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Abstract

The experiment was conducted at Agronomy field laboratory, Dept. of Agronomy and Agricultural Extension, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi during 2019-2020 to identify the diverse genotypes of wheat related to heat tolerance. Twenty wheat genotypes/lines were used as a plant materials in this experiment. The wheat genotypes/lines were evaluated in heat stress environments. Non-hierarchical clustering grouped the wheat genotypes into five clusters. The cluster II indicated the maximum number of genotypes following the cluster V, III and IV. Plant height and spikes m\(^{-2}\) were major traits contributed mostly towards genetic divergence. Selection of parents for these two traits has good scope to get broad spectrum of segregates. The cluster V had the highest grains spike\(^{-1}\), spikelet’s spike\(^{-1}\), 1000-grain weight, grain yield and biomass whereas canopy temperature, heading days and maturity days were lowest. Cluster I had the lowest grain yield, spikes m\(^{-2}\), grains spike\(^{-1}\), biomass and chlorophyll content, plant height, spikelet’s spike\(^{-1}\) and 1000-grain weight. Five pairs of clusters viz. the clusters (I and V, I and II, I and IV, III and V and IV and V) may be considered for getting more heterotic \(F_1\). The genotypes G 02 and G 11 of cluster I and genotypes G 6, G 15, G 17, G 18 and G 20 of cluster V may be considered as parents for future hybridization program to obtain diverse genotypes related to heat tolerance.
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Introduction

Wheat is the most widely grown cereal crop which plays an important role in world food security. It ranks second among major cereals next to rice and ensure a vital role in food security of teeming hungry millions of people of Bangladesh. The climate of our world is changing gradually. Global warming has been a major issue in recent years. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) predicted 1.8°C to 4.0°C temperature increase by the end of 21 century. Bangladesh is not an exceptional from it. The length of winter season is so short compared to the summer in northern part of Bangladesh. Here the temperature begins to increase from mid-February when wheat crop starts its physiological stage. As a result, heat is a major factor in wheat production. Late sowing is the main constraint to low yield of wheat in Bangladesh.
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As a result, in grain filling stage, wheat is faced into high temperature reducing the duration of grain filling. This situation greatly hampers its grain filling and also the production of wheat also. Thus, heat stress limiting the yield of wheat. The effect of climate change is the evident on the quality of wheat, as increased heat results in shriveled wheat grains (Tadesse et al., 2013). To adapt new crop varieties to the future climate, we need to understand how crops respond to elevate temperatures and how tolerance to heat can be improved (Halford, 2009). Genetic diversity is crucial for adaptability and survival of wheat species against the threat of high temperature. Success in crop improvement generally depends on the magnitude of genetic variability and the extent to which the desirable characters are important. Germplasm evaluation will be of great significance for selection of heat-tolerant genotypes and for improving grain yield under high temperature. Conceiving the above idea, the present investigation was undertaken, to study the genetic divergence of spring wheat genotypes related to heat tolerance.

**Materials and methods**

The experiment was conducted out at Agronomy field laboratory, Dept. of Agronomy and Agricultural Extension, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi during 2019-2020. Twenty wheat genotypes (varieties/ lines) were used for conducting the study which are presented in the Table I.

**Table 1. Socio-demographic Profile of Study Participants (n=13)**

| Entry code       | Source |
|------------------|--------|
| G 01 (BARI Gham 21) | BARI   |
| G 02 (BARI Gham 26) | BARI   |
| G 03              | CIMMYT |
| G 04              | CIMMYT |
| G 05              | CIMMYT |
| G 06              | CIMMYT |
| G 07              | CIMMYT |
| G 08              | CIMMYT |
| G 09              | CIMMYT |
| G 10              | CIMMYT |
| G 11              | CIMMYT |
| G 12              | CIMMYT |
| G 13              | CIMMYT |
| G 14              | CIMMYT |
| G 15              | CIMMYT |
| G 16              | CIMMYT |
| G 17              | CIMMYT |
| G 18              | CIMMYT |
| G 19              | CIMMYT |
| G 20              | CIMMYT |

Alpha Lattice Design was adopted for the experiment with two replications. The seeds were sown by hand continuously in lines (line to line distance was 20 cm) on 25 December 2019. Different intercultural operations were done timely. Data were collected on physiological and yield
component characters related to heat tolerance. The collected data were subjected to different biometrical analysis by using GENSTAT 5.13 and Microsoft Excel 2010 software to determine principal component analysis, principal coordinate analysis, cluster analysis and canonical vector analysis were done.

