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1. Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2)$ be a bounded domain. In this paper, we are interested in the following class of integro-differential equations with general growth

$$\mathcal{L}u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}u(x) := \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g\left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s}\right) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} \frac{K(x, y)}{|x - y|^s} \, dy,$$

where the symbol P.V. represents ‘in the principal value sense’, $s \in (0, 1)$ and the function $K(x, y) : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to (0, \infty)$ is a symmetric measurable kernel such that

$$\frac{\Lambda^{-1}}{|x - y|^n} \leq K(x, y) \leq \frac{\Lambda}{|x - y|^n}, \quad \Lambda \geq 1. \quad (1.2)$$

Particularly when $\Lambda = 1$, Eq. (1.1) is called $s$-fractional $G$-Laplace equation. The function $g : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is continuous and strictly increasing fulfilling $g(0) = 0,$
\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} g(t) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad 1 < p \leq \frac{tg(t)}{G(t)} \leq q < \infty \quad \text{with} \quad G(t) = \int_0^t g(\tau) \, d\tau, \]  

(1.3)

where \( G(\cdot) \) is an \( N \)-function possessing the \( \Delta_2 \) and \( \nabla_2 \) conditions (see § 2).

In recent years, a great attention has been concentrated on the nonlocal \( p \)-Laplacian problems, which is the special case that \( g(t) = t^{p-1} \). For the regularity theory on this kind of problems, Kassmann [28] proved the nonlocal Harnack inequality with tail-term for the fractional Laplacian. Di Castro-Kuusi-Palatucci [13] further investigated the local behaviour of weak solutions incorporating boundedness and Hölder continuity in the spirit of De Giorgi-Nash-Moser iteration; see also [12] for the nonlocal Harnack inequalities. The Hölder regularity up to the boundary was whereafter showed by Iannizzotto–Mosconi–Squassina [27]. We also refer the readers to [1] for higher Sobolev regularity, [33] for self-improving properties [30, 32], for the viscosity and potential theory [3, 20], for fractional \( p \)-eigenvalue problems. When it comes to the parabolic counterpart, several features of solutions have already been studied, such as the local regularity [2, 15, 45] and the well-posedness [37, 46]. For more results on the nonlocal nonlinear problems of the \( p \)-Laplacian type, one can see for instance [7, 17, 31, 34, 40, 47].

When \( g(\cdot) \) carries a more general structure, Eq. (1.1) can be viewed naturally as the nonlocal analogue of the \( G \)-Laplace equation whose classical model is

\[ -\operatorname{div} \left( g(|\nabla u|) \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \right) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad g(t) = G'(t). \]  

(1.4)

The so-called \( G \)-Laplace equations have been extensively studied over the past years. The regularity theory, especially for the scenario that \( g(t) \approx t^{p-1} + t^{q-1} \), is initially explored by the celebrated papers of Marcellini [35, 36]. More results on the generalized \( p \)-Laplace equations can be found in [4, 10, 14, 26, 41, 44]. On the other hand, Fernández Bonder–Salort–Vivas [18] established the Hölder continuity for weak solutions to the fractional \( g \)-Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary values; see also [19] for the global regularity of eigenfunctions. Chaker–Kim–Weidner [9] proved, via De Giorgi classes, the interior regularity properties for the nonlocal functionals with \( (p, q) \)-growth and related equations. More recently, the weak solutions to (1.1) were proved to be locally bounded and Hölder continuous in [5] under the assumption (1.3). Regarding further studies of the nonlocal problems possessing non-standard growth, including also double phase equations and equations with variable exponents, one can refer to [6, 8, 11, 16, 21, 22, 25, 39, 42, 43] and references therein.

Although pretty abundant research results have been obtained for the nonlocal problems with non-standard growth, to the best of our knowledge, there are few results regarding the pointwise estimates such as the Harnack inequalities. To this end, our aim of this manuscript is to investigate Harnack estimate for Eq. (1.1), which can be regarded as a natural outgrowth of the result in [12]. Due to the possibly inhomogeneous growth of the function \( G \), we have to explore the suitable conditions on \( G \) in order to infer the desired result. Additionally, we require that
the function $G$ satisfies the following condition:

$$G(t\tau) \leq c_0 G(t)G(\tau)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.5)$$
for any $t, \tau \geq 0$ and $c_0$ being a positive constant. Examples of $G$ satisfying the requirements (1.3) and (1.5) include

- $G(t) = t^p$, $t \geq 0$, $p > 1$;
- $G(t) = \max\{t^p, t^q\}$, $t \geq 0$, $1 < p \leq q < \infty$;
- $G(t) = t^p + a_0 t^q$ with $a_0 > 0$, $t \geq 0$, $1 < p \leq q < \infty$;
- $G(t) = t^p \log(e + t)$, $t \geq 0$, $p > 1$.

Before giving our main result, we introduce the so-called ‘tail space’,

$$L^g_s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ u \text{ is measurable function in } \mathbb{R}^n : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \left( \frac{|u(x)|}{(1 + |x|)^s} \right) \frac{dx}{(1 + |x|)^{n+s}} < \infty \right\}. $$

The corresponding nonlocal tail of $u$ is given by

$$\text{Tail}(u; x_0, R) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(x_0)} g \left( \frac{|u(x)|}{|x-x_0|^s} \right) \frac{dx}{|x-x_0|^{n+s}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.6)$$

Notice that $u \in L^g_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $\text{Tail}(u; x_0, R)$ is finite for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $R > 0$. The details can be found in [5, subsection 2.3].

Now we are in a position to state the main result as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that $s \in (0, 1)$ and the assumptions (1.3) and (1.5) are in force. Let $u \in W^{s,G}(\Omega) \cap L^g_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a weak solution of Eq. (1.1) such that $u \geq 0$ in $B_R := B_R(x_0) \subset \Omega$. Then, for every $B_r := B_r(x_0) \subset \overline{B_R}(x_0)$, we have the following nonlocal Harnack inequality

$$\sup_{B_r} u \leq C r^{s(1-\frac{q}{n})} \max_{\epsilon \in \{1, \frac{q}{n} \}} \left\{ \left( \inf_{B_r} u + r^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_-, x_0, R)) \right)^{\frac{q}{n}} + C r^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_-, x_0, R)) \right\},$$

where $\text{Tail}(\cdot)$ is defined in (1.6), $u_- := \max\{-u, 0\}$, the positive constant $C$ depends on $n, p, q, s, \Lambda$ as well as the structural constant $c_0$ given by (1.5), and the absolute constant $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, coming from lemma 3.3 below, is a priori determined by $n, p, q, s, \Lambda$.

**Remark 1.2.** Let us point out that the extra hypothesis (1.5) is only exploited in the proof of theorem 1.1 below. The reason why we impose the additional strong condition on $G$ is that we need to split the term $G(u)$ into $G(u^{1-\epsilon})G(u^\epsilon)$ with $\epsilon$ being an arbitrary number in $(0, 1)$, and then get the integral of $u^{\epsilon'}$ ($\epsilon' \in (0, 1)$) as the integrand, which enables us to apply lemma 3.3. Observe that, if $g(t) = t^{p-1}$,
then \( q = p \) and

\[
r^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_-, x_0, R)) = r^s \left( r^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(x_0)} \frac{u_{-}^{p-1}(x)}{|x - x_0|^{s(p-1)} |x - x_0|^{n+s}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^{ \frac{sp}{p-1} } \left( R^{sp} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(x_0)} \frac{u_{-}^{p-1}(x)}{|x - x_0|^{n+s}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.
\]

Hence, our result is reduced to the Harnack inequality obtained in [12, theorem 1.1].

