Optomechanics deals with the control and applications of mechanical effects of light that stems from the redistribution of photon momenta in light scattering. As an example, light-induced levitation of an infinitesimally small dipolar particle is expected in front of epsilon-near-zero (ENZ) metamaterials. However, a theoretical understanding of these effects on single-material and multi-material larger particles is still lacking. Here, we investigate, analytically and numerically, optical forces on polarizable particles with size ranging from 20 nm to a 1 μm in proximity of ENZ metamaterials. We look at the general features of the repulsive-attractive optomechanics from the nano to the microscale exploiting different theoretical methods (dipole approximation, finite elements calculations, transition (T-)matrix). We discuss the role of realistic layered materials, as our ENZ substrate, on optical forces and analyze the influence of composition and shape by studying a range of complex particles (dielectric, core-shell, plasmonic ellipsoids). Physical insights into the results are discussed and future research directions are forecasted. Our results provide possibilities in exploiting engineered materials and surfaces for the manipulation and tailoring of light-induced forces in optomechanics.
Recent developments in the field of metamaterials and metasurfaces have provided useful platforms for manipulating and tailoring light–matter interaction with numerous applications ranging from cloaking\textsuperscript{1,2}, enhanced spontaneous emission\textsuperscript{3}, sensing\textsuperscript{4}, signal processing and information handling\textsuperscript{5,6}, and nonreciprocity\textsuperscript{7}, just to name a few. Among various classes of metamaterials, the epsilon-near-zero (ENZ) and near-zero-index (NZI) structures have attracted increasing attention due to their unique features in light–matter interaction\textsuperscript{8–11}. In such structures, relative permittivity and/or relative permeability attain values near zero, thus making the effective refractive index of the structure near zero. Consequently, at the operating frequency, the wavelength in these media is “stretched”, making the phase of the signal approximately uniform across this structure\textsuperscript{12}. As a result, the waves exhibit “static-like” spatial distributions, while temporally they are dynamic. This has led to numerous exciting wave phenomena, with several potential applications\textsuperscript{11,13}. One such feature is the possibility of levitation of electrically polarized nanoparticles in the vicinity of ENZ substrates\textsuperscript{14}. In our earlier work\textsuperscript{14}, we theoretically showed that an infinitesimally small nanoparticle, when electrically polarized at a given frequency, could be levitated when placed near an ENZ substrate. This phenomenon, which was inspired as a classical analog to the Meissner effect (levitated magnets in the proximity of superconductors), can provide an approach in optomechanics when manipulation of electrically polarizable particles is desired in the presence of optical fields\textsuperscript{15–19}.

Careful manipulation of particles with light, which has a long history dating back to the pioneering work of Ashkin in 1970s,\textsuperscript{20,21} has played important roles in various areas, from biology\textsuperscript{22} to nanoscience and nanotechnology\textsuperscript{23}. At the nanoscale, various methodologies have been used for such optical manipulation, including trapping\textsuperscript{24,25}, pushing\textsuperscript{26,27}, and binding\textsuperscript{28,29}, with different materials such as dielectrics, semiconductors, plasmonic, and biological\textsuperscript{30}. The surrounding medium can be vacuum, air, or liquid. Optical tweezer\textsuperscript{30,31} is usually achieved using optical beam shaping to generate desired potential traps\textsuperscript{32}. Recently, new approaches to optical manipulation of objects without beam shaping were proposed. Soljacic and co-workers\textsuperscript{33} proposed that the motion of a Janus particle with spatially asymmetric absorption can be controlled by changing the incident wavelength. Ilic and Atwater\textsuperscript{34} proposed self-stabilizing optical manipulation of macroscopic objects by controlling the anisotropy of the scattered light from the structured object’s surface. Both approaches, however, rely on structuring the object in lieu of the incident light.

In this work, we merge the two fields of ENZ metamaterials and of optical trapping, providing a platform, which we name ENZ-based optomechanics, for manipulating and controlling mechanical motion of particles in vicinity of ENZ structures. We explore, numerically and analytically, how various parameters, such as the size, shape, and composition of the particle and its distance to the ENZ substrate affect the optomechanical forces on such particles. We consider both homogeneous and layered structures as our ENZ substrates. In recent years, researchers have been able to tailor the effective permeability and permittivity of composite media by engineering the electric and magnetic resonances of nanostructures. Together with related developments in nanophotonics, metamaterials provide unprecedented freedom to define and sculpt electromagnetic modes. Metamaterials allow to alter the topology of photonic iso-frequency surfaces—which govern the momentum and energy of optical modes inside a medium—contrarily to conventional bounded spherical and ellipsoidal iso-frequency surfaces in natural dielectrics\textsuperscript{35}. Among many other extreme optical features, unbounded iso-frequency surfaces in hyperbolic dispersion metamaterials\textsuperscript{36} and point-like vanishing surfaces in ENZ media\textsuperscript{37} constitutes two examples of advanced modal engineering. In particular, epsilon-near-zero metamaterials provide extended modes with uniform phase over micrometer length scales inducing profound effects on nanoscopic light–matter interactions. These deeply subwavelength structured surfaces support unique electromagnetic modes that can be used in sub-diffraction imaging\textsuperscript{38} and waveguiding,\textsuperscript{39} spontaneous emission engineering\textsuperscript{39} and biosensing\textsuperscript{40}. In the following, we introduce the geometry of the problem, and discuss the electromagnetic modeling for the structure, along with the dipole approximation. We also present extensive numerical results, based on the finite-element method (using the commercial software COMSOL Multiphysics\textsuperscript{41}) and on the T-matrix methods. We also present a series of results for various parameters involved in the problem. Physical insights into the results are presented and future directions are discussed.

