Quaternary structure of α-amino-β-carboxymuconate-ε-semialdehyde decarboxylase (ACMSD) controls its activity

Received for publication, April 23, 2019, and in revised form, June 10, 2019 Published, Papers in Press, June 12, 2019, DOI 10.1074/jbc.RA119.009035

© 2019 Yang et al. Published under exclusive license by The American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Inc.

Yu Yang‡, Ian Davis‡, Tsutomu Matsui‡, Ivan Rubalcava†, and Aimin Liu‡†

From the ‡Department of Chemistry, University of Texas, San Antonio, Texas 78249 and the †Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Light Source, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford University, Menlo Park, California 94025

Edited by Joseph M. Jez

α-Amino-β-carboxymuconate-ε-semialdehyde decarboxylase (ACMSD) plays an important role in l-tryptophan degradation via the kynurenine pathway. ACMSD forms a homodimer and is functionally inactive as a monomer because its catalytic assembly requires an arginine residue from a neighboring subunit. However, how the oligomeric state and self-association of ACMSD are controlled in solution remains unexplored. Here, we demonstrate that ACMSD from Pseudomonas fluorescens can self-assemble into homodimer, tetramer, and higher-order structures. Using size-exclusion chromatography coupled with small-angle X-ray scattering (SEC-SAXS) analysis, we investigated the ACMSD tetramer structure, and fitting the SAXS data with X-ray crystal structures of the monomeric component, we could generate a pseudo-atomic structure of the tetramer. This analysis revealed a tetramer model of ACMSD as a head-on dimer of dimers. We observed that the tetramer is catalytically more active than the dimer and is in equilibrium with the monomer and dimer. Substituting a critical residue of the dimer–dimer interface, His-110, altered the tetramer dissociation profile by increasing the higher-order oligomer portion in solution without changing the X-ray crystal structure. ACMSD self-association was affected by pH, ionic strength, and other electrostatic interactions. Alignment of ACMSD sequences revealed that His-110 is highly conserved in a few bacteria that utilize nitrobenzoic acid as a sole source of carbon and energy, suggesting a dedicated functional role of ACMSD’s self-assembly into the tetrameric and higher-order structures. These results indicate that the dynamic oligomerization status potentially regulates ACMSD activity and that SEC-SAXS coupled with X-ray crystallography is a powerful tool for studying protein self-association.
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The kynurenine pathway (KP) is a central metabolic route for degradation of the essential amino acid l-tryptophan. The KP produces metabolites that are neurologically active and affect neurotransmission and neuronal integrity (1–6). α-Amino-β-carboxymuconate-ε-semialdehyde decarboxylase (ACMSD), is a Zn-dependent decarboxylase of the KP (7–9). ACMSD removes a carboxylate group from ACMS to form 2-aminomuconate semialdehyde (2-AMS; Scheme 1). Both the substrate (ACMS) and the product (2-AMS) of the enzyme are unstable and nonenzymatically cyclize to form quinolinic acid (QA) and picolinic acid (PA), respectively (10, 11). QA, a side product of the KP, is an essential precursor to biosynthesize the coenzyme NAD⁺ (NADH) (6, 12–14). However, elevated QA levels cause abnormal glutamatergic activity leading to neuronal excitotoxicity and apoptosis (2, 5, 6). Injection of QA into healthy rats led to symptoms of Huntington disease (15). Therefore, QA production is tightly regulated in cells. The activity of ACMSD’s downstream neighbor, α-amino-muconate-ε-semialdehyde dehydrogenase, competes with the nonenzymatic formation of PA, which has no well-established physiological roles (16). α-Aminomuconate-ε-semialdehyde dehydrogenase performs an unusual isomerization in addition to its dehydrogenation (17, 18), and its human version was recently established (19). In the bacterial 2-nitrobenzoic acid biodegradation pathway, which borrows from the core portion of the KP (20), the metabolites should be prevented from leaking to QA and PA because 2-nitrobenzoic acid is the primary source of carbon, nitrogen, and energy for those bacteria. Additionally, QA needed for NAD⁺ biosynthesis in bacteria is generated from a different pathway by quinolinate synthase from dihydroxyacetone phosphate and iminopropate (21). Therefore, the side product QA from l-tryptophan represents a metabolic waste in bacteria although it is essential for NAD⁺ biosynthesis in mammals.

Enzymatic activity is generally regulated by several strategies to modulate metabolite concentrations: allosteric control, feedback inhibition, multiple forms of stable enzyme complexes, reversible covalent modification such as light-induced reduction (22), phosphorylation by a protein kinase, proteolytic acti-
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Scheme 1. ACMSD requires dimerization for activity and competes with the nonenzymatic decay of its substrate to QA.

Results

Identifying the oligomerization state of ACMSD by SEC-SAXS, revealing a previously unknown tetrameric form in solution

Fig. 1A shows a representative SEC chromatogram of ACMSD with a Superdex 200 column. The two major fractions were partially separated. Based on the molecular weight calibration curve (Fig. S1), these were presumably larger oligomer and dimer states of the protein, respectively. The monomeric form was not observed due to the retention time and high stock concentration of ACMSD applied to the column. The separation was improved by an analytical Superdex 200 column (Fig. S2A) and followed by SAXS characterizations (Table 1). A total of 500 images were recorded with 1 s of X-ray exposure for every 5 s during the elution. After data reduction and initial inspections, Guinier analysis was applied to each frame in order to obtain the overall dimension of the sample (i.e. the radius of gyration ($R_g$)) (25). Based on the flatness of the $R_g$ values in the separation profile (Guinier $R_g$−$q^2$ plot; Fig. S2B), we selected two main fractions, which could be further processed for structural modeling. These were the major fractions at approximately image #360 and a minor fraction at approximately image #300 (Fig. S2B). The two fractions exhibited distinct scattering profiles, as shown in Fig. 1B. Porod volume estimations of the scattering profiles, assuming globular molecular shape (26), indicate molecular weights of major and minor fractions of 71.9 and 121 kDa (Fig. 1C), respectively. Given the calculated molecular weight of 39.7 kDa of ACMSD, the major and minor fractions are expected to be in the dimeric and trimeric states, respectively.

