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RESISTÊNCIA AO ARRANCAMENTO DO PARAFUSO PEDICULAR DE INSERÇÃO DIRETA

Rômulo Pedroza Pinheiro1, Ariane Zamarioli1, Thibault Chandanson2, Keri George3, Antonio Carlos Shimano1, Helton Luiz Aparecido Defino1

1. Universidade de São Paulo, Faculdade de Medicina de Ribeirão Preto, Departamento de Ortopedia e Anestesiologia, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil.

2. Université de Technologie de Belfort, Paris, France.

3. University of Calgary, Alberta, Canada.

ABSTRACT

Objective: Study the in vitro pullout strength of SpineGuard/Zavation Dynamic Surgical Guidance Z-Direct Screw (DSG Screw), a screw pedicle designed to be inserted using a direct insertion technique. Methods: DSG Screws of 5.5 mm and 6.5 mm were introduced into polyurethane blocks with a density of 10 PCF (0.16 g/cm³). According to the experimental group, screws were inserted without pilot hole, with pilot without tapping, undertapping and line-to-line tapping. Screw pullout tests were performed using a universal test machine after screw insertion into polyurethane blocks. Results: Screws inserted directly into the polyurethane blocks without pilot hole and tapping showed a statistically higher pullout strength. Insertion of the screw without tapping or with undertapping increases the pullout screw strength compared to line-to-line tapping. Conclusion: DSG Screw showed the highest pullout strength after its insertion without pilot hole and tapping.

Level of Evidence V, Expert Opinion.
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INTRODUCTION

The pedicle of lumbar and thoracic spine has been extensively used as implant anchorage in the spinal surgery. The biomechanical advantages of pedicle screw-based system and the clinical usefulness is supported by the reports of high rate of fusion, deformity correction and clinical outcomes.1 The use of pedicle screw is related to two topics that still are a challenge in the field of spinal surgery: accuracy of pedicle screw and exposure of surgeon to radiation.2 This probe has the ability to identify different tissues by measuring electrical conductivity.2-4 This device produces a sound, in which changes in pitch and cadence indicates a change in tissues around the tip of PediGuard® probe. A mid-range pitch and cadence audio signal is produced as the probe is in the cancellous bone. A low cadence pitch and cadence audio signal is performed as the probe approaches the pedicle cortical wall and it is the first indication of a potential pedicle breach.3-5 The ability of Dynamic Surgical Guidance-DSG (PediGuard® probe) to improve pedicle screw accuracy and to reduce radiation exposure has been shown in vitro using human cadaver specimens as well as in clinical trials.6
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A further development of Dynamic Surgical Guidance technique was the combination of Dynamical Surgical Guidance technology and a pedicle screw in just one device to develop a “A Dynamic Surgical Guidance Screw” (DSG Screw). The DSG Screw is a pedicle screw system with a breach anticipation sensor located at the tip of the screw. The device provides a real-time surgical guidance and the ability to insert directly the screw into the pedicle without drilling a pilot hole neither tapping. The screw can be introduced directly into the pedicle and redirected during insertion according to the pitch and cadence of the audio signal. Besides, the DSG Screw insertion into the pedicle without drilling it do not require fluoroscopy for guidance, reducing intra-operative radiation and the operating time.

This study experimentally evaluate the pullout strength of DSG screw using the direct screw insertion technique. We tested the hypothesis that smart screw has higher pullout strength after its insertion directly in the block without pilot hole and tapping.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

One hundred and five polyurethane blocks of 8 cm height, 5 cm width and 5 cm length, with a density of 10 PCF (0.16 g/cm³) (National Ltda.) were used as test bodies to introduce screws and to perform the mechanical pullout tests. SpineGuard/Zavation Dynamic Surgical Guidance Z-Direct Screw (DSG Screw of 5.5 mm and 6.5 mm outer diameter and 40 mm length) were inserted into the blocks according to the experimental group (Figure 1). The experimental groups were formed according to the use of pilot hole and tap diameter (undertapping and line to line). Thread taps 4.5 mm, 5.5 mm and 6.5 mm were used. The 4.5 mm tap was used as undertap for 5.5 mm screws. The 5.5 mm tap was used as undertap for 6.5 mm. All taps have a 2.9 mm pitch and a double lead design.

The screws were inserted into the blocks according to the experimental group. Each experimental group was formed by ten polyurethane blocks. For the 5.5 mm screws there were four experimental groups, and the screws were inserted: 1 – directly into the polyurethane block (without previous pilot hole and tapping), 2 – with a 2mm pilot hole without tapping, 3 – undertapping (2 mm pilot hole and 4.5mm tap), 4 – line to line (2 mm pilot hole and 5.5 tap). For the 6.5 mm screws there were five experimental groups: 1 – directly into the polyurethane block (without previous pilot hole and tapping), 2 – with a 2 mm pilot hole without tapping, 3 – undertapping (2 mm pilot hole and 4.5 mm tap), 4 – undertapping (2 mm pilot hole and 5.5 mm tap), 5 – line to line (2 mm pilot hole and 6.5 tap).

After screw insertion, pullout strength was evaluated using universal test machine (EMIC-DL10000, São José dos Pinhais, PR, Brazil). A rod was attached to the head of the screw and pullout force was applied vertically. This force was applied at a speed of 2.0 mm/min until the screw was pulled out of the polyurethane block.

