Connecting neutrino physics with dark matter
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Abstract
The origin of neutrino masses and the nature of dark matter are two in most pressing open questions in modern astro-particle physics. We consider here the possibility that these two problems are related, and review some theoretical scenarios which offer common solutions. A simple possibility is that the dark matter particle emerges in minimal realizations of the seesaw mechanism, as in the majoron and sterile neutrino scenarios. We present the theoretical motivation for both models and discuss their phenomenology, confronting the predictions of these scenarios with cosmological and astrophysical observations. Finally, we discuss the possibility that the stability of dark matter originates from a flavor symmetry of the leptonic sector. We review a proposal based on an $A_4$ flavor symmetry.
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1. Introduction

It is by now a well-established fact that a wide variety of cosmological observations provide strong support for the lambda cold dark matter ($\Lambda$CDM) model, which can thus be regarded as the standard cosmological model (SCM). These observations include measurements of the...
temperature and polarization anisotropies of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) [1–8], of the distribution of large-scale structures [9–11], of the abundance of light elements [12–14], of the current value of the Hubble constant $H_0$ [15, 16], and of the magnitude-redshift relationship for Type Ia supernovae [17, 18]. According to the SCM, the Universe is spatially flat and its current energy density is dominated by non-relativistic matter (roughly 30% of the total), in the form of baryons and cold dark matter (CDM), and dark energy (the remaining 70%), in the form of a cosmological constant that is responsible for the present accelerated expansion. Photons and neutrinos are subdominant today, but their energy density drove the expansion of the Universe during the early radiation-dominated phase. The structures that we observe today have grown from primordial adiabatic, nearly scale-invariant fluctuations generated after a phase of inflationary expansion, in the simplest models driven by the energy density of a scalar field [19, 20].

However, in spite of its phenomenological success, one of the striking features of the SCM, from a theoretical point of view, is that we do not know the nature of nearly 95% of the total matter–energy content of the Universe. In particular, only part of the total matter density can be provided by SM particles. The density of baryons can be inferred in several ways, mainly through the knowledge of the abundance of light elements (which are extremely sensitive to the baryon-to-photon ratio) and from the CMB anisotropies (since the presence of baryons induces a peculiar alternating pattern in the peaks of the power spectrum). On the other hand the total density of matter affects, through gravity, both the average expansion and the evolution of perturbations, and thus can be constrained, among other means, by measuring the clustering properties of galaxies, or again by CMB observations. All the observational evidence points toward a coherent picture where baryons constitute roughly 20% of the total cosmological matter content, whereas the remaining 80% is provided by an electromagnetically neutral component dubbed dark matter. Since the gravitational evidence for dark matter comes from observations at different scales, it is difficult to explain these anomalies in terms of a modified theory of gravity.

Dark matter also drives the process of formation of cosmological structures because it creates the potential wells where the luminous matter—i.e., baryons—falls once it is free from the support of photon pressure. In fact, the observed clustering properties of galaxies also constrain the velocity dispersion of the dark matter component since this defines the free-streaming length below which perturbations in the dark matter density are erased and clustering is suppressed. This rules out ‘hot’ dark matter candidates (HDM), like the SM neutrinos themselves, whose large velocity dispersion results in a cutoff in the matter power spectrum well above the galactic scale (i.e., well above a few comoving Mpcs). Thus dark matter has to be ‘cold’ (CDM) or ‘warm’ (WDM), namely, with a damping length below or around the galactic scale, respectively. The predictions of the CDM and WDM scenarios at the largest scales are identical; however, WDM has often been invoked as a possible solution for the shortcomings of CDM on small scales, like those related to the abundance of dwarf satellites and to the inner density profile of galaxies. On the other hand, complex baryonic physics may also be responsible for this, and this issue is still matter of intense debate in the structure formation community.

The exact nature of dark matter is still a mystery to date. Many candidates have been proposed for the role of dark matter, with different particle physics motivation. Compiling a comprehensive list would be impossible, but popular examples include supersymmetric particles, Kaluza-Klein particles, and the axion. Unfortunately, the search for these or any other
candidate has been unfruitful so far, and all theoretical possibilities are still open. In this review we will focus on the theoretically appealing possibility that dark matter is somewhat related to neutrinos, and in particular to the mechanism of neutrino mass generation, which is another open question in theoretical physics.

