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Abstract

Supramolecular protein assemblies play fundamental roles in biological processes ranging from host-pathogen interaction, viral infection to the propagation of neurodegenerative disorders. Such assemblies consist in multiple protein subunits organized in a non-covalent way to form large macromolecular objects that can execute a variety of cellular functions or cause detrimental consequences. Atomic insights into the assembly mechanisms and the functioning of those macromolecular assemblies remain often scarce since their inherent insolubility and non-crystallinity often drastically reduces the quality of the data obtained from most techniques used in structural biology, such as X-ray crystallography and solution Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR). We here present magic-angle spinning solid-state NMR spectroscopy (SSNMR) as a powerful method to investigate structures of macromolecular assemblies at atomic resolution. SSNMR can reveal atomic details on the assembled complex without size and solubility limitations. The protocol presented here describes the essential steps from the production of 15N/13C isotope-labeled macromolecular protein assemblies to the acquisition of standard SSNMR spectra and their analysis and interpretation. As an example, we show the pipeline of a SSNMR structural analysis of a filamentous protein assembly.

Video Link

The video component of this article can be found at https://www.jove.com/video/55779/

Introduction

Advances in magic-angle spinning solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (SSNMR) offer an efficient tool for the structural characterization of macromolecular protein assemblies at an atomic resolution. These protein assemblies are ubiquitous systems that play essential roles in many biological processes. Their molecular structures, interactions and dynamics are accessible by SSNMR studies, as has been shown for viral (capsids1,2) and bacterial infection mechanisms (secretion systems3,4,5, pilis6), membrane protein complexes7,8 and functional amyloids.9,10,11. This type of molecular assembly can also provoke pathologies such as in neurodegenerative diseases where proteins assemble in misfolded, amyloid states and cause aberrant cell behavior or cell death.12,13 Protein assemblies are often built by the symmetric oligomerization of multiples copies of protein subunits into large supramolecular objects of various shapes including fibrils, filaments, pores, tubes, or nanoparticles. The quaternary architecture is defined by weak interactions between protein subunits to organize the spatial and temporal assembly and to allow for sophisticated biological functions. Structural investigations at an atomic scale on these assemblies are a challenge for high-resolution techniques since their intrinsic insolubility and very often their non-crystallinity restricts the use of conventional X-ray crystallography or solution NMR approaches. Magic-angle spinning (MAS) SSNMR is an emerging technique to obtain atomic resolution data on insoluble macromolecular assemblies and has proven its ability to resolve 3D atomic models for an increasing number of complex biomolecular systems including bacterial filaments, amyloid assemblies and viral particles14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22. Technical advances on high magnetic fields, methodological developments and sample preparation has established MAS SSNMR into a robust method to investigate insoluble proteins in various environments, notably in their biologically-relevant macromolecular assembled state or in cellular membranes, making the technique highly complementary to cryo-electron microscopy. In many cases, a very high degree of symmetry characterizes such protein assemblies. MAS SSNMR exploits this feature, as all protein subunits in a homomolecular assembly would have the same local structure and therefore virtually the same SSNMR signature, drastically reducing the complexity of the analysis.

An efficient protocol for structural studies of macromolecular protein assemblies by moderate MAS (<25 kHz) SSNMR is presented in this video and can be subdivided into different steps (Figure 1). We will demonstrate the critical stages of the workflow of a SSNMR structural study exemplified on a filamentous protein assembly (see highlighted steps in Figure 1), with the exception of protein subunit purification, differing for each protein assembly but of critical importance for structural studies, and without going into the technical/methodological details of SSNMR spectroscopy and structure calculation for what specialized tutorials are available online. While the present protocol will primarily focus on solid-state NMR experiments performed under MAS conditions, the use of aligned biological environments 3,24,25,26,27, such as aligned biciples, allow for the investigation of protein conformation and dynamic protein-protein interaction in membrane-like media without MAS technology. We will show the protein expression and assembly steps as well as the recording of the crucial SSNMR spectra and their analysis and interpretation.
Our aim is to provide insights into the structural analysis pipeline enabling the reader to perform an atomic-resolution structural study of a macromolecular assembly by SSNMR techniques.

