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Abstract
Although clubfoot (Congenital talipes equinovarus) is one of the most common congenital abnormalities affecting the lower limb with an incidence of one to two per 1000 live births, it remains a challenge not only to understand its genetic origins but also to provide effective long-term treatment. Its etiology remains a conundrum. It is increasingly being diagnosed on prenatal ultrasound with implications for prenatal counselling. The aim of the treatment is to obtain a painless and functional foot. Conservative treatment with Ponseti method of clubfoot is well-accepted and has been reported to result in good correction ranging from as low as 50% to as high as 90%. Surgical treatment is indicated only after failure of conservative methods, with limited release also known as “a la carte” release.

Objective: The aim of this review is to focus at etiology, clinical assessment, scoring and provides an overview of operative and non-operative treatment options.
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Introduction

Clubfoot or Congenital talipes equinovarus (CTEV) was introduced by Hippocrates around 300 B.C. He described two forms of clubfeet i.e. congenital and acquired in infancy. Term talipes equinovarus is originated from Latin word: talus (ankle) and pes (foot); equinus: “horse like” (the heel in plantar flexion) and varus: inverted and adducted. The incidence of congenital talipes equinovaruse are about 1-2 per thousand live births. It is one of the most common birth defects involving musculoskeletal system (Figure 1).

The involvement is bilateral in about 50% of cases and in unilateral cases the right side is more commonly affected than left [1]. Idiopathic clubfoot occurs in males more often than in females by a ratio of 2:1. Clubfoot is an obvious deformity easily recognized. It has four classification: Equius, midfoot cavus, forefoot adduction and hind foot varus. When left untreated, children having clubfoot walk on the sides and/or top of their feet, which leads to callus formation, skin and bone infections, substantial limitation in mobility, reduced employment opportunities and inability to wear standard shoes. It is astonishing that in spite of the vast attention, there is still need to focus on its etiology and to identify the methods of assessment and treatment.

The deformity in idiopathic club foot is both cosmetic and functional with associated hypoplasia of skin, muscles, bones, tendons, ligaments and neurovascular bundle on the medial side and the affected foot is smaller than the normal foot [2]. Current treatment of clubfoot deformity includes initial trials of manipulation and serial casting. 30-50% feet treated in this way eventually needs surgical correction [3]. The aim of the review is to provide an overview of clubfoot.

Figure 1: Comparison between normal foot and club foot.
Etiology

Club foot may be associated with myelodysplasia, arthrogryposis or many other congenital abnormalities but is more commonly an isolated idiopathic birth defect. Multiple theories have been put forward to explain its etiology.

Mechanical Factors in Utero

According to theory of Hippocrates, due to the compression of uterus, foot got the position of equinovarus. However, Parker and Browne believed that oligohydramnios restrict the movement of fetus [4].

Neuromuscular Defect

Some investigators maintain the opinion that equinovarus foot is always the result of neuromuscular defect [5]. On contrary, some studies of histological finding shows no abnormalities or no deformities [6].

Primary plasma Defect

Irani and Sherman had dissected 11 equinovarus feet and 14 normal feet and dissection revealed no primary abnormalities of the nerves, vessels tendon and muscles insertions. Constantly the abnormalities were found in the anterior part of the talus. The talus was undersized and its anterior portion was medially rotated. They suggested that the deformity probably resulted from a primary germ plasma defect [7].

Arrested Fetal Development

a. Intrauterine environment: In 1863, Heuter and Von Volkman proposed that the early in embryonic life arrest of fetal development was a cause of congenital clubfoot [5].

b. Environmental influences: The harmful influence of teratogenic agents on fetal environment and development are well illustrated by the effect of rubella and thalidomide. Many authors believe that club foot and temporary growth arrest happens due to various environmental factors [8]. Honein et al. [9] reported the exposure of cigarette smoke and family history is associated with the causative factors for the club feet especially in Antenatal period [9].

Polygenic theory of Hereditary Pattern

Club foot tends to be familial in a significant number of cases. Wynne Davis supported the polygenic theory and showed a rapid decrease in incidence of clubfoot from first to second to third degree relatives. About 2.9% of siblings in the first degree relatives had this deformity as compared to 1-2 per thousand masses and chances of getting affected in siblings are more than 25 times [10].

Classification

The purpose of a classification system is to help in subsequent diagnosis and management. Various classifications of clubfoot exist in the literature. Dimeglio mentioned the different four categories of club foot, on basis of joint motion and ability to reduce the deformities [11].

i. Soft foot also called postural foot can be treated by physiotherapy and standard casting treatment.

ii. Soft > Stiff foot occurs in 33% of cases. It is usually a long foot which is more than 50% reducible and treated with casting and attain total correction of feet after 7-8 month, if not then surgery must required.

iii. Stiff > Soft present in 61% of cases. It is less than 50% reducible after physiotherapy and casting. If specific requirements necessary, after the treatment then surgery can be performed.

iv. Stiff foot is a statologic and complex to rectify. It is in severe bilateral equinus deformity and requires a broad surgical improvement.

Clinical Features

Congenital clubfoot is differentiated by structural, postural and secondary type. The postural clubfoot can occur by abnormal position during birth and manipulative control. The patient should be thoroughly examined to assess the features of paralytic clubfoot. Congenital clubfoot can be rectifying completely.

