Case Report

“Central Road” cystoscopic finding: The road to worsened incontinence following laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy
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Introduction: This paper presents the “Central Road” cystoscopic finding accompanied by magnified mixed urinary incontinence following laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy.

Case presentation: A 70-year-old female experienced severe mixed urinary incontinence upon completing laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy. The cystoscopy showed a cord-like appearance in the center of the bladder trigon and posterior wall. Videourodynamics confirmed stress urinary incontinence, and chain cystourethrography indicated that the proximal urethra was open and the posterior vesicourethral angle was atypically widened. After implanting a midurethral sling, mixed urinary incontinence was cured subjectively and objectively without medication.

Conclusion: The “Central Road” cystoscopic finding can be a signpost pointing to laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy mesh overtensioning, which can cause dekinking of the bladder neck, exacerbate stress urinary incontinence, and possibly lead to stress-induced instability. A midurethral sling successfully relieved mixed urinary incontinence in this case, but it might be necessary to loosen the laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy mesh in some other cases.
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Keynote message

In 2011, the FDA released an alert about mesh complications.1 Following the release, TVM decreased or was banned, then replaced by other prolapse surgeries including LSC in the United States and Europe. In Asian countries, although TVM remains as a leading surgical option due to relatively low complication rates, more doctors have introduced LSC, especially to younger or sexually active women.2 As the number of LSC is increasing, problems related with LSC are beginning to be uncovered. This paper presents a “Central Road” cystoscopic finding caused by overtensioning of the LSC mesh in a female who developed severe MUI after LSC.

Case presentation

A 70-year-old woman underwent LSC due to POP-Q stage III cystocele. Following subtotal hysterectomy, mesh was fixed to the anterior and posterior vaginal walls, and the uterine cervix was fixed to the sacrum with mesh. As the preoperative cough stress test during prolapse reduction was negative, concomitant anti-incontinence surgery was not performed.

Although MUI only occurred occasionally before LSC, it severely worsened directly after LSC (SUI 5–6 times and UUI 1–2 times a day). She had urinary incontinence while sneezing, coughing, carrying her grandchildren, and during physical exercise such as brisk walking and jumping. With successive coughs, massive incontinence occurred with urinary urgency. It was necessary for her to change 80cc urinary pads four times a day.
The patient and her family were informed beforehand of the risk of urinary incontinence worsening after prolapse surgery. However, they became quite distressed at the extent of the worsened incontinence. Anticholinergics decreased the amount of UUI but not SUI. During a cystoscopy, there was no mesh exposure or tumor, but an unusual cord-like appearance was revealed in the center of the bladder trigon and posterior wall resembling a “Central Road” (Fig. 1). A stress test proved leakage accompanied by coughing and straining, and this leakage was prevented by supporting the paraurethral tissue with two fingers (Bonny test). A 1-h pad test resulted in a leakage of 44 g/h. Uroflowmetry was normal (maximum flow rate 24 mL/s, voided volume 491 mL, and residual volume 22 mL). Videourodynamics verified SUI without detrusor overactivity under anticholinergic medication. Chain cystourethrography showed that the bladder neck was open, with the posterior vesicourethral angle widened atypically (Fig. 2).

Following LSC, the patient received a midurethral sling procedure (transobturator tape) 18 months later. Intraoperative cough stress tests were used to adjust the tape tension. During 20 months of follow-ups, both SUI and UUI were completely cured without medication, and urinary pads were not needed. Cough stress tests and 1-h pad tests results were negative. Videourodynamics did not show urodynamically proven SUI or detrusor overactivity.

Discussion

To the extent of our knowledge, this report is the first to mention an unusual cystoscopic finding of a “Central Road,” a cord-like appearance in the center of the bladder trigon and posterior wall, in a woman with deteriorating incontinence following LSC. Although a cystoscopy is not regarded as a mandatory examination to evaluate urinary incontinence, it is helpful to exclude tumors, stones, and especially mesh exposure in patients with a past history of urogynecological surgery using mesh. In this case, we found no mesh exposure but a “Central Road” cystoscopic finding, which can be an indication of mesh overtensioning.

Sacrococcyx, suspension of the vaginal walls to the sacrum’s anterior longitudinal ligament using synthetic mesh, is a durable surgical alternative to treat POP. In the past, open surgery (abdominal sacrocolpopexy) was regarded as a gold standard. Now, LSC including robot-assisted LSC is becoming more popular due to its low invasiveness. After the 2011 FDA alert regarding mesh complications following TVM, a significantly greater number of surgeons have begun to use LSC. Surgeons should be aware of LSC complications as they occur more often in the beginning of the learning curve.

All kinds of prolapse surgeries are known to worsen or cause de novo SUI by eliminating obstructions, but there are also iatrogenic factors including surgical techniques. It has been a topic of discussion among professionals that excessive tension in a prolapse repair leads to the opening of the bladder neck and worsened urinary incontinence. LeClaire et al. reported that a larger decrease in point Aa was de novo SUI’s risk factor. Miwa et al. reported that the retrovaginal angle measured by transperitoneal ultrasound was significantly enlarged postoperatively in patients with worsened SUI.

In our case, a “Central Road” cystoscopic finding and cystourethrography indicated that the LSC mesh pulled the posterior vesical wall excessively in the direction of the sacrum. Many surgeons prefer to dissect the vaginal walls as distally as possible and fix them with mesh to achieve full suspension of the vaginal walls. However, overtensioning of such LSC mesh toward the sacrum can cause excessive straightening (dekinking) of the bladder neck and proximal urethra, thus worsening SUI and possibly UUI (stress-induced instability).
There are three ways to restore continence in such cases: (i) midurethral sling procedures, (ii) laparoscopic loosening of the LSC mesh, and (iii) both. A favorable Bonny test in our case suggested that a midurethral sling would correct excessive straightening of the bladder neck and urethra caused by the LSC mesh. As a midurethral sling is a less invasive procedure than loosening LSC mesh, a midurethral sling could proceed laparoscopic loosening.

**Conclusions**

Overtensioning of the LSC mesh resulting in a “Central Road” cystoscopic finding can lead to dekinking of the bladder neck and the urethra and intensify urinary incontinence. Thus, it is imperative that we pay attention to the mesh tension adjustment during LSC.
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