Purpose: The human cornea is a primary target for herpes simplex virus-1 (HSV-1) infection. The goals of the study were to determine the cellular modalities of HSV-1 entry into human corneal epithelial (HCE) cells. Specific features of the study included identifying major entry receptors, assessing pH dependency, and determining trends of re-infection. Methods: A recombinant HSV-1 virus expressing beta-galactosidase was used to ascertain HSV-1 entry into HCE cells. Viral replication within cells was confirmed using a time point plaque assay. Lysosomotropic agents were used to test for pH dependency of entry. Flow cytometry and immunocytochemistry were used to determine expression of three cellular receptors - nectin-1, herpesvirus entry mediator (HVEM), and paired immunoglobulin-like 2 receptor alpha (PILR-α). The necessity of these receptors for viral entry was tested using antibody-blocking. Finally, trends of re-infection were investigated using viral entry assay and flow cytometry post-primary infection. Results: Cultured HCE cells showed high susceptibility to HSV-1 entry and replication. Entry was demonstrated to be pH dependent as blocking vesicular acidification decreased entry. Entry receptors expressed on the cell membrane include nectin-1, HVEM, and PILR-α. Receptor-specific antibodies blocked entry receptors, reduced viral entry and indicated pH dependent entry. Flow cytometry and immunocytochemistry were used to determine expression of three cellular receptors - nectin-1, herpesvirus entry mediator (HVEM), and paired immunoglobulin-like 2 receptor alpha (PILR-α). The necessity of these receptors for viral entry was tested using antibody-blocking. Finally, trends of re-infection were investigated using viral entry assay and flow cytometry post-primary infection. Conclusions: HSV-1 is capable of developing an infection in HCE cells using a pH dependent entry process that involves primarily nectin-1 but also the HVEM and PILR-α receptors. Re-infected cells show decreased levels of entry, correlated with a decreased level of nectin-1 receptor expression.

Herpes simplex virus (HSV) is a member of the alphaherpesvirus subfamily and has the ability to cause several ocular infections [1-3]. Primary infection of the virus spreads from cutaneous lesions or infections of mucosal surfaces to neuronal cell bodies, establishing a latent, lifelong infection. Specifically, herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) is the cause of over 95% of cases of ocular herpes [2]. Infection generally occurs unilaterally and it remains the leading cause of infectious blindness in developed nations, partly due to its ability to latently infect hosts for long periods of time [1,2]. More than 20,000 new cases of ocular HSV-1 infection and an additional 28,000 reactivations occur in the United States annually [2]. HSV-1 infection causes a variety of ocular diseases including blepharitis, conjunctivitis, epithelial keratitis, stromal keratitis, endotheliitis and iridocyclitis – some of which pose a severe visual threat to infected hosts [2,3].

The corneal epithelium represents one of the major host sites of infection for HSV-1 and may precede infection of other locations within the eye [2]. The epithelium is composed of several layers of cells that protect the cornea's deeper layers, notably the stroma, and thus lies in the dominant pathway of infection by exogenous virus. The cornea is also the most highly innervated tissue in the body, facilitating the development of latency in trigeminal ganglia via retrograde transport of HSV. Some authors suggest that the cornea itself may be a site of latency, predisposing patients to increased morbidity resulting from localized viral reactivation [4-6]. Its continuity with the conjunctival epithelium further aids in the spread of virus in ocular infection [4]. While having such a critical role in ocular HSV, little is known of the mechanism of HSV-1 entry into human corneal epithelial cells.

This issue is particularly significant due to the potential for corneal infection to cause visual morbidity [7]. While epithelial keratitis can cause acute symptoms it also predisposes to stromal keratitis, which in turn can lead to scarring and opacification despite treatment [7,8]. While a minority of patients with initial ocular herpes infection present with stromal keratitis, it is much more frequent in the recurrent form of the disease and accounts for a significant portion of patients who develop blindness [1,2]. Thus, prevention of epithelial infection and its subsequent sequelae could improve the visual prognosis of patients.
Penetrating keratoplasty remains the most successful and most commonly practiced form of human tissue transplantation [9]. HSV keratitis is an important indication for corneal transplantation and is also a cause of graft failure [10]. There have been rare reports of donor-host transmission of HSV, which may be related to corneal latency [11]. It is suggested that the transplant procedure itself may be able to trigger latent virus to reactivate [12]. Potential complications following the procedure include recurrent herpetic keratitis and secondary non-viral infection [9,13,14]. Although the success of penetrating keratoplasty in HSV keratitis has improved, understanding the mechanism of infection is important as future treatment options are investigated.

