Effect of carvedilol on premature ventricular complexes originating from the ventricular outflow tract

Jongmin Hwang¹†, Kyoungmin Lee¹†, Seongwook Han¹*, Han-Joon Bae², Sang Woong Choi¹, Cheol Hyun Lee¹, In-Cheol Kim¹, Yun-Kyeong Cho¹, Hyoung-Seob Park¹, Hyuck-Jun Yoon¹, Hyungseop Kim¹, Chang-Wook Nam¹ and Seung-Ho Hur¹

Abstract

Background: Carvedilol is one of the most effective beta-blockers in reducing ventricular tachyarrhythmias and mortality in patients with heart failure. One of the possible antiarrhythmic mechanisms of carvedilol is the suppression of store overload-induced Ca²⁺ release, especially for the triggered activity.

Objectives: Premature ventricular complex (PVC) originating from the ventricular outflow tract (OT) is the most common form of idiopathic PVC, and its main mechanism is related to triggered activity. We evaluate the efficacy of carvedilol to suppress the OT PVC.

Methods: The electronic medical records at our hospital were screened to identify OT PVC patients treated with carvedilol. Clinical, electrocardiographic, and Holter monitoring studies were reviewed.

Results: A total of 25 patients who underwent Holter monitoring before and after carvedilol administration were found and enrolled. The mean age of the patients was 54.9 ± 13.9 years, and the mean dose of carvedilol was 18.2 ± 10.2 mg (sustained release formulation, 8/16/32 mg). The 24-h burden of PVC in 18 (72%) of 25 patients was significantly reduced from 12.2 ± 9.7% to 4.4 ± 6.7% (P = 0.006). In seven patients, the burden of PVC was changed from 7.1 ± 6.1% to 9.8 ± 8.4% (P = 0.061). There was no difference in age, carvedilol dose, duration of treatment, ventricular function, and left atrial size between responding and non-responding groups.

Conclusion: In this retrospective pilot study, treatment with carvedilol showed PVC suppression in 72% of patients. Now, we are conducting a prospective, randomized, multicenter study to evaluate the effect of carvedilol on OT PVC (Clinical trial registration: FOREVER trial, Clinical-Trials.gov: NCT03587558).
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Introduction

Carvedilol is one of the most effective beta-blockers to reduce ventricular arrhythmia and mortality in patients with heart failure [1, 2]. Antioxidative and alpha-blockade effects, along with nonselective beta-blockade, have been proposed, but the exact mechanism is still unknown. Recently, inhibition of store overload-induced calcium release (SOICR) has been suggested as an antiarrhythmic effect of carvedilol [3]. The SOICR may cause significant...
arrhythmia by the triggered activity, which is induced by activating the Na+/Ca2+ exchanger. Among various beta-blockers, only carvedilol is known to be a drug that can directly inhibit the release of SOICR along with the beta-blockade effect. Premature ventricular complex (PVC) occurring in the ventricular outflow tract (OT) is the most common arrhythmia among the idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias (VAs), and its mechanism is related to intracellular calcium overload and delayed after-polarizations that leads to triggered activity [4]. The OT PVCs associated with disruptive symptoms and frequent OT PVCs can lead to cardiomyopathy. Therefore, the OT PVC often requires treatment. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of carvedilol on patients with OT PVC as a retrospective study and to obtain basic data for the future prospective randomized study.

Methods
Patients who had a diagnosis of “ventricular premature complex (I49.3 in ICD-10)” were retrospectively identified by systematic screening of the Keimyung University Dongsan Hospital electronic medical records review. A manual chart review was performed on this subset of patients to identify those who were prescribed carvedilol for the treatment of OT PVC. The OT PVC was defined as PVC showing a tall R wave in II/III/aVF lead in the 12-lead electrocardiogram. Of these, patients treated with carvedilol and who underwent Holter monitoring before and after treatment were identified. Clinical, electrocardiographic, and Holter monitoring studies were reviewed and analyzed.

Statistical analysis
Continuous variables are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. The median and interquartile range were used for continuous variables that did not follow a normal distribution. Categorical variables are expressed as numbers and percentages. To compare the continuous variables, paired or independent sample t test was performed. For categorical variables, the Chi-square test was used. All statistical analyses were performed by the MedCalc statistical software version 19.1.7 (MedCalc Software Ltd, Ostend, Belgium). A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Study population
A total of 25 patients were reviewed and analyzed. The baseline characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 1. The mean age of the patients was 54.3 ± 12.4 years, and eight patients (32%) were male. All patients had structurally normal hearts, and a mean LV ejection fraction was 64.5 ± 5.0%. The enrolled patients in our study did not have significant comorbidities, and all patients did not take concomitant medications that would affect the PVC burden.

