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Abstract In this paper we study the statistical properties of convex hulls of $N$ random points in a plane chosen according to a given distribution. The points may be chosen independently or they may be correlated. After a non-exhaustive survey of the somewhat sporadic literature and diverse methods used in the random convex hull problem, we present a unifying approach, based on the notion of support function of a closed curve and the associated Cauchy’s formulae, that allows us to compute exactly the mean perimeter and the mean area enclosed by the convex polygon both in case of independent as well as correlated points. Our method demonstrates a beautiful link between the random convex hull problem and the subject of extreme value statistics. As an example of correlated points, we study here in detail the case when the points represent the vertices of $n$ independent random walks. In the continuum time limit this reduces to $n$ independent planar Brownian trajectories for which we compute exactly, for all $n$, the mean perimeter and the mean area of their global convex hull. Our results have relevant applications in ecology in estimating the home range of a herd of animals. Some of
these results were announced recently in a short communication [Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 140602 (2009)].
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1 Introduction

Convex sets are defined by the property that the line segment joining any two points of the set is itself fully contained in the set. In the physical world, convex shapes are encountered in many instances, from convex elements that ensure acoustic diffusion in concert halls [133], to crystallography, where the so-called Wulff construction leads, in the most general case, to a convex polyhedron whose facets correspond to crystal planes minimizing the surface energy [52]. Also, recent work in neuroscience indicates that the human brain seems better able to distinguish between two distinct shapes when these are both convex [80], which is particularly interesting since convexity properties are widely used in computer-aided image processing, in particular for pattern recognition [5]. Such applications would be limited if they were restrained to intrinsically convex shapes; but it is not the case, since it is possible to "approximate", in some sense, a non-convex object by a convex one: pick, among all convex sets that can enclose a given object, the smallest one in terms of volume. This is called its convex hull, and comparing convex hulls can be a viable mean of comparing the shapes of complex patterns such as proteins and docking sites [117]. Convex hulls thus attract much interest, both for the algorithmic challenges set by their computation [17, 51, 53, 74, 83, 88, 121, 142, 152, 158] and for their applications [5, 117, 145, 159, 160].

Random convex hulls are the convex hulls of a set of \( N \) random points in a plane chosen according to some given distribution. The points may be chosen independently each from an identical distribution, e.g., from a uniform distribution over a disk. Alternatively, the points may actually be correlated, e.g., they may represent the vertices of a planar random walk of \( N \) steps. For each realization of the set of points, one can construct the associated convex hull. Evidently, the convex hull will change from one realization of points to another. Naturally, all geometric characteristics of the convex hull, such as its perimeter, area, the number of vertices etc. also become random variables, changing their values from one realization of points to another. The main problem that we are concerned here is to compute the statistics of such random variables. For example, given the distribution of the points, what is the distribution of the perimeter or the area of the associated convex hull? It turns out that the computation of even the first moment, e.g., the mean perimeter or the mean area of the convex hull is a nontrivial problem.

This question has aroused much interest among mathematicians over the past 50 years or so, and has given rise to a substantial body of literature some of which will be surveyed in Section 2. The methods used in this body of work turn out to be diverse and sometimes specific to a given distribution of points. It is therefore important to find a unified approach that allows one
to compute the mean perimeter and area, both in the case of independent
points as well as when they are correlated such as in Brownian motion. The
main purpose of this paper is to present such an approach. This approach is
built on the works of Takács [151], Eddy [54] and El Bachir [58] and the main
idea is to use the statistical properties of a single object called the ‘support
function’ which allows us, using the formulae known as Cauchy, Cauchy-
Crofton or Cauchy-Barbier formulae [7, 11, 37, 43, 137, 154], to compute the
mean perimeter and the mean area of random convex hulls. This unified
approach allows us to reproduce the existing results obtained by other diverse
approaches, and in addition also provides new exact results, in particular for
the mean perimeter and the mean area of $n$ independent planar Brownian
motions (both for open and closed paths), a problem which has relevant
applications in ecology in estimating the home range of a herd of animals.
The latter results were recently announced in a short Letter [124].

Our unified approach using Cauchy’s formulae also establishes an im-
portant link to the subject of extreme value statistics that deals with the
study of the statistics of extremes in samples of random variables. In the
random convex hull problem when the sample points are independent and
identically distributed (i.i.d) in the plane, then the associated extreme value
problem via Cauchy’s formulae is the classical example of extreme value
statistics (EVS) of i.i.d random variables that is well studied, has found a
lot of applications ranging from climatology to oceanography and has a long
history [40, 69, 76, 77]. For example, in the physics of disordered systems the
EVS of i.i.d variables plays an important role in the celebrated random energy
model [20, 49]. In contrast, when the points are distributed in a correlated
fashion, as in the case where they represent the vertices of a random walk,
our approach requires the study of the distribution of the maximum of a set
of correlated variables, a subject of much current interest in a wide range of
problems (for a brief review see [110]) such as in fluctuating interfaces [32, 78,
79, 79, 105, 106, 122, 125, 139], in logarithmically correlated Gaussian random
energy models for glass transition [36, 63, 64], in the properties of ground state
energy of directed polymers in a random media [46, 50, 85, 96, 108, 147] and the
associated computer science problems on binary search trees [14, 89, 109] and
the biological sequence matching problems on binary search trees [14, 89, 109] and
the biological sequence matching problems [112], in evolutionary dynamics
and interacting particle systems [15, 91, 111, 134, 148], in loop-erased random
walks [4], in queueing theory applications [86], in random jump processes
and their applications [39, 41, 107], in branching random walks [23, 27, 116],
in condensation processes [59], in the statistics of records [70, 90, 97, 115] and
excursions in nonequilibrium processes [65, 71, 146], in the density of near-
extreme events [135, 136], and also in various applications of the random
matrix theory [19, 47, 48, 92, 104, 114, 119, 140, 153, 155]. Here, our approach
establishes yet another application of EVS, namely in the random planar convex
hull problem.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we provide a non-exhaustive
survey of the literature on random convex hulls. In Section 3, we introduce
the notion of the ‘support function’ and the associated Cauchy formulae for
the perimeter and the area of any closed convex curve. This section also es-

tablishes the explicit link to extreme value statistics. We show in Section 4
how to derive the exact mean perimeter and the mean area of the convex hull of \(N\) independent points using our approach. Section 5 is fully devoted to the case when the points represent the vertices of a Brownian motion, a case where the points are thus correlated. Finally we conclude in Section 6 with some open questions. Some of the details are relegated to the Appendices.

2 A (non-exhaustive) review of results on random convex hulls

In this section we briefly review a certain number of results on the convex hull of randomly chosen points. This review is of course far from exhaustive and we choose only those results that are more relevant to this work. They are presented in a chronological order and at the end of the section we summarize in a table the results that are particularly relevant to the present work.

– In his book on random processes and Brownian motion (published in 1948) [101], P. Lévy mentions, in a few paragraphs and mainly heuristically the question of the convex hull of planar Brownian motion: "This contour [that of the convex hull of planar Brownian motion] consists, except for a null-measure set, in rectilinear parts."

– More than ten years later, in 1959, J. Geffroy seems to be the first to publish results pertaining to the convex hull of a sample of random points drawn from a given distribution [66], specifically \(N\) points chosen in \(\mathbb{R}^2\) according to a Gaussian normal distribution \(f\). He shows that if one denotes

- by \(\partial C_N\) the boundary of the convex hull of the sample,
- by \(\Sigma_N\) the ellipsoid given by the equation \(f(x, y) = \frac{1}{N}\),
- by \(\Delta_N\) the distance between \(\partial C_N\) and \(\Sigma_N\),
- and by \(\Lambda_N\) the largest possible radius of an open disk whose interior lies inside the convex hull but contains none of the sample points,

then almost surely:

\[
\Delta_N \text{ and } \Lambda_N \rightarrow 0 \quad (N \rightarrow \infty)
\]

In other words, the convex hull of the sample "tends" to the ellipsoid given by \(f(x, y) = \frac{1}{N}\). Geffroy generalizes this result to \(\mathbb{R}^d\), \(d \geq 1\), in 1961 [67].

1 For instance, in the Gaussian case:

\[
f(x, y) = \exp\left( -\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2} \right),
\]

and the ellipsoid is simply the circle centered on the origin with radius \(\sqrt{2 \log N}\).

We shall see further on how one can obtain directly the asymptotic behaviour of the perimeter of the convex hull in the case of \(n\) points chosen at random in the plane according to a Gaussian normal distribution. It is given by:

\[
\langle L_N \rangle \sim 2\pi \sqrt{2 \log N},
\]

in complete agreement with Geffroy’s result.
In 1961 F. Spitzer and H. Widom study the perimeter $L_N$ of the convex hull of a random walk represented by sums of random complex numbers $S_0 = 0, \cdots, S_k = Z_1 + Z_2 + \cdots Z_k, 1 \leq k \leq N$, where the $Z_k$ are i.i.d. random variables. By combining an identity discovered by M. Kac with a formula due to A.-L. Cauchy (which is used here for the first time in the context of random convex hulls), they derive an elegant formula for the expectation [150]:

$$E(L_N) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{E(|S_k|)}{k}.$$  

(2)

The asymptotic behaviour of $E(L_N)$ is studied in two different cases:

1. Writing $Z_k = X_k + iY_k$ and taking $E(X_k) = E(Y_k) = 0$, $E(X_k^2) = a^2$, $E(Y_k^2) = b^2$, and $E(X_k Y_k) = \rho ab$, one has:

$$E(L_N) \sim 4c\sqrt{N},$$

(3)

where $c(a,b,\rho)$ does not depend on $N$.

2. Taking $Z_k = X_k + i$ with $E(X_k) = \mu$ and $E((X_k - \mu)^2) = \sigma^2$, one has:

$$E(L_N) \sim 2N\sqrt{1 + \mu^2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{(1 + \mu^2)^2} \log N,$$

(4)

which expresses the excess of $E(L_N)$ over its smallest possible value $2N\sqrt{1 + \mu^2}$.

In the same year, and still for very general random walks viewed as a sum of $N$ vectors in the complex plane $S_0 = 0, \cdots, S_k = Z_1 + Z_2 + \cdots Z_k, 1 \leq k \leq N$, G. Baxter [13] establishes three formulae involving respectively

- the number $F_N$ of edges of the convex hull of the random walk,
- the number $K_N$ of steps $Z_k$ from the walk which belong to the boundary of the convex hull,
- the perimeter $L_N$ of the convex hull.

In the latter case, Baxter’s formula coincides with Eq. (2), but Baxter’s derivation rests purely on combinatorial arguments and does not make use of Cauchy’s formula. Instead, it relies on counting the number of permutations of the random walk’s steps for which a given partial sum $S_k$ belongs to the boundary of the convex hull. His formulae are:

$$E(F_N) = 2 \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{1}{m} \sim 2 \log N$$

(5)

$$E(K_N) = 2$$

(6)

$$E(L_N) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{E(|S_k|)}{k}.$$  

(7)

In 1963, appears the first [131] of two seminal papers by A. Rényi and R. Sulanke dealing with the convex hull of $N$ independent, identically distributed random points $P_i (i = 1..N)$ in dimension 2. Denoting by $F_N$ the number of edges of the convex hull, they consider the following cases:
1. The $P_i$’s are distributed uniformly within a convex, $r$-sided polygon $K$:

$$E(F_N) = \frac{2}{3} r (\log N + \gamma) + T(K) + o(1)$$  

(8)

where $\gamma$ is the Euler constant and $T(K)$ is a constant depending on $K$ only and which is maximal for regular $r$-sided polygons,

2. The $P_i$’s are uniformly distributed within a convex set $K$ whose boundary is smooth:

$$E(F_N) \sim o(K) N^{\frac{r}{2}},$$  

(9)

with $\alpha(K)$ a constant depending on $K$,.

3. The $P_i$’s have a Gaussian normal distribution throughout the plane:

$$E(F_N) \sim 2\sqrt{2\pi \log N}$$  

(10)

The following year, 1964, the second of Rényi and Sulanke’s papers [132] extend these results, focusing on the asymptotic behaviour $N \to \infty$ of the perimeter $L_N$ and area $A_N$ of the convex hull of $N$ points $P_i$ drawn uniformly and independently within a convex set $K$ of perimeter $L$ and area $A$:

1. If $K$ has a smooth boundary:

$$E(L_N) = L - O(N^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$  

(11)

$$E(A_N) = A - O(N^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$  

(12)

2. If $K$ is a square of side $a$:

$$E(L_N) = 4a - O(N^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$  

(13)

$$E(A_N) = a^2 - O(\log N)$$  

(14)

In 1965, B. Efron [57], taking cue from Rényi and Sulanke, establish equivalent formulae in dimension 3, together with the average number of vertices (faces in dimension 3), the average perimeter and the average area of the convex hull of $N$ points $P_i$ drawn independently from a Gaussian normal distribution in dimension 2 or 3, or from a uniform distribution inside a disk or sphere:

1. For instance, for $N > 3$ points in the plane, drawn independently from a Gaussian normal distribution, writing $\phi(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} x^2)$ and $\Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \phi(y) \, dy$:

$$E(V_N) = 4\sqrt{\pi} \binom{N}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi^{N-2}(p) \phi^2(p) \, dp,$$  

(15)

$$E(L_N) = 4\pi \binom{N}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi^{N-2}(p) \phi^2(p) \, dp,$$  

(16)

$$E(A_N) = 3\pi \binom{N}{3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi^{N-3}(p) \phi^3(p) \, dp,$$  

(17)

where $V_N$, $L_N$ and $A_N$ stand respectively for the number of vertices, perimeter and area of the convex hull.
2. In dimension 3, one has:

\[
E(F_N) = 4\sqrt{3\pi} \binom{N}{3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^{N-3}(p)\phi^3(p) \, dp,
\]
(18)

\[E(E_N) = \frac{3}{2}E(F_N),\]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

\[E(V_N) = \frac{1}{2}E(F_N) + 2,\]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

\[E(L_N) = 24\sqrt{3\pi} \binom{N}{3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^{N-3}(p)\phi^3(p) \, dp,
\]
(21)

\[E(A_N) = 12\pi \binom{N}{3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^{N-3}(p)\phi^3(p) \, dp,
\]
(22)

\(F_N\) and \(E_N\) standing respectively for the number of faces and the number of edges of the convex hull (\(L_N\) is thus the sum of the lengths of the edges, and \(A_N\) the sum of the surface areas of the faces, \(V_N\) denotes again the number of vertices).

He also computes the average volume of the convex hull of \(N\) vectors drawn independently from a Gaussian normal distribution (with zero average and unit variance) in a space of dimension \(d < N\):

\[
E(\text{Vol}_N) = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{d + 1}{d}\right)^{\frac{N}{d + 1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^{N-d-1}(p)\phi^{d+1}(p) \, dp
\]
(23)

(for \(N = d + 1\), this expression needs to be multiplied by 2).

