Hysteretic Response of Solutes and Turbidity at the Event Scale Across Forested Tropical Montane Watersheds
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Concentration-discharge relationships are a key tool for understanding the sources and transport of material from watersheds to fluvial networks. Storm events in particular provide insight into variability in the sources of solutes and sediment within watersheds, and the hydrologic pathways that connect hillslope to stream channel. Here we examine high-frequency sensor-based specific conductance and turbidity data from multiple storm events across two watersheds (Quebrada Sonadora and Rio Icacos) with different lithology in the Luquillo Mountains of Puerto Rico, a forested tropical ecosystem. Our analyses include Hurricane Maria, a category 5 hurricane. To analyze hysteresis, we used a recently developed set of metrics to describe and quantify storm events including the hysteretic index (HI), which describes the directionality of hysteresis loops, and the flushing index (FI), which can be used to infer whether the mobilization of material is source or transport limited. We also examine the role of antecedent discharge to predict hysteretic behavior during storms. Overall, specific conductance and turbidity showed contrasting responses to storms. The hysteretic behavior of specific conductance was similar across sites, displaying clockwise hysteresis and a negative FI indicating proximal sources of solutes and consistent source limitation. In contrast, the directionality of turbidity hysteresis was significantly different between watersheds, although both had strong flushing behavior indicative of transport limitation. Overall, models that included antecedent discharge did not perform any better than models with peak discharge alone, suggesting that the magnitude and trajectory of an individual event was the strongest driver of material flux and hysteretic behavior. Hurricane Maria produced unique hysteresis metrics within both watersheds, indicating a distinctive response to this major hydrological event. The similarity in response of specific conductance to storms suggests that solute sources and pathways are similar in the two watersheds. The divergence in behavior for turbidity suggests that sources and pathways of particulate matter vary between the two watersheds. The use of high-frequency sensor data allows the quantification of storm events while index-based metrics of hysteresis allow for the direct comparison of complex storm events across a heterogeneous landscape and variable flow conditions.
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INTRODUCTION

Concentration-discharge (C-Q) relationships provide fundamental insight into the mobilization and export of solutes and sediment from watersheds (Chorover et al., 2017). C-Q relationships offer an integrated signal representing the combined effects of sources, flow paths, biogeochemical cycles, and watershed state-factors such as lithology, soil type, and climate on stream solute and sediment concentrations. Because storm events are responsible for a disproportionate amount of material transfer compared to base flow (Inamdar et al., 2006; Fellman et al., 2009), they are particularly important in estimation of annual fluxes. Developing general models of solute and sediment behavior across a range of flow conditions would thus provide insights into watershed function as well as valuable guidance for sampling regimes that will most efficiently capture the impacts of large events. The study of C-Q relationships from individual events is challenging as individual events can be highly complex. Difficulty in interpreting C-Q patterns from individual storm events results from their spatial and temporal variability, their inherently ephemeral nature, and the challenges associated with traditional sampling approaches (e.g., grab samples) during short-term pulse events.

Recent advancements in the development of in situ sensors offer the opportunity to collect temporally high-resolution data that can be used to describe storm events including those in remote locations. High-frequency data are particularly useful for understanding the hysteretic behavior of storm events. Solutes and sediments often display “hysteresis-loops” and the width, magnitude, and direction of these loops provide insight into how reservoirs of solutes and sediments are stored within the hillslope and exported to fluvial networks (Gellis, 2013; Koenig et al., 2017; Vaughan et al., 2017; Olshansky et al., 2018; Rose et al., 2018). Hysteresis occurs when concentrations at a given discharge differ on the rising and falling limbs of the hydrograph. Clockwise hysteresis is interpreted to reflect proximal and rapidly mobilized sources; whereas counterclockwise hysteresis reflects sources that are either proximal to the stream channel with slow travel times or those that are distal to the stream channel. The slope of the line describing C-Q relationships can be positive where the delivery of material is considered transport limited (flushing behavior), negative where the export of material is considered source limited (dilution behavior), or chemostatic where concentrations are independent of discharge (Evans and Davies, 1998; Godsey et al., 2009). Storm events can display complex hysteresis due to variability in the spatial and temporal patterns of precipitation and runoff during a single event (Williams, 1989), which can make comparison among events difficult. Also contributing to this variability and intra-storm complexity is antecedent moisture condition. For example, storms under dry conditions can mobilize solutes rapidly and promote clockwise hysteresis (Ávila et al., 1992; Biron et al., 1999). These events tend to deplete reservoirs of solutes and sediment from both the hillslope and stream channel, causing weaker C-Q relationships during the subsequent storm (McDowell and Asbury, 1994). On the other hand, high antecedent moisture increases connectivity, which expands the contributing area for sediment and solutes to more distal parts of the catchment, promoting counterclockwise hysteresis (Seeger et al., 2004). High-frequency sensor-based data can help provide detailed insights into the complexity of storm events.

