Evaluation of Tourism Eco-efficiency in Yangshuo County from the Perspective of Carbon Footprint
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Abstract. According to the input-output theory, the paper quantitatively analyzes the tourism eco-efficiency of Yangshuo in 2017 by building a tourism eco-efficiency model based on carbon footprint. The research shows that: Firstly, the total carbon footprint of tourism traffic in 2017 is 791.22×10⁶ kg; the total carbon footprint of tourism accommodation is 353.60×10⁶ kg; the total carbon footprint of tourism activities is 123.29×10⁶ kg. Secondly, the 2017 tourism eco-efficiency of the Yangshuo County is 8.05 RMB per kilogram. It refers to the economic profit is 8.05 RMB when 1 kilogram of carbon dioxide is produced, which is relatively efficient compared with other regions. Lastly, the paper makes suggestions with regard to the optimization of the tourism eco-efficiency.

1 Introduction

In recent years, people are more and more concerned about the tourism environment with the rapid development of the tourism industry. As an indicator of sustainable development, tourism eco-efficiency has guiding significance for tourism sustainable development[1]. At present, scholars at home and abroad adopt various methods to study tourism eco-efficiency in different aspects. Scholars such as Gossling who built up the carbon footprint model held the view that there were many influences on the differences of the tourism eco-efficiency by quantitative calculation of France, the Rocky Mountain National Park and the Republic of Seychelles[2]. Through a research on the tourists’ activities and the carbon intensity in New Zealand, Becken and Simmons found that there were a huge gap in the average consumption intensity between the 7 MJ of the tourism hub and the 130 MJ of the hang gliding[3]. In the case of Davos, Switzerland in the Alps region, Kytzia evaluated the strategy of the tourism eco-efficiency with the model of IOA (Input-Output Analysis)[4]. Lipeng, Yang Guihua, Xiao Jianhong, Jiang Meisu, Zhenyu, Libin and Chen Dongjing measured the eco-efficiency with the single index method[5-8]. As China’s advanced demonstration area of national ecological conservation, the Yangshuo county boasts abundant natural, cultural resources and especially numerous tourism resources. Therefore, making the assessment of the tourism eco-efficiency is beneficial to the sustainable development of regional tourism.

2 Regional overview and method

2.1. Basic situation of Yangshuo

Yangshuo County, south of Guilin City, is a county under the jurisdiction of Guilin City, in the northeast of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, China(Fig. 1). The Yangshuo County has a gifted and geographical advantage for its convenient transportation, comfortable climate and profound cultural background. Moreover, the county has enjoyed the praise of “Guilin’s scenery is best among all under heaven” since ancient times, and it is also a famous scenic county in China. Because of its breathtaking sights, the Yangshuo ranks tops the 1st for the “China’s most beautiful counties”. In the Yangshuo County, there are 17 rivers flowing down the 20 thousand majestic high mountains. Therefore, there are over 150 beautiful scenic spots. With the Li River surrounded by karst peaks, visitors are easily accessible to enjoy the typical karst landscape. This is why the saying of “Yangshuo is famous for its thousands of hills and carves, and numerous scenic spots and lakes.” lies in.

Fig. 1. The geographical location of Yangshuo.
2.2 Data sources

The data comes from the 2017 Yangshuo County Tourism Bureau, the questionnaire survey of tourists, the field survey of the scenic spot, and the relevant authoritative documents. The calculation of tourism eco-efficiency requires two kinds of data, one is carbon footprint and the other is tourism income. Statistics such as methods of transportation, types of accommodation, types of tourism activities, lingering days are obtained through questionnaire surveys and scenic area surveys; the CO₂ emission factors, balance factors are from previous studies, which will be used to calculate carbon emissions. The domestic tourism revenue and the total number of domestic tourists are obtained from Yangshuo Tourism Bureau. The paper mainly studies the effect of domestic tourists on the eco-efficiency of tourism in Yangshuo County. Therefore, the tourist inbound tourists and the income from entry are not within their scope of calculation.

Carbon footprint refers to the total greenhouse gas emissions including carbon dioxide caused by individuals in needs of life or work[10]. The tourism carbon footprint is defined as the total emissions of carbon dioxide caused by people’s travelling directly or indirectly. In this paper, a single index method is used to establish a tourism ecological efficiency model based on carbon footprint and evaluate the tourism ecological efficiency of Yangshuo County.

### 2.3 The tourism eco-efficiency and carbon footprint

Tourism eco-efficiency is an important indicator to measure environmental and economic output, and its purpose is to make tourism use the minimum environmental impact to maximize economic value, which is a fundamental tool for assessing the sustainable development of tourism. The development of the tourism eco-efficiency comes from the eco-efficiency, both of whose definition is unclear. At present, the definition of the community basically follows the WBCSD’s definition of ecological efficiency, that is, the minimum environmental impact to create the greatest value [9].

