Nitrogen-doped carbon-encapsulated SnO$_2$–SnS/graphene sheets with improved anodic performance in lithium ion batteries†
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A dual-doping approach for nitrogen-doped carbon-coated SnO$_2$–SnS/graphene nanosheets (N–C@SnO$_2$–SnS/NG) has been developed, using hydrothermal carbonization of sucrose with SnO$_2$-decorated graphene and ammonium thiocyanate and a subsequent thermal treatment. The resulting hybrid manifests a typical two-dimensional core–shell architecture, with a N-doped carbon coating over the SnS- and SnO$_2$-decorated graphene nanosheets. Used as the anode material in lithium ion batteries (LIBs), N–C@SnO$_2$–SnS/NG delivers a high specific capacity of 1236 mA h g$^{-1}$ at a current density of 0.1 A g$^{-1}$ after 110 cycles, which outperforms most state-of-the-art tin-based anode materials with core–shell structures.

Introduction

Lithium ion batteries (LIBs) are being considered as a prominent means of electrochemical energy storage for applications in electric vehicles, portable electronic devices, and smart grids.1–4 A major challenge in developing LIBs is exploring high-performance and cost-effective electrode materials that are capable of delivering greater energy and power densities than those of conventional electrode materials. With high theoretical capacities, tin-based anodes such as tin metal (Sn),5–9 tin oxides (SnO or SnO$_2$),10–16 and tin sulfides (SnS or SnS$_2$)17–23 are regarded as promising electrode materials for next-generation LIBs. However, their practical applications in LIBs have been plagued for a long time by severe capacity fading that arises from enormous volume variation during lithium insertion/extraction.1,3,5–7,12–21,24,25

Current state-of-the-art nanotechnology allows encapsulation of tin-based anodes in a carbon shell, which can provide both effective protection against pulverization of the electrode materials and rapid pathways for the transportation of charge carriers. Nevertheless, introduction of the carbon shell inevitably decreases the mass ratio of the tin components in the core, often leading to a reduction in performance concerning the weight or volume of the whole electrode. An ideal solution is to dope the carbon shell with heteroatoms such as nitrogen (N) and boron (B), which can significantly enhance conductivity and wettability in an electrolyte.6,26–28 Moreover, heteroatoms in carbon have been demonstrated to create extra active sites for accommodating lithium atoms, which is beneficial for further elevating the capacity of tin-based anodes.5,27–30 On the other hand, combining two different tin-containing anode materials can lead to a synergetic effect on the electrochemical properties of the whole electrode material. The varied phase behavior of different tin species such as SnO$_2$ and SnS provides buffers against volumetric changes of electrodes during the charge/discharge process, thereby leading to stabilized cycling performance.26,21,31,32 Diffusion of lithium can also be improved along grain boundaries of different tin-based anode materials.33 Moreover, the rational design and construction of graphene-based carbon composites as highly efficient electrodes for various electrochemical applications has attracted great interest.18–37

Inspired by these results, an unprecedented dual-doping strategy for the fabrication of nitrogen-doped carbon encapsulated SnO$_2$–SnS/graphene nanosheets (N–C@SnO$_2$–SnS/NGs) is developed in this work, through the hydrothermal carbonization of sucrose in the presence of SnO$_2$ nanoparticle-decorated graphene nanosheets (SnO$_2$/GNs) and ammonium thiocyanate (NH$_4$SCN), along with a subsequent thermal treatment of the resultant hybrid under a flow of nitrogen. During the hydrothermal treatment, decomposition of NH$_4$SCN can release multiple gaseous fragments, including
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carbon disulfide (CS₂), hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) and ammonia (NH₃), which can serve as dopants for SnO₂/GNs.⁴⁴ Due to different reaction energies, carbon and SnO₂ are doped with nitrogen and sulfur atoms, respectively, leading to the formation of dual-doped N-C@SnO₂–SnS/GN. As the anode material in LIB, N-C@SnO₂–SnS/GN can keep a high capacity of 1236 mA h g⁻¹ at a current density of 0.1 A g⁻¹ for over 110 cycles. Even at a fast charge rate of 3.2 A g⁻¹, a good capacity of 350 mA h g⁻¹ can still be obtained by N-C@SnO₂–SnS/GN. Such an outstanding electrochemical performance is superior to most state-of-the-art tin-based LIB anodes with core–shell structures.¹⁰,³⁹–⁴¹

**Experimental section**

**Preparation of SnO₂/GN**

Graphene oxide (GO) was prepared from natural graphite flakes using the modified Hummers method. An aqueous dispersion of GO (2 mg mL⁻¹, 20 mL) was ultrasonicated with a mixed solvent of ethylene glycol and water (volEG : volH₂O = 9 : 1, 100 mL) for 1 h to form a homogeneous suspension.

