Hyperbranched Macromolecules: From Synthesis to Applications
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Abstract: Hyperbranched macromolecules (HMs, also called hyperbranched polymers) are highly branched three-dimensional (3D) structures in which all bonds converge to a focal point or core, and which have a multiplicity of reactive chain-ends. This review summarizes major types of synthetic strategies exploited to produce HMs, including the step-growth polycondensation, the self-condensing vinyl polymerization and ring opening polymerization. Compared to linear analogues, the globular and dendritic architectures of HMs endow new characteristics, such as abundant functional groups, intramolecular cavities, low viscosity, and high solubility. After discussing the general concepts, synthesis, and properties, various applications of HMs are also covered. HMs continue being materials for topical interest, and thus this review offers both concise summary for those new to the topic and for those with more experience in the field of HMs.
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1. Introduction

Dendritic macromolecules have unique architectures quite unlike their linear, branched, and crosslinked analogues. Dendritic macromolecules are classified as dendrons, dendrimers, or hyperbranched macromolecules (HMs, also called hyperbranched polymers), all of which are composed of successive branching units. Dendritic macromolecules have attracted considerable attention during recent decades, because of their unusual properties, such as low viscosity, high solubility, and high functionality (Table 1). These properties stem from their globular and spherical molecular architectures.

A dendrimer consists of two types of structural units: uniform terminal units on the globular surface and dendritic units inside. Thus, dendrimers have well-defined molecular weights with unique symmetric structures. The main drawback for practical applications of dendrimers is the tedious stepwise synthesis required, along with time-consuming purification at each step. Consequently, more efficient methods for production of dendritic macromolecules should involve less tedious synthesis procedures. This is possible by forming hyperbranched macromolecules (HMs). While dendrimers have well defined structure and molecular weight, HMs consist of a mixture of linear and branched units inside with multifunctional groups on their periphery. They still possess a highly branched architecture with a three-dimensional globular shape. The structural difference between dendrimers and HMs is ascribed to the difference in their formation mechanism; thus, it can be further related to their different synthetic approaches used for them. In the case of HMs, their termini are located on the periphery, which is similar to dendrimers. However, the structure of the former is irregular, because linear and branched units are randomly distributed within
the macromolecular framework (or polymer backbone). In brief, HMs have more irregular structures with polydispersity of molecular weight than do dendrimers, which have perfect structures with monodispersity of molecular weight [1–6]. Nevertheless, HMs have demonstrated several characteristics similar to those of dendrimers, including multifunctionality on their periphery, low solution (melt) viscosity, and better solubility [4]. This section focuses on the synthesis, properties, and the applications of HMs developed during the last decades.

Table 1. Comparison of hyperbranched macromolecules with linear polymers and dendrimers. Reproduced from [7] with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry.

|                      | Linear       | Hyperbranched | Dendrimer                |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| Structure            |              |               |                          |
| Topology             | 1D, linear   | 3D, irregular | 3D, regular              |
| Synthesis            | One-step, facile | One-step, relatively facile | Multi-step, laborious |
| Purification         | Precipitation| Precipitation or classification | Chromatography |
| Scaling-up           | Already, easy | Already, easy | Difficult               |
| MW ¹                 | Discreant    | Discreant     | Identical               |
| PDI ²                | >1.1         | >1.1          | 1.0 (<1.05)             |
| DB ³                 | 0            | 0.4–0.6       | 1.0                      |
| Entanglement         | Strong       | Weak          | Very weak or none        |
| Viscosity            | High         | Low           | Very low                |
| Solubility           | Low          | High          | High                     |
| Functional group     | At two ends  | At linear and terminal units | On periphery (terminal units) |
| Reactivity           | Low          | High          | High                     |
| Strength             | High         | Low           | Very low                |

¹ Molecular weight; ² Polydispersity index; ³ Degree of branching.

2. Synthesis of HMs

There are three main approaches to the synthesis of HMs: (i) step-growth polycondensation of \( AB_x \) \((x \geq 2)\) or \( A_2 + B_3 \) monomers, (ii) self-condensing vinyl polymerization, and (iii) ring-opening polymerization [8].

