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Abstract
We present a genome assembly from an individual *Griposia aprilina* (the merveille du jour; Arthropoda; Insecta; Lepidoptera; Noctuidae). The genome sequence is 720 megabases in span. The majority of the assembly (99.89%) is scaffolded into 32 chromosomal pseudomolecules with the W and Z sex chromosomes assembled. The complete mitochondrial genome was also assembled and is 15.4 kilobases in length.
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Species taxonomy
Eukaryota; Metazoa; Ecdysozoa; Arthropoda; Hexapoda; Insecta; Pterygota; Neoptera; Endopterygota; Lepidoptera; Glossata; Ditrysia; Noctuoidea; Noctuidae; Xyleninae; Griposia; Griposia aprilina (Linnaeus, 1758) (NCBI:txid1101106).

Background
The merveille du jour, *Griposia aprilina* (Linnaeus, 1758), is a species of moth belonging to the Xylenini tribe of the Noctuidae family. The species is generally common but rarely abundant; it is widespread across Europe, observed as far east as the Urals, the Caucasus, and Asia Minor, as well as the British Isles (except for the extreme north and parts of Ireland), with a distribution increasing in the UK since 1970 (Randle et al., 2019).

*G. aprilina* is one of the most charismatic noctuids and adults are beautifully camouflaged with black, green, and white markings that mimic lichens on bark. The Linnean species name *aprilina* is thought to refer to the colour of opening buds, or spring (Emmet, 1991). They prefer mature woodlands where larvae internally feed on flowers and leaves of oak trees (*Quercus* spp.). Adults are on the wing between September and October and feed at night on ivy blooms and berries. They overwinter as eggs on branches or within bark of the host plant (“Merveille Du Jour”, n.d.).

The moth may possibly be sister to the recently discovered *Griposia jahannamah* (belonging to BIN BOLD:ACJ6462 on BOLD) from Iran (Fibiger et al., 2008). It is very narrowly divergent in COI-5P to others of its BIN BOLD:AAC3647, including *G. wegneri*, *G. skyvai* and *G. bouveti* (Huemer et al., 2019) and also *G. pinkeri* of Greece and the Middle East, all of which are lichen-camouflaged. The genus does not yet seem to have been included in modern molecular phylogenetic works and it would be interesting to trace the evolution of colour pattern traits once the sister taxon of *Griposia* is known.

Genome sequence report
The genome was sequenced from a single female *G. aprilina* collected from Wytham Woods, Berkshire, UK (Figure 1). A total of 31-fold coverage in Pacific Biosciences single-molecule HiFi long reads and 59-fold coverage in 10X Genomics read clouds were generated. Primary assembly contigs were scaffolded with chromosome conformation Hi-C data. Manual assembly curation corrected 26 missing/misjoins and removed four haplotypic duplications, reducing the assembly size by 0.17% and the scaffold number by 22.22%, and increasing the scaffold N50 by 4.16%.

The final assembly has a total length of 720 Mb in 42 sequence scaffolds with a scaffold N50 of 24.6 Mb (Table 1). The majority, 99.89%, of the assembly sequence was assigned to 32 chromosomal-level scaffolds, representing 30 autosomes (numbered by sequence length) and the W and Z sex chromosomes (Figure 2–Figure 5; Table 2).

Table 1. Genome data for *Griposia aprilina*, ilGriApr1.1.

| **Project accession data** |  |
|---------------------------|---|
| Assembly identifier       | ilGriApr1.1 |
| Species                   | *Griposia aprilina* |
| Specimen                  | ilGriApr1 (genome assembly, Hi-C, RNA-Seq) |
| NCBI taxonomy ID          | 1101106 |
| BioProject                | PRJE46317 |
| BioSample ID              | SAMEA8603200 |
| Isolate information       | Female. Thorax (genome assembly); head (Hi-C); abdomen (RNA-Seq) |

| **Raw data accessions** |  |
|-------------------------|---|
| PacificBiosciences SEQUEL II | ERR6939240 |
| 10X Genomics Illumina    | ERR6688515-ERR6688518 |
| Hi-C Illumina           | ERR6688401 |
| PolyA RNA-Seq Illumina  | ERR9435004 |

| **Genome assembly** |  |
|---------------------|---|
| Assembly accession  | GCA_916610205.1 |
| Accession of alternate haplotype | GCA_916610245.1 |
| Span (Mb)            | 720 |
| Number of contigs    | 75 |
| Contig N50 length (Mb) | 18.6 |
| Number of scaffolds  | 42 |
| Scaffold N50 length (Mb) | 24.6 |
| Longest scaffold (Mb) | 28.6 |

