Iliac anatomy and the incidence of adjunctive maneuvers during endovascular abdominal aortic aneurysm repair
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INTRODUCTION

The significance roles of the iliac artery during endovascular aortic aneurysm repair (EVAR) include an access site for a delivery system, a sealing zone for a stent graft, a source of pelvic flow, and, occasionally, a combined iliac artery aneurysm that should be treated. Therefore, iliac anatomy is a major consideration during EVAR, and challenging iliac anatomy is a problem despite advancements in technology and devices [1,2]. Hostile iliac anatomy consists of a short common iliac artery (CIA), small ilio-femoral artery diameter, combined iliac artery aneurysm, and iliac tortuosity.

We analyzed iliac anatomy in patients with an abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) and investigated the types of iliac problems and adjunctive procedures associated with them during EVAR.
METHODS

Patients
Eighty-one patients with AAA were treated in a tertiary hospital from January 2010 to March 2013. Seventy-four patients were included, and seven who underwent open surgical repair were excluded. The treatment of choice is EVAR. The reasons for open surgical repair were pararenal/juxtarenal AAA, Marfan syndrome, and hemorrhagic shock due to a ruptured AAA. Iliac anatomy was not a reason for open surgical repair. Our vascular registry and medical records were reviewed retrospectively.

Evaluation of iliac anatomy
All iliac anatomy was investigated by CT using Aquarius, iNtution Ed ver 4.4.6 (TeraRecon Inc., Foster City, CA, USA). Vessel diameter was measured on images perpendicular to the central flow line (CFL). Diameter was defined as the length from the outer wall to the outer wall. However, luminal diameter (inner wall to inner wall) was measured for the external iliac artery (EIA), which was used to introduce a delivery system. An iliac artery aneurysm was defined as >20 mm. Artery length was measured on a stretched image along the CFL. The iliac tortuosity index was evaluated using a three-dimensional workstation (Fig. 1). Iliac tortuosity was graded from 0 to 3 using the tortuosity index (Table 1) [3].

Procedures and outcomes
All procedures were categorized into planned and unplanned procedures according to the reporting standard [4]. Indication of planned adjunctive procedures was that iliac anatomy was not suitable for instructions for use. Balloon angioplasty with or without stenting, patch angioplasty or interposition graft was used for iliofemoral occlusive disease. Internal iliac artery (IIA) embolization with stent graft extension to EIA was usually used to treat combined CIA aneurysm or short CIA. However, bilateral IIA embolization was not done to preserve pelvic flow and we tried to save at least one IIA. Regarding stent graft devices, Zenith (Cook Inc, Bloomington, IN, USA) was used in 63 patients and Excluder (W. L. Gore and Associates, Netwark, DE, USA) was used in 2 patients. When an aorto-uni-iliac (AUI) device was necessary in 9 patients, Endurant (Medtronic Inc, Santa Rosa, CA, USA) was used. Technical success for iliac

| Grade | Tortuosity index |
|-------|-----------------|
| 0     | ≤1.25           |
| 1     | >1.25, ≤1.5     |
| 2     | >1.5, ≤1.6      |
| 3     | >1.6            |

Table 1. Iliac tortuosity grade

Fig. 1. Measuring the iliac tortuosity index. The iliac tortuosity index was defined by dividing L1 by L2, where L1 (A and B) was the distance along the central lumen line between the common femoral artery and the aortic bifurcation, and L2 (C) was the straight-line distance from the common femoral artery and the aortic bifurcation. In this case, the iliac tortuosity index was 1.65 (233/141).
adjunctive procedures was defined as successful introduction and deployment of a device without a type Ib or III endoleak or graft limb occlusion. Postoperative complications and mortality were investigated.

