Contemporary global perspectives of medical students on research during undergraduate medical education: a systematic literature review
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ABSTRACT

Background: The need for increased expertise in evidence-based medicine and concerns about the decreasing numbers of physician-scientists have underscored the need for promoting and encouraging research in medical education. The critical shortage of physician-scientists has assumed a dimension demanding a coordinated global response. This systematic review examined the perceptions of medical students regarding research during undergraduate medical school from a global perspective.

Methods: Articles for this review were searched using PubMed, SCOPUS, and Cochrane. Studies published within the last 10 years of the start date of the study that met specified criteria were included. Identified articles were initially screened by title as well as keywords and their abstracts were further screened to determine relevance. Full-text of screened articles were read for validation prior to inclusion.

Results: A total of 26 articles from the literature met the set criteria for final inclusion. Contents of the abstracts and corresponding full-text articles were analyzed for themes on the research perspectives of medical students. The themes derived comprised: research interest, physician-scientist decline and shortage, responses to physician-scientist shortage, curriculum issues, skills (motivation and self-efficacy), research needs, socioeconomic and cultural issues, and barriers.

Conclusion: Despite the wide variations in medical education systems worldwide, the perspectives of medical students on research in undergraduate medical education shared many common themes. Globally, medical students underscored the necessity and importance of research in medical education as reflected by many students reporting positive attitudes and interest in research endeavors. Moreover, a worldwide consensus emerged regarding the decline in the numbers of physician-scientists and the necessity for a reversal of that trend. Various barriers to research engagement and participation were highlighted.

Introduction

Two main drivers necessitating research during medical education have been the need for increased evidence-based medical practice and bench-to-bedside translational research with physician-scientists/researchers driving this process [1–6]. Hence, increasingly, the need for research as a critical component of modern medical education has not only gained currency but also urgency [2,3]. During undergraduate medical education, research instruction and involvement often provides the first opportunity for a medical student to gain exposure to research and participate in it. Medical students who engage in research learn how to formulate cogent thoughts from the generation of research ideas through study implementation to dissemination. Furthermore, they would have applied the scientific process in what they did, what they found, and how to report and explain such findings with rigorous detail and accuracy [3,7]. Such early immersions can contribute to the development of lasting habits of scientific thought and profound dispositions toward critical thinking [2,3], a vital aptitude that enhances success in the practice of medicine and engenders a crucial mentality that deserves early nurturing [1–3].

The importance of evidence-based medicine has meant that physicians are expected to be skilled and knowledgeable in the use of research and scientific methods of enquiry as applied to medical practice. Indeed, clinician literacy in research improves critical thinking in guiding clinical judgement – necessary ingredients for the effective application of evidence-based medicine [7]. The ability to competently locate and critically appraise the appropriate medical literature, interpret volumes of data, integrate, and translate those for use in clinical situations is invaluable to medical practice [7,8]. The marriage between the clinical competence of a physician and the independent literature-based clinical evidence for informed decision-making could spell the difference...
between life and death of patients under potentially
time-dependent conditions [7,9]. Such skills underscore
the importance of the acquisition of research competencies and its
crossover to the practice of evidence-based medicine [1–3].

The other related factor that undergirds the need for
intensification of clinical research in medical education
has been the continued decline in the numbers of physician-scientists (also sometimes referred to as
clinician-researchers). Many studies have unequivocally
shown that the numbers of physician-scientists have been in decline for many years [2,4–6,10–12]. In
2003, the number of physicians involved primarily in
research was 1.8% compared to 4.6% in 1985 [6].

Another study found a lower percentage of 1.6% in
2011 compared to 3.6% in 1982 [11]. These alarming
cost statistics about the decrease in the number of
physician-scientists have not abated since the over four
decades when it first received topical attention [5,6].
Indeed, the decline in numbers and scarcity of phy-
sician-scientists have assumed a global dimension. It
is no longer an isolated issue confined only to some
specific geographical regions of the world, it has
become a worrisome issue with a global reach that
requires concerted attention for redress [2,4].

Despite the expressed need and importance of
clinical research, research training during undergrad-
uate medical education in many countries is still
inadequate, uncoordinated, constrained, and riddled
with many difficulties [1,13]. Research instruction has
often been for fulfilling required competencies of
professionalism and continuing education. Furthermore, in some other instances, research in
medical education is used for purposes of satisfying
accreditation requirements. Key questions would be
how do medical students perceive research during
undergraduate medical training? What are their atti-
tudes toward research? Do they perceive or under-
stand research competencies as a critical component
of their evidence-based knowledge? Does research
appeal to them for purposes of evidence-based prac-
tice or contemplation to pursue a physician-scientist
career in the future? Hence, it is important to under-
stand the dynamics of the two drivers of research
perspectives of medical students during medical
school through a global prism. However, no known
study has synthesized the available literature to high-
light the global scope of the problems and the per-
spectives that pertained to it.

