NPAT links cyclin E–Cdk2 to the regulation of replication-dependent histone gene transcription
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In eukaryotic cells, histone gene expression is one of the major events that mark entry into S phase. While this process is tightly linked to cell cycle position, how it is regulated by the cell cycle machinery is not known. Here we show that NPAT, a substrate of the cyclin E–Cdk2 complex, is associated with human replication-dependent histone gene clusters on both chromosomes 1 and 6 in S phase. We demonstrate that NPAT activates histone gene transcription and that this activation is dependent on the promoter elements (SSCSs) previously proposed to mediate cell cycle–dependent transcription. Cyclin E is also associated with the histone gene loci, and cyclin E–Cdk2 stimulates the NPAT-mediated activation of histone gene transcription. Thus, our results both show that NPAT is involved in a key S phase event and provide a link between the cell cycle machinery and activation of histone gene transcription.
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Histones are essential components of eukaryotic chromosomes and play crucial roles in the maintenance of chromosomal integrity [Kornberg and Lorch 1999]. It was first reported 3 decades ago that synthesis of histone proteins occurs primarily during S phase of the cell cycle [Robbins and Borun 1967]. Subsequently, a large body of research has established that the S phase–specific increase in histone protein levels results directly from the accumulation of histone mRNA in S phase, which in turn is controlled at the level of transcription, premRNA processing, and mRNA stability [Schumperli 1986; Heintz 1991; Osley 1991; Marzluff 1992; Stein et al. 1992, Dominski and Marzluff 1999].

There are multiple gene copies for each histone subtype [H1, H2A, H2B, H3, and H4] to accommodate the large demand for histone synthesis during DNA replication. Histone genes are classified as either replication dependent or replication independent according to their pattern of expression within the cell cycle [Heintz 1991; Osley 1991; Stein et al. 1992]. Replication-independent histone genes are constitutively expressed at low levels throughout the cell cycle. The majority of vertebrate histone genes are replication dependent and are primarily expressed during S phase. These replication-dependent histone genes are clustered in a small number of chromosome loci. Human replication-dependent histone genes are clustered on chromosomes 1 and 6. Six histone genes have been identified so far in the histone gene cluster at 1q21, while ~50 histone genes have been found in the cluster at 6p21 [Albig and Doenecke 1997].

Transcriptional regulation plays an important role in replication-dependent histone gene expression at the G1/S boundary. As cells enter S phase, histone mRNA transcription increases three- to 10-fold compared to the basal level in G1 phase [Heintz 1991]. Studies on H1, H2B, and H4 promoters suggest that the cell cycle–dependent transcription of histone genes is effected through distinct subtype-specific consensus elements (SSCSs), which are occupied by protein factors in vivo [Heintz 1991; Osley 1991]. OTF1/Oct-1 interacts with the core octamer sequence in the H2B SSCS and stimulates H2B transcription in vitro [Fletcher et al. 1987; Segil et al. 1991]. There are two SSCSs in the H1 promoter [La Bella et al. 1989; Heintz 1991]. H1TF2, which is composed of two subunits, interacts with the proximal SSCS [Martinelli and Heintz 1994]. The human H4 SSCS is located in a promoter region that interacts with multiple protein factors [Hanly et al. 1985; Heintz 1991; van Wijnen et al. 1992]. 4FT2/HiNF-P has been identified and purified as the factor that binds H4 SSCS [Dailey et
Another sequence motif in the site II region of human H4 gene FO108 promoter that overlaps with the H4 SSCS has also been suggested to mediate cell cycle-dependent transcription of this H4 promoter [Ramsey-Ewing et al. 1994; Vaughan et al. 1995; van Wijnen et al. 1996]. Binding sites for the transcription factor E2F have been found in H2A promoters, and it has been reported that E2F activates transcription from H2A.1 promoter [Yagi et al. 1995; Oswald et al. 1996]. A region that contains AP-1 and AP-2 binding sites in a hamster histone H3 gene has been shown to be required for high levels of transcription and S phase activation, and binding of AP-2 to this site has been suggested to regulate this H3 promoter [Artishevsky et al. 1987; Wu and Lee 1998].

The observations discussed above suggest that transcriptional regulation of each subtype of the histone genes may involve a different set of factors. Given that transcription of all five vertebrate subtype histone genes is coordinately activated on entry into S phase, there must be an upstream regulatory mechanism that transduces a common signal for the activation of histone gene transcription when cells reach the G1/S boundary [Heintz 1991; Osley 1991]. This mechanism has so far remained elusive. As histone synthesis is closely linked to the S phase of the cell cycle, it is reasonable to assume that this process must be controlled by the cell cycle machinery at some level. Yet this connection has not been characterized.

The cyclin E–Cdk2 kinase complex is a key regulator of S phase entry in animal cells. The activity of cyclin E–Cdk2 peaks at the G1/S boundary (Dulic et al. 1992; Koff et al. 1992). Forced expression of cyclin E promotes S phase entry, while blocking the kinase activity of the cyclin E–Cdk2 complex inhibits the G1-to-S phase transition (Ohshubo and Roberts 1993; Tsai et al. 1993; van den Heuvel and Harlow 1993; Resnitzky et al. 1994; Ohshubo et al. 1995). Two major S phase events, DNA replication and centrosome duplication, have been shown to require the activity of cyclin E–Cdk2 [Fang and Newport 1991; Jackson et al. 1995; Hinchcliffe et al. 1999; Lacey et al. 1999]. However, the kinase targets in these events have yet to be identified. Proteins that are involved in several diverse cellular activities have been identified as the substrates of cyclin E–Cdk2 [Hinds et al. 1992; Zhu et al. 1995; Herrera et al. 1996; Perkins et al. 1997; Sheaff et al. 1997; Jiang et al. 1998; Seghezzi et al. 1998; Harbour et al. 1999; Ruffner et al. 1999; Shanahan et al. 1999], though in most cases it remains unclear how phosphorylation of these substrates directly contributes to S phase entry.

