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**ABSTRACT**

We use broadband sensitive LOFAR observations in the 25–80 MHz frequency range to study the single-pulse emission properties of the mode-switching pulsar PSR B0943+10. We review the derivation of magnetospheric geometry, originally based on low-frequency radio data, and show that the geometry is less strongly constrained than previously thought. This may be used to help explain the large fractional amplitudes of the observed thermal X-ray pulsations from the polar cap, which contradicted the almost aligned rotator model of PSR B0943+10. We analyse the properties of drifting subpulses in the Bright mode and report on the short-scale (minutes) variations of the drift period. We searched for the periodic amplitude modulation of drifting subpulses, which is a vital argument for constraining several important system parameters: the degree of aliasing, the orientation of the line-of-sight vector with respect to magnetic and spin axes, the angular velocity of the carousel, and thus, the gradient of the accelerating potential in the polar gap. The periodic amplitude modulation was not detected, indicating that it may be a rare or narrow-band phenomenon. Based on our non-detection and review of the available literature, we chose to leave the aliasing order unconstrained and derived the number of sparks under different assumptions about the aliasing order and geometry angles. Contrary to the previous findings, we did not find a large (of the order of 10%) gradual variation of the separation between subpulses throughout Bright mode. We speculate that this large variation of subpulse separation may be due to the incorrect accounting for the curvature of the line of sight within the on-pulse window. Finally, we report on the frequency-dependent drift phase delay, which is similar to the delay reported previously for PSR B0809+74. We provide a quantitative explanation of the observed frequency-dependent drift phase delay within the carousel model.
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1. Introduction

PSR B0943+10 (originally named PP 0943, with PP standing for “Pushchino pulsar”) is one of several dozen pulsars that have been discovered in the late 1960s, during the very first few years of pulsar astronomy (Vitkevich et al. 1969). It is a relatively old (characteristic age $\tau \approx 5$ Myr), non-recycled pulsar, mostly known for its two stable, recurring modes of electromagnetic emission.

Once in a few hours, PSR B0943+10 switches abruptly between so-called “Bright” and “Quiet” modes (hereafter B and Q; Suleymanova & Izvekova 1984). At radio frequencies, the pulsar is a few times brighter in B mode, and its single pulses form regular drifting patterns within the on-pulse longitude window (Taylor & Huguenin 1971). With the onset of Q mode, the drift disappears, the flux density drops, and the shape of the average profile changes (Suleymanova & Izvekova 1984; Suleymanova et al. 1998). Mode transitions also affect the properties of the high-energy emission of the pulsar (Herrsen et al. 2013). In X-rays, the pulsar is $\sim 2.4$ times brighter in the radio-quiet mode, and the emission has a different spectral shape than in B mode. The X-ray emission from both modes has a pulsed thermal component that originates in a hot polar cap (Mereghetti et al. 2016).

The broadband nature of the mode-switching phenomenon may point to some global-scale magnetospheric transformation during the mode transition (Timokhin 2010). However, the exact mechanism of the mode-switching remains unclear.

Observing PSR B0943+10 with the new generation of sensitive low-frequency radio telescopes with large fractional bandwidths may provide valuable input to solving the mode-switching puzzle. At frequencies below 200 MHz, the average profile morphology starts to evolve rapidly in the B and Q modes. Within the framework of radius-to-frequency mapping theory (Cordes 1978), this means that below 200 MHz, a greater range of emission heights can be observed at once. At the same time, the pulsar is quite bright in this frequency range, so that it is possible to track the changes in the average profile shape on timescales of a few minutes and also to observe the individual pulses directly.

In our previous paper (Bilous et al. 2014, hereafter B14), we used 21 hours of LOFAR observations (mainly in the 25–80 MHz frequency range) to explore the frequency evolution in the average profile of PSR B0943+10 and to follow the gradual changes in profile shape within several mode instances. We here explore the B-mode subpulse drift in the 25–80 MHz frequency range based on the three observing sessions from B14. The subpulse drift is traditionally explained to occur through the influence of the gradient of the accelerating potential in the polar gap, which causes the fixed configuration of the emitting region to rotate slowly around the magnetic axis (“carousel model”) (Ruderman & Sutherland 1975; Van Leeuwen & Timokhin 2012). Thus, the drift properties are linked directly to conditions in the polar gap and provide a handle on the magnetospheric state in general.
For PSR B0943+10, the properties of drifting single pulses were also used to establish the basic magnetospheric geometry: the angles between spin and magnetic axes, and the impact angle of the observer’s line of sight (LOS). Based on the analysis of the frequency-dependent separation between B-mode average profile components and the brief presence of a 37-period modulation in the amplitudes of drifting subpulses, Deshpande & Rankin (2001) concluded that PSR B0943+10 is an almost aligned rotator. Such a geometry is hard to reconcile with the large fractional amplitude of the polar cap X-ray pulsations that were found recently (Mereghetti et al. 2016).

A brief introduction of the observing setup in Sect. 2 in Sect. 3, we review the derivation of the geometry in DR01 and test it against LOFAR observations. We outline the data processing and drift parameterisation in Sect. 4. In Sects. 5 and 6, we present the search for subpulse periodicity in both modes, confirm the lack of periodicity in Q mode, and describe the observed properties of the drift in B mode. In Sect. 7, we attempt to constrain the number of sparks using so-called “phase tracks” (variations of immediate drift phase within the on-pulse window).

Owing to the broadband nature of our observations, we were able to detect a so-called frequency-dependent phase delay, first discovered for PSR B0809+74 by Hassall et al. (2013). In addition to variation within the on-pulse window, single pulses at different parts of the observing band have different drift phases at the same time. In Section 8, we show for the first time that the frequency-dependent phase delay of PSR B0943+10 has a simple quantitative geometric explanation within the carousel model. We give a short summary of our findings and conclude in Sect. 9.

2. Observations

PSR B0943+10 was observed with the low-band antennas (LBAs) of the LOFAR core stations at three epochs, with a total observing time of 15.8 hours. Raw complex voltages of two linear polarisations from each station were coherently summed, and the total intensity samples were recorded in a filterbank format. We here work with the uncalibrated total intensity signal. For the expected amounts of fractional linear and circular polarisations of PSR B0943+10 (Rankin & Suleymanova 2006), the contamination of Stokes I by the leakage from the other components of the Stokes vector is expected to be small, of the order of few percent (Kondratiev et al. 2016; Noutsos et al. 2015). The pulsar was detected in the frequency range of 25 – 80 MHz. A short summary of the observations is given in Table 1 which lists the observation ID (ObsID), the date, and pulsar mode coverage for each session. For details on the observing setup and initial data processing, we refer to B14.

3. Magnetospheric geometry of PSR B0943+10

Two essential components of magnetospheric geometry are the inclination angle, \( \alpha \), and the impact angle, \( \beta \). In general, \( \alpha \) is defined as the angle between the spin and magnetic axes, and \( \beta \) is the angle between the magnetic axis and the observer’s LOS at the moment of closest approach. In this moment, the LOS passes through the plane defined by the spin and magnetic axes, called the fiducial plane (Fig. 1). Unfortunately, there is no uniform convention for the range of possible values of \( \alpha \) and the sign of \( \beta \) in the published works (see discussion in Everett & Weisberg 2001 hereafter EW01). For example, DR01 measured \( \alpha \) from the rotational pole that is closer to the observer and is confined to the first quadrant: \( 0^\circ < \alpha < 90^\circ \). For any \( \alpha \), the impact angle, \( \beta \), is negative when the LOS passes “polewards” from the magnetic axis (“inside traverse”) and positive otherwise (“outside traverse”).

A more uniform convention was adopted by EW01; this is used in this paper as well. The geometry in EW01 is determined by the inclination angle, \( \alpha \), and the viewing angle, \( \zeta \), which is the angle between the spin axis and the LOS. Both \( \alpha \) and \( \zeta \) are measured clockwise from the direction of the angular momentum vector of the pulsar and can have any value between \( 0^\circ \) and \( 180^\circ \). The impact angle, \( \beta \), is measured clockwise from the direction of magnetic axis and is therefore positive when \( \zeta > \alpha \) and negative otherwise.

3.1. Derivation of geometry in DR01

Deshpande & Rankin (2001) started their derivation by analysing the frequency dependence of the observed profile width \( w(\nu) \), which can be related to the frequency-dependent
opening angle of the emission cone $\rho (v)$ by the expression \cite{Gil1984}:

$$
cos \rho = \cos \alpha \cos (\alpha + \beta) + \sin \alpha \sin (\alpha + \beta) \cos (v/2).
$$

The authors take the functional form of the $\rho (v)$ dependence from the study of Mitra & Deshpande \cite{Mitra1999} hereafter MD99, who analysed the multi-frequency width measurements of 37 pulsars with both cone and core components. For these pulsars, $\alpha$ was taken from the limiting width of the core component, $w = 2.45^\circ/\sqrt{P_1 \sin \alpha}$ and $\beta$ from the maximum value of the PA gradient \cite{Rankin1999, Rankin1993b, Lyne1988}. It is worth mentioning that for some of the pulsars in the sample of MD99, different $\alpha$ and $\beta$ were later obtained by EW01. MD99 fitted their multi-frequency $\rho (v)$ measurements with the relation:

$$
\rho (v) = \rho_\infty (1 + K v^{-0.5}) P^{-0.5}.
$$

Here $\rho_\infty$ is the opening angle of the emission cone at infinite radio frequency, and $P^{-0.5}$ is the inverse square root of the pulsar period (see also \cite{Rankin1993a}).

