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I. INTRODUCTION

Omics measurements involve the identification and quantification of biomolecules with the overall aim of inferring the physiological state of an organism based on molecular type, location, and any change in abundance. Molecular-based omics can be subcategorized into genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics, all of which provide valuable information toward understanding the complete biotic state of biological systems. In the fields of proteomics and metabolomics, mass spectrometry (MS) has been invaluable as these methods are ubiquitously employed for bulk characterization of proteins and metabolites extracted from homogenized tissue and cell lysates.

Innovations in MS have resulted in an explosion of discoveries and technological advancements within these omic fields over the last two decades and have allowed the scientific community to provide answers to complex biological questions, including how protein expression regulates the circadian clock and cell signal transduction, as well as enable the development of tools to artificially evolve proteins or target specific gene sequences for modification.

The biological and bioanalytical chemistry research communities have increasingly set their sights on adapting MS-based proteomics and metabolomics to the single cell scale. Single cell omics differs from bulk omics in that analysis and/or extraction of nucleic acids, proteins, and metabolites is constrained and targeted to singular cells within a tissue or a culture, the benefit of which is that intercellular differences can be measured, allowing for the visualization of discrete populations of cells based on their physiological states (Figure 1). Single cell scales are also necessary to elucidate changes in cell biochemistry that occur in the early stages of a disease, for example, which might otherwise be unresolvable by conventional bulk-based measurements. For this reason, single cell omics is increasingly applied to decipher the degree of biochemical differences between cells within a tissue to understand phenomena such as immune cell plasticity, microbial resistance, and cellular dysregulation.

There are substantial challenges in moving from bulk and multicell analyses to single cell analyses. To begin, eukaryotic cells, for example, exhibit a significant range in size (∼500 nm to >1 cm; Figure 2) across kingdoms (e.g., planta, animalia, fungi...).
This means that measurements of biomolecules using probes such as lasers or ion beams must be able to sample across these size scales (Figure 2). Another challenge is that cells contain a wide variety of molecules, all with different abundances ranging from millimolar to subattomolar concentrations. These attributes matter particularly at small size scales, where math begins to dominate in that extraction and ionization probabilities of each molecule clash with the reduction in the available molecules that can be measured. It is also worth noting that eukaryotes present an easier analytical challenge when compared to prokaryotes and archaea, whose smaller sizes (<100 nm to <20 μm) further compound sensitivity issues. This, in part, is the reason minimal molecular-based single cell proteomics and metabolomics research has been performed for these kingdoms in comparison to eukaryotes. Finally, the speed of analysis remains another challenge for single cell omics. Cellular level sampling undoubtedly multiplies the number of data points in an experiment, as multiple points (e.g., pixels) in a sample are analyzed, and furthermore, the speed of any extraction processes, digestion steps, and molecular identification further amplifies this issue.

Mass spectrometry imaging (MSI) has been an invaluable technique for single cell biochemical analysis. There are numerous MSI techniques (Figure 2), each with their own unique benefits and limitations for single cell analysis. MSI methods essentially employ an analytical probe (e.g., ion beam, laser, or solvent junction) capable of in situ endogenous chemical desorption and/or ionization. There are numerous historical examples of single cell MSI studies involving analysis of tissue mounted substrates by focused ion beam techniques (e.g., secondary ion mass spectrometry; SIMS). However,
these examples are limited in their analytical throughput and molecular coverage, and to complicate the matter, primary ion beams tend to produce high degrees of molecular fragmentation that make data analysis nontrivial. Ideally, single cell omics methods allow for intact, sensitive, rapid molecular characterization, in combination with a thorough understanding of how measurement techniques affect molecular ionization yields and fragmentation.

Significant advancements have occurred in MSI and spatially resolved MS-analysis, as a whole, over the past decade, and many of these have focused on enabling spatial proteomics and metabolomics on a single cell scale. Advances in single cell metabolomics have allowed for the interrogation and classification of bioactive small molecules (e.g., sugars, fatty acids, amino acids, etc.), drugs, and lipids through improvements in sensitivity and spatial resolution limits, whereas innovative approaches have been used to push the spatial limits and automate single cell proteomics. Increasingly, new detectors and separation methods are being coupled to study the metabolome and proteome for high spatial resolution analysis at the single cell scale, and the benefit of which is improvements in mass resolution, tandem mass spectrometry (MS²), or orthogonal measurements of analytes, such as their collisional cross sections. In addition, efforts toward rapid analyte assignment through fragmentation prediction, and hyperspectral data analysis have coalesced with new detector technologies and sampling methods that has improved the ability to probe metabolite and protein classes at the single cell scale.

In this Perspective, we review the recent advancements in MS-based single cell omics over roughly the past decade—focusing on the proteome and metabolome—to address the challenges yet unmet, illustrate the state of the field as a whole, and attempt to present an outlook on the future. In short, we will aim to answer the question: how far can we go toward rapid, automated, sensitive, single cell scale sampling?

Applications for Single Cell Proteomics and Metabolomics. The development of probe-based MS for biological molecular imaging has led to a wealth of knowledge and insight into the spatial distribution of various molecules for a better understanding of physiological processes. The high chemical specificity and sensitivity, along with the critical spatial information obtained within these experiments, makes spatially resolved MS a technique of increasing interest for single cell omics. In single cell omics, one must first consider the sensitivity limitations related to the nature of the sample itself, wherein a single cell contains a diverse population of molecules (e.g., carbohydrates, lipids, proteins) that vary greatly in their natural abundances. The abundance of a protein molecule can range from a single molecule to a few million copies per cell, and this consequently directly affects what can be measured in a single cell. For example, the calculations from McDonnell et al. suggested a peptide molecule would require a concentration in the micromolar (μM) range in order to be detected in a single cell, assuming an attomole limit of detection. This effectively restricts the detection of the majority of the molecules present in a single cell to only the most abundant ones due to ion competition during ionization and detection. This is one reason why metabolites and lipids are often measured in untargeted single cell experiments. The second major challenge regarding sensitivity in high-spatial resolution MS experiments is associated with the fact that increasing lateral resolution reduces the analysis area (volume) or pixel (voxel, respectively) size, thus reducing the amount of material available for analysis. In spite of these challenges, single cell MS analysis has been achieved in a variety of organisms for numerous applications, which include measuring dynamic cellular biosynthesis, activation or inhibition of signaling pathways, metabolic processes in diseased states, new natural products, chemical defense mechanisms, and toxicological risk assessments.

