Apatinib mesylate tablet in the treatment of advanced malignant melanoma
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Background: Observing and studying clinical efficacy and safety of apatinib mesylate tablet in the treatment of advanced malignant melanoma (MM).

Methods: Retrospectively analyzing the clinical data of 22 patients with metastatic MM who had failed conventional chemotherapy from June 2016 to January 2018. All patients took 500 mg of apatinib mesylate tablets per day. The efficacy should be evaluated according to RECIST 1.1 criteria. Adverse events (AEs) should be graded according to NCI-CTCAE 4.0.

Results: There were two cases of partial remission (PR), 11 of stable disease (SD) and nine of progressive disease (PD) in the 22 patients with advanced MM, where the objective remission rate (ORR) was 9.1% and the disease control rate (DCR) was 59.1%. The median progression-free survival (PFS) was 7.5 months, and the 6-month progression-free survival rate (PFR) was 54.7%. Six patients died and the overall survival (OS) was not reached. AEs were controllable and all were in Grade 1–3.

Conclusion: Apatinib mesylate tablets have a certain curative effect on patients with malignant melanomas of Stage IV who failed conventional chemotherapy. Apatinib mesylate tablets at a daily dose of 500 mg are well tolerated by most patients.
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Plain language summary
In this work, we assessed retrospectively clinical efficacy and safety of apatinib mesylate tablet in the treatment of 22 patients with advanced malignant melanoma of Stage IV at a single institution. Meanwhile, we found that apatinib had a certain curative effect on those patients and it was well tolerated by most patients. Moreover, we believe that in the near future, apatinib is expected to become a new option for treating patients with advanced malignant melanoma.

Background
Malignant melanoma (MM) is a highly malignant tumor that originates in the neural crest melanocyte and is caused by hyperproliferation of abnormal melanocytes. Clinically, MM mostly originates in skin, mucous membrane and vascular coat of eyeball uvea. As a highly invasive type of tumor, lymph and hematogenous metastasis may occur early in tumor formation with a poor prognosis and the 5-year survival rate is 10%. It is one of the malignant tumors that seriously threaten human health. For patients with Stage IV MM, systemic treatment is mainly medical treatment in general, including chemotherapy, targeted therapy, and immunotherapy, etc. Among them, chemotherapy drugs are mainly based on a combination of DTIC® (dacarbazine), Temodal® (temozolomide, TMZ), platinum salts and taxanes. Targeted therapy is represented by Glivec® (imatinib), Zelboraf® (vemurafenib), and Avastin® (bevacizumab). Traditional immunotherapy is represented by high-dose Interleukin-2
participants in this study were confidential and the patients of the retrospective nature of the study. Data of all patients approved that no informed consent was required because Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center specifically the Declaration of Helsinki. The Ethics Committee of Cancer Center and all aspects of the study comply with by the Ethics Committee of Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, including 15 cases Department of Bone and Soft Tissue Sarcomas at Fudan University Shanghai, such as Yervoy® (ipilimumab), Keytruda® (pembrolizumb), Opdivo® (nivolumab) and so on, have yielded unusually brilliant results, providing a new way for MM treatment. In addition, in order to search more safe and effective systemic treatment approaches, multiple treatments are being explored.

Apatinib mesylate tablet (Apatinib) is a new generation of oral small molecule anti-angiogenesis inhibitor, the main mechanism of action of which is a competitive system of binding where vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 (VEGFR-2) and receptor-intracellular tyrosine ATP binding site highly selectively inhibit the activity of VEGFR-2 tyrosine kinase and block the signal transduction after the combination of vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGF), thereby strongly inhibiting tumor angiogenesis. It has been proved to have a good curative effect on gastric cancer. In the advanced MM, antiangiogenic therapy is still an important therapeutic method. Related studies using chemotherapy combined with recombinant human Endostar™ (endostatin), or monoclonal antibody drugs (McAb) of VEGFR, have confirmed that chemotherapy combined with antiangiogenic therapy can improve the survival of patients with advanced MM. Therefore, in our study, apatinib mesylate tablet was used as the main drug to treat patients with Stage IV MM who failed conventional chemotherapy, in order to evaluate the clinical efficacy and safety of the drug.

