Diagnostic Value of Butanol Threshold Test in COVID-19 Related Olfactory Dysfunction
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Abstract Olfactory and taste dysfunction has been reported as a specific, preliminary symptom in COVID-19, but a few comparative studies with quantitative tests are reported. In this study, we aimed to compare the butanol olfactory threshold values between COVID-19 patients and healthy volunteers. A cross-sectional study was designed. A total of 53 patients were included in the COVID-19 group and the control group. The definitive diagnosis of COVID-19 was made with reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) test. Frequency of odor and taste dysfunction and other head and neck system-specific and other symptoms were recorded. Afterward, olfactory threshold values determined according to Connecticut Chemosensory Clinical Research Center (CCCRC) test principle for study groups. 21 patients included in the COVID-19 group and 32 patients in the control group. Symptom onset time was 7.1 ± 3.1 (min: 3, max: 14) days for COVID-19 patients. The most common symptom in the otolaryngology system was olfactory dysfunction (n = 15, 71.4%). The butanol olfactory threshold value was determined as an average of 4.4 ± 1.9 in the COVID-19 group and 6.4 ± 0.8 in the control group (p < 0.001, 95% CI 2.9–1.0). The sensitivity of the butanol threshold test for COVID-19 related olfactory dysfunction was 80.0% and the specificity was 66.6%. For differential, early and initial, diagnosis of COVID-19, complaint of the smell dysfunctions, and impairment butanol threshold may be a distinctive indicator.
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Introduction

Olfactory dysfunction after an upper respiratory tract infection associated with a common cold or influenza occurs between 11 and 40% [1]. Current studies have demonstrated that more than 20% of the population suffer from olfactory dysfunction [2]. Corona Viruses have been previously shown to cause olfactory dysfunction [3]. Olfactory and taste dysfunction up to a rate of 90% have been reported in the recent literature with the COVID-19 pandemic [4, 5]. Brann et al. [6] demonstrate that subsets of olfactory epithelium co-express the CoV-2 receptor ACE2 and the spike protein protease TMRSS2. Sun and Guan reported the first case of COVID-19 infection with positive findings in CSF and confirmed virus-associated neuroinvasion [7]. Most recently, the loss of smell and taste appears to be the most specific symptom for COVID-19, but there is no reported evidence with objective odor tests compared control group. This study aimed to show COVID-19-associated olfactory dysfunction with the butanol threshold test, which is an objective test and compared it with a healthy control group.
Method

A cross-sectional study was designed. Between March 2020 and April 2020, symptomatic COVID-19 positive patients according to reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) were included in the study at the second referral state hospital. The demographic characteristics of the patients were recorded. The onset of symptoms was determined. Head and neck system-specific and other symptoms were recorded. Only patients aged 18–65 years were included in the study. The odor identification test was not performed due to time constraints and the risk of transmission. Patients with a history of odor dysfunction and nasal surgery, allergic rhinitis, and sinus surgery were excluded from the study. Also, patients diagnosed with diabetes, neurological and psychiatric disorders were eliminated. In addition, healthy volunteers with no risk of COVID-19 transmission, with the same exclusion criteria, and no complaints of smell disorder were included in the control group.

Afterward, Connecticut Chemosensory Clinical Research Center (CCCRC) olfactory threshold test was performed according to the protocol in the literature. To shorten the test time, only the threshold test procedure was applied. For the butanol threshold test, two glass bottles were presented to the subject. One contained water and the other a dilute concentration of butanol. The most concentration of butanol was 4% butanol in deionized water. Each subsequent dilution (from highest concentration to lowest: total nine glass) was a 1:3 dilution with deionized water. Four consecutive correct answers were taken as the threshold. Possible scores ranged from 0 to 9, but all scores 7 and higher were scored as 7 for each test [8]. The results of the butanol threshold test classified as normosmic: 6–7, hyposmic: 2–5, and anosmic: 0–1.

