Introduction

Mouth dissolving tablets as a novel dosage form, have several characteristics to distinguish them from the more traditional dosage forms. These tablets increased bioavailability compared to traditional tablets [1]. The oral cavity is an attractive site for the administration of drugs because of ease of administration. Various dosage forms like Tablets, Capsules, and Liquid preparations are administered by oral route. During the last decade, mouth dissolving tablet (MDT) technologies that make tablets disintegrate in the mouth without chewing and additional water intake have drawn a great deal of attention [2]. The mouth dissolving tablets or MDT is also known as fast melting, fast dispersing, rapid dissolve, rapid melt, and or quick disintegrating tablet. Orally disintegrating tablets provide an advantage particularly for pediatric and geriatric populations who have difficulty in swallowing conventional tablets and capsules. Additionally, pediatric patients may suffer from ingestion problems as a result of underdeveloped muscular and nervous control. Moreover, patients traveling with little or no access to water, limit utility of orally administered conventional tablets or capsules. Mouth dissolving of tablet results in quick dissolution and rapid absorption which provide rapid onset of action. Moreover, drug candidates that undergo pre-gastric absorption when formulated as mouth dissolving tablets (MDT) may show increased oral bioavailability. It provides good stability, accurate dosing, easy manufacturing [3,4]. Mirtazapine is a tetra-cyclic anti-depressant. It is contra indicated in patients with hyper sensitivity to drug [5].

Materials and Methods

Materials

Mirtazapine was collected from Sura Lab, Hyderabad, India as a gift sample. Vivosal, explotab, camphor, microcrystalline cellulose, magnesium stearate, and talc were purchased from Merck Specialities Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India.

Methods

Preparation of mirtazapine mouth dissolving tablets by sublimation method: Drug different concentrations of super disintegrate and optimized concentration of camphor were accurately weighed and passed through a 20-mesh screen to get uniform size particles and mixed in a glass mortar for 15 minutes. The obtained blend was lubricated with magnesium stearate and talc was added and mixing was continued for further 5 minutes. The resultant mixture was directly compressed into tablets by using 5 mm round flat faced punch of rotary tablet compression machine. The formulations prepared for mouth dissolving tablet of Mirtazapine was given in (Table 1) [6].
measured by tapping the powder for 750 times and the tapped volume was noted if the distinction between these two volumes is fewer than 2%. In the event that it is over 2%, tapping is preceded for 1250 times and tapped volume was noted. Tapping was preceded until the point that the distinction between progressive volumes is under 2% (in a mass thickness mechanical assembly). It is communicated in g/ml and is given by:

\[ D_t = \frac{M}{V_t} \]

where, \( M \) is the mass of powder, \( V_t \) is the tapped volume of the powder.

**Angle of repose (\( \Theta \))**: The friction forces in a loose powder can be measured by the angle of repose (\( \Theta \)). It is an indicative of the flow properties of the powder. It is defined as maximum angle possible between the surface of the pile of powder and the horizontal plane

\[ \Theta = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{h}{r} \right) \]

where,

\( \Theta \) is the angle of repose, \( h \) is the height in cm and \( r \) is the radius in cm.

The powder mixture was allowed to flow through the funnel fixed to a stand at definite height (\( h \)). The angle of repose was then calculated by measuring the height and radius of the heap of powder formed. Care was taken to see that the powder particles slip and roll over each other through the sides of the funnel. Relationship between angle of repose and powder flow property.

**Carr’s index (or) % compressibility**: It indicates powder flow properties. It is expressed in percentage and is give by,

\[ I = \frac{D_t - D_b}{D_b} \times 100 \]

where,

\( D_t \) is the tapped density of the powder and \( D_b \) is the bulk density of the powder.

**Hausner’s ratio**: Hausner ratio is an indirect index of ease of powder flow. It is calculated by the following formula.

\[ \text{Hausner ratio} = \frac{D_t}{D_b} \]

where, \( D_t \) is the tapped density, \( D_b \) is the bulk density.

