A. Parameter Analysis

We report hyper-parameter analysis on RegDB [6] and SYSU-MM01 [10]. The total loss of our Hi-CMD is defined in Equation (10) in the main manuscript. This total loss can be reformulated as the sum of the ID-PIG and HFL loss terms

\[ L = (1 - \beta)L^P + \beta L^H, \]

where \( L^P \) and \( L^H \) represent the ID-PIG and HFL loss terms respectively, and \( \beta \in [0, 1] \) denotes a balancing parameter. The ID-PIG loss term is expressed as

\[ L^P = L_{\text{recon}} + \lambda_{kl} L_{kl} + \lambda_{adv} L_{adv}, \]

and the HFL loss term is formulated as

\[ L^H = \lambda_{ce} L_{ce} + \lambda_{trip} L_{trip}. \]

The impacts of different \( \beta \) values on the REID performance are shown as in Fig. 1. We observe that our method achieves the best performance when \( \beta \) is set to 0.5, which indicates that the current weights for ID-PIG and HFL losses are properly balanced.

B. Results for Additional Evaluation Protocols

Different query settings on RegDB. We evaluate the performance under different query settings on the RegDB dataset, as discussed in [11, 13, 12, 3]. Unlike the original evaluation protocol where the visible image is treated as the query set, infrared images are provided as the query set. Table 1 shows that our method outperforms competing methods regardless of the modalities, which proves that the proposed Hi-CMD is robust to different query settings.

Indoor search on SYSU-MM01. We also evaluate the performance of our method under single-shot indoor-search mode on SYSU-MM01. A detailed description of this evaluation protocol is discussed in [10]. This protocol is less challenging than single-shot all-search mode since the outdoor scenes are excluded. The performance shown in Table 2 demonstrates that our Hi-CMD still outperforms the state-of-the-art methods under this evaluation protocol.
C. Network Architectures

The proposed Hi-CMD method consists of the two prototype encoders $E^p_1$, $E^p_2$, the two attribute encoders $E^a_1$, $E^a_2$, the two discriminators $D_1$, $D_2$, the single decoder $G$, and the single feature embedding network $H$. We use ResNet-50 [4] pretrained on ImageNet [2] as the attribute encoder $E^a$ and the feature embedding network $H$. The attribute encoder has the same structure up to the average pooling layer of ResNet-50, and the feature embedding network borrows the Conv3 and Conv4 layers of ResNet-50.

Table 3 shows the remaining three network architectures as follows: (1) The prototype encoder $E^p$ contains several convolutional layers and residual blocks [4] with Instance Normalization (IN) [9]. We also add the Atrous Spatial Pyramid Pooling (ASPP) to exploit multi-scale features. (2) The residual decoder $G$ consists of several convolution layers, upsampling layers, and residual blocks. The Adaptive Instance Normalization (AdaIN) [5] layers in the residual layers help the generator synthesize various attributes in a person image. (3) The discriminator $D$ contains several convolution layers and residual blocks. We use PatchGAN [14] with the three different scales of an input image as $256 \times 128$, $128 \times 64$, and $64 \times 32$.

D. Retrieved Examples

We analyze the top-5 retrieval results of 24 query examples on RegDB and SYSU-MM01 datasets, as illustrated in Fig. 2, 3. For each dataset, two different experiments are performed. In the case of RegDB, the visible-to-infrared retrieval is the original setting while the infrared-to-visible retrieval is also applied as introduced in Table 1. In the case of SYSU-MM01, the infrared-to-visible retrieval is the original setting. Note that we use all the images in the gallery set and query set on the SYSU-MM01 dataset, so the retrieval is the original setting. Note that we use all the images in the gallery set and query set on the SYSU-MM01 dataset, so the retrieval is also applied as introduced in Table 1. In the case of RegDB, the visible-to-infrared retrieval is the original setting while the infrared-to-visible retrieval is also applied as introduced in Table 1. In the case of SYSU-MM01, the infrared-to-visible retrieval is the original setting. Note that we use all the images in the gallery set and query set on the SYSU-MM01 dataset, so the retrieval is the original setting. Note that we use all the images in the gallery set and query set on the SYSU-MM01 dataset, so the retrieval is also applied as introduced in Table 1. In the case of RegDB, the visible-to-infrared retrieval is the original setting while the infrared-to-visible retrieval is also applied as introduced in Table 1. In the case of SYSU-MM01, the infrared-to-visible retrieval is the original setting. Note that we use all the images in the gallery set and query set on the SYSU-MM01 dataset, so the retrieval is the original setting. Note that we use all the images in the gallery set and query set on the SYSU-MM01 dataset, so the retrieval is also applied as introduced in Table 1. In the case of RegDB, the visible-to-infrared retrieval is the original setting while the infrared-to-visible retrieval is also applied as introduced in Table 1. In the case of SYSU-MM01, the infrared-to-visible retrieval is the original setting. Note that we use all the images in the gallery set and query set on the SYSU-MM01 dataset, so the retrieval is the original setting. Note that we use all the images in the gallery set and query set on the SYSU-MM01 dataset, so the retrieval is also applied as introduced in Table 1.

