Gradient estimates and Liouville-type theorems for a weighted nonlinear elliptic equation
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Abstract
We consider gradient estimates for positive solutions to the following nonlinear elliptic equation on a smooth metric measure space \((M, g, e^{-f} \, dv)\):
\[
\Delta u + au \log u + bu = 0,
\]
where \(a, b\) are two real constants. When the \(\infty\)-Bakry–Émery Ricci curvature is bounded from below, we obtain a global gradient estimate which is not dependent on \(|\nabla f|\). In particular, we find that any bounded positive solution of the above equation must be constant under some suitable assumptions.
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1 Introduction
Let \((M, g)\) be an \(n\)-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold and \(f\) be a smooth function defined on \(M\). Then the triple \((M, g, e^{-f} \, dv)\) is called a smooth metric measure space, where \(dv\) denotes the volume element of the metric \(g\) and \(e^{-f} \, dv\) is called the weighted measure. On the smooth metric measure space \((M, g, e^{-f} \, dv)\), the \(m\)-Bakry–Émery Ricci curvature (see [1–3]) is defined by
\[
\text{Ric}^m_f = \text{Ric} + \nabla^2 f - \frac{1}{m-n} \, df \otimes df,
\]
where \(m \geq n\) is a constant, and \(m = n\) if and only if \(f\) is a constant. We define
\[
\text{Ric}_f = \text{Ric} + \nabla^2 f.
\]
Then \(\text{Ric}_f\) can be seen as the \(\infty\)-dimensional Bakry–Émery Ricci curvature. However, there are many differences between the \(m\)-Bakry–Émery Ricci curvature and the \(\infty\)-Bakry–Émery Ricci curvature. For example, there exist complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds which satisfy \(\text{Ric}_f = \lambda g\) for some positive constant \(\lambda\) (which is called the shrinking gradient Ricci soliton), but not for \(\text{Ric}^m_f = \lambda g\). We recall that the \(f\)-Laplacian \(\Delta_f\)
on \((M, g, e^{f} dv)\) is defined by

\[ \Delta f = \Delta - \nabla f \nabla. \]

Since we have the Bochner formula with respect to \(f\)-Laplacian:

\[ \frac{1}{2} \Delta f |\nabla u|^2 \geq \frac{1}{m} (\Delta f u)^2 + \nabla u \nabla (\Delta f u) + \text{Ric}_f^m(\nabla u, \nabla u), \]

which is similar to the Bochner formula associated with the Laplacian, many results with respect to the Laplacian have been generalized to those of the \(f\)-Laplacian under the \(m\)-dimensional Bakry–Émery Ricci curvature. For example, see [4–7] and the references therein. But for elliptic gradient estimates for \(f\)-Laplacian under the \(\infty\)-Bakry–Émery Ricci curvature, in order to using the weighted comparison theorem, the assumption \(|\nabla f| \leq \theta\) is forced commonly.

In this paper, under the assumption that the \(\infty\)-Bakry–Émery Ricci curvature is bounded from below, we consider the following nonlinear elliptic equation:

\[ \Delta f u + au \log u + bu = 0, \quad (1.3) \]

where \(a, b\) are two real constants. Inspired by the ideas of Brighton in [8], we can obtain global gradient estimates for positive solutions to (1.3) without any restriction on \(|\nabla f|\).

**Theorem 1.1** Let \((M, g, e^{f} dv)\) be an \(n\)-dimensional complete smooth metric measure space with \(\text{Ric}_f(B_p(2R)) \geq -(n - 1)K\), where \(K \geq 0\) is a constant. Suppose that \(u\) is a positive solution to (1.3) with \(u \leq A\) on \(B_p(2R)\). Then on \(B_p(R)\) with \(R > 1\), the following inequality holds:

\[ |\nabla u|^2 \leq CA^2 \left[ \max \left\{ \frac{4}{5} b + a \left( 1 + \frac{4}{5} L \right), 0 \right\} + K + \frac{|\beta| + 1}{R} \right], \quad (1.4) \]

where \(C\) is a positive constant which depends on the dimension \(n\), \(\beta = \max_{x \in \partial(B_p(x) \cap R)} \Delta f r(x)\) and

\[ L = \begin{cases} 
\sup_{B_p(2R)} (\log u), & \text{if } a \geq 0, \\
\inf_{B_p(2R)} (\log u), & \text{if } a < 0.
\end{cases} \quad (1.5) \]

