Transactivation of SOX5 by Brachyury promotes breast cancer bone metastasis
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Abstract

The bone marrow has been long known to host a unique environment amenable to colonization by metastasizing tumor cells. Yet, the underlying molecular interactions which give rise to the high incidence of bone metastasis (BM) in breast cancer patients have long remained uncharacterized. In our study, in vitro and in vivo assays demonstrated that Brachyury (Bry) could promote breast cancer BM. Bry drives epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) and promotes breast cancer aggressiveness. As an EMT driver, SOX5 involves in breast cancer metastasis and the specific function in BM. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays revealed SOX5 is a direct downstream target gene of Bry. ChIP analysis and reporter assays identified two Bry-binding motifs; one consistent with the classic conserved binding sequence and the other a new motif sequence. This study demonstrates for the first time that Bry promotes breast cancer cells BM through activating SOX5. In clinical practice, targeting the Bry-Sox5-EMT pathway is evolving into a promising avenue for the prevention of bone metastatic relapse, therapeutic resistance and other aspects of breast cancer progression.

Introduction

Breast cancer is the most prevalent form of cancer among women worldwide. Approximately 65–75% of patients with breast cancer develop bone metastases (BMs), leading to impaired quality of life and unfavorable outcomes (1,2). Various studies have investigated the mechanism of bone-specific metastasis, and certain signaling and transcription pathways have been identified as being involved in the process (3–6). Despite these advances, the specific molecular events that contribute to BM of breast cancer remain poorly defined.

Bry (short tail) gene, a gene mutation of the house mouse, known as Brachyury or T mutant, was first discovered in 1927 by Dobrovolskaia-Zavadskaia and encodes a protein of 435 amino acids, which functions as a transcription factor (TF) regulating posterior mesoderm formation and notochord differentiation, and is characterized by a highly conserved DNA-binding domain, designated as the T-domain (7,8). Bry (also known as T-box TF T) plays an important role in the regulation of epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) in embryogenesis, during which epithelial cells change into mesenchymal cells (9–11). Bry can modulate cell migratory and adhesive behavior during the establishment of cell–matrix and cell–cell interactions and during the morphogenetic movements in a variety of multicellular organisms (12–14).

As an EMT driver, Bry has been reported to promote tumor cells of epithelial origin acquiring mesenchymal features (9,10) and to enhance tumor aggressiveness (15). Survival analysis of 357 patients with breast cancer indicated that elevated levels of Bry were significantly associated with higher risk of recurrence and...
Claymont, DE) and 1% antibiotics (Penicillin-Streptomycin). Cells were incubated using PCR fingerprinting by the provider. All media (Hyclone, Logan, UT) were supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Clark Bioscience, Oklahoma City). Cells were cultured in MEM-α (DMEM), MG-63 cells were cultured in minimal essential medium (MEM) and MDA-MB-231 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM). All cell lines were authenticated using PCR fingerprinting by GenePharma (Shanghai, China). T47D cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium, encoding SRY-Box 5, a Bry transcriptional target, mediates Bry-driven BM. The results of this study indicated that the Bry-SOX5 axis could be developed into a promising diagnostic and therapeutic target to manage and cure breast cancer cells. Furthermore, our in vitro and in vivo assays revealed that Bry promotes BM of breast cancer cells. However, these speculations on Bry's functions remain to be experimentally confirmed. Thus, in the present study, we aimed to explore the biological mechanism through which the TF Bry contributes to BM of breast cancer cells. The results showed that breast cancer samples with elevated Bry expression have a high risk of BM. In vitro and in vivo assays revealed that Bry promotes BM of breast cancer cells. Furthermore, SOX5 expression levels were defined using a final score (staining area × staining intensity): low expression level (score ≤6) and high expression level (>6). SOX5 expression levels were defined using a final score (staining area × staining intensity): low expression level (score ≤6) and high expression level (>6). The following antibodies were used: anti-ER, anti-PR, anti-HER2 and anti-MKI67 (ZSGB-BIO, Beijing, China), anti-Bry (Abcam, Cambridge, MA) and anti-SOX5 (Abcam).

