Anatomic Single Bundle Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction by the Two Anteromedial Portal Method: The Comparison of Transportal and Transtibial Techniques
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Purpose: The purpose of this study was to report the results of anatomic single bundle anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction by the two anteromedial portal method.

Materials and Methods: We evaluated the clinical results in 33 patients with ACL rupture who were treated by anatomic ACL reconstruction using the two anteromedial portal technique. The control group included 33 patients with ACL rupture who were treated with the conventional transtibial non-anatomic method. We performed an objective instability test, both preoperatively and at the final follow-up. We also compared the clinical results of both groups using International Knee Documentation Committee and Lysholm scores as a subjective test.

Results: At the final follow-up, the study showed that in the control group, the Lachman test was negative in 27 cases (81.8%), the pivot shift test was negative in 26 cases (78.8%), and the average anterior translocation was 3.1 mm on a KT-2000 arthrometer. In the group of patients who underwent anatomic reconstruction by the two anteromedial portal method, the Lachman test was negative in 28 cases (84.8%), the pivot shift test was negative in 30 cases (90.9%), and the average anterior translocation was 2.8 mm on the KT-2000 arthrometer. Results in the pivot shift showed statistically significant improvement compared to the control group.

Conclusions: Anatomic ACL reconstruction by two anteromedial portals is an effective surgical technique that restores the rotational stability with excellent clinical results.
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Conventional transtibial femoral tunnel drilling cannot be helpful for anatomic reconstruction, because it is difficult to place a tunnel at the center of the ACL attachment on the femur when the starting point is dependent on the site of the tibial tunnel. Harner and Poehling used the anteromedial portal for femoral tunnel placement, but their method using the conventional anteromedial and anterolateral portals has been associated with poor visualization and cortical bone destruction.

In this study, we retrospectively evaluated the results of our new single-bundle ACL reconstruction technique using 3 portals by adding a far anteromedial portal to the frequently used 2 portals. We then compared our results with those of conventional ACL reconstruction using the transtibial femoral tunnel drilling technique.

Materials and Methods

1. Materials

The experimental group consisted of 33 patients with ≥12 months of follow-up who had undergone anatomic single-bundle ACL reconstruction using 2 anteromedial portals and one anterolateral portal between September 2008 and January 2010 at our institution. The control group consisted of 33 patients who were similar in age to those in the experimental group and with ≥12 months of follow-up who had undergone a conventional non-anatomic single-bundle ACL reconstruction using transtibial femoral tunnel drilling between January 2003 and December 2006. The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) an injury in the contralateral knee; and 2) an injury to the posterior cruciate ligament or medial/lateral collateral ligament that could affect the joint stability of the ACL-injured knee. In the control group, there were 10 females and 23 males, with a mean age of 30.3 years (range, 19 to 51 years). The affected side was the right side in 15 patients and left side in 18 patients. The surgery was done using a bone-patellar tendon-bone allograft in 27 patients and a bone-patellar tendon-bone autograft in 6 patients. The mean follow-up period was 32 months (range, 12 to 55 months). In the experimental group, there were 8 females and 25 males with a mean age of 29.8 years (range, 17 to 58 years). The affected side was the right side in 19 patients and the left side in 14 patients. The surgery was done using a bone-patellar tendon-bone allograft in 26 cases and a bone-patellar tendon-bone autograft in 7 cases. The mean follow-up period was 20.8 months (range, 12 to 29 months) (Table 1).

