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ABSTRACT

Background: The health benefits of physical activity are well established. In India, it is estimated that overall, 392 million individuals are physically inactive. This is a staggering figure and implies a huge population, which is at risk for developing diabetes and other non-communicable diseases. Identification of barriers for physical activity among youngsters would help in development of plans for boosting physical activity among young population.

Objective: The present study is an attempt to explore various barriers for being active among medical undergraduates.

Materials and Methods: The study was conducted at a medical college in Uttar Pradesh. Sample was drawn using convenience sampling. The data collection was done based on “CDC questionnaire on barriers to being active” using self-administered questionnaire. All the participants fulfilling inclusion criteria were asked to fill the forms honestly by choosing the best option describing their barrier for physical activity against each question in the proforma.

Results: A total of 203 MBBS students participated in the study, comprising of 108 males 95 females. The mean age of the participants was 22.02 years (Range 18 years-26 years). The factor which was perceived as the most significant determinant for physical inactivity was “lack of willpower”. Other significant contributors were “lack of resources”, “lack of energy”, “social influence”, “lack of skills” and “fear of injury” in decreasing order of importance. The sum scores of seven barriers studied were significantly correlated (p<0.01) to each other with strong correlations. The highest correlations were noted between “Lack of will power and Social Influence” (0.682) and “Lack of skills and Social influence” (0.630) and weakest correlation was noted between “Fear of Injury” and Lack of will power” (0.218).

© This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

1. Introduction

The health benefits of physical activity are well established and include a lower risk of cardiovascular disease, hypertension, diabetes, and breast and colon cancer. Additionally, physical activity has positive effects on mental health, delays the onset of dementia, and can help the maintenance of a healthy weight.1–5 In recognition of this strong link between physical activity and major non-communicable diseases, member states of WHO agreed to a 10% relative reduction in the prevalence of insufficient physical activity by 2025, as one of the nine global targets to improve the prevention and treatment of non-communicable diseases.6

“In India it is estimated that overall, 392 million individuals are Physically inactive. This is a staggering figure and implies a huge population at risk for developing diabetes and other non-communicable diseases. This underscores the urgent need to improve overall physical activity levels with specific reference to recreational physical activity. This could go a long way in curtailing the risk of diabetes and obesity in India including many other
Non-Communicable diseases. To address this public healthy urgency requires hitting at the root cause. The addressal of root cause requires identifying the risk factors and to know why people are not able to remove the risk factors from their lives. Considering the immense benefits of Physical Activity in decreasing the risk of non-communicable diseases, the present study is an attempt to understand the barriers for physical activity among medical undergraduates in a medical college of Uttar Pradesh.

2. Materials and Methods

This observational study was planned at Dr RMLIMS, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh and data collection was done at Govt. Medical College, Jalaun, Uttar Pradesh during April 2020 to July 2020. Since the objective of study was to explore barriers to physical activity among medical undergraduates, convenience sampling was utilized to save time and resources. Data collection was done during May-June, 2020. MBBS Graduates (first year to final year) who had the ability to participate in online surveys, willing to participate and available at the time of data collection were enrolled for the study. A consent was sought from the participants and they were well informed about the nature and purpose of the study and also about the intended goals to be achieved by the study. The students who agreed to participate in the study were screened for minimum physical activity recommendations as prescribed by WHO. The students who did not meet the minimum physical activity recommendations were enrolled for the final study. A student who is a known case of any medical disorder or illness which make it difficult for participant to do physical activity was excluded from participation in the study and likewise a student having any injury limiting physical activity during the study period was also excluded.

The data collection was done on “CDC questionnaire on barriers to being active” using self-administered online form. The link of form was shared with participants on “whatsapp” who met the inclusion criteria. All the participants were asked to fill the forms honestly by choosing the best option describing their barrier for physical activity against each question in the proforma.

2.1. Sample size

We attempted to study variance in seven latent variables/factors through self-administered questions (observed variables). Schreiber JB et al. have described techniques of reducing the number of observed variables into smaller number of latent variables through observation of covariance among the observed variables. The paper suggests that there is no exact rule for the number of participants needed but 10 participants per estimated parameter appears to be the consensus. The current study uses a pre-designed questionnaire with 7 parameters; hence the required minimum sample size would be 70. However, since we were using convenience sampling, we decided to enrol as many participants fulfilling the inclusion criteria and consenting to participation subject to a minimum of 70. WhatsApp link was sent to 300 students and out of which 243 responses (response rate-81%) were received. 40 forms were incomplete and many vital information’s were missing from them. After excluding those 40 forms, a total of 203 responses were included in the final analysis.