**Remark 1.3.** The result obtained in theorem 1.1 can be extended to the nonhomogeneous equation \( Lu = f \) with \( f \) being bounded locally. In fact, we just need to consider the additional integral involving \( f \), \( \int_{\Omega} f \phi \, dx \), in proposition 3.1, lemmas 3.2, 4.1 and 4.2, where \( \phi \) is a test function varying in different contexts. For the nonhomogeneous counterpart, we could deduce the following Harnack inequality

\[
\sup_{B_r} u \leq C r^{s(1 - \frac{q}{p})^2} \max_{\epsilon \in \{1, \frac{p}{q}, \frac{q}{p} \}} \left\{ \left( \inf_{B_r} u + r^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_-, x_0, R)) + r^s \| f \|_{L^\infty (B_R)} \right)^{\epsilon} \right\}
\]

\[
+ C r^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_-, x_0, R)) + r^s \| f \|_{L^\infty (B_R)}.
\]

The paper is organized as follows. In § 2, we give the definition of weak solutions to Eq. (1.1), and collect some notations and auxiliary inequalities to be used later. Section 3 is devoted to deducing infimum estimates for weak supersolutions by employing the expansion of positivity. Finally, we prove the Harnack inequality in § 4.

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we shall give some basic inequalities, state the notions of some functional spaces and weak solutions, and then provide a covering lemma.

In what follows, we denote by \( C \) a generic positive constant which may change from line to line. Relevant dependencies on parameters will be illustrated utilizing parentheses, i.e., \( C \equiv C(n, p, q) \) means that \( C \) depends on \( n, p, q \). Let \( B_r(x_0) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| < r \} \) stand for the open ball with centre \( x_0 \) and radius \( r > 0 \). If not important, or clear from the context, we do not denote the centre as follows: \( B_r := B_r(x_0) \). If \( f \in L^1(A) \) and \( A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) is a measurable subset with positive measure \( 0 < |A| < \infty \), we denote its integral average by

\[
(f)_A := \frac{1}{|A|} \int_A f(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{|A|} \int_A f(x) \, dx.
\]

The function \( G : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) is an \( N \)-function which means that it is convex and increasing, and satisfies that

\[
G(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{G(t)}{t} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{G(t)}{t} = \infty.
\]
The conjugate function of $N$-function $G$ is denoted by

$$G^*(t) = \sup_{\tau \geq 0} \{ \tau t - G(\tau) \}.$$  

From the relation (1.3), we now give several inequalities to be utilized later:

(a) for $t \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{align*}
&\begin{cases}
  a^q G(t) \leq G(at) \leq a^p G(t) & \text{if } a \in (0, 1), \\
  a^p G(t) \leq G(at) \leq a^q G(t) & \text{if } a \in (1, \infty)
\end{cases} 
\end{align*}$$

and

$$\begin{align*}
&\begin{cases}
  a^{p'} G^*(t) \leq G^*(at) \leq a^{q'} G^*(t) & \text{if } a \in (0, 1), \\
  a^{q'} G^*(t) \leq G^*(at) \leq a^{p'} G^*(t) & \text{if } a \in (1, \infty)
\end{cases}
\end{align*}$$

where $p'$, $q'$ are the Hölder conjugates of $p$, $q$.

(b) Young’s inequality with $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$

$$t\tau \leq \epsilon^{1-q} G(t) + \epsilon G^*(\tau), \quad t, \tau \geq 0. \quad (2.3)$$

(c) for $t, \tau \geq 0$,

$$G^*(g(t)) \leq (q-1)G(t), \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$2^{-1}(G(t) + G(\tau)) \leq G(t + \tau) \leq 2^{q-1}(G(t) + G(\tau)). \quad (2.5)$$

Moreover, the function $G$ fulfills the following $\Delta_2$ and $\nabla_2$ conditions (see [38, proposition 2.3]):

$\Delta_2$ there is a constant $\mu > 1$ such that $G(2t) \leq \mu G(t)$ for $t \geq 0$;

$\nabla_2$ there is a constant $\nu > 1$ such that $G(t) \leq \frac{1}{2\nu} G(\nu t)$ for $t \geq 0$,

where $\mu$, $\nu$ depend on $p$, $q$. As a matter of fact, the condition $\nabla_2$ is just $\Delta_2$ applied to $G^*$.

We next introduce the notion of Orlicz–Sobolev spaces. For an $N$-function $G$ with the $\Delta_2$ and $\nabla_2$ conditions, the Orlicz space $L^G(\Omega)$ is defined as

$$L^G(\Omega) = \left\{ u \text{ is measurable function in } \Omega : \int_{\Omega} G(|u(x)|) \, dx < \infty \right\}$$

equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_{L^G(\Omega)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} G\left(\frac{|u(x)|}{\lambda}\right) \, dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$  

The fractional Orlicz–Sobolev space $W^{s,G}(\Omega)$ ($s \in (0, 1)$) is given by

$$W^{s,G}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^G(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} G\left(\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s}\right) \frac{dx\,dy}{|x - y|^n} < \infty \right\}.$$
endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,G}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{L^G(\Omega)} + [u]_{s,G,\Omega},$$

where $[u]_{s,G,\Omega}$ is the Gagliardo semi-norm defined as

$$[u]_{s,G,\Omega} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} G \left( \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^n} \leq 1 \right\}.$$ 

Let $C_\Omega = (\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n) \cup (\mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega)$. For measurable function $u$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we define

$$\mathcal{W}^{s,G}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^G(\Omega) : \int_{C_\Omega} G \left( \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^n} < \infty \right\},$$

which is the space weak solutions of (1.1) belong to.

Now we give the definition of weak solutions to (1.1).

**Definition 2.1.** We call $u \in \mathcal{W}^{s,G}(\Omega)$ a weak supersolution of Eq. (1.1) if

$$\int_{C_\Omega} g \left( \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} (\psi(x) - \psi(y)) \frac{K(x,y)}{|x-y|^s} \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^n} \geq 0 \quad (2.6)$$

for each nonnegative function $\psi \in \mathcal{W}^{s,G}(\Omega)$ with compact support in $\Omega$. For weak subsolution, the above inequality is reversed. $u \in \mathcal{W}^{s,G}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution to (1.1) if and only if it is both a weak supersolution and a weak subsolution.

We conclude this section by presenting the Krylov–Sofonov covering lemma (see for instance [29]) playing an important role in proving lemma 3.3 below.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $\bar{\delta} \in (0, 1)$ and $E \subset B_r(x_0)$ be a measurable set. Denote

$$[E]_{\bar{\delta}} = \bigcup_{\rho>0} \left\{ B_{3\rho}(x) \cap B_r(x_0) : x \in B_r(x_0) : |E \cap B_{3\rho}(x)| > \bar{\delta}|B_\rho(x)| \right\}.$$ 

Then one of the following must hold:

(i) $|[E]_{\bar{\delta}}| \geq \frac{c(n)}{\bar{\delta}} |E|$;

(ii) $[E]_{\bar{\delta}} = B_r(x_0)$.

