Electromagnetic spectral properties and Debye screening of a strongly magnetized hot medium
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We have evaluated the electromagnetic spectral function and its spectral properties by computing the one-loop photon polarization tensor involving quarks in the loop, particularly in a strong field approximation compared to the thermal scale. When the magnetic scale is higher than the thermal scale the lowest Landau level (LLL) becomes effectively (1+1) dimensional strongly correlated system that provides a kinematical threshold based on the quark mass scale. Beyond this threshold the photon strikes the LLL and the spectral strength starts with a high value due to the dimensional reduction and then falls off with increase of the photon energy due to LLL dynamics in a strong field approximation. We have obtained analytically the dilepton production rates from LLL considering the lepton pair remains unaffected by the magnetic field when produced at the edge of a hot magnetized medium or affected by the magnetic field if produced inside a hot magnetized medium. For the later case the production rate is of $O(|eB|^2$) along with an additional kinematical threshold due to lepton mass than the former one. We have also investigated the electromagnetic screening by computing the Debye screening mass and it depends distinctively on three different scales (mass of the quasiquark, temperature and the magnetic field strength) of a hot magnetized system. The mass dependence of the Debye screening supports the occurrence of a magnetic catalysis effect in the strong field approximation.
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The LLL energy.

In strong field approximation and compare our results with those of Ref. [34]. In addition we also discuss approach using Ritus eigenfunction method [43]. In this article we use such formal field theoretic approach in details the dilepton production rate for magnetized hot and dense medium in a formal field theoretic on photon emission and on dilepton production. Recently, Sadooghi and Taghinavaz [34] have analyzed by a fast quark. In this calculation it was approximated that the virtuality of photon has negligible effect a semi-classical Weizs¨acker-Williams method [42] was employed to obtain the dilepton production rate by a hard quark as a convolution of the real photon decay rate with the flux of equivalent photons emitted a semi-classical Weisz¨acker-Williams method [42] was employed to obtain the dilepton production rate by a hard quark as a convolution of the real photon decay rate with the flux of equivalent photons emitted by a fast quark. In this calculation it was approximated that the virtuality of photon has negligible effect on photon emission and on dilepton production. Recently, Sadooghi and Taghinavaz [34] have analyzed in details the dilepton production rate for magnetized hot and dense medium in a formal field theoretic approach using Ritus eigenfunction method [43]. In this article we use such formal field theoretic approach along with Schwinger method [44] to obtain the electromagnetic spectral function and the dilepton rate in strong field approximation and compare our results with those of Ref. [34]. In addition we also discuss

---

1 However for a different point of view, see [8–10], where the time dependence of magnetic field is shown to be adiabatic due to the high conductivity of the medium.
another interesting topic, namely the Debye screening, which could reveal some of the intriguing properties of the medium in presence of strong magnetic field.

The paper is organized as follows: in sec. II we briefly review the setup, within the Schwinger formalism [44], required to compute the photon polarization tensor in presence of a very strong background magnetic field along the z direction. In sec. III we briefly discuss the vacuum spectral function and then obtain the in-medium photon polarization tensor and its spectral representation in strong field approximation. In sec. IV we discuss how the dilepton rate for LLL approximation would be modified and calculate the analytic expression for the dilepton production rate for various scenarios [9] in the strong magnetic field approximation. A closer view of the Debye screening in a strongly magnetized hot medium is taken up in sec. V before concluding in sec. VI.

II. SETUP

In presence of a constant magnetic field pointing towards the z direction ($\vec{B} = B\hat{z}$), we first describe the charged fermion propagator. In coordinate space it can be expressed [44] as

$$S_m(x, x') = e^{\Phi(x, x')} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ik(x-x')} S_m(k),$$

(1)

where $\Phi(x, x')$ is called the phase factor, which generally drops out in gauge invariant correlation functions and the exact form of $\Phi(x, x')$ is not important in our problem. In momentum space the Schwinger propagator $S_m(k)$ can be written [44] as an integral over proper time $s$, i.e.,

$$iS_m(k) = \int_0^\infty ds \exp \left[ is \left( k_n^2 - m_f^2 - \frac{k_\perp^2}{q_f B} \tan(q_f B s) \right) \right] \times \left[ (\not{k} + m_f) (1 + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \tan(q_f B s)) - \not{k} (1 + \tan^2(q_f B s)) \right].$$

(2)

Here, $m_f$ and $q_f$ are the mass and absolute charge of the fermion of flavor $f$, respectively. Below we outline the notation we have used in (2) and are going to follow throughout as

$$a^\mu = a_0^\mu + a_\perp^\mu; \quad a_0^\mu = (0, 0, 0, a^3); \quad a_\perp^\mu = (0, a^1, a^2, 0),$$

$$g^{\mu\nu} = g_0^{\mu\nu} + g_\perp^{\mu\nu}; \quad g_0^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, -1); \quad g_\perp^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(0, -1, -1, 0),$$

$$(a \cdot b) = (a \cdot b)_0 - (a \cdot b)_\perp; \quad (a \cdot b)_0 = a^0 b^0 - a^3 b^3; \quad (a \cdot b)_\perp = (a^1 b^1 + a^2 b^2),$$

where $\parallel$ and $\perp$ are, respectively, the parallel and perpendicular components, which are now separated out in momentum space propagator. After performing the proper time integration [45], the fermion propagator in (2) can be represented as sum over discrete energy spectrum of the fermion

$$iS_m(k) = \frac{1}{m_f^2 - q_f B} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n D_n(q_f B, k)}{(k_n^2 - m_f^2 - 2n q_f B)},$$

(3)

with Landau levels $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ and

$$D_n(q_f B, k) = (\not{k} + m_f) \left( (1 - i\gamma_1 \gamma_2) L_n \left( \frac{2k_0^2}{q_f B} \right) - (1 + i\gamma_1 \gamma_2) L_{n-1} \left( \frac{2k_0^2}{q_f B} \right) \right) - 4k_\perp L_{n-1}^1 \left( \frac{2k_\perp^2}{q_f B} \right),$$

(4)

where $L_n^\alpha(x)$ is the generalized Laguerre polynomial written as

$$(1 - z)^{-(\alpha + 1)} \exp \left( \frac{xz}{z - 1} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty L_n^\alpha(x) z^n.$$  

(5)

The energy level of charged fermions in presence of magnetic field follows from the pole of the propagator in (3) as

$$k_n^2 - m_f^2 - 2n q_f B = k_0^2 - k_3^2 - m_f^2 - 2n q_f B = 0 \Rightarrow E_n = k_0 = \sqrt{k_3^2 + m_f^2 + 2n q_f B}.$$  

(6)

---

2 Even if there is a dynamical mass generation in the system, one needs to take appropriate modification. However, the fermion mass is generically represented by $m_f$ in this calculation.
As seen that the energy along the direction of the magnetic field (0, 0, B) is continuous but discretized along the transverse direction of the field. These discretized energy levels are so called Landau levels, which are degenerate for each value of k3. These Landau levels can affect the quantum fluctuations of the charged fermions in the Dirac sea at T = 0 and thermal fluctuations at T ≠ 0, both of which arise as a response to the polarization of the electromagnetic field. These fluctuations are usually related to the electromagnetic polarization tensor or the self energy of photon, which in one loop level is expressed as

\[ \Pi_{\mu\nu}(p) = -i \sum_f q_f^2 \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} Tr_c [\gamma_\mu S_m(k)\gamma_\nu S_m(q)] , \]

where p is the external momentum, k and q = k − p are the loop momenta. Tr_c represents both color and Dirac traces whereas the \( \sum_f \) is over flavor because we have considered a two-flavor system (N_f = 2) of equal current quark mass (m_f = m_u = m_d = 5 MeV if not said otherwise).

