Bundling actin filaments from membranes: some novel players
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Progress in live-cell imaging of the cytoskeleton has significantly extended our knowledge about the organization and dynamics of actin filaments near the plasma membrane of plant cells. Noticeably, two populations of filamentous structures can be distinguished. On the one hand, fine actin filaments which exhibit an extremely dynamic behavior basically characterized by fast polymerization and prolific severing events, a process referred to as actin stochastic dynamics. On the other hand, thick actin bundles which are composed of several filaments and which are comparatively more stable although they constantly remodel as well. There is evidence that the actin cytoskeleton plays critical roles in trafficking and signaling at both the cell cortex and organelle periphery but the exact contribution of actin bundles remains unclear. A common view is that actin bundles provide the long-distance tracks used by myosin motors to deliver their cargo to growing regions and accordingly play a particularly important role in cell polarization. However, several studies support that actin bundles are more than simple passive highways and display multiple and dynamic roles in the regulation of many processes, such as cell elongation, polar auxin transport, stomatal and chloroplast movement, and defense against pathogens. The list of identified plant actin-bundling proteins is ever expanding, supporting that plant cells shape structurally and functionally different actin bundles. Here I review the most recently characterized actin-bundling proteins, with a particular focus on those potentially relevant to membrane trafficking and/or signaling.
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INTRODUCTION

Actin is one of the most abundant, ubiquitous, and conserved proteins in eukaryotes. In the cell, globular actin subunits polymerize into actin filaments which themselves assemble into higher order structures, such as orthogonal networks and parallel bundles (Figure 1). This system, referred to as the actin cytoskeleton, exhibits an extraordinary high degree of plasticity allowing the formation, destruction, and recycling of diverse filamentous structures within a short time scale, and offers countless possibilities to cells. The primary level of the regulation of actin cytoskeleton organization and dynamics consists in various (>100) actin-binding proteins which control, in time and space, actin filament nucleation, elongation, stabilization, capping, severing, and crosslinking (Pollard et al., 2000; Winder and Ayscough, 2005). In animal and yeast cells, cortical actin filaments and the plasma membrane undergo a dynamic interplay (Pollard and Cooper, 2009). For instance, the coordinated polymerization of actin filaments against the membrane provides the force necessary to modify cell shape and promote cell locomotion and division. As a consequence, dysfunctions in the actin polymerization machinery or in its regulation frequently results in diseases, including cancers (Van Troyes et al., 2008a). Although related mechanisms are not excluded in plant cells, they are obviously not prevalent. The rigid plant cell wall precludes any modification of the cell boundary by an actin polymerization-based process. However, actin filaments are in close proximity with the cell membrane in plant cells too.

Recent studies combining advanced imaging approaches, such as variable-angle epifluorescence microscopy (VAEM), spinning disc confocal microscopy, and reliable fluorescent actin markers have advanced our understanding of the organization and dynamics of the actin cytoskeleton near the cell cortex (Staiger et al., 2009; Khurana et al., 2010; Smertenko et al., 2010; Henty et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011; Toth et al., 2012; Figure 1). Cortical filaments arrange into complex networks whose stochastic behavior is largely consistent with the predictions of a biomimetic system (Michelot et al., 2007; Staiger et al., 2009; Blanchoin et al., 2010). Single filaments randomly polymerize at extremely high growth rates (1.7 μm/s) in hypocotyl epidermal cells from Arabidopsis seedlings and exhibit prominent buckling and straightening behavior. Most filaments are short-lived (<30 s), which was demonstrated to be primarily due to prolific severing activity rather than to filament end depolymerization. Beside single filaments, thicker and longer bundles adopt less convoluted configurations and tend to align with the long axis of the cell (Staiger et al., 2009; Smertenko et al., 2010; Henty et al., 2011). In comparison with finer filaments, thick fibers experience slower but qualitatively similar dynamics. Indeed, they elongate, buckle,
FIGURE 1 | Main reactions controlling actin filament dynamics and organization in plant cells. The G-actin monomer binding protein profilin inhibits spontaneous actin nucleation in the cytoplasm. Nucleation is promoted de novo (1) by nucleating proteins such as formins. In addition, non-processive formins, such as Arabidopsis AtFH1, can also induce nucleation from the side of pre-existing filaments, a process which likely contributes to the initiation of actin bundles (not illustrated; Michelot et al., 2006; Blanchoin et al., 2010). Following nucleation, actin filaments undergo fast polymerization (2) and (2′) before being capped (3). The aging section of actin filaments (which contains ADP-loaded actin subunits, not shown) is fragmented by severing proteins such as actin-depolymerizing factors (4). The resulting fragments can be capped at their barbed end and depolymerize from their pointed (−) end to replenish the pool of monomers (5). Alternatively, they can re-elongate through polymerization (5′) although this process rarely occurs immediately following severing, suggesting intense barbed end capping activity (Staiger et al., 2009). Finally, actin fragments can serve as building blocks to assemble novel filaments by an end-joining mechanism (5″). Actin filaments are crosslinked into bundles by bundling proteins (right part of the cartoon). Both in vitro and live cell TIRFM-based analyses support that actin bundles form by a “catch and zipper” mechanism (6) (Khurana et al., 2010). Actin bundles subsequently grow by elongation of filaments at their ends (7) as well as by end-association of pre-existing filaments (7′), a process which might be facilitated by bundling proteins. Like single filaments, actin bundles are severed although at a lower frequency (Khurana et al., 2010; Smertenko et al., 2010). Current data support that unipolar bundles (here-exemplified) predominate in plant cells. However, the existence of bundles containing actin filaments of mixed polarity is not excluded.

bundle, and are severed (Staiger et al., 2009; Blanchoin et al., 2010; Smertenko et al., 2010). Although actin filament severing emerges as the leading driver of actin cytoskeleton remodeling, an additional mechanism involving filament bundling, unbundling, and myosin-dependent sliding events was suggested to contribute to the permanent reorganization of the cortical actin network (Smertenko et al., 2010). Using VAEM and quantitative approaches, Henty et al. (2011) provided, for the first time, direct evidence of the contribution of an ABP, namely Arabidopsis actin depolymerizing factor 4 (AtADF4), to actin stochastic dynamics in vitro. In agreement with the biochemical actin severing activity of AtADF4, hypocotyl epidermal cells from adf4 knockout mutants exhibited a 2.5–3-fold decrease in the rate of severing, as well as increased filament lengths and lifetimes. The loss of AtADF4 also led to excessive actin bundling and cell growth in the apical region of the hypocotyl, where active cell expansion takes place.

