Enteric neuroimmune interactions coordinate intestinal responses in health and disease
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The enteric nervous system (ENS) of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract interacts with the local immune system bidirectionally. Recent publications have demonstrated that such interactions can maintain normal GI functions during homeostasis and contribute to pathological symptoms during infection and inflammation. Infection can also induce long-term changes of the ENS resulting in the development of post-infectious GI disturbances. In this review, we discuss how the ENS can regulate and be regulated by immune responses and how such interactions control whole tissue physiology. We also address the requirements for the proper regeneration of the ENS and restoration of GI function following the resolution of infection.

INTRODUCTION
In mammals, the GI tract contains the largest immune system¹, microbiota ecosystem² and endogenous nervous system³, whose intricate interactions help maintain normal GI physiology. In particular, the intrinsic nervous system of the GI tract, known as the ENS regulates vital GI functions.⁴ The disruption of the ENS circuitry can lead to systematic GI symptoms, such as diarrhea, constipation and visceral pain,⁵ which affect up to 40% of the world’s population.⁶

Decades of work have established that substantial communication exists between the ENS and the immune system⁶–¹¹. Crosstalk between immune cells and the ENS involves the release of soluble mediators such as cytokines, chemokines and neurotransmitters⁸–¹¹ and cognate interactions involving innate immune cells, including mast cells¹², macrophages¹³,¹⁴, and innate lymphoid cells (ILC)¹⁵–¹⁸. Recent single cell RNA sequencing of human and mouse ENS components revealed that healthy enteric neurons can communicate with both innate and adaptive immune cell types as they express soluble mediators and cell surface molecules that act on immune cells.¹⁹ The interactions between enteric neurons and immune cells help to maintain the physiological steady state within GI tissues in the absence of pathology, what might be termed tissue homeostasis. Indeed, these interactions may be greater than recognized even 5 years ago and are a dynamic area of research.

Neuroimmune interactions are also important during infection. Altered GI function can promote pathogen clearance via diarrheal responses that can dislodge the pathogen by increasing the local secretion of water into the lumen and promoting propulsive contractile patterns, with both responses requiring input from the ENS.²⁰–²² In addition, infection or inflammation-induced disruption of ENS structure and/or activity can promote²³,²⁴ or reduce inflammation,¹³,²⁵ generate GI symptoms,²⁶ and limit tissue pathology.²⁷ The ENS can also regenerate allowing for the restoration of GI function after insult.²⁸–³² However, complete recovery is often limited in many cases³³,³⁴ and damage to the ENS can have long-term consequences such as post-infectious GI disorders.³⁵–³⁷

This review focuses on the role of enteric neurons in neuroimmune interactions during health and disease with a focus on the complexity of the neural circuits that may underpin these interactions. Our review does not cover all aspects of neuroimmune interactions in detail but aims to integrate information derived from many studies and to highlight advances in knowledge provided by recent publications. We recommend elegant reviews by Yoo and Mazmanian,⁸ Chesné, Cardoso,⁹ Veiga-Fernandes and Mucida,¹⁰ Verheijden and Boeckxstaens,¹¹ Jacobson, Yang,¹² which expand on the mechanisms underlying neuroimmune interactions in more detail. We will first provide a detailed summary introducing the complexity of the ENS and highlighting some of its key features that are at times overlooked. We then discuss neuroimmune crosstalk in normal physiology, before moving on to discuss the effects produced by infection or inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). Finally, we will examine the key issue of how well the ENS, and its immune system partners, recover after pathological insults.

THE ENS AS A SEMI-AUTONOMOUS NERVOUS SYSTEM
The ENS is the largest nervous system (>300 million neurons in humans) outside the brain. It contains all the different types of neurons required to generate complex behaviors including propulsive and mixing contractile patterns, inter-digestive motor patterns and coordination of contractile and secretomotor control (for broad review of historical evidence see Furness⁴). These neurons include intrinsic sensory neurons (ISNs, also known as intrinsic primary afferent neurons or IPANs), interneurons, excitatory and inhibitory motor neurons, secretomotor neurons and vasodilator neurons (Fig. 1). Very recent studies using single cell RNAseq have identified up to 22 distinct classes of enteric neurons based on differing...
transcriptomes and major regional differences. Functions were ascribed to these chemically distinct neurons, but in most cases their anatomical and physiological profiles have not yet been confirmed. Indeed, the RNAseq data underline the extensive immunohistochemical data showing that there are no unique markers able to fully differentiate the functional subtypes of enteric neurons. This complexity complicates interpretation of the studies discussed below, with many of these studies showing that cytokines colocalize with specific enteric neurons classified by only one or two immunohistochemical markers. The cell bodies of enteric neurons are intermingled with very little functional segregation in clusters (ganglia) of 2 to >300 neurons connected by nerve trunks that form both connections between ganglia and to nerve plexi that innervate the mucosal epithelium, the crypts and the intestinal smooth muscle. There are two main ganglionated plexi known as the myenteric plexus, in which the ganglia are larger, and the submucosal plexus. The myenteric plexus contains most of the neurons that regulate intestinal contractile patterns and the circuits that coordinate these patterns with other intestinal behaviors, while the less well studied submucosal plexus primarily contains neurons that project to the mucosa and are implicated in regulation of secretion, vasodilatation and absorption. Some myenteric interneurons and ISNs innervate the submucosal ganglia and conversely, some submucosal neurons project to the myenteric plexus (Fig. 1). The architecture of the ganglia and the neurochemical profiles of enteric neurons differ significantly between intestinal regions reflecting the functional differences between the different parts of the small intestine and the proximal and distal parts of the colon. Therefore, caution is required when attributing function identified at one region to another without further investigations.

