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Abstract

In this article, we elaborate the application of thermal decomposition based synthesis of Fe₃O₄ superparamagnetic nanoparticle (SPMNP) in subcellular fractionation context. Here, we performed surface functionalization of SPMNP with phospholipids and dimercaptosuccinic acid. Surprisingly, we observed surface functionalization dependent SPMNP localization in subcellular compartments such as plasma membrane, endosomes and lysosomes. By using SPMNP based subcellular localization with pulse–chase methodology, we could use SPMNP for high pure-high yield organelle (plasma membrane, endosomes and lysosome) fractionation. Further, SPMNP that are distinctly localized in subcellular compartments can be used as technology for subcellular fractionation that can complement existing tools for cell biology research. As a future perspective, isolated magnetic organelles can be extended to protein/protein complex purification for biochemical and structural biology studies.

Keywords: Superparamagnetic nanoparticle (SPMNP), Plasma membrane, Early or late endosomes, Lysosomes

1 Introduction

Plasma membrane, endosomes (early or late), and lysosomes are dynamic organelles that are known for their key role in cellular function such as cell signaling [1–3], intracellular [4, 5] and extracellular interaction [6, 7]. Interestingly, most drugs and therapeutic small molecules require cellular interaction for their biological action, and it is well known that high affinity with the cell surface results in effective mechanisms [8]. Several drug candidates for cancer, neurodegenerative and metabolic diseases predominately interact with the proteins at plasma membrane, endosomes and lysosomes [9]. For example, gamma-secretase protein complexes that play a key role in neurodegenerative disease are predominately localized in plasma membrane and endo-lysosomal compartments [10]. Gamma-secretase substrate cleavage activity occurs at the cell surface and endosomal compartments [11]. Hence, it is essential to identify proteins that interact with gamma secretase complex in those subcellular compartments during drug treatment or other perturbations. In order to identify such subtle mechanism, it is essential to characterize the biomolecular composition of plasma membrane, endosomes and lysosomes [12–14]. This is due to the dynamic nature of protein and lipid composition in a cell. For instance, 90% of cholesterol is present at the cell surface in normal cells and it has been observed that majority of the cholesterol is internalized in Presenilin double knockout (PSENDKO) cell lines [15]. Hence, it is essential to isolate organelles such as plasma membrane, endosomes and lysosomes in order to identify subtle changes in protein or lipid composition compared to whole cell [16]. Such an approach will facilitate the analysis of the composition and dynamics of subcellular compartments upon drug exposure. Major limiting factor in determining the biomolecular composition of these dynamic organelles are the difficulties in isolating these organelles with high purity and high yield [17]. There are several existing methodologies such as density
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the mixture to 200 °C for 2 h under N2 flow for synthe-
thesis of benzyl ether. We then magnetically stirred and heated 6 mmol of oleic acid and 6 mmol of oleyl amine in 20 ml
of toluene with 10 mmol of 1,2-hexadecanediol (10 mmol),
which is used to isolate dynamic organelles such as endo-
some and lysosomes with limited purity. However, bio-
molecular composition of plasma membrane, endosomes
and lysosomes are still incomplete for several cell types
[27]. SPMNPs based subcellular fractionation is an effective
and simple methodology for isolating plasma mem-
brane, early endosomes, late endosomes and lysosomes
in any given eukaryotic cell [28]. SPMNP based subcel-
lar fractionation is considered to be superior as orga-
nelles are isolated under native physiological conditions
devoid of detergents or acidic condition [29]. In addition,
SPMNP based subcellular fractionation are generic and
can be applied to any living eukaryotic cell [30]. How-
ever, synthesis and surface functionalization of SPMNP
plays key role in its application. In this article, we show
that thermal decomposition synthesis based SPMNP can
be localized in two different subcellular compartments
using two types of surface functionalization. We particu-
larly show that phospholipid functionalized SPMNPs and
dimercaptosuccinic acid (DMSA) functionalized SPM-
NPs can be used for plasma membrane and endosomal
enrichment respectively. In addition, we present meth-
odologies for thermal decomposition based SPMNP
synthesis, surface functionalization of SPMNPs, bio-
conjugation of SPMNPs with fluorescent phospholipid or
by fluorescein-5-maleimide, pulse–chase paradigm and
magnetic fractionation for subcellular organelles (plasma
membrane, endosomes and lysosomes). As a proof of
concept, here we focus on use of SPMNPs and its pulse
chase dependent subcellular localization for subcellular
fractionation in HeLa cells.

