Impact of Excess Body Weight on Postsurgical Complications
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Abstract
Background: Obesity is considered a risk factor for postoperative complications as it can limit exposure to the operation field, thereby significantly prolonging surgery time. Obesity-associated comorbidities, such as low-grade systemic inflammation, impaired functional status, and type 2 diabetes, are independent risk factors for impaired anastomotic wound healing and nonsurgical site infections. If obesity itself is an independent risk factor for surgical complications remains controversial, but the reason for this is largely unexplored. Summary: A MEDLINE literature search was performed using the terms: “obesity,” “excess body weight,” and “surgical complications.” Out of 65,493 articles 432 meta-analyses were screened, of which 25 meta-analyses were on the subject. The vast majority of complex oncologic procedures in the field of visceral surgery have shown higher complication rates in obese patients. Meta-analyses from the last 10 to 15 years with high numbers of patients enrolled consistently have shown longer operation times, higher blood loss, longer hospital stay for colorectal procedures, oncologic upper gastrointestinal (GI) procedures, and pancreatic surgery. Interestingly, these negative effects seem not to affect the overall survival in oncologic patients, especially in esophageal resections. A selection bias in oncologic upper GI patients may have influenced the results with higher BMI in upper GI cancer to be a predictor for better nutritional and performance status. Key Messages: Contrary to bariatric surgery, only limited evidence indicated that site and type of surgery, the approach to the abdominal cavity (laparoscopic vs. open), institutional factors, and the type of perioperative care such as ERAS protocols may play a role in determining postsurgical complications in obese patients. The initial question remains therefore partially unanswered. Large nationwide register-based studies are necessary to better understand which aspects of obesity and its related comorbidities define it as a risk factor for surgical complications.

Introduction

Obesity has reached pandemic proportions, with an estimated prevalence of 34% in the USA and over 20% in Europe, while it exceeds 40% in some Middle Eastern countries [1]. Obesity can lead to impaired functional status and quality of life [2]. Moreover, obesity-associated comorbidities including type 2 diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, and certain cancers reduce life expectancy [3].

Beyond its detrimental effects to health, there is evidence to suggest that obesity negatively impacts postsurgical outcomes. For example, excess adiposity limits exposure to the operation field, thereby significantly prolonging surgery times [4]. The chronic, low-grade systemic inflammation and impaired functional status associated with obesity may compromise postoperative recovery [5]. Obesity-associated comorbidities, such as hyperglycemia, are independent risk factors for impaired anastomotic wound healing and nonsurgical site infec-
Obesity also increases the risk of developing thromboembolisms [7]. On the other hand, a large Swiss prospective cohort study concluded that excess BMI is not a risk factor for postsurgical complications [8]. Two major limitations are associated with this landmark study, however. First, the heterogeneity of patients diagnosed with obesity based on BMI alone is high and more sophisticated scaling systems such as the Edmonton obesity scoring system (EOSS) may more accurately reflect perioperative risk [9]. Second, apart from patient-related factors, the heterogeneity of surgical procedures and complications in the field of visceral surgery make it unlikely for excess body weight to be a universal risk factor. The site and type of surgery [10], the approach to the abdominal cavity (laparoscopic vs. open) [11], institutional factors such as individual surgical training and expertise [12], the type of perioperative care such as fast-track concepts [13], or the enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocol may all play an important role in determining postsurgical complications. The purpose of this review therefore is to address the impact of excess weight and possible patient-, surgery-, and institutional-related factors on surgical outcomes.

Methods

To identify studies on postsurgical complications in abdominal surgery, we performed a MEDLINE literature search using the terms: “obesity,” “excess body weight,” and “surgical complications.” We focused on meta-analyses and could identify 432 out of the 65,493 articles. All 432 meta-analyses were screened based on their abstracts. The vast majority of these were on the subject bariatric surgery, which was not the focus of this review. This left 25 meta-analyses (Fig. 1), which were systematically analyzed (Table 1). We extended our research based on our hypotheses that the site and type of surgery, the approach to the abdominal cavity (laparoscopic vs. open), institutional factors such as individual surgical training and expertise, the type of perioperative care such as fast-track concepts, or the ERAS protocol may all play an important role in determining postsurgical complications in a non-systematic manner.

