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Abstract

Biomarkers are critically important for disease diagnosis and monitoring. In particular, close monitoring of disease evolution is eminently required for the evaluation of therapeutic treatments. Classical monitoring methods in muscular dystrophies are largely based on histological and molecular analyses of muscle biopsies. Such biopsies are invasive and therefore difficult to obtain. The serum protein creatine kinase is a useful biomarker, which is however not specific for a given pathology and correlates poorly with the severity or course of the muscular pathology. The aim of the present study was the systematic evaluation of serum microRNAs (miRNAs) as biomarkers in striated muscle pathologies. Mouse models for five striated muscle pathologies were investigated: Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD), limb-girdle muscular dystrophy type 2D (LGMD2D), limb-girdle muscular dystrophy type 2C (LGMD2C), Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy (EDMD) and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM). Two-step RT-qPCR methodology was elaborated, using two different RT-qPCR miRNA quantification technologies. We identified miRNA modulation in the serum of all the five mouse models. The most highly dysregulated serum miRNAs were found to be commonly upregulated in DMD, LGMD2D and LGMD2C mouse models, which all exhibit massive destruction of striated muscle tissues. Some of these miRNAs were down rather than upregulated in the EDMD mice, a model without massive myofiber destruction. The dysregulated miRNAs identified in the HCM model were different, with the exception of one dysregulated miRNA common to all pathologies. Importantly, a specific and distinctive circulating miRNA profile was identified for each studied pathological mouse model. The differential expression of a few dysregulated miRNAs in the DMD mice was further evaluated in DMD patients, providing new candidates of circulating miRNA biomarkers for DMD.

Introduction

Muscular dystrophies are a large heterogeneous group of over 30 different inherited disorders characterized by muscle wasting and weakness of variable distribution and severity, with or without heart defects, manifesting at any age from birth to senescence, and resulting in significant morbidity and disability [1]. The diseases are defined and classified according to their genetic cause as well as clinical and pathological manifestation, the distribution of predominant muscle weakness and the presence or not of other organ involvement. Cardiac involvement can range from conduction defects, hypertrophic or dilated cardiomyopathy to cardiac sudden death or heart failure [2]. Cardiomyopathies also occur without skeletal muscle involvement and present a wide clinical and genetic heterogeneity [3].

The principal analytical methods for muscular dystrophies diagnosis are based on muscle biopsy immunohistochemistry and Western blot analyses, serum content of muscle creatine kinase (CK), electromyography, electrocardiography and DNA mutation analysis [1]. Measurements of CK concentration released from damaged fibers into the serum are performed routinely in hospital analytical laboratories [4]. However the use of the CK test has...
certain disadvantages, including lack of disease specificity, lack of correlation between expression level and time course of the disease, and frequent false-positive results [4]. As for early cardiac disease diagnosis, serum markers such as NT-ProBNP might be reliable for early detection of myocardial dysfunction, but also lack specificity [5].

MicroRNA are short (22-24 nucleotides long) non-coding RNA that regulate mRNA post-transcriptionally either by promoting mRNA degradation or by attenuating protein translation [6]. Similar to miRNA and proteins, some miRNA species are expressed in a tissue-specific manner and regulated in different pathophysiological conditions [7,8]. Therefore, monitoring the miRNA expression pattern permits the identification of the type and pathophysiological state of its tissue of origin. Some studies suggested that classification methods based on miRNA profiling are more accurate (specific and sensitive) compared to methods based on mRNA profiling [9,10].

Specifically in striated muscles, the potential of using miRNA profile for classification of muscle pathologies has been elegantly demonstrated by Kunkel's group, who identified distinct patterns of miRNAs in muscle biopsies derived from 10 major neuromuscular disorders [11]. MiRNA profiles were also reported for other muscle disorders [12] as well as in the context of heart failure [13]. However, the potential for use of this method for a practical clinical diagnosis application seems to be problematic. One major disadvantage is the invasive sampling of muscle or heart biopsies for miRNA extraction. Another important limitation is the variability of the miRNA expression profile between different striated muscles [14], rendering inconclusive any comparison between biopsies originating from non-identical muscles. Therefore, any comparative studies of miRNA expression patterns for analytical purposes in muscular dystrophies should be based on equivalent biological material from different patients.

Additional features have made miRNAs a particularly attractive research domain in the biomarker field. First, the molecular quantification methods for the analysis of miRNAs are relatively simple, fast and inexpensive. This is particularly important in comparison to the complex, expensive and time-consuming analytical methods used along the diagnostic process for analyzing expression of proteins and other biomolecules. Second, it has been discovered that miRNAs are secreted from the intracellular compartment into the extracellular environment, where they are stably expressed. Finally, it has been shown in human and in animal models that the circulating miRNA expression profile is dynamically changing in correlation with the pathophysiological state of the affected subjects [15,16,17,18]. Therefore, profiling circulating miRNA can be indicative of pathophysiological status and these molecules may serve as biomarkers. This last point is crucial in multisystemic pathologies in which circulating miRNAs are conditioned by different affected tissues, integrating their tissue-specific effects. Thus, a comparative study of circulating miRNAs between affected subjects might be particularly appropriate for multi-systemic pathologies.