2 Experimental section
2.1 Thermal decomposition based synthesis of SPMNP
Using Sun and Zeng’s protocol [31], Magnetite (Fe3O4)
nanoparticles with size from 3 to 20 nm can be produced
using simple organic-phase synthesis. We prepared 6 nm
Fe3O4 SPMNP by mixing 2 mmol of iron (III) acetylace-
tonate with 10 mmol of 1,2-hexadecanediol (10 mmol),
6 mmol of oleic acid and 6 mmol of oleyl amine in 20 ml
of benzyl ether. We then magnetically stirred and heated
the mixture to 200 °C for 2 h under N2 flow for synthe-
sizing SPMNP seed. Reflux at 300 °C for 1 h resulted in
SPMNP seeds growing to 6 nM SPMNP. After cooling to
room temperature, we added ethanol and separated dark
brown precipitate using magnet. We then dissolved dark
brown material in hexane and centrifuged at 1000 rpm
for 5 min to remove aggregates. Using phospholipids or
DMSA as ligand, water dispersible SPMNP are generated
in controlled fashion by retaining monodispersity and
superparamagnetic properties.

2.2 Phospholipid based surface functionalization
of SPMNP
Based on Dubertret et al protocol [32], we performed
phospholipid (Pl) functionalization on SPMNP by ligand
addition to generate Pl-SPMNP. Briefly we mixed 1:2
ratio of SPMNP with PEG functionalized Phospholipids
in chloroform and vortexed for 4 h. The above reaction
mixture was gently mixed and incubated for 3 h with
gentle and continuous vortexing. After evaporating the
chloroform and drying the particles using nitrogen gas,
we dissolved the pellet in water (1 ml) by gentle shak-
ing of the sample. We then centrifuged the above reac-
tion mixture for 10 min at 5000 rpm at 21 °C in order
to remove the major aggregates. Finally, we extracted
the supernatant in a new 1.5 ml centrifuge tube and cen-
trifuged it for 1 h at 5000 rpm at 21 °C in order to get
monodispersity.

2.3 DMSA based surface functionalization of SPMNP
Using Thimiri Govinda Raj et al protocol [29], we per-
fomed ligand exchange on oleic acid functionalized
SPMNP using DMSA as ligand to generate DMSA-
SPMNP. We mixed 1:200 ratio of SPMNP with DMSA
in 1:1 toluene and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) solution.
Then reaction mixture was vortexed for 48 h at room
temperature. After 48 h, the black precipitate was pel-
leted through centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 10 min.
Using glass pipette, the toluene and DMSA solvent mix-
ture was discarded. The pellet was resuspended in appro-
priate volume of water by vigorous sonication. Finally
SPMNP in water was adjusted to pH 7.

