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Adsorption of particles across interfaces has been proposed as a way to create adhesion between hydrogels and biological tissues. Here, we explore how this particle bridging approach can be applied to attach a soft polymer substrate to biological tissues, using bioresorbable and nanostructured hydroxyapatite–bioactive glass microparticles. For this, microparticles of aggregated flower-like hydroxyapatite and bioactive glass (HA–BG) were synthesized via a bioinspired route. A deposition technique using suspension spreading was developed to tune the coverage of HA–BG coatings at the surface of weakly cross-linked poly(beta-thioester) films. By varying the concentration of the deposited suspensions, we produced coatings having surface coverages ranging from 4% to 100% and coating densities ranging from 0.02 to 1.0 mg cm⁻². The progressive dissolution of these coatings within 21 days in phosphate-buffered saline was followed by SEM. Ex vivo peeling experiments on pig liver capsules demonstrated that HA–BG coatings produce an up-to-two-fold increase in adhesion energy (9.8 ± 1.5 J m⁻²) as compared to the uncoated film (4.6 ± 0.8 J m⁻²). Adhesion energy was found to increase with increasing coating density until a maximum at 0.2 mg cm⁻², well below full surface coverage, and then it decreased for larger coating densities. Using microscopy observations during and after peeling, we show that this maximum in adhesion corresponds to the appearance of particle stacks, which are easily separated and transferred onto the tissue. Such bioresorbable HA–BG coatings give the possibility of combining particle bridging with the storage and release of active compounds, therefore offering opportunities to design functional bioadhesive surfaces.

1. Introduction

With the blossoming of soft polymers and hydrogels for implants and biofabrication processes, there is great interest in improving the adhesion of such materials to biological tissues. Nevertheless, the surface wetting by biological fluids and the formulation constraints imposed by biocompatibility requirements make it challenging to design soft macromolecular networks that adhere to the surface of biological tissues. Great research efforts have been invested to improve tissue–hydrgel adhesion, in particular by inserting moieties into the polymeric network that can bind covalently or supramolecularly with tissue macromolecules. Particle bridging is another strategy where particles are used as connectors between hydrogels and tissues. In this approach, particles are placed at the interface and the adsorption of macromolecular chains from both sides onto the surface of the particles creates an adhesive contact by non-covalent interactions in less than five minutes. Even though the adhesion strength produced by particle bridging is generally lower than the one obtained by covalent binding, this method offers several interesting features, and can sustain non-negligible levels of deformation. Unlike for polymer glues, the use of particles preserves the permeability needed for interfacial exchanges and delivery of active substances. It also provides an easy way to add other functionalities, such as contrast enhancement for imaging, hemostasis, antioxidant, or antibacterial effects. In addition, these performances present the opportunity of temporary immobilization of devices, which can be retrieved without the use of additives to break covalent binding or UV irradiation to reverse supramolecular interactions. Coatings of particles may also provide a valuable complement to stronger but more invasive adhesive strategies to enhance the local bio-integration of implants and to design multifunctional surgical adhesives.

Adhesion by particle bridging is applicable to a large variety of particles, tissues and hydrogels. The in vivo applicability of the approach was demonstrated on small animal, using iron oxide nanoparticles to close wounds, or silica nanoparticles to fasten hydrogel membranes on the surface of heart and liver. Other nanoparticles such as zinc and titanium oxides were also reported for wound closure in mice. We recently showed the efficiency of procoagulant silica nanoparticles to enhance the
adhesion of PEG hydrogel membranes in vivo in presence of blood through the formation of an interfacial blood clot. Even though silica nanoparticles are often used in particle bridging strategies, their biocompatibility is arguable, and the cytotoxicity of these particles has been shown to depend on their size and specific surface area.\textsuperscript{14–16} There is thus an interest for biocompatible and bioresorbable particles to design bioadhesive coatings. In this context, an enhancement of the adhesion of PEG hydrogels on pig liver\textsuperscript{7} was achieved using mesoporous silica particles that degrade rapidly in physiological medium.\textsuperscript{17} A recent study also emphasized the potential of biodegradable porous silicon nanoparticles for wound closure.\textsuperscript{18}

Bioactive glass and calcium phosphate particles are two promising biocompatible and bioresorbable candidates. On the one hand, silicate-based bioactive glass (BG) is well known in the field of bone regeneration.\textsuperscript{19} BG consists in a silica network containing calcium and phosphate oxides. In contact with biological fluids, BG can produce carbonated hydroxyapatite and this newly formed bone-like material chemically stimulates bone regeneration. Interaction between BG particles and soft collagen tissues was also reported in vitro,\textsuperscript{20} and the potential of BG particles for soft tissue regeneration was reviewed several times.\textsuperscript{21–23} The use of BG particles for adhesion by particle bridging was reported in recent papers,\textsuperscript{6,24} where suspensions of bioactive glass in combination with silica particles demonstrated adhesive properties and permitted to glue skin tissues, ex vivo and in vivo.

On the other hand, calcium phosphate ceramics are frequently used as bone regeneration material.\textsuperscript{25} In particular, hydroxyapatite (HA), which is the major inorganic component of human bones and teeth, can be synthesized to be biocompatible.\textsuperscript{26–28} While crystalline and stoichiometric forms of HA induce an inflammatory and fibrotic response in vivo,\textsuperscript{29} biomimetic HA mimicking the highly substituted, carbonated and poorly crystalline form of bone hydroxyapatite is little pro-inflammatory.\textsuperscript{30} The adsorption of molecules of the extra-cellular matrix on hydroxyapatite was previously reported,\textsuperscript{31,32} making HA a potential candidate as bridging connector. It has already been shown by Okada et al.\textsuperscript{33} that suspensions or assembled plates of HA nanoparticles were able to glue different mouse tissues ex vivo. Another calcium phosphate based material, the octacalcium phosphate block, was recently shown to adhere strongly ex vivo to mouse dermal tissues.\textsuperscript{34}