Novel quantitative approaches are also providing new ways to describe hysteresis loops. As opposed to simply relying on the slope of the regression line in log(C)-log(Q) space, studies are increasingly incorporating an indexed-based approach to quantify event-based hysteretic behavior (Lawler et al., 2006; Lloyd et al., 2016; Zuecco et al., 2016; Vaughan et al., 2017). One of the strengths of an indexed approach is that it can be used to quantify C-Q relationships at multiple time-points during an individual storm event. This allows for a robust quantification of the storm’s central tendency (e.g., mean width of hysteresis loop) and variability, while facilitating the comparison of events across space and time. One such approach is the hysteresis index (HI) proposed by Lloyd et al. (2016) and modified by Vaughan et al. (2017). HI uses normalized concentration and discharge values (Lawler et al., 2006; Lloyd et al., 2016) to enumerate differences on the rising and falling limbs of the hydrograph. HI values range between −1 and 1, with negative and positive values representing counterclockwise and clockwise hysteresis, respectively. The magnitude of HI (i.e., width of loop) thus describes the difference in concentration between the rising and falling limb at points of equal discharge. Vaughan et al. (2017) further enhanced these index-based analyses by combining HI with a “flushing index” (FI), which compares normalized concentrations at the beginning of the storm and at peak discharge, thereby providing further description of solute and sediment behavior and delivery to the stream channel. Here we use the term “normalized slope” (NS) to describe FI and to avoid confusion with other FI terminology common in the stream biogeochemistry literature (e.g., McKnight et al., 2001) and to better capture the fact that this metric can be used to describe both flushing and diluting behavior. Normalized slope values also range between −1 and 1 with positive values representing a flushing response and negative values representing a dilution response.

In this study we used high-frequency data collected with specific conductance and turbidity sensors to better understand how variation in watershed characteristics across a heterogeneous tropical landscape affects the mobilization of material during storm events. One framework within which to consider spatial variation is the Critical Zone (CZ). The CZ is defined as the layer of the Earth’s surface from the lowest extent of freely circulating groundwater to the top of vegetative canopy (National Research Council [NRC], 2001). As such, the CZ incorporates lithology, regolith and soil, all terrestrial biota, and topography, providing a predictive framework for understanding the relationship between watershed structure and function (e.g., Wymore et al., 2017). Watersheds across the Luquillo Mountains, Puerto Rico, provide an ideal laboratory to examine how the structure of the CZ affects the export of material in a tropical landscape as affected by variations in lithology, forest composition, and topography while experiencing a similar climatic regime. It is this CZ template, especially lithology, that influences where material is stored and how flow paths are distributed both laterally and vertically.
We analyzed storm-generated hysteresis loops using the indices described by Lloyd et al. (2016) and Vaughan et al. (2017) in an effort to understand where solutes and sediment are stored within our study watersheds and the role of storms in mobilizing and exporting this material. We analyzed data from 46 unique hydrological events including a major category 5 hurricane.

We hypothesized that each of our study watersheds would have unique hysteretic behavior in response to storms and that these responses would be associated with lithology and would be similar to their long-term C-Q relationships. In the Luquillo Mountains, lithology exerts control on the long-term C-Q relationships of multiple solutes (McDowell and Asbury, 1994; Shanley et al., 2011; Stallard and Murphy, 2012; Gellis, 2013; Wymore et al., 2017) primarily by affecting weathering rates, hydrological flow paths and spatial variation in reservoirs of solutes and sediment (Shanley et al., 2011; Wymore et al., 2017). For example, in the volcaniclastic terrain of the Luquillo Mountains apatite weathering is the primary control on phosphate C-Q behavior, while biotic processes driven by nutrient limitation (Chadwick and Asner, 2016) influence the solute’s C-Q behavior in the granitic watershed (Wymore et al., 2017). We also expected that hysteresis metrics (HI and NS) would correlate with the magnitude of the storm (measured as peak Q) and that antecedent discharge would add predictive power to these models (Gellis, 2013). Quantitative and predictive frameworks that describe C-Q relationships across tropical watersheds are important because the tropics are understudied compared to temperate watersheds, despite the fact that they provide a disproportionate contribution to the global flux of solutes and sediment to the oceans (Hilton et al., 2008; Gellis, 2013; Schlesinger and Bernhardt, 2013). Understanding C-Q relationships and the associated drivers provides key information to resource managers who can benefit from predictive frameworks that describe how watersheds respond to disturbance events.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site Description

Study watersheds were located in the tropical Luquillo Mountains of northeastern Puerto Rico (Figure 1). The Luquillo Mountains are warm and wet, with a mean annual temperature of 20°C and mean annual precipitation varying between 2500 and 4500 mm as a function of elevation (Garcia-Martino et al., 1996; McDowell et al., 2012; Murphy et al., 2017). Our two study watersheds, Quebrada Sonadora (QS) and Rio Icacos (RI), vary in lithology (volcaniclastic rocks at QS and quartz diorite at RI), but have similar vegetation, elevation, and slope. A primary difference besides geology is the considerably higher rainfall and annual runoff at RI (McDowell and Asbury, 1994; Table 1).

