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Background: Stroke is increasingly becoming a major cause of disability and mortality. However, it can be prevented by raising awareness about risk factors and early health care management of patients.

Objective: The aim of this study is to assess the level of knowledge on stroke, its risk factors, and warning signs in the population attending urban primary health care centers in the city of Agadir, Morocco.

Methods: This is a multicentric cross-sectional study with a descriptive and analytical purpose. The study was conducted at five urban primary health care centers in Agadir in central-west Morocco. All persons over the age of 18 years who consulted the health centers and who agreed to fill in the questionnaire were recruited, except for the foreign population and health workers. An interview questionnaire was used to assess the level of knowledge on stroke.

Findings: A total of 469 participants were involved in the study. The median knowledge score was 8 (Interquartile range 4–13). High blood pressure (55.7%), depression and stress (48.8%) were the most well-known risk factors. Sudden weakness of the face, arms or legs (37.3%) was the main warning sign cited by the participants. Multivariate analysis revealed that illiteracy (OR 1.92; CI 95%: 1.08–3.44) primary education (OR 3.43; CI 95%: 1.63–7.21), rural residential (OR 1.67; CI 95%: 1.07–2.59), no history of stroke among respondents (OR 16.41; CI 95%: 4.37–61.59) and no history of stroke among relatives, acquaintances, or neighbors (OR 4.42; CI 95%: 2.81–6.96), were independently associated with a lower level of knowledge of stroke (Table 4).

Conclusions: The low level of knowledge on stroke among this Moroccan population indicates the importance of implementing stroke education initiatives in the community. More specifically, proximity education and awareness programs ought to be considered to anchor lifestyle preventive behaviors along with appropriate and urgent actions regarding the warning signs of stroke.

Introduction

Stroke is the second leading cause of mortality and disability worldwide, with more than 13 million new cases per year, and is associated with an increased economic burden due to different treatments and post-stroke care [1, 2].

Stroke incidence and mortality have increased in the countries of the Middle East and North Africa over the past decade, and projections indicate that stroke-related deaths will approximately double by 2030 in the same region [3, 4]. Despite the rapid evolution of the generalization of intravenous thrombolysis in recent years in the countries of the Middle East and North Africa [5], the average symptom onset to arrival at a stroke center or emergency department (onset-to-door time [ODT]) of patients with ischemic stroke in Morocco remains very long, possibly resulting from a lack of knowledge, particularly of the first warning signs of an ischemic stroke, according to a recent systematic review [6].

Consequently, insufficient knowledge of risk factors, warning signs, and urgent therapeutic approach options have been identified as a serious cause of increased mortality and morbidity due to stroke [7]. Similarly, this knowledge deficiency has been identified as one of the significant barriers to accessing quality health care for stroke in Africa, as well as a factor affecting pre-hospital time [8, 9]. Several studies in various countries have all
confirmed the persistence of a low level of knowledge among the general public about stroke, and more specifically about risk factors and warning signs [10–13].

In Morocco, no previous study has been published exploring the level of knowledge of the Moroccan population about stroke. For this reason, the present investigation represents a first proposal in Morocco to assess the level of knowledge about stroke, as well as the factors associated with it, among people attending health centers belonging to the network of primary health care centers in Agadir in central-western Morocco.

Methods

Design and study area

This study involved a cross-sectional survey with a descriptive and analytical aim, conducted in five urban primary health care centers in Agadir, in the Souss Massa region in the center-west of Morocco. Agadir Ida-Outanane province is located in central-western Morocco. It covers an area of 2297 km², with a total population of 600,599 inhabitants [14].

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Participants, aged 18 and over (patients, patients’ companions and visitors), attending urban primary health care centers held as part of the study to benefit from preventive or curative care, were included in the study. The foreign population (non-Moroccan) and health workers were excluded.

Sample and recruitment of study participants

The sample size was calculated based on a 5.0% error range, a 95% confidence interval (CI) for a total Moroccan population of 600,599 inhabitants in the province of Agadir Ida-Outanane [14], and an anticipated population proportion of stroke knowledge deficiency of 50%. The calculation was carried on the website of the sample size calculator: OpenEpi [15]. The minimal sample size required for the study was 385 persons. With an assumed response rate of 75%, a sample size around 469 participants was included.

The sample (n = 469) was distributed over the five urban primary health care centers based on the percentage of the population served by each center relative to the total population served by the five primary health care centers selected for the study [16]. For this purpose, the sample selected for each urban primary health care center is presented in Table 1, as organized by the urban primary health care centers. In each urban primary health care center, respondents were chosen at random before giving their approval to participate in the study.

Instrument and Data Collection

A face-to-face questionnaire survey was used for data collection of respondents with the first part including sections reserved for: socio-demographic characteristics (age, sex, marital status, level of education, spoken languages, place of residence, socioeconomic level [family income]), professional occupation (according to the classification of the High Commission for Planning of Kingdom of Morocco), health insurance, body mass index, regular physical exercises, medical history and associated comorbidities (high blood pressure, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, cardiac disease, history of stroke in respondent or immediate family, and history of stroke in relatives, acquaintances, or neighbors), toxic habits (smoking, alcohol consumption). In addition, a second part includes questions exploring the general knowledge about stroke, its risk factors, as well as the warning signs of a stroke.

Patients were asked to identify risk factors and warning signs. For this survey, the risk factors of stroke were derived from the list established through the INTERSTROKE study [17]. Therefore, high blood pressure, diabetes, smoking, hypercholesterolemia, sedentary lifestyle, obesity, cardiac disease, unhealthy diet, oral contraceptive use, excessive alcohol consumption, previous stroke and family history of stroke were the selected risk factors of stroke.

