Gut Microbial Diversity in Female Patients With Invasive Mole and Choriocarcinoma and Its Differences Versus Healthy Controls
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Objective: To investigate variation in gut microbiome in female patients with invasive mole (IM) and choriocarcinoma (CC) and compare it with healthy controls.

Methods: Fecal microbiome of 12 female patients with IM, 9 female patients with CC, and 24 healthy females were analyzed based on 16s rDNA sequencing. Alpha (α) diversity was evaluated using Shannon diversity index and Pielou evenness index, while beta (β) diversity was assessed using principle coordinate analysis (PCoA) of unweighted Unifrac distances. The potential functional changes of microbiomes were predicted using Tax4Fun. The relative abundance of microbial taxa was compared using Welch’s t test. The role of varied gut microbiota was analyzed via receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve.

Results: The α diversity and β diversity were significantly different between IM patients and controls, but not between CC patients and controls. In addition, the abundance of cancer-related genes was significantly increased in IM and CC patients. Notably, a total of 19 families and 39 genera were found to have significant differences in bacterial abundance. ROC analysis indicated that Prevotella_7 may be a potential biomarker among IM, CC, and controls.

Conclusion: Our study demonstrated that the diversity and composition of gut microbiota among IM patients, CC patients, and healthy females were significantly different, which provides rationale for using gut microbiota as diagnostic markers and treatment targets, as well as for further study of gut microbiota in gestational trophoblastic neoplasia (GTN).
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INTRODUCTION

Gestational trophoblastic diseases (GTDs), originated from trophoblast cells, are pregnancy-related diseases, including benign, partial, and complete hydatidiform moles (HM), and malignant moles [gestational trophoblastic neoplasia (GTN)] (Victoria Diniz and Sun, 2018; Silva et al., 2021). GTN is a member of GTDs and can be developed from partial or complete HM (Golfer et al., 2007;
Lurain, 2010; Sun et al., 2016). Studies have shown that GTN can be formed by abnormal proliferation of trophoblast tissue after HM pregnancy or non-HM pregnancy (Seckl et al., 2013). Unfortunately, GTN can occur after any pregnancy event, including ectopic pregnancy and full-term pregnancy (Lurain, 2010). GTN is divided into invasive mole (IM), choriocarcinoma (CC), placental site trophoblastic tumor (PSTT), and epithelioid trophoblastic tumor (ETT) in accordance with the International Federation of Obstetrics and Gynecology (FIGO) scoring system (FIGO Committee on Gynecologic Oncology, 2009; Lurain, 2010). In most cases, GTN is usually diagnosed by monitoring human chorionic gonadotrophin (hCG) combined with histopathology (Lok et al., 2020). Progressively, specific tissue biomarkers have been increasingly used for differential diagnosis (Serino et al., 2012; Le Chatelier et al., 2013; Qian et al., 2020). An accurate diagnosis will contribute to the highest cure rate of up to 98% for CC and IM patients (Abu-Rustum et al., 2019). Therefore, novel markers and targets are essential for the diagnosis and treatment of GTN.

Gut microbiome plays an important role in human microbiome and health. Accumulating data have shown that alterations in gut microbiome contribute to the development, prognosis, and treatment of many diseases, including cancers (AlHilli and Bae-Jump, 2020). The abundance and diversity of gut microbiome have significant effects on carcinogenesis and immune response (McKenzie et al., 2021). Review reported by Chase et al. highlighted an important gap in the association between gut microbiome and gynecologic cancers (Chase et al., 2015). Gut microbiome altered host immunity by modulating multiple immune pathways, thus impacting cancer risk and treatment outcomes of various malignancies (Brestoff and Artis, 2013; Gopalakrishnan et al., 2018; McQuade et al., 2019). Previous studies have shown that a diverse gut microbiota with distinct composition improved anti-tumoral immune response by activating anti-tumoral T cells (Sivan et al., 2015; Herrera et al., 2019), suggesting that there may be a relationship between gut microbiome and GTN.