Lower Hausners ratio (\(<1.25\)) indicates better flow properties than higher ones (\(>1.25\)) [7].

**Post compression evaluation parameters**

**Weight variation**: Twenty tablets were selected randomly from the lot and weighted individually to check for weight variation.

**Hardness**: Hardness or tablet crushing strength (\( f_c \)), the force required to break a tablet in a diametric compression was measured using Monsanto tablet hardness tester. It is expressed in kg/cm².

**Thickness**: Three tablets were selected randomly from each batch and thickness was measured by using digital micrometer.

**Friability**: Friability of the tablet determined using Roche friabilator. This device subjects the tablet to the combined effect of abrasion and shock in a plastic chamber revolving at 25 rpm and dropping a tablet at the height of 6 inches in each revolution. Pre weighed sample of tablets was placed in the friabilator and were subjected to the 100 revolutions. Tablets were dusted using a soft muslin cloth and reweighed. The friability (\( F \)) is given by the formula [8].

\[ F = \frac{W_{\text{initial}} - W_{\text{final}}}{W_{\text{initial}}} \times 100 \]

**Determination of drug content in tablets**

Three tablets were randomly selected, weighed and finely powdered and quantity of powder equivalent to one tablet was added to 100ml of pH 6.8 phosphate buffer in a conical flask. A conical flask was then placed on a rotary shaker.

An aliquot of solution was centrifuged and supernatant was filtered through a 0.22µ filter. Absorbance of the resulted supernatant solution was measured using UV Visible double beam spectrophotometer at respective wavelength against pH 6.8 phosphate buffer as blank. Concentrations and amount of drug present in one tablet were calculated with the help of calibration curves.

\[ \text{Conc} = \frac{\text{Abs}}{\text{Slope}} \]

| Ingredients (mg) | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | F7 | F8 | F9 |
|------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Mirtazapine      | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Vivasol          | 5  | 10 | 15 | -- | -- | -- | 2.5| 5  | 7.5|
| Explo tab        | -- | -- | -- | 5  | 10 | 15 | 2.5| 5  | 7.5|
| Camphor          | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Mg.stearate      | 2  | 2  | 2  | 2  | 2  | 2  | 2  | 2  | 2  |
| Talc             | 2  | 2  | 2  | 2  | 2  | 2  | 2  | 2  | 2  |
| Microcrystalline cellulose | QS | QS | QS | QS | QS | QS | QS | QS | QS |
| Total weight     | 100| 100| 100| 100| 100| 100| 100| 100| 100|
Drug content in mg / tablet = conc. μg/ml x dilution factor
% Drug content = drug content in mg x100 / label claim.

**Determination of wetting time**

A piece of tissue paper folded twice was placed in a small petridish containing 6ml of water. A water-soluble dye phenolphthalein was added to the petridish. The dye solution was used to identify the complete wetting of the tablet surface. A tablet was carefully placed on the surface of tissue paper in the petridish at room temperature.

The time required for water to reach the upper surface of the tablets and completely wet them was noted as the wetting time. To check for reproducibility, the measurements were carried out in triplicates (n=3). The wetting time was recorded using a stopwatch.

**Determination of water absorption ratio (R)**

The weight of the tablet before keeping in the petridish was noted \( W_a \) using digital balance. The wetted tablet from the petridish was taken and reweighed \( W_b \) using the same. The Water absorption ratio, R, was determined according to the following equation:

\[
R = \frac{W_b - W_a}{W_a} \times 100
\]

Where, \( W_a = \) Weight of the tablet after absorption
\( W_b = \) Weight of the tablet before absorption.

**Determination of in-vitro dispersion time**

In-vitro dispersion time was determined by placing one tablet in a beaker containing 10ml of pH 6.8 phosphate buffer at 37±0.5 °C and the time required for complete dispersion was determined. To check for reproducibility, the measurements were carried out in triplicates (n=3). The dispersion time was recorded using a stopwatch [9].

