Association between medication-related adverse events and non-elective readmission in acute ischemic stroke
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Abstract

Background: There is limited data on the effects of medication-related adverse events occurring during inpatient stays for stroke. The objectives of our study were to characterize reasons for acute readmission after acute ischemic stroke (AIS) and determine if medication-related adverse events occurring during AIS hospitalization were associated with 30-day readmission. Secondary objectives examined whether demographic, clinical, and hospital characteristics were associated with post-AIS readmission.

Methods: We used the Nationwide Readmission Database to identify index AIS hospitalizations in the United States between January and November 2014. Inpatient records were screened for diagnostic and external causes of injury codes indicative of medication-related adverse events, including adverse effects of prescribed drugs, unintentional overdosing, and medication errors. Nationally representative estimates of AIS hospitalizations, medication-related adverse events, and acute non-elective readmissions were computed using survey weighting methods. Adjusted odds of readmission for medication-related adverse events and select characteristics were estimated using unconditional logistic regression.

Results: We identified 439,682 individuals who were hospitalized with AIS, 4.7% of whom experienced a medication-related adverse event. Overall, 10.7% of hospitalized individuals with AIS were readmitted within 30 days of discharge. Reasons for readmission were consistent with those observed among older adults. Inpatients who experienced medication-related adverse events had significantly greater odds of being readmitted within 30 days (adjusted odds ratio (AOR): 1.22; 95% CI: 1.14–1.30). Medication-related adverse events were associated with readmission for non-AIS conditions (AOR, 1.26; 95% CI: 1.17–1.35), but not with readmission for AIS (AOR, 0.91; 95% CI: 0.75–1.10). Several factors, including but not limited to being younger than 40 years (AOR, 1.12; 95% CI: 1.00–1.26), Medicare insurance coverage (AOR, 1.33; 95% CI: 1.26–1.40), length of stay greater than 1 week (AOR, 1.38; 95% CI: 1.33–1.42), having 7 or more comorbidities (AOR, 2.20; 95% CI: 2.08–2.34), and receiving care at a for-profit hospital (AOR, 1.20; 95% CI: 1.12–1.29), were identified as being associated with all-cause 30-day readmission.

Conclusions: In this nationally representative sample of AIS hospitalizations, medication-related adverse events were positively associated with 30-day readmission for non-AIS causes. Future studies are necessary to determine whether medication-related adverse events and readmissions in AIS are avoidable.
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Background
Despite improvements in population health contributing to decreasing stroke incidence, mortality, and age-adjusted hospitalization rates in the United States (US) over the last decade [1–3], stroke remains a leading cause of death, hospitalization, and healthcare expenditure [1, 4]. Estimates suggest that approximately 795,000 individuals experience a new or recurrent stroke each year in the US [1], and that readmissions following hospitalizations for stroke are relatively common and often occur for potentially avoidable causes, including urinary tract infections, uncontrolled diabetes, and pneumonia [4–6].

Hospital readmission is considered to be a useful indicator of quality of healthcare services [7–9], which has prompted some governments and insurers to implement hospital reimbursement schedules that are dependent on short-term hospital readmission rates [10, 11]. In effort to better understand factors contributing to readmission after stroke and identify populations at greatest risk of re-hospitalization, a number of studies have examined whether patient and care setting factors are associated with post-stroke readmission [5, 12–15]. Differences in study design, exposure and outcome definitions, and statistical analyses across studies have led to inconsistencies in reported results [8]. Nonetheless, length of index admission [6, 16, 17], discharge disposition [5], and stroke severity [13, 18] have been identified as consistent predictors of post-stroke readmission and may be essential measures in the development of risk-standardized readmission models for stroke.

Previously, a large multi-center study of stroke re-admission in Australia found that experiencing an adverse event or severe complication, such as recurrent stroke, pneumonia, urinary tract infection, or fall, during hospitalization was significantly associated with readmission within 28 days (adjusted odds ratio (AOR), 2.81; 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.55–5.12) [19]. Based on these findings, it is important to examine whether a similar relationship exists in the US, and whether the reported association between inpatient adverse events and acute readmission extends to medication-related adverse events. To enhance our understanding of medication-related adverse events among stroke inpatients, we examined acute ischemic stroke (AIS) hospitalizations and readmissions using the US Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project’s (HCUP) 2014 Nationwide Readmissions Database (NRD). Our primary objectives were to characterize reasons for readmission within 30 days of discharge and determine if medication-related adverse events occurring during AIS hospitalization were associated with acute readmission. Our secondary objectives were to examine whether demographic, clinical, and hospital characteristics were associated with post-AIS readmission.

