Detection of expanded RNA repeats using thermostable group II intron reverse transcriptase
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ABSTRACT

Cellular accumulation of repetitive RNA occurs in several dominantly-inherited genetic disorders. Expanded CUG, CCUG or GGGGCC repeats are expressed in myotonic dystrophy type 1 (DM1), myotonic dystrophy type 2 (DM2), or familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, respectively. Expanded repeat RNAs (ER-RNAs) exert a toxic gain-of-function and are prime therapeutic targets in these diseases. However, efforts to quantify ER-RNA levels or monitor knockdown are confounded by stable structure and heterogeneity of the ER-RNA tract and background signal from non-expanded repeats. Here, we used a thermostable group II intron reverse transcriptase (TGIRT-III) to convert ER-RNA to cDNA, followed by quantification on slot blots. We found that TGIRT-III was capable of reverse transcription (RTn) on enzymatically synthesized ER-RNAs. By using conditions that limit cDNA synthesis from off-target sequences, we observed hybridization signals on cDNA slot blots from DM1 and DM2 muscle samples but not from healthy controls. In transgenic mouse models of DM1 the cDNA slot blots accurately reflected the differences of ER-RNA expression across different transgenic lines, and showed therapeutic reductions in skeletal and cardiac muscle, accompanied by improvements of the DM1-associated splicing defects. TGIRT-III was also active on CCCCGG- and GGGGCC-repeats, suggesting that ER-RNA analysis is feasible for several repeat expansion disorders.

INTRODUCTION

More than 30 human genetic disorders are caused by genomic expansions of tandem repeats (1). At some loci, the expanded repeats cause epigenetic promoter silencing, resulting in loss of function for the expanded allele (2). At other loci the expanded repeats are expressed, causing gain-of-function by repetitive RNA, protein, or both (3–9). As a class, the disorders having RNA gain-of-function tend to have large repeat expansions in introns or in 5′- or 3′-untranslated regions (1). The mechanisms for RNA dominance are believed to involve sequestration of splicing factors, activation of RNA-sensitive signaling pathways, or repeat-associated non-AUG (RAN) translation (10–16).

Two of the leading approaches for treating repeat expansion diseases are to inhibit the transcription or accelerate the degradation of expanded repeat RNAs (ER-RNAs) [reviewed in (17)]. However, reliable methods for monitoring ER-RNA expression or knockdown are lacking, and there is uncertainty about how the disease onset or progression may depend on ER-RNA accumulation, or how much ER-RNA reduction is necessary to improve the clinical signs and symptoms. Since ER-RNA tracts do not have unique priming sites, conventional RT-PCR assays have been targeted to the 5′ or 3′ flanking sequences (18–20). However, the results may not reflect ER-RNA levels in patients, owing to cross detection of transcripts from the wild-type (WT) allele, variability of repeat length in somatic cells (21,22), and persistence of ER-RNA fragments that are disconnected from the flanking sequences. For example, the ER-RNA in DM2 cells is devoid of flanking sequences, presumably due to partial decay of the excised intron in which the repeat tract occurs (23). Detection by northern blot also has technical limitations, as it requires gel separation and transfer of large ER-RNA fragments having variable length (up to 44 000 nts in DM2) (4).

Tandem repeats are ubiquitous in the genome and transcriptome. For example, the human reference genome contains 1,055 CTG•CAG repeats of 18 or more base pairs, of which 301 lie in exons (24). Nevertheless, despite the preponderance of loci expressing non-expanded repeats, we found that total levels of CUG-repeat RNA were higher...
in DM1 muscle than in healthy controls, as determined by RNA slot blots hybridized with CAG-repeat probes (24mers) (25). However, efforts to quantify levels of expanded CUG repeats (CUG^{exp}) were confounded by the variable background in healthy controls.

