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Abstract. We construct a generalization of twistor spaces of hypercomplex manifolds and hyper-Kähler manifolds \( M \), by generalizing the twistor \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) to a more general complex manifold \( Q \). The resulting manifold \( X \) is complex if and only if \( Q \) admits a holomorphic map to \( \mathbb{P}^1 \). We make branched double covers of these manifolds. Some class of these branched double covers can give rise to non-Kähler Calabi-Yau manifolds. We show that these manifolds \( X \) and their branched double covers are non-Kähler. In the cases that \( Q \) is a balanced manifold, the resulting manifold \( X \) and its special branched double cover have balanced Hermitian metrics.

1. Introduction

Non-Kähler geometries exist in both heterotic string theory and type II string theory, in the presence of fluxes. In the compactification of heterotic string theory to four dimensional Minkowski spacetime [11, 56, 48], the internal six-manifolds can become non-Kähler in the presence of fluxes. Various models of constructing heterotic manifolds and their vector-bundles have been put forward, see for example [48, 8, 16, 17, 9, 5, 32, 6, 12, 4]. They play an important role in searching for realistic string theory vacua with four dimensional Minkowski spacetime. The non-Kähler manifolds and balanced manifolds can also occur in type II string theory, in the presence of three-form fluxes and five-brane sources. For example, they have appeared in the context of eight-dimensional Hermitian manifolds in type IIB string theory, see [30, 34, 41, 45].

An interesting type of non-Kähler manifolds are the balanced Hermitian manifolds (see [33]). They are Hermitian manifolds with a Hermitian form \( \omega \) and a holomorphic form. For a non-Kähler balanced manifold, its Hermitian form \( \omega \) is not closed, however, \( \omega^{p-1} \) is closed, where \( p \) is the complex dimension of the manifold. Under appropriate blowing-downs or contractions of curves, some classes of non-Kähler balanced manifolds can become Kähler and have projective models in algebraic geometry (see for example [39, 28, 31]).

Twistor spaces [38, 7] provide an important type of non-Kähler manifolds. Given an oriented Riemannian four-manifold \( M \), there is an associated twistor space of \( M \), sometimes denoted as \( \text{Tw}(M) \). The construction of the twistor spaces uses a special twistor \( \mathbb{P}^1 \). The twistor \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) parametrizes the set of almost complex structures of the associated twistor space [7].

There are several classes of manifolds whose twistor spaces are of great interest. One class of manifolds are the four-manifolds with self-dual conformal structure [39, 37, 7], such as the connected sum of \( n \) copies of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \)'s, i.e., \( n\mathbb{P}^2 \). Their twistor spaces are complex (see for example [28, 40, 27]). For the simplest cases \( n = 0 \) and \( 1 \) respectively, the manifolds are \( S^4 \) and \( 

\begin{align*}
\text{and their twistor spaces are} & \mathbb{P}^3 \text{ and the flag manifold } \mathbb{F}_{1,2} \text{ respectively, which are Kähler [19]. For the cases } n \geq 2, \text{ the twistor spaces } \text{Tw}(n\mathbb{P}^2) \text{ are non-Kähler manifolds (see [28, 40, 27]). The branched double covers of the twistor spaces were analyzed in [31, 18], in which by choosing appropriate branch divisors, the branched double covers can give rise to non-Kähler Calabi-Yau manifolds, with trivial canonical bundle. They provide interesting examples of non-Kähler Calabi-Yau manifolds (see for example [52, 50, 31]). We can also construct various vector bundles on them [31].
Another class of manifolds whose twistor spaces are very interesting are the hyper-Kähler and hypercomplex manifolds. Hypercomplex manifolds are complex manifolds with a two-sphere’s worth of complex structures satisfying quaternionic relations (see for example [10, 47, 22, 44]). They are generalizations of the hyper-Kähler manifolds, but their associated Hermitian forms are not closed. The hyper-Kähler manifold is a special case of the hypercomplex manifold when it has a Kähler structure. Their twistor spaces [43, 24] are also complex manifolds, equipped with balanced metrics (see for example [23, 24, 51]). There are also twistor spaces of various other manifolds, see for example [3, 36, 21] and the references therein.

In this paper, we construct a generalization of the twistor spaces of hypercomplex manifolds and hyper-Kähler manifolds, by generalizing the twistor $\mathbb{P}^1$ to a more general complex manifold $Q$, to obtain a higher dimensional manifold $X$. We will also make branched double coverings of these manifolds $X$, branched along appropriate divisors. Some of these branched double covers produce non-Kähler Calabi-Yau manifolds. In the cases that $Q$ is a balanced manifold, the resulting manifold $X$ and its special branched double cover have balanced metrics.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2.1 we give a preliminary account of the hypercomplex and hyper-Kähler manifolds, and their twistor spaces. In Section 2.2 we present a generalization of the twistor spaces of hypercomplex manifolds and hyper-Kähler manifolds $M$, by changing the twistor $\mathbb{P}^1$ to a general complex manifold $Q$. Then in Section 2.3 we make branched double covers of these manifolds $X$, branching along appropriate divisors. Some of these branched double covers can generate non-Kähler Calabi-Yau manifolds. In Sections 3.1 and 3.2 we describe a useful Lemma and discuss positivity methods that will be useful for showing the Hermitian metrics of these manifolds that we construct. In Sections 3.3 and 3.4 we show that the resulting manifold $X$ and its special branched double cover have balanced Hermitian metrics, if in addition $Q$ is a balanced manifold. In Section 3.5 we show that these manifolds $X$ and their branched double covers are non-Kähler. Finally in Section 4 we briefly make conclusions and discuss some related directions.
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2. Higher dimensional analogs of twistor spaces

2.1. Twistor space of hyper-Kähler and hypercomplex manifolds. Let us first give preliminary accounts of hyper-Kähler and hypercomplex manifolds and their twistor spaces. Let $(M, I, J, K, g)$ be a hypercomplex manifold with $\dim \mathbb{C} M = r = 2k$, where $I, J, K$ are the complex structures: $TM \rightarrow TM$, with relations

\[ I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = -1, \quad IJ = K, \quad JK = I, \quad KI = J. \]

and $g$ is the Riemannian metric compatible with $I, J, K$. Then we can define real two-forms

\[ \omega_I(X, Y) := g(I X, Y), \quad \omega_J(X, Y) := g(J X, Y), \]

\[ \omega_K(X, Y) := g(K X, Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{x}(M), \]

where $\mathfrak{x}(M)$ is the Lie algebra of vector fields on $M$. The twistor space of $M$ is then defined as

\[ Z(M) := \mathfrak{x}(M)^* \]

where $\mathfrak{x}(M)^*$ is the dual space of vector fields. The twistor space $Z(M)$ is a complex manifold with a balanced metric, and it is the space of all complex lines in the cotangent bundle of $M$. The twistor space is a generalization of the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1$.

