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Abstract

Although recent research suggests that the cumulative risk of foster care placement is far higher for American children than originally suspected, little is known about the cumulative risk of foster care placement in other countries, which makes it difficult to gauge the degree to which factor foster care placement is salient in other contexts. In this article, we provide companion estimates to those provided in recent work on the US by using Danish registry data and synthetic cohort life tables to show how high and unequally distributed the cumulative risk of foster care placement is for Danish children. Results suggest that at the beginning of the study period (in 1998) the cumulative risk of foster care placement for Danish children was roughly in line with the risk for American children. Yet, by the end of the study period (2010), the risk had declined to half the risk for American children. Our results also show some variations by parental ethnicity and sex, but these differences are small. Indeed, they appear quite muted relative to racial/ethnic differences in these risks in the United States. Last, though cumulative risks are similar between Danish and American children (especially at the beginning of the study period), the age-specific risk profiles are markedly different, with higher risks for older Danish children than for older American children.

Introduction

Because foster care placement is such a vital indicator of family instability [1–3], it is important to know how common the risk of foster care placement is and whether the risk differs for various groups. Inequality in the risk of foster care placement between groups is especially relevant if foster care harms children, in which case it might exacerbate social inequality, or helps children, in which case it might ameliorate social inequality by improving the lives of the most marginalized children (for studies attempting to isolate causal effects of foster care placement on children, see [4–9]).

Recent research [10] estimating the cumulative risk of foster care placement for children in the United States from 2000 to 2011 provides two novel insights into how common and unequally distributed this childhood event is. First, the research shows that foster care placement is far more common than previously thought, with four to five percent of children ever experiencing this event by the age of 18. Second, this event is highly unequally distributed by race/ethnicity, with Native American (about 12 percent) and African American (about 10 percent) children far more likely to ever experience foster care placement before age 18 than Hispanic (about 5 percent), white (about 4 percent), and Asian American (about 2 percent) children. These findings extend previous work that studied the cumulative risk of having a maltreatment report [11,12], having a confirmed maltreatment case [13–15], and the cumulative risk of ever being placed in foster care [16]—all in a US context. The existing literature also found substantial rates of reports, confirmed maltreatment, and foster care entry, as well as pronounced differences across race/ethnicity within the US.

However, these estimates neither provide insight into how high these risks are relative to what we might see in other developed democracies nor show how substantial these racial/ethnic disparities are relative to racial/ethnic disparities in other countries. This makes it difficult to ascertain whether the levels of foster care placement and racial/ethnic inequality in risks of foster care placement in the US are exceptional or not. In this
## Table 1. Number of Children at Risk of First Foster Care Placement in Denmark by Age (0–17) and Year (1998–2010).

