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Abstract
In Ethiopia, soil erosion is the major problem which caused food security problem among rural households. Soil and water conservation is the only way to protect, control and manage soil erosion caused by water, wind and farming practices. In many parts of Ethiopia particularly southern Region many introduced and indigenous soil and water conservation measures were practiced using different approach. But, different indigenous and introduced SWC practices implemented were not assessed, identified and documented well yet. This study identified different indigenous and introduced SWC practices including their implementing system, function, category, and implementing season of each practice. The cropping system and its function, and the limitations of implementing SWC practices were also assessed. The study was undertaken at Layigna Arisho, M/gortanicho kebeles of Wera Zuria district, Tanakaka Umbullo and Kajima Umbullo of Hawassa Zuria district, Tutitti and worabi kebeles of Yirga Chafe district. The results show that at all study kebele’s there was a practice of both indigenous and introduced SWC practices. Indigenous SWC practices are basic for introduced practices. There were different indigenous practices which were practiced at specific district but not at other district.
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1. Introduction
Recently, the depletion of natural resources is among major problems facing humans throughout the world. The International soil Reference and Information Centre (1995) estimated that nine million hectares of the world lands are tremendously degraded and their original biotic functions are severely degraded. Land degradation, which includes degradation of vegetation cover, soil and nutrient depletion, is a major ecological and economical problem in Ethiopia (Haileslassie etal, 2005). High soil erosion rates as a result of steep slopes, continuous encroachment and cultivation of marginal lands, long history of deforestation, over grazing; negative coping strategies, such as the burning of animal dung, extensive use of charcoal, reduced rotation periods are among the major cause of land degradation (Teklu Erkossa et.al., 2002).

Soil erosion is a global environmental problem causing the loss of fertile top soil and reducing the productive capacity of the land and thereby raises the risk of global food security. It causes the loss of agricultural productivity and a decline of water quality. It is estimated that about 10 million ha of cropland is lost in the world due to soil erosion every year and is often a Common occurrence in the Mediterranean and China. The Soil Conservation Research Project (SCRP) has estimated an annual soil loss of about 1.5 billion tons from the Ethiopian highlands. The Ethiopian highlands have been experiencing declining soil fertility and severe soil erosion due to intensive farming on steep and fragile land (Abegaz, 1995). According to the Ethiopian Highlands Reclamation Study (EHRS, 1984) soil erosion is estimated to cost the country 1.9 billion US$ between 1985 and 2010. Soil erosion and nutrient depletion presents a threat to food security and sustainability of agricultural production in many developing countries (Betru, 2003). Ethiopia losses around 2 billion tons of fertile soil and subsequently losses 2% of the annual grain production, which is roughly equivalent to 120, 000 tons of cereal per annum (Mesfin, 2004). According to Mesfin (2004), the annual loss in grain production due to erosion in 2000 was 170,000 tones. This shows the loss of income in terms of lost agricultural production of US $150 million.

Soil conservation is the only known way to protect the productive land (Panda, 2007). It can be undertaken by using and managing the land based on the capabilities of the land itself involving application of the best management practices leading to profitable crop production without land degradation (Panda, 2007).

In Ethiopia, Soil and water conservation activities were started after the famines of 1973 and 1985 with different program launched by government (GOs and NGOs) from which food-for-work program was implemented for long time (Hoben,1996). Following this program, different physical and biological soil and water conservation measures were implemented by community collective action as well as farmers’ personal trial. On the other way, different land enhancing technologies and practices have been introduced by research institutions, extension and other development practitioners in the region (Wagayehu and Lars, 2003).

Indigenous soil and water conservation is the method used by different farmers to facilitate optimum level of
production from a given area of land while keeping soil loss below a critical value. The soil loss tolerance value is defined as the rate of erosion at which soil fertility can be maintained over at least 25 years (Hurni, 1983). Indigenous soil and water conservation practices have very often been ignored or underestimated by development agents, researchers, soil conservationists and government staff (IFAD, 1992). Although the objectives of knowing indigenous soil and water conservation practices give us an understanding of farmers’ way of thinking about the measures (Hudson, 1992). Farmers use a number of indigenous soil and water conservation technologies to prevent the problem of soil erosion. Among these are cut-off-drains, leaving crop residues in the field, distribution of manure, contour farming, fallowing, planting root crops by preparing bunds, tree planting on slope farm, use of trash lines on contour, row planting, alley cropping, intercropping, strip planting, and plantation of Sisal (Agave sisalana Perrine) and euphorbia (Euphorbia classenii) on the farm etc. According to Genene M. and Abiy G. (2014), most of the farmers in south western Ethiopia practices introduced and indigenous soil and water conservation activities like; contour farming, furrow making, residue leaving, agronomic practices, putting trash lines on contour etc.

