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Abstract Establishing unambiguously the existence of speculative bubbles is an on-going controversy complicated by the need of defining a model of fundamental prices. Here, we present a novel empirical method which bypasses all the difficulties of the previous approaches by monitoring external indicators of an anomalously growing interest in the public at times of bubbles. From the definition of a bubble as a self-fulfilling reinforcing price change, we identify indicators of a possible self-reinforcing imitation between agents in the market. We show that during the build-up phase of a bubble, there is a growing interest in the public for the commodity in question, whether it consists in stocks, diamonds or coins. That interest can be estimated through different indicators: increase in the number of books published on the topic, increase in the subscriptions to specialized journals. Moreover, the well-known empirical rule according to which the volume of sales is growing during a bull market finds a natural interpretation in this framework: sales increases in fact reveal and pinpoint the progress of the bubble’s diffusion throughout society. We also present a simple model of rational expectation which maps exactly onto the Ising model on a random graph. The indicators are then interpreted as “thermometers”, measuring the balance between idiosyncratic information (noise temperature) and imitation (coupling) strength. In this context, bubbles are interpreted as low or critical temperature phases, where the imitation strength carries market prices up essentially independently of fundamentals. Contrary to the naive conception of a bubble and a crash as times of disorder, on the contrary, we show that bubbles and crashes are times where the consensus is too strong!
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1 The hidden collective factor of speculative bubbles

In economic models, the price of a commodity is determined by its supply and demand functions. In the presence of unexpected events, “explanations” are forged by mechanisms that appear to be similar in economics and in history.

1.1 “Explanations” of unexpected events

When the king of Sweden Charles XII was defeated by the Russians at the battle of Poltava (1709), Europe was stunned for until then the Swedish army was considered invincible. Subsequently, the defeat was “explained” in various ways. For instance, several historians (e.g. Andersson 1973, Scott 1977) attributed the defeat to the fact that, having been wounded in the leg two days before, Charles XII could not lead his army. Even if this argument appears reasonable, one can doubt its soundness when one notes the huge numerical superiority of the Russians, a point which surprisingly enough is only seldom mentioned by historians: they were 45,000 against 26,000 Swedes and above all they had 72 guns while the Swedes had only four (Bodart 1908, p.159).

As an economic example, let us consider the almost simultaneous crashes in the diamond, gold, palladium, platinum and silver markets at the beginning of 1980 (Fig.1). Amazingly enough, different stories were invented in each of these cases to “explain” the crash. For the silver market, it was the attempt by the Hunt brothers to squeeze the market, i.e. to buy up all available silver in order to corner short sellers (see in this respect Fay 1982); it should be emphasized that no precise data are available to support and validate that story quantitatively. In the diamond market, depending upon the author, the reason invoked was the attempt by the cutters in Tel Aviv to hoard uncut diamonds for the purpose of speculation or the short-lived boom in diamond investment (Boyajian 1988, Epstein 1982). Other stories, which we omit here for the sake of brevity, were proposed to account for the crashes in the other markets. It can be noted that all these stories are (i) plausible (ii) purely qualitative and (iii) fairly picturesque; these features certainly explain why they were easily memorized and used over and over again by various authors. Such explanations are so to say self-fulfilling rationalizations. It is as if one would explain the fall of apples from from different trees in one case by a swing of the branch, in another by the fact that the apple had become too big, and so on, but without mentioning general causes such as the effect of a gust of wind and the role of the gravitational attraction.

1.2 The hypothesis of a collective factor due to imitation processes

Fig.1 suggests the existence of a common factor which may account for the simultaneous bubbles and crashes of these markets. The hypothesis of the existence of a common factor is a natural scientific endeavor: explain more data with less assumptions, in other words follow Occam’s razor principle of parsimony. The existence of a common factor would explain for instance why the speculative bubbles for commodities as different (in terms of demand and supply functions) as diamonds, gold, palladium, platinum and silver burst almost simultaneously in January 1980 as shown in figure 1. We propose that this common factor is an imitation process between traders and present quantitative empirical tests for this hypothesis.

1.3 Definition of a bubble

Speculative bubbles and crashes are among the most important tumultuous and extreme events that mark long time series of economic and financial data. Notwithstanding their apparent intuitive mean-
ing and their fixation in the minds of the public, defining and identifying bubbles and crashes is not always obvious. With respect to crashes, a natural characterization is to define them as outliers, i.e. cumulative drops beyond the normality (Johansen and Sornette, 1998). Defining a bubble is even more trickily because acceptance or rejection of the existence of a bubble is contingent on the model of fundamentals one uses: indeed, the conclusion that a bubble exists in the data can emerge only from using a specific model of fundamental prices (Flood and Garber, 1994).

