Enteral tube feeding: Safety and tolerance of nutritional supplements in hospitalized patients
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Abstract

Objective: Most of the patients in intensive care unit (ICU) present nutritional challenges which needs to be compensated using enteral tube feeding (ETF). Maxvida™ is a commercially available balanced nutrition supplement with antioxidants, bone strengthening and hemoglobin forming nutrients. However, its benefits in patients have not yet been established. Therefore, the present study aimed to assess the efficacy and tolerability of Maxvida™ in ICU patients.

Design: This was a two-week, prospective, open label, investigator-initiated study conducted between June-2016 to August-2016.

Methods: Participants of either sex, aged ≥18 years, hospitalized for minimum two days, requiring enteral feeding were given two feeds of Maxvida™ (30 gm diluted in 100 mL of water) along with four standard kitchen feeds at different timings. Primary endpoint was to monitor continuous GI tolerance. Secondary endpoints were change in leukocyte count, nutrient, renal, hepatic and hematological parameters. Data was analyzed descriptively using paired t-test and p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: Fifteen (11 males, 4 females, mean age: 46.3 ± 8.9 years) participants were enrolled. None of them reported gastric intolerance. A significant improvement in hemoglobin (p=0.03), hematocrit (p=0.003), white blood cells (p=0.0001*) levels was reported while RBC, platelet, differential leukocyte count also improved gradually.

Conclusion: Maxvida™ administered with hospital kitchen feed were found to be safe and gastro-intestinally well tolerated by all the participants.
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Introduction

Patients in intensive care unit (ICU) face many complexities due to their health status. Malnutrition is one among them and is commonly observed in 30-50% of the hospitalized patients [1]. Poor nutrition reserve is observed during presentation or it develops during hospitalization (also known as hospital malnutrition), resulting in poor clinical outcomes including morbidity and mortality [2]. The major reason behind malnutrition in ICU patients is decreased intake of food due to illness associated depression, anxiety, gastrointestinal problems, etc [2].

In the recent years, enteral tube feeding (ETF) has played a key role in providing nutrition to critically ill patients. It is mainly used in patients who cannot attain adequate amount of food intake orally due to difficulty in eating or drinking [3]. To resolve nutrition related challenges, patients are fed or nourished with enteral feeding (EF). EF involves nourishment of patients with homogenized food and liquid with the help of a special tube which is inserted in patient’s digestive tract [4]. However, ETF is also associated with various formula related challenges such as gastrointestinal disorders; infection related challenges such as pneumonia, tube site infection; metabolic challenges such as refeeding syndrome, hyperglycemia and mechanical challenges leading to tube blockage; [5].

Variety of commercial, nutrient specific EF formulas are available in the market and being used widely to nourish ICU patients. Generally, the factors affecting the selection of formula include nutritionist’s experience and availability as well as price of the feed formula [4]. Maxvida™ is a commercial balanced nutrition supplement nourished with 32 key nutrients. It helps in improving nutritional health and well-being of patients. It is cholesterol, trans-fat and gluten free, therefore is acceptable for patients with hyperlipidemia. In addition to its nutritional benefits, it contains antioxidants, bone strengthening nutrients and helps in normal hemoglobin formation [6]. However, its benefits in patients have not yet been established. Therefore, the present study aimed to assess the efficacy and tolerability of Maxvida™ in ICU patients.

Methods

This was a 2-week, prospective, open label, investigator-initiated study conducted between June-2016 to August-2016. Fifteen adult participants, of either sex, aged 18 years and above, hospitalized patients, requiring enteral feeding, with minimum 2 days of hospital stay were included in the study. Participants received tube feeding prior to hospitalization, history of renal, hepatic, cardiovascular, respiratory, skin, haematological, endocrine, neurological or gastrointestinal diseases, and with any evidence of organ dysfunction or any clinically
significant deviation from the normal, in physical or clinical determinations were excluded. Data of participants receiving 2 Feeds of Maxvida™ (30 gm diluted in 100 mL of water) was administered per day at 08.00 hrs and 16.00 hrs. The hospital feed included 350 ml each of a standard kitchen feed administered at 10.00 hrs, 12.00 hrs, 18.00 hrs and 20.00 hrs (1 ml of the hospital kitchen feed translated into 1 kcal). The Gastric residual volume (GRV) was checked at 07.30 hrs, 09.00 hrs, 15.30 hrs, 17.00 hrs.

The study was performed in compliance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, in accordance with the International conference of harmonization guideline for good clinical practice, and in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements. All participants provided a written informed consent.

Endpoints

The primary endpoint was to monitor continuous GI tolerance and the following parameters were included: the number of diarrhea free days, stomach irritation, regurgitation, abdominal bloating, vomiting and GRV > 500 ml.

Secondary endpoints measured were change in haematological parameters (complete hemogram, creatinine, liver function tests (LFT’s)- ALP, ALT, AST, Total bilirubin, Distribution of Serum Albumin level, Mean change in Serum Albumin level from Day 1 to subsequent days, Blood Urea Nitrogen (BUN) and comparison of pre to post mean values of nutrient parameters (Magnesium and Sodium). Adverse event monitoring included vital signs and potential abnormalities in the laboratory parameters.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics for continuous variable and frequency with percentage for categorical variables were performed. Data were analysed and the mean data along with the standard deviation (SD) were subjected to statistical analysis using paired t-test. A ‘p’ value of less than 0.05 has been marked significantly difference from the pre values.

Results

Total 15 participants having normal physical examination were enrolled in the study; of these all participants completed the study (Figure 1).

