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Abstract

Faster and more energy efficient hardware accelerators are critical for machine learning on very large datasets. The energy cost of performing vector-matrix multiplication and repeatedly moving neural network models in and out of memory motivates a search for alternative hardware and algorithms. We propose to use streaming batch principal component analysis (SBPCA) to compress batch data during training by using a rank-k approximation of the total batch update. This approach yields comparable training performance to minibatch gradient descent (MBGD) at the same batch size while reducing overall memory and compute requirements.

Introduction

Recent assessment of the energy necessary to train deep networks highlights the high financial and environmental costs associated with this fast growing field (Strubell, Ganesh, and McCallum 2019). New hardware accelerators are needed for efficient local and cloud computing (Ambrogio et al. 2018). To accelerate training, research has increasingly focused on variable learning rate methods that add additional hyperparameters to the training of neural networks. Though these additional hyperparameters decrease the training time, they double or triple the memory overhead compared to a unit batch size stochastic gradient descent (SGD). These approaches are nevertheless preferred over SGD, however, since they can accelerate training on the rapidly fluctuating gradient vector to provide a superior learning trajectory.

In our approach, we accelerate training and reduce overhead by generating a stochastic low-rank approximation of the gradient using streaming principal component analysis (SPCA). SPCA was proposed by Oja in 1982 (Oja 1982), and recent proposals combine SPCA with adaptive algorithms to optimize the learning rate while using only a single pass over the data (Henriksen and Ward 2019). (Burrello et al. 2019) proposed a parallel implementation of streaming History-PCA to save memory when backpropagating. SPCA remains an active research area which is promising for edge computing and other applications where memory usage is critical. To our knowledge, Hoskins et al. are first to use SPCA to compress batch gradients (Hoskins et al. 2019). Here we propose the SBPCA training algorithm, which approximates minibatch gradient descent with a slowly varying thin singular value decomposition of the overall gradient. Initial results show that SBPCA can accelerate training while reducing memory overhead and energy costs.

Method Details

In any SGD-derived method, the weights in a given layer are updated as \( \Theta \leftarrow \Theta - \alpha \nabla \Theta \) where \( \Theta \) is the weight matrix trained for that layer, \( \alpha \) is the learning rate, and \( \nabla \Theta \) is a stochastic approximation of \( \partial \ell / \partial \Theta \) over a batch \( B \), with \( \ell \) the loss function evaluated after the feedforward step.

We consider an alternative calculation of \( \nabla \Theta \) through a streaming low rank approximation based on the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD). Using Algorithm 1, the stochastic approximation is given by \( \nabla \Theta = X \Sigma \Delta^T \), where \( X \) is the left singular matrix, \( \sigma \) is the vector of singular values, \( \Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma) \), and \( \Delta \) is the right singular matrix. Restricting \( \sigma \) to its top \( k \) singular values, the memory cost of Algorithm 1 is \( m \times k + k + n \times k \). We generally take \( k \ll \min\{m, n\} \) to approximate \( \nabla \Theta \) with efficient memory usage. Algorithm 1 updates this approximation using a novel block-averaged bi-iterative implementation of Oja’s rule, and uses QR decomposition to re-orthogonalize the singular vectors.

Algorithm 1 Streaming Batch PCA (SBPCA) Update

Require: \( \sigma, X, \Delta \) and \( B \)

for \( i = 1, 2, ..., B/b \) do

\[ y = \delta_i \Delta \]

\[ z = x_i X/b \]

\[ X \leftarrow iX + \frac{\sigma_i y}{(i+1)\sigma} \]

\[ X \leftarrow \text{QR}(X) \]

\[ X \cdot \Delta \leftarrow iX + \frac{\sigma_i X}{(i+1)\sigma} \]

end for

Calculate \( \nabla \Theta = X \cdot \text{diag}(\sigma) \cdot \Delta^T \)

The \( X \) matrix (and \( \Delta \) respectively) is updated as \( X \leftarrow cX + (1-c)d \) where \( c \) is a convergence coefficient and \( d \) represents the block-averaged update of \( X \) over a minibatch of the input data, represented as \( x \) (and \( \delta \) respectively), a matrix balanced convolutional layer.
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with with rows b representing a subset of a minibatch of data
with block size b examples. We describe two approaches. In
the fixed version of Algorithm 1, we vary the convergence
coefficient from block to block as \( c_i = i/(i+1) \) while keep-
ing the block size b of the minibatch fixed. However, we find
that fixed values of b can lead to poor sampling of the space,
so we introduce an alternative version (SBPCA with vari-
able \( b \) or SBPCAV), adapted from Algorithm 1, with fixed
\( c = 1/2 \) (implementable in hardware as a single bit-shift)
but variable block size \( b_i = 2^{(i-1)} \), with i the block index.
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**Experiments**

We evaluate the SBPCA and SBPCAV algorithms on the
CIFAR-10, CIFAR-100, and ImageNet datasets using an
AlexNet modified to accommodate the smaller input size
while maintaining the five convolutional and three fully con-
ected layer structure. These algorithms are used only for
fully connected layers; convolutional layers have less mem-
ory overhead, and so benefit less. We compare with MBGD
results.

Since the initialization condition of the gradient approx-
imation for the next batch is the end condition of the prior
batch, the gradient estimation includes significant prior gra-
dient history. This acts as a form of momentum, accelerating
the training convergence compared to MBGD. In our exper-
iments, we observed this is especially powerful at low learn-
ing rates. At high learning rates, the gradient changes more
rapidly than the gradient estimation can be updated, desta-

![Figure 1: Comparative accuracy and loss for SBPCA and MBGD for CIFAR-10 (rank \( k = 3 \)) and CIFAR-100 (\( k = 30 \)) for \( B \in \{16, 128\} \), with \( b = B/4 \). SBPCA recreates the loss minimum artifact in AlexNet present in MBGD.](image)

| Rank | CIFAR-10 Fixed | CIFAR-10 Varied | CIFAR-100 Fixed | CIFAR-100 Varied | ImageNet Fixed | ImageNet Varied |
|------|---------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| 1    | 0.7644        | 0.7391         | 0.4103         | 0.4163          | 0.3382        | 0.3660         |
| 3    | 0.7817        | 0.7729         | 0.4288         | 0.3783          | 0.3747        | 0.4065         |
| 10   | 0.7913        | 0.7840         | 0.4652         | 0.4252          | 0.4329        | 0.4454         |
| 30   | 0.4988        | 0.4563         | 0.4698         | 0.4602          |               |                |
| 100  | 0.5159        | 0.4712         |               |                |               |                |

### Conclusions

Our proposed SBPCA and SBPCAV can produce stochastic
approximations of the gradient updates sufficient to train
functionally relevant neural networks. We find that approx-
imations of rank less than about ten are typically good
enough to capture most relevant information about the lo-
cal loss function. We verify these methods’ effectiveness on
three image datasets. While results are better on CIFAR-
10 and CIFAR-100, even on ImageNet, SBPCAV can reach
85% of MBGD accuracy at significantly lower memory
overhead. These results suggest future research exploring
detailed memory analysis, impact of dropout and low rank
approximations of more sophisticated training algorithms.
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