Probing the role of aromatic residues in the self-assembly of Aβ(16–22) in fluorinated alcohols and their aqueous mixtures
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1. Introduction

Interactions between aromatic amino acids play crucial roles in protein–protein recognition, ligand binding, structural stabilization and protein folding [1–6]. Interaction between the pairs of three aromatic amino acids F, Y and W in proteins have been documented extensively [6–8]. Stabilizing aromatic interactions have also been observed in short β-hairpin forming peptides [9–11]. However, these β-hairpin forming peptides do not self-assemble. In self-assembling amyloidogenic peptides that have aromatic residues, they appear to play a role in the aggregation process [12–16]. While Aβ(16–22) has the FF pair, the β2m peptide is rich in F and Y [14]. Amyloidogenic peptides are not rich in W. The role of F in the self-assembly of short peptides has been studied extensively [12,15,17,18] but the roles of Y and W are poorly understood. The amino acids Y and W can stabilize β-hairpins in short peptides through stacking interactions [10,11]. Hairpin peptides with W–W and Y–Y cross-strand pairs that do not aggregate, prevent amyloid fibril formation in proteins [19]. It would be of interest to study the role of Y and W in the self-assembly of an amyloid forming sequence under amyloid fibril inducing and inhibiting conditions. Hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP) has been used extensively to dissolve Aβ40, Aβ42 and other amyloidogenic peptides in order to ensure their monomeric status [20–25]. Low HFIP concentrations (≤ 10% v/v) in aqueous conditions promote amyloid fibril formation in Aβ and other amyloid peptides [26–29] whereas higher concentration of HFIP can inhibit fibril assembly or may dissociate pre-existing aggregates of Aβ [26,30]. The solvent trifluoroethanol (TFE) promotes the formation of α-helical and β-hairpin conformation in peptides [31,32]. TFE also induces amyloid fibril formation [27,33–35]. Water having 20% TFE promotes amyloid fibril formation in Aβ, α-synuclein and β2m peptide, presumably due to the presence of dynamic organic solvent clusters [27,33–35]. Mixtures of fluorinated alcohols and water can give useful insights in understanding aggregation pathways and intermediate structures of amyloid assembly in amyloidogenic proteins and peptides.

We have investigated how interaction between the aromatic residues F, Y and W modulate aggregation and morphology of aggregates in the well studied amyloidogenic peptide spanning residues 16–22 of Aβ40, Ac-KLVFAE-amide (AβFF). We have systematically replaced F19, F20 and both the residues in Aβ(16–22) sequence with Y and W generating Ac-KLVFYAE-am (AβFY), Ac-KLVYFAE-am (AβYF), Ac-KLVYAE-am (AβYY), Ac-KLVWAEE-am (AβYW), Ac-KLVYWAEE-am (AβYWY) and Ac-KLVWWAE-am (AβWWW). We have examined the structures formed from solutions in HFIP
and TFE and their 20% aqueous mixtures. In order to understand the effect of dissolution in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), a solvent that prevents aggregation, self-assembly of peptides was investigated in deionized water after direct dissolution in water and dissolution of peptides dried from DMSO stocks.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

9-Fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl (Fmoc) protected amino acids were purchased from Advanced ChemTech (Louisville, KY, USA) and Novabiochem AG (Laufelfingen, Switzerland). Peptide synthesis resin, PAL resin (5-(4-aminomethyl-3,5-dimethoxyphenoxy) valeric acid resin), was purchased from Advanced ChemTech (Louisville, KY, USA). All other reagents and solvents were of highest grade available.

2.2. Peptide synthesis

Peptides were synthesized using standard Fmoc chemistry [36]. The N-terminus was acetylated [37]. The synthesized peptides were cleaved from the resin and deprotected using a mixture containing 92.5% TFA, 5% phenol, 5% H2O, 5% thioanisole, and 2.5% ethanediol for 12–15 h at room temperature [38]. Peptides were precipitated in ice-cold diethyl ether and purified on Hewlett Packard 1200 series HPLC instrument on a reversed phase C18 Bio-Beads column using a linear gradient of H2O and acetonitrile (0–100% acetonitrile) containing 0.1% TFA. Purified peptides were characterized using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry on an Applied Biosystem 4800 instrument at the Proteomics Facility of the Centre for Cellular and Molecular Biology, India. The observed and theoretical (shown in parentheses) m/z values for peptides are as follows: ApfF: 894.57 (894.06), ApfY: 910.44 (910.06), ApfYF: 910.43 (910.06), ApfYV: 926.41 (926.06), ApfYW: 949.40 (949.06), ApfWY: 949.39 (949.06), and ApfY: 972.39 (972.06). After purification, the solvent (H2O–acetonitrile mixture containing 0.1% TFA) was evaporated and the peptides were stored as dry solids. Peptide stock solutions were prepared from the dried solids in HFIP, TFE and DMSO. Concentrations were estimated by diluting the peptides in the respective solvents. The concentrations of peptides were calculated using the molar absorption coefficients of 1280, and 5690 M–1 cm–1 at λ = 280 nm for Y and W, respectively. For ApfFF, a molar absorption coefficient of 286 M–1 cm–1 was used at 254 nm.