Modeling the oligomerization state of ACMSD based on SAXS data

The eluate before the major, dimeric ACMSD peak in Fig. S2B (around image #300) provided stable $R_g$ values over the chromatographic peak, indicating that overall dimension of the sample is uniform in the region. The linearity of the Guinier plot (Fig. S2C) of the higher oligomeric state sample (around image #300) indicated that it is not an aggregation artifact, and a single peak in the Kratky plot, which is often used for evaluation of sample flexibility and globularity, implies a well-folded sample (Fig. 1D). The estimated molecular weights by Porod volume suggested a trimeric state of ACMSD in solution; however, the crystal structures of ACMSD all show extensive salt bridges and hydrogen-bond interactions to form a dimer as the functional unit with no obvious trimer interface (8, 24, 27, 28). Therefore, additional macromolecular associations of ACMSD are expected to be multiples of the dimer (e.g. tetramer, hexamer, octamer, etc.). Interestingly, in our SEC-SAXS experiments, X-ray–induced aggregation actually decreased as the enzyme concentration increased, which is opposite to what is normally observed. Less aggregation may result from better-ordered structures of the enzyme in solution during concentration. Therefore, the aggregation portion eluted from the Superdex 200 column was tentatively considered as higher-order oligomers, especially because no precipitate was observed.

To further characterize the oligomeric state of ACMSD with the higher molecular weight, theoretical SAXS curves were generated based on given models derived from crystal structures for comparison with the experimental data. First, trimeric and dimeric models from crystal structures were tested to assess their agreement with the experimental SAXS data. The theoretical SAXS curves generated from trimeric and dimeric models did not faithfully reproduce the experimental data, especially in the middle $q$ range (middle scattering angle) that is susceptible to the conformation of the sample (Fig. S3, A and B) (29). Next, two types of tetrameric models were constructed based on symmetry-generated neighboring dimers in crystal structures: a head-on, tandem model and a stacked, parallel model. Both forms were used to generate their theoretical SAXS profile, and comparison showed that the tandem dimer model provides the best recreation of the experimental data (Fig. S3, C and D).
Figure 1. Gel filtration chromatography and SEC-SAXS of ACMSD. A, SEC separation profile of ACMSD on a Superdex 200 column. B, SEC-SAXS profile of tetrameric (black) and dimeric (blue) states of ACMSD. C, pairwise distribution function, \( P(r) \), of the scattering profiles in B, D. D, Kratky plot of the tetrameric and dimeric samples. mAU, milli-absorbance units.

### Table 1

**SAXS data collection and scattering-derived parameters**

|                      | ACMSD   | ACMSD H110A |
|----------------------|---------|-------------|
| **Data collection**  |         |             |
| Beamline             | SSRL BL4-2 | SSRL BL4-2 |
| Type of experiment   | SEC-SAXS | SEC-SAXS    |
| Beam defining slits size (mm) | 0.3 x 0.3 | 0.3 x 0.3 |
| Sample–detector distance (m) | 1.7     | 2.5         |
| Beam energy (keV)    | 11.4    | 10.1        |
| Beam current (mA)    | 500     | 500         |
| Exposure time per frame (s) | 1       | 1           |
| Frames per data set  | 500     | 500         |
| Temperature (K)      | 298     | 298         |
| Sample cell size (mm diameter) | 1.5     | 1.5         |
| Detector             | Pilatus 3 X 1M | Pilatus 3 X 1M |
| SEC column           | Superdex 200 Increase PC3.2/300 | Superdex 200 Increase PC3.2/300 |
| Sample volume (Concentration) | 35 µl (4 mg/ml) | 50 µl (4 mg/ml) |
| Flow rate (ml/min)   | 0.05    | 0.05        |
| **Guinier analysis** |         |             |
| \( q \times R_g \) limit* | 1.3     | 1.3         |
| \( I(0) \) (cm\(^{-1}\)) | 0.0612 ± 0.0011 | 0.0439 ± 0.0012 |
| \( R_g \) (Å)        | 47.0 ± 1.06 | 52.2 ± 1.95 |
| **\( P(r) \) and Porod volume estimation** |     |             |
| Software              | Primus/GNOM | Primus/GNOM |
| \( q_{\text{max}} \) (Å\(^{-1}\)) | 0.30 | 0.15 |
| \( I(0) \) (cm\(^{-1}\)) | 0.0610 ± 0.0010 | 0.0454 ± 0.0006 |
| \( R_g \) real space (Å) | 48.28 ± 0.98 | 55.99 ± 0.84 |
| \( D_{\text{max}} \) (Å) | 175 | 190 |
| Porod volume (Å\(^3\)) | 195,000 | 238,000 |
| Expected mass (kDa)  | 158.8 | 158.8       |
| **Rigid-body modeling** |     |             |
| Software              | CORAL   | CORAL       |
| \( q_{\text{max}} \) (Å\(^{-1}\)) | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Number of runs        | 20 | 20 |
| **Ab initio modeling** |     |             |
| Software (ab initio modeling) | DAMMIF | GASBOR |
| Software (averaging)  | DAMAVER | DAMAVER    |
| \( q_{\text{max}} \) (Å\(^{-1}\)) | 0.18 | 0.15 |
| Number of runs        | 20 | 20 |
| Normalized spatial discrepancy (NSD) | 0.763 ± 0.038 | 2.272 ± 0.242 |

