Redeployment of odontode gene regulatory network underlies dermal denticle formation and evolution in suckermouth armored catfish
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Odontodes, i.e., teeth and tooth-like structures, consist of a pulp cavity and dentin covered by a mineralized cap. These structures first appeared on the outer surface of vertebrate ancestors and were repeatedly lost and gained across vertebrate clades; yet, the underlying genetic mechanisms and trajectories of this recurrent evolution remain long-standing mysteries. Here, we established suckermouth armored catfish (Ancistrus sp.; Loricariidae), which have reacquired dermal odontodes (dermal denticles) all over most of their body surface, as an experimental model animal amenable to genetic manipulation for studying odontode development. Our histological analysis showed that suckermouth armored catfish develop dermal denticles through the previously defined odontode developmental stages. De novo transcriptomic profiling identified the conserved odontode genetic regulatory network (oGRN) as well as expression of paired like homeodomain 2 (pitx2), previously known as an early regulator of oGRN in teeth but not in other dermal odontodes, in developing dermal denticles. The early onset of pitx2 expression in cranial dermal denticle placodes implies its function as one of the inducing factors of the cranial dermal denticles. By comprehensively identifying the genetic program for dermal odontode development in suckermouth armored catfish, this work illuminates how dermal odontodes might have evolved and diverged in distinct teleost lineages via redeployment of oGRN.

Exoskeletal armor is a prime protective adaptation for thriving in a natural environment, and dermal odontodes are the most common exoskeletal feature found across the vertebrate phylogeny of extinct and extant fish. Dermal odontodes are constructed from various types of dentin sometimes covered by enamel/enameloid and primarily function as protectants and ornaments on the vertebrate body. They form body armor in concert with underlying dermal bony plates in odontode-bearing fish, excepting modern cartilaginous fish. During vertebrate evolution, the first dermal odontodes shielding the body surface appeared in Ordovician (~ 460 million years ago) in pteraspidomorphi, extinct jawless fish group including anaspids or heterostracans. Thereafter, throughout the evolution of jawless and jawed fish, the architecture of odontodes with underlying dermal bony plates has been continuously modified and displays morphological variability. Odontodes may have been recruited into the oral cavity and transformed into teeth in the “outside-in” model during the origin of jawed fish. However, due to gaps in the fossil record, the evolutionary origin of teeth continues to be disputed and revisited.

Extant chondrichthyans (cartilaginous fish), some non-teleost actinopterygians (ray-finned fishes, e.g. gars and bichirs), and some sarcopterygians (lobe-finned fish, e.g. coelacanth) retain dermal odontodes on the body surface in the forms of placoid scales or ganoid scales (Fig. 1). However, dermal odontodes were lost in the early divergence of teleosts (the largest group in actinopterygians) and sarcopterygians; thus, most of them no longer have these structures. Intriguingly, dermal odontodes independently re-emerged in four living teleost groups: Xiphioidae (swordfish), Denticeps (dentine herring), Atherion (pickleface hardyhead), and Loricarioidae (armored catfish). In these fishes, dermal odontodes on the extraoral skin display the conserved odontode organization and components, e.g., a pulp cavity surrounded by a dentin cone but without an enamel.
cap. The exceptional resemblance of dermal odontode architectures among these fishes implies redeployment of the genetic network for odontode formation from existing teeth or resurrection of the genetic program for lost dermal odontodes in each lineage, yet little is known about the genetic basis underlying odontode convergent evolution in teleosts.

The developmental process of odontodes and its core set of regulatory genes were uncovered principally by studying mammalian tooth formation. Tooth development begins with epithelial placode formation; the thickened epithelium with reduced cell proliferation functions as a signaling center, expressing the transcription
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**Figure 1.** Phylogenetic distribution of dermal odontodes in vertebrates. (A) Simplified cladogram of the distribution of dermal odontode in vertebrates evolution\(^3,4,9,28^\). Asterisk indicates the extinct lineages. Dermal odontodes (black) ornament whole body surface of some extinct jawless (Pteraspidomorphi) and jawed fish (placodermi), and chondrichthysans. The stem-group of actinopterygi and sarcopterygi possessed odontode-like structure in their scales, called ganoine scales and cosmoid scales, respectively. Owing to the stem-group teleostei lost the dermal odontodes, almost no catfish species (Siluriformes) possess the dermal odontodes. While Loricarioidei show regains of dermal odontodes in their evolutionary history. Bone staining pictures and HE-stained transverse sections show the formation of dermal bones in the representative Siluroidei (*Ictalurus punctatus*, TL: 5 cm, bottom, \(n = 2\)) and Loricarioidei (*Ancistrus sp.*, TL: 6 cm, top, \(n = 2\)). Black and gray boxes indicate gain and loss of dermal odontodes, respectively. CD cranial denticle, DBP dermal bony plate, SB skull bone.
Recent comparative studies established histological and genetic links between teeth and various dermal odontodes. For example, placoid scales, the dermal odontodes of cartilaginous fish, form through the strictly conserved bud, cap, and eruption stages. Also, *shh*, *wnt*, *bmp*, *fgf* and other genes indispensable for tooth development are expressed in a comparable manner during both tooth and placoid scale formation. These architectural and molecular correspondences between tooth and dermal odontode development supports the classical hypothesis that genetic cascades were co-opted from dermal odontodes to teeth (or vice versa). However, due to the lack of experimental model animals amenable to genetic manipulation, there is little direct experimental evidence for this hypothesis and, more broadly, for how odontodes are recruited into novel tissues.