Results and discussion

Geometry of the problem. Figure 1a presents the geometry of our problem. A polarizable particle, made of a single non-magnetic material (or multilayered materials), surrounded by an external medium (e.g., water) of refractive index $n_m$, is located at an edge-to-edge distance $h$ above a metamaterial substrate. The particle can be spherical (or other shapes as will be discussed later in the manuscript), and it is made of a (dielectric or metallic) material with a relative permittivity $\varepsilon_p$. The substrate can be considered as a homogenized nonmagnetic medium with relative permittivity near zero at the frequency of operation, or a layered structure engineered to function as ENZ.

A monochromatic optical wave is illuminating this structure at normal incidence. The goal is to evaluate the optical force on the particle and to investigate how various parameters, radius $a$, edge-to-edge distance $h$, particle’s permittivity, and signal frequency affect the optical force’s magnitude and direction, i.e. whether it is a repulsive (positive) or an attractive (negative) force. In the next section, in order to gain some physical insight we start by assuming the polarizable particle to be represented by an infinitesimally small electric dipole, and discuss the analytical approach for evaluating the force acting on this particle. In the subsequent sections, we will expand our approach to include the full-wave numerical simulations of the problem, allowing to consider realistic sizes and shapes for this particle.

Dipole approximation. We first consider a particle size much smaller than the light wavelength ($a << \lambda$) so that optical forces can be calculated analytically within the dipole approximation (DA)\textsuperscript{30,41,42}. Due to its simplicity, the dipole approximation can provide useful results that can be compared with more complex light scattering approaches (T-matrix, finite-elements methods) at the nanoscale\textsuperscript{43}.

We start our analysis from the near-field force component. It has been shown\textsuperscript{14} that in front of an ENZ surface an emitting point dipole source is subjected to a near-field repulsive force, reminiscent of the Meissner effect in superconductors\textsuperscript{14}. This portion of the force, which we refer to as the “near-field” force, is due to the interaction of the emitting dipole with the substrate (excluding the force due to the presence of the incident and reflected waves). When all forces are considered (including the forces caused by the incident and reflected waves), the forces are called “total force”. We can extend the result of ref. 14 to a finite-sized polarizable particle illuminated by an incident field by considering the radiated power upon scattering, $P_{rad} = \sigma_{scat} I(z)$, in terms of the scattering cross section, $\sigma_{scat}$, and light intensity, $I(z)$. Thus, the near-field force component is (see Section S1.1: Optical forces in the dipole approximation in front of epsilon-near-zero
Fig. 1 Geometry and optical force parameter space maps. a Sketch of the geometry. We consider a generic particle, in principle of any shape and composition, in front of a metamaterial surface at an edge-to-edge distance, \( h \), immersed in an external medium (e.g., water) of refractive index \( n_m \). The origin of the coordinate system is placed on the surface so that the \( z \) axis is positive in the semi-infinite space where the particle resides. A monochromatic optical wave illuminates the particle and surface at normal incidence so that the total field is the superposition of incident (\( E_i \)), reflected (\( E_R \)) and scattered fields (\( E_S, E_{SR} \)). The resulting optical force can be either attractive (negative) or repulsive (positive). b Near-field force (\( R, \phi \)) map. We explore the range of reflectivity, \( h \), and phase, \( \phi \), related to the reflection of the incident wave on a generic surface. The ideal ENZ surface (\( R = 1, \phi = 0 \)) is in the top right corner of the map and shows a repulsive force. Here, the near-field component is calculated in the dipole approximation for a polystyrene (dielectric constant \( \epsilon_s = 2.543 \) at 560 nm) particle of radius \( a = 20 \) nm at a fixed edge-to-edge distance of \( h = 10 \) nm in water. c Curves of \( R, \phi \) for different substrates consisting of alternating metal and dielectric layers, in the thin layer limit where effective medium theory is valid. The color of each curve indicates the metal filling fraction. The zero-force lines from panels d and e are superimposed as dashed lines. d-f Total force (\( R, \phi \)) maps as calculated from T-matrix methods for a dielectric particle size of \( a = 20 \) nm (d), \( a = 220 \) nm (e), and \( a = 1000 \) nm (f), respectively, and at a fixed \( h = 10 \) nm. The total force maps have a structure that is strongly dependent on particle size. This is due to the increase of the scattering force component that, for large particles, overcomes any other gradient-like force component that is dominant for nanoparticles.

