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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

\textbf{Background:} The COVID-19 pandemic conditions are still prevalent in Iran and other countries and the monitoring system is gradually discovering new cases every day. Therefore, it is a cause for concern around the world, and forecasting the number of future patients and death cases, although not entirely accurate, helps the governments and health-policy makers to make the necessary decisions and impose restrictions to reduce prevalence.

\textbf{Methods:} In this study, we aimed to find the best model for forecasting the number of confirmed and death cases in Iran. For this purpose, we applied nine models including NNETAR, ARIMA, Hybrid, Holt-Winter, BSTS, TBATS, Prophet, MLP, and ELM network models. The quality of forecasting models is evaluated by three performance metrics, RMSE, MAE, and MAPE. The best model is selected by the lowest value of performance metrics. Then, the number of confirmed and the death cases forecasted for the 30 next days. The used data in this study is the absolute number of confirmed, death cases from February 20 to August 15, 2020.

\textbf{Results:} Our findings suggested that based on existing data in Iran, the suitable model with the lowest performance metrics for confirmed cases data obtained MLP network and the Holt-Winter model is the suitable model for forecasting death cases in the future. These models forecasted on September 14, 2020, we will have 2484 new confirmed and 114 new death cases of COVID-19.

\textbf{Conclusion:} According to the results of this study and the existing data, we concluded that the MLP and Holt-Winter models had the lowest error in forecasting in comparison to other methods. Some models had fitted poorly in the test phase and this is because many other factors that are either not available or have been ignored in this study and can affect the accuracy of forecast results. Based on the trend of data and forecast results, the number of confirmed cases and death cases are almost constant and decreasing, respectively. However, due to disease progression and ignoring the recommendations and protocols of the Ministry of health, there is a possibility of re-emerging this disease more seriously in Iran and this requires more preventive care.

\section{1. Introduction}

In late December 2019, a novel virus appeared in Wuhan, China [1], which had an acute effect on the respiratory and it was spreading rapidly [1,2]. The World Health Organization (WHO) introduced this novel virus as SARS-CoV-2 virus, which belongs to the coronavirus family [3].

Some researches and evidence indicate that the main origin of COVID-19 is bats, however, this is not confirmed definitely and needs more investigation and researches [1,3].

This acute infection disease is highly contagious [4]. This virus was declared a global pandemic due to its rapid spread and outbreak in the world [5].

Some of the common symptoms of this disease respiratory issues [1], dry cough [5], fever, chills, difficulty breathing, chest pain [6], pneumonia, etc. [4]. However, as the disease progresses over time, the symptoms in patients are evolving and changing [5].

One of the major problems with this virus is that its incubation period can last up to 14 days and during this period, it can transmit the infection without any symptoms [1,6]. Besides, some people infected with COVID-19 have mild symptoms that look like a common cold or flu [2].

The pandemic has put severe pressure on governments and public health systems [7]. Insufficient medical equipment in hospitals such as beds, ICU beds, staff, ventilators, etc., are some of the major problems...
Some other problems that have occurred as a result of the outbreak of this disease and strict quarantine to control it [2,7], are economic and social, affecting the psychological condition of communities, etc. [7].

The occurrence of the above-mentioned problems, and the other hand, issues such as the lack of treatment for this disease so far [2], the dynamic structure of the virus, and its worldwide spread, reveal the need for research on this novel virus and its behavior [2].

Different fields and types of forecasting and modeling are considered. One of these forecasting fields is a model for forecasting the number of cases that will be infected in the future, based on the number of registered confirmed cases. Forecasting the number of future patients, although not entirely accurate, helps the governments and health-policy makers to make the necessary decisions and impose restrictions to reduce prevalence [1].

Also, it is important to forecast future outbreaks, possible mutations of the virus and its spread, and especially the peak time to reduce its severe effects [8]. Forecasting helps decision-makers to prevent and even control the spread of disease by implementing strict and effective policies [2,3,6].

It should be noted that the lack of sufficient information in advance is one of the reasons for the difficulty of forecasting [6], however, it is still an effective policy and guidance for governments to avoid the spread of disease [2,6,8].