The enteric neural circuits that regulate gastrointestinal (GI) behaviors are modulated by inputs from the parasympathetic nervous system via the vagus and sacral part of the spinal cord and by inputs from the sympathetic nervous system. These extrinsic inputs serve to integrate enteric network activity with the requirement of the whole organism during homeostasis, physiological, or psychological stress and during pathological conditions. They are informed by a variety of extrinsic primary afferent neurons with cell bodies in the nodose or dorsal root ganglia and whose peripheral terminals innervate the different layers of the gut to sample the local environment (for review see Furness).

Enteric circuits also receive input from, and probably provide output to, enteroendocrine (EE) cells that synthesize and secrete many different hormones and other mediators in the mucosa (Fig. 1). This is most prominent for a subclass of EE cell, enterochromaffin (EC) cells, which synthesize and release 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT, serotonin). EC cells are mechanosensitive but also respond to various chemical stimuli in the mucosa to release 5-HT onto the mucosal terminals of some enteric (and extrinsic primary afferent) neurons. Although motor activity is seen in the absence of EC 5-HT, there is strong evidence that mucosal 5-HT release modifies intestinal motor patterns or even initiates them and that this release can be triggered by smooth muscle contractions. Release of 5-HT from EC cells also activates secretomotor pathways leading to electronegenic secretion of water and salt into the lumen. Thus, EC cells and probably EE cells should be considered integral parts of the enteric circuit.

Enteric neurons synthesize and release a wide range of neurotransmitters and neuropeptides, allowing them to communicate with smooth muscles, epithelium, other neurons and possibly immune cells. These target cells are all located 100 μm to >10 cm away from the neuron’s cell body (for review see Furness). The release of neurotransmitter requires the generation of action potentials in their axons, allowing signals to reach cells that are distant. In neurons, small molecule neurotransmitters like the major excitatory enteric transmitter acetylcholine (ACh) are synthesized within or taken up into the nerve terminals. In contrast, neuropeptides that may act as neurotransmitters are synthesized as precursor proteins and processed to the mature form during the long journey from the Golgi apparatus in the cell body to the terminals. This means that cell bodies of enteric neurons often have only low levels of their characteristic neuropeptides, which are not detected unless fast axoplasmic transport is blocked. Nevertheless, most enteric neurons have several putative neurotransmitters in their nerve terminals together with a range of other neuroactive mediators. These can include ACh and potentially excitatory neurotransmitters like ATP, 5-HT, glutamate and excitatory neuropeptides like substance P (SP) and vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) together with inhibitory peptides like enkephalin and dynorphin. Several of these have different functions...
depending on the target cells and their receptors. For example, 5-HT can be excitatory via some of its receptor subtypes and inhibitory via others and is only found in interneurons, while both ATP and VIP excite neurons, but inhibit the smooth muscle when released from inhibitory motor neurons. The primary inhibitory transmitters to the smooth muscle are nitric oxide (NO), synthesized on demand by neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS, NOS1), and ATP, but many inhibitory motor neurons also contain VIP. VIP is the primary transmitter of most submucosal secretomotor neurons and is a potent secretagogue on newly differentiated epithelial cells, but some VIP containing submucosal neurons express markers for other neurotransmitters like nNOS and tyrosine hydroxylase. Importantly, the exact cellular targets of these subtypes are yet to be identified, and answering such questions can help us better understand the full functions of submucosal neurons. ACh is the primary secretagogue released by the other secretomotor neurons but may act as a vasodilator transmitter on submucosal arterioles.

Amongst other mediators, the cholinergic secretomotor neurons also express calcitonin gene related peptide (CGRP), which is often assumed to label both extrinsic primary afferent neurons and myenteric intrinsic sensory neurons.

Importantly, where neurotransmitters are stored and released is critical for their function and how they can interact with immune cells. Typically, enteric axons exhibit swellings, varicosities, at many sites along their length and most, if not all, of these have the features of nerve terminals with clusters of transmitter containing vesicles and what appears to be the normal release apparatus (Fig. 1). This has led to the conclusion that varicosities are the enteric equivalent of central synapses, but many do not form close contacts with other neurons or the muscle and those that do frequently do not align with a postsynaptic specialization.