In this study, we established the suckermouth armored catfish (*Ancistrus sp*.; Loricariidae) as an experimental model animal to investigate the evolutionary and developmental mechanisms of dermal odontodes diversification. The last common ancestor of catfish is thought to have lost all body scales, but some lineages in this group “Loricarioidea” reacquired dermal odontodes, i.e., denticles, with/without underlying dermal bony plates. These denticles in catfishes display the rigorously conserved odontode characteristics albeit lacking surface enamel. The distribution of dermal denticles along the body in these catfish lineages is evolutionarily diverse, occurring on the head, trunk, tail, and peduncle. These distinct lineages with their morphologically-diverse gains of dermal denticles are prominent model systems for studying the genetic mechanisms of dermal odontode evolution. Furthermore, the genomic sequences of three catfish species (*Ancistrus sp*, *Platydoras armatulus*, *Platypoecilus platypomus*) with/without denticles were recently assembled, establishing the catfish order as a genetically approachable clade. This combination of unique, yet anatomically conserved, odontode development and available genomic resources make the catfish order ideal for identifying the genetic mechanisms of dermal odontode evolution.

In this study, we established the suckermouth armored catfish (*Ancistrus sp*.; Loricariidae) as an experimental model animal to investigate the evolutionary and developmental mechanisms of dermal odontodes. Our historical studies revealed that cranial dermal denticles continuously arise and develop from embryonic stages to, at least, the early adult stage via the previously defined development stages of bud, cap, bell, and eruption. These denticles in catfishes display the strictly conserved bud, cap, bell, and eruption stages. Also, *shh*, *wnt*, *bmp*, *fgf* and other genes indispensable for tooth development are expressed in a comparable manner during both tooth and placoid scale formation. These architectural and molecular correspondences between tooth and dermal odontode development supports the classical hypothesis that genetic cascades were co-opted from dermal odontodes to teeth (or vice versa). However, due to the lack of experimental model animals amenable to genetic manipulation, there is little direct experimental evidence for this hypothesis and, more broadly, for how odontodes are recruited into novel tissues.

### Results

#### Cranial dermal denticle development in *Ancistrus sp*.

Suckermouth armored catfish are unique among catfish in that they have evolved dermal denticles over most of their bodies except for the abdomen. To examine the process of dermal denticle development, we performed mineralization staining and histological analysis of developing dermal denticles in *Ancistrus sp.*, commonly called the bristlenose pleco and known for its relatively small size and ease of breeding. Cranial dermal denticles were the first denticles to appear and formed on the cranial skin at 96 h post fertilization (hpf) (Fig. 2A) as revealed by alkaline phosphatase (ALP) staining, a marker of odontoblast differentiation. We observed eight symmetrically arranged dermal denticles on both the left and right sides of the cranium (Fig. 2A). These cranial denticles were not stained by alizarin red, reflecting their pre-mineralized state (Supplementary Fig. S2A). At this stage, based on hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining, the cranial dermal denticles are anchored to underlying cartilages via attachment-fiber like structures, as previously described for the placoid scales of cartilaginous fish and shed approximately by 10–14 days post fertilization (dpf) (the early larva stage), thus we term them the primary cranial dermal denticles (Fig. 2C). After 14 dpf when the larvae have consumed almost all yolk contents and begun feeding, secondary cranial dermal denticles appear at new positions on the cranial skin surface (Supplementary Fig. S2B). These denticles as well as the underlying skull roof displayed mineralization based on Alcian blue and Alizarin red staining (Fig. 2D). As the juveniles grew, the number of mineralized secondary cranial dermal denticles increased along with the expansion of underlying dermal bony plates to cooperatively construct the head armor—the most distinctive feature of armored catfish (Fig. 2D, Supplementary Figs. S1, S2B, C). Also, the secondary cranial dermal denticles continuously appeared and matured from ∼14 dpf (the larval stage) to, at least, 360–540 dpf (the early adult stage, 6–7 cm total length (TL)). In summary, *Ancistrus sp.* develops deciduous primary cranial dermal denticles and consecutive secondary cranial dermal denticles at different developmental time points, and only the latter mineralize and form the head armor.

### The developmental stages of cranial dermal denticles in suckermouth armored catfish.

To characterize the developmental stages of the primary and secondary cranial denticles, we examined the histology of dermal denticles at 60 hpf (primary cranial dermal denticles at the embryonic stage), 120 dpf (secondary cranial dermal denticles at the juvenile stage), and 360–540 dpf (secondary cranial dermal denticles at the early adult stage). In adult fish, secondary cranial dermal denticles at the late morphogenesis (LM) stage exhibit a thickened basal layer of the epithelium just beginning to invaginate towards the surface epithelium (Fig. 2E). Subsequently, at the early differentiation stage (ED), the epithelium becomes more folded and encloses the denticles.
Figure 2. Cranial dermal denticles develop through conserved odontode developmental stages. (A) ALP staining shows developing primary cranial dermal denticles. Eight cranial dermal denticles form on both the left and right sides. Scale bars: 0.5 mm. (B) Schematic illustration of the position of primary cranial dermal denticles. (C) HE-stained transverse section of Ancistrus sp. embryo at 8 dpf. Primary cranial dermal denticles form in the cranial epithelium and mesenchyme, being anchored to underlying cartilages via the attachment fiber-like structures. Scale bars: 0.1 mm. The right panel shows higher magnification of the dotted box area in the left panel. Scale bars: 0.5 mm. (D) Schematic illustration of primary cranial dermal dentine positions (left) and their structure (right). (E) Bone and cartilage staining of a juvenile (TL: 3.5 cm) and adult fish (TL: 6 cm). The number of cranial dermal denticles increased with underlying dermal plate expansion from the juvenile to the adult stage. Each right panel shows higher magnification of the dotted box area in the left panels. Scale bars: 1 mm. (F) Secondary cranial dermal denticle development through the bud, cap, bell, and eruption stages in adult fish (TL: 6 cm). White dotted lines indicate the boundary between the surface epithelium and cranial dermal denticle germs. Black dotted line indicates the attachment bone. Scale bars: 100 µm. (G) Schematic illustration of the developmental stages of secondary cranial dermal denticles. At least two biological replicates were investigated in each experiment. LM late morphogenesis, ED early differentiation, LD late differentiation.
De novo transcriptomic profiling of teeth and cranial and trunk denticles in Ancistrus sp.  
Because the developmental stages of Ancistrus sp. cranial denticles are shared with those of teeth and placoid scales of other vertebrates, we decided to test whether the denticles also express the key genes of the odontogen gene regulatory network (oGRN) in these fish7. To comprehensively identify the genes that function in Ancistrus sp. odontode development, we conducted comparative de novo RNA sequencing assembly (de novo RNA-seq) of cranial denticles (juveniles and adult), trunk denticles on scutes (adult), and teeth (adult). Because the odontodes are small for manual dissection, we recovered total RNA from cranial skin containing denticles at 120 dpf (juveniles, approximately TL 2–3 cm, “CD”) and at ~ 360 dpf (sexually matured adult, approximately TL 5–6 cm, “CD”) as well as adult scutes containing trunk dermal denticles (“Scute”), and adult oral epithelial tissues with teeth (“Mouth”) (Supplementary Fig. S3A).