Actin bundles have been repeatedly reported to play a critical role in cell morphogenesis (Baluska et al., 2001; Smith and Oppenheimer, 2005; Thomas et al., 2009; Higaki et al., 2010a). The relationships between the extent of actin bundling and cell growth are however complex as illustrated by contradictory observations. For instance, the growing epidermal cells from petioles of adf4 mutants also exhibit enhanced bundling but, contrarily to hypocotyl epidermal cells, are shorter than in wild-type plants (Henty et al., 2011). Some studies have established that in specific cells, such as rice coleoptiles, increased actin bundling negatively impacts cell elongation (Nick et al., 2009; Nick, 2010). As an underlying mechanism, it has been proposed that actin bundling prevents efficient delivery of auxin-efflux carriers to their site of action at the plasma membrane, a process which would require a more unbundled actin configuration (Nick, 2010). Actin bundles most likely impact cell growth by different ways. Indeed, actin bundling can alter turgor pressure, the main physical driver of cell expansion (Szymanski and Cosgrove, 2009), by modifying the thickness of the cell wall and the shape of the transvacuolar strands and vacuole (e.g., Staiger et al., 1994; Higaki et al., 2010a,b, 2011). Finally, there is much evidence that actin bundles serve as main tracks used by myosin motors to drive endomembrane compartments over long distances to sites of growth (Smith and Oppenheimer, 2005; Thomas et al., 2009; Higaki et al., 2010a). Interestingly, the organization and
dynamics of the actin cytoskeleton significantly differ in isotropically and anisotropically growing cells, and this was confirmed by recent quantitative analyses using VAEM (Smertenko et al., 2010). Therefore, the role of actin bundling in cell growth appears multiple and cell-type dependent.

In animal cells, the assembly of actin bundles is required for the formation and/or function of various specialized cellular structures, such as filopodia, lamellipodia, stress fibers, microvilli, and invadopodia (Stevenson et al., 2012). In most of these structures, actin bundles are in close connection with the cell membrane and, in some cases, with the extracellular environment referred to as the extracellular matrix. For instance, the α-actinin-induced actin bundles that constitute the ventral stress fibers of non-muscle cells are anchored to focal adhesions at each of their extremities. Focal adhesions transmit the force generated by myosin II-dependent stress fiber contraction to the extracellular matrix, allowing to pull the cell body during cell migration (Vicente-Manzanares et al., 2009; Ciobanu et al., 2012). Key players of focal adhesions are the cell surface membrane receptors integrins around which assemble complex networks made of about 160 proteins that contribute to link the extracellular matrix to the actin cytoskeleton and to create a high-performance environmental sensing system (Geiger et al., 2009). Plants lack most focal adhesion components, including true integrin homologs. However, there is no doubt that plant cells perceive and transduce many external signals from their cell wall to their cytoskeleton (Baluska et al., 2003; Drobak et al., 2004; Humphrey et al., 2007; Fu, 2010; Higaki et al., 2011). Day et al. (2011) recently comprehensively reviewed the potential roles played by the actin cytoskeleton in the organization and activation of host responses to biotic stress. One of the earliest and well-documented responses of plant cells to fungal or oomycete pathogens is a reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton and of endomembrane components which both focus at the site of infection (e.g., Kobayashi et al., 1994; Leckie et al., 1995; Xu et al., 1998; Opalski et al., 2005; Takemoto et al., 2006; Day et al., 2011). Such reorganization is thought to culminate in the formation of cell wall appositions rich in antimicrobial compounds (Hardham et al., 2007). During this process actin filaments become more bundled, suggesting an important role for actin-bundling proteins in the dynamic relocalization of organelles during interactions with pathogens. Interestingly, Hardham et al. (2008) could mimic pathogen-induced actin remodeling by applying a gentle and local pressure on the surface of Arabidopsis cotyledon epidermal cells, indicating that the actin cytoskeleton can readily reorganize (3–5 min after stimulation) in response to the physical force exerted by pathogens. Considering the continuous and fast remodelling of the cortical actin array observed in both growing and non-growing epidermal cells, an emerging and seducing idea is that cortical actin plays a sentinel role capable of initiating basal defense against pathogen-induced diseases or abiotic stress, such as mechanical stress, within short time scales (Staiger et al., 2009). How actin filaments and bundles communicate with the cell membrane and cell wall largely remains enigmatic.

Since our last review on actin bundling in plants (Thomas et al., 2009), more than fifteen additional plant actin-bundling proteins were isolated and characterized. Several of those belong to the previously known villin, formin, fimbrin, and LIM protein families, whereas others define novel families. Some of these proteins are likely direct linkers between actin bundles and the cell or organelle membranes. Here we review the last advances in plant actin-bundling proteins with a particular interest for those that further move ahead our comprehension about how actin bundles physically or functionally interact with membranes.

