Synthetic spin-orbit coupling in an optical lattice clock
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We propose the use of optical lattice clocks operated with fermionic alkaline-earth-atoms to study
spin-orbit coupling (SOC) in interacting many-body systems. The SOC emerges naturally during
the clock interrogation when atoms are allowed to tunnel and accumulate a phase set by the ratio of
the “magic” lattice wavelength to the clock transition wavelength. We demonstrate how standard
protocols such as Rabi and Ramsey spectroscopy, that take advantage of the sub-Hertz resolution
of state-of-the-art clock lasers, can perform momentum-resolved band tomography and determine
SOC-induced s-wave collisions in nuclear spin polarized fermions. By adding a second counter-
propagating clock beam a sliding superlattice can be implemented and used for controlled atom
transport and as a probe of p and s-wave interactions. The proposed spectroscopic probes provide
clean and well-resolved signatures at current clock operating temperatures.

The recent implementation of synthetic gauge fields and spin-orbit coupling (SOC) in neutral atomic gases
[1–7] is a groundbreaking step towards the ultimate goal of using these fully-controllable systems to synthesize and probe novel topological states of matter. So far optical Raman transitions have been used to couple different internal (e.g. hyperfine) states while transferring net momentum to the atoms. However, in alkaline atoms Raman-induced spin-flips inevitably suffer from heating mechanisms associated with spontaneous emission. While this issue has not yet been an impediment for the investigation of non-interacting processes or mean field effects [4, 5], it could limit the ability to observe interacting many-body phenomena that manifest at longer timescales. Finding alternative, more resilient methods for generating synthetic SOC, and probing its interplay with interactions, is thus highly desirable.

To reduce heating, the use of atoms with richer internal structure such as alkaline-earth-atoms (AEAs) 3, 4 or lanthanide atoms such as Dy and Er 5 have been suggested. Here, we propose an implementation of SOC using cold AEAs in an optical lattice clock (OLC) 6 and discuss how SOC physics can be probed in interacting many-body systems. Our proposal relies on the fact that SOC naturally arises in OLCs because the clock laser imprints a phase that varies significantly from one lattice site to the next as it drives an ultra-narrow optical transition. Our proposal offers several advantages over prior schemes. It uses a direct transition to a long-lived excited state. Our proposal offers several advantages over...
1$S_0(g)^{-3}P_0(e)$ transition of ensembles of thousands of nuclear spin polarized fermionic AEAs trapped in a deep 1D optical lattice that splits the gas in arrays of 2D pancakes [9] (see Fig.1). The lattice potential uses the magic-wavelength, $\lambda_m$ to generate identical trapping conditions for the two states. At current operating temperatures, $T \sim \mu K$ [15] the population of higher axial bands is negligible ($\lesssim 5\%$). On the other hand, along the transverse directions, where the confinement is provided wholly by the Gaussian curvature of the optical lattice beams, modes are thermally populated with an average number of mode quanta $\langle n \rangle \sim 50$. To generate SOC coherent tunneling between lattice sites is required. Our proposal is to superimpose a running-wave beam on the lattice potential:

$$V_{\text{ext}}(\textbf{R}) = -\exp\left(-\frac{2R^2}{w_0^2}\right) \left[ V_{\text{const}} + V \cos^2 \left(\frac{2\pi Z}{\lambda_m}\right) \right] ;$$

(1)

this increases the transverse confinement without significantly affecting the axial motion [35]. Here, $w_0$ is the beam waist, $R$ the transverse radial coordinate, $Z$ the axial coordinate, $V$ the axial lattice corrugation, and $V_{\text{const}}$ the running-wave induced potential. By increasing $V_{\text{const}}$ as $V$ is lowered, the transverse confinement frequency $\nu_{\perp} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\lambda_m}(V_{\text{const}} + V)}$ is kept constant while the tunneling rate along the axial direction increases. Here $m$ is the atom mass.

Since $V_{\text{ext}}(\textbf{R})$ is discretely translationally invariant along the axial direction, atoms trapped in the lowest axial lattice band are governed by the Hamiltonian $H^0 = \sum_{\textbf{n}} H^0_\text{n}$ [38]

$$\hat{H}^0_\text{n} = \sum_{q,\alpha} E_{\text{n},q} \hat{a}_{\text{n},q}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\text{n},q} - \sum_{\textbf{q}} \left[ \frac{\Omega_n}{2} \hat{a}_{\text{n},\text{q},+}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\text{n},\text{q},-} + \text{H.c.} \right] .$$

(2)

Here and throughout $q = \hat{q}a$ is the dimensionless product of axial quasimomentum $\hat{q}$ and lattice spacing $a = \lambda_m/2$, $\hat{a}_{\text{n},q}$ annihilates a fermion in the two-dimensional transverse mode $\text{n}$, quasimomentum $q$, state $\alpha = \pm (\text{for e and g})$, and $\hat{\text{n}}_{\text{n},q} = \hat{a}_{\text{n},q}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\text{n},q}$. The energy $E_{\text{n},q}(q) = \alpha^2 + \hat{E}_n - 2J_n \cos(q)$ has contributions from the mode dependent tunneling $J_n$, the average energy of the transverse mode $\text{n}$, $E_n$, and the laser detuning $\delta$. $\Omega_n$ is the Rabi frequency for mode $\text{n}$. The clock laser with wavelength $\lambda$ imprints a phase that varies between adjacent lattice sites by $\phi = \pi \lambda_m/\lambda$.