2.2. Tool of study

2.2.1. Barriers to being active questionnaire

The English version of the questionnaire was shared with the participants. The CDC developed the barriers to being active questionnaire, which consists of 21 items related to seven different barriers to physical activity (CDC, 2016). The following were the seven different barriers to physical activity: 1) lack of time, 2) social influence, 3) lack of energy, 4) lack of willpower, 5) fear of injury, 6) lack of skill, and 7) lack of resources (CDC, 2016). Some of the questions in the survey were, “I’m getting older, so exercise can be risky”, I don’t have access to jogging trails, swimming pools, bike paths, I’m embarrassed about how I will look when I exercise with others”, and I want to put more exercise, but I just can’t seem to make myself stick to anything”. The questionnaire used a 4-point Likert scale and each barrier in the questionnaire had three items that are summed for scoring. The Likert scale included: strongly agree, agree, disagree, and strongly disagree.

The CDC questionnaire included 21 questions to be answered on four-point Likert scale. A subset of 3 different questions were designed to assess a particular type of barrier out of seven barriers to physical activity to be assessed in the questionnaire. (Question number 1, 8 and 15 to assess Lack of time, Question number 2, 9 and 16 to assess social influence, Question number 3, 10 and 17 to assess Lack of energy, Question number 4, 11 and 18 to assess Lack of willpower, Question number 5, 12 and 19 to assess Fear of Injury, Question number 6, 13 and 20 to assess Lack of skill, Question number 7, 14 and 21 to assess Lack of resources). As per the CDC methodology the responses in questionnaire were assigned a mathematical number based on the answer. (Very Likely=3, Somewhat Likely=2, somewhat unlikely=1, very unlikely=0).

In a particular Barrier domain, the answers to each of the 3 questions were added based on numerical value assigned to it. If the total of this figure was more than or equal to 5 it signified that the particular barrier constitutes a significant barrier for that person.
2.3. Statistical analysis

Data analysis has been done using SPSS version 16 and Microsoft office excel 2010. To test significance of gender vs physical activity Chi square test was used. P values were considered significant at <.05 level. Correlation between sum scores of barrier categories was estimated using spearman correlation coefficient. Correlation was significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

3. Results

The sampling frame of this research study was medical undergraduate students from Rajkiya Medical College, Jalaun, Uttar Pradesh. A total of 203 MBBS students participated in the study, comprising of 108 males 95 females. The mean age of the participants was 22.02 years (Range 18 years -26 years).

3.1. Specific category of barrier

To assess specific category of barriers, the methodology as advocated by CDC was utilized. Grouping of questions was done based on the CDC methodology and cumulative scores were calculated in each of the seven domains to see the impact of each domain/factor in the study population responsible for their physical inactivity or physical Activity not up the desirable levels.
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The sum scores of seven barriers studied were significantly correlated (p<0.01) to each other with strong correlations. The highest correlations were noted between “Lack of will power and Social Influence” (0.682) and “Lack of skills and Social influence” (0.630) and weakest correlation was noted between “Fear of Injury” and Lack of will power” (0.218). It was interesting to note that “Social Influence” had strong correlation with all other barriers, suggesting that it has a major influence on other barriers as well.

4. Discussion

The health benefits of physical activity are immense and well-established too.1–5 Yet, It is observed that globally and in India without any exception that huge number of people are either physically inactive or not active up to the recommended level.7 To address this important public health issue it is imperative to understanding followed by addressing the perceived barriers to performing recommended Physical Activity levels. In the present study the factor which was perceived as the most significant determinant for physical Inactivity was Lack of willpower. Lack of resources were perceived to be the next important barrier for physical inactivity in study participants. Study done at Riyadh10 also documented Lack of willpower and Lack of resources as very important determinants for physical activity.

Other significant contributors were Lack of resources, Lack of Energy, lack of time, Social Influence, Lack of skills and fear of injury in decreasing order of importance. Lack of energy and time was an important perceived barrier in medical students enrolled in the study. Other studies11–14 done globally also documented the role of “lack of time” as a perceived barrier to physical activity. It is to mention that lack of time was noted as a significant contributor for Physical Inactivity in female participants as compared to male participants in our study. Fear of injury was a perceived barrier. In our study, female participants mentioned fear as a barrier to physical activity, numerically more as compared to male participants and this association was significant on statistical analysis too. Lack of skills, Social influences and lack of resources were reported as significant barrier to physical barriers among females as compared to male participants in the present study.

