Lighting the way: Recent insights into the structure and regulation of phototropin blue light receptors
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The phototropins (photons) are light-activated kinases that are critical for plant physiology and the many diverse optogenetic tools that they have inspired. Phototropins combine two blue-light-sensing Light–Oxygen–Voltage (LOV) domains (LOV1 and LOV2) and a C-terminal serine/threonine kinase domain, using the LOV domains to control the catalytic activity of the kinase. While much is known about the structure and photochemistry of the light-perceiving LOV domains, particularly in how activation of the LOV2 domain triggers the unfolding of alpha helices that communicate the light signal to the kinase domain, many questions about phot structure and mechanism remain. Recent studies have made progress addressing these questions by utilizing small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) and other biophysical approaches to study multidomain photons from Chlamydomonas and Arabidopsis, leading to models where the domains have an extended linear arrangement, with the regulatory LOV2 domain contacting the kinase domain N-lobe. We discuss this and other advances that have improved structural and mechanistic understanding of phot regulation in this review, along with the challenges that will have to be overcome to obtain high-resolution structural information on these exciting photoreceptors. Such information will be essential to advancing fundamental understanding of plant physiology while enabling engineering efforts at both the whole plant and molecular levels.

The phototropin blue light receptors (photons) are unique proteins that have had an outsized impact in the radically different fields of plant physiology and protein engineering. In the former, they are key regulators of growth and photosynthetic competence in plants. Their structure, combining small light-perceiving domains with a catalytic output domain that they control, has also inspired creative applications of the phot light-sensing mechanism to artificially regulate unrelated proteins with blue light via the development of novel genetically encoded optogenetic tools (OTs) (1). Both of these large fields rely on and benefit from accurate information about phototropin regulation and structure: rationally modifying photons can both boost plant growth under low light, while the design and application of OTs rely on detailed knowledge of aspects of phot regulation by blue light.

Phototropins are present in both algae and plants (2). In algae, a single phot regulates photoprotection (3), eyespot formation (4), and reproduction (5). Due to gene duplication (2), higher plants have two phototropin isoforms, phot1 and phot2, which indirectly influence photosynthesis by altering leaf flatness (6, 7) and chloroplast positioning (6, 8), as well as controlling CO2 uptake through stomatal opening (9). Though phot function has diverged somewhat between these lineages, the underlying structure and activation mechanism are conserved (10, 11). The model algal phot from Chlamydomonas reinhardtii is somewhat more similar to higher plant phot2 isoforms than phot1, bearing 38% protein sequence identity with phot2 from the model flowering plant Arabidopsis thaliana versus 35% identity with A. thaliana phot1.

At a domain level, photons are composed of two light-perceiving Light, Oxygen, or Voltage-sensing (LOV) domains (named LOV1 and LOV2 (12)), followed by a serine-threonine kinase domain, which is responsible for propagating the light signal within the cell (12, 13) (Fig. 1). It is important to note that several classes of proteins with somewhat similar domain structures have been identified outside of photosynthetic organisms, but it is unlikely they are evolutionarily related to the photons. These include bacterial LOV-HK proteins with LOV domains coupled to histidine kinases (14, 15) and the fungal and mammalian PAS kinase, which utilizes two Per-ARNT-Sim (PAS) domains (which are a superfamily of environmental sensory domains that include LOV domains) to sense metabolic changes in place of the LOV domains present in photons (16). While these proteins all regulate kinase activity by environmental changes sensed by LOV or PAS domains, there are sufficient differences in structure, regulatory details, and origin that we strongly discourage referring to the latter two groups as “phototropin-like” proteins.

While the function of phot LOV1 domains remains somewhat unclear (see below), extensive biochemical and biophysical work shows that LOV2s repress kinase activity in darkness, which is released by the light-induced disordering of two alpha helices (“Aα” and “Jα”) that flank the LOV2 domain (17–20). This process triggers autophosphorylation of the kinase domain, which is the final step in potentiating phot
signaling (13). Notably, this light-induced protein unfolding event is not only the linchpin in initiating phot activity, but has also been exploited in the design of a collection of OTs, which regulate diverse cellular phenomena (1), including tracking the animal cardiac pacemaker (21), regulating cellular mechanosensing (22), and controlling neuronal networks (23). LOV2-based OTs, while extremely successful on many fronts, are still somewhat limited by the equilibrium between the dark and lit states: there is always residual activity in darkness, and the combination of thermal reversion and inefficiency in allostery ensures that some molecules spontaneously deactivate in light (18, 24). Improving OTs thus benefits from a detailed understanding of LOV2 light activation, particularly regarding how phot LOV2 domains interact with their adjacent Aα and Jα helices and how, in turn, these interact with the kinase domain.