Hurricane Maria (initially a category 5 hurricane) made landfall in Puerto Rico on September 19th, 2017. Hurricane Maria made a direct hit on the southeast corner of the island moving northwest across the island and over the Luquillo Mountains. The peak discharge associated with Hurricane Maria was 5- to 6.5-fold greater than the mean peak discharges of all other storms assessed in this study (Table 2). As such, data from Hurricane Maria were treated as an anomaly and not included in calculations of central tendencies or regression analyses (see section “Statistical Analyses”). We do, however, directly compare the hysteretic metrics and behavior of this major Atlantic hurricane to that of the mean storm response experienced by both watersheds (Table 2). We do not treat Hurricane Irma, another major Atlantic hurricane which grazed past Puerto Rico 1 week prior to Hurricane Maria, as an anomalous extreme event because the storm’s runoff and calculated hysteresis metrics were within the range of the other assessed storm events. Irma’s HI and NS values are thus included in calculations of central tendencies.

In situ Sensors

High-frequency specific conductance and turbidity data were collected using in situ sensors that were installed in 2014. In these Luquillo streams electrical conductivity is primarily driven by chloride, bicarbonate, magnesium, calcium, and sodium due to weathering and atmospheric inputs of sea salt. (McDowell and Asbury, 1994). Specific conductance was measured with an independent Onset HOBO conductance probe or a Campbell Scientific CS527 conductivity probe connected to a Campbell CR 1000 datalogger. Turbidity was measured with a Turner Designs Cyclops 7 turbidity probe at 850 nm (Turner Designs, Sunnyvale, CA, United States). The Campbell conductance and Turner turbidity probes were controlled and read by a Campbell Scientific CR1000 datalogger and data were transmitted in near real time to a database with CUASHI Observational Data Model (ODM2). Onset HOBO data were manually downloaded from the probe and uploaded to ODM2 on a web interface. QA/QC was performed on the data in ODM2 by staff at the University of...
TABLE 1 | Watershed characteristics and background hydrologic and stream chemistry metrics for Quebrada Sonadora (QS) and Rio Icacos (RI).

| Site | Area (km²) | Elev (MASL) | Slope (°) | Discharge (mm h⁻¹) | Specific conductance (µS/cm) | TSS (mg/L) | Lithology | Vegetation |
|------|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| QS   | 2.62       | 740         | 17.4      | 0.121             | 49.56                       | 1.6        | Vc (66.1%) | Co (32%)   |
|      |            |             |           |                   |                             |            | Hf (33.9%)| Co (53%)   |
|      | RI         | 3.26        | 686       | 14.6              | 0.306                       | 56.93      | QD (99.4%)| Co (78%)   |
|      |            |             |           |                   |                             |            | Hf (0.6%) | DP (20%)   |

Volcaniclastic (Vc); Quartz Diorite (QD); Hornsfels (Hf); Sierra Palm (SP); Colorado (Co); Dwarf Palm (DP); Tabonuco (Tab). Discharge, specific conductance, and turbidity presented as median values.

TABLE 2 | Summary statistics of storm events across Quebrada Sonadora (QS) and Rio Icacos (RI) and during Hurricane Maria.

| Site | # of events | Peak Q (mm h⁻¹) | HI | Norm. slope |
|------|-------------|-----------------|----|-------------|
|      |             |                 |    |             |
| QS   | 8           | 4.97            | 0.373² | (0.178) |
|      |             |                 | −0.709² | (0.372) |
| Maria | 1          | 30.62           | 0.233¹ | (0.152) |
|      |             |                 | −0.092¹ | (NA)   |
| RI   | 26          | 8.55            | 0.374² | (0.121) |
|      |             |                 | −0.809² | (0.249) |
| Maria | 1          | 73.18           | 0.372 | (0.271) |
|      |             |                 | −0.082¹ | (NA)   |

| Site | # of events | Peak Q (mm h⁻¹) | HI | Norm. slope |
|------|-------------|-----------------|----|-------------|
|      |             |                 |    |             |
| QS   | 18          | 6.46            | 0.083¹ | (0.138) |
|      |             |                 | 0.751¹ | (0.266) |
| Maria | 1          | 30.62           | 0.046¹ | (0.155) |
|      |             |                 | 0.145¹ | (NA)   |
| RI   | 26          | 8.55            | −0.071³ | (0.150) |
|      |             |                 | 0.772² | (0.215) |
| Maria | 1          | 73.18           | −0.574¹ | (0.178) |
|      |             |                 | 0.47¹ | (NA)   |

HI: hysteresis index; Norm Slope: normalized slope describing flushing/diluting behavior of rising limb during storm event. All values are means ( ± 1SD). Bold-face values are significantly different than zero (one-sample t-test) and different superscript letters represent statistically significant differences between sites (Student’s t-test). The symbol (†) denotes significant differences between Hurricane Maria and the mean response of the other storms (one-sample t-test).

New Hampshire. These measures primarily included eliminating spikes and making linear drift corrections for fouling.