Various metabolomic and proteomic MS approaches have demonstrated the potential of obtaining a vast knowledge about mammalian cell processes, but with the addition of a spatial dimension due to the increasing interest in developing single cell profiling tools this knowledge base will likely continue to grow. The reason metabolomic and proteomic profile characterization is of such great interest is they help predict differing cell types that could be associated with molecular changes due to different perturbations of interest. One example comes from Jansson et al., who used a microscopy-guided single cell matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI)-MS approach to determine the single cell heterogeneity of islets of Langerhans. Additionally, the use of MALDI-2, Bowman et al. demonstrated rich lipid spectra from mouse and human brain tissue containing multiple sclerosis lesions at approximately 6 μm spatial resolution. These studies are some examples that demonstrate the utility of single cell MSI for the investigation of mammalian cells, and further examples will be discussed in detail later.

Single cell MS techniques have also been adopted by plant biologists in effort to help elucidate primary metabolism, natural products, and plant defense pathways, for example. The vast molecular differences between the leaf, stem, and root are even more apparent through the lens of single cell analyses. Individual plant cells can range from ~10−100 μm in size, which in part makes single cell analysis more readily attainable than in mammalian cells. However, special consideration with regard to the cell wall must be considered, as this can introduce unique challenges into the analysis of plant cells. Specifically, the outside of the plant plasma membrane is completely coated with arranged layers of cellulose microfibers embedded in a matrix that composes a plant cell wall, which can be up to 0.2 μm thick. However, this also contributes to the rigidity of the plant, which ultimately makes plant cells often easier to handle for single cell MS experiments. As an example, Korte et al. used a modified MALDI-Orbitrap-MS instrument for single plant cell analysis, imaging juvenile maize leaves at a 5 μm spatial resolution. This permitted the mapping of a variety of metabolites ranging from amino acids, glycerolipids, and defense-related compounds at below single cell resolution. Stopka et al. also utilized an optical fiber-based laser ablation electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (f-LAESI-MS) technique to target specific cell types within Egeria densa leaf blade cells. Primary metabolites such as malate, asparate, and ascorbate were found at higher levels in epidermal cells, while lipids and triterpene saponins were found in idioblast cells. These two techniques only scrape the surface of how single cell MS has been applied to plant cells. More examples of single cell plant analysis can be found elsewhere and will be discussed further in this review.

Understanding the function of microbial communities is of major interest, yet they remain a challenge largely due to their enormous diversity and the complexity of interactions between...
community members. As single cell MS technologies have advanced, high lateral resolution molecular analysis of bacteria and fungi is now within reach. However, single cells of bacteria and fungi are inherently smaller in size than mammalian and plant cells, and they contain certain properties that can make high spatial resolution molecular-based MS measurements difficult. Specifically, bacteria typically range in size from approximately 0.2 to 5 \( \mu \)m, whereas fungi can range from 2 to 10 \( \mu \)m with fungal hyphal-cell bundles reaching 5–50 \( \mu \)m (Figure 2). Other challenges persist with these types of organisms, where fungal cells, for example, are mostly made up of chitin that is difficult to breakdown to access intercellular metabolites and proteins. Additionally, diatoms, a single celled alga, contain a cell wall consisting of silica, which makes their analysis extremely difficult for the same reason. Nonetheless, high-lateral resolution secondary ion mass spectrometry (NanoSIMS) has provided insights into these microorganisms. NanoSIMS can achieve spatial resolutions...
down to 30 nm allowing for microbes to be imaged. Schoffelen et al. utilized NanoSIMS for single cell imaging of phosphorus uptake within algae. Additionally, Zimmerman and co-workers used a combination of flow cell sorting and NanoSIMS to determine phenotypic heterogeneity of chlorobium phaeobacteroides. Single cell MS as a field has grown immensely over the past decade. Technologies such as MALDI-2, NanoSIMS, multiplexed ion beam imaging by time-of-flight MS (MIBI-TOF), and many more have allowed for a continual growth in research to be conducted on a wide variety of organisms and cell types. As researchers continue to push the boundaries, single cell MS is likely to become a mainstay and an essential piece of research as we continue to strive to elucidate metabolic functions in vivo.

II. ADVANCES IN SINGLE-CELL MS ANALYSES

Single Cell Proteomics. Elucidating the spatial distribution of proteins at the cellular and the subcellular level, as well as having the ability to capture ever-changing protein dynamics within a cell, are vital for a complete understanding of the underlying biology of a system from immune response to cellular stress, for example. Genome amplification and sequencing techniques commonly perform single cell analyses and have been shown to resolve rare cell populations and interrogate specific cells and substructures of interest within heterogeneous clinical tissues. However, genomic and transcriptomic technologies only provide indirect measurements of cellular states, as these are constantly changing in response to cellular stress. The relationship between protein abundance and transcript abundance is complex and dependent on the experimental context, which challenges biological interpretation. In-depth proteomic measurements provide a more direct characterization of phenotypes and are therefore crucial for understanding cellular functions and regulatory networks. Furthermore, MS-based proteomics measurements have the potential to identify post-translational modifications (PTMs), providing insights not available through genomics measurements. Single cell proteomics by MS, therefore, promises to revolutionize our understanding of cellular biology.

