Abstract: We develop an electrochemical sensor for the determination of bromhexine hydrochloride (BHC), a widely use mucolytic drug. The sensor is prepared by electrodeposition of cobalt oxides (CoOₓ) on a glassy carbon electrode modified with carboxylated single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNT). A synergistic effect between CoOₓ and SWCNT is observed, leading to a significant improvement in the BHC electrooxidation current. Based on cyclic voltammetry studies at varying scan rates, we conclude that the electrochemical oxidation of BHC is under mixed diffusion–adsorption control. The proposed sensor allows the amperometric determination of BHC in a linear range of 10–500 µM with a low applied voltage of 0.75 V. The designed sensor provides reproducible measurements, is not affected by common interfering substances, and shows excellent performance for the analysis of BHC in pharmaceutical preparations.
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1. Introduction

Bromhexine hydrochloride (BHC or 2-amino-3,5-dibromo-N-cyclohexyl-N-methylbenzylamine hydrochloride) is a small-molecule mucolytic drug [1]. BHC reduces the viscosity of mucus and enhances lysosomal activity, resulting in the hydrolysis of mucopolysaccharides. BHC is thus used to facilitate mucus clearance in different respiratory disorders, including the treatment of bronchiectasis and emphysema in chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder (COPD) [2]. Recently, Ansarin et al. reported the oral administration of BHC in the early treatment of COVID-19 patients and showed positive outcomes, including reduced ICU transfer, intubation, and mortality rates [3]. However, there are also risks involved with BHC use. For example, patients with a history of gastric ulcers should avoid BHC because it can deteriorate mucosal barriers in the gastrointestinal tract. Moreover, high doses of BHC can cause nausea, rash, diarrhea, and other adverse effects. Thus, the accurate quantification of BHC in pharmaceutical products and clinical samples is critical [4]. Several analytical techniques have been employed for BHC analysis, including high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) [5], spectrophotometry [6], electrochemiluminescence [7], and potentiometric titration [8]. These methods require sophisticated and expensive instruments, which are often not available in low-resource areas. The electrochemical analysis of BHC seems like a promising alternative, as it could enable portable instruments with reasonable sensitivity [9]. The electrochemical oxidation
mechanism of BHC has been elucidated [10], and it seems suitable for the development of an electrochemical sensor.

A major obstacle in electrochemical sensing is the need for large overpotentials to detect an analyte and the consequent electrode fouling, which can deteriorate its performance and stability [11]. Many surface modifications have been proposed to reduce fouling accretion on electrodes [12]; a promising approach is based on coating the electrode surface with metal-oxide nanoparticles, which reduces the overpotentials required [13]. Cobalt oxide nanoparticles are particularly interesting due to their unique properties, including large surface area, high dispersion (fraction of atoms on the surface), and great chemical stability [14]. Electrodes modified with cobalt oxide nanoparticles showed excellent electrocatalytic activity on glucose [15], arsenic(III) [16], nitrite [17], H$_2$O$_2$ [18], and p-nitrophenol [19]. Several preparation methods for cobalt oxide nanoparticles have been reported based on hydrothermal synthesis [20], precipitation [21], electrodeposition [22,23], as well as synthesis using leaf extracts [24]. Electrodeposition enables the formation of cobalt oxide nanoparticles in situ on an electrode in a fast and controlled manner [25]. Moreover, the electrodeposition of cobalt oxides directly onto an electrode surface is generally a simpler and more controllable method [26]. Specific advantages include controlling the amount deposited through the electricity supplied over time as well as enabling the fabrication of heterojunctions between oxides and sulfide materials, in which a strong adsorption between two phases is established through electrodeposition [27].

Another strategy to further enhance electrochemical sensors is to modify the electrodes with carbon-based nanomaterials, such as multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs), single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs), graphene, or carbon nanofibers [28]. Thanks to their large electroactive surface area and excellent electrical conductivity, these nanomaterials often help decrease the overpotentials and improve the performance of electrochemical sensors [29,30]. Carboxylated SWCNTs are particularly attractive [31] because the carboxyl groups minimize the agglomeration of CNTs and allows their dispersion in water [32].

In this work, we proposed an amperometric sensor for BHC determination in pharmaceutical preparations. Carboxylated SWCNTs were casted on the surface of glassy carbon electrode (GCE) followed by the electrodeposition of cobalt oxide nanoparticles (CoO$_x$ NPs) by cyclic voltammetry. The prepared electrode was characterized using voltammetry, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, and electron microscopy. The electrode was then evaluated as a sensor for BHC; it demonstrated a reduction in overpotentials required for BHC oxidation and a significant increase in sensitivity compared to an unmodified electrode. The designed sensor was successfully used for the determination of BHC in pharmaceutical preparations and demonstrated the advantages of combining carboxylated SWCNTs with cobalt oxide nanoparticles.

