Laparoscopic treatment of abdominal unicentric castleman’s disease: a case report and literature review
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Abstract

Background: Castleman’s disease is a rare lymphoproliferative disorder of unknown etiology that most commonly presents as a mediastinal nodal mass. It is exceptionally uncommon for Castleman’s disease to present in the mesentery and, only 53 cases have ever been described in the literature. Standard treatment for this lymphoproliferative disorder involving a single node is a complete “en bloc” surgical resection which has proven to be a curative approach in almost all cases without recurrence after 20 years of follow up. All 53 reported cases of mesenteric Castleman’s disease, except one, were treated with laparotomy.

Case presentation: We report on a case of mesenteric Castleman’s disease localized in the mesentery which is the second reported case of its kind and was treated by a laparoscopic-assisted procedure. Our female patient had an uneventful postoperative course and was discharged in the 5th post-operative day. No signs of recurrence were present as evidenced by physical examination and total body CT scan 24 months after the operation. We compare our case with the other reported cases in which Castleman’s disease presented as an isolated mass in the abdomen.

Conclusion: Although a rare disease, Unicentric Castleman’s disease should always be considered when a solid asymptomatic abdominal mass is occasionally presented. The laparoscopic approach (LA) allows for the achievement of better results than open surgery, including a reduction in postoperative pain and length of hospital stay. In cases of masses of an uncertain nature, LA must be considered the last diagnostic tool and the first treatment one.
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Background

Castleman’s disease (CD) is a rare and benign lymphoproliferative disorder that can involve single (unicentric) or multiple lymph nodes (multicentric). It can be classified into three histopathological patterns: hyaline-vascular (HV) type, plasma cell (PC) type and mixed variant [1, 2]. Usually the HV type appears more frequently as a unicentric localization whereas the PC type and mixed variant are mostly multicentric [3, 4]. Although Unicentric Castleman’s Disease (UCD) can affect any nodal station, a typical localization of the disease is in the mediastinum (70% of cases). Mesenteric localization of UCD is very rare and a differential diagnosis between UCD and other disorders is very difficult to achieve. [5]. The Laparoscopic approach (LA) represents the gold standard treatment in many abdominal diseases [6]. It provides an alternative to an open approach that may reduce postoperative pain, postoperative complications and result in a shorter hospital stay.

The aim of this report is to describe a case of UCD localized in the transversal mesocolon treated by LA at our center. We also carried out a Literature Review about Laparoscopic treatment of Abdominal UCD which is reported herein.
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**Case presentation**

A 33 year-old female patient was admitted to our General Surgery Department in March of 2014 due to the presence of a palpable mass in her right abdominal flank and dyspeptic symptoms. She had been a smoker for about 15 years and was in good general status with a Body Mass Index of 20.5. She reported the 4 year presence of a painless mass which had been revealed upon a first abdominal wall ultrasonography (US) that showed in the right para-umbilical region a solid slightly hyperechoic mass of 1.5 cm diameter, reported as consistent with a lipoma. She had an operative history of umbilical hernia repair without mesh. After 4 years of wellness, she repeated an abdominal US which revealed a defined solid lesion measuring 8.2 × 6.1 × 6.8 cm under the inferior hepatic edge and close to the inferior cava vein and the inferior pole of the right kidney (Fig. 1). The subsequent abdominal computed tomography (CT) showed a solid heterogeneous mass with inner calcifications measuring 9 × 7 × 6 cm, hypervascular and well circumscribed from the pancreatic head, liver and inferior pole of the kidney (Figs. 2 and 3). No bowel obstruction and no other masses or lymphadenopathy were observed. A physical examination revealed the presence of a palpable, mobile mass in the right abdominal quadrant without tenderness. No other lymph node enlargements were found. Preoperative blood tests only showed elevated the CA 125 marker (81.4 U/ml, with normal value < 35).

