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Abstract

Background: Insecticide resistance carries the potential to undermine the efficacy of insecticide based malaria vector control strategies. Therefore, there is an urgent need for new insecticidal compounds. Black pepper (dried fruit from the vine, Piper nigrum), used as a food additive and spice, and its principal alkaloid piperine, have previously been shown to have larvicidal properties. The aim of this study was to investigate the larvicidal effects of ground black pepper and piperine against third and fourth instar Anopheles larvae drawn from several laboratory-reared insecticide resistant and susceptible strains of Anopheles arabiensis, An. coluzzii, An. gambiae, An. quadriannulatus and An. funestus.

Methods: Larvae were fed with mixtures of standard larval food and either ground black pepper or piperine in different proportions. Mortality was recorded 24 h after black pepper and 48 h after piperine were applied to the larval bowls.

Results: Black pepper and piperine mixtures caused high mortality in the An. gambiae complex strains, with black pepper proving significantly more toxic than piperine. The An. funestus strains were substantially less sensitive to black pepper and piperine which may reflect a marked difference in the feeding habits of this species compared to that of the Gambiae complex or a difference in food metabolism as a consequence of differences in breeding habitat between species.

Conclusions: Insecticide resistant and susceptible strains by species proved equally susceptible to black pepper and piperine. It is concluded that black pepper shows potential as a larvicide for the control of certain malaria vector species.
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Background

Malaria is responsible for high levels of morbidity and mortality globally, with particular severity in sub-Saharan Africa [1]. This region is home to several of the most efficient malaria vectors, the principal species being Anopheles gambiae, An. coluzzii (formerly An. gambiae M form [2]), An. arabiensis and An. funestus. The former three species are members of the Anopheles gambiae species complex and the latter is the nominal member and only major vector of the Anopheles funestus species group [3, 4].

Insecticide based vector control strategies primarily directed against adult mosquitoes have significantly decreased malaria incidence over the past decade, with indoor residual spraying of insecticides (IRS) and distribution of long-lasting insecticide-treated bednets (LLINs) being pivotal [1]. Additionally, and especially in light of the increasing incidence of insecticide resistance in target vector populations [5], larval source management (LSM) is playing an increasingly important role in vector control [6].
Larval source management as a vector control technique has dramatically decreased since the shift toward the use of synthetic mosquito adulticides, especially DDT and later pyrethroids – this despite distinct successes achieved by larviciding in the early-to-mid 1900s [7]. LSM is currently only recognized as supplementary to the core interventions of IRS and LLINs by the World Health Organization (WHO), being employed in only 38 of the 97 countries with ongoing malaria transmission [1]. Nevertheless, the WHO encourages the use of LSM where conditions are appropriate and it is feasible to do so [8] and recent evidence, though sparse, does suggest that larviciding may have a reinvigorated, valuable role to play in integrated vector management [7, 9, 10]. It is for these reasons that investigations into potential options for use in larviciding are ongoing.

A tendency towards naturally occurring insecticides, particularly those of botanical origin, has gained increasing interest in recent years. Plants have co-evolved with insects over many centuries, as have their defence mechanisms in response to insect predation. Plant allelochemicals can be very advantageous as insecticidal actives compared to their synthetic counterparts as they are biodegradable and in many instances show reduced or no adverse effects on non-target organisms [11].

*Piper nigrum* L. (the fruit of which are used to produce white and black pepper) has a plethora of traditional and modern day applications ranging from a US FDA recognized food additive to potential as an insecticide [12]. While assessing spreading agents for *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana* entomopathogenic fungal spores, Bukhari et al. [13] observed 100 % mortality of *Anopheles* larvae exposed to white pepper, even in the absence of the fungal spores. This has prompted an interest in the use of pepper as a possible larvicide for use in malaria vector control. In addition, piperine, which is the principle alkaloid responsible for the pungency of pepper, has demonstrated toxicity against fourth-instar larvae of the dengue and yellow fever vector *Aedes aegypti* [14, 15].