In addition to their potential for tissue adhesion, both HA and BG particles may be interesting to deliver local therapeutic treatments. The ability of the HA lattice to accept ionic substitutions provides a way to insert metallic ions like silver, copper or zinc, which have antibacterial or anti-inflammatory effects.\textsuperscript{35–37} Similar properties may be conferred to BG particles by tailoring the composition of the material.\textsuperscript{38} In this case, the drug would be released at the same rate than the dissolution of the BG particles, and a sustained release effect would be expected. Mixing HA and BG would combine advantages of both particles. Such blends have been reported, in particular in the field of bone regeneration, often synthesized via a sol-gel route.\textsuperscript{39–42} In the present work, we explore the use of mixed HA–BG particles as bridging connectors. For that, we adapt a bioinspired synthesis of HA described by El Fiqi et al.,\textsuperscript{43} in order to achieve a partial mineralization of BG in HA and create an intimate mixture of both BG and HA particles.

Using these HA–BG particles, our aim is to investigate the relationship between the surface density of particle coatings and the adhesion produced by particle bridging. Indeed, the adhesion by particle bridging is strongly dependent on the way particles are distributed and aggregated at the interface.\textsuperscript{17,44} but little is understood so far on that topic. In most particle-bridging studies, particles have been deposited as suspensions of nanoparticles or aggregates. Brush spreading of powders was also reported,\textsuperscript{7,45} but this method is not convenient to control and adjust the coating properties because saturation of the surface is always reached. Here, we propose to adjust the surface density of HA–BG coatings by spreading and drying liquid suspensions of microscopic aggregates at the surface of soft cross-linked polymer films.

In the proposed approach, poly(beta-thioester) (PBET) films were used for their low cytotoxicity\textsuperscript{46} and their degradability in physiological conditions.\textsuperscript{47,48} We measured the adhesion created by particle bridging between these HA–BG coated films and the capsule of porcine liver, which is the outer surface of the liver composed of a collagen rich membrane. Porcine liver was chosen as it is commonly used to assess the properties of surgical adhesives.\textsuperscript{2,4,7,8} It is a particularly challenging substrate and the reported adhesion values are usually substantially lower than the ones obtained on other organs such as the heart or the small intestine.\textsuperscript{2,5} By combining microscopic observations to peeling experiments, we intend to determine how the adhesion energy resulting from particle bridging depends on the density and microstructure of the HA–BG coatings.

2. Experimental section

2.1. Chemicals

Ethanol (≥99.8%), poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG, $M_n = 8000$ g mol$^{-1}$); poly(ethylene glycol) diacrylate (PEG-DA, $M_n = 700$ g mol$^{-1}$); 1,6-hexanediethiol (HT); triethylamine; tetrahydrofuran; toluene; pentaerythritol tetraakis (3-mercaptopropionate) (Q); Irgacure 2959; phosphate-buffered saline without calcium and magnesium (PBS) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Thio-glycerol (≥98%, MT) was purchased from TCI. Tetraethoxysilane (TEOS, 98%) and calcium nitrate tetrahydrate (99.0–103%) were purchased from Alfa Aesar. For preparing the Simulated Body Fluid (SBF): sodium chloride, sodium hydrogendecarbonate, dipotassium hydrogenophosphate trihydrate and calcium chloride dihydrate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich; magnesium chloride hexahydrate from Acros Organics; sodium disulfate, potassium chloride and tris(hydroxymethylaminomethane) from Alfa Aesar. All chemicals were used as purchased.

2.2. Ex vivo liver tissues

Entire porcine livers were purchased from a local butcher (“Aux fleurons de la viande”, Paris, France). Livers were transported in...
a cooler, stored at 4 °C, and used during the day following their purchase (18–42 h after harvesting). Tissues were not stored in the freezer at any time as this is known to alter their integrity. These acceptable storage times were determined from a previous study comparing freshly explanted and butcher livers. With the uncoated PBT films, a preliminary study with two livers showed a decrease in adhesion energy from 4 ± 1 J m⁻² to 2.9 ± 0.4 J m⁻² for storage times exceeding 48 h after harvesting.

2.3. Synthesis and characterization of hydroxyapatite (HA) and hydroxyapatite–bioactive glass (HA–BG) particles

Mixed hydroxyapatite–bioactive glass microparticles were synthesized using a protocol modified from El Fiqi et al. Briefly, a bioactive glass precursor was obtained from a sol–gel method before a mineralization step in SBF. As a control, pure hydroxyapatite microparticles were also synthesized following the exact same protocol as El Fiqi et al.

2.3.1. Synthesis of the bioactive glass precursor (BG).

For the synthesis of HA microparticles, BG precursor nanoparticles were synthesized in 250 mg batches. PEG 8000 (5 g) was dissolved in 120 mL of methanol at 60 °C under a 500 rpm stirring. When dissolved, the temperature was lowered to 40 °C and the pH was adjusted to 12.5 with 30 mL of ammonium hydroxide, before the addition of 0.179 g of calcium nitrate tetrahydrate. TEOS (0.895 g) was diluted in 60 mL of methanol separately, before being added dropwise to the first solution under vigorous stirring (700 rpm) with the application of high-power ultrasounds using a Bioblock Vibracell 75115 ultrasonic generator operating at 20 kHz and 500 W (output power 220 W, cycles 9.9 s ON/9.9 s OFF for 20 min). The mixture was let to react under a 700 rpm stirring at room temperature for 24 h. Particles were recovered after centrifugation at 10 000 rpm for 10 min, washed 3 times with distilled water and twice with ethanol. After drying overnight at 70 °C, particles were calcined at 600 °C under atmospheric conditions for 5 h after a ramp of 1 °C min⁻¹ in a Nabertherm apparatus.

