Modifiable lifestyle factors have a larger contribution to colorectal neoplasms than family history
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Abstract

Background: Screening recommendations for colorectal cancer (CRC) are mainly based on family history rather than lifestyle risk factors. We aimed to assess and compare risk factors for colorectal neoplasm (CRN) and evaluate trends in neoplasm detection rates during the three rounds of screening from 2012 to 2020 in Tianjin, China.

Methods: This study was based on 89,535 first-recorded colonoscopies in Tianjin CRC screening program, 2012–2020. Of these, 45,380 individuals with complete family history and lifestyle factors were included for population attributable fraction (PAF) estimation.

Results: The overall detection rate of nonadvanced adenomas, advanced adenomas and CRC was 39.3%, 5.9% and 1.5%, respectively. The PAFs of current smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, higher BMI and family history of CRC, respectively, were 8.9%, 2.6%, 1.9%, 5.8%, and 1.1% for males with nonadvanced CRN; 12.3%, 7.3%, 4.9%, 7.2%, and 0.8% for males with advanced CRN; 3.4%, 0.4%, 2.1%, 7.8%, and 0.7% for females with nonadvanced CRN; and 4.3%, 0.2%, 8.2%, 8.5%, and -0.6% for females with advanced CRN. The PAFs of selected lifestyle factors were 19.9% for males with nonadvanced CRN, 29.0% for males with advanced CRN, 9.7% for females with nonadvanced CRN and 13.8% for females with advanced CRN.

Conclusions: Modifiable lifestyle factors, including smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity and BMI, have a larger contribution to CRN than family history of CRC. Our findings will provide references for developing guidelines of CRC prevention and control in China.
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but does not take other risk factors into account. However, an increasing amount of evidence has suggested an essential role of lifestyle factors in the development of colorectal cancer [5–8], and these factors are potentially preventable.

Most prior studies assessing attributable causes of cancer have been conducted in high-resource countries, primarily Western countries [8–12]. As the pattern of cancer has wide regional and socioeconomic variation [13, 14], it would not be appropriate to apply the population attributable fraction (PAF) estimated on Western populations to other countries. Only a few studies assessing CRCs attributable to known risk factors have been conducted in China [15–17]; moreover, all of these studies were based on data collected at least a decade ago and did not consider the risk factors for a family history of CRC. However, China has witnessed rapid changes in socioeconomic status and lifestyle patterns over the past decade.

Thus, we conducted this cross-sectional study to assess the PAFs of modifiable lifestyle factors, including smoking, alcohol drinking, physical inactivity, body mass index (BMI), as well as family history of CRC in first-degree relatives (FDR).

**Methods**

**Study population**

This cross-sectional study was conducted using the database of individuals undergoing screening colonoscopy at medical institutions designated by the programme in Tianjin, China, between 2012 and 2020. The screening protocol was developed by Tianjin CRC Screening Office and was performed in a 3-year cycle, with the first round from 2012 to 2014, the second round from 2015 to 2017 and the third round from 2018 to 2020. Within each round, the main screening population for the first year is individuals aged 60–74 years old, for the second year is 50–60 years old and for the third year is 40–50 years old. The high-risk assessment was based on first-degree relative with CRC, a personal history of adenoma or polyps, the result of FOBT and intestinal symptoms [4, 18]. High-risk participants were highly recommended to undergo a colonoscopy examination through advice notes issued by the screening physicians and were followed up by the primary care units, while for nonhigh-risk participants, colonoscopies were voluntary.

A total of 89,535 first-recorded colonoscopies from both high-risk and nonhigh-risk participants were included in present study. Individuals with missing or unknown family history in FDR (n = 216), and those with incomplete lifestyle factors (n = 40,445), including smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity and BMI, were excluded. Moreover, we also excluded individuals with a personal history of intestinal polyp or cancer (n = 3,494), because these patients might have negative or normal findings as a result of an earlier polypectomy. All participants provided written informed consent before enrollment, and all investigations and methods used were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. This study was approved by the ethics committee of Tianjin Union Medicine Center.

**Measurements and definitions**

All colonoscopies were performed by experienced endoscopists who had at least 5 years of experience and were all board certified to perform endoscopy in designated medical institutions. All abnormal findings were confirmed by expert gastrointestinal pathologists following up-to-date clinical guidelines. Only high-quality colonoscopies were included, with adequate bowel preparation, photo documentation of caecal landmarks, and a withdrawal time > 6 min.

In this study, we categorized colonoscopy findings into 3 groups: advanced CRN, nonadvanced CRN (equivalent to nonadvanced adenoma) and normal colonoscopy. Advanced CRN was defined as CRC or advanced adenoma ≥ 10 mm in diameter or with villous components or high-grade dysplasia. Normal colonoscopy referred to a colonoscopy in which no adenoma or CRC was found. Smoking status was categorized as never smoker, current smoker and former smoker. Alcohol consumption was categorized as never drinker and ever drinker, with the former including those never drinking and rarely drinking. The quantity of alcohol consumption per day was collected in a Chinese unit that 1 unit refers to 50 g Chinese spirits. In this study, the amount of alcohol consumed per each unit (50 g Chinese spirits) was calculated based on a common type of Chinese spirits (38°), which contains approximately 17 g alcohol in one unit. Physical activity was estimated by combining the frequency and the duration of each exercise. Regular activity was defined as more than 30 min of physical activity at least once per week; otherwise, it was classified as ‘physical inactivity.’ Overweight was defined as 25 ≤ BMI < 30 kg/m², and obesity was defined as BMI ≥ 30 kg/m². Educational level was categorized as low (primary education or below), intermediate (secondary education, high education or lower vocational education) and high (higher vocational education, university or above).

