Glucocorticoid receptor mRNA levels are selectively decreased in neutrophils of children with sepsis

Abstract

Objective: Corticosteroids are used in sepsis treatment to benefit outcome. However, discussion remains on which patients will benefit from treatment. Inter-individual variations in cortisol sensitivity, mediated through the glucocorticoid receptor, might play a role in the observed differences. Our aim was to study changes in mRNA levels of three glucocorticoid receptor splice variants in neutrophils of children with sepsis.

Patients and design: Twenty-three children admitted to the pediatric intensive care unit with sepsis or septic shock were included. Neutrophils were isolated at days 0, 3 and 7, and after recovery (>3 months). mRNA levels of the glucocorticoid receptor splice variants GR-α (determining most of the cortisol effect), GR-P (increasing GR-α effect) and GR-β (inhibitor of GR-α) were measured quantitatively.

Main results: Neutrophils from sepsis patients showed decreased levels of glucocorticoid receptor mRNA in their neutrophils. GR-α and GR-P mRNA levels showed a gradual recovery on days 3 and 7 and normalized after recovery. GR-β mRNA levels did not change significantly during sepsis. GR expression was negatively correlated to interleukin-6 (a measure of disease severity, \( r = -0.60, P = 0.009 \)).

Conclusions: Children with sepsis or septic shock showed a transient depression of glucocorticoid receptor mRNA in their neutrophils. This feature may represent a tissue-specific adaptation during sepsis leading to increased cortisol resistance of neutrophils. Our study adds to understanding the mechanism of cortisol sensitivity in immune cells. Future treatment strategies, aiming at timing and tissue specific regulation of glucocorticoids, might benefit patients with sepsis or septic shock.
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Introduction

Sepsis is a systemic response to a severe infectious disease with a still high mortality and morbidity despite improving treatments. Cortisol has an important role in counter-balancing the immune activation to infection. An adequate cortisol stress response is essential for sepsis survival [1], but a continuing controversy exists concerning the potential benefit of adjunctive corticosteroids in severe sepsis; in this regard, it is extremely important to identify the population that might most benefit from such intervention and, in addition, identify the appropriate time course of such intervention [2, 3]. Inter-individual variations in the endogenous cortisol response to stress might...
play a role in the explanation of the observed differences [4, 5].

At a tissue level, the cortisol effects are determined by the glucocorticoid receptor [6–9]. Large interindividual variability of cortisol sensitivity has been found in a population-based study, with hypersensitive and resistant persons at the extremes [6]. We previously found that genetic variants of the glucocorticoid receptor are associated with altered cortisol sensitivity, an altered immune response, and altered inflammation [10–13]. These alterations might be mediated through changes in glucocorticoid receptor splice variant expression [14]. Three different 3′-splice variants of the glucocorticoid receptor have been reported: GR-α, the most abundant, binds ligand and is functionally active; GR-P is thought to enhance the function of GR-α [15]; and GR-β is a dominant negative inhibitor of GR-α action [9, 16]. Changes in levels of the different splice variants are thought to regulate glucocorticoid sensitivity (i.e., the response to cortisol) in a tissue-specific way [17, 18]. Previous animal studies show elevated glucocorticoid receptor mRNA levels in septic rats correlate with protein levels of the receptor and with the number of hormone binding sites leading to increased glucocorticoid receptor hormone binding activity [19]. In humans, in vitro studies of human lymphocytes show that increased levels of GR-α and GR-β mRNA correlate with protein levels and with glucocorticoid sensitivity of the cells [20]. In lymphocytes of sepsis patients, increased cortisol sensitivity (measured by a thymidine incorporation assay in dexamethasone-stimulated lymphocytes) has been found compared to controls [21]. Neutrophils play an important role in the defense against bacterial infections. Activation of neutrophils, through infection, leads to a pro-inflammatory state demonstrated by reduced apoptosis, increased adherence to the endothelium, extravasation, phagocytosis and production of pro-inflammatory cytokines during sepsis [22]. This leads to a better microbial clearance, which is beneficial for combating sepsis in the acute phase [22]. However, it also leads to tissue damage in prolonged sepsis [22], contributing to multiple organ failure. Increasing neutrophil activity by down-regulating cortisol sensitivity during sepsis could therefore enhance pathogen elimination but also augment the tissue injury. Neutrophils and their possible changes in cortisol sensitivity during sepsis have not been studied before at the level of the glucocorticoid receptor.

