Ferromagnetism of a Repulsive Atomic Fermi Gas in an Optical Lattice: A Quantum Monte Carlo Study
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Using continuous-space quantum Monte Carlo methods we investigate the zero-temperature ferromagnetic behavior of a two-component repulsive Fermi gas under the influence of periodic potentials that describe the effect of a simple-cubic optical lattice. Simulations are performed with balanced and with imbalanced components, including the case of a single impurity immersed in a polarized Fermi sea (repulsive polaron). For an intermediate density below half filling, we locate the transitions between the paramagnetic, and the partially and fully ferromagnetic phases. As the intensity of the optical lattice increases, the ferromagnetic instability takes place at weaker interactions, indicating a possible route to observe ferromagnetism in experiments performed with ultracold atoms.

We compare our findings with previous predictions based on the standard computational method used in material science, namely density functional theory, and with results based on tight-binding models.

PACS numbers: 05.30.Fk, 03.75.Hh, 75.20.Ck

Itinerant ferromagnetism, which occurs in transition metals like nickel, cobalt and iron, is an intriguing quantum mechanical phenomenon due to strong correlations between delocalized electrons. The theoretical tools allowing us to perform ab-initio simulations of the complex electronic structure of solid state systems, the most important being density functional theory (DFT) [1, 2], give systematically reliable results only for simple metals and semiconductors. The extension to strongly correlated materials still represents an outstanding open challenge [3]. Our understanding of quantum magnetism is mostly based on simplified model Hamiltonians designed to capture the essential phenomenology of real materials. The first model introduced to explain itinerant ferromagnetism is the Stoner Hamiltonian [4], which describes a Fermi gas in a continuum with short-range repulsive interactions originally treated at the mean-field level. The Hubbard model, describing electrons hopping between sites of a discrete lattice with on-site repulsion, was also originally introduced to explain itinerant ferromagnetism in transition metals [5]. Despite the simplicity of these models, their zero-temperature ferromagnetic behavior is still uncertain.

In recent years, ultracold atoms have emerged as the ideal experimental system to investigate intriguing quantum phenomena caused by strong correlations. Experimentalists are able to manipulate interparticle interactions and external periodic potentials independently, allowing the realization of model Hamiltonians relevant for condensed matter physics [6], or to test exchange-correlation functionals used in DFT simulations of materials [7]. Indirect evidence consistent with itinerant (Stoner) ferromagnetism was observed in a gas of $^7$Li atoms [8] when the strength of the repulsive interatomic interaction was increased following the upper branch of a Feshbach resonance. However, subsequent theoretical [9] and experimental studies [10, 11] have demonstrated that three-body recombinations are overwhelming in this regime, and an unambiguous experimental proof of ferromagnetic behavior in atomic gases is still missing. Proposed modifications of the experimental setup that should favor the reach of the ferromagnetic instability include: the use of narrow Feshbach resonances [12, 13], of mass-imbalanced binary mixtures [14, 15], reducing the effective dimensionality with strong confinements [16–18], and adding optical [19] and optical-flux lattices [20].

In this Letter, we use a continuous-space quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) method to investigate ferromagnetism of a 3D two-component Fermi gas with short-range repulsive interspecies interactions in the presence of a simple-cubic optical lattice. At 3/8 filling (a density of 3/4 atoms per lattice site) we obtain the zero-temperature phase diagram as a function of interaction strength and the amplitude $V_0$ of the optical lattice focusing on three phases: paramagnet, partially polarized ferromagnetic, and fully polarized ferromagnet. We do not consider spin-textured [21] and antiferromagnetic phases [7, 22], nor the Kohn-Luttinger superfluid instability.