Deshpande & Rankin \cite{Deshpande2001} defined $w$ as the distance between the outer half-maximum points on the average profile. The authors performed least-squares fits of Eqs. (1) and (2) to seven measurements of $w$ at frequencies between 25 and 111 MHz. They used a set of trial $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\alpha$, while leaving $K$ fixed at the best-fit value from MD99, $K = 0.066$. The opening angle of the emission cone at infinite frequency was set by $\rho_1$ $\mathrm{GHz} = \rho_\infty \times 1.066 \times P^{-0.5}$ at the values for the inner and outer cone from Rankin \cite{Rankin1993a}, namely at $\rho_1$ $\mathrm{GHz} = 4.3^\circ$ and $5.7^\circ$, respectively (B14 erroneously quoted these values as coming from MD99).

The fit resulted in three solution branches: “inside traverse”, “outside traverse”, and “pole-in-cone” models. For all three models the choice of $\rho_1$ $\mathrm{GHz}$ had little influence on the quality of the fit. Quoting DR01, “it appears that models with any reasonable 1-GHz $\rho$ values will behave similarly”.

The best-fit $\alpha$ and $\beta$ from all three models can then be used to calculate the swing of the linearly polarised radiation position angle under the assumptions of the rotating vector model (RVM, \cite{Radhakrishnan1969}), and the results can be compared to the observations. For this comparison, DR01 used the absolute value of the maximum gradient of the PA angle $|R_{\phi A}| = |\sin \alpha / \sin \beta|$ (see also \cite{Rankin1993a} and the discussion in EW01). The inside and outside traverse models resulted in $|R_{\phi A}|$ similar to the observed value, whereas the pole-in-cone model yielded an incompatible $R_{\phi A}$ and thus was rejected.

The inside traverse model gave the following pairs of geometry angles: $\alpha_{\mathrm{DR}} = 15.39^\circ$ and $\beta_{\mathrm{DR}} = -5.69^\circ$ for the outer-cone $\rho_1$ $\mathrm{GHz}$, and $\alpha_{\mathrm{DR}} = 11.58^\circ$ and $\beta_{\mathrm{DR}} = -4.29^\circ$ for the inner-cone $\rho_1$ $\mathrm{GHz}$. The values for the outside traverse model were similar, but with positive $\beta$. The outside and inside traverse geometries prescribed different behaviour of the magnetic azimuth $\phi (\phi)$, since for the small $\phi - \phi_0$, it is proportional to $\sin (\alpha + \beta)$ (see Sect. [7]). The rate of magnetic azimuth change can be externally constrained if the number of sparks in the carousel $N$ is known: the change in magnetic azimuth between the adjacent subpulses detected within the same pulse period should be equal to $360^\circ/N$. DR01 deduced $N = 20$ from the $37 P_1$ modulation of the drifting subpulse amplitudes, observed in a subset of their pulse stacks (see Sect. [6.2]). The inside traverse model predicts the correct number of sparks, whereas the outside traverse model predicts approximately eight sparks and therefore is rejected.

3.2. Geometry fit with LOFAR observations

We repeated this analysis based on 45 $\phi_{\phi A}$ values from four observing sessions in B14. These measurements were obtained by fitting two Gaussian components to the session-integrated average B-mode profiles at frequencies between 26 and 80 MHz. We chose to fit the separation between components, not the full subpulse amplitudes, observed in a subset of their pulse stacks (see Sect. [6.2]) to calculate the swing of the linearly polarised radiation position angle $|R_{\phi A}|$.

Similarly to DR01, we find two solution branches: one with $\alpha < 90^\circ$, and another with $\alpha > 90^\circ$, corresponding to outside and inside traverses in their notation (see Fig. 2). Since we did not detect a $37 P_1$ modulation of the subpulse amplitudes (Sect. [6.2]), we chose not to reject the outside traverse branch.

The outside and inside traverse models constrain $\beta$ quite well, but give comparable values of $\chi^2$ for a wide range of $\alpha$. To constrain $\alpha$ further, we used $R_{\phi A} = 2.9 \pm 0.5^\circ$ from \cite{Suleymanova1998}. This range reflects the likely uncertainty on $R_{\phi A}$; other works also give $R_{\phi A} = -2.7^\circ$ (DR01) and $-3.0^\circ$ (Backus et al. 2010). Similarly to EW01, we used the following expression for the $R_{\phi A}$:

$$
R_{\phi A} = \frac{dP_A}{d\phi}|_{\phi=\phi_0} = \frac{-\sin \alpha}{\sin \beta}.
$$

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
 & outside traverse & inside traverse \\
\hline
$\alpha_{\mathrm{DR}} > 0^\circ$ & $\alpha_{\mathrm{EW}} < 90^\circ$ & $\alpha_{\mathrm{DR}} = \alpha_{\mathrm{EW}}$ \\
$\beta_{\mathrm{DR}} > 0^\circ$ & $\beta_{\mathrm{EW}} > 0^\circ$ & $\beta_{\mathrm{DR}} = \beta_{\mathrm{EW}}$ \\
$\alpha_{\mathrm{DR}} > 0^\circ$ & $\alpha_{\mathrm{EW}} < 90^\circ$ & $\alpha_{\mathrm{DR}} = 180^\circ - \alpha_{\mathrm{EW}}$ \\
$\beta_{\mathrm{DR}} < 0^\circ$ & $\beta_{\mathrm{EW}} > 0^\circ$ & $\beta_{\mathrm{DR}} = -\beta_{\mathrm{EW}}$ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Table 2. Relationship between the conventions for inclination angle $\alpha$ and impact angle $\beta$ in DR01 and EW01 for the “outside traverse” and “inside traverse” branches of the geometry fit in DR01.}
\end{table}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{geometry.png}
\caption{Pulsar orientation angles for the two branches of our geometry fit. The pulsar rotates counter-clockwise, and the spin axis is shown by the vertical arrow. The shorter green arrows show the magnetic axis, and the brown arrows show the LOS. The branches are labelled “outside traverse” and “inside traverse” to match the notation of DR01; “inside”/“outside” refers to the relative position of the LOS direction with respect to the smaller angle between the spin and magnetic axes. We follow the definition of $\alpha, \beta$ in EW01, which is different from the one used by DR01. The conversion between the two notations is given in Table 2.}
\end{figure}
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Fig. 3. Pulsar orientation angles based on the analysis of frequency-dependent component separation and the PA sweep rate versus the assumed value of the emission cone opening angle at 1 GHz, $\rho_{1\,\text{GHz}}$. The black lines show $a(\rho_{1\,\text{GHz}})$ and $b(\rho_{1\,\text{GHz}})$ for $R_{\text{lo}} = -2.9^\circ$. Shaded regions mark a $\pm 0.5^\circ$ uncertainty in $R_{\text{lo}}$, where the lower edge corresponds to a lower absolute value of $R_{\text{lo}}$. The stars mark the DR01 geometry solutions corresponding to the inner and outer cone $\rho_{1\,\text{GHz}}$ (white and black, respectively). The angles were converted into the EW01 notation according to Table 2.

Fig. 4. Example of a drifting subpulse sequence. $P_3$ corresponds to the observed longitudinal spacing between subpulses, and $P_1$ is the amount of time between subpulses that appear at the same longitude. Here, $P_3$ is equal to the integer number of the rotation periods, but this is not necessarily true in general.

which fixes a widespread error regarding the direction of position angle growth on the sky: the classical expression for the PA swing in RVM was derived assuming that the PA increases clockwise on the sky, whereas the actually measured PA increases counter-clockwise on the sky (following the standard astronomical convention, see for example van Straten et al. 2010).

In contrast to DR01, the outside traverse resulted in a better fit in our case, with a reduced $\chi^2$ of about 2.7, while the inside traverse gave about 3.9. The fit was consistently better at steeper values of $R_{\text{lo}}$, but we did not try to minimise the $\chi^2$ by varying $R_{\text{lo}}$. We note that fitting for $K$ in Eq. 2 and with better measurements of PA may result in better $\chi^2$, but exploring this is beyond the scope of the current analysis. It is also possible that the errors on $\phi_{\text{rep}}$, set by the two-Gaussian fit in B14, are underestimated.

