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Monitoring and Assessment on the UNESCO Endangered Heritage Sites using Space Technology-A Case Study on East Rennell, Solomon Islands

Sijia Huo1,2, Mengmeng Wang3, Guolong Chen1,2*, Huiqin Shu1,2, Ruixia Yang1,2,

Abstract: Space technology is an effective approach to monitor the status of World Natural Heritage sites. East Rennell Island has been inscribed on the List of World Heritage in danger since 2013. The site includes approximately 37,000 hectare (ha) and a marine area extending three nautical miles to sea. Deforestation and natural disasters have become the increasing factors threatening its sustainable development. Based on the analyses of multi-source long-time series remote sensing data like MODIS and Worldview data, the forest cover change and its future trends in Rennell Island from 2000 to 2020 have been mapped and assessed using Sen+Mann-Kendall and Hurst index models. A land cover classification system derived from the high-resolution Worldview images was developed as a baseline product for monitoring and analyzing future forest cover changes in East Rennell Island. Our results show that: (1) Area of the vegetation degradation is basically same as that of vegetation improvement from 2000 to 2020. (2) The trend of forest cover change is weak in continuity, and significant improvements in damaged vegetation can be implemented but it needs enough protection measures and financial input. (3) This heritage site has a strong ability to regenerate vegetation, and it is recommended to restrict the human activities like the mining, logging, and road construction, which could greatly disturb the unique
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1. Introduction

Space technologies represented by 3S technology has the characteristics of real-time and high-precision positioning, dynamic multi-temporal resolution data acquisition, intelligent and efficient data management, and analysis for the world heritage sites [1][1-3]. It is urgent to monitor them continuously and put forward protective measures. The UNESCO World Heritage Center supervises and helps the Solomon Islands' government improve the protection and management of East Rennell World Heritage Site by providing funding and technical coordination, including entrusting. HIST (The International Centre on Space Technologies for Natural and Cultural Heritage, is a Category-II center under auspices of UNESCO) to facilitate the monitoring of changes in the forest cover of Rennell Island. HIST is the first UNESCO center to apply space technologies to monitor and preserve natural and cultural heritage sites. The center is hosted by the Aerospace Information Research Institute of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. Cultural and natural heritages with high universal value are threatened by natural forces and human actions, especially those included in the List of World Heritage in Danger[4]. HIST has employed the space technology to monitor these changes over Rennell Island using the high-resolution data of 2015 as a benchmark for evaluating Rennell Island's future changes[5]. This paper presents a study on forest vegetation cover changes from 2000 to 2020 in one of the world’s
endangered heritage sites - the East Rennell Island in the Solomon Islands - as an example and establishes a land classification system to monitor and predict the future changes. Coverage changes are expected to provide scientific support for the restoration and protection of the world’s endangered heritage sites.

The Solomon Islands are in Melanesia, in the South Pacific Ocean, east of Papua New Guinea. It is classified as a Least Developed Country (LDC) & a Small Island Developing State (SIDS).

East Rennell makes up the southern third of Rennell Island, the southernmost island in the Solomon Island group in the western Pacific (Fig.1). It has been designated on the World Heritage List in 1998[6], and was included on the danger list since 2013 due to logging that is adversely affecting the heritage site's ecosystem. The World Heritage Committee determined that logging is threatening East Rennell's outstanding universal value and has asked the national authorities to provide an impact assessment study of the logging, although it is taking place outside the core area of heritage site.
Figure 1 Landsat 8 image of Rennell Island showing the vegetation coverage of

East Rennell heritage site on June 07, 2016

East Rennell demonstrates significant ongoing ecological and biological processes and is an important site for Island biogeography science. The property is an important stepping stone in the migration and evolution of species in the western Pacific for speciation processes, especially for avifauna. The unmodified forest vegetation contains floral elements from the more impoverished Pacific Islands to the east and the much richer Melanesian flora to the west. For its size, Rennell Island has a high number of endemic species, particularly among its avifauna, and harbors ten endemic plant species[7].