2.3. Peptide solutions

All peptide solutions in HFIP and TFE were at a concentration of 1.2 mM. These stock solutions were diluted 5-fold in deionized water to ensure same concentrations for all the peptides in both the aqueous organic mixtures i.e. 20% HFIP and 20% TFE. Similarly, peptide solutions were prepared in DMSO at 1 mM concentrations and solvent was dried in speed vac concentrator. Peptides treated with DMSO and HPLC purified peptides without DMSO treatment were dissolved in deionized water at 0.5 mM concentration.

2.4. Atomic force microscopy

Stock solutions of peptides prepared in HFIP and TFE at 1.2 mM concentration were incubated for two weeks at 25 °C to effect complete dissolution prior to AFM imaging. Peptides (2 μl) were deposited on freshly peeled mica surfaces from HFIP and TFE stocks, and air dried prior to imaging. If aggregates were very dense, peptides were diluted appropriately (2-5 fold) in the same solvent and deposited immediately. The images were acquired using tapping mode AFM (Hydra SPM MultiView 4000, Nanonics Imaging Ltd., Jerusalem, Israel). A glass probe of 10 nm diameter was oscillated at ~34 kHz and images were collected at an optimized scan rate of 5 ms per dot. Images were recorded as 512 × 512 dots per image in X and Y dimension. Analysis was done using WSxM v5.0 Develop 6.3 [39]. All the images were flattened and presented in the height mode.

2.5. Transmission electron microscopy

Peptides dissolved in organic solvents (TFE and HFIP) at 1.2 mM concentration were diluted 5-fold in deionized water (20% organic solvent) and imaged immediately after dilution and after 10 days of incubation at 25 °C. Peptides dissolved in deionized water were imaged after one month incubation at room temperature. Peptide solutions were placed on a carbon-coated Formvar 200-mesh copper grid. After 2 min, solvent was blotted out by touching the Whatman filter paper at peripheral part of the grids. Then, grids were stained with saturated uranyl acetate solution which was blotted out after 30 s. Images were captured using JAM-2100 LabB6 transmission electron microscope (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) at 100 kV. Dimension measurements of structures were done with the help of software digital micrograph (Gatan, Inc.).

2.6. Thioflavin T fluorescence spectroscopy

Thioflavin T (ThT) fluorescence spectra were recorded on Fluorolog-3 Model FL3-22 spectrophluorometer (Horiba Jobin Yvon, Park Avenue Edison, NJ, USA). ThT spectra of samples were recorded in 10 μM ThT solution in 10 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.4. Briefly, peptide solutions were diluted to 10 μM peptide concentrations in 10 mM ThT solution in 10 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.4. Blank ThT spectra were recorded by adding same volumes of cosolvents in 10 μM ThT solution in 10 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.4. The excitation wavelength was set at 450 nm, slit width at 2 nm, and emission slit width at 5 nm.

2.7. CD spectroscopy

Far-UV CD spectra of the peptides were recorded on a Jasco J-815 spectropolarimeter (Jasco, Tokyo, Japan). Spectra were recorded at a concentration of 100 μM. All the spectra were recorded in 0.1 cm path length cell using a step size of 0.2 nm, band width of 1 nm, and scan rate of 100 nm min–1. The spectra were recorded by averaging eight scans and corrected by subtracting the solvent spectra. Mean residue ellipticity (MRE) was calculated using the formula: [θ]MRE=([Mr×θ mdeg]/(100 × I × c)), where Mr is mean residue weight, θ mdeg is ellipticity in millidegrees, I is path length in decimeter, and c is the peptide concentration in mg ml–1.

2.8. Fourier transform IR spectroscopy

FTIR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Alpha-E spectrometer (Bruker Optik GmbH, Ettlingen, Germany) with Eco attenuated total reflection (ATR) single reflection ATR sampling module equipped with ZnSe ATR crystal. Peptides were spread out and dried as films on ZnSe crystal and ATR-FTIR spectra were recorded. Each spectrum is the average of 64 FTIR spectra at a resolution of 4 cm–1. All the spectra were normalized to the scale of zero to one arbitrary absorbance unit to facilitate easy comparison.
3. Results

