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Abstract: This study compares the manufacturer's technical data of several PV modules with real measured outdoor technical data. The irradiance effect on several PV modules is examined by changing a tilt angle and comparing different meteorological situations of sky clearness (clouds) on the modules mounted outdoor and exposed to Sun. Also, the influence of temperature and dust on the performance of a PV panel is under research using measurement methods described in the paper. The measured current and voltage data at the clean surface of the PV module correspond to the declared data of the PV module manufacturer, and in the case of fouling of the module surface with dust, a power drop of 7.39% was measured.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The photovoltaic energy is an interesting source of energy as it is renewable, inexhaustible and clean. It can be used in several applications meeting the cost constraints.

The amount of energy produced in the PV module is directly affected by the solar irradiance, which means that in cloudy weather the PV module produces less energy and does not produce electricity at night.

The performance of the PV module is most influenced by the collector tilt angle, which is defined concerning the horizontal position. The optimal tilt angle of a fixed PV module depends on local climatic conditions such as geographical location and season [1]. The total irradiated solar energy is affected by the duration of solar hours and the average monthly cloudiness [2].

The following articles have been selected and studied in the research field. The effect of irradiance and temperature on the performance of a PV panel was investigated by Zuhal Er et al. [3] and Aoun et al. [4]. Damasen Ikwaba Paul [5] analysed the electrical performance of three PV modules with cells connected in different configurations to address the nonuniform illumination effect.

Ramabadran and Badrilal [6] investigated the harmful effects of partial shading of series and parallel connected PV modules and compare their performance.

Abdullateef A. et al. [7] and Mohammed A. F. et al. [8] modelled solar radiation onto a photovoltaic system using MATLAB software. The results obtained by simulation were compared with the experimental results on the photovoltaic module. The influence of dust on panel efficiency has been investigated by Said and Walwil [9], Touati et al. [10], Kale and Singh [11 and Zaihidee [12]. Depending on the amount of deposited dust, the amount of light that penetrates the material of the photovoltaic cell is reduced, and thus the amount of electricity produced. For example, the reduction in PV module conversion efficiency was 10%, 16% and 20% for 12.5 g/m², 25 g/m² and 37.5 g/m² dust density respective (Kumar et al., 2013) [13].

It is clear that the nature of dust particles, such as particle size distribution, and chemical composition also influence the result.

Otsuka et al. (1988) [14] reported that the shape of the dust particle has a strong effect on the adhesion force between the particle and surface, and thus to the amount of dust that accumulates on the panel.

Hegazy, (2001) [15] reported that the overall transmittance reduction increases with decreasing the tilt angle. They reported a 3% difference in transmittance reduction for tilt angles of 28° and 40°.

The experimental study shows what kind of conditions affect the efficiency of a PV panel. PV panels must guarantee cost-effectiveness for investors.

It is a fact that the light spectrum changes when sunlight passes through the atmosphere. To enable an accurate comparison of the characteristics of solar cells tested at different times and in different places, the standardized spectrum and power density (irradiation) for radiation outside the Earth's atmosphere and on the Earth's surface is defined (ISO 9845-1: 1992, IEC EN 60904-3: 1989-02). Air Mass 0 means that light has not passed through the atmosphere. This irradiance on the border of the atmosphere is 1367 W/m² and is declared as solar constant.

Ground-level solar radiation with the Sun at its zenith has an optical mass of air AM1, but as a standard ground-level solar
radiation in measurements on solar radiation conversion devices, the distribution of AM1.5 (global) irradiation was adopted. It is the total irradiation (direct and diffuse) that reaches the surface of the sea if the Sun height angle is \( \gamma_s = 41.81^\circ \) (that is, the angle of incidence \( \zeta_s = 48.19^\circ \)). The normalized AM1.5 G spectrum is set to a value of 1000 W/m\(^2\). The Sun height angle at noon position depending on the time of year and day is illustrated in Fig. 2 and 3.

![Figure 2: Air mass (at noon position) for Slavonski Brod for different days in the year](image)

![Figure 3: Sun Graph for Slavonski Brod (July 22)](image)

### 2 MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Description of the Experimental Test

The photovoltaic module is created by connecting photovoltaic cells, where the cells can be connected in series, which increases the voltage or in parallel in a submodule, which increases the output current. The modules produce DC, usually 12 V or 24 V, but there are versions of 6 V and 18 V. During the operation of the PV module, degradations of properties may occur, the causes of which can be very different, for example:

- Degradation or safety issues caused by the failure of cell interconnects, solder bonds, or the bypass diodes that protect in case of shading
- Early degradation in the short-circuit current related to light-induced degradation
- Changes in transmittance associated with changes in anti-reflection coatings, encapsulation discoloration, and delamination
- Corrosion of cells and ribbons (often associated with delamination in the field)
- Junction-box failures, including non-functioning bypass diodes, etc.

