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Abstract
The aim of our work is to present the universality of burnout syndrome among physicians worldwide and to demonstrate selected aspects of the relationship between patients and doctors as an important factor predisposing doctors to burnout. We looked up 20 original pieces of research from the Medline database published in the last 10 years to determine the prevalence of burnout among doctors in different countries. In all quoted works a remarkable percentage of doctors of interventional and non-interventional specialties suffered burnout. Because it is the relationship with patients that constitutes a key denominator for their work, in the discussion we have exposed an important aspect of it, destructive patient games, described on the basis of transactional analysis. Since universal burnout causes a deterioration of doctors’ service, for the optimal good of the patient to survive preservation of the doctor–patient relationship is needed everywhere.
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Introduction
The concept of burnout was introduced in 1974 by Freudenberger, who initially observed a progressive loss of energy and diminishing interest in work in volunteers in a center for drug addicts [1]. The next example of burnout syndrome was described in people who work in the caring professions, such as the health services, social workers, psychologists, teachers and policemen [2, 3]. Despite abundant research conducted on burnout syndrome and procedures developed to counteract it [4], we demonstrate in this work that burnout syndrome in doctors is still a significant issue of global extent. This suggests that physicians are commonly exposed to a factor predisposing them to burnout that has still not been taken under sufficient control.

So the aim of this work was to ascertain the universality of burnout syndrome among physicians and to indicate particular aspects of the professional relationship between doctor and patient as important factors leading doctors to burnout.

Defining burnout syndrome
Freudenberger, the author of the term burnout, assessed it as a state of tiredness or exhaustion, when one fails to achieve the aim of one’s job
in spite of personal involvement [1]. Maslach was the first to independently describe burnout as a 3-dimensional syndrome including emotional exhaustion, depersonalization and reduced personal accomplishment [4, 5]. A negative work environment, especially work overload, and the belief that they are not able to deliver the high standards of care expected, bring about feelings of permanent nervous strain, irritability and anxiety [4, 5]. This in turn leads to emotional and physical lassitude [4, 5]. To avoid becoming more personally involved, a doctor suffering burnout distances himself even further from the patient, treating him superficially, in a formal way, indifferently or with a negative attitude (depersonalization) [4, 5]. What is more, a burned-out employee evaluates the effects of such work as unsatisfactory, experiencing a sensation of diminished personal accomplishment [4, 5]. Feeling of guilt due to experiencing low competence at work appears, as well as the dread of another working day, and the tendency to avoidance behavior (such as sickness absence from work) or aggressive behavior and even abandonment of work [4, 5].

Further descriptions of burnout are based on Maslach’s definition. Perlman and Hartman defined burnout as emotional and physical weariness, depersonalization and reduced productivity as a result of chronic emotional stress at work [6]. According to Pines and Aronson, burnout is a state of emotional, mental and physical exhaustion due to chronic exposure to situations of emotional overload [7].

Material and methods
To assess the prevalence of burnout syndrome among doctors of different specialties and in different work environments, we looked up original papers from the resources of the Medline database, with inclusion and exclusion criteria stated below.

Inclusion criteria:
1. Original papers were searched for with the phrases “burnout, professional” and “epidemiology or health survey or prevalence” and, as the next phrase, the name of a medical specialty, whether it was invasive or non-invasive, or, in one case, primary care.
2. Phrases applied to interventional specialties were “surgery” and “intensive care”.
3. Phrases relating to non-interventional specialties were “otolaryngology”, “ophthalmology”, “psychiatry”, “radiology”, “oncology”, “gynecology” and “hematology”.
4. Data on burnout among family doctors were searched for by adding “family practice or general practitioner or primary care” to the set of 2 phrases described in point 1.
5. The study group of physicians described in an original paper should consist of optimally about 100 physicians or more; the lowest number of physicians in a study group should be close to 30.
6. Papers discussing factors predisposing doctors to burnout were preferable.
7. Papers published in the last 10 years were preferable; an older one was taken into account due to the particularly high number of the study group.

Exclusion criteria:
1. Original papers concerning a low number of the study group of physicians, significantly below 30.
2. If a previously chosen paper concerned doctors’ burnout in a particular country, a consecutive paper concerning another country was preferable, unless another paper, regarding the same country, was considered which especially fulfilled the inclusion criteria stated in point 6 or 7 above.
3. If a previously chosen paper concerned doctors’ burnout in a particular year, a consecutive paper concerning a different year was preferable, unless another paper, regarding the same year, was considered which especially fulfilled the inclusion criteria stated in point 6 or 7 above.
4. If a previously chosen paper concerned doctors’ burnout in a particular work environment, a consecutive paper concerning another environment was preferable, unless another paper regarding the same environment was considered which especially fulfilled the inclusion criteria stated in point 6 or 7 above.

