A novel metaheuristic approach for control of SEPIC converter in a standalone PV system
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ABSTRACT

Fossil fuels are being replaced by renewables. Most of the renewables are intermittent, to have reliable power the renewables have to be conditioned before injecting into the utility grid. The DC-DC converters are perfect power electronic devices for conditioning the renewables. The single ended primary inductor converter (SEPIC) performs the conditioning with a very high voltage transfer gain and minimum ripples. The maximum power extraction from the PV panels is required for providing good quality DC power. Intelligent controllers can make use of optimization techniques. The particle swarm optimization (PSO) technique can optimize the controller to extract the maximum power. The SEPIC converter duty variation is optimized and a comparative analysis with the Buck-Boost converter is done in a MATLAB/Simulink environment. The proposed SEPIC converter system performed well by improving the power tracking by 40% and the system has been analysed in a battery charging environment.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Renewable energy integration is essential for maintaining the utility grid as pollution-free. The renewable energies that are being mostly wide are solar energy and wind energy. The renewable energy integration can be integrated with the grid to form an on-grid system [1], [2] or an off-grid system [3], [4]. The integrated renewable energy has to be conditioned by a DC-DC converter to ensure high quality of power [5]–[7]. The DC-DC converter is of different topologies and the selection of the DC-DC converter is based on the application [8]. In the applications where negative polarity is used the DC-DC converter has to provide negative output for operation [9]. The two main parameters that have to be considered while designing a DC-DC converter are the minimization of switching losses and improvement of voltage transfer gain. The topologies have been evolving in the recent decade.

The Buck-Boost converter topology is one of the conventional DC-DC converter topologies that is implemented to perform both buck and boost operations. The single ended primary inductor converter (SEPIC) is one of the topologies of the DC-DC converter where the operation of the converter is similar to that of the Buck-Boost converter. SEPIC converter can perform both boost and buck operations as per the application. The number of power switches is reduced in the SEPIC converter topology and reduces the...
ripples [10]. The SEPIC converter can operate at a higher duty cycle and maintain a higher voltage transfer gain [11]–[13]. The renewable integration with the SEPIC converter enables to operate in both ON-Grid and Off-Grid. In On-Grid, the power from the distributed energy resources (DER) is conditioned by the SEPIC converter and fed to the utility grid. The recent trends involve designing new topologies by keeping the SEPIC as the base model [14]. The research gap rises in the extraction of maximum power when SEPIC converter is integrated for conditioning of power.

The solar energy integration is in need of a controller for extracting the maximum amount of power even during partial shading conditions. The extraction of power is carried out through Maximum power point tracking (MPPT) controllers [15]–[18]. The MPPT control algorithm has also been evolving throughout the years by embedding with intelligent controllers. The intelligent controllers play an improved role in extracting the maximum power [19]–[21]. The MPPT controller can be made to work more efficiently in varying irradiation by embedding an optimization technique. The particle swarm optimization (PSO) technique has been embedded in the proposed work for maximum power point tracking. The SEPIC converter outputs in the first quadrant that is more suitable for electric vehicle and battery storage applications [22]–[25]. The paper involves a discussion of the operation of the SEPIC converter in section 2 followed by the design of the SEPIC converter. The PV integration with the SEPIC converter has been discussed in section 4 and the optimization technique has been discussed in section 5. The analysis of the system has been done in a MATLAB/Simulink environment and was validated through a hardware prototype.

2. SEPIC CONVERTER

The SEPIC converter has power switches like MOSFET (Q\text{Switch}) that are suitable for faster switching operations. Two inductors (L\text{1}_\text{SEPIC} and L\text{2}_\text{SEPIC}), two capacitors (C\text{1}_\text{SEPIC} and C\text{2}_\text{SEPIC}), and a diode (D\text{SEPIC}) are used for the operation of the DC-DC converter. The operation of the SEPIC converter depends on the variation of the duty cycle (\(\delta\)). The duty cycle variation is made to control the switch Q\text{Switch}.

