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Abstract: A drain engineered InGaN heterostructure tunnel field effect transistor (TFET) is proposed and investigated by Silvaco Atlas simulation. This structure uses an additional metal on the drain region to modulate the energy band near the drain/channel interface in the drain regions, and increase the tunneling barrier for the flow of holes from the conduction band of the drain to the valence band of the channel region under negative gate bias for n-TFET, which induces the ambipolar current being reduced from $1.93 \times 10^{-8}$ to $1.46 \times 10^{-11}$ A/µm. In addition, polar InGaN heterostructure TFET having a polarization effect can adjust the energy band structure and achieve steep interband tunneling. The average subthreshold swing of the polar drain engineered heterostructure TFET (DE-HTFET) is reduced by 53.3% compared to that of the nonpolar DE-HTFET. Furthermore, $I_{ON}$ increases 100% from 137 mA/mm of nonpolar DE-HTFET to 274 mA/mm of polar DE-HTFET.

Keywords: drain engineered; tunnel field effect transistor (TFET); polarization; ambipolar; subthreshold; ON-state

1. Introduction

Tunnel field effect transistors (TFETs) have been considered as attractive alternative replacements to metal-oxide-semiconductor field effect transistors (MOSFETs) for low power applications [1–3], due to the conductive mechanism of band to band tunneling, realizing a steep subthreshold swing (SS) (less than 60 mV/dec at room temperature), good immunity against Short Channel Effects (SCEs) and high ON-state current ($I_{ON}$) to OFF-state current ($I_{OFF}$) ratio ($I_{ON}/I_{OFF}$) [1,4,5]. Although TFETs have various benefits, there are still some problems to be solved, such as the ambipolar behavior [6,7], low ON-state current ($I_{ON}$) [8], and less-than-idea SS. To solve these problems, different techniques such as the use of high-k dielectric materials [9], heterojunction engineering [10–13], source pocket based devices [14,15], junction-less concept based devices [16–18], and narrow bandgap materials have been investigated to boost $I_{ON}$. Drain doping profile investigation [7], gate-drain electrode gap control [19], the hetero-dielectric box concept [20], and heterojunction engineering have been developed to restrain ambipolar behavior.

III Nitride is the direct bandgap semiconductor, and its bandgap can be modulated from 0.7 eV (InN) to 6.2 eV (AlN), while the natural polarization effect will facilitate the formation of a steep energy band at the tunneling junction of the heterostructure, inducing a steep tunneling junction, small subthreshold swing and large ON-state current [21]. Recently, it has been reported that III Nitride TFETs exhibit superior device characteristics, showing the great potential of the application in the low power field [21–24]. However, the research on III Nitride TFET devices is just beginning, and it is worth further study.
In this paper, the drain engineering method uses workfunction engineering on an additional metal to modulate the energy band on the drain/channel interface in the drain regions and increase the tunneling barrier for the flow of holes from the conduction band of the drain to the valence band of the channel region under negative gate bias for n-TFET, which will reduce the ambipolar current of InGaN heterojunction TFETs (HTFETs). In addition, the improvement mechanism of the polarization effect on the subthreshold and ON-state characteristics of polar InGaN HTFETs are investigated at length.

The remaining paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the device structure, simulation models and material parameters. Section 3 is dedicated to results and discussions, including the drain engineered TFET used to suppress the ambipolar current of InGaN HTFET, and the performance comparison and analysis of polar and nonpolar HTFETs. Finally, Section 4 concludes the paper with some important findings.

