Corrosion of Reinforced Steel in Concrete and Its Control: An overview
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Abstract

Reinforced concrete structures show a very good durability as it is capable of withstanding the different kind of environmental exposure. However, the main limitation of concrete, even of good quality, is that the penetration of chlorides, carbon dioxide (CO₂), moisture, etc., can cause the corrosion of reinforcement bars (rebars). Corrosion of concrete structure can be reduced by proper monitoring and taking suitable control measures at the proper time interval. Detailed review of corrosion of reinforced steel in concrete and its control has been studied and are presented in this paper.
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Introduction

Strength of steel has been far much better than concrete yet later is the most widely used engineering material, this can be explained with three main reasons: One of the main reason is the excellent resistance of concrete for water which makes it a superior material than wood or steel for structural purposes. The second reason is that the concrete can be formed into different structural elements easily. Its easy availability and cost efficiency is the third and most important reason behind the popularity of concrete [1].

Reinforcement of concrete with steel is done to strengthen the structural element in tension as concrete is weak in it, but structures do fail as a result of corrosion attack on steel [2,3]. It has become a serious, widespread problem worldwide, with costly repairs now in billions of dollars annually. In addition, the numerous intangible losses such as the energy needed to manufacture replacements of corroded objects. The steel corrosion in reinforced concrete reduces its durability and can even result in failure of the structure.

Corrosion is a phenomenon which results in the deterioration or destruction of a material when they are exposed to different environmental conditions [4]. Corrosion of concrete involves an electrochemical process in which both flow of electrical currents and chemical reactions occur. The steel in reinforced concrete structures is in passive conditions and are protected by a thin layer of oxide which is due to the alkalinity of concrete (pH between 12 to 13) [2,3,5,6,7].

Corrosion Mechanism in Concrete

Corrosion in concrete is induced by the generation of the electrochemical potentials in following ways:

1. When two different metals are present in concrete, such as steel rebars, aluminium conduit pipes, or when significant variation exist in surface characteristics of the steel, formation of composition cell can occur.
2. Concentration cells may be formed near reinforcing steel because of the differences in the concentration of dissolved ions, such as alkalies and chlorides (Figure 1) [8].

The following reactions occur at anode and cathode [9].

Anode: Fe → Fe²⁺ + 2e⁻ (Metallic iron)

The following reactions occur at anode and cathode [9].

Cathode: ½O₂ + H₂O + 2e⁻ → 2OH⁻

Some parameters are essential to initiate corrosion. Presence of oxygen, humidity (electrolyte) are the two important parameters without which corrosion is not possible [10,11,12]. The rate of corrosion is slow if the amount of water or oxygen is limited. Presence of humidity, moisture and oxygen acts as a catalyst for corrosion to occur, forming more OH⁻ thereby producing more rust component Fe(OH)₃ [8,12].

Following reactions (Eq1 to 3) represent the formation of the rust after the iron dissolution occurs at the anodic sites in the reinforcement [10] (Table 1).

Fe²⁺ + 2OH⁻ → Fe(OH)₂ (Ferrous Hydroxide)

FeO.(H₂O)ₓ

(Rust)

Figure 1: Electrochemical process of corrosion of steel in concrete – a simplified model.
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Chloride Induced Corrosion

Chloride attack is one of the main reasons behind the corrosion of steel in reinforced concrete. Major source of Chloride ions (Cl–) are de-icing salts or seawater [5,7,13,14]. Cement, water, aggregate and sometimes admixtures can also facilitate chloride in concrete. Chlorides penetrate into the concrete through the pore network and micro cracks, forming the oxide film over the reinforcing steel and hence, accelerates the reaction of corrosion and concrete deterioration [15-17]. The passivity of steel is broken when a sufficient quantity of chlorides is present in the pore solution. The passivity of steel also depends upon the OH– concentration of the pore solution. Some author shows that the passivity is broken when the ratio of Cl-concentration to OH– concentration exceeds a particular value [7]. The mechanism of reinforcement corrosion in concrete due to chloride attack is basically an electrochemical process by which the passivating layer of steel is lost by means of formation of micro cells on the surface of steel by chloride ions. The moisture present in the pores of concrete acts as an electrolyte and the area adjacent to the concentration of chloride ions becomes cathode, thus starting the electrochemical process [13].

