On the structure of the directions not determined by a large affine point set
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Abstract

Given a point set $U$ in an $n$-dimensional affine space of size $q^{n-1} - \varepsilon$, we obtain information on the structure of the set of directions that are not determined by $U$, and we describe an application in the theory of partial ovoids of certain partial geometries.
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1 Introduction

Let $\text{PG}(n, q)$ and $\text{AG}(n, q)$ denote the projective and the affine $n$-dimensional space over the finite field $\text{GF}(q)$ of $q$ elements. Given a point set $U \subset \text{AG}(n, q) \subset \text{PG}(n, q)$, a direction, i.e. a point $t \in H_\infty = \text{PG}(n, q) \setminus \text{AG}(n, q)$ is determined by $U$ if there is an affine line through $t$ which contains at least 2 points of $U$. Note that if $|U| > q^{n-1}$ then every direction is determined.

Especially in the planar case, many results on extendability of affine point sets not determining a given set of directions are known. We mention the following theorem from [16].

**Theorem 1** Let $U \subseteq \text{AG}(2, q)$ be a set of affine points of size $q - \varepsilon > q - \sqrt{q}/2$, which does not determine a set $D$ of more than $(q + 1)/2$ directions. Then $U$ can be extended to a set of size $q$, not determining the set $D$ of directions.

An extendability result known for general dimension is the following. Originally, it was proved in [12] for $n = 3$. A proof for general $n$ can be found in [1].

**Theorem 2** Let $q = p^h$, $p$ an odd prime and $h > 1$, and let $U \subseteq \text{AG}(n, q)$, $n \geq 3$, be a set of affine points of size $q^{n-1} - 2$, which does not determine a set $D$ of at least $p + 2$ directions. Then $U$ can be extended to a set of size $q$, not determining the set $D$ of directions.

---
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The natural question is whether Theorem 2 can be improved in the sense that extendability of sets of size $q^{n-1} - \varepsilon$ is investigated, for $\varepsilon > 2$, possibly with stronger assumptions on the number of non-determined directions. This general question seems to be hard for $n \geq 3$, and up to our knowledge, no other result different from Theorem 2 is known for $n \geq 3$.

In this paper, we investigate affine point sets of size $q^{n-1} - \varepsilon$, for arbitrary $\varepsilon$, where the strongest results are obtained when $\varepsilon$ is small. Instead of formulating an extendability result in terms of the number of non-determined directions, we formulate it in terms of the structure of the set of non-determined directions. Finally, we add a section with an application of the obtained theorem.

2 The main result

A point of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ is represented by a homogenous $(n+1)$-tuple $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n) \neq (0, 0, \ldots, 0)$. A hyperplane is the set of points whose coordinates satisfy a linear equation

$$a_0X_0 + a_1X_1 + \ldots + a_nX_n = 0$$

and so hyperplanes are represented by a homogenous $(n+1)$-tuple $[a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n] \neq [0, 0, \ldots, 0]$. Embed the affine space $\text{AG}(n, q)$ in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ such that the hyperplane $X_0 = 0$, i.e. the hyperplane with coordinates $[1, 0, \ldots, 0]$ is the hyperplane at infinity of $\text{AG}(n, q)$. Then the points of $\text{AG}(n, q)$ will be coordinatized as $(1, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n).

The map $\delta$ from the points of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ to its hyperplanes, mapping a point $(a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ to a hyperplane $[a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ is the standard duality of $\text{PG}(n, q)$.

Let $U \subseteq \text{AG}(n, q)$ be an affine point set, $|U| = q^{n-1} - \varepsilon$. Embed $\text{AG}(n, q)$ in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ and denote the hyperplane at infinity as $H_\infty$. Let $D \subseteq H_\infty$ be the set of directions determined by $U$ and put $N = H_\infty \setminus D$ the set of non-determined directions.

Lemma 3 Let $0 \leq r \leq n - 2$. Let $\alpha = (0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in N$ be a non-determined direction. Then each of the affine subspaces of dimension $r + 1$ through $\alpha$ contain at most $q^r$ points of $U$.

