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Abstract: A study was conducted in Bukoba, Missenyi and Biharamulo Districts, Tanzania with the objectives of characterizing, classifying and assessing the suitability of the study area for cassava production. A reconnaissance field survey using transect walks and auger observations was done in the study sites, which were geo-referenced in terms of international coordinates using Global Positioning System. Soil profiles representative of the study sites were excavated and named as MARU-P1, MISSE-P1 and BIHA-P1 for Bukoba, Missenyi and Biharamulo Districts, respectively. Soil profile description and data on the morphological features of the representative soils were collected according to the FAO Guidelines for Soil Description. Disturbed and undisturbed soil samples were collected from genetic soil horizons, processed and analyzed in a soil laboratory. Data on site characteristics, climate, soil morphological features and soil physical and chemical properties were used to classify the soils to the family level of the USDA Soil Taxonomy and to tier-2 of the FAO World Reference Base for Soil Resources. Soil suitability assessment of the experimental sites was done using a simple limitation method based on the FAO Framework for Land Evaluation. The results reveal that Pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 have similar morphological properties, which are different from pedon MISSE-P1. However, the studied pedons differ in their physical and chemical properties, implying differences in their use and management. The climate of the study sites is semi-humid with Udic soil moisture regime, which is generally favourable for crop production. According to the USDA Soil Taxonomy, pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 were classified as “Typic Kandiudults”. In addition, according to FAO World Reference Base for Soil Resources, pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 were classified as “Haplic Ferralic Acrisols” and “Chronic Ferralic Acrisols”, respectively. Pedon MISSE-P1 was classified as “Typic Endoaquolls” according to USDA Soil Taxonomy and as “Fluvic Gleyic Phaeozems” according to FAO World Reference Base for Soil Resources. Pedons MISSE-P1 and BIHA-P1 were both evaluated as marginally suitable while MARU-P1 pedon was evaluated as moderately suitable for cassava production due to soil fertility constraints. We recommended specific soil fertility management strategies in each studied site for optimum cassava yields.
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1. Introduction

Interpretation towards land use potential for specific land use types is very important before making decisions on land uses. This is achieved through gathering soil information by systematic identification, grouping and delineation of the soils [1]. The intensification of agriculture requires a clear knowledge of the soil as a resource and attributes of the land together with understanding the potentials and constraints of the land for soil and water management [1]. Nevertheless, acquisition of this information is a challenge due to the limited knowledge on crop nutrient requirements, characteristics of soils and high level of variation in soil properties [2]. Improvement on crop productivity is greatly dependent on efficient utilization and management of soils [3]. Good database on soil properties and related site characteristics is of great importance in advising land users on how to use the land in the best possible way [1].

Variability of soils is the result of natural processes and management practices. Natural variability results from geological and pedological processes [4]. Soil forming factors namely climate, parent materials, living organisms, relief and time influence the morphological, physical, chemical and biological characteristics of soils [5, 6, 7]. Pedological characterization is a systematic way of collecting soil information for understanding soils in terms of their morphological, physical, chemical, biological and mineralogical properties leading to clear understanding of the potentials and limitations of the soils for crop production in a particular area [8, 9]. Moreover, pedological characterization is a major building block for understanding the soil, classifying it and getting the best understanding of the environment [10, 11].

Soil characterization and classification help to organize knowledge and facilitate the transfer of experience and technology from one place to another [12, 13]. Also they provide valuable information and understanding of the physical, chemical, mineralogical and biological properties of the soils for assessing soil fertility [14] to untie some unique soil problems and establish appropriate soil management strategies [15, 11]. This helps in the selection of the best agricultural enterprises for a particular soil [16] for improving crop production and soil and water management [1]. Soil characterization also provides insight on the suitability of soil for production of specific crops because climatic and soil-site parameters play significant role in crop performance and yields [17].

Soil suitability evaluation involves characterizing, assessing and grouping the soils in a given area in terms of their suitability for specific land use type [18] as a function of crop requirements and soil characteristics. Therefore, matching the soil qualities (nutrients availability and retention, toxicity, soil reaction, drainage, water availability), terrain and climate with the crop requirements provides soil suitability [19, 20]. Soil suitability classification is useful because some land attributes (soil, climate and topography) can be suitable for specific crops but unsuitable for others [21]. Therefore, soil suitability is a measure of how well the qualities of a soil match the crop requirements [7].

Conducting a meaningful fertilizer trial at a particular site requires the characterization of the soils of the respective site to enable transfer of soil information from one place to another [1, 16, 22]. In Tanzania, appreciable initiatives on collecting basic information on soil characterization, classification and soil suitability assessment in different locations through soil survey have been done [23, 24, 5, 1, 25, 26, 8, 6, 11, 22, 7, 9, 27]. However, the available information remains rather scanty relative to the large size of the country and its diverse soils and other land resources [1, 22]. Like in most parts of Tanzania, limited information is available on pedological characterization, classification and suitability assessment of the soils of Bukoba Rural, Missenyi and Biharamulo Districts for crop production. It is for this reason that this study was conducted with the objectives of characterizing the soils in terms of their morphological, physical, chemical and mineralogical characteristics; and classifying the soils to family level of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Soil Taxonomy and to Tier-2 of the World Reference Base (WRB) for Soil Resources and assessing the suitability of the soils of the study area for cassava production.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Description of the Study Area

2.1.1. Location of the Study Area

Kagera region is located in the north-western corner of Tanzania on the western shore of Lake Victoria (Figure 1) between latitudes 1°00’ and 3°45’ south of Equator and between longitudes 30°25’ and 32°40’ east of Greenwich. It is the fifteenth largest region in Tanzania with an area of about 3,568,600 ha of land, which accounts for approximately 3.3% of Tanzania’s total land area. Out of the region’s area, 10,173 ha are covered by water of the Lake Victoria, Ikimba and Burigi, and the Ngono and Kagera rivers [28]. Administratively, the region has seven districts, namely Biharamulo, Bukoba, Karagwe, Kyerwa, Missenyi, Muleba and Ngara, and borders four countries, namely Uganda, Rwanda, Burundi, and Kenya across Lake Victoria (Figure 1). This study was conducted in three districts, namely Bukoba, Missenyi and Biharamulo. The representative study sites were Tanzania Agricultural Research Institute (TARI), Maruku centre in Butairuka village, Bukoba District; Mabuye Primary School in Mabuye village, Missenyi District; and Rukaragata Farmers’ Extension Centre in Rukaragata village, Biharamulo District. Bukoba District covers an area of 284,100 ha and is situated between latitudes 1° 00’ and 3° 00’ S and between longitudes 30° 45’ and 31° 00’ E with altitude between 1200 - 1400 meters above sea level. Missenyi District covers an area of 270,875 ha and is situated between latitudes 1° 00’ and 1° 30’ S and between longitudes 30° 48’ and 31° 49’ E with altitude between 1100 - 1400 meters above sea level.
Biharamulo District covers an area of 374,400 ha and is situated between latitudes 2° 15′ and 3° 15′ S and between longitudes 31° 00′ and 32° 00′ E with altitude ranging from 1100 - 1700 meters above sea level [28]. Based on rainfall three agro-ecological zones, namely high (Bukoba), medium (Missenyi), and low (Biharamulo) rainfall zones are found in Kagera Region [29, 30].

![Figure 1. Location of Kagera Region and its Districts in Tanzania.](image)

2.1.2. Climate and Soils of the Study Area

The districts in Kagera Region experience bimodal rainfall distribution between September and December (short rains) and between March and June (long rains). The mean annual rainfall ranges from 900 - 2400 mm in Bukoba District, 600-2000 mm in Missenyi District and 700 - 1000 mm in Biharamulo District [29, 31]. The mean annual temperature ranges from 16 - 28°C, Missenyi having higher annual temperature (28°C) than Bukoba and Biharamulo (26°C). In terms of soil texture, the soils range from sandy clay loam to sandy clay and clay [29].

2.2. Field Work

2.2.1. Reconnaissance Field Survey

Reconnaissance field survey using transect walks and auger observations was done in all study sites to understand the heterogeneity of the soils. At each observation point, site data on landform, soil morphological features (soil
colour, texture, consistence, structure, porosity, and effective depth), parent material, natural vegetation, drainage, slope gradient, elevation, erosion and land use were recorded and filled in forms designed by the National Soil Service, Tanzania, adopted from the FAO Guidelines for Soil Description [32]. Soil colour was determined using Munsell colour charts [33]. This helped to select the representative study sites for laying out the experimental trials, soil sampling and excavation of the soil profile pits. The representative study sites (Figure 2) were located by international coordinates using Global Positioning System (GPS) (model GARMIN etrex 20).

2.2.2. Soil Profile Excavation

After conducting reconnaissance field survey in each study site, the point within each study site for soil profile excavation was identified and geo-referenced (Figure 2), by international coordinates using Global Positioning System (GPS). In each representative study site, one soil profile pit of 150 cm width, 200 cm length and depth ranging from 167-200 cm was excavated. The profiles were named as MARU-P1, MISSE-P1 and BIHA-P1 for TARI-Maruku site, Mabuye Primary School site and Rukaragata Extension Centre site respectively for Bukoba, Missenyi and Biharamulo Districts (Figure 3).

![Figure 2. Location of trial sites and soil profile observation points in the study sites.](image-url)
The genetic soil horizons in each soil profile pit were demarcated followed by collection of disturbed soil samples from each soil horizon and undisturbed soil samples (core samples) from three soil horizons at 0 - 35, 35 - 105 and 115 - 200 cm depths, packed and well labeled for laboratory analysis. Soil colours were determined using Munsell colour charts [33]. Soil penetration resistance was measured in each soil horizon using pocket cone penetrometer (Daiki RikaKogyo penetrometer Model DIK-5551) [34]. Ten different points (10 values) were taken within the respective horizon and averaged to get one mean penetration resistance value per horizon that was compared to the critical value of 3 MPa adopted as a critical value for plant growth [35, 34, 36, 37, 38]. Disturbed soil samples were air-dried, ground and sieved through 2 mm sieve, packed in paper bags and well labeled for physical and chemical soil properties determination in the soil laboratory.