Diagnostic tests

| Table 1: Pirani scoring. |
|-------------------------|
| **Parameters** | **Normal** | **Moderate** | **Severe** |
| **Midfoot** | | | |
| Curved lateral border | 0 | 0.5 | 1 |
| Medial crease | 0 | 0.5 | 1 |
| Talar Head coverage | 0 | 0.5 | 1 |
| **Hind Foot** | | | |
| Posterior crease | 0 | 0.5 | 1 |
| Rigid equines | 0 | 0.5 | 1 |
| Empty heel | 0 | 0.5 | 1 |

Increasingly, the diagnosis of clubfoot is being made on prenatal ultrasound examination, usually around 20 weeks gestation of babies with newly diagnosed clubfeet attending our institution; approximately two-thirds have been diagnosed prenatally. In other institutions, this figure may be as high as 77%. Unsurprisingly, clubfoot is more likely to be detected prenatally if the condition is bilateral or if there are other anomalies present. As yet, the post-natal clinical severity of a true clubfoot cannot be deduced from the prenatal sonographic findings Pirani et al. Has developed a valid and reliable method of clinically assessment of the amount of deformity present in an unoperated congenital clubfoot less than 2 years of baby [12]. It allows the treating practitioner to know how patient is
responding to treatment and when surgical cutting of tendon i.e. tenotomy is required [13] (Table 1).

**Radiological Assessment**

There are not any satisfactory methods of assessment by physician in the beginning of the deformity. Barwell (1896) introduced the plain radiographs to assess the exact status of clubfoot [2]. However, at birth, clinical examination is more informative than radiography. Tarsal bone seems like the small ball shaped ossicles. Thus, the plain radiograph film does not help to evaluate the shape and orientation of the tarsal. After three or four month ossification of tarsal bone occur and it became visible by radiological examination [14-19]. So there is no consensus on the value of radiographs in the routine management of congenital clubfoot (Figure 2).

**Treatment**

A normal developing foot turns into a clubfoot during the second trimester of pregnancy. Clubfoot is not an embryonic abnormality. Treating successfully clubfoot and understanding the pathomechanics has always been an unsolved point for modern medicine. Clubfoot is usually diagnosed immediately after birth simply by looking at the foot. Most Orthopaedic surgeons have agreed that the initial treatment of a clubfoot should be nonsurgical and start as soon as possible after birth [20].

Ponseti’s Method of Treatment: Dr. Ignacio pioneered this method of treatment in the 1940’s. Patient, after treating with surgical corrections may develop painful foot with left over defect over time. Then he seek to understand the functional and pathological anatomy of both the normal foot and club foot and his finding explore the Ponseti method. The Ponseti method is a specific method of casting, serial manipulation and surgery of cutting down the achillestendon i.e tenotomy [21,22]. Treatment is provided instantly after birth and leads to plaster casting and serial manipulation. The foot's ligament and tendon are starched and manipulated on the weekly basis followed by implementing the cast of soft fiberglass that helps to bring the ligament in its original position.

Denis Brown Bar is also helpful for treating the clubfoot, also known as the foot abduction orthosis or Denis Brown splint. It has dynamic bar that allows independent movement of each leg that resulting in improved bracing tolerance which make the patient comfortable [23,24]. The rotation of the shoes on the bar is set at around seventy degree of external rotation for the clubfeet and around forty degree of external rotation for the standard feet. The brace is tied up to the four years of age with time duration of three month including day and night. The first week after wearing braces the child required more attention and follow up to find out any complication. Client who does not get the adjustment with the braces in particular time periods can visit the orthopedician [25,26].

Physician instructs the client for proper follow up at interval of one and three month and Range-of-motion exercises are advised to improve the flexibility. In some cases brace intolerance is one of the biggest reason for the reoccurrence of problem [27,28]. Reverting back of problem again treated with the repeat casting, followed by surgical procedure. Above the age of three years the child required only serial casting needed up to six weeks to facilitate muscle strengthening without using foot abduction brace, if accurate position is attained , the child undergone for next tibialis anterior tendon transfer to the third cuneiform (Figure 3).

**French Method**

French method is popular method of clubfoot treatment that also avoids extensive surgical treatment [29]. This method requires daily manipulations and stretching of the newborn clubfoot by providing two month regular treatment followed by immobilization with adhesive taping to maintain the correction.
Even Machine was also used for stretching during the night time. Treatment frequency is decreased to further week and parents are advised for the use of splints in night and assist the child for exercise until the child reaches the walking age.

Figure 3: Foot abduction braces.

Surgical Treatment of Clubfoot

If the manipulation or serial casting treatment fails, surgery is required. The surgical correction is usually not done until the child is between six and nine months of age. Surgical treatment is performed to correct clubfoot and align the foot in original position. The surgical procedure usually consists of releasing and lengthening the tight tendons and joint capsule of the foot. Surgery almost takes 2 to 3 hours including the stay of two days for observation in hospital. Surgery required two incision and insertion of small pins to fix the correction of deformity. Pins are disconnected from the operation foot after four to six week of surgery and cast is placed for the time periods of eighty four days.

Classification of Surgical Treatment

i. Soft tissue releases that release the tight tendons/ligament around the joints and result in lengthening of the tendons. This step is needed in approximately 30-50% of all treated clubfoot patients. Tendon transfers improve the tendons or ligaments in proper position.

ii. Osteotomies or arthrodeses are the procedure performed on bone. This method makes bone and joint more stabilize, to facilitate the bones to grow firmly.

Report shows that radial circumferential soft tissue release on medial, lateral, posterior and planar aspect of foot at an early age, obtained good results [30]. Soft tissue expander also helpful for the skin closure before going to operation.

Conclusion

The review article shows numerous ways to develop this deformity specially in children. Treatment is varied over the time including conservative and surgical procedures. Ponseti Methods place good influence in correction of muscle, bone and joints abnormalities. Children will need follow-up on regular intervals for several years after treatment. Reoccurrence of problem may occur up to the end of growth age (18 years). So continuous follow up is recommended.
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