The aim of this study was to investigate the toxicity of *P. nigrum* (black pepper) and piperine when administered as a food source to larvae of several insecticide resistant and susceptible strains of *Anopheles* species including *An. arabiensis*, *An. coluzzii*, *An. gambiae*, *An. quadriannulatus* and *An. funestus*.

**Methods**

**Mosquito strains**

All of the mosquito strains used in this study are housed in the Botha De Meillon Insectary (BMDI) at the National Institute for Communicable Diseases (NICD) in Johannesburg. All larvae were reared and bioassays conducted under the standard insectary conditions [16]. Table 1 gives detailed information on each of the strains used.

**Technical materials**

Commercial ground black pepper was further homogenized in a Qiagen Tissue Lyser II, for 5 min, until a fine powdery consistency was achieved. Analytical grade piperine in powder form (expiry date: December 2016) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Saint Louis, MO). The technical materials were each proportionately mixed with standard larval food [16] to obtain treatment mixtures ranging from 0 % pepper/piperine (control) to 100 % pepper/piperine (Table 2).

**Larval toxicity bioassays**

Fifty third to fourth instar larvae from each *Anopheles* strain were gently introduced into plastic containers containing 500 ml distilled water (surface area = 150 mm × 215 mm). Fifty milligram (1 mg treatment mixture/larva) of each treatment mixture, in addition to a control composed entirely of standard larval food, was tapped gently into each container to allow for an even spread over the surface of the water. Mortality was recorded 24 h after the application of the black pepper mixtures and 48 h after the piperine mixtures (little or no mortality was observed following 24 h larval exposure to piperine). At the end of the period, larvae were gently prodded and non-responsive larvae were recorded as dead [17]. Bioassays for each treatment mixture were replicated three times per strain.

**Statistical analysis**

Mortality was corrected using Abbott’s formula in replicates where control mortality exceeded 10 %. One-way ANOVA and Tukey HSD post-hoc tests were used to determine (i) if the mortality in treated bioassays significantly differed from that of the controls and at which doses in particular; (ii) if there were significant differences in response between insecticide susceptible and resistant strains by species where pertinent; and (iii) if there were significant differences in response between species of the Gambiæae complex and Funestus group. For the latter, analysis excluded control mortalities from the data. A Student’s *t*-test was used to determine if there were significant differences in response between intoxication with black pepper and piperine. All statistics were conducted in IBM SPSS Statistics v22 with significance set at 95 % confidence.

**Results**

For this study “dose”, which is represented by a percentage, refers to the proportion (Table 2) of black pepper or piperine constituting 50 mg of treatment mixture administered to larvae.
Black pepper

Black pepper, when applied to the water, tended to spread over the surface quite evenly and effectively. Mortality was induced in all species and strains by all treatments containing pepper (Fig. 1a–d). In general, mortality increased with increasing proportions of pepper in the treatment mixture. Furthermore, the presence of pepper (even in low quantities) consistently induced significantly higher mortalities in the *An. gambiae* complex larvae than in *An. funestus* (Table 3). Dead *An. gambiae* complex larvae tended to cluster, pivoting around their tracheal gills (Fig. 2).

**Anopheles arabiensis**

The treatment dose of 100 % black pepper achieved upwards of 98 % mortality in all three of the *An. arabiensis* strains and all of the treatment doses induced significantly higher mortality than the control (0 % black pepper) for KGB (ANOVA: $P < 0.01; F = 211.51$ at $df = 17$), SENN (ANOVA: $P < 0.01; F = 62.05$ at $df = 17$) and SENN-DDT (ANOVA: $P < 0.01; F = 148.30$ at $df = 17$) (Fig. 1a). There were no significant differences in mean mortality between SENN-DDT and either KGB or SENN (Table 3).