Single cell proteomics approaches that utilize immunohistochemistry (IHC) methods—bedrocks of clinical diagnostics and basic research—have thus far demonstrated the highest lateral resolution. Fluorescently labeled antibodies used for the simultaneous detection of multiple targets with optical microscopy and spectrometric tools are ubiquitous, but these techniques have their limitations. In particular, the lateral resolution of conventional optical microscopy is diffraction limited, which constrains the lateral resolution of these measurements. Another key challenge in IHC is the availability of high-quality, thoroughly validated antibodies.

Multiplexing fluorescent labeled IHC tags is possible, but there is a need for primary antibodies to be highly selective to the targeted protein (i.e., have very minimal nonspecific interactions) and have nonoverlapping reporter emission spectra. Carefully matching fluorescent reporters is essential along with utilizing dichroic mirrors and band-pass filters to limit the overlapping emission spectra. Alternatively, metal-based IHC, like those used in mass cytometry approaches, readily enable the targeted detection of up to tens of protein markers from a sample by utilizing heavy metal antibody-bound reporter species. Furthermore, imaging mass cytometry and metal-based IHC using MSI has come to the forefront of spatially resolved single cell proteomics measurements. Fluidigm utilizes a laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma (LA-ICP)-MS approach for the detection of antibodies within single cells (i.e., imaging mass cytometry). Additionally, MIBI, developed by the Angelo lab (Figure 3), employs a primary ion probing technique with MS to image metal-tagged antibodies at subcellular resolution within clinical tissue sections. Both approaches have the capability of analyzing up to 100 targets simultaneously over a five-log dynamic range. Imaging mass cytometry and MIBI have frequently been utilized for the analysis of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue. FFPE preservation has been a common practice for clinical pathological analysis because of its ability to preserve tissue morphologies long-term. Because of this, large tissue repositories containing patient samples exist waiting for analysis. MIBI-TOF was recently used to simultaneously quantify 36 proteins at subcellular resolution in triple-negative breast cancer samples. In this study, spatial enrichment analysis showed immune mixed and compartmentalized tumors coinciding with the expression of proteins PD1, PD-L1, and IDO, which can be indicative of metastasis and poor prognosis, in a cell type and location specific manner. Wang et al. utilized the Fluidigm system to analyze human pancreas islets during the progression of type 1 diabetes. Using this system they were able to achieve 1 μm resolution to visualize an altered islets architecture and changes in protein expression levels during disease progression. However, utilization of this type of technology for single cell proteomics ultimately is inherently limited by the availability of high-quality antibody reagents—requiring a priori knowledge of the proteins being targeted for analysis—and a finite multiplexing capacity.

With the challenges of using IHC-based single cell MS in mind, untargeted, direct single cell measurements are also a common technique used for proteomics analysis. Historically, MALDI-MS was a common method of protein analysis, and only in the recent decade has it found broad applicability in metabolomics. The detection of peptides within individual cells was reported over two decades ago (in the year 2000). MALDI-MS offers many advantages for single cell proteomics, including good tolerance for salts, simple sample preparation, and atto mole detection limits with little sample consumption. A few early MALDI-MS-based studies revealed nerve peptide profiles in single neurons of invertebrates, as well as the discovery of many novel neurohormones in single invertebrate neurons. Imaging proteins with MALDI-MS presents a challenge when it comes to balancing throughput, sensitivity at high spatial resolution, molecular specificity, and identification. Spraggins et al. worked to address these issues by improving acquisition rates and the spatial resolution down to a single cell level, and they were able to spatially resolve and identify proteins directly associated with host immune response within specific lung tissue structures. Laser ablation electrospray ionization (LAESI) has also been used for the measurement of intact proteins, where Kiss et al. used a LAESI source combined with a hybrid ion-trap Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FTICR)-MS for the detection of intact proteins directly from a tissue using a top-down approach. These laser ablation-based spatially resolved proteomics techniques, however, provide limited molecular coverage of only a handful of proteins within an analysis.
While MALDI-MS analysis of proteins presents its own set of challenges, untargeted molecular separation-based MS techniques, using liquid chromatography (LC) and nano-electrospray ionization (ESI), have excelled in multi- and near single cell proteomics, in part, by providing broad molecular coverage. Currently, most LC–MS-based proteomic approaches require samples comprising of thousands of cells in order to provide in-depth protein profiling. However, through the use of laser capture microdissection (LCM), which permits targeting areas of interest within a tissue, or flow cytometry cell sorting of disrupted tissue, specific cellular populations can be isolated to provide comprehensive molecular coverage of their proteomes. The recent development of nanodroplet processing in one pot for trace samples (nanoPOTS) provides the ability to probe small to single cell populations in depth. NanoPOTS effectively enhances the efficiency and recovery of sample processing by downsizing preparation volumes to less than 200 nl to minimize surface losses. Using nanoPOTS combined with a differential tandem mass tag (TMT) labeling approach, over 1400 proteins were able to be quantified from 152 individual single cells. This finding demonstrates how this technology can be used for spatially resolved proteomic measurements, with broad molecular coverage, within clinical tissues of interest.

Even though LCM and flow cytometry provide reliable dissociative methods for single cell analysis, there remains a need for non-disruptive single cell analysis tools. The ability to carry out microsampling single cell proteomics experiments opens the door for studies that can directly probe the developmental processes in complex tissues or whole organisms. Within this arena, Xenopus laevis has been extensively used as a model system for microsampling single cell proteomic studies due to the large cell size and protein concentrations in the early stages of their development. Sun and co-workers were able to quantify 1400 protein groups in a single run using LC–MS from a single blastomere obtained from a 16-cell X. laevis embryo. Blastomere-to-blastomere heterogeneity was observed in 8-, 16-, 32-, and 50-cell embryos, indicating that comprehensive quantitative proteomics of this model organism can lead to valuable insights into cellular differentiation and organ development. Using optically guided in situ subcellular capillary microsampling and one-pot extraction and digestion method, they were able to identify between 750 and 800 protein groups in each cell stage by analyzing 5 ng of protein by capillary zone electrophoresis MS. As technologies continue to push the boundaries of single cell MS, more in-depth proteomic measurements will be able to aid in elucidating the critical roles of cellular functions.