**Materials and Methods**

**Human samples and the ethics statement**

Human breast cancer tissues were obtained from the Affiliated Suzhou Hospital of Nanjing Medical University (Suzhou, China). Tumor tissues were snap-frozen and embedded in paraffin for immunohistochemical (IHC) analyses. The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the Nanjing Medical University and written consent was obtained from all participants.

**Cell lines and cell culture**

Human low-metastatic breast cancer cell line T47D, high-metastatic breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231, osteoblast-like cell line MG-63 and mouse osteoblastic cell line MC3T3-E1 were purchased from the Shanghai Cell Bank (Shanghai, China). T47D cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium, MDA-MB-231 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM), MG-63 cells were cultured in minimal essential medium (MEM) and MC3T3-E1 cells were cultured in MEM-α medium. All cell lines were authenticated using PCR fingerprinting by the provider. All media (HyClone, Logan, UT) were supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Clark Bioscience, Clayton, DE) and 1% antibiotics (Penicillin-Streptomycin). Cells were incubated in a humidified atmosphere at 37°C and 5% CO₂.

**IHC analyses**

The expression pattern of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), marker of proliferation Ki-67 (MKI67), Bry and SOX5 in human breast cancer tissues was determined using immunohistochemistry, which was performed as described previously (16). The expressions of ER and PR were considered positive if immunoperoxidase staining of tumor cell nuclei was more than 5%. The expression of HER2 was scored on a qualitative scale from 0 to 3+ based on an interpretation of staining intensity: 0 and 1+ were classified as negative and 2+ and 3+ as positive. The MKI67 score was evaluated as the percentage of positively stained malignant cells and categorized into high (>19% immunoreactive cells) and low (<19% immunoreactive cells) groups as described previously (17). Bry and SOX5 expression levels were scored blindly by two pathologists. Staining areas of <25, 25–50, 51–75 and >75% were scored as 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. The staining intensity was scored as follows: no staining; 0; pale yellow staining; 1; buffy staining; 2; strong brown staining; 3. The Bry expression levels were defined using a final score (staining area × staining intensity): low expression level (score ≤6) and high expression level (>6). SOX5 expression levels were defined using a final score (staining area × staining intensity): low expression level (score ≤6) and high expression level (>6). The following antibodies were used: anti-ER, anti-PR, anti-HER2 and anti-MKI67 (ZSGB-BIO, Beijing, China), anti-Bry (Abcam, Cambridge, MA) and anti-SOX5 (Abcam).

**Construction of cell lines**

To construct Bry overexpression/knockdown cell lines, viral particles containing a small interfering RNA (siRNA; GCGGTGACTGCTTATCAGA) targeting Bry or the human Bry coding region purchased from GenePharma (Shanghai, China) were utilized. In vitro, photos and validated by transfection of siRNA (GCAAAGACTTGGCCCATAG) targeting SOX5 or the negative control (NC) siRNA (TTCTCCGAACTGTTGCCCATG), respectively.

**Protein extraction and western blotting**

Culture plates were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution and placed on ice. Total breast cancer cell proteins were extracted using mammalian protein extraction reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Equal amounts of protein were electrophoresed using 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate-gel electrophoresis and transferred on to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA). The membranes were blocked using Tris-buffered saline and 0.1% Tween 20, containing 5% non-fat dry milk for 1 h, and then incubated overnight with primary antibodies. The membranes were washed three times and incubated with the secondary antibodies (Multi-sciences Biotechnology, Zhejiang, China). The membranes were then visualized using a chemiluminescence (Multi-sciences Biotechnology) detection system. The primary antibodies were used as follows: anti-Bry (Abcam, ab20680, 1:2000), anti-SOX5 (Abcam, ab53519, 1:1000), anti-E-cadherin (Abcam, ab1416, 1:50), anti-N-cadherin (Abcam, ab18203, 1:1000), snail family transcriptional repressor 1 (anti-Snai1; Abcam, ab53519, 1:1000), anti-Vimentin (Abcam, ab978, 1:500), epithelial cell adhesion molecule (anti-EpCAM; Abcam, ab213500, 1:1000), anti-Fibronectin (Abcam, ab32419, 1:1000) and anti-f-actin (Multi-sciences Biotechnology, 70-ab008-100, 1:2000).