Unfortunately, the relationship between gut microbiome and GTN has not been reported. Therefore, in this study, the diversity and composition of gut microbiome was compared by 16s rDNA sequencing in fecal samples collected from 12 patients with IM, 9 patients with CC, and 24 healthy women, laying a foundation for further study of gut microbiome in GTN.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample Collection

The study was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of Shengjing Hospital Affiliated to China Medical University on August 30, 2020. Upon completion of the questionnaire, all participants signed a written informed consent and retained a copy of the consent form. Subsequently, the patients were enrolled in the study. The Medical Ethics Committee approved the consent procedure. Fecal samples from 12 patients with IM (N = 12), 9 patients with CC (N = 9), and 24 healthy female controls (N = 24) were collected from our hospital between September 22, 2019, and August 30, 2020. Eligible women must be Chinese-speaking, have at least a primary school education, and have voluntarily agreed to participate in the study. Those who were taking psychiatric medications or had a history of chronic conditions were excluded from the study. The mean time from diagnosis to questionnaire completion was 5.13 months. Patients ranged in age from 21 to 69 years (mean age = 38.8 years). Samples were collected for study prior to any treatment. Fecal samples were collected by the patient after receiving the doctor’s notice, and sent to the laboratory within 15 min. The samples were stored at −80°C for use.

DNA Extraction, PCR Amplification, and 16S rRNA Gene Sequencing

Microbial DNA was extracted using the HiPure Stool DNA Kit (Magen, Guangzhou, China) according to the protocol recommended by manufacturer. V3-V4 region of 16s rRNA genes was amplified by PCR with the primers 341-F, 5′-CCT ACGGNNGGGCAG-3′ and 806-R, 5′-GGACTACHVGGGTATCTAAAT-3′ (Guo et al., 2017), and the amplification procedure was as follows: Initial denaturation at 94°C for 2 min, followed by denaturation at 98°C for 10 s, annealing at 65°C for 30 s, and extension at 68°C for 30 s. This round was repeated for 30 cycles, followed by final extension at 68°C for 5 min. PCR reactions were performed in triplicate, and the reaction system was composed of 5 μl of 10 × KOD Buffer, 5 μl of 2 mM dNTPs, 3 μl of 25 mM MgSO₄, 1.5 μl of each primer (10 μM), 1 μl of KOD Polymerase, and 100 ng of template DNA, with 50 μl in total. After amplification, the products were purified using the AxyPrep DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Axogen Biosciences, Union City, CA, USA) and quantified using ABI StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Life Technologies, Foster City, USA). Purified products were pooled in equimolar and paired-end sequenced (PE250) on an Illumina platform according to the standard protocols. Raw reads have been deposited into the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database, and all data sets have been accessible (accession number SRP313846).

Sequence Data Processing

To get high-quality clean reads, raw reads containing more than 10% of unknown nucleotides-(N) and reads with less than 60% of bases with a quality value (Q-value) > 20 were removed using FASTP (version 0.18.0) (Chen et al., 2018). Paired-end clean reads were merged as raw tags using FLSAH (version 1.2.11) (Magoc and Salzberg, 2011) with a minimum overlap of 10 bp and mismatch error rates of 2%. Noisy sequences of raw tags were filtered by QIIME (version 1.9.1) (Caporaso et al., 2010) pipeline under specific filtering conditions (Bokulich et al., 2013) to obtain the high-quality clean tags. The filtering conditions were as follows: (1) break raw tags from the first low-quality base site where the number of bases in the continuous low-quality value (the default quality threshold is ≤ 3) reaches the set length (the default length is 3); (2) then, filter tags whose continuous high-quality base length was less than 75% of the tag length. The clean tags were searched against the reference database.
Statistical Analyses
Effective tags were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) with at least 97% identity using the UPARSE pipeline (Edgar, 2013). The tag sequence with the highest abundance was selected as the representative sequence within each cluster. The representative sequences were classified into organisms based on a naïve Bayesian model with the RDP classifier (Wang et al., 2007) using the SILVA database (Pruesse et al., 2007), and the confidence threshold values range from 0.8 to 1. For the analyses among groups, Venn diagram-based analyses were performed in the R project to identify unique and common family and genus (Chen and Boutros, 2011). The stacked bar plot of the community composition was visualized in R project ggplot2 package (Wickham and Chang, 2015). α Diversity indices were calculated with QIIME. Comparisons of the α indexes among three groups were performed with Kruskal-Wallis test and Tukey's HSD test using the R project. The R project was also used to analyze the data based on principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) of unweighted UniFrac distances and for plotting the results. Welch's t-test, ANOSIM analysis, and ROC curve analysis were performed using the R project, and the KEGG pathway analysis of the OTUs was inferred using Tax4Fun (Abhauer et al., 2015).

