Homologous Accelerated Electron Beams, a Quasiperiodic Fast-propagating Wave, and a Coronal Mass Ejection Observed in One Fan-spine Jet
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Abstract

Using imaging and radio multi-wavelength observations, we studied the origin of two homologous accelerated electron beams and a quasiperiodic fast-propagating (QFP) wave train associated with a solar jet on 2012 July 14. The jet occurred in a small-scale fan-spine magnetic system embedded in a large-scale pseudostreamer associated with a GOES C1.4 flare, a jet-like coronal mass ejection (CME), a type II radio burst, and a type III radio burst. During the initial stage, a QFP wave train and a fast-moving on-disk radio source were detected in succession ahead of the jet along the outer spine of the fan-spine system. When the jet reached a height of about 1.3 solar radii, it underwent a bifurcation into two branches. Based on our analysis results, all the observed phenomena in association with the jet can be explained by using a fan-spine magnetic system. We propose that both the type III radio burst and the on-disk fast-moving radio source were caused by the same physical process, i.e., energetic electrons accelerated by magnetic reconnection at the null point, and these energetic electrons were propagating along the open field lines of the pseudostreamer and the closed outer spine of the fan-spine structure, respectively. Due to the bifurcation of the jet body, the lower branch along the closed outer spine of the fan-spine structure fell back to the solar surface, while the upper branch along the open field lines of the pseudostreamer caused the jet-like CME in the outer corona.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Solar activity (1475); Solar coronal waves (1995); Solar magnetic reconnection (1504); Solar radio emission (1522)
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1. Introduction

Solar jets represent collimated transient plasma ejections along magnetic field lines in the solar atmosphere. These ubiquitous transient events are frequently detected at Hα, ultraviolet, extreme ultraviolet (EUV), and X-ray wave bands, and they have received great attention due to their potential role in providing significant mass and energy input into the upper atmosphere and the solar wind (Shen 2021). A consensus has been reached in recent years that the formation of solar jets is closely related to the magnetic reconnection process. Observations indicate that solar jets often occur in mixed-polarity regions, and both flux emergence and flux cancellation, which are important for triggering solar jets (e.g., Jiang et al. 2007; Panesar et al. 2017; Yang et al. 2019a, 2019b; Tang et al. 2021). High spatiotemporal resolution observations have revealed that solar jets are always accompanied by flaring bases manifesting as brightened points (or patches), which include key information about their formation. Focusing on the morphological evolution in time using X-ray observations, Moore et al. (2010) first proposed that one-third of coronal jets could be recognized as blowout jets whose eruption included the complete ejection of the cool and shear core fields and resembled the eruptions of large-scale coronal mass ejections (CMEs). Shen et al. (2012b) directly observed in Hα images that the cool ejecting shear core is actually a mini-filament whose eruption directly forms the cool component in the subsequent jet body. Occasionally, some strong mini-filament-driven blowout jets can result in narrow white-light jets (or narrow CMEs) (e.g., Hong et al. 2011; Shen et al. 2012b; Duan et al. 2019). In recent years, many high-resolution EUV observations with the aid of magnetic extrapolation have revealed that the basic three-dimensional magnetic topology of solar jets is the so-called fan-spine topology, which consists of a dome-shaped fan and inner and outer spines passing through a three-dimensional null point (e.g., Masson et al. 2009; Pariat et al. 2009; Török et al. 2009; Wang & Liu 2012; Shen et al. 2019a; Yang et al. 2020; Zhou et al. 2021). Such a magnetic configuration often builds up as a magnetic polarity intrudes into a dominate opposite-polarity region. Sometimes, small-scale filaments or sheared core fields form and erupt under the fan dome due to continual photospheric rotation/shear motions and flux cancellation (e.g., Panesar et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2018, 2020), which subsequently results in null-point reconnection and the formation of solar jets.

