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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Despite intensive research efforts, there is still no effective prophylactic vaccine available against HIV-1. Currently, substantial efforts are devoted to the development of vaccines aimed at inducing broadly neutralizing antibodies (bNAbs), which are capable of neutralizing most HIV-1 strains. All bNAbs target the HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein (Env), but Env immunizations usually only induce neutralizing antibodies (NAbs) against the sequence-matched virus and not against other strains.

Areas covered: We describe the different strategies that have been explored to improve the breadth and potency of anti-HIV-1 NAb responses. The discussed strategies include the application of engineered Env immunogens, optimization of (bNAb) epitopes, different cocktail and sequential vaccination strategies, nanoparticles and nucleic acid-based vaccines.

Expert opinion: A combination of the strategies described in this review and future approaches are probably needed to develop an effective HIV-1 vaccine that can induce broad, potent and long-lasting NAb responses.

1. Introduction

The last two decades have brought tremendous progress in the fight against HIV-1: the development of effective antiretroviral therapy (cART) has significantly decreased the number of new infections and HIV-related deaths in most parts of the world [1]. However, the pandemic continues to expand in other regions, such as Central Asia and Russia, and still poses one of the biggest threats to global health [2]. Furthermore, cART does not provide a cure for HIV-1 infection and eradication of HIV-1 will likely require an effective and broad-spectrum prophylactic vaccine.

The most important correlate of protection for antiviral vaccines are neutralizing antibodies (NAbs) [3]. Several lines of evidence suggest that a prophylactic HIV-1 vaccine needs to induce NAbs to be effective. First, the immune system cannot clear an established HIV-1 infection and only NAbs could provide the sterilizing protection that would be needed for effective protection against HIV-1. Second, passively administered NAbs protect non-human primates (NHPs) and humanized mice against HIV-1 infection and their protective effect is directly correlated with their neutralizing potency [4,5]. Finally, a recent immunization study demonstrated that an immunogen that is aimed at inducing NAbs could protect NHPs against infection and identified NAbs as the prime correlate of protection [6]. Other immune mechanisms, such as T-cell mediated immunity and antibody-dependent cytotoxicity (ADCC) might also play some role in protection against HIV1 infection, including the weak and short-lived protective effect observed in the RV144 clinical trial [7]. However, these subjects are beyond the scope of this review.

All HIV-1 NAbs target the envelope glycoprotein (Env), which is the only viral protein on the surface of the virus. Env is required at the initial stages of infection for attaching to the host CD4 receptor and CCR5 or CXCR4 co-receptors [8–11]. This glycoprotein consists of a trimer of heterodimers of gp120 and gp41 subunits, which are generated after the cleavage of a gp160 precursor by cellular proteases. The three gp41 subunits anchor the complex to the membrane and the three gp120 are linked by non-covalent interactions to the gp41 anchor. Gp120 is the most exposed moiety and contains five flexible variable loops and five relatively conserved regions.

HIV-1 is a rapidly evolving virus and this has resulted in an enormous sequence diversity between EnvS of different isolates [12,13]. Furthermore, the virus has developed strategies to hide essential Env regions from recognition by the immune system [14–16]. These strategies include shielding of conserved epitopes by flexible loops that are highly sequence diverse. Thus, the most conserved epitopes are hidden inside the Env core and are only exposed after CD4 receptor engagement [14]. Moreover, Env has a dense shield of glycans that cover most of the more immunogenic protein epitopes [17].

Despite all of the above, the immune systems of many HIV-1 infected individuals are able to overcome these hurdles and develop NAbs with a significant level of cross-neutralization, called broadly neutralizing antibodies (bNAbs). These bNAb responses fall in a continuum of breadth and potency, and 50% of infected individuals are able to neutralize more than 50% of HIV-1 circulating strains and some individuals develop bNAbs that neutralize even more than 98% of strains [18].
Most bNAbs target exposed but hard-to-reach conserved regions, such as the CD4 binding site, or recognize conserved epitopes in the glycan shield and/or on variable loops. This select group of antibodies are potent and broad enough to neutralize most of the circulating isolates of HIV-1 and have been shown to provide protection against viral challenge when passively transferred to NHPs [4]. Hundreds of bNAbs have now been isolated and characterized and they can target a wide range of Env epitopes. The first identified HIV-1 bNAbs targeted a limited subset of epitopes: the CD4 binding site (CD4bs) (e.g. b12), the glycans on the variable loop 3 (V3) (e.g. 2G12) and the membrane-proximal external region (MPER) (e.g. 2F5 and 4E10) [19,20]. In the last decade, the development of sophisticated single B cell cloning and isolation techniques have greatly expanded the number of bNAbs, which are more broad and potent and target additional epitopes: the glycans on the variable loops 1 and 2 (V1V2) on the trimer apex, the glycans on the variable loop 3 (V3) region, the gp120-gp41 interface, the fusion peptide and the ‘silent’ face of gp120, demonstrating that the whole Env trimer surface could be a potential target for bNAbs [21–23]. The identification of the different bNAbs, their epitopes and binding mechanisms have been extensively reviewed by others [5,24].

Several studies have dissected the virus-antibody co-evolution pathways of several bNAbs. These studies have greatly enhanced our understanding of the often tortuous pathways that B cell lineages undergo before developing into bNAbs producing B cells [21,25–27]. This is mainly due to the uncommon features associated with HIV-1 bNAbs. For instance, many bNAbs contain long heavy complementary determining region 3 (HCDR3) loops, which are needed to penetrate Env’s densely packed glycan shield [28,29]. Other bNAbs (such as the CD4bs-targeting VRC01 bNAb) have undergone high levels of somatic hypermutation [30,31]. Moreover, many (precursors to) bNAbs are reactive to host proteins [32–38] and these B cells are usually depleted during B cell maturation [39–41]. Apart from the fact that germline paratopes are usually related to higher malleability that can result in polyreactivity [42], it has been observed that mutations incorporated during the process of affinity maturation are associated with strong (and sometimes de novo) poly-/auto-reactivity [43–45]. These properties provide major obstacles for an HIV-1 vaccine to induce bNAbs and partially explain why such a vaccine has not been developed yet.