If one views the two internal states as a discrete synthetic dimension [36,37], as shown in Fig. 3 $H^0_\text{n}$ describes the motion of a charged particle on a two-leg ladder in a magnetic field with flux $\phi$ per lattice plaquette, $\hat{H}^0$ hence has the interpretation of many copies of those ladders – one for each transverse mode. By performing a gauge transformation $\hat{a}_{\text{n},q,+\phi,\text{n}} \rightarrow \hat{a}_{\text{n},q,+\phi,\text{n}}, \hat{H}^0_\text{n}$ becomes diagonal in momentum space with the excited state dispersion shifted by $\phi$, $q \rightarrow q + \phi$. The latter can be then conveniently written in terms of spin-1/2 operators acting on the populated modes

$$\hat{H}^0 = -\sum_{\text{n},q} \textbf{B}_{\text{n,q}} \cdot \hat{\text{S}}_{\text{n},q}$$

(3)

where $\textbf{B}_{\text{n,q}} = (\Omega_n, 0, \Delta E_n(q, \phi + \delta), \Delta E_n(q, \phi - \delta)) \equiv 2J_n \cos(q) - \cos(q + \phi)$, and $\hat{\text{S}}_{\text{n},q}^{x,y,z}$ are spin-1/2 angular momentum operators. The eigenstates are described by Bloch vectors pointing in the $xz$ plane, with a direction specified by a single angle $\theta_{\text{n},q} = \arctan \left(\frac{\Omega_n}{\Delta E_n(q, \phi + \delta)}\right)$. The $q$ dependence of this angle is a manifestation of chiral spin-momentum locking, which is directly connected to the topological chiral edge modes of the two-dimensional Harper-Hofstadter model [37,39]. An example of the eigenstates and their dispersion for fixed transverse mode index is given in Fig. 2 (a).

**Rabi spectroscopy:** We now describe a spectroscopic protocol to probe the non-interacting chiral band structure of Eq. (3). In the limit of very weak Rabi frequency, $\Omega_0 \ll J_0$, the band structure is almost the “bare” one with $\Omega = 0$, up to a displacement of the excited state band by $\phi$. Because of the displacement, there are special quasimomentum points $q^*_0$, where the two dispersions cross, see Fig. 2 (a). These exist for a finite window of $\delta$ and are signaled in the carrier linewidth. The width of the window is $8J_0 \sin \phi \frac{\pi}{2}$ and thus when resolved it can be used to determine $\phi$. At finite temperature, many transverse modes are populated and hence the dependence of $\Omega_n$ and $J_n$ on $\text{n}$ could broaden the line and in general prevent an accurate determination of $\phi$. However, a direct simulation of the Rabi lineshape using the potential Eq. (1) demonstrates that the features of the ideal, zero-temperature lineshape are captured even for a temperature of $3\mu K$ [35].

The ability to resolve the $q^*_0$ resonances that appear across the entire Brillouin zone (BZ) as the detuning is varied can be used to perform momentum resolved spectroscopy and to precisely determine the chiral Bloch vector angle $\theta_{\text{n},q}$ for given values of $\Omega_0$ and $\delta$ from Rabi
oscillations. We propose the use of a three spectroscopic sequences \[38\]. One sequence selectively excites atoms at \( g_n \) from \( g \) to \( e \) and induces Rabi oscillations. Another filters the dynamics of the excited atoms from the remaining \( g \) atoms and the third is used to “correct” imperfections arising from finite temperature. As shown in Fig. 2b, this protocol allows us to extract \( \theta_{eq} \) over the BZ. This method is not restricted to OLCs, requiring only a stable probe, and complements other techniques for measuring band structures \[10, 42\] used in degenerate Fermi gases.

**Ramsey spectroscopy** The standard Ramsey protocol starts with all atoms in the \( g \) state and subjects them to two strong, near-resonant laser pulses separated by a free evolution “dark” time \( \tau \). The first pulse rotates the Bloch vector by an angle \( \theta_1 \) set by the pulse area, and the second converts the accumulated phase during the dark time into a \( g - e \) population difference measured as Ramsey fringes. Interactions induce a density-dependent frequency shift in the fringes.

Interactions between two nuclear spin polarized atoms depend on the motional and electronic degrees of freedom \[18\]. When the atoms collide they experience \( s \)-wave interactions, characterized by the elastic scattering length \( a_{eg} \) when their electronic state is antisymmetric \((|eg⟩ – |ge⟩)/\sqrt{2}\). They can also collide via \( p \)-wave interactions, described by the corresponding \( p \)-wave elastic scattering volumes \( b^3_{eg}, b^3_{ee} \), and \( b^3_{ge} \) in the three possible symmetric electronic configurations \(|gg⟩, |ee⟩, (|eg⟩ + |ge⟩)/\sqrt{2}⟩ \), respectively. In addition to elastic interactions, atoms can also exhibit inelastic collisions. In \(^{87}\)Sr only the \( ee \) type has been observed to give rise to measurable losses \[17\] while in \(^{173, 171}\) Yb, both \( ee \) and \( eg \) losses have been reported \[19, 20, 31\]. The effect of losses can be compensated by tracking the population decay during the dark time \[15, 16\].

When tunneling is suppressed the differential phase imparted by the laser is irrelevant, and as long as \( \Omega_n \) is the same for all modes – a condition well-satisfied in current OLCs \[15\] – the collective spin of the atoms within each lattice site remains fully symmetric after the pulse and only \( p \)-wave collisions occur during the dark time. Measurements under this condition \[15, 16\] indeed observed a frequency shift linearly dependent on the excitation fraction of atoms, \( (1 - \cos \theta_1)/2 \) and fully consistent with a \( p \)-wave interacting model \[18\]. If instead tunneling is allowed during the dark time, atoms become sensitive to the spatially inhomogeneous spin rotation from the site-dependent laser phase, which in turn allows for \( s \)-wave collisions after a tunneling event (see Fig. 3). The \( s \)-wave collisions in SOC-coupled spin polarized fermions can lead to exotic phases of matter including topological quantum liquids \[32, 33\].

In typical OLCs the interaction energy per particle (at the Hz level) is weaker than the vibrational energy spacing, and large anharmonicity prevents resonant interaction-induced mode transverse changes, and so a description in terms of fixed transverse motional levels is justified \[18\]. In the regime of weak interactions compared to tunneling, we compute the dynamics perturbatively, and find that SOC manifests itself in the density shift at short times as \[38\]

\[
\Delta \nu = \Delta \nu_0 \left[ 1 + \frac{4(J^2)_{T_R} \tau^2 \zeta \cos \theta_1 \sin^2 \frac{\phi}{2}}{3\hbar^2 (C - \cos \theta_1)} \right],
\]