The usual conception is that a bubble can arise when the actual market price depends positively on its own expected rate of change. In the rational expectation framework, there is no systematic discrepancies between expectations and realized prices. Therefore, the actual rate of change is positively correlated to its expected value and the actual market price is also positively related to the actual rate of change. A simple example is

\[
\frac{dp}{dt} = ap^b, \quad \text{with } b > 0 .
\]

If \( b < 1 \), the price \( p \) exhibits a power law acceleration with an upward concavity proportional to \( (t + t_0)^{1/(1-b)} \), where \( t_0 \) depends on the initial price. If \( b \geq 1 \), the acceleration is so strong that the price diverges in finite time as in a critical point (Stanley, 1987) or “movable singularity” (Bender and Orszag, 1978) as \( (t_c - t)^{-1/(b-1)} \), where the critical time \( t_c \) depends on the initial price. In such conditions, the expectation of price changes becomes self-fulfilling and drives actual price changes independently of market fundamentals. This is the definition of a price bubble (Flood and Garber, 1994).

### 1.4 How to detect a bubble?

Notwithstanding this deceivingly simple definition, as we said, the very notion of a bubble makes no sense without a precise model detailing the market behavior. Indeed, without a model, it is impossible to isolate the price trajectory characterizing a bubble: an apparent anomalous price behavior could actually result from the rational behavior of informed traders reacting to important news. A large literature has thus been devoted to the specification of reasonable models and to the identification of favorable time periods, like hyperinflation where it is believed that the work of disentangling the bubble trajectory from the fundamental evolution is easier (Flood and Garber, 1994).

A second approach is to try to extract “universal” results on the expected behavior of bubbles that are independent of the assumed underlying fundamentals. In this respect, using insights on the behavior of multiplicative stochastic processes and the no-arbitrage condition, Lux and Sornette (1999) have shown recently that rational bubbles à la Blanchard and Watson (1982) have a fat power tail for both the bubble price component, its price difference and its returns with an exponent \( \alpha \) always smaller than 1. In contrast, the usual empirical estimates give \( \alpha \approx 3 - 4 \). It therefore appears that exogenous rational bubbles are hardly reconcilable with some of the stylized facts of financial data at a very elementary level.

Another approach developed in the last few year using analogies with physical phenomena is to characterize a highly specific signature that can be used as a fingerprint for a bubble. A notable example is the robust and apparently universal signature found in bubbles ending in large crashes or large corrections found in major financial stock markets as well as in emergent markets, namely accelerated price increase with a sharp peak (Roehner and Sornette, 1998) decorated by large scale log-periodic oscillations accelerating up to a kind of critical point (Johansen, Sornette and Ledoit, 1999; Johansen and Sornette, 1999 and references therein).
Here, we propose yet another method which bypasses all the difficulties of the previous approaches by monitoring external indicators which show an anomalously growing interest in the public at times of bubbles. Such indicators can be for instance the number of publications and books published on the topic and the size of their sales during bubble periods. From the definition of a bubble as a self-fulfilling reinforcing price change, we thus search for indicators of a possible self-reinforcing imitation between agents in the market. We will show that such tendency for traders to imitate their nearest “neighbors” is self-reinforcing and increases up to a certain point called the “critical” point, at which all traders may place the same order (sell) at the same time, thus causing the burst of the bubble (a crash, a large correction or more generally a change of regime). The main point of our paper is that, during a major speculative bubble, the fever does not remain confined to the economic or financial sphere but spreads to other segments of the society which can actually become actors themselves by buying the market, usually close to the end of the bullish mood. This “fever contamination” provides a probe of the imitation process which is at the source of the speculative bubble, and gives therefore a direct access to the fundamental mechanism of the bubble. We believe that this approach is better suited to qualify the existence of bubble than other methods relying on poorly constrained models of the fundamental prices.

1.5 Interaction channels

How can speculative fever spread? Sociologists distinguish three kinds of communication channels (Deutsch 1953): (i) inter-personal communication i.e. contacts between individuals by word of mouth, phone, email, etc; (ii) commercial communication channels such as newspapers, magazines, books, radio, television, films, etc.; (iii) institutional channels such as churches, political parties, etc. In terms of interaction range, the channel (i) is basically a short-range interaction in the (not necessarily spatial) sense that most of the persons that you know personally belong to the same segment of society while channel (ii) and also to some extent channel (iii) are “long range” interactions. In order to assess the role played by these channels, the crucial point is to find sources from which one can draw quantitative evidence. In this study, we restrict ourselves to one specific commercial channel namely the publishing business.