This systematic literature review synthesized the
contemporary global perspectives (comprising percep-
tions, attitudes, beliefs, and dispositions) of medical
students regarding research during their undergraduate
training. It examined the ways in which medical stu-
dents shared similar or different perspectives toward

Methods

Search

The articles were obtained from PubMed, Scopus, and
Cochrane databases covering a 10-year timeframe
going back from 9/29/2007 to 9/29/2017 when the
study was started. This search window was necessi-
tated by using the start date of the study as the cutoff
point so that the search was stabilized while capturing
contemporary trends on the topic with the preceding
10 years as a prism. The search included title, abstracts,
and keywords of studies from the global medical edu-
cation literature. The search terms/keywords or
phrases used for this review were as follows:
Research, medical students, physician-scientist, career
choices, osteopathic medical students, osteopathic
medicine, perceptions, attitudes, motivations, and
interests. The Boolean Operators ‘or’ and ‘and’ were
used to expand and narrow the searches to include all
the pertinent publications within the period under
review. The use of osteopathic medical students in
the key-word search was deliberate to ensure that, as
a minority part of medical education in the U.S., its
perspectives were represented as much as possible in
the final literature. Only articles published in English
were included and the search was conducted following
the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review
and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines.

Eligibility criteria

Studies were excluded if they: 1) were not written in
English, 2) involved program evaluations such as
changes in student perceptions post-implementation
of a specific curriculum or educational program, 3)
combined data of medical students with physician or
with other non-medical degree populations without
clear distinction of data, 4) were Meta-analyses and
Systematic Reviews older than 10 years as well as
literature that mixed domestic and international
medical student populations and, 5) were published
letters to an editor, special communications, and op-
inions not based on methodology, results, and con-
clusions of a study.
**Study selection and process**

Using the eligibility criteria, the preliminary list of literature was compiled by CS, BT, and GB who read the titles and abstracts of articles that were returned by the keyword search and the original number was reduced to those that met the inclusion criteria (See Search Strategies in Appendix). The abstracts of the subset of studies that met the criteria were read in entirety to determine relevance by CS, BT, KVF, and SK. Upon reading of the abstracts, if relevance was suspected or in question, the entirety of the article was read to determine its inclusion/exclusion. GD provided a global oversight by coordinating the consensus process as an independent eye. Articles that were not fully agreed upon for inclusion were discussed in a series of consensus building meetings until a consensus among the authors was reached.

**Data analysis**

A quantitative analysis of the data obtained was purposefully not performed. This was because of difficulty in functional comparisons due to the variety of methods used in the studies together with the variations in medical education systems globally. In this study, we gathered the following information: author, country, sampled population, perspective studied, methods, and outcomes. We adopted a non-linear, iterative process involving content analysis for themes until a saturation point was reached where no new themes emerged. Constant comparisons were made among the group of authors to reconcile opinions for a consensual conclusion on themes.

**Results**

Figure 1 shows the schematic diagram of searching the literature. The title, abstract, and keywords, English language only, and the date of the search yielded 4306 studies. A further narrowing down yielded 4294 abstracts after removing duplicates. Furthermore, after excluding articles for non-relevance, 177 abstracts were obtained. The full-texts of these were further read in entirety resulting in the final inclusion of 26 studies. Upon reading of an abstract, if relevance was in question, the entirety of the article was read again by multiple researchers to determine if it tightly met inclusion/exclusion criteria.

The studies were from 13 countries representing different regions in the developed and developing worlds, (Table 1). Majority of the articles were from Pakistan and Saudi Arabia.

Table 2 provides a bibliographic summary of the studies selected for the systematic review.
Table 1. Number of studies included by country/region of origin.

| Country           | Region    | Number of Articles |
|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Australia         | Asia      | 1                  |
| Brazil            | South America | 3                |
| Canada            | North America | 1                |
| India             | Asia      | 2                  |
| Iran              | Middle East | 1                 |
| Kuwait            | Middle East | 1                 |
| New Zealand       | Asia      | 2                  |
| Pakistan          | Asia      | 5                  |
| Saudi Arabia      | Middle East | 4                |
| South Africa      | Africa    | 1                  |
| Sweden            | Europe    | 1                  |
| United States (U.S.) | North America | 3            |
| United Kingdom (U.K.) | Europe       | 1                |
| TOTAL             |           | 26                 |

Table 3 lists the emergent themes that characterized the global perspectives of medical students toward research during medical school.