2.4 Tourism carbon footprint model

#### 2.4.1 Traffic

Combined with previous research results [11,12,13], the carbon footprint model of tourism traffic is defined as:

\[ T_a = \sum_k \epsilon_k N_k D_k \alpha_k \]  

(1)

\( T_a \): the carbon footprint of tourism transportation. \( N_k \): the amount of the distance of the k band transportation. \( \alpha_k \): the density of carbon dioxide for the k band transportation. \( \epsilon_k \): the equivalent factor of the k band transportation, which plays the role of reducing the variation in calculation as is shown in table 1[11,13].

#### 2.4.2 Accommodation

The carbon footprint of tourism accommodation refers to the carbon emissions of using electricity and water produced by tourists in their accommodations. Its model is:

\[ T_b = \sum_i N_i H_i \beta_i \]  

(2)

\( T_b \): the carbon footprint of tourism accommodation. \( N_i \): the amount of visitors by the i band of the transportation ways. \( H_i \): the average lingering time of visitors by the i band of the transportation ways. \( \beta_i \): the carbon emission amount of visitors when they linger for one night by the i band of the transportation ways.

#### 2.4.3 Activities

Nowadays, the carbon emissions generated by tourists participating in different types of tourism activities are different as more and more tourism activities emerge. In this paper, the carbon footprint of every tourist participating in a tourism activity is taken as the carbon footprint coefficient of the activity, using following equation:

\[ T_c = \sum_j N_j \Upsilon_j \]  

(3)

\( T_c \): the carbon footprint of tourism activities. \( N_j \): the amount of tourists that take part in the j band activity. \( \Upsilon_j \): the carbon emissions that every tourist participates in the j band tourism activity.

2.5 Tourism eco-efficiency model

The eco-efficiency is an index of measuring economy and ecological environmental environment. The measure method of ecological efficiency is not unified, and the model of WBCSD is generally accepted by scholars from various circles. It is[9]:

\[ \text{Eco-efficiency} = \frac{\text{the values of products or service}}{\text{the environmental influence}} \]  

(4)

To research the tourism eco-efficiency, we should not only substitute the influence of the environment with the carbon emissions, but also substitute the values of products or service with tourism income. Therefore, the tourism eco-efficiency model is:

| Traffic type | Emission factor, \( \alpha \) (kg/pkm) | Equivalence factor, \( \epsilon \) |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Air         | 0.150                               | 2.7             |
| Rail        | 0.025                               | 1.05            |
| Car         | 0.075                               | 1.05            |
| Coach       | 0.018                               | 1.05            |

Table 1. Factors for different transport modes.
3 Tourism eco-efficiency assessment

3.1 Traffic carbon footprint

In this paper, the carbon footprint of tourism traffic is divided into the indoor and outdoor traffic carbon footprint. The outdoor traffic carbon footprint refers to the CO₂ emissions generated by tourists who do not enter Guilin City, while indoor traffic refers to the CO₂ emissions generated by tourists from Guilin City to Yangshuo County. In order to simplify the calculation, the starting point of tourists from outside the city is unified as the capital city, the indoor traffic takes the Guilin Railway Station as the starting point. Combined with questionnaire survey and previous research, it is calculated that the tourist traffic carbon footprint of Yangshuo from tourists in Guangxi, Guangdong, Hunan, Shanghai, Guizhou, and Yunnan in 2017 is $104.30 \times 10^6$ kg, $52.76 \times 10^6$ kg, $17.60 \times 10^6$ kg, and $30.51 \times 10^6$ kg, $4.87 \times 10^6$ kg, $31.52 \times 10^6$ kg; the indoor traffic carbon footprint is $47.49 \times 10^6$ kg. The carbon footprint of different modes of transportation is shown in Table 2. Finally, the paper concludes that the total carbon footprint of tourist traffic is $791.22 \times 10^6$ kg.

| Traffic type | Carbon footprint($\times 10^6$kg) |
|--------------|----------------------------------|
| Air          | 554.02                           |
| Rail         | 81.05                            |
| Car          | 19.48                            |
| Coach        | 138.67                           |

3.2 Accommodation carbon footprint

The resources such as hydropower resources and others, which bring economic benefits to tourism accommodation, are important sources of carbon footprint. According to the results of the investigation, the carbon footprint of different types of tourist accommodation is calculated by using the carbon footprint model of tourism accommodation (Table 3). The total carbon footprint of tourism accommodation is $353.60 \times 10^6$ kg.