Significant knowledge of the molecular mechanisms of HSV-1 infection in general has been obtained in previous studies. Infection of HSV-1 into cells involves multiple cell receptors and helper proteins found on the virion; these receptors and proteins aid in binding, fusion and entry into the target cell. At least five envelope glycoproteins are involved in entry: gB, gC, gD, gH and gL [15]. Viral glycoproteins gB and gC bind to the ligand receptor heparan sulfate which allows the virus to attach to the cell [16]. Next, a conformational change in the viral glycoprotein structure allows glycoprotein D (gD) to attach to its receptor (the gD receptor) located on the target cell [15]. Fusion of the viral envelope with the target membrane then occurs with the combined effects of gD, the gD receptor, gB, gH, gL, and possibly the gH coreceptor [15,17]. Once this series of events is initiated, fusion of the virion with the plasma membrane can occur via a pH independent process. In some cell types, a pH dependent pathway has been described with an endoctic mode of entry [18].

The importance of the gD receptors in entry is therefore a result of the role of gD as a catalyst. Among these, nectin-1 (HveC) and nectin-2 (HveB) belong to a distinct class of receptors in the immunoglobulin superfamily. Nectin-2, however, only aids in the entry of generated mutant strains of HSV-1 and not wild-type strains of the virus [15]. Herpesvirus entry mediator (HVEM) also associates with gD and belongs to the tumor necrosis factor receptor family [19]. 3-O sulfated heparan sulfate is a rare modified form of heparan sulfate that acts as a gD receptor for HSV entry into certain cell types and has antithrombotic properties [20]. Paired immunoglobulin-like 2 receptor alpha (PILR-a) has been suggested to act as an entry receptor, but recent data suggests it may act more as a co-receptor [21].

While infection of the corneal epithelium is clinically important, little is known about how HSV-1 enters into corneal epithelial cells despite improved knowledge of neuronal reactivation [22]. It is also unknown to what extent virus-cell interactions in the corneal epithelium play a role in determining the course of disease. This study aims to determine the receptors that facilitate HSV-1 entry into human corneal epithelial cells and determine whether entry is pH dependent. After identifying the major molecular and cellular mechanisms of infection, this study aims to investigate re-infection of corneal epithelial cells and determine whether exposure to virus affects the ability of secondary infection to occur at early time points. The study then aims to determine if receptor expression is altered during re-infection.

**METHODS**

*Cells, viruses, and antibodies:* The human corneal epithelial (HCE) cell line (RCB1834 HCE-T) was obtained from Dr. Kozaburo Hayashi (National Eye Institute, Bethesda, MD) [23]. The cells were grown in Minimal Essential Media (MEM; Gibco-Invitrogen Corp., Rockville, MD) and supplemented with penicillin, streptomycin, and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS).

The African green monkey kidney (Vero) cell line as well as the wild-type Chinese hamster ovary (CHO-K1) cell lines were obtained (Patricia G. Spear, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL) and cultured as previously described [19]. The viruses used in the study – HSV-1(KOS), HSV-1(KOS)804, HSV-1(KOS)gL86, and HSV-1(KOS)RFP – were also provided by Patricia G. Spear [19]. All virus stocks were kept at a low multiplicity of infection (MOI), propagated in Vero cell lines, and stored at −80 °C. Titers for all three of the viruses were determined using Vero cells as well.