*\( q = 4\pi\sin(\theta)/\lambda \), where \( \theta \) is the scattering angle.
Ab initio modeling was performed with the experimental SAXS curves without any template or predetermined model. For calculations, the shape of the scattering particle was selected as either prolate or oblate spheroids with various symmetries (P1, P2, P22, and P4). Density envelopes from oblate spheroids provided irregular shapes that are not amenable to encompassing ACMSD structures. In contrast, density envelopes from prolate models are similar to the tandem-dimeric tetramer models. The results showed that the models constructed with P4 symmetry provided additional envelope details that do not agree with the structural characters of ACMSD. However, those models made with P2 and P22 symmetry can contain the tandem-dimeric tetramer models with some excess density. Finally, the envelopes from models constructed with P1 symmetry encompass the tandem-dimeric tetramer models with little excess density generated by DAMMIF (Fig. 2A) (30). The excluded volume from a DAMAVER-processed model is 264,530 Å³, corresponding to an estimated molecular weight of 132.3 kDa, which can be assigned as tetrameric ACMSD (31). The normalized spatial discrepancy value is 0.763 ± 0.038.

Rigid-body modeling by CORAL was used as a complementary strategy to the ab initio model building (26, 32). Models were generated by moving and rotating given subunits (usually crystal structures) to fit experimental SAXS curves. The CORAL modeling also included possible positions of flexible regions, such as missing N- and C-terminal residues and flexible loops in crystal structures. First, the dimeric structure was set as the asymmetric unit to build the tetramer in silico using P2 symmetry. The crystallographic monomer–monomer interface was constrained during the modeling. The resulting models were clustered into three major groups with similar shapes by using DAMCLUST (26). Among those, a tandem-dimeric tetramer model was the most populated cluster. The major cluster also showed a lower averaged χ² value of 0.30 compared with the other two clusters. In Fig. 2A, the tandem-dimeric tetramer model was fitted well with the envelope built by DAMMIF. As a secondary strategy, the two-tandem-dimeric tetramer model with P1 symmetry was used as the template. The processing included additional constraints for the monomer–monomer interface so that only the two dimers could move in relation to each other to fit the experimental SAXS data. As a result, the major cluster including tandem-dimeric tetramer models gave similar fits with a χ² of 0.33. In contrast, any other ways to pack two dimers resulted in larger χ² values (>0.5). The theoretical SAXS curve generated from the populated models fit the experimental data well (Fig. 2B). After establishing that ACMSD does indeed have a tetrameric conformation, the question arises of whether or not tetrameric ACMSD will exhibit any significantly different biological properties as compared with dimeric ACMSD.

ACMSD activity as a reporter of enzyme dynamics from oligomer to monomer

The requirement of dimerization for activity makes the specific activity of ACMSD an experimental handle that can be used to infer its oligomeric state (8, 28). ACMSD was initially stored at a relatively high concentration, 100 μM, to maximize tetramer structures. The enzyme was then diluted to 30 nM for assays, which should favor the monomer form at equilibrium (24). Measurement of the decreasing catalytic activity after dilution as a function of time reports on the dissociation of ACMSD from tetramer structures to the inactive monomer.

As shown in Fig. 3A, the time-dependent decrease of the specific activity of ACMSD upon dilution cannot be well-described by either single- or double-exponential functions. At 30 nM concentration, the specific activity of ACMSD drastically decreased (~30%) in the first 10 min after dilution. The activity...
then decreased another ~40% within 100 min. Finally, all activity was lost over the course of ~12 h. Adequate representation of the decay of ACMSD activity after dilution requires three summed exponential functions with reciprocal relaxation times provided in Table 2. After the third decay stage, the ACMSD activity could not be rescued by reconcentration of the sample, indicating that the third decay phase is irreversible and hence represents the enzyme degrading or denaturing. The first two decay stages could be interpreted as the equilibria from tetramer to dimer and from dimer to monomer (Fig. 3B).

Analysis of the dimer–dimer interface in the SAXS model of the tetramer

The structural models based on the SAXS data indicated that the quaternary structure of ACMSD is a tetramer of two head-on, tandem dimers. To better understand the model generated from SEC-SAXS data, crystal structures of ACMSD were reviewed to identify potential interacting residues for tetramer formation. In our previous studies, ACMSD and its variants were crystallized with two different space groups, C-centered monoclinic (C2) and primitive tetragonal (P4_2_1_2) (24, 27, 28). The crystal packing of ACMSD in the tetragonal space group does not exhibit an interaction interface consistent with the above SAXS tetramer models (Fig. 2). However, the monoclinic space group packs with an interface similar to our SAXS-derived head-on, tandem dimer model (Fig. 4A). A perusal of the residues in the dimer–dimer interface led to the identification of residue His-110, which is involved in multiple interactions with Ser-17, Gln-19, and Glu-20 (Fig. 4, B and C).

To investigate the role of the interaction network, His-110 was mutated to alanine to break any interactions. Deleting the side chain of His-110 had little effect on the steady-state kinetic parameters of ACMSD toward ACMS (Table S1); however the H110A variant did show altered dissociation behavior as measured by specific activity. As compared with WT ACMSD (wtACMSD), the dissociation profile of H110A still exhibits three decay phases. However, each phase takes substantially longer, increasing the time to reach equilibrium (Fig. 4D). In detail, the most significant change is that the first decay phase of H110A was elongated, and 90% activity was retained for nearly 10 min, whereas wtACMSD decreased to 60% activity over the

Table 2
The reciprocal relaxation times of each decay phase of wtACMSD and H110A

| Protein          | $\tau_1$  | $\tau_2$  | $\tau_3$  |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                  | min       | min       | min       |
| ACMSD            | 0.82 ± 0.25 | 30 ± 10  | 980 ± 170 |
| H110A            | 14.5 ± 4.3   | 174 ± 38   | 4890 ± 410 |
| ACMSD with NaF   | 1.35 ± 0.16   | 99 ± 10   | 7150 ± 410 |
| H110A with NaF   | 2.98 ± 0.18   | 106 ± 20  | 5330 ± 420 |

Figure 3. ACMSD dissociation as measured by specific activity. A, triple-exponential fitting of the decreasing specificity activity (black squares) with incubation time in the logarithmic scale. The fitting curves are shown as a red line. The residuals from fitting decreasing specificity activity data with triple-, double-, and single-exponential curves are shown as blue circles. B, proposed dissociation phases of ACMSD. T, D, M, and W, tetramer, dimer, monomer, and denatured protein, respectively.

