Multiple myeloma and SARS-CoV-2 infection: clinical characteristics and prognostic factors of inpatient mortality
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Abstract
There is limited information on the characteristics, prognostic factors, and outcomes of patients with multiple myeloma (MM) hospitalized with COVID-19. This retrospective case series investigated 167 patients reported from 73 hospitals within the Spanish Myeloma Collaborative Group network in March and April, 2020. Outcomes were compared with 167 randomly selected, contemporary, age-/sex-matched noncancer patients with COVID-19 admitted at six participating hospitals. Among MM and noncancer patients, median age was 71 years, and 57% of patients were male; 75 and 77% of patients, respectively, had at least one comorbidity. COVID-19 clinical severity was moderate–severe in 77 and 89% of patients and critical in 8 and 4%, respectively. Supplemental oxygen was required by 47 and 55% of MM and noncancer patients, respectively, and 21%/9% vs 8%/6% required noninvasive/invasive ventilation. Inpatient mortality was 34 and 23% in MM and noncancer patients, respectively. Among MM patients, inpatient mortality was 41% in males, 42% in patients aged >65 years, 49% in patients with active/progressive MM at hospitalization, and 59% in patients with comorbid renal disease at hospitalization, which were independent prognostic factors on adjusted multivariate analysis. This case series demonstrates the increased risk and identifies predictors of inpatient mortality among MM patients hospitalized with COVID-19.

Introduction
In December 2019, a cluster of patients with pneumonia linked to a previously unknown coronavirus, now named severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), was detected in Wuhan, China. This rapidly evolved into a pandemic of the heterogeneous clinical disease named coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) that has challenged healthcare systems and led to thousands of deaths worldwide. The clinical presentation is highly variable, ranging from asymptomatic cases to severe respiratory infections. It is associated with a pro-inflammatory status, leading to cytokine release syndrome and hypercoagulation, with multi-organ damage and fatal outcome. It was soon recognized that various factors, including increased age (>65 years, and particularly >75 years), male sex, and presence of comorbidities (cardiovascular comorbidity, obesity, hypertension, and
Several international and national guidelines have been reported. There are cumulative data indicating that patients with cancer may be at increased risk for more severe COVID-19 and associated complications, including those receiving or not receiving treatment within the month prior to infection, although other recent results suggest mortality may be primarily associated with age, male sex, and comorbidities.

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a malignant proliferation of clonal B lymphoid cells at the last stage of maturation (plasma cells) that are responsible for the secretion of immunoglobulins (Igs). Impairment of Ig production, with the coexistence of a monoclonal component (M-component) plus immunosuppression of normal Igs, leads to severely impaired humoral immunity. Additionally, MM patients display dysfunctional cellular and innate immunity; this complex failure of immunosurveillance mechanisms makes MM patients highly susceptible to viral and bacterial infections. Some MM treatments may also positively or negatively impact the immune system. The most common side-effect of MM treatment is the induction of cytopenia, which is clearly associated with increased susceptibility to bacterial and viral infections. Chemotherapy, particularly high-dose melphalan, results in cytopenia and an increased risk for venous thromboembolism. CD38 monoclonal antibodies associated with cytopenia and an increased risk for venous thromboembolism. CD38 monoclonal antibodies reduce NK and immunosuppressive regulatory T cells and are associated with higher incidences of viral and bacterial infections.

To date, there is very limited information regarding characteristics and outcomes of MM patients with COVID-19, with only a single case report and a small UK series of 75 heterogeneous patients that did not report a non-MM reference group of patients with COVID-19. Several international and national guidelines have been reported, providing guidance to physicians on the management of patients with MM during the COVID-19 pandemic; however, these recommendations are based on consensus and general disease concepts, and lack specific data derived from infected patients. To our knowledge, this is the first large case-series study to describe comprehensively the clinical characteristics of COVID-19 in hospitalized MM patients, compare outcomes with a noncancer cohort of COVID-19 patients, and identify preadmission prognostic factors of inpatient mortality.

Methods

This multicenter case-series analysis was performed at a national level by the Spanish Myeloma Collaborative Group (Programa Español de Tratamientos en Hematología [Grupo Español de Mieloma]). The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre (n 20/208). This case-series study includes MM patients who were admitted to a participating hospital with a SARS-CoV-2 polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-positive test between March 1 and April 30, 2020. Additionally, a series of patients with no cancer history, matched by age and sex, were randomly selected from cohorts of COVID-19 patients admitted during the same time period at six participating hospitals.

