The clinical and academic landscape of Neonatal–Perinatal Medicine (NPM) is evolving. Career opportunities for neonatologists have been impacted by shifts in compensation and staffing needs in both academic and private settings. The workforce in NPM is changing with respect to age and gender. Recruiting candidates from backgrounds underrepresented in medicine is a priority. Developing flexible positions and ensuring equitable salaries is critically important. Professional niches including administration, education, research, and quality improvement provide many opportunities for scholarly pursuit. Challenges exist in recruiting, mentoring, funding, and retaining physician–scientists in NPM. Creative solutions are necessary to balance the needs of the NPM workforce with the growing numbers, locations, and complexity of patients. Addressing these challenges requires a multi-faceted approach including adapting educational curricula, supporting trainees in finding their niche, identifying novel ways to address work/life integration, and attracting candidates with both diverse backgrounds and academic interests.
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compared to 38% in private practice [16]. Neonatologists are not equally distributed across states or population centers, with ~84% practicing in metropolitan areas [12]. These factors impact compensation, with private practice neonatologists reporting $15,000 greater annual compensation [12] and neonatologists in Northeast, Mid-Atlantic, and metropolitan areas earning less [17]. Female neonatologists also receive 3.7% less annual compensation, potentially resulting in a net loss of $430,000 over a 35-year career [11].

**CURRENT PHYSICIAN WORKFORCE**

Neonatology has had the most growth of any pediatric subspecialty over the past 20 years [18]. The number of NPM fellowship programs and first-year fellowship positions increased between 2016 and 2020, but fellowship applications decreased by 17% between 2015 and 2019 [19]. Although the number of practicing neonatologists has increased, the average age rose from 53.7 to 57 years between 2008 and 2015 [17], suggesting an aging workforce. Over the last 20 years, female American medical graduates drove the growth in the field [18]. Women now represent 75% of NPM fellows. International medical graduates represent 20% of the NPM workforce. Historically, the ABP has not collected racial and ethnic demographic data, but this information is necessary to assess trends in underrepresented in medicine (URIM) in NPM.

**PATHWAYS TO ACADEMIC PROMOTION**

NPM providers may participate in numerous types of scholarly activities, developing expertise in laboratory-based, translational or clinical research, quality improvement (QI), bioethics, education, global health, or epidemiology. However, academic faculty with non-traditional areas of scholarly pursuit may encounter ill-defined promotional criteria and inconsistent definitions of tracks across institutions. Challenges securing sufficient funding, mentorship, and protected time to complete projects exist.

**Clinician**

Clinical faculty are instrumental to productive divisions and clinical programs. Although the responsibilities are intuitive, there is no uniform promotional pathway for “clinicians.” Variability exists with respect to clinical time allocation, expectations for trainee education, institutional citizenship, and scholarly productivity.

NPM clinicians may develop expertise beyond direct patient care, such as through patient safety and QI initiatives. Numerous certificate programs are offered in this area, such as the Institute for Healthcare Improvement’s online certification [20]. Other opportunities include biodesign and clinical informatics. In biodesign, clinicians work with interdisciplinary teams from nursing, engineering, design and computer science to develop new technologies to improve patient monitoring, evaluation, and diagnosis [21]. Clinical informatics is the scientific discipline focused on the effective use of biomedical information and knowledge in healthcare. There has been an exponential growth in the need for physicians trained in clinical informatics with the transition to electronic health records (EHR) [22]. Experts can transform healthcare by analyzing population data and designing or implementing communication systems to enhance individual and population health outcomes. The American Board of Medical Specialties approved clinical informatics as a board-eligible subspecialty in 2011. Beginning in 2023, board eligibility will require the completion of an ACGME-approved clinical informatics fellowship.

**Clinician–educator (CE)**

CE traditionally describes physicians with responsibility for trainee education [23–25]. CE tracks are typically non-tenured without research funding [24, 25]. Attempts to define success in CE tracks have frequently relied on traditional promotional pathways, using productivity definitions created for physician–scientists (PS). As such, odds of holding a higher academic rank are lower for non-PS [26], and faculty devoting >50% of the time to clinical care reported prolonged time to promotion [27]. Recently, the CE role was redefined with a focus on an educational scholarship [28], which dovetails nicely with the shift to competency-based education incorporating well-defined assessment and accreditation standards [29–31].

