Odorant Receptor Desensitization in Insects
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ABSTRACT: Insects and other arthropods transmit devastating human diseases, and these vectors use chemical senses to target humans. Understanding how these animals detect, respond, and adapt to volatile odorants may lead to novel ways to disrupt host localization or mate recognition in these pests. The past decade has led to remarkable progress in understanding odorant detection in arthropods. Insects use odorant-gated ion channels, first discovered in Drosophila melanogaster, to detect volatile chemicals. In flies, 60 “tuning” receptor subunits combine with a common subunit, Orco (odorant receptor coreceptor) to form ligand-gated ion channels. The mechanisms underlying odorant receptor desensitization in insects are largely unknown. Recent work reveals that dephosphorylation of serine 289 on the shared Orco subunit is responsible for slow, odor-induced receptor desensitization. Dephosphorylation has no effect on the localization of the receptor protein, and activation of the olfactory neurons in the absence of odor is sufficient to induce dephosphorylation and desensitization. These findings reveal a major component of receptor modulation in this important group of disease vectors, and implicate a second messenger feedback mechanism in this process.

KEYWORDS: Olfaction, olfactory, Drosophila, coreceptor, desensitization, phosphorylation, adaptation

RECEIVED: October 26, 2017. ACCEPTED: November 14, 2017.

TYPE: Invited Mini-Review

FUNDING: The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by NIH R01DC015230 to D.P.S.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS: The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Dean P Smith, Department of Pharmacology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Blvd, Dallas, TX 75390-9111, USA. Email: dean.smith@utsouthwestern.edu

Introduction

Desensitization to background odorants is essential to maintain responsiveness in a fluctuating odorant environment. This ability is important for the localization of food and mates for most species. The human olfactory system is well known to desensitize to odorants. Everyone has experienced entering a room with a foul odor, but within a few minutes, the perception of the odorant vanishes. Both peripheral and central mechanisms are thought to be responsible for this phenomenon.1 Insects, such as mosquitoes and fruit flies, have odorant receptors that desensitize to the presence of background odorants, but the mechanisms underlying this phenomenon are a mystery. Now, we learn that changes in phosphorylation are involved in insect odorant receptor desensitization, stemming from the depolarization of these neurons.2

Peripheral Olfactory Systems in Vertebrates and Insects

Mammalian odorant receptors are encoded by a large number of Or genes that belong to canonical G protein–coupled receptor (GPCR) family.3 The interaction between odorant ligands and the receptor protein leads to activation of a G protein, Gαolf,4 that subsequently activates adenylyl cyclase type III (ACIII), an enzyme that catalyzes the production of cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP).5,6 The rise in intracellular cAMP triggers the opening of cyclic nucleotide–gated (CNG) ion channels and membrane depolarization.7 Calcium entering the depolarized neuron triggers activation of calcium–activated chloride channels that augments depolarization.8

Insects have far fewer odorant receptor genes compared with vertebrates. For example, the Drosophila genome only encodes 60 “tuning” Or genes. These genes are predicted to encode 7 transmembrane receptors, but they lack sequence similarity with GPCRs and, compared with GPCRs, are reversed in the membrane with their C termini outside of the cell.9 Each tuning subunit is expressed in a small number of olfactory neurons together with the common subunit Orco (odorant receptor coreceptor) to form ligand-activated odorant receptors. These receptors function on the cilia of the olfactory neurons located in the antenna and maxillary palps. Consistent with species-specific niches, tuning receptors are divergent between species, whereas Orco is highly conserved.10

Odorant Desensitization in Vertebrates and Insects

Desensitization (also called adaptation) can occur through multiple mechanisms and timescales. Some are intrinsic to the primary olfactory neurons, whereas others involve feedback from neurons downstream in the circuit. Desensitization can occur in milliseconds, modulating neuronal output during the stimulus, or can be slow, requiring prolonged odorant exposure over minutes to hours. Here, we focus on the slow adaptation mechanisms that occur within the olfactory neurons on a scale of minutes.

In mammals, slow desensitization of olfactory neurons has been attributed to several feedback mechanisms (Figure 1A). Odorant receptors are phosphorylated by the G protein–coupled receptor kinase 3 gene11 and protein kinase A12 leading to binding and deactivation by β-arrestin 2.13 In addition,
multiple feedback mechanisms are triggered by calcium entry into the activated olfactory neurons. These mechanisms include calmodulin activation of CaMKII that phosphorylates and activates phosphodiesterase that degrades cAMP and phosphorylation and inhibition of ACIII. Additional feedback is provided by calcium-activated potassium channels and calmodulin-mediated reduction in CNG channel sensitivity to cAMP. Work from Anne Menini’s group using caged cAMP and nonhydrolyzable 8-Bromo-cAMP suggests that the strongest adaptation mechanisms act downstream of cAMP, most likely at the CNG channel.