Similarly to DR01, we found that the quality of fit was the same for the inner and outer cone values of $\rho_{1\,\text{GHz}}$. Considering that $\rho_{1\,\text{GHz}}$ has a direct influence on the derived $(\alpha, \beta)$ and given the absence of any additional information about the opening angle of the PSR B0943+10 emission beam (although the steep spectral evolution of the separation between profile components may give preference to the outer cone, with $\rho_{1\,\text{GHz}}$ close to 5.7°, Rankin 1993a), it seems reasonable to explore the possible range of $(\alpha, \beta)$ values under the assumption that $\rho_{1\,\text{GHz}}$ lies somewhere within the values found in MD99. Thus, we repeated the fit for a set of trial $2^\circ < \rho_{1\,\text{GHz}} < 8^\circ$, loosely corresponding to the $2.9^\circ < \rho_{1\,\text{GHz}} < 7.3^\circ$ span of calculated $\rho_{1\,\text{GHz}}$ in the sample of pulsars in MD99 for $P_1 \approx 1.1$ s. The $(\alpha, \beta)$ for different $\rho_{1\,\text{GHz}}$ are plotted in Fig. 3. The errors on $(\alpha, \beta)$ are set by the uncertainties in $R_{\text{lo}}$. The power-law index in Eq. 2, $a$, was 0.45 for outside traverse and 0.33 for inside traverse. As a rule of thumb, for any chosen $\rho_{1\,\text{GHz}},$ $\beta/\rho_{1\,\text{GHz}}$, in line with DR01’s statement that the LOS grazes the edge of the emission cone at frequencies $\gtrsim 1$ GHz. The inclination angle $\alpha$ for the outside traverse, and $180^\circ - \alpha$ for the inside traverse, increases with $\rho_{1\,\text{GHz}}$, approximately as $3\rho_{1\,\text{GHz}}$. Deshpande & Rankin (2001) give the numerical values of the geometry angles only for the inside traverse solution branch. For the inner and outer cone $\rho_{1\,\text{GHz}}$, their $(\alpha, \beta)$ are within our range of possible values (Fig. 3).

4. Single-pulse analysis: data processing and drift parameterisation

For the single-pulse analysis, the signal was de-dispersed, integrated in frequency within several sub-bands, and folded synchronously with the topocentric pulsar period to form frequency-resolved “pulse stacks”, $s(\phi, t)$, 2D arrays of the uncalibrated total intensity as a function of rotational longitude and time. In this notation, time is assumed to be constant within each rotational period: $t = [\text{pulse number}] \times P_1$.

The signal within each pulse period and sub-band was normalised by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation of noise in the off-pulse window. Pulse stacks from the B and Q modes were analysed separately, for which the few-second region around the mode transition was removed. The mode transitions were identified visually through abrupt (within a few rotation periods) start or cessation of the subpulse drifting patterns.

In this paper we adopt the somewhat simplified version of the drift parameterisation from the work of Edwards & Stappers (2003, hereafter ES03). The authors treated pulse stacks as the sum of steady and drifting components (the steady component can also depend on $\phi$ and $t$, but in aperiodical fashion). The drifting component can be expressed as

$$s_{\text{drift}}(\phi, t) \sim A(\phi) \times \Re \left[ \exp \left( 2\pi i t/\hat{P}_3 + i\theta(\phi) \right) \right].$$

(4)
Here, \( A(\phi) \) is the variation in the pulse amplitudes within the on-pulse window, on average described by the shape of the average profile, \( \tilde{P}_3 \) is the observed modulation period along the lines of constant \( \phi \), and \( \theta(\phi) \) is the so-called “drift phase”. The drift phase accrues \( 360^\circ \) between two adjacent subpulses that are recorded within the same pulse period. The observed longitudinal spacing between such subpulses is called \( P_2 \):

\[
\hat{P}_2 = P_1 \left[ \frac{d\theta}{d\phi} \right]^{-1}.
\]

A cartoon with drifting subpulses together with their respective \( \hat{P}_2 \) and \( \hat{P}_3 \) is shown in Fig. 4.

As noted by ES03, for the parameterisation given by Eq. 4, the apparent direction of drift (i.e. whether pulses seem to march towards the leading or trailing edges of the profile) depends not on the sign of \( \hat{P}_3 \) or \( d\theta/d\phi \), but on whether they both have the same sign (in general, the sign of \( d\theta/d\phi \) is constant throughout the on-pulse window, although a few exceptions are known, see Champion et al. 2009; Weltevrede et al. 2006; Szary & van Leeuwen 2017). If the sign of \( d\theta/d\phi \) (and thus \( \hat{P}_2 \)) matches the sign of \( \hat{P}_3 \), subpulses will appear earlier with each successive period, thus, drifting towards the leading edge of the average profile. In the case of opposite signs, subpulses will appear to be drifting to the trailing edge of the average profile. To avoid confusion, ES03 assumed that \( d\theta/d\phi \) is always positive and let the sense of drift be determined by the sign of \( \hat{P}_3 \).

The properties of subpulse drift are traditionally assessed through so-called longitude-resolved fluctuation spectra (LRF spectra; see Backer 1970; DR01). An LRF spectrum consists of 1D Fourier transforms of the pulse stacks, computed over the lines of constant rotational longitude. If the time-dependent subpulse modulation is present at the spin phase \( \phi \), the Fourier transform of \( s(t) \) for that \( \phi \) will have two peaks at Fourier frequencies of \( \nu = \pm 1/P_3 \). Since the peaks are present in both the positive and the negative half of the Fourier spectrum, it is not possible to determine the sign of \( \hat{P}_3 \) from the power spectrum alone. However, the direction of the drift can be retrieved from the complex-valued Fourier spectrum by examining the evolution of the complex phase of the transform with the longitude. If \( S(\phi, \nu) \) is the longitude-resolved Fourier transform of \( s(t) \), then from Eq. 5 it follows that

\[
\text{Arg} \left[ S(\phi, \nu = 1/P_3) \right] \sim \theta(\phi),
\]

\[
\text{Arg} \left[ S(\phi, \nu = -1/P_3) \right] \sim -\theta(\phi).
\]

Since \( d\text{Arg}[S]/d\phi > 0 \) by definition, selecting the peak with the observed positive phase gradient will fix the sign of \( \hat{P}_3 \) (ES03).

5. Searching for subpulse modulation in Q mode

Normally, LRF spectra of the Q-mode pulse stacks do not exhibit any prominent peaks, indicating that the subpulses arrive predominantly chaotically within the on-pulse longitude window (Fig. 5, left; see also DR01, Rankin et al. 2003; Rankin & Suleymanova 2006). However, possible weak, intermittent, or narrowband periodicities have not been ruled out completely. Deshpande & Rankin (2001), having examined a 170-pulse sequence right after a B-to-Q transition at 430 MHz, found a weak (from a visual inspection of their Fig. 13, the LRF spectra averaged within the on-pulse window had a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of about five), but distinct feature at the frequency of 0.77 cycles/\( P_3 \). This result was not confirmed by a larger sample of five observations at 40 and 103 MHz (Rankin et al. 2003). Rankin & Suleymanova (2006) reported that the LRF spectra from a 30-minute-long sequence of the Q-mode pulses right before the Q-to-B transition in one of their three 327-MHz observations had a feature at \( \nu = 0.0275 \pm 0.001 \) cycles/\( P_3 \), which is similar to the B-mode carousel circulation frequency.

Our observations contained 4.2 hours of Q-mode pulse sequences and five mode transitions, forming a good dataset to search for any potential subpulse drift in the Q mode. First, we searched for intermittent and possibly narrowband periodicities. We analysed pulse stacks from three central \( \nu \approx 15\text{-}15\text{MHz} \) sub-bands (central frequencies of 39, 54, and 70 MHz). In these sub-bands, the Q-mode signal was strong enough to form a discernible average profile over the course of one session. We divided the pulse stacks into 512-pulse blocks and computed LRF spectra on each block separately. In order to reduce potential
smearing of the spectral features by the slow variation of the pulse intensities (for example, at 50 MHz, the pulsar signal scintillates with a characteristic timescale of 4 min, or 240 pulsar periods, see Fig. 1 and Appendix B of B14), we subtracted a running 32-period on-pulse mean from the pulse sequences. None of the obtained LRF spectra (integrated in longitude within the on-pulse window) had features with an S/N > 5.2. The features with an S/N > 4 appeared to be randomly scattered in Fourier frequency, and none of them fell within the 0.026–0.029 cycles/P₁ interval, corresponding to the range of observed carousel circulation frequencies for the B-mode pulse sequences.

In order to increase our sensitivity to broadband periodicities that are stable in time, we summed the pulse stacks from different sub-bands, re-computed LRF spectra on 512-pulse blocks, and added the spectra, forming one average LRF spectrum per an observing session. None of the these spectra had features with an S/N > 3.8. We therefore conclude that we were unable to find any periodicities in the available Q-mode observations.

