Molecular orbital tomography using short laser pulses
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Recently, a method to image molecular electronic orbitals using high-order harmonic generation was introduced by Itatani et al. [Nature 432, 876 (2004)]. We show that, while the tomographic reconstruction of general orbitals with arbitrary symmetry cannot be performed with long laser pulses, this becomes possible when extremely short pulses are used. This statement is shown both in a simplified wave-packet picture and more formally within the strong-field approximation. We present an analytical formula for the wave-packet composition of the recollision electron. An alternative reconstruction equation based on momentum matrix elements, rather than on dipole matrix elements, is proposed. We present simulations of the procedure for two-dimensional model systems based on numerical solutions of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation.
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High-order harmonic generation (HHG) stands for the emission of high-frequency radiation from a system driven by a strong laser field. The three-step picture [1] explains HHG for atoms and molecules by a sequence of field ionization, acceleration of the free electron in the laser field, and recollision with the core. HHG has received increasing attention in the last years, since it can be used both as a source of coherent radiation, e.g., for creating attosecond pulses [2–5], and as a diagnostic tool to determine molecular properties such as the internuclear distance [6–10] or photorecombination cross sections [11]. Recently, HHG has been employed to image electronic orbitals [12], using a scheme known as molecular orbital tomography. There, it was shown that the electronic orbital of N₂ (including the sign of the wave function) can be reconstructed. The method is in principle also suited for observing femtosecond electron dynamics in chemical reactions. The potential of HHG as a femtosecond probe has been proven in experiments on vibrating SF₆ molecules [13].

The main idea behind molecular orbital tomography is that the returning electron wave packet in the three-step picture can be approximately regarded as a plane wave. The transition matrix element describing the recombination is then related to a Fourier transform of the bound state. The spectra from many different orientations of the molecules are combined to reconstruct a two-dimensional (2D) projection of the bound-state orbital on the plane orthogonal to the pulse propagation direction. The necessary information about the continuum wave packet is approximately obtained by measuring the spectrum of a reference atom with the same ionization potential as the molecular system.

In a multielectron system, corrections beyond the single-active electron model should be taken into account [14]. As a consequence, a modified Dyson orbital and not the highest occupied molecular orbital is reconstructed [15–17]. Recently, it has been argued that the structure of the continuum wave packet does not vary between different rare-gas atoms [18]. Also it was shown that the orientation dependence of HHG from the more complex molecules acetylene and allene can still be understood in a single-active-electron approximation [19]. Both observations support the idea of extending the tomographic technique to molecules other than N₂.

In the current work, we present an analysis of the method with important conclusions for the application of molecular orbital tomography to more complex systems. We show that the reconstruction of an arbitrary orbital (without symmetry) is impossible within the original scheme based on many-cycle pulses. Instead, one must ensure that the electron wave packets return to the core from only one side. We propose to achieve this by using extremely short laser pulses. It is important to note that unidirectional recollision is a requirement additional to the need of molecular head-versus-tail orientation. In contrast to the original work [12], we use momentum rather than dipole matrix elements [20] (i.e., velocity form instead of length form), as the velocity form is better suited to quantify the recombination step in HHG [21].

Let us consider a molecular system in a laser field polarized in the x direction and propagating in the z direction. The intensity of the harmonic radiation with frequency ω is proportional to

\[ I(\omega) = \omega^2 |\mathbf{P}(\omega)|^2 + |\mathbf{P}(\omega_0)|^2. \]  

(1) Atomic units are used throughout this paper. Here, \( \mathbf{P}(\omega) \) is the Fourier transformed dipole velocity

\[ \mathbf{P}(\omega) = \int \mathbf{p}(t) e^{i\omega t} dt, \]  

(2a)\[ \mathbf{p}(t) = -\left( \psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) \right) \mathbf{p} \psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}), \]  

(2b)

with \( \mathbf{p} = (-i\partial_x, -i\partial_y, i\partial_z) \) denoting the electron momentum operator in the xy plane. This means that we restrict ourselves to harmonics emitted along the z axis. The phases of the radiation are given by arg[\( \mathbf{P}(\omega) \)]. In the single-active-electron model, the time-dependent wave function \( \psi \) is split into two parts as \( \psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) = \psi_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) + \psi_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) \), where \( \psi_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) \) is the initial bound-state wave function and \( \psi_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) \) is the continuum wave packet. The time dependence of the initial state is given by
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\[ \psi_0(x,y,z,t) = \psi_0(x,y,z) \exp(iI_p t), \]
where \( I_p \) is the ionization potential of the initial state.