| Accommodation type | Carbon footprint($\times 10^6$kg) |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| Five-star hotel    | 67.88                            |
| Three or four-star hotel | 53.96          |
| Economical hotel  | 231.76                           |

3.3 Activity carbon footprint

Although there is not much carbon footprint caused by tourist activities, it means a lot to tourism as a part of it. Researches show that different activities have different carbon footprint (Table 4). Besides, the amount of carbon footprint caused by tourism activities is $123.29 \times 10^6$ kg.

| Activity type           | Carbon footprint($\times 10^6$kg) |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Sightseeing             | 8.41                             |
| Watching performances   | 1.82                             |
| Sightseeing by ship     | 80.73                            |
| River drifting          | 19.48                            |
| Fishing                 | 12.85                            |

3.4 Tourism eco-efficiency

Tourism eco-efficiency is the result of measurement and analysis of tourism traffic, tourist accommodation, tourism activity carbon footprint and tourism income. Here the tourism income refers to domestic tourism income. As it’s difficult to get the ratios of the tourism departments, people substitute the total amount of the tourism transportation, accommodations and activities with the domestic income. Maybe the data is not very accurate, but it is still representative. Results show that in 2017, the total amount carbon footprint of tourism transportation, accommodations and activities is $1268.11 \times 10^6$ kg. Since the domestic tourism income is 10.21 billion yuan, the tourism eco-efficiency of the Yangshuo County in 2017 is 8.05 yuan per kilogram, which means that the average economic income is 8.05 yuan when 1 kilogram is produced.

3.5 Regional difference

Scholars at home and abroad analyzed the tourism eco-efficiency for such scenic spots as the Hainan province, Zhoushan Islands, Huangshan and Jiuzhai Jiuzhaigou tourist area, Seychelles and Zhangjiajie. Studies show that their eco-efficiency values are 1.787, 27.10, 4.953 and 3.269, 1.049 and 51.28 RMB per kilogram respectively. The paper shows that the tourism eco-efficiency of Yangshuo County in 2017 is 8.05 yuan/kg, which is higher than Huangshan and Jiuzhaigou tourist area, Seychelles and Hainan province, and lower than the Zhoushan Islands and Zhangjiajie. At the same time, compared with the average global eco-efficiency (1.43 $/kg, 11.44$ yuan/kg) and the average domestic eco-efficiency (0.38 $/kg, 3.04 yuan/kg), the tourism ecological efficiency of Yangshuo County in 2017 is lower than the global eco-efficiency and higher than the domestic eco-efficiency. Therefore, the tourism eco-efficiency of Yangshuo County in 2017.
is relatively high efficient. Finally, according to the "2016-2017 China Travel Consumer Market Development Report" issued by China Tourism Research Institute, the article estimates that the total income of Yangshuo County's tourism traffic, tourism accommodation, and tourism activities accounts for 55% of the total domestic tourism revenue of Yangshuo County, and the tourism eco-efficiency of Yangshuo county is 4.42 yuan /kg, so it is relatively efficient.

4 Conclusions and discussion

1) From the perspective of tourism department, the carbon emission of tourism transportation is greater than that of tourism accommodation and tourism activities. Therefore, when the travel distance is certain, the measures to optimize the ecological efficiency of tourism lie in improving the service quality, increasing the experience related to tourism, improving the consumption level of tourism and extending the stay time. In addition, when the price of tourism products is set, the energy needed in tourism transportation, tourist accommodation, and tourism activities should be as low as possible, which will increase the utilization ratio of facilities, enhance the utilization of wastes, and reduce the amount of carbon emitted from tourism. And then improve the tourism eco-efficiency.

2) The tourism eco-efficiency of the Yangshuo county is relatively high compared with other regions. This is mainly due to the inaccuracy of the total income of tourism transportation, tourism accommodation, and tourism activities in the measurement process; factors such as tourism, catering, entertainment, and shopping are not considered. In addition, tourism ecological efficiency is a complex system. If water resources, land resources and energy consumption are used as input indicators, tourism income, environmental improvement, creative employment opportunities and so on are taken as output indicators, it will be more accurate to calculate the tourism eco-efficiency.

3) The eco-efficiency only involves the economy and the environment, while tourism as an activity must consider the society and the ecology. Only in this way can the tourism eco-efficiency show the eco-efficiency of the sustainable development of the tourism industry more completely to provide precise data for further reference. In the meantime, by analyses of the tourism eco-efficiency based on time and space, the and problems can be showed to improve the intrinsic law sustainable development of tourism. However, the studies of the tourism eco-efficiency in a specific time frame are unable to expose its development trends in the future[16].
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