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Abstract

The rapid development of nanoscience and nanotechnology involves polymer films with thickness down to nanometer scale. However, the properties of ultrathin polymer films are extremely different from that of bulk matrix or thin films. It is challenging to distinguish the changes of physical properties in ultrathin films using conventional techniques especially when it locates near the glass transition temperature (\(T_g\)). In this work, we successfully evaluated a series of physical properties of ultrathin polystyrene (PS) films by in situ characterizing the discharging behavior of the patterned charges using electric force microscopy. By monitoring the surface potential in real time, we found that the \(T_g\) of ultrathin PS films is clearly independent of film thickness, which are greatly different from that of thin PS films (film thickness larger than 10 nm).
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Background

Thin polymer films display distinct differences from their bulk matrix, including those related to crystallization [1, 2], physical cross-linking in polymers [3], thermal expansion coefficients [4–7], and physical aging [8–12]. Decreasing the thickness of thin films below 10 nm, the physical and chemical properties of ultrathin films are significantly different in comparison with thin films due to the thickness confinement effect [13–15]. To explore such a thickness-dependent effect, a great deal of effort has been devoted to investigating the molecular mobility and relaxation dynamics within ultrathin polymer films [14, 16–18]. Previous studies have revealed that the surface relaxation dynamics in ultrathin films were apparently different from that in bulk materials and normal thin films [19, 20]. The glass transition temperature (\(T_g\)) of ultrathin films was far below the bulk \(T_g\) due to the enhanced mobility near the free surface [13, 21–24]. For instance, the \(T_g\) of normal thin polystyrene (PS) films deposited on silicon substrate was found to drop by 20 K from the bulk \(T_g\), while the \(T_g\) almost dropped 70 K for freely standing thin PS films [25]. A high surface-to-volume ratio, in which the ultrathin films are dominated by the surface properties, can considerably affect the relaxation dynamics near the interface [26–29].

The anomalous thermal behavior observed in thin films is closely related to the presence of surface and interfaces [10]. Two models [7, 11, 12, 22, 30–33], named as the three-layer model and the two-layer model, have been widely used to explain the decrease of \(T_g\) for nano-confined polymer films. In the three-layer model, the interfacial layer, which is also described as the dead layer, plays a great role in the relaxation behavior [12, 34], and the conformation of the polymer chain within interfacial layer is affected by the polymer-substrate interaction. Polymer chains in the middle layer are confined in the matrix, exhibiting the intrinsic characteristics of the polymer matrix assumed to be the bulk \(T_g\) [32]. When the film thickness decreases to several nanometers, the interfacial layer has disappeared; the thin polymer film then could be illustrated by the two-layer model. In the two-layer model, the top layer, which is also regarded as a liquid-like layer, is supposed to enhance the mobility of polymer chains and induce the decrease of \(T_g\) [29].

Various kinds of techniques have been attempted to quantitatively measure the \(T_g\) of ultrathin polymer films...
Particularly, the molecular motion and relaxation dynamics in ultrathin polymer films have been extensively investigated by many groups using some intriguing techniques [18]. By using X-ray reflectivity, Tsukasa et al. found that the $T_g$ of ultrathin films was almost independent of film thickness when it fell below 10 nm [18]. Keddie measured the $T_g$ of ultrathin PS films with the thickness less than 20 nm using ellipsometry and found that the $T_g$ was depressed from the bulk values. [7] However, it is still challenging to investigate the relaxation dynamics and $T_g$ shifts in ultrathin films, since the differences in properties near $T_g$ become rather smaller when using the traditional techniques as stated above [39]. Increasing attention is then paid to atomic force microscopy (AFM) method, which has the advantages of systematically measuring relaxation dynamics based on the mechanical and electrical characteristics simultaneously with the observation of surface morphologies. For example, Akabori et al. demonstrated how the surface relaxation behavior depended on the thickness of PS films using lateral force microscopy (LFM) [10]. Thermal molecular motion at the outermost surface of the PS film was directly measured using scanning viscoelasticity microscopy (SVM) [35]. The $T_{surf}$, which is defined as the glass transition temperature at the surface, decreased compared to the bulk $T_{bulk}$ [40].