2.3. Laboratory Analysis of Soil Samples

Soil samples for determining bulk density, porosity and moisture retention characteristics were analyzed at Tanzania Agricultural Research Institute (TARI), Mlingano Soil Laboratory in Muheza District, Tanzania. Soil samples for determining total elemental composition were analyzed at the Geological Survey of Tanzania (GST) Laboratory in Dodoma city, Tanzania. Soil samples for determining other soil physical and chemical properties were analyzed at Sokoine University of Agriculture (SUA) Soil Science Laboratory in Morogoro, Tanzania.

Particle size distribution was determined by hydrometer method [39, 40] after dispersing the soils with sodium hexametaphosphate. Soil textural classes were determined using the USDA textural triangle [41]. Bulk density (BD) was determined gravimetrically by drying undisturbed core soil samples at 105°C for 24 hours, and then calculating soil BD after weighing the dried core soil samples and empty cores [42, 43]. Soil moisture retention characteristics were determined using sand-kaolin box for low suction values and pressure plate membrane apparatus for high suction values [44, 42].

Soil pH in water and in 1M KCl and electric conductivity (EC) were measured potentiometrically [40, 45] using a soil:water, soil:KCl and soil:water ratio of 1:2.5, respectively, weight to volume basis using a soil pH-meter for soil pH and EC-meter for electric conductivity. Organic carbon (OC) was determined by the Walkley-Black wet oxidation method [46] and its value converted to organic matter (OM) by multiplying with a factor of 1.724 [47]. Total nitrogen (TN) was determined by micro-Kjeldahl digestion method [48], extractable phosphorus (P) by Bray and Kurtz-1 method [49]. Cation exchange capacity (CEC) of the soil and exchangeable bases (Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), K\(^{+}\) and Na\(^{+}\)) extracted by ammonium acetate (NH\(_4\)OAc) - pH 7 saturation method [50] were determined using atomic absorption spectrophotometer [51] for Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) and flame photometer for K\(^{+}\) and Na\(^{+}\) [40]. The absorbed NH\(_4^+\) was displaced by K\(^+\) using 1 M KCl followed by determination of CEC by Kjeldahl distillation method. The CEC\(_{clay}\) was calculated by dividing CEC\(_{soil}\) by percentage clay and multiplying by 100. Micronutrients (Cu, Fe, Zn, Mn) extracted by diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DTPA) method [52, 50] were determined using atomic absorption spectrophotometer [51]. The total exchangeable bases (TEB) were calculated as the sum of the four exchangeable bases (Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), K\(^{+}\) and Na\(^{+}\)) for a given soil sample. The C:N ratio, silty:clay ratio, exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP) and base saturation percentage (BS%) were calculated using formulas by [53]. Chemical index of alteration (CIA) was calculated as: \(CIA = \frac{[Al_{2}O_{3}] / [Al_{2}O_{3} + K_{2}O + Na_{2}O + CaO] *100)}{[Al_{2}O_{3}]}\).

Total elemental composition of soil samples was determined using X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometer (XRF) method whereby, 200 g of fine earth soil samples were weighed and open air-dried by using Infrared lamps for two hours. Samples were then ground to particle size ≤ 177 μm (75 Mesh) using swing mill pulverizer. The pressed powder without binder technique was used to prepare pellets for XRF analysis [54] and quantification of oxides of Mg and Na was done using an AAS machine version 208FS. Other elemental oxides were measured using PANalytical, Minipal 4 Energy Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometer (ED-XRF) Model PW4030/45B [55]. Weathering potential of the studied pedons was assessed based on the silica/aluminum oxide ratio, silica/sesquioxide ratio and CIA.

2.4. Soil Classification

The collected field data (site characteristics, climate and soil morphological features) and data obtained after laboratory analysis (physical and chemical properties of the soils) were used to classify the soils to family level of the USDA Soil Taxonomy [41] and to tier-2 of the FAO World Reference Base for Soil Resources [56].

2.5. Soil Suitability Assessment

The suitability of a given piece of land is its natural ability to support a specific purpose. Soil suitability assessment involves characterization of the soils in a given area for specific land use type based on knowledge of crop requirements, prevailing soil conditions and soil management [18]. Crop requirements are understood within the context of
limitations imposed by soil and other features, which do not form part of the soil but may have a significant influence on use of the soil [57, 18]. Therefore, soil suitability quantifies the extent soil conditions match crop requirements under defined input and management circumstances [18]. The soil suitability classification consists of assessing and grouping the pedons into four categories, namely orders, classes, subclasses and units based on the crop requirements [57, 58, 18]. The orders reflect kind of suitability, which include suitable (S) and not suitable (N). The classes reflect degree of suitability within the order, which include highly suitable (S1), moderately suitable (S2) and marginally suitable (S3), currently not suitable (N1) and permanently not suitable (N2). Subclasses reflect kind of limitations or main kind of improvement measures required within the classes (S2n - nutrient availability is a limitation or S3me - moisture availability and erosion are the limitations) and units reflect minor differences in required management within the subclasses (S2e-1 or S2e-2) [57]. In this study, suitability assessment of the experimental sites for cassava production was done using the simple limitation method [57, 58]. The observed land characteristics including rainfall, temperature, slope, drainage, soil texture, effective soil depth and soil nutrient content were compared with the ecological requirement of cassava crop [59, 60, 61] for suggesting the suitability of the studied pedons. Howeler [59] stated the following chemical soil characteristics as the nutritional requirement of cassava crop: pH (4.5 - 7.0), organic carbon (20 - 40 g kg\(^{-1}\)) equivalent to (0.2 - 0.4%), total nitrogen (1.5 - 2.0 g kg\(^{-1}\)) equivalent to (0.14 - 0.20%), phosphorus (10 to 14 mg kg\(^{-1}\)), potassium (0.2 - 0.4 cmol(+ kg\(^{-1}\)), calcium (1.0 - 5.0 cmol(+ kg\(^{-1}\)), Magnesium (0.4 - 1.0 cmol(+ kg\(^{-1}\)), electrical conductivity (2 - 4 dS m\(^{-1}\)), Na saturation (ESP) < 6%, copper (0.30 - 1.0 mg kg\(^{-1}\)), manganese (10.0 - 100.0 mg kg\(^{-1}\)), iron (10.0 - 100.0 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) and zinc (1.0 - 5.0 mg kg\(^{-1}\)). In addition, Cock (1985) and Howeler (2011) reported that cassava can grow well in the area with slope from 0 - 15%, rainfall from 50 - 3000 mm, temperature from 18 - 30°C, moderately deep (> 50 -100 cm) to very deep (> 150 cm) soil, moderate to well drained soil and soil texture of sandy loam, sandy clay loam and other loam soils.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characteristics of the Representative Study Sites in Bukoba, Missenyi and Biharamulo Districts

The representative study sites were characterized based on agro-ecological zone, location (coordinates), altitude, landform, geology, slope, land use, natural vegetation, natural drainage, flooding, soil moisture regime (SMR), soil temperature regime (STR) and mean annual rainfall (Table 1). The sites differ for rainfall received annually, and therefore, characterized as low to high rainfall zones [30, 62]. They are located between latitudes 1°11' and 2°38' S and between longitudes 31°18' - 31°46' E with altitude ranging from 1159 - 1419 meters above sea level (m. a. s. l.). The landform of the study sites is almost flat to peneplain with slope ranging from 1 - 3%. Annual rainfall ranged from 600 - 2500 mm with mean annual temperature ranging from 22 - 23°C [63, 30].

### Table 1. Site characteristics of the representative study sites in Bukoba, Missenyi and Biharamulo Districts, Tanzania.

| District       | Bukoba                                                                 | Missenyi                                                                 | Biharamulo                                                                 |
|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Attributes     | Description                                                            | Description                                                              | Description                                                              |
| Village        | Butairuka village                                                     | Mabuye Village                                                          | Rukaragata village                                                       |
| Location       | TARI-Maruku                                                            | Mabuye Primary School                                                   | Rukaragata Farmers’ Extension Centre                                     |
| Pedon identification | MARU-P1 (High rainfall zone)                                           | MISSE-P1 (Medium rainfall zone)                                         | BIHA-P1 (Low rainfall zone)                                              |
| Coordinates    | E 031°46’ 39.4”                                                       | E 031°’26’ 00.8”                                                        | E 031°18’ 49.3”                                                         |
| Altitudes (m.a.s.l.) | 1350                                                                  | 1159                                                                    | 1419                                                                    |
| Landform       | Peneplain                                                              | Almost flat                                                             | Peneplain                                                               |
| Geology/Lithology | Weathered Ankolean system which resulted to Bukoban system dominated by shales and sandstones | Quaternary sediment deposits (sandy, silty, clayey alluvium)             | Karagwe-Ankolean system (shale, quartzic sandstones, quartzites, and schists) |
| Slope gradient (%) | 1-2                                                                   | 1-2                                                                     | 1-3                                                                     |
| Land use/ Vegetation | Rainfed agriculture (cassava, maize, sweet potato, banana, coffee and beans) | Rainfed agriculture (cassava, maize, sweet potato, banana, coffee and beans) | Rainfed agriculture (cassava, maize, sweet potato, banana, coffee and beans) |
| Natural vegetation | Melosia emini "muhumula" Acacia sp. and grassland (couch grasses) | Acacia sp. and grassland (couch grasses, Themeda triandra, Hyparrhenia spp., Eragrostis spp and Euphobia spp.) | Miombo woodlands, grassland (couch grasses, Hyparrhenia spp., Eragrostis spp. and Euphobia spp.) |
| Natural drainage | Well drained                                                          | Well drained                                                            | Well drained                                                             |
| Flooding       | None                                                                   | None                                                                    | None                                                                     |
| Erosion        | Water erosion (Sheet/interill)                                         | Water erosion (Sheet/interill)                                          | Water erosion (Sheet/interill)                                           |
| Annual rainfall (mm) | 900 - 2500                                                           | 700 - 1300                                                             | 600 - 1000                                                              |
| Soil moisture regime (SMR) | Udic                                                                 | Udic                                                                    | Udic                                                                    |
| Mean annual temperature (°C) | 22                                                                   | 23                                                                      | 22                                                                       |
| Soil temperature regime (STR) | Isohyperthermic                                                      | Isohyperthermic                                                       | Isohyperthermic                                                          |

