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Expression and Localization of Ryanodine Receptors in the Frog Semicircular Canal
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Several experiments suggest an important role for store-released Ca2+ in hair cell organs: drugs targeting IP3 and ryanodine (RyRs) receptors affect release from hair cells, and stores are thought to be involved in vesicle recycling at ribbon synapses. In this work we investigated the semicircular canal distribution of RyRs by immunofluorescence, using slice preparations of the sensory epithelium (to distinguish cell types) and flat mounts of the simpler nonsensory regions. RyRs were present in hair cells, mostly in supranuclear spots, but not in supporting cells; as regards nonsensory regions, they were also localized in dark cells and cells from the ductus. No labeling was found in nerve terminals, although nerve branches could be observed in proximity to hair cell RyR spots. The differential expression of RyR isoforms was studied by RT-PCR and immunoblotting, showing the presence of RyRα in both ampulla and canal arm and RyRβ in the ampulla only.

1. Introduction

Despite being small and compact, hair cells display a great diversity of Ca2+-dependent processes, which appear to be largely independent of each other. Ca2+ ions may enter the hair cell through mechanoelectrical transduction channels, voltage-dependent channels, and neuronal nicotinic receptors [1]. In addition, synaptic transmission at both efferent [2] and afferent [3–5] synapses has been found to be modulated by intracellular Ca2+ stores in several hair cell organs. As regards afferent synapses, Ca2+ stores have been hypothesized to play a role in replenishing the reserve pool associated with ribbons [6]. Therefore, Ca2+ stores may be located as to “bridge” release and replenishment, acting as a positive feedback, where the more vesicles are released, the more are made available for release. In frog semicircular canal hair cells, the messages activating this feedback have been at least in part identified: IP3 receptors are activated by presynaptic metabotropic receptors which sense the glutamate released by hair cells [7], and ryanodine-sensitive receptors (RyRs) are activated through CICR by the Ca2+ that entered through voltage-dependent Ca2+ channels, which are responsible for afferent release [3]. Ca2+-imaging recordings from frog canal hair cells showed that only selected Ca2+ hotspots, usually supranuclear, display Ca2+-induced Ca2+ release (CICR) behaviour, whereas in others Ca2+ just follows the timecourse of membrane depolarization [3]. The present work aims at giving a morphological basis for the physiological results, by studying RyR expression and localization in frog canal cells.

Ryanodine receptors are large tetrameric proteins localized to the membrane of intracellular calcium stores [8]. Three isoforms are known in mammals: RyR1 (mainly expressed in skeletal muscle), RyR2 (mainly expressed in cardiac muscle), and RyR3 (primarily found in neuronal tissue). In amphibian, only two isoforms have been clearly identified [9, 10]: RyR-α (homologous to RyR1) and RyR-β (homologous to RyR3).

In the present work we have characterized the expression of RyRs in the frog semicircular canal, and their localization in both sensory and nonsensory cells. The latter have been included because of their role in the maintenance of endolymph ion composition and volume, which can affect hair cell function, and may be involved in several deafness-related mutations [11].
2. Methods

2.1. Animals. Experiments were carried out on canal preparations (ampullae, canal ducts, whole labyrinth), heart, brain, and skeletal muscle isolated from the frog *Rana esculenta* previously anesthetized, by immersion in 0.1% 3-aminobenzoic acid ethyl ester methane sulfonate solution (Sigma). Dissection of the labyrinth was performed as follows: after decapitation, the head was placed in a dissection dish, filled with Ringer solution, and the bulla was opened through a ventral approach. Membranous labyrinths were extracted by cutting the VIII nerve and the three canals and transferred to a second Ringer dish for further dissection. For homogenates, ampulla preparations contained the sensory crista with a short nerve stump, dark cell patches with associated transitional epithelium (see scheme in Figure 4), and the overlying wall, composed of connective and epithelium; canal arms contained the remaining tubular parts of semicircular canals, which do not contain any sensory structure. The characterization of canal duct cells appears difficult, since small variations in the length of the arm remaining attached to the ampulla could affect the presence of RyR-positive ductus cells. Whole labyrinth contained all structures from the membranous labyrinth, except for the removal of otoliths from the saccule. For RNA isolation, all steps from labyrinth extraction were performed at 0–4°C, and RNaseOUT Recombinant Ribonuclease Inhibitor (Invitrogen) was added to the Ringer solution. Frogs were housed at the animal facility of the Department of Physiology, section of General Physiology, University of Pavia, cared for, and sacrificed according to the current European legal Animal Practice requirements.