**Statistical analysis**

Strict standards were applied to ensure the quality of the screening data. The validity of questionnaire and data-entry was checked by trained study staff, with a consistency check was conducted. After the data were transmitted from primary care units to the Office of
Tianjin Colorecta Cancer Screening Programme, it was checked again by Programme Office, and 4% of the preliminary data would be randomly selected for quality control and calling back interview.

The adjusted PAFs were calculated to describe the proportion of cases in the population that is attributable to the exposure. PAF here could be interpreted as the proportion of CRN cases that would not occur if individuals had no exposure to risk factors. The adjusted PAF estimation based on a logistic regression model is commonly used for cross-sectional or case–control sampling designs [19, 20]. The attributable fraction (AF) is defined as 

\[ AF = 1 - \left( \frac{Pr(Y=1)}{Pr(Y=0)} \right) \]

where \( Pr(Y=1) \) denotes the counterfactual probability of the outcome if the exposure would have been eliminated from the population and \( Pr(Y=0) \) denotes the factual probability of the outcome; logistic regression was used to adjust confounders [20, 21]. The theory and estimation strategy were described in detail by Dahlqwist et al. [22]. Briefly, a logistic regression model is fitted to the data in the first step; then, for each subject \( i \) with covariate vector \( Zi \) the model is used to estimate \( OR^{-Xi}(Zi) \). For exposed subjects (those with \( Xi = 1 \)), \( OR^{-Xi}(Zi) = OR^{-1}(Zi) \) and for unexposed subjects (those with \( Xi = 0 \)), \( OR^{-Xi}(Zi) = 1 \); the predictions of \( OR^{-Xi}(Zi) \) are then averaged among the cases (those with \( Yi = 1 \)), to produce an estimate of AF [22]. The confounder-adjusted PAF estimation was calculated using the "AF" package in R [21].

Pearson chi-square tests were performed to compare the detection rate between males and females. A multivariable logistic regression model was performed to assess the association between risk factors and colorectal neoplasms; odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. The model was adjusted for age, educational level, marital status, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, BMI, family history of CRC in FDR and year of colonoscopy. All analyses were performed using R software (V.4.1.2). Two-sided \( P \) values < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

**Detection rates of colorectal neoplasms**

Overall, there were 89,535 first-recorded and high-quality colonoscopies performed within the screening programme from 2012 to 2020 in Tianjin, China. Of these, 41994 (46.9%) were male and 47541 (53.1%) were female; the mean (SD) age was 64.3 (8.5) years. Among males, 3621 (8.6%) and 17839 (42.5%) were diagnosed with advanced and nonadvanced neoplasm, respectively; among females, 2211 (4.7%) and 14381 (30.2%) were diagnosed with advanced and nonadvanced CRN, respectively. The detection rate for advanced neoplasm in the first (2012–2014), second (2015–2017), and third (2018–2020) round was 5.9%, 5.7% and 8.4%, respectively (\( p < 0.001 \)), while that for nonadvanced neoplasm was 24.1%, 35.6% and 41.7%, respectively (\( p < 0.001 \)).

**Characteristics of the study population**

A total of 45,380 individuals were included in assessing neoplasms attributable to lifestyle factors and family history, with the characteristics shown in Table 1. There were more females than males (F:M = 1.12:1) in the final analysis, and 44.4% of males and 61.2% of females had a normal colonoscopy (\( p < 0.001 \)). The mean (SD) age was 62.9 (7.9) years, with 47.5% of them aged 60–70 years old. Only 13.7% of individuals had a high level of education, and 95.8% were married. Approximately half of them were overweight or obese. The proportions of current smokers and ever drinkers in males were significantly higher than those in females (33.0% vs. 5.7%; 21.0% vs. 0.8%, respectively, \( p < 0.001 \)). Overall, 7.8% had a history of CRC in FDR, with 7.2% in males and 8.4% in females.

**Association of risk factors with colorectal neoplasms**

Current smoking, alcohol drinking and overweight or obese were significantly associated with an increased odds of nonadvanced CRN in both males and females, whereas former smoking and physical inactivity had no significant association with nonadvanced CRN (Table 2). Males with a history of CRC in FDR had a 1.13-fold increased risk of nonadvanced CRN, while females had a nonsignificant 1.08-fold increased risk of nonadvanced CRN. Moreover, no significant association was found between the intensity of smoking (number of cigarettes per day) or drinking (grams of alcohol consumption per day) with advanced neoplasm. In the current-smoking subgroup, the OR for each additional cigarette per day was 1.01 (95%CI = 0.99–1.02, \( p = 0.052 \)) in males and 0.99 (95%CI = 0.97–1.02, \( p = 0.595 \)) in females; in the drinking subgroup, the OR for each additional unit of Chinese spirit per day was 1.03 (95%CI = 0.97–1.10, \( p = 0.315 \)) in males and 0.81 (95%CI = 0.20–3.37, \( p = 0.777 \)) in females. Additional logistic regressions with smoking and drinking categorized by intensity were shown in Table S1.

The risk factors for advanced CRN were similar to those for nonadvanced CRN and were even stronger in both males and females (Table 2). Family history of CRC in FDR, unexpectedly, was not significantly associated with increased odds in either sex.