TMRK = TARI Maruku (Tanzania Agricultural Research Institute), Maruku Centre; MBYP = Mabuye Primary School; RKRF = Rukaragata Farmers’ Extension Centre; MARU-P1 = Maruku profile 1; MISSE-P1 = Missenyi profile 1; BIHA-P1 = Biharamulo profile 1
The study sites have Udic SMR and Isohyperthemic STR. Other characteristics are as presented in Table 1. The variations of annual and mean monthly rainfall over sixteen years (2004 to 2019) in the study area are presented in Figures 3 and 4. Annual rainfall in the study area varies from year to year. Within the sixteenth years, annual rainfall ranged from 561 - 3424 mm. The highest annual rainfall (3324 mm) was recorded in Bukoba District during 2019 while the lowest annual rainfall (561 mm) was recorded in Biharamulo District during 2017 (Figure 4). Generally, Bukoba District experienced highest annual rainfall followed by Missenyi District and the least was Biharamulo District. This conforms to the report by [30, 62] who reported that Bukoba District is a high rainfall zone, Missenyi District is a medium rainfall zone and Biharamulo District is low rainfall zone. The study sites experiences a bimodal rainfall distribution between September to December (short rains) and March to June (long rains) [29, 30].

![Figure 4. Annual rainfall variation over sixteen years in Bukoba Rural, Missenyi and Biharamulo Districts, Tanzania.](image)

Mean monthly rainfall within the sixteen years (2004 to 2019) ranged from 2 - 359 mm. Bukoba District experienced the highest mean monthly rainfall (359 mm) followed by Missenyi District (200 mm) and Biharamulo District experienced the lowest mean monthly rainfall (163 mm) (Figure 4). In all districts, the months with highest rainfall were from February to May (long rains, *Masika*), with the range from 53 - 324 mm as compared to from August to December (short rains, *Vuli*), with the range from 48 - 191 mm (Figure 5). The month with peak mean monthly rainfall was April followed by May in Bukoba and Missenyi Districts. In Biharamulo District, the month with peak mean monthly rainfall was March followed by April (Figure 5). However, few rains were experienced from June to August, but there was no month within the year that did not experience rainfall at all. That is why the study area has been characterized to have Udic soil moisture regime (SMR) [41].

![Figure 5. Mean monthly rainfall variation over sixteen years in Bukoba, Missenyi and Biharamulo Districts, Tanzania.](image)

### 3.2. Soil Morphological Characteristics of the Studied Pedons

The studied pedons were named as MARU-P1, MISSE-P1 and BIHA-P1 for Bukoba, Missenyi and Biharamulo Districts, respectively. The effective soil depths ranged from 0 - 200+ cm whereby that of MARU-P1 ranged from 0 - 200+ cm, MISSE-P1 from 0 - 187+ cm and BIHA-P1 from 0 - 170+ cm (Table 2). Thus, all studied pedons were very deep [32]. At the time of observation, MARU-P1 pedon was moist and therefore, the colour of dry soil was not determined. For
MISSE-P1 and BIHA-P1 pedons, colours of dry topsoils were dark grey and brown, respectively. Dry subsoil colour was black for MISSE-P1 and ranged from brown to yellowish red for BIHA-P1. Moist colour of the topsoils were very dark brown, black and dark brown for MARU-P1, MISSE-P1 and BIHA-P1, respectively, while moist colour of subsoil ranged from dark brown to strong brown (MARU-P1), dark brown to grey (MISSE-P1) and dark brown to yellowish red (BIHA-P1). Consistencies of the pedons were slightly hard to very hard when dry, friable when moist and slightly sticky and slightly plastic when wet, for the topsoils of all pedons, and ranged from very friable to friable when moist and non-sticky to sticky and non-plastic to plastic when wet, for subsoils of all pedons (Table 2). Soil structure of the pedons were moderate, fine and medium, columnar (MARU-P1), moderate, medium and coarse, columnar (MISSE-P1), and moderate, medium, subangular blocky for topsoils. For the subsoils, the structures were moderate, medium, subangular blocky to weak, fine and medium, subangular blocky (MARU-P1), weak, medium and coarse, subangular blocky to single grain (structure less) (MISSE-P1) and moderate, medium and coarse, subangular blocky to moderate and strong, medium and fine, subangular blocky (BIHA-P1). Horizon boundaries of topsoils were clear and wavy in all pedons and ranged from gradual and wavy to diffuse and smooth (MARU-P1), abrupt and wavy to abrupt and smooth (MISSE-P1) and gradual and smooth to diffuse and smooth (BIHA-P1) for the subsoils. Other morphological features were as presented in Table 2 and Figure 6. Also at the time of observation, water-logging condition was observed at a depth of 167 cm in MISSE-P1 pedon, associated with greying condition in which distinct pattern of mottling was observed which reflects alternating conditions of reduction and oxidation (Redox) of sesquioxides (oxides of Al and Fe) caused by seasonal water-logging condition [32]. Other researchers, [64] working on the grey soil of Bangladesh floodplain, [65] working on rice soils of Tropical Rainforest in Southeastern Nigeria and [6] working on soils of Kilombero valley in Tanzania observed similar conditions in the subsoils. The redoximorphic condition together with stratification observed in Missenyi pedon signified alluvial soil formation. However, the observed water-logging condition could not affect the growth of crops since the condition was seasonal and observed at a very deep (167+ cm) horizon. The other two pedons (MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1) were free from water-logging condition. The observed soil structures in all studied pedons favoured crop growth due to the ability to allow root proliferation, aeration, water storage and infiltration [66].

**Table 2. Morphological features of studied pedons in Bukoba, Missenyi and Biharamulo Districts, Tanzania.**

| Location | Profile | Horizon (Depth cm) | Dry colour | Moist colour | Consistence | Structure | Pores | Roots | Horizon boundary |
|---------|---------|-------------------|------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------------|
| TMRK    | MARU-P1 | Ap 0 - 24/30 | nd         | vdb (7.5YR 2.5/3) | sh, fr, ss&sp | m-f&m, cl | m-m, f&vfr | m-f&km | c&w             |
|         |         | Bts 24/30 - 38/52 | nd       | db (7.5YR 3/4) | fr, m&np, sbk | c-f&vfr | m-f&km | g&kw |
|         |         | Bts 1 38/52 - 70/75 | nd       | sb (7.5YR 4/6) | fi, s&kp | c-f | g&kw | d&ks |
|         |         | Bts 2 70/75 - 115 | nd       | sb (7.5YR 5/6) | fr, m&np, sbk | c-f&vfr | c-f | d&ks |
|         |         | Bts 3 115 - 200' | nd       | sb (7.5YR 5/6) | fl, s&kp | w-f&km, sbk | c-f&vfr | f-f | NA             |
|         |         | Ap 0 - 35/55 | d&g (7.5YR 4/1) | b (7.5YR 2.5/1) | sh, fr, ss&sp | m-m&e, cl | m-m, f&vfr | c&m & m-f | c&w |
| MBYP    | MISSE-P1 | Cg-1 35/55 - 75/105 | b (7.5YR 2.5/1) | g (7.5YR 6/1) | sh, fr, ss&sp | m-m&e, cl | m-m, f&vfr | f-f | a&ks |
|         |         | Cg-2 75/105 - 115 | nd         | db (7.5YR 3/4) | fl, s&kp | w-c, sbk | f-m, c-f&vfr | f-f | a&ks |
|         |         | Cg-3 115 - 125 | nd         | db (7.5YR 6/1) | fl, s&kp | m-f&km | f-m, c-f&vfr | f-f | a&ks |
|         |         | Cg-4 125 - 138 | nd         | db (7.5YR 3/2) | fl, s&kp | w-c, sbk | f-m, c-f&vfr | f-f | a&ks |
|         |         | Cg-5 138 - 167 | nd         | g (7.5YR 7/1) | sfr, m&np | m-m, f&km | m-f&km | c&w |
|         |         | Ap 0 - 17/20 | br (7.5YR 4/4) | db (7.5YR 3/4) | vfr, m&np | m-m, f&km | f-m, c-f&vfr | f-f | c&w |
| RKRF    | BIHA-P1 | BAs 17/20 - 50 | br (7.5YR 4/4) | db (7.5YR 3/4) | vfr, m&np | m-m, f&km | f-m, c-f&vfr | f-f | c&w |
|         |         | Bts 50 - 90 | yr (5YR 4/6) | db (5YR 3/4) | fl, s&kp | m-m, f&vfr | m-f, c-f & c | f-f | d&ks |
|         |         | Bts 2 90 - 121 | yr (5YR 5/8) | fr, s&kp | m-c& m, sbk | m-f, c-f&vfr | f-f | d&ks |
|         |         | Bts 3 121 - 170 | nd         | yr (5YR 5/8) | fl, s&kp | m-f&km, sbk | f-m, f&vfr | m-f&km | NA |