We previously reported the identification of NPAT as a cyclin E–Cdk2 substrate [Zhao et al. 1998]. The protein interacts with cyclin E–Cdk2 both in vitro and in vivo, and it can be phosphorylated by the kinase complexes. Overexpression of NPAT promotes S phase entry, and coexpression of cyclin E–Cdk2 enhances the effects of NPAT on cell cycle progression. From these findings we suggested that NPAT is likely to be a physiologically relevant substrate of cyclin E–Cdk2 that may play an important role in S phase entry [Zhao et al. 1998]. However, the biological function of NPAT has not been elucidated.

In this study, we have investigated the function of NPAT initially by examining its localization in human cells. We demonstrate that NPAT is concentrated at a few discrete foci in the nucleus and the number of NPAT foci changes in a cell cycle-dependent manner in primary human cells. Interestingly, we found that these NPAT foci colocalize with the histone gene clusters. We show that NPAT activates replication-dependent histone gene transcription and that the NPAT-mediated transcriptional activation is further enhanced by the cyclin E–Cdk2 complex. These results illustrate that NPAT is involved in an S phase event and links cyclin E–Cdk2 to the activation of histone gene transcription.

Results

NPAT is concentrated at a few foci in the nucleus

We previously have characterized the NPAT gene and shown that its protein product physically interacts with cyclin E/CDK2 and can be phosphorylated by this kinase. As a next step in characterizing the function of NPAT, we examined its subcellular localization in several human cell lines by indirect immunofluorescence (IF), using specific anti-NPAT antibodies. As shown in Figure 1A, NPAT is concentrated in a few prominent foci in the nuclei of human cells. Although the possibility that the protein may also be dispersed throughout the nucleus at much lower concentrations cannot be ruled out, the focal staining pattern is dramatic in all cell lines tested. Through a careful study of many normal cells and transformed cell lines, we noted that there are more NPAT foci in transformed cell lines than in primary cells, and this difference will be discussed later. In our initial experiments, we concentrated on the staining pattern of NPAT in primary cells.

To ensure that the distinct nuclear foci were due to authentic NPAT protein and not to an unexpected antibody cross-reaction, we examined the localization of NPAT with multiple monoclonal and polyclonal NPAT antibodies directed against different regions of the NPAT protein. All anti-NPAT antibodies exhibit the same prominent staining pattern, and the patterns seen with each antibody show complete overlap [Fig. 1B; data not shown], demonstrating unambiguously that the foci labeled by our antibodies are indeed NPAT protein.

The number of NPAT foci changes in a cell cycle–dependent manner

During the examination of the NPAT protein in primary cells, such as in human fibroblasts WI38 and MRC5 or in human epidermal keratinocytes [data not shown], we noted that the number of NPAT foci (spots) was either two or four in interphase cells [Fig. 1A–C]. To test whether there is any relationship between the number of detectable NPAT spots and the S phase of the cell cycle,
we treated WI38 cells with a short pulse of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) to label the cells in S phase and examined the number of NPAT spots in both BrdU-positive (S phase) and BrdU-negative (non-S phase) cells. While there are four NPAT spots in S phase cells, only two NPAT spots are observed in non-S phase cells [Fig. 2A,B]. This suggested that there is a link between the number of NPAT spots and the phase of the cell cycle. This prompted us to investigate the NPAT staining pattern during the cell cycle in more detail. The distribution of NPAT in WI38 cells at different time points was examined after the cells were released from serum starvation (Fig. 3). Serum-starved (G0) cells have either two weakly stained NPAT spots or no detectable foci (data not shown), consistent with our observations from Western blotting experiments that the level of NPAT protein in serum-starved cells is much lower than in growing cells (data not shown). When cells enter G1 phase, the number of NPAT spots remains two, but the staining is much brighter than in G0. The number of NPAT spots becomes four when cells reach S phase, as indicated by the coincidence of BrdU incorporation and the appearance of four spots. In G2, the number of NPAT spots returns to two [Fig. 3; data not shown]. There remain two prominent NPAT spots until early prometaphase. NPAT becomes dispersed at late prometaphase/metaphase and remains dispersed throughout the rest of the mitosis [Fig. 3; data not shown]. We also observed identical cell cycle–dependent changes in the NPAT staining pattern in primary human fibroblast MRC5 cells [data not shown]. The appearance and disappearance of NPAT spots during the cell cycle most likely reflects cell cycle–dependent assembly and disassembly of NPAT protein at these foci. As S phase cells have the highest number of NPAT spots and our previous experiments showed that NPAT may play an important role for S phase entry [Zhao et al. 1998], we speculated that the prominent spots detected by anti-NPAT antibodies may mark locations where NPAT exerts its function(s).

NPAT protein is associated with histone gene clusters in S phase

To investigate the significance of NPAT localization, we
set out to identify the location of the NPAT spots within the interphase nucleus. We examined the colocalization of NPAT with a number of proteins that have been reported to form a small number of nuclear foci. We found no overlap of NPAT with PML (PML bodies; Dyck et al. 1994; Fig. 4), UBF (a nucleolar marker; Jantzen et al. 1990), or SC-35 (a splicing factor; Fu and Maniatis 1990; data not shown). However, as shown in Figure 4, the staining of NPAT exhibits partial overlap with that of p80 coilin, a marker protein for coiled bodies (Raska et al. 1990; Andrade et al. 1991). The experiment shown in Figure 4 was performed with U2OS cells, in which the typical number of NPAT foci is between five and eight. We have also observed partial colocalization of NPAT with coilin in WI38 cells (data not shown). However, as shown in Figure 4, the staining of NPAT exhibits partial overlap with that of p80 coilin, a marker protein for coiled bodies (Raska et al. 1990; Andrade et al. 1991). The experiment shown in Figure 4 was performed with U2OS cells, in which the typical number of NPAT foci is between five and eight. We have also observed partial colocalization of NPAT with coilin in WI38 cells (data not shown), although coilin is more dispersed in these cells (Raska et al. 1990; Huang and Spector 1992).