2. Surgical Technique

The surgery was performed by a single surgeon for all patients. The ACL ruptures were confirmed by diagnostic arthroscopy. In the control group, the femoral tunnel was drilled using a transtibial technique. In the experimental group, our method using 2 anteromedial portals was performed for femoral tunnel placement. A standard anteromedial portal was created adjacent to the patellar tendon. Another anteromedial portal (far anteromedial portal) was established as distant as possible from the previous portal (-2 cm from the medial border of the patellar tendon), using a needle at a site that allows for the use of a reamer without damaging the medial femoral condyle; thus avoiding complications that can be caused by interference with a reamer or an arthroscope (Fig. 1). A standard anterolateral portal was created. This method allows for arthroscopic visualization of the medial wall of the lateral femoral condyle through the standard...
anteromedial portal with the knee in hyperflexion; hence, the anatomic ACL insertion site on the femur and the posterior cortical bone can be located with ease (Fig. 2). A guide pin was placed at the center of the ACL insertion site on the femur through the far anteromedial portal and a femoral tunnel was created using a 10-mm reamer. A tibial tunnel was drilled with a guide pin placed at the center of the ACL insertion site on the tibia, taking care to preserve the remaining ACL tissue as much as possible (Fig. 3). Then, a graft was passed through the femoral tunnel and a bio-absorbable interference screw (BioScrew poly L-lactic acid, Linvatec Co., Largo, FL, USA) that was inserted through the far anteromedial portal was used for femoral fixation. A hybrid technique was used for tibial fixation of the graft.

3. Methods
For the assessment of knee stability, anterior tibial translation was evaluated using the Lachman test and a KT-2000 arthrometer, and rotational stability was evaluated using the pivot shift test.

For clinical assessment, the Lysholm score system and the International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) knee score system were used.

Femoral tunnel obliquity was measured on the knee tunnel view obtained postoperatively by aligning the X-ray beam parallel to the articular surface with the patient in the prone position and the knee maintained at 60° of flexion using an angle block. The femoral tunnel obliquity was measured as the angle between a line parallel to the articular surface and the femoral tunnel. For

Table 2. Lachman Test

| Grade of Lachman test | Trans tibia | Far anteromedial |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------------|
| 0                     | 27 (81.8)   | 28 (84.8)        |
| I                     | 3 (9.1)     | 4 (12.1)         |
| II                    | 2 (6.1)     | 1 (3.0)          |
| III                   | 1 (3.0)     | 0 (0.0)          |

p-value>0.05.
Values are presented as number (%).

Table 3. KT-2000 Arthrometer

| KT-2000 (mm) | Trans tibia | Far anteromedial |
|--------------|-------------|------------------|
| <3           | 23 (69.6)   | 25 (75.8)        |
| 3-5          | 7 (21.2)    | 6 (18.2)         |
| >5           | 3 (9.0)     | 2 (6.0)          |
| Average      | 3.1         | 2.8              |

p-value>0.05.
Values are presented as number (%).

Fig. 2. Arthroscopic view through the standard anterolateral portal (A) and anteromedial portal (B). Anteromedial portal provides excellent arthroscopic view of medial wall of femur lateral condyle.

Fig. 3. Footprint of anteromedial (AM) and posterolateral (PL) bundles. The tunnel is made center of footprint of anteromedial and posterolateral bundles.
statistical analysis, patient demographic data were analyzed using the independent t-test and the Mann-Whitney test (Table 1). The Lachman test results, KT-2000 arthrometer measurements, pivot shift test results, Lysholm scores, and IKDC scores were analyzed using the chi-square test (Tables 2-6). A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The Lachman test, pivot shift test, and femoral tunnel obliquity measurement were conducted by an orthopedic surgeon who had ≥20 years of experience in knee surgery and performed the surgery described above.

Results

1. Knee Joint Stability

In the control group, the preoperative Lachman test results were grade I in 2 patients (6%), grade II in 21 patients (64%), and grade III in 10 patients (30%). In the experimental group, the preoperative Lachman test results were grade I in 1 patient (3%), grade II in 23 patients (70%), and grade III in 9 patients (27%). At the last follow-up, remarkable improvements were observed in both groups; however, statistically significant differences between the groups were not found, with grade 0 in 27 patients (82%), grade I in 4 patients (12%), and grade II in 1 patient (3.0%) in the control group (p>0.05) (Table 2).

The mean side-to-side difference in anterior translation assessed using the KT-2000 arthrometer was decreased in both groups between the preoperative and the last follow-up examination. The change was from 6.5 mm (range, 3-13 mm) to 3.1 mm in the control group and from 6.37 mm (range, 3-12 mm) to 2.8 mm in the experimental group, but no statistically significant intergroup difference was observed (p>0.05) (Table 3).

