Inducible Clindamycin Resistance in Methicillin-Resistant and-Susceptible Staphylococcus aureus Isolated From South East of Iran
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Background: Clindamycin is a frequently used antimicrobial therapeutic medicine used for the treatment of skin and soft tissue infections caused by Staphylococcus aureus strains. Resistance to this antibiotic is either constitutive or inducible. Constitutive resistance to clindamycin could be detected by standard susceptibility testing methods. Inducible clindamycin resistance could not be detected by in vitro routine tests. This type of resistance can be identified by D-test.

Objectives: The outbreak of inducible resistance to clindamycin in methicillin resistant and susceptible S. aureus isolates were investigated in this study.

Materials and Methods: Totally 162 S. aureus isolates were evaluated for inducible clindamycin resistance by D-test in accordance with clinical and laboratory standards institute (CLSI) guidelines.

Results: Inducible clindamycin resistance was detected in 8.64% of S. aureus isolates. Inducible and constitutive resistance to clindamycin was found to be higher in methicillin resistant S. aureus (11.95% and 47.8% respectively) compared to methicillin susceptible S. aureus (4.28% and 2.85% respectively) isolates.

Conclusions: Our results showed that inducible resistance to clindamycin in S. aureus isolates is relatively higher in this region. Therefore, D-test should be performed to prevent treatment failures against infections caused by S. aureus, which are resistant to erythromycin and the sensitive ones against clindamycin.
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1. Background

Macrolide, lincosamide and streptogramin B (MLSB) antibiotics are structurally different with a same mechanism of action. These drugs, especially clindamycin, are alternative drugs for some Staphylococcus aureus infections such as skin and soft tissue infections particularly in penicillin-allergic patients (1, 2). Clindamycin resistance in S. aureus strains may be either constitutive (cMLSB) or inducible (iMLSB). Inducible resistance to clindamycin was first recognized in the early 1960s. Relapse of infection in a patient with S. aureus endocarditis was reported in 1976 (3). Detection of isolates with inducible clindamycin resistance is a problematic issue for the routine diagnosis, because they are erythromycin resistant and clindamycin susceptible in vitro and in the condition that they are not place adjacent to each other. In these cases, in vivo treatment with clindamycin may lead to clinical therapeutic failures (4, 5).

2. Objectives

The aim of this study was to determine the incidence of inducible clindamycin resistance in S. aureus isolates collected from clinical specimens in this geographic area.

3. Materials and Methods

Totally 162 S. aureus isolates were collected from clinical specimens of patients admitted to three university hospitals with 462, 420 and 300 bed capacities in Kerman, south-east of Iran, from March 2011 to February 2012. Confirmation of S. aureus isolates and detection of methicillin resistant S. aureus (MRSA) were performed by phenotypic and genotypic methods as previously described (6). Only one isolate per patient was included in the study. ’D-test’ was used for detection of inducible resistance to clindamycin. This test was performed by placing an erythromycin (15 μg) disk (Mast, Group Ltd., Merseyside, UK) at a distance of 15 to 20 mm from clindamycin (2 μg) disk (Mast, Group Ltd., Merseyside, UK) on a Mueller-Hinton agar plate inoculated with 0.5 McFarland standard bacterial suspensions. Following overnight incubation at 37°C, flattening of the clindamycin zone adjacent to the erythromycin disk (D shaped) indicated inducible clindamycin resistance (7). S. aureus ATCC 25923 was used as the control strain.

4. Results

From 162 S. aureus isolates tested for determination of
inducible clindamycin resistance, 70 (43.2%) were MSSA and 92 (56.8%) were MRSA (Table 1). Susceptibility to erythromycin and clindamycin of these isolates is presented in Table 1. Sensitivity to both erythromycin and clindamycin was significantly higher in MRSA compared to MSSA isolates. Resistance to methicillin, erythromycin and clindamycin was observed in 92 (56.8%), 75 (46.29%) and 46 (28.4%) of the isolates, respectively. Inducible resistance to clindamycin was determined in 14 (8.64%) isolates (D-test positive, Figure 1). Table 2 shows the distribution of D-test positive S. aureus isolates in clinical specimens. Among those, 11 (78.57%) were MRSA and 3 (22.43%) were MSSA (Table 2).

5. Discussion

Clindamycin is an effective antibiotic agent used in the treatment of skin and soft-tissue infections caused by S. aureus isolates. This antibiotic has good tissue penetration, potential antitoxin effects, and also accumulates in abscesses (8). However, resistance to clindamycin may develop in S. aureus isolates with inducible phenotype and these isolates have a high rate of spontaneous mutation which would enable these isolates to develop constitutive resistance to clindamycin during therapeutic process (4).

A positive D-test indicates the existence of inducible resistance to clindamycin. In this study 8.64% of 162 S. aureus isolates were D-test positive that is comparable with the findings of the studies by Rahbar and Hajia (9.7%) (9) and Sedighi et al. (6.3%) (10) From Iran and Azap et al. (5.7%) from Turkey (11). The prevalence of inducible and constitutive clindamycin resistance differs in different geographic areas (12).

In our study high number (46.29%) of S. aureus isolates were resistant to erythromycin. Among those, 14 (18.66%) isolates had positive and 15 (20%) had negative D-test results and 46 (61.33%) were constitutive clindamycin resistant. In this study the prevalence of inducible and constitutive resistance to clindamycin in MRSA (11.95% and 47.8% respectively) is higher than those in MSSA (4.28% and 2.85%, respectively). Our results were in accordance to the results of Seifi et al. (13) from Iran. The results of this study revealed that inducible resistance to clindamycin in S. aureus isolates is relatively high in this region. Since isolates with inducible resistance may mutate and change to constitutive resistance. Therefore, D-test should be performed to prevent treatment failures of S. aureus infections caused by the agents that are resistant to erythromycin and sensitive to clindamycin.
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