a common vertex with an interaction point constraint that takes into account the $B$ meson decay length.

The $B$ meson candidates are identified by their cms energy difference, $\Delta E = \Sigma_i E_i - E_{\text{beam}}$, and their beam constrained mass, $M_{bc} = \sqrt{E_{\text{beam}}^2 - (\Sigma_i p_i)^2}$, where $E_{\text{beam}} = \sqrt{s}/2$ is the beam energy in the cms and $\vec{p}_i$ and $E_i$ are the three-momenta and energies of the $B$ candidate’s decay products. For the subsequent analysis we select $B$ candidates with $M_{bc} > 5.2$ GeV/$c^2$ and $-0.4$ GeV $< \Delta E < 0.3$ GeV. Exclusively reconstructed $B^+ \rightarrow D^0 D^0 K^+$ signal events have $M_{bc}$ distributions that peak near zero. To suppress the continuum background distributions that peak at the nominal $B$-meson mass; the $\Delta E$ distributions peak near zero.

We employ a discriminator (likelihood ratio) based on the $D^0$ meson signal significance to select the unique $B$ candidate in the event, defined as: $\mathcal{LR}(M_D) = \frac{S(M_D)}{S(M_D) + B(M_D)}$, where $S$ and $B$ are the signal and the background probabilities respectively. This discriminator is determined from the data, for each $D^0$ decay mode separately, using a sample enriched in $B \rightarrow DDK$ decays. For events with multiple $B \rightarrow DDK$ candidates, the product $\mathcal{LR}_B = \mathcal{LR}(M_B) \times \mathcal{LR}(M_D)$ is calculated and the candidate with the largest $\mathcal{LR}(B)$ is accepted. The solution with larger kaon identification likelihood $\mathcal{L}(K)$ is chosen if multiple kaon candidates are found accompanying the accepted $D^0 D^0$ combination.

The $\mathcal{LR}_B$ discriminator is also used to suppress combinatoric backgrounds to $B^+ \rightarrow D^0 D^0 K^+$ and to enhance the signal purity.

The $\Delta E$ and $M_{bc}$ distributions for the $B^+ \rightarrow D^0 D^0 K^+$ decay candidates, selected with $\mathcal{LR}_B > 0.01$ requirement, are shown in Fig. 1. The $\Delta E$ distribution is shown for events

$$\begin{align*}
\text{Number of events / 7 MeV} &\quad \text{Number of events / 2.5 MeV/c} \\
\Delta E &\quad M_{bc}
\end{align*}$$

FIG. 1: $\Delta E$ (a) and $M_{bc}$ (b) distributions for $B^+ \rightarrow D^0 D^0 K^+$.

with $|M_{bc} - m_B| < 3\sigma_{M_{bc}}$ ($\sigma_{M_{bc}} = 2.7$ MeV/$c^2$), where $m_B$ is the nominal $B$ meson mass and the $M_{bc}$ distribution is shown for events with $|\Delta E| < 3\sigma_{\Delta E}$ ($\sigma_{\Delta E} = 6.6$ MeV).

From a study of the $M_{bc}$ and $\Delta E$ background distributions in large MC samples of generic $B \bar{B}$ and $q \bar{q}$ events, we find that the background level in the data is well explained by the MC simulations and no peaking component is seen in either distribution. Using events where one or both $D^0$ candidates are from the $D^0$-mass sidebands, we verify with the data that there is no significant peaking background.

To extract the signal yield, we perform extended unbinned maximum-likelihood fits simultaneously to $\Delta E$ and $M_{bc}$. The probability density functions (PDF’s) for the $M_{bc}$ and
$\Delta E$ signals are Gaussians. The background PDF for $M_{bc}$ is represented by a phenomenological function \([7]\) with a phase-space-like behaviour near the kinematic boundary; the $\Delta E$ background is parameterized by a second-order polynomial. The likelihood function is maximized with free parameters for the signal yield, the Gaussian means and widths, and four parameters that describe shapes of the background distributions.