5. Conclusion

The present study necessitates the importance of behaviour change activities to promote physical activity. In our study lack of resources was also an important determinant of such behaviour among medical students. For medical students it is also observed that, exhaustive and tiring daily schedules drains them out of energy and it also came out as an important factor to determine physical activity. To promote physical activity, it is also imperative to address issues like lack of resources, lack of skills and fear of injury in general. Health awareness in the form of motivational talks, lectures, IEC and addressing the above-mentioned issues can bring the desires changes to physical activity promotion. Motivation enhancement strategies along with creating enabling environment can be a wonderful strategy for bringing out the desired change in health behaviour.
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Table 1: Barriers to being active

| How likely are you to say?                                                                 | Very likely N(%) | Somewhat likely N (%) | Somewhat unlikely N(%) | Very unlikely N (%) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| 1. My day is so busy now, I just don’t think I can make the time to include physical activity in my regular schedule. | 40(20%)          | 88(42.7%)             | 34(16.9%)              | 41(20.4%)         |
| 2. None of my family members or friends like to do anything active, so I don’t have a chance to exercise. | 20(9.7%)         | 58(28.4%)             | 41(20.2%)              | 84(41.7%)         |
| 3. I’m just too tired after work to get any exercise.                                      | 44(21.6%)        | 71(34.9%)             | 46(22.5%)              | 42(21.0%)         |
| 4. I’ve been thinking about getting more exercise, but I just can’t seem to get started    | 63(31.2%)        | 84(41.3%)             | 32(15.6%)              | 24(11.9%)         |
| 5. I’m getting older so exercise can be risky.                                             | 7(3.6%)          | 19(9.2%)              | 25(12.4%)              | 152(74.8%)        |
| 6. I don’t get enough exercise because I have never learned the skills for any sport.    | 16(7.9%)         | 53(26.1%)             | 45(22.0%)              | 89(44.0%)         |
| 7. I don’t have access to jogging trails, swimming pools, bike paths, etc.               | 48(23.4%)        | 61(30.3%)             | 36(17.9%)              | 58(28.4%)         |
| 8. Physical activity takes too much time away from other commitments—time, work, family, etc. | 23(11.5%)        | 60(29.5%)             | 46(22.6%)              | 74(36.4%)         |
| 9. I’m embarrassed about how I will look when I exercise with others.                     | 23(11.1%)        | 44(21.8%)             | 30(14.8%)              | 106(52.3%)        |
| 10. I don’t get enough sleep as it is. I just couldn’t get up early or stay up late to get some exercise. | 51(25.3%)        | 70(34.6%)             | 34(16.6%)              | 48(23.5%)         |
| 11. It’s easier for me to find excuses not to exercise than to go out to do something.   | 37(18.4%)        | 74(36.4%)             | 50(24.4%)              | 42(20.7%)         |
| 12. I know of too many people who have hurt themselves by overdoing it with exercise.     | 10(5.0%)         | 58(28.6%)             | 44(21.7%)              | 91(44.7%)         |
| 13. I really can’t see learning a new sport at my age.                                     | 12(6.0%)         | 42(20.7%)             | 37(18.0%)              | 112(55.3%)        |
| 14. It’s just too expensive. You have to take a class or join a club or buy the right equipment. | 29(14.3%)        | 60(29.5%)             | 42(20.7%)              | 72(35.5%)         |
| 15. My free times during the day are too short to include exercise.                       | 40(19.8%)        | 62(30.4%)             | 50(24.4%)              | 51(25.3%)         |
| 16. My usual social activities with family or friends to not include physical Activity   | 30(14.7%)        | 67(33.2%)             | 58(28.6%)              | 48(23.5%)         |
| 17. I’m too tired during the week and I need the weekend to catch up on my rest.          | 51(25.3%)        | 71(35.0%)             | 44(21.7%)              | 37(18.0%)         |
| 18. I want to get more exercise, but I just can’t seem to make myself stick to anything.  | 42(20.7%)        | 90(44.2%)             | 39(19.4%)              | 32(15.7%)         |
| 19. I’m afraid I might injure myself or have a heart attack.                              | 11(5.5%)         | 31(15.1%)             | 30(14.7%)              | 131(64.7%)        |
| 20. I’m not good enough at any physical activity to make it fun.                          | 15(7.4%)         | 65(31.8%)             | 45(22.1%)              | 78(38.4%)         |
| 21. If we had exercise facilities and showers at work, then I would be more likely to exercise. | 101(49.8%)       | 61(30.4%)             | 21(10.1%)              | 20(9.7%)           |
Table 2: Main domains for being physically inactive

