INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer remains a common carcinoma affecting the male population (Coloma et al., 2014). Little is known about the nature and variability of dimensional changes in the structure of tumor glands and their relationship with cancer behavior. All factors related (Humphrey, 2004; Iczkowski, et al., 2011; Tolkach & Kristiansen, 2018) to the development of prostate cancer configure the tumor's phenotype, with features that can be quantified by stereology (Mattfeldt et al., 2004; Santamaria et al., 2017, 2018).

Three-dimensional reconstruction techniques, supported by confocal microscopy, have been used to investigate the spatial characteristics of the growth of prostate lesions (van Royen et al., 2016), rendering data about the 3D features of cancer growth. The 3D analysis of prostate cancer is interesting because it has contributed to establishing various architectural subgroups (Verhoef et al., 2019). The approach mentioned above provides interesting information about the three-dimensional structure of the normal and pathological acinar tree; however, these studies do not provide quantitative data on other elements of the acini geometry such as acinar length density, prostate cancer, relative volumes, stereology, surface densities
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the volumes, lengths, and surfaces of the acinar structure, in relation to a reference space (i.e., prostate volume). This information can only be obtained reliably through stereological methods (Baddeley and Vedel Jensen 2005a, 2005b, 2005c).

Therefore, the main objective of this study consists in the analysis of the dimensional changes of the glands from prostate cancer through the unbiased application of stereological tools to estimate the variations in volume densities of tumor acini, changes in the glands’ lengths, and surface density at the luminal and basal level of the acini.

These tools have been widely applied in morphological studies in normal and pathological human prostate (Mattfeldt et al., 2003; Santamaria et al., 2016; Santamaria Solis et al., 2015) and laboratory animals in prostate development (Buzzell, 1985; Vilamaior et al., 2006), and experimental conditions (Aminsharifi et al., 2016; Eslahi et al., 2017; Ribeiro et al., 2008).

Several stereological parameters related to prostatic acini’s size and conformation were evaluated in both normal human and pathological (cancerous) prostates to meet the objectives referred to above. Several studies using monoclonal anti-cytokeratin antibodies in prostate have differentiated the columnar and basal cell populations by their specific cytokeratin content (Brawer et al., 1985; Schlegel et al., 1980). The columnar cells react with monoclonal antibodies to cytokeratin 18 (ck18) (Nagle et al., 1987). Besides, chemical determination of the cytokeratin phenotype of three established human prostatic carcinoma cell lines also suggests that all three cell lines synthesize ck18 (Nagle et al., 1987). Therefore, normal and tumor acini were visualized using immunohistochemistry for ck18 (Santamaria Solis et al., 2015). On ck18 immunostained sections, several parameters related to the dimensions and cell population of normal and neoplastic prostatic acini were measured. The immunohistochemical expression of PCNA (proliferative cell nuclear antigen) was measured using the labeling index (LiPCNA) (Gomez et al., 2009) to correlate the quantitative changes estimated with the epithelium’s proliferative activity. The average cell volume (Vcell) in normal and tumor epithelium was also calculated using the stereological method of the nucleator (Howard & Reed, 2005). All parameters were summarized in Table 1. Furthermore, an explanation of each of the stereological parameters used in practical terms is provided in Table 2.

| TABLE 1 | Stereological parameters employed |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Volume fractions | Densities of length and acinar surface | Numerical densities | Others |
| Vvacini | Lvacini | Naep | LiPCNA |
| Vlumen | Slumen | Naep | Vcell |
| Vep | Sbasal | |

Vvolume fractions of acini (Vvacini), lumen (Vlumen), and epithelium (Vep).

Acell length per unit of prostate volume (Lacini), lumen surface per unit of prostate volume (Slumen), and basal acinar surface per unit of prostate volume (Sbasal).

Acell number per unit of prostate volume (Naep), and epithelial cell number per acinar length unit (Naep).

ApcNA labeling index of epithelial cells (LiPCNA) and average cell volume of epithelial cells (Vcell).

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Material

Twenty prostate specimens were collected from La Princesa Hospital, 10 were from adults, (CTR), age (mean ± SD): 45 ± 7; range: 30–47 years, all these specimens were of healthy subjects, without endocrine or reproductive pathology, deceased in traffic accidents, and eligible as donors for transplant. The other 10 were surgical specimens (radical prostatectomy) from patients diagnosed with prostate carcinoma (CA); age (mean ± SD): 70 ± 10, range: 56–85 years. The diagnosis was confirmed by histopathology. Cancer cases were graded according to Gleason score (Egevad et al., 2012; Epstein et al., 2005); only cases with a grade of 7(3 + 4) or 7(4 + 3) were included in the study. All cases were without prior neoadjuvant hormonal therapy. Ethical requirements informed consent issued by the Bioethics Committee of the Hospital Universitario de La Princesa (Madrid, Spain)—were accomplished to obtain the prostate tissue either when the multi-organic extraction for transplant (CTR) or at the surgery (CA).