**The population attributable fractions of risk factors**

Table 3 shows the PAFs and the number of cases attributable to risk factors. The highest PAFs of nonadvanced and advanced CRN in males were for current smoking (8.9% and 12.3%, respectively), followed
Table 1  Characteristics of 45,380 participants stratified by sex

|                                | Total (n = 45,380) | Male (n = 21,415) | Female (n = 23,965) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| **Age at colonoscopy, years (%)** |                    |                   |                    |
| <50                            | 2896 (6.4)         | 1469 (6.9)        | 1427 (6.0)         |
| 50–60                          | 11,597 (25.6)      | 5054 (23.6)       | 6543 (27.3)        |
| 60–70                          | 21,403 (47.2)      | 10,010 (46.7)     | 11,393 (47.5)      |
| ≥ 70                           | 9484 (20.9)        | 4882 (22.8)       | 4602 (19.2)        |
| **Educational level (%)**       |                    |                   |                    |
| Low                            | 9166 (20.2)        | 3784 (17.7)       | 5382 (22.5)        |
| Intermediate                   | 30,013 (66.1)      | 14,224 (66.4)     | 15,789 (65.9)      |
| High                           | 6201 (13.7)        | 3407 (15.9)       | 2794 (11.7)        |
| **Marital status (%)**         |                    |                   |                    |
| Married                        | 43,468 (95.8)      | 20,814 (97.2)     | 22,654 (94.5)      |
| Unmarried                      | 429 (0.9)          | 218 (1.0)         | 211 (0.9)          |
| Divorced                       | 343 (0.8)          | 128 (0.6)         | 215 (0.9)          |
| Widowed                        | 1140 (2.5)         | 255 (1.2)         | 885 (3.7)          |
| **Smoking status (%)**         |                    |                   |                    |
| Never                          | 35,007 (77.1)      | 12,703 (59.3)     | 22,304 (93.1)      |
| Former                         | 1928 (4.2)         | 1640 (7.7)        | 288 (1.2)          |
| Current                        | 8445 (18.6)        | 7072 (33.0)       | 1373 (5.7)         |
| Cigarettes per day, mean (SD)  | 16.01 (9.30)       | 16.38 (9.51)      | 14.06 (7.81)       |
| **Alcohol consumption (%)**    |                    |                   |                    |
| Never drinker                  | 40,699 (89.7)      | 16,915 (79.0)     | 23,784 (99.2)      |
| Ever drinker                   | 4681 (10.3)        | 4500 (21.0)       | 181 (0.8)          |
| Alcohol (g) consumed per dayb, mean (SD) | 38.98 (28.53)   | 39.24 (24.77)     | 31.53 (80.85)      |
| **Physical activity (%)**      |                    |                   |                    |
| Physical inactivity            | 25,411 (56.0)      | 11,636 (54.3)     | 13,775 (57.5)      |
| Regular activity               | 19,969 (44.0)      | 9779 (45.7)       | 10,190 (42.5)      |
| **BMI, kg/m² (%)**             |                    |                   |                    |
| < 25                           | 26,124 (57.6)      | 11,865 (55.4)     | 14,259 (59.5)      |
| 25–29.9                        | 16,414 (36.2)      | 8319 (38.8)       | 8095 (33.8)        |
| ≥ 30                           | 2842 (6.3)         | 1231 (5.7)        | 1611 (6.7)         |
| **Colonoscopy findings (%)**   |                    |                   |                    |
| Normal                         | 24,176 (53.3)      | 9501 (44.4)       | 14,675 (61.2)      |
| Nonadvanced CRN                | 17,823 (39.3)      | 9788 (45.7)       | 8035 (33.5)        |
| Advanced CRN                   | 3381 (7.5)         | 2126 (9.9)        | 1255 (5.2)         |
| Advanced adenoma               | 2688 (79.5)        | 1714 (80.6)       | 974 (77.6)         |
| CRC                            | 693 (20.5)         | 412 (19.4)        | 281 (22.4)         |
| **Family history of CRC in FDR (%)** |                    |                   |                    |
| No                             | 41,820 (92.2)      | 19,863 (92.8)     | 21,957 (91.6)      |
| Yes                            | 3560 (7.8)         | 1552 (7.2)        | 2008 (8.4)         |
| **Tumor locationa (%)**        |                    |                   |                    |
| Colon                          | 14,524 (68.5)      | 7964 (66.8)       | 6560 (70.6)        |
| Rectum                         | 2867 (13.5)        | 1494 (12.5)       | 1373 (14.8)        |
| Unspecific                     | 3813 (18.0)        | 2456 (20.6)       | 1357 (14.6)        |

CRN Colorectal neoplasm, BMI Body mass index, CRC Colorectal cancer, FDR First-degree relative

* The distribution of tumor location was based on 21,204 participants who diagnosed with colorectal neoplasms

b The amount of consumed alcohol was calculated based on a common type of Chinese spirits (38°), which contains about 17.1 g alcohol in one unit (50 g spirits)
by high BMI (5.8% and 7.2%, respectively) and alcohol drinking (2.6% and 7.3%, respectively); in females, PAFs were highest for high BMI (7.8% and 8.5%, respectively), followed by current smoking (3.4% and 4.3%, respectively) and physical inactivity (2.1% and 8.2%, respectively), and negligible for alcohol drinking (0.4% and 0.2%, respectively). PAFs of nonadvanced and advanced CRNs associated with a history of CRC in FDR were relatively low in both sexes (1.1% and 0.7% in males; 0.8% and 0.6% in females).

The PAFs for all of the selected lifestyle risk factors (not including family history of CRC) considered in this study were 19.9% for nonadvanced and 29.0% for advanced CRN in males and 9.7% and 13.8% in females, respectively.

