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Abstract—The study examines the historical data of about 4700 air crashes all over the world since the first recorded air crash of 1908. Given the immense impact on human beings as well as companies, the study aimed at utilizing Machine Learning principles for predicting fatalities. The train-test partition used was 75-25. Employing the IBM SPSS Modeler, the machine learning models used included CHAID model, Neural Network, Generalized Linear Model, XGBoost, Random Trees and the Ensemble model to predict fatalities in air crashes. The best results (90.6% accuracy) were achieved through Neural Network with one hidden layer. The results presented also include comparison of the predicted versus observed results for the test data.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Transportation or travelling plays an important role in human’s life. As compared to other forms of transportation, flying in an aeroplane saves time and covers large amounts of distances. It is a safe mode of transportation. On average a person is 65 times more likely to die in a car travelling the same distance as an airliner. The safety of commercial passenger accidents service remains a worldwide concern. Aviation accidents always result in great damage to human life and also to the economy of the country. Plane crashes are mostly fatal and deadly because of its size and weight. Air accidents are caused due to pilot error, mechanical error, bad weather, sabotages or human mistakes. These errors or mistakes can happen any time. Flying mishance cases have a great degree of complexity.

Between 1990 and 2006, an average of over 1,000 passengers and 130 crew members died in commercial passenger service accidents every year.

Common Causes of Aviation accident

1. Pilot error: The general error that happened in an aviation accident is done by pilot, which accounts for approximately half of all plane crashes.

2. Human error: When a pilot works for long hours some fatal errors may be committed by him while operating the aircraft which can lead to fatal results. Some other jobs which require a great amount of precision are the jobs of air traffic controllers, dispatchers and loaders and even a minor error on their end can lead to life-threatening situations.

3. Weather problems: If in any situation the weather suddenly changes or bad weather will be there that situation is also dangerous for flying as well as hard to handle the flight for the pilot. Weather conditions such as heavy rainstorms, fog and snow makes it more difficult and dangerous and can lead to severe accidents.

4. Mechanical defects: If there are any defects or failure in any one system then the situation leads to a lethal outcome. The possibility of repairing or replacing faulty parts mid air is almost close to zero.

5. Other: During wars or attacks by other countries through aeroplane the large amount of disturbance in environment or natural resources. In addition to this, while transporting highly inflammable substances a great deal of precaution has to be taken otherwise if there’s any leakage or even a little spark, the result could be major system failures and eventual loss of life and property.

The paper is further structured as follows literature survey, data and methodology and conclusion and finding. The study helps to understand the real-time crashes, who are being affected and how to prevent this problem. These studies help to get the solution for the general population. An analysis has been made using crash-deceleration pulse data from a crash-dynamics program on general aviation airplanes and from transport crash data available in the literature.
II. LITERATURE SURVEY

According to the ACRP Report 62 (1) ICAO, the aero must be monitored “regular, mandatory, systematic, and harmonized safety audits” these rules audits by the United State in 2007. According to Clinton V. Oster, Jr., the accidents categorized into the type of service being conducted when the accident occurred. The type of service related to the passenger facilities and fatal accidents. The passenger facilities occurred in the scheduled service for domestic as well as international where large aircraft are more commonly used.

Vane, R. (2016). Analysis of flight delay and how this system can be improvised is possible through big data. International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), 03 (06), pp 778-780.

DeAngelis, said that if in any case weather issues or some human error will be there then airlines should train pilots in such a way that they can solve the problem easily. If the important point to maintain and improve flight safety as airline and government must invest in human factors research.

III. DATA AND ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

The data set used included over 5000 data points after cleaning the data set 4700 data points starting from the first reported air crash of the US Army flyer flown by Orville Wright. The data attributes include data on time, location of the air crash, the Operator, number of passengers abroad and details of fatalities. The study examines the possibility of predicting the number of fatalities based on the available data through using multiple machine learning algorithms. Data was taken from www.kaggle.com/c/gurkan/airplane-crash-data-since-1908.

The models used included relatively simpler ones like multiple regression and more advanced ones like generalized linear model, random trees, XGBoost tree model, CHAID, the neural network and the ensemble model. The IBM SPSS Modeler tool was used to run the models with a training set comprising 75% of the data and test set comprising 25%. Findings/output of these models is presented below:

**Neural Network:** The neural network algorithm is inspired by the neural network present from different human brains. It consists of an input layer which comprises of all the features or properties observed in the input data, an output layer which identifies the cluster to which the input data belongs. Between the input and output layers, there will be one or more hidden layers present. Number of hidden layers depends on the requirements. In these layers, neurons compute the weighted inputs to produce output for the next layer with the help of some activation function and biases. Neural network creates a layered directed weighted graph.

**Ensemble Model:** The ensemble model is a type of supervised learning algorithm. It is a hybrid model, in other words, two or more models are combined together to make predictions and to produce desired output from the given input data. This approach is used to minimize the prediction error in the final output. Instead of running various models on the same data set, it is significant to use a combination of those models to reduce time and complexity. Here classification can be done in a group.