**FORMINS**

Over the last years, formins have emerged as a large and major family of plant actin nucleating factors with critical functions in cell growth and division (Blanchoin and Staiger, 2008). Beside their core nucleating activity, plant formins display additional actin regulatory activities including nucleation, capping, severing, and bundling (Staiger and Blanchoin, 2006). The Arabidopsis formin AtFH1 (Banno and Chua, 2000), was the first plant formin reported to promote the formation of actin bundles both in live cells and in vitro (Cheung and Wu, 2004; Michelot et al., 2005). Its overexpression in pollen tubes stimulates the formation of actin bundles from the cell membrane and locally induces membrane deformation, suggesting that a proper density and distribution of actin bundles is critical for membrane assembly and/or maintenance, and that formins play substantial roles in these processes (Cheung and Wu, 2004). Mechanistic studies, employing total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRFM), revealed that AtFH1 functions as a non-processive formin which moves from the barbed end to the side of an actin filament after the nucleation event, and that this property is involved in AtFH1 actin bundling activity (Michelot et al., 2006). Recently, several other plant formins were shown to promote the formation of actin bundles in an autonomous manner, including Arabidopsis AtFH4, AtFH8, and AtFH14 (Deeks et al., 2010; Li et al., 2010; Xue et al., 2011), and rice OsFH5 (Yang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011a).

Interaction of class I plant formins with a membrane is predicted by the characteristic membrane-targeting domain present in their N-terminal region which consists in a signal peptide followed by a transmembrane domain (Deeks et al., 2002; Blanchoin and Staiger, 2008). Accordingly, most class I formins examined so far were shown to accumulate at the cell periphery or in membrane-rich structures such as the cell plate using immunocytochemistry and/or GFP-fusion strategies (Cheung and Wu, 2004; Favery et al., 2004; Van Damme et al., 2004; Deeks et al., 2005; Ingouff et al., 2005; Cheung et al., 2010). Interestingly, AtFH8-GFP localizes primarily to the nuclear envelope in interphase cells, suggesting functional differences among class I formins (Xue et al., 2011). In addition, biochemical analyses indicate that pollen-specific AtFH3 lacks the ability to generate actin bundles in vitro (Ye et al., 2009). Nevertheless, with or without intrinsic actin-bundling activities, class I formins were convincingly demonstrated to promote actin bundling in vivo (Cheung and Wu, 2004; Ye et al., 2009; Cheung et al., 2010). Indeed, both gain- and loss-of-function genetic studies pointed out a central role of AtFH3 in regulating the long and thick actin bundles running along the pollen tube shank and in controlling the direction and velocity of cytoplasmic streaming (Ye et al., 2009). Therefore, AtFH3 likely cooperates with pollen actin-bundling proteins to assemble the tracks required for long
distance actomyosin-dependent movement. Beside AtFH3, the pollen tube tip-enriched formin AtFH5 was found to play more specific functions in the formation of the subapical actin structure often referred to as the cortical actin fringe, and in membrane-targeted vesicular trafficking (Cheung et al., 2010).

It is noteworthy that class I formins exhibit divergent and potentially highly glycosylated extracellular domains, and accordingly represent excellent candidates for mediating extracellular stimuli to the actin cytoskeleton (Cvrckova, 2000; Blanchoin and Staiger, 2008), e.g., during the guidance of pollen tube growth in response to female tissue signals (Cheung and Wu, 2004). In this context, Martiniere et al. (2011) recently provided compelling evidence that the extracellular domain of AtFH1 is anchored to the cell wall and thereby reduces the lateral mobility of AtFH1. Domain analyses highlighted the central role in AtFH1 immobilization of a short, 15 amino acid-long, domain which includes a signature peptide of extensins, a class of cell wall-associated hydroxyproline-rich glycoproteins (Banno and Chua, 2000; Showalter et al., 2010). Although the biochemical nature of formin-cell wall interactions has not been resolved yet, it is tempting to propose that cell wall heterogeneity is responsible for targeting formins to specific plasma membrane subdomains. For instance, the accumulation of AtFH4 in cell-to-cell contact areas and of AtFH5 in the pollen-tube apical dome (Deeks and Hussey, 2005; Cheung et al., 2010) might reflect specificities in cell wall composition at these locations. Interestingly, the extracellular domain responsible for anchoring AtFH1 to the cell wall was required for AtFH1-mediated actin cytoskeleton remodeling in overexpression experiments (Martiniere et al., 2011). Although this remains speculative, anchoring of AtFH1 has been suggested to contribute to the formation and/or stabilization of AtFH1 functional dimers. Together these data support that AFH1, and most likely other class I formins, provide stable anchor points for the actin cytoskeleton at the cell membrane and can induce actin remodeling upon external signal perception. It is noteworthy that time lapse imaging analyses suggested that some of the AtFH5-nucleated and membrane-anchored actin filaments in the subapical region of pollen tubes are fragmented and released to the cytoplasm, providing precursors of some long actin bundles in the core cytoplasm (Cheung et al., 2010). Membrane-associated formins might therefore also indirectly contribute to the formation of more internal actin structures.

In addition to their function as interface between cell membrane and actin cytoskeleton, plant formins recently emerged as central links between actin filaments and microtubules. For instance, class I AtFH4, class II AtFH14, and the closely related rice OsFH5 bind to and bundle both actin filaments and microtubules and are accordingly expected to functionally coordinate the corresponding cytoskeletons (Deeks et al., 2010; Li et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011a). There is accumulating evidence that such coordination is crucial for many developmental processes such as intracellular transport, directional cell growth, and cell division (e.g., Fu et al., 2005; Collings, 2008; Wightman and Turner, 2008; Crowell et al., 2009; Petrasek and Schwarzerova, 2009). A recent quantitative study using VAEM revealed that microtubule depolymerization induces faster elongation and shortening of actin filaments, suggesting that actin dynamics at the cell cortex are modulated by microtubules (Smertenko et al., 2010). Although the underlying mechanism remains unknown, it seems reasonable to speculate that some formin family members are involved. Interestingly, endogenous OsFH5 localizes to specific regions at the chloroplast surface (Zhang et al., 2011a). Like other class II formins, OsFH5 possesses an N-terminal phosphate tensin (PTEN)-like domain instead of the typical transmembrane domain of most class I formins. Transient expression experiments indicate that the PTEN-like domain of FH5 is sufficient to target a fluorescent protein reporter to the chloroplast outer surface of tobacco cells, suggesting that it is responsible for the anchoring of OsFH5 to chloroplasts. Therefore OsFH5 emerges as a potential linker between actin filaments/bundles, microtubules, and chloroplasts, and might accordingly contribute to chloroplast motility, a process that has been proposed to rely on both cytoskeletons at least in some species (e.g., Chuong et al., 2006).

