Socio-demographic profile of tobacco consuming students in age group of 15 to 25 years in Jammu region
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Abstract

Introduction: Consumption of tobacco leads to a myriad of ill effects among the smokers as well as those in their proximity. This study was conducted in the population in the age group of 15-24 years, the vulnerable period of life when most of health-related behaviours develop.

Materials and Methods: 1. Study design: Cross-sectional study. 2. Participants: 300 students; 75 each from Schools, degree colleges, professional colleges and post graduate departments of University of Jammu, in the age group of 15-24 years belonging to different regions of Jammu, Kashmir and Ladakh. 3. Sampling: Stratified Systemic Sampling technique. 4. Study instrument: A subset of key questions from Global Adult Tobacco Survey (GATS) 2nd edition.

Results: Prevalence of smoking was 11.33%. Non indulgence in smoking was seen among students aged below 16 years, and was seen to be twice among over 20 years (16.77%) as compared to 17-19 years group (9.37%). Among male students, it was ten times (20.66%) higher than females (2%). It was higher in government than in private institutions (13.33% vs. 9.33%). Prevalence of smokeless tobacco consumption was 2%; 3.35% in ≥20 years age group, 1.04% among 17-19 years and nil among ≤16 year age. Male to female ratio was 5 to 1. Ratio of prevalence in Private institutions to government institutions was more than 3 to 1.

Conclusion: There is an urgent need to curb the use of tobacco among the sub-groups of students with higher prevalence. This can be achieved by strengthening political and administrative will, implementing tobacco free school guidelines and establishing effective multi-sectoral coordination, as tobacco control is beyond the scope of health department.
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Introduction

Tobacco remains the most important avoidable risk for the four classes of NCDs. It kills around 6 million people each year and more than 5 million of those deaths are the result of direct tobacco use while more than 6 lakh are the result of non-smokers being exposed to second-hand smoke. Nearly 80% of more than 1 billion smokers worldwide live in low- and middle-income countries. India is the second largest consumer of tobacco globally. It is estimated to cause about 71% of all lung cancer deaths, 42% of chronic respiratory disease and nearly 10% of cardiovascular disease. Tobacco-related cancers constitute roughly 40% of all male cancers in India. India has signed Framework Convention for Tobacco Control (FCTC) for halting tobacco consumption in the country.

From Global Adult Tobacco Survey (GATS) 1⁶ (2009-2010) to GATS 2 (2016-2017), the prevalence of tobacco use has declined by 6%. The number of tobacco users has declined by about 81 lakh. Due to the fact that tobacco smoking is regarded fashionable in most of the sections of the society, younger age group is more likely to inculcate this habit in comparison to the other age groups so as to boost their social acceptance and image. This behaviour is then carried forward in life and gets established and raises the likelihood of developing NCDs.

Materials and Methods

Study was conducted on students, in 15-25 age group, from different regions of Jammu, Kashmir and Ladakh, and studying in various Schools, Colleges in Jammu city and Post Graduate (PG) departments of the University of Jammu. Each PG department of Jammu University (JU) was treated as one separate institution for the purpose of sampling. Permission from Institutional Ethics Committee GMC, Jammu (IECGJ) followed by Director Education and Heads of respective institutions was sought and the study was initiated. A sample of 300 students, 75 students each from Schools, Professional Colleges, Degree Colleges and Departments of JU was taken. Stratified Systemic Sampling technique was followed for the selection of the participants in the following fashion:
37 & 38 students from government and private schools respectively were taken. Similarly, 38 & 37 students from each of government and private Degree colleges and 38 & 37 students from government and private Professional colleges were chosen. In the same manner, 37 & 38 students pursuing PG in general & private courses from different departments of JU were taken. Then each of 37 students were stratified into 19 males and 18 females. Similarly, each of 38 students were divided into 19 males and 19 females. This stratification was done so as to maintain a balance of equal males and females, i.e. 150 each. Also, this ensured an equal participation of students from government and private setup (again, 150 each). The institutions were selected by draw of lot method.

