Expedited Removal of a Radial Hemostatic Compression Device Following Cardiac Catheterization Is Safe and Associated With Reduced Time to Discharge
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Abstract

Background: Radial access for cardiac catheterization has become increasingly adopted, owing much of its popularity to decreased bleeding complications compared with the femoral approach. Hemostatic compression devices (HCDs) for radial catheterization play a key role in this advantage, but the optimal duration of compression is unknown. A shorter duration of compression is encouraged by guidelines, but removing an HCD too quickly could result in serious bleeding. We aimed to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of expedited removal of a radial HCD after cardiac catheterization.

Methods: We conducted a prospective study of patients undergoing radial cardiac catheterization and/or percutaneous coronary intervention at a tertiary care academic medical center. Patients underwent HCD application using a TR Band\textsuperscript{TM} (Terumo Interventional Systems) which was removed after a prespecified amount of time in each of three sequential temporal cohorts: 2-h, 1-h, or 0.5-h. Each patient was monitored for development of bleeding or hematoma and for serious complications.

Results: A total of 354 patients participated in our study, with similar numbers in each group. There was a greater rate of minor bleeding in the 0.5-h (12%) and 1-h (19%) groups compared with the 2-h group (8%), but there were no serious complications (need for surgical consultation, transfusion, or unplanned admission) in any group. The average time to discharge was shorter in the 0.5-h and 1-h groups compared with the 2-h group.

Conclusions: Deflating the radial HCD at 0.5 h is safe with no increase in the observed rate of major complications and is associated with reduced time to discharge after coronary angiography or percutaneous coronary intervention using the radial arterial approach.
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Introduction

Transradial vascular access has become an increasingly adopted approach for cardiac catheterization in the USA, with use of radial arterial access among percutaneous coronary interventions (PCIIs) rising in the National Cardiovascular Data Registry (NCDR\textsuperscript{TM}) CathPCI Registry from 10.9% in 2011 to 25.2% in 2014 to 39.5% in 2017 [1, 2]. One of the drivers behind this trend is the lower rate of bleeding complications with the radial approach compared with the femoral approach [3].

A key factor in the decreased bleeding rates associated with radial access is the use of hemostatic compression devices (HCDs) placed post-procedurally. However, despite their importance, the optimal duration of post-procedural compression with an HCD is unclear. One benefit of shorter duration of compression is lower rates of radial artery occlusion [4], prompting expert consensus guidelines to advocate for this strategy [5]. If faster removal of an HCD leads to less time required for patients to be observed in the hospital, this could also result in decreased length of stay and cost savings. However, a shorter duration of hemostatic compression would be detrimental if it leads to increased rates of bleeding. Thus, the ideal duration of compression that balances these factors remains unclear.

An informal survey of North American cardiac catheterization laboratory policies that we conducted reflects this uncertainty, with institutional stipulated compression times varying from 10 min to 4 h. At the outset of this study, our institution’s cardiac catheterization laboratory protocol mandated application of an HCD (the TR Band\textsuperscript{TM} from Terumo Interventional Systems, Somerset, NJ) for 2 h. The Removal Guidelines from Terumo suggest compression for 1 - 2 h depending on the amount of heparin used during the procedure [6]. However, the brochure indicates that these guidelines are consensus opinion only. Because of the potential benefits of shorter duration hemostatic compression after radial approach cardiac catheterization and the lack of data to guide practice, we sought to ascertain whether an expedited HCD removal protocol would be safe to implement at our institution.
Materials and Methods