6. Subpulse modulation in B mode

6.1. Overall properties

The remarkable drift of the PSR B0943+10 subpulses (Fig. 5 right) was noted soon after the pulsar was discovered (Taylor & Huguenin 1971) and has been extensively studied since then (see, e.g. the most recent work of Backus et al 2011 and references therein). Normally, the LRF spectra of B-mode pulse stacks present a strong feature at ν₁ ≈ ±0.46 cycles/P₁. The observed value of |1/P₁| depends on the time since B-mode onset: right after Q-to-B transition, |1/P₁| = 0.455 cycles/P₁ and then it slowly relaxes to ≈ 0.47 cycles/P₁ in approximately exponential
At the same time, compared the positions of peaks between sub-bands. We found features, as was done by Rankin et al. (2003). Instead, we simply referred to the interpolated centroid of the spectral feature, and the error comes from the formal uncertainty of centroid estimation. The discrepancies were of the order of 0.001 cycles/P₁ or a few assumed uncertainties.

In order to investigate the subpulse periodicity in our B-mode observations, we computed LRF spectra on 512-pulse blocks, divided into five sub-bands in radio frequency. Only three central sub-bands (at 39, 54 and 70 MHz) had a sufficiently high S/N to discern a subpulse periodicity in each block. The LRF spectra had peaks close to \( \pm 0.46 \) cycles/P₁ in the on-pulse window. Examination of \( d\Phi/d\nu \) from the positive and negative parts of the complex-valued Fourier spectrum (Eq. 6) revealed that the phase gradient is positive for the peak at \( \nu_s < 0 \). Thus, \( P_1 < 0 \) and subpulses ostensibly drift to the trailing edge of the profile (see also Sect. 3). The true sense of drift may be different due to aliasing, see the discussion in Sect. 7.

Fig. 7. On-pulse averaged LRF spectra for two 512-pulse sequences (light colour, filled) together with the LRF spectra computed for the first and last 256 pulses of each pulse sequence (black, empty). Only the Fourier frequencies around the B-mode feature are shown. The pulse numbers in the legend are counted from the closest Q-to-B transition. Left: Example of an LRF spectrum with two peaks that are resolved into a single peak that changes its position with time. All double features with clearly separated peaks were resolved in this way. Right: Example of an LRF spectrum for a 512-pulse sequence that shows the peak offset from the peaks in the LRF spectra of the subsequences. This behaviour might be explained by phase jumps within the pulse sequence.

Some of our LRF spectra exhibited simple narrow peaks, similar to those presented in DR01 or Rankin et al. (2003). However, the majority of the LRF spectra appeared to have complex-shaped features with two or multiple peaks. Thus, we did not try to estimate the exact \( 1/P_1 \) by fitting centroids to spectral features, as was done by Rankin et al. (2003). Instead, we simply compared the positions of peaks between sub-bands. We found that for all 512-pulse blocks, the peaks in different subbands fell at the same \( \nu_s \) bins, indicating that the instantaneous \( 1/P_1 \) is stable across the LBA frequency range at least to within our spectral resolution of 0.004 cycles/P₁. For the subsequent analysis, we integrated the signal in the whole band to increase the S/N and re-computed the LRF spectra in the whole band.

The upper panel of Fig. 6 shows the near-peak portion of these on-pulse-integrated LRF spectra for all of the 512-pulse blocks for the three observing sessions. This panel also shows a part of the Q-mode LRF spectra (integrated within the respective Q-mode on-pulse window). To highlight the secular evolution of \( P_3 \), the sessions were aligned by Q-to-B transitions. Our observations confirm the previously established secular evolution of \( 1/P_1 \) (Rankin et al. 2003; Rankin & Suleymanova 2006; Backus et al. 2011). However, it is obvious that the spectra exhibit a variety of structures. Figure 6 (lower panels) shows the \( \nu_s < 0 \) region for a pair of LRF spectra featuring two qualitative shapes of LRF features: multiple peaks (A), and a single peak (B). Previously published works acknowledged this behaviour, although no special attention has been paid to it. Suleymanova & Rankin (2009) mention two discrete jumps in the feature by 0.007 cycles/P₁ within a 700-pulse long sequence of pulses right after a Q-to-B transition. Rosen & Clemens (2008) report additional peaks of lower S/N on the sequence from Suleymanova et al. (1998), separated by about 0.003 cycles/P₁. Rankin & Suleymanova (2006) also report a few double peaks in the LRF spectra, separated by 0.007 cycles/P₁. In panel A in Fig. 6, the characteristic separation between peaks is about 4 bins, or 0.0078 cycles/P₁. This agrees with the spread of \( 1/P_1 \) points in Fig. 3 of Backus et al. (2011) also computed based on 512-pulse sequences) and is equivalent to the spread of carousel circulation times in Rankin et al. (2003), obtained from 256-pulse LRF spectra. The multiple peaks in LRF spectra are present throughout all our B modes.

As was pointed out by Rosen & Clemens (2008), observing two values of \( P_3 \) simultaneously would serve as a strong corroboration to the non-radial surface oscillation model of drifting subpulses. Unfortunately, our ability to resolve the true temporal simultaneity is limited by the frequency resolution of the discrete Fourier transform, which is inversely proportional to the length of the pulse sequence. Nevertheless, we recomputed LRF spectra using the 256-pulse sequences and compared each pair with the corresponding 512-pulse LRF spectrum. For all 512-pulse LRF spectra with two distinct peaks, only one peak at a time was found in the 256-pulse subsets (see Fig. 7 left), indicating that \( P_3 \) can change on a timescale as short as 256P₁.

Multiple peaks in LRF spectra may partly be attributed to phase jumps, caused by momentary shifts in spark positions. As...
6.2. Search for periodic amplitude modulation in drifting subpulses

Periodic amplitude modulation of drifting subpulses, reported in the work of DR01, was proven to be a vital argument for constraining several important system parameters: the number of sparks in the carousel, the degree of aliasing, and the orientation of the LOS vector with respect to magnetic and spin axes. According to DR01, this tachyonic modulation manifested itself in the form of symmetric sidelobes in the LRF spectrum, 0.0268 cycles/P₁ away from the primary feature at 0.4645 cycles/P₁. These sidelobes, interpreted as an indicator of the persistent pattern in subpulse brightness, were detected only in a small, 256-pulse fraction of one 430-MHz B-mode observation.

Following ES03, the period of the tachyonic modulation, P₄, can be expressed through P₁, together with the integer number of sparks, N, and the degree of aliasing, n, in the following way:

\[
P₄ = \frac{N}{n + P₁/P₃}
\]

In the work of DR01, N = 20 and n = -1, satisfying Eq. 8 for 1/P₄ = 0.0268 cycles/P₁ and 1/P₃ = 0.4645 cycles/P₁.

ES03 also noted that other solutions to Eq. 8 exist, with |n| > 1, for example n = 2 and N = 92, or n = -3 and N = 132. However, for the \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) derived using the DR01 method (Sect. 3), such solutions do not agree with the observed slope of the drift phase tracks, \( d\theta/d\phi = 34^\circ/\theta \) (DR01, see also Sect. 7). The same reasoning can be used to reject a periodic spark brightness pattern, for example, sparks being brighter in the opposite quadrants of magnetic azimuth. In this case, N obtained through Eq. 8 should have been multiplied by the number of the brightness pattern repetitions.

Rosen & Clemens (2008) argued that the sidelobes may be due to the stochastic variations in the amplitudes alone. They analysed the same observation as in DR01 and found that sidelobes are present in the LRF spectrum, but are absent from the harmonic-resolved fluctuation (HRF) spectrum of the same 256-pulse sequence. An HRF spectrum, a different manner of presenting a Fourier transform of drifting subpulses, is a stack of 1/P₁ sections of the Fourier transform taken on the entire 1D pulse sequence (see DR01). The authors performed Monte Carlo simulations of sidelobe significance by shuffling the pulse amplitudes in the sequence. The simulations showed that the chance probability of two peaks with the S/N seen in the LRF spectrum is quite low (lower than 1%), but the probability of the modulation appearing in LRF and being masked by noise in HRF spectrum is equally low.