**Anopheles gambiae and An. coluzzii**

With the exception of GAH exposed to 10 % black pepper, all of the treatment mixtures achieved significantly higher mortality against larvae of both GAH (*An. gambiae*) (ANOVA: $P < 0.01; F = 21.31$ at $df = 17$) and the *An. coluzzii* strains SILC (ANOVA: $P < 0.01; F = 86.25$ at $df = 17$) and SUA (ANOVA: $P < 0.01; F = 98.61$ at

### Table 2

| Black pepper/piperine (mg) | Standard larval food (mg) | Dosage of black pepper/piperine (%) |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0                         | 50                        | 0                                 |
| 5                         | 45                        | 10                                |
| 10                        | 40                        | 20                                |
| 20                        | 30                        | 40                                |
| 40                        | 10                        | 80                                |
| 50                        | 0                         | 100                               |

*SilC was used for black pepper bioassays but not for piperine bioassays as the insecticide resistance levels in the strain had significantly dropped during the period between the conducting of the two bioassays and it would not have been an accurate proxy by which to compare insecticide susceptible and resistant strains. SilC was replaced with the An. gambiae strain TONGS for the piperine bioassays.
When exposed to just the black pepper treatment (100 %), the mean mortalities of the respective strains each exceeded 95 %; even the lowest treatment dose (10 %), with the exception of GAH at this dose, showed upwards of 70 % mean mortality. There were no significant differences in the mean mortalities induced in GAH versus those of either SILC or SUA (Table 3).

Anopheles quadriannulatus

The SANGWE strain was particularly susceptible to black pepper. Significantly higher mean mortalities
were achieved in all pepper-inclusive treatments compared to the control, with approximately 90% mortality observed even at the lowest pepper dose (ANOVA: $P < 0.01; F = 145.06$ at $df = 17$) (Fig. 1c).

**Anopheles funestus**

Other than the mortality of FANG exposed to the 100% dose, which was less than in the 40 and 80% doses, mortalities among *An. funestus* larvae generally increased with increasing proportions of black pepper. Generally, ingestion of black pepper did not cause more than 50% mortality in either of the *An. funestus* strains. There was, however, significantly higher mortality among FANG larvae in the 40–100% treatment doses than in the control (ANOVA: $P < 0.01; F = 6.70$ at $df = 17$) (Fig. 1d). For FUMOZ-R, although one-way ANOVA indicated a significant difference in mortality between the doses ($P < 0.05; F = 3.51$ at $df = 17$), none of the treatment mortalities differed significantly from the control. This is likely due to the wide variation in response to pepper ingestion (Fig. 1d). While this suggests that FUMOZ-R is less susceptible to black pepper than FANG, this was found to be statistically insignificant (Table 3).

**Piperine**

Unlike black pepper, the powdered piperine did not spread effectively and if not scattered carefully over the surface area of water, it tended to clump. The larval clustering observed in the black pepper experiments did not occur in the piperine trials. All mortality results were recorded 48 h post-feeding with piperine (Fig. 3). The mortalities induced in SENN, SENN-DDT and GAH did not significantly differ from the mortalities in the *An. funestus* larvae, probably owing to high levels of variation around the means, whereas the mortalities in the other Gambiae complex strains were significantly higher than those recorded in the *An. funestus* strains (Table 4).

**Anopheles arabiensis**

All of the treatment mixtures including and exceeding 40% piperine induced significantly higher mortality than the control in KGB (ANOVA: $P < 0.01; F = 6.54$ at $df = 17$), SENN (ANOVA: $P < 0.01; F = 33.77$ at $df = 17$) and SENN-DDT larvae (ANOVA: $P < 0.01; F = 46.63$ at $df = 17$) (Fig. 3a). However, the significantly higher mortalities achieved ranged from approximately 20–95% among the strains; and while this was seemingly indicative of the strains having significantly different responses from each other, ANOVA showed otherwise for both KGB versus SENN-DDT and SENN versus SENN-DDT (Table 4).