After de novo assembly of short reads from high-throughput sequencing, the contigs were further processed to longer fragments, i.e., unigenes, which were functionally annotated by the NCBI Nr database. First, we investigated the species distribution of homologues for the annotated unigenes and found that 39.8% of the unigenes were homologues of channel catfish genes (Ictalurus punctatus) and 17.3% were homologues of red piranha genes (Pygocentrus nattereri) (Supplementary Fig. S3B), suggesting that the genes obtained from Ancistrus sp. de novo RNA-seq are more similar to those of the catfish family than to those of any other fish species. All assembled unigenes from the developing dermal odontodes of Ancistrus sp. were categorized into three Gene ontology (GO) groups: biological process (BP), cellular component (CC), and molecular function (MF) (Supplementary Fig. S3C). In the BP category, 7739 unigenes were assigned to “developmental process” (Supplementary Fig. S3C), confirming that de novo RNA-seq successfully enriched for genes involved in the developmental processes of body structures.

Hierarchical clustering of the top 25,000 differentially expressed unigenes across all samples indicated that the gene expression profile of cranial dermal denticles (CD) and (CD) is more similar to that of trunk dermal denticles (Scute) than to that of teeth (Mouth) (Fig. 3A). To explore the similarity of functional gene categories among the CD, CDj, Scute, and Mout samples, we then carried out GO enrichment analysis, comparing CDj to each adult tissue. The analysis showed that GO:0048,856 “the anatomical structure development”, which includes the gene set associated with odontogenesis, was enriched in all adult odontode tissue (Fig. 3B, Supplementary Fig. S3D, asterisks).

Previous studies indicated that the oGRN is functional in both tooth and dural denticle development7. To test whether the oGRN underlies odontode formation in Ancistrus sp., we examined the expression level of 27 genes previously reported as the central components of the oGRN in early dermal odontode and tooth formation (Fig. 3C). Hierarchical clustering of all samples with only the representative oGRN genes grouped the CD, CD, and Scute samples together with Mouth as an outgroup (Fig. 3A,C). As we anticipated, oGRN genes were highly enriched in CDj and CD samples, including mss1b, mss2a/b, dlx2a/b, bmp4, and edar. Notably, wnt7b, wnt10a, ctnnb1, and left1, the components of the Wnt/b-catenin signaling pathway indispensable for odontode mineralization, were significantly enriched in adult odontodes (CD, Scute, and Mouth samples) (Fig. 3C)12. Intriguingly, some samples had distinct expression levels of certain oGRN genes, i.e., bmp4 and dlx2a were highly enriched in CDj, CD, and Mouth but not in Scute. Also, the Mouth sample highly expressed the early odontogenesis regulators (e.g., shha and pits2) and the dental epithelial stem cell marker (sox2), a gene indicative of polyphodonty (teeth replacement throughout life)44. Thus, in suckermouth armored catfish, the conserved oGRN seems to function in early cranial and trunk dermal denticle development as it does during tooth and dermal odontode development in other vertebrates.

