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Abstract. In this note, we will illuminate some immediate consequences of work done by Reineke in [Algebr. Represent. Theory 16 (2013), no. 5. 1313–1314] that may prove to be useful in the study of elliptic curves. In particular, we will construct an isomorphism between the category of smooth projective curves with a category of quiver Grassmannians. We will use this to provide a 4-fold categorical equivalence between a category of quiver Grassmannians, smooth projective curves, compact Riemann surfaces, and fields of transcendence degree 1 over \( \mathbb{C} \). We finish with noting that the category of elliptic curves is isomorphic to a category of quiver Grassmannians, whence providing an analytic group structure to a class of quiver Grassmannians.

1. Introduction

It is well known that there is a three-fold equivalence between the categories of compact Riemann surfaces, fields of transcendence degree 1 over \( \mathbb{C} \), and smooth projective curves \([2]\) and \([10]\). A more recent development is the notion of quiver Grassmannians, first introduced by Schofield in \([9]\). Since their introduction, they have become a popular topic of research. It has been known that quiver Grassmannians are projective varieties, but just how much projective geometry is captured by quiver Grassmannians was unclear until the early 2010s. A famous result of Hille \([3]\), Huisgen-Zimmermann \([4]\), and Reineke \([5]\), is that all projective varieties can be realized as quiver Grassmannians for some wild acyclic quiver \( Q \). Actually, even more is true. Expanding on his work in \([6]\) in which he proved the result for a generalized Kronecker quiver, Ringel showed in \([8]\) the incredible result that given any wild quiver \( Q \), we can realize all projective varieties as the quiver Grassmannian of a suitable \( Q \)-representation. It may be interesting to ask, is there a “best” quiver with which to study projective varieties, and if not, which quivers are “better” in which circumstances? Another natural question to ask is, can we restrict the quiver \( Q \) and still get a similar result? Ringel showed in \([7]\) that the answer to this question is partially yes. Namely, Ringel showed that for a (controlled) wild algebra, any projective variety can be realized as an Auslander variety, but not necessarily as a quiver Grassmannian.

In this note, we will use the construction given by Reineke in \([5]\) to define a functor from the category of smooth projective curves to a subcategory of quiver Grassmannians. We will show that this functor is an isomorphism of categories, which will ultimately yield a four-fold categorical equivalence. We finish this note with some immediate consequences regarding elliptic curves.
To establish the equivalence, we will first recall some definitions.

2.1. Projective Varieties. Following [10], let \( k \) denote a perfect field. We begin by recalling that projective \( n \)-space over a field \( k \) is defined as \( \mathbb{P}^n_k = \mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{K}^{n+1}/\sim \), where \( (z_0, \ldots, z_n) \sim (z'_0, \ldots, z'_n) \) if and only if there exists \( \lambda \in \mathbb{K}^* \) such that \( (\lambda z_0, \ldots, \lambda z_n) = (z'_0, \ldots, z'_n) \) and \( \mathbb{K} \) denotes the algebraic closure of \( k \). Denote by \( [z_0, \ldots, z_n] \) the class of \( (z_0, \ldots, z_n) \) under the aforementioned quotient map. Let \( R = \mathbb{K}[x_0, \ldots, x_n] \) be the polynomial ring in \( n + 1 \) variables over \( \mathbb{K} \). A polynomial \( P \in R \) is homogeneous of degree \( d \) if \( P(\lambda x) = \lambda^d P(x) \) for all \( \lambda \in \mathbb{K}^* \). An ideal \( I \subset R \) is homogeneous if \( I \) is generated by homogeneous polynomials. A projective algebraic set is some subset of \( \mathbb{P}^n \) of the form \( V(I) = \{ [x_0, \ldots, x_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n : P(x) = 0 \text{ for all homogeneous } P \in I \subset R \} \), where \( I \) is a homogeneous ideal.

A projective algebraic variety is \( V(I) \) for \( I \) a prime homogeneous ideal of \( R \).

We define the field of rational functions of \( \mathbb{P}^N \) by \( \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{P}^N) = \{ \frac{f}{g} \} \), where \( f, g \in R, \ g \neq 0 \) and both \( f \) and \( g \) are homogeneous of the same degree. The field of rational functions of a projective variety \( V \subset \mathbb{P}^N \) is defined as \( \mathbb{K}(V) = \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{P}^N) \), where \( \frac{f_1}{g_1} \sim \frac{f_2}{g_2} \) if and only if \( f_1 g_2 = f_2 g_1 \in I(V) \), where \( I(V) = \{ \text{homogeneous } P \in R : P(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{K}^{n+1} \} \). We define a rational map between a projective variety \( V \subset \mathbb{P}^N \) and \( \mathbb{P}^M \) as the data of \( M + 1 \) elements of \( \mathbb{K}(V) \). A rational map \( V \to V' \subset \mathbb{P}^M \) is a rational map \( V \to \mathbb{P}^M \) such that \( [f_0, \ldots, f_M](x) \in V' \) for all \( x \in V \) for which \( [f_0, \ldots, f_M](x) \) is defined. A rational map between varieties is called a morphism if it is defined everywhere.

