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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Certain hematologic parameters related to blood cells, known as the biomarkers that predict cardiovascular disease, might be potential predictors of erectile dysfunction (ED) due to the shared pathophysiology between ED and cardiovascular disease.

Aim: To investigate the relationship between ED and these hematologic parameters and the clinical significance of hematologic parameters for the diagnosis of ED.

Methods: A total of 113 male patients diagnosed with ED were included in this study. Blood samples were collected before 10:00 AM for blood cells examination, biochemical tests, and sex hormone analysis. Another 212 healthy controls without ED from the health management center was included as the control group. The relationship between hematologic parameters and ED was assessed by comparing differences in body mass index (BMI), biochemical indexes and hematologic parameters between the 2 groups, and the diagnostic value of hematologic parameters for ED was also examined and compared.

Main outcome measures: International Index of Erectile Function, hematologic parameters

Results: The neutrophil count (NC), neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) in ED patients were significantly higher than those in healthy controls, whereas the lymphocyte count (LC) was significantly lower than that in healthy controls. After adjusting for age, BMI, uric acid (UA), fasting blood glucose (FBG), total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), and low-density lipoprotein (LDL), increases in the NC, NLR, and PLR and a decrease in the LC were shown to be independent risk factors for ED. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis showed that the NLR exhibited better diagnostic performance for ED than the other parameters.

Conclusion: Increases in the NC, NLR, and PLR and a decrease in the LC significantly increased the risk of ED. The NC, LC, NLR and PLR could contribute to the diagnosis and assessment of ED. Liao Z, Tang Y, Li X, et al. The Relationship Between Hematologic Parameters and Erectile Dysfunction. Sex Med 2021;9:100401.
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Erectile dysfunction (ED), a common condition, is defined as a persistent inability to reach and maintain an erection hard enough for successful vaginal intercourse. The particularly close association between ED and cardiovascular disease (CVD) has been widely discussed. ED and cardiovascular disease share common risk factors, including age, hypertension, insulin resistance and diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, obesity, metabolic syndrome, smoking, sedentary lifestyle, and depression.

The underlying common pathophysiology (endothelial dysfunction) of ED and CVD or other vascular diseases has been illustrated in previous studies. In endothelial dysfunction, which is a systematic disorder, major vascular beds are uniformly invaded, and the size and diameter of arteries differ in various areas (e.g., arteries in the penis are smaller than those in the heart, brain, and lower limbs). Therefore, because arteries in the penis are less tolerant to the same amount of vessel plaque due to their smaller size, sexual symptoms develop first in patients with endothelial dysfunction. Consequently, coronary artery disease (CAD), stroke, and peripheral artery disease usually occur after the onset of ED, following a significant period ranging from 2–5 years in patients (3 years on average).

As one of the most basic clinical examinations, hematologic tests related to blood cells provide a comprehensive analysis of the red blood cell (RBC), white blood cell (WBC) and platelet systems. Studies have shown that hematologic parameters, such as erythrocytes, hemoglobin (HGB), neutrophils, and platelets, are closely related to the development of endothelial dysfunction and atherosclerosis. These parameters can be used not only to predict the occurrence of cardiovascular, cerebrovascular, and peripheral vascular diseases but also to assess the severity and even the prognosis of such diseases.

As CVD or other vascular diseases and ED share the same pathophysiology, is there any potential relationship between these hematologic parameters and ED? It has not been clearly demonstrated in previous studies, and the association between the lymphocyte count (LC) and ED was not previously reported. In our study, we comprehensively analysed and investigated the relationship between hematologic parameters and ED. Independent risk factors for ED were evaluated after adjusting for confounding factors. Furthermore, the sensitivity and specificity of parameters related to ED prediction were assessed and compared. We also explored trends of these parameters in patients with mild, moderate and severe ED.

