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Abstract

Objective. Plasma is formed by creating a high-density energy field within an electrically conductive fluid such as saline. Sometimes ablated bits of tissue get stuck between the electrodes of the wand, obstructing the suction channel. The purpose of this study is to investigate the effect of cooling the irrigating saline during ablation of the hypertrophied tongue base in patients with obstructive sleep apnea.

Study Design. Prospective randomized controlled trial.

Setting. An otorhinolaryngology department in Main University hospitals.

Methods. Sixty adult patients with obstructive sleep apnea and tongue base hypertrophy underwent tongue base ablation surgery. Patients were randomly divided into 2 groups of 30 patients each: cooled saline and room temperature saline. The Coblation wand used was the EVac 70 Xtra HP (Smith & Nephew).

Results. In this study, a significant difference in operative time (mean ± SD) was seen between groups: 21.2 ± 5.5 minutes in the cold group and 47 ± 9.5 minutes in the control group (P = .001). The wands in the cold group did not obstruct, while all the wands in the control group were obstructed by tissue clogs with variable degrees, hence wasting more time to clean the wands’ tips.

Conclusion. Cooling the irrigating saline overcame the problem of wand clogs, and the wand tip did not occlude at all during the procedures, thus saving time lost in wand cleaning and demonstrating a faster and safer surgical procedure. Further studies are needed to identify the hemostatic effect of the cooled saline over the regular one.
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on the tongue by the mouth gag blade and the more incidence of postoperative taste affection.

The purpose of this study is to investigate the effect of cooling the irrigating saline during ablation of hypertrophied tongue base in patients with obstructive sleep apnea (OSA). The hypothesis is that cooled saline can result in fewer clogs of the handpiece suction channel and hence result in faster and easier surgery, especially for beginners to the technology.

**Materials and Methods**

This study was approved by the ethics committee of Alexandria University, and all patients signed informed consent. The study was prospectively conducted on 60 consecutive patients with significant tongue base hypertrophy observed at preoperative drug-induced sleep endoscopy who underwent transoral Coblation endoscopic lingual lightening (CELL technique) as stand-alone surgery. All patients were treated at the nose and palate level in a previous stage, leaving the tongue base to be done separately. All patients were experiencing moderate to severe OSA (apnea-hypopnea index [AHI] ≥15 events/h) and underwent surgery at the otolaryngology department of Main University hospitals from January 2017 until December 2018.

Patients inclusion criteria were moderate to severe OSA (AHI ≥15 events/h), age between 18 and 65 years, body mass index (BMI) ≤35, failure of continuous positive airway pressure or low adherence to this treatment during the last 3 months (<4 hours per night), and main base of tongue collapses at drug-induced sleep endoscopy. Exclusion criteria included severe disease (psychiatric, cardiopulmonary, or neurologic), American Society of Anesthesiologists classification >3, previous tongue base surgery, significant craniofacial anomalies, pregnancy, no significant tongue base hypertrophy, and limited mouth opening that did not allow transoral access (interincisive distance <2.5 cm).

Patients were randomly divided into 2 groups: patients treated with cooled saline irrigation (cold group) and patients treated with room temperature saline irrigation (control group). Randomization was conducted by picking a piece of paper out of a box with a treatment order written on it (regular or cold), and then that piece of paper was placed back in the box. The chances of picking regular or cold were 50/50. The only different parameter in both groups was the saline temperature. Data registered for the analysis were as follows: age, sex, BMI, AHI, safety (defined by operative data; operation time, blood loss), postoperative bleeding and complications, and postoperative AHI by level 3 polygraphy after 6 months.

Cooling of saline was done by putting the saline used in irrigation in the refrigerator at least 1 hour before the intervention. The Coblation settings were 7 for ablation mode and 3 for coagulation mode. The Coblation handpiece used was EVac 70 Xtra HP (Smith & Nephew).

All patients were prepared and draped for surgery in the snifing position (neck flexed and head extended), and exposure of tongue base was achieved: stay silk suture in the oral tongue to deliver tongue base, wide and short mouth gag blade inserted until the level of the circumvallate papillae to preserve taste sensation, and the Davis-Meyer mouth gag suspended to an ordinary Mayo stand. Then a 45° up-looking endoscope was inserted in the mouth, and ablation of tongue base tissue was done on each side of the midline (2-cm width and 1-cm depth of tissue ablation). The same technique was carried out by the same surgeon in all cases, with the only difference being the temperature of the irrigating saline. The surgeon was blinded regarding the irrigating saline temperature.

**Statistical Analysis**

All analyses were performed with Stata version 12.1 (StataCorp). A paired *t* test and 2-sample *t* test were used to compare means within and between groups. *P* < .05 was considered statistically significant. Sample size calculation was performed to determine whether the study had 80% power to detect differences between the groups of 50% (large) and 20% (small), with a 1-sided test (alpha = 0.05).

**Results**

A total of 60 patients (40 male) met the inclusion criteria and underwent transoral endoscopic tongue base ablation surgery. The mean ± SD age was 40.8 ± 9.5 years. The majority of patients (80%, 48/60) had severe OSA. No statistically significant differences between groups were observed in age, sex, preoperative BMI, and AHI (Table 1).

The mean irrigating saline temperature was measured by infrared thermometer used for objects and found to be 12.3°C ± 0.3°C in the cold group and 28.5°C ± 1.1°C in the control group (Fig. 1). A significant difference in operative time was seen between groups (P = .001 at 95% CI), as measured from the start of tongue base exposure by the mouth gag until it was taken off. Operative time ranged from 15 to 30 minutes (mean, 21.2 ± 5.5 minutes) in the cold group and from 30 to 60 minutes (mean, 47 ± 9.5 minutes) in the control group (Table 1, Figure 2). All wands tips (100%) were obstructed with variable degrees in the control group, whereas that did not occur in the cold group.