In insects, slow desensitization also occurs to odorants, pheromones, and repellants, but the mechanisms mediating this process are poorly understood (Figure 1B). One mechanism important for insect olfactory neuron desensitization occurs from feedback through downstream interneurons called local neurons (LNs) that release γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and inhibit olfactory neuron firing (Figure 2). There is a high degree of overlap between glomerular odorant activation and inhibitory neuron activation in glomeruli. Recurrent coupling through excitatory and inhibitory synapses within a glomerulus can synchronize action potentials from the output projection neurons that may be important for odorant discrimination by
activating neurons sensitive to temporal coincidence in the brain in both vertebrates and invertebrates.25–28 Furthermore, the LNs synapse with multiple glomeruli and thus have the potential to process odorant information across glomeruli.24 Additional complexity is introduced by the fact that GABA receptor expression differs among different classes of Drosophila olfactory neurons.29 Ionotropic GABAA receptors are important for rapid inhibition after odorant onset, whereas metabotropic GABAB receptors are important for long-term adaptation23 (Figure 3). Finally, olfactory neuron sensitivity can be inhibited by the Drosophila neuropeptide transmitter tachykinin (DTK) that is released by a subset of LNs in response to odors. The DTK receptors are expressed on olfactory neurons and mediate inhibitory feedback by DTK and may operate independently of GABA.30 One intriguing possibility is that internal state modulates DTK release, allowing coordination between internal state and chemosensory behavior, but more work remains to be done on the role of neuropeptides on olfactory sensitivity.

Adaptation mechanisms that are intrinsic to the olfactory neurons and those triggered by feedback from inhibitory neurons downstream in the circuit are both important to match gain to stimulus intensity.32 However, it is important to recognize that adaptation mechanisms that occur within the primary olfactory neurons act upstream of these trans-synaptic mechanisms.

Desensitization at the level of the insect olfactory receptor neuron is not well understood. The insect receptors are odor-gated ion channels; therefore, adaptation mechanisms are likely to operate directly on the receptors (see Figure 1B). Furthermore, Orco, being a common subunit of all receptors, is an appealing target for modulation of receptor sensitivity that is independent of the tuning receptor component. The intracellular domains of Orco contain a number of potential phosphorylation sites that are conserved across species. These sites were systematically mutated to alanine and expressed in the olfactory neurons of live flies lacking endogenous Orco.2 Most of these mutants functioned indistinguishably from wild-type Orco. However, when S289 was mutated to alanine, there was a striking reduction in odorant sensitivity compared with wild-type controls.2

**Charges at Orco S289 Regulate Sensitivity**

If S289 is an important site for regulating sensitivity, and mutating this serine residue to alanine reduces sensitivity, what does replacing serine with a charged (phosphomimetic) residue do? When the S289D mutant Orco was expressed in the Drosophila olfactory system, it resulted in a small but significant increase in odorant sensitivity compared with wild-type Orco controls.2 Thus, negative charges at Orco amino acid 289 are a potential toggle to regulate odorant sensitivity through
changes in phosphorylation. Mutants at S289 affect *Drosophila* desensitization-based behavior as well. Wild-type flies preexposed (desensitized) to apple cider vinegar are not attracted to vinegar traps, whereas flies expressing either OrcoS289A or OrcoS289D are unable to modulate receptor sensitivity normally and are still attracted to vinegar traps following vinegar preexposure. These results are consistent with phosphorylation at this position regulating sensitivity of the olfactory receptors in response to background odorants. What is the mechanism of this sensitivity change?

**S289 Phosphorylation Does Not Affect Receptor Trafficking**

The simplest explanation for the findings described above is that a charge at S289 affects the trafficking of the receptors in the chemosensory cilia, a process known to be dependent on Orco.33 Trafficking of receptors out of the cilia would reduce the receptor density and reduce sensitivity to odorants. Phosphorylation of vertebrate odorant receptors and subsequent trafficking out of the cilia have been proposed as a mechanism of adaptation.13 However, when antisera to Orco is used to quantify levels in the Orco S289 mutants, Orco protein levels in the chemosensory cilia are not different from wild type. Therefore, receptor trafficking is unlikely to account for the changes in odorant sensitivity in insects and suggests that phosphorylation at this position affects the function of the receptor channel.