Methods
Patients
From June 2016 to January 2018, we collected 22 cases of Stage IV MM patients who underwent at least one conventional chemotherapy treatment which failed in the Department of Bone and Soft Tissue Sarcomas at Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, including 15 cases of males and seven females, aged from 28 to 81, with a median age of 51. These MM patients were examined and confirmed through histopathology that they were not suitable for surgical treatment and their clinical stage was IV. In addition, the curative effect of their lesions could be evaluated by imaging studies. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center and all aspects of the study comply with the Declaration of Helsinki. The Ethics Committee of Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center specifically approved that no informed consent was required because of the retrospective nature of the study. Data of all patients participating in this study were confidential and the patients participating in the study did so completely voluntarily and were not forced to participate.

Treatment
All patients took 500 mg (two tablets) orally of apatinib mesylate tablets once a day, half an hour after meals for treatment and the treatment cycle was 4 weeks. Adverse events (AEs) were evaluated, graded and recorded according to the National Cancer Institute-Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (NCI-CTCAE) Version 4.0. Patients with AEs Grade 1–2 were recommended to continue medication with clinical follow-up observations. For patients with AEs Grade 3 and above, they should suspend medication and should be hospitalized for symptomatic treatment. When the AEs restore to ≤ Grade 2, these patients could continue receiving treatment if the medicine was well tolerated. If it was estimated that the patients were to be severely harmed or might have severe sequelae, the medicine should be stopped.

Assessment
Baseline assessment included detailed medical history, physical examination, routine laboratory examination, and computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans of the primary or metastatic sites. The efficacy was to be evaluated after each cycle of treatment according to Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) Version 1.1, and it was categorized into complete remission (CR), partial remission (PR), stable disease (SD), and progressive disease (PD). Objective remission rate (ORR) was based on CR+PR calculation and disease control rate (DCR) was based on CR+PR+SD calculation. Progression-free survival (PFS) was defined as the time from the start of treatment with apatinib to PD or death, and overall survival (OS) as the time between the start of treatment and the death of the patients. Follow-ups were conducted up to January 31, 2018.

Statistical methods
For analyzing the efficacy and safety of apatinib, all patients were treated with it for more than 1 cycle in this study. All data were analyzed statistically using SPSS Version 23.0 software. Survival analysis adopted Kaplan–Meier method.

Results
Characteristics of patients at baseline
Among the 22 patients, there were 12 cases of MM derived from limbs, four from anal canal, three from vulva, one from mammary gland and two of unknown origin. All
were clinically in Stage IV, based on the staging system of American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) eighth edition, including seven cases in Stage M1a, five in M1b and 10 in M1c. Common metastatic sites included nine cases of distant lymph node, nine of the lung, six of the liver, three of the abdominal cavity, one of spleen and one of kidney. The patients had failed all the previous chemotherapy. The chemotherapy was a conventional one with DTIC or TMZ-based single drug, or combined cisplatin and endostatin. The course of treatment was 1–10 cycles and the median was four cycles. In addition, all the patients had good main organ function, normal blood, urine and stool routine, with no basic diseases like previous cardiovascular diseases such as hypertension, gastrointestinal diseases, and hand-foot skin reaction, etc, which were difficult to distinguish from the medicine AEs. See Table 1 for details.

Table 1 Patients’ characteristics (N = 22)

| Characteristics                  | No of patients | % of total | % of total |
|----------------------------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| Gender                           |                |            |            |
| Male                             | 15             | 68.2       |            |
| Female                           | 7              | 31.8       |            |
| Median age (years, range)        |                |            |            |
| 0                                | 5              | 22.7       |            |
| 1                                | 17             | 77.3       |            |
| Primary tumor site               |                |            |            |
| Extremity                        | 12             | 54.6       |            |
| Anal canal                       | 4              | 18.2       |            |
| Vulva                            | 3              | 13.6       |            |
| Breast                           | 1              | 4.5        |            |
| Unknown                          | 2              | 9.1        |            |
| Metastatic sites                 |                |            |            |
| Lymph node                       | 9              | 40.9       |            |
| Lung                             | 9              | 40.9       |            |
| Liver                            | 6              | 27.3       |            |
| Intraperitoneal                  | 3              | 13.6       |            |
| Spleen                           | 1              | 4.5        |            |
| Kidney                           | 1              | 4.5        |            |
| Type of metastatic site          |                |            |            |
| Nonvisceral                      | 7              | 31.8       |            |
| Visceral                         | 15             | 68.2       |            |
| No of metastatic sites           |                |            |            |
| 1                                | 9              | 40.9       |            |
| 2                                | 5              | 22.7       |            |
| ≥3                               | 8              | 36.4       |            |
| Prior chemotherapy               |                |            |            |
| TMZ                              | 3              | 13.6       |            |
| DTIC + DDP                       | 3              | 13.6       |            |
| DTIC + DDP + Endostar            | 16             | 72.8       |            |
| Chemotherapy period              |                |            |            |
| <4 cycles                        | 5              | 22.7       |            |
| 4–6 cycles                       | 12             | 54.6       |            |
| >6 cycles                        | 5              | 22.7       |            |

Abbreviations: No, number; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; TMZ, temozolomide; DTIC, dacarbazine; DDP, cisplatin.