Clinical specimens were obtained by nasopharyngeal swab collection in accordance with Spanish disease control and prevention guidelines. Samples were processed at local microbiology laboratories, and SARS-CoV-2 one-step real-time reverse transcriptase (rRT)-PCR diagnostic assay was performed. A modified version of a questionnaire proposed by the International Myeloma Society was used for data extraction. A common set of demographic, clinical, laboratory, treatment, and outcome variables were collected for the MM and noncancer cohorts of hospitalized COVID-19 patients. Specific disease status and treatment data were obtained for MM patients. Data extraction was performed locally by hematology departments in participating hospitals and centrally processed by the PETHEMA/GEM data-monitoring unit. Hospital outcome status was defined as discharge from the hospital or receiving ongoing care (survivor group) or death (non-survivor group).

Statistical analysis

Demographic, clinical, laboratory, and therapy covariates and hospital outcomes were summarized using descriptive statistics. Continuous variables were reported as the median and interquartile range (IQR). Generic COVID-19 patient characteristics and specific MM features were analyzed according to the two hospital outcome categories. Crude and adjusted odd ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated with logistic regression analysis for a predefined set of well-established prognostic factors. Preadmission characteristics, MM status, and comorbidities constituted the reference model and were used to adjust the association of relevant MM features with mortality. To limit model overfitting, the number of events per candidate variable was restricted to >10. Calibration and discrimination of the resulting
models were assessed using the Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test and the c-statistic\textsuperscript{21}. We assessed internal validity with a bootstrapping procedure that used 500 replicate samples to gain insight into the stability of the reference model\textsuperscript{22}. Analyses were generated using SAS/STAT software, Version 9.4, SAS Institute Inc.

**Results**

Between March 1 and April 30, 2020, 216 patients with MM and SARS-CoV-2 infection detected by RT-PCR were reported from 73 healthcare centers. Thirty patients were excluded because of a diagnosis of a plasma cell disorder other than MM; 19 patients were excluded because they did not require hospital admission (Fig. 1). As of May 20, 2020, one patient remained hospitalized and receiving ongoing care. The temporal and geographical distribution of reported cases matched the distribution of the epidemic in Spain; 114 (68\%) were confirmed in the first 3 weeks of the lockdown period (March 15 to April 4). Eligible cases were notified from 29 of 50 provinces (58\%), with 108 (65\%) reported from two provinces with high population excess mortality.

The characteristics and clinical features of the 167 MM patients and the 167 noncancer patients are described in Table 1. Concerning COVID-19 clinical features, 77 and 89\% of MM and noncancer patients, respectively, presented with moderate–severe disease, and 8 and 4\% had a critical disease. The proportion of patients requiring supplemental oxygen was 47 and 55\% in MM and noncancer patients, respectively. Numerically, more MM patients required noninvasive and invasive ventilation (21\% and 9\%, respectively) compared to the noncancer group (8\% and 6\%, respectively). At hospital admission, MM patients had numerically lower median levels of neutrophils, lymphocytes, and platelets, and numerically higher concentrations of D-dimers and ferritin compared with noncancer patients (Table 1). Treatments administered to the two groups of patients are summarized in Table 1; 31\% of MM patients received triple-combination therapy including (hydroxy)chloroquine, azithromycin, and...
and retroviral compared with 42% of the noncancer group. Regarding outcomes, inpatient mortality was 34% in the MM group and 23% in the noncancer group.

**MM- and COVID-19-related characteristics according to hospital outcome**

Preadmission characteristics of MM patients according to hospital outcome are presented in Table 2; 56 patients (34%) died during hospitalization (non-survivor group), 110 (66%) were discharged, and 1 patient remained hospitalized receiving ongoing care (survivor group). Mortality was 41% in males and 24% in females. Mortality was