Here, we will discuss the different immunogens and strategies that are being employed to induce bNAb-like responses using vaccination and, in some cases, might improve the durability of these responses. These strategies are schematically represented in Figure 1.

2. HIV-1 Env immunogen forms

Several types of subunit immunogens have been developed as potential vaccine candidates (extensively reviewed in [46]). The first Env-based immunogen designs were peptides and gp120 monomers. Initially, peptides based on the V3-loop were hailed as promising vaccine candidates because they efficiently induced anti-HIV-1 NAb [47,48]. However, the initial enthusiasm waned after it became apparent that these NAbS only neutralized lab-adapted and neutralization-sensitive (‘Tier 1’ [49]) viruses. The Tier 1 A viruses represent mostly lab-adapted strains and are highly neutralization sensitive, while Tier 1B viruses represent mostly circulating, but neutralization sensitive strains. Tier 1 viruses seem to harbor EnvS with a more ‘open’ structure and this might explain why these viruses are efficiently neutralized by patient sera [50,51]. In contrast, most circulating HIV-1 strains harbor a more compact Env and, based on their higher neutralization resistance to patient sera, are categorized as ‘Tier 2’ strains [49,50]. Strains that are highly resistant to serum neutralization are categorized as Tier 3 viruses. A vaccine that is capable of inducing NAbs that neutralize most Tier 2 strains is a major goal for HIV-1 vaccinology. Other peptide immunogens are those based on the Env membrane-proximal external region (MPER), which is located at the base of gp41. Some of the broadest bNAbs, such as 1068 and DH511, target the MPER [52,53]. This, together with the high sequence conservation of MPER bNAbs epitopes, suggests that MPER peptides are attractive vaccine candidates. However, similarly to V3 peptide immunizations, MPER peptide-based immunogens have mostly induced binding Abs or Tier 1 NAbs only [54,55]. Recently, immunization strategies based on fusion peptides have shown promising results and this will be discussed separately (see section: ‘Epitope-focused vaccination’).

Gp120-based immunogens are being applied in a number of strategies. First, as gp120 monomers, but these immunogens usually do not induce Abs that neutralize Tier 2 strains [56]. This was confirmed when some of the participants in the Phase I Vaxgen AIDSvax clinical trial became infected despite having developed NAb titres against the lab-adapted strains [56]. The lack of neutralization of Tier 2 strains is possibly because gp120 monomers do not engage the precursors of bNAbs nor does gp120 recapitulate the structure of the complete Env trimer. The gp120 monomer displays epitopes on the non-neutralizing face of Env and the neutralizing epitopes present on gp120, such as the CD4 binding site, can be engaged from many angles of approach [57–59]. On viral Env, the same non-neutralizing epitopes are occluded and the possible angles of approach for the same neutralizing epitopes are much more restricted [58,60,61]. The second gp120-based immunogens are truncated gp120 monomers that lack non-NAb epitopes, such as
the inner domains [62–64] or the V3 loop [65]. However, gp120 monomers without these immunogenic non-neutralizing epitopes have only elicited binding Abs or Tier 1 NAbs. Third, gp120 outer domains (eOD) have been engineered that interact with specific precursor B cell receptors [66,67]. These promising immunogens are now being applied in immunization strategies aimed at activating inferred germline versions of bNAbs and are discussed in detail later (see section 7: ‘Targeting the precursors of bNab producing B cells’).

The Env complex is highly metastable and removing the transmembrane domain causes the complex to fall apart in gp120 and gp41 subunits. Therefore, the first trimeric soluble Env designs consisted of gp140 subunits that included inactivated furin cleavage sites that kept the gp120 associated with the gp41 ectodomain and/or contained heterologous trimerization domains, such as foldon and isoleucine zipper, fused to gp41 to stabilize the trimeric conformation [68,69]. However, these uncleaved Env trimers do not resemble the typical propeller shape of viral Env [70], but instead have a splayed open non-native Env conformation with gp120 monomers loosely tethered to gp41 ectodomains that are mostly in a postfusion conformation [71]. Moreover, these non-native trimers present epitopes in the Env core and the heterologous trimerization domain that are usually hidden (or absent) on neutralization-resistant viruses and that induce potentially distracting and unwanted non-NAbs (see section 4: ‘Epitope masking’) [57,69,72,73].

3. Native-like Env trimers

A different approach toward generating soluble Env trimers encompasses the so-called SOSIP.664 design, which was iteratively...
generated over the years. First efforts started almost two decades ago by covalently linking gp120 and gp41 using a disulfide bond (‘SOS’) [74]. Thereafter, an I559P mutation (‘IP’) was introduced in gp41 to stabilize Env in its pre-fusion conformation [75] and gp120/gp41 cleavage was increased by enhancing the furin cleavage site [76]. Lastly, gp140 was truncated at position 664 to prevent unwanted aggregation [77]. Combining this set of ‘SOSIP.664’ mutations with the clade A BG505 viral isolate resulted in the first native-like Env trimer (BG505 SOSIP.664) [78]. The BG505 SOSIP.664 provided the first high-resolution structures of the complete Env trimer [79,80], paving the way for structure-based Env trimer design. Later, it was shown that the SOSIP trimer structure is virtually indistinguishable from that of membrane-anchored HIV-1 Env [81]. Importantly, BG505 and the clade B B41 SOSIP.664 trimers were the first soluble immunogens to induce consistent and moderate to strong autologous NAB responses against a Tier 2 virus in rabbits and macaques [57]. However, these responses did not show neutralizing activity against heterologous Tier 2 viruses [57], as they were primarily targeting BG505- and B41-specific epitopes accessible because of the lack of glycosylation at positions 241 and 289 of the BG505 and B41 envelope protein [82,83].