- In 1965 still, H. Raynaud communicates in the *Comptes Rendus de l’Académie des Sciences* [126], his generalization to \(\mathbb{R}^d\) of the formulae by Rényi-Sulanke and Efron pertaining to the number of vertices of the convex hull, either in the Gaussian normal case or in the uniform case. In the case of a Gaussian normal case, with zero mean and variance \(a/2\), Raynaud computes the probability density of the convex hull of a sample and shows that, in the limit when the number of points \(N\) in the sample becomes very large, this distribution converges to a uniform Poisson distribution on the sphere of radius \(\sqrt{a\log N}\) centered at the origin.

- In 1970, H. Carnal [35] addresses the question of the convex hull of \(N\) random points in the plane, drawn from a distribution which he assumes only to be circularly symmetric. He gives expressions for the asymptotic behaviour of the average number of edges, average perimeter and average area of the convex hull. In particular, he shows that the average number of edges, for certain distributions, goes to a constant (namely 4) when \(N\) becomes very large.

- H. Raynaud publishes in 1970 a second paper [127] on the convex hull of \(N\) independent points (in both the Gaussian normal case throughout the space or the uniform case within a sphere) in \(\mathbb{R}^d\). He gives detailed accounts of the results he announced earlier [126]. He also gives expressions for the asymptotic behaviour of the number of faces \(F_N^{(d)}\) (or edges if \(d = 2\)) of the convex hull, and shows in particular that in the standard
Gaussian normal case:

\[
E(F_N^{(d)}) \sim \frac{2^d}{\sqrt{d}} (\pi \log N)^{\frac{1}{2}(d-1)}
\]  

(24)

Note that for \( d = 2 \), one recovers Rényi and Sulanke’s formula Eq. (10).

For \( d = 3 \), one has

\[
E(F_N^{(3)}) \sim \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}} \pi \log N
\]

Ten years later, in 1980, W. Eddy [54] introduces the notion of support function into the field of random convex hulls. Considering, in the plane, \( N \) points \( P_i = (x_i, y_i) \) with a Gaussian normal distribution, he associates to each a random process defined by:

\[
B_i(\theta) = x_i \cos \theta + y_i \sin \theta,
\]

\( \theta \) varying from 0 to \( 2\pi \). Note that \( B_i(\theta) \) is simply the projection of point \( P_i \) on the line of direction \( \theta \). He further defines the random process

\[
M(\theta) = \sup_i \{B_i(\theta)\},
\]

whose law is shown to be given by that of the pointwise maximum of the \( N \) independent, identically distributed random processes \( B_i(\theta) \) (cf [24]).

Eddy then shows that the point distribution of the stochastic process \( M(\theta) \) is given by Gumbel’s law, and he hints (without going further) to the fact that certain functionals of \( M(\theta) \) give access to some geometrical properties of the convex hull of the sample:

\[
L_N = \int_0^{2\pi} M(\theta) d\theta
\]

(25)

for the perimeter; and:

\[
A_N = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ M^2(\theta) - (M^\prime(\theta))^2 \right] d\theta
\]

(26)

for the area, where \( M^\prime(\theta) = \frac{dM}{d\theta} \). These functionals are what we refer to as Cauchy’s formulae.

– It is precisely the first of these formulae that L. Takács [151] suggests one should use to compute the expected perimeter length of the convex hull of planar Brownian motion, in his 1980 solution to a problem set by G. Letac in the *American Mathematical Monthly* in 1978 [98]. Denoting by \( L_t \) the perimeter of the convex hull of Brownian motion \( \hat{B}(\tau), 0 \leq \tau \leq t \), Takács shows that:

\[
E(L_t) = \sqrt{8\pi} t.
\]

(27)

The calculation is performed using the support function of the trajectory, in the same way as Eddy [54] hinted at for independent points. Planar Brownian motion \( \hat{B}(\tau) \) is written as \( (x(\tau), y(\tau)) \), where \( x \) and \( y \) are standard one-dimensional Brownian motions. One then defines

\[
z_\tau(\theta) = x(\tau) \cos \theta + y(\tau) \sin \theta.
\]
This stochastic process $z_\tau(\theta)$ being nothing else but the projection of the planar motion on the line with direction $\theta$, it is itself, for a fixed $\theta$, an instance of standard Brownian motion. Hence, the $M(\theta)$ that appears in Cauchy’s formula (Eq. [25]) and which is defined as:

$$M(\theta) = \max_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \{z_\tau(\theta)\},$$

follows for a given $\theta$ the same law as the maximum of a standard one-dimensional Brownian motion. In particular, it is independent of $\theta$ and therefore one can write:

$$\mathbb{E}(L_t) = 2\pi \mathbb{E}(M(0)),$$

thus using the isotropy of the distribution of planar Brownian motion. Knowledge of the right-hand part of this equation then yields the desired result.

In 1981, W. Eddy and J. Gale [55] extend further the work started by W. Eddy [54]. They point out the link between extreme-value statistics applied to $N$ 1-dimensional random variables and the distribution of the convex hull of multidimensional random variables. They consider sample distributions with spherical symmetry and distinguish between three classes according to the shape of the tails: exponential, algebraic (power-law) or truncated (e.g. distributed inside a sphere). Eddy and Gale then compute the asymptotic distribution of the associated stochastic process (the support function $M(\theta)$) when the number $N$ of points becomes very large. The three classes of initial sample distribution yield three types of distribution for the limit process, given by the Gumbel, Fréchet and Weibull laws, which are well known in the context of extreme-value statistics. Eddy and Gale also remark that the average number of vertices of the convex hull in the "Fréchet" case (that is, for initial sample distributions with power-law tails) tends to a constant (as proved by Carnal [35]).

Following another route, N. Jewell and J. Romano establish the following year, in 1982, a correspondance between the random convex hull problem and a coverage problem, namely the covering of the unit circle with arcs whose positions and lengths follow a bivariate law [84]. Thus, for arcs of length $\pi$:

$$\text{Prob (circle covered)} = \text{Prob (conv. hull contains origin)}$$

and more generally, for arcs of lengths other than $\pi$:

$$\text{Prob (circle covered)} = \text{Prob (conv. hull contains a given disk)}$$

In 1983, M. El Bachir, in his doctoral dissertation [58], studies the convex hull $C(t)$ of planar Brownian motion $B(t)$. In particular, he gives a proof of P. Lévy’s assertion [101] that almost surely $C(t)$ has a smooth boundary. El Bachir also shows that $C(t)$ is a Markov process on the set of compact convex domains containing the origin $O$. Denoting by $\partial C(t)$ the boundary of $C(t)$, he establishes:

1. $\text{Prob}(B(t) \in \partial C(t)) = \text{Prob}(O \in \partial C(t)) = 0$
2. $\{t : B(t) \in \partial C(t)\}$ has a null Lebesgue measure.
El Bachir then computes explicitly, from Cauchy’s formulae, the expected perimeter length and surface area of the convex hull of planar Brownian motion. For the perimeter, he derives a general formula for motions with a drift $\mu$, of which the special case $\mu = 0$ enables one to retrieve Takács’ $\sqrt{8\pi t}$. For the area, he obtains:

$$E(A_t) = \frac{\pi t}{2}. \quad (28)$$

Over the following decade, the study of the convex hull of a sample of independent, identically distributed random points has attracted much interest. C. Buchta [28] has obtained an exact formula giving the average area of the convex hull of $N$ points drawn uniformly inside a convex domain $K$, the existing formulae being so far mainly asymptotic. A few years later, F. Affentranger [3] has extended Buchta’s result to higher dimensions, via an induction relation. Many details and references can be found in the surveys of Buchta [29], R. Schneider [141], W. Weil and J. Wieacker [157].

Another active route is the one open by Eddy [54] and Gale [55], whose works have been extended by H. Brozio and de Haan [26] to non-rotationally-invariant distributions. Brozio et al [25] also study the convergence in law to Poisson point processes exhibited by the distributions of quantities such as the number of vertices of the convex hull of independent, identically distributed random points. The works of Davis et al. [45] and Aldous et al. [6] also follow this type of approach.

Cranston et al. [42] resume the study of the convex hull $C(t)$ of planar Brownian motion and in particular of the continuity of its boundary $\partial C(t)$. They show that $\partial C(t)$ is almost surely $C^1$ and mention work by Shimura [143, 144] and K. Burdzy [30] showing that for all $\alpha \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$, there exist random times $\tau$ such that $C(\tau)$ has corners of opening $\alpha$. They also mention Le Gall [95] showing that the Hausdorff dimension of the set of times at which the Brownian motion visits a corner of $C(t)$ of opening $\alpha$ is almost surely equal to $1 - \frac{\pi}{2\alpha}$. Finally, they also point to P. Lévy’s paper [102] and S. N. Evas’[60] for details on the growth rate of $C(t)$, as well as to K. Burdzy and J. San Martin’s work [31] on the curvature of $C(t)$ near the bottom-most point of the Brownian trajectory.

In 1992, D. Khoshnevisan [87] elaborates upon Cranston et al.’s work by establishing an inequality that allows one to transpose, in some sense, the scaling properties of Brownian motion to its convex hull.

In 1993, two papers concerned with the convex hull of correlated random points, specifically the vertices of a random walk, are published. G. Letac [99] points out that Cauchy’s formula enables one to write the perimeter $L_N$ of the convex hull of any $N$-step random walk in terms of its support function $M_N(\theta) = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N} \{ x_i \cos \theta + y_i \sin \theta \}$:

$$E(L_N) = \int_0^{2\pi} E(M_N(\theta)) \, d\theta, \quad (29)$$

which provides an alternative to Spitzer-Widom’s or Baxter’s methods to compute the perimeter of the convex hull of a random walk.
It is precisely to the Spitzer-Widom-Baxter’s formula (Eq. (2)) that T. Snyder and J. Steele [149] return, using again purely combinatorial arguments to obtain the following generalizations:

Let \( F_N \) be the number of edges of the convex hull of a \( N \)-step planar random walk and let \( e_i \) be the length of the \( i \)-th edge. If \( f \) is a real-valued function and if we set \( G_N = \sum_{i=1}^{F_N} f(e_i) \), then:

\[
\mathbb{E}(G_N) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\mathbb{E}(f(|S_k|))}{k}, \tag{30}
\]

where \( S_k = Z_1 + Z_2 + \cdots + Z_k \) is the position of the walk after \( k \) steps.

- Taking \( f(x) = 1 \), one has \( G_N = F_N \) (the number of edges of the convex hull) and one retrieves Baxter’s result

\[
\mathbb{E}(F_N) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{k} \sim 2 \log N.
\]

- Taking \( f(x) = x \), one has \( G_N = L_N \) and one retrieves Spitzer and Widom’s result without using Cauchy’s formula:

\[
\mathbb{E}(L_N) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\mathbb{E}(|S_k|)}{k}.
\]

- Taking \( f(x) = x^2 \), \( G_N \) is the sum of the squared edges lengths denoted by \( L_N^{(2)} \), one obtains:

\[
\mathbb{E} \left( L_N^{(2)} \right) = 2N(\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Y^2),
\]

\( \sigma_X^2 \) and \( \sigma_Y^2 \) being the variance of an individual step.

Snyder and Steele establish two other important results:

1. An upper bound for the variance \( \mathbb{E}(L_N^2) \) (not to be mistaken for \( \mathbb{E} \left( L_N^{(2)} \right) \)) of the perimeter of the convex hull of any \( N \)-step planar random walk:

\[
\mathbb{E}(L_N^2) \leq \frac{\pi^2}{2} N(\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Y^2) \tag{31}
\]

2. A large deviation inequality for the perimeter of the convex hull of an \( N \)-step random walk:

\[
\text{Prob} \left( |L_N - \mathbb{E}(L_N)| \geq t \right) \leq 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{\text{Var}(L_N)}} \tag{32}
\]

- In a paper published in 1996 [72], A. Goldman introduces a new point of view on the convex hull of planar Brownian bridge. He derives a set of new identities relating the spectral empirical function of a homogeneous Poisson process to certain functionals of the convex hull. More precisely:
Let $D(R)$ be the open disk with radius $R$ and $D_i$ ($i = 1..N_R$) the polygonal convex domains associated to a Poisson random measure and contained in $D(R)$. Let

$$
\phi_i(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp(-t\lambda_{n,i})
$$

be the spectral function of the domain $D_i$ (the $\lambda_{n,i}$'s being the eigenvalues of the Laplacian for the Dirichlet problem on $D_i$). Finally, set:

$$
\phi_R(t) = \frac{1}{N_R} \sum_{i=1}^{N_R} \phi_i(t).
$$

Then:

$\phi_R(t)$ almost surely has a finite limit $\Phi(t)$ (called the empirical spectral function) when $R$ goes to infinity, and:

$$
\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2L} \mathbb{E} \left( e^{-\sqrt{2\pi}L} \right)
$$

where $L$ stands for the perimeter of the convex Hull of the unit-time planar Brownian bridge (a Brownian motion conditionned to return to its origin at time $t = 1$).

Goldman also computes the first moment of $L$ using Cauchy’s formula

$$
\mathbb{E}(L) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^3}{2}}
$$

To obtain the second moment,

$$
\mathbb{E}(L^2) = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \left( \pi \int_0^\pi \sin u \, du - 1 \right)
$$

Goldman brings it down to computing $\mathbb{E}(M(\theta)M(0))$, the two-point correlation function of the support function of the Brownian bridge,

$$
\mathbb{E}(M(\theta)M(0)) = \frac{\sin \theta}{2} \left[ \frac{\theta(2\pi - \theta)}{6(\pi - \theta)} + \cotan \theta \right]
$$

Goldman obtains this last result from the probability that the Brownian bridge $B_{0,1}$ lies entirely inside a wedge $\xi$ of opening angle $\beta$:

$$
\text{Prob}(B_{0,1} \in \xi) = \frac{4\pi e^{-r^2}}{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sin^2 \left( \frac{k\pi \alpha}{\beta} \right) I_\nu(r^2),
$$

with $\nu = \frac{k\pi}{\beta}$, and, assuming that $O$ lies inside the wedge $\xi$, with $r$ the distance between $O$ and the apex $S$ of the wedge, and with $\alpha$ the angle between the line $OS$ and the closest edge of the wedge. ({$I_\nu$} is the modified Bessel function of the first kind.)
In a later paper [73], Goldman exploits further the link between Poissonian mosaics and the convex hull of planar Brownian bridges. He first shows that one can replace Brownian bridges by simple Brownian motion. He then recalls Kendall’s conjecture on Crofton’s cell (in a Poisson mosaic, this is the domain $D_0$ that contains the origin): when the area $V_0$ of this cell is large, its “shape” would be “close” to that of a disk. Goldman shows in this paper a result supporting this claim (in terms of eigenvalues of the Laplacian for the Dirichlet problem) and, thanks to the links he has established, deduces that the convex hull of planar Brownian motion, when it is “small”, has an “almost circular” shape. More precisely: if $C$ is the convex hull of a unit-time, planar Brownian motion $W$, if $D(r)$ is the disk centered at the origin with radius $r \in (0, \infty)$ and if $M = \sup\{|W(s)|, 0 \leq s \leq 1\}$, then, for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$:

$$\limsup_{a \to 0} \Pr[D((1 - \epsilon)a) \subset C \subset D(a)|M = a] = 1$$

In parallel, refined studies of the asymptotic distributions and limit laws of the number of vertices, perimeter or area of the convex hull of independent points drawn uniformly inside a convex domain $K$ continue, in particular with the work of P. Groeneboom [75] on the number of vertices (augmented by S. Finch and I. Hueter’s result [62]), Hsing [82] on the area when $K$ is a disk, Cabo and Groeneboom [33] for the area too but when $K$ is polygonal, Bräker and Hsing [22] for the joint law of the perimeter and area, and the more recent works of Vu [156], Calka and Schreiber [34], Reitzner [128–130] and Bárány et al. [8–10].