**3. Expansion of positivity**

This section is devoted to deriving the infimum estimates on the weak supersolutions of (1.1) by expansion of positivity. The following proposition exhibits the spread of pointwise positivity in space.
PROPOSITION 3.1. Let \( k \geq 0 \) and \( u \in \mathbb{W}^{s,G}(\Omega) \) be a weak supersolution to Eq. (1.1) such that \( u \geq 0 \) in \( B_R(x_0) \subset \Omega \). If

\[
|B_r \cap \{ u \geq k \}| \geq \sigma |B_r|
\]

for some \( \sigma \in (0, 1] \) and \( r \) fulfilling \( 0 < r < \frac{R}{16} \leq 1 \), then there is \( \delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \), which depends on \( n, p, q, s, \Lambda, \sigma \), such that

\[
u(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \delta k - r^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_-, x_0, R)) \quad \text{in} B_{4r}.
\]

Before proving this proposition, we first need the propagation of positivity in measure, that is the forthcoming lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. Let \( k \geq 0 \) and \( u \in \mathbb{W}^{s,G}(\Omega) \) be a weak supersolution to Eq. (1.1) such that \( u \geq 0 \) in \( B_R(x_0) \subset \Omega \). If there is a \( \sigma \in (0, 1] \) satisfying

\[
|B_r \cap \{ u \geq k \}| \geq \sigma |B_r|
\]

with \( 0 < r < \frac{R}{16} \leq 1 \), then we infer that, for any \( \delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \),

\[
|B_{6r} \cap \{ u \leq 2\delta k - r^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_-, x_0, R)) \}| \leq \frac{C}{\sigma \log \frac{1}{2\delta}} |B_{6r}|
\]

with the constant \( C > 0 \) depending only on \( n, p, q, s, \Lambda \).

Proof. Let \( v(x) := u(x) + d \) with \( d = r^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_-, x_0, R)) \). Now take a cut-off function \( \varphi \in C_0^\infty(B_{7r}) \) such that

\[
0 \leq \varphi \leq 1, \quad \varphi \equiv 1 \text{ in } B_{6r} \quad \text{ and } \quad |\nabla \varphi| \leq \frac{c}{r}.
\]

We select \( \eta := \varphi^\frac{v}{G(v/r^s)} \) as a test function in the weak formulation (2.6), and then slightly modify the expression to have

\[
0 \leq \int_{B_{4r}} \int_{B_{4r}} g \left( \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^{s}} \right) \frac{v(x) - v(y)}{|v(x) - v(y)|} \left( \varphi^\frac{v(x)}{G(v(x)/r^s)} - \frac{v(y)}{G(v(y)/r^s)} \right) K(x, y) \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^{s}}
\]

\[
+ 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{4r}} \int_{B_{4r}} g \left( \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^{s}} \right) \frac{v(x) - v(y)}{|v(x) - v(y)|} \frac{v(x) \varphi^\frac{v(x)}{G(v(x)/r^s)} K(x, y)}{G(v(x)/r^s)} \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^{s}}
\]

\[
=: I_1 + 2I_2.
\]

Following the arguments of steps 1–3 in [5, proposition 3.4], we get

\[
I_1 \leq -\frac{1}{C} \int_{B_{6r}} \int_{B_{6r}} \left| \log \frac{v(x)}{v(y)} \right| \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^{s}} + C r^n.
\]

(3.2)
For the integral $I_2$, 
\[
I_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{8r} \cap \{v(y) < 0\}} \int_{B_{8r}} \left[ g \left( \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{v(x) - v(y)}{|v(x) - v(y)} \frac{v(x)^q(x) K(x, y)}{G(v(x)/r^s)} \right] \, dx \, dy \\
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{8r} \cap \{v(y) \geq 0\}} \int_{B_{8r}} \left[ g \left( \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{v(x) - v(y)}{|v(x) - v(y)} \frac{v(x)^q(x) K(x, y)}{G(v(x)/r^s)} \right] \, dx \, dy \\
=: I_{21} + I_{22}.
\]

We first evaluate $I_{21}$. Note that, by (1.3), (2.1) and (2.5),
\[
G \left( \frac{v(x)}{|x-y|^s} \right) + G \left( \frac{v(y)}{|x-y|^s} \right) \leq \frac{2q^s - 1}{p} \left( g \left( \frac{v(x)}{|x-y|^s} \right) + g \left( \frac{v(y)}{|x-y|^s} \right) \right).
\]

Then,
\[
I_{21} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{8r} \cap \{v(y) < 0\}} \int_{B_{8r}} \left[ g \left( \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{v(x)^q(x) K(x, y)}{G(v(x)/r^s)} \right] \, dx \, dy \\
\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{8r} \cap \{v(y) < 0\}} \left( g \left( \frac{v(x)}{|x-y|^s} \right) + g \left( \frac{v(y)}{|x-y|^s} \right) \right) \frac{v(x)^q(x) K(x, y)}{G(v(x)/r^s)} \, dx \, dy \\
\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{8r} \cap \{v(y) < 0\}} \left( g \left( \frac{v(x)}{|x-y|^s} \right) + g \left( \frac{v(y)}{|x-y|^s} \right) \right) \frac{v(x)^q(x) K(x, y)}{G(v(x)/r^s)} \, |x-y|^{n+s} \, dx \, dy.
\]

When $x \in B_{7r}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{8r}$,
\[
|y - x_0| \leq \left( 1 + \frac{|x-x_0|}{|y-x|} \right) |y-x| \leq 8|y-x|,
\]
we further get
\[
I_{21} \leq C r^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{8r}} \int_{B_{7r}} \frac{dx \, dy}{|y-x_0|^{n+s}} + C \frac{r^s}{g(d/r^s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{8r}} \int_{B_{7r}} \frac{u(y)}{|x_0-y|^s} \, dx \, dy \\
\leq C r^n + C \frac{r^{n+s}}{g(d/r^s)} \text{Tail}(u; x_0, R),
\]
where we utilized the fact $u(y) \geq 0$ in $B_R \supset B_{8r}$ and the constant $C$ depends on $n, p, q, s, \Lambda$. We next estimate $I_{22}$ as
\[
I_{22} \leq \Lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{8r} \cap \{v(y) \geq 0\}} \int_{B_{8r} \cap \{v(x) > v(y)\}} \left[ g \left( \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{v(x)^q(x) 1}{G(v(x)/r^s)} \right] \, dx \, dy \\
\leq \Lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{8r}} \int_{B_{8r}} \left[ g \left( \frac{v(x)}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{r^s v(x)^q(x) v(x)/r^s 1}{G(v(x)/r^s)} \right] \, dx \, dy \\
\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{8r}} \int_{B_{7r}} \frac{r^s}{|x-y|^{n+s}} \, dx \, dy \\
\leq C r^n.
\]
Recalling the definition of $d$, we arrive at

$$
I_2 \leq C r^n \tag{3.3}
$$

with $C$ depending on $n$, $p$, $q$, $s$, $\Lambda$.