The dimension of a projective variety is the transcendence degree of \( \mathbb{K}(V) \) over \( \mathbb{K} \). Projective varieties \( V \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \) of dimension one are called projective curves. A projective variety is called nonsingular, or smooth, if the dimension of its tangent space equals its dimension at every point. For more on projective algebraic geometry, see [10] and [2]. The following 3-fold categorical equivalence is well known.

**Theorem 2.1.** The following three categories are equivalent:

1. Compact connected Riemann Surfaces with holomorphic maps.
2. Field extensions of transcendence degree one over \( C \) with field morphisms.
3. Smooth projective curves in \( \mathbb{P}^2_C \) with morphisms of varieties. \( \square \)

2.2. Quiver Grassmannians. A quiver \( Q \) is a directed graph. More formally, it is a 4-tuple \( Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t) \), where \( Q_0 \) is the set of vertices, \( Q_1 \) is the set of arrows, and \( s \) and \( t \) are maps that assign to each vertex a starting and terminal point respectively. For a field \( \mathbb{K} \) that is usually taken to be algebraically closed but need not be, a representation \( V \) of a quiver \( Q \) is an assignment of a \( \mathbb{K} \)-vector space \( V_i \) for each \( i \in Q_0 \) and a vector space morphism \( \phi_\alpha : V_i \to V_j \) for each \( \alpha \in Q_1 \) such that \( s(\alpha) = i \) and \( t(\alpha) = j \). A subrepresentation \( M = (M_i, \psi_\alpha) \) of a representation \( V = (V_i, \phi_\alpha) \) is a representation of \( Q \) such that \( M_i \subset V_i \) is a subvector space for all vertices \( i \), \( \psi_\alpha \) is the restriction of \( \phi_\alpha \) to \( M_{s(\alpha)} \), and \( \psi_\alpha(M_i) \subset M_j \) for all arrows \( \alpha : i \to j \in Q_1 \). In other words, a subrepresentation is a collection of subspaces that are compatible with the morphisms defining the parent representation. The dimension vector of a representation is \( \text{dim}V = \)
A quiver $Q$

A subrepresentation $M$ of $V$ with $\text{dim}(M) = (0, 1, 1)$

A representation $V$ of $Q$ with $\text{dim}(V) = (1, 10, 6)$

Figure 1. The quiver key to Theorem 2.2

The 4-fold equivalence

Theorem 2.2 relies on the $d$-uple Veronese embedding. We will use essentially the same idea to create a category of quiver Grassmannians equivalent to the third category listed in Theorem 2.1.

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}_k^2$ be a smooth projective curve. Thus $X$ is defined as the vanishing locus of a homogeneous polynomial $P$ of degree $d$ in three variables. Let $\nu_d : \mathbb{P}_k^2 \to \mathbb{P}_{d^2+1-1}$ denote the $d$-uple Veronese embedding, which is an isomorphism onto its image since $k$ is a field of characteristic 0. Then by Reineke’s result, Theorem 2.2, $\nu_d(X) = \text{Gr}_{(0,1,1)}(V)$ for $V$ a representation of the quiver $Q$ in Figure 1 of dimension $(1, M, M')$, where $M = \binom{d+2}{2}$ and $M' = \binom{d+1}{2}$. On the top right of Figure 1 is an example of $\nu_3(X)$, where $\tilde{X}$ is a projective curve defined by the vanishing locus of a degree $d = 3$ polynomial in three variables.

The condition of being in the image of the $d$-uple Veronese embedding is encoded into an $M' \times 3$ matrix $A_d(x)$, whose $2 \times 2$ subminors vanish. In particular, let $M_{2,d}$ be the set of tuples $m = (m_0, m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ summing to $d$, so that $M$ is the cardinality of $M_{2,d}$, and $\nu_d$ maps homogeneous coordinates $[x_0, x_1, x_2]$ to $[\ldots, x_m^m, \ldots]_{m \in M_{2,d}}$. 

Theorem 2.2. Every projective variety is isomorphic to a quiver Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_e^Q(V)$ for an acyclic quiver $Q$ with at most three vertices, a Schurian representation $V$, and a thin dimension vector $e$; that is, $e_i \leq 1$ for all $i \in Q_0$. 