Subsequently, SnCl₂·2H₂O (1 g, dissolved in 20 mL EG) was slowly added to the suspension and stirred for 0.5 h, and then was refluxed at 120 °C for 2 h. After cooling to room temperature, the resulting black suspension was centrifuged and washed three times with distilled water to obtain SnO₂ NPs-decorated graphene nanosheets (SnO₂/GN); this was then dispersed in distilled water (40 mL) to form an aqueous suspension of SnO₂/GN for further use.

**Preparation of N-C@SnO₂–SnS/GN, C@SnO₂/GN and N-C@SnO₂/GN**

The suspension of SnO₂/GN (40 mL) was mixed with an aqueous solution (20 mL) containing both sucrose (3 mmol) and ammonium thiocyanate (1.65 mmol), followed by a hydrothermal treatment at 180 °C for 12 h and a further thermal treatment at 400 °C for 2 h under a flow of N₂. The final product was obtained as a black powder, designated as N-C@SnO₂–SnS/GN. In a control experiment, carbon-coated SnO₂/GN was fabricated by similar procedures without the addition of ammonium thiocyanate, with the resulting product being denoted as C@SnO₂/GN. We also prepared nitrogen-doped carbon-coated SnO₂/GN by replacing ammonium thiocyanate with ammonia chloride, and the obtained sample was denoted as N-C@SnO₂/GN.

**Characterization**

Structures of the obtained samples were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Sirion 200, 25 kV) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL JEM-2010, 200 kV). Atomic force microscopy (AFM) characterization was conducted with a multimode nanoscope scanner (DI Co., USA) operated in the tapping mode. Samples were dispersed in water and then dropped onto a silicon wafer for SEM or AFM measurements and a Cu grid covered with a thin amorphous carbon film for TEM measurements. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were carried out on a D/max-2200/PC (Rigaku Corporation, Japan) using Cu (40 kV, 30 mA) radiation. Raman spectra were recorded on a SENTEERRA with excitation from the 532 nm line of an Ar-ion laser with a power of about 5 mW. N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherms at 77 K were determined by using a Micromeritics ASAP 2010 instrument. XPS experiments were carried out on AXIS Ultra DLD system from Kratos with Al Kα radiation as the X-ray source for radiation. Fourier transform infrared spectra (FT-IR) were measured on a Spectrum 100 (Perkin Elmer, Inc., USA) spectrometer with a scan range of 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹. The samples were ground with KBr and then pressed into disks.

**Electrochemical measurements**

The obtained samples were mixed with carbon black (Super-P), and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) binder in a 8 : 1 : 1 ratio in 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) solvent (Aldrich, 99.5%) until homogeneous. This slurry was dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C for 12 h after casting onto Cu foil. A CR2016-type coin cell was assembled in an argon-filled glovebox (M. Barun glovebox) with the test electrode, a Li metal counter electrode, a polymer membrane separator (Celgard 2400), and an electrolyte of 1 M LiPF₆ in a 1 : 1 (v/v) mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC) and dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (Techno Semichem). Electrochemical experiments were performed using a battery cycler (LAND-CT2001A) with current rates from 0.1 to 3.2 A g⁻¹ at a voltage range of 0.01–3 V. Cyclic voltammograms were obtained over the potential range of 0.01–3 V at a scanning rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements of the electrodes were carried out on an electrochemical workstation (PARSTAT 2273). Impedance spectra were recorded by applying a sine wave with an amplitude of 5.0 mV over a frequency range from 100 kHz to 0.01 Hz. Fitting of the impedance spectra to the proposed equivalent circuit was performed by the code Zview.
Results and discussion

The synthesis strategy of N–C@SnO₂–SnS/GN is depicted in Fig. 1. SnO₂/GNs were first fabricated by the hydrolysis of tin chloride on graphene oxide (GO) in a mixture of ethylene glycol (EG) and water. Subsequently, N–C@SnO₂–SnS/GN was produced via the hydrothermal treatment of SnO₂/GN at 180 °C for 12 h in the presence of sucrose and NH₄SCN and the following thermal treatment of the resultant hybrid at 400 °C for 2 h in N₂ flow. In this step, sulfur-rich gases such as CS₂ and H₂S generated from the thermal decomposition of NH₄SCN can be used as the sulfurizing agent for the sulfurization of SnO₂, while nitrogen containing molecules like NH₃ can provide a nitrogen source for the doping of the sucrose-derived carbon shells and graphene. In a control experiment, carbon-coated SnO₂/GN was also fabricated by a similar procedure without the addition of NH₄SCN, and the resulting product was denoted as C@SnO₂/GN.