| MM patients (n = 167), N (%) | Noncancer patients (n = 167), N (%) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Preadmission features       | Age-/sex-matched                 |
| Age, median (IQR), years    | 71 (62–78)                       |
| Age groups                  | Age-/sex-matched                 |
| <65 years                   | 55 (33)                          |
| 65–74 years                 | 55 (33)                          |
| ≥75 years                   | 57 (34)                          |
| Sex                         | Male                             |
| Male                        | 95 (57)                          |
| Female                      | 72 (43)                          |
| Comorbidities               | Cardiac disease                  |
| At least one                | 126 (75)                         |
| None                        | 41 (25)                          |
| Cardiac disease             | 35 (21)                          |
| Pulmonary disease           | 23 (14)                          |
| Diabetes                    | 28 (17)                          |
| Renal disease               | 32 (19)                          |
| Hypertension                | 67 (40)                          |
| COVID-19 clinical features  | Clinical severity<sup>a</sup>    |
| Mild                        | 26 (15)                          |
| Moderate–severe             | 128 (77)                         |
| Critical                    | 13 (8)                           |
| Respiratory support<sup>a</sup> |
| No supplemental oxygen      | 39 (23)                          |
| Supplemental oxygen         | 78 (47)                          |
| Noninvasive ventilation     | 35 (21)                          |
| Invasive ventilation        | 15 (9)                           |
| COVID-19 laboratory measures at hospital admission, median (IQR) | Absolute neutrophil count, ×10⁹/L | 2.78 (1.7–4.7) |
| D-dimers, μg/mL             | 0.861 (0.52–1.207)               |
| Ferritin, ng/mL             | 995 (486–2070)                  |
| COVID-19 therapy            | (Hydroxy)chloroquine             |
| None                        | 11 (7)                           |
| (Hydroxy)chloroquine only   | 16 (10)                          |
| (Hydroxy)chloroquine and   | 47 (28)                          |
| (Hydroxy)chloroquine and   | 34 (20)                          |
| (Hydroxy)chloroquine and   | 51 (31)                          |
| Azithromycin                | 91 (54)                          |
| Antiretrovirals             | 103 (62)                         |
| (Hydroxy)chloroquine and    | 14 (8)                           |
| Azithromycin and retroviral| 42 (25)                          |
| (Hydroxy)chloroquine and    | 50 (42)                          |
| (Hydroxy)chloroquine and    | 27 (16)                          |
| Azithromycin and retroviral| Steroids and anti-interleukin-6 receptor antibody therapy |
| Other combination           | 8 (5)                            |
| Steroids                    | 83 (50)                          |
| Anti-interleukin-6 receptor antibody therapy | 22 (13) |
| Steroids and anti-interleukin-6 receptor antibody therapy | 21 (13) |
| Heparin                     | 109 (65)                         |
| COVID-19 outcome (hospital outcome) | Receiving ongoing care | 1 (<1) |
| Hospital discharge          | 110 (66)                         |
| Hospital death              | 56 (34)                          |

COVID-19 coronavirus disease 2019, IQR interquartile range.

*Data missing for one patient in the noncancer group.
Table 2  Characteristics of multiple myeloma (MM) patients with COVID-19, according to hospital outcome.

|                              | Patients with hospital outcome, N (%) | All (n = 167) | Patients discharged (n = 111) | Patients who died (n = 56) |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
|                              |                                      |               |                             |                        |
| Age, median (IQR), years     |                                      | 71 (62–78)    | 70 (58–77)                  | 75 (68–79)             |
| Age groups, years, n (%)     |                                      |               |                             |                        |
| ≤49                          |                                      | 6 (4)         | 6 (100)                     | 0                      |
| 50–59                        |                                      | 29 (17)       | 24 (83)                     | 5 (17)                 |
| 60–69                        |                                      | 41 (24)       | 25 (61)                     | 16 (39)                |
| 70–79                        |                                      | 60 (36)       | 37 (62)                     | 23 (38)                |
| ≥80                          |                                      | 31 (19)       | 19 (61)                     | 12 (39)                |
| Sex                          |                                      |               |                             |                        |
| Males                        |                                      | 95 (57)       | 56 (59)                     | 39 (41)                |
| Females                      |                                      | 72 (43)       | 55 (76)                     | 17 (24)                |
| Monoclonal component         |                                      |               |                             |                        |
| Immunoglobulin G             |                                      | 83 (50)       | 61 (73)                     | 22 (27)                |
| Immunoglobulin A             |                                      | 42 (25)       | 25 (60)                     | 17 (40)                |
| Immunoglobulin D             |                                      | 2 (1)         | 2 (100)                     | 0 (0)                  |
| Immunoglobulin M             |                                      | 3 (2)         | 2 (67)                      | 1 (33)                 |
| Non-secretory disease        |                                      | 3 (2)         | 2 (67)                      | 1 (33)                 |
| Light chain only disease     |                                      | 34 (21)       | 19 (56)                     | 15 (44)                |
| International staging system |                                      |               |                             |                        |
| disease stage b              |                                      |               |                             |                        |
| I                            |                                      | 47 (28)       | 34 (72)                     | 13 (28)                |
| II                           |                                      | 61 (37)       | 42 (69)                     | 19 (31)                |
| III                          |                                      | 57 (35)       | 35 (61)                     | 22 (39)                |
| Cytogenetic classification by |                                      |               |                             |                        |
| FISH c                       |                                      |               |                             |                        |
| High risk                    |                                      | 36 (24)       | 23 (64)                     | 13 (36)                |
| Other/standard risk          |                                      | 114 (76)      | 75 (66)                     | 39 (34)                |
| Bone disease at diagnosis of |                                      |               |                             |                        |
| MM                           |                                      |               |                             |                        |
| Yes                          |                                      | 129 (77)      | 86 (67)                     | 43 (33)                |
| No                           |                                      | 38 (23)       | 25 (66)                     | 13 (34)                |
| Renal disease at diagnosis of |                                      |               |                             |                        |
| MM                           |                                      |               |                             |                        |
| Yes                          |                                      | 45 (27)       | 22 (49)                     | 23 (51)                |
| No                           |                                      | 122 (73)      | 89 (73)                     | 33 (27)                |