The Concrete Institute of India standard specified the maximum chloride content in cement to 0.1 percent [18]. The CTL can be defined as the maximum chloride content at the depth of steel required to sustain local passive film breakdown which promotes the corrosion process. It is usually presented as the ratio of Cl to OH– [7,8].

Chlorides present in concrete in two forms, namely bound chloride and free chloride. Among bound chloride, chemically bound chlorides are utilized in the hydration product of cement and physically bound chlorides are absorbed on the surface of the gel pores. This is important as only the free chlorides are relevant to the corrosion of reinforcement. M.A Quraishi et al. [8], defined the chloride threshold level as the chloride ion concentration of steel bars in concrete provided that there are no damages at the rebar concrete interface as shown in Table 2 [8,19] (Figure 2).

Binding of Chloridelons

The main form of binding of chloride ions is by reaction with Ca2+ to form calcium chloroaluminate. Similar reaction also takes place with C4AF to form calcium chloroferrate. Studies show that more chloride ions are bound when cement contain higher C3A content and also when cement in the mix is higher. It is also reported that slag cement or concrete with GGBS binds more chloride ions. Corrosion can still occur in the absence of chloride at a pH value less than 11.5.

Sulphate Induced Corrosion

Most soil contains some sulphate in the form of gypsum CaSO4·2H2O, other sulphate in the form of calcium, magnesium, sodium and potassium [20-21]. Sulphate in the form of solid does not attack the concrete severely but in the solution form it can penetrate through concrete pores and react with hydrated products of cement. Due to sulphate attack cement paste expand in concrete or mortar. Sulphate salt reacts with aluminous present in concrete in the form of calcium aluminate hydrate gel (C-A-H) and form calcium sulphoaluminate (also known as ettringite) within the hydrated cement paste. As a result of this subsequent expansion of the solid phase concrete deterioration takes place. Cement contains some amount of alumina in the form of C3A and little amount in the form of C4AF. C4AF shows higher resistance to sulphate attack compared to hydration of calcium aluminate [21-23].

Chemical Reaction in Sulphate Attack

When C3A is more than 5% in portland cement, hydration product contain alumina in the form of monosulphate hydrate, Ca3A·CS·H18. And if C3A content of the cement is more than 8 percent, the hydration product will also contain Ca·A·CH·H18. When hydration takes place in the Portland cement calcium hydroxide generated. This calcium hydroxide reacts with sulphate and both the alumina-containing hydrates form high sulphate named ettringite (C3A·3CS·H32) as shown by equation below [21].

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{C}_3\text{A} \cdot \text{CH} \cdot \text{H}_{18} + 2\text{CH} + 3\text{S} + 11\text{H} & \rightarrow \text{C}_3\text{A} \cdot 3\text{CS} \cdot \text{H}_{32} (4) \\
\text{C}_3\text{A} \cdot \text{CS} \cdot \text{H}_{18} + 2\text{CH} + 2\text{S} + 12\text{H} & \rightarrow \text{C}_3\text{A} \cdot 3\text{CS} \cdot \text{H}_{32} (5)
\end{align*}
\]

Calcium sulphate attack only calcium aluminate hydrate producing calcium sulphoaluminate (3CaO·Al2O3·3CaSO4·32H2O) kwn as ettringite. Water molecules may be 31 or 32.

- Methods of controlling sulphate attack
- Using sulphate resisting cement
- Quality concrete
- Use of air–entrainment
- Use of pozzolana
- High pressure steam curing
- Using high alumina cement

### Table 1: Volume variations of rust products.

| Corrosion product | Colour   | Volume in cm³ |
|-------------------|----------|---------------|
| Fe                | Earthly  | 1.3           |
| FeO               | Black    | 1.9           |
| FeO₃              | Black    | 2.1           |
| Fe(OH)₂           | White    | 3.8           |
| Fe(OH)₃           | Brown    | 4.2           |
| Fe(OH)₃·3H₂O      | Yellow   | 6.4           |
| Fe₂O₃             | Red      | 2.5           |

| Sl. No. | Risk of corrosion | Chloride Content (% wt. of cement) |
|---------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1       | Negligible        | 0.4                               |
| 2       | Possible          | 0.4 – 1.0                         |
| 3       | Probable          | 1.0 – 2.0                         |
| 4       | Certain           | >2.0                              |

