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ABSTRACT: Collisional complexes, which are formed as intermediate states in molecular collisions, are typically short-lived and decay within picoseconds. However, in ultracold collisions involving bialkali molecules, complexes can live for milliseconds, completely changing the collision dynamics. This can lead to unexpected two-body loss in samples of nonreactive molecules. During the past decade, such “sticky” collisions have been a major hindrance in the preparation of dense and stable molecular samples, especially in the quantum-degenerate regime. Currently, the behavior of the complexes is not fully understood. For example, in some cases, their lifetime has been measured to be many orders of magnitude longer than recent models predict. This is not only an intriguing problem in itself but also practically relevant, since understanding molecular complexes may help to mitigate their detrimental effects. Here, we review the recent experimental and theoretical progress in this field. We treat the case of molecule–molecule as well as molecule–atom collisions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Intermediate complexes play a significant role in collisions and reactions between molecules. A description of their formation and behavior is therefore crucial for understanding molecular scattering at the quantum level, a goal which is at the forefront of molecular physics and chemistry. A particularly interesting example is that of the so-called sticky collisions of ultracold ground-state bialkali molecules, which have puzzled researchers for years.

The “sticky mystery” started when multiple experiments unexpectedly observed loss in samples of chemically nonreactive bialkalis in the internal ground state. The loss had the character of a two-body process. In most cases, it was close to universal, which means that effectively every collision leads to loss of both of the particles involved. If the molecules are nonreactive and the collision energy is small, this should be impossible, since energy and momentum conservation forbid any changes to the chemical bonds or internal states of the involved particles. An initial proposed explanation was that, upon collision, the molecules form extremely long-lived complexes. This hypothesis was supported by Hu et al., who directly detected the complexes.

However, it remained unclear what causes the complexes to be lost. In 2020, two groundbreaking experiments showed that they could effectively be lost after being excited by light from optical dipole traps. Because these results matched theoretical predictions, it was then believed that sticky collisions were basically understood. However, three other experiments soon showed that, for certain other bialkali molecules, the loss persisted in the absence of trapping light, proving that the understanding was still incomplete.

From an experimental perspective, sticky collisions are problematic because they limit the lifetime and density of ultracold samples. They also hinder evaporative cooling, a staple technique used to reach quantum degeneracy, and make it hard to introduce scattering resonances, which would greatly enhance the ability to control molecular interactions. Solving these problems would enable crucial new applications of ultracold molecules, such as quantum simulation of systems with strong dipolar interactions.

From a theory standpoint, the complexes also pose an interesting challenge. Despite the fact that they only consist of four atoms, they are beyond the level of complexity that can be handled in state-of-the-art quantum dynamics calculations. In addition, existing effective models are insufficient: though their results have been experimentally confirmed in some cases, in others they disagree with experimental results by up to 5 orders of magnitude.
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Recent reviews have treated the creation of ultracold molecules and the general understanding of cold collisions.\(^{38-43}\) Here, we focus specifically on sticky collisions. We give an overview of recent experimental and theoretical results and discuss possible solutions for the large disagreement between them.

In Section 2, we explain the phenomenon of sticky collisions and introduce the accepted method for predicting complex lifetime. In Section 3, we describe the existing experimental methods to probe sticky collisions. We give an overview of previous results and their agreement (or lack of agreement) with theory. Section 4 contains a discussion of possible effects which were not considered in previous models. Section 5 focuses on the related problem of sticky collisions between atoms and diatoms, in the hope that a combined approach will lead to better understanding of both. Finally, in Section 6, we suggest research directions, both experimental and theoretical, which we believe to be promising in order to resolve the previously discussed discrepancies.

### 2. ESTABLISHED THEORY FRAMEWORK

#### 2.1. Complex Lifetime from RRKM Theory

The concept of sticky collisions was introduced in the works by Mayle et al.\(^{12,13}\) The main idea is illustrated in Figure 1. When two bialkali molecules collide, they enter the large phase space of the collision complex. Due to their strong and anisotropic interactions, the molecules move chaotically through the available configurations\(^{12,13,44-46}\) before eventually dissociating when they by chance go back to their respective initial states. The “sticking time” is in this picture naturally related to the size of the available phase space divided by the size of the “opening” through which the particles can leave.

These ideas are more precisely formulated in a quantum-mechanical way in the statistical Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel-Marcus (RRKM) theory.\(^{47-49}\) In this formalism, the lifetime of the complex, \(\tau_{\text{RRKM}}\), follows a simple relation between the density of states \(\rho\) and the number of outgoing states \(N_{\text{out}}\):\(^{12}\)

\[
\tau_{\text{RRKM}} = \frac{2\pi\hbar\rho}{N_{\text{out}}}
\]

Quantum mechanically, the notion of chaos implies that (almost) every eigenstate is delocalized over the entire accessible phase space.\(^{50}\) When these eigenstates couple into the scattering continuum, they give rise to scattering resonances which in turn lead to sticky collisions. Since the RRKM model is statistical, one needs to average over multiple resonances for the model to be predictive.

Applying this model to ultracold bialkali molecules yields sticking times, which are very long compared to molecular time scales. This is because \(N_{\text{out}}\) is small and \(\rho\) is very large. The reason that \(N_{\text{out}}\) is small is simple: If molecules are in their absolute ground state and are sufficiently cold, no inelastic collision channels are energetically accessible; thus \(N_{\text{out}} = 1\). For reactive collisions \(N_{\text{out}}\) is larger, but for bialkali molecules, the value is typically still modest. For example, for KRb + KRb, it is approximately 100.\(^{15}\) The available phase space is very large because of the strong chemical interaction. For example, the interaction energy of two NaK molecules is \(\sim 4500\) cm\(^{-1}\), and the rotational and vibrational constants are 0.095 and 124 cm\(^{-1}\). If the interaction energy is turned into kinetic energy, up to 200 rotational levels and 35 vibrational levels can be occupied (assuming a harmonic vibrational potential). When one takes into account the degeneracies of the rotations, this leads to \(\sim 20 000\) rotational states for a single molecule. Since the degrees of freedom of two molecules plus their relative motion need to be considered, the number of involved states becomes huge. It can grow even orders of magnitude further in the presence of external fields breaking angular momentum conservation or when the hyperfine state can change during the collision.

The large number of quantum states is exactly the reason why one needs to resort to statistical models in the first place, as it makes rigorous quantum mechanical calculations computationally intractable. Some of the most computationally demanding scattering calculations to date were carried out for alkali atom–diatom collisions\(^{45,46}\) which have three fewer motional degrees of freedom. This shows that moving to a full quantum description of molecule–molecule bialkali collisions will probably not be possible in at least the next decade, especially for the heavier species, for which \(\rho\) is generally larger. Even if these calculations were tractable, they are sensitive to the details of the interaction potential, which is hard to determine with sufficient precision. This means that only qualitative conclusions could be drawn from this kind of calculation.

Exactly computing the density of states is equally difficult as solving the scattering problem. However, here one can resort to quasiclassical approximations, which are believed to be accurate because the involved rovibrational quantum numbers are high. In ref 51, such a quasiclassical method was proposed to estimate \(\rho\) for realistic interaction potentials.\(^{51}\) In the absence of external fields and when the nuclear spin states of
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**Figure 1.** Schematic diagram of sticky collisions of nonreactive molecules. The box on the top left shows a classical picture. When molecules collide and enter the complex phase space, the path they follow is assumed to be random. The rest of the figure shows the possible pathways of sticky collisions. The kinetic-energy distribution of the initial ground-state molecules is set by the temperature. When they collide, they need to pass the threshold before they enter the short-range potential and form a complex. The number of available states in the complex may be increased depending on which quantum numbers are conserved (illustrated by additional smaller lines). The complex can be electronically excited by photons from the trapping laser and subsequently decay to other states. Otherwise, it can dissociate into ground-state molecules, or another hypothetical loss mechanism may occur.
the atoms are conserved, eq 1 typically yields $r_{\text{RRKM}}$ ranging from few to hundreds of microseconds for collisions between bialkali molecules.