1.6 Content of the paper

In section 2, we propose a simple model of price evolution that shows that imitation may result from rational expectations. The resulting Ising model dynamics embodies the possible existence of a speculative bubble and its culmination at a critical point. In section 3, we show how the progress of the speculative frenzy can be estimated through the production of books on related topics. In section 4, we use the volume of transactions in a given market as a measure of its widening attraction power.

2 Imitation results from rational maximization of expected profit

2.1 Definition of the model

In this section, we follow and generalize the work of Bhamra (1999). Consider \( N \) traders in a network, whose links represent the communication channels through which the traders communicate. The graph is not euclidean in general and is probably better described by the “small-world” type of topology (Watts, 1999) found to describe the short chain of intermediate acquaintances between any two people in the world (colloquially referred to as the “six degrees of separation”). We denote \( N(i) \)
the number of traders directly connected to $i$ on the graph. The traders buy or sell one asset at price $p(t)$ which evolves as a function of time assumed to be discrete and measured in units of the time step $\Delta t$. In the simplest version of the model, each agent can either buy or sell only one unit of the asset. This is quantified by the buy state $s_i = +1$ or the sell state $s_i = -1$. Each agent can trade at time $t - 1$ at the price $p(t - 1)$ based on all previous informations including that at $t - 1$. We assume that the asset price variation is determined by the following equation

$$
\frac{p(t) - p(t - 1)}{p(t - 1)} = \Delta t \cdot F\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i(t - 1)}{N}\right) + \sqrt{\Delta t} \cdot \sigma \eta(t).
$$

(2)

$\sigma$ is the price volatility per unit time, the factor $\sqrt{\Delta t}$ represents the time factor of the volatility and $\eta(t)$ is a white Gaussian noise with unit variance. The first term in the r.h.s. of (2) is the systematic price drift resulting from the possible imbalance between buyers and sellers. We assume that the function $F(x)$ is such that $F(0) = 0$ and is monotonically increasing with its argument: perfect balance between buyers and sellers does not move the price; a larger (resp. smaller) number of buyers than sellers drive the price up (resp. down). An often used dependence is simply a linear relationship $F(x) = \mu x$. Assuming that the time needed to complete a trade of size $L$ is proportional to $L$ and that the unobservable price fluctuations obey a diffusion process during that time, Zhang (1999) has proposed instead $F(x) \propto \text{sign}(x) \sqrt{|x|}$. The second stochastic term of the r.h.s. of (2) accounts for noisy sources of price fluctuations. Taken alone, it would give the usual log-normal random walk process (Cootner, 1967).

2.2  Rational expectation investment strategy

At time $t - 1$, just when the price $p(t - 1)$ has been announced, the trader $i$ defines his strategy $s_i(t - 1)$ that he will hold from $t - 1$ to $t$, thus realizing the profit $(p(t) - p(t - 1))s_i(t - 1)$. To define $s_i(t - 1)$, the trader calculates his expected profit $P_E$, given the past information and his position, and then chooses $s_i(t - 1)$ such that $P_E$ is maximum. Within the rational expectation model, all traders have full knowledge of the fundamental equation (2) of their financial world. However, they cannot poll the positions $s_j$ that will take all other traders which will determine the price drift according to (2). The next best thing that trader $i$ can do is to poll his $N(i)$ “neighbors” and construct his prediction for the price drift from this information. The trader needs an additional information, namely the a priori probability $P_+$ and $P_-$ for each trader to buy or sell. The probabilities $P_+$ and $P_-$ are the only informations that he can use for all the traders that he does not poll directly. From this, he can form his expectation of the price change. The simplest case corresponds to a neutral market where $P_+ = P_- = 1/2$. To allow for a simple discussion, we restrict the discussion to the linear case $F(x) = \mu x$. The general nonlinear case complicates the matter and a careful convexity analysis must be performed. In the linear case, the trader $i$ expects the following price change

$$
\Delta t \cdot \mu \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N(i)} s_i(t - 1)}{N}\right) + \sqrt{\Delta t} \cdot \sigma \eta(t).
$$

(3)