**Determination of drug- excipient compatibility studies by FTIR**

The compatibility between the pure drug and excipients was detected by FTIR spectra obtained on Bruker FTIR Germany (Alpha T). The spectra were recorded over the wave number of 4000 to 5500cm\(^{-1}\) [10].

**Determination of In-vitro drug release study**

Dissolution test was carried out by using USP type II apparatus. The paddle was rotated at 50rpm. pH 6.8 phosphate buffer was used as dissolution medium (900ml) and was maintained at 37±1 °C. Samples of 5ml were withdrawn at predetermined intervals, filtered and replaced with 5 ml of fresh dissolution medium. The collected samples were suitably diluted with dissolution fluid, where ever necessary and were analyzed for the drug at respective wavelength by using UV spectrophotometer [6].

**Results and Discussions**

The data were shown in Table 2. The values for angle of repose were found below 28 °C. Bulk density and tapped density of various formulations were found to be in the range of 0.61 to 0.72(gm/ml) and 0.71 to 0.81(gm/ml) respectively. Carr’s index of the prepared formulations were found in the range of 0.61 to 0.72(gm/ml) and 0.71 to 0.81(gm/ml) respectively. Carr’s index of the prepared blends was less than 18% and Hausners ratio was less than 1.25. From these results it was concluded that the powder blends had good flow properties and these can be used for tablet manufacture (Table 2).

**Table 2: Pre-compression Parameters of Different Formulations of Mirtazapine.**

| Formulations | Bulk Density (gm/ml) | Tap Density (gm/ml) | Carr’s Index (%) | Hausner Ratio | Angle Of Repose(θ) |
|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|
| F1           | 0.68                 | 0.79                | 13.92           | 1.16         | 26.38            |
| F2           | 0.72                 | 0.81                | 11.11           | 1.12         | 24.12            |
| F3           | 0.65                 | 0.75                | 13.33           | 1.15         | 26.38            |
| F4           | 0.69                 | 0.78                | 11.53           | 1.13         | 27.01            |
| F5           | 0.66                 | 0.79                | 16.45           | 1.19         | 26.97            |
| F6           | 0.62                 | 0.71                | 12.67           | 1.14         | 23.57            |
| F7           | 0.65                 | 0.74                | 12.16           | 1.13         | 26.94            |
| F8           | 0.61                 | 0.72                | 15.27           | 1.18         | 25.16            |
| F9           | 0.63                 | 0.74                | 14.86           | 1.17         | 27.09            |

Tablets of each batch were subjected to weight variation test, difference in weight and percent deviation was calculated for each tablet. The weight of the tablet is approximately in range of 96.47 to 100.34mg. The permissible limit is ±10%. The results of the test showed that, the tablet weights were within the pharmacopoeia limit. Hardness of the three tablets of each batch was checked by using Monsanto hardness tester. The results showed that hardness of the tablets is in range of 2.4 to 2.8kg/cm\(^2\), which was within IP limits. Thickness of three tablets of each batch was checked by using digital micrometer. The result showed that thickness of the tablet is ranging from 2.34 to 2.81. Tablets of each batch were evaluated for percentage friability. The friability of all the formulations lies in the range of 0.36 to 0.81 % which was less than 1% as per official requirement of IP indicating a good mechanical resistance of tablets.