**Single Cell Metabolomics.** Individual cells will exhibit a wide degree of molecular diversity across their population, therefore screening and identifying biomolecules over this expansive range is a significant challenge. In the case of metabolites (e.g., lipids, sugars, and organic acids), the number of identified molecules orders in the hundreds of thousands in mammals (according to the human metabolome database), and over 200,000 in the plant kingdom (determined from early calculations). Metabolite heterogeneity is a multifaceted problem for numerous reasons. To begin, lipids and polysaccharides, for example, act as membrane and cell wall supports in mammalian and plant cells, respectively, but the overall membrane composition can vary across cells of the same genus. This compounds the analytical challenge as the dynamic nature of metabolism can result in metabolic asymmetry. For these reasons, establishing powerful spatial MS tools to sample the metabolome on a cell-to-cell basis may provide the ability to answer critical questions such as the speed and degree of metabolite exchange that occurs in a cell population and, consequently, how this impacts metabolic asymmetry.

To date, MSI has been the most impactful tool for MS-based single cell metabolomics. MSI methods have primarily involved probes including ion beams, lasers, or solvent junctions for in situ analysis of metabolites. These techniques, summarized in Figure 2, do not require molecular labels and are considered label-free imaging techniques. Of all the techniques, MALDI-MS is utilized the most for spatial metabolomics, and has been used for tissue level studies of bacterial, fungal, invertebrate, plant, and mammalian systems, for example. Reflective geometry MALDI-MS, where the laser directly impacts the sample surface, is the most common configuration. As this is usually employed as a microprobe technique, lateral resolution is considered laser spot size limited, and therefore, single cell analysis typically requires subcellular laser spot sizes. Reflective geometry MALDI-MS involving spot sizes greater than a single cell have been explored; however, this has involved either dispersed cells in a culture or cell microarrays rather than analyzing single cells in a tissue. Over the past decade, several research groups have improved the source design for MALDI-MS to enable single cell imaging in tissues. The Caprioli and Lee groups have used small diameter pinholes to filter the beam down to spot sizes of ~5 μm. However, oversampling, where individual pixels are partially overlaid, was necessary to compensate for low ion yields in these studies. Recently, Feenstra et al. developed a beam expander able to vary spot sizes between 4 and 50 μm. They visualized lipids, sodiated sugars, and glucosides within maize roots at 5 μm, but significant decreases in ion yield were observed for all species, demonstrating the limitation of a pinhole approach. These have also been many new instrumental designs developed to increase the imaging speed of MALDI-MSI since imaging overall large (tissue level) areas at single cell resolution increases the number of data points (pixels) needed to be obtained. Recently, Pottocnik et al. used continuous laser acquisition to image lipids at a rate of 50 pixel/s and a lateral resolution of 10 μm in sections of mouse brain using a Bruker rapifleX MALDI TissueTyper TOF-MS instrument.

Over the past decade, the Spengler group has developed and improved upon their so-called scanning microprobe MALDI (SMALDI) source. SMALDI uses a coaxial ion source geometry with a novel objective for laser focusing, which permits spot sizes down to 0.25 μm (as observed by the ablation crater), making it readily applicable for analyzing single cells. Recently, SMALDI has been adapted for ambient pressure analysis (AP-SMALDI). Khalil et al. coupled the AP-SMALDI to a Thermo Q Exactive to assign 67 lipids at 12 μm resolution in mosquito sections. Kompauer et al. decreased pixel sizes to 1.5 μm using a custom nine lens objective, imaging lipids, metabolites, and peptides at subcellular resolution in mouse brain sections. Most recently, Garikapati et al. optimized sample preparation for 10 μm lipid imaging in sections of mouse fetus.
An alternative optical configuration for MALDI is using transmission geometry (TG-MALDI), where the laser impacts the sample through the backside of an optically transparent substrate. This delivers smaller spot sizes than reflective geometry via high-resolution focusing lenses with focal lengths typically too small to be used in reflective geometry. Another benefit of TG is that ions can be desorbed directly into the MS inlet without ion losses that occur due to orientation of the optics and ion extraction in reflective geometry. Recently, Zavalin et al. achieved 1 μm for lipids in a HEK-293 culture and mouse cerebellum tissues using TG-MALDI.

It is worth reiterating that the reduction in probe diameter size will decrease sensitivity. However, postionization can compensate for sensitivity reductions. Soltwisch et al. first successfully reported laser post ionization (MALDI-2) in the reflective geometry configuration. Recently, Bowman et al. mapped 147 unique lipids in sclerotic mammalian lesions at 6 μm, and separately, McMillen et al. and Heijs et al. used the timsTOF flex for neutral lipid and N-glycan profiling, respectively. In transmission geometry, Spivey et al. analyzed lipid standards, showing that UV postionization was able to compensate for sensitivity losses at <1 μm spot sizes. Niehaus et al. took this approach further, visualizing neutral phospho- and glycolipid species in the cerebellum and kidneys of a mouse model at a submicron resolution (Figure 4).

While microprobe analysis is the most common approach for MALDI-MS, microscope mode is an alternate method. Here, 2D ion detectors provide ion positions and register ion packets while maintaining their spatial dimensions, which permits subcellular lateral resolution imaging using spot sizes larger than the diameter of the analyzed cell. Furthermore, this reduces throughput time in an imaging experiment, as fewer sample positions are required for an image. Many of these notable experiments have involved the triple focusing TRIFT II mass spectrometer to achieve lateral resolutions of below 10 μm in the detection of lipid and peptides.

