Parametric study for reducing emission characteristics using different computational schemes
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Abstract: The present study numerically predicts emissions (NOx & CO) characteristics issued from swirl burner using different computational schemes adopted in ANSYS 17.2. Various turbulence models were tested and compared in a structured grid having an average skewness of 0.04. RSM model shows the best results in predicting the central toroidal recirculation zone for such severe swirling flow (S>0.6). Three different schemes of combustion modeling were also tested and compared. Flamelet PDF model was preferred among other combustion models for its potential to consider non-equilibrium chemistry, where its choice was recommended form many studies in the literature. A radiation heat transfer analysis was carried out using P1 radiation model to account radiation effects in estimating NOx and CO concentrations. The effect of varying swirl ratio, excess air, diluting fuel with N2 and CO2, oxidizer preheating, and oxidizer composition on emissions (NOx and CO) reduction strategy concluded that increasing the swirl number, excess air factor, and (N2 and CO2) mixing ratios have a considerable influence on NOx and CO emissions reduction. While increasing the preheating temperature, and the oxidizer Oxygen concentration leads to an increase the NOx and CO emissions. Hence, a considerable reduction in NOx and CO formation was apparently observed by lowering the peak flame temperature less than 1800 K. These predicted outcomes are in agreement with measurements data published in the literature.
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1. Introduction

NOx and CO emissions with considerable concentrations have severe impacts on human and the environment. Though, Temperature is the chief contributor for highly growing NOx emissions. ‘Thermal NOx’ strongly depends on temperature since the ‘NAN’ bond in the molecular structure of Nitrogen is robust. NOx is considered the main source of photochemical smog that brings irritation of eyes, breathing difficulties and headaches, and also harms crops. The successive greenhouse warming leads to rising sea level and flooding as a result of backing up of rivers.

It is anticipated that emission regulations will be more restricted in the upcoming period, with roughly 80% drop from present levels in the next years [1]. Consequently, it is tremendously essential to find out and advance updated combustion methods. Understanding the turbulence-chemistry of combustion modeling assists in the enhancement of the processes which leads to lowest pollutants emitted. CFD) simulation of turbulent combustion is a crucial tool in design enhancement of burners and combustor performance.
Many combustion models and computational tools have been developed to predict emissions and combustion structure, one of which is flamelet that can have a great computational potential to efficiently predict turbulent combustion and emission characteristics [2,3]. Steady flamelet approach can be able to model local chemical nonequilibrium as a result of aerodynamic straining caused by turbulence field however, idle kinetic species, NOx, which doesn't interact rapidly as a result of turbulent straining should be simulated by unsteady flamelet approach, as their evolution relies on the development of molecular mixing and reactions [4]. Sanders et al. [5] carried out a laminar flamelet approach for turbulent flame and evaluated NOx by calculating mass fraction transport-equation for NO. In this technique, chemical scales were decoupled, while solving the transport equation for NO was basically performed with frozen chemistry. Kim et al. [6] adopted unsteady flamelet and Conditional Moment Closure (CMC) approaches to predict NOx in turbulent diffusion jet and recirculating flames. Other work was done by [7] to study NO produced from Hydrogen/air flame using steady and unsteady flamelet approaches to conclude out that steady approach did not give accurate results for NO concentration, whereas, unsteady flamelet modeling obtained accurate results of NO. Lysenko et al. [8] validated the results of Eddy Dissipation Concept approach against experimental data and remarked important enhancement for almost scalars under EDC-based scheme.

As mentioned earlier, the aerodynamic straining imposed by turbulence field strongly affects predicting NOx reduction. Therefore, adopting a powerful turbulence model is of great significance in estimating NOx characteristics which were done carefully in the present study. However, many numerical studies use RANS, but the intelligence of researchers arises in treating the direct coupling between turbulent mixing and relevant chemistry of NOx. Many studies in the literature have presented that LES can accurately predict turbulent combustion characteristics of the swirling flow [9–12]. Authors of [13] predicted NO generation in addition consumption for turbulent oxy-combustion using LES scheme [13]. Though, the concentrations of various products haven’t been examined against experimental results.

With utilizing air staging, it was observed that (CHi) radicals in rich condition locations have a great impact in a considerable decrease of NOx concentration [14]. Though, commercial codes don’t estimate CHi accurately, specifically with the LES model. Since LES is time-consuming and its computational cost is higher than that of RANS, the detailed kinetics mechanisms are unaffordable for industrial uses, whereas smooth models relied on mixture fraction or chemical equilibrium are extensively used [15–17]. It is useless to exactly evaluate the intermediate species like CHi with the simple chemical kinetics models. Consequently, the simulation of NOx characteristics is not accurate in such applications. A precise empirical NOx scheme with robust turbulence model is required to obtain accurate predictions for industrial uses.