Anti-nectin-1 antibodies were used were monoclonal mouse anti-nectin-1 (37–5900; Zymed Laboratories, San Francisco, CA). The monoclonal mouse anti-HVEM antibody was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (sc-74089; Santa Cruz, CA) and the monoclonal rat anti-PILR-α was obtained from Dendritics (ddx0230; Lyon, France). The secondary antibodies FITC-conjugated anti-mouse IgG and FITC-conjugated anti-rat IgG were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

*Viral entry assays:* Viral entry assays were performed using both HCE cells and naturally-resistant CHO-K1 cells, the latter of which do not possess the known viral entry receptors. Cells were plated on a 96-well tissue culture dish at full confluency (approximately 2×10⁴ cells per well). Cells were then infected with serial dilutions of β-galactosidase expressing HSV-1(KOS)gL86 virus at a maximum MOI of 0.01 in a solution of 3% BSA (BSA) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). After 6 h of infection, cells were washed with 1× PBS and then incubated with o-nitrophenyl- β-D-galactopyranoside (ImmuNoPure ONPG; Pierce, Rockford, IL), thus allowing quantification of β-galactosidase expressing HSV-1(KOS)gL86 viral entry into each of the cell types. Measurements of activity were then obtained at an optical density of 410nm by a spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA).

HSV-1 entry into HCE and CHO-K1 cells was then confirmed qualitatively by 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-
galactopyranoside (X-gal) assays. Monolayers of cells were plated in a 6-well tissue culture dish (approximately 1.5 x 10^6 cells per well). Cells were again infected for 6 h using the HSV-1(KOS)gL86 virus. After the incubation period, cells were washed, fixed, permeabilized, and incubated with the X-gal substrate. The X-gal substrate forms a blue color with cells that contain β-galactosidase. Microscopy images were collected using a 20× objective from the inverted microscope (Aciocvert 100M; Zeiss, Jena, Germany) with the software Slidebook, version 3.0.

Time point plaque assay: Viral entry and replication were again confirmed using a time point plaque assay. Monolayers of HCE, CHO-K1, and Vero cells were plated on a 6-well tissue culture dish (approximately 1.5 x 10^6 cells per well). Cells were infected at 0.01 MOI with HSV-1(KOS)804 virus or mock infected with 1× PBS for 2 h. After primary incubation, the viral or mock solution was replaced with the proper media for each cell type – MEM with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and penicillin/streptomycin additives for HCE cells, Ham’s F-12 medium with 10% FBS and penicillin/streptomycin additives for CHO-K1 cells, and DMEM with 10% FBS and penicillin/streptomycin additives for Vero cells. Cells were then incubated at 37 °C for a series of time points. At the time points of 0, 12, 18, 24, and 36 h, incubated cells were fixed using 100% methanol and subsequently stained with a solution of 1% crystal violet in 50% ethanol at room temperature. Cells were then washed three times with nanopure water and the number and size of plaques were measured and plotted as an average of 100 plaques formed at each time interval. Plaques were not significantly formed by the naturally-resistant CHO-K1 cells and thus plaque sizes were not measured or plotted. Images of the plaques were taken using the 40× objective from the inverted microscope (Aciocvert 100M; Zeiss) using the software Slidebook version 3.0.

pH dependence of entry: HCE cells were plated on a 96-well tissue culture dish (approximately 2 x 10^6 cells per well). Cells were then treated with serial dilutions of BFLA-1 (1 μM) or chloroquine (1 mM) and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. Concentrations of BFLA-1 and chloroquine followed those of previous studies [24,25]. Cells were then treated with equal concentrations of HSV-1(KOS)gL86 virus. The viral entry assay was then performed and β-galactosidase activity was determined using the same protocol as described above.

Isolation of RNA: RNA was isolated from the Human Corneal Epithelial cells and CHO-K1 cells with TRizol® reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Total RNA samples were purified by RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s manual and checked the quantity of RNAs using NanoDrop (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE). The quality of RNA was evaluated by NanoDrop (Thermo Scientific) and warranted that the ratio (28S/18S) of high RNA quality were >1.5. Densitometry analysis was performed using the NIH Image software (version 1.43) and data for relative intensity was plotted as a histogram.