Performing simulations in continuous space with an external periodic potential, rather than employing single-band discrete lattice models (valid only in deep lattices), allows us to address also the regime of small $V_0$ and to determine the shift of the ferromagnetic transition with respect to the homogeneous gas (corresponding to $V_0 = 0$) [21, 23, 24]. We consider weak and moderately intense optical lattices, where the noninteracting band-gap is small or zero. We find that the critical interaction strength for the transition between the paramagnetic and the partially ferromagnetic phases (blue circles in Fig. 1), as well as the boundary between the partially and fully polarized ferromagnetic phases (black squares), rapidly
decreases when $V_0$ increases. These results strongly support the idea of observing itinerant ferromagnetism in experiments with repulsive gases in shallow optical lattices \cite{20}. A similar enlargement of the ferromagnetic stability region was obtained by means of DFT simulations based on the Kohn-Sham equations \cite{21} with an exchange-correlation functional obtained within the local spin-density approximation (LSDA) \cite{22, 23}. At large lattice depths and interaction strengths, however, we observe quantitative discrepancies between QMC calculations and DFT due to the strong correlations which are only approximately taken into account in DFT methods. This regime, therefore, represents an ideal test bed to develop more accurate exchange-correlation functionals for strongly correlated materials.

This scenario appears to be in contrast with the findings obtained for the single-band Hubbard model, valid for deep lattices and weak interactions, where QMC simulations indicate that the ground-state is paramagnetic \cite{24} (at least up to filling factor 1/4) and stable ferromagnetism has been found only in the case of infinite on-site repulsion \cite{25, 26}. Since at large optical lattice intensity and weak interactions our results agree with Hubbard model simulations (see Supplemental Material \cite{36}), these findings concerning the ferromagnetic transition indicate that the Hubbard model is not an appropriate description for the strongly repulsive Fermi gas in moderately deep optical lattices and that terms beyond on-site repulsion and nearest neighbor hopping play an essential role. It also suggests that the possibility of independently tuning interparticle interactions and spatial inhomogeneity, offered by our continuous-space Hamiltonian, is an important ingredient in explaining itinerant ferromagnetism.

We investigate the ground-state properties of the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \sum_{\mathbf{r}_i} \sum_{\alpha=x,y,z} \left( -\hbar^2 / 2m \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_i}^2 + V(\mathbf{r}_i) \right) + \sum_{\mathbf{r}_i,\mathbf{r}_j} v(\mathbf{r}_{i\sigma},\mathbf{r}_{j\sigma}) , \quad (1)$$

where $\Lambda = \hbar^2 / 2m$, with the atoms’ mass $m$ and the reduced Planck constant $\hbar$. The indices $i_\uparrow$ and $i_\downarrow$ label atoms of the two species, which we refer to as spin-up and spin-down fermions, respectively. The total number of fermions is $N = N_\uparrow + N_\downarrow$, and $r_{i\sigma} = |\mathbf{r}_{i\sigma} - \mathbf{r}_{i\sigma}|$. $V(\mathbf{r}) = V_0 \sum_{\alpha=x,y,z} \sin^2(\alpha \pi/d)$ is a simple-cubic optical lattice potential with periodicity $d$ and intensity $V_0$, conventionally expressed in units of recoil energy $E_R = \Lambda (\pi/d)^2$. $v(\mathbf{r})$ is a short-range model repulsive potential. Its intensity is parametrized by the $s$-wave scattering length $a$, which can be tuned experimentally using Feshbach resonances \cite{33}. Off-resonant interspecies interactions in dilute atomic clouds are negligible since $p$-wave collisions are suppressed at low temperature; hence we do not include them in the Hamiltonian.

We perform simulations of the ground state of the Hamiltonian \cite{11} using the fixed-node diffusion Monte Carlo (DMC) method. The DMC algorithm allows us to sample the lowest-energy wave function by stochastically evolving the Schrödinger equation in imaginary time. To circumvent the sign problem the fixed-node constraint is imposed, meaning that the many-body nodal surface is fixed to be the same as that of a trial wave function $\psi_T$. This variational method provides the exact ground-state energy if the exact nodal surface is known, and in general the energies are rigorous upper bounds which are very close to the true ground state if the nodes of $\psi_T$ accurately approximate the ground-state nodal surface (see, e.g., \cite{34, 35} and the Supplemental Material \cite{36} for more details). Our trial wave function is of the Jastrow-Slater form