Table 2 continued

| Year of diagnosis of MM (time since diagnosis) | Patients with hospital outcome, N (%) | All (n = 167) | Patients discharged (n = 111) | Patients who died (n = 56) |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| 2020 (0–3 months)                               |                                      | 25 (15)       | 13 (52)                     | 12 (48)                |
| 2019 (4–18 months)                              |                                      | 30 (18)       | 24 (80)                     | 6 (20)                 |
| ≤2018 (≥18 months)                              |                                      | 112 (67)      | 74 (66)                     | 38 (34)                |
| Prior stem cell transplantation d               |                                      |               |                             |                        |
| Yes                                           |                                      | 51 (31)       | 42 (82)                     | 9 (18)                 |
| No                                            |                                      | 113 (69)      | 67 (59)                     | 46 (41)                |
| Prior lines of treatment for MM e              |                                      |               |                             |                        |
| 1                                             |                                      | 82 (51)       | 58 (71)                     | 24 (29)                |
| 2                                             |                                      | 39 (24)       | 23 (59)                     | 16 (41)                |
| >3                                            |                                      | 41 (25)       | 27 (66)                     | 14 (34)                |
| Prior therapy class                            |                                      |               |                             |                        |
| Proteasome inhibitor                           |                                      | 138 (83)      | 92 (67)                     | 46 (33)                |
| No proteasome inhibitor                        |                                      | 29 (17)       | 19 (66)                     | 10 (34)                |
| Immunomodulatory drug                          |                                      | 119 (71)      | 80 (67)                     | 39 (33)                |
| No immunomodulatory drug                       |                                      | 48 (29)       | 31 (65)                     | 17 (35)                |
| Monoclonal antibody                            |                                      | 38 (23)       | 25 (66)                     | 13 (34)                |
| No monoclonal antibody                         |                                      | 129 (77)      | 86 (67)                     | 43 (33)                |
| MM status and comorbidities at hospital admission for COVID-19 | % shown the proportion of “all” |
| MM status                                     |                                      |               |                             |                        |
| Active disease or progression                  |                                      | 43 (26)       | 22 (51)                     | 21 (49)                |
| Partial response                               |                                      | 72 (43)       | 52 (72)                     | 20 (28)                |
| Complete response                              |                                      | 52 (31)       | 37 (71)                     | 15 (29)                |
| Comorbidities                                  |                                      |               |                             |                        |
| At least one                                   |                                      | 126 (75)      | 79 (63)                     | 47 (37)                |
| None                                          |                                      | 41 (25)       | 32 (78)                     | 9 (22)                 |
| Cardiac disease                                |                                      | 35 (21)       | 22 (63)                     | 13 (37)                |
| No cardiac disease                             |                                      | 132 (79)      | 89 (67)                     | 43 (33)                |
| Pulmonary disease                              |                                      | 23 (14)       | 14 (61)                     | 9 (39)                 |
| No pulmonary disease                           |                                      | 144 (86)      | 97 (67)                     | 47 (33)                |
| Diabetes                                      |                                      | 28 (17)       | 18 (64)                     | 10 (36)                |
16% in patients aged <65 years compared to 42% in those aged >65 years, including 40% in patients aged 65–75 years and 44% in those aged >75 years. None of the female patients aged <65 years died.

