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INTRODUCTION

Cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) is a set of machines, devices, tubes and techniques that temporarily replace the pump and ventilatory functions of the heart and lungs, respectively, while these organs are excluded from the circulation by surgical event¹[1].
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pass filter, cardioplegia system as well as the use of the vacuum system.

The use of vacuum-assisted drainage system (VAD) emerged in an attempt to reduce the deleterious effects of hemodilution and with the advent of minimally invasive surgery has gained prominence, in addition to be used in heart surgeries.

The vacuum technique consisted of using negative pressure in the venous reservoir, allowing the active drainage vein, eliminating the principles of gravity and siphoning. This way it is possible to shorten the circuit, reducing the prime volume, hemodilution and possibly the incidence of blood transfusion.

The systematic review aimed to examine the use of VAD in CPB citing its advantages and disadvantages through case reports and evidence about its effects on microcirculation.

METHODS

Systematic research was performed, including articles published in Portuguese and English, in the period 1997 to 2012.

The reference search was performed in the databases of the following databases: PubMed-Medline (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed), Lilacs (http://lilacs.bvsalud.org/), SciELO (http://www.scielo.org/php/index.php) using the keywords: "bypass", "vacuum", "drain", "heart surgery" and its corresponding abbreviations, acronyms and symbols.

Abbreviations, acronyms and symbols

| Abbreviation   | Description                      |
|----------------|----------------------------------|
| CPB            | cardiopulmonary bypass           |
| VAD            | vacuum assisted drainage         |
| TNP            | topical negative pressure        |
| CVP            | central venous pressure          |

After this step, the process of selecting studies for examining titles and abstracts was initiated. The first inclusion criterion used was identification of relevant studies, whereas those in which the drainage system was the main subject of the article (Table 1). At this moment, all studies that only cited vacuum as a method used in surgeries and/or described events were excluded.

**Summary of Data**

47 articles were found using the keywords, in the first search in the PubMed-Medline and 23 articles in SciELO-Lilacs.

Through analysis of the abstracts included in this phase, we defined as criteria for recovery of full articles: case report, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, whose results dealt with the direct effect of the use of vacuum in the patient (positively or negative). The review was concluded with the reading of 27 full articles.

The 27 studies analyzed were divided into three groups: (I) reported cases with the use of vacuum drainage (n=3); (II) use of vacuum in surgical processes and their influence on hemodynamic (n=5); (III) use of vacuum pump procedures and their evaluation (n=19).

In group I, there were three case reports, two of which describe methods that were successful and one with accident using the vacuum technique. Shin et al. used the vacuum drainage in surgery for tumor in the right atrium. At the end of the procedure the technique was assessed as effective, allowing the removal of the tumor in a safe and simple way. Similarly, Fukuda et al. describe the surgery of the tricuspid valve in a patient with severe congestion caused by insufficient heart valve with calcified tissue. At the end of the study, the authors report a successful procedure citing that hemolysis caused by the method was insignificant and the patient remained stable postoperatively, with minimal bleeding and improvement in edema of the lungs and kidneys.

Gregory et al. in a case report, mentioned massive air embolism in patient who underwent the Fontan operation. The problem occurred in the venous reservoir pressurization caused by suction pad that blocked the air flow from within the reservoir. Therefore they recommend the deep knowledge of the perfusionist on the material used in order to avoid this type of accident.

In group II, five studies using vacuum as treatment of surgical wounds and/or abscess have been described. Petzina et al. in their study showed that the use of vacuum system generated immediate reduction in cardiac output and systolic volume, as well as the left ventricular end-diastolic volume. Kadohama et al. in a case report, also demonstrated the efficacy of using vacuum to post sternotomy, even in pediatric patients, as well as Anne et al. who mentioned the efficiency of the vacuum in wound closure in mediastinum. Lindstedt et al. showed the benefits of vacuum treatment on myocardial microcirculation.
Finally, Chen et al.\textsuperscript{[14]} demonstrated that a vacuum assist to aid in wound scarring can be used for its benefits such as to restore the integrity of the basement membrane, reducing the endothelial space and edema, blood vessel patency, increasing their diameter and capillary volume and stimulate angiogenesis.

In group III were analyzed the following characteristics: blood transfusion, hemodilution, surgical field, hemolysis, postoperative complications, prime volume, size of the cannulas used, air embolism, limitations and risks of the technique.

**DISCUSSION**

The development of research in CPB has helped to clarify doubts of some procedures, often for non-scientific reasons, but from experience in the surgical routine. This systematic review shows that the use of a vacuum drainage system can be effective, safe, providing new techniques to be performed.