In order to explore how the ENZ surface can influence the near-field and total forces on the particle, we evaluate such effects in terms of the amplitude \( \rho \) and phase \( \phi \) of the complex reflection coefficient of an incident wave from this surface. In Fig. 1b, the near-field force on a \( a = 20 \) nm radius dielectric bead at \( h = 10 \) nm from the surface has been calculated as a function of the surface reflectivity \( R = \rho \exp(i \phi) \) with medium loss (Im(\( \epsilon_r / \epsilon_0 \)) = 0.5), and with high loss (Im(\( \epsilon_r / \epsilon_0 \)) = 0.8). The comparison with the results obtained for a point dipole in vacuum shows that in this work the presence of a medium (water) broadens the repulsive near-field region from \(-1 < \epsilon_r / \epsilon_0 < 1 \) to \(-1.77 < \epsilon_r / \epsilon_0 < 1.77 \); moreover, as already observed, even in surfaces with high loss there is still a repulsive near-field force. It must be noted here that, as pointed out in ref. 16, the condition for the force to be repulsive in Eq. (1) can be algebraically simplified to \( |\epsilon_r| < |\epsilon_m| \). This defines a disk of radius \( \rho_m \) in the complex plane of permittivities \( |\epsilon_r| \). Moreover, this inequality also explains the effect of use of water in expanding the repulsion region, and also the robustness to losses.

\[
F_{\text{end}}(x) \approx -\frac{9}{512\pi^4} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\epsilon_{\text{i}} - \epsilon_{\text{m}}}{\epsilon_{\text{i}} + \epsilon_{\text{m}}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{n_m^2 x^2} \right) \sigma_{\text{scat}} I(x) \tag{1}
\]

where \( x \) is the axial coordinate (\( z = h + a, a \) is the radius of the particle, \( h \) is the edge-to-edge distance of the particle from the surface), \( c \) is the vacuum speed of light, \( \epsilon_{\text{m}} = \epsilon_0 n_m^2 \) is the permittivity of the surrounding medium, \( n_m \) is the refractive index of the medium, and \( \epsilon_{\text{i}} \) is the complex dielectric permittivity of the ENZ surface. In Supplementary Fig. S2 a panel summarizing the results of the calculation of the near-field force (d-f) on a 20 nm dielectric bead in water is shown. Three different surfaces are considered: lossless (Im(\( \epsilon_r / \epsilon_0 \)) = 0), with medium loss (Im(\( \epsilon_r / \epsilon_0 \)) = 0.5), and with high loss (Im(\( \epsilon_r / \epsilon_0 \)) = 0.8). The comparison with the results obtained for a point dipole in vacuum shows that in this work the presence of a medium (water) broadens the repulsive near-field region from \(-1 < \epsilon_r / \epsilon_0 < 1 \) to \(-1.77 < \epsilon_r / \epsilon_0 < 1.77 \); moreover, as already observed, even in surfaces with high loss there is still a repulsive near-field force. It must be noted here that, as pointed out in ref. 16, the condition for the force to be repulsive in Eq. (1) can be algebraically simplified to \( |\epsilon_r| < |\epsilon_m| \). This defines a disk of radius \( \rho_m \) in the complex plane of permittivities \( |\epsilon_r| \). Moreover, this inequality also explains the effect of use of water in expanding the repulsion region, and also the robustness to losses.

\[
\rho = \frac{(n_m - n_s)^2 + k_s^2}{(n_m + n_s)^2 + k_s^2} \quad \phi = \arctan \left( \frac{-2n_m k_s}{n_m^2 - n_s^2 - k_s^2} \right) \tag{2}
\]

Here, we use \( e^{-i\omega t} \) as our time harmonic convention. The calculated near-field force can reach a fraction of femtowatt for an incident intensity of \( \sim 5.6 \times 10^{10} \text{W/m}^2 \) (corresponding to a typical experimental configuration, see Section S1.1.1: Plane wave illumination of the Supplementary Methods) and changes character from attractive to repulsive when the reflection phase angle changes from \( \phi = -\pi \) to \( \phi = 0 \). Metals such as Au or Ag, having a certain amount of absorption (\( k_s \) in Eq. (2)), are in the attractive region of the near-field force (compare Fig. 1b, c). On the contrary, in front of an ideal ENZ surface, having \( R = 1 \) and \( \phi = 0 \), the near-field force is repulsive. Substrates of alternating metal and dielectric layers can span a broader range of \( \phi \) and \( R \)
values. In the limit of layers much smaller than the incident wavelength, where effective medium theory (EMT) is valid, we show the \((R, \phi)\) results for four different metal / dielectric mixtures in Fig. 1c (see Section S1.4: Layered metamaterial calculations in the Supplementary Methods for more details on the EMT calculation). The metal filling fraction is indicated by the color of the curve. Depending on the fraction, we can switch the sign of the force from attractive to repulsive and vice versa. If we go beyond EMT and take into account the finite thickness of layers in real structures using an exact transfer matrix approach, we can achieve an even wider range of \(\phi\) and \(R\) values.