The developments with SOSIP trimers have encouraged structure-based improvements and alternative native-like Env designs [69,84], such as uncleaved Env trimers that contain a long linker between gp120 and gp41 (‘single-chain’ or ‘native flexibly linked (NFL)’ trimers) [85,86] or a redesigned heptad repeat 1 in gp41 as an alternative to the I559P mutation (‘uncleaved prefusion-optimized (UFO)’ design) [87]. Subsequent studies have shown that these designs also present a native-like structure [88,89] and can induce autologous NABs efficiently [90,91].

During the last years, theseEnv designs have facilitated the generation of a considerable collection of native-like soluble Env trimers from different isolates and clades [88,90,92–94]. Nevertheless, monovalent immunization regimes with these immunogens have never induced bNABs in relevant animal models [84], which is not surprising, considering the intricate pathways that NABs need to undergo before developing breadth. Thus, more targeted designs and vaccination strategies are needed to optimally exploit the potential of these immunogens for vaccines.

4. Epitope masking

During the co-evolution history of HIV-1 with its hosts, the virus has developed several immune escape mechanisms, which include the incorporation of a glycan shield that covers most of the surface of the Env protein [15,17]. Generally, the most essential and conserved epitopes have evolved into subdominant determinants by glycan masking. On the other hand, strain-specific non-essential determinants are sometimes left exposed and might act as immunodominant ‘epitope-baits’ that hinder the development of broad-spectrum responses. In line with these concepts, it has been found that patients infected by transmitter/founder (T/F) viruses with holes in their glycan shield induce narrower responses than those infected by T/F viruses with intact glycan-shields [95]. Furthermore, detailed characterization of the humoral responses elicited in animals immunized with native-like Env trimers identified several of these immunodominant determinants responsible for the elicitation of strain-specific or narrow-neutralizing antibodies [82,91,94,96,97]. Moreover, trimer immunogens with artificially created glycan holes induce potent neutralization, but these NABs are usually only reactive with the autologous glycan-deleted virus [98]. Finally, site-specific glycan analyses have shown that glycan occupation of Env is highly heterogeneous [99–101]. Taken together, this suggests that HIV-1 has evolved a dynamic glycan shield that could open to ‘lure’ highly specific strain-specific NABs that provide little or no protection against other strains.

Furthermore, virtually all engineered soluble HIV-1 Env immunogens present (neo-)epitopes that induce strain-specific or non-neutralizing Abs. First, many soluble Env trimers induce non-neutralizing Abs to the V3-loop and/or the Env core, but these epitopes are hidden on closed viral Env trimers of Tier 2 viruses [51,57]. However, in vitro experiments show that many of the engineered Env trimers do not expose the V3-loop and/or Env core prior to administration, which suggests that these epitopes become exposed due to (partial) degradation or opening up of Env trimers post-administration [102]. Second, heterologous protein scaffolds that are sometimes used to present soluble Env trimers are highly immunogenic [73]. Third, the underside of soluble Env trimers is highly exposed, but this epitope does not exist on membrane-bound viral Env [103]. Polyclonal antibody mapping suggests that a majority of early Ab responses target this non-neutralizing epitope on soluble Env trimer immunogens [104].

These observations open the door to an ‘epitope masking-based design’ strategy in which strain-specific or non-neutralizing epitopes are artificially covered to redirect the responses to other, potentially cross-neutralizing, epitopes [105,106]. Several studies have successfully used stabilizing mutations (reviewed in [84]), interdomain-locking mutations [107] and glycan shielding to decrease the immunogenicity of unwanted non-neutralizing epitopes without compromising the desired Ab responses [73,106–109]. B cell immunology suggests that decreasing the immunogenicity of undesired epitopes should increase the competitive advantage of desired lower affinity bNAB epitopes [110]. However, thus far no in vivo study has directly demonstrated that dampening unwanted Env-responses redirects and increases Ab responses toward the desired epitopes [108,109]. Furthermore, infected individuals that develop bNABs also induce non-NABs and this suggests that the induction of bNABs is not necessarily compromised when non-NABs are also induced. Still, immunogens lacking most non-neutralizing epitopes will likely be important in cocktail or sequential vaccines in order to subdue responses to immunodominant non-NAB epitopes and to bolster the responses to subdominant cross-neutralizing epitopes. Furthermore, future immunization studies will need to demonstrate the influence of the highly exposed bottom epitope on soluble Env trimers on the induction of NABs.

5. Centralized and mosaic immunogens

Due to the high diversity of env sequences among HIV-1 strains, Env trimers present strain-specific antigenic
determinants that are not likely to induce broad-spectrum responses. One approach to circumvent these strain-specific responses is the use of so-called centralized immunogens [12,111–114], which encompass the variability from several viral strains in a single sequence. In theory, this could result in broader NAb responses by setting the immunological target(s) on the most conserved epitopes or, at least, on epitopes that are shared among a significant percentage of isolates. Two types of centralized sequences are distinguished according to the algorithm used to gather the variability of the viral population: consensus sequences, constructed by the concatenation of the most common amino acid at each position of the protein alignment, and ancestral sequences, which account for the predicted sequence of the common ancestor [12,113].

Despite their artificial origin, several examples of functional immunogens based on centralized env sequences were reported prior to the emergence of soluble native-like Env trimers [115–120]. However, these immunogens were focused on enhancing T-cell responses and only elicited low NAb titres [115–118,120], probably because they were delivered by genetic vaccination or did not present a native-like conformation. Liao et al. compared transmitter/founder (T/F), consensus and chronic Envs from different clades in immunization experiments in guinea pigs. Although all the trimers from the three classes showed a similar antigenic profile, the T/F Env induced the least potent but also the broadest neutralizing responses. The consensus Env elicited higher NAb titres to both Tier 1 and a subset of Tier 2 viruses (albeit at very low titres) than chronic Envs [121].