Methods
Data source
Using the 2014 NRD, we performed a cross-sectional analysis of hospitalizations for AIS and associated readmissions. Sponsored by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, the NRD is a family of databases developed as part of the HCUP to support national readmission analyses for all payer classes in the US, including the uninsured. The NRD contains detailed demographic (including age, sex, and health insurance status), clinical (such as diagnoses, procedures, and length of stay), and hospital data (size, location, and teaching status) for approximately 35 million annual discharges. Persons admitted to NRD contributing hospitals may be longitudinally followed within calendar years, but not across years.

Study Population
A validated algorithm for stroke classification was employed to identify all 2014 discharges where a diagnosis of AIS was recorded [20], hereinafter referred to as index AIS hospitalizations. The utilized algorithm was specifically developed for the detection and classification of stroke (AIS, intracerebral hemorrhage, and subarachnoid hemorrhage) using administrative claims data from the US, with a reported sensitivity of 86% and specificity of 95% for AIS discrimination [20]. We identified index AIS hospitalizations by querying all diagnostic fields for documentation of any of the following International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9) codes: 433.x 1, 434.x 1, and 436. Index AIS hospitalizations with documented diagnoses of traumatic brain injury (ICD-9 codes: 800.xx-804.xx, 850–854.xx) or primary procedure indicative of rehabilitation care (ICD-9 code: V57) were excluded from the study population. Hospitalizations with undocumented length of stay and encounters where the patient died in-hospital were also excluded. Since source state data for the NRD database employ different patient identifiers, it is not possible to track encounters for the same individual across state boundaries. Therefore, index AIS hospitalizations were restricted to discharges where individuals were a resident of the same state as the hospital where they received care. To ensure the availability of 30-day readmissions data, December discharges of index AIS hospitalizations were also excluded.

Demographics, comorbidities, and care settings
Personal data extracted from index AIS hospitalizations included age, sex, health insurance status, length of stay, and discharge disposition. Comorbidities documented during the inpatient stay were assessed according to enhanced ICD-9 coding algorithms for Elixhauser comorbidities [21]. A single comorbidity score per encounter
was computed as the sum of distinct comorbidities recorded during the hospitalization. Care setting characteristics of interest from index AIS hospitalizations included hospital size, geographic location, and teaching status.

**Medication-related adverse events**

Medication-related adverse events occurring during the index AIS hospitalization were identified using ICD-9 and External Causes of Injury codes (E codes) indicative of adverse drug events and medication errors [22]. Documentation of one or more of the following ICD-9 or E codes within index AIS hospitalization records served to indicate the occurrence of a medication-related adverse event: 357.6 (neuropathy due to drugs); 692.3 (contact dermatitis due to drugs and medicines in contact with skin); 693.0 (dermatitis due to drugs or medicines taken internally); 960.0–964.9, or 965.02–969.5, or 969.8–979.9 (poisoning by drugs, medicinal and biological substances); E850.1–E858.9 (accidental poisoning by drugs, medicinal substances, and biological substances); and E930.0–E934.9, or E935.1–E949.9 (drugs, medicinal, and biological substances causing adverse effects in therapeutic use). Index AIS hospitalizations with adverse drug events associated with illicit drug use, intentional harm, or poisonings unknown to be accidental were excluded from our analyses: 965.00 (opium poisoning); 965.01 (heroin poisoning); 969.6 (psychodysleptic poisoning); E850.0 (accidental poisoning by heroin); E854.1 (accidental poisoning by hallucinogens); E854.2 (accidental poisoning by psychostimulants); E935.0 (adverse effects of heroin); E939.6 (adverse effects of hallucinogens); E939.7 (adverse effects of psychostimulants); E950.0–E950.9 (suicide and self-inflicted poisoning); E962.0–E962.9 (assault by poisoning); and E980.0–E980.9 (poisoning undetermined to be accidental).

**Readmissions**

Same state readmissions within 30 days of index AIS hospitalization discharge were coded as the first readmission within 30 days. In instances where individuals were readmitted on multiple occasions within 30 days, only the encounter with the earliest time to readmission was retained for our analyses. Of these readmissions, elective readmissions were excluded. Time to readmission was calculated as the number of days between index AIS hospitalization discharge date and the date of first readmission. Readmissions where AIS was diagnosed according to the validated algorithm for stroke classifications were deemed to be for AIS. We summarized the principal causes of readmission (first diagnostic position) using HCUP’s single-level Clinical Classifications Software [23], which aggregates ICD-9 diagnosed illnesses and conditions into 285 mutually exclusive and clinically meaningful categories.