We now consider the total optical force from an incident field on a nanoparticle calculated in DA. This is the sum of two main components: a gradient force, \(F_{\text{grad}}\), and a scattering force, \(F_{\text{scat}}\). For plane wave illumination (for Gaussian beams see Section S1.1.2: Gaussian beams in Supplementary Methods) impinging normally to the ENZ surface, the force components are influenced by incident and reflected fields. Thus, considering only the axial direction \(z\), they are written as:

\[
F_{\text{grad}} = \frac{1}{2c} n_m \text{Re}(\alpha) \frac{dE_i(z)}{dz}
\]

\[
F_{\text{scat}} = \frac{n_m}{\epsilon} \sigma_{\text{ext}} I_0 [\beta^2 - 1]
\]

where \(\alpha\) is the particle complex polarizability, \(\sigma_{\text{ext}} = \frac{4}{3} \text{Im}(\alpha)\) is the extinction cross section, related to the particle absorption and scattering, \(k = 2n_m/\lambda\) is the wave number and \(\lambda\) the wavelength.

The gradient force, \(F_{\text{grad}}\), drives the particle towards the maximum (minimum) of the modulated light intensity profile for positive (negative) real part of the polarizability. On the other hand, the scattering force, \(F_{\text{scat}}\), is constant with respect \(z\), and it always pushes the particle along the beam propagation direction.

In Fig. 1d, the \((R, \phi)\) map of calculated total axial force on a polystyrene \(a = 20\) nm bead (dielectric constant \(\epsilon_p = 2.543\) at 560 nm) at \(h = 10\) nm from the surface is shown. The total force is one order of magnitude larger than the near-field force (Fig. 1b) and shows a change in the repulsive-attractive character when the phase angle changes from \(-\pi\) to 0, respectively. This is due to the gradient force (see also Fig. 2a, short dots) that dominates the optomechanical response and drives the particle toward the high-field intensity regions. The change of the phase of the reflection coefficient shifts the intensity modulation resulting from the interference between the incident and reflected wave. Thus, the high-intensity points shift accordingly and the sign of the force changes around \(\phi \sim \pi/4\).

We now calculate the total optical force on the dielectric bead in front of glass, Ag and ENZ surfaces as a function of distance, \(h\). The ENZ material is chosen so that \(n_\ell \approx 0.476\) and \(K_\ell \approx 0.511\), in order to obtain a real part of complex permittivity close to zero and an imaginary part close to 0.5 to include unavoidable losses of realistic systems. This choice leads to values of \(R\) and \(\phi\) similar to those in the experimentally fabricated layered substrates described below, corresponding to the point marked with a red star in Fig. 3. The strong modulation resulting from the standing wave is clearly visible. The points with zero force and negative slope are trapping points that correspond to equilibrium positions for the particle dynamics (arrows in Fig. 2a). For the case of the ENZ surface the equilibrium point closest to the surface occurs at \(h \sim 10\) nm, while for the glass and Ag surface they occur at \(h \sim 85\) nm and \(h \sim 60\) nm, respectively. By linearizing the force at the equilibrium points, \(F(\phi) = -k_{\ell}\), a trap spring constant \(k_{\ell}\) can be calculated. The trap spring constants \(k_{\ell,\text{ENZ}}\) and \(\kappa_{\ell,\text{Ag}}\) calculated in front of ENZ and Ag surfaces can be compared to the spring constant \(k_{\ell,\text{sil}}\) calculated in a standard single-beam optical tweezers setup, with the same particle, and at the same light intensity (see Section S1.1.1: Plane wave illumination in the Supplementary methods). The spring constants are \(k_{\ell,\text{ENZ}} = 15\) nN \(\mu\)m\(^{-1}\) and \(\kappa_{\ell,\text{Ag}} = 27\) nN \(\mu\)m\(^{-1}\), while the trap spring constant \(k_{\ell,\text{sil}}\) in a standard optical tweezers setup is two order of magnitude lower, \(k_{\ell,\text{sil}} = 0.24\) nN \(\mu\)m\(^{-1}\). The beneficial effect of the ENZ and Ag reflective surfaces on the trapping is evident. The increasing size of the particles corresponds to larger optical forces and different trapping points (see Supplementary Fig. S1 in Section S1.1.1: Plane wave illumination in the Supplementary Methods for DA calculations on larger-size nanoparticles at 50 and 100 nm).