Developing career pathways with clear metrics can delineate the CE identity. In one survey, 55% of respondents indicated master’s level training was one way to gain relevant expertise [32], resulting in the development of graduate programs, including master of health professions education and master of medical education. In 2006, the Association of American Medical Colleges Group on Educational Affairs defined educational scholarship and identified specific activities that support academic promotion (Table 1) [33]. Having evidence of excellence in education by documenting quantity and quality of activities and evidence of engagement within the educational community by demonstrating contributions to its body of knowledge were identified as core principles of education scholarship [33].

**Physician–scientist**

Opportunities and challenges facing PS in contemporary academies are described previously in this series [34]. Limited institutional support, mentorship and funding created a “leaky pipeline,” diminishing the number of neonatal PS. Interestingly, most pediatricians with R01-equivalent research awards are clustered at just 15 institutions [35]. Perceptions that PS receive lower compensation and have challenges with work–life balance may make research careers appear less attractive and feasible. Attrition of current PS and difficulties attracting new PS threatens to stall medical advancements.

Despite these challenges, it is critical to develop and promote opportunities to recruit and retain future PS. Laboratory-based neonatal physiology research has been rooted in large animal models with a focus on molecular biology and gene knockout models in rodents. Advances in technology have created expansive growth in stem cell and gene-editing research, as well as computational and systems biology research in genomics.

### Table 1. Key categories of educational scholarship.

| Category                        | Content supporting promotion                                                                 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Teaching                        | Activity fostering learning<br>• Direct teaching<br>• Creating instructional materials       |
| Learner assessment              | Activities associated with measuring learners’ knowledge, skills, attitudes                  |
| Curriculum development          | Development and design<br>• Longitudinal learner curriculum<br>• Educational activities including evaluation |
| Mentoring/advising              | Mentoring:<br>• Sustained, committed relationship<br>• Reciprocal benefits<br>Advising:<br>• Limited relationship and time period<br>• Advisor serves as guide |
| Educational leadership/<br>administration | Achieving results through others<br>Transformational work demonstrated through:<br>• Ongoing evaluation<br>• Disseminating results<br>• Maximizing resources |
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epigenomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics and the study of complex gene networks underlying embryonic and fetal/neonatal development and disease. Research in these areas offers great potential for the development of innovative diagnostic and therapeutic interventions.

**PATHWAYS TO PRIVATE PRACTICE PROMOTION**

Neonatologists in private practice have multiple avenues for career advancement, with opportunities for administrative roles in both practice and hospital management. Private practice physicians (PPPs) can own their practices, as a group or individually. National and state QI collaboration enables PPPs to impact local, regional, and national policy. Some PPPs conduct key implementation research by analyzing robust clinical databases [36, 37]. Even though almost 40% of neonatologists are PPPs, there is a paucity of literature about their contributions to NPM.

**FUTURE OF NPM PHYSICIANS/PROVIDERS**

The field of NPM has a bright future, filled with potential for career growth and professional satisfaction. Despite threats to the field, many opportunities and creative solutions are on the horizon. Table 2 presents a summary of key opportunities and perceived threats to the specialty.

**Building and maintaining the workforce**

The neonatology workforce faces increased clinical demands. Clinical networks can combine multiple nurseries under a single group, sometimes requiring providers to staff numerous hospitals across wide geographic areas. Varying team composition (with fewer APPs/trainees at community NICUs), long commutes, and substantial amounts of home call may negatively impact work-life integration in this model. As fewer general practitioners attend in the newborn nursery, coverage frequently falls to NPM networks. In addition, many NICUs reported sustained increases in census related to increasing survival of infants born extremely preterm or with significant congenital anomalies [38].