In this work, patterned charges were introduced onto the ultrathin PS films (less than 10 nm) by selectively charging with a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) stamp. The surface potential decay (SPD) of the patterned charges is in situ monitored using electric force microscopy (EFM). Compared to traditional methods, the discharging behavior is more sensitive to the very small-scale motion of polymer chains, especially in the ultrathin films, and the discharging rate is closely related to the relaxation status of the polymer films. Hence, the relationship between relaxation dynamics and the behavior of the charge decay is identified in ultrathin PS films, which is also proved to be greatly different from that of normal thin PS films. The direct observation of charge decay behaviors in ultrathin polymer films provides a more sensitive approach to study the relaxation and glass transition behaviors of polymer chains, and to quantify a series of physical properties in ultrathin films.

Methods
Materials
All materials and chemicals are commercially available and used as received. PS ($M_w = 4000$) was purchased from Alfa Aesar, and chlorobenzene was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Beijing Co. The single-side polished silicon wafer (<100>) doped with phosphorus ($2 \sim 4 \ \Omega \ cm$) was purchased from Silicon Quest International. Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS, Sylgard 184, Dow Corning Co.) was used to fabricate the PDMS stamp.

Fabrication of Charge Patterns
Ultrathin PS films were prepared by spin-casting PS solution on silicon wafers. The thickness of the ultrathin PS films was determined by changing the concentration of PS solution in chlorobenzene and the spin-coating speed. Charge patterns were generated on the ultrathin PS films using an electric microcontact printing (e-$\mu$CP) technique [37]. A micro-patterned PDMS stamp was needed to inject charges in the specific areas on ultrathin film [36].

The schematic fabrication processes of PDMS stamps and patterned charges were illustrated in Fig. 1. PDMS prepolymer was poured on an optical lithographed silicon wafer with micro-structures and cured at a temperature of 348 K for 2 h. Then, the cured PDMS polymer was peeled off from the silicon substrate, and a 10-nm Cr adhesive layer and 100 nm Au conductive layer was deposited on it, forming a conductive micro-patterned PDMS stamp. The conductive PDMS stamp was then placed in close contact with the PS film supported on Si substrate to form a parallel-plate capacitor. A Keithley 2400 source meter supplied a 10 kV cm$^{-1}$ electrical field between the PDMS stamp and the silicon substrate. The charge patterns were successfully fabricated and characterized using EFM.

SPD Measurement
All topographies and surface potential images in this study were recorded using a Dimension Icon system (Bruker, USA) with an in situ heater/cooler accessory. A low relative humidity between 20% and 30% was controlled by trial and error to ensure that the patterned charges were stored in a stable status. The charges decay properties
were characterized in situ using EFM. A 30 μm × 30 μm area was scanned to obtain sufficient patterns for reliable statistics. The measurements were conducted at a constant heating rate of 2 K/min starting from the room temperature (298 K) to the desired temperature. An adequate waiting time of 3 min and a temperature interval of 10 K are adopted to avoid possible measuring errors by trial and error. The surface potential was measured, and the histograms of the captured data were counted to get accurate and reliable results.

**Results and Discussion**

Charge patterns in accord with the electrode template are successfully fabricated as illustrated in Fig. 1b. The ultrathin PS film is scratched to create some grooves for the measurement of film thicknesses (Fig. 2a). As an excellent polymer electret, PS film is able to store electric charges for a long time. It should be noted that only the areas contacted by the stamp can be electrically injected. Charge patterns are successfully fabricated without any morphological deformation, as shown in Fig. 2b. Both positive and negative charges with uniform patterns could be successfully injected onto the ultrathin PS films, as shown in Fig. 2c, d. Unless otherwise specified, negative charge patterns are chosen in the following experiments, owing to their long life time, than positive charge patterns at a given temperature.

The in situ surface potential images corresponding to electric charges with time extension or against temperature increase are recorded by EFM (Fig. 3). Accordingly, the charge decay is slow at low temperature, while it is much earlier. This phenomenon is also confirmed by other reported researches [18, 22, 41].