The protocol encompasses 3 sections:

1. **Solid-state NMR sample production**

As a prerequisite to a solid-state NMR analysis, the protein components of the macromolecular assembly need to be expressed, isotope-labeled, purified and assembled in vitro into the native-like complex state (for an example see Figure 2). To ensure high NMR sensitivity, isotope enrichment in $^{13}\text{C}$ and $^{15}\text{N}$ labeling is required through the use of minimal bacterial expression media supplemented with $^{13}\text{C}$ and $^{15}\text{N}$ sources, such as uniformly $^{13}\text{C}$-labeled glucose/glycerol and $^{15}\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ respectively. In the later stage of the protocol, selectively $^{13}\text{C}$-labeled samples produced with selectively $^{13}\text{C}$-labeled sources such as (1,3-$^{13}\text{C}$)- and (2-$^{13}\text{C}$)-glycerol (or (1-$^{13}\text{C}$)- and (2-$^{13}\text{C}$)-glucose) are used to facilitate the NMR analysis. Mixed labeled sample corresponding to an equimolar mixture of either 50% $^{15}\text{N}$- and 50% $^{13}\text{C}$-labeled or 50% (1,3-$^{13}\text{C}$)- and 50% (2-$^{13}\text{C}$)-glucose are introduced to describe the detection of intermolecular interactions. A high degree of protein purity as well as rigorous conditions during the assembly step are key factors to insure a homogeneous structural order of the final sample.

2. **Preliminary structural characterization based on one-dimensional (1D) solid-state NMR**

We present the essential experiments for a structural analysis by SSNMR. One-dimensional (1D) cross-polarization (CP) and INEPT / RINEPT$^{28}$ experiments, detected on $^{13}\text{C}$ nuclei are used to detect rigid and flexible protein segments in the assembly, respectively, and to estimate the degree of structural homogeneity and local polymorphism (for an example see Figure 3).

3. **Conformational analysis and 3D structure determination**

Subsections 1 and 2 concern the conformational analysis, which is based on the SSNMR resonance assignment of all rigid residues of the protein assembly, as the chemical shifts are very sensitive probes to the local environment and can be used to predict the phi/psi dihedral angles and thereby determine the secondary structure. Figure 4 illustrates an example of a sequential resonance assignment in the rigid core of a protein assembly. The 3D structure determination is based on the collection of structural data such as distance restraints encoding close proximities (<7 - 9 Å), containing both intra- and intermolecular information. Subsections 3 and 4 describe long-range distant restraint collection and interpretation. Long-range contacts are defined as intramolecular $^{13}\text{C}$-$^{13}\text{C}$ proximities arising from residue i to j, with |i-j| ≥4, defining thereby the tertiary protein fold of the monomeric subunit, or as intermolecular $^{13}\text{C}$-$^{13}\text{C}$ proximities, defining the intermolecular interfaces between protein subunits in the assembly. Intra- and intermolecular interfaces are illustrated in Figure 5. SSNMR restraints detected through $^{13}\text{C}$-$^{13}\text{C}$ and $^{15}\text{N}$-$^{13}\text{C}$ recoupling experiments usually encode for internuclear distances < 1 nm. Subsection 4 explains the detection of intermolecular distance restraints. In symmetrical protein assemblies, the use of homogeneously labeled samples (i.e. 100% uniformly or selectively labeled) for identifying intermolecular subunit-subunit interactions is limited, as both intra- and inter-molecular contacts lead to detectable signals. The unambiguous detection of intermolecular proximities is achieved by using mixed labeled samples, containing an equimolar mixture of two differentially labeled samples, combined prior to aggregation. Subsection 5 briefly introduces structure modeling.
Protocol

1. **Solid-state NMR sample production**

1. **Production of uniformly $^{13}$C/$^{15}$N-labeled protein subunits**
   1. Use freshly transformed, pET24-peptide-6his plasmid-containing *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells, harvest them from the prepared agar plate.
   2. Incubate a 15 mL pre-culture of pre-warmed LB medium with one colony. Incubate at 37 °C with 200 rpm shaking overnight.
   3. Transfer the pre-culture into 1 L of the main culture of pre-warmed M9 medium (see composition in Table 1), containing the required isotope-labeled carbon and nitrogen sources.

