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Background: Presoaking anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) grafts in vancomycin has been reported to reduce the occurrence of septic arthritis (SA). However, strong recommendations for its universal use have been precluded by concerns regarding the fragility of previous meta-analyses.

Purpose: The primary objective was to investigate whether presoaking ACL grafts in vancomycin was associated with a reduction in the rate of SA in a large series of patients. The secondary objective was to perform an updated systematic review and meta-analysis to determine the efficacy of vancomycin in reducing the rate of SA.

Study Design: Cohort study and systematic review; Level of evidence, 3.

Methods: A retrospective analysis of patients who underwent primary ACL reconstruction (ACLR) at our institution was undertaken. Rates of postoperative SA were determined and analyzed according to whether patients had received grafts presoaked in vancomycin. A systematic review of the literature and meta-analysis was performed. Odds ratios (ORs) for the risk of SA were calculated according to the inverse variance approach. Results were presented using forest plots, funnel plots, and the fragility index.

Results: A total of 5300 patients underwent primary ACLR during the study period. The rate of SA was 0.34% (11/3228) in the control group and 0.05% (1/2072) in the presoaked group. There was a 5-fold greater risk of SA in patients who did not receive grafts presoaked in vancomycin (OR, 5.13 [95% CI, 1.16-48.30]; P = .04). Overall, 11 studies were included in the systematic review (29,659 ACLR procedures). The meta-analysis demonstrated a significantly greater risk of SA in those patients who did not receive grafts presoaked in vancomycin (OR, 14.39 [95% CI, 5.90-35.10]; fragility index = 23). This finding held true for the subpopulation receiving hamstring tendon grafts (fragility index = 16), but only a trend was demonstrated for bone–patellar tendon–bone grafts.

Conclusion: The meta-analysis demonstrated that presoaking ACL grafts in vancomycin was associated with significant reductions in the rates of SA when all graft types were analyzed together. This finding held true specifically for hamstring tendon autografts. The fragility index of these findings allows for a strong recommendation for the universal use of vancomycin presoaking. However, it should be noted that only a trend toward reduced SA rates was demonstrated with presoaking bone–patellar tendon–bone autografts in vancomycin.
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Septic arthritis (SA) is an infrequent complication of anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction (ACLR), with an incidence ranging from 0.14% to 2.6%, despite the use of intravenous antibiotic prophylaxis. The current standard of care for the management of SA after ACLR includes arthroscopic debridement, graft retention (with or without hardware removal), and antimicrobial treatment. Although some authors have reported good results with such approaches, particularly with regards to their efficacy in eradicating infections, Presti et al
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 cautioned that both arthrofibrosis and severe chondral defects are observed frequently, even at short-term follow-up. Additional potential complications include the need for multiple reoperations, the need for the removal of grafts and implants; prolonged duration of antimicrobial treatment and potentially devastating sequelae, including rapidly progressive chondrolysis.

In 2012, Vertullo et al\textsuperscript{45} reported that presoaking ACL hamstring tendon (HT) grafts in vancomycin (in combination with routine intravenous antibiotic prophylaxis) was successful in reducing the rate of SA. Vancomycin appears to be an ideal drug to presoak the graft because of its low allergenicity, good heat stability, and safety for local use as well as the fact that it has bactericidal action against most of the common pathogens isolated in ACLR infections such as \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} and coagulase-negative staphylococci\textsuperscript{15,26,27,29,31,37,40,47} Since the landmark publication of Vertullo et al\textsuperscript{45} numerous groups have also reported that vancomycin presoaking could reduce the rate of SA\textsuperscript{2,5,10,23,24,26,27,29} However, it is important to note that despite this supporting evidence, important concerns exist, and this has limited the ability to make strong recommendations for practice guidelines.

Although several meta-analyses have confirmed an overall significant reduction in the rate of SA with vancomycin presoaking, they have been met with some skepticism because of concerns about the fragility of the findings. Fragility reflects the fact that a change in outcomes for a small number of cases could significantly change the overall findings of the meta-analysis. This issue arises because of the infrequency with which SA occurs and the resulting requirement for very large study populations to evaluate any intervention intended to reduce the risk. A further reason that a blanket policy advocating for presoaking all grafts in vancomycin has not been recommended to date is because the majority of studies have focused on HT grafts and its efficacy has not been clearly demonstrated for other graft types. It appears that these unanswered questions have resulted in a lack of widespread acceptance of vancomycin presoaking, with infrequent utilization reported in some countries\textsuperscript{9,35,50}

The primary objective of this study was to investigate whether presoaking ACL grafts in vancomycin was associated with a significant reduction in the rate of SA. The secondary objectives were to perform an updated systematic review and meta-analysis (including the current study) to determine the efficacy of vancomycin in reducing the rate of SA and to report the fragility index of the findings. The hypothesis was that an updated meta-analysis with a very large study population would demonstrate that vancomycin significantly reduces the risk of SA after ACLR and that the fragility index would give confidence in the findings and allow for firm recommendations for practice guidelines.