In this paper, we construct a generalization of the twistor spaces of hypercomplex manifolds and hyper-Kähler manifolds, by generalizing the twistor $\mathbb{P}^1$ to a more general complex manifold $Q$, to obtain a higher dimensional manifold $X$. We will also make branched double coverings of these manifolds $X$, branched along appropriate divisors. Some of these branched double covers produce non-Kähler Calabi-Yau manifolds. In the cases that $Q$ is a balanced manifold, the resulting manifold $X$ and its special branched double cover have balanced metrics.
where $\mathcal{X}(M)$ is the space of vector fields on $M$. Let
\[ e_1, \ldots, e_k, \mathbf{I}e_1, \ldots, \mathbf{I}e_k, \mathbf{J}e_1, \ldots, \mathbf{J}e_k, \mathbf{K}e_1, \ldots, \mathbf{K}e_k \]
be the orthonormal basis for $M$. Then
\[ e^1, \ldots, e^k, -\mathbf{I}e^1, \ldots, -\mathbf{I}e^k, -\mathbf{J}e^1, \ldots, -\mathbf{J}e^k, -\mathbf{K}e^1, \ldots, -\mathbf{K}e^k \]
is the dual basis. Here we remark that, for example, $\mathbf{I}\mathbf{J}e^1 = -\mathbf{K}e^1$ by the extended definition $(\mathbf{I}e^i)(e_k) = e^i(\mathbf{I}e_k)$. Hence if we consider
\[ e_1, \ldots, e_k, \mathbf{J}e_1, \ldots, \mathbf{J}e_k, \mathbf{I}e_1, \ldots, \mathbf{I}e_k, \mathbf{K}e_1, \ldots, \mathbf{K}e_k \]
and define
\[ \alpha^i = e^i - \sqrt{-1}\mathbf{I}e^i, \quad \eta^i = -\mathbf{J}e^i - \sqrt{-1}\mathbf{K}e^i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, k, \]
then we can deduce
\[ \omega_{\mathbf{I}} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\alpha^i \wedge \alpha^i + \eta^i \wedge \eta^i). \tag{2.1} \]
Similar to this process and using some simple calculation, we can also get
\[ \omega_{\mathbf{J}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\alpha^i \wedge \eta^i + \alpha^i \wedge \eta^i), \tag{2.2} \]
\[ \omega_{\mathbf{K}} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-\alpha^i \wedge \eta^i + \alpha^i \wedge \eta^i). \tag{2.3} \]
Let us parametrize the twistor $\mathbb{P}^1$ with $[Z_1, Z_2]$, where $Z_1, Z_2$ are complex numbers. Then the standard biholomorphic map between $\mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathbb{S}^2$ is defined by
\[ \varsigma : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \]
\[ [Z_1, Z_2] \mapsto \left( \frac{Z_1 \overline{Z}_2 + \overline{Z}_1 Z_2}{|Z_1|^2 + |Z_2|^2}, \sqrt{-1} \frac{Z_1 Z_2 - \overline{Z}_1 \overline{Z}_2}{|Z_1|^2 + |Z_2|^2}, \frac{|Z_1|^2 - |Z_2|^2}{|Z_1|^2 + |Z_2|^2} \right). \]
In this paper, considering the extended definition of the complex structures on forms above, we use the map
\[ \varsigma : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \]
\[ [Z_1, Z_2] \mapsto \left( \frac{|Z_2|^2 - |Z_1|^2}{|Z_1|^2 + |Z_2|^2}, \frac{Z_1 \overline{Z}_2 + \overline{Z}_1 Z_2}{|Z_1|^2 + |Z_2|^2}, \sqrt{-1} \frac{Z_1 Z_2 - \overline{Z}_1 \overline{Z}_2}{|Z_1|^2 + |Z_2|^2} \right). \tag{2.4} \]
Therefore, our orientation on $\mathbb{S}^2$ is opposite with the ordinary one.

We can consider the twistor space $Z$ of hypercomplex manifold $M$ with a product smooth structure $Z = M \times \mathbb{P}^1$ (see for example [20]). However, the complex structure on $Z$ is given by
\[ T = \left( \frac{|Z_2|^2 - |Z_1|^2}{|Z_1|^2 + |Z_2|^2} \mathbf{I} + \frac{Z_1 \overline{Z}_2 + \overline{Z}_1 Z_2}{|Z_1|^2 + |Z_2|^2} \mathbf{J} + \sqrt{-1} \frac{Z_1 Z_2 - \overline{Z}_1 \overline{Z}_2}{|Z_1|^2 + |Z_2|^2} \mathbf{K}, I_0 \right). \tag{2.5} \]
where $I_0$ is the standard complex structure on $\mathbb{P}^1$. 


2.2. Higher dimensional analogs of the twistor space of hyper-Kähler and hypercomplex manifolds. We can generalize the twistor space of hypercomplex manifolds $M$ to higher dimensional analogs, by changing the twistor $\mathbb{P}^1$ to a more general and usually higher dimensional complex manifold $Q$. In this subsection we present the construction of this generalization.

Let $Q$ be a complex manifold with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Q = n$ and

\[ h : Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \]

be a smooth map. Assume that $(U, z^1, \cdots, z^n)$ and $(V, \zeta = Z_1/Z_2)$ are the local coordinates of $Q$ and $\mathbb{P}^1$ respectively. The map $h$ can be expressed as

\[ h : z = (z^1, \cdots, z^n) \mapsto \zeta = \zeta(z). \]

Using (2.5) and the map $\zeta \circ h : Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$, we can define an almost complex structure on the manifold $X = M \times Q$ with product smooth structure by (for later use, we use local coordinates to express it)

\[ I := (I_M, I) \]

where $I$ is the complex structure on $Q$, and

\[ I_M := \frac{1 - \zeta}{1 + |\zeta|^2}(z)I + \frac{\zeta + \bar{\zeta}}{1 + |\zeta|^2}(z)J + \sqrt{1 - |\zeta|^2}(z)K. \]

**Theorem 2.1.** Using the notations above, $(X, I)$ is a complex manifold if and only if $h$ is a holomorphic map.

**Proof.** We use the Newlander-Nirenberg theorem [35]. This theorem says that complex coordinates exist if for any $(1,0)$ form $\theta$, i.e., $\theta$ is a complex-valued one-form with $I\theta = -\bar{\theta}$, one has

\[ d\theta = \theta^i \wedge \beta_i, \]

for $(1,0)$ forms $\theta^i$ and general one-forms $\beta_i$. This can be seen as the complex version of the Frobenius integrability condition. For any $(1,0)$ form $\varphi$ on $M$ for the complex structure $I$ on $M$, this can also be seen as a one-form on $X$, and it follows that (cf. [20]) (Note the remarks about the complex structure on forms above)

\[ I_M(\varphi - \zeta K \varphi) = \sqrt{-1}(\varphi - \zeta K \varphi). \]

Therefore, let $\varphi^1, \cdots, \varphi^r$ be a local basis of $(1,0)$ forms for the complex structure $I$ on $M$. Then

\[ \varphi^j - \zeta K \varphi^j, \quad dz^j, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n \]

give a basis for the $(1,0)$ forms of $X$.