Results and discussion

Principal component analysis

The principal component analysis of principal component axes and percentage of total variation are presented in the Table 2. The principal component analysis produces thirteen values of principal component axes. The first axis accounted 32.51% of the total variation among the genotypes. The first two axes accounted 55.11% of the total variation. This result indicate that these components were highly responsible for genetic divergence. Sharma (1998) reported that principal component analysis reflects the importance of the largest contributor towards the total variation.

Table 2. Eigen values and percent of variation of traits towards divergence in wheat genotypes

| Principal component axes | Eigen values | Contribution (%) | Cumulative variation (%) |
|--------------------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| a                        | 4.2266       | 32.51            | 32.51                   |
| b                        | 2.9381       | 22.60            | 55.11                   |
| c                        | 1.3698       | 10.54            | 65.65                   |
| d                        | 1.2348       | 9.50             | 75.15                   |
| e                        | 1.1075       | 8.52             | 83.67                   |
| f                        | 0.5499       | 4.23             | 87.90                   |
| g                        | 0.5302       | 4.08             | 91.98                   |
| h                        | 0.4200       | 3.23             | 95.21                   |
| i                        | 0.2939       | 2.26             | 97.47                   |
| j                        | 0.1919       | 1.48             | 98.95                   |
| k                        | 0.0867       | 0.67             | 99.62                   |
| l                        | 0.0502       | 0.38             | 100                     |
| m                        | 0.0003       | 0.00             | 100                     |

were highly responsible for genetic divergence. Sharma (1998) reported that principal component analysis reflects the importance of the largest contributor towards the total variation.

Non-hierarchical clustering

The genotypes were significantly different from each other for grain yield, morphological and physiological traits. By using covariance matrix, the wheat genotypes were grouped into five clusters (Table 3). Cluster II had the maximum genotypes (9) followed by cluster V. Cluster I had the minimum number of genotypes (2) followed by cluster III and IV. Pattern of distribution of genotypes among various clusters reflected the genetic divergence present in the genotypes. This
Agricultural Extension, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi during 2019-2020. Twenty wheat varieties were studied for genetic divergence. The experiment was conducted at the Agronomy field laboratory, Dept. of Agronomy and Biometry, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi. The aim of the study was to investigate the genetic divergence of spring wheat varieties to assess their adaptability to future climate conditions. Elevated temperatures can lead to shriveled wheat grains as reported by Tadesse (2006). As a result, during grain filling stage, wheat is exposed to high temperature which reduces the duration of grain filling.

Principal component analysis was performed using biometrical analysis software GENSTAT 5.13 and Microsoft Excel 2010. The study found that the first two axes accounted for 55.11% of the total variation. The results indicate that these components are essential for understanding the genetic divergence among the wheat genotypes. Sharma (1998) reported that principal component analysis is highly responsible for genetic divergence. Non-hierarchical clustering was also used to group the wheat genotypes into five clusters. The clusters were determined based on the minimum number of genotypes (2) followed by cluster III and IV. The pattern of distribution of physiological traits showed that the wheat genotypes were significantly different.

Table 3. Distribution of 20 wheat genotypes in five clusters

| Clusters | No. of genotypes | % of total entries | Genotypes |
|----------|------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| I        | 2                | 10                 | G 2, G 11 |
| II       | 9                | 45                 | G 1, G 5, G 7, G 8, G 9, G 10, G 14, G 16, G 19 |
| III      | 2                | 10                 | G 12, G 13 |
| IV       | 2                | 10                 | G 3, G 4  |
| V        | 5                | 25                 | G 6, G 15, G 17, G 18, G 20 |

The genetic variability of the wheat genotypes may be due to their adaptation to specific environmental conditions. These results are agreed with that of Ferdous et al. (2011). They carried out an experiment with 24 genotypes of bread wheat and got similar results with five clusters. Arega et al. (2006) obtained similar results on exotic and indigenous durum wheat genotypes in the northeast Ethiopia.