Letting \(R \to \infty\) in (1.4), we obtain the following global estimates on complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds:

**Corollary 1.2** Let \((M, g, e^{f} dv)\) be an \(n\)-dimensional complete smooth metric measure space with \(\text{Ric}_f \geq -(n - 1)K\), where \(K \geq 0\) is a constant. If \(u\) is a positive solution to (1.3) with \(u \leq A\), then we have

\[ |\nabla u|^2 \leq CA^2 \left[ \max \left\{ \frac{4}{5} b + a \left( 1 + \frac{4}{5} L \right), 0 \right\} + K \right], \quad (1.6) \]
where

\[
L = \begin{cases} 
\sup_M (\log u), & \text{if } a \geq 0, \\
\inf_M (\log u), & \text{if } a < 0.
\end{cases}
\] (1.7)

Using the ideas of the proof of Theorem 1.1, by choosing \( \check{h} = \log u \) a gap develops between the constants, and we also establish the following.

**Theorem 1.3** Let \((M, g, e^{-f} \, dv)\) be an n-dimensional complete smooth metric measure space with \( \text{Ric}_f (B_p(2R)) \geq -(n-1)K \), where \( K \geq 0 \) is a constant. Suppose that \( u \) is a positive solution to (1.3) on \( B_p(R) \) with \( R > 1 \), the following inequality holds:

\[
|\nabla (\log u)|^2 \leq \frac{C_1(n, \delta, \beta)}{R} + C_2(n, \delta) \max\{a + (n-1)K, 0\},
\] (1.8)

where \( \beta = \max_{|x| = 1} |\Delta f(x) - f(r)| \).

Letting \( R \to \infty \) in (1.8), we obtain the following global estimates on complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds:

**Corollary 1.4** Let \((M, g, e^{-f} \, dv)\) be an n-dimensional complete smooth metric measure space with \( \text{Ric}_f \geq 0 \). Let \( u \) be a positive solution to (1.3). Then under the assumption of either (1) or (2) as in Theorem 1.3, we have

\[
|\nabla (\log u)|^2 \leq C(n, \delta) \max\{a + (n-1)K, 0\}.
\] (1.9)

Clearly, if either \( u \leq e^{-\left(5\frac{b}{a} + \frac{b}{2L}\right)} \) and \( a > 0 \), or \( u \geq e^{-\left(5\frac{b}{a} + \frac{b}{2L}\right)} \) and \( a < 0 \), then we have \( \frac{4}{5}b + a(1 + \frac{b}{2L}) \leq 0 \). This gives the following result.

**Corollary 1.5** Let \((M, g, e^{-f} \, dv)\) be an n-dimensional complete smooth metric measure space with \( \text{Ric}_f \geq 0 \).

1. There exists no bounded positive solution to (1.3) with \( a > 0 \) and \( u \leq e^{-\left(\frac{b}{2L} + \frac{b}{2}\right)} \);
2. If \( a < 0 \) and \( u \geq e^{-\left(\frac{b}{2L} + \frac{b}{2}\right)} \), then any bounded positive solution to (1.3) must be constant \( u = e^{-\frac{b}{2}} \).

**Remark 1.1** In particular, when \( a = 0 \), Eq. (1.3) becomes

\[
\Delta_f u + bu = 0
\] (1.10)

and (1.6) becomes

\[
|\nabla u|^2 \leq CA^2 \left[ \max\left\{\frac{4}{5}b, 0\right\} + K \right].
\] (1.11)
In this case, on a complete smooth metric measure space \((M, g, e^{-f} dv)\) with \(\text{Ric}_f \geq 0\), there exists no bounded positive solution to (1.10) with \(b < 0\). On the other hand, if \(a = b = 0\), our Theorem 1.1 becomes Theorem 1 of Brighton in [8].

Remark 1.2 It is easy to see from Corollary 1.4 that if \(u\) is a positive solution to (1.3) with \(a \leq -(n - 1)K\) satisfying either (1) or (2) in Theorem 1.3, then \(u = e^{-\frac{b}{a}}\) is a constant. In particular, if \(a = b = 0\), then our Theorem 1.3 becomes Theorem 3 of Brighton in [8].