To show the need for unidirectional recollision, we first give a simple argument based on the laser-field-free recombination of an electron wave packet. Introducing the plane-polarized polarization correctly. For the case, we have

\[ \psi_0(x,y,z,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(k) e^{ikx} e^{-\left(\frac{i(\omega-\epsilon)}{2}\right) dk}. \]

where the \( a(k) \) are complex amplitudes. Neglecting depletion of the initial state as well as continuum-continuum transitions, the momentum expectation value \( p(t) \) is given by

\[ p(t) = -\langle \psi_0(x,y,z,t) | \hat{p} | \psi_0(x,y,z,t) \rangle + c.c. \]

In the frequency domain we obtain for \( \omega > 0 \),

\[ P(\omega) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(k) \int \psi_0^{2D}(x,y) \hat{p} e^{i\omega x} dx dy \times \delta(\omega - I_p - \frac{k^2}{2}), \]

with \( \psi_0^{2D}(x,y) = \int \psi_0(x,y,z) dz \). The \( y \) component of \( P \) is identically zero. This means that the velocity form, despite yielding good results for tomography, is not suitable to predict the harmonic polarization correctly. For \( \omega > I_p \), we obtain

\[ I(\omega) = 2\omega^2 |P_x(\omega)|^2, \]

\[ P_x(\omega) = -a(k(\omega)) p^x + a(-k(\omega)) p(\omega), \]

\[ p(\omega) = \int \psi_0^{2D}(x,y) e^{-i\omega x} dx dy, \]

where we have defined the wave number \( k(\omega) = \sqrt{2(\omega - I_p)} \). To obtain \( p(\omega) \) from known \( P_x \) and \( a(k) \), we need to combine the two terms on the right-hand side of Eq. (6b) into one term, such that \( p(\omega) \) is factored out. There are two cases in which this is possible: (i) the orbital is (un-)gerade or (ii) \( a(k) = 0 \) for \( k > 0 \) or \( k < 0 \), i.e., the returning wave packets approach the nuclei always from the same side. In the first case, we have \( p^x(\omega) = 2p(\omega) \) and the experimentally determined amplitudes will be a linear combination of \( a(k) \) and \( a(-k) \). In this paper we focus on the second possibility. We want to stress that in all other cases extraction of \( p(\omega) \) from Eq. (6b) and therefore reconstruction is impossible. The reason is that \( \arg[p(\omega)] \) is unknown and \( a(k) \) and \( a(-k) \) are fundamentally different and undeterminable from the harmonics of any reference system. Assuming that recollision occurs only from \( x > 0 \), the Fourier transformed dipole velocity is given by

\[ P_x(\omega) = a(-k(\omega)) p(\omega). \]

As a modification to the above equation we use the modified wave number \( k(\omega) = \sqrt{2(\omega - I_p)} \), as in [12]. The modification is related to the fact that high-order harmonics seem to be better described when the ionization potential is left out of the relation [6]. This is also supported by numerical tests that we have performed, although for strong-field approximation (SFA)-based simulations it was recently reported that the original relation should be used [10,18,22]. Physically, the argument is that when describing the returning wave packet as a plane wave, we should take into account that at the moment of recombination its wave number is modified due to the kinetic energy being increased by an amount of the order of \( I_p [6,23] \). Although it is becoming experimentally possible to measure both the amplitude and the phase of the harmonics [4,24–27], in the original work [12] only the intensities were measured. The phase information was added from \textit{a priori} considerations.

There are two unknowns in our set of equations, namely \( a(k(\omega)) \) and \( \psi_0^{2D}(x,y) \). One of the main ideas of the procedure is to solve this problem by comparison with an atomic reference system for which \( \phi_0^{\text{a}}(x,y) \) is known and \( a^{\text{a}}(k) \) is very similar to \( a(k) \). [With the superscript \( (a) \) we denote reference-system quantities.] This is approximately the case if the reference system and the molecule of interest have the same ionization potential [12].