where \( \Delta \nu_0 = N(C - \chi \cos \theta_1) \) is the density shift in the absence of tunneling \[15, 18\]. \( N \) the mean atom number per pancake, \((J^2)_{T_R} \) the thermally averaged squared tunneling rate, \( \zeta = (V_{eg}^2 - U_{eg}^2)/2 \), \( \chi = (V_{ec}^2 + V_{gg}^2 - 2V_{ge}^2)/2 \), and \( C = (V_{ec}^2 - V_{gg}^2)/2 \), with \( V_{\alpha\alpha'} = b^3_{\alpha\alpha'}/(P)_{T_R} \) and \( U_{eg} = a_{eg}(S)_{T_R} \). Here \((P)_{T_R} \propto (T_R)^0 \) and \((S)_{T_R} \propto T_R^{-1} \) correspond to the thermal averages of the \( p \)-wave and \( s \)-wave mode overlap coefficients respectively \[18\], and \( T_R \) is the radial temperature. For the JILA \(^{87}\)Sr clock operated at \( T_R \sim (1 - 5) \mu K \) and \( \theta_1 \ll \pi \) for \( \tau \approx 80 \) ms, \( \Delta \nu_0 \sim -5 \)Hz. Here, \( E_R \) is the recoil energy. Since SOC introduces contributions from \( s \)-wave interactions, which can be one order of magnitude larger than \( p \)-wave at \( T_R \sim 1 \mu K \), then from Eq. 4 we expect significant modifications of the density shift.

**Sliding Superlattice**: We consider the third case where the interrogation is done by a pair of counter-propagating beams close to resonance with the clock transition and with a global phase difference \( \Upsilon(t) \) which can be controlled in time (see Fig. 4a)). The non-interacting Hamiltonian, written using a Wannier orbital basis along the lattice direction, is

\[
\hat{H}_L^0 = - \sum_{n,j,\alpha} \left( J_n \hat{a}_{\alpha,n,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\alpha,n,j+1} + \text{H.c.} \right) - \frac{\delta}{2} n_n \hat{n}_{n,j} - \sum_{n,j} \left( \Omega_n \cos(\Upsilon(t) - j\phi) \left[ \hat{a}_{+n,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{-n,j} + \text{H.c.} \right] \right).
\]

As \( \Upsilon(t) \) is changed, the clock laser standing wave “slides” with respect to the optical lattice. This allows for the minimum realization of a topological pump when \( \Upsilon(t) \) is adiabatically varied from \( 0 \to 2\pi \) \[23, 27, 33\]. In the weak tunneling limit the quantized nature of particle transport can be directly linked to spatially isolated tunneling resonances \[23\]. We now show how those resonances can be spectroscopically measured in OLCs.
Let us first consider the case $J_n = \delta = 0$ and set $\phi = 7\pi/6$, relevant for the $^{87}\text{Sr}$ system. We write $\Upsilon = (2\pi s + \Delta \Upsilon)/12$, with $0 \leq \Delta \Upsilon < 2\pi$ and $s$ an integer. Under these conditions, the localized dressed eigenstates are spin-polarized along $\pm x$ alternating between neighboring sites except for “defects” at $j_d (r) = 6r + 3 + s$ ($r$ an integer) when $\cos(\Upsilon - \Delta \Upsilon/12 - j_d \phi) = 0$ and the ground states at $j_d (r)$ and $j_d (r)+1$ point along the same direction. Since tunneling preserves polarization, it is suppressed when $J_n \ll \Omega_n$ due to the energy offset $\sim \Omega$ between neighboring sites. The one exception is the case $\Delta \Upsilon = \pi$ where the defect pair $j_d (r)$ and $j_d (r)+1$ is resonantly tunnel-coupled. Quantized transport occurs when $\Delta \Upsilon$ is slowly varied across the resonance. Instead of adiabatic transport we propose to spectroscopically resolve the resonance using a Ramsey-type protocol. Here, atoms initially prepared in $g$ at $|\delta| \gg \Omega_0$ are adiabatically transferred to the ground dressed state by slowly turning off $\delta$ at $\Upsilon = (2\pi s + \Delta \Upsilon)/12$ with $\Delta \Upsilon \neq \pi$. Then $\Upsilon$ is quenched so resonant tunneling is allowed, $s \rightarrow s+1$ and $\Delta \Upsilon = \pi$, and the system evolves for a time $\tau$. Following this evolution tunneling is turned off and the phase switched back, $s+1 \rightarrow s$ and $\Delta \Upsilon \rightarrow -\Delta \Upsilon$. Those atoms which have tunneled at the resonant sites are now in an excited state of the local dressed basis. These excitations can be measured by adiabatically converting the dressed excitations to “bare” excitations by adiabatically ramping on $\delta \gg \Omega_0$; this leads to a measurable excited state population $n_e(t)$. In Fig. 4(c) we show these tunneling resonances are clearly observable even at finite temperature. Moreover, since resonances are spatially well-separated (every six sites), they can be resolved with low-resolution imaging.

Interactions modify the transport dynamics in our protocol. The sliding superlattice simplifies the treatment of interactions by isolating resonant site pairs $\{ j_d, j_d + 1 \}$. We consider the case where at most two atoms occupy the resonant sites, a condition which can be achieved by decreasing the atomic density with a large-volume dipole trap [11]. The two particle states can be classified in terms of the atoms’ spin polarization along $x$ in four sectors: three symmetric ones (triplets) with total $x$ polarization $M_x = +1, -1, 0$ and a $M_x = 0$ singlet. Within each sector, the dressed states’ interaction parameters are given by $V_{+1} = V_{-1} = (V^{gg} + V^{ee} + 2V^{eg})/4$, $V_0 = (V^{gg} + V^{ee})/2$ and $U_0 = U^{eg}$ (see Fig. 4(b)). Since the $p$-wave parameters are not SU(2) symmetric, i.e. $b_{ee} \neq b_{gg} \neq b_{eg}$, the triplet sectors are coupled. However, in the weakly interacting limit $V^{eg} \ll \Omega$, the triplets are separated by energy gaps $\sim \Omega$ and coupling between the sectors can be neglected. The singlet sector is always decoupled from the triplets.