Study design

We conducted a prospective cohort study of consecutive patients undergoing coronary angiography, left heart catheterization and/or PCI via the radial artery approach at the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC) in Boston, Massachusetts, aiming to ascertain the safety of expedited removal of an HCD post-procedure compared with our standard practice of 120 min of compression. Most patients undergoing cardiac catheterization and PCI procedures receive immediate post-procedure care (including HCD or femoral arterial sheath management) in the Cardiac Catheterization Laboratory Holding Area. Patients with radial arterial access were excluded from this study if they were to be transferred from our holding area to an inpatient care area (intensive care unit or cardiology ward) prior to removal of the HCD. This ensured that our participants’ HCDs would be managed and removed exclusively by our catheterization laboratory holding area nursing staff who were educated on our study design and its data collection form. Patients were also excluded if they had a hematoma prior to HCD application, or if they were felt to be at excessive bleeding risk in the opinion of the interventional cardiologist who performed the procedure. Data collection took place from January 2017 through October 2017. This study was conducted under the supervision of our Cardiac Catheterization Laboratory Quality Improvement Committee and was approved by the BIDMC Institutional Review Board as a quality improvement initiative.

All participants underwent HCD application with a TR Band® as the arterial sheath (most often a 10-cm 6 French GlideSheath Slender®, Terumo Interventional Systems, Somerset, NJ) was removed in adherence with the device’s instructions for use provided by the manufacturer [6] with inflation of the device’s air cushion until hemostasis was achieved. After application of the HCD, participants were transferred to our post-procedure holding area and, if needed, immediately had air removed from the HCD cushion until patent hemostasis was achieved, as determined using Barbeau’s test [7]. Following a pre-defined duration of compression (120 min, 60 min, or 30 min), the HCD cushion was weaned off by removing one-third of the air content at three 15-min intervals, constituting phases 1 - 3 of our weaning protocol. Patients were subsequently observed for 15 min with the TR Band® in place, but completely deflated, after which time it was removed. Following removal of the TR Band®, patients were observed for a specified interval before being eligible for discharge. In the 2-h and 1-h groups, the post-removal observation interval was 30 min, and for the 0.5-h group, the post-removal observation time was 60 min (Fig. 1). The patients were then observed for a period of time in the holding area before being discharged home or transferred to an inpatient care area. If any bleeding occurred during cushion deflation, re-inflation with an additional 1 - 2 mL of air was performed until hemostasis was achieved, and the weaning period was extended by an additional 15 min interval. If any new hematoma was evident during cushion deflation, manual pressure was applied, and a cardiology fellow was called to assess the patient and determine subsequent management.

Data collection

For each participant, we recorded basic demographic information, the type of procedure performed (diagnostic coronary angiography only, PCI, or other) and what anticoagulant was used. If an activated clotting time (ACT) was performed as part of PCI or to guide safe removal of sheaths at additional access sites, we recorded the time of measurement and the value. Timestamps were recorded for initial application of the HCD, each phase of deflation of the HCD cushion, the time of removal of the HCD, and the time of discharge or transfer. If any bleeding or hematoma occurred, the time of this event was also recorded. Lastly, we recorded occurrence of large hematoma, severe discomfort, transfusion, surgical consult or unplanned admission for radial arterial access site complications.

Using the above protocol, we collected data in three sequential stages. During stage 1 (January through February, 2017), we utilized our pre-existing standard timeframe of 2 h of compression prior to deflation of the HCD cushion in order to ascertain our baseline level of events. During stage 2 (February through April, 2017), we used a time of compression of 30 min. During stage 3 (September through October, 2017), we used 1 h. The weaning protocol was identical in all stages. However, post-weaning observation differed; post-weaning observation was mandated as at least 60 min in the 0.5-h weaning group and 30 min in the 1-h weaning group to ensure patient safety since this degree of rapid removal had not previously been studied in this
manner. See the protocol (Supplementary Material 1, www.cardiologyres.org) for our data collection form for stage 3 (0.5-h group), which is similar to the other stages’ data collection forms.

Statistical methods

We performed statistical analyses using JMP/13 software (SAS Institute Incorporated, Cary, North Carolina). For patient characteristics and outcome events, proportions were compared using Fisher’s exact tests, and continuous variables were compared using analysis of variance and t-tests. Elapsed time variables were compared using Wilcoxon tests and the Kruskal-Wallis test.