Note that this discussion is specific to bialkali dimers. For example, many other molecules have much weaker interactions and would therefore not be expected to be sticky. This especially holds for typical chemically stable molecules naturally occurring in the gas phase. Even the triplet LiNa molecule \(^{20,21}\) is in this sense different from the other investigated bialkalis because it is very light and the interaction potential is comparatively shallow. Even in the case of very strong interactions, sticky collisions are not guaranteed. For example, CaF is highly reactive, \(^{22}\) leading to a large value of $N_{\text{out}}$.

2.2. Complex-Mediated Loss via Photoexcitation. The molecules sticking together for a long time when they collide does however not explain why they are lost. Several processes have been suggested, such as collisions with a third molecule \(^{13}\) and excitation by the trapping laser \(^{54}\) (see Figure 1). In typical experiments, where molecules are held in optical dipole traps, the latter mechanism is predicted to be strong enough to excite every complex which is formed.

Why can the trapping laser electronically excite the collision complexes? For the free molecules, the trapping laser is detuned from any electronic transition so that the molecules cannot be photoexcited. However, as the geometry of the complex is continuously evolving, the gap between its electronic ground and excited states changes, sometimes matching the trap laser frequency. Again, one can take a classical approximation and assume that the geometry of the complex does not change upon electronic excitation. This way, the photoexcitation rate can be computed statistically as an average over phase space. \(^{14}\) At typical intensities, the resulting rate is 1 to 2 orders of magnitude higher than the expected dissociation rate of the complexes. \(^{14}\) Once a complex has been excited, it can decay in multiple ways, such as spontaneous emission or dissociation into various asymptotic states. This makes it very unlikely that the colliding molecules go back to their original states, causing them to be effectively lost.

Given this mechanism, the rate equations for the molecule and complex populations ($n$ and $n_c$) take the form

$$n = -k_i n^2 + \frac{2C^2 \eta_i}{r_{\text{RRKM}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\frac{\dot{n}_c}{\eta_c} = \frac{k_i n^2}{2} - \frac{C^2 \eta_i}{r_{\text{RRKM}}} - k_i n_c$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Here, $k_i$ is the laser excitation rate coefficient, $k_i$ is the laser excitation rate coefficient, $I$ is the laser intensity, and $C^2$ is a factor which originates from quantum defect theory and describes the probability to cross the long-range part of the potential. \(^{11,65,56}\) This factor $C^2$ is implicitly also included in $k_2$ and will be described in more detail in Section 4. To accurately describe experimental data, it is often necessary to also model effects resulting from inhomogeneous density distribution, one-body loss, and evaporation. \(^{5,25}\)

Other loss processes, such as three-body collisions, non-excited complexes falling out of the trap, spontaneous decay into stable four-atom states, or excitation by blackbody radiation, seem to be orders of magnitude too slow compared to $r_{\text{RRKM}}$. \(^{51,58}\) Therefore, trapping ground-state molecules in the absence of intense laser light should lead to a suppression of the loss.

3. EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION

3.1. Creation and Detection of Ultracold Molecules. Ultracold diatomic molecules in the electronic and rovibrational ground state are typically created using a method established by Ni et al. in 2008. \(^{59}\) To do this, a mixture of alkali atoms is prepared in an optical dipole trap and a Feshbach resonance is used to associate molecules with a typical binding energy on the order of $10^{-4}$ cm$^{-1}$. The molecules are then transferred into the ground state, with a binding energy on the order of $10^3$ cm$^{-1}$, using stimulated Raman adiabatic passage. \(^{60,61}\) This method has been used with minor modifications for a number of molecular species. \(^{5,6,52,62,69}\) In recent years, direct laser cooling of molecules has made quick progress, enabling the cooling of species other than bialkalis into the ultracold regime. \(^{70}\) However, signatures of sticky collisions have not been observed with directly cooled molecules yet, as the collision experiments performed in such systems used highly reactive CaF molecules.

Most ultracold-molecule experiments use absorption or fluorescence imaging on atomic or molecular transitions to detect the molecules. These detection methods are typically specific to single quantum states and fail for complexes. However, if complex formation leads to the loss of the colliding molecules, this can be observed as two-body decay of the molecule number. Of course, this method is limited, as it only tells us that there is some destructive two-body process but no details about the mechanism. Still, clear experimental indications of sticky collisions were found in this way. \(^{5,9,65,73,74}\)

Some clues can already be extracted by comparing the observed loss rate to the universal rate, although the precision is limited by the difficulty of measuring the molecular density. For $^{87}$Rb$^{133}$Cs and for $^{23}$Na$^{87}$Rb in its first vibrationally excited state, these experiments found loss coefficients below the universal value. \(^{5,73}\) On the other hand, for $^{23}$Na$^{60}$K, loss rates significantly above the universal value were found. \(^{18}\) The deviations from universality would imply that the short-range loss probability, or the y-parameter central in quantum defect theory, \(^{11,53,75}\) is smaller than 1. In the RbCs experiment, y was found to be 0.26(3). \(^{73}\)

Two improved methods, which allow one to extract more information about complex formation and loss, have subsequently been demonstrated. The first is to detect the complexes directly, the second is to modify the molecule-trapping potential and see whether this changes the two-body loss. In the following, we will describe these two methods in more detail.

3.2. Detection of Complexes. An apparatus which can detect arbitrary intermediate complexes and reaction products of collisions between $^{85}$Rb$^{87}$Rb molecules has been constructed by the group of Kang-Kuen Ni \(^{14,76}\) (see Figure 2). It is based on a combination of photoionization, velocity-map imaging, and mass spectroscopy, similar to previous experiments on three-body recombination in Rb gases. \(^{79,80}\) The molecule sample is surrounded by a hollow ultraviolet beam whose photon energy is above the ionization threshold of the complexes. Thus, the formed complexes are immediately ionized and can subsequently be imaged on a microchannel plate. Controlling the electric field on their flight path allows resolution of their mass, such that the chemical composition of the complexes can be inferred.
In this way, it was proved that a K$_2$Rb$_2$ complex is indeed formed in collisions (see Figure 3). By temporarily shutting the dipole trap off, it was also shown that the complexes indeed scatter photons from the 1064 nm dipole trap, reducing their lifetime significantly.

The method has also been used to determine the distribution of rotational states created in the exothermic reaction 2KRb → K$_2$ + Rb$_2$. It was determined that nuclear spin is conserved in this reaction.

The direct detection of complexes is a very useful method, as it gives a detailed picture of what goes on in a collision. However, it requires photoionization, electric fields to guide ions, and ion detection, in addition to the already complicated apparatus needed to make ultracold ground-state molecules in the first place. Currently, the Harvard experiment is the only one of this type, although the construction of a similar setup with NaK was recently started in the group of Silke Ospelkaus at the University of Hannover.

3.3. Indirect Measurement of Complex Properties. When one assumes that the loss of complexes is dominated by photon scattering, there is another way of investigating it: significantly reduce the intensity of the dipole trap and see if this decreases the observed two-body decay rate. This has been experimentally realized in two ways. The first is using a chopped dipole trap, i.e., a trap whose intensity is periodically modulated in a square-wave pattern. Due to the inertia of the molecules, they experience a time-averaged potential and remain trapped if the modulation frequency is much higher than the harmonic trap frequency. During the periods where the trap is on, complexes are still likely to scatter at least one photon and are thus lost. However, if the off-times are at least comparable to the complex lifetime against dissociation into ground-state molecules and the intensity is low enough, the time-averaged two-body loss rate should be reduced (see Figure 4). Because the intensity modulation can cause loss in itself, it is difficult to directly compare lifetimes measured in modulated and nonmodulated traps. This can be fixed by adding a continuous laser, a “kill beam”, to the modulated trap and comparing the results with this kill beam on or off. By varying the kill beam power, one can probe the necessary intensity to excite a complex during its lifetime. Indeed, a reduction of loss in such an experiment was observed by Simon Cornish’s group with $^{87}$Rb$^{133}$Cs. In contrast, no reduction was found with $^{23}$Na$^{39}$K, $^{23}$Na$^{40}$K, and $^{23}$Na$^{87}$Rb.