Notice that the sum is now restricted to the $N(i)$ neighbors of trader $i$ because the sum over all other traders, whom he cannot poll directly, averages out. This restricted sum is represented by the star symbol. His expected profit is thus

$$
\left(\Delta t \cdot \mu \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N(i)} s_i(t - 1)}{N}\right) + \sqrt{\Delta t} \cdot \sigma \eta(t)\right) p(t - 1) s_i(t - 1).
$$

(4)
The strategy that maximizes his profit is

\[ s_i(t-1) = \text{sign} \left( \frac{\mu}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N(i)} s_i(t-1) + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\Delta t}} \eta(t) \right). \quad (5) \]

### 2.3 Stochastic dynamical model of interacting particles

Equation (5) is exactly the evolution equation postulated by Johansen, Sornette and Ledoit (1999) and by Johansen, Ledoit and Sornette (2000) for the dynamics of imitation between agents. This evolution equation (5) belongs to the class of stochastic dynamical models of interacting particles (Liggett, 1985, 1997), which have been much studied mathematically in the context of physics and biology. In this model (5), the tendency towards imitation is governed by \( \mu/N \), which is called the coupling strength; the tendency towards idiosyncratic behavior is governed by \( \sigma \). Thus the value of \( \mu/N \) relative to \( \sigma \) determines the outcome of the battle between order (imitation process) and disorder, and the development of a bubble. More generally, the coupling strength \( \mu/N \) could be heterogeneous across pairs of neighbors, without substantially affecting the properties of the model. Some of the \( \mu_{ij} \)'s could even be negative, as long as the average of all \( \mu_{ij} \)'s was strictly positive. The equation (5) only describes the state of an agent at a given point in time. In the next instant, new \( \eta \)'s are drawn, new influences propagate themselves to neighbors, and agents can change states. Thus, the best we can do is give a statistical description of the states.

The model does not assume instantaneous opinion interactions between neighbors. In real markets, opinions tend indeed not to be instantaneous but are formed over a period of time by a process involving family, friends, colleagues, newspapers, web sites, TV stations, etc. Decisions about trading activity of a given agent may occur when the consensus from all these sources reaches a trigger level. This is precisely this feature of a threshold reached by a consensus that expression (5) captures: the consensus is described by the sum over the \( N(i) \) agents connected to agent \( i \) and the threshold is provided by the sign function together with the idiosyncratic signal included in \( \eta \). The delay in the formation of the opinion of a given trader as a function of other traders’ opinion is captured in our model by the progressive spreading of information during successive updating steps (see for instance (Liggett, 1985, 1997)).

The simplest possible network is a two-dimensional grid in the Euclidean plane. Each agent has four nearest neighbors: one to the North, one to the South, the East and the West. The relevant parameter is \( K \equiv \mu/\sigma \). It measures the tendency towards imitation relative to the tendency towards idiosyncratic behavior. In the context of the alignment of atomic spins to create magnetisation, this model is identical to the so-called two-dimensional Ising model which has been solved explicitly by Onsager (1944). Only its formulation is different from what is usually found in textbooks (Goldenfeld, 1992), as we emphasize a dynamical viewpoint.

In the Ising model, there exists a critical point \( K_c \) that determines the properties of the system. When \( K < K_c \), disorder reigns: the sensitivity to a small global influence is small, the clusters of agents who are in agreement remain of small size, and imitation only propagates between close neighbors. Formally, in this case, the susceptibility \( \chi \) of the system is finite. When \( K \) increases and gets close to \( K_c \), order starts to appear: the system becomes extremely sensitive to a small global perturbation, agents who agree with each other form large clusters, and imitation propagates over long distances. In the Natural Sciences, these are the characteristics of so-called critical phenomena. Formally, in this case the susceptibility \( \chi \) of the system goes to infinity. The hallmark of criticality is the power
law, and indeed the susceptibility goes to infinity according to a power law:

\[ \chi \approx A (K_c - K)^{-\gamma}. \]  

(6)

where \( A \) is a positive constant and \( \gamma > 0 \) is called the critical exponent of the susceptibility (equal to 7/4 for the 2-d Ising model). This kind of critical behavior is found in many other models of interacting elements (Liggett, 1985, 1997). In the case of a network where all traders are connected to all other traders, one obtains the so-called “mean-field” critical behavior, for which \( \gamma = 1 \).

We view a bubble as resulting from imitation processes similar to that described in this class of models, in which the “coupling” strength \( K \) is larger than the critical value so that a globally bullish mood is established independently of the fundamentals. Another scenario is that \( K \) itself evolves as a function of time, progressively increasing up and beyond the critical value \( K_c \). Both scenarios lead to a bubble, i.e. to a price increase which is decoupled from the fundamentals.