The field of circulating miRNA analysis for biomarker purposes is relatively new, including some significant publications from 2008 [15,16,17,18]. These early proof-of-principle studies demonstrated the stability of miRNA expression in serum and plasma samples and focused on the development of the profiling technologies. Second phase studies focused on the discovery of circulating miRNA biomarkers in different pathologies, essentially in the fields of cancer and cardiovascular pathologies. They focused most often on identifying miRNA biomarkers specific for a given pathology, capable of indicating whether the biological sample was derived from an affected or a non-affected subject [19,20].

In the present study we aimed at finding a combination of circulating miRNA biomarkers that could characterize, and discriminate different muscular pathologies. We selected five mouse models of striated muscle diseases. On the one hand, we have studied specificity of the circulating miRNA species in diseases that involve different organs and therefore different pathophysiological pathways, i.e. the skeletal muscles and/or the heart. On the other hand we have studied three closely related muscular dystrophies, thereby investigating and revealing the potential resolution of circulating miRNA analytical approach.

Methods

Ethical Declaration

The human study (DMD patients and controls) was conducted according the principles of the declaration of Helsinki “ethical principles for medical research”, and was specifically approved by the ethical committee CPP Ile de France VI, on July 20, 2010, and the Comité d’Éthique (412) du CHR La Citadelle (Liège, Belgium) on January 26th 2011. Samples were collected from individuals under a written informed consent of parents or legal guardians.

The mouse strains included in this study are shown in Table 1. Mice were handled according to A1 biosafety requirements in accordance with the European guidelines for use of experimental animals (L.358-86/609/EEC). All experiments were performed accordingly, to minimize animal discomfort. Prior to blood extraction mice were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of ketamine/xylazine. Anesthetized mice were sacrifice by cervical elongation at the end of the experiments.

Blood Collection

According the ethical requirements, human blood samples were collected from male subjects over 3 years-old and 15 kg in control as well as in genetically confirmed DMD patients. Peripheral blood samples were collected into 5 ml K3EDTA tubes (Greiner Bio-One). Plasma was separated from buffy coat and red blood cells after 10 minutes centrifugation 1500 g and stored at −80°C until further processing.

Mouse blood samples were either collected from the retro-orbital sinus or by intra-left ventricular puncture with 23 G needles, from anesthetized mice (ketamin 100 mg/Kg, xylazin 10 mg/Kg), into non-heparinized tubes in the absence of anti-coagulant treatment. Fresh blood samples were coagulated 30 min at room temperature and spun down at 10,000 g for 10 min. Supernatant was centrifuged again at 10,000 g for 10 min to separate serum from any residual cells and remaining cellular debris. Supernatant was collected into fresh tubes. For each strain, sera from 6 to 8 mice were pooled, divided in 3 aliquots for experimental triplicates, and stored at −80°C until further processing.

Mouse Blood Count

One hundred µl of blood was collected from anesthetized mice by retro-orbital puncture using citrate 3.8% as anti-coagulant (1/10 Volume). Blood samples were analyzed for standard haematological parameters (white blood cell, red blood cells and platelet counts) using an MS9.3 counter (Schloessing Melet, Cergy-Pontoise, France).

RNA Extraction and Quality Control Procedures

The miRVana PARIS (Ambion, Austin Texas) RNA extraction kit was identified in preliminary qualification experiment (data not shown) as suited for our experimental system.
### Table 1. Principal features of mouse strains and models.

| Pathology                          | Mutated gene/protein   | Mouse model (abbreviation used thereafter)                                      | Principal affected tissue | Reference |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| Limb-girdle muscular dystrophy type 2D, LGMD2D | Sgca/alpha-sarcoglycan | Sgca-null, (Sgca) backcrossed on C57BL/6J                                      | Skeletal muscle           | [24]      |
| Limb-girdle muscular dystrophy type 2C, LGMD2C | Sgcg/gamma-sarcoglycan | Sgcg-null, (Sgcg) backcrossed on C57BL/6J                                      | Skeletal muscle and heart | [25]      |
| Duchenne muscular dystrophy, DMD    | Dmd/dystrophin         | mdx 4CV = Dmd null (mdx) backcrossed on C57BL/6J                                | Skeletal muscle and heart | [26]      |
| Healthy control                    | None                   | C57BL/6                                                                           | Jackson Laboratory        |           |
| Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy, EDMD | Lmna/lamin A/C         | KI-Lmna<sup>tm2222</sup> (Lmna<sup>-</sup>) 129svl/x C57BL/6                  | Skeletal muscle and heart | [31]      |
| Healthy control                    | None                   | 129svl/x C57BL/6 (Lmna wt)                                                       |                           |           |
| Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, HCM   | Mybpc3/cMyBP-C         | KI-Mybpc3<sup>tm1<sup>G191M</sup></sup> (Mybpc3<sup>-</sup>) 129svl x Blackswiss x CD1 | Heart                     | [33]      |
| Healthy control                    | None                   | 129svl x Blackswiss x CD1 (Mybpc3<sup>-</sup>)                                 |                           |           |

Slightly different RNA extraction and quality control procedures were used in the two screening based on the two different technologies.

AB system: Total RNA was extracted from 625 µl of mouse serum. To perform extraction quality control, the synthetic miRNA cel-miR-39 (3.2 femtomole; Qiagen, France) was spiked in the crude mouse samples. RNA was eluted in 100 µl RNase-free water, precipitated overnight and resuspended in 10 µl RNase-free water (AB). Total RNA was quantified by using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (ND8000 Labtech, Wilmington Delaware) and analyzed using the Agilent small and pico RNA kit in the 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara California). RNA samples were systematically subjected to RT-qPCR quality control tests. This included stem-loop individual miRNA amplification reactions with primer for spiked-in cel-miR-39, the endogenous miRNA species miR-16, miR-142-3p, miR-223, miR-27a, miR-146b, and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as a negative control.