To avoid heating due to the trap modulation, the molecules can be trapped at permanently low light intensity. Our team at MPQ realized this by loading $^{23}$Na$^{40}$K into a repulsive, box-shaped potential with sharp edges and very low residual intensity on its inside. No dependence of two-body decay on the light intensity inside the box could be found. Notably, in this experiment, the complexes were mostly lost by falling out of the trap, rather than being excited by residual light, assuming that they scatter photons at the theoretically
The molecular-frame dipole moment $d_i$ of $^{87}\text{K}$ is given in units of Debye. We denote the nuclear spin as $|m_i, m_{i,b}\rangle$, where $a$ and $b$ indicate the lighter and heavier atom in the molecule. Stretched hyperfine states are marked with a * and ground hyperfine states, with a †. For the predicted complex lifetimes $\tau_{\text{RRKM}}$ we assume no nuclear-spin changing collisions take place. The $\tau_{\text{RRKM}}$ values in brackets take the effect of reflection from the long-range potential into account as discussed in Section 4.1. The additional laser light, which ensures destruction of complexes for comparison (\textquotedblleft kill beam\textquotedblright), had a wavelength of 1064 nm except where stated otherwise. Where not explicitly noted, the experiments were performed at small electric and magnetic fields (below 10 V/cm and 200 G, respectively). For those experiments where only lower bounds of $\tau_{\text{exp}}$ are given, these are calculated under the assumption that the complex photoexcitation rates are equal to the predicted values. The temperature of molecules in most experiments listed is between 100 and 500 nK, except for $\text{RbCs}$, which had a temperature of around 2 K. For $\text{NaK}$, $\text{KRb}$, and $\text{NaRb}$, $\tau_{\text{RRKM}}$ values were computed in refs 15, 51, and 94. For $\text{RbCs}$, $\tau_{\text{RRKM}}$ was extrapolated from the $\text{NaK}$ result in ref 51. The recollision effect was studied in ref 55.

### Table 1. Experimental Results for the Complex Lifetime in Collisions between Bialkalis in the Electronic and Rovibrational Ground State

| molecule $d_i$/D | statistics | nuclear spin | setup | $\tau_{\text{exp}}$ (ns) | $\tau_{\text{RRKM}}$ (ns) | comments | ref |
|------------------|------------|--------------|-------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------|-----|
| $^{23}\text{Na}^{87}\text{K}$ | 2.7 | boson | $l$=3/2, $m_l=1/2$ | chopped | >0.35 | 6 | kill beam at 816, 950, and 1064 nm | 19 |
| $^{23}\text{Na}^{89}\text{K}$ | 2.7 | fermion | $l$=3/2, $m_l=4$ | box | >2.6 | 18 (4.9) | electric field 411 V/cm | 18 |
| $^{23}\text{Na}^{89}\text{Rb}$ | 3.2 | boson | $l$=3/2, $m_l=2$ | chopped | >1.4 | 18 (4.9) | microscopic | 18 |
| $^{40}\text{K}^{87}\text{Rb}$ | 0.6 | fermion | $l$=1, $m_l=2$ | direct det. | >0.3 | 170 (60) | reactive, electric field 17 V/cm | 15 |
| $^{87}\text{Rb}^{87}\text{Cs}$ | 1.2 | boson | $l$=3/2, $m_l=2$ | chopped | >2.3 | 0.253 | microscopic | 16 |
| $^{87}\text{Rb}^{87}\text{Cs}$ | 1.2 | fermion | $l$=3/2, $m_l=1$ | chopped | >2.7 | 0.253 | microscopic | 36 |
| $^{87}\text{Rb}^{87}\text{Cs}$ | 1.2 | mixed | $l$=3/2, $m_l=2$ | chopped | >3.3 | 0.253 | microscopic | 36 |

In principle, low light-intensity trapping could also be achieved with static electromagnetic fields\textsuperscript{81–89} or microwaves.\textsuperscript{90,91} However, bialkalis in the $X^\Sigma^+$ ground state can not be held in any static-field traps, because they experience a negative (high-field seeking) Stark shift and have a nearly zero magnetic moment. Trapping them with microwaves is theoretically possible but has never been demonstrated.

#### 3.4. Experimental Results

At this point, experiments on sticky collisions have been performed with five different species of bialkali molecules, some in multiple hyperfine states. A list of the results is given in Table 1. The first two experiments, both published in 2020, confirmed the RRKM predictions with both a reactive and a nonreactive species. With $^{40}\text{K}^{87}\text{Rb}$, a complex lifetime of 360 (30) ns was found, compared to $\tau_{\text{RRKM}} = 170 (60)$ ns. The measured photoexcitation rate was 420 (90) Hz/(W/cm\textsuperscript{2}), compared to the theoretically expected 400 Hz/(W/cm\textsuperscript{2}); however, a significant nonlinear contribution was also observed.\textsuperscript{15} With $^{87}\text{Rb}^{87}\text{Cs}$, the measured complex lifetime was 0.53 (6) ns, with $\tau_{\text{RRKM}} = 0.253$ ms, and the measured photoexcitation rate was 3 kHz/(W/cm\textsuperscript{2}) (see Figure 5).

Following these, there were three other experiments, which used $^{23}\text{Na}^{89}\text{K}$, $^{23}\text{Na}^{87}\text{K}$, and $^{23}\text{Na}^{87}\text{Rb}$ (see Figure 6). Very surprisingly, not only did these experiments disagree with the RRKM predictions, but also they could not reduce the loss of complexes with low light intensity at all. This was despite the fact that the predicted complex lifetimes for all these species are much shorter than that of $\text{RbCs}$, which should have made loss reduction even easier. If no loss reduction is found, such as in these experiments, it is impossible to extract complex lifetimes and photoexcitation rates. Then, only a combined lower bound for lifetime and photoexcitation rate can be measured. Typically, the experimental results are presented as a lower bound to the complex lifetime given the theoretical photoexcitation rate, since this prediction is considered most reliable.

When one looks at the table, there are two species where the observations agree with the RRKM predictions within a factor of 2, while for three others, they disagree by at least a factor 50. There is no obvious correlation between the molecular properties or the experiment design and the agreement with predictions. For example, one might expect that the loss rates are smaller for molecules which are in the hyperfine ground state or in a spin-stretched state (i.e., $m_F=\pm F_1$ and $F$ takes its maximum value), because spin-changing collisions are then forbidden. Considering $^{87}\text{Rb}^{87}\text{Cs}$ and $^{23}\text{Na}^{87}\text{Rb}$ in the
hypervin ground state, they should behave similarly: both are nonreactive bosons and spin-changing collisions are forbidden. Instead, in the experiments, one of them behaves as expected and the other, very differently.

Another surprising result was found at MIT, where a Feshbach resonance was observed in collisions of $^{23}$Na$^6$K in a repulsive box-shaped trap.\(^{18}\) The two-body loss coefficient $\beta$ versus 1064 nm light intensity. The solid line indicates the predictions from ref 51; the dashed line is calculated for the shortest complex lifetime, which is consistent with the experimental data within 3σ. (b) Sketch of the box trap used to confine molecules at low light intensity. The molecule cloud is shown in purple. One quadrant is cut out for visibility. (c) Parameter space confine molecules at low light intensity. The molecule cloud is shown in purple. One quadrant is cut out for visibility. (c) Parameter space confluence of complexes can only be lost by photoexitation or by leaving the trap. The three shaded areas are excluded with 1σ, 2σ, and 3σ confidence, respectively. The dashed lines indicate the predictions from ref 51.

