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Abstract. In this paper, we perform a detailed spectral study of the liberation process associated with two symmetries of arbitrary ranks: $(R, S) \mapsto (R, U_t SU_t^*)_{t \geq 0}$, where $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a free unitary Brownian motion freely independent from $\{R, S\}$. Our main tool is free stochastic calculus which allows to derive a partial differential equation (PDE) for the Herglotz transform of the unitary process defined by $Y_t := RU_t SU_t^*$. It turns out that this is exactly the PDE governing the flow of an analytic function transform of the spectral measure of the operator $X_t := PU_t QU_t^* P$ where $P, Q$ are the orthogonal projections associated to $R, S$. Next, we relate the two spectral measures of $RU_t SU_t^*$ and of $PU_t QU_t^* P$ via their moment sequences and use this relationship to develop a theory of subordination for the boundary values of the Herglotz transform. In particular, we explicitly compute the subordinate function and extend its inverse continuously to the unit circle. As an application, we prove the identity $i^*(\mathbb{C}P + \mathbb{C}(I - P); \mathbb{C}Q + \mathbb{C}(I - Q)) = -\chi_{\text{orb}}(P, Q)$.

§1. Introduction

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$ be a $W^*$-probability space and let $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a free unitary Brownian motion in $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$ with $U_0 = 1$. For a given pair of orthogonal projections $\{P, Q\}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ that are freely independent from $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$, the so-called liberation process $(P, Q) \mapsto (P, U_t QU_t^*)$ was introduced in [19] in relation with the free entropy and the free Fisher information. In this paper, we are interested in the following variant of the liberation process: $(R, S) \mapsto (R, U_t SU_t^*)$ where $\{R, S\}$ are the symmetries associated with $\{P, Q\}$, namely $R = 2P - 1, S = 2Q - 1$. It is known, as a consequence of the asymptotic freeness of $P$ and $U_t QU_t^*$, that the pair $(R, U_t SU_t^*)$ tends, as $t \to \infty$, to $(R, USU^*)$ where $U$ is a Haar unitary free from $\{R, S\}$ and hence $R, USU^*$ are free (see [17]). The connection between the two liberation processes can be understood by studying the relationship between their actions on the operators $X_t := PU_t QU_t^* P$ and $Y_t := RU_t SU_t^*$. This study
is actually motivated by the important problem of proving the conjectured identity $i^* = -\chi_{\text{orb}}$ for two projections with arbitrary ranks. Here, $i^*$ is the free mutual information introduced by Voiculescu (see [19]) and $\chi_{\text{orb}}$ is the orbital free entropy due to Hiai, Miyamoto and Ueda (see [12, 18]). A heuristic argument provided in [14, Section 3.2] supports this conjecture which was proved in [4] in the special case $\tau(P) = \tau(Q) = 1/2$, then subsequently in [15]. In this last paper, the authors used a subordination relation to give more partial results for arbitrary ranks.

Here, we improve the results in [15] by providing a detailed explicit study of the unique subordinate family. To this end, we use stochastic calculus in order to derive a PDE for the Herglotz transform $H(t, z)$ of the spectral measure, say $\nu_t$, of $Y_t$. It turns out that this is exactly the PDE governing the flow of an analytic function transform of the spectral measure, say $\mu_t$, of $X_t$ (see Remark 3.6 below). This allows us to develop a theory of subordination for the process $Y_t$ akin to [15]. In particular, we obtain an explicit computation of the subordinate function (which is a one-to-one map in the unit disc) and use it to show that its inverse extends continuously to the unit circle. As an application of our results, we prove under mild assumptions the identity $i^* = -\chi_{\text{orb}}$ for arbitrary ranks $\tau(P)$ and $\tau(Q)$.

§2. Analysis of the spectral measure of $Y_t$

2.1 Sequence of moments

Let $R, S \in \mathcal{A}$ be two orthogonal symmetries and $U_t, t \in [0, \infty)$ a free unitary Brownian motion freely independent from $\{R, S\}$. Our goal here is to derive a system of ODEs satisfied by the sequence of moments of $\nu_t$ via free stochastic calculus.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $f_n(t) := \tau([RU_tSU_t^*]^n)$ $n \geq 1, t \geq 0$, then

$$\partial_t f_1 = -f_1 + \alpha \beta,$$

$$\partial_t f_n = -nf_n - n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f_k f_{n-k} + \begin{cases} n^2 \alpha \beta & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ n^2 \alpha^2 + \beta^2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even}, \end{cases}$$

$n \geq 2$

where $\alpha = \tau(R)$ and $\beta = \tau(S)$.

**Proof.** Let $A_t = RU_tSU_t^*$, then using Ito’s formula, we have

$$d[A_t^n] = \sum_{k=1}^n A_t^{k-1} dA_t A_t^{n-k} + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} A_t^{j-1} dA_t A_t^{k-j-1} dA_t A_t^{n-k}.$$
Taking the trace in both sides and use the trace property, we get
\[ \tau(d[A^n_t]) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \tau(A^{n-1}_t dA_t) + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \tau(A^{n-(k-j)-1}_t dA_t A^{k-j-1}_t dA_t). \]
The first summands do not depend on the summation variable \( k \), while the second summands depend on the summation variable \( j, k \) only through their difference \( k - j \). Then reindexing by \( l = k - j \), we get
\[ \tau(d[A^n_t]) = n \tau(A^{n-1}_t dA_t) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n, k-j=l} \tau(A^{n-l-1}_t dA_t A^{l-1}_t dA_t). \]
Since the number of pairs \( (j, k) \) such that \( k - j = l \) for fixed \( l \) is equal to \( n - l \), then the second summation becomes
\[ \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (n - l) \tau(A^{n-l-1}_t dA_t A^{l-1}_t dA_t). \]
This sum rewrites, after reindexing \( k = n - l \), as
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \tau(A^{k-1}_t dA_t A^{n-k-1}_t dA_t). \]
Using the trace property and adding the summations (2.1) and (2.2), we get
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n - k + k) \tau(A^{n-k-1}_t dA_t A^{k-1}_t dA_t) = n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tau(A^{n-k-1}_t dA_t A^{k-1}_t dA_t). \]
Thus, we have
\[ \tau(d[A^n_t]) = n \tau(A^{n-1}_t dA_t) + \frac{n}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tau(A^{n-k-1}_t dA_t A^{k-1}_t dA_t). \]
Now since \( R \) and \( S \) are independent from \( t \), the free Ito’s formula implies
\[ dA_t = Rd(U_tSU_t^*) = R(dU_t)SU_t^* + RU_t d(SU_t^*) + R(dU_t)d(SU_t^*) = R(dU_t)SU_t^* + RU_t S(dU_t^*) + R(dU_t)S(dU_t^*). \]
But, since
\[ dU_t = iU_t dB_t - \frac{1}{2} U_t dt \quad \text{and} \quad dU_t^* = -idB_t U_t^* - \frac{1}{2} U_t^* dt. \]
Then substituting these equations in the expression of $dA_t$ we get

$$dA_t = R \left( iU_t dB_t - \frac{1}{2} U_t dt \right) SU_t^* + RU_t S \left( -idB_t U_t^* - \frac{1}{2} U_t^* dt \right)$$

$$+ R \left( iU_t dB_t - \frac{1}{2} U_t dt \right) S \left( -idB_t U_t^* - \frac{1}{2} U_t^* dt \right).$$

The first two terms simplify to

$$iRU_t dB_t SU_t^* - iRU_t S dB_t U_t^* - RU_t SU_t^* dt$$

$$= iRU_t dB_t SU_t^* - iRU_t S dB_t U_t^* - A_t dt$$

while the last term is reduced to

$$R(iU_t dB_t)S(-idB_t U_t^*) = RU_t dB_t S dB_t U_t^* = RU_t \tau(S) U_t^* dt = \beta R dt.$$

Thus, we have

$$dA_t = iRU_t dB_t SU_t^* - iRU_t S dB_t U_t^* + (\beta R - A_t) dt. \quad (2.4)$$

So that,

$$A_t^{n-1} dA_t = iA_t^{n-1} RU_t dB_t SU_t^* - iA_t^{n-1} RU_t S dB_t U_t^* + A_t^{n-1}(\beta R - A_t) dt.$$

Since the trace of a stochastic integral is zero, then the first term in equation (2.3) is given by

$$\tau(A_t^{n-1} dA_t) = \tau(A_t^{n-1}[\beta R - A_t]) dt = [\beta \tau(A_t^{n-1} R) - \tau(A_t^n)] dt.$$

Using the trace property and the relations $R^2 = S^2 = U_t U_t^* = 1$, we have

$$\tau(A_t^{n-1} R) = \tau(R) = \alpha$$

if $n$ is odd and

$$\tau(A_t^{n-1} R) = \tau(S) = \beta$$

otherwise.