Because we wanted to preserve a concise style in presenting information on burnout among doctors, we finally selected a total of 20 original papers describing the issue of the universality of burnout syndrome among doctors of different specialties, in diverse countries, various medical care systems, miscellaneous work environments and in different time spans for the research on burnout.

As planned, the results of these papers were collected in 3 groups: the prevalence of burnout among anesthesiologists and physicians of an interventional specialty, among family doctors and among physicians of other specialties.

Results
Based on the assessment of intensity in three rudimentary dimensions of burnout syndrome, the results of the research given below document the enormous prevalence of the syndrome in doctors of interventional and non-interventional specialties.

Prevalence of burnout among physicians of interventional specialties and anesthesiologists

Conducted in the United Kingdom, a survey of over 500 vascular and colorectal surgeons revealed that 1/3 of them revealed features of burnout syndrome [8]. As many as 3/4 of enrolled doctors intended to take early retirement before reaching pension age [8]. Fifty percent of 61 orthopedic and trauma surgeons examined in Saudi Arabia displayed emotional exhaustion and depersonalization [9].
Fra in 13% of nearly 300 Spanish anesthesiologists [10]. Astonishing outcomes were found in a survey of Portuguese anesthesiologists. Emotional exhaustion was recognized in 57.8% and depersonalization in as many as 91%! [11]. In Germany 1/4 of 89 enrolled anesthesiologists scored high values of emotional exhaustion and depersonalization, while in 1/5 of them a sense of low personal accomplishment was found [12]. The next 1/4 of physicians involved in the study were considered as being at risk of occurrence of burnout syndrome [12].

**Burnout syndrome among family doctors**

Lee found that almost 50% of 123 Canadian family doctors achieved high degree of emotional exhaustion and depersonalization [13]. Similar to these results, the prevalence of emotional exhaustion (53%) and depersonalization (42%) was observed among family doctors in Majorca [14].

A study on a group of nearly 500 Hungarian family doctors revealed emotional exhaustion in 30%, depersonalization in 60%, and low personal accomplishment in 100% of enrolled physicians [15].

Other interesting results were highlighted in 2 surveys conducted in Switzerland. In the first, a moderate or high degree of burnout was recognized in 1/3 of almost 1800 family physicians [16]. In the second study, a comparison of prevalence of burnout syndrome among oncologists, pediatricians and family doctors was assessed [17]. All examined groups exhibited a similar prevalence of known features of burnout, including emotional exhaustion in 33%, but the most prominent risk of its occurrence was found only in family doctors [17].

In 2008 a survey called the European General Practice Research Network Burnout Study Group Study (EGPRN) was published, involving nearly 1400 family doctors was assessed [17]. All examined physicians did not reach high values of burnout in any of its 3 dimensions [18].

**Burnout syndrome among physicians of other specialties**

In the United States, a high or moderate state of burnout syndrome was discovered in 84% of 107 professors of otolaryngology [19]. What is more, in only 9% of 101 professors of ophthalmology were features of burnout syndrome not found [20].

Two thirds of psychiatrists from New Zealand revealed a moderate or high degree of emotional exhaustion and low sense of personal accomplishment [21]. A high degree of emotional exhaustion was also confirmed among Italian psychiatrists [22].

Almost 80% of Polish radiologists exhibited a high or moderate degree of burnout syndrome [23].

An enormous prevalence of burnout syndrome was also found among Brazilian oncologists – 69% [24]. A similar occurrence of burnout was noted in a group of 600 American oncologists – 56% [25]. In Australia, more than 35% of gynecological oncologists demonstrated a high degree of emotional exhaustion. Close to 50% of them had considered a change of job in the previous 6 months [26]. In a group of nearly 400 hematological oncologists, a marked degree of emotional exhaustion and depersonalization was found in 32% of physicians [27].

**Discussion**

The above data confirm the universality of the prevalence of burnout syndrome among physicians of different specialties and from different countries. In the research cited above, the most frequently mentioned factor predisposing to burnout was work overload, mostly defined as work over 35 h a week [12, 19, 20, 28, 29] and work during the weekend or at night [12, 19] with concomitant high expectations of ill people [22, 30, 31]. This work overload for a doctor means most frequently a necessity to establish more contacts with patients. Therefore, a basis of the burnout of physicians from different cultural circles, and multiple health service systems, is linked to their relationships with patients.