Gene expression analysis and verification by qRT–PCR: To examine the gene expression by quantitative RT–PCR, 10 μg of the RNA samples from HCE and CHO-K1 cells were converted to first strand cDNAs using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kits (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. The cDNA was added into the Platinum SYBR Green qPCR SuperMix-UDG Master Mixes (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) containing SYBR Green I fluorescent dye and Taq DNA polymerase. The mixtures were aliquoted into 96-well plate containing gene specific primer sets. The sequence of the target gene primers included HVEm primers: 5′-TCT CTG CTG CCA GAC A-3′ and 5′-GCC ACA GCA GAA CAG A-3′, Nectin-1 primers: 5′-TCC TTC ACC GAT GGC ACT ATC C-3′ and 5′-TCA ACA CCA GCA GGA TGC TC-3′, PILR-α primers: 5′-AAG GTC AGC AGC AGG CTA AA-3′ and 5′-CAG TCT TGA GAG GGC TGT CC-3′, beta-actin (ACTB) primers: 5′-GTG ATG GTG GTG ATG GGT CAG-3′ and 5′-TTT GAT GTC GTC CAC GAT TTC C-3′. The qRT–PCR reactions were performed using ABI Prism 7500 system (Applied Biosystems) with the following parameters; repeat 1 (1 cycle), 2 min at 50 °C; 2 min at 95 °C and repeat 2 (40 cycles), 15 s at 95 °C and followed by 30 s at 60 °C, and the data were collected at each 60 °C. PCR products were ran on a 1% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide and illuminated with UV light. Expected PCR gene product sizes were 1,270 bp for HVEM, 738 bp for nectin-1 and 250 bp for PILR-α.

Immunocytochemistry: To visualize various receptors on the cell surface, monolayers of HCE cells were plated on chamber slides (Lab-Tek; Nunc, Rochester, NY) at 100% confluency. After a 1× PBS wash, cells were incubated with anti-nectin-1 (1:50 dilution), anti-HVEM (1:50 dilution), or anti-PILR-α (1:25 dilution) primary antibody for 90 min at 4 °C. After the primary incubation period, cells were washed three times with a cold solution of PBS containing 3% BSA for 10 min. Next, cells were incubated with secondary antibody. The secondary antibody used for the anti-nectin-1 and anti-HVEM primary antibody was FITC-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (Sigma) while the secondary used for anti-PILR-α antibody was FITC-conjugated anti-rat IgG (Sigma); all secondary antibodies were administered at a concentration of 1:100 and had an incubation period of 45 min at 4 °C. Cells were then washed three times with a cold solution of PBS containing 3% BSA for 10 min. Final products were imaged using laser-scanning confocal microscopy (TCS SP2; Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). HCE cells treated with only secondary antibody as well as primary antibodies replaced with isotypes served as negative controls. A monoclonal anti c-Myc IgG in generated in mouse and a rat anti c-Myc IgG (Abcam) provided the isotype controls.
Flow cytometry: Flow cytometry was used to confirm the presence of viral entry receptors nectin-1, HVEM, and PILR-α on the cell surface visualized by immunocytochemistry. Monolayers of HCE cells plated on 6-well tissue culture dishes were transferred to 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes using Hank’s based enzyme-free cell dissociation buffer (Invitrogen) and were then washed three times for 10 min with a cold solution of 1× PBS with 10% glucose and 10% calf serum. Cells were then incubated at 4 °C for 90 min with either anti-nectin-1 (1:100 dilution), anti-HVEM (1:100 dilution), or anti-PILR-α (1:100 dilution) primary antibody. Cells were again washed three times for 10 min with a cold solution of 1× PBS with 10% glucose and 10% calf serum and then incubated for 45 min at 4 °C with the appropriate FITC-conjugated secondary antibody as noted above at a concentration of 1:1,000. After incubation of secondary antibody, the cells had a final wash for 10 min with a cold solution of 1× PBS with 10% glucose and 10% calf serum. Fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS) analysis was then performed.

Antibody blocking of receptors: HCE cells plated on a 96-well tissue culture dish (approximately 2×10⁴ cells per well) were treated with serial dilutions of the appropriate antibody (anti-nectin-1, anti-HVEM, or anti-PILR-α) to block their corresponding receptor. The dilutions of the antibodies used ranged from 1:25 to 1:1600. Following incubation at room temperature for 2 h, viral entry assays were performed using HSV-1(KOS)gL86 virus as per the protocol stated above. Cells not blocked with primary antibody were used as controls.