2.2 | Processing of the tissues

The specimens were fixed for a week in 10% paraformaldehyde in PBS, pH 7.4. After fixation, each specimen from the two groups was segmented into two halves. One of the fragments was thoroughly sectioned into 2-mm-thick slices, performed by isotropic uniform random sampling (IUR sections) (Howard & Reed, 2005) to preserve the isotropy of the tissue to implement all stereological estimates except for surface density measurements. Vertical uniform random sections (VUR) were obtained on the other half of each specimen (of the same thickness as the IUR sections; Baddeley and Vedel Jensen 2005a, 2005b, 2005c) to estimate surface density measurements. All the slices (IUR and VUR) were processed for paraffin embedding. Thirty sections (5-µm-thick) were performed on each block for immunohistochemistry. Fifteen additional thicker sections (10-µm-thick) were obtained on each block from IUR sampling to estimate the numerical density of the epithelial cells.

2.3 | Immunohistochemistry

At least 20 randomly selected slides (10 IUR and 10 VUR samples) per specimen were immunostained in CTR and CA groups to detect ck18 and PCNA immunoreactivities. Sections were incubated with a monoclonal anti-cytokeratin 18 antibody (Abcam) diluted at 1:250 and with a monoclonal anti-PCNA antibody (Biomenta) diluted at 1:200. Pretreatment of sections by heat (Martín et al., 2001) was performed to enhance ck18 immunostaining. After incubation with the specific biotinylated secondary antibodies, the sections were treated with a streptavidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (Biomenta). The immunostaining reaction product was then developed using
diaminobenzidine (DAB) (Sigma). Rationals for immunohistochemistry have been widely described in other studies, either for PCNA (Gomez et al., 2009; Santamaria et al., 2009, 2017), or for ck18 immunostaining (Santamaria et al., 2018).

### 2.4 | Quantitative methods

For calculation of dimensional parameters indicated in Table 1, the rationale was as follows: ck18 immunostained images were captured at a final magnification of X240, from an average of 20 systematically randomly sampled light microscopic fields in five systematically randomly selected sections of each sampling protocol (IUR and VUR) in each specimen from each group. The images were captured using a color digital camera, DP 70 (Olympus Corporation of the Americas) with a resolution of 12.5 megapixels, attached to an Olympus microscope fitted with a motorized stage controlled by the stereological software Cast-Grid (Stereology Software Package). This program monitors the XY displacement of the microscope stage and allows the selection of fields to be studied by systematic random sampling after selecting an appropriate sampling fraction (Santamaria Solis et al., 2015).

The volume fractions, that is, the ratio between interest area and the reference area, were measured in all the captured images from the IUR sections immunostained to ck18 according to the following procedure. To estimate the areas (total, acinar, and lumen), the STEPanizer software was employed, which is a software for the stereological assessment of digitally captured images (Tschanz et al., 2011). This software superimposes a regularly spaced point frame on the images, whose point associated area \( a(p) \) was settled to 10,414 \( \mu\text{m}^2 \). The number of points hitting in the different tissue compartments was recorded and used for the calculation of the volume fractions as indicated below:

\[
V_{V\text{lumen}} = \frac{\sum P\text{(lumen points)}}{\sum P\text{(acinar points)}}
\]

\[
V_{V\text{ep}} = 1 - V_{V\text{lumen}}
\]

\[
L_{V\text{acini}} = \frac{2 \times \sum Q}{\sum A}
\]

The linear and surface densities, that is, the length of the acinar structure per unit of volume, and the luminal and basal surface of the acini per unit of volume were estimated in all the captured images either from IUR (\( L_{V\text{acini}} \)) or VUR (\( S_{V\text{lumen}}, S_{V\text{basal}} \)) sections immunostained to ck18 using the STEPanizer software and following the subsequent procedures. In the case of \( L_{V\text{acini}} \), the total tissue (stromal + acinar compartments) was considered as reference space.