Results of the pivot shift test improved between the preoperative and the last follow-up examinations in both groups. In the control group, the preoperative results were grade I in 9 patients (27%), grade II in 16 patients (52%), and grade III in 8 patients (24%). In the experimental group, the preoperative results were grade I in 7 patients (21%), grade II in 17 patients (52%), and grade III in 9 patients (27%). At the last follow-up, the results were grade 0 in 26 patients (78.8%), grade I in 5 patients (15.2%), and grade II in 1 patient (3.0%) in the experimental group. A statistically significant intergroup difference was observed in the postoperative pivot shift test results: the results were negative in 30 patients (90.9%) in the experimental group and in 26 patients (78.8%) in the control group (p=0.04) (Table 4).

2. Clinical Results

The mean Lysholm score in the control group was 45.3 preoperatively and 86.2 at the last follow-up. There were 3 good cases (70-84 points, 9%), 14 fair cases (55-69 points, 42%), and 16 poor cases (0-54 points, 48%) preoperatively, and 16 excellent cases (85-100 points, 48%) preoperatively, and 16 excellent cases (85-100 points, 49%), 10 good cases (30.3%), 4 fair cases (12.1%), and 3 poor cases (9%) at the last follow-up. The mean value in the experimental group was 45.8 preoperatively and 86.4 at the last follow-up. There were 3 good cases (70-84 points, 9%), 14 fair cases (55-69 points, 42%), and 16 poor cases (0-54 points, 48%) preoperatively, and 19 excellent cases (85-100 points, 57.6%), 12 good cases (36.4%), 1 fair case (3.0%), and 1 poor case (3.0%) at the last follow-up. Thus, the Lysholm score was higher in the experimental group, but there was no significant difference between the groups (p>0.05) (Table 5). The IKDC score showed

| Grade of pivot shift test | Trans tibia | Far anteromedial |
|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| 0                         | 26 (78.8)   | 30 (90.9)        |
| I                         | 5 (15.2)    | 2 (6.0)          |
| II                        | 2 (6.0)     | 1 (3.0)          |
| III                       | 0 (0.0)     | 0 (0.0)          |

p-value=0.04.
Values are presented as number (%).

| Lysholm score | Trans tibia | Far anteromedial |
|---------------|-------------|------------------|
| 5-100 (excellent) | 16 (48.5)   | 19 (57.6)        |
| 70-84 (good)   | 10 (30.3)   | 12 (36.4)        |
| 55-69 (fair)   | 4 (12.1)    | 1 (3.0)          |
| 0-54 (poor)    | 3 (9.0)     | 1 (3.0)          |
| Mean score     | 77.2        | 88.3             |

p-value>0.05.
Values are presented as number (%).

| IKDC score | Trans tibia | Far anteromedial |
|------------|-------------|------------------|
| Normal     | 21 (68.0)   | 23 (69.7)        |
| Nearly normal | 10 (30.3)   | 9 (27.3)         |
| Abnormal   | 2 (6.0)     | 1 (3.0)          |
| Severely abnormal | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |

p-value>0.05.
Values are presented as number (%).
no statistically significant difference between the groups (p>0.05). In the control group, there were 22 abnormal (67%) and 11 severely abnormal cases (33%) preoperatively, and 21 normal (68%), 10 nearly normal (30.3%), and 2 abnormal cases (6.0%) at the last follow-up. In the experimental group, there were 23 abnormal (70%) and 10 severely abnormal cases (30%) preoperatively, and 23 normal (69.7%), 9 nearly normal (27.3%), and 1 abnormal case (3.0%) at the last follow-up (Table 6).

3. Radiological Results (Femoral Tunnel Obliquity)

The postoperative femoral tunnel obliquity was measured on the knee tunnel views obtained at the last follow-up. The mean value was significantly different between the groups, with 59° in the control group and 31° in the experimental group (p=0.03) (Fig. 4).