Within each sector interactions modify the dynamics making it sensitive to temperature and density. The modifications can be extracted by performing measurements of the atom number-normalized excitation fraction for different densities and then differentiating the high-density and low-density results [38]. This procedure removes the single-particle contribution and is particularly suitable for characterizing the role of interactions in clock experiments [15, 17]. The normalized differential excitation is shown in Fig. 4(d). For the adiabatic dressed state preparation, all four manifolds, and hence all interaction parameters $V_{\pm0}, U_0$, contribute to the dynamics. A filtering protocol that uses the $ee$ losses can be used to separate the dynamics of the various sectors. For example, by transferring all atoms to the $e$ state and holding before the adiabatic ground state preparation, the doubly occupied $M_x = \pm 1$ triplet sectors will be removed and only the $M_x = 0$ singlet and triplet remain and contribute (here the ground dressed states have one atom at $j_d$ and $j_d + 1$). As shown in Fig. 4 the dynamics of the $V_{\pm0}$ and $U_0$ sectors can be distinguished by the different scaling of the $p$ and $s$-wave interaction parameters with temperature $T_R$, $V_{\pm0}$ ($T_R$) $\sim$ const. and $U_0$ ($T_R$) $\sim T_R^{-1}$ [18] (See Fig. 4(d)). By comparing these dynamics to that without the holding time, information about the $M_x = \pm 1$ dynamics can be extracted. In general $s$-wave interactions dominate the normalized differential contrast, with $p$-wave contributions relevant only at hotter temperatures.

Summary We have described approaches to implement and probe SOC in OLCs. We showed the proposed protocols provide clean signatures of SOC in interacting many-body systems. While they work at the temperatures...
achievable in current OLCs, their sensitivity and applicability are expected to significantly improve when operating the clock in the quantum degenerate regime. Moreover, if nuclear spins are included, they can open a window for the investigation of SOC with SU(N)-symmetric collisions \cite{43}.
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Supplemental Material for “Exploring synthetic spin-orbit coupling in a thermal optical lattice clock”

Interaction Hamiltonian with the spin model assumption  The Hamiltonian governing fermionic AEAs in an optical lattice clock may be written:

\[ \hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_I + \hat{H}_L, \]  

\[ \hat{H}_0 = \sum_{\alpha} \int dr \hat{\psi}_{\alpha}^\dagger (r) \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_{\text{ext}} (r) \right] \hat{\psi}_{\alpha} (r) - \hbar \delta \int dr \hat{\psi}_{c}^\dagger (r) \hat{\psi}_{c} (r), \]  

\[ \hat{H}_I = \frac{4\pi \hbar^2 \alpha_{eg}}{m} \int dr \hat{\psi}_{c}^\dagger (r) \hat{\psi}_{c} (r) \hat{\psi}_{g}^\dagger (r) \hat{\psi}_{g} (r) + \sum_{\alpha \beta} \frac{3\pi \hbar^2 b_{\alpha \beta}^3}{m} \int dr W \left[ \hat{\psi}_{\alpha}^\dagger (r), \hat{\psi}_{\beta}^\dagger (r) \right] \left[ W \left[ \hat{\psi}_{\alpha} (r), \hat{\psi}_{\beta} (r) \right] \right]^\dagger, \]  

\[ \hat{H}_L = -\frac{\hbar \Omega}{2} \int dr \left[ \hat{\psi}_{c}^\dagger (r) e^{i2\pi z/\lambda} \hat{\psi}_{g} (r) + \text{H.c.} \right] \]  

where \( m \) is the atomic mass, \( \hat{\psi}_{\alpha} (r) \) is a fermionic field operator for state \( \alpha \in \{ g, c \} \), \( W \left[ \hat{A} (r), \hat{B} (r) \right] = (\nabla \hat{A} (r)) \hat{B} (r) - \hat{A} (r) (\nabla \hat{B} (r)) \) is the Wronskian, and \( \delta = \omega_L - \omega_0 \) is the difference between the clock laser frequency \( \omega_L \) and atomic frequency \( \omega_0 \) in the rotating frame of the laser. The Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}_0 \) contains the kinetic energy and trapping potential \( V_{\text{ext}} (r) \), \( \hat{H}_I \) contains the effects of s-wave interactions with scattering length \( a_{eg} \) and p-wave interactions with interaction volumes \( b_{\alpha \beta}^3 \) between nuclear spin-polarized AEAs, and \( \hat{H}_L \) describes the coupling of internal levels to the clock laser. We assume that the optical lattice is deep enough that we can neglect interactions occurring between different lattice sites, and so consider only interactions between particles occupying the same lattice site. Further, we enact the spin model approximation [18], which neglects all interactions which do not preserve the single-particle transverse mode occupations during a two-body collision. The spin model Hamiltonian hence keeps only direct terms, in which the two colliding particles remain in their same transverse motional quantum states, and exchange processes where the transverse quantum numbers of the two colliding particles are exchanged. The spin model Hamiltonian is valid when interactions are smaller than the spacing between transverse modes, and also when anharmonicity of the potential prevents collisional exchange of mode energy between transverse dimensions.

To derive a Hubbard model amenable for direct calculation, we expand the field operators in a basis of single-particle eigenfunctions. In order to facilitate thermal averages, we take this set of functions to be the eigenfunctions of \( \hat{H}_0 \), \( \hat{\psi}_{q,n} (r) \), which are indexed in terms of a quasimomentum \( q \) and a transverse mode index \( n \). Enacting this expansion with the approximations of the last paragraph, we find

\[ \hat{H}_I = \frac{1}{4L} \sum_{\alpha \beta} \sum_{\{ n_1, n_2 \}} \sum_{q,q'} \sum_{\Delta q} \left[ (2 - \delta_{n_1,n_2}) \left( U_{\{ n_1, n_2 \}}^{\alpha \beta} + V_{\{ n_1, n_2 \}}^{\alpha \beta} \right) \hat{a}_{\alpha, q+\Delta q, n_1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\beta, q'-\Delta q, n_2} \hat{a}_{\beta, q', n_2} \hat{a}_{\alpha, q, n_1} \right. 

\[ + 2 \left( 1 - \delta_{n_1,n_2} \right) \left( V_{\{ n_1, n_2 \}}^{\alpha \beta} - U_{\{ n_1, n_2 \}}^{\alpha \beta} \right) \hat{a}_{\alpha, q+\Delta q, n_1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\beta, q'-\Delta q, n_2} \hat{a}_{\beta, q', n_2} \hat{a}_{\alpha, q, n_1} \right]. \]  