We know from the observation of neutrino oscillations that neutrinos have masses \([21, 22]\); however, their origin and nature (Dirac or Majorana) is still unknown. Moreover, the smallness of neutrino masses relative to those of the SM charged fermions remains a puzzle. An elegant solution to these issues is provided by the seesaw mechanism [23–28], described in section 2, which allows the generation of neutrino masses that are naturally smaller than those of the other fermions. In this scenario neutrinos are usually Majorana particles, implying that the lepton number is violated. Therefore, searches of lepton-number violating processes, in particular neutrinoless double \(\beta\) decay, are a decisive test for unveiling the nature of neutrinos.

The general idea behind the seesaw mechanism can be embedded in many distinct scenarios that often provide in turn viable dark matter candidates. Here we describe two simple and direct connections between neutrino mass generation and dark matter. In section 3 we focus on the possibility that dark matter is the Goldstone boson associated with the spontaneous breaking of the ungauged lepton number. This particle, called the majoron, can acquire a mass through quantum gravity effects that explicitly break global symmetries and thus play the role of dark matter. In the case of sterile neutrino dark matter, which we review in section 4, three right-handed singlets are added to the particle content of the SM; dark matter is made from the lightest sterile state, whereas the two heaviest states generate the active neutrino masses through the seesaw mechanism. In these two scenarios, the mass of the dark matter particle is not predicted by the theory; however, the keV mass range is favored by observations, especially in the case of the sterile neutrino.

The majoron and sterile neutrino scenarios that we are considering are both based on a minimal implementation of the seesaw mechanism. Dark matter candidates can also arise when the seesaw is embedded in more complex models, for instance those in which neutrino masses are generated radiatively (e.g., [29–35]), in R-parity violating SUSY models [36–38], or in different types of low-scale seesaw scenarios (e.g., [39–45]). The resulting candidates can lie at different mass scales and therefore exhibit a completely distinct phenomenology with respect to the sterile neutrino and the majoron.

We give a concrete example in section 5, considering a scenario where the dark matter candidate is related not only to the generation of neutrino masses but also to the presence of a discrete flavor symmetry, which at the same time approximately accounts for the observed pattern of neutrino oscillations and stabilizes the dark matter particles.

2. Generation of neutrino masses

Neutrino masses can be generated at a non-renormalizable level by the effective dimension-five operator [46]:

\[
\mathcal{O}_5 \propto (L_i H)^T (L_j H),
\]

where \(L_i\) denotes an electroweak doublet \((i, j = e, \mu, \tau)\) and \(H\) is the SM Higgs doublet. This operator violates the lepton number by two units and, after the electroweak symmetry is broken and the Higgs acquires a vacuum expectation value (vev) \(v_2 \equiv \langle H \rangle\), generates Majorana
neutrino masses \( m_\nu \propto v_2^2 \). Assuming that \( \mathcal{O}_5 \) is generated at tree level by the exchange of some heavy degree of freedom with mass \( M_L \), one has

\[
m_\nu = \lambda \frac{v_2^2}{M} \simeq \frac{m_D^2}{M},
\]

where \( \lambda \) is a dimensionless coupling, and the last equality follows from the fact that \( v_2 \) sets the mass scale \( m_D \) of the charged fermions. The mass of the heavy particles corresponds to the energy scale at which the lepton number is violated. This can be pushed arbitrarily high to obtain \( m_\nu \ll m_D \) and thus explain in a natural way the smallness of neutrino masses relative to the other SM fermions. If lepton number violation is related to some gravitational Planck-scale effect we expect \( M \simeq M_{pl} \), but the resultant neutrino mass (assuming \( \lambda \approx 1 \)) would be too small to explain the observed mass differences. This suggests that neutrino masses are generated by new physics below the Planck scale.

