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Abstract  In addition to their classical roles as detergents to aid in the process of digestion, bile acids have been identified as important signaling molecules that function through various nuclear and G protein-coupled receptors to regulate a myriad of cellular and molecular functions across both metabolic and nonmetabolic pathways. Signaling via these pathways will vary depending on the tissue and the concentration and chemical structure of the bile acid species. Important determinants of the size and composition of the bile acid pool are their efficient enterohepatic recirculation and their host and microbial metabolism, and the homeostatic feedback mechanisms connecting hepatocytes, enterocytes, and the luminal microbiota. This review focuses on the mammalian intestine, discussing the physiology of bile acid transport, the metabolism of bile acids in the gut, and new developments in our understanding of how intestinal metabolism, particularly by the gut microbiota, affects bile acid signaling.—Dawson, P. A., and S. J. Karpen. Intestinal transport and metabolism of bile acids. J. Lipid Res. 2015. 56: 1085–1099.
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After their synthesis from cholesterol in the hepatocyte, bile acids pass into the small intestine where they promote intestinal absorption of biliary and dietary lipids prior to their return to the liver in the enterohepatic circulation or their excretion in the feces. In addition to their well-studied role in hepatic bile secretion and intestinal absorption of fats and fat-soluble vitamins, bile acids function as signaling molecules with metabolic effects that extend beyond their control of hepatobiliary and intestinal function (1–4). The best studied of these pathways include those regulated via direct ligand-mediated activation of the nuclear receptors farnesoid X receptor (FXR) (NR1H4), pregnane X receptor (PXR) (NR1I2), and vitamin D receptor (VDR) (NR1I1), and via the G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) TGR5 (GPBAR1) (1, 5). However, bile acids also act through other receptors including muscarinic receptors, the sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor 2, α5β1-integrin, through signal transduction pathways such as those mediated by Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), ERK, and Akt (3, 6–8), and through posttranslational interactions with proteins (9). Signaling via these diverse pathways is regulated in part by the local concentration and chemical structure of the bile acid species, which in turn is controlled by hepatic synthesis, host and microbial metabolism, and the enterohepatic circulation. Efficient intestinal absorption and hepatic extraction of bile acids enables a very effective recycling mechanism that conserves and largely restricts bile acids to the intestinal and hepatobiliary compartments. This mechanism facilitates delivery of bile acids in sufficient concentration for efficient micellarization of biliary and dietary lipids, while restricting their signaling potential in other compartments such as the systemic circulation. The major transporters that function to maintain the enterohepatic circulation of bile acids have been identified (10, 11), and the intestinal transporters are shown schematically in Fig. 1. In the liver, bile acids are taken up across the sinusoidal membrane of the hepatocyte by the Na+-taurocholate co-transporting polypeptide (NTCP; SLC10A1) and members of the organic anion transporting polypeptide (OATP) family (OATP1B1, OATP1B3; SLCO1B1, SLCO1B3 in humans; Oatp1b2, Sloclb2 in mice) and exported across the canalicular membrane via the bile salt export pump (BSEP; ABCB11).

Abbreviations: ASBT, apical sodium-dependent bile acid transporter; bai, bile acid inducible; BCRP, breast cancer resistance protein; BSEP, bile salt export pump; CA, cholic acid; CDCA, Chenodeoxycholic acid; Cyp7a1, cholesterol 7alpha-hydroxylase; DCA, deoxycholic acid; FABP, fatty acid binding protein; FGF15/19, fibroblast growth factor 15/19; FXR, farnesoid X receptor; GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; HDCA, hyodeoxycholic acid; IBABP, ileal bile acid binding protein; JNK, Jun N-terminal kinase; LCA, lithocholic acid; MCA, muricholic acid; MDR, multidrug resistance protein; NTCP, Na+-taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide; OATP, organic anion transporting polypeptide; OST, organic solute transporter; SULT, sulfotransferase; UCA, Ursodeoxycholic acid; UGT, UDP-glucuronosyltransferase.
membrane by the heteromeric organic solute transporter (OST), OST/H9251-OST/H9252 (OST/H9251, SLC51A; OST/H9252, SLC51B) (16–19). Among the “stops along the road” of the entero-hepatic circulation that can profoundly affect the function of bile acids, the principal and most complex involves bile acids (10, 12–15). In the intestine, bile acids are taken up into the enterocyte by the apical sodium-dependent bile acid transporter (ASBT; SLC10A2), bind the cytosolic ileal bile acid binding protein [IBABP; fatty acid binding protein 6 (FABP6)], and then are exported across the basolateral membrane by the heteromeric organic solute transporter (OST), OSTα-OSTβ (OSTα, SLC51A; OSTβ, SLC51B) (16–19). Among the “stops along the road” of the entero-hepatic circulation that can profoundly affect the function of bile acids, the principal and most complex involves bile