These were derived from the 26 studies that were finally included as the data for the review. These themes were common across the studies within the medical education contexts of the U.S. and other countries outside the U.S. The themes derived were as follows: Research interest, physician-scientist decline and shortage, responses to the physician-scientist shortage, curriculum issues, skills (motivation and self-efficacy), research needs, socioeconomic and cultural issues, and barriers.

Discussion

Out of the 26 selected articles, 23 (89%) were from countries outside the U.S. and 3 (11%) from the U.S. One plausible explanation of this result could be that in the U.S., most studies involved the assessment (pre-post) of specific programs involving research in medical education and therefore were excluded according to the study inclusion/exclusion criteria. Another possible explanation is that the physician-scientist shortage in the U.S. had been recognized decades earlier following the 1979 seminal work of Wyngaarden [11]. Ever since, the issue has been studied for decades, hence most of the perspectives were likely reported in articles published earlier than our timeframe considered in the inclusion criteria for this present study. This could indicate a positive direction in the sense that programs in the U.S. have been trying to address this problem over many decades. Moreover, the U.S. literature was replete with programmatic endeavors directed at fostering training of research savvy physicians and addressing the physician-scientist shortage.

By the exclusion criteria of this study, studies with programmatic leanings were excluded. One exception to note regarding the U.S. medical education landscape, however, is that exposure of osteopathic (DO) medical students to research is still in an early growth phase with limited data compared to their allopathic (MD) counterparts [20] hence its relative over representation in the U.S. literature in this current study. According to the National Resident Matching Program match data, U.S. allopathic students lead in the number of research experiences, followed by non-U.S. international medical graduates (IMG), U.S. IMG’s, and then osteopathic medical students [21–23]. Although osteopathic medical research has been on the rise [24], historically there has been a lack of culture promoting research [25] and even today, the osteopathic medical field still lags the allopathic field in the conduct of clinical research [26].

This current systematic review derived common themes that threaded through medical students’ research perspectives globally. Broad consensus was found on these themes with minor context-specific and geographic variations.

Research interest

One common strand that was found in the perspectives of research during medical education was the medical students’ expressed interest and positive attitude toward research [20,27,28]. Overall, medical students viewed research as useful and important to their education [29,30]. However, motivations behind this interest varied from context to context across countries. For example, some students were interested in research to be competitive for residency [4,31,32], enhance curriculum vitae or resumés [32,33], and for some international medical students to gain admission into U.S. residency programs [33]. Nonetheless, the expressed interest in research did not translate into many more medical students thinking of becoming physician-scientists. Moreover, many of such students did not indicate if research engagement was to help them engage in future evidence-based practice or pursue a research career [4,34].

Physician-scientist: decreasing numbers and shortage

There was a near universal accord regarding the recognition of the decline and shortage of physician-scientists as a problem that demanded serious attention. The literature was replete with expressed concerns about the lack of enthusiasm on the part of budding physicians to pursue the physician-scientist career track. Indeed, although many medical students indicated the importance of research in their education, they were not favorably inclined to pursue it as a career [4]. Various reasons were adduced for the lack of interest in a clinical research career path. Some of those reasons were preference for direct patient care as a clinician, lifestyle of a physician rather than a
| *Source* | Country Source | Sampled Population with N | Perspective Studied | Methods | Outcomes |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Abu-Zaid et al. 2014 | Saudi Arabia | 116/171 female medical students | Perceived barriers | Online, self-rating survey, using Likert scale | Top three barriers identified: Greater preference for patient care than research (75.0%); Work/life balance (52.6%); and lack of female role models (48.3%). |
| Abu-Zaid, 2014 | Saudi Arabia | 61 female second year undergraduate medical students | Perceived attitudes | Cross-sectional, self-rating survey | 31.1% had previous summer research experiences (68.0% local and 33.0% international programs). |
| Al-Halabi, 2014 | Kuwait | 150 sixth and seventh year medical students | Experiences, attitudes, and barriers | Questionnaire | All showed positive attitudes towards undergraduate research. |
| Anbari et al. 2015 | Iran | 627 students in six schools of medical sciences | Barriers and infrastructure | Quantitative and qualitative analytical approaches. -Research vs non-research groups -Barriers were validated using the Delphi technique on 36 students. | Research students reported institutional barriers such as time, lack of access to electronic resources and prolongation of the process of buying equipment. |
| Baig, 2013 | Pakistan | 398 medical students at four medical universities | Perceptions, Prior experience and future research intent | Data collection tool using a questionnaire and open-ended questions. | 88% intended to do research prior to medical school but only 51% reported they had previous research experience. |
| Burgoyne, 2010 | United Kingdom | 317 undergraduate medical students | Transferable and research skills, prior research experience, attitude and motivation | Questionnaire | Reasons for engaging in research were to improve curriculum vitae (75%) and increase competitiveness for residency in the USA (43%). |
| Carter, 2016 | United States (US) | 266/346 osteopathic medical students | Research experience, interest during medical school | Questionnaire | 81% had prior research experience. |
| de Oliveira et al. 2011 | Brazil | 1004 medical students from 13 medical programs | Availability and degree of involvement | Questionnaire | 7% had no interest in research. |
| Ejaz, 2011 | Pakistan | 387 final year medical students and fresh graduates | Research involvement, interest and barriers | Cross-sectional questionnaire | Medical students results only: 49% conducted literature search, 65% had journal reading experience due to the requirement of their institution. |