Write a $(1,0)$ form $\theta$ for the complex structure $I$ as $\theta = \varphi - \zeta K \varphi$, where $\varphi$ is a $(1,0)$ form for the complex structure $I$. Then we have

\[ d\theta = d_M(\varphi - \zeta K \varphi) - \partial_Q \zeta \wedge K \varphi - \overline{\partial_Q} \zeta \wedge K \varphi. \]

Obviously, the Nirenberg tensor of $I_M$ is zero, which implies $d_M(\varphi - \zeta K \varphi) \in \Lambda^{2,0} M \oplus \Lambda^{1,1} M$, where $\Lambda^{p,q} M$ is for the complex structure $I_M$. Then we get

\[ d\theta = d(\varphi - \zeta K \varphi) \equiv -\overline{\partial_Q} \zeta \wedge K \varphi \quad (\text{mod } \varphi^1 - \zeta K \varphi^1, \cdots, \varphi^r - \zeta K \varphi^r, dz^1, \cdots, dz^n). \]

Therefore, $I$ is integrable if and only if

\[ \overline{\partial_Q} \zeta = 0, \]

i.e., $h$ is a holomorphic map. \qed
Let us consider a branched double cover of $X$ as a result, there must exist a meromorphic function on $Q$. Branched double covers and non-Kähler Calabi-Yau manifolds.

2.3. Branched double covers and non-Kähler Calabi-Yau manifolds. Using the holomorphic map $h : Q \to \mathbb{P}^1$, we construct a complex manifold $(X, \mathbb{I})$ for the hypercomplex manifold $M$. Let us consider a branched double cover of $X$ constructed in the previous subsection to obtain $\hat{X}$. By choosing appropriate divisors for the branch locus, the resulting double covers can have trivial canonical bundle and hence they provide examples of non-Kähler Calabi-Yau manifolds.

We construct branched double covers of $X$ by branching along a divisor $D \subset X$. Therefore we define a covering map,

$$\phi : \hat{X} \to X,$$

branched along $D$. The canonical class of $\hat{X}$ is given by

$$K_{\hat{X}} = \phi^* \left( K_X + \frac{1}{2}[D] \right).$$

We can have different branched covers, depending on different types of branch divisors, similar to those of $\mathbb{II}$ and $\mathbb{I}$. There are several interesting types, particularly the divisors in the linear system $| - mK_X |$ with $m = 1$ or $m = 2$ respectively. One type is by choosing the divisor class $[D] = -K_X$. 

By the definition of $\mathbb{I}$, we can deduce the following obvious properties.

**Proposition 2.2.** Using the notations as above, assume that $h : Q \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is holomorphic. For the higher dimensional analogs $X$ of twistor spaces, there hold

1. The canonical projection $\pi : X \to Q$ given by $(w, q) \mapsto q$ is holomorphic.
2. For any $p \in M$ fixed, $i_p : Q \to X$ defined by $q \mapsto (p, q)$ is also holomorphic.

We remark that for the case $Q = \mathbb{P}^1$, this property can be found in $\mathbb{II}$ and $i_p$ is the twistor line or twistor $\mathbb{P}^1$ corresponding to the point $p \in M$.

The holomorphic map $h : Q \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is non-constant if and only if there exists a holomorphic line bundle $L$ on $Q$ such that we can find $s_1, s_2 \in H^0(Q, L)$ with no common zero points. Indeed, for the “if” direction, we can define

$$h : Q \to \mathbb{P}^1, q \mapsto [s_1(q), s_2(q)].$$

It is easy to see that $h$ is well defined. For the “only if” direction, note that $h$ can be written locally as

$$h|_{U_\alpha} : U_\alpha \to \mathbb{P}^1, \quad q \mapsto [f_{1\alpha}(q), f_{2\alpha}(q)] \in \mathbb{P}^1,$$

where $f_{i\alpha} : U_\alpha \to \mathbb{C}$, $i = 1, 2$ are holomorphic functions with no common zero points by the definition of $h$. On $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$, we have $[f_{1\alpha}(q), f_{2\alpha}(q)] = [f_{1\beta}(q), f_{2\beta}(q)]$ and hence there exists a holomorphic function $h_{\alpha\beta} : U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \to \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $(f_{1\alpha}(q), f_{2\alpha}(q)) = h_{\alpha\beta}(q)(f_{1\beta}(q), f_{2\beta}(q))$, for all $q \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. It is easy to check the cocycle condition that $h_{\alpha\beta}h_{\beta\gamma} = h_{\alpha\gamma}$ and $h_{\alpha\alpha} = 1$. Hence $\{h_{\alpha\beta}\}$ define a holomorphic line bundle $L$ and $\{f_{i\alpha}\} \in H^0(Q, L)$, $i = 1, 2$ have no common zero points.

In the case $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Q = 1$, this condition can be always satisfied since by the Riemann-Roch theorem, there exists non-constant meromorphic functions on any Riemann surfaces which are equivalent to holomorphic maps to $\mathbb{P}^1$. Also all such maps are the branch covering of $\mathbb{P}^1$. This case was analysed by $\mathbb{I}$, and see related discussions of $g = 3$ case $\mathbb{II}$ and $g = 1$ case $\mathbb{I}$. 

As a result, there must exist a meromorphic function on $Q$, i.e., $Q$ must have positive algebraic dimension. However, in the case $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Q \geq 2$, we do not have a similar simple conclusion as in the $n = 1$ case since meromorphic functions can not always define holomorphic maps to $\mathbb{P}^1$. 

By choosing appropriate divisors for the branch locus, the resulting double covers can have trivial canonical bundle and hence they provide examples of non-Kähler Calabi-Yau manifolds.
(see for example [13]). Another type is by choosing the divisor class $[D] = -2K_X$ (see for example [31]), which produces non-Kähler Calabi-Yau manifolds (manifolds with trivial canonical bundle but are nevertheless not Kähler) since $K_X$ is trivial by the above adjunction formula (2.9).

