Effects of a comprehensive cardiac rehabilitation program on quality of life in patients with coronary artery disease
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Health-related quality of life is an important factor to evaluate effects of different interventions in cardiovascular diseases. Improvement in quality of life (QOL) is an important goal for individuals participating in cardiac rehabilitation (CR) programs. The purpose of this study was to assess the impact of comprehensive CR on QOL in patients with cardiovascular disease (CAD).

METHODS: In this quasi-experimental before-after study, the files of 100 patients with CAD who were referred to rehabilitation department of Isfahan Cardiovascular Research Institute were studied using a consecutive sampling method. Data collection was performed from the patient's files including their demographics, ejection fraction, functional capacity, and resting heart rate. All patients participated in a comprehensive CR program and completed the validated questionnaire Short-Form 36 Health Status Survey (SF-36), before and after CR program. Data was analyzed based on sex and age groups (≥ 65 and < 65 years) using independent t-test and paired t-test (to compare variables between groups and before and after CR, respectively).

RESULTS: After CR, scores of all physical domains of the SF-36 including physical function (PF), physical limitation (PL), body pain (BP) and vitality (V) in addition to general health (GH) were significantly improved in all patients (P < 0.05) compared to the baseline. Patients with age < 65 years had greater improvements in mental health (MH) and social function (SF) than patients with age ≥ 65 years (P < 0.05). Women had greater improvement in PF, V and MH compared to men (P < 0.05).

CONCLUSION: These results indicated that CR can improve QOL in cardiac patients especially in women. Elderly patients get benefit the same as other patients in physical domains.
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more disability, so their cardiovascular status improves more than other patients after CR.\textsuperscript{14,15}

In Iran, there are several studies which have shown improvement of cardiovascular and psychological status of cardiac patients after CR,\textsuperscript{16-22} but there are little studies about influence of CR on improving QOL.\textsuperscript{23,24} Although a few studies have shown that home exercise and walking program improve QOL in cardiac patients, there is not enough studies on influence of comprehensive CR on QOL. In this study we investigated the impact of 8 weeks comprehensive CR on QOL in cardiac patients.

### Materials and Methods

In this semi-experimental before-after study, according to the formula \( N = \frac{2(Z_a + Z\beta)^2 \cdot S^2}{\delta^2} \); and \( z = 95\% \), \( \beta = 20\% \), \( d = 0.16 \), and \( S = 0.4 \), a sample size of 98 subjects were calculated. We evaluated the files of 100 cardiac patients who were referred to Isfahan Cardiovascular Research Institute in 2008-2010 using consecutive convenience sampling method. We included patients with history of MI, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA), coronary artery bypass graft (CABG), and CAD. If the files were not complete in terms of duration of cardiac rehabilitation course and any other measurements [ejection fraction (EF), functional capacity, resting heart rate, QOL, and signed consent form], patients were excluded from the study.

Data collection included demographics, past disease history, clinical examination, medications and cardiac history. All patients had participated in an 8 weeks comprehensive CR program. They also received a step II of cardiac diet by a nutritionist. To evaluate the risk of cardiac disease and to determine the exercise intensity, they performed a symptom limited exercise test using a treadmill (Track Master made in US) by Naughton protocol without stopping medication.\textsuperscript{25} All patients took angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and beta-blockers. To evaluate EF, echocardiography was performed by a cardiologist. According to American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation (AACVPR) 1999 guideline for cardiac rehabilitation,\textsuperscript{26} risk of cardiac disease was determined. The intensity of exercise was based on 60-80\% of maximum heart rate obtained from exercise test.\textsuperscript{27}

CR included 24 sessions (3/week) exercise training; each session consisted of 10-20 minutes warm-up, 20-40 minutes aerobic training using treadmill, arm ergometer and stationary bicycle, 10 minutes cool-down and 20 minutes relaxation as well as 8 education sessions (weekly) to modify CVD risk factors. CR program was supervised by a team (physician, cardiologist, trained nurse and physiotherapist) and high risk patients were monitored if it was necessary.

Persian version of validated questionnaire Short-Form 36 Health Status Survey (SF-36) was used by a trained person to evaluate QOL before and after CR.\textsuperscript{28,29} This questionnaire consisted of 2 sections, physical and psychological health. Physical section included 4 subsections: Physical function (PF), physical limitation (PL), body pain (BP) and vitality (V). Psychological health subsections included social function (SF), emotional limitation (EL), mental health (MH), and general health (GH). All questions were scored on a scale from 0 to 100, with 100 representing the highest level of possible functioning. Aggregate scores were compiled as a percentage of the total points possible, using the RAND-36 scores. The scores from those questions that addressed each specific area of functional health status were then averaged together, for a final score within each of the 8 dimensions measured.

Data distribution was normal. Therefore, to compare variables before and after CR, paired t-test was used and to compare variables between sex groups and age groups (< 65 years and ≥ 65 years), independent t-test was used. Data was analyzed by SPSS version 16 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL) at significant level of \( P < 0.05 \).