A common aim of MSI is absolute analyte quantification with spatial analysis. The ability to quantify molecules in a tissue section opens up the possibility of quantitative molecular histology, which would permit MSI to be more readily applied as a diagnostic tool for precise monitoring of disease states based on spatial changes in molecular concentrations. However, analyte quantification is complex for a number of reasons. Using MALDI-MS as an example, several factors inhibit quantitative MSI. First, tissue-specific ion suppression may occur in the analytes surrounding environment, so-called “matrix effects,” which can change measured analyte yields. Second, molecules have specific ionization energies, meaning that the ionization potential of a molecule must first be known to convert relative abundance to absolute concentration. Finally, in the case of MSI techniques involving artificial matrices, analyte yields are dependent upon the matrix composition, relative amount, and uniformity across a tissue section. Currently, quantification is achieved with the aid of calibration standards; however, this is far from scalable for metabolite analysis as the number of known and unknown metabolites orders in the hundreds of thousands.

To overcome challenges related to MALDI-MSI analysis, matrix-free LDI methods have been explored. Mid-IR lasers (i.e., λ = 2940 nm) capable of vibrationally exciting endogenous water molecules within cells have been of particular interest. In this approach, probing water-rich samples enables the ablation of molecules from the sample. However, since the vast majority of these molecules are neutral, a postionization step is necessary. An orthogonal electrospay that intercepts the ablation plume and funnels the ions to the mass analyzer is used in LAESI and infrared matrix-assisted laser desorption electrospray ionization (IRMALDESI). Recent examples by Kulkami et al. have...
explored metabolite distribution in the roots of native and invasive plant species at 100 μm lateral resolution. Agtuca et al. explored the metabolic asymmetry between infected and noninfected soybean nodules with LAESI where elevated fatty acid, purine, and lipid levels occurred as a result of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* infection. The Muddiman group used ice matrices to increase lipid yields, explored dynamic neurotransmitter imaging, used IR-MALDESI for imaging metabolomics in plant and mammalian samples, and coupled a drift tube for ion mobility direct collision cross section (CCS) measurements from several tissue types. Most recently, cell-to-cell lipid heterogeneity in a dispersed HeLa cell culture was demonstrated by Xing et al. with IR-MALDESI.

Given that spot size increases with wavelength, single cell analysis can be more challenging with methods using IR lasers in comparison to those employing UV lasers (e.g., MALDI). Nevertheless, there have been efforts in this arena. The Vertes lab have pioneered f-LAESI, which uses an optical fiber to transmit the IR laser to the sample surface, rather than a series of mirrors and objectives for focusing. This configuration can produce spot sizes <30 μm, and therefore can be used to ablate single cells. Stopka et al. used f-LAESI to elucidate the metabolic noise within *Egeria densa* and infected soybean root nodule cells. Recently, Samarah et al. used f-LAESI to fabricate nanopost arrays, which act as a chemical ionization reagent through surface plasmon wave excitation when tissues mounted on the array are irradiated. Korte et al. demonstrated that silicon nanopost array (NAPA) devices produced better metabolite coverage in LDI-MS than MALDI-MS by detecting 88 unique low-molecular weight metabolites. NAPA studies have shown that this method can also expand to other metabolite classes including amino acids, nucleotides, carbohydrates, xenobiotics, and lipids. Experiments by Fincher et al. have shown that the sensitivity of NAPA-based LDI is in the subfemtomole range, which is significantly more sensitive than techniques involving modification of tissues after mounting on a substrate. Additionally, it is theorized that understanding the effect of array variation on ion yield quantitation is far easier using the NAPA platform as rapid prototyping techniques typically can be optimized to minimize array variation. Nanostructure-initiator mass spectrometry (NIMS) is another technique that utilizes nanostructured surfaces, which use porous silicon to facilitate analyte desorption by laser irradiation. However, this technology is in its infancy, and limited examples of cellular resolution imaging with NIMS have been shown.

Desorption electrospray ionization (DESI) is a desirable technique for single cell MS, as molecule desorption via a solvent stream can be performed under ambient analysis conditions and with minimal sample preparation. However, the limited spatial resolution of this approach has relegated it to primarily tissue-level imaging. Over the past decade, Laskin and co-workers have developed nanospray-DESI (or nanoDESI) utilizing a microliquid junction between two capillaries to desorb analytes which has resulted in improve-

![Figure 5. Example of f-LAESI analysis using a 21T-FTICR-MS. Here, single soybean root nodule cells infected with *B. japonicum* were analyzed. (a) Identification and ablation of an infected soybean cell and (b, c) the resulting mass spectra data from positive- and negative-ion modes, respectively. The top left inset shows the captured IFS (black) for N-acetylglutamic acid overlaid on top of its simulated mass spectrum (red dashed line). The middle inset shows the resolving of two peaks that correspond to two different metabolites. The top right inset shows the IFS of dehydrosoyasaponin I (black) captured at a longer transient length. The peaks drawn with a red dashed line correspond to the simulated mass spectrum of the same ion. Adapted from ref 159.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jasms.0c00439)
ments in sensitivity and lateral resolution. Sub-10 μm lateral resolution nanoDESI-MS has been applied for lipid imaging in lung, brain, and pancreatic tissues. The addition of shear force probes to standardize capillary-to-sample distance has allowed for combined topography/molecular imaging, permitting the ability to assess ion yield variation effects with topographic changes, for example.