TMRK = TARI Maruku (Tanzania Agricultural Research Institute, Maruku Centre); MBYP = Mabuye Primary School; RKRF = Rukaragata Farmer’s Extension Centre; MARU-P1 = Maruku profile 1; MISSE-P1 = Missenyi profile 1; BIHA-P1 = Biharamulo profile 1; nd = not determined; NA = not applicable

1) Subangular blocky to weak, fine and medium, subangular blocky to fine and medium, subangular blocky to single grain (structure less) (MISSE-P1) and moderate, medium and coarse, subangular blocky to moderate and strong, medium and fine, subangular blocky (BIHA-P1). Horizon boundaries of topsoils were clear and wavy in all pedons and ranged from gradual and wavy to diffuse and smooth (MARU-P1), abrupt and wavy to abrupt and smooth (MISSE-P1) and gradual and smooth to diffuse and smooth (BIHA-P1) for the subsoils. Other morphological features were as presented in Table 2 and Figure 6. Also at the time of observation, water-logging condition was observed at a depth of 167 cm in MISSE-P1 pedon, associated with greying condition in which distinct pattern of mottling was observed which reflects alternating conditions of reduction and oxidation (Redox) of sesquioxides (oxides of Al and Fe) caused by seasonal water-logging condition [32]. Other researchers, [64] working on the grey soil of Bangladesh floodplain, [65] working on rice soils of Tropical Rainforest in Southeastern Nigeria and [6] working on soils of Kilombero valley in Tanzania observed similar conditions in the subsoils. The redoximorphic condition together with stratification observed in Missenyi pedon signified alluvial soil formation. However, the observed water-logging condition could not affect the growth of crops since the condition was seasonal and observed at a very deep (167+ cm) horizon. The other two pedons (MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1) were free from water-logging condition. The observed soil structures in all studied pedons favoured crop growth due to the ability to allow root proliferation, aeration, water storage and infiltration [66].
3.3. Some Physical Properties of the Studied Pedons in Bukoba, Missenyi and Biharamulo Districts

Some of physical properties of the studied pedons presented in this study include soil texture, bulk density, porosity, penetration resistance and soil moisture retention characteristics.

3.3.1. Soil Texture, Penetration Resistance and Silt:Clay Ratio

Soil texture influences other soil properties like soil structure, root penetrability, soil fertility consistence, soil moisture regime, workability, infiltration rate, runoff rate, erodibility and permeability of the soil [53]. Soil textures of the studied pedons were sandy clay loam in the topsoil and clay in the subsoils (MARU-P1) in Bukoba District, sandy loam in the topsoil and sandy clay loam in the subsoils (MISSE-P1) in Missenyi District and sandy clay throughout the BIHA-P1 pedon in Biharamulo District. Soil penetration resistance increased with increased bulk density and with decreased total porosity and plant available moisture content (Table 3 and Figure 5). Other researchers [67, 16] reported similar results. The observed soil textures of the studied pedons, favour crop production since they allow root proliferation, aeration, water infiltration and holding capacity, soil nutrient retention and drainage [66, 68]. Among the three pedons, MARU-P1 had heavier texture in the subsoil compared to the other pedons due to increased clay with depth, which is an indication of clay eluviation-illuviation process [69], as also supported by the presence of clay illuviation features notably clay cutans in the subsoils. This implied that pedon MARU-P1, had higher water holding potential and nutrients retention capacity [70] than the other two pedons. Other researchers [16, 6, 9] observed clay increase with depth in the studies done on the soils of Busia County Western Kenya, Kilombero Valley Tanzania and southern Tanzania, respectively.

Penetration resistance of the soils is used to assess the physical quality of cultivated soils. It indicates the presence or absence of problems related to soil compaction which has direct relationship with root growth [71]. Penetration resistance of the studied pedons were 2.1 MPa in the topsoil and increased to 2.2 MPa throughout the subsoil of MARU-P1 pedon and 2.4 MPa in the topsoil and increased from 2.4 - 2.9 MPa in the subsoils between 75 - 125 cm depth followed by a decrease from 2.4 - 1.7 MPa between 125 - 167 depth in MISSE-P1 pedon. In BIHA-P1 pedon, penetration resistance was 2.5 MPa in the topsoil and increased from 3.3 - 3.4 between 20 - 90 cm depth followed by a decrease from 2.0 - 1.9 MPa between 90 - 170 cm depth. The results indicated irregularity in the increase of penetration resistance in MISSE-P1 and BIHA-P1 pedons. This may be attributed to illuvial deposition of surface materials into the lower horizons of the pedons as observed in MISSE-P1 pedon (Figure 3).

Table 3. Some physical properties of the studied pedons in Bukoba, Missenyi and Biharamulo Districts, Tanzania.

| Location   | Pedon     | Horizon | Depth (cm) | Sandy (%) | Clay (%) | Silt (%) | Textural class | Bulk density (Mg m⁻³) | Porosity (%) | Penetration resistance (MPa) | Silt:clay ratio |
|------------|-----------|---------|------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| TMRK       | MARU-P1   | Ap      | 0 - 24/30  | 64.82     | 21.90    | 13.28    | SCL           | 0.70                  | 73.10        | 2.1                        | 0.06           |
|            |           | BAts    | 24/30 - 38/52 | 58.82     | 29.90    | 11.28    | SCL           | 1.10                  | 54.40        | 2.2                        | 0.38           |
|            |           | Bts-1   | 38/52 - 70/75 | 35.82     | 58.90    | 5.28     | C             | nd                    | nd           | 2.2                        | nd             |
|            |           | Bts-2   | 70/75 - 115 | 32.80     | 63.92    | 3.28     | C             | nd                    | nd           | 2.2                        | 0.05           |
|            |           | Bts-3   | 115 - 200' | 31.82     | 63.90    | 4.28     | C             | nd                    | nd           | 2.2                        | nd             |
|            |           | Cg-1    | 35/55 - 75/105 | 80.08     | 10.64    | 9.28     | SL            | 1.20                  | 47.00        | 2.4                        | 0.43           |
|            |           | Cg-2    | 75/105 - 115 | 63.08     | 32.64    | 4.28     | SCL           | 1.30                  | 46.30        | 2.9                        | 0.13           |
|            |           | Cg-3    | 115 - 125 | 78.08     | 16.64    | 5.28     | SCL           | nd                    | nd           | 2.9                        | nd             |
|            |           | Cg-4    | 125 - 138 | 67.08     | 27.64    | 5.28     | SCL           | nd                    | nd           | 2.4                        | nd             |
|            |           | Cg-5    | 138 - 167 | 78.08     | 21.64    | 2.48     | SCL           | 1.60                  | 41.70        | 1.7                        | 0.01           |
| MBYP       | MISSE-P1  | Ap      | 0 - 17/20  | 53.08     | 40.64    | 6.28     | SC            | 1.10                  | 53.70        | 2.5                        | 0.15           |
|            |           | BAts    | 17/20 - 50 | 43.08     | 46.64    | 5.28     | SC            | nd                    | nd           | 3.3                        | 0.07           |
|            |           | Bts-1   | 50 - 90   | 47.08     | 49.64    | 3.28     | SC            | 1.40                  | 45.50        | 3.4                        | nd             |
|            |           | Bts-2   | 90 - 121 | 46.08     | 50.64    | 3.28     | SC            | nd                    | nd           | 2.0                        | 0.06           |
|            |           | Bts-3   | 121 - 170 | 46.08     | 50.64    | 3.28     | SC            | 1.50                  | 44.00        | 1.9                        | nd             |
| RKRF       | BIHA-P1   | Ap      | 0 - 17/20  | 53.08     | 40.64    | 6.28     | SC            | 1.10                  | 53.70        | 2.5                        | 0.15           |
|            |           | BAts    | 17/20 - 50 | 43.08     | 46.64    | 5.28     | SC            | nd                    | nd           | 3.3                        | 0.07           |
|            |           | Bts-1   | 50 - 90   | 47.08     | 49.64    | 3.28     | SC            | 1.40                  | 45.50        | 3.4                        | nd             |
|            |           | Bts-2   | 90 - 121 | 46.08     | 50.64    | 3.28     | SC            | nd                    | nd           | 2.0                        | 0.06           |
|            |           | Bts-3   | 121 - 170 | 46.08     | 50.64    | 3.28     | SC            | 1.50                  | 44.00        | 1.9                        | nd             |

TMRK = TARI Maruku (Tanzania Agricultural Research Institute, Maruku Centre); MBYP = Mabuye Primary School; RKRF = Rukaragata Farmer’s Extension Centre; MARU-P1 = Maruku profile 1; MISSE-P1 = Missenyi profile 1; BIHA-P1 = Biharamulo profile 1; SCL = sandy clay loam; SL = sandy loam; SC = sandy clay; C = Clay; nd = not determined

The observed lower penetration resistance in the topsoil than in the subsoil of the studied pedons is associated with the lower soil compaction in topsoil than in subsoil, which corresponds to the observed lower BD and higher porosity values in topsoil (Table 3). Other researchers [16, 72, 6] reported similar trends. However, [16, 37] reported that penetration resistance of > 3.0 MPa signifies compaction that can impair growth and development of crops. Based on this inference therefore, the soils (top and subsoils) of MARU-P1 and MISSE-P1 pedons and topsoil of BIHA-P1 pedon would favour growth and development of the crops.

Silt:clay ratio signifies the aging of the soil whereby the lower the ratio the more weathered the soil is [73]. The levels of silt:clay ratio ranged from 0.05 - 0.61 in MARU-P1, from 0.01 - 0.43 in MISSE-P1 and from 0.06 - 0.15 in BIHA-P1. In all pedons, silt:clay ratio decreased with increasing depth,
indicating higher degree of weathering in the subsoils than in the topsoils. This conforms to the trends reported by [74] working on the soils of Meatu District, Tanzania. Moreover, the higher level of silt:clay ratio in the topsoils than in the subsoils signified the presence of more clay in the subsoils than in the topsoils of the studied pedons, probably due to clay illuviation process [66].