2.4 TMAOH and COO-TMACI based surface
functionalization of SPMNP
Using Thimiri Govinda Raj et al protocol [29], we per-
fomed ligand exchange on oleic acid functionalized
SPMNP using TMAOH and COO-TMACI as ligand to
generate TMAOH and or COO-TMACI-SPMNP. We
mixed 1:200 ratio of SPMNP with TMAOH or COO-
TMACI in 1:1 toluene and methanol solution. Then reac-
tion mixture was vortexed for 48 h at room temperature.
After 48 h, the black precipitate was pelleted through
centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 10 min. Using glass pipette,
the toluene solvent mixture was discarded. The pellet was resuspended in appropriate volume of water by vigorous sonication. Finally SPMNP in water was adjusted to pH 7.
2.5 Generation of fluorescent SPMNP
We used two strategies to generate fluorescent SPMNP depending on the ligand functionalization (a) fluorescent PI-SPMNP: 1:1:4 ratio of oleic acid functionalized SPMNP with PEG functionalized Phospholipids and fluorescent end group PEG functionalized Phospholipids respectively in chloroform was mixed. (b) Maleimide linker based conjugation of SPMNP [33]: 1:25 molar ratio of SPMNP with fluorescein-5-maleimide in water at pH 7.0 was mixed. The reaction mixture was incubated in mild shaking for overnight at 4 °C. Using magnetic separation, unconjugated fluorescein-5-maleimide was removed. The magnetically purified fluorescein conjugated SPMNP was resuspended in water at pH 7.0.

2.6 Subcellular barcoding—choice of SPMNP for pulse–chase paradigm
In order to isolate subcellular organelles, it is critical to select appropriate SPMNP that selectively localize at subcellular compartments. To select SPMNP that are cell surface bound or internalized in cell, magnetic cell isolation protocol with different pulse and chase conditions are to be performed. Adherent mammalian cells (ex: HeLa, MEFs) were incubated with different concentration of SPMNPs in medium at 4 or 37 °C for 15 min as pulse period. The cells were trypsinized and harvested at 1000 rpm for 10 min. Magnetic cell isolation was performed using the protocol for magnetic separation from Miltenyi Biotec.

2.7 Prussian blue staining
Adherent mammalian cells to 75% confluence were cultured in 6 well plates with coverslips. Further cells were incubated at 37 °C with SPMNPs for pulse period. After washing with PBS, cells were incubated at 37 °C in fresh medium devoid of SPMNP for chase period (0 and 240 min). Then cells were washed with ice-cold PBS three times and fixed with paraformaldehyde. Cells were rinsed with PBS and SPMNP localization was captured using confocal microscope.

2.9 Western blot analysis on SPMNP based subcellular fractionation
Adherent mammalian cells to 75% confluence were cultured in 75 cm² flask or in 8 × 10 cm culture-dishes at 37 °C and washed three times with warm PBS. The cells were then incubated with SPMNP in medium (0.2 mg/ml) for pulse time of 15 min at 37 °C in a mild shaking platform. To capture plasma membrane, cells with NH₂-lipid-SPMNP in PBS (2 mg/ml) were incubated for pulse time of 15 min at 4 °C. Excess SPMNP were removed by washing the cells with PBS, and a chase period of 0–15 h with fresh medium at 37 °C was performed (chase period—0 min to capture plasma membrane, chase period—15 min to 2 h to isolate endosomes and chase period 2–15 h to isolate lysosomes). The cells were harvested in PBS by centrifuging at 1000 rpm for 10 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in homogenizing buffer and homogenized with ball-bearing cell cracker (20 passages, clearance 10 or 15 µm). The cell debris was pelleted by centrifuging at 200g for 10 min and the supernatant as post nuclear supernatant fraction (PNS) was retained. The PNS was loaded in a PBS equilibrated LS column in the presence of magnetic field. The non-magnetic unbound fraction was aliquoted for quality control and western blot analysis. The column was washed in the presence of magnetic field with homogenizing buffer for three times. The bound fraction was removed by the magnetic field and using the plunger. The bound fraction was pelleted using ultracentrifugation in 55,000 rpm for 1 h at 4 °C. Western blot analysis was performed to study the magnetic fraction.