Threshold test values were compared with the Mann–Whitney U test between study groups. SPSS 22.0 program (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistics. Verbal consent was obtained from all patients for the study. The study was carried out in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and subsequent amendments. The institutional review board was approved by the local Provincial Health Directorate for the study (16/04/2020-806.02.02).

Results

The study was carried out in 53 patients (COVID-19: 21, Control group: 32). The mean age was 49.2 ± 13.5 in all patients (min: 20, max: 65). The mean age and gender distribution in the study groups were similar (Table 1). Symptom onset time was 7.1 ± 3.1 (min: 3, max: 14) days before the threshold test for patients. The most common symptom in the otolaryngology system was olfactory dysfunction at the interview (n = 15, 71.4%) and the most common nonspecific symptom was cough (n = 15, 71.4%). In 5 (23.8%) patients, odor dysfunction was not accompanied by taste dysfunction (Table 2). The butanol olfactory threshold test was determined as an average of 4.4 ± 1.9 in the COVID-19 group and an average of 6.4 ± 0.8 in the control group (p < 0.001, 95% CI 2.9–1.0), (Fig. 1), (Table 3).

According to the butanol threshold test, seven (33.3%) patients were normosmic, 12 (57.1%) were hyposmic, and two (9.5%) were anosmic in the COVID-19 group. Three (9.4%) patients determined as hyposmic and all others were normosmic in the control group. The sensitivity of the butanol threshold test for COVID-19 related olfactory dysfunction was 80.0% and the specificity was 66.6%. In addition, the positive predictive value is 85.7% and the negative predictive value was 57.1%. There was a significant correlation between the complaint of odor dysfunction and the threshold values (p < 0.001, r = −0.661).

Discussion

The frequency of post viral odor disorder ranges from 18 to 45% [1, 9, 10]. Sugiura et al. [11] mentioned the frequent association of coronaviruses with post-viral odor dysfunction earlier. During the pandemic, COVID-19 related olfactory dysfunctions reach up to 90% under definitions such as odor loss, smell disorder, odor dysfunctions, hyposmia, anosmia, sudden odor loss, however, objective findings reported are still insufficient. Thus, olfactory dysfunction stands out as a significant symptom in the early diagnosis of COVID-19. Early diagnosis and isolation are essential due to the high transmission rate of the SARS-CoV-2 virus [12]. Wee et al. [13] reported that 22.7% (35/154) of PCR positive patients have an odor and taste dysfunction at admission, and they calculated a high specificity as 98.7% versus lower sensitivity as 22.7%. Lechien et al. [5] reported high rates of olfactory and gustatory dysfunctions as respectively 85.6% and 88.0% and they found that the olfactory dysfunction occurred before the other symptoms in 11.8% of cases. The available information is usually based on self-reported patient history or interviews. In this study, a significant lower olfactory threshold performance was determined as an average of 4.4 ± 1.9 in the COVID-19 patients on average 7.1 ± 3.1 days after symptom onset (p < 0.001, CI 2.9–1.0). In addition, although there was no reported olfactory dysfunction in 2 (9.5%) patients, they detected as hyposmic according to the butanol threshold test. In
accordance with the literature, the rate of olfactory dysfunction in patients was 71.4%. CCCRC olfactory test is cost-effective, simple and practical and it was applicable for the Turkish population and has been proven validity and reliability [14]. The mean butanol threshold score was calculated as 6.36 ± 0.7 (range, 3.00–7.00) in the healthy participants for the Turkish population according to CCCRC olfactory test [14]. In this study, according to butanol threshold test, 71.4% of patients (mean threshold: 4.4 ± 1.9) with COVID-19 had an odor disorder, and it was fairly lower than the normal population average calculated by Veyseller et al. [14]. Also, in this study, the mean threshold values in the control group were detected as 6.4 ± 0.7, and the average coincides with the reference study. In patients reporting olfactory dysfunction, the average of threshold values was 3.7 ± 0.9 and was lower than the control group, all of the COVID-19 patients, and the reported population