Next, to investigate the similarity of the maturation process of teeth and dental odontodes, we created volcano plots and compared gene expression levels in the CDj, CD, Scute, and Mout samples (Fig. 3D, Supplementary Fig. S3E). The expression of sparc-like 1 (sparcl1) and secreted phosphoprotein 1 (spp1), which are indispensable for mineralization of dentin and bones53–55, were higher in CDj than in CD, Scute, and Mouth (Fig. 3D, Supplementary Fig. S3E). In contrast, runx2a (run-related transcription factor2a), b-catenin (ctnnb1), edar, and notch2, factors indispensable for odontoblast differentiation33,35–44, were enriched in CD, Scute, and Mouth. Moreover, dentin sialophosphoprotein (dssp), which is abundant in the dentin extracellular matrix47,48, was also more highly expressed in these adult samples than in CD. The function of these genes in dentin mineralization and maturation require more comprehensive investigation. Still, our data implies that Sparcl1 and Spp1 contribute to the early mineralization process of dentin, and DSPP, previously reported to be regulated by the Wnt-Runx2 pathway49,50, controls the late phase of odontoblast differentiation and mineralization. Overall, there is conservation between the genetic programs involved in the maturation and mineralization of dermal denticles in suckermouth armored catfish and those programs regulating tooth and dermal odontode development in other vertebrates55–44.
Figure 3. Comprehensive identification of the genes involved in dermal denticle formation of Ancistrus sp. Differential gene expression analysis was performed using de novo RNA-seq data from juvenile cranial dermal denticles (CDj, n = 3), adult cranial dermal denticles (CD, n = 3), Teeth (Mouth, n = 3), and scutes (Scute, n = 3). (A) The heatmap of hierarchical clustering of top 25,000 differentially expressed unigenes. Note that all dermal odontode samples (CDj, CD, and Scute) were clustered together with Mouth as an outgroup. (B) GO enrichment analysis of the upregulated genes in CD compared to CDj. The vertical axis is the GO terms, and the horizontal axis is the enrichment of each term. The size of each point represents the number of upregulated genes, and the color of the points represents the adjusted p-value. (C) The heatmap produced by hierarchical clustering of the expression levels of 27 selected genes involved in oGRN. The color bars indicate the relative expression levels in FPKM. (D) The volcano plot displays the pattern of gene expression values for CD relative to CDj. Significantly enriched genes in CDj are highlighted in blue (log2foldchange < -0.6 and adjusted p-value < 0.01) and enriched genes in CD are in red (log2foldchange > 0.6 and adjusted p-value < 0.01). The gene symbols show differentially expressed genes associated with oGRN and odontogenesis. As different unigenes were annotated by the same gene names or even the homologous genes in different species, the multiple same gene names were shown in the plot.
Developing cranial dermal denticles of *Ancistrus* sp. showed evolutionarily conserved expression patterns of oGRN and dentinogenesis genes. We next performed in situ hybridization (ISH) with RNA probes complementary to selected oGRN genes (*pitx2, bmp4, dlx2a, fgf3, shha, wnt10a, b-catenin, and pax9*). All tested genes were expressed in developing cranial dermal denticles at 96 hpf (primary denticles at the embryonic stage) and at 120 dpf (secondary denticles at the juvenile stage) (Fig. 4A, Supplementary Figs. S4B, S5) as well as in embryonic teeth and dermal denticles on pectoral fin spines (Supplementary Fig. S4). In primary cranial dermal denticles at the eruption stage, the expression of *pitx2* and *shha* was restricted to the epithelium surrounding dermal germs (Fig. 4A, B). Transcripts for *dlx2a, b-catenin, wnt10a, bmp4, and fgf3* were detected in both the epithelium and mesenchyme (Fig. 4A, B). Transcripts for *pax9* and *fgf3* were detected in both the epithelium and mesenchyme (Fig. 4A, B). The expression domains of *fgf3* and *pax9* expression domain were shown to be associated with the direction of denticle eruption in shark teeth, and the eruption of cranial denticles may also be regulated by these molecules.45
These results suggested that, despite minor variants, the oGRN genes controlling dermal odontode development in suckermouth armored catfish exhibit patterns comparable to those previously reported for other vertebrate odontodes.

Our RNA-seq data discerned dentinogenesis-related transcripts, such as dspp, spp1, and sparcl1, in juvenile and adult cranial denticle samples (Fig. 3D). We thus validated the spatial distribution of these transcripts using 96 hpf embryos byISH and revealed that dspp, spp1, and sparcl1 were expressed in cranial dental denticles, teeth, and pectoral fin spines (Supplementary Fig. S6). Taken together, oGRN and dentinogenesis-related gene expression contributes to the odontoblast differentiation and dentin mineralization throughout the developmental stages.

**Pitx2 is an early marker of dermal denticle development in Ancistrus sp.** Our de novo RNA-seq and ISH identified pitx2, dlx2a, and bmp4 in the epithelium of dermal germs at the eruption stages in Ancistrus sp. (Figs. 3, 4, Supplementary Figs. S4, S5). Expression of these genes in the dental placode contribute to the initiation of tooth germ development by inducing the epithelial thickening. Thus, we wondered whether tooth developmental program had been redeployed into the cranial skin in the course of cranial dermal denticle evolution in suckermouth armored catfish. To test this hypothesis, we dissected the induction mechanisms of the epithelial placode for cranial dermal denticles by examining the expression patterns of pitx2, dlx2a, bmp4, pitx9, and shha, which are early regulators of tooth epithelial placodes. At 60 hpf, among these genes, only pitx2 expression was detected in the developing primary cranial dermal denticles (Fig. 5A and Supplementary Fig. S7A, B) and by 72 hpf, expression of dlx2a and bmp4 were also present (Supplementary Fig. S7C). From 48 to 72 hpf, we could not detect the denticle expression of other oGRN genes. e.g., pitx9 or shha, in denticles. These genes are predicted to be expressed slightly later than pitx2 in mammalian tooth development (Supplementary Fig. S7C). We observed a similar stepwise expression pattern of pitx2, dlx2a, and bmp4 in developing teeth and dermal denticles on pectoral fin spines (Supplementary Fig. S7A–C). Intriguingly, to date, pitx2 expression has not been detected during dental odontode development except for teeth. To further characterize the placode formation during cranial dermal denticle development, we performed immunostaining for proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA). A reduction in this stain marks the initial placode formation.

In Ancistrus sp. embryos, the epithelium is segregated into two layers at 60 hpf as seen in teeth development of other jawed vertebrates; superficial epidermis layer and thickened basal layer, which forms the cranial dermal denticle placode. PCNA immunostaining revealed reduced cell proliferation in the thickened basal layer relative to the superficial epidermis and the dermis that underlies the basal layer (Fig. 5B). Cryosectioning of embryos used for pitx2 ISH embryos revealed that pitx2 was also enriched in the thickened basal epithelial layer (Fig. 5B). These results demonstrated that the anatomically defined placodes with the localized pitx2 expression underlie cranial dermal denticle formation in Ancistrus sp. (Fig. 5C).