**Statistical analyses**

Survey weighting methods that accounted for the NRD’s sampling design were used to generate nationally representative estimates of all reported values, including the number of index AIS hospitalizations, the characteristics of these hospitalizations, and subsequent readmissions [24, 25]. Descriptive statistics were used to report individual, comorbidity, and care setting characteristics for index AIS hospitalizations as a whole and by 30-day readmission status.

The chi-square test was used to make statistical comparisons between populations readmitted and not readmitted within 30 days of index AIS hospitalization discharge.

We examined differences in time to readmission by medication-related adverse event status within quartiles. We created population quartiles for each category of readmission. Applying the survey weights, we then compared proportions across medication-related adverse event status and population quartiles for time to readmission using the Wald chi-square test, which is based on the difference between observed and expected weighted cell frequencies. This approach allowed us to test for independence of medication-related adverse event status and time to readmission quartiles, while taking into account the NRD’s complex survey design.

To examine the association between medication-related adverse events and readmission within 30 days of index AIS hospitalization discharge, we constructed weighted unconditional logistic regression models to estimate adjusted odds of readmission for those experiencing an adverse drug event during their hospitalization (compared to no adverse drug event). All models accounted for the survey design of the NRD and utilized the strata and clustering of patients and hospitals to generate accurate variance estimates. Secondary analyses used similar models to assess associations between sociodemographic factors and readmission within 30 days of index AIS hospitalization discharge. Adjusted models included characteristics that were hypothesized a priori to potentially confound modelled relationships. All analyses were completed using SAS v9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, US).

**Results**

**Cohort characteristics**

We identified 439,682 unique individuals from the 2014 NRD who were hospitalized with acute ischemic stroke between January 1, 2014 and November 30, 2014. Cohort sociodemographic, clinical, and care setting characteristics are provided in Table 1. Nearly all (95.1%) index AIS hospitalizations were emergency admissions, with AIS documented 82.7% of the time as the primary reason for hospitalization. Overall, 4.7% of AIS inpatients were identified as having experienced one or more medication-related adverse events during their index
hospitalization, with 98.0% of medication-related adverse events being recorded as secondary diagnoses (conditions unlikely responsible for occasioning the admission).

Readmissions

In total, 47,170 (10.7%) of hospitalized individuals with AIS were readmitted within 30 days of discharge. The rate of all-cause 30-day readmission for inpatients hospitalized with AIS who experienced medication-related adverse events (15.4%) was greater than that of AIS inpatients who did not experience such events (10.5%) (Table 2). Primary documented reasons for re-hospitalization were consistent with those observed among older adult populations and included cerebrovascular disease, septicemia, congestive heart failure, renal failure, and urinary tract infections.

Of AIS inpatients readmitted within 30 days, 6205 (13.2%) were readmitted for AIS, with 40,965 (86.8%) readmitted for other reasons. Among individuals readmitted for AIS, those with a medication-related adverse event documented during their index hospitalization were more likely than those without to be readmitted between 2.4 and 15.0 days of discharge ($p = 0.003$) (Table 3). Time to readmission by medication-related event status during index hospitalization did not significantly differ for other examined reasons of readmission.

Factors associated with readmission

Compared to AIS inpatients who did not experience medication-related adverse events, inpatients who experienced medication-related adverse events had significantly greater odds of being readmitted within 30 days (AOR, 1.22; 95% CI: 1.14–1.30) (Table 4). Medication-related adverse events were found to be significantly associated with acute readmission for non-AIS conditions (AOR, 1.26; 95% CI: 1.17–1.35); however, were not associated with re-admission for AIS (AOR, 0.91; 95% CI: 0.75–1.10).

Several other factors were associated with all-cause 30-day readmission (Table 5), including but not limited to: being younger than 40 years (AOR, 1.12; 95% CI: 1.00–1.26), Medicare (AOR, 1.33; 95% CI: 1.26–1.40) or Medicaid insurance (AOR, 1.41; 95% CI: 1.32–1.51) coverage, lowest

