RESEARCH ARTICLE

The Loss of Lam2 and Npr2-Npr3 Diminishes the Vacuolar Localization of Gtr1-Gtr2 and Disinhibits TORC1 Activity in Fission Yeast

Ning Ma1, Yan Ma1*, Akio Nakashima2, Ushio Kikkawa2, Tomoyuki Furuyashiki1

1 Division of Pharmacology, Graduate School of Medicine, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan, 2 Biosignal Research Center, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan

* mayan@med.kobe-u.ac.jp

Abstract

In mammalian cells, mTORC1 activity is regulated by Rag GTPases. It is thought that the Ragulator complex and the GATOR (GAP activity towards Rags) complex regulate RagA/B as its GDP/GTP exchange factor (GEF) and GTPase-activating protein (GAP), respectively. However, the functions of components in these complexes remain elusive. Using fission yeast as a model organism, here we found that the loss of Lam2 (SPBC1778.05c), a homolog of a Ragulator component LAMTOR2, as well as the loss of Gtr1 or Gtr2 phenocopies the loss of Npr2 or Npr3, homologs of GATOR components Nprl2 or Nprl3, respectively. These phenotypes were rescued by TORC1 inhibition using pharmacological or genetic means, and the loss of Lam2, Gtr1, Gtr2, Npr2 or Npr3 disinhibited TORC1 activity under nitrogen depletion, as measured by Rps6 phosphorylation. Consistently, overexpression of GDP-locked Gtr1S20L or GTP-locked Gtr2Q60L, which suppress TORC1 activity in budding yeast, rescued the growth defect of Δgtr1 cells or Δgtr2 cells, respectively, and the loss of Lam2, Npr2 or Npr3 similarly diminished the vacuolar localization and the protein levels of Gtr1 and Gtr2. Furthermore, Lam2 physically interacted with Npr2 and Gtr1. These findings suggest that Lam2 and Npr2-Npr3 function together as a tether for GDP-bound Gtr1 to the vacuolar membrane, thereby suppressing TORC1 activity for multiple cellular functions.

Introduction

Target of rapamycin (TOR) is a serine/threonine kinase, and plays fundamental roles in regulating cell growth and metabolism by coordinating diverse cellular processes including transcription, translation and autophagy [1, 2]. Mammalian cells express a single TOR isoform mTOR, which forms two types of protein complexes named mTORC1 and mTORC2 [1, 2]. In fission yeast, there are two TOR isoforms Tor2 and Tor1, each of which is included in TORC1 or TORC2 [3, 4]. TORC1 is activated by the GTP-bound form of Rheb small GTPase. In mammalian cells, growth factors, energy status and oxygen levels increase the GTP-bound form of Rheb by inhibiting TSC2, a GTPase activating protein (GAP) for Rheb, and consequently activate mTORC1. However, TSC2 ortholog is expressed in fission yeast, but not in budding yeast.
Studies in mammalian cells have revealed that amino acids activate mTORC1 through the Rag GTPase superfamily (RagA, B, C and D) in a TSC2-independent manner [5]. RagA or RagB forms a complex with RagC or RagD, and mTORC1 is activated when RagA or RagB is bound to GTP and RagC or RagD is bound to GDP. Rag GTPases regulate the localization of mTORC1 to lysosomes, which may promote the association of mTORC1 with the GTP-bound form of Rheb [6, 7]. The Rag GTPases are conserved across species, and yeast cells express these orthologs named Gtr1 and Gtr2 (S1 Fig).

Rag GTPases are thought to be regulated by GDP/GTP exchange factors (GEFs) and GAPs similarly to other small GTPases. GAPs for RagA and RagB are conserved from yeast to mammalian cells (S1 Fig). In budding yeast, the octameric Seh1-associated complex (SEAC) was identified as a negative regulator for TORC1 [8, 9]. SEAC is composed of two subcomplexes SEACIT (Npr2-Npr3-Iml1) and SEACAT (Seh1-Sea2-Sea3-Sea4-Sec13), and Iml1 in SEACIT acts as a GAP for Gtr1 [10, 11]. Mammalian cells express the GATOR (GAP activity towards Rags) complex equivalent to SEAC. GATOR is composed of two subcomplexes GATOR1 (Nprl2-Nprl3-DEPDC5) and GATOR2 (WDR59-WDR24-Mios-Seh1L-Sec13), each of which corresponds to SEACIT and SEACAT in yeast cells, respectively. GATOR1, especially DEPDC5, has a GAP activity toward RagA and RagB, and inhibits mTORC1 under low amino acid condition. When the level of amino acids becomes high, GATOR2 is thought to inhibit the GAP activity of GATOR1, thus activating mTORC1 signaling [12, 13]. However, whether Npr2-Npr3 or Nprl2-Nprl3 functions only as components of a GAP for Gtr1 or RagA has not been proven.

Molecular identity of a GEF for Rag GTPases remains much less understood (S1 Fig). Studies in budding yeast identified the EGO (Exit from rapamycin-induced growth arrest) complex as a positive regulator for TORC1. The EGO complex is composed of Ego1 and Ego3 together with Gtr1 and Gtr2. Loss of Ego1 or Ego3 impairs recovery from rapamycin-induced growth arrest, and reduces phosphorylation of Sch9 as a readout of TORC1 activity [14–16]. In mammalian cells, pentameric Ragulator complex composed of LAMTOR1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 was identified as a tether of Rag GTPases and mTORC1 to lysosomal membranes [17]. This complex, but not individual components, has been shown to function as a GEF for RagA and RagB. Whereas LAMTOR1 and LAMTOR2-LAMTOR3 are thought to function similarly to Ego1 and Ego3, respectively [16], studies in budding and fission yeast have shown that Vam6, a vacuolar ATPase, rather than the EGO complex functions as a GEF for Gtr1 [14, 18]. Therefore, in yeast cells, whether each component of Ragulator functions to promote a GEF activity for Rag GTPases or may function as a tether for Rag GTPases also remains elusive.

Using fission yeast, we performed a genome-wide screen to identify negative regulators of TORC1 by isolating mutants that phenocopy Δtsc2, and found Npr2 as a TSC2-independent negative regulator of TORC1 [19]. In the same screen, we also identified Lam2 (SPBC1778.05c), a homolog of a Ragulator component LAMTOR2, as another candidate. Here we found that the loss of Lam2 or Gtr1-Gtr2 increases TORC1 activity and consequently phenocopies the loss of Npr2-Npr3 in multiple cellular functions. Our findings further suggest that Lam2 and Npr2-Npr3 form a physical complex with Gtr1 and functions as a tether of GDP-bound Gtr1 to the vacuolar membrane, thereby suppressing TORC1 activity.

**Materials and Methods**

**Yeast Strains, Growth Media, Drugs and General Methods**

The *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* strains used in this study are listed in S1 Table. The complete medium YPD (yeast-extract–peptone–dextrose), YES and the minimal medium EMM were described previously [20, 21]. Through this study, we found that some of the previously
reported phenotypes of Δagr1 cells, Δagr2 cells and Δnpr2 cells might be due to the secondary mutation irrelevant to the loss of the respective genes (see the Results section). Since we suspect that these secondary mutations might be introduced to overcome the impeded cell growth of these cells on YES or YPD medium, we decided to maintain Δlam2 cells, Δagr1 cells, Δgtr2 cells, Δnpr2 cells and Δnpr3 cells in EMM medium in order to avoid such secondary mutations, just prior to the experiments. Gene disruptions are indicated by the gene symbol preceded by Δ (for example, Δagr1). Proteins are denoted by Roman letters with only the first letter capitalized (for example, Gtr1). Drugs were obtained from the following sources: rapamycin (Toronto Research Chemicals), Torin-1 (Tocris), canavanine (Tokyo Chemical Industry), anhydrotetracycline (ahTet) (Funakoshi). Database searches were performed using Pombe community database PomBase (http://www.pombase.org).