While much progress has been made in understanding the photochemistry and early light-induced conformational changes of individual LOV domains, we still have an incomplete understanding of important aspects including structures of the full-length phot proteins and mechanisms linking LOV2 helix release to kinase activation. While recent low-resolution studies have made some inroads, extending these to high resolution has been complicated for us and others in the field by practical issues that likely stem from the multidomain/multilinker architecture of phots and the presence of long activation loops within phot kinase domains (e.g., Nakasako et al. (25)). In this review, we will highlight what is known about phot structure and activation, identify outstanding questions in the field, and consider the factors that presently challenge obtaining higher-resolution information on full-length phototropins.

**LOV domain structure and activation**

LOV domains are members of the PAS domain superfamily that specialize in blue light sensing (12, 26, 27). They share the canonical PAS domain fold, composed of a five-stranded antiparallel beta sheet with an extended helical connector linking the second and third beta strands (Fig. 2) (18, 28, 29). Many LOV domains contain important N- and C-terminal helical extensions outside of the minimal domain core, including the aforementioned Aα and Jα helices, which play a critical role in phot LOV2 domains by disordering after light excitation as an integral component of the photoactivation process (18–20, 30).

In addition to the shared structure of LOV domains, their underlying photochemistry is also well conserved across diverse photoreceptors, including phots (31), and more broadly diverse LOV-containing proteins from bacteria (32), fungi (26), and plants (33). Central to this process is a flavin chromophore, most commonly flavin mononucleotide (FMN) but occasionally flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) (34, 35) or riboflavin (36) in certain proteins. While the flavin chromophore is noncovalently bound in darkness, blue light excitation

![Figure 1. Phototropin domain composition and nomenclature. Phots have two N-terminal LOV light-sensing domains followed by a serine/threonine kinase output domain; the LOV2-kinase fragment is an artificially truncated construct of Arabidopsis phot1 that encompasses only the LOV2 and kinase domains. The LOV domains bind an FMN chromophore to enable light perception. LOV2 has two alpha helices, shown in light green, which are critical for kinase domain activation. Amino acid numbering and domain boundaries for the Chlamydomonas phot are shown in black, and for Arabidopsis phot1 in purple; note that the LOV2 domain is considered to include both the core LOV domain and the N-terminal Aα and C-terminal Jα helices.](image1)

![Figure 2. LOV domain photochemistry and structure. A, crystal structure of the LOV2 domain from Avena sativa phot1 (PDB ID: 2V0W). B, the LOV domain photocycle. Following light stimulus, a covalent bond is formed between FMN and a conserved cysteine within the LOV domain, triggering the activation of the phot.](image2)
triggers the formation of a covalent photoadduct between the isosalloxazine C4(a) atom and a conserved cysteine residue (C512 in Arabidopsis phot1 LOV2 “AtLOV2”; Fig. 2). Concomitantly, the isosalloxazine N5 position becomes protonated, triggering hydrogen bonding changes to an adjacent glutamine residue (Q575) (37, 38). This change is thought to propagate from this glutamine within the flavin-binding pocket to the LOV domain surface; while details of subsequent steps diverge among LOV proteins (1), for phot LOV2 domains this leads to unfolding of the Aα and Jα helices and activation of kinase activity (37–39). After illumination ends, the cysteinyl photoadduct decays on the timescale of seconds to hours (e.g., $t_{1/2}$=29 s for AsPhot1 (Avena sativa) LOV2 at room temperature (33)), returning the domain to its original noncovalent chromophore and folded structural state, thus completing the photocycle that governs the activity of LOV-based photoreceptors.

Interestingly, while the basic characteristics of the photocycle itself are conserved, activated state lifetimes and quantum efficiencies vary substantially among LOV domains in different photoreceptors. Some LOV domains very slowly recover to the dark state: the best-studied example is the fungal photoreceptor VVD, which has a half-lifetime of dark state reversion of 2.5 h (26). Phot LOV2 domains, by contrast, recover to the dark state relatively quickly (33). This fast recovery can limit the light sensitivity and signaling efficiency of both phots and LOV2-based optogenetic tools (1), although this feature also allows such tools to be used in studies of relatively short timescale biological phenomena. Turning to quantum efficiency (QE), phot LOV2 domains tend to have higher QEs than LOV1s although this varies by source: LOV2s from higher plant phot1s are tenfold more efficient than LOV1s (33, 40), dropping to twofold more efficient in the Chlamydomonas phot and higher plant phot2s (33). Combined with differences in photocycle length, phot1 dominates phot2 in most responses in higher plants (6, 12, 33). Though united by the same overall structure and mechanism, these differences highlight the functional impact of differences in light sensitivity and quantum efficiency between LOV-containing photoreceptors.