### Discussion

In this large cross-sectional study with 45,380 participants who underwent colonoscopy within the CRC screening program in Tianjin, China, from 2012 to 2020, a total of 19.9% of nonadvanced and 29.0% of advanced CRNs in males and 9.7% of nonadvanced and 13.8% of advanced CRNs in females were attributable to modifiable lifestyle factors, including current smoking, alcohol drinking, high BMI and physical inactivity, but only approximately 1% of cases were attributable to a history of CRC in FDR. Overall, the PAFs for advanced CRNs were higher than those for nonadvanced CRNs, and PAFs in males were higher than those in females, with the exception of physical inactivity and BMI.

### Table 2

Association of selected risk factors with colorectal neoplasms stratified by sex

|          | Male                              | Female                            |
|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| **Number of cases with risk factor (%)** | **Adjusted OR* (95% CI)** | **P** | **Number of cases with risk factor (%)** | **Adjusted OR* (95% CI)** | **P** |
| For nonadvanced CRN |                                   |                                   |       |                                   |                                   |       |
| Smoking status (vs. never) |                                   |                                   |       |                                   |                                   |       |
| Former    | 743 (7.6)                         | 0.93 (0.83–1.04)                  | 0.233 | 110 (1.4)                         | 1.12 (0.87–1.44)              | 0.379 |
| Current   | 3484 (35.6)                       | 1.31 (1.22–1.40)                  | <0.001| 630 (7.8)                         | 1.79 (1.59–2.02)              | <0.001|
| Alcohol consumption (vs. never) |                                   |                                   |       |                                   |                                   |       |
| Ever drinker | 2190 (22.4)                      | 1.14 (1.06–1.23)                  | <0.001| 88 (1.1)                          | 1.54 (1.13–2.10)              | 0.007 |
| Physical activity (vs. regular activity) |                                   |                                   |       |                                   |                                   |       |
| Physical inactivity | 5241 (53.5)                      | 1.01 (0.95–1.08)                  | 0.665 | 4512 (56.2)                       | 1.02 (0.96–1.08)              | 0.542 |
| BMI, kg/m² (vs. < 25) |                                   |                                   |       |                                   |                                   |       |
| 25–29.9   | 3916 (40.0)                       | 1.12 (1.05–1.19)                  | <0.001| 2916 (36.3)                       | 1.19 (1.12–1.26)              | <0.001|
| ≥30       | 624 (6.4)                         | 1.36 (1.19–1.54)                  | <0.001| 630 (7.8)                         | 1.36 (1.22–1.52)              | <0.001|
| Family history of CRC in FDR (vs. no) |                                   |                                   |       |                                   |                                   |       |
| Yes       | 757 (7.7)                         | 1.13 (1.01–1.27)                  | 0.026 | 707 (8.8)                         | 1.08 (0.98–1.19)              | 0.134 |

For advanced CRN

| Smoking status (vs. never) |                                   |                                   |       |                                   |                                   |       |
| Former    | 156 (7.3)                         | 0.84 (0.70–1.02)                  | 0.083 | 22 (1.8)                          | 1.38 (0.87–2.19)              | 0.174 |
| Current   | 821 (38.6)                        | 1.42 (1.27–1.58)                  | <0.001| 112 (8.9)                         | 2.05 (1.64–2.57)              | <0.001|
| Alcohol consumption (vs. never) |                                   |                                   |       |                                   |                                   |       |
| Ever drinker | 574 (27.0)                       | 1.41 (1.25–1.59)                  | <0.001| 12 (1.0)                          | 1.20 (0.64–2.25)              | 0.565 |
| Physical activity (vs. regular activity) |                                   |                                   |       |                                   |                                   |       |
| Physical inactivity | 1089 (51.2)                      | 1.05 (0.95–1.16)                  | 0.346 | 697 (35.5)                        | 1.11 (0.98–1.26)              | 0.094 |
| BMI, kg/m² (vs. < 25) |                                   |                                   |       |                                   |                                   |       |
| 25–29.9   | 869 (40.9)                        | 1.15 (1.04–1.28)                  | 0.006 | 457 (36.4)                        | 1.20 (1.06–1.36)              | 0.005 |
| ≥30       | 148 (7.0)                         | 1.49 (1.21–1.82)                  | <0.001| 112 (8.9)                         | 1.54 (1.24–1.92)              | <0.001|
| Family history of CRC in FDR (vs. no) |                                   |                                   |       |                                   |                                   |       |
| Yes       | 151 (7.1)                         | 1.13 (0.93–1.36)                  | 0.221 | 92 (7.3)                          | 0.92 (0.74–1.16)              | 0.484 |

CRN: Colorectal neoplasm, OR: Odds ratio, CI: Confidence interval, BMI: Body mass index, CRC: Colorectal cancer, FDR: First-degree relative

*ORs were adjusted for age at colonoscopy, educational level, marital status, smoking status, alcohol intake, physical activity, BMI, family history of CRC in first-degree relative and year of colonoscopy.
Although CRC has been thought to be less common in Asia compared to Western countries, there is an increasing trend for CRC incidence in China [1, 23, 24]. In our study, an increased detection rate was observed for both nonadvanced and advanced neoplasm from the first to third round of screening in Tianjin, comparable to the trend of China. In addition, Zhao et al. [25] suggested that adherence to colonoscopy in the first round (2012–2014) was lower than that in the second round (2015–2017), which could partly contribute to the increased detection rate.

With regard to the percent with positive family history in FDR (7.8%), it was lower than that from most western countries, but was comparable with or even higher than other reports from China (2.7%-5.9%) [26, 27], with some studies only reporting an overall positive rate of high-risk assessment questionnaire, ranging from 3.3% to 15.0% [4, 28–30]. This could be partly caused by the wide geographical, economic and racial variation in in the pattern of cancer [2, 31, 32], which might be associates with multiple factors, such as genetic, lifestyle, and environmental factors.

