Application of the normalized largest eigenvalue of structure tensor in the interpretation of potential field tensor data
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Abstract
Obtaining horizontal edges and the buried depths of geological bodies, using potential field tensor data directly is an outstanding question. The largest eigenvalue of the structure tensor is one of the commonly used edge detectors for delineating the horizontal edges without depth information of the potential field tensor data. In this study, we presented a normalized largest eigenvalue of structure tensor method based on the normalized downward continuation (NDC) to invert the source location parameters without any priori information. To improve the stability and accuracy of the NDC calculation, the Chebyshev–Pade’ approximation downward continuation method was introduced to obtain the potential field data on different depth levels. The new approach was tested on various models data with and without noise, which validated that it can simultaneously obtain the horizontal edges and the buried depths of the geological bodies. The satisfactory results demonstrated that the normalized largest eigenvalue of structure tensor can describe the locations of geological sources and decrease the noise interference magnified by the downward continuation. Finally, the method was applied to the gravity data over the Humble salt dome in USA, and the near-bottom magnetic data over the Southwest Indian Ridge. The results show a good correspondence to the results of previous work.
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Introduction
The source parameters estimation of potential field tensor data is an important part for geological interpretation, which can provide the horizontal position and the buried depth of the geological bodies as an a priori information for potential field inversion (Li and Oldenburg 1998). The normalized full gradient (NFG) method proposed by the Russian Geophysical School (Elyssieva and Pašteka 2009, 2019) can be directly used to obtain the depth of the causative sources by the normalization on the analytic signals modulus of the downward continuation potential field, without requiring any geometric input parameters or assumptions regarding geological properties.

In recent years, tremendous progresses have been made in the implementation of the NFG technique (Dondurur 2005; Aydin 2007; Oruç and Keskinsezer 2008; Fedi and Florio 2011; Pamukcu and Akcgi 2011; Aghajani et al. 2011). Besides the potential field data, the NFG method has also been applied to the electromagnetic data (Dondurur 2005), self-potential data (Sindirgi et al. 2008) and seismic data (Karsli and Bayrak 2010). Fedi and Florio...
applied different normalization factors on analytical signals modulus and the downward continued potential field itself, and called this generalized method as normalized downward continuation (NDC). Based on the generalized NDC method, some authors have applied it on the local wavenumber (Ma et al. 2014), total horizontal derivatives (Li et al. 2014), directional analytic signals (Zhou 2015) and directional total horizontal derivatives (Zhou et al. 2017) to obtain the horizontal edges and the buried depth simultaneously.

The structure tensor is one of the image processing techniques and presents a local orientation in an n-dimensional space (Weickert 1999a, b). The largest eigenvalues of the structure tensor of the potential field data have been used to delineate the edges of the geological bodies (Sertcelik and Kafadar 2012; Oruç et al. 2013; Yuan et al. 2014). In contrast to traditional derivative-based edge detection methods, the structure tensor has a property of which can reduce noise in the data while enhancing discontinuity boundaries, due to a Gaussian envelop within it. Based on the advantages of the largest eigenvalue of the structure tensor, in this paper, we apply the NDC on the largest eigenvalue of the structure tensor of the downward continued field to estimate the depth and the horizontal edges. In order to increase the stability of the NDC process, the Chebyshev–Pade’ approximation downward continuation method developed by Zhou et al. (2018) was brought to compute each downward continuation level field. The synthetic models with and without noise and the real measured potential field data are utilized to validate the effectiveness of this new method.

### NDC of the largest eigenvalue of structure tensor

**Structure tensor of potential field tensor data**

Potential field gradient tensor data are the second-order space derivatives of potential field \( f \) (gravitational field or magnetic field) in the three orthogonal directions \( x, y \) and \( z \). The potential field gradient tensor matrix is

\[
F = \begin{bmatrix}
    f_{xx} & f_{xy} & f_{xz} \\
    f_{yx} & f_{yy} & f_{yz} \\
    f_{zx} & f_{zy} & f_{zz}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(1)

The original structure tensor consists of a Gaussian envelope and horizontal gradient tensor of the potential field data (Sertcelik and Kafadar, 2012). The expression of the original structure tensor matrix is

\[
M = G_\sigma \ast \begin{bmatrix}
    f_{xx}^2 & f_{xy}^2 & f_{xz}^2 \\
    f_{yx}^2 & f_{yy}^2 & f_{yz}^2 \\
    f_{zx}^2 & f_{zy}^2 & f_{zz}^2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(2)

where \( G_\sigma = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2} \right)} \), \( \delta x \) and \( \delta y \) are the standard deviations of Gaussian envelope in \( x \) and \( y \) directions. The homogeneous characteristic equation for 2D tensor \( M \) is

\[
\lambda^2 - \lambda(M_{11} + M_{22}) + (M_{11}M_{22} - M_{12}M_{21}) = 0.
\]  

