Turbulence is sometimes said to be the last unsolved problem of classical physics. However, in a sense it is a fully solved problem, since we know with near certainty that the Navier-Stokes equations (NSE), along with the no-slip boundary conditions [1], are an excellent physical model for all the phenomena associated with turbulence and transition.

Although the physical model is known, figuring out the solutions of the NSE has proved to be a very difficult problem, computationally or analytically. To illustrate this difficulty, we note that while useful models of the structural strength of an entire aircraft can be built using 1 to 100 million elements, it takes more than a billion grid points to completely resolve the flow in a cubical volume of extent an inch$^3$ at the surface of a car moving at around 60 m.p.h. [2,3,4].

The Navier-Stokes equations, which model the evolution of the velocity field $u$ of an incompressible fluid, are given by:

$$\partial u/\partial t + (u \cdot \nabla)u = -\nabla p + (1/Re)\Delta u,$$

where the velocity field $u$ must satisfy the incompressibility constraint $\nabla \cdot u = 0$. There is no explicit equation for evolving the pressure $p$, and $Re$ denotes the Reynolds number.

Traveling wave solutions of the form $u(x,t) = \bar{u}(x-ct)$ form our main topic. As the motion of turbulent fluids is characterized by disordered and intermittent fluctuations about a mean, the significance of traveling wave solutions may seem limited. Indeed, one has to look at more complicated solutions to begin to understand turbulent fluctuations [5]. However, there is gathering evidence that traveling wave solutions may help understand certain coherent structures in transitional pipe flow [6,7].

Further, using certain lower-branch traveling waves, we can exhibit critical layers at high $Re$ [8,9] that are far beyond the reach of ordinary direct numerical simulation. The Orr-Sommerfeld equation, which governs the propagation of infinitesimal normal mode perturbations of a base flow, is singular at $Re = \infty$, which is the origin of the theory of critical layers [10,11]. The ability to compute critical layers in fully resolved numerical solutions of the NSE could be significant, as critical layers occur in many important situations [11]. The gigantic trailing vortices that escape from the boundary layers of airplanes during take-off may develop critical layers [12]. So could vortices shed by wind turbines, with possible implications for the optimal arrangement of turbines in a wind farm.

Many linearly unstable (and non-laminar) traveling wave solutions and equilibria (which are special cases with $c = 0$) of Couette [13,14], channel [15,16], and pipe [17,18] geometries have been computed. A notably systematic and extensive effort is due to Gibson and others [19,20]. The exact conditions we derive must hold for the velocity fields of all these solutions. In addition, analogous conditions must hold for periodic solutions and relative periodic solutions that travel only in the streamwise direction.

The mere existence of traveling wave solutions does not imply their relevance to phenomena as they manifest themselves in Nature and in technology. However, efforts to connect traveling waves computed in short pipes to puffs have been partially successful [6,7]. Puffs are transitional structures observed in pipes around $Re = 2000$ that are approximately 20 pipe diameters in axial length and which travel with a speed that is somewhat less than the mean streamwise velocity [21]. Tantalizingly, there are hints that an entire puff may correspond to a traveling wave or some such solution of pipe flow [22]. Our exact conditions will be helpful in the investigation of that possibility.

We now turn to the derivation of the exact conditions. In the velocity field $u = (u,v,w)$ of the NSE, $u$, $v$ and $w$ are the streamwise (coordinate axis $x$), wall-normal ($y$), and spanwise ($z$) components, respectively, for the rectangular Couette and channel geometries. In the case of pipe flow, $u$, $v$ and $w$ are the radial ($r$), polar ($\theta$) and streamwise (or axial) ($z$) components, respectively.