| Table 1 | Age and gender distribution of the participants according to the study groups with p-values |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Study groups | Control | COVID-19 | Total | p       |
| Age (m ± SD) | 48.2 | 12.4 | 50.7 | 15.2 | 49.2 | 13.5 | 0.515*   |
| Gender (n, %) | Male | 18 | 56.3 | 12 | 57.1 | 30 | 56.6 | 0.949†  |
|           | Female | 14 | 43.8 | 9 | 42.9 | 23 | 43.4 |

m ± SD, mean ± Standard deviation
*Mann-Whitney U test, †Chi-Squared test

| Table 2 | Distribution of symptoms in COVID-19 patients |
|---------|---------------------------------------------|
|         | n = 21 | %                          |
| Odor dysfunction | 15 | 71.4 |  |
| Taste dysfunction | 10 | 47.6 |  |
| Sore throat | 8 | 38.1 |  |
| Nasal Obstruction | 2 | 9.5 |  |
| Nasal discharge | 4 | 19.0 |  |
| Sneeze | 1 | 4.8 |  |
| Dizziness | 3 | 14.3 |  |
| Headache | 5 | 23.8 |  |
| Fever | 12 | 57.1 |  |
| Cough | 15 | 71.4 |  |
| Dyspnea | 12 | 57.1 |  |
| Sputum | 6 | 28.6 |  |
| Fatigue | 8 | 38.1 |  |

Fig. 1 Boxplot of threshold values by groups
average. However, in the presented study, odor dysfunction was detected in 14 (66.6%) of COVID-19 patients with the odor threshold test. A positive predictive value of 85.7% detected in this study for the butanol olfactory threshold test seems sufficient. Also, 2 (9.5%) patients detected as hyposmic according to the threshold test results with no complaint of odor function (symptom onset: 2 and 4 days). The average incubation period of the COVID-19 after the transmission was detected 3–24 days according to cohort studies [15]. The butanol threshold test is a non-invasive, rapid, and objective method to determine the olfactory function and may recommend instead of the full protocol of the CCCR test in order to reduce the risk of transmission or transmission of the disease. However, according to data obtained from this study and current literature, monitoring of the odor function by patients with high COVID-19 transmission risk seems a satisfactory method for early diagnosis of the disease. Consistent with the findings presented in this study, Moein et al. [16] demonstrated decreased olfactory dysfunction with a quantitative smell test (The University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test) and they supported the smell test may help to identify COVID-19 patients in need of early treatment or quarantine.

Mizumoto et al. [17] reported that estimated asymptomatic proportion is 17.9% (95% CrI: 15.5–20.2%), according to statistical modelling from 3711 people underwent a 2-week quarantine. Similarly, the asymptomatic ratio was been estimated at 30.8% (95% CrI 7.7–53.8%) in an other study [18]. The butanol threshold test offers an objective measurement for olfactory functions. It can be an indicator test method for asymptomatic transmission or the patient had a long incubation period. Because it was reported that the sensitivity of negative PCR results could decrease to a rate of 40% related to sampling time [19]. Therefore, an impaired butanol threshold test may be a suitable indicator for early diagnosis of the patients who may be asymptomatic or whose symptoms have not yet appeared. The butanol threshold test objectively demonstrates odor dysfunction in COVID-19 patients. However, performing a threshold test during the asymptomatic period or when symptoms are denied or unrecognized can be more significant for early diagnosis.

All patients enrolled in this study were symptomatic for COVID-19. If a similar study is conducted with larger samples and study groups including asymptomatic patients, it may give more significant and valid results. In addition, more detailed information can be obtained from a threshold test with an odor identification test.

### Conclusion

Olfactory disorder rates are more common in COVID-19 patients than post-viral upper respiratory tract infections reported in the literature. High rates of initial odor dysfunctions may be a warning and specific symptom for COVID-19 disease and showed in this study objectively. For differential, early and initial, diagnosis of COVID-19, complaint of the smell dysfunctions, and impairment butanol threshold may be a distinctive indicator.
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