The two point current-current correlator \( C_{\mu\nu}(p) \) is related to photon self-energy as

\[ q_f^2 C_{\mu\nu}(p) = \Pi_{\mu\nu}(p) , \]

with \( q_f \) is the electric charge of a given quark flavour f. The electromagnetic spectral representation is extracted from the imaginary part of the correlation function \( C_{\mu\nu}(p) \) as

\[ \rho(p) = \frac{1}{\pi} \Im m C_{\mu\nu}^\ast(p) = \frac{1}{\pi} \Im m \Pi_{\mu\nu}(p)/q_f^2 . \]

### III. ELECTROMAGNETIC SPECTRAL FUNCTION AND ITS PROPERTIES IN PRESENCE OF STRONG BACKGROUND MAGNETIC FIELD

In this section we will mainly investigate the nature of the in-medium electromagnetic spectral function in presence of a very strong but constant magnetic field strength (\( q_f B \gg T^2 \)), which could be relevant for initial stages of a non-central heavy-ion collisions, as a high intensity magnetic field is believed to be produced there.

When the external magnetic field is very strong [46], \( q_f B \to \infty \), it pushes all the Landau levels \( (n \geq 1) \) to infinity compared to the Lowest Landau Level (LLL) with \( n = 0 \) (See Fig.1). For LLL approximation in the strong field limit the fermion propagator in (3) reduces to a simplified form as

\[ iS_{ms}(k) = i e^{-k_z^2/q_f B} \frac{k_z + m_f}{k_z^2 - m_f^2} (1 - i\gamma_1\gamma_2) , \]

where \( k \) is four momentum and we have used the properties of generalized Laguerre polynomial, \( L_n \equiv L_n^0 \) and \( L_{n-1} = 0 \). One could also get to (10) directly from (2) by putting \( q_f B \to \infty \). The appearance of the projection operator \( (1 - i\gamma_1\gamma_2) \) in (10) indicates that the spin of the fermions in LLL are aligned along the field direction [1, 45]. As \( k_z^2 \ll q_f B \), one can see from (10) that an effective dimensional reduction from \((3+1)\) to \((1+1)\) takes place in the strong field limit.

As a consequence the motion of the charged particle is restricted in the direction perpendicular to the magnetic field but can move along the field direction in LLL. This effective dimensional reduction also plays an important role in catalyzing the spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking [1, 45] since the fermion pairing takes place in LLL, which enhances the generation of fermionic mass through the chiral condensate in strong field limit at \( T = 0 \). The pairing dynamics is essentially \((1+1)\) dimensional where the fermion pairs fluctuate in the direction of magnetic field. It is also interesting to see how these fermionic pairs respond to the electromagnetic fields. The fluctuation of fermion pairs in LLL as shown in Fig. 2 is a response to the polarization of the electromagnetic field and would reveal various properties of the system in presence of magnetic field. Also the response to the electromagnetic field at \( T \neq 0 \) due to the thermal fluctuation of charged fermion pairs in LLL would also be very relevant for the initial stages of the noncentral heavy-ion collisions where the intensity of the generated magnetic field is very high.

Now in one-loop photon polarization in Fig. 2 the effective fermionic propagator in strong field approximation is represented by a doubled line and the electromagnetic vertex remains unchanged \(^{3}\) and denoted by a crossed circle. As mentioned earlier that the spin of the fermions in LLL are aligned in the direction of the

\(^{3}\) This is not very apparent from the momentum space effective propagator in (10) because of the presence of the projection operator. In Ref.[47] the Ward-Takahasi identity in LLL for fermion-antifermion-gauge boson in massless QED in presence of constant magnetic field was shown to be satisfied by considering the effective fermion propagator, bare vertex and free gauge boson propagator in ladder approximation through Dyson-Schwinger approach in a representation where the fermion mass operator is diagonal in momentum space.
FIG. 1. Thresholds corresponding to a few Landau Levels are displayed as a function of $q_f B / m_f^2$. This threshold plot is obtained by solving $(\omega^2 - 4m_f^2 - 8nq_f B) = 0$ with zero photon momentum following energy conservation in a background magnetic field in general. Also the regime of the LLL at strong magnetic field approximation is shown by the shaded area.

\[ q = k - p \]

FIG. 2. Photon polarization tensor in the limit of strong field approximation.

magnetic field because of the projection operator in (10). In QED like vertex with two fermions from LLL make the photon spin equals to zero in the field direction [45] and there is no polarization in the transverse direction. Thus the longitudinal components (i.e, (0,3)-components) of QED vertex would only be relevant. Now in the strong field limit the self-energy in (7) can be computed as

\[
\Pi_{\mu\nu}(p)|_{sfa} = -i \sum_f q_f^2 \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \text{Tr}_c [\gamma_\mu S_m(k)\gamma_\nu S_m(q)] = -iN_c \sum_f q_f^2 \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \text{exp} \left( \frac{-k^2 - q^2}{q_f B} \right) \times \int \frac{d^2k_\parallel}{(2\pi)^2} \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma_\mu \frac{k_\parallel + m_f}{k_\parallel^2 - m_f^2} (1 - i\gamma_1 \gamma_2) \gamma_\nu \frac{q_\parallel + m_f}{q_\parallel^2 - m_f^2} (1 - i\gamma_1 \gamma_2) \right],
\]

where ‘sfa’ indicates the strong field approximation and Tr represents only the Dirac trace. Now one can
notice that the longitudinal and transverse parts are completely separated and the gaussian integration over the transverse momenta can be done trivially, which leads to

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(p)_{\text{vac}} = -i N_c \sum_f e^{-p^2_{\perp}/2q_f B} \frac{q_f^2 B}{\pi} \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{S_{\mu\nu}}{(k^2 - m^2_f)(q^2_f - m^2_f)},$$

(12)

with the tensor structure $S_{\mu\nu}$ that originates from the Dirac trace is

$$S_{\mu\nu} = k^\mu_q q^\nu_k + q^\mu_k k^\nu_q - g_{\mu\nu} ((k \cdot q)_q - m^2_f),$$

(13)

where the Lorentz indices $\mu$ and $\nu$ are restricted to longitudinal values and forbids to take any transverse values. In vacuum, (12) can be simplified using the Feynman parametrization technique [46], after which the structure of the photon polarization tensor can be written in compact form as

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(p) = \left( \frac{p^\mu_q p^\nu_k}{p^2} - g_{\mu\nu} \right) \Pi(p^2),$$

which directly implies that due to the current conservation, the two point function is transverse. The scalar function $\Pi(p^2)$ is given by,

$$\Pi(p^2) = N_c \sum_f \frac{q_f^2 B}{8\pi^2 m^2_f} e^{-p^2_{\perp}/2q_f B} \left[ 4m^2_f + \frac{8m^2_f}{p^2} \left( 1 - \frac{4m^2_f}{p^2} \right)^{-1/2} \ln \left( \frac{1 - 4m^2_f/p^2}{1 - 4m^2_f/p^2} \right) \right].$$

(14)