From the fit, we obtain a signal yield of $N_{\text{sig}} = 399 \pm 40$ events with a signal-to-background ratio of $S/B \simeq 0.3$. The results of the fit are superimposed on the $\Delta E$ and $M_{bc}$ projections shown in Fig. 1. We determine the branching fraction from the relation

$$B(B^+ \to \bar{D}^0 D^0 K^+) = \frac{N_{\text{sig}}}{N_{B^+ B^-} \sum_{ij} \epsilon_{ij} B(D \to i) B(D \to j)}, \quad (1)$$

where $\epsilon_{ij}$ are efficiencies for the $i$ and $j$ $D$ subchannels and for $N_{B^+ B^-}$; we assume $N_{B^+ B^-} = N_{B^0 \bar{B}^0}$. The efficiencies are determined by MC using a phase-space decay model. The sum in the denominator of Eq. (1) amounts to $6.8 \times 10^{-4}$.

We obtain $B(B^+ \to \bar{D}^0 D^0 K^+) = (13.1 \pm 1.3^{+1.7}_{-2.7}) \times 10^{-4}$, where the first error is statistical and the second is systematic. The latter includes contributions due to uncertainties in the efficiency determination (tracking and particle identification efficiency, data-MC differences in $\Delta E$, $M_{bc}$ signal shapes), the $\mathcal{L} \mathcal{R}_B$ selection, the background parameterization, the MC model used in the efficiency calculation, the intermediate $D \to i$ branching fractions and $N_{B^+ B^-}$.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig2}
\caption{Dalitz plot (a) and projections for $B^+ \to \bar{D}^0 D^0 K^+$ in $1.5\sigma \Delta E - M_{bc}$ signal region: $M(D^0 \bar{D}^0)$ (b), $M(D^0 K^+)$ (c), $M(\bar{D}^0 K^+)$ (d). Hatched histograms represent background, red curves show the efficiency variation (vertical scale in %).}
\end{figure}

The Dalitz plot $M^2(D^0 \bar{D}^0)$ vs $M^2(D^0 K^+)$ for events from a signal region defined by the ellipse $(\Delta E/1.5\sigma_{\Delta E})^2 + ((M_{bc} - m_B)/1.5\sigma_{M_{bc}})^2 < 1$ is shown in Fig. 2(a). The three,
two-body invariant mass distributions are shown in Figs. 2(b)-(d). The hatched histograms represent the background distributions obtained for events from an elliptical strip surrounding the $\Delta E, M_{bc}$ signal region that extends from $6\sigma_{\Delta E}, \sigma_{M_{bc}}$ to $10\sigma_{\Delta E}, \sigma_{M_{bc}}$. The background distributions are normalized to the number of background events under the signal peaks ($\pm 1.5\sigma$) as determined from the combined $\Delta E$ and $M_{bc}$ fit. The data shown are not efficiency corrected. The variation of efficiency as a function of invariant mass is shown in Figs. 2(b)-(d) as a continuous curve.

A pronounced feature of the Dalitz plot is the accumulation of events in the region $16 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4 < M^2(D^0\bar{D}^0) < 18 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$ and $7 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4 < M^2(D^0K^+) < 8 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$, possibly the overlap of a horizontal band that could be due to the $\psi(4160)$ and a vertical band that cannot be attributed to any known $c\bar{s}$ state. A horizontal band at $M^2(D^0\bar{D}^0) \simeq 14.2 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$ corresponds to the $\psi(3770)$ production.

We employ simultaneous fits to the $\Delta E$ and $M_{bc}$ distributions for events from each 50 MeV/c$^2$ mass bin of the Dalitz plot projection to obtain background-subtracted invariant mass distributions. In these fits the widths and positions of the Gaussians describing the signal are fixed at the values obtained for the total signal sample, while the signal yield and the background PDF’s parameters are free parameters. The obtained signal yields are shown in Fig. 4 as points with error bars.