Figure 4. Tandem dimers from ACMSD crystal structures. A, surface structures of two dimers of ACMSD (PDB entry 2HBV). Monomers in each dimer are colored blue and pink. B, zoom-in image of the dimer–dimer interface; the interacting area is highlighted in yellow. C, residues involved in the dimer–dimer interaction networks. D, comparison of the dissociation profiles of wtACMSD (black squares) and the mutant H110A (blue squares) as measured by specific activity.
same time period. The dissociation profile of H110A was fitted with three exponential functions, and the decay constants are compared with those of wtACMSD in Table 2. All of the decay constants of H110A are greater than wtACMSD, especially the first decay phase (18-fold), indicating elongation of the dissociation periods of the three phases. The H110A variant was anticipated to break the interaction networks in the dimer–dimer interface and was expected to show different dissociation behavior than wtACMSD. However, the observation of a seemingly more stable tetramer is opposite to our expectation. A more complicated mechanism for tetramer formation may need to be considered in ACMSD. Thus, we investigated other factors that may contribute to the oligomeric state distribution of the protein.

The effect of pH and ionic strength on ACMSD oligomeric state distribution

The influence of pH and ionic strength on the distribution of oligomeric states of ACMSD were investigated by SEC, which were performed on a Superdex 200 column. The buffer pH ranged from 6.0 to 9.0 with various buffer systems (see “Experimental procedures” for more details), which included 150 mM NaCl to maintain similar ionic strengths.

The separation profiles of wtACMSD and H110A are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. S4. Three distinct fractions were observed, which represent different oligomeric states. Based on the molecular weight estimation from the SEC-SAXS results (Fig. 1), higher-order states (i.e. larger than tetramer) elute at a retention volume of 44 ml, tetramer at 54 ml, and dimer at 75 ml. Due to the relatively high concentration of ACMSD (100 μM) loaded to the column, no monomer peak was observed. At pH 7.0, 150 mM NaCl, wtACMSD was found mostly present as higher-order oligomers. For other pH values, the overall separation profiles are similar to each other and show a mixture of states, with the tetramer form being preferred (Fig. 5, A and B). The preference to form higher-order structures only at pH 7.0 may reflect an experimental pI value for ACMSD, whereas its theoretical pI value is 5.6. In contrast, the higher-order oligomer was the major fraction for H110A in the pH range between 6.0 and 7.5 (Fig. 5, C and D). The tetramer form became the predominant species only at pH 8.0 and above.

Over the pH range from 6.0 to 9.0, increasing ionic strength, especially at low and neutral pH values, shifted the equilibrium composition to prefer higher-order states for wtACMSD (Fig. S4). These observations suggest that hydrophobic interactions are a significant contributor to oligomer formation. Moreover, the most pronounced effect on the separation profile of wtACMSD occurs at pH 7.0 (Fig. 5E). Under low ionic strength, wtACMSD was observed as 32.5 ± 1.6% tetramer and 65.1 ± 5.0% dimer, whereas at higher ionic strength, the tetrameric and higher-order oligomers accounted for nearly all (>90%) of the ACMSD observed (Table S2). In contrast, at pH 7.0, the majority (~80%; Fig. 5F) of H110A was present as higher-order structures, even with low ionic strength. Also, despite very similar compositions at pH 7.0 with 150 mM NaCl, wtACMSD and the H110A variant showed opposite trends upon lowering the ionic strength. For wtACMSD, lowering the ionic strength led to dissociation, whereas for H110A, it led to increased subunit association to higher-order states. These data indicate that the His-110–involved interaction network may play a role in the distribution of tetramer and higher-order states, especially with respect to pH and ionic strength. It should also be noted that apo-ACMSD (the metal-free form) was unable to form any higher-order structure and was observed as exclusively in the dimeric form by gel filtration under the same conditions (Fig. S5).
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Effect of ionic strength on the dissociation of ACMSD

To test the effect of ionic strength on the dissociation profile, the dissociation assays of wtACMSD and H110A were also performed with increased ionic strength (from 5 to 39 mM) by adding 33.8 mM NaF. As shown in Table 2, the dissociation profile of ACMSD under higher ionic strength still required three exponential functions for fitting. The first and second decay constants of wtACMSD ($\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$) increased by 2-fold, and the third decay constants ($\tau_3$) increased ~10-fold, suggesting that increasing the ionic strength (7.8-fold) slows down the transition from tetrameric to dimeric state and enhances the protein stability. In contrast, the first and second decay constants for H110A decreased about 4.9- and 1.6-fold (Table 2) upon increasing ionic strength. These values are more similar to those observed from wtACMSD. Overall, these results can be understood by recognizing that increasing ionic strength promotes tetramer formation for both wtACMSD and H110A. Therefore, the increased ionic strength is expected to extend wtACMSD dissociation by increasing the fraction of protein in tetramer and higher-order states at the start of the assay and decrease the relaxation times for H110A by decreasing the fraction of enzyme present in higher-order states.

