Introduction: Shoulder dislocations are the most frequent of all dislocations in the body. Recurrence of shoulder dislocations is common in the younger age group with associated Bankart or Hill Sach’s lesions. Over 200 procedures have been described in the literature for the treatment of recurrent anterior dislocation of shoulder.

Aim of the study: We attempted to study the functional outcome of Congruent Arc Latarjet procedure in patients with recurrent anterior shoulder dislocations and associated Hill Sach’s lesions.

Materials and methods: Rowe score were used to assess the functional outcome in patients 45 patients (39 males and 6 females) with Hill Sach’s Lesions were enrolled. The minimum follow-up was 2 years. The mean preoperative Rowe score was 58.5+/-.4.2. (Range 51 to 67 IQR=55). All patients had apprehension positivity. 30 patients (66%) had less than 10 dislocations while 15(33%) had more than 10 dislocations. Additional lesions present in these patients where soft tissue Bankart in 35 cases, 6 with glenoid bone loss, and 4 had only Bony Bankarts avulsions. Graft union was seen in 38 patients as evidenced by the Xray. Postoperatively, 5 patients (11%) had excellent results, 34 patients (75%) had good results, 2 (4%) had fair results and 4 (9%) had poor results. 4 patients had another episode of dislocation during the follow-up period. 8 patients (18%) had some degree of apprehension in abducted external rotation. All patients with excellent and good results were able to perform normal daily activities, engage in manual labor and recreational sports activities. There was mean external rotation loss of 20.5 with the arm in adduction and 15.0 with arm in abduction at 90 degrees on comparing with the normal side.

Conclusion: Our study results show that the congruent arc Latarjet procedure with subscapularis split effectively stabilizes anterior shoulder instability in patients with Hill Sach’s Lesion.

Level of Evidence: IV: case series
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technique requires proficient arthroscopic skills and there are concerns how best to address bone loss in glenoid and humeral head [4-6]. The other procedures like Latarjet [5, 6] and Bristow [9] are non-anatomical and work on the principles of an active muscular sling with coracoid transfer. The Latarjet Procedure [5-9] involves the transfer of the coracoid process along with the attached conjoined tendon to anteroinferior glenoid. It has become popular as it is capable of treating glenoid bone deficiency and an engaging Hill Sachs lesion together. Patte et al [2] proposed the theory of triple locking [2, 10]. 1. tendinomuscular locking-conjoint tendon and subscapularis muscle acting as sling 2. Bony locking increased the concavity of the glenoid [3]. Capsular locking as achieved by suture of the coracoacromial stump to capsule as the reasons for stabilizing of shoulder.

Of importance in choosing a particular procedure is the Glenoid track concept [11-14] See (Figure 1A) and Table 1. For this the width of the inferior glenoid is measured in CT-Scan(D). The width of the glenoid defect is calculated(d). The glenoid track is calculated as 0.83(D-d). The Hill Sach Index (HSI) is calculated as the sum of the width of the Hill Sach lesion and the distance from the lateral border of Hill sach to the medial border of rotator cuff attachment. If HSI is greater than Glenoid track, the hill sach is “off track” or engaging and if HSI is less than Glenoid track, the Hill Sach is “on track” or nonengaging. Engaging Hill Sach Lesion requires remplissage [15] procedure in addition to Capsulolabral repair if done as per Bankarts procedure.

![Normal Glenoid track](image1.png)

**Figure 1:** Shows the concept of normal glenoid track.

**Table 1:** How to determine whether Hill Sach’s Lesion is “On track” or “Off Track”.

| ON-TRACK LESION - A HSI, WHICH STAYS ON THE GLENOID TRACK, CANNOT ENGAGE WITH THE GLENOID AND CANNOT CAUSE DISLOCATION |
|---|
| OFF-TRACK LESION - A HSI, WHICH IS OUT OF THE GLENOID TRACK, HAS A RISK OF ENGAGEMENT AND DISLOCATION |