The equivalent circuit of the SEPIC converter is illustrated in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Equivalent circuit of SEPIC converter](image)

Where,
\[L_{1\_SEPIC} = L_{2\_SEPIC} = 2.9 \text{mH},\]
\[C_{1\_SEPIC} = 200\mu\text{F},\]
\[C_{2\_SEPIC} = 6600\mu\text{F} \text{ and}\]
\[R_{\text{Load}} = 32\Omega.\]

The SEPIC converter has the capability to operate in both continuous conduction mode (CCM) and discontinuous conduction mode (DCM). The differentiation in the operation of CCM and DCM primarily depends upon the energy storage elements like an inductor. The SEPIC converter can buck or boost the input voltage as per the variation in the duty cycle. The energy transfer from the input to the load side is performed by the transfer of energy through various passive elements like inductors and capacitors. Hence the design of inductors and capacitors plays a vital part in the operation of the SEPIC converter. The inductor is selected to handle 40% of the maximum value of input current as ripple current [10]. The ripple current value calculated for both the inductors remains the same. The maximum peak value of the inductors (L\text{1}_\text{SEPIC} and L\text{2}_\text{SEPIC}) can be enumerated from (1) while the ripple current of the inductors and inductor values can be evaluated from (2) and (3) respectively.

\[
I_{L1\_SEPIC\_peak} = I_{\text{Output}} \cdot \frac{V_{\text{Output}} + V_{D\_SEPIC}}{V_{\text{Input\_min}}} \cdot \left(\frac{40\%}{2} + 1\right)
\]
\[ I_{L2,SEPIC,peak} = I_{output} \left( \frac{40\%}{2} + 1 \right) \]  
\[ \Delta I_{ripple} = I_{input} \times 40\% \]  
\[ \Delta I_{ripple} = I_{output} \times \frac{V_{output}}{V_{Input,min}} \times 40\% \]  
\[ L_{1,SEPIC} = L_{2,SEPIC} = \frac{V_{Input_min}}{\Delta I_{ripple} f_{switch}} \times \delta_{max} \]  

The capacitor \( C_{1,SEPIC} \) used for coupling function depends on the root mean square (RMS) value of the current. Designing the coupling capacitor for a very large value of the RMS current ensures that the SEPIC can be used for low power applications. The coupling capacitor is designed to withstand 1.5 times the input voltage \( V_{Input} \). The ripple voltage of the coupling capacitor \( C_{1,SEPIC} \) is given in (4) and the RMS current of the capacitor is given in (5).

\[ \Delta V_{C1,SEPIC} = \frac{I_{output} \times \delta_{max}}{C_{1,SEPIC} f_{switch}} \]  
\[ I_{C1,SEPIC,RMS} = I_{output} \times \sqrt{\frac{V_{output} + V_{D,SEPIC}}{V_{Input_min}}} \]  

The output current experiences very large ripples as the switching action of \( Q_{Switch} \) makes the transfer of energy from input to output. The DC-link capacitor \( C_{2,SEPIC} \) must handle those heavy ripples. The ripple handling capacity is determined by the root mean square value of the current. The RMS value of the current in the DC link capacitor and the value of the DC link capacitors are given in (6) and (7).

\[ I_{C2,SEPIC,RMS} = I_{output} \times \sqrt{\frac{V_{output} + V_{D,SEPIC}}{V_{Input_min}}} \]  
\[ C_{2,SEPIC} \geq \frac{I_{output} \times \delta}{\Delta V_{ripple} \times 0.5 f_{switch}} \]  

The DC-link capacitor \( C_{2,SEPIC} \) is designed to withstand 1.5 times the output voltage \( V_{Output} \). The power switch is selected as metal oxide semiconductor field effect transistor (MOSFET) to operate in a higher switching frequency. The MOSFET and Diode are designed to handle a value of summation of input voltage \( V_{Input} \) and output voltage \( V_{Output} \). The peak current handled by the \( Q_{Switch} \) is given by (8). The Root Mean Square handled by the switch and power dissipated in the switch is given in (9) and (10).

\[ I_{QSwitch,peak} = I_{L1,SEPIC,peak} + I_{L2,SEPIC,peak} \]  
\[ I_{QSwitch,RMS} = I_{output} \sqrt{\frac{(V_{output} + V_{Input,min} + V_{D,SEPIC}) \times (V_{output} + V_{D,SEPIC})}{V_{Input_min}}} \]  
\[ P_{QSwitch} = I_{QSwitch,RMS} \times R_{Gate,Drain,Source,ON} \times \delta_{max} + (V_{Input,min} + V_{Output}) \times I_{QSwitch,peak} \times \frac{Q_{Gate,Drain}}{f_{switch}} \]  

The power dissipation in the power switch is based on Drain to Source resistance \( R_{Gate,Drain,Source,ON} \), the charge from Gate to Drain \( (Q_{Gate,Drain}) \), switching frequency \( (f_{switch}) \), duty cycle \( (\delta) \), and RMS current and peak current through the switch \( (I_{QSwitch,peak} \text{ and } I_{QSwitch,RMS}) \).