Detailed analysis of varicosities of other autonomic axons has shown that the probability of release from these structures can be very low during a single action potential. This suggests that mediator release at neuronal varicosities likely requires long high frequency trains of action potentials, commonly referred to as 'bursts' of action potentials. Furthermore, a mediator released by a cell such as an immune cell or a glia cell contacting a neuronal axon can only really affect the neuronal cell body or other axonal varicosities (which may be over a centimeter distant) by generating an action potential within the neuron, or via axonterminal or retrograde axoplasmic transport of a signaling molecule (this would be expected to be many orders of magnitude slower than an action potential). Addressing these issues in relation to the intimate contacts between enteric neuronal axons and immune cells should substantially enhance our understanding of neuroimmune interactions.

It is generally believed that, in the absence of pathology, enteric neurons are long-lived and maintained throughout adult life, but recent controversial evidence suggests adult enteric neurons turn over rapidly indicating that the ENS may be more dynamic than previously thought. Importantly, it is clear that enteric neurons undergo cell death following pathological insult, while damaged axons of surviving neurons regenerate.

Enteric neurons are surrounded and supported by another major cell population, enteric glial cells. These are found throughout the axons within or between ganglia, in the muscle and lamina propria and in close relationships with the mucosal epithelium. They provide structural and metabolic support for neurons and their axons, modulate synaptic signaling, contribute to regulation of motility patterns, and help maintain intestinal barrier integrity. Intriguingly enteric glia are connected via gap junctions and hence can communicate with each other metabolically and via changes in intracellular Ca²⁺ levels. They also receive input from enteric neurons through purinergic (ATP) and other signaling pathways, and can activate enteric motor patterns via similar mechanisms. Importantly, enteric glia can differentiate into enteric neurons following chemically induced tissue injury, inflammation, or infection. Thus, there is two way communication between enteric neurons and glia both in signaling and in recovery from pathological insults. Enteric glia also mediate neuroimmune interactions, and please refer to Chow and Gulbransen, Veiga-Fernandes and Pachnis for more detailed reviews.

NEUROIMMUNE CROSSTALK DURING HOMEOSTASIS

The mucosal immune system needs to tolerate microbiota symbionts and yet recognize, limit and eradicate diverse disease-causing pathogens. This section focuses on how the ENS participates in the regulation of mucosal immunity with important consequences for tissue homeostasis. For discussion of the roles of intrinsic nerves in the neuroimmune interactions in the GI tract see recent reviews by Verheijden and Boeckxstaens, Margolis, Gershon.

ENS MODIFIES MUCOSAL IMMUNITY

Both myenteric and submucosal neurons express a variety of immune mediators including Interleukin (IL)-6 and IL-18. Gene transcripts for some cytokine receptors, such as IL-4 receptor alpha (ra) and receptors for TNF and TGF-β are expressed ubiquitously by ENS neurons—whilst the genes for IL-10rβ, IL-11rα, IL-13rα1, IL-17r, and IL-20rα, are selectively expressed by subsets of enteric neurons. Functionally, enteric neuronal IL-6 is reported to suppress regulatory T cell differentiation during homeostasis. Further, many neurotransmitters co-localized in these neurons and their terminals also mediate immune functions. SP has pro-inflammatory functions and supports the migration, proliferation, and activation of multiple immune cell types. By contrast, VIP has anti-inflammatory functions and can inhibit macrophage and neutrophil activation, reduce pro-inflammatory cytokine production and promote regulatory T cell and T helper 2 (Th2) cell differentiation. VIP has also been reported to trigger or inhibit IL-22 production from type 3 ILC3 (ILC3), which in turn contributes to intestinal barrier integrity (Fig. 2b). These apparently contradictory roles of VIP may arise because there are a minimum of six different classes of VIP neurons in the ENS including myenteric interneurons containing NOS, other interneurons that are cholinergic, inhibitory motor neurons and nitric oxide synthase (NOS) expressing ILC3, which in turn contribute to intestinal barrier integrity (Fig. 2b). These apparently contradictory roles of VIP may arise because there are a minimum of six different classes of VIP neurons in the ENS including myenteric interneurons containing NOS, other interneurons that are cholinergic, inhibitory motor neurons and nitric oxide synthase (NOS) expressing ILC3. In ENS neurons, VIP is also expressed depending on what subset the neuron is from. This can affect the role of VIP in inflammatory functions and can limit pro-inflammatory cytokine production and promote regulatory T cell and T helper 2 (Th2) cell differentiation. Thus VIP release may play different roles, depending on which neuronal subset it is being released from. Nevertheless, the possibility that ILC3 activity and IL-22 production can be modulated by variations in VIP levels during the circadian cycle reveals how this cycle influences neuroimmune interactions in the GI tract. Identifying the specific neurons that alter their VIP levels by feeding during the circadian cycle is essential to understand how such interactions influence the ENS circuits. Moreover, as terminals of these VIP axons may also contain ACh or NO, it is important to investigate whether ACh or NO affect ILC3 activation.