For synthesis of HA–BG microparticles, the synthesis of the BG precursor was slightly modified. Ethanol was chosen as the solvent and the sonication step was skipped during the addition of TEOS. After 24 h of reaction at 40 °C, particles were recovered, washed, dried and calcinated following the same protocol as the one for pure HA microparticles.

2.3.2. Conversion of bioactive glass to hydroxyapatite and hydroxyapatite–bioactive glass microparticles.

PEG solution was prepared as follows. Sodium chloride (137 mM), sodium hydrogencarbonate (4.22 mM), potassium chloride (3.02 mM), dipotassium hydrogenophosphate trihydrate (1.01 mM), magnesium chloride hexahydrate (1.53 mM), calcium chloride dihydrate (0.26 mM), sodium disulfate (0.501 mM) and tris(hydroxymethylamino)methane (50.5 mM) were dissolved in MilliQ water at 37 °C and the pH was adjusted with HCl 1 M to pH 7.4. BG particles were progressively mineralized within SBF at 37 °C during 14 days, forming particles of HA or HA–BG. SBF solution was renewed every 48 h. After 14 days, particles were recovered by centrifugation at 10 000 rpm for 10 min, washed 3 times with distilled water and once with ethanol. Particles were dried for 24 h at room temperature under the fume hood.

2.3.3. Microparticles characterization

Crystallinity. Phase identification was done using X-ray diffraction (XRD) spectra recorded on a Philips X’pert Modular Powder diffractometer XRD2, equipped with a Bragg Brentano θ–2θ geometry between 10° and 80°, using the Cu K–α wavelength (λ = 1.54 Å).

Microstructure. BG, HA and HA–BG particles were observed with a Quattro ESEM from ThermoFisher Scientific, operating under an accelerating voltage of 10 kV and a beam current of 46 pA. Samples were deposited on a conductive copper/nickel tape and coated with a 5 nm Au layer using a Cressington 108 sputter coater operated at 20 mA for 30 s. For X-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) measurements, a voltage of 15 kV was used, with a ThermoFisher detector.

Specific surface area. Nitrogen porosimetry measurements were performed on a Micromeritics TriStar instrument at 77 K, after activating the samples on a VacPrep device (15 h at 150 ±C). The specific surface area of all compounds was calculated through the Brunauer, Emmett and Teller (BET) method.

2.4. Fabrication and characterization of cross-linked PBT films

2.4.1. PBT-DA synthesis.

Poly(beta-thioester) diacrylates (PBT-DA) were synthesized by a Michael addition of 1,6-hexanediithiold (HT) onto PEG-DA (Mₙ = 700 g mol⁻¹), following the protocol of Zaquen et al. (Scheme 1). PEG-DA (1 eq.) and HT (0.6 eq.) were dissolved in THF (20 vol%) in a round bottom flask and let stirred at 150 rpm. The triethylamine was added all at once to start the reaction (28 °C, 72 h, 150 rpm). A thiol/acylate ratio of 0.6 was chosen to produce a majority of PBT-DA chains having acrylate groups at both chain ends. The molar mass distribution of PBT-DA was determined by Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) analysis in THF with a polystyrene calibration, performed on a Viscotek GPCmax/VE2001 apparatus connected to a triple detection array (TDA 305) from Malvern. The molecular weight was Mₙ = 2650 ± 80 g mol⁻¹ with a dispersity D = 1.9 ± 0.9.
2.4.2. Photo-cross-linking of PBT films. Films were obtained by a photo-cross-linking of PBT-DA chains controlled by the presence of thiols as transfer agents, in a similar protocol to the one by Rydholm et al.4 The topology of the cross-linked network was adjusted using a 1:3 molar ratio mixture of monothiol (thioglycerol, MT) and tetrathiol (pentacythritol tetrakis (3-mercaptopropionate), QT) and a 9:1 molar ratio for thiol : acrylate functions. PBT-DA (1 eq.), MT (0.56 eq.) and QT (1.69 eq.) were first dissolved in THF (20 wt%). The photo-initiator, Irgacure 2959, was dissolved in ethanol at 0.01 mg per mL. This solution was added to the mixture in 0.01 vol%. Samples for coating and adhesion were obtained by spreading the solution on a paper backing using a metal knife to ensure the proper boundary conditions for elastic peeling. Photo-cross-linking was performed by UV irradiation for 1 min at 365 nm and 1 W cm⁻² in a UV CHAMBER™ apparatus. The obtained films were dried in an oven at 80 °C for 10 min to remove traces of THF and were subsequently stored in a closed container at controlled temperature ($T = 21 ± 1$ °C). For 10 g of solution, 8 × 10 cm² films having a 1.6 ± 0.1 mm thickness were obtained after drying. 2 mm-thick films with no paper backing were also produced for characterization experiments (2.4.3) and were spread onto the surface of a glass plate previously treated with cerium oxide.

2.4.3. Film characterization

Swelling ratio and sol fraction. 1 cm-diameter disks of cross-linked PBT films without backing were dried under vacuum at 60 °C for 3 h to determine their initial dried mass ($m_\text{D}$). Then, these pieces were immersed in an excess of ultrapure water (20 °C < $T$ < 22 °C) and weighed to obtain the swollen mass ($m_\text{S}$). Equilibrium swelling was reached after about 12 h. After 24 h, the swollen films were re-dried under vacuum at 60 °C overnight to obtain the re-dried mass ($m_{\text{RD}}$). The equilibrium swelling ratio and the soluble fraction were defined as $Q = m_\text{S}/m_{\text{RD}}$ and $\text{SF} = (1 - m_\text{RD}/m_\text{D})$, respectively.