The wildlife includes 11 species of bat (one endemic) and 43 species of breeding land and water birds (four species and nine endemic subspecies, respectively). The invertebrate life is also rich with 27 species of land snail (seven endemics) and
approximately 730 insect species, many of which are endemic. The flora of Lake Tengano is dominated by more than 300 species of diatoms and algae, some of which are endemic. There is also an endemic sea snake in the lake [8].

2. Data and Methods

Data on the relative distribution of endemic and native species of Rennell Island are poor or non-existing, making systematic assessments of threats and their impacts is difficult. Risk monitoring and preventive diagnosis of threats to heritage sites in any given ecosystem are complex and challenging tasks[9]. Taking advantage of long-term Earth satellite observations, we use remote sensing data to monitor the forest cover change and classify the land cover that is an effective assessment tool to protect Rennell Island.

2.1 Data

Rennell Island, as a tropical island, is often obscured by dense clouds, which have a significant impact on vegetation monitoring and land cover classification from optical remote sensing data. By collecting and compiling multi-source remote sensing data and selecting high quality data without or with few clouds, we obtained 432 scenes of Moderate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) generated Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) 16 days synthetic data (250 m ground-resolution), 7 scenes of Landsat 7/8 data (30 m ground-resolution), 20 scenes of Worldview data, and 7 scenes of Hansen data (Table 1) between 2000 to 2020.
Table 1 Satellite remote sensing data covering the Rennell Island

| Data Sources | Resolution | Data Size | Acquisition Time |
|--------------|------------|-----------|------------------|
| MODIS        | 250 m      | 432       | 2000/01/01 - 2020/08/27 |
| Landsat 7    | 30 m/15 m  | 2         | 2012/06/05, 2013/04/21 |
| Landsat 8    | 30 m/15 m  | 5         | 2014/03/15, 2015/08/09, 2016/06/07, 2016/06/24, 2017/03/07, 2018/06/14 |
| Worldview    | 2 m/0.5 m  | 20        | 2014/03/19 - 2015/10/03 |
| Hansen       | 30 m       | 7         | 2012 - 2018       |

2.2 Methods

Before data analysis, the original data is preprocessed (Fig. 2).

NDVI is the most widely used vegetation index, reflecting the typical spectral characteristics of vegetation. There is a significant linear correlation between vegetation coverage and NDVI [10]. In applying the dimidiate pixel model, due to the complexity of land surface, a single selection of two extreme points of NDVI at a global scale will cause great uncertainty in the estimation of vegetation coverage [11]. The maximum and minimum values of NDVI data obtained from remote sensing images of the study area are selected as the NDVI values of pure vegetation and bare soil[12].
Calculation formula:

\[ NDVI = \frac{DN_{nir} - DN_{red}}{DN_{nir} + DN_{red}} \quad (1) \]

\[ P = (NDVI_{min} - NDVI_{max}) / (NDVI_{max} - NDVI_{min}) \quad (2) \]

Where NDVI is the normalized vegetation index; DN_{nir} is near-infrared reflectance; DN_{red} is red reflectance; P is vegetation coverage; NDVI_{max} is NDVI value of pure vegetation in the study area; NDVI_{min} is NDVI value of bare soil in the study area.

We referred to the vegetation coverage division method, the vegetation coverage (C) in the study area was divided into five grades, as shown in Table 2. Despite concerns about work on logging and mining concessions, raw forest coverage remained high across the Island, especially in East Rennell Island, which maintained the highest record.

Table 2 Division table of vegetation coverage

| P   | Lower vegetation coverage     |
|-----|------------------------------|
| ≤0.2|                             |
| 0.2<P≤0.4 | Low vegetation coverage     |
| 0.4<P≤0.6 | Moderate vegetation coverage|
| 0.6<P≤0.8 | High vegetation coverage    |
| P>0.8 | Higher vegetation coverage  |

Sen+Mann-Kendall trend analysis has advantages over regression analysis and correlation coefficient analysis in that it does not need data to satisfy normal distribution and has small errors. It can effectively reflect the long-time series dynamic characteristics of vegetation[13]. It has a significant effect on studying climate change, hydrology, and meteorology. NDVI sequence images between 2000 to 2020 were
selected for analysis.