**Obesity-Associated Comorbidities Affecting Postoperative Outcomes**

**Impaired Functional Status and Postoperative Recovery**

Obesity is usually associated with impaired functional status and is therefore believed to affect, or at least delay, postoperative recovery [5], but current evidence in support of this is conflicting [5, 8, 15]. For instance, BMI alone was not associated with any postoperative complication or delayed recovery in a study on the effect of visceral obesity or sarcobesity in laparoscopic resections for colorectal cancer [16]. In contrast, excess body weight has been shown to impair postoperative results in colorectal cancer resection [5]. A recent development for improving postoperative recovery is ERAS. This comprises a professionally guided program on quicker mobilization and more effective physiotherapy with the primary goal of reducing length of stay (LOS) and optimizing cost-effectiveness. This program has been shown to reduce LOS in bariatric surgery patients as well as postoperative complications [17], thereby emphasizing the importance of optimized strategies for the postoperative treatment of obese patients.
Diabetes

Type 2 diabetes mellitus is one of the most frequent obesity-associated comorbidities with an incidence of approximately 20–40% [16]. It is also a major risk factor for surgical complications in a wide variety of surgical proce-

| Author | Year | Timespan | Individuals included (n) | Topic | Studies included | Primary endpoint | Comment |
|--------|------|----------|--------------------------|-------|------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Hong et al. [79] | 2013 | 1960–2012 | 1,988 | Excess body weight in esophageal carcinoma | 6 (retrospective) | Survival | Trends obese in poorer OS, higher complication rates, older data |
| Zhou et al. [4] | 2012 | 2002–2009 | >2,000 | Laparoscopic resection CRC in obese | 8 (all observational) | Complications | Higher SSI rates, similar oncologic outcome |
| Woodham et al. [62] | 2012 | 2001–2008 | 2,428 | Laparoscopic versus open appendectomy in obese | 7 (2 RCTs, 1 prospective cohort study, 4 retrospective) | Postoperative outcome | All-obese collective, study favors lap. appendectomy |
| McIntyre et al. [54] | 2020 | 2013–2018 | >1.5 mio | LC | 44 | Readmission | Extremely large cohort, obesity was not the main topic |
| Fung et al. [80] | 2016 | −2014 | 4,550 | Laparoscopic colorectal cancer resection (obese vs. nonobese) | 13 (3 retrospective, 10 prospective) | Oncologic outcome | No difference in oncologic outcome |
| Sun et al. [52] | 2020 | 1994–2014 | 9,572 | Laparoscopic gastrectomy obese versus nonobese | 15 (all retrospective) | Surgical outcome | Only Asian collective, BMI cutoff only 25 |
| Shabanzadeh et al. [11] | 2012 | 1992–2011 | 58,755 | SSI in obese (lap. vs. open) | 44 (8 RCTs, 36 observational) | SSI | Large collective with high fraction of bariatric surgeries |
| Saab et al. [59] | 2013 | 1993–2013 | 76,620 | Liver transplant recipients (obese vs. nonobese) | 13 (retrospective) | Survival | Large but heterogeneous collective |
| Danwang et al. [64] | 2020 | 2000–2019 | 1,381 | Laparoscopic adrenalectomy in obese | 5 (1 prospective, 3 retrospective, 1 unclear) | Postoperative outcome | Small sample size in meta-analysis for many aspects |
| Makino et al. [66] | 2012 | 1983–2010 | 9,231 | Laparoscopic colorectal resection (obese vs. nonobese) | 33 (all retrospective) | Postoperative outcome | Large sample size, all retrospective data |
| Kayani et al. [48] | 2012 | 1977–2008 | 1,682 | Esophagectomy (obese vs. nonobese) | 5 (all retrospective) | OS, M&M | Partly old data, limited information about characteristics of obesity |
| Mengardo et al. [47] | 2017 | 1977–2011 | 2,838 | Esophagectomy (obese vs. nonobese) | 8 (4 prospective, 4 retrospective) | OS, M&M | Inconsistent definition of obesity |
| Wee et al. [45] | 2019 | 2016–2018 | 1,024 | Robotic and laparoscopic colorectal surgery in obese | 9 (retrospective) | Surgical outcomes | Effect of learning curve in robotic resections unclear, higher conversion rates in obese |
| Abdelraman et al. [53] | 2018 | 2001–2017 | 7,999 | Antireflux surgery outcomes related to obesity | 13 (6 prospective, 7 prospective cohort studies) | GERD recurrence, complications | Heterogeneous collective, different techniques; higher rate of reflux relapse in obese |
| Bell et al. [42] | 2019 | 1990–2017 | 6,779 | Oncologic safety lap versus open CRC surgery in obese | 20 (4 prospective, 16 retrospective) | OS, DFS, surgical outcomes | Higher conversion rates in non-Asian collective, higher R1-resection in obese |
| Hussan et al. [5] | 2016 | 2012 | 85,000 | Outcomes morbidly obese in CRC resections | No meta-analysis | M&M | Only study regarding BMI >40, significant increase in all complications |