RESULTS

Iliac anatomy

The patient demographic data are shown in Table 2. Mean patient age was 73 years, and 81% of the patients were male. Eleven patients had a ruptured AAA. Table 3 shows the details of the iliac anatomy. Mean CIA diameter was 20.8 mm on the right and 18.3 mm on the left. An aneurysm was found in 43% of right CIAs and 35% of left CIAs. Forty patients (54%) had at least one CIA aneurysm: 22 unilateral and 18 bilateral aneurysms. Mean CIA length was 52.8 mm on the right and 56.3 mm on the left. A short CIA (<20 mm) was found in one patient (Fig. 2). Thirty patients had unilateral or bilateral narrow EIA <7 mm. The iliocostal tortuosity grades are shown in Table 4. The mean iliocostal tortuosity grades were 1.4 on the right and 1.4 on the left. The most common grade was grade 1. Grade 3 was found in six (8%) on the right and two (3%) on the left.

Table 2. Patient demographic data (n = 74)

| Characteristic                       | Value                  |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Age (yr)                             | 72.8 ± 7.5 (55–89)     |
| Male sex                             | 60 (81)                |
| Current smoker                       | 24 (32)                |
| Comorbidities                        |                        |
| Hypertension                         | 41 (55)                |
| Diabetes mellitus                    | 8 (11)                 |
| Coronary artery disease              | 15 (20)                |
| Chronic renal insufficiency<sup>a</sup> | 7 (10)                |
| Ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm    | 11 (15)                |

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation (range) or number (%).<sup>a</sup>Serum creatinine level > 1.5 mg/dL.

Table 3. Details of the iliac anatomy (n = 74)

|                          | Right                  | Left                   |
|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| CIA diameter<sup>a</sup> | 20.8 (7.0–53.8)        | 18.3 (8.1–53.7)        |
| CIA length               | 52.8 (6.6–98.0)        | 56.3 (0–94.8)          |
| CIA aneurysm (>20 mm)    | 32 (43)                | 26 (35)                |
| Short CIA (<20 mm)       | 1 (1)                  | 1 (1)                  |
| EIA diameter<sup>b</sup> | 8.2 (4.1–12.9)         | 7.6 (4.1–10.8)         |
| Small EIA (<6.5 mm)      | 15 (20)                | 19 (26)                |
| IIA occlusion            | 2 (3)                  | 4 (5)                  |

Values are presented as mean (range) or number (%). CIA, common iliac artery; EIA, external iliac artery, IIA, internal iliac artery.<sup>a</sup>Outer diameter. <sup>b</sup>Inner diameter.

Table 4. Iliac tortuosity (n = 74)

| Grade | Tortuosity index | Right | Left                              |
|-------|------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|
| 0     | ≤1.25            | 12 (16)| 19 (26)                           |
| 1     | >1.25, ≤1.5      | 46 (62)| 48 (65)                           |
| 2     | >1.5, ≤1.6       | 10 (14)| 5 (7)                             |
| 3     | >1.6             | 6 (8)  | 2 (3)                             |
|       | 1.4 (1.08–1.77)<sup>a</sup> | 1.3 (1.07–1.66)<sup>a</sup> | |

Values are presented as number (%) unless otherwise indicated.<sup>a</sup>Mean (range).

Fig. 2. A 70-year-old male presented with an abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) and bilateral short common iliac arteries (A and B). Both iliac stent graft limbs were deployed on external iliac arteries (EIAs). The left internal iliac artery (IIA) was embolized with coils, and the right IIA was perfused by the EIA to IIA bypass (C).
Adjunctive iliac procedures

Thirty-eight patients (51%) needed an adjunctive iliac procedure during the EVAR (Table 5). The most common procedure was IIA embolization to prevent an endoleak when an iliac limb was extended down to the EIA. Balloon angioplasty, stenting, patch angioplasty, and EIA interposition were performed in 18 patients for EIA stenosis; eleven patients, five patients, one patient, and one patient, respectively. In addition, endarterectomy with patch angioplasty for a stenotic common femoral artery was performed in two patients. Seven hybrid IIA revascularization procedures (six EIA to IIA bypasses and one IIA transposition to EIA) were done to preserve pelvic perfusion. An AUI device was used in nine patients who had narrow distal aortic diameter (<17 mm). The contralateral CIA was embolized with a vascular plug and a crossover femoro-femoral bypass was conducted.