3. **PV INTEGRATED SEPIC CONVERTER**

Solar energy is used in place of the input voltage as solar produces clean pollution-free DC output. Solar energy can be used in various topologies. Standalone systems perform effectively in remote and low-power applications. The Photovoltaic cells generated DC current as the cells are being exposed to Sun. The generated current from the PV panels and the design parameters has been discussed in [4]. The generated current from the PV panels is based on the configuration of the PV panel design. The PV panel integrated with the SEPIC converter is shown in Figure 2.
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Figure 3. PSO Optimization for MPPT controller (a) position tracking (b) flowchart
5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The proposed system of the SEPIC converter was designed and simulated in a MATLAB/Simulink environment. The SEPIC converter input is from a PV array which is designed to produce an output voltage of 22 V. The SEPIC converter parameters are enclosed in the Appendix section. The system was simulated and studied under various conditions.

5.1. Case 1: PV panel characteristic study

The parameters of the PV module are given in Table 1 while the I-V characteristics of the PV array is shown in Figure 4(a) and P-V characteristics of the PV array is shown in Figure 4(b). The PV modules are connected in the desired pattern to generate the required voltage and current. The series connection involves connecting 6 modules in series for a string and the parallel connection involves connecting 2 modules. A voltage of 22.2 V and a current of 10.4 A are generated as per the configuration. The system is tested under a varying irradiation pattern from 500 W/m² to 1000 W/m². The varying irradiation signal is illustrated in Figure 5(a) and the output voltage obtained during varying irradiation is shown in Figure 5(b). The output voltage from the PV panel varies as per the irradiation to which the PV panels are being exposed. The output voltage from the PV panel is shown in Figure 5(b). The PV panel output varies corresponding to the exposure of the PV panels to the sunlight. Hence the maximum power is extracted only when the PV panels are exposed to a higher irradiation level.

| PV module specification       | Value   |
|------------------------------|---------|
| Maximum power (Watts)        | 14.24 W |
| No. of cells per module      | 6       |
| Open circuit voltage (Voltage)| 3.7 V   |
| Short circuit current (Ampere)| 5.2 A   |
| Maximum power point voltage (Voltage)| 2.9 V   |
| Maximum power point current (Ampere)| 4.91 A  |

Figure 4. PV array (a) I-V characteristics and (b) P-V characteristics

Figure 5. PV panel (a) exposed to varying irradiation and (b) output voltage
5.2. Case 2: Comparison of DC-DC converters in standalone PV system

The output from the PV panels is fed to the load through the SEPIC converter. The SEPIC converter conditions the output from the PV panel. The system was also compared with the traditional Buck-Boost converter. The difference between the Buck-Boost converter and SEPIC converter is the operation the Buck-Boost converter outputs a negative value when the polarity of the converter is reversed. But the SEPIC converter outputs a positive voltage even when the polarity is reversed. The SEPIC converter output is positive even at the time of reversal of polarity. The Buck-Boost converter performs the same operation but the output voltage is reversed and also the Buck-Boost converter requires two switches for its control. The SEPIC converter is more suitable for the application of battery charging as it eliminates the shift in polarity. The reason for the SEPIC converter to excel the Buck-Boost in battery charging is the availability and controllability of the power switches. The SEPIC requires a single power switch that reduces the switching time duration when compared with the Buck-Boost. Hence SEPIC converters are mostly used in battery applications like mobile charging and electric vehicles.

5.3. Case 3: MPPT controller with meta-heuristic optimization

The MPPT optimization carried out with particle swarm optimization (PSO) is analysed. The MPPT Controller controls the duty cycle for the power switch of the SEPIC converter. The comparative analysis after implementation of PSO technique is shown in Table 2.