Figure 6. Complex loss of $^{23}$Na$^6$K in a repulsive box-shaped trap.\(^{18}\) (a) Two-body loss coefficient $\beta$ versus 1064 nm light intensity. The solid line indicates the predictions from ref 51; the dashed line is calculated for the shortest complex lifetime, which is consistent with the experimental data within 3σ. (b) Sketch of the box trap used to confine molecules at low light intensity. The molecule cloud is shown in purple. One quadrant is cut out for visibility. (c) Parameter space excluded by the data under the assumption that complexes can only move far away from each other. This explains why trajectory simulations from ref 44 yielded too long sticking times, agreeing with the RRKM results from ref 12, which later turned out to be overestimated by orders of magnitude,\(^{51}\) and why simulations from ref 95 gave orders of magnitude shorter sticking times.

4. PROPOSALS BEYOND THE ESTABLISHED THEORY

4.1. The Validity of RRKM Theory. 4.1.1. The Assumption of Chaoticity. First, we discuss the validity of the assumption of chaotic dynamics, which is central in RRKM theory and underlies eq 1. Hamiltonians that exhibit quantum chaos have a special structure in their spectrum, where the density of states $\rho$ is set by the temperature, whereas the spacing of the resonances is set by the width of the resonance. It is unclear how to compare this lifetime with the experimental window of collision energies, then why are these not resolved? An answer to this question was proposed in ref 55. Here, the authors use quantum defect theory (QDT) to show that a third energy scale needs to be taken into consideration: the broadening of the resonances due to the loss. If the loss rate is fast compared to the dissociation rate of the complexes, this automatically implies that the resonances are broadened much beyond their natural width. As a result, instead of averaging over many narrow isolated resonances in a certain energy window, one averages over many overlapping broad resonances at a single collision energy. If the broadening is strong enough, statistical models become valid again. In this scenario, close-to-universal loss would occur independently of the collision energy without any resonances, which agrees with the experimental observations.

In the recent work by Croft et al.,\(^{56}\) it is argued that the validity of the RRKM model is also questionable in the case where the collision has only a single open channel. Then, the distribution of resonance widths is very strongly skewed toward narrow resonances, corresponding to long sticking times. This means that, when averaging over a small number of resonances, one most likely finds a longer sticking time than expected from RRKM. Even when sampling over many resonances, this could still have an impact on the actual loss rate. However, the estimated increase in sticking time from ref 56 is not sufficient to explain the experimental results.

4.1.3. Threshold Effects. Another point made in refs 55 and 56 is that the RRKM theory does not take into account threshold effects. For example, fermionic molecules undergoing $p$-wave collisions need to tunnel through the centrifugal barrier to reach the short-range potential. What was not considered before is that, once the molecules pass the barrier, they also need to tunnel through the same barrier to least holds relatively well for ultracold atom–diatom collisions of alkali atoms.

In recent work by Man et al.,\(^{58}\) the statistical assumption for atom–diatom and diatom–diatom collisions was tested with classical trajectory simulations and found to be valid. In order to extract the sticking times from classical trajectory simulations, it is important to set appropriate long-range boundary conditions because the classical approximation is no longer justified if the molecules move far away from each other. This explains why trajectory simulations from ref 44 yielded too long sticking times, agreeing with the RRKM results from ref 12, which later turned out to be overestimated by orders of magnitude,\(^{51}\) and why simulations from ref 95 gave orders of magnitude shorter sticking times.

4.1.2. How Predictive Is the Statistical Model? Next, we discuss whether one indeed averages over many scattering resonances under experimental conditions, as required to get reliable predictions from the statistical model. As indicated in Figure 1, the range of probed collision energies is set by the temperature, whereas the spacing of the resonances is set by the density of states $\rho$. The typical temperature is on the order of a microkelvin, and the density of states ranges from 0.005 to 5 $\mu$K$^{-1}$ for different bialkalis. Comparing these numbers, one may notice that only very few, if any, resonances are expected to be probed under experimental conditions. The original idea\(^{19}\) that many isolated narrow resonances would be included in the experimental window of collision energies is therefore clearly not true. The applicability of the statistical model thus seems questionable.

However, if only a single or few resonances were included in the experimental window of collision energies, then why are these not resolved? An answer to this question was proposed in ref 55. Here, the authors use quantum defect theory (QDT) to show that a third energy scale needs to be taken into consideration: the broadening of the resonances due to the loss. If the loss rate is fast compared to the dissociation rate of the complexes, this automatically implies that the resonances are broadened much beyond their natural width. As a result, instead of averaging over many narrow isolated resonances in a certain energy window, one averages over many overlapping broad resonances at a single collision energy. If the broadening is strong enough, statistical models become valid again. In this scenario, close-to-universal loss would occur independently of the collision energy without any resonances, which agrees with the experimental observations.

In the recent work by Croft et al.,\(^{56}\) it is argued that the validity of the RRKM model is also questionable in the case where the collision has only a single open channel. Then, the distribution of resonance widths is very strongly skewed toward narrow resonances, corresponding to long sticking times. This means that, when averaging over a small number of resonances, one most likely finds a longer sticking time than expected from RRKM. Even when sampling over many resonances, this could still have an impact on the actual loss rate. However, the estimated increase in sticking time from ref 56 is not sufficient to explain the experimental results.
leave it again, leading to longer complex lifetimes. The tunneling probability, parametrized by $C^{-2}$ in quantum defect theory, decreases with lower temperature, making the effect more pronounced for very cold samples.

The actual tunneling probabilities can be computed using QDT. For fermionic $^{23}\text{Na}^{87}\text{K}$ in the experimental conditions of ref 18, the tunneling probability is only about 1%. This corresponds to the well-known fact that at ultracold temperatures $p$-wave collisions occur at much lower rates than $s$-wave collisions. It also means that complexes formed in $p$-wave collisions should be about 100 times longer-lived. This can explain the complex-lifetime measurements on identical $p$-wave collisions, the time spent in the short-range potential is much longer.

Note that, in contrast with typical ultracold atomic collisions, the precise enhancement factor depends on the size of the additional phase space is explored. For the hyperfine states, the factor is of order 1, so threshold effects can not explain the observations for bosonic or distinguishable molecules.

### 4.2. Coupling to Hyperfine Degrees of Freedom and External Electric Fields

Even if the RRKM theory is valid, there could still be large uncertainties in the calculation of the density of states. In the estimation of the sticking times in ref 51 and comparison to photoexcitation rates,17 it is assumed that the motional angular momentum of the molecules is conserved during the collision. However, coupling to external electric fields or to hyperfine states of the molecules can break this angular-momentum conservation, potentially enlarging the explored phase space and the sticking time by up to 4 orders of magnitude. [To get to 4 orders of magnitude, for the electric field case, we assume that the projection of the angular momentum is conserved.17 For the hyperfine case, we assume the total angular momentum (including the nuclear spin) during the collision is conserved. In both cases, we assume the entire additional phase space is explored. For the hyperfine case, the precise enhancement factor depends on the size of the nuclear spins of the atoms. For example, for $^{23}\text{Na}^{87}\text{K}$ and $^{23}\text{Na}^{87}\text{Rb}$, it will be $\sim 10^4$, and for $^{23}\text{Na}^{85}\text{K}$ and $^{87}\text{Rb}^{133}\text{Cs}$, it will be $\sim 10^4$. If the sticking times are really enhanced by this additional factor, the magnetic field could also break the total angular momentum conservation, enlarging the phase space even more.] Note that, in contrast with typical ultracold atomic collisions, the time spent in the short-range potential is much longer than in the long-range potential so that these processes most likely take place at short range.

Using classical trajectory simulations, Man et al. 58 estimated that electric fields on the order of 10 V/cm are already sufficient to break angular-momentum conservation. Indeed, these are the field strengths where the typical molecular Stark couplings are comparable to the level spacing of the complex. Such electric fields are larger than the stray fields typically present in experiments but at least 1 order of magnitude smaller than those needed to polarize the molecules. In ref 96, it was shown that an external electric field can also affect the long-range part of the collision, since it brings the molecules into a superposition of multiple angular-momentum states, leading to increased density of states. However, this effect is weaker than the short-range effect predicted in ref 58, and it requires 1 to 2 orders of magnitude higher field strengths to become important.

