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Abstract: This research focused on determining a rotary kiln’s geometric parameters in a non-traditional geodetic way—by deriving them from a survey realized by a terrestrial laser scanner (TLS). The point cloud obtained by TLS measurement was processed to derive the longitudinal axis of the RK. Subsequently, the carrier tires’ geometric parameters and shell of the RK during the shutdown were derived. Manual point cloud selection (segmentation) is the base method for removing unnecessary points. This method is slow but precise and controllable. The proposed analytical solution is based on calculating the distance from each point to the RK’s nominal axis (local radius). Iteration using a histogram function was repeatedly applied to detect points with the same or similar radiuses. The most numerous intervals of points were selected and stored in separate files. In the comparison, we present the conformity of analytically and manually obtained files and derived geometric values of the RK-radiuses’ spatial parameters and coordinates of the carrier tires’ centers. The horizontal (X and Y directions) and vertical (Z-direction) of root–mean–square deviation (RMSD) values are up to 2 mm. RMSD of the fitting of cylinders is also up to 2 mm. The center of the carrier tires defines the longitudinal axis of the RK. Analytical segmentation of the points was repeated on the remaining point cloud for the selection of the points on the outer shell of the RK. Deformation analysis of the shell of the RK was performed using a cylinder with a nominal radius. Manually and analytically processed point clouds were investigated and mutually compared. The calculated RMSD value is up to 2 mm. Parallel cuts situated perpendicularly to the axis of the RK were created. Analysis of ovality (flattening) of the shell was performed. Additionally, we also present the effect of gradually decreasing density (number) of points on the carrier tires for their center derivation.
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1. Introduction

Rotary kiln (RK) is large-scale machinery operating under conditions of high temperature (about 1200 °C) and rapidly changing mechanical stress. RK is used mainly for metallurgical purposes when drying, roasting, sintering loose raw materials, and burning of limestone, bauxite, and sulfur. The material passes through a slightly inclined (in some cases horizontal) rotating cylindrical shell of the kiln with refractory brick lining. The length of the RK is usually between 40 and 200 m, with a cylinder
diameter of 2–6 m. The weight of the kiln is up to several hundred tons, depending on its length. The slope of the furnace is usually between 0% and 6%. The outer temperature of the shell during operation reaches up to 450 °C. The speed of the steady rotation is 1 to 1.5 rpm.

The body of RK is placed on several pairs of radial rollers. Rotation of the shell is provided by rings (carrier tires) made of cast steel. The radial rollers are placed in bearings. Bearings of the rollers are mounted on base frames made of cast steel, on a concrete basement. The radial rollers’ position defines the longitudinal axis’s spatial position, and they are used for its rectification. Axial rollers ensure the position of the shell in the longitudinal direction. Figure 1 shows the generalized scheme of the configuration of elements of the rotary kiln: Where (a) is a shell of RK, (b) are carrier tires, (c) are radial rollers, (d) is an axial roller, (e) are basement pillars.

![Figure 1. Scheme of geometric features of a rotary kiln, side, and top view.](image)

Inconsistency of geometrical parameters—in particular, the symmetry of the support rollers and the kiln shell along the longitudinal axis can result in permanent or temporary deformation of the shell (Figure 2a). As a result of this deformation, the inner refractory lining is damaged. When the kiln shell is deformed during its rotation, the kiln lining is loosened (Figure 2c) against the perfect state (Figure 2b), followed by a movement of a brick (Figure 2d), and finally breaking the lining of the kiln (Figure 2e). The damaged area of the shell without lining is prone to overheats and local damages of the kiln shell. Such a condition then requires a long-term overhaul [1].

Overheating is the main cause of shell deformation [2]. Problems of deformation of rotary kilns are dealt with in contributions [3–7].

![Figure 2. Degradation of the refractory brick lining [6] (a) cross-section of RK, (b) perfect state, (c) loosened lining of RK, (d) moved lining brick, (e) break of moved lining.](image)
The RK shell’s actual shape in every cross-section is simplified to an ellipse with semiaxes $a$ and $b$. The degree of ovality is described by the formula $\omega = 2(a - b)$. Practically, the angle between the maximum and minimum value is not equal to 90°. Therefore, the formula for ovality calculation can be generalized as $\omega = 2(r_{\text{max}} - r_{\text{min}})$ where $r_{\text{max}}$ and $r_{\text{min}}$ are maximal and minimal radiuses of the shell. In practice, the percentage of the ovality and the following equation are used

$$\omega_0 = \frac{(r_{\text{max}} - r_{\text{min}})}{r} \cdot 100\%$$

where $r$ is the known nominal radius of RK shell [8].