Advances in Env trimer protein design have boosted the generation of new consensus sequence-based Env immunogens. For instance, a soluble native-like Env trimer was produced by combining a number of stabilizing mutations with the consensus env sequence of clade C (conC) [122]. Another construct, based on a consensus sequence of all env sequences in group M (conS) [119], which was stabilized by the UFO design [87], showed a favorable antigenic profile and elicited autologous NAb responses in a DNA-protein vaccination experiment in rabbits [90]. The ConM SOSIP, also based on a group M consensus sequence, was structurally indistinguishable from other native-like Env trimers and induced apex-directed antibodies that neutralized the autologous conM virus and related conS virus at relative high NAb titres [123]. These and other consensus-based Env immunogens might be useful additions to NAb-based vaccine strategies, because they should contain less isolate-specific antigenic determinants.

A slightly different approach aimed at maximizing the coverage of an Env-based vaccine is the use of mosaic immunogens, which present as many cross-reactive T- or B-cell epitopes as possible while resembling natural sequences [124,125]. The presence of cross-reactive epitopes representing the whole population of epitope variants should increase the probability of recruitment of more individual lineages of immune cells than those based on single isolates.

T-cell mosaic immunogens have been repeatedly shown to improve the depth and breadth of the CD4 and CD8 cellular immune responses compared to consensus or natural HIV-1 antigens, in DNA- and vectored-based vaccination experiments [126–131]. This is the result of their optimization to include potential T-cell epitopes (PTE), which are nine-amino-acid peptides and thus present the optimal length to be presented on major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules [132,133]. There are two types of T-cell epitope mosaic vaccines. First, HIVconsv vaccines that include one or several isolated PTEs corresponding to functionally and structurally conserved subdominant regions of the viral proteins [131,134]. In clinical trials, these immunogens re-focused T cell immune responses to conserved epitopes [135,136]. Other mosaic sequences encode for viral proteins that contain as many PTEs as possible while trying to preserve a native-like conformation. A heterologous gag, pol and env-based mosaic antigen called mosaic M delivered by an adenoviral vector 26 (AdV26) induced higher antigen-specific CD4 T-cell responses than counterparts based on consensus M and clade C natural sequences [126]. Env trimers based on T cell mosaic sequences have been generated, but these are non-native trimers and did not elicit consistent Tier 2 NAB responses [137,138]. On the other hand, the structural complexity of most B cell epitopes [139] has probably hampered the design of B-cell mosaic immunogens, which remains an elusive goal and will probably require intensive protein engineering efforts.

Centralized and mosaic sequence-based immunogens can be useful for suppressing isolate-specific responses and stimulate more universal Env immune responses. However, none of these immunogens have induced bNABs and a successful vaccination strategy will probably require multiple different Env-based immunogens, as discussed below.

6. Combination vaccines
An obvious approach to increase the breadth of NAB responses is to administer Env antigens from different strains in combination, either simultaneously or sequentially. The rationale behind a combination vaccine is that B cell lineages targeting conserved cross-neutralizing epitopes present in most immunogens of the combination will be selected over the ones that target strain-specific epitopes present only in one or few of the immunogens. This hypothesis is reinforced by observations that show that increased viral diversity in HIV-1 infected patients correlates with neutralization breadth [140–142]. Furthermore, several in silico simulation studies have predicted that combination vaccines would activate cross-neutralizing B cells more efficiently, because these B cells would be able to internalize and present a higher amount of antigen and thus outcompete the strain-specific B cells [143–145].

The first HIV-1 Env-based cocktail or sequential immunization experiments were conducted with DNA vaccines, non-native like trimers or gp120 monomers. In general, these studies showed that such regimens were superior in inducing broader Tier 1 NAB responses compared to monovalent vaccination [36,146–149]. However, consistent NAB responses against Tier 2 viruses were not induced. Two independent studies used native-like Env trimers based on diverse env sequences to assess the potential of sequential and cocktail
strategies for eliciting Tier 2 NAbs [83,94]. However, neither simultaneous nor sequential administration of native-like Env trimers elicited bNAbs. Interestingly, both studies found that a clade C Env trimer could cross-boost responses to clade A and B trimers that were administered earlier [83,94]. Furthermore, Tier 1A V3-directed responses were higher in the combination vaccine groups compared to the monovalent vaccine groups [94]. The combination groups also induced less potent autologous NAb responses against the individual Envs in the cocktail than those from the monovalent groups. These results suggested that immune interference might have played a role, since the most immunodominant component in the cocktail, the BG505 SOSIP trimer, seemed to reduce the autologous responses against the other strains [94].

More recently, epitope-focused combination vaccine approaches were described [150,151]. Voss et al. first screened for viral Envs that were neutralized by V2-apex-targeting NAbs. Subsequently, SOSIP trimer versions of these Envs were generated and administered as monovalent or as combination vaccines. Again, the combination groups showed less potent and consistent NAb responses against the individual components, but one rabbit immunized with the cocktail displayed weak heterologous Tier 2 NAb responses, while none of the rabbits in the monovalent groups showed any breadth [151]. Similarly, Bricault and colleagues found that the magnitude and breadth of the NAb responses induced by a cocktail of trimers with optimized V2 epitopes were improved over those of the monovalent vaccines [150]. Together, these studies suggest that combination vaccines can indeed steer responses toward shared epitopes when the appropriate Env trimers are mixed. However, none of the above-mentioned studies induced the level of breadth and potency that would be needed for an effective vaccine and thus more sophisticated strategies are required.