Here, we examine levels of repetitive RNA by converting ER-RNA to cDNA. We show that TGIRT-III, a thermostable mobile group II intron reverse transcriptase (26), is able to reverse transcribe CUG-, CUG-, GG GCCC and CCCCCG-repeats. By adjusting RTn conditions to suppress off-target cDNA synthesis we obtained disease-specific signals on cDNA slot blots from DM1 and DM2 patients and from (GGGGGCC)$_n$-expressing cells, enabling indirect quantification of ER-RNA.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Tandem repeat plasmids and in vitro transcription**

(CTG)$_{100}$, (CTCT)$_{40}$ and (GGGGCC)$_{40}$ tracts [repeat sequence] of repeats were generated and cloned in plasmid pDWD, as previously described (27). A promoter for T7 RNA polymerase was inserted 37 bp upstream of the repeat tract, and 20 bp downstream in the case of (GGGGCC)$_{40}$ to produce r(GGCCCC)$_{40}$. Length and purity of each repeat tract was confirmed by Sanger sequencing. In vitro transcription of XbaI-linearized plasmid was performed using HiScribe T7 Quick High Yield RNA Synthesis Kit (New England Biolabs). Template was removed using DNase I by ethanol precipitation of RNA. The in vitro transcribed ER-RNAs were assessed by capillary and polyacrylamide TBE-urea gel electrophoresis (see below).

**RNA isolation and reverse transcription (RTn)**

RNA was isolated from tissue or cells using Tri-Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) and treated with DNase I (Qiagen), as previously described (28,29). RTn was performed using 0.5 µg of in vitro transcript or 2 µg of total cellular RNA and primed with CAG-, CAGG-, GGCCCC- or GGGGCC-repeat primers listed in Table 1 (1.0 µM primer for in vitro ER-RNA transcripts, 0.5 µM for total cellular RNA). Where indicated the repeat primers were RNA/DNA chimeric oligonucleotides (‘hybrid primers’) comprised of RNA except for 4 nt of DNA at the 3’ end. Hybrid primers were used to reduce both primer carry through and detection of off-target cDNA extension in slot blots, as described below. Alternatively, RTn of in vitro transcripts was primed using a non-repeat primer that anneals 3’ of the repeat tract (0.1 µM). Where indicated the primers were 5’ end-labeled with HEX or IRD-700 fluorophores. For Superscript III (SS-III) (ThermoFisher) the RTn was performed in 20 µl reactions containing 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.4, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl$_2$ and 0.5 mM dNTPs (dCTP, dATP and dGTP). dTTP was omitted and 0.05 mM dideoxy-UTP (ddUTP) (TriLink Biotechnologies) or FAM-ddUTP (Enzo Life Sciences) was included to terminate extension beyond the repeat tract. dATP was also omitted for RTn of GGGGCC- and GCCCCC-repeats. Components were brought to 80°C (90°C for GGGGCC templates) for 2 min then ramp cooled over 45 min to 25°C (GeneAmp PCR system 9700, Applied Biosystems) before addition of 10 mM DTT and 200 U SS-III enzyme. Extension was performed at 55°C for 20 min. The RNA template and RNA component of hybrid primer were then removed by digestion with RNase H and RNase A (Qiagen) for 15 min at 37°C.

Purified recombinant TGIRT-III was prepared as previously described (26) or obtained from IngeX, St. Louis, MO, USA. The template RNA was mixed with indicated primer in 450 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, brought to 80°C (or 90°C for GGGGCC templates) for 2 min, ramp cooled over 45 min to 25°C, and then placed on ice. After addition of 5 mM DTT and 560 U TGIRT-III, reactions were held on ice for 30 min. dNTPs and dideoxy-UTP were then added as described above. RTn mix was brought to 50°C, then increased by 2°C increments every 2 min to 60°C, and then held at 60°C for a total extension time of 20 min. RNA was then removed using RNase H and RNase A as described above.

**Analysis of cDNA products by capillary or gel electrophoresis**

Residual primers were removed on silica columns (Zymo Research). cDNAs generated with HEX-labeled primers or FAM-ddUTP were analyzed by capillary electrophoresis (Genewiz). cDNAs generated with IRD-700-labeled primers were separated on 6% polyacrylamide urea gels and analyzed by infrared laser scanning (Odyssey, LI-COR).

