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ABSTRACT

Objectives Children’s exposure to racism via caregiver experience (vicarious racism) is associated with poorer health and development. However, the relationship with child healthcare utilisation is unknown. We aimed to investigate (1) the prevalence of vicarious racism by child ethnicity; (2) the association between caregiver experiences of racism and child healthcare utilisation; and (3) the contribution of caregiver socioeconomic position and psychological distress to this association.

Design Cross-sectional analysis of two instances of the New Zealand Health Survey (2006/2007: n=4535 child–primary caregiver dyads; 2011/2012: n=4420 dyads).

Main outcome measures Children’s unmet need for healthcare, reporting no usual medical centre and caregiver-reported dissatisfaction with their child’s medical centre.

Results The prevalence of reporting ‘any’ experience of racism was higher among caregivers of indigenous Māori and Asian children (30.0% for both groups in 2006/2007) compared with European/Other children (14.4% in 2006/2007). Vicarious racism was independently associated with unmet need for child’s healthcare (OR=2.30, 95% CI 1.65 to 3.20) and dissatisfaction with their child’s medical centre (OR=2.00, 95% CI 1.26 to 3.16). Importantly, there was a dose–response relationship between the number of reported experiences of racism and child healthcare utilisation (eg, unmet need: 1 report of racism, OR=1.89, 95% CI 1.34 to 2.67; 2+ reports of racism, OR=3.06, 95% CI 1.27 to 7.37). Adjustment for caregiver psychological distress attenuated the association between caregiver experiences of racism and child healthcare utilisation.

Conclusions Vicarious racism is a serious health problem in New Zealand disproportionately affecting Māori and Asian children and significantly impacting children’s healthcare utilisation. Tackling racism may be an important means of improving inequities in child healthcare utilisation.

INTRODUCTION

Access to high-quality healthcare is enshrined in the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child and is associated with better health outcomes for children. However, racial/ethnic and socioeconomic inequities in healthcare utilisation (‘HCU’) exist,1 even in settings of universal healthcare such as Australia and Canada.2 New Zealand (total population: ~4.8 million) currently offers publicly funded, free or low-cost primary care services for children under 13 years and delivery of some services by indigenous Māori and Pacific healthcare organisations.5 Despite this, Māori and Pacific children have higher unmet need for primary healthcare and are more likely to have unfilled prescriptions than children from Asian or European ethnic groups,6–8 suggesting factors other than cost and service delivery/location are involved.

Racism is internationally recognised as a pervasive social determinant of child health.9 10 Although direct forms of racism incur significant health risks for children, emergent evidence suggests that exposure to ‘vicarious’ racism, via parent/caregiver experience, can have detrimental consequences on child health. For example, in the UK Millennium Cohort Study, maternal experiences of racism were associated with greater socioemotional difficulties and poorer spatial ability among their children.11 12 Similarly, in a survey of Australian indigenous communities, caregiver experiences of racism increased the odds of children having at least two recent illnesses in the previous fortnight.13 In New Zealand, maternal experiences of racism in healthcare were associated with subsequent increased risk of infant hospitalisation for infectious diseases.14 Vicarious racism has been defined as ‘…hearing about or seeing another person’s experience of racism’.15 16 As well as carers or close family members experiencing discrimination that may or may not be witnessed by children and adolescents’ (p1672).17 Although the exact pathways through
which vicarious racism influences child health are not fully understood, a recent systematic review suggested that racism degrades family relationships and increases parenting stress, which in turn might influence parental practices and coping strategies. Vicarious racism could also influence child HCU given that parents/caregivers make the majority of healthcare decisions for their children. However, research on this relationship is scant, with none on indigenous children.

This study aimed to estimate the prevalence of vicarious racism for children (0–14 years), and to investigate the association between vicarious racism and measures of low child HCU. We also explored the contribution of two potential pathway variables in the relationship: socioeconomic position (SEP), given associations with racism and low HCU, and caregiver psychological distress, given associations between racism and poor mental health in adults and associations between caregiver mental health (eg, depression, parenting stress, anxiety) and low HCU in their children.