Runoff and Storm Identification

Instantaneous stream discharge was provided by the USGS National Water Information System (U.S. Geological Survey, 2018) for Rio Icacos (RI: station 50075000) using their 15-min record and a Campbell stage logger at QS. At QS the rating curve was established using historic USGS data and stage height measured at 15-min intervals. Discharge was measured in cubic feet per second and then converted to runoff. Discharge records, stored in ODM2, were interrogated for high flow events using ODM2 Admin with the Django Object-Relational Mapper (ORM). To identify the start of a storm event, we used a discharge threshold criterion of 3.5 and 6.3 mm h⁻¹ at QS and RI, respectively. These threshold criteria represent approximately 30- and 20-fold increases in runoff relative to mean baseflow. The beginning of the storm was then identified as an inflection point that distinguished the start of the event from antecedent conditions. The end of the storm was identified as the point on the falling limb where discharge approached baseflow conditions or when another hydrological event commenced. Using these criteria, we assessed 18 events in QS and 26 storms in RI with peak storm discharge ranging from 3.93 to 21.25 mm h⁻¹ in QS and 6.29–27.15 mm h⁻¹ in RI. Hurricane Maria had a peak discharge of 30.62 mm h⁻¹ in QS and 73.18 mm h⁻¹ in RI.

Hysteresis Indices

Detailed methods describing the calculation of the HI and normalized slope (i.e., flushing index) can be found in Lloyd et al. (2016) and Vaughan et al. (2017) but are also briefly described here.

The HI as proposed by Lloyd et al. (2016) uses normalized concentration and discharge data (Eqs 1A,B):

$\text{Normalized } Q_i = \frac{Q_i - Q_{\text{min}}}{Q_{\text{max}} - Q_{\text{min}}} \quad (1A)$

$\text{Normalized } C_i = \frac{C_i - C_{\text{min}}}{C_{\text{max}} - C_{\text{min}}} \quad (1B)$

where $Q_i$ and $C_i$ are discharge and concentration, respectively, of time interval $i$; $Q_{\text{min}}$ and $C_{\text{min}}$ are minimum storm values; and $Q_{\text{max}}$ and $C_{\text{max}}$ are maximum storm values. Normalization of the data is key to facilitate comparison of storm-based metrics across events, as all events are assessed on the same scale (Lloyd et al., 2016). Because real-time measurements do not always occur at 2% intervals of discharge, we used piecewise linear regression to determine normalized solute concentrations ($C_{\text{norm}}$) at each 2% interval of normalized discharge ($Q_{\text{norm}}$) on both the rising and falling limbs of the storm.

To describe each storm event, HI was calculated at each 2% discharge interval (Vaughan et al., 2017). Values derived from this index range between −1 and 1. Negative values describe counterclockwise hysteresis; positive values describe...
clockwise hysteresis. A value of zero indicates no hysteresis (Lloyd et al., 2016).

\[ HI = C_{RL} - C_{FL} \]  

(2)

where \( C_{RL} \) and \( C_{FL} \) represent normalized concentration values on the rising and falling limbs, respectively. A mean HI and standard deviation for each storm was then calculated for all 50 pairs of values.

We also calculated the NS following Butturini et al. (2008) and Vaughan et al. (2017). This index uses normalized concentration and discharge data at the beginning of the storm and at peak discharge to describe a flushing or dilution effect on the rising limb of the hydrograph (Eq. 3):

\[ \text{Normalized Slope} = C_{Qpeaknorm} - C_{initialnorm} \]  

(3)

where \( C_{Qpeaknorm} \) is the normalized concentration at peak discharge and \( C_{initialnorm} \) is the normalized concentration at the beginning of the storm. Normalized slope values also range from −1 to 1. Negative values describe a diluting effect on the rising limb, while positive values indicate a flushing effect (Vaughan et al., 2017).

**Long-Term C-Q Patterns**

To compare storm hysteretic behavior to long-term C-Q patterns, we used data collected by the US Geological Survey (USGS) and the Luquillo Long-Term Ecological Research (LTER) and Critical Zone Observatory (CZO) programs. The long-term QS data record incorporates 1,500 specific conductance and total suspended sediment (TSS) data points at approximately weekly intervals across 30 years (1983–2013). Discharge data for QS were obtained from the USGS (station 50075000). Specific conductance was measured in the field using a handheld probe. TSS was measured by filtering 0.5–2 L of water through pre-combusted Whatman GF/F glass fiber filters and weighed at the International Institute of Tropical Forestry in Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico or at the USGS Caribbean-Florida Water Science Center (Stallard and Murphy, 2012). We used log-log analyses \([\log(C)-\log(Q)]\) to determine the long-term C-Q behavior for both specific conductance and TSS within each watershed (e.g., Johnson et al., 1969; Godsey et al., 2009).

**Statistical Analysis**

We used a one-sample \( t \)-test to determine if mean HI and mean NS values for each site were statistically different than zero. In these analyses, zero represents no predictable directionality of the hysteresis loop (\( H_i \): clockwise/counterclockwise) and no predictable flushing or dilution behavior (\( N_i \)). We then used Student’s \( t \)-test to compare HI and NS between sites. We used simple linear regression to determine if there was a relationship between peak storm discharge and peak specific conductance and turbidity, mean HI, and NS. We used multiple linear regression to examine whether adding antecedent discharge explained additional variation in the response variables compared to peak storm Q alone. Accordingly, our multiple regression models examined average Q over the antecedent 6, 12, and 24 h prior to the onset of the storm. We chose these time intervals to be able to compare with results from McDowell and Asbury (1994). We then examined model performance with stepwise backward elimination regression comparing Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). To evaluate the response to Hurricane Maria we used a one-sample \( t \)-test. In this series of analyses, we set \( \mu \) to the HI or NS value recorded during the hurricane event and tested whether the mean response of the other storms was significantly different. All analyses were performed in R using the base functions except for the stepwise regression which used the MASS package (Venables and Ripley, 2002).