### 2.1. Seesaw models

In seesaw models [23–28], the effective operator (1) is generated at tree level by the exchange of heavy particles. This can be achieved in different ways. A first possibility is to add \( \otimes \text{SU}(2)_L \otimes \text{U}(1)_Y \) singlet right-handed fermions (‘sterile neutrinos’) \( \nu_R \) to the particle content of the SM\(^4\). This makes it possible to introduce the following term in the Yukawa Lagrangian:

\[
L_2 = Y_2 \bar{L} h_R + \text{h.c.},
\]

which, after electroweak symmetry breaking, generates a Dirac mass term for neutrinos, with \( m_D = Y_2 v_2 \). Moreover, because the \( \nu_R \) are gauge singlets, we can also add to the Lagrangian a gauge-invariant bare mass term for the right-handed neutrinos:

\[
L_R = \frac{1}{2} M_R \nu_R^T \nu_R + \text{h.c.}
\]

This term can also be generated by interactions with a singlet scalar field, as in majoron models (see below). A similar bare mass term for the active neutrinos is forbidden because it would spoil the gauge invariance of the SM. Thus, the neutrino mass matrix in the \((\nu, \nu_R)\) basis reads:

\[
M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D \\ m_D & M_R \end{pmatrix}.
\]

If the Majorana mass \( M_R \) (which is related to some physics beyond the SM) is much larger than the Dirac mass \( m_D = Y_2 v_2 \), then the mass eigenvalues are approximately \( M_R \) and \( m_D^2/M_R \ll M_R \). This is the type-I seesaw [23–25, 27].

In the type-II seesaw [26–28, 47, 48], instead, a scalar Higgs triplet \( \Delta \) is added to the theory, schematically coupling with the ordinary neutrinos through

\[
L_3 = Y_3 \bar{L} \Delta L + \text{h.c.}
\]

Once the triplet acquires a vev \( v_3 \equiv \langle \Delta \rangle \), it gives rise to a Majorana mass term for active neutrinos, with \( M_L = Y_3 v_3 \). The triplet vev induces a change in the electroweak parameter \( \rho \) [49], so it is experimentally constrained to lie below a few GeVs [50, 51]. This experimental

\(^4\) The number of generations of these singlet neutrinos is somewhat arbitrary, but for aesthetic reasons we will take it to be three unless otherwise stated.
evidence implies \( v_3 \ll v_2 \). It is worth stressing that one can consider a more general seesaw model where both the right-handed singlets and the scalar triplet are present so that the neutrino mass matrix has the full seesaw structure:

\[
\mathcal{M}_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} M_L & m_D \\ m_D & M_R \end{pmatrix}
\]

with \( M_L \ll m_D \ll M_R \). We also note that the Majorana mass terms violate lepton number conservation.

Another possibility for generating the effective operator 1 is through the tree-level exchange of SU(2)\(_L\) fermion triplets \( \Sigma \), as in the type-III seesaw [52].

3. Majoron dark matter

3.1. The model

The basic idea behind the majoron model, originally proposed by Chikashige, Mohapatra, and Peccei [53] in 1980, is that the global lepton number symmetry of the standard model of particle physics is spontaneously broken. Indeed, as previously noted, if neutrinos are Majorana particles, the lepton number is necessarily broken. If the lepton number is a global symmetry, then after its breakdown a massless Nambu–Goldstone boson—the majoron—is generated.

In the simplest version of the majoron model, three singlet neutrinos \( \nu_R \) are added to the SM, making it possible to generate a Dirac mass for the neutrinos through a term of the form (3). A complex scalar Higgs singlet \( \sigma \) with lepton number 2 is also introduced, coupling with the singlet neutrinos through:

\[
\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} Y_1 \nu_R^T \sigma \nu_R + \text{h.c.}
\]

As we will see, \( \sigma \) is the parent field of the majoron. For the moment, we simply note that when \( \sigma \) acquires a vev \( v_1 \equiv \langle \sigma \rangle \), the lepton number is broken and a Majorana mass term for the singlet neutrinos is generated, like the one in equation (4), with \( M_R \equiv Y_1 v_1 \). Thus, the neutrino mass matrix in the \( (\nu, \nu_R^T) \) basis has the type-I seesaw structure:

\[
\mathcal{M}_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v_2 Y_2 \\ v_2 Y_2 & v_1 Y_1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

where the condition \( v_2 \ll v_1 \) is required in order to ensure the smallness of neutrino masses. In the simplest implementation of the model, the absence of a Higgs triplet implies that there is no Majorana mass term for the ordinary neutrinos.