METHODS
We analysed cross-sectional data from the New Zealand Health Survey (NZHS), which used multistage, stratified, probability-proportional-to-size sampling design, with three steps used to achieve the area-based sample. Increased sampling of Māori, Pacific and Asian ethnic groups was undertaken to improve statistical precision of estimates for these groups. One adult (≥15 years, usually resident at that dwelling) and up to one child (0–14 years, usually resident at that dwelling at least 50% of the time) were selected from each household (full methodology reported elsewhere). We used data from the two most recent instances of the NZHS to include a racism module in the adult questionnaire (2006/2007 and 2011/2012).

Analytical sample restriction
Child questionnaires were completed by their primary caregiver; however, the adult respondent sampled from the house was not always the primary caregiver. For example, in 2006/2007, 35.2% of children did not have their primary caregiver included in the adult sample, which means there were no racism data for their caregiver, since proxy respondents did not complete the racism module. Consequently, this analysis was restricted to those children whose primary caregiver was also in the adult survey. As adult respondents were randomly selected within a household, those caregivers completing the adult survey should not systematically differ from those caregivers who did not complete the adult survey, which should prevent selection bias in the paired sample. The analysis included 4535 child–primary caregiver dyads in the 2006/2007 NZHS (92.2% of children matched to caregiver) and 4420 dyads in the 2011/2012 NZHS (97.0% of children matched).

Outcome variables
Three outcome measures were investigated. Having access to a usual healthcare provider was determined by asking if the child had a general practitioner (‘GP’) or medical centre they would usually go to if unwell (modelled outcome was ‘no’). Unmet need was measured by asking if there had been any time in the last 12 months when their child needed to see a GP but could not see one at all (modelled outcome was ‘yes’). Caregiver satisfaction with child’s usual medical centre was determined for those children who had visited their usual healthcare provider in the previous 12 months (n=3049 child–primary caregiver dyads, 2011/2012 NZHS only), with responses dichotomised as ‘very dissatisfied/dissatisfied/neither satisfied or dissatisfied’ (the modelled outcome) versus ‘satisfied/very satisfied’.

Exposure variables
Two measures of vicarious racism based on the caregiver’s experience were used (further detail on racism measures and coding can be found in online supplementary appendix A): any racial discrimination ever in a person’s lifetime (exposure defined as answering yes to any of the five questions in the racism module, regardless of time frame); and level of racial discrimination (count of yes responses across the racism module, regardless of time frame: no reports, 1 report or 2+ reports.

Covariates
Ethnicity was measured using the 2001 NZ Census ethnicity question, which allows respondents to self-identify with one or more ethnic groups. The question provides several ethnic group response options, an ‘Other’ category and a free-text field. The 2011/2012 NZHS data set provided ethnicity categories aggregated as Māori, Pacific, Asian and a European/Other grouping, which could not be disaggregated; therefore, we used the combined European/Other as a comparator group for both NZHS data sets for consistency. In the 2006/2007 NZHS data, the European/Other group was predominantly European (99%), with approximately 1% in the ‘Other’ category.

Caregiver gender (male vs female) and age group (25–44, 45–64, 65–74, ≥75 years vs 15–24 years) were included in all models. When the outcome of interest was ‘caregiver satisfaction with their child’s medical centre’, we also controlled for caregiver satisfaction with their own medical centre (very satisfied/dissatisfied/neither satisfied or dissatisfied vs satisfied/very satisfied).

Potential pathway variables
Caregiver SEP was measured using their highest educational qualifications (no qualifications vs at least secondary school qualifications) and neighbourhood deprivation (New Zealand Deprivation Index 2006 (NZDep06) quintiles: 1=least deprived and 5=most deprived). Caregiver mental health was measured using the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale, a 10-item scale that provides a global measure of psychological distress in the previous 4 weeks (K10, treated as a continuous variable).

Data analysis
Unadjusted prevalence estimates were calculated for outcomes and exposures, for the total Māori, Pacific and Asian groupings and the mutually exclusive European/Other grouping. Multivariable logistic regression models were used to investigate the independent association between caregiver experiences of racism and each child HCU measure, adjusted for caregiver ethnicity, gender, age group, SEP and satisfaction with usual medical centre (for models investigating child dissatisfaction with care). In multiple regression analyses, ethnicity was prioritised as Māori, Pacific, Asian and European/Other.