The warning signs were shown to participants in a list format, and were derived from Schneider et al.’s US survey [18]. These included sudden numbness or weakness in the face, arm or leg; sudden confusion, trouble speaking or understanding others; sudden poor vision in one or both eyes; sudden dizziness, difficulty walking or loss of balance; and sudden headache with no known cause.

Twenty-two questions were used to assess the respondents’ level of knowledge on stroke. The first component focused on generalities about stroke (4 questions), a second related specifically to risk factors for stroke (13 questions), and a third concentrated on warning signs of stroke (5 questions).

Table 1: The sample selected per urban primary health care centers relative to the population served.

| UPHCC            | Population served in 2019 | Percentage (%) | Sample selected per UPHCC |
|------------------|---------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| Ihchach          | 29071                     | 16.11          | 75                        |
| Bouargane        | 30282                     | 16.78          | 79                        |
| Amsernate        | 26777                     | 14.84          | 70                        |
| Al Qods          | 46756                     | 25.92          | 121                       |
| Hay Al Mohammadi | 47508                     | 26.33          | 123                       |
| **Total**        | **180394**                | **100**        | **469**                   |

UPHCC: Urban primary health care center, %: Percentage.
One point was awarded for each correct answer given, and zero for any other answer. The sum of all points obtained was converted into a knowledge score of up to 22 points.

Two groups were generated using the K-means clustering method: a group with a high level of knowledge (n = 205 persons) and an average knowledge score of 15, and another group with a low level of knowledge (n = 264 persons) and an average knowledge score of 4.

Data management and statistical analysis

The qualitative variables were presented as frequency and percentages, with mean ± standard deviation (SD) or median (interquartile range, IQR) for quantitative variables.

The Chi-square test (χ²) or Fisher’s exact test, were performed according to their particular application conditions, to look for differences in proportions of categorical variables between two groups (group of respondents with a low level of knowledge on stroke and those with a high level of knowledge on stroke).

Furthermore, univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were conducted to identify factors associated with the low level of stroke knowledge in the study population. All independent variables with a p-value <0.25 in the univariate analysis were taken into account in the multivariate logistic regression analysis. P values <0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

Data management and statistical analysis was done using the SPSS for Windows software package (ver. 13.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study has been approved by the ethics committee for biomedical research of the Mohammed V Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy in Rabat (N/R: Folder Number 18/20), and informed consent was obtained from each subject.

Results

Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the study sample

A total of 469 participants were surveyed in the study. The population consisted of 190 men (40.5%) and 279 women (59.5%) with an M/F ratio of 0.68. The average age was 38.86 ± 17.01 years with extremes of (18–87) years. The median age was 35 years with an IQR of (23–51).

High blood pressure was reported in 143 persons or 30.5% of the study population, diabetes in 126 or 26.9%, dyslipidemia in 40 or 8.5%, cardiopathy in 38 or 8.1%. A history of stroke was reported in 21 respondents, or 4.5%. A history of stroke was found in immediate family in 129 (27.5%). Two hundred and seventy-six persons (n = 276), or 58.8%, had a history of stroke among relatives, acquaintances, or neighbors (Table 2).

Table 2: Level of knowledge about stroke according to sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the study sample.

| Variable                  | Number (%) | Low level of knowledge (%) | High level of knowledge (%) | P value |
|---------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)               |            |                             |                            |         |
| 18–45                     | 324 (69.1) | 169 (36)                    | 155 (33)                   | 0.0085  |
| 46–65                     | 106 (22.6) | 67 (14.3)                   | 39 (8.3)                   |         |
| ≥66 ans                   | 39 (8.3)   | 28 (6)                      | 11 (2.3)                   |         |
| Sex                       |            |                             |                            | 0.055†  |
| Male                      | 190 (40.5) | 98 (20.9)                   | 92 (19.6)                  |         |
| Female                    | 279 (59.5) | 166 (35.4)                  | 113 (24.1)                 |         |
| Marital status            |            |                             |                            | 0.300†  |
| Without a partner†        | 187 (39.9) | 102 (21.7)                  | 85 (18.1)                  |         |
| In couple (Married)       | 282 (60.1) | 162 (34.5)                  | 120 (25.6)                 |         |
| Level of education        |            |                             |                            | <0.001† |
| Illiterate                | 138 (29.4) | 93 (19.8)                   | 45 (9.6)                   |         |
| Primary school            | 59 (12.6)  | 44 (9.4)                    | 15 (3.2)                   |         |
| Secondary school          | 111 (23.7) | 62 (13.2)                   | 49 (10.4)                  |         |
| University                | 161 (34.3) | 65 (13.9)                   | 96 (20.5)                  |         |
| Spoken Languages          |            |                             |                            |         |
| Dialectal Arabic          | 287 (61.2) | 145 (30.9)                  | 142 (30.3)                 | 0.003†  |
| Amazigh                   | 166 (35.4) | 109 (23.2)                  | 57 (12.2)                  |         |
| Hassaniya                 | 16 (3.4)   | 10 (2.1)                    | 6 (1.3)                    |         |