Crystal structure differences of WT and H110A ACMSD proteins

Attempts to crystallize the H110A variant with reported crystal conditions for ACMSD were unsuccessful. However, we were able to crystallize both H110A and the WT protein under a new condition, and X-ray crystal structures were solved to resolutions of 2.77 and 3.15 Å, respectively (Table S3). The overall structures of wtACMSD and H110A are nearly identical to the published wtACMSD structures, with root mean square deviation values of 0.340 and 0.257 Å for all Cα atoms aligned in these structures, respectively. The wtACMSD shows a different space group (C221), under the new crystallization conditions with three molecules per asymmetric unit, whereas H110A crystallizes in the tetragonal (P42212) space group with two molecules per asymmetric unit. After examining the neighboring units of wtACMSD, the packing manner is similar to monoclinic wtACMSD (PDB entry 2HBV) (Fig. 7A), and the potential tetramer-forming interface that includes His-110 is retained (Fig. 7B). However, the His-110–involved interacting network and tetramer-forming interface are not found in the H110A structures (Fig. 7C). The different packing behaviors under the same crystallization conditions between wtACMSD and H110A support an essential role for His-110 at the dimer–dimer interface.

Sequence conservation of the dimer–dimer interface

Alignment of nonredundant sequences of ACMSD shows that His-110 is not conserved across all organisms. Histidine is the third most popular amino acid at the 110-position, with nine common residues observed (Fig. 8). In contrast, histidine is partially conserved in prokaryotes as the most abundant 110.
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due at position 110, with tyrosine, phenylalanine, and alanine as alternatives. Moreover, a few selected strains of prokaryotes exhibited relatively high conservation of His-110: *Achromobacter*, *Ralstonia*, *Pseudomonas*, *Cupriavidus*, *Paraburkholderia*, *Herbaspirillum*, *Caballeronia*, and *Duganella* (Fig. 8). Among the selected strains, in addition to *Pseudomonas*, several strains from *Achromobacter* (33), *Ralstonia* (34), and *Cupriavidus* (35) have been reported to utilize the nitrobenzoic acid (NBA) pathway as their sole source of carbon and energy, making the activity of ACMSD essential in those strains.

**Discussion**

**Oligomeric state dictates the specific activity**

ACMSD dissociation can be measured as a function of time by following the decrease in specific activity after dilution. Likewise, similar cases are known in other enzymes. For instance, dihydroorotate dehydrogenase A is also only active as a dimer by the interaction of two neighboring subunits (36). However, the loss of dehydrogenation activity upon dilution as a function of time can be fitted to a single decay phase with a reciprocal rate of \( \sim 42 \text{ min} \). In contrast, ACMSD unexpectedly exhibited three decay phases during protein dissociation (Fig. 3). The monomeric form is catalytically inactive. The dimeric form of ACMSD is required for decarboxylation activity due to the two essential arginine residues in the active site, with one from the neighboring subunit. The dimer is formed by hydrogen bonding and salt-bridge networks at the monomer–monomer interface (24). The dissociation of a dimeric protein is only expected to take, at most, two phases, from dimer to monomer and protein degradation. Considering the given tetramer model in Fig. 2, one potential explanation is that in addition to dimerization being required for ACMSD activity, a tetrameric state may have higher specific activity than the dimer. In such a case, the first phase would represent maximally active tetramer structures disassociating to partially active dimers; the second phase would represent partially active dimers equilibrating with inactive monomers, with the eventual loss of all activity in the third phase as all of the enzyme degrades or denatures. Therefore, an important question arises as to why the tetrameric (or higher-order) form exhibits higher specific activity than the dimeric form.

**A new insight for half-of-sites reactivity in homodimeric enzymes**

Half-of-sites reactivity is a general phenomenon in enzymology reported in numerous homodimeric proteins (37–44). The homodimeric crystal structures of ACMSD invariably show one active site being well-ordered and another somewhat disordered, making ACMSD a candidate for half-of-sites reactivity (8, 24, 27, 28). However, the rationale for this phenomenon remains elusive (39, 44, 45). We revisited the 10 published crystal structures of ACMSD and mutants (8, 24, 27, 28) and compared each with the structures determined in this work to investigate the possible reason. Mutation of active-site residues of ACMSD did not affect the quaternary structures (24, 27). The averaged B-factor values were summarized in Table S4. In the four structures that refined at a relatively high resolution (1.65–2.00 Å), the averaged B-factor value of residues in one monomer (chain B) is \( \sim 1.5 \)-fold higher than the other monomer (chain A). Additionally, the poor density maps of residues in chain B with the resolution of \( \sim 3 \text{ Å} \) indicate that the residues in chain B are less ordered than chain A (28). The other six structures with a tetragonal space group have relatively low resolution (>2.40 Å). Although the overall B-factor values are high (>50 Å²), the averaged B-factor of chain B is \( \sim 10 \text{ Å}² \) higher than that of chain A. Therefore, it is possible that in the dimeric state, only one of the active sites in ACMSD is well-ordered, and the well-ordered site performs more efficiently. We hypothesize that tetramer and higher-order forms of ACMSD may stabilize both active sites of each dimer, leading to higher specific activity in higher oligomeric states. This understanding may provide a novel insight into the half-of-sites reactivity because the enzymes would have room to increase their activity, if needed, by increasing the ratio of homotetramer under certain circumstances, so that the enzymes do not always operate at maximum capacity.

It should be noted that the concentrations used for *in vitro* studies may not be reflective of concentrations in the cell. However, the cellular environment is much more viscous and molecularly crowded than a dilute buffer solution. As such, effects that are only observable at relatively high protein concentration *in vivo* may occur at much lower concentrations *in vitro*.