3.1. Aggregation from HFIP and TFE solutions

Peptides AβFF, AβFY, AβYF, AβYY, AβYW, AβWY, and AβWW dissolved in HFIP at 1.2 mM concentration were imaged by AFM. When highly dense structures from stock solutions were observed, peptide solutions were diluted appropriately (2–5-fold) in the same solvent prior to deposition over freshly peeled mica surface. Stock solutions of AβFF, AβFY, AβYF and AβYY were diluted 5-fold. AβYW and AβWY were diluted 2-fold and AβWWW was not diluted prior to their deposition on mica surface. Fig. 1 shows the AFM images of structures formed when peptides were dried from HFIP stock solutions. Panels A–G represent the images recorded from the peptides AβFF, AβFY, AβYF, AβYY, AβYW, AβWY, and AβWWW, respectively. Height profiles of structures shown below the images represent the structures that come on the black line in the image. Black lines and height profiles were generated using the “profile” tool in WSxM 5.0 software. AβFF self-associates into spherical aggregates of 4–20 nm height. Small spherical aggregates (~4 nm, indicated by arrows) were associated with large spheres (10–20 nm) giving an eyeball-like appearance (Panel A). The spherical structures of both sizes were also present in isolation. Similar eyeball-like structures of 133 ± 43 nm diameter along with smaller spheres (51 ± 43), interpreted as molten particles, were reported for AβFF in 60% methanol (0.1% TFA) at 4 °C [40]. AβFY forms globular and amorphous structures of 3–6 nm height (Panel B). AβYF self-assembles into polymorphic structures that are spherical or spindle shaped aggregates of 10–20 nm height and short cigar-like aggregates (indicated by arrows) of 2–3 nm height (Panel C). Magnified image of short cigar-like structures (< 500 nm in length) is shown in inset in panel C. AβYY forms bead-like linear aggregates of 4–10 nm heights few cigar-like aggregates of 2–3 nm height were also present (indicated by arrows in panel D). AβYW self-associates into polymorphic structures. Oligomeric structures of 2–4 nm height, spherical structures of 8–20 nm height and annular structures of 6–12 nm height were observed (Panel E). Annular structures appear to be formed by association of short fibrillar structures with tapering ends. Annular structures are indicated by arrows in panel E. AβWWW self-associates into ring-like structures of two distinct sizes, larger rings are of 6–8 nm height and 0.5–0.8 µm diameter whereas small rings are of ~2 nm height and 0.2–0.3 µm diameter (Panel F). Small rings are indicated by arrows and a magnified image of 1 µm × 1 µm is shown in inset (panel F). Ring-like structures resulted from the self-assembly of AβWWW are of 4–10 nm height and 0.25–0.8 µm diameter (Panel G), a magnified image of 1 µm × 1 µm is shown in inset. We have reported similar ring-like structures for Aβ1–40, Aβ1–42, Aβ1–43 and Aβ(16–22) peptides [41] upon drying from HFIP stocks. Morphology of the aggregated structures formed after dissolution in HFIP is dependent on the nature of aromatic amino acids in positions 19 and 20 of the Aβ16–22 sequence.

Fig. 2 shows the AFM images of structures formed when peptides were dried from TFE stock solutions (1.2 mM). Peptide stock solutions were diluted 5-fold prior to deposition (2 µL of diluted sample) on mica surface except for AβFY and AβWWW peptide (without any dilution). Panels A–G represent the images recorded from the peptides AβFF, AβFY, AβYF and AβYY, AβYW, AβWY, and AβWWW, respectively. AβFF self-assembles into globular aggregates of height range 5–15 nm (Panel A). Peptide AβFY forms 1–5 µm long thick fibrillar structures of 8–12 nm height (Panel B). These thick fibrillar structures appear to form by lateral association or twisting of thin (2–4 nm height) fibrillar structures. Twisting of thin fibrillar structures and their lateral association are shown by black and white arrows respectively (Panel B). Fibrillar structures of 2–10 nm height and 1–3 µm length were observed in case of AβWF (Panel C). AβYY self-associates into linear structures of ~3 nm height (i.e., 0.5–1 µm) and short cigar-like structures (indicated by arrows) (Panel D). AβYW self-associates into polymorphic structures. Oligomeric structures of 2–4 nm height, spherical structures of 8–20 nm height and annular structures of 6–12 nm height were observed (Panel E). Annular structures appear to be formed by association of short fibrillar structures with tapering ends. Annular structures are indicated by arrows in panel E. AβWWW self-associates into ring-like structures of two distinct sizes, larger rings are of 6–8 nm height and 0.5–0.8 µm diameter whereas small rings are of ~2 nm height and 0.2–0.3 µm diameter (Panel F). Small rings are indicated by arrows and a magnified image of 1 µm × 1 µm is shown in inset (panel F). Ring-like structures resulted from the self-assembly of AβWWW are of 4–10 nm height and 0.25–0.8 µm diameter (Panel G), a magnified image of 1 µm × 1 µm is shown in inset. We have reported similar ring-like structures for Aβ1–40, Aβ1–42, Aβ1–43 and Aβ(16–22) peptides [41] upon drying from HFIP stocks. Morphology of the aggregated structures formed after dissolution in HFIP is dependent on the nature of aromatic amino acids in positions 19 and 20 of the Aβ16–22 sequence.

Fig. 1. AFM images of peptide structures formed upon drying from HFIP stock solutions. Panels A–G represent the images recorded from the peptides AβFF, AβFY, AβYF, AβYY, AβYW, AβWY, and AβWWW, respectively. A magnified image of 1 µm × 1 µm is shown in inset of panels F and G. Height profile of the structures present under the black lines is shown under the respective images.
and curved fibrils of 5–10 and 10–20 nm heights, respectively (Panel D). Curved fibrils have tapering ends and are relatively longer (2–4 μm) than linear structures (1–2 μm). AβYW self-assembles into globular aggregates of 10–40 nm height (Panel E) and fibrillar aggregates of 5–15 nm height (Panel E, shown in inset). Peptide AβWY forms amorphous structures (Panel F). AβWW self-associates into proteofibrillar structures of 3–6 nm height (Panel G).