**Chemotaxis assays**

The cellular chemotaxis capability was assessed using Boyden chamber inserts (Corning, Corning, NY). Breast cancer cells (3 × 10⁵) were suspended in 200 µl of 2% FBS media and seeded in the upper chamber. The lower chamber was filled with 70–80% confluent MEM-α cells incubated in 600 µl of media containing 2% FBS. After 24 h (for MDA-MB-231 shL8 short hairpin RNA [shRNA] cells and shBry cells) or 48 h (for T47D NC cells and Bry cells, T47D Bry+shNC cells and Bry+shSOX5 cells), the cells that invaded into the lower surface of the filter were stained with Giemsa (Nanjing Tech, China) and counted.

**Adhesion assays**

For the cell-osteoblast adhesion assays, 2 × 10⁶ breast cancer cells containing the green fluorescent protein (GFP) were added to a 100% confluent
MG-63 cell layer and then incubated for 15 min (for MDA-MB-231 shNC cells and shBry cells) or 20 min (for T47D NC cells and Bry cells, T47D Bry+shNC cells and Bry+shBry cells). To produce bone matrix, MC3T3-E1 cells were cultured in MEM+/- media containing 10% FBS, 10 mM β-glycerophosphate (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and 50 μg/ml ascorbic acid (Sigma) for 9 days. Then, the MC3T3-E1 cells were incubated in 20 mM NH4OH (Sigma) and 0.5% Triton X-100 (Solarbio, Beijing, China) for 5 min to remove the cells; the bone matrix remained in the wells. For cancer cell–bone matrix adhesion assays, 2 × 10^6 breast cancer cells containing GFP were added to the bone matrix layer and incubated for 15 min (for MDA-MB-231 shNC cells and shBry cells) or 20 min (for T47D NC cells and Bry cells, T47D Bry+shNC cells and Bry+shSOX5 cells). After aspirating off the floating cells, the remaining cells were washed with FBS and counted under a microscope.

**Colonies formation assays**

Colonies formation assays were used to observe and compare the breast cancer cell proliferation ability in the bone microenvironment. MG-63 cells were cultured in 24-well plates until they reached 80% confluence and the conditional media (CM) that mimicked the bone microenvironment was collected. Breast cancer cells (5 × 10^3) (MDA-MB-231 shNC cells and shBry cells, T47D NC cells and Bry cells, T47D Bry+shNC cells and Bry+shSOX5 cells) were suspended in 0.3% soft agar (Sigma,) with MG-63 CM, and then seeded on the surface of the 0.6% soft agar with MG-63 CM in a six-well plate. After 10 days of culture, the colonies were counted under a microscope.

**Xenograft mouse model**

Four to six-week-old female nude mice were purchased from SLAC (Shanghai, China). Then, 2.5 × 10^6 MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with shBry or control cells suspended in 10 μl of PBS were injected into the anterior intercondylar area in the top of the right tibia. X-rays were taken weekly starting from day 14. After 4 weeks, lesions were visible and the mice were killed. The right legs were separated and the tumor lesions were excised and measured (V = length × width^2 × 0.52). Bone lesions were confirmed as tumors using hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining. The expression of Bry, MKI67, E-cadherin and Vimentin were examined using IHC staining. The levels of Bry, E-cadherin and Vimentin were scored blindly by two pathologists. Staining areas of ≤25, 26–50, 51–75 and >75% were scored as 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. The staining intensity was scored as follows: no staining; 0; pale yellow staining; 1; buffy staining; 2; strong brown staining; 3. The expression levels were defined using a final score (staining area × staining intensity). MKI67 expression was evaluated as the percentage of positively stained malignant cells. The following antibodies were used: anti-E-cadherin (Abcam) and anti-Vimentin (ZSGB-BIO). The animal study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Nanjing Medical University.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation and sequencing**