Merging (3.2), (3.3) with (3.1) yields that

$$
\int_{B_{6r}} \int_{B_{6r}} \left| w(x) - w(y) \right| \frac{\log \frac{v(x)}{v(y)}}{|x-y|^n} \, dx \, dy \leq C r^n.
$$

For all $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, set

$$
w := \left[ \min \left\{ \log \frac{1}{2\delta}, \log \frac{k + d}{v} \right\} \right]_+.
$$

Owing to $w$ being a truncation of $\log(k + d) - \log v$, there holds that

$$
\int_{B_{6r}} \int_{B_{6r}} \frac{|w(x) - w(y)|}{|x-y|^n} \, dx \, dy \leq \int_{B_{6r}} \int_{B_{6r}} \left| \frac{v(x)}{v(y)} \right| \frac{\log \frac{v(x)}{v(y)}}{|x-y|^n} \, dx \, dy \leq C r^n.
$$

Observe that

$$
\int_{B_{6r}} |w(x) - (w)_{B_{6r}}| \, dx \leq C(n) \int_{B_{6r}} \int_{B_{6r}} \frac{|w(x) - w(y)|}{|x-y|^n} \, dx \, dy.
$$

Hence,

$$
\int_{B_{6r}} |w(x) - (w)_{B_{6r}}| \, dx \leq C r^n = C |B_{6r}|.
$$

In the same way as the computations in [12, page 1819], we finally deduce that

$$
|\{ u \leq 2\delta k - d \} \cap B_{6r}| \leq |\{ v \leq 2\delta k + 2\delta d \} \cap B_{6r}| \leq \frac{C}{\sigma \log \frac{1}{2\delta}} |B_{6r}|.
$$

We now have finished the proof. \[\Box\]

Based on the above lemma, we can conclude the proof of proposition 3.1.

**Proof of proposition 3.1.** We may suppose, with no loss of generality, that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \delta k > r^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_-, x_0, R)).
$$

We now choose a cut-off function $\varphi \in C^0_c(B_\rho)$ with $4r \leq \rho \leq 6r$ and take the test function $\eta = v_- \varphi^q := (l - u)_+ \varphi^q$ for $l \in (\frac{1}{2} \delta k, 2\delta k)$ in the weak formulation (2.6). Then we have

$$
0 \leq \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} g \left( \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} \frac{v_- (x) \varphi^q(x) - v_- (y) \varphi^q(y)}{|x-y|^s} \frac{K(x,y)}{|x-y|^s} \, dx \, dy
$$

$$
+ 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} \int_{B_r} g \left( \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} \frac{v_- (x) \varphi^q(x)}{|x-y|^s} K(x,y) \, dx \, dy
$$

$$
=: I_1 + 2I_2. \tag{3.4}
$$
We first evaluate $I_2$,\[I_2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_p \cap \{u(y) < 0\}} \int_{B_p} g \left( \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) (l - u(x))_+ \varphi^q(x) \frac{K(x, y)}{|x-y|^s} \, dx \, dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_p \cap \{u(y) \geq 0\}} \int_{B_p} g \left( \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) (l - u(x))_+ \varphi^q(x) \frac{K(x, y)}{|x-y|^s} \, dx \, dy \times \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} \leq 2l \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_p} \int_{B_p} g \left( \frac{l + u_-(y)}{|x-y|^s} \right) \chi_{\{u < l\}}(x) \varphi^q(x) \frac{K(x, y)}{|x-y|^s} \, dx \, dy \leq Cl|B_p \cap \{u < l\}| \sup_{x \in \text{supp} \varphi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_p} g \left( \frac{l + u_-(y)}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{K(x, y)}{|x-y|^s} \, dy.\]

We proceed with treating the integral $I_1$. This procedure is similar to the estimate on $I$ in [5, proposition 3.1], but for the sake of readability we give a sketched proof. Assume $u(x) \geq u(y)$. Then \[g \left( \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} (v_-(x) \varphi^q(x) - v_-(y) \varphi^q(y)) \leq -g \left( \frac{|v_-(x) - v_-(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{v_-(x) - v_-(y)}{|v_-(x) - v_-(y)|} (v_-(x) \varphi^q(x) - v_-(y) \varphi^q(y)),\] by distinguishing three cases that $l \geq u(x) \geq u(y)$, $u(x) \geq l > u(y)$ and $u(x) \geq u(y) \geq l$. Exchanging the roles of $x$ and $y$, we in general case also have the previous inequality. We next consider two cases:\[\begin{cases}
\text{Case 1: } v_-(x) > v_-(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y), \\
\text{Case 2: } v_-(x) > v_-(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(x) > \varphi(y).\end{cases}\]
The case that $v_-(x) \leq v_-(y)$ is symmetric. In case 1, from (1.3) and (2.2)–(2.4), we have\[-g \left( \frac{|v_-(x) - v_-(y)|}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{v_-(x) - v_-(y)}{|v_-(x) - v_-(y)|} \varphi^q(x) \varphi^q(y) \leq -pG \left( \frac{v_-(x) - v_-(y)}{|x-y|^s} \right) \varphi^q(y) + q g \left( \frac{v_-(x) - v_-(y)}{|x-y|^s} \right) \varphi^{q-1}(y) \varphi(y) - \varphi(x) v_-(x) \leq -pG \left( \frac{v_-(x) - v_-(y)}{|x-y|^s} \right) \varphi^q(y) + eq(q-1)G \left( \frac{v_-(x) - v_-(y)}{|x-y|^s} \right) \varphi^q(y)\]
where we take $\epsilon = \frac{p}{2q(q-1)}$. In the other case,

$$- g \left( \frac{|v_-(x) - v_-(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) v_-(x) - v_-(y) \left( \frac{v_-(x) \varphi^q(x) - v_-(y) \varphi^q(y)}{|x - y|^s} \right)$$

$$\leq - g \left( \frac{|v_-(x) - v_-(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) v_-(x) - v_-(y) \varphi^q(x)$$

$$+ g \left( \frac{|v_-(x) - v_-(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \varphi^q(y) - \varphi^q(x) v_-(y)$$

$$\leq - pG \left( \frac{|v_-(x) - v_-(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \varphi^q(x).$$

In summary, we derive

$$- g \left( \frac{|v_-(x) - v_-(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) v_-(x) - v_-(y) \left( \frac{v_-(x) \varphi^q(x) - v_-(y) \varphi^q(y)}{|x - y|^s} \right)$$

$$\leq - C(p)G \left( \frac{|v_-(x) - v_-(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \min \{ \varphi^q(x), \varphi^q(y) \}$$

$$+ C(p, q)G \left( \frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \max \{ v_-(x), v_-(y) \} \right);$$

Therefore,

$$I_1 \leq - C \int_{B_p} \int_{B_p} G \left( \frac{|v_-(x) - v_-(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \min \{ \varphi^q(x), \varphi^q(y) \} K(x, y) \, dx \, dy$$

$$+ C \int_{B_p} \int_{B_p} G \left( \frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \max \{ v_-(x), v_-(y) \} \right) K(x, y) \, dx \, dy.$$

Combining the estimates on $I_1$ and $I_2$ with (3.4), we know that

$$\int_{B_p} \int_{B_p} G \left( \frac{|v_-(x) - v_-(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \min \{ \varphi^q(x), \varphi^q(y) \} K(x, y) \, dx \, dy$$

$$\leq C \int_{B_p} \int_{B_p} G \left( \frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \max \{ v_-(x), v_-(y) \} \right) K(x, y) \, dx \, dy$$