In plane Couette flow, the walls at $y = \pm 1$ move in the $x$ direction with speeds equal to $\pm 1$. The boundary
conditions in the streamwise and spanwise directions are periodic, with the periods taken to be \(2\pi \Lambda_x\) and \(2\pi \Lambda_z\), respectively. For pipe flow, we assume the axial or streamwise boundary condition to be periodic with period \(2\pi \Lambda_r\). The walls are no slip in all cases. The derivations are given mainly for the plane Couette flow geometry.

Let \(\mathbf{u}(x, t) = \mathbf{\tilde{u}}(x - ct)\) be a traveling wave solution of plane Couette flow. We assume \(c = (c, 0, 0)\) so that the traveling wave moves in the streamwise direction only. If \(\mathbf{\tilde{u}} = (u, v, w)\), the streamwise or \(x\) component of the NSE gives

\[
-c\partial_x u + (u\partial_x u + v\partial_y u + w\partial_z u) = -\partial_x p + \frac{c_p}{Re} + \frac{1}{Re} \Delta u.
\]

(1)

Here \(c_p/Re\) gives the pressure gradient in the streamwise directions, with \(c_p = 0\) for plane Couette flow and \(c_p > 0\) for channel flow. Let \(U(y, z)\) denote \((2\pi \Lambda_x)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi \Lambda_x} u(x, y, z) \, dz\), which is the mean streamwise component of \(u\). From (1), we get

\[
\overline{(u\partial_x u + v\partial_y u + w\partial_z u)} = \frac{c_p + \Delta U}{Re},
\]

(2)

where the overline denotes streamwise averaging. At the walls \(y = \pm 1\), \(\partial_y u = 0\) and \(v = w = 0\) because of no-slip. For the same reason, \(\partial_z U = 0\) at the walls. Therefore,

\[
c_p + \partial_y U = 0
\]

(3)

must hold at the walls.

As the velocity field \(\mathbf{\tilde{u}}\) has zero divergence, we may rewrite (2) as

\[
\nabla \cdot (u^2, uv, uw) = \partial_x uw + \partial_y uw = \frac{c_p + \Delta U}{Re}.
\]

(4)

If (4) is integrated over the cross-section, Green’s theorem applies to the expression in the middle of (4). The integral of the middle term must be zero because \(v = 0\) at the walls and \(uw\) is periodic in \(z\). Thus we have

\[
\int_0^{2\pi \Lambda_x} \int_{-1}^{+1} (\Delta U + c_p) \, dy \, dz = 0.
\]

(5)

The derivation of the necessary conditions \(\text{[3]}\) and \(\text{[4]}\) applies to channel flow with no change. However, \(c_p \neq 0\) for channel flow.

The conditions \(\text{[3]}\) and \(\text{[4]}\) must be satisfied by all traveling wave solutions of plane Couette flow or channel flow, whose wave speed vector \(c\) only has a streamwise component. Indeed, those conditions must be satisfied by all periodic solutions \(u(x, t) = u(x, t + T)\), \(T\) being the period, or relative periodic solutions \(u(x, t) = u(x + s, t + T)\) if the shift \(s\) only has a streamwise component. To form \(U\) in those instances, one must average both over a single period and in the streamwise direction as a simple modification of our derivation will show.

For the case of pipe flow, let \(c = (0, 0, c)\) so that the traveling wave travels in the streamwise direction only. Let \(W(r, \theta) = (2\pi \Lambda_r)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} w(r, \theta, z) \, dz\) be the mean streamwise velocity. The analogue of \(\text{[3]}\) requires

\[
c_p + \partial_r W + \frac{\partial_r W}{r} = 0
\]

(6)

at all points on the circumference. If we assume the pipe radius to be 1, the analogue of \(\text{[4]}\) is

\[
\int_0^{2\pi} \int_{-1}^{+1} (\Delta W + c_p)r \, dr \, d\theta = 0.
\]

(7)

The derivation of the necessary conditions \(\text{[3]}\) and \(\text{[4]}\) for pipe flow is similar to that of their Couette analogues.