---

**Fig. 1.** Schematic of intestinal transport and metabolism of bile acids. The taurine (T) and glycine (G) conjugated and sulfated (S) primary and secondary bile acids are secreted into bile, stored in the gallbladder, and empty into the small intestine in response to a meal. Bile acids encounter high concentrations of the gut microbiota in the distal ileum and colon and undergo a variety of bacterial transformations including deconjugation, dehydroxylation, and epimerization (black arrows). Passive uptake (dotted lines) occurs down the length of the small intestine for the protonated uncharged fraction of glycine conjugated bile acids and any unconjugated bile acids that are formed. OSTα-OSTβ may participate in the export of those bile acids across the enterocyte or colonocyte basolateral membrane. In the ileum, active uptake of conjugated bile acids across the apical brush border membrane is mediated by the ASBT. The bile acids interact in the cytosol with IBABP, and then are exported across the basolateral membrane by the OSTα-OSTβ. Unconjugated dihydroxy bile acids that are passively absorbed in the distal ileum and colon can be glucuronidated (U) by UGT and exported across the apical membrane by MRP2 or ABCG2 or across the basolateral membrane by MRP3. A fraction of the bile acids taken up in the distal small intestine may also be sulfated by the SULT2A1 and exported back across the apical brush border membrane by MRP2 or ABCG2 for elimination. The bile acids absorbed from the intestine are carried back in the portal circulation to the liver for uptake and may undergo repair by the hepatocyte. Repair includes essentially complete reconjugation with taurine or glycine, reepimerization of iso (β-hydroxy) bile acids to their α-hydroxy form, reduction of oxo groups to hydroxyl groups, and rehydroxylation at the C-7 position to generate the original primary bile acid (in mice but not humans). Adapted with permission from (238).
Physiology and molecular mechanisms of intestinal bile acid absorption

Bile acids are synthesized from cholesterol primarily in pericentral hepatocytes through a series of sterol ring hydroxylations and side chain oxidation steps. Bile acids synthesized by the hepatocyte are termed primary bile acids to distinguish them from the secondary bile acids that are formed by a variety of reactions carried out by the gut microbiota, which include dehydroxylation, dehydrogenation (oxidation of a hydroxy group to an oxo group), and epimerization (converting an α-hydroxyl group to a β-hydroxyl group or vice versa). In humans, the primary bile acids are cholic acid (CA) and chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA), whereas in mice, the primary bile acids include CA, CDCA, and 6-hydroxylated bile acids, mainly muricholic acid (MCA) (28, 29). These are converted to secondary bile acids, which in humans include deoxycholic acid (DCA), ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA), and lithocholic acid (LCA), and in mice include DCA, UDCA, muricholic acid (MDC), and hyodeoxycholic acid (HDCA). Products of bile acid epimerization (iso or epi bile acids) and dehydrogenation (oxo-bile acids) are also present in the gut. The major reactions involved in metabolism of the primary bile acids CA, CDCA, and MCA are summarized in Fig. 2. In the hepatocyte, the newly synthesized bile acids are then N-acyl amided on the side chain with glycine or taurine at a ratio of approximately 3 to 1 in humans and almost exclusively with taurine (>95%) in mice. After their synthesis, bile acids undergo an enterohepatic circulation where they are secreted by the liver along with other biliary constituents, pass into the small intestine, are reabsorbed from the intestinal lumen, and are carried back in the portal circulation to the liver for efficient extraction and secretion into bile (20). In the lumen of the small intestine, bile acids are present at micellar concentrations and form mixed micelles with dietary lipids and their digestion products such as monoacylglycerols and fatty acids (30–32). Bile acids also solubilize nonpolar lipids such as cholesterol and fat-soluble vitamins, increasing their water-solubility and promoting their diffusion across the unstirred water layer for delivery to the intestinal epithelium (33).

Fig. 2. Scheme for intestinal phase 2 conjugation and bacterial metabolism of bile acids in humans and mice. A: Bacterial metabolism includes deconjugation, 7-dehydroxylation, oxidation, and epimerization of bile acids. B: The phase 2 conjugation reactions carried out by the gut epithelial cells include glucuronidation and sulfation (sulfonation). C: Structure and abbreviations for the bile acid species. Adapted from (53) using the nomenclature system of Hofmann et al. (239).
Absorption of these other dietary and biliary lipids begins in the proximal and mid-intestine, whereas bile acids are absorbed primarily in the distal small intestine (ileum), with only about 5% of intestinal bile acids escaping reabsorption to undergo fecal elimination. The bile acid molecule is too large to pass paracellularly through the tight junctions of the intestinal epithelium and must be absorbed by transcellular passive or active mechanisms (34). Passive absorption of protonated uncharged bile acid species, unconjugated bile acids, and a small fraction of the glycine conjugates occurs down the length of the intestine (35). However, as most of the bile acid pool is conjugated to taurine or glycine and ionized, their uptake across the apical brush border membrane requires the presence of a transporter, and active carrier-mediated absorption of bile acids is restricted to the ileum (36, 37). Indeed, in all vertebrates examined to date, including primitive vertebrates such as the little skate (Leucoraja erinaceus) and sea lamprey (Petromyzon marinus) (38), the ileal epithelium maintains an efficient transport system for the active reclamation of bile acids (39).

**INTESTINAL APICAL BRUSH BORDER MEMBRANE TRANSPORT**

Bile acids are reclaimed through a combination of intestinal passive absorption, active transport in the distal ileum, and passive absorption in the colon. The general consensus from numerous studies is that ileal active transport is the major route for conjugated bile acid uptake, whereas the passive or facilitative absorption present along the length of the small intestine may be significant for unconjugated and some glycine-conjugated bile acids (34, 35, 40–42). Although bile acids in most vertebrates are conjugated to taurine, the bile acid pool of humans includes a significant proportion of glycine conjugates (43, 44). A fraction of the glycine conjugates and unconjugated bile acids are protonated under conditions of the acidic surface pH of the enterocyte (45) and can be absorbed by passive diffusion across the apical brush border membrane (35).