The temperature dependence of the discharging behavior is crucial to ultrathin film relaxation properties, especially at the segmental level. The discharging behavior is closely related to the polymer chain mobility, which are intensified under temperature stimulation especially for ultrathin films. Fig. 4b illustrates the isothermal SPD versus time at different constant temperatures of 303, 308, and 318 K for ultrathin PS film (6 nm). The inset of normalized results shows that the charge dissipation tendencies are nearly the same at 303, 308, and 318 K. However, as to normal thin PS films (more than 10 nm), higher temperature leads to sharper decay behaviors. Moderate temperatures of 328, 338, and 348 K are chosen for normal thin PS films of 20 nm, and the isothermal SPD curves over time at different temperature are illustrated in Fig. 4c. The charge decay behavior is accelerated under a higher temperature, which is caused by the intensification of polymer chain mobility under temperature stimulation.

The temperature-dependent SPD curves for ultrathin PS films with different film thicknesses are illustrated in Fig. 5a. The discharging behaviors are quite similar when ultrathin PS film thickness reduces from 10 to 6 nm. Both linear and very sharp decay tendencies are obtained when the temperature increases from room temperature (298 K) to 328 K. With temperature continuously increasing, the sharp discharging behaviors tend to be gentle until patterned charges are nearly exhausted. According to the previous studies, the interface layer which is near the substrate could be negligible [11, 18], while the top layer and middle layer are regarded as the free surface layer and bulk layer [42], respectively. Polymer chains on the top surface layer have larger free space and higher mobility, which is much more active and independent of the bulk-
like layer [10, 35, 39, 43, 44]. Hence, there is fast dynamics near the free surface compared to normal thin films and bulk matrix [13, 23, 45, 46], and most charges dissipate very quickly at the beginning stages. However, with the continuous dissipation of patterned charges, a reduction in the driving forces for the segmental relaxation could lead to a reduced discharging behavior, which conversely weakens the discharging behavior.

The discontinuous changes in the physical properties are always regarded as the glass transition temperature. For example, similar researches have proved that the temperature at the discontinuous changing of thermal expansivity or fluorescence intensity is regarded as the $T_g$ [4, 18, 22, 47–50]. The transition temperatures in Fig. 5a are regarded as the $T_g$ for ultrathin PS film with different film thicknesses. However, the $T_g$ (328 K) in Fig. 5a is almost independent of thickness for ultrathin PS films, when the thickness is less than 10 nm. This result is also consistent with previously reported researches [18]. The two-layer model also indicates that the free surface layer (which is also regarded as liquid-like layer) exists when the thickness is below 10 nm, and it is independent of the overall film thickness [51]. Therefore, the film thickness of ultrathin PS films shows nearly independent of the discharging behaviors [22].

The observation of film thickness independences of $T_g$ in ultrathin PS films is abnormal compared to reported results of normal thin polymer films, including PS thin films [4, 7, 32]. We then conduct experiments to identify the $T_g$ of normal thin PS films, and the results are shown in Fig. 5b. Charge decay tendencies of normal thin PS films are well-defined exponential curves. A slow decay is observed at lower temperature, which is supposed to be related to confined movement of polymer segments. As the temperature increases, the movements of molecular chains are intensified, resulting in a sharp decay over the temperature range from 323 to 358 K. When the temperature further increases above 358 K, the SPD curves remain constant, which should be related to that the polymer main chains completely relax and trapped charges exhausted.

The SPD curves could be fitted as an exponential equation, in which the surface potential is as a function of temperature. The $T_g$ of normal thin PS films could be calculated by the following equation:

$$\phi = \phi_r + \frac{\phi_0 - \phi_r}{1 + e^{(T - T_d)/dT}}$$

(1)

Where $\phi$ is the measured surface potential of the thin PS film, $\phi_0$ is the initial surface potential (the patterned charges), and $\phi_r$ is the residual potential when the discharging curves approaching flat. $T$ is the applied temperature on the PS films, and $dT$ is the temperature width of glass transition region. $T_d$ is the $T_g$ that related to film thickness, at which charge decay is fastest. The results in Table 1 show the calculated $T_g$ shifts with decreasing film thickness, which are far lower than the $T_g$ of the bulk matrix. The $T_g$ of bulk PS film in this work is estimated to be 363 K using the differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). However, when the film thickness falls below 10 nm, the $T_g$ remains constant and is also far lower than the one for normal thin PS films and bulk material.