### 2. Results and Discussion

#### 2.1. Electrodeposition of CoO$_x$NPs on SWCNTs/GCE

The electrodeposition of CoO$_x$NPs on a SWCNTs-modified GCE was adapted from Salimi et al. [16,33]. The SWCNT/GCE was subjected to 30 cyclic voltammetry cycles in the range −1.1 to 1.1 V in 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7.0 containing 0.1 M CoCl$_2$. A variety of peaks can be observed in the cyclic voltammogram (CV) (Figure 1A), which are described next.

Figure 1B highlights the first CV scan. The cathodic peak (peak I) at −1.05 V corresponds to the reaction:

\[
\text{Co}^{2+} + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{Co} \quad (1)
\]

The cathodic peak current of peak I decreases with the number of scan cycles, indicating that the Co(II) ions are reduced to metallic cobalt. A small anodic peak (peak II) is visible at 0.1 V in the reverse scan, which is associated with the dissolution of the cobalt layer on the electrode surface (reverse of Equation (1)) [34,35].
The anodic peak at 0.9 V (peak III) is associated with Co$_3$O$_4$ film formation by reaction (2).

$$3\text{Co(OH)}_2 + 2\text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Co}_3\text{O}_4 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2e^-$$

The peak at the high oxidation potential of ca. 1.1 V (peak IV) corresponds to the oxidation of Co(OH)$_2$ or Co$_3$O$_4$ into CoOOH, indicated by Equations (3) and (4) [29].

$$\text{Co(OH)}_2 + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{CoOOH} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + e^- \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow 3\text{CoOOH} + e^- \quad (4)$$

The reductions at 1.1 V and 0.75 V (peak V and peak VI in Figure 1C) are related to the conversion of CoOOH into Co(OH)$_2$ or Co$_3$O$_4$ (reverse of Equations (3) and (4)). As a result, the electrodeposited CoO$_x$ consist of different cobalt-containing phases, including Co$_3$O$_4$ and CoOOH.

**Figure 1.** (A) Cyclic voltammograms for the SWCNTs/GCE recorded in 0.1 M CoCl$_2$ solution with 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7 at a scan rate of 50 mV/s. (B) 1st CV. (C) 30th CV.

### 2.2. Surface Morphology of the Electrodes

The surface of the electrodes upon different modifications was investigated by SEM. Firstly, a CoO$_x$ film was directly deposited on the GCE surface, leading to discrete CoO$_x$NPs particles of different sizes (Figure 2A). The formation of such heterogeneous, discontinuous film agrees with the observations by Spataru et al. [33]. Secondly, the GCE surface was modified with SWCNTs by drop-casting. In this case, a three-dimensional network of SWCNTs with high porosity and large surface area can be clearly observed in Figure 2B. Thirdly, when the CoO$_x$ film was electrodeposited on the SWCNT/GCE, we observe the formation of discrete CoO$_x$ particles on the SWCNTs mesh (Figure 2C). In this case, CoO$_x$NPs are formed upon adsorption of Co(II) on the SWCNTs surface, enabling the heterogeneous nucleation of CoO$_x$. The chemical composition of the CoO$_x$/SWCNTs/GCE surface was investigated by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX). The results are
shown in Figure S1 and Table S1 and evidence the presence of C, O, and Co, confirming the deposition of CoO$_x$ on the layer of SWCNT. Some elements such as Na, P, and Cl are detected at low levels (less than 1%) and likely originate from the electrodeposition buffer.

2.3. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy

The electrical conductivity and charge transfer resistance ($R_{ct}$) are essential properties of an electrochemical sensor. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is a useful technique that can evaluate these properties and how they are affected by different modifications.

The Nyquist plots of the electrodes in this work are shown in Figure 3. The unmodified GCE gives a $R_{ct}$ value of ca. 6 kΩ (Table 1). For the CoO$_x$/GCE, the $R_{ct}$ observed shows the highest $R_{ct}$ value among all the electrodes investigated—ca. 9.7 kΩ. This is attributed to the low conductivity of CoO$_x$ nanoparticles on the GCE surface, which hinders the electron transfer from the redox couple of Fe(CN)$_6^{4−}/3−$. The SWCNT/GCE displayed a small $R_{ct}$ value of 120 Ω thanks to the excellent conductivity and high surface area provided by the CNTs. The CoO$_x$/SWCNT/GCE design shows the lowest $R_{ct}$ value of only 70 Ω. The EIS results thus demonstrate that a combination of CoO$_x$ nanoparticles and SWCNT drastically accelerates the electron transfer. Such low resistance is enabled by the synergistic effect between SWCNT and CoO$_x$ nanoparticles [15]. The electroactive surface area of the GCE with different modifications calculated from cyclic voltammetry in the redox probe solution of 5 mM K$_3$[Fe(CN)$_6$] containing 0.1 M KCl are presented in Figure S2 and Table S2.
On SWCNT/GCE, BHC oxidation was clearly observed at a peak potential of ca. 0.9 V, with a peak current similar to that of the unmodified GCE. The lower potential value might be due to a favorable electrostatic interaction between the positively charged BHC and the oxygen species in CoOx.

