Left ventricular hypertrophy index based on a combination of frontal and transverse planes in the ECG and VCG: Diagnostic utility of cardiac vectors
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Abstract. The changes that left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) induces in depolarization and repolarization vectors are well known. We analyzed the performance of the electrocardiographic and vectorcardiographic transverse planes (TP in the ECG and XZ in the VCG) and frontal planes (FP in the ECG and XY in the VCG) to discriminate LVH patients from control subjects. In an age-balanced set of 58 patients, the directions and amplitudes of QRS-complexes and T-wave vectors were studied. The repolarization vector significantly decreased in modulus from controls to LVH in the transverse plane (TP: 0.45 ± 0.17 mV vs. 0.24 ± 0.13 mV, p < 0.0005; XZ: 0.43 ± 0.16 mV vs. 0.26 ± 0.11 mV, p < 0.005) while the depolarization vector significantly changed in angle in the electrocardiographic frontal plane (Controls vs. LVH, FP: 48.24 ± 33.66° vs. 46.84 ± 35.44°, p < 0.005, XY: 20.28 ± 35.20° vs. 19.35 ± 12.31°, NS). Several LVH indexes were proposed combining such information in both ECG and VCG spaces. A subset of all those indexes with AUC values greater than 0.7 was further studied. This subset comprised four indexes, with three of them belonging to the ECG space. Two out of the four indexes presented the best ROC curves (AUC values: 0.78 and 0.75, respectively). One index belonged to the ECG space and the other one to the VCG space. Both indexes showed a sensitivity of 86% and a specificity of 70%. In conclusion, the proposed indexes can favorably complement LVH diagnosis.

1. Introduction

LVH indexes based only on the electrocardiogram are known to present low sensitivity and high specificity. Although it is well known that repolarization is also modified with LVH [1, 2, 3], attempts to include this information in electrocardiographic indexes [4] fell into disuse, focusing all efforts on different features of the depolarization phase [5, 6]. There has also been a longstanding disagreement as to whether the ECG or VCG spaces appears as more informative, with reports showing the VCG as better [7, 8], similar [6] or poorer [9] than the ECG for LVH diagnosis.
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Herein, we have combined depolarization and repolarization information in the transverse and frontal planes to construct a set of LVH indexes and compared their diagnosis performance in the ECG and VCG spaces. Therefore, we compared the performance of these indexes in two sets of equivalent planes: (1): the electrocardiographic frontal plane (FP) versus the vectorcardiographic frontal plane (XYP), (2): the electrocardiographic transverse plane (TP) versus the vectorcardiographic transverse plane (XZP).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Population

A total of 58 subjects without intraventricular conduction disturbances were retrospectively studied. Two groups were balanced for age characteristics: the LVH group (31 subjects, mean age 68.5 ± 12.3 years old) and the control group (27 subjects, mean age 60.6 ± 13.2 years old). The hypertrophy group included patients with left ventricular mass indexes greater than 125 g/m² in males and greater than 110 g/m² in females, as calculated by Devereux’s formula from echocardiography on M-mode [10]. These patients lacked a coronary artery disease history. Healthy subjects, without clinical or echocardiographic evidence of cardiovascular disease, comprised the Control group. Besides echocardiographic data, 5-minute 12-lead ECG recordings were taken from all the subjects. Patients were recruited in the medical institution “Instituto de Investigaciones Medicas, Dr. Alfredo Lanari” of the University of Buenos Aires and in all cases informed consent was signed.

2.2. ECG Preprocessing

Signal preprocessing was applied to the 12 standard ECG leads, implementing QRS-detection and normal beat selection according to the method given in reference [11]. The QRS-complexes and T-waves were located and delineated using the wavelet transform based method described in [12] and baseline wandering attenuation was treated by cubic spline. Noisy beats were rejected when differences in mean isoelectric level with respect to adjacent beats were larger than 300 µV.

VCG was synthesized by means of the Kors Matrix [13]. The XZP was obtained from the VCG signals while the TP was derived from the standard ECG signals. More specifically, the precordial leads V₆ and V₁₋₂ were used, being the latter an average between leads V₁ and V₂.

2.3. Cardiac vectors

Cardiac vectors were measured in all planes following the same methodology as the one used here for the TP. Segmentation of the QRS-complex and T-wave was accomplished for each iᵗʰ beat using a single lead criterion, where the respective QRS and T onsets were taken at the earliest reliable QRS and T, either for V₆ or for V₁₋₂. The offsets, in a symmetric way, were accepted respectively as the latest reliable QRS-complex and T-wave offsets for the same leads, as in the previous case. On these segmented waves, the QRS-loop and the T-wave loop for each iᵗʰ beat were constructed and both cardiac vectors computed for the loop samples n ∈ Wᵢ, representing the QRS-complex and T-wave windows respectively. The samples nᵢ^{QRS}(i) and nᵢ^{T}(i) at which the respective QRS-complex and T-wave cardiac vectors resulted maximum were also computed. Thereafter, the angle and modulus of the QRS-complex main cardiac vector for the iᵗʰ beat, Rⁿᵢ^{αH}(i) and Rⁿᵢ^{mH}(i) respectively, were defined as,