For normal thin films, the structural relaxations, which are associated with a variety of small dynamics, is influenced by the interfacial and size confinement effects [22, 52]. However, it is regarded that there are only free surface layers and bulk-like layer for ultrathin polymer films [19], which results in weak interactions between the polymer chains.

In order to clearly interpret the polymer chain mobility and the discharging behavior with film thickness decreasing, a schematic illustration is proposed as shown in Fig. 6. The discharging of patterned charges is closely related to the polymer chain’s mobility. The SPD tendencies are monitored in situ using EFM, as shown in Fig. 6a–c, and the relaxation dynamics and $T_g$ could be estimated.
Two model theories are proposed to explain the phenomenon of the $T_g$ depression with film thickness reducing. It is regarded that the dead layer in the three-layer model has almost no mobility [22]. Therefore, the shift of $T_g$ is contributed both by surface layer ($T_{\text{surf}}$) and bulk layer ($T_{\text{bulk}}$), in which the surface layer is thought as a region of enhanced mobility [28, 29]. Polymer chain ends at the air-polymer interface tends to move toward the surface, which leads to the increase of free volume and acceleration of chain mobility [30]. Therefore, the $T_{\text{surf}}$ of surface is much lower than the $T_{\text{bulk}}$ [38, 40]. The film thickness dependence of the $T_g$ is illustrated as following [18]:

$$
T_g = \begin{cases} 
T_{\text{surf}} & \text{for } D \leq A \\
\frac{1}{2} T_{\text{surf}} + \frac{1}{2} T_{\text{bulk}} & \text{for } D > A 
\end{cases} 
$$

(2)

Where $D$ is the total thickness of the films and $A$ is the surface thickness (free surface layer) of polymer film.

**Table 1** The $T_g$ of PS films with various film thicknesses

| Film thickness/nm | 78 | 43 | 22 | 10 | 9 | 6 |
|-------------------|----|----|----|----|---|---|
| Transition temperature ($T_g/K$) | 343 | 342 | 339 | 328 | 328 | 328 |
Therefore, when the film thickness decreases, the relative fraction of $T_{\text{surf}}$ to total $T_g$ increases and leads to an overall decrease of $T_g$ in normal thin PS film [30, 53], as shown in Fig. 6d, e. However, when film thickness continuously decreases below 10 nm, the dead layer disappears (Fig. 6f), the interaction between surface and bulk layer is weak, and the free surface layer contributes mostly to the depression of $T_g$. As has been reported, the thickness of the free surface layer is assumed to be constant, which is independent of the thickness of films [18, 22]. Thus, the $T_g$ of ultrathin PS film keeps constant.

The employment of the charge patterns as a more sensitive indicator to directly monitor the relaxation behavior could be feasible and precise, since the signals can be amplified and the transition points can be easily observed. However, it is worth noting that there is still some controversy about the relaxation dynamics and $T_g$ of ultrathin polymer films. More challenging and rigorous studies should be conducted to quantitatively calculate the $T_g$ of ultrathin polymer films.

**Conclusions**

In summary, the relaxation properties and $T_g$ of ultrathin PS film are characterized by directly monitoring the properties of the charge decay. When film thickness falls below 10 nm, linear discharging behaviors are obtained, and the $T_g$ of ultrathin PS films is clearly independent of film thickness. This phenomenon is greatly different from that of the normal thin PS films, in which the $T_g$ depresses with decreasing film thickness. To sum up, the discharging behavior of patterned charges provides a more precise approach to directly observe the relaxation dynamics and detect the $T_g$ both for ultrathin and thin PS films. These results could be beneficial for understanding the relaxation dynamics of ultrathin polymer films, especially when the glass transition is considered.

**Abbreviations**

AFM: Atomic force microscopy; DSC: Differential scanning calorimetry; EFM: Electric force microscopy; eµ-CP: Electric microcontact printing; LFM: Lateral force microscopy; PDMS: Polydimethylsiloxane; PS: Polystyrene; SPD: Surface potential decay; SVM: Scanning viscoelasticity microscopy; $T_g$: Glass transition temperature; $T_{\text{surf}}$: Glass transition temperature at surface

**Acknowledgements**

This research was financially supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2016YFC0207104), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 121528501, 21304107), Science-technology Program of State Grid Corporation of China(521700140004), the Danish National Research Foundation, and the AUFF NOVA project.