Once \( \sigma \) has acquired a vev (and the lepton number is broken), we can write

\[
\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\langle \sigma \rangle + \rho + iJ)
\]

where \( \rho \) and \( J \) are, respectively, a massive and massless boson field with zero vevs. The field \( J \) is the majoron, the Goldstone boson associated with spontaneous breaking of the lepton number.

The model was generalized shortly thereafter by Schechter and Valle [48] by allowing for the presence of all Higgs multiplets—singlet, doublet, and triplet. Thus, with respect to the
preceding model, a Higgs triplet $\Delta$ and the corresponding term (6) in the Yukawa Lagrangian are also introduced. Once the triplet acquires a vev $v_3$, a Majorana mass term for the active neutrinos is generated. The full neutrino mass matrix has thus the general seesaw structure:

$$\mathcal{M}_\nu = \begin{pmatrix}
v_3 Y_3 & v_2 Y_2 \\
v_2 Y_2^T & v_1 Y_1
\end{pmatrix},$$

where this time, in writing the matrix, we have also taken into account that the Yukawa couplings are actually $3 \times 3$ matrices. The three vevs satisfy $v_1 \gg v_2 \gg v_3$ as well as a vev seesaw relation $v_1 v_3 \approx v_2^2$. The resulting light neutrino mass is

$$m_\nu \approx Y_3 v_3 - Y_2 Y_1^{-1} Y_2^T \frac{v_2^2}{v_1}.$$  (12)

The properties of the majoron in this more general scenario can be derived using the invariance of the potential under lepton number and weak hypercharge symmetries [48]. In particular, the majoron is given, apart from a normalization factor, by the following combination of the Higgs fields:

$$J \propto v_3 v_2^2 \text{Im}(\Delta^0) - 2 v_2 v_3^2 \text{Im}(\Phi^0) + v_1 (v_2^2 + 4 v_3^2) \text{Im}(\sigma),$$

where $\Phi_0$ and $\Delta_0$ are the neutral components of the doublet and triplet, respectively. It is clear that the pure singlet model can be recovered by setting $v_3 = 0$. The hierarchy of the vevs implies in any case that the majoron has to be dominantly singlet. Again using the symmetry properties one can show that the majoron couples with the light neutrinos proportional to their mass and inversely proportional to $v_1$ [48].

The majoron, being a Goldstone boson, is massless. However, it has been conjectured that if gravity violates global symmetries (see, e.g., [54]), then the majoron may acquire a mass through nonpertubative gravitational effects [55]. The value of its mass $m_J$ cannot be unambiguously calculated, as it depends on the details of how this explicit breaking of global symmetries occurs, which are rather unknown.

A massive majoron can decay at tree level to a pair of light neutrinos with a rate given by [55]

$$\Gamma_{J \rightarrow \nu\nu} = \frac{m_J}{32\pi} \sum_f (m_f^2)^2 \frac{v_1^2}{2 v_1^2}.$$  (14)

Moreover, the majoron also possesses a subleading decay mode to two photons, induced at the loop level through its coupling with the charged fermions. The decay rate for this process is (in the limit $m_J \ll m_f$) [56]

$$\Gamma_{J \rightarrow \gamma\gamma} = \frac{\alpha m_f^3}{64\pi^3} \left| \sum_f N_f Q_f^2 \frac{2v_3^2}{v_2 v_1} \left(-2 T_f^3\right) \frac{m_f^2}{12m_f^2} \right|^2,$$

where $N_f$, $Q_f$, $T_f^3$, and $m_f$ denote respectively the color factor, electric charge, weak isospin, and mass of the SM electrically charged fermions $f$. The radiative decay rate is proportional to the triplet vev $v_3$ and is thus a peculiar feature of the more general seesaw model.
3.2. Majoron cosmology and astrophysics

A massive majoron has many astrophysical and cosmological implications that were first explored in [55, 57–59]. In particular, in [57, 59] it was suggested that the majoron could play the role of the dark matter particle. The majoron could be produced in the early Universe either thermally or through some non-thermal mechanism, such as, for example, a phase transition [59] or the evaporation of majoron strings [57].