A pH value of 5.5 was thus selected for subsequent studies.

Table 1. Comparison of the charge transfer resistance ($R_{ct}$) estimated for the electrodes with different modifications.

| Electrode              | $R_{ct}$ (Ω) |
|------------------------|--------------|
| Bare GCE               | 5910         |
| CoOx/GCE               | 9742         |
| SWCNT/GCE              | 120          |
| CoOx/SWCNT/GCE         | 70           |

2.4. Electrochemical Behavior of BHC on CoOx/SWCNT/GCE

BHC can be electrochemically oxidized (Figure 4A) and a mechanism has been previously proposed [10]. The reaction involves two electrons and two protons with two sequential oxidation steps. In this work, the detection of BHC was based on the first oxidation step. The second oxidation step yields a lower signal and was not used. We investigated the electrochemical behavior of BHC by cyclic voltammetry using electrodes with different modifications in a phosphate buffer pH 5.5.

Figure 4. (A) Schematic diagram of BHC electrochemical oxidation (B) Cyclic voltammograms of 1 mM BHC in 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 5.5 over bare GCE, CoOx/GCE, SWCNT/GCE and CoOx/SWCNT/GCE at a scan rate of 100 mV/s.
The CVs of the electrodes in the presence of 1 mM BHC are shown in Figure 4B. The results clearly show the impact of the electrode modifications on the BHC oxidation peak. The unmodified GCE showed the highest overpotential for BHC oxidation at 0.9 V. The oxidation peak for CoO\textsubscript{x}/GCE occurred at a lower overpotential of ca. 0.85 V, with a peak current similar to that of the unmodified GCE. The lower potential value might be due to a favorable electrostatic interaction between the positively charged BHC and the oxygen species in CoO\textsubscript{x}.

On SWCNT/GCE, BHC oxidation was clearly observed at a peak potential of ca. 0.83 V, with a drastic increase in the anodic current up to 60 \( \mu \)A. When CoO\textsubscript{x} nanoparticles were electrochemically deposited on the surface of SWCNT/GCE, the BHC oxidation peak maintained this low overpotential and showed the highest peak current of all the electrodes studied, ca. 75 \( \mu \)A. This result is consistent with the EIS and confirms that the co-localization of SWCNT and CoO\textsubscript{x} nanoparticles on the GCE surface enables a favorable electron transfer in BHC oxidation. Therefore, the CoO\textsubscript{x}/SWCNT/GCE electrode design was chosen for further study.

2.5. Effect of pH

The two-step oxidation of BHC produces two protons, two electrons, and their respective products, as shown in Figure 4A. Since BCH oxidation involves the loss of protons, the pH of the electrolyte solution plays a crucial role. The CVs of 0.1 M BHC in 0.1 M phosphate buffers with pH ranging from 4 to 7 are shown in Figure 5A. The anodic peak potential decreases as the pH of the solution increases (Figure 5B). A linear decline of the BHC oxidation potential with the pH is obtained according to the equation

$$E_{pa}(V) = -0.049pH + 1.1206 \quad (R^2 = 0.9941).$$

The slope of this line (−0.049) is slightly higher than the theoretical Nernst value of −0.059, indicating that the first electrooxidation of BHC involves a two-electron, one-proton process [10].

![Figure 5](image-url)
Although the second oxidation peak might be observed at potentials higher than 1 V [10], we focused on the first oxidation peak given its stronger signal. The anodic peak currents are plotted against the pH in Figure 5C. An increase in peak current was observed between pH 4 and 5.5, and a decrease was observed at pH values higher than 5.5. This behavior may be attributed to the precipitation of BHC at a high pH [10], resulting in a decrease in the anodic oxidation current. A pH value of 5.5 was thus selected for subsequent studies.

2.6. Effect of Scan Rate

We investigated the effect of the scan rate on the electrooxidation of BHC. Cyclic voltammetry was carried out with CoOx/SWCNT/GCE in phosphate buffer pH 5.5 and 1 mM BHC at scan rates in the range 10–200 mV/s. The results, in Figure 6A, show an increase in the anodic peak current with the applied scan rate. Moreover, the anodic peak potential of BHC also increased with the scan rate. We believe that this behavior is due to the irreversible oxidation of BHC on the electrode surface. The anodic peak current values ($I_p$) were plotted against the scan rate ($v$) and square root scan rate ($v^{1/2}$), shown in Figure 6B,C, respectively. The plots show a reasonable linearity between the peak current and $v$ or $v^{1/2}$. This indicates that both adsorption and diffusion rates of BHC contribute similarly to the observed kinetics of the process, rather than one phenomenon dominating the kinetics.