**cDNA slot blots**

After RNase A/H treatment, cDNA samples were mixed with 400 µL of denaturing buffer (0.5 M NaOH and 10 mM EDTA) and then applied to Hybond-N+ membrane (GE Healthcare) using a Bio-Dot SF slot blot manifold (Bio-Rad). Prior to sample application the membrane was soaked in denaturing buffer. Following application of sample, the slots were rinsed with 400 µL denaturing buffer. The membrane was removed from the manifold, rinsed with 2× saline–sodium citrate (SSC) for 2 min, then baked at 120°C for 20 min, then soaked for 30 min at 70°C in pre-hybridization buffer [2× SSC, 0.1% N-lauroyl sarcosine, 0.2% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 10% blocking reagent (Roche; Cat #: 11096176001), and 1% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)]. Digoxigenin-labeled locked nucleic acid (LNA) probe having the indicated repeat sequence (10 pmol/ml; Table 1) was added and hybridized overnight at 70°C (or 75°C for GGGGCC probe). The membrane was then washed twice in low stringency buffer (2× SSC, 0.1% SDS) for 5 min at room temperature, followed by two 15-min incubations in pre-heated higher stringency buffer (0.5× SSC, 0.1% SDS) at 70°C. The membrane was rinsed in 50 ml wash buffer (0.1 M maleic acid, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.3% Tween-20, pH 7.5 with NaOH) at room temperature for 2 min, then in 25 ml blocking buffer (0.1 M maleic acid, 0.15 M NaCl, pH 7.5, 10% blocking solution (Roche)) for 30 min with shaking. Anti-Digoxigenin-AP Fab antibody fragment was added to blocking buffer (1:20 000; Roche Cat#: 11093274910) and held for 30 min at room temperature. The membrane was rinsed twice with washing buffer for 15 min then equilibrated for 3 min in detection buffer (0.1 M Tris–HCl, 0.1 M NaCl, pH to 9.5 with NaOH), then placed in 1 ml CDP-Star
Table 1. Primer and probe sequences

| Name | Type | Sequence (5′→3′) |
|------|------|------------------|
| pDWD-specific *, † | DNA RT primer | CAGGGTGTTACGCAAGAC |
| pDWD-specific hybrid | rna/DNA RT primer | cggggtggtacagcAGAC |
| (CAG)6+1 | DNA RT primer | CAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGC |
| (CAGG)6+2 * | DNA RT primer | cagcgagcagcagcAGC |
| (GGGGCC)3+3 * | DNA RT primer | CAGGCAAGCAGCAAGCAGCA |
| (GGCCCC)3+3 * | DNA RT primer | cagcgagcagcagcAGC |
| (CAGG)4+2 hybrid rna | DNA RT primer | GGCGGGCGGGCGCCCGGC |
| (GGCCCC)3+3 hybrid rna | DNA RT primer | ggcgcgcgggccccggcccCGGC |
| (CAGG)4+2 hybrid rna | DNA RT primer | CAGGGCGGGCGGGCGGGCCGG |
| (GGCCCC)3+3 hybrid rna | DNA RT primer | cggggggggggccccggcccCGGC |
| mSerca1 Ex22-F | | |
| hDMPK 3 | | |
| hDMPK 3 | | |
| mTmem63b Ex5-F | | |
| mClcn1 Ex7A-R RT-PCR splicing primer | | |
| mClcn1 Ex7A-F | | |
| mTmem63b Ex5-F | | |
| mTmem63b Ex5-R | | |
| mCacna1s Ex5-R | | |
| mCacna1s Ex29-F | | |
| mCacna1s Ex29-R | | |

Key: N = DNA, n = RNA, N = LNA. RT primers 5′-end-labeled with HEX (*), FAM (†), IRD-700 (‡), or Digoxigenin (Δ).

Transgenic mice

Mice were studied in an Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care (AAALAC)-accredited facility using procedures approved by the institutional animal welfare committee. Human skeletal actin-long repeat (HSA LR, ∼220 CTG repeats in the 3′ UTR) and human skeletal actin-short repeat (HSA SR, 5 CTG repeats) transgenic mice were previously described (3). Human skeletal actin transgenic mice with extra-long CTG repeats (extra-long repeat, HSA XLR) were generated by targeted integration of the ACTA1 transgene at the ROSA26 locus. The transgene design is similar to HSA LR transgenic mice, except that the repeat tract is twice as long (CTG-440 versus CTG-220) and the expression of CUG RNA is conditional. As initially derived, transcription of the long repeat (HSALR, 5 CTG repeats) transgenic mice were previously described (3). Human skeletal actin-short repeat (HSA SR) transgenic mice treated with ASO 190401 as previously described (18) using subcutaneous injection of 25 mg/kg twice weekly for 4 weeks. The ASO targets sequence in the coding region of the HSA LR and HSA XLR transgenes. LC15 transgenic mice were treated with ASO 445569 75 mg/kg twice weekly for 6 weeks. This ASO targets sequence in the 3′ UTR of the LC15 transgene. Mice were euthanized for tissue harvest two days following the last injection.

Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR)

Transgene mRNA was quantified using Taqman gene expression mastermix (ThermoFisher) and the primer/probe set for HSA LR, HSA XLR, HSA NR and HSA SR: 2′-O-(2-Methoxyethyl) phosphorothioate ASOs 190401 and 445569 were previously described (18,30). These ASOs were a gift from Dr F. Bennett at Ionis Pharmaceuticals, Carlsbad, CA, USA. HSA LR and HSA XLR mice were treated with ASO 190401 as previously described (18) using subcutaneous injection of 25 mg/kg twice weekly for 4 weeks. The ASO targets sequence in the coding region of the HSA LR and HSA XLR transgenes. LC15 transgenic mice were treated with ASO 445569 75 mg/kg twice weekly for 6 weeks. This ASO targets sequence in the 3′ UTR of the LC15 transgene. Mice were euthanized for tissue harvest on two days following the last injection.

Reagent (Roche Cat#: 12041677001) for 5 min at room temperature, dried and exposed using BioBlot BXR film (Laboratory Product Supply). Films were scanned on a HP Scanjet 5590 (Hewlett-Packard) and images quantified using ImageJ using densitometry (NIH).

Cell line expressing GGGGCC repeats

Mouse N2a neuroblastoma cells were stably transfected with construct LC19 (no repeats) or LC21 (160 GGGGCC repeats) using PhiC31 integrase-mediated insertion of minicircle DNA (Supplemental Figure S2). Cells were cultured at 37°C and 5% CO2 in DMEM, 10% fetal bovine serum, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco).

Antisense oligonucleotide (ASO) knockdown of CUG-repeat RNA in transgenic mice

- 2′-O-(2-Methoxyethyl) phosphorothioate ASOs 190401 and 445569 were previously described (18,30). These ASOs were a gift from Dr F. Bennett at Ionis Pharmaceuticals, Carlsbad, CA, USA. HSA LR and HSA XLR mice were treated with ASO 190401 as previously described (18) using subcutaneous injection of 25 mg/kg twice weekly for 4 weeks. The ASO targets sequence in the coding region of the HSA LR and HSA XLR transgenes. LC15 transgenic mice were treated with ASO 445569 75 mg/kg twice weekly for 6 weeks. This ASO targets sequence in the 3′ UTR of the LC15 transgene. Mice were euthanized for tissue harvest on two days following the last injection.
hACTA1-Ex1F, hACTA1-Ex2R and hACTA1-probe, as seen in Table 1. Primer/probe sets for LC15 were hDMPK 3’UTR-F, hDMPK 3’UTR-R and hDMPK 3’UTR-probe (Table 1). Relative quantitation was performed using the \(2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}\) method. Gtf2b (ThermoFisher Mm00663250_m1) was used for normalization of hACTA1, and Gapdh (ThermoFisher Mm99999915_g1) was used for normalization of hDMPK 3’UTR.

RT-PCR splicing analysis

RTn was performed using 1 µg total cellular RNA, Superscript II (ThermoFisher), and oligo dT15 plus random hexamer primers, per the manufacturer’s protocol. PCR was then performed using 5’ FAM-labeled primers flanking mSerca1 exon 22 and mClcn1 exon 7A in quadriceps cDNA (18,31), or mTmem63b exon 5 and mCacna1s exon 29 in heart cDNA (32) (Table 1). The PCR products were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis and detected with a laser fluorimager (Typhoon, GE Healthcare). The products were quantified using ImageQuant software (GE Healthcare).

Statistical Analysis

Comparison of knockdown and multiple displacement amplification efficiency was performed using Student’s \(t\)-test. Alternative splicing was analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by multiple comparisons using Student’s \(t\)-test with Bonferroni correction. All plots show average ± the standard error of the mean (SEM).