METHODS

Cohort Study Design

Institutional review board approval was granted for this study, and all participants gave valid consent to participate. A retrospective analysis of prospectively collected data from the SANTI Study Group Database was undertaken. All patients who underwent primary ACLR performed by the senior surgeon (B.S.-C.) between January 2008 and September 2019 and had a minimum follow-up of 12 months were considered for study eligibility. Patients were excluded if they had a history of ipsilateral knee injuries or surgery; had a previous knee infection; sustained a multiligamentous injury that required complex surgical treatment; underwent other concomitant major procedures (eg, osteotomy for coronal alignment correction or posterior tibial slope correction); underwent reconstruction using an allograft; had a known allergy to penicillin, cephalosporin, glycopeptide, or iodine; or had any history of diabetes or immunosuppression.

Preoperative Infection Prophylaxis Protocol

The same preoperative infection prophylaxis measures were undertaken for all patients. The affected limb was chemically epilated 1 week before surgery. The patients took a shower using a povidone-iodine scrub brush 24 hours before surgery. In the operating theater, a prophylactic intravenous cefazolin bolus of 2 g was administered at the time of the induction of anaesthesia, approximately 30 minutes before applying the pneumatic tourniquet. Next, the skin was precleaned via a brush application of alcoholic betadine. The surgeon subsequently performed a final preparation, again using alcoholic betadine, before setting up sterile surgical drapes. Lastly, a stockinette and an...
Ioban drape (3M) were applied. Postoperative antibiotics were not utilized.

**Vancomycin Presoaking of Grafts and Group Allocation**

Presoaking grafts in vancomycin was not utilized at our institution before July 2016. Therefore, all patients who underwent surgery before this date received intravenous antibiotic prophylaxis only and were allocated to the no vancomycin group. Patients undergoing surgery after this date received both intravenous antibiotics and grafts presoaked in vancomycin and were allocated to the vancomycin group. ACL grafts used for patients in the vancomycin group were wrapped in gauze soaked in a 2.5-mg/mL vancomycin solution (125 mg/50 mL) immediately after harvest for approximately 10 minutes.

**Surgical Techniques**

All procedures were performed with the patient under general anesthesia and using a tourniquet. Bone–patellar tendon–bone (BPTB), quadriceps tendon, or HT autografts were utilized, and all tunnels were made using an outside-in technique. ACL grafts were fixed at 30° of knee flexion. Graft choices were based on patient factors and the evolving indications for performing a concomitant lateral extra-articular procedure (either modified Lemaire lateral extra-articular tenodesis [LET] or anterolateral ligament [ALL] reconstruction) over the study period, including younger age (<20 years), participation in pivoting sports, high-demand athletes, high-grade pivot shift, lateral femoral notch sign, and Segond fractures.

**ACLR With BPTB Graft.** A 2-incision technique was used.12 BPTB grafts were harvested with a patellar bone plug (10 × 15 mm) and a tibial bone plug (9-11 × 25 mm). Press-fit fixation was performed on the femoral side. Graft fixation on the tibial side was performed using a bioabsorbable screw (Bio-Interference screw; Arthrex).

**ACLR With HT Graft.** The semitendinosus and gracilis tendons were harvested using an open-ended tendon stripper. The tibial insertion was preserved to improve fixation and vascularity.42 Tendons were quadrupled and then fixed using an interference screw and a cortical suspensory device (TightRope; Arthrex).

**ACLR With Quadriceps Tendon Graft.** A 10 mm–wide quadriceps tendon graft was harvested with a patellar bone plug (10 × 15 mm). The graft was routed proximally through the knee, with the bone plug placed on the tibial side. Fixation was performed using bioabsorbable screws on both sides (Arthrex).

**Concomitant Modified Lemaire LET.** A 1 cm–wide strip of the iliotibial band (ITB) was harvested up to 2 cm proximal to the lateral epicondyle, keeping its tibial attachment to the Gerdy tubercle intact. The ITB graft was passed beneath the fibular collateral ligament from distal to proximal using a right-angled clamp. A 4.5 mm–diameter socket was created at the isometric point, slightly posterior and proximal to the lateral epicondyle. The ITB graft was then fixed within the socket using a bioabsorbable screw (Arthrex), with the knee placed in full extension and neutral rotation.