Analyzing three case reports found (group I), we observed that in one case there was an accident with massive air embolism. Gregory et al.\textsuperscript{[9]} reported that the accident was not caused solely by the vacuum system used, but suction pad not suitable for the procedure, which generated the pressurization system. Replacing the suction pad for another that could maintain and facilitate the flow of air with the environment, the procedure has become safe.

Thus, the author calls attention to the materials used in the procedure, which can cause accidents when unknown by professionals.

On analysis, it was observed that 79\% (n=15) of the authors consider that the technique of vacuum assist provides benefit to the procedure and/or patient. The reduction in the number of transfusions\textsuperscript{[5,6,15-19]} contributes to not overload in blood banks. The reduction occurs by improving venous drainage and, consequently, no need for volume increase in the venous reservoir to maintain levels of security against ingress of air into the system.

The lower use of blood products contributes to reduction of postoperative\textsuperscript{[16,20]} complications, and the technique offers reduced total prime\textsuperscript{[5,16-21]} reducing hemodilution\textsuperscript{[5,6,18-21]} and maintaining hematocrit and hemoglobin levels at acceptable levels. There was disagreement with respect to generation of hemolysis by the use of a vacuum. Most authors\textsuperscript{[16,18,20,22-25]} considers that hemolysis caused in procedures with negative pressure procedures were similar to hemolysis in gravitational drainage. However, when comparing vacuum drainage with drainage by centrifugal pump, Cirri et al.\textsuperscript{[29]} showed that the vacuum drainage causes higher degree of hemolysis, according conclusions confirmed by Gregoretti et al.\textsuperscript{[27]}. However, Lau et al.\textsuperscript{[28]} and Shin et al.\textsuperscript{[24]} disagree, showing similar levels of hemolysis.

Another reported benefit was the improvement in visualization of the operative field with the reduction of blood, resulting in greater security and convenience to the procedure\textsuperscript{[6,24]}. The limiting venous drainage promotes more congested surgical field and imposes difficulties in viewing by the surgeon. The possibility of using smaller diameter tubes is another important factor\textsuperscript{[17,18,20,24]}. This possibility is explained because the vacuum system improves the flow rate through the cannula, allowing greater flow.

With this, one can use smaller caliber of venous cannulas, which improves visualization during surgery, without compromising venous drainage. It also allows cannulation of smaller vessels. The vacuum drainage is closely related to minimally invasive surgeries advocating small surgical incisions and optimization of the operative field. However, due to the benefits this type of drainage can be used in normal infusions, provided that safety measures are taken: (i) the use of own modern equipment, (ii) the use of filters and (iii) knowledge of the technique by the perfusionist\textsuperscript{[29]}.

Only two authors considered the type of drainage analyzed as being at high risk\textsuperscript{[29,30]}, being a procedure with high probability of accidents due to the rapid rate at which the volume changes in the reservoir. Even with such statement, the studies conclude that the procedure is effective and safe, because even with the condition of rapid change in volume in the reservoir, the experience in the described cases confirms the viability of an efficient procedure together with technical mastery.

Davila et al.\textsuperscript{[30]} stated that the procedure has risk because the technique is different from the usually practiced, forcing the perfusionist to have knowledge of the system. In a vacuum pump system, the system must be built to allow alternatives in cases of accidents. In the specific situation of use of negative pressure, blood circulation methods and depressurization of the tanks must be designed to provide safe procedure. Exemplifying the fact, Davila et al.\textsuperscript{[30]} demonstrated that simple change in the valve position of the circuit assembly can generate pressurization system, preventing or hindering the correction of the accident.

In our survey, air embolism was reported in five studies\textsuperscript{[17,18,22,29,31]} showing that the venous vacuum assistance produced almost 10 times more embolism in the arterial line compared to the gravitational line, despite the use of suitable equipment. The aforementioned embolism refers to the production of microbubbles in the fluid due turbulence produced during the passage through a narrow tubing under a high pressure. The same studies show that only by comparing the length of the methods of vacuum and gravity, in a situation of air into the venous line circuit by the venous line, the vacuum allows more volume of air into the system. This suggests potential danger, especially
in the use of prime reduced, as with lowered volume levels in the reservoir the turbulence generated in CPB can allow air embolism.

The other authors cited confirm the possibility of embolism, however claim to be controllable the risks of this involvement, since the perfusionist has knowledge of the applied technique, knowing the limitations and risks involved. Even with increased chances of accidents, Carrier et al.\(^\text{[32]}\) and Murai et al.\(^\text{[25]}\) argue that the use of vacuum does not increase the chance of neurological and general complications, confirming the theory that the risks can be controlled.