**Destructive games between patient and doctor**

It is easier to experience more strains in contact with demanding patients in conditions of longer work time, which in the above-quoted research and surveys was depicted as a factor predisposing to burnout [12, 19, 20, 28, 29]. What is more, the contact between a patient and a doctor is frequently not limited to the practical exchange of information between these two parties. Since, as always, contact involving a personal relationship may have for its participants different aims, and take the shape of destructive interpersonal games, it brings for a doctor a lot of strains, including emotional ones [32]. To depict examples of burden for physicians derived from such games we describe some of them, codified by authors of transactional analysis, beneath [32]. The crux of the games is depicted in Table I.

**Wooden leg**

We would consider it understandable for someone with a wooden leg to be less fit than a healthy man. Therefore, the crux of the game “wooden leg” is, by means of a given disability, justification for choices or behavior which in the average person may be considered unacceptable. Berne, in his book “Games People Play”, described the example of...
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Table I. Outline of the interpersonal games described in this work

| Name of the game | Crux of the game |
|------------------|-----------------|
| Wooden leg       | Possessing a disability as a justification for choices or behavior which in the average person may be considered unacceptable |
| Why don’t you... Yes, but | The player reports a problem despite having been presented with solutions to it, to gain confirmation that his/her issue is “insoluble” |
| Now I’ve got you  | If one party of a usually not-clearly-defined contract even slightly exceeds its boundaries, the other party, the player, thinks he/she is permitted to react in a forceful way, possibly with full rage |
| How do you get out of here? | In case of failure of repeated mock efforts the player can avoid a possible consequence of achieving success and feel “justified” in this situation |
| Clinic           | Because both parties of a contract benefit from it they do not want to give it up, even if there is really no need to continue it |

a stammerer who had been searching only for the post of a salesman, even though he might have been able to take another job [32]. We may imagine that the inability to find a job as a salesman might have been used as an excuse to remain unemployed (“I cannot find a job as a salesman; therefore I am not capable of working at all”).

In this game some dangers will arise both for the patient and for the doctor. If a doctor accepts the patient’s game, he will wrongly assure him of his right to receive entitlements or privileges. Moreover, gaining benefits from this game will be the rationale behind remaining ill. However, if the doctor reveals his disapproval of the game, this may be treated as groundless rejection by the disabled man, all the more unjust because it has been made by a man called to provide him with help. In this situation a patient may lodge a complaint to the doctor’s superiors, which for a doctor may result in various formal legal consequences.

Having an “ill man” in the family may constitute a benefit for his family members, who have an opportunity to justify their situation or activity (for example, “the relationships in our family would be quite different if we didn’t have an impaired child”). After carrying out treatment, a marked improvement of such a patient’s health may bring about a negative response from the patient’s family towards a doctor.

Why don’t you... Yes, but

This game is based on the fact that a man is still reporting a problem despite having been presented with solutions to it. It is compounded by a lack of help from his supporters, with a final lack of ideas on the doctor’s part to reach a resolution. Initially, the “man with a problem” may gain a benefit in the shape of confirmation that his issue is “insoluble” (“I may be justified, because in this situation one can do nothing”) or possessing a sense of his position having been defended (“I managed to win in spite of attempts to beat me”). In the doctor-patient relationship, this game may be revealed with the astonishing ineffectiveness of successive therapies regarding the patient’s complaint (for example, one drug is considered to be ineffective, another is not tolerated, another is too expensive to buy, etc.) until the doctor’s ideas for further treatment are exhausted. Over successive appointments, the patient may express his dissatisfaction with lack of effectiveness of the doctor’s activities addressing his health problem.

Now I’ve got you

The basis for this game is a situation in which one party is obliged to perform a determined activity, while the second party performs another previously defined activity in exchange for something. If upon realizing such a contract exists one side even slightly exceeds the boundaries (especially those not clearly defined), the other side will react in a forceful way, able to vent all his rage.

In this game, the patient will go to the doctor expecting from him a cure. In turn, the doctor will expect from the patient reasonable cooperation in the conducted diagnostics and therapy. If the doctor is going to order successive accessory examinations or specialist advice due to an unclear clinical feature, the patient may respond in an aggressive manner, accusing him or the whole health service of incompetence (additionally he may lodge a complaint to all superiors of the doctor).

The benefit from this game for the player may constitute confirmation that other people are not fair to him, so in this way his hostile and untrusting approach to them is justifiable.

How do you get out of here?