Results

A total of 354 patients were included in our study; there were 99 patients in the 2-h weaning group, 132 patients in the 1-h weaning group, and 123 patients in the 0.5-h weaning group. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1 and were similar across all three groups. Notably, there were no differences in proportion of PCI, heparin use, or mean ACT (if performed) across the three groups.

As shown in Table 2, the rate of bleeding in the baseline 2-h weaning group (8%) was lower than in the 1-h weaning group (19%, P = 0.01), and was not significantly different than in the 0.5-h weaning group (12%, P = 0.08). There was no statistically significant difference in the rate of hematoma alone or the composite rate of bleeding or hematoma across all three groups. Notably, the serious complications endpoint (a composite of severe discomfort, severe hematoma, need for blood transfusion, surgical consultation, or unplanned admission) did not occur in any of the three groups.

We theorized that minor bleeding events occurring early during the weaning process were less dangerous than bleeding events occurring late in the weaning process or after removal of the HCD, since the closer a bleeding event occurred to a patient’s discharge, the greater the risk another bleeding event could occur after discharge and be unable to be immediately acted upon by medical personnel. For this reason, we analyzed our data as depicted in Figure 2 and grouped bleeding and hematoma events by the phase of weaning during which they occurred among our three groups. Reassuringly, only two total bleeding events occurred after the HCD was removed; both of these events were adjudicated with manual medical record review and it was discovered that in both cases, the patients had developed bleeding immediately after using the bathroom, and it is suspected that

| Table 1. Baseline Characteristicsa |
|----------------------------------|
| Characteristic                  | All groups | 2-h wean | 1-h wean | 0.5-h wean |
| N                                | 354        | 99       | 132      | 123        |
| Age (mean)                       | 67         | 67       | 67       | 66         |
| Gender (% male)                  | 65%        | 64%      | 64%      | 66%        |
| Procedure type                   |            |          |          |            |
| Coronary angiography             | 81%        | 80%      | 80%      | 85%        |
| PCI                              | 17%        | 20%      | 18%      | 13%        |
| Other                            | 2%         | 0%       | 2%       | 2%         |
| Heparin useb                     | 96%        | 96%      | 98%      | 94%        |
| ACT performed                    | 23%        | 21%      | 21%      | 25%        |
| ACT (mean)                       | 247        | 260      | 246      | 238        |

PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention; ACT: activated clotting time (in seconds). aThere were no statistically significant differences among the variables listed across groups as assessed by analysis of variance and t-tests for all possible individual comparisons for the continuous variables and for Fisher’s exact test for the categorical variables. bIn cases where heparin was not used, either bivalirudin or no anticoagulation was used.

Table 2. Outcomes by Group

| Outcome                       | All groups | 2-h wean | 1-h wean | 0.5-h wean | P valuea |
|-------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|------------|----------|
| Serious complications         | 0          | 0        | 0        | 0          | -        |
| Any bleeding, n, (%)          | 49 (14%)   | 8 (8%)   | 26 (19%) | 15 (12%)   | P = 0.032 |
| Any hematoma, n, (%)          | 18 (5%)    | 3 (3%)   | 7 (5%)   | 8 (7%)     | P = NS    |
| Bleeding or hematoma, n, (%)  | 58 (16%)   | 11 (11%) | 27 (20%) | 20 (16%)   | P = NS    |
| Time to HCD removal (h)       | 2.1 (2.8 - 1.8) | 2.9 (3.2 - 2.8) | 2 (2.3 - 1.8) | 1.6 (1.8 - 1.4) | P < 0.001 |
| Time to discharge (h)         | 3.2 (4 - 2.7) | 3.5 (4.3 - 3.2) | 3.0 (3.7 - 2.5) | 3.0 (3.9 - 2.5) | P < 0.001 |

Serious complications were defined as a composite of severe discomfort, severe hematoma, need for blood transfusion, surgical consultation, or unplanned admission. Times are listed as median values with the interquartile range in brackets. aCalculated using Fisher’s exact test for dichotomous variables and the Kruskal-Wallis test for time-to-event variables for all three groups. HCD: hemostatic compression device; NS: not significant.
they may have been nonadherent to the prescribed range of motion and activity restrictions for their involved wrist.