Exiqon system: Total RNA was extracted from 300 µl of mouse serum. To perform quality controls, the synthetic small RNA Sp6 and Sp3 (<em>n</em>10<sup>5</sup> copies, Exiqon) were spiked in the crude mouse samples. RNA was eluted in 100 µl RNase-free water. Total RNA was quantified by using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (ND8000 Labtech, Wilmington Delaware) and analyzed using the Agilent small and pico RNA kit in the 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara California). RNA samples were systematically subjected to RT-qPCR quality control tests. This included the use of spiked-in Sp3 (added before RNA extraction), Sp6 (added before RT reaction), the endogenous miRNA species miR-16, RNU5G (U5G small nuclear RNA) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as a negative control.

#### RT-qPCR

miRNAs were quantified by both AB and Exiqon technologies. AB technology: total RNA (350 ng) was reverse-transcribed using the Megaplex<sup>™</sup> Primers Pools A and B (rodents Version 2) and microRNAs were quantified with TaqMan<sup>®</sup> Array MicroRNA Cards A and B (rodents version 2) on the 7900 HT Real-Time PCR System (AB) following manufacturer’s guidelines. Quantification cycle (Cq) values were calculated using the SDS software v2.3 using automatic baseline with a threshold fixed at 0.2.

Exiqon technology: total RNA (200 ng) was converted into poly-A primed universal cDNA and microRNAs were quantified with miRNA-specific LNA<sup>™</sup> primers on LightCycler<sup>®</sup> 480 Real Time PCR system (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis Indiana) following manufacturer’s guidelines. Quantification cycle (Cq) values were calculated using the second derivative maximum algorithm.

#### Data Processing and Statistical Methodology

MicroRNA expression RT-qPCR results, expressed as raw Cq with a threshold Cq ≤35, were normalized in the large-scale screenings to the calculated mean Cq of the sample [21]. Briefly: For each strain the pool of serum was divided in three aliquots. From each aliquot one cDNA was synthesized and each miRNA was PCRamplified in triplicate from each cDNA. The average Cq of each PCR triplicate was calculated, thus giving three Cq values for each miRNA per strain. The mean Cq over the entire expressed miRNA (normalizer) was calculated for each strain. Delta Cq was calculated as the difference between each individual Cq and the normalizer. A corrected Cq was calculated as delta Cq/mean normalizer over all samples.

Individual miRNAs RT-qPCR assays were normalized relatively to the most stable identified referents miR-29a and miR-30b.

Differential expression was calculated using the **2**<sup>-ΔΔCt</sup> method, and miRNAs were considered differentially-expressed beyond a threshold of 1.5 fold change (FC). Hierarchical clustering analysis (HC) [22], as well as Non-negative matrix factorization (NMF) analyses were performed with the “Array Studio” software package (OmicSoft Corporation, Cary North Carolina). All p-values were adjusted for test multiplicity using Benjamini-Hochberg False Discovery Rate method [23].

#### Results

**Experimental Design**

As we aimed to identify circulating miRNA biomarker profile of different muscular pathologies, we have selected 5 mouse models of skeletal and/or cardiac muscle diseases that involved different pathophysiological pathways and different degree of impairment of either tissue. A second objective of our study was to investigate the resolution power of circulating miRNA profile. To this end, we have compared the miRNA profile specificity of three mouse models of closely related muscular dystrophies.
The mouse strains included in the study are listed in Table 1. Sgo-null mice were used as models for LGMD2D [24], Sgg-null mice for LGMD2C [25], mdx-4CV mice for DMD [26]. They result from mutations in the alpha-sarcoglycan (Sgo), gamma-sarcoglycan (Sgg) and dystrophin (Dmd) genes, respectively, which all encode proteins of the dystrophin associated protein complex (DAPC). The DAPC connects the cytoskeleton of a muscle fiber to the surrounding extracellular matrix through the cell membrane. The absence of one of these proteins is associated with disruption of the DAPC and destabilizes muscle fibers [27]. Fiber degeneration in these muscular dystrophies is compensated by fiber regeneration process. Disease severity is progressing with ageing and in correlation with a slowdown of this compensatory process. These DAPC-associated myopathies affect skeletal muscles but are also associated with cardiac dilation to a variable degree, ranging from only rare and mild symptoms in LGMD2D patients [28] and mouse model [29] to more pronounced cardiac pathology in LGMD2C and DMD patients and mouse models [30]. The three mouse models for the DAPC-associated pathologies are inbred strains, bred on the genetic background of the C57BL/6J mouse, which was included in the study as their wild type control strain.