This game may concern people under a treatment regimen, for example patients in hospital. The player – a patient – while staying in hospital, announces to those around him he wants to “get out of this nasty place as soon as possible” and he will do everything necessary to achieve this goal. During
Each and every round he raises the topic: “When at last are you going to discharge me?”. But when the moment of discharge finally arrives, an “unexpected situation” arises, making leaving the hospital impossible, e.g. a sudden worsening of his health. In this way a man “desperate to get out” avoids returning to the outside world, at the same time having “a doctor’s excuse” for it (“I tried so hard – this is why it is not my fault it failed”).

Clinic

This game is based on a distinct mutual benefit within the framework of an informal contract, and the party who breaks the rules should be punished by the other side. An example of this game may be a situation where a patient is being treated in a renowned center, but despite protracted specialist treatment no cure has been provided. In this game, neither of the parties is really interested in the cure because, in the event of total health restoration, the patient would lose access to broad diagnostics and the constant care of top specialists, which means a sense of safety and his exceptionality. By the same token, the center would miss an established fee for his treatment, or an opportunity for further scientific analysis of this case. If a sudden and unexpected cure by a new doctor “unaware of the game” is found, the patient might bring a complaint against this doctor or the medical center (accusing the doctor of a hasty and careless attitude to the patient’s complicated case, and in this way contesting obtained results of the treatment).

Loss of personal resources as a result of contact with patients

Excessively long working hours and patients’ large demands are the most frequently mentioned factors predisposing to burnout given in research [12, 19, 20, 22, 28-31]. These make doctors devote an ever greater amount of personal resources to patients. According to Hobfoll [33] personal resources comprise possessed skills and abilities, socio-professional status, energy resources (like money or time) and material objects. The essence of one’s satisfaction is conservation of resources. Loss of some resources or lack of their expected increase may constitute a stressful situation [33]. To compensate for a loss of some resources an individual may use other acquired resources. In the above-mentioned situation, a doctor invests his own resources in professional work at the cost of different possibilities, for example time devoted to the family. But when this investment does not give the expected results, or leads to further diminishing of resources (as in the case of consecutive strains due to the above-mentioned games), not being replaced with a satisfactory increase in other resources, stress, disorganization of functioning, and a sense of lack of effectiveness are going to occur. And this constitutes grounds for burnout syndrome.

Sense of lack of competence at work (I can’t get no satisfaction)

According to Cherniss, the lack of possibility of professional satisfaction, the sense of lack of competence at work, is of key importance for the occurrence of burnout syndrome. Cherniss distinguishes 4 types of attitude to professional work, and 2 of them predispose to burnout syndrome [34]. The first type is a social activist, who does more for his clients than is required. The second one is an artisan, who still aspires to improvement of his professional competence. Both types of people, under conditions of recurrent strain between the committed investment of their own resources and the excessive demands issued at work, undergo emotional exhaustion. They first experience the feeling that they are not gaining professional competence. That is, they sense the lack of confirmation of how effective they are at work. It resembles the sense of low professional accomplishment previously described by Maslach [4]. So in the doctor-patient relationship, the basis for a doctor to regard his competence as low will be the repeated demands and complaints of “difficult patients”, despite his very real and strong concern for their problems.

Cherniss presents two other types of people with a different attitude to work, who do not sense so strongly the association between a clients’ concerns and their competence [34]. They are the careerist, for whom their job is only a source of material benefits and professional prestige, and the self-investor, who finds life satisfaction mainly outside his work.

Burnout as a loss of the sense of existence

An element of disappointment coming from contact with a recipient of help was already considered important for the pathogenesis of burnout by Freudenberger [1]. According to Pines, it contributes to the loss of the significance of work and even the meaning of life, perceived predominantly through the prism of work by someone involved in his profession [35].

A firm feeling of the meaning of life is the most important power motivating man, according to Frankl [36]. It gives direction to our actions, makes them coherent, and our personal value system is in direct correlation with it. Furthermore, the loss of the meaning of life clinically manifests itself as apathy (existential emptiness) or existential neurosis.

Therefore, experiencing a lack of fulfillment at work, especially due to difficult contact with patients, is of great significance for doctors today. It
may become for them a cause of the loss of the meaning of life [37].

Conclusions

The amazing universality of burnout syndrome among doctors working in different countries and under diverse conditions suggests that the essential reason for burnout is grounded in the common aspect of their daily work: their relationship with patients. Excessive psycho-emotional exploitation resulting from contact with patients is not only detrimental to the health of doctors committed to providing a professional service, but it additionally affects the quality of medical services provided, thereby negatively influencing patients’ health, as well. Therefore, to ensure that the good of the patient remains the focus of the health service providing the care, the needs and wellbeing of the doctor should also be taken care of.
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