Proof. We prove it by the pigeon hole principle. An affine subspace of dimension $r + 1$ through $\alpha$ contains $q^r$ affine (disjoint) lines through $\alpha$, and each line contains at most one point of $U$ as $\alpha$ is a non-determined direction.

$\square$

Definition 4 If an affine subspace of dimension $r + 1 \leq n - 1$ through $\alpha \in N$ contains less than $q^r$ points of $U$, then it is called a deficient subspace. If it contains $q^r - t$ points of $U$, then its deficiency is $t$.

Corollary 5 Let $T \subseteq H_\infty$ be a subspace of dimension $r \leq n - 2$ containing $\alpha \in N$. Then there are precisely $\varepsilon$ deficient subspaces of dimension $r + 1$ (counted possibly with multiplicity) through $T$ (a subspace with deficiency $t$ is counted with multiplicity $t$).

In particular:
Corollary 6. There are precisely \( \varepsilon \) affine lines through \( \alpha \) not containing any point of \( U \) (and \( q^{n-1} - \varepsilon \) lines with 1 point of \( U \) each).

Now consider the set \( U = \{(1, a_1^1, a_2^1, a_3^1, \ldots, a_n^1) : i = 1, \ldots, q^{n-1} - \varepsilon \} \). We define its Rüdki polynomial as follows:

\[
R(X_0, X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{q^{n-1}-\varepsilon} (X_0 + a_1^i X_1 + a_2^i X_2 + \ldots + a_n^i X_n).
\]

The intersection properties of the set \( U \) with hyperplanes of \( \text{PG}(n, q) \) are translated into algebraic properties of the polynomial \( R \) as follows. Consider \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in \text{GF}(q) \), then \( x \in \text{GF}(q) \) is a root with multiplicity \( m \) of the equation \( R(X_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = 0 \) if and only if the hyperplane \([x, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]\) contains \( m \) points of \( U \).

Define the set \( S(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) = \{a_1^1 X_1 + a_2^1 X_2 + \ldots + a_n^1 X_n : i = 1, \ldots, q^{n-1} - \varepsilon\} \), then \( R \) can be written as

\[
R(X_0, X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) = \sum_{j=0}^{q^{n-1}-\varepsilon} \sigma^{q^{n-1}-\varepsilon-j}(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)X_0^j,
\]

where \( \sigma_j(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) \) is the \( j \)-th elementary symmetric polynomial of the set \( S(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) \).

Consider the subspace \( s_{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n} \subset H_\infty = [1, 0, \ldots, 0] \) of dimension \( n - 2 \) which is the intersection of the hyperplanes \([x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n], x_0 \in \text{GF}(q)\). Suppose that \( s_{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n} \) contains an undetermined direction then, by Lemma 3 each of the hyperplanes different from \( H_\infty \) through \( s_{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n} \) contains at most \( q^{n-2} \) points of \( U \). This implies that there are precisely \( \varepsilon \) such hyperplanes (counted with multiplicity) through \( s_{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n} \) containing less than \( q^{n-2} \) points of \( U \) (a hyperplane with deficiency \( t \) is counted with multiplicity \( t \)). Algebraically, this means that for the \((n-2)\)-dimensional subspace \( s_{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n} \),

\[
R(X_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)f(X_0) = (X_0^\varepsilon - X_0)^q^{n-2} \tag{1}
\]

where \( f(X_0) = X_0^\varepsilon + \sum_{k=1}^\varepsilon f_k X_0^{-k} \) is a fully reducible polynomial of degree \( \varepsilon \). Comparing the two sides of equation (1), one gets linear equations for the coefficients \( f_k \) of \( f \). In terms of the \( \sigma_j(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \), and it is easy to see that the solutions for each \( f_k \) are a polynomial expression in terms of the \( \sigma_j(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \), \( j = 1, \ldots, k \), use e.g. Cramer’s rule to solve the system of equations, and notice that the determinant in the denominator equals 1. The polynomial expression is independent from the elements \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \) (still under the assumption that \( s_{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n} \) does contain an undetermined direction), so we can change them for the variables \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \) which makes the coefficients \( f_k \) polynomials in these variables; then the total degree of each \( f_k(\sigma_j(X_1, \ldots, X_n) : j = 1, \ldots, n) \) is \( k \).