Re-infection: HCE cells plated on a 96-well tissue culture dish (approximately 2×10⁴ cells per well) were treated with a primary viral dose of HSV-1(KOS) at a concentration of 0.01 PFUs/cell. After 48 h of incubation at 37 °C, cells were treated with a serial dilution of a secondary viral dose of HSV-1(KOS)gL86. Viral entry assays were subsequently performed as per the protocol above. Cells treated with only the secondary dose of virus served as controls. To determine the proportion of cells infected during the primary infection, cell staining was performed. HCE cells plated in a 6-well culture dish at full confluency were treated with a viral dose of HSV-1(KOS) at a concentration of 0.01 PFUs/cell. After 48 h of incubation at 37 °C, cells were rinsed with 1× PBS wash. Primary HSV-1 polyclonal antibody (Dako, Carpinteria, CA) was added at a 1:50 dilution and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. After a 1× PBS wash, endogenous
peroxidase activity was blocked by adding 3% hydrogen peroxide for 10 min at room temperature. After another 1× PBS wash, secondary anti-HSV-1 antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (1:200 dilution) was added and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. After a final wash, DAB was added. Cells infected with virus were stained brown. The proportion of infected cells in 100 high power fields were determined and averaged.

**Flow cytometry post-primary infection:** HCE cells plated on a 6-well tissue culture dish were treated with a viral dose of HSV-1(KOS)RFP at a concentration of 0.01 PFUs/cell. After 48 h of incubation at 37 °C, the monolayers of cells were transferred to 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes. Before FACS analysis was performed, cells were treated with propidium iodide to distinguish live from dead cells. Flow cytometry was then selectively performed to test levels of nectin-1 on living cells tagged with red fluorescent protein as per the method described above. The concentration of anti-nectin-1 primary antibody was 1:100 and the concentration of anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody was 1:1,000. HCE cells untreated with a viral dose were used as a control.

**Statistical analysis:** All of the experiments were conducted a minimum of 3 times with results at a steady level. Quantitative data was expressed as a mean±standard deviation.

**RESULTS**

**HSV-1 entry and replication in HCE cells:** As shown in Figure 1A, viral entry into HCE cells compared to that of CHO-K1 cells followed a dose-dependent pattern, with maximum entry occurring before maximum viral concentration. Upon establishing initial data on viral entry using these results, viral entry was confirmed using the insoluble substrate X-gal. In Figure 1B, β-galactosidase activity was not observed in the naturally resistant CHO-K1 cells, whereas β-galactosidase activity was observed in HCE cells (Figure 1C).

Plaques were not formed in the HSV-1 resistant CHO-K1 cells and the lack of plaques are shown in D, increasing plaque size over time demonstrating progressive infection was confirmed in E.

Figure 2. HSV-1 can successfully grow and replicate in HCE cells. Confluent monolayers of HCE, Vero, and naturally-resistant CHO-K1 cells were infected with HSV-1(KOS)804 at an MOI of 0.01 for 90 min at 37 °C. Inoculums were harvested, fixed, and stained at 0, 12, 18, 24, and 36 h. Data represents the mean of three samples (±SD). A typical plaque formed by Vero and HCE cells stained with crystal violet at 18 h of infection with HSV-1(KOS)804 virus is shown in (B) and (C) accordingly. Plaques were not formed in the HSV-1 resistant CHO-K1 and the lack of plaques are shown in D. Increasing plaque size over time demonstrating progressive infection was confirmed in E.
The number of plaques followed a time-dependent pattern for HCE cells and Vero cells (positive control). Image confirmation of plaques after 18 h post-infection in Vero and HCE cells are demonstrated in Figure 2B, C, respectively. Figure 2D confirms that plaques were not formed in the naturally resistant CHO-K1 cells at 18 h post-infection. Plaque size was also measured at the time intervals of 12, 18, and 24 h and plotted in Figure 2E. An increase in average plaque size at successive time points was observed indicating the ability of virus to spread to adjacent uninfected cells, which is also observed during a primary or recurrent infection in the cornea [26].