**Full-wave simulations.** In order to calculate optical forces on larger particles, we use two different full-wave modeling approaches based on the transition (T-)matrix formalism \(^{66,47}\) and on finite-elements methods using the commercial software COMSOL Multiphysics®, respectively (see Section S1.2: Electromagnetic scattering theory and T-matrix formalism in front of epsilon-near-zero materials and Section S1.3: Finite-elements methods in the Supplementary Methods for details). In particular, electromagnetic scattering from particles near to or deposited on a plane surface that separates two homogeneous media of different optical properties in the T-matrix formalism \(^{47-50}\) can give an account on the role of the different multipoles in the particle-surface interaction. Indeed, the presence of the surface can have a striking effect on the scattering pattern from the particles, because the field that illuminates the particle is partly or totally reflected by the surface, and the reflected fields contribute both to the exciting and to the observed field. Moreover, the field scattered by the particle is reflected by the interface and thus contributes to the exciting field. In other words, there are multiple scattering processes between the particles and the interface. As a result, the field in the accessible half-space includes the incident field \(E_i\), the reflected field from the interface \(E_0\) (as we would have if no particle were present), the scattered field from the particle \(E_s\), and, finally, the field that after scattering by the particle is reflected by the surface, \(E_{SR}\), related to \(E_s\) by the reflection condition (see Fig. 1a). Thus, the observed field, superposition of \(E_s\) and \(E_{SR}\), includes all the scattered and scattered-reflected multipole contributions (see Section S1.2: Electromagnetic scattering theory and T-matrix formalism in front of epsilon-near-zero materials in the Supplementary Methods for more details).

It is possible to define the T-matrix for particles in the presence of the interface that is the starting point to calculate optical forces and torques either by direct integration of the Maxwell stress tensor (MST) over a closed surface containing the particle \(^{30}\) or by exploiting the general expressions of optical force and torque in terms of multiple expansion \(^{51-53}\). The optical force is obtained in COMSOL by direct integration of the MST that is calculated based on the total electric field and magnetic field which include the incident fields, the scattered fields by the particle, and all the reflected fields by the surface (see Section S1.3: Finite-elements methods in the Supplementary Methods).

The results obtained in DA from the different surfaces are compared in Fig. 2a with those obtained by using full electromagnetic calculations based on COMSOL (circles), and T-matrix methods (continuous lines). A very good agreement is clearly observed. In all approaches, the total optical force on small particles is modulated by the sinusoidal term of the gradient force. Its magnitude is larger (in the FN range) on more reflective
surfaces and its phase changes sign going from a Ag to an ENZ substrate, leading to the formation of optical trapping points at different distances (arrows in Fig. 2a). In brief, the gradient force dominates the ENZ-optomechanics for small particles even in the proximity of the surface.

T-matrix and COMSOL allow the calculation of optical forces for larger particles than in DA. In Fig. 1e, f, the \((R, \phi)\) map of total axial force calculated with the T-matrix approach on a \(a = 220\) nm bead (Fig. 1e) and \(a = 1\) \(\mu\)m bead (Fig. 1f), at \(h = 10\) nm from the surface, are shown. The comparison with Fig. 1d highlights the strong dependence of the total optical force on the bead size. The repulsive–attractive behavior is driven by the competition between gradient force and scattering force, which may give repulsive behavior, for intermediate-size beads, in surfaces having large reflectivity (see Fig. 1e); however, at large bead size (Fig. 1f), scattering force overcomes gradient force, and the total optical force is attractive in front of every type of surface.

In Fig. 2b, c, the T-matrix calculations of the total optical force on larger particles are shown as a function of the edge-to-edge distance from ENZ, Ag and glass surfaces. The larger size of these particles with respect to the nanosized bead in Fig. 2a highlights the increased contribution of the scattering force on the gradient force. The scattering force is detrimental towards stable equilibrium positions in front of glass surface for the 220 nm radius bead and in front of both ENZ and glass surfaces for 1 \(\mu\)m radius bead. The lower reflectivity of these surfaces as compared to the reflection from the Ag surface does not allow an efficient balance between scattering force from incoming and reflected beams, increasing the scattering force contribution with respect gradient force and hindering the trapping.

In Fig. 2d, e, the results are reported for increasing bead size at fixed distance, \(h = 10\) nm, from the ENZ, Ag or glass surfaces. It is shown that at small bead size (below \(\sim 300\) nm radius), the gradient force modulates the total force. At increasing bead size, the particle extinction cross section increases, consequently the scattering force is predominant on the gradient force, inhibiting equilibrium points and inducing an effective attractive force directed toward the surfaces.