**Table 1 Characteristics of index AIS hospitalizations**

| Characteristic                  | Index Events n (%) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| **Age**                        | n = 439,682        |
| < 40                           | 12,878 (2.9)       |
| 40–49                          | 25,172 (5.7)       |
| 50–59                          | 64,364 (14.6)      |
| 60–69                          | 95,136 (21.6)      |
| 70–79                          | 103,821 (23.6)     |
| 80–89                          | 101,941 (23.2)     |
| 90+                            | 36,371 (8.3)       |
| **Sex**                        |                    |
| Female                         | 225,570 (51.3)     |
| Male                           | 214,111 (48.7)     |
| **Primary payer**              |                    |
| Private insurance              | 75,983 (17.3)      |
| Medicare                       | 295,655 (67.2)     |
| Medicaid                       | 39,091 (8.9)       |
| Self-pay                       | 16,303 (3.7)       |
| No charge                      | 2308 (0.5)         |
| **Median household income**    |                    |
| $66,000+                       | 88,803 (20.2)      |
| $51,000 - $65,999              | 99,932 (22.7)      |
| $40,000 - $50,999              | 118,495 (27.0)     |
| $1 - $39,999                   | 126,668 (28.8)     |
| Missing                        | 5783 (1.3)         |
| **Length of stay**             |                    |
| 0–7 days                       | 306,786 (69.8)     |
| > 7 days                       | 132,896 (30.2)     |
| **Discharge disposition**      |                    |
| Routine                        | 174,933 (39.8)     |
| Transfer: short-term hospital   | 8551 (1.9)         |
| Transfer: other type of facility| 164,884 (37.5)     |
| Home health care               | 86,989 (19.8)      |
| Against medical advice         | 3531 (0.8)         |
| Discharged alive, destination unknown | 794 (0.2) |
| **Comorbidities**              |                    |
| 0–2                            | 110,756 (25.2)     |
| 3–4                            | 166,434 (37.9)     |
| 5–6                            | 107,199 (24.4)     |
| 7+                             | 55,292 (12.6)      |
| **Bed size of hospital**       |                    |
| Small                          | 64,949 (14.8)      |
| Medium                         | 120,363 (27.4)     |
| Large                          | 254,370 (57.9)     |
| **Control/ownership of hospital** |                |
| Government, non-federal (public) | 53,708 (12.2)   |
| Private, not-for-profit (voluntary) | 326,024 (74.1) |
| Private, investor-owned (proprietary) | 59,950 (13.6) |
| Teaching status of hospital    |                    |
| Metropolitan teaching          | 278,770 (63.4)     |
| Metropolitan non-teaching       | 118,548 (27.0)     |
| Non-metropolitan               | 42,364 (9.6)       |

Some categories excluded due to small sample sizes
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income quartile (AOR, 1.08; 95% CI: 1.03–1.14), length of stay greater than 1 week (AOR, 1.38; 95% CI: 1.33–1.42), leaving hospital against medical advice (AOR, 2.41; 95% CI: 2.08–2.79), having 7 or more distinct comorbidities (AOR, 2.20; 95% CI: 2.08–2.34), and admission to a large hospital (AOR, 1.08; 95% CI: 1.02–1.15) or for-profit hospital (AOR, 1.20; 95% CI: 1.12–1.29) (Table 5). Individuals who were 90 or more years of age (AOR, 0.82; 95% CI: 0.74–0.91) and those who received care at non-metropolitan hospitals (AOR, 0.79; 95% CI: 0.73–0.85) were less likely to be readmitted following AIS hospitalization. Similar associations were observed for non-AIS readmissions (Table 5).

Having Medicare insurance (AOR, 1.20; 95% CI: 1.02–1.42), leaving the hospital against medical advice (AOR, 3.12; 95% CI: 2.29–4.23), and having 7 or more distinct comorbidities (AOR, 1.34; 95% CI: 1.15–1.55) were identified as some of the factors associated with readmission for AIS. Conversely, individuals who were 90 or more years of age (AOR, 0.72; 95% CI: 0.56–0.93) and those who were hospitalized for more than 1 week (AOR, 0.75; 95% CI: 0.68–0.83) were less likely to be readmitted for AIS following their initial AIS hospitalization.

**Discussion**

We analyzed nationally representative administrative claims from 439,682 individuals hospitalized with AIS between January and November 2014 in the US in order to characterize reasons for acute readmission and determine whether inpatient medication-related adverse events and select characteristics independently predicted readmission. Primary findings indicated that 10.7% of individuals were readmitted within 30 days of AIS hospitalization.