Concerning MM features, inpatient mortality was 27 and 28% in patients with an IgG M-component and stage I disease at diagnosis, respectively. Cytogenetic abnormalities and the presence of bone disease did not impact inpatient mortality. However, in patients with renal impairment at MM diagnosis inpatient mortality was 51% vs 27% in patients with normal renal function. Prior treatment with immunomodulatory drugs, proteasome inhibitors, or monoclonal antibodies did not impact inpatient mortality (Table 2).

With regards to the year of MM diagnosis, 12 of 25 patients (48%) diagnosed between January and April 2020 (during the emerging pandemic) did not survive COVID-19. To understand this high mortality rate, we analyzed the characteristics of the cohort. Of note, 10 (83%) of the 12 non-survivors were male, 11 (92%) had a M-component other than IgG, 9 (75%) had international staging system stage III disease, 7 (58%) presented with renal impairment, and 7 (58%) had active disease. By contrast, 82% of patients who had received autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT) survived COVID-19, while 41% of the non-ASCT group died (Table 2).

Regarding MM status and comorbidities at the time of COVID-19 admission, in patients with active/progressive disease, the inpatient mortality rate was 49% compared to 28 and 29% for patients in partial or complete response, respectively (Table 2). Patients with at least one comorbidity had an inpatient mortality rate of 37% vs 22% in those without comorbidities. The mortality rate was numerically higher in MM patients with vs without cardiac (37% vs 33%) or pulmonary (39% vs 33%) comorbidities or hypertension (42% vs 28%); the presence of renal disease was associated with the numerically highest mortality rate (59%). Immunoparesis did not affect the mortality rate.

Table 2 summarizes clinical and laboratory features at admission according to hospital outcome. Patients with higher values (above the median) for the neutrophil count, D-dimers, and ferritin had higher mortality rates (43%, 40%, and 32%, respectively) than those in patients with lower values (below the median; 25%, 22%, and 22%, respectively). The opposite effect was observed for lymphocyte and platelet counts, with lower values associated with a higher mortality rate (40% vs 28 and 41% vs 25%, respectively).

The association with inpatient mortality was estimated for nine prognostic factors assessed before admission (Table 4). In an unadjusted analysis, seven factors were associated with increased odds of death: male sex, age >65 years, renal disease and hypertension as comorbidities, diagnosis of MM in 2020, renal disease at diagnosis, and active/progressive disease at the time of COVID-19 hospitalization (Table 4). IgG M-component and prior ASCT were associated with lower inpatient mortality. In an adjusted analysis, male sex, age >65 years, active/progressive disease, and renal disease as comorbidity were independent prognostic factors of inpatient mortality. The combination of this set of independent factors constituted a stable prognostic model of inpatient mortality; the bootstrapping procedure corrected the discriminatory ability of this reference model in terms of c-statistic from 0.79 to 0.765. No other candidate variable improved the prognostic performance of the reference model in terms of calibration and discrimination (Table 4).

Discussion

This study provides a comprehensive description of the characteristics and outcomes of MM patients hospitalized with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection in Spain. Mortality was 50% higher in MM patients (34%) vs noncancer patients (23%). The main predictors of inpatient mortality for MM were male sex, age >65 years, renal disease, and active/progressive disease.

This is a highly representative series for gaining insights into the impact of COVID-19 in the MM population; all Spanish hospitals were put under the governance of the Ministry of Health, and the structure of PETHEMA/GEM includes most centers treating MM patients within
Spanish territory. The selected time-frame corresponded to peak COVID-19 incidence and population excess mortality in Spain. Additionally, to gain a more precise picture of the differential features of these patients, we obtained clinical and laboratory characteristics and hospital outcomes from a concomitant series of SARS-CoV-2-infected noncancer patients. To assure uniformity, the