The power tracking was effectively improved after optimizing with PSO optimization that can be inferred from Figure 6(a). The output voltage improvement after implementation of the PSO technique is shown in Figure 6(b). The current generation is improved by the optimization of the MPPT controller through PSO technique. The average value of improved power tracking by using the PSO optimization technique is increased by 40%.

| Duty cycle | Output without PSO technique | Output with PSO technique |
|------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
|            | Voltage (V) | Power (P) | Voltage (V) | Power (P) |
| 10         | 0.43        | 0.01      | 1.37        | 0.11      |
| 20         | 1.97        | 0.33      | 2.84        | 0.60      |
| 30         | 8.49        | 3.31      | 14.51       | 6.53      |
| 40         | 13.12       | 7.35      | 19.77       | 14.63     |
| 50         | 20.59       | 14.21     | 27.48       | 22.26     |
| 60         | 29.90       | 26.01     | 33.63       | 32.96     |
| 70         | 39.78       | 49.33     | 43.49       | 60.45     |
| 80         | 45.21       | 64.20     | 47.83       | 71.75     |

5.4. Case 4: Hardware prototype

A prototype of SEPIC converter with PSO algorithm embedded for maximum power point tracking was made for an input voltage of 12 V. The SEPIC converter operates to boost the input voltage to 24 V. The specification of the PV panel is given in Table 3. The hardware prototype of the system is shown in Figure 7(a) and Figure 7(b). The power switch selected for the prototype is a MOSFET IRF840N, which provides faster
switching at a very high frequency. The switching frequency used for the purpose is of 1 kHz. The load used for the prototype is a 10 W resistive load. The usage of two inductors separately makes a drop in the efficiency of the converter. The drawback due to the two separate inductors are overcome by coupling the inductor together. The coupling process reduces the current ripples as the inductor size reduces drastically. The microcontroller unit used is a Ds-Pic microcontroller that embeds the program for duty cycle variation. The PSO optimization technique is embedded in the above microcontroller unit. The system was tested for varying irradiation and the output voltage from the PV panel at the time of varying irradiation is shown in Figure 8 for a period of 50 µs. The output obtained before PSO optimization is shown in Figure 9(a) and after PSO optimization is shown in Figure 9(b) for a period of 50 µs.

| Hardware PV panel specification | Value |
|--------------------------------|-------|
| Rated power (Watts)            | 10 W  |
| Rate voltage (Voltage)         | 17 V  |
| Maximum current (Ampere)       | 0.57 A|
| Open circuit voltage (Voltage) | 21 V  |
| Short circuit current (Ampere) | 0.69 A|

Figure 7. PV integrated SEPIC converter (a) overall hardware setup with PV panel and (b) SEPIC converter setup

Figure 8. PV panel output during varying Irradiation

Figure 9. Output voltage obtained (a) without PSO optimization and (b) with PSO optimization
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The solar panel is intermittent as the irradiation over the PV panels varies, the output voltage also varies which is evident. The irradiation is varied with respect to three levels contributing to the voltage of 7.5 V, 9.20 V and 11.7 V. The output of the SEPIC converter without PSO technique is observed at various irradiation conditions. The results obtained from the previous analysis is compared along with the results of SEPIC converter operation with PSO technique. The observed voltage under both the conditions is shown in Figure 10 and tabulated in Table 4.

The percentage of output voltage increase proves that the optimized algorithm is more efficient in increasing the voltage gain by an average of 21%. The comparative analysis from Figure 10 illustrates that the optimized algorithm proved an effective power tracking mechanism. The optimized technique was able to extract a maximum value of power from the PV panel and the power was conditioned by the SEPIC converter effectively.

Table 4. Output voltage comparison with PSO technique in SEPIC converter

| PV output voltage (V) | SEPIC Converter Output Voltage (V) | Increase in voltage (%) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
|                       | Without PSO | With PSO |                   |
| 7.50                  | 16.20       | 20.50    | 20.98              |
| 9.20                  | 17.60       | 22.20    | 20.72              |
| 11.70                 | 18.20       | 24.30    | 25.10              |

Figure 10. Comparison of hardware SEPIC converter output voltage under PSO optimization

6. CONCLUSION

The increase in renewable energy integration like solar and wind energy to the utility grid raises a necessity for proper power conditioning. The power conditioning is carried out through the DC-DC converters. The SEPIC converter can boost or buck the voltage level based upon the application. The SEPIC converter is one of the refined topologies of the buck-boost converter. The SEPIC has a very good response period and has a minimum number of power switches compared to the buck-boost topology. SEPIC finds the specific application over battery charging circuits in EV and mobile charging devices. As these applications are in need of positive polarity of voltage rather than negative, SEPIC outputs the voltage only at the first quadrant. The integration of PV with SEPIC increases the reliability to work in a standalone configuration. The standalone configuration finds more applications in remote areas. The PV power extracted is maximized through power point tracking controllers. The controller is optimized through metaheuristic optimization techniques like PSO. Optimization proved to be an effective method to extract maximum power. The power conditioning of solar energy has been performed by the SEPIC converter along with the optimization technique more efficiently.
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