The main geometric conditions for the operation of RK are: The longitudinal axis of the kiln and the axes of the carrier tires must be identical during operation; axes of the bearing of the radial rollers must be parallel and symmetrical with the longitudinal axis of the kiln; the correct clearance must be between the axial rollers and sidewalls of the tires, with respect to the temperature compensation in the longitudinal direction. Additional measurements are mainly a control measurement of the radius of bearing rings and radial rollers and the measurement of the RK shell [9,10].

The RK measurement methodology consists of the reference system’s design and realization (local horizontal and vertical coordinate system) [11]. Next is the measurement of the spatial relationships of the longitudinal axis of the kiln and axes of rollers (measurements of position, height, additional, and special measurements) and eventually of determination of the rectifying parameters [12].

In the traditional method used to determine RK’s geometric parameters, the sight/alignment line method is used for positional measurement. Precise optical theodolites are usually used. In such a case, the reference system points have the shape of one or two shifted alignment lines stabilized by markers on RK’s basements. The local coordinate system is generally chosen so that one of its axes’ direction is identical with the alignment line’s direction and the RK’s longitudinal axis. For height measurements, the method of leveling is used. The height measurement aims to determine the absolute or relative heights of the radial rollers’ axes and the height of the carrier tire of RK shell. Precision analysis of geometric parameters for the RK’s alignment in the cold state is described in [12].

The use of total stations (TS) and especially TLS are currently the preferred technologies of geodetic measurements of spatial objects [13–15]. Lots of experts also make achievements using TLS in several areas of research in construction and industry [8]. Malowany in [11] used a TLS method for the determination of the boiler geometry. Nuttens [16–18] assessed the applicability of terrestrial laser scanning for ovality monitoring of newly built tunnels. Wang applied the TLS method for tunnel measurements in [19]. Stability monitoring of architectural structure using laser scanning technique deals Xue in paper [20]. TLS method has also been used in the monitoring of bridges [21,22]. TLS was applied for the measurement of defects on concrete surfaces in [23]. For the deformation measurement of objects, the TLS method was used in works [24–26]. TLS is also a proven method of collecting spatial data; it is used as a reference for verification of reliability for other methods [27].

There are only a few current articles dealing with the rotary kiln geometry. Mostly older articles are available as [28–30].

Many online commercials confirm the importance of research of options for RK measurement, such as [31–35]. In doing so, companies use standard classical measurement methods. Only in [36] the TLS approach to determine the parameters of the RK is indicated.

The accuracy of scan registration using the planar target is shown in [37–39]. The accuracy of the TLS method is dealt with in the works [40–42] with excellent results. We have therefore decided to apply it to the measurement of a rotary kiln. An integral part of the processing of the acquired point cloud is segmentation, which is laborious. Point cloud segmentation groups points with similar attributes with respect to geometric, colorimetric, radiometric, and/or other information. There are different methods and approaches. Segmentation of points based on geometry, intensity, or color is described, for example, in [43–46]. Automated and semi-automated procedures are listed in [47–53].

Due to the specific shape of the object and the purpose of the work, we designed and tested a new
procedure based on an analytical solution of the RK shell’s geometry in correlation with the number of
points in the histogram intervals.

The aim of our research is the application of TLS measurement and verification of the analytical
solution for the selection of relevant points on the RK object to determine its geometry and rectification
parameters. According to the scope of this study, a workflow scheme (Figure 3) was prepared.

![Workflow—scheme.](image)

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area—The Object of Research

The TLS survey was realized for the rotary kiln in a cement plant near Košice, Slovakia (Figure 4). The kiln is approximately 80 m long, the theoretical inclination of the longitudinal axis is 3.5%, the
diameter is 5000 m. The rotary kiln is placed above the ground on three separate foundations. The
propulsion with the gearbox is located in the first basement. The transmission of rotary force is
provided by a gear tire engaged with the pinion of the gearbox. An axial roller guarantees the stability
of the RK in the longitudinal direction on the first basement. This RK is on duty for more than 45 years.
2.2. Surveying Equipment and Data Acquisition

The in-situ TLS survey was realized during the kiln shutdown and its overhaul—the so-called “cold state”. The measurement was carried out in the local coordinate system used across the whole factory. The positive direction of the axis Y is parallel to the nominal axis of the RK.

The survey was connected to the points of the local survey net of the plant in the vicinity of the RK. The location of all survey points distinguished by color is shown in Figure 5. Given its size, this net is established as planar, i.e., the net scale factor is 1. The connection points are marked by nails in the concrete foundations of buildings and technological objects. Leica TCRA 1201+ total station (TS) and the method of the temporary station for the connection measurement were used (Figure 6a). The horizontal (X and Y directions) and vertical (Z-direction) RMSD of these points and coordinates of free station derived from the reference network points is less than $\pm 1$ mm.