The question of whether facilitative carriers such as members of the OATP family participate in intestinal bile acid absorption has not been fully resolved and may differ between species (46, 47). Several OATPs capable of transporting bile acids are expressed in the small intestine (OATP1A2 and OATP2B1 in humans; Oatp1a4, Oatp1a5, and Oatp2b1 in mice) (48, 49), and their role in transporting xenobiotics and endobiotics such as bile acids has been examined recently using OATP-null mouse models (13, 50). In mice engineered to delete the entire Oatp1a/1b locus (encompassing Sclolb2, Sclol4, Sclol, Sclol6, and Sclol5), plasma levels of conjugated bile acids were unchanged, whereas unconjugated bile acid levels increased by approximately 13-fold (13). Similar results were obtained for a mouse model where only the Oatplb2 (Sclollb2) gene was deleted, indicating that this OATP is primarily responsible for mediating hepatic clearance of unconjugated bile acids in mice (50). Because unconjugated bile acids represent only a small fraction of the bile acid pool, the contribution of Oatplb2 (or other Oatp1a/1b transporters) to intestinal or hepatic uptake of bile acids appears to be small in states where normal physiological pathways are intact. Later studies using mouse models where Oatp1al and Oatp1a4 were individually deleted also provided little support for an important direct role of these transporters in liver or intestinal bile acid uptake (51). However, it should be noted that the Oatp1a1- and Oatp1a4-null mice exhibited complex changes in bile acid metabolism and intestinal function, including changes in the gut microbiome (52, 53). OATP2B1 (previously called OATP-B) transports taurocholic acid at acidic but not neutral pH (54, 55), raising the possibility that OATP2B1 may contribute to bile acid transport in the acidic microclimate of the gut. However, an Oatp2b1-null mouse has not yet been reported and its contribution to intestinal bile acid transport has not been specifically examined. To date, the Oatp-null mouse model findings reaffirm the conclusions from an earlier study where ASBT-null mice were fed a diet containing cholestyramine, a bile acid sequestrant that would block passive, facilitative, and active mechanisms for intestinal bile acid absorption. In that study, no further increase in the loss of bile acids in feces was observed in ASBT-null mice fed the cholestyramine as compared with ASBT-null mice fed the control diet, suggesting that alternative non-ASBT mechanisms contribute little to intestinal reclamation of bile acids in the mouse (17).

The ASBT is responsible for active uptake of bile acids in the terminal ileum (10, 56), and properties of the ASBT and other SLC10A transporters have been reviewed recently (57–59). A major role for the ASBT in intestinal bile acid absorption is supported by genetic evidence, where targeted inactivation of the ASBT eliminates enterohepatic cycling of bile acids in mice (17, 60, 61) and ASBT loss-of-function mutations in humans are associated with intestinal bile acid malabsorption (16), and by pharmacological evidence where administration of small molecule inhibitors of the ASBT to animal models reduced intestinal bile acid absorption (62–64). Although this review focuses on the intestine, it should be noted that other epithelia, including renal proximal tubule cells, cholangiocytes lining the biliary tract, and gallbladder epithelial cells, also express the ASBT (39, 65–67). In the ileum and kidney, ASBT functions to absorb the majority of the bile acids in the adjacent lumen and only a small fraction escapes absorption and is excreted in the feces or urine. In contrast, almost all the bile acids that are secreted by the liver into bile will ultimately pass through the biliary tract and gallbladder into the small intestine. In those compartments, the physiological role of the ASBT is still being explored, but most likely involves cholehepatic or cholecystohepatic shunting of bile acids, whereby a fraction of the biliary bile acids is absorbed prematurely and returned directly to hepatocytes, affecting hepatic bile secretion (68, 69) and bile acid enterohepatic cycling (66, 70). ASBT efficiently transports all the major species of bile acids in a sodium-dependent fashion (18, 71), and although two new non-bile acid chemical substrates were recently
described (72), no endogenous ASBT substrates other than bile acids have yet been identified.

INTESTINAL INTRACELLULAR BILE ACID TRANSPORT

In contrast to transmembrane transport of bile acids, little is known regarding their intracellular transport in the enterocyte. Because of their detergent properties, it is assumed that bile acids should be bound or sequestered in some fashion within the cell. Just as bile acids are transported in plasma bound to albumin and other proteins (73–75), it is likely that bile acids are bound to cytosolic proteins during their transit through the enterocyte or hepatocyte, and there is no compelling evidence for intracellular vesicular transport (76). Serving this role in the enterocyte is the IBABP (also called the ileal lipid binding protein, ILBP; gene symbol FABP6), an abundant cytosolic protein and member of the fatty acid binding protein family (77). IBABP expression parallels that of the ASBT and OSTα-OSTβ along the longitudinal axis of the small intestine, and its gene expression is strongly induced by bile acids acting through FXR. IBABP binds both fatty acids and bile acids, but with a marked preference for bile acids and a bile acid relative affinity of taurine-conjugated > glycine-conjugated > unconjugated species (78, 79). The solution structure of IBABP from several species has been determined using NMR and X-ray crystallographic techniques, proving additional insight to the mechanism and stoichiometry (two or three bile acid molecules per molecule of IBABP) of ligand binding (80, 81). Based on its abundance, selective high affinity binding of bile acids, coexpression in the same ileal enterocytes as the membrane bile acid transporters, and positive regulation by bile acids, IBABP likely plays an important role in intestinal bile acid transport, enterocyte bile acid sensing, or enterocyte intracellular bile acid signal transduction. However, direct in vivo evidence in support of those roles has only recently begun to emerge. With regard to ileal transcellular transport of bile acids, studies using a whole body FXR-null mouse model, which lacks appreciable IBABP expression, initially suggested that IBABP is not absolutely required for maintenance of ileal bile acid absorption or return to the hepatocyte via the portal circulation (82). Similar results were obtained using an intestine-specific FXR-null mouse where isotope dilution studies found no significant changes in the fractional turnover rate for a cholic acid tracer (83). However, because FXR regulates many different genes and pathways (1), it was difficult to draw specific conclusions regarding the in vivo role of IBABP from those models. New insights to that question come from a recent study using an IBABP-null mouse model (84). In that study, mucosal-to-serosal transport of taurocholate was significantly reduced in ileal gut sacs prepared from male and female IBABP-null mice. But the in vivo phenotype was complex, where loss of IBABP was associated with reduced hepatic cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase (Cyp7a1) expression and increased fecal bile acid excretion in male but not female mice. Generally supporting a direct role in trans-ileal transport, the findings also suggested that the loss of IBABP is associated with complex changes in bile acid metabolism. The mechanism could involve enterocyte bile acid sensing, because previous studies in transfected cells found that IBABP affects FXR signaling by direct interaction or by altering delivery of ligand (85, 86).