**Combined ACLR + ALL Reconstruction.** Semitendinosus and gracilis tendons were harvested as described above. A combined ACL + ALL graft was prepared using a tripled semitendinosus tendon with an additional length of gracilis tendon sutured to it. The ACL portion of the graft (3 parts semitendinosus and 1 part gracilis) was fixed on both sides using bioabsorbable screws (Arthrex). The additional length of gracilis tendon that emerged from the femoral tunnel, at the lateral cortex, formed the ALL portion of the graft. This was passed under the ITB using a suture grasper, then through a tunnel in the proximal tibia, and back to the ALL origin, where it was tensioned and fixed with the knee in extension, completing double-strand anatomic ALL reconstruction.36

**Diagnosis and Management of Postoperative SA**

The main outcome of interest was the occurrence of SA within 12 months after ACLR. All patients with clinical symptoms suggestive of SA were admitted to the hospital urgently for physical examinations and laboratory tests (including C-reactive protein, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, and leukocyte count). The diagnosis of SA was clinical, depending on the integration of history, examination, and investigation findings. Clinical suspicion was based on fever, rigor, knee pain, and loss of articular mobility. White cell count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, and C-reactive protein concentration were usually measured because, when raised, they aid in diagnosis and are useful to monitor responses to treatment. Patients who were suspected of having a deep infection underwent arthroscopic lavage using 9 L of normal saline and careful debridement of inflamed soft tissue. All knee compartments were inspected, and graft integrity was assessed. Samples from intra-articular synovial fluid and debrided tissue were sent for culture and antibiotic sensitivity. Postoperatively, patients received empirical antibiotic therapy (intravenous penicillin and gentamicin). This was subsequently adapted according to bacterial identification and antibiotic sensitivities. Antibiotics were administered intravenously for 3 days and then given orally for 6 weeks.

**Systematic Review and Meta-analysis: Search Strategy and Eligibility Criteria**

A systematic review of the literature relating to the influence of presoaking ACL grafts on the rate of SA was performed according to PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines. The systematic review protocol was registered with the PROSPERO database (registration No. CRD42020223628). A literature search was performed using subject mapping and the following keywords: “anterior cruciate ligament” and “vancomycin” in combination with “septic arthritis”
or “infection.” The search strategy was applied to the PubMed and Embase databases by 2 investigators independently on December 30, 2020 (A.C. and A.S.).

Each article was reviewed for relevance, and the references of included articles were reviewed to identify further relevant studies. All comparative studies evaluating the influence of vancomycin on the rates of SA after ACLR were included. Patients from our previously presented clinical series were included in the meta-analysis to increase the sample size because of the rare nature of SA after ACLR. In addition, our population comprised a substantial number of patients who had undergone ACLR using a BPTB graft, which was an underrepresented group in the previously published work included in the meta-analysis. Studies were only excluded if they were not published as a full article in a peer-reviewed journal, were duplicated or overlapped populations with included studies, or were unavailable in the English language. Any disagreements between investigators regarding study eligibility were resolved by the senior author (B.S.-C.).

Statistical Analysis

Calculations were made using SAS for Windows (Version 9.4; SAS Institute), with the level of statistical significance set at \( P < .05 \). Descriptive data analysis was conducted depending on the nature of the considered criteria. For quantitative data, this included the number of observed (and missing, if any) values and the mean, SD, median, range and lower and upper quartiles. For qualitative data, this included the number of observed (and missing, if any) values and the number and percentage of patients per group. The characteristics of the studied population were described according to group allocation and the rate of SA included. Patients from our previously presented clinical series were included in the meta-analysis to increase the sample size because of the rare nature of SA after ACLR. In addition, our population comprised a substantial number of patients who had undergone ACLR using a BPTB graft, which was an underrepresented group in the previously published work included in the meta-analysis. Studies were only excluded if they were not published as a full article in a peer-reviewed journal, were duplicated or overlapped populations with included studies, or were unavailable in the English language. Any disagreements between investigators regarding study eligibility were resolved by the senior author (B.S.-C.).

For the meta-analysis, data were extracted from included studies to determine the number of cases of SA and the total number of patients in each group. Missing SDs were determined according to the sample size and means from reported \( P \) values. When the required data were not available for extraction from published articles, they were requested directly from the corresponding author. Odds ratios (ORs) for the risk of SA in each group were calculated according to the inverse variance approach. Heterogeneity across publications was assessed using \( I^2 \) values, with moderate heterogeneity defined as \( I^2 \) between 30% and 60%. A random-effects Mantel-Haenszel model was used to account for between-study variation. Results were presented using forest plots, and 95% CIs were calculated using the exact binomial method. Funnel plots were generated to assess bias due to small study effects. The fragility index, which describes the extent to which the attribution of statistical significance is subject to random influences and indicates the number of patients whose results would have to change to alter the statistical interpretation of the study, was determined using the R software package.