| Year | Age | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 0    | 6  | 67884 | 66267 | 66365 | 67177 | 65526 | 64226 | 65119 | 64805 | 64590 | 65303 | 64532 | 65427 | 63266 |
| 1    | 7  | 68137 | 67995 | 66066 | 66715 | 67582 | 65871 | 64550 | 65102 | 65064 | 64767 | 65729 | 64898 | 65976 |
| 2    | 8  | 70825 | 68329 | 68158 | 68615 | 66904 | 67702 | 65884 | 64600 | 65157 | 65072 | 64980 | 66068 | 65203 |
| 3    | 9  | 71007 | 71001 | 68448 | 68314 | 67031 | 67066 | 67797 | 65880 | 64658 | 65194 | 65222 | 65249 | 66252 |
| 4    | 10 | 68499 | 71166 | 71083 | 68650 | 68521 | 67240 | 67091 | 67750 | 65770 | 64637 | 65258 | 65428 | 65463 |
| 5    | 11 | 69268 | 68837 | 71257 | 71201 | 68885 | 68706 | 67279 | 67060 | 67677 | 65758 | 64710 | 65429 | 65543 |
| 6    | 12 | 66155 | 69433 | 68906 | 71455 | 71453 | 69081 | 68784 | 67283 | 66970 | 67629 | 65815 | 64835 | 65531 |
| 7    | 13 | 65433 | 66255 | 69488 | 69121 | 71682 | 71602 | 69153 | 68784 | 67233 | 66918 | 67687 | 65950 | 64939 |
| 8    | 14 | 63395 | 65665 | 66357 | 69661 | 69307 | 71853 | 71714 | 69227 | 68736 | 67178 | 66938 | 67760 | 65981 |
| 9    | 15 | 61051 | 63563 | 65785 | 66589 | 69924 | 69492 | 71932 | 71689 | 69196 | 68732 | 67284 | 67093 | 67872 |
| 10   | 16 | 58389 | 61265 | 63739 | 66007 | 66828 | 70042 | 69602 | 71994 | 71655 | 69165 | 68816 | 67402 | 67212 |
| 11   | 17 | 57808 | 58559 | 61392 | 63940 | 66257 | 67063 | 70169 | 69687 | 71966 | 71674 | 69272 | 68986 | 67535 |
| 12   | 18 | 56400 | 57983 | 58649 | 61577 | 64249 | 66509 | 67147 | 70170 | 69664 | 72060 | 71735 | 69372 | 69041 |
| 13   | 19 | 54465 | 56554 | 58066 | 58666 | 61799 | 64440 | 66675 | 67267 | 70221 | 69690 | 72094 | 71847 | 69498 |
| 14   | 20 | 53370 | 54696 | 56735 | 58323 | 59148 | 62049 | 64587 | 66765 | 67256 | 70298 | 69793 | 72334 | 72022 |
| 15   | 21 | 53537 | 53700 | 54900 | 57031 | 58678 | 59385 | 62279 | 64792 | 66930 | 67410 | 70523 | 70079 | 72542 |
| 16   | 22 | 55628 | 55614 | 53917 | 55996 | 57399 | 58985 | 59632 | 62444 | 64924 | 67102 | 67603 | 70997 | 70459 |
| 17   | 23 | 60194 | 56208 | 56182 | 54606 | 55844 | 58056 | 59652 | 60284 | 63010 | 65459 | 67636 | 68230 | 71290 |

Source: Statistics Denmark.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109207.t001
Here is the table from the document:

| Year | Age | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 0    | 157 | 173  | 163  | 192  | 157  | 124  | 143  | 149  | 155  | 165  | 187  | 192  | 144  |
| 1    | 100 | 96   | 80   | 65   | 65   | 59   | 58   | 59   | 56   | 49   | 52   | 57   | 40   |
| 2    | 103 | 93   | 78   | 83   | 70   | 46   | 49   | 52   | 54   | 44   | 48   | 49   | 40   |
| 3    | 112 | 90   | 87   | 94   | 57   | 69   | 58   | 57   | 43   | 59   | 60   | 39   | 40   |
| 4    | 90  | 102  | 95   | 80   | 82   | 59   | 62   | 45   | 48   | 52   | 43   | 56   | 43   |
| 5    | 83  | 99   | 92   | 97   | 95   | 63   | 62   | 55   | 60   | 64   | 68   | 45   | 41   |
| 6    | 104 | 128  | 110  | 100  | 92   | 68   | 67   | 65   | 58   | 56   | 62   | 54   | 45   |
| 7    | 104 | 135  | 107  | 115  | 100  | 66   | 84   | 75   | 62   | 69   | 79   | 63   | 46   |
| 8    | 104 | 127  | 131  | 114  | 109  | 78   | 103  | 87   | 71   | 62   | 73   | 70   | 59   |
| 9    | 112 | 129  | 135  | 141  | 111  | 91   | 82   | 89   | 75   | 79   | 77   | 76   | 45   |
| 10   | 147 | 156  | 146  | 136  | 110  | 95   | 100  | 81   | 79   | 80   | 66   | 54   |
| 11   | 166 | 172  | 172  | 143  | 147  | 134  | 134  | 109  | 88   | 109  | 108  | 92   | 69   |
| 12   | 163 | 179  | 217  | 187  | 188  | 156  | 156  | 151  | 137  | 159  | 138  | 132  | 70   |
| 13   | 256 | 244  | 317  | 287  | 274  | 263  | 277  | 280  | 228  | 243  | 244  | 209  | 148  |
| 14   | 348 | 376  | 426  | 381  | 412  | 357  | 356  | 355  | 301  | 348  | 342  | 329  | 190  |
| 15   | 399 | 369  | 483  | 435  | 436  | 364  | 378  | 395  | 359  | 385  | 395  | 342  | 219  |
| 16   | 392 | 383  | 430  | 475  | 459  | 394  | 415  | 424  | 356  | 392  | 374  | 367  | 234  |
| 17   | 317 | 364  | 402  | 405  | 393  | 355  | 401  | 379  | 303  | 324  | 311  | 288  | 241  |