ACh also has anti-inflammatory functions and can limit pro-inflammatory cytokine release via its ability to activate the α7 nicotinic receptor (α7nAChR) expressed on various immune cells such as macrophages. Moreover, 5-HT may also participate in neuroimmune interactions as many immune cell types express 5-HT receptors or other proteins in 5-HT pathways. As such, 5-HT contributes to diverse immunomodulatory functions including the recruitment of neutrophils, the activation of T cells and reduction of IL-1β and TNFα production. Gli al cells can also secrete immune mediators, with the key glial cell molecule, S100β, reported to promote the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines by macrophages.
Whilst it is evident that the ENS can modulate mucosal immunity, further work is necessary to fully characterize the impact of ENS secreted molecules on immune cells and to investigate how this may differ in the fed versus the fasted state. For example, the ENS generates a “migrating motor complex” in the fasted state, which starts in the distal antrum then propagates to the ileo-caecal junction and serves to “clean” the intestinal lumen of mucosal debris and gut microbes. This migrating motor complex is represented by a period of intense neural activities involving activation of motor neurons that lasts for at least 5 min at any spot along the small intestine and is repeated every 90–120 min in humans. A key question here is how cytokines are released from neurons. Are cytokines, like neurotransmitters, contained within vesicles from varicosities with their release occurring as the result of a single and/or a burst of action potentials? If so, cytokine release, or even the overall GI immune activities, may be synchronized with neuronal activities and therefore become rhythmic.
MUSCULARIS MACROPHAGES INTERACT WITH THE ENS

Perhaps the most well documented neuroimmune interactions are those between muscularis macrophages (MMs) and enteric neurons and glial cells in the myenteric plexus. During homeostasis enteric neurons support the survival and differentiation of MM by secreting colony stimulatory factor 1 (CSF1). In turn, MM secrete bone morphogenic protein 2 (BMP2) that activates enteric neurons expressing BMP2 receptors to regulate colonic peristalsis (Fig. 2c). Interestingly, MM in the postoperative ileum respond to ACh released from ChAT+ myenteric neurons by producing fewer pro-inflammatory cytokines and promoting the restoration of impaired gastric emptying that occurs after surgery. MMs may also contribute to ENS homeostasis by phagocytosing apoptotic neurons, thereby supporting neuronal turnover. Notably, aging can shift intestinal macrophages from an anti-inflammatory M2 phenotype to the pro-inflammatory M1 phenotype, leading to intestinal inflammation. Such age-dependent macrophage phenotypical changes are associated with reduced numbers of neurons and neural stem cells, increased neuronal apoptosis and increased intestinal transit time. In addition, aging associated enteric neuronal loss may also be inflammation independent. An intriguing aspect of this is that neurons releasing ACh are reported to be specifically vulnerable to age related loss and ACh, acting via α7nAChR, can convert M1 macrophages to the M2 phenotype (Fig. 2d). Thus, it is important to know if there is any specificity of the close interactions between MMs and the types of enteric axons they surround.

THE INVOLVEMENT OF ENTEROENDOCRINE CELLS

Physiological neuroimmune interactions can also take place indirectly via EC cells, with a recent report demonstrating that IL-33 release during GI homeostasis triggers release of 5-HT from EC cells and thereby altering intestinal motility (Fig. 2e). and this IL-33 release during intestinal homeostasis triggers release of 5-HT from EC cells and thereby altering intestinal motility (Fig. 2e). Interestingly, MM in the postoperative ileum respond to ACh released from ChAT+ myenteric neurons by producing fewer pro-inflammatory cytokines and promoting the restoration of impaired gastric emptying that occurs after surgery. MMs may also contribute to ENS homeostasis by phagocytosing apoptotic neurons, thereby supporting neuronal turnover. Notably, aging can shift intestinal macrophages from an anti-inflammatory M2 phenotype to the pro-inflammatory M1 phenotype, leading to intestinal inflammation. Such age-dependent macrophage phenotypical changes are associated with reduced numbers of neurons and neural stem cells, increased neuronal apoptosis and increased intestinal transit time. In addition, aging associated enteric neuronal loss may also be inflammation independent. An intriguing aspect of this is that neurons releasing ACh are reported to be specifically vulnerable to age related loss and ACh, acting via α7nAChR, can convert M1 macrophages to the M2 phenotype (Fig. 2d). Thus, it is important to know if there is any specificity of the close interactions between MMs and the types of enteric axons they surround.

THE INTESTINAL MICROBIOTA

The intestinal microbiota is essential for maintaining homeostasis, with dysbiosis leading to impaired ENS development including reduced neuronal densities, altered neuronal subtypes, and changed electrophysiological function. Together, with the known impacts of the microbiota on immune cell function, such alterations can result in impaired ENS function and reduced gut motility. The microbiota is also essential for the postnatal development and maintenance of glial cells. Cells of the ENS can directly or indirectly sense and respond to microbial and immunological cues. Both enteric neurons and glial cells express pattern recognition receptors including toll-like receptor (TLR)-2, TLR-3, TLR-4 and TLR-7. Interestingly, the activation of TLR2 and TLR4 on glial cells by commensal bacterial products can increase the release of glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF)-family ligands that bind to RET expressed on ILC3 (Fig. 2f), stimulating the secretion of IL-22 and providing protection against chemical-induced inflammation or pathogenic bacterial infection.