**Protein self-association and the quaternary structures of ACMSD in solution revealed by SEC-SAXS**

The published ACMSD structures show two different space groups for packing, monoclinic and tetragonal, which may result from different packing during crystallization. Therefore, it is of interest to illustrate what the quaternary structure of ACMSD is in solution. Previous studies have shown that SAXS is an ideal tool to understand not only the molecular size but also the quaternary structures and shapes in the solution state, which is more similar to the physiological environment (32, 46, 47), especially combined with high-resolution structures from X-ray or cryo-EM (48). SAXS coupled with size-exclusion chromatography would increase the data quality by increasing the homogeneity of samples because the macromolecules might have various states in solution (49, 50). Fig. 2 shows the tetrameric form of ACMSD as two tandem, “head-on” dimers. Combined with published and new crystallography data, an interaction network involving His-110 is illustrated at the dimer–dimer interface to form a tetramer. Because of the long distance from the active site, mutating His-110 to alanine had little effect on the steady-state kinetic parameters of ACMSD (Table S1). However, the dissociation profile of H110A shows elongation of all three dissociation phases. As shown in Fig. 5, H110A presents a higher percentage of higher-order oligomers than wtACMSD. The higher-order portion would take more time to dissociate to dimer and monomer. Therefore, the three decay constants are increased compared with those in wtACMSD. There is no current rationale to preclude the elongation of tandem–dimeric tetramers of ACMSD with the same dimer–dimer interaction, resulting in higher-order oligomeric states (more than tetramer).
Such a phenomenon would explain the observation of ACMSD species eluting before the tetramer during the SEC separation (Fig. S2A).

**pH and ionic strength presenting major impact on the oligomeric state distribution**

As might be expected, pH and ionic strength are both essential parameters that affect the oligomerization status of ACMSD, presumably by controlling protonation state of the charged residues of the subunit–subunit interface. In the case of ACMSD, the oligomeric state distribution of the native protein and the H110A mutant are entirely different under lower pH values but similar when the pH is above 8.0 (Fig. 5). Under higher pH values, the side chain of His-110 is deprotonated, and its interaction with surrounding residues becomes weaker, so deletion of His-110 becomes less important to the oligomeric state distribution under an alkaline environment. The similarity of the envelope shapes between H110A at pH 8.5 and wtACMSD at pH 7.0 suggests similar tetrameric structures between alkaline and neutral environment with or without mutating His-110. Another interaction mechanism may exist to maintain the tetramer state under alkaline conditions. In contrast, under lower pH values, there is a considerable tetramer portion of wtACMSD, whereas higher-order oligomers are the major portion of H110A. Therefore, deleting the side chain of His-110 results in a much larger portion of higher-order oligomeric states and less tetramer compared with wtACMSD. The results indicate that the protonated form of His-110, interacting with Ser-17, Gln-19, and Glu-20, is essential to maintain the tetrameric form of ACMSD below pH 7.

Several similar findings were reported recently (51–56). The voltage-dependent anion channel, the most abundant protein in the outer mitochondrial membrane, plays an essential biological role by exchanging ions and metabolites. Double electron–electron resonance spectroscopy and MS results indicate that the dimerization is pH-dependent primarily due to the protonation of Glu-73, which may be necessary for mitochondrial metabolic regulation and apoptosis as a response to cytotoxic acidification during cellular stress (51). In another case, 2-Cys peroxiredoxins play a role in controlling the intracellular levels of H$_2$O$_2$ under oxidative stress conditions. Decreasing pH protonates His-113 to stabilize the doughnut-like decamer by interacting with Asp-76 (53), which is linked to the enzymatic activity and signaling (55). The dendritic cell specific protein DC-SIGN receptor recognizes glycosylated proteins and is involved in the early stages of numerous infectious diseases (54). Its extracellular domain exists in a monomer–tetramer equilibrium that is responsive to both pH and ionic strength. The nearby unique extended neck domain identified by SAXS is illustrated as a pH-sensor. In some instances, the stability of proteins is regulated with pH and ionic strength of the environment by changing their oligomeric states. The dimeric human small heat shock protein HSPB1 dissociates with decreasing pH, related to protonation of a histidine on the dimer interface (52). A nonnative secondary structure in equinatoxin II is induced by increasing ionic strength, decreasing its heat stability (56). Heterogeneous protein–protein interactions may be influenced by pH, which affects the charge states of residues on the interaction interface. ERp44 is a multifunctional chaperone in the early secretory pathway (57). Structural evidence indicates that the histidine-clustered region, located on the interface between ERp44 and its clients, involves a significant rearrangement to enlarge the positively charged regions for the clients binding at low pH compared with neutral pH. The pH-dependent association between ERp44 and its clients induced by the protonation of His and Cys, explains how ERp44 transports clients from acidic Golgi into the neutral endoplasmic reticulum.

We found that increasing the ionic strength of the buffer increased the fraction of ACMSD in the tetramer form between pH 6.0 and 7.5 (Fig. S4). Instead of an electrostatic interaction, hydrophobic interactions most likely explain the salt-induced oligomerization of ACMSD. In contrast, it is reported that the active monomer conformation of protein kinase CK2 is regulated by a self-polymerization to form inactive oligomers (58). The driving force of the oligomerization, in that case, is the electrostatic interaction from charged residues between two neighboring subunits. Increasing the ionic strength (0.5 M NaCl) disrupted the electrostatic interaction and resulted in the active conformation of CK2.

Moreover, the estimated molecular weight of tetramer fractions, based on the retention volume, is 550 kDa, ~3-fold larger than the expected molecular weight of tetramer (158.8 kDa). The tetramer was modeled as a prolate-shaped, head-on dimer of dimers by SEC-SAXS. It is possible that the Superdex 200 column recognizes the tetrameric ACMSD as spherical molecules with the diameter of the long axis, which certainly are much larger molecules. Therefore, the tetrameric portion elutes earlier, resulting in an overestimated molecular weight.