Remark 1.3 Some related results for gradient estimates of positive solutions to
\[
\Delta_f u + au \log u = 0
\]
(1.12)
can be found in [9–11]. Moreover, Qian in [10] used a different method to derive similar estimates to (1.12) with constant \(f\). On the other hand, if we assume \(\text{Ric}_f \geq -(n - 1)K\) and \(|\nabla f| \leq \theta\), then from (1.1), we obtain
\[
\text{Ric}^m_f = \text{Ric}_f - \frac{1}{m-n} df \otimes df
\]
\[
\geq -(n-1)K + \frac{\theta^2}{(m-n)(n-1)} := -(n-1)\tilde{K}.
\]
Hence, Theorem 1.5 in [11] follows from Theorem 1.1 of [11] immediately. However, our estimates in this paper are not dependent on \(|\nabla f|\).

2 Proof of results
We firstly give the following lemma which plays an important role in the proof of main results.

Lemma 2.1 Let \(u\) be a positive solution to (1.3) with \(u \leq A\) and \(\text{Ric}_f \geq -(n - 1)K\) for some positive constant \(K\). Denote \(\bar{u} = u/A\) and \(h = \bar{u}^\epsilon\) for \(\epsilon \in (0,1)\). If there exists one positive constant \(\delta\) satisfying
\[
\frac{1}{n} + \frac{2(\epsilon - 1)}{n\epsilon \delta} \geq 0,
\]
then we have
\[
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_f |\nabla h|^2 \geq \left(\frac{(\epsilon - 1)^2}{n\epsilon^2} - \frac{\epsilon - 1}{n\epsilon} + \frac{2\delta(\epsilon - 1)}{n\epsilon} \right) \frac{|\nabla h|^4}{h^2} + \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla (|\nabla h|^2)
\]
\[
- \left[ a + \tilde{b} \epsilon + (n - 1)K + a\tilde{L} \right] |\nabla h|^2,
\]
(2.2)
where
\[
\tilde{L} = \begin{cases} 
\sup_M (\log h), & \text{if } a \geq 0, \\
\inf_M (\log h), & \text{if } a < 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Proof Under the scaling \(u \rightarrow \bar{u} = u/A\), it follows from (1.3) that \(\bar{u}\) satisfies
\[
\Delta_f \bar{u} + a\bar{u} \log \bar{u} + \tilde{b}\bar{u} = 0,
\]
(2.4)
where the constant $\tilde{b}$ is given by $\tilde{b} = b + a \log A$. Let $h = \tilde{u}^\epsilon$, where $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ is a constant to be determined. Then we have

$$\log h = \epsilon \log \tilde{u}. \quad (2.5)$$

Since $0 < \tilde{u} \leq 1$, we have $\log h \leq 0$ and

$$\Delta_f h = \Delta_f (\tilde{u}^\epsilon) = \epsilon (\epsilon - 1) \tilde{u}^{\epsilon - 2} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 + \epsilon \tilde{u}^{\epsilon - 1} \Delta_f \tilde{u}$$

$$= \epsilon (\epsilon - 1) \tilde{u}^{\epsilon - 2} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 - a \epsilon \tilde{u}^{\epsilon} \log \tilde{u} - \tilde{b} \epsilon \tilde{u}$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{h} - ah \log h - \tilde{b} \epsilon h, \quad (2.6)$$

which implies

$$\nabla h \nabla \Delta_f h = \nabla h \nabla \left( \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{h} - ah \log h - \tilde{b} \epsilon h \right)$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} \nabla h \nabla \left[ \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{h} \right] - a \nabla h \nabla (h \log h) - \tilde{b} \epsilon |\nabla h|^2$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} h \nabla (|\nabla h|^2) - \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} \frac{|\nabla h|^4}{h^2}$$

$$- ah \log h \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{h} - (a + \tilde{b} \epsilon) |\nabla h|^2. \quad (2.7)$$