LC, laparoscopic cholecystectomy.
Obstructive Sleep Apnea
Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is a common comorbidity in obese patients [22]. Studies have shown that around 70% of OSA patients are overweight or obese and the weight loss reduces OSA symptoms [23]. Unsurprisingly, OSA is strongly affected by anesthesia and leads to a variety of postoperative complications, especially pulmonary complications such as pneumonia, but also cardiac events [24]. A key factor is preoperative screening and treatment of OSA prior to surgery, which improves postoperative outcomes [25]. As OSA is associated with other comorbidities, the independent impact of OSA on postoperative outcomes is hard to define. Studies show differing results on whether OSA is a sole risk factor for postoperative complications [23]. OSA patients suffer from chronic pain, which increases analgesic use (especially opioids) and further worsens respiratory impairment [26]. Anesthesiologic management of these patients is complex and is still the subject of active discussion. Optimizing OSA impairment in the preoperative phase helps to reduce postoperative complications [25].

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a well-known comorbidity of obesity, but it is also a significant risk factor for numerous severe postoperative complications [27]. In abdominal surgery, COPD, smoking, and obesity were found to be independent risk factors for reoperation and hospital readmission in ventral hernia repair patients [28]. In an Australian retrospective study, COPD and atrial fibrillation were independent risk factors for postoperative complications in colorectal surgery [23]. OSA patients suffer from chronic pain, which increases analgesic use (especially opioids) and further worsens respiratory impairment [26]. Anesthesiologic management of these patients is complex and is still the subject of active discussion. Optimizing OSA impairment in the preoperative phase helps to reduce postoperative complications [25].

Liver Disease
The rising incidence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is largely due to its close association with obesity [33]. It was shown to be a major cause of higher inhospital mortality rates and longer LOS in a retrospective study of over 7,000 patients undergoing colorectal surgery [34].

Liver cirrhosis has an even greater impact on postoperative complications. In a German single-center study with 138 cases undergoing abdominal surgery suffering from liver cirrhosis, overall mortality was 28%, increasing in proportion to Child and MELD scores [39]. Child C patients had an in-hospital mortality of 63%. These data underline the major impact of liver dysfunction on postoperative complications.

Alternative Evaluation of Obesity
Taking all of the issues discussed in this article into consideration, more complex scaling systems incorporating excess body weight with its possible functional and health consequences such as the EOSS may more accurately reflect perioperative risk [9]. The EOSS provides a more detailed approach to classifying obesity by its metabolic, cardiovascular, pulmonary, psychological, and orthopedic consequences. It provides a scoring system ranging from stage 0 to 4 in which 0 represents a noncompromised patient and 4 represents a severely compromised or end-stage comorbid obese patient. The EOSS has further been shown to accurately stratify mortality risk [40].

The EOSS has also been used as an assessment tool for predicting complications in bariatric surgery and showed validity for increased complications in EOSS 3 patients [41]. With this system, a classification method has been developed, which distinguishes patients of similar BMIs but has varying performance abilities and may thus provide a more detailed analysis of potential risk factors.
date, this tool has not been used for risk stratification apart from with bariatric surgery. Therefore, its broader surgical significance is yet to be demonstrated and requires future studies.