3 The production of books reflects the spread of the bubble

In this section, we use the number of books published on a given topic as an observational probe of the mood and crazes of the society at a given moment; more specifically, we list the number of books on a given subject in the catalogues of major libraries in order to assess to what extent this issue is a matter of concern or interest. As will turn out, this measure provides a surprisingly good “thermometer”. The use of the terminology “thermometer” is not just a way of speaking. The model presented in section 2 actually defines the temperature as \( 1/K \) in proper units. As we have seen, this parameter controls the strength of imitation between agents, which in this class of models is indeed controlled by the temperature. Before we use this thermometer for our purpose, it is appropriate to test it by performing a preliminary experiment.

3.1 Preliminary test: assessing inflation from the number of published books

Let us estimate the number of books published about inflation every year. To this aim we use the catalogues of two university libraries, namely: (i) The Harvard library which is a major general library; (ii) The library of the National Foundation for Political Sciences (FNSP, Paris), which is a library specialized in economics and political sciences. Furthermore, in order to test whether the results depend upon the counting procedure, we used two different criteria. At Harvard, we counted all the books whose titles contain the word “inflation”; at the FNSP, we counted the books listed under the “inflation” heading of the subject classification; in spite of the fact that this second criterion depends to some extent on the judgment of the librarian in charge of the subject index, it will be seen that both criteria lead to similar results. Fig.2 shows the two curves for the number of books in each library along with the curve of the inflation rate in the United States (dotted line). There is obviously a close connection between the three curves; the three cross-correlations are over 0.82. For instance, the correlation between the Harvard book data and the inflation rate is 0.89; the correlation between the Harvard data and the FNSP data is 0.87.

These observations lead to the following conclusions: (i) when inflation becomes higher, the concern about price increase seems to pervade large sections of the society: it makes publishers more prone to publish books on that topic, authors more willing to write them, librarians more ready to buy them and the public more desirous to read them. In fact the correlation is so good that the level of inflation could be measured fairly accurately (albeit with a time lag of one or two years) through the number...
of books published on that topic. (ii) Estimates of the number of books published on an issue of
worldwide interest are fairly robust with respect to the country where the library is located, the type
of the library (general versus specialized for instance) or the method used (e.g. whether based on a
title or subject search).

In order to emphasize the significance of the previous result, the following comparison may be help-
ful. When a new experiment in the field of particle physics is carried out, one would certainly expect
an increased production of papers on that topic. What we have observed in the case of inflation is
fairly different however for at least two reasons. (i) The book/inflation relationship is not restricted
to major inflation peaks; even modest upsurges in times of low inflation (under 5%) brought about an
increased book production. (ii) The study of the inflation phenomenon is by no means facilitated in
times of high inflation. On the contrary, from a scientific point of view, one would be in a much better
position 5 or 10 years after an inflation peak for one could then study both the upward and downward
phase of the inflation episode.

In short, Fig.2 shows that the society reacted with great sensitivity (so to say as a resonance chamber)
to fluctuations of the price index. It is important to note that, in this case, one cannot argue that the
increased book production stimulated inflation. On the contrary, in the case of speculative trading to
which we turn in the next section, an augmented book production has a positive feedback effect on
the economic phenomenon it addresses: it feeds speculation by sustaining the public’s interest.

3.2 Connection between speculative trading and production of books

In this paragraph, we propose three examples which point to a close connection between a speculative
bubble in a given sector and the production of new books on that topic. All these experiments have
been conducted on the electronic catalogues of the Harvard Library; it can be accessed through the
instruction: telnet hollis.harvard.edu.

The first curve (solid line) in Fig.3a shows the number of books published yearly whose titles contain
one of the words: “stocks”, “stock market” or “speculation”. The second curve (broken line) gives
the level of stock prices. The connection between both phenomena is apparent especially during the
peak of 1925-1932. The book production lags behind the price index, the time lag being of the order
of 1.5 years, which is approximately the time it takes to write and publish a book; with this time lag
taken into account, the correlation is 0.57; of course it would be substantially higher if the series were
restricted to the 1925-1932 peak.

Fig.3b tells a similar story for a more recent time period, namely the 1980-1996 bull market. The
correlation is 0.70 (a zero- or a one year-lag lead almost to the same correlation).