2.1. Step-Growth Polycondensation

This strategy involves the polymerization of \( AB_x \) \((x \geq 2)\) monomers via one-step polycondensation [9–15]. The primary advantage of this approach is that normal step-growth polymerization characteristics are obeyed. However, the main drawbacks include gelation, which often occurs during the polymerization. A monomer with functionality of three or more can form HMs and can fast reach gel point forming a cross-linked network structure even at low fractional conversion. The conversion, at which a tree-like topology turns into a network structure, is known as a gel point. The step-polymerization can be simply quenched the reaction prior to reach the gel point. Still, the purification is required to exclude minor cross-linked structures, and thus to afford pure desired HMs.

Another drawback is that the \( AB_x \) monomers employed have to be synthesized prior to polymerization and this is a distinct disadvantage for commercial applications. However, the step-growth polycondensation process offers diverse synthesis of HMs using a variety of available monomers, which provides the potential for preparation of a wide spectrum of functionalities.
AB2-type monomers are often used as building blocks, due probably to their easy synthesis (Scheme 1), while the other ABx (x ≥ 3) monomers have been reported for use in the preparation of hyperbranched polyesters [15,16] and polysiloxanes [17]. For example, 5-acetoxyisophthalic acid was used as the AB2 monomer in melt polymerization to prepare hyperbranched aromatic polyesters that were insoluble in organic solvents. This was due to intermolecular dehydration, which occurred between the carboxylic acid groups during melt polymerization. However, hydrolysis of the crude product produced a soluble hyperbranched polyester with a large number of carboxylic acid groups [18]. Aromatic-aliphatic hyperbranched polyesters were also prepared by forming benzyl ether linkages in the presence of K2CO3 and crown ether (18-crown-6) in acetone [19].

![Scheme 1. Synthesis of HMs via step-growth polycondensation. Reproduced from [9–11] with permission from the American Chemical Society.](image1)

### 2.2. Self-Condensing Vinyl Polymerization

Self-condensing vinyl polymerization was defined by Fréchet et al. [20]. This process involves the use of monomers that feature one vinyl group and one initiating moiety (AB* monomers) to generate HMs (Scheme 2). The activated species can be a radical, cation, or even a carbanion.

![Scheme 2. Synthesis of HMs via self-condensing vinyl polymerization. Reproduced from [21] with permission from the Springer Nature.](image2)

After the initiating moiety is activated, it is reacted with a vinyl group to form a covalent bond and a new active site on the α-carbon atom of the double bond. The number of activation sites increases in proportion with the propagation reaction in self-condensing vinyl polymerization, whereas two functional groups are always consumed during polymerization. Therefore, in this process, living/controlled polymerization systems are preferred in order to avoid crosslinking reactions (i.e., gelation) caused by dimerization or chain-transfer reactions.
2.3. Ring-Opening Polymerization

The third approach is called ring-opening polymerization (Scheme 3). Although the monomer itself does not contain branching points, these are generated through the propagation reaction, similar to that in the self-condensing vinyl polymerization (Scheme 2). Therefore, the monomer can be considered a latent AB₃ monomer. Polymerization is driven by addition of a proper initiator to the corresponding monomer. As an example, anionic ring-opening polymerization of glycidol was used to prepare hyperbranched aliphatic polyether that contained one epoxide and one hydroxy group, representing a latent AB₂ monomer [22].

![Scheme 3. Synthesis of HMs via ring-opening polymerization. Reproduced from [21] with permission from the Springer Nature.](image_url)

2.4. Alternative Routes for HMs

In addition to the three main routes discussed to prepare HMs, there are a few notable variants that merit discussion. As a consequence of the infrequent commercial availability of AB₂ monomers, other researchers have begun to focus on polycondensation of A₂ and B₃ monomers (the A₂ + B₃ route). Generally, the success of this approach is dependent upon many factors, including the ratio of functionalities, solvent and reagent purity, and the reaction time and temperature (conversion). This type of approach is obviously difficult to control and the resultant HMs often have high molecular masses upon gelation [23–25].

Other approaches led to polymers with topologies similar to that of comb or star shaped polymer architectures. The issue of polymerization control has proven to be paramount. In the case of the ‘graft onto’ approach, although steric and dilution effects limit the size of the polymers, they possess a high degree of branching. In the case of the ‘graft from’ approach, a high degree of control over the polymer architecture were obtained. A ‘graft onto’ polymerization was reported in 1991 [26]. Using the polyoxazoline approach, comb-burst poly(ethylenimine)-poly 2-ethyl-2-oxazoline copolymers, and poly(ethylenimine) homopolymers were produced. In contrast, the ‘graft from’ approach described, was used to form branched copolymers utilizing ‘living’ free radical polymerization in 1997 [27]. This approach was utilized to afford a wide variety of complex architectures in relatively few steps from commercially available monomers.