Sen-Trend Calculation Formula:

$$\beta = \text{Median} (x_j - x_i / j - i), \forall j > i \quad (3)$$

The positive and negative of $\beta$ reflects the upward and downward trend, where $\beta > 0$ indicates an increasing trend of NDVI, $\beta = 0$ indicates the basic unchanged trend of NDVI, $\beta < 0$ indicates a decreasing trend of NDVI.

The significance test calculation procedure for the Mann-Kendall method is as follows:

For time series $T$, the statistic $S$ of the Mann-Kendall method is calculated by the formula (4):

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \text{sgn}(x_j - x_i) \quad (4)$$

$$\text{sgn}(x_j - x_i) = \begin{cases} 
+1, & x_j - x_i > 0 \\
0, & x_j - x_i = 0 \\
-1, & x_j - x_i > 0 
\end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Where $x_j$ is the $j$th data value of the time series, $n$ is the number of data samples, and $\text{sgn}$ is a step function calculated by the formula (5).

$Z$ values can calculate by formula (6):

$$Z = \begin{cases} 
\frac{S - 1}{\sqrt{\text{VAR}(S)}}, & S > 0 \\
0, & S = 0 \\
\frac{S + 1}{\sqrt{\text{VAR}(S)}}, & S < 0 
\end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Where $\text{VAR}(S) = \left( n(n-1)(2n+5) \right) / 18$ is the variance of $S$; $n$ is the number of data in the sequence.
Under the 95% confidence level test, the threshold value of Z is 1.96, and the combination of Z value and Sen slope is shown in Table 3.

### Table 3 meaning of Sen-Mann-Kendall test results

| Trend analysis | Sen>0                           | Sen<0                           |
|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| $|Z|>1.96$       | Significant increase (S-increase) | Significant decrease (S-decrease) |
| $|Z|<1.96$       | Non-Significant increase (NS-increase) | Non-Significant decrease (NS-decrease) |

Hurst exponent is an index to describe the self-similarity and long-dependence of natural phenomena. It is a mathematical tool to analyze the long-lasting and correlation of natural phenomena. It is widely used in hydrology, climate, and other fields[14-16]. Previous studies have shown that the Hurst exponent based on the rescaled range (R/S) is more stable than other methods[17]. Therefore, we select the Hurst exponent to analyze the NDVI trend’s continuity from 2000 to 2020.

There are NDVI time series $NDVI_i$, $i=1, 2, 3, 4,...N$. This time series is defined for any positive integer $m$. The calculation procedure for the Hurst method is as follows:

1. **Differential Sequence**
   
   $$\Delta NDVI_i = NDVI_i - NDVI_{i-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

2. **Mean Sequence**
   
   $$\Delta NDVI(m) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \Delta NDVI$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)
   
   ($m = 1, 2, L, n$)

3. **Cumulative deviation**
   
   $$X(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\Delta NDVI_i - \Delta NDVI(m))$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)
   
   ($1, t, m$)

4. **Range**
$$R(m) = \max_{i,m,n} X(t) - \min_{i,m,n} X(t)$$

$$(m = 1,2,L \cdots n)$$

(10)

(5) Standard deviation

$$S(m) = \left[ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\Delta \text{NDVI}_i - \overline{\Delta \text{NDVI}(m)}) \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

$$(m = 1,2,L \cdots n)$$

(11)

There are three forms of the Hurst exponent. The smaller the H value, the weaker the continuity, and the larger the continuity.

| Hurst Range | 0<H<0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5<H<1 |
|-------------|---------|-----|---------|
| continuity  | Anti-continuity | Sequence | Random Sequence |