$$+ C l |B_p \cap \{ u < l \}| \sup_{x \in \text{supp } \varphi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_p} g \left( \frac{l + u_-(y)}{|x - y|^s} \right) \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+s}} \, dy. \quad (3.5)$$
Next, we will perform an iteration process. Set
\[ l_j = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}\right) \delta k, \quad \rho_j = 4r + \frac{1}{2^{j-1}}r, \]
\[ B_j := B_{\rho_j}(x_0), \quad \tilde{\rho}_j = \frac{\rho_j + \rho_{j+1}}{2}, \quad v_j = (l_j - u)_+ \]
for \( j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \). We can find that
\[ 4r \leq \rho_j, \tilde{\rho}_j \leq 6r, \quad l_j - l_{j+1} = \frac{1}{2^{j+2}} \delta k \geq \frac{1}{2^{j+2}} l_j \]
and
\[ v_j \geq (l_j - l_{j+1}) \chi_{\{u < l_{j+1}\}} \geq 2^{-j-2} l_j \chi_{\{u < l_{j+1}\}}. \]
Take cut-off functions \( \varphi_j \in C_0^\infty(B_{\tilde{\rho}_j}(x_0)) \) \( j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \) such that
\[ 0 \leq \varphi_j \leq 1, \quad \varphi_j \equiv 1 \text{ in } B_{j+1} \quad \text{ and } \quad |\nabla \varphi_j| \leq c \frac{2^j}{r}. \]
With \( v_-, \varphi, l, \rho \) being replaced by \( v_j, \varphi_j, l_j, \rho_j \) respectively, (3.5) turns into
\[
\int_{B_j} \int_{B_j} G \left( \frac{|v_j(x) - v_j(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \min\{\varphi_j^q(x), \varphi_j^q(y)\} K(x, y) \, dx \, dy \leq C \int_{B_j} \int_{B_j} G \left( \frac{|\varphi_j(x) - \varphi_j(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \max\{v_j(x), v_j(y)\} \, K(x, y) \, dx \, dy \\
+ Cl_j|B_j \cap \{u < l_j\}| \sup_{x \in \text{supp } \varphi_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_j} g \left( \frac{l_j + u_-(y)}{|x - y|^s} \right) \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+s}} \, dy \\
\leq C2^{2j} \int_{B_j} \int_{B_j} \left( \frac{|x - y|}{r} \right)^{(1-s)p} G \left( \frac{\max\{v_j(x), v_j(y)\}}{r^s} \right) \, dx \, dy \\
+ C2^{2j(n+qs)} l_j|B_j \cap \{u < l_j\}| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_j} g \left( \frac{l_j + u_-(y)}{|x_0 - y|^s} \right) \frac{1}{|x_0 - y|^{n+s}} \, dy \\
=: J_1 + J_2,
\]
where we have employed (2.1) and the facts that
\[ |\varphi_j(x) - \varphi_j(y)| \leq C \frac{2^j}{r} |x - y| \]
and for \( x \in \text{supp } \varphi_j \subset B_{\tilde{\rho}_j} \) and \( y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_j \),
\[ |y - x_0| \leq \left( 1 + \frac{\tilde{\rho}_j}{\rho_j - \tilde{\rho}_j} \right) |y - x| \leq 2^{j+4} |y - x|. \]
Observe that, by (1.3) and (2.5),
\[
g \left( \frac{l_j + u_-(y)}{|x_0 - y|^s} \right) \leq q \frac{G \left( \frac{l_j + u_-(y)}{|x_0 - y|^s} \right)}{l_j + u_-(y)} \leq q^{2^j-1} \left( g \left( \frac{l_j}{|x_0 - y|^s} \right) + g \left( \frac{u_-(y)}{|x_0 - y|^s} \right) \right). \]
Since \( u(y) \geq 0 \) in \( B_R \), for the integral in \( J_2 \) there holds that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_j} g \left( \frac{l_j + u_-(y)}{|x_0 - y|^s} \right) \frac{1}{|x_0 - y|^{n+s}} \, dy
\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_j} g \left( \frac{l_j}{\rho_j^s} \right) + g \left( \frac{|x_0 - y|^s}{|x_0 - y|^s} \right) \frac{dy}{|x_0 - y|^{n+s}}
\leq Cr^{-s}g(l_j/r^s) + CTail(u_-; x_0, R)
\leq Cr^{-s}g(l_j/r^s),
\]
where in the last inequality we note that
\[
l_j > \frac 1 2 \delta k \geq r^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_-; x_0, R)),
\]
namely,
\[
\text{Tail}(u_-; x_0, R) \leq r^{-s}g(l_j/r^s).
\]
As for \( J_1 \), it follows from (2.5) that
\[
J_1 \leq C^{2^q_j} \int_{B_j} \int_{B_j} \left( \frac{|x - y|}{r} \right)^{(1-s)p} G \left( \frac{v_j(x)}{r^s} \right) \frac{dxdy}{|x - y|^{n}}
\leq C^{2^q_j} r^{-(1-s)p} \int_{B_j} G(v_j(x)/r^s) \, dx \int_{B_{2r_j}(x)} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{n-(1-s)p}}
\leq C^{2^q_j} \int_{B_j} G(v_j(x)/r^s) \, dx
\leq C^{2^q_j} G(l_j/r^s)|B_j \cap \{ u < l_j \}|.
\]
Putting together these preceding estimates yields that
\[
\int_{B_j+1} \int_{B_{j+1}} G \left( \frac{|v_j(x) - v_j(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) K(x, y) \, dxdy
\leq C^{2^j(n + sq + q)} G(l_j/r^s)|B_j \cap \{ u < l_j \}|.
\]
According to lemma 4.1 in [5], we obtain
\[
\left( \int_{B_{j+1}} G^\theta \left( \frac{|v_j - (v_j)_{B_{j+1}}|}{\rho_{j+1}^s} \right) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}
\leq C \int_{B_j} \int_{B_{j+1}} G \left( \frac{|v_j(x) - v_j(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \frac{dxdy}{|x - y|^{n}}
\leq C^{2^j(n + sq + q)} G(l_j/r^s) \frac{|B_j \cap \{ u < l_j \}|}{|B_j|},
\]
with \( \theta > 1 \) depending only on \( n, s \).
On the other hand, by means of (2.5) and Jensen’s inequality, the following display
\[
\left( \int_{B_{j+1}} G^\theta \left( \frac{v_j}{\rho_{j+1}^s} \right) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \leq C \left( \int_{B_{j+1}} G^\theta \left( \frac{|v_j - (v_j)_{B_{j+1}}|}{\rho_{j+1}^s} \right) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} + C \int_{B_{j+1}} G \left( \frac{v_j}{\rho_{j+1}^s} \right) dx
\]
(3.8)
is valid. Moreover, via (3.6) and \(4r \leq \rho_{j+1} \leq 6r\),
\[
G^\theta(v_j/\rho_{j+1}^s) \geq G^\theta(2^{-j-2l_j/\rho_{j+1}^s})\chi_{\{u<l_j+1\}} \geq C2^{-jq\theta}G(l_j/r^s)\chi_{\{u<l_{j+1}\}}.
\]
(3.9)
It then follows from (3.7)–(3.9) that
\[
C2^{-jq}G(l_j/r^s) \left( \int_{B_{j+1}} \chi_{\{u<l_{j+1}\}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \leq C2^{j(n+sq+q)}G(l_j/r^s)\left| B_{j+1} \cap \{u < l_{j+1}\} \right| + C \int_{B_{j+1}} G(l_j/r^s)\chi_{\{u<l_j\}} dx
\]
\[
\leq C2^{j(n+sq+q)}G(l_j/r^s)\left| B_{j+1} \cap \{u < l_j\} \right| \left| B_{j+1} \right|
\]
that is,
\[
\left( \frac{\left| B_{j+1} \cap \{u < l_{j+1}\} \right|}{\left| B_{j+1} \right|} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \leq C2^{j(n+sq+2q)}\left| B_{j+1} \cap \{u < l_j\} \right| \left| B_j \right|.
\]

Denote
\[
A_j = \frac{\left| B_{j+1} \cap \{u < l_{j+1}\} \right|}{\left| B_{j+1} \right|}.
\]