(Contd.)
| Variable                              | Number (%) | Low level of knowledge n (%) | High level of knowledge n (%) | P value |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| **Place of residence**               |            |                              |                              | 0.003†  |
| Rural                                | 260 (55.4) | 133 (28.4)                   | 76 (16.2)                    |         |
| Urban                                | 209 (44.6) | 131 (27.9)                   | 129 (27.5)                   |         |
| **Socioeconomic level (Family income)** |            |                              |                              | 0.064†  |
| Rich                                 | 13 (2.8)   | 6 (1.3)                      | 7 (1.5)                      |         |
| Middle class                         | 429 (91.5) | 238 (50.7)                   | 191 (40.7)                   |         |
| Poor                                 | 27 (5.8)   | 20 (4.3)                     | 7 (1.5)                      |         |
| **Health coverage**                  |            |                              |                              |         |
| Health Insurance Obligatory          | 163 (34.8) | 63 (13.4)                    | 100 (21.3)                   | <0.001† |
| Medical Assistance Regime (aid)      | 79 (16.8)  | 55 (11.7)                    | 24 (5.1)                     |         |
| Private assurance                    | 26 (5.5)   | 14 (3)                       | 12 (2.6)                     |         |
| Others                               | 8 (1.7)    | 5 (1.1)                      | 3 (0.6)                      |         |
| None                                 | 193 (41.2) | 127 (27.1)                   | 66 (14.1)                    |         |
| **Professional Occupation**          |            |                              |                              | 0.047†  |
| Salaried/Employee                     | 102 (21.7) | 47 (10)                      | 55 (11.7)                    |         |
| Self-employed worker                 | 75 (16)    | 41 (8.7)                     | 34 (7.2)                     |         |
| Inactive (Unemployed)                | 267 (56.9) | 160 (34.1)                   | 107 (22.8)                   |         |
| Retired                              | 25 (5.3)   | 16 (3.4)                     | 9 (1.9)                      |         |
| **Obesity or overweight**            |            |                              |                              |         |
| No notion of obesity or overweight   | 301 (64.2) | 159 (33.9)                   | 142 (30.3)                   | 0.027†  |
| With notion of obesity or overweight | 168 (35.8) | 105 (22.4)                   | 63 (13.4)                    |         |
| **Diabetes**                         |            |                              |                              | 0.536†  |
| Yes                                  | 126 (26.9) | 71 (15.1)                    | 55 (11.7)                    |         |
| No                                   | 343 (73.1) | 193 (41.2)                   | 150 (32)                     |         |
| **HBP**                              |            |                              |                              | 0.209†  |
| Yes                                  | 143 (30.5) | 85 (18.1)                    | 58 (12.4)                    |         |
| No                                   | 326 (69.5) | 179 (38.2)                   | 147 (31.3)                   |         |
| **Hypercholesterolemia**             |            |                              |                              | 0.157†  |
| Yes                                  | 40 (8.5)   | 19 (4.1)                     | 21 (4.5)                     |         |
| No                                   | 429 (91.5) | 245 (52.2)                   | 184 (39.2)                   |         |
| **Cardiac disease**                  |            |                              |                              | 0.048†  |
| Yes                                  | 38 (8.1)   | 16 (3.4)                     | 22 (4.7)                     |         |
| No                                   | 431 (91.9) | 248 (52.9)                   | 183 (39)                     |         |
| **Smoking**                          |            |                              |                              | 0.119†  |
| Yes                                  | 86 (18.3)  | 43 (9.2)                     | 43 (9.2)                     |         |
| No                                   | 383 (81.7) | 221 (47.1)                   | 162 (34.5)                   |         |
| **Alcoholism**                       |            |                              |                              | 0.020†  |
| Yes                                  | 28 (6)     | 10 (2.1)                     | 18 (3.8)                     |         |
| No                                   | 441 (94)   | 254 (54.2)                   | 187 (39.9)                   |         |
| **Regular physical exercises**       |            |                              |                              | 0.001†  |
| Yes                                  | 233 (49.7) | 114 (24.3)                   | 119 (25.4)                   |         |
| No                                   | 236 (50.3) | 150 (32)                     | 86 (18.3)                    |         |

(Contd.)
General knowledge on stroke, risk factors and warning signs of stroke

Concerning study participants’ knowledge regarding generalities on stroke, 78.3% of respondents reported that stroke is a preventable disease, 78.7% indicated that stroke is a curable disease, and 94.5% reported stroke as a pathology requiring urgent managerial actions. Furthermore, approximately 86.6% considered stroke a disabling disease.

Regarding the population’s knowledge of stroke risk factors, high blood pressure was the most reported risk factor for stroke among the respondents at 55.7% followed by depression and stress at 48.8%, previous history of stroke for stroke among the respondents at 55.7% followed by diabetes, high blood pressure, dyslipidemia, smoking, and obesity or overweight.

For warning signs, sudden numbness or weakness in face, arm or leg was mentioned by 37.3%. Similarly, sudden dizziness, difficulty walking or losses of balance, or coordination problems were mentioned by 34.5% of the surveyed population (Table 3).

Level of knowledge on stroke among the study population

The average knowledge score was 8.87 ± 5.76. The median knowledge score was 8 (IQR 4–13).

For socio-demographic variables, there is a significant difference between the low level knowledge group and the high level knowledge group according to age (p = 0.0085), level of education (p < 0.001), spoken languages (p = 0.003), place of residence (p = 0.003), health insurance (p < 0.001) and professional occupation (p = 0.047).

Concerning clinical characteristics, a significant difference was found between the low level knowledge group and the high level knowledge group based on: the notion of obesity or overweight (p = 0.027), cardiac disease as associated comorbidity (p = 0.048), regular physical exercise (p = 0.001), history of stroke among the respondents (p < 0.001), history of stroke in immediate family (p < 0.001) and history of stroke among relatives, acquaintances, or neighbors (p < 0.001).

As for toxic habits, a significant difference was reported only between the low level knowledge group and the high level knowledge group in relation to alcoholism (p = 0.020).

Furthermore, there was no significant difference between the group with a low level of knowledge on stroke and the group with a high level of knowledge on stroke based on the presence of some associated comorbidities and toxic habits in the population surveyed (diabetes, high blood pressure, dyslipidemia, smoking, p > 0.05) (Table 2).