Notably, the presence of microbiota in the colon of mice promotes the expression of aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) by myenteric neurons, allowing these neurons to sense the luminal environment (Fig. 2g). The downstream signaling of AHR regulates the myenteric neurons’ activity, which in turn affects colonic motility. Importantly, re-colonization of germ-free mice can restore proper ENS function and intestinal physiology, at least in part by impacting the serotonergic system. The ENS has a reciprocal impact on the microbiota, with genetic ablation of ENS development impairing microbial communities in both humans and zebrafish, and even subtle changes in expression of synaptic proteins affecting microbial communities in mice.

In addition, recent studies reveal interactions between gut microbiota and Hydra prototypal pacemaker neurons, cells that resemble intestinal smooth muscle pacemakers in mammals. In the absence of microbiota, Hydra neurons downregulate their pacemaker gene, thereby reducing Hydra body contractions. Recolonization of microbiota largely restores pacemaker gene expression and improves motility. Hydra neurons are enriched with immune-related genes, and secrete antimicrobial proteins (AMP). These results support the hypothesis that the nervous system and the immune system work synergistically through evolution, and may even share a common ancestral cell.

PATHOGENIC INFECTION AND ENS FUNCTION

Neuroimmune interactions are also apparent following GI infection and function to expel pathogens residing in the lumen. Here we focus on studies that reveal involvement of the ENS in neuroimmune interactions during pathogen infection.

PATHOGENIC BACTERIAL INFECTION

Diarrhea is the most important pathological symptom of infection with Vibrio cholerae whose exotoxin, cholera toxin (CT), can directly target epithelial cells to promote secretion. The ENS contributes to this process, as CT elicits hyperexcitability of secretomotor neurons and ISNs resulting in hyperexcitable enteric secretomotor circuits. Interestingly, some enteric neuronal subtypes are more vulnerable to CT than others. For example, only cholinergic secretomotor neurons are hyperexcitable in mouse submucosal plexus after CT exposure. However, CT increases the excitability of guinea pig submucosal non-cholinergic VIP neurons, and VIP plays a major role in CT induced hypersecretion in rats, which suggests significant species differences. Moreover, the effects of CT on the gut can be sexually dimorphic and sensitive to hormone levels. Toxins from Clostridium difficile also impact the ENS. Application of toxin A directly onto submucosal plexus of guinea pigs elicits ISNs and suppresses inhibitory synaptic transmission from the sympathetic nerves that innervate this plexus. Toxin A also excites rat extrinsic neurons, causing the release of SP from extrinsic afferent neurons that excites neurons in the myenteric and submucosal plexa. By contrast toxin B activates IL-1β production by monocytes resulting in the activation of VIP + secretomotor neurons, thereby promoting fluid secretion. Toxin B also increases neuronal IL-8 production.

Bacterial infections can also lead to neuronal loss. Mice infected with an attenuated strain of Salmonella typhimurium exhibit a prolonged loss of myenteric neurons including a subset of excitatory neurons identified by a decrease in the number of vesicular glutamate transporter 2 positive (vGluT2) neurons. Interestingly, this study did not examine survival/loss of ACh+ neurons, which are the major excitatory subtype in the myenteric plexus and represent 70% of all neurons. Of note neuronal loss was prevented by genetic ablation of Nlrp6 (NOD-like receptor family pyrin domain containing 6) and Caspase 11 within neurons, indicating it occurred as a result of inflammation. Interestingly, vGluT2 neurons express higher levels of Nlrp6 mRNA, corresponding with their preferential vulnerability. In addition, catecholamines released by extrinsic nerves in response to infection activated β2 adrenergic receptors on MMs, resulting in an...
increased expression of Arginase 1 and production of neuroprotective polyamines (Fig. 3). Thus, infection-induced alterations to the ENS or extrinsic nerves can be both detrimental and protective for the host.

The importance of neuroimmune interactions in response to bacterial infection is further highlighted by the discovery that neurons are a key source of protective IL-18 in response to Salmonella infection. During such infections, enteric neurons release IL-18 to promote AMP secretion from goblet cells via a caspase 1-independent mechanism, leading to improved pathogen control (Fig. 3). This highlights the ENS as an important component of the host protective response and raises the question of why neurons, rather than IL-18 competent cells were key to protection. One possible answer could be the ability of the ENS to rapidly transmit signals between different regions (the conduction velocity of enteric axons is ~50 cm/s allowing neuronal activities to travel a distance of 1 m within 2 s) to enable fast host responses against the infection. The ENS may also target bacteria directly as various neuropeptides such as SP, VIP, CGRP and neuropeptide Y (NPY) can reduce bacterial growth in vitro. Strikingly, VIP can kill bacteria directly by disrupting bacterial membrane integrity. Investigating the potential bactericidal activity of neuropeptides may be a novel avenue for anti-microbial drug discovery.

**PARASITIC INFECTIONS**

Intestinal helminth infection has long been known to trigger type 2 immune responses, characterized by the release of IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 from type 2 ILC (ILC2) to CD4+ T cells (Th2), that function to accelerate parasite expulsion via the ‘weep and sweep’ response. In keeping with this, helminth infections are also accompanied by alterations to the ENS.