Figure 5. An overview of the location of all survey points distinguished by color: 1—connecting points of the survey net; 2—ground control points (GCP); 3—scanning stations; 4—total stations (TS) survey station.
Ground Control Points (GCP) for the TLS were marked temporarily. Leica circular HDS targets on geodetic tripods and mini-tripods and magnetic targets (Figure 6b) were used to connect the TLS survey to a common coordinate system. The spatial polar method with reflectorless distance measurement from a single set-up was used to determine the center of the targets’ coordinates. The measurement was done in two faces of instruments’ telescope.

Total station’s selected technical specifications include an angular accuracy (horizontal and vertical) of 0.3 mgon, and the distance measurement accuracy, which is according to ISO 17123-4 1 mm + 1.5 ppm in the standard measurement mode. A red laser with a 633 nm wavelength may be used in the phase measurement configuration when accuracy reaches 2 mm + 2 ppm [54]. For measurement of the orientations, Leica GMP 111 mini prism was used. Automatic Target Recognition (ATR) was applied. The ATR accuracy 0.3 mgon (standard deviation), according to ISO 17123-3.

The horizontal accuracy of GCP determination is expressed by the standard deviation m_p of the measured point according to Equation (2):

$$m_p^2 = m_{pA}^2 + s^2 \cdot m_z^2 + s^2 \cdot \sin^2 z \left( \frac{m_\omega}{\rho} \right)^2 + s^2 \cdot \cos^2 z \left( \frac{m_\omega}{\rho} \right)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where:
- \(m_{pA}\) — standard positional deviation of the connection points,
- \(s\) — slope distance,
- \(z\) — zenith angle,
- \(m_\omega\) — standard deviation of the horizontal angle,
- \(m_z\) — standard deviation of the zenith angle,
- \(m_s\) — standard deviation of the distance,
- \(\rho\) — coefficient of angular conversion.

The vertical accuracy of GCP is determined by the standard deviation \(m_h\) derived for trigonometric height measurement by Equation (3):

$$m_h^2 = m_{hA}^2 + \cos^2 z \cdot m_z^2 + s^2 \cdot \sin^2 z \left( \frac{m_\omega}{\rho} \right)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where:
- \(m_{hA}\) — standard height deviation of the connection points.

For the GCP internal accuracy for the furthest measured points from the standpoint, where \(s = 80\) m, \(z = 105\) g; the values of standard deviations were calculated \(m_p \leq 2\) mm and \(m_h \leq 2\) mm.

For the TLS survey, Leica ScanStation C10 laser scanner instrument (Figure 6c) was used. The technical parameters of the scanner are described in [55]. The TLS survey was performed from five survey stations with a direct connection to GCPs by the resection method. The mutual registration of scans was performed directly in the field. The total accuracy of scan registration was up to 2 mm. This
corresponds to the value of RMSD in determining a temporary station. The scanning resolution was set to 1 cm at a distance of 40 m.

During the surveying time, some obstacles to measuring the entire rotary kiln shell were detected, the permanent ones were, e.g., fans, pipelines, heat and dust deflection shields, and safety shields (Figure 7). Due to the RK’s ongoing reconstruction, a work construction platform was placed at the outlet part of the RK.

Figure 7. Permanent obstacles for laser scanning.

Our verification measurement of RK’s shell by TS was done in three sections, approximately in the middle part of RK. It was used for selective control of the laser scanning cloud. Since the TS measurement with the spatial polar method is a more precise method, we consider it as a reference.

3. Data Processing

The merged TLS point cloud with overlapped scans was unified by spatial filtering to 10 mm resolution in the preprocessing data phase with the use of Trimble Realworks® software. To verify the quality of the scanned point cloud of RK, CloudCompare was used (function Cloud to Cloud was applied, with local modeling enabled (Table Local modeling, Local model option 2D1/2 Triangulation)). RMSD 2 mm with a maximum 4 mm characterizes a sufficient match of TS and TLS measurements. The RK shell has a cylindrical shape, so this comparison compares by matching the shell radius to the match of its position in the Y, Z axes. Therefore, we consider TLS data to be correctly localized for this study. The general equation for the RMSD is following:

$$\text{RMSD} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_i - r)^2}$$

where $r_i$ are the determined radiuses, and $r$ is the nominal, or approximate radius of the RK.

3.1. Preliminary Longitudinal Axis Investigation

The raw point cloud contains all points obtained by a laser scanner—about 20 million points. It was necessary to cut and remove unwanted points manually and retain points on the kiln body for further processing.