3. Results

3.1 NDVI trend in Rennell Island

The Sen+Mann-Kendall analysis method was selected to obtain the distribution results for the changing trend of NDVI in Rennell Island from 2000 to 2020 (Fig. 3). It can be seen that the overall change trend of Rennell Island is not significant, and the mean Sen trend is $-0.1 \times 10^{-4}$. The ascending area accounted for 50% from the changing trend, and the descending area accounted for 49.9% of the total study area. From the Mann-Kendall test results, the significant change area accounted for 5.7%, and the non-significant change area accounted for 94.1%. The slightly degraded area accounted for 47.1% of the total study area, and the slightly improved area accounted for 47% of the whole study area. A slight degradation dominates the overall change of West Rennell Island. Combined with Google map images, it can be observed that most of the areas where degradation occurs are in or near human settlements, which indicates that human
activities likely have a significant impact on the disturbance of vegetation, especially through mining, deforestation, construction, and other disruptive activities on the island. The overall change of East Rennell Island is slightly improved. The degenerated area is largely distributing to Lake Tengano and the inner periphery of the lake, which is more evident and continuous, mainly along some facilities and constructed structures along the lake.

Figure 3 NDVI Trend of Rennell Island from 2000 to 2020

3.2 NDVI future trend forecast for Rennell Island

We used the Hurst exponent formula to obtain the distribution of persistent characteristics reflecting NDVI changes in Rennell Island from 2000 to 2020 (Fig. 4). From the calculation results, Hurst values ranged from 0.097 to 0.725, with a mean of 0.403. Hurst values were classified into Anti-Continuity (AC) ($H < 0.5$), Moderate Continuity (MC) ($0.5 < H < 0.65$) and Highly Continuity (HC) ($H > 0.65$). The anti-
continuity of NDVI in Rennell Island is strong, and the anti-continuity area accounts for 86.5% of the study area. The overall anti-continuity characteristics indicate that Rennell Island's vegetation ecological environment is unstable, which means that the disturbance from the outside is frequent from 2000 to 2020, and further ecological restoration efforts need to be strengthened to ensure that the vegetation system will be improved. Both East and West of Rennell Islands are dominated by anti-continuity, and there is no significant feature from the continuity distribution perspective. To further analyze the change trend and persistence of vegetation in Rennell Island, Sen-Trend calculation result and Hurst index were superimposed for analysis (Fig. 5), which were divided into nine cases. The overlay results show that in the future, the changes in NDVI in most areas of Rennell Island will be unsustainable if the previous trend continues. The areas with persistent improvement and anti-continuity decrease of NDVI account for 6.5% and 43% of the total area, respectively, and are mainly located in the southwestern part of the island where the vegetation is likely to improve in the future. The areas with an unchanged NDVI only account for 0.1%, showing anti-continuity, and the future vegetation change trend depends on external disturbance. NDVI degradation in the northwest and southeast of Rennell Island presents 7% continuity and 43.4%, improvement continuity anti-persistence. The results suggest that the future vegetation along the inner side of the lake of East Rennell Island may face degradation.
Figure 4 Hurst exponential spatial distribution of Rennell Island from 2000 to 2020

Figure 5 Continuity distribution of vegetation change in Rennell Island from 2000 to 2020

Table 5 Monitoring of vegetation change in Rennell from 2000 to 2020

| Detection of Vegetation Change in Heritage Sites from 2000 to 2020 | Future Trend Forecast |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| S-decreasing area | 2.9% | Degeneration | 50.0% | AC-Degeneration area | 43.0% |
| NS-decreasing area | 47.1% | | | MC-Degeneration area | 6.7% |
| Area Type          | Percentage | Status   | Improvement | Area Type          | Percentage |
|-------------------|------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|------------|
| Unchanging area   | 0.1%       | Unchanged| 0.1%        | AC-Invariant area  | 0.1%       |
|                   |            |          |             | MC-Invariant area  | 0.0%       |
|                   |            |          |             | HC-Invariant area  | 0.0%       |
| NS-increasing area| 47.0%      | Improvement | 49.9%      | AC-Improvement area| 43.4%      |
|                   |            |          |             | MC-Improvement area| 6.3%       |
| S-increasing area  | 2.9%       |          |             | HC-Improvement area| 0.2%       |

**3.3 Forest/vegetation cover change in Rennell Island**

Due to data acquisition time and data quality constraints, fewer images per year were available on Rennell Island between 2012 and 2018. Data from the Landsat series with a spatial resolution of 30m were used to extract and calculate the spatial distribution of forest/vegetation cover change (Fig. 6) and change (Fig. 7) in Rennell Island from 2012 to 2018. The red, yellow, and dark green patches in Figure 6 show the forest vegetation cover changes caused by agriculture, village and infrastructure construction, deforestation, mining activities, typhoons, and other natural disasters.