We note that the lowest threshold (LT) for a photon to decay into fermion and antifermion is provided by the energy conservation when photon momenta $p^2 = (\omega^2 - p^2_f) = (m_f + m_f)^2 = 4m^2_f$. Interestingly $\Pi(p^2)$ is singular in presence of magnetic field at this threshold. This is because of the appearance of the pre-factor $\sqrt{1 - 4m^2_f/p^2}$ in the denominator of the second term in (14) due to the dimensional reduction from (3+1) to (1+1) in presence of the strong magnetic field. This behavior is in contrast to that in absence of the magnetic field where the similar prefactor appears in the numerator [48]. Now, we explore $\Pi(p^2)$ physically in the following two domains around the LT, $p^2_\perp = 4m^2_f$ :

1. **Region-I** $p^2 < 4m^2_f$ : In this case with $a = \sqrt{4m^2_f/p^2} - 1$, let us write the logarithmic term in the second term of (14) as

$$\ln \left( \frac{a_1 + 1}{a_1 - 1} \right) = \ln \left( \frac{re^{i\theta_1}}{re^{i\theta_2}} \right) = i(\theta_1 - \theta_2),$$

(15)

where $r = \sqrt{1 + a^2}$, $\theta_1 = \arctan(a)$ and $\theta_2 = \arctan(-a)$. Thus in (14) the logarithmic term is purely imaginary but overall $\Pi(p^2)$ is real because of the prefactor $\left( 1 - 4m^2_f/p^2 \right)^{-1/2}$ being imaginary. Even if we choose the limit $p^2 < 0$, then also the whole term is real again, since the denominator of the logarithmic term, $\sqrt{1 - 4m^2_f/p^2}$, is always greater than unity. So in the region $p^2 < 4m^2_f$, $\Pi(p^2)$ is purely real.

2. **Region-II** $p^2 > 4m^2_f$ : Though in this limit the prefactor is real definite, but the denominator in the logarithmic term becomes negative and a complex number arises from it as $\ln(-x) = \ln|x| + i \pi$. Thus we get both real and imaginary contributions, i.e. $\Re \Pi(p^2)$ and $\Im \Pi(p^2)$, in this limit. The imaginary contribution is relevant for studying the spectral function and its spectral properties.

We now extract the vacuum spectral function in presence of strong magnetic field following (9) as

$$\rho_{\text{vacuum}}^{\text{vacuum}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \Im m C_{\mu}^\mu(p)_{\text{vacuum}} = N_c \sum_f \frac{q_f B m^2_f}{\pi^2 p^2_\perp} e^{-p^2_{\perp}/2q_f B} \Theta \left( p^2_\perp - 4m^2_f \right) \left( 1 - \frac{4m^2_f}{p^2} \right)^{-1/2}.$$  

(16)
LT, \( p^2 = 4m_f^2 \). Though we are interested in the imaginary part, we want to note that the real part can be associated with the dispersion property of vector boson \(^4\). On the other hand the imaginary part of the electromagnetic polarization tensor is associated with interesting spectral properties of the system. So, beyond the LT \( (p^2 > 4m_f^2) \) there is nonzero continuous contribution to the electromagnetic spectral function as given by (16) and represented by a red solid line in region II in the left panel of Fig. 3. The right panel of Fig. 3 displays the analytic structure of vacuum \( \Pi(p^2) \) in absence of magnetic field [48]. In particular the comparison of the imaginary part of \( \Pi(p^2) \) in absence of the magnetic field with that in presence of the strong magnetic field reveals an opposite trend around LT. This is due to the effect of dimensional reduction in presence of the strong magnetic field. As a consequence the imaginary part of \( \Pi(p^2) \) in presence of strong magnetic field would provide a very strong width to the photon that decays into particle and antiparticle, vis-a-vis an enhancement of the dilepton production from the hot and dense medium produced in heavy-ion collisions. So far we have discussed some aspects of the electromagnetic polarization tensor with a strong background magnetic field in vacuum. Now we extend this to explore the spectral properties of a medium created in heavy-ion collisions with a strong background magnetic field.

In the present situation without any loss of information we can contract the indices \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) in (12), thus resulting in a further simplification as

\[
\Pi_\mu^\nu(p)\bigg|_{s_f a} = -iN_c \sum_f e^{-p^2_{\perp}/2q_f B} \frac{q_f^2 B}{\pi} \int d^2k_{\parallel} \frac{2m_f^2}{(2\pi)^2 (k_{\parallel}^2 - m_f^2)(q_f^2 - m_f^2)}. \tag{17}
\]

At finite temperature this can be written by replacing the \( p_0 \) integral by Matsubara sum as

\[
\Pi_\mu^\nu(\omega, p)\bigg|_{s_f a} = -iN_c \sum_f e^{-p^2_{\perp}/2q_f B} \frac{2q_f^2 Bm_f^2}{\pi} \left( i\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{k_0} \right) \int \frac{dk_3}{2\pi} \frac{1}{(k_3^2 - m_f^2)(q_f^2 - m_f^2)}. \tag{18}
\]

We now perform the Matsubara sum using the mixed representation prescribed by Pisarski [51], where the trick is to dress the propagator in a way, such that it is spatial in momentum representation, but temporal in co-ordinate representation:

\[
\int_0^{k_0^2 - m_f^2} \frac{1}{k_0^2 - E_k^2} = \int_0^{\beta} d\tau e^{k_0\tau} \Delta_M(\tau, k), \tag{19}
\]

and

\[
\Delta_M(\tau, k) = \frac{1}{2E_k} \left[ (1 - n_F(E_k)) e^{-E_k\tau} - n_F(E_k) e^{E_k\tau} \right], \tag{20}
\]

where \( E_k = \sqrt{k_3^2 + m_f^2} \) and \( n_F(x) = (\exp(\beta x) + 1)^{-1} \) is the Fermi-Dirac distribution function with \( \beta = 1/T \). Using these, (18) can be simplified as

\[
\Pi_\mu^\nu(\omega, p)\bigg|_{s_f a} = N_c \sum_f e^{-\frac{p^2_{\perp}}{\pi T}} \frac{2q_f^2 Bm_f^2}{\pi} \int \frac{dk_3}{2\pi} \int_0^{\beta} d\tau_1 \int_0^{\beta} d\tau_2 e^{k_0\tau_1} e^{(k_0-p_0)\tau_2} \Delta_M(\tau_1, k) \Delta_M(\tau_2, q).
\]

\(^4\) This has been discussed in Refs. [49, 50] without magnetic field and in Ref. [45] with magnetic field.
\[ N_c \sum_f \frac{e^{-\frac{\alpha^2 F^2}{2\pi^2}}} \int \frac{dk_3}{2\pi} \beta^0 \Delta_M(\tau, k)\Delta_M(\tau, q). \] (21)

Now the \( \tau \) integral is trivially performed as

\[ \Pi^\nu_{fa}(\omega, p) \Bigg|_{\text{Disc}} = N_c \sum_f e^{-\frac{\alpha^2 F^2}{4\pi^2}} \int \frac{dk_3}{2\pi} \sum_{l, r = \pm 1} \frac{1}{4(rl)E_kE_q(p_0 - rE_k - lE_q)} \left[ e^{-\beta(rE_k + lE_q)} - 1 \right]. \] (22)

One can now easily read off the discontinuity using

\[ \text{Disc} \left[ \frac{\omega}{\omega + \sum \omega_i} \right] = -\pi \delta(\omega + \sum \omega_i), \] (23)

which leads to

\[ \Im \Pi^\nu_{fa}(\omega, p) \Bigg|_{\text{Disc}} = -N_c \pi \sum_f e^{-\frac{\alpha^2 F^2}{4\pi^2}} \int \frac{dk_3}{2\pi} \sum_{l, r = \pm 1} \frac{(1 - n_F(rE_k)) (1 - n_F(lE_q))}{4(rl)E_kE_q} \times \left[ e^{-\beta(rE_k + lE_q)} - 1 \right] \delta(\omega - rE_k - lE_q). \] (24)

The general form of the delta function in (24) corresponds to four processes\(^5\) for the choice of \( r = \pm 1 \) and \( l = \pm 1 \) as discussed below:

1. \( r = -1 \) and \( l = -1 \) corresponds to a process with \( \omega < 0 \), which violates energy conservation as all the quasiparticles have positive energies.