Hence, using the polynomial expressions \( f_k(\sigma_j) \), we can define the polynomial

\[
f(X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_n) = X_0^\varepsilon + \sum_{k=1}^\varepsilon f_k(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k)X_0^{-k} \tag{2}
\]

Clearly, \( f(X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_n) \) is a polynomial of total degree \( \varepsilon \), and, substituting \( X_i = x_i, i = 1, \ldots, n \) for which \( s_{x_1, \ldots, x_n} \) contains an undetermined direction,
yields the polynomial \( f(X_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) that splits completely into \( \varepsilon \) linear factors. Also, since \( f \) contains the term \( X_0^\varepsilon \), the point \((1, 0, \ldots, 0)\) is not a point of the hypersurface.

Suppose now that \( f = \prod_i \phi_i \), where the polynomials \( \phi_i(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \) are irreducible of degree \( \varepsilon_i \), \( \sum_i \varepsilon_i = \varepsilon \). Then each factor inherits the properties that (i) whenever the subspace \( s_{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n} \subset H_\infty \) of dimension \( n-2 \) contains an undetermined direction, then \( \phi_i(X_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \) splits into \( \varepsilon_i \) linear factors; and (ii) \((1, 0, \ldots, 0)\) is not a point of \( \phi_i \). So from now on we will think of \( f \) as an irreducible polynomial satisfying (i) and (ii).

\( f(X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_n) = 0 \) is an algebraic hypersurface in the dual space \( \mathrm{PG}(n, q) \). Our aim is to prove that it splits into \( \varepsilon \) hyperplanes, or (equivalently) that it contains a linear factor (i.e. a hyperplane; then we can decrease \( \varepsilon \) by one, etc.). Therefore, we state and prove a series of technical lemmas.

**Lemma 7** Let \( T \neq H_\infty \) be a deficient hyperplane through \( \alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in N \) (so \( T \) contains less than \( q^{n-2} \) points of \( U \)). Then in the dual space \( \mathrm{PG}(n, q) \), \( T \) corresponds to an intersection point \( t \) of \( f \) and the hyperplane \( [\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n] \).

**Proof.** If \( T = [x_0, x_2, \ldots, x_n] \) is a deficient hyperplane, then \( x_0 \) is a solution of the equation \( f(X_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = 0 \), hence, in the dual space \( \mathrm{PG}(n, q) \), \( t = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) is a point of \( f \). If \( T \) contains \( \alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in N \), then \( t \) is contained in the hyperplane \( [\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n] \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 8** Let \((\alpha) \in N\) be a non-determined direction. Then in the dual space \( \mathrm{PG}(n, q) \) the intersection of the hyperplane \([\alpha]\) and \( f \) is precisely the union of \( \varepsilon \) different subspaces of dimension \( n-2 \).

**Proof.** First notice that

If \((0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in H_\infty = [1, 0, \ldots, 0] \) is an undetermined direction, then for all the subspaces \( s_{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n} \subset H_\infty \) of dimension \( n-2 \) through \((0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_n)\) the polynomial \( f(X_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \) has precisely \( \varepsilon \) roots, counted with multiplicity.

translates to

In the hyperplane \([0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n] \ni (1, 0, \ldots, 0)\), all the lines through \((1, 0, \ldots, 0)\) intersect the surface \( f(X_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = 0 \) in precisely \( \varepsilon \) points, counted with intersection multiplicity.

Define \( \bar{f} \) as the surface of degree \( \bar{\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon \), which is the intersection of \( f \) and the hyperplane \([0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n]\). We know that all the lines through \((1, 0, \ldots, 0)\) intersect \( \bar{f} \) in precisely \( \bar{\varepsilon} \) points (counted with intersection multiplicity). So if \( \bar{f} = \prod_i \bar{\phi}_i \), where \( \bar{\phi}_i \) is irreducible of degree \( \bar{\varepsilon}_i \), and \( \sum_i \bar{\varepsilon}_i = \bar{\varepsilon} \), then we have that all the lines through \((1, 0, \ldots, 0)\) intersect \( \bar{\phi}_i \) in precisely \( \bar{\varepsilon}_i \) points (counted with intersection multiplicity).