Plasmids

The plasmids to express the lam2+, gtr2+ and gtr1+ genes under the respective native promoters were generated using conventional methods. Briefly, the lam2+, gtr2+ or gtr1+ gene was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with genomic DNA of wild-type cells as a template. For lam2+, the sense primer was (4511) 5’-CGG GAT CCA TTT GTT TCG ACT TAA CTA TAG-3’ and the antisense primer was (4001) 5’-CGG GAT CCG CCG CCG CTT AGA CTG GTT TTC CAA CCG TGG-3’. For gtr2+, the sense primer was (4004) 5’-CGG GAT CCA TGA AGC CTA GAA AGA TTA TTT TAA TG-3’ and the antisense primer was (4005) 5’-CGG GAT CCC CGG CCG CCT GTT CTA GGT GAG AAA ATG GAC-3’. For gtr1+, the sense primer was (4907) 5’-CGG GAT CCG TGG AAA GCT CAT CCA AGA TG-3’ and the antisense primer was (4908) 5’-CGG GAT CCC TAC CAA TTA TGC TAT GTT TG-3’. The amplified product containing lam2+ or gtr2+ was digested with BamHI and NotI, and the product containing gtr1+ was digested with BamHI. The resulting fragment was subcloned into the BamHI/NotI sites of a multicopy expression vector pKB1037, which was generated by inserting an autonomously replicating sequence (ARS) and LEU2 marker into the BglII site of pKB1030 [22]. The resulted plasmids expressing the lam2+, gtr2+ and gtr1+ genes are registered as pKB8727, pKB8746 and pKB9042, respectively.

The plasmids to express the npr2+ and lam2+ genes fused with GST or GFP(S65T) under the thiamine-repressible nmt1 promoter [23] were generated as follows. The complete open reading frame (ORF) of the npr2+ gene was amplified using a sense primer (3882) 5’-CGG GAT CCA TGG AGT ATT CTG AAG AGG G-3’ and an antisense primer (3883) 5’-CGG GAT CCC CGG CCG CTC ATA CAT AAA TAA AAC AGG C-3’. The ORF of the lam2+ gene was amplified using a sense primer (4000) 5’-CGG GAT CCA TGG AGT ATT CTG AAG AGG G-3’ and an antisense primer (3883) 5’-CGG GAT CCC CGG CCG CCT GCT CAT CCA AGA TG-3’. The amplified products containing the npr2+ or lam2+ gene were digested with BamHI and NotI, and were ligated to the BamHI/NotI sites at the N-terminus of GST in pDS473aL [24] or at the N-terminus of GFP in a plasmid which was generated by replacing the HA tag of pSLFI73L [24] with the GFP(S65T) ORF. The resultant plasmid pREP1-GST-Nrp2 and pREP1-GFP-Nrp2 were registered as pKB8567 and pKB8568, respectively. The resultant plasmid pREP1-GST-Lam2 and pREP1-GFP-Lam2 were registered as pKB8795 and pKB8682, respectively. The chromosome-borne GFP-Lam2 or GFP-Nrp2 strains were generated with conventional methods [25]. The fragment containing the nmt1 promoter and the ORF of GFP-Lam2 or GFP-Nrp2 was removed from the plasmids generated above, and were subcloned into an integration vector pKB3282, which was generated by inserting ura4+ marker into the BglII site of pKB1030 [22], and was integrated into the chromosome at the non-functional ura4 gene locus of KP1248.
The plasmids expressing Gtr1, Gtr1Q61L and Gtr1S20L fused with GST and Gtr1 fused with GFP(S65T) were generated as follows. The ORF of gtr1+ gene was amplified using a sense primer (4002) 5′-CGG GAT CCA TGA AGC CTA GAA AGA TTA TTT TAA TG-3′ and an anti-sense primer (4003) 5′-CGG GAT CCG CGG CCT GTT CTA GGT GAG AAA ATG GAC-3′. The amplified products containing the gtr1+ gene were digested with BamHI/NotI, and were ligated to the BamHI/NotI sites of pBlueScript SK(+) (Stratagene) and registered as pKB8676. Using the Quick Change mutagenesis kit (Stratagene), the ORF of gtr1Q61L (pKB8910) was generated with pKB8676 as a template, a sense primer (4755) 5′-CTA TGG GAT TGC GGT GGA CTC GAG GCG TTC ATG GAA AAC-3′ and an antisense primer (4756) 5′-GAT ACC CTA AGC CCA CCT GAG CTC CGC AAG TAC CTT TTG-3′. The ORF of gtr1S20L (pKB8911) was generated with pKB8676 as a template, a sense primer (4745) 5′-GCA AAA GTT CAA TGA GAC TCA TAG TTT TTA GCA ATT ATG-3′ and an antisense primer (4746) 5′-CGT TTT CAA GTT ACT CTG AGT ATC AAA AAT CGT TAA TAC-3′. To generate pREP1-Gtr1-GST, pREP1-Gtr1Q61L-GST and pREP1-Gtr1S20L-GST, the ORF of gtr1+, gtr1Q61L or gtr1S20L was removed from the pKB8676, pKB8910 or pKB8911, respectively, with BamHI and NotI, and ligated into the BglII/NotI sites at the C-terminus of GST in pDS472a [24] (registered pKB2437 in our lab). To generate pREP1-Gtr1-GFP (pKB8702), pKB8676 was digested with BamI/NotI, and the resultant fragment containing the ORF of gtr1+ was ligated into the BglII/NotI sites of the C-terminus of GFP(S65T) in pKB2728, which was generated by replacing the HA tag of pSLF172L [24] with the GFP(S65T) ORF.

The plasmids expressing Gtr2, Gtr2Q60L and Gtr2S17L fused with GST and Gtr2 fused with GFP(S65T) were generated as follows. The ORF of gtr2+ gene was amplified using a sense primer (4004) 5′-CGG GAT CCA TGA AGC CTA GAA AGA TTA TTT TAA TG-3′ and an antisense primer (4005) 5′-CGG GAT CCG CGG CCT GTT CTA GGT GAG AAA ATG GAC-3′. The amplified products containing the gtr2+ gene were digested with BamHI, and were ligated into the BamHI site of pGEM7Zf (Promega) and registered as pKB8634. Using the Quick Change mutagenesis kit (Stratagene), the ORF of gtr2Q60L (pKB8905) was generated with pKB8634 as a template, a sense primer (4749) 5′-GGT TGG GAT TCC CCT GGC CTC GTA GAT GTG TTT TTA GCA ATC ACC TAA AAT ATC GCT TTA CCC TTA ATC AGA TAC GGA TCC CCG GGT TAA TTA A-3′, and an antisense primer (4750) 5′-CAA ACC CTA AAG GGA CCC GAG CAT CTA CAC AAA CTA CG-3′. The ORF of gtr2S17L (pKB8904) was generated with pKB8676 as a template, a sense primer (4747) 5′-GAC TTC GCC GTA GTG GCA AGC TCT CAA TCC AAA AGG TGG-3′, and an antisense primer (4748) 5′-CTG AAG CGG CAT CAC CGT TCG AGA GTT AGG TTT TCC ACC-3′. To generate pREP1-Gtr2-GST, pREP1-Gtr2Q60L-GST and pREP1-Gtr2S17L-GST, the ORF of gtr2+, gtr2Q60L and gtr2S17L were removed from pKB8634, pKB8905, and pKB8904, respectively, with BglII/NotI, and ligated into the BglII/NotI sites of the C-terminus of GST of pKB2437. To generate pREP1-Gtr2-GFP (pKB9133), pKB8634 was digested with BglII/NotI, and the ORF of gtr2+ was ligated into the BglII/NotI sites of the C-terminus of GFP(S65T) in pKB2728.