*BUSCO* genome score C:99.0%, S:98.4%, D:0.6%, F:0.2%, M:0.8%, n:5,286

*BUSCO scores based on the lepidoptera_odb10 BUSCO set using v5.3.2. C= complete [S= single copy, D=duplicated, F=fragmented, M=missing, n=number of orthologues in comparison. A full set of BUSCO scores is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ilGriApr1.1/dataset/CAKAIV01/busco.*

Figure 1. Image of the *Griposia aprilina* specimen taken prior to preservation and processing.
The assembly has a BUSCO v5.3.2 (Manni et al., 2021) completeness of 99.0% (single 98.4%, duplicated 0.6%) using the lepidoptera_odb10 reference set (n=5,286). While not fully phased, the assembly deposited is of one haplotype. Contigs corresponding to the second haplotype have also been deposited.

Methods
Sample acquisition and nucleic acid extraction
A single female *G. aprilina* specimen (iGriApr1) was collected by using a light trap from Wytham Woods, Berkshire, UK (latitude 51.772, longitude -1.338) by Douglas Boyes.
The specimen was identified by Douglas Boyes and snap-frozen on dry ice. DNA was extracted at the Tree of Life laboratory, Wellcome Sanger Institute. The ilGriApri1 sample was weighed and dissected on dry ice with tissue set aside for Hi-C sequencing. Thorax tissue was cryogenically disrupted to a fine powder using a Covaris cryoPREP Automated Dry Pulveriser, receiving multiple impacts. Fragment size analysis of 0.01-0.5 ng of DNA was then performed using an Agilent FemtoPulse. High molecular weight (HMW) DNA was extracted using the Qiagen MagAttract HMW DNA extraction kit. Low molecular weight DNA was removed from a 200-ng aliquot of extracted DNA using 0.8X AMPure XP purification kit prior to 10X

**Figure 3. Genome assembly of *Gripopsis aprilina*, ilGriApri1.1: GC coverage.** BlobToolKit GC-coverage plot. Scaffolds are coloured by phylum. Circles are sized in proportion to scaffold length. Histograms show the distribution of scaffold length sum along each axis. An interactive version of this figure is available at [https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ilGriApril1.1/dataset/CAKAIV01.1/blob](https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ilGriApril1.1/dataset/CAKAIV01.1/blob).
Chromium sequencing; a minimum of 50 ng DNA was submitted for 10X sequencing. HMW DNA was sheared into an average fragment size between 12-20 kb in a Megaruptor 3 system with speed setting 30. Sheared DNA was purified by solid-phase reversible immobilisation using AMPure PB beads with a 1.8X ratio of beads to sample to remove the shorter fragments and concentrate the DNA sample. The concentration of the sheared and purified DNA was assessed using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer and Qubit Fluorometer and Qubit dsDNA High Sensitivity Assay kit. Fragment size distribution was evaluated by running the sample on the FemtoPulse system.

RNA was extracted from the abdomen tissue of ilGriApri1 in the Tree of Life Laboratory at the WSI using TRIzol, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was then eluted in 50 μl RNAse-free water and its concentration RNA assessed using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer and Qubit Fluorometer using the Qubit RNA Broad-Range (BR) Assay kit. Analysis of the

**Figure 4. Genome assembly of Griposia Aprilina, ilGriApri1.1: cumulative sequence.** BlobToolKit cumulative sequence plot. The grey line shows cumulative length for all scaffolds. Coloured lines show cumulative lengths of scaffolds assigned to each phylum using the buscogenes taxrule. An interactive version of this figure is available at [https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ilGriApri1.1/dataset/CAKAIV01.1/cumulative](https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ilGriApri1.1/dataset/CAKAIV01.1/cumulative).
### Table 2. Chromosomal pseudomolecules in the genome assembly of *Griposia aprilina*, ilGriApri1.1.