**Helminths change the ENS**

Helminth infection alters enteric neuron morphology, electrophysiology, and neurochemistry. These changes are highlighted in a study of *Trichinella spiralis* infection in guinea pigs where jejunal ISNs exhibited heightened neuronal excitability and synaptic plasticity. Such changes probably contribute to the commonly observed alterations in intestinal physiology that include increased secretions and motility. Indeed, hypersecretion of fluids into rat intestinal lumen in response to *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis* infection is largely alleviated by inhibition of nicotinic ACh receptors or voltage gated sodium channels to block neuronal action potentials, indicating neuronal involvement. The increased smooth muscle contractions characteristic of *N. brasiliensis* and *Heligmosomoides polygyrus* infection in mice are also, at least in part, driven by neuronal alterations. In addition, *Hymenolepis diminuta* induces myoelectrical changes in rat small intestine, a phenomenon that is reduced by intestinal transection which damages the integrity of the ENS. Helminths also alter the availability of neuropeptides with increased SP and/or reduced VIP reported following infection with *N. brasiliensis*, *T. spiralis*, *H. diminuta*, *Echinostoma liei*, and *Schistosoma japonicum*. Further, ACh release is reduced in myenteric plexus of rats infected with *T. spiralis*. However, it should be noted that altered availability of other ENS neurotransmitters varies across studies, likely due to the use of different helminth and/or host species. Nevertheless increased SP is of particular interest as SP promotes recruitment of neutrophils and lymphocytes and activates a vast array of immune cells, including natural killer cells, macrophages, dendritic cells, neutrophils, mast cells, eosinophils and T cells. Its importance in helminth infection is supported the finding that deficiency of SP or its receptor, neurokinin 1, results in impaired cytokine production following infection with *Taenia crassiceps*. In addition to its well-
Some cholinergic secretomotor neurons. Interestingly, although IL-33 also promotes the expression of T. muris from mice by eliciting the release of 5-HT from EC cells causing increased intestinal motility. 5-HT is known to enhance neurogenic fluid secretion, which may also contribute to parasite clearance.

Neuro-ILC2 interactions during helminth infection

Recent work has highlighted intimate interactions between enteric neurons and ILC2 within the lamina propria of helminth-infected mice (Fig. 4). ILC2s constantly secrete IL-5 in the absence of pathogens, whereas N. brasiliensis infection results in an increase in their number and secretion of IL-5 and IL-13. Both an increase in ILC2s and Th2-like mediators play an essential role in the expulsion of the parasite. This may be mediated by release of Neuromedin U (NMU) from cholinergic neurons in response to parasite products, elicited ILC2 proliferation and increased IL-5 and IL-13 production. These neurons are likely to be ISNs, because NMU appears to be confined to some subtypes of this functional class of enteric neurons. Another report highlighted intimate contacts between ILC2s and CGRPα+ neurons, with these neurons functioning to suppress ILC2 proliferation and IL-13 production, resulting in impaired worm expulsion. This CGRPα could be released by several types of neurons, including ISNs and extrinsic primary afferent neurons, and probably also some cholinergic secretomotor neurons. Interestingly, although IL-13 production was suppressed, CGRP promoted IL-5 production by ILC2s. VIP can also promote IL-5 secretion from ILC2 in response to feeding, but this effect may be diminished in the pathological state because as helminth infection has been reported to decrease VIP. Lastly, two very recent publications demonstrated that ILC2s express mRNAs for both muscarinic and nicotinic receptors and secrete ACh in response to IL-33 and N. brasiliensis infection. ACh synthesis acted in an autocrine manner to promote IL-13 and IL-5 production, together with proliferation, of ILC2s and contributed to parasite expulsion. The increased expression of cholinergic receptors would also make these ILCs more sensitive to their neural inputs, which include ISNs and cholinergic secretomotor neurons as discussed earlier. Taken together these reports indicate that mucosal ILC2s interact with terminals of several classes of enteric neurons. Although still hypothetical, Fig. 4 summarizes the potential interactions between ILC2s and different subsets of enteric neurons.

Other immune cells in helminth infections

Besides ILC2, other immune cells associated with helminth infection, notably mast cells, alternatively activated macrophages and basophils, may also mediate neuroimmune interactions. Around 70% of enteric mucosal mast cells make intimate contacts with the ENS, and neurally released mediators such as ATP and the neuropeptides, SP and CGRP, can induce mast cell degranulation, whilst somatostatin suppresses mast cell activation. Activation of human mast cells by enteric neurons has been visualized by calcium imaging experiments in which electric stimulation of these neurons triggered activation of adjacent mast cells. This phenomenon is abolished by blocking nerve action potentials and reduced by blocking CGRP and VIP receptors—highlighting these as likely neurotransmitters mediating mast cell activation. Although the same approach revealed only rare events of neuronal excitation following mast cell activation in macroscopically healthy tissue, histamine directly excites enteric neurons and generates patterned neuronal activity. It is possible that mast cell-neuron communication occurs more frequently in diseased intestine, such as during helminth infection during which mast cell degranulation events are increased. Macrophages activated by type 2 cytokines to adopt an alternative phenotype are well known to control inflammation, repair tissue damage and to trap tissue migrating helminth larvae during helminth infection. Thus, it is possible that the ENS may assist the tissue protective roles of macrophages in response to helminth infection.