Figure 1. STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) flow diagram of the cohort study arm. ACLR, anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction.

RESULTS

Results of Cohort Study

The study population comprised 5300 patients who underwent primary ACLR during the study period: 3228 patients (60.9%) in the no vancomycin group and 2072 patients (39.1%) in the vancomycin group (Figure 1). Patients in the vancomycin group were significantly younger (age, 28.0 ± 10.0 vs 29.3 ± 10.4 years, respectively; \( P = .001 \)); otherwise, there were no differences in preoperative patient characteristics between the groups. There were no differences between the no vancomycin and vancomycin groups regarding the operating time (31.7 ± 16.4 vs 31.1 ± 15.9 minutes, respectively; \( P = .788 \)), but there was a significant difference in the overall proportion that underwent a lateral extra-articular procedure (either modified Lemaire LET or ALL reconstruction) (35.5% vs 69.2%, respectively; \( P < .001 \)). The population characteristics and details of graft choice (as well as extra-articular procedures) are reported in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

Occurrence of SA

Overall, 12 patients were diagnosed with SA during the study period (0.23% [95% CI, 0.12%-0.40%]). There were 11 infections that occurred in the no vancomycin group (0.34% [95% CI, 0.17%-0.61%]) and 1 in the vancomycin group (0.05% [95% CI, 0.01%-0.27%]) (Table 4). The infections were sustained by bacteria belonging to the Staphylococcus genus in 10 of 12 patients (83.3%). Patients who did not receive grafts presoaked in vancomycin were at >5-fold greater risk of SA compared with those who did (OR, 5.13 [95% CI, 1.18-48.30]; \( P = .04 \)). The characteristics of the infected patients are reported in Table 3.

Eligible patients (N = 6398)

- Underwent ACLR at our institution, January 2008 to September 2019
- Minimum 12 months of follow-up

Patrons included

(n = 5521)

Patients included

(n = 5300)

Final study population

(n = 5300)

Lost to follow-up (n = 221)

No vancomycin

(n = 3228)

Vancomycin

(n = 2072)

Excluded (n = 877)

- Surgical treatment for multiligamentous injuries (107)
- History of previous ipsilateral knee injury or surgery (431)
- Concomitant major procedures (68)
- Use of allograft (53)
- Allergy to penicillin, cephalosporin, glycopeptides, or iodine (184)
- Diabetes or immunosuppression (34)
TABLE 1
Patient Characteristics<sup>a</sup>

|                | Total (n = 5300) | No Vancomycin (n = 3228) | Vancomycin (n = 2072) | P Value |
|----------------|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Age, y         | 28.8 ± 10.3      | 29.3 ± 10.4              | 28.0 ± 10.0           | .001    |
| Sex            |                  |                          |                       | .319    |
| Female         | 1454 (27.5)      | 904 (28.0)               | 559 (26.98)           |         |
| Male           | 3830 (72.5)      | 2324 (72.0)              | 1513 (73.02)          |         |
| Body mass index| 23.9 ± 3.3       | 23.9 ± 3.3               | 24.0 ± 3.5            | .341    |
| Side           |                  |                          |                       | .864    |
| Right          | 2810 (53.2)      | 1722 (53.3)              | 1089 (52.99)          |         |
| Left           | 2475 (46.8)      | 1506 (46.7)              | 974 (47.01)           |         |

<sup>a</sup>Data are reported as mean ± SD or n (%). Bolded P value indicates a statistically significant difference between groups (P < .05).

TABLE 2
Surgical Procedure Characteristics<sup>a</sup>

|                | Total (n = 5300) | No Vancomycin (n = 3228) | Vancomycin (n = 2072) |
|----------------|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Graft type     |                  |                          |                       |
| BPTB           | 729 (13.8)       | 487 (15.1)               | 242 (11.7)            |
| HT             | 4510 (85.1)      | 2699 (83.6)              | 1811 (87.4)           |
| Quadriceps     | 61 (1.2)         | 42 (1.3)                 | 19 (0.9)              |
| Isolated ACLR  | 2720 (51.3)      | 2082 (64.5)              | 638 (30.8)            |
| ACLR + modified| 203 (3.8)        | 150 (4.6)                | 53 (2.6)              |
| Lemaire LET    |                  |                          |                       |
| ACLR + ALL     | 2376 (44.8)      | 996 (30.9)               | 1380 (66.6)           |

<sup>a</sup>Data are reported as n (%). ACLR, anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction; ALL, anterolateral ligament; BPTB, bone–patellar tendon–bone; HT, hamstring tendon; LET, lateral extra-articular tenodesis.