Factors associated with low-level stroke knowledge among the study population

According to the univariate logistic regression analysis: age (18–45 years [OR 2.33; CI95%: 1.12–4.85; p = 0.023]); 46–65 years [OR 1.48; CI95%: 0.66–3.30; p = 0.33]); education level (illiterate [OR 0.32; CI95%: 0.20–0.52; p < 0.001]); primary school [OR 0.23; CI95%: 0.11–0.44; p < 0.001]; secondary school [OR 0.53; CI95%: 0.32–0.87; p = 0.012]); place of residence (Rural [OR 0.58; CI95%: 0.40–0.84; p = 0.004]); obesity or overweight (Yes [OR 1.48; CI95%: 1.01–2.18; p = 0.043]); alcoholism (Yes [OR 0.41; CI95%: 0.18–0.90; p = 0.028]); regular physical exercises (Yes [OR 1.82; CI95%: 1.25–2.63; p = 0.001]); no history of stroke among the respondent (Yes [OR 0.12; CI95%: 0.03–0.41; p = 0.001]); history of stroke in immediate family (Yes [OR 2.05; CI95%: 1.36–3.09; p = 0.001]); and no history of stroke among relatives, acquaintances, or neighbors (Yes [OR 0.26; CI95%: 0.17–0.39; p < 0.01]) were significantly associated with a lower level of knowledge on stroke (Table 4).
Table 3: Knowledge on stroke.

| Variable          | Items                                      | Yes Number (%) | No Number (%) |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Stroke is...      | A preventable disease                      | 367 (78.3)     | 102 (21.7)    |
|                   | A disease requiring an urgent care         | 443 (94.5)     | 26 (5.5)      |
|                   | A curable disease                          | 369 (78.7)     | 75 (16.0)     |
|                   | A disabling disease                        | 406 (86.6)     | 63 (13.4)     |
| Risk factors for stroke | HBP                                        | 261 (55.7)     | 208 (44.3)    |
|                   | Diabetes                                   | 156 (33.3)     | 313 (66.7)    |
|                   | Hypercholesterolemia                       | 125 (26.7)     | 344 (73.3)    |
|                   | Cardiac disease                            | 128 (27.3)     | 341 (72.3)    |
|                   | Oral contraception                         | 44 (9.4)       | 425 (90.6)    |
|                   | Smoking                                    | 171 (36.5)     | 298 (63.5)    |
|                   | Alcoholism                                 | 157 (33.5)     | 312 (66.5)    |
|                   | Unhealthy diet                             | 99 (21.1)      | 370 (78.9)    |
|                   | Sedentary life style                        | 84 (17.9)      | 385 (82.1)    |
|                   | Obesity or overweight                      | 130 (27.7)     | 339 (72.3)    |
|                   | Personal history of stroke                 | 174 (37.1)     | 295 (62.9)    |
|                   | Family history of stroke                   | 88 (19.0)      | 380 (81.0)    |
|                   | Depression and stress                      | 229 (48.8)     | 240 (51.2)    |
| Stroke warning signs and symptoms | Sudden numbness or weakness in face, arm or leg | 175 (37.3) | 294 (62.7) |
|                   | Sudden confusion, trouble speaking or understanding others | 138 (29.4) | 331 (70.6) |
|                   | Sudden poor vision in one or both eyes     | 118 (25.2)     | 351 (74.8)    |
|                   | Sudden dizziness, difficulty walking or loss of balance | 162 (34.5) | 307 (65.6) |
|                   | Sudden headache with no known cause        | 140 (29.9)     | 329 (70.1)    |

%: Percentage, HBP: High blood pressure.

Table 4: Factors associated with a lower level of knowledge on stroke using univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis.

| Variable          | OR (CI 95%) | P value | aOR (CI 95%) | P value |
|-------------------|-------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| Age (years)       |             |         |              |         |
| 18–45             | 2.33 (1.12–4.85) | 0.023  |              |         |
| 46–65             | 1.48 (0.66–3.30) | 0.33   |              |         |
| ≥66 ans           | 1           | /       |              |         |
| Sex               |             |         |              |         |
| Male              | 1.37 (0.95–2.00) | 0.090  |              |         |
| Female            | 1           | /       |              |         |
| Marital status    |             |         |              |         |
| Without a partner | 1.12 (0.77–1.63) | 0.535  |              |         |
| In couple (Married) | 1         | /       |              |         |

(Contd.)
| Variable                        | OR (CI 95%)   | P value | aOR (CI 95%) | P value |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| **Level of education**         |               |         |              |         |
| illiterate                     | 0.32 (0.20–0.52) | <0.001  | 1.92 (1.08–3.44) | 0.026  |
| Primary school                 | 0.23 (0.11–0.44) | <0.001  | 3.43 (1.63–7.21) | 0.001  |
| Secondary school               | 0.53 (0.32–0.87) | 0.012   | 1.37 (0.78–2.40) | 0.265  |
| University                     | 1             | /       | 1            | /      |
| **Spoken Languages**           |               |         |              |         |
| Dialectal Arabic               | 1.63 (0.57–4.61) | 0.35    |              |         |
| Amazigh                        | 0.87 (0.30–2.52) | 0.80    |              |         |
| Hassaniya                      | 1             | /       |              | /      |
| **Place of residence**         |               |         |              |         |
| Rural                          | 0.58 (0.40–0.84) | 0.004   | 1.67 (1.07–2.59) | 0.023  |
| Urban                          | 1             | /       | 1            | /      |
| **Socioeconomic level**        |               |         |              |         |
| Rich                           | 3.33 (0.83–13.37) | 0.089   |              |         |
| Medium class                   | 2.29 (0.95–5.53) | 0.065   |              |         |
| Poor                           | 1             | /       |              | /      |
| **Health coverage**            |               |         |              |         |
| Health Insurance Obligatory    | 2.64 (0.61–11.45) | 0.19    |              |         |
| Medical Assistance Regime (aid)| 0.72 (0.16–3.29) | 0.67    |              |         |
| Private assurance              | 1.42 (0.28–7.26) | 0.66    |              |         |
| Others                         | 0.86 (0.20–3.73) | 0.84    |              |         |
| None                           | 1             | /       |              | /      |
| **Professional Occupation**    |               |         |              |         |
| Salaried/Employee¹             | 2.08 (0.84–5.14) | 0.11    |              |         |
| Self-employed worker¹          | 1.47 (0.57–3.75) | 0.41    |              |         |
| Inactive (Unemployed)²         | 1.18 (0.50–2.78) | 0.69    |              |         |
| Retired                        | 1             | /       |              | /      |
| **Obesity or overweight**      |               |         |              |         |
| No notion of obesity or overweight | 1.48 (1.01–2.18) | 0.043  |              |         |
| With notion of obesity or overweight | 1             | /       |              | /      |
| **Diabetes**                   |               |         |              |         |
| Yes                            | 0.99 (0.66–1.50) | 0.98    |              |         |
| No                             | 1             | /       |              | /      |
| **HBP**                        |               |         |              |         |
| Yes                            | 0.83 (0.55–1.23) | 0.36    |              |         |
| No                             | 1             | /       |              | /      |
| **Hypercholesterolemia**       |               |         |              |         |
| Yes                            | 1.47 (0.76–2.81) | 0.243   |              |         |
| No                             | 1             | /       |              | /      |
| **Cardiac disease**            |               |         |              |         |
| Yes                            | 1.86 (0.95–3.64) | 0.069   |              |         |
| No                             | 1             | /       |              | /      |

