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Timing optimization of low-dose first-pass analysis dynamic CT myocardial perfusion measurement: validation in a swine model
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Abstract

Background: Myocardial perfusion measurement with a low-dose first-pass analysis (FPA) dynamic computed tomography (CT) perfusion technique depends upon acquisition of two whole-heart volume scans at the base and peak of the aortic enhancement. Hence, the objective of this study was to validate an optimal timing protocol for volume scan acquisition at the base and peak of the aortic enhancement.

Methods: Contrast-enhanced CT of 28 Yorkshire swine (weight, 55 ± 24 kg, mean ± standard deviation) was performed under rest and stress conditions over 20–30 s to capture the aortic enhancement curves. From these curves, an optimal timing protocol was simulated, where one volume scan was acquired at the base of the aortic enhancement while a second volume scan was acquired at the peak of the aortic enhancement. Low-dose FPA perfusion measurements ($P_{FPA}$) were then derived and quantitatively compared to the previously validated retrospective FPA perfusion measurements as a reference standard ($P_{REF}$). The 32-cm diameter volume CT dose index, $CTDI_{vol}^{32}$, and size-specific dose estimate (SSDE) of the low-dose FPA perfusion protocol were also determined.

Results: $P_{FPA}$ were related to the reference standard by $P_{FPA} = 0.95 \cdot P_{REF} + 0.07$ ($r = 0.94$, root-mean-square error = 0.27 mL/min/g, root-mean-square deviation = 0.04 mL/min/g). The $CTDI_{vol}^{32}$ and SSDE of the low-dose FPA perfusion protocol were 9.2 mGy and 14.6 mGy, respectively.

Conclusions: An optimal timing protocol for volume scan acquisition at the base and peak of the aortic enhancement was retrospectively validated and has the potential to be used to implement an accurate, low-dose, FPA perfusion technique.
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Key points

- A new first-pass analysis dynamic CT perfusion technique enables accurate myocardial perfusion measurement at a low radiation dose, but its clinical feasibility depends upon optimal acquisition timing.
- Optimal acquisition timing can be determined using the contrast bolus injection time alone, as a robust mathematical relation exists between the contrast bolus injection time and the time-to-peak aortic enhancement, independent of heart rate or maximal peak enhancement.

Background

Accurate physiological assessment of coronary artery disease (CAD) is necessary for objective definition of patient risk [1–4]. Such physiological assessment of risk is feasible with dynamic computed tomography (CT) perfusion [3, 5, 6], where the spatial distribution of absolute stress myocardial perfusion in mL/min/g, in combination with physiological cutoff thresholds, can be used to reliably stratify patient risk and properly guide intervention [7, 8]. Nevertheless, current dynamic CT perfusion techniques are known to be inaccurate [9–11] and
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have limited craniocaudal coverage. Such techniques also deliver high effective radiation doses from 5.3 to 10 mSv per stress or rest perfusion measurement, despite attempts to reduce tube voltage, modulate tube current, and reduce the number of acquisitions [12–15].

Fortunately, a new first-pass analysis (FPA) dynamic CT perfusion technique has been shown to improve the accuracy of perfusion measurement through whole-heart craniocaudal coverage, with additional potential to reduce the effective radiation dose through maximal acquisition number reduction. More specifically, the FPA perfusion technique is enabled by 320-slice CT scanner technology that allows the entire myocardium to be acquired in a single gantry rotation. As such, the technique only requires two optimally timed first-pass volume scans acquired at the base and the peak of the aortic enhancement for accurate perfusion measurement [16–18].

Moreover, given such a sampling scheme, if using a combined rest and stress perfusion protocol, the second volume scan of the rest protocol may also be used for CT angiography if acquired at a diagnostic tube current [16–18]. While proper timing of the two first-pass volume scans may be determined through the preemptive use of a diluted test bolus [19, 20], extra contrast and radiation dose are required. Alternately, dynamic bolus tracking-based prospective timing and acquisition of the two first-pass volume scans may provide a better solution but remains to be developed and optimized.

Hence, the objective of this study was to retrospectively develop a robust mathematical relation between the contrast bolus injection time and contrast bolus time-to-peak for proper prospective acquisition of the two first-pass volume scans. The central hypothesis was that the ideal delay time between the two volume scans equals one half the contrast injection time plus a fixed dispersion delay. Based on the results, an optimal dynamic bolus tracking-based timing protocol was validated for the low-dose FPA perfusion technique.