Canonical variate analysis

Canonical variate analysis was done to calculate the inter-cluster distances. The intra and inter-cluster distances are presented in Table 4. Genotypes of the same cluster are expected to be genetically similar than genotypes of different clusters. The intra-cluster distance varied from 2.08 to 0.95. The highest intra-cluster distance was observed in cluster II and the lowest one was found in cluster III. The highest inter-cluster distance was noted between clusters I and V (25.614) followed by between I and II (17.869) and between III and V (17.544). The maximum value of inter-cluster distance indicating genetic divergence among the genotypes of the cluster I and of cluster V. Similarly, the higher inter-cluster distance between clusters I, II and III and V indicated that these genotypes of each pair of clusters have wide range of diversity. The lowest distance (2.743) was found between the clusters III and IV followed by the distance (7.481) between II and IV suggesting close relationship among the genotypes within these pairs and considered as less diverged. Ghaderi et al. (1984) and Diers et al. (1996) reported that parents for hybridization could be selected on the basis of large inter-clusters distance for the isolation of useful recombinants in the segregating generations. As a result diverse lines from different clusters

Table 4. Average intra and inter cluster values for wheat genotypes

| Cluster | I  | II  | III | IV  | V  |
|---------|----|-----|-----|-----|----|
| I       | 2.01 |     |     |     |    |
| II      | 17.869 | 2.08 |     |     |    |
| III     | 14.409 | 9.303 | 0.95 |     |    |
| IV      | 16.524 | 7.481 | 2.743 | 2.03 |    |
| V       | 25.614 | 8.583 | 17.544 | 15.256 | 2.06 |
should be chosen for crossing in wheat breeding program. The average inter-cluster distances were higher than the average intra-cluster distances indicated wider range of genetic diversity among the genotypes. This results are in agreement with that of Choudhury et al. (2006).

Contribution of traits towards divergence

Contribution of characters towards divergence of the genotypes are presented in the Table 5. Jagadev and Samal (1991) reported that the trait contributing maximum to the divergence are used to give greater emphasis for deciding on the cluster for the purpose of further selection and in the choice of parents for hybridization. Negative values indicated lower contribution of the trait in the respective vector while positive values indicated higher contribution.

In vector I obtained from Principal Component Analysis, the important traits responsible for genetic divergence were harvest index (420.50), canopy temperature at vegetative stage(0.40), grains spike$^{-1}$(0.27) and chlorophyll content of flag leaf (0.21) at grain filling stage. In vector II heading days (2.55), canopy temperature (2.32) at grain filling stage, 1000-grain weight (0.46) and plant height (0.18) were the important characters because of positive signs. Canonical Variate

Table 5. Latent vectors for thirteen characters in wheat genotypes

| Traits                       | Vector I | Vector II |
|------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Plant height (cm)            | -0.07    | 0.18      |
| Spike m$^{-2}$ (no.)         | 0.00     | -0.07     |
| Grain spike$^{-1}$ (no.)     | 0.27     | -0.37     |
| Spikelets spike$^{-1}$ (no.) | 0.39     | 2.25      |
| 1000-grain weight (g)        | -0.31    | 0.46      |
| Yield (kg/ha)                | -0.06    | 0.04      |
| Biomass (kg/ha)              | 0.03     | -0.01     |
| Heading days                 | -0.14    | 2.55      |
| Maturity days                | -1.35    | -3.15     |
| CT vegetative stage (°C)     | 0.40     | -2.54     |
| CT vegetative stage (°C)     | -0.53    | 2.32      |
| CCFL at grain filling stage  | 0.21     | -1.00     |
| Harvest index                | 420.50   | -285.35   |

CT= Canopy temperature, CCFL= Chlorophyll content of flag leaves

Analysis results revealed that spikelet’s spike$^{-1}$ had the positive values for both the vectors having higher contribution to the genetic divergence among genotypes studied. However, only maturity days had negative values in both the vectors indicated that this trait had lowest contribution to the total divergence. This results indicated the highest contribution towards the divergence among wheat genotypes. So, the divergence in the present materials in respect of spikelet’s spike$^{-1}$ will be a good scope for the improvement of heat tolerance through selection of parents. Choudhury et al. (2006) studied genetic diversity in 37 spring wheat genotypes. They observed that spike length and
grain spike\(^{-1}\) were found to have the highest contribution towards total divergence. Zaman et al. (2010) conducted an experiment on genetic diversity of wheat and noted that days to maturity and 1000-grain weight were found to have highest contribution towards total divergence.