Disturbance to forest cover within the ERWHS was much less than outside of the site; disturbances within the ERWHS at higher elevations along the periphery of the Island were probably due to storms and other natural causes; disturbances closer to Lake Tengano were most likely due to use of forests by communities to meet their essential day-to-day needs; The Solomon Government considers that felling and mining concessions are restricted to residents' activity, but the Yellow patches (roads) significant changes, suggest that road construction for timber transport is likely to be the most significant factor in forest vegetation deterioration. The red patches in Fig. 6...
are traces of slash-and-burn agriculture, and the location and extent of the red area changed year by year from 2012 to 2018 as the land after forest reclamation was used for three years of agriculture, following which it then went into a fallow period for land restoration. Lead cypress makes vegetation recovery fast, but it is necessary to recover to the same forest communities as before. It takes a long time, maybe decades.
From the above analysis, it can be concluded that the areas with the most intense vegetation change are in the northwest part of the island, which is the well-known living
area of the island, mainly due to the massive deforestation by residents to meet their living needs. The whole island is a natural ecosystem, and vegetation cover changes in the West of Rennell islands inevitably affect the island's environment.

3.4 Land cover classification based on Worldview image

To further analyze Rennell Island's land cover, a detailed classification of land cover in the study area was done using 20 image mosaics of the entire island from 19 March 2014 to 3 October 2015 (Fig. 7 and Fig. 8).

Figure 7 Worldview image covering the Rennell Island

Figure 8 Categories of the land cover classification system
Despite the widespread disturbance of forest cover by certain human activities, the percentage of virgin forests on the island is still over 90%. East Rennell Island's original forest area accounts for 52%, considering that Lake Tengano accounts for 44.73% of the total area. Moreover, the original forest area accounts for more than 95% of the land area. As a result, 93% of the land area is primitive forest (see Table 6).

Our Worldview images-based land classification on can be used to identify similar areas in Landsat series images. Comprehensive comparative analysis using high-resolution Worldview and Landsat 8 data shows that the proportion of land with high vegetation coverage and high vegetation coverage is significantly greater than that under low vegetation types (Table 6). The data of land cover type in 2014 is consistent with the results of annual monitoring data of Landsat 8, which verifies that the vegetation cover of Rennell Island is restored to the maximum extent in the second year following it enlisting as an endangered heritage site.

Table 6 Percentage for West, East (ERWHS) and the whole of the Rennell Island

| Type             | West of Rennell Island | East of Rennell Island | Whole Rennell Island |
|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
|                  | Area (km²)            | Percent (%)            | Area (km²)          | Percent (%)         | Area (km²)          | Percent (%)         |
| Unspoiled forest | 443.83                | 92.34                  | 187.76              | 52.53              | 631.59              | 75.36               |
| Reclaimed forest | 18.62                 | 3.87                   | 0                   | 0                  | 18.62              | 2.22                |
| Farmland         | 4.76                  | 0.99                   | 3.04                | 0.85               | 7.8                | 0.93                |
| Un-reclaimed forest | 3.84               | 0.8                    | 0                   | 0                  | 3.84              | 0.46                |
| Grassland        | 0.16                  | 0.03                   | 0.05                | 0.02               | 0.21              | 0.03                |
| Bare land        | 0.73                  | 0.15                   | 0.1                 | 0.03               | 0.84              | 0.10                |
| Building         | 0.07                  | 0.02                   | 0.03                | 0.01               | 0.11              | 0.01                |
| Road             | 1.11                  | 0.23                   | 0.11                | 0.03               | 1.22              | 0.15                |
| Waterbody | 0   | 0   | 159.89 | 44.73 | 159.89 | 19.08 |
|-----------|-----|-----|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| Coast     | 7.47| 1.55| 6.5    | 1.82  | 13.96  | 1.67  |
| Airport   | 0.05| 0.01| 0      | 0     | 0.05   | 0.01  |