**Pitx2 plays an essential role in the formation of cranial dermal denticle placodes.** Based on our ISH results, pitx2 is the earliest gene to be expressed in the epithelium of Ancistrus sp. dermal denticles. Pitx2 is an inducer of the mammalian tooth epithelial placode, thus, could also establish placode formation by inducing oGRN gene expression and underly the head armor evolution in Ancistrus sp. Given that pitx2 is required for the development of teeth, eyes, pituitary glands, muscles, lung, and heart in vertebrates, whole-body genetic deletion of pitx2 could result in embryonic lethality before dermal denticles develop. Although mosaic knockout of pitx2 gene with Cas9 in F0 fish is a great approach to reveal Pitx2 function in cranial dermal denticle formation, a complete elimination of pitx2 in a certain number of cells in essential organs may lead to embryonic lethality before cranial denticles develop. To circumvent this possibility, we targeted the pitx2 mRNA using CRISPR-RfxCas13d, which was recently reported as a novel gene knockdown tool for targeting maternal and zygotic mRNAs. CRISPR-RfxCas13d system has been shown to be more specific to target mRNA compared with conventional knockdown systems (e.g., shRNA or morpholino). We injected RfxCas13d protein with/without three guide RNAs complementary to different sites of pitx2 mRNA (gRNA#1–3) into one- or two-cell stage embryos (Supplementary Fig. 8A). By 72 hpf, the mRNA level of pitx2 and its transcriptional target dlx2a was knockeddown embryos was 50% of that of control embryos as confirmed by real-time PCR (Supplementary Fig. 8B). Knockdown embryos exhibited smaller and thinner placodes than control embryos (Supplementary Fig. 8C), and, based on ISH, these thinned placodes showed a marked low detection of pitx2 transcripts (Supplementary Fig. 8C). At 96 hpf, both the staining intensity of the odontoblast differentiation marker ALP and the number of denticles were decreased in knockdown embryos (Supplementary Fig. 8D). Although all cranial dermal denticles in pitx2-KD embryos emerged at the same timing as control embryos, some of them showed severe defects compared to control specimens (Fig. 5A, Table S2). These partial defects in a single embryo suggested that pitx2-KD specifically affected the cranial dermal denticle development, not the entire cranial tissues. Finally, to test whether pitx2 triggers oGRN gene expression, we investigated the transcript levels of dlx2a, bmp4, and dspp and found that pitx2 knockdown embryos exhibited reduced expression of these genes in cranial dermal denticles, teeth, and denticles on pectoral fin spines (Supplementary Fig. S8 and S9). These results suggest that pitx2 may induce oGRN gene expression and is responsible for forming the placode of dermal odontodes in suckermouth armored catfish.

**Discussion** We comprehensively examined the developmental process of cranial dermal denticles in suckermouth armored catfish. In this system, cranial dermal denticles develop through the histological stages of EM (placode formation), LM (bud), ED (cap), LD (bell), and eruption as previously defined in tooth and placoid scale formation (Fig. 6A). In particular, the processes of the epithelial folding, dentin differentiation, and dentin eruption

---

**Scientific Reports** | (2022) 12:6172 | https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-10222-y

---
closely resemble events previously shown to occur during tooth development (Figs. 2, 6). These findings accord with those of a concurrent investigation of a different species of suckermouth armored catfish Ancistrus triradiatus (Loricariidae; Siluriformes)\(^5\), and the histological similarities of dermal denticle and tooth formation in both these species implies that dermal denticles in suckermouth armored catfish evolved via redeployment of a preexisting developmental program for teeth in the common ancestor of the catfish family.

The above histological observations are further validated by the genetic studies. Our comparative de novo RNA-seq analysis identified a conserved oGRN for odontode development in suckermouth armored catfish Ancistrus triradiatus (Loricariidae; Siluriformes)\(^5\), and the histological similarities of dermal denticle and tooth formation in both these species implies that dermal denticles in suckermouth armored catfish evolved via redeployment of a preexisting developmental program for teeth in the common ancestor of the catfish family.