The patient underwent a laparoscopic procedure with three 11-mm ports in standard position for a right colectomy. Laparoscopic exploration showed a mass in the context of the transversal mesocolon, connected to the middle colonic vessels and ahead the duodenum. The procedure started with the opening of the gastro-colic ligament using Ultracision® (Ethicon Endo-Surgery Inc, Cincinnati, OH, USA). The hepatic flexure was mobilized respecting Gerota’s and Toldt’s Fasrias. The entire mass was well isolated laparoscopically and completely removed through a xifo-umbilical incision. The procedure was conducted in 120 min with no intraoperative complications.

Macroscopically the mass measured 9 × 8 × 4 cm in size, surrounded by a thick whitish fibrous capsule. The histopathological report referred an enlarged lymph node with multiple lymphoid follicular, fibroblastic proliferation, multiple fibrotic septa and hyalinised vessels. An Immunohistochemistry study showed dendritic cells (CD 21+, CD 23+) and small mantle-zone lymphoid cells (CD20+, bcl-2+). The final diagnosis was of UCD, hyaline-vascular subtype (UCD-HV). The patient had an uneventful postoperative course and was discharged on the 5th post-operative day. At this time no signs of recurrence are present by physical examination nor by total body CT scan 24 months after the operation.

**Discussion and conclusions**

Castleman’s disease was described for the first time in 1954 by Benjamin Castleman, a pathologist at Massachusetts General Hospital, as an uncommon lymphoproliferative disorder and subsequently in 1956 as a benign, localized thymoma-like enlargement involving hyperplastic lymph nodes in the anterior mediastinum [7, 8]. Earlier synonyms of CD included “angiofollicular lymph node hyperplasia”, “giant cell lymph node hyperplasia”, “follicular lymphoreticuloma”, “lymphoid hamartoma” and “benign lymphoma”.
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Incidence and classification
The prevalence of CD has not been estimated, but it has been calculated that the number of cases in the United States ranges from 30,000 to 100,000 [9]. Its incidence rate has not been reported in literature, although CD appears to be more common in the Asian population [10].

A commonly used system to classify the heterogeneity of CD was proposed by McCarty et al. in 1955 [11]. Based on clinical and radiological characteristics, CD can be classified as unicentric (unifocal) or multicentric (MCD) form, depending on the number of lymph nodes involved.

UCD represents the most common form (>90%) of CD and is asymptomatic in over half of cases. Sometimes, when the lesion is large enough, compressive or constitutional symptoms may be present. It tends to occur in the third and fourth decade of life with a slight female predominance with a median age of 35 years [12, 13]. The age of the patient reported in this case is in line with the average age of all other patients with UCD reported in the literature.

Pathologic mechanism
The pathophysiological basis of Castleman’s disease is still unclear. However, chronic low-grade inflammation, immunodeficiency status and dysregulation autoimmunity have been proposed as likely pathogenic mechanisms. The critical role of inflammatory mediators such as interleukin 6 (IL-6) or interleukin 10 (IL-10) and human herpes virus 8 (only in Multicentric variant) has been well demonstrated in preclinical animal models [14].
Dysregulation and overexpression of IL-6 stimulate hematocytes to produce acute phase proteins which increase the levels of the hepcidin hormone, which correlates with anemia. IL-6 also stimulates B-cells and blood vessel proliferation promoting the overexpression of the vascular endothelial growth factor and the neoangiogenesis. Interestingly, a recent study has demonstrated that hyaline-vascular Castleman's disease is often a monoclonal proliferation, consisting most likely of lymph node stromal cells [15].

**Histological features**

CD can be classified into three histopathological patterns: a hyaline-vascular (HV) type, a plasma cell (PC) type and a mixed variant. Usually it is the HV type that represents 80–90% of cases and appears more frequently as unicentric localization (UCD) whereas the PC type is mostly multicentric (MCD) and accounts for only 10–20% of cases.

In the HV variant, lymph nodes involved in the disease, show increased numbers of lymphoid follicles that exhibit features of “regression”: a term referring to a predominance of dendritic cells relative to lymphocytes within germinal centers and consequent rearrangement of mantle zones, known as an “onion ring pattern”. Also, an increased number of small hyalinized vessels between and within follicles, named “lollipop follicles”, results in obliteration of medullary sinuses. In the unicentric localization the average size of lymph nodes is very wide, ranging from 1 to 12 cm. The lesion size reported in this case is consistent with those reported in literature.