3.2. Aggregation of peptides in aqueous mixtures of organic solvents

Aggregation behaviour from aqueous solutions was examined by Transmission electron microscopy and ThT fluorescence spectroscopy. Peptides, freshly dissolved in organic solvents (HFIP and TFE), were diluted into deionized water (1:4 v/v) and imaged immediately after dilution and after 10 days of incubation at 25 °C. Peptides imaged from aqueous HFIP stocks at both the time points are shown in Fig. 3. AβFF does not form distinctive structures immediately after dilution from HFIP stock (Panel A). After 10 days of incubation, short fibrillar structures of 150–600 nm length and 15–25 nm diameter were observed. Fibrils originating from globular structures are shown by arrows in panel B. Apart from short fibrillar structures, several micrometers long bundles of fibrillar structures (30–80 nm thick) that appear to form by association of thinner fibrils (8–12 nm) were also observed (shown in inset in panel B). Structures observed for AβFF show very poor enhancement of ThT fluorescence (shown as bars next to panel B) indicating that the structures are not amyloidogenic. AβFY, self-assembles into fibrillar structures with width range in between 10 and 200 nm (Panel C) immediately after dilution from HFIP. After incubation, dense aggregates of fibrillar structures were observed (Panel D). Fibrils formed by AβFY are amyloid in nature as suggested by significant ThT fluorescence enhancement (shown as bars next to panel D). Freshly diluted AβYF self-assembles into several micrometers long ribbon-like structures of 40–100 nm width with irregular twists at 200–800 nm (Panel E). After incubation, ribbon-like structures associate loosely to form higher order structures (rod-like structures of 20–60 nm thickness and 0.2–2 μm length, Panel F). The ribbon-like structures (50–150 nm width and 0.2–2 μm length) were also observed in isolation (inset in panel F). AβYF self-assembles into spherical aggregates of 10–40 nm diameter, and fibrils of less than one μm length and 8–16 nm thickness from freshly diluted stock (Panel G). Fibrils and spherical structures were found in isolation and in close association with each other. At a few places, very large fibrillar structures (several micrometers long and 70–200 nm thick) were observed. These fibrillar aggregates appear to be formed by loose association of thin fibrils (inset in panel G). After incubation, all the spherical structures disappear and very large fibrillar structures (several micrometers long and 70–200 nm thick) emerge that are clearly visible to be formed by loose association of thick fibrils (10–20 nm thickness) (Panel H). Structures observed for AβYF and AβYY show moderate enhancement in ThT fluorescence intensity as compared to AβFY (shown as bars next to panels F and H, respectively). Self-assembled structures from AβYW appeared amorphous when imaged immediately after dilution from HFIP stock or after 10 days of incubation (Panels I and J, respectively). AβYW self-assembles into fibrillar structures of 10–20 nm thickness and 0.2–0.8 μm length (Panel K, immediately after the solution is prepared). The fibrillar structures dissociate and amorphous aggregates were observed after 10 days of incubation (Panel L). Structures observed for AβYW and AβYY show enhancement in ThT fluorescence intensity (shown as bars next to panels J and L, respectively) comparable to AβYF and AβYY. AβWW self-assembles into spherical aggregates of 100–200 nm diameter and amorphous structures in freshly diluted solution (Panel M).
whereas fibrils of 20–100 nm thickness and several micrometers length were observed (Panel N) after 10 days of incubation. Fibrils formed by AβWW are amyloid in nature as suggested by significant ThT fluorescence enhancement.

Peptides were imaged immediately after dilution of TFE stocks into deionized water and after 10 days incubation of diluted stocks at 25 C. TEM imaging from aqueous TFE stocks at both the time points is shown in Fig. 4. AβFF initially forms well defined spherical aggregates (30–50 nm diameter) and weakly stained fibrillar structures (8–12 nm thickness and ~200 nm long) (Panel A). Upon incubation, short fibrillar structures (Panel B, indicated by arrows) form dense clusters which show enhancement in ThT fluorescence. AβFY self-associates into several micrometers long rod-like structures of 10–100 nm width (Panel C). Irregular twists spaced by 100–500 nm length are observed (Panel C, indicated by arrows) in some of the structures. After incubation, several micrometers long distinct fibrillar structures of 10–30 nm thickness are observed (Panel D). Distinctly visible fibrils formed by AβFY showed intense ThT fluorescence as compared to clusters of fibrillar structures observed for AβFF (shown as bars next to panels B and D). AβYF formed helical ribbons of sub-micrometer to several micrometers length and 15–90 nm width with a twist periodicity of ~100–300 nm (Panel E). Apart from helical ribbons, disc-shaped structures of 10–60 nm diameter and thin fibrillar structures (8–12 nm thickness) of less than a micrometer length were also observed from freshly prepared solution. An arrow shows origin of thin fibril from a disc (Panel E). After incubation, the discs disappeared completely and both twisted ribbons along with flat fibrils of several micrometers lengths were observed (Panel F). The fibrillar structures were broader (50–110 nm, indicated by arrows in panel F) compared to the twisted ribbons with periodic twists (20–50 nm), twists repeated after an interval of 300–500 nm. A magnified image of twisted ribbons is shown as inset in panel F. AβYY forms rod-like structures of 10–15 nm diameter and sub-micrometer length (Panel G, indicated by arrows) aggregated to form dense sheet-likes structures when imaged immediately after preparation of solution. Upon incubation, structures appear to disintegrate (Panel H). Structures formed...
by AβYF are amyloid in nature as suggested by significant ThT fluorescence enhancement whereas structures formed by AβYY are not amyloid-like (shown as bars next to panels F and H, respectively). AβYW initially forms spherical (30–50 nm diameter) and amorphous aggregates (Panel I). Upon incubation, ribbon-like structures of 20–200 nm width and several micrometers length were observed (Panel J). AβWY forms spherical structures (100–200 nm diameter), few very broad and long (200–400 nm width and several micrometers long) ribbon-like structures were also observed from freshly diluted stock (Panel K). Upon incubation, several micrometers long fibrils of 10–20 nm diameters were observed (Panel L). AβWW fibrils are amyloid in nature as suggested by significant ThT fluorescence enhancement (bars shown next to panel N).