Source: Statistics Denmark.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109207.t002
article, we provide one set of estimates against which to compare those generated in the US. As the Danish registry data (which will be discussed below) include complete information on the foster care histories of all children, they provide an excellent opportunity to consider the cumulative risk of foster care placement (using the same methods and roughly the same years) in a comparably developed democracy. We do this by using synthetic cohort life tables to estimate the cumulative risk of foster care placement for children in Denmark by (1) sex and (2) race and parental immigrant status, from 1998–2010.

The Danish case is an intriguing comparison to the US because foster care caseloads have long been rather steady in Denmark [17]. The same cannot be said for foster care caseloads in the United States, which increased dramatically from 1985 to 2000 before dropping thereafter [18,19]. Point-in-time estimates show that on any given day roughly 1 percent of all Danish children are in foster care [17]. Yet annual caseloads and point-in-time estimates only present an aggregate of foster care cases, and provide little insight into how prevalent foster care placements are as a childhood experience. By estimating the cumulative risks of entering foster care we can unpack to what extent foster care caseloads and point-in-time estimates describe either (a) a small group of children’s somewhat constant placements in foster care or (b) a larger group of Danish children who ever experience foster care placement, with some experiencing short stays, others long ones.

The Danish Foster Care System

The Danish foster care system differs from the US system in a number of noteworthy ways. First, the Danish system relies on a mix of family foster care and institutional care [20,21], whereas the US places more than three quarters of children in family foster care [5]. Especially older children and children with behavioral disorders tend to enter institutional foster care settings in Denmark (see p. 54 in [21]). Second, the Danish system very rarely relies on non-parental family members to serve as foster parents, whereas this practice is quite common in the US [5,20,21]. Finally, the Danish system has historically relied on voluntary and family-oriented interventions [20].

These differences between the Danish and American foster care systems should be kept in mind when comparing the cumulative risks of entering foster care between Denmark and the US. However, recent comparative work has found that across different foster care systems, children who enter foster care exhibit very similar risk factors [22]. So whereas foster care systems differ substantially and children also differ in age at entry (as we will demonstrate in the result section), the children who enter foster care still appear to share risk factors across systems.

Method

Data

In order to estimate the cumulative risk of foster care placement for Danish children, we use administrative data on all first time foster care placements from 1998–2010. Municipal Child Services report all initiated child placements. Through unique individual identification numbers, we link foster care information with information on age, gender, and ethnic origin. Thus, the data we use correspond to the data used by [10] because the data include complete information on all children who entered foster care at any point during the study period. For the denominator, we use aggregate data on the full Danish child population, also obtained from Statistics Denmark.

The data we use come from the same registers that caseworkers use to initiate foster care placements. In addition, all Danish citizens are assigned a unique personal identifier at birth or time of immigration. Thus, the data cover all children in Denmark who

| Age | All Danish Children | Native Danish Children | Western Children | Non-Western Children |
|-----|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 0   | 0.002               | 0.002                  | 0.001           | 0.003               |
| 1   | 0.003               | 0.003                  | 0.001           | 0.003               |
| 2   | 0.004               | 0.004                  | 0.001           | 0.005               |
| 3   | 0.005               | 0.005                  | 0.001           | 0.007               |
| 4   | 0.006               | 0.005                  | 0.001           | 0.009               |
| 5   | 0.006               | 0.006                  | 0.003           | 0.010               |
| 6   | 0.007               | 0.007                  | 0.004           | 0.011               |
| 7   | 0.008               | 0.008                  | 0.009           | 0.012               |
| 8   | 0.010               | 0.009                  | 0.009           | 0.013               |
| 9   | 0.011               | 0.010                  | 0.010           | 0.016               |
| 10  | 0.012               | 0.012                  | 0.016           | 0.017               |
| 11  | 0.014               | 0.013                  | 0.017           | 0.019               |
| 12  | 0.015               | 0.015                  | 0.020           | 0.022               |
| 13  | 0.019               | 0.019                  | 0.023           | 0.026               |
| 14  | 0.025               | 0.025                  | 0.041           | 0.032               |
| 15  | 0.032               | 0.030                  | 0.050           | 0.043               |
| 16  | 0.038               | 0.037                  | 0.055           | 0.054               |
| 17  | 0.045               | 0.042                  | 0.062           | 0.065               |