One patient required a distal iliac limb extension to the EIA due to a type Ib endoleak. Four IIAs were unexpectedly covered without embolization; however, no IIA endoleaks occurred.

Early outcomes

Technical success was achieved in 100% of patients who underwent an iliac adjunctive procedure, even though there were three type Ia endoleaks and 14 type II endoleaks. None needed open conversion. Other postoperative complications and mortality are described in Table 6.

DISCUSSION

Proper CIA length and diameter are necessary for a safe iliac landing zone. Within Asian anatomy, the short CIA is a difficult concern [5]. In a study based in Hong Kong, mean CIA lengths were 299 mm on the right and 342 mm on the left, compared to >50 mm in Caucasians. However, mean right and left CIA lengths in our study were 52.8 and 56.3 mm, respectively, which was not different from Western data. A short CIA (<20 mm) was detected in one patient (1%, 1/74) who had bilateral short CIAs. This problem was overcome using a hybrid procedure; EIA to IIA bypass with contralateral IIA embolization. Mean CIA diameters reported from another Korean study were 41.1 and 43.2 mm [6]. Thus, all Asians do not have short CIAs, and CIA length seemed suitable in most cases.

In the EUROSTAR (EUROpean collaborators on Stent-graft Techniques for abdominal aortic Aneurysm Repair) registry, mean CIA diameter was 16.7 mm, and the rate of concomitant uni or bilateral CIA aneurysms was only 16.8% (1,269/7,554) [7]. However, 54% of our patients had a uni- or bilateral CIA aneurysm. Mean CIA diameters were 20.8 mm on the right and 18.3 mm on the left. Previous Asian studies showed similar mean CIA diameters (17.9–20.9 mm) [5,6]. Therefore, a large CIA diameter rather than a short CIA are characteristics of Asian iliac anatomy.

Table 5. Iliac adjunctive procedures (n = 74)

| Iliac adjunctive procedure                          | No. (%) |
|----------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Expected                                           |         |
| IIA embolization                                   | 20 (27) |
| IIA surgical ligation                              | 3 (4)   |
| Procedures for small EIA                           |         |
| EIA balloon angioplasty                             | 11* (15)|
| EIA stenting                                       | 5* (7)  |
| EIA patch angioplasty                               | 1 (1)   |
| EIA interposition                                  | 1 (1)   |
| IIA revascularization                              | 7 (10)  |
| CFA endarterectomy with patch angioplasty          | 2 (3)   |
| Intended IIA coverage without IIA embolization     | 2 (3)   |
| Unexpected                                         |         |
| IIA coverage                                       | 4 (5)   |
| Iliac limb distal extension to EIA                 | 1 (1)   |

IIA, internal iliac artery; EIA, external iliac artery; CFA, common femoral artery.

*Two were done for bilateral iliac lesions.

Table 6. Early outcomes

| Outcome                                      | Nonruptured (n = 63) | Ruptured (n = 11) | Total (n = 74) |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Technical success*                           | 63 (100)             | 11 (100)          | 74 (100)     |
| Endoleaks                                     |                      |                   |              |
| Type Ia                                       | 2 (3)                | 1 (9)             | 3 (4)        |
| Type II                                       | 14 (22)              | 0 (0)             | 14 (19)      |
| Other morbidities                             |                      |                   |              |
| Acute myocardial infarction                   | 4 (6)                | 1 (9)             | 5 (7)        |
| Acute renal failure                           | 1 (2)                | 0 (0)             | 1 (1)        |
| Acute respiratory distress syndrome           | 2 (3)                | 1 (9)             | 3 (4)        |
| Multiple organ failure                        | 0 (0)                | 2 (18)            | 2 (3)        |
| Mortality                                     | 2 (3)                | 3 (27)            | 5 (7)        |

Values are presented as number (%).