Further confirmation of sidelobes came from Asgekar & Deshpande (2001) and Backus et al. (2010). Asgekar & Deshpande (2001) observed PSR B0943+10 with the Gauribidanur Telescope for two sessions, each 1000 s long. The observations were conducted at 35 MHz, with a 1 MHz bandwidth. In one of the sessions, the authors detected a peak at 0.027 cycles/P₁ (corresponding to the carousel circulation time in DR01), but without sidelobes around the primary feature. This low-frequency peak has the same amplitude as the primary feature and appears in both LRF and HRF spectra. However, the spectrum is more noisy at frequencies below 0.1 cycles/P₁, and another peak also lies at the even lower frequency of about 0.01 cycles/P₁, which was not addressed by the authors. No low-frequency features were detected in the other session.
Residuals ($\theta$) from Eq. 9 (black dots) at the Fourier frequencies corresponding to the $P_1, P_2$ peaks in two LRF spectra with relatively strong (left) and weak (right) drifting features. The $\theta(\phi)$ from the longitude region around the peaks of the average profile (larger markers) were used to fit the number of sparks in the carousel according to Eq. 9. Black lines show an example of such fit performed with the standard set of geometry/aliasing parameters (see text for details), and the bottom panels show the residuals together with the fitted $\theta(\phi)$ uncertainty. The orange line depicts the longitudinal separation between subpulses, $P_2(\phi)$, computed by differentiating the fit line (Eq. 5). The orange error bar at $\phi = 0$ marks the $P_2$ uncertainty derived from the uncertainty in fitted $N$. The average profile of the pulse sequence is shown with shades of grey. For convenience, since $P_2$ is measured in degrees, the top axis shows the spin longitude $\phi$ in the same units.
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**Fig. 9.** Unwrapped phase tracks, $\theta(\phi)$ from Eq. 9 (black dots) at the Fourier frequencies corresponding to the $P_1, P_2$ peaks in two LRF spectra with relatively strong (left) and weak (right) drifting features. The $\theta(\phi)$ from the longitude region around the peaks of the average profile (larger markers) were used to fit the number of sparks in the carousel according to Eq. 9. Black lines mark an example of such fit performed with the standard set of geometry/aliasing parameters (see text for details), and the bottom panels show the residuals together with the fitted $\theta(\phi)$ uncertainty. The orange line depicts the longitudinal separation between subpulses, $P_2(\phi)$, computed by differentiating the fit line (Eq. 5). The orange error bar at $\phi = 0$ marks the $P_2$ uncertainty derived from the uncertainty in fitted $N$. The average profile of the pulse sequence is shown with shades of grey. For convenience, since $P_2$ is measured in degrees, the top axis shows the spin longitude $\phi$ in the same units.

Backus et al. (2010) analysed 18 hours of Arecibo B-mode observations at frequencies of 327 and 430 MHz and found two more instances of tertiary modulation, again in the form of sidelobes around the primary feature. In one sequence, the sidelobes were quite weak, with a visually estimated S/N of about 3. The other sequence had more distinct sidelobes that were asymmetric in amplitude. Unfortunately, the published LRF spectra do not allow inspecting the $\phi$-resolved distribution of power in the sidelobes. The authors state that modulation is rare, appearing in 5% of the time span of the observations, and that they did not detect sidelobes corresponding to any other possible values of $P_1$.

For the LOFAR observations, none of our LRF spectra (both in individual sub-bands and band-integrated) exhibited sidelobes corresponding to the 20-fold modulation of carousel sparks. We did not detect sidelobes for $N = 20$, nor for any other $N$ between 5 and 40 (we note that this separation is much larger than the primary feature jitter in the previous section, see Fig. 6). No obvious modulation features at low Fourier frequencies were found, although in some cases, we detected occasional peaks below 0.013 cycles/$P_1$ or the power was uniformly rising at lower frequencies in a red-noise-like manner.

Our dataset comprises 11.6 hours of B-mode observations, which makes it 1.5 times smaller than the dataset of Backus et al. (2010), which had two instances of tertiary modulation. Thus, it is possible that we did not detect the modulation solely because of its rarity. In addition, so far, the Gauribidanur and Arecibo detections of tertiary periodicity both came from relatively narrow-band observations that probed a small range of emission heights. In our observations the signal is collected from a greater range of heights, which means that if any persistent brightness pattern is frequency dependent, it might be smoothed out.

An independent confirmation of the tertiary amplitude modulation would be very important not only for constraining the system geometry and the parameters of the carousel, but also for distinguishing between the carousel and surface oscillation models of drifting subpulses (Rosen & Clemens 2008). The prospects of further investigations in this direction are quite good: recent observations of PSR B0943+10, conducted within the project of studying the simultaneous X-ray/radio mode-switching resulted in a large amount of radio data at low and high radio frequencies, surpassing all previous works (including this one) by a factor of several (Mereghetti et al. 2016). However, given the apparent absence of tertiary modulation in our observations and the concerns expressed in Rosen & Clemens (2008), we decided for now to abandon the tertiary modulation constraint and treat both $n$ and $N$ as free parameters.

As was noted by the referee, subtracting the running 32-period on-pulse mean may suppress possible amplitude modulation due to the $\approx 37$-period carousel rotation. Thus, we repeated the analysis in Sect. 5 without subtracting the running mean, which yielded essentially the same results.

7. Phase tracks in B mode

The complex argument $\theta(\phi)$ in Eq. 6 can provide additional information about the subpulse drift. An example of this $\theta(\phi)$ dependence (often called the “phase track” in the literature) is shown in Fig. 9. The $\theta(\phi)$ accrues $360^\circ$ over $d\phi = P_2$, the longitudinal separation between two adjacent subpulses in the same pulse period. For PSR B0943+10, $P_2$ is close to the width of the whole on-pulse window (see also DR01 and Backus et al. 2011), meaning that only one or two subpulses are detected per $P_1$. This can be directly confirmed by visual inspection of the B-
mode pulse sequence in Fig. 5 (right). The flattening of the phase tracks far from the fiducial longitude indicates that the longitudinal separation between subpulses is somewhat larger (by about 40%) at the edges of the on-pulse window. In the carousel model, this $\phi$-dependent subpulse separation is attributed to the curvature of the line traced by the LOS vector.

As was demonstrated by ES03, for the carousel consisting of $N$ uniformly spaced sparks, $\theta(\phi)$ is given by the formula

$$\theta(\phi) = -N\phi \text{ sgn} \beta + (\phi - \phi_0) \left( n + \frac{P_1}{P_3} \right) + \theta_0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Here, the first term reflects the relationship between the change in magnetic azimuth ($\delta \phi = 360^\circ/N$) and the corresponding change in drift phase ($\delta \theta = 360^\circ$) for the two adjacent sparks in a non-rotating carousel. Magnetic azimuth $\psi$ is expressed through rotational longitude as

$$\tan \psi = \frac{\sin(\phi - \phi_0) \sin \zeta}{\cos \alpha \sin \alpha - \cos(\phi - \phi_0) \sin \zeta \cos \alpha}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

For the small $\phi$, $\alpha$, and $\zeta$, this equation reduces to $\psi \approx \zeta \phi /= (-\beta)$. In the notation of ES03, $d\theta/d\phi$ is always positive, thus a factor of $-\text{ sgn} \beta$ appears in the first term of Eq. [9]. The last two terms in Eq. [9] account for the carousel rotation around the magnetic axis and positions of sparks with respect to the fiducial longitude $\phi_0$.

At the fiducial longitude, $P_3$ is expressed as

$$\hat{P}_3(\phi_0) = P_1 \left[ N\text{ sgn} \beta \frac{\sin \zeta}{\sin \beta} + \left( n + \frac{P_1}{P_3} \right)^{-1} \right].$$ \hspace{1cm} (11)

Although Eq. [9] provides a specific mathematical prescription for $\theta(\phi)$, using this equation directly for constraining $N$ and $\theta_0$ is difficult because of the number of unknowns it contains — on the right-hand side, only $P_3$ is measured directly. In the rest of this section we investigate how the best-fit $N$ depends on the chosen values for the other parameters in Eq. [9] namely the degree of aliasing $n$, geometry angles, and the fiducial longitude $\phi_0$.

We also explore the evolution of $N$ with radio frequency and the time since the B-mode start. For the latter we chose, with some degree of arbitrariness, a “standard” set of geometry/aliasing parameters: $\rho_1 \text{ GHz} = 4.5^\circ$, $R_{PA} = -2.9^\circ$, and outside traverse, $n = 0$.

We limited the $\theta(\phi)$ fit to the part of the on-pulse window around the average profile peaks: $4^\circ < |\phi - \phi_{mid}| < 12^\circ$, where $\phi_{mid}$ is the midpoint between the two Gaussians fitted to the profile components (B14). The fit was performed with a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm\footnote{https://github.com/pymc-devs/pymc}. The measured $\theta(\phi)$ was modelled as a normally distributed random variable, with the mean set by Eq. [9] and the fitted variance (same for all points within a single phase track) representing the unknown measurement error. All fitted parameters had uniform priors, and the quality of fit was verified by comparing model predictions to the observed $\theta(\phi)$. The quoted errors on the fitted parameters represent 16th and 84th percentiles of their respective posterior distribution.

Although a carousel model in its simplest form prescribes an integer number of sparks, in our fit we chose not to constrain $N$ to an integer number. As we show below, for any moderate degree of aliasing, a minor (with respect to their uncertainties) tweak in the geometry angles can always be used to bring $N$ to an integer. Also, [Backus et al. 2011] speculated about a possible flickering between configurations with a different number of integer sparks, which would produce seemingly non-integer $N$.