Results of Literature Search and Meta-analysis

The search strategy yielded 11 eligible studies,†† from which data were extracted and pooled alongside the current study (Figure 2). The final population for the pooled data analysis comprised 29,659 patients. Table 4 shows the incidence of SA and the associated 95% CIs for all studies, including the current study, and Table 5 shows the incidence of SA according to graft type. The meta-analysis demonstrated a significantly greater risk of SA in those patients who did not receive grafts presoaked in vancomycin (OR, 14.39 [95% CI, 5.90-35.10]) (Figure 3). The funnel plot analysis did not demonstrate asymmetry (Figure 4). Analyses of the relative risk of SA after ACLR using HT and BPTB autografts in each included study are reported in Figures 5 and 6, respectively.

DISCUSSION

The main finding of this study was that not presoaking ACL grafts in vancomycin was associated with a significantly greater risk of SA after ACLR. This finding was demonstrated in the cohort study and subsequently confirmed in the overall meta-analysis (OR, 14.39 [95% CI, 5.90-35.10]). Although these findings are consistent with those of the previous literature, the very large study population allows for greater confidence in the findings than that conferred by previous smaller meta-analyses. This was best expressed via the fragility index. In the current meta-analysis, a change in status of 23 patients (representing 16.2% of the total number of SA events) was required for the study to lose statistical significance. Although there is no specific fragility index that is considered acceptable, interpretation is aided by considering that among statistically significant meta-analyses from the Cochrane database, the median fragility index was found to be 12, and in 29% of meta-analyses, the overall fragility index was <5. Furthermore, 9% of meta-analyses would have become nonsignificant if the event status was modified for <1% of the total number of events. On that basis, it is our opinion that the overall findings of this meta-analysis are robust.

In the meta-analysis, we further sought to determine the efficacy of vancomycin presoaking specifically for the 2 most popular graft choices in clinical practice. For HT autografts, which according to some authors are at a higher risk of SA,17 a very large population was available for study, and the meta-analysis demonstrated that the failure to utilize vancomycin presoaking resulted in a significantly greater risk of SA (OR, 13.50 [95% CI, 4.03-45.21]). The fragility index for this finding was 16, and this allows for a strong recommendation in favor of vancomycin presoaking for all HT autografts. The same evaluation for BPTB grafts showed no significant increase in the risk of SA when vancomycin presoaking was omitted (OR, 3.42 [95% CI, 0.60-19.58]). However, this finding should be interpreted cautiously because the population available for study was relatively small (n = 1618), and therefore, this part of the analysis was likely to have been underpowered. It is noteworthy that no cases of SA occurred in those patients receiving a BPTB graft treated with vancomycin presoaking (0/599) but that the rate of SA was 0.99% (7/7019) in the control group. Although this trend was nonsignificant, it lends some support to a recommendation for presoaking of all ACL grafts in vancomycin, particularly given the

††References 2, 4, 5, 7, 10, 23, 27, 29, 39, 45, 46.
significant overall reduction in the rate of SA when all graft types were grouped together.

It is our opinion that there have been several previous barriers to the widespread adoption of presoaking all ACL grafts in vancomycin. One of these is perhaps a misconception that early arthroscopic washout and graft retention result in a relatively benign course. This message has perhaps been reinforced by a previous systematic review in which Makhni et al18 concluded that outcomes in patients after SA are broadly comparable to those in patients in whom an infection does not develop (including range of motion, residual instability, Lysholm score, and return to preinjury levels of activity). However, pooling studies with inconsistent reporting, a short-term follow-up, and conflicting findings may have resulted in a lack of clarity regarding the severity and spectrum of morbidity. It is therefore important to highlight that several authors have reported inferior outcomes after SA compared with those in patients in whom an infection did not develop and furthermore that resultant limitations can be severe.16,20,37,40,43 Waterman et al48 reported on 31 (of a series of 9511 ACLR procedures) cases of SA from the military health care system. At a mean follow-up of 26.9 months, only 33.3% of those who underwent graft resection and 54.5% of those who underwent graft retention were able to return to military function. Significant risk factors for the inability to return to duty included symptomatic postinfection arthritis and arthrofibrosis. These risk factors appear to be surprisingly common after SA, with Presti et al32 reporting high rates of arthrofibrosis (81%) and severe chondral defects (63%) at a mean follow-up of 56 months. Although very long-term studies are sparse, Schub et al38 reported the outcomes of 4 patients with a mean follow-up of 17.9 years. The authors reported that all patients had a Kellgren-Lawrence arthritis severity grade of at least 3 (in at least 1 compartment). They also reported diminished long-term subjective and functional outcomes compared with historical reports of uncomplicated cases and attributed these inferior outcomes to advanced arthritis. These findings serve to highlight that the sequelae of SA are considerable and that the condition is associated with significant morbidity.