Carrier tires with radial rollers are the only carrier feature of the whole RK. They have a determining influence on all other parts of the RK’s geometry, including its shell. Therefore, the centers of the support rings define the longitudinal axis of the kiln. The measured points on the surface of rings A to C were manually segmented and stored in separate files (Figure 8 above). To determine the centers of the rings, mathematically defined cylindrical bodies were translated by these data in the Trimble Realworks® software (Figure 8 below). The number of points in the sets, the fitting parameters, and the cylindrical bodies’ positions are given in Table 1; Table 2. In the overall view are displayed on Figure 9.
Figure 8. Point clouds (a–c) and cylinders (d–f) fitted on tires of the rotary kiln (RK) (tires A, B, C).

| Tire | Parameter                  | Manual Point Cloud Segmentation | Analytical Solution—Preselection | Analytical Solution—Fine Segmentation |
|------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| A    | Number of points           | 16,084                          | 16,544                           | 7485                                   |
|      | Radius of RK               | 3.0315 m                        | 3.0320 m                         | 3.0315 m                               |
|      | Cylinder fit RMSD          | 2 mm                            | 10 mm                            | 1 mm                                   |
|      | Direction of axis          | −0.999; −0.001; 0.035           | −1.000; −0.003; 0.026            | −0.999; −0.001; 0.035                   |
|      | Percentage of remaining    | 0.54%                           | 0.54%                            | 0.25%                                  |
|      | points                     |                                 |                                  |                                        |
| B    | Number of points           | 76,542                          | 53,447                           | 15,781                                 |
|      | Radius of RK               | 3.0965 m                        | 3.0910 m                         | 3.0965 m                               |
|      | Cylinder fit RMSD          | 2 mm                            | 19 mm                            | 1 mm                                   |
|      | Direction of axis          | −0.999; −0.001; 0.036           | −0.999; −0.006; 0.042            | −0.999; −0.001; 0.035                   |
|      | Percentage of remaining    | 0.55%                           | 1.78%                            | 0.53%                                  |
|      | points                     |                                 |                                  |                                        |
| C    | Number of points           | 3573                            | 4500                             | 2152                                   |
|      | Radius of RK               | 3.0525 m                        | 3.0475 m                         | 3.0525 m                               |
|      | Cylinder fit RMSD          | 1 mm                            | 12 mm                            | 1 mm                                   |
|      | Direction of axis          | −0.999; −0.000; 0.036           | −0.998; −0.005; 0.059            | −0.999; −0.000; 0.035                   |
|      | Percentage of remaining    | 0.12%                           | 0.15%                            | 0.07%                                  |
|      | points                     |                                 |                                  |                                        |
Table 2. Fitted carrier tires cylinder centers—comparison of coordinates.

| Tire | Coordinates of Tire Cylinders Centers | Manual Point Cloud Segmentation [m] | Analytical Solution—Preselection [m] | Analytical Solution—Fine Segmentation [m] | Difference Manual vs. Analytical Segmentation [mm] |
|------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| A    | Y                                    | 580.489                           | 580.526                             | 580.485                                  | +4                                           |
|      | X                                    | 1006.564                          | 1006.565                            | 1006.564                                 | 0                                             |
|      | Z                                    | 206.173                           | 206.164                             | 206.173                                  | 0                                             |
| B    | Y                                    | 551.599                           | 552.089                             | 551.601                                  | −2                                           |
|      | X                                    | 1006.551                          | 1006.553                            | 1006.551                                 | 0                                             |
|      | Z                                    | 207.192                           | 207.164                             | 207.190                                  | +2                                           |
| C    | Y                                    | 518.619                           | 518.617                             | 518.621                                  | −3                                           |
|      | X                                    | 1006.535                          | 1006.534                            | 1006.535                                 | 0                                             |
|      | Z                                    | 208.356                           | 208.354                             | 208.356                                  | 0                                             |

Figure 9. Carrier tires of the rotary kiln fitted on point cloud.

3.2. Analytical Point Cloud Segmentation

The longitudinal axis of RK investigation was a prerequisite for the proposed analytical solution, and at the same time, its exact position in the factory coordinate system was up to now unreliable. Graphical or manual selection of interesting features in a large point cloud is very time-consuming and error-prone. The analytical solution’s basic idea is based on calculating the distance of the point from the line, which is represented by two points on the RK’s real axis. Processing of the partially cut raw point cloud was performed in MatLab® environment. The core part of the procedure is shown in the following paragraphs.