(Continued)
| Source            | Country       | Sampled Population with N | Perspective Studied                  | Methods                                      | Outcomes                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Funston et al.    | United Kingdom (UK) | 1625 responses from 38 countries (excluding US); analysis restricted to countries with > 100 responses (n = 890) | Perceptions                          | Online questionnaire                         | -Less than 50% thought their institution provided adequate research training.  
- Key barriers were lack of time and mentors.  
- Females were less interested.  
- The barriers and satisfaction with research training differed significantly among countries. |
| Jimmy, 2013       | India         | 114 medical students      | Perception and benefits              | Cross sectional study: Questionnaires       | -20.9% had publications.  
- 81.7% saw research being essential to understanding.  
- 38.9% thought it cumbersome.  
- 24.3% of the students were involved in research purely for acquiring knowledge.  
- 18.3% were pursuing interest in research, while 17.5% were doing research to improve their curriculum vitae.  
- 73.9% found it essential for all students to do research.  
- Barriers found: Lack of time, lack of incentives in the form of scholarships, and post-graduate specialty selection. |
| Kharraz et al.    | Saudi Arabia  | 221/350 medical students. | Perceived barriers                  | Online, cross-sectional, self-rating survey | -Participation significantly differed by gender (males vs. females): 68.6% vs. 45.4%.  
- Top three barriers: Lack of time (77.4%), lack of formal courses in curriculum (76%), and lack of UR mentors (70.1%). Others were lack of mentors, lack of interest in research, lack of finding same-gender research mentor, and lack of opportunities. |
| Kumar, 2009       | India         | 471 medical students      | Awareness, perceptions and practices | Questionnaire-based qualitative study       | -70% were aware about research although the level of awareness varied.  
- Various skills of conducting research were known to 47% of the students.  
- 76% were part of a research team mainly as a part of the medical curriculum.  
- 8.3% were confident of research as a career option.  
- Intentions to pursue research at a professional level remained low (19.7%).  
- Intentions decreased each passing year of study.  
- Most commonly expressed motivation for pursuing research was “admission into a residency program” (71.8%).  
- Such intention was associated with a decreased likelihood of pursing research professionally.  
- Barriers: Lack of time (72.4%), lack of supervisors (50.3%), lack of opportunity (48.3%). |
| Mahmood, 2017     | Pakistan      | 294 medical students      | Current research practices, Future intentions, Related motivations, barriers, and sought-after interventions | Self-administered questionnaire             | -45.3% were aware of research opportunities.  
- 65.7% thought research was important to future career and relevant to their lives  
- 41.9% not interested in research as a career.  
- 41.3% students enjoyed research  
- 70% perceived research as stressful and 62.2% complex  
- Top three influential factors: Facilitate entry into competitive residency programs (88.1%), improve curriculum vitae (81.2%), and publish in peer-reviewed journals (79.8%).  
- Participation in research significantly differed by gender, academic year, and GPA.  
- 81.7% were interested in research.  
- Only 4.7% of the students thought research is important.  
- No statistically significant association with age, gender, number of physicians in their family, prior college courses were found. |
| Meraj, 2016       | Pakistan      | 172 medical students      | Perceptions and attitudes            | Cross-sectional questionnaire                | -61% had positive attitudes toward research.  
- 74% thought involvement is important  
- 22% voluntarily engaged in research  
- 4% presented at a meeting and 3% had published Perceived barriers: Lack of adequate training, time, and research opportunities. |
| Mina et al., 2016  | Saudi Arabia  | 218/350 medical students  | Perception and participation          | Online survey                               | -70% perceived research as stressful and 62.2% complex  
- Top three influential factors: Facilitate entry into competitive residency programs (88.1%), improve curriculum vitae (81.2%), and publish in peer-reviewed journals (79.8%).  
- Participation in research significantly differed by gender, academic year, and GPA.  
- 81.7% were interested in research.  
- Only 4.7% of the students thought research is important.  
- No statistically significant association with age, gender, number of physicians in their family, prior college courses were found. |
| Moraes, 2016      | Brazil        | 278 medical students from first to sixth year. | Interest in research                  | Cross-sectional, self-administered questionnaire | -61% had positive attitudes toward research.  
- 74% thought involvement is important  
- 22% voluntarily engaged in research  
- 4% presented at a meeting and 3% had published Perceived barriers: Lack of adequate training, time, and research opportunities. |
| Nel et al., 2013  | South Africa  | 733 medical students      | Attitudes                             | Cross-sectional survey                      | -61% had positive attitudes toward research.  
- 74% thought involvement is important  
- 22% voluntarily engaged in research  
- 4% presented at a meeting and 3% had published Perceived barriers: Lack of adequate training, time, and research opportunities. |
| Source                  | Country Source | Sampled Population with N | Perspective Studied                      | Methods                           | Outcomes                                                                 |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Oliveira, 2014          | Brazil         | 415 first through sixth year medical students | Understanding, advantages, difficulties and motivations | Questionnaire                    | -47.2% were involved in research.                                        |
|                         |                |                           |                                           |                                   | -Main barrier reported was time constraint.                                |