**Location**

UCD most frequently affects lymphoid tissues of the thorax (70%) neck (15%), abdomen-pelvis (12%) and axilla (3%). The location of the disease in mesentery is rare and usually associated with multicentric form. In a recent case report and literature review [16], only 53 cases of mesenteric UCD were reported worldwide. All these cases except one were treated with a laparotomy. To the best of our knowledge our case is the second reported of mesenteric UCD, as unicentric localization (UCD) whereas the PC type is mostly multicentric (MCD) and accounts for only 10–20% of cases.

In particular, although endoscopic or ultrasound-guided fine needle biopsy is recommended by many authors, severe bleeding risk in hypervascular mass. In all cases reviewed in the literature, all authors performed a preoperative Computed Tomography (CT) scan, often proceeded by an Ultrasonography (US) and followed by Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), Positron Emission Tomography (PET) or Fine Needle Aspiration Biopsy (FNAB). A preoperative diagnosis of CD was not suspected in any of the cases [20, 21]. This is consistent with our clinical case in which a definitive preoperative diagnosis was not obtained. In particular, although endoscopic or ultrasound-guided fine needle biopsy is recommended by many authors, severe bleeding risk in hypervascular mass should be taken into account. Based on all these considerations, we do not perform a preoperative cytological diagnosis due to the risk of bleeding.

Although UCD is a not a malignant condition, different malignancies and other diseases have been associated with it [22]. Non-Hodgkin lymphoma and amyloidosis have been reported in approximately 18% of patients with MCD, as well as in patients with UCD [23]. Paraneoplastic pemphigus is also associated with UCD in about 20% of patients.
cases and characterized by an increased risk of lymphoma [24]. Lymphoma, lymph node metastasis, paraganglioma, gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST), ectopic pheochromocytoma, leiomyoma and leiomyosarcoma, liposarcoma, fibrosarcoma can be included in differential diagnosis with mesenteric UCD, especially for female patients [25]. Moreover, the differential diagnosis must be performed with other causes of lymphadenopathy such as tuberculosis, luetic lymphadenitis, abscess, sarcoidosis, HIV and toxoplasmosis. Because the radiological findings for UCD are unspecific, the preoperative radiologic differential diagnosis of mesenteric disease most commonly includes hypervascular mesenchymal tumors such as GIST, neurogenic tumors such as ectopic pheochromocytoma, carcinoids or pancreatic cancer. Due to the fact that many patients show very similar radiologic features, a differential diagnosis is very difficult to arrive at. Unfortunately, the characteristics detectable for diagnostic tools (US: CT scan, MRI or PET) are not conclusive for CD even if Malara et al. described in detail the US and CT features of mesenteric UCD [26]. Most cases of abdominal UCD cannot be visible on radiographs unless they are massive or have calcifications. Abdominal UCD usually presents as a homogenous and hypoechoic solitary mass by US. In contrast, abdominal US of our patient showed heterogeneity of the mass, perhaps due to its large size. Homogeneity with intense contrast enhancement reflecting hypervascularity of the lesion is a characteristic finding at CT of abdominal UCD. Mesenteric UCD commonly appears at CT as a well-defined single mass of soft tissue without satellite nodules or surrounded by normal lymphadenopathy [27, 28]. UCD usually results positive on fluorodeoxyglucose PET [29].