The distance of a point to a line (Figure 10) in a three-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$ is mathematically defined as follows: Consider a point B and line p, which is given by the point A and by the direction vector of the line u, then the shortest distance $d$ of the point to the line is defined by the formula:

$$d = \frac{\|u \times AB\|}{\|u\|}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where
Figure 10. Distance from a point to a line in three-dimensional Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^3 \).

\[ d \] — A distance of a point in the point cloud to the real axis of RK,
\[ u \] — direction vector of the true longitudinal axis of RK,
\[ \overrightarrow{AB} \] — vector defined by the point of the RK axis and point of the point cloud.

For every point in the point cloud, the distance to the real kiln axis was calculated. The whole raw point cloud contained about 20 million points, including unnecessary points on a distant object. The manually cut point cloud, which contained 6,422,263 points (Figure 11) on RK and its periphery, was processed by MatLab software. As a result, the perpendicular distances of each point to the kiln axis were obtained. The histogram function was repeatedly applied to count the number of points in each interval. The peaks of the function were traced and reviewed. Separate data files for the partial point clouds were created for every investigated peak.

Figure 11. Point cloud containing points on RK and its surroundings with radius up to 16,000 mm.

Using the calculated distances of points to the RK axis in the whole point cloud, the preliminary histogram was created. The range of the investigated distances is up to 16,000 mm, and the width of the intervals is 100 mm (Figure 12). Since the points on the shell and carrier tires are the closest to the kiln axis, in another part of the gradual selection of points, only the Point cloud with the distance from the kiln axis of up to 3200 mm was processed.
Figure 12. Frequency histogram of the radiiuses.

Figure 13 shows that the point cloud with approximately 3 million points where their distance from the rotary kiln axis is up to 3200 mm contains indeed points forming the shell of the rotary kiln and the tires. For this point cloud, the following histogram of the calculated radiiuses with a width of the interval of 1 mm was created (Figure 14). The peaks of this graph show two significant areas. The first contains points on the shell; the second contains points on the three tires of the RK.

Figure 13. Point cloud containing points on RK with radius up to 3200 mm.

Figure 14. Frequency histogram of the radiiuses: Left—range of the radius 2.2–3.4 m; right—range 3.00–3.15 m.
4. Results

4.1. Segmentation of the Point Cloud on Carrier Tires

From the point cloud shown in Figure 13, based on the histogram of radius values in Figure 14, the separated files of the points representing the tire A, tire B, tire C, and the rotary kiln shell were created. The single tires’ radiuses were determined as a weighted average of the three most frequently occurring calculated values in the interval ± 1 mm around the radius’s modus value in the partial point cloud. The aim of this procedure was the most precise selection of the points for the best fit of the embedded cylinder and the best longitudinal axis of the RK investigation. Figures 15–17 shows the selection of the points in the point clouds on the carrier tires.

Afterward, the partial point cloud was created by MatLab® software, and it was further processed by Trimble Realworks® software. Cylinders were fitted through the partial point clouds. Their main geometric parameters were derived and compared in Table 1 with parameters obtained by the manual segmentation method described in Chapter 3.1, and the percentage of remaining points after the segmentation from the initial point cloud.
The centers of the fitted tire cylinders define the longitudinal axis of the RK. The conformity of the fitted cylinder center’s derivation coordinates between the results of manual point cloud selection and an analytical solution is shown in Table 2.

The differences between the Y coordinate of each selection method indicate the tire’s position along the RK’s longitudinal axis. Considering the shape of the measurement object, the transverse (X-axis) values, and vertical deviations (Z-axis) from the nominal longitudinal axis are essential. Table 2 shows the conformity of the centers of carrier tires in these directions, and therefore, the positional conformity of the RK’s longitudinal axis derived from the partial point clouds. The value of the longitudinal slope of the kiln is 3.526%.

4.2. Effect of Cloud Point Density on Center Coordinates and Diameter of the Tires

Additionally, to this study’s main goals, an analysis of the influence of gradually decreasing density (number) of points on the carrier tires on their centers’ derivation was performed. This is important for the correct statement about the geometry of the longitudinal axis. Trimble Realworks® software was used for spatial sampling of the point clouds obtained by the procedure described in Chapter 4.1. Separate objects (point-groups) were created for every reduced point cloud. For each object, a cylindrical shape was fitted. No additional cylinder parameters, for example, position or radius, were specified. The distances between points and the number of points selected are given in Table 3.