The time from HCD application to discharge was shorter in the 0.5-h weaning group (median: 3.0 h, P < 0.01) and 1-h weaning group (median: 3.0 h, P < 0.001) compared with the baseline 2-h weaning group (median: 3.5 h.) There was no significant difference in time to discharge between the 0.5-h weaning group and the 1-h weaning group (Fig. 3).

Because anticoagulants and antiplatelet agents are used routinely during and after PCI procedures, and could contribute to bleeding or hematoma formation, we also examined these data in aggregate, detailed in Table 3. There were no significant differences in rates of bleeding or hematoma across diagnostic coronary angiography, PCI, or other cardiac catheterization procedures.

Discussion

Although Carrington et al previously performed a similar analysis of accelerated removal of an HCD following cardiac catheterization [8], to our knowledge, our study is unique in that it included patients undergoing PCI and utilized modern patent hemostasis technique. Our study showed that reducing the duration of HCD application was shorter in the 0.5-h weaning group (median: 3.0 h, P < 0.01) and 1-h weaning group (median: 3.0 h, P < 0.001) compared with the baseline 2-h weaning group (median: 3.5 h.) There was no significant difference in time to discharge between the 0.5-h weaning group and the 1-h weaning group (Fig. 3).

Because anticoagulants and antiplatelet agents are used routinely during and after PCI procedures, and could contribute to bleeding or hematoma formation, we also examined these data in aggregate, detailed in Table 3. There were no significant differences in rates of bleeding or hematoma across diagnostic coronary angiography, PCI, or other cardiac catheterization procedures.

Figure 2. Bleeding and hematoma events grouped by weaning phase. As described in the methods section, hemostatic compression devices (HCDs) were removed with three serial deflations of one-third of the volume of air in the HCD cushion, 15 min apart, constituting phase 1 - 3 of the weaning protocol. Bleeding or hematoma could be noted during each of these phases, once the HCD was physically removed, or after the HCD was removed but before the patient was discharged.

Our results confirm that reducing the duration of HCD application is associated with expedited time to discharge. Reducing time to discharge is beneficial for a number of reasons provided it is safe, particularly if it improves patient satisfaction and reduces healthcare costs by making a catheterization laboratory more efficient. A recent NCDR study showed that same-day discharge for PCI patients was associated with cost savings averaging $3,497 per procedure compared with non same-day discharge [9]. Although not evaluated in our study, an additional expected benefit of reduced duration of HCD compression is a decreased rate of radial artery occlusion [4]. It is worth noting that we do not advocate for same-day discharge for all PCI patients; however, in appropriately selected patients this is a safe and less costly disposition.

Our study has several limitations. With roughly 100 participants in each of our three groups, our study was underpowered to detect small differences in event rates. The lack of randomization and blinding also limited definitive causal inference. However, these same characteristics enabled us to perform the study relatively quickly and with limited resources. As a result, our hospital has implemented a 0.5-h weaning protocol for all transradial cardiac catheterization procedures. In post-implementation surveillance, as was the case during our study, there have been no serious complications. We hope that the reassuring results of this study will serve as a pilot for a more definitive randomized controlled trial.

Conclusions

Compared with 120 min of hemostatic device compression,
an accelerated protocol of 60 min of compression or 30 min of compression yielded no serious complications in our cohort of patients, status post transradial cardiac catheterization. As expected, the accelerated protocols were also associated with reduced time to discharge.

**Supplementary Material**

**Supplementary Material 1.** Radial Artery TR Band Removal Protocol and Data Collection Tool.
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