### 3.3. Aggregation of peptides in deionized water after direct dissolution and dissolution after DMSO treatment

In order to examine the impact of dissolution in DMSO on the self-assembly of peptides, the aggregation behaviour of peptides was examined in deionized water. HPLC purified peptides were dissolved directly in water (DMSO untreated) and peptides dissolved in DMSO were dried and dissolved in water (DMSO treated). Images recorded after one month incubation of DMSO untreated and treated peptides dissolved in water are shown in Fig. 5. Panels A and B represent images recorded from freshly prepared and 10 days incubated solutions are shown in panels A and B, C and D, respectively.

Fig. 4. TEM images and ThT fluorescence spectroscopy of peptides dissolved in 20% TFE. Images recorded from freshly prepared and 10 days incubated solutions are shown in panels A and B, C and D, E and F, G and H, I and J, K and L, and M and N, respectively for the peptides AβFF, AβFY, AβYF, AβYY, AβYW, AβWY, and AβWW, respectively. Scale bars correspond to 500 nm. Enhancements in ThT fluorescence at 482 nm are shown with the images recorded from 10 days incubated peptide stocks. Intensities of ThT fluorescence (AU) at 482 nm were taken from ThT fluorescence spectra recorded from 10 days incubated stocks. BL (blank) represents ThT fluorescence intensity in buffer in absence of peptide and corresponding fluorescence intensities in presence of peptide are presented for easier comparison.
ThT fluorescence is significantly less from DMSO treated peptide as compared to DMSO untreated stock (bars next to panels A and B). The structures observed from aqueous solution after DMSO treatment could be largely nanotubes interspersed with amyloid fibrillar structures. AβFY forms square or rectangular sheets of 0.5–4 μm dimensions with few fibrils of 0.2–1 μm length and 8–20 nm width (fibrils are indicated by arrows, panel C). DMSO treated AβFY forms fibrils of 0.2 to several micrometers length and 8–20 nm thickness (panel D). The fibrillar structures present in panel C cause a small enhancement in ThT fluorescence whereas fibrils formed from DMSO treated peptide showed relatively greater ThT fluorescence (bars next to panels C and D). AβYF self-assembles to form 50–100 nm broad tape-like structures of several micrometers length in both DMSO untreated and treated conditions (panels E and F, respectively). Tape-like structures of AβYF from both the conditions do not show enhancement in ThT fluorescence. AβYY forms cylindrical fibrils of several micrometers length and 20–40 nm width, some fibrils of similar dimensions having regular twists of about 150–200 nm were also present (indicated by arrows, panel G) without DMSO treatment. On DMSO treatment AβYY shows flat fibrils of 40–140 nm width (significantly broader than DMSO untreated) and several micrometers in Fig. 5. TEM images and ThT fluorescence spectroscopy of peptides dissolved in deionized water directly or after DMSO treatment. Images recorded from one month incubated solutions are shown in panels A and B, C and D, E and F, G and H, I and J, K and L, and M and N, respectively for the peptides AβFF, AβFY, AβYF, AβYY, AβYW, AβYF, and AβWW, respectively. Scale bars correspond to 500 nm. Enhancements in ThT fluorescence at 482 nm are shown with the corresponding images. Intensities of ThT fluorescence (AU) at 482 nm were taken from ThT fluorescence spectra recorded from one month incubated stocks. BL (blank) represents ThT fluorescence intensity in buffer in absence of peptide and corresponding fluorescence intensities in presence of peptide are presented for easier comparison.
length (Panel H). ApYY fibrils from DMSO untreated peptide showed intense ThT fluorescence whereas ApYY fibrils from DMSO treated peptide showed relatively poor enhancement of ThT fluorescence.

ApYY forms several micrometers long fibrils of 10–20 nm thickness from both DMSO untreated and treated stocks (Panels I and J, respectively). ApWY also forms long fibrils of 10–20 nm thickness from DMSO untreated stock (Panel K) whereas on DMSO treatment the peptide self-associates into fibrillar structures of 10–20 nm thickness and 100–800 nm length (Panel L). Fibrillar structures formed by ApYY and ApWY from DMSO untreated/ treated peptides dissolved in deionized water are not amyloid in nature as indicated by absence of enhancement in ThT fluorescence. ApWY self-associates into thick (20 nm) fibrillar structures of 200–800 nm length from DMSO untreated stock (Panel M). Several micrometers long fibrils of 10–15 nm thickness were observed from DMSO treated stock of ApWW (panel N). Fibrils formed by ApWW from both DMSO untreated/ treated peptides dissolved in deionized water are amyloids as significant enhancement in ThT fluorescence was observed.

The self-assembled structures observed from peptides directly dissolved in deionized water and dissolved after DMSO treatment are considerably different. Apart from morphological differences, differences in the enhancement of ThT fluorescence are also evident for DMSO treated and untreated peptides. The position of F and Y determine morphology of the structures. While ApFY favours fibril formation when dissolved in water (DMSO treated), ApYF form tape-like structures which do not have cross-β structure. Fibril forming propensity from water is greatest for the ApFY sequence. Structures observed from W-containing peptides directly dissolved in deionized water and dissolved after DMSO treatment have only subtle morphological differences which can be attributed to differences in conformation and/or side-chain packing. Fibrils were formed by all the peptides irrespective of DMSO treatment. The amyloid nature of fibrils in W containing peptides is not dependent on DMSO pre-treatment unlike ApFF, ApFY, and ApYY.