7.1. Dependence on the choice of fiducial longitude $\phi_0$

The rotational longitude $\phi$ enters Eqs. [9] and [10] in the form of $\phi - \phi_0$, where $\phi_0$ is the fiducial longitude, corresponding to the moment of time when the LOS passes closest to the magnetic axis (Fig. 1). Since the illumination of the emission cone can be uneven or patchy, it may be difficult to determine the location of the fiducial longitude based on the shape of the total intensity profile at a single frequency. Linearly polarised emission can provide some clues to the location of $\phi_0$; if the PA curve has an S-shape, as prescribed by the RVM, then, neglecting relativistic corrections, the fiducial longitude should lie at the inflection point of the curve [Radhakrishnan & Cooke 1969]. Unfortunately, PSR B0943+10’s PA has a seemingly linear dependence on $\phi$ without an obvious inflection point [Suleymanova et al. 1998, Rankin & Suleymanova 2006, Rosen & Clemens 2008].

The location of $\phi_0$ at 430 MHz has been constrained by DR01 and [Rankin & Suleymanova 2006] with the help of the cartographic analysis (i.e. mapping individual pulses to the sparks in the carousel). The authors showed that at the onset of a B mode, $\phi_0$ is located between two closely spaced components of comparable amplitudes. As a mode ages, the relative amplitude of the trailing component diminishes and the profile acquires an asymmetric shape, with $\phi_0$ lying close to the trailing half-peak longitude.

Below 100 MHz, the amplitude of the second component exhibits a similar evolution with mode age, but the components
are farther apart from each other and have little overlap, making it easier to decompose the average profile into Gaussian components. As was shown by B14, the midpoint between profile components follows the $v^{-2}$ dispersion law at least between 30 and 80 MHz. This implies that the position of the midpoint is frequency-independent and is thus well suited for the role of $\phi_0$. However, quite surprisingly, it has been discovered that this frequency-independent midpoint gradually shifts towards later spin phase, accruing an offset of $\delta \phi \approx 4 \times 10^{-3}$ by the end of a mode instance, and supposedly re-setting its position at the next Q-to-B transition (B14, Suleymanova & Rodin 2014). The reason for this delay is currently unclear and may involve, among others, changing the illumination pattern in the emission cone or a change in emission altitude (see the discussion in B14 and Suleymanova & Rodin 2014).

According to Eq. 9, the shape of phase tracks should be symmetric with respect to $\phi_0$, thus the phase tracks of sufficient length and quality could, in principle, provide an alternative way to measure $\phi_0$ and thereby offer an insight on the origin of the profile delay. For example, a changing illumination pattern should not affect the position of the symmetry point, while changing the emission height should result in shifting $\phi_0$.

We have fitted all phase tracks from all sessions with two assumptions: (1) $\phi_0$ stays constant throughout B mode, fixed at its position at the midpoint between components at the beginning of the mode, and (2) that it follows the midpoint obtained by the two-Gaussian fit from B14. These two assumptions resulted in equally good fits with an insignificant difference in $N$ (Fig. 10). Thus, we can conclude that the shape of the phase tracks did not constrain the location of $\phi_0$. Further improvements can be achieved with more sensitive observations and perhaps moving to the lower frequencies, which would expand the size of the on-pulse window.

7.2. Dependence of the number of sparks on aliasing and geometry

The fitted number of sparks, $N$, depends on the assumed geometry and the degree of aliasing, $n$. In order to investigate this dependence, we fitted Eq. 9 to $\theta(\phi)$ from a 512-pulse block with a strong narrow drifting feature in the LRF spectrum using the set of trial geometry angles and assumed $n$. Since the number of sparks may change throughout the mode (Sect. 7.3), we label this single-block estimate of $N$ the “reference number of sparks”, $N_0$.

For the fit, we used the geometry solutions given by the three trial values of $R_{PA}$ and 13 equally spaced trial values of $\rho_{1\ GHz}$, $2^\circ \leq \rho_{1\ GHz} \leq 8^\circ$. In addition, we varied the aliasing order between $-2$ and $2$. The fits showed that $N_0$ was most sensitive to the assumed $R_{PA}$, then to the degree of aliasing, and only then on the assumed $\rho_{1\ GHz}$ (Fig. 11). Outside traverse geometries generally yielded approximately twice smaller $N_0$ than the inside traverse ones. For the standard geometry/aliasing set of parameters, $N_0 = 8.9$ and 17.6 for the inside and outside traverse, respectively.

Interestingly, for the inside traverse geometry with $R_{PA} = -2.7^\circ/\phi$ and $n = 1$, as determined by DR01, our fit yields $N_0 \approx 19$, which is similar to their $N = 20$. Thus, our results are in agreement with DR01, although abandoning the tertiary modulation constraint expands the range of possible $N_0$ values.

7.3. Evolution of $N$ and $\dot{P}_2$ throughout B mode

As was discussed in Sect. 7.2, the number of sparks, $N$, depends on the specific values of aliasing/geometry parameters used for the fitting. The relative variation in spark number, $N/N_0 - 1$, however, does not depend on the exact values of the fitting parameters. Figure 12 (left) shows $N/N_0 - 1$ for every distinct peak on the LRF spectra in each of the 512-pulse blocks. The number
of sparks at any moment under different aliasing/geometry assumptions can be recovered by combining \( N/N_0 - 1 \) with \( N_0 \) from Fig. 11.

The fitted values of \( N \) jitter throughout a B mode with a characteristic magnitude of \( \delta N/N_0 \approx 0.02 \). This relative difference can be attributed to varying the integer number of sparks only if \( N_0 \) is large: \( N_0 = 1/\delta N \geq 1/0.02 \approx 50 \). This can be achieved only by assuming a very high degree of aliasing. However, any conclusions here should be drawn with caution, since the nature of the drift frequency jitter (or splitting, within a single pulse block) is unclear and \( \theta(\phi) \) can be contaminated by phase jumps or phase modulation.

Apart from this erratic behaviour, \( N/N_0 \) increases by \( \approx 0.02 \) on a timescale of mode duration for the first two observations (L99010 and L102418). Correspondingly, \( \hat{P}_2 \) for the first two sessions decreases by about 0.2° (Fig. 12 right).

It is interesting to compare these results with those obtained by Backus et al. (2011). The authors computed HRF spectra on an unfolded time series and examined the dependence of modulation intensity on the harmonic number for the primary modulation feature. \( \hat{P}_2 \) was calculated from the harmonic number corresponding to the peak of the modulation intensity, \( \hat{P}_2 = 360/|N_{\text{sawa}}| \). The \( \hat{P}_2 \) obtained was about 11° at the beginning of the B mode and slowly decreased to about 10° in a seemingly exponential manner. The authors did not give the measurement error, but the points in their plot are scattered by about 0.5°. The authors considered the on-pulse window to be small enough to neglect the longitudinal variation of \( \hat{P}_2 \).

For the later stages of a B mode, our fit suggests a larger \( \hat{P}_2 \), of about 10.6°, even for the lowest possible value of \( \hat{P}_2 \) at the fiducial longitude. The values of \( \hat{P}_2 \) in our fit depend on \( R_{\text{PA}} \), with \( \hat{P}_2 \) for \( R_{\text{PA}} = -2.4°/\text{min} \) being larger by 0.2° and smaller by the same amount for \( R_{\text{PA}} = -3.4°/\text{min} \). Even if we obtain \( \hat{P}_2 \) using exactly the same geometry as in DR01, it is still larger than in Backus et al. (2011), and the reasons for this are currently unclear.

In our observations, the gradual variation of \( \hat{P}_2 \) throughout the B mode is about five times smaller than reported by Backus et al. (2011). A possible explanation for this would be the following: Backus et al. (2011) investigated HRF spectra, thus effectively probing \( \hat{P}_2 \) at all longitudes within the on-pulse window. The size of the on-pulse window at their frequencies is \( \approx 10° \), and \( \hat{P}_2 \) changes by about 1° between the centre of the window and its edges (Fig. 9). The relative contribution of \( \hat{P}_2(\phi) \) at each longitude may be substantially influenced by the average intensity of emission at that longitude. At the radio frequency of Backus et al. (2011) (325 MHz), the intensity of the emission at the longitudes of trailing component undergoes substantial changes throughout the B mode: at the mode onset, the trailing component is approximately of the same height as the leading component, and at the end of the B mode, the amplitude of the trailing component is only about 20% of the leading component amplitude (Rankin & Suleymanova 2006). Thus, at the beginning of the B mode, the longitude window of the trailing component, where \( \hat{P}_2(\phi) > \hat{P}_2(\phi_0) \), is illuminated better than at the end of the mode, and this might cause observed increase in the derived \( \hat{P}_2 \). The authors investigated the influence of profile shape evolution on the measured \( \hat{P}_2 \). However, they explicitly simulated the intrinsic \( \hat{P}_2 \) being constant within the on-pulse window.