Although it is currently unknown whether basophils interact with enteric neurons, basophils are reported to change morphology and make contact with skin sensory nerves during atopic dermatitis, with the basophil-derived leukotriene C4 functioning...
to activate dorsal root ganglion neurons and promote acute itchy flare. Thus, it is possible that basophils can also modulate ENS functions during helmint infection, and this may be a promising avenue for future research.

Despite the recent surge in reports detailing neuroimmune interactions following GI infection, much remains unknown. For example, in natural settings helminths often remain in their host for years, raising the question as to whether the ENS actively participates in such tolerance mechanisms. As food allergy produces similar type 2 immune responses to helmint infections, it is likely that the neuroimmune interactions mentioned above also participate in allergic responses. Moreover, the body’s response to infections, such as the ‘weep and sweep’ response, can alter intestinal bacterial communities and the resulting dysbiosis may contribute to disease pathology and/or long-term diarrhoea by stimulating the release of 5-HT from EC cells to Vagal nerves.168 Thus, how GI infections change the ENS may depend on the pathogen and the nature of the immune response it elicits.

VIRAL INFECTION

Viral infections also impact enteric neurons. Rotavirus induces diarrhoea by stimulating the release of 5-HT from EC cells to activate secretomotor pathways and trigger propulsive intestinal motility.165,166 West Nile virus (WNV), a neurotropic flavivirus, can infect myenteric and submucosal neurons in mice leading to altered GI motility.167 A recent report investigating the impact of GI helmint infection on the host response to WNV showed that coinfection markedly increased disease pathology by eliciting a type 2 immune response leading to increased bacterial translocation across the intestinal epithelium, impairing the WNV-specific immune response and increasing viral titers.168 Co-infection also rendered enteric neurons more susceptible to the virus and resulted in a loss of calretinin + and nNOS + myenteric neurons.168 Thus, how GI infections change the ENS may depend on the pathogen and the nature of the immune response it elicits. Although difficult to investigate, the prevalence of GI co-infections provides ample incentive for the further investigation of neuroimmune interactions in such complex settings.

INFLAMMATION AND THE ENS: FOCUS ON INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE

Communication between the ENS and immune system also contributes to non-infectious GI disorders, notably IBD. IBD involves changes in both immune and ENS function, with potential alterations to neuroimmune interactions as detailed below.

INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE

IBD is a broad term encompassing both ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease. Crohn’s disease commonly affects the distal small intestine and colon and is typified by a type 1 or type 17 immune response with increased production of IL-12, IL-17, IL-23, IFN-γ, and TGF-β. In contrast, ulcerative colitis is restricted to the colon and is more often characterized by a type 2 response, including higher levels of IL-5 and IL-13.169–171 Such inflammatory environments can directly alter ENS functions. Two cytokines usually ascribed a pro-inflammatory function, IL-1β and IL-6, directly depolarize guinea pig enteric neurons and elicit action potential firing, an effect suppressed by IL-1 receptor antagonist.172 Interestingly, IL-1β and IL-6 appear to suppress both excitatory and inhibitory synaptic transmission.172 Glial cells in mice were also recently demonstrated to contribute to intestinal inflammation via the release of soluble macrophage CSF via connexin-43 channels to activate MMs and polarize them toward a pro-inflammatory phenotype. During dextran sodium sulfate (DSS)-induced chronic colitis, the secretion of CSF was augmented by proinflammatory IL-1β, contributing to lowered pain threshold.173

The pathogenesis of IBD is accompanied by neurological changes including altered ENS surface marker expression. In humans, increases in the percentage of SP + neurons, NOS + neurons, but not VIP + neurons, have been reported for the myenteric plexus,173,174 whilst only VIP + neurons were increased in the submucosal plexus.174 Enteric neurons also undergo functional changes during IBD. In guinea-pigs subjected to TNBS-induced colitis, ISNs become hyperexcitable, whilst other neurons exhibit enhanced excitatory synaptic plasticity.175 Glial cells also modulate inflammation with the disruption or ablation of enteric glial cells in mice leading to jejuno-ileitis.77,78,176 This corresponds with observations that patients with Crohn’s disease exhibit reduced glial cell numbers177 and that treatment with glial-derived s-nitrosoglutathione can restore mucosal barrier function in tissue biopsies from these patients (although the exact nature of these changes remains controversial).78 Neuronal loss has also been noted for both the myenteric plexus and submucosal plexus in animal models of trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS)-induced IBD.177,179 Similarly, myenteric neuronal loss accompanied by dysfunctions of motility is a feature of the GI inflammation seen in the Winnie mouse, a genetic model of IBD in which the gene encoding the muc2 mucin protein is mutated leading to severe inflammation in early adulthood.178 By contrast studies in humans or DSS-induced colitis in mice, reported either decreases,180 increases84,181,182 or no change174 in myenteric neuronal numbers. In line with this, one study using TNBS- and DSS-induced colitis models in mice suggested that overall neuronal density is positively associated with the severity of inflammation and may contribute to disease progression.183 Thus different studies report disparate influences of IBD on neuronal densities in the myenteric plexus. Why these discrepancies arise, and what they mean, will require further insight into the mechanisms leading to neuronal death or neurogenesis in diseased tissues. During experimental colitis, increased ATP levels were reported to cause neuronal death by activation of neuronal P2X7 receptors.180 In the Winnie mouse model neuronal loss was diminished by treatments that reduce oxidative stress.179 By contrast, DSS-induced colitis can promote enteric neurogenesis via a 5-HT receptor 4-dependent pathway,182 or through the differentiation of Sox2 + glial cells.84 Higher numbers of enteric neurons are also observed in humans suffering ulcerative colitis or Clostridium difficile-associated colitis, and these neurons express Sox2 indicating neurogenesis from glial cells.84 As described above, the reported alterations in enteric neuronal numbers in IBD reveal large discrepancies—both across independent studies and within each disease type. This is likely due to the high heterogeneity of both disorders, and the multifactorial nature of disease contributors which include diet, the microbiota, genetic predisposition, and infectious history. Of note, variability in ENS function across patients might be expected given that IBD can affect different regions of the GI tract. Nevertheless, further studies are warranted, especially with regards to possible crosstalk between the ENS and immune cells.