3.4. CD spectroscopy

Far UV CD spectra of peptides dissolved in TFE, HFIP and their dilutions into deionized water (20% organic solvent) were recorded after 2 weeks of incubation at 25 °C. CD spectra of the peptides in the different solvents are shown in Fig. 6. In HFIP and TFE, ApFF shows a positive band centred around 195 nm. A negative band centred at 212 nm with low intensity was observed only in HFIP. In 20% HFIP, a positive band around 192 nm was observed (Panel A). Positive ellipticity bands at 197–200 nm and 218–220 observed in diphenylalanine containing peptides have been assigned to π–π* and n–π* aromatic side-chain electronic transitions, respectively [42–44]. Red shift was reported in CD spectra characteristic of β-sheet conformation peaks (positive peak at 195 nm shifted to 200 nm and negative peak at 216 nm shifted to 226 nm) for Ap(16–22) peptide [45]. In TFE, ApFF shows a broad minimum at ~215–235 nm, an indicative of β-structure. CD spectrum of ApFY in TFE indicates β-conformation (Panel B). Spectra recorded in 20% HFIP suggest the presence of both α-helix and β-structure. In 20% TFE, ApFY adopts β-structure (Panel B). The spectrum of ApFY in 20% TFE shows a prominent negative band that is red-shifted by 5–10 nm from bands characteristic of β-sheet conformation (Panel C). Red-shift of the band in CD spectra as a result of strong aromatic stacking was also evident in Ap(16–22) peptide [45]. In 20% HFIP, the spectrum of ApFY indicates presence of α-helical conformation (Panel C) which could arise due to structural heterogeneity of aggregates. In 20% HFIP, the spectrum of ApYY is characteristic of α-helical conformation (Panel D). CD spectra of ApYW in HFIP and TFE show negative bands at ~220 nm (Panel E). Negative ellipticity at ~220 nm can be attributed to indole β transitions [46]. In 20% HFIP, a minimum at ~205 nm and shoulder at ~220 nm is indicative of α-helical conformation (Panel E). The spectra of ApWW shows a prominent negative band at ~200 nm and cross-over at ~195 nm in TFE and HFIP. In 20% HFIP, the double minima are characteristic of helical conformation (Panel F). The spectra of ApWW show a prominent negative band at ~220 nm in HFIP, TFE and 20% HFIP. In 20% TFE, two negative bands at ~210 and 220 nm of comparable intensities are observed (Panel G). The spectrum indicates multiple conformations. While contributions from aromatic residues can distort CD spectra, it is evident that the peptides tend to adopt ordered conformation in the solvents examined except for ApIF and ApYY which show ordered conformation only in aqueous condition containing 20% HFIP or TFE.

CD spectra of peptides dissolved in deionized water (with or without DMSO treatment) were recorded within 36 h of dissolution. CD spectra are shown in Fig. 7. Spectra of DMSO untreated peptides are represented by continuous lines whereas spectra from DMSO treated peptides are shown by dotted lines. CD spectra of ApFF in both the conditions appear to be largely unordered (Panel A). ApFY shows intense positive bands at 200 nm under both the conditions indicating π-π* transitions (Panel B). The intensity of the bands suggests conformational differences between DMSO treated and untreated samples. CD spectra of ApFY in deionized water (both DMSO treated and untreated) show negative bands at lower wavelengths suggesting largely unordered structure (Panel C). CD spectra of ApFY peptide are completely different in both the conditions. DMSO untreated stock shows a negative band at ~215 nm with low intensity indicating β-structure whereas peptide from DMSO treated stock is characteristic of unordered conformation [47] (Panel D). The spectra of ApWW are characteristic of unordered conformation under both the conditions (Panel E). ApWY shows a broad negative band at ~215 nm in both the conditions suggesting β-structure (Panel F). Positive ellipticity at 226–230 nm could possibly result from Y side-chains [48–50]. ApWW shows negative bands at ~210 nm and a negative band at ~230 nm with lower intensity (Panel G) under both the conditions. The band at ~230 nm could arise due to interaction between W residues as observed in Indolicidin, a W rich antimicrobial peptide [51], and a model cyclic peptide rich in W [52].