In the present analysis, only a small proportion of CRNs were attributable to a history of CRC in FDR. Previous studies assessing the attributable risk of CRC conducted in China were limited, and a family history of CRC has not been considered before [15–17]. Even in studies from other countries, family history was rarely taken into account to estimate the PAFs of CRC [9, 11, 12, 33]. A study from Germany suggested that only 2% of neoplastic polyps were attributable to a history of CRC in FDR, lower than 8% to smoking in their population [10], which was in keeping with our results. A meta-analysis of 9.28 million individuals revealed that the increased risk by family history of CRC was significantly lower in older individuals (RR: 3.29 for < 40 years vs. 1.42 for ≥ 40 years, \(p = 0.017\)) [34]. Thus, this low contribution of family history could be influenced by the older cohort used in the present study, with 99.9% of participants aged ≥ 40 years.

Moreover, as suggested by Hoffmeister et al., even by accounting for higher risks for CRC (RR = 2.25), the fraction with advanced CRN attributable to family history in FDR (9%) would not exceed that attributable to smoking [10].

Consistent with previous studies, current smoking had a detrimental effect on the development of CRN [35–38]. Our findings showed a higher proportion of CRNs attributable to current smoking in males than in females, which was consistent with previous studies in Asian countries, such as Japan (29.7% vs. 5.0%) [11] and Korea (9.2% vs. 1.0% for colon; 21.8% vs. 1.7% for rectum) [12], and two studies conducted in China (8.4% vs. 0.4%; 7.9% vs. 0.8%, respectively) [15, 17]. However, this sex-based difference was smaller in Europe (8.3% in males vs. 5.9% in females).

| Table 3 | Number and PAFs of colorectal neoplasms attributable to selected risk factors |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | Male                                                                 |
|         | Adjusted PAF\(^a\) (95% CI) | Cases attributable to risk factor\(^b\) | Female                                                                 |
|         | Adjusted PAF\(^a\) (95% CI) | Cases attributable to risk factor\(^b\) |
| For nonadvanced CRN | Current smoking 8.9% (6.6% to 11.1%) 871/9788 3.4% (1.6% to 5.2%) 273/8035 | Ever drinking 2.6% (0.6% to 4.6%) 254/9788 0.4% (-1.4% to 2.2%) 32/8035 | Physical inactivity 1.9% (-1.6% to 5.3%) 186/9788 2.1% (-1.5% to 5.7%) 169/8035 | BMI > 25 kg/m\(^2\) 5.8% (3.1% to 8.5%) 567/9788 7.8% (5.1% to 10.4%) 627/8035 | History of CRC in FDR 1.1% (-0.5% to 2.7%) 108/9788 0.7% (-1.2% to 2.7%) 56/8035 | All selected lifestyle risk factors\(^c\) 19.9% (14.4% to 25.3%) 1948/9788 9.7% (5.1% to 14.2%) 779/8035 |
| For advanced CRN | Current smoking 12.3% (7.9% to 16.8%) 261/2126 4.3% (-0.8% to 9.5%) 54/1255 | Ever drinking 7.3% (3.0% to 11.5%) 155/2126 0.2% (-5.1% to 5.5%) 3/1255 | Physical inactivity 4.9% (-1.1% to 10.9%) 104/2126 8.2% (0.6% to 15.8%) 103/1255 | BMI > 25 kg/m\(^2\) 7.2% (1.8% to 12.6%) 153/2126 8.5% (1.9% to 15.1%) 107/1255 | History of CRC in FDR 0.8% (-3.2% to 4.8%) 17/2126 -0.6% (-6.2% to 5%) 8/1255 | All selected lifestyle risk factors\(^c\) 29.0% (20.4% to 37.6%) 617/2126 13.8% (4.2% to 23.3%) 173/1255 |

\(\text{PAF}\) Population attributable fraction, CI Confidence interval, CRN Colorectal neoplasm, BMI Body mass index, CRC Colorectal cancer, FDR First-degree relative

\(^{a}\) PAFs were adjusted for age at colonoscopy, educational level, marital status, smoking status, alcohol intake, physical activity, BMI, family history of CRC in first-degree relative and year of colonoscopy

\(^{b}\) \(\text{Total number of cases} \times \text{PAF}\) / total number of cases

\(^{c}\) Selected lifestyle risk factors including current smoking, alcohol drinking, physical inactivity and BMI > 25 kg/m\(^2\)
than in Asia [39], despite the opposite trend being observed in the UK (7% in males vs. 10% in females) [40] and a PAF as high as 12% in Norwegian females [41]. This discrepancy may be partly explained by the fact that in major tobacco-related cancers in Europe, incidence rates have fallen in males and risen in females [42]. In addition, the relative risks for smoking in all types of cancers remained similar among China, Korea and Japan, but it appeared to be much lower than those reported in Western countries [43], and this huge geographical difference was especially pronounced in lung cancer [44]. Thus, it is essential to evaluate the PAFs in each country, and the prevention strategy should also be country specific.

Alcohol consumption has been widely proven to be associated with an increased risk of CRC. Due to the much lower prevalence of alcohol consumption among women in China [45], PAFs in females were lower than those in males, which is consistent with most previous studies from other countries [11, 12, 15, 46, 47].