Hence, the first term in equation (2.3) is equal to

$$n \tau(A_t^{n-1} dA_t) = \begin{cases} [n\beta^2 - n\tau(A_t^n)] dt & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ [n\beta \alpha - n\tau(A_t^n)] dt & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

For the second term in equation (2.3), we shall use the following result.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let

$$dZ_t = iRU_t dB_t SU_t^* - iRU_t S dB_t U_t^*. \quad (2.6)$$

Then

$$dt dZ_t = dZ_t dt = (dt)^2 = 0$$

and for any adapted process $V_t$, we have

$$dZ_t V_t dZ_t = [2R \tau(RV_t) - 2A_t \tau(A_t V_t)] dt. \quad (2.7)$$
Proof. The first statement is a consequence of Itô rules since $Z_t$ is a stochastic integral. For the last, we expand

$$dZ_t V_t dZ_t = (iRU_t dB_t SU^*_t - iRU_t SdB_t U^*_t) V_t (iRU_t dB_t SU^*_t - iRU_t SdB_t U^*_t)$$

$$= -RU_t dB_t SU^*_t V_t RU_t dB_t SU^*_t + RU_t dB_t SU^*_t V_t RU_t SdB_t U^*_t$$

$$+ RU_t SdB_t U^*_t V_t RU_t dB_t SU^*_t - RU_t SdB_t U^*_t V_t RU_t SdB_t U^*_t.$$

Applying the Itô rule

$$dB_t V_t dB_t = \tau(V_t) dt$$

to each of these terms yields

$$dZ_t V_t dZ_t = -RU_t \tau(SU^*_t V_t RU_t) SU^*_t dt + RU_t \tau(SU^*_t V_t RU_t S) U^*_t dt$$

$$+ RU_t S \tau(U^*_t V_t RU_t) SU^*_t dt - RU_t S \tau(U^*_t V_t RU_t S) U^*_t dt.$$

Using the trace property and the relations $S^2 = U_t U^*_t = 1$, $A_t = RU_t SU^*_t$, we get

$$dZ_t V_t dZ_t = -A_t \tau(A_t V_t) dt + R \tau(V_t R) dt + R \tau(V_t R) dt - A_t \tau(A_t V_t) dt$$

which simplifies to give the equality (2.7).

It follows from (2.4) and (2.6) that for $n \geq 2$ and $k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$,

$$A_t^{n-k-1} dA_t A_t^{k-1} dA_t$$

$$= A_t^{n-k-1}[dZ_t + (\beta R - A_t) dt] A_t^{k-1}[dZ_t + (\beta R - A_t) dt]$$

which expands into four terms. But by use of Lemma 2.2, the only surviving term is

$$A_t^{n-k-1} dZ_t A_t^{k-1} dZ_t = A_t^{n-k-1}[2R \tau(RA_t^{k-1}) - 2A_t \tau(A_t^k)] dt.$$

Taking the trace, we get

$$\tau(A_t^{n-k-1} dA_t A_t^{k-1} dA_t) = [2R \tau(RA_t^{k-1}) \tau(RA_t^{n-k-1}) - 2A_t \tau(A_t^k) \tau(A_t^{n-k})] dt.$$

Using the same consideration leading to (2.1) and the fact that if $n$ is even then $k, n-k$ have the same parity and if $n$ is odd then $k, n-k$ have opposite parity, we have

$$\tau(A_t^{n-k-1} dA_t A_t^{k-1} dA_t) = \begin{cases} (2\alpha^2 - 2\tau(A_t^k) \tau(A_t^{n-k})) dt; & n \text{ even, } k \text{ odd} \\
(2\beta^2 - 2\tau(A_t^k) \tau(A_t^{n-k})) dt; & n \text{ even, } k \text{ even} \\
(2\alpha \beta - 2\tau(A_t^k) \tau(A_t^{n-k})) dt; & n \text{ odd, } k \text{ odd} \\
(2\alpha \beta - 2\tau(A_t^k) \tau(A_t^{n-k})) dt; & n \text{ odd, } k \text{ even}. \end{cases}$$
Hence, the second term on the RHS of (2.3) is equal to
\[
\begin{cases}
-n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tau(A_t^k)\tau(A_t^{n-k}) + \frac{n^2}{2}\alpha^2 + \frac{n(n-2)}{2}\beta^2 dt & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\
-n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tau(A_t^k)\tau(A_t^{n-k}) + n(n-1)\alpha\beta dt & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}
\end{cases}
\]
which simplifies to
\[
\begin{align*}
- n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tau(A_t^k)\tau(A_t^{n-k}) &+ \begin{cases}
\frac{n^2}{2}\alpha^2 + \frac{n(n-2)}{2}\beta^2 dt & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\
(n(n-1)\alpha\beta dt & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]
(2.8)
and hence the desired assertions follow after summing (2.5) and (2.8).

2.2 The Herglotz transform of $\nu_t$

Here, we derive a PDE governing the Herglotz transform of the spectral measure $\nu_t$:
\[
H(t, z) := \int_T \frac{\zeta + z}{\zeta - z} d\nu_t(\zeta) = 1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} f_n(t) z^n.
\]
Recall that, this is an analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$ (the open unit disc of $\mathbb{C}$).

**Proposition 2.3.** The function $H(t, z)$ satisfies the PDE
\[
\partial_t H + \frac{z}{2} \partial_z H^2 = \frac{2z(\alpha z^2 + 2\beta z + \alpha)(\beta z^2 + 2\alpha z + \beta)}{(1 - z^2)^3}.
\]

**Proof.** By direct calculation from Proposition 2.1, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t H &= 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} \partial_t f_n(t) z^n \\
&= -2 \sum_{n \geq 1} n f_n z^n - 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f_k f_{n-k} z^n + (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \sum_{n \geq 1, \text{ n even}} n^2 z^n \\
&\quad + 2\alpha\beta \sum_{n \geq 1, \text{ n odd}} n^2 z^n \\
&= -z \partial_z H - 2 \sum_{k \geq 1} f_k z^k \sum_{n \geq k+1} n f_{n-k} z^{n-k} + 4(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \frac{z^2(1 + z^2)}{(1 - z^2)^3} \\
&\quad + 2\alpha\beta \frac{1 + 6z^2 + z^4}{(1 - z^2)^3}
\end{align*}
\]
\[ = -z\partial_z H - \frac{H - 1}{2}\frac{\partial_z H}{2} + \frac{2z(\alpha z^2 + 2\beta z + \alpha)(\beta z^2 + 2\alpha z + \beta)}{(1 - z^2)^3} \]
\[ = -zH\partial_z H + \frac{2z(\alpha z^2 + 2\beta z + \alpha)(\beta z^2 + 2\alpha z + \beta)}{(1 - z^2)^3}. \]

\section*{2.3 Steady-state solution}

As mentioned in the Introduction, it is known from the asymptotic freeness of \(P\) and \(U_t QU_t^*\) that

**Proposition 2.4.** The spectral measure \(\nu_t\) of \(RU_tSU_t^*\) converges weakly, as \(t \to \infty\), to the free multiplicative convolution of the spectral measures of \(R\) and \(USU^*\), where \(U \in \mathcal{A}\) is a Haar unitary operator free from \(\{R, S\}\).