3. Properties of HMs

The physical properties of HMs are of key importance for their implementation in industrial applications. The viscosity of HMs, in both solution and molten states, has been found to be considerably lower than for their linear analogues [8,28]. Low-viscosity is one of the most interesting features of HMs, along with very good solubility in various solvents.
3.1. Solubility

The high solubility of HMs induced by a branched backbone is one important way that they differ from the linear polymers. Kim and Webster reported that hyperbranched polyphenylenes [11] had much better solubility in various solvents than did linear polyphenylenes. The solubility and solution behavior of HMs differ from those of linear ones. It is well known that the solution viscosity of dendritic macromolecules is lower than that of conventional linear polymers [18,29,30]. Such low viscosity indicates that dendritic macromolecules are less entangled due to their unique spherical shape. The relationship between intrinsic viscosity and molecular weight (MW) is shown in Figure 1. Dendrimers display a bell-shaped relationship, resulting from their well-defined globular structures. On the other hand, the intrinsic viscosity of HMs increases with MW, and the slopes of their plots are much lower than those of linear polymers. Moreover, the size exclusion chromatography (SEC) measurements indicated that the retention volume for HMs tended to be greater than that of linear polymers, when compared with the same MWs. The results suggested more compact conformation of HMs than of linear polymers in a solution.

![Figure 1. Relationship between log MW and log [n] for linear, dendrimer, and hyperbranched molecules. Reproduced from [31] with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry.](image)

3.2. Thermal Properties

HMs are mostly amorphous materials, though some exceptional examples have been reported. For example, HMs have been modified to induce liquid crystallinity [32,33] or crystallinity [34]. The lower glass transition temperature (T_g) of HMs than of linear polymers is another important feature. The glass transition behavior is related to the relatively large segmental motions within the polymeric frameworks, and the role of the end groups can be disregarded above a certain MW of a linear polymer. However, in the case of HMs, the segmental motions are strongly affected by the branching points, which induce large free volume, as well as the presence of abundant end groups. Therefore, the glass transition for HMs is strongly affected by the translational movement of the entire molecule instead of segmental movements [11,35]. Moreover, the chemical nature of HMs has a decisive effect on T_g. For example, an aliphatic polyester generally has a much lower T_g value than an aromatic polyester having the same MW [35].

3.3. Mechanical Properties

Mechanical properties (e.g., initial modulus, tensile strength, compressive modulus) reflect the highly branched, compact structures of these relatively new polymer architectures [36,37]. The less or non-entangled state of HMs imposes rather poor mechanical integrity, sometimes resulting in
brittleness. These features of HMs have limited their use in thermoplastics, in which mechanical strength is of importance. However, HMs can be used as additives for modification of viscosity to enhance the processability of thermoplastics.

4. Structure of HMs

4.1. Degree of Branching (DB)

A perfectly branched dendrimer is composed of two types of structural units: terminal units on the globular surface and dendritic units inside. On the other hand, HMs possess three types of structural units as illustrated in Figure 2: dendritic unit (D = fully incorporated with ABx monomer), terminal units (T = two unreacted B groups), and linear units (L = one unreacted B group). The linear segments are generally described as defects. Fréchet et al. [38] defined the term ‘degree of branching’ (DB) as:

\[
DB = \frac{D + T}{D + L + T}
\]  

where D, T, and L are the number of dendritic, terminal, and linear units, respectively. DB is one of the important characteristics that indicate the branching structure of HMs. Frey and colleagues [39] reported a modified definition of DB based on the growth directions as:

\[
DB = \frac{2D}{2D + L} = \frac{(D + T - N)}{(D + L + T - N)}
\]

where N is the number of molecules. The two Equations give almost the same DBs for HMs with high MWs. This is because the N in Frey’s equation is negligible in such cases.