The two other strains were models for Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy (EDMD) and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM). The EDMD mouse model is a knock-in (KI-Lmna<sup>H222P</sup>) that reproduces the p.H222P lamin A/C substitution identified in EDMD patients [31]. Lamins A and C are ubiquitously expressed nuclear envelope proteins both encoded by the <i>Lmna</i> gene. <i>Lmna</i> mutations were reported in more than 10 distinct pathologies [32]. The homozygous mice develop, similarly to human patients, progressive muscular dystrophy associated with dilated cardiomyopathy [31]. This model has a specific mixed genetic background of 129sv/J and C57BL/6 and wild-type littermates were used as controls. Lastly, the HCM mouse model corresponds to the knock-in of human Mybpc3 mutation (KI-<i>Mybpc3<sup>5GR154A</sup></i>) [33] which is frequently associated with HCM in human [34]. <i>Mybpc3</i> codes for cardiac myosin binding protein C (cMyBP-C) exclusively expressed in both human and mouse cardiomycocytes [35]. Consequently the cMyBP-C related HCM is cardiac specific. This model also has a specific mixed genetic background of 129sv/J and Blackswiss/CD1 and wild-type littermates were used as controls. All studied mice were 9–11 week-old, which are clinically relevant ages in all pathological models studied [20–22,24,26].

### Screening Strategy

We compared miRNAs expression levels in mice sera pools, collected from 6 to 8 mice per pool. In a preliminary experiment, we have compared the serum miRNA expression levels between biological and technical triplicates serum pools. We have found that the standard deviations were essentially the same in the two approaches (data not shown), showing that variation in miRNA expression levels between triplicates is almost exclusively technical rather than biological, thus validating a choice of technical triplicates pools screening.

To obtain maximum miRNA detection sensitivity and specificity, we adopted a two-step RT-qPCR approach (Figure 1). First a large-scale miRNome screening was performed with the TaqMan<sup>®</sup> Array MicroRNA Cards A and B (rodent genome-wide miRNA, miRBase 16 annotations) testing 517 mouse miRNAs. Out of 201 miRNAs detected (Cq≤35 in at least one strain), 37 were differentially expressed (FC≥1.5x, p≤0.05) in at least one pathological strain. Second, for further validation studies we took advantage of an alternative RT-qPCR technology. We used the Pick-&-Mix microRNA PCR Panels for large screening and microRNA LNA<sup>™</sup> PCR primer sets for individual assays, both provided by Exiqon. In this second step, we utilized a limited rather than a full scale miRNA database screen. We selected miRNAs that were identified in the first screening, i.e. the 37 differentially expressed, and some additional differentially expressed miRNAs with smaller FC value, as well as a collection of miRNAs that are known to be expressed in normal and pathological muscle, for a total of 87 miRNA. (Table S1). Raw data results of this screening are shown in table S2.

### Pathological Models Clustered Separately

Eighty-one miRNAs from the 87 assays were detected (as expressed below Cq≤35) in the second step, validation screen (Figure 1). Remarkably, the hierarchical clustering (HC) analysis of the miRNAs expression data demonstrated perfect triplicate clustering and strain separation pattern in all the mouse models (Figure 2a), with a sub-grouping segregation into three principal clusters; the first was composed of the DAPC-associated myopathies and their C57BL/6 control mice, the second and third clusters were composed of the two other pathological mouse models, each one with its control strain. This observed sub-grouping segregation into three principal clustered correlated not only with three distinctive types of pathologies but also with the three different genetic backgrounds that participated in our survey. Indeed HC analysis of triplicate samples of the three healthy control mice strains demonstrated again perfect clustering (Figure 2b). A non-negative matrix factorization (NMF) analysis was employed in order to identify miRNAs that were minimally participated in the genetic background clustering pattern, allowing the identification of 22 such miRNAs (Figure 2d). HC analysis of the 5 pathological strains with these 22 expressed miRNAs allowed almost perfect triplicates clustering; with however mix between the samples derived from the Sgg-null and the <i>mdx</i> mouse models (Figure 2c).

### Evidence for Dysregulated miRNAs in the Pathological Models

In this study, dysregulated miRNA was defined as up or downregulated miRNA by at least 1.5× FC in both screens (double positive) and a p value ≤0.05 in the second (Exiqon) screen, except for two borderline miRNAs of particular interest (1.5<FC<1.4). A complete list of the dysregulated serum miRNAs is presented in Table 2. The largest number of 28 dysregulated miRNAs was found in the DMD model, and the smallest one of 7 dysregulated miRNAs was found in both EDMD and the HCM models. In the three DAPC-associated myopathy models characterized by massive tissue destruction, the maximal FC of upregulated miRNAs largely exceeded the maximal FC of downregulated miRNAs. Maximal upregulated and downregulated FC was more balanced in the models of the two other pathologies, which are characterized by only moderate tissue destruction [12,32,35]. In agreement with a recent publication [36] we identified strong activation in dystrophic mice of the muscle-enriched miR-1, miR-133a, miR-133b and miR-206. This activation was not specific to the <i>mdx</i> mouse; strong activation of these 4 miRNAs was identified in all three DAPC-associated myopathies (Tables 2 and 3). In addition to this previously described activation in the serum of muscle-enriched miRNA species, we detected the deregulation in the serum of other miRNA species, many of them common to the three DAPC-associated myopathy models. This included the markedly upregulated miR-378, which is another muscle-enriched miRNA [37] as well as miR-193b, miR-149 and miR-30a. The most repressed miRNA in all three DAPC-associated myopathy models include miR-378, which is another muscle-enriched miRNA [37] as well as miR-193b, miR-149 and miR-30a. The most repressed miRNA in all three DAPC-associated myopathy models include miR-378, which is another muscle-enriched miRNA [37] as well as miR-193b, miR-149 and miR-30a.
was miR-122. Another notable common downregulated miRNA in the DAPC-associated pathologies was miR-31, that is known to regulate the expression of the dystrophin gene [38].