Since the non-Kähler Calabi-Yau manifolds and Kähler Calabi-Yau manifolds can be connected by a sequence of blowing downs and blowing ups (see for example [39, 28, 40, 31]), the non-Kähler Calabi-Yau manifolds play important roles in understanding the moduli space of Calabi-Yau manifolds (see for example [42]). Also note that we can construct various vector bundles on non-Kähler Calabi-Yau manifolds, see for example [31]. For more discussions on non-Kähler Calabi-Yau manifolds, see for example [52, 50] and references therein.

3. Balanced metrics on higher dimensional analogs of twistor spaces and their branched covers

In this section, we consider the balanced metrics and non-Kählerity of the higher dimensional analogs and their branched covers.

3.1. Exterior differentials on higher dimensional analogs of twistor spaces. Let $(X, I)$ be the higher dimensional analogs of twistor spaces for a hypercomplex manifold $(M, I, J, K, g)$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = r$ and a holomorphic map $h : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

Then we define

$$\omega_M := g(I_M(\cdot, \cdot) = \frac{1 - \zeta \bar{\zeta}}{1 + |\zeta|^2} \omega_I + \frac{\zeta + \bar{\zeta}}{1 + |\zeta|^2} \omega_J + \sqrt{-1} \frac{\zeta - \bar{\zeta}}{1 + |\zeta|^2} \omega_K,$$

and

$$\sigma_M = \frac{-2\zeta}{(1 + |\zeta|^2)^2} \omega_I + \frac{1 - \zeta^2}{(1 + |\zeta|^2)^2} \omega_J + \sqrt{-1} \frac{1 + \zeta^2}{(1 + |\zeta|^2)^2} \omega_K.$$

We have some basic properties of $\omega_M$ slightly different from [51] as follows.

Lemma 3.1. Using the notations above, we have

$$\partial_Q \omega_M \in \wedge^{0,1} Q \otimes \wedge^{2,0} M, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\partial_Q \sigma_M \in \wedge^{1,0} Q \otimes \wedge^{0,2} M, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\partial Q \overline{\partial} M \omega_M = -2 (h^* \omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \wedge \omega_M. \quad (3.4)$$

In particular, for $r > 2$, we have

$$\sqrt{-1} \partial Q \overline{\partial} M \wedge \partial Q \sigma_M \wedge \omega_M^{r-3} = \frac{4}{r-2} (h^* \omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \wedge \omega_M^{r-1}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\partial Q \overline{\partial} \omega_M^{r-1} = \sqrt{-1} \partial M \overline{\partial} M \omega_M^{r-1} + 2(r-1) (h^* \omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \wedge \omega_M^{r-1}. \quad (3.6)$$

Here $\omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ is the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{P}^1$.

$$\omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} = \sqrt{-1} d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta} / (1 + |\zeta|^2)^2.$$

Proof. Since $\zeta$ is a holomorphic map of $z$, the chain rule implies

$$\overline{\partial} Q \left( \frac{1 - \zeta \bar{\zeta}}{1 + |\zeta|^2} (z), \frac{\zeta + \bar{\zeta}}{1 + |\zeta|^2} (z), \sqrt{-1} \frac{\zeta - \bar{\zeta}}{1 + |\zeta|^2} (z) \right)$$

6
For $r > 2$, at the same time, we can deduce that
\[
\partial Q_M \land \partial M_M \land \omega_M^{r-3} \in \land^{(0,1)} Q \land \land^{(r+1,r-2)} M = \{0\}.
\]
Similarly, we can obtain
\[
\partial Q_M \land \partial M_M \land \omega_M^{r-3} \in \land^{(0,1)} Q \land \land^{(r+1,r-2)} M = \{0\}.
\]
Since
\[
\sqrt{-1} \partial Q_M^{r-1} = (r - 1) \sqrt{-1} \partial Q_M \land \omega_M^{r-2} + \sqrt{-1}(r - 1)(r - 2) \partial M \land \overline{\partial M \land \omega_M^{r-3}}
\]
and
\[
\partial Q M = \partial Q M + \partial M M, \quad \overline{\partial Q M} = \overline{\partial Q M} + \overline{\partial M M},
\]
using (3.4), (3.5), (3.7), (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10), we can deduce (3.6). \qed
3.2. Positivity and balanced metrics. In this subsection we discuss the methods of positivity and their relations to balanced metrics.

Assume that $Q$ is a complex manifold with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Q = n$. The basic concepts of positivity can be found in for example [13, Chapter III]. A $(p, p)$ form $\varphi$ is said to be positive if for any $(1, 0)$ forms $\gamma_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n - p$, then

$$\varphi \wedge \sqrt{-1} \gamma_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \sqrt{-1} \gamma_{n-p} \wedge \gamma_{n-p}$$

is a positive $(n, n)$ form. Any positive $(p, p)$ form $\varphi$ is real, i.e., $\overline{\varphi} = \varphi$. In particular, in the local coordinates, a real $(1, 1)$ form

$$\varphi = \sqrt{-1} \phi_i^j dz^i \wedge d\overline{z}^j$$

is positive if and only if $(\phi_i^j)$ is a semi-positive Hermitian matrix and we denote $\det \phi := \det(\phi_i^j)$. Similarly, a real $(n-1, n-1)$ form

$$\psi = (\sqrt{-1})^{n-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + i+j+1} \psi_{i}^{j}$$

$$dz^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dz^i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz^n \wedge d\overline{z}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\overline{z}^i \wedge \cdots \wedge d\overline{z}^n$$

is positive if and only if $(\psi_i^j)$ is a semi-positive Hermitian matrix and we denote $\det \psi := \det(\psi_i^j)$. We remark that for $(1, 1)$ and $(n-1, n-1)$ forms one also has the stronger notion of positive definiteness, which is to require that the Hermitian matrix $(\phi_i^j)$ (resp. $(\psi_i^j)$) is positive definite. In this paper, we need this stronger notion and have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2** (Michelsohn [33]). Let $Q$ be a complex manifold with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Q = n$. Then there exists a bijection from the space of positive definite $(1, 1)$ forms to positive definite $(n-1, n-1)$ forms, given by

$$\phi \mapsto \frac{\phi^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}.$$

**Proof.** For a positive $(1, 1)$ form $\phi$ defined as in (3.11), we can deduce a positive $(n-1, n-1)$ form

$$\frac{\phi^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = (\sqrt{-1})^{n-1} \sum_{k,\ell=1}^{n} (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + k+\ell+1} \det(\phi_i^j) \tilde{\phi}_{k}^{\ell}$$

$$dz^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dz^k} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz^n \wedge d\overline{z}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\overline{z}^k \wedge \cdots \wedge d\overline{z}^n$$

where $(\tilde{\phi}_i^j)$ is the inverse matrix of $(\phi_i^j)$, i.e., $\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \phi_{\ell}^{j} \phi_{k\ell}^{i} = \delta_{k}^{i}$.