The above histological observations are further validated by the genetic studies. Our comparative de novo RNA-seq analysis identified a conserved oGRN for odontode development in suckermouth armored catfish Ancistrus triradiatus (Loricariidae; Siluriformes)\(^5\), and the histological similarities of dermal denticle and tooth formation in both these species implies that dermal denticles in suckermouth armored catfish evolved via redeployment of a preexisting developmental program for teeth in the common ancestor of the catfish family.
Figure 6. The developmental process of cranial dermal denticles in Ancistrus sp. (A) Cranial dermal denticle morphogenesis progresses through the evolutionarily conserved odontode developmental stages. First, the cranial epithelium thickens to form the epithelial placode. The placode invaginates and forms a bud-shaped epithelial layer (EM early morphogenesis). Subsequently, condensed mesenchymal cells invade the lumen of the denticle germ, leading to the formation of denticle papilla (LM late morphogenesis). The denticle germ forms circular epithelial tissue enclosing the denticle papilla (ED early differentiation). The denticle papilla then differentiates into dentin inside the denticle germ, forming a bell-shaped structure (LD late differentiation). At this stage, underlying dermal bony plates differentiate underneath the dental germ. LM, ED, and LD may correspond to the bud, cap, and bell stage of tooth development, respectively. Dentin finally erupts from the epithelium, being anchored to dermal bony plates (eruption stage). The development of primary and secondary cranial dermal denticles is regulated by evolutionarily conserved oGRN. Among oGRN, pitx2 exhibits the earliest expression in the placode and induces the development of cranial dermal denticles. Pitx2 and shha are expressed in the epithelial layer surrounding the dentin cone. Dlx2a, Wnt10a, b-catenin, and bmp4 are broadly expressed in both the epithelium and underlying mesenchyme. Pax9 and fgf3 transcripts were detected in the epithelium and mesenchyme laterally to the dentin cone. (B) The formation of cranial dermal denticles in the course of Ancistrus sp. development. From the embryonic to the early larva stage (14 dpf), primary cranial dermal denticles form in the restricted positions of the cranial epidermis. After primary cranial dermal denticles shed and disappear, secondary cranial dermal denticles begin to form. The number of secondary cranial dermal denticles increases along with the expansion of underlying dermal bony plates, constructing the head armor.
Typically, dermal odontodes form anchored to underlying dermal bony plates, excepting the placoid scales of cartilaginous fish which form without underlying bony supports. Historical hypotheses have proposed that, during dermal odontode development, an underlying dermal bony plate fused with adjacent plates and evolved into large osteous plates. This could be the evolutionary origin of armoskeletons, such as scales or scutes. However, these hypotheses have never been functionally tested due to the lack of developmentally amenable model organisms. In Ancistrus sp., we found that primary cranial dermal denticles form anchored to underlying cartilages and secondary cranial denticles develop with underlying dermal bony plates (Fig. 2, Supplementary Figs. S1, S2). Intriguingly, the developmental topology of mammalian teeth and the alveolar bone called the tooth–socket is analogous to that of dermal denticles and their underlying support. During tooth development, the dental lamina, which expresses sema3f and fgf8, induces a mesenchymal condensation that gives rise to the alveolar bone. Dermal denticles could induce dermal bony plate formation in catfish in an analogous manner considering the similarity of gene expression profiles in tooth and denticle germs (Fig. 3C). Further research is required to understand the developmental relationship between dermal denticles and their underlying dermal bony plates, but dermal denticles could very well be a main driver in regulating dermal bony plate development and divergence of the head armor. However, some Loracarioidea catfishes possess dermal bony plates without dermal denticles, thus, the developmental relationship of dermal denticles and basal bony plates could be a derived characteristic gained in the course of Loricariidae fish evolution.

Our de novo RNA-Seq and subsequent ISH also identified genes likely necessary for maturation and mineralization of dermal denticles. The expression levels of sparcl1 and spp1 are higher in juvenile than in adult dermal denticles. Sparc1 and spp1 belong to the secretary calcium binding phosphoprotein (SCPP) family, and spp1 is thought to have originated from the common ancestral gene sparcl1 by tandem gene duplication. Sparc1, spp1, and other genes in the SCPP family exhibit diverse expression patterns in dentin odontoblasts and bone osteoblasts and promote mineralization in various vertebrates. For instance, in vertebrates, SPARC and the sparcl1-orthologue SPARC (also called osteonectin) are exclusively expressed in odontoblasts. However, while fish spp1 is highly expressed in both odontoblasts and osteoblasts, mammalian SPP1 is more highly expressed in immature osteoblasts than in odontoblasts. It remains unclear how sparcl1 and spp1 function during odontogenesis but they likely contribute to the early mineralization of cranial dermal denticles in suckermouth armored catfish.

In contrast to sparcl1 and spp1, b-catenin and runx2 are more highly expressed in adult odontodes samples (adult dental denticles, teeth, and scutes) than in juvenile samples (Fig. 3C, D, Supplementary Fig. S3E). The Wnt/b-catenin signaling pathway plays a central role in mouse tooth morphogenesis. In that system, Wnt signaling regulates odontoblast development by stimulating transcription of runx2, a gene essential for inducing odontoblast differentiation, via direct binding of b-catenin to the runx2 promoter together with the Tcf1 transcription factor and induces its expression. Runx2, in turn, binds to the promoter region of dpp, another SCPP family member and indispensable for mineralization, and upregulates its transcription. Our RNA-seq data indicates that dpp transcripts are enriched in adult cranial dermal denticles (Fig. 3D, Supplementary Fig. S3E) along with b-catenin and runx2. Thus, a cascade identical or similar to the Wnt/b-catenin–Runx2–DSPP pathway identified in mice likely promotes odontoblast maturation in cranial dermal denticles of suckermouth armored catfish. Intriguingly, we detected sparcl1 and spp1 but not dpp transcripts in pectoral fin spines at embryonic stages (Supplementary Fig. 6). This implies the relatively late maturation of dermal denticles in pectoral fin spines, compared to teeth and cranial dermal denticles. Overall, our comparative transcriptomic analysis is the first systematic and comprehensive identification of genes involved in the early and late maturation process of dermal odontodes.

Pitx2 expressed in the epithelial placode initiates tooth germ formation in mammals, but pitx2 expression has not been detected in developing dermal denticles of cartilaginous fish. Consistent with the concurrent investigation of the oGRN in another species of suckermouth armored catfish, our comparative de novo RNA-seq and histological analysis of Ancistrus sp. found that pitx2 was the earliest oGRN gene to be expressed in developing cranial and trunk denticles (Figs. 4, 5, Supplementary Fig. S7D). Moreover, the knockdown of pitx2 in suckermouth armored catfish resulted in the cranial dermal denticle defects with decreased expression of dll2a and bmp4 (Supplementary Figs. S8 and S9). These results are consistent with prior studies which showed that reciprocal interactions between Pitx2, Dlx2, and Bmp4 are required to initiate mammalian tooth germ formation. Intriguingly, our molecular phylogenetic analysis indicated that shark Pitx1 sequence is relatively close to Pitx2 compared with that of other vertebrate species (Supplementary Fig. S10). Given that pitx1 is expressed in developing teeth and scales, Pitx1 may compensate the function of Pitx2 in dental denticle development in cartilaginous fish. Overall, we may propose that Pitx2 initiates induction of the epithelial placode by triggering oGRN gene expression, including dll2a and bmp4, in suckermouth armored catfish (Supplementary Fig. S8).