3.3.2. Soil Bulk Density and Porosity

Bulk density (BD) of the soil describes soil quality [75]. Bulk densities of the studied pedons were 0.70 Mg kg\(^{-1}\) in topsoil and ranged from 1.10 - 1.20 Mg kg\(^{-1}\) in subsoils of MARU-P1, 1.20 Mg kg\(^{-1}\) in topsoil and ranged from 1.30 - 1.60 Mg kg\(^{-1}\) in subsoils of MISSE-P1 and 1.10 Mg kg\(^{-1}\) in topsoil and ranged from 1.40 - 1.50 Mg kg\(^{-1}\) in subsoils of BIHA-P1. Pedon MISSE-P1 had the highest (1.20 Mg kg\(^{-1}\)) bulk density while MARU-P1 had the lowest (0.70 Mg kg\(^{-1}\)) bulk density in topsoils. The same trend was observed in subsoils of the three pedons (Table 3). In all pedons, bulk density increased with depth. [66] reported that soil bulk density usually increases with depth since subsurface layers are more compacted and have less organic matter, aggregation, and root penetration compared to surface layers. The higher BD values in subsoils than in topsoils of the studied pedons may pose a slight limitation to root penetration and water movement [9]. Similar trends of bulk density increase with depth was observed by [65] working on tropical rainforest of Nigeria, [6] working on the soils of Kilombero Valley, Tanzania and [9] working on the soils of southern Tanzania. Bulk density value of > 1.65 Mg kg\(^{-1}\) is unfavourable to root growth in sandy clay loams and clay loams [53, 66]. Therefore, the observed BD values in the studied pedons are favourable for root proliferation, hence crop growth and development.

Soil porosity of the studied pedons were 73.10% in topsoil and ranged from 45.50 - 54.40% in subsoils of MARU-P1 pedon, 47% in topsoil and ranged from 46.30 - 41 - 70% in subsoils of MISSE-P1 pedon, and 53.70% in topsoil and ranged from 44.00 - 45.50% in subsoils of BIHA-P1 pedon. Pedon MARU-P1 had the highest (73.10%) porosity while pedon MISSE-P1 had the lowest (47%) porosity in topsoil. The same trend was observed in subsoils of the pedons (Table 3). Unlike bulk density, soil porosity decreased with depth in all pedons. This showed an inverse relationship with bulk density since porosity is calculated from the relationship between bulk density and particle density of soil as porosity = 1-(bulk density/particle density) [53, 67, 66]. The higher soil porosity in topsoils than in subsoils in the studied pedons was an indication that topsoils were uncompacted and well granulated [66], a condition which favours root proliferation and therefore, growth and development of the crops.

3.3.3. Soil Moisture Retention Characteristics, Plant Available Water and Moisture Content

Soil water retention characteristics of the studied pedons are presented in Figure 6. The presented moisture characteristic curves indicated the decrease in soil moisture with increased soil moisture suction. Pedon MARU-P1 held more soil moisture at any soil moisture suction than BIHA-P1 and MISSE-P1 pedons. The topsoil of MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 pedons had more moisture than the intermediate and subsoil following a trend of topsoil > subsoil > intermediate. However, in MISSE-P1 pedon, the subsoil had more moisture than topsoil and intermediate with a trend of subsoil > topsoil > intermediate. This might be due to seasonal water-logging condition observed in the lower horizon (167 cm depth) of MISSE-P1 pedon. There was more drastic decrease in soil moisture with increased soil moisture suction in pedon MISSE-P1 than in MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 pedons due to differences in soil texture among the studied pedons. The soil texture of the studied pedons ranged from sandy clay loam to clay (MARU-P1), sandy loam to sandy clay loam (MISSE-P1) and sand clay throughout the pedon in BIHA-P1 (Table 3). The observed more soil moisture with a gradual decrease upon increased soil moisture suction in MARU-P1 than pedons MISSE-P1 and BIHA-P1 was due to high clay content in MARU-P1 pedon. Other researchers [16, 76, 6] reported similar results. In addition, less soil moisture with a drastic decrease upon increased soil moisture suction in MISSE-P1 suggested the potential of the soil to dryness especially during dry spell [16, 76, 6].

Soil moisture content and plant available water of the studied pedons ranged from 51.00 - 68. 60% (Figure 7). Pedon MISSE-P1 had higher (59.00 - 68.60%) moisture content than BIHA-P1 (60.40 - 63.10%) and MARU-P1 (51.00 - 53.70%) pedons. The same trend was observed in plant available water. In all studied pedons, moisture content increased with depth while plant available water decreased with increasing depth in MARU-P1 and MISSE-P1 pedons but increased with depth in BIHA-P1 pedon (Figure 7). The lower plant available water in the topsoil than in the subsoils in pedon BIHA-P1, might be due to presence of a thin Ap horizon (0 - 20 cm) in BIHA-P1 as compared to pedons MARU-P1 (0 - 30 cm) and MISSE-P1 (0 - 35 cm). Therefore, more water was potentially available to plants in MISSE-P1 (107 mm) followed by MARU-P1 (86.40 mm) and the least was BIHA-P1 (35 mm).

Figure 6. Soil moisture characteristics of pedons MARU-P1, MISSE-P1 and BIHA-P1, Tanzania.
3.4. Soil Chemical Properties of the Studied Pedons

Some chemical properties of the studied pedons presented in this study include, soil pH, electrical conductivity (EC), soil organic matter (OC), total nitrogen (TN), carbon: nitrogen (C:N) ratio, available phosphorus (P), exchangeable bases, cation exchange capacity (CEC), exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP), percent base saturation (PBS), nutrient balance, micronutrients, total elemental composition and weatherability potential.

### 3.4.1. Soil pH and Electrical Conductivity (EC)

The soil pH in water solution of the studied pedons ranged from medium acid (5.82) to very strong acid (5.00) [77, 42, 53, 78, 5]. The individual pedons had the following soil pH levels: MARU-P1 ranged from strong acid (5.46) to very strong acid (5.00), MISSE-P1 ranged from medium acid (5.82) to strong acid (5.08) and BIHA-P1 from medium acid (5.57) to strong acid (5.12) (Table 4). Generally, in all studied pedons, soil pH decreased with depth probably due to the acidic nature of the parent materials of the study area whereby higher contents of oxides of aluminum (Al₂O₃) predominate in the subsoils (Table 8). The pH of the topsoils was strong acidic (5.12) for MARU-P1 and medium acid for MISSE-P1 (5.82) and BIHA-P1 (5.57). This may be due to the reason that pedon MARU-P1 is in the high rainfall zone with high leaching potential whereas MISSE-P1 and BIHA-P1 are in medium and low rainfall zones, respectively [30, 62]. Leaching removes the basic cations from the topsoil to the subsoil leaving more H⁺ in the topsoil, resulting more acidic conditions in the topsoil [66]. The pHEC values of all the studied pedons were consistently lower than pHwater values implying that the soils have net negative charge [7]. Other researchers [53, 66, 16] reported that acidic soils with low pH (< 5.5) have a great potential to cause Al, Mn and Fe toxicity, deficiencies of some essential nutrients and retardation of microbial activity which ultimately affect the decomposition of soil organic matter. The pH values of < 5.5 can also cause the dissolution of aluminum and iron minerals that precipitate with phosphorus leading to P-fixation and further lowering of the soil pH [66, 16]. [59] reported that soils with pH range from 4.5 - 7.0 are favourable for cassava production. Therefore, according to [59], the pH of the studied pedons is favourable for cassava growth and development.

The electrical conductivity (EC) of the studied pedons was very low (0.01 - 0.05 dS m⁻¹) [53, 78, 5, 79] throughout the soil depths. [53, 5] reported that soil EC values of < 1.7 dS m⁻¹ do not cause crop yield reduction but those with EC values ranging from 5.9 - 10 dS m⁻¹ can cause crop yield reduction up to 100%. In additional, [59] reported that soils with EC of 2 - 4 dS m⁻¹ are favourable for cassava production. Therefore, according to [5, 59], the EC of the studied pedons would favour cassava growth and development.