By Corollary 6 we know that there are precisely \( \varepsilon \) different affine lines through the non-determined direction \((\alpha)\) not containing any point of \( U \). In the dual space \( \mathrm{PG}(n, q) \) these lines correspond to \( \varepsilon \) different subspaces of dimension \( n-2 \) contained in the hyperplane \([\alpha]\). The deficient hyperplanes through these \( \varepsilon \) original lines correspond to the points of the subspaces in the dual. Hence by
Lemma 7 all points of these subspaces are in \( f \), which means that in \([\alpha]\) there are \( \varepsilon \) different subspaces of dimension \( n - 2 \) totally contained in \( f \). \( \square \)

Now we prove a lemma, which is interesting for its own sake as well.

**Lemma 9** Let \( f(X_0, \ldots, X_n) \) be a homogeneous polynomial of degree \( d < q \). Suppose that there are \( n - 1 \) independent concurrent lines \( \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{n-1} \) through the point \( P \) in \( \text{PG}(n,q) \) totally contained in the hypersurface \( f = 0 \). Then the hyperplane spanned by \( \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{n-1} \) is a tangent hyperplane of \( f \).

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, let \( P = (1,0,0,\ldots,0) \) and \( \ell_i \) be the “axis” \( \langle P, (1,0,0,\ldots,0,1,0,\ldots,0) \rangle, i = 1, \ldots, n - 1 \). We want to prove that the hyperplane \( x_\alpha = 0 \), i.e. \([0,\ldots,0,1]\) is tangent to \( f \) at \( P \).

Firstly, observe that \( \partial_{X_\alpha}f(P) = 0 \) as \( f \) has no term of type \( X_0^d \) since \( f(P) = 0 \).

Now we prove that \( \partial_{X_i}f(P) = 0 \) for all \( i = 1, \ldots, n - 1 \). As \( f \) vanishes on \( \ell_i \), we have \( f(sX_1,0,\ldots,0,X_i,0,\ldots,0) = 0 \) for all substitutions to \( s \) and \( X_1 \). As \( f(sX_1,0,\ldots,0,X_i,0,\ldots,0) = X_i^d f_0(s) \) for some \( f_0 \) with \( \deg f_0 \leq d < q \), we have \( f_0 \equiv 0 \). In particular, \( f_0 \) has no term of degree \( d - 1 \), so \( f \) has no term of type \( X_0^{d-1}X_i \). Hence \( \partial_{X_i}f(1,0,0,\ldots,0) = 0 \). \( \square \)

**Corollary 10** Let \( f(X_0, \ldots, X_n) \) be a homogeneous polynomial of degree \( d < q \). Suppose that in \( \text{PG}(n,q) \) the intersection of a hyperplane \( H \) and the hypersurface \( f = 0 \) contains two complete subspaces of dimension \( n - 2 \). Then \( H \) is a tangent hyperplane of \( f \).

**Proof.** Choose a point \( P \) in the intersection of the two subspaces of dimension \( n - 2 \), the lines \( \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{n-2} \) through \( P \) in one of the subspaces and \( \ell_{n-1} \) through \( P \) in the other such that \( \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{n-1} \) be independent and apply Lemma 9. \( \square \)

**Corollary 11** If \( (\alpha) = (0,\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n) \in N \subset H_\infty \) is a non-determined direction, then (in the dual space) the hyperplane \([\alpha]\) is a tangent hyperplane of \( f \). Note that \([\alpha]\) contains \((1,0,\ldots,0)\).

Now we generalize Theorem 2.

**Theorem 12** Let \( n \geq 3 \). Let \( U \subset \text{AG}(n,q) \subset \text{PG}(n,q) \), \(|U| = q^{n-1} - 2 \). Let \( D \subset H_\infty \) be the set of directions determined by \( U \) and put \( N = H_\infty \setminus D \) the set of non-determined directions. Then \( U \) can be extended to a set \( \hat{U} \supset U \), \(|\hat{U}| = q^{n-1} \) determining the same directions only, or the points of \( N \) are collinear and \(|N| \leq \left[ \frac{q+3}{2} \right] \), or the points of \( N \) are on a (planar) conic curve.