The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of N–C@SnO₂–SnS/GN and C@SnO₂/GN (Fig. 2a and b, S1a, S1c and S2a†) indicate that both samples have sheet-like architectures with uniform decoration of NPs, which are inherited from the 2D morphology of SnO₂/GN (Fig. S1b and S2b†). The smooth strips without SnO₂ NPs can be found on the edges of N–C@SnO₂–SnS/GN and C@SnO₂/GN (Fig. 2c and S2c†), suggesting that the SnO₂ NPs are enwrapped in the carbon layers. High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images (Fig. 2d and e) display the existence of two distinct particle morphologies in N–C@SnO₂–SnS/GN. The lattice spacings of the two domains in Fig. 2f are 0.33 and 0.29 nm, corresponding to the (110) plane of SnO₂ and the (101) plane of SnS, respectively.¹⁹,4² Strongly distinct from N–C@SnO₂–SnS/GN, C@SnO₂/GN only exhibits the lattice spacing for SnO₂ (Fig. S2d†). The atomic force microscopy (AFM) images (Fig. 2f and S3†) suggest that the thickness of SnO₂/GN and N–C@SnO₂–SnS/GN are about 12 and 26 nm, respectively. These results unambiguously confirm that an additional carbon layer with a uniform thickness of about 7 nm has been successfully introduced on both sides of SnO₂/GN, in agreement with the TEM results (Fig. 2c).

In the X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of C@SnO₂/GN (Fig. 3a) and N–C@SnO₂–SnS/GN (Fig. S4†), five diffraction peaks with 2θ values as 26.61°, 33.89°, 37.94°, 51.78°, and 65.94° can be assigned to the (110), (101), (211), (200), and (301) faces of rutile SnO₂ [JCPDS no. 41-1445].²⁴ In contrast, the additional narrow peaks in the XRD patterns of N–C@SnO₂–SnS/GN are attributed to the SnS phase [JCPDS no. 65-3766],¹⁹ which suggests the existence of both crystallized SnO₂ and SnS NPs in N–C@SnO₂–SnS/GN. According to the thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) results (Fig. 3b), the weight content of carbon shells in N–C@SnO₂–SnS/GN is ~34 wt%. As indicated by the Raman spectra of C@SnO₂/GN and N–C@SnO₂–SnS/GN (Fig. 3c), both samples exhibit two evident peaks at ~1344 and ~1584 cm⁻¹, which can be attributed to D (disordered) and G (ordered) bands of carbon, respectively.⁴¹ The intensity ratios of D and G bands (I_D/I_G) of C@SnO₂/GN and N–C@SnO₂–SnS/GN are 0.70 and 0.83, respectively. The higher I_D/I_G value of N–C@SnO₂–SnS/GN could be ascribed to defects introduced in the carbon shell by nitrogen doping.⁴³ As indicated by their nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherms (Fig. 3d), the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) specific surface area of N–C@SnO₂–SnS/GN is 129 m² g⁻¹, which is much higher than that of C@SnO₂/GN (52 m²
g\(^{-1}\)), presumably owing to the pores and structural defects generated during the thermal decomposition of NH\(_4\)SCN.\(^{34}\)