### 6.1. Sequential vaccines based on natural infection

Longitudinal studies that finely dissected the co-evolutionary pathway between bNAb producing B cells and the infecting virus in patients have helped to understand the crucial steps in the development of some bNAb lineages as well as to elucidate the viral sequences that drove their evolution [27,152–154]. The viral env sequences from these patients are now being turned into soluble immunogens for vaccination strategies that try to recapitulate the virus-antibody co-evolutionary pathways that produced bNAb lineages. One of the first studies that used viral quasispecies sequences in vaccines was published by Malherbe et al. The authors administered a collection of viral env quasispecies sequences from a SHIV-infected macaque as DNA vaccines to rabbits. These animals developed responses that targeted similar epitopes as those targeted by the macaque [147]. These natural lineage-based vaccination approaches now benefit from the advances in Env protein engineering to design protein immunogens that might be more suitable for guiding bNAb development. The evolutionary pathways of the CD4bs bNAb lineages CH103 and CH235 have been mapped in great detail and viral sequences have provided templates for Env-based sequential vaccines [154,155]. In an effort to raise CH103 or CH235 bNAb by vaccination, knock-in (KI) mice carrying the unmutated common ancestor of the heavy chain of CH103 (CH103 UCA) and wild-type (WT) NHPs were immunized with immunogens based on Env sequences isolated from the patient who induced CH103 bNAb [36,156]. Surprisingly, the Tier 2 NAb induced in both animal models targeted the V1V2 region and not the CD4bs [36,156]. The authors found that the CH103 UCA in the KI mice is polyreactive and that the maturing B cell stemming from this lineage was partially depleted and as a result, other epitope specificities, i.e. V1V2, were being selected by vaccination [36,156]. Together, these and other studies show that inducing certain CD4bs bNAb with lineage-based vaccines can be impeded by tolerance mechanisms [37] and that other epitopes might be more suitable for inducing bNAb using lineage-based vaccines. As such, studies on the VRC26 and PCT64 bNAb lineages, which target quaternary epitopes on the Env V2-apex, provide interesting avenues for vaccine design [27,152,153,157,158]. Thus far, vaccine studies using immunogens that are based on viral sequences from the individuals who developed the VRC26 and/or PCT64 lineages have been proposed, but their outcomes have not yet been published [159].

### 7. Targeting the precursors of bNAb producing B cells

A slightly different approach is the use of Env immunogens that are specifically designed to activate specific bNAb precursors, followed by subsequent boosts with tailored immunogens that could shepherd the desired lineage toward breadth [26]. For most bNAb, the genuine naïve B cell precursors, called unmutated common ancestors (UCA), are not known and therefore these sequences are computationally inferred from the mature bNAb sequence or, ideally, from the early precursor sequences in a bNAb lineage. In theory, these inferred sequences correspond to the naïve (or germline) B cell receptors that were initially engaged by the transmitter/founder virus and later developed into bNAb. Thus, Env immunogens that bind to the inferred germline bNAb (igl-bNAb) would be potential candidates to prime such bNAb B cell lineages. The observation that most Envs do not efficiently bind to igl-bNAb [160,161] fueled the design of germ-line targeting (GT) immunogens, such as engineered outer domain of gp120 (eOD.GT) [66], engineered 426c Env [67] and SOSIP trimers (BG505.SOSIP.GT1 and MD39-11MUTB) [162,163]. These engineered proteins show improved binding to igl-bNAb that target several epitopes: the CD4 binding site (CD4bs) (e.g. VRC01, 3BNC60) [66,67,162], variable region 2 (V2) apex epitopes (e.g. PG9/16, CH01) [162] and/or the V3 glycan epitope (e.g. PGT121) [163].

Several of these immunogens were tested in knock-in mice that carry the inferred germline versions of 3BNC60, PGT121 or VRC01 [37,66,162,164]. Initially, these studies showed that the germline B cells could be selectively activated and expanded, but none of those mice developed broad neutralization, as expected from these early responses. Follow-up experiments in germline VRC01 and PGT121 KI mice showed that it was possible to shepherd these initial responses with appropriate boosts to induce Abs that neutralize wild-type or near native viruses [165–167]. These studies represent a significant
milestone, but these bNAb(-like) responses were elicited in model animals with a controlled and favorable B cell repertoire. How would similar germline-targeting strategies fare when physiologically relevant amounts of germline bNAb B cells are present and have to compete with non-bNAb B cells? Recently, two elegant studies have started to address this question by transferring different amounts of (partly) germline bNAb B cells into WT mice. Subsequently, these mice were immunized with antigens with different affinities for the transferred germline B cells [168,169]. In both studies, the authors found that the activation of the antigen-specific B cells was dependent on B cell frequency and antigen-binding affinity. Moreover, a recent study showed that an engineered variant of the MD39-11MUTB trimer [163] could induce antibodies that resemble the precursors of V3 glycan bNAb in mice, rabbits and macaques [170]. However, none of the humoral responses induced in the animals of the latter three studies had any neutralizing activity against WT viruses. A next step would be to determine which strategies are needed to guide the responses of these animals toward neutralization potency and breadth.

8. Epitope-focused vaccination

The germline- and lineage-based approaches seem very promising, but they rely on activating the correct B cell lineage and the ability to shepherd these responses toward breadth. An epitope-focused vaccination strategy is B cell agnostic and would potentially be a less complicated strategy for inducing broader NAb responses. However, most conserved neutralizing epitopes are buried or hidden by glycans, or involve conformational epitopes consisting of non-linear peptides. Until recently, it was thought that the linear fusion peptide (FP) was hidden inside the Env protein. Identification of several bNAb (PGT151, VRC34 and ACS202) that target the N-terminus of the FP [171–174] revealed that this epitope is actually exposed on viral Env. The FP is a promising candidate for epitope-focused vaccination for several reasons: it is essential for the fusion machinery of HIV-1 [175], it is only partially shielded by glycans, it is a linear peptide stretch and its amino acid sequence is highly conserved.

The Kwong research group has used structure-guided design to create several scaffolds that present a FP that is recognizable by FP-specific bNAb [176]. By immunizing with FP-scaffolds followed by trimer boost, the authors showed that FP-specific NAb responses could be induced. The sera responses were relatively broad: 1-20% of the viruses were neutralized by the polyclonal sera and individual antibodies isolated from immunized mice could even neutralize 31% of a panel of 208 primary isolates [176]. These NAb responses were broader than those achieved before and future studies will reveal whether this strategy will yield similar NAB responses in humans. However, the fusion peptide is highly flexible [177] and not all viruses seem to expose the FP so that it is recognized by NAb [178]. These features could be potential roadblocks for developing a broadly applicable FP-focused immunization strategy to target HIV-1.