2. (a) \( r = +1 \) and \( l = -1 \) corresponds to a process, \( q \rightarrow q \gamma \), where a quark with energy \( E_k \) makes a transition to an energy \( E_q \) after emitting a timelike photon of energy \( \omega \). (b) \( r = -1 \) and \( l = 1 \) corresponds to similar case as (a). It has explicitly been shown in Appendix A that both processes are not allowed by the phase space and the energy conservation. In other words, the production of a timelike photon from one loop photon polarization tensor is forbidden by the phase space and the energy conservation. However, we note here that these processes are somehow found to be nonzero for LLL in Ref. [34].

3. \( r = 1 \) and \( s = 1 \) corresponds to a process where a quark and an antiquark annihilate to a virtual photon, which is the only allowed process:

So, for the last case, one can write from (24)

\[ \Im \Pi^\nu_{fa}(\omega, p) \Bigg|_{\text{Disc}} = N_c \pi \sum_f e^{-\frac{\alpha^2 F^2}{4\pi^2}} \int \frac{dk_3}{2\pi} \delta(\omega - E_k - E_q) \left[ 1 - n_F(E_k) - n_F(E_q) \right] \frac{1}{4E_kE_q}. \] (25)

After performing the \( k_3 \) integral using (A.3) the spectral function in strong field approximation is finally obtained following (9) as

\[ \rho^{\nu}_{\text{Disc}} = \Im \rho^{\nu}_{\text{Disc}} = \Im \rho^{\nu}_{\text{Disc}} \] (26)

where

\[ p^\pm = \frac{\omega + p_3}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{4m^2}{p_0^2} \right). \] (27)

We note that the electromagnetic spectral function in strong field approximation obtained here in (26) using Schwinger method has a factor \( \left[ 1 - n_F(p^+_0) - n_F(p^-_0) \right] \). This thermal factor appears when a quark and antiquark annihilate to a virtual photon in a thermal medium, which is the only process allowed by the phase space as shown in our calculation. In Ref. [34] besides this, there also appears additional thermal

\(^5\) For LLL we have explicitly checked that these four processes can also be seen from (4.19) in Ref. [34] that uses Ritus method.
The effect of temperature is small in the strong field approximation as the distribution functions in the left panel get depleted because of the presence of the thermal weight factor \( \frac{1}{\pi^2 p_i^2} \) as discussed above in 2(a) and 2(b) and in Appendix A.

The vacuum part in presence of the strong magnetic field can be easily separated out from (26) as

\[
\rho_{sfa}^{\text{vacuum}} = N_c \sum_f \frac{q_f B m_f^2}{\pi^2 p_i^2} e^{-p_i^2/2q_f B} \Theta \left(p_i^2 - 4m_f^2\right) \left(1 - \frac{4m_f^2}{p_i^2}\right)^{-1/2},
\]

which agrees with that obtained in (16).

We outline some of the important features of the spectral functions:

(i) In general the electromagnetic spectral function in (26) vanishes in the massless limit of quarks. This particular feature arises because of the presence of magnetic field which reduces the system to \((1+1)\) dimension. This can be further understood from the symmetry argument and is attributed to the CPT invariance of the theory [52]. Physically this observation further signifies that in \((1+1)\) dimension an on-shell massless thermal fermion cannot scatter in the forward direction.

(ii) The threshold, \(p_i^2 = 4m_f^2\), for LLL is independent of the magnetic field strength. It is also independent of \(T\) as \(q_f B \gg T^2\) in the strong field approximation. Like vacuum case here also the spectral function vanishes below the threshold and there is no pair creation of particle and antiparticle. This is because the polarization tensor is purely real below the threshold. This implies that the momentum of the external photon supplies energy and virtual pair in LLL becomes real via photon decay.

(iii) When the photon longitudinal momentum square is equal to the LT, \(p_i^3 = 4m_f^2\), it strikes the LLL and the spectral strength diverges because of the factor \(\left(1 - 4m_f^2/p_i^2\right)^{-1/2}\) that appears due to the dimensional reduction. Since the LLL dynamics is \((1+1)\) dimensional, there is a dynamical mass generation [45, 47] of the fermions through mass operator (e.g. chiral condensate), which causes the magnetic field induced chiral symmetry breaking in the system. This suggests that the strong fermion pairing takes place in LLL [45] even at the weakest attractive interaction between fermions in \((3+1)\) dimension. A \((3+1)\) dimensional weakly interacting system in presence of strong magnetic field can be considered as a strongly correlated system in LLL dynamics which is \((1+1)\) dimensional. In that case \(m_f\) should be related to the dynamical mass provided by the condensates [45, 47]. One can incorporate it based on nonperturbative model calculations, then LT will change accordingly.

(iv) The spectral strength starts with a high value for the photon longitudinal momentum \(p_i > 2m_f\) due to the dimensional reduction or LLL dynamics and then falls off with increase of \(\omega\) as there is nothing beyond the LLL in strong field approximation. To improve the high energy behavior of the spectral function one requires weak field approximation \((T^2 \gg q_f B)\).

In Fig. 4 the variation of the spectral function with photon energy \(\omega\) for different values of \(T\) in the left panel and for different values of magnetic field in the right panel. With increase in \(T\) the spectral strength in the left panel gets depleted because of the presence of the thermal weight factor \(\left[1 - n_F(p_i^3) - n_F(p_i^3)\right]\) as the distribution functions \(n_F(p_i^3)\) increase with \(T\) that restricts the available phase space. Nevertheless the effect of temperature is small in the strong field approximation as \(q_f B \gg T^2\). On the other hand the spectral
FIG. 5. Variation of the spectral function with photon energy $\omega$ for different values of transverse momentum at fixed $B$, $T$ and $p_\perp$.

FIG. 6. Same as Fig. 4 but for zero external three momentum ($p$) of photon.

strength in the right panel increases with the increase of the magnetic field $B$ as the spectral function is proportional to $B$.

In Fig. 5 the variation of the spectral function with photon energy $\omega$ is shown for three different values of the transverse momentum $p_\perp$. The spectral function is found to get exponentially suppressed with the gradually increasing value of $p_\perp$.

We also consider a special case where the external three momentum ($p$) of photon is taken to be zero and the simplified expression for the spectral function comes out to be,

$$
\rho(\omega)\bigg|_{sfa} = \frac{1}{\pi} I\!m C_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu, p=0)} = N_c \sum_f \frac{q_f B m_f^2}{\pi^2 \omega^2} \Theta (\omega^2 - 4m_f^2) \left(1 - \frac{4m_f^2}{\omega^2}\right)^{-1/2} \left[1 - 2n_F \left(\frac{\omega}{T}\right)\right].
$$

(29)

In Fig. 6 same things are plotted as in Fig. 4 but for a simplified case of zero external three momentum of photon. As can be seen from (29), here the value of the threshold is shifted to photon energy as $\omega = 2m_f$ and the shape of the plots are slightly modified. In the following subsec. IV as a spectral property we discuss the leading order thermal dilepton rate for a magnetized medium.

