Effects of FUdR on gene expression in the *C. elegans* bacterial diet OP50
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**Abstract**

**Objective:** Many *C. elegans* aging studies use the compound 5-fluro-2’-deoxyuridine (FUdR) to produce a synchronous population of worms. However, the effects of FUdR on the bacterial gene expression of OP50 *E. coli*, the primary laboratory *C. elegans* food source, is not fully understood. This is particularly relevant as studies suggest that intestinal microbes can affect *C. elegans* physiology. Therefore, it is imperative that we understand how exposure to FUdR can affect gene expression changes in OP50 *E. coli*.

**Results:** An RNAseq dataset comprised of expression patterns of 2900 *E. coli* genes in the strain OP50, which were seeded on either nematode growth media (NGM) plates or on FUdR (50 µM) supplemented NGM plates, was analyzed. Analysis showed differential gene expression in genes involved in general transport, amino acid biosynthesis, transcription, iron transport, and antibiotic resistance. We specifically highlight metabolic enzymes in the L-histidine biosynthesis pathway as differentially expressed between NGM and FUdR exposed OP50. We conclude that OP50 exposed to FUdR results in differential expression of many genes, including those in amino acid biosynthetic pathways.
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**Introduction**

*E. coli* is also known to produce compounds affecting host neuronal function including GABA and lactate which contribute to neuroprotection [8]. While bacterial metabolites are essential to host nutrition and physiology in worms, bacterial load accumulates as the host ages leading to death and a reduced lifespan [9]. Recently, it has also been shown that *E. coli*’s metabolic conversion of FUdR to 5-fluourouridine monophosphate (FUMP) can impair *C. elegans* fecundity and highlight the role of bacteria in host health [10–12]. Moreover, FUdR may directly affect *C. elegans* hosts by improving thermal stress response, protein homeostasis, and altering lifespan in some mutant backgrounds [6, 13–15]. Thus, further studies analyzing the gene expression changes in OP50 *E. coli* exposed to FUdR may provide insight into aging and other studies.

**Main text**

To assess the role of FUdR on bacterial gene expression changes, we analyzed a dataset of gene expression differences between OP50 *E. coli* seeded on NGM agar...
plates and on NGM plates supplemented with 50 μM FUdR (NGM + FUdR). This analysis was part of a previous study examining how bacterial gene expression changed in different genetic models of aging, including the longer-lived daf-2/insulin-like growth factor receptor and shorter-lived daf-16/FOXO transcription factor mutants [16]. The RNAseq data comprised of 2900 E. coli genes; Q scores of reads were determined by FastQC and filtered for reads at a 4-base average Q score of 20 or lower using Trimmomatic, as previously described [17]. The raw gene counts (per million) were transformed into a log2 scale and cleared of low-quality reads by removing 25% of the probes with the weakest signal. We then identified the top 158 genes with an interquartile range (IQR) > 1.5 (Additional file 1: Table S1). We identified the biological functions of these genes and found genes that are involved in amino acid biosynthesis (32), general transport (28), stress response (13), transcription (12), DNA repair (7), iron transport (6), DNA damage (5), and antibiotic resistance (4). We surmise that some of these genes may be regulated in direct response to FUdR's effect on DNA.

We further limited our list by examining genes with an IQR > 2.5, which resulted in 28 genes with the greatest differential gene expression (Fig. 1 and Table 1). Hierarchical clustering showed that bacteria from NGM only plates clustered most closely with other NGM only plates, and away from bacteria exposed to FUdR. We found that several of these differentially expressed genes (DEG), including hisA, hisB, hisC, hisD, hisG, and hisI, belong to the L-histidine biosynthesis pathway (Fig. 2). Each of these genes are upregulated in E. coli treated with FUdR compared to NGM only. These genes operate under an operon, suggesting that histidine production is increased by FUdR. Histidine can impact cell functions such as energy metabolism and growth, and is necessary in many enzymes as a proton acceptor or donor [18]. Indeed, targeting L-histidine metabolism enzymes may be a therapeutic target to limit survival of Mycobacterium tuberculosis and other bacteria, suggesting a critical role for histidine in bacterial survival [19, 20]. Previous reports in bacteria also show that histidine starvation can induce metabolomic changes in E. coli and that histidine dehydrogenase (hisD) is important for bacterial growth.
survival against pathogens [18, 21, 22]. Interestingly, histidine is an essential amino acid for worms and can lead to moderate increases in *C. elegans’* mean lifespan, of *gst-4* and *nhr-57* expression, and resistance to heavy metal toxicity [23, 24]. Given that dietary histidine can have a wide range of benefits for humans, including reduction of inflammation, blood pressure, and metabolic syndrome, our findings may highlight the importance