**Figure 2:** Corrosion by chloride ions and carbonation.

\[
\begin{align*}
4\text{Fe(OH)}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 & \rightarrow 4\text{Fe(OH)}_3, \text{Ferric Hydroxide} \quad (2) \\
2\text{Fe(OH)}_3 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{Rust} \quad (3)
\end{align*}
\]
Delayed Ettringite Formation

Delayed ettringite formation mainly occurs due to internal sulphate attack in concrete. Delayed ettringite formation (DEF) has been reported with steam cured concrete product at high temperature. DEF causes expansion of hardened concrete due to ettringite formation leads to cracks and causes serious damage to the structure. Due to the DEF, transition zone between aggregate and cement paste shows microcrack and bonding between aggregate and cement paste are reduced which leads to strength loss of hardened concrete. Calcium silicate hydrate adsorbed sulphate ion released by the decomposition of ettringite in early stage of concrete hardening process.

Condition necessary for DEF
- Released sulphate ion from hydration product
- Free moisture content in concrete.
- Microcracks resulting from alkali-silica reaction, higher permeability of concrete due to fatigue.

Chemical composition of Portland cement on DEF is not clearly understood. Some factors correlate strongly but the causes are not clear. Figure 3 showing the factored influences of DEF.

Corrosion Evaluation

Weight loss method

Weight loss due to corrosion of steel rebar can be calculated as the loss in weight over the initial weight.

The efficiency of inhibitor will be calculated from the weight loss (i.e., reduction in corrosion) by using the given formula.

\[ \text{Weight loss (\%) = \left( \frac{W_1 - W_2}{W_1} \right) \times 100} \]

Where,
- \( W_1 \) = Initial weight of rebar.
- \( W_2 \) = Weight rebar after removal of corrosion products.

Corrosion control measures

Corrosion control is usually handled in design codes in the form of minimum concrete cover, minimum grade of concrete, maximum allowable crack width, etc., when exposure conditions are particularly harsh, there is a need to apply special measures beyond the minimum provided in the design codes. These include both passive and active measures. The passive measures refer to the improvement of durability of concrete that includes the use of high quality concretes produced by the incorporation of various chemical admixtures (e.g. plasticizers, superplasticizers, shrinkage reducing admixture corrosion inhibitors) and mineral admixtures. Active corrosion system, on the other hand, directly reduces the corrosion rate, which include cathodic protection and galvanization. Some of the commonly used corrosion control measures are summarized as follows

- Good quality concrete with low W/C ratio
- Use of superplasticizers
- Provision of adequate concrete cover
- Use of pozzolans
- Use of corrosion inhibitor
- Use of stainless steel (very high Cr) that produces a stable passivating film

For better understanding of some of the above measures, a brief review of the use of superplasticizers, mineral admixtures and corrosion inhibitors are provided below.

Superplasticizers

Superplasticizer is the high range of water reducer. Superplasticizers can reduce the water requirement up to 30 percent without affecting workability. Superplasticizers act as a dispersing agent, with the use of superplasticizers. Superplasticizers do not chemically react with hydrated product, they affect the microstructure of cement gel and concrete mainly due to the reduction of water. SPs cannot improve the workability of zero slump concrete, mix should have an initial slump of about 20 to 30 mm. Maximum slump up to 250 mm or more depending upon the initial slump can be achieved with the help of SPs [24]. Dhir et al. [25], Salahaldein Alsadey [26] and Collepardi et al. [27] summarized the importance of superplasticizers and presented the properties of concretes in terms of permeability, strength development, pore structure, microstructure and carbonation. It can be said that SPs reduce the porosity and permeability of concrete; hence can reduce the ingress of corrosive elements.