IV. DILEPTON RATE

A. Dilepton rate in absence of external magnetic field

The dilepton multiplicity per unit space-time volume is given [53] as

$$
dN = 2\pi e^2 e^{-\beta p_0} L_{\mu\nu} J_{\mu\nu} \frac{d^4 q_1}{(2\pi)^3 E_1} \frac{d^4 q_2}{(2\pi)^3 E_2},
$$

(30)

where $q_i$ and $E_i$ with $i = 1, 2$ are three momentum and energy lepton pairs. The photonic tensor or the electromagnetic spectral function can be written as

$$
\rho_{\mu\nu}(p_0, p) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{e^{\beta p_0}}{e^{\beta p_0} - 1} I\!m \left[D_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu, p_0, p)}\right] = -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{e^{\beta p_0}}{e^{\beta p_0} - 1} \frac{e^2}{p^2} I\!m \left[C_{\mu\nu}(p_0, p)\right],
$$

(31)
where $e_e$ is the relevant electric charge, $C^{\mu\nu}$ is the two point current-current correlation function, whereas $D_R^{\mu\nu}$ represents the photon propagator. Here we used the relation [53]

$$e_e^2 C^{\mu\nu} = p^4 D_R^{\mu\nu},$$

where $e_e$ is the effective coupling.

Also the leptonic tensor in terms of Dirac spinors is given by

$$L_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\text{spins}} \text{tr} \left[ \bar{u}(q_2) \gamma_{\mu} v(q_1) v(q_1) \gamma_{\nu} u(q_2) \right] = q_{1\mu} q_{2\nu} + q_{1\nu} q_{2\mu} - (q_1 \cdot q_2 + m_l^2) g_{\mu\nu},$$

where $q_i \equiv (q_0, \mathbf{q}_i)$ is the four momentum of the $i$th lepton. Now inserting $\int d^4 p \delta^4(q_1 + q_2 - p) = 1$, one can write the dilepton multiplicity as

$$\frac{dN}{d^4 x} = 2\pi e_e^2 e^{-\beta p_0} \int d^4 p \delta^4(q_1 + q_2 - p) L_{\mu\nu} \rho^{\mu\nu} \frac{d^4 q_1}{(2\pi)^3 E_1} \frac{d^4 q_2}{(2\pi)^3 E_2}.$$  

Using the identity

$$\int \frac{d^3 q_1}{E_1} \frac{d^3 q_2}{E_2} \delta^4(q_1 + q_2 - p) L_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2\pi}{3} \left( 1 + \frac{2m_l^2}{p^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{4m_l^2}{p^2} \right)^{1/2} (p_\mu p_\nu - p^2 g_{\mu\nu}) = \frac{2\pi}{3} F_1(m_l, p^2) \left( p_\mu p_\nu - p^2 g_{\mu\nu} \right),$$

the dilepton production rate comes out to be,

$$\frac{dN}{d^4 x d^3 p} = \frac{\alpha e_m e_e^2}{12\pi^3} \frac{n_B(p_0)}{p^2} F_1(m_l, p^2) \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \Im \left[ C^\mu_{\mu}(p_0, p) \right] \right),$$

where $n_B(p_0) = (e^{m/T} - 1)^{-1}$. Now if we consider a two-flavor case, $N_f = 2$,

$$e_e^2 = \sum_f q_f^2 = \frac{5}{9} e_e^2 = \frac{5 \times 4\pi\alpha e_m}{9},$$

and the dilepton rate can be written as

$$\frac{dN}{d^4 x d^3 p} = \frac{5\alpha e_m^2}{27\pi^2} \frac{n_B(p_0)}{p^2} F_1(m_l, p^2) \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \Im \left[ C^\mu_{\mu}(p_0, p) \right] \right),$$

where the invariant mass of the lepton pair $M^2 \equiv p^2(= p_0^2 - |p|^2 = \omega^2 - |p|^2)$. We note that for massless lepton ($m_l = 0$) $F_1(m_l, p^2) = 1$.

### B. Dilepton rate in presence of strong external constant magnetic field

We first would like to note that the dileptons are produced in all stages of the hot and dense fireball created in heavy-ion collisions. They are produced in leading order from the decay of a virtual photon through the annihilation of quark-antiquark pairs. In non-central heavy-ion collisions an anisotropic magnetic field is expected to be generated in the direction perpendicular to the reaction plane, due to the relative motion of the heavy-ions themselves (spectators). It is believed that the initial magnitude of this magnetic field can be very high at the time of the collision and then it decreases very fast [6, 7]. The dilepton production from a magnetized hot and dense matter can generally be dealt with three different scenarios [9, 34]: (1) only the quarks move in a magnetized medium but not the final lepton pairs, (2) both quarks and leptons move in a magnetized medium and (3) only the final lepton pairs move in the magnetic field.

1. **Quarks move in a strong magnetized medium but not the final lepton pairs**

   We emphasize that the case we consider here is interesting and very much relevant to noncentral heavy-ion collisions, especially for the scenario of fast decaying magnetic field [6, 7] and also for lepton pairs produced late or at the edges of hot and dense magnetized medium so that they are unaffected by the magnetic field. In this scenario only the electromagnetic spectral function $\rho^{\mu\nu}$ in (30) will be modified by the background
constant magnetic field whereas the lepton tensor $L_{\mu\nu}$ and the phase space factors will remain unaffected. The dilepton rate for massless ($m_l = 0$) leptons can then be written from (38) as

$$
\frac{dN}{d^3xd^4p} = \frac{5\alpha_{em}^2 n_B(p_0)}{27\pi^2} \frac{|p|}{p^2} \left( \frac{1}{\pi} I_m \left( C_\mu(p, p_\perp) \right) \right)_{m} = \frac{5\alpha_{em}^2 n_B(p_0)}{27\pi^2} \frac{|p|}{p^2} |\rho(p, p_\perp)|_{m}
$$

$$
= \frac{5\alpha_{em}^2 n_B(\omega)}{27\pi^2} n_F(p_\perp) e^{-p_\perp^2/2|q|B} \Theta\left(p_\perp^2 - 4m_f^2\right) \left(1 - \frac{4m_l^2}{p_\perp^2}\right)^{-1/2}
$$

$$
\times \left[1 - n_F(p_\perp) - n_F(p_\perp^\ast)\right],
$$

where the electromagnetic spectral function $|\rho(p, p_\perp)|_{m}$ in hot magnetized medium has been used from (26). The invariant mass of the lepton pair is $M^2 \equiv p^2(\omega^2 - |p|^2) = \omega^2 - p_\parallel^2 - p_\perp^2 = p_\parallel^2 - p_\perp^2$.

In Fig. 7 a ratio of the dilepton rate in the present scenario with strong field approximation to that of the perturbative leading order (Born) dilepton rate is displayed as a function of the invariant mass. The left panel is for finite external photon momentum whereas the right panel is for zero external photon momenta. The features of the spectral function as discussed above are reflected in these dilepton rates. The LLL dynamics in strong field approximation enhances the dilepton rate as compared to the Born rate for a very low invariant mass ($\leq 100$ MeV), whereas at high mass it falls off very fast similar to that of the spectral function since there is no higher LL in strong field approximation as noted in point (iv). One requires weak field approximation ($q_fB \ll T^2$) to improve the high mass behavior of the dilepton rate. We note that the enhancement found in the strong field approximation in the rate will contribute to the dilepton spectra at low invariant mass, which is however beyond the scope of the present detectors involved in heavy-ion collisions experiments.