A map of the area falling under Jammu Municipal Corporation (JMC) was obtained from JMC office. The institutions were selected by the draw of lot method. After selection of the institutions and departments, the respective Heads of institutions were explained about the purpose and procedure of the study. Consent was obtained from those agreeing to participate in the study. Various streams, classes and courses in the schools, colleges and JU were selected as per recommendations by their Heads. Students were selected from attendance register by simple random sampling technique involving draw of lots, separate for boys and girls. Each of the students selected was met in person and their consent for participation was taken. For minor students, the assent of their parents/guardians was obtained. In case of refusals or absence, a new lot was drawn.

Consumption of Tobacco was assessed using Tobacco question from surveys, a subset of key questions from Global Adult Tobacco Survey (GATS) 2nd Edition. For the purpose of computing results, tobacco smoking was categorized under smokers and ex-smokers as well as consumers (current + past), current and past consumers of smokeless tobacco were clubbed together as ‘consumers’.

Exposure to smoke at home and indoor areas of work have been put under exposed category of passive smoking. Each student was interviewed in person. Face to face interview sessions were conducted after assuring confidentiality and rapport building. Average time was around 20-30 minutes per student. Data was analyzed in terms of numbers and proportions.

Results
Table 1 indicates that nearly half (49.66 %) of the students in our study were over 20 years of age, followed by 32% in the 17-19 year age group and ≤16 year group comprising 18.33 % of students. Also, majority of students (82.33%), belonged to Hindu community and 78% hailed from nuclear families (Fig.2).

Table 2 reveals that in both govt. & private institutions and among male and female groups, only 29% student’s fathers were graduates. In comparison to private institutions (0.66%), a higher percentage of fathers of the students in govt. institutions (4%) were illiterate. 95% of fathers and 25% of the mothers of students belonged to the working class. Over all the prevalence of smoking was found to be 11.33%.

Table 3 shows there was no indulgence in smoking seen among students in the age group ≤16 years, and was nearly twice as high in ≥20years (16.77%) as compared to 17-19 years group (9.37%). Number of male smokers (20.66%) was ten times higher than female smokers (2%) and it was more frequent in govt. (13.33%) than in private institutions (9.33%). Also, all the female smokers belonged to private institutions only (Fig. 3). 13.66% student used to smoke in the past. Number of male students (22.66%) was 5 times higher than their female counterparts (4.66%), percentage of past smokers was more in private (16%) as compared to the govt. institutions (11.33%). Percentage of ex-smokers over 20 years of age (20.13%) was nearly twice as that in 17-19 year age group (10.41%).
In Table 4, Male students (60%) were exposed much higher than their female counterparts (42%) and exposure was higher among those in govt. (53.33%) than in private institutions (48.66%). Also, among male students, the ones in govt. institutions were exposed slightly more than those in private institutions.

Table 5 reveals 2% of the students consumed smokeless tobacco and its consumption was highest in ≥20years age group (3.35%) which was nearly three times as that in 17-19 years (1.04%) and nil in ≤16 year age group.

It was seen that, consumption was about five times higher among male students (3.33%) in comparison to their female counterparts (0.66%) and more among students studying in private (5.33%) than in govt. institutions (1.33%).

![Fig. 3: Institution and gender wise distribution of students based on smoking](image)

![Fig. 2: Age and gender wise distribution of students](image)

### Table 1: Distribution of students as per Age, Gender and Socio-demographic characteristics

| Age group (in years) | Government n=150 | Private n=150 | Total n (%) |
|----------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------|
|                      | Male n (%)  | Female n (%)  | Male n (%)  | Female n (%)  |               |
| ≤16                  | 1 (1.33)    | 16 (21.33)    | 19 (25.33)  | 19 (25.33)    | 55 (18.33)   |
| 17-19                | 33 (44)     | 30 (40)      | 15 (20)    | 18 (24)      | 96 (32)      |
| ≥20                  | 41 (54.66)  | 29 (38.66)   | 41 (54.66)  | 38 (50.66)   | 149 (49.66)  |
| Total                | 75 (50)     | 75 (50)      | 75 (50)    | 75 (50)      | 300          |