   **NOTE:** This can be uniformly $^{12}$C-glucose, selectively (1-$^{13}$C)- or (2-$^{13}$C)-labeled glucose $^{29,30,31}$ or (1,3-$^{12}$C)- or (2,3-$^{13}$C)-labeled glycerol. $^{2,33}$
   4. Incubate this at 37 °C and measure the OD$_{600}$ as soon as the culture becomes turbid. When the OD$_{600}$ has reached a value of 0.8, induce protein expression with 0.75 mM IPTG for 4 h.

   **NOTE:** The optimal induction conditions can vary from one protein to another.
   5. Recover the cells by centrifugation for 30 min at 6000 x g and 4 °C.

2. **Purification sketch** (not shown in the video protocol)
   1. Lyse cells using standard techniques such as sonication or lysozyme treatment and purify the protein subunit using techniques established or adapted for the investigated protein assembly.

   **NOTE:** The protein can be expressed in inclusion bodies, check for the protein localization by cell lysis and subsequent centrifugation.
   If the protein is found in the sediment with the cell debris, it has been accumulated in inclusion bodies.
   2. Test expression and purity of the protein sample for example by a standard 12-15% Tris-Tricine SDS-PAGE, see Figure 2A. If the purity is sufficient, the protein can be assembled, otherwise perform a supplementary purification step.

3. **In vitro assembly of the protein filaments**
   1. Concentrate the protein to a concentration of 1 mM in a centrifugal filter unit. Introduce the sample into the centrifugal filter unit and centrifuge at 4000 x g for 30 min, mix the solution in the filter unit gently with a pipet to avoid protein deposition at the filter. Repeat the procedure until the desired concentration is reached.

   **NOTE:** The optimal assembly concentration depends on the system and needs to be optimized (usually between 0.1 - 1 mM). If an equimolar mixed labeled sample of two different labeled protein batches is prepared (*e.g.* (50/50) (U-$^{13}$C)-(U-$^{15}$N)-/(U-$^{12}$C)-labeled), mixing must take place either before or right after the concentration step to ensure homogenization of the mixed solution.
   4. Transfer the sample into a tube and incubate it under agitation for one week at room temperature.
   5. Usually the polymerization of the subunits into filaments is accompanied by the solution becoming turbid. Check the microscopic fibril morphology and homogeneity for example by electron microscopy (magnification 6300X - 45000X), see Figure 2B.

4. **Solid-state NMR rotor filling**
   1. Centrifuge twice for 30 min at 22000 x g and 4 °C. Remove maximum amount of the supernatant; the resulting sample consistency depends on the protein assembly, and is usually a gel-like deposit.
   2. Use a capillary pipet to insert the sample into the SSSNMR rotor.

   **NOTE:** Here, we present the procedure on a 4 mm diameter rotor.
   1. Centrifuge the rotor on a table centrifuge to gently introduce the sample into the rotor. Repeat the procedure until the rotor is filled up. Keep minimum space to close the rotor with the rotor cap. If the sample quantity is not sufficient, introduce a commercially available insert to fill up the remaining space to ensure the sample distribution homogeneity in the rotor.

   **NOTE:** Dependent on the assembled sample consistency, it might be more adequate to use a thin spatula, especially for very dense samples. Rotors with diameters of 2.5 to 4 mm are used at moderate MAS frequencies.
   3. Add traces of 4,4-dimethyl-4-silapentane-1-sulfonic acid (DSS) for internal chemical shift and temperature calibration.
   4. Close the cap with a closing device.
   5. Check under a magnifier if the cap is well inserted and completely closed.
2. Preliminary structural characterization based on one-dimensional (1D) solid-state NMR