| Point Cloud Resolution [m] | Tire A [Points] | Tire B [Points] | Tire C [Points] |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 0.005                      | 15,895         | 75,821         | 3573           |
| 0.010                      | 15,073         | 47,725         | 3573           |
| 0.020                      | 9245           | 17,523         | 3573           |
| 0.030                      | 5288           | 9134           | 2350           |
| 0.040                      | 3560           | 5689           | 1835           |
| 0.050                      | 2642           | 3903           | 1198           |
| 0.060                      | 1981           | 2853           | 907            |
| 0.070                      | 1579           | 2163           | 725            |
| 0.080                      | 1282           | 1713           | 600            |
| 0.090                      | 1069           | 1400           | 500            |
| 0.100                      | 910            | 1151           | 426            |
| 0.110                      | 777            | 958            | 361            |
| 0.120                      | 676            | 820            | 314            |
| 0.130                      | 589            | 715            | 275            |
| 0.140                      | 522            | 630            | 242            |
| 0.150                      | 468            | 549            | 216            |
| 0.160                      | 420            | 498            | 196            |
| 0.170                      | 383            | 442            | 173            |
| 0.180                      | 351            | 403            | 157            |
| 0.190                      | 307            | 371            | 149            |
| 0.200                      | 286            | 334            | 132            |
| 0.250                      | 197            | 216            | 92             |
| 0.300                      | 147            | 163            | 65             |
Table 3. Cont.

| Point Cloud Resolution [m] | Tire A [Points] | Tire B [Points] | Tire C [Points] |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 0.350                     | 112            | 119            | 54             |
| 0.400                     | 93             | 96             | 42             |
| 0.450                     | 76             | 83             | 34             |
| 0.500                     | 61             | 67             | 30             |
| 0.550                     | 53             | 54             | 25             |
| 0.600                     | 47             | 45             | 23             |
| 0.650                     | 43             | 41             | 22             |
| 0.700                     | 40             | 35             | 21             |
| 0.750                     | 38             | 35             | 19             |
| 0.800                     | 36             | 34             | 17             |
| 0.850                     | 31             | 30             | 14             |
| 0.900                     | 28             | 28             | 13             |
| 0.950                     | 27             | 25             | 11             |
| 1.000                     | 23             | 24             | 11             |

On the graphs (Figures 18–20), the center’s coordinates and the diameter of the tires A, B, and C, which are determined by the gradual fitting of the selected point cloud in the Trimble Realworks® software environment, are separately plotted.

Figure 18. Tire A—comparison of X, Y, and Z coordinates of the tire centers and their radiuses depending on the density of point cloud.
Figure 19. Tire B—comparison of X, Y, and Z coordinates of the tire centers and their radiuses depending on the density of point cloud.

Figure 20. Tire C—comparison of X, Y, and Z coordinates of the tire centers and their radiuses depending on the density of point cloud.
The horizontal axis of the graph represents the selected distances between points in the cloud. The vertical axis shows the coordinates of the center and the diameter of each tire. In the direction of the X-axis (transversal direction), there are differences up to +/- 1 mm on all rings in the whole range of investigated values. This corresponds to an ideal circular shape of the ring, which we expected. The same applies to the values of Z-axis and the values of the radius. The values of X and Z-axes are essential for the determination of deformations, rectification values, and, therefore, correct operation of the kiln.

The filtration of points for the previous analysis was done in Trimble RealWorks software using the function Spatial Sampling—Random method. The points are then selected without taking into account their stationing. Therefore, the Y-axis coordinates of the ring centers have a scatter of up to 20 mm from the value of about 100 mm of the mutual distance of the points. The Y coordinate is only informative—it changes during the kiln operation; also, the differences in coordinates may arise due to the random selection of point throughout the ring width.

The graph shows that the decreasing density of the points has a negligible effect on the center’s resulting coordinates in the transverse direction or diameter of the tire. The red line shows the value of the center or radius of the tire, determined as a weighted average of the respective values.

### 4.3. Segmentation of the Point Cloud on the Shell of Rotary Kiln

From the point cloud in Figure 13, points from the rotary kiln shell were selected based on the calculation of the distance of points from the axis of the rotary kiln. When evaluating the histogram in Figure 14, a range from 2500 mm to 2600 mm for the point’s distance from the kiln’s real longitudinal axis was selected. These points were stored in a separate point cloud file. A graphical representation of this point cloud and the frequency of points in intervals is in Figure 21.

![Figure 21. Segmentation of the RK shell: Left—point cloud; right—histogram.](image)

The histogram of radiuses in Figure 21 with a 1 mm width of the interval shows significant areas corresponding to the graph’s peaks. Investigation of the area circled in Figure 21 was performed by a selection of the points in the range of the radius of 2575 mm to 2600 mm in a separate file. The assessment of this selection was executed by Trimble Realworks® software.

First selection—as a result, it was confirmed that these points are part of the shell of the RK (Figure 22), and they remain in the previous selection.