We hypothesized that neutrophils during sepsis would temporarily become more resistant to the anti-inflammatory effects of cortisol by transient down-regulation of their glucocorticoid receptor mRNA levels. Therefore, we aimed to study changes in glucocorticoid receptor mRNA levels in neutrophils of children with sepsis longitudinally.

**Materials and methods**

**Patients**

Patients diagnosed with sepsis were studied within 24 h after diagnosis. All children were admitted to the pediatric intensive care unit. Sepsis and septic shock were defined in accordance to the criteria set forth by the International Pediatric Sepsis Consensus Conference [23]. Shock was defined as persistent hypotension or evidence of poor end-organ perfusion [23]. Patients with neutropenia or immuno-suppressive therapies were excluded. The pediatric risk of mortality (PRISM) score was used to determine the severity of illness of individual patients [24]. A higher score means a higher risk of mortality. Blood samples were taken immediately after admission and informed consent, on day 0 (t = 0), and at 0900 hours on day 3 (t = 3), day 7 (t = 7), and after recovery (>3 months). Serum, stored at −20°C, was used for measurement of IL-6, using commercially available ELISA (IL-6, Quantikine HS).

To put the results in perspective and to analyze a possible age dependent effect, blood was obtained, for comparison, from 20 healthy adults of the laboratory staff, aged 21–58 years, who did not suffer from any acute or chronic illness.

The protocol was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Erasmus University Medical Center Rotterdam and parents gave their written informed consent to the study.

**Neutrophil isolation**

All procedures for RNA isolation were performed immediately on fresh blood in all patients. Blood (5 ml) was drawn from an arterial catheter or venapuncture into heparinized tubes. Neutrophils were isolated by the Ficoll separation technique as described before [25]. Viability was tested by trypan blue and more than 95% of cells were viable. Purity of cell type subpopulation was analysed by cytopsin and flow cytometric immuno-fluorescence analysis and was shown to be of high purity (>98%) [25].

**RNA isolation, RT-reaction, and quantitative real time PCR**

For RNA isolation, a cell suspension of 8 × 10⁶ neutrophils in 200 μl saline was dissolved in 1 ml of trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Breda, The Netherlands) and incubated for 5 min at room temperature. After adding 0.2 ml chloroform, tubes were shaken vigorously for 15 s and incubated for 3 min at room temperature. After 10 min centrifugation at 4°C and 8,600g, the RNA, now in the aqueous phase, was transferred to a new tube, precipitated
with 0.5 ml isopropanol, incubated for 10 min at room temperature and centrifuged for 10 min at 4°C and 8,600g. The supernatant was washed with 1 ml 70% ethanol, vortexed and centrifuged for 5 min at 4°C and 4,300g. The remaining RNA pellet was dissolved in 30 μl RNase-free water and incubated for 10 min at 55°C. RNA concentrations were measured using a spectrophotometer (Nanodrop, Los Angeles U.S.A.). The RNA sample was stored at −80°C. cDNA was synthesized from 200 ng RNA in a total volume of 50 μl using a reverse transcription (RT)-reaction as described previously [26].

Quantitative real time PCR was performed for GR-α, GR-P, and GR-β splice variants. Correction for assay variability was performed using the housekeeping gene hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase (HPRT) of which expression levels are stable and not influenced by glucocorticoids (not shown). The primer sequences and reaction mix used have been previously described [26]. The reaction contained 2 μl cDNA template (corresponding to 8 ng total RNA in the RT-PCR), 2.5 μl reaction buffer, 2.5 μl MgCl2, 1 μl dNTP’s, 0.125 μl polymerase, 0.3 pmol/μl forward and reverse primer (0.5 pmol/μl for HPRT), and 0.2 pmol/μl probe, adding water to a total volume of 25 μl. The reactions were carried out in an ABI 7700 Sequence Detector System (Applied Biosystems, Nieuwerkerk aan den IJssel, The Netherlands). After initial heating at 95°C for 8 min, samples were subjected to 40 cycles of denaturation at 92°C for 15 s and annealing and synthesis for 1 min at 60°C. mRNA levels of the GR-α, GR-P, GR-β splice variants, and HPRT were calculated using the comparative CT Method, according to the manufacturer’s guidelines.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS for windows, release 12.0.1 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). For analysis of mean splice variant expression, a paired samples t test was used. The time course of splice variant mRNA levels at four different time points was analyzed using mixed model analysis, which allows the use of incomplete data in follow-up. We used logarithmically transformed values of age, CRP, IL-6, prism, cortisol, and ACTH to normalize the distribution for these variables. Correlations between splice variant mRNA levels and continuous variables were analyzed using Pearson’s correlation coefficient. For analysis of differences in splice variant levels between sexes, presence of shock, diagnosis or medication, the independent samples t-test was used. A P value <0.05 was considered significant.