We will see this directly from the PDE (2.9). Let \(H(\infty,.)\) be the state solution of (2.9), then it satisfies

\[ \partial_z H^2 = \frac{4(\alpha z^2 + 2\beta z + \alpha)(\beta z^2 + 2\alpha z + \beta)}{(1 - z^2)^3}. \]

After integration and taking into account \(H(\infty,0) = 1\), we get

\[ (2.10) \quad H(\infty,z) = \sqrt{1 + 4z\frac{\alpha\beta(1 + z)^2 + (\alpha-\beta)^2z}{(1 - z^2)^2}}, \]

where the principal branch of the square root is taken. On the other hand, the next technical proposition gives an explicit calculation for the Herglotz transform of \(\nu_R \boxtimes \nu_S\).

**Proposition 2.5.** Let \(\mu = ((1 + \alpha)/2)\delta_1 + ((1 - \alpha)/2)\delta_{-1}\) and

\[ \nu = \left( \frac{1 + \alpha}{2}\delta_1 + \frac{1 - \alpha}{2}\delta_{-1} \right) \boxtimes \left( \frac{1 + \beta}{2}\delta_1 + \frac{1 - \beta}{2}\delta_{-1} \right) \]

for \(\alpha, \beta \in (-1, 1]\). Then the Herglotz transform of \(\nu\) is given by

\[ H_\nu(z) = H(\infty,z) = \sqrt{1 + 4z\frac{\alpha\beta(1 + z)^2 + (\alpha-\beta)^2z}{(1 - z^2)^2}}. \]

**Proof.** Using the analytic machinery for multiplicative convolution (see [10]), we have

\[ \psi_\mu(z) = \frac{z(z + \alpha)}{1 - z^2}, \]
\[
\chi_\mu(z) = \frac{-\alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 4z(z + 1)}}{2(z + 1)}, \\
S_\mu(z) = \frac{-\alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 4z(z + 1)}}{2z}.
\]

So that
\[
S_\nu(z) = \left(\frac{-\alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 4z(z + 1)}}{4z^2}\right) \left(\frac{-\beta \pm \sqrt{\beta^2 + 4z(z + 1)}}{4z(z + 1)}\right),
\]

and \(\psi_\nu\) satisfies
\[
\left(\frac{-\alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 4\psi_\nu(\psi_\nu + 1)}}{4\psi_\nu(\psi_\nu + 1)}\right) \left(\frac{-\beta \pm \sqrt{\beta^2 + 4\psi_\nu(\psi_\nu + 1)}}{4\psi_\nu(\psi_\nu + 1)}\right) = z.
\]

Letting \(\varphi_\nu = \psi_\nu(\psi_\nu + 1)\), we get \(\psi_\nu = (-1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 4\varphi_\nu})/2\) and since the Herglotz transform has a positive real part, \(H_\nu = \sqrt{1 + 4\varphi_\nu}\) where \(\varphi_\nu\) is given by
\[
\left(\frac{-\alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 4\varphi_\nu}}{4\varphi_\nu}\right) \left(\frac{-\beta \pm \sqrt{\beta^2 + 4\varphi_\nu}}{4\varphi_\nu}\right) = z.
\]

Or equivalently
\[
-\alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 4\varphi_\nu} = z \left(\frac{\beta \pm \sqrt{\beta^2 + 4\varphi_\nu}}{4\varphi_\nu}\right).
\]

Rearranging this last equality and raising it to the square, we get
\[
\alpha^2 + 4\varphi_\nu + z^2(\beta^2 + 4\varphi_\nu) - (\alpha + \beta z)^2 = 2z\sqrt{(\alpha^2 + 4\varphi_\nu)(\beta^2 + 4\varphi_\nu)}.
\]

So we raise it to the square once again, to get
\[
[\alpha^2 + 4\varphi_\nu + z^2(\beta^2 + 4\varphi_\nu) - (\alpha + \beta z)^2]^2 = 4z^2(\alpha^2 + 4\varphi_\nu)(\beta^2 + 4\varphi_\nu).
\]

Which simplifies to
\[
2(1 - z^2)^2\varphi_\nu + [(1 - z^2)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2z^2 - (\alpha + \beta z)^2) - 2z^2(\alpha + \beta z)^2] = 0.
\]

Finally,
\[
\varphi_\nu(z) = \frac{\alpha\beta z(1 + z)^2 + (\alpha - \beta)^2z^2}{(1 - z^2)^2}
\]
as desired.

The next proposition provides a Lebesgue decomposition of the spectral measure \(\nu_\infty\).
Proposition 2.6. One has
\[
\nu_\infty = a\delta_\pi + b\delta_0 + \frac{\sqrt{-(\cos \theta - r_+)(\cos \theta - r_-)}}{2\pi|\sin \theta|} 1_{(\theta, \theta_+) \cup (-\theta_+, -\theta_-)} d\theta
\]
with
\[
a = \frac{|\alpha - \beta|}{2}, \quad b = \frac{|\alpha + \beta|}{2}, \quad r_\pm = -\alpha\beta \pm \sqrt{(1 - \alpha^2)(1 - \beta^2)}
\]
and \(\theta_\pm = \arccos r_\pm\).

Proof. Writing (2.10) as
\[
H(\infty, z) = \frac{\sqrt{(1-z^2)^2 + 4z[\alpha\beta(1+z)^2 + (\alpha - \beta)^2z]}}{(1-z^2)},
\]
it follows that \(H(\infty, .)\) admits two simple poles at \(z = 1\) and \(z = -1\). So that, the decomposition of \(\nu_\infty\) is given by
\[
\nu_\infty = a\delta_\pi + b\delta_0 + \Re[H(\infty, e^{i\theta})] \frac{d\theta}{2\pi},
\]
where \(d\theta\) denotes the (no-normalized) Lebesgue measure on \(\mathbb{T} = (-\pi, \pi]\) and \(a, b\) are the residue of \(\frac{1}{2}H(\infty, .)\) at \(-1, 1\). Thus, we have
\[
a = \lim_{z \to -1} \frac{\sqrt{(1-z^2)^2 + 4z[\alpha\beta(1+z)^2 + (\alpha - \beta)^2z]}}{2(1+z)} = \frac{|\alpha - \beta|}{2},
\]
\[
b = \lim_{z \to 1} \frac{\sqrt{(1-z^2)^2 + 4z[\alpha\beta(1+z)^2 + (\alpha - \beta)^2z]}}{2(1-z)} = \frac{|\alpha + \beta|}{2}
\]
and the density is given by direct calculation
\[
\Re[H(\infty, e^{i\theta})] = \Re\left[\sqrt{1 + 4e^{i\theta}\frac{\alpha\beta(1 + e^{i\theta})^2 + (\alpha - \beta)^2e^{i\theta}}{(1 - e^{2i\theta})^2}}\right]
\]
\[
= \Re\left[\sqrt{1 + \frac{4\alpha\beta e^{i\theta}}{(1 - e^{i\theta})^2} + \frac{4(\alpha - \beta)^2e^{2i\theta}}{(1 - e^{2i\theta})^2}}\right]
\]
\[
= \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha\beta}{\sin^2(\theta/2)} - \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^2}{\sin^2 \theta}}
\]
\[
= \sqrt{\sin^2 \theta - 4\alpha\beta \cos^2(\theta/2) - (\alpha - \beta)^2} \frac{1}{|\sin \theta|},
\]
where we have used in the last equality the relation
\[ \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{2} = \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{4 \cos^2 (\theta/2)}. \]

Finally, by use of the basic trigonometric identities:
\[ \cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{2} = \frac{1 + \cos \theta}{2}, \]
the denominator rewrites as
\[ \sin^2 \theta - 4\alpha\beta \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} - (\alpha - \beta)^2 = 1 - \cos^2 \theta - 2\alpha\beta \cos \theta - 2\alpha\beta - (\alpha - \beta)^2 \]
\[ = - \cos^2 \theta - 2\alpha\beta \cos \theta + 1 - \alpha^2 - \beta^2. \]

Using the discriminant \( \Delta = 4(\alpha^2 \beta^2 + 1 - \alpha^2 - \beta^2) = 4(1 - \alpha^2)(1 - \beta^2) \geq 0, \)
we get the factorization \( -(\cos \theta - r_+)(\cos \theta - r_-) \) with
\[ r_\pm = -\alpha\beta \pm \sqrt{(1 - \alpha^2)(1 - \beta^2)}. \quad \Box \]

**Remark 2.7.** It should be noted that this measure appears in [13, Example 4.5] as the distribution of \( e^{i\pi P}e^{-i\pi Q} \) for a pair of free projections \( \{P, Q\} \) in \( \mathcal{A} \). In particular, when \( \alpha = \beta = 0 \) (i.e., \( \tau(P) = \tau(Q) = 1/2 \)), it coincides with the uniform measure on \( \mathbb{T} \).