3 Result and discussion
3.1 Superparamagnetic nanoparticle (SPMNP) synthesis and surface functionalization
Figure 1 shows the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of SPMNP that are synthesized using thermal decomposition (Fig. 1). Synthesized Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles are uniform in size with high monodispersity observed for thermal decomposition based SPMNP. Using thermal decomposition based SPMNP as a core nanoparticle, SPMNPs are generated either by ligand addition for lipid-SPMNP or ligand exchange for DMSA-SPMNP, TMAOH-SPMNP are observed to retain monodispersity and core size. Further surface modification by coupling fluorescent molecules (Fluorescecin) to SPMNP to generate fluorescent SPMNP doesn’t have major changes in the nanoparticle core properties and monodispersity. Dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurement
of oleic acid functionalized SPMNP in hexane shows that nanoparticle size is 11 ± 0.3 nm based on size distribution calculation. DLS measurement of water dispersible SPMNP shows that nanoparticle size is in the range 20 ± 2 to 30 ± 4 nm. In order to apply for SPMNP for biological application, it is necessary that SPMNP are biocompatible and retain physical properties such as size. DLS measurement of DMEM medium dispersed SPMNP shows that Lipid-SPMNP and DMSA-SPMNP retain the hydrodynamic size, while TMAOH-SPMNP and COO-TMACI-SPMNP seem to aggregate with increase in size distribution. In addition, thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) and Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectra measurements confirm the surface functionalization of SPMNP as previously reported [24]. Hence, TMAOH-SPMNP and COO-TMACI-SPMNP were not used further in this subcellular localization studies. Zeta potential measurement on DMSA-SPMNP, NH₂-Lipid-SPMNP,
COOH-lipid-SPMNP and PEG-Lipid-SPMNP were performed previously confirming negative charge for DMSA-SPMNP, COOH-lipid-SPMNP and PEG-Lipid-SPMNP and positive charge for NH₂-lipid-SPMNP [34].

Figure 2 shows differences in hydrodynamic diameters among Lipid-SPMNP such as PEG-endgroup Lipid-SPMNP, NH₂-endgroup Lipid-SPMNP and COOH-endgroup Lipid-SPMNP that was measured using DLS. Previously Xinlei Huang et al. have reported that shell thickness of Lipid-SPMNP depends on the hydrophobic bilayer and stretching of the PEG tails [35]. Similar observation was inferred with Lipid-SPMNP and confirms charged dependent shell thickness (Fig. 2b). Alternating gradient force magnetometry (AGFM) measurement confirmed superparamagnetic properties of SPMNP DMSA-SPMNP, Lipid-SPMNP and TMAOH-SPMNP (Fig. 2c). Due to additional shell thickness on SPMNP, magnetization emu per g of SPMNP (DMSA-SPMNP: 17.87 emu/g, Lipid-SPMNP: 22.80 emu/g, TMAOH-SPMNP: 11.70 emu/g) is lesser compared to SPMNP (59.60 emu/g) as reported previously [36]. Stability of DMSA-SPMNP and lipid-SPMNP in DMEM medium was analyzed using UV spectrophotometer and inferred to be stable over the period of 5 h (Fig. 2d).

Figure 3 shows the stability of DMSA-SPMNP and Lipid-SPMNP for pH range from 3 to 12 and NaCl concentration of 0.1, 0.25, 0.5 and 0.9 M. As reported by Lee et al. [37], DMSA-SPMNP is stable for NaCl concentration of 0.1 and 0.25 M and stable for all pH range. However, Lipid SPMNP is stable for all NaCl concentration (0.1, 0.25, 0.5 and 0.9 M) and the pH range from 3 to 12. The fluorescent DMSA-SPMNP was generated by coupling fluorescein diacetate 5-maleimide with free thiol (-SH) group of DMSA-SPMNP [38].