For the majoron to be the dark matter, however, its lifetime must be large enough for it to be stable on cosmological timescales. CMB data can been used to constrain the majoron decay rate to neutrinos [60]. An analysis of the Wilkinson microwave anisotropy probe (WMAP) 9-year data [4] yields

$$\Gamma_{\nu \nu} \lesssim 6.4 \times 10^{-19} \text{ s}^{-1} \ (95\% \text{ C.L.}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

which corresponds to $\tau_j \gtrsim 50 \text{ Gyr}$. Very recently a combined analysis of the Planck 2013, WMAP polarization, WiggleZ, and Baryon oscillation spectroscopic survey (BOSS) data made it possible to improve this limit to [62]

$$\Gamma_{\nu \nu} \lesssim 1.9 \times 10^{-19} \text{ s}^{-1} \ (95\% \text{ C.L.}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

or $\tau \gtrsim 160 \text{ Gyr}$. In this analysis the cosmological data are fitted assuming that majorons make up all the dark matter content of the Universe. The majoron decay rate is an extra parameter with respect to the six parameters of the standard $\Lambda$CDM model. As expected, the obtained majoron energy density is very close to the dark matter density derived for the standard $\Lambda$CDM model. In fact, the decay rate is constrained to be very small so that the majoron effectively acts as a stable dark matter particle on cosmological timescales.

If the majoron was in thermal equilibrium in the early Universe with the SM plasma, and decoupled while still relativistic, the inferred relic density singles out the sub-keV range for the majoron mass. The keV mass range is, in principle, very interesting because it would make the majoron, assuming again it is a thermal relic, a WDM candidate and could help solve the problems of the standard CDM paradigm on galactic scales; however, a mass in the sub-keV range is potentially problematic as well because it would probably lead, in the case of a thermal spectrum, to an excessive cancellation of small-scale perturbations. However, larger majoron masses are possible in the case of non-thermal production mechanisms.

Using equation (14), the bounds (16)–(17) on the decay rate can be expressed in terms of the underlying particle physics parameter as [61, 62]

$$\frac{\nu_1}{\text{GeV}} \geq 1.1 \times 10^{38} \left( \frac{m_j \text{ keV}}{\text{GeV}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{\sum (m_{\nu_i}^2)}{\text{eV}^2} \right)^{1/2} \text{ WMAP9},$$

$$\frac{\nu_1}{\text{GeV}} \geq 3.7 \times 10^{38} \left( \frac{m_j \text{ keV}}{\text{GeV}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{\sum (m_{\nu_i}^2)}{\text{eV}^2} \right)^{1/2} \text{ Planck2013 + others},$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

These limits are shown in figure 1.

For masses in the range $100 \text{ eV} \lesssim m_j \lesssim 100 \text{ GeV}$, the sub-leading majoron decay to two photons of equation (15), arising in the more general seesaw scenario, can also be constrained through a number of X- and $\gamma$-ray astrophysical observations [56, 61]. This decay mode
provides an interesting route to probe majoron dark matter. In particular, the $J \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ constraints from line emission searches already exclude part of the parameter space for models with $v_3$ larger than a few MeVs [61]. A summary of current astrophysical constraints and theoretical predictions is shown in figure 2. Recently majoron decay into two photons was also proposed [63] as a plausible explanation of the line signal at 3.5 keV reported in [64] (see the next section for more details).
4. Sterile neutrino as dark matter

As discussed in section 2, sterile neutrinos are responsible for the generation of neutrino masses in the context of the type-I seesaw mechanism. These particles interact with matter via mass mixing with the active neutrino states, which can be parameterized in terms of the active-sterile mixing angle \( \theta \ll 1 \):

\[
\theta^2 \simeq \sum \left( \frac{m_D}{M_R} \right)^2,
\]

where the sum runs over all the sterile neutrino species. The mixing angle depends on the number of sterile neutrino states present in the model and the relative size and texture of the Dirac and Majorana mass matrices. In general terms, this quantity comes from the diagonalization mass matrix described in equation (5).