Forgoing studies were performed to develop various techniques to reduce emissions (NOx and CO). GE accomplished investigations on the improvement of its newest combustor which is employed by AFS technique [18]. Siemens replaced the idea of a “non-premixed pilot nozzle” with a recent scheme based on “premixed pilot nozzle”, this scheme is updated to efficiently reduce NOx characteristics either in low or high-temperature gases [19]. MHPS used V-nozzle type which enhances the mixing strength between air-fuel to keep low-NOx emission characteristics [20]. (AEV) Advanced Environmental Burner, is introduced by Alstom that is based on staging fuel injection and capable to reduce NOx [21].

Other investigations aim to enhance emission management techniques that utilize catalytic honeycombs to promote the breaking up of NOx into free Oxygen and Nitrogen. A lot of works have been assigned to the enhancement of SCR “Selective Catalytic Reactors” efficiency [22–24] while others [25, 26] are focusing on the operational techniques to increase the durability and accessibility of such systems. Dilution techniques either by water and steam [27–29], nitrogen [30,31], or carbon dioxide [32,33] to reduce thermal NOx were applied. Exhaust Gas Recirculation (EGR) technique is investigated in [34–36], which returns a percentage of exhaust gas with inlet mixtures. The three methods mentioned earlier should be enhanced and developed with considering all factors that are in charge of stable performance for the combustion system. Chul et al. [37] investigate NOx reduction based on
combustion tuning methodologies optimized by either by F/A ratio or “fuel split ratio”. Though, few works were performed as limitations of the adjustment spectrums of such controlling-emissions factors.

The present work examines various combustion and turbulence schemes to select the most accurate models that are capable to predict NO\textsubscript{x} and CO emissions and match the experimental measurements published in the literature. Consequently, a parametric analysis is conducted to report the effect of swirl ratio, excess air, diluting fuel with N\textsubscript{2} and CO\textsubscript{2}, oxidizer preheating, and oxidizer composition on emissions (NO\textsubscript{x} and CO) reduction strategy.

2. Mathematical modelling
The swirl burner studied in the present work is operated with propane fuel. The combustion chamber is configured as 1200 mm in height and 400 mm in diameter with a tapered end at exit having a diameter of 150 mm (see Fig. 1). The burner is assembled from inner fuel and outer air pipes having diameters of 30 and 100 mm respectively, with a vane swirl generator embedded in the annulus.

3. Governing Equations
The physical conservation equations of mass, momentum, energy, and species transport are described in its general form as:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \bar{\rho} \bar{U}_j \Phi + \bar{\rho} u_j \phi \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ \Gamma_{\phi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} \right] + \bar{\rho} S_\phi
\]

(1)

4. Turbulence Models
The present study examined 3 turbulence schemes, namely; “k-ε model, Realizable k-ε model and Reynolds Stress Model (RSM)”. Formulation of “turbulence kinetic energy, k” and its “dissipation rate, ε” equations can be expressed as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\bar{\rho} k) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\bar{\rho} k \bar{U}_j) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ \left( \mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_k} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} \right] + G_k + G_b - \bar{\rho} \bar{\epsilon} - Y_M + S_k
\]

(2)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\bar{\rho} \epsilon) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\bar{\rho} \epsilon \bar{U}_j) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ \left( \mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_\epsilon} \right) \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial x_j} \right] + C_{\mu} \frac{\epsilon}{k} (G_k + C_{\mu} G_b) - C_{\mu} \bar{\rho} \frac{\epsilon^2}{k} + S_\epsilon
\]

(3)

In Reynolds Stresses Model RSM, “Reynolds stresses, \( \bar{\rho} u_i u_j \)” and “scalar fluxes, \( -\bar{\rho} u_i \phi \)” are formulated as follows [38]:

---

Fig.1. combustion chamber and burner geometry
\[ -\rho_u \vec{u} \cdot \vec{u} = \mu_t \left( \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x_i} \right) - \frac{2}{3} \rho k \delta_{ij} \] (4)

\[ -\rho u_j \Phi = \Gamma_\Phi \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_j} \] (5)

where \( \mu_t \) is the turbulent viscosity and \( \Gamma_\Phi \) is equal to \( \mu_t / \sigma_\Phi \). The turbulent viscosity is modeled as

\[ \mu_t = c_\mu \rho f_\mu K^2 / \epsilon \] (6)

where \( c_\mu \), \( f_\mu \) and \( \sigma_\Phi \) are constants. The turbulent viscosity is thus obtained from the solution of the transport equations for \( k \) and \( \epsilon \).