Nuclear-spin changes during the collisions can have two important and distinguishable effects: if the molecules start in their hyperfine ground state, nuclear-spin changes can lead to an increased density of states. If the molecules start in excited hyperfine states, which are not stretched, this opens up more exit channels and the additional loss pathway of hyperfine-changing collisions. These effects are strongly related, because both of them require sufficiently strong couplings between the nuclear spins and other degrees of freedom.

To estimate at which strength the hyperfine couplings will start to play a role, one can compare the inverse of the coupling strength to $\tau_{\text{RRKM}}$. The strongest effect is the coupling of the dynamically changing electric-field gradient to the nuclear quadrupole moments.87,97 Using the couplings of the free molecules, Man et al. 38 estimated that nuclear-spin flips are unlikely to happen. However, Jachymski et al. 77 showed that the couplings are strongly geometry dependent, and therefore, nuclear-spin-changing collisions can not be ruled out.

The hyperfine structure certainly plays a role in the case of RbCs, where hyperfine-dependent loss rates were observed in the chopped dipole trap.36 This means that either the hyperfine state has a strong impact on the sticking time or hyperfine-changing collisions lead to loss. In contrast, nuclear-spin-changing reactions do not occur for KRb.77 However, here, the collision takes only hundreds of nanoseconds, so these results are insufficient to determine the role of nuclear-spin flips in much longer sticky collisions. For triplet molecules or atom–molecule collisions, unpaired electron spins also play a role. The couplings between the electronic and nuclear spins and the electronic spin-rotation couplings are orders of magnitude stronger than the couplings of only the nuclear spins. Therefore, unpaired electron spins can change and mediate hyperfine changes during the collision.

In conclusion, it seems clear that nuclear-spin changes have some effect on the collision dynamics at least for heavy bialkalis. However, to explain the increased sticking times for the lighter bialkali molecules, the effect of the hyperfine degrees of freedom would have to be much stronger than it is for heavy species. This is the opposite of what one should expect, since both the hyperfine couplings and $\tau_{\text{RRKM}}$ are smaller for lighter bialkalis. Hence, if nuclear-spin changes in the complex are responsible for longer sticking times, there must be some yet unknown ingredient to bring the predictions into quantitative agreement with the experimental observations.

### 4.3. Loss Processes

In addition to the sticking time, the complex loss rate is also of crucial importance to assess whether the molecules will survive a collision. As explained in Section 2.2, the computation of the photoinduced loss rate, just like RRKM theory, is based on a quasiclassical statistical model. The validity of this model has not yet been explicitly tested. In a quantum mechanical picture, in the case of quantum chaos, all eigenstates are delocalized throughout phase space in both the ground and excited state. Therefore, every state has a small but usually nonzero Franck–Condon overlap with all the states in the excited potential. If the width of the electronic transitions is then larger than the rovibrational spacing, this means that there are no photoexcitation resonances and the complex can be excited by a continuum of laser frequencies. Since the line width of the typical trapping lasers is very small, the observed line width of the transition is limited by the lifetime of the electronic state.

Let us briefly consider the loss pathways from this electronically excited state. The simplest possibility is spontaneous emission back to some rovibrational level in the electronic ground state. Because this would be similar to an electronic transition of one of the constituent diatoms, the rate of such a process can be assumed to be on the order of 10 MHz.98 Other processes include dissociation into a trimer and
a free atom or into a singlet and a triplet dimer via spin–orbit coupling. How fast these processes are depends on the details of the interaction potential and the specific state where the complex ends up after photoexcitation. A more detailed theoretical investigation of the complex dynamics in the excited state would definitely be interesting. It could be experimentally relevant to know in which scenarios one would expect to find resolved photoexcitation lines as opposed to a continuum. For most diatom–diatom collisions, spontaneous emission would already be fast enough to lead to a continuum of excited states, but for atom–diatom collisions, individual lines would be observable if this was the only or the fastest decay process.

One can also imagine loss processes other than photoexcitation playing a role. The original proposal was that this could be three-body loss, but in ref 51, the three-body rate was estimated to be too small. Furthermore, the complexes might escape from optical dipole traps as they are not trapped by the trapping laser. This is especially likely for repulsive traps such as the one used in ref 18. For this process to be relevant, the sticking time typically needs to be on the scale of milliseconds. Estimation of rovibrational transition rates of nonexcited complexes due to spontaneous emission and blackbody coupling are given in ref 58. Both processes are far too slow to occur in the \( \tau_{\text{RKKM}} \) time scale and require sticking times of approximately tens of seconds.

Another potential explanation for the loss is the presence of special features in the potentials such as conical intersections. Conical intersections are known to occur and have an influence on the collision dynamics for alkali atom–diatom collisions. 59

We do not expect that such features destroy the validity of RRKM models, but they might enhance the probability of nuclear-spin flips or lead to the population of electronically excited states. In ref 54, it was estimated that for NaK–NaK there are conical intersections close to, but still outside, the classically allowed region. However, this might need to be revisited with more accurate methods to give a clearer judgment. Then, one would need to find and characterize the loss processes that could happen around such a conical intersection. What makes this explanation attractive is that the presence of a conical intersection could strongly depend on the species and, therefore, explain the seemingly arbitrary differences between the bialkalis.

4.4. Preliminary Conclusion. Currently, the most likely explanation of the sticky-collision mystery seems as follows. In presence of laser light, photoinduced loss is probably the dominant mechanism. To explain the experimental results for the lighter bialkalis in absence of laser light, either the sticking time should be measured as a function of electric field strength.

5. ATOM–DIATOM COLLISIONS

5.1. Differences and Similarities to Diatom–Diatom Collisions. With the difficulties in understanding collisions between two molecules, it seems logical to first study a simpler case: the collision between a molecule and an atom. This was originally motivated by sympathetic cooling, where molecules are cooled by elastic collisions with atoms, which in turn can be evaporatively cooled. 68,100 Atom–diatom collisions are in many ways similar to diatom–diatom collisions, making them an interesting system to study to gain understanding of sticky collisions.

From the theoretical side, a three-atom system is much more tractable than a four-atom one. Indeed, full quantum-mechanical calculations of collision times have been performed for certain species. 45,46 These results can not quantitatively be compared to experiments, since it is very challenging to include spin degrees of freedom or external fields in the calculations. Furthermore, getting to experimental accuracy would require extremely accurate interaction potentials. However, for qualitative studies, these calculations are valuable, for example, to confirm the validity of RRKM theory. 66 An overview of the RRKM predictions on atom–diatom collisions can be found in ref 101.

5.2. Experimental Results. The typical way to experimentally study ultracold atom–diatom collisions is to associate molecules from an atomic mixture as usual, keeping some unassociated atoms in the trap afterward. This limits the available partner atoms to those which are already part of the molecule. For reactive combinations, such as \( \text{Na}^{57}\text{K} + \text{Na}^{23} \) and \( 87\text{Rb}^{133}\text{Cs} + 87\text{Rb} \), it has consistently been found that the two-body rate coefficients are close to the universal limit, as expected. 56,57 However, in the nonreactive case, the results are much more varied. Here, we give a list of experiments done with such nonreactive combinations:

- \( \text{Na}^{23}\text{Li} + \text{Na}^{23} \): Here, the diatom is not in the electronic ground state but rather in the rovibrational ground state of the \( a^1\Sigma^+ \) potential. The two-body rate coefficients are strongly dependent on the hyperfine state of the atom: while the coefficient is 2 orders of magnitude below the universal limit for Na in \( F = 2, m_F = 2 \), it is close to universal for \( F = 1, m_F = 1 \). This suggests that the collisions do not cause electronic relaxation of the diatom but can cause spin exchange with the atom. With this combination, the loss rates can be small enough to realize efficient sympathetic cooling. 100 In addition, a magnetic Feshbach resonance has been found at a field of 978 G. 25

- \( \text{Na}^{57}\text{K} + 39\text{K} \): Again, the collision rate coefficients are strongly dependent on the hyperfine state of the atomic collision partner. In the most favorable case of K in \( F = 1, m_F = -1 \), the rate is 4 orders of magnitude below the universal limit. In the spin-stretched state (K in \( F = 1, m_F = 1 \)), it is only 1 order of magnitude below the universal limit.