**Proof.** Let \( n \geq 3 \). The hypersurface \( f = 0 \) is a quadric in the projective space \( \text{PG}(n,q) \). We will investigate the hyperplanes through the point \((1,0,\ldots,0)\) that meet \( f = 0 \) in exactly two \((n - 2)\)-dimensional subspaces. If the quadric \( f = 0 \) contains \((n - 2)\)-dimensional subspaces, then either \( n = 3 \) and the quadric is hyperbolic, or the quadric must be singular, since \([ (n - 1)/2 \] is an upper bound for the dimension of the generators. If \( f = 0 \) contains 2 hyperplanes, then \( f = 0 \) is the product of two linear factors, counted with multiplicity. But then, by our remark before Lemma 7 the set \( U \) can be extended. Hence, if we suppose that the set \( U \) cannot be extended, the quadric \( f = 0 \) contains
\(D \subseteq \mathbb{N}\) of non-determined directions. Then

\[\varepsilon\]

Proof. We proceed as before: we define the Rédei polynomial of

Theorem 13

Let \(\varepsilon < p\).

Proof. We proceed as before: we define the Rédei polynomial of \(U\), then we calculate \(f(X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3)\) of degree \(\varepsilon\).

Finally we realize that for each triple \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\), if \((0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in N \subset H_{\infty}\) is an undetermined direction then the plane \([0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma]\), which apparently goes through the point \((1, 0, 0, 0)\), is a tangent plane of \(f\).

The tangent planes of \(f\) are of the form

\[\partial_{X_0} f(a, b, c, d), \partial_{X_1} f(a, b, c, d), \partial_{X_2} f(a, b, c, d), \partial_{X_3} f(a, b, c, d)\]

where \((a, b, c, d)\) is a smooth point of \(f\), and there are some others going through points of \(f\) where \(\partial_{X_0} f = \partial_{X_1} f = \partial_{X_2} f = \partial_{X_3} f = 0\). For planes of both type
containing \((1,0,0,0)\) we have \(\partial_{X_0} f(a,b,c,d) = 0\), so we get that the triples \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\), with \((0,\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \in H_\infty\) being an undetermined direction, correspond to tangent planes \([0,\alpha,\beta,\gamma]\) of \(f\) in points \((a,b,c,d)\) which belong to the intersection of \(f\) and \(\partial_{X_0} f\), which is a space curve \(\mathcal{C}\) of degree \(\varepsilon(\varepsilon - 1)\). Projecting these tangent planes from \((1,0,0,0)\) (which all they contain) onto a (fixed) plane we get that in that plane the projected images \([\alpha,\beta,\gamma]\) are tangent lines of the projected image \(\hat{\mathcal{C}}\), which is a plane curve of degree \(\varepsilon(\varepsilon - 1)\). So we get that the undetermined directions are contained in a plane curve of degree \(\varepsilon(\varepsilon - 1)\left(\varepsilon(\varepsilon - 1) - 1\right) = \varepsilon^4 - 2\varepsilon^3 + \varepsilon\). □

To reach the total strength of this theory, we would like to use an argument stating that it is a “very rare” situation that in \(\text{PG}(n,q)\) a hypersurface \(f = 0\) with \(d = \deg f > 2\) admits a hyperplane \(H\) such that the intersection of \(H\) and the hypersurface splits into \(d\) linear factors, i.e. \((n-2)\)-dimensional subspaces (Totally Reducible Intersection, TRI hyperplane). We conjecture the following.

**Conjecture 14** Let \(f(X_0, X_1, ..., X_n)\) be a homogeneous irreducible polynomial of degree \(d > 2\) and let \(F\) be the hypersurface in \(\text{PG}(n,q)\) determined by \(f = 0\). Then the number of TRI hyperplanes to \(F\) is “small” or \(F\) is a cone with a low dimensional base.