To investigate how caregiver SEP and psychological distress influenced the association between vicarious racism and child HCU, we built several models adding covariates sequentially as follows: caregiver racism measures (baseline model, M0); caregiver ethnicity, gender, age group (M1); caregiver dissatisfaction with care (M2a, where the outcome was satisfaction with child’s usual healthcare provider); caregiver SEP (M2b); and psychological distress (M3). The complex sample structure of the NZHS was handled in analysis by accounting for stratification, clusters...
| Caregiver ethnicity* | 2006/2007 (n=1769) | 2011/2012 (n=1558) | 2006/2007 (n=732) | 2011/2012 (n=715) | 2006/2007 (n=703) | 2011/2012 (n=427) | 2006/2007 (n=1619) | 2011/2012 (n=1986) |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Total                | 39.0                | 35.3                | 16.1                | 16.2                | 15.5                | 9.7                 | 35.7                | 44.9                |
| Caregiver gender     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| Female               | 47.4                | 49.0                | 45.4                | 48.4                | 48.4                | 46.1                | 47.3                | 47.7                |
| Male                 | 52.6                | 51.0                | 54.7                | 51.6                | 51.6                | 53.9                | 52.7                | 52.3                |
| Caregiver age group  |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| 0–4                  | 37.5                | 42.1                | 35.4                | 42.2                | 37.7                | 45.7                | 31.0                | 38.6                |
| 5–9                  | 31.2                | 26.6                | 31.7                | 28.4                | 28.6                | 27.2                | 28.9                | 29.2                |
| 10–14                | 31.3                | 31.3                | 30.2                | 29.4                | 33.7                | 27.2                | 40.2                | 32.2                |
| Caregiver age group  |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| 15–24                | 20.2                | 19.1                | 20.1                | 21.7                | 10.4                | 8.9                 | 9.8                 | 11.3                |
| 25–34                | 34.3                | 31.6                | 30.1                | 26.2                | 45.2                | 41.0                | 46.0                | 43.8                |
| 35–44                | 28.5                | 31.6                | 30.1                | 26.2                | 45.2                | 41.0                | 46.0                | 43.8                |
| 45–54                | 12.4                | 13.2                | 13.4                | 14.6                | 15.7                | 15.2                | 19.6                | 18.4                |
| 55–64                | 3.3                 | 4.8                 | 3.6                 | 5.7                 | 3.6                 | 3.6                 | 1.9                 | 2.6                 |
| 65–74                | 0.7                 | 1.0                 | 0.8                 | 1.3                 | 2.4                 | 1.3 (0.8 to 2.1)    | 0.8                 | 0.9                 |
| ≥75                  | 0.6                 | 0.5                 | 0.7                 | 0.1                 | 0.3                 | 1.2                 | 0.3                 | 0.4                 |
| Caregiver neighbourhood socioeconomic deprivation (NZDep06 index) |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| Quintile 1           | 8.3                 | 6.3                 | 5.9                 | 4.3                 | 14.4                | 13.6                | 26.3                | 23.3                |
| Quintile 2           | 11.1                | 7.9                 | 7.8                 | 6.3                 | 16.1                | 20.4                | 22.2                | 21.1                |
| Quintile 3           | 16.0                | 14.8                | 14.1                | 10.1                | 20.5                | 16.9                | 21.9                | 24.1                |
| Quintile 4           | 21.7                | 22.5                | 18.4                | 21.4                | 30.4                | 23.2                | 18.6                | 18.2                |
| Quintile 5           | 43.0                | 48.5                | 53.8                | 57.9                | 18.6                | 26.0                | 11.0                | 13.4                |
| Caregiver highest educational qualification |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| No secondary school  | 42.3                | 40.3                | 34.4                | 29.1                | 9.7                 | 9.7                 | 17.8                | 18.0                |
| Secondary school or  | 57.7                | 59.7                | 65.6                | 71.0                | 90.3                | 87.5                | 82.2                | 82.0                |

*Self-identified ethnicity data were classified as total Māori, total Pacific, total Asian and prioritised European/Other. The "total" ethnic categories allow for individuals to be counted once in each ethnic grouping they identify with. These categories, therefore, may overlap.