**RESULTS**

**Specific Conductance**

Contrary to our hypothesis, the directionality and flushing behavior of specific conductance were highly congruent across our two study watersheds (Figures 2, 3 and Table 2) with nearly identical mean HI values (0.373–0.374; Table 2). In both watersheds, mean HI for specific conductance was positive and significantly different than zero indicating clockwise hysteresis (QS: \( p = 0.003; \) RI: \( p < 0.0001 \)). Specific conductance normalized slopes were also not significantly different between watersheds (\( p = 0.468; \) Table 2). In both watersheds, NS values were strongly negative and significantly different than zero (QS: \( p = 0.0002; \) RI: \( p < 0.0001 \)). These results reflect a diluting relationship between discharge and specific conductance during storms and indicate a significant source limitation of the solutes that contribute to specific conductance.

**Turbidity**

The response of turbidity to storm events contrasted to the response of specific conductance (Figures 2, 3 and Table 2). As hypothesized, turbidity HI was significantly different between watersheds (\( p < 0.0001; \) Table 2). In QS, turbidity HI was positive and significantly greater than zero (\( p = 0.004 \)) showing clockwise hysteresis. Turbidity HI in RI was negative and significantly less than zero showing counterclockwise hysteresis (\( p < 0.0001 \)). Both watersheds had turbidity normalized slopes that were positive and significantly greater than zero (\( p < 0.0001 \) for both), with no statistical difference between watersheds. The positive normalized slopes indicate that the mobilization of sediment in both QS and RI was transport limited.

**Regression Models and Model Performance**

The explanatory power of peak and antecedent discharge on hysteresis behavior (i.e., peak specific conductance and turbidity,
mean HI, and NS) was inconsistent across sites, metrics and models (Table 3). Evaluation of model performance via AIC indicated, however, that when significant relationships were detected, peak Q alone was consistently the best model to predict minimum and maximum concentrations of specific conductance and turbidity, and metrics of hysteresis. While consideration of antecedent Q added explanatory power to some models, the multi-parameter models performed no better than simple linear regression models of peak Q alone.

As hypothesized, peak discharge correlated significantly with peak turbidity in both watersheds for the individual storms sampled with sensors (Table 3). Contrary to our expectations, the relationship between peak turbidity and peak discharge was much stronger in QS ($r^2 = 0.785$) than in RI ($r^2 = 0.168$), even though the larger weekly data set shows that TSS is better predicted by Q in RI than in QS (see section “Long-Term C-Q Patterns”). In contrast, minimum specific conductance was correlated with peak discharge only in RI. The lack of a relationship in QS may be the result of a smaller sample size than in RI.

**Hurricane Maria**

Hurricane Maria generated unique hysteretic patterns (Figure 4 and Table 2). In QS, specific conductance HI showed clockwise hysteresis, but average HI was significantly less than the mean of the other analyzed storms ($p = 0.046$) indicating smaller differences in concentration on the rising and falling limbs of the storm. Normalized slope of specific conductance in QS during Maria was also significantly different from the other storms ($p < 0.001$) and much closer to zero (Figure 4A). Patterns of turbidity during Maria in QS also differed significantly from other storms with a slightly negative HI ($p < 0.001$). Although the normalized slope for turbidity in QS during Maria remained positive (Figure 4B), there was a significant decrease in the slope ($p < 0.001$) of approximately five-fold.

In RI during Maria, specific conductance HI was positive and nearly identical to the other storms ($p = 0.48$; Table 2), but the normalized slope was significantly less negative than the other storms ($p < 0.001$) and approached zero indicating less pronounced diluting behavior (Figure 4C). Turbidity HI was significantly more negative than other storms ($p < 0.001$) in RI demonstrating strong counterclockwise hysteretic behavior. And similar to turbidity in QS, normalized slope in RI during Maria remained positive (Figure 4D) but was significantly less (1.64x) than the other storms ($p < 0.001$; Table 2).

**Long-Term C-Q Patterns**

As hypothesized, storm hysteresis flushing and diluting behavior (i.e., positive and negative FI values, respectively) matched long-term C-Q patterns with respect to source versus transport limitation as the primary control mechanism. In both watersheds, specific conductance demonstrated strong diluting behavior across a range of flow conditions (Figures 5A,C) indicating source limitation. Discharge explained 41 and 50% of the variation in specific conductance in QS and RI, respectively. In
FIGURE 3 | Plots of (A) specific conductance and (B) turbidity storm HI versus storm normalized slope at both Quebrada Sonadora (QS) and Rio Icacos (RI). Upper-left hand quadrants represent a clockwise diluting response; upper right-hand quadrants represent a clockwise flushing response; lower left-hand quadrants represent counterclockwise diluting response; and lower right-hand quadrants represent a counterclockwise flushing response. The responses due to Hurricane Irma and Hurricane Maria are also labeled.