Given this impact on photobiology coupled with engineered applications with OTs, LOV domain photocycles have been extensively studied and modified through random (41, 42) and rational (26, 43, 44) mutagenesis to tune various features for efficient signaling and on/off kinetics in target systems. Mechanistically, slowing the photocycle to prolong the signaling state generally involves either sterically stabilizing the photoadduct or changing the electronic state around the flavin to favor activation (26). While specific mutations are beyond the scope of this review, we highlight the interested reader to studies that have used structure-guided mutagenesis to tune sensitivity in both optogenetic tools (45, 46) and plants (44). In Arabidopsis, introducing mutations to tune the phot1 photocycle appeared to increase light sensitivity and plant growth under dim light conditions. However, one of the tested mutations (AtLOV2 V478L) produced a phot1 variant that exhibited autophosphorylation activity in vivo but appeared to be unable to propagate the signal downstream of light activation, as its phenotype in transgenic plants mimicked a phot1phot2 double mutant for most responses, including leaf flattening and phototropism (44). This result, and others in the broader LOV signaling field, underscores the need to evaluate “tuning” mutations by a mix of assays assessing photocycle, structural, and functional properties—ideally in full-length proteins in both in vitro and cellular contexts to ensure that mutations introduce only the anticipated changes.

### The LOV1+2 light sensing unit

While many LOV-containing proteins contain only a single LOV domain (31), phots contain a tandem LOV domain motif (LOV1+LOV2) (12, 31, 47). Both LOV domains are required for full light sensitivity in planta (48), and both LOV1 and LOV2 share the same basic structure and photochemistry, the two domains are not interchangeable (49) and appear to serve different roles. Interestingly, the LOV1+LOV2 unit was reported to show some activity when expressed by itself in Chlamydomonas (4), suggesting that at least in this setting, the LOV1+LOV2 unit can fulfill some functions in the absence of the kinase domain. In any case, the preservation of both LOV1 and LOV2 in phots across large evolutionary distances suggests that both domains are important for phot function.

As mentioned above, LOV1 and LOV2 seem to have slightly different roles. Crucially, there is presently no experimental validation of helices flanking LOV1 domains analogous to the functionally-critical Aα and Jα helices adjacent to LOV2 domains, and LOV2 alone is necessary and sufficient for activation of the kinase domain (12, 18, 48, 50). Though a “hinge” region that undergoes light-induced conformational change has been suggested to exist in the linker between LOV1 and LOV2, this is presently supported by a combination of low-resolution experimental information (transient grating, TG) (51) and computational simulations (52, 53) without a clear sense of the functional requirement for such a change. As such, the function of LOV1 is not totally understood, though we do know that its presence increases phot light sensitivity relative to a single LOV2 domain (48). This is particularly interesting because phot1 LOV1 has a tenfold lower QE than LOV2 (33, 40) as mentioned above; indeed, it has been suggested that the role of LOV1 in potentiating LOV2 sensitivity may be through physically interacting with LOV2 rather than through its inherent photosensitivity per se (54). Another key difference between the domains is that isolated LOV1 domains tend to have a much higher propensity to dimerize in solution than LOV2s, leading to several literature models that LOV1 may mediate dimerization of full-length phot and/or interactions with other proteins (51, 53, 55–57). As such, while the exact role that LOV1 plays remains unclear, it is evident that its presence is necessary for phot1 to be responsive to a wide range of light intensities in Arabidopsis (48).

Because LOV2 domains most directly control phot kinase activity, they have been the central focus of structural and mechanistic studies, followed by engineering into OTs. In particular, the oat A. sativa phot1 LOV2 domains (AsLOV2)
have been applied to regulate outputs in wide range of opto-
genetic tools (1, 58). Such engineered proteins often have a
truncated αα helix and tend to rely exclusively on the
unfolding of Jα to transmit photoregulation to effectors that
control outputs as diverse as relocation, modulation of protein–protein interactions, dimerization (45, 59–61), or
deactivation of an output domain through this induced dis-
order (62). However, while illumination generates a 70-fold
change in the dark/light conformational equilibrium of the
Ja helix conformation in AsLOV2, this switch is an imperfect
one and can limit the degree of activation in optogenetic tools
(63). Learning more about this switch, particularly regarding
the relationship between LOV2 activation and the physical
release of kinase activity in full-length phot1, will be key to
resolving some of these issues.