1. Initial set-up and 1D cross-polarization (CP)
   1. Insert the rotor into the NMR magnet
   2. Start to spin the rotor at a frequency of 5 kHz and wait for a stabilization of ±10 Hz, then accelerate until 7 kHz. Tune and match $^1$H, $^{13}$C and $^1$H-$^1$H channels. Set the temperature to 2-10 °C (275-283 K); sample heating at 7 kHz MAS is low and temperature adjustment is usually not required at this MAS frequency.
   3. Record a single-pulsed 1D $^1$H spectrum using 16 scans. NOTE: Power levels must have previously been optimized on a reference compound (such as a $^{13}$C/$^1$H histidine or glycine), to provide starting values for optimizing the power levels in the experiments on the target sample; this procedure is a routine task and therefore out of scope in this protocol.
   4. Keep the temperature during all experiments between 2-10 °C, in hydrated samples the temperature is reflected in the relative shift of the bulk water $^1$H resonance with respect to the DSS signal. Measure the temperature following the relationship $\delta(H_2O) = 7.83 - T/96.9$ with a precision of 1 - 2 °C.
   5. Set up the desired MAS frequency and wait until stabilization of ±10 Hz.
   6. Tune and match $^1$H and $^{13}$C channels again and adjust the temperature with the help of a 1D $^1$H experiment.
   7. Set up a 1D $^1$H-$^{13}$C CP. NOTE: CP experiments show the signals arising from residues in a rigid conformation. Pulse calibration and decoupling parameters can be directly optimized on the sample when the sensitivity is high enough to observe signals after less than ~32 scans. The initial optimization values are taken over from the standard optimization on a reference compound. CP contact time and power levels are chosen based on maximal signal intensity. In protein samples, the optimal CP contact time is usually between 200 µs and 2 ms. The decoupling parameters should also be re-adjusted from the calibration on a reference compound.
   1. Carefully observe the localization of spinning sidebands at the given MAS frequency.
   8. Record a reference 1D $^{13}$C CP spectrum that serves as fingerprint for the mobile protein segments; typical parameters are 128 scans, 1 ms CP contact time, 100 kHz decoupling strength, a recycle delay of 3 s and 25 ms of acquisition.
   9. Calibrate the $^1$H and $^{13}$C chemical shifts following the IUPAC recommendations. Determine the resonance frequency of the $^1$H DSS signal (chemical shift of 0 ppm); $^{13}$C chemical shifts are referenced to $^1$H shifts (usually ~0.25144, derived from the ratio of the $^{13}$C to the $^1$H resonance frequency).
   10. Process the 1D $^1$H-$^{13}$C CP experiment without apodization function (see Figure 3A and B for the detection on a homogeneously well-ordered protein assembly). Choose an isolated peak to estimate the linewidth in the sample, indicative of structural order and homogeneity. Typical $^{13}$C linewidths for well-ordered biological protein assemblies under MAS at high magnetic fields range between 20 - 150 Hz (measured as the full-width at half-height (FWHH)).
   11. Estimate the signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio in the CP spectrum. NOTE: The S/N ratio depends on many factors, including mainly the amount of sample in the rotor, the degree of rigidity of the protein structure and the presence of a single molecular conformation. As a rule of thumb, a sample should be suitable for multidimensional SSNMR spectroscopy if a signal is observed in an optimized 1D $^1$H-$^{13}$C CP spectrum recorded with 64 scans.

2. 1D INEPT experiment
   1. Set up a 1D $^1$H-$^{13}$C INEPT experiment to probe highly mobile parts (sub-µs) of the protein assembly. NOTE: GARP decoupling of a few kHz during acquisition is commonly used, to allow for short interscan delays (typically 1 s) without damaging the probe.
   2. Record a reference INEPT spectrum that serves as fingerprint for the mobile protein segments; typical parameters are 128 scans and an acquisition time of 25 ms.

Figure 2: Representative results for protein subunit purification and assembly. A) 15% Tris-tricine SDS-PAGE of protein subunit (including His$_6$-tag) at different stages of purification. Lane 1 - Protein molecular weight marker; lane 2 - E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells uninduced control; lane 3 - E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells induced with 0.75 mM IPTG; lane 4 - solubilized inclusion bodies lane 5 - supernatant fractions of cell lysate; lane 6 - purified fraction after Nickel immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) FPLC and desalting. B) Negatively stained protein fibrils by TEM imaging. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.
3. Process the $^1$H-$^{13}$C INEPT experiment. The amount and the positions of the signals are indicative of the amount of mobile residues and the amino acid composition respectively.