![Figure 2. Different segment types in HMs.](image)

The DB of HMs can be measured via direct and indirect methods. The direct methods include NMR measurements and degradation of the polymer units. The model compounds need to be characterized by $^{13}$C-nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR). On the basis of $^{13}$C-NMR spectra, different peaks from the different branching units of HMs can be assigned. DB can also be calculated from integrals of the corresponding peaks [38]. In addition, an indirect method based on degradation of the hyperbranched backbone was introduced by Kambouris and Hawker [40]. The chain ends are chemically modified and then the hyperbranched skeleton is fully degraded by hydrolysis. The degradation products are identified using capillary chromatography. To use this technique successfully, there are two prerequisites. First, the chain ends must remain intact during the degradation, and second, conversion to elementary subunits must be complete [40].
DB can be altered or tuned to some extent \([41–46]\) via four major methods: (i) copolymerization of AB\(_2\) and AB monomers with different feed ratios \([13]\); (ii) changing the polymerization conditions such as temperature, the ratio of monomer to catalyst and solvent \([47–50]\), and the monomer pressure \([51,52]\); (iii) host-guest inclusion of AB\(_2\) or a multifunctional monomer \([53]\); and (iv) combinations of these three. Moreover, five methods have been tried to increase DB: (i) increasing the reactivity of the B’ group (residual functional group on the linear unit) \([54]\), (ii) addition of core molecules \([55]\), (iii) polycondensation of dendrons \([56]\), (iv) post-modification of the formed HMs to convert the linear units to dendritic ones \([57]\), and (v) using a special catalyst \([58]\).

4.2. Molecular Weight

Molecular weight (MW) and the polydispersity index (PDI) are significant parameters for determining the characteristics of HMs. Based on statistical and kinetic methods for HMs prepared by the polycondensation of AB\(_x\) \((x \geq 2)\) monomers, DP and PDI depend on conversion of the monomers \([59,60]\). Obviously, PDI increases with increasing conversion. Nevertheless, in some experiments, PDI could be narrowed by utilizing specific techniques, including: (i) slow addition of monomers \([61–65]\), (ii) copolymerization with core molecules \([55,63–67]\), and (iii) separation by dialysis or precipitation \([68]\).

5. Potential Applications of HMs

Generally, in comparison with linear analogues, HMs display many peculiar features, such as large number of reactive end-groups, few chain entanglements, and little or no crystallization (amorphous). The new properties allow them to provide new features such as large free volume, tailor-made properties, enhanced solubility, and low viscosity. To tune their properties, it gives rise to diverse HMs with desirable functional groups (e.g., –COOH, –OH, –NH\(_2\), O=C–NH\(_2\), etc.) and topologies such as segmented or sequential units. Benefiting from tunable nature and correspondingly new properties, the produced HMs have been widely applied in various new fields, including photoelectronics, nanotechnology, biomedicine, composites, coatings, adhesives, and modifiers (Figure 3).

Figure 3. Relationship between the structure and properties of HMs and their major applications. Reproduced from \([7]\) with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry.

5.1. Photoelectric Materials

When compared with linear polymers, conjugated HMs (CHMs) have better solubility and processability. Moreover, their highly branched and globular frameworks can prevent aggregation and reduce interunit reactions. Driven by the requirement for unusual properties, much effort has been devoted to the design and synthesis of CHMs.
With donor-π-acceptor chromophores, non-linear optical (NLO) materials play a significant role in latent electro-optic applications [69]. For high performance NLO materials, one of the daunting problems is how to eliminate intermolecular dipole-dipole interactions. Such defects can be efficiently restrained by building chromophores in the main-chain [70,71], side-chain [72,73], and periphery [74] of HMs.

To prevent undesired dipole-dipole interactions, direct polycondensation through an $A_2 + B_4$ route using Suzuki coupling reaction has been applied for the synthesis of soluble HMs (two hyperbranched NLO polymers HP1 and HP2 with isolated chromophores [70]. HP1 and HP2 from $A_4 + B_2$ (boronic ester) monomers, containing nitro-based chromophore and sulfonyl-based chromophore, were also prepared via click reaction. According to second harmonic generation measurements, the $d_{33}$ coefficients were 40.0 and 73.6 pm $V^{-1}$ with $\Phi$ values of 0.11 and 0.13. Peripheral chromophore-modified HMs can also reduce the dipole-dipole interactions. Although the content of such a chromophore is lower (~20–23 wt %) than that of their linear polymers, the $d_{33}$ coefficients are similar (up to 65 pm $V^{-1}$). The result can be attributed to their unique molecular architectures [75].