| INSDC accession | Chromosome | Size (Mb) | GC%  |
|-----------------|------------|-----------|------|
| OU744284.1      | 1          | 28.62     | 37.7 |
| OU744285.1      | 2          | 27.79     | 37.7 |
| OU744286.1      | 3          | 26.48     | 37.8 |
| OU744287.1      | 4          | 26.07     | 37.6 |
| OU744288.1      | 5          | 26.07     | 37.5 |
| OU744289.1      | 6          | 26.02     | 37.7 |
| OU744290.1      | 7          | 25.94     | 37.9 |
| OU744291.1      | 8          | 25.62     | 37.5 |
| OU744292.1      | 9          | 25.51     | 37.8 |
| OU744293.1      | 10         | 25.19     | 37.6 |
| OU744294.1      | 11         | 24.87     | 37.7 |
| OU744295.1      | 12         | 24.79     | 37.9 |
| OU744296.1      | 13         | 24.56     | 37.5 |
| OU744297.1      | 14         | 23.77     | 37.5 |
| OU744298.1      | 15         | 23.57     | 37.6 |
| OU744299.1      | 16         | 23.31     | 37.8 |
| OU744300.1      | 17         | 23.2      | 38   |
| OU744301.1      | 18         | 23.06     | 37.8 |
| OU744302.1      | 19         | 22.97     | 37.7 |
| OU744303.1      | 20         | 22.86     | 38   |
| OU744304.1      | 21         | 21.71     | 37.9 |
| OU744305.1      | 22         | 21.26     | 37.6 |
| OU744306.1      | 23         | 20.86     | 37.5 |
| OU744307.1      | 24         | 20.55     | 37.9 |
| OU744308.1      | 25         | 17.65     | 37.7 |
| OU744309.1      | 26         | 17.58     | 37.7 |
| OU744310.1      | 27         | 16.13     | 38.3 |
| OU744311.1      | 28         | 14.95     | 38   |
| OU744312.1      | 29         | 13.85     | 38.6 |
| OU744313.1      | 30         | 13.84     | 38.3 |
| OU744314.1      | W          | 2.2       | 38.9 |
| OU744283.1      | Z          | 34.78     | 37.7 |
| OU744285.1      | MT         | 0.02      | 19.3 |
| OU744297.1      | -          | 4.78      | 38.6 |

**Figure 5.** Genome assembly of *Griposia aprilina*, ilGriApri1.1: Hi-C contact map. Hi-C contact map of the ilGriApri1.1 assembly, visualised in HiGlass. Chromosomes are arranged in size order from left to right and top to bottom. The interactive Hi-C map can be viewed at [https://genome-note-higlass.tol.sanger.ac.uk/l/?d=YUzUd2ygQNKfwuVpf4SRcA](https://genome-note-higlass.tol.sanger.ac.uk/l/?d=YUzUd2ygQNKfwuVpf4SRcA).
integrity of the RNA was done using Agilent RNA 6000 Pico Kit and Eukaryotic Total RNA assay.

**Sequencing**

Pacific Biosciences HiFi circular consensus and 10X Genomics Chromium read cloud sequencing libraries were constructed according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Sequencing was performed by the Scientific Operations core at the Wellcome Sanger Institute on Pacific Biosciences SEQUEL II (HiFi), Illumina NovaSeq 6000 (10X) and Illumina HiSeq 4000 (RNA-Seq) instruments. Hi-C data were generated in the Tree of Life laboratory from head tissue of ilGriApril using the Arima v2 kit and sequenced on a NovaSeq 6000 instrument.

**Genome assembly**

Assembly was carried out with Hifiasm (Cheng et al., 2021); haplotypic duplication was identified and removed with purge_dups (Guan et al., 2020). One round of polishing was performed by aligning 10X Genomics read data to the assembly with longranger align, calling variants with freebayes (Garrison & Marth, 2012). The assembly was then scaffolded with Hi-C data (Rao et al., 2014) using SALSA2 (Ghurye et al., 2019). The assembly was checked for contamination as described previously (Howe et al., 2021). Manual curation was performed using HiGlass (Kerpedjiev et al., 2018) and Pretext. The mitochondrial genome was assembled using MitoHiFi (Uliano-Silva et al., 2021), which performs annotation using MitoFinder (Allio et al., 2020). The genome was analysed and BUSCO scores generated within the BlobToolKit environment (Challis et al., 2020). Table 3 contains a list of all software tool versions used, where appropriate.
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The genome sequence is released openly for reuse. The *G. aprilina* genome sequencing initiative is part of the Darwin Tree of Life (DToL) project. All raw sequence data and the assembly have been deposited in INSDC databases. The genome will be annotated using the RNA-Seq data and presented through the Ensembl pipeline at the European Bioinformatics Institute. Raw data and assembly accession identifiers are reported in Table 1.
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**Table 3. Software tools used.**