RESULTS
Comparisons of Microbial Diversity Among IM, CC, and Control Groups
Fecal samples were collected from 12 patients with IM, nine patients with CC, and 24 healthy females. Clinical information is shown in Table 1. The V3 to V4 region of 16s rDNA was amplified and sequenced using Illumina Novaseq 6000 high-throughput sequencing platform. After quality filtering, a total of 4,644,583 16s rDNA genes (from 45 subjects) were identified for subsequent analysis. The average sequencing depth was 122,739 (95,472–135,073) reads per sample. Sequencing depth (Good’s coverage) was > 99%, which was sufficient for microbiota analysis in the IM, CC, and control groups. A total of 7,442 OTUs (97% identity) were observed across all samples. The diversity of different taxa was analyzed by intra-group comparison (α diversity) and inter-group comparison (β diversity). Shannon diversity index and pielu evenness index were calculated with QIIME. As shown in Figures 2A, B, both Shannon diversity index (P(Kruskal-Wallis) = 0.035) and pielu evenness index (P(Kruskal-Wallis) = 0.045) were significantly different among groups. Specifically, Shannon diversity index in IM group was significantly lower than that in control group (P(TukeyHSD) = 0.011). On average, the α diversity of CC group was slightly higher than that of control group, but the differences were not statistically significant (P(TukeyHSD) = 0.613). Shannon diversity index in CC group was higher than that in IM group (P(TukeyHSD) = 0.006). Pielu evenness index was similar to Shannon diversity index. The evenness of IM group was significantly lower than that of control group (P(TukeyHSD) = 0.028). On average, the evenness of CC group was slightly higher than that of control group (P(TukeyHSD) = 0.503), but this was not statistically significant. The evenness of CC group was significantly higher than that of IM group (P(TukeyHSD) = 0.008). Taken together, α diversity in IM group was significantly lower than that in control group, and species α diversity in CC group was significantly higher than that in IM group. Given these findings, principle coordinate analysis (PCoA) of unweighted UniFrac distances was used to estimate β diversity of gut microbiota among groups. The samples in each group were ordinated closely respectively, while the samples among three groups were separated obviously, indicating differences in bacterial structure among the groups (Figure 2C). Statistical analysis results of the differences among the three groups are shown in Table 2. Analysis of Similarity (ANOSIM) assessed by unweighted UniFrac demonstrated that there were significant differences in gut microbiota among IM, CC, and control groups at community level (R = 0.88, P = 0.001, Figure 2D).

Bacterial Populations and Dominant Microbiome in Fecal Samples
To understand the bacterial populations crossover among groups, we used a Venn diagram to indicate the differences among groups according to information on the gut microbiota

| Characteristics | IM group | CC group | Control group | P value |
|-----------------|----------|----------|---------------|---------|
| Ethnicity       | East Asia| East Asia| East Asia     | /       |
| Age range (mean ± se) | 21 - 52 (37 ± 10) | 27 - 54 (38 ± 11) | 29 - 69 (40 ± 13) | /       |
| β-HCG (mean ± se, mIU/ml) | 113 - 4171 (9440 ± 1543) | 7893 - 499816 (111903 ± 1560) | /     |
| TNM stage       | III (100%)| I (44.44%), III (55.56%) | /       |

For age range, mean, standard error and P value are indicated.
abundance. The abundance of gut microbiota in patients with IM was lower than that in healthy controls at both the family and genus levels (Figures 3A, C). Compared with IM patients and healthy controls, the abundance of unique gut microbiota in CC patients increased at both family level and genus level (Figures 3A, C). Simultaneously, the two sets of data showed that the abundance of gut microbiota in IM patients was lower than that in controls, whereas the abundance of gut microbiota in CC patients was higher than that in controls and IM patients.

