Editorial: Microbial biofilms interacting with host mucosal surfaces

Jean-Paul Motta1*, Anders P. Hakansson2 and Samuel A. Lee3,4

1Institute of Digestive Health Research, INSERM U1220, Toulouse, France, 2Division of Experimental Infection Medicine, Department of Translational Medicine, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden, 3White River Junction Veterans Affairs (VA) Medical Center, White River Junction, VT, United States, 4Section of Infectious Diseases and International Health, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Hanover, NH, United States

KEYWORDS
biofilms, mucosal surface, polymicrobial, host response, models, pathobionts

Mucosal biofilms

Biofilms, a microbial community lifestyle, are ubiquitous within eukaryotic habitats (Flemming and Wuertz, 2019). Indeed, various mucosal surfaces such as the oral cavity (Bowen et al., 2018), the gastrointestinal tract (Motta et al., 2021), the skin (Ring et al., 2017; Bay et al., 2020), the vagina (Carson et al., 2021) and the nasopharynx (Marks et al., 2012) and lungs (Bjarnsholt et al., 2009) are naturally colonized by microbial biofilms, both in health and in disease. That said, it is becoming increasingly clear that we need to surpass our mental perception of what is a biofilm interacting with a mucosal surface, and more importantly how we should consider these microbial communities above traditional in vitro model systems (Bjarnsholt et al., 2021; Sauer et al., 2022). Interacting with mucosal surfaces, in vivo biofilms can be seen as extremely complex microbial ecosystems forming an ecological network, embedded in a self-produced and host biopolymer matrix, and either firmly, loosely or unattached to mucosal surfaces (Flemming and Wuertz, 2019; Sauer et al., 2022). Hence, understanding precisely how microbial biofilm interacts with mucosal surfaces, and how these interactions contribute to pathophysiology, bear significant interest for the whole scientific and medical community.

Featured articles in this Research Topic

In the review paper by Guerra et al., a specific focus has been made on Klebsiella pneumoniae, a common inhabitant of various mucosal surfaces (lungs, skin, urogenital and gastrointestinal tract). It explores fundamental knowledge regarding biofilm biology.
of this species, and the threat of multidrug resistance of these biofilms is largely discussed. Biofilm phenotype still remain incompletely understood in this behavior, but is definitely worth investigating. Interestingly, a discussion was incorporated on the multispecies nature of naturally biofilm forming Klebsiella biofilms. It is noteworthy that transkingdom interaction with Candida may occur naturally in the oral mucosa and on the skin surface (particularly relevant for wound biofilms), but also in the gastrointestinal tract. The authors also explore different model systems to study Klebsiella biofilm formation (from static to dynamic; and in vitro to in vivo Galleria models). Finally, the authors discuss how some of these molecules could be used in future vaccines against this bacterium. Another review paper we have selected is a work from Weeks et al., focused on Non-typeable Haemophilus influenzae (NTHi), which is a puzzling pathobiont microorganism involved in lung infection in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). In this paper, the authors summarize literature evidence of how NTHi adopts the biofilm lifestyle in the lung mucosal surface, with the activation of autoinducer-mediated quorum sensing systems, promotion of epithelial- and mucus-binding adhesins, as well as the genetic evidence for self-production of surrounding matrix. Interestingly, this paper also touches on the polymicrobial nature of NTHi biofilm in vivo, with many open up for novel and relevant research questions in the future. Importantly, in this review, the authors also discuss the clinical relevance of the biofilm phenotype in the particular context of COPD. Although these 2 review papers mostly focus on lung mucosal surfaces, several conceptual discussions may well be relevant for other mucosal surfaces, such as the skin, the gastrointestinal and urogenital tract.