**Intra-cluster mean**

Mean performance clusters for thirteen traits are presented in the Table 6. Different clusters remarkably distinguished for most of the traits indicating proper clustering. Mean values for harvest index was same for all the clusters among the characters studied. The highest grains spike\(^{-1}\), spikelets spike\(^{-1}\), 1000-grain weight, grain yield and biomass were found in cluster V. The lowest canopy temperature was noted at vegetative stage and also the grain filling stage in this cluster also. So, it has ability to maintain cooler canopy at vegetative as well as grain filling stage. This feature might have played a key role for all the positive attributes. The lowest grain yield was observed in cluster I. This cluster had the lowest spikes m\(^{-2}\), grains per spike\(^{-1}\), biomass, and chlorophyll content of flag leaf at grain filling stage. This cluster had the 2\(^{nd}\) highest heading days, maturity days and canopy temperature at vegetative stage. The highest heading days, maturity days and plant height were reported in cluster III. It also had the highest spikes m\(^{-2}\) and 2\(^{nd}\) highest spikelets spike\(^{-1}\) and chlorophyll content of flag leaf at grain filling stage and the lowest canopy temperature at grain filling stage and 2\(^{nd}\) lowest grain yield and canopy temperature at grain filling stage. These results were not enough to ensure higher yield because of some undesirable values of desirable traits. Cluster II had 2\(^{nd}\) highest grain yield as it had highest 1000-grain weight, 2\(^{nd}\) highest grains spike\(^{-1}\) and biomass and lowest heading days and maturity days. Although it had lowest spikelets spike\(^{-1}\) but it was not affecting the grain yield so much.

**Table 6. Mean performance of clusters for thirteen characters in wheat genotypes**

| Traits                          | Cluster mean |
|---------------------------------|--------------|
|                                 | I    | II   | III  | IV   | V    |
| Plant height (cm)               | 88.7 | 88.8 | 93.7 | 81.0 | 89.9 |
| Spike m\(^{-2}\) (no.)          | 325.3| 354.8| 372.8| 356.3| 354.2|
| Grain spike\(^{-1}\) (no.)      | 31.6 | 36.4 | 34.5 | 32.5 | 42.6 |
| Spikelets spike\(^{-1}\) (no.)  | 17.4 | 17.2 | 17.6 | 17.4 | 18.2 |
| 1000-grain weight (g)           | 24.9 | 29.0 | 23.4 | 25.0 | 29.0 |
| Yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\))          | 1959.4| 2979.3| 2375.0| 2444.0| 3550.4|
| Biomass (kg ha\(^{-1}\))        | 5401.9| 7758.1| 6227.5| 6809.0| 9067.8|
| Heading days                    | 62.5 | 60.1 | 63.0 | 61.7 | 61.7 |
| Maturity days                   | 86.5 | 85.5 | 87.0 | 86.0 | 86.4 |
| CT vegetative stage (°C)        | 21.0 | 20.9 | 20.5 | 21.6 | 20.2 |
| CT at grain filling stage (°C)   | 28.1 | 28.5 | 27.3 | 28.7 | 27.6 |
| CCFL at grain filling stage      | 32.1 | 36.8 | 38.8 | 41.3 | 38.6 |
| Harvest index                   | 0.4  | 0.4  | 0.4  | 0.4  | 0.4  |

CT= Canopy temperature, CCFL= Chlorophyll content of flag leaves
Selection of genotypes for future hybridization program

Generally, crosses should be made among the parents having the most divergent clusters for obtaining maximum heterosis and wide range of variability. It is at par with Seetharaman et al., (1988). They suggested that genotypes among the clusters separated by $D^2$ values could be used in hybridization program for obtaining wide range of variability among the segregates. The higher inter cluster distances were noted between I and V. To select the clusters for more heterotic $F_1$, five pairs of clusters (I and V, I and II, I and IV, III and V and IV and V) to be considered for this purpose. Considering the distances of cluster and cluster mean, the genotypes of cluster I and genotypes of cluster V may be considered as parents for future hybridization program for obtaining heat tolerant lines for better yield.

Conclusion

$D^2$ statistics divided 20 wheat genotypes into five clusters where inter-cluster distances were higher than the intra-cluster distances. Among studied traits, spikelets spike$^{-1}$ had a positive role towards genetic divergence. Selection of parents for this trait offers good scope to get wide range of segregates. Five pairs of clusters (I and V, I and II, I and IV, III and V and IV and V) may be considered for obtaining more heterotic $F_1$. It may be concluded that the genotypes of cluster I and the genotypes of cluster V may be considered as parents for future hybridization program to get better result in heat stress condition.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to extend thank to Ministry of Science and Technology for financial support to conduct the experiment and also grateful to MS student named M. Al Sabah of this department who is from Somalia.