Coiled bodies are subnuclear structures conserved in the nuclei of higher eukaryotes. The function of coiled bodies remains to be elucidated, although it has been suggested that they are involved in snRNP biogenesis and RNA processing (Lamond and Earnshaw 1998; Matera 1999). Interestingly, coiled bodies are often associated with several specific chromosomal loci, including the histone gene clusters [Frey and Matera 1995]. This led us to wonder whether NPAT is also associated with any of these loci.

As the histone genes in these clusters are expressed significantly only in S phase, and as NPAT is known to promote S phase entry, we examined whether NPAT is associated with the histone gene clusters on chromosome 1q21 and 6p21 by immunofluorescence (IF) combined with fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). In these experiments, NPAT protein was probed with specific anti-NPAT antibodies and the histone gene loci were identified with specific DNA probes. As shown in Figure 5A, the NPAT spots are associated with histone gene loci on chromosomes 1 and 6. While the association of NPAT with the histone gene cluster on chromosome 6 is detected in all cells, the association of NPAT spots with the chromosome 1 cluster is observed only in cells that have four detectable NPAT spots. This observation suggests that the association of NPAT spots with the chromosome 1 histone gene cluster is cell cycle dependent. Three-color staining experiments (Fig. 5B), in which DNA probes specific for both histone gene clusters were used simultaneously with an anti-NPAT antibody, further confirmed the above observations and demonstrated that all four NPAT spots are associated with histone gene clusters in S phase. Thus, NPAT localizes to all histone gene clusters in S phase when these genes are actively expressed.

In the cells that have four NPAT foci, there are spots of two different sizes [Figs. 2,3,5]. The two smaller NPAT spots are always associated with the smaller histone gene cluster on chromosome 1, and the two bigger NPAT spots are always associated with the larger histone gene cluster on chromosome 6. This observation is consistent with the idea that NPAT may physically interact with the histone gene loci in S phase of the cell cycle (see below). Transformed cells, which are aneuploid, contain extra copies of chromosomes 1 and 6. NPAT is associated with each of the histone gene clusters on these chromosomes and, thus, more than four NPAT spots are observed in these cells [Figs. 1A, 4; data not shown]. Taken together, these results suggest that NPAT may play a role in the expression of histone genes during S phase of the cell cycle.

NPAT activates histone gene transcription

As discussed above, transcriptional regulation plays a critical role in histone gene expression at the G1/S phase boundary, and this cell cycle–dependent regulation is mediated by proximal promoter elements (Heintz 1991; Osley 1991; Stein et al. 1992). To determine whether expression of NPAT has any effect on histone gene transcription, we studied several histone promoters for regulation by NPAT. The most dramatic effect was seen with the histone H4 promoters. Two well-studied histone H4 promoters were fused independently to a luciferase gene reporter, and the effects of NPAT on transcription from these H4 promoters were assayed in transient transfection.

Figure 2. There are four NPAT spots in S phase cells and two NPAT spots in non-S phase cells. [A] WI38 cells were incubated with 50 µM BrdU for 3.5 h, fixed, and stained with a mouse anti-BrdU antibody (red) and the rabbit anti-NPAT antibody R48 (green). DNA was stained with DAPI (blue). [B] WI38 cells were incubated with BrdU for 20 min and then fixed and stained as described in A. 100–150 cells were analyzed for the number of NPAT spots and BrdU staining status. The mean results from three independent experiments are depicted. The bars represent the standard deviations.
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tion experiments. One reporter construct, pGLH4-1, contains the promoter sequence of the F0108 H4 gene, which is located on chromosome 1 (Allen et al. 1991; Ramsey-Ewing et al. 1994; van Wijnen et al. 1992, 1996; Albig and Doenecke 1997). The other reporter construct, pGLH4, has the promoter sequence of the pHu4A (H4/e) gene, which is located on chromosome 6 (Hanly et al. 1985; Dailey et al. 1987, 1988; Albig and Doenecke 1997). As shown in Figure 6A, expression of NPAT dramatically increases the transcription from these H4 promoters. In contrast, NPAT has no significant effect on transcription from the SV40 promoter [control] or from the b-myb and dhfr promoters, which are also activated during the G₁-to-S phase transition [Lam and Watson 1993; Wells et al. 1997]. These results indicate that NPAT expression specifically stimulates histone H4 gene transcription.

To determine which sequence elements in the histone H4/e promoter mediate the response to NPAT activation, we made several deletion constructs and assayed the effects of NPAT on the transcription from these mutant promoters. Deletions of the sequences between 120 and 65 base pairs upstream from transcription initiation site [−120 to −65] cause gradual decreases in the basal level of transcription [without transfection of NPAT; Fig. 6B] as reported in previous in vitro studies [Hanly et al. 1985]. However, these deletions are still responsive to transcriptional activation by NPAT [Fig. 6C]. In fact, deletion of the region between −120 and −80 led to an increase in the fold of activation seen by cotransfection of NPAT, suggesting that there might be a negative regulatory sequence in this region. Additional work will be needed to identify this negative-regulatory sequence. Further deletion of nucleotides −65 to −40 completely abolishes the transcriptional activation by NPAT [Fig. 6C]. These results suggest that the sequence between nucleotides −65 and −40 is required for NPAT-mediated transcriptional activation.