|                         |                |                           |                                           |                                   | -Among students not involved in research, 91.1% reported, however, that they favored its inclusion in the curriculum. |
| O’ Sullivan, 2009       | United States (U.S) | 40 medical students     | Attitudes                               | Qualitative based on interviews conducted in person at locations chosen by participant. | -Five (5) themes were reported: Early exposure to research, role models and mentoring, career pathways, interplay of personal and social factors, and career support for junior faculty members. |
| Park et al., 2010       | New Zealand    | 558 medical students     | Attitudes                               | Questionnaire                     | -25% participated in some form of research activity, mostly in the summer, during medical school. |
|                         |                |                           |                                           |                                   | -70% expressed interest in participating in research during medical school. |
|                         |                |                           |                                           |                                   | -68% of respondents were aware of the intercalated research degree option but only 8.6% were interested. |
|                         |                |                           |                                           |                                   | -35% of respondents planned to be involved in research throughout their medical career. |
|                         |                |                           |                                           |                                   | -More students rated lifestyle (84% affirmative) and earning potential (43% affirmative) as more important factors than opportunity for research (23% affirmative) when choosing a career specialty. |
| Pathipati, 2016         | United States (U.S) | 328 medical students   | Perception of research year             | Online survey at 5 medical schools with highly regarded research programs | -7.5% of students had prior research experience. |
|                         |                |                           |                                           |                                   | -42% indicated interest beyond medical school.                             |
|                         |                |                           |                                           |                                   | -89.1% of students believe conducting research is advantageous for medical career. |
|                         |                |                           |                                           |                                   | -44.2% believe bureaucracy surrounding research is a significant deterrent. |
|                         |                |                           |                                           |                                   | -49.8% of students were neutral to research and lower salary.              |
| Siemens, 2010           | Canada         | 327 second and fourth year medical students at three medical schools | Attitudes and experience | Convergent parallel mixed methods study using cross-sectional quantitative survey data and qualitative semi-structured interview findings | -Barriers identified by interested students: Curriculum overload, internet inexperience, an uncooperative community, difficulty in finding a mentor and selecting a topic, lack of previous exposure, and lack of internet facilities. |
|                         |                |                           |                                           |                                   | -Barriers identified by non-interested students: sleep loss, extracurricular activities, uncooperative colleagues, inclement weather (hot and humid), lack of knowledge, bad past experiences, social commitments, drugs/addictions, laziness, uncooperative faculty, transportation problems, lack of motives and incentives, faculty-forced research, fatigue, and an attitude that considered research useless. |
| Stockfelt, 2016         | Sweden         | 471 medical students     | Research interest and activity          | Questionnaire administered as a follow up to a 2006 survey | -involved in research prior to medical school (87%).                       |
|                         |                |                           |                                           |                                   | -43% were not significantly involved in research during medical school.   |
|                         |                |                           |                                           |                                   | -24% were not interested.                                                 |
|                         |                |                           |                                           |                                   | -44% believed research is important in future career.                     |
|                         |                |                           |                                           |                                   | -Barriers included: Time, availability of research mentors, lack of formal teaching of research methodology, and lack of appropriate acknowledgement. |
|                         |                |                           |                                           |                                   | -16% were actively involved in research.                                 |
|                         |                |                           |                                           |                                   | -36% were interested.                                                     |
|                         |                |                           |                                           |                                   | -Barriers to research included: Lack of time (23%), excessive workload (22%), and not enough time to study (16%). |
|                         |                |                           |                                           |                                   | -Financial compensation, expansion of research opportunities, and career planning would aid in research interest. |