Mechanical properties. The mechanical characterization of the PBT films was performed on a tensile test apparatus (All Around, Zwick) equipped with a 10 N load cell. Rectangular stripes were cut from dry films with dimensions 10 mm × 5 mm × 0.5 mm. Samples of dry films were stretched until rupture at a speed of 1 mm s⁻¹ (Fig. S1†). Rheological measurements were performed on a MCR 302 Anton Paar rheometer. A frequency sweep from 0.001 to 100 rad s⁻¹ was assessed at 20 °C and a 1% shear strain. To determine the Dahlquist criterium and dissipation parameters, loss and storage modulus were taken at 1 Hz.

2.5. Coating deposition and characterization

2.5.1. HA–BG and HA coatings by suspension spreading. Suspensions of microparticles were prepared by dispersing them in a 50 : 50 (vol%) EtOH : H₂O mixture. The concentration of particles was varied from 1 to 50 mg mL⁻¹. These particle suspensions were deposited onto the surface of PBT films with 20 and 200 μL micropipettes (Gilson Pipetman), less than 30 s after being homogenized with ultrasounds for 30 s (BRANSONIC® Ultrasonic Cleaner). Two geometries of PBT films were used: 1 × 1 cm² squares for coating characterization and 8 × 1 cm² ribbons for adhesion testing. A fixed amount of suspension per unit area of film was deposited and homogeneously spread by sweeping 4–5 times with a spatula during 15–20 s. Drying was performed for 2 h at 20 °C in a desiccator. Table 1 gathers the different conditions used for this study. They are referred to as Vx_Cy, where x is the deposited suspension volume per unit area of the film in μL cm⁻², and y the suspension concentration in mg mL⁻¹. In a first series of experiments (Table 1, V10_C20), the adhesion produced by pure HA, BG precursors and HA–BG coatings was investigated. For that, all the coatings were obtained with a deposited volume of 10 μL cm⁻² and a coating density of 0.2 mg cm⁻². In a second series of experiments (Table 1, V20_C1 to V20_C50), HA–BG coatings with varying coating densities were produced to investigate the relationship between adhesion and coating density. For that, a deposited volume of 20 μL cm⁻² was employed. The particle concentration in the suspension was varied from 1 to 50 mg mL⁻¹, which corresponds to coating densities ranging from 0.02 to 1 mg cm⁻².

2.5.2. Coating characterization by SEM. Microscopic observations of coatings were performed with the same protocol and apparatus than for HA–BG particles. Quantitative analysis of SEM images was performed to determine the fraction of surface coated by particles. Each image was binarized using the ImageJ software, where black pixels were assigned to the gel and white pixels to the particles coating. Three thresholds were determined: one qualitative best fit, one underestimating and one overestimating the number of white pixels. The coverage rate of the coating was defined as the percentage of white pixels over the total number of pixels, and was expressed as the mean of the coverage rates obtained for the three thresholds, with an error corresponding to the standard deviation of the three values. For each coating density, the analysis was performed on one to three different samples corresponding to a total surface between 4 and 12 mm². In the particular case of the 0.2 mg cm⁻² measurements were performed on 8 different samples.

2.5.3. Degradation of HA–BG coating in PBS. Nine pieces of 1 × 1 cm² of PBT films coated by HA–BG (0.2 mg cm⁻²) in the exact same conditions were immersed in PBS at pH 7.4 and 37 °C for 21 days. At different times ranging from 2 h to 21 days, samples were taken out of PBS, dried for 2 h at 60 °C and stored at 20 °C before observation by SEM. For each sample, the coated surface was characterized on a large representative surface of 1.5 mm² taken at the center of the sample. A particular attention was paid to manipulate gently the samples and making sure that they always remain horizontal. Control experiments were performed to prove that particles do not fall off the film with the manipulation protocol as follows. Surfaces of PTFE were coated with particles using the same deposition protocol. Because of the tension surface of PTFE, the coating was not uniform. Substrates were then immersed in PBS for 1 h at 37 °C, either horizontally without stirring as performed for the degradation assay, or vertically with stirring. After immersion, samples were retrieved and dried at 60 °C. Optical and SEM micrographs before and after immersion confirm that with the proposed
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2.6. Adhesion to biological tissues by ex vivo peeling

2.6.1. Tissue preparation and film–tissue contact. Ex vivo adhesion measurements were performed by peeling uncoated and coated films from the capsule of porcine liver. The preparation of porcine liver substrates and the deposition of the polymer films on the tissue were performed following a protocol reported previously. Rectangular parallelepiped liver samples having a 1 cm thickness and 10 cm × 3 cm surface were cut using a sharp knife. For each measurement, the whole protocol was timed to ensure that the tissue is in a reproducible hydrated state at the moment of contact and peeling. For that, each liver sample was first immersed twice for 30 s in physiological serum (NaCl 9 g L⁻¹). One minute after the second tissue immersion in physiological serum, the bottom face of the liver sample was glued onto a flat holder using cyanoacrylate glue, holding the capsule surface exposed to air on the top face. Three minutes after the end of the second immersion in physiological serum, the surface of the liver capsule was wiped gently using a sterile pad and a 1 × 10 cm² ribbon of dry coated or uncoated PBT film was deposited. The ribbons were then left in contact with the liver capsule for exactly 5 min prior to peeling. During the first minute of contact, a pressure was applied repeatedly with one finger over the whole contact area while constantly measuring the force with a weighing scale. A maximum force of 6 N was applied at each finger pressure, which corresponds approximately to a 80 ± 50 kPa pressure.