Source: Own calculation on data from Statistics Denmark.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109207.t003
enter foster care and do not suffer from retention bias, non-response, or other types of selection issues. Moreover, the data linkage does not rely on probabilistic linkage (as is often the case with linked data), because the unique individual identification numbers are constant across years and administrative datasets.

Statistics Denmark anonymizes and de-identifies the data before making it available to researchers. The data can be accessed through any Danish research institution after signing a terms of use agreement with Statistics Denmark. Because the data are retrospective and de-identified, the Yale University Institutional Review Board (where the second and third authors were when this research was performed) deemed this research exempt. Employees of The Rockwool Foundation Research Unit and University of Copenhagen are allowed to publish on the Danish registry data through a longstanding agreement with Statistics Denmark. Whereas the micro data used in this study cannot be made publicly available because of Statistics Denmark’s rules regarding protection of privacy, we have made the aggregate data used to generate the synthetic cohort life tables available as supplementary material online (as File S1). Table 1 records the number of children in Denmark who were at risk of experiencing foster care placement at any given age from 1998–2010; Table 2 records the number of children in Denmark who experienced their first foster care placement at any given age from 1998–2010 (see Table S1, Table S2, Table S3, and Table S4 in File S2 for the two tables by gender). First entrance rates decreased across the study period (with only the most modest of decreases in the population of children over this period), and the decrease was most pronounced amongst older children. Yet we need to estimate the changes in cumulative risk to get a clearer picture of the extent of the decrease.

Measures of Ethnic Origin

Previous US based work has found large racial/ethnic disparities in the cumulative risk of both confirmed maltreatment and entrance into foster care [10,14]. Thus, we also examine whether the cumulative risks for foster care placements differ across ethnicity in Denmark. In our definition of ethnicity, it does not matter whether the child was born in Denmark or outside Denmark; ethnic origin depends on the parents’ origin. With this in mind, we define three ethnic groups based on parents’ country of origin: (1) children born to at least one Danish parent, (2) children born to parents who originate from a Western country (other than Denmark), and (3) children born to parents who originate from a non-Western country. If both parents were born outside Denmark, but one was born in a Western country and the

![Figure 1. Age-specific Risk of First-time Foster Care Placement in 2005 in Denmark, all Children by Sex and Ethnicity.](doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109207.g001)
other was born in a non-Western, the mother’s ethnic origin defines the child’s ethnic origin. Statistics Denmark records ethnic origin for all Danish citizens, immigrants, and descendants of immigrants, so we have information for all children who enter foster care and all children in general.

Analytic Method

In order to generate estimates of the cumulative risk of foster care placement, we use synthetic cohort life tables, a method originally used to measure mortality in a population [23]. This method uses first-time incidence rates in the population to estimate the proportion of individuals in a hypothetical cohort subject to those age-specific risks at each age that would be placed in foster care by age 18. By converting the rates (\(m_x\)) to probabilities (\(p_x\)), it is possible to create a function of placement for a hypothetical cohort, which can then produce an estimate of how many children could expect to experience foster care placement by age 18. We then use population information on how many individuals are in a given group to determine a cumulative risk figure (\(c_x\)). Life table methods have been widely used in research on the child welfare system in recent years, with some studies adopting only synthetic cohort life table methods [10,13,14], some using only birth cohort life table methods [15], and some using both of them to show robustness [16]. For discussions of the comparative benefits and limitations of synthetic cohort and birth cohort life tables, see [10,16].