RESULTS

RTn of ER-RNA

Slot blot analysis of ER-RNA has the advantage that detection is relatively independent of repeat size or flanking sequence. The disadvantage, however, is that hybridization specificity for probes having tandem repeats is limited, giving rise to signal from non-expanded repeats or repeat-like sequences. In an effort to improve specificity we decided to convert ER-RNA to cDNA. However, this first required that we identify an enzyme capable of RTn on RNA having high GC content and strong propensity to form secondary or higher order structures, such as long hairpins or G quadruplexes (33,34). We focused on TGIRT-III, a thermostable mobile group II intron RT isolated from Geobacillus stearothermophilus, because of its high processivity and good activity on structured templates, such as tRNA (26,35–37). For initial experiments we examined a panel of enzymatically synthesized ER-RNAs, including r(CUG)100, r(CCUG)140, r(GGGGCC)40 and r(GGCCCC)40 (Figure 1), using RTn primers complementary to each of the respective repeat tracts \{denoted (repeat sequence)# of repeats + remainder nts, e.g. (CAG)6+1 for r(CUG)100, (CAGG)4+2 for r(CCUG)140, (GGGGCC)3+3 for r(GGGGCC)40, and (GGGCCC)3+3 for r(GGGGCC)40, shown in Table 1\}. Note that expanded CUG- and CCUG-repeats are expressed in DM1 and DM2, respectively, and that GGGGCC- and CCCCGG-repeats are both expressed in chromosome 9-associated amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and frontotemporal dementia (6,38). The molar ratios of primer:template were set at 5–10:1, depending on the transcript, to partially tile the templates with repeat primers (Figure 2A). Although our initial testing was performed using individual ER-RNAs in isolation, we used conditions designed to minimize off-target cDNA synthesis when later applied to total cellular RNA. For example, we omitted dNTPs not needed for the respective repeat sequence. r(CUG)100 and r(CCUG)140 were reverse transcribed with dCTP, dATP, dGTP, but not
Figure 2. Reverse transcription of enzymatically synthesized ER-RNAs. (A) Diagram of ER-RNA template, multiply primed with 5’ HEX end-labeled oligonucleotides. Representative chromatograms of HEX-labeled cDNA generated by TGIRT-III (B–E) or Superscript-III (F–I). Each trace represents analysis of a single cDNA synthesis.

dTTP, whereas r(GGGGCC)$_{40}$ and r(GGCCCC)$_{40}$ were reverse transcribed with dCTP and dGTP. Also, we included dideoxy-UTP (ddUTP, 0.05 mM) to terminate off-target extension and block template switching.

Initial experiments were performed using HEX-labeled primers for analysis of extension products at high resolution by capillary electrophoresis. TGIRT-III transcribed all four ER-RNA templates, generating a series of cDNAs. As expected, the spacing of peaks on the electropherogram corresponded to the length of the respective repeat motif, 3 nt for r(CUG), 4 nt for r(CCUG), and 6 nt for r(GGGGCC) and r(CCCCGG) (Figure 2B–E). By comparison, Superscript-III (SS-III) also generated repeat cDNAs (Figure 2F–I), but the products from r(CCUG)$_{140}$ and r(GGGGCC)$_{40}$ were skewed towards shorter lengths (Figure 2G–H).
To further examine cDNA elongation and strand displacement, we used a HEX-labeled primer that anneals 3¢ of the repeat tract (Figure 3A). cDNA extension across the repeat tract was performed in the presence or absence of unlabeled repeat primers. In the absence of repeat primers, SS-III produced a full-length cDNA from r(CUG)100, but also generated a broad range of incomplete extension products (Figure 3D, upper). However, the production of full-length cDNA was inhibited when CAG-repeat primers were present (Figure 3D, lower). Notably, SS-III produced neither full-length nor incomplete extension products on the r(GGGGCC)40 template, regardless of whether CCCCCGrepeat primers were present (Figure 3E, three independent experiments).

In contrast to SS-III, TGIRT-III produced full-length cDNAs on both r(CUG)100 and r(GGGGCC)40, without noticeable levels of incomplete extension, irrespective of whether intervening repeat primers were present (Figure 3B and C). Similarly, when cDNAs were analyzed on denaturating polyacrylamide gels, TGIRT-III was found to generate full-length cDNAs from the r(CUG)100 and r(GGGGCC)40 templates, even when repeat primers were pre-annealed (Supplemental Figure S3). Taken together, these results indicate that TGIRT-III is capable of primer extension and strand displacement on high-GC repeat templates, consistent with previous observations that TGIRTs have high strand displacement activity, as evidenced by their ability to reverse transcribe efficiently through a highly structured group II intron RNA (26) and give full-length, end-to-end reads of tRNAs, which is not possible for retroviral RTs (37,39).