| Protein | Gene | LogFC | P-Value | FDR | Function |
|---------|------|-------|---------|-----|----------|
| DNA replication, binding, and repair | | | | | |
| Bacteriocin microcin b17 | mcbA | −3.54 | 1.08E−39 | 3.10E−37 | DNA replication inhibitor, Antibiotic |
| Repressor lexA | lexA | 4.37 | 2.24E−38 | 1.54E−35 | DNA damage, DNA repair, DNA replication, transcription, transcription regulation |
| DNA repair protein recN | recN | 3.7 | 4.30E−40 | 1.39E−37 | DNA damage, DNA repair |
| Competence protein comeA | ybaV | 3.97 | 4.98E−50 | 4.29E−47 | DNA binding |
| Ion transport and signaling | | | | | |
| High affinity iron transporter | PhuCD | −3.03 | 1.16E−27 | 1.76E−25 | Ion transport |
| Fumarate iron reductase protein | fhuF | −2.56 | 8.41E−20 | 5.71E−18 | Colonic acid biosynthesis process |
| Bacteria non-heme ferritin 1 | ftnA | 3.96 | 7.42E−42 | 3.83E−39 | Iron storage |
| Ribonucleoside triphosphate reductase | nrdD | 4.3 | 6.53E−54 | 1.69E−50 | Reduces thioredoxin, ATP binding, Zinc ion binding, Nucleotide binding |
| Anaerobic ribonucleoside triphosphate reductase activating protein | nrdG | 3.37 | 7.01E−41 | 3.02E−38 | Metal ion binding, catalyzes 5’-deoxy-adenosine |
| Other | | | | | |
| DNA damage inducible protein 1 | dniI | 3.86 | 3.28E−30 | 6.24E−28 | Reductive ion assimilation |
| 2,5-diketo-D-glucuronate reductase b | dkgB | 2.64 | 2.24E−25 | 2.75E−23 | Ascorbate biosynthesis |
| Glyceraldehyde kinase | gplK | −3.27 | 1.32E−15 | 5.01E−14 | Glyceraldehyde degradation 1 pathway |
| mfs transporter, opa family, glycerol 3 phosphate transporter | gplT | −3.02 | 1.15E−17 | 5.28E−16 | Glyceraldehyde metabolism, Transport |
| Small heat shock protein, molecular chaperone | ibpB | 3.71 | 6.52E−52 | 8.41E−49 | Stress response |
| Small toxic polypeptide | ldrA | 3.58 | 2.55E−30 | 5.48E−28 | Toxin–antitoxin system |
| Anaerobic ribonucleoside triphosphate reductase activating protein | nrdG | 3.37 | 7.01E−41 | 3.02E−38 | Metal ion binding, catalyzes 5’-deoxy-adenosine |
| Adenylosuccinate synthase | purA | −2.75 | 1.30E−27 | 1.87E−25 | Adenosine ribonucleotides de novo biosynthesis |
| Uncharacterized protein | yciG | −2.81 | 5.15E−19 | 3.17E−17 | Bacterial type flagellum dependent swarm motility |

A table describing the general biological function of the top 28 differentially expressed genes in *E. coli* with statistically significant (*P* < 0.0001) log fold changes
of understanding histidine metabolism in host-bacterial interactions [19].

We also found that FUdR downregulated genes affecting the amino acid tryptophan in OP50 *E. coli*, including the metabolic enzyme anthranilate synthase, *trpE* (2.87-fold decrease, \( P = 2.81 \times 10^{-24} \)), and the tryptophan specific transport protein gene, *mtr* (4.56-fold decrease, \( P = 5.44 \times 10^{-46} \)). It is unknown whether FUdR affects tryptophan levels in this study, but decreased *trpE* expression may be indicative of elevated L-tryptophan. *trpE* acts as an early step of L-tryptophan biosynthesis and is susceptible to feedback regulation by L-tryptophan [25]. Previous work demonstrated that an increased abundance of tryptophan is associated with increased lifespan in *C. elegans* and microbial tryptophan may serve pro-immune functions in other hosts [26, 27]. However, others show that tryptophan can cause toxicity in worms independent of FUdR, and that pathogenic *E. coli* use tryptophan to produce a secreted toxin that kills hosts [11, 28]. Furthermore, knockout of the transporter *mtr* has been shown to reduce tryptophan intake in *E. coli*, but increase internal L-tryptophan production [29]. Thus, our observations support that FUdR increases L-tryptophan production, and may be part of the mechanism that diminishes *C. elegans* health.