More providers are necessary, but meeting this demand presents a challenge. Many institutions increasingly rely on APPs to meet clinical needs. Despite the workforce expansion and clinical expertise offered by these providers, their incorporation into NICUs introduces challenges related to clarification of roles and responsibilities for direct clinical care, providing thorough training specific to neonatology, and ensuring opportunities for career advancement. In addition, ABP data affirms an aging workforce. Senior neonatologists may seek accommodations to decrease the physical demands of the job, such as limiting call/critical weeks, team acuity, or travel to remote clinical sites. If accommodations are not granted, these providers may seek non-clinical positions or retire early, shifting the clinical burden to

---

**Table 2. Opportunities and threats to the future of Neonatal–Perinatal Medicine.**

| Threats                                                                 | Opportunities                                                                 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Challenges meeting workforce demands                                    | Opportunities meeting workforce demands                                       |
| • Increased coverage needs from institutional "networks"               | • Flexibility/multiple models of practice                                     |
| ○ Numerous units staffed by a provider group                           | ○ Full-time and part-time positions                                           |
| ○ Range of acuity                                                       | ○ Hybrid positions (varying acuity, teaching centers vs community NICU)       |
| ○ Increasing level I nursery coverage                                   | ○ Collaboration with APPs                                                     |
| • Applicants to NPM fellowship may perceive challenges related to inpatient specialty coverage nights/weekends/holidays | ○ Integration of technology (i.e., telemedicine) into care models             |
| • Increased census, in part due to increased survival of extremely preterm/medically complex infants | ○ Increase in number of fellowship programs and positions                     |
| • Paucity of data to determine representation of URiM in NPM            | • Increasing variety and acuity of pathology with advances in technology to keep the field fresh and exciting |
| • Increased level of newborn nursery coverage                           | • Prioritize recruitment and retention of URiM to reflect growing diversity of patient population and improve outcomes |
| Competing subspecialties                                                | Diversity in NPM career paths                                                |
| • New pediatric hospital medicine subspecialty with 2-year fellowship program | • Choice of academic vs private practice                                      |
| • Increased focus on clinical productivity/RVUs, creating barriers to protected time | • Numerous scholarly pursuits available to complement clinical work           |
| • Lack of clearly defined promotional pathways for clinicians and CE fellows | • Potential for customizable fellowship tracks                                |
| • Attraction of the PS                                                  | • Supplementation of training with non-clinical board-eligible subspecialties and fellowships |
| ○ Difficulty securing grant funding, protected time, mentorship        | Growth of diverse academic niches                                             |
| ○ Perceived lifestyle challenges including financial compensation and work-life balance | • Recognition of expertise in clinical, translational and basic science research, QI/PS, medical education, informatics, biodesign, ethics, global health, advocacy, public health/policy |
| Challenges facing academic advancement                                  | • Ability to mentor trainees at different times and geographic locations, participate in communities of practice, and obtain additional training expanded by technology |
| • Increased focus on clinical productivity/RVUs, creating barriers to protected time | • National/International Collaboratives                                       |
| • Lack of clearly defined promotional pathways for clinicians and CE fellows | ○ Within NPM, or partnering with multidisciplinary organizations             |
| • Attraction of the PS                                                  | ○ Opportunities for research, optimization of patient care                   |
| • Perceived lifestyle challenges including financial compensation and work-life balance | ○ Development of communities of practice, professional network               |
| Significant risk of burnout                                              | Personal and professional satisfaction                                       |
| • Increasing acuity of patient population                               | • Adding many quality-adjusted life years with NICU interventions [51]         |
| • Expectations of families/society                                      | • Caring for infants and families                                             |
| • Climate of litigation                                                 | • Mentoring future generations of pediatricians/neonatologists               |
| • Increasing requirements of documentation, EHR                         | • Contributing to the body of research to optimize care provided             |
| • Long hours, variability in schedule                                   | • Adaptation of clinical schedule for senior providers                       |
| • Significant physical demands of job, including night-call            | • Leveraging growing technologies, such as telemedicine and EHR to positively impact both patient care and work-life balance |
| • Numerous requirements for ongoing certification/credentialing        | • Higher salary than other specialties in pediatrics                          |
|                                                                        | • Excellent job security                                                     |
younger faculty. Patients, families, trainees, and junior faculty will lose the benefit of these providers’ years of valuable experience.

Similarly, continued investments are needed in neonatologists’ professional development and workplace resources to avoid burnout. Ethical dilemmas and unmet expectations for survival or quality of life take an emotional toll. Factors that may promote resiliency include technological solutions or additional staff support to ease documentation requirements, along with the creation of leadership opportunities [39].