NOTE: Also record a 2D $^1$H-$^{13}$C INEPT experiment if signals are present in the 1D INEPT spectrum (see Figure 3C for an example) to permit a more specific amino acid identification. In ambiguous assignment cases, we recommend checking the buffer component signal positions by solution NMR.

4. Use the BMRB database and published data to assign the resonances to their corresponding amino acid in near random coil conformation; the spectrum contains only mobile buffer components if no residues are in a highly mobile regime.

---

Figure 3: Representative results of SSNMR spectra acquisition for a well-structured protein assembly. A) $^{13}$C-detected FID of a $^1$H-$^{13}$C cross-polarization experiment. B) $^1$H-$^{13}$C cross-polarization experiment. C) $^1$H-$^{13}$C INEPT experiment of a rigid protein assembly; only buffer components are visible. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.

3. Conformational analysis and 3D structure determination

1. Sequential resonance assignment

   1. Set up a 2D $^{13}$C-$^{13}$C proton-driven spin diffusion (PDS) experiment to detect intra-residue $^{13}$C-$^{13}$C correlations, including side chains. Take the over the values for the initial $^1$H-$^{13}$C cross-polarization step from the 1D $^1$H-$^{13}$C CP experiment.

      1. Set the mixing time to 50 ms for uniformly $^{13}$C-labeled samples and to 100 ms for selectively $^{13}$C-labeled samples. Set the indirect acquisition time between 5 - 25 ms and the direct acquisition between 15-25 ms dependent on the intrinsic spectral resolution, which can be estimated by the visible signal in the Free Induction Decay (FID) length (exemplified in Figure 3A).

      2. Test several processing parameters to find optimal values with respect to signal-to-noise and resolution in the spectrum, typically adequate processing can be achieved using a sine window function with a sine bell shift (SSB) of 2.5-4.

   2. Set up, record and process a 2D $^{13}$C-$^{13}$C PDS with an intermediate mixing time experiment to detect sequential $^{13}$C-$^{13}$C correlations connecting mostly i - i±1, but also i±2 and i±3 residues, depending on protein backbone rigidity and secondary structure elements. The mixing time should be set between 100-200 ms for uniformly labeled samples. All other values can be taken over from the short mixing time 2D $^{13}$C-$^{13}$C PDS.
3. Set up, record and process a 2D $^{15}$N-$^{13}$C NCA and NCO$_1$ experiment using a CP $^1$H-$^{15}$N and a specific CP $^{15}$N-$^{13}$C transfer$^{42}$. Optimize the $^{15}$N-$^{13}$C polarization transfer in a 1D fashion directly on the sample of interest, based on maximal intensity in the CA or carbonyl region, respectively. Typical values for the specific CP contact time are between 2-6 ms.

4. Set up, record and process a series of 2D $^{15}$N-$^{13}$C-$^{15}$N experiments for the assignment purpose.

Note: The first $^{15}$N-$^{13}$C polarization transfer parameter value can be taken over from the NCA / NCO experiments. Intra-residue correlations are established from N(CA)CB, and N(CA)CO, using respectively DREAM$^{43}$ and PDSD $^{13}$C-$^{15}$C polarization transfers. The residue (i) to residue (i-1) sequential connectivity is obtained from N(CO$_i$)/CA$_{i+1}$ and N(CO$_i$)/CX$_i$ experiments, both using a PDSD $^{13}$C-$^{15}$C polarization transfer.

5. Choose an NMR analysis program such as CcpNmr analysis$^{44}$ or SPARKY$^{45}$.

6. Load the 2D spectra into the software (exemplified with CcpNmr analysis) and load the primary protein sequence.

7. Start with the identification of the amino acid types visible in the short-mixing $^{13}$C-$^{15}$C PDSD spectrum. Connect the carbon atoms of the spin systems to allow for the “residue-type” specific assignment; see Figure 4A for the assignment of a Threonine residue. Identify as many residues as possible; this will depend on the protein subunit size, the spectral resolution and dispersion.

8. Overlay the short-mixing with the intermediate-mixing $^{13}$C-$^{15}$C PDSD spectrum.

NOTE: The supplementary peaks visible in the intermediate-mixing PDSD arise mostly from sequential (residue i - residue i±1) contacts. An example for a two-residue sequential assignment is illustrated in Figure 4B.