**THURMIN1**

Chloroplasts change their subcellular location in response to light. They move toward weak light to optimize light capture for photosynthesis and away from intense light to minimize photodamage, the latter process being referred to as the avoidance response (Kasahara et al., 2002; Suetsugu and Wada, 2007). In plants, organelle movement primarily relies on class XI myosins which are predicted to transport their cargos along cytosplasmic actin bundles (Avisar et al., 2008; Peremyslov et al., 2008; Sparkes et al., 2008). Although myosin inhibitor studies support that chloroplast movement also depends on myosin activity to some extent (e.g., Paves and Truve, 2007), recent data indicate that chloroplasts primarily use another type of actin-based mechanism to rapidly change their direction in response to light. A population of so-called chloroplast actin filaments (cp-actin filaments) was shown to anchor chloroplasts to the plasma membrane suggesting that they are involved in light-induced chloroplast repositioning (Kadota et al., 2009; Suetsugu et al., 2010a,b). In this context, THURMIN1 was recently identified as a novel actin-bundling protein with a potential critical role in linking phototropin photoreceptor activity at the plasma membrane and actin-dependent chloroplast movements (Whippo et al., 2011).

Compared to wild-type plants, **thrumin1** mutants exhibit slower and more randomized chloroplast movements in response to light stimuli. **In vitro** biochemical analyses indicate that THURMIN1 binds to actin filaments in a direct manner and promotes the formation of actin bundles. Consistent with these data and the previously reported association of THURMIN1 with the plasma membrane (Alexandersson et al., 2004), YFP-fused THURMIN1 (THURMIN1-YFP) extensively decorates the filamentous actin cytoskeleton along the plasma membrane, and in association with chloroplasts (Whippo et al., 2011). Upon stimulation of the chloroplast avoidance response by a localized blue-light irradiation, THURMIN1-YFP further accumulates along actin filaments and apparently increases actin-bundling locally. The underlying mechanism was proven to be dependent on the phototropin blue-light photoreceptors PHOT1 and PHOT2. Indeed, no elevation of THURMIN1-YFP fluorescence occurred in phot1phot2 double mutants upon blue light stimulation. Together these data suggest that THURMIN1...
promotes the formation of actin bundles from the plasma membrane in response to light and in a phototropin-dependent manner. However, the exact role of such actin bundles in chloroplast movement remains to be established. In addition, how THRUMIN1 cooperates with CHUP1, a chloroplast outer envelope ABP involved in cp-actin filament formation (Oikawa et al., 2003, 2008; Schmidt Von Braun and Schleiff, 2008a,b; Kadota et al., 2009), to remodel the actin cytoskeleton and drive chloroplast movement upon light perception by PHOT1 and PHOT2 are central questions that should be addressed in future studies. As already stated in the previous section, class II formins represent additional potential linkers between chloroplasts and actin bundles (Zhang et al., 2011a).

VACUOLAR H⁺-ATPases B SUBUNITS

Vacuolar H⁺-ATPases (V-ATPases) are evolutionary-conserved multisubunit complexes that consist in a cytosolic ATP-hydrolyzing V₁ subcomplex and a membrane-associated proton-translocating V₀ subcomplex (Nishi and Forgac, 2002; Nelson, 2003; Ma et al., 2011). They mediate ATP-dependent transport of protons across plasma and intracellular membranes and thereby contribute to (1) the acidification of the lumen of various organelles such as vacuoles, secretory vesicles, endosomes, Golgi apparatus, and lysosomes and (2) the production of the energy required for various coupled transport processes. Accordingly, V-ATPases are involved in a wide range of critical processes including membrane trafficking and fusion, and cell expansion (Schumacher et al., 1999; Padmanaban et al., 2004; Dettmer et al., 2006; Brux et al., 2008). In mammals and yeast, both B and C subunits of the V₁ subcomplex were previously reported to directly bind to F-actin with high affinity (Lee et al., 1999; Holliday et al., 2000; Vitavska et al., 2003, 2005; Chen et al., 2004; Zuo et al., 2008).

Functional studies support that the actin binding activity of V-ATPase B and C subunits is not involved in the regulation of V-ATPase assembly or activity. However, under stress conditions, it provides a significant survival advantage in yeast, supporting that it is biologically relevant (Xu and Forgac, 2001; Zuo et al., 2008). In addition, several studies have highlighted that the targeting of V-ATPases to specific sites relies on their interaction with the actin cytoskeleton (Lee et al., 1999; Adams et al., 2006; Zuo et al., 2006). Carnell et al. (2011) recently suggested a novel and elegant model in which nucleation-promoting factor WASH-dependent actin polymerization on mature lysosomes from Dictyostelium would sort V-ATPases to recycling vesicles, leading to subsequent lysosome neutralization and exocytosis. In the absence of WASH, no polymerization would occur and V-ATPases would remain on the lysosome, which in turn would remain acidic and unable to exocytose. Such a model assumes that the actin-binding activity of V-ATPases functions as tags for actin-mediated sorting.

A similar mechanism in plant cells is plausible since homologs of V-ATPases (Zimniak et al., 1988; Krebs et al., 2010), ARP2/3 complex and associated nucleation-promoting factors have been identified (Deeks and Hussey, 2005; Szymanski, 2005). In addition, the three Arabidopsis V-ATPase B subunits (AtAVB1, AtAVB2, and AtAVB3) were recently shown to display direct actin binding and bundling activities in vitro (Ma et al., 2012). Therefore, the multiple actin-binding sites responsible for the in vitro actin bundling activity of AtAVB1-3 may confer these proteins an increased affinity for actin filaments/bundles and trigger their clustering and/or recycling upon actin polymerization. Such a scenario of an actin-mediated sorting mechanism in plants remains however highly hypothetical. As an alternative, plant V-ATPases might serve as more passive points for anchoring organelles to actin bundles. A last possibility is that AtAVB1-3 function in a complex dissociated form in the cytoplasm, and therefore contribute to increase actin-bundling upon V-ATPase complex dissociation. Obviously, much work is required to examine each of these possibilities. Nevertheless, V-ATPases emerge as potential additional links between the actin cytoskeleton and membrane trafficking.