**pH dependency of viral entry:** HSV-1 entry can be pH dependent or independent depending on cell-type [18]. Bafilomycin and chloroquine are both lysosomotropic agents that increase pH and therefore influence cellular uptake in pH dependent mechanisms (e.g., endocytosis). As shown in Figure 3, both bafilomycin and chloroquine strongly inhibited HSV-1 entry in a dose-dependent manner; when concentrations of these lysosomotropic agents were increased, measurements of viral entry decreased. This suggests that HSV-1 entry into HCE cells requires acidification.

**Identification and expression of entry receptors:** To explore the molecular basis for entry, we decided to examine entry receptor expression by quantitative RT–PCR. It was evident that genes for HVEM, Nectin-1 and PILRα were clearly expressed by HCE cells (Figure 4A). Densitometry confirmed gene expression of all three receptors as well (Figure 4B). The control, CHO-K1 cells, showed possible expression of PILRα but not HVEM or nectin-1. As expected, both cell-types expressed β-actin. The results from CHO-K1 cells are consistent with previous findings [21]. Next, we decided to verify the gene expression results by protein expression analysis using immunofluorescence and flow-cytometry. As shown in Figure 5A, C, E, respectively, HCE cells express nectin-1, HVEM, and PILRα along the cell membrane. Cells treated with only FITC-conjugated secondary antibody as negative controls (Figure 5B, D, F, respectively) did not show fluorescence and likewise, isotype control experiments also did not result in any signals (data not shown). The expression of all three receptors was also confirmed by flow cytometry and is demonstrated in Figure 6. While the intensity of positive cells in the x-axis is somewhat low, these results were reproducible and confirm our findings from immunofluorescence (Figure 5). The expression of nectin-1 was most abundant, followed by HVEM and PILRα.

**Antibody blocking and down-regulation of receptors:** Reduction of viral entry with blocking by receptor-specific antibodies would indicate the role of those receptors in pathogenesis. The results shown in Figure 7 indicate that there is remarkably diminished entry when any of the receptors (nectin-1, HVEM, and PILRα) are blocked. Data was recorded as percent blocking, meaning the percentage that entry was reduced compared to controls. Maximum percent blocking of approximately 45%, 30%, and 20% were seen when the cells were treated with anti-nectin-1 (Figure 7A), anti-HVEM (Figure 7B), and anti-PILRα (Figure 7C) antibodies, respectively. Further reduction was seen when multiple receptors were blocked – there was approximately 50% blocking when the anti-nectin-1 and anti-HVEM antibodies were used in coordination (Figure 7D) and nearly 70% blocking when all three antibodies were used (Figure 7E). Absolute numbers for blocking are shown in Table 1.

**Nectin-1 expression may reduce re-infection:** The re-infection experiment was performed to indicate whether HSV-1 entry was affected by a previous low-level infection. The results shown in Figure 8 demonstrate a decrease in HSV-1 (gL-86)

---

**Figure 3.** HSV-1 entry into HCE cells is a pH dependent process. Monolayers of HCE cells were either mock treated (no treatment) or treated with indicated concentrations of bafilomycin (A) or chloroquine (B) and exposed to HSV-1 (KOS)gL86. Viral entry was measured using a spectrophotometer at an OD of 410 nm and plotted as the mean of three samples (+SD).
entry into cells that have already undergone a primary infection with wild-type HSV-1 (KOS) (superinfected) compared to control, which were not exposed to HSV-1(KOS) before HSV-1(gL86) expression. To analyze the percentage of cells that were infected at this time point (48 h after primary infection), cells were stained for β-galactosidase expression from the secondary viral genome [27]. The number of cells infected in by secondary reporter virus averaged at 27±4% (data not shown) compared to about 100% infected control cells. To gain insight into a possible mechanism for the decrease in infection, flow cytometry was performed post-primary infection to determine nectin-1 expression compared to control. The results in Figure 9 show a decrease in the level of nectin-1 expression on living cells 48 h post-primary infection compared to living control cells that underwent no