Gene Deletion

Disruptions of gtr1+ and gtr2+ with the kanMX and hphMX cassettes, respectively, were performed by the PCR-base direct chromosomal integration methods [26, 27]. For gtr1+, the sense primer was 5′-CAC GAA TTC ACT CAC ATT GCC AGT GAC TGC TTT TTA GAA TTA TAT AAA CTA ATT GCT TTA CCC TTA ATC TCA AGA TAC GGA TCC CGG GGT TAA TTA A-3′, and the antisense primer was 5′-CTT AGA TTC TTG CCA ATC GAG AAC TGC TAC GTA TAT TAA GAC AAG AAC AAC ATG TAC TTA TAC CCC AGG AAC TTA ATG A-3′. For gtr2+, the sense primer was 5′-ATG GGA TGT CTA GTC ACC ACC AGA GAA AGC CAC AAT TTA CCA CGT AGA AAC TTA CTG TTA ATG ACT TTG ATG A-3′.
TAC GGA TCC CCG GGT TAA TTA A-3', and the antisense primer was 5' - AAA TCA ACA CAG AAG CGA TTG ATT TCA AAG GTC TGT TCT AGG TGA GAA AAT GGA CTG AAT AGC TGT CTG TAG ACA TTG AAT TCG AGC TCG TTT AAA C-3'. The disruption of the resultant strains was confirmed by genomic PCR, and the strains were subjected to back-crossing.

Inducible Expression of the lam2+ and gtr2+ Genes

A two-step tetracycline-regulated system [28] was applied to control the expression of Lam2 or Gtr2. Briefly, as the first step, the promoter of lam2+ or gtr2+ was replaced by a tetracycline-regulated promoter (tetO7-TATA_CYC1). For this purpose, the hphMX6-tetO7-TATA_CYC1-FLAG3 cassette flanked with a distal region of the lam2+ or gtr2+ promoter (approximately 80 bp) and the initial portion of the open reading frame of lam2+ or gtr2+ (approximately 80 bp) was generated by PCR using the plasmid pFA6a-hphMX6-tetO7-TATA_CYC1-FLAG3 (Addgene, #41020) as a template. For lam2+, the sense primer was (5004) 5' - AAC AGG TTA AAT CGT TAT TGC CGA TTG AAT ATA CAT ATT GCT ACC TTT ACT GCT ATC TAA GTT TAA ATT CGA AGG AAT TCG AGC TCG TTT AAA C-3' and the antisense primer was (5005) 5' - CAT GAT AGA CGG TAC AGT TTC CTC AAC TGC CTG TTT CATCAA CGA CGA CAA CTT CCT TGG CCT AAT CAT CCC GCC TCC TTT ACT ATC TGC CCT GTC AAT ATA G-3'. For gtr2+, the sense primer was (5002) 5' - ATG GGG TGT CTA GTC ACC ACC AGA GAA ACG CAC ATT TTA CCA CGT AGA AAC TTA CTT TTG TTA ATG ACT TTG AAT TAG AAT TCG AGC TCG TTT AAA C-3' and the antisense primer was (5003) 5' - CAC CAC CTT TTG GAT TGA TGA CCT GCC ACT ACG GCG AAG TCC TAT CAT AAT AAT CTT TCT AGG CTT CAT TCC GCC TCC TTT ATC ATC GTC CCT ATG CTA G-3'. The amplified product was transformed into yeast strain KP456. Stable integrants were selected on YES plates containing 100 μg/ml hygromycin, confirmed by genomic PCR and registered as KP6643 (tetO7-TATA_CYC1-FLAG3-lam2:hphMX6), and KP6636 (tetO7-TATA_CYC1-FLAG3-gtr2:hphMX6), respectively. As the second step, a plasmid expressing TetR-based transcription repressor (pDM291-tetR-tup11Δ70, Addgene, #41027) was digested with BamHI and transformed into KP6643 and KP6636 generated above. Stable integrants were selected on EMM medium lacking uracil. In these integrants, the expression of Lam2 or Gtr2 was suppressed without tetracycline analogue ahTet, and was induced by the presence of ahTet (2.5 μg/ml).

Co-precipitation and Immunoblot Analyses

Co-precipitation and immunoblot analyses were performed as previously described [29–31]. For co-precipitation, the cells were grown to mid-log phase without thiamine to induce expression of proteins to be analyzed under the thiamine-repressible nmt1 promoter. Then the resulted cells were collected and resuspended in 450 μl of ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, containing 2 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride) containing Halt Protease Inhibitor Cocktail, EDTA-Free (Thermo scientific). The cells were disrupted with microbeads (0.2g). Then the microbeads and cellular debris were removed by centrifugation at 15,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. To precipitate GST-tagged proteins, the protein extracts were rotated with glutathione sepharose 4B beads at 4°C for 2 hours. Glutathione sepharose 4B beads were washed with ice-cold wash buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, containing 2 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 500 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100), eluted with 1x SDS-PAGE sample buffer with 2-mercaptoethanol (Nacalai), and subjected to immunoblot analysis. For detection of Rps6 phosphorylation, Gtr1-GFP and Gtr2-GFP, cell lysates were prepared using a 1.85M NaOH containing β-mercaptoethanol (7.5% v/v), and was neutralized with 50% trichloroacetic acid (TCA). The resultant lysates were mixed with 2x SDS-PAGE sample buffer.
The resultant samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE with 10% precast polyacrylamide gels (Nacalai). Proteins were then transferred onto PVDF membrane. After blocking, the membrane was incubated with rabbit anti-GFP antibody ([25]), anti-GST antibody [25], mouse anti-α-tubulin antibody (clone B5-1-2, Sigma-Aldrich) or rabbit Phospho-(Ser/Thr) Akt substrate antibody (#9611, Cell Signaling Technology), which recognizes the (R/K)X(R/K)XX(pT/pS) motif and is known to cross-react Rps6 phosphorylation [30]. The resultant membrane was further incubated with HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-mouse antibody (Cell Signaling Technology). Signals were detected using Clarity Western ECL Substrate (BioRad) and autoradiography films (Fujifilm) or Luminoimage Analyzer (LAS1000, Fujifilm).

Quantitative RT-PCR

Quantitative RT-PCR was performed as previously described [21]. The cells were cultured to mid-log phase and subjected to RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen) followed by on-column deoxyribonuclease digestion (Qiagen). The resultant RNA was used to synthesize cDNA using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (ABI). The resultant cDNA was subjected to quantitative PCR with the SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (ABI). The fluorescent signals were detected and analyzed with the Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time PCR System (ABI). The mRNA levels of isp5+ and cat1+ were normalized to those of act1+ according to the comparative CT method, and were statistically analyzed. The isp5+ primers were 5’-TCG GTG TAC GAG GTT ATG GT-3’ and 5’-GTT GGA AAA GAC AGA GCA GA-3’. The cat1+ primers were 5’-GTT TCG ACA TGG GTT CAA AG-3’ and 5’-AAC TTG CTT AAC GGC ATG AG-3’. The act1+ primers were 5’-ATC CAA CCG TGA GAA GAT GA-3’ and 5’-ACC ATC ACC AGA GTC CAA GA-3’.

Fluorescent Imaging

The yeast strains expressing Gaf1-YFP or Cat1-GFP under its native promoter and those expressing Gtr1-GFP or Gtr2-GFP under nmt1 promoter were generated and observed as described previously [32]. Briefly, the cells were cultured to mid-log phase, and mounted on glass slides. Fluorescent images from live cells were acquired using a microscope (Axioskop 2 Plus; Carl Zeiss) equipped with an alpha Plan-Fluor 100x/N.A.1.45 oil objective lens (Carl Zeiss) and a Visualix VTCH1.4ICE digital camera in combination with the Iscapture software version 4.0.1 (Visualix). Differential interference contrast (DIC) images were also acquired. Fluorescent images were processed using Adobe Photoshop CS6 only for illustrative purposes.