2. Device Structure and Simulation Parameters

Figure 1 shows the cross-sectional views of InGaN nonpolar heterostructure TFET (HTFET), InGaN nonpolar drain engineered heterostructure TFET (DE-HTFET), and InGaN polar DE-HTFET, respectively. The InGaN HTFET contains a source-side channel to improve the ON-state current and reduce the subthreshold swing. This work has been reported in reference [24]. The channel length (Lch) and the channel thickness (t) are set as 50 nm, and 10 nm, respectively. The drain metal and the gate (LGD) are 100 nm. The gate dielectric material is Al2O3 with a thickness (tOX) of 3 nm. The doping concentrations in the source, the channel, and the drain region are p+ 9.9 × 10^19 cm⁻³, n 1 × 10^15 cm⁻³, and n+ 1.2 × 10^19 cm⁻³, respectively. The workfunction of gate metal is 5.1 eV. The proposed nonpolar DE-HTFET contains an additional drain engineering metal with the length of 10 nm and a dielectric thickness of 1.2 nm to modulate the energy band in the drain/channel region and finally reduce the ambipolar current. Along with this, the polar DE-HTFET is proposed to modulate the energy band at the source/channel tunneling junction, and will further improve the device characteristics.
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Figure 1. Cross-sectional views of the nonpolar (a) conventional, (b) drain engineered InGaN tunnel field effect transistor (TFET), and (c) polar drain engineered InGaN TFET.
Simulations are carried out using a 2D Silvaco Atlas simulator (5.19.20.R, Silvaco Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) [25]. The nonlocal band to-band tunneling (BTBT) is incorporated in the simulation for the calculation of the tunneling rate of charge carriers. The Shockley–Read–Hall (SRH) carrier recombination model, bandgap narrowing (BGN) model, constant low field mobility model, and field-dependent mobility model at high electric fields are activated using the SRH, BGN and FLDMOB parameters, respectively. The polarization effect in the polar TFET is simulated by a fixed polarization charge at the heterojunction interface [26], and the density of polarization surface charge (σpol) will be discussed in Section 3.2. Other material parameters used in the simulations are presented in Table 1.

\[ SS_{\text{avg}} = \frac{(V_{TH} - V_{OFF})}{(\log I_{VTH} - \log I_{OFF})} \]

where the threshold voltage \( V_{TH} \) is defined to be the gate voltage \( V_G \) at current of \( 1 \times 10^{-7} \) A/mm, and \( V_{OFF} \) is the gate voltage at \( I_D \) of \( 10^{-18} \) A/mm.

### Table 1. Material parameters used in simulations [21].

| Parameters                | \( \text{In}_{0.75}\text{Ga}_{0.25}\text{N} \) | \( \text{In}_{0.85}\text{Ga}_{0.15}\text{N} \) |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Band gap \( E_g \) (eV)  | 1.1125                           | 0.9265                           |
| Hole effective mass \( m_0 \) | 0.295                            | 0.273                            |
| Electron effective mass \( m_0 \) | 0.1025                          | 0.0895                           |
| Static dielectric constant \( \varepsilon_r \) | 12.75                           | 13.05                            |
| Electron mobility \( \mu_e \) (cm\(^2\)/Vs) | 1050                            | 1050                            |
| Hole mobility \( \mu_h \) (cm\(^2\)/Vs) | 20                              | 20                              |

### 3. Results and Discussions

#### 3.1. Drain Engineered HTFET to Suppress Ambipolar Current

**3.1.1. Impact of Drain Engineering Metal Position on Electrical Characteristic of DE-HTFET**

Drain engineered HTFET using an additional drain engineering metal (M) to modulate the energy band can increase the tunneling barrier for the flow of holes on the drain/channel interface, and reduce the ambipolar current at the negative \( V_G \) bias. The M position will obviously affect the electrical characteristic of DE-HTFET. The following simulations are discussed with the different M position at the M workfunction (\( \phi_M \)) of 5.15 eV.

Figure 2 displays the I-V curves of HTFET without M and DE-HTFET with the different gate to M space (\( L_{GM} \)). HTFET without drain engineering metal shows an ambipolar current of \( 1.93 \times 10^{-8} \) A/\( \mu \)m. Overall, \( I_{\text{ambipolar}} \) of DE-HTFET by drain metal engineering has been obviously reduced compared with that of HTFET without M. As \( L_{GM} \) increases from 5 nm to 20 nm, \( I_{\text{ambipolar}} \) reduces firstly and then increases. At \( L_{GM} \) of 15 nm, DE-HTFET obtains the smallest \( I_{\text{ambipolar}} \) \((1.46 \times 10^{-11} \) A/\( \mu \)m), while the ON-state current (\( I_{ON} \)) is almost not degraded.