\[ Rⁿᵢ^{αH}(i) = \arctan \left( \frac{V₁₋₂(nᵢ^{QRS}(i))}{V₆(nᵢ^{QRS}(i))} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

\[ Rⁿᵢ^{mH}(i) = \sqrt{V₆(nᵢ^{QRS}(i))^2 + V₁₋₂(nᵢ^{QRS}(i))^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)
where,

\[ n_{\text{QRS}}^{\text{max}}(i) = \arg \max_n \left[ \sqrt{V_6(n)^2 + V_1(n)^2} \right] \]  

(3)

where \( n \in W_{\text{QRS}}^i \)

Notice that subscript H instead of T is used for denoting the transverse plane. This is so to avoid confusions with repolarization parameters.

Analogously, the angle and modulus of the T-wave maximum cardiac vector for the \( i \)th beat, \( T_{H}^\alpha(i) \) and \( T_{m}^\alpha(i) \) respectively, were defined as in (1)-(3) for \( n \in W_{T}^i \).

The angle and modulus of the main depolarization vectors for the \( i \)th beat, \( R_{F}^\alpha(i) \), \( R_{XZ}^\alpha(i) \), \( R_{XY}^\alpha(i) \) and \( R_{F}^m(i) \), \( R_{XZ}^m(i) \), \( R_{XY}^m(i) \) respectively, as well as the angle and modulus of the T-wave maximum cardiac vectors for the \( i \)th beat, \( T_{F}^\alpha(i) \), \( T_{XZ}^\alpha(i) \), \( T_{XY}^\alpha(i) \) and \( T_{F}^m(i) \), \( T_{XZ}^m(i) \), \( T_{XY}^m(i) \) were defined as in (1)-(3).

2.4. LVH indexes

LVH indexes consisted of relations between angle and modulus of the cardiac vectors combining phases (depolarization/repolarization) and planes (frontal/transverse in ECG; XYP/XZP in VCG). Afterwards, Receiver Operative Characteristic (ROC) curves were computed for every index, and a subset with all those indexes with the area under the curve (AUC) values greater than 0.70 was selected for further analysis.

2.5. Statistical Analyses

All data were expressed as Mean±SD. The D’Agostino-Pearson normality test was applied to quantify the discrepancy between the distribution of the indexes and an ideal Gaussian distribution. In order to determine the statistical power of each marker to discriminate health from hypertrophy, a non-parametric two-tailed Mann-Whitney test was applied between controls and LVH patients. When \( p \)-value was <0.05, differences were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

Figure 1 shows the dominant depolarization and repolarization vectors for the control and the hypertrophy groups for every plane in both ECG and VCG spaces. These vectors resulted from averaging the \( i \)th beat-dominant vectors accross all patients within each group. The complete numerical values and statistical significance can be found in Table 1.

LVH indexes based on amplitude and angle relationships of the above calculated vectors and their corresponding ROC curves and areas were computed. Figure 2 shows the AUC values for every index under study in both the ECG and VCG space. Notice that four out of ten indexes produced AUC values greater than 0.70. The latter indexes were: \( T_{H}^\alpha/T_{H}^m \), \( R_{H}^\alpha/T_{H}^m \), \( R_{F}^\alpha/T_{H}^m \) and \( R_{H}^\alpha/T_{F}^m \). Notice that three out of four indexes in this selected subset combined depolarization and repolarization information in both representations: the frontal and transverse planes and all the three of them belonged to the ECG space.

The ROC curves for the four pairs of LVH indexes with AUC values greater than 0.70 together with their ECG(VCG) counterparts are displayed in Figure 3. The optimal cut-off point in the ROC curves were computed as the point nearest to the top left-hand corner. This selection maximizes the sensitivity and specificity sum, when it is assumed that the ‘cost’ of a false negative result is the same as that of a false positive one [14]. Characterization of the cut-off points are also shown in the paired format (Sensitivity; Specificity).
Figure 1. Mean depolarization and repolarization vectors for controls (solid lines) and LVH (grey line) groups.

Table 1. Mean±SD values for every parameter in control and LVH groups. * p<0.05, # p<0.005, & p<0.0005. 1: Frontal Plane (FP), 2: transverse plane (TP), 3: XY plane (XYP), 4: XZ plane (XZP)

|     | Controls | LVH          |
|-----|----------|--------------|
|     | Rα[°]    | Tα[°]        | Rm[mV] | Tm[mV] |
| 1   | 48.24±33.66* | 54.08±23.17* | 0.44±0.20  | 46.84±35.44 |
| 2   | -29.83±28.00 | 8.45±33.80   | 0.45±0.17* | -38.12±24.58 |
| 3   | 20.28±35.20* | 35.01±16.61  | 0.45±0.17  | 19.35±12.31  |
| 4   | -17.39±31.37 | 25.38±26.25  | 0.43±0.16* | -27.49±35.18 |