Luciferase Reporter Assay

A Renilla luciferase reporter plasmid with the isp5+ promoter (pKB8527) was constructed, and the luciferase reporter assay was performed, as described previously [19]. Briefly, the cells were transformed with this plasmid and cultured to mid-log phase. The resultant cells were added with coelenterazine (Promega), and the bioluminescence was real-time measured as relative light units (RLU) at 27°C every minute with a microplate luminometer (AB-2350, ATTO). The peak RLU value during the 2-hour observation period was obtained and statistically analyzed.

Statistical Analyses

Data are shown as means ± SEM. Unpaired t-test was used to statistically analyze the difference between two groups. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison tests was performed to statistically analyze the differences among more than two groups. The P
values less than 0.05 are considered to be significant. Statistical analyses were performed with Prism 6 (GraphPad).

Results

Δlam2 Cells, Δgtr1 Cells and Δgtr2 Cells Show Growth Defect in a TORC1-dependent Manner, Similarly to Δnpr2 Cells and Δnpr3 Cells

Using knockout cell library purchased from Bioneer Corporation [33], we performed a genome-wide screen to identify negative regulators of TORC1 by isolating mutants that phenotype Δtsce2 in terms of canavanine resistance. We found that the loss of lam2+ /SPBC1778.05c encoding an ortholog of human LAMTOR2, a component of Ragulator that promotes mTORC1 activity [13], shows canavanine resistance (data not shown). Since encoding an ortholog of human LAMTOR2, a component of Ragulator that promotes
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To confirm the phenotypes due to the loss of Lam2, we replaced the native promoter of lam2+ by tetO7+, a tetracycline-inducible promoter [28], and temporally regulated expression of Lam2. In the resultant cells (tetO7-lam2), the expression of Lam2 was inhibited without ahTet, a tetracycline analog. Similarly to Δlam2 cells, tetO7-lam2 cells grew slowly on EMM plates and failed to grow on YES and YPD plates (Fig 1C, tetO7-lam2). In the presence of ahTet, the expression of Lam2 was induced, and tetO7-lam2 cells came to grow similarly to wild-type cells (Fig 1C, tetO7-lam2). Overexpression of the lam2+ gene completely rescued the growth defect of Δlam2 cells (Fig 1B), confirming that the loss of Lam2 causes this growth defect.

To confirm the phenotypes due to the loss of Lam2, we replaced the native promoter of lam2+ by tetO7+, a tetracycline-inducible promoter [28], and temporally regulated expression of Lam2. In the resultant cells (tetO7-lam2), the expression of Lam2 was inhibited without ahTet, a tetracycline analog. Similarly to Δlam2 cells, tetO7-lam2 cells grew slowly on EMM plates and failed to grow on YES and YPD plates (Fig 1C, tetO7-lam2). In the presence of ahTet, the expression of Lam2 was induced, and tetO7-lam2 cells came to grow similarly to wild-type cells (Fig 1C, tetO7-lam2). Overexpression of the lam2+ gene completely rescued the growth defect of tetO7-lam2 cells in the absence of ahTet (Fig 1D, tetO7-lam2). These results indicate that the growth defect on YES and YPD media is a bona fide phenotype of lam2 deletion.

Whereas LAMTOR2 is a component of Ragulator that promotes the GDP/GTP exchange of Rag GTPases, it was reported that Δgtr1 cells and Δgtr2 cells normally grow on YES plates [19, 34]. To re-examine this phenotype, we generated new strains of Δgtr1 cells and Δgtr2 cells, and found that these mutant cells grew slowly on EMM plates, and failed to grow on both YES and YPD plates similarly to Δlam2 cells (Fig 1A). Overexpression of the gtr2+ or gtr1+ gene rescued the growth defect of Δgtr2 or Δgtr1 cells, respectively (Fig 1B), confirming that gtr2 or gtr1 deletion is the cause for the growth defect. To further confirm the phenotype due to the loss of Gtr2, we generated tetO7-gtr2 cells, in which the native gtr2+ promoter was replaced by tetO7 promoter. Similarly to Δgtr2 cells, tetO7-gtr2 cells grew slowly on EMM plates and failed to grow on YES and YPD plates without ahTet (Fig 1C, tetO7-gtr2). In the presence of ahTet, the expression of Gtr2 was induced, and tetO7-gtr2 cells came to grow similarly to wild-type cells (Fig 1C, tetO7-gtr2). Overexpression of the gtr2+ gene rescued the growth defect of tetO7-gtr2 cells without ahTet (Fig 1D, tetO7-gtr2). These results suggest that both Lam2 and Gtr2 promote cell growth at least in our conditions. The difference in the phenotypes of Δgtr1 cells and Δgtr2 cells from the previous reports [19, 34] might be explained by some secondary mutation occurring in the strains used in those reports that restored the capability of cell growth on YES and YPD plates.

To examine whether TORC1 activity is involved in the growth defect of Δlam2 cells as well as Δgtr1 cells and Δgtr2 cells, we treated these cells with TOR inhibitors rapamycin and Torin1 [19, 30, 35, 36]. Both rapamycin (0.2 μg/ml) and Torin1 (2 μM) rescued the growth defects of Δlam2 cells, Δgtr1 cells and Δgtr2 cells (Fig 2A). We generated double mutants of these cells
with the \textit{tor2-287} temperature-sensitive mutation \cite{3}. The \textit{tor2-287} mutation rescued the growth defect of these cells (Fig 2B). These results show that the growth defects of \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells, \textit{\textDelta gtr1} cells and \textit{\textDelta gtr2} cells are dependent on TORC1 activity.

Our findings so far led us to suspect that Lam2 as well as Gtr1-Gtr2 may negatively regulate TORC1 activity. To examine whether the loss of Npr2 and Npr3, negative regulators for TORC1, phenocopies \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells, we generated prototrophic cells lacking the \textit{npr2}+ or \textit{npr3}+ gene and checked if they showed similar growth defects like \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells (Fig 1A). All the \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells, \textit{\textDelta gtr2} cells and \textit{\textDelta gtr1} cells showed growth defects on EMM plates but grew well on YES or YPD plates (Fig 1A). This result suggests that the \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells, \textit{\textDelta gtr2} cells and \textit{\textDelta gtr1} cells are rescued by overexpression of the respective genes (Fig 1B).

We also tested if the \textit{\textDelta gtr2} cells and \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells showed growth defects when they were grown on EMM plates with or without 2.5 \textmu g/ml ahTet (Fig 1C). The \textit{\textDelta gtr2} cells and \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells phenocopied the \textit{\textDelta gtr2} cells and \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells, respectively. This result suggests that the growth defects of \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells and \textit{\textDelta gtr2} cells are rescued by overexpression of the respective genes (Fig 1C).

Our findings so far led us to suspect that Lam2 as well as Gtr1-Gtr2 may negatively regulate TORC1 activity. To examine whether the loss of Npr2 and Npr3, negative regulators for TORC1, phenocopies \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells, we generated prototrophic cells lacking the \textit{npr2}+ or \textit{npr3}+ gene and checked if they showed similar growth defects like \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells (Fig 1A). All the \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells, \textit{\textDelta gtr2} cells and \textit{\textDelta gtr1} cells showed growth defects on EMM plates but grew well on YES or YPD plates (Fig 1A). This result suggests that the \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells, \textit{\textDelta gtr2} cells and \textit{\textDelta gtr1} cells are rescued by overexpression of the respective genes (Fig 1B).
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We also tested if the \textit{\textDelta gtr2} cells and \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells showed growth defects when they were grown on EMM plates with or without 2.5 \textmu g/ml ahTet (Fig 1C). The \textit{\textDelta gtr2} cells and \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells phenocopied the \textit{\textDelta gtr2} cells and \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells, respectively. This result suggests that the growth defects of \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells and \textit{\textDelta gtr2} cells are rescued by overexpression of the respective genes (Fig 1C).