§3. **Relationship between \( \mu_t \) and \( \nu_t \)**

Keep the symbols \( P, Q, R, S, \alpha, \beta, a, b \) and \( \mu_t, \nu_t \) as above. In what follows \( P, Q \) and \( R, S \) are associated. Our goal here is to derive a relationship between \( \mu_t \) and \( \nu_t \) and give more detailed properties of \( \nu_t \). Here is a relationship between the corresponding sequence of moments.

**Proposition 3.1.** For any \( n \geq 1 \), one has:
\[ \tau([(PU_tQU_t^*P)^n]) = \frac{1}{2^{2n+1}} \binom{2n}{n} + \frac{\tau(R + S)}{4} \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{2n}{n-k} \tau((RU_tSU_t^*)^k). \quad (3.1) \]

**Proof.** Since \( P \) is idempotent and since \( \tau \) is a trace, we write
\[ \tau([(PU_tQU_t^*P)^n]) = \tau([(PU_tQU_t^*)^n]) = \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \tau([(1 + R)U_t(1 + S)U_t^*)^n]. \]
Let \( \tilde{S} := U_t S U_t^* \). Then writing

\[
(1 + R)U_t (1 + S) U_t^* = (1 + R) (1 + \tilde{S})
\]

one easily can see that the same enumeration techniques used in [7, Proposition 4.1] to expand \( \tau[((1 + R)(1 + \tilde{S}))^n] \) remain valid, but here we will take into account the contribution of words formed by an odd number of letters. Using the trace property and the relations \( R^2 = \tilde{S}^2 = 1 \), this contribution is \( \tau(R) + \tau(S) \) up to a positive integer \( N \). By letting \( R = S \) and using the expansion in [7, p. 1366], we get \( 2N = 2^{2n-1} \) and hence the desired equality follows.

Let

\[
G(t, z) := \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\tau[(PU_t QU_t^* P)^n]}{z^{n+1}}, \quad t \geq 0, |z| > 1,
\]

be the Cauchy transform of the process \( X_t \). The following corollary gives a relationship between \( G \) and the Herglotz transform of \( \nu_t \).

**Corollary 3.2.** One has

\[
G(t, z) = \frac{1}{2z} + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{4z(z-1)} + \frac{H(t, g(z))}{2\sqrt{z^2 - z}}, \quad t \geq 0, |z| > 1,
\]

where\(^1\)

\[
g(z) = 2z - 1 + 2\sqrt{z^2 - z}.
\]

**Proof.** We will prove the following equivalent relation

\[
\psi_{\mu t}(z) = \frac{(\alpha + \beta + 2)z - 2}{4(1-z)} + \frac{H(t, g(1/z))}{2\sqrt{1-z}}, \quad t \geq 0, |z| < 1,
\]

satisfied by the moment generating function of the process \( X_t \)

\[
\psi_{\mu t}(z) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \tau[(PU_t QU_t^* P)^n]z^n, \quad t \geq 0, |z| < 1.
\]

Before going into the details, recall from [7, p. 1359] that \( |g(1/z)| \leq |z| < 1 \) in the open unit disc, then this last relation makes sense for all \( |z| < 1 \).

\(^1\)The principal branch of the square root is taken.
Now multiplying (3.1) by $z^n$ and summing over $n \geq 1$, we get

$$\psi_{\mu_t}(z) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-z}} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(\alpha + \beta)z}{4(1-z)} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z^n}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{2n}{n-k}\right) \tau[(RU_tSU_t^*)^k].$$

But, this last term rewrites, after permutation of sums and reindexing $j = n - k$, as

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z^n}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{2n}{n-k}\right) \tau[(RU_tSU_t^*)^k] z^{j+k} \frac{(2j+2k)}{2^{2j+2k}}.$$

Using the identity (see, e.g., [7])

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{2j+2k}{j} z^j 2^{2j} (1+\sqrt{1-z})^{-2k} = \frac{2^{2k}}{\sqrt{1-z}} (1+\sqrt{1-z})^{-2k}, \quad |z| < 1,$$

we get

$$\psi_{\mu_t}(z) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-z}} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(\alpha + \beta)z}{4(1-z)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z}} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\tau[(RU_tSU_t^*)^k]}{(1+\sqrt{1-z})^{2k}} \frac{z^k}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-z}} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(\alpha + \beta)z}{4(1-z)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z}} \frac{H(t, g(1/z))}{2} - 1$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{(\alpha + \beta)z}{4(1-z)} + \frac{H(t, g(1/z))}{2\sqrt{1-z}},$$

which proves the corollary.

We are now ready to prove the relationship between the spectral measure of $X_t$ and $Y_t$: $\mu_t \leftrightarrow \nu_t$.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $\tilde{\mu}_t(d\theta)$ be the positive measure on $[0, \pi]$ obtained from $\mu_t(dx)$ via the variable change $x = \cos^2(\theta/2)$ and

$$\tilde{\mu}_t := \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\mu}_t + (\tilde{\mu}_t|_{(0,\pi)}) \circ j^{-1})$$

its symmetrization on $(-\pi, \pi)$ with the mapping $j: \theta \in (0, \pi) \mapsto -\theta \in (-\pi, 0)$. Then, the two measures $\mu_t$ and $\nu_t$ are related via

$$\nu_t = 2\tilde{\mu}_t - \frac{2-\alpha-\beta}{2} \delta_{\pi} - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \delta_{0}.$$
Proof. By (3.2), we have
\[ H(t, g(z)) = 2\sqrt{z^2 - z} \left( G(t, z) - \frac{2 - \alpha - \beta}{4z} - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{4(z - 1)} \right). \]

Letting \( \tilde{\mu}_t(d\theta) = \mu_t(dx) \) with \( x = \cos^2(\theta/2) \), \( \theta \in [0, \pi] \), we get
\[ H(t, g(z)) = -2\sqrt{z^2 - z} \left( \int_0^\pi \frac{1}{z - \cos^2(\theta/2)} \tilde{\mu}_t(d\theta) - \frac{2 - \alpha - \beta}{4z} - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{4(z - 1)} \right). \]

Next, we perform the variable change
\[ \zeta := g(z) = 2z - 1 + 2\sqrt{z^2 - z} \quad \Rightarrow \quad z = \frac{2 + \zeta + \zeta^{-1}}{4}, \]
to get
\[ H(t, \zeta) = \frac{\zeta^{-1} - \zeta}{2} \left( \int_0^\pi \frac{1}{(2 + \zeta + \zeta^{-1}) - \cos^2(\theta/2)} \tilde{\mu}_t(d\theta) - \frac{2 - \alpha - \beta}{2 + \zeta + \zeta^{-1}} \right) \]
\[ = \int_0^\pi \frac{2(\zeta^{-1} - \zeta)}{2 + \zeta + \zeta^{-1} - 4\cos^2(\theta/2)} \tilde{\mu}_t(d\theta) - \frac{(2 - \alpha - \beta)(1 - \zeta)}{2(1 + \zeta)} - \frac{(\alpha + \beta)(1 + \zeta)}{2(1 - \zeta)}. \]