9. Nanoparticle presentation

The immune system has evolved to effectively recognize and mount responses toward bacterial and viral antigens when they are presented in repetitive and continuous arrays on the surface of pathogens [179]. The fact that the only antiviral subunit vaccines licensed to date (hepatitis B, hepatitis E and human papillomavirus) are based on virus-like particle (VLP) formulations signifies the importance of multimerization for immunogenicity [180]. The key immunological concepts underpinning the immunogenicity of multimerized antigens have been extensively reviewed [181,182]. Several of these immunological mechanisms are especially important for vaccines aimed at inducing HIV-1 NAb responses. First, multimerization improves B cell activation by increasing the B cell receptor (BCR) cross-linking [181,182]. Second, multimerization increases avidity which could compensate for low affinity antigen-BCR interactions, such as those between naive precursor B cells and Env antigen [168,183,184]. Third, it has recently been shown that multimerized HIV-1 Env immunogens are more efficiently trafficked to germinal centres by components of the innate immune system [185]. Fourth, presenting soluble HIV-1 Env trimers on nanoparticles hides the non-neutralizing epitopes on the bottom of these trimers [103,104]. Fifth, repetitive display can break immunological tolerance checks that block the maturation of some bNAb lineages [37,186,187].

The physicochemical properties of nanoparticles, such as shape, size and surface chemistry, can affect their effectivity (reviewed in [181]), thus representing important features to be fine-tuned. A number nanoparticle platforms have been used for displaying Env antigens [188,189]. VLPs based on the HIV-1 virion carry native Env spikes, but the intrinsic low density of spikes on the surface of HIV-1 virions negates some of the important immunological benefits of nanoparticle presentation. Furthermore, depending on their manufacturing process, HIV VLPs still present an abundance of misfolded Env, such as gp41 stumps or post-fusion Env, that present non-neutralizing epitopes [190]. An interesting strategy is to enzymatically remove the unwanted Envs, leaving only well-folded Env trimers on the surface [191–193]. These virions still carry a low density of Env, but they present a potentially more favorable antigenic profile since they display MPER epitopes in a native lipid environment. When immunizing rabbits with these VLPs, two out of eight induced potent Tier 2 autologous NAb that targeted a hole in the glycan shield near the CD4bs [96].

The use of artificial lipid-based vesicles called liposomes enables controlled immobilization of Env antigens on their surface. However, conventional immobilization procedures are rather stringent and lead to presentation of a percentage of non-native antigenic species. Therefore, His-tagged Env trimers were immobilized on NiNTA-functionalized liposomes for non-covalent, but nondestructive Env-liposome coupling. Such nanoparticles have shown some success in increasing binding [194,195] and neutralizing antibody titres [91,196]. However, it was found that NiNTA-coupled trimers completely dissociate in less than one day [197]. Cobalt coupling and NiNTA-assisted covalent coupling by terminal cysteines [198] have allowed more stable immobilization of Env trimers. These liposomal nanoparticles induced increased Env-specific
binding responses in mice [197,198]. Future studies will teach us whether similar approaches can also be used in animals that induce NAb [199].

Multimerization of Env glycoproteins on viral-resembling particles has also been achieved by their fusion to bacterial self-assembling proteins, like ferritin from Helicobacter pylori, E2 protein from Geobacillus stearothermophilus and lumazine synthase from Aquifex aeolicus [200,201]. BG505.SOSIP antigens presented on ferritin nanoparticles induced stronger neutralizing responses than their soluble trimer counterparts in rabbits [202,203]. The autologous Tier 2 responses were not significantly increased, but the Tier 1A responses were, probably due to the presentation of linear V3 epitopes on non-native Env forms on ferritin particles [202]. However, a next generation of synthetic two-component nanoparticles can be used to present only well-folded Env trimers [204]. Using a two-component system, one could first purify the soluble Env trimers using specific quaternary specific bNAbs followed by addition of a second component to induce nanoparticle formation in vitro. This system has recently been used with success for generating RSV F nanoparticle vaccines and is thus a promising platform for HIV-1 Env trimers as well [204–206].

10. Genetic vaccination

Classical vaccinology has relied on the administration of live-attenuated pathogens or, later, recombinant protein antigens for the induction of immune responses. A more recent approach called genetic vaccination, which was pioneered almost 30 years ago [207], consists of the administration of nucleic acids encoding for one or several target antigens that will be expressed by the cells of the vaccinee to elicit an immune response [208–210]. This approach has been explored to fight various diseases, including tuberculosis [211] and cancer [212]. Recently, a DNA-based vaccine against Zika virus showed promising immunogenicity and safety profiles both in mice and humans [213,214], with 70% of vaccinated volunteers showing 90% inhibition of Zika virus infection in neuronal-cell assays.

Gene-based vaccines are attractive because, compared to protein immunogens, nucleic acids are easier to design and manufacture at large scale and they require less strict storage conditions due to their higher stability and structure-independent activity. Furthermore, they usually present minimum side effects and in most cases the adjuvant is already contained within the sequence (i.e. unmethylated cytosine-guanine (CpG) motifs) or the adjuvanting effect is carried out by the delivery method itself, e.g. in the case of DNA electroporation [215,216]. Nonetheless, the most important benefit of genetic vaccination is its ability to cast both humoral and cellular actors to the immunological play. Protein subunit antigens produced ex vivo elicit mostly antibody-based responses, as they are canonically presented on MHC class II after being processed by host cells. On the other hand, nucleic acid-derived antigens expressed by host antigen presenting cells (APCs) follow both MHC class I and class II pathways, thus stimulating both CD4 helper and CD8 cytotoxic T cell responses [217]. Contrary to protein immunogens, genetic vaccines have also been shown to elicit long-term immune responses derived from the induction of strong effector and memory T follicular helper (Tfh) cells and thus might help to induce more durable NAb responses [218–220]. The expression of the antigen by host cells also allows host-specific modifications important for immunogenicity, such as N-linked glycosylation [221]. Thus, Env antigens expressed by host cells present these modifications exactly as how these would be presented in the context of natural infection. The main drawback of genetic vaccination is that it usually induces lower antibody responses compared to adjuvanted protein subunit vaccines and that there is no control over the quality of the protein antigen being produced within the host.