SCAB1

Stomatal movement is driven by modifications in turgor pressure of the guard cells. Stomata open when the guard cell volume increases, and they close when the guard cell volume decreases. It is well established that stomatal closure and opening involves reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton at the cell cortex and that such reorganization plays a key role in stomatal movement (e.g., Kim et al., 1995; Eun and Lee, 1997; Liu and Luan, 1998; Hwang and Lee, 2001; Lemichez et al., 2001; MacKie and Kurup, 2007; Choi et al., 2008; Gao et al., 2008). Recently, Higaki et al. (2010b) developed a novel quantitative image analysis method allowing a more detailed and reliable characterization of the changes in actin configurations during the diurnal cycles of Arabidopsis guard cells. Data confirmed previous observations that actin filaments adopt a well-organized and radial orientation in open stomata and a more longitudinal orientation in closed stomata. They also provide clear evidence that actin-bundling transiently increases during stomatal opening, and drastically reduces once this process is completed. Interestingly, the abnormally thick and long-lasting actin bundles induced by the expression of a mouse talin-derived actin reporter compromised stomatal opening. This is in good agreement with previous pharmacological and genetic studies indicating that changes in actin dynamics control stomatal movement (Kim et al., 1995; Liu and Luan, 1998; Dong et al., 2001; Lemichez et al., 2001; MacKie and Kurup, 2007).

Higaki et al. (2010a,b) suggest that unbundling of actin bundles (rather than their complete depolymerization; Liu and Luan, 1998) stimulates membrane trafficking and increases the number of activated potassium channels in the plasma membrane, which in turn promotes an increase of turgor pressure. In this context, a novel plant-specific actin-bundling protein, termed STOMATAL CLOSURE-RELATED ACTIN BUNDLING PROTEIN 1 (SCAB1), was isolated from a genetic screen aimed at identifying Arabidopsis mutants defective in stomatal movement (Zhao et al., 2011). In vitro biochemical data revealed that SCAB1 is a simple actin bundling protein unable to promote actin nucleation or capping. Depletion of SCAB1 reduces actin filament stability, delays the switch from a radial to a longitudinal actin filament configuration in guard cells during stomatal closure, and reduces stomatal closure sensitivity to abscisic acid, H₂O₂, and CaCl₂. In contrast, the overexpression of SCAB1 increases actin filament stability and promotes excessive bundling. Both SCAB1 knockout
and overexpressing lines exhibit a retardation of stomatal closure, suggesting that proper levels of SCAB1 and actin bundling are required for normal stomatal movements.

Structural and domain analyses indicate that SCAB1 functions as a single actin-binding domain protein that dimerizes through its central coiled coils to achieve the bivalent organization required for actin filament crosslinking (Zhang et al., 2012). Contrary to some other ABPs, SCAB1 activities are insensitive to pH and Ca\(^{2+}\) in vitro (Zhao et al., 2011). Nevertheless, the SCAB1 C-terminal pleckstrin homology domain was shown to weakly bind to inositol phosphates, suggesting a possible SCAB1 regulation by phosphoinositides at the cell membrane (Zhang et al., 2012). In addition to its potential impact on potassium channel density at the membrane of guard cells, actin bundling might also play a structural role in the control of the vacuolar shape and volume. Indeed, the radial actin filament configuration in open stomata allows the vacuole to occupy a maximal volume. In contrast, the long and heavy bundles spanning along the longitudinal axis of the guard cells of closed stomata might contribute to reduce the vacuole volume. Although the exact roles of actin bundles and the newly discovered actin bundling protein SCAB1 in stomatal movement remain to be established, there is accumulating evidence that they are central players.

**VILLINS**

Plant villins define a class of multifunctional ABPs which can combine several actin regulatory activities, including actin filament severing, barbed-end capping, and bundling activities. The *Arabidopsis* genome contains five villin genes (*AtVLN1-5*), each of which being highly expressed in a wide range of tissues (Klahre et al., 2000; Huang et al., 2005). Whereas atypical AtVLN1 was reported to function as a simple and calcium-insensitive bundling protein (Huang et al., 2005; Khurana et al., 2010), recent biochemical work supports that the rest of the family, including AtVLN2-5, retains the full set of typical villin activities and is calcium-responsive (Khurana et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010, 2011b; Bao et al., 2012; van der Honing et al., 2012). The analysis of AtVLN4 and AtVLN5 loss-of-function mutants (Zhang et al., 2010, 2011b) confirmed the predicted roles of villins in the formation and/or stabilization of the long actin bundles running along the shank of pollen tubes and root hairs (e.g., Yokota et al., 1998, 2003; Tominaga et al., 2000; Ketelaar et al., 2002). These studies also further validated the primary role of such actin bundles in the intracellular transport of organelles and vesicles in tip-growing cells (e.g., Miller et al., 1999; Sheahan et al., 2004; Lovy-Wheeler et al., 2005; Ye et al., 2009). Interestingly, the fact that beside their bundling activity, AtVLN4 and AtVLN5 possess calcium-dependent actin severing and capping activities suggests that they also actively contribute to assembling and disassembling the typical short actin bundle-based structures observed in the subapical region of pollen tubes and root hairs (Zhang et al., 2010, 2011b). As these structures remain at a constant distance from the growing cell tip, they inevitably undergo continuous cycles of disassembly/reassembly, a process which is thought to be primarily regulated by changes in the concentration of ions including [Ca\(^{2+}\)] and [H\(^{+}\)], and reactive oxygen species (Holdaway-Clarke and Hepler, 2003; Knight, 2007; Cheung and Wu, 2008).