In 2009, P. Biane and G. Letac [18] return to the convex hull of planar Brownian motion, focusing on the global convex hull of several copies of the same trajectory (the copies obtained via rotations). They compute the expected perimeter length of this global convex hull for various settings.

Thus we see that random convex hulls have aroused much interest over the past 50 years or so. The main results relevant to our present study are those giving explicit expressions (exact or asymptotic) for the average perimeter and area of the convex hull of a random sample in the plane. We have attempted to group the corresponding references in the following table:
### Existing Results

| Independent Points | Perimeter (average) | Area (average) |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|
|                    | Rényi and Sulanke [132] (Eq. (11) and (13)); Efron [57] (Eq. (16) et (17)); Carnal [35]; Buchta [28]; Affentranger [3] |

| Random walk (1 walker) | Perimeter (average) | Area (average) |
|------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| open paths             | Spitzer et Widom [150] (Eq. (2)); Baxter [19]; Snyder et Steele [149]; Letac [99] |
| closed paths           | ?                   | ?              |

| Brownian motion (1 motion) | Perimeter (average) | Area (average) |
|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| open paths                 | Takács [151] (Eq. (27)) | El Bachir [58] (Eq. (28)) |
| closed paths               | Goldmann [72] (Eq. (34)) | ?              |

Finally, we have developed a general method recently [124] that enabled us not only to fill in the empty cells in this table but also to treat a generalization which is particularly relevant physically, namely the geometric properties of the global convex hull of $n > 1$ independent planar Brownian paths, each of the same duration $T$. To the best of our knowledge, this topic had never been addressed before. Among the works mentioned above, those dealing with correlated points always consider a single random walk or a single Brownian motion, except for [18] where several copies of the same Brownian path are considered.

Yet, the convex hull of several random paths appears quite naturally, both on the theoretical side and also in the context of ecology, as we shall see later (§5.1). Furthermore, the simplest case, that of $n$ independent Brownian motions, already exhibits interesting features since the geometry of the convex hull depends in a non trivial manner on $n$ [124]. We showed that even though the Brownian walkers are independent, the global convex hull of the union of their trajectories depend on the multiplicity $n$ of the walkers in a nontrivial way. In the large $n$ limit, the convex hull tends to a circle with a radius $\sim \sqrt{\ln n}$ [124] which turns out to be identical to that of the set of distinct sites visited by $n$ independent random walkers on a 2-dimensional lattice [2,93,94]. This general method will be developed in detail in the following sections.
3 Support function and Cauchy formulae: 
a general approach to random convex hulls

In this section we discuss the notion of the ‘support function’. Intuitively
speaking, the support function in a certain direction $\theta$ of a given two-di-



mensional object is the maximum spatial extent of the object along that
direction. We will see that the knowledge of this function for all angles $\theta$ can
be fruitfully used to obtain the perimeter and the area of any closed convex
curve (in particular for a convex polygon) by virtue of Cauchy’s formulae.

3.1 Support function of a closed convex curve and Cauchy’s formulae

Let $C$ denote any closed and smooth convex curve in a plane. For example $C$
may represent a circle or an ellipse. The curve $C$ may be represented by the
coordinates of the points on it $\{ (X(s), Y(s)) \}$ parametrized by a continuous
$s$. Associated with $C$, one can construct a support function in a natural
geometric way. Consider any arbitrary direction from the origin $O$ specified
by the angle $\theta$ with respect to the $x$ axis. Bring a straight line from infinity
perpendicularly along direction $\theta$ and stop when it touches a point on the
curve $C$. The support function $M(\theta)$, associated with curve $C$, denotes the
Euclidean (signed) distance of this perpendicular line from the origin when
it stops, measuring the maximal extension of the curve $C$ along the direction
$\theta$.

$$M(\theta) = \max_{s \in C} \{ X(s) \cos \theta + Y(s) \sin \theta \}. \quad (39)$$

The knowledge of $M(\theta)$ enables one to compute the perimeter of $C$ and
also the area enclosed by $C$ via Cauchy’s formulae

$$L = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta M(\theta) \quad (40)$$

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \left( M^2(\theta) - (M'(\theta))^2 \right) \quad (41)$$

These formulae are straightforward to establish for polygonal curves, and
taking the continuous limit in the polygonal approximation yields the result
for smooth curves (a non-rigorous, but quick, ‘proof’ is provided in appendix
A).

In the elementary example of a circle centered on the origin with radius $r$
(Fig. 1(a)), $M(\theta)$ is constant and equal to $r$ for all $\theta$. Its derivative is zero and
Cauchy formulae give the standard results. The second example is slightly
less trivial (Fig. 1(b)) as $M(\theta)$ is not constant but equal to $r(1 + \sin \theta)$. One
of course recovers again the usual results:

$$L = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \ r(1 + \sin \theta) = 2\pi r$$

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \ r^2 \left[ (1 + \sin \theta)^2 - \cos^2 \theta \right] = \pi r^2.$$
It is interesting to note that Cauchy’s original motivations for deriving the formulae (40) and (41) actually came from a somewhat different context. He was interested in developing a method to compute the roots of certain algebraic equations as a convergent series and to compute an upper bound of the error made in stopping the series after a finite number of terms. It was in this connection that he proved a number of theorems concerning the length of the perimeter and the area enclosed by a closed convex curve in a plane. Anticipating the usefulness of his formulae in a variety of contexts and particularly in geometrical applications, he collected them in a self-contained "Memoire" published by the "Academie des Sciences" in 1850. It is worth pointing out that Cauchy’s formulae are of purely geometric origin without any probabilistic content. The idea of using these formulae in probabilistic context was first used by Crofton [43] whose work can be considered as one of the starting points of the subject of integral geometry, developed by Blaschke and his school during the years 1935-1939.

3.2 Support function of the convex hull of a discrete set of points in plane

Let \( I = \{(x_i, y_i), i = 1, 2, \ldots, N\} \) denote a set of \( N \) points in a plane with coordinates \((x_i, y_i)\). Let \( C \) denote the convex hull of \( I \), i.e., the minimal convex polygon enclosing this set. This convex hull \( C \) is a closed, smooth convex curve and hence we can apply Cauchy’s formulae in Eqs. (40) and (41) to compute its perimeter and area. However, to apply these formulae we first need to know the support function \( M(\theta) \) associated with the convex hull \( C \), as given by Eq. (39). This requires a knowledge of the coordinates \((X(s), Y(s))\) of a point, parametrized by \( s \), on the convex polygon \( C \). A crucial point is that one can compute this support function associated with the convex hull \( C \) of \( I \) just from the knowledge of the coordinates \((x_k, y_k)\) of the set \( I \) itself and without requiring first to compute the coordinates \((X(s), Y(s))\) of the points on the convex hull \( C \). Indeed the support function
$M(\theta)$ associated with $C$ can be written as

$$M(\theta) = \max_{s \in C} \{ X(s) \cos \theta + Y(s) \sin \theta \} = \max_{i \in I} \{ x_i \cos \theta + y_i \sin \theta \}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

This simply follows from the fact that $M(\theta)$, the maximal extent of the convex polygon $C$ along $\theta$, is also the maximum of the projections of all points of the set $I$ along that direction $\theta$. Thus, the knowledge of the coordinates $(x_k, y_k)$ of any set $I$ is enough to determine the support function $M(\theta)$ of the convex hull $C$ associated with $I$ by Eq. (42).

![Fig. 2 Support function $M(\theta)$ and its derivative $M'(\theta)$ of the convex hull (dotted green lines) associated with a set of 7 points.](image)

We also note that, by definition of $M(\theta)$ in Eq. (42), for any fixed $\theta$ there will be a point $(x_{k^*}, y_{k^*})$ in the set such that:

$$M(\theta) = x_{k^*} \cos \theta + y_{k^*} \sin \theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

Taking derivative of (43) with respect to $\theta$ gives

$$M'(\theta) = -x_{k^*} \sin \theta + y_{k^*} \cos \theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

In other words, $M'(\theta)$ is the distance between the point of the set giving the maximal projection $M(\theta)$ and the straight line with direction $\theta$, as illustrated in Figure 2.

3.3 A Simple illustration of the support function $M(\theta)$ of a triangle

To get familiar with the support function $M(\theta)$ associated with a convex hull, let us consider a simple example of three points in a plane. The associated convex hull is evidently a triangle (Fig. 3) whose support function $M(\theta)$ and derivative $M'(\theta)$ are drawn in Figures 4(a) and 4(b).

$M(\theta)$ is of course $2\pi$-periodic. A notable feature of its graph is the presence of angular points, corresponding to discontinuities of the derivative of $M(\theta)$. So, $M(\theta)$ appears piecewise smooth, with a derivative exhibiting a finite number of jump discontinuities. This finite number is, in the special
case considered here, equal to three, the number of points in the set whose support function is \( M(\theta) \). This is not by chance and the coincidence can be understood by returning to equations (43) and (44): within a given range of \( \theta \), one of the vertices of the triangle \( ABC \) will be giving the maximal projection on direction \( \theta \) and will thus determine the value of \( M(\theta) \), say:

\[
M(\theta) = x_A \cos \theta + y_A \sin \theta, \tag{45}
\]

Then, within the same range of \( \theta \):

\[
M'(\theta) = -x_A \sin \theta + y_A \cos \theta. \tag{46}
\]

We can specify the range of angles \( \theta \) on which equations (45) and (46) are valid. Let us indeed note that by the definition of \( M(\theta) \), when \( \theta \) corresponds to the perpendicular through the origin \( O \) to the line segment \( AB \), \( A \) and \( B \) have the same projection on direction \( \theta \) (Fig. 3). In the \( \theta^- \)-limit, that is for angles approaching \( \theta \) from below, the value of \( M \) will be given by the projection of \( A \), and the value of \( |M'(\theta)| \) by the length of the line segment \( AH \) (\( H \) being the foot of the perpendicular to \( AB \) through \( O \)). In the \( \theta^+ \)-limit, for angles slightly larger than \( \theta \), the value of \( M(\theta) \) will still be the common projection of \( A \) and \( B \) but \( |M'(\theta)| \) will be given by the length \( BH \). Whence, as \( \theta \) passes on the perpendicular to \( AB \) through \( O \), the support function \( M \) will be continuous, while its derivative will have a jump discontinuity.

Indeed, looking at figure 3 and starting from \( \theta = 0 \), we see that point \( A \) gives the maximal projection on direction \( \theta \), and the length of this projection decreases as \( \theta \) increases, until the direction given by \( \theta \) coincides with line \( (OH) \), which is the perpendicular to \( [AB] \) through the origin. At this point, as we have just noticed, \( M(\theta) \) has an angular point: \( B \) will then give the maximal projection, whose value will increase until \( \theta \) corresponds to line \( (OB) \) where \( M(\theta) \) attains a local maximum before decreasing until \( \theta \) coincides with the perpendicular to \( [BC] \) through \( O \), where \( M(\theta) \) has a second angular point; and so on.

\footnote{In the specific example chosen here, all 3 vertices of the triangle are "visible" through a local maximum of \( M(\theta) \). However, this is not always the case. This}
3.4 Cauchy formulae applied to a random sample

Let us now examine how the Cauchy formulae can be applied to determine the mean perimeter and the mean area of a convex hull associated with a set of \( N \) points with coordinates \((x_i, y_i)\) in a plane chosen from some underlying probability distribution. The points may be independent or correlated.

is easily seen by considering a configuration in which point \( H \) (the foot of the perpendicular to \((AB)\) through \( O \)), while being by definition on the line \((AB)\), is not on the line segment \([AB]\); for example, if \( H \) is beyond \( A \), \( A \) will go "unnoticed". Yet, the coincidence of direction \( \theta \) with line \((OH)\) will always result in a discontinuity of \( M'(\theta) \) (although without change in sign), which corresponds to an angular point for \( M(\theta) \). Thus the angular points of \( M(\theta) \) count the number of sides (and, in dimension 2, of vertices) of the convex hull. As for the local maxima of \( M(\theta) \), they only count the number of vertices \( E \) of the convex hull that are such that the maximal projection on line \((OE)\) is given by \( E \) itself — one could call such vertices "extremal" or "self-extremal" vertices.

Fig. 4 (a) Support function \( M(\theta) \) of triangle \( ABC \) and (b) its derivative \( M'(\theta) \)
For each $i$ and fixed $\theta$, let us define
\begin{align*}
  z_i(\theta) &= x_i \cos \theta + y_i \sin \theta \quad (47) \\
  h_i(\theta) &= -x_i \sin \theta + y_i \cos \theta. \quad (48)
\end{align*}

$z_i$ is simply the projection of the $i$-th point in the sample on direction $\theta$ and $h_i$ its projection on the direction perpendicular to $\theta$. By definition (Eq. (42)):
\begin{equation}
  M(\theta) = \max_i \{z_i(\theta)\} \equiv z_{k^*}(\theta) \quad (49)
\end{equation}

for a certain index $k^*$.

One then has:
\begin{equation}
  M'(\theta) = h_{k^*}(\theta) \quad (50)
\end{equation}

When the points $(x_i, y_i)$ are random variables, so is the index $k^*$ and subsequently both $M(\theta)$ and $M'(\theta)$ are also random variables. Taking averages in Cauchy’s formulae (40) and (41) we get the mean perimeter and the mean area
\begin{align*}
  \langle L \rangle &= \int_0^{2\pi} \langle M(\theta) \rangle d\theta \\
  \langle A \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle M^2(\theta) \rangle d\theta - \langle (M'(\theta))^2 \rangle
\end{align*}

where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ indicates an average over all realisations of the points, and we assume that this operation commutes with the integration over $\theta$.