2. Both quark and lepton move in magnetized medium in strong field approximation

This scenario is expected to be the most general one. To consider such a scenario the usual dilepton production rate given in (38) has to be supplemented with the appropriate modification of the electromagnetic and lepton tensor along with the phase space factors in a magnetized medium. Since we are interested in only LLL, we briefly outline below the required modification in the dilepton production rate only for LLL:

- The phase space factor in presence of magnetized medium gets modified [54] as

$$
\frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3E} \rightarrow \frac{|eB|}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{dq_\perp}{E}.
$$

where $d^2q_\perp = 2\pi|eB|$, $e$ is the electric charge of the lepton and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is over LL. For strong magnetic field one is confined in LLL and $n = 0$ only. The factor $|eB|/(2\pi)^2$ is the density of states in the transverse direction and true for LLL [45].

---

6 A detailed calculation for more general case is under progress.
The electromagnetic spectral function gets modified for LLL as already been discussed in Sec. III.

In presence of constant magnetic field the spin of fermions is aligned along the field direction and the usual Dirac spinors \(u(\vec{q})\) and \(v(\vec{q})\) in (33) get modified \([44, 45]\) by \(P_0 u(\vec{q})\) and \(P_n v(\vec{q})\) with

\[
\vec{q}^n = (q^x, 0, 0, q^n) \quad \text{and} \quad P_n \text{ is the projection operator at the } n\text{th LL.}
\]

For LLL it takes a simple form

\[
P_0 = \frac{1 - i\gamma_1\gamma_2}{2}.
\]

Now, the modification in the leptonic part in presence of a strong magnetic field can be carried out as

\[
L_{\mu\nu}^m = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\text{spins}} \text{tr} [u(\vec{q}_2)P_0\gamma_\mu P_0\gamma_\nu u(\vec{q}_2)]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} \left[ (\vec{g}_1 + m_l) \left( 1 - i\gamma_1\gamma_2 \right) \gamma_\mu (\vec{g}_2 - m_l) \left( 1 - i\gamma_1\gamma_2 \right) \gamma_\nu \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left[ g_{\mu\nu}q_{2\nu}^2 + q_{\mu\nu}q_{2\nu}^2 - ((q_1 \cdot q_2)_\mu + m_1^2) (g_{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} - g_{1\mu}g_{1\nu} - g_{2\mu}g_{2\nu}) \right].
\]

- Requires an insertion \(\int d^2p' \; \delta^2(q_1' + q_2' - p') = 1\).
- Replacing \(d^2p' = 2\pi|eB|\) and \(d^4p = d^2p' d^2p''\).
- Making use of an identity:

\[
2\pi|eB| \int \frac{dq_1^2}{E_1} \int \frac{dq_2^2}{E_2} \; \delta^2(q_1' + q_2' - p') \; L_{\mu\nu}^m = 4\pi \left( \frac{|eB|m_f^2}{(p_n^f)^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{4m_f^2}{p_n^f} \right)^{-1/2} (p_n'^\mu p_n'^\nu - p_n'^\mu g_{\mu\nu})
\]

\[
= \frac{4\pi}{(p_n^f)^2} F_2(m_1, p_n^2) \left( p_n'^\mu p_n'^\nu - p_n'^\mu g_{\mu\nu} \right).
\]

Putting all these together, we finally obtain the dilepton production rate from (30) for LLL as

\[
\frac{dN^m}{d^4xd^4p} = \frac{\alpha_{em} e_n^2 n_B(p_0)}{p_n^f p_n^f} \frac{|eB|m_f^2}{(p_n^f)^2} \left( 1 - \frac{4m_f^2}{p_n^f} \right)^{-1/2} [\rho(p_n, p_\perp)]_m
\]

\[
= \frac{10\alpha_{em} n_B(p_0)}{9\pi^2} \frac{|eB|m_f^2}{p_n^f p_n^f} \Theta \left( p_n^2 - 4m_f^2 \right) \left( 1 - \frac{4m_f^2}{p_n^f} \right)^{-1/2} \left( 1 - \frac{4m_f^2}{p_n^f} \right)^{-1/2}
\]

\[
\times e^{-p_n^2/2|q_f B|} \left[ 1 - n_F(p_n'^\mu - n_F(p_n^\mu)) \right].
\]

We now note that the dilepton production rate in (45) is of \(O(|eB|^2)\) in presence of magnetic field \(B\) due to the effective dimensional reduction \(^8\). This dimensional reduction also renders a factor \(1/\sqrt{1 - 4m_f^2/p_n^f}\) in the leptonic part \(L_{\mu\nu}^m\), that provides another threshold \(p_n^2 \geq 4m_f^2\) in addition to that coming from electromagnetic part \(p_n^2 \geq 4m_f^2\). In general the mass of fermions in a magnetized hot medium will be affected by both electromagnetic and magnetic field. The thermal effects \([55, 56]\) can be considered through thermal QCD and QED, respectively, for quark \((\sim g^2T^2)\); \(g\) is the QCD coupling) and lepton \((\sim e^2T^2)\) whereas the magnetic effect comes through the quantized LL \((2n|q_f B|)\). However, in LLL \((n = 0)\), the magnetic effect to the mass correction vanishes in strong field approximation. Also in strong field approximation \((|q_f B| \gg T)\), there could be dynamical mass generation through chiral condensates \([45]\) of quark and antiquark leading to magnetic field induced chiral symmetry breaking, which could play a dominant role. Nevertheless, the

\(^7\) The authors of Ref.[34] replaced \(d^2p' = V^{2/3}(\frac{eB}{4\pi})^2\), where \(V\) is the volume. This led to a different normalization factor in the dilepton rate in Ref. [34].

\(^8\) A factor \(|eB|\) comes from leptonic part whereas \(\sum_f |q_f B| \propto |eB|\) from electromagnetic spectral function involving quarks.
threshold will, finally, be determined by the effective mass $\tilde{m} = \max(m, m_f)$ as $\Theta \left( p_n^2 - 4\tilde{m}^2 \right)$ and the dilepton rate in LLL reads as

$$
\frac{dN^m}{d^2x d^4p} = \frac{10N_c \alpha^2 m^2}{9\pi^4} \sum_f \left| eB \right| \frac{q_f B}{p^4} m_f^2 \Theta \left( p_n^2 - 4\tilde{m}^2 \right) \left( 1 - \frac{4m^2}{p^2} \right)^{-1/2} \left( 1 - \frac{4m_f^2}{p^2} \right)^{-1/2} \times e^{-p^2/2|q_f B|} n_B(\omega) \left[ 1 - n_F(p_n^+) - n_F(p_n^-) \right],
$$

where the kinametical factors agree but the prefactor $(10/\pi^4)$ and the thermal factor $n_B(\omega)\left[ 1 - n_F(p_n^+) - n_F(p_n^-) \right]$ differ from those of Ref. [34] and the reasons for which are discussed in details earlier. This restricts one to make a quantitative comparison of the dilepton rate with that obtained in Ref. [34]. We further note that a comparison with the experimental results or the results (dilepton spectra) obtained by Tuchin [9] needs indeed a difficult task and beyond the scope of this article.

We also note that the production rate for case - (3) requires modification of the leptonic tensor in a magnetized medium but the electromagnetic one remains unmagnetized. Since this is a rare possibility, we skip the discussion here but can easily be obtained.