But since
\[ \frac{\zeta^{-1} - \zeta}{2 + \zeta + \zeta^{-1} - 4\cos^2(\theta/2)} = \frac{\zeta^{-1} - \zeta}{\zeta + \zeta^{-1} - 2\cos \theta} = \frac{1 - \zeta^2}{\zeta^2 - 2\zeta \cos \theta + 1} = \frac{e^{i\theta} - \zeta}{e^{i\theta} - \zeta} + \frac{e^{-i\theta} - \zeta}{e^{-i\theta} - \zeta} - 1, \]
then
\[ H(t, \zeta) = 2 \int_0^\pi \left( \frac{e^{i\theta}}{e^{i\theta} - \zeta} + \frac{e^{-i\theta}}{e^{-i\theta} - \zeta} - 1 \right) \tilde{\mu}_t(d\theta) - \frac{(2 - \alpha - \beta)(1 - \zeta)}{2(1 + \zeta)} - \frac{(\alpha + \beta)(1 + \zeta)}{2(1 - \zeta)}. \]
Thus, using the symmetrization $\hat{\mu}_t := \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\mu}_t + (\hat{\mu}_t|_{(0,\pi)}) \circ j^{-1})$ with $j : \theta \in (0, \pi) \mapsto -\theta \in (-\pi, 0)$, we get

\begin{equation}
H(t, \zeta) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\theta} + \zeta \left(2\hat{\mu}_t - \frac{2 - \alpha - \beta}{2} \delta_{\pi} - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \delta_0\right) (d\theta).
\end{equation}

This proves the theorem.

**Remark 3.4.** The relationship $\mu_t \rightsquigarrow \nu_t$ enables us, in particular, to retrieve the decomposition of $\nu_{\infty}$ already obtained in Section 2 from the spectral measure $\mu_{\infty}$ (given by the free multiplicative convolution of the spectral measure of $P$ and $UQU^*$ with $U$ is a Haar unitary free from \{P, Q\} (see, [10, Example 3.6.7])). Indeed, we have $\hat{\delta}_0 = \delta_{\pi}, \hat{\delta}_1 = \delta_0$ and if $\mu_t$ has the density $h(x)$ with respect to $dx$ on $[0, 1]$, then $\nu_t$ has the density $\hat{h}(\theta)$ with respect to the (no-normalized) Lebesgue measure $d\theta$ on $T = (-\pi, \pi]$ with $\hat{h}(\theta) = h(\cos^2(\theta/2))|\sin \theta|/4$.

**Corollary 3.5.** For every $t > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$H(t, z) = 2L(t, z) + a \frac{1 - z}{1 + z} + b \frac{1 + z}{1 - z},$$

where $L(t, z)$ is the function defined in [15, Section 3] by

$$L(t, z) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \left(2\hat{\mu}_t - \frac{2 - \alpha - \beta}{2} \delta_{\pi} - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \delta_0\right)(d\theta).$$

**Proof.** We can easily check the result from (3.4) by substituting $\tau(P) = (1 + \alpha)/2, \tau(Q) = (1 + \beta)/2$ into the expression of $L(t, z)$. Then, we obtain

$$L(t, z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \left(2\hat{\mu}_t - \left(1 - \min\{\alpha, \beta\}\right)\delta_{\pi} - \max\{\alpha + \beta, 0\} \delta_0\right)(d\theta)$$

\begin{align*}
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \left(2\hat{\mu}_t - \frac{2 - \alpha - \beta + |\alpha - \beta|}{2} \delta_{\pi} - \frac{\alpha + \beta + |\alpha + \beta|}{2} \delta_0\right) (d\theta) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(H(t, z) - a \frac{1 - z}{1 + z} - b \frac{1 + z}{1 - z}\right).
\end{align*}
Remark 3.6. Note that, from the PDE (3.2) of [15], one easily sees that the function
\[ 2L(t, z) + a \frac{1 - z}{1 + z} + b \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \]
solves the same PDE (2.9) as \( H(t, z) \) and therefore, by uniqueness of solutions in the class of analytic function on the unit disc, we deduce the result of Corollary 3.5. This means that Theorem 3.3 can quite easily be deduced from Proposition 2.3 and [15, Section 3]. Nevertheless, the moments formula presented in Proposition 3.1 is of independent interest as it explains the appearance of the operator \( RU_t SU_t^* \) and so it gives a more natural presentation of the result of Theorem 3.3.

From Corollary 3.5, the measure \( \sigma_t := \nu_t - a\delta_\pi - b\delta_0 \) rewrites as
\[ \sigma_t = 2[\hat{\mu}_t - (1 - \min\{\tau(P), \tau(Q)\})\delta_\pi - \max\{\tau(P) + \tau(Q) - 1, 0\}\delta_0]. \]
Thus, by virtue of [15, Proposition 3.1], we get the following descriptions for the measure \( \nu_t \).

**Corollary 3.7.** For every \( t > 0 \), the positive measure \( \sigma_t \) has no atom at both 0 and \( \pi \). Moreover, at \( t = 0 \), we have \( \sigma_0\{0\} \geq 0 \) and \( \sigma_0\{\pi\} \geq 0 \) with equalities (i.e., \( \sigma_0 \) has no atom at both 0 and \( \pi \)), if and only if the projections \( P \) and \( Q \) are in generic position.

§4. Subordination for the liberation of symmetries

The aim of this section is to derive a subordination relation for the Herglotz transform \( H(t, z) \) and give an explicit formula for its unique subordinate family. For every \( t \geq 0 \) and \( |z| < 1 \), define the function\(^2\)
\[ K(t, z) := \sqrt{H(t, z)^2 - \left(a \frac{1 - z}{1 + z} + b \frac{1 + z}{1 - z}\right)^2}. \]
This is an analytic function in \( \mathbb{D} \) with positive real part. Indeed,
\[ H(t, z)^2 - \left(a \frac{1 - z}{1 + z} + b \frac{1 + z}{1 - z}\right)^2, \quad |z| < 1 \]
cannot take negative value in \( \mathbb{D} \) since the two measures \( \nu_t - a\delta_\pi - b\delta_0 \) and \( \nu_t + a\delta_\pi + b\delta_0 \) are finite positive measure in \( \mathbb{T} \) (see Corollary 3.7). Thus, according to the Herglotz theorem (see [3, Theorem 1.8.9]), \( K(t, z) \) has the

\(^2\)We take the principal branch of the square root.
following integral representation

\[ K(t, z) = \int_T \frac{\zeta + z}{\zeta - z} d\gamma_t(\zeta) \]

for some probability measure \( \gamma_t \) on \( \mathbb{T} \). The following result is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.5 and [15, Proposition 3.1].

**Corollary 4.1.** There exists a unique subordinate family of conformal self-maps \( \eta_t \) on \( \mathbb{D} \) such that the subordination relation

(4.2) \[ K(t, z) = K(0, \eta_t(z)) \]

holds for any \( z \in \mathbb{D} \).

Set \( \phi_t : \Omega_t := \eta_t(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathbb{D} \) the inverse function of \( \eta_t \). Then, \( \phi_t \) satisfies the radial Loewner ODE driven by the probability measure \( \nu_t \) (see [15, Equation (3.5)])

(4.3) \[ \partial_t \phi_t = \phi_t H(t, \phi_t), \quad \phi_0(z) = z. \]

The next proposition gives an explicit expression for the transformation \( \phi_t \).