(3)

The largest eigenvalue of matrix \( M \) is

\[
\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \left( M_{11} + M_{22} + \sqrt{(M_{11} - M_{22})^2 + 4M_{12}M_{21}} \right).
\]  

(4)

Sertcelik and Kafadar (2012) pointed that the largest eigenvalue \( \lambda \) can locate the edges of geological bodies. However, the balancing ability of equalizing the edge signal amplitude of large and small anomalies is weak. The larger standard deviation \( \delta x \) and \( \delta y \) can enhance the balancing ability, but reduce the resolution of the identified edges.

### NDC of the largest eigenvalue

The normalized full gradient (NFG) method (Elysseevia and Pasteka 2009; Zeng et al. 2002) is the normalization of the analytic signal modulus at different downward continuation levels. Fedi and Florio (2011) applied the normalization on the analytic signal and on the downward continuation potential field itself, called this generalized method as normalized downward continuation (NDC). The expression of NDC applied to the largest eigenvalue \( \lambda \) of the structure tensor matrix can be expressed as:

\[
N\lambda = \frac{\hat{\lambda}(x, y, z)}{N(z)},
\]  

(5)

where \( \hat{\lambda}(x, y, z) \) is the edge detector of the structure tensor at point \((x, y, z)\); \( N(z) \) is the normalization function. Here, arithmetic mean, median and geometric mean are used as the normalization function, shown as:

\[
\begin{cases}
    N(z) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{0}^{M} \hat{\lambda}(x, y, z) & \text{Arithmetic mean} \\
    N(z) = \text{median}(\hat{\lambda}(x, y, z)) & \text{Median} \\
    N(z) = \sqrt[4]{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_M} & \text{Geometric mean}
\end{cases}
\]  

(6)

where \( M \) is the number of all calculation points.
During the processing, there are many issues that needed to be overcome. The downward continuation plays the key role in the NDC method. The accuracy of the NDC method is determined by the stability of downward continuation method directly. Therefore, it is necessary to use a stable downward continuation in the calculation process. Many new stable algorithms have been introduced to implement the downward continuation method (Fedi and Florio, 2002; Cooper, 2004; Ma et al., 2013; Zeng et al., 2013, 2014; Zhang et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2018). Zhou et al. (2018) has compared the errors between the function exp(x) and its different approximation functions, including Taylor series, Chebyshev approximation, Pade’ approximation, and Chebyshev–Pade’ approximation. Comparison of results indicate that downward continuation based on Chebyshev–Pade’ approximation can obtain a more precise result. Therefore, in this study, the Chebyshev–Pade’ approximation downward continuation method was chosen for the NDC of the largest eigenvalue of the structure tensor.

In the frequency domain, the downward continuation can be denoted as:

$$\tilde{f}_h(\omega_x, \omega_y, \Delta h) = e^{\Delta h\omega_y} \tilde{f}(\omega_x, \omega_y),$$

where the downward continuation operator $e^{\Delta h\omega_y}$ can be approximated by the (three and two) terms of Chebyshev–Pade’ as follows (Zhou et al. 2018):

$$e^{\Delta h\omega_y} = \frac{0.9196 + 0.5667\Delta h\omega_y + 0.1467(\Delta h\omega_y)^2 + 0.01627(\Delta h\omega_y)^3}{0.9194 - 0.3528(\Delta h\omega_y) + 0.0403(\Delta h\omega_y)^2}. \quad (8)$$

Therefore, the expression of the (three and two) terms of Chebyshev–Pade’ approximation downward continuation is

$$\tilde{f}_h(\omega_x, \omega_y, \Delta h) = \frac{0.9196 + 0.5667\Delta h\omega_y + 0.1467(\Delta h\omega_y)^2 + 0.01627(\Delta h\omega_y)^3}{0.9194 - 0.3528(\Delta h\omega_y) + 0.0403(\Delta h\omega_y)^2} \tilde{f}(\omega_x, \omega_y), \quad (9)$$