*Brackets denotes the number in the reference list.
physician-scientist, diminished desire in prolonged training and accrual of extra debt, need to pay-off current student debt, difficulty in seeking extra-mural funds, lack of mentorship, and lack of interest in a scientific/research career [4–6].

Response to physician-scientist shortage

Recognition of the decreasing numbers of physician-scientists as well as shortages led to the adoption of measures to counter or arrest the decline. Although we excluded program-specific studies from this review, it is important to point out that some of the studies that met the inclusion criteria suggested solutions to this problem. Some of those included dual-degrees such as MD/PhD or intercalated programs (as they are referred to in some countries outside the U.S [35]). Other combined programs and specialized programs were introduced by various medical colleges especially in the U.S. and Canada [36].

Some of the responses were premised on the idea that medical students should be equipped with research skills. To address student needs, a multitude of solutions from different countries have been experimented to expose medical students to research, encourage participation, and develop the requisite skills to be successful. Some examples include the development of national research programs (Norway) [37], voluntary immersion at individual institutions (Lebanon) [38], workshops (Saudi Arabia) [39] (India) [40], research courses (U.S.) [41], or fairs featuring keynote speakers, presentations, (Germany) [42], all of which have been met with varying degrees of success.

The most common reasons for not pursuing a dual or intercalated degree option were lack of interest, social reasons, and financial reasons [28,35]. There was no widespread support from the students for having research training as a compulsory part of medical school curriculum. With respect to long-term career plans, just a small proportion reported interest in wanting to become physician-scientists [34]. However, more students rated lifestyle and earning potential as more important factors than opportunity for research when choosing a career specialty [34,35].

Table 3. Common themes from global perspectives on research during medical education derived from content analysis of the abstracts/full-text articles.

| Theme                                                                 | Perspectives                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Research interest                                                   | - Medical students express very high interest in research while in training. |
|                                                                    | - Majority have positive attitude toward research.                           |
|                                                                    | - Universal consensus on the reality of a decreasing numbers of physician-scientists that should not be ignored. |
| Physician-scientist career (decline and shortage)                  | - Dual degrees MD/DO/PhD.                                                   |
|                                                                    | - Curriculum changes reflecting research and academic medicine tracks.      |
|                                                                    | - Intercalated programs (Equivalence of dual degrees).                     |
|                                                                    | - Research courses or fairs featuring keynote speakers, presentations, etc. (Germany). |
|                                                                    | - Development of national research programs (Norway).                      |
|                                                                    | - Voluntary immersion at individual institutions (Lebanon).                |
|                                                                    | - Workshops (Saudi Arabia).                                                |
| Response to physician-scientist shortage – MD/PhD and other combined programs, Specialized programs, NGOs, Curriculum | - Curriculum efforts varied greatly across institutions.                    |
|                                                                    | - Curriculum often did not incorporate research across the board.           |
|                                                                    | - Curriculum not adequate in focusing on research in medical education.    |
|                                                                    | - No curriculum at all in some other situations.                           |
|                                                                    | - Research was buried in the curriculum and not obvious.                   |
| Curriculum                                                          | - Needs research to improve CV.                                             |
|                                                                    | - Mobility and logistic issues for traveling and research.                 |
| Skills – Motivation, Self-efficacy                                  | - Willing to do research but lack the necessary skills and self-efficacy.  |
|                                                                    | - Well motivated but constrained by barriers.                               |
|                                                                    | - Lack the necessary skills by little exposure to research earlier.        |
|                                                                    | - Highly motivated but not self-efficacious.                               |
| Needs – Training, Curriculum, Infrastructure, Competitiveness for residency/fellowships for residents | - Curriculum-based training in research necessary to provide the basic skills in research. |
|                                                                    | - Training in clinical research.                                           |
|                                                                    | - Availability of research infrastructure.                                 |
|                                                                    | - Research itself needed for competitiveness for fellowships and residencies for students. |
|                                                                    | - Needs research to improve CV.                                             |
|                                                                    | - For getting into academic medicine or becoming a physician-scientist.     |
| Socio-Economic and Cultural – Organization, Gender                 | - Males rather than females would want to go into academic medicine or physician-scientists than females. |
|                                                                    | - Cultural issues in some countries where the two genders do not mix.      |
|                                                                    | - Males more likely to engage in research than females.                    |
| Barriers – Institutional, Non-institutional                          | - Institutional barriers such as time unavailability, lack of mentors,    |
|                                                                    | - Inadequate support for research, lack of access to electronic resources, lack of mentors, and prolongation of the process of buying equipment, and lack of infrastructure. |
|                                                                    | - Non-institutional: Lack of time, inadequate scientific writing skills, lack of early exposure to research and lack of access to assistance. |
Curriculum