Traveling waves normally arise from saddle-node bifurcations with increasing \(Re\); \(\text{[13, 15, 17, 18, 23]}\). The branch corresponding to lower energy dissipation is called the lower branch. We will now derive certain scalings with respect to increasing \(Re\) that are characteristic of the lower branch families.

In the case of plane Couette flow or channel flow, if a traveling wave solution is given by \(\mathbf{\tilde{u}}(x - ct)\), the velocity field \(\mathbf{\tilde{u}}(x)\) can be decomposed as

\[
u_0(y, z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (u_n(y, z) \exp(i\alpha x) + c.c),
\]

(8)

where \(\alpha = 1/\Lambda_x\). We take \(u_0 = (U, v_0, w_0)\) and \(u_i = (u_i, v_i, w_i)\) for \(i \geq 1\). For pipe flow, the decomposition analogous to (8) is given by \(u_0(r, \theta) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (u_n(r, \theta) \exp(i\alpha z) + c.c)\), with \(\alpha = 1/\Lambda_r\). We take \(u_i = (u_i, v_i, w_i)\) for \(i \geq 1\) as for Couette flow, but \(u_0 = (u_0, v_0, W)\) for pipe flow.

The scalings of the lower branch family that are known or that will be derived apply to the mean streamwise velocity \((U\) or \(W)\), or the rolls \((v_0, w_0)\) or \((u_0, v_0))\), or the magnitude of modes such as \(u_1\). It is an empirical fact (but see \(\text{[13, 24, 23]}\)) that the rolls and the \(u_1\) mode diminish in magnitude approximately at the rate \(Re^{-1}\). Higher modes with \(n > 1\) appear to diminish even faster. The derivations assume these scalings. In addition, the dissipation rate of the lower branch families decrease with increasing \(Re\), assuming that the dissipation rate of the laminar solution is normalized to be 1 \(\text{[8, 9]}\).

The wall-normal or \(y\) component of the \(n = 1\) mode of the NSE gives

\[i\alpha(U - c)v_1 = -\partial_y p_1 + Re^{-1}(-\alpha^2 v_1 + \partial_x^2 v_1 + \partial_z^2 v_1) + \cdots\]

(9)

for plane Couette or channel flow. The first neglected terms in (9) are \(-v_0\partial_y v_1 - w_0\partial_z v_1 - v_1\partial_y v_0 - w_1\partial_z v_0\). The analogous equation for the radial component of pipe flow is \(i\alpha(W - c)v_1 = -\partial_r p_1 + Re^{-1}\Delta_r u_1\), where \(\Delta_r\) corresponds to the usual form of the Laplacian in the radial component of the NSE. Terms such as \(-v_1\partial_y v_0/r\) are neglected.
Using (11), Waleffe et al. estimated that most of the variation in \( v_1 \) is concentrated in a region around the critical curve \( U = c \), with the width of that region scaling as \( Re^{-1/3} \). In the case of pipe flow, an identical argument gives \( W = c \) as the equation of the critical curve. The top set of plots in Figure 1 illustrate the critical layer in the case of pipe flow.

To derive further scalings, we consider the streamwise component of the \( n = 0 \) mode of NSE, which is

\[
v_0 \partial_y U + w_0 \partial_z U = Re^{-1}(c_p + \Delta U) + M
\]

for plane Couette or channel flow. The pipe flow analogue is \( u_0 \partial_y W + (v_0/r) \partial_r W = Re^{-1}(c_p + \Delta W) + M \). In (11) and its pipe flow analogue, \(-M\) equals the \( n = 0 \) mode of the streamwise component of \(((\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_0) \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_0)\). From here on we restrict the derivation to plane Couette flow or to channel flow.