Then
\[
A_{j+1} \leq C2^{j(n+sq+2q)\theta} A_j^\theta.
\]
We can apply the iteration lemma (see, e.g., [24, lemma 7.1]) to deduce that if
\[
A_0 \leq C \frac{1}{\log 2} 2^{-(n+sq+2q)/\sigma} : = \beta,
\]
then \(A_j \to 0\) as \(j \to \infty\). Now from lemma 3.2 we examine
\[
A_0 = \frac{\left| B_{6r} \cap \{u < \delta k\} \right|}{\left| B_{6r} \right|}
\]
\[
\leq \frac{\left| B_{6r} \cap \{u \leq 2\delta k - r^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_-, x_0, R))\} \right|}{\left| B_{6r} \right|}
\]
\[
\leq \frac{C}{\sigma \log \frac{1}{2\delta}}.
\]
As long as we choose such small $\delta$ that
\[
\frac{C}{\sigma \log \frac{1}{2\delta}} \leq \beta \implies \delta \leq \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{C}{\sigma \beta}} < \frac{1}{2},
\]
the desired result $\lim_{j \to \infty} A_j = 0$ can be justified. In other words, we draw a conclusion that there exists $\delta$, determined by $n, p, q, s, \Lambda$ and $\sigma$, such that
\[
u(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}\delta k
\]
in $B_{4r}$. We now complete the proof. \hfill \Box

At the end of this section, as a consequence of proposition 3.1 and the Krylov–Sofonov covering lemma, we derive the following result.

**Lemma 3.3.** Suppose that $u \in W^{s,G}(\Omega)$, satisfying $u \geq 0$ in $B_R(x_0) \subset \Omega$, is a weak supersolution to Eq. (1.1). Then we can find two constants $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $C \geq 1$, both of which depend only upon $n, p, q, s, \Lambda$, such that, when $B_r(x_0) \subset B_{2R}(x_0)$,
\[
\left( \int_{B_r} u^\epsilon \, dx \right)^\frac{1}{\epsilon} \leq C \inf_{B_r} u + Cr^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_{-}; x_0, R))
\]
is valid.

**Proof.** Define for any $t > 0$
\[
A^i_t = \left\{ x \in B_r : u(x) > t \left(\frac{1}{2}\delta\right)^i - \frac{T}{1 - \delta/2} \right\}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]
where $\delta$ is identical to that of proposition 3.1, and $T$ stands for
\[
T = r^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_{-}; x_0, R)).
\]
Recalling lemma 2.2 and proposition 3.1, we could follow the proof of [12, lemma 4.1] verbatim, except substituting $\delta$ in [12, lemma 4.1] with $\frac{1}{2}\delta$ here, to arrive at
\[
\int_{B_r} u^\epsilon \, dx \leq C \left( \inf_{B_r} u + \frac{T}{1 - \delta/2} \right)^\epsilon.
\]
This directly implies the desired result. \hfill \Box

**4. Nonlocal Harnack inequality**

In this section, we are going to show the nonlocal Harnack inequality by merging the local boundedness on subsolutions (lemma 4.2) along with the infimum estimate of supersolution (lemma 3.3), and taking into account the tail estimate for solutions (lemma 4.1) in a suitable way.
Proof. Let $l = \sup_{B_r} u$. We take the test function

$$\eta := (u - 2l)\varphi^g$$

in the weak formulation (2.6), where $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B_r)$ satisfies that

$$0 \leq \varphi \leq 1 \quad \text{in } B_{\frac{r}{2}}, \quad \varphi \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\frac{3r}{4}} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla \varphi| \leq \frac{c}{r},$$

to derive

$$0 = \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} g \left( \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} (\eta(x) - \eta(y)) \frac{K(x, y)}{|x - y|^s} \, dx \, dy$$

$$+ 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} \int_{B_r} g \left( \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} \eta(x) \frac{K(x, y)}{|x - y|^s} \, dx \, dy$$

$$=: I_1 + 2I_2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

For $I_2$, we can see that

$$I_2 \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r \cap \{u(y) \geq l\}} \int_{B_r} g \left( \frac{u(y) - u(x)}{|x - y|^s} \right) (2l - u(x)) \varphi^q(x) \frac{K(x, y)}{|x - y|^s} \, dx \, dy$$

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r \cap \{u(y) < l\}} \int_{B_r} 2l g \left( \frac{|u(y) - u(x)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \varphi^q(x) \frac{K(x, y)}{|x - y|^s} \, dx \, dy$$

$$\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} \int_{B_r} 1g \left( \frac{(u(y) - l)^+}{|x - y|^s} \right) \varphi^q(x) \frac{K(x, y)}{|x - y|^s} \, dx \, dy$$

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} \int_{B_r} 2g \left( \frac{|u(y) - u(x)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \chi_{\{u(y) < l\}} \varphi^q(x) \frac{K(x, y)}{|x - y|^s} \, dx \, dy$$

$$=: I_{21} - I_{22}.$$  

We know from (1.3) and (2.5) that

$$g \left( \frac{u_+(y)}{|x - y|^s} \right) \leq g \left( \frac{(u(y) - l)^++l}{|x - y|^s} \right)$$

$$\leq C \left( g \left( \frac{(u(y) - l)^+}{|x - y|^s} \right) + g \left( \frac{l}{|x - y|^s} \right) \right).$$
On the other hand, with the help of (1.3) and (2.5), we get

\[
I_{21} \geq Cl \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} \int_{B_r} g \left( \frac{u_+(y)}{|x-y|^s} \right) \varphi^q(x) K(x, y) \frac{1}{|x-y|^s} \ dx \ dy
\]

\[
- l \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} \int_{B_r} g \left( \frac{1}{|x-y|^s} \right) \varphi^q(x) K(x, y) \frac{1}{|x-y|^s} \ dx \ dy
\]

\[
\geq Cl \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{4}}} g \left( \frac{u_+(y)}{|x_0-y|^s} \right) \frac{1}{|x_0-y|^n+} \ dx \ dy
\]

\[
- Cl \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{4}}} g \left( \frac{l}{r^n} \right) \frac{1}{|x_0-y|^n+} \ dx \ dy
\]

\[
= Cl |B_r| \text{Tail}(u_+; x_0, r) - Clr^{-s} g(l/r^s)|B_r|,
\]

where we have used (1.3) and the facts that, for \( x \in B_{\frac{3r}{4}} \) and \( y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r \)

\[
|x-y| \leq \left( 1 + \frac{|x-x_0|}{|y-x_0|} \right) |y-x_0| \leq 2|y-x_0|,
\]

and for \( x \in B_{\frac{3r}{4}} \) and \( y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r \)

\[
|y-x_0| \leq \left( 1 + \frac{|x-x_0|}{|y-x|} \right) |y-x| \leq 4|y-x|.
\]