BASOLATERAL MEMBRANE BILE ACID EXPORT

Whereas several candidate intestinal basolateral membrane transporters were identified over the years (10), the preponderance of evidence indicates that the heteromeric transporter OSTα-OSTβ is responsible for the majority of intestinal basolateral membrane bile acid export (87, 88). Support for this role of OSTα-OSTβ, a heterodimer consisting of a 352-amino acid polytopic membrane protein (OSTα) and a 182-amino acid predicted type I membrane protein (OSTβ), includes: 1) intestinal expression that overlaps the ASBT, 2) plasma membrane localization restricted to the basolateral aspect of the enterocyte, 3) transport specificity that includes the major bile acid species, 4) expression that is strongly induced by bile acids acting through FXR, and 5) impaired intestinal bile acid absorption and altered bile acid metabolism in OSTα-null mice (18, 19). Although expressed at highest levels in the ileum, OSTα-OSTβ is also expressed in the proximal small intestine, cecum, and colon where it may function to export glycine-conjugated or unconjugated bile acids that entered the cell by passive diffusion (35, 36, 89). A fraction of these bile acids would be uncharged when the pH of the intestinal lumen or microclimate overlaying the mucosa is sufficiently low to protonate the bile acid anion (45). However, after entry, the bile acids would ionize at the neutral intracellular pH and potentially require a carrier such as OSTα-OSTβ for export. When expressed in transfected cells or Xenopus oocytes, OSTα-OSTβ exhibits bidirectional transport, and studies suggest that it operates by facilitated diffusion, mediating solute uptake or efflux depending on the electrochemical gradient (90). This raises the possibility that OSTα-OSTβ could mediate uptake across the basolateral membrane, delivering bile acids to the interior of the enterocyte under conditions such as cholestasis where plasma bile acid levels rise precipitously. Although a theoretical possibility, several lines of evidence suggest that such intestinal basolateral membrane uptake via OSTα-OSTβ (or another mechanism) does not occur at appreciable levels. This evidence includes: 1) Following bile duct ligation in mouse models, ileal expression of the FXR target genes, FGF15 and OSTα-OSTβ, is reduced despite significant elevations in serum bile acids (91, 92); and 2) In humans, a patient with an isolated NTCP (SLC10A1) deficiency and significantly elevated plasma conjugated bile acid levels had normal plasma levels of FGF19, suggesting that ileal expression of FGF19 was not increased (12). The other membrane transporter that may contribute to basolateral bile acid export is the ABC
transporter, multidrug resistance protein (MRP3) (ABCC3) (93). In mice, loss of MRP3 had little effect on intestinal bile acid absorption under normal physiological conditions (94, 95), but under supraphysiological conditions, such as feeding a diet containing cholic acid, MRP3 appeared to be a more important contributor (96). The contribution of human MRP3 to intestinal bile acid transport has not been studied directly. However, in vitro transport studies using isolated membrane vesicles or transfected cells found that human MRP3 avidly transports glucuronide conjugates but has only a weak affinity for native bile acids, suggesting that it does not contribute substantially to transport of nonglucuronidated bile acids under physiological conditions (95, 97, 98).

Unlike ASBT-null mice or patients with ASBT mutations (16, 17, 99), OSTα-null mice exhibit a complex phenotype that includes ileal morphological changes, increased ileal production of FGF15, and reductions in hepatic bile acid synthesis and bile acid pool size (18, 19). This finding of altered gut-liver FXR-fibroblast growth factor 15/19 (FGF15/19) signaling has important physiological and therapeutic implications, as interruption of the enterohepatic circulation of bile acids at the intestinal apical versus basolateral membranes is predicted to have differential and widespread metabolic effects. This point is nicely illustrated in a study comparing the development of hypercholesterolemia and atherosclerosis in apoe-null mice lacking either the ASBT or OSTα (100). Similar to the effects of bile acid sequestrants (101) or ASBT inhibitors (102, 103), levels of plasma and hepatic cholesterol and markers of atherosclerosis development were reduced in apoe-null mice lacking the ASBT. However, these markers were not reduced in apoe-null mice lacking OSTα. The effects of inactivation of OSTα on lipid and glucose metabolism in mice fed a basal diet have recently been reported (104), and include decreased intestinal lipid and cholesterol absorption, improved glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity, and reduced weight gain. Clearly, additional studies will be required to understand the underlying mechanisms responsible for the complex metabolic effects associated with altering intestinal absorption of bile acids, including the contributions of FGF15/19 and bile acid signaling (105–107).