Many numerical simulations conducted in parametric studies on solar jets under a fan-spine magnetic system (e.g., Pariat et al. 2015, 2016; Wyper et al. 2017) have successfully reproduced their main observed properties, including plasma ejection, helical jet morphology, and so on. In particular, several recent simulation works highlight that the null point in fan-spine magnetic systems is a favorable site for magnetic reconnection and related electron acceleration (e.g., Masson et al. 2013, 2019). Observationally, type III radio bursts are useful to diagnose the outward-propagating accelerated electrons associated with solar jets because the open field lines of
the latter provide a natural path for the former to escape into the interplanetary space at semi-relativistic speeds (e.g., Hong et al. 2017; Shen et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2021). For confined fan-spine jets where their outer spines are closed field lines rooting on the solar surface (Shen 2021), the accelerated electron beams should be trapped in the closed outer spine field lines. So far, direct observation of the electron acceleration site and transport process in solar jets is still not an easy task, and the acceleration mechanism is also unclear, although various candidate mechanisms involving the magnetic reconnection process have been proposed in previous articles (e.g., Krucker et al. 2011; Glesener et al. 2012). Recently, Chen et al. (2018) found that the electron beams were originated from an extremely compact region located behind the erupting jet spire but above the closed arcades, coinciding with the site of the null point. This result suggests that the acceleration of the observed electron beams probably took place in the null-point reconnection.

Quasi-periodic fast-propagating (QFP) wave trains are one new type of EUV waves first observed by SDO/AIA (Liu et al. 2011). Compared with the “single-pulse” EUV waves or Morton waves that freely propagate in very wide angular extents to greater distances across the solar disk, QFP waves are usually characterized as a series of arc-shaped, multi-wavefronts of EUV emission variation and more often travel along funnel-shaped coronal loops with a high speed of 500–20,000 km s\(^{-1}\) (Liu & Ofman 2014; Shen et al. 2018b). These unique observed properties of QFP waves are thought to be strong evidence of quasi-periodic fast-mode magnetosonic waves (Ofman et al. 2011; Liu & Ofman 2014). Generally, the generation of a QFP wave train in the corona should be diversified, but it is most likely in association with mechanisms of pulsed energy release in magnetic reconnections and the dispersive evolution of an impulsively broadband perturbation (Shen et al. 2021b; Zhou et al. 2021). The leakage of photospheric and chromospheric oscillation into the corona (Shen & Liu 2012), the untwisting motions of helical threads consisting of filaments, and CMEs are both thought of as candidate drivers of QFP wave trains (Miao et al. 2019; Shen et al. 2019b). Of particular note is that there is evidence of the simultaneous occurrence of periodic radio bursts and QFP wave trains in solar flares triggering in fan-spine magnetic systems (Mészárosová et al. 2013; Kumar et al. 2017). This naturally implies that null-point reconnection in fan-spine systems may also launch QFP wave trains. However, the detailed physical relationship between null-point reconnection and the associated QFP wave train is still an open question.

In this paper, we study a solar EUV jet that occurred on 2012 July 14 in a fan-spine magnetic system hosted by a large-scale pseudostreamer. Various phenomena including a QFP wave train, a fast-moving on-disk radio source, a narrow CME, a type II radio burst, and a type III radio burst are observed to be associated with the jet. Based on our multi-wavelength observational analysis, we attempt to explain all these jet-related phenomena within the framework of fan-spine magnetic topology.

2. Results

2.1. Pre-eruption Magnetic Condition and the Eruption

Figure 1 presents the overview of the solar jet of interest. The Potential Field Source Surface (PFSS; Schrijver & De Rosa 2003) technique provided by the standard SolarSoftWare package is used to analyze the global three-dimensional (3D) magnetic field configuration of the eruptive region. The extrapolated results in Figures 1(a) and (d) are obtained from the same global PFSS extrapolation, whose bottom boundary corresponds to a synoptic HMI magnetic magnetogram at 06:00 UT. It is clear that the jet took place at the south edge of a larger-scale pseudostreamer. In an AIA 304 Å imaging observation, this jet had a relatively broad spire and its triggering process involved the eruption of a small filament (see Figure 1(b)). This suggests that the observed jet was a blowout jet as proposed in Moore et al. (2010). The eruption source region was composed of a positive polarity surrounded by negative background magnetic polarities, and with a mini-filament lying along the west polarity inversion line (see Figure 1(c)). Such a magnetic condition provides a desirable condition to breed a fan-spine topology. As revealed by the closed-in extrapolated result in Figure 1(d), the fan-spine structure was embedded in the large-scale pseudostreamer, together comprising a unique coupling magnetic system. To better characterize the three-dimensional magnetic field of the small-scale fan-spine structure, we took the line-of-sight HMI magnetogram \((xrange = [475,700], yrange = [63,360])\) at 08:22 UT as the bottom boundary and performed a new local potential field extrapolation with NLFFF code provided by SolarSoftWare (SSW). The local extrapolation is performed within the cubic box of \(376 \times 496 \times 201\) grid points with \(\Delta x = \Delta y = \Delta z = 0.5\), and the result projected onto an AIA 1600 Å image (see Figure 1(d1)) in which the red lines outline its skeleton and the null-point position is found to appear around 5.05 Mm above the photosphere (as marked by the white arrow). During the eruption of the solar jet, the footpoints of the fan surface coincide well with an AIA 1600 Å circular ribbon in Figure 1(d1). This agree well with the three-dimensional reconnection nature in a fan-spine magnetic configuration (e.g., Janvier et al. 2016). Of particular note is that the outer spine lines of the small-scale fan-spine structure in Figure 1(d) bifurcate themselves into two parts: green lines are open to the interplanetary space, while white lines are confined to a remote region.