Microbial endocrinology is an exciting emerging field, aiming for instance to understand the impact of host factors (e.g. hormones) during infection progression on mucosal surfaces. In that context, sex dimorphism in bacterial infections associated with biofilm formation in cystic fibrosis (CF) are well-known, however there is much complexity beyond this statement. In the original paper from Al-Zawity et al., the authors isolated several clinical isolates of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in sputum of patients. They showed that estrogen promoted a biofilm phenotype in their in vitro static model, with various degree of effect on the physico-chemical architecture of biofilm matrices. Interestingly, no such effect using traditional laboratory strains was observed (a finding that may explain opposite findings from the literature). This could explain why P. aeruginosa found in CF patients undergoes more frequent mucoid conversion in female compared to male patients (Chotirmall et al., 2012). Here, the authors propose that estrogen modulates quorum sensing signaling in some, but not all, CF isolates. Overall, this interesting study justifies the need to perform research on clinical strains, in addition to conventional laboratory models. It is noteworthy that the in vitro model used in this study is very simplified compared with in vivo settings in lung airways and future validation in a biologically relevant model would be highly appreciated in the field.

Another original study here, by Alves-Barroco et al., focused on Streptococcus dysgalactiae subsp. dysgalactiae (SDSD). This strain is a bovine mastitis-associated strain, but also implicated in human health. The paper adds experimental evidence to the field suggesting that SDSD strains can efficiently infect and form biofilms on a surface of human keratinocyte cells. Importantly, this paper uses an SDSD biofilm model in mice (subcutaneous catheter implantation), a model relevant for prosthesis-associated biofilm infection. Overall, this paper is a good example of how host mucosal factors can directly influence the transcriptome of mucosal biofilm in vivo, with upregulation of genes likely to be important for host colonization and survival there (including genes involved in the adhesion to host fibronectin). That said, future studies, such as those utilizing gene-specific genetic mutants, are needed to fully understand how this modified transcriptome on mucosal surfaces may contribute to pathogenic behavior. Finally, these findings may have a broader repercussion, as strains of a phylogenetically related species (Streptococcus gallolyticus) are associated with colorectal cancer in humans (Aymeric et al., 2018), which is a disease associated with abnormal biofilm phenotype on the colon surface (Dejea et al., 2014; Motta et al., 2021).

Finally, a last original article in this Research Topic came from Wirth et al., who studied microbial biofilms interacting with the oral mucosa. Specifically, this paper explored in depth taxonomic diversity of oral biofilms associated with periodontitis in humans. What makes this study original, was that samples were not only taken from the periodontal pockets, but the saliva was also collected, from the same patients. Overall, authors revealed a change in taxonomic representations of healthy versus disease-associated biofilms (specifically with a drop in diversity). Although this study revealed that saliva may not exhaustively reflect taxonomy of the oral periodontal biofilms, it was still considered an efficient fluid to identify various microbiological biomarkers indicative of the oral health status. For instance, this paper demonstrated that most abundant periodontal pathogens of the subgingival biofilms were also present in the saliva. As a perspective, it remains to be clarified whether dispersal of the oral biofilm may be altered in disease situation and how this could influence the release of oral pathobionts reaching the gastrointestinal tract and causing disease there (Kitamoto et al., 2020).

Conclusion and perspectives

In conclusion, this Research Topic gathered several papers that elucidate how mucosal biofilms may form and interact with the host mucosal surface. Studies presented here aimed at surpassing the classical views of biofilms being necessarily
negative but rely on the fine alterations in biofilm features, and how they behave after exposure to host factors (e.g. hormones) that may be associated with disease initiation/perpetuation. As revealed in Al-Zawity et al. and discussed in Guerra et al., biologically relevant and in vivo biofilms models are sorely needed to provide convincing evidence of the clinical relevance of the findings presented. Additionally, the specific interactions within polymicrobial, including cross-kingdom, biofilms and their impact on pathogenesis remains an area needing further study. Further development of clinically significant in vivo polymicrobial biofilm models will be of great value in this effort. Although mucosal biofilms are central in the pathophysiology of chronic disorders, they can also contribute to homeostatic development of many physiological functions. Hence, as a perspective on works presented here, additional works are now needed to understand how commensal and/or probiotic biofilms could contribute to health benefits in mucosal surfaces.
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