### Table 4. Some chemical properties of the studied pedons in Bukoba, Missenyi and Biharamulo Districts, Tanzania.

| Location | Pedon | Horizon | Depth (cm) | pH (H₂O) | pH (KCl) | EC (dS m⁻¹) | OC (%) | OM (%) | TN (%) | C:N ratio |
|----------|-------|---------|------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|--------|--------|---------|------------|
| TMRK     | MARU-P1 | Ap      | 0 - 24/30  | 5.12 STA  | 4.18 ETA  | 0.02 VL     | 3.20 H | 5.50 M | 0.44 M  | 8 GQ       |
|          |       | BAs     | 24/30 - 38/52 | 5.46 STA  | 4.15 ETA  | 0.01 VL     | 2.20 M | 3.78 M | 0.32 M  | 8 GQ       |
|          |       | Bts-1   | 38/52 - 70/75 | 5.11 STA  | 3.90 ETA  | 0.01 VL     | 1.30 M | 2.24 M | 0.13 L  | 10 GQ      |
|          |       | Bts-2   | 70/75 - 115  | 5.01 STA  | 3.71 ETA  | 0.01 VL     | 0.90 L | 1.55 M | 0.08 VL | 11 GQ      |
|          |       | Bts-3   | 115 - 2001  | 5.00 VSTA  | 3.70 ETA  | 0.01 VL     | 0.90 L | 1.55 M | 0.07 vl | 13 GQ      |
|          |       | Ap      | 0 - 35/55   | 5.82 MA   | 4.78 ETA  | 0.05 VL     | 1.20 L | 2.06 M | 0.10 L  | 12 GQ      |
|          |       | Cg-1    | 35/55 - 75/105 | 5.82 MA   | 4.13 ETA  | 0.02 VL     | 0.70 L | 1.20 L | 0.04 VL | 18 MQ      |
|          |       | Cg-2    | 75/105 - 115 | 5.74 MA   | 4.93 ETA  | 0.02 VL     | 0.70 L | 1.20 L | 0.04 VL | 18 MQ      |
|          |       | Cg-3    | 115 - 125   | 5.32 STA  | 4.37 ETA  | 0.01 VL     | 0.70 L | 1.20 L | 0.04 VL | 18 MQ      |
|          |       | Cg-4    | 125 - 1388  | 5.27 STA  | 4.06 ETA  | 0.01 VL     | 0.60 L | 1.03 L | 0.04 VL | 15 MQ      |
|          |       | Cg-5    | 138 - 167   | 5.08 STA  | 4.01 ETA  | 0.01 VL     | 0.50 L | 0.86 L | 0.04 VL | 13 MQ      |
|          |       | Ap      | 0 - 17/20   | 5.57 MA   | 4.39 ETA  | 0.03 VL     | 1.70 M | 2.92 H | 0.14 L  | 12 GQ      |
|          |       | BAs     | 17/20 - 50  | 5.22 STA  | 3.95 ETA  | 0.02 VL     | 1.20 L | 2.06 M | 0.11 L  | 11 GQ      |
|          |       | Bts-1   | 50 - 90     | 5.15 STA  | 3.85 ETA  | 0.01 VL     | 1.00 L | 1.72 M | 0.06 VL | 17 MQ      |
|          |       | Bts-2   | 90 - 121    | 5.15 STA  | 3.80 ETA  | 0.01 VL     | 0.80 L | 1.38 M | 0.04 VL | 20 MQ      |
|          |       | Bts-3   | 121 - 1701  | 5.46 STA  | 4.00 ETA  | 0.01 VL     | 0.70 L | 1.20 L | 0.04 VL | 18 MQ      |

TMRK = TARI Maruku (Tanzania Agricultural Research Institute, Maruku Centre); MBYP = Mabuye Primary School; RKRF = Rukaragata Farmer's Extension Centre; MARU-P1 = Maruku profile 1; MISSE-P1 = Missenyi profile 1; BHa-P1 = Biharamulo profile 1

Chemical property: OC = organic carbon; OM = organic matter; TN = total nitrogen; C:N = carbon: nitrogen ratio; Bray-1-P = Bray-1 phosphorus; EC = electric conductivity

Rating: VSTA = very strongly acid; STA = strongly acid; MA = medium acid; ETA = extremely acid; L = low; VL = very low; H = high; M = medium; GQ= good quality, MQ = moderate quality [77, 42, 53, 78, 5, 37, 79]
3.4.2. Soil Organic Carbon (SOC), Total Nitrogen (TN) and Carbon-Nitrogen (C:N) Ratio

The soil organic carbon (SOC) is a major component of soil organic matter (SOM) and a primary source of nitrogen for plant growth. It also plays a vital role in providing plant nutrients such as phosphorus and sulphur [80, 66]. Soil organic carbon (SOC) of the studied pedons ranged from very low (0.50%) to high (3.20%) [77, 42, 53, 78, 5]. The studied pedons had the following SOC levels: In MARU-P1 SOC ranged from low (0.90%) to high (3.20%), in MISSE-P1 from very low (0.50%) to low (1.20%) and in BIHA-P1 from low (0.70%) to medium (1.70%). In all pedons, SOC decreased with increasing depth (Table 4) due to presence to high level of organic matter in topsoils of pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 and medium level of organic matter in topsoil of pedon MISSE-P1. Similar results were reported by [9] and [74] working on the soils of southern Tanzania and Meatu District, Tanzania, respectively. [59] reported that soils with OC ranging from 2 - 4% are favourable for cassava production. Therefore, according to [59], only OC of MARU-P1 pedon could favour cassava growth and development as opposed to pedons MISSE-P1 and BIHA-P1. Thus, improvement of OC of MISSE-P1 and BIHA-P1 pedons is of great importance for growth and development of crops including cassava. This may be achieved through application of organic fertilizers such as farmyard manure and incorporation of organic residues into the soil after crop harvesting [81].

Total nitrogen (TN) in the studied pedons ranged from very low (0.04%) to medium (0.44%) [77, 42, 53, 78, 5, 79]. The studied pedons had the following total nitrogen levels: In pedon MARU-P1 TN ranged from very low (0.07%) to medium (3.20%) whereas in pedons MISSE-P1 and BIHA-P1 it ranged from very low (0.04 - 0.06%) to low (0.10 - 0.14%). In all pedons, TN decreased with increasing depth (Table 4). In topsoil of MARU-P1, TN was medium while in topsoils of MISSE-P1 and BIHA-P1, TN was low. This is contrary to the high levels of SOC and SOM observed in the topsoils of the studied pedons and to what was reported by [80] that SOC is a major component of soil organic matter (SOM) and a primary source of nitrogen. However, [79] reported that SOM content was not a quantitative indicator of the capacity of soil to supply nitrogen for plant growth even when the soil had more SOM. The timing and amount of nitrogen released from soil organic matter depend on soil temperature, moisture, and many other soil management factors. [59] reported that soils with TN ranging from 0.15 - 0.20% are favourable for cassava production. Therefore, according to [59] only TN of MARU-P1 pedon would favour cassava growth and production as opposed to pedons MISSE-P1 and BIHA-P1.

The carbon-nitrogen ratio (C:N ratio) gives an indication of the quality of organic matter present in the soils [7]. The C:N ratio of the studied pedons ranged from moderate quality (20) to good quality (10) [42, 53, 5, 37]. The studied pedons had the following C:N ratios: MARU-P1 had good quality (8 - 13) C:N ratio throughout the pedon while MISSE-P1 and BIHA-P1 had C:N ratios ranging from good quality (11 - 12) to medium quality (13 - 20). In all pedons, C:N ratios decreased with increasing depth (Table 4). This might be due high SOC in pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 topsoils and medium SOC in pedon MISSE-P1 topsoil as compared to subsoils of the studied pedons. Generally, the studied pedons had good quality organic matter in the topsoils that signified high decomposition rate by soil microbes leading to nutrients release into the soils [81, 82, 66].

3.4.3. Available Phosphorus and Exchangeable Bases

The available phosphorus (P) (Table 4) of the studied pedons ranged from low (1.48 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) to high (41.98 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) [42, 78, 5, 79]. The individual pedons had the following available P levels: Pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 had P levels ranging from 3.08 - 6.17 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and from 1.48 - 6.32 mg kg\(^{-1}\) respectively, which signified low available P throughout the pedons, whereas pedon MISSE-P1 had P levels ranging from low (6.07 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) to high (41.98 mg kg\(^{-1}\)). In all pedons, available P decreased with increasing depth (Table 4). Pedon MISSE-P1 had higher content of available P in the topsoil than pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 which had low levels throughout their profile depths. Some researchers elsewhere [83, 9] reported similar trend. The low available P in pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 may be attributed to low inherent P in their parent materials which developed mainly on basement rocks of granite, quartzite and shale [63, 30, 16] and due to the effects of low soil pH which normally favours P fixation [66, 16]. The fixation process involves reaction of P with iron (Fe) and aluminum (Al) thereby inhibiting availability of P into the soil for plant uptake [53, 83, 66, 9]. [53] reported that available P of < 15 mg kg\(^{-1}\) in soils would show crop response to P as observed in pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1. [59] reported that soils with available P ranging from 10 - 14 mg kg\(^{-1}\) are favourable for cassava production. Therefore, according to [59] only available P of pedon MISSE-P1 would favour cassava growth and development as opposed to pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1.

The available phosphorus (P) (Table 4) of the studied pedons ranged from low (1.48 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) to high (41.98 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) [42, 78, 5, 37, 79]. The individual pedons had the following available P levels: Pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 had P levels ranging from 3.08 - 6.17 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and from 1.48 - 6.32 mg kg\(^{-1}\) respectively, which signified low available P throughout the pedons, whereas pedon MISSE-P1 had P levels ranging from low (6.07 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) to high (41.98 mg kg\(^{-1}\)). In all pedons, available P decreased with increasing depth (Table 4). Pedon MISSE-P1 had higher content of available P in the topsoil than pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 which had low levels throughout their profile depths. Some researchers elsewhere [83, 9] reported similar trend. The low available P in pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 may be attributed to low inherent P in their parent materials which developed mainly on basement rocks of granite, quartzite and shale [63, 30, 16] and due to the effects of low soil pH which normally favours P fixation [66, 16]. The fixation process involves reaction of P with iron (Fe) and aluminum (Al) thereby inhibiting availability of P into the soil for plant uptake [53, 83, 66, 9]. [53] reported that available P of < 15 mg kg\(^{-1}\) in soils would show crop response to P as observed in pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1. [59] reported that soils with available P ranging from 10 - 14 mg kg\(^{-1}\) are favourable for cassava production. Therefore, according to [59] only available P of pedon MISSE-P1 would favour cassava growth and development as opposed to pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1.