Therefore, because statistical and mathematical models that are used to forecast can play an effective role in informing the future trend of the disease [1], in this paper, we applied nine models including NNETAR, ARIMA, Hybrid, Holt-Winter, BSTS, TBATS, Prophet, MLP and ELM model to finding the best model for forecasting numbers of confirmed and death cases, separately, for the 30 next days in Iran.

In the present study, the only available information was the absolute number of confirmed and death cases per day, and other factors were not considered due to unavailability.

The organization of this paper is as: Section 2 explains a brief background of the applied models in this study. Section 3, describes the used data. Section 4 explains the results of this study. Section 5 concludes with a summary of the work performed.

2. Material and methods

In this section, the models used are briefly introduced.

2.1. Neural network auto regression model (NNETAR)

A kind of statistical model is a neural network that it uses in machine learning problems. Neural Network Auto-Regression Model is a kind of neural network and a parametric non-linear model which applied for forecasting problems [9].

In the NNETAR model, forecasting is performed in two phases. For the desired time series, the order of the auto-regressive model is determined in the first phase. In the second phase, the neural network is trained by the training dataset by considering the order of auto-regressive. The number of input nodes or time series lags of the neural network is determined from the order of auto-regressive [9].

In this method, the fitted model with a non-seasonal pattern consists of two components p and k, where p indicates the number of input lags and k indicates the number of hidden neurons. Therefore, this model is presented as NNAR(p, k) form. Also, the fitted model for data with a seasonal pattern is presented as NNAR(p, P, k) [m]. It is similar to ARIMA (p, 0, 0)(P, 0, 0)[m] with nonlinear functions [6].

2.2. Auto-regressive integrated moving average model (ARIMA)

The Box-Jenkins method was proposed by Box, Jenkins [7]. This method includes ARIMA models which are non-stationary time series but they are made stationary with differencing [7].

The auto-regressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) models are one of the most well-known and widely used models in forecasting time series [8]. In the ARIMA models, a linear correlation is considered between the time series and finds patterns of correlation between observations [8]. These models contain three combination models which are the auto-regressive (AR) model and a moving average (MA) model and a white noise process.

A time series \( y_t \) follows the auto-regressive-moving-average (ARMA) model if:

\[
y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \ldots + \phi_p y_{t-p} + \epsilon_t + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \ldots + \theta_q \epsilon_{t-q},
\]

where \( p \) and \( q \) and \( \epsilon_t \) prefers to auto-regressive part (AR), moving average part (MA), and white noise respectively [10].

The auto-regressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) models are an extension of the ARMA models which is presented by the symbol ARIMA(p, d, q) and it is expressed as follows:

\[
\phi(B)(1 - B)^d y_t = \epsilon_t + \theta(B) \epsilon_t,
\]

where \( p \) denote orders of auto-regression, \( q \) is the order of moving average and \( d \) is the number of differencing times. If \( d = 0 \) then the ARIMA model becomes to ARMA model [10].

2.3. Holt-Winter (HW)

The Holt-Winter forecasting method is an extension of exponential smoothing and applied for univariate time series [8]. This method doesn’t need a high data storage and is simple [11]. The HW is suitable for short-term forecasting and uses the maximum likelihood function for estimating parameters [8,11]. There are two Holt-Winter models that use additive or multiplicative models based on the seasonal component [11]. The additive models are applied for a model with a linear trend and with an exponential trend. The Holt-Winters additive model for data with trend and seasonality that don’t increase over time is appropriate [8].

Mathematically, the additive model is expressed as follows:

\[
\hat{y}_{t+1|t} = \alpha h + b s + Y_{t-p} + (1 - \alpha)(s - b) ,
\]

where \( a_v \), \( b_v \), and \( s_v \) are expressed as follows:

\[
a_v = \alpha (y_v - s_v - p) + (1 - \alpha)(a_v + b_v),
\]

\[
b_v = b_v (a_v - a_{v-1}) + (1 - \beta)b_{v-1},
\]

\[
s_v = s_v (s_v - a_v) + (1 - \gamma)s_{v-1}.
\]