Of all the MSI techniques, SIMS maintains the highest spatial resolution for MSI. The ability to obtain molecular images of intact species depends upon ion beam characteristics such as the composition, the configuration of the ion optics, and the energy spread of the beam. Ion beams composed of majority monatomic species readily provide subcellular resolution imaging capability, as they can be focused to below 50 nm. However, the limitation for analysis of biological samples is that they generate significant fragmentation, therefore molecules are rarely desorbed (and consequently measured) intact. Gas cluster ion beams (GCIBs), which can be focused to <3 μm and are used on instruments including the Ionoptika J105, have improved intact lipid imaging due to softer desorption processes that generate less fragmentation than the liquid metal ion guns (LMIG) typically used in SIMS. Subcellular imaging of phosphocholine (PC) lipids in the brain, lipid visualization in 3D within bacterial envelopes, and de novo purine biosynthesis have been demonstrated at a single cell scale with (CO2)2/Ar gas clusters. Recently, high energy water clusters have been shown to improve ion yields for single cell imaging with SIMS. Due to SIMS introducing significant fragmentation, instruments with MS² capabilities are desired as they aid assignment of fragment ions (produced by molecule fragmentation) to their corresponding parent ions in a fragment rich SIMS spectra. However, many instruments only employ a simple TOF-multichannel plate detector, which limits the mass resolving power to <10000, making structural assignments nontrivial. The PHI nanoTOF II has MS² capabilities and operates a triple focusing electrostatic analyzer, where precursor ions can be selected and directed into a collision induced dissociation cell for MS². Single cell imaging with the nanoTOF II has included the imaging endoplasmic reticulum stains in single cells, among other examples. Recently, IONTOF have developed MS² capabilities for the TOF-SIMS V by the addition of a Thermo Q Exactive Orbitrap (FTMS) to the instrument (termed "the hybrid orbiSIMS"). Passarelli et al. have used this novel instrument for imaging lipids and isotopically labeled amiodarone in 3D within single cells at either high lateral or high mass resolution (Figure 6).

III. CORRELATIVE IMAGING AND MULTIMODAL SPATIAL OMICS

Single cell MS experiments can provide an abundance of informative data about molecular processes related to a cell’s phenotype. Nonetheless, linking data of this technology with data from other analytical approaches can increase the amount of knowledge obtained from a sample. Combining two or more imaging modalities (i.e., multimodal imaging) provides advantages that include (i) improved sensitivity and specificity.

Figure 6. Example of TOF-SIMS subcellular imaging, where the distribution of a drug is visualized in a single cell using the hybrid OrbiSIMS. (a) A sequence of total ion images captured every ~400 nm of depth, as the cell was sputtered away. (b) Overlaid ion images of the PC headgroup in gray, m/z 157 (a nuclear marker) in magenta, and the drug (amiodarone) in green at each of the respective spatial locations in a, and (c) a 3D rendering of the cell that tomographically illustrates the location of PC, nucleus, and drug markers. Mass spectrum obtained from the ToF-MS (black) and the Orbitrap-MS (blue) of the (d) PC headgroup and (e) nuclear marker. Adapted with permission from ref 215. 2017 Nature Research.
of chemical classes that cannot be easily identified or analyzed by a single imaging modality alone and (ii) enhanced data mining capabilities. Moreover, the utilization of multiple spatially resolved MS tools in conjunction with one another can provide a more effective means to probe the biomolecular complexity of biological systems by providing higher confidence in molecular annotations and localizations. Beyond MS-based omics, there are other major technologies for proteomics and metabolomics, such as NMR, arrays, and other reporter-based methods that can provide spatially resolved biochemical information. The addition of labels can be used to correlate data from multitechnique analyses and enhance the interpretation in single cell biology. For example, the use of hybrid tracers enables a direct comparison between fluorescence confocal microscopy and LA-ICP-MS imaging techniques, further showing how multimodal techniques can be complementary to one another. Recently, breast cancer cell lines stained using receptor specific hybrid tracers, which contained both a fluorophore and a DTPA single lanthanide chelate, permitted fluorescence confocal microscopy to guide subsequent LA-ICP-MS analysis. Laser ablation isotope ratio mass spectrometry (LA-IRMS) has the ability to trace 13C through a variety of samples and can be used to inform, as well as in conjunction with, higher spatial resolution imaging techniques like SIMS to confirm labeled molecule distributions across tissues and down to each cell. Raman spectroscopy is an advancing cell imaging method that, unlike MS methods, is nondestructive. Single cell Raman microspectroscopy, for example, can obtain biochemical fingerprints of individual microbial cells. A key advantage of Raman spectroscopy is its ability to determine the biochemical makeup of a cell, wherein proteins, lipids, and DNA can be visualized according to their unique vibrational spectra. Using the unique vibrational spectra, functional groups and chemical bonding in molecules can be identified. The weak Raman signal of water affords the ability to image cells within aqueous environments more readily, which means live cell imaging is feasible under normal physiological conditions. Stable isotope probing (SIP)-Raman spectroscopy can also help reveal metabolic activity within a cell. A number of bands within a single cell Raman spectrum can shift upon metabolic labeling with 13C, 15N, and 2H. Furthermore, the results from SIP-Raman spectroscopy can be combined with fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) specific band shifts to link metabolic activity to cell identity. SIP can also be used to bridge NanoSIMS and Raman microspectroscopy imaging modalities, by tracking isotopically labeled substrates (i.e., 13C, 2H, 15N) incorporation into metabolic pathways at single cell and subcellular spatial resolution. Numerous reviews detail the application areas of SIP-NanoSIMS and SIP-Raman microspectroscopy. Recently, SIP-NanoSIMS has been used to identify the presence of cholesterol-rich sphingolipid patches in plasma membranes of kidney cells, image the root cell-soil interface in permafrost, image carbon transfer between the root-rhizosphere, identify the dependence of Bacillus subtilis sporulation on the presence of mycelia in nutrient starved regions of soil, and image the accumulation of drugs in multimellar lysosomes at nanometer scale resolution. Microscopy is no doubt the most utilized tool for single cell imaging, and it is highly versatile in the types of measurements it can make. These capabilities include live-cell fluorescence imaging for the understanding of signaling dynamics, FISH for measuring gene expression, immunofluorescence, and other antibody-based methods for measuring protein expression (as detailed in the proteomics section). In addition, recent studies have combined other modalities such as RNA-sequencing and microfluidics with live-cell imaging to expand the possible measurements and knowledge gained from a single cell. The use of microfluidics in MS has also grown in recent years due to the advent of “biology-on-a-chip” devices. These devices have the ability to monitor dynamic chemical processes in a controlled environment, and having the ability to spatially map these chemical signals within the devices may allow for new insights to be gained. For example, Cahill et al. recently developed a porous membrane sealed microfluidic device that can be used in conjunction with liquid microjunction surface sampling probe MS. In this study, they were able to demonstrate in situ MS chemical analysis of fluid within a microfluidic flow cell device by forming a liquid junction between the porous membrane and the sampling probe. Further examples of how microfluidic technologies have been used in single cell metabolomics and proteomics can be found in other recent reviews. Combining atomic force microscopy with single cell force spectroscopy is also useful for single cell analysis, as this can be used to study the adhesion of living cells in near-physiological conditions, while scanning electron microscopy and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) can be used to create a high resolution image of the single cell. For example, NanoSIMS and TEM were used together to visualize the uptake of cisplatin, a common chemotherapeutic, into resistant and nonresistant ovarian cancer cells. All of these techniques described here can provide a wealth of knowledge individually, but when combined with additional analytical approaches, they can provide a more comprehensive picture of complex biochemical processes. Moreover, since each technique has its own unique advantage(s) for single cell analyses, when they are used in a multimodal fashion, one can enhance their results through the combination of data as more biologically relevant signals can be produced. MSI experiments can give a wealth of chemical meaning, while other techniques such as Raman spectroscopy can give meaningful chemical bonding and structural information. However, there can be technical challenges when coregistering images acquired with different modalities. One major issue can be the differences in spatial resolution between the images that are being coregistered. Recent work has been done in an effort to help mitigate coregistration issues. For example, Patterson et al. have developed experimental and computational pipelines to help bridge data sets between high spatial resolution optical microscopy and MALDI-MSI studies. Multimodal imaging analysis is therefore an important aspect to contemplate and consider when attempting to elucidate the complexity of a single cell.