**Surgical Field and Postoperative Outcomes**

**Colorectal Surgery**

A meta-analysis by Hussan et al. [5] found morbid obesity (BMI >40 kg/m²) to be a risk factor for longer operation times, higher anastomotic leakage rates, and prolonged hospital stay, as well as higher overall treatment costs. Other meta-analyses focusing on surgical outcomes in patients diagnosed with Grade I and/or Grade II obesity have yet to show superiority for the laparoscopic approach in laparoscopic colorectal surgery. They found obesity to be a predictor for slightly higher tumor-positive resection margins (R1) with 6.9% in the obese versus 3.1% in the nonobese group. Interestingly, obesity was not shown to be a risk factor for overall survival in this study. One study by Yang et al. [43] analyzed 4 studies describing the amount of visceral adiposity as a more specific factor. The authors also found visceral obesity to be associated with higher complication rates as well as prolonged operation times and lower lymph nodes harvested. However, the studies included were retrospective and derived from Asian populations with overall low BMIs.

Higher BMI leads to higher conversion rates in laparoscopic sigmoidectomy with correspondingly longer hospital stays and more postoperative complications. Notably, complication rates were even higher in converted cases than in primary open surgeries [44]. Interestingly, robotic surgery seems to improve the positive effects of the minimally invasive approach in laparoscopic colorectal surgery, reducing LOS and postoperative complications in a meta-analysis from Wee et al. [45] on robotic colorectal cancer resections compared with laparoscopic resections in the subgroup of obese patients with 262 patients enrolled. However, obese patients still had higher complication rates undergoing robotic surgery compared with their nonobese counterparts.

In contrast to the above, colorectal surgery studies have yet to show superiority for the laparoscopic approach in oncological disease. While for many of these studies oncological outcomes were defined as primary endpoints, nearly all showed that postoperative complications were similar for open versus laparoscopic surgery not regarding obesity [46].

**Upper Gastrointestinal Surgery**

There is a wide range of different upper GI procedures ranging from laparoscopic functional surgery such as antireflux surgery to complex oncological procedures requiring transabdominal and thoracic access such as esophagectomy. A meta-analysis by Mengardo et al. [47] including over 2,800 patients undergoing esophagectomy or transhiatal gastrectomy for adeno-, and squamous-cell-carcinoma identified obesity as an individual risk factor for anastomotic leakage increasing the incidence by up to 35%. The cutoff was set at BMI >30 kg/m² and in some studies included at >25 kg/m², so a qualified statement on the outcome of obese patients cannot be made. In contrast, another meta-analysis by Kayani et al. [48] could not find any negative effect of obesity on complications after esophagectomy but described better overall survival rates for overweight and obese patients. Lower BMI in upper GI cancer patients is assumingly associated with malnutrition and, therefore, poor performance status.

Overall, the vast majority of studies included dated back to the late 1980s, which may compromise its adaptability according to today’s standards. However, the authors showed that obesity had no effect on R0-resection rate, mortality, respiratory failure, and reoperations, which seems not to set the general standard. Accordingly, a retrospective cohort analysis from 2020 by Sachdeva et al. [50] found that obese patients significantly more often undergo open esophagectomy compared to normal-weight patients. In over 8,500 observed cases, conversion rates were higher in the morbidly obese group with an OR of 3.75. Similar to older meta-analyses, this study finds similar oncologic outcomes but states significantly less neoadjuvant chemotherapy in uT2 uN0 stages in the obese group.

These findings are supported by a database analysis from Mitzman et al. [51] from 2018 with over 9,000 resections for esophageal cancer, of which 23% were open transhiatal, 33% open Ivor-Lewis, and 22% minimally invasive Ivor-Lewis operations. Again underweight patients had increased risk for complications and mortality as well as BMI >40 kg/m² patients. This very large and well worked-up retrospective analysis supports the old data for underweight as a poor performance marker.

A meta-analysis by Sun et al. [52] analyzing 15 retrospective studies from 1994 to 2014 reported the outcome...
of over 9,000 gastric cancer patients. They demonstrated that a BMI >25 kg/m² is a risk factor for higher blood loss, longer operating time, fewer harvested lymph nodes, and higher rates of wound infections and postoperative ileus, but not for anastomotic leakage, bleeding, and overall postoperative recovery. This study only focused on laparoscopic gastrectomies and not on open surgery. It has to be acknowledged that all identified meta-analyses on this topic mainly included retrospective cohort studies and that the BMI cutoff value considerably varied between studies. Overall, moderately higher BMI (around 30 kg/m²) seems not to have a measurable impact on perioperative outcomes.