To gain a better insight into the variation of \( I_{\text{ambipolar}} \) and \( I_{ON} \), energy band profiles for \( V_G \) of \(-1 \) V and \( 1 \) V are shown in Figure 3a,b, respectively. Figure 3a shows the energy band of DE-HTFET near the drain/channel junction at \( V_G \) of \(-1 \) V. With drain metal engineering, the tunneling distance of DE-HTFET at the negative gate bias is larger than that of HTFET. The tunneling distance of DE-HTFET firstly increases and then decreases with the increase of \( L_{GM} \). DE-HTFET with \( L_{GM} = 15 \) nm has the largest tunneling distance in the \( L_{GM} \) range from 5 nm to 20 nm. The larger tunneling distance will contribute to a lower electric field (E) and thereby a smaller tunneling rate, as illustrated with Kane’s formula [27]:

\[
P_{\text{tun}} \sim \frac{E^{2}m_{l}^{1/2}}{E_{g}^{3/2}} \exp \left( -\frac{C_{2}m_{l}^{1/2}E_{g}^{3/2}}{E} \right),
\]

(2)
where \( E \) is the electric field, \( C_2 \) is a constant and \( m_r \) is effective mass. This can well explain the variation tendency of \( I_{\text{ambipolar}} \). Thus, DE-HTFET with \( L_{\text{GM}} \) of 15 nm has the smallest \( I_{\text{ambipolar}} \). Figure 3b shows the energy band of DE-HTFET at the ON-state \((V_G = V_D = 1 \text{ V})\). It is obvious that \( L_{\text{GM}} \) variation has almost no influence over the tunneling distance at the source/channel junction, therefore \( I_{\text{ON}} \) is almost not degraded. Considering the aforementioned factors, \( L_{\text{GM}} \) of 15 nm is selected in the following discussions.
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**Figure 2.** (a) Transfer characteristic of HTFET and DE-HTFET with varied \( L_{\text{GM}} \) at \( V_D \) of 1 V and \( \phi_M \) of 5.15 eV, and (b) ambipolar current with different \( L_{\text{GM}} \) extracted from the I-V curve.
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**Figure 3.** (a) Energy band diagram of DE-HTFET near the drain/channel tunneling junction with \( L_{\text{GM}} = 15 \text{ nm} \) at \( V_G = -1 \text{ V}, V_D = 1 \text{ V} \), and (b) Energy band diagram of DE-HTFET at ON-state.

3.1.2. Impact of Drain Engineering Metal Workfunction (\( \phi_M \)) on Electrical Characteristic of DE-HTFET

In this section, in order to find the optimal drain engineering metal, the impacts of the various workfunction are studied in detail. The function of the drain engineering metal is to increase the tunneling barrier of the drain/channel junction at the negative \( V_G \) bias, so a relatively high work function of the drain engineering metal may be a good choice. Here, electrical characteristics of DE-HTFET with drain engineering metal \( \phi_M = 5.0, 5.15, \) and 5.3 eV are simulated, respectively, and the results are shown in Figure 4. Based on the results, it is indicated that \( I_{\text{ambipolar}} \) presents an exponential decrease trend with the increment of \( \phi_M \), and \( I_{\text{ON}} \) stays in the same level. However, there is also a balance between \( I_{\text{ambipolar}} \) and \( I_{\text{ON}} \), that is to say, a higher workfunction is not always better. \( I_{\text{ON}} \) also reduces with the increment of \( \phi_M \), although it is in the same level. As \( \phi_M \) increases from 5.0 eV
to 5.15 eV, ION decreases slightly. However, at ϕM of 5.3 eV, ION decreases significantly, which is not desirable.

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4.** Transfer characteristic of DE-HTFET with various ϕM at VD of 1 V.

To gain a better insight into the variation of Iambipolar and ION, Figure 5 exhibits the energy band diagram of DE-HTFET with ϕM = 5.0 eV and 5.3 eV for VG of −1 V and 1 V, respectively. Figure 5a shows that the tunneling distance in the tunneling window for DE-HTFET with ϕM = 5.3 eV is larger than that for DE-HTFET with ϕM = 5.0 eV, which results in the lower BTBT tunneling rate at the drain/channel interface for DE-HTFET with higher ϕM, therefore, lower Iambipolar is obtained for higher ϕM. Figure 5b shows the energy band profile at the ON-state. An energy peak is created near the drain/channel interface, which will act as a barrier for electrons tunneling from the source to the drain region at the positive bias [28]. Therefore, ION of DE-HTFET with ϕM = 5.3 eV significantly degrades as shown in Figure 4. To make a trade-off between Iambipolar and ION, ϕM of 5.15 eV is selected as the last choice.