Our analysis also revealed that the iron storage ferritin protein gene, *ftnA* is significantly upregulated by FUdR (3.96-fold increase, \( P = 7.42 \times 10^{-42} \)). Furthermore, *fluF* and *fluCDB*, which are downregulated by FUdR, are components of ferri-siderophore transport of iron in bacterial membranes. Iron homeostasis is critical for bacteria and other organisms, but it is unclear how FUdR affects iron balance [30]. A lack of intestinal and pharyngeal ferritin in *ftn-1 C. elegans* mutants results in reduced longevity [31]. Importantly, it is not known whether gene expression changes in bacteria ultimately alter bacterial tryptophan or iron abundance. Further studies are needed to specifically address the impact of *E. coli's* L-histidine biosynthesis enzymes, *mtr* and *trpE* expression, *ftnA* expression, and other differentially expressed genes and the effects FUdR on *C. elegans* physiology and lifespan.

Work examining bacterial metabolism in worms has identified that *E. coli* and *Comamonas* can metabolize FUdR and impact host physiology [10, 11]. Garcia-Gonzalez et al. [10] found that bacteria can either metabolize FUdR to produce fluorouridine monophosphate (FUMP) and affect ribonucleotide metabolism, or to be part of DNA metabolism via FdUMP. FUMP can be synthesized by direct conversion of FUdR into 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) by *deoA* (thymidine phosphorylase) and conversion of 5-FU into FUMP by *upp* (uracil phosphoribosyltransferase). Interestingly, in our analysis, FUdR treated OP50 resulted in increased expression of both *deoA* (twofold; \( P = 0.752 \times 10^{-6} \)) and *upp* (twofold, \( P = 2.3 \times 10^{-4} \)) (Additional file 2: Figure S1).
Furthermore, Ke et al. [11] showed that knockout of thymidine kinase (tdk), which directly converts FUDR to FdUMP, enhances the detrimental effects of FUDR. We did not find changes in expression of tdk or other downstream enzymes leading to thymidine (dTTP) synthesis (Additional file 2: Figure S1), suggesting that FUDR treated E. coli may be driven toward FUMP, not FdUMP production.

Bacteria in C. elegans have also been shown to metabolize folate to produce 5-methyl-tetrahydrofolate (5-meTHF), which then impacts methionine metabolism and lifespan in worms [32]. L-Serine to glycine interconversion can produce 5,10-methylene-tetrahydrofolate (5,10-meTHF), a precursor of 5-meTHF, through the enzyme glyA. We did not observe changes in gene expression in glyA, but we did observe increased gene expression of two l-serine metabolism enzymes, serA (3.3-fold increase, \( P = 6.73 \times 10^{-15} \)) and serC (1.85-fold increase, \( P = 6.45 \times 10^{-4} \)) but not of serB, which directly synthesizes l-serine (Additional file 2: Figure S1). Thus, it is possible that the substrates necessary to produce 5-meTHF are elevated by FUDR. Furthermore, we did not observe changes in gene expression in thymidylate synthase (thya), which uses 5,10-meTHF convert dUMP to dTMP. Further exploration is necessary to determine the effects of FudR alone on tetrahydrofolate biosynthesis.