4. Discussion

4.1 Impact factors induced the vegetation varieties

Forest/vegetation cover change are worth noting: the most intensive activities disturbing the forest cover occurred in the northern most parts of the island; Forests were opened up by local communities for slash-and-burn agriculture and for harvesting wood for local needs such as boat and housing construction; slash-and-burn agriculture is rare within the ERWHS. Some of the larger clearances during specific years were attributable to time-limited activities or events; For example, the large, but dispersed patches of clearing in the middle of the Island in 2001 were linked to port construction and associated development of settlements and villages; There was significant damage due to storms in 2003; and it was a very dry year and there was considerable burning of forests in 2007. The analysis results from 2000 to 2020 show that:

1) The area of vegetation cover over Rennell Island is degrading: the improvements in this area are about 1:1, but the overall change trend is not significant and weak. The original forest cover is high, and the ability to recover after vegetation destruction is strong. Undisturbed or unspoiled forest areas in Rennell remain high and contiguous. The area of coverage of forests within ERWHS is over 95% of the land area (i.e., not counting the area covered by Lake Tengano, which is nearly 45% of the ERWHS). However, the vulnerability of the study area will increase during the mining and logging activities.

2) The destruction of forests on the island is mainly due to the activities of
residents and natural disasters. Road construction has become the primary cause of forest vegetation destruction. The government is restricting mining activities in forest areas cleared by agriculture and will not develop new mining area[18].

4.2 Suggestions

Consideration must be given to the planning and management regarding sustainable connectivity and forest cover contiguity in key areas. The timing of the removal of ERWHS from the Danger List must also be considered in the context of facilitating the State Party and community interests to improve the state of conservation and livelihood and income-generating options that are compatible with conservation. While the removal of ERWHS from the Danger List is subject to the removal of all threats to the site[19], the time needed for achieving such complete elimination of all threats is likely to be quite long. For the State Party, it would be helpful if the site could be removed from the World Heritage in Danger List as soon as the plan and time-frame for the mitigation of all prevailing threats to the conservation of ERWHS and regular monitoring of the implementation of the plan by UNESCO and International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) have been considered and approved by the World Heritage Committee.

According to the data analysis, we give the several suggestions as follows:

(1) A land cover classification comprising of 10 categories has been established (see Fig. 8) for the whole of Rennell Island based on high-resolution Worldview images (0.5-2m resolution) for 2014-2015. The World Heritage Centre and IUCN may use those satellite remote sensing data as the baseline for future forest cover monitoring of
(2) Currently, there is no buffer zone demarcated for ERWHS. The demand for demarcating a buffer zone extending up to a specific distance from the current western boundary of the ERWHS further into western parts of the Island exists but will involve complex and challenging negotiations between the community owning land immediately outside the western boundary of ERWHS, communities resident within ERWHS and national and provincial Government authorities, respectively. A buffer zone where economic activities such as forestry and agriculture are prohibited will be difficult to achieve via negotiations. Furthermore, for the buffer zone to be established to ensure connectivity of forests between ERWHS and the western parts of the island, considerable new data on the distribution and population status of land-based avian and invertebrate endemics that occur within the ERWHS would be needed.

(3) A recent REDD Feasibility Study for ERWHS (SPC/GIZ, 2013) had noted:

“While East Rennell has been a UNESCO World Heritage site for the last 14 years it does not have protected area status; and thus the decision to continue conserving the island or to log it is mostly in the hands of customary landowners. There have been at least two formal proposals to log East Rennell, with the latest presented in 2011/12 when a timber rights hearing was held in two villages. This report contends that without a forest carbon project, and facing declines in agricultural production and fish catches, the customary landowners will most likely be forced to allow logging of East Rennell to gain revenue for food and other stables.”