The size of the mixing, usually expressed as \( \sin^2(2\theta) \), and the sterile neutrino mass \( m_s \), characterize the phenomenology of the sterile neutrino, i.e., its lifetime, decay modes, and production rate in the early Universe. If the mixing angle is sufficiently small, sterile neutrinos are long-lived and can act as decaying dark matter candidates. To account for the neutrino masses and explain dark matter, at least three sterile neutrinos should be introduced. In the minimal realization, known as the Minimal Neutrino Standard Model (νSM) \([65, 66]\), the lightest sterile state acts as a dark matter candidate, whereas neutrino masses and oscillations depend mainly on the other two heavier states. Interestingly, the decays of heavy sterile neutrinos in the early Universe could also explain the observed baryon–antibaryon asymmetry \([67]\).

4.1. Lifetime and decay modes

Sterile neutrino dark matter decays into SM particles according to the diagrams shown in figure 3. The lifetime can be expressed as \([77, 78]\):

\[
(\tau_s)^{-1} = \Gamma_s \simeq \frac{G_F^2 m_s^5}{96\pi^2} \times \Theta(\theta^2) \simeq 1.36 \times 10^{-29} \text{s}^{-1} \left( \frac{\sin^2(2\theta)}{10^{-7}} \right) \left( \frac{m_s}{1 \text{ keV}} \right)^5,
\]

where \( G_F \) is the Fermi constant.

Small mixing angles are needed to push the lifetime beyond the age of the Universe. The loop-induced decays into \( \gamma \nu \) induce an almost monochromatic photon emission which can be searched for with astrophysical observations. This decay mode provides, therefore, a way to test the sterile neutrino scenario, analogous to the \( \gamma\gamma \) signal for the majoron. For sterile neutrino masses in the keV range, the \( \gamma\nu \) line falls in the x-ray band. Searches of these signals have been...
performed by different x-ray observatories, focusing on a large number of targets. The corresponding constraints on the sterile neutrino parameter space are summarized in figure 4. In the red regions sterile neutrinos are either under-abundant or overabundant [68]. The green region corresponds to the Tremaine–Gunn bound [69]. The pink region shows the constraint obtained from observations of the stellar velocity dispersion in the Fornax dwarf galaxy [70]. The rest of the regions are based on analysis of different celestial bodies using x-rays: Milky Way and Ursa Minor (dark blue) [71], Andromeda (M31) [72], Coma and Virgo clusters [73], cosmic x-ray background assuming Milky Way halo models (light blue, including hatching region [74]), Milky Way SPI-INTEGRAL exclusion [75], Milky Way HEAO-I exclusion [76], and the diffuse x-ray background [74].

Recently an identified and weak line signal was reported at 3.5 keV [64], which can be interpreted as monochromatic emission from a decaying dark matter candidate with a mass of 7.1 keV. The analysis was performed on stacked observations of 73-galaxy clusters with the XMM-Newton telescope and of the Perseus cluster with the Chandra x-ray Space Telescope. A consistent signal has been identified by another group analyzing the x-ray spectra of the Andromeda galaxy, of the Perseus cluster, and of the Milky Way center [79, 80].

The statistical significance of the line emission is moderate and subject to modeling uncertainties. At present, an explanation in terms of an atomic line emission cannot be excluded, and it is under debate within the scientific community [81–83]. The DM origin of the line has also been challenged by other x-ray observations of galaxies [84–86]. Summarizing, it is not yet possible to draw any firm conclusion regarding the origin of the 3.5-keV line. Further observations and analyses are necessary to confirm the excess, and eventually to reject any standard astrophysical interpretation of this emission. Interestingly, in case this signal is
confirmed, sterile neutrinos may offer a viable explanation, as shown in figure 4. The signal can also be interpreted in terms of majoron decays into two photons, as proposed in [63].