### Results

Twenty-three children with sepsis or septic shock were included in the study (Table 1), of whom 13 (57%) were

### Table 1 Patient characteristics

| No | Sex | Age (years) | Diagnosis | Ventilated | Admission (days) | GC PRISM score | Cortisol (nmol/l) | ACTH (pmol/l) | CRP mg/l | IL-6 pg/ml |
|----|-----|-------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|---------|-----------|
| 1  | F   | 1.2         | Meningococcal sepsis | No | 1 | No 7 | 848 | 365 |
| 2  | F   | 2.3         | Meningococcal sepsis | No | 1 | No 13 | 1,349 | 3.5 | 84 | 10,494 |
| 3  | M   | 2.7         | Meningococcal sepsis | No | 1 | No 11 | 638 | 65 |
| 4  | F   | 2.9         | Meningococcal sepsis | Yes | 1 | Yes 17 | 1,645 | 156 | 334 |
| 5  | F   | 5.8         | Meningococcal sepsis | Yes | 2 | No 11 | 1,006 | 4.8 | 229 | 135 |
| 6  | M   | 2.4         | Meningococcal sepsis | No | 2 | Yes 19 | 201 | 2,016 |
| 7  | M   | 2.1         | Meningococcal sepsis | No | 2 | No 5 | 619 | 2.0 | 89 | 112 |
| 8  | M   | 3.0         | Meningococcal sepsis + shock | Yes | 15 | No 15 | 696 | 238.0 | 85 | 30,481 |
| 9  | F   | 4.0         | Meningococcal sepsis + shock | Yes | 7 | No 37 | 782 | 140.0 | 25 | 362,333 |
| 10 | M   | 0.3         | Meningococcal sepsis + shock | Yes | 13 | No 14 | 995 | 39.3 | 150 | 13,892 |
| 11 | F   | 1.7         | Meningococcal sepsis + shock | Yes | 2 | No 23 | 601 | 5.2 | 104 | 15,866 |
| 12 | M   | 5.1         | Meningococcal sepsis + shock | Yes | 4 | No 19 | 1,047 | 13.6 | 51 | 49,526 |
| 13 | M   | 1.4         | Meningococcal sepsis + shock | Yes | 5 | No 31 | 244 | 179.0 | 78 | 467,433 |
| 14 | M   | 1.8         | Meningococcal sepsis + shock | Yes | 1 | Yes 14 | 394 | 2.4 | 176 | 688 |
| 15 | M   | 2.1         | Meningococcal sepsis + shock | Yes | 2 | Yes 30 | 2,901 | 1.9 | 408 | 547 |
| 16 | M   | 2.8         | Sepsis + shock eci | Yes | 1 | Yes 20 | 531 | 6.4 | 364 | 6,911 |
| 17 | M   | 12.6        | Sepsis eci | No | 0 | No 3 | 792 | 1.0 | 91 | 44 |
| 18 | M   | 7.7         | Sepsis eci | No | 0 | Yes 1 | 1,162 | 9.5 | 46 | 1,457 |
| 19 | F   | 14.6        | Toxic shock syndrome | Yes | 3 | No 21 | 3,167 | 1.0 | 112 | 50 |
| 20 | F   | 3.3         | Toxic shock syndrome | Yes | 13 | No 20 | 525 | 1.3 | 210 |
| 21 | F   | 0.7         | Pneumococcal sepsis + shock | Yes | 16 | Yes 29 | 1,241 | 3.2 | 346 | 22,671 |
| 22 | F   | 2.4         | Pneumococcal sepsis + shock | Yes | 16 | No 38 | 2,344 | 141.0 | 53 |
| 23 | M   | 14.8        | Staphylococcal sepsis + shock | Yes | 1 | No 29 | 1,948 | 4.1 | 323 |