(Contd.)
After introducing the following variables: age, sex, education level, place of residence, socioeconomic level, health insurance, professional occupation, obesity or overweight, hypercholesterolemia as associated comorbidity, notion of cardiac disease as associated comorbidity, smoking, alcoholism, regular physical exercise, no history of stroke among the respondent, history of stroke in the immediate family and no history of stroke among relatives, acquaintances, or neighbors in the multivariate regression model, the following factors were significantly associated with a lower level of knowledge on stroke: education level (illiterate [Adjusted OR 1.92; CI 95%: 1.08–3.44; p = 0.026]; primary school [Adjusted OR 3.43; CI 95%: 1.63–7.21; p = 0.001]), place of residence (Rural [Adjusted OR 1.67; CI 95%: 1.07–2.59; p = 0.023]), no history of stroke among the respondent, history of stroke in the immediate family and no history of stroke among relatives, acquaintances, or neighbors (Yes [Adjusted OR 16.41; CI 95%: 4.37–61.59; p < 0.001]), and no history of stroke among relatives, acquaintances, or neighbors (Yes [Adjusted OR: 4.42; CI 95%: 2.81–6.96; p < 0.01]) (Table 5).

Discussion

In this study, more than three-quarters of the population were aware of the preventable and urgent nature of the stroke. These results are similar to those found in previous studies [19, 20]. Also, the majority of respondents mentioned that stroke is a disabling disease, which is consistent with the results found in a study of Arab-Muslim Israelis which highlighted that stroke is always associated with physical burden, disability, and dependence [11].

As for respondents’ knowledge of risk factors for stroke, this study has found that high blood pressure, depression and stress were the most well-known risk factors with a percentage near 50%. This is similar to the results of a wide range of studies conducted in several countries [11–13, 19, 21–25]. A remarkable lack of knowledge of the population regarding the risk factors for stroke, and especially the most well-known and classic ones, have been detected in our context. By this logic, two-thirds did not recognize diabetes or hypercholesterolemia as risk factors for stroke and almost half of the population did not recognize high blood pressure as a risk factor for stroke. These results could be explained by the limited and insufficient access of the Moroccan population to services related to the diagnosis, treatment, and control of non-communicable diseases provided in primary health care centers. Additionally, a significant segment of the population uses unconventional and traditional medicine, which would limit their chances to be educated about and raise awareness of risk factors [26].

Moreover, the majority of the surveyed participants showed an unsatisfying level of awareness regarding warning signs of a stroke. This result could be explained in macroscopic context, by the lack of mass education and awareness campaigns for the benefit of the general public.
public. A few campaigns are occasionally organized on World Stroke Day, usually in cities where a university hospital is based, in which the acronym FAST (F: Face, A: Arm, S: Speech, T: Time) is adapted in dialectal Arabic language for use in the awareness campaign educational materials. Additionally, this low level of warning sign recognition could be linked at the microscopic level to the lack of individualized awareness sessions at the first signs suggestive of stroke, which would benefit the Moroccan population and, more specifically, people at cardiovascular risk in the context of medical consultations.

This lack of knowledge of the warning signs of stroke potentially impacts on the early use of specialized hospital centers for possible management of stroke patients. This finding was missed in a recent Moroccan systematic review study [6]. To address this concern, the High Authority of Health in France recommended that the treating physician inform patients at risk (vascular history, high blood pressure, diabetes, arteriopathy of the lower limbs, and so on), as well as their entourage, about the main signs of stroke to contribute to rapid access to neurovascular units [27].

Overall, this study revealed there is clearly a poor level of knowledge in the population surveyed about stroke. This is identical to the findings in several countries around the world [10–12, 28]. However, other investigations have shown a good level of knowledge about this disabling disease [19, 29].

In this regard, the variability in the level of knowledge of the population regarding stroke in studies is the expression of a phenomenon whose determinants are multiple. The present study revealed in the multivariate logistic regression analysis that illiteracy, primary school, rural residential, no history of stroke among the respondent and no history of stroke among relatives, acquaintances, or neighbors were independently associated with a lower level of knowledge about stroke.