Statistical methods

Continuous variables were expressed as the means and standard deviations (SD). The results from pullout forces were subjected to statistical analysis of normality using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, in order to determine the behavior of the data. The results obtained in the four groups were compared using three-way analysis of variance, followed by Tukey’s post hoc test. Statistically significant differences were noted when p < 0.05. Statistical analyses were determined using Prism v8.4.3 Graphs were generated using Prism v8.4.3 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA).

RESULTS

Pilot hole and tapping have been previously reported to influence the screw pullout strength. To evaluate the influence of pilot hole preparation and techniques, screws without pilot hole, without tapping, with undertapping and line to line tapping were inserted. The results of the 5.5 mm and 6.5 mm screws pullout strength according to the experimental groups are illustrated in the Figures 2 and 3.

Figure 1. Photo of SpineGuard/Zavation Dynamic Surgical Guidance Z-Direct screw of (A) 6.5 mm and (B) 5.5 mm outer diameter.

Figure 2. Mean maximal pullout strength of 5.5 mm SpineGuard/Zavation screw inserted into polyurethane blocks. The asterisks (*) indicate statistical difference.

Figure 3. Mean maximal pullout strength of 6.5 mm SpineGuard/Zavation screw inserted into polyurethane blocks. The asterisks (*) indicate statistical difference.
The mean pullout strength for 5.5 mm and 6.5 mm screws inserted directly into the blocks without pilot hole or tapping were statistically higher, when compared to the other experimental groups (p < 0.05). As of note, we detected a 21% increase in the pullout strength of the 5.5 mm DSG screw during its direct insertion, when compared to the insertion with the use of pilot hole only. This increase was even higher when the direct insertion was compared to the other experimental groups; 33% increase versus 4.5 mm tapping and 65% versus 5.5 mm tapping (Figure 2). With regards to the 6.5 mm DSG screw, we detected a 15% increase in the pullout strength during its direct insertion, when compared to the insertion with the use of pilot hole only; 27% increase versus 4.5 mm tapping; 40% versus 5.5 mm tapping; and 49% versus 6.5 mm tapping (Figure 3). An increase of screw pullout strength was observed from the experimental groups using line to line tapping to the experimental group, in which the screws were inserted without pilot hole.

DISCUSSION

Our *in vitro* findings support the hypothesis that SpineGuard/ Zavation screw has higher pullout strength after its insertion and tapping directly into the block without pilot hole. Higher pullout strength of DSG screw was recorded after its direct insertion into the polyurethane blocks compared with insertion with pilot hole, undertapping or line-to-line tapping. Since the initial report on the use of pedicle screw for spine fixation, there has been a permanent improvement of this modality of spinal fixation, that is widely used to treat fractures, degenerative disease, tumor, deformities and spinal stability. Pedicle screws continue to be studied to improve its locking mechanism in fixation system components, biomechanical screw performance and screw accuracy. The main biomechanical requirements of pedicle screw are resistance to cantilever loads (loads oriented perpendicular to the long axis of screw as bending strength) and pullout resistance. The bending strength depends on the material and it is proportional to screw core diameter. Pullout resistance of the pedicle screw is influenced by bone mineral density, screw geometry and insertion technique employed by the surgeon. Changes in screw design and optimization of pilot hole have been explored to improve the anchorage of the pedicle screws, as modifications of bone mineral density are not possible to be made acutely. In bone with compromised BMD, augmentation of the screw internal diameter. The highest pull-out strength of the direct screw insertion technique can be explained by a higher amount of bone squeezed at the bone-implant interface contact. Furthermore, in vivo studies may be required to confirm these findings. The limitation of the study related to the used experimental model should be considered. Pullout strength test may not be commonly seen in a clinical setting, but its simplicity and reproducibility allow it to be considered as the most efficient method to compare screw anchorage within the bone. Axial pullout test is easy to perform, reproducible and is accepted as a good predictor of the mechanical performance of the screw. Yet, pedicles screws are subjected to a complex mechanically demanding situation represented by an association of twisting, bending and pullout forces. Most of the time, pedicle screws fail by cyclic loading rather one-time pullout. Screw pullout strength does not represent the only mechanism of screw failure, but it still reflects the magnitude of screw anchorage purchase.

Clinical applications

The DSG screw combines the characteristics that have been desired for pedicle screws, combining great resistance to pullout and improved positioning accuracy. However, only after the use of DSG screw in clinical settings and evaluation of the outcomes, the true benefits of DSG screw could be confirmed. Preliminary, the results of the initial experimental evaluation showed advantages of the DSG screw. This component and its direct screw insertion technique provides better pullout strength. In addition, the DSG screw is a time saving approach compared to the traditional pedicle screw placement because screw insertion can be performed without pilot hole and tapping. The accuracy of the screw positioning is not compromised as all along the insertion as the DSG technology is providing guidance in real time to ensure a safe trajectory within the pedicle. And finally, the DSG screw, guided by the bipolar sensor on the tip of the screw, could also reduce intraoperative radiation. Preliminary reports of clinical use of this component was shown to be very successful (although not published yet).

CONCLUSION

The DSG screw and its direct screw insertion technique shows higher pullout strength in experimental *in vitro* study and it also has the advantage to improve accuracy of pedicle screw insertion with less radiation exposure. The DSG screw has the potential to change the way pedicle screw is inserted, for a faster and more accurate technique with less radiation. However, only after clinical use and evaluation of its cost benefit, its real advantage will be considered.
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