The Latarjet procedure as originally described, termed the Classic Latarjet[5], consists of osteotomising the coracoid at the elbow formed by its ascending and horizontal pillars, between the coracoclavicular ligaments and the pectoralis minor insertion. The osteotomized coracoid is then transferred to the anterior glenoid and fixated such that its lateral surface is parallel and flush with the articulation (Figure 2A). deBeer’s [16] modification to this classic technique is termed the Congruent-Arc Latarjet. This technique involves rotating the coracoid graft 90° about its longitudinal axis and transferring it such that the inferior surface reconstitutes the glenoid articulation (Figure 2B). This alteration was originally advocated due to the observation that the inferior curvature of the coracoid would result in improved glenohumeral congruity and thus stability. Armitage[17] et al. confirmed this observation through a Computed Tomography anatomy study which found that the radius of curvature of the inferior coracoid surface was not statistically different (p>0.05) from the curvature of the native anterior glenoid rim (13.6 vs. 13.8mm, respectively)

We have been performing Congruent arc Latarjet procedure in our institution for patients with Hill Sach lesion with a soft tissue or bony Bankart as the preferred procedure. Though glenoid track calculation is a logical measurement, its interobserver
and intraobserver reliability is low[18,19]. Also it has not found consistent use in clinical decision-making setting. Hence the presence of a Hill Sach’s Lesion prejudices us to choose a Latarjet procedure. The rate of recurrence following Bankarts is reported to be 15% in systematic review [20] while in Latarjet it is 7.7%. Patients who have more episodes of dislocations are likely to have engaging Hill Sach’s Lesions. The criticism for Latarjet against Bankarts is a limitation in shoulder range of movements.

The present study aims to evaluate the clinical outcomes in adult patients who had undergone open Congruent Arc Latarjet procedure in patients with engaging Hill Sach Lesion for recurrent anterior instability of shoulder at our institution. The study was approved by Institutional Ethics committee (HEC no:01/38/2018/MCT)

Our Technique of Latarjet (Fig 3A,3B,3C,3D)

The patient is operated in a beach chair position with a sandbag under the shoulder. The arm is draped free. The shoulder is approached through the deltopectoral approach. The coracoid is identified and exposed well from its tip to the glenoid margin. The Pectoralis minor is erased from the medial margin of the glenoid. This step should be done very carefully not to injure the musculocutaneous nerve as its location in the medial aspect of the coracoid can be variable. The conjoint tendon is dissected free and the coracoacromial ligament in the 11 o clock position of the glenoid is severed keeping a stump of 1.5 cm attached to the coracoid. Using an oscillating saw, the coracoid is divided at its base. Usually, careful planning will help to get 2 to 2.5 cm of the coracoid. The detached coracoid with attached conjoint tendon and the coracoacromial ligament is carefully brought out of the wound and held with a Kocher forceps. The inferior (concave) side of the coracoid is decorticated to enhance bony union with its glenoid bed. The subscapularis is split transversely along its fibers as necessary for exposure below the equator and the space between capsule and subscapularis is developed with gauze packing. We avoid vertical erosion of subscapularis [21] as it is an important stabilizer of the joint and is important in early recovery and rehabilitation after surgery. The shoulder joint capsule is minimally split near the glenoid margin to get access to the glenoid rim. Carefully placed Hohmann retractors will help us to expose the glenoid rim well. The inferior glenoid rim is freshened with the help of a burr to visualize bleeding from the cancellous bone. The coracoid is transferred to this portion with its lateral border flush with the glenoid rim and provisionally fixed with 2K wires. The coracoid is further fixed with two 4.0 mm cancellous screws with bicortical purchase maintaining parallelism with the glenoid articular surface.

The stump of the coracoacromial ligament is sutured to the shoulder capsule and the split in subscapularis is closed without overtightening with the arm in external rotation. Drains are kept and wound closed. Arm chest bandage is applied for 3 weeks and after that patient was started on pendulum exercises of shoulder and a gradual return of range of motion. Extension and External rotation of the affected shoulder is avoided for six weeks. After that patients progress through exercises to improve the range of motion and strength. Activities involving external rotation are restricted for four months.