Here, \( L \) is the number of lattice sites, the sum over \( \{ n_1, n_2 \} \) means the sum over distinct, ordered pairs of transverse modes, \( q, q' \), and \( \Delta q \) are quasimomenta in the first Brillouin zone (BZ), greek letters denote internal electronic states \( \alpha, \beta \in \{ g, c \} \), and the interaction matrix elements may be written as

\[ U_{\{ n_1, n_2 \}}^{\alpha \beta} = (1 - \delta_{\alpha \beta}) \frac{8\pi \hbar^2}{m} a_{\alpha} S_{n_1,n_2,n_1} \quad V_{\{ n_1, n_2 \}}^{\alpha \beta} = \frac{12\pi \hbar^2}{m} b_{\alpha \beta}^3 P_{n_1,n_2,n_1} \]  

by defining the integrals

\[ S_{n_1,n_2,n_1} = \int dr \psi_{n_1}^\ast (r) \psi_{n_2}^\ast (r) \psi_{n_2} (r) \psi_{n_1} (r), \quad P_{n_1,n_2,n_1} = \int dr W \left[ \psi_{n_1}^\ast (r), \psi_{n_2}^\ast (r) \right] W \left[ \psi_{n_1} (r), \psi_{n_2} (r) \right]. \]  

In the latter two expressions, the wavefunctions are localized Wannier-type functions obtained from the quasimomentum-indexed eigensolutions (Bloch functions) of the full 3D potential as \( \psi_{j,n} (r) = \)
The decay at short times is given as the tunneling bandwidth, the density shifts for all particles add as 

\[ \Delta q = \text{the difference in single-particle dispersion of the e shifts as } \Delta q. \]

From this, we find that the non-interacting dynamics are given as

\[ \sim N \]

the number of particles, and

\[ | \theta \rangle = \text{the state resulting from applying the first Ramsey pulse to an initial state } | \theta \rangle. \]

We can also define the mode-dependent tunneling and Rabi frequency in terms of these functions as

\[ \langle S^z \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \cos \frac{\theta_1}{2} \hat{a}^\dagger_{g, q, n} + i \sin \frac{\theta_1}{2} \hat{a}^\dagger_{e, q, n} \right). \]

This from, we find that the non-interacting dynamics are given as

\[ \langle \psi (\theta_1) | e^{i \hat{H}_0 \tau} \hat{S}^z_{\theta_2} e^{-i \hat{H}_0 \tau} | \psi (\theta_1) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \cos \frac{\theta_1}{2} \cos \frac{\theta_2}{2} + \sin \frac{\theta_1}{2} \sin \frac{\theta_2}{2} \cos (\delta \tau - \Delta E_{n} (q, \phi)) \right]. \]

where \( E_{n} (q) \) is the single-particle dispersion and

\[ \Delta E_{n} (q, \phi) \equiv E_{n} (q + \phi) - E_{n} (q), \]

is the difference in single-particle dispersion of the e and q states.

Writing the non-interacting result as \( \langle \hat{S}^z \rangle (\tau) = A (\tau) \cos (\delta \tau) + B (\tau) \sin (\delta \tau) + \text{const.} \) we can extract the density shift as \( \Delta \nu = \frac{1}{2 \pi} \arctan \left( \frac{B (\tau)}{A (\tau)} \right) \) and the normalized contrast decay as \( C (\tau) = \frac{A^2 (\tau) + B^2 (\tau)}{A^2 (0) + B^2 (0)}. \) For a single particle with momentum \( q \) and transverse mode \( n \), the density shift is \( \Delta E_{n} (q, \phi) / 2 \pi. \) Assuming short times compared to the tunneling bandwidth, the density shifts for all particles add as for \( \Delta \nu = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta E_{n} (q_i, \phi) / 2 \pi N + O (\tau^2). \) The contrast decay at short times is given as \( C (\tau) = 1 - \frac{1}{2 \pi^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta E_{n} (q_i, \phi) \tau^2 + \frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta E_{n}^2 (q_i, \phi) \tau^2 + O (\tau^4). \) This single-particle contribution will dominate over the \( \sim U^2 \tau^2 \) decay of the contrast due to interactions at short times and in the regime of weak interactions compared to the tunneling.

The contribution to the dynamics at the lowest order in interactions is given as

\[ 2 \tau \sum_{\{p_1, p_2\}} \left\{ \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \sin \left[ \left( \delta - \frac{\Delta E_{n_{p_1}} (q_{p_1}, \phi) + \Delta E_{n_{p_2}} (q_{p_2}, \phi)}{2} \right) \tau \right] \right. \]

\[ \times \left[ \left( C_{\{n_{p_1}, n_{p_2}\}} - \chi_{\{n_{p_1}, n_{p_2}\}} \cos \theta_1 \cos \left( \frac{\Delta E_{n_{p_1}} (q_{p_1}, \phi) - \Delta E_{n_{p_2}} (q_{p_2}, \phi)}{2} \right) \right) \right. \]

\[ - \cos \theta_1 \frac{2}{(2 - \delta n_{p_1}, n_{p_2}) \zeta_{\{n_{p_1}, n_{p_2}\}}} \left( \cos \left( \frac{\Delta E_{n_{p_1}} (q_{p_1}, \phi) - \Delta E_{n_{p_2}} (q_{p_2}, \phi)}{2} \right) - \sin \left( \frac{\Delta E_{n_{p_2}} (q_{p_1}, \phi) - \Delta E_{n_{p_2}} (q_{p_2}, \phi)}{2} \right) \right) \left\} \right] \]
where the mode-dependent spin model parameters are

\[ C_{\{n_1,n_2\}} = \frac{V^{ee}_{\{n_1,n_2\}} - V^{gg}_{\{n_1,n_2\}}}{2} \]  
\[ \chi_{\{n_1,n_2\}} = \frac{V^{ee}_{\{n_1,n_2\}} + V^{gg}_{\{n_1,n_2\}} - 2V^{ge}_{\{n_1,n_2\}}}{2} \]  
\[ \zeta_{\{n_1,n_2\}} = \frac{V^{eg}_{\{n_1,n_2\}} - U^{ge}_{\{n_1,n_2\}}}{2} \]  

Taking a series in the tunneling bandwidth, the zeroth order term for a given pair of particles is \( (C - \chi \cos \theta_1) \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \sin \delta \tau \), which has been obtained in previous works [15]. The thermally averaged density shift in the high-temperature limit will be given in the next section.