V. DEBYE SCREENING IN A STRONG MAGNETIC FIELD APPROXIMATION

In this section we further explore the Debye screening mass in strongly magnetized hot medium. In the static limit the Debye screening mass is obtained as

$$
m^2_{D} = \Pi_{00}(\omega = 0, |\vec{p}| \to 0).$$

Using (12) we get

$$
\Pi_{00} \bigg|_{|\vec{p}|=0, \omega \to 0}^{sfa} = N_c \sum_f \frac{q_f^3 B}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{dk_3}{2\pi} T \sum_{k_0} \frac{S_{00}}{(k_0^2 - m_f^2)^2} \bigg|_{|\vec{p}|=0, \omega \to 0} = N_c \sum_f \frac{q_f^3 B}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{dk_3}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{4\pi i} \oint \frac{dk_0}{2\pi i} \frac{S_{00} \left[ 1 - 2n_F(k_0) \right]}{(k_0^2 - E_k^2)^2} \right],
$$

where, $E_k^2 = k_0^2 + m_f^2$ and at the limit of zero external three momentum and vanishing external energy $S_{00}$ comes out to be

$$
S_{00} = k_0 q_0 + k_3 q_3 + m_f^2 \bigg|_{|\vec{p}|=0, \omega \to 0} = k_0^2 + k_3^2 + m_f^2 = (k_0^2 - E_k^2) + 2E_k^2.
$$

Now, the $k_0$ integration can be divided into two parts as

$$
I_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \oint \frac{dk_0}{2\pi i} \left[ 1 - 2n_F(k_0) \right] \frac{1}{(k_0^2 - E_k^2)} = \frac{1 - 2n_F(E_k)}{2E_k},
$$

and

$$
I_2 = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \oint \frac{dk_0}{2\pi i} \frac{2E_k^2 \left[ 1 - 2n_F(k_0) \right]}{(k_0^2 - E_k^2)^2} = \frac{2E_k^2}{2E_k} \frac{d}{dk_0} \left( \frac{1 - 2n_F(k_0)}{(k_0 + E_k)^2} \right) \bigg|_{k_0 = E_k} = -\frac{1 - 2n_F(E_k)}{2E_k} + \beta n_F(E_k) [1 - n_F(E_k)].
$$

:. $I_1 + I_2 = \beta n_F(E_k) [1 - n_F(E_k)].$

From (48) the temporal part of the polarization tensor in the limit of zero external three momentum (the long wavelength limit) and vanishing external energy comes out to be

$$
\Pi_{00} \bigg|_{|\vec{p}|=0, \omega \to 0}^{sfa} = N_c \sum_f \frac{q_f^3 B}{\pi T} \int_0^\infty \frac{dk_3}{2\pi} n_F(E_k) [1 - n_F(E_k)].
$$
For massive case \((m_f \neq 0)\) this expression cannot be reduced further, analytically, by performing the \(k_3\) integration. We evaluate it numerically to extract the essence of Debye screening. On the other hand, for the massless case \((m_f = 0)\) a simple analytical expression is obtained as

\[
\Pi_{00}^{\rho f} = N_c \sum_f \frac{q_f^3 B}{4\pi} \left( \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dk_3}{2\pi} n_F(k_3) [1 - n_F(k_3)] \right),
\]

\[
= N_c \sum_f \frac{q_f^3 B}{4\pi} \left( T \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dk_3}{2\pi} n_F(k_3) + \frac{\omega_f - m_f}{2\pi} \sum_{\pi=0,1,2} \sum_f \frac{q_f^3 B}{4\pi^2} \right). \tag{54}
\]

Before discussing the Debye screening we, first, note that the effective dimensional reduction in presence of strong magnetic field also plays an important role in catalyzing the spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking since the fermion pairing takes place in LLL that strengthen the formation of spin-zero fermion-antifermion condensates. This enhances the generation of dynamical fermionic mass through the chiral condensate in strong field limit even at the weakest attractive interaction between fermions \([1, 45]\) at \(T = 0\). The pairing dynamics is essentially \((1+1)\) dimensional where the fermion pairs fluctuate in the direction of magnetic field. So, the zero temperature magnetized medium is associated with two scales: the dynamical mass \(m_f\) and the magnetic field \(B\) whereas a hot magnetized medium is associated with three scales: the dynamical mass \(m_f\), temperature \(T\) and the magnetic field \(B\).

In the left panel of Fig. 8 the temperature variation of the Debye screening mass for quasiquarks in strongly magnetized medium with \(B = 15m_f^2\) and for different quark masses is shown. When the quark mass, \(m_f = 0\), it is found to have a finite amount of Debye screening. This screening is independent of \(T\) because the only scale in the system is the magnetic field \((q_f B \gg T^2)\), and the thermal scale gets canceled out exactly as found analytically in (54) in contrast to Ref. [57] where one needs to explicitly set the \(T \to 0\) limit there. We would like to note that when \(T\) drops below the phase transition temperature \((T_c)\) the screening mass should, in principle, drop. However, it is found to remain constant in the region \(0 \leq T \leq T_c\), because of the absence of any mass scale in the system.

For massive quarks, the three scales became very distinct and an interesting behavior of the Debye screening mass is observed in presence of strong magnetic field. For a given \(m_f\), as the temperature is being lowered gradually than the value of the fermion mass \((T < m_f)\), the quasiquark mass brings the Debye screening down as shown in the left panel of Fig. 8. Eventually the screening mass vanishes completely when \(T = 0\). When \(T \sim m_f\), there is a shoulder in the Debye screening and as soon as the temperature becomes higher than the value of \(m_f\) the screening becomes independent of other two scales \((m_f^2 \leq T^2 \leq q_f B)\). So, in presence of strong magnetic field the Debye screening mass changes with temperature as long as \(T < m_f\) and then saturates to a value determined by the strength of the magnetic field. Further as the quasiquark mass is increased the shoulder and the saturation point are pushed towards the higher \(T\). The point at which the saturation takes place depends, particularly, on the strength of two scales, \(\text{viz., } m_f\) and \(T\) associated with the hot magnetized system. In other words the dynamical mass generation catalyzes the spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking indicating magnetic catalysis \([1, 45, 57]\) and in that case \(T_c\) will be enhanced as a reflection of the dimensionally reduced system in presence of strong magnetic field. Now we

\[9\] As discussed before we still represent the dynamical mass scale by \(m_f\).
also note that if the thermal scale is higher than the magnetic scale \( T^2 \gg q_f B \), then the Debye screening will increase with \( T \) like the usual hot but unmagnetized medium. For this, however, one needs to employ a weak field approximation where higher LL contributions will lead to a almost continuous system. This is because in a weak field approximation \( (q_f B \ll T^2) \), the energy spacing between consecutive Landau levels, \( 2(n + 1) + 1 |q_f B| - 2n + 1 |q_f B| = 2q_f B \), gradually reduces with higher levels as shown in Fig. 1. In the right panel a comparison of the Debye screening mass is being shown for massive quarks for two values of the magnetic field strength \( (B = 15m^2_\pi \) and \( 20m^2_\pi \)) and the screening is enhanced as it is proportional to \( B \).