The acinar profiles immunostained to ck18 eligible for counting were sampled by a disector frame and fulfilling the Sterio rule (Sterio, 1984; Figure 1a). The \( L_{V\text{acini}} \) was calculated by the formula:

\[
L_{V\text{acini}} = \frac{2 \times \sum Q}{\sum A}
\]
where $Q^-$ = number of eligible acinar profiles and $\sum A$ = total area sampled, that is, area of dissector frame ($325,676 \mu m^2$) multiplied by the number of selected frames.

In the case of $S_V$, two parameters were evaluated: the luminal area per unit of prostatic volume ($S_{Vlumen}$) and the basal area of the acini per unit of prostate volume ($S_{Vbasal}$). The reference space chosen was the same as for $L_{acini}$. The software (Figure 1b) superimposes on the VUR ck18 immunostained sections a cycloid frame (West, 2012) whose length associated point was settled to $l(p) = 76 \mu m$. The $S_V$ (either luminal or basal) was calculated by the formula:

$$S_V = \frac{2 \times \sum l}{\sum P \times l(p)}$$

where $l$ is the number of intersections of cycloid curves with the acinar profiles (lumen contours to $S_{Vlumen}$, and basal contours of the acinus to $S_{Vbasal}$); $P = \text{number of points that mark the ends of the cycloid curve and that fall on the reference space, the area associated with those points was } a(p) = 11,487 \mu m^2$, and $l(p) = 76 \mu m$. Each VUR section measured was oriented so that its vertical axis was parallel to the minor axis of the frame's cycloid curves (Figure 1b; Baddeley and Vedel Jensen 2005a, 2005b, 2005c; Howard & Reed, 2005).

Estimates of the relative number of epithelial cells and cells PCNA immunoreactive were calculated onto IUR 10-µm-thick sections, using the optical dissector (Gundersen et al., 1988; Howard & Reed, 2005). Measurements were carried out using an Olympus microscope fitted with a motorized stage and equipped with an ×100 oil immersion lens (numerical aperture of 1.4) at a final magnification of ×1200, employing the stereological software CastGrid. An average of 100 fields per section was scanned in each group. The software superimposes a dissector frame onto the images captured by the video camera. A micrometer measured the $Z$ displacement of the samples (Haidenhain, Transreut, Germany) adapted to the stage’s vertical axis.

In each selected field, the acinar epithelium area was scanned, and the numerical densities of both total ($N_{Vep}$) and PCNA+ ($N_{VepPCNA}$) epithelial cells were evaluated, counting their nuclei, according to the Sterio rule (Sterio, 1984).

The $N_{Vep}$ is determined by the formula:

$$N_{Vep} = \frac{\sum Q^-}{\sum V_{dis}}$$

where: $Q^-$ = number of eligible nuclei, $V_{dis}$ = volume of dissectors in which the upper-right corner hits epithelial tissue.

The labeling index for PCNA (LiPCNA) was then calculated:

$$\text{LiPCNA} = \frac{N_{VepPCNA}}{N_{Vep}}$$
After estimation of $N_{\text{V}ep}$, the epithelial cell number per unit of acinar length ($N_{\text{L}ep}$) was calculated according to the formula:

$$N_{\text{L}ep} = \frac{N_{\text{V}ep}}{L_{\text{V}acini}}$$

The nucleator (Sorensen, 1991) was applied on the 10-µm-thick IUR sections to estimate the $V_{\text{N}cell}$ in the prostate epithelium from both study groups. Briefly, the epithelial nuclei were the sampling unit to measure $V_{\text{N}cell}$. The nuclei eligible for estimate the cell volume were sampled after the Sterio rule using optical dissectors at a final magnification of $\times1200$. A random point located inside each nucleus was selected as the reference point. Through this point, the software generates two isotropically oriented segments hitting four points from the cytoplasm boundary. The program then employs the following formula to estimate the volume:

$$V_{\text{N}cell} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \times l_n^3$$

$V_{\text{N}cell}$ is the average cell volume, and $l_n^3$ is the mean cubed length of the segments intercepting the cytoplasm boundary. These measurements also employed the same microscopic system controlled by the stereological software Cast-Grid already used to estimate the epithelial cells’ numerical densities.

### 2.5 | Statistical methods

The parameters measured were expressed as mean ± SEM. Comparisons between the means from CTR and CA groups were performed by a Student t-test ($p < 0.05$). The results were represented graphically by scatter plots, using Prism 7.00 (Graph-Pad Software).

### 3 | RESULTS

In both the CTR and CA cases, the immunostaining for ck18 was expressed exclusively in the prostatic epithelium in columnar and basal cells. Neither the intensity nor the immunostaining location showed qualitative differences between both groups (Figure 2a,b). In CTR, few immunoreactive nuclei were detected for PCNA, located at the basal cell layer level (Figure 2c). In CA, abundant nuclei immunostained for PCNA were observed in the tumor acini (Figure 2d).