3.5. FTIR spectroscopy

Amide I region in FTIR spectra is sensitive to secondary structures of proteins and peptides as it arises from C=O stretching vibration with a small admixture of the NH bending [53]. The peptides were dried on ZnSe crystal from different solvents and ATR–FTIR spectra of dry peptide films were recorded to correlate the peptide secondary structures in the solid state. For easier comparison of peaks, spectra were normalized to a scale of 0–10. Spectra are shown in Fig. 8. Peptides ApFF and ApFY show sharp peaks in amide I region centered at 1626 cm⁻¹ upon drying from HFIP stocks. Peptide solutions dried from TFE, 20% HFIP and TFE in water, are centred at 1625 cm⁻¹ (Panels A and B, respectively). Amide I bands at about 1615–1630 cm⁻¹ have been assigned to β-structure of amyloid fibrils [54]. ApFF structures formed in HFIP and deionized water show significant intensity at 1660–1680 cm⁻¹ which could arise due to TFA salt. A high frequency and low intensity band at about 1685–1695 cm⁻¹ has been assigned to non-fibrillar structures of several proteins and this band disappears upon fibrillation [54]. A low intensity band centered at about 1690 cm⁻¹ for the structures formed by ApFY in all the conditions could possibly result from non-fibrillar structures (Panel B), ApFY shows relatively broader band
in amide I region centered around 1629 cm\(^{-1}\) upon drying from HFIP stock whereas peptide solutions dried from TFE, 20% HFIP, and 20% TFE are centered around 1626 cm\(^{-1}\) (Panel C). A\(\beta\)YY structures from HFIP exhibit an amide band centered at 1631 cm\(^{-1}\) whereas structures from other conditions show bands centered around 1627 cm\(^{-1}\) (Panel D). Peptide A\(\beta\)YW shows sharp peaks in amide I region centered at 1625–1628 upon drying from HFIP, 20% HFIP, TFE, and 20% TFE (Panel E). A\(\beta\)WY shows sharp peaks in amide I region centered at 1630 cm\(^{-1}\) upon drying from HFIP, 20% HFIP, TFE, and 20% TFE, indicating predominant \(\beta\)-structure (Panel F). A\(\beta\)WW shows peaks centered in the range of 1627–1630 cm\(^{-1}\) upon drying from HFIP, 20% HFIP, TFE, and 20% TFE, \(\beta\)-structure from all conditions of dissolution is clearly evident. A prominent band at about 1668 cm\(^{-1}\) indicating \(\beta\)-turn conformation is evident from HFIP and 20% HFIP. Significant intensity at 1685–1695 cm\(^{-1}\) in almost all the spectra could be attributed to the presence of non-fibrillar structures or antiparallel \(\beta\)-sheet structure [54,55]. This data suggest that the structures from all the peptides adopt predominantly \(\beta\)-structure in dry form irrespective of their solvent history. Subtle differences in spectra can be attributed to the differences in the intermolecular hydrogen bond strengths and presence non-fibrillar structures.

4. Discussion

Aromatic interactions play an important role in stabilizing tertiary and quaternary structure of proteins [1,2,7]. The preferred parallel displaced \(\pi\)-stacking interactions are more stable than the T-shaped interactions in isolated dimer pairs of aromatic residues in proteins [56]. Most of the studies on amyloidogenic peptides

---

Fig. 6. CD spectra of the peptides recorded in fluorinated alcohols (HFIP and TFE, continuous and dotted lines, respectively) and their aqueous mixtures (20% HFIP and 20% TFE, dashed and dash-dotted lines, respectively). Panels A–G represent the spectra recorded for the peptides A\(\alpha\)FF, A\(\alpha\)FY, A\(\alpha\)YF, A\(\alpha\)YY, A\(\alpha\)YW, A\(\alpha\)WY, and A\(\alpha\)WW, respectively.
and proteins have highlighted the role of F [57,58], but only few of them discussed the relative preference of F, Y and W in amyloid fibril formation. An appropriate combination of several non-covalent interactions such as aromatic stacking, hydrogen bonding, hydrophobic, electrostatic and van der Walls interactions or even steric interactions are responsible for amyloid formation [45,59–62]. Analogs of Aβ(16–22) peptide have been studied particularly where the F residues have been substituted with F derivatives and other hydrophobic residues [59,60,63]. The results suggested that peptide sequence, secondary structure propensity, aromatic, hydrophobic, and steric considerations play important role in fibril formation. Although a large number of Aβ40 and Aβ42 variants and their fragments have been synthesized, both the F residues have not been replaced by Y or W. To get insights into the relative preference of F, Y, and W in amyloid fibril formation and their positional preference in the Aβ(16–22) sequence, analogs wherein F was substituted with Y and W were synthesized and their aggregation behaviour examined from fluorinated alcohols (HFIP and TFE) and their aqueous mixtures (20% HFIP or TFE in deionized water) under organic solvent cluster forming conditions. Aggregation of peptides in deionized water after direct dissolution and dissolution after DMSO treatment was also examined.

Imaging by AFM shows very different morphologies of aggregates depending on the solvent from which peptides were dried. Results suggest that fibrillar morphology is more pronounced from TFE as compared to HFIP in the case of AβFY, AβYF and AβYY peptides. Though solvent dependent morphological differences are evident, fibrillar structures are not observed for AβFF. All the tryptophan containing peptides (AβYW, AβWY and AβWW) formed ring-like structures from HFIP solutions whereas polymorphic structures were evident from the TFE. The morphology of aggregates does not appear to be dependent on the conformation of peptide sequence.

Fig. 7. CD spectra of the peptides in deionized water upon direct dissolution of peptides (continuous line) and dissolution after DMSO treatment (dotted line). Panels A–G represent the spectra recorded for the peptides AβFF, AβFY, AβYF, AβYY, AβYW, AβWY, and AβWW, respectively.
peptides in solution or in the solid state except for AβFY and AβWW. AβFY adopts unordered and β-structure in HFIP and TFE, respectively which is also reflected in the structures imaged by AFM, as the distinct fibrillar structures were formed from TFE and amorphous aggregates from HFIP irrespective of peptide conformation in solid state. AβWW adopts unordered conformation in both the solvents but the conformations in solid state are different. The rapid evaporation of alcohols on the mica surface would result in favourable hydrophobic interactions and interactions between the aromatic side-chains which can drive the self-assembly of structures. In neat fluorinated organic solvents such as TFE and HFIP, the replacement of hydration shell by alcohol molecules followed by hydrogen bonds can induce secondary structures such as helix or β-hairpin [31,32,64]. We have earlier shown that the ordered ring-like structures are formed upon drying of Aβ(16–22), and Aβ peptides (Aβ40, Aβ42 and Aβ43) from HFIP [41]. Structures observed from HFIP and TFE (except AβFY in TFE) could be similar to intermolecular molten particles where the peptide molecules are not organized into cross-β structures [40] unlike ordered ring-like structures [41].