$$\psi_T(\mathbf{R}) = D_T(N_\uparrow)D_L(N_\downarrow) \prod_{i_{\uparrow},i_{\downarrow}} f(\mathbf{r}_{i_{\uparrow}i_{\downarrow}}) , \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{r}_1,...,\mathbf{r}_N)$ is the spatial configuration vector and $D_T(i)$ denotes the Slater determinant of single-particle orbitals of the particles with up (down) spin. The orbitals are constructed by solving the single-particle problem in a box of size $L$ with periodic boundary conditions, with and without an optical lattice, obtaining Bloch wave functions and plane waves, respectively. We employ the $N_{\downarrow}(i)$ lowest-energy (real-valued) orbitals for the up (down) spins. For homogeneous Fermi gases the accuracy of the Jastrow-Slater form was verified in Ref. \cite{24} by including backflow correlations, and we have performed preliminary simulations with generalized Pfaffian wave functions \cite{37}, finding no significative energy reduction. In simulations of the ferromagnetic transition of the infinite-$U$ Hubbard model fixed-node re-
sults were compared against exact released-node simulations [38] finding excellent agreement. Furthermore, at large $V_0/E_R$ and small $a/d$ (where our continuous-space Hamiltonian (1) can be approximated by the Hubbard model) our results precisely agree with those of Ref. [29] (see [36]). These comparisons give us confidence that the choice of $\psi_T$ in [41] accurately estimates the ground-state energy. The Jastrow correlation term $f(r)$ is obtained by solving the two-body scattering problem in free space with the potential $v(r)$ and imposing the boundary condition on its derivative $f'(r = L/2) = 0$. With this choice the cusp condition is satisfied. Since $f(r) > 0$, the many-body nodal surface results only from the antisymmetric character of the Slater determinants. We simulate systems of different sizes, up to $L = 6d$ including $N = 162$ fermions, and find that finite-size effects are below statistical error bars if one subtracts the finite-size correction of noninteracting fermions $E_0(N_\uparrow, N_\downarrow) - E_0^{\text{TL}}(P)$, where $E_0^{\text{TL}}(P)$ is the ideal-gas ground-state energy in the thermodynamic limit (TL) at the polarization $P = (N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow)/(N_\uparrow + N_\downarrow)$ [39].

To model the interspecies interaction, we use prevalently the hard-sphere potential (HS): $v(r) = +\infty$ if $r < R_0$ and zero otherwise. At zero temperature, the properties of a dilute homogeneous gas are universal and depend only on the two-body scattering properties at zero energy. These properties are fixed by the $s$-wave scattering length $a$. For the HS model, one has $a = R_0$. As $a$ increases, other details of the potential might become relevant, the most important being the effective range $r_{\text{eff}}$ and the $p$-wave scattering length $a_p$ [40], which characterize scattering at low but finite energy [41]. For homogeneous systems, a detailed analysis of the nonuniversal effects was performed in Refs. [23,27]. Various models with different values of $r_{\text{eff}}$ and $a_p$ were considered, including resonant attractive potentials designed to mimic broad Feshbach resonances with $r_{\text{eff}} \ll n^{-1/3}$ ($n = N/L^3$ is the density) [43]. In this work we consider the limited interaction regime $k_F a \lesssim 1$ ($k_F = (3\pi^2 n)^{1/3}$ is the Fermi wave vector), where differences in the equations of state were found to be marginal (see Fig. 2 lower dataset). In the presence of an optical lattice, the single-particle band structure further complicates the two-body scattering process. To analyze nonuniversal effects in this situation, we compare the many-body ground-state energies in optical lattices obtained using three model potentials with the same $s$-wave scattering length: the HS model; the soft-sphere potential (SS), $v(r) = v_{\text{SS}}$ if $r < R_0$ and zero otherwise, with $R_0 = 2a$ [42]; the negative-power potential (NP) $v(r) = v_{\text{NP}}/r^9$ [43]. In Fig. 2 (upper dataset), we show results for an optical lattice with intensity $V_0 = 3E_R$. Nonuniversal corrections are found to be below statistical error-bars up to values of the interaction parameter where ferromagnetic behavior occurs (see below). In the following, we use the HS model and parametrize the interaction strength with the parameters $k_F a$ and $a/d$, in free space and in optical lattices, respectively. The latter can be compared with the former if one defines $k_F$ with the average density in the optical lattice.