Kinase structure and activation

While studies investigating how LOV2 activates the phot
kinase domain will best be achieved using high-resolution
structural biology methods, a combination of currently avail-
able biochemical and biophysical studies of Arabidopsis phot1
and phot2 (64–66) suggest that the ~50 residue linker region
between the LOV2 Jα helix and the kinase domain (Fig. 1) may
play a key role in kinase activation. In particular, SAXS (small-
angle X-ray scattering) data of a LOV2-linker-kinase construct
have led to a model where LOV2 sits on top of the kinase
domain N-lobe (54, 66, 67). Does the presence of LOV2
somehow perturb the conformation of the kinase N-lobe,
preventing activation in darkness that is later relieved after light-
induced conformational changes? Or is another mechanism at
play? At one point it was believed that LOV2 may occupy the
catalytic cleft of the kinase domain (68), though current SAXS-
based models of full-length phot structure do not support this
(25, 54, 67). More recent studies predict that the linker region
between LOV2 and the kinase domain may form two short
alpha helices C-terminal to Jα that communicate unfolding to
the kinase domain (64, 65). Though this hypothesis is enticing,
there has not been any direct evidence of such secondary
structure, and the only assay of how mutations in the region
affect function was by alteration of kinase activity in vitro.
More experiments testing this hypothesis will be necessary to
elucidate whether this proposed mechanism governs signal
transduction to the kinase domain. Key to doing so will be to
further explore the structure of the phot kinase domain itself.

While little is directly known about the structure of phot ki-
nase domains, much can be inferred from studies of related
mammalian kinases. Phototropin kinase domains belong to the
AGC kinase family, a large class containing mammalian, fungal,
and plant representatives (69, 70). Typical kinases such as the one
from photos have two subdomains: an N-lobe and a C-lobe,
separated by the ATP-binding catalytic cleft. Kinases also have an
activation loop stemming from the C-lobe and often located
between the two lobes, generally present in an unstructured
conformation, and containing sites that must be phosphorylated
to initiate kinase activity (70). Consistent with this expectation,
autophosphorylation at two activation loop residues in the kinase
domains of Arabidopsis phot1 and phot2 is required for phot
function in plants (71, 72). Other common features of the kinase
domain include the so-called gatekeeper residue, which allows
for specific ATP binding within the catalytic cleft (73). Substi-
tution of this residue with a smaller one enabled Arabidopsis
phot1 to accommodate bulky ATP analogues using the “bump-
and-hole” approach (74) to facilitate a chemical biology route to
identify phot1 substrates (73). Taken together, this information
indicates that phots most likely have a standard kinase domain
such as those found in other AGC kinases.

While these common features among kinases seem to hold
for phots, one unusual feature complicates structure/function
studies: while activation loops are typically ca. 20–30 residues
in length (75), these are uncommonly long in phototropins (57
residues in Arabidopsis phot1), as for a handful of other AGC
kinases (e.g., 63 residues in NDR1/2 (76), 75 residues in
LATS1/2 (76), and 575 residues in MASTL/GREATWALL
(77, 78)). Modeling studies have suggested that the phot acti-
vation loop is unstructured (79), and this is supported by our
own secondary structure predictions using JPred (80), likely
due to a combination of loop length and sequence. We note
that a counter-hypothesis may be suggested by a recent crystal
structure of the NDR1 kinase domain (PDB:6BXI (76)) where
its long activation loop is ordered and coordinated with the
kinase domain to block the catalytic cleft, supporting an
autoinhibitory role for the segment in the unphosphorylated
state. Intriguingly, when we simply model the oat phot1 kinase
domain using the H. sapiens NDR1 (unpublished modeling for
illustration here), the activation loop is also modeled as or-
dered (Swiss Model (81), with 32% protein sequence identity
and 51% similarity between the Asphot1 and HsNDR1 kinase
domains); by contrast, comparably modeling with B. taurus
PKA, a mammalian AGC kinase with a shorter activation loop,
the activation loop is modeled as disordered (30% identity and
45% similarity between the kinase domains of Asphot1 and
BtPKA) (Fig. 3). Though no direct evidence of the conforma-
tion of the phototropin activation loop exists, Fourier Trans-
form Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy on the full-length
Chlamydomonas phot suggested that the activation loop may
adopt an alpha helical structure that undergoes major
conformational changes upon light exposure (79). Later work
from another group contradicted the claim that the activation
loop of phototropin undergoes significant conformational
change using transient grating (65). However, given the order
found for the NDR1 activation loop, it is tempting to speculate
that phototropin may not have a totally disordered activation
loop and that the length and sequence of the loop may be
playing some functional role in phototropin activation.
Without more direct information on the structure of the phot
kinase domain, any light-induced conformational changes
within the domain as well as its interaction with LOV2 will
remain a substantial unknown variable.