| S. No. | Barrier                  | Proportion of respondents who found this barrier domain as significant - N(%) |
|--------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.     | Lack of time             | 95 (45%)                                                                |
| 2.     | Social Influence         | 63 (30%)                                                                |
| 3.     | Lack of Energy           | 111 (53%)                                                               |
| 4.     | Lack of Willpower        | 132 (63%)                                                               |
| 5.     | Fear of Injury           | 26 (12.5%)                                                              |
| 6.     | Lack of skills           | 55 (26.3%)                                                              |
| 7.     | Lack of Resources        | 118 (56.5%)                                                             |

Table 3: Gender vs physical inactivity determinants

| S. No | Gender            | Yes | No   | Chi-square, p value |
|-------|-------------------|-----|------|---------------------|
| 1.    | Lack of Time      | 37  | 71   | 14.57, p=0.0001     |
|       | as a significant  |     |      |                     |
|       | contributor       |     |      |                     |
|       | to Physical       |     |      |                     |
|       | Inactivity        |     |      |                     |
|       | Male              | 37  | 71   |                     |
|       | Female            | 58  | 37   |                     |
| 2.    | Lack of Energy    | 57  | 51   | 0.33, p= 0.561      |
|       | as a significant  |     |      |                     |
|       | contributor       |     |      |                     |
|       | to Physical       |     |      |                     |
|       | Inactivity        |     |      |                     |
|       | Male              | 54  | 41   |                     |
|       | Female            | 57  | 51   |                     |
| 3.    | Lack of willpower | 67  | 41   | 0.90, p= 0.341      |
|       | as a significant  |     |      |                     |
|       | contributor       |     |      |                     |
|       | to Physical       |     |      |                     |
|       | Inactivity        |     |      |                     |
|       | Male              | 65  | 30   |                     |
|       | Female            | 67  | 41   |                     |
| 4.    | Social influence  | 23  | 85   | 10.225, p= 0.001    |
|       | as significant    |     |      |                     |
|       | contributor       |     |      |                     |
|       | to physical       |     |      |                     |
|       | inactivity        |     |      |                     |
|       | Male              | 23  | 85   |                     |
|       | Female            | 40  | 30   |                     |
| 5.    | Fear of Injury    | 06  | 102  | 10.86, P=0.0009     |
|       | as a significant  |     |      |                     |
|       | contributor       |     |      |                     |
|       | to physical       |     |      |                     |
|       | inactivity        |     |      |                     |
|       | Male              | 20  | 75   |                     |
|       | Female            | 06  | 102  |                     |
| 6.    | Lack of skills    | 22  | 86   | 4.58, p=0.032       |
|       | as a significant  |     |      |                     |
|       | contributor       |     |      |                     |
|       | to physical       |     |      |                     |
|       | inactivity        |     |      |                     |
|       | Male              | 22  | 86   |                     |
|       | Female            | 32  | 63   |                     |
| 7.    | Lack of resources | 54  | 54   | 6.26, p=0.012       |
|       | as a significant  |     |      |                     |
|       | contributor       |     |      |                     |
|       | to physical       |     |      |                     |
|       | inactivity        |     |      |                     |
|       | Male              | 54  | 54   |                     |
|       | Female            | 64  | 31   |                     |

Table 4: Correlations between sum scores of barrier categories

|                      | Lack_of_Time | Social_Influence | Lack_of_Energy | Lack_of_Will_Power | Fear_of_Injury | Lack_of_Skills | Lack_of_Resources |
|----------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Lack_of_Time         | 1            |                  |                |                    |                |                |                   |
| Social_Influence     | 0.623**      | 1                |                |                    |                |                |                   |
| Lack_of_Energy       | 0.570**      | 0.516**          | 1              |                    |                |                |                   |
| Lack_of_WillPower    | 0.455**      | 0.682**          | 0.587**        | 1                  |                |                |                   |
| Fear_of_Injury       | 0.324**      | 0.413**          | 0.247**        | 0.218**            | 1              |                |                   |
| Lack_of_Skills       | 0.448**      | 0.630**          | 0.374**        | 0.495**            | 0.566**        | 1              |                   |
| Lack_of_Resources    | 0.506**      | 0.502**          | 0.452**        | 0.489**            | 0.306**        | 0.454**        | 1                 |

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
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