3. The 4-fold equivalence

We also have the following result of Hille, Huisgen-Zimmermann, and Reineke. The wording below is consistent with Reineke’s in [5]:

Theorem 2.2. Every projective variety is isomorphic to a quiver Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_e^Q(V)$ for an acyclic quiver $Q$ with at most three vertices, a Schurian representation $V$, and a thin dimension vector $e$; that is, $e_i \leq 1$ for all $i \in Q_0$. 

Given a quiver $Q$ and a representation $V$ of $Q$, the quiver Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_e^Q(V)$ is the set of subrepresentations of $V$ with dimension vector $e$. The subrepresentation $M$ in Figure 1 is an element of $\text{Gr}_{(0,1,1)}(V)$. It is well known that quiver Grassmannians are projective varieties. For more on quiver Grassmannians, see [1].
where \( x^m = x_0^m x_1 x_2^m \). We define the matrix \( A_d(x) \) with rows indexed by the \( n \in M_{2, d-1} \) and columns indexed by the \( i = 0, 1, 2 \) with the \( (2, i) \)-th entry being \( x_{n+i} \). Then \( x \in \nu_d(X) \) if and only if \( P(x) = 0 \) and \( A_d(x) \) has rank 1. We thus define \( V \) as in Figure 1 with \( f = \nu_d(P) \) and \( \varphi_i \) the \( i \)-th column of \( A_d(x) \). Then \( \nu_d(X) = \text{Gr}_{(0,1,1)}(V) \). For more on this construction, see [5].

Fix the quiver \( Q \) to be the one in Figure 1 and let \( d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \). Let \( V_d^{f} \) be a \( k \)-representation of \( Q \) such that \( \dim(V) = (1, M, M') \), \( \varphi_i \) is the \( i \)-th column of \( A_d(x) \), and the preimage of the linear map \( f \), denoted by \( \nu_d^{-1}(f) \), is irreducible as a homogeneous polynomial in \( k[x_0, x_1, x_2] \).

**Definition 3.1.** Define \( \mathcal{GR}_{(0,1,1)}(V) \) to be the category whose objects are \( \text{Gr}_{(0,1,1)}(V_d^{f}) \) for all \( d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) and any \( f \) such that \( \nu_d^{-1}(f) \) is irreducible, and whose morphisms are those of projective varieties. Let \( \mathcal{GR}_{(0,1,1)}^{\text{sm}}(V) \) be the full subcategory of \( \mathcal{GR}_{(0,1,1)}(V) \) who’s objects are smooth.

**Theorem 3.2.** The category of nonsingular projective curves in \( \mathbb{P}_k^2 \) with morphisms of varieties, \((\text{NPC})\) for short, is isomorphic to \( \mathcal{GR}_{(0,1,1)}^{\text{sm}}(V) \).

**Proof.** We begin by constructing a functor \( \nu : (\text{NPC}) \rightarrow \mathcal{GR}_{(0,1,1)}^{\text{sm}}(V) \). For \( X \) a nonsingular projective curve cut out by a homogeneous polynomial of degree \( d \), define \( \nu(X) := \nu_d(X) \). Then \( \nu(X) \) is nonsingular since \( X \) is and \( \nu(X) \cong X \). Moreover, \( \nu(X) = \text{Gr}_{(0,1,1)}(V_d^{f}) \) for \( f \) the homogeneous polynomial of degree \( d \) that cuts out \( X \) by Reineke’s result, Theorem 2.2. Thus \( \nu(X) \in \mathcal{GR}_{(0,1,1)}(V_d) \) and \( \nu \) is well defined on objects. Given a morphism \( \psi : X \rightarrow Y \) between two nonsingular projective curves cut out by homogeneous polynomials of degree \( d \) and \( d' \) respectively, define \( \nu(\psi) : \nu(X) \rightarrow \nu(Y) \) by \( \nu_d \circ \psi \circ \nu_d^{-1} \). Since \( \nu(\psi) \) is a morphism of varieties and \( \nu \) preserves the identity and composition, \( \nu \) defines a functor.

It is well known that the \( d \)-uple Veronese embedding is an isomorphism onto its image. Notice by construction, for any \( d \), the image of \( \nu_d \) restricted to projective curves cut out by a homogeneous polynomial of degree \( d \) is equal to the collection of \( \text{Gr}_{(0,1,1)}(V_d^{f}) \). This allows us to define \( \nu^{-1} : \mathcal{GR}_{(0,1,1)}^{\text{sm}}(V) \rightarrow (\text{NPC}) \) analogously to \( \nu \), and these two functors are inverse.

By taking \( k = \mathbb{C} \), an immediate consequence of Theorems 2.2 and 3.2 is the following 4-fold categorical equivalence.