Efficacy

As of January 2018, 22 patients had received follow-up visits in the efficacy evaluation phase (medicine taken for more than one cycle). The follow-up time ranged from 2.2 to 15.8 months, and the median was 9.2 months. Among them, two patients got PR (as show in Figure 1), 11 got SD (as shown in Figure 2), and nine got PD. The ORR was 9.1% and the DCR was 59.1%. The median PFS was 7.5 months (95% of CI was 4.4–10.6 months, as shown in Figure 3), and the 6-month PFR was 54.7%. Six patients died and the median OS was not reached.

Toxicity

All the patients in the group tolerated apatinib well at a dose of 500 mg per day, and AEs, all in Grade 1–3, were controllable, with no drug-related AEs of Grade 4 and above. The most common AEs were gastrointestinal reactions (mainly abdominal distension, anorexia, nausea, vomiting) and fatigue, and the incidence was 50%. Other AEs were high blood pressure, palpitation and other cardiovascular symptoms, with an incidence of 40.9%. Grade 3 AEs were high blood pressure, a total of three cases, and the incidence was 13.6%. See Table 2 for details.

Discussion

According to the data released by WHO in 2013, there are about 200,000 new cases of MM in the world each year,
causing about 65,000 deaths, with the features of high metastasis, high mortality, and strong resistance. The main cause of death of MM is distant metastasis, including lung, liver, bone, and brain metastasis. Metastasis occurs in about 20% of the patients at the first diagnosis of MM and those patients have been in the advanced stage when a definite diagnosis is made. Those with metastasis to skin, subcutaneous tissue or lymphoid tissue have relatively better prognosis. The prognosis of metastasis to the lung is medium, and if metastasis is transferred to liver, bone, brain, or sites with higher lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), the prognosis is relatively poor. Advanced MM progresses rapidly and has a poor prognosis.

Previous treatments have been based on DTIC, TMZ, platinum salt and taxanes for chemotherapy for a long time. However, in a randomized controlled trial of Phase III, the ORR of the DTIC + placebo group was only 10.3% (26/252), DCR was 30.2%, and the median OS was only 9.1 months. TMZ is a small molecule oral agent of DTIC analogs. It is a new type of the second-generation alkylating agent the efficacy of which is similar to that of DTIC. Since TMZ does not need to be metabolized through liver and can pass through the blood–brain barrier, reducing the physiological damage of patients and improving their living quality, it is often used as a first-line treatment for patients with advanced MM. In this research, the patients all failed previous chemotherapy regimens of DTIC or TMZ-based single agent or conventional chemotherapy combined with cisplatin and endostatin, with a treatment course of 1–10 cycles and a median duration of 4 cycles.

Due to the presence of genetic mutations in many MM patients, including BRAF, NRAS, KIT, PTEN, GNAQ, GNA11, and CDKN2A, immunotherapy and targeted therapy progress rapidly in the advanced MM field. Multiple treatment methods are being explored in order to search safer and more effective systemic treatment approaches. For MM patients with KIT mutation, imatinib is a good treatment option. In a Phase II clinical trial study, 24 patients with metastatic MM were treated with imatinib, with an ORR of 29%, a DCR of 50%, a median PFS of 3.7 months and a median OS of 7.5 months.

### Table 2 AEs experienced by the patients (N=22)

| AEs                      | Grade 1 or 2 | Grade 3 or 4 | Total |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
|                          | No | %  | No | %  | No | %  |
| Non-hematologic          |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Gastrointestinal symptoms | 11 | 50 | 0  | 0  | 11 | 50 |
| Fatigue                  | 11 | 50 | 0  | 0  | 11 | 50 |
| Hypertension             | 6  | 27.3| 3  | 13.6| 9  | 40.9|
| Palpitation              | 4  | 18.2| 0  | 0  | 4  | 18.2|
| Rash                     | 3  | 13.6| 0  | 0  | 3  | 13.6|
| Hand-foot syndrome       | 3  | 13.6| 0  | 0  | 3  | 13.6|
| Proteinuria              | 3  | 13.6| 0  | 0  | 3  | 13.6|
| Liver dysfunction        | 1  | 4.5 | 0  | 0  | 1  | 4.5 |
| Hematologic              |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Leukopenia               | 3  | 9.1 | 0  | 0  | 3  | 13.6|
| Thrombocytopenia         | 2  | 9.1 | 0  | 0  | 2  | 9.1 |

Note: Gastrointestinal symptoms include abdominal distention, anorexia, nausea, vomiting, etc.