**Methods**

**First-pass analysis perfusion measurement theory**

The FPA perfusion technique is enabled by 320-slice CT scanner technology and models the entire myocardium as a single lumped compartment. Given this model [21], the average perfusion within the compartment is proportional to the first-pass entry of contrast material mass over time (dM_C/dt), normalized by the incoming contrast material concentration (C_{in}) and compartment
tissue mass \((M_T)\), assuming no contrast material exits over the measurement duration. By extension, only two optimally timed first-pass volume scans, labeled V1 and V2 in Fig. 1, are mathematically necessary for FPA perfusion measurement, as previously validated versus invasive fractional flow reserve, quantitative microsphere perfusion, and ultrasonic flow probe measurement [16–18]. V1 is defined as a volume scan at approximately the base of the aortic enhancement, while V2 is defined as a volume scan at approximately the peak of aortic enhancement, \(i.e.,\) at the same point necessary for optimal CT angiography [20]. The integrated change in myocardial enhancement \((dM_C/dt)\), the average change in myocardial enhancement \((\Delta HU_{AVE})\), the average aortic blood pool enhancement \((C_{\text{ave}})\), and the voxel-by-voxel change in myocardial enhancement \((\Delta HU)\) between the V1 and V2 volume scans may then be used in combination with the total myocardial mass \((M_T)\) to derive voxel-by-voxel perfusion \((P_{\text{FPA}})\) [16–18], as described by Eq. 1:

\[
P_{\text{FPA}} = \left( M_T^{-1} \frac{dM_C}{dt} \right)_{\text{AVE}} \frac{\Delta HU}{\Delta HU_{\text{AVE}}}.
\]

### Optimal peak timing theory
Garcia et al. [22] and Han et al. [23] have shown that the temporal width of the contrast bolus in the aorta is proportional to the volume of the contrast bolus for a given injection rate. By extension, it follows that for any injection rate, the contrast bolus injection time should also be predictive of the temporal width of the contrast bolus in the aorta.

Specifically, upon injection, the contrast bolus is approximately square in shape, \(i.e.,\) it has a fixed concentration and enhancement per unit time, as displayed in Fig. 1a, b. However, the pulsatile nature of the circulatory system cause mixing and dispersion, resulting in a broad, approximately gamma variate contrast bolus geometry by the time it arrives in the aorta, as displayed in Fig. 1b. Nevertheless, such mixing and dispersion primarily impact the leading and trailing edges of the bolus. Hence, the temporal center of the bolus maintains the highest contrast concentration and peak enhancement where one half the injection time \((T_p)\) plus a fixed dispersion delay \((T_d)\) should approximately correspond to the temporal center of the contrast bolus in the aorta \((T_P)\), as described by Eq. 2 and displayed in Fig. 1b:

\[
T_p = \frac{T_i}{2} + T_d
\]

### General methods
The study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Contrast-enhanced dynamic CT imaging was performed under rest and stress conditions in 28 Yorkshire swine (weight 55 ± 24 kg, mean ± standard deviation), with one to two rest acquisitions followed by one to three stress acquisitions per animal, all with the same CT settings. Five of the swine also had significant coronary artery disease generated with a balloon stenosis method as previously described [16–18]. All data was prospectively acquired and retrospectively analyzed. First, the contrast bolus geometry in the aorta was characterized using automatic gamma variate fitting. From the fit curves, the ideal time-to-peak enhancement between the base and peak of the aortic enhancement was derived and compared to one half the contrast injection time. Next, the ideal time-to-peak enhancement was used to design a practical, low-dose FPA perfusion protocol, where the first volume scan (V1) was acquired at approximately the base of the aortic enhancement through dynamic bolus tracking with triggering at 180 HU, while the second volume scan (V2) was acquired \(T_d\) seconds after V1, at approximately the peak of the aortic enhancement. More specifically, \(T_d\) was incrementally increased from 0 to 4 s and the accuracy of peak acquisition was assessed in each case, with the best \(T_d\) selected for the low-dose FPA perfusion protocol. Finally, the accuracy of perfusion measurement with the low-dose FPA perfusion protocol was assessed in a subset of 14 animals, where low-dose FPA perfusion measurements were quantitatively compared to previously validated reference standard retrospective FPA perfusion measurements from the same 14 animals [16–18].