2.6.2. Ex vivo peeling measurement on porcine liver capsule. Peeling experiments were performed on a tensile test apparatus (All Around, Zwick) equipped with a 90° peeling device and a 10 N load cell. All peeling experiments were performed at 1 mm s⁻¹. The system was synchronized with video cameras to record side and front views of the peeling zone. For each tested condition, experiments were repeated 3–6 times on several different livers (n = 3–6). The peeling force was corrected by subtracting the weight of the lifted ribbon. The corrected force was given by $F = F^* - \rho d$ where $F^*$ is the measured peeling force, $d$ the peeling displacement, and $\rho$ the linear mass of the ribbon (122 ± 3 mg cm⁻¹). In all the following, the normalized corrected peeling force, $F/w$, is used, where $w$ is the width of the peeled ribbon. In each experiment, a steady-state was reached with a constant peeling profile and a peeling angle equal to 90° after the first 20 mm of displacement. Considering elastic peeling of a thin film, the adhesion energy for each experiment was given by $G = (F/w)$, where $(F)$ is the average corrected force during steady-state peeling.

2.6.3. Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was carried out on ex vivo adhesion energy measurements. A Shapiro Wilk test was performed to determine if both data sets follow a normal distribution. When normality was verified, raw data were analysed using an unpaired $t$-test. Statistical significance was accepted at the level of $p < 0.05$.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Synthesis and characterization of HA–BG microparticles

In this work, we modified the synthesis protocol described by El Fiqi et al. to produce hybrid microparticles of hydroxyapatite–bioactive glass. As a control, we produced pure hydroxyapatite (HA) microparticles using the same protocol as in El Fiqi et al. The HA particles were obtained from the mineralization in Simulated Body Fluid, SBF, of precursor nanoparticles made of bioactive glass (BG), as depicted in Fig. 1A. BG nanoparticles having 100 nm diameter were synthesized to achieve a composition of SiO₂ : CaO (85 : 25). These nanoparticles were assembled into microscopic aggregates, as shown by SEM in Fig. 2A. After the conversion of BG in HA, nanostructured flower-like microparticles composed of platelets were obtained, as shown in Fig. 2B. The X-ray diffractogram shown in Fig. 2E confirmed the presence of hydroxyapatite when compared with characteristic peaks of hydroxyapatite reference JCPDS 00-009-0432.

In order to produce a mixture of BG and HA particles, we modified the synthesis of the BG precursor to obtain a bi-population of small and large BG particles, as illustrated in Fig. 1B. For that, we did not assist the synthesis with sonication to promote early aggregation. Instead of methanol, we used ethanol as it is known to lead to bigger particles. With these modifications, we obtained a bi-population of spherical nanoparticles, some with a diameter of 100 nm and others with a diameter of 400 nm, as shown in Fig. 2C. The EDX spectra measured during these SEM observations indicated a composition of 8% of Ca and 30% of Si, which is consistent with the

---

Table 1  Deposition conditions for each assay

| Coating reference | Deposited suspension volume (µL cm⁻²) | Suspension concentration (mg mL⁻¹) | Coating density (mg cm⁻²) |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| V10_C20           | 10                                   | 20                                | 0.2                      |
| V20_C1            | 20                                   | 1                                 | 0.02                     |
| V20_C5            | 5                                    | 0.1                               |
| V20_C10           | 10                                   | 0.2                               |
| V20_C15           | 15                                   | 0.3                               |
| V20_C20           | 20                                   | 0.4                               |
| V20_C25           | 25                                   | 0.5                               |
| V20_C35           | 35                                   | 0.7                               |
| V20_C50           | 50                                   | 1.0                               |
expected Ca/Si ratio of 0.2 for BG (Fig. S3†). The X-ray diffractogram in Fig. 2E obtained with these BG particles showed a single broad peak with a maximum around 2θ = 22° and the absence of sharp diffraction peaks, confirming the amorphous nature of both the small and large BG particles.

The same mineralization step as for pure HA particles was performed within this bi-population of BG nanoparticles. Fig. 2D shows an SEM image of the particles obtained at the end of the 14 days of mineralization in SBF. Two different types of particles can be clearly distinguished: (i) 400 nm diameter spherical particles which are very similar to the ones observed in the initial BG precursor, suggesting that these larger nanoparticles were not converted in the duration of the mineralization; (ii) microscopic flower-like nanostructured particles which result from the mineralization of the small BG nanoparticles. A Ca/P ratio of 2.0 ± 0.3 and a Ca/Si ratio of 1.7 ± 1.1 were calculated from EDX spectra (Fig. S4†). This Ca/P ratio is close to the one of stoichiometric hydroxyapatite (1.67), with a slight excess of calcium that can be related to the remaining presence of BG. Moreover, traces of Mg and Na were detected. Accordingly, the X-ray diffractogram shown in Fig. 2E revealed the coexistence of a large peak around 2θ = 22°, characteristic of amorphous BG, and a series of sharp peaks coinciding with the characteristic peaks of hydroxyapatite reference JCPDS 00-009-0432. These peaks are less sharp that the ones of pure HA, meaning that hydroxyapatite in HA–BG is less crystalline than in HA particles. Both these SEM and X-ray results confirm that this modified protocol led to the formation of HA–BG particles made of microscopic aggregates of spherical BG particles and flower-like HA particles.

N₂ sorption experiments were performed to assess the porosity of the bi-population of BG precursor particles and the HA–BG microparticles. For the BG precursor particles, no porosity could be measured within the range of pressure that were used. On the contrary for HA–BG particles, a sorption isotherm curve was obtained (Fig. S5†), associated with a specific surface area (SSA) of 26 m² g⁻¹. This measurement can be attributed to the textural porosity corresponding to the

Fig. 1 Schematic representation of pure HA and HA–BG formation from bioactive glass nanoparticles via a biomimetic process in SBF. (A) Pure HA flower-like microparticles using the protocol by El Fiqi et al.28 synthesis of a unique population of BG nanoparticle precursor via sol–gel route in methanol under sonication; aggregation followed by total conversion into flower-like HA during 14 days in SBF in physiological conditions. (B) Mixed HA–BG microparticles using a modified protocol: synthesis of two populations of BG nanoparticle precursor via a sol–gel route in ethanol; aggregation followed by partial conversion into flower-like HA during 14 days in SBF in physiological conditions.