1. Mark the resonance peaks of a spin system and find correlations in the intermediate-mixing PDSD with resonance frequencies of other spin systems. In small proteins or peptides, it can be possible to achieve the entire sequential resonance assignment based on 2D $^{13}$C-$^{15}$C PDSD experiments.

NOTE: In β-strand secondary structure motifs residue i - residue i±2 $^{13}$C-$^{15}$C contacts might show more intense signals than sequential contacts due to their proximity in space; in α-helical secondary structure motifs residue i - residue i±3 $^{13}$C-$^{15}$C contacts can also lead to intense signals.

9. If intermediate-mixing PDSD experiments on selectively $^{13}$C-labeled samples are available, overlay them onto the short-mixing spectrum (if available on the selectively $^{13}$C-labeled sample) and assign the supplemental peaks, taking into account the selective $^{13}$C labeling pattern (1,3-$^{13}$C and 2-$^{15}$C glycerol$^{32,33}$ or 1-$^{15}$C and 2-$^{13}$C glucose$^{29,30,31}$).

NOTE: For example, in a 2-$^{15}$C glycerol labeled sample several amino acid types are labeled on the Ca position without the adjacent carbons (Cβ and C') labeled, therefore favoring the Ca-Ca transfer between adjacent residues. In selectively labeled samples, long-range $^{15}$C-$^{13}$C correlations might build up already in intermediate-mixing $^{13}$C-$^{15}$C PDSD experiments. If a peak cannot be explained by a sequential correlation, it could arise from a long-range contact. For highly ordered homogeneous subunit structures in the macromolecular assembly, only one set of resonances is expected to be visible in the spectra. If doubled (or further multiplied) resonances are visible for $^{13}$C atoms or protein backbone stretches, two (or more) conformations for the atom or the primary sequence stretch in the assembly, respectively, exist.

10. Use the 2D NCA spectrum containing intra-residue $^{15}$N-$^{13}$Ca correlations to identify the $^{15}$N resonance frequencies for each residue. If the resolution in the $^{13}$C dimension is not sufficient, use the supplementary information about the Cβ resonance in the 2D NCACB to identify the intra-residue $^{15}$N resonance frequency.

11. Use the 2D NCACB and NCACO spectra containing intra-residue $^{15}$N-$^{13}$C-$^{13}$C correlations to (i) identify the intra-residue $^{15}$N frequency and (ii) to resolve ambiguities in the $^{13}$C-$^{13}$C PDSD with the help of the additional $^{15}$N dimension. The inversion of the signal due to the DREAM transfer leads to the observation of typical Ca-Cβ correlations for which the Ca signal is positive and the Cβ signal negative. Further side-chain $^{13}$C, if visible in the spectrum, are positive again.

Figure 4: 2-dimensional $^{13}$C-$^{15}$C SSNMR PDSD experiments on a well-ordered, uniformly $^{13}$C, $^{15}$N-labeled protein assembly. A) Short mixing time PDSD (50 ms mixing). B) Assignment of the 2-residue stretch Ile32 - Thr33 using the overlay of the short mixing time PDSD with a long mixing time PDSD (200 ms mixing). Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.

2. Secondary structure determination

1. Use $^{13}$Ca, $^{13}$Cβ chemical shift values to calculate the secondary chemical shift $^{46}$ΔδCa-ΔδCβ, indicative of the secondary structure. Calculate $^{13}$Ca(assigned)-$^{13}$Cα(random coil) and $^{13}$Cβ(assigned)-$^{15}$Cβ(random coil).
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5. Structure modeling from SSNMR data
1. Prepare the SSNMR restraint lists needed for the structure calculation: (1) protein sequence; (2) intra-molecular unambiguous distance restraints; (3) intra-molecular ambiguous distance restraints; (4) TALOS-based dihedral angle restraints; (5) inter-molecular unambiguous distance restraints; (6) inter-molecular ambiguous distance restraints; (7) additional data from other biophysical techniques (e.g., mass-per-length, symmetry parameters).
2. Several reviews provide insights into structure modeling based on SSNMR data and in conjunction with complementary structural data. Note that the ambiguous distance restraints can be disambiguated during structure calculation with respect to the preliminary structural models based on unambiguous distance restraints. To ensure structure accuracy, carefully observe if the structure converges to a single fold.
Representative Results

The typical SSNMR workflow includes several steps illustrated in Figure 1. Usually the protein subunits are produced by in vitro heterologous expression in E. coli, purified and assembled under shaking but sometimes also in static conditions. Expression and purification of the protein subunit are followed by SDS gel chromatography (Figure 2A). The formation of macromolecular assemblies can then be confirmed by electron microscopy (EM) analysis (see Figure 2B for an example of a filamentous assembly).