The last example concerns the diamond bubble of 1975-1984 (Fig.3c). There is a close connection
between the number of books whose titles contain the words “diamond” or “diamonds” and the actual
price of diamonds. The correlation (without lag) is 0.66.

The augmented book production is of course but one manifestation of the society’s increased interest
for speculative trading. By its very nature, because of the time it takes to write and publish a book,
this indicator displays considerable inertia. Needless to say it would be of interest to find an indicator
responding more quickly to a change in the society’s mood. The next paragraph provides such an
example.
3.3 The coin bubble of 1965

This example is taken from a very stimulating study by Montroll and Badger (1974, p.200). In the early 1960s, there was a speculative bubble for a number of American coins. For instance, a roll of fifty 1960 Denver-minted pennies of the small date variety which sold for 4 dollars in 1961 fetched 21 dollars in 1964; similarly a roll of 1955 half dollars went from 20 dollars to 190 dollars in that period. One of the main journals in the field of coin collecting is “Coin World” which has appeared weekly since 1959. Undoubtedly a weekly publication can reflect the speculative mood of the market more swiftly and accurately than newly published books. The solid line curve in Fig.4 shows the number of paid copies of “Coin World” that have been distributed. The curve began to level off by October 1964 and the maximum was reached on March 1, 1965. On the same figure, we plot the price history of the 1960 D small date penny and of the 1955 half dollar; these curves are smoothed least square fits to the weekly price fluctuations given in “Coin World”.

Once again there is a conspicuous parallelism and this time the distribution curve does not lag behind the price series; the leveling off of distribution even precedes the turning point of the half dollar market. In this case, the distribution data could have been used as a warning signal. Of course, for the penny price, the leveling off occurred even earlier, but the price of one coin can hardly be used to predict the price of another coin; in contrast the fluctuations in “Coin World” distribution are a counter of the number of people who have a general interest in the coin market. Note that the sharp peak versus flat trough asymmetry (Roehner and Sornette, 1998) have been found in a simple percolation model of the stock market (Stauffer and Sornette, 1999) by letting the trading activity be dependent on the price, i.e. increasing prices causes more people to act than a decreasing price.

It is of interest to consider for a moment actual price increases. The price of the 1960 D penny increased by a factor 5.2 (from 4 to 21 dollars), while the price of the 1955 half dollar was multiplied by 9.5 (from 20 to 120 dollars). This provides a new confirmation of the price multiplier effect (Roehner 2000) which says that for a more expensive item the speculation will be stronger and lead to a larger price increase.

What is the rationale of the effect described in this section or, in other words, why does the interest shown by the public for a given item reflect actual price changes during a speculative bubble? Basically each new person showing an interest in the market is a potential customer. When the number of interested people levels off, no new customer appears. Observation shows that the high level attained by the market can be sustained only for a short time with “old customers” buying from and selling to each other. Theoretically, these customers could also decide to devote a larger share of their revenue to buying coins; in this case, the bubble could continue to grow even with a fixed pool of customers. However, observation shows that this does not happen, at least in the cases that we discussed. It can be added that for an item as the 1960 D penny that costs only 20 dollars even at its peak price, it would be fairly easy for many collectors to buy more; nevertheless this does not happen: as soon as the number of new customers entering the market begins to level off, the bubble begins to falter. This very same mechanism has been documented by Galbraith (1997) in his famous analysis of the 1929 crash and speculative bubble preceding it. More recently, Krugman (1994, 1995, 1998) has shown that the same mechanism has been at work in the speculative bubble preceding the Asian collapse a few years ago.

Can the same investigation procedure be applied to the stock market? The most serious difficulty is to find a market journal which is representative of the level of public interest. The “Wall Street Journal” has been the most important newspaper in the securities field for many years; however, it has
also achieved recognition as a national newspaper so that it is read for general information as well as for investment news. As a matter of fact, its average yearly circulation does not closely follow the fluctuation of stock prices. For instance, during the bear market of 1968-1974, its circulation *increased* from 1.1 million copies to 1.3 million, i.e. a 18% increase. That increase was however much smaller than the one that occurred during the 1960-1968 bull market, namely 72%. In short, the Wall Street Journal to some extent shows the phenomenon described above but because of its broad spectrum it cannot serve as an accurate thermometer.