A recent phylogenetic study suggested that Loracarioidea, a catfish suborder including Loracariidae (suckermouth armored catfish) and Callichthyidae (Corydoras), independently re-emerged dermal denticles on head and trunk surfaces. However, the underlying genetic mechanisms of this evolution remain elusive. Based on the early expression of pitx2 and its ability to induce placodes in dermal denticles, we hypothesize that pitx2 expression might have been redeployed from teeth to dermal denticles in suckermouth armored catfish. Coincidently, the cis-regulatory elements of pitx1, another member of paired-like homeodomain family, involved in the convergent evolution of fish pelvic fins are under active investigation. It was found that recurrent mutations within the fragile Pel element, a specific enhancer of pitx1, underlie convergent loss of pelvic fins in sticklebacks. It is possible that specific regulatory elements of pitx2 are more susceptible to mutation than regulatory elements of other oGRN genes and this susceptibility contributed to the redeployment of the tooth developmental program into dermal denticles in catfish. Further analysis of dermal denticle evolution in suckermouth armored catfish.
will help reveal the genetic basis of convergent evolution of dermal odontodes and, possibly, provide us clues to decipher a broader enigma—the evolutionary origins of teeth and dermal odontodes in vertebrate ancestry.

Materials and methods
Fish husbandry. Channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*) were commercially purchased from a local fish farm (Live Aquaponics, Florida, USA). Suckermouth armored catfish (*Ancistrus sp.*) were commercially purchased from a local aquarium store and were bred in aquarium tanks. As suckermouth armored catfish has been interbred in commercial aquarium stores, an official identification of species name is not possible. Water was replaced twice a week with dechlorinated water prepared from tap water via chlorine remover treatment (API, tap water conditioner). The water was maintained at 25 °C and pH 6.5. After 14 dpf, juveniles and adult fish were fed a boiled vegetables and algae wafer (Tetra) diet. Each tank contained one male and up to two female fish allowing natural breeding. To promote mating, fish caves, which help male fish maintain territories, were installed into the tanks. Males showed typical mating behavior; they repeatedly invited female fish to enter the caves for 3 to 6 h. After spawning, eggs were transferred into quarantined mesh cages installed in the main tanks, and fries were reared there until 60 dpf. For the following experiments, embryos, juveniles, and adult fish were euthanized with 0.016% MS-222 and fixed as indicated. All animal experiments comply with all relevant ethical regulations and guideline for animal study, were approved by the animal committees of Rutgers University (protocol no. 201702646) and Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). All animal experiments were performed in accordance with ARRIVE guidelines (https://arriveguidelines.org/).

De novo RNA sequencing. Mouth, cranial skin, and scute samples were manually dissected from *Ancistrus sp.* juvenile and adult specimens, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and ground into a fine powder by a mortar and pestle. Total RNA was isolated from each powdered sample using the RNeasy Plus Universal Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. RNA quality assessment, library construction, high-throughput sequencing, and de novo transcriptome assembly were conducted by Novogene Co., Ltd (Tianjin, China). Briefly, RNA purity and integrity were measured by an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. Sequencing libraries were constructed with a TrueSeq stranded mRNA sample preparation Kit (Illumina), and high-throughput sequencing was carried out to generate paired-end 150 bp reads using the NovaSeq 6000 Reagent Kit (Illumina). A total of 1,254,277,785 raw reads were obtained from twelve libraries derived from three replicates each of juvenile cranial dermal denticle (CDj), adult cranial dermal denticle (CD), adult scutes (Scute), and adult teeth (Mouth) samples. The raw reads were filtered to remove adapters, reads containing more than 10% equivocal bases, and reads with a Q-score less than 20 (Quality score ≤ 20). The clean reads were then de novo assembled by Trinity software and 717,406,047 unigenes were identified. Of these unigenes, 488,726 were functionally annotated by aligning them to the Nr (NCBI non-redundant protein sequences, E-value < 1e-5), Nt (NCBI nucleotide sequences, E-value < 1e-5), Pfam (E-value < 0.01), KOG/COG (Eukaryotic Orthologous Groups/Cluster of Orthologous Groups of proteins, E-value < 1e-5), Swiss-Prot (E-value < 1e-5), KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genome, E-value < 1e-5), and GO (Gene Ontology, E-value < 1e-6) databases. Gene functional classification was performed with BLAST2GO based on the GO annotations. Coding sequences (CDS) for unigenes were predicted with BLAST and ESTScan (3.0.3). To calculate the expression level, the clean reads were mapped against the de novo assembled transcriptome using Bowtie. The mapping results of Bowtie were analyzed by RSEM, and the read count and fragments per kilobase of transcript sequence per million mapped reads (FPKM) value were obtained for each sample. The count data was then used as the input for Bioconductor package (3.12) edgeR and DEseq2 to test for differential gene expression. GO enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) was performed using topGO. Raw reads data is available in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database: PRJNA731927.

Histological analysis. Bones and cartilage in *Ancistrus sp.* specimens were stained by Alcian blue 8GX (Sigma) and Alizarin red S (Sigma) as previously described. Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) staining was performed with BM-purple (Roche) as previously described. Paraffin sectioning was performed by the Research Pathology Services of Rutgers University. Briefly, juveniles and adult fish were fixed by 4% PFA at 4 °C for one to two weeks and then decalcified in 10% EDTA at 4 °C for one to two weeks. After decalcification, samples were dehydrated through a graded ethanol series, cleared in xylene, and embedded in paraffin. Serial Sections (8–10 µm) were collected and stained by standard hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining. Bone-stained samples and HE-stained sections were imaged on an M205 FCA (Leica) stereo microscope and Eclipse E800 microscope (Nikon), respectively, equipped with cameras.