In addition to the risks mentioned above, some limitations on vacuum technique are taking into account by some authors as Colangelo et al.\(^\text{[33]}\), who reported the technique as costly, while mentioning the procedure using centrifugal pump to perform the drainage. However, the statement becomes fragile. It is of global knowledge that there are other methods of lower cost for the procedure, such as: (i) use of the monitor to control the vacuum from the vacuum system installed in operating rooms, (ii) vacuum pumps and (iii) roller pump.

Another limitation is cited by Taketani et al.\(^\text{[34]}\), affirming that the vacuum procedure presents instability due to imprecise control of negative pressure. However, this limitation is overcome when using valves or monitors that control levels of negative pressure.

The survey also included studies that deal with the influence of vacuum in hemodynamic parameters, especially on the microcirculation. Currently, there is little knowledge about the effects of the vacuum in the microcirculation, a place that really cares perfusionists, because in this area it is difficult to achieve blood perfusion. There are studies reporting the effectiveness of vacuum treatment\(^\text{[10-12]}\) as that detailed by Gustafsson et al.\(^\text{[33]}\) on surgical wounds such as post sternotomy mediastinitis. The mechanism by which the topical negative pressure (TNP) promotes wound healing is by stimulating blood flow in the periphery of the wound and skeletal muscle. The mechanical stress and pressure gradient through the tissue cause increased blood volume in the area. Both mechanical stress or increased blood flow are known to stimulate endothelial proliferation, capillary budding and angiogenesis\(^\text{[34]}\).

Seeking to use knowledge of TNP for improved myocardial microcirculation, Lindstedt et al.\(^\text{[13]}\) performed a study with 6 pigs simulating myocardial ischemia by occluding the left posterior descending artery and using the TNP as a way to improve the microcirculation. The results proved that the use of 50 mmHg of topical negative pressure stimulated a 25 mm depth perfusion in the skeletal muscle and there was a doubling of the blood flow in the myocardium detected using Laser Doppler.

Petzina et al.\(^\text{[18]}\) performed a sternotomy in 6 pigs and treated the surgical wound using vacuum, and demonstrated that the use of negative pressure reduced cardiac output and stroke volume of the animals undergoing the surgical process. This procedure would be used in patients with deep sternal infections that have ischemic heart failure. Concomitant to this, Chen et al.\(^\text{[14]}\) show the effects of the vacuum in the microcirculation. The author studied wounds in rabbits, analyzing the speed of capillary blood flow, as well as its size, capillary density, the structure of the endothelium and the healing process.

The best rated pressure level was -10 kPa, which achieved maximum speed of blood in the capillaries in 4 minutes and remained with this flow for a longer time. After the completion of the wounds on the rabbits’ ears, it was observed in the tissue: turgid mitochondria, membrane-targeting, large endothelial spaces, few cell junctions and many pinocytic vesicles. After 2 minutes of use of vacuum, the capillaries become more round, the endothelium cubic and the basal membrane were almost completely recovered. In 10 minutes, the capillaries become elliptical and dilated. In 30 minutes capillary sprouts emerged as villous processes, meaning angiogenesis. In 2 hours new vessels were found, the endothelial spaces have been reduced and cell junctions were firmer. In 24 hours we observed reduction in pinocytic vesicles. In the control group, in 3 days was still observed fragmented cell membrane and diverse cell membrane. We attribute to these facts the increased blood volume caused by stimulation of negative pressure gradient, favoring membrane integrity, resulting in reduced permeability, which consequently will reduce edema formation and thus heal the site quickly.

Transposing this study for the cardiopulmonary bypass, even in different conditions, we think that the use of vacuum can benefit the microcirculation, promoting better tissue perfusion and minimizing interstitial edema caused by inflammation and by changing flow regime generated by cardiopulmonary bypass. A study that still needs to be performed.

Munster et al.\(^\text{[35]}\) reported the perfusion procedure with the aid of vacuum in 54 patients. The system described in the study is similar to that normally used in cardiopulmonary bypass with addition of negative pressure monitor, disposable pressure transducer and “Y” connector to attach the tank to the vacuum source. The relief valve of the venous reservoir already composed the system and therefore it did not have to be added. By using this system, the author claims to have enabled the heart to be always empty, as well as allowed the use of smaller cannulas facilitating the surgical procedure. Other factors were reported as the maintainability of patient’s central venous pressure (CVP) close to zero, reducing the addition of 250 ml of prime fluid on average and no haemolysis was observed postoperatively.