IV. DATA ACQUISITION AND HIGH-THROUGHPUT SCREENING

The commercial viability of single cell screening with MS requires technologies able to rapidly and efficiently extract and assign biomolecules from multicellular samples. Introducing high throughput screening (HTS) methods into MS workflows is attractive, as this method of experimentation involves analysis of thousands of samples on a rapid time scale and is usually reliant on automation and robotics that provide...
reproducibility and robustness.251 Historically, HTS of biological samples has involved fluorometric or calorimetric assays to provide molecular information, but these techniques require analytes to be chromophoric probing methods that may limit molecular specificity, decrease throughput from the extra labeling steps, and are blind to the majority of biomolecules present in a cell.252 The aim of MS-based HTS is for biomolecule extraction, ionization, separation, and molecular assignment all to be automated. However, many of the methods we have referenced illustrate that proteome or metabolome MS measurements at the single cell often require lengthy sample preparation steps and/or long data acquisition and analysis times, which brings to question, can certain types of MS analyses be used in HTS?

The microarray format has been useful for rapid screening in drug discovery for decades.253 HTS has been adapted from drug discovery to single cell MS-metabolic analysis through manufacturing single cells arrays. Ellis et al. combined liquid extraction surface analysis with bioprinting to measure the lipid profile of mammalian single cell lines, where they showed impressive spectral quality.254 However, acquisition times were nonideal, and only 37% of the droplets on the array contained single cells.254 Yang et al. used microcontact printing to deposit poly-1-lysine and electrostatically adhere single mammalian lung cancer cells with a capture efficiency of 40% and demonstrated a linear relationship between PC lipid abundance and cell number using MALDI-MS.122

Ambient sampling methods have been developed to diminish the need for extensive sample preparation. LAESI, for example, allows for in situ metabolomics of native tissue.60 However, HTS single cells with LAESI is still quite complex, as this often relies on fiber-based LAESI (f-LAESI), where the etched optical fiber is placed in contact with the cell surface, requiring manual positioning.60 This also presents a challenge in measuring dynamic systems with ongoing metabolic processes, where tissues and cells are not fixed. Metabolic quenching can be compensated for by freeze-fixed analysis, but the speed of how rapidly activity is quenched remains a question.254

Direct infusion MS instruments are attractive for HTS, in part because sample processing can be readily automated. Examples of direct infusion commercial instruments include the TriVERSA NanoMate nanoelectrospray/LESA-NanoMate,255 the Agilent RapidFire,256 and the Labyce Echo acoustic droplet ejection system,257 which are all robotically driven. However, these approaches have had little application on the single cell scale. The invention of the nanoPOTS system (Figure 7) shows promise for MS-HTS for single cell proteomics, in part because sample processing can be automated. In this technique, nanoliter cell-containing droplets are dispensed into a multiwell chip, followed by extraction, alkylation, protein digestion, and peptide collection. Zhu et al. demonstrated the utility of the nanoPOTS platforms by quantifying over 3000 proteins in as little as 10 cells.75 Recently, Williams et al. developed a nanoPOTS autosampler compatible for automated LC–MS analysis, removing labor intensive steps such as manual sample loading.38 256 individual proteins were able to be identified in single MCF10A cells at a rate of 24 cells per day.38

There are limited examples of HTS-MS imaging workflows in tissue sections, in part due to the challenges of sample variation, image correlation, and automatically assigning molecular structures to multidimensional imaging data sets.258 Many of the efforts have been aimed toward increasing sample analysis through source and detector improvements. Imaging experiments are frequently performed with sample replicates to assess the biological reproducibility.259–261 To this end, fusion of microscopy and MSI data sets have been explored by the Caprioli group as a method of training ion distributions for rapid parallel sample imaging, theoretically allowing for microscopy images to inform analyte distributions in sample replicates. The idea is that once the software is trained sufficiently to recognize ion distributions optical images can be used to predict MS images allowing for rapid sample screening. Van de Plas et al. demonstrated this technique for lipid profiling to accurately predict the distribution of PE(16:0:22:6), and built on the work of Tarolli and co-workers, who first used pan-sharpening to improve lateral resolution of MS images,262 to visualize lipids at 10 μm lateral resolution in mammalian brain tissue using a 100 μm resolution MS image.263