Many theoretical studies of atomic gases in optical lattices have instead adopted discrete lattice models within a single-band approximation and with on-site interactions only. The on-site interaction parameter is usually determined without considering the strong virtual excitations to higher Bloch bands which are induced by short-ranged potentials [45]. This approximation is reliable only if $V_0 \gg E_R$ and $a \ll d$ [46]. In the regime considered in this work higher-band processes are important and they can have a strong impact on the properties of discrete-lattice models [47]. Reference [45] introduced a different procedure to determine the on-site Hubbard interaction parameter which is valid at low filling and effectively takes into account the role of higher bands.

To determine the onset of ferromagnetism using QMC calculations, we perform simulations of population-imbalanced configurations. In Fig. 3 we plot the energy as a function of polarization $P$ for fixed lattice depth $V_0 = 2E_R$ and density $nd^3 = 0.75$ at different interaction strengths. The minimum of the curve $E(P)$ indicates the equilibrium polarization of ferromagnetic domains. At the weakest interaction, the minimum is at $P = 0$, so the system is paramagnetic. For larger $a/d$, we observe minima at finite $P$, allowing us to estimate the critical interaction strength where the transition to the partially ferromagnetic phase takes place. We do not investigate here the order of the transition. Our results

![FIG. 2: Ground-state energy in an optical lattice (upper dataset with full symbols, left and upper blue axes) and in free space (empty symbols, lower and right red axes). Three interatomic potentials are considered: hard spheres (HS, blue and red squares), soft spheres (SS, black circles) and negative-power (NP, green triangles). The ranges of interaction strength in the upper and lower $z$-axes coincide if one defines $k_F = (3\pi^2 n)^{1/3}$ with the average density $n$ in the optical lattice. The horizontal segments indicate the energies of the fully polarized phases. The thick red curve is the ladder approximation theory for a zero-range pseudopotential [27].](image-url)
If one defines the interaction strength in the upper and lower x-axes coinciding with different optical lattice intensities shows that the critical infinite-order transitions. A similar analysis at different lattices alone, as a function of the interaction strength. We compare results obtained in a V_0 = 2E_R optical lattice (blue squares), with the homogeneous case V_0 = 0 (red circles, from Ref. [23]). In the region where A is larger than the chemical potential of the majority component (horizontal segments in Fig. 4), the fully polarized phase is stable. By repeating a similar analysis for different values of V_0, the phase boundary between the two phases (black squares in Fig. 4) is obtained.

In conclusion, we have calculated using QMC methods the ground-state energy of repulsive Fermi gases in optical lattices as a function of population imbalance, obtaining the critical interaction strength for the onset of ferromagnetic behavior. From simulations of the repulsive polaron, we determined the region of stability of the fully polarized phase. Of particular interest is the question of how effective strongly correlated single-band models emerge from the continuum description. In the context of the Mott insulator transition in bosonic systems, lattice models with only on-site interaction have been compared against continuous-space simulations, finding for V_0 > 4E_R only quantitative differences [49]. However, in the regime of intermediate values of V_0 and strong interactions considered in this work additional terms such as density-induced tunneling and interaction-induced higher band processes are important, and they can induce qualitative changes in the properties of tight binding models [47, 50, 51], in particular, concerning the ferromagnetic behavior [52]. These effects are naturally taken into account in a continuous-space description, and our results confirm that they play a role in itinerant ferromagnets.

While in shallow lattices there is good agreement between QMC and Kohn-Sham LSDA, the regime of deep lattices and strong interactions represents a new test bed to develop more accurate exchange-correlation functionals, which is an outstanding open challenge in material science [3]. Furthermore, our results show that moderately intense optical lattices are favorable for experimental realization of ferromagnetism, also due to a faster thermalization rate compared to very deep lattices. In a recent experiment short-range antiferromagnetic correlations have been observed at half-filling [53].
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We improved the DFT simulations of Ref. [7] using 1013 k points.