Aqueous mixtures of HFIP and TFE are known to modulate formation of amyloid fibrils under solvent cluster forming conditions [26,27,30,33–35]. The fibrillar morphologies were more prominent from 20% TFE but the aggregates formed in 20% HFIP showed relatively more intense ThT fluorescence from all the peptides except AβFF. It suggests that cluster forming conditions from HFIP favours amyloid formation as compared to TFE when F is replaced with less hydrophobic aromatic residues. Cluster forming conditions are more
favourable for hydrophobic interactions of peptide side-chains as compared to neat solvents and might favours formation of cross-β aggregates. Our results clearly indicate that the morphology and amyloid nature of aggregates formed in aqueous HFIP are dependent on the sequence of aromatic residues. Fibrils (indicating predominance of cross-β structure) observed only in case of ApβF and ApβWW. Twisted ribbons were observed earlier for Apβ[13–21] K16A peptide [65]. Assembly of nanotubes via twisted and helical ribbon intermediates has been reported for ApβFF under acidic condition [40,66]. Non-amyloid twisted tape-like structures at acidic pH and untwisted tape-like structures at basic pH has also been reported for ApβFF [45]. Our results indicate that the ribbon-like structures could be both amyloid (ApβYF from aqueous TFE and aqueous HFIP) and non-amyloid (ApβYW from aqueous TFE) in nature. Moreover, further assembly of ribbon-like structures can result in to fibrillar structures of either amyloid (ApβYF from aqueous TFE) or non-amyloid nature (ApβYW from aqueous TFE). The dissolution of peptides in DMSO followed by drying and dissolution in water leads to significant differences in the self-assembly as compared to the peptides dissolved in water directly. The difference in the morphology of self-assembled structures and their cross-β nature is more pronounced in the F and Y containing peptides as compared to those having W19, W20, or both the residues. All the peptides having same aromatic residue at both 19th and 20th position formed amyloid fibrils in water indicating the role of same aromatic residue at both the positions for amyloid fibril formation. Moreover, ApβYF form amyloid fibrils showing highest ThT fluorescence intensity as compared to the fibrils formed by other peptides in water despite the least hydrophobic nature of Y side-chains. The modulation of self-assembly by DMSO treatment may be attributed to the dissociation low molecular weight of oligomers that might be present in HPLC purified peptide.

The importance of F19 is crucial in the self-assembly of ApβFF because of possible α-π stacking of the F side-chains establishing inter-strand contacts as reported earlier [60,63,67]. The ability of ApβYW to form amyloid fibrils but not ApβWF indicates the importance of F19 residue in amyloid fibril formation [68]. In this case, stacking of F side-chains at 19th position is possible for both ApβFF and ApβYF whereas stacking between Y side-chains can take place for ApβYF, ApβYF and ApβYW. W19 side-chains can stack in ApβYW and ApβWW peptides. The stacking between F–F, Y–Y and W–W may not be the same because lone electron pair of the OH group can conjugate to the aromatic ring in case of Y and W side-chains are significantly bulkier than both F and Y. The difference in the electronic state of aromatic ring of Y could lead to T-shaped geometry of aromatic rings as opposed to edge to face or parallel geometry of F side-chains [6,58,67,69]. T-shaped interactions could possibly lead to exposure of hydrophobic surfaces. The exposed hydrophobic surfaces can possibly be buried effectively by twisting of the structures [70] as observed in case of ApβYF structures formed under cluster forming conditions. The hydrophobic surfaces could also favour suprastructure of initial assemblies for their effective burial as in case of ApβYF and ApβWW to form amyloid fibrils can be attributed to formation of β-structure in solution. Morphological differences in the structures under different solvent conditions can be attributed to different packing of β-strands or sheets, and inter-strand and inter-sheet side-chain contacts. Amyloid fibril formation is also dependent on the solvent conditions. Amyloid formation propensity of ApβYF is significantly less in water as compared to aqueous mixtures of fluorinated alcohols. ApβYF showed more amyloid formation propensity in water and less in aqueous mixtures of fluorinated alcohols as opposed to ApβYF. There is no significant difference in the propensities of amyloid formation of W containing peptides and ApβYF. The results indicate that not only the aromatic residue at 19th position but also at 20th position plays an important role in the self-assembly and amyloid nature of aggregates.

5. Conclusions

The results described in this paper indicate that the replacement of F by Y and W in Apβ[16–22] sequence clearly modulates amyloid fibril formation, as fibrillar structures of varying morphologies and cross-β structure content were observed. Formation of structures of different morphologies and varying cross-β structure depends upon the solvent conditions, aromatic residues and their positions in the peptide sequence. This could arise due to different strengths of stacking of aromatic side-chains or their packing which could result to different packing of β-strands or sheets, and inter-strand and inter-sheet side-chain contacts.
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