The prevalence of overweight and obesity has increased markedly in the Chinese population over the past decade [48]. The PAFs of CRC by overweight/obesity were 4.0%-5.3%, reported from China ten years ago [15, 16], while it increased to approximately 8% in the present study, in keeping with the increased prevalence of obesity. There also exists a geographical difference in BMI between Asia and Western countries, which potentially results from the different patterns of diet and lifestyle. For instance, most of the PAFs for obesity reported from Asia, including Japan [11], Korea [12, 49] and China [15, 16], were less than 10% regardless of sex, while those in the European population tended to be higher [46, 50]. Physical inactivity tended to contribute only a relatively small portion in nonadvanced CRN but appeared to be important in advanced CRN. Previous studies showed a wide range of PAFs physical inactivity, from 0.3% to 8.9%, partly due to different definitions of physical inactivity [11, 12, 15, 46].

The impact of high BMI between males and females has not been as consistent. Some studies showed a higher PAF of BMI in females [11, 15, 51], as did the present study, while others suggested a higher or similar PAF in males [46, 49, 50]. A study based on over one hundred thousand Asians revealed that a high BMI is associated with an increased risk of obesity-related cancer, including CRC, in Asian women, with a PAF of 6.7%, while that in men was -0.2% [52]. However, a meta-analysis of 56 studies found a stronger association between BMI and the risk of CRC for men than women [53]. One possible explanation was the relatively low prevalence of smoking and alcohol drinking in females, while those were major attributable factors in males. Hence, the relative proportion of cases attributable to BMI in females may appear to be higher than that in males. In addition, several studies have proven that BMI may be more strongly associated with CRC for, or perhaps even limited to, premenopausal women but not among older women [54, 55], partly due to the changes in hormones critical for carcinogenesis. Thus, further research is needed to determine the precise mechanisms of BMI in males and females.

Nonadvanced and advanced neoplasm were both considered in the present study. With respect to potential implications for the design of CRC screening programs, advanced CRN is the most relevant outcome. However, it would be more reassuring and convincing if the effect of risk factors was consistent for nonadvanced and advanced neoplasm because both are considered to be part of the multistage model of colorectal carcinogenesis [56].

This study had some limitations. First, due to its observational design, this study is prone to confounding. Second, the exposures were based on self-reports and might include some misclassification. Third, the data were collected in Tianjin, China, and were not applicable to represent the whole country. Fourth, some other potential lifestyle risk factors, such as the intake of fruit, vegetable and red and processed meat, were not included. Fifth, people who had a first-degree relative with CRC were more inclined to take part in the screening and colonoscopy, which might reduce the contribution of family history. However, there are several strengths of this study, and the major one is the population-based design in a real screening setting, with strict standards applied to ensure the quality of data. Our findings could provide practical means for developing guidelines of CRC prevention and control in China.

Conclusions

We found that 29% of advanced CRNs in males and 13.8% in females were attributable to modifiable lifestyle factors, while only less than 1% of those were attributable to a family history of CRC in FDR. Smoking is currently the main contributor to CRN in males and that was high BMI in females. Overall, modifiable lifestyle factors, including smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity and BMI, have a larger contribution to CRN than family history. Our findings provide a valuable quantitative appraisal of the impact of different factors in CRNs, which is helpful in prioritizing cancer prevention and control strategies in China.
Abbreviations

PAF: Population attributable fraction; CRN: Colorectal neoplasm; OR: Odd ratio; CI: Confidence interval; BMI: Body mass index; CRC: Colorectal cancer; FDR: First-degree relative; FOBT: Faecal occult blood test.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-022-10141-1.

Additional file 1: Table S1. Association of selected risk factors with colorectal neoplasms stratified by sex.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors’ contributions

SW, YZ, YW, WC and CZ conceived the project. WG, HL, YZ, ZZ, SL, ZL, HM, XZ and QZ collected the data. SW, YZ, YW, XZ, WC and CZ analyzed the data. SW, YZ, YW and XZ wrote the manuscript with help from all of the authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFC1700606, 2017YFC1700604), Key R&D Projects in the Tianjin Science and Technology Pillar Program (19SFZCSY00420), Natural Science Foundation of Tianjin, Grant Number (21JCZDJC00060, 21JCYBJC00180 and 21JCYBJC00340), Tianjin Key Medical Discipline (Specialty) Construction Project (TJYXZDKX-04AA).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets analysed during the current study are not publicly available due to limitations of ethical approval involving the patient data and anonymity but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tianjin Union Medicine Center and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants signed informed consent forms before information about their exposure to risk factors was collected and the colonoscopy examination was performed.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Author details

1 School of Medicine, Nankai University, Tianjin, China. 2 Department of Colorectal Surgery, Tianjin Union Medical Center, Tianjin, China. 3 School of Integrative Medicine, Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Tianjin, China. 4 Department of Endoscopy, Tianjin Union Medical Center, Tianjin, China. 5 Tianjin Third Central Hospital, Tianjin, China. 6 Dagang Hospital of Tianjin Binhai New Area, Tianjin, China. 7 Tianjin Institute of Coloproctology, Tianjin, China. 8 The Institute of Translational Medicine, Tianjin Union Medical Center of Nankai University, Tianjin, China. 9 Department of Nursing, Tianjin Union Medical Center, Tianjin, China. 10 School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Tianjin University, Tianjin, Binhai New Area, Tianjin, China. 11 School of Medical Engineering, Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Tianjin, China. 12 School of Medicine, Nankai University, Tianjin, China. 13 Department of Colo-rectal Surgery, Tianjin Union Medical Center, Tianjin, China.

Received: 20 June 2022 Accepted: 29 September 2022

Published online: 07 October 2022

References

1. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018;68(6):394–424.

2. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(3):209–49.