The chemical compositions of C@SnO\(_2\)/GN, N–C@SnO\(_2\)/GN and N–C@SnO\(_2\)–SnS/GN were further probed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The XPS survey spectra of N–C@SnO\(_2\)–SnS/GN indicate the presence of C, N, O, S, and Sn elements (Fig. S5a). The C 1s spectra of N–C@SnO\(_2\)–SnS/GN (Fig. 4a) display four fitted peaks at 284.7, 285.5, 286.7 and 288.1 eV. The high intensity of the peak at 284.7 eV suggests that the carbon atoms of N–C@SnO\(_2\)–SnS/GN predominantly exist in C≡C configuration. Additionally, the strong peaks at 285.5 and 286.7 eV reveal that nitrogen has been doped in the carbon shell and bonded together with carbon as sp\(^2\) C–N or sp\(^3\) C–N. The peak at 288.1 eV indicates the presence of C–O configuration, confirming the existence of oxygenated functional groups of GO; nevertheless, the low intensity of this peak testifies that most GO has been reduced into graphene.\(^{32,45}\) The N 1s spectra of N–C@SnO\(_2\)–SnS/GN (Fig. 4b) can be assigned to pyridine N (398.3 eV, 43%), pyrrole N (400.1 eV, 45%), and quaternary N (401.1 eV, 12%), respectively.\(^{29,45-47}\) The S 2p spectra of N–C@SnO\(_2\)–SnS/GN (Fig. 4c) only show S 2p\(_{3/2}\) peak at 162.1 eV, which can be ascribed to the presence of SnS.\(^{48-50}\) Based on the results from XPS analysis, the contents of N and S in the N–C@SnO\(_2\)–SnS/GN are calculated to be 1.6 at% and 1.8 at%, respectively. Similarly, the amount of N in N–C@SnO\(_2\)/GN is also determined as 1.6 at% by its XPS results (Fig. S5b).\(^{48-50}\) In the XPS spectra of C@SnO\(_2\)/GN, the binding energy (BE) peaks of Sn 3d\(_{3/2}\) (496.0 eV) and Sn 3d\(_{5/2}\) (487.6 eV) should be derived from C@SnO\(_2\) NPs.\(^{49}\) In contrast, an obvious shift of the BE peaks of Sn 3d\(_{3/2}\) and Sn 3d\(_{5/2}\) can be observed in the XPS spectra of N–C@SnO\(_2\)–SnS/GN, confirming the existence of both Sn\(^{4+}\) and Sn\(^{2+}\) in the hybrid (Fig. 4d).\(^{47-51}\)

As shown in the FTIR spectra of N–C@SnO\(_2\)–SnS/GN (Fig. S6f), the evident peaks at 1220 and 1380 cm\(^{-1}\) can be assigned to the stretching vibrations of C–N and N–CH\(_3\), respectively. The bands around 1600 cm\(^{-1}\) indicates the existence of C–C stretching vibration.\(^{51}\) The absorbance at ca. 650 cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to the out-of-plane N–H deformation vibration.\(^{34}\) Additionally, the N–H symmetric stretching vibration appears at ca. 3418 cm\(^{-1}\).\(^{55}\) The FTIR analysis clearly demonstrates the existence of C–N, N–H, and C–O\(^{2+}\) groups in the N-doped carbon, which agrees well with the XPS results.

The core–shell structure and high heteroatom content of N–C@SnO\(_2\)–SnS/GN make it an appealing candidate for the anode material of LIBs. To determine the lithiation/delithiation mechanism of N–C@SnO\(_2\)–SnS/GN, it was assembled as coin cells and tested by a cyclic voltammetry (CV) experiment at a scanning rate of 0.1 mV s\(^{-1}\) in the voltage range of 0.01–3 V vs. Li\(^+\)/Li (Fig. 5a). During the initial cathodic scan, the first small peak at 1.1 V can be ascribed to the irreversible decomposition of SnS to Sn and Li\(_2\)S [eqn (1)].\(^{17,19-21}\) It should be noted that the inert phase of Li\(_2\)S can effectively buffer the volume expansion of Li\(_x\)Sn (0 < x ≤ 4.4) alloys during the lithiation/delithiation process, which thus is expected to endow N–C@SnO\(_2\)–SnS/GN with good cycling stability.\(^{20,21,31,32}\) The other three cathodic peaks at 0.7, 0.12, and 0.01 V can be associated with the formation of Li\(_2\)O [eqn (2)],\(^{30-31}\) Li\(_x\)Sn alloys [eqn (3)] and lithium-inserted carbonaceous materials LiCx [eqn (4)].\(^{37-59}\) Accordingly, in the anodic processes, oxidation peaks at 0.12, 0.52, and 1.3 V can be attributed to the extraction of lithium from carbonaceous materials, reversible de-alloying of Li–Sn, and partial transformation of Li\(_2\)O to SnO\(_2\).\(^{57-59}\) Furthermore, the small anodic peak at 1.9 V in Fig. 5a is produced by transformation from Li\(_2\)S to polysulfides.\(^{50,61}\) The shape of the CV profiles from N–C@SnO\(_2\)–SnS/GN in the following cycles does not change significantly, which implies the completion of the irreversible reactions and the formation of stable SEI layers on the surface of the electrode.
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**Fig. 4** (a) C 1s XPS spectra of N–C@SnO\(_2\)–SnS/GN; (b) N 1s XPS spectra of N–C@SnO\(_2\)–SnS/GN; (c) S 2p XPS spectra of N–C@SnO\(_2\)–SnS/GN; (d) Sn 3d XPS spectra of C@SnO\(_2\)/GN and N–C@SnO\(_2\)–SnS/GN.
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**Fig. 5** Electrochemical characterizations of the samples: (a) cyclic voltammogram (CV) curves of the N–C@SnO\(_2\)–SnS/GN electrode at a scanning rate of 0.1 mV s\(^{-1}\) in the voltage range of 0.01–3 V vs. Li\(^+\)/Li; (b) galvanostatic charge–discharge profile of the N–C@SnO\(_2\)–SnS/GN electrode at a current rate of 0.1 A g\(^{-1}\) in the voltage range of 0.01–3 V vs. Li\(^+\)/Li; (c) cycle performances of N–C@SnO\(_2\)–SnS/GN, C@SnO\(_2\)/GN, and SnO\(_2\)/GN electrodes at a current rate of 0.1 A g\(^{-1}\) in the voltage range of 0.01–3 V vs. Li\(^+\)/Li; (d) rate performances of N–C@SnO\(_2\)–SnS/GN, C@SnO\(_2\)/GN, and SnO\(_2\)/GN electrodes in the voltage range of 0.01–3 V vs. Li\(^+\)/Li.