NZDep06, New Zealand Deprivation Index 2006; NZHS, New Zealand Health Survey.
and inverse sampling weights (using the child’s sampling weight in the NZHS data set).

Results from the two surveys were combined using random-effects meta-analysis. This calculated a pooled estimate for each parameter (ie, each log OR and associated SE), with random-effects weightings based on the inverse variance of the parameter estimates from each survey instance. The survey data sets were analysed using SAS V9.4; meta-analysis was conducted in R V3.2 (R Foundation, Vienna, Austria) using the ‘meta’ package. OR and 95% CI for the racism variables and each covariate included in the full models can be found in online supplementary tables.

RESULTS
Caregivers of Māori and Pacific children were younger, over-represented in the most deprived neighbourhoods (NZDep06 quintile 5) and more likely to report no secondary school qualifications compared with caregivers of European/Other children. Most primary caregivers were female (table 1). The prevalence of ‘any’ experience of racism was higher among caregivers of Māori (30.0% in 2006/2007; 26.7% in 2011/2012; table 2) and Asian children (30.0% in 2006/2007; 30.1% in 2011/2012) compared with those of European/Other children (14.4% in 2006/2007; 9.0% in 2011/2012). Caregivers of Māori and Asian children were also more likely to report two or more experiences of racism than caregivers of European/Other children (table 2).

figure 1 presents the findings from the models used to investigate the independent association between vicarious racism and child healthcare utilisation. Children of caregivers who reported ‘any’ racism had higher odds of unmet need for healthcare in the fully adjusted random-effects model (1 report of racism: pooled OR=2.30, 95% CI 1.65 to 3.12; 2+ reports of racism: pooled OR=3.06, 95% CI 1.27 to 7.37; panel B). There was no strong evidence of association between vicarious racism and having a usual healthcare provider.

Table 3 shows the impact of caregiver SEP and psychological distress on the association between vicarious racism and child HCU. Vicarious racism was strongly associated with unmet need for care in unadjusted (M0: OR=2.53, 95% CI 1.68 to 3.80) and ethnicity/gender/age-adjusted models (M1: OR=2.34, 95% CI 1.65 to 3.32). While adjustment for SEP had negligible impact on this relationship (M2b: OR=2.30, 95% CI 1.65 to 3.20), there was a substantial reduction in the association following adjustment for K10 (M3: OR=1.89, 95% CI 1.14 to 3.12). This pattern of greater relative attenuation of the OR after adjustment for K10 than for SEP was apparent for each HCU measure (table 3). Caregiver psychological distress also influenced the dose–response relationship between the ‘level’ of vicarious racism and child HCU (lower half of table 3).

DISCUSSION
The present study identified strong associations between caregiver experiences of racism and unmet need and caregiver-reported dissatisfaction with their child’s usual medical centre.

Vicarious racism was independently associated with child HCU in models that used the three-level racism variable (figure 1, upper two-thirds of panels A–C). For example, the odds of reporting dissatisfaction with their usual medical centre were OR=2.02 for children whose caregivers reported one racism experience (top third of panel B) and OR=1.90 for those reporting ≥2 experiences in fully adjusted models (middle third of panel B). Meta-analysis revealed a dose–response relationship between the ‘level’ of racism reported and child unmet need for healthcare in the fully adjusted random-effects model (1 report of racism: pooled OR=1.89, 95% CI 1.34 to 2.67; 2+ reports of racism: pooled OR=3.06, 95% CI 1.27 to 7.37; panel A). There was no strong evidence of association between vicarious racism and having a usual healthcare provider.

Table 2 Weighted prevalence of key exposure and outcome variables in the 2006/2007 and 2011/2012 New Zealand Health Survey, by child ethnicity*
These relationships were independent of caregiver ethnicity, age, gender and (in some models) dissatisfaction with their own medical centre. Furthermore, findings suggest that the association between vicarious racism and child HCU may be operating via higher levels of psychological distress among caregivers. This finding is important and aligns with evidence from longitudinal studies showing that caregiver mental health mediates the association between racism and child health outcomes.12 13

The dose–response relationship between the level of vicarious racism reported and child HCU is novel and should be understood with consideration of the patterning of racism in NZ society. Prior research has shown that Māori adults are almost