Diverse opportunities for training and professional advancement

The evolution of clinical practice models now permits novel, diverse avenues for professional advancement within NPM. Neonatologists can choose to excel in clinical care, providing holistic care for NICU families and leading interprofessional medical teams. Ensuring ongoing, evidence-based education for APPs, as well offering opportunities to participate in QI, teaching, and research, may help engage and retain these individuals in the NPM workforce. Some neonatologists find passion in education. Adept educators adjust their strategies to incorporate alternative methodologies, such as simulation and flipped classroom, to meet the needs of present-day trainees learning to think critically and navigate academic terrain. Educators must partner with PS colleagues to ensure physician trainees receive the optimal balance of clinical exposure and opportunities for scholarly investigation. Researchers may find their passion in identifying new treatments for medically complex patients, or ensuring that follow-up of NICU graduates informs future care. The creation of national and international collaboratives with access to large patient populations may rapidly advance knowledge and optimize practice.

Neonatologists can refocus their careers to mitigate changing personal needs, enhance work/life integration or pursue new interests. Division leaders may need to adapt existing positions to retain experienced providers and attract trainees, preserving and enhancing the workforce. Multi-site practice models create options for career advancement, including leadership roles at individual sites, as well as oversight for the larger practice’s administrative, investigative, and QI efforts.

Expanding areas of scholarly activity for clinicians, CE, and PS often require specialized training and protected time. Customizable fellowship tracks may better support individual career development needs and enhance recruitment efforts. For example, a future clinician might only require 2 years of fellowship, similar to the pediatric hospital medicine fellowship [40]. Since medical advances have increased the survival of medically complex neonates, completing additional training in related subspecialty areas may improve patient care and garner recognition for these uniquely skilled clinicians. Examples include dual board certification or additional fellowship training, for example, in Neonatal Hemodynamics or Pediatric Cardiac Critical Care Medicine [41–45]. Trainees seeking exposure to scholarly activity could pursue the traditional 3-year NPM fellowship, with opportunities to pursue coursework, obtain an advanced degree, and complete scholarly projects. Individuals dedicated to pursuing clinical or laboratory-based investigation may obtain specialized research training at their institution (e.g., PhD) or participate in national programs such as the Physician Scientist Development Program [46].

Opportunities to optimize patient care

Institutions can leverage expertise to impact care delivered across networks. Partnerships between tertiary care centers and community NICUs can remain robust through the development of practice guidelines, joint faculty development and QI efforts. These partnerships facilitate access to higher-level care, evidence-based updates across settings, and flexibility in the practice environment.

Black infants have inferior clinical outcomes and increased mortality in comparison to white infants [47, 48]. A recent study demonstrated a significant benefit between patient outcomes and physician-racial concordance, and this benefit increased substantially with increasing patient comorbidities [49]. Increasing the diversity of the NPM workforce to accurately reflect the population and optimize patient care in underrepresented and underserved populations remains an area of critical importance.

While adopted more quickly in ambulatory specialties, telemedicine is gaining popularity in NPM. During the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, telemedicine became more widespread, with allowances for billing with remote supervision. This enhanced providers’ abilities to cover NICUs from home, and for tertiary centers to function as resources for community NICUs. Telemedicine presents an opportunity to expand expert neonatal care to rural/underserved areas and internationally. Potential uses of telemedicine include retinopathy of prematurity screening using remote digital retinal imaging, echocardiogram review and interpretation, subspecialty consultation, high-risk infant developmental follow-up, virtual family-centered rounds, and virtual education/simulation [50]. Future efforts should be directed towards optimizing and leveraging this technology to positively impact patient care as well as providers’ work/life integration.

CONCLUSION

Despite evolving challenges, NPM remains an immensely rewarding career. Neonatologists work collaboratively, treat critically ill patients, educate future generations, and lead scholarly work. Job security is excellent and compensation is higher than in many other pediatric subspecialties. The ability to develop expertise within NPM provides personal and professional satisfaction. National and institutional leaders should build the workforce by retaining experienced providers, attracting future neonatologists, especially URIM and PS candidates, and engaging non-physician providers.
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