There was a high-level of agreement in the literature among medical students that despite the importance of research, it often was not well represented in their curricula. Curricula were often overloaded with basic and clinical science subjects with little room for research instruction and learning. In most cases, research participation was an extra-curricular activity where students were not well prepared in the basic concepts of epidemiology, statistics, and scientific investigation [41,43]. Indeed, students often reported low confidence in understanding medical literature and statistical analysis. Windish et al. [44], for example, surveyed 11 residency programs in the U.S. to assess biostatistics and research interpretation – the result was an average of 40% score on a multiple-choice test. Such a finding highlighted that knowledge deficits in research competencies and skills during medical school often spilled over to residency. This result was consistent with the literature elsewhere within the global context [44–46]. Curriculum that incorporates, enacts, and implements research during undergraduate medical education is critical because students who got trained and involved in research were more likely to continue to do research in the future [36].

Skills

Many medical students lacked the skills to pursue research during undergraduate medical education [47,49]. This lack of skills may arise from inadequate early exposure to the basic concepts of scientific inquiry that serves to limit their later research involvement [49–51]. While in some countries students had research exposure before medical school, they still did not feel prepared enough to engage in research [35,47,50]. A plausible explanation could be that the previous research experience was not targeted enough to facilitate their participation in applied research during medical school. This lack of skills perhaps resulted in low motivation and lack of self-efficacy among many students [47].

Research needs

Medical students worldwide expressed needs that, if addressed, would facilitate their participation and engagement in research during medical school. These needs included, mainly but not exclusively, research training, curriculum enhancements, time availability, and availability of infrastructure for research. Indeed, these needs coincided with some of the other themes that were gleaned from the review. Some institutions accommodate students by allowing research to be conducted during their spare time using technology [46], or through the implementation of a more flexible preclinical curriculum [52].

Socio-economic and cultural

Socio-economic factors play a substantial role in undergraduate medical education and could shape students reasoning and aspirations for pursuing research careers as physician-scientists. For some students outside the U.S., for instance, the motivation to participate in research was to boost their curriculum vitae (CVs) to allow for their career advancement and pursuing opportunities in developed countries [53]. Similarly, students in developed countries who engage in research are often motivated by the goal of getting into a more competitive residency program. In other regions of the world, particularly in certain countries such as Saudi Arabia, Pakistan, and Iran, cultural and religious contexts interplay with research during undergraduate medical education – this was quite a unique theme relevant to these settings. For instance, lack of mentors for female students was due to religious gender restrictions where male clinicians could not mentor female students [1,54,55] in some circumstances.

Barriers – institutional, non-institutional

Despite the varied approaches and efforts at improving research during medical school, the literature amply provided instances of students expressing both institutional and non-institutional barriers to successful research participation. Prevalent institutional barriers included limited time, lack of access to electronic resources, lack of mentors, lack of supporting infrastructure, and prolongation of the process of acquiring equipment. Non-institutional barriers included lack of down time, inadequate scientific writing skills, and lack of self-efficacy. Many of the studies [51,56] that investigated factors working against student involvement in undergraduate research cited other key factors such as poor mentorship, lack of role models, and perceived lower salaries of academic physicians. Indeed, quality mentorship is strongly associated with a more positive research experience for students and may bolster interest in a research-oriented career [51,56].

While there exists a considerable overlap regarding contemporary perceptions of barriers toward research globally, students from developing countries face many more hurdles not only to their research participation but medical education in general. Examples include lack of funds [57]), insufficient access to electronic resources [58], constrained availability of the internet [57,59] and sometimes, even war/conflicts [57].
Several limitations to this review should be noted. As mentioned earlier, our search excluded many U.S. articles by our inclusion criteria. Moreover, only studies written in English were included. There was also difficulty in functional comparisons as there were a variety in the details of the methods used in the studies and variations in medical education systems globally.