After introduction of the protein assembly into the SSNMR rotor, the rotor is inserted into the spectrometer, the MAS frequency and temperature are regulated and the spectra are recorded. First insights can be obtained by 1D SSNMR techniques. Figure 3 shows a typical SSNMR FID detected on the $^{13}$C channel on a structurally homogeneous protein sample, a $^1$H-$^{13}$C CP spectrum, revealing the $^{13}$C resonances present in the rigid core of the protein subunit in the assembly, and a 2D $^1$H-$^{13}$C INEPT spectrum, representing the mobile residues. For atomic insights into the rigid core of the assembly structure, multidimensional SSNMR experiments need to be recorded on uniformly and selectively labeled samples to first assign the SSNMR resonances and then detect long-range proximities (see Figure 4).

All spectra are processed and analyzed with adequate software to assign the SSNMR resonances and extract intra- and intermolecular distance restraints (Figure 5). The SSNMR distance restraints are either used alone or in conjunction with data from complementary techniques, which can be integrated into the modeling program.

For representative atomic structures of macromolecular assemblies solved by SSNMR techniques Figure 6 illustrates several filamentous assemblies from bacterial appendages and amyloid fibrils.

Discussion

Solid-state NMR (SSNMR) is a method of choice for characterizing macromolecular protein assemblies at an atomic level. One of the central issues in SSNMR-based structure determination is the spectral quality of the investigated system, that allows establishing 3D structural models of various precision, typically ranging from low-resolution models (containing the secondary structure elements and little 3D information) to
pseudo-atomic 3D structures. The quantity and quality of structural information extracted from multi-dimensional SSNMR experiments is the key to compute a high-resolution NMR structure of the assembly.

The described protocol relies on the detection of $^{13}$C,$^{15}$C and $^{15}$N,$^{13}$C structural restraints requiring the recording of several 2D (and sometimes 3D) spectra with high signal-to-noise. At moderate MAS frequencies (<25 kHz), the sample is introduced into rotors with sizes of 3.2-4 mm diameter allowing for protein quantities of up to ~50 mg, dependent on the sample hydration. The amount of sample inside the rotor is directly proportional to the signal-to-noise ratio in SSNMR spectra, a decisive factor for the detection of long-range distance restraints and their unambiguous assignment.

The spectral resolution is a crucial parameter during the sequential resonance assignment and the restraints collection. To obtain optimal results, the sample preparation parameters need to be optimized, particularly in the purification of the subunit and the assembly conditions (pH, buffer, shaking, temperature, etc.). For sample optimization, it is recommended to prepare unlabeled samples for several distinct conditions for which assembly has been observed, and to record a 1D $^{1}$H,$^{13}$C CP spectrum (described in step 2.1) on each prepared sample. The spectra serve to compare spectral resolution and dispersion between the different preparations, based upon which the optimal conditions can be determined.

The quality of the SSNMR data depends strongly on the choice of the NMR acquisition parameters, especially for the polarization transfer steps. The use of high magnetic field strengths (>600 MHz $^{1}$H frequency) is essential for high sensitivity and spectral resolution, required when facing complex targets such as macromolecular protein assemblies.

A limiting factor in many cases is the spectrometer availability. Therefore, a judicious choice of the samples to be prepared should precede the spectrometer session. In any case, a uniformly $^{13}$C, $^{15}$N-labeled sample is a prerequisite to perform the sequential and intra-residual resonance assignment. For proteins assigned by solid-state NMR techniques see1. Structure determination of macromolecular assemblies at moderate MAS frequencies requires selectively $^{13}$C-labeled samples; for the detection of long-range $^{13}$C,$^{15}$C and $^{15}$C,$^{15}$N contacts samples based on 1,3- $^{13}$C- and 2- $^{13}$C-glycerol and/or 1- $^{13}$C- and 1- $^{13}$C-glucose labeling are commonly used, as described above. The choice between the two labeling schemes is based on the spectral signal-to-noise ratio and resolution. To distinguish between intra- and intermolecular long-range contacts, mixed labeled and diluted samples have revealed efficient.