Synthetic cohort life tables do, however, have certain limitations. Estimates may be unreliable if the age-specific rates change quickly and the number of synthetic cohorts used is small. Nevertheless, although we do find a substantial decrease in the cumulative risk of foster care placement across the study period, age-specific risks of first entrance decrease gradually and not quickly in our data (with the sole exception being children aged 10 and above in 2010, who did see somewhat large decreases in first entrance rates from the year prior [see Table 2]). Given the large number of years available to us (13), it is also easy to identify years that may have unreliable estimates. In addition, our estimates are similar to birth cohorts estimates found for Danish children born in 1985 [24], indicating both high reliability and robustness (see [16] for discussion of reliability of synthetic cohort estimates when compared to birth cohort estimates).

Comparing with Estimates for the United States

Our estimates of cumulative risks of foster care in Denmark are to a very large extent comparable to the estimates for the United States provided by [10], with one important difference. Whereas [10] use race-, age-, sex- and year-specific population estimates from [25] as the denominator for number at children at risk of entering foster care, we instead rely on full population registers containing demographic information on all individuals living in Denmark January 1 for each year in our study period. Relying on actual population data instead of population estimates makes the estimates for Denmark somewhat less uncertain than those provided by [10]. Yet, the US Census Bureau estimates are still highly accurate when using groups of sizes above county-level [25] and any inaccuracies will only affect the denominator of our analysis, so we expect differences in accuracy to be negligible. The Danish and American data used to calculate the numerator (children entering into care for the first time) are drawn from official registers containing all children in foster care in both countries [26–29]. Thus, these data are judged to be highly reliable and comparable.
Results

We start by presenting a partial life table for the year 2005 in Table 3 which shows how the cumulative risk of foster care placement accumulates between birth and age 18 for all children in Denmark, native Danish children, Western children, and non-Western children in a sample year. According to the results shown in Table 3, 4.5 percent of all Danish children can expect to ever experience foster care placement at some point in their childhood based on the 2005 first-time admission rates. This is somewhat lower than what is observed in the United States for the same year ([10] reports a risk of 5.9 percent). Both Western children and non-Western children had higher cumulative risks at age 17 than native Danish children, although these differences were not nearly as marked as in the US. As the table also indicates, first-time admissions to foster care are high shortly following the birth and then trail off until the teenage years, at which point they spike dramatically—a finding consistent with trends found in the United States [19]. This teenage spike is, however, especially noteworthy since it is so much greater than the spike for American children [10], suggesting differences in the timing of admissions between Denmark and the US.

Figure 1 further demonstrates just how large this spike is in Denmark relative to the US by showing the age-specific risks for foster care placement across age, gender, and ethnicity. Both boys and girls had high risks of first foster care placement during infancy, then the risk of first placement trailed off until age 13, at which point it increased throughout adolescence to reach its peak around age 16 (although, again, this was the peak only in Denmark, as the US peak occurred in the first year of life, as Figure 1 clearly indicates). When breaking the age-patterning of first placement down by ethnic origin, we see that native Danish children of both sexes and non-Western girl children had similar patterns. In contrast, both Western boys and girls and non-Western boys had different patterns. Both Western groups are numerically small, which explains their less clear pattern. Non-Western boys, however, had increasing age-specific risk across age, with more than 4.4 percent of all non-Western boys entering foster care for the first time after age 14.

Figure 2 shows the trend from 1998 to 2010 in the cumulative risk of foster care placement for male and female children in Denmark relative to the US. The cumulative risk of foster care placement increases slightly until 2000, at which point it then declines until 2005. The cumulative risk remains roughly constant from there until 2008, after which it declines again. Boys have slightly higher risks in the late 1990s and early 2000s than girls have. The sex difference in the cumulative risk of foster care...
placement disappears in the later 2000s and is relatively small until then. Furthermore, the decline in the cumulative risk of foster care placement within Denmark is so dramatic toward the end of the period that by 2010, Danish children had lower cumulative risks of placement than American children had even though their risk early in the period far exceeded the risk for American children.

Figure 3 shows results for the total population, as well as for native Danes, Western immigrants, and non-Western immigrants by sex relative to the US. Although Danish children have lower cumulative risks than do other children, these differences are fairly small—especially when compared to the racial/ethnic disparities shown in the US [10]. Depending on the year, cumulative risks for Western and non-Western immigrants were anywhere from slightly above those of native Danes to about double the risk for native Danes. By 2010, however, cumulative risks are only slightly higher for immigrants than they were for native Danes, with more pronounced disparities for males than for females. Girls of non-Western backgrounds have lower cumulative risks than do girls of Western backgrounds for most years. The reverse is true for boys. Comparing across sexes, we find girls overall to have a somewhat lower risk of placement. For Western and non-Western girls, the cumulative risk starts lower than that of boys of the same ethnic origin. The cumulative risk of placement for non-Western girls remains lower than that for non-Western boys, although the risk for Western boys plummets to below that of Western girls in the later 2000s.