3. Guo C, Liu Q, Dai M. Colorectal cancer screening: situation and prospect. Zhongying Jiao Fang Yi Xue Za Zhi. 2015;49(5):377–80.

4. Cai SR, Zhang SZ, Zhu HH, Huang YQ, Li QR, Ma XY, et al. Performance of a colorectal cancer screening protocol in an economically and medically underserved population. Cancer Prev Res (Phila). 2011;4(10):1572–9.

5. Johnson CM, Wei C, Ensor JE, Smolenksi DJ, Amos CI, Levin B, et al. Meta-analyses of colorectal cancer risk factors. Cancer Causes Control. 2013;24(6):1207–22.

6. Song M, Garrett WS, Chan AT. Nutrients, foods, and colorectal cancer prevention. Gastroenterology. 2015;148(6):1244-60.e16.

7. Nimpfisch K, Wu K. Is timing important? The role of diet and lifestyle during early life on colorectal neoplasia. Curr Colorectal Cancer Rep. 2018;14(1):1–11.

8. Aleksandrova K, Pischon T, Jenab M, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Fedirko V, Norat T, et al. Combined impact of healthy lifestyle factors on colorectal cancer: a large European cohort study. BMJ Med. 2014;12:168.

9. Islam F, Goding Sauer A, Miller KD, Siegel RL, Fedewa SA, Jacobs EJ, et al. Proportion and number of cancer cases and deaths attributable to potentially modifiable risk factors in the United States. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018;68(1):31–54.

10. Hoffmeister M, Schmitz S, Karmrodt E, Staegmaier C, Haug U, Arndt V, et al. Male sex and smoking have a larger impact on the prevalence of colorectal neoplasia than family history of colorectal cancer. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2010;8(10):870–6.

11. Inoue M, Sawada N, Matsuda T, Iwasaki M, Sasazuki S, Shimazu T, et al. Attributable causes of cancer in Japan in 2005—systematic assessment to estimate current burden of cancer attributable to known preventable risk factors in Japan. Ann Oncol. 2012;23(S):1362–9.

12. Cho S, Shin A. Population attributable fraction of established modifiable risk factors on colorectal cancer in Korea. Cancer Res Treat. 2021;53(2):480–6.

13. Manugame T, Sobue T, Satoh H, Komatsu S, Nishino Y, Nakatsuka H, et al. Lung cancer death rates by smoking status: comparison of the Three-Prefecture Cohort study in Japan to the Cancer Prevention Study II in the USA. Cancer Sci. 2005;96(2):120–6.

14. Takahashi I, Matsuoka M, Umeda T, Yamai K, Nishimura M, Danjo K, et al. Differences in the influence of tobacco smoking on lung cancer between Japan and the USA: possible explanations for the “smoking paradox” in Japan. Public Health. 2008;122(9):891–6.

15. Gu MJ, Huang QC, Bao CZ, Li JY, Li QX, Ye D, et al. Attributable causes of colorectal cancer in China. BMC Cancer. 2018;18(1):38.

16. Wu Y, Li Y, Giovannucci E. Potential impact of time trend of lifestyle risk factors on burden of major gastrointestinal cancers in China. Gastroenterology. 2021;161(6):1830-41.e8.

17. Wang JB, Jiang Y, Liang H, Li P, Xiao HJ, Ji J, et al. Attributable causes of colorectal cancer in China. Ann Oncol. 2012;23(11):2983–9.

18. Meng W, Cai SR, Zhou L, Dong Q, Zheng S, Zhanh supply. Performance value of high risk factors in colorectal cancer screening in China. World J Gastroenterol. 2009;15(48):6111–6.

19. Bruzzi P, Green SB, Byar DP, Brinton LA, Schairer C. Estimating the population attributable risk for multiple risk factors using case-control data. Am J Epidemiol. 1985;122(9):891–6.

20. Hoffmeister M, Schmitz S, Karmrodt E, Staegmaier C, Haug U, Arndt V, et al. Male sex and smoking have a larger impact on the prevalence of colorectal neoplasia than family history of colorectal cancer. Ann Oncol. 2012;23(S):1362–9.

21. Park BK, Jang MH, Yone EK, Park HS, Park HC, et al. Attributable risk for multiple risk factors in Japanese men and women based on a colorectal cancer screening protocol in an economically and medically underserved population. Cancer Prev Res (Phila). 2011;4(10):1572–9.

22. Johnson CM, Wei C, Ensor JE, Smolenksi DJ, Amos CI, Levin B, et al. Meta-analyses of colorectal cancer risk factors. Cancer Causes Control. 2013;24(6):1207–22.