The $\psi(3770)$ signal is studied with finer, 20 MeV/c$^2$ mass bins (Fig. 3(a)). The peak is fitted in the region $M(D^0\bar{D}^0) < 4 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ with a Breit-Wigner (BW) plus a threshold function to describe a nonresonant component. The $\psi(3770)$ signal yield is $68 \pm 15$ events with a peak mass of $3777 \pm 3 \text{ MeV}/c^2$, and a width of $27 \pm 9 \text{ MeV}/c^2$, in agreement with the PDG averages.
estimate the number of $\psi(4160)$ events in the backward helicity-angle hemisphere, in the region $M(D^0K^+) < 2.9$ GeV/$c^2$.

Figure 3(c) shows the background-subtracted $M(D^0K^+)$ distribution for events with $M(D^0D^0) > 3.85$ GeV/$c^2$. This requirement removes the $\psi(3770)$ reflection at high $M(D^0K^+)$. The predicted $\psi(4160)$ reflection is indicated in the figure by the purple curve. The $\psi(4160)$ reflection agrees well with the data in the high mass $M(D^0K^+)$ region but does not explain the large peak at $M(D^0K^+) \simeq 2.7$ GeV/$c^2$. We parameterize the observed excess of events with a BW and fit the $M(D^0K^+)$ spectrum (Fig. 3(c)) with the ansatz of a new resonance, the $\psi(4160)$ reflection shape and a phase-space component as determined by MC simulations. The free parameters in the fit are the resonance yield, mass and width, and the phase-space component normalization. The fit has an acceptable overall $\chi^2$ but is unable to reproduce the events near the low-mass threshold seen in Fig. 3(c). We used several phenomenological parameterizations (polynomials, another BW, an exponential) of the threshold enhancement into the fit to determine its influence on the BW parameters of the 2.7 GeV/$c^2$ peak. The exponential form $a \times \exp[-\alpha M^2(D^0K^+)]$ gives a good description of the mass spectrum, while adding only two free parameters. From this fit we obtain for this new resonance, which we further denote as the $D_{sJ}^+(2700)$, a signal yield of $182 \pm 30$ events, mass of $M = 2715 \pm 11$ MeV/$c^2$ and width of $\Gamma = 115 \pm 20$ MeV/$c^2$. The threshold and the phase-space components from the fits are $58 \pm 38$ and $47 \pm 26$ events, respectively. The fit results are depicted in Figs. 4(a)-(c) as histograms overlaid on the measured mass spectra.

The resonance parameters and product branching fractions are summarized in Table I. The $\psi(4160)$ yield is not statistically significant, and therefore a 90% C.L. upper limit is also quoted. The $B$'s of the threshold and the phase-space components are $(1.9 \pm 1.2^{+1.0}_{-1.1}) \times 10^{-4}$ and $(1.5 \pm 0.8^{+0.2}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-4}$ (the first errors are statistical, the second are systematic) which correspond to the 90% C.L. upper limits of $4.9 \times 10^{-4}$ and $3.0 \times 10^{-4}$, respectively. The systematic errors on the product branching fractions and the resonance parameters include contributions from the efficiency variation over the Dalitz plot, uncertainties in the yields of the $\psi(4160)$ reflection (including recent measurements of the $\psi(4160)$ parameters [9]), the threshold parameterization, sensitivities of parameters to the fit range and parameterization, uncertainties in the $LR_B$ selection, as well as due to neglected interference effects. The systematics due to the latter are determined from MC simulations of Dalitz plot densities with and without interference of coherent amplitudes. Here an isobar formalism was used, with each contributing resonance parameterized by the BW form. The resonance parameters from Table I and the threshold enhancement parameters are used to determine the amplitudes. The effects of interference of the $\psi(3770)$ with other states are found small and are neglected in the simulations. Events generated with maximal constructive and destructive interferences between the amplitudes, were passed through a complete detector simulation and analysed ignoring interference effects. The differences between the obtained resonance parameters and the input values are taken as their systematic errors.