Figure 1  Forest plots with ORs and 95% CI of the association between caregiver experiences of racism and (panel A) unmet need for healthcare, (panel B) caregiver dissatisfaction with child’s usual medical centre and (panel C) reporting no usual healthcare centre. Models adjusted for caregiver ethnicity, gender, age, socioeconomic position and caregiver dissatisfaction with own care (panel B only). NZHS, New Zealand Health Survey.
10 times more likely than Europeans to report multiple types of racism.31 We extend this by documenting a higher prevalence of multiple types of racism among Māori caregivers than European/Others. Furthermore, we show that Māori and Asian children are more likely to be exposed to multiple types of racism than European/Other children. Together, evidence suggests that Māori children will be disproportionately affected by the relationships between vicarious racism and low HCU.

Emergent research suggests that caregiver mental health problems and parenting stress are associated with lower preventive care and higher emergency department utilisation among their children,22–24 with explanations including inability to seek needed healthcare and negative attitudes towards the healthcare system (eg,32). We question the utility of this view for informing caregiver satisfaction with own health service (M2a).

Table 3  Association between caregiver experiences of racism and child health service utilisation, with findings presented for unadjusted models (M0) to models that are adjusted for potential confounders and pathway variables

| Model for any racial discrimination (‘ever’) | Dissatisfied with child’s medical centre† | No usual healthcare provider | Unmet need for healthcare |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| M0: unadjusted                            | 2.48 (1.62 to 3.81)                    | 1.23 (0.81 to 1.90)         | 2.53 (1.68 to 3.80)       |
| M1: ethnicity, gender and age             | 2.39 (1.56 to 3.65)                    | 1.10 (0.71 to 1.72)         | 2.34 (1.65 to 3.32)       |
| M2a: caregiver satisfaction with own health service | 1.98 (1.25 to 3.14)                   | NA                          | NA                        |
| M2b: neighbourhood deprivation, highest educational qualification | 2.00 (1.26 to 3.16)                    | 1.11 (0.71 to 1.72)         | 2.30 (1.65 to 3.20)       |
| M3: psychological distress                | 1.76 (1.11 to 2.79)                    | 1.07 (0.67 to 1.71)         | 1.89 (1.14 to 3.12)       |

Model for level of racial discrimination

| Level | Dissatisfied with child’s medical centre† | No usual healthcare provider | Unmet need for healthcare |
|-------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| M0: unadjusted | 1 report 2.40 (1.53 to 3.76) | 1.18 (0.71 to 1.98)         | 2.07 (1.48 to 2.91)       |
| M1: ethnicity, gender and age | 2+ reports 2.60 (1.23 to 5.51) | 1.28 (0.61 to 2.67)         | 3.38 (1.22 to 9.35)       |
| M2a: caregiver satisfaction with own health service | 1 report 2.29 (1.42 to 3.68) | 1.08 (0.64 to 1.81)         | 1.93 (1.38 to 2.71)       |
| M2b: neighbourhood deprivation, highest educational qualification | 2+ reports 2.55 (1.26 to 5.17) | 1.15 (0.61 to 2.15)         | 3.09 (1.23 to 7.72)       |
| M3: psychological distress | 1 report 1.94 (1.14 to 3.30) | NA                          | NA                        |
|       | 2+ reports 2.00 (1.03 to 3.88) | NA                          | NA                        |

This variable was only available for the 2011/2012 New Zealand Health Survey; thus, meta-analytical techniques were not used. These models were additionally adjusted for caregiver satisfaction with own health service (M2a).

†Response options were categorised as ‘very dissatisfied/dissatisfied/neither satisfied or dissatisfied’ versus ‘very satisfied/satisfied’. NA, not applicable.
professionals in the UK showing this is well accepted and exhibits in positive behaviour change (by health professionals). Findings highlight a need to better identify and provide support for mental health issues among caregivers of children, and that this must work for minoritised ethnic groups who appear to be at higher risk.

Vicarious racism may be an important determinant of ethnic inequities in child HCU. That up to one-third of indigenous Māori children were exposed to vicarious racism is a significant breach of their indigenous rights to be free from discrimination. We challenge the view that low child HCU reflects poor parenting, and instead argue for interventions that target all forms of racism in society.
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