Thus, under the assumption $\text{Ric}_f \geq -(n-1)K$, one has

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta_f |\nabla h|^2 = |\nabla^2 h|^2 + \nabla h \nabla \Delta_f h + \text{Ric}_f (\nabla h, \nabla h)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{n} (\Delta h)^2 + \nabla h \nabla \Delta_f h - (n-1)K |\nabla h|^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left( \left( \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{h} + \nabla f \nabla h - ah \log h - \tilde{b} \epsilon h \right)^2 + \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} \frac{|\nabla h|^4}{h^2} \right)$$

$$- \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} \frac{|\nabla h|^4}{h^2} - (ah \log h) \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{h} = [a + \tilde{b} \epsilon + (n-1)K] |\nabla h|^2$$

$$= \left( \frac{(\epsilon - 1)^2}{ne^2} - \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} \right) \frac{|\nabla h|^4}{h^2} + \frac{2(\epsilon - 1)}{ne} \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{h} (\nabla f \nabla h - ah \log h - \tilde{b} \epsilon h)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n} \nabla f \nabla h - ah \log h - \tilde{b} \epsilon h)^2 + \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{h}$$

$$- [a + \tilde{b} \epsilon + (n-1)K + a \log h] |\nabla h|^2. \quad (2.8)$$

For any fixed point $p$, if there exists a positive constant $\delta$ such that $\nabla f \nabla h - ah \log h - \tilde{b} \epsilon h \leq \delta \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{h}$, then from (2.8), we can deduce

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta_f |\nabla h|^2 \geq \left( \frac{(\epsilon - 1)^2}{ne^2} - \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} \right) \frac{|\nabla h|^4}{h^2} + \frac{2(\epsilon - 1)}{ne} \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{h} \left( \delta \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{h} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n} \nabla f \nabla h - ah \log h - \tilde{b} \epsilon h)^2 + \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{h}$$

$$- [a + \tilde{b} \epsilon + (n-1)K + a \log h] |\nabla h|^2.$$
\[
- \left[a + b\epsilon + (n-1)K + a\log h\right] |\nabla h|^2 \\
\geq \left(\frac{(\epsilon - 1)^2}{ne^2} - \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} + \frac{2\delta(\epsilon - 1)}{ne}\right) |\nabla h|^4 + 2\frac{(\epsilon - 1)}{n}\nabla h + \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\eps} \nabla (|\nabla h|^2) \\
- \left[a + b\epsilon + (n-1)K + a\tilde{K}\right] |\nabla h|^2.
\] (2.9)

On the contrary, if \(\nabla f \nabla h - ah \log h - b\epsilon h \geq 4\frac{|\nabla h|^2}{h}\) at the point \(p\), then from (2.8), we can deduce

\[
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_j |\nabla h|^2 \geq \left[\frac{(\epsilon - 1)^2}{ne^2} - \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} + \frac{2\delta(\epsilon - 1)}{ne}\right] |\nabla h|^4 + 2\frac{(\epsilon - 1)}{n}\nabla h + \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\eps} \nabla (|\nabla h|^2) \\
- \left[a + b\epsilon + (n-1)K + a\log h - b\epsilon h\right] |\nabla h|^2 \\
= \left(\frac{(\epsilon - 1)^2}{ne^2} - \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{2(\epsilon - 1)}{ne}\delta\right) |\nabla h|^4 + \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\eps} \nabla (|\nabla h|^2) \\
- \left[a + b\epsilon + (n-1)K + a\log h\right] |\nabla h|^2 \\
\geq \left[\frac{(\epsilon - 1)^2}{ne^2} - \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} + \frac{2\delta(\epsilon - 1)}{ne}\right] |\nabla h|^4 + 2\frac{(\epsilon - 1)}{n}\nabla h + \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\eps} \nabla (|\nabla h|^2) \\
- \left[a + b\epsilon + (n-1)K + a\tilde{K}\right] |\nabla h|^2
\] (2.10)

as long as (2.1) holds.