Figure 7. Example of near-single cell untargeted proteomic analysis using nanoPOTS, which is adaptable method for high-throughput single cell screening applications. (a) Bright-field images of nanowells with dispersed HeLa cells in droplets. (b) Ion chromatograms corresponding to analysis of 12, 42, and 139 cells and (c–f) the unique peptides and protein group coverage from nanoPOTS processing of different liquid volumes. Data are expressed as means ± SD for experimental triplicates, and the scale bar in (a) is 500 μm. Adapted with permission from ref 75. 2018 Nature Research.
V. CHALLENGES AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

A core challenge for single cell proteomic and metabolomic analysis is moving from the tissue to the cell level, which requires greater detection sensitivity and will ultimately require faster analysis times.\textsuperscript{264,265} Per sensitivity, development of mass analyzers able to measure the potential attomolar concentrations of metabolites and proteins present within individual cells are necessary.\textsuperscript{141,266,267} Ideally, these detectors are also capable of high mass resolution and mass accuracy analyses to provide increased confidence in molecular formula annotations. The FTMS-based instruments from Bruker (magnetic field-based, FTICR) and Thermo (electric field-based, Orbitrap) continue to advance in this direction.\textsuperscript{159,268} As detailed throughout this Perspective, these detectors are regularly being coupled to single and near single cell proteomic and metabolomic methods. Nevertheless, it is important to consider analysis time scales, since inferring biological meaning from single cell measurements will require measurement of hundreds to thousands of single cells.\textsuperscript{159} To this end, the advent and increased implementation of new FT-based mass analyzers capable of detection at multiples of the cyclotron frequency promise an increase in FT performance (e.g., duty cycle, mass resolution, etc.) and are already being implemented into new commercial MSI instrumentation.\textsuperscript{269} Alternatively, new high speed extended path TOF mass analyzers, utilizing spectral multiplexing techniques,\textsuperscript{270} may also become more widespread.

As a reminder, orthogonal measurements beyond MS\textsuperscript{1} are required for obtaining higher confidence molecular identifications.\textsuperscript{271} Tandem MS methods (MS\textsuperscript{2}, MS\textsuperscript{3}, etc.) can provide more confident structural information, but obtaining more than one spectrum per pixel in a spatially resolved MS measurement remains a challenge. Premass analysis chromatographic separations, like using LC, are a key orthogonal technique in bulk omic analyses, but these methods are limited in their throughput. The past decade has seen the integration of postionization gas phase ion mobility separation MS as a method of circumventing analyte retention time differences in column chromatography, where ion separation is based on differences in electrophoretic mobility in a carrier gas.\textsuperscript{272} This approach is rapid and has enabled measurement of the physical size of ions (i.e., CCS) and can be used to separate and identify structural isomers.\textsuperscript{272} Waters and Bruker have incorporated ion mobility mass spectrometry into the SYNAPT and timsTOF (trapped ion mobility separation, TIMS) for LDGI analysis, respectively,\textsuperscript{273–275} whereas the integration of field asymmetric-waveform ion-mobility spectrometry (FAIMS) into Thermo systems has the potential to increase proteomics sensitivity and throughput.\textsuperscript{276} As such, it is expected to see the use of ion mobility approaches expand within single cell proteomics and metabolomics over the next decade.

Any omics scientist will attest that obtaining raw MS data can be challenging, but a significant obstacle still remains in data analysis. The first issue is data handling. Spatial MS data can be “Big Data” due to the spatial dimension beyond that of bulk MS methods. Furthermore, this data can become even larger with another dimension like ion mobility.\textsuperscript{276} A typical imaging experiment can be represented as...
FLOPS = 14kN^2 + 8N^3

where FLOPS is the number of floating-point operations, k is the number of pixels, and N is the number of variables, or separate mass channels.\(^{26}\) For example, a TOF-MS imaging data set with 200000 pixels equates to a data set with 20000 separate spectra and 70000 mass channels in turn would mean the total number of floating-point operations to be 1 × 10^16.\(^ {277}\) Moreover, this example is with a theoretical TOF-MS data set. Data handling can be significantly more problematic with FT data that can contain millions of mass channels. Efficient peak picking algorithms and subsampling have been explored for hyperspectral data sets to address this problem.\(^ {278,279}\) There are also several other research groups attempting to address this issue by exploring file format optimization for MS files.\(^ {283,284}\) However, these alternative formats have yet to gain traction with the broader community. Computational power continues to increase, and the intermediate vacuum pressure it can operate under has been key to its ability to successfully employ postionization; permitting MALDI-2 the ability to detect and image a broader number of molecules and molecular classes.\(^ {36}\) Advancements in ambient ionization sources, like nanoDESI\(^ {190}\) and LAESI,\(^ {159}\) have also shown promise for single cell metabolomics and may play a larger role in HTS approaches because limited sample preparation is needed to employ these methods. Untargeted proteomics utilizing MSI remains a significant challenge for single cells, yet the recent development of metal-based IHC with MSI and imaging cyTOF holds significant promise for multiplexed subcellular proteomic imaging.\(^ {291,292}\) New advancements in sample handling have started to transform untargeted proteomics, like those utilized in nanoPOTS, and we are now at the precipice of being able to readily perform untargeted single cell proteomics with broad molecular coverage.\(^ {94}\)

To address the question posed at the beginning of this section: yes, single cell MS-based proteomics and metabolomics is very realistic in the near future. If the past decade of advancements in this arena detailed in this Perspective are an indicator, then we should continue to see enhancements in single cell MS probing approaches, increased sensitivity and throughput of mass analyzers, further incorporation of orthogonal methods like ion mobility, and improvements in data computation and annotations over the next decade. Moreover, we are starting to see advancements in many of these methods that are directly applicable to HTS approaches, meaning that rapid single cell screening of thousands of cells from culture or a tissue can provide greater biological understanding from resolving the cellular heterogeneity across and within phenotypes. As such, these methods will complement single cell transcriptomics and structural imaging techniques and provide a full phenome of single cells.
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