Further barriers to the widespread use of vancomycin presoaking were highlighted by a 2021 survey of ACL study

---

**TABLE 3**

Characteristics of Patients Diagnosed With Septic Arthritis (n = 12)

| Patient | Age, y | Sex | Graft | Extra-articular Procedure | Additional Procedures | Bacterial Organism | Time From Reconstruction to Infection, d |
|---------|--------|-----|-------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1       | 25     | M   | BPTB  | No                        | No                   | SC               | 14                                    |
| 2       | 44     | M   | HT    | Yes                       | No                   | SA               | 20                                    |
| 3       | 27     | M   | BPTB  | Yes                       | MM + LM repair       | PBA              | 149                                   |
| 4       | 32     | M   | HT    | Yes                       | No                   | SC               | 12                                    |
| 5       | 24     | F   | HT    | Yes                       | No                   | SA               | 15                                    |
| 6       | 33     | M   | HT    | Yes                       | No                   | SE               | 34                                    |
| 7       | 33     | M   | HT    | Yes                       | MM + LM repair       | SM               | 10                                    |
| 8       | 37     | M   | HT    | No                        | MM repair + LM meniscectomy | SL, SC, SCA     | 15                                    |
| 9       | 24     | M   | HT    | No                        | No                   | SA               | 60                                    |
| 10      | 28     | F   | HT    | No                        | No                   | SA               | 20                                    |
| 11      | 18     | M   | HT    | Yes                       | MM repair            | SA               | 40                                    |
| 12      | 27     | M   | HT    | Yes                       | No                   | SC               | 13                                    |

aBPTB, bone–patellar tendon–bone; F, female; HT, hamstring tendon; LM, lateral meniscus; M, male; MM, medial meniscus; PBA, Propionibacterium acnes; SA, Staphylococcus aureus; SC, Staphylococcus caprae; SCA, Staphylococcus capitis; SE, Staphylococcus epidermidis; SL, Staphylococcus lugdunensis; SM, Serratia marcescens.
bPatient 12 was in the vancomycin group.
group members. These included concerns regarding its cost-effectiveness, the effect on mechanical properties of the graft, and antibiotic resistance. Ruelos et al. demonstrated that vancomycin presoaking was highly cost-effective (assuming the following costs in US Dollars: vancomycin, $44; arthroscopic debridement and ACL graft retention, $6424; ACL graft revision, $24,178), although limitations included questionable external validity, given the widely varying costs within the United States and internationally. However, these findings are supported by Offerhaus et al. who demonstrated cost-effectiveness in the German health care system. Concerns about the effect of vancomycin on graft integrity are not supported by Jacquet et al., who demonstrated no difference in the