**Is Orco S289 Phosphorylated In Vivo?**

Phospho-specific antiserum was raised to a phosphorylated peptide corresponding to OrcoS289 and used to assess the phosphorylation status of this site in living flies. In animals isolated in an odorant-free environment for 1 hour, there is a strong phospho-S289 signal in the chemosensory cilia that is absent in Orco mutants.2 When flies are exposed to a mixture of odorants predicted to activate most olfactory neurons, the phospho-specific signal is strikingly reduced (Figure 3A to C). Therefore, there is an odorant-induced reduction in phosphorylation of OrcoS289. Anti-Orco antiserum (that detects whether Orco is phosphorylated or not) showed Orco that was still present, but no longer phosphorylated, confirming that there is no link between S289 phosphorylation and trafficking. Time course experiments revealed a detectable drop in phosphorylation within 5 minutes that is close to maximal after 30 minutes of odorant exposure. These changes in phosphorylation are mirrored by desensitization of the receptor neurons.2

**Neuronal Activation, Not Activation of the Odorant Receptor, Triggers Adaptation**

Mammalian GPCRs, including odorant receptors, are phosphorylated by receptor kinases when the receptors are in the activated (ligand bound) conformation.11,34 Phosphorylation by receptor kinase reduces interactions with the downstream signaling machinery by increasing the affinity for arrestins that compete with G proteins for activated receptors.11,13,34 Is odorant-activated receptor conformation important for dephosphorylation of the insect receptors? To explore this possibility, *Drosophila* olfactory receptor neurons were activated in the absence of odorants using the red-shifted channelrhodopsin (ReaChR).31 The ReaChR-mediated activation of the olfactory neurons was as effective as odorant exposure for inducing OrcoS289 dephosphorylation. Red light also desensitizes the olfactory neurons to subsequent odorant exposures. Together, these data demonstrate that neuronal activation, and not activation of the odorant receptors per se, is important for dephosphorylation of Orco at S289 (Figure 3D to F), and dephosphorylation of S289 reduces odorant transduction efficiency.

**Blocking Synaptic Transmission Has No Effect on Orco S289 Dephosphorylation**

The GABA feedback from LNs activated downstream of olfactory receptor neurons is an important aspect of desensitization.22,29,32,33 To establish that S289 dephosphorylation and desensitization are not a result of influence from downstream circuit components, these processes were measured in flies with olfactory neurons defective for synaptic transmission. Flies expressing tetanus toxin in the olfactory neurons fire action potentials normally, but synaptic transmission is blocked by tetanus toxin–mediated SNARE cleavage.30 In the absence of synaptic transmission, OrcoS289 dephosphorylation and subsequent desensitization are unaffected. Therefore, this mechanism is intrinsic to the olfactory neurons and is not a result of LN feedback.

**Additional Intrinsic Desensitization Components Remain Unidentified**

OrcoS289A and OrcoS289D mutants have striking impairments in adaptation but still show residual desensitization.2 Therefore, additional intrinsic desensitization mechanisms must be present in addition to OrcoS289 dephosphorylation. One possibility is that conserved Orco phosphorylation sites that have little effect on sensitivity when mutated alone could combine to produce stronger effects when multiple residues are modified. This could be important to fine-tune receptor sensitivity under different conditions. Identification of the OrcoS289 dephosphorylation mechanism is an important step but there is more to learn about sensitivity regulation of olfactory neurons.

**Future Directions**

The kinases and phosphatases responsible for phosphorylation changes at OrcoS289 have not been identified. A major outstanding question is whether the odorant-induced dephosphorylation of OrcoS289 described here results from activation of a phosphatase or inhibition of a kinase in the face of a constitutively active phosphatase. Because calcium influx occurs on olfactory neuron activation, it is tempting to speculate that activation triggers a calcineurin phosphatase that removes the phosphate from OrcoS289, but this prediction awaits further study.
OrcoS<sup>289</sup> is a consensus protein kinase C (PKC) phosphorylation site. There are 5 PKC genes in <i>Drosophila</i>, and previous work suggested that <i>Drosophila</i> PKC<sub>S</sub> and PKC delta phosphorylate Orco to enhance sensitivity, but it is not clear whether these kinases are localized to olfactory neurons or their cilia. A systematic knockdown of these proteins in olfactory neurons should reveal the relevant kinases for Orco<sup>289</sup>. Finally, there is a second family of odorant receptors in insects related to glutamate ionotropic receptors called ir receptors. These receptors do not show the rapid desensitization observed in Or/Orcos responses, but whether they undergo long-term adaptation is unknown.

**Concluding Remarks**

Insects are the largest class of animals and colonize every corner of the planet due in part to fine-tuned chemosensory systems. However, molecular dissection of the mechanisms underlying the regulation of odorant receptor sensitivity has lagged behind our understanding of this process in mammals. The identification of Orco<sup>289</sup> dephosphorylation in adaptation provides an entry point for understanding how odorant sensitivity is regulated in these animals to accommodate an ever-changing environment.
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