Therefore, in these two cases the estimate (2.2) holds, which finishes the proof of the Lemma 2.1. \(\square\)

### 2.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In order to obtain the upper bound of \(|\nabla h|\) by using the maximum principle for (2.2), we need to choose \(\epsilon, \delta\) such that the coefficient of \(\frac{|\nabla h|^4}{h^2}\) in (2.2) is positive. That is, we need

\[
\frac{(\epsilon - 1)^2}{ne^2} - \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} + \frac{2\delta(\epsilon - 1)}{ne} > 0.
\] (2.11)

In particular, by choosing \(\epsilon = \frac{4}{5}\) and letting \(\delta \to \frac{1}{7}\), we find that the inequality (2.1) holds and (2.2) becomes

\[
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_j |\nabla h|^2 \geq 4\frac{n - 3}{16n} \frac{|\nabla h|^4}{h^2} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla (|\nabla h|^2) \\
- \left[a + b\epsilon + (n-1)K + a\tilde{L}\right] |\nabla h|^2.
\] (2.12)
As in [8], we define a cut-off function \( \psi \in C^2([0, +\infty)) \) by

\[
\psi(t) = \begin{cases} 
1, & t \in [0, R]; \\
0, & t \in [2R, +\infty], 
\end{cases}
\]  

(2.13)

satisfying \( \psi(t) \in [0, 1] \) and

\[
-C \leq \frac{\psi'(t)}{\sqrt{\psi}} \leq 0, \quad |\psi''(t)| \leq C, 
\]

(2.14)

where \( C \) is a positive constant. Let

\[
\phi = \psi(d(x, p)).
\]

Using Eq. (2.19) in [8] (see Eq. (4.5) in [5] or [12, Theorem 3.1]), we obtain

\[
\Delta_f \phi \geq -\frac{C \beta}{R} - \frac{C(n - 1)K(2R - 1)}{R} - \frac{C}{R^2} 
\]

(2.15)

and

\[
\frac{|\nabla \phi|^2}{\phi} \leq \frac{C}{R^2}. 
\]

(2.16)

Denote by \( B_p(R) \) the geodesic ball centered at \( p \) with radius \( R \). Let \( G = \phi |\nabla h|^2 \). Assume \( G \) achieves its maximum at the point \( x_0 \in B_p(2R) \) and assume \( G(x_0) > 0 \) (otherwise the proof is trivial). Then, at the point \( x_0 \),

\[
\Delta_f G \leq 0, \quad \nabla(|\nabla h|^2) = -\frac{|\nabla h|^2}{\phi} \nabla \phi 
\]

and

\[
0 \geq \Delta_f G 
\]

\[
= \phi \Delta_f (|\nabla h|^2) + |\nabla h|^2 \Delta_f \phi + 2 \nabla \phi \nabla |\nabla h|^2 
\]

\[
= \phi \Delta_f (|\nabla h|^2) + \frac{\Delta_f \phi}{\phi} G - 2 \frac{|\nabla \phi|^2}{\phi^2} G 
\]

\[
\geq \frac{\Delta_f \phi}{\phi} G - 2 \frac{|\nabla \phi|^2}{\phi^2} G + 2 \phi \left[ 4n - 3 \frac{|\nabla h|^4}{16n} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\nabla |\nabla h|^2}{h} \right] 
\]

\[
- \left[ a + \tilde{b} \epsilon + (n - 1)K + a \tilde{L} \right] |\nabla h|^2 
\]

\[
= \frac{\Delta_f \phi}{\phi} G - 2 \frac{|\nabla \phi|^2}{\phi^2} G + \frac{4n - 3}{8n} \frac{G^2}{\phi h^2} + \frac{G}{2 \tilde{\phi}} \nabla \phi \nabla h 
\]

\[
- 2 \left[ a + \tilde{b} \epsilon + (n - 1)K + a \tilde{L} \right] G, 
\]

(2.17)
where in the second inequality, we used (2.12). Multiplying both sides of (2.17) by $\phi G^2$, we obtain

$$
\frac{4n - 3}{8n} G \leq -\frac{1}{2} \nabla \phi \frac{\nabla h}{h} + 2[a + \tilde{b} \epsilon + (n - 1)K + aL] \phi - \Delta \phi \phi + 2 |\nabla \phi|^2 \phi.
$$

(2.18)

Substituting the Cauchy inequality

$$
-\frac{1}{2} \nabla \phi \frac{\nabla h}{h} \leq \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi| h \leq \frac{n}{4n - 3} |\nabla \phi|^2 \phi + 4n - 3 \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{16n h^2}
$$

into (2.18) gives

$$
\frac{4n - 3}{16n} G \leq 2[a + \tilde{b} \epsilon + (n - 1)K + aL] \phi - \Delta \phi \phi + \frac{9n - 6}{4n - 3} |\nabla \phi|^2 \phi
$$

$$
\leq 2[a + \tilde{b} \epsilon + (n - 1)K + aL] + \frac{C_1[(n - 1)K(2R - 1) + \beta]}{R} + \frac{C_2}{R^2},
$$