The available phosphorus (P) (Table 4) of the studied pedons ranged from low (1.48 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) to high (41.98 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) [42, 78, 5, 37, 79]. The individual pedons had the following available P levels: Pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 had P levels ranging from 3.08 - 6.17 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and from 1.48 - 6.32 mg kg\(^{-1}\) respectively, which signified low available P throughout the pedons, whereas pedon MISSE-P1 had P levels ranging from low (6.07 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) to high (41.98 mg kg\(^{-1}\)). In all pedons, available P decreased with increasing depth (Table 4). Pedon MISSE-P1 had higher content of available P in the topsoil than pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 which had low levels throughout their profile depths. Some researchers elsewhere [83, 9] reported similar trend. The low available P in pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 may be attributed to low inherent P in their parent materials which developed mainly on basement rocks of granite, quartzite and shale [63, 30, 16] and due to the effects of low soil pH which normally favours P fixation [66, 16]. The fixation process involves reaction of P with iron (Fe) and aluminum (Al) thereby inhibiting availability of P into the soil for plant uptake [53, 83, 66, 9]. [53] reported that available P of < 15 mg kg\(^{-1}\) in soils would show crop response to P as observed in pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1. [59] reported that soils with available P ranging from 10 - 14 mg kg\(^{-1}\) are favourable for cassava production. Therefore, according to [59] only available P of pedon MISSE-P1 would favour cassava growth and development as opposed to pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1.
Exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP) is the measure of soil sodicity [5]. The ESP of the studied pedons (Table 5) ranged from 0.1 - 7.7% throughout the profile depths, which according to [42, 78, 5], characterize non-sodic soils. In all studied pedons, ESP increased with depth, which is similar to the trend reported by [6]. This might be due to the non-sodic nature of the parent materials in the study area. However, according to [42, 5] soils with ESP < 6% are non-sodic.
sodic and favourable for growth and production of crops. Therefore, the soils of the studied sites are favourable for cassava growth and development.

Percentage base saturation (BS) of the studied pedons (Table 5) ranged from low (8.54%) to high (117%) [53]. The individual pedons had the following BS levels: MARU-P1 had BS ranging from low (8.54%) to medium (23.93%) while MISSE-P1 had BS ranging from medium (55.85%) to high (117.31%) and BIHA-P1 had medium BS (35.43 - 55.69%) throughout the pedon. Topsoils of MISSE-P1 and BIHA-P1 had medium values whereas topsoil of MARU-P1 had low value. In MARU-P1 and MISSE-P1 pedons, BS increased with depth whereas in BIHA-P1, BS decreased with depth. Similar trend was observed by [74] working on the soils of Meatu District, Tanzania. [32] reported that BS value of < 50% is low and less favourable for crop production whereas BS value of < 50% is low and less favourable for crop production. Therefore, according to [32] topsoils of MISSE-P1 and BIHA-P1 were favourable for crop production including cassava whereas that of MARU-P1 was less favourable. Low base saturation levels imply acid conditions, which lead to toxicity of cations like aluminum and manganese [53, 2].

3.4.5. Nutrient Balance of the Studied Pedons

Nutrient imbalance influences the uptake of nutrients by inducing deficiencies of nutrients present in the soil in good quantities [87, 2]. Nutrient balance in this study (Table 6) was determined using the ratio of Ca:Mg, Ca:TEB, Mg:K and (%) (K:TEB). The Ca:Mg levels of the studied pedons were favourable (1.32 - 5.19) throughout the pedons according to [53, 5, 2], who reported the ratios between 1.2 - 5.2 being favourable for crop growth and development. Topsoils of all studied pedons had favourable levels of Ca:Mg of 2.75, 2.78 and 4.10 for MISSE-P1, BIHA-P1 and MARU-P1, respectively and all the studied pedons had favourable Ca:Mg levels throughout the pedons. Similar results were reported by [74] working on the soils of Meatu District, Tanzania. Presence of higher calcium than magnesium indicates better conditions for crop growth in terms of improved gas exchange, good clay aggregation and structural stability [88, 74]. Nevertheless, [87, 2] reported that unfavourable Ca:Mg ratios can lead to nutrient imbalance in the soils which limits the uptake of both Ca and Mg. Similar results were reported by [74, 9] working on the soils of Meatu District and southern Tanzania, respectively.

The Mg:K levels of the studied pedons (Table 6) ranged from favourable 1.58 -3.33) to not favourable (4.05 - 19.00) according to [53, 5] who reported the ratio between 1 - 4 being favourable for crop growth and development. The individual pedons had the following Mg:K ratios: In BIHA-P1 and MISSE-P1 pedons, Mg:K ratios were not favourable whereas in MARU-P1 they were favourable throughout the pedon. Topsoils of MARU-P1 and MISSE-P1 had favourable Mg:K whereas that of BIHA-P1 had unfavourable level. This signified the presence of nutrient imbalance in pedon BIHA-P1, which may cause Ca- induced deficiency of K and thus limits K uptake [53, 87, 2] due to presence of high Ca levels.

The (%) (K:TEB) of the studied pedons (Table 6) ranged from not favourable (1.36 - 1.95%) to favourable (2.40 - 8.04%) according to [2] who reported the value above 2% being favourable for growth and development of most tropical crops. The individual pedons had the following (%) (K:TEB) levels: In MARU-P1 and MISSE-P1, (%) (K:TEB) were favourable (3.17 - 13.04%) throughout the pedons whereas in BIHA-P1 they ranged from not favourable (1.24 - 1.95%) to favourable (2.40 - 2.76%). Topsoils of MARU-P1 and MISSE-P1 had favourable levels of 7.32% and 8.04%, respectively, whereas that of BIHA-P1 had unfavourable (1.36%) level. This implied that in terms

| Location | Pedon | Horizon | Depth (cm) | Ca/Mg | Ca/TEB | Mg/K | % (K/TEB) |
|----------|-------|---------|------------|-------|--------|-------|------------|
| TMRK     | MARU-P1 | Ap      | 0 - 24/30  | 4.10 F | 0.72 NF | 2.44 F | 7.32 F     |
|          |        | Bts-1   | 24/30 - 38/52 | 5.19 NF | 0.77 NF | 2.54 F | 5.65 F     |
|          |        | Bts-2   | 38/52 - 70/75 | 3.18 F | 0.70 NF | 3.73 F | 5.88 F     |
|          |        | Bts-3   | 70/75 - 115  | 3.58 F | 0.71 NF | 3.33 F | 5.97 F     |
|          |        | Ap      | 115 - 200   | 2.95 F | 0.61 NF | 1.58 F | 13.04 F    |
|          |        | Cg-1    | 0 - 35/55   | 2.75 F | 0.67 NF | 3.02 F | 8.04 F     |
|          |        | Cg-2    | 35/55 - 75/105 | 1.89 F | 0.63 NF | 10.50 NF | 3.17 F |
|          |        | Cg-3    | 75/105 - 115 | 1.63 F | 0.59 NF | 10.05 NF | 3.58 F |
|          |        | Cg-4    | 115 - 125   | 1.32 F | 0.51 NF | 4.44 NF | 8.74 F     |
|          |        | Cg-5    | 125 - 138   | 1.41 F | 0.52 NF | 4.05 NF | 9.11 F     |
|          |        | Ap      | 138 - 167   | 1.78 F | 0.58 NF | 5.26 NF | 6.23 F     |
|          |        | Ap      | 0 - 17/20   | 2.78 F | 0.72 NF | 19.00 NF | 1.36 NF |
|          |        | BAs     | 17/20 - 50  | 3.52 F | 0.76 NF | 17.50 NF | 1.24 NF |
| MBYP     | MISSE-P1 | Ap      | 50 - 90    | 3.88 F | 0.76 NF | 8.20 NF | 2.40 F     |
|          |        | Bts-2   | 90 - 121   | 3.94 F | 0.76 NF | 7.00 NF | 2.76 F     |
|          |        | Bts-3   | 121 - 170'  | 3.51 F | 0.75 NF | 11.00 NF | 1.95 NF |

| Location | Pedon | Horizon | Depth (cm) | Ca/Mg | Ca/TEB | Mg/K | % (K/TEB) |
|----------|-------|---------|------------|-------|--------|-------|------------|
| RKRF     | BIHA-P1 | Ap      | 0 - 24/30  | 4.10 F | 0.72 NF | 2.44 F | 7.32 F     |
|          |        | Bts-1   | 24/30 - 38/52 | 5.19 NF | 0.77 NF | 2.54 F | 5.65 F     |
|          |        | Bts-2   | 38/52 - 70/75 | 3.18 F | 0.70 NF | 3.73 F | 5.88 F     |
|          |        | Bts-3   | 70/75 - 115  | 3.58 F | 0.71 NF | 3.33 F | 5.97 F     |
|          |        | Ap      | 115 - 200   | 2.95 F | 0.61 NF | 1.58 F | 13.04 F    |
|          |        | Cg-1    | 0 - 35/55   | 2.75 F | 0.67 NF | 3.02 F | 8.04 F     |
|          |        | Cg-2    | 35/55 - 75/105 | 1.89 F | 0.63 NF | 10.50 NF | 3.17 F |
|          |        | Cg-3    | 75/105 - 115 | 1.63 F | 0.59 NF | 10.05 NF | 3.58 F |
|          |        | Cg-4    | 115 - 125   | 1.32 F | 0.51 NF | 4.44 NF | 8.74 F     |
|          |        | Cg-5    | 125 - 138   | 1.41 F | 0.52 NF | 4.05 NF | 9.11 F     |
|          |        | Ap      | 138 - 167   | 1.78 F | 0.58 NF | 5.26 NF | 6.23 F     |
|          |        | Ap      | 0 - 17/20   | 2.78 F | 0.72 NF | 19.00 NF | 1.36 NF |
|          |        | BAs     | 17/20 - 50  | 3.52 F | 0.76 NF | 17.50 NF | 1.24 NF |

TMRK = TARI Maruku (Tanzania Agricultural Research Institute, Maruku Centre); MBYP = Mabuye Primary School; RKRF = Rukaragata Farmer's Extension Centre; MARU-P1 = Maruku profile 1; MISSE-P1 = Missenyi profile 1; BIHA-P1 = Biharamulo profile 1

Chemical property: Ca = calcium; Mg = magnesium; K = potassium; TEB = total exchangeable bases

Rating: F = favourable, NF = not favourable [53, 5, 1, 2]
of (K:TEB) ratio, BIHA-P1 pedon was not favourable for production of crops including cassava due to presence of nutrient imbalance, which leads to K induced deficiency of other bases like Mg and Ca [53].