By small we mean the existence of a function (upper bound) \(r(d,n)\), which is independent from \(q\); although we would not be surprised if even a constant upper bound, for instance \(r(d,n) = 45\) would hold in general. By a low dimensional base of a cone we mean an at most 3-dimensional base.

We remark finally that such a result would immediately imply extendability of direction sets \(U\) under very general conditions.

### 3 An application

A (finite) **partial geometry**, introduced by Bose [3], is an incidence structure \(S = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, I)\) in which \(\mathcal{P}\) and \(\mathcal{B}\) are disjoint non-empty sets of objects called points and lines (respectively), and for which \(I \subseteq (\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{B}) \cup (\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{P})\) is a symmetric point-line incidence relation satisfying the following axioms:

(i) Each point is incident with \(1 + t\) lines \((t \geq 1)\) and two distinct points are incident with at most one line.

(ii) Each line is incident with \(1 + s\) points \((s \geq 1)\) and two distinct lines are incident with at most one point.

(iii) There exists a fixed integer \(\alpha > 0\), such that if \(x\) is a point and \(L\) is a line not incident with \(x\), then there are exactly \(\alpha\) pairs \((y_i, M_i) \in \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{B}\) for which \(x I M_i I y_i I L\).

The integers \(s, t\) and \(\alpha\) are the parameters of \(S\). The dual \(S^D\) of a partial geometry \(S = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, I)\) is the incidence structure \((\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{P}, I)\). It is a partial geometry with parameters \(s^D = t, t^D = s, \alpha^D = \alpha\).

If \(S\) is a partial geometry with parameters \(s, t\) and \(\alpha\), then \(|\mathcal{P}| = (s+1)\frac{(st+\alpha)}{\alpha}\) and \(|\mathcal{B}| = (t+1)\frac{(st+\alpha)}{\alpha}\). (see e.g. [11]). A partial geometry with parameters \(s, t\) and \(\alpha = 1\), is a **generalized quadrangle** of order \((s,t)\), [14].
To describe a class of partial geometries of our interest, we need special pointsets in PG(2, q). An arc of degree d of a projective plane Π of order s is a set K of points such that every line of Π meets K in at most d points. If K contains k points, than it is also called a \( \{k, d\} \)-arc. The size of an arc of degree d can not exceed \( ds - s + d \). A \( \{k, d\} \)-arc K for which \( k = ds - s + d \), or equivalently, such that every line that meets K, meets K in exactly d points, is called maximal. We call a \( \{1, 1\} \)-arc and a \( \{s^2, s\} \)-arc trivial. The latter is necessarily the set of \( s^2 \) points of Π not on a chosen line.

A typical example, in PG(2, q), is a conic, which is a \( \{q + 1, 2\} \)-arc, which is not maximal, and it is well known that if q is even, a conic, together with its nucleus, is a \( \{q + 2, 2\} \)-arc, which is maximal. We mention that a \( \{q + 1, 2\} \)-arc in PG(2, q) is also called an oval, and a \( \{q + 2, 2\} \)-arc in PG(2, q) is also called a hyperoval. When q is odd, all ovals are conics, and no \( \{q + 2, 2\} \)-arcs exist. When q is even, every oval has a nucleus, and so can be extended to a hyperoval. Much more examples of hyperovals, different from a conic and its nucleus, are known, see e.g. [10]. We mention the following two general theorems on \( \{k, d\} \)-arcs.

**Theorem 15 ([5])** Let K be a \( \{ds - s + d, d\} \)-arc in a projective plane of order s. Then the set of lines external to K is a \( \{s(s - d + 1)/d, s/d\} \)-arc in the dual plane.

As a consequence, \( d \mid s \) is a necessary condition for the existence of maximal \( \{k, d\} \)-arcs in a projective plane of order s. The results for the Desarguesian plane PG(2, q) are much stronger. Denniston [13] showed that this condition is sufficient for the existence of maximal \( \{k, d\} \)-arcs in PG(2, q), q even. Blokhuis, Ball and Mazzocca [2] showed that non-trivial maximal \( \{k, d\} \)-arcs in PG(2, q) do not exist when q is odd. Hence, the existence of maximal arcs in PG(2, q) can be summarized in the following theorem.