Treatment results
The sustained release formulation of carvedilol was prescribed in all patients, and the mean dosage was 18.2 ± 10.2 mg (9 patients on 8 mg, 8 patients on 16 mg, 8 patients on 32 mg). The average duration of carvedilol treatment was 158.3 ± 92.1 days. After carvedilol use, the maximal heart rate was reduced significantly (Table 2). The mean baseline 24-h PVC burden on Holter monitoring was 10.8% (interquartile range, 7.4–15.3%; range, 9.7–20.3%). The PVC burden was significantly suppressed after treatment (P = 0.006, Fig. 1). This suppression of PVC was observed in 18 (72%) patients (12.2 ± 9.7–4.4% ± 6.7%, average 7.8% ± 7.6% reduction in PVC burden, P = 0.006). Symptom improvement was observed in 15 (60%) patients.

| Table 1 Baseline clinical characteristics of the patients |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Overall (n = 25)                           |                 |                 |
| Age (years)                               | 54.9 ± 13.9     |                 |
| Male                                       | 8 (32%)         |                 |
| Hypertension                               | 7 (28%)         |                 |
| Diabetes mellitus                          | 2 (8%)          |                 |
| Creatinine (mg/dL)                         | 0.74 ± 0.16     |                 |
| LV EF (%)                                  | 64.5 ± 5.0      |                 |
| LA volume (mm³)                            | 60.4 ± 20.3     |                 |
| Dose of Dilatrend SR® (mg)                 | 18.2 ± 10.2     |                 |
| Duration of treatment (days)               | 158.3 ± 92      |                 |
| Values are presented as n (%) or mean ± standard deviation |

EF = ejection fraction, LA = left atrium, Dilatrend SR® = sustained release formulation of carvedilol, PVC = premature ventricular complexes

| Table 2 Comparison of ECG/Holter monitoring parameters before and after carvedilol use |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                    | Before | After | P value |
| ECG parameters                      |        |       |        |
| PR interval (ms)                    | 156.2 ± 23.7 | 163.5 ± 23.9 | 0.285 |
| QRS duration (ms)                  | 92.3 ± 11.0  | 91.9 ± 11.5  | 0.900 |
| QTc interval (ms)                  | 436.9 ± 23.5 | 437.5 ± 49.1 | 0.956 |
| Holter monitoring parameters      |        |       |        |
| Minimal heart rate (bpm)           | 50.3 ± 8.6   | 49.2 ± 7.2   | 0.645 |
| Average heart rate (bpm)           | 73.9 ± 11.1  | 69.4 ± 9.6   | 0.135 |
| Maximal heart rate (bpm)           | 125.2 ± 18.6 | 111.5 ± 15.3 | 0.006 |
| Burden of PVC (%)                  | 10.8 ± 9.0   | 5.9 ± 7.4    | 0.007 |
| Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation |

Comparison between patients with reduced PVC group and not-reduced group

The burden of PVC was not reduced in seven patients (7.1 ± 6.1 – 9.8 ± 8.4, \( P = 0.061 \)). There was no difference in baseline clinical characteristics between carvedilol treatment responding and non-responding groups (Table 3). In patients with PVC reduction, maximal heart rate was also significantly reduced (Additional file 1: Supplement Table 1).

Effect of carvedilol according to dosage

The degree of PVC suppression according to carvedilol dosage was as follows: \(-\Delta 1.9\% \pm 3.7\%\) in 8 mg group, \(-\Delta 7.0\% \pm 9.7\%\) in 16 mg group, \(-\Delta 6.0\% \pm 10.1\%\) in 32 mg group. The comparison between three groups on the degree of PVC suppression did not reach statistical significance \((P = 0.098, \text{ using ANOVA, Scheffe test})\). Figure 2 illustrates the mean 24-h PVC burden on Holter monitoring before and after carvedilol use according to dosage.