The miR-133a, miR-133b, miR-1, strongly upregulated in the DAPC-associated myopathy models, were slightly but significantly downregulated in the EDMD mouse model. In correlation, the serum CK level (Table 3, bottom line) was upregulated in the three degenerative DAPC-associated myopathy models and downregulated in the EDMD mouse.

The only common dysregulated miRNA in all models studied, miR-200a, was downregulated in the DAPC-associated pathologies and upregulated in the two other pathologies.
A. Hierarchical clustering of five pathological and three control strains with 81 expressed miRNAs

B. Hierarchical clustering of three control strains with 81 expressed miRNAs

C. Hierarchical clustering of five pathological strains with genetic background insensitive 22 miRNAs

D. 22 expressed miRNA not effected by genetic background

|   | miR-1 | miR-106a | miR-106b | miR-122 | miR-133a | miR-133b | miR-192 | miR-193a | miR-203 |
|---|-------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| 1 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
| 2 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
| 3 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
| 4 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
| 5 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
| 6 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
| 7 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
| 8 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
| 9 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
|10 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
|11 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
|12 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
|13 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
|14 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
|15 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
|16 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
|17 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
|18 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
|19 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
|20 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
|21 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
|22 |       |          |          |         |          |          |         |          |         |
The three DAPC-associated myopathy mouse models studied here have the same genetic background and were compared consequently to the same control mouse strain (C57BL/6J). These three pathologies shared many dysregulated miRNAs and presented consequently very similar serum miRNA signatures. Relatively to their common C57BL/6J controls, they did not exhibit pathology-specific dysregulated miRNA patterns. For example, miR-22 that was upregulated in the Sgcα-null mouse in the Exiqon screen (FC = 5.48, p<0.05, table 2), was also significantly upregulated in the same screen in the Sgcα-null and the mdx mouse models. However in these two latter models miR-22 was not upregulated in the AB screening and therefore was not a double positive candidate and absent from table 2 for the Sgcα-null and the mdx models. However the HC analysis (Figure 2a) clustered these 3 pathologies separately, indicating a distinct and specific serum miRNA signature for each one. We therefore compared these three pathologies directly with each other (instead of their common control strain). Indeed the direct comparison of the expressed miRNAs in the LGMD2D, LGMD2C and the DMD mouse models identified 9 differentially expressed miRNAs which provided a disease-specific signature for these three pathologies (Figure 3).

Taken together, the double screening approach used in this study allowed obtaining a perfect pathological model clustering pattern (Figure 2), composed of lists of dysregulated miRNAs that distinguish between unrelated striated muscle pathologies (Table 2), and a set of miRNAs that distinguishes between closely related muscular dystrophies (Figure 3).

**Blood Component Differences between Pathological Mouse Models**

It has been suggested that an important source of serum miRNA is the hematopoietic system, and that blood components such as erythrocytes, platelets and leucocytes are contributing to the repertoire of circulating miRNAs [39]. We asked therefore whether blood counts were different between the pathological models. Indeed we found significantly (p<0.05) elevated level of leucocytes and platelets, but not erythrocytes, in all the three DAPC-associated myopathy mouse models compared to their common healthy control C57BL/6 mice (Figure S1). These results suggest a possible contribution of the hematopoietic system to the specific repertoire (profile) of the serum miRNAs identified in the distinct pathologies.

**Age-dependent miRNAs Dysregulation in the mdx Mice**

Serum expression levels of 5 upregulated and 5 downregulated miRNAs were further evaluated in the mdx mice at the ages of 4 and 22 weeks (Figure 4). In agreement with previous results from 10 week old mice (Table 2) we observed a marked upregulation of miR-1, miR-133a, miR-133b and miR-206 in the sera of mdx mice at ages of both 4 and 22 weeks. In contrast, miR-378 was activated significantly at 22-weeks of age, but not at 4 weeks of age. For miRNAs downregulated in 10-week-old mdx mice (Table 2), we have consistently observed downregulation at the age of 4 weeks, which however was statistically significant only for miR-301b. MiR-301b was still downregulated at the age of 22 weeks. Collectively, these results suggest that markedly activated serum miRNA species in the mdx mouse are upregulated over wide range of ages, while other more subtle changes in serum miRNA expression might be dysregulated in age-dependent manner.

**Dysregulated Plasma miRNAs in DMD Patients**

The principal circulating miRNAs identified in the mdx mouse were studied in DMD patients and their age matched controls (n = 5, table S3). In agreement with our mdx data, this analysis confirmed the upregulation of miR-1, miR-133a, miR-133b and miR-206, previously reported in DMD patients [36] and dystrophic dogs [40]. Additionally the upregulation of miR-378 and its co-transcribed partner miR-378* were confirmed. In contrast, the upregulation of miR-149 and miR-193b, and the downregulation of miR-122 and miR-200a were not confirmed in DMD patients (not shown). In agreement with the results of mdx mice, we observed differential expression of miR-31, which is to our knowledge the first downregulated circulating miRNA to be reported in plasma from DMD patients. MiRNA RT-qPCR raw data from DMD patients were either normalized to the stable circulating miRNAs miR-29a and 30b (Figure 5a), or alternatively presented as miRNA ratio to the downregulated miR-31 (Figure 5b), with their respective ROC curves, and with the CK measurements (Figure 5c).