Among the diverse CHMs, polyfluorines (PFs) are very important candidates for blue light emitting diodes (LEDs) due to their desirable luminous intensity [76–83]. To reduce detrimental green emission and/or inherent ketonic defects, the incorporation of triazole, truxene, oxadiazole, or carbazole building units into hyperbranched polyfluorines (HPFs) has been used to improve their electron transport capabilities. A series of novel HPFs were prepared using Suzuki cross-coupling [78]. The resultant products were soluble in common organic solvents (i.e., CHCl$_3$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, and toluene) and displayed good thermal stability. Either in film or in chloroform solution, they exhibited absorption maxima at 349–378 nm (Figure 4). For an LED using HPF as the emitting layer, the blue emission was up to 212 cd m$^{-2}$ at about 19 V.

![Photoluminescence and absorption spectra of HPFs in CHCl$_3$. Reproduced from [78] with permission from the American Chemical Society.](image)

**Figure 4.** Photoluminescence and absorption spectra of HPFs in CHCl$_3$. Reproduced from [78] with permission from the American Chemical Society.

### 5.2. Stabilizers for Nanocrystals

Nanocrystals (NCs or nanoparticles) include insulator, semiconductor, and metal crystals that show unique size-dependent physical or chemical properties [84,85]. Spontaneous aggregation of NC particles leads to degradation of performance. Therefore, to minimize the problem, HMs are often used as stabilizers in the preparation of NCs due to their special characteristics, such as their specific three-dimensional structure, good solubility, and lots of intramolecular hollow space (free-volume).
The influence of the HM structure on the synthesis of NCs is mainly shown in the following three aspects: (i) their unique 3D structure can provide sufficient hindrance, and thus can efficiently suppress the aggregation tendency of NCs, (ii) the presence of many cavities in the HM templates confines the free diffusion of NC precursors, and hence are useful for controlling the size of NC particles, and (iii) the terminal groups of HMs provide enough functional flexibility to facilitate the synthesis and dimensional control of NC particles.

Three methods have been reported for the synthesis of NCs: (i) HMs first (HMs use as stabilizers to directly prepare NCs); (ii) ligand exchange (NCs-coated surfactants or linear polymers as ligands are exchanged into an appropriate HMs); and (iii) NCs first (the grafting or in-situ growth of HMs occurs on the surface of NCs) (Figure 5).

Figure 5. HMs as stabilizer for nanocrystals (NCs): (A) HMs first, (B) ligand exchange, and (C) NCs first. Reproduced from [7] with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry.

To date, six major kinds of HMs have been employed to prepare NCs. As shown in Figure 6, the acronyms of these HMs are hyperbranched polyamidoamines (HPAMAM) [86,87], hyperbranched poly(ethylene imine) (HPEI) [88–90], hyperbranched polyglycerol (HPG) [91–93], hyperbranched polyester (HPE) [94,95], hyperbranched poly(acryl amide) (HPAM) [96–98] and hyperbranched poly(ether polyols) (HPEO) [99]. Using these HMs as stabilizers, various semiconducting and metallic-conducting NCs have been prepared for diverse applications.

Most quantum dots (QDs) are synthesized using the ‘HMs first’ approach [88–90,100–104]. Hydroxyl-ended HPG (Mₙ > 20000 g mol⁻¹) was directly used as the stabilizer to prepare QDs that included ZnS, Ag₂S, PbS, CuS, and CdS [92]. Due to the role of HPG, various QDs displayed good solubility in water and DMF, and also showed low toxicity with good biocompatibility. Excluding unmodified HPGs, thioether-functionalized HPGs could be employed to prepare CdS and CdSe QDs [93]. Interestingly, the sizes of the resultant QDs depended on the molecular weights of the modified HPGs. In addition, the ligand-exchange strategy showed its superiority with regard to the size control of the NCs, because NC particles can be pre-formed. HPEI exchanged with hydrophobic surfactants of CdSe@ZnS QDs, can form very stable colloids in chloroform [105]. Compared with the aforementioned approaches, surface chemical grafting onto QDs is a more reliable way to stabilize NCs. Coating QDs with a protective shell can effectively avoid fluorescence quenching or the release of toxic metal ions [106–109].
Incidentally, multifarious factors, such as DB, the reaction temperature, and the concentration of metal ions, contribute to the particle size of NCs [110–112]. Other than monometallic (Au, Ag, Pt, Pd, and Ru) NCs, bimetallic (Au/Pt, Au/Pd, and Au/Ru) NCs [98] and smart HM-stabilized NCs [113] (thermo- or pH-responsive ones) have also easily been achieved using a similar strategy.