A meta-analysis by Abdelrahman et al. [53] including 13 studies, of which 7 were prospective cohort studies and enrolled over 5,000 patients, found obesity not to be a risk factor for complications in laparoscopic hiatal hernia repair with no impact on operation time, complications, or redo-surgery. Again no randomized trial was included and the studies varied in regarding length of follow-up and surgical techniques. However, the rates of reflux recurrence and recurrent hiatal hernia were increased [53], ranging between 0 and 10% in nonobese and 2–27% obese patients.

**Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery**

Procedures in the field of hepatobiliary and pancreatic surgery vary from laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) to much more complex surgeries like pancreatoduodenectomy or liver transplantation. As this large surgical field is beyond the scope of this review, we focused on some of the most frequently performed procedures.

**Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy**

A large meta-analysis by McIntyre et al. [54] with over 1,500,000 million patients from 44 studies showed no impact of obesity on readmission after discharge from LC. Other data on complications related to obesity are not shown in this study. The main strength of this study is the large sample size, however since only LCs were included; there are no data on open surgery. A retrospective cohort study from Japan found no impact of obesity on complications after LC in 563 patients with an overall complication rate of 1.2%. In this study, BMI cutoff was set at 25 kg/m² and median BMI in the obese group was 28.1 kg/m², as the standard was adjusted for Asian collectives [55]. Known risk factors for complications after cholecystectomy are increased operative time, worsening leukocytosis, and jaundice, whereas risk factors for a 30-day readmission were prior biliary complication, male sex, and operative duration in a prospective multicenter study from the USA including 989 patients [56]. Obesity is over multiple studies no risk factor for postoperative complications.

**Pancreatic Surgery**

The impact of obesity on development of postsurgical complications in pancreatic surgery was investigated by Shamali et al. [57] in a cohort study including 524 patients undergoing pancreatoduodenectomy, of which 18.5% were obese. Obese patients suffered from higher intraoperative blood loss, longer operative time, higher rates of postoperative pancreatic fistula, and intraoperative collections. Obesity had no effect on overall survival. These findings could not be supported by a meta-analysis from Ramsey et al. [58]. Here, over 4,000 patients of which at least 592 had a BMI >30 kg/m² from 17 retrospective studies undergoing pancreatic resections were included. BMI cutoffs were set different between 25 and 30 kg/m², so the definition of obese patients varied. Interestingly, the expected higher complication rates did not occur in obese patients regarding blood loss, operation time, number of harvested lymph nodes, and SSIs. However, the investigated studies in this meta-analysis widely differed, as complications rates varied between 10 and 50% in the obese groups. The authors similarly could prove an association between higher BMI and pancreatic fistula rates after pancreatic resections, but this did not lead to higher in-hospital mortality or decreased overall survival [58].

**Liver Transplantation**

In a large analysis on the outcomes of liver transplantation with over 70,000 patients from 13 studies, obesity was not a risk factor for poor survival, but in a subgroup pooled analysis, obese patients with the same cause of liver impairment than their nonobese counterparts had reduced survival compared to the nonobese [59]. However, comorbidities like hypertension and diabetes may be the reason for the poorer outcome in obese patients.

**Confounding Factors Affecting Postoperative Outcome**

**Elective versus Emergency Surgery**

Emergency surgery is often associated with higher complication rates than elective surgery; however, data for the impact of excess body weight are sparse. One recent retrospective study investigated the effect of obesity on postoperative outcomes in acute diverticulitis. This study showed a higher rate of reoperation and SSIs in obese patients, but no increased mortality or prolonged hospital stay [60].