TABLE 4
SA Incidence and Antibiotic Prophylaxis Protocols

| No. of Patients With SA | Total No. of Patients | SA Incidence (95% CI), % | Vancomycin Presoaking Protocol |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Current study           |                       |                          |                                |
| Overall                 | 12                    | 5300                     | 0.23 (0.12-0.40)               | Vancomycin wrapping: 2.5 mg/mL (10-15 min). IV antibiotic preoperative prophylaxis: 2 g of cefazolin. |
| No vancomycin           | 11                    | 3228                     | 0.34 (0.17-0.61)               |                                |
| Vancomycin              | 1                      | 2072                     | 0.05 (0.00-0.27)               |                                |
| Phegan (2016)           |                       |                          |                                |
| Overall                 | 4                     | 1585                     | 0.25 (0.07-0.64)               | Vancomycin wrapping: 5 mg/mL. IV antibiotic preoperative prophylaxis: 2 g of cefazolin. |
| No vancomycin           | 4                     | 285                      | 1.40 (0.38-3.55)               |                                |
| Vancomycin              | 0                      | 1300                     | 0.00 (0.00-0.28)               |                                |
| Wan (2020)              |                       |                          |                                |
| Overall                 | 3                     | 305                      | 0.98 (0.20-2.85)               | Vancomycin dipping (1 min) + wrapping (15-20 min): 5 mg/mL. IV antibiotic preoperative prophylaxis: 1 g of cefazolin. |
| No vancomycin           | 3                     | 185                      | 1.62 (0.34-4.67)               |                                |
| Vancomycin              | 0                      | 120                      | 0.00 (0.00-3.93)               |                                |
| Pérez-Prieto (2016)     |                       |                          |                                |
| Overall                 | 15                    | 1544                     | 0.97 (0.54-1.60)               | Vancomycin dipping + wrapping (10-15 min): 5 mg/mL. IV antibiotic preoperative prophylaxis: 2 g of cefazolin. |
| No vancomycin           | 15                    | 810                      | 1.85 (1.04-3.04)               |                                |
| Vancomycin              | 0                      | 734                      | 0.00 (0.00-0.50)               |                                |
| Offerhaus (2019)        |                       |                          |                                |
| Overall                 | 22                    | 1779                     | 1.24 (0.78-1.87)               | Vancomycin dipping + wrapping: 5 mg/mL. IV antibiotic preoperative prophylaxis: 2 g of cefazolin. |
| No vancomycin           | 22                    | 926                      | 2.38 (1.49-3.58)               |                                |
| Vancomycin              | 0                      | 853                      | 0.00 (0.00-0.43)               |                                |
| Figueron (2019)         |                       |                          |                                |
| Overall                 | 4                     | 490                      | 0.82 (0.22-2.08)               | Vancomycin wrapping (15-20 min): 5 mg/mL. IV antibiotic preoperative prophylaxis: 2 g of cefazolin. |
| No vancomycin           | 4                     | 230                      | 1.74 (0.48-4.39)               |                                |
| Vancomycin              | 0                      | 260                      | 0.00 (0.00-1.41)               |                                |
| Banios (2021)           |                       |                          |                                |
| Overall                 | 7                     | 1835                     | 0.38 (0.15-0.78)               | Vancomycin wrapping: 5 mg/mL. IV antibiotic preoperative and postoperative prophylaxis. |
| No vancomycin           | 7                     | 1242                     | 0.56 (0.23-1.16)               |                                |
| Vancomycin              | 0                      | 595                      | 0.00 (0.00-0.62)               |                                |
| Schuster (2020)         |                       |                          |                                |
| Overall                 | 35                    | 10,516                   | 0.33 (0.23-0.46)               | Vancomycin wrapping: 5 mg/mL. IV antibiotic preoperative prophylaxis. |
| No vancomycin           | 35                    | 8222                     | 0.43 (0.30-0.59)               |                                |
| Vancomycin              | 0                      | 2294                     | 0.00 (0.00-0.16)               |                                |
| Bohu (2020)             |                       |                          |                                |
| Overall                 | 7                     | 1674                     | 0.42 (0.17-0.86)               | Vancomycin dipping (10 min): 5 mg/mL. IV antibiotic preoperative prophylaxis: 2 g of cefazolin. |
| No vancomycin           | 7                     | 1184                     | 0.59 (0.24-1.21)               |                                |
| Vancomycin              | 0                      | 490                      | 0.00 (0.00-0.75)               |                                |
| Vertuloe (2012)         |                       |                          |                                |
| Overall                 | 4                     | 1155                     | 0.35 (0.10-0.90)               | Vancomycin wrapping: 5 mg/mL. IV antibiotic preoperative prophylaxis: 2 g of cefazolin. |
| No vancomycin           | 4                     | 285                      | 1.40 (0.38-3.55)               |                                |
| Vancomycin              | 0                      | 870                      | 0.00 (0.00-0.42)               |                                |
| Chaturvedi (2020)       |                       |                          |                                |
| Overall                 | 18                    | 1836                     | 0.98 (0.58-1.55)               | Vancomycin wrapping: 5 mg/mL. IV antibiotic preoperative prophylaxis: 2 g of cefazolin. |
| No vancomycin           | 18                    | 963                      | 1.87 (1.11-2.94)               |                                |
| Vancomycin              | 0                      | 873                      | 0.00 (0.00-0.42)               |                                |
| Baron (2019)            |                       |                          |                                |
| Overall                 | 11                    | 1640                     | 0.67 (0.34-1.20)               | Vancomycin dipping (10 min) + wrapping: 1 mg/L. IV antibiotic preoperative prophylaxis: cefazolin. |
| No vancomycin           | 10                    | 842                      | 1.19 (0.57-2.17)               |                                |
| Vancomycin              | 1                      | 798                      | 0.13 (0.00-0.70)               |                                |

aIV, intravenous; SA, septic arthritis.
Figure 3. Forest plot demonstrating the relative risk of septic arthritis (SA) after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using any type of graft in each included study and the pooled summary estimate. Sizes of data markers are proportional to the weight of each study. Horizontal bars represent the 95% CI of individual studies. Pooled analysis demonstrated that the failure to presoak grafts in vancomycin was associated with a significantly greater risk of SA (odds ratio [OR], 14.39 [95% CI, 5.90-35.10]). The fragility index was 23.