(2.19)

where $C_1$, $C_2$ are two positive constants depending on $n$. Hence, on $B_p(R)$ with $R > 1$, it follows from (2.19) that

$$
\frac{4n - 3}{16n} G(x) \leq \frac{4n - 3}{16n} G(x_0)
$$

$$
\leq h^2(x_0) \left[2[a + \tilde{b} \epsilon + (n - 1)K + aL] + \frac{C_1[(n - 1)K(2R - 1) + \beta]}{R} + \frac{C_2}{R^2} \right].
$$

(2.20)

In particular, the estimate (2.20) gives

$$
|\nabla u|^2 \leq CA^2 \left[\max \left\{ \frac{4}{5} b + a \left(1 + \frac{4}{5} L \right), 0 \right\} + K + \frac{|\beta| + 1}{R} \right],
$$

(2.21)

which finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We define $\tilde{h} = \log u$. Then we have

$$
\Delta \tilde{h} - \nabla f \nabla \tilde{h} = \Delta \tilde{h}
$$

$$
= \frac{\Delta u}{u} - |\nabla (\log u)|^2
$$

$$
= -|\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 - a\tilde{h} - b,
$$

(2.22)
where, in the last equality of (2.22), we used Eq. (1.3). Using the Bochner formula with respect to the $f$-Laplacian, we have
\[
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_f |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 = |\nabla^2 \tilde{h}|^2 + \nabla \tilde{h} \nabla \Delta_f \tilde{h} + \text{Ric}_f(\nabla \tilde{h}, \nabla \tilde{h}) \\
\geq \frac{1}{n} (\Delta \tilde{h})^2 + \nabla \tilde{h} \nabla \Delta_f \tilde{h} - (n - 1) K |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2.
\] (2.23)

Moreover, by virtue of (2.22), we have
\[
(\Delta \tilde{h})^2 = (|\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + \sqrt{f} \nabla \tilde{h} - a \tilde{h} - b)^2 \\
= |\nabla \tilde{h}|^4 - 2 |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 (\sqrt{f} \nabla \tilde{h} - a \tilde{h} - b) + (\sqrt{f} \nabla \tilde{h} - a \tilde{h} - b)^2.
\] (2.24)

If the assumption (1) holds, then (2.24) yields
\[
(\Delta \tilde{h})^2 \geq |\nabla \tilde{h}|^4 - 2 \delta |\nabla \tilde{h}|^4 + (\sqrt{f} \nabla \tilde{h} - a \tilde{h} - b)^2 \\
\geq (1 - 2 \delta) |\nabla \tilde{h}|^4.
\] (2.25)

On the other hand, if the assumption (2) holds, then (2.24) shows
\[
(\Delta \tilde{h})^2 \geq |\nabla \tilde{h}|^4 - (\sqrt{f} \nabla \tilde{h} - a \tilde{h} - b)^2 + (\sqrt{f} \nabla \tilde{h} - a \tilde{h} - b)^2 \\
= |\nabla \tilde{h}|^4 \\
\geq (1 - 2 \delta) |\nabla \tilde{h}|^4.
\] (2.26)

Therefore, in these two cases, we have
\[
(\Delta \tilde{h})^2 \geq (1 - 2 \delta) |\nabla \tilde{h}|^4,
\] (2.27)

and (2.23) gives
\[
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_f |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 \geq \frac{1 - 2 \delta}{n} |\nabla \tilde{h}|^4 - \nabla \tilde{h} \nabla (|\nabla \tilde{h}|^2) - [a + (n - 1) K] |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2.
\] (2.28)

Following the proof of Theorem 1.1 line by line, we obtain on $B_p(R)$ with $R > 1$,
\[
|\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 \leq \frac{C_1(n, \delta, \beta)}{R} + C_2(n, \delta) \max \{a + (n - 1) K, 0\},
\] (2.29)

where $\delta$ is taken to zero in the second assumption.

We completed the proof of Theorem 1.3.
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