Our findings so far led us to suspect that Lam2 as well as Gtr1-Gtr2 may negatively regulate TORC1 activity. To examine whether the loss of Npr2 and Npr3, negative regulators for TORC1, phenocopies \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells, we generated prototrophic cells lacking the \textit{npr2}+ or \textit{npr3}+ gene and checked if they showed similar growth defects like \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells (Fig 1A). All the \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells, \textit{\textDelta gtr2} cells and \textit{\textDelta gtr1} cells showed growth defects on EMM plates but grew well on YES or YPD plates (Fig 1A). This result suggests that the \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells, \textit{\textDelta gtr2} cells and \textit{\textDelta gtr1} cells are rescued by overexpression of the respective genes (Fig 1B).

We also tested if the \textit{\textDelta gtr2} cells and \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells showed growth defects when they were grown on EMM plates with or without 2.5 \textmu g/ml ahTet (Fig 1C). The \textit{\textDelta gtr2} cells and \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells phenocopied the \textit{\textDelta gtr2} cells and \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells, respectively. This result suggests that the growth defects of \textit{\textDelta lam2} cells and \textit{\textDelta gtr2} cells are rescued by overexpression of the respective genes (Fig 1C).
(SPBC543.04) gene (npr2::ura4+ or npr3::ura4+, respectively), and examined their phenotypes. Similarly to Δlam2 cells, the growth of npr3::ura4+ cells and npr2::ura4+ cells was partially inhibited on EMM plate, and was completely inhibited on both YES and YPD plates (S2A Fig). Overexpression of the npr3+ or npr2+ gene completely rescued the growth defect of npr3::ura4+ cells or npr2::ura4+ cells, respectively (S2B Fig), confirming that the growth defect is due to the loss of Npr3 or Npr2. It was noted, however, that the phenotypes of npr2::KanMX4 cells (KP5237) in the previous report [19], which normally grow on YES and YPD plates and could not grow in the presence of rapamycin. In this study, we obtained npr2::KanMX4 progenies (KP6506) from crossing npr2::KanMX4 cells used in the previous study (KP5237) with wild-type cells. These progenies did not grow on YES or YPD plates, and this growth defect was rescued by rapamycin (S2A Fig), similarly to npr2::ura4+ cells and Δlam2 cells. These phenotypes were rescued by overexpression of the npr2+ gene (S2B Fig), confirming that these phenotypes are due to the loss of Npr2. Therefore, the phenotypes of npr2::KanMX4 cells (KP5237) in the previous report might be irrelevant to the loss of Npr2.

To test whether the growth defect of Δnpr3 cells and Δnpr2 cells is due to increased TORC1 activity, we examined whether rapamycin and Torin1 could rescue the growth defect of these cells. Treatment with both rapamycin and Torin1 rescued the growth defects of npr3::ura4+...
cells, npr2::ura4+ cells and npr2::KanMX4 cells (S3A Fig). Similarly, the tor2-287 mutation rescued the growth defect of these cells (S3B Fig). These results show that the growth defects of Δnpr3 cells and Δnpr2 cells are dependent on TORC1 activity, similarly to those of Δlam2 cells, Δgtr1 cells and Δgtr2 cells.

Δlam2 Cells, Δgtr1 Cells and Δgtr2 Cells Show Abnormal Transcription and Localization of Amino Acid Permeases, Similarly to Δnpr2 Cells and Δnpr3 Cells

TORC1 regulates gene expression and localization of multiple amino acid permeases in yeast cells. For example, TORC1 activity increases the expression of arginine permease Cat1, inhibits its surface expression, and decreases uptake of canavanine, a toxic arginine analog [19]. Since the phenotypes of Δlam2 cells, Δgtr1 cells and Δgtr2 cells so far are dependent on TORC1 activity, we examined whether these genes also regulate gene expression and localization of Cat1. In Δlam2 cells, Cat1 and Δgtr2 cells, the mRNA level of cat1+ was increased compared with wild-type cells (Fig 3A). This increase in cat1+ expression was abolished in tor2-287Δlam2 cells, tor2-287Δgtr1 cells and tor2-287Δgtr2 cells (Fig 3A), indicating that the increase in cat1+ expression are dependent on TORC1 activity.

Then we examined the localization of Cat1 using Cat1-GFP expressed under its native promoter. Whereas Cat1-GFP was present at the cell surface in wild-type cells, it was localized to intracellular punctate structures in Δlam2 cells, Δgtr1 cells and Δgtr2 cells (Fig 3B, EMM). These intracellular punctate structures were abolished upon TORC1 inhibition by the combined treatment of rapamycin and caffeine (Fig 3B, Rapamycin + caffeine) or by simultaneous tor2-287 mutation (Fig 3C). These results indicate that the abnormal localization of Cat1 are dependent on TORC1 activity.

Furthermore, whereas wild-type cells showed growth defect in the presence of canavanine (60 or 90 μg/ml), Δlam2 cells, tetO-λam2 cells, Δgtr2 cells, tetO-ηgtr2 cells and Δgtr1 cells showed canavanine resistance (Fig 3D). The canavanine resistance of Δlam2 cells, Δgtr1 cells and Δgtr2 cells was partially canceled by rapamycin treatment (Fig 3E, canavanine + rapamycin). Since the effect of rapamycin was partial, we examined the effect of Torin1. The canavanine resistance of Δlam2 cells, Δgtr1 cells and Δgtr2 cells was completely canceled by Torin1 treatment (Fig 3E, canavanine + Torin). Collectively, the loss of Lam2 as well as Gtr1 and Gtr2 increases gene expression of Cat1 and induces its internalization in a manner dependent on TORC1 activity.

Using npr3::ura4+ cells, npr2::ura4+ cells, and npr2::KanMX4 cells, we found that the loss of Npr2 or Npr3 also increases mRNA expression of Cat1 (S4A Fig), induces its internalization (S4B Fig, EMM), and causes canavanine resistance (S4C Fig), similarly to the loss of Lam2 as well as Gtr1 and Gtr2. In Δnpr2 cells and Δnpr3 cells, the increase in cat1+ expression was abolished by simultaneous tor2-287 mutation (S4A Fig). TORC1 inhibition by rapamycin and caffeine abolished the internalization of Cat1 and increases the signal at the cell surface (S4B Fig, Rapamycin + caffeine). Treatment with rapamycin and caffeine partially canceled, and Torin1 treatment fully canceled, canavanine resistance in Δnpr2 cells and Δnpr3 cells (S4D Fig). Therefore, Δnpr2 cells and Δnpr3 cells also show these phenotypes in a manner dependent on TORC1 activity.