Table 1: Studies about laparoscopic treatment of abdominal castelman’s disease

| Author | Localization | Sex | Symptoms and/or Signs | Preoperative Study | Suspected Diagnosis | Positive Markers | Surgical Technique | Histology |
|--------|--------------|-----|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Lee J. [9] | Pelvic | F | None | CT, TVUS | Adenexal Mass | None | Single-Port Laparoscopic Mass Excision | 7-cm HV Type |
| Miyoshi H. [10] | Liver VI Segment | F | Epigastric Pain | US, CT, MRI, PET, EGDS, Colonoscopy | HCC | None | Laparoscopic Assisted Right Lobectomy | 2-cm HV Type |
| Jang S.Y. [11] | Hepatoduodenal Ligament Omentum | F | Right Quadrant Pain | CT, MRI, SA | Exophytic HCC | None | Totally Laparoscopic Resection | 3-cm HV Type |
| Bauters A. [12] | Jejunal Mesentery | F | None | CT, MRI | Duodenal Gist | None | Totally Laparoscopic Resection | 3-cm PC and HV Type |
| Lee H.J. [13] | Spleen | M | Abdominal Pain, Fever, Diarrhea | CT | Lymphoma, Splenic Hamartoma or Abscess | CRP, ESR | Laparoscopic Splenectomy | 7-cm HV Type |
| Cecka F. [15] | Pancreas | F | Epigastric Pain | CT, EUS, FNAB | Gastric GIST, Pancreatic Tumour | None | Laparoscopic Pancreatic Resection | 4-cm HV Type |
| Martin A.K. [16] | Right Retroperitoneal Mass | M | Nausea and Vomiting | EUS biopsy; CT-Pet | Lymphoma, Metastatic Disease, Extra-Adrenal Pheochromocytoma, Testicular Cancer. | None | Totally Laparoscopic Resection | 5.5 cm HV Type |
| Brusciano L. [17] | Posterior Surface of Abdominal Wall | M | Palpable Mass | CT | None | Totally Laparoscopic Resection | 5 cm PC Type |
| Corcione F. [18] | Lower Splenic Pole | M | Recurrent Palpitation and Vague Abdominal Pain | US, CT | Accessory spleen | None | Totally Laparoscopic Resection | 5-cm HV Type |
| Otto M. [19] | Right Adrenal Gland | M | None | US, CT | Adrenal Gland, Pheochromocytoma | None | Laparoscopic Adrenalectomy | 4.5 cm HV Type |
| Rosado R. [20] | InterAorto-Caval Mass | F | Anemia | CT, FNAB | None | Converted Laparoscopic Resection | 6.7-cm PC and HV Type |

F Female, M Male; CT Computed Tomography, TVUS TransVaginal UltraSound, HV Hyaline-Vascular Type, HCC HepatoCellular Carcinoma, MRI Magnetic Resonance Imaging, PET Positron Emission Tomography, EGDS Esophago-Gastro-Duodenoscopy, SA Selective Angiography, PC Plasmacell Type, CRP C-Reaction Protein, ESR Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate, EUS Endoscopic UltraSound, FNAB Fine Needle AgoBiopsy, US UltraSound
The standard treatment for UCD regardless of histological type (whether HV or PC), is a complete “en bloc” surgical resection, which is a curative approach in almost all cases without recurrence after 20 years of follow up [30]. A subtotal resection presents a low recurrence rate and can be cured by re-excision. In Table 1 we report on all cases of abdominal UCD treated laparoscopically which have been published in the literature [31–42]. In five cases the disease was localized in extralymphatic tissues such as pancreas, liver, spleen and the adrenal gland. In these cases, pancreatic cancer, splenic abscess, an accessory spleen, hepatocellular carcinoma and pheochromocytoma were suspected preoperatively. In contrast, lymphatic tissue localizations were defined preoperatively as adnexal mass, lymphoma or metastatic disease. Our preoperative diagnosis was consistent with that reported by Ohta et al. who performed a laparoscopic ileal resection suspecting a GIST localization [35]. Our case was resolved without bowel resection because of the presence of an adequate dissection plane.

As shown in Table 1, all the cases of abdominal UCD treated with LA were completed laparoscopically, with the exception of one [42]. In this case, the mass was adherent to the cava vein and so the authors converted the procedure to obtain safer vascular control. In the other cases, surgeons performed a mass removal laparoscopically or an "en bloc" resection of the organ in which it was contained. All procedures were bloodless. No other intraoperative or postoperative complications occurred and patients were discharged earlier (range 1–5 days). Based upon this positive experience all the Authors concluded that laparoscopy could be a safe and effective procedure for the treatment of UCD.