Fig. 2 Characterization of HA and HA–BG particles. (A and B) SEM observations of the BG particle precursors (A) and HA flower-like microparticles (B) synthesized using the protocol of El Fiqi et al. (C and D) SEM observations of the BG nanoparticle precursors (C) and the mixed HA–BG microparticles (D) synthesized using our modified protocol. Magnification × 100 000. (E) X-ray diffractograms of the BG particle precursors and HA and HA–BG particles. Blue peaks show peak positions of hydroxyapatite references JCPDS card 00-009-0432.

Fig. 3 Schematic representation of the deposition protocol of HA–BG particles on (crosslinked) PBT films.
surface of the flower-like nanostructured HA particles, which is absent in the spherical and dense BG particles.

3.2. HA–BG coatings with tunable density

Coatings of HA–BG particles were achieved by spreading particle suspensions on cross-linked PBT films, as depicted in Fig. 3. These films are very soft elastomers chosen for their appropriate viscoelastic behaviour from an adhesive point of view (Dahquist criterion $G' < 10^5$ Pa at 1 Hz; dissipation $G'/G'' = 0.41$ at 1 Hz at 20 °C). The density of the coatings was adjusted by varying the particle concentration in the suspension (see Table 1 in the Experimental section).

The characteristic morphology of the V20_C10 coating, having a coating density $= 0.2$ mg cm$^{-2}$, is shown in more detail in Fig. 4. Apart from a 1.5 mm wide area near the edges where no or few particles are deposited, as depicted in Fig. 4A, the central part of the films is covered by a uniform coating consisting of patterned dark-gray zones and light-gray zones (Fig. 4B & C). EDS analysis confirmed that the dark-gray zones correspond to the bare PBT film while light-gray zones correspond to areas covered with particles (Fig. S6†). Those particles were grouped in clusters embedded in the soft PBT film having their upper part exposed at the surface (Fig. 4D). In these coated areas, observations at high magnification such as the one in Fig. 4E revealed that the micro- and nanostructure of the particles were exposed to air at the surface. Furthermore, the mixture of spherical BG and flower-like HA particles was clearly visible.

Both the uncoated edges and the patterned aspect of the coating can be explained by capillary effects occurring during deposition and air-drying. In particular, these observations are consistent with the coffee-stain effect$^{51-53}$ and its reverse phenomenon,$^{54}$ which have been observed after complete
evaporation of the solvent when a volatile liquid drop containing small solid particles is deposited on a substrate, as it is the case here.

SEM images of the HA–BG coatings obtained when varying the concentration from 1 to 50 mg mL\(^{-1}\) are shown in Fig. 5 and 6 at low and high magnifications, respectively. For the lowest concentration, V20_C1, scarce clusters of HA–BG particles were randomly distributed on the surface (Fig. 5B and 6B) and most of the surface was similar to the one of the uncoated film (Fig. 5A and 6A). For suspension concentrations ranging from 5 to 35 mg mL\(^{-1}\), namely V20_C5 to C35, a clustering of HA–BG particles similar to the case of V20_C10 was observed. As a result, all the films were covered by a mixed pattern of coated and uncoated areas (Fig. 5C–H). With increasing suspension concentration, the number and extent of the uncoated areas decreased. For V20_C35, the surface was almost saturated with only a few uncoated areas (Fig. 5H). For V20_C50, the surface was entirely covered with HA–BG particles (Fig. 5I).

For suspension concentrations lower than 10 mg mL\(^{-1}\) (coating density \(\leq 0.2\) mg cm\(^{-2}\)), high magnification observations showed that most if not all HA–BG aggregates are embedded in the PBT films (Fig. 6B–D). For suspension concentrations greater than 15 mg mL\(^{-1}\) (coating density \(\geq 0.3\) mg cm\(^{-2}\)), stacks of piled-up aggregates were observed in the coated areas, as can be seen in Fig. 6E–I. The number and size of these stacks increases with the coating density. For the highest coating densities, V20_C35 and V20_C50, large clusters of particles were visible even at low magnification (Fig. 6H–I). The coverage rate, defined as the fraction of surface covered by HA–BG particles, was measured quantitatively by image analysis of low magnification SEM images (see Experimental section). It increased with the increasing coating density as shown in Fig. 7. In particular, data from 0 to 0.7 mg cm\(^{-2}\) were well fitted by a linear fit \((R^2 = 0.991)\) suggesting that the onset of full coverage is achieved for a coating density of 0.9 mg cm\(^{-2}\).

3.3. Degradability of HA–BG coatings

The degradability of HA–BG coatings was assessed by immersing V20_C10 films in PBS at physiological pH and temperature (pH 7.4, 37 °C). The coated surface of the films was observed by SEM after various immersion times from 2 h to 21 days, as shown in Fig. 8A–F. After 2 h immersion (Fig. 8B), the coated surface looked very similar to the one before immersion in PBS (Fig. 8A). From 7 to 21 days of immersion however, we observed a gradual disappearance of particles from the surface of the films (Fig. 8C–F).

Measurements of the coverage rate by image analysis as a function of immersion time confirmed this progressive degradation of the HA–BG coating (Fig. 8G). The value of the coverage rate after 2 h immersion (19 ± 4%) was not significantly different from the one before (22 ± 4%). However, for longer times, we measured a significant and gradual decrease of

---

Fig. 6 High (×2500) magnification SEM images of uncoated and HA–BG coated films obtained with a fixed deposited suspension volume (20 μL cm\(^{-2}\)) and increasing suspension concentrations: (A) uncoated film; (B) 1 mg mL\(^{-1}\); (C) 5 mg mL\(^{-1}\); (D) 10 mg mL\(^{-1}\); (E) 15 mg mL\(^{-1}\); (F) 20 mg mL\(^{-1}\); (G) 25 mg mL\(^{-1}\); (H) 35 mg mL\(^{-1}\); (I) 50 mg mL\(^{-1}\).
the coverage rate reaching 15% after 7 days and down to 2% after 21 days. Such a rate of degradation is consistent with the slow dissolution of HA microspheres in PBS as measured by El Fiqi et al.28 Using inductively coupled plasma atomic emission technique, these authors monitored the ionic release of Ca, P and Si. Ca and P release was sustained over 28 days, with a rate of 0.29 mM per day for Ca the first three days and 28 μM per day after.