### Table 2 Primary reasons for readmission within 30 days of hospital discharge

| All Index Encounters | Index Encounters With Medication-Related Adverse Event | Index Encounters Without Medication-Related Adverse Event |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| n = 47,170 / 439,682; Readmission Rate = 10.7% | n (%) | n (%) | n (%) |
| Acute cerebrovascular disease | 5833 (12.4) | 464 (14.5) | 5591 (12.7) |
| Septicemia | 5197 (11.0) | 241 (7.5) | 4733 (10.8) |
| Congestive heart failure; nonhypertensive | 1974 (4.2) | 165 (5.1) | 1809 (4.1) |
| Acute and unspecified renal failure | 1852 (3.9) | 131 (4.1) | 1720 (3.9) |
| Urinary tract infections | 1462 (3.1) | 118 (3.7) | 1356 (3.1) |
| Cardiac dysrhythmias | 1412 (3.0) | 106 (3.3) | 1321 (3.0) |
| Gastrointestinal hemorrhage | 1329 (2.8) | 91 (2.8) | 1252 (2.8) |
| Pneumonia | 1272 (2.7) | 88 (2.7) | 1158 (2.6) |
| Aspiration pneumonitis; food/vomitus | 1223 (2.6) | 86 (2.7) | 1154 (2.6) |
| Late effects of cerebrovascular disease | 1193 (2.5) | 80 (2.5) | 1143 (2.6) |

### Table 3 Time to readmission by medication-related adverse event status during index hospitalization

| Medication-related adverse event | Time to Readmission Median (IQR) | Time to Readmission Quartiles | p value* |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
|                                  | Quartile 1 n (%) | Quartile 2 n (%) | Quartile 3 n (%) | Quartile 4 n (%) |
| Any Readmission | 1.0–40 days | 1.0–18.6 days | 18.7–30.0 days | 0.492 |
| No | 10.0 (4.0–18.5) | 11.050 (25.1) | 10.364 (23.6) | 11.595 (26.4) |
| Yes | 10.4 (4.5–18.8) | 815 (25.5) | 767 (24.0) | 883 (27.6) |
| AIS Readmission | 1.0–2.3 days | 2.4–6.8 days | 6.9–15.0 days | 0.003 |
| No | 6.9 (2.2–15.1) | 1329 (22.4) | 1720 (28.9) | 1499 (25.2) |
| Yes | 6.7 (3.4–13.5) | 83 (31.8) | 89 (34.1) | 58 (22.3) |
| Non-AIS Readmission | 1.0–44 days | 4.5–10.5 days | 10.6–18.9 days | 0.819 |
| No | 10.5 (4.4–18.9) | 9547 (25.1) | 9238 (24.3) | 10,436 (27.4) |
| Yes | 10.7 (4.7–19.0) | 731 (24.9) | 718 (24.5) | 837 (28.5) |

Abbreviations: AIS Acute ischemic stroke, IQR Interquartile range

*Chi-square test
discharge, that readmissions were primarily for reasons frequently observed in older adult populations, and that experiencing a medication-related adverse event greatly increased the likelihood of being readmitted within 30 days for reasons other than AIS. Our secondary findings showed that: (1) several factors, including, but not limited to, younger age, public health insurance, leaving the hospital against medical advice, and multimorbidity, were associated with an increased odds of all-cause acute readmission, and (2) that the eldest individuals and those receiving care at non-metropolitan hospitals were less likely to be readmitted following inpatient care for AIS.

Dramatic reductions in stroke incidence and related mortality have been observed in the US during the last decade and the age-adjusted AIS hospitalization rate decreased by 18.4% between 2000 and 2010 [2], with improvements being similar across sex and race [3]. Nevertheless, stroke remains a leading national cause of hospitalization and patient disability. Readmission following AIS hospitalization is common, with a large study of Medicare beneficiaries hospitalized for AIS in 2005–2006 reporting that 14.4% of patients were readmitted within 30 days of discharge and that nearly 12% of all readmission were for preventable causes [4]. During the year following AIS discharge, rates of readmission are estimated to be as high as 27% [14]. Our finding that 10.7% of patients with AIS were readmitted within 30 days of discharge suggests that there has been little overall improvement to the national rate of post-stroke acute readmission in recent years. Acute readmissions following AIS hospitalization in the US are almost always (~90%) unplanned, are associated with more than $17 billion of annual healthcare spending, and in some circumstances may serve as an indicator of the quality of healthcare services received [5]. Although not all unplanned readmissions are preventable, knowledge of modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors associated with readmission provides the opportunity to develop validated readmission risk prediction tools to identify patients at greatest risk of acute readmission. Ideally, such tools could help target the delivery of health services, including enhanced discharge planning, to those found to be at great risk of readmission. In turn, this may improve individual health outcomes and lead to more efficient healthcare spending [26].