In the most general setting, let us also define
- $\mu_\theta$ be the probability density function of the maximum of the $z_i(\theta)$, i.e., of the random variable $z_{k^*}(\theta)$
- $\rho_\theta$ be the probability density function of the index $k^*$ for which $z_i(\theta)$ becomes the maximum
- and $\sigma_{i,\theta}$ be the probability density function of the random variable $h_i(\theta)$ for a fixed $i$ and $\theta$,

then:
\begin{align*}
  \langle M(\theta) \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z \; \mu_\theta(z) \; dz \quad (53) \\
  \langle M^2(\theta) \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z^2 \; \mu_\theta(z) \; dz \quad (54) \\
  \langle (M'(\theta))^2 \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^2 \; \rho_\theta(k) \; \sigma_{k,\theta}(h) \; dk \; dh \\
  &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_\theta(k) \; \langle h_{k^*}^2(\theta) \rangle \; dk \quad (56)
\end{align*}
With this formulation, it appears explicitly that random convex hulls are
directly linked with extreme-value statistics, the study of extremes in samples
of random variables. Indeed, when \( I \) is finite and the \( N \) points labelled by
\( i \in I \) are chosen independently and are identically distributed, for instance in
\( \mathbb{R}^2 \), then \( \mu_0 \) is the distribution of the maximum of \( N \) real-valued i.i.d random
variables (namely the \( z_i(\theta) \)'s) — a classical example of EVS [40, 69, 76, 77].
One can then use directly the results of the standard EVS of i.i.d random
variables. On the other hand, when the points are correlated, we need to study
the distribution of the maximum of a set of correlated random variables—a
subject of much current interest as mentioned in the introduction. Here we
need to go beyond i.i.d variables and take into account the strong correlations
between the random variables that changes the distribution of their maximum
in a nontrivial way.

If the sample points are the vertices of an \( N \)-step 2-dimensional random
walk, then the \( z_i(\theta) \)'s can be seen, for a fixed \( \theta \) as the vertices of an \( N \)-step
1-dimensional random walk, and \( \mu_0 \) is the distribution of the maximum of
such a walk. Note that in this case, \( \rho_0 \) is the distribution of the step at which
the 1-dimensional random walk \( z_i(\theta) \) attains its maximum [38, 44, 61, 120].

One can also consider cases when \( I \) is not a discrete, finite set: e.g. the
random set might be the trajectory \( B(\tau) = (x(\tau), y(\tau)) \) of a planar Brownian
motion at times \( \tau \in I = [0, T] \). In such a case, both \( z_x(\theta) \) and \( h_x(\theta) \) are
instances of 1-dimensional Brownian motion, and \( \mu_0 \) is the distribution of the maximum of 1-dimensional Brownian motion in \([0, T]\), \( \rho_0 \) is the distribution
of the time at which 1-dimensional Brownian motion attains its maximum
in \([0, T]\) (given by Lévy’s arcsine law [100]), and \( \sigma_{\tau, \theta} \) the propagator of 1-
dimensional Brownian motion between 0 and \( \tau \) (i.e. the distribution of the
position of a linear Brownian motion after a time \( \tau \)).

In the following section, we use this approach to compute the mean
perimeter and the mean area of the convex hull of a set of \( N \) indepedently
chosen points in a plane. In Section 5, we will examine how the same ap-
proach can be adapted to compute the mean perimeter and the mean area
of the convex hull of \( n \) independent planar Brownian paths each of the same
duration \( T \).

4 Independent Points

4.1 General case

Let us consider here a sample of \( N \) points drawn independently from a bi-
variate distribution:

\[
\text{Prob}\{x_i \in [x, x + dx], y_i \in [y, y + dy]\} = p(x, y) \, dx \, dy,
\]

Following the route explained in the previous section (Eqs. (47), (48)), we let:

\[
z_i(\theta) = x_i \cos \theta + y_i \sin \theta,
\]
and:

\[ h_i(\theta) = -x_i \sin \theta + y_i \cos \theta \]

4.2 Isotropic cases

Let \((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots, (x_N, y_N)\) be \(N\) points in the plane, each drawn independently from a bivariate distribution \(p(x, y)\) that is invariant under rotation, i.e., \(p(x, y) = G(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2})\). In such an isotropic case, the distribution of the support function \(M(\theta)\) does not depend on \(\theta\) and it is thus sufficient to set \(\theta = 0\) and hence \(M_N \equiv M(0)\). The random variables \(z_i(0)\) and \(h_i(0)\) are just, respectively, the abscissa \(x_i\) and ordinate \(y_i\) of the points. Combining (51) and (53), we can then write the average perimeter of the convex hull

\[ \langle L_N \rangle = 2\pi \langle \max_i \{x_i\} \rangle \equiv 2\pi \langle M_N \rangle \quad (57) \]

It is useful to first define the cumulative distribution

\[ F_N(M) = \text{Prob}[M_N \leq M]. \quad (58) \]

For independent variables it follows that

\[ F_N(M) = \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{M} p_X(x) \, dx \right]^N, \quad (59) \]

where \(p_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x, y) \, dy\) is the marginal of the first variable \(X\). Thus, in a general isotropic case

\[ \langle M_N \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M \, F_N'(M) \, dM \]

\[ \langle L_N \rangle = 2\pi N \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M \, p_X(M) \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{M} p_X(x) \, dx \right]^{N-1} \, dM \]

\[ = 2\pi N \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M \, p_X(M) \, F_{N-1}(M) \, dM \quad (60) \]

For the average area in the isotropic case, we can write it as (Eqs. (52), (54), (56)):

\[ \langle A_N \rangle = \pi \langle M_N^2 \rangle - \pi \langle y_{k*}^2 \rangle, \quad (61) \]

where \(y_{k*}\) is the ordinate of the point \((x_{k*}, y_{k*})\) with the largest abscissa, i.e. satisfying:

\[ x_{k*} = \max_i \{x_i\} = M_N. \]

We can easily express the second moment of \(M_N\) that appears in (61):

\[ \langle M_N^2 \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M^2 F_N'(M) \, dM \quad (62) \]

\[ = N \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M^2 \, p_X(M) \, F_{N-1}(M) \, dM. \quad (63) \]
To compute the second term in (61), that is, the second moment of the ordinate of the point with largest abscissa, we first compute the probability density function \( \hat{p} \) of this point, which is defined by:

\[
\text{Prob} \{ (x_k^*, y_k^*) \in [(x, y), (x + dx, y + dy)] \} = \hat{p}(x, y) \, dx \, dy
\]  
(Note that \( F_N(M) = \int \hat{p}(M, y) \, dy \).)

It is not difficult to see that \( \hat{p}(x_k^*, y_k^*) \) can be expressed as the probability density that one of the \( N \) points has coordinates \((x_k^*, y_k^*)\) and the \( N - 1 \) other points have abscissas less than \( x^* \):

\[
\hat{p}(x_k^*, y_k^*) = N \, p(x_k^*, y_k^*) \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{x_k^*} p_X(x) \, dx \right]^{N-1}
\]  

Then:

\[
\langle y_k^2 \rangle = N \int \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y_k^2 \, p(x_k^*, y_k^*) \, F_{N-1}(x_k^*) \, dx_k^* \, dy_k^*
\]  

It now suffices to insert (63) and (66) in (61) to obtain a general expression for the average area of the convex hull of \( N \) points drawn independently from an isotropic bivariate distribution \( p \) with marginal \( p_X \):

\[
\langle A_N \rangle = N \pi \int \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2 \, p_X(u) \, F_{N-1}(u) \, du
\]

\[
- N \pi \int \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v^2 \, p(u, v) \, F_{N-1}(u) \, du \, dv
\]

The equations (60) and (67) are the main results of this subsection. They provide the exact mean perimeter and the mean area of the convex hull of \( N \) independent points in a plane each drawn from an arbitrary isotropic distribution. As an example, let us consider the case of a Gaussian distribution where the general expressions can be further simplified. Let

\[
p(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2)}. \]  

We then have:

\[
p_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left( -\frac{x^2}{2} \right) \equiv \phi(x)
\]

and:

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_X(x') \, dx' = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x') \, dx' \equiv \Phi(x)
\]

Inserting these into equations (60) and (61), and performing suitable integrations by parts, we obtain:

\[
\langle L_N \rangle = 4 \pi \left( \frac{N}{2} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^{N-2}(x) \, \phi^2(x) \, dx
\]

\[
\langle A_N \rangle = 3 \pi \left( \frac{N}{3} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^{N-3}(x) \, \phi^3(x) \, dx
\]

which coincide with the expressions derived by Efron [57] using a rather different method.
4.3 Asymptotic behaviour of the average perimeter and area

To derive how the mean perimeter and the mean area behave for large $N$, we need to investigate the asymptotic large $N$ behavior of the two exact expressions in Eqs. (60) and (61). For the mean perimeter, since it is exactly identical to the maximum $M_N$ (upto a factor $2\pi$) of $N$ independent variables each distributed via the marginal $p_X(x)$, we can use the standard analysis used in EVS, which is summarized below. For the mean area, on the other hand, we need to go further. We will give a specific example of this asymptotic analysis of the mean area later.

**Summary of standard extreme-value statistics**

Let $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_N$ be independent, identically distributed random variables with probability density function $p(z)$, and let $M_N = \max_{\kappa=1..N} \{ z_\kappa \}$ be their maximum. Then

$$F_N(M) = \text{Prob}(M_N \leq M) = \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{M} p(z) \, dz \right]^N.$$  

In the limit when $N$ becomes very large, the cumulative distribution function $F_N(M)$ exhibits one of the three following behaviours, according to the shape of the "tails" of the parent distribution $p(z)$:

1. When the random variable $z$ has unbounded support and its distribution $p(z)$ has a faster than power law tail as $z \to \infty$. We will loosely refer to this as "Exponential tails". Then, "Exponential tails lead to a Gumbel-type law"

   $$p(z) \sim \text{ as } z \to \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad F_N(M) \sim e^{-(M^\alpha - \log N)}.$$  

2. "Power-law tails lead to a Fréchet-type law"

   $$p(z) \sim \text{ as } z \sim \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad F_N(M) \sim e^{-\frac{1}{\alpha} N M^{-\alpha}}.$$  

3. "Truncated tails (i.e., finite range $a$) lead to a Weibull-type law (with parameter $a$)"

   $$p(z) \sim \text{ as } z \sim a \quad \Rightarrow \quad F_N(M) \sim e^{-\frac{1}{\alpha} N (a-M)^\alpha}.$$  

In all three cases, the typical value of the maximum $M_N$ increases as $N$ increases, the larger the number of points, the further the maximum is pushed.

We will use these results for the general asymptotic behavior of the mean perimeter. However, before providing a summary of the asymptotic behavior

---

3 As $\log N$ in the first case, as a power of $N$ in the second, and nearing as an inverse power of $N$ the radius $a$ of the interval in the third case.
for a general isotropic distribution, it is perhaps useful to consider two special
cases in detail, one for the mean perimeter and one for the mean area, that
will illustrate how one can carry out this asymptotic analysis. For the mean
perimeter, we choose the parent distribution from the Weibull-type case and
for the mean area we choose the Fréchet-type distribution. These choices
are somewhat arbitrary, one could have equally chosen any other case for
illustration.

Example 1: average perimeter in the "Weibull-type" case

Let us consider \( N \) points drawn independently inside a circle of radius \( a \) from a distribution with Weibull-type tails:

\[
p(x, y) \sim A \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 - a}}\, (a - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2})^{\gamma - 1}.
\]  

(73)

Letting as before \( F_N \) denote the cumulative distribution function of
the maximum \( M_N \) of the \( x \)-coordinates, an integration by parts yields:

\[
\langle M_N \rangle = \int_{-a}^{a} x F_N'(x) \, dx
= a - \int_{-a}^{a} F_N(x) \, dx
\]  

(74)

We focus on the second term of (74) and write:

\[
I_N = \int_{-a}^{a} F_N(x) \, dx.
\]

Then:

\[
I_N = \int_{-a}^{a} \left[ 1 - \int_{x}^{a} p_X(x') \, dx' \right]^N \, dx
= \int_{-a}^{a} \exp \left[ N \log \left( 1 - \int_{x}^{a} p_X(x') \, dx' \right) \right] \, dx,
\]

(75)

(76)

where as before we write \( p_X(x) = \int p(x, y) \, dy \).

We now pick \( 0 < \epsilon \ll 1 \) such that

\[
p(x, y) \simeq A \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 - a}}\, (a - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2})^{\gamma - 1}.
\]

(77)

The idea being that when \( N \) becomes large, some sample points will
come closer and closer to the boundary (the circle of radius \( a \)) and con-
sequently one can focus on the tails of the distribution. We therefore
write:

\[
I_N = I_N^{(1)} + I_N^{(2)}
\]  

(77)
with:
\[ I_N^{(1)} = \int_{a-\epsilon}^{a-\epsilon} \exp \left[ N \log \left( 1 - \int_{x}^{a} p_X(x') \, dx' \right) \right] \, dx \tag{78} \]
and
\[ I_N^{(2)} = \int_{a-\epsilon}^{a} \exp \left[ N \log \left( 1 - \int_{x}^{a} p_X(x') \, dx' \right) \right] \, dx \tag{79} \]

It is possible to show that \( I_N^{(1)} \) decreases exponentially with \( N \) and is, as expected on heuristic grounds, subleading compared to \( I_N^{(2)} \) which, as we are going to see, decreases as an inverse power in \( N \).