Next, we examined cDNA synthesis using RNA/DNA hybrid primers, designed for post-synthesis removal by ribonucleases. In pilot experiments we determined that TGIRT-III and SS-III were both capable of extending hybrid primers, designed for post-synthesis removal by hybridizing CUG repeat templates with r(CUG)100, followed by ribonuclease A and H (RNase A/H) digest to remove RNA inputs and hybrid primers. When RTn was primed with the all-DNA primer, the cDNA slot blot showed strong hybridization signals from DM1 and non-DM1 samples alike, which likely reflects primer extension from non-expanded repeats, primer carry through on slot blot membranes, or both. In contrast, hybrid primers showed signal from DM1 samples but not from non-DM1 samples (Figure 4C), consistent with d(CAG)n synthesis from expanded but not from non-expanded repeats. Notably, the background signal from non-DM1 samples was also high when cDNA synthesis was performed using all four dNTPs, as compared to three dNTPs plus ddUTP (Figure 4D), indicating that hybrid primers and constrained dNTP mix were both contributing to the disease specificity of the cDNA slot blot.

To further assess specificity and response characteristics of cDNA slot blots, we used RNA from a transgenic mouse (HSALR) that harbors a (CTG)440 tract without expressing it (Figure 5B and supplemental Figure S1). Consistent with previous studies using qRT-PCR (18), the CUGexp expression by cDNA slot blot was higher in quadriceps than tibialis anterior muscle (Figure 5C). Taken together, these results suggest the feasibility of using cDNA slot blots for

**Application of cDNA slot blot to tissue samples**

Next, we tested whether TGIRT-III is capable of reverse transcribing CUGexp RNA when present as a minor species in a complex mixture. First, we examined total cellular RNA from postmortem DM1 cardiac muscle, a tissue showing conspicuous nuclear foci of CUGexp RNA (40). We primed the cDNA synthesis using all-DNA or RNA/DNA hybrid (CAG)n+1 primers, followed by ribonuclease A and H (RNase A/H) digest to remove RNA inputs and hybrid primers. When RTn was primed with all-DNA primer, the cDNA slot blot showed strong hybridization signals from DM1 and non-DM1 samples alike, which likely reflects primer extension from non-expanded repeats, primer carry through on slot blot membranes, or both. In contrast, hybrid primers showed signal from DM1 samples but not from non-DM1 samples (Figure 4C), consistent with d(CAG)n synthesis from expanded but not from non-expanded repeats. Notably, the background signal from non-DM1 samples was also high when cDNA synthesis was performed using all four dNTPs, as compared to three dNTPs plus ddUTP (Figure 4D), indicating that hybrid primers and constrained dNTP mix were both contributing to the disease specificity of the cDNA slot blot.

To further assess specificity and response characteristics of cDNA slot blots, we used RNA from a transgenic mouse (HSALR) that harbors a (CTG)440 tract without expressing it (Figure 5B and supplemental Figure S1). Consistent with previous studies using qRT-PCR (18), the CUGexp expression by cDNA slot blot was higher in quadriceps than tibialis anterior muscle (Figure 5C). Taken together, these results suggest the feasibility of using cDNA slot blots for
Figure 3. Reverse transcription across ER-RNA tracts using TGIRT-III or SS-III. (A) Diagram of ER-RNA showing HEX-labeled primer annealed 3′ of repeat tract and unlabeled primers tiled across the expanded repeat. (B) Representative chromatogram of cDNA generated by TGIRT-III from r(CUG)_{100} in the presence or absence of intervening repeat primers. (C) Representative chromatogram of cDNA generated by TGIRT-III from r(GGGGCC)_{40}, as in (B). (D and E) Representative chromatograms from r(CUG)_{100} and r(GGGGCC)_{40} templates using Superscript-III, as in (B) and (C).
CUG<sup>exp</sup> quantification and again suggest that longer repeats do not generate disproportionately greater ER-cDNA through multiple displacement amplification.