2.2. RNA Isolation and Real-Time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR). Total RNA was extracted using the QIAzol Lysis Reagent (QIAGEN) from the heart, brain, and skeletal muscle of four frogs and from the inner ear of at least 50 frogs. Single cDNA was synthesized from RNA (1 μg) using random hexamers and M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen). Reverse transcription was always performed in the presence or absence (negative control) of the reverse transcriptase enzyme qPCR was performed in triplicate using 1 μg cDNA, obtained as above indicated, and specific primers (intron-spanning primers) for RyRα (sense, 5′-CATTTGCTATCCGTGTTGCCA-3′; antisense, 5′-CCTCATAATCTTTCCGGAAA-3′) and RyRβ (sense, 5′-TGACCCCGATATGAACTGTG-3′; antisense, 5′-GTGTGTTTCAAAGCCATCGG-3′). qPCR GreenMaster (Jena Bioscience) was used according to the manufacturer instruction and qPCR performed using Rotor Gene 6000 (Corbett). The conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 58°C for 30 s, and elongation at 72°C for 40 s [12]. The qRT-PCR reactions were normalized using ribosomal protein P1 mRNA (fp1) as housekeeping gene (sense, 5′-TACGACGTCCATCATCACACAC-3′; antisense, 5′-AGACAAAAAGCCATGTCATC-3′) [13].

Melting curves were generated to detect the melting temperatures of specific products immediately after the PCR run. The triplicate threshold cycles (Ct) values for each sample were averaged resulting in mean Ct values for both the gene of interest and the housekeeping fp1 gene. The gene Ct values were then normalized to the housekeeping gene by taking the difference: ΔCt = Ct [gene] − Ct [fp1], with high ΔCt values reflecting low mRNA expression levels. First, the sequences of the bands were checked by using the BigDye terminator cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystem). PCR products were separated on a 3% NuSieve (2:1) agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide, and acquired with the Image Master VDS (GE Healthcare Europe). The molecular weight of the PCR products was compared to the DNA molecular weight marker VIII (Roche Molecular Biochemicals).

2.3. Immunoblotting. Frog labyrinths, heart, brain, and skeletal muscle were homogenized in a solution containing 250 mM Sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 0.1 mg/mL PMSF, 100 mM β-mercaptoethanol, and Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (P8340, Sigma). The homogenates were solubilized in Laemmli buffer [14], and 50 μg proteins was separated on 5% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to the Hybond ECL Nitrocellulose Membrane (GE Healthcare) by electrophoresis. After 1 h blocking with Tris-buffered saline (TBS) containing 3% BSA and 0.1% Tween (blocking solution) the membranes were incubated for 3 h at RT with the anti-RyR monoclonal antibody 34C (Affinity BioReagents) diluted 1:800 in the blocking solution. This antibody detects both alpha and beta frog isoforms [15]. The membranes were washed and incubated for 1 h with peroxidase-conjugated mouse IgG (1:120000 in blocking solution) (QED Bioscience). The bands were detected with ECL Advance Western Blotting Detection System (GE Healthcare).