3.2 SPMNP-cell interaction

Figure 4 shows SPMNP interaction with HeLa cell and magnetic tagging of cells for further subcellular
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**Fig. 3** Stability of DMSA-SPMNP and NH₂-Lipid-SPMNP for increasing NaCl concentration (0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 0.9 M) and increasing pH range (3–12)

**Fig. 4** Cell viability and magnetic fractionation: 

- **a** trypan blue staining of HeLa cells incubated with DMEM medium for 2 h with no SPMNP, PEG-Lipid-SPMNP, NH₂-Lipid-SPMNP, COOH-Lipid-SPMNP and DMSA-SPMNP; 
- **b** magnetically isolated viable HeLa cells incubated with DMEM medium with or without FCS for 2 h with no SPMNP, PEG-Lipid-SPMNP, NH₂-Lipid-SPMNP, COOH-Lipid-SPMNP and DMSA-SPMNP; 
- **c** magnetically isolated viable HeLa cells incubated with DMEM medium with PEG-Lipid-SPMNP, NH₂-Lipid-SPMNP, COOH-Lipid-SPMNP and DMSA-SPMNP for pulse period 15, 30 and 60 min; 
- **d** magnetically isolated viable HeLa cells incubated with DMEM medium with PEG-Lipid-SPMNP, NH₂-Lipid-SPMNP, COOH-Lipid-SPMNP and DMSA-SPMNP for pulse period of 20 min; (T test: *p < 0.5, **p < 0.05)
fractionation. No cell toxicity was observed with HeLa cells incubated with the SPMNP in DMEM medium (Fig. 4a). Presence of fetal calf serum (FCS) in medium with SPMNP (100 μg/ml) resulted in higher magnetic cell fraction (Fig. 4b). This confirms previous studies that protein corona in the serum determines NP uptake [39]. NH2-lipid-SPMNP seems to tag all the cells within 60 min of SPMNP-cell interaction, while DMSA-SPMNP and PEG-lipid-SPMNP shows 70 and 40% magnetic cell tagging respectively (Fig. 4c). COOH-lipid-SPMNP showed no increase in magnetic cell tagging with increase in pulse period. This is due to negative charge of COOH and presence of PEG in lipid layer of COOH-lipid-SPMNP that limits cell surface and protein interaction respectively [40]. Increasing the SPMNP concentration (200 μg/ml) resulted in lesser incubation time of 20 min to magnetically isolate cells using NH2-lipid-SPMNP and DMSA-SPMNP. However, higher concentration of COOH-lipid-SPMNP or PEG-lipid-SPMNP seems to have no improvement in magnetic isolation. Hence, NH2-lipid-SPMNP and DMSA-SPMNP were selected to study further SPMNP-cell interaction.

Figure 5 shows Prussian blue staining of NH2-lipid-SPMNP and DMSA-SPMNP incubated HeLa cells. Using Prussian blue staining, we optimized the pulse incubation period for both NH2-lipid-SPMNP and DMSA-SPMNP. We tested SPMNP localization after pulse incubation period for 20 min and observed that majority of the SPMNPs are localized at the cell surface through blue staining. Similarly we confirmed the cell surface localization using Fluorlipid-SPMNP and FluorDMSA-SPMNP in confocal image setting. We performed pulse for 15 min with nanoparticle incubation and chase for 30 min after removing excess nanoparticle. In Fig. 6, we observed that majority of fluorlipid-SPMNP are at the cell surface and FluorDMSA-SPMNP are internalized in the cell. We also co-stained HeLa cells with lysotracker that stains the acidic compartments in the cells. We observed that there is no co-localization of fluorescent SPMNP with lysotracker. In addition we observed fluorescent SPMNP only at the cell surface. Although we observed most of the Fluorlipid-SPMNP are at cell surface, a population of SPMNP was internalized and was identified to be localized in the cytosolic region of the cell. No fluorlipid-SPMNP was observed to be localized in the nucleus. Similarly, no fluorDMSA was observed to be localized in nucleus.