| Dilution factor | Untreated | Anti-nectin Ab | Anti-HVEM Ab | Anti-PILRα Ab | Anti-nectin and Anti-HVEM Ab | Anti-nectin/Anti-HVEM/Anti-PILRα Ab |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1:1600          | 0.79±0.01 | 0.79±0.02      | 0.75±0.01    | 0.78±0.01     | 0.77±0.01                     | 0.77±0.01                      |
| 1:800           | 0.79±0.03 | 0.72±0.01      | 0.72±0.01    | 0.74±0.01     | 0.72±0.01                     | 0.71±0.01                      |
| 1:400           | 0.77±0.02 | 0.68±0.01      | 0.70±0.01    | 0.74±0.01     | 0.65±0.01                     | 0.54±0.01                      |
| 1:200           | 0.78±0.01 | 0.59±0.02      | 0.66±0.01    | 0.74±0.01     | 0.58±0.01                     | 0.46±0.02                      |
| 1:100           | 0.77±0.03 | 0.55±0.01      | 0.63±0.01    | 0.64±0.01     | 0.48±0.01                     | 0.36±0.02                      |
| 1:50            | 0.78±0.02 | 0.49±0.01      | 0.54±0.01    | 0.64±0.01     | 0.43±0.01                     | 0.32±0.02                      |
| 1:25            | 0.78±0.02 | 0.45±0.02      | 0.51±0.01    | 0.62±0.01     | 0.36±0.02                     | 0.25±0.01                      |

Figure 4. Quantitative RT–PCR analysis for entry receptor expression. Total RNA was isolated from HCE and CHO-K1 cells and converted to cDNA and analyzed by PCR for the receptors as indicated. A house keeping gene, β-actin (ACTB), was used as a control (A). Densitometry analysis was performed using the NIH ImageJ software (Version 1.43) and data for relative intensity was plotted as a histogram (B).

Figure 5. Immunofluorescence imaging of receptors on HCE cell membrane. Images shown were taken using the FITC filter of confocal microscope (Leica SP20). Cells were blocked for 90 min, washed, and then either mock treated with buffer alone (B, D, F) or treated with primary antibodies for Nectin-1 (A), HVEM (C), and PILR-alpha (E). Images were taken after the incubation of HCE cells with FITC-conjugated secondary antibodies. Staining of cells with green demonstrate receptor expression.
primary infection and instead were simply incubated for 48 h. This result, however, has to be seen in the light of the observation that overall expression of nectin-1 goes up significantly from about 24 h of incubation (Figure 6) to after 48 h of incubation. Thus, a prior infection by a HSV-1 strain can reduce the nectin-1 expression relative to uninfected cells.

**DISCUSSION**

HSV-1 can infect the cornea and cause keratitis – an often treatable but potentially visually debilitating disease that can progress to dendritic ulceration as well as corneal neovascularization and scarring through repeated episodes [1]. HSV-1 epithelial keratitis predisposes to infection of the deeper layers of the cornea [1,2,8]. The ability of HSV-1 to develop latent infection in trigeminal ganglia creates the threat of ocular disease to affected patients throughout their lives [8,25-27].

While the cornea represents the gateway to infection in other parts of the eye, little is known of the cellular and molecular mediators of viral entry into corneal epithelial cells. As a preliminary step toward understanding this process, our study provides knowledge on specific features of HSV-1 entry. We first demonstrated that HSV-1 can successfully enter and infect the HCE cell line, and that the infection process relies on the receptors nectin-1, HVEM, and PILR-α, located on the cell membrane. It was noted that while HCE cells express all three receptors, cell types show different expression patterns [28,29].

Importantly, corneal fibroblasts do not express nectin-1 [30]. This may provide some insight into the pathogenesis of herpetic keratitis; in addition to known features of ocular herpes pathogenesis, unique virus-cell interactions in the entry process may occur. HSV-1 entry into trabecular meshwork cells is primarily facilitated by HVEM, whereas in conjunctival epithelial cells it is aided by nectin-1 and HVEM [25,28,31].

The importance of all three receptors (nectin-1, HVEM, and PILR-α) for HSV-1 entry was confirmed via antibody-blocking, which demonstrated decreased viral entry when one or more receptor was blocked. Maximum reduction of viral entry was seen when multiple receptors were blocked. The entry graphs did not plateau and some entry was still observed when all three antibodies were used with maximal blocking of 70%. This suggests one of the following: antibody blocking was incomplete which would otherwise have prevented all viral entry; 3-O sulfated heparan sulfate plays a role in entry into HCE cells; there is/are unknown mechanism(s) involved.