All patients who underwent congruent arc Latarjet for recurrent anterior dislocation of shoulder (4 or more episodes) from 2016 to 2018 was included. Patients with psychiatric illness, multidirectional instability were excluded. All patients underwent Xrays (AP internal rotation, axillary views, and CT and MRI shoulder. All patients had a Hill Sach’s Lesion in addition to other lesions. Of the 70 patients who were operated for recurrent dislocations of the shoulder in the period 2016-2018, 45 had hill sach’s lesions as evidenced in Xrays and CT Scan. These patients were followed up for at least 2 years. There were 39 males and 6 females in the group. There were 32 patients in the age group 20-30, 8 patients in the age group 30-40, and 5 patients in the age group above 40. The minimum follow-up was 2 years. (Range 2 to 3 years)
Figure 3: Latarjet Steps:
A: Positioning and bony landmarks.
B: Incision and coracoid with conjoint tendon identification.
C: Osteotomized coracoid process.
D: Coracoid fixation after rotating the coracoid 90 degrees so that the anteroinferior surface becomes an extension of the glenoid articular surface.

All 45 patients were right hand dominant. However, recurrent dislocations occurred in the right side in 41 patients and left side in 4 patients. 2 patients had a family history of recurrent shoulder dislocations. 41 patients were of the normal build while 4 were overweight. 15 patients had their first episode while engaging in sports activities. 25 patients had their first episode in accidents occurring while engaged in manual labour. 5 patients had their first episode in road traffic accidents, or household falls though they were generally sedentary.
The mean preoperative Rowe score was 58.5±4.2. (Range 51 to 67 IQR=55) which amounted to poor function of the shoulder. All patients had apprehension positive and anterior drawer positivity at 90 degrees. Patients did not complain of pain, however 22(49%) of them had complained of vague discomfort.

Recurrent episodes occurred in 6 patients (13%) while sleeping, 12 (26%) while engaged in throwing sports. The rest of the patients had variable engagements during the time of recurrence. 30 patients (66%) had less than 10 dislocations while 15(33%) had more than 10 dislocations. 22 patients had their surgery performed within 2 years of the first dislocation.

Additional lesions present in these patients where soft tissue Bankart in 35 cases, 6 with additional glenoid bone loss, and 4 had only Bony Bankarts avulsions (Figure 4-6). Graft union was seen in 38 patients as evidenced by the Xray, 7 patients had doubtful union either fibrous or pseudarthrosis, however they had no clinical significance (Figure 7A-E)

![Figure 4: MRI images of Hill Sach and Bankart lesion.](image)

![Figure 5: MRI images of Hill Sach’s Lesion.](image)

![Figure 6: Bony Bankarts with Hill Sach’s Lesion.](image)
Figure 7: X-RAY Follow-up:
A: Preoperative x-ray.
B: Immediate postop x-ray.
C: 4 months postop x-ray.
D: Two-year follow-up x-ray.
E: CT scan showing graft union.
Postoperatively, 5 patients (11%) had excellent results, 34 patients (75%) had good results, 2 (4%) had fair results and 4 (9%) had poor results as evidenced by the Rowe Score (Figure 8). 4 patients had another episode of dislocation during the follow-up period. This amounted to poor results. 8 patients (18%) had some degree of apprehension in abducted external rotation. This included patients who had dislocated (4 patients). 10 patients (22%) had mild pain in the shoulder. However, all patients with excellent and good results were able to perform normal daily activities, engage in manual labour and recreational sports activities. None of our patients were professional sportspersons.

There was a mean external rotation loss of 20.5 with the arm in adduction and 15.0 with arm in abduction at 90 degrees while comparing with the normal side (Figure 9 A-C). 2 patients had superficial surgical site infection which subsided without sequelae. Screw breakage occurred in one patient; however, this was not removed Table 2.