\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SnS} + 2\text{Li}^+ + 2e^- & \rightarrow \text{Sn} + \text{Li}_2\text{S} \quad (1) \\
\text{SnO}_2 + 4\text{Li}^+ + 4e^- & \rightarrow \text{Sn} + 2\text{Li}_2\text{O} \quad (2) \\
\text{Sn} + x\text{Li}^+ + xe^- & \rightarrow \text{Li}_x\text{Sn} \quad (0 < x \leq 4.4) \quad (3) \\
\text{C} + x\text{Li}^+ + xe^- & \rightarrow \text{Li}_x\text{C} \quad (4)
\end{align*}
\]

Correspondingly, the profile of N-C@SnO2/GN (Fig. S7†) strongly resembles that of N-C@SnO2-SnS/GN, except for the absence of a cathodic peak at 1.1 V and an anodic peak at 1.9 V, which indicates the lack of a SnS phase in N-C@SnO2/GN.

The electrochemical behavior of N-C@SnO2-SnS/GN was further investigated by galvanostatic discharge-charge measurements at a current density of 0.1 A g\(^{-1}\) within a voltage window of 0.01–3 V (Fig. 5b). Initial discharge and charge capacities of the N-C@SnO2-SnS/GN electrode are 1970 and 1305 mA h g\(^{-1}\), respectively, corresponding to a columbic efficiency (CE) of 66.3%. By contrast, N-C@SnO2/GN (Fig. S8†) and C@SnO2/GN (Fig. 5c) offer much lower discharge and charge capacities (1670 and 1029 mA h g\(^{-1}\) for N-C@SnO2/GN and 1543 and 903 mA h g\(^{-1}\) for C@SnO2/GN) in the first cycle, with CEs of 61.6% and 58.5%, respectively. As for SnO2/GN, it delivers initial discharge and charge capacities of 1823 and 1257 mA h g\(^{-1}\), with a CE of 68.9%. The capacity loss in the first cycle can be attributed to the formation of SEI film.\(^{17,19-21}\) It is worth noting that the capacities of the three electrodes are even higher than the theoretical capacities of SnO2 and SnS. In fact, the theoretical capacity of SnO2 (782 mA h g\(^{-1}\)) is based on the conventional alloying mechanism, in which the reduction reaction of SnO2 \([\text{eqn } (2)]\) is assumed to be irreversible.\(^{10,51}\) In this work, the peak located at 1.0–0.4 V in the CV curve of N-C@SnO2-SnS/GN (Fig. 5a) indicates that this reduction process is highly reversible. This implies that all the Sn in the alloy can be oxidized to Sn\(^{4+}\) during delithiation, which will effectively improve the capacity of the SnO\(_2\)-based anodes over 1236 mA h g\(^{-1}\).\(^{27,62}\) In addition, the coexistence of two different tin-based phases could help to provide buffers against the volumetric changes of electrodes during cycling\(^{63}\) and improve the diffusion of lithium along grain boundaries of the two phases.\(^{31}\) It is also supposed that an organic polymeric/gel like film can be formed on the interface of the core/shell structured electrodes, which can also enhance their capacity via a “pseudo-capacitance”.\(^{64-67}\) Moreover, the 2D carbon shell of N-C@SnO2-SnS/GN could store lithium at the same time and serve as a mini current collector, facilitating electron transfer during lithiation and delithiation process.\(^{68}\) Besides, the nitrogen atoms doping in the carbon shell may provide accessible lithium active sites, as well as enhanced electrical conductivity.\(^{69}\) The combination of the above advantages thus enables N-C@SnO2-SnS/GN electrode to deliver excellent gravimetric capacity and good volumetric capacity (Fig. S9†).