Additional insight to the in vivo functions of OSTα-OSTβ comes from a study of OSTα-null mice lacking FXR (108). In that study, loss of FXR in OSTα-null mice restored intestinal cholesterol absorption and reversed the decreases in hepatic bile acid synthesis, fecal bile acid excretion, and bile acid pool size. However, the adaptive changes in ileal villus morphology in OSTα-null mice, including the increase in cell number, decrease in villus height, and increase in crypt depth, were not reversed by inactivation of FXR. These findings raise important questions regarding the in vivo functions of OSTα-OSTβ and the role of bile acids in this adaptive response. Whereas the ASBT is expressed almost exclusively in the bile acid-transporting epithelium of ileum, biliary tract, and renal proximal tubules, and appears to have no endogenous substrates other than bile acids (56), OSTα-OSTβ is more widely expressed and is abundant in tissues important for steroid homeostasis (88). Because OSTα-OSTβ also transports endogenous small molecules such as prostaglandins, neurosteroids, and steroid sulfates (109, 110), it is possible that the intestinal adaptation is a response to alterations in transport of a non-bile acid substrate. For example, in vitro studies have shown that OSTα-OSTβ can transport prostaglandin E2, which is important for regeneration of epithelial crypts following intestinal injury (111, 112). Alternatively, it is possible that OSTα-OSTβ has other cellular functions besides solute transport. Although no evidence for such a role has been found in mammalian systems (87, 88), a primitive OSTα-like protein identified in C. elegans was shown to regulate intracellular membrane trafficking and the morphology and protein composition of sensory cilia (113). Finally, no inherited phenotypic defects in the human OSTα or OSTβ genes have been identified, and an OSTβ-null mouse has not yet been reported.

**ENTEROCYTE METABOLISM OF BILE ACIDS**

Whereas ileal enterocytes play critical transport and regulatory roles in maintaining bile acid homeostasis, they function in a more limited capacity in mammalian bile acid synthesis and metabolism as compared with hepatocytes or the gut microbiome. Hepatocytes are the major site of synthesis and there is no evidence that the mammalian enterocyte synthesizes bile acids. Interestingly, this is not the case for all vertebrates. Recent studies suggest that bile acid synthesis occurs in both liver and intestine of the sea lamprey, depending upon the developmental stage of this primitive jawless vertebrate (114). In sea lamprey, free-living larvae undergo an apoptotic loss of the hepatic biliary tree and gallbladder during metamorphosis to the juvenile parasitic form. Remarkably, this biliary atresia-like developmental process occurs without apparent liver disease and correlates with an approximately 100-fold reduction in hepatic Cyp7a1 expression and concomitant increase in intestinal Cyp7a1, suggesting that the intestine assumes the major role in bile acid synthesis (114, 115).