Discussion

The ACL can be divided into anteromedial and posterolateral bundles according to the attachment site on the tibia. These 2 bundles contribute to the stability of the knee: the anteromedial bundle provides anterior stability and the posterolateral bundle provides rotational stability. Zantop et al. reported that the 2 bundles interact with each other for knee stability in response to the anteroposterior and combined rotational loads. For ACL tears, single-bundle reconstruction has been advocated as the treatment of choice with high success rates. Recent studies have suggested methods to reduce residual rotational instability after single-bundle reconstruction. Because it has been reported that femoral tunnel obliquity is crucial for the recovery of rotational stability after the procedure, the focus has been on lowering the reconstructed ligament’s obliquity. In the study of Jepsen et al., patients’ subjective satisfaction was significantly improved, and accordingly, greater clinical improvement could be expected when the femoral tunnel position was moved from the 11 o’clock position to the 10 o’clock position. Scopp et al. reported that the 10 or 2 o’clock femoral tunnel position on the coronal plane was associated with the recovery of rotational stability, while they had no influence on the anteroposterior stability. Woo et al. suggested that 10 or 2 o’clock femoral tunnel positioning or double-bundle reconstruction techniques would be helpful for overcoming the limitation of single-bundle ACL reconstruction. The transtibial femoral tunnel drilling technique may not be effective for lowering the femoral tunnel obliquity because the obliquity of a femoral tunnel is determined according to that of a tibial tunnel. Brophy et al. reported that restoring the anatomic footprint and ligament orientation to the pre-injury level resulted in improvements in the stability and biomechanics of the reconstructed knee. In the cadaveric study of Yagi et al., the kinematics of the reconstructed knee was closer to that of the intact knee when anatomic reconstruction was achieved. In an attempt to lower the femoral tunnel obliquity using the transtibial technique, Rue et al. moved the tibial tunnel starting point more proximally and medially compared to the conventional method and performed 10 or 2 o’clock femoral tunnel placement. However, the limitations of their method include that the medial collateral ligament and pes anserinus can be damaged, and it is difficult to secure firm fixation to the tibia due to the short tibial tunnel length.

Anatomic ACL reconstruction techniques have been documented in many recent studies. Harner and Poehling reported that femoral tunnel placement using an anteromedial portal, compared to that using a transtibial technique, is advantageous for locating more anatomically correct ACL attachment sites on the femur and lowering femoral tunnel obliquity, which makes femoral notchplasty unnecessary. However, this technique carries potential risks of short femoral tunnel creation, and damage to the articular cartilage of the medial femoral condyle, posterior wall of the lateral femoral condyle, and posterolateral structures such as the fibular nerve. Additionally, the knee should be flexed ≥90° during tunnel drilling to avoid the complications, which could limit the field of view during surgery.
In this study, we suggested a new method using 2 anteromedial portals; a far anteromedial portal and the conventional anteromedial portal. The advantages of our method are as follows: 1) close replication of native femoral attachment of the ACL through visualization of the medial aspect of the lateral femoral condyle with the knee in hyperflexion; 2) shortened operation time; and 3) reduced complications such as posterior cortical bone loss.

Studies, including the current study, have shown that ACL reconstruction using a medial portal allows for anatomic reconstruction of the ACL and is effective for restoring anterior stability and rotational stability. However, in spite of the improvement in rotational stability, the clinical results were not significantly different between the experimental group and the control group. We attribute this to the relatively short follow-up period of 2-years. Indeed, some authors have suggested that statistical significance of clinical results can be better assessed with a long-term follow-up. Therefore, we think the patients should be followed for a longer period to investigate the relationship between the improvement in rotational stability and clinical results.

In ACL reconstruction using 2 anteromedial portals, the 2 portals should be placed at maximum distance from each other to prevent interference between the arthroscope and the reamer. In addition, care should be taken not to damage the articular cartilage of the medial femoral condyle during femoral tunnel drilling through the far anteromedial portal.

There are some limitations of our study. First, the patients in the control group were selected intentionally as those who were similar in age to those in the experimental group. However, this was an attempt to reduce the influence of age on knee joint function. Second, the obliquity of the reconstructed ligament was assessed using radiography rather than arthroscopy. However, arthroscopy is not conducive to the objective measurement of obliquity. In addition, considering that our purpose was to compare the obliquity between the 2 groups, and not to obtain the actual value, the use of radiography did not have an influence on the study results.

Conclusions

ACL reconstruction using 2 anteromedial portals can be effective for reproducing the anatomy of the ACL and obtaining good clinical results, because the technique allows for a better field of view and lower obliquity of the reconstructed ACL compared to the transtibial technique.
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