2.4. Immunofluorescence. Canals dissected from frogs (*n* = 7) were embedded in Jung Tissue Freezing Medium (Leica Microsystems) and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Multiple 18 μm cryostat sections were obtained from the frozen samples, washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution, blocked for 60 min with bovine serum albumin (BSA) 3%, rinsed with PBS and then incubated for 2 hours with 34C mouse anti-RyR monoclonal antibody (Affinity BioReagents), diluted 1:200 in PBS, plus, in colocalization experiments, T2200 rabbit anti-beta-tubulin III polyclonal antibody (Sigma), diluted 1:100 in PBS. After three rinses in PBS (5 min), the sections treated with primary antibodies were incubated (60 min at RT) with sheep anti-mouse IgG-FITC antibody (Sigma) and (for colocalizations) swine anti-rabbit IgG (whole molecule)-TRITC antibody (DakoCytomation), both at a dilution of 1:1000. Control experiments were performed simultaneously using pre-immune serum or omitting the primary antibody. The slides were then mounted with Prolong Gold antifade reagent with DAPI (Invitrogen) and examined with a TCS SP2 LEICA.
2.5. Protein Content. Protein contents of all the samples were determined by Bradford’s method using bovine serum albumin (BSA) as standard [16].

2.6. Statistics. All data are expressed as means ± SEM. Statistical analysis of qRT-PCR experiments was primarily performed on ΔCt values. The significance of the differences of the means was evaluated with one-way ANOVA followed by Newman-Keuls Q test or Student’s t-test. All statistical tests were carried out with GraphPad Prism 4.00, 2003.

3. Results

3.1. qRT-PCR. The expression of RyRs in the frog semicircular canal was first verified by semiquantitative and quantitative RT-PCR. In mammals, three RyR isoforms (RyR1-3) are known, whereas in amphibia only two isoforms (RyRα and RyRβ) have been characterized, which are homologous to mammalian RyR1 and RyR3, respectively [17]. Cardiac myocytes from the frog atria express RyRs [9], but it is still unclear whether a distinct cardiac isoform, homolog to mammalian RyR2, exists in the frog. Figure 1 shows RT-PCR products for RyRα and RyRβ in homogenates of frog semicircular canal ampulla (A), canal arm (C), whole labyrinth (L), cardiac muscle (H), brain (B), and skeletal muscle (M). The cDNAs for both isoforms were amplified using specific primers designed for the homolog sequences in Rana catesbeiana [10]. To rule out contamination from genomic DNA, negative reactions were set without reverse transcriptase (not shown); to test for cDNA yield and goodness, the expression of each RyR isoform was normalized to that of the housekeeping gene ribosomal protein P1 (fp1). Single bands of the expected size of cDNA fragments were amplified (RyRα, 329 bp; RyRβ, 325 bp; fp1, 356 bp, resp.). The results of agarose gel electrophoresis of representative PCR reaction products are shown in Figure 1(b). Negative controls (Neg) were performed by omitting template.

RyRα was expressed by all investigated tissues. The relative quantitation of transcripts by qRT-PCR demonstrated the following expression order: M ≫ B > A > C = H = L (P < 0.05, one-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls Q test; Figure 1(a)).

RyRβ isoform was expressed in all tissues except semicircular canal arms. RyRβ transcript was significantly higher in skeletal muscle and brain than in heart; lower amounts of mRNA were found in the ampulla and in the labyrinth (P < 0.05, one-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls Q test Figure 1(a)).

3.2. Immunoblotting. To determine the expression of the RyR protein in the frog inner ear, total membrane homogenates were analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-RyR monoclonal antibody 34C, an antibody that recognizes both alpha and beta isoforms [15]. In homogenates of whole labyrinth (L), cardiac muscle (H), brain (B), and skeletal muscle (M), a doublet is seen at 565 kDa representing the alpha and beta isoforms of the receptor (Figure 2). To parallel qPCR experiments, RyR expression was further investigated in homogenates of purified canal arms and ampullae. As expected, ampulla samples showed both RyR bands. As regards canal arms, expression was instead very faint or missing (Figure 2).