Figure 2 shows the mini-filament eruption and the flare ribbons in the eruption source region with AIA 304 and 1600 Å images, respectively. The eruption of the mini-filament underwent a short, slow, rising phase from about 09:02 UT to 09:07 UT; after that, it accelerated and erupted violently (see Figures 2(a1–a4)). A time–distance made along the white arrow in Figure 2(a1) is plotted in Figure 2(c), which clearly displays the slow and fast eruption phase of the mini-filament. By tracking specific observed features of the erupting filament (the dark streak) and the subsequent jet plasma ejection (the bright streak) in Figure 2(c), we find that the projected velocity during the two phases was about \(6.7 \pm 1.8\) km s\(^{-1}\) and \(37.9 \pm 8.1\) km s\(^{-1}\), respectively. Their speeds (errors) are given by one average value (standard derivation) of five different measurements. In addition, we also find that the acceleration of the filament eruption was about \(0.22\) km s\(^{-2}\) by fitting the filament trajectory with a quadratic function. The eruption was accompanied by a GOES C1.4 flare whose start, peak, and end times were at 09:08 UT, 09:13 UT, and 09:30 UT, respectively (see Figure 5(a1)). In the AIA 1600 Å images, one can clearly identify the formation of an inner bright patch surrounded by a circular ribbon that respectively manifested the footprint.
locations of the inner spine and the fan structure of the fan-spine system (also see Figure 1(d1)). At 09:15 UT when the circular ribbon faded out, two elongated, conjugated flare ribbons appeared at both sides of the mini-filament (see Figures 2(b1–b4)). According to the initial magnetic field environment of the present event, these eruption features and temporal relations among them suggest that the rising mini-filament first triggered the magnetic reconnection around the null point of the fan-spine system, then the electron beams were accelerated in the reconnection streamed downward along the field lines of the inner spine and fan structure and impacted upon the low-altitude atmosphere to cause the inner bright patch and the circular ribbon, respectively (e.g., Pallister & Wyper 2021). In the meantime, the null point reconnection also successively removes the confinement field of the slow-rising mini-filament; such positive feedback finally leads to the violent eruption of the mini-filament and the formation of two elongated ribbons.

2.2. QFP Wave Train and CME

At the launch of the EUV jet, an EUV wave train was detected ahead of the jet spire and eventually propagated to the north solar limb. This wave train can be best identified in AIA 171 Å passbands. More interestingly, the wave trains demonstrated a multiple wave front nature and maintained an arc shape during their propagation (see running difference images in Figures 3(c1)–(c4)) and the animation). These observed features are distinguished from those freely propagating EUV waves and Morton waves, thus better qualifying a QFP wave train. To better describe the temporal and spatial relationship between the wave train and the EUV jet, a spacetime plot is made along the blue dotted lines in
Figure 3. In Figure 3(a), it can be seen that the wave train first appeared at about 09:14 UT, which is around 5 minutes after the launch of the EUV jet (09:09 UT). Moreover, the wave train was observed at a spatial distance of about 400 Mm away from the flare source region. The velocity of the EUV jet and the wave train were 360 km s\(^{-1}\) and 538 km s\(^{-1}\), respectively. The kinematics of the wave train were measured along a cut of a sector in Figure 3(a). This sector is a function of distance measured from the wave along the longitudinal great circle by averaging pixels in the latitudinal direction, correcting the inherent sphericity of the solar surface (e.g., Liu et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2020). The corrected velocity of the wave train is derived at 719 km s\(^{-1}\) and it propagated up to a distance of 250 Mm from 09:14 UT to 09:20 UT. For the EUV jet, its projection speed of the jet on the solar disk is derived around 360 km s\(^{-1}\) based on the AIA observations. To minimize its projection effect, we re-estimated the propagation velocity of the EUV jet in the STEREO-A view along the dashed blue curve in Figure 4(a1). As a result, we found that the speed of the EUV jet was about 425 km s\(^{-1}\). These observed features indicate that the generation of a QFP wave train is likely related to the launch of the EUV jet.