**Proposition 4.2.** For any \( t \geq 0 \) and \( z \in \Omega_t \cap \mathbb{R} \), we have

\[ \phi_t(z) = \frac{w_t(y) - 1}{w_t(y) + 1}, \quad y = \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \]

with

\[ w_t(y) = \sqrt{\frac{(b^2 - a^2 - c + de^t \sqrt{c})^2 - 4a^2c}{(b^2 - a^2 + c + de^t \sqrt{c})^2 - 4b^2c}}, \]

where \( c = c(z) := K(0, z)^2 + \max\{\alpha^2, \beta^2\} \) and

\[ d = d(z) := -c(z) - \alpha\beta + \frac{2c(z) - 2y\sqrt{c(z)}H(0, z)}{1 - y^2}. \]

**Proof.** In order to make easier computations, we use the Möbius transform

\[ z \mapsto y = \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \]
to introduce the function $F(t, y) := H(t, z)$. Since $dy/dz = 2/(1 - z)^2$, the PDE (2.9) becomes

\begin{equation}
\partial_t F + \frac{y^2 - 1}{4} \partial_y F^2 = \frac{(y^2 - 1)}{8y^3} ((\alpha + \beta)^2 y^4 - (\alpha - \beta)^2).
\end{equation}

Then, the characteristic curve $t \mapsto (w_t(z), F(t, w_t(z)))$ associated with the PDE (4.4) satisfies the system of ODEs:

\begin{align*}
\partial_t w_t &= \frac{1}{2}(w_t^2 - 1) F(t, w_t), \quad w_0(y) = y, \\
\partial_t [F(t, w_t)] &= \frac{(w_t^2 - 1)}{8w_t^3} ((\alpha + \beta)^2 w_t^4 - (\alpha - \beta)^2),
\end{align*}

with

\[ w_t(y) := \frac{1 + \phi_t(z)}{1 - \phi_t(z)}. \]

Combining the two last ODEs, we get

\[ F \partial_t F = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2 w_t^4 - (\alpha - \beta)^2}{4w_t^3} \partial_t w_t. \]

Hence, integrating with respect to $t$, we get

\[ F(t, w_t(y))^2 = F(0, y)^2 + \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2 w_t(y)^4 + (\alpha - \beta)^2}{4w_t(y)^2} \\
- \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2 y^4 + (\alpha - \beta)^2}{4y^2} \\
= F(0, y)^2 + \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2 w_t(y)^4 + (\alpha - \beta)^2}{4w_t(y)^2} \\
+ 1 - F'(\infty, y)^2 \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{2}.
\]

Consequently, the RHS of (4.5) rewrites as

\[ \frac{w_t(y)^2 - 1}{2} \times \sqrt{1 + F(0, y)^2 - F(\infty, y)^2 - \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{2} + \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2 w_t(y)^4 + (\alpha - \beta)^2}{4w_t(y)^2}} \]
\[ \frac{w_t(y)^2 - 1}{2w_t(y)} \sqrt{b^2w_t(y)^4 + [1 + F(0, y)^2 - F(\infty, y)^2 - a^2 - b^2]w_t(y)^2 + a^2}. \]

Let \( u_t(y) := 1 - w_t^2(y) \), and set
\[
\begin{align*}
    c &= 1 + F(0, y)^2 - F(\infty, y)^2, \\
    c_1 &= c + b^2 - a^2 = c + \alpha\beta, \\
    c_2 &= b^2 = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2}{4}.
\end{align*}
\]

Then, we transform the ODE (4.5) into
\[
\partial_t u_t = u_t \sqrt{c - c_1 u_t + c_2 u_t^2}.
\]

In order to solve this last ODE, we are lead to compute the indefinite integral
\[
\int \frac{du}{u \sqrt{c - c_1 u + c_2 u^2}}.
\]

Since
\[
\begin{align*}
    c &= F(0, y)^2 - \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2}{4y^2} + \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{2} \\
    &= F(0, y)^2 - \left( \frac{|\alpha + \beta|y^2 + |\alpha - \beta|}{2y} \right)^2 + \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{2} \\
    &= F(0, y)^2 - \left( \frac{by^2 + a}{y} \right)^2 + \max\{\alpha^2, \beta^2\} \\
    &= K(0, z)^2 + \max\{\alpha^2, \beta^2\},
\end{align*}
\]

we easily see that
\[
c_1 - 4cc_2 = c^2 + 2c\alpha\beta + (\alpha\beta)^2 - c(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) = (c - \alpha^2)(c - \beta^2) \geq 0.
\]

Hence (see the proof in [8, Theorem 3]), we have
\[
\int \frac{du}{u \sqrt{c - c_1 u + c_2 u^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} \ln \frac{2c - c_1 u - 2\sqrt{c} \sqrt{c - c_1 u + c_2 u^2}}{|u|}.
\]

It follows that,
\[
\frac{2c - c_1 u_t(y) - 2\sqrt{c} \sqrt{c - c_1 u_t(y) + c_2 u_t(y)^2}}{|u_t(y)|} = de^{\sqrt{c}}
\]
for some \( d = d(y, \alpha, \beta) \) and hence

\[
2c - (c_1 + \epsilon de^t\sqrt{c}) u_t(y) = 2\sqrt{c} \sqrt{c - c_1 u_t(y) + c_2 u_t(y)^2},
\]

where \( \epsilon \) is the sign of \( u \). Raising this equality to the square and rearranging it, we get

\[
[(c_1 + \epsilon de^t\sqrt{c})^2 - 4cc_2] u_t(y) = 4\epsilon cde^t\sqrt{c}.
\]

Equivalently,

\[
u_t(y) = \frac{4c\tilde{d}e^t\sqrt{c}}{(c_1 + \tilde{d}e^t\sqrt{c})^2 - 4cc_2}
\]

with \( \tilde{d} = \epsilon d \). Hence

\[
w_t(y)^2 = \frac{(c_1 + \tilde{d}e^t\sqrt{c})^2 - 4cc_2 - 4c\tilde{d}e^t\sqrt{c}}{(c_1 + \tilde{d}e^t\sqrt{c})^2 - 4cc_2} - 4c^2 - 4c\tilde{d}e^t\sqrt{c}
\]

\[
= \frac{(b^2 - a^2 + c + \tilde{d}e^t\sqrt{c})^2 - 4cb^2 - 4c\tilde{d}e^t\sqrt{c}}{(b^2 - a^2 + c + \tilde{d}e^t\sqrt{c})^2 - 4cb^2} - 4ca^2
\]

Finally, in order to find the value of \( \tilde{d} \), we check the equality (4.8) for \( t = 0 \), we get

\[
2c - (c_1 + \tilde{d}) u_0 = 2\sqrt{c} \sqrt{c - c_1 u_0 + c_2 u_0^2},
\]

where \( u_0 := u_0(y) = 1 - w_0(y)^2 = 1 - y^2 \). From (4.5) and (4.7), we can see that

\[
\sqrt{c - c_1 u_0 + c_2 u_0^2} = w_0(y) F(0, w_0(y)) = y F(0, y).
\]

Thus,

\[
\tilde{d} = -c_1 + \frac{2c - 2\sqrt{c} \sqrt{c - c_1 u_0 + c_2 u_0^2}}{u_0}
\]

\[
= -c - \alpha \beta + \frac{2c - 2y\sqrt{c} F(0, y)}{1 - y^2}.
\]
Remark 4.3. Let

\[ h : z \mapsto \frac{4z}{(1 + z)^2}. \]

This is an analytic one-to-one map from the open unit disc \( \mathbb{D} \) onto the cut plane \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [1, \infty) \) (see, e.g., [6]). Its inverse is given by:

\[ h^{-1}(z) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - z}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - z}} = \frac{z}{(1 + \sqrt{1 - z})^2}, \]

where the principal branch of the square root is taken. Then, the flow \( \phi_t \) rewrites as \( \phi_t(z) = -h^{-1}(u_t(z)) \), for real values of \( z \in \Omega_t \), where

\[ u_t(z) = \frac{4cde^{t\sqrt{c}}}{(c_1 + de^{t\sqrt{c}})^2 - 4cb^2}. \]

Remark 4.4. From \( u_t(z) = h(-\phi_t(z)) \), one easily sees, by analytic continuation, that \( u_t \) is one-to-one from \( \Omega_t \) onto \( \mathbb{C} \setminus [1, \infty) \). In particular, the identity \( u_t(\eta_t(z)) = h(-z) \) holds for any \( z \in \mathbb{D} \). Moreover, from Proposition 4.2, we have

\[ d(z) = -c(z) - \alpha\beta + 2c(z) \frac{H(0, z)^2 + b^2 - a^2}{c(z) + \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \sqrt{c(z)H(0, z)}}. \]

Since \( c(z) \) is an analytic function in \( \mathbb{D} \) (with values in \( \mathbb{C} \setminus [\infty, 0] \)) and since

\[ z \mapsto c(z) + \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \sqrt{c(z)H(0, z)} \]

does not vanish in the closed unit disc, we deduce that \( d(z) \) is analytic on \( \mathbb{D} \) and therefore the function \( u_t(z) \) is meromorphic on \( \mathbb{D} \).