At the family level, the top 10 families were Ruminococcaceae, Lachnospiraceae, Bacteroidaceae, Enterobacteriaceae, Prevotellaceae, Veillonellaceae, Bifidobacteriaceae, Christensenellaceae, Acidaminococcaceae, and Coriobacteriaceae (Figure 3B). At the genus level, the top 10 genera were Faecalibacterium, Bacteroides, Escherichia-Shigella, Prevotella_9, Bifidobacterium, Dialister, Subdoligranulum, Eubacterium_coprostanoligenes_group, Christensenellaceae_R-7_group, and Blautia, respectively. In this study, the common bacteria, such as Faecalibacterium, Bacteroides, Escherichia-Shigella, Prevotella_9, and Bifidobacterium, were mainly found in each group (Figure 3D).

Predicted Functional Changes in Microbiomes Among IM, CC, and Control Groups

The functional differences of gut microbiota among the three groups were predicted and compared. 16S rDNA sequencing data were analyzed using Tax4Fun, and a total of 284 KEGG pathways were generated. Analysis of fecal samples showed that compared with controls, higher abundance of gut microbiota in IM subjects was associated with pathways involved in sesquiterpenoid and triterpenoid biosynthesis, viral myocarditis, and colorectal cancer (Figure 4A). Surprisingly, compared with
controls, higher abundance of gut microbiota in CC subjects was associated with pathways involved in melanogenesis and neuroactive ligand-receptor in addition to the above three pathways (Figure 4B). Additionally, compared with IM subjects, lower abundance of gut microbiota in CC subjects was associated with pathways involved in linoleic acid metabolism, Parkinson’s disease, and cytokine-cytokine receptor (Figure 4C).

Changes in Bacterial Composition Among IM, CC, and Control Groups

We compared the gut microbiota profiles in each group. At the family level, compared with the control group, the microbial abundance of seven families in IM subjects was significantly reduced, namely Christensenellaceae, Rikenellaceae, Family_XIII, Coriobacteriales_Incertae_Sedis, Synergistaceae, Acetobacteraceae, and Clostridiales_vadinBB60_group (Figure 4A), whereas in CC subjects, the microbial abundance was decreased significantly in four families (Acidaminococcaceae, Coriobacteriales_Incertae_Sedis, Corynebacteriaceae, and Acetobacteraceae), but increased in the other two families (Bacillaceae and Limnochordaceae) (Figure 5B). Notably, compared with IM subjects, the microbial abundance in CC subjects was decreased significantly in two families (Acidaminococcaceae and Vibriionaceae), but increased in the other four families (Family_XIII, Bacillaceae, Limnochordaceae, and Roseiflexaceae) (Figure 5C).

At the genus level, compared with the control group, the microbial abundance of 21 genera in IM subjects was significantly reduced, and the top 5 differed microbes were Eubacterium_coprostanoligenes_group, Christensenellaceae_R-7_group, Ruminococcaceae_UCG-002, Alistipes, and Coprococcus_2 (Figure 5D), respectively, whereas in the CC subjects, the microbial abundance was significantly decreased in 14 genera. The top 5 strains were Subdoligranulum, Phascolarctobacterium, Lachnoclostridium, Coprococcus_3, and Ruminococcaceae_UCG-013 (Figure 5E). However, in CC subjects, the microbial abundance was significantly decreased in three genera (Phascolarctobacterium, Anaerostipes, and Tyzzerella_3), but significantly increased in four genera (Ruminococcaceae_UCG-005, Coprococcus_1, Leuconostoc, and Prevotella_7), compared with those in IM subjects (Figure 5F). In those significantly altered genera, the abundance of Prevotella_7
showed the most significant difference not only between IM subjects and normal healthy control but also between CC subjects and IM subjects. To evaluate whether *Prevotella_7* could be used to distinguish IM subjects from normal healthy control or CC patients, ROC analysis was performed for *Prevotella_7*, and it yielded a ROC curve value of 0.917 (95% CI: 0.828-1) with a 91.7% sensitivity and 83.3% specificity in distinguishing IM patients from controls (Figure 6A). Meanwhile, *Prevotella_7* yielded a ROC curve value of 0.87 (95% CI: 0.705-1) with a 100% sensitivity and 66.7% specificity in distinguishing CC patients from IM patients (Figure 6B).