Figure 2. Panels (a1)–(a4): AIA 304 Å sequence images show the eruptive process of the mini-filament. The green arrow points to the filament in panel (a1). Panels (b1)–(b4): time sequence of AIA 1600 Å images demonstrate the flare ribbon variations during the eruptive process. The blue arrows in panel (b2) indicate a semicircular flaring feature. The blue and red contours in panel (b3) are negative and positive magnetic fields of the HMI LOS magnetogram scaled at ±100G, respectively. Panel (c): the time–distance plot along the white arrow in panel (a1). The dark horizontal streak around 10 Mm corresponds to the rising filament body, while bright vertical streaks near 09:08 UT correspond to plasma ejections in the solar jet. These two features are used to roughly derive the projected velocity in the dynamic analysis in Section 2.1.
Figure 3. Panel (b) shows the time–distance plot along the blue curved arrow in panel (a), and the white dotted line denotes the start time of the jet. The black fan shape in panel (a) denotes the sector we used to measure the modified propagation velocity of the wave train. Panels (c1)–(c4): time sequence of AIA 171 Å running difference images show the relationship between the jet and the QFP wave train. The yellow (cyan) arrows denote the wave train (jet), and the green circles indicate the eruptive source region. Panel (d): AIA 304 Å image is overlaid with the extrapolated PFSS field lines, and the three different color contours represent NRH radio sources at different times (also see Figures 5(b1)–(b3)). An animation of panel (a) is available. The animation covers 09:05 UT – 09:20 UT with a 12 s cadence. The animation duration is 1 s. (An animation of this figure is available.)

Figure 4(d)), we found that there are indeed two different systems of magnetic field lines, i.e., the green open and the white closed lines. As this jet bifurcation proceeded, a portion of jet material was confined by the closed field lines and finally impacted the solar surface, resulting in an EUV brightening, which might be located near the remote footpoint of the outer spine of the fan-spine system. The brightening reached the remote solar surface at 09:25 UT (see Figure 4(a4)). Assuming that it launched with the EUV jet, we can roughly estimate the propagation speed of the EUV brightening to be about 906 km s$^{-1}$, which seems to be comparative to the projected speed of a QFP wave train (719 km s$^{-1}$). However, the rest of the jet material ejected to the high corona along open field lines of the pseudostreamer, as denoted by black arrows in EUVI 304 Å images (see Figure 4(a3)). The lower and the upper branch projection speeds were about 425 and 328 km s$^{-1}$, respectively. The caveat is that these rough speeds suffer from inevitable observational errors because only a few EUVI data points are available for our speed measurement. Similar to previous observations (e.g., Wang et al. 1998; Nisticò et al. 2009; Duan et al. 2019; Joshi et al. 2020; Yang et al. 2020), as the jet flow ejected along the open flux, a narrow jet-like CME was detected in the FOV of STEREO-A COR1 white-light observations (see Figures 4(b)) and LASCO/C2 (c), with an angular width of about 15°. It is measured that speeds of the CMEs observed by STEREO-A COR1 and LASCO/C2 were about 540 and 561 km s$^{-1}$, respectively.