The analysis of *vlh2vlh3* double T-DNA insertion mutants supports that AtVLN2 and AtVLN3 together play a major role in the generation of thick actin bundles in tissues other than pollen and root hairs, and that such bundles are involved in the regulation of directional organ growth (van der Honing et al., 2012). Indeed, unlike single *vlh2* and *vlh3* mutants, double mutants exhibit much thinner actin bundles as compared to wild type plants, and develop twisted leaves, stems, siliques, and roots. Only full-length AtVLN3, but not a truncated version lacking the head-piece region which is required for actin bundling in vitro, could rescue both actin and developmental phenotypes of *vlh2vlh3* double mutants, supporting that villin-induced thick bundles are required for proper regulation of coordinated cell expansion. It is noteworthy that cell shape and size and plant growth rates are similar in control and double mutant plants, indicating that cell expansion itself is unaffected. Surprisingly, another recent study, which also focused on *vln2vlh3* double T-DNA insertion mutants (Bao et al., 2012), reported a morphological phenotype differing from the one described by van der Honing et al. (2012). In this study, the inflorescence stem of *vlh2vlh3* seedlings developed a pendent phenotype which was correlated to defects in sclerenchyma development (Bao et al., 2012). Although petioles were modestly twisted, this malformation was obviously milder than the prominent twisted phenotype exhibited by various organs of van der Honing’s double mutants. Both the pendent and faint twisted phenotypes of Bao’s double mutants could be rescued by the expression of either VLN2 or VLN3. In addition, quantitative analyses indicate that xylem fiber cells of double mutant inflorescence stems contain abnormally fine actin bundles, supporting van der Honing’s conclusions that VLN2 and VLN3 work as effective and functionally redundant actin-bundling proteins in vitro. The morphological differences between the Bao and van der Honing phenotypes remain intriguing and might reflect the presence of truncated forms of VLN3 (the same *vlh2* mutant is used as a parental line in both studies) and/or the use of dissimilar plant growth conditions.

**LIM PROTEINS**

Plant LIM proteins or LIMs (the acronym LIM derived from the first letter of the three first identified LIM domain-containing proteins, namely LIN-11, IS1, and MEC-3; Way and Chalfie, 1988; Freyd et al., 1990; Karlsson et al., 1990) define a ubiquitous family of actin-bundling proteins. Since our first report on tobacco NtWLM1 describing the promotion of actin-bundle formation both *in vitro* and in live cells (Thomas et al., 2006, 2007), several additional LIMs, including the six *Arabidopsis* AtLIMs (Papuga et al., 2010; Ye and Xu, 2012), lilium LILIM1 (Wang et al., 2008), and tobacco NtWLM2 (Moes et al., 2012), were biochemically characterized and recognized as actin-bundling proteins. Contrary to formins and villins, no other actin-regulatory activity has been attributed to any plant LIM protein so far, supporting that they function as simple actin bundlers. In Papuga et al. (2010), we showed that the actin bundling activity of the three pollen-enriched *Arabidopsis* LIMs (AtPLIM2a–c) is regulated by pH and calcium (AtPLIM2c), whereas that of the three widely-expressed LIMs (AtWLM1, AtWLM2a, and AtWLM2b) is not. These data are particularly relevant considering the central roles...
previously proposed for pH and calcium gradients/oscillations in the regulation of ABPs activities and cytoskeletal organization during pollen tube elongation (Staiger et al., 2010). Using an \textit{Arabidopsis} cell suspension-based system, we could demonstrate that the interaction of PLIMs with the actin cytoskeleton can be specifically and reversibly inhibited by a controlled increase of the intracellular pH (Papuga et al., 2010). Overexpression of LILIM1 modifies the actin cytoskeleton architecture in growing pollen tubes of lily, disturbs endomembrane trafficking, including the Golgi apparatus and endo/exocytic vesicles, and impairs normal targeting of signaling molecules, including phosphatididylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate, phospholipase C, and diacyl glycerol (Wang et al., 2008). As an additional proof of the biological functions of LIMs in pollen, the partial co-suppression of the three \textit{AtPLIMs} by an RNAi approach was recently shown to provoke important defects in pollen development and tube growth (Ye and Xu, 2012).

Beside their cytoplasmic functions, plant LIMs were repeatedly reported to enter the nucleus, although their roles in this compartment have been comparatively less studied (Mundel et al., 2000; Kawaoka and Ebinuma, 2001; Briere et al., 2003; Thomas et al., 2006; Papuga et al., 2010). One of the first hints of the nuclear roles of plant LIMs was the identification of tobacco \textit{NtWLIM1} as a \textit{trans} factor binding to a PAL-box motif of the horseradish \textit{C2 peroxidase} (\textit{prxC2}) gene whose product is involved in phenylpropanoid biosynthesis (Kawaoka et al., 1992, 2000). Supporting the biological relevance of this finding, transgenic tobacco plants with an antisense \textit{NtWLIM1} exhibited abnormally low levels of transcripts of several key phenylpropanoid pathway genes as well as a 27% reduction in lignin content (Kawaoka et al., 2000; Kaothien et al., 2002). We recently evaluated the nuclear functions of the tobacco \textit{NtWLIM2} and found that \textit{NtWLIM2} can specifically and directly bind to the conserved octamer \textit{cis}-element of the histone \textit{AtH4A748} promoter and activate the corresponding promoter in live cell reporter-based experiments (Moes et al., 2012). Similar activities were also shown for the \textit{Arabidopsis} homolog of \textit{NtWLIM2}, namely \textit{AtWLIM2a}, whereas the more distant \textit{NtWLIM1} and \textit{AtWLIM1} proteins were unable to bind to and to activate the \textit{AtH4A748} promoter, suggesting a specialization of LIM protein subfamilies in their nuclear targets.