VI. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

In this paper we have evaluated the in-medium electromagnetic spectral function by computing the imaginary part of the photon polarization tensor, in presence of a magnetic field. We particularly dealt with the limiting case, where the magnetic field is to be very strong with respect to the thermal scale \( (q_f B \gg T^2) \) of the system. In this strong field limit we have exploited the LLL dynamics that decouples the transverse and the longitudinal direction as a consequence of an effective dimensional reduction from \((3+1)\)-dimension to \((1+1)\)-dimension. The electromagnetic spectral function vanishes in the massless limit of quarks which implies that in \((1+1)\) dimension an on-shell massless thermal fermion cannot scatter in the forward direction. Since the LLL dynamics is \((1+1)\) dimensional, the fermions are virtually paired up in LLL providing a strongly correlated system, which could possibly enhance the generation of fermionic mass through the chiral condensate. So, these massive quarks could provide a kinematical threshold to the electromagnetic spectral function at longitudinal photon momentum, \( p^2_\perp \geq 4m_f^2 \). Below the threshold the photon polarization tensor is purely real and the electromagnetic spectral function does not exist resulting in no pair creation of particle and antiparticle. This implies that the momentum of the external photon supplies energy to virtual fermionic pairs in LLL, which become real via photon decay. At threshold the photon strikes the LLL and the spectral strength diverges due to the dimensional reduction, since a factor of \( \left( 1 - 4m_f^2 / p^2_\perp \right)^{-1/2} \) appears in the spectral function, in strong field approximation. The spectral strength starts with a high value for the photon longitudinal momentum \( p_\perp > 2m_f \) due to the dimensional reduction or LLL dynamics and then falls off with increase of \( \omega \) as there is nothing beyond the LLL in strong field approximation.

This strong field approximation could possibly be very appropriate for the initial stages of the noncentral heavy-ion collisions where the intensity of the produced magnetic field is expected to be very high. As a spectral property we then obtained analytically the dilepton production rate for two scenarios: (i) the quarks and antiquarks are affected by the hot magnetized medium but not the final lepton pairs and (ii) when both quark and lepton are affected by the magnetized medium. In the former case the dilepton rate is \( \mathcal{O}(|q_f B|) \) and follows the properties of the electromagnetic spectral function along with a kinematical threshold provided by the quark mass. For the later case the rate is found to be \( \mathcal{O}(|eB|) \) with two kinematical thresholds provided by quark \( (m_f) \) and lepton \( (m_l) \) mass. Since the dynamics in LLL in strong field approximation is strongly correlated one, the threshold will finally be determined by \( \tilde{m} = \max(m_f, m_l) \).

We have also analyzed the electromagnetic screening effect through the Debye screening mass of the hot magnetized medium. This shows that there are three distinct scales in a hot magnetized medium, associated with the mass of the quasiquarks, temperature of the medium and the background magnetic field strength. When the mass of the quasiquarks are much higher than the temperature, the Debye screening is negligible. As the temperature increases, the screening mass starts increasing, a shoulder like structure appears when \( T \sim m_f \), and then it saturates to a fixed value when \( q_f B \gg T^2 \gg m_f^2 \). In a strongly magnetized hot medium the Debye screening mass shows an interesting characteristics with temperature as long as \( T \leq m_f \) and then saturates to a value determined by the strength of the magnetic field. The point at which the saturation takes place depends, especially, on the strength of mass and temperature scale associated with a hot magnetized system. In strong field approximation the fermion pairing takes place in LLL that could enhance the formation of quark-antiquark condensates, leading to a larger dynamical mass generation which catalyzes the spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking. This mass effect is reflected in the Debye screening as the shoulder and the saturation point are pushed towards a higher \( T \) when the quasiquark mass increases.

The effective dimensional reduction seems to plays an important role in catalyzing the spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking, which indicates an occurrence of magnetic catalysis effect in presence of strong magnetic field.
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Appendix A: Processes with (a) $r = 1, l = -1$ and (b) $r = -1, l = 1$

So, choosing first $r = 1, l = -1$ we obtain from (24)

$$
\text{Im } \Pi^\mu_n(\omega, p) \Big|_{r = 1, l = -1} = N_c \sum_f e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{\sqrt{\pi n}}} \frac{2q_f^2 B m_f^2}{\pi^3} \int \frac{dk_3}{2\pi} \frac{1 - n_F(E_k) (1 - n_F(-E_q))}{4E_k E_q} \times \left[ e^{-\frac{\gamma(E_k - E_q)}{2}} - 1 \right] \delta(p_0 - E_k + E_q). \tag{A.1}
$$

Now, using $1 - n_F(-E_q) = n_F(E_q)$, one obtains

$$
\text{Im } \Pi^\mu_n(\omega, p) \Big|_{r = 1, l = -1} = N_c \sum_f e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{\sqrt{\pi n}}} \frac{2q_f^2 B m_f^2}{\pi^3} \int \frac{dk_3}{2\pi} \delta(\omega - E_k + E_q) \left[ n_F(E_k) - n_F(E_q) \right] \frac{1}{4E_k E_q}. \tag{A.2}
$$

The $k_3$ integral can now be performed using the following property of the delta function

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp_3 \ f(p_3) \ \delta(g(p_3)) = \sum_r f(p_{zr}) \ |g'(p_{zr})|, \tag{A.3}
$$

where the zeroes of the argument inside the delta function is called as $p_{zr}$.

Now $\omega - E_k + E_q = 0$ yields,

$$
k_3 = \frac{p_3}{2} \pm \frac{\omega}{2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_f^2}{\omega^2 - p_3^2}} = \frac{p_3}{2} \pm \frac{\omega R}{2}, \tag{A.4}
$$

$$
|g'(p_{zr})| = \left| \frac{E_k(k_3 - p_3) - E_q k_3}{E_k E_q} \right|_{k_3 = k_3^{r,1}, k_3^{r,2}}, \tag{A.5}
$$

$$
E_k \bigg|_{k_3 = k_3^{r,1}} = \frac{\omega}{2} + \frac{p_3 R}{2}; \quad E_k \bigg|_{k_3 = k_3^{r,2}} = \frac{\omega}{2} - \frac{p_3 R}{2}, \tag{A.6}
$$

$$
E_q \bigg|_{k_3 = k_3^{r,1}} = \frac{\omega}{2} - \frac{p_3 R}{2}; \quad E_q \bigg|_{k_3 = k_3^{r,2}} = \frac{\omega}{2} + \frac{p_3 R}{2}, \tag{A.7}
$$

and

$$
\left| E_k(k_3 - p_3) - E_q k_3 \right|_{k_3 = k_3^{r,1}, k_3 = k_3^{r,2}} = \frac{\omega p_3}{2} (R^2 - 1). \tag{A.8}
$$

$$
\text{Im } \Pi^\mu_n(\omega, p) \Big|_{r = 1, l = -1} = N_c \sum_f e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{\sqrt{\pi n}}} \frac{2q_f^2 B m_f^2}{\pi^3} \sum_r \frac{n_F(E_k) - n_F(E_q)}{8E_k E_q} \times \left| \frac{E_k E_q}{E_k(k_3 - p_3) - E_q k_3} \right|_{k_3 = k_3^{r,1}} \times

\left[ n_F \left( \frac{\omega}{2} + \frac{p_3 R}{2} \right) - n_F \left( \frac{\omega}{2} - \frac{p_3 R}{2} \right) + n_F \left( \frac{\omega}{2} - \frac{p_3 R}{2} \right) - n_F \left( \frac{\omega}{2} + \frac{p_3 R}{2} \right) \right] = 0. \tag{A.9}
$$

Similarly, for the case (b) $r = -1, l = 1$, the phase space also does not allow the corresponding process.
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