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**ABSTRACT**

Introduction: *Sterculia foetida* Linn. is widely distributed in tropical countries. As the continuous study on the hexane and ethyl acetate extracts of *Sterculia foetida* leaves, the isolation and structural determination of four triterpenoids and two coumarins were addressed. Method: The crude extract was prepared from dried power of *Sterculia foetida* leaves by maceration method in ethanol. This extract was then separated by liquid-liquid partition with n-hexane, chloroform, and ethyl acetate, respectively, to obtain the corresponding extracts. The hexane and ethyl acetate extracts were applied to multiple silica gel column chromatography to yield six compounds. Their chemical structures were determined by the NMR data analysis as well as the comparison their spectroscopic data and physical properties with those of reported literature. Results: Four triterpenoid compounds, including betulinic acid (1), conyzasaponin G (2), taraxerol (3), and taraxer-14-ene-1α,3β-diol (4), and two coumarins fraxetin (5), and aesculin (6) were identified. Conclusion: To the best of our knowledge, they have not been reported in the leaves of *Sterculia foetida* before, and compound 2 was known to present in *Sterculia* genus for the first time.
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**INTRODUCTION**

*Sterculia foetida* Linn. belonging to Sterculiaceae is grown in tropical areas. Leaves of *Sterculia foetida* Linn. are used in traditional medicine as an aperient, diuretic, and insect repellent. There were some previous studies on chemical constituents of different parts of this species, which reported the presence of steroids, flavonoids, phenolic, coumarins, phenylpropanoids, and cerebrosides. Previously, we reported the isolation of the organic compounds from leaves of this species collected in Binh Thuan province, including some triterpenoids, quercetin derivatives, and phenolic compound. Herein, the continuous chemical study on the hexane and ethyl acetate extracts of *Sterculia foetida* leaves was discussed.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

General experimental procedures

The NMR spectra were obtained on a BRUKER AC 500 spectrometer (500 MHz for 1H-NMR and 125 MHz for 13C-NMR). The SCIEX X500 QTOF and X500 QTOF machines were used to record the high resolution-mass spectra and the ESI/APCI mass spectra, respectively. Column chromatography was applied to the silica gel normal-phase (Kieselgel 60, 230-400 mesh, Merck). The thin-layer chromatography technique was done by using silica gel plates (Kieselgel 60 F254, 0.25 mm, Merck).

**Plant material**

The *S. foetida* leaves were collected in Binh Thuan Province in October 2017. The scientific name of this plant was determined by botanist Dr. Dang Van Son, Institute of Tropical Biology. A voucher specimen, coded No.SFC/TUYEN-1017A, was deposited at the laboratory of Faculty of Environmental Science, Saigon University.

**Extraction and isolation**

The extraction procedure to obtain 1830 g crude extract by maceration method for three times (3 x 40 L ethanol) at room temperature and partitioned extracts including 450 g of hexane, 650 g of chloroform, 30 g of ethyl acetate extracts, and the remaining layer by liquid-liquid partition method was shown more detail in. From the hexane extract (450.5 g), seven fractions were separated by silica gel column chromatography and eluted with hexane-ethyl acetate (1:0, 3:1, 1:1, 0.67:1, 0.36:1, 0.13:1, and 0.05:1).
was subjected to silica gel column chromatography, eluted with hexane-ethyl acetate (20:1, 10:1, 5:1) to yield four subfractions. Subfraction SFH.III.2 (18.0 g) was rechromatographed on silica gel column eluting with hexane-ethyl acetate (20:1, 10:1, 5:1) and repeated this process three times to obtain 3 (15.0 mg). The same procedure was applied to subfraction SFH.III.4 (15.2 g) to yield 4 (25.3 mg). Fraction SFH.IV (75.0 g) was applied to silica gel column and eluted with hexane; ethyl acetate (10:1, 5:1, 3:1, 1:1) to afford four subfractions. Subfraction SFH.IV.3 (20.8 g) was applied to silica gel column and eluted with a solvent system of n-hexane-ethyl acetate (3:1) and repeated this process three times on subfractions containing the main compound of SFH.IV.3 checked by TLC plates to obtain 1 (4.5 mg).

The ethyl acetate extract (30.1 g) was separated into five fractions, coded EA-I-EA.V, by silica gel column chromatography and eluted with hexane-ethyl acetate (1:1, 1:3, v/v, respectively) and then chloroform-methanol (9:1, 4:1, 1:1, 0:1, v/v, respectively). Fraction EA.IV (5.3 g) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography and eluted with solvent systems of chloroform-methanol (1:0, 9:1, 4:1, 1:1) and further rechromatographed twice with the same procedure to give 2 (5.0 mg), 5 (4.1 mg), and 6 (5.2 mg).