In this paper, the aim is not to investigate such phenomena, but for the new module to examine the characteristics in different operating conditions and compare them with the nominal values given by the module manufacturer. Each manufacturer of PV modules takes samples from the production line and tests them following appropriate procedures to ensure the quality of their products. However, it is also interesting to do tests of PV modules that can be bought on the free market. For this purpose, appropriate PV modules were procured and the values of voltage, current, and power were measured and compared with the values stated by the manufacturer.

Equipment by which the tests were performed is (Figs. 4, 5 and 6):

- PV module SOLE \( U = 18 \text{ V}, \ I = 1.11 \text{ A}, P = 20 \text{ W}, \text{area} \ 1813 \text{ cm}^2 \)
- PV module ANBES \( U = 12 \text{ V}, \ I = 0.12 \text{ A}, P = 1.5 \text{ W}, \text{area} \ 97.75 \text{ cm}^2 \)
- PV module Cewaal \( U = 6 \text{ V}, \ I = 0.33 \text{ A}, P = 2 \text{ W}, \text{area} \ 81.5 \text{ cm}^2 \)

| PV module | SOLE | ANBES | Cewaal |
|-----------|------|-------|--------|
| \( U (V) \) | 18 | 12 | 6 |
| \( I (A) \) | 1.11 | 0.12 | 0.33 |
| \( P (W) \) | 20 | 1.5 | 2 |

![Figure 4: Cewaal PV module 6 V, 0.33 A, 2 W](image)

![Figure 5: ANBES PV module 12 V, 0.12 A, 1.5 W](image)
Luminous efficacy models for the clear-sky global and direct beam are mainly related to global solar radiation and solar altitude angle and in some extent of atmospheric conditions. Littlefair [16] gives the expression for luminous efficacy:

\[ K_g = 91.2 + 0.702 \gamma_S - 0.0063 \gamma_S^2 \]  

(2)

For measuring conditions in Slavonski Brod (season and time of day results in altitude 45°), the value \( K_g = 109 \) (lm/W) was calculated. So, the amount of irradiation on the surface can be approximately calculated using the expression:

\[ G \text{ (W/m}^2\text{)} = E \cdot 0.00917 \]  

(3)

2.2 Comparison of Measured Values of Photovoltaic Modules with Values Specified by the Manufacturer

The test is performed outdoors at a brightness of 86,200 lux that applying expression (1) gives \( 790.5 \text{ W/m}^2 \). The measured ambient temperature was 32 °C.

The amount of deviation of radiated energy from standard conditions is about 8.3%. For the SOLE module, the voltage deviation is 25%, the current 10.8%, and the power deviation is 38%. From the above, it can be concluded that the module gives slightly better characteristics than the declared ones.

For the ANBES module, the voltage deviation is 5%, the current is 0.5%, and the power is 6.66%. From the above, it can be concluded that the module gives approximately declared characteristics.

For the Cewaal module, the voltage deviation is 13.33%, the current 9.09%, and the power deviation by 22%. From the above, it can be concluded that the module gives slightly better characteristics than the declared ones.

The measured values deviate from those declared by the manufacturer, and the main reason is different test conditions, i.e. a deviation of irradiated energy and higher outdoor temperature which was 32 °C during the measurement. All panels were tested by the manufacturer in standard test conditions (STC - Standard Test Condition) 1000 W / M2; 2 AM1.5; 25 °C.
2.3 Influence of the Tilt Angle on the Performance of the PV Module

The test aims to define the influence of the tilt angle of the module on its efficiency. The SOLE module with the possibility of changing the tilt angle was used for this test (Fig. 9).

The test is performed by connecting the photovoltaic module to the circuit with the measuring module and the consumer and using a slider to set a tilt angle (0-90°). The test is conducted in the open air with measured illumination of 86,200 lumens. Applying expression (1), this illumination corresponds to irradiation of 783.6 W/m².

By changing the tilt angle of the photovoltaic module, its performance also changes. The share of direct solar radiation is dominant in the total radiation, and the maximum performance is achieved by placing the surface of the PV module perpendicular to the direction of radiation.