| Software tool | Version     | Source                                      |
|---------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Hifiasm       | 0.15.3      | Cheng et al., 2021                         |
| purge_dups    | 1.2.3       | Guan et al., 2020                         |
| SALSA2        | 2.2         | Ghurye et al., 2019                       |
| longranger    | 2.2.2       | https://support.10xgenomics.com/           |
|               |             | genome-exome/software/pipelines/            |
|               |             | latest/advanced/other-pipelines            |
| freebayes     | 1.3.1-17-gaa2ace8 | Garrison & Marth, 2012     |
| MitoHiFi      | 2.0         | Uliano-Silva et al., 2021                 |
| HiGlass       | 1.11.6      | Kerpedjiev et al., 2018                   |
| PretextView   | 0.2.x       | https://github.com/wtsi-hpag/PretextView   |
| BlobToolKit   | 3.2.6       | Challis et al., 2020                      |
References

Allio R, Schomaker-Bastos A, Romiguier J, et al.: MitoFinder: Efficient Automated Large-Scale Extraction of Mitogenomic Data in Target Enrichment Phylogenomics. Mol Ecol Resour. 2020; 20(4): 892-905. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Challis R, Richards E, Rajan J, et al.: BlobToolKit - Interactive Quality Assessment of Genome Assemblies. G3 (Bethesda). 2020; 10(4): 1361-1374. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Cheng H, Concepcion GT, Feng X, et al.: Haplotype-Resolved de Novo Assembly Using Phased Assembly Graphs with HiFiasm. Nat Methods. 2021; 18(2): 170-175. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Emmet AM: The Scientific Names of the British Lepidoptera: Their History and Meaning. Harley Books, Colchester. 1991.

Garrison E, Marth G: Haplotype-Based Variant Detection from Short-Read Sequencing. 2012; arXiv: 1207.3907. Publisher Full Text

Ghurye J, Rhie A, Walenz BP, et al.: Integrating Hi-C Links with Assembly Graphs for Chromosome-Scale Assembly. PLoS Comput Biol. 2019; 15(8): e1007273. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Guan D, McCarthy SA, Wood J, et al.: Identifying and Removing Haplotypic Duplication in Primary Genome Assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2020; 36(9): 2896-98. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Huemer P, Wieser C, Stark W, et al.: DNA Barcode Library of Megadiverse Austrian Noctuoidea (Lepidoptera) - a Nearly Perfect Match of Linnean Taxonomy. Biodivers Data J. 2019; 7: e37734. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Kerpedjievi P, Abdemurru N, Lekschas F, et al.: HiGlass: Web-Based Visual Exploration and Analysis of Genome Interaction Maps. Genome Biol. 2018; 19(1): 125. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Wellcome Sanger Institute: The genome sequence of the merveille du jour, *Griposia aprilina* (Linnaeus, 1758), European Nucleotide Archive. [dataset]. accession number PRJEB46317, 2022. Reference Source
This report describes the assembly of an excellent quality genome of the moth *Griposia aprilina*. I have no concerns about the protocol and resulting assembly.

I found one issue with the report: Table 1 says the longest scaffold is 28.6 Mb, but I believe this is the longest autosome. It seems that the longest scaffold overall is the Z chromosome, at 35 Mb (as given in Figure 2 and caption).
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The authors present a chromosome-scale genome assembly for the noctuid moth *Griposia aprilina*. The note provides brief information about the species, its genome, and the methods that were used to sequence and assemble the genome. This high-quality assembly will serve as a tool for further studies of the genus *Griposia*, which include several recently described closely related species with unclear taxonomic status. It is valuable that the female was studied and this made it possible to assemble not only the sex Z chromosome, but also the W chromosome, which turned out to be tiny (the smallest element in the set).

I have no serious points for criticism, but I have the following minor suggestions. Since, once genome-wide data is obtained, the studied specimen becomes a kind of reference for the whole species, it would be extremely useful to have complete label data for this specimen. Such data is mainly in the article, with the exception of information about the date of collection and where the studied sample is stored. I understand that the butterfly's body was used for DNA extraction, however the wings remain which carry important information needed for identification, and the location of the institute/museum where the voucher is kept may be of value to future researchers.

It also seems to me that it would be very useful to figure this voucher sample and to take and include in the article photographs of these wings (both forewings and hindwings, both upper side and underside), in addition to the Figure 1.
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