**Discussion**

The main goal of this study was the simultaneous evaluation of several disease models for their miRNA profile in order to identify specific sets of circulating miRNA biomarkers for each disease. The experimental approach adopted included the use of mouse models for a range of striated muscular diseases and the elaboration of a screening strategy composed of two successive steps of RT-qPCR miRNA profiling. The first step was a complete MiRnome screening, while the second was a validation for a subset of candidates identified in the first one. Independent serum samples and different RT-qPCR technologies were used in the second step to reduce false positive detection of dysregulated miRNA.

Several miRNA species were dysregulated in 3 pathological models and in two screening systems in each model, thus in 6 independent screenings experiments. Therefore, it is likely that this strategy of genome-wide two-steps and two technological platforms screening of five pathological models in parallel contributed to the production of high quality data, interpreted by a perfect clustering pattern (Figure 2), and a reliable list of dysregulated miRNAs (Table 2). It should be noted however that defining as validated dysregulated miRNAs only the double positive candidates might have resulted in neglecting some truly dysregulated miRNAs. For example, a miRNA detected as dysregulated in one screen and failed to be detected as dysregulated in the other
Table 2. Differentially expressed miRNAs by strain.

| Dysregulated miRNAs (FC ≥ 1.5 x) | Sgcα-null mice | Sgcγ-null mice | mdx 4CV mice | KI-Lmna<sup>p.H222P</sup> mice | KI-Mybpc3<sup>c.A81R</sup> mice |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| miRNA id | FC | miRNA id | FC | miRNA id | FC | miRNA id | FC | miRNA id | FC | miRNA id | FC | miRNA id | FC |
| miR-206 | 23.64 | miR-122 | −3.8 | miR-125a-5p | −2.78 | miR-206 | 36.68 | miR-122 | −4.94 | miR-146b | 2.24 | miR-130a | −1.92 | miR-192 | 1.87 | miR-451 | −5.2 |
| miR-133b | 15.92 | miR-1272 | −2.19 | miR-133a | 19.19 | miR-31 | 7.43 | miR-133b | −3.81 | miR-200a | 1.62 | miR-133a | −1.88 | miR-429 | 1.63 | miR-301a | 2.38 |
| miR-1 | 14.14 | miR-125a-5p | 2.02 | miR-206 | 12.99 | miR-26b | 2.19 | miR-133a | 20.52 | miR-200a | −3.69 | miR-133b | −1.82 | miR-125b-5p | 1.55 | let-7i | −2.1 |
| miR-133a | 12.11 | miR-200a | −1.98 | miR-1 | 9.86 | miR-142-3p | −2.19 | miR-1 | 10.32 | miR-672 | −3.49 | miR-1 | −1.73 | miR-187 | 1.52 |
| miR-378 | 3.91 | miR-199a-3p | −1.95 | miR-378 | 6.82 | miR-429 | −2.13 | miR-378 | 7.43 | miR-31 | −2.42 | miR-151-3p | −1.71 | miR-200a | 1.48 |
| miR-193b | 2.23 | miR-195 | −1.88 | miR-30d | 4.8 | miR-26a | −2.12 | miR-193b | 4.47 | miR-451 | −2.33 | miR-339-3p | −1.68 |
| miR-149 | 2.2 | miR-429 | −1.83 | miR-193b | 3.72 | miR-200a | −2.12 | miR-30d | 3.81 | miR-143 | −2.24 |
| miR-30a | 2.16 | miR-151-3p | −1.76 | miR-22 | 3.48 | miR-122 | −2.12 | miR-149 | 2.98 | miR-195 | −2.19 |
| miR-30d | 1.92 | miR-31 | −1.75 | miR-149 | 2.81 | miR-672 | −2.01 | miR-30a | 2.84 | miR-148a | −2.12 |
| miR-709 | 1.68 | miR-35a | −1.72 | miR-30a | 2.72 | let-7g | −1.94 | miR-434-3p | 2.38 | let-7g | −2.11 |
| miR-30e | 1.61 | miR-125b-5p | −1.71 | miR-108a | 1.7 | miR-125b-5p | −1.92 | miR-148b | 1.89 | miR-125b-5p | −2.09 |
| miR-142-3p | −1.7 | let-7b | 1.83 | miR-30e | 1.74 | miR-200b | −2.03 |
| miR-152 | 1.6 | let-7i | −1.72 | miR-145 | −2.02 |
| miR-301b | 1.6 | miR-215 | 1.67 | miR-142-3p | −2.02 |
| miR-93* | −1.58 | miR-301b | −1.53 | let-7b | 1.88 |
| miR-200b | 1.56 | miR-26b | −1.77 |
| miR-152 | 1.75 | let-7i | −1.31 |
| miR-301b | −1.41 |
screen, or a miRNA detected as upregulated in the first screen and downregulated in the second screen. The latter example might be the case of miR-106b, which was upregulated 4.51x and 2.2x in the LGMD2D and DMD models respectively, in the AB screening, but downregulated −1.21x and −1.9x respectively in the same models in the Exiqon screening.