**Potential contribution of the quaternary structure of ACMSD in nitrobenzoic acid degradation**

Nitrobenzoic acids are a relatively nascent environmental contaminant and resistant to biodegradation (59). Nevertheless, the broad applications of nitroaromatic compounds made them a prime candidate as a food source for bacteria that can tolerate and metabolize them (60). The tryptophan–kynurenine pathway, where ACMSD is located, was adapted to degrade NBA in bacteria such as *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain KU-7 (61). Moreover, several strains from *Achromobacter* (33), *Ralstonia* (34), and *Cupriavidus* (35) were reported to utilize nitrobenzoate as the sole carbon and energy source, and they show relatively high conservation of His-110, implying higher probabilities for formation of more catalytically active tetramers. In other words, the biodegradation of NBA might require the higher specific activity of ACMSD that was partially regulated by the His-110–involved network, especially at lower pH, to guide the metabolic pathway to generate energy without side products in those bacteria. Considering that His-110 helps to stabilize the tetrameric form of ACMSD, especially at low pH and high ionic strength, it may be an adaptation for some bacteria that utilize NBA as their source of carbon, nitrogen, and energy.
Protein quaternary structure as a means to regulate activity

Conclusion
In this study, the quaternary structure and its relation to activity in ACMSD were found to be surprisingly complex in solution. Although the dimer is the active unit, tetramer and higher-order structures show higher specific activity, and the distribution of oligomeric states is sensitive to the pH and ionic strength. A solution structure of a new ACMSD tetrameric state is described from an extensive SEC-SAXS study coupled with X-ray crystallography, and His-110 was found to be involved in regulating tetramer formation, especially at lower pH. The differential conservation of His-110 also provides potential new insight into the evolution of bacteria capable of efficiently degrading nitrobenzoic acids. These findings have broad implications in understanding the mechanism of protein self-association and the general half-site reactivity phenomena in homodimeric enzymes.

Experimental procedures

Protein preparation
The expression and purification strategy of ACMSD (GenBank™ accession no. Q83V25) from P. fluorescens KU-7 was described in a previous publication (24). In brief, the recombinant Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3), harboring plasmid pET16b-ACMSD, was induced by 0.5 mM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside when the A600 reached 0.6. After cell disruption, the lysate was centrifuged to remove cell debris and loaded on a nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid column. Unbound proteins were washed by buffer containing 50 mM NaPi, 20 mM imidazole, and 300 mM NaCl, pH 8. Finally, ACMSD was eluted by the buffer with 100 mM imidazole and desalted with the buffer containing 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.0.

SAXS data collection and initial analysis
SEC-SAXS (online SEC coupled to SAXS) data for ACMSD were collected at the SSRL beamline 4-2 (62). Experimental details and structural parameters are summarized in Table 1. Briefly, the two-tandem analytical Superdex 200 columns were used for the SEC-SAXS experiments and equilibrated with the running buffer containing 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, 5 mM DTT. ACMSD (4 mL/min) was injected into the SEC column with a flow rate of 0.05 mL/min. A total of 500 images were recorded with 1-s exposure in every 5 s. The first 100 images prior to the void volume were used for blank images. Data reduction and initial analysis were performed using SECPipe, a real-time data processing and initial analysis pipeline at SSRL beamline 4-2 (https://www-srl.slac.stanford.edu/smb-saxs/content/documentation-software-secpipe). Guinier analyses were performed on each SAXS profile of the eluted fractions using the program AUTORG (25), which is implemented in SECPipe. After assessing data quality (e.g., radiation damage of sample and cleanliness of sample cell), SAXS profiles of interest were selected in the region having consistent Rs values in the Guinier Rs−I(0) plot (Fig. S2B).

3 Please note that the JBC is not responsible for the long-term archiving and maintenance of this site or any other third party hosted site.
Crystallization of WT and H110A ACMSD proteins

ACMSD H110A was not able to be crystallized with the reported conditions. After screening, a new condition containing 8% (v/v) Tacsimate™, pH 6.0, and 20% (w/v) PEG 3350 was reported. After screening, a new condition containing 8% (v/v) Tacsimate™, pH 6.0, and 20% (w/v) PEG 3350 was reported. Conditions were optimized by using a Superdex 200 column under various buffers, including sodium phosphate (pH 6.0–6.5), HEPES (pH 7.0–8.0), Tris (pH 8.5), and CHES (pH 9.0), with and without the addition of 150 mM NaCl. The separation profiles were optimized with an AKTApurifier. The separation profile of wtACMSD at pH 8.5 was analyzed with UNICORN version 5.11 (Fig. 5B). The three eluted portions, higher-order oligomers, tetramer, and dimer were separated by drop lines, and the area under their respective curves was integrated with the unit ml/mAU. The percentages of each portion were calculated based on the integrated area and listed in Table S2. Then the same procedure was used to analyze the separation profiles under other conditions mentioned in the legends to Fig. 5 and Fig. S4. The results were summarized in Table S2.
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Figure S1. Calibration curve of standard proteins in MWGF200 Kit on Superdex 200. The standard proteins in the plot from top to bottom are β-amylase (200 kDa), alcohol dehydrogenase (150 kDa), albumin (66 kDa), carbonic anhydrase (29 kDa) and cytochrome C (12.4 kDa), respectively.
**Figure S2.** SEC-SAXS analysis of ACMSD. (A) The gel-filtration profile from an analytical Superdex column (Superdex 200 Increase PC 3.2/300). (B) Guinier $R_g$-$I(0)$ plot. $R_g$ values (blue circles) and the $I(0)$ forward scattering intensities (black line) were obtained by Guinier analysis on each SEC fraction. (C) Guinier plot of the high-ordered oligomer portion.
**Figure S3.** The back calculated scattering curves fitted with experimental SAXS curve. The black lines are the experimental curves, whereas the red lines are the theoretical SAXS curves generated based on dimer (A), trimer (B), two parallel-dimeric tetramer (C) and two tandem-dimeric tetramer (D).
Figure S4. SEC separation profiles of wtACMSD and H110A under pH 6.5 (A, B), and pH 8.5 (C, D). The separation profiles with addition of NaCl and without addition of NaCl are shown as red and black lines, respectively.
Figure S5. apoACMSD is found to be mostly dimer. The buffer contains 25 mM HEPES pH 7.0 and 150 mM NaCl. Black line, apoACMSD; red line, holo ACMSD.
| Protein     | Condition       | Ionic strength (mM) | $k_{\text{cat}}$ (s$^{-1}$) | $K_{\text{m}}$ (μM) |
|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| wtACMSD     | HEPES           | 5                   | 15.8 ± 1.4                  | 22.9 ± 5.9        |
|             | HEPES add NaF   | 39                  | 23.6 ± 1.0                  | 35.8 ± 2.2        |
| H110A       | HEPES           | 5                   | 9.95 ± 0.1                  | 26.1 ± 2.0        |
|             | HEPES add NaF   | 39                  | 27.8 ± 3.5                  | 54.7 ± 9.4        |
### Table S2. Percent of higher-ordered oligomer and tetramer of ACMSD and the H110A mutant in various buffer conditions