TABLE 3 | Simple and multiple linear regression results of storm and hysteresis metrics with peak and antecedent discharge (AQ) averaged over 6, 12, and 24 h at Quebrada Sonadora (QS) and Rio Icacos (RI).

| Site/model                      | Equation parameters (SE) | $r^2$ | p      | AIC   |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|--------|-------|
| QS: Response variable           | EQ: Predictor variables  |       |        |       |
| Mean HI specific conductance    | Peak Q                   | 0.002 (0.001) | 0.259 | 0.162 | -27.04 |
| Mean HI specific conductance    | Peak Q + 24 h AQ         | -0.011 (0.004) | 0.697 | 0.028 | -25.40 |
| Peak turbidity                  | Peak Q                   | 2.18 (0.286) | 0.785 | <0.0001 | 182.91 |
| Peak turbidity                  | Peak Q + 6 h AQ          | -2.12 (3.01) | 0.792 | <0.00001 | 206.90 |
| Peak turbidity                  | Peak Q + 12 h AQ         | 0.444 (3.06) | 0.785 | <0.00001 | 208.09 |
| Peak turbidity                  | Peak Q + 24 h AQ         | 1.21 (2.58) | 0.788 | <0.00001 | 208.71 |
| RI: Response variable           | RI: Predictor variables  |       |        |       |
| Minimum specific conductance    | Peak Q                   | -0.020 (0.007) | 0.232 | 0.013 | 96.94  |
| Minimum specific conductance    | Peak Q + 6 h AQ          | 0.068 (0.035) | 0.340 | 0.008 | 103.75 |
| Minimum specific conductance    | Peak Q + 12 h AQ         | 0.093 (0.036) | 0.407 | 0.002 | 103.78 |
| Minimum specific conductance    | Peak Q + 24 h AQ         | 0.030 (0.029) | 0.267 | 0.028 | 103.71 |
| Norm. slope specific conductance| Peak Q                   | -0.0004 (0.0003) | 0.067 | 0.201 | -71.91 |
| Norm. slope specific conductance| Peak Q + 6 h AQ          | 0.003 (0.001) | 0.252 | 0.036 | -70.16 |
| Norm. slope specific conductance| Peak Q + 12 h AQ         | 0.004 (0.001) | 0.331 | 0.010 | -70.99 |
| Norm. slope specific conductance| Peak Q + 24 h AQ         | 0.002 (0.001) | 0.244 | 0.040 | -71.19 |
| Peak turbidity                  | Peak Q                   | 1.01 (0.458) | 0.168 | 0.038 | 311.05 |
| Peak turbidity                  | Peak Q + 6 h AQ          | -5.37 (2.01) | 0.358 | 0.006 | 315.64 |
| Peak turbidity                  | Peak Q + 12 h AQ         | -5.72 (2.18) | 0.359 | 0.006 | 315.60 |
| Peak turbidity                  | Peak Q + 24 h AQ         | -2.41 (1.75) | 0.231 | 0.049 | 315.80 |

Results shown only when a significant relationship ($\alpha = 0.05$) was detected within a set of analyses. AIC scores determined by stepwise regression with backward elimination. Bold faced AIC values denote best model.
FIGURE 4 | The response of specific conductance and turbidity to Hurricane Maria in Quebrada Sonadora (QS; A,B) and Rio Icacos (RI; C,D). Red/orange colors represent the beginning of the storm and blue/purple colors represent the end of the storm. Arrows also provide beginning and ending points of each event. HI and normalized slope (NS) values are presented for each storm. Note that both axes are log-scaled.

close contrast, concentrations of TSS became enriched (flushing) with increases in discharge in both watersheds indicating transport limitation (Figures 5B,D). However, the relationship between TSS and discharge was much weaker in QS ($r^2 = 0.13$) compared to RI ($r^2 = 0.55$).

**DISCUSSION**

The application of high-frequency sensor data in tandem with indexed-based metrics allowed us to describe and quantify hysteresis and effectively compare storm events. Storm-based C-Q relationships often show complex hysteretic behavior including non-linear and figure-eight patterns where concentrations on the rising and falling limbs intersect multiple times. Index-based approaches such as the HI (Lloyd et al., 2016; Vaughan et al., 2017) can be used to describe an event at multiple time points capturing intra-storm complexities, and objectively integrate the complexity. With the normalization of the data (Lawler et al., 2006; Lloyd et al., 2016), storm events are placed onto a similar scale supporting the direct comparison of the response of solute and sediment across space and time. Storms in the Luquillo Mountains reveal a high degree of complexity making the use of these metrics appropriate for this landscape (e.g., Figures 2A,D,G).

Our analysis showed that the mobilization and export of sediments are largely decoupled from that of solutes during storm events (Figure 3) and that solutes are generally source limited, while sediment is generally transport limited. Across both study watersheds, specific conductance showed a consistent response to changes in discharge during storm events. The directionality as measured by HI (clockwise) and strong negative normalized slopes of specific conductance were virtually identical in the two sites. These patterns suggest that the primary source of solutes in both watersheds is located near the stream channel and is rapidly mobilized and depleted during storm events due to near-surface flow paths. Higher concentrations on the rising limb likely reflect the storage of solutes in riparian zones and the contribution of marine aerosols transported inland via the predominant east-west storm tracks (McDowell et al., 1990). Contrary to our expectations, we did not see any evidence that the hysteretic responses of specific conductance during storm events is the result of different lithographic templates. While lithology is known to influence flow paths including length, depth, and reaction time (e.g., McDowell et al., 1992), as well as porosity and reactive minerals in the weathering zone, lithology might be responsible for some differences in solute concentration among sites across the Luquillo Mountains (Bluth and Kump, 1994; McDowell and Asbury, 1994; Wymore et al., 2017). Lithology does not, however, appear to play a role in determining hysteretic behavior of those solutes as measured by specific conductance. This finding is consistent with a recent study that did not find a relationship between the structure of the Critical Zone and the C-Q behavior of multiple solutes in streams of the Luquillo Mountains (Wymore et al., 2017).