### Table 3. Commonly dysregulated miRNAs in all mouse models.

| No. | miRNA ID  | Sgca-null mice | mdx 4CV mice | Sgcg-null mice | Expression in other models |
|-----|-----------|----------------|--------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| 1   | mmu-miR-206 | 23.64          | 36.68        | 12.99          |                           |
| 2   | mmu-miR-133b | 15.92          | 27.17        | 24.30          | −1.88                     |
| 3   | mmu-miR-133a | 12.11          | 20.52        | 19.19          | −1.82                     |
| 4   | mmu-miR-1   | 14.14          | 10.32        | 9.86           | −1.73                     |
| 5   | mmu-miR-378 | 3.91           | 7.43         | 6.82           |                           |
| 6   | mmu-miR-193b | 2.23           | 4.47         | 3.72           |                           |
| 7   | mmu-miR-149 | 2.20           | 2.98         | 2.81           |                           |
| 8   | mmu-miR-30a | 2.16           | 2.84         | 2.72           |                           |
| 9   | mmu-miR-301b | −1.60          | −1.41        | −1.53          |                           |
| 10  | mmu-miR-142-3p | −1.70          | −2.02        | −2.19          |                           |
| 11  | mmu-miR-31  | −1.75          | −2.42        | −3.09          |                           |
| 12  | mmu-miR-672 | −2.19          | −3.49        | −2.01          |                           |
| 13  | mmu-miR-200a | −1.98          | −3.69        | −2.12          | 1.62  1.48                |
| 14  | mmu-miR-429 | −1.83          | −3.81        | −2.13          |                           |
| 15  | mmu-miR-122 | −3.80          | −4.94        | −2.12          |                           |
|     | Creatine kinase | 17.18         | 8.63         | 29.6           | −3.44                     |

All miRNA species listed are “double positive”, i.e. are dysregulated at least 1.5x FC in both AB and Exiqon technology-based screenings (except miR-200a in KI-Lmna<sup>p.H222P</sup> mouse). FC values are derived from the Exiqon-based screening. The order is of descending FC values in the mdx model. All p values are significant (p≤0.05, Exiqon screen), except for the miR-1 in the KI-Lmna<sup>p.H222P</sup> mouse.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055281.t003

Figure 3. Specificity of serum miRNA profile in three DAPC-associated mouse models. NMF analysis of miRNA expression levels of 9 serum miRNAs in Sgca-null (Sgca), Sgcg-null (Sgcg), and mdx-4CV mice (mdx). Serum samples were collected and miRNA expression analyses were done as detailed in Figure 1. One experiment out of two performed is presented. (+) significant p value (p≤0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055281.g003
Specificity of miRNA Profile to Pathology

A second major finding of this study was the identification of a disease-specific circulating miRNA signature for all five mouse models. Of note, two levels of specificity of miRNA signatures were identified. The first, in comparison to the control healthy mice, was able to detect pathologies of different categories. For example, the spectrum of dysregulated miRNAs in the DMD mouse model varied considerably from that of the EDMD mouse model. However the discrimination between the three muscular dystrophies, LGMD2D, LGMD2C and DMD failed because they shared the same dysregulated miRNA expression pattern as their common healthy control mouse C57BL/6. The second level of specificity of miRNA signature was achieved by direct comparison of the miRNA profiles of these three pathological models. Together, this provided circulating miRNA-specific profile for these three closely related pathological models as well. Closest miRNA profiles are those of the mdx and the Sgg-null mice (Figure 2c) both affecting skeletal and cardiac muscles, while Sgcn-null mouse affecting only skeletal muscles clustered separately.

Circulating miRNA Profiling may Reflect Pathophysiological Changes over Variety of Tissues

Among the advantage of the serum biomarker approach is the ability of these biomarkers to reflect pathophysiological modifications in a multisystem genetic disorder not only in the primary affected tissue, muscles in the present study, but also in secondary affected tissues. In this context, the observation made here that the blood counts are significantly distinct between the pathological models and their respective controls is important. Indeed the DAPC-associated myopathies studied here are inflammatory pathologies, which might explain differences in the blood counts. Thus, these hematological differences might contribute to the differentially expressed serum miRNAs, increasing thus the overall analytical power of this method.

Circulating Biomarker Profiling is Particularly useful in Muscular Dystrophies

The common dysregulated serum miRNAs in the DAPC-associated pathologies (Table 3) included the four principal muscle enriched miRNAs, miR-1, miR-133a, miR-133b and miR-206 [41,42]. Together with miR-378, another myofiber-enriched miRNA [37], we identified these miRNAs as the most upregulated in the serum of mice of the DAPC-associated pathologies, far beyond the FC level of the most downregulated miRNA. Fold change values of circulating miRNAs in many pathologies and pathological models are often modest. Taking into account problems of technical variations and lack of precise normalization procedure, low FC values are of concern, as they may lead to false interpretation. The particular elevated miRNA FC values observed in muscular dystrophies suggest a particular analytical robustness in applying this method to this type of pathologies.

Contradicting Trends between Intra- and Extra-cellular Dysregulated Muscle miRNAs

Massive contribution of tissue destruction to the circulating miRNA repertoire may explain another seemingly paradox. Downregulation of certain miRNAs, including miRNA-1, miRNA-133a and miRNA-133b in dystrophic muscle ([43] and our unpublished data) coincide with their upregulation in the serum. This might at first sight looks as contradicting the suggestion that concentration of extracellular miRNA is proportional to its intracellular concentration [44]. The level of some myofiber-enriched miRNAs may decrease in dystrophic compared to normal muscle biopsies as result of a switch in the transcriptional program in the dystrophic muscle and of a gradual replacement of contractile tissue by fibrotic and fatty tissues. Yet, ongoing muscle tissue destruction or cellular membrane leakage keep contributing muscle-originating miRNAs to the circulation despite their reduced intracellular concentration, thus reduced muscle concentration of some miRNA species in dystrophic mouse muscles coincides with their increased serum concentration. The downregulation of miR-31 in the serum is at present more difficult to interpret. Intramuscularly, miR-31 has been found strongly upregulated in dystrophic muscle, where it downregulates the expression of dystrophin [38]. In dystrophic subjects miR-1, miR-133a and miR-133b are downregulated in the muscle and upregulated in the serum while conversely miR-31 is upregulated in the muscle and downregulated in the serum.