5. Combustion Models

Combustion inside the chamber was carried out by using three different models, namely; “Eddy Dissipation model”, “PDF-based Equilibrium model” and “PDF-based Flamelet model”.

(a) Eddy Dissipation Model (EDM)

It assumes very fast reaction and its kinetics is only dominated by turbulent mixing. Reaction rate can be expressed as follows:

\[ \overline{R}_f = A \rho \left( \frac{d}{k} \right) \min \left( \overline{Y}_f, \frac{1}{\nu}, \frac{1}{1 + \nu}, B, \frac{1}{1 + \nu} \right) \] (7)

(b) PDF-based Equilibrium Model

EDM calculates the average values of scalar variables, where mean values \( \overline{G} \) could be expressed in equation (8) and \( f(\xi) \) is the probability density function

\[ \overline{G} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\xi) f(\xi) d\xi \] (8)

(c) PDF Flamelet Model

In Flamelet Approach, mapped equations of species and temperature in “mixture fraction, \( f \)” domain are expressed as follows:

\[ \rho \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \rho \frac{\partial^2 Y_i}{\partial f^2} + S_i \] (9)

\[ \rho \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \rho \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial f^2} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_f} \sum_i H_i S_i + \frac{1}{2 \epsilon_P} \rho \chi \left( \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial f} + \sum_i c_{pi} \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial f} \right) \frac{\partial T}{\partial f} \] (10)

where, \( f, c_{pi}, c_p, H_i \) and \( S_i \) are mixture fraction, the specific heat of component \( i \), mixture-average specific heat, specific enthalpy of component \( i \) and reaction rate of species \( i \), respectively.

“Scalar dissipation rate, \( \chi \)”, is termed in eq. (11) as follows;

\[ \chi(f) = \frac{c_s}{4 \pi} \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{\rho f}{\rho + 1} \right)^2 \exp \left( -2 \left( \frac{erfc^{-1}(2f)}{2} \right)^2 \right) \] (11)

“Probability Density Function, \( p(f) \)” can be written mathematically in eq. (12) as follows;

\[ p(f) \Delta f = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_i t_i \] (12)
(d) NOx Formation Model

The reduced reaction mechanism that formulates the production of NOx is expressed as [39]:

\[
\begin{align*}
O + N_2 & \leftrightarrow k_{-1}^{1} N + NO \\
O_2 + N & \leftrightarrow k_2^{2} O + NO
\end{align*}
\]

Conserving NO species is evaluated from

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\rho U_j Y_{NO}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ \Gamma_{NO} \frac{\partial Y_{NO}}{\partial x_j} \right] + S_{NO}
\]

(15)

“Source term of NO, \( S_{NO} \)” is calculated from eq. (16) & (17)

\[
\frac{d(NO)}{dt} = \frac{2 [O] [k_1 k_2 [O_2][N_2] - k_{-1} k_{-2} [NO]^2]}{k_2 [O_2] + k_{-1} [NO]}
\]

(16)

\[
S_{NO,thermal} = M_{NO} \frac{d(NO)}{dt}
\]

(17)

The specific reaction rate constants for the NOx model are obtained as [39]:

\[
\begin{align*}
 k_1 &= 1.8 \times 10^8 \exp(-38370/T) \\
 k_{-1} &= 3.8 \times 10^7 \exp(-425/T) \\
 k_2 &= 1.8 \times 10^4 T \exp(-4680/T) \\
 k_{-2} &= 3.8 \times 10^3 T \exp(-20820/T)
\end{align*}
\]

(18)

(19)

(20)

(21)

The turbulence manner causes time-based variations corresponding to concentrations and temperature that affects the reaction rates of kinetics mechanism. Then, using temporal averaging for concentrations and temperature to estimate NOx will produce considerable deviation in its prediction. In this study, PDF model will consider time-based variations corresponding to concentrations and temperature as a result of turbulence, hence accurate estimation of reaction rates and NOx concentration as well has been obtained.