Epsilon-near-zero metamaterials. Regarding layered ENZ materials, we have demonstrated experimentally that it is possible to control the optical topology and to induce the ENZ behavior by designing and fabricating subwavelength-layered lattice structures as a result of interlocking noble metals and dielectric thin films\(^{54}\). Upon selecting metal-dielectric bilayers, the thickness of each layer, the filling fraction and the number of bilayers, the frequency of the optical topological transition in the isofrequency surface leading to the epsilon-near-zero behavior can be tailored. The lattice structure is fabricated as a five tri-layer system using \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\), Ag, and Ge from top to bottom. The Ag layer thicknesses were in the range of 10–25 nm, with a thin Ge layer (1–3 nm) underneath to ensure surface wetting. The \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) layer thicknesses were systematically varied between roughly 20 nm and \(80\) nm across different material systems (Fig. 3), subsequently tuning the frequency of the topological transition. In previous studies, we used effective medium theory to calculate the dielectric permittivity of the entire structure, as opposed to more recent inverse design approaches to account for a wider material parameters space. We perform spectroscopic ellipsometry measurements to evaluate the dielectric tensor components and the dispersive behavior of the layered structure. By fitting the measured angular reflectance and the ellipsometry parameters \(\psi\) and \(\Delta\), we can directly obtain the effective optical constants of the multilayer slab. Using the transfer matrix method, we can then predict the magnitude and phase of reflection at normal incidence.
Fig. 3 Accessing the full range of reflectance (R) and reflected phase (ϕ) via layered metamaterials. R versus ϕ is illustrated for light at normal incidence with wavelength \( \lambda = 560 \text{ nm} \) reflected from the surface of a thin-film stack. The thick curves of varying color are exact transfer matrix numerical calculations labeled \( n \times d \), where \( n \) refers to the number of bilayers in the stack, and \( d \) the thickness of each bilayer. The total thickness \( nd = 500 \text{ nm} \) is kept constant. The bilayers consist of individual Ag and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) layers, with the fraction of Ag in the bilayer indicated by the metal filling fraction color. The red \( n \times d \) labels correspond to systems where the dielectric is the upper layer in each bilayer (the one closest to the surface), while the blue labels are the ones where the metal is on top. The curve labeled EMT is the isotropic effective medium approximation to the system, which corresponds to \( n \to \infty, d \to 0 \) with \( nd = 500 \text{ nm} \). In all the above cases the superstrate is water and the substrate is glass. For comparison we show points indicating the \( R \) and \( \phi \) values for a simple interface between a water superstrate and a pure material substrate (Ag, Au, Ge, TiO\(_2\), Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), and an ideal ENZ). We also show experimental results (green stars, details in the Supplementary Information) involving a water superstrate and corresponds to the metal.

The calculated full range of \( \phi \) values is \( \phi \approx 180° \) and \( \Delta R = 0.5 \). The full range of accessible \( R \) and \( \phi \) values is even larger if we expand the design space of the substrate to include different numbers of bilayers and metal filling fractions. The thick curves in Fig. 3 show transfer matrix calculations of \( (R, \phi) \) for Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)/Ag stacks with different structural parameters indicated by the labels. In all cases the total thickness of the stack was kept fixed at 500 nm. The color at each point along the curves corresponds to the metal filling fraction. In the limit of many thin bilayers, we approach the EMT result of Fig. 1c, which is also reproduced here for comparison. Note that actual layered materials can achieve positive values of \( \phi \), while homogeneous materials (for example, those described by EMT) are confined to the \( \phi < 0 \) subspace. Fig. S11 shows how \( \phi \) varies with wavelength for a subset of the layer configurations in Fig. 3.

Complex particles (core–shell, ellipsoids, ENZ). In addition to spherical beads, we evaluate the optical forces on different types of particles in front of dielectric, metallic or ENZ surfaces. We consider spherical core–shell particles based on SiO\(_2\) and Ag, an Ag prolate spheroid and a spherical particle made by an ENZ material.

We first used COMSOL simulation to calculate the forces on core–shell structures in front of layered ENZ material at 560 nm illumination. The total particle radius \( a_{\text{tot}} \) is fixed at 20 nm. The particles had alternatively SiO\(_2\) or Ag as the core, with the other material as the shell. In Fig. 4a, the total force on the core–shell particles as a function of the distance from the ENZ surface is shown. It is clearly observed that the presence of Ag in the outer shell enhances the total force with respect of the inverse structure having SiO\(_2\) as the shell, but also with respect to the pure Ag sphere (Fig. 4b). The highest value of the force is found (red curve in Fig. 4a) for a SiO\(_2\)–Ag core–shell structure having a core radius of \( a_1 = 16.1 \text{ nm} \) and an Ag shell \( 3.9 \text{ nm} \) thick which, as shown in Fig. 4c, is at the resonance condition at the ENZ wavelength.