Table 2: Shows the details of the study population.

| Total patients | 45 |
|----------------|----|
| Male           | 39 |
| Females        | 6  |
| Age group      |    |
| 20-30 yrs      | 32 |
| 30-40 yrs      | 8  |
| >40 yrs        | 5  |
| Dominant hand Right side | 45 |
| Side of dislocation |      |
| Right          | 41 |
| Left           | 4  |
| Recurrence occurred |    |
| while sleeping | 6  |
| while engaged in manual labour | 12 |
| Number of dislocations |  |
| <10            | 30 |
| >10            | 15 |
| Associated lesions in addition to Hill Sach's |    |
| Soft tissue Bankart | 35 |
| Bony Bankart   | 4  |
| Glenoid Bone Loss | 6  |
| Mean preop Rowe Score | 58.5 |
| Postop outcomes (Rowe Score) |    |
| Excellent      | 5  |
| Good           | 34 |
|                  |              |
|------------------|--------------|
| Fair             | 2            |
| Poor             | 4            |
| Bony union       | 38           |

**Mean loss of External rotation**

|                      |              |
|----------------------|--------------|
| In abduction         | 15 degrees   |
| In adduction         | 20.5 degrees |

**Pain**

|                      | Oct-45       |

**Postop complications**

|                               |              |
|------------------------------|--------------|
| screw breakage               | 1            |
| surgical site infection      | 1            |
| axillary/suprascapular nerve palsy | nil |
| graft osteolysis             | nil          |

**Figure 9:** Range of movements at final follow-up (24 months).
A: ROM postop: external rotation in adduction.
B: External rotation in abduction at 24 months.
C: Abduction at 24 months.

**Discussion**

Recurrent anterior dislocation of the shoulder is a troublesome disorder that typically occurs in young adults. The presence of a Bankarts capsulolabral avulsion and engaging Hill Sach's lesion are important predisposing factors for a shoulder to dislocate repeatedly [5-10]. Many experts have used Bankart's (open/arthroscopic) surgery to treat this recurrence. However, in the...
presence of an engaging Hill Sach lesion, Bankarts surgery has a higher rate of failure [22,23].

The Latarjet procedure can deal with issues occurring from either glenoid or humeral bone loss. The Latarjet procedure provides excellent functional outcome and stability in cases of recurrent anterior shoulder instability [24-30]. This bone block procedure with an osteotomized coracoid process along with conjoined tendon makes the glenoid arc congruent and covers the bone loss. It acts via three mechanisms [5,9,10,24]

- sling mechanism by conjoint tendon compressing subscapularis tendon and capsule
- glenoid rim restoration by transposed coracoid
- capsular repair using acromioclavicular ligament.

Literature [31,32] considers Hill Sachs Lesion to be significant if occupying more than 25% of the humeral articular surface. However, lesions less than that can also result in recurrent dislocations depending on the pathology in the glenoid surface. To decide on whether the Hill Sach lesion is engaging or non-engaging, the concept of glenoid track [12,13] has been proposed. Despite the recent studies providing data supporting the usage of the glenoid track for treatment of glenohumeral instability, there is still debate on its validity. Schneider et al [18] conducted a study using 3D CT scan images to measure the variability in glenoid track measurements. In a case study evaluating interobserver reliability, they showed that the observers struggled to come to a consensus as to if the Hill-Sachs defect was on- or off-track, with only a 72% rate of interobserver reliability. They determined the degree of variability in humeral head assessment with the glenoid was too high for the glenoid track measurement to be reliable. Due to the poor interobserver reliability, the authors recommend the avoidance of the glenoid track in preoperative assessment measures. Hence with the available evidence, it is rather difficult to ascertain preoperatively whether a Hill Sach lesion is engaging or non-engaging. Hence there is a possibility of high rates of recurrence in the presence of Hill Sach’s lesion in Bankarts surgery alone. Remplissage has been advocated to address the Hill Sach when combined with Bankarts repair. However, Purchase et al [15], Nourissat et al [33] Elkinson et al [34] and Giles et al 35 have shown that remplissage can cause significant loss of rotations in the shoulder and even stiffness in all planes of shoulder motion. Hence it is rather a difficult decision to choose the appropriate procedure in the presence of a Hill Sach’s Lesion