Since Cat1 is an arginine permease, we hypothesized that Cat1 internalization in these mutant cells might impede arginine incorporation and consequently causes defective cell growth. Exogenous addition of arginine to the EMM plates enhanced the cell growth of Δlam2 cells, Δgtr2 cells, Δgtr1 cells, Δnpr3 cells and Δnpr2 cells as well as wild-type cells, but did not rescue the defective cell growth of these mutant cells to the level of wild-type cells (S5 Fig).
Fig 3. The deficits in Lam2, Gtr1 and Gtr2 increase the expression of cat1+ and Cat1 internalization in a TORC1-dependent manner. (A) mRNA levels of cat1+ were increased in Δlam2 cells, Δgtr2 cells and Δgtr1 cells in a TORC1-dependent manner. The cells described in Fig 2B were grown to mid-log phase in EMM medium. Total RNA was extracted from the harvested cells and subjected to quantitative RT-PCR for cat1+ mRNA. The values were obtained by the comparative CT method in comparison to those of act1+, and then were normalized to those in wild-type cells (RQ: relative quantity). N = 3 for each group. ***P<0.001 for Turkey’s test following one-way ANOVA for the comparisons with the value of wild-type cells. ###P<0.001 for Turkey’s test following one-way ANOVA compared with respective single knockout cells. (B) Cat1 internalization in Δlam2 cells, Δgtr2 cells and Δgtr1 cells was abolished upon pharmacological inhibition of TORC1. Wild-type cells (wt, KP5859), Δlam2 cells (KP6605), Δgtr2 cells (KP6611) and Δgtr1 cells (KP6677), expressing Cat1-GFP under its native promoter were grown to mid-log phase in EMM medium. The cells were divided into two portions, one of which was treated with 0.2 μg/ml rapamycin and 10 mM caffeine for 60 min, and the other of which was left untreated. Representative fluorescent images of Cat1-GFP are shown. Scale bar, 10 μm. (C) Cat1 internalization was abolished by simultaneous tor2-287 mutation. The tor2-287 cells (KP5955), tor2-287 Δlam2 cells (KP6618), tor2-287 Δgtr2 cells (KP6676) and tor2-287 Δgtr1 cells (KP6679) expressing Cat1-GFP under its native promoter were grown to mid-log phase in EMM medium. Representative fluorescent images of Cat1-GFP are shown. Scale bar, 10 μm. (D) The deficits in Lam2, Gtr1 and Gtr2...
caused canavanine resistance. The wild-type cells (wt, KP5080), Δgtr1 cells (KP6573), tetO2-gtr2 cells (KP6645), Δgtr2 cells (KP6571), tetO2-lam2 cells (KP6650) and Δlam2 cells (KP6578) were spotted onto EMM without or with canavanine at 60 or 90 μg/ml. The plates were incubated at 27°C for 4 days without canavanine or for 5 days with canavanine. (E) Δlam2 cells, Δgtr1 cells and Δgtr2 cells showed canavanine resistance in a TORC1-dependent manner. The indicated cells as described in Fig 1A were spotted onto 90 μg/ml canavanine without or with rapamycin or Torin. The plates were incubated at 27°C for 4 days without canavanine or for 5 days with canavanine.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156239.g003

It is also known that TORC1 decreases mRNA levels of isp5+, another amino acid permease, and that Tor inhibition upon nitrogen depletion increases isp5+ expression [19]. In Δlam2 cells, Δgtr2 cells and Δgtr1 cells, the mRNA level of isp5+ was decreased, compared with wild-type cells (Fig 4A). This decrease in isp5+ expression was abolished in tor2-287Δlam2, tor2-287Δgtr2 and tor2-287Δgtr1 cells (Fig 4A), suggesting the involvement of TORC1 activity. We then examined the transcriptional activity of isp5+ promoter using Renilla luciferase reporter. In wild-type cells, nitrogen depletion induced the isp5+ transcriptional activation. By contrast, in Δlam2 cells and Δgtr2 cells, basal isp5+ transcriptional activity and its activation induced by nitrogen depletion were abolished (Fig 4B). The mRNA level of isp5+ (S6A Fig) as well as the basal isp5+ transcriptional activity and its activation induced by nitrogen depletion as measured by Renilla luciferase reporter (S6B Fig) were also decreased in npr3::ura4+ cells, npr2::ura4+ cells and npr2::KanMX4 cells. The decrease in isp5+ mRNA was abolished in tor2-287Δnpr2 and tor2-287Δnpr3 cells (S6A Fig), suggesting the involvement of TORC1 activity as well.

In fission yeast, TORC1 inhibition under nitrogen depletion induces nuclear localization of a GATA transcription factor Gaf1, and Gaf1 is critical for the basal isp5+ transcriptional activity and its activation induced by nitrogen depletion [32]. These findings prompted us to examine the localization of Gaf1 using Gaf1-YFP expressed under its native promoter. In wild-type cells cultured in the EMM medium, Gaf1-YFP was localized to the cytosol (Fig 4C, wt, 0 min). Nitrogen depletion induced robust nuclear localization of Gaf1 from the cytosol within 30 min, and this nuclear localization was sustained at least up to 120 min (Fig 4C, wt). In Δlam2 cells, Δgtr2 cells and Δgtr1 cells, the nuclear localization of Gaf1-YFP was not observed within 30 min after nitrogen depletion. Although a subset of these mutant cells showed the nuclear localization of Gaf1-YFP at 60 and 120 min, its nuclear localization appeared to be partial, compared with wild-type cells (Fig 4C). Using npr3::ura4+ cells, npr2::ura4+ cells and npr2::KanMX4 cells, we found that the loss of Npr2 or Npr3 also impairs the nuclear localization of Gaf1-YFP induced by nitrogen depletion (S6C Fig), similarly to the loss of Lam2.

Δlam2 Cells, Δgtr1 Cells and Δgtr2 Cells Show Elevated TORC1 Activity under Nitrogen Depletion, Similarly to Δnpr2 Cells and Δnpr3 Cells

Our findings so far show that Δlam2 cells, Δgtr1 cells and Δgtr2 cells phenocopy Δnpr2 cells and Δnpr3 cells in a TORC1-dependent manner. To examine how these molecules regulate TORC1 activity, we examined Rps6 phosphorylation in these cells as a readout of TORC1 activity [19, 30, 37]. In nitrogen-rich conditions, Rps6 phosphorylation appeared to be normal in these mutant cells, compared with wild-type cells (Fig 5 and S7 Fig). In wild-type cells, nitrogen depletion suppressed Rps6 phosphorylation within 15 min after nitrogen depletion (Fig 5). By contrast, Δlam2 cells, Δgtr1 cells and Δgtr2 cells showed increased Rps6 phosphorylation under nitrogen depletion, compared with wild-type cells, especially at 15 min (Fig 5). Δnpr2 cells and Δnpr3 cells also showed increased Rps6 phosphorylation (S7 Fig), similarly to the loss of Lam2, Gtr1 or Gtr2. These results suggest that Lam2 as well as Gtr1 and Gtr2 functions as a negative regulator for TORC1, similarly to Npr2 and Npr3, at least under nitrogen depletion.
Fig 4. Δlam2 cells, Δgtr1 cells and Δgtr2 cells lack the basal transcription of isp5+ and its transcriptional activation associated with nuclear Gaf1 localization induced by nitrogen depletion. (A) mRNA levels of isp5+ were decreased in Δlam2 cells, Δgtr1 cells and Δgtr2 cells in a TORC1-dependent manner. Total RNA from the indicated cells as described in Fig 2B were subjected to quantitative RT-PCR for isp5+ similarly to Fig 3A. N = 3 for each group. Magnified parts of the graphs are shown in insets. ***P<0.001 for Turkey's test following one-way ANOVA for the comparisons with the value of wild-type cells. ****P<0.001 for Turkey’s test following one-way ANOVA compared with respective single knockout cells. (B) Nitrogen depletion-induced isp5+ transcriptional activation was abolished in Δlam2 cells and Δgtr2 cells. Wild-type cells (wt, HM123), Δlam2 cells (KP6607) and Δgtr2 cells (KP6608) harboring the Renilla luciferase reporter plasmid for isp5+ promoter (pKB8527) were grown to mid-log phase in EMM medium. The medium was replaced by EMM or nitrogen-depleted EMM (-NH4Cl), and the cells were subjected to Renilla luciferase reporter assay. Peak values were normalized to that in wild-type cells in the control condition (EMM). N = 3 for each group. Magnified parts of the graphs are shown in insets. ***P<0.001, ns not significant for unpaired t-test for the planned comparisons with the same genotype in the control condition. ****P<0.001 for Turkey’s test following one-way ANOVA compared with wild-type cells in the respective conditions (EMM or -NH4Cl). (C) Nuclear localization of Gaf1 upon nitrogen depletion was impaired in Δlam2 cells, Δgtr2 cells and Δgtr1 cells. Wild-type cells (wt, KP6488), Δlam2 cells (KP6606), Δgtr2 cells (KP6610) and Δgtr1 cells (KP6612) expressing Gaf1-YFP under the native Gaf1 promoter were grown to mid-log phase in EMM medium. Fluorescent images of Gaf1-YFP were acquired before (0 min) or after nitrogen depletion (-NH4Cl) for the indicated time. Scale bar, 10 μm.
Genetic interactions between Lam2, Gtr1-Gtr2 and Npr2-Npr3