**Anopheles gambiae and An. coluzzii**

In GAH, only the 80 and 100% doses induced significantly higher larval mortality than the control (One-way ANOVA: $P < 0.01; F = 7.95$ at $df = 17$) and in both TONGS (ANOVA: $P < 0.01; F = 40.05$ at $df = 17$) and SUA (ANOVA: $P < 0.01; F = 108.22$ at $df = 17$), all dosages greater than and equal to 40% piperine induced significantly higher mortalities than the control (Fig. 3b). There were no significant differences in

---

**Table 3** Tukey HSD post-hoc analysis of *Anopheles* larval mean mortalities 24 h post-exposure to black pepper (*P. nigrum*)

| Strain    | Mean mortality | Standard deviation |
|-----------|----------------|--------------------|
| 1 KGB     | 81.59**        | 24.35              |
| 2 SENN    | 84.54***       | 20.17              |
| 3 SENN-DDT| 92.75***       | 9.170              |
| 4 GAH     | 75.53**        | 27.38              |
| 5 SILC    | 86.18***       | 12.20              |
| 6 SUA     | 88.93**        | 10.47              |
| 7 SANGWE  | 94.30***       | 5.723              |
| 8 FANG    | 29.07*         | 20.78              |
| 9 FUMOZ-R | 15.77*         | 20.07              |

A one-way ANOVA ($P < 0.01; F = 38.11$ at $df = 134$), supplemented with a Tukey HSD post-hoc test, was used to determine significant differences in the overall mean mortalities induced between *Anopheles* strains indicated by superscript numbering at 95, 99 and 99.9% confidence

***$P < 0.001$
mortality induced by piperine between either GAH and TONGS or GAH and SUA (Table 4). An. quadriannulatus SANGWE larvae were more susceptible to the piperine than other An. gambiae complex strains (Table 4). Up to 100% mortality was induced in larvae exposed to 100% piperine and even 10% piperine induced more than 60% mortality. All of the piperine-inclusive doses induced significantly higher mortality than the control (ANOVA: \( P < 0.01; F = 292.01 \) at \( df = 17 \)) (Fig. 3c).

**Anopheles quadriannulatus**

SANGWE larvae were more susceptible to the piperine than other *An. gambiae* complex strains (Table 4). Up
Table 4: Tukey HSD post-hoc analysis of Anopheles larval mean mortalities 48 h post-exposure to piperine

| Strain  | Mean mortality | Standard deviation |
|---------|----------------|--------------------|
| 1 KGB   | 51.83          | 39.23              |
| 2 SENN  | 46.58          | 39.33              |
| 3 SENN-DDT | 26.05        | 23.61              |
| 4 GAH   | 35.29          | 29.44              |
| 5 TONGS | 55.43          | 33.49              |
| 6 SUA   | 47.89          | 34.65              |
| 7 SANGWE| 91.75          | 14.11              |
| 8 FANG  | 15.09          | 6.91               |
| 9 FUMOZ-R | 14.46        | 7.96               |

A one-way ANOVA (P < 0.01; F = 10.83 at df = 134), supplemented with a Tukey HSD post-hoc test, was used to determine significant differences in the overall mean mortalities induced between Anopheles strains indicated by superscript numbering at 95, 99 and 99.9 % confidence

*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001

Anopheles funestus

FANG larvae only showed significantly higher mortalities than that of the control in the 40 and 100 % piperine dosages (ANOVA: P < 0.01; F = 5.14 at df = 17). However, the highest mean mortality, which was induced by 100 % piperine, was only 22 %. The mortalities induced by piperine in FUMOZ-R larvae were significantly higher than that of the control in all dosages exceeding 10 % piperine (ANOVA: P < 0.01; F = 17.88 at df = 17) although the highest mean mortality achieved was low at only 24 % using 100 % piperine (Fig. 3d). There was no significant difference in the mortality induced by piperine between FANG and FUMOZ-R (Table 4).

Black pepper versus piperine

The SILC and TONGS strains were excluded from the statistical analyses comparing mortalities induced by black pepper versus piperine as neither were exposed to both. Mortality among the An. gambiae complex strains 24 h after being fed black pepper was significantly higher than that induced by piperine 48 h post-feeding (Student’s t-test: P < 0.01; t = 8.34 at df = 178). Although it appeared that black pepper induced higher mortality than piperine in the An. funestus strains as well, the difference is not statistically significant at 95 % confidence (Student’s t-test: P = 0.07; t = 1.87 at df = 58).