|          | Percent | pH 6.0 | pH 6.5 | pH 7.0 | pH 7.5 | pH 8.0 | pH 8.5 | pH 9.0 |
|----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| wtACMSD  | High-ordered | 0    | 18    | 46 (0)* | 24    | 0      | 3      | 3      |
|          | Tetramer | 80    | 66    | 47 (33)* | 63    | 80     | 77     | 78     |
| H110A    | High-ordered | 38   | 43    | 41 (48)* | 42    | 0      | 0      | 0      |
|          | Tetramer | 50    | 49    | 45 (31)* | 49    | 78     | 78     | 77     |

* The distribution measured under low ionic strength.
**Table S3.** Crystallization data collection and refinement statistics

|                     | wtACMSD 6MGS | H110A 6MGT |
|---------------------|--------------|------------|
| **Data collection** |              |            |
| Space group         | C222₁        | P4₁2₁2     |
| Cell dimensions: a, b, c (Å) | 102.483, 154.171, 154.560 | 90.934, 90.934, 170.107 |
| Molecules per asymmetry unit | 3 | 2 |
| Resolution          | 50 – 3.12 (3.17 – 3.12) \(^a\) | 50 – 2.77 (2.82 – 2.77) |
| No. of observed reflections | 21665 (1059) | 17420 (867) |
| Redundancy          | 4.4 (3.8)    | 5.0 (5.1)  |
| Completeness (%)    | 98.5 (97.0)  | 92.0 (94.5)|
| I/σ(I)              | 10.8 (1.2)   | 14.3 (1.1) |
| R\(_{\text{merge}}\) (%) \(^b\) | 95.1 (14.2) | 90.8 (11.4) |
| CC₁/₂ \(^c\)        | 99.3 (78.2)  | 99.4 (59.3) |
| **Refinement**      |              |            |
| R\(_{\text{work}}\) | 22.9         | 19.6       |
| R\(_{\text{free}}\) | 30.1         | 25.8       |
| RMSD bond length (Å)\(^e\) | 0.010 | 0.009 |
| RMSD bond angles (°) | 1.221       | 1.119      |
| **Ramachandran statistics** \(^f\) | | |
| Preferred (%)       | 89.1         | 94.4       |
| Allowed (%)         | 10.0         | 5.3        |
| Outliers (%)        | 0.8          | 0.3        |
| **Average B-factor (Å²)** | | |
| Chain A/B/C         | 45.5/66.5/61.8 | 72.0/86.9/NA |

\(^a\) Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

\(^b\) \(R\_{\text{merge}} = \Sigma_{hkl} \Sigma_{i} |I_i(hkl)| - \langle I(hkl) \rangle / \Sigma_{hkl} \Sigma_{i} |I_i(hkl)|\), in which the sum is over all the \(i\) measured reflections with equivalent Miller indices \(hkl\); \(\langle I(hkl) \rangle\) is the averaged intensity of these \(i\) reflections, and the grand sum is over all measured reflections in the data set.

\(^c\) According to Karplus and Diederichs (2).

\(^d\) All positive reflections were used in the refinement.

\(^e\) According to Engh and Huber (3).

\(^f\) Calculated by using MolProbity (4).
Table S4. Averaged $B$-factor values of each monomer of ACMSD and mutant structures

| Protein (PDB entry) | Space group | Resolution (Å) | $B$-factor ($Å^2$) | Ratio of chain B/chain A |
|---------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| ACMSD (2HBV)        | C121        | 1.65           | 32.6              | 49.8                   | 1.53                   |
| H228Y (4ERI)        | C121        | 2.00           | 36.7              | 54.3                   | 1.47                   |
| R51A/R239A (4IG2)   | C121        | 1.80           | 37.2              | 60.7                   | 1.63                   |
| R51A/R239A (4IFK)   | C121        | 2.00           | 32.1              | 47.3                   | 1.47                   |
| R51A (4IFO)         | $P_4_2_1_2$ | 2.50           | 47.6              | 61.0                   | 1.28                   |
| R239A (4IFR)        | $P_4_2_1_2$ | 2.40           | 72.5              | 84.0                   | 1.16                   |
| H228Y (4ERG)        | $P_4_2_1_2$ | 2.80           | 80.5              | 91.8                   | 1.14                   |
| H228Y (4ERA)        | $P_4_2_1_2$ | 2.40           | 54.4              | 65.0                   | 1.19                   |
| H228G (4EPK)        | $P_4_2_1_2$ | 2.60           | 61.3              | 76.2                   | 1.24                   |
| ACMSD (2HBX)        | $P_4_2_1_2$ | 2.50           | 61.8              | 76.6                   | 1.24                   |
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