Appropriate soil and water conservation technologies are those which offer for a given production situation an optimal solution for using the land for sustainable and productive agricultural purposes. Appropriate technologies are not necessarily “simple” technologies. However, in the context of many developing countries, the appropriate technologies will be ones which are not capital-intensive and which use local resources and the existing labor force in an optimal way.

It should be emphasized that before introducing a new technology it is necessary to check whether local soil and water conservation measures already exist and why and how farmers apply these indigenous technologies. If such technologies exist and continue to be applied by farmers, then, providing they have not been introduced and maintained by legal force and state authority, they can be considered successful and on investigation will be found to provide tangible benefits. Understanding the reasons why farmers use such technologies, i.e. the production and conservation benefits they get from them, is the key to the successful introduction of any “new” technology, which must at least match and preferably improve on the benefits to be obtained from the existing ones (CARDI, 2010).

The effect of soil and water conservation measure in reducing soil loss generally varies with soil type, land use, land cover, topography, climate and intensity of the measures. Among the factor major contribution for reducing erosion is from farming system in general and land use land cover specifically. In this regard the major factors are related to every day activity of land owner/farmers. Therefore, they protect their soil indigenously for their crop productivity. Different authors assessed many indigenous soil and water conservation (ISWC) practices that can reduce soil loss however it was not organized as a form of integrating its historical analysis, source, and property, technical social, economical and cultural aspects. So, this project was identified and investigated different Indigenous and introduced that could add value on reducing soil erosion and increasing moisture on farms.

2. Objectives of the study
   - To identify indigenous and introduced SWC practices
   - To describe identified indigenous and introduced soil and water conservation practices
   - To know socio-economic aspects of identified indigenous and introduced SWC Practices
   - To document the identified practices for further reference

3. Methodology
   3.1. Description of study site
   Southern nations, nationalities and peoples region is one of nine regional states of Ethiopia located in south and southwest part. Geographically, it is located in coordinates of 4°27’ and 8°30’ N and 34°21’ and 39°11’E with altitude ranging from 376 to 4207masl and with mean annual temperature ranging from 15°C to 30°C (BoSp, 2004). Wera Zuria, Hawassa zuria and Yirgachafe districts are located in the three central zones of south nations, nationalities and peoples of the region. Geographically, the districts are located in 7.4933°N and 38.1900°E, 07°05.233°N and 038°21.688 E, 38.19042N and 6.13628E respectively. The agro ecologies of Wera zuria, Hawassa zuria and yirgachafe districts are categorized in mid land, dry mid land, and highland respectively. The rainfall pattern of the three districts is Bimodal with minimum rain at dry season and high rainfall in main rain season.
3.2. Data sources and collection methods.
Data were collected from primary and secondary data sources. Primary data were collected from selected farmers of each kebele. Focus group discussions were also made with woreda’s farm and natural resource management office to identify the SWC practices. Agricultural experts from different disciplines were participated on the discussion. Transect walk was also made to identify and describe different indigenous and introduced practices of selected kebeles. Secondary data were collected from regional, zonal and woreda’s farm and natural resource management offices, and different literatures.

3.3. Socio economic aspects of sampled population.
3.3.1. Sex and marital status of households
Out of 60 sampled households from three districts 88.6% were male headed and 11.4% were female headed. Regarding to marital status 90.6% were married, 3.1% single and 6.3% were widowed (Source, survey data 2018).

3.3.2. Age, Education level and family size of respondents
Regarding age and education level of respondents, the minimum age of respondents was twenty five and the maximum age was sixty three (63). The mean age of respondents was 39.7 years with the standard deviation of 7.67. Regarding to education level of respondents 46.7% have reading ability only; 50% of them have both reading and writing ability. Finally, 3.3% cannot have both writing and reading skill. Regarding to the maximum number of family members per household are twelve and the minimum are five.
Table 1: Distribution of respondents by age and education level

| Variables       | Minimum | Maximum | Mean  | Std. deviation |
|-----------------|---------|---------|-------|----------------|
| Age             | 25      | 63      | 39.7  | 7.67           |
| Education level | 0       | 12      | 6.4   | 2.68           |
| Family size     | 5       | 12      | 7.22  | 1.89           |

Source: Survey data, 2019

3.3.3. Land holding condition of respondents

The mean land holding of sampled households was 1.21 hectare with the maximum of 2.88 hectare and minimum of 0.25 hectare. From the total land holding of households 0.20 to 2.50 hectare was covered by crops(cultivated land), 0.01 to 1.50 hectare was grazing land and 0.13 to 1.50 hectare was cultivable land.