**Chi-squared Automatic Interaction Detection model:** It applies a decision tree technique, based on adjusted significance testing. These can be used for prediction as well as classification, and find interaction between variables. It is a tool used to discover different relationships between variables and analysis builds a predictive model, or tree, to help determine how variables best merge to explain the outcome in the given dependent variable.

**XGBoost Tree Model:** XG Boost follows the decision tree model of Machine Learning algorithm which uses boosting framework. In prediction problems involving unstructured data. Artificial neural networks is the top all other algorithms or frameworks. This model performs well for small-to-medium structured/tabular data, decision tree based algorithms are considered best-in-class right now.

**Random Forest Algorithm:** Random forest is a supervised learning. It is an ensemble classifier obtained by bagging of decision trees that have been trained on randomly selected r dimensions out of d dimensions of input x, where r dimension selected randomly of tree learned in this way.

**Generalized linear model:** The generalized linear model refers to linear regression. In linear regression, there is a linear relationship between variables. In general form, y=mx+c, where the value of y and x may differ from different points.

**Multiple linear regression:** Multiple linear regression models refer to having two or more linear relationships between more variables.

It is used to predict the values from the given data.

The figure below gives a schematic of the IBM SPSS Modeler screen for the seven models used in several cases, namely, Neural Networks, Ensemble model, Chi-squared automatic interaction detection model, XGBoost tree model, Multiple linear regression, Random Forest Algorithm and Generalized linear model.

![Fig 1: Models: IBM SPSS Modeler](image-url)
IV. CONCLUSION AND FINDINGS

The findings are briefly presented below:

1. Classification using the Neural network shows over 90.6% correctly classified cases of airplane crashes from the test data.

2. The plot of actual versus predicted data shows a high level of resemblance (closeness) between the two, thus suggesting that the neural network model has the potential of being a useful predictive model for air casualties.

Model Summary

| Target         | Fatalities |
|----------------|------------|
| Model          | Multilayer Perceptron |
| Stopping Rule Used | Minimum error ratio achieved |
| Hidden Layer 1 Neurons | 16 |

3. The CHAID model provides over 67.8% correct classification of the flight casualties data.

4. Ensemble classification of flight casualties data provides the correlation of 78.1% correctly classified cases of flight for the test data.

5. Using a generalized linear model, the airplane crashes showing the 74% correlation from the data.
Table 4: Generalized linear model

| 'Partition'     | 1_Training | 2_Testing |
|-----------------|------------|-----------|
| Minimum Error   | -302.803   | -207.342  |
| Maximum Error   | 211.633    | 199.329   |
| Mean Error      | -0.0       | -0.089    |
| Mean Absolute Error | 13.328   | 12.364    |
| Standard Deviation | 27.197   | 25.928    |
| Linear Correlation | 0.751   | 0.74      |
| Occurrences     | 3.518      | 1.201     |

6. XGBoost model gives the classification of 74.5% correlation.

Table 5: XGBoost Model

| 'Partition'     | 1_Training | 2_Testing |
|-----------------|------------|-----------|
| Minimum Error   | -114.22    | -191.494  |
| Maximum Error   | 102.01     | 248.016   |
| Mean Error      | 0.68       | 0.214     |
| Mean Absolute Error | 7.301   | 9.908     |
| Standard Deviation | 13.30    | 23.135    |
| Linear Correlation | 0.929   | 0.745     |
| Occurrences     | 3.518      | 1.201     |

7. Multiple regression works on different parameters show the relationship of 74%.

Table 6: Multiple linear regression model

| 'Partition'     | 1_Training | 2_Testing |
|-----------------|------------|-----------|
| Minimum Error   | -303.678   | -215.971  |
| Maximum Error   | 210.735    | 193.403   |
| Mean Error      | 0.0        | -0.049    |
| Mean Absolute Error | 13.343  | 12.799    |
| Standard Deviation | 27.321   | 25.993    |
| Linear Correlation | 0.748   | 0.74      |
| Occurrences     | 3.518      | 1.201     |

8. Random Trees model provides a correlation of 67.1% from the test data.

Table 7: Random Trees Model

| 'Partition'     | 1_Training | 2_Testing |
|-----------------|------------|-----------|
| Minimum Error   | -128.058   | -164.04   |
| Maximum Error   | 373.681    | 482.845   |
| Mean Error      | -0.054     | -0.453    |
| Mean Absolute Error | 8.988   | 10.018    |
| Standard Deviation | 22.028   | 25.818    |
| Linear Correlation | 0.795   | 0.671     |
| Occurrences     | 3.518      | 1.201     |

V. LIMITATION OF STUDY
The primary limitation of this study is clubbing of data from 1908 till the recent past (2019). Considering the immense changes in aircraft technology since 1908, it may be more appropriate to categorize the data based on period of the accident and analyse data in recent years. This also presents an area of further study wherein the data could be segregated differently and conclusions drawn from recent data.
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