Drug content studies were performed for the prepared formulations. From these studies, it was concluded that all the formulations were showing the %drug content values within 95.91 to 99.63%. All data are shown in (Table 3).
Table 3: Post-compression Parameters of Different Formulations of Mirtazapine.

| Formulation | Weight Variation (mg) | Hardness (kg/cm²) | Thickness (mm) | Friability (%) | Drug Content (%) |
|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
| F1          | 99.66                 | 2.8               | 2.81          | 0.51          | 96.34           |
| F2          | 98.35                 | 2.5               | 2.46          | 0.36          | 99.58           |
| F3          | 96.47                 | 2.6               | 2.91          | 0.49          | 98.14           |
| F4          | 99.41                 | 2.8               | 2.62          | 0.56          | 96.82           |
| F5          | 100.24                | 2.6               | 2.74          | 0.81          | 95.91           |
| F6          | 99.75                 | 2.4               | 2.34          | 0.44          | 98.63           |
| F7          | 97.14                 | 2.6               | 2.29          | 0.47          | 98.48           |
| F8          | 99.18                 | 2.6               | 2.64          | 0.59          | 96.49           |
| F9          | 100.34                | 2.5               | 2.41          | 0.61          | 99.16           |

Tablets of each batch were evaluated for in-vitro disintegration time. The results showed that the disintegration time of prepared tablets were in the range 11.28 to 29.22 seconds. Wetting time to the time required to wet completely when kept motionless on the tissue paper in a petridish. All the mouth dissolving tablets formulations of mirtazapine were evaluated for their wetting time as per the procedure described in the methodology section. The wetting time for all the formulations was in the range of 8.49 to 26.14 seconds.

Table 4: In-vitro Disintegration Time, Wetting Time, Dispersion Time, %Water Absorption Ratio of Different Formulations of Mirtazapine.

| Formulation | Disintegration Time (sec) | Wetting time (sec) | In-vitro Dispersion Time (sec) | %Water Absorption Ratio |
|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| F1          | 29.22                     | 26.14              | 32.19                         | 94                      |
| F2          | 26.18                     | 23.14              | 28.21                         | 97                      |
| F3          | 21.24                     | 18.16              | 23.18                         | 98                      |
| F4          | 18.13                     | 16.49              | 20.14                         | 96                      |
| F5          | 16.24                     | 14.22              | 19.36                         | 95                      |
| F6          | 12.41                     | 10.14              | 14.18                         | 99                      |
| F7          | 16.49                     | 13.28              | 19.14                         | 96                      |
| F8          | 14.19                     | 11.46              | 16.21                         | 98                      |
| F9          | 11.28                     | 8.49               | 13.46                         | 99                      |

In-vitro dissolution studies of all formulations are depicted in Table 5. The drug release from formulation F6 was 99.31 at 20 minutes. F6 showed the desired drug release profile and for this reasons it was considered as best and optimized formulation among all the nine formulations (Table 5).

FTIR studies of pure mirtazapine and optimized formulation (F6) were performed and it was confirmed that there was no interactions between drug and excipients (Figure 1,2).

FTIR studies of pure mirtazapine and optimized formulation (F6) were performed and it was confirmed that there was no interactions between drug and excipients (Figure 1,2).

Stability studies of mouth dissolving tablets (F6) of mirtazapine were carried out at 45 °C for one month. Evaluation of these tablets indicates that there was no change in the weight, thickness, hardness and degreased in the drug content of the tablets loaded for stability. The dissolution profile of the stability loaded tablets show that there was slight change in the release rate, but the amount of drug released 98% was within limit (Figure 3).
Figure 1: FTIR Spectrum of Mitrazapine.

Figure 2: FTIR Spectrum of Optimized Formulation (F6) of Mitrazapine.

Figure 3: Cumulative Percentage of Drug Release of Formulation F6 after storage for One Month at 45 °C.
Conclusion

The present study concludes that delivery of drug from mouth dissolving tablets of Mirtazapine can be a good way to get quick onset of action. Whereas from the dissolution studies it was evident that the formulation (F6) showed better and optimized and desired drug release pattern i.e., 99.31% in 20 minutes. In this formulation super disintegrant explotab and drug mirtazapine were used in 1:1 ratio. While stability studying at 45 °C for one month, no significant changes were observed.
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