**High-temperature thermal average of density shift** Here, we derive the high-temperature (compared to the tunneling bandwidth) thermal average of the first-order many-body dynamics derived in the last section. First, we expand the above result to third order in time, finding

\[
\langle \hat{S}^z (\tau) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \cos (\delta \tau) \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 - \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \right) \\
+ \sum_{\{p_1,p_2\}} \frac{\sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2}{L} \tau \left( C_{\{n_{p_1},n_{p_2}\}} - \chi_{\{n_{p_1},n_{p_2}\}} \cos \theta_1 \right) \sin \delta \tau + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta E_{n_i} (q_i, \phi) \sin (\delta \tau) \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \tau \\
- \sum_{\{p_1,p_2\}} \frac{\sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2}{L} \tau^2 \left( C_{\{n_{p_1},n_{p_2}\}} - \chi_{\{n_{p_1},n_{p_2}\}} \cos \theta_1 \right) \left( \Delta E_{n_{p_1}} (q_{p_1}, \phi) + \Delta E_{n_{p_2}} (q_{p_2}, \phi) \right) \cos \delta \tau \\
- \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta E_{n_i} (q_i, \phi)^2 \cos (\delta \tau) \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \tau^2 \\
- \sum_{\{p_1,p_2\}} \frac{\sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2}{L} \tau^3 \left[ 6 \left( C_{\{n_{p_1},n_{p_2}\}} - \chi_{\{n_{p_1},n_{p_2}\}} \cos \theta_1 \right) \left( \Delta E_{n_{p_1}} (q_{p_1}, \phi)^2 + \Delta E_{n_{p_2}} (q_{p_2}, \phi)^2 \right) \\
- (2 - \delta_{n_{p_1},n_{p_2}}) \zeta_{\{n_{p_1},n_{p_2}\}} \left( \Delta E_{n_{p_1}} (q_{p_1}, \phi) - \Delta E_{n_{p_2}} (q_{p_2}, \phi) \right) \right] \cos \theta_1 \sin \delta \tau \\
- \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta E_{n_i} (q_i, \phi)^3 \sin (\delta \tau) \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \tau^3 \right) .
\]

To perform thermal averages, we now enact the tight-binding approximation, in which \( E_n (q) = \bar{E}_n - 2J_n \cos (q) \), and compute the partition function as

\[
Z = \sum_{n,-q} e^{-\beta \bar{E}_n(q)} \approx \sum_{n} e^{-\beta \bar{E}_n} \int_{-1}^{1} dq e^{2\beta J_n \cos (xq)} \\
= 2 \sum_{n} e^{-\beta \bar{E}_n} I_0 (2\beta J_n) = 2 \sum_{n} e^{-\beta \bar{E}_n} \left[ 1 + \mathcal{O} (\beta^2 J_n^2) \right] ,
\]

where \( I_\nu (x) \) is the modified Bessel function of order \( \nu \) and \( \beta \) the inverse radial temperature. Hence, for temperatures sufficiently high compared to the bandwidth \( J_n \), the partition function is just a constant times the partition function of the transverse modes. Now,

\[
\langle \Delta E_n (q, \phi) \rangle_{T_R} = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{n} e^{-\beta \bar{E}_n} 4J_n (1 - \cos \phi) I_1 (2\beta J_n) \\
= \frac{1}{Z_n} \sum_{n} e^{-\beta \bar{E}_n} 2\beta J_n (1 - \cos \phi) + \mathcal{O} (\beta^2 J_n^2) ,
\]

where \( Z_n = \sum_{n} e^{-\beta \bar{E}_n} \) is the partition function of the transverse modes. This result gives that terms which are linear in \( \Delta E_n (q, \phi) \) for a specific \( q \), including terms like \( \Delta E_{n_1} (q_1, \phi) \Delta E_{n_2} (q_2, \phi) \), vanish at least as fast as \( \mathcal{O} (\beta) \) at high
temperatures. In contrast, we find

\[
\langle \Delta E_n^2 (q, \phi) \rangle_T^R = \frac{1}{Z_n} \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n} 4 J_n^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\beta J_n} I_1 (2 \beta J_n) + I_2 (2 \beta J_n) (1 - \cos \phi) \right] \sin^2 \frac{\phi}{2}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{Z_n} \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n} 4 J_n^2 (1 - \cos \phi) + \mathcal{O} (\beta^2 J_n^2)
\]

(S23)

and so the thermal average of \( \Delta E_n^2 (q, \phi) \) is a constant to lowest order in a high-temperature expansion.

Using these results, we find that the leading order approximation in a high-temperature series expansion is

\[
\langle \langle S_{\theta_2}^z \rangle \rangle_T^R = \frac{N}{2} (\sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cos (\delta \tau) - \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2) + \frac{\tau N (N - 1)}{2 \tau T} \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cos (\delta \tau) (\langle C \rangle_T^R - \langle \chi \rangle_T^R \cos \theta_1)
\]

\[
- 2N \langle J^2 \rangle_T^R \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cos (\delta \tau) \sin^2 \frac{\phi}{2} \tau^2 - \frac{\langle J^2 \rangle_T^R \tau^2 N (N - 1)}{6} \sin^2 \frac{\phi}{2} \left[ 6 (\langle C \rangle_T^R - \langle \chi \rangle_T^R \cos \theta_1) - 2 \langle \chi \rangle_T^R \cos \theta_1 \right]
\]

(S24)

where we have neglected interactions between pairs of particles with the same transverse mode for simplicity, \( \langle \bullet \rangle_T^R \) denotes a thermal average with respect to the transverse modes, and we have assumed that, e.g. \( \langle J^2 \rangle_T^R \approx \langle J^2 \rangle_T^R \langle C \rangle_T^R \), which is valid when the tunneling is only weakly temperature dependent. From this, we find the density shift

\[
\Delta \nu = \Delta \nu_0 \left[ 1 + \frac{4}{3} \langle J^2 \rangle_T^R \tau^2 \sin^2 \frac{\phi}{2} \tau^2 \frac{\langle \chi \rangle_T^R \cos \theta_1}{\langle C \rangle_T^R - \langle \chi \rangle_T^R \cos \theta_1} \right]
\]

(S25)

where \( \Delta \nu_0 \) is the density shift in the absence of tunneling.