4.2. Production mechanism in the early Universe

Sterile neutrinos are produced in the early Universe through oscillations with the active neutrino states, the so-called Dodelson–Widrow mechanism [87]. As discussed in the preceding section, astrophysical observations and the requirement of a sufficiently long lifetime force the active-sterile mixing angle to be small. For these small mixings, sterile neutrino dark matter does not reach a thermal equilibrium with the plasma in the Universe. These particles are produced with a non-thermal velocity spectrum and are highly relativistic. They become nonrelativistic during the radiation-dominated epoch, behaving therefore as WDM. Their free-streaming length determines the scale below which the matter power spectrum is damped compared with the CDM scenario. This suppression can be probed using Lyman-α observations [88]. Bounds on the free-streaming length from Lyman-α can be traduced in lower limits on the mass of the sterile neutrino on the order of a few keV [89, 90]. Further lower bounds on the sterile neutrino mass are obtained from the phase-space dark matter density of dwarf galaxies (the Tremaine–Gunn bound [69]) and their density profiles, e.g., [70]. Assuming the standard Dodelson–Widrow scenario, these constraints, combined with the bounds on x-ray observations, are in tension with the values of sterile neutrino masses and mixing angles for which the correct dark matter abundance is obtained [91].

However, the sterile neutrino production is modified in the presence of a lepton asymmetry in the Universe significantly larger than the baryonic one. In this case, sterile neutrinos are produced via resonant neutrino oscillations, a mechanism known as resonant production [98]. In this scenario sterile neutrinos can have the correct abundance in a much larger portion of the parameter space, namely the region between the red areas in figure 4. In a specific point of this plane the correct sterile neutrino abundance is obtained for a proper value of the lepton asymmetry. Moreover, in the case of resonant production the sterile neutrino velocity distribution is modified with respect to the non-resonant scenario, and the bounds from Lyman-α observations become less stringent [99].

Summarizing, the sterile neutrino with a mass in the keV range is a viable decaying dark matter candidate. The constraints on its parameters discussed in these sections are shown in figure 4.

5. Flavor symmetries and dark matter

A fundamental property of dark matter is its stability over cosmological times. Naively, its lifetime should be larger than the age of the Universe, $\sim 10^{18}$ s. Astrophysical observations push this bound even further. For instance, the observed photon background and measurements of cosmic-ray fluxes constrain the lifetime of dark matter to be larger than $10^{26}$–$10^{27}$ s for dark matter masses in the range $\sim 10$–1000 GeV (see [100] and references therein).

This apparent stability could be the result of the the smallness of the couplings involved in the dark matter decays. This is the case, for example, with the Majoron and the sterile neutrino,
discussed in the preceding sections, or the gravitino in R-parity violating SUSY models [101]. Another option is that dark matter is stabilized by some symmetry, the most simple solution being a $Z_2$ parity. In many dark matter models the stabilization symmetry is imposed by hand, without any explanation for its origin. However, its existence may be intimately related to the structure of the theory, and it may also play a central role in determining the interactions of dark matter. Therefore it would be theoretically more appealing to motivate the presence of such a symmetry in dark matter models. Different mechanisms have been proposed for this purpose, for instance gauge symmetries [102–104] (e.g., the R-parity in SUSY models could arise from a $U(1)_{B-L}$ [105]), global symmetries, and accidental symmetries [106, 107] (see [108] for a review on the subject). Interestingly, the stability of dark matter could also originate from a discrete flavor symmetry.

Non-abelian discrete flavor symmetries have been extensively studied in order to explain the pattern of neutrino mixing [112, 113]. These symmetries and their breaking patterns define the structure of the lepton (and possibly quark) mass matrix and in turn their mixing angles. In reference [116] it was proposed that the breaking of a flavor symmetry could leave a remnant symmetry stabilizing the dark matter. A concrete example is presented based on an $A_4$ flavor symmetry. $A_4$ is the group of even permutations of four objects and contains 12 elements. The generators of the group in the three-dimensional unitarity representations are:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}; \\ T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

In this model the scalar potential of the SM is supplemented by three $SU(2)$ scalar doublets, $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$, which are transformed into an $A_4$ triplet, whereas the SM scalar $SU(2)$ doublet, $H$, is an $A_4$ singlet. The minimization of the scalar potential gives the symmetry breaking pattern $\langle H \rangle = v_H$ and $\langle \eta \rangle = (v_\eta, 0, 0)$. This breaks $A_4$ into a residual $Z_2$ symmetry generated by $S$ and acting on the $A_4$ triplet $\eta$ as

$$S\eta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \\ \eta_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ -\eta_2 \\ -\eta_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (23)$$

Since in this scenario the SM particles are even under this $Z_2$ parity, the lightest $Z_2$ odd particle of the model is automatically stable and can play the role of dark matter.