## 4 Volume of trade as an indicator of the public’s interest

For stock markets, there is a vast literature on the (possible) relationship between trading volume and prices: e.g. Crouch (1970), Rogalski (1978), Schneller (1978), Karpoff (1987); Crouch’s contribution is particularly interesting. However, most of these papers are concerned with short-term (daily or weekly) changes; since both prices and volumes are fairly erratic (the latter being even more erratic than the former), this turns out to be a difficult statistical question. In this section, we are concerned with long term (yearly) variations. Moreover, we use the volume of sales as an indicator of the public’s interest for a given speculative item; in that sense, the present section is a logical continuation of our analysis in the previous section.

### 4.1 The stock market

Wall Street has several adages about trading volumes, for instance: “It takes volumes to make prices move” (cited in Schneller 1978) or “Transaction volume [i.e. the number of shares traded] tends to be high in bull markets and low in bear markets” (cited in Karpoff 1987, p.112). More precisely it will be seen that transaction volumes are high and growing in bull markets and low and decreasing in bear markets. Moreover, this conclusion holds not only for stock markets but also for other speculative markets, for instance the land and property markets.

The volume of shares traded on the NYSE between 1895 and 1940 is plotted in Fig.5a (solid line) along with the (deflated) Standard and Poor stock price index. Between 1895 ad 1914, there is a clear parallelism between volume and price (the correlation is 0.60). During the bull market of the 1920s, the yearly volume of shares traded increased from 0.2 billion to one billion; this five fold increase matched a multiplication by three of the price index (the correlation is 0.76). Similarly, Fig.5b shows that, during the bull market of the 1980s and 1990s, there was a graphic connection between trading volume and share prices: the volume increased from about 10 billions to more than 100 billions, a ten fold increase which matches an eightfold increase of the price index (the correlation is 0.98). The huge increase in the volume of transactions is a direct proof of the fact that there has been a permanent inflow of new customers into the market; that inflow is itself a manifestation of a widening public interest for stock investment. In this case, this effect was probably accompanied by an increase of the funds each customer was prepared to invest in the stock market. This is in particular suggested by the series given in the following table.
Table 1  Distribution of transactions by size (NYSE)

|          | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
|----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 100-4900 shares (%) | 73.5 | 70.2 | 66.0 | 64.1 | 61.2 | 56.9 | 52.1 | 44.7 | 41.3 |
| > 5000 shares (%)    | 26.6 | 29.8 | 34.0 | 35.9 | 38.8 | 43.1 | 47.9 | 55.3 | 58.7 |

|          | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 |
|----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 100-4900 shares (%) | 36.4 | 34.7 | 36.5 | 34.7 | 32.4 | 34.1 | 35.2 | 34.8 | 33.5 |
| > 5000 shares (%)    | 63.6 | 65.3 | 63.5 | 65.3 | 67.6 | 65.9 | 64.8 | 65.2 | 66.5 |

Source: Statistical Abstract of the United States (various years)

Thus, the number of transactions of more than 5,000 shares (i.e. more than 50,000 dollars if one takes the price of a share to be of the order of 10 dollars) has increased steadily from 1975 on, which was the first year for which this statistics was given. But the rate of growth which has been very rapid between 1975 and 1984 has become much slower afterwards. It would be of great interest to know if the progression has continued after 1992, unfortunately these data are no longer given after this date.

4.2 Real estate markets

When turning from stock markets to real estate markets, one is confronted with two difficulties. The first is to identify a genuine “bull market”, the second is to find adequate statistics, which is not easy especially for the number of transactions. Fig.6a and b provide two examples. The first one concerns sales of land in Paris; the solid line shows the number of transactions, while the broken line gives the price per square meter; during the 1982-1991 bull market, both curves were parallel (correlation is 0.95). The second example concerns the sales of apartments in Paris shown in Fig.6b. The solid line shows the volume of sales in thousands of square meters, while the broken line shows the price per square meter. The story is somewhat different for this case. During the bull market phase, sales were at a high level but experienced only a slow increase; then in 1987, almost three years before the turning point for the prices, sales began to plummet. The volume of sales could have been used as a useful indicator of an incoming change of regime similarly to the case of the distribution of World Coin preceding the drop of the 1960 D penny. What is not obvious is to estimate a priori the time lag, i.e. how low the high price of apartments could be sustained in the presence of decreasing demand.