Table 2: Distribution of respondents’ landholding by cultivated land, grazing land and cultivable land.

| Variables                  | Minimum | Maximum | Mean  | Std.deviation |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|-------|---------------|
| Cultivated/crop/land coverage(hectare) | 0.20    | 2.50    | 0.87  | 0.54          |
| Grazing land coverage(hectare)          | 0.01    | 1.50    | 0.30  | 0.27          |
| Cultivable land coverage(hectare)       | 0.13    | 1.50    | 0.46  | 3.39          |

Source: survey data 2019.

3.3.4. Income source of sampled households

The average percent share of household income from livestock, crop and off-farm activity was 18.44%, 73.86% and 7.7% respectively. The average land coverage by annual crops was 0.74 hectare with the maximum coverage of 2.50 and minimum coverage of 0.20 hectare. From cultivated land 45.15% was covered by annual crops.

4. Agro-climatic pattern of each sampled districts

4.1. The rainfall pattern of Wera district with respect to months of year.

Farmers of the district produce agricultural crops two rounds per year; namely Belg and Meher production seasons. In average the district receives medium to low rainfall in September and October months; no rainfall at the district faces in November, December, January, February and March months; medium up to low rainfall in April, May and June months. Maximum rainfall at July and August months.(Source, 2018 survey data).

4.2. The rainfall pattern of Hawassa Zuria district with respect to months of year.

There are two agricultural production seasons in the district namely; Meher and Belg production seasons. The area receives minimum rainfall at September and October. no rainfall at November, December, January, February and March months. low to medium rainfall in April and May months. Maximum rainfall in June, July and August months (Source: survey data, 2019).

4.3. The rainfall pattern of Yirgachafe district with respect to months of year.

Similarly, with Wera Zuria and Hawassa Zuria districts yirgachafe district also have two crop production seasons per year. Rainfall at yirgachafe district starts at March and ends at November. The area receives high Rainfall at September, October, and November months; Minimum rainfall at April, May, June, July and August months; no rainfall at December, January February and March months (Source: Yirgachafe Woreda farm and natural resource management office, 2018).

5. Major agricultural crops and their Adaptation practice for erosion control at selected districts.

The three districts were potential areas of crop production. Some of the common crops identified per district are listed below: Teff, maize, wheat, pepper, sorghum, haricot bean, chat cabbage, finger millet (Dagussa) are common crops producing at Wera Zuria district. In other way haricot bean, maize, coffee, inset and pepper are common crops mostly producing at Hawassa Zuria District. Finally, in the case of Yirgachafe district; Barley, Wheat, Pea, Cabbage, Haricot bean, teff and sweat potato are the most commonly producing agricultural crops. Farmers practice different adaptation mechanisms for erosion control when the rain fall distribution of the area’s were maximum or minimum. Some of them are: the practice of early sowing during scare rainfall season, Irrigation practices during rainfall scarcity, use open and close ended tied ridges to conserve water during minimum rainfall, use of cut of drain during excess water, contour terracing, physical soil and water conservation bunds, planting of different plants like: Sugar cane, grass, banana and enset at erosion expected positions (Source: Survey data, 2019).
6. Cropping system and its function at selected districts
Cropping systems like mono cropping and intercropping area were the most familiar cropping systems practiced at three districts. Maize and common bean intercropping system was the dominant one at three districts. The practice of rotational cropping system was common at two districts specially Wera Zuria and Yirgachafe Districts. Majority of land at Yirgachafe District were covered by Agro forestry. Chat with maize production system was dominant in Wera Zuria district. Similarly, there was a practice of coffee and maize Agro forestry system at Hawassa Zuria district. According to the farmers perception there different functions for different cropping systems, for example Intercropping, agro forestry systems can: provide better production, reduce nutrient competition between crops, increase soil fertility and disease control, etc. Crop rotation system results with increased yield, disease reduction, improved soil fertility, etc. Finally, agro forestry system can provide multi-benefit from small plot of land, reduces nutrient competition, improve soil properties and improves land sustainability.