**Figure 6.** (A) Cyclic voltammograms of 1 mM BHC at the CoOx/SWCNT/GCE in phosphate buffer pH 5.5 at different scan rates from 0.010 to 0.20 V/s; potential range: 0.6 – 1.0 V. (B) a plot of anodic peak potential vs. scan rate. (C) A plot of anodic peak current vs. square root scan rate.

The limit of detection (LOD) of the BHC sensor was 8.1 µM, estimated from $3\sigma/m$, where $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of a blank and $m$ is the slope of the BHC calibration graph. Table 2 compares the performance of BHC sensors reported in different studies. Our CoOx/SWCNT/GCE design shows a wider linear range for BHC determination than previous sensors, enabling its use for the analysis of pharmaceutical preparations with higher BHC levels. Moreover, the detection of BHC based on amperometry with forced convection in this work offers shorter analysis times than adsorption-differential pulse-
2.7. Analytical Characteristics of the Proposed Electrode

The electrode design CoOx/SWCNT/GCE was studied as an amperometric BHC sensor. A constant potential of 0.75 V was chosen for carrying out a BHC calibration. This value was selected to attain a high current from the BHC oxidation while avoiding the electrode fouling that can take place over time at higher operating potentials [36]. The current response was monitored as BHC was added to a phosphate buffer pH 5.5. The electrolyte was continuously stirred at 300 rpm, which accelerates the mass transport by forced convection. A pronounced increase in amperometric response was observed as the BHC concentration increased (Figure 7A). A steady-state current was attained in less than 20 s after each addition, showing that the CoOx/SWCNT/GCE had a fast response time. A calibration curve for BHC amperometric sensing was built with concentrations ranging from 10 to 500 µM. The linear equation obtained is \( I_p (\mu A) = 0.0193c (\mu M) + 2.9585 \) \( (R^2 = 0.9937) \), which is plotted in Figure 7B. At BHC concentrations above 500 µM, a deviation from linearity can be observed, which may be due to the adsorption of the reaction products generated (Figure 4A), resulting in fouling.

![Figure 7. (A) Chronoamperometric response after successive additions of BHC with the resulting concentration ranging from 10 to 500 µM. Measurements were carried out with CoOx/SWCNT/GCE in phosphate buffer pH 5.5; applied potential = 0.75 V vs. Ag/AgCl 3 M KCl, stirring = 300 rpm. (B) Calibration curve for BHC determination (n = 3).](image)

The limit of detection (LOD) of the BHC sensor was 8.1 µM, estimated from \( 3\sigma/m \), where \( \sigma \) is the standard deviation of a blank and \( m \) is the slope of the BHC calibration graph. Table 2 compares the performance of BHC sensors reported in different studies. Our CoOx/SWCNT/GCE design shows a wider linear range for BHC determination than previous sensors, enabling its use for the analysis of pharmaceutical preparations with higher BHC levels. Moreover, the detection of BHC based on amperometry with forced convection in this work offers shorter analysis times than adsorption-differential pulse-voltammetry (DPV requires several minutes for the analyte to adsorb on the electrode surface).

| Modified Electrode                          | Method       | Linear Dynamic Range (µM) | LOD (µM) | Ref. |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|----------|-----|
| Poly(procaterol hydrochloride)/MWCNT/GCE   | DPV          | 0.2–1.0 and 1.0–8.0       | 0.1      | [4] |
| Glassy Carbon Electrode (GCE)              | DPV          | 20–100                    | 14       | [10]|
| Ni-nanoparticles/MWCNT/Pt                  | SWV          | 5–230                     | 3.0      | [37]|
| Glassy carbon paste-flow injection         | Amperometry  | 0.31–2.0                  | 0.31     | [38]|
| CoOx/SWCNT/GCE                             | Amperometry  | 10–500                    | 8.1      | This work |

Table 2. Comparison of electrochemical sensors reported for the determination of bromhexine hydrochloride.
2.8. Reproducibility, Repeatability and Interference Studies

The reproducibility of the CoO\textsubscript{x}/SWCNT/GCE sensor was examined by performing amperometric measurements of 300 µM BHC with five independently prepared electrodes. The current response obtained shows a relative standard deviation (RSD) of 9.60%, indicating that the proposed sensor offers reproducible performance for BHC analysis. Moreover, the repeatability of the modified electrode was evaluated by measuring the current response from a 300 µM BHC solution five times with one single electrode. The results show an excellent repeatability, with a RSD of 3.96%.