Figure 3. The localization of NPAT changes during the cell cycle. WI38 cells were arrested at G₀ by serum starvation as previously described [Tsai et al. 1993]. At the time when the cells were released from the arrest, BrdU (final concentration 50 µM) was added into the culture medium. The cells were fixed, permeabilized, and stained as described for Figure 2 at 6 h (G₁ phase), 19 h (S phase), 24 h (G₂), and 28 h (M phase) after release. The G₀ [serum-starved] cells were treated with BrdU for 24 h before being fixed. The BrdU staining for the cells in M phase is not shown.
This finding is quite interesting as this region contains the histone H4 SSCS (Hanly et al. 1985; Heintz 1991). The human histone H4 SSCS is highly conserved among human histone H4 promoters and is thought to be the crucial control element mediating S phase–specific transcription of the histone H4 gene family (Dailey et al. 1988; Heintz 1991). To test directly whether the H4 SSCS is required for NPAT activation, we made two more mutant promoter constructs: pGLH4 [D1] and pGLH4 [M1]. While pGLH4 [D1] has an internal deletion of nucleotides from −65 to −40, pGLH4 [M1] is identical to the wild type except for 3-bp substitutions within the H4 SSCS that abolish the binding for H4TF-2, a factor proposed to be involved in cell cycle–dependent transcription of H4 gene transcription (Dailey et al. 1987, 1988). As shown in Figure 6B, these mutations decrease the basal transcription, suggesting that the H4 SSCS is involved in the H4 transcription in vivo. More important, both mutations abrogate the H4 transcriptional activation by NPAT [Fig. 6C], demonstrating that the H4 SSCS is the essential promoter element that mediates the H4 transcriptional activation by NPAT. These results further support the notion that NPAT positively regulates histone H4 gene transcription during S phase entry.

As expression of NPAT promotes S phase entry and histone gene transcription increases as cells enter S phase, it is possible that the increased histone H4 transcription is merely the result of the cell cycle effect of NPAT expression (i.e., higher percentage of cells in S phase). We carried out several experiments to test this possibility. First, we assayed the effect of NPAT expression on the histone H4 transcription in U2OS cells at a much earlier time point after transfection when no cell cycle effect of NPAT was detectable by FACS analysis (Zhao et al. 1998). Figure 7A shows that transcription from the histone H4 promoter is also increased by NPAT expression at 22 hr after transfection when the NPAT-transfected cells display the same cell cycle distribution as vector-transfected cells when measured by both FACS analysis and BrdU incorporation [Table 1]. Next, we examined the effects of NPAT on the histone H4 expression in SAOS2 cells, where transfection of NPAT has no effect on cell cycle distribution [Table 1]. Similarly, histone H4 transcription is greatly increased by NPAT expression in SAOS2 cells [Fig. 7A]. In the third set of experiments, we treated the transfected cells with nocodazole to arrest the cells in M phase and then examined the effect of NPAT expression on histone H4 expression in cells shortly after release from M phase arrest. As shown in Figure 7B [and data not shown], both vector- and NPAT-transfected U2OS cells have not reached S phase at 2.5 hr after being released from M phase. Nevertheless, transcription from the H4 promoter is greatly activated in NPAT transfected cells [Fig. 7B]. Last, we used p27, a CDK inhibitor (Polyak et al. 1994; Toyoshima and Hunter 1994), to block the cell cycle in G1 phase and assayed the effect of NPAT expression on histone H4 transcription in these G1 cells. When U2OS cells were transfected with a p27 expression plasmid (transfected either alone or with NPAT), >90% of transfected cells were in G1 phase [data not shown]. Figure 7C shows that the transcription from the H4 promoter is activated by NPAT in the presence of p27, albeit at lower magnitude. The possible reason for the observed decrease in the presence of p27 will be discussed later. In summary, these results demonstrate that stimulation of histone H4 transcription by NPAT is not simply a result of the cell cycle effect of NPAT overexpression.

Most of the previously identified factors involved in histone gene transcription appear to be subtype specific. To test whether NPAT activates the transcription of other subtypes of histone genes in addition to histone H4 genes, we examined the effect of NPAT on transcription from a well-characterized histone H2B promoter (Sive et al. 1986; LaBella et al. 1988). As shown in Figure 8, expression of NPAT also stimulates the transcription from this histone H2B promoter. Significantly, the effect of NPAT on H2B transcription is diminished when the SSCS sequence is mutated [Fig. 8], demonstrating that the stimulation of H2B transcription by NPAT depends on the H2B SSCS, a sequence that is bound by Oct-1 and shown to be involved in the cell cycle–dependent transcription of histone H2B genes (Sive et al. 1986; Fletcher et al. 1987; LaBella et al. 1988). We have also observed the stimulation of histone H3 transcription by NPAT [Fig. 8]. The effects of NPAT on the transcription of histone H1 and H2A remain to be tested. Nevertheless, the results shown here indicate that NPAT activates transcription of multiple subtypes of histone genes. Taken together with the fact that endogenous NPAT protein is highly concentrated at and associated with all histone gene clusters in S phase [Fig. 5], we postulate that NPAT is a cell cycle–regulated transcription factor that activates S phase–dependent transcription of histone genes.