§5. Consequences

In this section, we derive some consequences of our preceding results on the regularity of the boundary of \( \Omega_t = \eta_t(\mathbb{D}) \) and the spectra of \( RU_tSU_t^* \). Observe from the equality \( \eta_t(\mathbb{C}) = \eta_t(\mathbb{Z}) \) that \( \Omega_t \) is symmetric with respect to the real axis. Denote by \( \mathbb{D}^+ \) and \( \mathbb{D}^- \) the upper and lower parts of \( \mathbb{D} \) and let \( h \) be the restriction of \( h \) to the region \( \mathbb{D}^+ \cup (-1, 1) \). Then, \( h \) has a one-to-one continuation to the boundary \( \partial \mathbb{T}^+ \cup \{ \pm 1 \} \) onto \([1, \infty]\). Henceforth, we shall keep the same notation \( h \) for the continuous extension of the restriction of
h to $\mathbb{D}^+ \cup (-1, 1)$. Set

$$
\Phi_t(z) = \begin{cases} 
-\tilde{h}^{-1}(u_t(z)), & z \in \mathbb{D}^+ \cup (-1, 1) \\
-\tilde{h}^{-1}(u_t(\overline{z})), & z \in \mathbb{D}^-.
\end{cases}
$$

From Remark 4.4, this function is meromorphic on $\mathbb{D}$ and its restriction to $\Omega_t$ coincides with the conformal map $\phi_t$. Let

$$
\Sigma_t := \{ z \in \mathbb{D}, \Phi_t(z) \in \mathbb{D} \} = \{ z \in \mathbb{D}, u_t(z) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [1, \infty) \}
$$

be the analyticity region of $\Phi_t$. Then, the region $\Omega_t$ coincides with the connected component of $\Sigma_t$ containing the origin $z = 0$. The ODE (4.7) can easily be transformed into

$$
(5.1) \quad \partial_t \Phi_t(z) = \Phi_t(z) H\left(t, \Phi_t(z)\right), \quad \Phi_0(z) = z.
$$

Which is nothing less than the ODE (4.3) (the radial Loewner ODE driven by $\nu_t$). Moreover, it holds for any $z \in \Sigma_t$. The next proposition proves that the inverse $\eta_t$ of the restriction of $\Phi_t$ to $\Omega_t$ extends continuously to the boundary $\partial \mathbb{D}$.

**Proposition 5.1.**

1. $\eta_t$ extends continuously to $\partial \mathbb{D}$ and $\eta_t$ is one-to-one on $\mathbb{D}$.
2. $\Omega_t$ is a simply connected domain bounded by a simple closed curve.

**Proof.** Since $\eta_t$ is a conformal map with image $\Omega_t$, and its inverse is the restriction of $\Phi_t$ to $\Omega_t$ then, it suffices to prove that the function $\Phi_t$ satisfies the properties in [1, Theorem 4.4]. It is not difficult to see that $\Phi_t(0) = \phi_t(0) = 0$. Moreover, for any $z \in \Sigma_t$, we have

$$
|\Phi_t(z)| = |z| \exp \left( \int_0^t \Re H(s, \Phi_s(z)) ds \right) \geq |z|,
$$

where the equality follows from the integration of the ODE (5.1) and the inequality is due to the fact that $\Re H > 0$. Otherwise (i.e., if $z$ is in the complementary set of $\Sigma_t$ in $\mathbb{D}$), we have $u_t(z) \in [1, \infty]$. It follows that, $\tilde{h}^{-1}(u_t(z)) \in \mathbb{T}$ and then $|\Phi_t(z)| = 1 > |z|$. Consequently, $|\Phi_t(z)| \geq |z|$ for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and therefore assertions (1) and (2) follow immediately from [1, Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 4.5].

The following lemma shows that the boundary of $\Omega_t$ is at a positive distance from $\pm 1$. 


Lemma 5.2. The region $\Omega_t$ does not contain 1 (resp. $-1$) whenever $b > 0$ or $b = 0$ and $\nu_0\{0\} > 0$ (resp. $a > 0$ or $a = 0$ and $\nu_0\{\pi\} > 0$).

Proof. Since $\nu_0\{0\} \geq b$ (see Corollary 3.7), by the assumption $b > 0$ or $b = 0$ and $\nu_0\{0\} > 0$ we deduce that

$$\lim_{y \to +\infty} c(y) = \lim_{y \to +\infty} \left[ F(0, y) - by - \frac{a}{y}\right]\left[ F(0, y) + by + \frac{a}{y}\right] + \max\{\alpha^2, \beta^2\}$$

$$= +\infty.$$ 

Moreover, from the equality (see Proposition 4.2)

$$d(y) = c(y) \left[-1 - \frac{\alpha\beta}{c(y)} + \frac{2}{1 - y^2} + 2\sqrt{\frac{1}{(y^2 - 1)^2} + \frac{c(y) + \alpha\beta}{c(y)(y^2 - 1)} + \frac{b^2}{c(y)}}\right],$$

we see that,

$$\lim_{y \to +\infty} d(y) = -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{y \to +\infty} \frac{d(y)}{c(y)} = -1.$$ 

As a result,

$$w_t(y) = \sqrt{\frac{(b^2 - a^2 - c(y) + d(y)e^{\sqrt{c(y)}})^2 - 4a^2c(y)}{(b^2 - a^2 + c(y) + d(y)e^{\sqrt{c(y)}})^2 - 4b^2c(y)}}$$

converges to 1 when $y$ goes to $+\infty$. Equivalently, in the $z$-variable we have, $\lim_{z \to 1^-} \phi_t(z) = 0$ (see Proposition 4.2). Proceeding in the same way, we prove that $\lim_{z \to 1^+} \phi_t(z) = 0$. Note that, in this case, $\nu_0\{\pi\} \geq a$ and the assumption $a > 0$ or $a = 0$ and $\nu_0\{\pi\} > 0$ implies that $\lim_{y \to 0} c(y) = +\infty$ and $\lim_{y \to 0} d(y)/c(y) = 1$. Since $\phi_t(0) = 0$ and $\Omega_t$ is a simply connected domain bounded by a simple closed curve, we see that $\partial\Omega_t$ intersect $x$-axis at two points $x(t)_{\pm}$ from either side of the origin, with $\phi_t(x(t)_{\pm}) = \pm 1$. From $\lim_{z \to \pm 1^\mp} \phi_t(z) = 0$, we deduce that $[x(t)_{-}, x(t)_{+}] \subset (-1, 1)$.

Corollary 5.3. For any $t > 0$,

$$z \mapsto \frac{1 - \eta_t(z)}{1 + \eta_t(z)} + \frac{b}{1 - \eta_t(z)}$$

is a function of Hardy class $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$.  


Proof. By the first item of Proposition 5.1, we can easily confirm that \( \eta_t \) is of hardy class \( H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \) and hence the function

\[
\eta_t(z) \mapsto \frac{1 - \eta_t(z)}{1 + \eta_t(z)} + \frac{1 + \eta_t(z)}{1 - \eta_t(z)}
\]

is of hardy class \( H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \) by the previous Lemma, thanks to the fact that \( \eta_t \) cannot take the values \( \pm 1 \) in \( \mathbb{D} \).