In the experimental implementation [12], the molecules are aligned along directions within the \( xy \) plane, with an angle \( \theta \) between the molecular axis and the electric field. Harmonic generation is then considered for all orientations \( \theta \) and determined by the projections of the bound state \( \psi_0^{\text{b}}(x,y) = \psi_0^{\text{a}}(x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta, -x \sin \theta + y \cos \theta) \). The general Fourier transform

\[ g(k_1,k_2) = \int \psi_0^{2D}(x,y) e^{-i(k_1 x + k_2 y)} dx dy \]

can be inverted to obtain

\[ \psi_0^{2D}(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \int g(k_1,k_2) e^{i(k_1 x + k_2 y)} dk_1 dk_2. \]

We substitute \( k_1 = k \cos \theta \) and \( k_2 = - k \sin \theta \) and change the integration variables accordingly, and use \( p(\omega) = g(k(\omega) \cos \theta, -k(\omega) \sin \theta) \) to arrive at

\[ \psi_0^{2D}(x,y) = \int \int p(\omega) e^{i(k(\omega) x \cos \theta - y \sin \theta \omega d\omega d\theta / (2\pi)^2}. \]

Using Eq. (10), one can reconstruct \( \psi_0^{2D} \) from \( p(\omega) \).

A more rigorous analysis of the tomography scheme is based on the Lewenstein model [28]. We will find that the assumption of one-sided recollisions is needed to derive the tomographic equations for a general orbital. Using the velocity form [21], and a laser pulse that is turned on after \( t = 0 \), and turned off before \( t = T_p \) the dipole velocity \( P_x(\omega > 0) \) reads as

\[ P_x(\omega) = -i \int_0^{T_p} dt \int_0^t dt' v_0[k(t,t') + A(t')] e^{-iS(t,t')} \]

\[ \times d_{\text{c.c.}}[k(t,t') + A(t')], \]

where \( \epsilon \) is a small regularization constant. We have neglected the c.c. term in Eq. (4), since its contribution for positive
frequencies is negligible. The saddle-point momentum is given by $k_{s}(t,t') = - \int_{0}^{t} A(t') dt E(t')$ and $E(t)$ is the electric field of the laser pulse. The matrix elements describing the ionization and recombination, and the semiclassical action are given by

$$d_{\text{ion},\phi}(k,t) = \int \int \psi_{0,\phi}(x,y) E(t) e^{-ik_{s}(t,t')} dx dy (2\pi)^{-1/2},$$

$$v_{\phi}(k) = \int \int e^{-ik_{s}(t,t')} \psi_{0,\phi}(x,y) dx dy (2\pi)^{-1/2},$$

$$S(t,t') = \int_{t}^{t'} dt'' \left[ k_{s}(t,t') + A(t'') \right]^{2} / 2 + I_{s}(t-t').$$

For the tomographic procedure, it is required that in Eq. (11) the recombination matrix element be factored out. To this end, we perform the integration over the recombination time $t$ using the saddle-point approximation. The saddle-point condition

$$[k_{s}(t,t') + A(t_{s})]^{2} / 2 = \omega - I_{s}$$

has the form of an energy-conservation law. We assume that the electron wave packets approach the core always from the positive side, i.e., with negative momentum along the polarization direction. With Eq. (13), the return momentum is given by $-k(\omega)$, where $k(\omega) = \sqrt{2(\omega - I_{s})}$. We obtain

$$P_{\text{r},\phi}(\omega) = -ik(\omega) / (2\pi)^{1/2} d_{\text{ion},\phi}(k,0) \int_{0}^{t_{p}} dt' \sum_{t_{s} > t'} e^{iS(t_{s},t')}$$

$$\times e^{i\omega t} \sqrt{2\pi} \left( 2(\omega - I_{s}) / (t_{s} - t') - E(t_{s}) k(\omega) \right)$$

$$\times d_{\text{ion},\phi}(k_{s}(t_{s},t') + A(t'),t') \left( 2\pi / e + i(t_{s} - t') \right)^{3/2}.$$

In this case molecular orbital tomography is possible and comparison with Eq. (7) shows that $a(-k(\omega))$ becomes a sum over all classical trajectories leading to emission of a photon with energy $\omega$. Within the SFA, $a(k)$ is identical for length- and velocity-form reconstruction. Note that the structure of Eq. (14), with $p_{\phi}(\omega)$ as a prefactor of the integral, would not emerge if both positive and negative momenta were present (long-pulse case).

To find a pulse for which the associated continuum wave packets approach the core from only one side, we calculate semiclassically the probability that an electron returns to the core with momentum $k$, as introduced in [29]. The return probability is the classical equivalent of $a(k)^{2}$. After tunneling, the electrons follow classical trajectories. We sample the ionization time and record the trajectories that return within a certain velocity interval. Each trajectory is weighted based on the tunneling probability and wave-packet spreading from the Lewenstein model [28].