### Table 3 Patient characteristics at hospital admission for COVID-19, according to hospital outcome.

| Clinical features during admission | Patients with hospital outcome, no. (%) | Patients discharged (n = 111)<sup>a</sup> | Patients who died (n = 56) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| **Clinical severity**             |                                        |                                          |                          |
| Mild                              | 26 (16)                                | 24 (92)                                  | 2 (8)                    |
| Moderate–severe                   | 128 (77)                               | 85 (66)                                  | 43 (34)                  |
| Critical                          | 13 (8)                                 | 2 (15)                                   | 11 (85)                  |
| **Respiratory support**           |                                        |                                          |                          |
| No supplemental oxygen            | 39 (23)                                | 36 (92)                                  | 3 (8)                    |
| Supplemental oxygen               | 78 (47)                                | 56 (72)                                  | 22 (28)                  |
| Noninvasive ventilation           | 35 (21)                                | 13 (37)                                  | 22 (63)                  |
| Invasive ventilation              | 15 (9)                                 | 6 (40)                                   | 9 (60)                   |
| **Laboratory measures at hospital admission** |                                        |                                          |                          |
| Absolute neutrophil count<sup>b</sup> |                                        |                                          |                          |
| Above median                      | 80 (50)                                | 46 (57)                                  | 34 (43)                  |
| Below median                      | 79 (50)                                | 59 (75)                                  | 20 (25)                  |
| Lymphocyte count<sup>c</sup>      |                                        |                                          |                          |
| Above median                      | 79 (50)                                | 57 (72)                                  | 22 (28)                  |
| Below median                      | 78 (50)                                | 47 (60)                                  | 31 (40)                  |
| Platelets<sup>d</sup>             |                                        |                                          |                          |
| Above median                      | 77 (51)                                | 58 (75)                                  | 19 (25)                  |
| Below median                      | 75 (49)                                | 44 (59)                                  | 31 (41)                  |
| D-dimers                          |                                        |                                          |                          |
| Above median<sup>e</sup>          | 67 (51)                                | 40 (60)                                  | 27 (40)                  |
| Below median<sup>f</sup>          | 64 (49)                                | 50 (78)                                  | 14 (22)                  |
| Ferritine<sup>g</sup>             |                                        |                                          |                          |
| Above median                      | 41 (50)                                | 28 (68)                                  | 13 (32)                  |
| Below median                      | 41 (50)                                | 32 (78)                                  | 9 (22)                   |
| **COVID-19 therapy**             |                                        |                                          |                          |
| (Hydroxy)chloroquine             | 148 (89)                               | 101 (68)                                 | 47 (32)                  |
| No (hydroxy)chloroquine          | 19 (11)                                | 10 (53)                                  | 9 (47)                   |
| Azithromycin                     | 91 (54)                                | 62 (68)                                  | 29 (32)                  |
| No azithromycin                  | 76 (46)                                | 49 (64)                                  | 27 (36)                  |
| Antiretrovirals                  | 103 (62)                               | 65 (63)                                  | 38 (37)                  |
| No antiretrovirals               | 64 (38)                                | 46 (72)                                  | 18 (28)                  |

### Table 3 continued

| Antiviral combination therapy | Patients with hospital outcome, no. (%) | Patients discharged (n = 111)<sup>a</sup> | Patients who died (n = 56) |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| None                         | 11 (6)                                 | 6 (55)                                   | 5 (45)                   |
| (Hydroxy)chloroquine only    | 16 (10)                                | 11 (69)                                  | 5 (31)                   |
| (Hydroxy)chloroquine and retrovirals | 47 (28)                               | 30 (64)                                  | 17 (36)                  |
| (Hydroxy)chloroquine and azithromycin | 34 (20)                               | 27 (79)                                  | 7 (21)                   |
| (Hydroxy)chloroquine, azithromycin, and retrovirals | 51 (31)                               | 33 (65)                                  | 18 (35)                  |
| Other combination therapy    | 8 (5)                                  | 4 (50)                                   | 4 (50)                   |
| Steroids                     | 83 (50)                                | 51 (61)                                  | 32 (39)                  |
| No steroids                   | 84 (50)                                | 60 (71)                                  | 24 (29)                  |
| Anti-interleukin-6 receptor antibody therapy | 22 (13)                               | 15 (68)                                  | 7 (32)                   |
| No anti-interleukin-6 receptor antibody therapy | 145 (87)                              | 96 (66)                                  | 49 (34)                  |
| Steroids and anti-interleukin-6 receptor antibody therapy<sup>h</sup> | 21 (13)                               | 14 (67)                                  | 7 (33)                   |
| No steroids and anti-interleukin-6 receptor antibody therapy<sup>h</sup> | 143 (87)                              | 95 (66)                                  | 48 (34)                  |
| Heparin                       | 109 (65)                               | 77 (71)                                  | 32 (29)                  |
| No heparin                    | 58 (35)                                | 34 (59)                                  | 24 (41)                  |

COVID-19 coronavirus disease 2019, IQR interquartile range.

<sup>a</sup>Includes one patient who remains hospitalized receiving ongoing care.

<sup>b</sup>Data missing for eight patients, six who were discharged and two who died in hospital.

<sup>c</sup>Data missing for 11 patients, six who were discharged and five who died in hospital.