The multiplicative Holt-Winters forecasting function is expressed as follows:

\[
\hat{y}_{t+1|t} = (a_v h + b_v s) \times Y_{t-p} + (1 - \alpha)(s - b),
\]

where \( a_v \), \( b_v \), and \( s_v \) are expressed as follows:

\[
a_v = \alpha (y_v / s_v - p) + (1 - \alpha)(a_v + b_v),
\]

\[
b_v = b_v (a_v - a_{v-1}) + (1 - \beta)b_{v-1},
\]

\[
s_v = s_v (s_v / a_v) + (1 - \gamma)s_{v-1}.
\]

where \( a_v \), \( b_v \), and \( s_v \) are indicated level, slope, and seasonal of time series at time t, respectively. The p notation indicated the number of seasons in a year. Also, coefficients \( \alpha \), \( \beta \), and \( \gamma \) are constant and smoothing parameters between zero and one interval. The end h is the forecast horizon [11].
2.4. Hybrid model

There are appropriate functions for ensemble forecasts in R software. In the ‘forecastHybrid’ package, by default, Forecasts generated from auto.arima(), ets(), thetaf(), stlm(), tbats(), and snaive() can be combined with equal weights. The other weights are based on in-sample errors that introduced by Bates & Granger (1969), or cross-validated weights. Cross-validation is used to evaluate the accuracy of the model and is supported by user-defined models and forecasting functions. Two of the models used in the combination namely, NNETAR, auto.arima have been described previously [21].

2.5. Bayesian structural time-series (BSTS)

The Bayesian approach based on prior experience (prior distribution) and given data (likelihood function) builds analytical models [12]. The prior distribution and likelihood function are multiplied to make the posterior distribution and this leads to the final Bayesian model [12].

Structural time series models belong to the family of state-space models that are applied for time series data. They can be expressed in terms of a pair of equations

\[ y_t = Z_t' \alpha_t + \epsilon_t \]
\[ \alpha_{t+1} = T_t \alpha_t + R_t \eta_t \]

The first equation is the observation equation; this equation links the observed data \( y_t \) to a latent d-dimensional state vector \( \alpha_t \). The latter equation is the state equation which describes how the latent state evolves through time. The error terms \( \epsilon_t \) and \( \eta_t \) are Gaussian and independent of everything else. In these equations, \( y_t \) is a scalar observation, \( Z_t \) is output vector, \( T_t \) is transition matrix, \( R_t \) is control matrix. In other words, \( Z_t, T_t \) and \( R_t \) are structural parameters [12,13].

Structural-time series models are useful and flexible because they are a very large class of models, including all ARIMA models. By using these models can be built time series models for short- and long-term forecasting [13].

2.6. TBATS model

The phrase BATS is abbreviated based on five features including Box-Cox transform, ARMA errors, Trend, and Seasonal components. It is supplemented by \( \omega, \varnothing, \rho, q, m_1, \ldots, m_T \) to presenting the Box-Cox, damping, ARMA(p, q), and Seasonal periods \( (m_1, \ldots, m_T) \) [8,14]. This model is a generalization of the traditional seasonal models with multiple seasonal periods [14].

To make a more parsimonious approach, the trigonometric representation of seasonal components based on the Fourier series is introduced [8,14]. This class of model is called TBATS which the first T notation referred to “trigonometric”. This model considers any autocorrelation in the residuals and handles nonlinear attributes in real-time series [14]. Also, it includes a large parameter space with the possibility of better forecasts and it is an efficient estimation procedure totally [8].

2.7. Prophet: automatic forecasting procedure

There is an available forecasting tool called Prophet in R and Python. In fact, the prophet is an additive regression that has a linear trend in piecewise or logistic growth curve trend. It includes a yearly seasonal component modeled using the Fourier series and a weekly seasonal component modeled using dummy variables. The Prophet is used for business tasks that we deal with on Facebook and has been optimized for this purpose [8].

The method uses a decomposable time-series model consisting of trend, seasonality, and holiday components.

The Prophet depends on the Fourier series to consider seasonality. Thus it creates a more flexible model for periodic effects. Also, to account for holidays, this model requires a predefined list of past and future holiday events [8].