A three-cycle sin$^{2}$ pulse with a carrier-envelope phase of 1.25$\pi$, for which the results are shown in Fig. 1, is found to be a good candidate. The dominant trajectories stem from ionization during the main peak labeled with $A$ in Fig. 1 and recombine around $B$ in the same figure. There is no significant contribution from ionization before $A$ because of the exponential dependence of the ionization rate on the field amplitude. Harmonic emission after $B$ is suppressed because of wave-packet spreading and the low field strengths available for acceleration towards recombination. In general, small field-amplitude differences have significant effects on harmonic spectra, leading to the harmonic yield being dominated by the area around the largest field amplitude [30]. Because of this effect, we expect no problems with experimental pulses that have more smeared out tails, or with pre- and post-pulses.

To ensure that effectively only a half-cycle of the pulse contributes to the spectrum, alternative approaches are conceivable. An example is using the ellipticity of the pulse, similar to the polarization gating method in attosecond pulse production [31,32]. The problem here lies in achieving complete asymmetry of the returning wave packet for all energies. Similarly, the intuitively promising $(\omega, 2\omega)$ scheme is in principle suitable, but does not provide enough asymmetry for the low-energy electrons in our experience.

For the simulations of orbital tomography, we study three cases: A symmetric orbital (ground state of 2D $H_{2}^{+}$ with the equilibrium internuclear distance of $R=2.0$ a.u. as in [6]), an antisymmetric orbital (its first excited state), and a prototype asymmetric orbital, for which we use the ground state of 2D (H-He)$^{2+}$ with fixed internuclear distance $R=2.5$ a.u. We use a fundamental laser wavelength of 780 nm and a laser intensity of $5 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm$^{2}$ for the $H_{2}^{+}$ ground and excited states, and a laser intensity of $3 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm$^{2}$ for the (H-He)$^{2+}$ ground state. We adjusted the softcore parameters $a^{2}$ of the softcore potentials such that $I_{s}$ equals 30.2 eV in all cases. For the asymmetric state the potential takes the form
Although the results for the antisymmetric orbital could be improved by using harmonic phases as calculated from the two-center interference model [6], we choose to present here the results using numerically calculated harmonic phases. First the harmonic spectra and phases are calculated by solving the time-dependent Schrödinger equation for (i) many different orientations of the orbital and (ii) the reference system, 2D H$_2^+$ for the H$_2^+$ states and 2D Li$_2^+$ for (H-He)$_2^+$, also with softcore parameters adjusted such that the ionization potential is 30.2 eV. Then for velocity-form reconstruction $a_{\theta}(k)$ is calculated according to

$$a_{\theta}(-k(\omega)) = \frac{p_{\theta}^{(1)}(\omega)}{p_{\theta}^{(0)}(\omega)} \sqrt{\frac{P(\theta)}{P_I}},$$

where $p_{\theta}^{(1)}$ is the ionization yield of the reference system and $P(\theta)$ is the ionization yield for different orientations of the molecule. Furthermore, $p_{\theta}^{(0)}(\omega)$ is the atomic dipole velocity and $p_{\theta}^{(1)}(\omega)$ is the atomic recombination matrix element. The Fourier transforms $p_{\theta}(\omega)$ are obtained from Eq. (7) for all generated frequencies. We improve the results slightly by convoluting $p_{\theta}(\omega)$ with a Gaussian filter that has a 1/e width of 6000 a.u., where 6000 a.u. is the fundamental laser frequency. The frequency range used for the reconstruction using Eq. (10) is 1.2a$_0$ through 79.4a$_0$ for the H$_2^+$ states and 1.2a$_0$ through 55.6a$_0$ for (H-He)$_2^+$. Because the orbitals are reconstructed with an arbitrary complex phase, they are multiplied with a global phase that maximizes the density in the real domain. The mirror symmetries present in the orbitals have been used to simplify the reconstructions. The results in Fig. 2 show that the reconstructed orbitals are in reasonable agreement with the exact orbitals. In particular, the algorithm is able to retrieve the asymmetry of the orbital shown in the third column. Note that our results allow for unambiguous assessment of the accuracy of the procedure, since we use the exact harmonic spectra from numerical solutions of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation. In the previous literature, either measured spectra, or spectra from the strong-field approximation were used.

In summary, we have carried out an analysis of molecular orbital tomography. Using velocity-form reconstruction and uni-directional wave packets obtained with short pulses, orbitals of arbitrary symmetry can be reconstructed. Molecular orbital tomography represents an interesting and promising technique that bears great potential for observing femtosecond dynamics of electronic orbitals.
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