- \( \text{Na}^{57}\text{K} + 40\text{K} \): 23,24,102 In this case, the dependence of the observed two-body rate coefficients on the atomic hyperfine state is much weaker than with bosonic potassium, with less than 1 order of magnitude difference between the different states. The smallest
observed coefficient is about ten times below the universal value. Some of the investigated channels exhibit Feshbach resonances, one of which has been used to associate triatomic molecules,\textsuperscript{3,10}4

- $^{40}\text{K}^{23}\text{Rb} + ^{88}\text{Kr}$:\textsuperscript{5,7} Here, only one hyperfine channel was investigated, with the diatom in $m_{J,K} = 4, m_{I,R} = 1/2$ and the atom in $F = 1, m_F = 1$. In this case, the rate is close to universal. The formation of three-body complexes and their excitation by trap photons were directly observed. Notably, the measured complex lifetime of 0.39(6) ms is 5 orders of magnitude larger than the RRKM prediction.

- $^{87}\text{Rb}^{133}\text{Cs} + ^{133}\text{Cs}$:\textsuperscript{36} For the case where both the diatom and the atom are in the hyperfine ground state, the rate coefficient is within a factor of 3 of the universal rate. The light-intensity dependence of the molecule loss rate was also probed via the chopped-trap method, but no effect of intensity could be seen.

5.3. Interpretation of Experimental Results. Together, these experiments give an inconclusive picture. We can see that the measured two-body rate coefficients are near-universal in some cases but multiple orders of magnitude smaller in others, with a strong dependence on the atomic spin. This is not surprising in itself, because the atom brings an unpaired electron into the collision, whose spin can couple strongly to both hyperfine and rotational degrees of freedom of the diatom. If the scattering is nonuniversal, the collision rate is determined by the scattering length, which can depend strongly on many parameters. The smaller the mass of the collision partners, the more likely the loss is to be nonuniversal due to the smaller density of states. This explains the strong loss reduction found in some light alkali systems.

Another idea, brought up in ref \textsuperscript{57}, is that atom–diatom complexes may exhibit resolved photoexcitation lines. For diatom–diatom complexes, such lines are unlikely to be observable (see Section 4.3), but since the density of states of the excited atom–diatom complexes is much lower than for the diatom–diatom case, this might be different here. If such lines were near the optical trap wavelength, this would be another explanation for the dependence of loss rate coefficients on the atomic hyperfine state. This could be experimentally tested by scanning the trapping-laser wavelength.

There is evidence that the Feshbach resonances observed for $^{23}\text{Na}^{40}\text{K} + ^{40}\text{K}$ result from coupling to long-range states, in which the rovibrational structure of the diatom remains almost unchanged.\textsuperscript{2,4} This is in stark contrast to short-range complex states with their chaotic rovibrational dynamics. In the spectrum of the Hamiltonian, there are many more rovibrationally excited states than long-range bound states. These long-range bound states exist for different hyperfine channels. Since the resonances result from coupling between these different hyperfine states, they can be tuned with the magnetic field. Furthermore, the collision energy is close to the threshold, and this is exactly the energy range in which this type of long-range bound state can be found. This is why, especially for atom–diatom collisions, it is not unlikely to find resonances due to these long-range bound states.

At the scattering resonances, the loss is larger than universal, even in the presence of a background with significant loss. This might seem counterintuitive because, at the universal rate, all collisions should already lead to loss. However, more precisely, this includes only collisions where the molecules reach the short-range regime. In the case of long-range bound states, the wave function extends beyond the region of short-range loss as illustrated in Figure 7. In this case, the collision partners are temporarily trapped in the long-range potential, leading to an enhanced rate of collisions reaching the short range. This can lead to coherently enhanced loss rates even in the presence of strong background loss.

For now, it appears that atom–diatom collisions exhibit much more structure than diatom–diatom ones, with observable dependence on internal states, fields, etc. However, there are still significant shortcomings to our understanding of these collisions, and further investigation will certainly be needed. This path may lead to improved sympathetic cooling of atom–molecule mixtures and efficient association of polyatomic molecules.

6. FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Further experimental and theoretical work is going to be needed to find an explanation for the sticky-collision mystery. From the experimental side, it will be necessary to gather data from more species and under a wider range of conditions. We believe that the following experiments can be realistically done in the next few years to advance our understanding.

First, chopped-trap or box-trap experiments can be performed on further bialkali species or in different hyperfine states. The most readily available candidates for new species are $^{23}\text{Na}^{133}\text{Cs}$ and $^{133}\text{CsF}$, but also others, such as $^{40}\text{K}^{133}\text{Cs}$ and $^{6}\text{Li}^{40}\text{K}$, may soon become available.\textsuperscript{9,26,69,105,106} The electric field should be precisely controlled to ensure that its influence on angular-momentum conservation can be understood. In addition, we hope that there will soon be new setups for the direct detection of complexes formed in collisions between nonreactive molecules, despite the complexity of this approach. This may also allow detection of nuclear-spin changing collisions. Another avenue is to study ultracold collisions of nonbalkali molecules. For example, ground-state $^{40}\text{Sr}$ was recently created for the first time.\textsuperscript{67} Experiments on these species may help reveal the influence of the electronic structure on the collision dynamics.

Second, valuable information can be gained from atom–molecule collisions. For example, it will be interesting to see a direct comparison between the complex lifetimes in reactive and nonreactive collisions involving the same molecule, such as KRb + K and KRb + Rb. Since they are very different in these
cases, comparing them may help with understanding the time scale of nuclear-spin-changing collisions. Probing more different spin-state combinations may also yield interesting results. Going even further in this direction, comparing Sr + Sr collisions with different isotopologues could be used to disentangle the effects of nuclear spin, since 36Sr and 88Sr have a nuclear spin of zero, but 90Sr has a nuclear spin of 9/2. Another interesting subject of study is the systematic comparison between the isotope-pairs of NaK + K at different magnetic fields and in different spin states. If Feshbach resonances are found for 23Na39K + 39K, similar to the case with 40K, this could shed light on the relation between the properties of these resonances and the value of the background loss rate. Finally, it would be interesting to probe the laser-frequency dependence of atom–diatom complex loss to determine if there are resolvable photoexcitation lines.

From the theory side, for the molecule–molecule collisions, open research directions include a more detailed study of hyperfine-changing collisions. In particular, a combination of methods from refs 58 and 97 seems promising. Furthermore, a systematic search of the potential energy surfaces for special features like conical intersections, such as those partially performed in 17, could include or exclude this as a possible explanation. In the long run, although a full quantum model seems to be unrealistic for molecule–molecule collisions, we hope a universal effective model can be developed to predict the behavior of complexes for a wide range of molecules.

It would also be worthwhile to develop a more complete description of the photoexcitation process, both to test the statistical model and to find out what happens to the complex after the excitation. The final products could be experimentally observable. Furthermore, the line widths of the electronic transitions could be relevant for atom–molecule collisions to see if individual lines could be resolved.

For atom–molecule collisions, full rovibrational quantum scattering calculations have been carried out already, giving insight into the chaoticity of the dynamics. Further research in this direction, especially including the spin degrees of freedom, which might be possible for light systems, would be of great importance.

The sticky-collision mystery has been around for years, and many attempts have been made to solve it. What unites all these attempts is that, so far, they have succeeded only in making the problem even more mysterious. However, with a comprehensive experimental and theoretical effort now underway, we are certain that this will not be the case much longer.

**AUTHOR INFORMATION**

**Corresponding Author**

Xin-Yu Luo — Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik, 85748 Garching, Germany; Munich Center for Quantum Science and Technology, 80799 München, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0001-5104-3797; Email: xinyu.luo@mpq.mpg.de

**Authors**

Roman Bause — Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik, 85748 Garching, Germany; Munich Center for Quantum Science and Technology, 80799 München, Germany

Arthur Christianen — Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik, 85748 Garching, Germany; Munich Center for Quantum Science and Technology, 80799 München, Germany

**REFERENCES**

(1) Atkins, P. W. *Physical Chemistry*, 5th ed.; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 1994.