The share of children in care at the end of each year, as shown in Figure 4, does not decline as the cumulative risk does, however. Danish girls retain a constant placement share at around 1.5 percent; Danish boys show a trend of limited decline. The placement shares for non-Western children increase across the period. The share of Western boys in foster care declines dramatically in the second part of the 2000s while the share for Western girls remains rather constant, though with a slight positive bump in the first part of the 2000s and a decline in the end of the 2000s.

The fact that the share of children in foster care remains roughly constant throughout the study period while cumulative risk estimates are declining suggests that while intake levels may have decreased over the study period, the length of stay is probably increasing.

**Discussion**

Recent research on the cumulative risk of foster care placement in the US provided support for two conclusions [10]. First, far more children will ever be placed in foster care by age 18 than
most scholars of American family life would have suspected, with between four and five percent of American children ever experiencing this event by the time they turn 18. Second, this risk is unequally distributed, with up to 15 percent of Native American children and as little as 2 percent of Asian American children ever experiencing this event by age 18 (depending on the year), suggesting potentially important implications for inequality (provided placement has any effects).

The goal of this article was to provide context for these results by examining other developed democracies, using Denmark as a test case. If the Danish estimates roughly correspond with the US results, then that would suggest that the foster care system might merit much more attention from family demographers and scholars of social inequality across the world than it tends to receive. But if the US estimates were dramatically higher than the Danish ones, that might suggest that the foster care system merits greater attention in the US but relatively little attention outside of it. The Danish results we supply here provide tentative support for the idea that foster care is far more common than often thought not just in the US, but also in Denmark, as the cumulative risk of foster care placement at the beginning of the period (in 1998), at around six percent, was higher than estimates over in the same period in the US.

The Danish estimates differed markedly from the earlier American estimates in three key regards. First, the cumulative risk of foster care placement for all Danes plummeted between 1998 and 2010, leading to far lower cumulative risks of foster care placement by the end of the period, with Danish children experiencing a risk of about three percent relative to the five percent risk faced by American children. Second, inequality in the cumulative risk of foster care placement was significantly smaller in Denmark than in the US, suggesting that if foster care placement matters for inequality, it likely does so in the US but not in other developed democracies—or at least not Denmark (or that it matters more for class inequality more than for racial/ethnic inequality, a key alternative to consider). Third, the age-specific risk of first entrance into foster care for Danish children was highest for teenagers, whereas the risk in the US is highest for very youngest children.

A number of explanations for why the cumulative risk of foster care in Denmark decreased in the period 1998–2010 offer themselves. First, one would expect that the financial crisis could have affected the municipal economy, such that there was less budget-room for placing children in foster care in the end of the study-period. However, given that retention rates of children already in foster care were stable while entrance rates dropped, this does not appear likely. A second possibility is that the study period saw changes in thresholds at which social workers deemed a foster care placement necessary. Some reforms did that take place over the period [29], but their content was not such that we should expect substantial changes in foster care take up.

A third option relates to changes in demand for foster care placement driven by changes in child and parental characteristics. Recent work [30] has shown that a Danish penal reform that lowered the risk of experiencing paternal incarceration led to lower risk of foster care entry for children. Children’s characteristics might also have changed during the study period. Children who enter foster care generally displays more behavioral problems that other children, for example ADHD [31]. Previous work has linked untreated ADHD to increased family strain [32] and has also shown that Danish medical treatment rates for ADHD soared in our study period [33]. If medical treatment of ADHD lowers family strain it might also lower the risk of maltreatment, thereby leading to lower risk of foster care entrance—this could be a fruitful avenue for future work.