Genetic vaccination englobes a wide variety of strategies depending on the type of nucleic acid used and the delivery platform. Both DNA and RNA have been widely used as antigen coding molecules. The most common delivery methods include electroporation of the naked nucleic acid, or the use of nanoparticles or viral vectors to deliver them to target cells, as reviewed in [216,222].

10.1. Non-viral vectored genetic vaccines

Current technologies have made it possible to efficiently deliver non-viral vectored molecules to non-human primates and humans [223–228]. These immunogens present thus comparative benefits compared to viral-vectored ones, as they are not associated with preexisting immunity that can affect viral vector platforms [229]. This is especially important if the approach is to be used as a therapeutic vaccine for seropositive individuals with a pre-mounted immune response [221].

Since the dawn of genetic vaccine development, this approach has shown notable success in the protection of small animals and macaques against diverse pathogens, resulting in the licensure of several DNA vaccines to prevent veterinary infections such as West Nile virus in horses and infectious hematopoietic necrosis factor disease in salmon [230,231]. However, DNA immunogens usually induce suboptimal humoral responses in humans [230]. Thus, DNA vaccines have been mainly explored in DNA prime-protein boost studies, in which DNA kick-starts both humoral and cellular responses that are then boosted by protein-based immunogens to induce higher titre Ab responses [149,232–236]. Other immunization experiments have used DNA and protein co-immunization mixtures to increase the durability of humoral responses [237–239]. Jalah et al. used a SIVmac239 DNA construct and a sequence-matched protein immunogen to compare DNA only (D), DNA prime-protein boost (D-P) and DNA-protein co-immunization (D&P) regimens in rhesus macaques. The DNA only scheme induced no detectable antibody responses after two vaccinations. Both D-P and D&P strategies elicited robust Env-specific antibody responses. However, while the D-P titres showed a decay of 2.4 logs over 6 months, D&P induced Ab titres that showed no decay over a period of 8 months [237]. DNA has also been successfully combined in prime-boost regimens with modified vaccinia Ankara (MVA) for HIV-1 vaccination experiments [240,241].

A relatively recent development are the lipid-nanoparticle-encapsulated nucleoside modified mRNAs (LNP-mRNAs) as vaccine candidates [242,243]. The marginal use of RNA until recently was related to its instability and rejection by anti-RNA innate immune mechanisms. However, LNP-mRNA immunogens include stabilizing groups as well as modified nucleosides that prevent immune sensing, and they are encapsulated
for an increased and more durable protein expression. Thus, a single dose of LNP-mRNA encoding for Zika virus glycoproteins induced significantly higher NAb titres than DNA, which provided robust protection of vaccinated NHPs [243]. Further characterization revealed that LNP-mRNA immunogens induced stronger Tfh cell responses, resulting in long-lived and high-affinity neutralizing antibody responses [244]. Obviously, these LNP-mRNA immunogens could be also useful if they are applied to HIV-1 env vaccination. A first proof-of-concept HIV-1 Env LNP-mRNA vaccine candidate induced antibody responses in both rabbits and NHPs. However, the antibodies elicited could not neutralize the autologous Tier 2 virus in most animals [245]. Furthermore, durable persistent expression of gene-based immunogens has been achieved by new delivery platforms, such as self-amplifying RNAs (saRNAs) [246,247]. The ability of these non-viral based molecules to replicate intracellularly allows for the sustained expression of high amounts of protein antigen. For instance, saRNA immunogens have been recently used to elicit long-lived T-cell responses using HIV-1 mosaic vaccines [248].

10.2. Viral-vectored vaccines

Viral-vectored vaccines take advantage of genetically attenuated pathogen-based platforms that can be modified to display an antigen on their surface and/or deliver the genetic material encoding for it. Despite being attenuated to not cause disease, their natural capacity to infect cells of the vaccinee will help to assure that the antigen-encoding gene is delivered to the host cells and is expressed therein [249,250]. Moreover, viral-vectored vaccines are known to induce robust CD4 and CD8 T-cell responses [249,251–254]. The major challenge for the use of these platforms is posed by preexisting immune responses, as humans are common hosts of the viral vectors, which is especially the case for some adenoviruses (AdV) serotypes [255,256]. Anti-Adenovirus 5 (AdV5) preexisting immunity forced the interruption of the STEP clinical trial after it was found that AdV5 seropositive vaccinees had an increased probability of HIV-1 infection [257–259]. However, the mechanism behind this phenomenon has not been completely elucidated yet [259–263].

Nonetheless, heterologous combinations of chimpanzee adenovirus Oxford 1 (ChAdOx1) [264] and modified vaccinia Ankara (MVA) [265] viral vectors have shown a good safety and immunogenicity profile [266–268]. Capucci et al. showed that a sequential regimen of ChAdOx1, MVA and soluble BG505 SOSIP trimer protein induced similar binding-antibody titres to the protein-only regimen, while only the sequential regimen induced cytotoxic T cell responses [269]. These antibody titres also declined faster after immunization for the protein-only group. However, the homologous protein regimen induced more robust Tier 2 NAb titres than the ones that included ChAdOx1 and MVA [269].

Integrase-defective lentiviral vectors (IDLV) are also very safe and have several advantages, such as induction of strong and long-lasting antigen-specific responses related to long DNA persistence in tissues [270]. A single dose of IDLV encoding for HIV-1 transmitter/founder (T/F) 1086c Env induced specific Ab titres that waned by less than four-fold in a one-year period [271]. Another dose after one year notably boosted both cellular and humoral responses [271]. However, NAb titres were not detected.