(2) Zewail, A. H. Femtochemistry: Atomic-Scale Dynamics of the Chemical Bond. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2000, 104, 5660–5694.

(3) Heazlewood, B. R.; Softley, T. P. Towards Chemistry at Absolute Zero. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* 2021, 5, 125–140.

(4) Toscano, J.; Lewandowski, H. J.; Heazlewood, B. R. Cold and Controlled Chemical Reaction Dynamics. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2020, 22, 9180–9194.

(5) Takekoshi, T.; Reichsollner, L.; Schindewolf, A.; Hutson, J. M.; Le Sueur, C. R.; Dukleu, O.; Ferlaino, F.; Grimm, R.; Nägerl, H.-C. Ultracold Dense Samples of Dipolar RbCs Molecules in the Rotational and Hyperfine Ground State. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2014, 113, 205301.

(6) Park, J. W.; Will, S. A.; Zwierlein, M. W. Ultracold Dipolar Gas of Fermionic 23Na40K Molecules in Their Absolute Ground State. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2015, 114, 205302.

(7) Guo, M.; Ye, X.; He, J.; González-Martínez, M. L.; Vexiau, R.; Quéméner, G.; Wang. D. Dipolar Collisions of Ultracold Ground-State Bosonic Molecules. *Phys. Rev. X* 2018, 8, 041044.

(8) Ye, X.; Guo, M.; González-Martínez, M. L.; Quéméner, G.; Wang. D. Collisions of Ultracold 23Na40Rb Molecules with Controlled Chemical Reactivities. *Sci. Adv.* 2018, 4, No. eaap0083.

(9) Jag-Lauber, K. Ultracold Homonuclear Cesium Molecules: Efficient Production, Collisional Properties and Stability Measurements. Ph.D. thesis, University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria, 2018.

(10) Zuchowski, P. S.; Hutson, J. M. Reactions of Ultracold Alkali-Metal Dimers. *Phys. Rev. A* 2010, 81, No. 060703(R).

(11) Idziaszek, Z.; Julienne, P. S. Universal Rate Constants for Reactive Collisions of Ultracold Molecules. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2010, 104, 113202.
(12) Mayle, M.; Ruzic, B. P.; Bohn, J. L. Statistical Aspects of Ultracold Resonant Scattering. Phys. Rev. A 2012, 85, 062712.
(13) Mayle, M.; Quéméner, G.; Ruzic, B. P.; Bohn, J. L. Scattering of Ultracold Molecules in the Highly Resonant Regime. Phys. Rev. A 2013, 87, 012709.
(14) Hu, M.-G.; Liu, Y.; Grimes, D. D.; Lin, Y.-W.; Gheorghé, A. H.; Vexiau, R.; Bouloffà-Maafa, N.; Dulieu, O.; Rosenband, T.; Ni, K.-K. Direct Observation of Bimolecular Reactions of Ultracold K\(^{39}\)Rb Molecules. Science 2019, 366, 1111–1115.
(15) Liu, Y.; Hu, M.-G.; Nichols, M. A.; Grimes, D. D.; Karman, T.; Guo, H.; Ni, K.-K. Photo-Excitation of Long-Lived Transient Intermediates in Ultracold Reactions. Nat. Phys. 2020, 16, 1132–1136.
(16) Gregory, P. D.; Blackmore, J. A.; Bromley, S. L.; Cornish, S. L. Loss of Ultracold Rb\(^{39}\)Cs Molecules via Optical Excitation of Long-Lived Two-Body Collision Complexes. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2020, 124, 163402.