The effect of potential interferents on BHC detection was investigated by measuring the amperometric response of 50 µM BHC in phosphate buffer pH 5.5 in the presence of different substances, including glucose, sucrose, ribose, caffeine, NH\textsubscript{4}Cl, MgCl\textsubscript{2}, and KNO\textsubscript{3}. Although these substances were introduced at individual concentrations 100 times higher (30 mM) than that of BHC, they had no significant effect on the BHC current response (less than 10%), as shown in Table S3. These results confirm that the proposed sensor shows high selectivity towards BHC over these potential interferents.

2.9. Real Sample Analysis

The proposed electrochemical sensor was used to determine the BHC concentration in pharmaceutical preparations. Prior to BHC analysis, a commercial tablet was ground and diluted with phosphate buffer pH 5.5, while the liquid formulation was diluted at a ratio 1:40 with the same buffer. The BHC concentration was determined by the standard addition method. The results obtained with the CoO\textsubscript{x}/SWCNT/GCE amperometric sensor are shown in Table 3. The BHC contents determined in the tablet and liquid formulation are 8.26 mg/tablet and 4.55 mg/5 mL, respectively, while the nominal values are 8 mg/tablet and 4 mg/5 mL. The %recovery values are close to 100% and the variation between repeated measurements is very low, highlighting the excellent performance of the CoO\textsubscript{x}/SWCNT/GCE sensor.

| Sample Added (µM) | Found (µM) | %Recovery | %RSD \(^1\) |
|-------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Tablet 1 | 50.09 | - | 2.34 |
| 50 | 103.48 | 103.48 | 9.58 |
| 100 | 152.14 | 101.37 | 5.54 |
| Liquid formulation 2 | 55.10 | - | 6.08 |
| 50 | 110.12 | 104.78 | 2.96 |
| 100 | 171.15 | 110.34 | 1.33 |

\(^1\) Relative standard deviation of three measurements.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Reagents and Materials

Bromhexine hydrochloride and cobalt chloride were obtained from ChemPUR (Karlruhe, Germany). Single-walled carbon nanotubes functionalized with 3–6% -COOH groups (P3-SWCNT) was purchased from Carbon Solutions, Inc. (Riverside, CA, USA). Disodium hydrogen phosphate, potassium dihydrogen phosphate, and potassium chloride were obtained from QrëC (Auckland, New Zealand). All chemicals used in this work were of analytical grade. All aqueous solutions were prepared with deionized (DI) water.

3.2. Apparatus

All voltammetric experiments were performed at room temperature using a three-electrode setup equipped with a potentiostat (an Autolab PGSTAT204 with Nova2.1 software package, Utrecht, The Netherlands). The three-electrode setup for the electrochemical measurements consisted of a Ag/AgCl 3 M KCl as the reference electrode, a Pt sheet
(1 × 1 cm) as the counter electrode, and a glassy carbon electrode (GCE) as the working electrode (WE). Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy was conducted using a PalmSens 4 EIS potentiostat/galvanostat controlled by PSTrace 5.8 software (PalmSens, Houten, The Netherlands). The same reference and counter electrodes were used for the impedance measurements of the GCE and the modified GCE. The pH values of the buffer solution were determined using a calibrated pH meter (Mettler Toledo, Columbus, OH, USA). The surface morphologies of the GCE electrode surface with different modifications were investigated using a field-emission scanning electron microscope (Zeiss AURIGA FE-SEM/FIB/EDX, Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany) at 1 keV acceleration and 30 µm aperture.

3.3. Modification of the GCE

Prior to modification, the glassy carbon electrode (GCE, 3 mm diameter) surface was sequentially polished on chamois leather containing 5, 1, and 0.5 µm alpha-alumina (Al₂O₃) slurry. It was then sonicated in DI water for 10 min and dried in air. SWCNT were dispersed in ethanol (4 mg/mL) in an ultrasonication bath for 30 min. Then, 2 µL of the SWCNT suspension was drop-casted on the surface of the GCE and allowed to dry under an infrared lamp. The SWCNT/GCE was immersed in 0.1 M cobalt chloride in 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7. A cobalt oxide film (CoOₓ) was created by cyclic voltammetry with a potential range from −1.1 to 1.1 V over 30 cycles at a scan rate of 50 mV/s. The same technique without the SWCNT casting was used to prepare the CoOₓ/GCE.

3.4. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy

EIS measurements were carried out in a solution containing 5 mM Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻/₃⁻ in 0.1 M KCl with an open-circuit potential of 215 mV, at frequencies ranging from 100 kHz to 50 mHz and an AC amplitude of 5 mV.