The sample distribution \( p(x, y) \) is rotationally invariant and bounded \((x^2 + y^2 \leq a^2)\). Hence the marginal
\[ p_X(x) = \int_{\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}}^{\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}} p(x, y) \, dy \tag{80} \]
\[ = 2 \int_{0}^{\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}} p(x, y) \, dy \tag{81} \]

Now for \( x \lesssim a \), we have: \( p(x, y) \sim A \left( a - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}\right)^{\gamma - 1} \). Consequently, setting \( y = xu \) and considering that \((a - \epsilon) < x < a:\)
\[ p_X(x) \sim 2 \int_{0}^{\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}} A \left( a - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}\right)^{\gamma - 1} \, dy \tag{82} \]
\[ \sim 2Ax \int_{0}^{\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}} (a - x \sqrt{1 + u^2})^{\gamma - 1} \, du \tag{83} \]
\[ \sim 2Aa \int_{0}^{\sqrt{\frac{2(a-x)}{\sqrt{2a}}}} (a - x)^{\gamma - 1} \, du \tag{84} \]
\[ \sim 2Aa \sqrt{2a} (a - x)^{\gamma - \frac{1}{2}} \tag{85} \]

We now proceed from equation (79):
\[ I_N^{(2)} = \int_{a-\epsilon}^{a} \exp \left[ N \log \left( 1 - \int_{x}^{a} p_X(x') \, dx' \right) \right] \, dx \]
\[ \sim \int_{a-\epsilon}^{a} \exp \left[ N \log \left( 1 - \int_{x}^{a} 2A \sqrt{2a} (a - x')^{\gamma - \frac{1}{2}} \, dx' \right) \right] \, dx \]
\[ \sim \int_{a-\epsilon}^{a} \exp \left[ N \log \left( 1 - \frac{4A \sqrt{2a}}{2 \gamma + 1} (a - x)^{\gamma + \frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] \, dx \]
\[ \sim \int_{a-\epsilon}^{a} \exp \left[ \frac{4 \gamma N \sqrt{2a}}{2 \gamma + 1} (a - x)^{\gamma + \frac{1}{2}} \right] \, dx \tag{86} \]
To progress further, we perform the following change of variable:

$$u = \frac{4AN\sqrt{2a}}{2\gamma + 1} (a - x)^{\gamma + \frac{1}{2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (87)$$

In the large $N$ limit in which we are working, this change of variable leads to:

$$I_N^{(2)} \sim \frac{2}{[4AN\sqrt{2a}]^{1+\frac{1}{2\gamma}} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} [(2\gamma + 1) u]^{\frac{1-2\gamma}{1+2\gamma}} \, du}$$

$$\sim \frac{2 (2\gamma + 1)^{\frac{1-2\gamma}{2\gamma + 1}} \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{2\gamma + 1} \right)}{[4AN\sqrt{2a}]^{1+\frac{1}{2\gamma}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (88)$$

The combination of (88) with (74) and (57) yields the final result:

$$\langle L_N \rangle \sim 2\pi a - \frac{4\pi (2\gamma + 1)^{\frac{1-2\gamma}{1+2\gamma}} \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{2\gamma + 1} \right)}{[4AN\sqrt{2a}]^{1+\frac{1}{2\gamma}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (89)$$

To illustrate this asymptotic result for a concrete example, consider $N$ points drawn independently and uniformly from a unit disk

$$p(x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \Theta(1 - x^2 - y^2),$$  \hspace{1cm} (90)$$

where $\Theta$ is the Heaviside step function.

In terms of our notations, this corresponds to:

$$a = 1, \quad A = \frac{1}{\pi}, \quad \gamma = 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (91-93)$$

We find:

$$\langle L_N \rangle \sim 2\pi \left( 1 - \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{3}{2} \right) \pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}{12^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (94)$$

in complete agreement with Rényi and Sulanke’s result Eq. (11) [132]. Note that for large $N$, the mean perimeter of the convex hull approaches $2\pi$, i.e., the convex hull approaches the bounding circle of radius unity of the disk. But it approaches very slowly, the correction term decreases for large $N$ only as a power law $\sim N^{-2/3}$. Actually, for this example of uniform distribution over a unit disk, one can also obtain simple and explicit expressions for the mean perimeter and the mean area starting from our general expressions in Eqs. (60) and (61). Skipping details, we get

Perimeter:

$$\langle L_N \rangle = 2\pi \left[ 1 - \int_{-1}^1 F_N(M) \, dM \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (95)$$
Area:
\[ \langle A_N \rangle = \pi \left[ 1 - \frac{8}{3} \int_{-1}^{1} M F_N(M) dM \right] \]  
(96)

where:
\[ F_N(M) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ \arcsin(M) + M \sqrt{1 - M^2} \right]^N \]  
(97)

One can also easily work out the asymptotic behavior of the mean area in this example using Eqs. (96) and (97) and we get
\[ \langle A_N \rangle \sim_{N \to \infty} \pi \left( 1 - 2 \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{3}{4} \right) 2^{\frac{5}{4}} \pi^{\frac{3}{4}}}{3^{\frac{3}{4}} N^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right) \]  
(98)

which, once again, agrees with Rényi and Sulanke’s result (Eq. (12)) [132]. Note also that the mean area of the convex hull approaches, for large \( N \), to the area of the unit disk. Notice also that the exponent of \( N \), which governs the speed of convergence is the same for the area as for the perimeter — only the prefactor of the power of \( N \) changes\(^4\).

At this point it is also worth recalling the results of Hilhorst et al. [81] regarding Sylvester’s problem\(^5\). When \( N \) becomes large, the convex hull of the \( N \) points (conditioned to have all the \( N \) points to be its vertices) lies in an annulus of width \( \sim N^{-\frac{1}{4}} \) smaller than the \( N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \) found in our case. This can be understood qualitatively by noticing that requiring the \( N \) points to be on the convex hull will tend to increase the size of the hull and therefore push it closer to the boundary of the disk.

**Example 2: average area in the ”Fréchet-type” case**

Consider \( N \) points drawn independently from an isotropic distribution with Fréchet-type tails:
\[ p(x, y) \sim \frac{A}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}} \]  
(99)

Recalling equation (67), we start by its first term. Letting as before \( F_N \) denote the cumulative distribution function of the maximum \( M_N \) of the \( x \)-coordinates, we have:
\[ \langle M_N^2 \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 \text{ } F_N(x) \text{ } dx \equiv I_N. \]  
(100)

\(^4\) Rényi and Sulanke [132] have shown that this is in fact true for every smooth-bounded support, and, moreover, with the same universal exponent: \( N^{-\frac{3}{4}} \).

\(^5\) If \( N \) points are drawn from a uniform distribution in the unit disk, what is the probability \( p_N \) that they be the vertices of a convex polygon — in other words that they be the vertices of their own convex hull?
With the same notation as previously:

\[
F_N(x) = \left[ 1 - \int_x^\infty p_X(x') \, dx' \right]^N
\]  \hspace{1cm} (101)

where as before we write \( p_X(x) = \int p(x,y) \, dy \).

We pick \( K \gg 1 \) such that for \( x \geq K \),

\[
p(x,y) \simeq \frac{A'}{(x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{\gamma+2}{2}}}.
\]

The idea being that when \( N \) becomes large, sample points will disseminate further and further in the plane, and consequently one can focus on the tails of the distribution. We therefore write:

\[
I_N = I_N^{(1)} + I_N^{(2)}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (102)

with:

\[
I_N^{(1)} = \int_{-\infty}^{K} x^2 F_N'(x) \, dx
\]  \hspace{1cm} (103)

and

\[
I_N^{(2)} = \int_{K}^{\infty} x^2 F_N'(x) \, dx
\]  \hspace{1cm} (104)

It is easy to show that \( I_N^{(1)} \), as before, is subleading compared to \( I_N^{(2)} \).

The sample distribution \( p(x,y) \) is rotationally invariant and so:

\[
p_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x,y) \, dy
\]  \hspace{1cm} (105)

\[
= 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} p(x,y) \, dy
\]  \hspace{1cm} (106)

Now for \( x \gg 1 \), we have: \( p(x,y) \sim \frac{A}{(x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{\gamma+2}{2}}} \). Consequently, setting \( y = ux \) and considering cases when \( x \gg 1 \):

\[
p_X(x) \sim 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{A}{(x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{\gamma+2}{2}}} \, dy
\]  \hspace{1cm} (107)

\[
\sim 2A \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{\gamma+2}(1 + u^2)^{\frac{\gamma+2}{2}}} \, du
\]  \hspace{1cm} (108)

\[
\sim \frac{A \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{\gamma+1}{2} \right)}{x^{\gamma+1} \Gamma \left( \frac{\gamma+1}{2} \right)}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (109)

\[
\sim \frac{C}{x^{\gamma+1}}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (110)
where we have set $C = A\sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2}+1)}}$.

Now we can express $F_N(x)$ for large $x$ and large $n$:

$$F_N(x) \sim \left[ 1 - \int_x^\infty \frac{C}{x^{\nu+1}} \, dx \right]^N$$

$$\sim \left[ 1 - \frac{C}{\gamma x^\gamma} \right]^N$$

$$\sim e^{-\frac{NC}{\gamma x^\gamma}}$$

(111)

Whence, still for large $x$ and large $N$:

$$F'_N(x) \sim \frac{NC}{x^{\nu+1}} e^{-\frac{NC}{\gamma x^\gamma}}$$

(112)

We now insert (112) into (104), setting $u = \frac{NC}{\gamma x^\gamma}$:

$$I_N^{(2)} \sim \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{NC}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} u^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} e^{-u} \, du$$

$$\sim \left( \frac{NC}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \Gamma \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\gamma} \right)$$

(113)

Let us now examine the second term in equation (67). As before, we focus on the large-$x$ part of the integral, which will dominate. We rewrite it, so as to bring it down to the calculation that we have done in the previous paragraph:

$$N \int_K^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty y^2 \, p(x, y) \, F_{N-1}(x) \, dx \, dy$$

$$= \int_K^\infty F'_N(x) \int_{-\infty}^\infty y^2 \, p(x, y) \, dy \, dx$$

$$\sim \int_K^\infty F'_N(x) \frac{A}{C} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{u^2}{(1+u^2)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}} \, du \, dx$$

$$\sim \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \int_K^\infty F'_N(x) \, x^2 \, dx$$

(114)

This last integral is, up to the factor $\frac{1}{\gamma - 1}$, the same as (104); consequently, we obtain:

$$\langle A_N \rangle_{N \to \infty} \sim \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \right) \int_K^\infty x^2 \, F'_N(x) \, dx,$$

which, combined to (113) and simplified, yields:

$$\langle A_N \rangle_{N \to \infty} \sim \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} \left( \frac{C}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \Gamma \left( 2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) \right) N^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}.$$

(115)

This coincides with the result of Carnal [35].
Asymptotic results for general isotropic case:

The large $N$ asymptotic analysis for a general isotropic distribution, both for the mean perimeter and the mean area, can be done following the details presented in the above two examples. We just provide a summary here without repeating the details.

**Average Perimeter:**

- **Exponential tails** ($p(x, y) \sim Ae^{-(x^2+y^2)^{\gamma/2}}$ when $(x^2 + y^2) \to \infty$)

\[
\langle L_N \rangle \sim 2\pi \log^{1/\alpha} N
\]

- **Power-law tails** ($p(x, y) \sim \frac{A}{(x^2+y^2)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}}):

\[
\langle L_N \rangle \sim 2\pi \left( \frac{AB(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\gamma+1}{2})}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \Gamma \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) N^{\frac{1}{\gamma}},
\]

where $B(x, y)$ is the beta function.

- **Truncated tails** ($p(x, y) \sim A(a - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2})^{\gamma-1}$):

\[
\langle L_N \rangle \sim 2\pi \left( a - \frac{f(a, \gamma)}{N^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \right),
\]

with

\[
f(a, \gamma) = \frac{(\gamma + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(2\frac{1}{\gamma})}{(2A\sqrt{2a})^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}
\]

Therefore, we do find as expected the distinction between the three different universality classes of extreme-value statistics. The sets of independent points drawn from distributions with exponential tails have, on average when $N$ becomes large, a convex hull whose perimeter increases more slowly (in powers of $\log N$) than sets drawn from distribution with power-law tails (for which the growth of the perimeter is in powers of $N$), which reveals the lesser probability of having points very far from the origin in exponential-tailed distributions than in power-law tailed distributions.

**Average area:**

- **Exponential tails:**

\[
\langle A_N \rangle \sim \pi \log^{\frac{2}{\gamma}} N
\]

- **Power-law tails:**

\[
\langle A_N \rangle \sim \pi \left( \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} \right) \left( \frac{AB(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\gamma+1}{2})}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{2}{\gamma}} \Gamma \left( 2 - \frac{2}{\gamma} \right) N^{\frac{2}{\gamma}}
\]
– Truncated tails:

\[
\langle A_N \rangle \sim \pi a^2 \left(1 - \frac{8}{3 N} f(a, \gamma)\right),
\]

with

\[
f(a, \gamma) = \frac{(\gamma + \frac{1}{2}) \gamma^{1+\gamma} \Gamma(\frac{2}{1+\gamma})}{(2A\sqrt{2\alpha})^{1+\gamma}}
\]

We find for the area the same characteristics as for the perimeter as far as the relative growths of convex hulls are concerned, depending on the shape of the initial distribution of the points. It is particularly worth noting that, in the case of exponential-tailed distributions, the asymptotic behaviour of the average perimeter and area of the convex hull correspond to the geometrical quantities of a circle centered on the origin and with radius \(\log^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} N\) (\(\alpha\) being the characteristic exponent of the initial distribution's exponential tails).\(^6\)

4.4 A non-isotropic case: points distributed uniformly in a square

Let us now examine a non-isotropic case: computing the average perimeter of the convex hull of \(N\) independent points distributed uniformly in a square of side \(a\). The bivariate probability density of the sample can be written as:

\[
p(x, y) = \frac{1}{a^2} \Theta\left(\frac{a^2}{4} - x^2\right) \Theta\left(\frac{a^2}{4} - y^2\right),
\]

where \(\Theta\) is the Heaviside step function.

We will consider, as described in the introductory part of this section, the projection of the sample on the line through the origin making an angle \(\theta\) with the \(x\)-axis. We write the random variable corresponding to the projection of a sample point \(z \equiv x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta\). Its density will be given by:

\[
q(z) = \frac{1}{a^2} \int_{-\frac{a}{2}}^{\frac{a}{2}} \delta(z - x \cos \theta - y \sin \theta) \Theta\left(\frac{a^2}{4} - x^2\right) \Theta\left(\frac{a^2}{4} - y^2\right) \, dx \, dy,
\]

where \(\delta\) is the Dirac delta function.