6. Radiation Model

As mentioned above, the “P-1 radiation model” is adopted for the present case study to consider radiation resulted from the flame and to show its effect on NOx production. The “radiation flux, \( q_r \)” is expressed in eq. (22).

\[
q_r = - \frac{1}{3 (\alpha + \sigma_T) - C \sigma_T} \nabla G
\]

(22)

\[
\Gamma = \frac{1}{3 (\alpha + \sigma_T) - C \sigma_T}
\]

(23)

After defining \( \Gamma \) as the parametric term multiplied by \( \nabla G \), Eq. (22) could be reduced to

\[
q_r = - \Gamma \nabla G
\]

(24)

The transport form of \( G \) could be expressed as

\[
\nabla \cdot (\Gamma \nabla G) - \alpha G + 4 \pi n^2 q T^4 = S_G
\]

(25)
where "n, the refractive index of the medium", “σ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant” and “S₀ is a user-defined radiation” source. Gathering eq. (24) and (25) leads to:

$$- \nabla \cdot q_p = \alpha G - 4a n^2 \sigma T^4$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

The expression for $- \nabla \cdot q_p$ can be directly substituted into the energy equation to account for heat sources (or sinks) due to radiation.

7. Numerical Modelling

After performing grid sensitivity analysis between different numbers of cells, it has been observed that 45,000 cells have better results with low computational efforts needed. The axisymmetric assumption eases solving the present study by reducing 50% of the computational domain (see Fig.2). The numerical solution is based on steady state pressure based implicit 2-D swirl solver using 2nd order scheme. The convergence criteria for the iterative solution is enabled to be $10^{-6}$ for both radiation and energy equations however for the remaining equations, it is identified to be $10^{-4}$.

![Grid structure inside the solution domain.](image)

Fig.2. Grid structure inside the solution domain.

7.1. Evaluation of Turbulence Models

In order to select the best turbulence scheme which gives accurate results with appropriate computational efforts, a number of turbulence schemes was tested for various runs of non-reacting flow with severe swirling. The present test examines the validity of $k$-$\varepsilon$, realizable $k$-$\varepsilon$ and Reynolds stress (RSM) models where figure 3 compares the predicted axial velocities for the three tested turbulence schemes at different axial sections. At lower axial sections, there is a large contradiction between the profiles of axial velocities since $k$-$\varepsilon$ model obtains lower maximum axial velocity compared to realizable $k$-$\varepsilon$ and RSM. Moreover, the position of maximum axial velocity is located at higher radial distance for $k$-$\varepsilon$ model and at lower radial distance for realizable $k$-$\varepsilon$ but RSM located it between the extreme positions of $k$-$\varepsilon$ and realizable $k$-$\varepsilon$. Along higher axial sections, the swirling effect diminishes leading to great coincidence between the three profiles of axial velocities. The significance of the turbulence model rises
in its potential to perfectly predict the recirculation zones and vortices inside the swirling flow with reasonable computational efforts which leads us to choose RSM model among other turbulence models.

7.2. Evaluation of Combustion Models

In an analogous approach, three different combustion models, namely; “Eddy Dissipation” model, “Equilibrium PDF” model, and “Flamelet PDF” model are examined. The turbulence scheme adopted for this comparison is RSM as mentioned earlier. As depicted from figure 4, EDC model fails to predict the reverse flow structure resulted from induced recirculation of hot products which misleads the flame profile and gives high temperatures. On the contrary, the other two models of PDF give realistic values of temperature because of their great capabilities to predict the recirculation nature and involve more reactive species when compared to EDC model. Even with the great similarity between equilibrium PDF and Flamelet PDF in predicting the temperature contours but Flamelet PDF model is preferred for its potential to consider non-equilibrium variations in turbulent flames resulted from aerodynamic straining. Consequently, Flamelet PDF model is chosen to be the combustion model of the present case study.

![Fig. 3. Mean axial velocities at various positions above the burner (h) using the standard k-ε model, the realizable k-ε model and the RSM for cold flow.](image-url)
Fig. 4. Temperature contours inside the chamber; (a) Eddy Dissipation Concept (EDC) model; (b) Equilibrium PDF model; (c) Flamelet PDF model.

7.3. Validation with Experiments

NOx data for the present study which was done by using the grid of 45,000 cells, RSM as the turbulence model and Flamelet model as the combustion model, are compared with the experimental results of Øystein [40] for power range (80-240 kW).
8. Results
In this section, a parametric study demonstrating the effect of swirl ratio, excess air, diluting fuel with \( N_2 \) and \( CO_2 \), oxidizer preheating, and oxidizer composition on NO\( x \) and CO emissions are carried out and described. The influence of swirling degree on (NO\( x \) and CO) mole fractions and peak flame temperature at the combustion chamber exit is presented in figure 6.