Pozzolanic or mineral admixture

Admixture such as fly ash, rice husk, silica fume, air-entraining admixture, surkhi, metakaolin, ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS), alcoine etc. can be used as partial replacement with ordinary portland cement (OPC) to improve the properties of concrete and further reduce the use of raw material. Mineral admixture compound can have cementitious value in finely divided form in the presence of moisture, else it has no cementitious value. It is observed that on hydration of C₃S and C₂S, Ca(OH)₂ is formed as one of the hydration product, which directly affect the durability of concrete. The study shows that Ca(OH)₂ on reaction with pozzolanic materials results into an insoluble cementitious material [28-31].

Pozzolan+Calcium Hydroxide+water=C₃S-H (Gel)

Pozzolanic reactions are initially slow, hence the heat of hydration and strength development will also be slow. Passivity of steel in reinforced concrete is reduced due to the reduction in Ca(OH)₂, because of pozzolanic reaction and at the same time the additional
secondary cementitious material is formed which fills the pores of concrete making it dense and thereby gives more resistance to the corrosion of reinforcement. Further, it is observed that with the increase in pozzolan content in concrete amount of water required also increases. With the use of microsilica characteristic compressive strength increases up to 60-80 MPa. Keeping the compressive strength of concrete constant, elastic modulus of concrete with microsilica is less than that of without microsilica. Partial replacement of cement by GGBFS (50% or more) results into the reduction of available C,A content, preventing the sulphate to form delayed ettringite in concrete, preventing the concrete against sulphate attack. GGBFS offers resistance to chloride penetration [32-34].

**Corrosion control by inhibitors**

A corrosion inhibitor is either liquid or powder chemical additive that reduce the rate of metal wastage on mixing to a corrosive aqueous condition[10], [20], [30]. In ideal condition, corrosion inhibitor prevents corrosion in reinforced steel without adversely affecting properties of concrete. Inhibitors are uniformly distributed throughout the concrete matrix hence protecting the entire steel surface [30].

Corrosion inhibitor may include materials which mitigate reinforcement corrosion by one of the following mechanisms: (i) Oxidation by passivation of the surface; (ii) Formation of barrier layers; (iii) Influencing the environment in contact with the metal.

Following are the requirements for effective corrosion inhibitor[19]:

- The solubility should be such that rapid saturation of the corroding surface occurs without being readily leached out.
- The molecules should possess strong electron acceptor or donor properties or both.
- Induce polarization of the respective electrodes at relatively low current values.
- Be compatible with the intended system so that adverse side effects are not produced.

Inhibitors can be used by adding in concrete or applying externally on existing structures, the former type comes under the category of Corrosion Inhibitor Admixtures (CIA), CIA can mainly be classified as, anodic, cathodic or mixed organic inhibitors [5,8].

**Types of Inhibitors**

Corrosion inhibitors are characterized in following types: Cathodic, Anodic and Mixed, depending on whether they hinder the corrosion reaction at the anodic or cathodic sites or whether both are involved [5,35].

**Anodic inhibitors**

Anodic inhibitors form a protective oxide film on the surface of the metal, promoting a large anodic shift of the corrosion potential which forces the metallic surface into the passivation region. The film initiates at the anode although it may eventually cover the entire metal surface. They are also sometimes referred to as passivators. An anodic inhibitor hinders the anodic process.

**Cathodic inhibitors**

Cathodic inhibitors are generally less effective than the anodic inhibitors. Cathodic inhibitors function by: a) or selectively precipitating on cathodic areas to limit the diffusion of reducing species to the surface. The cathodic inhibitors reduce the corrosion rate indirectly by reducing the cathodic process in which they itself slow the cathodic reaction or they precipitate selectively on those areas which are cathodic to reduce the diffusion of reducing species to the surface.

**Mixed inhibitors**

Mixed inhibitors is a combination of both anodic and cathodic processes, as there is danger of pitting while using anodic inhibitors. Therefore, it became common practice to use mixed inhibitors instead of using both anodic and cathodic inhibitors at a time in concrete [4].