4. Discussion
Four ECG-based LVH index combining features from the QRS-complex and the T-wave in both transverse and frontal planes were presented. Although it has been well described that LVH alters both depolarization and repolarization phases [15, 3], no utilization of the T-wave amplitude in LVH diagnosis has been reported in the clinical practice. ECG describes the electrophysiological remodelling induced by LVH, consisting of a ventricular conduction delay [16] and a prolongation of the action potential duration [17]. Thus, the final repolarization changes such as amplitude, axis and loop morphology result from the interplay with the depolarization modifications induced by LVH. These changes were classified into primary and secondary repolarization changes by Bacharova et al. [3]. This depolarization/repolarization interplay, makes it even harder to obtain clear ECG/VCG patterns when studied the cardiac...
Figure 2. AUC values for all the LVH indexes proposed. AUC values for every index are plotted for the ECG (asterisks) and the VCG (circles) counterparts.

phases isolatedly. The main hypothesis applied herein was that cardiac vectors compensate for this particular fluctuations and show a more robust behavior to the LVH-induced changes when studied simultaneously.

On the other hand, the best performance of \( R_{\alpha}^{HF}/T_{HF}^{m} \) is greatly supported by the literature. The Romhilt-Estes score includes the electrical axis in the frontal plane [18] and the amplitude of the T-wave has been a LVH marker in hypertrophic patients without ECG criteria for LVH [19]. Finally, even though angle and modulus of the vectors conforming the index were calculated from the depolarization and repolarization loops, which are mathematical constructions, the equivalent elements, namely, the left axis deviation in the frontal plane and the T-wave amplitude in the transverse plane (\( V_{6} \) in this case) can be easily obtained in the clinical scenario, providing an efficient LVH index fully available with a 12-leads ECG record.

5. Conclusion
Most of the LVH indexes with a good performance to separate out LVH patients from controls belonged to the ECG space, vindicating the ECG for LVH diagnosis. The best electrocardiographic LVH index derived from this analysis, \( R_{\alpha}^{HF}/T_{HF}^{m} \) combined depolarization and repolarization parameters suggesting that repolarization would greatly contribute to LVH diagnosis.
Figure 3. ROC curves for the subset of LVH indexes with AUC values greater than 0.7. A): \( R_X^n/T_X^m \), B): \( R_H^0/T_H^m \), C): \( R_F^3/T_H^m \) and D): \( R_H^0/T_F^m \). Every index is plotted with the ECG (black) and VCG (grey) counterparts.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, under Project PIP-538 and PICT 2008 #2108 Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica, Argentina.

6. References

[1] Bacharova L 2009 Journal of Electrocardiology 42 388–91
[2] Bacharova L 2009 Journal of Electrocardiology 42 593:96
[3] Bacharova L, Szathmary V and Mateasik A 2010 J. of Electrocardiology 43(6) 624–33
[4] Casale P, Devereux R, Kligfield P, Eisenberg R, Miller D, Chaudhary B and Phillips M 1985 J Am Coll Cardiol. 6 572–80
[5] Sokolow M and Lyon T 1949 Am. Heart. J. 37 161–86
[6] Romhilt D, Greenfield J and Estes E 1968 Circulation XXXVII 15–19
[7] Bristow J, Porter G and H G 1961 Am Heart J. 62 621
[8] Pérez Riera A, Uchida A, Filho C, Meneghini A, Ferreira C, Schapacknik E, Dubner S and Moffa P 2007 Clin Cardiol. 30(7) 319–23
[9] Borun E, Chapman J and Massey F 1966 Am. J. Cardiol. 18 656
[10] Devereux R B and Reichek N 1977 Circulation 55 613–8
[11] Pan J and Tompkins W J 1985 Biomedical Engineering, IEEE Transactions on BME-32 230–236
[12] Mendieta J 2012 Algoritmo para el delineado de señales electrocardiograficas en un modelo animal empleando
técnicas avanzadas de procesamiento de señales Master’s thesis Facultad de Ingeniería, Univ. de Buenos Aires

[13] Kors J A, Van Herpen G, Sittig A C and Van Bemmel J H 1990 European Heart Journal 11 1083–1092
[14] Altman D 1991 Practical Statistics for Medical Research (26 Boundary Row, London: Chapman Hall)
[15] Hart G 1994 Cardiovascular Research 28 933–946
[16] Cooklin M, Wallis W R J, Sheridan D J and Fry C H 1997 Circulation Research 80 765–771
[17] McIntosh M, Cobbe S, Kane K and Rankin A 1998 Journal of Molecular and Cellular Cardiology 30 43 – 53
[18] Romhilt D and Estes E J 1968 Am Heart J 75 752–58.
[19] Dilaveris P, Gialafos E, Poloniecki J, Hnatkova K, Richter D, Andrikopoulos G, Lazaki E, Gialafos J and Malik M 2000 Clinical Cardiology 23 600–606