3.5. Preparation of Real Samples

For the bromhexine tablet, an accurately weighed portion (0.1210 g) of each homogenized tablet (BROMSTAR©, nominal content of 8 mg BHC/tablet) was dissolved with 10 mL DI water. For the liquid drug formulation (BISOZIN©, nominal content of 4 mg BHC per 5 mL), 250 µL of the sample was transferred to an electrochemical cell containing 10 mL of phosphate buffer pH 5.5. No pretreatment was used for any of the samples.

4. Conclusions

We propose a facile approach for fabricating an electrochemical sensor useful for the determination of BHC. A CoOₓ film is deposited by cyclic voltammetry on a SWCNT-modified GCE. The presence of both SWCNT and CoOₓ leads to an improvement in the current response from the electrochemical oxidation of BHC. After optimizing the measurement conditions, the proposed sensor exhibited a high sensitivity and a wide analytical range for the determination of BHC. Moreover, the sensor delivered reproducible analytical results and a good selectivity towards BHC oxidation. The application of the proposed sensor for BHC determination in pharmaceutical samples was also successfully demonstrated.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules27134078/s1, Figure S1: SEM-image of CoOₓ/SWCNT/GCE and its SEM-EDX spectrum of CoOₓ particles on the SWCNT/GCE; Table S1: EDX analysis of the CoOₓ/SWCNT/GCE; Figure S2: Cyclic voltammograms of the GCE with different modifications in 5 mM K₃[Fe(CN)₆] containing 0.1 M KCl at the scan rate of 50 mV/s; Table S2: Comparison of the electroactive surface area for the electrode with different modifications estimated from CV in 5 mM K₃[Fe(CN)₆] containing 0.1 M KCl; Table S3: Effect of interfering substances on the current response for BHC determination (50 µM) using the CoOₓ/SWCNT/GCE.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.L. and P.P.; methodology, S.L., P.M. (Pratchaya Muamkhunthod), K.T. and J.P.; validation, S.L., V.B., K.C. and P.P.; investigation, S.L., P.M. (Pratchaya Muamkhunthod).
Muakhunthod, K.T., J.P., J.M. and P.M. (Pachara Maneecheot); resources, S.L., K.C. and P.P.; data curation, S.L., V.B. and P.P.; writing—original draft preparation, V.B. and P.P.; writing—review and editing, S.L., V.B., K.C. and P.P.; visualization, S.L. and K.C.; supervision, S.L.; project administration, S.L. and P.P.; funding acquisition, P.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by Suranaree University of Technology (SUT), Thailand Science Research and Innovation (TSRI), and National Science, Research and Innovation Fund (NSRF) (42851 and 90464).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** We acknowledge Kewalee Prompiputtanapon (Center for Scientific and Technological Equipment, Suranaree University of Technology) for technical support during the SEM experiments.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Sample Availability:** Samples of the compounds are not available from the authors.

**References**

1. Li, T.; Sun, L.; Zhang, W.; Zheng, C.; Jiang, C.; Chen, M.; Chen, D.; Dai, Z.; Bao, S.; Shen, X. Bromhexine Hydrochloride Tablets for the Treatment of Moderate COVID-19: An Open-Label Randomized Controlled Pilot Study. *Clin. Transl. Sci.* 2020, 13, 1096–1102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

2. Zanasi, A.; Mazzolini, M.; Kantar, A. A reappraisal of the mucoactive activity and clinical efficacy of bromhexine. *Multidiscip. Respir. Med.* 2017, 12, 7. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

3. Ansarin, K.; Tolouian, R.; Ardalan, M.; Taghizadieh, A.; Varshochi, M.; Teimouri, S.; Vaezi, T.; Valizadeh, H.; Saleh, P.; Safiri, S.; et al. Effect of bromhexine on clinical outcomes and mortality in COVID-19 patients: A randomized clinical trial. *Bioimpacts* 2020, 10, 209–215. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

4. Kong, D.; Han, L.; Wang, Z.; Jiang, L.; Zhang, Q.; Wu, Q.; Su, J.; Lu, C.; Chen, G. An electrochemical sensor based on poly (procatelrol hydrochloride)/carboxy multi-walled carbon nanotube for the determination of bromhexine hydrochloride. *RSC Adv.* 2019, 9, 11901–11911. [CrossRef]

5. Kumar, A.; Nanda, S. A validated high performance liquid chromatographic method for estimation of bromhexine and terbutaline in bulk and tablet dosage forms. *Pharm. Methods* 2011, 2, 218–222. [CrossRef]

6. Susmitha, K.; Thirumalachary, M.; Venkateshwarlu, G. Spectrophotometric Determination of Bromhexine HCl in Pure and Pharmaceutical Formulations. *ISRN Anal. Chem.* 2013, 2013, 861851. [CrossRef]