As discussed below, a fraction of the conjugated bile acids in the intestinal lumen undergo bacterial deconjugation (cleavage of the amide bond linking the bile acid to glycine or taurine) in the distal small intestine, a process that continues to near completion in the colon. The resultant unconjugated mono- or dihydroxy bile acids are membrane permeable and can undergo passive absorption, whereas unconjugated trihydroxy bile acids, such as cholic acid, are sufficiently hydrophilic as to require a specific transport system (116). After absorption, unconjugated bile acid species do not appear to undergo N-acyl amidation during transport through the enterocyte or colonocyte (117). Instead, conjugation to taurine or glycine is carried out almost quantitatively by the hepatocyte after the unconjugated bile acid has returned to the liver in the portal circulation, a cycle termed “damage and repair” by Hofmann and Hagey (20). Although N-acyl amidation does not occur, bile acids do undergo limited phase II
metabolism in the gut. Unconjugated mono- and dihydroxy bile acids, but not unconjugated trihydroxy, tetrahydroxy, or conjugated bile acids (118, 119), can be glucuronidated on the 3- or 6-hydroxyl or 24-carboxyl positions by microsomal UDP-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) enzymes expressed in the small intestine and colon (120). In the liver, glucuronidation is not thought to be a major pathway for conjugation of endogenous bile acids in humans under normal physiological conditions (121), but is more important under cholestatic conditions, where glucuronidated bile acids can be exported by MRP3 across the hepatocyte sinusoidal membrane into the blood for urinary elimination (122). Similarly in the small intestine and colon, evidence suggests that glucuronidation of native unconjugated bile acids is limited in normal physiology (123–125). However, under pathophysiological conditions such as colitis, very recent evidence suggests that activation of intestinal UGT gene expression and increased glucuronidation of bile acids plays an important role in altering bile acid signaling and homeostasis and exacerbating bile acid injury in the colon (126). Bile acids that undergo glucuronidation in the enterocyte or colonocyte can be exported by MRP2 (ABCC2) or the breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP) (ABCG2) across the apical membrane back into the gut lumen (127) or by MRP3 across the basolateral membrane into the blood to undergo renal filtration and elimination (128). Another bile acid phase II conjugation reaction is monosulfation ( sulfonation) by sulfotransferase (SULT)2A1 (129), with addition of a sulfate group to the 3-hydroxy and 7-hydroxy positions predominating in humans and mice, respectively (129, 130). Sulfation is an important metabolic pathway to detoxify and eliminate bile acids in humans, particularly for the monohydroxy bile acid, LCA (131). After formation in the liver, sulfated LCA N-acyl amidates are secreted into bile and pass into the intestine (131), but are not reabsorbed by the ileal transport system and are eliminated from the body (39, 132). In patients with severe cholestasis, bile acid sulfation and export across the hepatocyte sinusoidal membrane into the blood becomes an important pathway for urinary elimination of bile acids (133, 134). Although liver is the major site of bile acid sulfation, cytosolic bile acid sulfotransferase activity is also detected in the human small intestine, but apparently not the large intestine (135, 136). This raises the prospect that some bile acid species are sulfated by the intestinal epithelium and secreted directly back into the intestinal lumen. Indeed, analysis of human cecal contents found significant amounts of 3-sulfated bile acids, including 3-sulfo-LCA, 3-sulfo-CDCA, 3-sulfo-DCA, and 3-sulfo-UDCA (137). Because biliary excretion is relatively low for sulfated conjugates of CDCA and DCA (138–140), the authors suggested that these sulfates are intestinally derived (137) in a pathway where deconjugated LCA, CDCA, or DCA is absorbed by the enterocyte, sulfated, and exported back into the intestinal lumen by carriers such as MRP2 or BCRP (141, 142). In support of this hypothesis, Caco-2 cells were shown to sulfate LCA and resecreted sulfo-LCA back into the media (143). As compared with humans (129), sulfation is a relatively minor pathway for bile acid metabolism in rats and mice, species that can carry out more extensive phase I hydroxylation of bile acids (44, 144, 145). In those species, the bile acid sulfation was thought to occur mainly in the liver and kidney (146), and only low levels of LCA sulfating activity were detected in mouse small intestine (147). However, more recent analyses using electrospray mass spectrometry showed that mouse fecal samples contain significant proportions of sulfated mono-, di-, and trihydroxy bile acids (148). As these bile acid species are found in only trace amounts in mouse bile (149), it is possible that a similar process of intestinal absorption, sulfation, and resecretion back into the lumen is occurring in mice and may play an underappreciated role in detoxifying intestinal bile acids (150). Finally, in addition to N-acyl amidation, glucuronidation, and sulfation, bile acids are also conjugated with glucose, N-acetylgalactosamine, and galactose (151), with glucosidation occurring at the C-3 position, N-acetylgalactosaminidation at the C-7β position, and galactosidation at the carboxyl group (152–154). Although the enzyme activity for synthesis of bile acid glucosides is present in human intestinal and colonic mucosa (155) (as well as liver and kidney), the biological significance of this pathway in metabolism of bile acids by the intestine or other tissues is not clear and deserves further investigation.

GUT MICROBIOME METABOLISM OF BILE ACIDS

Metabolism of bile acids by the gut microbiome is complex and has been the subject of numerous recent reviews (26, 148, 156, 157). It is believed that gut bacteria benefit from metabolizing bile acids by acquiring glycine and taurine for subsequent metabolism, and by using bile acids as sinks for the disposal of electrons from fermentation (158). However, it should be noted that bile acids also exert antimicrobial properties, by being directly toxic to bacteria or by stimulating production of antimicrobial factors (159–161), and play an important role in preventing small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (162). Indeed, another prevailing hypothesis is that the gut bacteria metabolize bile acids to thwart this host defense, thereby gaining an advantage over competing microbes (157, 163). Mechanisms responsible for the antimicrobial actions of bile acids have been investigated and include direct interaction with cell membranes (164), with protonated unconjugated bile acid species having more potent effects than conjugated species (161, 165), acidification of the cytoplasm (166), and induction of DNA damage (161). A detergent role for mixed micelles of conjugated bile acids and dietary long chain fatty acids derived from the digestive process has also been proposed to explain the antimicrobial actions of endogenous and exogenously administered conjugated bile acids (159). Additional insight to the antimicrobial mechanisms of action comes from a recent study showing that bile acids cause protein unfolding, protein aggregation, and disulfide stress in bacteria (167). Remarkably, CA and CDCA affected folding of different subsets of bacterial proteins, providing a plausible mechanism
for the greater antimicrobial potency of bile acid mixtures. The mechanisms for bacterial resistance to bile acids include expression of efflux pumps and enzymes that biotransform bile acids. The bile acid efflux systems include ATP-dependent (e.g., members of the ABC superfamily) (168–172) and proton motive force-dependent carriers (e.g., members of the resistance-nodulation-division family and major facilitator superfamily) (173, 174) and appear to be a common mechanism for bile acid resistance by gut bacteria. Indeed, expression of many of these efflux systems is bile acid-inducible and their contribution to growth and bile resistance has been demonstrated directly by functional genetics (169, 172, 175). Understandably, much of the research to date has focused on identifying the bacterial genes and their mechanism of action. However, selection for species expressing these efflux systems may be an important part of the mechanism by which bile acids influence the structure of the gut microbiome (26). Moreover, differences in the expression of the bacterial transporters responsible for bile acid uptake (discussed below) and efflux may also play an underappreciated role in controlling the transformation of bile acids, which would in turn affect the size, composition, and hydrophobicity of the host’s bile acid pool.