7. Soil Erosion occurrence and mechanisms to know erosion prevalence on the land
All respondents perceived that there was a problem of soil erosion on their land. According to their response, erosion occurs when there was maximum rainfall at summer season and heavy wind intensity at dry season. In other way soil erosion can occur during dry season when the wind condition of the area became heavy. Farmers have their own mechanism to know whether soil erosion was available or not. Some of the mechanisms were; by observing the soil structure, when rocky and stony like structures starts visible on the land, when the root part of the plant starts to be visible, when crop yield reduced, when top soil removed physically, when small rills starts to be formed, when there was displacement of crops/seed from farm field.

8. Common indigenous soil and water conservation practices.
Indigenous soil and water conservation practices are traditional or innate soil and water conservation techniques farmers use to control soil erosion. Apart from the exotic soil and water conservation techniques, indigenous soil and water conservation activities have been implemented by individual farmers for the aim of mitigating soil erosion, conserving soil moisture and increasing soil fertility at household farm level. Indigenous SWC practices of the study areas are described as follows:-

Contour farming
In a sense contour farming is the farming practice which is important to conserve soil. The practice was applicable at the three districts indigenously by farming the land horizontally along the contour at sloppy lands. In soil conservation point of view the farming system was practiced in order to increase soil infiltration rate, to manage slope difference, to minimize runoff velocity and to harvest water on furrows. Source: Survey data, 2019.

Manure/compost application
Collection of Animals dung like cattle’s, goats, horses, donkey and sheep near the farmer’s house was common at the three districts. They use collected animal dung on cropping lands as an organic fertilizer. Some farmers at Layigna Arisho, Kajima Umbullo and Tuttiti kebeles collects green plant remaining, ash, remains of animal food and garbage collectively to use on crop lands. The practice was applicable indigenously because it was easy to do, increase soil fertility, increase crop production and better top soil resistance against erosion. Source: Survey data, 2019.

Mulching
The practice of covering soil surface by stubbles(stubble mulching), plant residues and plant remains/straw was common at Layigna Arisho, Kajima Umbullo, Worabi, Tuttiti and Tankaka Umbullo Kebeles. According to the farmers perception the practice Increase soil infiltration rate, improves soil property, conserve and retain water, reduces raindrop effect on soil. Source: survey data, 2019.

Tree planting
This system was practiced at all kebeles of the study area. Farmers plant different provenances of trees on abandoned, grazing or common lands to rehabilitate the area. In other way they also plant trees on sloppy lands to reduce runoff velocity and stabilize the lands. Source: survey data, 2019.

Enset, Banana and Sugarcane planting
Planting of Enset, Banana and Sugarcane is common at the study areas, especially at Tanakaka Umbullo, Kajima Umbullo, Tutitti and worabi kebeles. Farmers of those kebeles plant those crops at eroding direction to minimize runoff velocity and stabilize the land. The farmers at Tanakaka Umbullo, Kajima Umbullo also uses the crops for gully rehabilitation and plant those crops at the top of crop land to protect the land from erosion. Source: survey data, 2019.

Crop rotation
According to the respondents crop rotation/alternative cropping system was common at the three study areas. The farmers of the areas could plant one crop type at specified season then the other crop type at the next cropping season of the year. At Layigna Arisho and Mirab Gortanicho kebeles they could plant maize, common bean and
potato solely in belg season, then in the same land they could plant potato, maize/sorghum and wheat in mehar season respectively. Similarly, at Kajima Umbullo and Tankaka Umbullo kebeles farmers could plant maize crop at specified plot of land in belg season then they could plant common bean in meher season at the same plot of land. Farmers of the study areas practice this cropping system indigenously; to increase crop to grain yield, to reduce disease, to minimize soil degradation and improves soil quality. (Source: survey data: 2019).

**Intercropping**
Cropping two different annual crops on the same plot of land in the same cropping season was common at the three districts. For example: combined planting of maize with common bean, sorghum with common bean on the same plot of land were familiar at kajima umbullo, tankaka umbullo, layigna arisho kebeles and M/gortanicho kebeles. Farmers of Tuttiti and Worabi kebeles practiced planting of maize with pea, maize with bean on the same plot of land. They practiced this cropping system indigenously; to get two benefits/outputs from specific land at the same season, to reduce nutrient competition between crops, to reduce disease, to improve soil properties and to protect soil quality. (Source: survey data: 2019).

**Agro forestry**
Farmers of the study areas practiced the planting of annual crops inside perennial trees on specified plot of land. Especially, At Tuttiti and Worabi kebeles the were the areas from well known agro forestry system practiced areas of the region .They plant enset, maize, pea, bean, barley, wheat and others inside perennial trees. According to the respondent’s attitude the farming system were practiced; to provide two or more outputs, to reduce nutrient competition, to reduce disease, to improve soil nutrient content and to increase land productivity. (Source: survey data: 2019).