![Comparison between predicted and measured concentrations of NOx](image)

**Fig. 5.** Comparison between predicted and measured concentrations of NOx

It is observed that NO\( x \) and CO mole fractions are reduced as the swirling degree increases, this is could be explained as a result of decreasing the peak flame temperature with rising the swirling degree. This outcome justifies the major impact of lowering flame temperature on reducing thermal NO\( x \) mechanism and CO dissociation. The peak flame temperature is lowered with rising the swirling degree because of entraining more amounts of the recirculated flue gases into the central toroidal recirculation zone CTRZ which inhibits the chemical reaction rates and reduces the flame temperature as well.

Figure 7 presents the variation of (NO\( x \) and CO) mole fractions and peak flame temperature with excess air factor (EAF) at the outlet section of the combustion chamber. As shown, NO\( x \) concentration increases by increasing EAF from 0% to 5%, although the peak flame temperatures are invariant in this range. This abnormal observation leads us to carry out a simulation of temperature contour along the whole chamber for the two cases of EAF as presented in figure 8. It is obviously clear that the flame surface region of 5% EAF is wider than that of the stoichiometric case (EAF = 0), which means that the hot surface areas at 5% EAF is larger than their counterparts at 0% EAF and that leads to generate more NO\( x \) at 5% EAF than at 0% EAF. While the CO emissions decrease through the same range of EAF (0% - 5%) due to the existence of a large amount of oxygen at higher EAF. Above 5% EAF, it is shown that both NO\( x \) and CO concentration decrease with increasing EAF because of continuous decrease of peak flame temperature for the same range of EAF.
Fig. 6. Effect of varying swirling degree on (a) NO$_x$ mole fraction, (b) CO mole fraction, and (c) peak flame temperature
Fig. 7. Effect of varying excess air factor (EAF) on (a) NO\textsubscript{x} mole fraction, (b) CO mole fraction, and (c) peak flame temperature.
Mixing the fuel with N\textsubscript{2} as a diluent (inert gas that does not contribute in the combustion reactions but behaves as a heat sink) lowers the peak flame temperature. Figure 9 shows the variation of (NO\textsubscript{x} and CO) emissions and peak flame temperature at different Diluent to Fuel Ratio (DFR) [mass basis]. It is observed that NO\textsubscript{x} and CO mole fractions decrease with the increase of DFR due to a decrease in the peak flame temperature with increasing DFR. As mentioned previously the thermal NO\textsubscript{x} mechanism and CO dissociation depend directly on the peak flame temperature. This reduction in the peak flame temperature is caused by increasing the amount of the diluent gas presented in the primary combustion chamber zone.
Fig. 9. Effect of varying diluent fuel ration (DFR) on (a) NO\textsubscript{x} mole fraction, (b) CO mole fraction, and (c) peak flame temperature.

Preheating of combustion air is one of the most popular uses of recovered heat from exhaust gases and due to its high efficiency and reduction in primary fuel use. The influence of changing the preheating temperature on (NO\textsubscript{x} and CO) emissions and peak flame temperature at outlet section are shown in figure 10. It is depicted that NO\textsubscript{x} and CO mole fractions increase with increasing the preheating temperature due to rising the peak flame temperature, where NO\textsubscript{x} emissions increase exponentially with preheating temperature increase.
Air (21% O\textsubscript{2} & 79% N\textsubscript{2}) is the main oxidizer used in many combustion applications. In the present investigation, different percentages of oxygen and nitrogen have been composed to study its constituent variation on NO\textsubscript{x} and CO mole fractions. As presented in figure 11, NO\textsubscript{x} emissions rise 8 times of its value with increasing the oxygen composition in the main oxidizer from 21% to 80%. This is justified with the increase of peak flame temperature with increasing oxygen concentration. It is also noticed that, NO\textsubscript{x} concentration sharply decays after reaching its peak value at Oxygen concentration of 80%, since there is an insufficient amount of nitrogen in the oxidizer to form NO\textsubscript{x}. In the other side, CO emissions continuously increase with oxygen concentration increase because of the noticeable rise in the peak flame temperature as illustrated in figure 11.
9. Conclusions
The present work tested different combustion and turbulence models to select the appropriate models that are capable to accurately predict emission characteristics issued from combustion chamber. The analysis showed that the combination of RSM turbulence model with PDF-flamelet combustion model is the best choice that shows a great agreement with experimental data. The effect of varying swirl ratio, excess air, diluting fuel with N₂ and CO₂, oxidizer preheating, and oxidizer composition on emissions (NOₓ and CO) reduction strategy concluded that increasing the swirl number, excess air factor, and (N₂ and CO₂) mixing ratios have a positive effect on NOₓ and CO emissions reduction. While increasing the preheating temperature, and the oxidizer Oxygen concentration leads to an increase the NOₓ and CO emissions.
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