DeBeers [36] modification of Latarjet to the Congruent arc technique helps to improve the glenoid arc area and aims to achieve a better chance for union of the graft. The performance of this procedure via a transverse split in the subscapularis helps in early rehabilitation which is key to attaining a normal range of motion of the shoulder. The traditional Latarjet technique has greater bony contact with the glenoid and greater bone width on each side of the screws compared with the congruent arc modification of the Latarjet technique. This potentially allows for a larger surface for healing in the traditional Latarjet technique. Moreover, because of the smaller width of the bone around the screw, the congruent arc modification is potentially less tolerant of screw-positioning error compared with the traditional Latarjet technique [37]. The Congruent-Arc was shown [38,39] to have significantly poorer fixation stability as compared with the Classic technique but did more closely reproduce intact joint contact, which may yield more favorable long-term outcomes.

We performed the congruent arc Latarjet in patients with HSL and assessed the functional outcome with the Rowe [40] score. In our case series, 5 patients (11%) had excellent results, 34 patients (75%) had good results, 2 (4%) had fair results and 4 (9%) had poor results as evidenced by the Rowe Score. 4 patients had another episode of dislocation during the follow-up period. This amounted to poor results. 8 patients (18%) had some degree of apprehension in abducted external rotation. This included patients who had dislocated (4 patients). 10 patients (22%) had mild pain in the shoulder. 39 patients (87%) had graft union with 6 of them having a doubtful union. However, all patients with excellent and good results were able to perform normal daily activities, engage in manual labour and recreational sports activities. None of our patients were professional sportspersons. There was a mean external rotation loss of 20.5 with the arm in abduction and 15.0 with the arm in abduction at 90 degrees while comparing with the normal side. This is similar to studies done in the Indian population by Rao [41] et al, Babu [42] et al Kakamuna [43] et al, and Pereira [44] et al by using the classic technique. Our results show that the procedure achieves satisfactory results when compared with the classic Latarjet technique as evidenced in literature. Complications like coracoid graft osteolysis [26-29,45], infection, axillary and suprascapular nerve palsy, shoulder stiffness, loss of external rotation were comparable to the published literature. The treated patients were able to return to their jobs and do recreational sports except for the patients who had redislocated.

Our study has certain limitations. It’s a single-center case series and there is no head-to-head comparison between classic Latarjet / Bankarts surgery. Quantification of humeral or glenoid bone loss has not been done in our study. Our mean follow up is 2 years and only longer follow up can tell whether congruent arc Latarjet has advantages over Classic Latarjet in the development of shoulder osteoarthritis. Our study population did not have professional sportspersons, especially those in contact sports. The functional demand of these patients may be different from our study population.

However, this studied procedure is effective in giving satisfactory results in recurrent anterior dislocations. We propose this procedure in cases of associated Hill Sach’s lesion, and in patients with hyperlaxity and recurring episodes by trivial trauma.
and during sleep. Careful surgical procedure and postoperative rehabilitation is essential to achieve the best outcomes.

Conclusion

Our study results show that the congruent arc Latarjet procedure with subscapularis split effectively stabilizes anterior shoulder instability in patients with Hill Sachs’s Lesion. It remains a viable option for treating post-traumatic anterior shoulder instability in all age groups. Various lesions like bony and soft tissue Bankart and glenoid bone loss associated with Hill-Sachs lesion can be treated successfully by this procedure. It is an effective procedure when there is an engaging Hill-Sachs lesion or glenoid bone loss of more than 25% producing recurrent anterior shoulder dislocation. There is a good graft union and satisfactory return to function. The terminal loss of external rotation observed in patients undergoing this modified Latarjet was not seen to be of clinical significance. With proper surgical technique and adequate post-operative rehabilitation, excellent functional results can be achieved.
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