![Agro-forestry practice at Tuttiti kebele, yirgachafe district](image)

**Traditional pit**
This practice implies indigenous preparation of different water harvesting small traditional pits inside the coffee farm. At Tuttiti and Worabi kebeles the preparation of small traditional pits which harvest in-situ water inside coffee farm was common. The farmers of the area practiced this system due to the following purposes; to increases soil infiltration rate, conserve water, increase ground water potential and to improve water availability for crops like coffee. (Source: survey data: 2019).

**Fallowing**
When the production capacity of the land starts decline from year to year, the farmers could fallow their agricultural land for one or more years indigenously. This practice was common at Tuttiti and Worabi kebeles. Farmers of the areas practice this system due to the following purposes; to prevent disease, to improve soil nutrients content, to improve soil sustainability and increases land productivity. (Source: survey data: 2019).

**Cut off drain**
This system implies the practice of preparing of water flow furrow to remove excess water from the farm field. Farmers of the of Tuttiti, Worabi, Layigna Arisho and M/gortanicho kebeles practiced this technique indigenously to remove excess water from their farm field. According to the respondents, they practiced the technique because; it helps for easily remove excess water from their farm field without affecting the soil and crop, it protects the land from serious erosion by safe removal of water, it protect the land from water logging and siltation problems.(Source: survey data: 2019).
Table 3: Summary of identified indigenous soil and water conservation practices of the study areas.

| No. | Name of SWC practices | Kebeles | Local name | Implementing system | Function of practice | SWC category | Implementing season |
|-----|-----------------------|---------|------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 1.  | Napier grass planting | Layigna Arisho and M’goritanicho | Duffa kaasu | Planting | Reduces rain drops impact on soil by interception. Controls soil erosion by conserving water and reducing runoff velocity. | Biological SWC practice | At wet/ summer season. |
| 2.  | Banana, enset and sugarcane planting. | Layigna Arisho and M’goritanicho | Muza, weesita shonkora Kaasu | Planting of banana plant at erosion prone or runoff flow areas. | Used as a barrier for eroding water flow. Reduce runoff flow velocity. Stabilize the land. | Biological SWC practice | Wet/Summer season. |
| 3.  | Manuring | Layigna Arisho and M’goritanicho | Shalla uju | Applying organic manure on farm lands. | Retain water. Improve soil nutrient and increase soil productivity. Increase infiltration rate. | Agronomic SWC practice | Wet and dry seasons. Before crop planting. |
| 4.  | Contour farming | Layigna Arisho and M’goritanicho | Zaabe hoguta | Farming/ploughing the land across the slope along the contour. | Reduce erosion rate and runoff velocity. Conserve water. Facilitate soil infiltration rate. Manage slope difference. | Agronomic SWC practice | During farming season. |
| 5.  | Mulching | Layigna Arisho and M’goritanicho | Dona Dba | Leaving different crop residues/remains on farm lands. | Increase soil infiltration rate. Improves soil property. Conserve and retain water. Reduces raindrop effect on soil. | Agronomic SWC practice | Wet and dry season. |
| 6.  | Brush wood check dam | Layigna Arisho and M’goritanicho | Kitir/haqi ribrabuta | Placing different stem parts of tree cut inside gullies and rills. | Used as a barrier for water flow on the gully. Reduce runoff velocity and others. | Mechanical SWC practice | Dry/off season. |
| 7.  | Intercropping | Layigna Arisho and M’goritanicho | Lammuta | Cropping two different annual crops on the same plot of land at the same season. Example: maize and common bean. | Two benefits/outputs at the same season. Reduced nutrient competition between two different crops. Reduced disease. Improves soil properties. Protect soil quality. | Agronomic SWC practice | Wet/Planting season |
| 8.  | Crop rotation | Layigna Arisho and M’goritanicho | Doransa. | Cropping of one crop at one season and other at the next season at the same plot of land. | Reduces disease. Increases crop yield. Improves soil quality. | Agronomic SWC practice | Planting season. |
| 9.  | Plantation of Sisal (Agave sisalana Perrine). | Kajima Umbullo and Tankaka Umbullo | Argissa/Kanche | Planting of sisal at erosion prone areas. | Stabilize the land. Reduce runoff velocity. | Biological SWC practice | Wet/summer season. |
| 10. | Tree planting | Layigna Arisho and M’goritanicho | Haqa kaasu | Planting of different tree species on sloppy and degraded lands. | Stabilize the land. Rehabilitate the area. Reduce runoff velocity. Improves soil erodibility. | Biological SWC practice | Wet/summer season. |
| No. | Name of SWC practices | Kebeles | Local name | Implementing system | Function of practice SWC category | Implementing season |
|-----|-----------------------|---------|------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| 11. | Agro forestry         | Worabi and Tutitti | Timir Dan | Planting of different annual crops inside the perennials. Example: maize and coffee, Maize and warka tree. | Provide two or more outputs. Reduce nutrient competition. Reduce disease. Improve soil nutrient content. Increase land productivity. | Biological SWC practice. Wet/summer season |
| 12. | Traditional pit.      | Worabi and Tutitti | Gudguard | Preparation of different water harvesting small traditional pits inside the coffee farm. | Increases soil infiltration rate. Conserve water. Increase ground water potential. Improves water availability for crops like coffee. | Physical SWC practice. All seasons. |
| 13. | Cut off drain.        | Layigna Arisho and M’gortanicho | Zoofi Boyita. | Farmers prepare drainage way/cut off drain to remove excess water from farm field at sloppy areas. | It helps for easily removal of excess water from the farm field without affecting the soil and crop. It protects the land from serious erosion by safe removal of water. It protect the land from water logging and siltation problems. | Physical SWC practice. Summer/rainy season. |
| 14. | Fallowing.            | Worabi and Tutitti | Masadar | Staying the land for one or two years without crop production/farming. | Prevent disease. Improve soil structure and nutrients. Improves soil sustainability. Increases land productivity. | Agronomic SWC practice. All seasons. |