### Results

Data of 100 patients was evaluated. There were 31 females (mean age: 60.6 ± 10.9 years) and 69 males (mean age: 58.8 ± 10.8 years). The age groups included 36 patients with age of 65 years and more (mean age: 70.1 ± 4.5 years) and 64 patients with age less than 65 years (mean age: 53.3 ± 8.3 years). Table 1 shows the characteristics of the studied population. All patients showed improvement in PF (\( P = 0.002 \)), PL (\( P < 0.001 \)), V (\( P = 0.02 \)), BP (\( P = 0.009 \)) and GH (\( P = 0.009 \)) (Table 2). In terms of the sex groups, females improved in PF (\( P = 0.004 \)), V (\( P = 0.003 \)), and MH (\( P = 0.006 \)) subsections significantly more than males (Table 3). In age groups, patients with age less than 65 years had more improvements in MH (\( P = 0.02 \)) and SF (\( P = 0.002 \)) subsections than older patients (with age ≥ 65 years) (Table 4). Table 5 shows that exercise capacity, EF, and resting heart rate were improved in total population (\( P < 0.01 \)).
Table 1. Characteristics of studied population

|                        | Total (n = 100) | Male (n = 69) | Female (n = 31) |
|------------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| **Age (year)**         | 58.9 ± 11.0    | 58.4 ± 10.9   | 60.2 ± 11.3     |
| **Weight (kg)**        | 74.7 ± 12.1    | 76.3 ± 10.5   | 67.8 ± 13.4     |
| **Education (%)**      |                |               |                 |
| Less than diploma      | 41.4%          | 21.7%         | 86.70%          |
| Diploma                | 59.6%          | 44.9%         | 13.30%          |
| University             | 23.2%          | 33.0%         | 0.0%            |
| **Married**            | 96%            | 72.6%         | 24.4%           |
| **Disease**            |                |               |                 |
| CAD                    | 16.0%          | 22.2%         | 46.2%           |
| PTCA                   | 36.4%          | 63.9%         | 31.6%           |
| CABG                   | 45.5%          | 77.8%         | 22.2%           |
| MI                     | 4.0%           | 5.8%          | 0.0%            |
| **Risk of disease**    |                |               |                 |
| Low                    | 75.5%          | 68.9%         | 31.3%           |
| Intermediate           | 13.3%          | 69.2%         | 30.8%           |
| High                   | 11.2%          | 81.8%         | 18.2%           |
| < 65 years             | 64%            |               |                 |
| ≥ 65 years             | 36%            |               |                 |

PTCA: Percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty; CABG: Coronary artery bypass graft; CAD: Coronary artery disease; MI: Myocardial infarction

Table 2. Quality of life scores before and after cardiac rehabilitation program in total population

| SF-36 subscale      | Before Mean ± SD | After Mean ± SD | P     |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------|
| Physical function   | 61.05 ± 23.3     | 68.20 ± 22.3    | 0.002 |
| Physical limitation | 33.25 ± 39.1     | 53.25 ± 38.6    | < 0.001 |
| Emotional limitation| 55.67 ± 41.8     | 59.00 ± 42.7    | 0.480 |
| Vitality            | 55.15 ± 20.7     | 60.50 ± 33.2    | 0.020 |
| Mental health       | 65.28 ± 21.3     | 67.04 ± 20.1    | 0.340 |
| Social function     | 71.67 ± 22.2     | 72.67 ± 23.4    | 0.670 |
| Body pain           | 65.80 ± 22.7     | 72.38 ± 23.1    | 0.009 |
| General health      | 57.45 ± 18.3     | 61.92 ± 19.3    | 0.009 |

Table 3. Mean percent of changes in quality of life items in males and females

| SF-36 subscale      | Male Mean ± SD  | Female Mean ± SD | P     |
|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------|
| Physical function   | 9.62 ± 10.3     | 42.69 ± 10.1     | 0.004 |
| Physical limitation | 18.08 ± 25.7    | 61.58 ± 21.3     | 0.170 |
| Emotional limitation| 6.50 ± 3.6      | 8.73 ± 32.3      | 0.910 |
| Vitality            | 8.80 ± 25.8     | 45.62 ± 30.6     | 0.003 |
| Mental health       | 3.42 ± 18.0     | 42.89 ± 24.9     | 0.006 |
| Social function     | 7.46 ± 33.1     | 18.77 ± 32.7     | 0.330 |
| Body pain           | 19.02 ± 63.3    | 17.70 ± 44.7     | 0.910 |
| General health      | 18.24 ± 19.1    | 12.42 ± 19.5     | 0.580 |
Table 4. Comparison of mean percent of changes in quality of life items in patients aged more than 65 years and younger patients

| SF-36 subscale       | < 65 years (n = 64) Mean ± SD | ≥ 65 years (n = 36) Mean ± SD | P    |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------|
| Physical function    | 20.78 ± 27.6                  | 17.87 ± 24.2                  | 0.790|
| Physical limitation  | 41.66 ± 34.5                  | -2.60 ± 34.0                  | 0.080|
| Emotional limitation | 16.32 ± 34.1                  | -9.62 ± 33.7                  | 0.210|
| Vitality             | 25.78 ± 26.4                  | 10.31 ± 28.9                  | 0.200|
| Mental health        | 25.32 ± 21.8                  | -1.52 ± 18.2                  | 0.020|
| Social function      | 21.31 ± 24.1                  | -7.43 ± 44.5                  | 0.002|
| Body pain            | 21.06 ± 65.0                  | 14.17 ± 44.2                  | 0.530|
| General health       | 17.18 ± 18.4                  | 15.24 ± 21.6                  | 0.840|

