Transition metal/Brønsted acid cooperative catalysis enabled facile synthesis of 8-hydroxyquinolines through one-pot reactions of ortho-aminophenol, aldehydes and alkynes†
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A convenient and straightforward three-component one-pot strategy has been developed for the synthesis of 8-hydroxyquinoline derivatives. Under the cooperative catalysis of silver(I) trifluoromethanesulfonate and trifluoroacetic acid, ortho-aminophenol reacted with a range of aldehydes and alkynes under mild reactions, affording the corresponding 8-hydroxyquinoline derivatives with good to excellent yields. These transformations exhibited exceptional substrate generality and functional group compatibility.

Introduction

As a privileged scaffold, 8-hydroxyquinoline has been found in many natural products and drug candidates with remarkable biological and pharmaceutical activities.† Moreover, 8-hydroxyquinolines have been gaining attention in metallocene complex catalyzed transformations, in which they are utilized as effective multidentate ligands. In view of the remarkable importance of this class of heterocyclic compounds, the field of 8-hydroxyquinoline synthesis is continuously gaining attention. Although 8-hydroxyquinolines could be prepared by hydrolysis of 8-haloquinolines or 8-aminoquinolines, the latter two quinolone derivatives were not easily accessible. The classical Skraup’s procedure for quinoline derivatives suffered from harsh reaction conditions and poor yields. The Friedlander reaction was limited due to the instability of 2-amino-benzaldehyde, which is mostly in situ generated by reduction of 2-nitrobenzaldehyde derivatives. In the last 20 years, transition-metal or Brønsted-acid catalysis toward quinoline derivatives have been extensively studied. What’s confusing is that 8-hydroxyquinolines were rarely involved in these reports. Considering the importance in pharmacology and functional materials chemistry, the development of more facile and economic synthetic approaches for 8-hydroxyquinolines is highly desirable.

Initially 8-hydroxyquinoline was envisioned to arise from hydroarylation-cyclization of propargylamine followed by oxidation/aromatization, which might to be formed through nucleophilic addition of acetylide-[M] to iminium A. That is, under the cooperative catalysis of transition metal and Brønsted-acid, 8-hydroxyquinoline might be efficiently generated through one-pot reaction of ortho-aminophenol, aldehyde and alkyne (Scheme 1). Suitable catalyst match was considered to be crucial for this transformation.

Results and discussion

To establish proof of concept, we carried out the reaction of ortho-aminophenol 1, benzaldehyde 2a and phenylacetylene 3a as the archetypal. Our study began with the evaluation of different metal catalyst in the presence of one equivalent of TFA (Table 1, entry 1–6). We were pleased to find that the reaction with AgOTf as alkyeophilic catalyst could generate the desired 8-hydroxyquinoline compound 4a in 72% yield with benzylamine 5a as the byproduct. Other Brønsted acid were also examined (entry 7–9). Suitable strength of acidity proved to be crucial for the multicomponent transformation. TFA seemed to be the best. Control experiments indicated the necessity of the both catalysts. Without the participation of TFA, no product could be obtained. And the reaction got obviously suppressed in
Aromatic aldehydes with multiple substituents could work in these transformations. It should be noted steric crowded electron effect, the steric hindrance exerted less in the absence of silver (entry 10 and 11). Unexpectedly, when the ratio of TFA increased to 400 mol%, 8-hydroxyquinoline compound 4a was collected with higher yield along with greatly reduced reaction time (entry 12 and 13). To our delight, when AgOTf decreased to 0.5 mol%, a parallel yield was also observed (entry 14–16). Solvent and temperature optimization revealed that other medias are not suitable in terms of yield comparable to that in DCE at 80 °C (entry 17–21).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand (Table 1, entry 16), we examined the AgOTf/TFA system for one-pot synthesis of 8-hydroxyquinoline derivatives from ortho-aminophenol 1, various aldehydes 2 and alkynes 3. Table 2 illustrates the wide generality and substrate scope of this tandem reaction. To our delight, satisfactory yields were observed for all substrate examined. For the variation on aromatic aldehyde, different electron-donating or electron-withdrawing substituents at either the para-, meta- or ortho-position on the aryl group were all tolerated to yield 4a–q in high yields. Aromatic aldehydes with electron-donating group afforded better results than those with electron-withdrawing group (4a–d vs. 4e–f). Notably, strongly electron-deficient p-nitrobenzaldehyde gave a complex reaction, without desired product identified. Comparing with electron effect, the steric hindrance exerted less influence on these transformations. It should be noted steric crowded aromatic aldehydes with multiple substituents could work effectively in the one-pot cascade reactions to give 8-hydroxyquinoline derivatives 4l–q in acceptable yields. Aliphatic aldehyde and heteroaromatic aldehyde were effective substrates, generating 4r and 4s in 93% and 87% yield respectively. The reactions proceeded smoothly for aryl alkynes with either electron-withdrawing or electron-donating substituents present on the aromatic ring to afford the desired products in moderate to excellent yields (4t–4v). Electron density provided certain impact on the reactions, and those with electron-releasing group gave a slightly low yield. Alkyl alkyne was also an effective substrate. 8-Hydroxyquinoline derivative 4w was collected in nearly equivalent yield. The structures of obtained compounds were characterized by NMR spectrum and further confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of compound 4c and 4e.