Chromatin immunoprecipitation and sequencing (ChIP-seq) using wild-type MDA-MB-231 cells was performed to explore the underlying mechanisms of cancer migration, adhesion and colonization to bone tissue. The ChIP assay was performed using a ChIP assay kit (Millipore). The anti-Bry antibodies used in this assay were purchased from R&D Systems (Bio-Technne, Minneapolis, MN). The purity and concentration of DNA samples were determined using a Qubit® Fluorometer. DNA samples were end-repaired, tailed and adaptor ligated using TruSeq Nano DNA Sample Prep Kit (FC-121–4002, Illumina, San Diego, CA). Fragments of ~200–1500 bp were selected using AMPure XP beads. The samples were then diluted to a final concentration of 8 μM and cluster generation was performed on the Illumina cBot using a HiSeq 3000/4000 PE Cluster Kit (PE-410–1001, Illumina). Next, sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 using HiSeq 3000/4000 SBS Kit (300 cycles; FC-410–1003, Illumina). The data were then collected and analyzed.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation-PCR assay**

For the ChIP assay was performed as above described, the putative Bry-binding site on SOX5 was amplified using the following primers:

F: 5′-GCTTCTGCCAGGCGACTAC-3′; R: 5′-GCTGGCGTCGCCGACTCTTTC-3′;

F: 5′-GGTTGTGGCATGGGTAACTG-3′; R: 5′-TTCCCTCCGCGTT-3′;

F: 5′-TCCTTCCTGCCAGTGGATA-3′; R: 5′-CAGCTGACCTCCTCCT-3′;

F: 5′-GGTTGTGGCATGGGTAACTG-3′; R: 5′-TTCCCTCCGCGTT-3′;

The PCR products were resolved electrophoretically on a 2% agarose gel.

**Dual-luciferase reporter assay**

MDA-MB-231 cells cultured in 96-well plates were transiently co-transfected with 50 ng of pGL6-SOX5 promoter or control vector and 30 ng of pcDNA3.0-Bry vector (GenePharma, Shanghai, China) for 24 h. The cells were then washed with FBS, subjected to lysis and the luciferase activities were measured using a dual-luciferase assay kit (Promega, Madison, WI). The results were normalized based on the Renilla luciferase luminescence intensity (Promega-GloMax).

**Statistical analysis**

Values were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS17.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Spearman’s correlation test was used to determine the correlations between groups and Student’s t-test was used to compare the differences between two groups. A P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Bry expression correlates with BM in patients with breast cancer**

Seventy-five patients with operated breast cancer with at least 5 years of follow-up were retrieved from the archives of the Affiliated Suzhou Hospital of Nanjing Medical University (Suzhou, China). All the breast tumor tissue were removed thoroughly in the 75 patients. All the original diagnoses were established by two pathologists (Figure 1A). The clinical follow-up medical records were reviewed and the diagnoses of BM were based on the combination of a physical examination: computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging or both and bone scintigraphy. Twenty-seven patients with breast cancer were diagnosed with BM (Figure 1B). Next, the paraffin-embedded samples of all 75 patients with and without BM were further analyzed. To evaluate the correlation of BM with ER, FR, HER2, MKI67 and Bry in breast cancer, we examined the expression of these proteins using immunohistochemistry. The results showed no correlation between ER, FR, HER2, MKI67 and Bry in breast cancer, we examined the expression of these proteins using immunohistochemistry. The results showed no correlation between ER, FR, HER2, MKI67 and BM (Figure 1C–F). However, combined analysis of Bry expression and clinical data revealed that patients with high Bry expression had a tendency to suffer from BM (P = 0.04, r = 0.238; Figure 1G). Also, survival analysis of patients with breast cancer in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database indicates that Bry expression level is prognostic. Patients with high Bry expression tend to have a low survival rate (P = 0.0293; Supplementary Figure S1, available at Carcinogenesis Online).