In this scheme neutrino masses are generated through a type-I seesaw mechanism introducing four heavy right handed neutrinos. With a suitable assignment of the $A_4$ charges of the RH neutrinos, the lepton doublets, and the RH charged leptons, this model can accommodate the solar and atmospheric mixing angles and mass differences. In recent years, the connection between a possible flavor structure of the leptonic sector and the stability of dark matter has

---

6 Dark matter stability can also be connected to flavor physics in the context of minimal flavor Violation [109–111].
7 The connection between flavor symmetries and sterile neutrino dark matter models is discussed, for instance, in [114, 115].
8 The original model was constructed in such a way as to give a vanishing reactor mixing angle $\theta_{13}$. Although this value was acceptable when the model was proposed, a non-vanishing $\theta_{13}$ has now been measured [117–121]. It was recently proposed that including radiative corrections, the model can reproduce the correct value of $\theta_{13}$ [122].
been investigated in other models, considering also different flavor symmetries [123, 124]. In short, models based on flavor symmetries can lead to different patterns of the neutrino mass matrix and give a remnant or accidental $Z_2$ stabilizing the dark matter (see also [125–131]).

The precise properties of the dark matter candidate depend on the details of the model under consideration. In the examples presented in [116, 123–125], the dark matter candidate is identified with a neutral $Z_2$-odd scalar particle, more precisely, the lightest mass eigenstate of the $\eta_2 - \eta_3$ scalar system presented earlier. Dark matter can communicate with the SM via ‘Higgs portal’ interactions, induced by terms in the scalar potential such as for instance, $\eta^\dagger \eta H^* H$. In presence the of ‘weak-scale’ couplings, this dark matter candidate has a mass close to the electroweak scale and acts as a weakly interacting massive particle (WIMP). The correct cosmological relic abundance can be achieved through the standard thermal freeze-out mechanism. As is typical with WIMP models, multiple strategies exist to detect these particles, namely searches at colliders, with underground dark matter detectors (direct searches) and with astrophysical observations (indirect searches). In particular, the phenomenology of the dark matter candidate proposed in [116] has been extensively studied in [132]. Direct detection constraints exclude large regions of the parameter space, whereas current indirect detection searches are sensitive to low dark matter masses.

6. Conclusions

We have reviewed the possibility that dark matter is somewhat related to the origin of neutrino masses. In particular, we have shown how seesaw models, already in their minimal implementation, may at the same time generate neutrino masses and provide viable dark matter candidates. Two simple and theoretically motivated examples are the sterile neutrino and the majoron. In the sterile neutrino scenario, the dark matter is provided by the lightest of the singlet right-handed neutral fermions, which are fundamental ingredients of the seesaw mechanism. In the majoron scenario, on the other hand, the dark matter is associated with the scalar field responsible for the dynamical generation of the majorana mass term of the right-handed neutrinos.

We have shown that both the sterile neutrino and the majoron can act, in some regions of the parameter space of the respective models, as decaying dark matter candidates. Moreover, requiring that their cosmological abundance match the observed dark matter density, as inferred by CMB and other observations, roughly singles out the keV mass range for both particles.

Interestingly enough, late decays of these candidates in monochromatic keV photons provide a handle to identify them with astrophysical x-ray observations. Current searches, in combination with the bounds from structure formation, are testing some portions of the parameter space of these models, as we have reviewed in the preceding sections. Prospects regarding future experiments are discussed in [133, 134]. We also mention the recent proposal for a new fixed-target experiment at the CERN SPS accelerator that will use decays of charm mesons to search for heavy neutral leptons [135].

The generation of neutrino masses can also be related to dark matter in more complicated, non-minimal, models. The masses and properties of these dark matter candidates can be very different from those of the majoron or the sterile neutrino. As a concrete example, we have discussed the case of a WIMP-like stable dark matter candidate. In particular we have
considered the possibility that the stability of the dark matter particles is connected to the existence of a discrete $A_4$ flavor symmetry of the neutrino sector.
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