For the SS potential: $a = R_0[1 - \tanh(K_0)/K_0]$, with $K_0^2 = v_{ss} R_0^2/(2a^2)$; $r_{\text{eff}} = R_0 - R_0^3/(3a^2) + 1/(K_0^2 a) \simeq 0.41a$; $a_p = R_0[1 - 3(1 - K_0 \coth(K_0))/K_0^2]^{1/3} \simeq 1.13a$.

For the NP potential: $a \simeq 0.678 \left(v_{NP} m/h^2\right)^{1/7}$. We determine $r_{\text{eff}} \simeq 0.63a$ and $a_p \simeq 1.29a$ by solving numerically the integral equation given in Ref. [41].

We improved the DFT simulations of Ref. [7] using 1013 k points.

See the Supplemental Material for more details on the computational method and a comparison with Hubbard model results.
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Supplemental Material for
Ferromagnetism of a Repulsive Atomic Fermi Gas in an Optical Lattice: A Quantum Monte Carlo Study

To simulate the ground-state of the many-body Hamiltonian $H$ we employ the Diffusion Monte Carlo (DMC) algorithm. This technique solves the time-independent Schrödinger equation by evolving the function $f(R,\tau) = \psi_T(R)\Psi(R,\tau)$ in imaginary time $\tau = it/\hbar$ according to the time-dependent modified Schrödinger equation

$$-\frac{\partial f(R,\tau)}{\partial \tau} = -D\nabla_R^2 f(R,\tau) + D\nabla_R [F(R)f(R,\tau)] + [E_L(R) - E_{ref}]f(R,\tau). \quad (3)$$

Here, $\Psi(R,\tau)$ is the many-body wave function while $\psi_T(R)$ denotes the trial function used for importance sampling. In the above equation $E_L(R) = \psi_T(R)^{-1}H\psi_T(R)$ denotes the local energy, $F(R) = 2\psi_T(R)^{-1}\nabla_R\psi_T(R)$ is the quantum drift force, while $D = h^2/(2m)$ and $E_{ref}$ is a reference energy introduced to stabilize the numerics. The ground-state energy is calculated from averages of $E_L(R)$ over the asymptotic distribution function $f(R,\tau \to \infty)$. While for the groundstate of bosonic systems both $\psi_T(R)$ and $\Psi(R,\tau)$ can be assumed to be positive definite, allowing for the implementation of the diffusion process corresponding to eq. (3), in the fermionic case the ground-state wave function must have nodes. The diffusion process can still be implemented by imposing the fixed-node constraint $\psi_T(R)\Psi(R,\tau) \geq 0$. It can be proven that with this constraint one obtains a rigorous upper-bound of the groundstate energy, which is exact if the nodes of $\psi_T(R)$ coincide with those of the true ground-state [S1]. For more details on our implementation of the DMC algorithm, see Ref. [S2]. The DMC algorithm has bee successfully applied to simulate the BEC-BCS crossover in attractive Fermi gases (for a review see Ref. [S3]), and more recently to investigate the properties of repulsive Fermi gases [S4, S5, S6, S7]. It has been extensively applied also to simulate electronic systems with external periodic potentials [S8].

Our trial wave function is of the Jastrow-Slater form

$$\psi_T(R) = D_T(N_T)D_j(N_j) \prod_{i_1,i_2} f_{i_1,i_2}, \quad (4)$$

where $D_T(N_T) = \det_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_N} [\phi_{\alpha_1/r_{i_1}}, \ldots, \phi_{\alpha_N/r_{i_N}}]$ denotes a Slater determinant of the up-spin particles, with $\alpha_T$ an index that labels the $N_T$ lowest-energy single-particle eigenstates and $r_{i_1}$ the coordinates of particles with up-spin ($i_1 = 1, \ldots, N_T$), while $D_j(N_j) = \det_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_N} [\phi_{\alpha_1/r_{i_1}}, \ldots, \phi_{\alpha_N/r_{i_N}}]$ is the Slater determinant of the down-spin particles. $f_{i_1,i_2} = \|[r_{i_1} - r_{i_2}]\|$ denotes the distances between particles with opposite spin.