No significant differences were observed between CTR and CA when comparing the $V_{\text{V}acini}$ (Figure 3a). The $V_{\text{V}ep}$ was significantly higher in CA than in CTR (Figure 3b).

Conversely, $V_{\text{V}lumen}$ was significantly higher in CTR than in CA (Figure 3c). $L_{\text{acini}}$ of CA was significantly greater than in CTR (Figure 4a). $S_{\text{V}lumen}$ did not show significant differences between

![FIGURE 2](a, b) Images of a specimen of the CTR group (a) and another of CA (b) immunostained for cytokeratin 18 (ck18). In both normal prostate and cancer, immunoreactivity for ck18 was observed exclusively in the epithelium of acini (solid arrowheads). No qualitative differences between both groups were observed. Immunostaining to PCNA was shown in (c) for CTR group and (d) for CA group. Immunoreactive nuclei were detected in CTR, located at the basal cell layer level (empty arrowheads). Occasional nuclei of columnar cells also show immunostaining for PCNA (solid arrowheads), while in CA, abundant nuclei immunostained for PCNA were observed in the tumor acini (solid arrowheads). In all the images, the calibration bars represent 50 µm [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
CTR and CA (Figure 4b). However, $S_{v}$basal was significantly higher in CA than in CTR (Figure 4c).

$N_{v}$ep did not show significant differences between CTR and CA (Figure 5a). However, $N_{v}$ep was significantly lower in CA than CTR (Figure 5b). Furthermore, LiPCNA showed a significant increase in CA compared with the CTR (Figure 6). Regarding $V_{v}$cell, a significant increase in CA was found when compared with CTR (Figure 7).

Table 3 presents a brief summary of the differences and similarities between normal and cancerous tissue in terms of stereological parameters.

4 | DISCUSSION

Some authors have described an increase in the partial epithelial volume in cancer compared with that observed in nontumor prostate (Bourne et al., 2012); this appears in contrast to the present study’s findings, which does not show significant differences between the $V_{v}$ep in cancer and controls. In the work of Bourne et al. (2012) a magnetic resonance microimaging system was employed to estimate the volumes (Bourne et al., 2012). Besides, the equivalence between what these authors call “partial volumes of the analyzed compartments” and the $V_{v}$acini estimated by stereology in our study is not clear. Furthermore, the study mentioned above does not define the reference space for the determination of partial volumes.

The growth of the glandular tree (per unit volume) in the carcinoma is higher and with more branches than in nonneoplastic glands; this is indicated by the significant increase of $L_{v}$acini in the carcinoma. As it has been seen that $V_{v}$acini is similar in cancer and controls, the rise of $L_{v}$acini in cancer entails a greater folding of the tumor glandular tubes so that they occupy a relative volume similar to that of the nontumor glands.

$S_{v}$basal is higher in the carcinoma than in the controls. This phenomenon may result from the increase in acinar length density and involves a greater surface of exchange between cancer acinus and stromal vessels. Perhaps the rise in the blood PSA detected in prostate cancer can be partially explained by this factor. This increment of $S_{v}$basal and increased permeability of the basement membrane also seems important when related to the microvessel density described in prostate cancer (Barth et al., 1997; Coloma et al., 2014;
Santamaria et al., 2011). It may be a factor that intervenes in the spread of the tumor. However, the $S_i$ lumen of the cancer acini is similar to that observed in normal acini, and this is consistent with the scarcity of light detected in neoplastic acini (Verhoef et al., 2019).

How do the revealed structural changes correlate with the dynamics of the neoplastic cell population? PCNA has long been known to be a good marker of cell proliferation in prostate cancer (Botticelli et al., 1993, 1998). It has been observed in this study that the increment in cell proliferation shown by the significant increase in LiPCNA in cancer does not result in a significant increment in the $N_v$ep compared with normal epithelium.

It is known that the balance between apoptosis and proliferation is under the influence of numerous agents (Fontana et al., 2019). A possible explanation for the fact that the relative number of epithelial cells was similar in controls and cancer can be related to increased tumor apoptosis, which balances the cell population's rising; however, most of the studies on apoptosis in prostate cancer suggests that tumor cells show a decrease in the rate of programmed cell death (Mohammad et al., 2015; Winter et al., 2001). Another possible explanation for the findings indicated above is related to dimensional changes: The volume occupied by tumor epithelium is greater than that of normal epithelium when both are estimated taking acinar volume as a reference; then, the numerical density of epithelial cells remains similar in CA and CTR, although the number of epithelial cells increases in cancer, the volume of the tumor epithelium also increases compared with the normal epithelium, so the ratio between cell number and acinar volume would remain similar in CTR and CA. Everything mentioned above emphasizes the importance of considering the reference volume when the size of the cell population is estimated (Baddeley and Vedel Jensen, 2005a, 2005b, 2005c).