### Animal preparation
Anesthesia was induced with Telazol (4.4 mg/kg), ketamine (2.2 mg/kg), and xylazine (2.2 mg/kg), and was maintained with 1.5–2.5% isoflurane (Highland Medical Equipment, Temecula, CA and Baxter, Deerfield, IL, USA). Sheaths were placed (5Fr, AVANTI®, Cordis Corporation, Miami Lakes, FL, USA) in each femoral vein and were used for fluid, adenosine, and contrast material intravenous administration.

### Contrast-enhanced imaging protocol
For each animal, one or two rest acquisitions were performed, followed by one to three stress acquisitions, all with the same CT settings. For each stress acquisition, adenosine was infused intravenously for 3 min prior to and throughout imaging (240 μg adenosine/kg/min, Model 55–2222, Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA, USA). For each rest and stress acquisition, 1 mL/kg of contrast material (Isovue 370, Bracco Diagnostics, Princeton, NJ, USA) was injected (5 mL/s, Empower CTA, Acist Medical Systems, Eden Prairie, MN, USA) followed by a saline chaser (0.5 mL/kg) at the same rate. ECG-gated volume scans were acquired dynamically with a 320-slice CT scanner (Aquilion One, Toshiba America Medical Systems, Tustin, CA, USA) over 20–
30 s to capture base and peak of the aortic enhancement, as shown in Fig. 1a, b. All volume scans were acquired as full projection data at 100 kVp and 200 mA with a rotation time of 0.35 s, a collimation of 320 × 0.5 mm, and a cranio-caudal coverage of 16 cm. A minimum 10-min delay was employed between consecutive acquisitions to allow for adequate clearance of contrast material and, if used, adenosine. All volume scans were retrospectively reconstructed at 75% of the R-R interval using an adaptive iterative dose reduction three-dimensional reconstruction [24], an FC03 kernel, and a voxel size of 0.43 × 0.43 × 0.5 mm.

**Contrast bolus parameter assessment**

For each acquisition, the central lumen of the aorta was segmented semi-automatically (Vitrea fX version 6.0, Vital Images, Inc., Minnetonka, MN, USA), yielding a vascular volume of interest. The volumes of interest were then used to generate aortic enhancement curves that were automatically fit via least squares fitting (LSQCurveFit, MatLab 2013a, MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA) using a gamma variate function of the form:

$$\text{Enhancement}(t) = A \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right)^b \cdot \exp \left( \frac{b}{\tau} \right) + C$$

where $A$ is the maximum aortic enhancement, $t$ is time, $\tau$ is the decay constant, $b$ is the power, and $C$ is the initial pre-contrast aortic enhancement. The first and second derivatives of the gamma fit were also computed. The ideal time-to-peak ($T_g$) in seconds between the ideal base and ideal peak of the aortic enhancement was calculated as the time difference between the maximum of the second derivative of the gamma variate fit and the peak of the actual gamma variate fit. The results were then compared to one half the contrast injection time using regression analysis. Example gamma fits are shown in Fig. 2. The gamma variate fit results were also analyzed to determine if there were pair-wise differences in heart rate, time-to-peak, and peak enhancement between rest and stress conditions. Additionally, in the five animals with significant stenoses, the gamma variate fit results were further analyzed to determine if there were pair-wise differences in time-to-peak between rest or stress acquisitions without stenosis as compared to stress acquisitions with significant stenosis.

**Practical prospective acquisition of the V1 and V2 scans**

The optimal peak timing theory was then used to design a practical low-dose FPA perfusion protocol. First, dynamic bolus tracking with triggering at 140 HU above the baseline blood pool intensity in the aorta was simulated (SureStart, Aquilion One, Canon Medical Systems, Tustin, CA, USA), followed by systematic selection of V1 as the first electrocardiographically gated volume scan after triggering, corresponding to approximately the base of the aortic enhancement. Next, the delay time between V1 and...
V2 for acquisition of V2 at approximately the peak of the aortic enhancement was determined. V2 was systematically selected as the first ECG-gated volume scan \( \frac{T_i}{2} + d \) s after V1, where the dispersion delay \( d \) was iteratively increased from 0.0 to 4.0 s. For each iteration, the temporal difference between V2 and the ideal peak was recorded in units of cardiac cycles. The results were quantitatively compared to determine the dispersion delay necessary for optimal prospective acquisition of V2 at approximately the peak of the aortic enhancement.