The images in the first column are the query images, and the retrieved images are sorted from left to right according to the ascending order of the feature distance. The query and retrieved images have different types of poses and illuminations since the cross-modality and intra-modality characteristics are entangled intricately in the image, which represents that this cross-modality retrieval task is very challenging. In this harsh situation, the proposed method achieves successful cross-modality matching results by finding the common ID-discriminative factors, such as the clothing type, pattern, and human body size. Although there are even a few failure cases, we observe that the ID-discriminative characteristics are similar enough to be mistaken by the human eye. In conclusion, our Hi-CMD method is robust to ID-excluded factors and well finds hidden ID-discriminative clues.
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### Table 3. Network architectures within the proposed overall framework. We express the characteristics of each layer as $S$: Stride size, $I N$: Instance Normalization, AdaIN: Adaptive Instance Normalization, and $L N$: Layer Normalization. $H$ and $W$ denote the height and width of the input image, respectively. Both visible and infrared images are resized to $256 \times 128 \times 3$.

| Module Name | Layer Name | Input Shape $\rightarrow$ Output Shape | Parameters | Layer Description |
|-------------|------------|----------------------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| **Prototype** | **Encoder** $E_1^p, E_2^p$ | | | |
| | Conv1 | $(H, W, 3) \rightarrow (H, W, 32)$ | $[3 \times 3, 32]$ | S-2, IN, LReLU |
| | Conv2 | $(H, W, 32) \rightarrow (H, W, 64)$ | $[3 \times 3, 64]$ | S-1, IN, LReLU |
| | Conv3 | $(H, W, 64) \rightarrow (H, W, 64)$ | $[3 \times 3, 64]$ | S-1, IN, LReLU |
| | Conv4 | $(H, W, 64) \rightarrow (H, W, 128)$ | $[3 \times 3, 128]$ | S-2, IN, LReLU |
| | ResBlocks | $(H, W, 128) \rightarrow (H, W, 128)$ | $3 \times 3, 128 \times 4$ | S-1, IN, LReLU |
| | ResBlocks | $(H, W, 256) \rightarrow (H, W, 256)$ | $3 \times 3, 256 \times 4$ | S-1, AdaIN, LReLU |
| | ASPP | $(H, W, 128) \rightarrow (H, W, 256)$ | $1 \times 1, 1 \times 1, 3 \times 3, 64 \times 3$ | S-1, IN, LReLU |
| | Conv5 | $(H, W, 256) \rightarrow (H, W, 256)$ | $1 \times 1, 256$ | S-1, IN |
| **Residual** | **Decoder** $G$ | | | |
| | Upsampling | $(H, W, 256) \rightarrow (H, W, 256)$ | - | - |
| | Conv1 | $(H, W, 256) \rightarrow (H, W, 128)$ | $5 \times 5, 128$ | S-1, LN, LReLU |
| | Upsampling | $(H, W, 128) \rightarrow (H, W, 128)$ | - | - |
| | Conv2 | $(H, W, 128) \rightarrow (H, W, 64)$ | $5 \times 5, 64$ | S-1, LN, LReLU |
| | Conv3 | $(H, W, 64) \rightarrow (H, W, 64)$ | $3 \times 3, 64$ | LReLU |
| | Conv4 | $(H, W, 64) \rightarrow (H, W, 64)$ | $3 \times 3, 64$ | LReLU |
| | Conv5 | $(H, W, 64) \rightarrow (H, W, 3)$ | $1 \times 1, 3$ | - |
| | Conv1 | $(H, W, 3) \rightarrow (H, W, 32)$ | $1 \times 1, 32$ | S-1, LReLU |
| | Conv2 | $(H, W, 32) \rightarrow (H, W, 32)$ | $3 \times 3, 32$ | S-1, LReLU |
| | Conv3 | $(H, W, 32) \rightarrow (H, W, 32)$ | $3 \times 3, 32$ | S-2, LReLU |
| | Conv4 | $(H, W, 32) \rightarrow (H, W, 32)$ | $3 \times 3, 32$ | S-1, LReLU |
| | Conv5 | $(H, W, 32) \rightarrow (H, W, 64)$ | $3 \times 3, 64$ | S-2, LReLU |
| | ResBlocks | $(H, W, 64) \rightarrow (H, W, 64)$ | $3 \times 3, 64 \times 4$ | S-1, LReLU |
| | Conv6 | $(H, W, 64) \rightarrow (H, W, 1)$ | $1 \times 1, 1$ | S-1 |
Figure 2. The top-5 retrieval results of our Hi-CMD on the RegDB dataset. The correct matchings and wrong matchings are indicated by green and red color, respectively. (a) Visible images are given as the query set, which is the original evaluation protocol for RegDB. (b) Infrared images are given as the query set, which is introduced in Table 1. Best viewed in color.
Failure cases

Figure 3. The top-5 retrieval results of our Hi-CMD on the SYSU-MM01 dataset. The SYSU-MM01 dataset is relatively challenging compared to the RegDB dataset. The correct matchings and wrong matchings are indicated by green and red color, respectively. (a) Infrared images are given as the query set, which is the original evaluation protocol for SYSU-MM01. (b) Visible images are given as the query set. Best viewed in color.