With these data, Munster et al.\(^\text{[35]}\) reiterate the authors previously cited of some benefits of using negative pressure in CPB, adding that the procedure used is low cost and benefits the patient during the infusion, by reducing the patient’s
CVP, thereby improving venous drainage and reducing preload momentarily until the CPB. The effect of better venous drainage allows the perfusionist maneuvers, depending on the hematocrit (greater than 25%) and hemoconcentration. This procedure can reduce the excess liquid, even reducing – after CPB – the preload on the myocardium, as well as reducing the formation of edema in the patient, resulting in significant improvement in clinical outcome.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, although the VAVD has significant potential for complications and requires technology and appropriate professionalism, it prevailed in the reviewed literature the concept that the VAVD contributed in reducing the rate of transfusions, hemodilutions, better operative field, no significant increase in hemolysis, reduced postoperative complications, smaller use of prime and smaller cannulas diameter.

**REFERENCES**

1. Souza MHL, Elias DO. Fundamentos da circulação extracorpórea. 2ª ed. Rio de Janeiro: Centro editorial Alfa Rio; 2006. 828p.

2. Canêo LF, Lourenço Filho DD, Rocha e Silva R, Jatene FB, Turri F, Leirner AA. Drenagem venosa assistida através da utilização controlada de vácuo no reservatório venoso do oxigenador. Rev Bras Cir Cardiovasc. 1999;14(2):135-8.

3. Souza DD, Braile DM. Avaliação de nova técnica de hemoconcentração e da necessidade de transfusão de hemoderivados em pacientes submetidos à cirurgia cardíaca com circulação extracorpórea. Rev Bras Cir Cardiovasc. 2004;19(3):287-94.

4. Souza HJB, Moitinho RF. Estratégias para redução do uso de hemoderivados em cirurgia cardiovascular. Rev Bras Cir Cardiovasc. 2008;23(1):53-9.

5. Taketani S, Sawa Y, Masai T, Ichikawa H, Kagisaki K, Yamaguchi T, et al. A novel technique for cardiopulmonary bypass using vacuum system for venous drainage with pressure relief valve: an experimental study. Artif Organs. 1998;22(4):337-41.

6. Chalegre ST, Salerno PR, Salerno LMVO, Melo ARS, Pinheiro AC, Frazão CS, et al. Drenagem venosa assistida a vácuo na circulação extracorpórea e necessidade de hemotransfusão: experiência de serviço. Rev Bras Cir Cardiovasc. 2011;26(1):122-7.

7. Shin H, Mori M, Matayoshi T, Suzuki R, Yozu R. Resection of giant right atrial lymphoma using vacuum-assisted cardiopulmonary bypass without snaring the inferior vena cava. Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2004;10(4):249-51.

8. Fukuda W, Aoki C, Daitoku K, Fukuda I. Vacuum-assisted venous drainage in tricuspid valve re-placement. Interact Cardiovasc Thoracic Surg. 2011;13(1):101-3.

9. Gregory SM, Kussman BD, Wagner JW, Boyle SL, Howe RJ, Pigula FA et al. Massive Air Embolism in a Fontan patient. J Extracorp Technol. 2011;43(2):79-83.

10. Petzina R, Ugander M, Gustafsson L, Engblom H, Sjögren J, Hetzer R, et al. Hemodynamic effects of vacuum-assisted closure therapy in cardiac surgery: Assessment using magnetic resonance imaging. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2007;133(5):1154-62.

11. Kadohama T, Akasaka N, Nagamine A, Nakanishi K, Kiyokawa K, Goh K, et al. Vacuum-assisted closure for pediatric post-surgical mediastinitis: are low negative pressures sufficient? An Thorac Surg. 2008;85(3):1094-6.

12. Conquest AM, Garofalo JH, Mazariz DM, Mendelson KG, Su Sun Y, Wooden WA, et al. Hemodynamic effects of the vacuum-assisted closure device on open mediastinal wounds. J Surg Res. 2003;115(2):209-13.

13. Lindstedt S, Malmsjö M, Ingemansson R. Blood flow changes in normal and ischemic myocardium during topically applied negative pressure. Ann Thorac Surg. 2007;84(2):568-73.

14. Chen SZ, Li J, Li XY, Xu LS. Effects of vacuum-assisted Closure on Wound Microcirculation: An experimental Study. Asian J Surg. 2005;28(3):211-7.

15. Zangrillo A, Garozzo FA, Biondi-Zoccai G, Pappalardo F, Monaco F, Crivellari M, et al. Miniaturized cardiopulmonary bypass improves short-term outcome in cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2010;139(5):1162-9.