### 3.3 Subcellular fractionation

By increasing the chase period for 240 min, we found in Fig. 7a, b that Fluorlipid-SPMNP was predominantly at the cell surface, while FluorDMSA-SPMNP was localized inside the cells. Both the fluorlipid-SPMNP and FluorDMSA-SPMNP were not internalized into the nucleus. However, preliminary comparison of the percentage of SPMNP at the cell surface and that are internalized into cytoplasm shows that majority of the Fluorlipid-SPMNP are at the cell surface with a subset population internalized. While, majority of the FluorDMSA-SPMNP are internalized in the cytosol, a subset of the population is at the cell surface. Western blot analysis of magnetic subcellular fractions for various pulse–chase paradigm showed that Na+K+ATPase (Plasma membrane marker) were enriched for NH2-lipid-SPMNP pulsed cells for incubation periods of up to 30 min, while EEA1 (early endosome marker) were enriched for DMSA-SPMNP pulsed cells at 240 min incubation with no additional increase in Na+K+ATPase (Plasma membrane marker) enrichment (Fig. 7c, d). These data show that cell surface localization of NH2-lipid-SPMNP, NH2-lipid-SPMNP based subcellular fractionation results in Plasma membrane enrichment. Time dependent internalization of DMSA-SPMNP would allow enrichment of subcellular compartment.
such as early endosomes, late endosome and lysosomes based on the chase period.

4 Conclusion

In this article, we show that surface functionalization of SPMNP governs SPMNP-cell interaction such as subcellular localization of DMSA-SPMNP and cell surface localization of lipid-SPMNP. By combining classical cell biology approach of pulse–chase paradigm with magnetic fractionation, we propose a methodology to isolate plasma membrane using NH$_2$-lipid-SPMNP, endosomes and lysosomes using DMSA-SPMNP. To isolate highly pure and high yield subcellular compartments, we propose optimal pulse–chase paradigm with 15 min of pulse with NH$_2$-lipid-SPMNP at 4 °C or 37 °C. Particularly, the chase period without DMSA-SPMNP governs subcellular compartmental isolation such as 60–180 min for early endosomes, 180–300 min for late endosomes and 300 min to 24 h for lysosomes (Fig. 8). By isolating plasma membrane or lysosomes using SPMNP technology; several research groups have performed subcellular omics and generated comprehensive data on the biomolecular composition of isolated organelles for both native and diseased conditions [11, 15, 41]. Previously, we used phospholipid functionalized SPMNP to isolate cell membrane from Wild-type (wt), Presenilin double knockout (PSENdKO) and human Presenilin-1rescue (hPSEN1rescue) mouse embryo fibroblasts (MEF). Using mass spectrometry on isolated high pure cell membrane fraction, we generated comprehensive cell surface omics for proteins (proteomics), lipids (lipidomics) and glycoproteins (glycomics). By analyzing the biomolecular composition of plasma membrane and total cell lysate, we were able to identify distinct protein and lipid population that were localized predominately at the cell surface (for example: Cholesterol). By analyzing the biomolecular composition in disease condition (PSENdKO), we observed that cholesterol was less abundant at the cell surface compared
to the cytosol. We also confirmed that rescue phenotype (hPSEN1 rescue) were able to have similar levels of cholesterol at the cell surface as it is in wt. Similar biomolecular localization was observed for several proteins such as Caveolin-1, Myoferlin and β-dystroglycan. By using SPMNP based subcellular fractionation, several groups have isolated endosomes and lysosomes with high purity-yield for subcellular omics. Negatively charged SPMNPs (like Dextran functionalized) were extensively applied for lysosomal fractionation [42]. Using magnetic fractionation, several research groups were able to decipher endosomal trafficking in lysosomal storage disorders (Niemann-Pick type C) [25]. Due to generic nature of SPMNP, our methodology could be used to isolate subcellular compartments from any given adherent cells. In addition, the methodology does not include any acidic treatment or antibody based pulldown and subcellular compartments are isolated under native physiological conditions [43]. Hence, this methodology would facilitate enzymatic studies, isolating intact membrane protein complexes and structural studies. With additional optimization step, our methodology could be applied for suspension cells [44, 45]. As a future perspective, our methodology can be used to isolate subcellular compartments in primary cells and can be extended to in vivo studies.
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