On the other hand, given a positive $(n-1, n-1)$ form $\psi$ defined as in (3.12), there is a positive $(1, 1)$ form

$$\xi = \sqrt{-1} \left( \det(\psi_j^i) \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \tilde{\psi}_{k\ell} \, dz^i \wedge d\overline{z}^j$$

such that

$$\frac{\xi^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = \psi,$$

where $(\tilde{\psi}_k^\ell)$ is the inverse matrix of $(\psi_j^i)$, i.e., $\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \psi_{\ell}^{j} \tilde{\psi}_{k\ell}^{i} = \delta_{k}^{j}$.

\qed
We remark that the above bijection can be found in [33] (cf. [51]) and proved by orthonormal basis. Our proof here gives the explicit formulae involved.

Assume that $M$ is complex manifold with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = r$ and $\vartheta$ is a positive $(1,1)$ form. In local coordinates, it can be written as

\[
\vartheta = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{r} \partial_{j} z^{i} \wedge \overline{\partial z^{j}}.
\]  

(3.15)

Now positive $(1,1)$ form $\phi$ on $Q$ defined in (3.11) and positive $(1,1)$ form $\vartheta$ on $M$ defined in (3.15) can be seen as real $(1,1)$ form on $M \times Q$. For any positive function $A, B \in C^\infty(M \times Q, \mathbb{R})$, we can deduce that

\[
A \vartheta^{r} \wedge \phi^{n-1} + B \vartheta^{r-1} \wedge \phi^{n}
\]  

is positive $(n + r - 1, n + r - 1)$ form on $M \times Q$. Using (3.14), it is easy to deduce that

\[
\xi = ((n - 1)! r! A)^{- \frac{r}{n + r - 1}} ((r - 1)! n! B)^{\frac{r}{n + r - 1}} \xi + ((n - 1)!(r - 1)! n! B)^{- \frac{r}{n + r - 1}} \vartheta
\]  

(3.17)

satisfies

\[
\frac{\xi^{n+r-1}}{(n+r-1)!} = A \vartheta^{n-1} \wedge \vartheta^{r} + B \vartheta^{n} \wedge \vartheta^{r-1}.
\]

**Definition 3.1.** Let $P$ be a complex manifold with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} P = p$. Then a positive $(1,1)$ form $\xi$ on $P$ is called balanced metric if $d \xi^{p-1} = 0$.

Obviously, the Kähler metric is balanced. Gray and Hervella observed that on a compact complex manifold $(M, \omega)$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M \geq 3$, the condition $d \omega^{k} = 0$ for some $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$ implies that $M$ is Kähler, i.e., $d \omega = 0$. Indeed, $d \omega^{k} = 0$ implies $\omega^{n-3} \wedge d \omega = 0$, i.e., $L^{n-3}(d \omega) = 0$, where $L$ is the Lefschetz operator defined as wedging by $\omega$. By the Lefschetz decomposition for Hermitian manifolds, it follows that $L^{n-3} : \Lambda^{3} M \rightarrow \Lambda^{2n-3} M$ is bijection and hence $d \omega = 0$. We can also use the fact $\overline{\partial} \omega \wedge \omega^{n-3} = 0$ in this case, and use a routine computation to get $\ast \partial \omega = - \sqrt{-1} n! \partial \omega \wedge \omega^{n-3} = 0$, as required. Therefore, it is meaningful to consider the balanced metric on non-Kähler complex manifolds.

Alessandrini and Bassanelli [1, 2] proved that for modification $f : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$, $\tilde{M}$ is balanced if and only if $M$ is balanced. Here Modification is defined as follows. Let $M$ and $\tilde{M}$ be complex manifold (not necessarily compact) with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{M} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = n$. Then a proper modification is $f : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is a proper holomorphic map such that for a suitable analytic set $Y \subset M$ with $\text{codim} Y \geq 2$ (called the center), $E := f^{-1}(Y)$ (called the exceptional set of the modification) is a hypersurface and $f|_{\tilde{M} \setminus E} : \tilde{M} \setminus E \rightarrow M \setminus Y$ is biholomorphic.

Michelsohn [33] showed that a compact complex manifold is balanced if and only if there exists no non-zero positive current $L$ of degree $(1,1)$ such that $L$ is the $(1,1)$ component of a boundary, i.e., $L = \overline{\partial} S + \partial S$ with $S$ degree of $(1,0)$.

By Lemma 3.2 to find a balanced metric, it is sufficient to obtain a d-closed positive $(p - 1, p - 1)$ form. In the following part, we will use this lemma to construct balanced metrics and remark that in some special branched covering case, the balanced condition can be preserved.

---

1The authors would like to thank Prof. Valentino Tosatti for explaining this point.
3.3. Balanced metrics on higher analogs of twistor spaces of hyper-Kähler manifolds.

It is easy to get a balanced metric if the higher analogs of twistor spaces come from hyper-Kähler manifolds. We have

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \((X, I)\) be the higher analog of twistor spaces of a hyper-Kähler manifold \((M, I, J, K, g)\) with \(\dim \mathbb{C}M = r\) and \(h : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1\), where \(Q\) is a Kähler manifold with Kähler form \(\omega_Q\) and \(\dim \mathbb{C}Q = n\). Then \(\omega_M + t\omega_Q\) is a balanced metric (not Kählerian) on \(X\), where \(t\) is any positive constant.

**Proof.** It is sufficient to prove \(d(\omega_M + t\omega_Q)^{n+r-1} = 0\). Note that

\[
(\omega_M + t\omega_Q)^{n+r-2} = t^{n-2} \binom{n + r - 2}{n} \omega_Q^{n-2} \wedge \omega_M^{r-1} + t^n \binom{n + r - 2}{n-2} \omega_Q^n \wedge \omega_M^{r-2},
\]

\[
d(\omega_M + t\omega_Q) = \overline{\partial}Q\omega_M + \partial Q\omega_M
\]

\[
\in \wedge^{0,1}Q \otimes \wedge^{2,0}M + \wedge^{1,0}Q \otimes \wedge^{0,2}M,
\]

where for \((3.19)\) we use that fact that \(d \omega_M = d \omega_Q = 0\) and lemma 3.1. Note that \(d(\omega_M + t\omega_Q) \neq 0\). Then from \((3.18)\) and \((3.19)\), we can deduce

\[
d(\omega_M + t\omega_Q)^{n+r-1} = (n + r - 1)(\omega_M + t\omega_Q)^{n+r-2} \wedge d(\omega_M + t\omega_Q) = 0,
\]

as required. \(\square\)

Note that, in this case, \(M\) and \(Q\) can be non-compact.