As shown in Fig. 4d and Supplementary Fig. S5d, e, the particle resonance at 560 nm enhances the optical force to the piconewton range (Supplementary Fig. S4d) but only at very short distances from the surfaces, being repulsive in the ENZ case (Supplementary Fig. S4d) and attractive in the Ag case (Supplementary Fig. S5e). Otherwise, the total optical force is at the nN range.

Specifically, at the resonance \( F_{\text{enz}} \) is in the piconewton range close to the ENZ surface (from \( h = 0 \text{ nm} \) to roughly 10 nm). The gradient force, \( F_{\text{grad}} \), has an oscillating character, but its amplitude is smaller (\( \approx 1 \text{nN} \)) than \( F_{\text{enz}} \) due to the small real part of the polarizability at resonance (Re\( (\alpha) = 0.04 \times 10^{-32} \text{Fm}^2 \)). On the contrary, \( F_{\text{scatt}} \) is large (tens of femtonewton), because of the large extinction cross section at resonance. Thus, at 560 nm (black curve in Supplementary Fig. S6a), the total force is repulsive and in the piconewton range close to the surface, but becomes attractive and approximately constant as the \( F_{\text{enz}} \) contribution fades off with distance.

The behavior of the forces on the core–shell particle can also be studied for wavelengths smaller and larger than the particle plasmon resonance (see Supplementary Fig. S6a, b in the Supplementary Methods). The calculation has been made for 552 nm, on the blue side of the plasmon resonance, and at 566 nm, on its red side. At these wavelengths, the scattering force is slightly lower than at resonance, while \( F_{\text{grad}} \) increases by at least one order of magnitude. For this reason, its oscillating character shows up in the total force (Supplementary Fig. S6a, blue and red curves). Moreover, as the polarizability changes sign from one side to the other of the resonance, also the gradient force inverts its phase from the blue to the red side of the resonance. Similar discussions hold for the optical forces in front of Ag surface (Supplementary Fig. S6b); however, in this case, the \( F_{\text{enz}} \) is attractive close to the surface.

We now consider an Ag prolate spheroid as a prototypical non-spherical particle. This is chosen with a long axis \( a_1 = 56.8 \text{ nm} \) and short axes \( a_2 = a_3 = 20 \text{ nm} \). As shown in...
Moreover, both materials have been considered as a core. Maximum force found in each core–shell structure considered, as pointed out by the dashed blue line in (a). Extinction spectrum of the SiO\textsubscript{2}–Ag core–shell particle (total radius \(a_{\text{tot}} = 20\) nm and core radius \(a_{1} = 16.1\) nm) in water. Contour plot of the total optical force for the core–shell particle at \(h = 10\) nm from the surface. The ENZ and Ag surfaces used for the calculation of the optical forces in DA approximation are shown as circles. The optical force is in the order of tens of pN in front of ENZ (repulsive) and Ag (attractive). The force can be close to 200 pN if the spheroid is in front of an ideal ENZ surface, having \(R = 1\) and \(\phi = 0\).

In the case of ENZ particles, we do not consider any multilayered structure but we wanted to make a comparison between a dielectric particle (Fig. 1) and a particle with the same size (or even larger) but with ENZ properties. For the calculations we simply consider an effective medium with \(n\) and \(k\) chosen so that \(n_p = 0.476\) and \(k_p = 0.511\), in order to obtain a real part of complex permittivity close to zero and an imaginary part close to 0.5. We calculated optical forces in front of glass, Ag or ENZ surfaces. The calculation has been made for ENZ beads having radii \(a = 20, 50,\) and 100 nm. As shown in Supplementary Fig. S4, the forces are about five times larger than the ones observed in dielectric bead counterparts. The larger scattering force of ENZ particles based on SiO\textsubscript{2} and Ag as a function of the distance from the metamaterial surface. Different ratios between core radius \(a_{1}\) and total particle radius \(a_{\text{tot}} = 20\) nm have been considered. The ENZ and Ag surfaces used for the calculation of the optical forces in DA approximation are shown as circles.

In the case of ENZ particles, we do not consider any multilayered structure but we wanted to make a comparison between a dielectric particle (Fig. 1) and a particle with the same size (or even larger) but with ENZ properties. For the calculations we simply consider an effective medium with \(n\) and \(k\) chosen so that \(n_p = 0.476\) and \(k_p = 0.511\), in order to obtain a real part of complex permittivity close to zero and an imaginary part close to 0.5. We calculated optical forces in front of glass, Ag or ENZ surfaces. The calculation has been made for ENZ beads having radii \(a = 20, 50,\) and 100 nm. As shown in Supplementary Fig. S4, the forces are about five times larger than the ones observed in dielectric bead counterparts. The larger scattering force of ENZ particle hinders its trapping in front of glass surface, for all radii. Moreover, the 100-nm-radius ENZ particle cannot be trapped also in front of ENZ surface (Supplementary Fig. S4c). Results are shown in Section S1.1.1: Plane wave illumination of the Supplementary Methods.