**Open versus Laparoscopic Surgery**

In a prospective, single-center study including elective abdominal surgeries with over 6,000 patients enrolled, Dindo et al. [8] did not find obesity to be an independent risk factor for postoperative complications [15]. However,
they described lower rates of SSIs for laparoscopic procedures, which have been confirmed by other studies [61]. A meta-analysis from Shabanzadeh et al. [11] with 44 studies included of which 8 were RCTs finds a risk reduction by 70–80% for SSIs in laparoscopic surgery compared to open surgery. Limiting here is the fact that only 1 RCT observed nonbariatric surgery. This effect was also demonstrated in a meta-analysis on appendectomies by Woodham et al. [62] in 2012 in obese patients showing a significant reduction of SSIs and hospital stay in the laparoscopic group, but again lack of strong evidence, as all included studies were non-RCTs and analyzed data were lacking even BMI of some individuals. Unfortunately, data reporting surgical outcomes on severely obese patients in a more general sense are missing. Therefore, robust evidence obtained from large prospective cohort studies from patients undergoing bariatric surgery may allow some more general conclusions. Those studies have shown a clear benefit of the laparoscopic over the open approach [63]. Overall, morbidities such as SSIs, incisional hernias, blood loss, and lower quality of life as well as mortality rates were significantly improved when the laparoscopic approach was used. Presently, according to evidence-based international guidelines, the laparoscopic approach is the standard in bariatric surgery. These data are supported by a meta-analysis by Danwang et al. [64] on outcomes of laparoscopic adrenalectomies, a procedure which is a well-established minimally invasive surgery showing no impact of obesity on postsurgical complications but also does only analyze the data from retrospective studies with an overall low number of patients included.

Data about laparoscopic colorectal surgery on complications comparing obese and nonobese were provided by Zhou et al. [4] in a meta-analysis from 2012, showing significantly higher rates of postoperative ileus, pulmonary complications, and SSIs, but not on anastomotic leakage, intra-abdominal abscess, or bleeding. Again, however, the analyzed studies were all retrospective cohort studies [4].

**Complexity of the Surgical Procedure**

It is widely assumed that complex surgical procedures tend to be even more difficult to perform in obese patients; however, the available evidence indicates otherwise. Excess weight was not identified as an independent risk factor for poor outcomes in laparoscopic left-sided colectomy in a collective with perforated diverticulitis [65]. Another single-center study reported even shorter hospital stays in obese patients after laparoscopic left-sided colectomy [66]. The same results were reported for robotic colorectal surgery. Conversion rates, blood loss, and operative times were not significantly higher in a retrospective single-center study from 2005 where 111 patients were examined. Only surgical site infections occurred more often in obese patients [67]. Overall, these data are provided by retrospective single-center studies assuming risk of selection bias as some specialized centers may achieve very good results even in complex surgical procedures despite obesity.

In addition to these results, complexity and skill may matter more in obese patients shown in a study by Birkmeyer et al. [12]. They assessed surgical skills and related it to the postoperative outcome. Lower skill levels resulted in significantly higher complication rates, higher mortality, and longer operative times, reoperation, and readmission [68]. This again suggests that skill and experience in the surgery of obese patients may significantly affect their feasibility and safety.

A recent study from Markkar et al. [69] investigated outcomes in minimally invasive esophagectomies separating cohort from the TIME trial with 115 patients treated in highly specialized centers and under very selective study environments and the national database with 4,605 patients. Only the study population achieved lower complications and better outcomes in the minimally invasive group, whereas the database population fell short and suffered from higher complication rates in minimally invasive esophagectomies [69]. While this study did not focus on BMI or obesity, it shows the relevance of experience and skill in highly ambitious surgical approaches, which underlines the need of specialization and centralization of centers performing complex surgeries.

**Institutional Factors**

Quality assurance has been introduced to numerous fields in surgery. For example, the positive effect of specialization of surgical divisions is widely known and has led to improvement of quality and patients’ security. This has been shown in colorectal surgery, pancreatic surgery, and many other procedures beyond surgery [8]. Also, personal skill specification and training improve surgical outcomes and reduce complications [12]. Unfortunately, these effects could not yet be demonstrated for obesity as an independent risk factor.

Bariatric surgery may serve to exemplify the benefits of standardization in treatment algorithms [8]. Thus far, evidence suggests that the treatment of bariatric patients in centers of excellence improves outcomes [70]. This is unsurprising since third-party certification ensures that necessary infrastructure and exact protocols for any given procedure and especially complication management are available [8]. Therefore, many studies prove that the quality of surgery alone is often not different in high volume centers compared to low volume centers [12]. The difference is made in the so-called failure to rescue. This term describes how good complication management works in measures of mortality by complications. Of course, this does not end with treatment algorithms and expertise in
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surgery, but extends to nearly all divisions of health care, from physical therapy, dietetics, nursing, and equipment, like heavy-duty operations and CT tables to management structures and so on.