In contrast to specific conductance, turbidity showed less pronounced patterns of hysteresis with respect to directionality. Although turbidity had a strong flushing response to increases
in discharge (positive NS values), we computed several near-zero HI values. HI values closer to zero signify minimal hysteresis but may also result from complex concentration dynamics in multi-peaked storms. The lack of differentiation between concentrations on the rising and falling limbs of the storms indicates reservoirs of sediment both proximal and distal to the stream channel. This conclusion contrasts with many reports (Smith and DragoVich, 2009; Aich et al., 2014; Rose et al., 2018) including from the Luquillo Mountains and RI (Gellis, 2013; Clark et al., 2017). In an assessment of sensor-collected turbidity data during rewetting events following a major drought in RI, Clark et al. (2017) reported a clockwise C-Q response for turbidity (Figure 6). Our HI analysis on the other hand, indicates that the turbidity C-Q relationship generally lacks directionality across events, including a reanalysis of the data used in Clark et al. (2017; see Figure 6). We do not believe this is an artefact of the index-based approach as computed HI values were consistent with the clear hysteresis of specific conductance. The lack of directionality, and supply of seemingly inexhaustible sources of sediment, may be the result of RI’s extremely high weathering rates (White et al., 1998). The minimal hysteresis that we have observed challenges the notion that the majority of sediment mobilization occurs within the stream channel (McDowell and Asbury, 1994) and that the increase in energy during the rising limb of the hydrograph is the primary mechanism resulting in hysteresis.

We point to two mechanisms that account for these opposing results and interpretations. First, peak turbidity in RI is not consistently associated with peak flow as visually apparent in Figures 2H, 6, for example, and from the relatively weak correlation coefficient describing the relationship between peak turbidity and peak Q. After peak turbidity is reached, there are distinct periods of dilution behavior as the system continues toward peak flow. We suggest that this offset of peak turbidity coincides with peak storm intensity (Clark et al., 2017) rather than peak stream discharge, and initial flushing behavior is the direct result of sediment mobilization from the hillslope rather than flow in the stream channel. Second, the additional source of sediment that must be activated to maintain concentrations during the falling limb is the result of slopewash (Larsen et al., 1999) and particle movement via subsurface seepage. Recent evidence demonstrates the mobilization of particles can occur within the subsurface (Herndon et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2018), a finding that challenges previously held models that particles in the soil profile are assumed to be difficult to transport. Our field observations show that seepage of groundwater or shallow interflow can occur at many points along the stream banks within the RI watershed. Although we would expect both seepage and particle mobilization within the clay-rich RI soils to occur at very slow rates, it has been documented throughout the Luquillo Mountains that earthworms are an important agent of change within the subsurface responsible for increasing soil porosity (Larsen et al., 2012). Changes within the subsurface due to the burrowing behavior of earthworms provide the needed porosity and macropore space for seepage and saturated overland flow that will introduce novel sources of
particles. These watershed-scale phenomena, in addition to in-stream processes above the point of sampling, may help to explain the uninterrupted supply of sediment during the recession limb. We conclude that after normalizing the data to peak flow, the processes that mobilize particles during early and late stages of storm events are more evident and their effects result in a lack of strong directionality and hysteresis. This series of observations points to interactions between biological, geological and hydrological mechanisms that can drive watershed exports from the Critical Zone.

We also explored relationships between peak storm discharge and the role of antecedent discharge to influence patterns of hysteresis and found inconsistent patterns between watersheds. Peak turbidity in both QS and RI correlated significantly with peak discharge but this pattern was much stronger in QS than in RI, contrary to expectations derived from the long-term TSS C-Q patterns. These contrasting responses to flow between turbidity and TSS likely reflect both methodological differences in turbidity between the rising and falling limb of the hydrograph.

In the quartz diorite, soils are consistently coarser across the riparian to upland catena than they are in the volcaniclastic lithology, which is exceedingly clay-rich (McDowell et al., 1992) and delivers little sand or gravel to the stream bed compared to the diorite, as reflected in stream bed pebble counts in the two lithologies (Potter et al., 2010). The greater diversity of particle size in the stream bed of RI likely contributes to the high degree of unexplained variation in our analysis. The relative uniformity in particle size in QS is likely why the inclusion of antecedent discharge in our regression models did not add any additional explanatory power to our predictions of hysteresis and peak turbidity. In RI, however, antecedent discharge added explanatory power to our models, suggesting that it may capture the complex manner in which a heterogeneous particle load changes in response to variation in discharge. The negative parameter estimates associated with regression models that include antecedent Q provides some support for a flushing effect of previous storms on bed sediment that can decrease the supply of mobilizable particles ahead of the subsequent storm, a mechanism previously proposed for RI (Gellis, 2013). However, because models that considered antecedent Q did not outperform models of peak Q alone, such results must be interpreted with caution. Although previous Luquillo-based studies also found that antecedent Q provided additional explanatory power in predicting sediment and solute concentrations (McDowell and Asbury, 1994; Gellis, 2013), our analyses suggest that the primary mechanisms controlling the magnitude of material flux and hysteresis metrics are the magnitude and intensity of the individual storm events.