In short, the critical steps for an atomic SSNMR structural study are: (i) the preparation of the subunits and the assembly need to be optimized to obtain excellent sample quantity and quality, (ii) spectrometer field strength and acquisition parameters have to be chosen carefully; (iii) selective labeling strategies are required for a 3D structure determination and the amount of required data depends on data quality and the availability of complementary data.

Despite its applicability to a wide range of supramolecular systems ranging from membrane proteins to homomultimeric nano-objects, SSNMR is often limited by the need for mg-quantities of isotopically labeled material. The recent technological developments in ultra-fast MAS (>100 kHz) SSNMR open up the avenue to $^{1}$H-detected NMR, and push the limit of minimal sample quantity to sub-mg72,73,74. Nevertheless, for detailed structural studies $^{13}$C-labeled samples are indispensable, which limits the application of SSNMR to samples assembled in vitro or to systems expressed in organisms that survive on minimal medium where in-cell SSNMR is an emerging method (for reviews see 75,76,77,78).

An important factor in SSNMR application to obtain high-resolution 3D structures is the spectral resolution: intrinsic conformational heterogeneity in an assembly can limit spectral resolution and spectra analysis. Residue specific $^{15}$N labeling may in some cases provide an alternative to obtain specific distance information on strategic residues in order to obtain structural models (for a recent examples see 79,80).

SSNMR for 3D structure determination still requires the collection of several datasets with often long data collection times on sophisticated instruments, depending on the approach and the system several days to weeks on a 600-1000 MHz ($^{1}$H frequency) spectrometer. Therefore, the access to spectrometer time can be a limiting factor in an in-depth SSNMR study.

In the case of homomultimeric protein assemblies, leading to SSNMR data of sufficient quality to identify a high number of structural restraints such as in 81,82, SSNMR still gives no access to the microscopic dimensions. Therefore, in a de novo SSNMR structure determination of a homomultimeric assembly, EM or mass-per-length (MPL) data ideally complement SSNMR data to derive the symmetry parameters. SSNMR data alone provide the atomic intra- and intermolecular interfaces

SSNMR is highly complementary with structural techniques such as EM or MPL measurements but the data can also perfectly be combined with atomic structures obtained by X-ray crystallography or solution NMR on mutated or truncated subunits. An increasing number of studies can be found in literature where the conjunction of different structural data has allowed for determining atomic 3D models of macromolecular assemblies (see Figure 6 for representative examples).

In the field of Structural Biology, SSNMR emerges as promising technique to study insoluble and non-crystalline assemblies at the atomic level, i.e. providing structural data at the atomic scale. In this respect, SSNMR is the Pendant to solution NMR and X-ray crystallography for molecular assemblies, including membrane proteins in their native environment and protein assemblies such as viral envelopes, bacterial filaments or amyloids, as well as RNA and RNA-protein complexes (see for example 83). Its highly versatile applications in vitro and in the cellular context, such as tracking secondary, tertiary and quaternary structural changes, identifying interaction surfaces with partner molecules on the atomic scale (for example 84) and mapping molecular dynamics in the context of assembled complexes, indicate the important potential of SSNMR in future structural studies on complex biomolecular assemblies.
### Table 1: Composition of minimal expression medium for recombinant protein production in *E. coli* BL21 cells.

| Component        | M9 medium  |
|------------------|------------|
| NaCl             | 0.5 g/L    |
| KH₂PO₄          | 3 g/L      |
| Na₂HPO₄         | 6.7 g/L    |
| MgSO₄           | 1 mM       |
| ZnCl₂           | 10 μM      |
| FeCl₃           | 1 μM       |
| CaCl₂           | 100 μM     |
| MEM vitamin mix 100X | 10 mL/L   |
| C-glucose       | 2 g/L      |
| NH₄Cl           | 1 g/L      |
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