#### Socio-demographic Parameters

| Religion       | Government Male n (%) | Government Female n (%) | Private Male n (%) | Private Female n (%) | Total Male n (%) | Total Female n (%) |
|----------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Hindu          | 64 (85.33)             | 63 (84)                  | 63 (84)            | 57 (76)              | 247 (82.33)      |
| Muslim         | 6 (8)                  | 7 (9.33)                 | 8 (10.66)          | 12 (16)              | 33 (11)          |
| Christian      | 0 (0)                  | 0 (0)                    | 1 (1.33)           | 0 (0)                | 1 (0.33)         |
| Sikh           | 5 (6.66)               | 3 (4)                    | 3 (4)              | 5 (6.66)             | 16 (5.33)        |
| Others         | 0 (0)                  | 2 (2.66)                 | 0 (0)              | 1 (1.33)             | 3 (1)            |
| Total          | 75 (50)                | 75 (50)                  | 75 (50)            | 75 (50)              | 300              |

#### Type of Family

| Type of Family | Government | Private | Total |
|----------------|------------|---------|-------|
|                | Male n (%) | Female n (%) | Male n (%) | Female n (%) | Male n (%) | Female n (%) |
| Joint          | 12 (16)    | 15 (20) | 21 (28) | 18 (24) | 66 (22) |
| Nuclear        | 63 (84)    | 60 (80) | 54 (72) | 57 (76) | 234 (78) |
| Total          | 75 (50)    | 75 (50) | 75 (50) | 75 (50) | 300     |
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Table 2: Distribution of students as per education and occupation status of Father and Mother

| Father | Government n=150 | Private n=150 | Total n (%) |
|--------|------------------|--------------|-------------|
|        | Male n (%)     | Female n (%) | Male n (%)  | Female n (%) | Male n (%)  | Female n (%) |
| a) Education |                |              |             |             |             |              |
| Illiterate | 2 (2.66)       | 4 (5.33)     | 1 (1.33)    | 0 (0)       | 7 (2.33)    |
| Graduate   | 18 (24)        | 22 (29.33)   | 20 (26.66)  | 28 (37.33)  | 88 (29.33)  |
| Postgraduate | 9 (12)        | 1 (1.33)     | 7 (9.33)    | 27 (9)      |
| Professional | 7 (9.33)      | 11 (14.66)   | 7 (9.33)    | 41 (13.66)  |
| Total      | 75 (50)        | 75 (50)      | 75 (50)     | 75 (50)     | 300         |
| b) Occupation |              |              |             |             |             |
| Working    | 68 (90.66)     | 71 (94.66)   | 72 (96)     | 285 (95)    |
| Non working | 7 (9.33)       | 4 (5.33)     | 3 (4)       | 15 (5)      |
| Total      | 75 (50)        | 75 (50)      | 75 (50)     | 75 (50)     | 300         |

| Mother |        |              |             |
|--------|--------|--------------|-------------|
| a) Education |        |              |             |
| Illiterate | 9 (12) | 7 (9.33)     | 4 (5.33)    | 0 (0)       | 20 (6.66)   |
| Graduate   | 11 (14.66) | 4 (5.33)   | 4 (5.33)    | 15 (20)     | 34 (11.33)  |
| Postgraduate | 1 (1.33) | 5 (6.66)     | 3 (4)       | 5 (6.66)    | 14 (4.66)   |
| Professional | 8 (10.66) | 5 (6.66)     | 1 (1.33)    | 0 (0)       | 14 (4.66)   |
| Total      | 75 (50) | 75 (50)      | 75 (50)     | 75 (50)     | 300         |
| b) Occupation |        |              |             |
| Working    | 20 (26.66) | 29 (28.66)   | 15 (20)     | 22 (29.33)  | 86 (28.6)   |
| Non working | 55 (73.33) | 46 (61.33)   | 60 (80)     | 53 (70.66)  | 214 (71.33) |
| Total      | 75 (50) | 75 (50)      | 75 (50)     | 75 (50)     | 300         |

Table 3: Distribution of students as per Active smoking status (tobacco)

| Age group (in years) | Category | Government n=150 | Private n=150 |
|----------------------|----------|------------------|--------------|
|                      | Male     | Female           | Male         | Female       |
| ≤16 (55)             | 0/1      | 0/16             | 0/19         | 0/19         |
| 17-19 (96)           | 7/33     | 0/30             | 0/15         | 2/18         |
| ≥20 (149)            | 13/41    | 0/29             | 11/41        | 1/38         |
| Total                | 33/75    | 4/75             | 32/75        | 6/75         |