3.4. Balanced metrics on higher analogs of twistor spaces of compact hypercomplex manifolds and their branched covers. In this subsection, we show that there exist balanced Hermitian metrics on the higher analogs of twistor spaces of compact hypercomplex manifolds and their special branched covers. This case also includes compact hyper-Kähler manifolds. By methods of positivity we find their explicit Hermitian metrics.

We first introduce a useful lemma which is slightly different from [51] Lemma 2.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let \(P\) be a compact complex manifold with \(\dim \mathbb{C}P = p\) and \(\Xi\) be a holomorphic vector bundle with rank \(r\). Let \(\Xi = E \oplus F\) be decomposition of \(\Xi\) and \(H\) and \(H'\) be Hermitian forms on \(\Xi\). If \(H\) restricted on \(E\) is strictly positive and \(H'\) restricted on \(F\) is strictly positive with \(E \subset \text{Ker}H'\), i.e., \(H'(E, \cdot) = 0\), then there exists a positive number \(c\) such that \(H + cH'\) is strictly positive on \(\Xi\).

**Proof.** We use the ideas from [51]. Since the manifold is compact, we just need to prove the conclusion locally. Let \(e_1, \ldots, e_s\) be the local holomorphic frame basis on \(E\) and \(e_{s+1}, \ldots, e_r\) be the local holomorphic frame basis on \(F\) such that

\[
H(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad H'(e_\alpha, e_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq s, \quad s + 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq r,
\]

where \(s\) is the rank of \(E\). Clearly, there exists \(c\) such that \((H + cH')|_F\) is strictly positive. Thus, without loss of generality, we can assume \(H|_F = 0\). Then for any

\[
U = \sum_{i=1}^s U^i e_i, \quad V = \sum_{\alpha=s+1}^r V^\alpha e_\alpha,
\]

we may prove

\[
H(U + tV, U + tV) + cH'(X + tV, U + tV)
\]
\[= H(U, U) + 2t \text{Re}(H(U, V)) + t^2 cH'(V, V) > 0\]

for any \( t \), which is equivalent to

\[\left[\text{Re}(H(U, V))\right]^2 < cH'(V, V)H(U, U) = c \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s} |U_i|^2 \right) \left( \sum_{\alpha=s+1}^{r} |V^\alpha|^2 \right).\]

On the other hand, we have

\[\left[\text{Re}(H(U, V))\right]^2 = \left[ \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s, s+1 \leq \alpha \leq r} \text{Re}(H_{i\alpha}U^\alpha) \right]^2 \]

\[\leq \left( \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s, s+1 \leq \alpha \leq r} |H_{i\alpha}|^2 \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s} |U_i|^2 \right) \left( \sum_{\alpha=s+1}^{r} |V^\alpha|^2 \right).\]

The summation \( \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s, s+1 \leq \alpha \leq r} |H_{i\alpha}|^2 \) can be locally bounded by \( c \), hence \( H + cH' \) is positive. \( \square \)

**Theorem 3.5.** Suppose that \( h : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \) is a holomorphic map, where \( Q \) is a compact complex manifold with balanced metric \( \omega_Q \) and \( \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Q = n \). Let \( M \) be a compact hypercomplex manifold with \( \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = r \) and \( \omega_M \) defined as in (3.1). Then there exists a balanced metric on \((X, I)\).

**Proof.** Note that

\[\Lambda^{n+r-1}T^{1,0}X = (\Lambda^{r-1}T^{1,0}M \otimes \Lambda^n T^{1,0}Q) + (\Lambda^r T^{1,0}M \otimes \Lambda^{n-1} T^{1,0}Q) =: E \oplus F.\]

Using (3.2) and (3.3), we get

\[d\omega_M^r = dM\omega_M^r + \partial Q\omega_M^r + \overline{\partial} Q\omega_M^r = r\partial Q\omega_M \wedge \omega_M^{r-1} + r\overline{\partial} Q\omega_M \wedge \omega_M^{r-1} = 0.\]

This together with the fact that \( d\omega_Q^{r-1} = 0 \) implies that \( \omega_M^r \wedge \omega_Q^{r-1} \) is a closed \((n+r-1, n+r-1)\) form and positive on \( F \). Furthermore, we get

\[E \subset \text{Ker} \left( \omega_M^r \wedge \omega_Q^{n-1} \right).\]

Denote by

\[A := \left\{ q : dh_q = 0 \right\}\]

the set of critical point of \( h \) which is analytic set. Indeed, for any \( q \in A \), there exists a coordinate chart \((U; z^1, \cdots, z^n)\) such that

\[A \cap U = \left\{ q \in U : \frac{\partial h}{\partial z^1} = \cdots = \frac{\partial h}{\partial z^n} = 0 \right\}.\]

For any \( q \in Q \setminus A \), we have \( h^* \omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} \neq 0 \) and hence \( (h^* \omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \wedge \omega_Q^{n-1} \) is a closed positive \((n,n)\) form which is useful to construct a closed positive \((n+r-1, n+r-1)\) form later. However, for any \( q \in A \), we have \( h^* \omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} = 0 \) and hence we need some modification term to obtain a closed positive \((n+r-1, n+r-1)\) form. At this point, without loss of generality, we can choose a local chart \((U_q, z^1, \cdots, z^n)\) such that

\[A \cap U_q \subset \{ z^1 = 0 \}.\]

Take a cut-off function \( \varphi \in C^\infty(Q, \mathbb{R}) \) such that \( \text{supp} \varphi \subset U_q \) and \( \varphi|_{V_q} \equiv 1 \), where \( V_q \) is another open neighborhood of \( q \) with \( \overline{V_q} \subset U_q \). Then we can define a closed form

\[\xi = \sqrt{-1} \partial \overline{\partial} \left( (1 + |z|^2)^t \varphi \omega_M^{r-1} \right).\]
with \( t \) some positive constant. On the set \( \{z^1 = 0\} \), we have

\[
\xi = \sqrt{-1} \varphi tz^1 \wedge d\bar{z}^1 \wedge \omega_M^{r-1} + \sqrt{-1} \varphi \overline{\partial} \omega_M^{r-1} + \sqrt{-1} \partial Q \varphi \wedge \partial \omega_M^{r-1} - \sqrt{-1} \partial Q \varphi \wedge \partial \omega_M^{r-1} = \sqrt{-1} t \omega_M^{r-1} \wedge dz^1 \wedge d\bar{z}^1 + (-1)^{\delta_{2,r}} 2(r-1) \omega_M^{r-1} \wedge h' \omega_P + \sqrt{-1} \partial_M \overline{\partial} M \omega_M^{r-1} + \sqrt{-1} \partial Q \varphi \wedge \partial \omega_M^{r-1}.
\]