Medication-related adverse events may include side effects to medications taken as prescribed, accidental overdosing by patients, and medication errors [22]. Such events contribute to acute readmission and up to 50% of medication-related adverse events occurring post-discharge are considered preventable [27, 28]. Recently, a study of more than 500 readmissions to a large academic hospital in the US found that approximately 13% of all 30-day preventable readmissions were attributable to medication-related adverse events [27]. Of readmissions classified as preventable, one half were the result of prescribing errors, while the other half resulted from insufficient patient monitoring or education [27]. These findings highlight potential population and health system benefits that may result from interventions aimed at mitigating the risk of experiencing medication-related adverse events.

To date, studies of risk factors for readmission following inpatient stroke care have largely focused on patient or stroke-related factors, including, but not limited to, age, sex, socioeconomic status, place of residence, medical history, and the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale/Score [4–6, 17]. Similar to our findings, prior investigations have consistently identified recurrent stroke, infections, and cardiac conditions as primary causes of acute readmission in AIS, and longer length of index AIS hospitalization and increasing morbidity to be independently associated with readmission [4, 17, 29]. Other reported patient and stroke-related risk factors for short- and long-term readmission after stroke include prior coronary heart disease, heart failure, and diabetes, as well as having a feeding tube or urinary catheter [17]. Although we have confirmed prior reports that patient and geographic disparities in AIS exist and contribute to observed undesirable health outcomes such as acute readmission, characteristics such as individual age, sex, socioeconomic status, and place of residence are unlikely to be modified. At best, knowledge of these particular disparities may provide baseline data for future population-based research into other patient-level risk factors for readmission after AIS.

The path from patient and geographic disparity to timely, effective, and sustainable intervention is presumed
### Table 5: Odds of readmission in AIS according to demographic, clinical, and care setting characteristics