On the other hand, with the help of (1.3) and (2.5), we get

\[
I_{22} = 2l \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{4}}} \int_{B_r} g \left( \frac{1}{|x-y|^s} \right) \varphi^q(x) K(x, y) \frac{1}{|x-y|^s} \ dx \ dy
\]

\[
+ 2l \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} \int_{B_r} g \left( \frac{l}{|x-y|^s} \right) \varphi^q(x) K(x, y) \frac{1}{|x-y|^s} \ dx \ dy
\]

\[
\leq 2l \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{4}}} \int_{B_r} g \left( \frac{l}{|x-y|^s} \right) \varphi^q(x) K(x, y) \frac{1}{|x-y|^s} \ dx \ dy
\]

\[
+ 2l \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} \int_{B_r} g \left( \frac{l + u_- (y)}{|x-y|^s} \right) \varphi^q(x) K(x, y) \frac{1}{|x-y|^s} \ dx \ dy
\]

\[
\leq Cl \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{4}}} g \left( \frac{l}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{1}{|x-y|^n+} \ dx \ dy
\]

\[
+ Cl \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{4}}} g \left( \frac{u_- (y)}{|x-y|^s} \right) \frac{1}{|x-y|^n+} \ dx \ dy
\]

\[
\leq Clr^{-s} g(l/r^s)|B_r| + Cl |B_r| \text{Tail}(u_-; x_0, R).
\]
As a result,

\[ I_2 \geq \text{Cl} |B_r| \text{Tail}(u_+; x_0, r) - \text{Cl} r^{-s} g(l/r^s) |B_r| - \text{Cl} |B_r| \text{Tail}(u_-; x_0, R). \]  

(4.2)

Next it remains to deal with the integral \( I_1 \). Set \( v := u - 2l \). Suppose, without loss of generality, that \( \varphi(x) \geq \varphi(y) \). Then \( \varphi^q(x) - \varphi^q(y) \leq q \varphi^{q-1}(x)(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) \). For \((x, y) \in B_r \times B_r\), we in turn employ the inequalities (1.3), (2.2)–(2.4) to arrive at

\[
\begin{align*}
&g \left( \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} (v(x) \varphi^q(x) - v(y) \varphi^q(y)) \frac{1}{|x - y|^s} \\
&= g \left( \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \varphi^q(x) \\
&+ g \left( \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} \varphi^q(x) - \varphi^q(y) v(y) \\
\geq pG \left( \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \varphi^q(x) - qg \left( \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \varphi^{q-1}(x) \frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|}{|x - y|^s} |v(y)| \\
\geq pG \left( \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \varphi^q(x) - \epsilon qG^* \left( g \left( \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \varphi^{q-1}(x) \right) \\
&\quad - \epsilon^q qG \left( \frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|}{|x - y|^s} |v(y)| \right) \\
\geq pG \left( \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \varphi^q(x) - \epsilon qG \left( \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \varphi^q(x) \\
&\quad - \epsilon^q qG \left( \frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|}{|x - y|^s} |v(y)| \right) \\
= \frac{p}{2} G \left( \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^s} \right) \varphi^q(x) - CG \left( \frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|}{|x - y|^s} |v(y)| \right) \\
\geq -CG \left( \frac{1}{|x - y|^s} |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \right).
\end{align*}
\]

Here we need note \( \varphi^{q-1}(x) \leq 1 \) and take \( \epsilon = \frac{p}{2q} \). From this, we find that

\[
\begin{align*}
I_1 &\geq -C \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} G \left( \frac{1}{|x - y|^s} |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \right) \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^n} \\
&\geq -C \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} G \left( \frac{1}{r^s} \left( \frac{|x - y|}{r} \right)^{1-s} \right) \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^n} \\
&\geq -C \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} r^{(s-1)p} G \left( \frac{l}{r^s} \right) \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^{n-(1-s)p}} \\
&\geq -CG \left( l/r^s \right) |B_r|. \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
\]
Consequently, it holds, by combing (4.2), (4.3) with (4.1), that
\[ \text{Tail}(u_+; x_0, r) \leq C \text{Tail}(u_-; x_0, R) + C \frac{1}{l} G(l/r^s) \leq C r^{-s} g(l/r^s) + C \text{Tail}(u_-; x_0, R). \]

Finally, observe that for \( a, b \geq 0 \) and \( c \geq 1 \),
\[
\begin{align*}
& a + b = g(g^{-1}(a)) + g(g^{-1}(b)) \leq 2 g(g^{-1}(a) + g^{-1}(b)) \\
& \Rightarrow g^{-1}\left(\frac{a + b}{2}\right) \leq g^{-1}(a) + g^{-1}(b)
\end{align*}
\]
and
\[
\begin{align*}
& g^{-1}(c^{-1}a) \geq (qc/p)^{-\frac{1}{r^s}} g^{-1}(a). \quad (4.4)
\end{align*}
\]

Otherwise, by (1.3), (2.1) and the strictly increasing property of \( g \),
\[
\begin{align*}
& c^{-1}a < g\left((qc/p)^{-\frac{1}{r^s}} g^{-1}(a)\right) \\
& \leq q \frac{G\left((qc/p)^{-\frac{1}{r^s}} g^{-1}(a)\right)}{(qc/p)^{-\frac{1}{r^s}} g^{-1}(a)} \\
& \leq q(qc/p)^{-1} G(g^{-1}(a)) g^{-1}(a) \leq c^{-1}a,
\end{align*}
\]
which is a contradiction. Then we have
\[
\begin{align*}
g^{-1}\left((2C)^{-1} r^s \text{Tail}(u_+; x_0, r)\right) \leq g^{-1}\left(\frac{g(l/r^s) + r^s \text{Tail}(u_-; x_0, R)}{2}\right) \\
\leq \frac{l}{r^s} + g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_-; x_0, R))
\end{align*}
\]
and
\[
\begin{align*}
g^{-1}\left((2C)^{-1} r^s \text{Tail}(u_+; x_0, r)\right) \geq \frac{1}{C} g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_+; x_0, r)),
\end{align*}
\]
which means the desired result. \( \square \)

In order to infer Harnack inequality for Eq. (1.1), we need the following local boundedness result on weak subsolutions that is a slightly modified version of [5, theorem 4.4].

**Lemma 4.2.** Let \( B_r(x_0) \subset \subset \Omega \). Assume that \( u \in W^{s,G}(\Omega) \cap L^q_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \) is a weak subsolution to Eq. (1.1). Then there holds that
\[
\sup_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}} u \leq C r^s G^{-1} \left( \delta^{\frac{s-p}{p}} \int_{B_r} G\left(\frac{u_+}{r^s}\right) \, dx + \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^s g^{-1}\left(\delta^{\frac{s}{2}} \text{Tail} \left( u_+; x_0, \frac{r}{2}\right) \right) \right),
\]
where \( C \) depends on \( n, p, q, s, \Lambda \).
Proof. The process is the same as that of [5, theorem 4.4]. Let us point out that the notations below adopt identically those in [5, theorem 4.4]. We just need to notice that, after the inequality (4.14) in [5], the parameter $k$ is first chosen so large that

$$k \geq \left( \frac{r}{2} \right)^s g^{-1} \left( \frac{r}{2} \right)^s \text{Tail} \left( u_+; x_0, \frac{r}{2} \right)$$

with $\delta \in (0, 1)$, instead of the value of $k$ there. Then the inequality (4.14) in [5] becomes

$$a_{j+1} \leq C 2^j (n+sq+2q) \theta \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \right)^\theta a_j^\theta \leq 2^\theta C 2^j (n+sq+2q) \delta^{-\theta} a_j^\theta.$$ 

Let $C_0 = 2^\theta C$ and $B = 2^{(n+sq+2q) \theta}$. Then

$$a_{j+1} \leq (\delta^{-\theta} C_0) B \theta a_j^\theta.$$ 

By the iteration lemma (see, e.g., [24, lemma 7.1]), we need

$$a_0 \leq (\delta^{-\theta} C_0)^{-\frac{1}{\theta - 1}} B^{-\frac{1}{(\theta - 1)^2}},$$

namely,

$$\frac{\int_{B_r} G \left( \frac{u_+}{r^s} \right) \, dx}{G(k/r^s)} \leq (\delta^{-\theta} C_0)^{-\frac{1}{\theta - 1}} B^{-\frac{1}{(\theta - 1)^2}},$$

so that $a_j \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$. Now we pick

$$k = r^s G^{-1} \left( \frac{\delta^{-\theta} C_0}{r^{(\theta - 1)^2}} \int_{B_r} G \left( \frac{u_+}{r^s} \right) \, dx \right)$$

$$+ \left( \frac{r}{2} \right)^s g^{-1} \left( \frac{r}{2} \right)^s \text{Tail} \left( u_+; x_0, \frac{r}{2} \right).$$

Terminally, the limit $\lim_{j \to \infty} a_j = 0$ leads to (4.5). \qed

Finally, we implement the proof of the nonlocal Harnack inequality stated in theorem 1.1. From this procedure, one can apparently understand the reason why we impose the condition (1.5).