**Cyclin E–Cdk2 regulates NPAT-mediated activation of histone gene transcription**

NPAT was identified as a substrate of cyclin E–Cdk2,
**Figure 5.** NPAT associates with histone gene clusters on both chromosomes 1 and 6 in S phase. (A) The NPAT spots are associated with histone gene clusters on chromosome 1 and 6. WI38 cells were first stained with the rabbit anti-NPAT antibody (R48; red), fixed again, and hybridized with DIG labeled histone cluster-specific DNA probes (green). The nuclear DNA was stained with DAPI (blue). **Top** panels, images obtained with the probe specific for the histone cluster on chromosome 1; **bottom** panels, images with the probe specific for chromosome 6 cluster. For each probe, two cells, one with two NPAT spots (left panels) and one with four NPAT spots (right panels), are shown. Note that overlap of IF (red) and FISH (green) staining results in yellow dot. (B) All NPAT spots are associated with histone clusters in S phase. WI38 cells were first stained with the rabbit anti-NPAT antibody (R48; blue), fixed again, and hybridized with probes specific for chromosome 1 (green) and chromosome 6 (red) histone gene clusters. For easy viewing, the colors in the figure (except for the merged images) were shown as black and white. The results presented in this figure have been reproducibly observed in multiple independent experiments.
and coexpression of cyclin E–Cdk2 was shown to enhance the effect of NPAT on S phase entry (Zhao et al. 1998). It is therefore possible that the cyclin E–Cdk2 complex also regulates the effect of NPAT on histone transcription. To test this possibility, we transfected cyclin E/Cdk2 expression plasmids in U2OS cells with or without NPAT expression plasmid and measured the effects on transcription from the H4 promoter. While overexpression of cyclin E–Cdk2 alone has no significant effect on transcription from the H4 promoter (Fig. 9A), cyclin E–Cdk2 stimulated the effect of NPAT on H4 transcription when coexpressed with NPAT (Fig. 9A).

Figure 6. NPAT activates histone H4 transcription. (A) NPAT activates transcription from H4 promoters. U2OS cells were transfected with 1 µg pCMV (vector) or 1 µg pCMV–NPAT together with 50 ng pGLH4 [labeled as H4], pGLH4-1 [labeled as H4-1], pGL3 control [labeled as Control], pGL–b-myb [labeled as b-myb], or pGL–dhfr [labeled as dhfr] reporter construct. Fifty ng pCMV–lacZ was also co-transfected in each transfection. Thirty-six hours after transfection, cells were lysed and the activities of β-galactosidase and luciferase were assayed as described in Materials and Methods. The activities of the β-galactosidase were used to normalize the transfection efficiency among different samples. Fold of induction was calculated by comparing the luciferase activity from NPAT-transfected cells with that from the vector transfected cells. The figure shows the mean results and standard deviations from at least three independent experiments. (B) U2OS cells were transfected with 1 µg pCMV together with 50 ng pGLH4, pGLH4(80), pGLH4(65), pGLH4(40), pGLH4(D1), or pGLH4(M1) [as indicated as H4, 80, 65, 40, D1, and M1, respectively]. Thirty-six hours after transfection, the cells were lysed and the β-galactosidase and luciferase activities were measured as described in A. The data represent the results from at least three independent experiments. (C) Activation of histone H4 transcription by NPAT is dependent on the H4 SSCS. U2OS cells were transfected with 1 µg vector or 1 µg pCMV–NPAT together with 50 ng of indicated reporter construct. Thirty-six hours after transfection, the samples were analyzed as described in A. The H4, 80, 65, 40, D1, and M1 represent the plasmids as described in B. The means and standard deviations from at least three independent experiments are depicted.

Figure 7. NPAT activates histone H4 transcription independent of its cell cycle effects. (A) U2OS or SAOS2 cells were transfected as described in Fig. 6A. The transfected U2OS and SAOS2 cells were lysed at 22 h and 36 h, respectively, after transfection. The samples were analyzed as described in Fig. 6A. The results from three independent experiments are shown. (B) U2OS cells, grown on 10-cm plates, were transfected with 6 µg pCMV [vector] or 6 µg pCMV–NPAT together with 300 ng pGLH4(65) and 300 ng pCMV–lacZ. Five hours after transfection, Nocadazole [final concentration 70 ng/mL] was added into the culture medium to arrest the cells in M phase. Eighteen hours later, cells in M phase were harvested by mitotic shake-off. The cells were washed twice with culture medium and replated. Two and one-half hours after replating, the distribution of the cells in the cell cycle was analyzed by FACS and the effect of NPAT on H4 transcription was analyzed as described in Fig. 6A. The mean results from two independent experiments are shown. (C) U2OS cells were transfected with 50 ng pGLH4 (65) together with pCMV vector [1 µg], p27 [100 ng], NPAT [0.5 µg], or NPAT plus p27 as indicated. Thirty-six hours after transfection, the samples were analyzed as described in Fig. 6A. The data represent the mean from two independent experiments.
Table 1. Cell cycle distribution of vector and NPAT transfected cells

|       | U2OS | SAOS2 |
|-------|------|-------|
|       | G₁   | S     | G₂/M  | G₁   | S     | G₂/M  |
| Vector| 61.2 | 29.8  | 9.0   | 40.3 | 26.5  | 33.2  |
| NPAT  | 61.6 | 30.6  | 8.3   | 41.2 | 25.3  | 33.5  |

U2OS or SAOS2 cells transfected with pCMV [vector] or pCMV–NPAT as indicated. The cells were harvested at 22 h [U2OS] or 36 h [SAOS2] after transfection, and the distributions of transfected cells in the cell cycle were analyzed by FACS as previously described (Zhao et al. 1998). When measured by BrdU incorporation as described in Materials and Methods, 38% of both vector- and NPAT-transfected U2OS cells are in S phase, while 30% of both vector- and NPAT-transfected SAOS2 cells are in S phase. The data represent the mean results from two independent experiments.