By Lemma 3.1 if follows that

\[
\xi = \sqrt{-1} t \omega_M^{r-1} \wedge dz^1 \wedge d\bar{z}^1 \wedge \omega_Q^{n-1} = \sqrt{-1} t \omega_M^{r-1} \wedge dz^1 \wedge d\bar{z}^1 \wedge \omega_Q^{n-1}
\]

is non-negative, and that on \( A \cap V_q \),

\[
\xi = \sqrt{-1} t \omega_M^{r-1} \wedge dz^1 \wedge d\bar{z}^1 \wedge \omega_Q^{n-1}
\]

is positive. Since \( Q \) is compact, we can choose finite such \( \xi \) with these \( V_q \)'s covering \( A \), denoted by \( \xi_1, \cdots, \xi_\ell \), to obtain that

\[
\left( t'(-1)^{\delta_{2,r}} \sqrt{-1} \partial \overline{\partial} \omega_M^{r-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \xi_i \right) \wedge \omega_Q^{n-1} = \left( t'(-1)^{\delta_{2,r}} \sqrt{-1} \partial \overline{\partial} \omega_M^{r-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \xi_i \right) \wedge \omega_Q^{n-1}
\]

is positive with sufficiently large \( t' \). Applying Lemma 3.3 to \( \Lambda^{n+r-1} T^{1,0} X, \omega_M^r \wedge \omega_Q^{n-1} \) and the \((n+r-1, n+r-1)\) form obtained right now, it follows that there exists a sufficiently large number \( \gamma \) such that

\[
\Omega_X := \gamma \omega_M^r \wedge \omega_Q^{n-1} + \left( t'(-1)^{\delta_{2,r}} \sqrt{-1} \partial \overline{\partial} \omega_M^{r-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \xi_i \right) \wedge \omega_Q^{n-1}
\]

is a closed positive \((n+r-1, n+r-1)\) form. Then Lemma 3.2 implies that there exists a balanced metric on \((X, \Omega)\).

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 3.6.** Under the same setup as in Theorem 3.5 and furthermore assume that \( h \) is holomorphic submersion. Then there exists a balanced metric on \((X, \Omega)\).

**Proof.** In this setup, \( A = \emptyset \), hence the conclusion is obvious and we have

\[
\Omega_X = \gamma \omega_M^r \wedge \omega_Q^{n-1} + (-1)^{\delta_{2,r}} \sqrt{-1} \partial \overline{\partial} \omega_M^{r-1} \wedge \omega_Q^{n-1}.
\]

Moreover, we can give the concrete expression of the balanced metric \( \omega_X \) using (3.17). To see this, we consider the Chern connection on \((M, \Omega_M, \omega_M)\). Using the local coordinates \((U, w^1, \cdots, w^r)\), we write

\[
\omega_M = \sqrt{-1} g^J_i dw^i \wedge d\bar{w}^j.
\]

Note that for any real \((1,1)\) form \( \chi = \sqrt{-1} \chi_J \omega_i^J \wedge d\omega^j \), we have

\[
(tr_{\omega_M} \chi) \omega^i_M := (g^i_J \chi_J) \omega^i_M = r \chi \wedge \omega^r_M.
\]

Then the Christoffel symbol of the Chern connection is \( \Gamma^k_{ij} = g^k_J \partial_i g^j_J \), where and henceforth we denote by \( \partial_i \) the partial derivative \( \partial / \partial w^i \).
We define the torsion of the Chern connection by
\[ T^k_{ij} = \Gamma^k_{ij} - \Gamma^k_{ji} = g^{[k} \left( \partial_i g_{j] \bar{\tau}} - \partial_j g_{i] \bar{\tau}} \right). \]
and often lower its upper index using \( \omega_M \), writing
\[ T_{ij} := T^k_{ij} g_k^i = \partial_i g_{j} - \partial_j g_{i}. \]
Then we get
\[ (3.26) \quad \bar{\partial}_M \omega = \sqrt{-1} \partial_M g^{ij} \, dw^i \wedge d\bar{w}^j \]
\[ = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \left( \partial_k g_{ijk} \right) \, dw^i \wedge d\bar{w}^j \]
\[ = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \partial_M \bar{\omega} \, dw^i \wedge d\bar{w}^j \]
Similarly, we have
\[ (3.27) \quad \partial_M \omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} T_{ij} \, dw^i \wedge d\bar{w}^j \]
and
\[ (3.28) \quad \sqrt{-1} \partial_M \bar{\partial}_M \omega = \frac{(\sqrt{-1})^2}{2!} \left( \partial_k T^k_{ij} - \partial_j T^k_{ik} \right) \, dw^i \wedge d\bar{w}^j \wedge d\bar{w}^k \]
Moreover, using \ref{3.26} and \ref{3.27}, for \( r > 2 \), we can deduce
\[ (3.29) \quad \sqrt{-1} \partial_M \omega \wedge \bar{\partial}_M \omega \wedge \omega^{r-3} = \frac{\Psi}{r(r-1)(r-2)} \omega^r \]
where
\[ \Psi = g^{ji} g^{k} \left( \partial_r T^k_{ij} - \partial_j T^k_{ir} \right) - g^{ij} g^{k} \left( \partial_r T^k_{ij} - \partial_j T^k_{ir} \right). \]
Thanks to \ref{3.28}, it follows that
\[ (3.30) \quad \sqrt{-1} \partial_M \bar{\partial}_M \omega \wedge \omega^{r-2} = \frac{\Phi}{r(r-1)} \omega^r, \]
where
\[ \Phi = g^{ji} g^{k} \left( \partial_r T^k_{ij} - \partial_j T^k_{ir} \right) - g^{ij} g^{k} \left( \partial_r T^k_{ij} - \partial_j T^k_{ir} \right). \]
By \ref{3.29}, \ref{3.30} and Lemma 3.1 it follows that
\[ \sqrt{-1} \partial_M \omega_M^r = \frac{\Phi + \frac{1+(-1)^{\delta_2,r}}{2} \Psi}{r} \omega^r_M + 2(-1)^{\delta_2,r} (r-1) (h^* \omega^1_p) \wedge \omega_M^{r-1}. \]
Hence we have
\[ \Omega_X = \gamma \omega_M^r \wedge \omega_Q^{n-1} + (-1)^{\delta_2,r} \sqrt{-1} \partial_M (\omega_M^{r-1}) \wedge \omega_Q^{n-1} \]
\[ = \left( \gamma + \frac{\Phi + \frac{1+(-1)^{\delta_2,r}}{2} \Psi}{r} \right) \omega_M^r \wedge \omega_Q^{n-1} + \frac{2(r-1) \tr_{HQ} (h^* \omega^1_p)}{r} \omega_M^{r-1} \wedge \omega_Q^n, \]
where we use the analog of \ref{3.25} on \( Q \).
Using \ref{3.17}, we get
\[ \omega_X = \left[ \left( \gamma + \frac{\Phi + \frac{1+(-1)^{\delta_2,r}}{2} \Psi}{r} \right) (n-1)!r! \right] \frac{n}{n+r-1} \omega^r_M \]
\[ \left( \frac{2(r-1) \tr_{HQ} (h^* \omega^1_p)}{r} \right) (r-1)!n! \omega^r_M. \]
\[ + \left[ \left( \gamma + \frac{\Phi + 1+(-1)^{f_2,r}}{r} \right) (n-1)!r! \right]^{\frac{r-1}{n+r-1}} \left( \frac{2(r-1)\text{tr}_{Q} (h^{*} \omega_{\Omega})}{r} (r-1)!n! \right)^{\frac{r}{n+r-1}} \omega_{Q}, \]