2.8. Multilayer perceptron (MLP)

MLP network is a kind of the main perceptron model [15]. The network architecture is displayed in Fig. 1. MLPs include at least three layers. This model consists of inputs, weights, biases, and an activation function that yields the output [16]. Each input \( x_i \) to a neuron, \( j \) is multiplied by an adaptive coefficient \( w_{ij} \), called weight, then with a nonlinear activation function \( \phi \) such as sigmoid, hyperbolic tangent, etc. calculate the weighted sum of the inputs as shown in the following Equation:

\[ a_i = \phi \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} (x_i w_{ij} + b_i) \right) \]

An activation function enables the network to map an input to output, and also the network learns to represent complex data. In other words, from a statistical point of view, MLPs run nonlinear regression [15].

In the output \( o_i \) of a neuron in the MLP network, \( d \) is the number of the inputs \( x_i, b_i \) and \( w_{ij} \) are the bias and weights associated with each \( x_i \). In the model training phase, the coefficients or weights of the network are adjusted based on calculating error function and in the next steps, weights are updated based on the learning rate and the error in each iteration. In the final step, all steps are repeated until reaching the number of epochs [16].

2.9. Extreme learning machines (ELM)

The Extreme Learning Machine is a learning algorithm with high speed for the single hidden layer feed-forward neural networks (SLFN) [17]. The ELM network structure is shown in Fig. 2.

This method overcomes the debility of the traditional learning algorithms in the process of learning speed because ELM could be improving the generalization performance and reducing the training time [6]. In other words, ELMs in comparison with traditional learning algorithms tend to reach the smallest training error [6].

The input weights and the hidden layer biases are determined randomly and only the output layer is trained [6,17].

Consider the training sample \( \{ X, T \} = \{ x_i, t_i \} \). Input feature is \( X = [x_0, x_2, \ldots, x_N] \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \) and output matrix is \( T = [t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N] \), \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, m \), where \( n \) and \( m \) are the dimension of the input matrix and the output matrix [6].

![Fig. 1. The MLP network structure.](image-url)
3. Data collection and results

In this study, to forecast future behavior of COVID-19, we used the COVID-19 dataset included the absolute number of confirmed, death, and recovered cases caused by the new coronavirus in Iran. The dataset was available on the https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/ website and these data were reported daily from February 20 to August 15, 2020, on this site. All data analysis was performed using R software version 4.0.2.

In the current study, we intended to find a model for forecasting numbers of confirmed and death cases in the future. The trend of daily confirmed, death, and recovered cases in Iran from February 20 to August 15, 2020, is shown in Fig. 3. To the better presentation of numbers of death cases, we multiply it by 10. In this study, nine different methods were fitted to the data of COVID-19 (confirmed and death cases). We evaluated the performance of methods by training and testing dataset. The first 70% of data are used as training and the next 30% data for testing the models. Then, the forecasting quality of the models is evaluated by three metrics RMSE, MAE, and MAPE.

In the training phase, we trained the NNETAR, ARIMA, Hybrid, Holt-Winter, and BSTS models by training data for confirmed and death cases separately. The auto.arima function has been used to fit the ARIMA model to the data. In this way, the best proposed ARIMA model was ARIMA(1, 0, 0) and ARIMA(1, 0, 1) for confirmed and death cases, respectively.

Then, the NNETAR model fits. In this model, input variables are scaled and the obtained model is by first input lag and one hidden node. The next model is a Hybrid model that is a combination of two models, ARIMA and NNETAR. The Hybrid model assigns weight to each of the models.

In order to, there are three approaches, by “equal”, “cv.errors” (i.e. Cross Validated errors), and “insample.errors”. We implemented this model with two approaches, “equal”, “cv.errors” and then we indicated the two relevant models with the symbols “Hybrid-e” and “Hybrid-c”, respectively. In the Hybrid-c model, for confirmed cases, the weights were 0.495 for ARIMA and 0.505 for NNETAR, and also for death cases, weights were 0.499 for ARIMA and 0.501 for the NNETAR model.

Next, we train the MLP and ELM models, the number of hidden layers and hidden nodes in each layer were determined by the 5-Fold cross-validation method automatically. Moreover, the activation function was considered a sigmoid function, and the model training was conducted by 20 iterations. In the end, the non-seasonal Holt-Winter model, Bayesian Structural Time-Series model (BSTS), TBATS, and Prophet models are fitted too.

In the testing phase, we forecasted the length of the test data by the training model in the previous phase and compared it with testing data. The performance metrics RMSE, MAE, and MAPE calculated for all of the models in the training and testing phases. These results are reported in Tables 1 and 2. Also, we showed these results graphically using bar graphs in Fig. 4.