F female, M male, GC glucocorticoid therapy: dexamethasone (no. 4, 16, 21) or hydrocortisone (no. 15), ref healthy reference. One patient died (no. 23), all other children recovered and are alive to date.
male. Median age was 2.7 years (range 3 months to 14 years. The most frequent diagnosis was meningococcal sepsis in 15 (65%) patients. Fourteen (61%) were diagnosed with shock. Sixteen (70%) needed mechanical ventilation. Median admission duration on the intensive care unit was 2.0 days (range 1–16). Median PRISM score was 19 (range 1–38). Glucocorticoid treatment was used in 7 patients, etomidate in 5, midazolam in 13, and inotropic support in 15 patients. Median leukocyte count was $14 \times 10^9$/l (range 1.8–50). In the leukocyte differentiation, median percentage of granulocytes was 81% (range 26–94%), lymphocytes 14% (range 5–68%), monocytes 3% (range 0–9%). Median level of IL-6 was 54,722 pg/ml (range 44–467,433), C-reactive protein (CRP) at admission was 112 mg/l (range 25–408), cortisol 921 nmol/l (range 244–3,167), ACTH 4.8 pg/l (range 25–408) (Table 1). Of the 23 children who were included, 1 died within 24 h after diagnosis (patient 23, Table 1). All other children recovered and are alive to date.

A blood sample was obtained from all 23 patients at day 0, from 11 patients at day 3, from 5 patients at day 7 and from 15 patients after recovery (>3 months after t = 0). The drop-outs on day 3 and 7 were due to prior discharge from the ICU. No recovery sample was obtained from 7 patients: 2 dropped out of the study because the parents refused venapuncture after recovery, 3 were missed during follow-up, and in 2 patients RNA isolation failed in the laboratory.

Glucocorticoid receptor mRNA levels are shown in Fig. 1. Sepsis children showed significantly lower levels of GR-α and GR-P in their neutrophils at $t = 0$ compared to after recovery ($P = 0.00004$ and 0.0001, respectively; Fig. 1). The GR-β levels did not change significantly ($P = 0.3$; Fig. 1) [27]. Longitudinal analysis showed a linear increase for GR-α and GR-P mRNA ($P = 0.000001$ and 0.0001, respectively) from $t = 0$ to 3 and 7 days until recovery (Fig. 2). After recovery, mean GR-α, GR-P, and GR-β mRNA levels were not different in sepsis patients compared to the levels in 20 healthy adults ($P$ value = 0.8, 0.9, and 1.0, respectively). The ±2 SD of the healthy adults is indicated by a dotted line in Fig. 1.

GR-α and GR-P mRNA at $t = 0$ were significantly correlated to IL-6 levels [correlation coefficient $-0.60 (P = 0.009)$ and $-0.49 (P = 0.04)$, respectively; Table 2, Fig. 3]. IL-6 was significantly correlated to PRISM score (correlation coefficient 0.60, $P$-value 0.008). GR-α at $t = 0$ was significantly correlated to age (Table 2 and Fig. 3). No correlation was found between age and IL-6 levels [correlation coefficient $-0.164 (P = 0.52)$]. After recovery, no correlation was found between age and GR mRNA levels. No correlation was found between GR splice variant levels at $t = 0$ and PRISM score, serum levels of CRP, cortisol, or ACTH (Table 2). No differences in glucocorticoid receptor mRNA levels were found in groups divided by gender, presence of shock, diagnosis, or length of stay in the intensive care unit (Table 3). No

![Fig. 1](https://example.com/fig1.png) Levels of glucocorticoid receptor splice variants GR-α, GR-P, and GR-β mRNA in neutrophils of children with sepsis, measured at day 0, 3, 7 and after recovery (X-axis). Y-axis represents mRNA levels (copies) measured by real-time polymerase chain reaction. The dotted reference lines represent the ±2 SD of healthy adults.
differences in glucocorticoid receptor mRNA levels were found in groups divided by medication, with the exception of significant lower mean GR-P levels in children who received glucocorticoid treatment compared to children without glucocorticoid treatment (Table 3).