5.3. Bio-Applications

Similar to the amphiphilic linear block copolymers, amphiphilic HMs can be self-assembled into various supramolecular structures in solution or through interfacial self-assembly. Supramolecular structures have potential applications in biomedical areas, because of their biocompatibility and adjustable molecular architectures. Hyperbranched polyethers, polyesters, polyphosphates, and polysaccharides could be candidates for biomedical uses in areas including cytomimetic chemistry, drug delivery, gene transfection, antimicrobial material, and bio-imaging fields [114–116].

Compared with small molecular liposomes, the HM vesicles (HMVs) formed, display lower membrane fluidity and higher stability. HMVs can induce multivalent interactions among vesicles, like a biomembrane does. Moreover, the size of HMVs is very close to that of a cell, allowing direct observation through optical or fluorescent microscopy. Zhou and Yan revealed that membrane fusions were initiated even by small perturbations or by changing the osmotic pressure [117,118].

Apart from cytomimetic chemistry, supramolecular aggregates formed by HM self-assembly have been utilized to load drugs. Compared with naked drugs, HM-drug complexes can improve solubility and prolong service time. At the same time, they can easily penetrate cell membranes and selectively accumulate, as well as be retained, at tumor sites [119].
Cationic HMs (e.g., hyperbranched polyethylenimine, HPEI) mixed with electronegative DNA can form HM-DNA polyplexes for gene transfection. Compared with viral vectors, HMs displayed various advantages such as higher safety, weaker immune responses, more facile synthesis, and easier operation [120–126].

HMs have also been widely used as antibacterial/antifouling materials. Due to their good biocompatibility and chemical stability, HPGs are promising antifouling materials that can be employed to prevent the attachment of proteins [127].

In the bio-imaging field, HM-probe-conjugates with good water solubility and available functional groups are good solutions to problems associated with low quantum yield and poor specificity. Zhu and Yang grafted fluorescein isothiocyanate on peripheral hyperbranched polysulfonamine (HPSA) through the reaction of isothiocyanate and a primary amino group [128,129]. With low cytotoxicity and good serum compatibility, the HPSA-probe conjugate can be used for bio-imaging or for tracking cells [125].

Star-like HMs (HCP-N-PEG and HCP-O-PEG) have an hyperbranched conjugated polymer (HCP) core and linear polyethylene glycol (PEG) arms. They showed superior fluorescein response sensitivity compared to that of small fluorophores, and could be used as drug carriers for tumor therapy (Figure 7) [130].

Figure 7. (a) Synthesis of HCP-N-PEG and HCP-O-PEG conjugated copolymers; (b) Self-assembly of conjugated copolymers and their endocytosis in tumor cells. Reproduced from [130] with permission from the American Chemical Society.
5.4. Carbon Nanomaterial/HM Nanocomposites

Because of their highly branched architecture, HMs have less intermolecular entanglement, which leads to good solubility, low viscosity, and unusual rheological properties. Their unique 3-D architecture offers enough steric hindrance to avoid aggregation of the nanoparticles. Therefore, HPs are good dispersants and surface modifiers for carbon nanomaterials, such as carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and graphene (or graphene nanoplatelets).