RESULTS

The chemical investigation on the S. foetida leaves collected in Bình Thuan Province led to the isolation of six compounds by the use of efficient separation techniques. From the hexane extract, three compounds 1, 3, and 4 were isolated, while 2, 5, and 6 were isolated from ethyl acetate extract. Their $^{13}$C-NMR data were performed in Table 1, and the following data were $^1$H-NMR data.

- **Betulinic acid (1)**: HR-IDA-MS: m/z 455.3512 (calcd. for [C$_{30}$H$_{48}$O$_{3}$−H$^+$], 455.3525). $^1$H-NMR (chloroform–d, $\delta$ ppm, J in Hertz): 3.19 (1H, dd, 11.5, 5.0, H-3), 0.69 (1H, d, 9.5, H-5), 0.97 (3H, s, H-23), 0.76 (3H, s, H-24), 0.83 (3H, s, H-25), 0.93 (3H, s, H-26), 0.98 (3H, s, H-27), 4.61 (1H, brs, H-29a), 4.74 (1H, brs, H-29b), 1.71 (3H, s, H-30). $^{13}$C-NMR (chloroform–d): see Table 1.

- **Coryzasaponin G (2)**: HR-IDA-MS: m/z 781.4385 (calcd. for [C$_{44}$H$_{62}$O$_{14}$−H$^+$], 781.4374). $^1$H-NMR (methanol–d$_4$, $\delta$ ppm, J in Hertz): 4.34 (1H, brs, H-2), 3.64 (1H, d, 4.0, H-3), 5.28 (1H, t, 3.0, H-12), 2.88 (1H, dd, 13.5, 3.5, H-18), 3.63 (1H, d, 10.5, H-23a), 3.27 (1H, d, 10.5, H-23b), 0.97 (3H, s, H-24), 1.31 (3H, s, H-25), 0.86 (3H, s, H-26), 1.19 (3H, s, H-27), 0.93 (3H, s, H-29), 0.97 (3H, s, H-30), 4.51 (1H, d, 7.5, H-1$^\prime$), 3.48 (1H, dd, 9.0, 7.5, H-2$^\prime$), 3.54 (1H, m, H-3$^\prime$), 3.46 (1H, t-like, 9.0, H-4$^\prime$), 3.33 (overlap, H-5$^\prime$), 3.82 (1H, dd, 11.5, 2.0, H-6$^\prime$a), 3.73 (1H, dd, 11.5, 5.0, H-6$^\prime$b), 4.52 (1H, d, 7.5, H-1$^\prime\prime$), 3.32 (overlap, H-2$^\prime\prime$), 3.37 (1H, t-like, 9.0, H-3$^\prime\prime$), 3.53 (1H, m, H-4$^\prime\prime$), 3.93 (1H, dd, 10.0, 5.5, H-5$^\prime\prime$a), 3.30 (1H, m, H-5$^\prime\prime$ b). $^{13}$C-NMR (methanol–d$_4$): see Table 1.

- **Taraxerol (3)**: APCI-MS: m/z 409.36 [M−H$_2$O+H$^+$]. $^1$H-NMR (chloroform–d, $\delta$ ppm, J in Hertz): 3.20 (1H, brd, 10.5, H-3), 5.53 (1H, dd, 8.0, 3.0, H-15), 0.93 (3H, s, H-23). 0.85 (3H, s, H-24), 0.93 (3H, s, H-25), 0.82 (3H, s, H-26), 1.09 (3H, s, H-27), 0.91 (3H, s, H-28), 0.95 (3H, s, H-29), 0.93 (H, s, H-30). $^{13}$C-NMR (chloroform–d): see Table 1.

- **Taraxer-14-ene-1α,3β-diol (4)**: APCI-MS: m/z 477.47 [M+2H$_2$O+H$^+$]. $^1$H-NMR (acetone–d$_6$, $\delta$ ppm, J in Hertz): 3.56 (1H, brd, 3.0, H-1), 3.68 (1H, dd, 11.0, 5.0, H-3), 5.54 (1H, dd, 8.0, 3.0, H-15), 0.99 (3H, s, H-23), 0.80 (3H, s, H-24), 0.96 (3H, s, H-25), 1.14 (3H, s, H-26), 0.95 (3H, s, H-27), 0.85 (3H, s, H-28), 0.95 (3H, s, H-29), 0.93 (3H, s, H-30). $^{13}$C-NMR (acetone–d$_6$): see Table 1.