Second selection—as a result, it was identified that these are the carrier tires’ flanges, a cover of the gear tire, and the welded plates with stationing numbers of RK, which were removed as outliers (Figure 23).
4.4. Rotary Kiln Shell Deformation Analysis

The characteristics of point clouds and nominal cylinder parameters (from manual segmentation [53]), and the proposed analytical solution, which were used for further processing, are given in Table 4. Nominal cylinder is displayed on Figure 25.
Table 4. Parameters of the point clouds and theoretical cylinders—manual segmentation and analytical solution.

| Parameter                        | Manual Point Cloud Segmentation | Analytical Solution—Fine Segmentation |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Number of points                 | 2,963,072                       | 2,192,723                            |
| The radius of the fitted cylinder| 2.5395 m                        | 2.5390 m                             |
| Cylinder fit RMSD                | 12 mm                           | 13 mm                                |
| Direction of axis                | −0.999; −0.001; 0.035           | −0.999; −0.001; 0.035                |

Figure 25. The nominal cylinder, parameters are indicated in Table 3.

The separate manually selected and analytically created point clouds were compared to the next procedure’s nominal cylinder. The Realworks® software was used for this purpose. The obtained graphical results of the deformation of the RK shell with a color scale bar are shown in Figure 26 above. The projections onto a plane are shown in Figure 26 below.

Figure 26. The graphical representation of the deformation of the RK shell based on (A)—manually selected point cloud and (B)—analytical solution; displayed in a 3D view and as an unwrapped shell of the RK.

The extreme values of the calculated radius did not exceed the ±40 mm values for both methods of solution—manual segmentation and analytical solution. Mutual differences between separate files
(manually and analytically obtained) expressed as RMSD were less than 2 mm. A good agreement of these values and their confirmation can be seen in Figure 26. Maximal differences between both methods of processing were found around tire B in the middle of the RK. A comparison of the manual and analytical point clouds is shown in Figure 27 in an unwrapped form; and Figure 28 as a differential model. In manual segmentation, it is most difficult to filter out unnecessary details that do not belong to the shell surface and do not belong to further processing. There are welded flanges near every carrier tire, welded plates with stationing numbers of RK, etc. These elements are shown in Figure 27 in the shell’s unwrapped view and Figure 28, where the stationing plates are obvious. The maximum and minimum cloud-to-cloud differences determined by the procedure, as described in chapter 3, have values ranging from $-9$ mm to $+25$ mm. RMSD is 8 mm. Distribution of the deviations in the interval up to 100 mm is shown in Figure 29. 100 mm values correspond to welded marks of the kiln stationing sticking out into space. Significant values of deviations in the interval up to 15 mm are shown in Figure 30.

Figure 27. Comparison of manually and analytically segmented point clouds in unwrapped view.

Figure 28. Comparison of manually and analytically segmented point clouds in 3D view.
Figure 29. Distribution of the deviations between point clouds in interval up to 100 mm.

Figure 30. Distribution of the deviations between point clouds in interval up to 15 mm.
4.5. Analysis of the Coaxiality in the Cross-Sections and Ovality Ratio of the Rotary Kiln Shell

To determine the RK’s ovality, graphs corresponding to the cross-sections of the kiln shell were created. The interval between sections was set to 1 m. Fifty cross-sections in stationing were generated, where it was possible to make a complete cross-section from the point cloud. The selected graphs are shown in Figure 31. The red circle represents the nominal cylinder; the green curve represents the RK shell’s real surface in this cross-section. The results of the analytical segmentation are shown. Differences are triply amplified. The nominal and real centers of the RK shell are also specified and showed. By combining multiple cuts, the whole RK’s real longitudinal axis can be investigated in longitudinal section form (Figure 32). The results of determining the minimum and maximum radius of the shell and the calculated values of ovality $\omega_0$ on the selected six cross-sections are given in Table 5. It also shows the deviations of the kiln shell’s actual center from the theoretical axis in the transverse direction and in height.

Table 5. Radiiuses and ovality calculation in selected cross-sections.

| Cross-Section | $r_{\text{min}}$ [mm] | $r_{\text{max}}$ [mm] | $\omega_0$ [%] | $\Delta X$ [mm] | $\Delta Z$ [mm] | $R$ [mm] |
|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|
| 1             | 2527                  | 2558                  | 1.22           | 1              | −9             | 9      |
| 2             | 2526                  | 2559                  | 1.30           | 2              | −11            | 11     |
| 3             | 2515                  | 2570                  | 2.17           | 2              | −4             | 4      |
| 4             | 2510                  | 2560                  | 1.97           | −3             | −2             | 4      |
| 5             | 2512                  | 2547                  | 1.38           | −3             | −4             | 5      |
| 6             | 2515                  | 2547                  | 1.23           | −3             | −8             | 9      |