When dendritic sulfonated hyperbranched poly-(ether-ketone) (SHPEK) was grafted onto the surfaces of multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWCNT or MWNT), the resultant nanocomposites (e.g., SHPEK-g-MWCNT) were easily dispersible in water (zeta potential of \(-57.8 \text{ mV}\); see Figure 8). SHPEK-g-MWCNT film showed sheet resistance as low as 63 \(\Omega\)/sq and high electrocatalytic activity for the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR), without heteroatom doping onto the MWCNT framework [131].

\[ \text{Figure 8.} \quad \text{(a) Schematic demonstrations for SHPEK-g-MWCNT. (b) Zeta-potential curve of SHPEK-g-MWCNT (Inset: a photograph of the solution with hand-held laser shining). (c) Cyclic voltammograms in nitrogen- and oxygen-saturated 0.1 M aqueous KOH solution for SHPEK-g-MWCNT. (d) RDE voltammograms in oxygen-saturated 0.1 M aq. KOH solution with a scan rate of 0.01 V/s at different rotation rates. Reproduced from [131] with permission from the American Chemical Society.} \]

Carbon nanomaterial/HM nanocomposites exhibited enhanced performance due to their favorable synergetic effects [132,133]. HMs exhibit low intrinsic viscosity, thus endowing the nanocomposites with good processability. There are two major methods for preparing nanocomposites or hybrids: (i) direct mixing of HMs with carbon nanomaterials and (ii) in situ polymerization of HMs in the presence of carbon nanomaterials. If HMs and carbon nanomaterials are linked by covalent bonds, the phase separation issue at the interface can be efficiently eliminated and the overall performance is greatly enhanced.

In the case of HPPS-g-MWCNT prepared from grafting of hyperbranched poly(phenyl sulfide) (HPPS) onto the surface of MWCNT, the dispersibility and melt-processability of the nanocomposite were significantly enhanced. Thus, the nanocomposite specimens could be easily compression-molded. Without chemical doping, the surface conductivities of as-prepared HPPS-g-MWNT film were in the semi-metallic transport region (3.56 S cm\(^{-1}\)) [134].

Graphene has attracted increasing attention and been subjected to rapid development because of its unique atom-thick 2-D structure and excellent properties. It has a wide range of promising potential applications [135,136]. Exfoliation of graphite to produce graphene could be achieved very simply
by a wedge effect using HMs. In situ ‘direct’ grafting of HMs to the edges of pristine graphite could exfoliate graphitic layers to form graphene (Figure 9). Due to the 3-D molecular architectures of HMs, the solubility of HM grafted graphene is profoundly improved compared with grafting of its linear analogue. This result is because HM provides numerous polar peripheral groups that not only act as macromolecular wedges, but also exhibit chemical affinity for solvents [137].

**Figure 9.** (a) ‘Direct’ Friedel-Crafts acylation reaction between graphite and HPEK in PPA/P\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} medium. TEM images: (b) HPEK-g-graphite; (c) ‘Edge-on’ view (Inset: a selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern obtained from the basal area). Reproduced from [137] with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry.

Graphene oxide (GO) possesses many available functional groups (e.g., hydroxyl and epoxide groups) on its basal area and along edges [138], which allow further chemical modification. Furthermore, these functional groups endow GO sheets with strong hydrophilicity, which makes GO fully dispersible in water or polar solvents (such as DMF and NMP) [139]. Through a liquid crystal self-templating methodology, next-generation continuous nacre-mimics with extreme strength and toughness have been achieved [140,141]. Hierarchically assembled fibers exhibited the highest tensile strength (652 MPa) and excellent ductility, with a toughness of 18 MJ m\textsuperscript{-3}. The outstanding mechanical performance of GO-HPG fibers is ascribed to their hierarchically assembled structure and uniform alignment of GO sheets (Figure 10).
Figure 10. (a) Image of a 30 m long GO-HPG gel fiber (scale bar = 10 mm). (b,c) SEM images of a cross-section of a GO-HPG gel fiber ((b,c), scale bars of 250 nm and 3.0 mm, respectively); (d) Wet-spinning assembly of complex LCs into nacre-mimetic fibers with hierarchical structures; (e) Typical stress-strain curves: (1) GO only; (2) GO-HPG; (3) GO-HPG-GA; (f) The strain rate is 10% per minute. Reproduced from [140] with permission from Nature.

6. Conclusions and Outlook

The major developments of synthetic strategies, the relationship between structures and properties, and many of the applications for HMs have been summarized in this paper. It is noteworthy that the development of applications for HMs is still in its infancy and further research is required to maximize their full potential. Moreover, because this is still an area of emerging research, some problems need to be solved, many knowledge gaps should be filled, and key limitations should be overcome. These include such as DB control, introduction of hetero-atoms, synthesis of HMs with 2D structure, development of sequence-controlled HMs, and biocompatibility.
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