- **Fraxetin (5)**: ESI-MS: m/z 209.06 [C$_{10}$H$_{18}$O$_{3}$+H$^+$]. $^1$H-NMR (acetone–d$_6$, $\delta$ ppm, J in Hertz): 6.16 (1H, brd, 9.5, H-3), 7.81 (1H, d, 9.5, H-4), 6.77 (1H, s, H-5), 3.87 (3H, s, OCH$_3$). $^{13}$C-NMR acetone–d$_6$): see Table 1.

- **Aesculin (6)**: HR-IDA-MS: m/z 339.0720 (calcd. for [C$_{15}$H$_{16}$O$_{6}$−H], 339.0716). $^1$H-NMR (acetone–d$_6$, $\delta$ ppm, J in Hertz): 6.19 (1H, d, 9.5, H-3), 7.81 (1H, d, 9.5, H-4), 7.47 (1H, s, H-5), 6.80 (1H, s, H-8), 4.84 (1H, d, 7.5, H-1$^\prime$), 3.51 (1H, m, H-2$^\prime$), 3.49 (1H, m, H-3$^\prime$), 3.46 (1H, t-like, 9.0, H-4$^\prime$), 3.52 (1H, m, H-5$^\prime$), 3.91 (1H, brd, 13.5, H-6$^\prime$a), 3.73 (1H, brd, 11.0, H-6$^\prime$b). $^{13}$C-NMR acetone–d$_6$): see Table 1.

DISCUSSION

Compound 1 was obtained as a white amorphous powder. Its molecular weight was determined as C$_{30}$H$_{48}$O$_{3}$ by the pseudo molecular ion peak at m/z at 455.3512 (calcd. for [C$_{30}$H$_{48}$O$_{3}$−H], 455.3525). Its $^{13}$C-NMR spectrum showed 30 carbon signals of a triterpene skeleton whose most of the carbon signals resonated in the high magnetic zone from 14 to 56 ppm. An oxygenated methine signal at $\delta$C 79.0 was assigned.
Figure 1: The key HMBC and COSY correlations of compounds 1-6

to C-3 as usual. Two signals at δ_C 150.4 (>C=) and 109.7 (CH_2=) were characteristic for C-20 and C-29 of a double bond in lupane skeleton. The lowest magnetic signal at δ_C 179.4 belonged to a carboxyl group. These corresponded to the presence of two broad-singlet proton signals, each integrated 1 proton, at δ_H 4.61 (H-29a), and 4.74 (H-29b) in 1H-NMR spectrum. These protons displayed HMBC cross-peaks to methine carbon (46.9, >CH-19), quarterary olefin carbon (150.4, C-20), and methyl carbon (19.4, CH_3). Therefore, the presence of isopropenyl group in lupane skeleton was proved. Five rest singlet methyl proton signals from 0.76 to 0.98 ppm were assigned to H-23 to H-27. The axial-position of the oxygenated methine proton was confirmed by the signal at δ_H 3.19 (1H, dd, 11.5, 5.0), since the hydroxy group at C-3 was determined as equatorial - or β- position. Based on the above analysis, 1 was elucidated as betulinic acid.

The NMR analysis of 2 and 1 showed that 2 had one more hydroxyl group at C-2, one more oxymethylene instead of the methyl group, and two more sugar units. Two oxymethylene protons presented as two doublet signals at δ_H 3.63 (1H, d, 10.5, H-23a), 3.27 (1H, d, 10.5, H-23b) which had the same HSQC cross-peaks with carbon at δ_C 65.7 and HMBC correlations with carbons C-3, C-4, C-24/C-23 since these two protons were H-23 or H-24. The chemical shift values of two methyl groups at C-23 and C-24 were around 28 and 15 ppm, respectively, as usual. In the case of 2, one methyl was changed into oxymethylene group, and the rest methyl one was observed at 14.7 ppm, which confirmed the position of the hydroxyl group at C-23. The presence of signals at δ_H 4.34 (1H, brs, H-2) and δ_C 71.2 (C-2) of oxymethine group at C-2 which was determined via COSY correlation between H-2 and H-3. The proton H-2 in compound 2 appeared as a broad-singlet signal at δ_H 4.34 which determined the equatorial-position of this proton or β-OH group at C-2. The NMR spectra of 2 showed two anomic proton signals at δ_H 4.51 (1H, d, 7.5, H-1’), 4.52 (1H, d, 7.5. H-1’’), and a serial carbinol signals from 3.2 to 3.9 ppm as well as two anomic carbons at δ_C 105.2 and 106.0 and nine oxy-carbon signals from 62 to 88 ppm which determined the presence of one hexose and one pentose. The COSY spectrum revealed the connection of H-1’/H-2’/H-3’, H-4’/H-5’/H-6’, H-1’’/H-2’’/H-3’’/H-4’’/H-5’’. The large coupling constant around 7.5 to 9.0 Hz of H-1’, H-2’, H-4’, H-1’’, H-4’’ assigned the axial position of all methine protons of β-glucopyranosyl and β-xylopyranosyl units. The positions of two glucopyranosyl and xylopyranosyl were determined at C-3 and C-3’, respectively, by HMBC correlations of proton H-1’ with carbon C-3, of proton H-1’’ with C-3’. The HR-IDA-MS of 2 showed pseudo molecular ion peak at m/z 781.4385 (calcd. for [C_41H_66O_{14+}H], 781.4374). Based on the above analysis as well as the comparison NMR data of 2 with those reported in the literature, 2 was identified as conyzasaponin G.

