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The psychological impact of COVID-19 on the mental health in the general population
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Summary

As a result of the emergence of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection in the Chinese city of Wuhan, a situation of socio-economic crisis and profound psychological distress rapidly occurred worldwide. Various psychological problems and important consequences in terms of mental health including stress, anxiety, depression, frustration, uncertainty during COVID-19 outbreak emerged progressively. This work aimed to comprehensively review the current literature about the impact of COVID-19 infection on the mental health in the general population. The psychological impact of quarantine related to COVID-19 infection has been additionally documented together with the most relevant psychological reactions in the general population related to COVID-19 outbreak. The role of risk and protective factors against the potential to develop psychiatric disorders in vulnerable individuals has been addressed as well. The main implications of the present findings have been discussed.

Introduction

As a result of the emergence of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection in the Chinese city of Wuhan, a situation of socio-economic crisis and psychological distress rapidly occurred worldwide. Although social activities have been restricted in most countries, almost all not essential individual movements were prohibited due to quarantine, while the local hospitals received suddenly thousands of critically ill COVID-19 patients and were forced to implement their emergency protocols. In this context, the general population as well as most of the front-line healthcare workers became vulnerable to the emotional impact of COVID-19 infection1 due to both the pandemic and its consequences worldwide2,3.

Many psychological problems and important consequences in terms of mental health including stress, anxiety, depression, frustration, uncertainty during COVID-19 outbreak emerged progressively.4 Common psychological reactions related to the mass quarantine which was imposed in order to attenuate the...
COVID-19 spread are generalized fear and pervasive community anxiety which are typically associated with disease outbreaks, and increased with the escalation of new cases together with inadequate, anxiety-provoking information which was provided by media. The psychological reactions to COVID-19 pandemic may vary from a panic behavior or collective hysteria to pervasive feelings of hopelessness and desperation which are associated with negative outcomes including suicidal behavior. Importantly, other health measures may be compromised by abnormally elevated anxiety.

As the general population became increasingly exposed, anxiety-provoking topics related to this emergence of the health and socio-economic crisis need to be rapidly identified to early detect dysfunctional processes and maladaptive lifestyle changes potentially leading to the onset of psychiatric conditions.

Given this background, this work aimed to comprehensively review the current literature about the impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on the mental health in the general population.

The psychological impact of quarantine related to COVID-19 infection

The modern world in which all individuals are able to rapidly travel and communicate has been rarely forced to the current social isolation and restrictions which are linked to feelings of frustration and uncertainty. This unprecedented situation related to COVID-19 outbreak is clearly demonstrating that individuals are largely and emotionally unprepared to the detrimental effects of biological disasters that are directly showing how everyone may be frail and helpless.

Social distancing and important lockdown restrictions have been carried out first in China and later in most European countries where Italy and Spain experienced a tragic growth of the number of positive cases. Although government regulations are necessary to maintain social balance and guarantee the safety of all individuals, a direct strategy aimed to manage the psychosocial issues related to COVID-19 crisis and its consequences in the community is currently lacking.

The psychological outcomes for subjects who have been quarantined compared with those who did not, have been examined by both cross-sectional and longitudinal studies. Table 1 summarized the most relevant information about the psychological impact of lockdown restrictions and quarantine included in these studies.

Other studies reported a higher prevalence of subjects with psychological symptoms, emotional disturbance, depression, stress, mood alterations and irritability, insomnia, post-traumatic stress symptoms, anger and emotional exhaustion among those who have been quarantined. Notably, fear, anger, anxiety and insomnia, confusion, grief and numbness have been identified as additional psychological responses to quarantine. Long-term behavioral changes like vigilant handwashing and avoidance of crowds as well as a delayed return to normality even after many months after the quarantine were also reported.

Thus, the quarantine period seems to have important and dysfunctional psychological consequences on the individual’s mental health not only in the short-term but even in the long-term period.

COVID-19 infection on the mental health: which are the main psychological reactions in the general population?