To examine the relationship among Lam2, Gtr1-Gtr2 and Npr2-Npr3, we examined whether the double mutants of these molecules showed synthetic effects. The growth defects of Δgtr2Δgtr1 cells, Δgtr2Δlam2 cells, Δgtr2Δnpr2 cells, Δnpr2Δnpr3 cells and Δnpr2Δlam2 cells on EMM plates were similar to those of the respective single mutant cells (Fig 6A and 6B). No apparent synthetic effects of these double mutant cells were observed in either canavanine resistance or the rescue of growth defect by TOR inhibitors (Fig 6A and 6B). We also examined whether the double mutants showed synthetic effects in nuclear localization of Gaf1-YFP induced by nitrogen depletion. Thus, Δgtr2Δgtr1 cells, Δgtr2Δlam2 cells and Δgtr2Δnpr2 cells showed the lack of nuclear localization of Gaf1-YFP at 30 min after nitrogen depletion (Fig 6C), similarly to the respective single knockout cells (Fig 4C). At 60 and 120 min, a subset of these double mutant cells showed nuclear localization of Gaf1-YFP, but the intensity of nuclear Gaf1-YFP appeared to be lower than that in wild-type cells (Fig 6C), similarly to the respective single knockout cells (Fig 4C). The lack of synthetic effects of these double mutant cells suggest that Lam2 and Gtr1-Gtr2 function in the same pathway as Npr2-Npr3.

Lam2 and Npr2-Npr3 Form a Physical Complex with Gtr1 and Similarly Promote the Vacuolar Localization of Gtr1-Gtr2

To characterize the relationship between Gtr1-Gtr2, Lam2 and Npr2-Npr3, we examined whether Gtr1 and Gtr2 as well as their GTP-locked and GDP-locked mutants could rescue the phenotypes of Δgtr1 cells, Δgtr2 cells, Δlam2 cells, Δnpr2 cells and Δnpr3 cells. Both wild-type Gtr1 and GDP-locked Gtr1S20L, but not GTP-locked Gtr1Q61L, rescued the defective growth in Δgtr1 cells (Fig 7A). In contrast, wild-type Gtr2 and GTP-locked Gtr2Q60L, but not GDP-locked Gtr2S17L, rescued the the defective growth in Δgtr2 cells (Fig 7B). These findings suggest that GDP-bound Gtr1 and GTP-bound Gtr2 are necessary for the cell growth on YES plates. However, neither wild-type Gtr1, GTP-locked Gtr1Q61L nor GDP-locked Gtr1S20L rescued the defective growth in Δlam2 cells, Δnpr3 cells and Δnpr2 cells (Fig 7A). Furthermore, neither wild-type Gtr2, GTP-locked Gtr2Q60L nor GDP-locked Gtr2S17L rescued the defective growth in these mutant cells (Fig 7B). Overexpression of wild-type Gtr1 and Gtr2 as well as their GTP-locked and GDP-locked mutants did not affect the cell growth of these mutant cells in the presence of rapamycin, either (Fig 7A and 7B). Therefore, these findings suggest that the defective...
Fig 6. The double knockout cells of lam2, gtr2 and npr2 lack synthetic effects in growth defect, canavanine resistance, or nuclear localization of Gaf1 induced by nitrogen depletion. (A, B) Δgtr2Δgtr1 cells, Δgtr2Δlam2 cells, Δgtr2Δnpr2 cells, Δnpr2Δnpr3 cells, Δnpr2Δlam2 cells lacked synthetic effects in growth defect. The indicated prototrophic wild-type cells (wt, KP5080), Δgtr2 cells (KP6571), Δgtr1 cells (KP6573), Δgtr2Δgtr1 cells (KP6684), Δlam2 cells (KP6578), Δgtr2Δlam2 cells (KP6580), Δnpr2 cells (KP6506), Δgtr2Δnpr2 cells (KP6588), Δnpr3 cells (KP6552), Δnpr2Δnpr3 cells (KP6683) and Δnpr2Δlam2 cells (KP6685) were spotted onto the indicated plates, and then incubated at 27°C on EMM plates for 4 days, on EMM plates containing canavanine for 5 days, and on EMM plates containing rapamycin or Torin for 4 days. (C) Δgtr2Δgtr1 cells, Δgtr2Δlam2 cells and Δgtr2Δnpr2 cells lacked synthetic effects in nuclear localization of Gaf1 induced by nitrogen depletion. Wild-type cells (wt, KP6488), Δgtr2Δgtr1 cells (KP6687), Δgtr2Δlam2 cells (KP6685) and Δgtr2Δnpr2 cells (KP6686) expressing Gaf1-YFP under the native Gaf1 promoter were grown to mid-log phase in EMM medium. Fluorescent images of Gaf1-YFP were then acquired before (0 min) or after nitrogen depletion (-NH4Cl) for the indicated time. Scale bar, 10 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156239.g006
Fig 7. GDP-bound Gtr1 and GTP-bound Gtr2 promote the cell growth in a manner dependent on Lam2, Npr2 and Npr3. (A) The leucine auxotrophic Δgtr1 cells (KP6688), Δlam2 cells (KP6607), Δnpr3 cells (KP6551) and Δnpr2 cells (KP6586) transformed with the control vector (+ vector, pKB2437) or the plasmid expressing Gtr1 (pKB8676), Gtr1Q61L (pKB8910) or Gtr1S20L (pKB8911) as well as wild-type cells (wt, HM123) transformed with the control vector (pKB2437) were streaked onto YES plates without or with rapamycin. The plates were incubated at 27°C for 4 days. (B) The leucine auxotrophic Δgtr2 cells (KP6608), Δlam2 cells (KP6607), Δnpr3 cells (KP6551) and Δnpr2 cells (KP6586) transformed with the control vector (+ vector, pKB2437) or the plasmid expressing Gtr2 (pKB8634), Gtr2Q60L (pKB8905) or Gtr2S17L (pKB8904) as well as wild-type cells (wt, HM123) transformed with the control vector (pKB2437) were streaked onto YES plates without or with rapamycin. The plates were incubated at 27°C for 4 days.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156239.g007
growth of Δlam2 cells, Δnpr2 cells and Δnpr3 cells are not due to altered nucleotide-bound states of Gtr1 or Gtr2.

Then we investigated the possibility that Lam2, Npr2 and Npr3 might function as a tether for Gtr1 and Gtr2. First, we observed the localization of Gtr1-GFP expressed under nmt1 promoter. In wild-type cells, hypotonic stress induced a fusion of vacuoles, and Gtr1-GFP and Gtr2-GFP were localized at vacuolar membranes. In Δlam2 cells, Δnpr2 cells and Δnpr3 cells, vacuoles were smaller, and the intensities of Gtr1-GFP and Gtr2-GFP at vacuolar membranes were decreased, compared with wild-type cells (Fig 8A and 8B). These findings suggest that Lam2 and Npr2-Npr3 similarly promote the vacuolar localization of Gtr1 and Gtr2 as a tether for Gtr1-Gtr2 at vacuolar membranes. In immunoblotting, the protein levels of Gtr1-GFP and Gtr2-GFP, but not of GFP control vector, were reduced in Δlam2 cells, Δnpr3 cells and Δnpr2 cells, compared with wild-type cells (Fig 8C), suggesting that Lam2 and Npr2-Npr3 maintain the protein levels of Gtr1 and Gtr2.