### Experimental section

#### Materials and methods

All chemicals were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Melting points were measured using a TY-60 microscopic apparatus. 1H NMR and 13C NMR spectra were recorded with Bruker 400M or 600M instruments. Chemical shifts were measured relative to tetramethylsilane (0.00 ppm) as internal standard.

| Entry | TM   | RA   | Solvent | Time/h | Yield\(^a\) (4a) [%] | Yield\(^b\) (5a) [%] |
|-------|------|------|---------|--------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1     | AgOTf| TFA  | DCE     | 8      | 72                   | 12                   |
| 2     | AgOAc| TFA  | DCE     | 12     | Complex              |                      |
| 3     | Cu(OOTf)\(_2\)| TFA  | DCE     | 8      | 63                   | 15                   |
| 4     | Pd(OAc)\(_2\)| TFA  | DCE     | 10     | —                    | 70                   |
| 5     | PdCl\(_2\)| TFA  | DCE     | 10     | Complex              |                      |
| 6     | Ph\(_3\)PdAuCl| TFA  | DCE     | 12     | Complex              |                      |
| 7     | AgOTf| TiOH | DCE     | 8      | 38                   | 50                   |
| 8     | AgOTf| PTSA | DCE     | 8      | 23                   | —                    |
| 9     | AgOTf| H\(_3\)PO\(_4\)| DCE | 8     | 43                   | —                    |
| 10    | AgOTf| —    | DCE     | 10     | No reaction          |                      |
| 11    | —    | TFA  | DCE     | 14     | 68                   | 14                   |
| 12\(^c\)| —    | TFA  | DCE     | 5      | 80                   | 10                   |
| 13\(^d\)| AgOTf| TFA  | DCE     | 1      | 96                   | —                    |
| 14\(^d\)| AgOTf| TFA  | DCE     | 1      | 95                   | —                    |
| 15\(^d\)| AgOTf| TFA  | DCE     | 6      | 75                   | 16                   |
| 16\(^d\)| AgOTf| TFA  | DCE     | 2      | 94                   | —                    |
| 17\(^d\)| AgOTf| TFA  | Toluene | 4      | 80                   | 10                   |
| 18\(^d\)| AgOTf| TFA  | MeCN    | 6      | 74                   | 12                   |
| 19\(^d\)| AgOTf| TFA  | THF     | 5      | No reaction          |                      |
| 20\(^d\)| AgOTf| TFA  | DMF     | 5      | No reaction          |                      |
| 21\(^d\)| AgOTf| TFA  | DCE     | 2      | Sluggish reaction    |                      |

\(^a\) Conditions: 1 (1.1 mmol, 1.1 equiv.), 2a (1 mmol, 1 equiv.), 3a (1.2 mmol, 1.2 equiv.), TM (transition metal, 5 mol%), BA (Bromsted acid, 100 mol%) in 4.0 mL solvent under atmosphere at 80 °C for 8 h. \(^b\) Isolated yield based on 2a. 4 AgOTf (400 mol%). 5 AgOTf (5 mol%) and TFA (400 mol%). 6 AgOTf (1 mol%) and TFA (400 mol%). 7 AgOTf (1 mol%) and TFA (200 mol%). 8 AgOTf (0.5 mol%) and TFA (400 mol%). 9 AgOTf (0.5 mol%) and TFA (400 mol%) at 60 °C. 10 AgOTf (0.5 mol%) and TFA (400 mol%) at 100 °C. 11 AgOTf (400 mol%). 12 AgOTf (0.5 mol%) and TFA (400 mol%) at 60 °C.

---

**Table 1** Optimization of reaction conditions

---
In summary, we have disclosed a highly efficient and practical multicomponent reaction for the construction of 8-hydroxyquinoline derivatives from amino-phenol, aldehydes and alkynes under mild conditions. Various substituents are tolerated on aldehydes and alkynes, thus enabling the vast expansion of substituent architectures on 8-hydroxyquinoline framework.
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