We have also studied the total optical force in case of a focused (NA = 1.3) Gaussian beam, typical of optical tweezers experiments (Section S1.1.2: Gaussian beams in the Supplementary Methods). The calculations, made for a 20 nm radius polystyrene bead, show that, both in front of ENZ (Supplementary Fig. S8a) and Ag (Supplementary Fig. S8b) surfaces, the beam focusing induces a fading of the total force with the distance \(h\) (see Supplementary Fig. S8). The extension of the calculations for beads with larger radius (contour plots of the total optical force in front of ENZ, Supplementary Fig. S8c, and Ag, Supplementary Fig. S8d, surfaces) shows that the total force increases at increasing bead radius, reaching the range of tens of femtonewton in front of ENZ and hundreds of femtonewton in front of Ag surface. The modulation induced by the gradient force is clearly visible. Note that when Gaussian beams are used, for a direct comparison, the beam power is reduced with respect to the plane wave case in order to maintain the intensity at the beam focus similar to the plane wave intensity.

It is worth noting that the assumption of a lossless surrounding medium (such as air or water) is crucial for the correct calculations of optical forces on polarizable particles. This is generally valid in the visible and near-infrared where typical optical tweezers experiments are performed. Considering a medium with losses would make the optical force spatially dependent and any calculation would require to be specific for a given geometry. This is indeed an interesting problem that it is
also challenging as one has to modify the electromagnetic scattering theory equations that are also the starting point for the semi-analytical calculation of optical forces in the T-matrix formalism. A starting point can be considered ref. 55, where scattering theory is re-formulated for spherical particles in an absorbing medium. From this starting point a T-matrix framework and the use of the locally defined Maxwell stress tensor can be used to calculate the spatially dependent optical forces.

Finally, we add some few considerations about the Casimir effect that is observed between two metallic surfaces (or a particle and a surface) at very short distances. Usually, μm-size particles are used56–58. In the case of a small dielectric bead, as the one in our paper, the Casimir effect is weaker59 but, when dielectric–metallic core–shell spheres or silver ellipsoids are in front of silver and ENZ surfaces, it could give a contribution. However, all our calculations consider particles and surfaces in water, and this fact does further reduce the Casimir force. A quantitative estimation of the Casimir force on our particles based on the results given in refs. 56–59 shows (see Section S2.1: Casimir effect in the Supplementary Discussion) that in our case Casimir force can be safely neglected. However, it is not excluded that forces due to thermal fluctuation effects, which are considered Casimir forces in a broader sense, may induce a sticking of these small particles to the surfaces, limiting the practical exploitation of the ENZ-related optomechanical forces.

Conclusions. In conclusion, ENZ-based optomechanics may provide a way to manipulate and tailor mechanical effects of light exploiting flat surfaces. We focused our study on the repulsive–attractive optomechanics for particles in front of an ENZ surface in realistic conditions for a wide range of parameters (particle size and shape, ENZ surface structure, etc.) in the axial direction. Combining the unique optical properties of ENZ metamaterials with patterning capabilities will enable further manipulation and control in the transverse direction towards a full dynamical engineering of ENZ-based optical forces. Various potential applications for future study include particle sorting due to the strong dependence of ENZ-based optical forces on the size and material composition of particles, biomolecular trapping and sensing, wavelength multiplexing of optical forces, and chiral optical sorting, just to name a few.

Methods

The calculation of optical forces on particles having size ≤100 nm has been made by using DA in front of dielectric, Ag and ENZ surfaces (see Section S1.1: Optical forces in the dipole approximation in front of epsilon-near-zero materials of the Supplementary Methods). Dielectric, ENZ, core–shell particles, and Ag prolate spheroids under plane wave illumination have been considered (see Section S1.1.1: Plane wave illumination of the Supplementary Methods). Illumination under Gaussian beams has also been discussed (Section S1.1.2: Gaussian beams of the Supplementary Methods). The calculation of optical forces on larger-size particles has been carried out by using the transition (T-)matrix formalism (Section S1.2: Electromagnetic scattering theory and T-matrix formalism in front of epsilon-near-zero materials of the Supplementary Methods) and by using finite-elements methods (COMSOL), which was also used to calculate forces on core–shell structures in front of layered ENZ metamaterials (Section S1.3: Finite-elements methods of the Supplementary Methods). The optical properties of layered ENZ structures have been calculated by transfer matrix calculations (Section S1.4: Layered metamaterial calculations in the Supplementary Methods).

Data availability

Data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

Code availability

The Fortran code and MatLab scripts for the T-matrix approach, the MathCad scripts for the dipole approximation calculation of the forces, and the COMSOL scripts for the FEM calculations are available from the authors on reasonable request.
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