Since our findings suggest that Npr2 and Npr3 function similarly to Lam2, we investigated whether Lam2 could form a physical complex with Npr2. We expressed GST (glutathione S-transferase), Gtr1-GST or GST-Npr2 together with GFP-Lam2, and subjected these cells to the co-precipitation assay with glutathione sepharose 4B beads. GST-Npr2 and Gtr1-GST, but not GST, were co-precipitated with GFP-Lam2 (Fig 9A). On the other hand, we expressed GST, GST-Lam2 or Gtr1-GST together with GFP-Npr2, and subjected these cells to the co-precipitation assay with glutathione beads. GST-Lam2 and Gtr1-GST, but not GST, were co-precipitated with GFP-Npr2 (Fig 9B). These findings suggest that Lam2 forms a physical complex with Gtr1 and Npr2.

Discussion

In fission yeast, whether Lam2 functions as a component of a GEF or tether for Gtr1 remains unknown. Whether Npr2-Npr3 functions only as a component of a GAP for Gtr1 is also elusive. In this study, we found that the loss of Lam2 and Gtr1-Gtr2 phenocopies the loss of Npr2-Npr3: The loss of Lam2, Gtr1, Gtr2, Npr2 or Npr3 similarly causes growth defect, induces transcription of cat1+ and Cat1 internalization, and decreases the basal transcription of isp5+ and its activation associated with nuclear Gaf1 localization induced by nitrogen depletion. All these phenotypes are rescued by pharmacological or genetic inhibition of TORC1, and the loss of any of these genes increases TORC1 activity at least after nitrogen depletion. These findings suggest that Lam2, Gtr1-Gtr2 and Npr2-Npr3 all mediate the above phenotypes through suppressing TORC1 activity. Consistently, the overexpression of GDP-bound Gtr1 and GTP-bound Gtr2, which suppress TORC1 activity in combination in budding yeast [14], rescues the phenotypes in the cells lacking Gtr1 and Gtr2, respectively, but not in those lacking Lam2, Npr2 or Npr3. Furthermore, Lam2 and Npr2-Npr3 maintain the vacuolar localization of Gtr1 and Gtr2, and form a physical complex with Gtr1. These findings suggest that Lam2 and Npr2-Npr3 function together as a tether for GDP-bound Gtr1 to the vacuolar membrane, thereby negatively regulating TORC1 activity in fission yeast.

Studies in budding yeast have shown that GTP-bound Gtr1 preferentially binds to and activates TORC1 [18]. Our findings suggest that GDP-bound Gtr1 plays an active role in suppressing TORC1 activity to promote cell growth. Indeed it has been reported that GDP-bound Rag GTPases recruit TSC2 to inactivate mTORC1 upon amino acid removal in mammalian cells [38]. Therefore, the loss of Gtr1 could inhibit or activate TORC1, depending on the GTP/GDP ratio of Gtr1 in a given experimental condition. Notably, the loss of Gtr1-Gtr2 as well as the loss of Lam2 or Npr2-Npr3 increases Rps6 phosphorylation only after nitrogen depletion, and this increase was not evident in the nitrogen-rich condition. Since Rps6 is a ribosomal protein
Fig 8. Lam2, Npr3 and Npr2 are necessary for maintaining the vacuolar localization and the protein levels of Gtr1 and Gtr2. (A, B) Intracellular localization of Gtr1-GFP (A) or Gtr2-GFP (B) in wild-type (wt) cells, Δlam2 cells, Δnpr3 cells or Δnpr2 cells are shown. The leucine auxotrophic wild-type (wt, HM123), Δlam2 cells (KP6607), Δnpr3 cells (KP6551) and Δnpr2 cells (KP6586) transformed with the plasmids expressing Gtr1-GFP (pKB8702) (A) or Gtr2-GFP (pKB9133) (B) under nmt1 promoter were grown to early log phase in EMM medium at 27°C, and then were shifted to YES medium overnight. The fluorescent and DIC images were then acquired after the cells were treated with distilled water for 30 min. Scale bar, 10 μm. (C) The protein levels of Gtr1-GFP and Gtr2-GFP in wild-type (wt) cells, Δlam2 cells, Δnpr3 cells and Δnpr2 cells. The leucine auxotrophic wild-type (wt, HM123), Δlam2 cells (KP6607), Δnpr3 cells (KP6551), Δnpr2::ura4Δ cells (KP6586) and Δnpr2::KanMXΔ cells (KP6585) transformed the plasmids expressing, GFP (pKB2728), Gtr1-GFP (pKB8702) or Gtr2-GFP (pKB9133) under nmt1 promoter were grown to early log phase in EMM medium without thiamine at 27°C for 20 h. Proteins were extracted and subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analyses with anti-GFP antibodies. A representative image of
that is phosphorylated by Psk1, a direct substrate of TORC1 [37], Rps6 phosphorylation could not be sensitive enough to detect local changes in TORC1 activity at the vacuolar membrane. LAMTOR2 is a component of Ragulator, which is thought to function as a GEF for RagA and RagB in mammalian cells [17]. If Lam2 might function only as a component of GEF for Gtr1, it would be expected that the loss of Lam2 decreases the GTP-bound Gtr1 and consequently suppresses TORC1 activity in fission yeast. This expectation is contrary to our finding that the loss of Lam2 disinhibits TORC1 activity. Since studies in yeast have revealed Vam6 as a GEF for Gtr1 [14, 18], the Ragulator complex may play another role. Our findings favor the possibility that Lam2 functions as a component of tether for Gtr1, because the loss of Lam2 attenuates the localization of Gtr1 and Gtr2 on the vacuolar membrane. Since GDP-bound Gtr1 is necessary to promote cell growth through suppressing TORC1 in our experimental condition, it is plausible that Lam2 tethers GDP-bound Gtr1 at the vacuolar membrane, thereby suppressing TORC1 activity. However, whether GDP-bound Gtr1 can be localized on the vacular membrane similarly to GTP-bound Gtr1 remains to be proven.

In the SEACIT complex, Iml1 has a domain for GAP activity for Gtr1 [10], and whether Npr2-Npr3 affects this GAP activity remains unknown. We found that Lam2 forms a complex with Npr2 at least with overexpression of these proteins, and that the loss of Npr2-Npr3 diminishes the vacuolar localization of Gtr1, similarly to the loss of Lam2. In addition, our findings suggest that GDP-bound Gtr1 promotes cell growth in a manner dependent on Lam2 and
Npr2-Npr3. Therefore, Npr2-Npr3 together with Lam2 could also tether GDP-bound Gtr1 on the vacuolar membrane. In budding yeast, Npr2 and Npr3 are localized to the vacuolar membrane [8]. Since the Regulator complex is anchored to the lysosomal membrane through its component LAMTOR1 [7], Lam2 could promote the vacuolar localization of Npr2-Npr3 through the physical interaction.

In conclusion, this study suggests a role of Lam2 and Npr2-Npr3 as a tether for Gtr1 to the vacuolar membrane for multiple cellular functions, and thus proposes a novel role of Npr2-Npr3 beyond a GAP for Gtr1 at least in fission yeast. Whether this finding is exploitable to understanding the functions of regulators for Rag GTPases, such as the Regulator and GATOR complexes, in mammalian cells warrants future investigations.
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