Discussion

In this cohort of 25 patients with OT PVC, carvedilol treatment significantly reduced the PVC burden. Overall, 72% of patients showed a decrease in PVC, and the average reduced burden of PVC compared to baseline was 71.5% ± 32.3%.
muscle contraction as a normal function. However, in the event of SR calcium overloading or excessive beta-adrenergic receptor stimulation, spontaneous calcium release, which is not associated with the depolarization, known as SOICR, can occur through the RyR. This phenomenon may cause severe arrhythmia of triggered activity by activating the $\text{Na}^+\text{Ca}^{2+}$ exchanger. Indeed, SOICR-evoked delayed afterdepolarizations (DADs) cause catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia, which is associated with naturally occurring RyR2 mutations [7, 8]. Among various beta-blockers, only carvedilol is known to be a drug that can directly inhibit the release of SOICR along with the beta-blockade effect [3].

One of the major limitations of using carvedilol for anti-SOICR effect is its dose. The concentrations of carvedilol required to suppress SOICR (0.3–1 μM) are much higher than those required for beta-blockade (~1 nM) [9]. Therefore, strong SOICR inhibition would require high doses of carvedilol, which could produce excessive beta-blockade and accompanying adverse effects such as bradycardia [10]. However, it has been reported that carvedilol has a high degree of lipophilicity and shows a large volume of distribution, so it accumulates at a higher concentration in the cardiac muscle than in plasma [11–13]. In addition, the longer the exposure to carvedilol, the smaller the dose of carvedilol can inhibit SOICR [3]. Pharmacologically, separating the beta-blocking and anti-SOICR activities of carvedilol could be one solution [3]. In our study, carvedilol is thought to have a higher effect on suppressing PVC at 16 mg or more than 8 mg. In addition, comparisons of electrocardiographic and Holter monitoring parameters before and after carvedilol use according to dosage showed a tendency of dose-dependent response in suppressing maximal heart rate (Additional file 1: Supplement Table 2). However, 8 mg of carvedilol also showed a significant decrease in maximal heart rate. Further studies are needed to conclude optimal dosage and inter-individual difference of carvedilol to suppress the PVC.

The VAs most frequently occur in patients with structural heart disease. However, some VAs can occur in patients without structural heart disease and those are called idiopathic VAs. The most common origins of idiopathic VAs are the right and left ventricular outflow tracts [14]. The idiopathic VAs are thought to be caused by catecholamine-induced, cyclic adenosine monophosphate–mediated DADs and triggered activity [15].

Under this background, we hypothesized that carvedilol, which can reduce triggered activity by inhibiting SOICR, would be effective for OT PVC. To confirm this hypothesis, we designed this retrospective study and the results were impressive. Now, we are conducting a prospective, randomized, multicenter study to evaluate the effect of carvedilol on OT PVC (FOREVER trial, ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT 03587558) and are expecting the results.

Our study has some limitations. The main problem is the small number of total patients and retrospective study design for the conclusion. In one in vitro study, carvedilol is the only beta-blocker tested that can effectively suppress SOICR [3]. However, the effect of carvedilol should be tested in vivo study with other beta-blockers such as bisoprolol and metoprolol and also with placebo.

**Conclusion**

In our retrospective, pilot study, carvedilol showed good efficacy for suppressing OT PVCs. Large, prospective, randomized studies are needed to demonstrate the effect of carvedilol on PVC suppression.

**Supplementary information**

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1186/s42444-020-00015-7.

| Reduced ($n=18$) | Not reduced ($n=7$) | $P$ value |
|------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Age (years)      | 53.6 ± 10.2         | 56.3 ± 17.5 | 0.630    |
| Male (%)         | 6 (33.3)            | 2 (28.6)   | 0.822    |
| LV EF (%)        | 65.3 ± 4.8          | 62.4 ± 5.5 | 0.202    |
| LA volume (mm$^3$) | 59.6 ± 22.3         | 62.0 ± 16.7 | 0.802    |
| Dose of Dilatrend SR® (mg) | 17.8 ± 9.7      | 19.4 ± 12.1 | 0.725    |
| Duration of treatment (days) | 136.3 ± 55.7     | 214.9 ± 141.2 | 0.196    |
| Pre-treatment PVC burden (%) | 12.2 ± 9.7         | 7.1 ± 6.1   | 0.213    |
| Post-treatment PVC burden (%) | 4.4 ± 6.7           | 9.8 ± 8.4   | 0.101    |

Values are presented as n (%) or mean ± standard deviation

PVC premature ventricular complexes, EF ejection fraction, LA left atrium, Dilatrend SR® sustained release formulation of carvedilol
Abbreviations
SOICR: store overload-induced calcium release; PVC: premature ventricular complex; OT: ventricular outflow tract; VA: ventricular arrhythmia; DAD: delayed afterdepolarizations; RyR: ryanodine receptor.
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