References

1. Berger L, Waldfogel J (2004) Out-of-home placement of children and economic factors: An empirical analysis. Review of Economics of the Household 2: 387– 411.
2. Levy B (2009) Neighborhood Structures and Foster Care Entry Risk: The Role of Spatial Scale in Defining Neighborhoods. Children and Youth Services Review 31: 331–337.
3. Wildeman C, Waldfogel J (2014) Somebody’s children or nobody’s children? How the sociological perspective could enrich research on foster care. Annual Review of Sociology: In Press.
4. Berger L, Bruch SK, Johnson EI, James S, Rubin D (2009) Estimating the “impact” of out-of-home placement on child well-being: Approaching the problem of selection bias. Child Development 80: 1856–1876.
5. Doyle JJ (2007) Child protection and child outcomes: measuring the effects of foster care. American Economic Review 97: 1583–1610.
6. Doyle JJ (2008) Child protection and adult crime: using investigator assignment to estimate causal effects of foster care. Journal of Political Economy 116: 1583– 1610.
7. Fallesen P (2013) Time well spent: The duration of foster care and early adult labor market, educational, and health outcomes. Journal of Adolescence 36: 1003–1011.
8. Wald MS, Carlsmith JM, Leiderman PH (1980) Protecting Abused and Neglected Children. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
9. Warburton WP, Warburton RN, Sweetman A, Hertzman C (2014) The Impact of Placing Adolescent Males into Foster Care on Education, Income Assistance, and Convictions. Canadian Journal of Economics/Revue canadienne d’économique 47: 35–69.
10. Wildeman C, Emanuel N (2014) Cumulative Risks of Foster Care Placements by Age 18 for American Children by Race/Ethnicity and Sex, 2000–2009. PLOS ONE 9: e92765.
11. Putnam-Hornstein E, Webster D, Ne cellul B, Magruder J (2011) A public health approach to child maltreatment surveillance: Evidence from a data linkage project in the United States. Child Abuse Review 20: 256–273.
12. Wolczyn F (2009) Epidemiological perspectives on maltreatment prevention. The Future of Children 19: 39–66.
13. Sabol W, Coulton C, Polousky E (2004) Measuring child maltreatment risk in communities: A life table approach. Child Abuse & Neglect 28: 967–983.
14. Wildeman C, Emanuel N, Leventhal JM, Putnam-Hornstein E, Waldfogel J, et al (n.d.) The Prevalence of Confirmed Maltreatment Among American Children, 2004–2011. JAMA Pediatrics. In press.
15. Putnam-Hornstein E (2011) Report of maltreatment as a risk factor for injury death: A prospective birth cohort study. Child Maltreatment 16: 163–174.
16. Magruder J, Shaw TV (2008) Children ever in care: an examination of cumulative disproportionalit. Child Welfare 87: 169–188.
17. Andersen SH, Ebsen F (2010) Foranstaltninger for udsatte børn i Danmark: København: Syddansk Universitetsforlag. pp. 13–40.
18. Wildeman C, Emanuel N, Leventhal JM, Putnam-Hornstein E, Waldfogel J, et al (n.d.) The Prevalence of Confirmed Maltreatment Among American Children, 2004–2011. JAMA Pediatrics. In press.
19. Fallesen P (2013) Time well spent: The duration of foster care and early adult labor market, educational, and health outcomes. Journal of Adolescence 36: 1003–1011.
20. Wald MS, Carlsmith JM, Leiderman PH (1980) Protecting Abused and Neglected Children. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
21. Warburton WP, Warburton RN, Sweetman A, Hertzman C (2014) The Impact of Placing Adolescent Males into Foster Care on Education, Income Assistance, and Convictions. Canadian Journal of Economics/Revue canadienne d’économique 47: 35–69.
22. Sabol W, Coulton C, Polousky E (2004) Measuring child maltreatment risk in communities: A life table approach. Child Abuse & Neglect 28: 967–983.
23. Wildeman C, Emanuel N, Leventhal JM, Putnam-Hornstein E, Waldfogel J, et al (n.d.) The Prevalence of Confirmed Maltreatment Among American Children, 2004–2011. JAMA Pediatrics. In press.
24. Fallesen P (2013) Time well spent: The duration of foster care and early adult labor market, educational, and health outcomes. Journal of Adolescence 36: 1003–1011.
25. Wald MS, Carlsmith JM, Leiderman PH (1980) Protecting Abused and Neglected Children. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