where $f_h$ and $f$ are the gravity and magnetic data at two observation heights separated by a vertical distance $\Delta h$, respectively. $\tilde{f}_h$ and $\tilde{f}$ denotes the Fourier transform of $f_h$ and $f$, $\omega_x$ and $\omega_y$ are the wavenumbers $x$- and $y$- direction, and $\omega_r = \sqrt{\omega_x^2 + \omega_y^2}$ is the radial wavenumber. $\Delta h$ is a positive number for the downward continuation distance and vice versa for the upward continuation. Zhou et al. (2018) have pointed out that Chebyshev–Pade’ approximation can give a more precise approximation in the low frequency and it can suppress the high frequency. During the calculation of downward continuation, the short wavelength (high frequency) components can be suppressed by Chebyshev–Pade’ approximation. Therefore, we can obtain a stability and noise reduced potential field gradient data at different depths by using the Chebyshev–Pade’ downward continuation. The residual noise of the potential field gradient data at different depths can be further removed by the Gaussian envelop when using the NDC of the largest eigenvalue of structure tensor to estimate the geology source.

Therefore, the calculation procedures of the NDC of the largest eigenvalue of structure tensor are:

1. Calculate the potential field gradient data from the observed potential field data, or obtain from the real measurement.
2. Set a maximum depth $H$ (the depth that we want to know the maximum range of geologic source) for downward continuation, and divide the underground into $n$ layers ($i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n$).
3. Calculate potential field gradient data at the different depth levels by using the Chebyshev–Pade’ approximation downward continuation method.
4. Calculate the NDC of the largest eigenvalue of structure tensor of potential field data according to Eqs. 2, 3, 4, 5.

**Application to synthetic model data**

**Model 1: prism model**

In this section, we construct a prism gravity model with the center depth of 10 m to validate the effectiveness
of the new proposed method. The thickness is 5 m and
the contrasted density is 1 g/cm³. The 3D view of the
synthetic model and the synthetic gravity anomaly and
gravity gradient components \( f_{xz} \) and \( f_{zy} \) are shown in
Fig. 1. The Chebyshev–Pade’ approximation down-
ward continuation method is utilized to calculate differ-
ent depth-level fields with the interval of 1 m, and
the maximum depth is set as 25 m. In each depth level,
arithmetic mean, median value and geometric mean are
used as the normalization function to normalize the
largest eigenvalue of the structure tensor. In order to
compare the results conveniently, a cross section (the
white line in Fig. 1b) is intercepted from the 3D result
to display the character of the estimated depth results.
Figure 2a–c shows the cross-sectional results normal-
ized by arithmetic mean, median and geometric mean
with \( \delta x = \delta y = 0.01 \). All of their maximum values (the
white dot) can display the edge position and the center
depth of the prism at 10 m. Comparing these results,
the maximum values normalized by the median display
the center depth of the prism more clearly, shown in Fig. 2b.
Figure 2d shows the 3D view of the median normalized
result at different depths. We can see that at the depth
of 10 m, the median normalized result can display the
horizontal edge of the prism clearly. It demonstrates
that we can obtain the source edge position and the buried
depth information simultaneously by our new proposed
method.

**Model 2: prism model with noise**

To capture the effect of noise in the new proposed
method, we add 1% Gaussian noise to the synthetic
gravity gradient anomalies in Fig. 1c, d. The noisy grav-
ity gradients \( f_{xz} \) and \( f_{zy} \) are shown in Fig. 3. Figure 4
shows the cross section estimated depth results by the
NDC of the largest eigenvalue of the structure tensor
normalized by arithmetic mean, median and geometric
mean with different standard deviations \( \delta x \) and \( \delta y \). We
can see that all the results can display the depth infor-
mation with the maximum values. As we have discussed
in the theoretical part, the effect of noise can be sup-
pressed by Chebyshev–Padé downward continuation.
However, there are still some residual noise in the cal-
culated potential field gradient data. The large stand-
ard deviation \( \delta x \) and \( \delta y \) can remove the residual noise
disturbance more efficiently, and make the normalized
results more clearly. However, with the increasing of the
standard deviation \( \delta x \) and \( \delta y \), the resolution of the edge
information of the prism have been reduced and may
bring an error to the result. From Fig. 4i, when standard
deviation \( \delta x = 10 \) and \( \delta y = 10 \), the estimated depth by
geometric mean is 11 m, with an error of 10%. There-
fore, a suitable standard deviation \( \delta x \) and \( \delta y \) can balance
denoising ability and the resolution, and obtain a better
result. However, the choice of a suitable standard devia-
tion \( \delta x \) and \( \delta y \) depend on the noise level of the original
measured potential data. A large noise level need choos-
ing a large standard deviation, vice versa. In this model,
we can see that Fig. 4d, e with the standard deviation
\( \delta x = \delta y = 1 \) get a better result than others, which can
reduce the noise disturbance and retain the resolution
of the identified horizontal edges.