**Author’s Contributions**

XQQ performed all the AFM measurement and data analysis and wrote the manuscript. ZHL provided valuable discussions and helped with the result analysis. LG, QL, YPW, MNZ, and MDD contributed in the analysis and interpretation of the data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Competing Interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Publisher’s Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Author details**

1. Department of Chemistry, Renmin University of China, 100872 Beijing, China. 2. Interdisciplinary Nanoscience Center (iNANO), University of Aarhus, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark.

**Received:** 29 January 2017 **Accepted:** 22 March 2017 **Published online:** 07 April 2017

**References**

1. Reiter G, Castelein G, Sommer JU, Rottele A, Thurn-Albrecht T (2001) Direct visualization of random crystallization and melting in arrays of nanometer-size polymer crystals. Phys Rev Lett 87:22610
2. Wang HP, Keum JK, Hiltner A, Baer E (2009) Confined crystallization of PEO in nanolayered films impacting structure and oxygen permeability. Macromolecules 42:7055–7066
3. Kim SD, Torkelson JM (2002) Nanoscale confinement and temperature effects on associative polymers in thin films: fluorescence study of a telechelic, pyrene-labeled poly(dimethylsiloxane). Macromolecules 35:5943–5952
4. Singh L, Ludovice PJ, Henderson CL (2004) Influence of molecular weight and film thickness on the glass transition temperature and coefficient of thermal expansion of supported ultrathin polymer films. Thin Solid Films 449:231–241
5. Kahle O, Welsch U, Metzer H, Bauer J, Uhlig C, Zawatzki C (1998) Glass transition temperature and thermal expansion behaviour of polymer films investigated by variable temperature spectroscopic ellipsometry. Thin Solid Films 313–314:803–807
6. Soles CL, Douglas JF, Jones RL, Wu WL (2004) Unusual expansion and contraction in ultrathin glassy polycarbonate films. Macromolecules 37:2901–2909
7. Keddie JL, Jones RL, Corry RA (1994) Size-dependent depression of the glass-transition temperature in polymer-films. Europhys Lett 27:59–64
8. Lin Y, Liu LP, Cheng JQ, Shangguan YG, Yu WW, Qiu BW, Zheng Q (2014) Segmental dynamics and physical aging of polystyrene/silver nanocomposites. RSC Adv 4:20086–20093
37. Jacobs HO, Whitesides GM (2001) Submicrometer patterning of charge in thin-film electrets. Science 291:1763–1766
38. Kajiyama T, Kawaguchi D, Tanaka K (2003) Polymer chain diffusion at a temperature below its bulk glass transition temperature. Chinese J Polym Sci 21:141–146
39. Kawana S, Jones RL (2001) Character of the glass transition in thin supported polymer films. Phys Rev E 63:021501
40. Tanaka K, Hashimoto K, Kajiyama T, Takahara A (2003) Visualization of active surface molecule motion in polystyrene film by scanning viscoelasticity microscopy. Langmuir 19:6573–6575
41. Hammerschmidt JA, Gladfelter WL, Haugstad G (1999) Probing polymer viscoelastic relaxations with temperature-controlled friction force microscopy. Macromolecules 32:3360–3367
42. Peng DD, Nancy Li RX, Lam CH, Tsui OKC (2013) Two-layer model description of polymer thin film dynamics. Chinese J Polym Sci 31:12–20
43. Yang Z, Clough A, Lam CH, Tsui OKC (2011) Glass transition dynamics and surface mobility of entangled polystyrene films at equilibrium. Macromolecules 44:2924–3000
44. Sasaki T, Misu M, Shimada T, Teramoto M (2008) Glass transition and its characteristic length for thin crosslinked polystyrene shells of rodlike capsules. J Polym Sci Pt B Polym Phys 46:2116–2125
45. Cridar PS, Majewski MR, Zhang J, Oukris H, Israeloff NE (2008) Local dielectric spectroscopy of near-surface glassy polymer dynamics. J Chem Phys 128:044908
46. Mann J, Yu XF, Zhang W, Van Horn RM, Ge JJ, Graha MJ, Harris FW, Cheng SZD (2011) What are the differences of polymer surface relaxation from the bulk? Chinese J Polym Sci 29:81–86