The low level of education has been associated in the Moroccan context with a poor level of knowledge, the Sous Massa region illiteracy rate (33.1%) being slightly higher than the 2018 national rate (32.2%) reported by the High Commission for Planning of Morocco [30]. This is consistent with the results of a range of studies in which low education level has been the factor most associated with a low level of knowledge in the population surveyed about stroke [19, 31–33]. Similarly, other investigations have confirmed an association between a higher level of education and a good state of knowledge [12, 34–36].

As for place of residence and its relationship to the level of awareness of the surveyed population, this could be explained by access to healthy lifestyle advice for the population living in urban areas, unlike that of rural areas, confirmed recently by the results of the national survey on common risk factors for non-communicable diseases [26]. On the other hand, there was a study conducted in Mexico, which suggested that due to the increased frequency of awareness and information campaigns in rural areas and due to the consolidated “physician-patient” relationship in rural primary health care centers that more preventive education on common cardiovascular disorders, such as stroke, may be found [37].

Moreover, as a result of a first stroke, the risk of a new incident increases considerably. These recurrent strokes account for 25–30% of all strokes as a result of the failure of secondary prevention, and they are probably more disabling and more likely to be fatal than initial strokes [38, 39]. Since the state of knowledge among stroke survivors is of crucial importance in the secondary prevention of recurrent strokes, it has been demonstrated, in present investigation, that a personal history of stroke is a protective factor against a low level of knowledge. This result is similar to that found in several investigations [36, 40–42], while other studies have shown the persistence of a low level of knowledge in patients surviving after a stroke [43–46]. Similarly, a case-control study has found that the level of knowledge in patients after a stroke or transient ischemic accident was low compared to randomly select healthy individuals [47]. This could be explained by the individualized information and awareness sessions conducted in the hospital setting by health professionals involved in the management of stroke patients, which generates an accumulation of knowledge related to the disease throughout the care pathways. Presumably, it could be the consequence of anxiety about the risk of having another stroke, which develops a curiosity in patients

Table 5: Factors associated with a lower level of knowledge on stroke using multivariate logistic regression analysis.

| Variable                                      | aOR (CI 95%) | P value |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| **Level of education**                        |              |         |
| Illiterate                                    | 1.92 (1.08–3.44) | 0.026   |
| Primary school                                | 3.43 (1.63–7.21) | 0.001   |
| **Place of residence**                        |              |         |
| Rural                                         | 1.67 (1.07–2.59) | 0.023   |
| **No history of stroke among the respondent** |              |         |
| Yes                                           | 16.41 (4.37–61.59) | <0.001 |
| **No history of stroke among relatives, acquaintances, or neighbors** | | |
| Yes                                           | 4.42 (2.81–6.96) | <0.001 |

aOR: Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI), CI: Confidence interval, %: Percentage.
to know additional details concerning the disease, especially those for whom the unexpected occurrence of the stroke induces an anxious anticipatory state [48].

The no history of stroke among relatives, acquaintances, or neighbors is found to be a risk factor for a low level of knowledge. This result is probably due to the consolidated interpersonal and social relations with patients in the Moroccan community during visits.

Bolstering this result is a French study which has demonstrated the importance of interpersonal contact in the dissemination of medical information and, more specifically, information about stroke [49]. In another study, a parent was shown to be the primary source of knowledge. To this end, the education of a single person within a family could play a crucial role in raising public awareness of stroke [10].

This study has several limitations. The location of the study constitutes the first constraint, which has focused exclusively on people attending urban primary health care centers despite the recruitment of rural residents with a percentage close to 50%. Another limitation is related to the cross-sectional nature of the study, which reflects only the current level of knowledge of the population surveyed and does not take into account changes over time. Additionally, the adoption of questions about risk factors and warning signs in the list format may result in an overestimation of the current knowledge of the surveyed population.

Conclusion
This study showed important lack of knowledge about risk factors and warning signs of stroke in this sample of the Moroccan population. There is a need to adopt the community-based approach focused on the delegation of education and awareness tasks to experts’ patients, stroke survivors or patients’ caregivers, such as community health workers (relays). This is to implement proximity prevention programs characterized by flexibility at the temporospatial level to meet the specificities and real needs of communities in terms of education and awareness, to replace the human and logistical constraints associated with the implementation of education and awareness campaigns of the general Moroccan public.

Such a poor disease knowledge is strongly correlated to the low educational level. Thus, this indicator calls for further development of sociological studies in order to strengthen the therapeutic protocols taking into account the social status of patients, their cultural context, their ability to verbalize, their perception of the disease, and of the medical language.

Data Accessibility Statement
All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Acknowledgements
The authors would like to thank the staff at the first-level urban primary health care centers within the network of primary health care institutions of the Ministry of Health delegation in Agadir Ida-Outanane, Morocco for the implementation of this study. They also express their gratitude to the participants for their collaboration. We are also grateful to Professor Acim Rachid (Ibn Zohr University, Agadir, Morocco) for his assistance in reviewing the quality of the English language manuscript.

Competing Interests
The authors have no competing interests to declare.