Dysregulated miRNAs in the non DAPC-associated Muscular Pathologies

Three out of the eight dysregulated miRNAs in the EDMD mouse model, miR-1, miR-133a and miR-133b, were found to be dysregulated in the DAPC-associated pathologies (Table 3), but with opposite trends. Thus, these myofiber-enriched miRNAs are upregulated in the serum in myopathies associated with massive muscle fibers destruction but downregulated in the serum of myopathies associated with low level of degenerative/regenerative process. In a nice correlation CK level was also downregulated in the EDMD serum. Indeed in the EDMD model the dystrophic process is milder than those observed in DAPC related myopathy models [24]. The pathomechanisms of Lmna mutations are thought to be related to increased mechanical stress sensitivity and/or abnormal signaling pathway/gene regulation in link with abnormal nuclear envelop structure and function [12,33,45]. The eight dysregulated miRNAs in the serum of the EDMD mouse model were not part of the previously reported dysregulated miRNAs detected in muscle biopsies of EDMD patients [12]. In EDMD, in absence of major fiber disruption or membrane leakage, the dysregulated miRNA species present in the circulation and in the muscle might not be closely related and may reflect complex pathophysiological mechanisms that deserve to be further explored.

The miR-200a was the only commonly dysregulated in Myhpc3-related HCM with the other 4 pathologies. The pathophysiology of the EDMD model and of the cMyBP-C related HCM models are very different one from the other and from the physiopathology.

Figure 4. Serum miRNAs expression and fold change in mdx-4CV and control mouse at the ages of 4 and 22 weeks old. Expression of 10 miRNAs were studied in the serum of the mdx-4CV mouse at the ages of 4 weeks (mdx4W) and 22 weeks (mdx22W) and in age matched control C57BL/6 mice (B64W and B622W). Serum samples from 6 mice of each strain and time point were pooled and subjected to RT-qPCR quantification using Exiqon technology. Results are the average of three independent experiments. miRNA expression level, designated "abundance" on the vertical axis, is the log of the relative miRNA expression level normalized to miR-29a and 30b, found previously to be the most stable miRNAs in our experimental setup (data not shown). Standard deviation and p values are shown with *stands for p≤0.05, **stands for p≤0.01, and ***stands for p≤0.001. Values in the table are of the corresponding fold change (FC) values for the same miRNAs of the graphical presentation.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055281.g004
A. DMD miRNAs normalized to miR-29a and miR-30b

- miR-1
  - AUC = 0.9
  - P = 0.0185
  - DMD Cont

- miR-133a
  - AUC = 0.0006
  - DMD Cont

- miR-133b
  - AUC = 0.97
  - P = 0.0029
  - DMD Cont

- miR-206
  - AUC = 1
  - P < 0.0001
  - DMD Cont

- miR-378
  - AUC = 0.87
  - P = 0.0544
  - DMD Cont

- miR-378*
  - AUC = 0.63
  - P = 0.2736
  - DMD Cont

- miR-31
  - AUC = 0.9
  - P = 0.0173
  - DMD Cont

B. DMD miRNAs ratio to miR-31

- miR-1/miR-31
  - AUC = 1
  - P = 0.0009
  - DMD Cont

- miR-133a/miR-31
  - AUC = 1
  - P = 0.0002
  - DMD Cont

- miR-133b/miR-31
  - AUC = 1
  - P = 0.0005
  - DMD Cont

- miR-206/miR-31
  - AUC = 1
  - P < 0.0001
  - DMD Cont

- miR-378/miR-31
  - AUC = 0.93
  - P = 0.0086
  - DMD Cont

- miR-378*/miR-31
  - AUC = 1
  - P = 0.0004
  - DMD Cont

C. DMD serum Creatine Kinase

- Creatine kinase
  - AUC = 1
  - P < 0.0001
  - DMD Cont
Oligonucleotides and 18S as internal controls. (C) The expression of the upregulated miRNAs was normalized to the expression of miR-31 and miR-192, with their respective ROC curves. (D) The expression of the downregulated miRNAs was normalized to miR-30b, with their respective ROC curves. (E) The expression of the downregulated miRNAs was normalized to miR-30b, with their respective ROC curves. (F) The expression of the downregulated miRNAs was normalized to miR-30b, with their respective ROC curves.

**Figure S1 Blood composition in mouse strains.** All mouse strains (n = 6–8/strain) were at the ages of 8 to 14 weeks old. P values are shown with *stands for p < 0.05, **stands for p < 0.01, and ***stands for p < 0.001.

**Table S1** All miRNAs tested in the screening based on the Exiqon technology.

**Table S2** Cq raw data Exiqon screen.

**Table S3** Composition DMD cohort. Shown are the age, type of mutation, ambulatory status, and glucocorticoids treatment.
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