As a standard of care, hospital maintains a minimum calorie requirement of 1600 kcal/day for hospitalized patients.

Hospital Kitchen Feed: The calorie content of Hospital Kitchen Feed was 1 kcal/ml. Four servings of 350 ml each of hospital feed translated to 1400 kcal of energy provided to participants per day.

Maxvida™ nutritional profile

Nutritional profile obtained below shows daily energy requirements were met by 60 g (30 g^2) of Maxvida™ (244 kcal/day) (Table 1).

Demographics

Mean age and BMI of the study participants were 46.3 ± 8.9 years and 27.6 ± 16.0 kg/m², respectively. Study population included more males (n = 11; 73.3%) than females (n = 4; 26.7%) as shown in table 2. 60% of participants were < 50 years of age.

Primary endpoints

There were no events observed of any gastric abnormalities (diarrhea, stomach irritation, regurgitation, abdominal bloating and vomiting) for any of the participants. Gastric residual volume GRV’s were within the limits (≤500 ml) for continual tube feeding in all participants showing positive signs of GI tolerance for feed (Figure 2). Distribution of GRV was 348.6, 376.3, 326.1 & 318.0 ml on day 1, 2, 3 & 4, respectively.

Secondary endpoints

Following are the results for comparison of pre and post mean values of haematological and blood nutrient parameters; distribution of serum albumin level, mean change in serum albumin level from day 1 to subsequent days and the adverse events observed during the clinical study period (Table 3).

The haematological and nutrient parameters were reported to improve gradually while stay of participants in hospital when fed
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Table 3. Comparison of hemoglobin (Hb) from pre to post intervention

| Hematological parameters | Reference Range | Pre (n=15) (Mean ± SD) | Post (n=15) (Mean ± SD) | Mean change** | p-value |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Hb (g/dL)                | 13.0-17.0 (male) 12.0-15.0 (female) | 11.2 ± 1.4 | 11.6 ± 1.3 | 0.3 ± 0.5 | 0.03* |
| Platelet count (per cmm) | 150000-450000 156666.7 ± 58336. 358533.3 ± 729081.0 201866.7 ± 688244.2 | 0.20* |
| Hematocrit (%)           | 40-50 35.3 ± 27.6 | 38.5 ± 23.2 | 3.14 ± 3.3 | 0.003* |

Safety

No severe adverse event (AE) was reported. Of the AEs observed, one participant experienced headache during the study, which was reported as unrelated to the study product. Other AEs reported during this study were flatulence (7; 0.5%), abdominal discomfort (4; 0.3%), gastritis (2; 0.1%), diarrhea (2; 0.1%) and leg pain (1; 0.1%). Most of the AEs were mild (n=14) and 2 were moderate.

Discussion

Commercial feed administered via ETF causes gastrointestinal discomfort. More than 60% ICU patients experience ETF related diarrhea, which may lead to nutrient and fluid loss, as well as electrolyte imbalance. There are various causes of gastrointestinal intolerance including high food delivery rate, low fibre rich feed, infections, etc. [7,8]. Literature reported patients experience gastrointestinal complications as the most frequently faced challenge related to ETF [8]. In order to determine the gastrointestinal complications associated with the use of Maxvida™, the present study assessed gastrointestinal tolerance and reported no adverse events related to gastric abnormalities including diarrhea, stomach irritation, regurgitation, abdominal bloating or vomiting.

Formulation related intolerance could be due to the presence of a specific ingredient or long-term use of the product [9]. In addition to the formula constituency, administration route, feeding modal, frequency, administration time and volume of doses, also play an essential role in reducing the risk of these complications [8]. Current observations suggest that Maxvida™ ingredients and its formulation does not contain any components leading to gastrointestinal discomfort.

In the present study, various haematological parameters were also assessed. A significant improvement (p=0.03) in haemoglobin levels was observed, which confirmed the presence of haemoglobin boosting ingredients in the feed. Haematocrit levels were also increased significantly (p=0.003), while RBC showed a gradual improvement (mean change: 0.2 ± 1.0 million/cm²). Thrombocytopenia is associated with compromised immunity and leads to decrease in platelet count [10,11]. Due to the presence of dietary ingredients of Maxvida™ that boosts the immune system, the platelet count increased from 156666.7 ± 58336 to 358533.3 ± 729081.0. Leukocytes, which are involved in phagocytosis and play a significant role in body's defensive mechanism
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Malnutrition and gastrointestinal complications are also associated with liver functioning [12]. ICU patients have also been found with abnormal liver biochemical function tests due to acute hepatitis, acute liver failure, and drug-induced liver injury [13]. Therefore, it is essential to assess liver functioning enzymes in ICU patients to determine the effect of formula on the liver health. In the present study, there was no significant change in the LFTs parameters seen, but a trend was observed where values returned towards normal range.

ICU patients also face a high risk of acute kidney injury, which also raises the risk of mortality. More than 30% of ICU patients suffer from kidney dysfunction of some degree [14]. In the present study, the creatinine level was stable and BUN levels increased gradually, supporting that the formula is safe and well tolerated by the kidneys. In addition, the magnesium and sodium levels also improved in the present study signifying the improved cellular functions.

Overall, Maxvida™ is not associated with any adverse event and is well tolerated by all participants. It also improved the haematological, nutritional, hepatic, kidney and immunity related parameters, thereby boosting the overall immunity of the body. This suggests the use of the feed in malnourished patients as well with weak immune system.

Conclusions

Primary and Secondary outcomes of the study where Maxvida™ was administered with hospital kitchen feed via ETF, was found to be safe and gastro-intestinally well tolerated by all the participating patients.
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