**Bry promotes breast cancer cell invasion, migration, adhesion and colonization in the bone microenvironment in vitro**

To identify the role of Bry in BM of breast cancer cells in vitro, chemotaxis, adhesion and colonization assays were performed. We chose the high-metastatic breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231 to knock down Bry expression (MDA-MB-231 shBry cells) and the low-metastatic breast cancer cell line T47D to upregulate Bry expression (T47D Bry cells) (Figure 2A). Next, we investigated whether Bry could promote the above tumor cells to migrate and adhere to osteoblasts and colonize the bone microenvironment. The results of chemotaxis assays showed...
that the chemotactic migration of MDA-MB-231 shBry cells toward osteoblast-like MG-63 cells was significantly reduced compared with that of the shNC cells. Consistently, the chemotactic migration of T47D Bry cells toward MG-63 cells was significantly increased compared with that of the control cells (Figure 2B). Similarly, we evaluated the adhesive ability of breast cancer cells to osteoblasts and bone matrix, and found that the loss of Bry in MDA-MB-231 cells decreased their adhesion capability. In contrast, Bry overexpression in T47D cells enhanced their adhesion ability (Figure 2C and 2D). Next, we assessed the colony formation ability of breast cancer cells in MG-63 CM, which mimics the bone microenvironment in vitro. Similarly, the results showed that Bry promoted the ability of breast cancer cells to colonize the bone environment (Figure 2E).

Bry knockdown decreases the colonization and survival potential of breast cancer cells in bone tissue in vivo

Although the intratibial injection mouse model we selected does not recapitulate the complete process of breast cancer BM, it can reflect several of critical steps in the end stage: the colonization of bone tissue and the induction tumor-related bone destruction (18,19). Four weeks after injecting Bry-downregulated and control MDA-MB-231 cells into tibias, the nude mice developed obvious swelling at the injection site. X-ray radiography examination revealed tumor-induced osteolytic lesions (Figure 3A). We observed significantly decreased tumor volumes in mice injected with Bry-knockdown MDA-MB-231 cells compared with that in mice injected with control cells (P < 0.01; Figure 3B). Furthermore, HE staining confirmed the bone lesions as tumors (Figure 3C) and IHC staining revealed that the expression of Bry and MKI67 in Bry-knockdown group was lower than that in the control group (Figure 3D). To verify the regulation by Bry of EMT in vivo, immunohistochemistry was also used to determine the activity of the EMT signal pathway (E-cadherin and Vimentin). As expected, the expression of E-cadherin was higher and the expression of Vimentin was decreased in the Bry-knockdown group compared with that in the control group (Figure 3D).

Bry positively regulates the transcription of SOX5

The above results showed that Bry could promote breast cancer BM. To explore the molecular mechanism, we performed a ChIP-seq assay. The results showed that the peaks were classified into five types that contained upstream, intergenic, intron, exon and promoter regions (Figure 4A and B). We further analyzed the gene ontology in the biological process category, including skeletal system development and regulation of cell morphogenesis (Figure 4C). We found that SOX5 may be the downstream target gene of Bry. SOX5 plays an important role in the regulation of EMT. A representative example of the ChIP-seq peaks for SOX5 is shown in Figure 4D. Our previous study showed that several downstream genes were significantly associated with Bry-binding events, including SOX5. Therefore, we investigated whether SOX5 was regulated by Bry. Indeed, through a sequence search of the SOX5 promoter, we found three potential Bry-binding fragments in the proximal promoter region of SOX5: 414 to 529 (SOX5-1), 801 to 966 (SOX5-2) and –386 to –323 (SOX5-3) (Figure 4E). A chromatin immunoprecipitation-PCR (ChIP-PCR) assay was then performed in MDA-MB-231 cells, and the SOX5 promoter (containing SOX5-1: 414 to 529, SOX5-2: 801 to 966 and SOX5-3: –386 to –323) was recruited by endogenous Bry (Figure 4F). We further detected the regulatory activity of Bry on the SOX5 promoter using dual-luciferase reporter assays and found that the SOX5 promoter activity was significantly increased in...
Figure 2. Bry promotes breast cancer cell invasion, migration, adhesion and colonization in the bone microenvironment. (A) The protein levels of Bry in Bry-knockdown cells or Bry-overexpression breast cancer cells compared with their respective control cells. (B) The chemotactic capacity of breast cancer cells toward osteoblast-like MG-63 cells was assessed using Transwell assays. (C) Assays to assess the adhesion capacity of breast cancer cells to osteoblast-like MG-63 cells. (D) Assays to assess the adhesion capacity of breast cancer cells to bone matrix. (E) The colonization ability of breast cancer cells in MG-63 CM was assessed using colony formation assays (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001).