Primary bile acids undergo a wide array of bacterial transformations including deamidation (deconjugation), oxidation and epimerization of the 3-, 6-, 7-, and 12-dehydroxy groups to form oxo or iso epimers, and 7-oxidation and epimerization of the 3-, 6-, 7-, and 12-hydroxy groups to form oxo or iso epimers, and 7-dehydroxylation (156, 176). These transformations are summarized in Fig. 2. Removal of the N-acyl amidation (glycine or taurine) by conjugated bile salt hydrolases (cholovylglycine hydrolase family of enzymes), a reaction called deconjugation (177, 178), usually precedes subsequent microbial biotransformation and is absolutely required for 7α/β-dehydroxylation (179, 180). Because most conjugated bile salt hydrolases are soluble cytosolic proteins, bacteria must also express transporters for uptake of conjugated bile acids. For example, in Lactobacillus johnsonii and Lactobacillus acidophilus, the cbsT1 and cbsT2 genes encoded members of the major facilitator superfamily that facilitate antiport of taurocholate and CA and are part of a polycistronic operon with the bile salt hydrolase (181, 182). Bile salt hydrolase activity is widespread among commensal bacteria, although the levels of enzyme activity may vary widely between individual species (183, 184). The microbiome complement of bile salt hydrolase activity may also differ between host species. For example, a metagenomic analysis revealed bile salt hydrolase enzyme differences between the murine and human microbiota, which likely reflect responses to the bile acid pool composition of the host species (185). Although both glycine and taurine N-acyl amidated bile acid species are extensively deconjugated during transit through the colon prior to their elimination in the feces, evidence from in vitro and in vivo studies suggest that glycine-conjugated bile acids are more susceptible to deconjugation than taurine conjugates (178, 186–188). In contrast, bile acids conjugated to sulfates are relatively resistant to intestinal bacterial metabolism (189, 190), more so for the C-7 sulfated bile acids than the C-3 conjugates (191).

In addition to deconjugation, bile acids undergo additional transformations, the most significant being 7α/β-dehydroxylation, which converts primary bile acids to secondary bile acids (i.e., CA to DCA, CDCA or UDCA to LCA, and MCA to MDCA). These reactions are catalyzed by a group of bacterial genes encoded by a large bile acid inducible (bai) operon, which was identified and extensively characterized by Hylemon and others (156, 192). Among the bai genes is baiG, a member of the major facilitator superfamily and the first bacterial bile acid transporter to be identified and characterized (193). baiG and related genes (194) encode proton-dependent transporters that facilitate the initial uptake of unconjugated CA and CDCA, but not the unconjugated secondary bile acids DCA and LCA (193). After their uptake, 7-dehydroxylation occurs through a complex series of reactions that requires a weak acid to form the CoA derivative (156), and as such is restricted to unconjugated bile acids (179). Whereas many species of commensal bacteria have detectable bile salt hydrolase or hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase activity (which catalyzes bile acid oxidation and epimerization), 7α/β-dehydroxylation activity is present in only a very small fraction of the total gut microbiota, typically a limited number of species in the genus Clostridium (195). Indeed, with the observation that CDCA inhibits Clostridium difficile spore germination, there is increasing interest in developing bile acid analogs that are resistant to bacterial transformations such as 7-dehydroxylation (196). Notably, levels of gut 7α/β-dehydroxylation activity vary many fold between human populations (26) and under different pathophysiological conditions (197, 198).

The metabolism of bile acids by the gut microbiota is not restricted to the described deamidation, dehydroxylation, oxidation, and epimerization reactions and includes additional modifications that are less characterized and merit additional investigation. Indeed, esterified (saponifiable) bile acids have been reported to constitute almost 25% of the total fecal bile acids in humans (199–201). Although bile acid ethyl esters have been reported to form infrequently when samples are heated with ethanolic sodium hydroxide (202), evidence was provided suggesting that the esters were not formed during extraction and processing of the human fecal samples (200). The bile acid esters are likely produced by the gut microbiota, as the saponifiable bile acid fraction decreased from approximately 24 to 9% of total bile acids after antibiotic treatment with the fraction rising back to almost 28% two weeks after the antibiotic course (200). In vitro anaerobic fecal cultures were also shown to produce ethyl LCA esters (203). Among the esterified bile acids identified are ethyl esters (C3 position) of LCA and isoLCA (203) and C24 carboxyl esters (200, 204). Another novel metabolite identified in human fecal samples is polydeoxyxylcholate, where chains of deoxyxylcholate were joined at alternating 3α-hydroxy and carboxyl groups (205). The physiological significance of such modifications is unclear. However, the bile acid esters would be more hydrophobic and predicted to
be excluded from the fecal water phase, thereby reducing their potential for interaction with the colonocyte and absorption.

After their intestinal absorption and return in the entero-hepatic circulation, the secondary bile acids generated by the microbiota can undergo “repair” by the hepatocyte. Repair includes essentially complete reconjugation with taurine/glycine, reepimerization of iso (3β-hydroxy) bile acids to their 3α-hydroxy form (206, 207), and reduction of oxo groups to hydroxyl groups (208). Rehydroxylation at the C-7 position to generate the original primary bile acid occurs in many species, including the mouse and rat (44, 209), but does not occur in humans (210, 211). Alternatively, if not absorbed and repaired, the secondary bile acids transit through the colon where they have effects on colonocyte function and oncogenesis (see below).