**Accuracy of prospective perfusion measurement**

The accuracy of the low-dose FPA perfusion protocol was assessed in a subset of fourteen animals using previously validated retrospective FPA perfusion measurements as the reference standard. For each acquisition, V1 and V2 were systematically selected using the simulated acquisition protocol. Both volume scans were registered and combined into a maximum intensity projection image volume, which was segmented semi-automatically (Vitrea fX version 6.0, Vital Images, Inc., Minnetonka, MN, USA), yielding the entire myocardium. Low-dose FPA perfusion measurements were then computed according to Eq. 1 and were compared to the previously validated reference standard retrospective FPA perfusion measurements from the same fourteen animals [16–18]. Additionally, the 32-cm diameter volume CT dose index, CTDIvol, of the low-dose FPA perfusion protocol was recorded, and a size-specific dose estimate (SSDE) was computed to account for the small 23-cm effective diameter of the swine [25].

**Statistical analysis**

First, the gamma variate time-to-peak data was related to the injection time data through regression, root-mean-square error (RMSE), and root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) analysis, where RMSE and RMSD were defined as the square root of the standard deviation of the identity line and fit line residuals, respectively. Next, the rest and stress data, stenosis data, as well as the optimal V2 acquisition data, were assessed through paired sample \( t \) tests. Finally, all low-dose FPA perfusion measurements were quantitatively compared to the reference standard retrospective FPA perfusion measurements using regression, Bland-Altman, root-mean-square error, root-mean-square deviation, Lin’s concordance correlation coefficient [26], and paired sample \( t \) testing. Values of \( p \) lower than 0.050 were considered as significant. Statistical software was used for all analysis (MatLab 2013a, MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA; PS, Version 3.0, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA; SPSS, Version 22, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

**Results**

**General**

A total of 98 measurements were obtained from the 28 animals used in the study. The weight of the animals was 52.2 ± 14.6 kg (mean ± standard deviation). The average rest and stress heart rates were 84.86 ± 13.67 and 93.04 ± 12.09 beats per min, respectively.

**Contrast bolus parameter assessment**

The average gamma fit time-to-peak (\( T_g \)) data from each animal was used for regression analysis. The gamma fit time-to-peak (\( T_g \)) data and one half the injection time data (\( \frac{T_i}{2} \)) were related by \( T_g = 1.01 \cdot \frac{T_i}{2} + 2.28 \) s, with a Pearson’s correlation of \( r = 0.98 \), a root-mean-square error of 2.28 s, and a root-mean-square deviation of 2.31 s, as shown in Fig. 3. For all the animals, the average heart rate, time-to-peak, and peak enhancement under rest and stress conditions with paired sample \( t \) test comparisons are shown in Table 1. For the five animals with significant stenosis, the average time-to-peak for rest or stress acquisitions without stenosis was 7.29 ± 0.82 s, while the average time-to-peak for stress acquisitions in the presence of significant stenosis was 7.10 ± 0.40 s (\( p = 0.473 \)).

**Optimal prospective acquisition of the V1 and V2 scans**

A total of 98 measurements from 28 animals were assessed. Data from the three best dispersion delays “\( d \)”
in seconds is shown in Table 2. Optimal time delays of $\frac{T_i}{2} + 0.5$, $\frac{T_i}{2} + 1$, and $\frac{T_i}{2} + 1.5$ s resulted in an average cardiac cycle difference of $-0.56 \pm 1.79$, $0.13 \pm 1.85$, and $0.86 \pm 1.97$ (mean ± standard deviation) between V2 and the ideal peak, respectively. An example of the low-dose FPA perfusion protocol simulation is shown in Fig. 4.

### Accuracy of prospective perfusion measurement

A total of 60 perfusion measurements from 14 animals were assessed. Low-dose FPA perfusion measurements ($P_{\text{FPA}}$) were generated using the best dispersion delay $d = 1$ s and were related to the previously validated reference standard FPA perfusion measurements ($P_{\text{REF}}$) by $P_{\text{FPA}} = 0.95 \cdot P_{\text{REF}} + 0.07 \text{ mL/min/g}$, with a Pearson’s correlation of $r = 0.94$, a concordance correlation of $\rho = 0.94$, a root-mean-square error of 0.27 mL/min/g, and a root-mean-square deviation of 0.04 mL/min/g, as shown in Fig. 5a, with corresponding Bland-Altman analysis displayed in Fig. 5b. Additionally, the mean of the low-dose measurements was $1.51 \pm 0.80$ mL/min/g, while the mean of the reference standard measurements was $1.49 \pm 0.80$ ($p = 0.691$). More importantly, the CTDI$_{vol}$ and SSDE of the low-dose FPA perfusion protocol were found to be 9.2 mGy and 14.6 mGy, respectively, corresponding to an effective dose and size-specific effective dose of 2.1 and 3.3 mSv, respectively, as estimated using the craniocaudal coverage of 16 cm and an adult chest conversion factor of $k = 0.014$. Perfusion maps were also generated to compare the spatial distribution of low-dose FPA perfusion measurements to the corresponding reference standard FPA perfusion measurements, as shown in Fig. 6.