References

Arega GM, Hussein and Harjit S 2007. Genetic divergence in selected durum wheat genotypes of Ethiopian plasm, *Afr. Crop Sci. J.* 15(2): 67-72.

Choudhury MJA, Alam AKMM, Begum H and Hasan MJ 2006. Genetic diversity of wheat genotypes for some quantitative traits. M.Sc. Thesis. Department of Biotechnology, BAU, Mymensingh, Bangladesh.

Diers BW, Mcvetty PBE and Osborn TC 1996. Relationship between heterosis and genetic distance based on RFLP markers in *Triticum. Crop Sci.* 36: 79-83.

Ferdous M, Nath UK and Islam A 2011. Genetic divergence and genetic gain in bread wheat through selection, *J. Bangladesh Agril. Univ.* 9(1): 1-4.

Ghaderi A, Adams MW and Nassib AM 1984. Relationship between genetic distance and heterosis for yield and morphological traits in dry oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L). *Crop Sci.* 24: 24-37.

Halford NG 2009. New insights on the effects of heat stress on crops. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 60(15): 4215-4216.
Intercultural operations were done timely. Data were collected on physiological and yield genotypes (varieties/ lines) were used for conducting the study which are presented in the Table I.

Genotypes related to heat tolerance. Success in crop improvement how tolerance to heat can be improved (Halford, 2009). Genetic diversity is crucial for adaptability heat stress limiting the yield of wheat. The effect of climate change is the evident on the quality of As a result, in grain filling stage, wheat is faced into high temperature reducing the duration of grain

Non-hierarchical clustering analysis reflects the importance of the largest contributor towards the total variation. The principal component analysis of principal component axes and percentage of total variation are first two axes accounted 55.11% of the total variation. This result indicate that these components component axes. The first axis accounted 32.51% of the total variation among the genotypes. The

hybridization could be selected on the basis of large inter-clusters distance for the isolation of et al. (1996) reported that parents for

but it was not affecting the grain yield so much. Cluster II had 2nd highest grain yield as it had highest 1000-grain weight, 2nd highest grains spike-1 and of cluster V. Similarly, the higher inter-cluster distance between clusters I, II and III and V (25.614) followed by between I and II (17.869) and between III and V (17.544). The maximum distance (2.743) was found between the clusters III and IV followed by the distance (7.481)

Cluster II had 2nd highest grain yield as it had highest 1000-grain weight, 2nd highest grains spike-1 and biomass and lowest heading days and maturity days. Although it had lowest spikelets spike-1 and of cluster V. Similarly, the higher inter-cluster distance between clusters I, II and III and V (25.614) followed by between I and II (17.869) and between III and V (17.544). The maximum distance (2.743) was found between the clusters III and IV followed by the distance (7.481)

Contributions of characters towards divergence of the genotypes are presented in the Table 5. Jagadev and Samal (1991) reported that the trait contributing maximum to the divergence are used to give greater emphasis for deciding on the cluster for the purpose of further selection and in the choice of parents for

Genetical and Physiological Analysis for Heat Tolerance in Spring Wheat 03(1) 2021

References

Jagadev PN and Samal KM 1991. Multivariate analysis in niger. Indian J. Genet. 55(30): 252-253.

Mahalanobis PC 1928. Historical note on the D² statistics, Sankhya 19: 237-239.

Mahalanobis PC 1949. Historical note on the D² statistics, Sankhya 10: 134-149.

Sectharaman R, Shinha DEN, Deshmukh RMK and Banergee SP 1988. Genetic divergence in wheat, Indian J. Genet. 48: 189-194.

Sharma RC 1998. Selection for biomass yield in wheat, Euphytica. 70(1&2): 35-42.

Tadesse W, Morgounov AI, Braun HJ, Akin B, Keser M and Kaya Y 2013. Breeding progress for yield and adaptation of winter program (IWWIP wheat targeted to irrigated environments at the international winter wheat improvement), Euphytica. 194(2): 177-185.

Zaman MA, Khatun MT, Bhuiyan MMH, Moniruzzaman M and Yousuf MN 2010. Genetic diversity in groundnut, Bangladesh J. Pl. Breed. Genet. 23(1): 45-49.