**CONSEQUENCES OF INTESTINAL BILE ACID METABOLISM ON BILE ACID SIGNALING**

Metabolism of bile acids influences their fate as well as their signaling properties, and the effect of these bile acid modifications on host signaling via GPCRs, nuclear receptors, and intracellular pathways is being actively explored. The emerging consensus from this work is that these transformations are important contributors to bile acid signaling and gut microbiota-induced changes in whole body physiology (26, 126, 212). For example, microbiota effects upon body weight, adiposity, lipid metabolism, liver disease, intestinal mucosal function, colon carcinogenesis, and cardiovascular function have recently been linked to luminal bile acid metabolism and modulation of bile acid signaling (26, 213–218). Of note, these studies are at the forefront of a new field, and make innovative use of mouse models with altered microbiomes, including models that are germ-free or colonized with specific organisms, including microbiota from humans with select clinical characteristics (214, 216, 219–224). Compared with mice raised conventionally, the pool of bile acids in germ-free mice is less “FXR agonistic,” because the bile and luminal contents include an increased proportion of tauro-β-muricholic acid, an endogenous mouse bile acid species that functions as an FXR antagonist (26, 223). As such, the lack of conventional microbes in the germ-free mouse intestines reduces expression of ileal FXR target genes (e.g., FGF15, IBABP), with consequent increased expression of hepatic genes usually suppressed by FGF15 (Cyp7a1 and others). Intriguingly, reduction of luminal bacteria with antibiotics led to similar but less pronounced effects on bile acid signaling, as those observed in germ-free models. Moreover, administration of a clinically available probiotic mixture, VSL#3, altered the mouse microbiota, leading to increases in bile acid deconjugation, fecal bile acid loss, and the proportion of tauro-β-muricholic acid (220). As in studies with germ-free mice, ileal FGF15 RNA levels were suppressed and hepatic Cyp7a1 levels increased in VSL#3-fed mice. These are but a few examples of the observations from a recently burgeoning field, but they clearly point to significant communication between microbial bile acid metabolism and host physiological responses in gut and liver in ways that are potentially amenable to therapeutic manipulation (225).

Because there are important host bile acid species differences, it is unclear how to extrapolate many of the recent findings from mouse models to humans. For example, humans do not synthesize 6-hydroxylated bile acids such as MCA, so mechanisms whereby gut microbiota alterations produce a bile acid pool with dramatically less FXR activation potential may not be operative in humans. However, alteration of the luminal bile acid composition, hydrophilicity, and concentration have been linked to a variety of human pathophysiological conditions, including: intestinal dysmotility, inflammatory bowel disease phenotypes, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, and progression of colon cancer (26, 218, 226–228). Increased colonic concentrations of luminal bacteria-derived LCA and DCA are associated with development of colorectal cancer in both mouse models and humans, and the progression of colorectal cancer can be reduced in mice by antibiotic suppression of luminal bacteria (217, 224). The contributors to human colorectal cancer development are complex and certainly extend beyond microbial bile acid metabolism, but recent data link an animal-based diet in humans to shifts in the microbiota favoring species enriched in bile salt hydrolases, with subsequent increased fecal concentrations of the pro-carcinogenic bile acid DCA (229). Altered luminal bile acid composition can also impact mucosal inflammation, in concordance with a response to various dietary signals. In a mouse model of inflammatory colitis, a diet enriched in milk fats led to increased luminal concentrations of taurocholate and growth of *Bilophila wadsworthia*, which enhanced pro-inflammatory cytokine expression and mucosal inflammation (221), thus linking dietary choices with mucosal damage via altered bile acid homeostasis (230).

Activation of apical membrane TGR5 receptors on enteroendocrine (L) cells in the distal ileum and colon leads to portal release of the incretins GLP-1 and GLP-2. Bile acids are TGR5 agonists, with a potency depending upon their structure (231). When present at sufficient concentration (in states of ASBT suppression, ileal inflammation, bowel resection, colesvelam treatment, or rapid transit), bile acids can increase GLP-1 secretion in both mouse and human models (105, 232, 233). In fact, direct rectal administration of taurocholic acid in healthy male humans induces secretion of GLP-1 and Peptide YY, along with a perceived sense of satiety (234). Clearly, the complexities of bile acid biology extend to membrane receptor biology with a potential to impact upon growth, appetite, and metabolism (235, 236).

Altered composition of bile acids impacts the progression of liver diseases and liver function including signaling related to fibrosis, cholestasis, and obesity-related liver diseases (213, 216). Among the more intriguing overall concepts connecting liver disease to luminal bile acid composition and intestinal function include: bile acid-induced alterations in intestinal permeability that lead to increased
transfer of bacterial products to the portal circulation and direct delivery to the liver; modified overall FXR agonist capability of the bile acid pool; and disruptions in the absorption of sterols and fatty acids with delivery of those substances to the liver. In addition, cholesterosis leads to less delivery of bile acids into the intestine, reduced ileal FGF15/19 expression, increased bacterial overgrowth and hydrolase activity, and increased gut permeability, contributing to the progression of liver disease (162, 216, 237).

CONCLUSION

New studies, primarily in mice, are expanding our understanding of the role of bile acid signaling in metabolism and the pathogenesis of disease. These studies all point to integrated roles for the utilization and modification of the bile acid pool by resident gut microbiome, with subsequent local and systemic effects on bile acid interactions with surface receptors and transporters, and intracellular targets such as nuclear receptors. Bile acids are thus poised for regional signaling and nutritive functions, and their high concentrations throughout the small intestine offer opportunities for benefit as well as disease pathogenesis. Thus, there are multiple opportunities to potentially exploit the liver-gut-microbiome-bile acid axis for novel therapies to treat gastrointestinal and metabolic diseases.

The authors thank Drs. Astrid Kosters and Anuradha Rao for critical reading of the manuscript.
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