McCarthy, et al. [36] have studied the effect of Water Proofing, WP, (stearate/other water based repellent) admixtures and Calcium nitrite based inhibitors, CI, on reinforced concrete reduce chloride ingress and reinforcement corrosion. Results show that the strength of the concrete is affected by the presence of WP and a slight reduction in 28 days strength is reported, while CI added sample shows an increase in 28 days strength. It is observed that the presence of WP reduces chloride ingress rate, thus reducing the intensity of corrosion propagation. Berke and Rosenberg [37] studied the benefits of calcium nitrite inhibitor and shows that its performance increases with lesser w/c ratios, and for higher inhibiting effect, the Cl/NO2 ratio should below 1.5. Hope [38] summarized that the combination of calcium nitrite and sodium molibdate is more efficient than calcium nitrite alone in corrosion resistance. Dhouibi et al. [39] have examined the inhibition efficiency of sodium phosphate and sodium nitrite, and found that the sodium nitrite is not effective at all if its concentration is lower than that of the chloride ions but sodium phosphate is totally effective when its concentration equals the chloride concentration. Vashi and Desai [40] have studied the effect corrosion of zinc in hydrochloric acid containing hexamine at different inhibitor concentration and temperature. Study shows that with the concentration of inhibitor increases the inhibitor efficiency (I.E.) of hexamine increases and with the increase in concentration of acid the inhibitor efficiency (I.E.) of hexamine decreases. Al-Saade and Abas [41] have assessed the effect of hexamine as corrosion inhibitor for Galvanized Steel in Hydrochloric Acid Solution. In this The corrosion of galvanized steel in hydrochloric acid solution (pH=2) containing different hexamine concentration has been studied at temperature range (298-328) K, by using computerized potentiostate. Corrosion protection percentage of galvanized steel using different concentrations and at different temperatures reaches the range of 51-86%. The corrosion protection percent was found to increase with the increase of temperature and to decrease with the increase of hexamine concentrations. Hexamine caused to decrease penetration loss and weight loss, with it used in low concentration (7.4 × 10⁻¹ - 3.57 × 10⁻¹). Erdem [42] have examined the effects of admixture such as lime, 0.1% sodium citrate by weight of cement and various super plasticizers on the hydration of perlite-gypsum plaster, perlite- perlite-white Portland cement and perlite-blended Portland cement mixtures were investigated by measurement of flexural and compressive strength by DTA-TG. Sodium Citrate was found to have negative effects. Sodium citrate causes retardation of setting time of cement and decrease the strength by more than 200%.

Quraishi et al. [43] studied the effect of sodium citrate, calcium nitrate, and hexamine on corrosion of steel. In this study, they reported the effect of inhibitor on normal consistency of cement, initial and final setting time of cement, compressive strength of cement, soundness of cement and compressive strength of concrete. The results of the study also concluded that hexamine and calcium nitrate are more efficient inhibitor. It showed 45% and 25% inhibitor efficiency at the denseness of 0.5% hexamine and 0.5% calcium nitrate by weight of cement.
respectively. Further, sodium citrate also prevents the hydration of cement, but it is not suitable as an inhibitor. The addition of Inhibitor acts as retarder for the initial and final setting period of the cement and retard the compressive strength at initial days. After 180 days strength is improved significantly.

Conclusion

The compressive strength of concrete decreases with the addition of inhibitors as observed at various curing intervals. However, with the increase in curing period the difference in compressive strength of inhibited concrete and blank sample (without inhibitor) reduces. The size of voids in the samples of inhibited concrete is smaller in comparison to blank samples(without inhibitor). With the use of corrosion inhibitor the setting time of cement increased as compared to the blank sample(without inhibitor). It is observed that water demand increases and rate of gain of early strength decreases in proportion to the amount of pozzolan increases.

It has been amply demonstrated that the best pozzolans in optimum proportions mixed with Portland cement improves many qualities of concrete, such as: lower the heat of hydration and thermal shrinkage, increases the water tightness, reduce the alkali-aggregate reaction; improve resistance to attack by sulphate soils and sea water, improve extensibility, lower susceptibility to dissolution and leaching and improve workability.

Concrete containing microsilica showed outstanding characteristics in the development of strength, improvement in durability of concrete. With regard to whether or not, silica fume is effective for alkali-aggregate reaction, some research worker report that it is effective, other conclude that while it is effective, addition of silica fume in small quantity increases expansion.