Furthermore, this stimulation by cyclin E–Cdk2 was also dependent on the H4 SSCS. [Fig. 9B]. These results suggest that NPAT is a limiting factor in H4 transcription and that cyclin E–Cdk2 may positively regulate histone gene transcription through the downstream target NPAT. This suggestion may also explain the observation that p27, whose expression blocks the activity of cyclin E–Cdk2, inhibited the effect of NPAT on the transcription from the histone H4 promoter [∼40% inhibition under the experimental condition, Fig. 7C]. As a control for the specificity of this stimulation of cyclin E–Cdk2 on NPAT, we also tested the effect of coexpression of cyclin D1/Cdk4, another G₁ cyclin dependent kinase that is known to drive a shortening of G₁ phase. In contrast to cyclin E–Cdk2, cyclin D1–Cdk4 does not stimulate H4 transcription activation by NPAT [Fig. 9A]. The results are consistent with our previous observation that NPAT is phosphorylated by cyclin E/Cdk2, not cyclin D1/Cdk4, in vivo (Zhao et al. 1998). From these experiments, we conclude that the effect of NPAT on histone transcription is likely to be regulated specifically by the cyclin E–Cdk2 complex.

To rule out the possibility that the effect of cyclin E–Cdk2 on NPAT-mediated transcriptional activation is simply due to the cell cycle effect of this kinase complex, we examined the effect of cyclin E–Cdk2 on NPAT-mediated transcriptional activation from the H4 promoter in cells 1.5 hr after they were released from M phase when the cells have not reached S phase [data not shown]. As shown in Figure 9C, cyclin E–Cdk2 still stimulates the NPAT-mediated H4 transcriptional activation when the cell cycle effect is excluded in this experiment. The results suggest that the stimulation of NPAT-mediated transcriptional activation by cyclin E–Cdk2 may result from a direct effect of the kinase complex on NPAT, such as the phosphorylation of NPAT by cyclin E–Cdk2, rather than the indirect cell cycle effect of this kinase complex.

Previous studies have suggested that the H4 SSCS mediates the cell cycle regulation of histone H4 gene transcription (Dailey et al. 1988; Heintz 1991). However, this hypothesis has not been proven experimentally. Here we show that both the activation of the H4 promoter by NPAT and the stimulation of NPAT-mediated transcriptional activation by cyclin E–Cdk2 are dependent on the H4 SSCS. We also observed that H4 transcription was inhibited by cotransfection of p27 or a dominant-negative Cdk2, known inhibitors of the G₁–to-S phase transition (van den Heuvel and Harlow 1993; Polyak et al. 1994; Toyoshima and Hunter 1994), and that this inhibition is H4 SSCS dependent [data not shown]. These experimental results support the idea that the H4 SSCS is critical for the cell cycle-regulated transcription of histone H4 genes.

Both NPAT and cyclin E–Cdk2 are physically associated with the histone gene loci

To gain further support for the idea that cyclin E–Cdk2 as well as NPAT is involved in the regulation of histone gene expression directly, we employed chromatin immunoprecipitation assays (CHIP; Braunstein et al. 1993; Crane-Robinson and Wolffe, 1998; Luo et al. 1998) to detect the association of endogenous NPAT and cyclin E proteins with histone genes in vivo. These experiments [Fig. 9D] show that the immunoprecipitates of anti-NPAT antibodies are enriched for several histone DNA sequences, demonstrating directly that the endogenous NPAT is associated with the histone gene clusters. As with anti-NPAT antibodies, anti-cyclin E–specific antibodies also immunoprecipitate histone DNA sequences. In contrast, anti-cyclin D1 antibodies gave the same background signal as an anti-SV40-T control antibody.
As a nonspecific background control, the immunoprecipitates obtained with these four different antibodies were subjected to PCR for a satellite DNA sequence on the X chromosome (Fig. 9D). No differences in the intensities of the products were observed, indicating that the enrichment for histone DNA sequence by anti-NPAT and anticyclin E antibodies is specific. The relatively strong intensities of the satellite DNA sequence bands reflect the high copy number of these sequences within the genome. We also performed PCR reactions using primers specific for other S phase–regulated promoters (such as cyclin E and b-myb promoters), and we did not detect any enrichment for these DNA sequences by anti-NPAT antibodies compared with control antibodies (data not shown). Lysates prepared from U2OS cells were precipitated with the indicated antibodies. The indicated DNA sequences were detected by PCR using specific primers as described in Materials and Methods.

Discussion

Regulation of CDKs and their roles in cell cycle progression have been studied in great detail, yet relatively little is known about the connections between these CDKs and specific cell cycle events. Here we report that NPAT, a substrate of cyclin E–Cdk2, is associated with histone gene clusters in S phase and activates histone gene transcription. Moreover, we show that cyclin E is also associated with histone gene clusters and that cyclin E–Cdk2 enhances the NPAT-mediated activation of histone gene transcription. Thus, NPAT appears to link the cyclin E–Cdk2 kinase to the regulation of an S phase–specific event. Recently, Ma et al. (2000) observed that the phosphorylation of NAPT exhibits cell cycle–dependent changes in vivo. They have mapped the cyclin E–Cdk2 phosphorylation sites on NPAT and found that the activity of NPAT on histone gene transcription is decreased when these sites are mutated, further indicating a direct role for cyclin E–Cdk2 in regulating NPAT function (Ma et al. 2000). We propose that coordinated transcriptional activation of histone genes at the G1/S phase boundary may be the direct result of the activation of cyclin E–Cdk2 at this point of the cell cycle.