Existing evidence clearly showed the most relevant and profound psychological impact of the outbreaks on the general population. Figure 1 summarized the most relevant psychological reaction in the general population related to COVID-19 infection. Although aspecific and uncontrolled fears related to infection, pervasive anxiety, frustration and boredom have been hypothesized to impair subjective wellbeing and quality of life, resilience and enhanced social support are protective factors that may help with regard to lifestyle changes and re-adaptation mechanisms.

Most relevant psychological reactions to COVID-19 infection

Aspecific and uncontrolled fears related to infection

This is commonly one of the most frequent psychological reaction to pandemics. Several existing studies demonstrated that those who have been exposed to the risk of infection may develop pervasive fears about their health, worries to infect others and fear infecting family members reported that these individuals are more vulnerable than others to manifest worries if they experienced physical symptoms potentially linked to the infection and fear that symptoms are directly associated to actively having the infection even several months after the exposure. Other studies reported that pregnant women and individuals with young children are the most at risk to develop the fear of becoming infected or transmitting the virus.

Pervasive anxiety

Social isolation related to restrictions and lockdown measures are linked to feelings of uncertainty for the future, fear of new and unknown infective agents resulting in abnormally increased anxiety. Anxiety may be directly related to sensorial deprivation and pervasive loneliness, in this case first insomnia but later depression and post-traumatic stress occurred. In addition, anxiety is closely associated with fatigue and reduced performance in healthcare workers while boredom and loneliness are directly related to anger, frustration and sufferings linked to quarantine restrictions. Furthermore, additional tragic effects associated with pervasive anxiety in a pandemic period may include the perceived lower social support, separation from loved ones, loss of freedom, uncertainty and boredom.

Frustration and boredom

Distress, boredom, social isolation and frustration are directly related to confinement, abnormally reduced social/physical contact with others, and loss of usual habits. As reported by Jeong et al., frustration and pervasive loneliness seem to derive by the inhibition from daily activities, interruption of social necessities, not taking part in social networking activities. Unfortunately, in this context hopelessness together with other individual characteristics such as the experience of childhood maltreatment as well as extreme sensory processing patterns may significantly and independently predict suicidal behavior but even the unbearable anger related to the imposition of quarantine may lead to negative outcomes.

Disabling loneliness

The final effect of social isolation is pervasive loneliness and boredom, which have potential dramatic effects on both physical and mental individual well-being. Pervasive loneliness may be significantly associated with increased depression and
Table 1. Most relevant studies about the psychological impact of lockdown restrictions and quarantine due to global infections