Source: survey data, 2019.

9. **Common introduced soil and water conservation practices at the study areas.**

Introduced soil and water conservation practices are modern practices gained by training or help of agricultural experts. The practices may include physical/mechanical, Biological and Agronomic soil and water conservation measures (survey data, 2019). Introduced soil and water conservation techniques have been implemented by government organization particularly by the regional Bureau of Agriculture, starting from region to district level. Moreover, various nongovernmental organizations including bilateral and multi -lateral organizations have implemented soil and water conservation techniques in the highly degraded areas to halt the rapidly increasing land degradation specifically soil erosion.

**Soil bund**

According to the data collected from all study districts farm and natural resource development offices, soil bund was introduced to all kebeles of each district. Mainly this structure was constructed by public complain each year. It was done by designing and excavating a line between two points along the contour and throwing the excavated soil below the ditch. This structure was constructed on the lands with the slope of 3-30%. It was constructed by 10m length, 30-70cm width, 30-60cm depth, 20-25cm burn width, 40-60 embankment height and 30-50cm embankment width. This was done; to conserve moisture, to increase soil infiltration rate, to reduce erosion by minimizing runoff velocity and harvesting water on the ditch. (Source: survey data: 2019).
Figure 2: soil bund constructed and stabilized on farm lands at Tuttiti Kebele

**Fanaju bund**
This bund also was constructed on farm lands by watershed management public complain work. It was done by designing and excavating a line between two points along the contour and throwing the excavated soil above the ditch. This structure was constructed on the lands with the slope of 3-50%. The dimensions were similar with soil bund that means it was 10m length, 30-70cm width, 30-60cm depth, 20-25cm burnm width, 40-60 embankment height and 30-50cm embankment width. This was done; to conserve moisture, to increase soil infiltration rate, to reduce erosion by minimizing runoff velocity and harvesting water on the ditch. (Source: survey data: 2019).

Figure 3: Fanaju bund constructed on farm lands at Tuttiti kebele

**Trench bund**
Trenches were constructed by public complain and by individual farmers. It was constructed along the contour. The lengths of trenches were not more than 2-5m and the depth of each trench were not less than 50cm. At Tuttiti, Worabi and Layigna Arisho kebeles different tree species were planted to stabilize the bund and the area, but at some areas the planted trees were dried. This was done in order to conserve moisture conservation, to harvest runoff water, to rehabilitate degraded and closure areas, to increase ground water potential, to increase soil infiltration rate and improve crop water availability. (Source: survey data: 2019).

**Tied ridges**
There was a practice of preparing water conserving ditches with ties which connects the ditches. The practice was applied on maize and sorghum farm especially at Layigna Arisho and M/gotianicho kebeles. This was done to increase water availability for crop, to increase soil infiltration rate and conserve in-situ water. (Source: survey data: 2019).