Using the symmetry of the square, we can focus on \(0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{4}\) and write

\[
x = \frac{z - y \sin \theta}{\cos \theta}.
\]

This enables us to simplify \((117)\):

\[
q(z) = \frac{1}{a^2 \cos \theta} \int_{-\frac{a}{2}}^{\frac{a}{2}} \Theta\left(\frac{a^2}{4} - \left(\frac{z - y \sin \theta}{\cos \theta}\right)^2\right) \Theta\left(\frac{a^2}{4} - y^2\right) \, dy.
\]

\(^6\) This is to be compared with Geffroy’s results [66], p. 4
Enforcing the condition that any point of the sample lies inside the square and thus making the Heaviside functions non-zero, we have:

\[(i) \quad -\frac{a}{2} \leq y \leq \frac{a}{2} \quad (119)\]

\[(ii) \quad -\frac{a}{2} \leq \frac{z-y}{\sin \theta} \leq \frac{a}{2} \quad (120)\]

\[\therefore \max \left( -\frac{a}{2}, \frac{z-\frac{a}{2}\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \right) \leq y \leq \min \left( \frac{a}{2}, \frac{z+\frac{a}{2}\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \right) \quad (121)\]

and:

\[-\frac{a}{2}(\cos \theta + \sin \theta) \leq z \leq \frac{a}{2}(\cos \theta + \sin \theta) \quad (122)\]

There will be 3 cases:

1. For

\[-\frac{a}{2}(\cos \theta + \sin \theta) \leq z \leq \frac{a}{2}(\sin \theta - \cos \theta),\]

the y-coordinate will vary between \(-\frac{a}{2}\) and \(\frac{a}{2}(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)\) and therefore:

\[q(z) = z + \frac{a}{2}(\cos \theta + \sin \theta) \frac{\cos \theta}{a^2 \cos \theta \sin \theta}. \quad (123)\]

2. For

\[\frac{a}{2}(\sin \theta - \cos \theta) \leq z \leq \frac{a}{2}(\cos \theta - \sin \theta),\]

the y-coordinate will vary between \(-\frac{a}{2}\) and \(\frac{a}{2}\) and therefore:

\[q(z) = \frac{1}{a \cos \theta}. \quad (124)\]

3. For

\[\frac{a}{2}(\cos \theta - \sin \theta) \leq z \leq \frac{a}{2}(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)\]

the y-coordinate will vary between \(\frac{\frac{a}{2}\cos \theta}{\sin \theta}\) and \(\frac{a}{2}\) and therefore:

\[q(z) = \frac{\frac{a}{2}(\cos \theta + \sin \theta) - z}{a^2 \cos \theta \sin \theta}. \quad (125)\]

To lighten the notation, let us write henceforth:

\[a_\theta = \frac{a}{2}(\cos \theta + \sin \theta) \quad (126)\]

\[b_\theta = a^2 \cos \theta \sin \theta \quad (127)\]

Denoting as before by \(M_N(\theta)\) the value of the support function of the sample at angle \(\theta\), that is, the value of the maximal projection on direction \(\theta\), we have:

\[\langle M_N(\theta) \rangle = \int_{-a_\theta}^{a_\theta} z F_{\theta,N}(z) \, dz \quad (128)\]

\[= \left[ z F_{\theta,N}(z) \right]_{-a_\theta}^{a_\theta} - \int_{-a_\theta}^{a_\theta} F_{\theta,N}(z) \, dz \quad (129)\]

\[= a_\theta - I_\theta, \quad (130)\]
where:

\[ F_{\theta,N}(z) = \left[ \int_{-a}^{z} q(z') \, dz' \right]^N \]  \hspace{1cm} (131)

\[ I_{\theta} = \int_{-a}^{a} F_{\theta,N}(z) \, dz \]  \hspace{1cm} (132)

To compute \( I_{\theta} \) we make use of our knowledge of \( q(z) \) (Eqs. (123), (124), (125)) and we obtain:

\[
\langle M_N(\theta) \rangle = a_{\theta} - \frac{\sin \theta \tan^N \theta}{2^{N-1}(2N+1)} - \frac{\cos \theta}{2^N(N+1)} \left[ (2 - \tan \theta)^{N+1} - \tan^{N+1} \theta \right]
- \sqrt{b_{\theta} \tan \theta} \, _2F_1\left( \frac{1}{2}, -N; \frac{3}{2}, \frac{\tan \theta}{2} \right),
\]  \hspace{1cm} (133)

\(_2F_1\) being a hypergeometric function.

Using known facts about the asymptotic behaviour of hypergeometric series [1], \( \langle M_N(\theta) \rangle \) can be seen to behave in the following way for large \( N \):

\[
\langle M_N(\theta) \rangle \sim a_{\theta} - \sqrt{\frac{\pi b_{\theta}}{2N}} + o\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \right)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (134)

This then yields the desired result:

\[
\langle L_N \rangle = 8 \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \langle M_N(\theta) \rangle \, d\theta \sim 4 \, a \, \left( 1 - \pi \frac{\Gamma\left( \frac{3}{4} \right)}{\Gamma\left( \frac{1}{4} \right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (135)

This is the same as Rényi and Sulanke’s [132], which they obtained from a different approach. Note that, as in the case of points distributed uniformly inside a disk, the average perimeter of the convex hull tends to that of the boundary of the support — here \( 4a \), the perimeter of the square — when the number \( N \) of points becomes large. However, the convergence here is slower than for a support with a smooth boundary like the disk: \( N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \) versus \( N^{-\frac{1}{3}} \).

One can think that, physically and statistically, it is somehow “more difficult” for the points of the sample to reach inside the corners of the square, making the convergence of the convex hull towards the square all the more slower.

5 Correlated Points: One or more Brownian Motions

As mentioned before, one of the advantages of the support function approach that we use in this paper is its generality: it can be applied to samples with correlations as well as to samples of independent points. In this Section, we study, using this method, the convex hull of \( n \) planar Brownian paths, a topic that has so far been considered only in the \( n = 1 \) case [58,72,73,101,151].
Beyond its interest from a theoretical point of view, the study of the convex hull of \( n \) planar Brownian paths can be motivated by a question of particular relevance to the conservation of animal species in their habitat, as we shall see before giving the details of results.

5.1 Planar Brownian paths and home-range

A question that ecologists often face, in particular in designing a conservation area to preserve a given animal population [118], is how to estimate the home-range of this animal population. Roughly speaking this means the following. In order to survive over a certain length of time, the animals need to search for food and hence explore a certain region of space. How much space one needs to assign for a group of say \( n \) animals? For instance, in the case of species having a nest to which they return, say, every night, the "length of time" is just the duration of a day. In ecology, the home range is simply defined as the territory explored by the herd during its daily search for food over a fixed length of time. Different methods are used to estimate this territory, based on the monitoring of the animals’ positions [68, 159]. One of these consists in simply the minimum convex polygon enclosing all monitored positions, called the convex hull. While this may seem simple minded, it remains, under certain circumstances, the best way to proceed [21].

The monitored positions, for one animal, will appear as the vertices of a path whose statistical properties will depend on the type of motion the animal is performing. In particular, during phases of food searching known as foraging, the monitored positions can be described as the vertices of a random walk in the plane [12,16,56]. For animals whose daily motion consists mainly in foraging, quantities of interest about their home range, such as its perimeter and area, can be estimated through the average perimeter and area of the convex hull of the corresponding random walk (Fig. 5). If the recorded

![Convex hull of a 7-step random walk](image)

**Fig. 5** Convex hull of a 7-step random walk

positions are numerous (which might result from a very fine and/or long monitoring), the number of steps of the random walker becomes large and to a good approximation the trajectory of a discrete-time planar random walk (with finite variance of the step sizes) can be replaced by a continuous-time planar Brownian motion of a certain duration \( T \). (Fig. 6)
The home range of a single animal can thus be characterized by the mean perimeter and area of the convex hull of a planar Brownian motion of duration $T$ starting at origin $O$. Both ‘open’ (where the endpoint of the path is free) and ‘closed’ paths (that are constrained to return to the origin in time $T$) are of interest. The latter corresponds, for instance, to an animal returning every night to its nest after spending the day foraging in the surroundings. As we have seen in our review of existing results, the average perimeter and area of the convex hull of an open Brownian path are known [58, 151], as is the average perimeter for a closed path [72]. It seems natural and logical to seek an extension of these results to an arbitrary number of paths (fig. 7), both from a theoretical point of view and from an ecological one, since many animals live in herds. We show first how to use the support-function method for $n = 1$ planar Brownian paths and then for $n > 1$. 

5.2 Convex hull of a planar Brownian path

We consider here a planar Brownian path of duration $T$, starting from the origin $O$: 

$$B(\tau) = (x(\tau), y(\tau))$$
with
\[ 0 \leq \tau \leq T, \]
x(\tau) and y(\tau) being standard 1-dimensional Brownian motions of duration T obeying the following Langevin equations:
\[ \dot{x}(\tau) = \eta_x(\tau) \]
and
\[ \dot{y}(\tau) = \eta_y(\tau) \]
where \( \eta_x(\tau) \) and \( \eta_y(\tau) \) are independent Gaussian white noises, with zero mean and delta-correlation:
\[ \langle \eta_x(\tau) \eta_x(\tau') \rangle = \delta(\tau - \tau'). \]

Let us note incidentally that this implies:
\[ \langle x^2(\tau) \rangle = \tau \]
and
\[ \langle y^2(\tau) \rangle = \tau \] (137)

Fix a direction \( \theta \). We use as before (Eqs. (47) and (48)) the projection on direction \( \theta \):
\[ z_{\theta}(\tau) = x(\tau) \cos \theta + y(\tau) \sin \theta \]
and
\[ h_{\theta}(\tau) = -x(\tau) \sin \theta + y(\tau) \cos \theta. \]

Now, \( z_{\theta} \) and \( h_{\theta} \) are two independent 1-dimensional Brownian motion (each of duration T), parametrized by \( \theta \). It thus appears that \( M(\theta) \) is simply the maximum of the 1-dimensional Brownian motion \( z_{\theta}(\tau) \) on the interval \( \tau \in [0, T] \), i.e.,
\[ M(\theta) = \max_{\tau \in [0,T]} [z_{\theta}(\tau)]. \]

Furthermore, if we write \( \tau^* \) the time at which this maximum is attained, then:
\[ M(\theta) = z_{\theta}(\tau^*) = x(\tau^*) \cos \theta + y(\tau^*) \sin \theta. \]

Deriving with respect to \( \theta \) gives:
\[ M'(\theta) = -x(\tau^*) \sin \theta + y(\tau^*) \cos \theta = h_{\theta}(\tau^*). \]

In words, if \( M(\theta) \) is the maximum of the first Brownian motion \( z_{\theta}(\tau) \), \( M'(\theta) \) corresponds to the value of the second, independent motion \( h_{\theta}(\tau) \) at the time \( \tau = \tau^* \) when the first one attains its maximum. (cf. Fig. 8(a) and 8(b)).

In particular, when \( \theta = 0 \), \( z_{0}(\tau) = x(\tau) \) and \( h_{0}(\tau) = y(\tau) \), and \( M(0) \) is then the maximum of \( x(\tau) \) on the interval \( \tau \in [0, T] \) while \( M'(0) = y(\tau^*) \) is the value of \( y \) at the time \( \tau^* \) when \( x \) attains its maximum.
Recall that in isotropic cases, Cauchy’s formulae (Eqs. (51) and (52)) simplify to:
\[
\langle L \rangle = 2\pi \langle M(0) \rangle \quad (138)
\]
\[
\langle A \rangle = \pi \left( \langle [M(0)]^2 \rangle - \langle [M'(0)]^2 \rangle \right) . \quad (139)
\]

The planar motion that we are considering here is assumed to be isotropic and we will thus use this version of the formulae.

The distribution of the maximum of a 1-dimensional Brownian motion \(x(\tau)\) on \([0,T]\) is known, and given by the cumulative distribution function:
\[
F(M) = \text{Prob}[M(0) \leq M] = \text{erf} \left( \frac{M}{\sqrt{2T}} \right) , \quad (140)
\]
with
\[
\text{erf}(z) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^z e^{-u^2} \, du.
\]
The first two moments of this distribution are readily computed:
\[
\langle M(0) \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2T}{\pi}}
\]
and
\[
\langle [M(0)]^2 \rangle = T.
\]

Equation (138) then yields the average perimeter of the convex hull of a planar Brownian path,
\[
\langle L \rangle = \sqrt{8\pi T} . \quad (141)
\]

It is slightly more complex to compute the average area enclosed by the convex hull as one then needs to compute \(\langle [M'(0)]^2 \rangle\). Let us first recall (Eq. (137)) that for a given \(\tau^*\),
\[
E[y^2(\tau^*)] = \tau^*
\]
(since \( y \) is a standard Brownian motion), the expectation being taken over all possible realisations of \( y \) at fixed \( \tau^* \). But \( \tau^* \) is itself a random variable, since it is the time at which the first process, \( x \), attains its maximum. One therefore also has to average over the probability density of \( \tau^* \) (which is given by Lévy’s celebrated arcsine law: \( \rho_1(\tau^*) = [\tau^*(T - \tau^*)]^{-1/2}/\pi \)); this leads to:

\[
\langle |M'(0)|^2 \rangle = \langle \tau^* \rangle = T/2;
\]

whence one obtains, via equation (139), the exact expression for the average area enclosed by the convex hull of the motion:

\[
\langle A \rangle = \frac{\pi T}{2}.
\]  

(142)

If we now consider a closed Brownian path in the plane, that is, one constrained to return to the origin after time \( T \), the reasoning is completely similar, but for \( x(\tau) \) and \( y(\tau) \) which are now Brownian bridges of duration \( T \): both start from the origin and are constrained to return to it at time \( T \):

\[
x(0) = x(T) = 0
\]

\[
y(0) = y(T) = 0.
\]

The distribution of the maximum of a Brownian bridge is also known, and its first two moments are given by:

\[
\langle M(0) \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\pi T}{8}}
\]

and

\[
\langle [M(0)]^2 \rangle = T/2.
\]

Equation (138) gives us as before the average perimeter of the convex hull:

\[
\langle L \rangle = \sqrt{T/2}.
\]  

(143)

To compute the average area enclosed by the convex hull \( y(\tau) \), let us first note that for a Brownian bridge, at a fixed time \( \tau^* \):

\[
E[y^2(\tau^*)] = \frac{\tau^*(T - \tau^*)}{T}.
\]

Let us then recall another well-known result: the probability density of \( \tau^* \) is uniform. Thus, averaging on \( \tau^* \), with uniform distribution \( \rho_1(\tau^*) = 1/T \), we obtain:

\[
\langle M'(0)^2 \rangle = \langle y^2(\tau^*) \rangle = \frac{T}{6}.
\]

Finally, as before, equation (139) leads us to an exact expression for the average area enclosed by the convex hull of a 2d Brownian bridge:

\[
\langle A \rangle = \frac{\pi T}{3}.
\]  

(144)
Results (141) and (142) had been computed by M. El Bachir [58], using the same approach as here, hinted at by L. Takács [151]. Equation (143) is given by A. Goldman. The last result, (144), is, to the best of our knowledge, new, as are those in the next paragraph, regarding the convex hull of several planar Brownian motions.

5.3 Convex hull of $n$ planar Brownian paths

As mentioned earlier, the method can then be generalized to $n$ independent planar Brownian paths, open or closed. We now have two sets of $n$ Brownian paths: $x_j(\tau)$ and $y_j(\tau)$ ($j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$). All paths are independent of each other. Since isotropy holds, we can still use Eqs. (138) and (139), except that $M(0)$ now denotes the global maximum of a set of $n$ independent one dimensional Brownian paths (or bridges for closed paths) $x_j(\tau)$ ($j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$), each of duration $T$,

$$M(0) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \max_{0 \leq \tau \leq T} [x_1(\tau), x_2(\tau), \ldots, x_n(\tau)].$$

(145)

Let $j_*$ and $\tau^*$ denote the label of the path and the time at which this global maximum is achieved. Then, using argument similar to the $n = 1$ case, it is easy to see that $M'(0) = y_{j_*}(\tau^*)$, i.e., the position of the $j_*$-th y path at the time when the x paths achieve their global maximum.