Figure 5 displays the horizontal and vertical profiles
of the distribution of the largest eigenvalues across, and
along the edge of the model 1. From Fig. 5a, we can see
that the normalized results by arithmetic mean, median
and geometric mean all can demonstrate the horizon-
tal edges model well. However, from Fig. 5b, we can
see that the extent of the maximum values of the result
normalized by median are corresponding to the verti-
cal edges of the causative body. Therefore, we consider
the normalized result by median can get a better result
normalization factors arithmetic mean and geometric
mean.

**Model 3: complex model with two prisms**

In order to test the application ability, a complex grav-
ity model was constructed which contains two ver-
tical-sided prisms with the center depths are 10 km
and 15 km. The thickness of both prisms is 5 km. The
contrasted density of the prisms is 1 g/cm³ and −2 g/
\( 2 \) g/cm³, respectively. The 3D view of the synthetic model
and the synthetic gravity anomaly and gravity gradient
anomalies \( f_{xz} \) and \( f_{zy} \) are shown in Fig. 6. The downward
continuation is utilized to calculate different depth-
level fields with an interval of 0.5 km, and the maxi-
mum depth is set as 25 km. Here, based on the results
of model 1 and model 2, only the median was chosen
as the normalization function to normalize the largest
eigenvalue of the structure tensor in each depth level
on this model. Figure 7c shows the cross section (white
line in Fig. 6b) estimated depth results, with the maxi-
mum values of the normalized results by median are 10
and 15 km for prism 1 and prism 2, respectively. Fig-
ure 7d shows the 3D view of the median value normal-
ized result at different depths. We can see that at the
depth of 10 and 15 km, the median value normalized
result can demonstrate the horizontal edge of the prism.
1 and prism 2 clearly. Figure 7e displays the identified horizontal edges of the two prisms in plan view, which was extracted from Fig. 7d by the method proposed by Blakley and Simpson (1986), which compared with the eight nearest neighbors of the identified horizontal edge grid in four directions (along the row, column, and both diagonals) to see if a maximum is present. We can see that the newly proposed method can obtain the source edge position and the buried depth information simultaneously.

We should reduce the magnetic anomaly to the pole before applying the new method to the magnetic anomaly to detect the horizontal edges and estimate the depth, as the horizontal derivatives of the magnetic anomaly are sensitive to magnetization direction (Ma and Li 2012).

**Application to real potential field data**

**Gravity anomaly of Humble salt dome**

To demonstrate the real application effect, the new method is applied to the residual Bouguer gravity anomaly caused by the Humble salt dome near Houston, Texas (Nettleton 1976), which was digitized by Shaw and Agrawal (Shaw and Agrawal 1997). Figure 8a shows the Bouguer anomaly where the grid interval is 100 m. The horizontal gravity gradients $f_{zx}$ and $f_{zy}$ are calculated numerically from gravity data using the method proposed by Minkus and Hinojosa (2001), shown in Fig. 8b, c. The interval of the depth level is 0.3 km, and the maximum depth is set as 9 km. Figure 9 shows the depth estimated results of NDC of the largest eigenvalue of structure tensor by median. The estimated center depth of the salt dome is 4.2 km by the maximum value of the
Fig. 2 Depth results estimated by the NDC of largest eigenvalue of structure tensor with different normalization functions, and the standard deviation in the Gaussian envelop is $\delta x = \delta y = 0.01$. a By arithmetic mean, b by median, c by geometric mean, d 3D view of the normalized result by median.

Fig. 3 Noisy gravity gradients of model 1 disturbed by 1% Gaussian noise. a Gravity gradient component $f_{zx}$, b gravity gradient component $f_{zy}$. 
Fig. 4 Depth results estimated by the NDC of largest eigenvalue of structure tensor with different normalization functions and different standard deviations $\delta x$ and $\delta y$ in the Gaussian envelop. 

- **a** by arithmetic mean ($\delta x = \delta y = 0.1$); 
- **b** by median ($\delta x = \delta y = 0.1$); 
- **c** by geometric mean ($\delta x = \delta y = 0.1$); 
- **d** by arithmetic mean ($\delta x = \delta y = 1$); 
- **e** by median ($\delta x = \delta y = 1$); 
- **f** by geometric mean ($\delta x = \delta y = 1$); 
- **g** by arithmetic mean ($\delta x = \delta y = 10$); 
- **h** by median ($\delta x = \delta y = 10$); 
- **i** by geometric mean ($\delta x = \delta y = 10$)

Fig. 5 The horizontal and vertical profiles of the distribution of the largest eigenvalues across, and along the edge of the model 1. 