Grand Total (37+38) = 75 (25) students

Table 4: Distribution of passive smoking among students

| Exposed to passive smoking | Age group (in years) | Government n=150 | Private n=150 |
|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------|
|                            | Male     | Female           | Male         | Female       |
| ≤16 (55)                   | 1/1      | 9/16             | 19/19        | 13/19        |
| 17-19 (96)                 | 27/33    | 9/30             | 6/15         | 6/18         |
| ≥20 (149)                  | 20/41    | 14/29            | 17/41        | 12/38        |
| Total                      | 48/75    | 32/75            | 42/75        | 31/75        |

Grand Total (80+73) = 153 (51) Students

Table 5: Distribution of consumption status of smokeless tobacco among students

| Ever- Consumers* | Age group (in years) | Government n=150 | Private n=150 |
|------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------|
|                  | Male     | Female           | Male         | Female       |
| ≤16 (55)         | 0/1      | 0/16             | 0/19         | 0/19         |
| 17-19 (96)       | 0/33     | 1/30             | 0/15         | 0/18         |
| ≥20 (149)        | 1/41     | 0/29             | 4/41         | 0/38         |
| Total            | 1/75     | 1/75             | 4/75         | 0/75         |

Grand Total (2+4) = 6 (300) Students
*Discussion*

Despite the enormous lifestyle changes, experienced by our society in recent decades, there are only a few researches that have been carried out to study tobacco consumption among students in the age group of 15-25 years, a vulnerable period of life when health-related behaviours that drive the major chronic degenerative diseases, start or are reinforced.

Prevalence of smoking in our study was 11.33%, which is in line with Global Adult Tobacco Survey (GATS 2) India, 2016-17\(^{10}\) and others.\(^{11}\) Wide variation of tobacco consumption among different age groups can be attributed to the fact that lower age individuals are yet under parental control and also stringent laws do not permit them to buy and smoke tobacco and its products. Whereas, rise in number with advancing age might be explained by the craze of experimenting/ of fashion that usually begins in college life and so the negative behaviour persists all along; peer pressure by friends, economic independence, lack of fear of parents might also be the factors involved. Ten- times higher prevalence seen among male students (20.66%) as compared to female students (2%) is in line with other studies.\(^{12,13}\) This can be explained by the fact that males are introduced to cigarettes and other products much earlier in age by their families. Tobacco, if used by parents or elder siblings, increases the children's likelihood of smoking. Smoking is seen to be slightly more frequent in government than in private institutions (13.33% vs. 9.33%), which might be explained due to lack of awareness on the part of parents and the environment under which students live. Prevalence of using smokeless tobacco in our study was 2%. Similar trend of consumption of smokeless tobacco was seen i.e. highest among students over 20 years and nil in ≤16 year age group. Five times higher prevalence among males than female students is consistent with other studies.\(^{12,13}\) Higher prevalence among students in private institutions can be due to the higher purchasing power among the former group. Higher prevalence of ex-smokers in private as compared to the govt. institutions points towards more awareness regarding ill effects of tobacco in the former group. Exposure to second-hand smoke was pervasive in all age groups and most of the students had an exposure to smoke at their homes only, signifying that this not only increases their likelihood to develop ill health but indulgence in smoking also. Higher exposure of male students might be due to comfort levels that exist between the same gender, and a higher exposure among students in govt. institutions points towards the lack of awareness about the hazards of passive smoking amongst their family members. This is also backed by the finding that among male students, the ones in govt. institutions were exposed slightly more than those in private institutions.

**Conclusion**

Our results should be considered a first step into the development of tailored and effective intervention programmes aiming to curb Tobacco consumption, a behaviour risk factor, among students at an early age so that it is not carried forward and sustained throughout life. Strengths- Students were spread across different institutions making it a wider study as it takes transition period from school to college and to university into consideration; Stratified Sampling technique applied at every step rules out the probability of selection bias; Equal division of male-female and students in government and private institutions (150 each) gives an equal sense of comparability.

Study has certain limitations that the results obtained should be cautiously generalised for the whole population as the sample size is small, it gives a snapshot of the problem in only one point in time as the design is cross sectional, underreporting of smoking can’t be ruled out as students (esp. females) might not have admitted to the consumption of tobacco due to its social unacceptability.
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