During the test, the module achieved the best performance at the tilt angle of 30°, which is the closest to the optimal tilt angle for the area of Brodsko-Posavska County in Republic of Croatia, which is 35° (Fig. 12).

2.4 Examination of the Influence of Clouds on the Performance of the PV Module

The tests were performed using the SOLE module. The two cases were analysed: case when the sky is cloudy and the case for a clear sky. The measured intensity of illumination for the clear sky was 84,500 lumens, and during the cloudy sky at 19,600 lumens. Calculation of irradiated energy for the case of the clear sky gives:

\[ G = 84,500 \cdot 0.00917 = 775 \text{ W/m}^2 \]  

Calculation of irradiated energy for the case of the cloudy sky gives:

\[ G (\text{W/m}^2) = 19,600 \cdot 0.00917 = 180 \text{ W/m}^2 \]

|                | Clear sky | Cloudy |
|----------------|-----------|--------|
| \( U (\text{V}) \) | 21.5      | 18.7   |
| \( I (\text{A}) \) | 1.13      | 0.21   |
| \( P (\text{W}) \) | 24.29     | 3.92   |

For the cloudy sky, the voltage decreased by 13.02%, the current decreased by 81.41% and the power decreased by 83.74% (Tab. 3). According to the test results, it is noticeable that for the cloudy sky there is a significant drop in current and power while the voltage drops are significantly less.

2.5 Influence of the PV Module Temperature Rise on the Voltage and Current

The test is performed by connecting the SOLE photovoltaic module to the circuit with the measuring module and the consumer. The temperature and voltage of the PV module are then measured every 5 minutes up to 30 minutes. The procedure was performed outdoors at a light intensity of...
84,500 lx, which corresponds to irradiation of 775 W/m². Module temperature is also affected by the module’s regime of operation, wind speed, and ambient temperature [17]. In the observed case, the ambient temperature was 28.9 °C. Although the temperature of the module affects the current, at all measuring points the instrument showed a current of 1.06 A. The reason is the limited accuracy of the instrument of ± 0.01 A.

According to Fig. 14, it is noticeable that the voltage slowly decreases with the increasing temperature of the PV module, and the current remains unchanged. This is consistent with the findings of Tofael et al. [18] who concluded that the electrical power produced from a photovoltaic panel depends on the incident solar irradiation, and the temperature of the cells. In the analysed case, when the temperature increases from 28.9 °C to 78.2 °C, the power drops by 11.8% (Fig. 15).

### 2.6 Examination of the Influence of Dust on the Performance of the PV Module

The test procedure is performed by connecting a photovoltaic module in a circuit with a measuring module and a resistance, then measuring current, voltage, and power under the clean surface (Fig. 16) and surface covered with a layer of dust (Fig. 17). After that, the obtained value is compared. The procedure was performed outdoors, the panel was tilted at approximately 35° facing south. Illumination is measured by a luminometer in the amount of 98,200 lumens. The ambient temperature was 26 °C. Calculation of the amount of irradiated energy:

\[
G = 98,200 \times 0.00917 = 900 \text{ W/m}^2
\]

#### Table 4: Measured voltage and current on the clean and fouled PV module

|          | Clean surface | Fouled surface |
|----------|---------------|----------------|
| \( U \) (V) | 20.90         | 20.50          |
| \( I \) (A) | 1.15          | 0.91           |
| \( P \) (W) | 20.64         | 18.66          |

According to the test results shown in Tab. 6, it is noticeable that in the case of PV modules with a layer of dust, all measured values are lower than for the case of clean module surface. In the case of the fouled surface, the current is lower 26.37%, and the voltage drop is relatively small and
amounts to 1.95%. A voltage drop and current drop resulted in a power drop of 7.39%.

From the obtained test data, it can be concluded that it is desirable to have a system for cleaning the surface of the PV module to avoid energy losses due to the influence of a layer of dust or other dirt on the surface of the PV module.

3 CONCLUSION

The real-life outdoor experiments support the understanding of parameters that influence the performance of a photovoltaic module in a real application. Measurement methods described and performed analyses in the paper enhance comprehension of the performance of the outdoor mounted PV modules. Influence of temperature effect and dust effect on outdoor mounted PV module surface is presented in the paper. The performed measurements show that the declared values of the PV module are achievable. Due to the increase in the module temperature, a decrease in power by 11.8% occurred, and due to the dust accumulation on the surface of the module, a decrease in the power of 6.9% was registered.
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