| Author(s)          | Study design | Sample                                      | Main results                                                                                                                                    | Limitations                                                                                           | Conclusions                                                                                           |
|--------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bai et al.         | Cross-sectional | 338 staff members in a hospital in East Taiwan with potential contacts with SARS | Seventeen staff members (5%) reported an acute stress disorder. The experience of quarantine was the most relevant predictor of acute stress disorder. Participants manifested predominantly anxiety, irritability, insomnia, poorer concentration and performance, reluctance to work due to the contact with febrile patients. Sixty-six staff members (20%) felt stigmatized and rejected in their neighborhood. | (i) The preliminary nature of the findings; (ii) the moderate response rate; (iii) voluntary nature of the survey (selection bias); lack of validity in the absence of face-to-face interviews. | An integrated administrative and psychosocial response to the occupational and psychological challenges caused by outbreaks is needed. |
| Liu et al.         | Cross-sectional | 549 hospital staff                          | Overall, 9% had higher depressive symptoms 3 years after quarantine. Among subjects with higher depressive symptoms, nearly 60% had been quarantined while only 15% of the group with lower depressive symptoms had been imposed restrictions. | (i) The cross-sectional nature of results; (ii) the absence of a true control group comparison; (iii) the use of CES-D to measure depression. | Hospital staff and subjects in quarantine due to infective outbreaks may be at elevated risk for depression, even over the long term. |
| Sprang and Silman  | Cross-sectional | 398 adult respondents                       | The mean post-traumatic stress scores were four times higher in children who had been quarantined relative to those who were not quarantined. | (i) Responses are potentially flawed by recall bias and social desirability; (ii) respondents who completed the survey represent a self-selected group. | Pandemic disasters need specific response strategies to guarantee behavioral health needs of both children and families. |
| Taylor et al.      | Cross-sectional | 2760 horse owners                           | Higher psychological distress during the outbreak was reported in >30% of horse owners quarantined for several weeks due to equine influenza outbreak relative to ~10% of the Australian general population. | (i) Findings are difficult to be generalized as it was not possible to identify, bound or sample the target population accurately. | Younger subjects, individuals with no educational qualifications, and subjects the main income of which was linked to a horse-related industry were more vulnerable to psychological distress. |
| Wu et al.          | Cross-sectional | Hospital employees even 3 years after this experience | Being quarantined resulted a predictor of PTS symptoms. Symptoms related to quarantine were reported in 28% of parents relative to 6% of those who were not quarantined. 9% among the Hospital staff reported higher depressive symptoms 3 years after quarantine. Among subjects with higher depressive symptoms, >50% had been quarantined than 15% of the group with lower depressive symptoms. | (i) No causal relation between risk perception and PTS symptoms may be established; (ii) PTS symptoms have been measured using the IES-R. | The psychological impact of stressful events linked to infectious disease outbreaks may be mediated by individual perceptions of these events. Altruism may help to protect healthcare workers against these negative impacts. |
| Reynolds et al.    | Cross-sectional | 1912 adult individuals                       | Of individuals who have been quarantined as in close contact with those who potentially have SARS, 20% reported fear, 18% nervousness, 18% sadness and 10% guilt. Maladaptive psychological reactions such as avoidance behaviors (e.g. minimizing | (i) The response rate was 55%; (ii) younger persons were under-represented; (iii) Information were not collected on specific socioeconomic details. | Improvements in terms of compliance and reduced psychological distress may be performed by minimizing duration, revising requirements, and providing enhanced education/support. |
suicidal behavior. Unfortunately, the isolation is progressively enhanced by anxiety, panic or collective hysteria. Cognitive functions and decision making are firstly impaired by hyperarousal and anxiety and later by disabling feelings of loneliness. In addition, social isolation and loneliness are also associated with alcohol and drug abuse. Both frustration and pervasive loneliness seem to derive by the inhibition from daily activities, interruption of social necessities, inability to take part in social networking activities, history of psychiatric illnesses and financial loss.

### Risk factors

#### Alexithymia

The risk of related quarantine distress may be also due to the presence of alexithymic traits which may reduce psychological resilience in some subgroups of individuals. Alexithymia may be literally defined as ‘no words for mood’ and was initially identified to describe cognitive and affective features in patients with psychosomatic disorders. Alexithymic individuals may show significantly higher levels of anxiety, depression and psychological distress than non-alexithymics. In summary, the alexithymia construct applied to major depressive disorder (MDD) subjects showed a significant correlation between alexithymia traits, MDD severity and increased risk of suicidal behavior.

### Inadequate supplies

Importantly, feelings of frustration and uncertainty tend to occur even in relation with inadequate basic supplies (e.g. food, water, clothes etc.) during the quarantine period which is a major source of worries, and anxiety/anger even after 4–6 months from quarantine. There are studies demonstrating that supplies provided by public health authorities in order to address the outbreak may be inappropriate or their release may be carried out too late to successfully address the needs. For instance, thermometers or masks may be received too late while water and food were only inconsistently distributed. Importantly, feelings of frustration and uncertainty tend to occur even in relation with inadequate basic supplies (e.g. food, water, clothes etc.) during the quarantine period which is a major source of worries, and anxiety/anger even after 4–6 months from quarantine. There are studies demonstrating that supplies provided by public health authorities in order to address the outbreak may be inappropriate or their release may be carried out too late to successfully address the needs. For instance, thermometers or masks may be received too late while water and food were only inconsistently distributed. Inadequate supplies might be prevented by providing mental health support to subjects with vulnerable mental health, and providing accurate information together with adequate supplies.