Conclusion and recommendation
This review examined the perspectives of medical students regarding research during medical education from a global perspective. Increasingly, research in medical education has been encouraged throughout the continuum of medical education. While these programs and efforts are laudable, they have not been without controversies. Outcomes have been mixed and the targets (medical students) were sometimes unaware of the opportunities available to them. Some medical students also engaged or participated in research for reasons other than for evidence-based practice or pursuit of physician-scientist career.

A consensus of medical students regarding the need and importance of research in undergraduate medical education exists in a global context. While the need and importance of research were upheld, barriers to it were passionately expressed. The perspective on the decline in the numbers of physician-scientists was that of a global accord. Differences in the thematic perspectives of research in medical education were quite minor and difficult to finely characterize.

Medical education systems vary greatly worldwide yet medical student perspectives on research in medical education revealed several common universal themes. Hence, a convergence of ideas amongst medical educators from different cultures could be possible to enhance research in undergraduate medical education. A global effort that harnesses an understanding of the concordances in the perspectives on the issues faced by medical students regarding research instruction and learning in medical schools could foster the training of physicians imbued with evidence-based practice and perhaps more inclined to become physician-scientists. Thus, a coordinated global response could promote and re-emphasize the importance of evidence-based medicine in clinical practice and potentially address the decline in the numbers of physician-scientists.
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Appendix

Search Strategy

| Database | Strategy/Limits |
|----------|----------------|
| PubMed   | 1 Medical student* OR students, medical [MeSH] OR osteopathic medical student* OR osteopathic medicine[MeSH]  |
|          | 2 Research OR research[MeSH] OR career choice* OR career choice[MeSH] OR physician scientist*  |
|          | 3 1 AND 2  |
|          | 4 Motivation* OR motivation[MeSH] OR attitude* OR attitude[MeSH] OR perception* OR perception[MeSH] OR interest  |
|          | 5 3 AND 4 (Limited to 9/29/2007–9/29/2017)  |
| Scopus   | 1 [TITLE-ABS-KEY] 'medical student' OR 'medical students' OR 'osteopathic medical student' OR 'osteopathic medical students' OR 'osteopathic medicine'  |
|          | 2 [TITLE-ABS-KEY] 'career choice' OR 'career choices' OR career OR careers  |
|          | 3 [TITLE-ABS-KEY] research  |
|          | 4 [TITLE-ABS-KEY] 'physician scientist' OR 'physician scientists'  |
|          | 5 [TITLE-ABS-KEY] motivation OR motivations OR attitude OR attitudes OR perceptions OR perception OR interest OR interests  |
|          | 6 1 AND 2 AND 3 AND 4 AND 5  |
|          | 7 PUBYEAR limited to 2007–2017, LANG English  |
| Cochrane | 1 medical students  |
|          | 2 MeSH descriptor: [Students, Medical] explode all trees  |
|          | 3 #1 or #2  |
|          | 4 osteopathic medical students  |
|          | 5 osteopathic medicine  |
|          | 6 MeSH descriptor: [Osteopathic Medicine] explode all trees  |
|          | 7 #4 or #5 or #6  |
|          | 8 #7 or #3  |
|          | 9 perceptions  |
|          | 10 motivations  |
|          | 11 attitudes  |
|          | 12 interests  |
|          | 13 MeSH descriptor: [Motivation] explode all trees  |
|          | 14 MeSH descriptor: [Perception] explode all trees  |
|          | 15 MeSH descriptor: [Attitude] explode all trees  |
|          | 16 #9 or #10 or #11 or #12 or #13 or #14 or #15  |
|          | 17 career  |
|          | 18 career choice  |
|          | 19 MeSH descriptor: [Career Choice] explode all trees  |
|          | 20 #17 or #18 or #19  |
|          | 21 physician scientist  |
|          | 22 physician researcher  |
|          | 23 #21 or #22  |
|          | 24 #3 or #7  |
|          | 25 #24 and #16  |
|          | 26 #20 and #25  |
|          | 27 #25 and #26  |
|          | 28 #8 and #16  |
|          | 29 #20 and #28  |
|          | 30 #29 and #23  |
|          | 31 career  |
|          | 32 MeSH descriptor: [Research] explode all trees  |
|          | 33 #31 or #32  |
|          | 34 #30 and #33 Publication Year from 2007 to 2017  |