Research works have shown that the use of slag leads to the enhancement of intrinsic properties of concrete in both fresh and hardened conditions. With the use of GGBS, reduction in heat of hydration, higher ultimate strength, improve resistance to corrosion of steel reinforcement and increased resistance to chemical attack.

It is understood that reinforcement corrosion can be solved only by a combination of good concrete quality, use of admixture, adequate cover and crack width limitation. From the review, it is very clear that a synergistic effect of mineral and chemical admixtures shall lead to significant improvement in the design life of RC structures.

References

1. Mehta PK, Monteiro PJM (2006) Concrete Microstructure, Properties, and Materials. pp: 3-8.
2. CIP 25: corrosion of steel in concrete. Technical information prepared By NRIMCA (National Ready Mixed Concrete association).
3. Mohammed TU, Otsuki N, Hamada H (2003) Corrosion of Steel Bars in Cracked Concrete under Marine Environment. ASCE, Journal of Material in civil Engineering 15: 460-469.
4. Fontana MG (1986) Corrosion engineering. pp: 4-5.
5. Ormellese M, Berra M, Bolzoni F, Pastore T (2006) Corrosion inhibitors for chlorides induced corrosion in reinforced concrete structures. Cement and Concrete Research 36: 536-547.
6. Vaysburd AM, Emmons PH (2004) Corrosion inhibitors and other protective systems in concrete repair: concepts or misconceptions. Cement & Concrete Composites 26: 255-263.
7. Hussain SE, Al-Gahtani AS, Rasheeduzzafar E (1996) Chloride threshold for corrosion of reinforcement in concrete. ACI Materials Journal pp: 534-538.
8. Quraishi MA, Kumar V, Abhilash PP, Singh BN (2011) Calcium Stearate: A Green Corrosion Inhibitor for Steel in Concrete Environment. J Mater Environ Sci 2: 365.
9. Mehta PK, Monteiro PJM (2006) Concrete Microstructure, Properties, and Materials. pp: 177-178.
10. Isgor OB, Razaqpur AG (2006) Modelling steel corrosion in concrete structures. Materials and Structures 39: 291-302.
11. Gaidis JM (2004) Chemistry of corrosion Inhibitors. Cement and concrete composite 26: 181-189.
12. Mullick DAK (2004) Corrosion of reinforcement in concrete-an interactive durability problem. The Indian Concrete Journal. 74: 168-175.
13. Ramesh MN, Reddy PS (2001) Sacrificial anode for enhancing the durability of concrete repairs. Rehabilitation and repair.
14. Mohammed TU, Otsuki N, Hamada H (2003) Corrosion of Steel Bars in Cracked Concrete under Marine Environment. ASCE Journal of Material in civil Engineering 15: 460-469.
15. Neville PA (1983) Corrosion of reinforcement Concrete. pp: 48-50.
16. Chung L, Kim JHJ, Yi ST (2008) Bond strength prediction for reinforced concrete members with highly corroded reinforcing bars. Cement & Concrete Composites 30: 603-611.
17. Skoglund P, Sifwerbrand J, Holmgren J, Tragardh J (2008) Chloride redistribution and reinforcement corrosion in the interfacial region between substrate and repair concrete a laboratory study. Rilem Journals, Materials and Structures 41: 1001-1014.
18. IS: 456-2000 Plain and reinforced concrete-code of practice. Bureau of Indian Standard, New Delhi.
19. Ahmad S (2003) Reinforcement corrosion in concrete structures, its monitoring and service life prediction- a review. Cement and Concrete Composites 25: 459-471.
20. Red DURAR, Manual de Inspección, Evaluación y Diagnostico de Corrosión en estructuras de Hormigón Armado, CYTED, España, (1998).
21. Mehta P K, Monteiro PJM (2006) Concrete Microstructure, Properties, and Materials. pp: 159-164.
22. Michaud V, Suderman R (1999) Solubility of Sulfates in HighSO3 Clinkers Ettringite The Sometimes Host of Destruction. American Concrete Institute SP-177, Farmington Hills, Michigan, pp: 15-25.
23. Miller FM, Tang FJ (1996) The Distribution of Sulfur in Present-Day Clinkers and Microsilica Concrete. Cement and Concrete Research 26: 1821-1829.
24. Neville AM (2005) Properties of concrete, Pearson, Prentice Hall, pp: 255-262.
25. Dhir RK, Tham, Dransfield J (1997) Durability of concrete with superplasticizing admixture, Concrete durability. Katherine and Bryant Mather International conference, Scanlon ACI SP-100.
26. Alsadey S (2015) Effect of Superplasticizer on Fresh and Hardened Properties of Concrete. Journal of Agricultural and Engineering 1: 70-74.
27. Collepardi M, Frastesi R, Moriconi G, Biagini S (1996) The use of superplasticizers as steel corrosion reducers in reinforced concrete, in Mixtudes for concrete; improvement of properties. Proceedings of the international RILEM Symposium, Barcelona, Spain.
28. Bijen J (1996) Benefits of slag and fly ash. Construction and Building Material. 10: 309-314.
29. Saricimen H, Maslehhuddin M, Al-Tayyib AJ, Mana A (1995) Permeability and durability of blended cement concrete cured in the field and laboratory conditions. ACI Material Journal 9: 111-116.
30. Coutinho JS (2003) The combined benefits of CPF and RHA in improving the durability of concrete structures. Cement & Concrete Composites 25: 51-59.
31. Dinakar P, Babu KG, Santhanam M (2007) Corrosion Behaviour of blended cements in low and medium strength concretes. Cement Concrete Composites 29: 136-145.
32. Swaroop AHL, Venkateswararao K, Kodandaramarao P (2013) Durability Studies On Concrete With Fly Ash & Ggbps. International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA) 3: 285-289.
33. Suresh D, Nagaraju K (2015) Ground Granulated Blast Slag (GGBS) In Concrete: A Review IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE) 12: 76-82.