All patients were extubated at the end of surgery with no postoperative intubation or tracheostomy. No patient required a feeding tube in the early postoperative period. Postoperative pain was measured by visual analog scale at the end of the first week after surgery (ie, 1 time frame only). Scores ranged from 3 to 7, and there was no significant difference in postoperative pain between the groups (P = .21; Table 1). No significant intraoperative bleeding was encountered, and if nonsignificant bleeding happened, it was instantly controlled with suction-irrigation-coagulation technology of the Coblation system.

Regarding postoperative complications, only 4 patients (2 from each group) reported a transient loss of taste sensation that resolved spontaneously within 6 weeks. No
significant postoperative bleeding was registered in the cold group, whereas 5 patients in the control group (16.66%) presented with mild postoperative bleeding 10 days after surgery. However, the difference in bleeding between the groups was not the study’s primary aim, and it is to be examined in a separate study.

Discussion

Li et al presented the CELL technique to ablate tongue base hypertrophy in patients with OSA by performing midline glossectomy.\textsuperscript{13,14} The CELL technique was found to be feasible and effective in treating patients with OSA at the tongue base level.

Sometimes ablated bits of tissue get stuck between the electrodes of the wand, obstructing the suction channel. The wand does not “breathe” anymore. Continuation of surgery despite imperfect suction will damage the active electrodes, which are sometimes harmful to tissues and will injure them (\textbf{Figure 3}). Some solutions are to be adopted in case of wand clogs:

- Gently wipe off the tip of the wand with a wet 4 × 4 from the Mayo stand, parallel to the electrodes. Before continuing with the dissection, hold the wand above a recipient on the Mayo stand and press the ablate pedal to remove any last remnants.
- Dip the tip of the wand into a plastic bowl with saline and gently rub the tip against the wall while pressing the ablate pedal.
- Back-flush the wand. Disconnect the suction, and connect a saline-filled syringe to the suction of the

---

\textbf{Table 1. Clinical Characteristics and Operative Data of 60 Patients Undergoing the Coblation Endoscopic Lingual Lightening Technique.}

|                      | Mean | SD  | t   | P value |
|----------------------|------|-----|-----|---------|
| Age                  | 0.397| 0.693|     |         |
| Cold                 | 42.4 | 9.1 |     |         |
| Control              | 41.4 | 8.7 |     |         |
| BMI                  | 0.818| 0.417|     |         |
| Cold                 | 31.3 | 2.9 |     |         |
| Control              | 30.5 | 3.9 |     |         |
| Preoperative AHI     | 1.051| 0.298|     |         |
| Cold                 | 37   | 10.4|     |         |
| Control              | 34   | 10.5|     |         |
| Postoperative AHI    | 0.759| 0.452|     |         |
| Cold                 | 13   | 6.5 |     |         |
| Control              | 12   | 5.4 |     |         |
| Operative time       | 0.179| <.001* |     |         |
| Cold                 | 21.2 | 5.5 |     |         |
| Control              | 47   | 9.5 |     |         |
| Postoperative pain: VAS | 0.505| 0.579|     |         |
| Cold                 | 3.8  | 0.9 |     |         |
| Control              | 4    | 1.2 |     |         |

Abbreviations: AHI, apnea-hypopnea index; BMI, body mass index; VAS, visual analog scale.

*P < .05.
wand. While holding the tip of the wand in a bowl filled with saline and pressing the ablative pedal, forcefully inject the saline from the syringe into the suction channel of the wand.

However, prevention of wand obstruction is better to save time wasted in cleaning the wand from tissue clogs. The difference in operative time between the groups involved time lost in cleaning the wands from being obstructed by tissue clogs. In this experience, a significant decrease in operative time was found in the cold group (mean, 21.2 ± 5.5 minutes) as compared with the control group (mean, 47 ± 9.5 minutes). The temperature of irrigating saline was measured by an infrared thermometer used for objects and found to be 12.3° ± 0.3°C in the cold group and 28.5° ± 1.1°C in the control group (P = .001). The difference in operative time involved saving time wasted in cleaning wand tips when irrigating saline was used at room temperature (control group). All wands got blocked with variable degrees in the control group, but that did not occur in the cold group.

Some tricks are to be adopted to prevent such condition: do not bury the wand tip into the tissue. Rather, it is advised to hover the wand over the tissue and keep the wand tip moving all the time. Another great trick is to cool the saline used in irrigation by putting it in the refrigerator at least 1 hour before surgery. This study was conducted to provide evidence on the advantage of cooling the irrigating saline to overcome the problem of wand clogs, as the wand tip did not occlude during the procedures, thus saving time lost in wand cleaning and allowing for faster surgery. Moreover, cold saline might help keep tongue base tissues cold; thus, a lower probability of tongue-based edema reduces the need to keep the patient intubated at the end of surgery.8,15

Study Limitations

Limitations included the small population and the lack of data on the effect of cooling the irrigating saline on bleeding control, which should be addressed in future studies.

Conclusion

Patients randomized to undergo tongue ablation with cooled saline irrigation had a significantly shorter operative time than controls with room temperature saline irrigation. The decrease in operative time was primarily attributed to fewer clogs of the headpiece suction channel. It is recommended to cool the irrigating saline by putting it in the refrigerator 1 hour before the intervention.
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