Proof of theorem 1.1. For simplicity, let $\lambda = \frac{a}{1-\theta}$. Putting together the local boundedness estimate (lemma 4.2) and the tail estimate (lemma 4.1), we derive that, for $B_\rho \subset \subset \Omega$,

$$k = r^s G^{-1} \left( \frac{\delta^{-\theta} C_0}{r^{(\theta - 1)^2}} \int_{B_r} G \left( \frac{u_+}{r^s} \right) \, dx \right)$$

$$+ \left( \frac{r}{2} \right)^s g^{-1} \left( \frac{r}{2} \right)^s \text{Tail} \left( u_+; x_0, \frac{r}{2} \right).$$

Here we have utilized

$$\begin{cases}
g^{-1}(at) \leq (q/p)^{\frac{1}{\theta - 1}} a^{\frac{1}{\theta - 1}} g^{-1}(t) & \text{for } 0 < a < 1, t \geq 0, \\
g^{-1}(at) \leq (q/p)^{\frac{1}{\theta - 1}} a^{\frac{1}{\theta - 1}} g^{-1}(t) & \text{for } a \geq 1, t \geq 0,
\end{cases}$$

which can be justified in a similar way to (4.4).
We next would like to apply the iteration \[23, \text{lemma 1}\] (see also \[12, \text{lemma 2.7}\]). Denote \(\rho = (\gamma - \gamma')r\) with \(\frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma' < \gamma \leq 1\). By a covering argument, we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\sup_{B_{\gamma r}} u &\leq C r^{s(1-\frac{2}{p})} \frac{\gamma^\frac{1}{p} + s(\frac{2}{p} - 1)}{\gamma - \gamma'} \int_{B_{\gamma r}} G(u^\varepsilon) \ dx \\
&+ C \delta^{-\frac{1}{2} r^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_-, x_0, R))} + C \delta^{-\frac{1}{4} \sup_{B_{\gamma r}} u}, \quad (4.6)
\end{align*}
\]

where we note the positivity of \(u\) in \(B_R(x_0)\). Observe that from (1.3) we can get an important inequality \(\min\{r^p, t^q\} \leq G(t) \leq c \max\{r^p, t^q\}\). Now making use of this inequality and the assumption (1.5), we evaluate, for any \(\varepsilon \in (0, 1)\),

\[
G^{-1} \left( \frac{\delta^\lambda}{\int_{B_{\gamma r}} G(u) \ dx} \right)
\]

\[
\leq G^{-1} \left( c_0 \delta^\lambda \int_{B_{\gamma r}} G(u^{1-\varepsilon}) G(u^\varepsilon) \ dx \right)
\]

\[
\leq C G^{-1} \left( \delta^\lambda \int_{B_{\gamma r}} \left( \left( \sup_{B_{\gamma r}} u \right)^{1-\varepsilon} \right) G(u^\varepsilon) \ dx \right)
\]

\[
\leq C(\delta) \left( \sup_{B_{\gamma r}} u \right)^{1-\varepsilon} \max \left\{ \left( \int_{B_{\gamma r}} G(u^\varepsilon) \ dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \left( \int_{B_{\gamma r}} G(u^\varepsilon) \ dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\}. \quad (4.7)
\]

Via selecting \(\delta = (\frac{1}{4r^s})^{q-1}\), merging the displays (4.6), (4.7) and an application of Young’s inequality, we have

\[
\sup_{B_{\gamma r}} u \leq \left( \sup_{B_{\gamma r}} u \right)^{1-\varepsilon} \frac{C r^{s(1-\frac{2}{p})}}{\gamma - \gamma'} \max \left\{ \left( \int_{B_{\gamma r}} G(u^\varepsilon) \ dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \left( \int_{B_{\gamma r}} G(u^\varepsilon) \ dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\}
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{4} \sup_{B_{\gamma r}} u + C r^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_-, x_0, R))
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{B_{\gamma r}} u + \frac{C r^{s(1-\frac{2}{p})}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(\gamma - \gamma')^{\frac{1}{2} + s(\frac{2}{p} - 1)}} \max \left\{ \left( \int_{B_r} G(u^\varepsilon) \ dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \left( \int_{B_r} G(u^\varepsilon) \ dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
+ C r^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_-, x_0, R)).
\]

We can apply \[23, \text{lemma 1}\] to infer that

\[
\sup_{B_r} u \leq C r^{s(1-\frac{2}{p})} \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ \left( \int_{B_r} G(u^\varepsilon) \ dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \left( \int_{B_r} G(u^\varepsilon) \ dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
+ C r^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_-, x_0, R)).
\]

In order to make use of lemma 3.3, we need to invoke the relation that \(G(t) \leq c \max\{t^p, t^q\}\).
We proceed by considering the integral term in the above display,
\[
\int_{B_r} G(u^\varepsilon) \, dx = \frac{1}{|B_r|} \left( \int_{B_r \cap \{ u < 1 \}} G(u^\varepsilon) \, dx + \int_{B_r \cap \{ u \geq 1 \}} G(u^\varepsilon) \, dx \right)
\leq \frac{c_1}{|B_r|} \left( \int_{B_r} u^{p\varepsilon} \, dx + \int_{B_r} u^{q\varepsilon} \, dx \right)
\leq c_1 \left( \int_{B_r} u^{q\varepsilon} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} + c_1 \int_{B_r} u^{q\varepsilon} \, dx.
\]
Combining the last two displays and choosing \( \varepsilon = \frac{\epsilon}{q} \) with \( \epsilon \) given by lemma 3.3, we finally arrive at
\[
\sup_{B_r} u \leq C r^a \left( \frac{1}{p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \max_{i \in \left\{ \frac{1}{p}, \frac{2}{q} \right\}} \left\{ \left( \inf_{B_r} u + r^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_--; x_0, R)) \right)^{\frac{ie}{q}} \right. \\
+ \left. \left( \inf_{B_r} u + r^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_--; x_0, R)) \right)^{\frac{ie}{p}} \right\} \\
+ Cr^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_--; x_0, R))
\leq C r^a \left( \frac{1}{p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \max_{i \in \left\{ \frac{1}{p}, \frac{2}{q} \right\}} \left\{ \left( \inf_{B_r} u + r^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_--; x_0, R)) \right)^{\frac{ie}{q}} \right. \\
+ \left. Cr^s g^{-1}(r^s \text{Tail}(u_--; x_0, R)) \right\}.
\]
The proof is complete now. \( \square \)
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