Discussion

Prior to this study, Anopheles larval toxicity caused by the ingestion of either black pepper or piperine had not been explicitly investigated. To date, the evaluations of the insecticidal activity of P. nigrum has been focussed mainly on the constituent alkaloids and their effects on a variety of insect species ranging from those of economic importance [18, 19] to disease vectors such as Aedes aegypti, An. stephensi and Culex quinquefasciatus [20, 21]. Of the compounds comprising P. nigrum, piperine is the most recognized and is abundant in fully differentiated shoots of the plant [22, 23].

Commercial, ground black pepper and piperine showed marked toxic effects against late instar larvae of all the An. gambiae complex strains tested. Piperine ingestion was evidently less toxic to Anopheles larvae than black pepper, but did elicit larval mortality over 48 h and may serve as a synergist amongst the various amides constituting black pepper. Black pepper and piperine induced mortality in insecticide susceptible and resistant An. gambiae complex strains with no statistically significant differences between them. This suggests that insecticide resistance mechanisms play no role in the detoxification of black pepper and piperine in these species.

The An. funestus strains, however, showed markedly lower sensitivities to black pepper and piperine. This may reflect differences in their feeding habits and metabolism. Alternatively, their larval rearing conditions, which partially reflect the characteristics of their natural breeding sites, may have influenced their relative sensitivities. This is because the FANG and FUMOZ-R An. funestus strains are reared in water containing algae obtained from a nearby fish pond whereas the An. gambiae complex larvae are reared in water containing no additives other than larval food. However, it is not clear as to how or why this may have affected their responses to black pepper and piperine. One possibility is that food sources in the algae reduced their propensity to feed on the pepper/piperine treatments, raising the question as to what effect competing multiple food sources would have on the efficacy of such treatments in natural breeding sites.

Larviciding is one of four types of larval source management strategies, the others being habitat modification, habitat manipulation and biological control. It is recommended mainly in areas where larval habitats are few, fixed and findable [6]. Where implemented, LSM serves as a useful supplementary vector control measure, targeting mosquitoes at a stage of their life-cycle where their mobility is limited to the body of water they inhabit. Currently employed larvicides must comply with stringent criteria established by the WHO Pesticide Evaluation Scheme (WHOPES). These include assessments of how hazardous they are to humans and the environment, their storage requirements and shelf life, the susceptibility of local vectors and the associated costs of utilizing them [6]. In terms of these standards, plant-derived products such as pepper and piperine may be particularly advantageous, depending on their efficacy and residual activity under field conditions. These data give...
no indication of the persistence of ground black pepper and piperine over time but it is envisaged that any pepper or piperine based control products would need to be formulated for increased persistence. Formulated products would also need to ensure adequate dispersal across a water surface, especially in the case of piperine which tended to clump.

A potential advantage of utilizing piperamides for larval control is that they act as neurotoxins, but in a manner distinct from pyrethroids, and so represent a novel mode of action [22], something urgently required for alternative methods of vector control [24]. They also have an inhibitory effect on enzymes and have shown synergistic effects when used in conjunction with pyrethrum [25]. They have low mammalian toxicity and are not environmentally persistent, degrading quickly under full sunlight [18, 26].

Conclusions

_Piper nigrum_ in the form of finely ground black pepper is highly toxic to members of the _An. gambiae_ species complex including _An. gambiense_ sensu stricto, _An. coluzzii_, _An. arabiensis_ and _An. quadriannulatus_. It appears markedly less toxic to _An. funestus_. Similarly, piperine, proved substantially more toxic to members of the _An. gambiae_ species complex than to _An. funestus_, although piperine on the whole was less toxic than black pepper across all species and strains tested. There were no differences in response between insecticide-resistant and their corresponding insecticide-susceptible strains by species to intoxication by either black pepper or piperine, suggesting that insecticide resistance mechanisms have no effect on their relative susceptibilities to these compounds/derivatives. An appropriately formulated black pepper or derivative product may have potential as a larvicide for malaria vector control in settings where larval source management adds benefit under the auspices of integrated vector control. Assessments of the other piperamides present in black pepper may provide further insights into the larvicidal effects of this plant and its derivatives.
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