Models of full-length phot structure and dimerization

While high-resolution structural studies remain to be re-
ported for multidomain phot fragments—of either LOV2-
kinase, which is a model light-regulated phot truncation, or full-length phot protein—several published SAXS studies examining such proteins have usefully contributed to the field over the last few years (54, 66, 67). Studies of the full-length *Chlamydomonas* phot suggest that it is a monomer with an overall shape of a chair, where the kinase domain is the chair’s base and LOV1 and LOV2 are the backrest, slightly offset from the legs (54). Upon light activation, the LOV2 domain is modeled to extend substantially away from the kinase domain, accompanied by a rotation of the LOV1-LOV2-kinase angle without adjusting the LOV1-LOV2 distance (Fig. 4A) (54). In similar studies of phots from the higher plant *Arabidopsis*, LOV2-kinase from phot1 and full-length phot2, *ab initio* structural modeling from SAXS data supports a head-to-head dimer mediated by LOV1 (in the full-length example) (67) or LOV2 (in LOV2-kinase) (66). Here, illumination seems to convert a relatively linear full-length phot2 dimer into a more bent arrangement, again with a pivot in the LOV1-LOV2-kinase angle (Fig. 4B) (66). Significantly, in all models the molecules returned to the dark state structure following illumination (54, 66, 67). While noticeable, the magnitude of changes from the dark state to the lit state is perhaps smaller than that would have been expected from (a) studies on isolated LOV2 domains, which exhibit unfolding of approximately 25 residues in the Aα and Jα helices and (b) anticipated conformational changes in the kinase domain. We note some caveats to this work: the domains are not completely fit within the SAXS-derived scattering envelopes, and these results

---

**Figure 3. Models of phototropin kinase domain structure show differential ordering of activation loop depending on template.** The kinase domain of phot1-1 from *Avena sativa* was modeled in-house using Swiss Model (81) with either bovine PKA (A; PDB ID: 1XH9 (91)) or human NDR1 (B; PDB ID: 6BXI (76)) as the template. Nucleotides are shown in space-filling representation. The activation loop is highlighted in purple ribbon representation; note that it does not adopt any stable secondary structure in the PKA-derived model in panel A, but partially adopts a helical conformation and is closer to the kinase domain in the NDR1-derived model in panel B.

---

**Figure 4. Current view of phot LOV/kinase interactions based on SAXS analyses of full-length proteins.** A, SAXS-derived models of dark and lit Crphot (adapted with permission from Okajima et al. (54)). These models depict Crphot as a monomer that extends and inclines in response to light treatment, with particularly noticeable elongation occurring between the centers of mass for the LOV2 and kinase domains (lower red and blue arrows). In contrast, the LOV1-LOV2 distance has limited light effects (upper red and blue arrows). B, SAXS-derived models of dark and lit Atphot2 (adapted with permission from Oide et al. (67)). These models indicate that Atphot2 forms a head-to-head dimer through the LOV1 domains, with illumination converting a relatively linear domain arrangement in the dark into a bent lit-state conformation.
disagree with a prior literature hypothesis indicating that LOV2 may physically occlude the active site of the kinase domain (30, 68). These points underscore that while present models are useful for consideration at this time, additional experimental evidence, likely via a combination of complementary biophysical and structural data plus \textit{in vitro} and cellular functional tests of point mutants, will be needed to fully discriminate among alternative mechanisms of LOV regulation of phot kinase activity.