**METHODS**

**Participants**

This cross-sectional study, conducted from October 2018–September 2019, was approved by the Ethics Committee of Xiangya Hospital and Third Xiangya Hospital, Central South University. All patients were diagnosed with ED by the same andrologist at Xiangya Hospital using the simplified International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF-5), and all patients had IIEF-5 scores ≤21. All patients had engaged in sexual activities within the past 3 months, and in more than 50% of the incidences of sexual activity, the patients were unable to obtain and/or maintain an erection sufficient to complete satisfactory sexual intercourse. The severity of ED was assessed according to the IIEF score as follows: Mild, 12–21; moderate, 8–11; and severe, 5–7. For all patients, a detailed inquiry including ED as well as previous and current medical conditions was performed, and a physical examination and laboratory tests were carried out. An ED-related medical history was recorded in detail to help determine the presence of any vasculogenic, hormonal, neurogenic, anatomic, structural or other potential factors. Additionally, conditions including pelvic or perineal trauma, spinal cord injury, rectal surgery, genitourinary or pelvic radiotherapy, alcohol consumption, cigarette smoking, and consumption of any drugs, such as antihypertensives, antiandrogens, antipsychotics, antidepressants, and recreational or addictive drugs, that might interfere with erectile function were documented. Physical examinations consisting of a visual examination and palpation of the external genitalia, perineum and inguinal region were conducted. Other measurements, including blood pressure, height and weight, were obtained. Blood samples were drawn before 10:00 a.m. for blood cells examination and other laboratory parameters, such as fasting blood glucose (FBG), blood lipids, sex hormones, and thyroid hormones. Doppler ultrasound of the genital system, including the bilateral testicles, epididymides, prostate, and spermatic veins, was carried out for all patients, and if necessary, measurement of nocturnal penile tumescence and rigidity and/or a penile vascular ultrasound examination were performed. Healthy males from the health management center of Third Xiangya Hospital with IIEF-5 scores ≥21 was included as the control group. Detailed history taking, a hematologic examination and other relevant laboratory measurements were also carried out for the participants in the control group.

To avoid possible age-related factors affecting the accuracy of the results, only participants aged 18–60 years were included. The exclusion criteria were as follows:

(i) Neurologic dysfunction, including stroke, intracranial tumor, traumatic brain injury, spinal cord injury, paralysis, Alzheimer's disease, or Parkinson's disease; (ii) genital anatomic or structural defects, including micropenis, penile tumor, balanoposthitis, or penile cavernous sclerosis; (iii) severe cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases, including heart failure and cerebral infarction; (iv) systemic immune diseases, including ankylosing spondylitis, systemic lupus erythematosus, and rheumatoid arthritis; (v) endocrine diseases, including hyperprolactinemia and hypogonadism; (vi) acute and chronic infectious or inflammatory diseases, including hepatitis and tuberculosis; (vii) a history of psychiatric/psychogenic diseases or antidepressants, antipsychotics administration; (viii) hematologic diseases, including anemia (HGB <115 g/L), leukemia, and lymphoma; and...
(ix) major urogenital surgery including prostatectomy or radical prostatectomy.

Statistical Analysis

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test was used to calculate the normality of the data distribution. Numerical variables are shown as the mean ± SD when normally distributed and as the median (first quartile–third quartile) when non-normally distributed. Categorical variables are expressed as numbers and percentages. Student’s t test and the Mann-Whitney U test were utilized to analyze normally and non-normally distributed data, respectively. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were conducted to determine potential predictors of ED. The sensitivity and specificity of related parameters for predicting ED were assessed by receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis.

The statistical analysis was performed with SPSS Statistics version 23.0 (IBM, SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) and a value of \( P < .05 \) was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, a total of 113 male patients and 212 healthy males in the control group were included in this study. No statistically significant difference was found in age, body mass index (BMI), FBG, total cholesterol (TC), or triglyceride (TG) level between the ED group and the control group. However, the high-density lipoprotein (HDL) level in the ED group was significantly lower than that in the control group \( (1.15 \pm 1.25 \text{ mmol/L}, \ P = .038) \). The uric acid (UA) \( (373.89 \text{ vs } 355.87 \text{ mmol/L}, \ P = .036) \) and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) levels \( (2.97 \text{ vs } 2.86 \text{ mmol/L}, \ P = .038) \) were significantly higher in the ED group than in the control group. Regarding blood cells parameters, the LC in the ED group was significantly lower than that in the control group \( (1.7 \text{ vs } 2.1, \ P < .001) \), while the neutrophil count (NC) \( (3.8 \text{ vs } 3.2, \ P < .001) \), neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) \( (2.06 \text{ vs } 1.57, \ P < .001) \), and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) \( (111.30 \text{ vs } 99.76, \ P = .001) \) were significantly higher than those in the control group. There were no significant differences in the WBC, RBC, and platelet counts, HGB concentration, or red blood cell distribution width (RDW) between the groups, as shown in Table 1.

Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were used to predict risk factors for ED, as depicted in Figure 1. After a adjusting for age, BMI, UA, FBG, TC, TG, HDL and LDL values, the NC (OR = 1.34, \( P = .014) \), NLR (OR = 2.43, \( P < .001) \), and PLR (OR = 1.01, \( P = .001) \) were identified as independent risk factors for ED, whereas the LC was identified as a protective factor (OR = 0.26, \( P < .001) \). ROC curve analyses demonstrated the sensitivity and specificity of the NC, LC, NLR, and PLR for predicting ED. The area under the curve (AUC) was highest for NLR (0.718, confidence interval (CI): 0.659-0.778, \( P < .001) \) among the 4 parameters, while the AUC was lowest for the PLR (AUC: 0.609, CI: 0.545-0.673, "

Table 1. Comparison of clinical and demographic feature between ED and control group

| Characteristics | ED (n = 113) | Control (n = 212) | \( P \) |
|-----------------|-------------|------------------|--------|
| Age (year)†     | 32 (29-37)  | 33 (29-38)       | .443   |
| BMI (kg/m²)*    | 23.57±3.13  | 24.12±2.96       | .119   |
| UA (µmol/L)*    | 373.89±76.36| 355.87±71.36     | .036   |
| FBG (mmol/L)†   | 5.27 (4.85-5.87) | 5.33 (5.09-5.62) | .164   |
| TC (mmol/L)†    | 4.84 (4.27-5.60) | 4.94 (4.25-5.57) | .938   |
| TG (mmol/L)†    | 1.60 (1.08-2.10) | 1.35 (0.95-2.00) | .101   |
| NC (× 10⁹/L)†  | 1.15 (1.00-1.13) | 1.25 (1.11-1.40) | <.001  |
| LDL (mmol/L)†   | 2.97 (2.57-3.49) | 2.86 (2.34-3.48) | .038   |
| WBC (× 10⁹/L)† | 6.2 (5.3-7.3)   | 5.9 (5.1-7.2)    | .282   |
| NC (× 10⁹/L)†  | 3.8 (3.2-4.6)   | 3.3 (2.7-3.9)    | <.001  |
| LC (× 10⁹/L)†  | 1.7 (1.5-2.2)   | 2.1 (1.8-2.5)    | <.001  |
| RBC (× 10¹²/L)*| 5.2±0.4        | 5.1±0.3          | .079   |
| HGB (g/L)†      | 156 (149-161)  | 158 (151-164)    | .059   |
| RDW (%)         | 12.3 (11.9-12.9)| 12.5 (12.2-12.8) | .099   |
| PLT (× 10⁹/L)† | 198 (161-239)  | 215 (185-238)    | .060   |
| NLR             | 2.06 (1.64-2.72)| 1.57 (1.25-1.91) | <.001  |
| PLR             | 111.30 (93.42-139.67) | 99.76 (85.21-121.85) | .001   |

BMI = body mass index; FBG = fasting blood-glucose; HDL = high-density lipoprotein; LC = lymphocyte count; LDL = low-density lipoprotein; NC = neutrophilic cell count; NLR = Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLT = platelet count; PLR = platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; RDW = red cell distribution width; TC = total cholesterol; TG = triglyceride; UA = uric acid; WBC = white blood cell.

†Student’s t test.

§Mann–Whitney U test.
The optimal cut-off value of NLR to predict ED was 1.94, with a sensitivity of 60.2% and specificity of 76.9% (Table 3).

Figure 3 clearly illustrates a relatively flat trend of the NC, LC, NLR, and PLR in patients with mild and moderate ED but the NC, NLR, and PLR were significantly increased and the LC was significantly decreased in patients with severe ED.

DISCUSSION

Penile erection is a complex neurovascular phenomenon with multivariable factors that can be classified as psychogenic, organic, or mixed psychogenic and organic.12 Previously, ED was considered a purely or primarily psychological symptom in most cases.13 However, with the development of diagnostic approaches, current evidence indicates the existence of potential organic risk factors or aetiologies in more than 80% of cases.14

Table 2. Area under the ROC curve

| Test result variables | Area | SD   | P value | 95% CI Lower | 95% CI Upper |
|-----------------------|------|------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| NC                    | 0.622| 0.033| <.001   | 0.558       | 0.686       |
| LC                    | 0.662| 0.032| <.001   | 0.600       | 0.72        |
| NLR                   | 0.718| 0.030| <.001   | 0.659       | 0.778       |
| PLR                   | 0.609| 0.033| <.001   | 0.545       | 0.673       |

CI = confidence interval; LC = lymphocyte count; NC = neutrophilic cell count; NLR = Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLT = platelet count; PLR = platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; SD = standard deviation.