Table 2 Correlation of glucocorticoid receptor mRNA levels with patient characteristics

| Variable | CC GR-α | P value | CC GR-P | P value | CC GR-β | P value |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Age      | 0.53    | 0.01    | 0.35    | 0.10    | -0.16   | 0.95    |
| PRISM    | -0.16   | 0.47    | -0.14   | 0.52    | -0.17   | 0.94    |
| CRP      | 0.25    | 0.91    | -0.79   | 0.72    | -0.54   | 0.82    |
| IL-6     | -0.60   | 0.009   | -0.49   | 0.04    | -0.17   | 0.51    |
| Cortisol | 0.30    | 0.18    | 0.15    | 0.52    | 0.15    | 0.52    |
| ACTH     | 0.38    | 0.11    | -0.32   | 0.18    | 0.17    | 0.49    |

**Discussion**

This study shows that, in neutrophils of children with sepsis or septic shock, glucocorticoid receptor mRNA levels are suppressed (Figs. 1 and 2). During follow-up, the GR mRNA levels gradually increase at days 3 and 7 and have normalized after recovery (Figs. 1 and 2). Our study demonstrates a transient decline of glucocorticoid receptor mRNA levels in neutrophils during sepsis. This implicates enhanced cortisol resistance and thus augmented immune activation of neutrophils during sepsis. Activated neutrophils are important in fighting sepsis [28]. After bacterial invasion of the body, they produce an anti-inflammatory response by producing pro-inflammatory mediators like cytokines and nitric oxide [28, 29]. Exogenous glucocorticoids are known to generally suppress the neutrophil activation status. However, in sepsis patients with high endogenous cortisol levels, their numbers increase and the phagocytic and bactericidal

![Fig. 2 Longitudinal samples obtained from the seven sepsis patients with complete dataset for day 0, 3 and after recovery (X-axis). The Y-axis represents individual levels of glucocorticoid receptor splice variants GR-α, GR-P, and GR-β mRNA in neutrophils of these patients](image)

![Fig. 3 Correlation between Interleukin-6 and GR-α mRNA levels at t = 0 and correlation between age and GR-α mRNA levels at t = 0](image)
capacity in circulating neutrophils of septic patients have been found to be higher than in controls [30]. This could be a useful endogenous adaptation in the acute phase of sepsis when fighting the bacterial infection has priority.

The decrease of GR mRNA levels in sepsis neutrophils that we found in our study could represent a tissue-specific effect. Other studies reported glucocorticoid receptor down-regulation as well as up-regulation in different tissues, measured by protein amounts (western blots) or by binding-assays. Reduced GR binding in liver, lung, and spleen was seen in endotoxin-treated rats [31, 32], while increased GR mRNA levels and binding activity were found in muscle [19, 33]. In humans, peripheral blood lymphocytes from sepsis patients showed an increased cortisol sensitivity which suggests increased amount of glucocorticoid receptor mRNA levels in sepsis neutrophils found in our study also coincided with the clinical improvement seen in the first week. Previously, IL-6 levels has been shown to be associated with outcome with sepsis nonsurvivors showing significantly higher mean IL-6 levels (1,195.5 ± 10^3 pg/ml) compared to sepsis shock survivors (45.9 ± 10^3 pg/ml) and sepsis survivors (0.4 ± 10^3 pg/ml) [5]. No correlation was found between glucocorticoid receptor mRNA levels and PRISM score, which might be explained by the broader range of IL-6 (disentangling severity variation), compared to PRISM. On the other hand, the negative correlation of glucocorticoid receptor mRNA levels and IL-6 could represent a direct effect of pro-inflammatory cytokines like IL-6 on the down-regulation of glucocorticoid receptor mRNA expression. Previous in vitro studies showed that after stimulation of neutrophils with pro-inflammatory cytokines, a lower GR-β/GR-α ratio was found [9, 34, 35]. Another explanation could be that glucocorticoid receptor down-regulation is a cortisol-driven phenomenon as it coincides with elevation of cortisol levels. The significantly decreased levels of GR-P in children with glucocorticoid treatment may further support this hypothesis. However, the levels of GR-α in glucocorticoid-treated children are not significantly lower. GR-α mRNA levels were suppressed at all ages, but an age-dependent correlation was found at t = 0 in the direction of more GR down-regulation at a younger age (Table 2, Fig. 3). Thus, the ability of suppressing GR mRNA during sepsis might decrease with age. After recovery, no age-dependent variation was found in children. Also, no difference was found between GR mRNA levels in children after recovery and healthy adults (Fig. 1). We have no indication that the variation of GR mRNA levels at t = 0 was influenced by factors like gender, presence of shock, diagnosis, PRISM, plasma levels of cortisol, ACTH, CRP, length of stay in the intensive care unit, or use of medication, while no associations were found with any of these variables (Tables 2 and 3). However, our study results might be limited by sample size.