34. Karri SK, Rao GVR, Raju PM (2015) Strength and Durability Studies on GGBS Concrete. SSRG International Journal of Civil Engineering (SSRG-UCF) p: 2.

35. Singh SK (2009) Experimental Studies on Effect of Calcium Palmitate, mixture of Calcium Palmitate and Calcium Nitrite, and Microsilica as Corrosion Inhibitor in Concrete . M.Tech dissertation, Institute of technology, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, UP.

36. McCarthy M J, Giannakou A, Jones MR (2004) Comparative performance of chloride attenuating and corrosion inhibiting systems for reinforced concrete. Rilem journals. Materials and structures 37: 671-679.

37. Berke NS, Rosenberg A (1990) Calcium nitrite corrosion inhibitor in concrete, in admixtures for concrete; improvement of properties, proceeding of the international RILEM symposium, Barcelona, Spain.

38. Hope BB (1990) Effect of calcium nitrite and sodium molybdate on corrosion inhibition of steel in simulated concrete environment, Admixtures for concrete improvement of properties. Vazquez Proceedings of the international RILEM symposium, Barcelona Spain.

39. Dhoubi L, Triki E, Raharinaivo A, Trabanelli G, Zucchi F (2000) Electrochemical methods for evaluating inhibitors of steel corrosion in concrete. British Corrosion Journal 35: 145.

40. Vashi RT, Desai K (2012) Hexamine as corrosion inhibitor for zinc in hydrochloric acid. Der Pharma Chemica 4: 2117-2123.

41. Al-Saeed KA, Abas HA (2003) Corrosion Inhibition of Hexamine for Galvanized Steel in Hydrochloric Acid Solution. Online International Interdisciplinary Research Journal p: 3.

42. Edrem E (1997) Effect of Various additives on the hydration of Perlite-Gypsum Plaster and Perlite-Portland cement pastes. Tr Journal of Chemistry pp: 209-214.

43. Quraishi MA, Nayak DK, Singh BN, Kumar V, Pandey KK (2016) Experimental Studies on Effects of Sodium Citrate, Calcium Nitrite and Hexamine as Corrosion Inhibitor in Concrete. J Steel Struct Constr 2: 117.