To compute the first two moments of $M(0)$, we first compute the distribution $P_n[M(0), T]$ of the global maximum of $n$ independent Brownian paths (or bridges) $x_j(\tau)$. This is a standard extreme value calculation.

5.4 Open paths

Consider first $n$ open Brownian paths. It is easier to compute the cumulative probability,

$$F_n(M) = \text{Prob}[M(0) \leq M].$$

Since the Brownian paths are independent, it follows that

$$F_n(M) = [F(M)]^n,$$

where

$$F(M) = \text{erf} \left( \frac{M}{\sqrt{2T}} \right)$$

for a single path mentioned before.

Knowing this cumulative distribution $F_n(M(0))$, the first two moments $\langle M(0) \rangle$ and $\langle [M(0)]^2 \rangle$ can be computed for all $n$. Using the result for $\langle M(0) \rangle$ in Eq. (138) gives us the mean perimeter, $\langle L_N \rangle = \alpha_n \sqrt{T}$ with

$$\alpha_N = 4n \sqrt{2\pi} \int_0^\infty du \ u \ e^{-u^2} [\text{erf}(u)]^{n-1}.$$  

(146)
The first few values are:

\[ \alpha_1 = \sqrt{8\pi} = 5.013..., \]
\[ \alpha_2 = 4\sqrt{\pi} = 7.089..., \]
\[ \alpha_3 = 24 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) / \sqrt{\pi} = 8.333... \]

(see Fig. 11 for a plot of \( \alpha_n \) vs. \( n \)).

For large \( n \), one can analyse the integral in Eq. (146) by the saddle point method giving:

\[ \alpha_n \sim 2\pi \sqrt{2 \log n}. \quad (147) \]

(Details of the analysis are given in appendix C)

This logarithmic dependence on \( n \) is thus a direct consequence of extreme value statistics [77] and the calculation of the mean perimeter of the convex hull of \( n \) paths is a nice application of the extreme value statistics.

To compute the mean area, we need to calculate \( \langle [M'(0)]^2 \rangle \) in Eq. (139).

We proceed as in the \( n = 1 \) case. For a fixed label \( j \) and fixed time \( \tau \):

\[ E[y_j^2(\tau)] = \tau, \]

which follows from the fact that \( y_j(\tau) \) is simply a Brownian motion. Thus:

\[ E[y_j^2(\tau^*)] = \tau^*. \]

Next, we need to average over \( \tau^* \) which is the time at which the global maximum in Eq. (145) happens. The probability density \( \rho_n(\tau^*) \) of the time \( \tau^* \) of the global maximum of \( n \) independent Brownian motions (each of duration \( T \)), to our knowledge, is not known in the probability literature. We were able to compute this exactly for all \( n \) (details are given in appendix B). We find that

\[ \rho_n(\tau^*) = \frac{1}{T} f_n(\tau^*/T) \]

where the scaling function \( f_n(z) \) is given by

\[ f_n(z) = \frac{2n}{\pi \sqrt{z(1-z)}} \int_0^\infty dx \ x e^{-x^2} \left[ \text{erf} \left( x \sqrt{z} \right) \right]^{n-1}. \quad (148) \]

A plot of \( f_n(z) \) for various values of \( n \) is given in Fig. 5.4.

It is easy to check that for \( n = 1 \), it reproduces the arcsine law mentioned before.

Averaging over \( \tau^* \) drawn from this distribution, we can then compute

\[ \langle [M'(0)]^2 \rangle = \int_0^T \tau^* \rho_n(\tau^*) \, d\tau^*. \]

Substituting this in Eq. (139) gives the exact mean area for all \( n \), \( \langle A_n \rangle = \beta_n T \) with

\[ \beta_n = 4n \sqrt{\pi} \int_0^\infty du \ u \left[ \text{erf}(u) \right]^{n-1} \left( ue^{-u^2} - g(u) \right) \]

(149)
Fig. 9 Probability density $f_n(t)$ of the time $t$ at which the global maximum of $n$ Brownian motions, each of unit duration, is attained, as given by the formula in Eq. (148).

where

$$g(u) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^1 e^{-u^2/t} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}}$$

For example, the first few values are given by:

$$\beta_1 = \pi/2 = 1.570..$$
$$\beta_2 = \pi = 3.141..$$
$$\beta_3 = \pi + 3 - \sqrt{3} = 4.409..$$

(Fig. 11 shows a plot of $\beta_n$ vs $n$).

The large-$n$ analysis (details in appendix C) gives:

$$\beta_n \sim 2\pi \ln n.$$  \hspace{1cm} (153)

5.5 Closed paths

For $n$ closed Brownian planar paths one proceeds in a similar way. The differences are:

- the cumulative distribution function of the maximum of a single 1-dimensional motion is not given by equation 140 but by:

$$F(M) = 1 - e^{-2M^2}$$

- the propagator of the 1-dimensional motion obtained by projection on the $x$-axis is that of a Brownian bridge and so:

$$E[y_j^2(\tau^*)] = \frac{\tau^*(T - \tau^*)}{T}$$
the probability density of the time $\tau^*$ at which the maximum of $n$ 1-dimensional Brownian bridges occurs is given by (see details in appendix B):

$$\rho_n(\tau^*) = \frac{1}{T} g_n \left( \frac{\tau^*}{T} \right)$$

where the scaling function $g_n(z)$ is given by

$$g_n(z) = \frac{4n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty u^2 e^{-u^2} \left[ 1 - e^{-4u^2 z(1-z)} \right]^{n-1} du. \quad (154)$$

A plot of $g_n(z)$ for different $n$ is given in Fig. (10).

Following then the same route as for open paths, we find that the mean perimeter and area are given by:

$$\langle L_n \rangle = \alpha_n(c) \sqrt{T}$$

and

$$\langle A_n \rangle = \beta_n(c) T$$

where, for all $n$,

$$\alpha_n(c) = \frac{\pi^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{n}{k} \right) \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\sqrt{k}} \quad (155)$$

$$\beta_n(c) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} - \frac{n}{3} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{n} (-1)^k f(k) \right] \quad (156)$$

and

$$f(k) = \binom{n}{k} (k - 1)^{-3/2} \left( k \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{k - 1}) - \sqrt{k - 1} \right).$$
The first few values are:
\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_1(c) &= \sqrt{\pi^3/2} = 3.937. \\
\alpha_2(c) &= \sqrt{\pi^3(\sqrt{2} - 1/2)} = 5.090.. \\
\alpha_3(c) &= \sqrt{\pi^3(3/\sqrt{2} - 3/2 + 1/\sqrt{6})} = 5.732..
\end{align*}
\]
and
\[
\begin{align*}
\beta_1(c) &= \pi/3 = 1.047.. \\
\beta_2(c) &= \pi(4 + 3\pi)/24 = 1.757.. \\
\beta_3(c) &= 2.250..
\end{align*}
\]
(see Fig. 11 for a plot of \(\alpha_n(c)\) and \(\beta_n(c)\) vs. \(n\)).

Large \(n\) analysis (details in section C) shows that:
\[
\alpha_n(c) \sim \pi \sqrt{2 \ln n} \quad (160)
\]
and
\[
\beta_n(c) \sim \frac{\pi}{2} \ln n \quad (161)
\]
smaller respectively by a factor 1/2 and 1/4 than the corresponding results for open paths—as one’s intuition might suggest, given that a closed path is enforced to return to the origin.

5.6 Numerical simulations and discussion

We illustrate our analytical results on the convex hull of planar Brownian motion with some elementary numerical simulations. These require first to generate Brownian paths, and then to compute numerically the convex hull of the paths. Here we have used a simple algorithm known as Graham scan [74].

Let us recall the asymptotic behaviours of the exact formulae plotted in figure 11, which are given by equations (147), (153), (160) et (161).

For \(n\) open Brownian paths:
\[
\langle L_n \rangle \sim 2\pi \sqrt{2T \ln n} \quad (162)
\]
\[
\langle A_n \rangle \sim 2\pi T \ln n; 
\quad (163)
\]
and for \(n\) closed Brownian paths:
\[
\langle L_n^{(c)} \rangle \sim \pi \sqrt{2T \ln n} \quad (164)
\]
\[
\langle A_n^{(c)} \rangle \sim \frac{\pi}{2} T \ln n. 
\quad (165)
\]

\footnote{This algorithm is not the quickest one, but our aim was mainly illustrative. The question of convex-hull-finding algorithms is a classic one in computer science [51,142].}
Fig. 11 Setting $T = 1$, the analytical results for average perimeter $\alpha_n$ [Eq. (146), in red] and area $\beta_n$ [Eq. (149), in green] of $n$ open Brownian paths, and similarly the average perimeter $\alpha_n(c)$ [Eq. (155), in brown] and area $\beta_n(c)$ [Eq. (156), in purple] of $n$ closed Brownian paths, plotted against $n$. The symbols denote results from numerical simulations (up to $n = 10$, with $10^3$ realisations for each point).

In both cases, the ratio between the average value of the area of the convex hull and the square of the average value of the perimeter takes, asymptotically, the same value as in the case of a circle:

$$\frac{\langle A_n \rangle}{\langle L_n \rangle^2} \overset{n \to \infty}{\approx} \frac{1}{4\pi}$$

(166)

Thus, heuristically speaking, for large $n$, the convex hull of $n$ planar Brownian paths approaches a circle, centered at the origin, whose radius is obtained dividing $\langle L_n \rangle$ by $2\pi$:

$$R_n = \sqrt{2T \ln n}$$

(167)

for $n$ open paths; and:

$$R_n(c) = \sqrt{\frac{T \ln n}{2}}$$

(168)

for $n$ closed paths.

Note that for finite $n$ the shape of the convex hull is far from being a circle. It is only in the $n \to \infty$ limit that it approaches a circle. Roughly speaking, a large number of trajectories smoothen their global convex hull into a circular shape.

Let us also make another observation. In the limit of large $n$, the prefactor for the average area of the convex hull of $n$ open Brownian paths,
namely \( \log n \), is identical not only to that of the average area of the convex hull of \( n \) independent points drawn each from a Gaussian distribution \( \rho(x, y) \propto e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}} \), but also to that of the number of distinct sites visited by \( n \) independent random walkers on a lattice\(^8\). Indeed the number of distinct sites visited by \( n \) independent walkers on a lattice (e.g. \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \)) has been studied systematically by H. Larralde et al. \([93, 94]\) (see also \([2]\)). For \( n \) lattice walks (\( n \gg 1 \)) each of \( k \) step (steps are only allowed to neighbouring sites), Larralde et al. have identified three regimes according to the value of \( k \). For the second of these regimes, the intermediate one, the system is in a sort of diffusive state so that the number of distinct sites visited \( \langle S_n(k) \rangle \) grows like the area of the disk of radius \( \sqrt{k} \), that is, proportionally to \( k \), with a prefactor \( \log n \). In this regime, Acedo and Yuste \([2]\) describe the explored territory as "a corona of dendritic nature [characterized by filaments created by the random walkers wandering in the outer regions] and an inner hyperspherical core [where there is much overlapping]. (cf. fig. 13)."

The detailed transposition between lattice-walk models and ours (\( n \) planar Brownian motions of fixed duration \( T \)) requires much care\(^9\) but it is interesting to note that in the intermediate regime, the number of distinct

---

\(^8\) We thank Hernán Larralde for drawing our attention to this point.

\(^9\) In particular because of the transition to both continuous time (that is an infinite number of steps) and continuous space (a lattice constant that tends to 0).
Figure from Acedo and Yuste [2]: "A snapshot of the set of sites visited by $n = 1000$ random walkers on the two-dimensional lattice. The visited sites are in white, the unvisited ones are in black and the internal gray points are the random walkers. The outer white circle is centered on the starting point of the random walkers and its radius is the maximum distance from that point reached by any walker at the time the snapshot was taken. The internal black circle is concentric with the former but its radius is the distance between the origin and the nearest unvisited site."

sites visited by $n$ independent lattice walkers grows like a circle with the same radius as that of the convex hull of $n$ planar Brownian paths.

6 Conclusion

The method presented in this paper, based on the use of support functions and Cauchy formulae, allows one to treat, in a general way, random convex hull problems in a plane, whether the random points considered are independent or correlated. Our work makes an important link between the two-dimensional convex hull problem and the subject of extreme value statistics.

We have shown here how this method can be implemented successfully in the case of independent points in the plane and when the points are correlated as in the case when the points represent the positions of a planar Brownian motion of a given fixed duration $T$. This method should be adaptable to treat other types of random paths, such as discrete-time random walks or anomalous diffusion processes such as Lévy flights.

In addition, we have shown how to suitably generalise this method to compute the mean perimeter and the mean area of the global convex hull of
For example, can one go beyond the first moment and compute, for instance, the full distribution of the perimeter and the area of the convex hull of \( n \) independent Brownian paths?

- For a single random walker of \( N \) steps, the average number of vertices of its convex hull is known from Baxter’s work \([13]\): \( \langle F_N \rangle = 2(1 + 1/2 + 1/3 + \ldots + 1/N) \sim 2 \log(N) \) for large \( N \). It remains an outstanding problem to generalise this result to the case of the convex hull of \( n \) independent random walkers each of step \( N \).

- Furthermore, we have only studied the convex hull of \( n \) independent Brownian paths. However, in many situations, the animals interact with each other leading to collective behavior such as flocking. It would thus be very interesting to study the effect of interaction between walkers on the statistics of their convex hull.

- Finally, it would be interesting to study the statistics of the convex polytope associated with Brownian paths (one or more) in 3 dimensions. Let us remark that Cauchy’s formula exist in higher dimensions and that it is possible to apply a method similar to the one developped here in order to compute the average surface area\(^{10}\).

**Acknowledgements** We wish to thank D. Dhar, H. Larralde and B. Teissier for useful discussions.

**A Proof of Cauchy’s formulae**

We give here a quick ”proof” of Cauchy’s formulæ\(^{11}\):

\[
L = \int_{0}^{2\pi} M(\theta) \, d\theta \tag{169}
\]

\[
A = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left( M^2(\theta) - (M'(\theta))^2 \right) \, d\theta \tag{170}
\]

We consider a polygonal curve and, without loss of generality, examine the integrals appearing in Cauchy’s formulæ on a portion of the curve corresponding to the configuration shown on figure \(A\). On the interval \( \theta \in [-\phi_1, \phi_2] \), the value of the support function of the polygonal curve will be given by \( A \). Writing \( R \) for the distance between the origin \( O \) and vertex \( A \), we therefore have:

\[
M(\theta) = R \cos \theta \tag{171}
\]

The first of Cauchy’s formulæ then gives:

\[
L = \int_{-\phi_1}^{\phi_2} M(\theta) \, d\theta = R(\sin \phi_1 + \sin \phi_2), \tag{172}
\]

\(^{10}\) details will be published elsewhere

\(^{11}\) We thank Deepak Dhar for suggesting the idea of this demonstration.
which is indeed the length of the curve (that is, $H_1A + AH_2$) between $H_1$ and $H_2$.