(a) Horizontal profile; (b) vertical profile. The black dash lines outline the extent of the prism model in horizontal and vertical directions.
normalized results by median, shown in Fig. 9b. Besides, several other researchers have used different methods to interpret this anomaly. Table 1 is the comparison of the new method with previous salt dome depth estimation results, which proves the depth information obtained through the new method is reasonable. Figure 9c shows the horizontal slices of the NDC results normalized by the median at different depths, and Fig. 9d is the horizontal slice at the buried depth level 4.2 km in Fig. 9c, which indicates the horizontal extent of the dome in E–W direction is about 4 km while in N–S direction is about 2.5 km.

Near-bottom magnetic anomaly of Longqi hydrothermal sulfides
Magnetic surveys have been established as an effective method to explore hydrothermal sulfides and study the internal structure of sulfide deposits (Tivey et al. 1993, 1996; Szitkar et al. 2014a, b; Szitkar and Dyment 2015). We use the new method to a near-bottom magnetic data over the first observed active high-temperature hydrothermal vent field at the ultraslow spreading Southwest Indian Ridge (SWIR). The data were collected by the autonomous underwater vehicle ABE (Autonomous Benthic Explorer) on board R/V DaYangYiHao with a fixed driving depth 2625 m in Feb.-Mar. 2007 (Zhu et al. 2010;
Fig. 7 Depth estimated of the NDC of largest eigenvalue of structure tensor by median normalization function.  

- **a** Gravity anomaly of the cross section profile;  
- **b** the positions of the two prisms in vertical cross section;  
- **c** normalized depth result by median value;  
- **d** 3D view of the normalized result by median value;  
- **e** horizontal view of the identified edges of the prisms in Fig. 6a

Fig. 8 Gravity anomaly caused by salt dome near Houston (Nettleton 1976) and the calculated tensor component.  

- **a** Bouguer gravity anomaly,  
- **b** gravity gradient component $f_{zx}$,  
- **c** gravity gradient component $f_{zy}$.  

The white line in Fig. 8a is the cross section for Fig. 9
Tao et al. (2017). The measured line space is 250 m. The measured magnetic anomaly reveals a strong correlation with the topography. Zhu et al. (2010) pointed out that the entire local topography high is an excess magnetic volume. Figure 10 displays the measured topography, magnetic anomaly and the calculated two magnetic gradient components $f_{zx}$ and $f_{zy}$. From Fig. 10a, the magnetic anomaly deviates from the local topography, which indicates that the root of this excess magnetic volume is tilt. Here, our new method was used to estimate the depth of the magmatic volume. In the downward continuation, the interval of the depth level is 0.05 km, and the maximum depth is set as 2 km. Figure 11 shows the depth estimated results of NDC of largest eigenvalue of structure tensor by the median value. We can see that the estimated center depth is between the 0.55 and 0.80 km by the maximum value of the normalized results by median below the measurement plane. When removing the depth of seawater, the estimated depth of the magmatic volume is between 0.404 and 0.583 km below the measurement plane.

Table 1 Center depth results of Humble salt dome from different methods

| Method from different researches | Depth/km |
|---------------------------------|----------|
| Shaw and Agarwal (1997)         | 4.13     |
| Essa (2007)                     | 4.18     |
| Qruc (2010)                     | 4.12     |
| Ma et al. (2012)                | 4.14     |
| Geng et al. (2016)              | 4.5      |
| Zhou et al. (2017)              | 4.25     |
| New method                      | 4.2      |
| New method                      | 4.20     |
The estimated depth shows that magmatic volume is tilted, consistent with the interpretation result in Fig. 10a.

**Conclusions**

We have presented a source parameters estimation method based on the NDC of the largest eigenvalue of the structure tensor of the potential field tensor data, which can simultaneously locate the horizontal edges and the buried depth of the geological sources. The maximum value in 3D space can locate the position. Due to the use of a Gaussian envelop filter in the structure tensor, the new proposed method can reduce the noise effect that is magnified by the downward continuation. The method has been tested by synthetic data with and without noise, and satisfactory results are obtained. Finally, the method was applied to the real measured gravity data over the Humble salt dome in USA and the near-bottom magnetic data over the Southwest Indian Ridge successfully. The results show a good correspondence to the previous work results.

**Abbreviations**

NDC: Normalized downward continuation; NFG: Normalized full gradient; SWIR: Southwest Indian Ridge; ABE: Autonomous Benthic Explorer.
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