16. Nasso G, Costantini C, Petralia A, Del Prete A, Lopriore V, Fattouch K, et al. A new extracorporeal vacuum-assisted device to optimize cardiopulmonary bypass. Comparison with the conventional system. Interact Cardiovasc Thoracic Surg. 2011;12(4):591-5.

17. Banbury MK, White JA, Blackstone EH, Cosgrove DM 3rd. Vacuum-assisted venous return reduces blood usage. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2003;126(3):680-7.

18. Bevilaqua S, Matteucci S, Ferrarini M, Kacila M, Ripoli A, Baroni A, et al. Biochemical evaluation of vacuum-assisted venous drainage: a randomized, prospective study. Perfusion. 2002;17(1):57-61.
19. Nakanishi K, Shichijo T, Shinkawa Y, Takeuchi S, Nakai M, Kato G, et al. Usefulness of vacuum-assisted cardiopulmonary bypass circuit for pediatric open-heart surgery in reducing homologous blood transfusion. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2001;20(2):233-8.

20. Hayashi Y, Kagisaki K, Yamaguchi T, Sakaguchi T, Naka Y, Sawa Y, et al. Clinical application of vacuum-assisted cardiopulmonary bypass with a pressure relief valve. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2001;20(3):621-6.

21. Pappalardo F, Corno C, Franco A, Giardina G, Scandroglio AM, Landoni G, et al. Reduction of hemodilution in small adults undergoing open heart surgery: a prospective randomized trial. Perfusion. 2007;22(5):317-22.

22. Colangelo N, Torracca L, Lapenna E, Moriggia S, Crescenzi G, Alfieri O. Vacuum-assisted venous drainage in extrathoracic cardiopulmonary bypass management during minimally invasive cardiac surgery. Perfusion. 2006;21(6):361-5.

23. Mueller XM, Tevaeara HT, Horisberger J, Augstburger M, Burki M, von Segesser LK. Vacuum assisted venous drainage does not increase trauma to blood cells. ASAIO J. 2001;47(6):651-4.

24. Shin H, Yozu R, Maehara T, Matayoshi T, Morita M, Kawai Y, et al. Vacuum assisted cardiopulmonary bypass in minimally invasive cardiac surgery: its feasibility and effects on hemolysis. J Artif Organs 2000;24(6):450-3.

25. Murai N, Cho M, Okada S, Chiba T, Saito M, Shioguchi S, et al. Venous drainage method for cardiopulmonary bypass in single-access minimally invasive cardiac surgery: siphon and vacuum-assisted drainage. J Artif Organs. 2005;8(2):91-4.

26. Cirri S, Negri L, Babbini M, Latis G, Klat B, Tarelli G, et al. Haemolysis due to active venous drainage during cardiopulmonary bypass: comparison of two different techniques. Perfusion. 2001;16(4):313-8.

27. Gregoretti S. Suction-induced hemolysis at various vacuum pressures: implications for intraoperative blood salvage. Transfusion. 1996;36(1):57-60.

28. Lau CL, Posther KE, Stephenson GR, Lodge A, Lawson JH, Darling EM, et al. Mini-circuit cardiopulmonary bypass with vacuum assisted venous drainage. Feasibility of an asanguineous prime in the neonate. Perfusion. 1999;14(5):389-96.

29. Kiyama H, Imazeki T, Katayama Y, Murai N, Mukoyama M, Yamauti N. Vacuum-assisted venous drainage in single-access minimally invasive cardiac surgery. J Artif Organs. 2003;6(1):20-4.

30. Davila RM, Rawles T, Mach MJ. Venoarterial air embolus: a complication of vacuum-assisted venous drainage. Ann Thorac Surg. 2001;71(4):1369-71.

31. Willcox TW, Mitchell SJ, Gorman DF. Venous air in the bypass circuit: a source of arterial line emboli exacerbated by vacuum-assisted drainage. Ann Thorac Surg. 1999;68(4):1285-9.

32. Carrier M, Cyr A, Voisine P, Pellerin M, Perrault LP, Cartier R, et al. Vacuum-assisted venous drainage does not increase the neurological risk. Heart Surg Forum. 2002;5(3):285-8.

33. Gustafsson RI, Sjogren J, Ingemansson R. Deep sternal wound infection: a sternal-sparing technique with vacuum-assisted closure therapy. Ann Thorac Surg. 2003;76(6):2048-53.

34. Vandenburg HH. Mechanical forces and their second messengers in stimulating cell growth in vitro. Am J Physiol. 1992;262(3 Pt 2):R350-5.

35. Münster K, Andersen U, Mikkelsen J, Petterson G. Vacuum assisted venous drainage (VAVD). Perfusion. 1999;14(6):419-23.