<sup>d</sup>Data missing for 16 patients, ten who were discharged and six who died in hospital.

<sup>e</sup>Data missing for 36 patients, 21 who were discharged and 15 who died in the hospital.

<sup>f</sup>Data missing for 85 patients, 51 who were discharged and 34 who died in the hospital.

<sup>g</sup>Data missing for three patients, two who were discharged and one who died in the hospital.

<sup>h</sup>Data missing for five patients, four who were discharged and one who died in the hospital.
Table 4  Prognostic factors of inpatient mortality in multiple myeloma (MM) patients hospitalized with COVID-19.

| Prognostic factors a | N    | Inpatient mortality, no. (%) | Unadjusted analysis b | Adjusted analysis c | GoF P value | c-statistic (95% CI) |
|----------------------|------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|
|                      |      |                               | Odds ratio (95% CI)   | P value             | Odds ratio (95% CI) | P value |
| All patients         | 167  | 56 (34)                       | 3.7 (1.6–8.3)         | 0.002               | 3. (1.4–8.4)       | 0.006 |
| Age > 65 years       | 112  | 47 (42)                       | 2.3 (1.1–4.4)         | 0.018               | 3.8 (1.7–8.4)       | 0.001 |
| Males                | 95   | 39 (41)                       |                       |                     |             |                    |
| Renal disease        | 32   | 19 (59)                       | 3.9 (1.7–8.7)         | <0.001              | 4.6 (1.9–11.3)     | <0.001 |
| Hypertension         | 67   | 28 (42)                       | 1.8 (0.96–3.5)        | 0.065               | 1.7 (0.8–3.5)      | 0.18  |
| Active disease or progression | 43 | 21 (49) | 2.4 (1.2–4.9) | 0.015 | 2.7 (1.2–6.0) | 0.017 |
| Reference model      | 0.7  | 0.79 (0.72–0.86)              |                       |                     |             |                    |
| MM comorbidities and status at hospital admission for COVID-19 | | | | | | |
| Renal disease        |      |                                |                       |                     |             |                    |
| Hypertension         |      |                                |                       |                     |             |                    |
| Active disease or progression | | | | | | |
| Reference model      |      |                                |                       |                     |             |                    |
| MM features at diagnosis and treatment | | | | | | |
| Monoclonal component, immunoglobulin G | 83 | 22 (27) | 0.5 (0.3–1.0) | 0.05 | 0.6 (0.3–1.3) | 0.18 |
| Renal disease at diagnosis | 45 | 23 (51) | 2.8 (1.4–5.7) | 0.004 | 1.3 (0.4–3.7) | 0.6  |
| Diagnosis in 2020 (time since diagnosis ≤ 3 months) | 25 | 12 (48) | 2.0 (0.9–4.9) | 0.10 | 2.7 (0.7–5.8) | 0.19 |
| Prior stem cell transplantation | 51 | 9 (17) | 0.3 (0.1–0.7) | 0.004 | 0.6 (0.2–1.7) | 0.4  |

CI = confidence interval, COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019, GoF = goodness-of-fit, NA = not applicable.

aPredefined set of well-established prognostic factors assessed before admission; all variables were dichotomized according to standard categories.
bCrude odds ratio and 95% confidence interval.
cEach logistic model included age, sex, myeloma status, comorbidities (hypertension, renal disease) at diagnosis of COVID-19 (reference model), and one variable from the “Multiple myeloma at diagnosis and treatment” set. Calibration and discrimination of the models were assessed with the Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test (GoF P value) and the c-statistic.

study focused solely on patients requiring hospital admission—we did not include MM patients who were treated as outpatients for COVID-19 and either was PCR-positive or just had COVID-19-compatible symptoms since, in such a population, obtaining a complete case history could not be guaranteed.

Participating centers covered the whole geographical territory of Spain, although half of the cases were reported from the Madrid region, where a higher number of COVID-19 cases were confirmed at the national level. A large number of participating hospitals (n = 73) ensured a representative mix of cases. The central data-monitoring unit worked closely with local sites to confirm data and on patient follow-up. Participating centers were long-term contributors to the PETHEMA/GEM research program; local data extractors at each site implemented common data element definitions.

The selection of prognostic factors for estimating adjusted associations with inpatient mortality rate was based on clinical credibility and restricted in number to limit model overfitting. The assessment of internal validity confirmed the stability of the model, and that factor estimates were realistic for similar future patients. We assessed the risk of study bias with the QIPS tool for prognostic factors studies and concluded that the reported results are unlikely to be distorted, spurious, or biased. The independent prognostic factors that we identified were measurements available prior to hospital admission. A prognostic model including these factors could be developed to risk-stratify MM patients and to implement preventive strategies in the event of a healthcare crisis.