![Figure 5](image_url)

**Figure 5.** Energy band diagram at the line 1 nm away from the interface of InGaN/Al2O3 for DE-HTFET with ϕM = 5.0 eV and 5.3 eV as (a) VD = 1 V, VG = −1 V, and (b) VD = VG = 1 V.

### 3.2. Polar Heterostructure DE-HTFET to Improve Device Performances

The heterostructures in TFETs studied above are all along the nonpolar plane, so no polarization charge exists at the interface of heterojunction. However, studies show that the polarization engineering
in the III-nitride heterostructure can further adjust the energy band structure and achieve the interband tunneling [21]. It needs the heterostructure growing along the c-axis. Because of spontaneous polarization and piezoelectric polarization along the c-axis, large amounts of fixed polarization charge can be induced at the heterojunction interface. The polarization charge generated by polarization engineering can lead to a large internal electric field near the heterojunction interface, and increase the tunneling rate. On the other hand, polarization also changes the energy structure near the tunneling junction and helps improve TFET performance.

Herein, the polar and non-polar DE-HTFETs are compared. Figure 1c shows the schematic diagram of polar DE-HTFETs. The growth direction is along [0001], that is, the negative direction of X-axis in Figure 1. In this case, the polarization charge at the interface of III-Nitride heterojunction will be taken into account in the simulations. In particular, the polarization charge near the tunneling junction may have important effects on the device characteristics of InGaN DE-HTFET. By calculation, the density of polarization surface charge (σ_{pol}) is $-1.27134 \times 10^{13}$/cm$^2$ at the position of TJ, and $1.27134 \times 10^{13}$/cm$^2$ at the position of HJ. The negative value of $\sigma_{pol}$ represents a negative polarization charge, and vice versa.

The polarization changes the energy structure near the tunneling junction. Figure 6 shows that the polar DE-HTFET has a steeper energy band near the tunneling junction, which facilitates the better subthreshold and ON-state characteristics. Figure 7a displays the transfer characteristic of nonpolar and polar DE-HTFETs at $L_{GM}$ of 15 nm and $V_D$ of 1 V. $I_D-V_G$ curve indicates a smaller threshold voltage for the polar DE-HTFET, which will be more beneficial to the low-power applications. Besides this, the average subthreshold swing (SS_{avg}) of the polar DE-HTFET is reduced by 53.3% compared to that of the nonpolar DE-HTFET. Also, $I_{ON}$ increases 100% from 137 mA/mm of nonpolar DE-HTFET to 274 mA/mm of polar DE-HTFET. Figure 7b shows the point subthreshold swing (SS_{point}) of TFETs with different $I_D$ extracted from the $I_D-V_G$ curve. Obviously, SS_{point} of the polar DE-HTFET is smaller than that of the nonpolar TFET at any $I_D$ value.

![Figure 6. Energy band diagram in the thermal state.](image-url)
position and workfunction on device performances. The decreased $I_{\text{ambipolar}}$ results from the energy band modulation by the drain engineering metal and workfunction near the drain/channel junction.

Conclusions

In summary, a drain engineered InGaN HTFET is investigated by Atlas simulation in this paper. Firstly, with the trade-off between $I_{\text{ambipolar}}$ and $I_{\text{ON}}$, $I_{\text{ambipolar}}$ is reduced from $1.93 \times 10^{-8}$ A/$\mu$m of DE-HTFET to $1.46 \times 10^{-11}$ A/$\mu$m of HTFET by studying the impact of the drain engineering metal position and workfunction on device performances. The decreased $I_{\text{ambipolar}}$ results from the energy band modulation by the drain engineering metal and workfunction near the drain/channel junction.
in the drain region. Secondly, the polarization effect induces a large internal electric field near the heterojunction interface, changes the energy structure near the tunneling junction, and helps improve TFET performance. $S_{\text{avg}}$ of the polar InGaN DE-HTFET is 8.4 mV/dec, which is reduced by 53.3% compared to that of the nonpolar InGaN DE-HTFET. Also, $I_{\text{ON}}$ increases 100% from 137 mA/mm of nonpolar DE-HTFET to 274 mA/mm of polar DE-HTFET. Therefore, the structure of polar InGaN DE-HTFET embodies much more promising in low power applications.
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