Like all the other plant LIMs previously characterized, \textit{NtWLIM2} decorates the actin cytoskeleton in live cells, and binds to and bundles actin filaments in \textit{vitro} (Moes et al., 2012). Interestingly, we observed that the \textit{NtWLIM2} nuclear fraction readily increases after cell treatment with the F-actin disrupting drug latrunculin B, suggesting that the compartmentalization of \textit{NtWLIM2} is modulated by the cytoskeletal status of the cell. It is noteworthy that the mammalian counterparts of plant LIMs, \textit{FIMBRINS}

Fimbrins (also known as plasmins in humans) define an evolutionary-conserved family of actin bundling proteins whose activities, biological functions, and roles in diseases have been extensively analyzed in animals/humans and yeast (e.g., Bretscher, 1981; Samstag and Klemke, 2007; Al Tanoury et al., 2010; Skau et al., 2011; Morley, 2012; Shinomiya, 2012). The \textit{Arabidopsis} genome encodes five fimbrins (\textit{AtFIM1}-5; Staiger and Hussy, 2004). Although the structural bases underlying the actin binding and crosslinking activities of \textit{AtFIM1} were characterized in detail, only few studies have directly addressed the biological functions of plant fimbrins (Kovar et al., 2000, 2001; Klein et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2004). Wu et al. (2010) recently provided evidence that pollen-enriched \textit{AtFIM5} is required for the proper organization of the actin cytoskeleton in pollen grains and growing pollen tubes. The loss of \textit{AtFIM5} disorganizes the typical longitudinal configuration of actin bundles in the shank of the pollen tube and causes some bundles to invade the extreme tip. Such aberrant cytoskeletal organization in turn alters the pattern and velocity of cytoplasmic streaming. Biochemical data revealed that \textit{AtFIM5} is a calcium-insensitive actin bundling factor. Although the mechanism by which the loss-of-function of an actin-bundling protein leads to an increase in actin bundles a the tip of pollen tubes remains obscure, together these data highlight an important role for FIM5 in maintaining the normal actin organization and/or dynamics in pollen tubes.

\textbf{SB401}

\textit{SB401} is a pollen-specific protein from \textit{Solanum berthaultii} (Liu et al., 1997) which was previously reported to bind to and bundle both microtubules and actin filaments and proposed to function as a linker between microtubule and actin cytoskeletons (Huang et al., 2007). In agreement with its higher \textit{in vitro} affinity for microtubules, \textit{SB401} was observed to preferentially interact with the microtubule cytoskeleton in immunolabeled pollen tubes. However, recent \textit{in vitro} biochemical analyses support that phosphorylation of \textit{SB401} by casein kinase II specifically inhibits \textit{SB401} microtubule regulatory activities, suggesting that phosphorylation can switch the protein toward its actin regulatory function(s) (Liu et al., 2009). Future work should validate \textit{SB401} cytoskeleton regulatory activities in a live cell context and provide an insight into its biological function(s) in potato pollen tubes.

\textbf{AtADF9}

Members of the ADF/cofilin family are well-established ABPs able to bind both actin monomers and filaments and whose main...
| Name          | Remarkable features                                                                 | Reported subcellular locations                    | Identified or suggested biological functions                                  | Key references                                      |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| **FORMINS**  |                                                                                     |                                                  |                                                                                |                                                      |
| AtFH1        | Non-processive formin; anchors in the cell wall                                     | Cell membrane                                    | Pollen tube growth, cell expansion                                            | Cheung and Wu, 2004; Michelot et al., 2005, 2006; Martiniere et al., 2011 |
| AtFH3        | Lacks in vitro actin bundling activity                                              | Nuclear envelope; cell plate                      | Pollen tube growth polarity                                                   | Ye et al., 2009                                       |
| AtFH4        | Bundles both AFs and MTs; AtFH4-GFP co-aligns the ER and MTs                        | Cell membrane at cell-to-cell contacts; ER membrane | Cell expansion                                                               | Deeks et al., 2005, 2010                             |
| AtFH5        | In vitro actin bundling activity not reported so far                                 | Growing cell plate; cell membrane in the pollen tube tip | Cell cytokinesis; pollen tube growth                                          | Ingouff et al., 2005; Cheung et al., 2010            |
| AtFH8        | AtFH8(FH1FH2) induces stellar structures in vitro                                    | Cell membrane at cell-to-cell contacts; nuclear envelope | Primary root growth; lateral root initiation; cell expansion and division | Deeks et al., 2005; Yi et al., 2005; Xue et al., 2011 |
| AtFH14       | Bundles both AFs and MTs; crosslinks AFs and MTs together                            | Preprophase band; phragmoplast                    | Cell division                                                                | Li et al., 2010                                       |
| OsFH5        | Bundles both AFs and MTs                                                            | Chloroplast surface                               | Cell expansion                                                               | Yang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011a               |
| AtTHRUMIN1   | Light-dependent actin bundling activity                                             | Cell membrane                                    | Chloroplast movement                                                          | Whippo et al., 2011                                  |
| AtAVB1-3     | Part of the V-ATPase multimeric complex                                             | Endomembrane system                               | –                                                                              | Ma et al., 2012                                       |
| AtSCAB1      | Dimerizes; likely regulated by phosphoinositides                                     | Cytoplasm                                        | Stomatal movement                                                            | Zhao et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2012               |
| **VILLINS**  |                                                                                     |                                                  |                                                                                |                                                      |
| LIP-135-ABP  | Ca\(^{2+}\) sensitive; bundle AFs with uniform polarity                              | Cytoplasm                                        | Direction of cytoplasm streaming in pollen tubes and root hair cells          | Yokota et al., 1998, 2000, 2003, 2005; Yokota and Shimmen, 1999; Tominaga et al., 2000 |
| LIP-115-ABP  |                                                                                     |                                                  |                                                                                |                                                      |
| AtVLN1       | Ca\(^{2+}\) insensitive; lacks severing and capping activities                      | –                                                | –                                                                              | Huang et al., 2005; Khurana et al., 2010            |
| AtVLN2       | Ca\(^{2+}\) sensitive; has severing and capping activities                          | Cytoplasm                                        | Directional organ growth; Sclerenchyma development                            | Bao et al., 2012; van der Honing et al., 2012        |
| AtVLN3       | Ca\(^{2+}\) sensitive; has severing and capping activities; can sever AtVLN1-induced bundles in vitro | Cytoplasm                                        | Directional organ growth; Sclerenchyma development                            | Khurana et al., 2010; Bao et al., 2012; van der Honing et al., 2012 |
| AtVLN4       | Ca\(^{2+}\) sensitive; has severing and capping activities                          | Cytoplasm                                        | Root hair growth and cytoplasmatic streaming                                 | Zhang et al., 2011b                                  |
| AtVLN5       | Ca\(^{2+}\) sensitive; has severing and capping activities                          | Cytoplasm                                        | Pollen tube growth                                                           | Zhang et al., 2010                                   |
| **LIM PROTEINS** |                                                                                     |                                                  |                                                                                |                                                      |
| NtWLIM1      | Interacts directly with DNA                                                          | Cytoplasm; nucleus                                | Gene expression (lignin biosynthesis)                                          | Kawaoka et al., 2000; Kaothien et al., 2002; Thomas et al., 2006, 2007 |
| NtWLIM2      | Interacts directly with DNA; dimerizes                                               | Cytoplasm; nucleus                                | Gene expression (Histones)                                                    | Moes et al., 2012                                    |