**DISCUSSION**

GTN is a malignant tumor with four phenotypes: IM, CC, PSTT, and ETT (Mangili et al., 2014). Accurate diagnosis leads to a high cure rate of 98% for CC and IM patients (Abu-Rustum et al., 2019). Therefore, this study aims to find novel biomarkers for CC and IM. Tumor microenvironment (TME) is closely related to the genesis and development of tumors (Arnet, 2019). Biological disorders of intestine can reshape TME, making it conducive to tumor growth (Shi et al., 2020). In various cancers (Meng et al., 2018; Shi et al., 2020), gut microbiota (bacteria, viruses, protozoa, archaea, and fungi in the gastrointestinal tract) could cause cellular DNA damage, host immune response (Rooks and Garrett, 2016), and chronic inflammation (Saad et al., 2016). However, to date, no studies have been conducted on the role of gut microbiota in GTN. In this study, we characterized the gut microbiota of female patients with IM or CC and healthy females by 16s rDNA sequencing. The results showed that there were significant differences in α and β diversity between IM, CC, and the control group, indicating differences in the composition of gut microbiota.

The abundance and diversity of gut microbiome have significant effects on carcinogenesis and immune response (McKenzie et al., 2021). Travis T. Sims et al. compared the fecal microbiome of 42 cervical cancer patients with 46 healthy female controls, and found that the α diversity was significantly higher in cervical cancer patients, and that the β diversity was significantly different across health status (Sims et al., 2019). Similarly, Wang et al. compared the gut microbiome of eight cervical cancer patients and five healthy controls. Unfortunately, in patients with cervical cancer, the α diversity of gut microbiota showed an increasing trend, but the difference was not statistically significant, whereas β diversity showed a significant difference (Wang et al., 2019).
Notably, our study firstly revealed the fecal microbiome of patients with GTN (IM and CC). 16s rDNAs in the feces of patients with IM and CC were measured and compared pairwise with those of healthy controls. The results showed that the α diversity of the IM group was significantly lower than that of the control group, and with respect to β-diversity, there was a clear separation among the three groups, confirming compositional differences in the gut microbiota according to health status. Zhang et al. and Rivero-Segura N A et al. both found that there was a correlation between age and human gut microbiota (Rivero-Segura et al., 2020). Similarly, Jeffery et al. found that advanced age is associated with variations in the composition of gut microbiome characterized by a loss of diversity in specific taxa (Jeffery et al., 2016). Moreover, Claesson et al. found that individuals over 65 years of age were more likely to display a loss of taxa associated with diversity (Claesson et al., 2011). Different from the above studies, our study showed that there was no correlation between age and the richness and diversity of gut microbiome, suggesting that the diversity difference of these 45 samples was not related to age, but related to the different health status (IM or CC).

Dysbiosis of gut microbiota may be implicated in carcinogenesis, therapy-related side effects, and treatment outcomes. In particular, microbiome is involved in the modulation of inflammation and metabolism, thus affecting the occurrence and development of tumors (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011). After unmasking the significant differences in the α and β diversity of gut microbiota in the feces of IM patients, CC patients, and health women, we further investigated the potential role of gut microbiome based on KEGG pathways. Interestingly, we found that the relative abundance of colorectal cancer-related genes was significantly increased in the IM and CC groups compared with the normal groups, suggesting that IM and CC might be associated with colorectal cancer-related genes. Further, we analyzed the composition of gut microbes in patients with IM and CC. Our results found that the microbial composition in each group differed significantly at both family level and genus level (19 families and 39 genera). At the family...
FIGURE 5 | Species analysis of differences between groups analyzed by Welch’s t-test. (A) Differential species between healthy females and IM subjects at the family levels. (B) Differential species between healthy females and CC subjects at the family levels. (C) Differential species between IM subjects and CC subjects at the family levels. (D) Differential species between healthy female subjects and IM subjects at the genus levels. (E) Differential species between healthy female subjects and CC subjects at the genus levels. (F) Differential species between CC subjects and IM subjects at the genus levels. In each figure, the left panel shows the abundance of differences in species between groups, and each bar in the graph represents the mean of each species in each group, with significant differences in abundance between groups. The graph on the right shows the difference in confidence levels between groups. The leftmost endpoint of each circle in the figure represents the 95% confidence interval lower limit of the mean difference, and the rightmost endpoint of the circle represents the 95% confidence interval upper limit of the mean difference. The center of the circle represents the difference in the mean. The group represented by the circle color is a group with a higher mean. At the far right of the displayed results is the inter-group significance Welch’s t-test p-value for the corresponding species.
level, the Christensenellaceae family (a family recently described Firmicutes) plays an important role in human health, and the relative abundance of Christensenellaceae in the intestines of patients with metabolic diseases and inflammation is significantly reduced (Waters and Ley, 2019). Consistently, our study showed that the abundance of Christensenellaceae was significantly reduced in the IM compared with the control group, suggesting that Christensenellaceae may be involved in the development of IM via metabolism-related pathways. However, the specific molecular mechanism remains unclear, and further study is required. Besides, the gut microbiome may affect the severity of psychoneurological symptoms (PNS) related to cancer treatments via neural, immune, and endocrine signaling pathways. Bai Jinbing et al. found that the abundance of Acidaminococcaceae was higher in head and neck cancer patients with low PNS (Bai et al., 2020). Similarly, we found a decrease in the abundance of Acidaminococcaceae in patients with IM and CC compared with healthy controls. In addition, our reports, coupled with Bai Jinbing’s findings, implied a plausible role of Acidaminococcaceae in IM and CC. Jacqueline et al. identified the association between the structure of gut microbiota and the eye disc tumor in Drosophila larvae. In addition, they also found that the relative abundance of Bacillaceae in cancer-forming larvae was much lower than that in non-tumor–forming individuals, which may be related to the efficiency of the immune system and the immunogenicity of Bacillaceae lipopolysaccharides (Vatanen et al., 2016; Jacqueline et al., 2017). In this study, the abundance of Bacillaceae in CC patients was increased compared with healthy controls and IM patients, suggesting that CC patients had higher demands on the immune system.