We study background-subtracted $\psi(3770)$ and $D_{sJ}^+(2700)$ helicity angle distributions by selecting the respective invariant mass in the resonance region and obtaining signal yields in bins of $\cos \theta_{hel}$ from simultaneous fits to $\Delta E$ and $M_{bc}$. Spin-parity hypotheses for the resonances are tested by performing binned $\chi^2$ fits to the obtained angular distributions corrected with efficiency weights. The $J = 1$ hypothesis describes the $\psi(3770)$ distribution well ($\chi^2/ndf = 3.6/5$), $J = 1$ is favoured for the $D_{sJ}^+(2700)$ ($\chi^2/ndf = 7/5$); the $J = 0$ ($185/5$) and $J = 2$ ($250/5$) assignments can be rejected.
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TABLE I: Branching fractions of quasi-two-body components

| $R$     | $D_{sJ}^+(2700)$ | $\psi(3770)$ | $\psi(4160)$ |
|---------|------------------|--------------|--------------|
| $N_{\text{sig}}$ | 182 ± 30          | 68 ± 15      | 43 ± 20      |
| $M$(MeV/$c^2$) | 2715 ± 11±11      | 3777 ± 3 ± 4 | 4160(fixed)  |
| $\Gamma$(MeV/$c^2$) | 115 ± 20±36       | 27 ± 9 ± 5   | 80(fixed)    |
| $B[B^+ \to R K^+(D^0 R)] \times [10^{-4}]$ (or 90% C.L.) | 7.2            | 1.5          | 1.1          |
| $B[R \to \bar{D}^0 D^0(0^+ K^+)]$ | 1.2±1.0±2.9       | ±0.3±0.2     | ±0.5±0.5     |

FIG. 4: $B^+ \to \bar{D}^0 D^0 K^+$ signal yield vs $M(D^0 \bar{D}^0)$ (a), $M(D^0 K^+)$ (b), and $M(\bar{D}^0 K^+)$ (c). Histograms denote the contributions from: $D_{sJ}^+(2700)$ (blue), $\psi(3770)$ (green), $\psi(4160)$ (yellow), threshold (red) and phase-space components (navy blue). Histograms are superimposed additively.

The $M(D^0 K^+)$ distribution of Fig. 2(c) in 10 MeV/$c^2$ is used to search for the $D_{sJ}(2573)$ contribution. We include this state in the fit using the BW formula with the parameters from [5], and obtain $N_{\text{sig}}(D_{sJ}(2573)) = 7.7 ± 5.7$, which corresponds to the 90% C.L. upper limit of $B(B^+ \to \bar{D}^0 D_{sJ}(2573)) \times B(D_{sJ}(2573) \to D^0 K^+) < 0.7 \times 10^{-4}$.

In summary, from a study of the Dalitz plot we find that the decay $B^+ \to \bar{D}^0 D^0 K^+$ proceeds dominantly via quasi-two-body channels: $B^+ \to \bar{D}^0 D_{sJ}^+(2700)$ and $B^+ \to \psi(3770) K^+$, where $D_{sJ}^+(2700)$ is a previously unobserved resonance in the $D^0 K^+$ system with a mass $M = 2715 \pm 11^{+14}_{-14}$ MeV/$c^2$, width $\Gamma = 115 \pm 20^{+36}_{-32}$ MeV/$c^2$ and $J^P = 1^-$. The observed rate for $\psi(3770)$ production confirms our previous observation [10].

Based on its observed decay channel, we interpret the $D_{sJ}^+(2700)$ resonance as a $c\bar{s}$ meson. Potential model calculations [11] predict a $c\bar{s}$ radially excited $2^3S_1$ state with mass $M = 2720$ MeV/$c^2$. From chiral symmetry considerations [12], a $1^+ - 1^-$ doublet of states has been predicted. If the $1^+$ state is identified as the $D_{sJ}(2536)$, the mass predicted for the $1^-$ state is $M = 2721 \pm 10$ MeV/$c^2$. Additional measurements of the meson properties are needed to distinguish between these two interpretations.
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