**Sand filled check dam**
In some extent SWC technique was applied at Layigna Arisho, M/goritanicho, Tankaka Umbullo and Kajima unbullo to rehabilitate gullies. This practice was done by filling sacks with sand/soil and then constructing it horizontally as a barrier for runoff on the gully. It was done to control gully erosion and to use as barrier for run off on the gully. (Source: survey data: 2019).
Gully rehabilitation practice implemented at Layigna Arisho kebele by using sand filled sacks

Gabion
This gully control measure was applied at Layigna Arisho, Kajima umbullo and Tankaka umbullo kebeles to rehabilitate large gullies. It was constructed by using stone and gabion mesh horizontally on the gullies. The implementation of this practice was to rehabilitate large gullies, to reduce run off velocity and use as a barrier for runoff on the gully. (Source: survey data: 2019).

Farm pond and Improved pit
Farm pond implies the construction of water harvesting pond inside or near to farm field. In some extent this
practice was applied at Layigna Arisho and M/gortanicho kebeles by government support. This was done to animal watering, irrigate crops at dry season and to reduce water scarcity. In other way, improved pit was practiced by excavating a pit with the specified size which means 50cm width and 50cm depth at Tuttiti and Worabi kebeles. It helps to improve crop water availability especially for coffee, conserve in-situ and flowing water. (Source: survey data: 2019).

**Microbezin and eyebrow bezin**

These Physical SWC practices were applied at Tankaka Umbullo and Kajima Umbullo kebeles. The structures were constructed in half moon shape along the contour, at communal lands and closure areas. These were done to conserve/harvest water, to improve water availability for tree planted on the structure, to reclaim degraded area. (Source: survey data: 2019).

**Grass Strip**

Planting of grasses horizontally on farm lands along the contour was common at Kajima Umbullo kebele. Both elephant and desho grasses were used to plant grass strip on the farm land to control erosion. According to the respondents the practice was important to control soil erosion by reducing rain drops effect and reducing runoff velocity. In addition to erosion controlling effect it was good source of forage for animals. (Source: survey data: 2019).

![Figure 6: Elephant grass strips planted at tankaka umbullo kebele, Hawassa Zuria district.](image)

### Table 4: Summary of identified introduced soil and water conservation practices of the study areas.

| No. | Name of SWC practice | Kebeles | Local name | Implementing system | Function | SWC category | Implementing season |
|-----|----------------------|---------|------------|---------------------|----------|--------------|-------------------|
| 1.  | Soil bund            | Layigna Arisho and M/gortanicho | Kaba       | It was constructed by digging a line between two points which have equal elevation and throwing the excavated soil below the ditch. | - It helps to conserve soil moisture.  
- Reduce erosion by minimizing runoff velocity and harvesting water on the ditch.  
- Improve infiltration rate of soil. | Physical SWC practice. | Dry season |
|     |                      | Kajima Umbullo and Tankaka Umbullo | Irkan      |                     |          |              |                   |
|     |                      | Tuttiti and worabi                 | Irkan      |                     |          |              |                   |
| 2.  | Fanaju bund          | Layigna Arisho and M/gortanicho   | Kaba       | It was constructed designing and digging a line along the contour by throwing excavated soil above the ditch. | - It helps to conserve soil moisture.  
- Reduce runoff velocity and conserve water.  
- Increase soil infiltration rate and increases ground water potential. | Physical SWC practice. | Dry season |
|     |                      | Kajima Umbullo and Tankaka Umbullo | Irkan      |                     |          |              |                   |
|     |                      | Tuttiti and worabi                 | Irkan      |                     |          |              |                   |
The physical structures done by public campaign were not designed and excavated appropriately. The structures maintenance was not undertaken at regular time. Some of the bunds were not stabilized by biological stabilizers.

Goritanicho was for the search of cropping land. In addition to that during transect walk we have observed different conservation practices. The developments agents also indicate that the destruction of the structures at Mirab 10.