Author Contributions
KA designed the study, analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. OM and LL participated in the design, the statistical analysis, the interpretation of the data and were involved in the writing of the manuscript. HK participated in the revision of the manuscript, especially in the sociological component of the study. AA and BY contributed to the statistical analysis and interpretation of the data. RR, in turn, participated in the design, analysis, interpretation of data, revision of the manuscript, and gave final approval of the published version. All authors had access to the data and a role in writing the manuscript. Furthermore, all authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

References
1. Johnson CO, Nguyen M, Roth GA, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of stroke, 1990–2016: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. The Lancet Neurology. 2019; 18(5): 439–458. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(19)30034-1
2. Rajsic S, Gothe H, Borba HH, et al. Economic burden of stroke: a systematic review on post-stroke care. European Journal of Health Economics. 2019; 20(1): 107–134. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10198-018-0984-0
3. Rukn SA, Mazya MV, Hentati F, et al. Stroke in the Middle-East and North Africa: A 2-year prospective observational study of stroke characteristics in the region—Results from the Safe Implementation of Treatments in Stroke (SITS)–Middle-East and North African (MENA). International Journal of Stroke. 2019; 14(7): 715–722. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/1747493019830331
4. Tran J, Mirzaei M, Anderson L, Leeder SR. The epidemiology of stroke in the Middle East and North Africa. Journal of the Neurological Sciences. 2010; 295(1–2): 38–40. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2010.05.016
5. Al-Rukn S, Mazya M, Akhtar N, et al. Stroke in the Middle-East and North Africa: A 2-year prospective observational study of intravenous thrombolysis treatment in the region. Results from the SITS-MENA Registry [published online ahead of print, 2019 Oct 8]. International Journal of Stroke. 2019; 1747493019874729. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/1747493019874729
6. Kharbach A, Obtel M, Lahlou L, Aasfara J, Mekaoui N, Razine R. Ischemic stroke in Morocco: a systematic review. BMC Neurology. 2019; 19(1): 1–15. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12883-019-1558-1
7. Chhabra M, Gudi SK, Rashid M, et al. Assessment of knowledge on risk factors, warning signs, and early treatment approaches of stroke among community adults in North India: A telephone interview survey. *Journal of Neurosciences in Rural Practice*. 2019; 10(3): 417–422. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0039-1697561

8. Urmubenshi G, Cadilhac DA, Kagwiza JN, Wu O, Langhorne P. Stroke care in Africa: A systematic review of the literature. *International Journal of Stroke*. 2018; 13(8): 797–805. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/1747493018772747

9. Pulvers JN, Watson JD. If time is brain where is the improvement in prehospital time after stroke? *Frontiers in Neurology*. 2017; 8: 617. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2017.00617

10. Sadeghi-Hokmabadi E, Vahdati SS, Rikhtegar R, Ghasempour K, Rezabakhsh A. Public knowledge of people visiting Imam Reza hospital regarding stroke symptoms and risk factors. *BMC Emergency Medicine*. 2019; 19(1): 36. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12873-019-0250-5

11. Itzhaki M, Koton S. Knowledge, perceptions and thoughts of stroke among Arab-Muslim Israelis. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing*. 2014; 13(1): 78–85. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/1474515114379721

12. Kamran S, Bener AB, Deleu D, et al. The level of awareness of stroke risk factors and symptoms in the Gulf Cooperation Council countries: Gulf Cooperation Council stroke awareness study. *Neuroepidemiology*. 2007; 29(3–4): 235–242. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1159/00012856

13. Alhazzani AA, Mahfouz AA, Abolyazid AY, et al. Awareness of stroke among patients attending primary healthcare services in Abha, South-western Saudi Arabia. *Neurosciences*. 2019; 24(3): 214–220. DOI: https://doi.org/10.17712/nnj.2019.3.20180041

14. Haut comissariat au plan du Royaume du Maroc (HCP). Recensement général de la population et de l'habitat 2014. https://www.hcp.ma/region-oriental/docs/RGPH2014/Premiers%20Resultats%20du%20RGPH%202014final_29_04_2015.pdf. Published Avril 2015. Accessed September 02, 2019.

15. Sullivan KM, Dean A, Soe MM. OpenEpi: a web-based epidemiologic and statistical calculator for public health. *Public Health Reports*. 2009; 124(3): 471–474. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/003335490912400320

16. Ministère de la Santé du Maroc. Division de la planification et des études, Service des études et de l'information sanitaire. Note méthodologique sur les projections des populations cibles des programmes de santé pour la période 2014–2024. www.sante.gov.ma/Publications/ETudes_enquete. Published December 2016. Accessed December 20, 2019.

17. O’Donnell MJ, Xavier D, Liu L, et al. Risk factors for ischaemic and intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke in 22 countries (the INTERSTROKE study): A case-control study. *The Lancet*. 2010; 376(9735): 112–123. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60834-3

18. Schneider AT, Pancioli AM, Khoury JC, et al. Trends in community knowledge of the warning signs and risk factors for stroke. *Jama*. 2003; 289(3): 343–346. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jama.289.3.343

19. Nansseu JR, Atangana CP, Petnga S-JN, Kamtchum-Tatuene J, Noubiap JJ. Assessment of the general public’s knowledge of stroke: A cross-sectional study in Yaoundé, Cameroon. *Journal of the Neurological Sciences*. 2017; 378: 123–129. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2017.05.004

20. Park M, Kim K, Lee JH, et al. Awareness and knowledge of sepsis in the general Korean population: comparison with the awareness and knowledge of acute myocardial infarction and stroke. *Clinical Experimental Emergency Medicine*. 2014; 1(1): 41–48. DOI: https://doi.org/10.15441/ceem.14.014

21. Kaddumukasa M, Kayima J, Nakibukwa J, et al. A cross-sectional population survey on stroke knowledge and attitudes in Greater Kampala, Uganda. *Cogent Medicine*. 2017; 4(1): 1327129. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/2331205X.2017.1327129

22. Baldereschi M, Di Carlo A, Vaccaro C, et al. Stroke awareness in Italy. *Neurological Sciences*. 2015; 36(3): 415–421. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-014-1964-5

23. Faiz KW, Sundsehth B, Thommessen B, Ronning OM. Patient knowledge on stroke risk factors, symptoms and treatment options. *Vascular Health Risk Management*. 2018; 14: 37–40. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2147/VHRM.S152173

24. Hickey A, O’Hanlon A, McGee H, et al. Stroke awareness in the general population: knowledge of stroke risk factors and warning signs in older adults. *BMC Geriatrics*. 2009; 9(1): 35. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2318-9-35

25. Osama A, Ashour Y, El-Razek RA, Mostafa I. Public knowledge of warning signs and risk factors of cerebro-vascular stroke in Ismailia Governorate, Egypt. *The Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery*. 2019; 55(1): 31. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s41983-019-0079-6

26. Ministère de la Santé du Maroc. Rapport de l’enquête nationale sur les facteurs de risque communs des maladies non transmissibles, STEPS, 2017-2018. https://www.sante.gov.ma/Publications/ETudes_enquete. Published 2018. Accessed January 11, 2020.