(Continued)
function is to increase actin dynamics (Staiger and Blanchoin, 2006; Ono, 2007; Van Troys et al., 2008b; Bernstein and Bamberg, 2010). Whereas vertebrates typically possess three ADFs/cofilins, plant ADF families are particularly large. Indeed, Arabidopsis expresses 11 functional ADFs (AtADF1-11) which can be divided into 5 subclasses according to their tissular expression and phylogeny (Ruzicka et al., 2007). Recently, time-lapse TIRFM analyses provided direct evidence that subclass I AtADF1 and AtADF4 sever actin filaments in vitro (Khurana et al., 2010; Henty et al., 2011), an activity displayed by most animal, protozoa, and yeast ADFs/cofilins (e.g., Andrianantoandro and Pollard, 2006; Chan et al., 2009). In agreement with these data and the role predicted for actin severing in the stochastic dynamics of plant actin filaments (Michelot et al., 2007; Blanchoin et al., 2010; Staiger et al., 2010), Henty et al. (2011) established that Arabidopsis adf4 knockout mutants exhibit a 2.5-fold reduced severing frequency as well as other characteristics of reduced actin dynamics in the cortical region of hypocotyl epidermal cells.

We recently compared the biochemical activities of Arabidopsis ADFs from different subclasses (unpublished data). We found that, contrary to other ADFs, subclass III AtADF9 is unable to enhance actin depolymerization in vitro (Tholl et al., 2011). Instead, AtADF9 stabilizes and crosslinks actin filaments into large bundles. By transiently expressing GFP-tagged and untagged AtADF9 recombinant proteins in tobacco BY2 cells, we confirmed the actin-bundling activity of AtADF9 in a live cell context. Indeed, contrary to AtADF1 which induced many breaks in the actin cytoskeleton, AtADF9 reduced the density and increased the thickness of actin fibers. Interestingly, similar data were obtained with AtADF5 (unpublished data), the other member of Arabidopsis ADF subclass III. Future work should identify the structural features responsible for the unconventional activities of subclass III ADFs, and compare the developmental and actin cytoskeleton phenotypes of adf5 and adf9 mutants to those recently reported for the knockout mutant of the conventional ADF AtADF4 (Henty et al., 2011).

Table 1 lists the actin bundling proteins cited in the present article and emphasizes some of their important features.

CONCLUSIONS

The growing number and diversity of actin-bundling proteins identified in plants indicate that, like animals, plants elaborate various types of actin-bundles with specific structural features and distinct functions (Table 1). This implies that the functions of actin bundles extend beyond the traditional definition of stable tracks for long distance intracellular transport. The characterization of novel types of actin-bundling proteins points out potential functions for actin bundles in stomatal movement, ion channel trafficking and/or activities, and chloroplast movement. In addition, actin bundles most likely play an important role in the regulation of hormone carriers cycling between plasma membrane and intracellular compartments (Nick, 2010). The specific actin bundling proteins involved in this process as well as their mode of regulation however remain to be identified.

Precise actin filament dynamics and organization near the plant cell cortex have been resolved only recently, and the
elucidation of the roles of actin filaments and bundles at this location will keep researchers busy during the years to come. Future work should establish why epidermal plant cells keep their cortical actin network so dynamic and whether the other cell types do the same. A number of actin-bundling proteins reviewed in this article support the existence of a physical linkage between actin bundles and membranes. Among those, formins emerge as key multifunctional ABPs able to initiate polymerization and bundling of filaments from diverse types of subcellular locations including the cell membrane. Noticeably, the recent work by Martiniere et al. (2011) provides compelling evidence that the Arabidopsis formin AtAFH1 is anchored by its predicted extracellular domain within the cell wall and bridges the latter to the actin cytoskeleton. A next important step consists in identifying the external signals that target the extracellular domain of class I formins and in characterizing how such signals modulate the intracellular activities of formins. In addition, one can expect that, following the pioneering work on AtADF4 by Henty et al. (2011), the exact contribution of formins to actin nucleation and bundling near the cell cortex, and more generally to the actin stochastic dynamics, will be soon characterized. During the reviewing process of the present article, a publication by Deeks et al. (2012) reporting the identification of a novel and plant-specific superfamily of ABPs termed Networked (NET) was released. Localization analyses strongly suggest that the Arabidopsis NET proteins function as linkers between the actin cytoskeleton and diverse types of membranes, including specific subdomains of the plasma membrane, the tonoplast and the nuclear membrane. There is no doubt that such an exciting discovery will boost the field and contribute to a better understanding of how AFs and actin bundles are coupled to membranes in plant cells.
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