3.3. Immunofluorescence. Cellular localization of RyRs receptors was investigated with immunofluorescence confocal microscopy using affinity-purified antibodies. RyRs were
found in the crista sensory epithelium (Figure 3); in particular, puncta were evident in the perinuclear/supranuclear region of most hair cells, whereas no labeling was observed below the sensory epithelium. Double labelling with beta-tubulin, which stains vestibular nerve fibers up to synaptic terminals [18], showed that RyR spots were not colocalized with terminals (and therefore most likely located in hair cells) but could be in close apposition to them (asterisks), suggesting a role in afferent transmission at a subpopulation of synapses. As regards nonsensory regions, a faint homogeneous RyR labeling was observed in dark cells (Figure 4), but not in transitional cells (Figure 4). As regards canal duct cells, a perinuclear formation (Figure 4) was evident in a subpopulation of cells located at the entrance of the canal arm. These cells were difficult to observe, given the semicircular canal topology, since they only encompassed a strip in the proximal region of the arm. In colocalizations, no nerve fibers were observed in these nonsensory regions (not shown).

4. Discussion

Our data show the expression of RyRs in the frog semicircular canal, in both sensory and nonsensory structures. As regards the former, RyRs are expressed in hair cells but not in afferent fibers. This is in agreement with physiological data [3] suggesting that the ryanodine-sensitive mechanisms involved in afferent transmission are presynaptic.

Since the antibody used for RyR localization does not discriminate between RyRa and RyRβ, it is not clear whether both isoforms are coexpressed in hair cells. In fact, rat cochlear outer hair cells express RyR1 [4], which is homologous to frog RyRα [17], whereas rat vestibular epithelia appear to express all three RyR isoforms [19].

As regards their properties, RyR1 and RyRα [17] are unique in their ability to physically interact with ion channels in the plasma membrane, such as L-type voltage-dependent Ca^{2+} channels [20] and thus open in response to their opening, regardless of Ca^{2+} increase (DICR, depolarization-induced Ca^{2+} release). On the other hand, RyRβ is able to support Ca^{2+}-induced Ca^{2+} release (CICR). In frog skeletal muscle, where both α and β isoforms are expressed, RyRα induced sustained Ca^{2+} increases, whereas RyRβ induced oscillations [15, 21]. Physiological data in frog canal hair cells show the presence of an active CICR process for prolonged depolarisation [3]; spontaneous oscillations of intracellular Ca^{2+} have also been sometimes observed (P.P. personal communication). It is therefore likely that both receptors are present in hair cells. One interesting feature of RyR expression in hair cells is the presence of clusters, mainly in the supranuclear part of the cell ([3], this work); IP_{3} receptors are instead found throughout the cell [5]. This distribution could endow a single hair cell with several synaptic pools with differential modulation or different adaptation properties. As an additional possible role for RyRs in frog vestibular hair cells, fast CICR (or DICR) could amplify presynaptic Ca^{2+} increases, thus allowing the release of more vesicles than predicted by the size of membrane Ca^{2+} currents, as was observed in the saccule [22]. Fast CICR has not been documented in canal hair cells, but it could be present, especially in phasic cells, which are more sensitive to high-frequency stimuli.

As regards nonsensory structure, RyRs were observed in cells that secrete K^{+} (dark cells) and Cl^{-} (ductus cells) into the endolymph [9], but not in transitional cells, which
reabsorb Na⁺ [23]. Pharmacological experiments will be needed to clarify whether store release is involved in the endocrine regulation of these cells (e.g., by adrenaline) [24].

5. Conclusions

In this study, we investigated the expression and distribution of RyRs in the frog semicircular canal. RT-PCR data show the presence of RyRα and RyRβ in the ampulla and a faint expression of RyRα in the canal duct. Immunolabeling of the sensory epithelium shows the presence of RyRs in hair cells; no labeling was instead found in nerve fibers, supporting cells, and connective tissue. The presence of RyRs in frog canal hair cells supports previous physiological data displaying ryanodine-sensitive CICR in the same cells; moreover, most RyR spots, similarly to CICR hotspots, were supranuclear, suggesting the presence of different synaptic populations within the same hair cells. Finally, finding Ca²⁺ stores in nonsensory cells involved in ion and water regulation suggests a pathway for controlling the regulation of endolymph volume and composition.
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