As noted, inpatient mortality was higher in MM vs noncancer patients. This occurred despite the similarity between groups in the clinical severity of COVID-19. Rates of comorbidities appeared comparable between groups, except for renal disease, which has emerged as a critical feature in the outcome of SARS-CoV-2-infected MM patients. Interestingly, the presence of lymphopenia and higher levels of D-dimers and ferritin, laboratory findings associated with higher mortality rates in several global COVID-19 patient series, were more common in MM vs noncancer patients. Nevertheless, the mortality rate observed for MM patients is similar to that reported in patients with other cancers (28.6%) and hematologic diseases (33%), as well as immunocompromised patients (27.8%).
The higher inpatient mortality rate in MM vs noncancer patients can be explained through patient-related factors and MM features, besides the biology of the disease itself. Regarding patient-related factors, age >65 years and male sex emerged as two of the most important risk factors predicting inpatient mortality. Notably, and in contrast to noncancer COVID-19 populations, in which 75 years has been reported as the cutoff predicting peak risk of death, the mortality rate in MM did not differ above 65 years of age, suggesting that there are other factors intrinsic to MM that influence the outcome. Regarding MM features, renal insufficiency is a major hallmark of MM (one of the four myeloma-defining events); in the present series, its presence at hospital admission for COVID-19 emerged as the most important factor for survival (OR = 3.8). Renal impairment at diagnosis was also an adverse factor, but this significance was lost in the adjusted multivariate analysis. However, the strength of association with inpatient mortality of renal insufficiency at admission increased, and the independent prognostic value was retained in the multivariate analysis. Indeed, kidney disease as a prognostic factor predicting increased inpatient mortality rate in a general patient population has been previously described in a single-center study in China. By contrast, hypertension as a comorbidity was not a significant factor in the adjusted model. Other MM features such as high-risk cytogenetics and immunoparesis did not influence the outcome; the latter is of interest since humoral immunodeficiency, which is common in MM, would be considered a potential factor for elevated risk. Additionally, we observed that IgG isotype and stage I disease were associated with lower mortality.

Regarding MM status at hospital admission for COVID-19, as would be expected, the presence of uncontrolled disease had a detrimental effect on survival (OR = 2.6) and was one of the independent factors predicting outcome. This would help explain the significantly higher mortality rate observed in patients diagnosed at the time of pandemic (January–April 2020), since in most their disease was not adequately controlled; it is well known that newly diagnosed patients have an elevated risk of mortality due to infectious complications in the first 3 months of treatment. The benefit of disease control (complete/partial response) in the context of COVID-19 would likewise explain the favorable outcome observed in patients who had previously received ASCT since most of them were in response.

The non-participation of MM patients with unconfirmed COVID-19 or who were not hospitalized is a limitation in terms of the broader applicability of the study results. Further studies could be conducted to implement our analysis protocol in a less restricted population of patients. Another limitation is that our study is not able to identify optimal management and treatment of newly diagnosed MM patients with COVID-19.

In conclusion, MM patients hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 infection have a higher inpatient mortality rate than noncancer patients. This had been suspected since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, and consequently national and international societies have published or made general recommendations about optimal management of MM patients. Our study assessed the impact of prior MM treatments based on immunomodulatory drugs, proteasome inhibitors, or monoclonal antibodies, and none of these influenced in the inpatient mortality rate. Although we cannot answer the question of whether to treat or not—and how—during the pandemic, we can stress the need for appropriate disease control in all patients, to minimize hospital visits, particularly in the most vulnerable MM populations such as male patients, those aged >65 years (who are already at high risk, even at this relatively young age), and those with renal impairment, which is the most critical factor. Accordingly, MM patients requiring treatment due to active disease should be tested for COVID-19 by PCR and, if negative, we should proceed to optimize treatment in the most protective environment possible. In patients with the non-active disease, MM treatment could be postponed for 1–2 months; however, if patients are receiving maintenance therapy, treatment should continue. Further research is required to identify the most effective treatments for COVID-19, as well as vaccination strategies that should be employed as early as possible in the most vulnerable patients. Future studies should validate criteria for stratifying MM patients who are at greater risk in a healthcare crisis such as the COVID-19 pandemic and who could benefit from reducing their risk exposure through a more stringent preventative strategy.
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