We close this paragraph with the following result on the spectra of \( RU_tSU_t^* \).

Proposition 5.4. For every \( t > 0 \), \( 0 \) and \( \pi \) does not belong to the continuous singular spectrum of \( \sigma_t \).

Proof. By the second item in Proposition 5.1 and the subordination relation (4.2), the function \( K(t, \cdot) \) has an analytic continuation in some neighborhoods of \( \pm 1 \) and

\[
\lim_{z \to \pm 1^\mp} K(t, z) = \lim_{z \to \pm 1^\mp} K(0, \eta_t(z)) = K(0, x(t)_\pm),
\]

where \( x(t)_\pm \) are the real boundaries of \( \Omega_t \) (see the proof of Lemma 5.2). Let \( L(t, z) \) be as in Corollary 3.5, and rewrite (4.1) as

\[
K(t, z)^2 = 4L(t, z) \left( L(t, z) + a \frac{1 - z}{1 + z} + b \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \right).
\]

Then, we have

\[
K(0, x(t)_\pm)^2 = 4 \lim_{z \to \pm 1^\mp} L(t, z) \left( L(t, z) + a \frac{1 - z}{1 + z} + b \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \right).
\]

Since

\[
L(t, z) + a \frac{1 - z}{1 + z} + b \frac{1 + z}{1 - z}
\]

blows up as \( z \to \pm 1^\mp \), we deduce that \( \lim_{z \to \pm 1^\mp} L(t, z) = 0 \). Consequently, the Poisson transform of \( \sigma_t \), which is nothing but the real part of \( L(t, z) \), vanishes as \( z \to \pm 1^\mp \) and hence the desired assertion follows from Proposition 1.3.11 and equation (1.8.8) in [3]. \( \Box \)
§6. Free mutual information and orbital free entropy

Here is our main application to the proof of the conjecture \( i^* = -\chi_{\text{orb}} \). For a pair of projections \((P, Q)\), we use the same definitions of the free mutual information \( i^*(\mathbb{C}P + \mathbb{C}(I-P); \mathbb{C}Q + \mathbb{C}(I-Q)) \) (hereafter \( i^*(P : Q) \)) and the orbital free entropy \( \chi_{\text{orb}}(P, Q) \) as expounded in the last section of the paper [15]. We refer the reader to [13, 14, 19] for more information.

Using subordination technology, a partial result for the identity

\[
i^*(P : Q) = -\chi_{\text{orb}}(P, Q)
\]

is obtained in [15, Lemma 4.4] (note that the function \( H \) there is exactly our \( \frac{1}{4}K^2 \)). The result is as follows.

**Lemma 6.1.** [15] If \( K(t, .) \) define a function of Hardy class \( H^3(\mathbb{D}) \) for any \( t > 0 \), then

\[
i^*(\mathbb{C}P + \mathbb{C}(I-P); \mathbb{C}Q + \mathbb{C}(I-Q)) = -\chi_{\text{orb}}(P, Q).
\]

From

\[
4(\Re L(t, z))^2 \leq 4\Re L(t, z)\Re \left( L(t, z) + a\frac{1 - z}{1 + z} + b\frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \right) \leq |K(t, z)|^2,
\]

the assumption in Lemma 6.1 implies that \( \sigma_t \) has an \( L^3 \)-density. The converse remains true; that is, if \( \sigma_t \) has an \( L^3 \)-density for any \( t > 0 \), then \( K(t, .) \) becomes a function of Hardy class \( H^3(\mathbb{D}) \). In fact, according to [5, Theorem 1.7, p. 208], \( L(t, .) \) is a function of Hardy class \( H^3(\mathbb{D}) \). On the other hand, from Corollary 3.7 and Proposition 5.4, we see that \( L(t, .) \) has an analytic continuation across both points \( \pm 1 \). Moreover, the limit \( \lim_{z \to \pm 1} L(t, z) = 0 \) implies that the constant term in the power series expansion around \( z = \pm 1 \) is zero. So that \( L(t, z)(a((1-z)/(1+z)) + b((1+z)/(1-z))) \) is bounded in some neighborhoods at both \( \pm 1 \). Hence

\[
K(t, z)^2 = 4L(t, z)^2 + 4L(t, z)\left( a\frac{1 - z}{1 + z} + b\frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \right)
\]

becomes a function of Hardy class \( H^{3/2}(\mathbb{D}) \). From these discussions, we deduce that

**Lemma 6.2.** If \( \sigma_t \) has an \( L^3 \)-density for every \( t > 0 \), then \( i^*(P : Q) = -\chi_{\text{orb}}(P, Q) \).

Here we reprove the same result by an equivalent but more handy assumption.
Proposition 6.3. Assume that for every \( t > 0 \), \( H(0, \eta_t(.)) \) is a function of Hardy class \( H^3(\mathbb{D}) \). Then the equality \( i^*(P : Q) = -\chi_{\text{orb}}(P, Q) \)

Proof. We will prove that the assumptions \( H(0, \eta_t(.)) \in H^3(\mathbb{D}) \) and \( K(t, .) \in H^3(\mathbb{D}) \) are equivalent and so we can use the result of Lemma 6.1. To this end, we use the subordination relation (4.2) to rewrite (4.1) as

\[
K(t, z)^2 = H(0, \eta_t(z))^2 - \left( a \frac{1 - \eta_t(z)}{1 + \eta_t(z)} + b \frac{1 + \eta_t(z)}{1 - \eta_t(z)} \right)^2.
\]

But, the function (see Corollary 5.3)

\[
z \mapsto \left( a \frac{1 - \eta_t(z)}{1 + \eta_t(z)} + b \frac{1 + \eta_t(z)}{1 - \eta_t(z)} \right)^2
\]

is of hardy class \( H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \). Hence we are done.

Here is a sample application of Proposition 6.3 improving the result in [15, Corollary 4.5].

Lemma 6.4. Assume that \( \sigma_0 \) has an \( L^3 \)-density with respect to \( d\theta \). Then \( i^*(P : Q) = -\chi_{\text{orb}}(P, Q) \).

Proof. Under the assumption here and according to [5, Theorem 1.7, p. 208], \( L(0, z) \) is a function of Hardy class \( H^3(\mathbb{D}) \) and hence so does \( L(0, \eta_t(z)) \) too by Littlewood’s subordination theorem (see [9, Theorem 1.7]). Hence, by Corollary 5.3, the function

\[
H(0, \eta_t(z)) = 2L(0, \eta_t(z)) + a \frac{1 - \eta_t(z)}{1 + \eta_t(z)} + b \frac{1 + \eta_t(z)}{1 - \eta_t(z)}
\]

is of hardy class \( H^3(\mathbb{D}) \) and then we are done thanks to Proposition 6.3.

We can now prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 6.5. For any two projections \( P, Q \), if

\[
\mu_t - (1 - \min\{\tau(P), \tau(Q)\})\delta_0 - \max\{\tau(P) + \tau(Q) - 1, 0\}\delta_1
\]

has an \( L^3 \)-density with respect to \( x(1 - x) \, dx \) on \([0, 1]\) for \( t = 0 \) or every \( t > 0 \), then \( i^*(P : Q) = -\chi_{\text{orb}}(P, Q) \).

Proof. From the relationship \( \mu_t \overset{\sim}{\sim} \nu_t \) and the assumption here (together with Remark 3.4), we deduce that

\[
\mu_t - (1 - \min\{\tau(P), \tau(Q)\})\delta_0 - \max\{\tau(P) + \tau(Q) - 1, 0\}\delta_1
\]
T. HAMDI has an $L^3$-density with respect to $d\theta$ on $\mathbb{T} = (-\pi, \pi]$ for $t = 0$ or every $t > 0$ and hence by \((3.5)\), the measure $\sigma_t$ does so also. The desired identity immediately follows from Lemmas 6.2 and 6.4.
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