2.3. Radio Signatures

At the very beginning of the fan-spine jet (during 09:08:51–09:09:56 UT), a fast-moving radio source was detected by the full-disk imaging radio observation from NRH at 150 MHz. As shown in Figures 5(b1)–(b3), the radio source appeared first near the jet base at 09:08:51 UT, and then it rapidly moved to the northwest of the solar disk like the observed jet and the QFP wave train (see the right column of Figures 5 and 3(d)). Combined with the global extrapolated coronal field from the PFSS model, one can note that the propagating path of the moving radio sources most likely along the close coronal field lines (see Figure 3(d)). Different from the relative stationary radio sources that are observed during large-scale solar eruptions (e.g., Carley et al. 2020; Chen et al. 2021), the fast-moving on-disk radio source had a speed in the range of 4146 to 8414 km s$^{-1}$ (i.e., ∼0.0138c – 0.028c) and a height of about 1.46 solar radii above the solar surface. At nearly the same time (09:08 UT), an interplanetary type III burst was also detected by the NDA and WIND/WAVES (see Figures 5(a2) and (a3)), which indicates the appearance of accelerated electrons along the open field lines of the pseudostreamer. Based on the coronal plasma density model presented by Sittler & Guhathakurta (1999), we derived a speed of the type III radio burst in the range of 693–101,385 km s$^{-1}$ (i.e., 0.01c – 0.3c). These observational characteristics and their intimate temporal relationships might suggest that both the type III radio burst and the simultaneous on-disk fast-moving radio source were caused by the accelerated electrons produced in the null-point reconnection (09:07–09:09 UT) but they were along different magnetic field lines. Specifically, those electrons along the open field lines of the pseudostreamer resulted in the type III radio burst, while those along the closed outer spine of the fan-spine system caused the on-disk fast-moving radio source.

In addition, a type II radio burst was also observed right after the type III radio burst at about 09:11 UT (see Figure 5(a2)). Generally, a type II radio burst is thought to be caused by
interplanetary shocks. Based on the coronal plasma density model presented by Sittler & Guhathakurta (1999), we estimated that the height and the speed of the shock were about 1.57–1.68 solar radii and 286 km s$^{-1}$, respectively. In addition, by measuring the band-split distance of the type II radio burst, we found that the density compression ratio of the shock was about 1.57 and the local Alfvén speed was about 1.32 and 240–260 km s$^{-1}$, respectively.

3. Discussion and Conclusion

The chronological order and the associated physical parameters of the eruption features described are listed in Table 1, which can help us to clarify the temporal and spatial relationships among them. In combination with the extrapolated coronal magnetic field as presented in Figure 1, we propose that all the observed eruption features can be explained by a fan-spine magnetic system embedded in a large-scale pseudostreamer. The following is the physical picture of the eruption. The low-lying mini-filament below the fan structure first starts to rise slowly for some reason (e.g., magnetic emergence or cancellations), which will squeeze the overlying magnetic fields and lead to the formation of a current sheet around the coronal null point (Wyper et al. 2017). The magnetic reconnection within the current sheet not only removes the confining magnetic field of the mini-filament but also accelerates electrons (Chen et al. 2018). Subsequently, the downward-accelerated electrons result in the formation of the inner bright patch and the circular ribbon (see Figure 2(b3)), while those upward ones along the open field lines of the pseudostreamer and the closed field lines of the outer spine of the fan-spine system result in the formation of the observed type III radio burst and the on-disk fast-moving radio source. Due to the continuous reduction of the confinement magnetic field overlying the mini-filament, the slow-rising mini-filament loses its equilibrium completely and therefore erupts violently after the appearance of the type III radio burst, the on-disk fast-moving radio source, the inner bright patch, and the circular ribbon. The eruption of the mini-filament can be interpreted by classical filament eruption models (Shibata & Magara 2011) in which the reconnection between the two legs of the confining magnetic field lines underneath the filament can naturally result in two observed elongated ribbons. Due to the bifurcation of the jet body at a higher altitude, the upper and lower branches, respectively, caused the formation of the narrow CME in the outer corona and the QFP wave train along the closed outer spine of the fan-spine system.