47. Forrest JA, Dalnoki-Veress K, Dutcher JR (1997) Interface and chain confinement effects on the glass transition temperature of thin polymer films. Phys Rev E 56:5716–5717
48. Mattison J, Forrest JA, Borjeisson L (2000) Quantifying glass transition behavior in ultrathin free-standing polymer films. Phys Rev E 62:5187–5200
49. Keddie JL, Jones RL, Cory RA (1994) Interface and surface effect on the glass-transition temperature in thin polymer films. Faraday Discuss 98:219–230
50. Yang Q, Chen X, He ZW, Lan FT, Liu H (2016) The glass transition temperature measurements of polyethylene: determined by using molecular dynamic method. RSC Adv 6:12053–12060
51. Pyle JE, Rohald KA, Baker EA, Roth CB (2010) Physical aging in ultrathin polystyrene films: evidence of a gradient in dynamics at the free surface and its connection to the glass transition temperature reductions. Macromolecules 43:8294–8303
52. Priestley RD, Broadbelt LJ, Torkelson JM (2005) Structural relaxation of polymer glasses at surfaces, interfaces, and in between. Science 309:456–459
53. Fukushima K, Miyamoto T (2000) Glass transitions and dynamics in thin polymer films: dielectric relaxation of thin films of polystyrene. Phys Rev E 61:1743–1748
54. Zeng K, Richert R, Ediger MD (2012) Molecular mobility in supported thin films of polystyrene, polymethyl methacrylate, and poly(2-vinyl pyridine) probed by dye reorientation. Soft Matter 8:1743–1749
55. Hall DB, Hooker JC, Torkelson JM (1997) Ultrathin polymer films near the glass transition: effect on the distribution of relaxation times as measured by second harmonic generation. Macromolecules 30:667–669
56. Forrest JA, Dalnoki-Veress K, Stevens JR, Dutcher JR (1996) Effect of free surfaces on the glass transition temperature of thin polymer films. Phys Rev Lett 77:2002–2005
57. Ediger MD, Forrest JA (2014) Dynamics near free surfaces and the glass transition in thin polymer films: a view to the future. Macromolecules 47:471–478
58. Pu Y, Ge SR, Rafaïlovich M, Sokolov J, Duan Y, Pearce E, Zaitsev V, Schwarz S (2001) Surface transitions by shear modulation force microscopy. Langmuir 17:5865–5871
59. See YK, Cha J, Chang T, Ree M (2000) Glass transition temperature of poly(tert-butyl methacrylate) langmuir-blodgett film and spin-coated film by X-ray reflectivity and ellipsometry. Langmuir 16:2351–2355
60. Grohens Y, Broglio M, Labbe C, David MO, Schultz J (1998) Glass transition of stereoregular polymethylmethacrylate at interfaces. Langmuir 14:2929–2932
61. Kim JH, Jiang J, Zin WC (2001) Thickness dependence of the glass transition temperature in thin polystyrene films. Langmuir 17:2703–2710
62. Dalnoki-Veress K, Forrest JA, de Gennes PG, Dutcher JR (2000) Glass transition reductions in thin freely-standing polymer films: a scaling analysis of chain confinement effects. J Phys IV 10:221–226
63. Forrest JA, Mattison J (2000) Reductions of the glass transition temperature in thin polymer films: probing the length scale of cooperative dynamics. Phys Rev E 61:R56–R58
64. van Zanten JH, Wallace WE, Wu WL (1996) Effect of strongly favorable substrate interactions on the thermal properties of ultrathin polymer films. Phys Rev E 53:R2053–R2056
65. Angell CA (1991) Relaxation in liquids, polymers and plastic crystals—strong/flagile patterns and problems. J Non-Cryst Solids 131:13–31
66. Saitorn N, Takahata A, Kajiyama T (1999) Determination of surface glass transition temperature of monodisperse polystyrene based on temperature-dependent scanning viscoelasticity microscopy. Macromolecules 32:4474–4476
67. Zhao D, Peng JX, Tang XF, Zhang DD, Qiu XH, Yang YL, Wang YP, Zhang MN, Guan L, Cao TB (2013) Charge-induced local dewetting on polymer electrets studied by atomic force microscopy. Soft Matter 9:3702–3709