By comparing performance metrics, we concluded that for confirmed cases, except for the Hybrid-e model, other models did not perform well in the test phase. Also, the Holt-Winter model was the best model with the lowest performance metrics for death cases time series data. Therefore, the Hybrid-e and Holt-Winter models are the best models with the lowest performance metrics to forecasting confirmed and death cases, respectively.

After determining the best models, we forecasted the future behavior of the time series of confirmed and death cases for the next 30 days using these models. The 30-days COVID-19 forecasting graphs of confirmed and death cases (Fig. 5) were plotted.

The results of the forecast showed which on September 14, 2020, we will have 2484 new confirmed and 114 new death cases of COVID-19. These values for 30 days are reported in the Appendix.
About seven months after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, the pandemic conditions are still prevalent in Iran and other countries and the monitoring system is gradually discovering new cases every day. Therefore, it is a cause for concern around the world. The vaccine for this disease has not been definitively discovered yet, and even if it is discovered, there is no guarantee that the primary vaccine will be highly effective [18].

In the absence of vaccines or antiviral drugs for COVID-19, effective non-pharmacological interventions, such as personal protection and social distancing, etc., are critical to controlling the pandemic [19,20].

Because statistical and mathematical models that are used to forecast can play an effective role in informing the future trend of the disease, in this paper, we applied nine models including NNETAR, ARIMA, Hybrid, Holt-Winter, BSTS, TBATS, and Prophet model to find the best model for forecasting numbers of confirmed and death cases, separately, for the 30 next days in Iran. After fitting these models to data, we compared models together by RMSE, MAE, and MAPE measures.

In respect of obtained results in the training and testing phase, the best model with the best performance (lowest RMSE, MAE, and MAPE) and precision for confirmed and death cases was Hybrid (by equal weight) and Holt-Winter models, respectively. These models forecast which on September 14, 2020, we will have 2484 new confirmed and
114 new death cases of COVID-19.

All models except the Hybrid model (about confirmed cases) performed poorly in the test phase compared to the training phase, but we used the test phase results to select the best model. This is because many other factors are either not available or have been ignored in this study and can affect the accuracy of forecast results.

It should be noted that in this 9 model, we used limited and available data including the number of cases and the number of deaths. While for the accuracy of the forecasts, other predictor variables affect the increase or decrease in the number of cases or the number of deaths that were not considered.

These Factors such as age, gender [22, 23], other chronic diseases [24], environmental factors, quarantine [3], guidelines, and decisions implemented by governments to reduce the incidence of disease [6], cultural and social issues, health policies, preventive restrictions [7], may have a significant impact on newly infected cases, while we do not take them into account in the forecasting process.

Another issue is that the exact cause and trend of the epidemic are not yet known obviously, while for a more accurate prediction, the actual situation must be considered [4].

On the other hand, lack of diagnostic kits at the beginning of the pandemic, the presence of infected but asymptomatic individuals who have not been diagnosed [3], the duration and severity of restrictions such as social distancing [7], or other factors such as changes in air temperature, humidity, and even air quality that occur during the pandemic period are effective and will affect the results of the forecast [8]. These factors are a kind of limitation for forecasting and studies about COVID-19, and to achieve the most accurate results, they must be considered, which can be of future study.

Other studies have been confirmed in this field. For example, Mof-takhar et al. [3] used two ANN and ARIMA models to forecast the number of new cases during 30 days in Iran, and by comparing the results of the two models, proposed the ARIMA model as a more accurate method for forecasting [3]. Besides, Yang et al. [4] used the ARIMA models to forecast the number of new cases and deaths in Italy too, based on data from Hubei, China [4]. Pantoh et al. [6], proposed the MLP model for forecasting cases in South Korea if other factors affecting the cases are not considered. The MLP model has been proposed as a suitable model for forecasting the number of confirmed, recorded, and fatal cases using cumulative data in this country [6]. The findings of our research revealed that MLP is a suitable model for forecasting the number of confirmed cases. Therefore, the finding of the current study about the confirmed cases corresponds with the findings of Pantoh et al. [6] study.