Responsivity of ER-cDNA slot blot to therapeutic intervention

Next, we used cDNA slot blots to examine CUG<sup>exp</sup> knockdown in transgenic mice. We administered RNase H-active ASOs by subcutaneous injection in three independent lines of CUG<sup>exp</sup>-expressing mice. In each case, the targeting sequences for the ASO were located 5′ to the CUG<sup>exp</sup> tract. HSA<sup>LR</sup> transgenic mice were injected with ASO 190401 at 25 mg/kg twice weekly for four weeks as previously described (18). HSA<sup>XLR</sup> mice were treated with the same regimen. In both of these lines the CUG<sup>exp</sup> RNA is expressed exclusively in skeletal muscle. In contrast, LC15 transgenic mice express CUG<sup>exp</sup> RNA predominantly in cardiac muscle. They were treated with ASO 445569 (30) by subcuta-
Figure 6. Responsivity of cDNA slot blot and alternative splicing to treatment with antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs) in transgenic mice. (A) cDNA slot blot of 2 μg total RNA from quadriceps muscle (HSA LR and HSA XLR mice) or left ventricle (LC15 mice) treated with subcutaneous injections of saline (n = 4) or ASO (n = 4 in each group). Negative control samples from HSA SR quadriceps, HSA NR quadriceps, or wild-type (WT) heart are indicated. (B) Relative ER-RNA quantification by cDNA slot blot (black bars) versus qRT-PCR (white bars). Saline-treated groups set to 1 for both assays. (C) RT-PCR analysis of alternative splicing in saline- versus ASO-treated HSALR quadriceps (Serca1 and Clcn1) and LC15 heart tissue (Tmem63b and Cacna1s), as compared to wild-type controls. The mean ± S.D. values of ‘percent spliced in’ for saline versus ASO versus wild-type groups were Serca1 exon 22: 15 ± 2%, 94 ± 2%, 100 ± 0%; Clcn1 exon 7A: 31 ± 2%, 5 ± 1%, 4 ± 2%; Tmem63b exon 5: 46 ± 4%, 66 ± 2%, 84 ± 0%; Cacna1s exon 29: 38 ± 1%, 78 ± 1%, 95 ± 1%). (D) Quantification of (C). ANOVA of Serca1 exon 22 (P = 2.2 × 10^{-9}), Clcn1 exon 7A (P = 4.4 × 10^{-6}), Tmem63b exon 5 (P = 3.1 × 10^{-9}), and Cacna1s (P = 3.7 × 10^{-7}). *Student’s t-test versus WT P < 0.0005, † Student’s t-test versus ASO P < 0.00005.

nerous injection of 75 mg/kg twice weekly for six weeks. We compared results of cDNA slot blots with qRT-PCR to assess transgene silencing in quadriceps muscle (HSA LR and HSA XLR) or heart (LC15). Across all three lines the knockdown efficiency was similar by both assays (Figure 6, n = 4 ASO-treated and four saline-treated mice for each line). We also tested whether the CUG.exp reductions observed on cDNA slot blots were accompanied by improvements of alternative splicing. In HSA LR mice the 66 ± 3% reduction of CUG.exp RNA in skeletal muscle was associated with near-complete normalization of alternative splicing for Serca1 exon 22 and Clcn1 exon 7a (Figure 6C and D), and in LC15 mice the 31 ± 4% reduction of CUG.exp RNA in cardiac muscle was associated with partial correction of splicing regulation for Tmem63b exon 5 and Cacna1s exon 29. These results indicate that cDNA slots are capable of detecting ER-RNA reductions that are therapeutically relevant.

ER-cDNA slot blots of DM1 skeletal muscle

Next, we tested whether CUG.exp expression can be detected in small tissue samples, such as those obtained by needle biopsies in clinical studies. For these experiments we focused on tibialis anterior (TA), a muscle that is preferentially affected by DM1. Once again, TA samples from DM1 patients but not healthy controls showed positive results on cDNA slot blots. Notably, the CUG.exp levels were variable among patients, consistent with the known clinical heterogeneity of DM1 (Figure 7A). Comparison to transgenic mice indicated that levels of CUG.exp RNA in DM1 biopsy samples were 4- to 5-fold lower than in HSA LR mice (Figure 7B).

ER-cDNA slot blot detection of intronic or all-GC repeats

Next, we applied cDNA slot blots to intronic repeats, using muscle biopsy samples from DM2 patients, and to all-GC repeats, using cells that integrated an r(GGGGCC)_160 expression construct. Hybrid primers specific to each repeat sequence were used to generate cDNA, and slot blots
were hybridized with the corresponding repeat probes (Table 1). We observed strong signals on cDNA slot blots from intronic CCUG-repeats in DM2 patients (Figure 7C), and from r(GGGGCC)160-expressing cells (Figure 7D), but not from muscle samples of healthy controls or cells expressing a no-repeat construct.