The observed small changes in \( \hat{P}_2 \) may be due to the evolution of the linear polarisation. According to Suleymanova et al. (1998) and Rankin & Suleymanova (2006), at frequencies of 100–300 MHz, the linearly polarised emission in both profile components consists of two orthogonal modes of unequal strength. It has been shown that these two polarisation modes correspond to two sets of sparks in the carousel, shifted in azimuth with respect to each other (DR01). Moreover, observations at 64 and 327 MHz revealed that the fractional linear polarisation at the peak of the leading component evolves substantially throughout B mode (Suleymanova & Rankin 2009), perhaps indicating the change in the relative strength of the polarisation modes. If the relative intensity of the two sets of sparks changes at LBA frequencies, it can add a time-dependent bias to the phase tracks, which may result in the observed variation in \( \hat{P}_2 \). Another pulsar, for which the incoherent superposition of the two
orthogonal polarisation modes led to biased measurements of $\tilde{P}_2$ is PSR B0809+74 (Rankin et al. 2005, Rankin & Rosen 2014).

7.4. Number of sparks versus radio frequency

We fitted $N$ and $\theta_0$ to three 16-MHz subbands in the middle of the LBA band, where the S/N was highest (at 38.2, 53.8, and 69.4 MHz). The fit was performed with the standard geometry/aliasing parameters. For all pulse blocks and every pair of frequencies, $|N(\nu_1) - N(\nu_2)|$ divided by the quadrature sum of the corresponding uncertainties was lower than 3. However, there is a slight tendency for the lowest frequency to yield a higher value of $N$ (Fig. 13 left).

A frequency-dependent number of sparks would be hard to explain with the carousel model, but a deeper investigation is needed to confirm or refute this tendency: for example with calibrated polarisation to resolve the orthogonal modes and with better sensitivity at frequencies below 40 MHz.

8. $\tilde{P}_3$ folds in B mode

In the schematic depiction of drifting subpulses in Fig. 3, the individual drift tracks are clearly visible because of the relatively large $\tilde{P}_3$ and the uniformly high S/N of the individual pulses. For PSR B0943+10, $\tilde{P}_3$ is comparable to $P_1$ and the amplitudes of the pulses can vary substantially, preventing the shape of the drift tracks from being evident in the pulse stacks alone (Fig. 5).

In order to uncover the shape of the drift tracks, it is customary to fold the pulse stacks modulo $\tilde{P}_3$, assigning each period in the pulse stack $s(\phi, t)$ a “drift phase”:

$$\Theta = \frac{tP_1}{\tilde{P}_3} \times 360^\circ ,$$

where $t$ is an integer pulse number, the same as in Eq. [2]. Such folds are called “modfolds” or “driftbands” in the literature (Backus et al. 2011, Hassall et al. 2013).

At higher radio frequencies, the PSR B0943+10 modfolds consist of a single drift track spanning the entire on-pulse window (350 MHz, DR01, Backus et al. 2011). Around 100 MHz, the drift track is still continuous, but wraps twice per $P_3$ (DR01). Finally, at the lowest radio frequencies, two separate drift tracks are visible in the longitude regions of two distinct profile components (35 MHz, Asgekar & Deshpande 2001).

Figure 14 shows an example of the modfold for a single pulse stack, folded with the corresponding $P_3 < 0$ from Sect. 6. Unlike DR01, we did not subtract any “aperiodically fluctuating baseline” from the modfolds, and for most of the pulse stacks, the drift tracks had a well-defined shape with little baseline signal between them. In a few cases, however, when folding the pulse stacks with multiple peaks in the LRF spectrum, the drift tracks appeared to be blurred. We note that the drift tracks in Fig. 14 are tilted to the right (pulses drift towards the trailing edge of on-pulse window), whereas in the literature the drift tracks are tilted to the left (pulses drift towards the leading edge). This discrepancy stems from difference in the period, $P_3$, used for folding – after DR01, all modfolds in the literature were performed with $P_3$ defined by the relation

$$\frac{P_1}{P_3} = n + \frac{P_1}{\tilde{P}_3},$$

where the degree of aliasing was taken to be $n = 1$. Drift tracks look exactly the same for any $|n|$, but for all $n \leq 0$ the tracks are tilted to the right and for all $n > 0$ they are tilted to the left.

The white circles in Fig. 14 mark the centres of the drift tracks obtained from fitting 2D tilted Gaussians. We label the coordinates of the track centres ($\phi_C, \Theta_C$), adding L for the leading component and T for the trailing component when needed. Both $\phi_C$ and $\Theta_C$ appear to evolve with radio frequency, approaching each other at higher $\nu$. This creates the apparent diagonal movement of the drift tracks, which continues beyond the LBA band; around 100 MHz, the drift tracks fuse at the inner corners and continue to merge, eventually forming a single drift track at 350 MHz. For the modfolds folded with $n > 0$, the direction of vertical movement is reversed for both drift tracks. The $\phi_C$, obviously, corresponds to the position of the average profile components, and the decreasing $|\Theta_C - \Theta_l|$ reflects the merging components at higher frequencies. The longitude spacing between driftbands does not correspond to $\tilde{P}_3$, as the intensity within driftbands is set by the shape of the average profile.

A similar frequency-dependent $\Theta$ was found by Hassall et al. (2013) in the modfolds of PSR B0809+74. Unlike PSR B0943+10, the $\Theta_C$ for the pulsar B0809+74 was frequency independent and the $\Theta_C$ was moving towards $\Theta_l$ with increasing $\nu$. The same behaviour was exhibited by $\phi_C$: the position of the leading component was frequency independent while the trailing component was moving towards it with increasing radio frequency. Hassall et al. (2013) did not give an explanation to the observed $\Theta_C$ behaviour. However, Rankin & Rosen (2014) later suggested that it might be explained by the frequency-dependent phase delay of the rotating carousel with respect to the LOS.

Below we show that for PSR B0943+10, the observed $\Theta_C(\nu)$ can be quantitatively explained within the carousel model. Without loss of generality, we consider a simplified model with simultaneous observations at only two radio frequencies, $\nu_{hi}$ and $\nu_{lo}$. For simplicity, we assume that during the chosen traverse, the LOS cuts through the spark centres at $\nu_{lo}$. At $\nu_{hi}$, the same LOS sweep will miss the spark centres, causing the offset between drift tracks at different frequencies. Figure 15 shows a cartoon model of this effect for the inside traverse geometry and $n = 0$.
modfolds. For the inside traverse geometry solution, the pulsar rotates clockwise (Fig. 2), thus the LOS sweeps from left to right on the image. Folding pulse stacks with \( \Theta \) plots, time increases upwards, thus modfolds. For the inside traverse geometry solution, the pulsar rotates clockwise (Fig. 15). In the modfold solutions can be expressed as

\[
\Theta_C(v_{hi}) - \Theta_C(v_{lo}) = -N \cdot \text{sgn} \beta \times [\phi_C(v_{lo}) - \phi_C(v_{hi})] + \frac{P_1}{P_3} \cdot \phi_C(v_{hi}).
\]  

(14)

Here the first term represents the scaled difference in magnetic azimuth angles calculated with Eq. 10 at \( \phi_C(v_{hi}) \) and \( \phi_C(v_{lo}) \). The second term accounts for the carousel rotation during the time it takes the LOS to travel between \( \phi_C(v_{hi}) \) and \( \phi_C(v_{lo}) \).

Quantitatively, the drift-phase delay between two frequencies can be expressed as

\[
\Theta_C(v_{hi}) - \Theta_C(v_{lo}) = -N \cdot \text{sgn} \beta \times [\phi_C(v_{lo}) - \phi_C(v_{hi})] + \frac{P_1}{P_3} \cdot \phi_C(v_{hi}).
\]  

(14)

Extrapolating this relation to infinite frequency yields \( \phi_C(v = \infty) \equiv \Theta_0 \) for both profile components. This can be used to rewrite Eq. 8 with respect to \( v_{hi} = \infty \):

\[
\Theta_C(v) = -N \cdot \text{sgn} \beta \times \phi(v) + (\phi_C - \Theta_0) \frac{P_1}{P_3} + \Theta_0,
\]  

(16)

where \( \Theta_0 = \Theta_C(v = \infty) \).