The same report goes on to claim that:
“...emission reduction credits (carbon credits) could be generated from the voluntary market.... a forest carbon project could conservatively generate a total of 1.03 million tons of CO$_2$ of avoided emissions over 10 years, which could generate total revenues of US$ 3.1 million to 9.3 million.”

A UN REDD-linked avoided deforestation scheme, with potential financing via new and emerging global funding mechanisms such as the Global Climate Fund, could benefit the ERWHS and sustainable forestry practices outside the World Heritage site.

The Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDC) of Solomon Islands at the 21st session of the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) held in Paris, France from 30 November to 11 December 2015 mentions the importance of land use, land-use change, and forestry sectors, particularly for climate change adaptation and minimizing greenhouse gas emissions in comparison to the business-as-usual (bau) scenario for the period 2016-2030[12]. The distribution of other land-use types must consider the entire island’s connectivity and the forest cover in key areas[20].

5. Conclusions

HIST’s space technology applications have achieved gratifying results in this endangered heritage site. Following HIST’s recommendations, the Solomon government has announced its decision to revoke and or refuse to grant any felling license within the East Rennell World Heritage site on August 2017. Thus, temporarily banning all logging in the property[21]. While this measure can serve as a temporary solution, in the longer term, it will be important to introduce a legal mechanism, such as an application to be submitted by the customary owners, to designate the property
under the Protected Areas Act and adopt its Management Plan, which would protect the property from commercial logging. In 2018, the world’s first insurance industry statement of commitment to protect World Heritage sites was launched. The statement was developed by UN Environment’s Principles for Sustainable Insurance Initiative (PSI), WWF, and UNESCO’s World Heritage Centre. An oil spill has reportedly occurred in East Rennell, Solomon Islands on Tuesday, 19 February 2017, when a bulk carrier ran aground at Kangava Bay, just outside of the World Heritage property[22]. In February 2019, an oil spill occurred near East Rennell[23].

Climate change, natural disasters, wars and many other factors threaten the world heritage sites. The 53 properties that the World Heritage Committee has decided to include on the List of World Heritage in danger according to Article 11 (4) of the Convention. The States Parties to the Convention should inform the Committee about threats to their sites as soon as possible. On the other hand, private individuals, non-governmental organizations, or other groups may also draw the Committee's attention to existing threats[24]. If the alert is justified and the problem serious enough, the Committee may consider including the site on the List of World Heritage in Danger.

The core word of world heritage is "outstanding universal value," and its constituent elements meet the standards of the world heritage, the authenticity and integrity verification, and the effective protection and management mechanism [22]. Space technologies can macroscopically, quickly and accurately identify the features and status of ground objects. Satellite navigation and positioning technology can accurately locate, and geographic information technology can quickly and effectively perform the
spatial analysis. These cutting-edge spatial information technologies have proven the advantages of space archaeology and heritage protection. Furthermore, Space technologies play a role in the detection and protection of large cultural heritage such as the Silk Road in the future.

List of Abbreviations

| Full name                                                   | Abbreviations |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Hectare (ha)                                                | ha            |
| United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)” | UNESCO        |
| “kilometers (km)”                                           | km            |
| “Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI)”              | NDVI          |
| “International Union for Conservation of Nature (ICUN)”      | ICUN          |
| Moderate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer                | MODIS         |
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Figure 1

Landsat 8 image of Rennell Island showing the vegetation coverage of East Rennell heritage site on June 07, 2016. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.
Figure 2

Flow chart for processing of the preprocessed data

Figure 3

Legend
- S-decreasing area
- NS-decreasing area
- Basically unchanging area
- NS-increasing area
- S-increasing area
NDVI Trend of Rennell Island from 2000 to 2020 Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.

Figure 4

Hurst exponential spatial distribution of Rennell Island from 2000 to 2020 Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.
Figure 5

Continuity distribution of vegetation change in Rennell Island from 2000 to 2020 Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.
Figure 6

Changes in forest cover from 2012 to 2018 Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.
Figure 7

Worldview image covering the Rennell Island Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.
Figure 8

Categories of the land cover classification system Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.
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