Also, Yonar et al. [7] used some curve estimation models, Box-Jenkins (ARIMA) and Brown/Holt linear exponential smoothing methods to forecast the number of patients in the coming days, based on available data. They chose Germany, United Kingdom, France, Italy, Russian, Canada, Japan, and Turkey, for their studies [7].

In another study, Papastefanopoulos et al. [8] used six statistical models to estimate the percentage of active cases for the total population, starting from May 4 for the next 7 days in 10 countries. The models they used are ARIMA, the Holt-Winters additive model (HWAAS), TBAT, Facebook’s Prophet, Deep AR, and N-Beats. Their ten selected countries,
the USA, UK, Italy, Spain, Russian, France, Turkey, Germany, Iran, and Brazil, were the countries with the highest number of confirmed cases [8].

In the previous studies, we did not find a study that compares all the models in this article, and also, no study was found that used the hybrid model in the “forecastHybrid” Package for forecasting COVID-19.

5. Conclusion

The purpose of this study is to model the COVID-19 data and find the best model for forecasting the behavior of this disease in the future. For this purpose, nine models such as NNETAR, ARIMA, Hybrid, Holt-Winter, BSTS, TBATS, Prophet, MLP, and ELM network, which are used for forecasting, were fitted to COVID-19 data. Based on the findings of this study, it was concluded that the better model with less forecasting error on unseen data of confirmed cases is the MLP network and therefore, it can forecast the confirmed cases in the future more accurately than other models. Also, to forecasting the death cases, the Holt-Winter model has a lower forecasting error and can be used to forecasting death cases.

Based on the trend of data and forecast results, the number of confirmed and death cases are almost constant and decreasing, respectively. However, given that the disease is still progressing and ignoring the recommendations and protocols of the Ministry of Health i.e. stopping the approach of applying strict government restrictions and policies such as closing schools, stopping business and travel, etc., there is a possibility of more prevalence and re-emerging of this disease more seriously in Iran.
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Appendix A

| Forecasted days | Forecasted confirmed cases by MLP model | Forecasted death cases by Holt-Winter model |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| 2020–08-16      | 2287.313                               | 164.1993                                   |
| 2020–08-17      | 2292.207                               | 162.4906                                   |
| 2020–08-18      | 2282.491                               | 160.7819                                   |
| 2020–08-19      | 2319.29                                | 159.0733                                   |
| 2020–08-20      | 2346.384                               | 157.3646                                   |
| 2020–08-21      | 2368.514                               | 155.6559                                   |
| 2020–08-22      | 2394.127                               | 153.9472                                   |
| 2020–08-23      | 2414.632                               | 152.2385                                   |
| 2020–08-24      | 2431.233                               | 150.5298                                   |

(continued on next page)
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| Forecasted days | Forecasted confirmed cases by MLP model | Forecasted death cases by Holt-Winter model |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| 2020–08-25      | 2445.142                               | 148.8211                                   |
| 2020–08-26      | 2455.391                               | 147.1124                                   |
| 2020–08-27      | 2463.584                               | 145.4037                                   |
| 2020–08-28      | 2469.989                               | 143.695                                    |
| 2020–08-29      | 2474.524                               | 141.9863                                   |
| 2020–08-30      | 2477.602                               | 140.2776                                   |
| 2020–08-31      | 2480.011                               | 138.5689                                   |
| 2020–09-01      | 2481.69                                | 136.8602                                   |
| 2020–09-02      | 2482.852                               | 135.1515                                   |
| 2020–09-03      | 2483.637                               | 133.4428                                   |
| 2020–09-04      | 2484.151                               | 131.7341                                   |
| 2020–09-05      | 2484.481                               | 130.0254                                   |
| 2020–09-06      | 2484.685                               | 128.3167                                   |
| 2020–09-07      | 2484.806                               | 126.686                                    |
| 2020–09-08      | 2484.874                               | 124.8993                                   |
| 2020–09-09      | 2484.908                               | 123.1906                                   |
| 2020–09-10      | 2484.921                               | 121.4819                                   |
| 2020–09-11      | 2484.924                               | 119.7732                                   |
| 2020–09-12      | 2484.92                                | 118.0645                                   |
| 2020–09-13      | 2484.914                               | 116.3558                                   |
| 2020–09-14      | 2484.907                               | 114.6471                                   |