23. Cao W, Liang HD, Yu YW, Li N, Chen WQ. Changing profiles of cancer burden worldwide and in China: a secondary analysis of the global cancer statistics 2020. Chin Med J (Engl). 2021;134(7):783–9.
24. Chen W, Zheng R, Baade PD, Zhang S, Zeng H, Bray F, et al. Cancer statistics in China, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin. 2016;66(2):115–32.
25. Zhao L, Zhang X, Chen Y, Wang Y, Zhang W, Lu W. Does self-reported symptom questionnaire play a role in nonadherence to colonscopy for risk-increased population in the Tianjin colorectal cancer screening programme? BMC Gastroenterol. 2021;21(1):117.
26. Wu WM, Gu K, Yang YH, Bao PP, Gong YM, Shi Y, et al. Improved risk scoring systems for colorectal cancer screening in Shanghai. China Cancer Med. 2022;11(9):1972–83.
27. Wu WM, Wang Y, Jiang HR, Yang C, Li XQ, Yan B, et al. Colorectal cancer screening modalities in Chinese populations: practice and lessons in Pudong New Area of Shanghai. China Front Oncol. 2019;9:399.
28. Zhang M, Zhao L, Zhang Y, Jing H, Wei L, Li Z, et al. Colorectal cancer screening with high-risk factor questionnaire and fecal immunochemical tests among 5,947,986 asymptomatic population: a population-based study. Front Oncol. 2022;12:893183.
29. Lin G, Feng Z, Liu H, Li Y, Nie Y, Liang Y, et al. Mass screening for colorectal cancer in a population of two million older adults in Guangzhou, China. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):10424.
30. Cai SR, Huang YQ, Zhang SZ, Li QR, Ma XY, Zheng S. Effects of subjects in the colorectal cancer screening protocol on the Chinese colorectal cancer screening program: an analysis based on natural community screening results. BMC Cancer. 2019;19(1):47.
31. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fedewa SA, Ahnen DJ, Meester RGS, Barzi A, et al. Colorectal cancer statistics, 2017. CA Cancer J Clin. 2017;67(3):177–93.
32. Deng Y. Rectal cancer in Asian vs. Western countries: why the variation in incidence? Curr Treat Options Oncol. 2017;18(10):64.
33. Charafeddine MA, Olson SH, Mukherji D, Temraz SN, Abou-Alfa GK, Shamseddine Al. Proportion of cancer in a Middle eastern country attributable to established risk factors. BMC Cancer. 2017;17(1):337.
34. Wong MCS, Chan CH, Lin J, Huang JWL, Huang J, Fang Y, et al. Lower relative contribution of positive family history to colorectal cancer risk with increasing age: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 9.28 million individuals. Am J Gastroenterol. 2018;113(12):1819–27.
35. Bottor E, Iodice S, Raimondi S, Maisonneuve P, Lowenfels AB. Cigarette smoking and adenomatous polyps: a meta-analysis. Gastroenterology. 2008;134(2):388–95.
36. Lieberman DA, Prindiville S, Weiss DG, Willett W. Risk factors for advanced colon neoplasia and hyperplastic polyps in asymptomatic individuals. JAMA. 2003;290(22):2959–67.
37. Shrubsale MU, Wu H, Ness RM, Shyr Y, Smalley WE, Zheng W. Alcohol drinking, cigarette smoking, and risk of colorectal adenomatous and hyperplastic polyps. Am J Epidemiol. 2008;167(9):1050–8.
38. Tsol KK, Pau CY, Wu WK, Chan FK, Griffiths S, Sung JJ. Cigarette smoking and the risk of colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2009;7(6):682-88.e1-5.
39. Kulháňová I, Forman D, Vignat J, Espina C, Brenner H, Storm HH, et al. Tobacco-related cancers in Europe: the scale of the epidemic in 2018. Eur J Cancer. 2020;139:27–36.
40. Parkin DM. Tobacco-attributable cancer burden in the UK in 2010. Br J Cancer. 2011;105 Suppl 2(Suppl 2):S77–81.
41. Parkin DM, Boyd L, Walker LC. The fraction of cancer attributable to lifestyle and environmental factors in the UK in 2010. Br J Cancer. 2011;105 Suppl 2(Suppl 2):S77–81.
42. Parkin DM, Boyd L, Walker LC. The fraction of cancer attributable to lifestyle and environmental factors in the UK in 2010. Br J Cancer. 2011;105 Suppl 2(Suppl 2):S77–81.
43. Park S, Shin HR, Lee B, Shin A, Jung KW, Lee DH, et al. Attributable fraction of alcohol consumption on cancer using population-based nationwide cancer incidence and mortality data in the Republic of Korea. BMC Cancer. 2014;14:420.
44. Ma S, Xi B, Yang L, Sun J, Zhao M, Bovet P. Trends in the prevalence of overweight, obesity, and abdominal obesity among Chinese adults between 1993 and 2015. Int J Obes (Lond). 2021;45(2):427–37.
45. Park S, Kim Y, Shin HR, Lee B, Shin A, Jung KW, et al. Population-attributable causes of cancer in Korea: obesity and physical inactivity. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(4):e90871.
46. Arnold M, Touillaud M, Dossous L, Freisling H, Bray F, Margaritis I, et al. Cancers in France in 2015 attributable to body mass index cancer. Cancer Epidemiol. 2018;52:15–9.
47. Islami F, Chen W, Yu XQ, Lortet-Tieulent J, Zheng R, Flanders WD, et al. Cancer deaths and cases attributable to lifestyle factors and infections in China, 2013. Ann Oncol. 2017;28(10):2567–74.
48. Matsunaga M, Yatsuya H, Iso H, Li Y, Yamagishi K, Tanabe N, et al. Impact of body mass index on obesity-related cancer and cardiovascular disease mortality; the Japan Collaborative Cohort Study. J Atheroscler Thromb. 2021;29(10):1547–62.
49. Ning Y, Wang L, Giovannucci EL. A quantitative analysis of body mass index and colorectal cancer: findings from 56 observational studies. Obes Rev. 2010;11(1):19–30.
50. Terry P, Giovannucci E, Bergkvist L, Holmberg L, Wolk A. Body weight and colorectal cancer risk in a cohort of Swedish women: relation varies by age and cancer site. Br J Cancer. 2001;85(3):346–9.
51. Giovannucci E. Obesity, gender, and colon cancer. Gut. 2002;51(2):147.
52. Winawer SJ. Natural history of colorectal cancer. Am J Med. 1999;106(1a):35-65 discussion 505-515.

Publisher's Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:
- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more: biomedcentral.com/submissions