7. Kong, D.; Huang, S.; Cheng, J.; Zhuang, Q.; Liu, Y.; Lu, C.-H. Sensitive determination of bromhexine hydrochloride based on its quenching effect on luminol/H2O2 electrochemiluminescence system. *Luminescence* 2018, 33, 698–703. [CrossRef]

8. Arafat, T. Bromhexine Determination by Diazotization Using Potentiometric Titration: Application to Content Uniformity. *Anal. Lett.* 1990, 23, 311–326. [CrossRef]

9. Pinyou, P.; Blay, V.; Kamkaew, A.; Chansaenpak, K.; Chansaenpak, K.; Kampaengsri, S.; Tongnark, M.; Reesunthia, I.; Khonru, T.; Jakmunee, J. Wiring Xanthine Oxidase Using an Osmium-Complex-Modified Polymer for Application in Biosensing. *ChemElectroChem* 2022, 9, e202101597. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

10. Turchán, M.; Jara-Ulloa, P.; Bollo, S.; Nuñez-Vergara, L.J.; Squella, J.A.; Álvarez-Lueje, A. Voltammetric behaviour of bromhexine and its determination in pharmaceuticals. *Talanta* 2007, 73, 913–919. [CrossRef]

11. Hanssen, B.L.; Siraj, S.; Wong, D.K.Y. Recent strategies to minimise fouling in electrochemical detection systems. *Rev. Anal. Chem.* 2016, 35, 1–28. [CrossRef]

12. Zhou, L.; Li, X.; Zhu, B.; Su, B. An Overview of Antifouling Strategies for Electrochemical Analysis. *Electroanalysis* 2021, 34, 966–975. [CrossRef]

13. Palacios-Santander, J.M.; Terzi, F.; Zanardi, C.; Piganí, L.; Cubillana-Aguilar, L.M.; Naranjo-Rodriguez, I.; Seeber, R. Electrocatalytic and antifouling properties of CoO2-glasy carbon electrodes. *I. Solid State Electrochem.* 2016, 20, 3125–3131. [CrossRef]

14. Shahid, M.M.; Rameshkumar, P.; Pandikumar, A.; Lim, H.N.; Ng, Y.H.; Huang, N.M. An electrochemical sensing platform based on a reduced graphene oxide–cobalt oxide nanocube@platinum nanocomposite for nitric oxide detection. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2015, 3, 14458–14468. [CrossRef]

15. Li, S.-J.; Du, J.-M.; Chen, J.; Mao, N.-N.; Zhang, M.-J.; Pang, H. Electrodeposition of cobalt oxide nanoparticles on reduced graphene oxide: A two-dimensional hybrid for enzyme-free glucose sensing. *I. Solid State Electrochem.* 2014, 18, 1049–1056. [CrossRef]

16. Salimi, A.; Mamkhezri, H.; Hallaj, R.; Soltanian, S. Electrochemical detection of trace amount of arsenic(III) at glassy carbon electrode modified with cobalt oxide nanoparticles. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2008, 129, 246–254. [CrossRef]
17. Meng, Z.; Liu, B.; Zheng, J.; Sheng, Q.; Zhang, H. Electrodeposition of cobalt oxide nanoparticles on carbon nanotubes, and their electrocatalytic properties for nitrite electrooxidation. Microchim. Acta 2011, 175, 251–257. [CrossRef]

18. Li, S.-J.; Du, J.-M.; Zhang, J.-P.; Zhang, M.-J.; Chen, J. A glassy carbon electrode modified with a film composed of cobalt oxide nanoparticles and graphene for electrochemical sensing of H$_2$O$_2$. Microchim. Acta 2014, 181, 631–638. [CrossRef]

19. Wahab, R.; Ahmad, N.; Alam, M.; Ahmad, J. The development of cobalt oxide nanoparticles based electrode to elucidate the rapid sensing of nitrophenol. Mater. Sci. Eng. B 2021, 265, 114994. [CrossRef]

20. Lester, E.; Aksomaityte, G.; Li, J.; Gomez, S.; Gonzalez-Gonzalez, J.; Poliaikoff, M. Controlled continuous hydrothermal synthesis of cobalt oxide (Co$_3$O$_4$) nanoparticles. Prog. Cryst. Growth Charact. Mater. 2012, 58, 3–13. [CrossRef]

21. Lakra, R.; Kumar, R.; Nath Thatoi, D.; Kumar Sahoo, P.; Soam, A. Synthesis and characterization of cobalt oxide (Co$_3$O$_4$) nanoparticles. Mater. Today Proc. 2021, 41, 269–271. [CrossRef]

22. Wang, J.-F.; Bai, S.-X.; Ye, Y.-C.; Zhu, L.-A.; Zhang, H. A comparative study of rhenium coatings prepared on graphite wafers by chemical vapor deposition and electrodeposition in molten salts. Rare Met. 2021, 40, 202–211. [CrossRef]