INFECTIONS AND THE ENS: LONG-TERM EFFECTS AND THE RECOVERY OF ENS FUNCTION

Infections can have an impact on the ENS that persists even after the pathogen is cleared. S. typhimurium (using the attenuated strain ssp) infection leads to neuronal loss in mice lasting up to 4 months.33 and T. spiralis infection results in attenuated cholinergic signaling and chronically impaired intestinal secretions for up to 2 months following parasite expulsion.39 Such long-term changes may also occur for inflammatory diseases, for example animals subjected to TNBS-induced colitis exhibit neuronal loss and increased excitability that persists even after inflammation had subsided.177,178,184
of note long-term alterations to the intestinal function following pathogen infection likely underlies post-infectious (PI-) irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). IBS is generally considered to be an idiopathic physiological syndrome, typically accompanied by low-grade inflammation with a diarrhea-predominant, constipation-predominant or mixed phenotype. PH-IBS occurs in 10–30% of individuals after acute bacterial, parasitic or viral intestinal infection, mostly displaying a diarrhea-dominant subtype, with symptoms lasting for 6 months and resembling sporadic IBS. The exact mechanisms driving PH-IBS have yet to be elucidated but disease is often accompanied by inflammation, microbial dysbiosis, and alterations to ENS function. Indeed, submucosal neurons from PH-IBS patients are more responsive to capsaicin due to sensitization of a nociceptive receptor, TRPV1, for up to 2 years after disease onset. Supernatants collected from biopsies of PH-IBS patients activate extrinsic neurons that mediate nociception via TRPV1, a finding that together with altered nerve function may explain the abdominal pain experienced by PH-IBS patients.

Disease is also accompanied by enhanced synaptic sprouting and connectivity of the ENS, likely contributing to intestinal hypercontractility. Lastly, PH-IBS can be associated with long-term alteration of certain EE cell populations. Analysis from rectal biopsies of PH-IBS patients taken at 6 and 12 weeks after acute infection with Campylobacter enteritis revealed temporal increases in PYY-containing EE cells, but persistent increases in 5-HT containing EC cells (lasting for at least 12 weeks), both of which would be expected to alter ENS function.

Despite the ability of infection or inflammation to produce long-term changes to the ENS, numerous reports indicate that the ENS has the potential to regenerate and return to a pre-long-term changes to the ENS, numerous reports indicate that the ENS has the potential to regenerate and return to a pre-

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Given the ENS is involved in mediating every aspect of GI function, we believe its role as an important component of the host protective response should be considered in any investigation of GI infection or inflammation. As outlined in this review, a growing body of literature demonstrates an important role for neuroimmune interactions in regulating both immune cell and neuronal cell function within the GI tract, with extensive communication occurring during homeostasis, infection, and inflammation. In addition, the extrinsic nerves that innervate the GI tract can also communicate with the immune system, while feeding information back to the brain, enabling a systemic response. Identifying new pathways or answering unresolved questions on enteric neuroimmune interactions will undoubtedly advance our understanding of both the immune system and the ENS, as well as providing a solid background for future therapeutic development.

Multiple future research directions need to be pursued. These include the relationship between activity within the enteric neural circuits and the different immune cells under physiological conditions, which mediators are involved and how do they interact to produce the homeostatic mechanisms seen in the healthy GI tract. The obvious further question of what happens to this complex relationship in pathological conditions and how can the healthy interactions be restored. Given that neuroimmune interactions depend on the microbial environment, another major question is how the GI microbiota and its regional distribution affect these interactions.

Although much more is required to understand the complex neuroimmune interactions at the interface between the body and the environment, advances are being made with each of these issues and many others, as highlighted in this review. These recent publications have not only set solid a background for our understanding of the ENS and the immune system but also highlight importance of understanding the complexity of interactions occurring between these two systems. With the continued improvements in methodologies, we can expect an onslaught of exiting discoveries in this field.
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