| Characteristic | Any Readmission | p valuea | AORb  (95% CI) | AIS Readmission | p valuea | AORb  (95% CI) | Non-AIS Readmission | p valuea | AORb  (95% CI) |
|----------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|---------------------|----------|----------------|
| **Age**        |                 |          |                |                 |          |                |                     |          |                |
| < 40           | 1311 (2.8)      | <.001    | 1.12 (1.00-1.26)** | 135 (2.2)       | <.001    | 0.76 (0.55-1.04) | 1176 (2.9)        | <.001    | 1.20 (1.06-1.36)** |
| 40–49          | 2379 (5.0)      | Reference | 365 (5.9) | 248,807 (5.7) | Reference | 2014 (4.9) | 23,158 (5.8) | Reference |
| 50–59          | 6312 (13.2)     | 0.97     | (0.88-1.05)   | 929 (15.0)      | 0.10     | (0.83-1.21)   | 5283 (12.9)       | 0.96     | (0.87–1.05)    |
| 60–69          | 9649 (20.5)     | 0.93     | (0.85-1.01)   | 1431 (23.1)     | 1.08     | (0.90-1.30)   | 8218 (20.1)       | 0.90     | (0.83-0.99)** |
| 70–79          | 11,992 (25.4)   | 0.97     | (0.88-1.06)   | 1590 (25.6)     | 1.12     | (0.91–1.37)   | 10,402 (25.4)     | 0.95     | (0.86–1.05)    |
| 80–89          | 11,861 (25.1)   | 0.93     | (0.85-1.02)   | 1389 (22.4)     | 0.99     | (0.81-1.22)   | 10,472 (25.6)     | 0.93     | (0.84–1.02)    |
| 90+            | 3766 (8.0)      | 0.82     | (0.74-0.91)** | 366 (8.3)       | 0.72     | (0.56-0.93)*  | 3400 (8.3)        | 0.84     | (0.75–0.94)** |
| **Sex**        |                 |          |                |                 |          |                |                     |          |                |
| Female         | 24,509 (52.0)   | 0.087    | Reference      | 3024 (48.7)     | 0.013    | Reference      | 21,485 (52.4)     | 0.005    | Reference      |
| Male           | 22,661 (48.0)   | 1.03     | (1.00-1.07)    | 3181 (51.3)     | 1.07     | (0.99-1.17)    | 19,480 (48.8)     | 1.02     | (0.99–1.06)    |
| **Primary payerc** |              |          |                |                 |          |                |                     |          |                |
| Private insurance | 5834 (12.4) | <.001    | Reference      | 1002 (16.1)     | 0.159    | Reference      | 4832 (11.8)       | <.001    | Reference      |
| Medicare       | 34,216 (72.5)   | 1.33     | (1.26-1.40)** | 4160 (67.0)     | 1.02     | (0.90-1.16)    | 30,057 (73.4)     | 1.39     | (1.30–1.47)** |
| Medicaid       | 4794 (10.2)     | 1.41     | (1.32-1.51)** | 636 (10.2)      | 1.20     | (1.02-1.42)*  | 4158 (10.2)       | 1.44     | (1.34–1.55)** |
| Self-pay       | 1264 (2.7)      | 1.04     | (0.93-1.16)    | 231 (3.7)       | 1.05     | (0.81-1.37)    | 1033 (2.5)        | 1.03     | (0.92–1.16)    |
| No charge      | 169 (0.4)       | 1.01     | (0.72-1.41)    | 42 (0.7)        | 1.38     | (0.85-2.25)    | 127 (0.3)         | 0.92     | (0.64–1.34)    |
| **Median household income** |          |          |                |                 |          |                |                     |          |                |
| $66,000+       | 9260 (19.6)     | <.001    | Reference      | 1312 (21.1)     | 0.014    | Reference      | 7948 (19.4)       | <.001    | Reference      |
| $51,000 - $65,999 | 10,175 (21.6) | 0.97     | (0.92-1.02)    | 1327 (21.4)     | 0.90     | (0.79-1.03)    | 8848 (21.6)       | 0.99     | (0.94–1.04)    |
| $40,000 - $50,999 | 12,546 (26.6) | 1.01     | (0.96-1.06)    | 1544 (24.9)     | 0.88     | (0.78-1.01)    | 11,002 (26.9)     | 1.04     | (0.98–1.09)    |
| $1 - $39,999   | 14,620 (31.0)   | 1.08     | (1.03-1.14)** | 1952 (31.5)     | 1.03     | (0.91-1.17)    | 12,668 (30.9)     | 1.09     | (1.03–1.15)** |
| Missing        | 569 (1.2)       | n/a      | n/a            | 71 (1.1)        | n/a      | n/a            | 498 (1.2)         | n/a      | n/a            |
| **Length of stay** |              |          |                |                 |          |                |                     |          |                |
| 0–7 days       | 27,070 (57.4)   | <.001    | Reference      | 4557 (73.4)     | <.001    | Reference      | 22,513 (55.0)     | <.001    | Reference      |
| > 7 days       | 20,100 (42.6)   | 1.38     | (1.33-1.43)** | 1648 (26.6)     | 0.75     | (0.68-0.83)** | 18,452 (45.0)     | 1.50     | (1.44–1.55)** |
| **Discharge disposition** |          |          |                |                 |          |                |                     |          |                |
| Routine        | 13,069 (27.7)   | <.001    | Reference      | 2265 (36.5)     | <.001    | Reference      | 10,803 (26.4)     | <.001    | Reference      |
| Transfer: short-term hospital | 1306 (2.8) | 1.91     | (1.70-2.14)** | 327 (5.3)       | 3.08     | (2.44-3.90)** | 979 (2.4)         | 1.63     | (1.44–1.84)** |
| Transfer: other type of facility | 22,491 (47.7) | 1.52     | (1.45-1.59)** | 2168 (34.9)     | 1.06     | (0.95-1.19)    | 20,322 (49.6)     | 1.59     | (1.51–1.67)** |
### Table 5: Odds of readmission in AIS according to demographic, clinical, and care setting characteristics (Continued)

| Characteristic                                | Any Readmission | p value<sup>a</sup> | AOR<sup>b</sup> (95% CI) | AIS Readmission | p value<sup>a</sup> | AOR<sup>b</sup> (95% CI) | Non-AIS Readmission | p value<sup>b</sup> | AOR<sup>b</sup> (95% CI) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| Home health care                              |                 |                     |                           |                 |                     |                           |                      |                     |                           |
| Type of ownership                             |                 |                     |                           |                 |                     |                           |                      |                     |                           |
| Public                                        | 59,006 (60.4)   | 0.091               | 1.16 (1.11–1.21)<sup>***</sup> | 51,204 (51.4)   | 0.091               | 1.16 (1.11–1.21)<sup>***</sup> | 7,802 (40.6)         | 0.161               | 1.07 (1.01–1.14)<sup>***</sup> |
| Government, non-federal (public)              | 53,488 (54.9)   | <0.001              | Reference                 | 732 (11.8)      | 0.081               | Reference                 | 4616 (11.3)          | 0.093               | Reference                 |
| Private, investor-owned (proprietary)         | 72,290 (73.6)   | <0.001              | Reference                 | 944 (13.6)      | 1.09                | Reference                 | 6286 (13.5)          | 1.21                | (1.13–1.30)<sup>***</sup>   |
| Teaching status of hospital                   |                 |                     |                           |                 |                     |                           |                      |                     |                           |
| Metropolitan teaching                         | 30,821 (65.3)   | <0.001              | Reference                 | 3856 (62.1)     | 0.161               | Reference                 | 26,955 (65.8)        | 0.95                | Reference                 |
| Metropolitan non-teaching                      | 12,662 (62.8)   | <0.001              | Reference                 | 1786 (62.9)     | 1.08                | Reference                 | 10,876 (62.5)        | 0.95                | Reference                 |
| Non-metropolitan                               | 36,668 (77.6)   | <0.001              | Reference                 | 563 (9.1)       | 0.92                | Reference                 | 3124 (9.8)           | 0.77                | (0.71–0.84)<sup>***</sup>   |