Our entry results demonstrated that nectin-1 was the most significant receptor for entry, followed by HVEM and then PILR-α. Given current knowledge of the role of PILR-α in HSV infection, it may more accurately be described as a coreceptor that aids other primary receptors in entry [21]. Entry was also shown to be pH dependent and thus may utilize a vesicular form of entry that relies on acidification [18].

The pathogenesis of corneal epithelial infection by HSV is not well understood, which includes periods of active virus during which cellular entry and spread occurs. With episodes lasting several days, we were interested in re-infection in the HCE cell line. Our study demonstrated a lower efficiency of secondary viral infection at 24 to 48 h. Therefore, this is further evidence that virus-cell interactions may be important in the infection process. Due to limitations of our in vitro system we were unable to investigate substantially longer time points.

To determine whether re-infection correlated with a change in receptor expression, flow cytometry was performed to test for levels of nectin-1, which was the primary receptor in viral entry. The result that average nectin-1 expression was reduced after the initial infection is an observation that was further corroborated by flow cytometry analysis of cell-surface receptor expression. Expression was detected by Fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS) analysis. Cells were treated with primary antibodies to Nectin-1 (A), HVEM (B), or PILR-alpha (C). HCE cells stained only with FITC-conjugated secondary antibody were used as background controls and are shown as the dark gray in the figure.
requires further investigation. The implications of this decrease including whether it plays a role in the trends of re-infection are future areas of interest.

Studies are also required to further investigate the molecular mechanisms of viral entry in the corneal epithelium. As mentioned, our result that nectin-1 is the primary receptor for entry is in contrast to what is known for corneal fibroblasts [30]. This is an important consideration for future therapeutic modalities targeting entry mechanisms. For instance, one may postulate that blocking nectin-1 may attenuate corneal epithelial infection, whereas for stromal infection this would be less important. The presence of nectin-1 in murine corneal epithelium and other ocular tissues has been described previously [32]. With this in mind, the roles of nectin-1, HVEM, and PILR-α in corneal epithelial infection also need to be assessed using in vivo models. The finding of pH dependency of HSV-1 entry into HCE cells must also be further probed as this suggests a possible endocytic mode of entry. The possibility of a pH-altering treatment could also be studied in vivo, although ocular toxicity would be an important limiting factor. Finally, reduced secondary viral entry and changes in expression of entry receptors after exposure to virus may influence pathogenesis. As HSV-1 infection of the cornea remains a significant cause of

Figure 7. Antibodies to the major receptors block HSV-1 entry. Monolayers of cells plated in 96-well culture dishes were incubated with serial dilutions of primary antibodies to Nectin-1, HVEM, and PILR-α for 2 h. Cells were then exposed to HSV-1(KOS)gL86 virus and viral entry was measured 6 h post-infection using a spectrophotometer at an OD of 410 nm. The ratio of entry between cells treated and those untreated are reported as percent blocking. Combinations of antibodies that block these receptors were also studied. Data shown are the means of triplicate determinations (±SD). Anti-nectin-1 (A), anti-HVEM (B), anti-PILR-α (C), a combination of anti-nectin-1 and anti-HVEM antibodies (D) and a combination of all three antibodies (E).

Figure 8. Superinfected cells demonstrate less viral entry. Monolayers of cells plated in 96-well culture dishes were treated with a primary viral dose of HSV-1(KOS) at an MOI of 0.01. After 48 h of incubation at 37 °C, cell were treated with serial dilution of a secondary viral dose of HSV-1(KOS)gL86. Viral entry was measured using a spectrophotometer at an OD of 410 nm. Cells solely infected with only one dose of HSV-1(KOS)gL86 were used as control.

Figure 9. Cells demonstrate a decreased level of Nectin-1 receptor expression after primary infection. Monolayers of HCE cells plated on a 6-well tissue culture dish were treated with a viral dose at an MOI of 0.01 and incubated for 48 h at 37 °C. Cells were then treated with MTT to distinguish live from dead cells. FACS was then performed to test levels of Nectin-1 on living infected cells tagged with red fluorescent protein. HCE cells untreated with a viral dose were used as a control and are shown as the green shade.
moribidity, this study provides important insights to guide future work.
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