These structural models obtained by SAXS highlight differences in oligomeric state between the monomeric algal phot from \textit{Chlamydomonas} and the head-to-head dimer observed in phot1 LOV2-kinase and full-length phot2 from the flowering plant \textit{Arabidopsis}. As noted earlier, these photos do not play the same physiological roles. The \textit{Chlamydomonas} phot shares \textasciitilde47\% protein sequence similarity with \textit{Arabidopsis} phot1, which may be different enough to account for differences in dimerization between them. However, as photos from algae, including \textit{Chlamydomonas} and \textit{Ostreococcus tauri}, are partly or fully functional for many phot-mediated responses in \textit{Arabidopsis} (10, 11), it is not clear how the observed differences in \textit{in vitro} dimerization are functionally important.

From our perspective, the head-to-head dimerization model proposed for the photos from \textit{Arabidopsis} is a surprising finding. Bimolecular Fluorescence Complementation (BiFC) studies conducted \textit{in planta} using \textit{Arabidopsis} phot1 (heterologously expressed in tobacco) reveal light-induced physical association between phot1 molecules at the C-terminus that is independent of kinase activity (49, 82). The differences between the light dependence of dimerization \textit{in planta versus in vitro} by SAXS is particularly striking. However, it is difficult to compare BiFC, which does not provide direct structural information and can capture transient events, to the SAXS model. Another piece of information that is difficult to reconcile with a head-to-head dimer model is that phot1 has been reported to undergo autophosphorylation in \textit{trans} (20, 49), as has been reported for mouse PDK1 (83), another AGC-family kinase. In the SAXS model, the kinase domains are on opposite sides of the dimer: phosphorylation between these molecules in \textit{trans} would likely require a higher-order oligomerization, which was not reported (66, 67). Investigation of dimerization at different concentrations and illumination regimes using a technique such as multitime light scattering, as well as attempting to rationally identify mutations that could disrupt dimerization, would provide useful information that could help dissect differences between experimental approaches.

Conclusions and remaining challenges

From our perspective, three key questions remain very open regarding phot structure and regulatory mechanism: the function of LOV1 within the full-length photoreceptor, the structure of the kinase domain, and what light-induced conformational changes it may undergo, and most importantly, how the unfurling of the LOV2 A’α and Jα helices communicates with the kinase domain to induce activation. Progress on any of these questions would improve OT design as well as provide clues as to how to engineer photos in plants for increased productivity. Some of these questions may be answered through continued investigation of fragments of phot, such as using LOV1+2 to probe how those domains relate to one another or LOV2-Kinase to study kinase activation, but full-length structures would provide much more information, such as whether full-length phots undergo light-induced dimerization, as has been observed \textit{in vivo} (49, 82) and in other LOV-containing photoreceptors such as EL222 (84) and Aureochrome1α (85).

At present, several factors appear to be limiting in generating high-resolution information on photos. Some of these issues are practical, particularly in difficulties with expressing and purifying sufficient quantities of intact and functional phototropins for structural interrogation, as observed in published works in the field (25, 54) and supported by anecdotal evidence from our lab and others. This seems to be particularly relevant for phot1 from higher plants, where the best information available is from SAXS on LOV2-kinase fragments (66) rather than the full-length protein. Additional challenges appear to be inherent to the long linkers either between or within various phot domains, including LOV1-LOV2 (145 residues in Atphot1, with little predicted secondary structure), LOV2-kinase (70 residues in Atphot1, with the Jα helix included as roughly 20 residues of this sequence), and the kinase activation loops (57 residues in Atphot1). Finally, the “switchable” nature of the phot necessitates a relatively low stability of regulatory protein/protein interactions to facilitate changes upon illumination.

However, for the field to move forward and obtain mechanistic information on phot activation and its conformational changes, it will be necessary to find ways to obtain a structure or generate a more comprehensive model of the full-length protein. Techniques such as hydrogen-deuterium exchange followed by mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) (86), which has been applied in other LOV photoreceptors to localize light-induced conformational changes to specific regions of the protein (14), could be extremely informative in terms of discovering more about the light activation mechanism, particularly within the understudied phot kinase domain. However, cryogenic electron microscopy (cryo-EM) may be the key technique for bridging the information gap: it requires smaller amounts of protein than many other structural approaches (87), is tolerant of conformational heterogeneity, and has recently become amenable to applying to targets the size of phots (e.g., Atphot1 is 110 kDa as a monomer). This technique has been able to generate mechanistic information on activation in other proteins (88, 89), and ideally would be able to capture dark and lit structures of the photos. Some negative stain images of full-length phot2 were shared in Oide \textit{et al.} (67); while no further structural information emerged from these images, it does highlight the potential of this technique for elucidating a higher-resolution structure of a full-length phot. Combining these advances with the rich biochemical and biophysical history of phototropins, our path ahead appears to be well lit.
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