27. Haute Autorité de Santé. Accident vasculaire cérébral: prise en charge précoce (alerte, phase préhospitalière, phase hospitalière initiale, indications de la thrombolyse). Saint-Denis La Plaine. https://www.has-sante.fr/jcms/c_830203/fr/accident-vasculaire-cerebral-preise-en-charge-
28. Gomes AB, Henrique M, Jr, Schoeps VA, et al. Popular stroke knowledge in Brazil: A multicenter survey during “World Stroke Day”. eNeurologicalSci. 2017; 6: 63–67. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ensci.2016.12.002

29. Kayode-Iyasere E, Odias FE. Awareness of stroke, its warning signs, and risk factors in the community: A study from the urban population of Benin City, Nigeria. Sahel Medical Journal. 2019; 22(3): 134–139. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4103/smj.smj_4_18

30. Haut-Commissariat au Plan du Maroc. Les indicateurs sociaux du Maroc 2018. https://www.hcp.ma/downloads/Indicateurs_sociaux_t11880.html. Published 2018. Accessed September 02, 2019.

31. Han CH, Kim H, Lee S, Chung JH. Knowledge and poor understanding factors of stroke and heart attack symptoms. International Journal of Environmental Research Public Health. 2019; 16(19): 3665. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16193665

32. Dossi DE, Hawkes MA, Pujol-Lereis VA, et al. A population-based survey of stroke knowledge in Argentina: The SIFHON study. Neuroepidemiology. 2019; 53(1–2): 1–9. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1159/000497413

33. Rachmawati D, Ningsih DK, Andarini S. Factors affecting the knowledge about stroke risks and early symptoms in emergency department East Java-Indonesia. Malang Neurology Journal. 2019; 6(1): 11–19. DOI: https://doi.org/10.21776/umb.mnj.2020.006.01.3

34. Mvula H, Chisambo C, Nyirenda V, et al. Community-level knowledge and perceptions of stroke in rural Malawi: A cross-sectional, population-based survey. Stroke. 2019; 50(7): 1846–1849. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.119.025105

35. Oh GJ, Moon J, Lee YM, et al. Public awareness of stroke and its predicting factors in Korea: a national public telephone survey, 2012 and 2014. Journal of Korean Medical Science. 2016; 31(11): 1703–1710. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2016.31.11.1703

36. Krishnamurthi RV, Barker-Collo S, Barber PA, et al. Community knowledge and awareness of stroke in New Zealand. Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases. 2020; 29(3): 104589. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2019.104589

37. Góngora-Rivera F, González-Aquines A, Muruet W, et al. Difference in stroke knowledge between rural and urban communities in a developing country after community-based stroke educational campaigns: results from a cross-sectional study. Neuroepidemiology. 2018; 51(3–4): 224–229. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1159/000490724

38. He Q, Wu C, Guo W, et al. Hospital-based study of the frequency and risk factors of stroke recurrence in two years in China. Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases. 2017; 26(11): 2494–2500. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2017.05.026

39. Hankey GJ. Secondary stroke prevention. The Lancet Neurology. 2014; 13(2): 178–194. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(13)70255-2

40. Wang MD, Wang Y, Mao L, et al. Acute stroke patients’ knowledge of stroke at discharge in China: A cross-sectional study. Tropical Medicine and International Health. 2018; 23(11): 1200–1206. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.13148

41. Lenses A, Lermusiaux P, Boileau C, et al. La connaissance des facteurs de risque cardiovasculaire est-elle meilleure après la survenue d’un évènement ischémique majeur? Enquête auprès de 135 cas et 260 témoins. Journal des Maladies Vasculaires. 2013; 38(6): 360–366. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmv.2013.10.001

42. Faiz KW, Labberton AS, Thommessen B, Ronning OM, Barra M. Stroke-related knowledge and lifestyle behavior among stroke survivors. Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases. 2019; 28(11): 104–359. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2019.104359

43. Shravani K, Parmar MY, Macharla R, Mateti UV, Martha S. Risk factor assessment of stroke and its awareness among stroke survivors: A prospective study. Advanced Biomedical Research. 2015; 4: 187.

44. Ellis C, Barley J, Grubaugh A. Poststroke knowledge and symptom awareness: a global issue for secondary stroke prevention. Cerebrovascular Diseases. 2013; 35(6): 572–581. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1159/000351209

45. Slark J, Bentley P, Majeed A, Sharma P. Awareness of stroke symptomatology and cardiovascular risk factors amongst stroke survivors. Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases. 2012; 21(5): 358–362. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2010.09.010

46. Zeng Y, He GP, Yi GH, Huang YJ, Zhang QH, He LL. Knowledge of stroke warning signs and risk factors among patients with previous stroke or TIA in China. Journal of Clinical Nursing. 2012; 21(19–20): 2886–2895. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2012.04118.x

47. Riechel C, Alegiani AC, Koepke S, et al. Subjective and objective knowledge and decisional role preferences in cerebrovascular patients compared to controls. Patient Preference and Adherence. 2016; 10: 1453–1460. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S98342

48. Morin D, Rémillard S, Capone E, Michel P. Approach to identifying the educational needs of patients suffering from stroke for the construction of a therapeutic education group program.