**Anticoagulation and Thromboembolism**

Venous thromboembolisms can be a major cause of complications following surgery. In addition, pulmonary artery embolisms are potentially lethal. Obesity has been shown to be a risk factor for venous thromboembolisms in the long-term outcome after colorectal surgery [71]. Therefore, the prophylactic use of low-molecular weight heparin is recommended in such cases [72]. A meta-analysis investigating the effect of increased BMI on patients with esophageal cancer after esophagectomy in 5,480 patients showed significantly higher risk of thromboembolic complications in the obese group (OR 2.05) than normal weight [73]. In obese patients, the correct dosage is not well defined and international guidelines do not provide an evidence-based recommendation. A randomized-controlled trial by Steib et al. found no thromboembolisms in a gastric bypass cohort using 4,000, 6,000, or 2 × 4,000 units of enoxaparin [74]. Application of 6,000 units once daily resulted in the highest rate of antifactor Xa activity. Therefore, this dosage could be suggested for obese patients without renal failure. Experts suggest even higher doses of LWMH in obese patients, but this is still under discussion [75].

**Comment**

While far from unanimous, most studies suggest that obesity is a risk factor for postoperative SSI [15, 67]. Many studies though could show that minimally invasive techniques reduce SSIs overall and especially in colorectal procedures [44]. For the most common comorbidities such as diabetes, their impact on postsurgical complications is well documented [6, 18, 19]. Improving these comorbidities prior to (elective) surgery is a must whenever possible to achieve better outcomes [37].

An excellent example of successful surgical management, even in super-obese patients, comes from metabolic and bariatric surgery. In many studies, patient and procedure safety have been proven at a high level, even though comorbidities significantly increase the risk of surgical complications [76]. In this setting, the standardization and implementation of therapeutic pathways and successful development of laparoscopic procedures as well as the establishment of certified centers of excellence have made surgery safe and complication rates relatively low [12]. Despite complex settings, from anesthesia management and surgical feasibility to post-operative complication management, many studies show that the assignment to specialized hospitals improves outcomes and reduces complications [8], except for bariatric surgery where higher complication rates have been shown for seriously ill patients, that is, EOSS 3 and 4 [41].

The vast majority of open and/or complex oncologic procedures in the field of visceral surgery have shown higher complication rates in obese patients [4]. Meta-analyses from the last 10 to 15 years with high numbers of patients enrolled consistently have shown higher operation times, higher blood loss, longer hospital stay for colorectal procedures [42], oncologic upper GI procedures [47], and pancreatic surgery [58]. Interestingly, these negative effects seem not to affect the overall survival in oncologic patients, especially in esophageal resections. A selection bias in oncologic upper GI patients may have influenced the results with higher BMI in upper GI cancer to be a predictor for better nutritional and performance status.

In laparoscopic surgery, the (negative) effects of obesity seem to be less immanent compared to open surgeries. We assume that standardized laparoscopic procedures improve the outcome in obese patients when they are established widely based similar to bariatric surgery. During our research, we found the majority of studies in the field of bariatric surgery. Studies investigating the effect of laparoscopic versus open surgery in an obese but nonbariatric collective are vastly lacking. Many studies demonstrated evidence for functional superiority and oncologic equality or superiority of minimally invasive approaches in a wide range in the field of general and visceral surgery from hernia surgery to oncologic upper GI or colorectal surgery [77, 78]. These studies focus on oncologic questions or technical feasibility, so understandingly obese patients are mainly excluded here. Therefore, the implications made by these studies are not one-to-one transferable to an obese collective. Especially randomized-controlled trials investigating the effect of obesity on surgical complications are missing. Additionally, studies that selectively investigate BMI >40 kg/m² patients, which are not bariatric surgery studies, are completely missing. Facing obesity increasing worldwide this aspect will become more and more significant in our daily routine and should be therefore investigated.

The initial question remains therefore partially unanswered and has to be specified as we have already learned that obesity alone is not always a good parameter in risk assessment. Large nationwide register-based studies are necessary to better understand which aspects of obesity and its related comorbidities define it as a risk factor for surgical complications.
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