The APCI-MS of 3 and 4 revealed the molecular ion peak at m/z 409.36 [M–H_2O+H]^+ and 477.47 [M+2H_2O–H]^+, respectively. NMR data of 3 and 4 displayed signals of triterpenes. The 13C-NMR showed
a pair of signals at around 158 and 117 ppm of a double bond at C-14/C-15 in taraxerane skeleton, which corresponded to the presence of eight singlet proton signals of eight quaternary methyl groups in the range from 0.80 to 1.10 ppm. The \(^{13}\)C-NMR of 3 showed one oxymethine carbon at \(\delta_C\) 79.0 of C-3 as usual, while that of 4 revealed two oxymethine signals at \(\delta_C\) 72.9 (C-3) and 71.8 (C-1). The HMBC spectra of 3 and 4 showed cross-peaks of proton H-23 and H-24 with carbon oxymethine C-3. Moreover, HMBC of 4 displayed a correlation of H-25 with the rest oxymethine at 71.8 ppm. Therefore, the positions of two hydroxyl groups in 4 were determined. The proton H-3 appearing as a signal with a large coupling constant of about 11.0 Hz assigned the 3-\(\beta\)-OH whereas the small coupling constant with 3.0 Hz of H-1 in compound 4 suggested the 1-\(\alpha\)-OH. The comparison NMR data of 3 and 4 with those reported in the literature, their structures were assigned as taraxerol and taraxer-14-ene-1\(\alpha\),3\(\beta\)-diol.

NMR spectra of compound 5 showed signals of a coumarin skeleton, including 9 carbon signals from 101 to 161 ppm, in which 3 methine carbons showed HSQC cross-peaks with three proton signals at \(\delta_H\) 6.16 (1H, d, 9.5, H-3), 7.81 (1H, d, 9.5, H-4), and 6.77 (1H, s, H-5). The HMBC correlations of these protons (as shown in Figure 1) were used to determine their positions. At a higher magnetic field, the NMR spectra of 5 showed signals at \(\delta_H\) 3.87 (3H, s, OCH\(_3\)) and \(\delta_C\) 56.7 (OCH\(_3\)). This methoxy group was attached to C-6, which was determined via HMBC cross-peaks between methoxy proton and carbon C-6. The ESI-MS of 5 showed pseudomolecular ion peak at \(m/z\) 209.06 [\(\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{18}\text{O}_{3}+\text{H}\)]\(^{+}\). Compound 5 was identified as fraxetin via the good compatible NMR data of 5 with the reported data.

The comparison NMR spectra of 5 and 6 showed that 6 was a coumarin glycoside. This was proved by the presence one more sugar unit and one less methoxy group. The proton NMR of 6 showed a set of signals including \(\delta_H\) [4.84 (1H, d, 7.5, H-1')] and 3.4-3.9 (m) and \(\delta_C\) [104.7 (C-1') and 62.5-78.2]. The constant coupling analysis of proton H-1' (d, 7.5) and H-4' (t-like, 9.0) suggested that all methine protons of the sugar unit were axial positions. The HMBC correlation of the anomeric proton H-1' and carbon C-6 as well as all other HMBC correlations (as shown in Figure 1) confirmed the structure of 6. The HR-IDA-MS of 6 showed a signal at \(m/z\) 339.0720 (calcd. for [\(\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_{3+}\text{H}\]], 339.0716). Based on the above analysis, the structure of 6 was determined as aesculin.