### Discussion

The first goal of the study was to develop a robust mathematical relation between the contrast bolus injection time and contrast bolus time-to-peak. The results indicate that over a large range of heart rates (59.74–126.94 beats per min), injection volumes (28–96 mL), and injection times (5.6–19.2 s), one half the injection time plus a fixed dispersion delay of approximately 2.3 s ($\frac{T_i}{2} + 2.3$ s) corresponds to the ideal time-to-peak aortic enhancement. Furthermore, in all the animals, there was no significant difference in the ideal time-to-peak enhancement between rest and stress conditions ($p = 0.411$), although stress peak enhancement was significantly lower than rest peak enhancement ($p = 0.012$) and stress heart rates were significantly higher than rest heart rates ($p = 0.007$). That said, such findings are in agreement with prior work by Bae et al., which describes an inverse relationship between cardiac output and peak enhancement [27].

## Table 1

| Parameter                  | Rest (mean ± SD) | Stress (mean ± SD) | $p$ value |
|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Heart rate (beats per min) | 84.86 ± 13.67     | 93.04 ± 12.09      | 0.007     |
| Gamma fit time-to-peak(s)  | 7.41 ± 1.93       | 7.19 ± 1.95        | 0.411     |
| Peak enhancement (HU)      | 640.07 ± 150.12   | 540.07 ± 149.24    | 0.012     |

$n$ number of animals assessed, SD standard deviation

## Table 2

| Protocol (n = 98) | Cardiac cycle difference from peak (beats, mean) | $p$ value (comparison with the ideal peak) | RMSE (beats) |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------|
| $\frac{T_i}{2} + 0.5$ s | $-0.56 \pm 1.79$                                   | 0.004                                   | 1.87         |
| $\frac{T_i}{2} + 1.0$ s | $0.13 \pm 1.85$                                   | 0.497                                   | 1.84         |
| $\frac{T_i}{2} + 1.5$ s | $0.86 \pm 1.97$                                   | 0.000                                   | 2.14         |

$n$ number of measurements made in the 28 animals, $\frac{T_i}{2}$ one half of the contrast injection time in seconds, RMSE root-mean-square error
V2 at approximately the peak of the aortic enhancement, with no significant mean difference in cardiac cycle offset from the ideal peak ($p = 0.497$) and a RMSE of less than two cardiac cycles. Such findings inform that a low-dose FPA perfusion protocol, as well as optimal CT angiography, is feasible using dynamic bolus tracking with reliable peak acquisition timing. By extension, this work also has the potential to improve the diagnostic sensitivity of static perfusion measurement. More specifically, Pelgrim et al. [28] found that a fixed timed delay of approximately $8.3 \pm 3.8$ s between volume scan triggering and acquisition resulted in the highest contrast-to-noise ratio difference between normal and ischemic myocardium. That said, the deviation in optimal timing was large; hence, one half the injection time plus a fixed dispersion delay between volume scan triggering and acquisition could further improve the contrast-to-noise ratio and provide maximal diagnostic sensitivity, although prospective validation is still necessary.

Based on the above results, the final goal of the study was to validate the accuracy of the low-dose FPA perfusion protocol. Using a time delay of $T_2 + 1$ s between V1 and V2, low-dose FPA perfusion measurements were in good agreement with the previously validated reference standard retrospective FPA perfusion measurements, demonstrating near unity slope, minimal offset, good concordance correlation, and negligible bias, without significant differences between measurement means. Additionally, the low-dose FPA perfusion maps were in good agreement with the corresponding reference standard FPA perfusion maps. More importantly, such measurements were achieved at a CTDI$_{vol}$ and SSD of only 9.2 mGy and 14.6 mGy, respectively, corresponding to an effective dose and size-specific effective dose of 2.1 and 3.3 mSv, substantially lower than the 5.3–10 mSv effective dose of current dynamic CT perfusion techniques. That said, other groups like van Assen et al. [29] have attempted to reduce the number of volume scans necessary for perfusion measurement. Unfortunately, given the mathematical