Overall, the response of solute and sediment export to Hurricane Maria differed from the other storms we assessed, including Hurricane Irma. All hysteresis metrics, except RI's specific conductance HI value, were significantly different from the average storm response. Many of Hurricane Maria's metrics also moved closer to zero, suggesting a homogenizing effect of this major hurricane on hysteresis. For example, specific conductance HI in QS decreased approximately 38% indicating less differentiation between concentrations of solutes on the rising and falling limb of the hurricane's hydrograph.

Similarly, specific conductance normalized slope in QS went from steeply negative (−0.709) to nearly zero (−0.092) indicating a more chemostatic response during the storm (Figure 4A), with a similar pattern observed in RI (Figure 4C). These significantly different values in normalized slope values for specific conductance likely reflect the entrainment of sea salt during Hurricane Maria so that the typically observed dilution response was muted. Typically storm events have a strong dilution response in the Luquillo Mountains including for concentrations of sea salt (Shanley et al., 2011). Specific conductance values in QS during Hurricane Maria however, reached as high as 104 µS/cm which is a 2.6x increase above where other more typical storms begin their dilution response. And the intra-storm variability in specific conductance during Hurricane Maria far exceeded other evaluated storms including Hurricane Irma (Figure 7). The magnitude of Maria appears to be an exception with respect to delivery of sea salts ultimately resulting in a chemostatic response for specific...
conductance. RI’s mean turbidity HI during the hurricane also became considerably more negative, indicative of strong counterclockwise hysteresis. This unique pattern in RI reflects a significant secondary pulse and mobilization of particles distal to the stream channel that may not occur during storms of smaller magnitude. Possible sources of this large secondary pulse include bank collapse (Simon et al., 2000; Rinaldi et al., 2004), landslides (Larsen and Torres-Sanchez, 1992) and in-channel sand activation, all of which require the additional energy supplied by a storm of such magnitude. Changes in hysteresis due to Hurricane Maria indicate that hurricanes and other large storms may surpass a threshold that activates these unique watershed-scale processes and where the associated flood wave travels faster than the sediment wave (Marcus, 1989; Gellis, 2013), collectively resulting in strong counterclockwise hysteresis.

Few studies have been able to capture within-storm hysteretic dynamics of an extreme event the magnitude of Hurricane Maria. Previous studies have incorporated less frequent ISCO-based sampling (Dhillon and Inamdar, 2013) while others have assessed the falling limb of the hydrograph (Shanley et al., 2011; Rue et al., 2017). To our knowledge, this study represents one of the first to describe and quantify solute and sediment hysteretic behavior during an extreme event using automated high-frequency in situ sensors capturing both the rising and falling limbs of the hydrograph. While we might hypothesize an increase in exports resulting from the observed switch from dilution to chemostasis for specific conductance and the effect of landslides (in addition to the overall erosive nature of such events; Larsen and Torres-Sanchez, 1992), measured fluxes due to cyclonic events reveal inconsistent patterns. For example, dissolved organic carbon and particulate organic carbon (POC) from Hurricane Irene accounted for 56 and 19%, respectively, of annual exports from a temperate forested watershed (Dhillon and Inamdar, 2013), whereas in the western and tropical Pacific, cyclones can mobilize 77–92% of the total POC load (Hilton et al., 2008) and deliver approximately 32% of the suspended sediment load (Darby et al., 2016). In contrast, suspended sediment loads due to Hurricane Hugo, which made landfall in Puerto Rico in September 1989, were lower than expected due to debris dams which created local backwater effects, reducing stream velocity and decreasing sediment loads (Gellis, 1993). Hurricane Maria, however, may have surpassed critical thresholds above which exports become highly concentrated.

Previous efforts to understand material export during storms, especially in remote locations, have been hampered due to the difficulties associated with event-based sampling. High-frequency in situ sensors provide novel insights into storm-based C-Q dynamics including major hydrological events. Understanding the complexities within and among storms is critical because of the disproportionate effects that storms have on material export (Hilton et al., 2008; Raymond and Saiers, 2010; Inamdar et al., 2017) and the substantial contribution of tropical mountainous landscapes to global exports from land to ocean. Using recently developed index-based approaches, we demonstrate that solutes are generally source limited while sediment is generally transport limited in the Luquillo Mountains. We also provide evidence that turbidity may not have a hysteretic response to changes in flow due to the combined effects of precipitation, seepage and saturation overland flow. Insights from these hysteresis metrics suggest the presence of previously unaccounted for sources of sediment and processes of sediment mobilization that can influence material export from a tropical Critical Zone.
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