Figure 4. Funnel plot demonstrating that the effect estimates derived from each of the included studies are symmetrical and scatter widely at the bottom. No publication bias was detected. Odds ratios >1 are in favor of the vancomycin group.

TABLE 5
SA Incidence According to Graft Type From Current Study and Included Studies

| Graft Type       | Without Vancomycin (n = 18,402) | With Vancomycin (n = 11,257) | Overall (n = 29,659) |
|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| BPTB             | 7/1019 (0.687)                   | 0/599 (0.000)               | 7/1618 (0.433)       |
| HT               | 84/13,711 (0.613)                | 1/7926 (0.013)              | 85/21,637 (0.393)    |
| Other/not specified | 49/3672 (1.334)                  | 1/2736 (0.036)              | 50/6404 (0.781)      |
| Any              | 140/18,402 (0.761)               | 2/11,261 (0.018)            | 142/29,659 (0.479)   |

aData are reported as the ratio of SA per population. BPTB, bone–patellar tendon–bone; HT, hamstring tendon; SA, septic arthritis.
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biomechanical properties of HT autografts treated with and without vancomycin presoaking, or by P´erez-Prieto et al,25 who reported no difference in graft rupture rates in a small comparative series. Still, Xiao et al49 examined the in vitro toxicity of various doses of vancomycin on the patellar tendon, showing that even high concentrations (12.8 mg/mL) of vancomycin, up to 6 hours of exposure, do not lead to cell death and toxicity to tenocytes. To our knowledge, there were no cases of vancomycin resistance in this SA population. The vancomycin presoaking protocol of our institution, employing vancomycin at a 2.5-mg/mL concentration, was established before there was a proliferation of literature regarding this prophylactic wrap. This corresponds to a lower concentration compared with that used in other studies but did not lead to a higher incidence of SA than that in studies that used vancomycin at higher concentrations. Although concerns about antibiotic resistance are more difficult to address, it seems that widespread global issues surrounding the use of antibiotics (including misuse, ease of availability, excessive use in the food chain,
and lack of surveillance of resistance development 8) are of greater concern rather than specifically focused and appropriately indicated prophylactic antibiotics for orthopaedic surgery.

In a recent editorial commentary, Vertullo 44 addressed whether vancomycin presoaking should become a universal recommendation for all ACL grafts. It was highlighted that infection prophylaxis guidelines are typically based on level 1 evidence and that the risks of unrecognized bias (potentially including performance bias, sequence bias, and selection bias) are important concerns in study designs using historical cohorts as a comparator (per the majority of studies in this meta-analysis). Although we agree with Vertullo 44 in this regard and with the suggestion that further study in the form of a randomized trial nested in a registry is needed, it is clear that this is a huge undertaking and that a definitive answer will not be obtained in the near future. It is for that reason that we consider the fragility index of this study to be an important strength, providing confidence that the findings are robust and can be used to form guidelines in the absence of level 1 evidence. A final and compelling reason to adopt a recommendation for presoaking all grafts in vancomycin is that SA is one of the most common complications leading to litigation after ACLR in the United States 8 and, in those cases that end in settlement, results in one of the highest rates of settlement ($499,800 ± $770,471 US Dollars). Similar findings have also been reported internationally, with an infection documented to be one of the most common causes for compensation after ACLR in Norway, 33 Finland, 22 and France, where Pioger et al 30 recently demonstrated that a verdict for the plaintiff was significantly associated with the occurrence of a postoperative infection.

Limitations

The limitations of the cohort study included its retrospective design and the use of a historical cohort for comparison. Although retrospective studies are frequently criticized, they also offer important advantages. The main advantage of this design was the ability to collect a very large study population, which is essential for the study of infrequent events and in which the only change about the protocol for the prevention of SA was to add topical vancomycin to the current practice of intravenous antibiotic prophylaxis. The main limitation of the meta-analysis was the lack of randomized controlled studies. However, the very large study population and the associated fragility index of the findings give confidence in the main results. Another limitation of the included studies was the heterogeneity in the presoaking protocol; however, all seem to be effective. Further important limitations included the inability to study (because of the unavailability of data) patient, surgical, and socioeconomic factors that could have influenced the rates of infections, as well as a lack of correlation between vancomycin presoaking and functional outcomes and ACL graft rupture rates.

CONCLUSION

The meta-analysis demonstrated that presoaking ACL grafts in vancomycin was associated with significant reductions in the rates of SA when all graft types were analyzed together. This finding held true specifically for HT autografts, but only a trend toward reduced SA rates was demonstrated for presoaking BPTB autografts in vancomycin.
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