Figure 3. Bry knockdown decreases colonization and survival potential in vivo. (A) The tumors that colonized bone tissues were examined by X-ray radiography. (B) After 4 weeks, the mice were killed, their right legs were separated and the tumor volumes were measured. (C) The tumors induced by injecting MDA-MB-231 cells into tibias were evaluated using HE staining. (D) IHC analysis of Bry, MKI67, E-cadherin and Vimentin in bone lesions (shNC: n = 6; shBry: n = 7, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001).
293T cells co-transfected with the pcDNA3.0-Bry vector and pGL6-SOX5-1: 414/529 luciferase reporter vector but not in cells transfected with SOX5-2: 801/966 and SOX5-3: –386/–323 (Fig. 4G).

Combining the analysis of ChIP-seq and the SOX5 promoter, we found four candidate Bry-binding sites in SOX5 (site 1: 472–479, TCTCTCTC; site 2: 471–478, GTCTCTCT; site 3: 485–489, CACGC and site 4: 495–502, CTCAAGTG). We further detected the activity of the SOX5 promoter-mutated Bry-binding sites (containing deletion and point mutations). The results study showed that the SOX5 promoter activity markedly decreased in cells transfected with pGL6-SOX5-1: 414/529 with a mutated Bry-binding site compared with the control cells transfected with pGL6-SOX5-1: 414/529 with the wild-type Bry-binding site (Figure 4H–K). Moreover, SOX5 promoter activity was decreased in MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with shBry (transfected with the total promoter) compared with that in the control cells (Figure 4L). Taken together, these results demonstrated that Bry regulates the expression of SOX5 by binding to a fragment at 414–529 (site 2 and site 4) of the promoter region.
Bry promotes breast cancer BM via the SOX5-EMT pathway

Considering the transcriptional regulation of SOX5 by Bry, we knocked down SOX5 by Bry-shSOX5 using three shRNAs (shSOX5-1, shSOX5-2 and shSOX5-3) in T47D Bry cells, and shSOX5-3 was selected for further experiments (Figure 5A). The results revealed that Bry-shSOX5 prevented the Bry-induced chemotactic migration phenotype toward MG-63 cells (Figure 5B). In addition, SOX5 knockdown in Bry-overexpressing cells reduced their adhesion ability to osteoblasts and bone matrix (Figure 5C and D). Furthermore, the colonization advantage of Bry-overexpressing cells in MG-63 CM was reversed by SOX5 knockdown (Figure 5E). Taken together, these results demonstrated that the transactivation of SOX5 by Bry increased the chemotaxis, adhesion and
colonization abilities of cells in vitro. We also found that SOX5 expression correlated positively with Bry expression, as detected using IHC staining of the 75 cancer samples mentioned above (P = 0.004, r = 0.329; Figure 5F). Interestingly, the expression of SOX5 also correlated positively with BM in the 75 breast cancer samples. (P = 0.018, r = 0.273; Figure 5G). To investigate the potential functional mechanism underlying Bry-associated BM in breast cancer, the specific alterations along the SOX5-EMT signaling pathway under the impact of Bry were examined. Knockdown of Bry markedly decreased the expression levels of SOX5 and EMT-associated genes in MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 5G). In contrast, overexpression of Bry increased the expression of SOX5 and EMT-associated genes in T47D cells (Figure 5H). Furthermore, knockdown of SOX5 in Bry-overexpressing cells prevented Bry-induced EMT (Figure 5I). These results demonstrated that Bry-induced BM from breast cancer is mediated by the SOX5-EMT pathway (Figure 6).

Discussion

BM of breast cancer always results in skeletal-related events such as hypercalcemia, pathological fractures, bone pain, spinal compression and reduced survival (20), which is one of the main causes of elevated morbidity and mortality in patients with breast cancer patients. The present study provides direct clinical and experimental evidence supporting the role of Bry in promoting breast cancer BM, in which Bry directly activates its downstream target, the SOX5 gene and initiates EMT.