with \( \omega_{X}^{n+r-1} = \Omega_{X} \).

Let \( \tilde{h} : \tilde{Q} \longrightarrow Q \) be a branched double cover along the smooth divisor \( S \). Then by the new holomorphic map \( h \circ \tilde{h} : \tilde{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \), we get a new complex manifold \( \bar{X} = M \times \tilde{Q} \). This can be seen as a branched double cover of \( X \) along the divisor \( \pi^{-1}(S) \). By [20, Proposition 4.1.6], \( \bar{h}^{-1}(S) \) is also a smooth divisor. Theorem 3.5 implies that \( \bar{h}^{-1}(S) \) is balanced.

Let \( (M, I, J, K, g) \) be a hypercomplex manifold, unnecessarily compact, with holonomy group \( \text{Hol}(\nabla) \subset SL(n, \mathbb{H}) \), where \( \nabla \) is the Obata connection, or be a hyper-Kähler manifold, unnecessarily compact. Then there exists a countable set \( B \subset S^{2} \) biholomorphic to \( \mathbb{P}^{1} \), such that for any \( (a, b, c) \in S^{2} \setminus B \), we can deduce that \( (M, aI + bJ + cK) \) has no compact divisors (see [46, 54]). Therefore, let \( X \) be the higher dimensional analogs of twistor spaces constructed from compact hyper-Kähler manifold or compact hypercomplex manifold \( M \) with holonomy group \( \text{Hol}(\nabla) \subset SL(n, \mathbb{H}) \), and let \( D \subset X \) be a smooth divisor. Then for any \( (a, b, c) \in (S^{2} \setminus B) \cap \text{Im}(\tilde{z} \circ h) \), we know that \( D \cap (\pi^{-1} \circ h^{-1} \circ \tilde{z}^{-1}(a, b, c)) = (M, aI + bJ + cK) \) itself or that it satisfies \( \text{codim} \left( D \cap (\pi^{-1} \circ h^{-1} \circ \tilde{z}^{-1}(a, b, c)) \right) \leq 2 \), and the latter case is impossible since we have \( \text{codim}D = 1 \). From this point, our choices of the divisors to construct branched double covers are not so limited.

### 3.5. Non-Kählerity

In this subsection, we show that the higher dimensional analogs \( X \) are not Kähler.

**Theorem 3.7.** Suppose that \( h : Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \) is a holomorphic map, where \( Q \) is a compact complex manifold with balanced metric \( \omega_{Q} \) and \( \text{dim}_{C} Q = n \). Let \( M \) be a compact hypercomplex manifold with \( \text{dim}_{C} M = r \) and \( \omega_{M} \) defined as in [45, 1]. Then \( (X, I) \) can not be Kählerian.

**Proof.** We use the discussion by contradiction. Assume that \( X \) is Kählerian and \( \omega_{X} \) is the Kähler form on it. Since for every \( q \in Q \) fixed, \( M \) can be seen as a fiber \( \pi^{-1}(q) \) of \( X \), if follows that \( M \) is Kählerian, and hence is hyper-Kählerian by the result in [55]. Without loss of generality, we can still assume that \( \omega_{M} \) is a Kähler form on it, and hence (3.31) implies

\[ \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \omega_{M} = \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} Q \omega_{M} = -2 (h^{*} \omega_{\Omega}) \land \omega_{M}. \]

Then we can deduce that

\[ - (\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \omega_{M}) \land \omega_{X}^{n+r-2} \]

is a real nonnegative \((n+r, n+r)\) form on \( X \) and is a strictly positive \((n+r, n+r)\) form on \( X \setminus \pi^{-1}(A) \), where \( A \) is defined as in the proof of Theorem 3.5 and \( \pi^{-1}(A) \) has zero \((n+r)\) measure. Thus, using Stokes’ theorem, we have

\[ 0 < \int_{X} - (\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \omega_{M}) \land \omega_{X}^{n+r-2} = - \int_{X} d \left[ (\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \omega_{M}) \land \omega_{X}^{n+r-2} \right] = 0, \]

which leads to a contradiction. Hence the proof is completed. \( \square \)
4. Discussion

By generalizing the twistor $\mathbb{P}^1$ to a more general complex manifold $Q$, we constructed a generalization of twistor spaces of hypercomplex manifolds and hyper-Kähler manifolds $M$. We found that the manifold $X$ constructed in this way is complex if and only if $Q$ admits a holomorphic map to $\mathbb{P}^1$.

We showed that these manifolds and their branched double covers are complex non-Kähler. We made branched double covers of these manifolds, branching along appropriate divisors. Some of these branched double covers can provide non-Kähler Calabi-Yau manifolds. If in addition $Q$ is a balanced manifold, the resulting manifold $X$ and its special double cover have balanced Hermitian metrics. We found their explicit Hermitian metrics by methods of positivity.

It may be possible to make blowing-downs of these manifolds, under which they could become projective. In the context of the twistor spaces for self-dual manifolds and their branched double covers, these blowing-downs can be performed, see for example [39, 28, 31]. Moreover, these geometries can be interesting in understanding the moduli space of Calabi-Yau manifolds [42].

One can also construct stable vector bundles on them [14, 53, 29]. They are also interesting in the context of string theory. The balanced manifolds constructed in this paper would be useful for the exploration of stable vector bundles on them. The existence of the solution to the Hermitian Yang-Mills equations on these manifolds is expected to be equivalent to the stability of the vector bundle on them.

The non-Kähler geometries considered here could be useful for mirror symmetry [49] in higher dimensions and in non-Kähler manifolds [25, 34]. It may be interesting to identify a subclass of these manifolds in this construction that will be useful for the mirror symmetry.
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