We opted for a LA to ensure the patient the typical benefits of the technique. Usually, we remove the specimen through a Pfannenstiel incision. In this case, both for the size of the lesion and for the presence of a previous umbilical incision, we opted for a xipho-umbilical incision as reported in the literature for more complex Gastric procedures.

The literature review suggests that radiotherapy can be a more favorable treatment to UCD than invasive surgical resection with a minimal complication rate and good prognosis [43, 44]. Complete clinical and radiologic resolution of UCD is consistently documented in other articles. Intensity-modulated radiation therapy is better than three-dimensional conformal therapy due to its reduction of the dose gradient and toxicity to the surrounding normal tissue [45]. De Vries et al. demonstrated that neoadjuvant radiotherapy used to downsize advanced unresectable UCD in order to achieve a radical excision could be a possible strategy of treatment [26].

When surgical resection and radiotherapy are impossible, partial resection followed by clinical observation alone may be useful and can result in a lengthy remission.

In conclusion, although a rare disease, UCD should always be considered when a solid asymptomatic abdominal mass is incidentally found. The pelvis and retroperitoneum are USDs most frequent sites, and a correct pre-operative study and surgical timing can lead the patient to a full recovery. Moreover, based upon our experience we retain that a laparoscopic approach leads to better results than open surgery as it reduces postoperative pain and limits the length of hospital stay. In cases of an uncertain nature mass, LA must be considered as the last diagnostic tool and the first treatment one.

**Abbreviations**

CT: Computed tomography; FNAB: Fine needle AgoBiopsy; FNAC: Fine needle aspiration; GIST: gastrointestinal stromal tumor; HHV: Human herpes virus; HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus; HV: Hyaline-vascular type; LA: Laparoscopic approach; MCD: Multicentric castelman’s disease; MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging; PC: Plasma cell type; PET: Positron emission tomography; UCD: Unicentric castelman’s disease; US: UltraSound scan

**Acknowledgements**

We thank Dr. Bruno Scotto, University of Naples and Juliet Ippolito, B.A. Vassar College, MPhil University of Dundee for English language editing.

**Funding**

None.

**Availability of data and materials**

The datasets used during the current study available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**Authors’ contributions**

PF, SM. Designed the report. BU, PF, SM. and EDS Performed the surgical operation. PF, MG. Collected the patient clinical data. BU, BUM, MM, TT, DSE Analysed the data and wrote the paper. All of the authors read the manuscript and agreed to its submission. The Authors adhered to the CARE guidelines for case reports.

**Competing interests**

All of the authors have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose with respect to the research, authorship, and publication of this article. All authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and publication of this article.

**Consent for publication**

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this Case Report and any accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor of this journal.

**Ethics approval and consent to participate**

Not applicable for this case report.

**Publisher’s Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Author details**

1Department of Surgical Specialties and Nefrology, University “Federico II” of Naples, Via Pansini, 5, Naples 80100, Italy. 2Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine F. Magrassi1, Second University of Naples, Naples, Italy.
43. Chronowski GM, Ha CS, Wilder RB, Cabanillas F, Manning J, Cox JD. 
Treatment of unicentric and multicentric Castleman disease and the role of 
radiotherapy. Cancer. 2001;92:670–6. PMID: 11505414.

44. Noh OK, Lee SW, Lee JW, Kim SY, Kim CS, Choi EK, Kim JH, Ahn SD. Cases 
report of unicentric Castleman’s disease: revisit of radiotherapy role. Radiat 
Oncol J. 2013;31:48–54. doi:10.3857/roj.2013.31.1.48. PMID: 23620869.

45. de Vries IA, van Acht MM, Demeyere T, Lybeert ML, de Zoete JP. 
Nieuwenhuijzen. Neoadjuvant radiotherapy of primary irresectable 
unicentric Castelman’s disease: a case report and review of the literature. 
Radiation Oncol. 2010;57. doi:10.1186/1748-717X-5-7. PMID: 20122250.