3.4. Ex vivo adhesion on liver tissues

The adhesion on biological tissues of uncoated and coated PBT films was investigated ex vivo by 90° peeling experiments on the capsule of pig livers. In these experiments, a 1 cm-wide PBT ribbon was put in contact for 5 min with the liver capsule and was then peeled at constant speed (1 mm s⁻¹) and constant 90° angle (see details in the Experimental section).

The drainage of interfacial fluids needs to be considered when measuring adhesion between polymers films and tissues.29 For each experiment, the swelling of the PBT films was measured by weighing the ribbons after peeling. We verified that after 5 min of contact the swelling ratio is very low (1.03), well below equilibrium swelling (1.54). Such values of adsorbed liquid on the ex vivo liver capsule correspond to an adhesive regime where all the interfacial fluid has been drained.27

Fig. 9A shows typical peeling force–displacement curves for an uncoated ribbon and a HA–BG coated ribbon having a coating density of 0.2 mg cm⁻² (V10_C20). For the uncoated film, a normalized peeling force of 4 ± 1 N m⁻¹ was obtained while it was two to three times higher for the HA–BG coated film at 11 ± 1 N m⁻¹. Accordingly, the HA–BG coated film produced a larger deformation of the liver at the peeling front than the uncoated one (Fig. 9B). The corresponding adhesion energies G, given by the mean peeling forces normalized by the ribbon width, are presented in Fig. 9C for a series of peeling tests repeated on several livers (n = 6). We found that adhesion was significantly enhanced in the presence of the HA–BG coating (p = 0.0004), with G going from 4.6 ± 0.8 J m⁻² for the uncoated films to 9.8 ± 1.5 J m⁻² for the coated ones.

These adhesive performances were compared to those of PBT films coated by pure HA microparticles and BG precursors particles using the exact same coating parameters (Fig. 9C). The adhesion produced by the HA coating was slightly lower (G = 7.9 ± 1.5 J m⁻²) but not significantly different from the one obtained with the HA–BG coating (p = 0.19). On the contrary, the BG precursors coating did not produce any significant adhesion enhancement with an adhesion energy of G = 5.6 ± 1.2 J m⁻². These results show that HA flower-like microparticles within the HA–BG coating are the major contributors to the particle bridging effect between the PBT films and the liver capsule. They also indicate that the incorporation of some 400 nm BG spherical particles within the HA particles does not alter significantly this adhesion. Such a difference in adhesion may be attributed to the difference in the accessible surface area which is much larger for the nanostructured HA particles (SSA = 26 m² g⁻¹) than for the densely packed BG precursors (SSA below BET resolution).

The adhesion produced by HA–BG coatings was investigated in another series of experiments as a function of the coating...
density, with coating densities varying from 0.02 to 1.0 mg cm\(^{-2}\). For that, HA–BG coated ribbons were produced with the coatings presented in Fig. 5. The evolution of the adhesion energy \(G\) as a function of the coating density is presented in Fig. 10 where each data point is the mean value of 3 to 7 peeling tests realized on a total of 6 livers. A maximum in adhesion energy clearly appears. For the lowest coating densities, adhesion energy increased starting from 4.5 ± 0.8 J m\(^{-2}\) for the uncoated films up to 7.6 ± 0.8 J m\(^{-2}\) for 0.2 mg cm\(^{-2}\). For coating densities greater than 0.2 mg cm\(^{-2}\), the adhesion energy decreased rather linearly with increasing coating density reaching 4.3 ± 0.7 J m\(^{-2}\) for 1.0 mg cm\(^{-2}\).

For each peeling test, the surfaces of the film and liver capsule were examined during peeling using a long working distance microscope. For coating densities lower than 0.2 mg cm\(^{-2}\), no transfer of HA–BG particles from the coating to the capsule was detected, as shown on Fig. 11A for a coating density of 0.2 mg cm\(^{-2}\). This is confirmed by SEM observations of the ribbon surfaces after peeling which show no significant alteration of the coatings, as illustrated in Fig. 11B for a coating density of 0.2 mg cm\(^{-2}\). The coverage rate of the coating after peeling (34 ± 7%) measured by analysis of SEM images on an area of 1 mm\(^2\), was comparable to the one before peeling (22 ± 4%). On the contrary, for all the coating densities higher than 0.3 mg cm\(^{-2}\), a transfer of HA–BG particles from the coating to the liver surface was observable during peeling, as shown in Fig. 11C for 0.3 mg cm\(^{-2}\). For the highest coating densities, this transfer was visible to the naked eye on the liver surface, as shown in Fig. 11D in the case of the 0.5 mg cm\(^{-2}\) coating.

Interestingly, these results show that the maximum in adhesion energy is reached for a surface coverage (~20%) well below the surface saturation. In addition, this maximum in adhesion energy coincides with the occurrence of particle transfer and with the observations of piled-up HA–BG aggregates for coating densities above 0.2 mg cm\(^{-2}\). Based on these observations, we propose a simple microscopic picture explaining the non-monotonic evolution of the adhesion energy as a function of the coating density. For that, we distinguish two regimes as follows.