The eccentricity of the shell from the theoretical axis of the kiln determined from the cross-sections takes values from −36 mm to +40 mm in the whole range of the kiln and with regard to the eccentricity of the actual center of the section from −36 mm to +38 mm. The ovality parameter $\omega_0$ calculated from all 50 cross-sections, reached the value of 1.396%. Its maximum value in one cross-section is 2.28%. The maximal misalignment of the real center of the whole RK shell in cross-sections is 11 mm. The average eccentricity of the centers in cross-sections is 9 mm. Considering the length of the RK, approximately 80 m, these values are acceptable for the real operation.
Figure 31. The selected cross-sections of the RK shell. Linear units of the ovality [mm].
5. Discussion

This paper deals with the possibility and reliability of the TLS method for surveying of the RK. We expect the following benefits for our practice from our approach. TLS method is a fast, accurate, and reliable method to acquire a large amount of spatial data about the surveyed object’s large range. All in-situ TLS measurements of the selected RK were made within 5 h. About 22 million points from 4 stations were surveyed. For the proposed TLS method, it is also preferred that the measurement can be performed safely from the station on the ground, and also, several mutually registered stations of scanners can be applied. The whole measurement was taken during a service shutdown of the RK in cold status. In comparison with the traditional method of measurement, the advantage of this method is that the sequential rotation of the RK is not necessary. As a most significant disadvantage of the TLS method, we consider especially the fact that in most cases, it is impossible to survey the entire body of RK (for example, the axes of radial rollers or the whole shell of the kiln) because of the complex shape of the RK and permanent obstacles.

The accuracy of TS measurements results from the accuracy analysis according to Equations (2) and (3). Therefore, it can be considered as a reference and control methods of measurement for our new approach. The accuracy of the reference methods meets technical standards requirements—for example \[56,57\], local methodological guidelines, and the practical approach according to, for example, \[31–36\]. The TLS data’s direct control consisted of measuring 203 points on the kiln shell, approximately in its middle part. Then, the distances of the measured TS points to the point cloud obtained by TLS were compared. RMSD determined in CloudCompare software has a value of 2 mm, which we consider a successful control of measurement’s selected technology.

In TLS measurements, the results can also be affected by the scans’ mutual registration. Our direct field registration approach allows the first quality control of measurements directly in the field by determining the RMSD of the position and height of each temporary survey station. In our case, these values were up to 2 mm, which corresponds to the expectations according to the parameters of the used instruments \[54,55\]. Similar scan registration results were achieved also by, for example, \[11,18,38\].

![Figure 32. Longitudinal cross-section of the axis of the RK.](image-url)
The proposed analytical solution results for point cloud segmentation using frequency histograms in selected intervals of the body radius were verified against the basic approach—i.e., manual segmentation.

TLS measurement is fast, but the data processing is significantly slower. The most time-consuming part of the data processing phase is removing the maximum number of unnecessary points and keeping the maximum of the next processing’s important ones. Just an effort to save time and automate the process of segmentation in the point cloud was our motivation for the analytical solutions for point cloud processing. Based on our results, we can recommend our proposed point cloud segmentation process, especially for similar cylindrical bodies.

6. Conclusions

Our approach to the point cloud segmentation brings a new solution to spatial data processing using a mathematical, analytical method based on the histogram filtration. This approach can be applied to mathematically definable bodies.

A significant advantage of TLS measurements of a rotary kiln over traditional geodetic methods is the speed of data collection in the field and, subsequently, the possibility of obtaining various geometric parameters of the rotary kiln from one data set.

This study was conducted to investigate two main goals: First—Demonstrate the suitability of the TLS method to determine the geometric parameters of the RK and second—Design of an analytical solution for segmentation of the acquired point cloud so that the point cloud can be used for further processing. The results of the study show the suitability of both proposed solutions in such a specific environment. After comparing the manual point selection method with the analytical solution, we obtained almost identical results in the determination of selected geometric parameters of the RK. We were focused mainly on investigating carrier tires and their centers, the spatial position of the longitudinal axis of the RK, shell shape, and its deformation difference values against the nominal status. The results obtained by the proposed work can be used for practical tasks related to the RK’s maintenance and reconstruction, considering small differences between the two independent methods of data processing (manual and analytical). A significant advantage is a possibility of creating sections perpendicular to the kiln’s longitudinal axis at any stationing. From these cross-sections, the kiln shell ovality can be derived, which is documented in the form of circular diagrams and eccentricity of the furnace’s longitudinal axis in the form of the longitudinal profile along the length of the RK.
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