26. Warburton WP, Warburton RN, Sweetman A, Hertzman C (2014) The Impact of Placing Adolescent Males into Foster Care on Education, Income Assistance, and Convictions. Canadian Journal of Economics/Revue canadienne d’économique 47: 35–69.
27. Sabol W, Coulton C, Polousky E (2004) Measuring child maltreatment risk in communities: A life table approach. Child Abuse & Neglect 28: 967–983.
28. Wildeman C, Emanuel N, Leventhal JM, Putnam-Hornstein E, Waldfogel J, et al (n.d.) The Prevalence of Confirmed Maltreatment Among American Children, 2004–2011. JAMA Pediatrics. In press.
29. Putnam-Hornstein E (2011) Report of maltreatment as a risk factor for injury death: A prospective birth cohort study. Child Maltreatment 16: 163–174.
30. Magruder J, Shaw TV (2008) Children ever in care: an examination of cumulative disproportionalit. Child Welfare 87: 169–188.
31. Andersen SH, Ebsen F (2010) Foranstaltninger for udsatte børn i Danmark: København: Syddansk Universitetsforlag. pp. 13–40.
18. Swann C, Sylvester M (2006) The foster care crisis: What caused caseloads to rise. Demography 43: 309–335.
19. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (2012) Trends in Foster Care and Adoption – FY2002-FY 2011. Available: http://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/ch/trends_fostercare_adoption.pdf. Accessed 2013 Jun 10.
20. Hestbæk A-D (2011) Denmark: A child welfare system under reframing. In: Gilbert N, Parton N, Skivenes M, editors. Child protection systems: International trends and orientations. New York: Oxford University Press. pp. 131–153.
21. Frederiksen S (2012) Empirical essays on placements in outside home care. PhD Thesis, Aarhus University. Available: http://pure.au.dk//portal/files/47073621/Signe_Frederiksen_PhD_Thesis.pdf. Accessed 2014 Jul 8.
22. Simkus DE, Stallard N, Thorogood M (2013) A systematic literature review of the risk factors associated with children entering public care. Child: Care, Health and Development 39: 629–642.
23. Preston S, Henselina P, Guilhot M (2001) Demography: Measuring and modeling population processes. Oxford, UK: Blackwell.
24. Ejrnæs M, Ejrnæs M, Frederiksen S (2011) Risk factors of entry in out-of-home care: An empirical study of Danish birth cohorts, 1981–2003. Child indicators research 4: 21–44.
25. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, CDC WONDER Online Database (2011) Bridged-Race Population Estimates 1990–2009 Request. Available: http://wonder.cdc.gov/bridged-race-v2009.html. Accessed 2011 Jun 14.
26. Statistics Denmark (2013) Quality Declaration: Assistance to Children and Young People. Available: http://www.dst.dk/en/Statistik/dokumentation/Declarations/assistance-to-children-and-young-people-.aspx. Accessed 2013 Jun 10.
27. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, Children’s Bureau (2012) Federal Reporting Systems: Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS). Available: http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/ch/resource/about-afcars. Accessed 2013 Jun 10.
28. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, Children’s Bureau (2006) The AFCARS Report: Final Estimates for FY 1996 through FY 2002 (12). Available: http://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/ch/afcarseport12.pdf. Accessed 2013 Jun 10.
29. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, Children’s Bureau (2012) The AFCARS Report: Preliminary FY 2011 Estimates as of July 2012 (No.19). Available: http://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/ch/afcarsereport19.pdf. Accessed 2013 Jun 10.
30. Andersen NH, Wildeman C (2014) The Effect of Paternal Incarceration on Children’s Risk of Foster Care Placement. Social Forces 93: 269–298.
31. Harnan J, Childs G, Kelber K (2000) Mental health care utilization and expenditures by children in foster care. JAMA Pediatrics 154: 1114–1117.
32. Kvist AP, Nielsen HS, Simonsen M (2013) The importance of children’s ADHD for parents’ relationship stability and labor supply. Social Science & Medicine 88: 30–38.
33. Dalsgaard S, Nielsen HS, Simonsen M (2013) Five-fold increase in national prevalence rates of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder medications for children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, and other psychiatric disorders: A Danish register-based study. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology 23: 432–439.