![Fig. 4 Low-dose FPA perfusion protocol simulation. The aortic gamma variate fit was used to simulate dynamic bolus tracking-based triggering, where V1 was systematically selected as the first electrocardiographically gated volume scan after triggering, while V2 was systematically selected as the first electrocardiographically gated volume scan occurring $T_2 + 0$ to 4.0 s after V1. The cardiac cycle distance of V2 from the ideal peak enhancement (red triangle) was then recorded. Note that the V2 volume scan also corresponds to the site of optimal CT angiography.](image)

![Fig. 5 Low-dose first-pass analysis (FPA) perfusion measurements as compared to the reference standard retrospective FPA perfusion measurements. A total of 60 perfusion measurements from 14 animals were assessed. a Regression analysis comparing the simulated low-dose FPA perfusion measurements to the previously validated reference standard retrospective FPA perfusion measurements is displayed. b Corresponding Bland-Altman analysis is also shown.](image)
limitations of most perfusion models combined with the limited craniocaudal coverage of standard CT scanner technology, lower temporal sampling rates invariably worsen the inaccuracies of current dynamic CT perfusion techniques [12–15, 29]. Fortunately, the low-dose FPA perfusion technique is fundamentally different from current dynamic CT perfusion techniques in that only two whole-heart volume scans are necessary for accurate perfusion measurement, as previously validated versus invasive fractional flow reserve (FFR), quantitative microsphere perfusion, and ultrasonic flow probe measurement [16–18]. More importantly, given the results of this work, practical implementation of the low-dose FPA perfusion technique may now be achieved.

This study has limitations. First, while a range of swine weights and injections times were used, the animals were undersized as compared to an average human cardiac patient. Hence, additional work in larger animals may still be necessary to confirm that the optimal timing protocol is robust. Second, all contrast injections were made at a fixed rate of 5 mL/s, i.e., no other injection rates were assessed. Nevertheless, as the optimal timing protocol is predominantly a function of injection time, it should accommodate the use of any injection rate, although additional work may still be necessary to determine if a dispersion delay of 1 s remains valid for different injection rates. Third, only five swine in this study had balloon stenoses; hence, additional work in more swine with significant cardiac disease may still be necessary to better assess the performance of the optimal timing protocol. Fourth, the optimal timing protocol was developed and validated retrospectively using data from a wide-volume CT scanner, i.e., the triggering scheme and subsequent timing of V1 and V2 acquisition may be scanner specific. As such, additional validation of the optimal timing protocol with other CT systems may still necessary to determine if the fixed dispersion delay of 1 s remains robust. Finally, while simulated low-dose FPA perfusion measurements performed well as compared to the previously validated reference standard retrospective FPA perfusion measurements [16–18], true prospective acquisition of V1 and V2 using the optimal timing protocol was not assessed; hence, additional prospective work in more animals may still be necessary. Alternatively, if prospective acquisition of V1 and V2 proves difficult, the timing of V1 and V2 acquisition may also be predicted through the preemptive use of a low-dose diluted test bolus acquisition [19, 20], although the overall contrast and radiation dose associated with low-dose FPA perfusion measurement will be increased.

In conclusion, an optimal timing protocol for accurate, low-dose, FPA dynamic CT perfusion measurement was retrospectively simulated and validated in 28 swine over a range of heart rates, injection volumes, and injection time intervals. Using dynamic bolus tracking, the protocol resulted in robust acquisition of V1 at approximately the base of the aortic enhancement, with robust acquisition of V2 \( \frac{T_i}{2} + 1 \) s after V1 at approximately the peak of the aortic enhancement. Such findings have important implications in that they enable practical, low-dose FPA perfusion

![Fig. 6](image-url) Quantitative voxel-by-voxel first-pass analysis (FPA) perfusion maps. Low-dose rest (top left) and stress (top right) FPA perfusion maps are displayed as compared to reference standard retrospective rest (bottom left) and stress (bottom right) FPA perfusion maps. The color bar indicates myocardial perfusion in mL/min/g.
measurement at a CTDo[2] and SSDE of only 9.2 mGy and 14.6 mGy, respectively. In summary, the optimal timing protocol was retrospectively validated in 28 swine and has the potential to be used for practical implementation of a new, low-dose FPA perfusion technique.
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