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Abstract: Problem statement: In this study, a delete-half jackknife problem reformulated as a subsample multihalver was presented. Approach: In this respect, exploiting outlier nomination and estimation, since considering all possible half-sample is unpractical and unfeasible were considered. Results: We derived subsample algorithm which is unbiased multihalver and the performance of the model in formulating the subsample multihalver was shown. Conclusion: The result of subsample multihalver method of nomination and estimation is better way of resolving large population.
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INTRODUCTION

The Robust version of the Jackknife using the trimmed mean of the pseudovalues and a general M-estimator base on the pseudovalues offer moderately robust alternatives to Jackknife with goal asymptotic properties, but quite limited small sample results (Fernholz et al., 2004). However, if the population is large but uniformly contaminated with outlier, the effective of the leave out half Jackknife is over shadowed by the size.

The moderate discussion of trimmed and M-estimator has been on Jackknife (Hinkley and Wang, 1980; Cheng, 1991). The original delete-one Jackknife use the delete-k resampling method (Turkey, 1979). Multihalver was used to detect and estimate outlier Fernholz et al., 2004). A robust rank-based nonparameteric spectral estimation was introduced for detecting periodicity in nonideal dataset (Pearson et al., 2003).

The main stream approach for connecting outliers had been executed with caution (Grane and Veiga, 2009). It is an established fact that neglecting the existence of some outlier during the estimation phase of the detection methodology may entail to end up with biased parameter (Van Dijk et al., 1999).

In this present study, we attempt to overcome the difficulties associated with large population but uniformly contaminated with outlier, by reformulating the Jackknife robust version using trimmed and M-estimator as a subsample goal technique and solving same by the usual procedure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The tools and logic for this research are similar to the research of (Fernholz et al., 2004) when dealing with multihalver and subsample multihalver.

The subsample multihalver: For a sample of size n and a T statistic, the subsample multihalver use the resample of size n/k (where k is a multiple of n) with a reasonable number of subsample halving which are splits of data in subgroup as required. The number of all possible subsample halving is \( \binom{n}{2^k} / (2^k) / \sqrt{2\pi n} \), which grow to n quickly is an improvement on (Fernholz et al., 2004). It is desirable to have intersecting subsample approach orthogonality, wherever possible and convenient to have them reasonably similarly related. For the sample size of k, this can be achieved by repeated Hadamard matrices. The case when n is a multiple of four is an instant (Plackett and Burman, 1946) which is obtained using the sequence from Plackett-Burman. Halving can be described in two ways, either as a pairing of observations leading to a split of the data in half, or as two complementary half sample. Hence, subsample multivalve can be describe as a sampling of observation leading to a slit of data into k delete subsample or a k complementary delete subsample. If subsampling is described as sampling by pairing and repairing the existing pairs. Then, it is understood that we select the left-sample L by taking the first element in each pair and the right half-sample R by taking the second element in each pair. Thus, the pairing:

\[ \omega = \{(y_1, y_2), (y_3, y_4), \ldots, (y_{n-1}, y_n)\} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

which correspond to the halving with two complementary half samples:

\[ L = \{y_1, y_3, \ldots, y_{n-1}\} \text{ and } R = \{y_2, y_4, \ldots, y_n\} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)
Hence, we can further obtained halving from L and R in (2) by repeating the pair procedures above, therefore we have:

\[ L_n = L = \{y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_{n-3}\} \text{ and } L_n = \{y_2, y_3, \cdots, y_{n-1}\} \]  
\[ (3) \]

Similarly:

\[ R_k = \{y_2, y_3, \cdots, y_{n-3}\} \text{ and } R_k = \{y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_{n-1}\} \]  
\[ (4) \]

This procedure continues until a \( k \) subsample is obtained recursively. This \( k \) subsample is the proposed subsampling goal model. Thus, we have \( s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k \) subsamples established:

**Hadamard matrices and associated sub sampling result:** Let:

\[ H_1 = \begin{bmatrix} +1 & +1 \\ +1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \]  
\[ (5) \]

be a Hadamard matrix of order 2. Where \( H_1 \) is an array of data set and +1, and -1 are subdivision of \( H_1 \) i.e., the data set \( H_1 \) has been divided into four groups. Hence, the matrix is with entries equal \( \pm 1 \) and orthogonal rows and columns. We can construct Hadamard matrices of higher order recursively by the operation:

\[ H_k = \begin{bmatrix} H_{k-1} & H_{k-1} \\ H_{k-1} & -H_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } k \geq 2 \]  
\[ (6) \]

The resultant imagination of the abstract version of \( H_k \) by substituting \( H_{k-1} \) gives the proposed the matrix of sub sampling goal model. This is also a good example of the \( k \)th delete subsample or \( k \) complementary delete subsample.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Estimation based on the sub sampling multivalve:** Let \( \gamma \) be the set of subsamples obtained by Hadamard matrix method described above. For each subsamples \( s_i \in \gamma \) we compute the difference \( L-R \) or \( h_L-h_R \) of the statistic \( T \), where \( h_L \) and \( h_R \) are the values of \( h \) on the left and right subsamples of the halver. For each \( j \), we furthermore defined a pseudo value for the subsample using the extensions ideas as:

\[ T_j = iT = \begin{bmatrix} h_L + h_R \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} i, j = 1, \ldots, n \]  
\[ (11) \]

The subsample estimate associated to \( T \) is then:

\[ T_s = \frac{1}{H} \sum_{j \in \gamma} T_j^n \]  
\[ (12) \]

This shows that the estimate for subsample and multihalver are alike, expect for the fact that subsample reduce the analytical and computation process before applying multiplihtalver method. Hence, it is obvious that the subsample estimate the standard deviation of \( T \) as:

\[ S_s = \frac{1}{H} \sum \left[ h_L - h_R \right]^{1/2} \]  
\[ (13) \]

where, \( H \) is equal to total number of Subsample Hadamard metrics.

**CONCLUSION**

It is obvious that outlier nomination base on multihalver is applied to a subsample multihalver. This subsample result will then act as an unbias solution to
the entire problem, thereby reducing the stress of working with the whole population.

The subsample goal technique has been shown to provide a better outlier nomination and estimation when the population is very large than the conventional Jackknife Robust version using trimmed mean of the pseudovalues and m-estimator based on pseudovalues.
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