The function of NPAT

Transcription of histone genes has long been recognized as a cell cycle–dependent event (Schumperli 1986,
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Localization of NPAT

One of the most intriguing features of NPAT is its localization pattern in primary cells. The NPAT foci are associated with the histone cluster on chromosome 6 in both S phase when cells have four such spots, as well as in non-S phase cells when there are only two NPAT foci. The association of NPAT spots with the histone gene cluster on chromosome 1 is only observed in S phase. It is not clear why the histone cluster on chromosome 6 appears to be constitutively associated with NPAT spots while the chromosome 1 cluster is associated with NPAT spots only in S phase. One possibility is that the NPAT protein is always associated with histone gene clusters, but the NPAT protein concentration at these loci is low at G1 and G2 phases, and the association increases dramatically when cells enter S phase. As a result, the association of NPAT with chromosome 1 cluster, which is much smaller than that on chromosome 6, is not detectable during G1 and G2 phases and only becomes detectable in S phase. Alternatively, the accumulation of NPAT at the chromosome 1 cluster might be de novo and cell cycle regulated. In either case, the accumulation of NPAT protein at the histone gene clusters on chromosome 1 is cell cycle regulated. Further studies will be needed to determine precisely how the localization of NPAT is regulated. In transformed cells, it appears that the NPAT spots are associated with both chromosome 1 and chromosome 6 clusters in all stages of interphase [data not shown], suggesting that the regulated accumulation of NPAT at histone gene clusters is altered in these cells.

Coordinating the events of chromosome duplication

It has been shown previously that cyclin E–Cdk2 regulates two S phase events: DNA replication and centromere duplication [Fang and Newport 1991; Jackson et al. 1995; Hinchcliffe et al. 1999; Lacey et al. 1999]. Here, we show that another major event of S phase, histone gene expression, may also be regulated by cyclin E–Cdk2. Furthermore, we have identified NPAT as a downstream target that links cyclin E–Cdk2 to the regulation of histone gene transcription. DNA replication and histone synthesis are tightly coupled in S phase. Our results suggest that cyclin E–Cdk2 may provide a mechanism that coordinates initiation of both DNA replication and histone gene expression on entry into S phase. Thus, cyclin-driven kinases promote cell cycle transitions by coordinating the numerous cellular events within the same phase of the cell cycle.
Materials and methods

Antibodies and plasmids

DH3 and DH4 are mouse anti-NPAT monoclonal antibodies. DH3 was described previously (Zhao et al. 1998), and DH4 was produced using a his-tagged polypeptide that contains amino acids 1082–1427 of NPAT as the immunogen. The rabbit anti-NPAT antibodies R48 and R49 were generated in two separate rabbits as described in Zhao et al. (1998), and affinity purified with a GST fusion protein containing the same region of NPAT used to generate the anti-NPAT sera. The anti-cyclin D, cyclin E, p21, and SV40 antibodies have been described previously (Zhao et al. 1998). Mouse anticonitin monoclonal antibody 5P10 (Almedia et al. 1998) was a gift from M. Carmo-Fonseca [University of Lisbon, Portugal]. Rabbit anti-PML antibody was proved by K. Chang [University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center]. Mouse anti-BrdU monoclonal antibody was purchased from Amersham. The fluorescein (green), Texas Red (red), or AMCA (blue) conjugated anti-mouse IgG and anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibodies were purchased from Vector Laboratories.

The expression plasmids for NPAT, cyclin E, Cdk2, cyclin D1, and Cdk4 were previously described (Zhao et al. 1998). The luciferase reporter constructs used for the transcription assays were generated by cloning the promoter fragments into the pGL3 basic luciferase reporter plasmid (Promega). The promoter fragments were made by either PCR or annealing of two synthetic oligonucleotides that correspond to appropriate promoter sequences. All promoter constructs were verified by DNA sequencing. The promoter in pGLH4-1 contains 230 nucleotides of the upstream sequence from the initiation ATG of the histone H4 (FO108; Sierra et al. 1983). The promoter sequence in pGLH4 includes nucleotides −113 to +8 of the pHu4A [H4/e] promoter (Hanly et al. 1985; Dailey et al. 1987; Albig and Doenecke 1997). pGLH4(80), pGLH4(65), and pGLH4(40) carry the nucleotide sequence from −90 to −8, −65 to +8, and −40 to +8 of the H4/e promoter, respectively. pGL H4(D1) has the nucleotides between −65 and −40 deleted from the promoter of pGLH4. pGLH4(M1) is identical to pGLH4, except that there are three nucleotide substitutions in the SCS (from TTCTCAGTTCG- to GTCCG to TTCTCAGTTCACTACG). This promoter has the same mutation as the previously described mutant 1.5 promoter (Dailey et al. 1988). The promoter sequence in pGLH2B includes nucleotides −120 to +1 of the H2B/r promoter (Sive et al. 1986; Albig and Doenecke 1997). pGLH2B(65) carries nucleotides −65 to +1 of the H2B/r promoter. pGLH65(M) is identical to pGLH2B(65), except that it carries two nucleotide substitutions in the H2B SCS as previously described for the oct− H2B promoter (LaBella et al. 1998). pGLH3 contains the sequence of 300 nucleotides upstream from the initiation ATG of histone H3/a (Albig et al. 1997). The pGL3-control plasmid that carries the SV40 promoter and luciferase gene reporter was from Promega. The b-myb and dhfr reporter constructs were described previously (Hurford et al. 1997; Dick et al. 2000).