All in all, genetic vaccination could play an important role in inducing long-lasting immune responses against HIV-1 Env, especially in conjunction with other immunogen forms, such as proteins. However, it is important to note that preexisting immunity against vectors can have detrimental effects. Furthermore, it is obviously not possible to control the quality of the Env antigens in vivo and probably a significant amount of Env that is produced after genetic vaccination are low-quality non-native Envs that present potentially distracting, non-neutralizing epitopes. The next important challenge would be to generate an immunogen that is expressed as a fully native-like Env trimer after genetic vaccination in vivo.

11. Conclusion: an effective HIV-1 vaccine needs a combinatorial approach

Although the last decade has seen great progress with the discovery of potent bNAb, there is no vaccine that is able to induce these bNAb yet. The strategies described in this review could help to overcome the most important hurdles of HIV-1 Env vaccinology. Most conserved Env epitopes are subdominant and consensus, mosaic and epitope-focusing antigens are useful for directing NAb responses to these essential subdominant conserved epitopes. Recently, sequential immunization strategies have been developed that employ bNAb-lineage-based optimized antigens to guide specific naïve B cells toward breadth. Furthermore, multimerization of Env is an effective strategy to increase B cell activation and to stimulate more potent NAb responses. Lastly, genetic vaccination strategies induce stronger T cell responses that could help elicit more durable humoral responses. Obviously, none of these approaches are mutually exclusive and an effective combination is probably needed to elicit broad, potent and durable NAb responses against HIV-1.

Some of the strategies and immunogens aimed at inducing (b)NAb have made it past laboratory experiments and animal testing and are currently being evaluated in human clinical trials. The immunogens being tested in humans include native-like Env trimers (BG505 and ConM SOSIP), germline-targeting immunogens (eOD-GT8 and BG505.SOSIP.GT1.1) and sequential immunogens (HVTN115 trial). The outcome of these clinical trials will inform the HIV-1 vaccinology field on the effectiveness of these approaches and on the directions for the rest of the field.

12. Expert opinion

The generation of the first native-like Env trimers brought optimism to the HIV-1 vaccinology field and boosted structure-based design of different Env trimers that mimic the structure, antigenicity and biochemical properties of viral Env. Moreover, they have been useful for the discovery of a wide panel of bNAb and the characterization of their developmental pathways. These virus-antibody coevolution studies, together with the repeated failures in inducing broad-spectrum NAb responses, taught us that a sole Env
immunogen will probably never induce bNAb responses. A shepherding vaccine approach is therefore needed to guide the right B cells from naïve B cells to bNAb-producing B cells. However, only a small percentage of naïve germline precursor B cells in our repertoire have the potential to develop into mature bNAb-producing B cells and most Envs do not engage these germline B cells. Designed immunogens can effectively stimulate bNAbs B cell precursors in vitro and in knock-in mice that harbor the targeted germline BCR. Recent results of the adoptive transfer experiments and in non-human primates suggest that it is possible to activate the desired germline precursors when these are present in physiologically relevant numbers. The moment of truth has arrived to show that it is possible to steer these germline B cell responses toward breadth. Here, multimerization on nanoparticles is probably essential to provide enough affinity to specifically activate the sought bNAb cell lineages. Moreover, immunization schedules for guiding the B cell evolution to neutralization breadth involve different immunogens and these should not present potentially distracting non-neutralizing epitopes. Consensus sequence-based Env trimers are promising candidates for this, since these immunogens harbor less strain-specific epitopes that are potentially distracting. In this regard, our increased ability to modify and control Env glycosylation will help in focusing the responses to the selected epitope targets, by covering the non-interesting immunodominant native epitopes.

HIV-1 NAb responses wane quickly and this might be solved or mitigated by several of the above-mentioned strategies, such as genetic vaccination. However, the scarcity of studies directly testing effectivity of genetic vaccination is remarkable. Strategies described here, such as DNA-protein co-immunization [237], LNP-mRNA immunogens [244] and IDLV-vectorized immunization [271] provide long-lasting Ab responses compared to the ones usually observed after protein immunization. However, none of these studies directly compared the durability of these responses to standard protein immunization schedules. Furthermore, while most immunization studies assess the breadth and/or potency of NAb responses, only very little attention is given to evaluating the durability of NAb responses. Thus, more studies are needed that systematically assess different strategies for inducing long-lived NAb responses and other elements involved in new candidate vaccines, such as adjuvants. Furthermore, extensive research on the immune mechanisms induced by successful and long-lasting antiviral vaccines (i.e. hepatitis B virus vaccine) [272] might help to design vaccination strategies that provide durable protection against HIV-1.

HIV-1 is an escape artist and it poses one of the greatest challenges in vaccinology. This challenge forces the field to develop new strategies, combine the existing ones or adopt approaches used by other vaccinology fields. These integration efforts can only be beneficial for our future potential to generate new vaccines and will probably be the key to defeat HIV-1. Thus, it is vital that the HIV-1 vaccine field is open to new advances, since the necessary toolbox for an HIV-1 vaccine might not be complete yet. For instance, Kanekio et al. performed immunization experiments with ferritin nanoparticles co-displaying the conserved receptor-binding domains (RBDs) from multiple influenza hemagglutinin (HA) molecules on the same nanoparticle [273], effectively combining the cocktail and epitope-focusing strategies with nanoparticle-presentation. The avidity effect of nanoparticle-presentation provided an even stronger advantage to the B cell lineages that targeted cross-neutralizing epitopes on the co-displayed RBDs, which led to broader NAb responses. Other recently developed or future technologies that we have not discussed, such as CRISPR/Cas9 genetic editing to produce bNAb-producing B cells for transplantation [274–277] or the use of osmotic pumps for the slow delivery of Env immunogens [278], might also be key for the development of the long-sought HIV-1 vaccine.
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