In the continuous study on the leaves of Sterculia foetida Linn. collected in Binh Thuan province, four triterpenoids and two coumarin derivatives were isolated, including betulinic acid (1), taraxerol (3), and taraxer-14-ene-1\(\alpha\),3\(\beta\)-diol (4) isolated from the hexane extract and conyzasaponin G (2), fraxetin (5), and aesculin (6) isolated from the ethyl acetate extract. Their chemical structures were determined by the NMR, MS data analysis, and their spectroscopic data and physical properties with those reported in the literature.
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HR-IDA-MS: High resolution-Information dependent acquisition-Mass spectrometry
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\(^{13}\)C NMR: Carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance
COSY: Correlation spectroscopy
HSQC: Heteronuclear single quantum coherence
HMBC: Heteronuclear multiple bond correlation
s: singlet
brs: broad singlet
d: doublet
dd: doublet of doublets
m: multiplet
\(t\)-like: triplet-like

**COMPETING INTEREST**
The authors declare no competing financial interest.

**AUTHORS’ CONTRIBUTION**
Pham N.K.T has contributed in conducting experiments, acquisition of data, and interpretation of data. Nguyen T. Q. T., Huynh C. D., Pham D. T., Nguyen T. A. T., Tran D. D. C., Huynh B. L. C., Nguyen T. A. T. interpreted NMR and MS data as well as searched the bibliography. Nguyen K. P. P. and Nguyen T. H. T gave final approval of the manuscript to be submitted.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT**
Sai Gon University funded for this research under grant number CS2020-50.
Table 1: $^{13}$C-NMR data of six isolated compounds

| No | 1c  | 2m  | 3c  | 4a  | 5a  | 6a  |
|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1  | 38.8| 44.4| 37.7| 71.8|     |     |
| 2  | 27.4| 71.2| 27.1| 34.7| 161.0| 161.1|
| 3  | 79.0| 84.0| 79.0| 72.9| 113.3| 113.6|
| 4  | 38.9| 43.1| 38.7| 39.6| 145.3| 144.6|
| 5  | 55.4| 49.5| 55.5| 48.7| 101.2| 117.8|
| 6  | 18.3| 18.6| 18.8| 19.3| 146.2| 143.5|
| 7  | 34.4| 33.9| 41.3| 41.9| 140.2| 153.0|
| 8  | 40.8| 40.6| 38.9| 39.6| 133.6| 104.3|
| 9  | 50.6| 48.2| 49.3| 41.1| 139.7| 152.7|
| 10 | 37.3| 37.5| 37.5| 42.3| 111.7| 112.3|
| 11 | 20.9| 24.7| 17.5| 17.2|     |     |
| 12 | 25.6| 123.6| 37.7| 33.8|     |     |
| 13 | 38.4| 145.4| 35.7| 38.4|     |     |
| 14 | 42.5| 43.2| 158.1| 159.6|     |     |
| 15 | 30.6| 28.8| 116.8| 117.2|     |     |
| 16 | 32.2| 24.1| 36.6| 38.3|     |     |
| 17 | 56.3| 47.3| 38.0| 36.5|     |     |
| 18 | 49.3| 42.8| 48.7| 49.6|     |     |
| 19 | 46.9| 47.3| 35.1| 37.3|     |     |
| 20 | 150.4| 31.6| 28.8| 29.9|     |     |
| 21 | 29.7| 35.0| 33.7| 33.8|     |     |
| 22 | 37.0| 33.5| 33.1| 35.4|     |     |
| 23 | 28.0| 65.7| 28.0| 28.5|     |     |
| 24 | 15.4| 14.7| 15.4| 15.9|     |     |
| 25 | 16.1| 17.5| 15.4| 16.8|     |     |
| 26 | 16.1| 17.9| 29.8| 26.4|     |     |
| 27 | 14.7| 26.5| 25.9| 21.6|     |     |
| 28 | 179.4| 174.6| 29.9| 30.1|     |     |
| 29 | 109.7| 33.6| 33.3| 33.5|     |     |
| 30 | 19.4| 24.0| 21.3| 30.2|     |     |

| 1'  | 105.2|     | 56.7|     | 104.7|     |
| 2'  | 74.7 |     |     | 74.6|     |     |
| 3'  | 88.0 |     |     | 78.2|     |     |
| 4'  | 69.4 |     |     | 71.3|     |     |
| 5'  | 77.4 |     |     | 77.5|     |     |
| 6'  | 62.2 |     |     | 62.5|     |     |
| 1'' | 106.0|     |     |     |     |     |
| 2'' | 75.3 |     |     |     |     |     |
| 3'' | 77.7 |     |     |     |     |     |
| 4'' | 71.0 |     |     |     |     |     |
| 5'' | 67.1 |     |     |     |     |     |

*Note: c: chloroform-d$_4$, m: methanol-d$_4$, a: acetone-d$_6$*
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