Immunofluorescence staining and microscopy

Cells were grown on glass coverslips in DMEM plus 10% fetal bovine serum. The cells were washed twice with PBS and then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature for 10 min. The cells were washed with PBS once again and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBST (PBS plus 0.2% Tween 20) at room temperature for 10 min. The permeabilized cells were incubated in a blocking solution [5% horse serum, 5% goat serum, and 0.1% fish gelatin in PBS] at 37°C for 15 min, and then incubated with primary antibodies in the blocking solution at 37°C for 1 h. The cells were washed with PBST at room temperature 3 × 5 min each, incubated with appropriate secondary antibodies in blocking solution at 37°C for 1 h, and washed with PBS twice at room temperature before incubating with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) solution (25 µg/mL DAPI in PBST) for 5 min to stain the nuclear DNA. The coverslips were mounted on glass slides with vectashield (Vector Laboratories) and sealed with nail polish. Imaging was performed using CCD and deconvolution microscopy (Scanalytics).

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) analysis

A PAC clone (~60 kb) that carries human histone genes from the histone cluster on chromosome 1 and a BAC clone (~120 kb) that contains histone genes from the chromosome 6 cluster were used as the templates for making the histone cluster-specific probes. The probes were labeled with digoxigenin (DIG; Roche Molecular Biochemicals) using the BioPrime kit (GIBCO BRL). For three-color staining, the probe for the chromosome 6 cluster was labeled with biotin. The labeled probes were purified by S-200HR spin-column chromatography (Pharmacia), precipitated with ethanol, and dissolved in a hybridization solution containing 50% formamide, 10% dextran sulfate, and 2× SSC (0.3 M NaCl, 0.03 M sodium citrate at pH 7.0).

WI38 cells were grown on chamber slides, fixed, permeabilized, and stained with NPAT antibodies as described for immunofluorescence staining. The cells were fixed again with 10% paraformaldehyde at room temperature for 10 min. The cells were washed with PBS twice and processed for FISH immediately or kept in PBS at 4°C for later use. The cells on the slides were dehydrated sequentially in ice-cold 70%, 85%, and 95% ethanol, and air-dried. To denature the DNA, the cells were treated with 70% formamide in 2× SSC for 15 min. The samples were dehydrated again in the ice-cold ethanol series and left to air-dry.

Labeled DNA probes were diluted to a concentration of 100 ng/µL in the hybridization solution, denatured at 75°C for 5 min, and then allowed to reanneal at 37°C for 45 min. Five microliters of probe was placed directly on to the denatured samples and covered with a glass coverslip that was sealed with rubber cement. The hybridization was carried out at 37°C in a humidified chamber for 14–18 h. The samples were washed with 0.5× SSC at 72°C for 5 min and then with PN buffer [0.1 M sodium phosphate at pH 8.0, 0.1% Nonidet P-40] at room temperature three times, 2 min each. DIG-labeled probes were detected with 1× FITC sheep anti-DIG (Zymed). The samples were washed again with PN buffer, followed by a counterstain with DAPI in antifade [Vector Laboratories]. For three-color staining, the biotin-labeled probes were detected with 2× streptavidin-Texas Red (Zymed), and the samples were mounted in vescalashield without DAPI. Images were captured and processed as described for IF.

Luciferase reporter assays

Cells [U2OS or SAOS2 as indicated] were grown on six-well plates and transfected with a luciferase reporter construct and appropriate expression plasmids. The cells were also cotransfected with a β-galactosidase expression plasmid [50 ng pCMV-βgal/well] to normalize the transfection efficiency. Transfections were carried out using FuGENE 6 [Roche Molecular Biochemicals]. At the indicated times after transfection, the cells were lysed with the Promega Reporter Lysis Buffer at room temperature for 15–20 min. The luciferase and β-galactosidase activities of the lysates were measured as suggested by Promega.
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BrdU incorporation analysis

To measure the percentage of transfected cells that are in S phase, cells were grown on glass coverslips and transfected as described in Figure 6A. To monitor the transfected cells, 100 ng of pEGFP (Clontech) was cotransfected. To label the cells in S phase, 50 mM BrdU was added into the culture medium 30 min before the cells were fixed for analysis. The BrdU-positive cells were detected by immunofluorescence staining as described above. More than 100 GFP positive cells in each experiment were examined, and the percentages of BrdU-positive cells were calculated.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assays

The CHIP assays were carried out with endogenous histone promoters in U2OS cells. The assays were performed essentially as previously described (Braunstein et al. 1993; Luo et al. 1998), except that the dilution buffer was changed to 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0); 200 mM NaCl, 0.5% Triton X-100; 1 mM EDTA; 0.5 mM PMSF; 0.5 mM Benzamine-HCl, 5 µg/mL peptin A, aprotinin, and leupeptin. The chromatin was sonicated to clusters at the D6S105.

The reversion of cross-linking was done by incubating the samples at 65°C for 6–12 h. Monoclonal antibodies PaB419 (α-SV40-T), DH3 (α-NPAT), HE67 and HE11 (α-cyclin E), and HD1 and HD11 (α-cyclin D1) were used for the immunoprecipitation. For amplification of H4 sequence, two primers (5’-GGGACCTCTCCGCGGACGCTCTC-3’, 3’: GCAGTACCTTACGGTTGGCAGTACC were used to generate a 190-bp product that covers about a 120-bp promoter region and 70-bp 5’ coding region of histone H4/e gene. For H2B, the primers (5’: GGTATTCGGAATCTCTAGTTGGC; 3’: AGCACTGTGGCATATAAACGGCC) were used to amplify a 180-bp promoter sequence upstream from the initiation ATG of H2B. For H3, the primers (5’: CTGTTAGTGTTGGAATAGATATAG; 3’: ACCCAACACTAGCCGAAATAAGCC) were used to amplify a 175-bp sequence (20 nucleotides upstream from the initiation ATG) in the H3/a promoter region. As a control, a pair of primers (5’: GATCGCCAAGGATATTTGGGC; 3’: GTAGAAAAGTGTGTCAACTGCC) were used to amplify a 170-bp satellite DNA sequence (Waye and Willard 1985).
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