We consider a separable 3D optical lattice of intensity $V_0$ and spacing $d$ with simple-cubic geometry: $V(r = (x,y,z)) = V_0 [\sin^2 (x \pi / d) + \sin^2 (y \pi / d) + \sin^2 (z \pi / d)]$. The single-particle orbitals are constructed by solving the 1D single-particle Schrödinger equation:

$$\left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V_0 \sin^2 (x \pi / d) \right] \phi_{q_x}^{(n_x)}(x) = E_q^{(n_x)} \phi_{q_x}^{(n_x)}(x), \quad (5)$$

whose solutions are the Bloch functions $\phi_{q_x}^{(n_x)}(x) = \exp(iq_x x / \hbar) u_{q_x}^{(n_x)}(x)$, with the integer $n_x = 1, 2, \ldots$ denoting the Band index [S9]. In a finite box of size $L = Md$ with periodic boundary conditions ($M$ is a positive integer) the quasi-momentum $q_x \in (-\pi \hbar / d, \pi \hbar / d)$ can take $M$ discrete values. Using the Fourier expansions of the periodic Bloch functions $u_{q_x}^{(n_x)}(x)$:

$$\sum_{q_x} c_{q_x}^{(n_x,q_y,q_z)} \exp(i q_x x / \hbar) \exp(i q_y y / \hbar) \exp(i q_z z / \hbar),$$

and of the optical lattice potential $V(x) = \sum_r V(r) \exp(i 2\pi r x / \hbar $, with the Fourier coefficients $V^{(1)} = V^{(-1)} = -V_0 / 4$ and $V^{(r)} = 0$ if $|r| \neq 1$ (the constant shift $V^{(0)}$ can be set to zero), the Schrödinger equation [S3] can be written in matrix form as [S10]:

$$\sum_r H_{l,l'} c_{l}^{(n_x,q_y,q_z)} = E^{(n_x)}_{q_x} c_{l}^{(n_x,q_y,q_z)}, \quad (6)$$

where $E_R = h^2 \pi^2 / (2md^2)$ is the recoil energy. The Bloch functions and the band structure $E^{(n_x)}_{q_x}$ are easily obtained via diagonalization of the matrix $H_{l,l'}$, and we verified that truncating the Fourier expansion beyond $|l| = 5$ one obtains an accurate representation of the Bloch functions in the lowest bands. The 3D wave functions are given by the products $\phi_{q}^{(n_x)} = \phi_{q_x}^{(n_x)} \phi_{q_y}^{(n_y)} \phi_{q_z}^{(n_z)}$, with the 3D quasi-momentum $q = (q_x,q_y,q_z)$ and the band index $n = (n_x,n_y,n_z)$. Pairs of (complex) Bloch functions with opposite quasi-momenta can be replaced by the real-valued combinations $\phi_{q}^{(n)} = (\phi_{q}^{(n)} + \phi_{-q}^{(n)}) / 2$ and $\phi_{-q}^{(n)} = (\phi_{q}^{(n)} - \phi_{-q}^{(n)}) / 2$. Care must be taken to correctly cut the edges on the Brillouin zone. For $V_0 = 0$, the orbitals $\phi_{q}^{(n)}$ and $\phi_{-q}^{(n)}$ coincide with the usual free-particle waves: $\phi_{q}^{(n)} = \cos (q \cdot r)$ and $\phi_{-q}^{(n)} = \sin (q \cdot r)$, with the free-particle momenta $q = \frac{2 \pi}{L} (m_x,m_y,m_z)$, where $m_x,m_y,m_z = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$. $\phi_{q}^{(n)}$ is used if $m_x > 0$, or if $m_x = 0$ and $m_y > 0$, or if $m_x = m_y = 0$ and $m_z \geq 0$, while $\phi_{-q}^{(n)}$ is used otherwise.

As in Refs. [S2, S11], the correlation function $f(r)$ in the Jastrow term (last term in eq. (4)) is fixed to be the solution of the relative two-particle Schrödinger equation in the $s$-wave channel [S12]:

$$\left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{m} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) + v(r) \right] f(r) = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{m} f(r). \quad (7)$$

The wave-number $k$ is chosen such that $f' (r) = 0$, where $\tilde{r} \leq L/2$ is a matching point used as a variational parameter that we optimize in Variational Monte Carlo
simulations, and the normalization is such that \( f(\vec{r}) = 1 \).
We set \( f(r) = 1 \) for \( r > \bar{r} \). While for the hard-sphere and the soft-sphere potentials the analytical solution of eq. (7) is known, in the case of the negative-power potential we obtain \( f(r) \) numerically using the Runge-Kutta algorithm [S13]. The ground-state energy obtained in fixed-node DMC simulations does not depend on the choice of the (positive definite) correlation function \( f(r) \), however an accurate choice is useful to reduce the variance.