Lineshape and extraction of \( \theta_{nq} \) from momentum-resolved Rabi spectroscopy

As described in the main text, SOC introduces substructure in the Rabi lineshape within a window of width \( 8 J_n \sin \frac{\phi}{2} \) of the carrier frequency. At finite temperature, many transverse modes are populated and hence the dependence of \( \Omega_n \) and \( J_n \) on \( n \) could broaden the line and destroy this substructure. However, a direct simulation of the Rabi lineshape using the potential Eq. (1) demonstrates that the features of the ideal, zero-temperature lineshape are captured up to a temperature of 3\( \mu \)K as shown in Fig. 5(a). Thanks to the clock’s sub-Hz resolution it will be possible to resolve the lineshape for a wide range of lattice parameters.

We now turn to the extraction of the chiral Bloch vector angle \( \theta_{nq} \) with Rabi spectroscopy. The eigenstates of Eq. (3) may be written as

\[
|\psi_{n,q,-}\rangle = \cos \frac{\theta_{nq}}{2} |q n \rangle + \sin \frac{\theta_{nq}}{2} |e n \rangle
\]

(S26)

\[
|\psi_{n,q,+}\rangle = -\sin \frac{\theta_{nq}}{2} |q n \rangle + \cos \frac{\theta_{nq}}{2} |e n \rangle
\]

(S27)

with energies

\[
E_{n,q,\pm} = \frac{E_n (q) + E_n (q + \phi) + \delta}{2} \pm |B_{nq}|,
\]

(S28)

and magnetization

\[
\langle \psi_{n,q,\pm} | S_{\theta_{n,q}}^z | \psi_{n,q,\pm} \rangle = \pm \cos \frac{\theta_{nq}}{2}.
\]

(S29)

Using the above, the expected Rabi dynamics in the ideal case for an arbitrary initial state \( \alpha |q n \rangle + \beta |e n \rangle \) is found to be

\[
S^z (\alpha, \beta, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( |\beta|^2 - |\alpha|^2 \right) \left[ \cos^2 \theta_{nq} + \cos (2 B_{nq} t) \sin^2 \theta_{nq} \right] + \mathcal{R} (\alpha^* \beta) \cos \theta_{nq} \sin \theta_{nq} \sin (2 B_{nq} t - 1)
\]

\[
+ \mathcal{I} (\alpha^* \beta) \sin \theta_{nq} \sin (2 B_{nq} t).
\]

(S30)

The protocol extracting the chiral Bloch vector angle \( \theta_{nq} \) involves three sequences (Fig. 5(b)). All sequences start by preparing the atoms in \( g \). In sequence I, a narrow \( \pi \)-pulse about the \( x \) axis is applied at the detuning \( \delta^* \) associated with the \( q_0^* \) resonance. The Rabi frequency of the pulse, \( \Omega_0^* \), should be weak enough to guarantee that only atoms within a narrow window centered around \( q_0^* \) are transferred to \( e \). Atoms with \( q \neq q_0^* \) are off-resonant and remain in \( g \).
Next the detuning and Rabi frequency are quenched to the desired values $\Omega_q$ and $\delta$, and Rabi oscillations are recorded. Sequence II is identical, except that the initial pulse is about $-x$. Sequence III uses no initial pulse, but is otherwise the same as sequence I. The dynamics in I contains information about $\theta_{q0}$, but this information will be buried in the signal of the $q \neq q_0$ atoms. Subtracting the dynamics of I and III isolates the dynamics of $q_0$ atoms. Sequence II is required because due to the mode dependence of $\Omega_n$, a $\pi$-pulse is not experienced by all atoms populated at the transverse modes at high temperature. The dynamics of the atoms with $q_0$ that remain in $g$ is cancelled by taking the average between sequences I and II, which effectively deals with only the atoms transferred to $e$. The dynamics for the sequences I, II, and III given in the main text are $S^z \left( \sqrt{1 - f_n^2} - if_n, t \right) + S^z \left( \sqrt{1 - f_n^2} if_n, t \right)$, and $S^z \left( 1, 0, t \right)$, respectively, where $f_n \approx 1$ is the excitation fraction resulting from the initialization $\pi$-pulse. This gives the subtracted signal

$$S^z \left( \sqrt{1 - f_n^2} - if_n, t \right) + S^z \left( \sqrt{1 - f_n^2} if_n, t \right) - S^z \left( 1, 0, t \right) = f_n^2 \left( \cos^2 \theta_{nq} + \cos \left( 2 |B_{nq}| t \right) \sin^2 \theta_{nq} \right) .$$  \hfill (S31)

For a single frequency $|B_{nq}|$, and $\theta_{nq}$ can be inferred from these oscillations as $2 \tan^2 \theta_{nq} = \left( \text{max} - \text{min} \right)/\text{mean}$. In the multiple-frequency case this is no longer a strict equality, but Fig. 2(b) shows that determining $\theta_{nq}$ in this fashion from the thermal average of Eq. (S31) is nevertheless robust. In addition, the validity of this approach can be quantitatively estimated by the equality $\left( \text{max} + \text{min} \right)/\text{mean} = 2$, which employs the same assumptions.

**Interacting dressed states with a sliding clock superlattice** Here, we consider the dynamics of two atoms in neighboring sites $j_d(r)$ and $j_d(r) + 1$ which are tunnel-coupled for a sliding lattice clock phase $\Delta \Upsilon = \pi$. We will take these two atoms to have different transverse mode indices $n$ and $m$. Interactions in the $s$-wave channel occur between these atoms when they are in an antisymmetric electronic state $|\Lambda_{mn}^\pm \rangle \equiv (|eg\rangle - |eg\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ with a symmetric spatial wave function $|\Psi_{nm}^\pm \rangle \equiv (|mn\rangle_j + |mn\rangle_j)$, with the subscript $j$ denoting the lattice site index. Instead, $p$-wave interactions occur when the atoms are in a symmetric electronic state $|\Lambda_{mn}^\mp \rangle \equiv (|eg\rangle + |eg\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ and an antisymmetric spatial wave function $|\Psi_{nm}^\mp \rangle \equiv (|mn\rangle_j - |mn\rangle_j)$.