23. Li, X.-Y.; Qi, J.-K.; Yin, H.-Y. Electrolytic alloy-type anodes for metal-ion batteries. Rare Met. 2021, 40, 329–352. [CrossRef]

24. Akhlaghi, N.; Najafpour-Darzi, G.; Younesi, H. Facile and green synthesis of cobalt oxide nanoparticles using ethanolic extract of Trigonella foenum-graceum (Fenugreek) leaves. Adv. Powder Technol. 2020, 31, 3562–3569. [CrossRef]

25. Noorbakhsh, A.; Mirkalaei, M.M.; Yousefi, M.H.; Manochehri, S. Electrodeposition of Cobalt Oxide Nanostructure on the Glassy Carbon Electrode for Electro catalytic Determination of para-Nitrophenol. Electroanalysis 2014, 26, 2716–2726. [CrossRef]

26. Al-Hakemy, A.Z.; Nassr, A.B.A.A.; Naggar, A.H.; Elhoubey, M.S.; Soliman, H.M.A.E.-F.; Taher, M.A. Electrodeposited cobalt oxide nanoparticles modified carbon nanotubes as a non-precious catalyst electrode for oxygen reduction reaction. J. Appl. Electrochem. 2017, 47, 183–195. [CrossRef]

27. Liu, W.; Kamiko, M.; Yamada, I.; Yagi, S. Electrochemical deposition of amorphous cobalt oxides for oxygen evolution catalysis. RSC Adv. 2022, 12, 8731–8736. [CrossRef]

28. Maduraiveeran, G.; Jin, W. Carbon nanomaterials: Synthesis, properties and applications in electrochemical sensors and energy conversion systems. Mater. Sci. Eng. B 2021, 272, 115341. [CrossRef]

29. Power, A.C.; Gorey, B.; Chandra, S.; Chapman, J. Carbon nanomaterials and their application to electrochemical sensors: A review. Nanotechnol. Rev. 2018, 7, 19–41. [CrossRef]

30. Blay, V.; Galian, R.E.; Muresan, L.M.; Pankratov, D.; Pinyou, P.; Zampardi, G. Research Frontiers in Energy-Related Materials and Applications for 2020–2030. Sens. Actuators B Chem. 2014, 192, 720–724. [CrossRef]

31. Yu, W.; Tang, Y.; Sang, Y.; Liu, W.; Wang, S.; Wang, X. Preparation of a carboxylated single-walled carbon-nanotube-chitosan functional layer and its application to a molecularly imprinted electrochemical sensor to quantify semicarbazide. Food Chem. 2020, 333, 127524. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Heister, E.; Lamprecht, C.; Neves, V.; Tilmaciu, C.; Datas, L.; Flahaut, E.; Soula, B.; Hinterdorfer, P.; Coley, H.M.; Silva, S.R.P.; et al. Higher Dispersion Efficiency of Functionalized Carbon Nanotubes in Chemical and Biological Environments. ACS Nano 2010, 4, 2615–2626. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Spataru, N.; Terashima, C.; Tokuhiro, K.; Sutanto, I.; Tryk, D.A.; Park, S.-M.; Fujishima, A. Electrochemical Behavior of Cobalt Oxide Films Deposited at Conductive Diamond Electrodes. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2003, 150, E337. [CrossRef]

34. Floate, S.; Hyde, M.; Compton, R.G. Electrochemical and AFM studies of the electrodeposition of cobalt on glassy carbon: An analysis of the effect of ultrasound. J. Electroanal. Chem. 2002, 523, 49–63. [CrossRef]

35. Salimi, A.; Hallaj, R.; Soltanian, S.; Mammkezri, H. Nanomolar detection of hydrogen peroxide on glassy carbon electrode modified with electrodeposited cobalt oxide nanoparticles. Anal. Chim. Acta 2007, 594, 24–31. [CrossRef]

36. Kousar, A.; Peltola, E.; Laurila, T. Nanostructured Geometries Strongly Affect Fouling of Carbon Electrodes. ACS Omega 2021, 6, 26391–26403. [CrossRef]

37. Kutluay, A.; Aslanoglu, M. Nickel nanoparticles functionalized multi-walled carbon nanotubes at platinum electrodes for the detection of bromhexine. Sens. Actuators B Chem. 2014, 192, 720–724. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

38. Mika, J.; Moreira, J.C.; Nemeckova, A.; Zima, J.; Barek, J.; Dejmekova, H. Determination of bromhexine at a glassy carbon paste electrode using differential pulse voltammetry and flow injection analysis with amperometric detection. Monatsh. Chem. 2015, 146, 1211–1215. [CrossRef]