Abbreviation: AEs, adverse events.
OS of 12.5 months. When nilotinib, also as KIT inhibitor, combines with DTIC, it will have similar efficacy to that of imatinib in advanced MM. A large-scale analysis showed that the gene mutation rate of BRAF in Chinese MM patients was about 25.5%. This proportion was significantly lower than that of Caucasians. Even so, BRAF inhibitor is of very important significance to the MM treatment in China. Vemurafenib is a member of the BRAF inhibitors. In a multicenter Phase III randomized controlled trial study, the ORR of the vemurafenib group was as high as 48.4%, while that of the control group was only 5.5% and all subgroup analyses demonstrated that the PFS and OS of the vemurafenib group were significantly improved compared with the DTIC group, and the hazard ratios were 0.26 and 0.37 respectively. In addition, immunosuppression inhibitors have yielded unusually brilliant results in recent years, significantly prolonging patients’ OS. Among them, ipilimumab (anti-CTLA-4 McAb), pembrolizumab (anti-PD-1 McAb), and nivolumab (anti-PD-1 McAb), have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration in the United States (US-FDA) for treating advanced unresectable or metastatic MM.

In MM, anti-angiogenic therapy remains an important therapeutic target for advanced MM. A Phase II clinical trial shows that combining endostatin with DTIC first-line treatment of advanced MM, compared with DTIC monotherapy, can significantly prolong PFS (4.5 vs 1.5 months, \( P=0.013 \)) and OS (12.0 vs 8.0 months, \( P=0.005 \)), with good tolerance. Another type of monoclonal antibody, bevacizumab, selectively combines human vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). The efficacy of bevacizumab uniting chemotherapy has also been demonstrated in advanced MM. For example, in a clinical Phase II randomized controlled trial, combining bevacizumab with carboplatin and paclitaxel chemotherapy medicine in the control group for treatment, the ORR was 13%, the median PFS was 5.6 months, and the median OS was 14.5 months, and when erolinus was added to the experimental group, the ORR could be increased to 23%, while the median PFS and the median OS were not as good as those in the control group. The above research indicated that antiangiogenic inhibitors may be effective in MM treatment until PD or death. Overall, the daily dose of 500 mg of apatinib well, and AEs were controllable, all of which were only in Grade 1–3. No drug-related AEs of Grade 4 and above appeared. The main AEs were gastrointestinal reactions (abdominal distension, anorexia, nausea, vomiting, etc.), fatigue, hypertension, palpitation, hand-foot skin reaction (hand-foot syndrome, rash, etc.), proteinuria, impaired liver function and bone marrow suppression (mainly leukocytopenia and thrombocytopenia), etc., and this is similar to the related reports. Among them, AEs of Grade 3 were hypertension, and there were three cases in total. The incidence rate was 13.6%. Patients who suspended medication or were hospitalized for symptomatic treatment for 2–3 days could all recover to Grade 2 or below and continued medication. All patients continued medication until PD or death. Overall, the daily dose of 500 mg of apatinib treating patients with advanced MM in our group was well tolerated.

This study is a retrospective analysis and has certain limitations. First, only 22 cases were analyzed, and there was a certain treatment selection bias. Second, there was no control group so that no relevant hypothesis test could be performed. Furthermore, the follow-up time was insufficient and the median OS was not reached. But estimated with a median PFS of 7.5 months and a median follow-up time of 9.2 months, apatinib is a good prospect for prolonging patients’ OS. Finally, due to the insufficient sample size, the safety of the medicine was not well reflected. Roviello et al. reviewed the efficacy and safety of apatinib in gastric cancer, and found that the incidence of AEs in Grade 3–4 was just about 2%.
Conclusion
In conclusion, when apatinib mesylate tablet is used to treat advanced MM at a dose of 500 mg per day, the overall tolerability of the patients is good. From the patients who failed conventional chemotherapy, a relatively ideal therapeutic effect has been observed. The efficacy of further clinical trials is worth considering, and apatinib’s AEs need to be further observed. It is believed that in the near future, apatinib is expected to become a new option for treating patients with advanced MM.
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