Finally, to determine how gene responses to FUDR identified in this study compare to bacterial responses to other factors, we compared our gene list to those previously analyzed. First, our previous report identified a list of core genes in E. coli of 4-day-old C. elegans hosts. When comparing this to the 158 genes identified in this study, very few overlapped (5/158), suggesting that FUDR initiated a stress specific response. Our previous study also identified differentially expressed bacterial genes in C. elegans in various conditions, including temperature and host genotype. We compared those DEGs with genes that were differentially expressed in this study and found 23 common genes regulated by temperature (15 °C compared to 20 °C), 9 by daf-2/InsR mutations, and 17 by daf-16/FOXO mutations overlapped with our 158 genes (Additional file 3: Table S2). There were very few overlapping DEGs, which may be expected given that our study examined change in bacteria in vitro, and those conditions were in vivo. When comparing our dataset to transcriptomics analysis of E. coli exposed to volatile organic compounds, some common pathways regulated iron related gene regulation, including flutF, and leucine biosynthesis [33]. Similarly, E. coli treated with the antibiotics potentiator bicarbonate led to increased expression of genes regulating tryptophan and iron, including those in the flut operon [34]. Thus, amino acid and iron regulation may be common responses to chemical treatments, including FUDR.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, these results highlight several genes regulated in OP50 E. coli in the presence of FudR. As bacterial diets affect C. elegans physiology and longevity, a greater understanding of metabolic networks in bacteria would provide insight to host-microbe interactions. Though this study identifies specific changes in E. coli biosynthesis genes and pathways in response to FudR, and further testing of metabolite changes and its impact on host physiology are needed.

**Methods**

Sample collection, RNA preparation, sequencing, and gene counts (counts per million) were collected as previously described [16]. Briefly, OP50 *Escherichia coli* (E. coli), a standard diet use for laboratory C. elegans, was seeded on nematode growth media (NGM) or NGM + 50 µM FudR agar plates. Bacteria were harvested from 3 experimental replicates (3 independent plates from 1 experiment) and prepared for transcriptomics analysis. Genes that mapped to E. coli were used for downstream analyses, and gene expression was compared in OP50 bacteria seeded NGM and NGM + FudR (50 µM) agar plates.

**Pathview analysis**

Average normalized CPM counts of identified l-Histidine biosynthesis enzymes were selected using Entrez Reference Sequence identification numbers and mapped to the *E. coli* Histidine Metabolism Pathway (EC00340) using the R package pathview on PathviewWeb [35–40]. Histidine metabolism pathway was simplified with focus on the l-Histidine biosynthesis pathway.

**Heatmap and genetic analysis**

Genes with an IQR > 1.5 produced 158 differentially expressed genes (DEG); (Additional file 1: Table S1). Ninety-two of those DEG were classified based on enzyme parent class and molecular function using EcoCyc and Uniprot databases [41, 42]. Gene counts with an IQR > 2.5 produced 28 DEG which had the greatest expression differences in the presence of FudR based on FDR, logFC, and P-value; this analysis was conducted in R 4.0.0 using the package edgeR and heatmaply [43–48]. Gene name, biological pathway, and protein function was determined using MetaCyc, EcoCyc, and Uniprot databases. [41, 42, 49].
Reagents

**Bacteria Strain—Escherichia coli** strain OP50 provided by CGC (NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440)).

Limitations

Our analysis of FudR on OP50 *E. coli*’s gene expression has identified gene expression differences caused by the presence of FudR. However, this analysis has only identified patterns in gene expression differences. To assess the mechanistic role of FudR on these pathways and downstream metabolites, further studies examining enzymatic changes in *E. coli*’s metabolism and its effect on host physiology are needed.

In addition to OP50, other *E. coli* strains including HB101 and HT115 are used as a *C. elegans* food source in lab settings. Furthermore, in the wild, *C. elegans* has been known to feed on a wide variety of bacteria including *Pseudomonas*, *Ochrobactrum*, *Methylobacterium*, *Xanthomonas*, and *Sphingomonas* [50–53]. As FudR is commonly used in combination with alterations in bacterial diets, further studies investigating the role of FudR in other bacterial strains may provide greater insight into the roles of bacterial metabolites on *C. elegans*.
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Additional file 1: Table S1. The top 158 differentially expressed genes in OP50 *E. coli* exposed to FudR.

Additional file 2: Figure S1. Gene expression differences of biosynthetic pathways for FudR metabolism. Top, FUDR can be metabolized into FUMP or FdUMP. Expression of deoA and upp are increased (red arrows) in FUDR treated *E. coli*, whereas other metabolic enzymes do not show differential gene expression. (top) and 5,10-meTHF (bottom). Bottom, Serine can be metabolized to produce 5,10-meTHF. The expression of l-serine biosynthetic enzymes serA and serC increase in FUDR treated *E. coli*, but expression of enzymes directly synthesizing 5,10-meTHF from l-serine are not changed.

Additional file 3: Table S2. Common bacterial genes in OP50 *E. coli* regulated in response to FUDR and in specific hosts.
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