5 Summary

This paper has proposed new indicators for identifying speculative bubbles, defined as self-fulfilling reinforcing price changes. This work started with the recognition that qualifying the existence of speculative bubbles is controversial due in part to the need for a model of fundamental prices. Tests of the existence of a bubble then also become tests of the model and rejection cannot be attributed uniquely to the absence of a bubble but is linked to the quality of the model. In this standard approach, there is no unique answer. Here, we have presented a novel empirical method which bypasses these difficulties by monitoring external indicators of an anomalously growing interest in the public at times of bubbles. We have shown the existence, during the growth of the bubble, of a growing interest in the public for the commodity in question, whether it consists in stocks, diamonds or coins. This interest can be quantified for instance by the increase in the number of books published on the topic or in the increase in the subscriptions to specialized journals. We have also presented a simple model of rational expectation which maps exactly onto the Ising model on a random graph. This model
allows us to view a bubble as a result of the fight between disorder (idiosyncratic signal which may be different for each trader) and order (resulting from an imitation or influence process that tend to align the opinions or interests of people). The bubble is found to be a regime of low “disorder”, i.e. low “temperature” for which the imitation processes are strong. The indicators can then be interpreted as “thermometers” of the system. This model provides a theoretical basis for spin-like models of influences discussed earlier in this context.
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Figure captions

Fig.1 Price bubble for diamonds, gold, platinum and silver. For gold, silver and platinum, the prices are in dollar per ounce. For diamonds, the price is for a one-carat G flawless diamond. The collapse occurred almost simultaneously in the four markets. Prices of palladium and cobalt showed a similar evolution, we did not represent them for the sake of clarity. Sources: *Journal des Finances* (26 October 1978); *The Economist* (5 April 1980); *Diamond 1988: Special Report of the Economist*

Fig.2 Comparison between the number of yearly published books about inflation and the inflation rate. Thick solid line: books at Harvard library whose titles contain the word “inflation”. Thin solid line: number of books under the “inflation” heading of a subject classification (library of the National Foundation for Political Science, Paris). Dotted line: Consumer price index in the United States. The inflation curve has been shifted by one year to the right. The correlation between the number of books published and the (shifted) inflation series is comprised between 0.82 (FNSP) and 0.89 (Harvard). Sources: Liesner (1989); Roehner (1995, p.381).

Fig.3a Comparison between the number of yearly published books about stock market speculation and the level of stock prices (1911-1940). Solid line: books at Harvard library whose titles contain one of the words “stocks”, “stock market” or “speculation”; broken line: Standard and Poor index of common stocks. The curve of published books lags behind the price curve with a time-lag of about 1.5 years. Source: *The stock price index is taken from the Historical Abstract of the United States.*

Fig.3b Comparison between the number of yearly published books about stock market speculation and the level of stock prices (1971-1996). Solid line: books at Harvard library whose titles contain one of the words “stocks”, “stock market” or “speculation”; broken line: Dow Jones index of industrial shares. Source: *The stock price index is taken from Quid* (1997).

Fig.3c Comparison between the number of yearly published books about diamonds and the price of diamonds (1975-1984). Solid line: books at Harvard library whose titles contain one of the words “diamond” or “diamonds”. Source: *The diamond prices are taken from Diamond 1988 (Special Report of the Economist)*

Fig.4 Comparison between the distribution of “Coin World” and the price of two American coins (1962-1970). The curve labeled “Penny” refers to the price of a roll of 1960 D small date pennies; the curve labeled “Half dollar” refers to the price of a roll of 1955 half dollars. Source: Montroll and Badger (1974)

Fig.5a Comparison between the number of shares traded on the NYSE and the level of stock prices (1897-1940). Solid line: number of shares traded; broken line: deflated Standard and Poor’s index of common stocks. Source: *Historical Statistics of the United States*

Fig.5b Comparison between the number of shares traded on the NYSE and the level of stock prices (1980-1997). Solid line: number of shares traded; broken line: Dow Jones index (industrials). Source: *Statistical Abstract of the United States, various years*

Fig.6a Comparison between the number of transactions and the price of land in Paris. Solid line: number of transactions; broken line: price per square meter in thousands of French francs. Source: *Le marché immobilier français. Les chiffres et les sources* (1993).

Fig.6b Comparison between sales and prices of apartments in Paris. Solid line: Sales in thousand of square meters; broken line: price of apartments per square meter in thousands of French francs (of 1990). These data refer to “old” apartments i.e. apartments which have already been sold at least once. Source: *Le marché immobilier français. Les chiffres et les sources* (1998).
The graph shows the number of titles containing the terms 'stocks', 'stock market', and 'speculation' from 1970 to 1995.

- The solid line represents books, with a trend of increasing complexity over time.
- The dotted line represents stock prices, also showing an upward trend.

The correlation coefficient, r = 0.71, indicates a strong positive relationship between the increase in book titles and stock prices.
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