For coating densities lower than 0.2 mg cm\(^{-2}\), a monolayer of particles is deposited on the film. Therefore, most particles actively contribute to adhesion by particle bridging upon contact with the liver capsule. Assuming that particle–film binding is stronger than particle–tissue binding, peeling produces an adhesive separation where particles remain firmly
attached to the film, as depicted in Fig. 11E(i). In this regime, an increase in coating density causes an increase in the number of actively binding particles, which in turn produces an increase in adhesion energy.

For coating densities greater than 0.2 mg cm\(^{-2}\), stacks of several particle aggregates were formed in the coated areas. We assume that interactions between HA–BG aggregates are much weaker than the physical binding resulting from macromolecular adsorption at the particle–film and particle–tissue interfaces. As a result, these multiple layers of particles introduce weak interfaces and separation during peeling occurs through a combination of two local processes, as depicted in Fig. 11E(ii). In areas coated with a monolayer of particles, adhesive separation occurs by detachment of actively bridging particles from the tissue while in areas coated with stacks of aggregates, a cohesive rupture occurs within the coating. This latter mechanism leads to the transfer of particles from the coating to the tissue and is responsible for the reduction in adhesion energy. Such a picture is also consistent with previous results obtained with microscopic aggregates of 10 nm diameter silica nanoparticles deposited by brush spreading on a PEG hydrogel film.\(^7\) In this system, a high coating density was achieved (1.7 ± 0.4 mg cm\(^{-2}\)) and a large excess of poorly attached aggregates was observed, which led to a strong reduction in adhesion energy.

The maximum values of adhesion energy on \textit{ex vivo} liver capsule obtained with the studied HA–BG coated films reach 9.8 ± 1.5 J m\(^{-2}\) and 7.6 ± 0.8 J m\(^{-2}\) for V10_C20 and V20_C10, respectively. These values are comparable to the best adhesion energies on \textit{ex vivo} liver capsule reported for PEG films fully coated by aggregates of silica nanoparticles using brush spreading: 10 ± 5 J m\(^{-2}\) for 30 nm plain silica nanoparticles and 11 ± 3 J m\(^{-2}\) for 50 nm mesoporous silica nanoparticles.\(^7\) It is also worth to notice that this maximum adhesion with HA–BG coatings was obtained below full coverage. Therefore, HA–BG particles seem to be particularly efficient as bridging connectors. This ability may be explained by the affinity of the particles for both biological tissues and PBT films. On the one side, adsorption of macromolecules from the extra-cellular matrix (ECM) on HA was previously reported,\(^31,32\) as well as attractive interactions between BG and collagen.\(^29\) On the other side, it has been described that van der Waals interactions and H-bonds are formed when PEG macromolecules are adsorbed on HA particles.\(^35\) Similar interactions are expected between HA and PBT chains which consist in an alternation of a PEG skeleton and thiols moieties. Furthermore, it is well known that surface nano- and micro-structuration enhances the adhesion of ECM proteins on biomaterials.\(^36\) Here, the flower-like nano-structuration of HA particles offers a large accessible surface for the adsorption of macromolecular chains.

As regards the biocompatibility, the toxicity of HA and BG coatings has already been assessed and reviewed,\(^28,59\) especially in the field of bone regeneration. In particular, particles synthesized following the bioinspired protocol by Fiqi \textit{et al.} were shown to be not cytotoxic at 24 h or 48 h on mesenchymal stem cells.\(^28,59\) In the context of bioadhesive coatings, the toxicity risk is further reduced by the fact that the quantities are very low. In the present study, the typical amount of particles used for the HA–BG coatings is of the order of 0.2 mg cm\(^{-2}\), which corresponds to 2 mg for a 10 cm\(^2\) adhesive surface. Considering a human body, this represents a dose of 0.02–0.04 mg kg\(^{-1}\), which is several orders of magnitude lower than the doses (0.1 g kg\(^{-1}\)) of hydroxyapatite or bioactive glass particles typically implanted in animal models for drug delivery purposes or bone defect fillers.\(^60–62\)
4. Conclusions

As a conclusion, we have used the partial mineralization of bioactive glass precursors in order to obtain mixed HA–BG particles, with the goal to fabricate tissue adhesive coatings. The proposed deposition process by particle suspension spreading provides a simple method to adjust the density of the coatings, which is of utmost importance for the efficiency of adhesion. Patterned coatings were obtained which could be of interest to enhance the permeability of the interface and facilitate exchanges between the tissue and the polymer substrate. Using adhesion tests on the porcine liver capsule, we show that the adhesion energy depends non-monotonically on the coating density. Microscopic characterization explains this relationship between adhesion energy and coating microstructure by the presence of aggregate stacks that introduce weak interparticle interfaces and favour cohesive rupture within the coating. With the studied systems and methods, the maximum adhesion energy was reached for a particle concentration of 0.2 mg cm\(^{-2}\), corresponding to a coverage rate of 22%. Above this concentration, multiple layers of particle aggregates which are deleterious to adhesion are formed locally on the PBT film surface. We also show that HA–BG coatings progressively degrade in physiological fluids until almost complete dissolution of particles within 21 days. Such a mixed coating valorises the most of both biological surfaces. In particular, the composition of BG within the HA delivery of active substances on surgical dressings or implant degradation of BG, which could be of interest for the topical administration, multiple layers of particle aggregates which are deleterious to adhesion are formed locally on the PBT film surface. We also show that HA–BG coatings progressively degrade in physiological fluids until almost complete dissolution of particles within 21 days. Such a mixed coating valorises the most of both components, i.e. the adhesive potential of HA and the rapid degradation of BG, which could be of interest for the topical delivery of active substances on surgical dressings or implant surfaces. In particular, the composition of BG within the HA–BG coatings could be tailored to favour its dissolution\(^*\) and to add properties through ion and drug release, such as antioxidant,\(^*\) antibacterial\(^*\) or antifouling effects.\(^*\)
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