It has long been recognized that different types of cancer metastasize to distant sites with a preference for a certain organ (21). Breast cancers show a strong predilection for BM (22). The classic ‘seed-and-soil’ hypothesis has been developed by many studies focusing on the interaction of disseminated tumor cells with host cells in the bone microenvironment (23). Breast cancer cells express a number of genes whose encoded proteins may act as homing determinants to facilitate their migration to bone, allowing tumor cells to extravasate from capillaries within bone marrow and adhere to the bone matrix and promoting communication with the host bone environment to establish BM. Different factors secreted by tumor cells, osteoclasts, osteoblasts and stromal cells regulate the activity and differentiation of colonized tumors cells and host cells (23,24), thereby escalating osteolysis.

Different gene expression signatures have been identified to mediate organ-specific metastasis of breast cancer (e.g. to the brain, lungs and bone) (25–27). Certain gene expression profiles that regulate bone-specific metastasis were identified using breast cancer cell lines or tissue samples (5,28–30) and were observed to involve adhesion, signal transduction, transcription and translation to create a specific microenvironment that favored BM. However, these metastasis signature genes identified by differential expression analysis were largely inconsistent. TFs, as master regulators that activate the metastasis genes and control multiple signal pathways, make better biomarkers and therapeutic targets than the signature genes themselves (31). Several TFs have been identified to promote bone colonization and metastasis of breast cancer cells (31–33). In the present study, the expression of TF Bry, along with known markers associated with the prognosis of breast cancer (ER, PR, HER2 and MKI67) (34), were detected in 75 patients with primary breast cancer. Bry expression correlated positively with BM, whereas ER, PR, HER2 and MKI67 expression showed no apparent correlation. This result supported Bry as a new candidate biomarker to predict breast cancer BM.
Furthermore, SOX5 was identified as the transcriptional target of Bry using ChIP assays. SOX5, a member of the sex-determining region Y (SRY) box (SOX) TF family, is required for embryonic notochord development and cell differentiation (35). Recent studies have shown that SOX5 is associated with tumor formation, progression and distant metastasis in various tumors via the EMT process (36–38). In the regulation of breast cancer progression, SOX5 induce EMT by transactivating TWIST1 via binding to a conserved SOX5-binding site (positions −133 to −138) in the TWIST1 promoter (39). Our study demonstrated that SOX5 is the downstream direct target gene of Bry in breast cancer cells. SOX5-knockdown in Bry-expressing breast cancer cells reversed the cells’ colonization and survival capabilities in bone matrix. These findings indicated that transactivation of SOX5 by Bry in breast cancer cells contributes to the development of BM, which is a preferential form of distant organ metastasis by human breast cancer cells.

In the embryonic development of vertebrates, Bry, a T-box TF gene, plays a vital role in the differentiation of axial midline mesoderm cells into notochord and gastrulation cells (40). A conserved Bry-binding motif, TNNCAC, was identified in mouse, Xenopus, zebrafish and human chordoma cell lines (41–45). This study discovered two Bry-binding motifs (Motif 2: GTCTCAGC and Motif 4: CTCAAGGGT) in the promoter of SOX5. Motif 2 is consistent with the above-mentioned conserved binding sequence. Moreover, we identified another binding sequence CTCAAGGGT (motif 4), which suggested that TF Bry has a more complex biological function.

Although some adjuvant bone-targeted treatments (bisphosphonates, zoledronate and denosumab) can inhibit the development of BM and decrease death from breast cancer (46), current therapeutic approaches mainly alleviate symptoms and slow disease progression. The identification of genes and molecules that drive breast cancer cells to colonize and grow in the bone microenvironment will provide novel therapeutic targets (47). The present study demonstrated that Bry could promote breast cancer metastasis to bone. SOX5 knockdown in Bry-expressing breast cancer cells resulted in a significant reduction in survival and tumorigenic capabilities in the bone microenvironment. The expression of Bry has a prognostic value in predicting the occurrence of BM. Furthermore, we found that Bry directly regulates the expression of SOX5 by binding to two motifs in its promoter region. The Bry-SOX5-EMT pathway may represent a potential target to develop treatments to prevent and treat BM from breast cancer.
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