Source: survey data, 2019.

| No. | Name of SWC practice | Kebeles | Local name | Implementing system | Function | SWC category | Implementing season |
|-----|----------------------|---------|------------|----------------------|----------|--------------|---------------------|
| 3.  | Trench              | Layigna Arisho and M/ gortanicho | Trencha | It was constructed at different communal lands and closure areas by excavating a ditch with 2-5m length along the contour. | - Harvest and control runoff water.  
- Increase ground water potential.  
- Helps to rehabilitate degraded lands.  
- Helps to conserve soil moisture, etc. | Physical SWC practice. | Dry season |
|     |                      | Kajima Umbullo and Tankaka Umbullo | Trench  |                      |          |              |                     |
|     |                      | Tutiti and worabi                  |         |                      |          |              |                     |
| 3.  | Tied ridge          | Layigna Arisho and M/ gortanicho | tayrage | Constructed to harvest water and improve water availability for crops in farm field. | - Improves water availability for crops.  
- Conserve in situ-water.  
- Increases soil infiltration rate. | Physical SWC practice. | Wet season |
|     |                      | Kajima Umbullo and Tankaka Umbullo |         |                      |          |              |                     |
|     |                      | Ashawa gortanicho                  |         |                      |          |              |                     |
| 4.  | Sand filled checkdam | Layigna Arisho and M/ gortanicho | Ashawi kantuла | It was done by filling sacks with sand/soil and placing different sand filled sacks on the gullies. | - It helps to rehabilitate large gullies erosion.  
- It used as a barrier for run off on the gully. | Physical/mechanical SWC practices. | Dry season. |
|     |                      | Kajima Umbullo and Tankaka Umbullo | Ashawa ogoro wonisha |                      |          |              |                     |
| 5.  | Gabion              | Layigna Arisho                     | gabun   | It was constructed by using gabion mesh and stone on large gullies. | - It helps to rehabilitate large gullies.  
- It reduces run off velocity and used as a barrier for runoff on the gully. | Physical/mechanical SWC practices. | Dry season. |
|     |                      | Kajima Umbullo and Tankaka Umbullo | gabonete |                      |          |              |                     |
| 6.  | Farm pond           | Layigna Arisho and M/ gortanicho | Haro/Kure | Constructed near to farm field to irrigate crops during scarce rainfall. | - It helps to irrigate crops at dry season.  
- Reduces water scarcity. | Physical/mechanical SWC practices. | Dry season. |
| 7.  | Improved pit        | Tutiti and worabi                  | Gudguad | Excavating a pit with the specified size (50x50cm) inside coffee farm. | - It helps to conserve in-situ water.  
- It improves crop water availability especially for coffee. | Physical SWC practice. | Wet and dry seasons. |
| 8.  | Grass strip         | Kajima Umbullo                     | Hayiso  | Planting of elephant and desho grass horizontally along the contour on farm lands. | - It helps to control soil erosion by reducing rain drops effect and reducing runoff velocity.  
- In addition to erosion controlling effect it is forage for animals. | Biological SWC practice. | Wet season |
| 9.  | Micro bezin and Eyebrow bezin | Kajima Umbullo and Tankaka Umbullo | - | It was constructed in half moon shape along the contour. | - It helps to conserve/harvest water.  
- It improves water availability for tree planted on the structure.  
- It is important to reclaim degraded area. | Physical/mechanical SWC practices. | Dry season. |

Source: survey data, 2019.

10. Limitations in practicing soil and water conservation activities of study area.
During transect walk with development agents we observed various site specific challenges with soil and water conservation activities. At Mirab Gortanicho kebele, Layigna Arisho, Tankaka Umbullo and Kajima Umbullo Kebeles we observed many destructed structures which were constructed by free community participation each year. The respondents indicate that the farmers were not aware about the effect of physical soil and water conservation practices. The developments agents also indicate that the destruction of the structures at Mirab Gortanicho was for the search of cropping land. In addition to that during transect walk we have observed different physical structures done by public campaign were not designed and excavated appropriately. The structures maintenance was not undertaken at regular time. Some of the bunds were not stabilized by biological stabilizers.
However, most farmers did not practice technically sound soil and water conservation activities due to social, economical, environmental and political factors. Some of the major factors reported were: Land size: it is closely associated with soil fertility and soil erosion perception of farmers thus farmers with large farm holding perceive soil erosion better than those with smaller one. They practice traditional fallowing and also allot enough plot of grazing land for their cattle that help to mitigate soil erosion and fertility depletion.

11. Conclusion and Recommendation
From this study we conclude and recommend that:

1. Some physical structures implemented lacks quality and were not designed according to the SWC principle.
2. Indigenous SWC practices are basic for introduced practices. There were different indigenous practices
which were practiced at specific district but not at other district. So, all stake holders’ bodies should promote to another areas.

3. There is a gap on implementation, evaluation, regular maintenance and management works of physical structures. So, the task needs serious attention.

4. Different research and Pre-scaling up works on soil and water conservation needs be done to further aware, familiarize and reduce deliberate destruction of bunds by land owners.
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