*For an iliac adjunctive procedure.
Due to unsuitable CIA anatomy, including short length and large diameter, IIA embolization was the most common adjunctive procedure in this study. An iliac extension limb was deployed to the EIA after IIA embolization to prevent a type II endoleak. This is the easiest way to solve a CIA problem. Variable pelvic ischemic complications, including buttock claudication, erectile dysfunction, ischemic colitis, gluteal or perineal necrosis, and spinal cord ischemia have been reported after interrupting pelvic flow [8-14]. Thus, bilateral IIA embolization is seldom used to preserve pelvic perfusion.

We used a hybrid procedure, such as EIA to IIA bypass with contralateral IIA embolization, to overcome bilateral CIA problems. Although this additional bypass surgery enabled successful EVAR, retroperitoneal procedures are associated with greater blood loss, higher postoperative complication rates, and longer hospital stays [15]. Several methods have been introduced to treat those patients with an endovascular method, such as the bell-bottom technique, use of an iliac branch device (IBD), or a sandwich technique. The bell-bottom technique using a flared iliac stent graft is the simplest. We used this technique in 4 patients: two patients with ruptured AAA and two high-risk patients. The immediate result was good in all patients. However, there are concerns about type Ib endoleaks due to continued iliac artery enlargement [16,17]. In a recent study, no differences in reintervention rates for type Ib or III endoleaks were observed between the bell-bottom technique and IIA embolization with stent graft extension to the EIA during a median 22 month follow-up [18]. However, long-term data on the bell-bottom technique are needed.

IBD was designed to provide both sealing and blood flow into the EIA and IIA. The technical success rate of EVAR using IBD is 85%–100% and midterm patency is about 70% [19]. However, it is not available in all countries. Recently, domestic IBD was introduced in Korea, but its clinical data is absent yet. The sandwich technique is another option, which was first reported by Lobato [20]. Midterm follow-up results of 40 consecutive cases were announced recently: the technical success rate was 100%, and the primary patency rate was 93.8% during a mean follow-up of 12 months [21].

We did not experience tortuosity-related complications or any difficulties positioning stent grafts. Although superstiff guidewire with an introducer catheter usually allows a delivery system to pass a tortuous iliac artery, a stent graft delivery system may occasionally not pass, which is when a brachiofemoral wire becomes useful, as it improves trackability by placing tension on the wire and controlling both ends [22]. It is called the body floss technique. Iliac tortuosity may increase the risk of arterial dissection, rupture, limb kinking, or occlusion [23-25]. Therefore, the operator should pay attention to avoid complications when iliac tortuosity is severe, although iliac tortuosity is not an absolute contraindication for EVAR.

The last iliac problem is a small diameter iliac artery. Balloon angioplasty and stent placement are the simplest methods to overcome this problem. These methods can be performed simultaneously with EVAR. An iliac conduit is a good option when these methods are insufficient to achieve adequate iliac access [26]. An iliac conduit is used to introduce a delivery system and can be used as an ilio-femoral bypass conduit after EVAR. An aggressive endovascular solution called the “internal endoconduit” technique has been introduced to avoid retroperitoneal dissection [27]. After the endograft has been introduced into the iliac artery, a controlled iliac artery rupture is created, while maintaining proximal and distal sealing. However, this technique is not recommended routinely.

We used an AUI device in patients with a narrow distal aorta. An AUI device is useful when access to only one iliac artery is available. In a large series [28], an AUI device with femoro-femoral bypass grafting resulted in a lower technical success rate (94% vs. 99%, P = 0.002), a higher perioperative complication rate (10% vs. 4%, P = 0.005), and lower 3-year primary patency (92% vs. 98%, P = 0.003) compared with those of a bifurcated device. Three-year secondary patency in the AUI group was 97%. Although it was also lower than the bifurcated device group (97% vs. 99%, P = 0.040), it seemed acceptable. Therefore, EVAR with an AUI device is a good alternative treatment option for high-risk patients with hostile iliac anatomy.

Although challenging iliac artery anatomy is a major obstacle during EVAR, supplemental endovascular or hybrid procedures can facilitate endovascular treatment under those conditions. A precise assessment of iliac anatomy with adequate instruments and techniques is crucial. In our study, a short CIA was uncommon, and a large CIA diameter was more important during EVAR in Asians.
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