For the purposes of describing the system dynamics at $\Delta \Upsilon = \pi$, where $| \rightarrow \rangle = (|g\rangle + |e\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ is the single-well electronic ground state and $| \leftarrow \rangle = (|g\rangle - |e\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ the excited state, it is useful to employ the states \hfill (S32)

$$t, 1 : |2^{++}, 0 \rangle, |0, 2^{++} \rangle, | \rightarrow_n, \rightarrow_m \rangle, | \rightarrow_m, \rightarrow_n \rangle ,$$

$$t, -1 : |2^{--}, 0 \rangle, |0, 2^{--} \rangle, | \leftarrow_n, \leftarrow_m \rangle, | \leftarrow_m, \leftarrow_n \rangle$$

$$t, 0 : |2^\pm, 0 \rangle, |0, 2^\pm \rangle, \sqrt{2} | \rightarrow_n, \leftarrow_m \rangle - \sqrt{2} | \leftarrow_m, \rightarrow_n \rangle ,$$

$$s, 0 : |2^\mp, 0 \rangle, |0, 2^\mp \rangle, \sqrt{2} | \rightarrow_n, \leftarrow_m \rangle + \sqrt{2} | \leftarrow_m, \rightarrow_n \rangle ,$$

where

$$|2^{++} \rangle = | \rightarrow \rightarrow \rangle |\Psi_{nm}^- \rangle ,$$

$$|2^{--} \rangle = | \leftarrow \leftarrow \rangle |\Psi_{nm}^+ \rangle ,$$

$$|2^\pm \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( | \rightarrow \leftarrow \rangle + | \leftarrow \rightarrow \rangle \right) |\Psi_{nm}^- \rangle ,$$

$$|2^\mp \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( | \rightarrow \leftarrow \rangle - | \leftarrow \rightarrow \rangle \right) |\Psi_{nm}^+ \rangle .$$ 

(\hfill S33) (\hfill S34) (\hfill S35) (\hfill S36)
In each four-state sector the Hamiltonian may be written as
\[
\hat{H}_{\mu,M_e} = 2M_x \Omega + \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{U_{\mu,M_e}}{2} & 0 & J & J \\
0 & \frac{-J}{2} & J & -J \\
J & -J & 0 & 0 \\
J & J & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\] (S37)

where \( \mu = t, s \), \( U_{t,M_e} = V_{M_e}, U_{s,0} = U_0 \), \( \mathbb{I} \) is the identity operator, and we have set \( \Omega_m = \Omega_m = \Omega \) and \( J_n = J_m = J \) for simplicity. The \( s, 0 \) singlet sector is rigorously decoupled from the others, but there is mixing between the triplet sectors proportional to the spin model parameters \( \chi \) and \( C \). These couplings are neglected due to the large single-particle energy difference \( \sim \Omega \) between coupled manifolds with the assumed separation of energy scales \( \Omega \gg J, V, U \).

The Hamiltonians Eq. (S37) may be readily diagonalized, leading to the eigenvalues \( 2M_x \Omega(2M_x \Omega + U, 2M_x \Omega + \frac{U}{2} \pm \sqrt{4J^2 + \frac{U^2}{4}} \). At high temperatures compared to the tunneling, and for the adiabatic preparation procedure described in the main text, the observed dynamics will be an equal weight superposition of the dynamics from the initial states \( |2^{++}, 0\rangle, |0, 2^{--}\rangle, |\rightarrow_n, \leftarrow_m\rangle, \) and \( |\rightarrow_m, \leftarrow_n\rangle \). The dynamics of the number of excitations following adiabatic conversion back to the “bare” \( g/e \) basis for these states are

\[
|2^{++}, 0\rangle, |0, 2^{--}\rangle \rightarrow n_e(t) = 1 - \cos \frac{V_0 t}{2} \cos \left( t \sqrt{4J^2 + \frac{V_0^2}{4}} \right) - \frac{V_1 \sin \frac{V_1 t}{2} \sin \left( t \sqrt{4J^2 + \frac{V_1^2}{4}} \right)}{\sqrt{16J^2 + V_1^2}}, \quad (S38)
\]

\[
|\rightarrow_n, \leftarrow_m\rangle, |\rightarrow_m, \leftarrow_n\rangle \rightarrow n_e(t) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \cos \frac{V_0 t}{2} \cos \left( t \sqrt{4J^2 + \frac{V_0^2}{4}} \right) + \cos \frac{U_0 t}{2} \cos \left( t \sqrt{4J^2 + \frac{U_0^2}{4}} \right) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \cos \frac{V_0 t}{2} \cos \left( t \sqrt{4J^2 + \frac{V_0^2}{4}} \right) + \cos \frac{U_0 t}{2} \cos \left( t \sqrt{4J^2 + \frac{U_0^2}{4}} \right) \right). \quad (S39)
\]

For small interactions compared to tunneling, we can expand the result to lowest order in interactions, and find the thermally averaged dynamics

\[
n_e(t) = 2 \sin^2 \left( Jt \right) - \left( \frac{U_0^2 + V_0^2 + 2V_1^2}{64J} \right) t \left( \sin \left( 2Jt \right) - 2J \cos \left( 2Jt \right) \right). \quad (S40)
\]

In addition to the above two-particle dynamics, there will be contributions from experimental realizations in which the resonant double well has only a single particle. Here, the dynamics is \( n_e(t) = \sin^2 \left( Jt \right) \). Writing \( p_1 \) as the probability of a single particle in a given double well and \( p_2 \) as the probability of having two particles, the small-interaction dynamics averaged over all realizations is hence

\[
n_e(t) = (p_1 + 2p_2) \sin^2 \left( Jt \right) - 2p_2 \left( \frac{U_0^2 + V_0^2 + 2V_1^2}{64J} \right) t \left( \sin \left( 2Jt \right) - 2J \cos \left( 2Jt \right) \right). \quad (S41)
\]

Noting that \( p_1 + 2p_2 = N \) is the average number, we can subtract the measurements of \( n_e(t) / N \) for two different densities with single- and double-occupancy probabilities \( (p_1, p_2) \) and \( (p_1', p_2') \) and numbers \( N \) and \( N' \), respectively, to find

\[
\Delta \left[ n_e(t) / N \right] = - \left( \frac{p_2}{N} - \frac{p_2'}{N'} \right) \left( \frac{U_0^2 + V_0^2 + 2V_1^2}{64J} \right) t \left( \sin \left( 2Jt \right) - 2J \cos \left( 2Jt \right) \right). \quad (S42)
\]

In this way we separate the single-particle contrast decay due to a thermal spread in \( J_n \) from the contrast decay due to interactions.