Immunohistochemistry. Fixed samples were washed in PBS, immersed in 15% followed by 30% sucrose in PBS at 4 °C, and frozen in OCT embedding compound (Sakura). Frozen Sections (8–10 µm) were prepared and treated with an antigen retrieval solution (sodium citrate buffer;10 mM sodium citrate, pH6.0) as previously described. Sections were then permeabilized in 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS for 15 min and blocked in blocking buffer (5% sheep serum and 0.1% Tween-20 in PBS) for 1 h. The PCNA (CST) antibody was diluted in blocking buffer (1:500) and incubated with the sections overnight. Then, sections were washed in 0.1% Tween-20 in PBS and incubated with a secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor 488, Molecular Probes) and Dyl (Vybrant Dyl Cell-Labeling Solution, Invitrogen) in blocking buffer (1:1000) for 1 h at room temperature (RT). Counter nuclear staining was performed with DAPI in blocking buffer (1:2000). Images were captured with the LSM 510 Meta inverted confocal microscope (Zeiss).
In situ hybridization. Based on the CDS prediction for the de novo transcriptome, cloning primers (Table S1) were designed to amplify RNA probes from cDNA. Probes were inserted into the pCR-Blunt II-TOPO vector or pCRII-TOPO vector (Invitrogen). DIG-labeled RNA probes were synthesized with the Riboprobe Systems (Promega) and DIG RNA Labeling Mix (Roche). Whole mount in situ hybridization (ISH) was performed as described66. Before rehydration, 30 dpf juveniles were treated with 6% hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 30 min at RT. After rehydration, embryos and juveniles were treated with Proteinase-K (10 µg/ml) in PBS for 15 min at RT and 30 min at 37° C, respectively. Samples were fixed with 0.5% glutaraldehyde plus 4% PFA in PBS for 20 min at RT and then the hybridization was performed.

Paraffin and frozen sections were prepared as described above and subjected to ISH as previously described65. Paraffin sections were rehydrated (or frozen sections washed in PBS) followed by permeabilization in 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 30 min at RT. Tissue sections were equilibrated in 0.1 M triethanolamine with 0.25% acetic anhydride and were hybridized with an RNA probe at 70° C overnight. The signal was detected with an anti-DIG-AP Fab fragment (Roche) and BM-purple, and images of whole mount ISH or section ISH were captured with an M205 FCA (Leica) stereoscope or Eclipse E800 microscope (Nikon), respectively.

Designing of guide RNA targeting pitx2 and preparation of gRNA-RfxCas13d solution. CRISPR-RfxCas13d knockdown was performed as described previously51. Briefly, the pET-28b-RfxCas13d-His vector (Addgene, Plasmid #141,322) was used for RfxCas13d protein production (Bon Opus Biosciences, LLC). The final concentration of RfxCas13d was adjusted to 3 μg/µl in storage buffer (50 mM HEPES–KOH, pH 7.5, 250 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 10% Glycerol) and stored at − 80 °C. For gRNA synthesis, the Pitx2 CDS of Ancistrus sp. was analyzed for high accessibility sites using RNAfold software (http://rna.tbi.univie.ac.at/cgi-bin/RNAWebSuite/RNAfold.cgi) and identified 22 nucleotides to generate each guide RNA (gRNA). A gRNA universal forward primer containing a T7 promoter and reverse primers containing target sites are shown in Table S3. gRNA templates were generated with PCR using a pool of 3 different gRNA primers at equal concentrations and were in vitro transcribed using the mMESSAGE T7 Kit (Invitrogen). Note that gRNAs #1–3 were co-transcribed in the same vial. The final concentration of the mixed gRNA solution was adjusted to 1600 ng/µl.

Suckermouth catfish microinjection. To generate the ribonucleoproteins (RNPs), 1 µl of the mixed gRNA solution (1600 ng/µl) and 4.5 µl of RfxCas13d protein (3 mg/ml) were mixed in 1 µl of nuclease-free water with phenol red (Invitrogen). One to 5 µl of RNPs were injected into one- to two-cell stage Ancistrus sp. embryos according to the zebrafish standard method. Briefly, fertilized eggs were transferred to a petri dish and washed with distilled water. Eggs that stuck together were carefully detached using fine tweezers. After RNP injection, embryos were incubated for 24 h in 0.0001% methylene blue plus distilled water in a 28 °C incubator with aeration and then were transferred into a mesh cage installed in the main tanks.

qRT-PCR. Total RNA was purified from Ctrl and pitx2-KD embryos at 72 hpf using the RNAeasy Plus Universal Kit (QIAGEN), and cDNA synthesis was performed using the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad). qRT-PCR was performed with a SYBR Green PCR Master Mix and the 7900 Real time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). Results were analyzed by the standard curve method and normalized to b-actin expression. Three biological replicates were investigated in this experiment. Primer sequences are shown in Table S3.

Phylogenetic analysis. Representative coding sequences (CDSs) of Pitx1 and Pitx2 were downloaded from NCBI GeneBank. Pitx1 and Pitx2 coding sequences of Ancistrus sp. were found from our de novo RNA-sequence data. Multiple amino acid sequence alignments were conducted using MUSCLE via Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis X (MEGAX, https://www.megasoftware.net/). The phylogenetic tree of amino acid sequences was established by using the maximum likelihood method with 500 bootstrap replicates to estimate confidence values of phylogenetic tree (MEGAX).

Data availability
De novo RNA sequencing assembly data have been deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information Sequence Read Archives (NCBI SRA), www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra (BioProject accession code PRJNA288370). Received: 26 August 2021; Accepted: 5 April 2022
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