Since \( \mathbf{u}_0 = (U, v_0, w_0) \) has zero divergence, we can find a function \( \psi(y, z) \) such that \( v_0 = \partial_x \psi \) and \( w_0 = -\partial_y \psi \). We then get

\[
L\psi = Re^{-1}(c_p + \Delta U) + M,
\]

where

\[
L = (\partial_x U) \partial_z - (\partial_z U) \partial_y.
\]

The skew-symmetry of the linear operator \( L \) is the key to deducing further scalings. The skew-symmetry of \( L \) is likely to be important in attempts to find an asymptotic theory for the critical layer.

Let \( \phi(y, z) \) and \( \psi(y, z) \) have \( z \) periods of \( 2\pi \Lambda_z \) and be sufficiently smooth. The following calculation uses integration by parts:

\[
\int_0^{2\pi \Lambda_z} \int_{-1}^{1} \phi \psi U_{yz} \, dy \, dz = \int_0^{1} \phi \psi U_{y} \bigg|_{-1}^{1} dy \, dz - \int_0^{2\pi \Lambda_z} \phi \psi U_z \bigg|_{-1}^{1} dz + \int_0^{2\pi \Lambda_z} \int_{-1}^{1} (\psi U_z \phi_y + \psi_y U_z) dy \, dz,
\]

where the subscripts are for partial derivatives. On the right hand side, two double integral terms cancel and the single integral terms are both zero because \( U_y \) is periodic in \( z \) and \( U_z \) is zero at the walls (from no-slip). We are left with \(- \int \psi L \phi dy \, dz\) on the right, verifying skew-symmetry of the operator \( L \).

From direct substitution into (12), it is evident that \( L(f(U)) = 0 \) for any smooth \( f \). Thus the functions \( f(U) \) are in the kernel of the anti-symmetric operator \( L \). Since the linear system (11) can be solved for \( \psi \) (or equivalently...
for the rolls), the Fredholm alternative implies that
\[ \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} f(U)(c_p + \Delta U + Re \, M) \, dy \, dz = 0. \]

For lower-branch traveling wave families with \( \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_0 \) of magnitude \( Re^{-\alpha} \) with \( \alpha \approx 1 \), the magnitude of \( M \) is approximately \( Re^{-2} \) in the limit \( Re \to \infty \). We have
\[ \int f(U)(\Delta U(y, z) + c_p) \, dy \, dz = O(Re^{-\alpha}) \tag{13} \]
for any smooth \( f \). Here \( \alpha > 1 \) is possible if there are cancellations in the integral of \( M \) over the cross-section. The analogous condition for pipe flow is given by
\[ \int f(W)(\Delta W(r, \theta) + c_p) \, rdr \, d\theta = O(Re^{-\alpha}) \tag{14} \]
with \( \alpha \) as above.

In addition to the pipe families of Figure 1, we computed a lower branch equilibrium family and a traveling wave family up to \( Re = 45000 \) and \( Re = 7000 \). The (a) and (b) families of Figure 1 could not be continued to \( Re \) much higher than shown in the top plots. For a given resolution, we cannot expect to find solutions if the rolls, which diminish in magnitude with \( Re \), are too small to be detected. Even after using sufficient resolution, the GMRES-hookstep iterations (see [2, 8]) became very slow. Even though the residual error could be made quite small, the norm of the Newton steps became quite large and increased with iteration. Although it is uncertain if the lower branch families exist in the \( Re \to \infty \) limit, Figure 1 amply demonstrates that they exist for large enough \( Re \) for the predicted scalings to hold.

The critical curves are away from the pipe walls and have an inward indentation where the counter-rotating vortices face each other. Since the critical behavior is evident even for \( Re = 2600 \), we suspect that critical curves or surfaces may give a way to visualize the structure of puffs in transitional pipe flow.

In summary, we have given a number of necessary conditions for equilibrium, traveling wave, periodic, and relative periodic solutions in plane Couette, channel and pipe geometries. We have argued for the importance of critical layers in high \( Re \) fluid flow and shown the connection of our analysis to critical layers. In addition, the conditions that we have derived are likely to be useful in the study of transitional structures such as puffs in pipe flow.
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