During the cycling performance tests at a current density of 0.1 A g\(^{-1}\), the N-C@SnO2-SnS/GN electrode displayed an ever-rising capacity from the 15\(^{th}\) cycle onwards. The increased capacity should be mainly ascribed to slow activation of electrode materials, promoted by gradual penetration of electrolyte through the nitrogen-doped porous carbon shells.\(^{65,70,71}\) After 110 cycles, a high capacity of 1236 mA h g\(^{-1}\) can still be delivered by N-C@SnO2-SnS/GN (Fig. 5c). Under the same conditions, the C@SnO2/GN-based electrode only gives a reversible capacity of 647 mA h g\(^{-1}\) after 110 cycles. In contrast, the capacity of N-C@SnO2/GN falls to 828 mA h g\(^{-1}\) after 12 cycles (Fig. S8†), while the capacity of SnO2/GN drops drastically to 250 mA h g\(^{-1}\) after 30 cycles, highlighting the crucial role of the heteroatom doping and carbon shell on the cycling stability of the electrode materials.

The rate capability of N-C@SnO2-SnS/GN, C@SnO2/GN and SnO2/GN were further evaluated at progressively increased current densities ranging from 0.1 to 3.2 A g\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 5d). Remarkably, the reversible capacities of N-C@SnO2-SnS/GN are about 800, 700, 650, 500, and 350 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 1.6, and 3.2 A g\(^{-1}\), respectively. Upon resetting the current density to 0.1 A g\(^{-1}\), a stable reversible capacity of 1000 mA h g\(^{-1}\) can be retained by the N-C@SnO2-SnS/GN electrode. In contrast, the capacities of C@SnO2/GN and SnO2/GN at 3.2 A g\(^{-1}\) are only maintained as 50 and 17 mA h g\(^{-1}\), respectively.

The excellent cycling stability and rate capability of N-C@SnO2-SnS/GN can be attributed to both 2D core-shell architecture and dual-doped heteroatoms. The effective combination of N-doped carbon shells and graphene-supported SnO2-SnS NPs in a 2D sheet-like manner greatly facilitates accommodation of the volume variation of the electrode and the rapid transport of electrons and lithium ions. The SEM with elemental mapping images of the N-C@SnO2-SnS/GN electrode after cycling (Fig. S10 and S11†) demonstrate that the nanosheet morphology was preserved.

Additionally, in their electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS), N-C@SnO2-SnS/GN manifests a more depressed semicircle at high frequencies than C@SnO2/GN (Fig. S12a†). According to the equivalent circuit (Fig. S12b†), the contact resistance \((R)\) and charge transfer resistance \((R_c)\) of N-C@SnO2-SnS/GN are fitted to be 17.69 and 70.34 Ω, which are significantly lower than those of C@SnO2/GN (44.6 and 229 Ω) (Table S1†). The small resistances explain the outstanding rate capability of N-C@SnO2-SnS/GN. Obviously, N-doped carbon shells in N-C@SnO2-SnS/GN significantly enhance electrical conductivity and structural stability of the composites. Moreover, the incorporation of nitrogen atoms in the carbon frameworks can facilitate the wetting process of an electrolyte and provide extra active sites for lithium storage.\(^{5,26,30,46,47,72}\)

**Conclusions**

With SnO2/GN as precursor, nitrogen and sulfur dual-doped N-C@SnO2-SnS/GN is constructed in this work by employing NH4SCN as a doping agent for the carbon components and SnO2 NPs. The 2D hybrid contains both N-doped carbon coating layers and a dual-phase component of SnO2-SnS, which efficiently improve the electrochemical reactivity of the hybrid as the anode in LIB. Such dual-doping strategy is expected to be further applied to construct other high performance electrode
materials with potential applications in sodium ion batteries, magnesium ion batteries, or lithium sulfur batteries.
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