We aimed at studying children with the advantage of studying pure sepsis without interference of chronic disease or medication. However, the results might not apply to adults. In addition, the amount of blood that can be drawn from children is limited, so protein levels could not

| n  | GR-α | P value \(a\) | GR-P | P value \(a\) | GR-β | P value \(a\) |
|----|------|--------------|------|--------------|------|--------------|
|    |      |              |      |              |      |              |
| Gender |      |              |      |              |      |              |
| Male  | 13   | 1.27         | 0.92 | 0.18         | 0.89 | 0.0012       | 0.21 |
| Female | 10   | 1.23         | 0.19 | 0.0048       |      |              |
| Shock |      |              |      |              |      |              |
| Yes   | 14   | 1.19         | 0.67 | 0.18         | 0.94 | 0.0014       | 0.19 |
| No    | 9    | 1.36         | 0.19 | 0.0055       |      |              |
| Diagnosis: meningococcal |      |              |      |              |      |              |
| Yes   | 15   | 1.11         | 0.29 | 0.15         | 0.23 | 0.0036       | 0.44 |
| No    | 8    | 1.54         | 0.24 | 0.0013       |      |              |
| Length of ICU stay <7 days |      |              |      |              |      |              |
| Yes   | 16   | 1.34         | 0.52 | 0.18         | 0.83 | 0.0032       | 0.66 |
| No\(b\) | 7    | 1.07         | 0.20 | 0.0018       |      |              |
| Glucocorticoids |      |              |      |              |      |              |
| No    | 16   | 1.45         | 0.14 | 0.23         | 0.04 | 0.0015       | 0.23 |
| Yes   | 7    | 0.82         | 0.08 | 0.0052       |      |              |
| Ethomidate |      |              |      |              |      |              |
| No    | 18   | 1.43         | 0.09 | 0.21         | 0.27 | 0.0031       | 0.70 |
| Yes   | 5    | 0.65         | 0.11 | 0.0017       |      |              |
| Midazolam |      |              |      |              |      |              |
| No    | 10   | 1.38         | 0.59 | 0.20         | 0.72 | 0.0013       | 0.44 |
| Yes   | 13   | 1.17         | 0.17 | 0.0036       |      |              |
| Inotropic support |      |              |      |              |      |              |
| No    | 8    | 1.48         | 0.71 | 0.2          | 0.73 | 0.0011       | 0.64 |
| Yes   | 15   | 1.14         | 0.18 | 0.0036       |      |              |

\(a\) Comparison of means by one-way ANOVA

\(b\) Deceased patient was analyzed in the group of ICU stay >7 days
be measured. Measuring protein and mRNA levels simultaneously might be interesting to perform in adults. Although previous studies have shown correlations [19, 20], the measurement of mRNAs levels may not necessarily correlate with protein levels in sepsis patients. We studied neutrophils only; studying other types of immune cells would be interesting, but in a previous study we found that it is hampered by difficulty of isolating pure cell subpopulations [25]. Ficoll-separated leukocytes of sepsis patients are not suitable for studying mononuclear cells because this fraction is highly contaminated with granulocytes. Interestingly, lymphocytes, which are prone to apoptosis, and neutrophils, which are prone to proliferation during sepsis, show opposite glucocorticoid receptor mRNA regulation. This apparent paradox deserves further study to identify tissue-specific regulators of GR expression and splice variants during sepsis.

In conclusion, children with sepsis showed a transient depression of the glucocorticoid receptor splice variants GR-z and GR-P mRNA in their neutrophils. This feature may represent a tissue-specific adaptation during sepsis leading to increased cortisol resistance of neutrophils. Understanding the mechanism of cortisol sensitivity in immune cells could lead to development of new treatment strategies. While some tissues benefit from glucocorticoid treatment, other tissues might not. Future treatment strategies, aimed at timing and tissue-specific regulation of glucocorticoids, might benefit patients with sepsis or other immune and inflammatory diseases.
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