Table 3. The cutoff value, sensitivity and specificity to predict ED

| Parameters | Cutoff value | Sensibility (n%) | Specificity (n%) |
|-----------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|
| NC        | 3.89         | 49.6             | 73.6            |
| LC        | 1.71         | 80.2             | 49.6            |
| NLR       | 1.94         | 60.2             | 76.9            |
| PLR       | 95.66        | 74.3             | 43.4            |

LC = lymphocyte count; NC = neutrophilic cell count; NLR = Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLT = platelet count; PLR = platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio.

P =.001) (Figure 2 and Table 2). The optimal cut-off value of NLR to predict ED was 1.94, with a sensitivity of 60.2% and specificity of 76.9% (Table 3).

Figure 3 clearly illustrates a relatively flat trend of the NC, LC, NLR and PLR in patients with mild and moderate ED but the NC, NLR, and PLR were significantly increased and the LC was significantly decreased in patients with severe ED.

**Figure 1.** Uniivariate (a) and multivariate (b) logistic regression analyses of predictors for erectile dysfunction.
Indeed, it has been widely recognized that vasculogenic factors are extremely common in ED. Due to the close association between ED and CVD or other vascular diseases, it is hypothesized that relevant hematologic parameters that can be used to predict or evaluate CVD may also have great clinical significance for ED. A hematologic examination related to blood cells, as one of the most common and basic examinations in clinical work, may become a time-effective, cost-effective, and convenient method contributing to the prediction, diagnosis and even prognosis of ED. Data from a previous study provide evidence that the WBC count is an independent risk factor for CAD, and it was further demonstrated in another study that the WBC count is associated with vascular atherosclerosis and related to early and advanced measurements. According to a community-based study, the NC is strongly and independently related to death and heart failure after myocardial infarction and can predict death and heart failure events. A review illustrated that poor outcomes in patients with various heart diseases, such as coronary heart disease, acute coronary syndromes and heart failure, are associated with a low LC, although the relevant underlying pathophysiological mechanisms remain unclear.

In our study, the UA level was significantly increased in ED patients, as clearly indicated in previous research. Increased LDL and decreased HDL levels in ED patients have also been reported. Although we observed no significant association between the WBC count and ED, the NC was significantly increased ($P < .001$) and the LC was significantly decreased.

Figure 3. The changing trend of Neutrophil count (NC), neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) and lymphocyte count (LC) in mild, moderate and severe ED.
with the severity of ED need to be further examined in future studies.

An NLR over 1.94 was predictive of ED, with 60.2% sensitivity and 76.9% specificity in our study. In a cross-sectional study to evaluate the predictive value of the NLR for coronary chronic total occlusions, a cut-off of 2.09 was used, which yielded a sensitivity of 61% and a specificity of 69.3%. It seems that the sensitivity for NLR to predict ED is similar to that for NLR to predict coronary chronic total occlusions but the specificity for NLR to predict ED is better. While in another study, the sensitivity of the NLR to predict cerebral ischaemic stroke with a cut-off of 2.55 was 55.3%, but the specificity was much higher (93.6%). Compared to predicting cerebral ischaemic stroke, the result of our study showed that NLR might predict ED with a better sensitivity but a lower specificity. Therefore, additional evidence is required to systematically compare and demonstrate the predictive value of the NLR for ED and vascular diseases.

One of the limitations of our study is that the results may have been more accurate and convincing if the sample size had been larger. In addition, hemato logic parameters can be affected by many other factors, such as active infection or inflammation. These factors might have influenced the accuracy of our results, although we adopted exclusion criteria to avoid potential influences as much as possible. And the potential differences in hematologic parameters between vascular ED and non-vascular ED were not illustrated in our study and should be further investigated in future research.

CONCLUSION

This study indicates that the NC, NLR, and PLR are significantly increased and that the LC is significantly decreased in ED patients. An increased NC, NLR, and LC and a decreased LC are independent risk factors for ED and may contribute to the diagnosis and evaluation of ED.
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