Soar jets are frequently observed in the special fan-spine magnetic systems and are closely associated with the magnetic reconnection process. For some large-scale fan-spine systems whose outer spines extend into interplanetary space, they naturally provide an avenue for energetic electrons accelerated in the magnetic reconnection process to escape into interplanetary space and therefore lead to the formation of type III radio bursts in radio spectra (Masson et al. 2013; Kumar et al. 2017; Masson et al. 2019; Shen 2021). Many previous observations also evidenced that type III radio bursts and impulsive energetic electron events are typically associated with solar jets (e.g., Wang et al. 2012; Carley et al. 2016; Hong et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2018; Mulay et al. 2019; Zhang et al. 2021). For solar jets occurring in relatively small-scale fan-spine systems in which the remote footpoints of the outer spines can be identified on the solar surface, to the best of our knowledge, so far, the signatures of accelerated electrons have not yet been detected in the closed outer spines. Therefore, the present observation of the on-disk moving radio source presented the first observation of accelerated electrons moving along the closed outer spine of a small-scale fan-spine magnetic
system. In addition, based on the close temporal relationship between the type III radio burst and the on-disk fast-moving radio source, we proposed that they were homogenous electrons accelerated by the same physical process (i.e., the null-point reconnection) but propagated along the open field lines of the pseudostreamer and the closed outer spine of the fan-spine system, respectively. The observation of the homogenous accelerated electrons along with different magnetic field systems also suggests that the open field lines of the pseudostreamer surrounding the null point of the fan-spine system also took part in the null-point reconnection beside the field lines of the fan-spine system itself. More similar observations and theoretical studies are desirable in the future to clarify the true physical process proposed in the present study.

The generation of the QFP wave train previously has been attributed to the dispersive evolution of a broadband perturbation and the pulsed energy release in association with the magnetic reconnection process (Liu & Ofman 2014; Shen et al. 2021b). In the present event, the first appearing position of the QFP wave train was near the solar limb and was greater than 400 Mm from the flare epicenter, which is much longer than those reported in previous studies (Shen et al. 2021b). It remains unclear why such an obvious wave train does not appear at an early time, but we suggest that the detection of the QFP wave train might need to satisfy some specific conditions such as strong emission amplitudes of wave fronts, a right observed direction, and even a good enough instrument sensitivity. In addition, the period of the wave train is also different from that of the flare. Therefore, it is hard to directly account for the generation of the QFP wave train in terms of the two mechanisms for a QFP wave train. Interestingly, we found that the QFP wave train appeared ahead of the jet spire and propagated along the same trajectory with the jet (Shen et al. 2018a). More importantly, the first appearing time of the wave train delayed the start of the jet about 5 minutes, and its maximum speed \(719 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) is comparable to the typical coronal Alfvén speed, which was much faster than the jet spire. These observed features indicate that the generation of a QFP wave train is more likely related to the launch of the solar jet.

Recently, Shen et al. (2018a) first reported that single-pulsed EUV waves can be directly launched by coronal jets in coronal loops. Such an excitation mechanism is similar to the generation of piston shocks in a one-dimensional tube, in which the jet acted as the driver of the wave train that can propagate faster than its driver (Warmuth 2015; Ying et al. 2018; Maguire et al. 2021). According to the piston shock scenario, our present QFP wave train could be driven by the jet.
ejection, in which two QFP wave fronts might be related to unresolved discrete fine structures consisting of the jet body, such as unwinding jet spire (e.g., Shen et al. 2011). By contrast, our current observations demonstrate several close resemblances with the three-dimensional simulation results of jet-like eruptive events from Pariat et al. (2016). Their simulations suggested that the generation of jet-like eruptions in a low plasma-beta condition simultaneously associate with a non-linear torsional Alfvén wave front and a bulk of compressed plasma flows. The wave front propagates at a near local-Alven speed and is driven by the Lorentz force due to reconnection among open and closed field lines near a null point. The compressed plasma flows, namely the observed jet, are accelerated by the passage of the high-speed wave front and then propagate along a same trajectory trailing the wave front with a lower speed. Such a spatiotemporal relationship between the Alfvén wave front and the jet is quite similar to what we observed here, except that the QFP wave train ahead of the present jet should correspond to a fast-mode magnetosonic wave train. If such an identical jet generation mechanism occurs in our current case, unobservable, nonlinear, torsional Alfvén waves ahead of the present jet might result in the QFP wave train via some yet-to-be-determined MHD wave mode conversion and/or amplification processes. Further observational and theoretical works are needed to validate/exclude these two possible scenarios.

In addition, some recent studies proposed that the association between type II radio bursts and EUV waves (or shocks) do not require similar speeds of them, since the speed inconsistency might be attributed to different propagating directions (and/or height) in real eruptions (Klassen et al. 2000; Fulara & Kwon 2021). However, it is still hard to associate the on-disk propagating wave train with the type II radio burst since they showed not only different speeds but also different start times (see Table 1). Aside from this, since the type II radio burst occurred after the jet, it might be associated with a shock in the radial direction launched during the initial stage of the jet.
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