**DISCUSSION**

RNA dominant effects may potentially occur at any point in the metabolic cycle of ER-RNA, from nascent transcript to decay intermediate, creating a need for analysis methods that are free of assumptions about repeat length or continuity with flanking sequences. Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and RNA slot blots arguably come closest to meeting these objectives, but have trade-offs regarding specificity and precision. For example, FISH probes have photo bleaching, potential for auto-quenching when fluorophores are crowded in loci (42,43), and variable access to nuclear targets in tissue sections, whereas RNA slot blots have limited specificity due to off-target hybridization of repeat probes (25).

Our results show that conversion of ER-RNA to cDNA using TGIRT-III can reduce non-specific background on slot blots. The specificity of the assay stems partly from antisense-repeat primers that are used for priming the cDNA synthesis and sense-repeat probes that are used for hybridizing slot blots. Beyond these measures, several additional conditions were used to limit off-target RTn. These included restricting the pool of dNTPs, including an off-target chain terminator (ddUTP), and using RNA/DNA chimeric primers. Taken together, these conditions can terminate cDNA synthesis from off-target sequences, eliminate cDNA products from short repeats that are spanned by repeat primers, and truncate cDNA products generated from repeats of intermediate length. For example, even if the size limit of CAG-repeats that are retained on the slot blot and detected by repeat probes is as low as 10 nts, then r(CUG)n tracts ≥ 25 nt would be required to generate signal, assuming that the cagcagcagcagcagCAGC (rna/DNA) primers are fully annealed on repeat RNA. Global reference data indicate that 194 human loci have ≥25 nts of CTG repeats, but only 16 of these express CUG-repeats in exons (44,45). To estimate the expected signal from these loci in aggregate, we multiplied the expression level (RNAseq data from 430 muscle samples in the GTEx Project) by the length of the repeat tract (major allele in the 1,000 Genomes Project). Notably, the predicted aggregate signal across non-expanded repeats was 60-fold lower than DMPK having 2000 CTG repeats (Supplemental Table S1), consistent with our slot blot findings in DM1 versus healthy muscle samples.

The studies in DM1 mouse models indicate that results of cDNA slot blots correlate well with conventional qRT-PCR, when compared across (1) muscles having different levels of CUGexp expression; (2) mice treated with ASOs versus saline; and (3) transgenic lines having CTG expansions of different length. Furthermore, a therapeutic intervention causing full or partial correction of CUGexp-induced splicing defects in mice was readily detected on cDNA slot blots. While these observations are useful for validation purposes, the more useful application is for human samples having bi-allelic expression, repeat expansions that are much larger and more heterogeneous, and especially for intronic repeats, where alternative methods are lacking.

While our results suggest that cDNA slot blots may be useful in these situations, the current study has limitations and poses several unresolved questions. First, we observed differences between SS-III and TGIRT-III for reverse transcription of ER-RNAs, consistent with evidence that ER-RNAs form stable hairpins or G-quadruplexes (33,34). Our results fit with the observations that TGIRT-III is active on highly structured templates (26,35,37,39,46), and show that TGIRT-III was more effective for reverse transcribing...
expanded repeats than SS-III. However, it remains possible that other RTs may share this activity. Furthermore, given that both enzymes exhibited RTn for r(CUG)$_{100}$ in templates in isolation, it remains unclear why TGIRT-III but not SS-III generated cDNA slot blot signals from CUG$_{exp}$, expressing mice. Second, our results indicate that multiple displacement amplification does not appreciably occur during ER-cDNA synthesis by TGIRT-III. Presumably this indicates that the overall efficiency of primer annealing, RT initiation, cDNA elongation, and strand displacement was insufficient for multiple displacement amplification, but the underlying biochemical explanations remain unclear. Finally, we observed variable levels of CUG$_{exp}$ accumulation in TA muscle among DM1 patients. It is unknown, however, how these differences relate to the variability of repeat length, DMPK transcription, or CUG$_{exp}$ turnover among patients. Prospective studies in large cohorts are needed to determine whether the levels of ER-RNA are determinative for disease severity in DM1 and DM2.
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