The use of stereological tools such as the nucleator (Howard & Reed, 2005) for the estimation of cell volumes has been carried out in various animal models or human pathology in different organs or tissues, particularly in the field of neuroscience (Chen et al., 2020; Panahi et al., 2017; Real et al., 2020). There are studies on estimating the volume-weighted nuclear volume in prostatic tumor pathology and related to the prognosis and cancer grading (Leze et al., 2014). However, no studies have been found that analyze the changes in the volume occupied by the cytoplasm of cancer cells versus normal epithelial cells using stereology. Although there are recent studies on the variability of tumor cell sizes applying single-cell analysis methodology on liquid biopsies (Lambros et al., 2018; Park et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2000), their findings cannot be extrapolated to what was observed applying conventional histology.

A significant increase in $V_{Ncell}$ was detected in our study in cancer compared with the controls. This increase in cell size in the tumor may
be the origin of the increase in the volume fraction of the acini occupied by the epithelium, already mentioned. Interestingly, the $N_{\text{ep}}$ was significantly decreased in the neoplastic glands. This “dilution” of the cell population along the cancer acinus can be explained by the significant increase in the $V_n$ cell of the tumor cell since an increase in their size would cause them to be more spaced along the glandular tube.

From all of the above, it can be concluded that the dimensional changes described in cancers with Gleason pattern 7 can be compared with the three-dimensional characteristics of prostate cancer described by other studies (Verhoef et al., 2019). The increase in glandular length density and the decrease $V_{\text{lumen}}$ observed correlate well with the patterns of a continuum of interconnecting tubules (Verhoef et al., 2019). The increase in glandular length density would cause them to be more spaced along the glandular tube.

In summary, two findings of this study can be put in relation to a greater aggressiveness of prostate cancer:

1. The increase in the relative length of the acinar tree (indicative of active growth of the glandular component) that results in the folding of the acinar structure within a small reference volume originating a pattern of small, closely packed, uniform glands in essentially circumscribed masses, frequently described in cancers with different Gleason grades (Egevad et al., 2012).

2. The increase in the relative basal surface of the neoplastic acini may be a factor intervening in the spread of the tumor. It could be related to the mode of infiltration of prostate carcinoma that is rather unique, as neoplastic glands mingle with benign glands, rendering the invasion front of this tumor intricate and irregular in comparison with other solid tumors that show a more circumscribed invasion front (Tolkach & Kristiansen, 2018).
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### TABLE 3 Summary of quantitative differences between normal and cancer tissue

| Parameter                                      | Quantitative morphological differences                          |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Volume proportion of acini within the prostate volume ($V_{\text{acini}}$) | No differences between normal and carcinoma tissue             |
| Volume proportion of epithelium within the acinar volume ($V_{\text{ep}}$) | Greater in carcinoma than in normal tissue                      |
| Volume proportion of lumen within the acinar volume ($V_{\text{lumen}}$) | Greater in normal tissue than in carcinoma                      |
| Length of an acinar structure per unit of prostate volume ($L_{\text{acini}}$) | Greater in carcinoma than in normal tissue                      |
| Area of lumen interface per unit of acinar volume ($S_{\text{lumen}}$) | No differences between normal and carcinoma tissue             |
| Area of basal interface per unit of acinar volume ($S_{\text{basal}}$)   | Greater in carcinoma than in normal tissue                      |
| Number of epithelial cells per unit of acinar volume ($N_{\text{ep}}$) | No differences between normal and carcinoma tissue             |
| Number of epithelial cells per unit of length of the acinar structure ($N_{L_{\text{ep}}}$) | Less in carcinoma than in normal tissue                         |
| The ratio between the number of immunoreactive PCNA nuclei and the total number of epithelial nuclei (LiPCNA) | Greater in carcinoma than in normal tissue                      |
| Mean size of cells sampled from an epithelial population ($V_{\text{cell}}$) | Greater in carcinoma than in normal tissue                      |

Note: The quantitative differences between normal tissue (CTR group) and cancer (CA group) that appear in the right column are always significant ($p < 0.05$).
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