(17) Christianen, A.; Zwierlein, M. W.; Groeneveld, G. C.; Karman, T. Photoinduced Two-Body Loss of Ultracold Molecules. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2019, 123, 123402.
(18) Bause, R.; Schindewolf, A.; Tao, R.; Duda, M.; Chen, X.-Y.; Quéméner, G.; Karman, T.; Christianen, A.; Bloch, I.; Luo, X.-Y. Collisions of Ultracold Molecules in Bright and Dark Optical Dipole Traps. Phys. Rev. Res. 2021, 3, 033013.
(19) Gersema, P.; Voges, K. K.; Meyer zum Alten Borgloh, M.; Koch, L.; Hartmann, T.; Zenesini, A.; Ospelkaus, S.; Lin, J.; He, J.; Je, H.; Wang, D. Probing Photoinduced Two-Body Loss of Ultracold Nonreactive Bosonic Na\(^{39}\)Rb and Na\(^{40}\)K Molecules. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2021, 127, 163401.
(20) Valtonia, G.; Matsuda, K.; Tobias, W. G.; Li, J.-R.; De Marco, L.; Ye, J. Dipolar Evaporation of Reactive Molecules to below the Fermi Temperature. Nature 2020, 588, 239–243.
(21) Li, J.-R.; Tobias, W. G.; Matsuda, K.; Miller, C.; Valtonia, G.; De Marco, L.; Wang, R. R. W.; Lassablière, L.; Quéméner, G.; Bohn, J. L.; et al. Tuning of Dipolar Interactions and Evaporative Cooling in a Three-Dimensional Molecular Quantum Gas. Nat. Phys. 2021, 17, 1144–1148.
(22) Schindewolf, A.; Bause, R.; Chen, X.-Y.; Duda, M.; Karman, T.; Bloch, I.; Luo, X.-Y. Evaporation of Microwave-Shielded Polar Molecules to Quantum Degeneracy. Nature 2022, 607, 677–681.
(23) Yang, H.; Zhang, D.-C.; Liu, L.; Liu, Y.-X.; Nan, J.; Zhao, B.; Pan, J.-W. Observation of Magnetic Tunneling to Ultracold 23Na\(^{39}\)Rb and 23Na\(^{40}\)K Molecules. Science 2019, 363, 261–264.
(24) Wang, X.-Y.; Frye, M. D.; Su, Z.; Cao, J.; Liu, L.; Zhang, D.-C.; Yang, H.; Hutson, J. M.; Zhao, B.; Bai, C.-L.; et al. Magnetic Feshbach Resonances in Ultracold \(^{23}\)Na\(^{39}\)K and \(^{23}\)Na\(^{40}\)K Collisions. Science 2019, 363, 261–264.
(25) Son, H.; Park, J. J.; Lu, Y.-K.; Jamison, A. O.; Karman, T.; Ketterle, W. Control of Reactive Collisions by Quantum Interference. Science 2022, 375, 1006–1010.
(26) Park, J. J.; Lu, Y.-K.; Jamison, A. O.; Scherb, T. V.; Ketterle, W. A Feshbach Resonance in Collisions between Ultracold Ground State Molecules. arXiv 2022, 2208.05557.
(27) Chen, X.-Y.; Schindewolf, A.; Eppelt, S.; Bause, R.; Duda, M.; Biswas, S.; Karman, T.; Hilker, T.; Bloch, I.; Luo, X.-Y. Field-Linked Resonances of Polar Molecules. arXiv 2022, 2210.13324.
(28) Astrakharchik, G. E.; Boronat, J.; Kurbakov, I. L.; Lozovik, Y. E. Quantum Phase Transition in a Two-Dimensional System of Dipoles. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2007, 98, 060405.
(29) Matveeva, N.; Giorgini, S. Liquid and Crystal Phases of Dipolar Fermions in Two Dimensions. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2012, 109, 200401.
(30) Peter, D.; Müller, S.; Wessel, S.; Büchler, H. P. Anomalous Behavior of Spin Systems with Dipolar Interactions. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2012, 109, 025303.
(31) Baranov, M. A.; Dalmonte, M.; Pupillo, G.; Zoller, P. Condensed Matter Theory of Dipolar Quantum Gases. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 5012–5061.
(55) Christianen, A.; Groenenboom, G. C.; Karman, T. Lossy Quantum Defect Theory of Ultracold Molecules. Phys. Rev. A 2021, 104, 043327.
(56) Croft, J. F. E.; Bohn, J. L.; Quéméner, G. Anomalous Lifetimes of Ultracold Complexes Decaying into a Single Channel: What’s Taking So Long in There? arXiv 2021, 2111.09956.
(57) Voges, K. K.; Gersema, P.; Hartmann, T.; Ospelkaus, S.; Zenesini, A. Hyperfine Dependent Atom-Molecule Loss Analyzed by the Analytic Solution of Few-Body Loss Equations. Phys. Rev. Res. 2022, 4, 023184.
(58) Man, M. P.; Groenenboom, G. C.; Karman, T. Symmetry Breaking in Sticky Collisions between Ultracold Molecules. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2022, 129, 243401.
(59) Ni, K.-K.; Ospelkaus, S.; de Miranda, M. H. G.; Pe'er, A.; Neyenhuis, B.; Zirbel, J. J.; Kotochigova, S.; Julienne, P. S.; Jin, D. S.; Ye, J. A High Phase-Space-Density Gas of Polar Molecules. Science 2008, 322, 231–235.
(60) Bergmann, K.; Theuer, H.; Shore, B. W. Coherent Population Transfer Among Quantum States of Atoms and Molecules. Rev. Mod. Phys. 1998, 70, 1003–1025.
(61) Vitanov, N. V.; Rangelov, A. A.; Shore, B. W.; Bergmann, K. Stimulated Raman Adiabatic Passage in Physics, Chemistry, and Beyond. Rev. Mod. Phys. 2017, 89, 015006.
(62) Danzi, J. G.; Mark, M. J.; Haller, E.; Gustavsson, M.; Hart, R.; Aldegunde, J.; Hutson, J. M.; Nägerl, H.-C. An Ultracold High-Density Sample of Rovibronic Ground-State Molecules in an Optical Lattice. Nat. Phys. 2010, 6, 265–270.
(63) Molony, P. K.; Gregory, P. D.; Ji, Z.; Lu, B.; Köpfinger, M. P.; Le Sueur, C. R.; Blackley, C. I.; Hutson, J. M.; Cornish, S. L. Creation of Ultracold $^{23} \text{Na}^{87}\text{Rb}$ Molecules in the Rovibrational Ground State. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2014, 113, 253501.
(64) Guo, M.; Zhu, B.; Lu, B.; Ye, X.; Wang, F.; Vexiau, R.; Bouloufa-Maafa, N.; Quéméner, G.; Dulieu, O.; Wang, D. Creation of an Ultracold Gas of State-Dipolar $^{23} \text{Na}^{87}\text{Rb}$ Molecules. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2016, 116, 250303.
(65) Voges, K. K.; Gersema, P.; Meyer zum Alten Borgloh, M.; Schulze, T. A.; Hartmann, T.; Zenesini, A.; Ospelkaus, S. Ultracold Gas of Bosonic $^{23} \text{Na}^{87}\text{Rb}$ Ground-State Molecules. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2020, 125, 083401.
(66) Bause, R.; Kamijo, A.; Chen, X.-Y.; Duda, M.; Schindewolf, A.; Bloch, I.; Luo, X.-Y. Efficient Conversion of Closed-Channel-Dominated Feshbach Molecules of $^{23} \text{Na}^{87}\text{Rb}$ to their Absolute Ground State. Phys. Rev. A 2021, 104, 043321.
(67) Leung, K. H.; Tiberi, E.; Iritani, B.; Majewski, I.; Moszynski, R.; Zelevinsky, T. Ultracold $^{85}\text{Sr}$ Molecules in the Absolute Ground State. New J. Phys. 2021, 23, 115002.
(68) Cairncross, W. B.; Zhang, J. T.; Picard, L. R. B.; Yu, Y.; Wang, K.; Ni, K.-K. Assembly of a Rovibrational Ground State Molecule in an Optical Tweezer. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2021, 126, 123402.
(69) Stevenson, I.; Lam, A. Z.; Bigaghi, N.; Warner, C.; Yuan, W.; Zhang, S.; Will, S. Ultracold Gas of Dipolar NaCs Ground State Molecules. arXiv 2022, 2206.00652.
(70) Fitch, N.; Tarbutt, M. In Advances In Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics; Dimauro, L. F., Perrin, H., Yelin, S. F., Eds.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, 2021; Vol. 70; pp 157–262, DOI: 10.1016/bs.aampol.2021.04.003.
(71) Cheuk, L. W.; Anderegg, L.; Bao, Y.; Burchesky, S.; Yu, S. S.; Ketterle, W.; Ni, K.-K.; Doyle, J. M. Observation of Collisions between Two Ultracold Ground-State CaF Molecules. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2020, 125, 043401.
(72) Anderegg, L.; Burchesky, S.; Bao, Y.; Yu, S. S.; Karman, T.; Chae, E.; Ni, K.-K.; Ketterle, W.; Doyle, J. M. Observation of Microwave Shielding of Ultracold Molecules. Science 2021, 373, 779–782.
(73) Gregory, P. D.; Frye, M. D.; Blackmore, J. A.; Bridge, E. M.; Sawant, R.; Hutson, J. M.; Cornish, S. L. Sticky Collisions of Ultracold RbCs Molecules. Nat. Commun. 2019, 10, 3104.
(96) Quéméner, G.; Croft, J. F. E.; Bohn, J. L. Electric Field Dependence of Complex-Dominated Ultracold Molecular Collisions. *Phys. Rev. A* **2022**, *105*, 013310.

(97) Jachymski, K.; Gronowski, M.; Tomza, M. Collisional Losses of Ultracold Molecules due to Intermediate Complex Formation. *Phys. Rev. A* **2022**, *106*, L041301.

(98) Jones, K. M.; Tiesinga, E.; Lett, P. D.; Julienne, P. S. Ultracold Photoassociation Spectroscopy: Long-Range Molecules and Atomic Scattering. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **2006**, *78*, 483–535.

(99) Kendrick, B. K.; Li, H.; Li, M.; Kotochigova, S.; Croft, J. F. E.; Balakrishnan, N. Non-Adiabatic Quantum Interference in the Ultracold Li + LiNa → Li₂ + Na Reaction. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2021**, *23*, 5096–5112.

(100) Son, H.; Park, J. J.; Ketterle, W.; Jamison, A. O. Collisional Cooling of Ultracold Molecules. *Nature* **2020**, *580*, 197–200.

(101) Frye, M. D.; Hutson, J. M. Complexes Formed in Collisions between Ultracold Alkali-Metal Diatomic Molecules and Atoms. *New J. Phys.* **2021**, *23*, 125008.

(102) Su, Z.; Yang, H.; Cao, J.; Wang, X.-Y.; Rui, J.; Zhao, B.; Pan, J.-W. Resonant Control of Elastic Collisions between ⁴⁰K⁴⁰K Molecules and ⁴⁰K Atoms. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2022**, *129*, 033401.

(103) Yang, H.; Wang, X.-Y.; Su, Z.; Cao, J.; Zhang, D.-C.; Rui, J.; Zhao, B.; Bai, C.-L.; Pan, J.-W. Evidence for the Association of Triatomic Molecules in Ultracold ⁴¹Na⁴⁰K + ⁴⁰K Mixtures. *Nature* **2022**, *602*, 229–233.

(104) Yang, H.; Cao, J.; Su, Z.; Rui, J.; Zhao, B.; Pan, J.-W. Creation of an ultracold gas of triatomic molecules from an atom-diatomic molecule mixture. *Science* **2022**, *378*, 1009–1013.

(105) Gröbner, M.; Weinmann, P.; Meinert, F.; Lauber, K.; Kirilov, E.; Nägele, H.-C. A New Quantum Gas Apparatus for Ultracold Mixtures of K and Cs and KCs Ground-State Molecules. *J. Mod. Opt.* **2016**, *63*, 1829–1839.

(106) Yang, A.; Botsi, S.; Kumar, S.; Pal, S. B.; Lam, M. M.; Čepaitė, I.; Laugharn, A.; Dieckmann, K. Singlet Pathway to the Ground State of Ultracold Polar Molecules. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2020**, *124*, 133203.