As for the second of Cauchy’s formulae, it gives:

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \left( [M(\theta)]^2 - [M'(\theta)]^2 \right) d\theta$$

$$= \frac{R^2}{2} \int_{-\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \left[ \cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta \right] d\theta$$

$$= \frac{R^2}{2} (\sin \phi_2 \cos \phi_2 + \sin \phi_1 \cos \phi_1)$$

which is indeed the area of the polygon $OH_1AH_2$.

This proves the formulae for closed polygonal curves containing the origin. If the origin is outside, one can see that the signs of the various terms will lead to cancellations and the formulae will remain valid. Finally, taking the continuous limit yields the result for smooth curves.

**B Time at which the maximum of $n$ 1-dimensional Brownian motion is attained**

Let us write:

$$\text{Prob}(M_n = M, \tau^* = \tau) \equiv \rho_n(\tau, M) \ d\tau \ dM,$$  \hspace{1cm} (173)

where:

- $M_n$ is the global maximum of the $n$ Brownian motions,
- $\tau^*$ is the time at which this global maximum is attained,
- $\rho_n$ is the joint probability density function of $M_n$ and $\tau^*$. 

This probability can be written as the probability that one of the motions attains its maximum $M$ at time $\tau$ and the $n - 1$ others all have a maximum which is less than $M$:
\[
\rho_n(\tau, M) = n \rho_1(\tau, M)[F(M)]^{n-1},
\] (174)

$F(M)$ being, as before, the cumulative distribution function of the maximum of one standard Brownian motion on the interval $[0, T]$:
\[
F(M) = \text{erf} \left( \frac{M}{\sqrt{2T}} \right).
\]

The joint probability density function $\rho_1(\tau, M)$ of the maximum $M$ and the time $\tau$ at which it happens can be computed using various techniques. The simplest of them is to use the Feynman-Kac path integral method, but suitably adapted with a cut-off [103, 106]. This technique has recently been used [113, 123] to compute exactly the joint distribution $\rho_1(\tau, M)$ of a single Brownian motion, but subject to a variety of constraints, such as for a Brownian excursion, a Brownian meander etc. The results are nontrivial [113] and have been recently verified using an alternative functional renormalization group approach [138]. For a single free Brownian motion (the case here), this method can be similarly used and it provides a simple and compact result
\[
\rho_1(\tau, M) = \frac{M}{\pi \tau^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\frac{M^2}{2\tau}}.
\]

We then obtain the marginal distribution $\rho_n(\tau)$ by integrating out $M$. It has the scaling form $\rho_n(\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau} f_n \left( \frac{\tau}{\tau} \right)$ where the scaling function $f_n(z)$ is given in Eq. (148) and is plotted, for various values of $n$, in Fig. (5.4).

For Brownian bridges, the reasoning is exactly the same, but of course $F(M)$ and $\rho_1$ differ:
\[
\rho_1(\tau, M) = \frac{2T}{\pi} \frac{M^2}{\tau(T - \tau)} e^{-\frac{M^2}{2\tau^2(\tau - T)}}
\] (175)
\[
F(M) = 1 - e^{-\frac{2M^2}{\tau^2}},
\] (176)

which can both be derived using the technique we pointed to above [103, 105, 113, 123].

We then obtain $\rho_n(\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau} g_n \left( \frac{\tau}{\tau} \right)$ where
\[
g_n(z) = \frac{4n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty u^2 e^{-u^2} \left[ 1 - e^{-4n^2 z (1 - z)} \right]^{n-1} du.
\] (177)

Figure [10] shows a plot of $g_n(z)$ for different values of $n$.

C Asymptotic behaviour

C.1 Open paths - average perimeter

Letting $M_n$ be the maximum of $n$ independent Brownian paths each of duration $T$, we write $\text{Prob}(M_n \leq M) \equiv F_1(M)$. This can be expressed in terms of the cumulative distribution function $F_1(M)$ of the maximum of a standard one-dimensional
Brownian motion:

\[ F_n(M) = [F(M)]^n = \left[ \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{M \sqrt{T}} e^{-u^2} \, du \right]^n = \left[ 1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_M^{\infty} e^{-u^2} \, du \right]^n \] 

(178)

In the limit when \( n \) and \( M \) become very large, (178) becomes:

\[ F_n(M) \sim \exp \left[ n \ln \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_M^{\infty} e^{-u^2} \, du \right) \right] \]

\[ \sim \exp \left[ -n \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_M^{\infty} e^{-u^2} \, du \right] \] 

(179)

Integrating by parts yields:

\[ \int_M^{\infty} e^{-u^2} \, du = \sqrt{T} e^{-\frac{M^2}{2T}} + O(1) \] 

(180)

Inserting this into equation (179), one obtains:

\[ F_n(M) \sim e^{-\frac{2n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_M^{\infty} e^{-u^2} \, du} \]

\[ \sim e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2T}} (M^2 - 2T \ln n)} \] 

(181)

(182)

Here we write:

\[ \delta \equiv M - \sqrt{2T \ln n}, \]

assuming that \( \delta \) vanishes at large \( n \), as we will be able to check a posteriori. It follows that:

\[ M^2 = 2T \ln n \left( 1 + \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{2T \ln n}} \right)^2 \sim 2T \ln n \left( 1 + \frac{2\delta}{\sqrt{2T \ln n}} \right) \]

Hence:

\[ M^2 - 2T \ln n \sim 2\delta \sqrt{2T \ln n} = 2\sqrt{2T \ln n} (M - \sqrt{2T \ln n}) \]

Inserting this into (182) yields:

\[ F_n(M) \sim e^{-e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2T}} (M^2 - 2T \ln n)}} \] 

(183)

Now, to compute the asymptotic behaviour of \( M_n \) when \( n \) is large, let us start from:

\[ \langle M_n \rangle = \int_0^\infty M F_n(M) \, dM \]

\[ \sim \int_0^\infty M F_n(M) \, dM \] 

(184)
where $A >> 1$ will "disappear" at a later stage.

Combining this with Eq. (183), one obtains:

$$\langle M_n \rangle \sim 2 T \sqrt{2 \ln n} e^{-\frac{2}{T} \ln n} e^{-\frac{2}{T} \sqrt{2 T \ln n}} \, dM$$  \hspace{1cm} (185)$$

Setting:

$$y = \frac{2 \log n}{T} \left( M - \sqrt{2 T \ln n} \right)$$

one arrives at:

$$\langle M_n \rangle \sim \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sqrt{2 T \ln n} + y \sqrt{\frac{T}{2 \ln n}} \right) e^{-y} e^{-\frac{y}{2}} \, dy$$  \hspace{1cm} (186)$$

In the limit when $n \to \infty$, this leads to:

$$\langle M_n \rangle \sim \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{2 T \ln n} e^{-y} e^{-\frac{y}{2}} \, dy$$

$$\sim \sqrt{2 T \ln n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (187)$$

Thus, the average perimeter of the convex hull of $n$ Brownian paths in the plane behaves for large $n$

$$\langle L_n \rangle \sim 2 \pi \sqrt{2 T \ln n}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (188)$$

C.2 Open paths: average area

We wish to compute the asymptotical behaviour (for $n$ large) of the average area of the convex hull of $n$ open Brownian paths in the plane, all independent and of duration $T$. We apply Cauchy’s formula (139) in the context of isotropic samples:

$$\langle A_n \rangle = \pi \left( \langle M_n^2 \rangle - \langle [M_n^1]^2 \rangle \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (189)$$

The first term of the right-hand side is easily computed (it suffices to substitute $M^2$ for $M$ in Eq. (185)):

$$\langle M_n^2 \rangle \sim 2 T \ln n$$  \hspace{1cm} (190)$$

To obtain (153), it thus remains to show that $\langle A_n \rangle$ is dominated by $\langle M_n^2 \rangle$. Recall Eq. (149):

$$\langle A_n \rangle = 4 n T \sqrt{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{du}{u} \left[ \text{erf}(u) \right]^{n-1} \left( u e^{-u^2} - g(u) \right),$$

where

$$g(u) = \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-u^2/\tau} d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (191)$$

The integral in Eq. (191) is dominated by the contribution from the large-$u$ part. Therefore, we examine in this limit:

$$\int_0^1 \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}} e^{-u^2}$$

$$\sim \frac{2}{\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}} e^{-u^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (192)$$
Setting \( \tau = 1 - y \):

\[
\int_0^1 d\tau \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 - \tau)}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{\tau}} = \int_0^1 dy \frac{1}{\sqrt{y(1 - y)}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{y}}
\]

\[
\sim \int_0^1 dy \frac{1}{\sqrt{y(1 - y)}} e^{-u^2(1 + y)}
\]

\[
\sim e^{-u^2} \int_0^1 dy \frac{1}{\sqrt{y(1 - y)}} e^{-yu^2}
\]

We now write:

\[ z = u\sqrt{y} \]

This leads to:

\[
\int_0^1 d\tau \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 - \tau)}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{\tau}} \sim e^{-\frac{u^2}{\tau}} \int_0^\infty \frac{2z}{u^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{u^2}{2} (1 - \frac{u^2}{2})}} e^{-z^2}
\]

\[
\sim \frac{2e^{-u^2}}{u} \int_0^\infty dz \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{u^2}{2}}} e^{-z^2}
\]

\[
\sim \frac{2e^{-u^2}}{u} \int_0^\infty dz (1 + \frac{z^2}{2u^2}) e^{-z^2}
\]

\[
\sim \frac{2e^{-u^2}}{u} \pi \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{erf}(u) + \frac{e^{-u^2}}{u} \int_0^\infty dz \frac{z^2 e^{-z^2}}{u^2}
\]

An integration by parts shows that the second term on the right-hand side is \( o \left( \frac{e^{-u^2}}{u^2} \right) \), whence:

\[
\int_0^1 d\tau \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 - \tau)}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{\tau}} \sim \frac{\sqrt{\pi} e^{-u^2}}{u}.
\]

Returning to Eq. (191), where the integral is dominated by the contribution from the large \( u \) part, we have:

\[
\langle A_n \rangle = 4nT \sqrt{\pi} \int_0^\infty du \ u \ [\text{erf}(u)]^{n-1} \left( u e^{-u^2} - g(u) \right)
\]

\[
\sim 4nT \sqrt{\pi} \int_0^\infty du \ u \ [\text{erf}(u)]^{n-1} \left( u e^{-u^2} - \frac{e^{-u^2}}{2u} \right)
\]

\[
\sim 4nT \sqrt{\pi} \int_0^\infty du \ u^2 \ [\text{erf}(u)]^{n-1} e^{-u^2}
\]

\[
\sim \pi \langle M^2 \rangle
\]

\[
\sim 2\pi T \ln n.
\]

C.3 Closed paths: average perimeter

The calculation is analogous to that of subsection C.1; indeed, the only difference is that the cumulative distribution function \( F_n \) of the maximum \( M \) of \( n \) one-dimensional Brownian bridges is given by:

\[
F_n(M) = \left[ 1 - e^{-\frac{2M^2}{T}} \right]^n
\]
In the limit when $n$ and $M$ become very large, we then have:

$$F_n(M) \sim \exp\left(n \ln \left(1 - e^{-\frac{2M^2}{T}}\right)\right) \sim e^{-e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{2M^2}{T} - \frac{T}{\ln n})}}$$

(203)

We retrieve here the same equation as (182), where $T$ is replaced by $\frac{T}{4}$. We can thus deduce the result given in (160):

$$\langle L_n \rangle \sim \pi \sqrt{2T \ln n}.$$  

(204)

C.4 Closed paths: average area

We wish to compute the asymptotic behaviour (for $n$ large) of the average area of the convex hull of $n$ closed Brownian paths in the plane, all independent and of duration $T$. The analysis is similar to that of subsection C.2. We apply Cauchy’s formula (139) in the context of isotropic samples:

$$\langle A_n \rangle = \pi \left(\langle M_n^2 \rangle - \langle [M_n']^2 \rangle \right).$$

(205)

The first term on the right-hand side is, as before, easily computed from subsections C.2 and C.1

$$\langle M_n^2 \rangle \sim \frac{T}{2} \ln n$$

(206)

We now show that $\langle A_n \rangle$ is dominated by $\langle M_n^2 \rangle$. The equivalent of Eq. (191) for closed paths is:

$$\langle A_n \rangle = \frac{2nT}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} du \, u^2 \left(1 - e^{-u^2}\right)^{n-1} \left(ue^{-u^2} - g(u)\right),$$

(207)

where:

$$g(u) = \frac{1}{8} \int_0^1 d\tau \frac{e^{-\frac{u^2}{\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}}}}{\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}}.$$

The integral in Eq. (207) is dominated by the contribution from the large-$u$ part. Therefore, we examine $g(u)$ in this limit.

$$g(u) = \frac{1}{8} \int_0^1 d\tau \frac{e^{-\frac{u^2}{\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}}}}{\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}} \sim \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 d\tau \frac{e^{-\frac{u^2}{\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}}}}{\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}}$$

(208)

We now write:

$$\tau = \frac{1}{2} - z.$$

(209)

This leads to:

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 d\tau \frac{e^{-\frac{u^2}{\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}}}}{\sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\frac{1}{2} dz \frac{e^{-\frac{u^2}{1-4z}}}{\sqrt{1-4z}}.$$
Setting: $v = 2uz$, then yields:

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dz \frac{e^{-\frac{v^2}{1-4z^2}}}{\sqrt{1-4z^2}} = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^u dv \frac{e^{-\frac{v^2}{u^2}}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^2}{u^2}}} \\
\sim \frac{1}{4} \frac{e^{-u^2}}{u} \int_0^u dv \ e^{-v^2} \left(1 + \frac{v^2}{2u^2}\right) \\
\sim \frac{e^{-u^2}}{8u} \sqrt{\pi} \ \text{erf}(u) + O\left(\frac{e^{-u^2}}{u^2}\right)
$$

Returning to Eq. (207), where the integral is dominated by the contribution from the large-$u$ part, we therefore have:

$$
\langle A_n \rangle \sim \frac{2nT}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty du \ u^2 \left(1 - e^{-u^2}\right)^{n-1} \left(ue^{-u^2} - g(u)\right) \quad (210) \\
\sim \frac{2nT}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty du \ u^2 \left(1 - e^{-u^2}\right)^{n-1} \left(ue^{-u^2} - \frac{\sqrt{\pi}e^{-u^2}}{8u}\right) \quad (211) \\
\sim \frac{2nT}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty du \ u^3 \left(1 - e^{-u^2}\right)^{n-1} e^{-u^2} \quad (212) \\
\sim \pi (M_2^2) \quad (213) \\
\sim \frac{\pi T}{2} \ln n. \quad (214)
$$
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