There were also significant differences in the genus level between groups, with the abundance of most microbes in patients with IM and CC being lower than those in healthy women. Prevotella_7 was the microorganism with the most significant variation in abundance between IM patients and healthy controls, as well as between CC patients and IM patients. The relative abundance of Prevotella_7 in the feces of IM patients was significantly lower than that of both healthy women and CC patients, whereas the relative abundance of Prevotella_7 in the feces of CC patients was slightly higher, but still not statistically significant compared with that of healthy women. ROC curve analysis demonstrated Prevotella_7 might be as a biomarker in distinguishing IM patients from both normal healthy controls and CC patients, with high sensitivity and high specificity. Currently, the diagnosis strategies for GTN include patient review, β-hCG measurement and staging with (Doppler) ultrasonography (US) pelvis, and chest X-ray (CXR), in which β-hCG measurement is the primary method, but continuous measurement may take weeks or even months, and chest X-ray (CXR) would cause radiation to the human body. Obviously, gut microbiome is a novel diagnostic tool that takes less time and is harmless to humans. Besides, it is still a challenge to have accurate diagnosis of IM or CC based on current diagnosis methods because of the very similar clinical features of IM and CC. Interestingly, we found that Prevotella_7 might be a novel biomarker in distinguishing IM patients from CC patients. Previous studies have shown that the relative abundance of Prevotella at mucosal sites is associated with various inflammatory diseases, including bacterial vaginosis, periodontitis, and rheumatoid arthritis (Scher et al., 2013; Anahtar et al., 2015). Studies suggested that Prevotella rich environments stimulate dendritic cells (DCs) to release interleukin-1β (IL-1β), IL-6, and IL-23, via toll like receptor 2, thereby IL-17 production by T helper 17 cells and neutrophils activation (Berezow and Darveau, 2000). Therefore, we suggested the role of Prevotella_7 in host immunity may also be related to the risk and treatment outcome of IM and CC. However, the findings in the present study were based on the limited samples with no further functional experiments, the effect of altered abundance of Prevotella_7 and other microbiota (such as Christensenellaceae, Bacillaceae, and Christensenellaceae) on the development of tumorigenesis as well as the impact of tumor development on the abundance of microbial still require further investigation.
In conclusion, our study revealed the fecal microbiome of GTN for the first time. α diversity, β diversity, relevant pathways, and microbial composition were analyzed by measuring 16s rDNA of the gut microbiome in the feces of IM patients, CC patients, and healthy women. Our study demonstrated distinct rDNA of the gut microbiome in the feces of IM patients, CC and microbial composition were analyzed by measuring 16s rRNA Data. Bioinf. (Oxford England) 31, 2882–2884. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv287
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