Figure 16 shows such \( \Theta_C(v) \) calculated for the standard geometry/aliasing parameters, for the reference number of sparks, \( N_0 \), and \( \phi_C \) from Eq. 15. The phase at the fiducial longitude \( \Theta_0 \) is set to 0 in this figure. Measurements of \( \Theta_C \) from the Gaussian fit to drift tracks are overplotted for all phase tracks from the observing session L90010 for which the S/N of the drifting feature in the LRF spectra was higher than six (modfolds from the other two sessions exhibited the same \( \Theta_C(v) \) dependence). The modfolds were folded with \( P_3 \) determined by the corresponding distinct \( P_3 \) from the peaks on the LRF spectra and \( n = 0 \). Since each individual modfold was produced with slightly different \( P_3 \), the fiducial longitude phase \( \Theta_0 \) is essentially random, and thus should be subtracted from \( \Theta_C \). To do this, we investigated \( 0.5(\Theta_C + \Theta_{TC}) \) for the drift tracks for which the amplitudes of fitted 2D Gaussians had an S/N > 7. For most of these cases, this mean value of \( \Theta_C \) did not have a dependence on \( v \), thus we

Fig. 14. Example of a 512-pulse stack folded modulo \( \hat{P}_1 \) for six radio frequencies within the LBA band. The modfold is repeated twice along the Y-axis to mitigate wrapping, and the corresponding average profile is plotted on top. White circles mark the centres of driftbands obtained from fitting 2D tilted Gaussians. As the radio frequency increases, the driftbands move towards each other both in \( \phi \) (reflecting the behaviour of the average profile components) and in \( \Theta \).
Fig. 15. Cartoon model of the drift track evolution: (a) general view on the system (inside traverse geometry, pulsar is rotating clockwise), similar to Fig. 1, but with individual sparks at two different radio frequencies, $\nu_{lo}$ (red) and $\nu_{hi}$ (green). According to the RFM, emission at $\nu_{lo}$ comes from higher altitudes, where the opening angle of the dipole field lines is larger. The modfolds at these two frequencies are shown in (b). The carousel configuration corresponding to the moment marked with the dotted horizontal line in (b) is shown in (c). The black arrows show increasing longitude, $\phi$, and the magnetic azimuth, $\psi$, both measured from the fiducial longitude. At this moment, the LOS sweeps through the spark centres at $\nu_{lo}$ and misses the spark centres at $\nu_{hi}$. For the leading component (LC), the rotating carousel needs more time to bring the spark centre to the LOS. The drift phase $\Theta$ is directly proportional to time (Eq. 16), thus $|\Theta_{LC}(\nu_{hi})| > |\Theta_{LC}(\nu_{lo})|$. The spherical triangles defined by the spin axis, magnetic axis, and the point where the LOS passes through the emission cone (black lines) are identical to the triangle in the inset of Fig. 1.

Fig. 16. Black points show the drift phase $\Theta_C$ vs. radio frequency for the leading and trailing drift tracks for all pulse stacks of the session L99010, where the fitted 2D Gaussians had an S/N > 7. The orange lines mark the expected phase delay of the rotating carousel calculated according to Eq. 16.

took it as $\Theta_0$. Since we set the point of symmetry manually, the fixed and moving $\phi_0$ hypotheses from Sect. 7.1 will both result in an identical $\Theta_C$ behaviour.

The agreement between the measurements and the prediction from the rotating carousel model is very good, although the scatter of points is larger than the formal fit errors. Several factors may contribute to the additional scatter: $N$ may slightly vary throughout the B mode (Fig. 6), or the shape of the driftbands may deviate from simple Gaussian due to uncertainties in $\hat{P}_3$, the small number of $\Theta$ bins (18), and the small number of pulses (~15) that are averaged in a single $\Theta$ bin.

9. Summary and conclusions

PSR B0943+10, with its two discrete metastable emission modes and the slow variation of the emission properties in (at least) one of the modes, provides a great opportunity to investigate the non-stationary magnetospheric configurations and to untangle the complex interplay between various physical processes in a pulsar magnetosphere. However, with many new facts that come to light as a result of improved observational capabilities in X-rays and at the lowest radio frequencies, it becomes increasingly important to keep track of the assumptions made to interpret previous observations.

The very basic question of the PSR B0943+10 geometry is still not answered fully. In Sect. 3 we have successfully reproduced the original geometry derivation from DR01 using the broadband LOFAR observations at 25–80 MHz. DR01 argued that PSR B0943+10 is an almost aligned rotator, with an inclination angle $\alpha$ within $12^\circ$–16$^\circ$, and the LOS passing between the spin and magnetic axes, yielding an impact angle $\beta$ of about $4^\circ$–6$^\circ$ (the angles are given in EW01 notation, which is different from the one used by DR01). We must stress, however, that
the exact values of the geometry angles depend heavily on the assumed opening angle of the emission cone at 1 GHz, \( \rho_{1 \text{GHz}} \), namely \( \alpha \approx 3\rho_{1 \text{GHz}} \) (or \( 180^{\circ} - \alpha \approx 3\rho_{1 \text{GHz}} \)), and \( \beta \approx \rho_{1 \text{GHz}} \). The distribution of \( \rho_{1 \text{GHz}} \) from the currently largest such work (MD99) yields \( \alpha \) (or \( 180^{\circ} - \alpha \)) of \( 5^{\circ} - 25^{\circ} \), leaving it somewhat less strongly constrained than in DR01. In principle, the current geometry derivation scheme allows for almost any values of \( \alpha \), since there are no theoretical constraints on \( \rho_{1 \text{GHz}} \), and the 37-source sample of \( \rho_{1 \text{GHz}} \) from MD99 is still rather small.

The weaker constraints on geometry angles have to be taken into account when the unexpectedly large fractional amplitude of the thermal X-ray emission from the polar caps of PSR B0943+10 is explained (Hernsen et al. 2013; Mereghetti et al. 2016; Mereghetti & Rigoselli 2017). Another interesting constraint on \( \rho_{1 \text{GHz}} \), and the 37-source sample of \( \rho_{1 \text{GHz}} \) from MD99 is still rather small.

The LOS passing equatorwards from the magnetic axis (the so-called "outside traverse"). The decision of DR01 was based on the brief detection of two sidelobes around the main drifting feature in the LRF B-mode spectra. These sidelobes were interpreted as the amplitude modulation caused by a rotating carousel of \( N \approx 20 \) sparks. Despite dedicated searches and a relatively large volume of B-mode observations, we did not detect any sign of such amplitude modulation, thus concluding that it must be quite rare, or a narrowband phenomenon. Considering the objection raised in Rosen & Clemens (2008), we decided to discard the \( N \approx 20 \) constraint in all our subsequent analysis. This resulted in an unconstrained aliasing order and multiple possible values for the number of sparks in the carousel.

Similar to the previous works, we did not find any periodic subpulse modulation in the Q mode and confirm the gradual frequency-independent evolution of \( P_3 \) (and thus the carousel circulation time) in B mode. We must note that with the unknown aliasing order, the relative slow-down of the carousel is unconstrained (the carousel may even be spinning up), which should be taken into account while modelling the conditions in the polar gap (Backus et al. 2011; Szary et al. 2015).

Very many of our 512-pulse LRF spectra showed multiple peaks, corresponding to distinct values of \( P_3 \). Observing two values of \( P_3 \) simultaneously would serve as a strong corroboration of the drifting subpulses surface oscillation model (Rosen & Clemens 2008). Although our ability to resolve true temporal simultaneity was limited by the frequency resolution of the discrete Fourier transform, we were able to show that for all 512-pulse LRF spectra with relatively widely separated peaks, only one peak was found in each half of a pulse sequence, indicating that \( P_3 \) may change on a timescale as short as \( 256P_1 \). It is currently unclear whether the observed multiple peaks are related to a true change in \( P_3 \) (e.g. some of them may be caused by jumps in drift phase), but if genuine, they would point to few-minute \( P_3 \) variations with a magnitude only a few times smaller than the overall \( P_3 \) change over the mode duration.

We obtained the number of sparks under different aliasing/geometrical assumptions by fitting the drift phase tracks with the analytical prescription of the carousel model (ES03). Multiple peaks on a single LRF spectrum yielded an integer variation in the number of sparks only if the initial number of sparks was large (\( N \geq 50 \)). We find a weak evidence of \( N \) being larger at lower radio frequency, although more sensitive/broadband observations are needed to confirm this.

Phase tracks were also used to estimate the longitudinal spacing between sparks, \( \hat{P}_2 \). Unlike Backus et al. (2010), we did not detect a large (\( \approx 1^{\circ} \)) gradual change of \( \hat{P}_2 \) in any of our B-mode observations. We speculate that the apparent \( \hat{P}_2 \) evolution of Backus et al. may in fact be caused by \( P_2 \) variation within the on-pulse window, which is illuminated differently throughout the B mode. Our data suggest a much smaller (0.2\(^{\circ}\)) variation of \( \hat{P}_2 \).

This variation may stem from the bias to phase track caused by incoherent mixing of two linear polarisation modes of variable relative strength (Rankin & Suleymanova 2006), each mode corresponding to a separate set of sparks shifted in azimuth with respect to each other (DR01). If real, the variations of \( \hat{P}_2 \) may be linked to the changing plasma density in the magnetosphere through the motion of the visibility point (Yuen et al. 2016).

Finally, we described the frequency-dependent drift phase delay, similar to the delay reported by Hassall et al. (2013) for PSR B0809+74. We show that this delay can be quantitatively modelled within the rotating carousel model in the RFM convention. It would be very interesting to explain this effect in the framework of other drifting subpulse models (e.g. surface oscillations from Rosen & Clemens 2008) or non-RFM pulsar emission models (Dyks & Rudak 2015). A similar quantitative explanation can be also applied to PSR B0809+74 (Bilous et al., in prep).
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