**Theorem 16** Non-trivial maximal \( \{k, d\} \)-arcs in PG(2, q) exist if and only if q is even.

Several infinite families and constructions of maximal \( \{k, d\} \)-arcs of PG(2, q), \( q = 2^h \), and \( d = 2^e \), \( 1 \leq e \leq h \), are known. We refer to [10] for an overview.

Let q be even and let K be a maximal \( \{k, d\} \)-arc of PG(2, q). We define the incidence structure \( T_2^\star(K) \) as follows. Embed PG(2, q) as a hyperplane \( H_\infty \) in PG(3, q). The points of \( S \) are the points of \( PG(3, q) \setminus H_\infty \). The lines of \( S \) are the lines of PG(3, q) not contained in \( H_\infty \), and meeting \( H_\infty \) in a point of K. The incidence is the natural incidence of PG(3, q). One easily checks that \( T_2^\star(K) \) is a partial geometry with parameters \( s = q - 1 \), \( t = k - 1 = (d - 1)(q + 1) \), and \( \alpha = d - 1 \).

An oval of a partial geometry \( S = (P, E, I) \) is a set \( O \) of points of \( S \), such that every line of \( S \) meets \( O \) in exactly one point. Necessarily, an oval contains \( \frac{ds}{\alpha} + 1 \) points. Different examples of partial geometries exist, and some of them have no ovoids, see e.g. [9]. The partial geometry \( T_2^\star(K) \) has always an oval. Consider any plane \( \pi \neq H_\infty \) meeting \( H_\infty \) in a line skew to K. The plane \( \pi \) then contains \( \frac{ds}{\alpha} + 1 = q^2 \) points of \( S \), and clearly every line of \( S \) meets \( \pi \) in exactly one point.

It is a natural stability question to investigate extendability of point sets of size slightly smaller than the size of an oval. In this case, the question is
whether a set of points $B$, with the property that every line meets $B$ in at most one point, can be extended to an ovoid if $|B| = q^2 - \varepsilon$, and $\varepsilon$ is not too big. Such a point set $B$ is called a partial ovoid, $\varepsilon$ its deficiency, and it is called maximal if it cannot be extended. The following theorem is from [14] and deals with this question in general for GQs, i.e. for $\alpha = 1$.

**Theorem 17** Consider a GQ of order $(s, t)$. Any partial ovoid of size $(st - \rho)$, with $0 \leq \rho < t/s$ is contained in a uniquely defined ovoid.

For some particular GQs, extendability beyond the given bound is known. For other GQs, no better bound is known, or examples of maximal partial ovoids reaching the upper bound, are known. For an overview, we refer to [8].

Applied to the GQ $T^*_2(H)$, $H$ a hyperoval of PG(2, $q$), Theorem 17 yields that a partial ovoid of $T^*_2(H)$ of size $q^2 - 2$ can always be extended. The proof of Theorem 17 is of combinatorial nature, and can be generalized to study partial ovoids of partial geometries. However, for the partial geometries $T^*_2(K)$ with $\alpha \geq 2$, such an approach only yields extendability of partial ovoids with deficiency one. In the context of this paper, we can study extendability of partial ovoids of the partial geometry $T^*_2(K)$ as a direction problem. Indeed, if a set of points $B$ is a (partial) ovoid, then no two points of $B$ determine a line of the partial geometry $T^*_2(K)$. Hence the projective line determined by two points of $B$ must not contain a point of $K$, in other words, the set of points $B$ is a set of affine points, not determining the points of $K$ at infinity.

Considering a partial ovoid $B$ of size $q^2 - 2$, we can apply Theorem 12. Clearly, the non-determined directions, which contain the points of $K$, do not satisfy the conditions when $B$ is not extendable. Hence, we immediately have the following corollary.

**Corollary 18** Let $B$ be a partial ovoid of size $q^2 - 2$ of the partial geometry $T^*_2(K)$, then $B$ is always extendable to an ovoid.

This result is the same as Theorem 17 for the GQ $T^*_2(H)$, $H$ a hyperoval of PG(2, $q$), $q > 2$.
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