**Notes:**
- Data suppressed - 10 or fewer observations in some cells
- Abbreviations: AIS, Acute ischemic stroke; AOR, Adjusted odds ratio; CI, Confidence interval
- <sup>a</sup>p < 0.001; <sup>b</sup>p < 0.05
- <sup>***</sup>p < 0.001; <sup>**</sup>p < 0.01; <sup>*</sup>p < 0.05
- Chi-square test
- Adjusted for factors listed in table
- Some categories excluded due to small sample sizes

...to be very long and uncertain. In consideration of these challenges, our study focused on the association between medication-related adverse events in the inpatient setting and acute readmission after AIS discharge, since medication-related adverse events are potentially modifiable events that may affect all patients. Our research adds to the growing body of literature pertaining to the role of hospital environments, both physical and social, in contributing to readmission after hospitalization for AIS [14, 17, 30, 31]. Specifically, our finding that medication-related adverse events during hospitalization for AIS are associated with acute readmission may in part explain readmission risks observed among patients with AIS treated by hospitalists (compared to non-hospitalists; hazard ratio, 1.30; 95% CI: 1.11–1.52) [14] and those receiving care at hospitals with higher use of hospice (compared to non-use; OR, 5.86;
95% CI: 1.13–30.3) [30]. Moreover, our findings provide a clinically important contribution to knowledge of potentially avoidable outcomes related to modifiable events occurring within the hospital environment, and inspire new questions pertaining to inpatient medication monitoring and drug safety, areas that are often understudied in neurology.

Our study has a number of strengths. We used nationally representative and longitudinal health claims data and a US-validated algorithm to identify inpatients with AIS [20]. As such, it is unlikely that individuals included in our study were admitted primarily for hemorrhagic stroke, transient ischemic attack, traumatic brain injury, or other cerebrovascular conditions. Inpatient medication-related adverse events were coded using a method reported by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality to be efficient at classifying drug-related events in HCUP data [22]. In-depth demographic, clinical, and hospital NRD data enabled us to examine the association between various potential risk factors and acute readmission, and to control for several likely sources of confounding in our analyses. Collectively, our study methods permitted an assessment of the national inpatient burden of AIS with high external validity.

Despite these strengths, our study has certain limitations. Individuals receiving AIS care at hospitals outside of their home state were excluded from our study since it is not possible to track longitudinal follow-up across state boundaries. Therefore, the reported number of individuals admitted to hospital during our study period likely underestimates the true burden of AIS. It is also possible that not all medication-related adverse events experienced by inpatients were adequately documented within the source administrative claims data for this study. Notwithstanding this potential bias, misclassification of medication-related adverse event status is presumed to be non-differential and would therefore bias our reported associations towards the null. In addition, it remains possible that extraneous variables that could not be controlled for within our study (such as stroke severity, outpatient medication use, and comorbidities diagnosed prior to index hospitalization) may confound reported associations between examined risk factors and readmission.

Conclusions
In conclusion, using nationally representative health claims data from the US, we found that readmission within 30 days of inpatient care for AIS is common and associated with medication-related adverse events occurring during the index hospitalization. Although study replication is warranted, our initial findings provide compelling evidence that acute readmissions in AIS may be avoidable through implementation of interventions focused on modifiable risk factors within the hospital environment. Future studies are needed to fully elucidate how potentially modifiable factors within the hospital environment (such as medication monitoring activities, pharmacist involvement in patient management, available nursing expertise, and communication methods) influence patient risk of medication-related adverse events and acute readmission. Population-based studies are also required to characterize inpatient medication-related adverse events in AIS by medication class and determine whether such adverse events and associated readmissions are avoidable. Lastly, future studies should examine whether the odds of acute readmission after AIS hospitalization vary by type of medication-related adverse event experienced during the index admission.
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