**Corollary 3.3.** The following four categories are equivalent:

1. Compact connected Riemann Surfaces with holomorphic maps.
2. Field extensions of transcendence degree one over \( \mathbb{C} \) with field morphisms.
3. Smooth projective curves in \( \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}} \) with morphisms of varieties.
4. \( \mathcal{GR}_{(0,1,1)}^{\text{sm}}(V) \), where \( V \) is a \( \mathbb{C} \)-representation of \( Q \).

**Recall that, by definition, elliptic curves are nonsingular curves of genus one; however, every such curve can be written as the locus in \( \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}} \) of a cubic equation with the base point on the line at \( \infty \).** The next corollary follows from the fact that elliptic curves are the vanishing locus of an irreducible homogeneous polynomial of degree 3 and the fact that the functor \( \nu \) restricts to an isomorphism, namely \( \nu_3 \).

**Corollary 3.4.** The category of elliptic curves in \( \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}} \) with morphisms of varieties is equivalent to \( \mathcal{GR}_{(0,1,1)}^{\text{sm}}(V_3) \), the full subcategory of \( \mathcal{GR}_{(0,1,1)}^{\text{sm}}(V) \) whose objects are \( \text{Gr}_{(0,1,1)}^{(0,1,1)}(V_3^{f}) \) for \( f \) such that \( \nu_3^{-1}(f) \) is irreducible.
Remark 3.5. Since in Corollary 3.4 we do not take $k = \mathbb{C}$, this equivalence along with the linear-algebraic nature of representations of quivers may prove to be useful in the study of rational points of elliptic curves. Moreover, one may be able to use the moduli space of quiver Grassmannians to study that of elliptic curves and vice versa.

Corollary 3.4 also provides us with a way to remove the artificial imposition of smoothness in Definition 3.1. In determining smoothness of $\text{Gr}_{(0,1,1)}(V^f_3)$, it suffices to check the Jacobian criterion on the equations that cut out the quiver Grassmannian; however, after embedding into a higher-dimensional projective space there can be several of these equations and checking this criterion can quickly become computationally expensive. We do however have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.6.** Suppose the characteristic of $k$ is not 2 or 3, and consider a quiver Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{(0,1,1)}(V^f_3)$. Then $\text{Gr}_{(0,1,1)}(V^f_3)$ is smooth if and only if it is isomorphic to $\text{Gr}_{(0,1,1)}(V^\xi_3)$, where $\xi = x_7 - x_0 - ax_5 - bx_9$ and $4a^3 + 27b^2 \neq 0$.

**Proof.** By definition, a curve in $\mathbb{P}^2_k$ cut out by a degree 3 homogeneous polynomial is smooth if and only if it is an elliptic curve. In the case of elliptic curves however, it is known that each curve can be written in reduced Weierstrass form as $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$, when the field is not characteristic 2 or 3 [10]. Upon realizing this in homogeneous coordinates, we get the equation $y^2z - x^3 - axz^2 - bz^3 = 0$. To attain the corresponding quiver Grassmannian, we analyze the 3-uple Veronese embedding:

$$\nu_3(x, y, z) = (x^3, x^2y, xz, yx, y^2, xy, x^2z, xyz, xz^2, yz, z^3).$$

Relabeling the $\mathbb{P}^2_k$ coordinates as $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_9)$, any elliptic curve is the solution set to $x_7 - x_0 - ax_5 - bx_9 = 0$. Letting $\xi = x_7 - x_0 - ax_5 - bx_9$, the corresponding quiver Grassmannian is $\text{Gr}_{(0,1,1)}(V^\xi_3)$. Now by Corollary 3.4 we have that $\text{Gr}_{(0,1,1)}(V^\xi_3)$ is an object of $\text{Gr}^\text{sm}_{(0,1,1)}(V^f_3)$ if and only if $\xi$ is smooth, which occurs if and only if $4a^3 + 27b^2 \neq 0$ [10].

Using Corollary 3.4 we can also see that the objects of $\text{Gr}^\text{sm}_{(0,1,1)}(V^f_3)$ can be endowed with a commutative group structure inherited from that of elliptic curves. In the case $k = \mathbb{C}$, we can use Corollary 3.3 to further state that these quiver Grassmannians are also isomorphic to connected compact Riemann surfaces of genus 1, hence complex tori.

**Corollary 3.7.** Let $X$ be a connected compact Riemann surface. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $X$ has genus 1.
2. $X$ has a structure of an analytic group.
3. $X$ has a commutative analytic group structure.
4. $X \cong \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}w$
5. $X \cong C_F$, where $C_F$ is an elliptic curve.
6. $X \cong \text{Gr}^\text{sm}_{(0,1,1)}(V^f_3)$ for some $f$.
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