In order to verify the level of accuracy of the ground-state energies provided by the fixed-node DMC algorithm, we perform a comparison with previous results obtained for the single-band Hubbard model. For deep lattices \( V_0/E_R \gg 1 \) and weak interactions \( a/d \ll 1 \) (\( a \) is the s-wave scattering length), this discrete lattice Hamiltonian is expected to be a reliable approximation of our continuous-space model (eq. (1) in the main text). The Hubbard model on a cubic lattice is defined as follows:

\[
H = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \sigma} \left( c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + H.c. \right) + U \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}; \tag{8}
\]

the operator \( c_{i\sigma}^\dagger \) (\( c_{i\sigma} \)) creates (annihilates) one fermion with spin \( \sigma \) (\( \sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow \)), \( i \) enumerates the sites in an \( N_S = M^3 \) lattice, and \( \langle ij \rangle \) denotes nearest-neighbor pairs. The parameter \( t \) is the nearest-neighbor hopping amplitude and \( U > 0 \) is the on-site interaction strength.

The ground-state energy of the Hubbard model [5] has been calculated in Ref. [S14] using the constrained-path Monte Carlo algorithm. For small lattice sizes a benchmark against exact diagonalization results has been performed, finding only minor discrepancies. The mapping between the parameters of the continuous-space Hamiltonian, namely the optical lattice intensity \( V_0/E_R \) and the scattering length \( a/d \), to the Hubbard parameters \( t \) and \( U \) is obtained via a band-structure calculation as outlined in Refs. [S13, S10]. Notice that in the conventional procedure to define \( U \) (which is also adopted here) the interatomic interaction is described by a nonregularized \( \delta \) function. This approximation is reliable only for \( a/d \ll 1 \), while for stronger interaction strength virtual excitations to higher bands and the regularization of the potential should be taken into account [S16]. To make a comparison with the Hubbard model we perform continuous-space simulations in a deep optical potential of intensity \( V_0 = 6E_R \), at weak interactions \( a/d < 0.1 \). The density is set to \( nd^3 = (N_\uparrow + N_\downarrow)/M^3 = 0.25 \) (a value which was considered in Ref. [?]). As shown in Figures 5 and 6, the fixed-node DMC results agree with the results of the constraint-path algorithm. The residual discrepancies are compatible with finite-size effects (DMC data correspond to \( M = 6 \) lattices and include the finite-size correction of the noninteracting system, constraint-path data to \( M = 4 \) and \( M = 8 \) lattices with twisted-averaged boundary conditions). In this regime the unpolarized configurations \( \langle P = (N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow)/(N_\uparrow + N_\downarrow) = 0 \rangle \) have much lower energies than the fully polarized states \( \langle P = 1 \rangle \), indicating a paramagnetic ground state. Instead, if we diminish \( V_0/E_R \) and enlarge \( a/d \) (keeping the Hubbard interaction parameter \( U/t \) constant) we ob-
serve increasing discrepancies between the results of the continuous-space simulations and those performed on the discrete-lattice model (see Fig. 6). At $nd^3 = 0.25$ the deviations from the Hubbard model become evident already in the regime $V_0/E_R \lesssim 4$ and $a/d \gtrsim 0.1$, and are expected to be even more important at the higher density $nd^3 = 0.75$ considered in the main text. The agreement between our results and those of Ref. [S14] (for large $V_0$ and small $a$) clearly indicates that the ferromagnetic behavior we discuss in the main text is not an artifact of the fixed-node approximation and is instead due to terms, such as density-induced tunneling and higher-band processes, which are not included in the conventional Hubbard model. These terms become important in shallow optical lattices and/or at strong interactions.
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