Table 5. Exercise capacity, ejection fraction, and resting heart rate before and after cardiac rehabilitation

|                    | Before Mean ± SD | After Mean ± SD | P    |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|------|
| Exercise capacity  |                  |                 |      |
| Total              | 8.55 ± 2.8       | 10.81 ± 2.9     | < 0.001|
| Female             | 6.54 ± 2.1       | 8.36 ± 2.4      | < 0.001|
| Male               | 9.31 ± 2.6       | 11.74 ± 2.6     | < 0.001|
| Ejection fraction  |                  |                 |      |
| Total              | 51.06 ± 11.2     | 54.78 ± 10.0    | < 0.001|
| Female             | 53.62 ± 11.0     | 56.74 ± 9.9     | < 0.001|
| Male               | 50.03 ± 11.1     | 53.99 ± 10.0    | < 0.001|
| Resting heart rate |                  |                 |      |
| Total              | 81.10 ± 17.1     | 76.51 ± 14.3    | < 0.001|
| Female             | 87.78 ± 15.9     | 79.74 ± 11.8    | 0.010|
| Male               | 79.48 ± 17.4     | 75.16 ± 15.2    | < 0.001|

Discussion

In this study, QOL was significantly improved in subsections of PF, PL, V, BP, and GH after 8 weeks comprehensive CR. Females were improved more than males in PF, V, and MH subsections. Patients with age less than 65 years were improved more than older patients in MH and SF subsections. Some studies have shown that physical activity influence on QOL so that increasing physical activity improves QOL. On the other hand, increasing exercise capacity improves patients’ ability for daily living activities, work and leisure activities, which in turn results in improving QOL. In the present study, exercise capacity increased after CR significantly in total population and each sex group. Improving physical status of the patients also influences on their psychological condition and increases ability of return to work and participating in social activities as well as improving well being.

There are a few studies in Iran in this area which are different in their intervention and the studied populations. In a study by Abbasi et al. which evaluated the effect of walking program at home on quality of life and functional ability in patients with heart failure using Minnesota questionnaire. Mohammad and colleagues have shown the effects of home-based cardiac rehabilitation on quality of life in patients with myocardial infarction using MacNew questionnaire. Both studies compared QOL between case and control groups but at the present study, we compared age and sex groups after a comprehensive CR. Zwisler et al. showed that QOL were improved after CR but anxiety and depression did not significantly change after CR. The findings of our study were the same as the results of the study by Jegier in 2009 which in both study the duration was 8 weeks. Arrigo et al. have shown that a comprehensive CR improves QOL even one year after the program.

An investigation by Grace et al. on females showed that QOL and anxiety were improved after CR. Although we did not evaluate anxiety and depression, but SF-36 for QOL consists a subsection for mental health. A systematic review article in 2010 indicated that home-based CR and center-based CR both improve QOL. CR can decrease psychological stress of cardiovascular diseases and improve QOL in cardiac patients. Izawa et al. pointed out that 12 months CR improves physical index and QOL of cardiac patients. Mohammadi and colleagues studied impact of 3 months home-based CR on QOL in patients with MI. They reported that CR improved physical and mental aspects of QOL but did not change social aspect of QOL. The results of present study were the same as their findings. Some studies showed that patients with more complex psychological distress benefited from CR more than others. However, there were some investigations...
with different findings; in Serber et al. study, impact of CR on patients with severe psychological distress was more than others in physical, mental and social aspects of QOL, while Hevey et al. showed that QOL was related to primary level of psychological distress of the patients and CR could improve QOL and anxiety just in these group of patients.

The impact of CR was the same in both age groups in our study. In Marchionni et al. study, CR improved QOL in patients with 65 years or more as well as those with less than 65 years. At present study, most of patients participated in the program after CABG and PTCA but a few after MI (4%), while in study by Marchionni et al. all the patients had suffered from MI. Seki et al. showed that elder patients were improved more than others. In our study, QOL was improved in female more than males in mental health, vitality, and physical function, although their age was the same. It can be related to low level of their exercise capacity and QOL in the beginning of the study.

**Conclusion**

The results of our study showed that CR can improve QOL in PF, PI, BP and V after 8 weeks comprehensive CR. Because this study did not have control group, its results is not strong enough, however, because there are few studies in Iran about impact of CR on QOL in sex and age groups, its results are important. It is recommended to evaluate the impact of different models of CR in different population for example CABG, PTCA, heart failure, etc.
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