### Inadequate information

Existing evidence suggest that the poor or inadequate information from public health authorities may be a significant stressor because it provides inappropriate guidelines concerning call for actions or leads to confusion about the purpose of quarantine or the importance of measures needed to interrupt
the pandemic spread. Confusion may be directly related to different approaches, contradictory health messages, and poor coordination during the Toronto SARS epidemic as a result of the involvement of multiple jurisdictions and different levels of government. Braunack-Mayer et al. clearly referred to the perceived lack of transparency from health and government authorities concerning the severity of the outbreak. Finally, Reynolds et al. stated that post-traumatic stress symptoms may be related to perceived difficulties in interpreting quarantine protocols as well as the lack of clear rationale/guidelines.

**Protective factors**

**Resilience**

Psychological resilience may be generally defined as the ability to support or retrieve psychological well-being during or after addressing stressful disabling conditions. Although the interconnectedness increased dramatically over the past decades, similarly the vulnerability of billions of individuals across the world to existing or novel pathogens tragically increased without a corresponding enhancement in coping abilities. Historically, different indices have been adopted to measure resilience and individual ability to react to social, economic and political threats, including public health emergencies. Unfortunately, being less resilient to social threats, such as pandemics, may enhance the risk of developing psychiatric conditions. Notably, a general message of hope and social connectedness increased dramatically over the past decades, addressing stressful disabling conditions. Although the inter-connectedness increased dramatically over the past decades, similarly the vulnerability of billions of individuals across the world to existing or novel pathogens tragically increased without a corresponding enhancement in coping abilities.

**Social support**

A higher and significant perception of social support is associated with a reduced likelihood to develop psychological distress and psychiatric conditions. Conversely, according to a recent online survey conducted in South Korea, most (72.0%) of the respondents reported they could get someone else’s support if they were isolated due to COVID-19, and 28.0% clearly reported they would not have social support. Adequate social support for the general population with regard to specific at risk populations (e.g. infected patients, quarantined individuals and medical professionals) should be provided by offering targeted, tailored messages according to the most reliable scientific evidence. Relevantly, a variety of mental health supporting strategies are required in pandemic areas in order to facilitate lifestyle changes and re-adaptation activities required after the occurrence of invalidating outbreaks.

**Preventive strategies**

Specific preventive strategies at the community level such as (i) implementing effective communication and (ii) providing adequate psychological services should be carried out in order to attenuate the psychological and psychosocial impact of COVID-19 outbreak. Health education needs to be enhanced using online platforms, social fear related to COVID-19 needs to be correctly addressed while stigma and discrimination need to be recognized as major challenges able to reinforce the feelings of uncertainty in a period of social crisis. Hospitals protocols linked to the early and effective management of health emergency need to be implemented while healthcare professionals need to be supplied by adequate protective facilities.

Scientific community should provide appropriate information to attenuate the impact of anxiety, frustration, and all the negative emotions which represent important barriers to the correct management of social crisis and psychological consequences related to pandemic. Unmet needs should be rapidly identified by medical staff who need to communicate frequently and in a timely manner with most of patients to understand the risk to develop new symptoms or worsen a preexisting psychological distress. Furthermore, telephones helplines, Internet access, active social networks, dedicated blogs and forums should be implemented in order to reduce social isolation and loneliness as well as allow to specific populations (e.g. infected subjects in hospitals or quarantine settings) the successful communication with their loved ones.

Marginalized populations such as elderly individuals or those with psychological problems should be able to actively consult with clinical psychotherapists to rapidly detect warning signs. Finally, telemedicine should be really implemented especially in areas where mental health services are poorly represented or severely impaired by the rapid spread of pandemic and lockdown restrictions. Importantly, symptoms related to initial psychological crisis together with the need to perform effective interventions using personalization and monitoring of adverse drug reactions related to psychoactive medications should be detected by psychiatrists.

**Conclusion**

Implementing community-based strategies to support resilience and psychologically vulnerable individuals during the COVID-19 crisis is fundamental for any community. The psychological impact of fear and anxiety induced by the rapid spread of pandemic needs to be clearly recognized as a public health priority for both authorities and policy makers who should rapidly adopt clear behavioral strategies to reduce the burden of disease and the dramatic mental health consequences of this outbreak.
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