### 3.4.6. Micronutrients

The exchangeable micronutrients (Cu, Zn, Fe, and Mn) values of the studied pedons (Table 7) ranged from medium (0.29 - 1.39 mg kg⁻¹) to high (2.20 - 3.54 mg kg⁻¹) for Cu, from low (0.22 - 0.78 mg kg⁻¹) to high (6.61 mg kg⁻¹) for Zn, high (12.76 - 108 mg kg⁻¹) throughout the pedons for Fe and from medium (1.48 - 4.49 mg kg⁻¹) to high (5.57 - 74.83 mg kg⁻¹) for Mn [89, 90, 91, 92, 93]. The individual pedons had the following micronutrient levels: For copper (Cu), all the studied pedons had medium (0.29 - 1.39 mg kg⁻¹) to high (2.20 - 3.54 mg kg⁻¹) levels. For Zinc (Zn), MARU-P1 had values ranging from low (0.22 - 0.25 mg kg⁻¹) to medium (0.85 - 1.39 mg kg⁻¹), MISSE-P1 from low (0.68 mg kg⁻¹) to high (6.61 mg kg⁻¹) and BIHA-P1 from low (0.56 - 0.78 mg kg⁻¹) to medium (1.28 mg kg⁻¹). For Iron (Fe), all studied pedons had high levels (12.76 - 108 mg kg⁻¹) throughout the profile depths. For manganese (Mn), all the studied pedons had medium (1.48 - 4.49 mg kg⁻¹) to high (5.57 - 74.83 mg kg⁻¹) levels, which decreased with increasing depth [94, 95, 96]. Iron (Fe) decreased with increasing soil depth [96] in MARU-P1 and MISSE-P1 but increased with depth in BIHA-P1 probably due to relatively lower pH in subsoils than in topsoils [89]. There was no regular trend of Cu and Zn in MARU-P1 and MISSE-P1 as opposed to BIHA-P1 where Cu and Zn decreased with increasing depth (Table 7).

In MARU-P1, the topsoil had medium (1.39 mg kg⁻¹), medium (1.39 mg kg⁻¹), high (100.91 mg kg⁻¹), and high (12.91 mg kg⁻¹) levels of Cu, Zn, Fe and Mn, respectively. In MISSE-P1, the topsoil had medium (1.16 mg kg⁻¹), high (6.61 mg kg⁻¹), high (98.21 mg kg⁻¹) and high (74.83 mg kg⁻¹) levels of Cu, Zn, Fe and Mn, respectively. In BIHA-P1, the topsoil had high (3.54 mg kg⁻¹), medium (1.28 mg kg⁻¹), high (12.76 mg kg⁻¹) and high (24.19 mg kg⁻¹) levels of Cu, Zn, Fe and Mn, respectively. Generally, topsoils had higher levels of micronutrients than subsoils of the studied pedons due to higher organic matter content in topsoils than in subsoils [97]. [59] reported that soils with Cu, Zn, Fe and Mn at the ranges of 0.30 - 1.0 mg kg⁻¹, 1.0 - 5.0 mg kg⁻¹, 10.0 - 100.0 mg kg⁻¹ and 10.0 - 100.0 mg kg⁻¹, respectively, are favourable for cassava production. Therefore, according to [59], Cu levels in MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 are not favourable for cassava production whereas in MISSE-P1, Cu and Zn were not favourable, implying that for optimum and sustainable production (root yields) of cassava, there should be proper management of Cu and Zn, such as limited use of Zn and Cu-based fungicides [95] in the study area.

### Table 7. Micronutrient contents of the studied pedons in Bukoba, Missenyi and Biharamulo Districts, Tanzania.

| Location | Pedon | Horizon | Depth (cm) | Micronutrients (mg kg⁻¹) |
|----------|-------|---------|------------|-------------------------|
|          |       |         |            | Cu  | Zn  | Fe   | Mn    |
| TMRK     | MARU-P1 | Ap     | 0 - 24/30  | 1.39 M | 1.39 M | 100.01 H | 12.91 H |
|          |        | BAt1   | 24/30 - 38/52 | 1.24 M | 0.85 M | 88.08 H | 6.60 H |
|          |        | Bts1   | 38/52 - 70/75 | 3.10 H | 0.22 L | 90.02 H | 5.57 H |
|          |        | Bts-2  | 70/75 - 115  | 2.31 H | 0.25 L | 78.52 H | 4.98 M |
|          |        | Bts-3  | 115 - 200'    | 1.14 M | 0.85 M | 65.01 H | 4.01 M |
|          |        | Ap     | 0 - 35/55    | 1.16 M | 0.61 H | 98.21 H | 74.83 H |
|          |        | Cg-1   | 35/55 - 75/105 | 0.51 M | 0.68 L | 46.89 H | 26.08 H |
|          |        | Cg-2   | 75/105 - 115  | 2.65 H | 2.00 M | 46.48 H | 22.74 H |
|          |        | Cg-3   | 115 - 125     | 1.23 M | 0.97 M | 39.25 H | 4.48 M |
|          |        | Cg-4   | 125 - 138'    | 2.28 H | 1.99 M | 46.72 H | 6.92 H |
|          |        | Cg-5   | 138 - 167     | 0.49 M | 0.93 M | 43.73 H | 1.48 M |
|          |        | Ap     | 0 - 17/20     | 3.54 H | 1.28 M | 12.76 H | 24.19 H |
|          |        | BAs    | 17/20 - 50    | 2.20 H | 0.78 L | 37.21 H | 28.36 H |
| MBYP     | MISSE-P1 | Bts-1  | 50 - 90      | 1.27 M | 0.63 L | 38.33 H | 9.03 H |
|          |        | Bts-2  | 90 - 121      | 0.87 M | 0.69 L | 39.50 H | 1.59 M |
|          |        | Bts-3  | 121 - 170'    | 0.29 M | 0.56 L | 45.67 H | 2.26 M |

TMRK = TARI Maruku (Tanzania Agricultural Research Institute, Maruku Centre); MBYP = Mabuye Primary School; RKRF = Rukaragata Farmer's Extension Centre; MARU-P1 = Maruku profile 1; MISSE-P1 = Missenyi profile 1; BIHA-P1 = Biharamulo profile 1

Micronutrient: Zn = zinc, Fe = iron, Mn = manganese, Cu = copper
Rating: L = low, M=medium, H = high [89, 90, 91, 92, 93]

### 3.4.7. Total Elemental Composition of the Studied Pedons

The total soil elemental composition is useful for characterizing soils in relation to soil forming factors and inherent soil properties and is linked to the concentration of the total elements in the soil and the degree of weathering of the parent rock [98]. Some of the elemental oxides analyzed in this study are presented in Table 8. The amount of SiO₂ in the studied pedons ranged from 33.05 - 33.80% in MARU-P1, from 66.20 - 88.50% in MISSE-P1 and from 57.20 - 64.00% in BIHA-P1. In all studied pedons, SiO₂ decreased with depth, indicating the presence of more SiO₂ in topsoils than in e subsoils and was higher in pedon MISSE-P1 than in pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 with the order of MISSE-P1 > BIHA-P1 > MARU-P1. The amount of Al₂O₃ ranged from 15.00 - 19.00% in MARU-P1, from 10.00 - 13.00% in MISSE-P1 and from 15.00 - 20.00% in BIHA-P1. In all
studied pedons, Al₂O₃ increased with increasing depth, indicating the presence of more Al₂O₃ in subsoils than in topsoils and was higher in pedon BIHA-P1 than in pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 with the order of BIHA-P1 > MARU-P1 > MISSE-P1. The amount of Fe₂O₃ ranged from 0.38 - 0.42% in MARU-P1, from 1.93 - 2.59% in MISSE-P1 and from 0.50 - 0.51% in BIHA-P1. In all studied pedons, K₂O decreased with increasing depth, indicating the presence of more K₂O in subsoils than in topsoils and was higher in pedon MISSE-P1 than in pedons BIHA-P1 and MARU-P1 with the order of MISSE-P1 > BIHA-P1 > MARU-P1. The amount of CaO from 0.63 - 1.17% in MISSE-P1 and from 0.44 - 0.83% in BIHA-P1. In all studied pedons, CaO decreased with increasing depth, indicating the presence of more CaO in subsoils than in topsoils and was higher in pedon MARU-P1 than in pedons BIHA-P1 and MARU-P1 with the order of MISSE-P1 > BIHA-P1 > MARU-P1. The amount of TiO₂ ranged from 0.43 - 0.49% in MARU-P1, from 2.71 - 2.73% in MISSE-P1 and from 3.91 - 4.20% in BIHA-P1. In all studied pedons, TiO₂ increased with depth, indicating the presence of more TiO₂ in subsoils than in topsoils and was higher in pedon MARU-P1 than in pedons BIHA-P1 and MISSE-P1 with the order of MARU-P1 > BIHA-P1 > MISSE-P1. The amount of MnO ranged from 0.15 - 0.24% in MARU-P1, from 0.61 - 0.90% in MISSE-P1 and from 0.02 - 0.04% in BIHA-P1. In all studied pedons, MnO decreased with increasing depth, indicating the presence of more MnO in subsoils than in topsoils and was higher in pedon BIHA-P1 than in pedons MARU-P1 and MISSE-P1 with the order of BIHA-P1 > MARU-P1 > MISSE-P1. The amount of P₂O₅ ranged from 0.02 - 0.05% in MARU-P1, from 0.05 - 0.37% in MISSE-P1 and 0.06% throughout BIHA-P1 horizons. In all studied pedons, MgO increased with depth, indicating the presence of more MgO in subsoils than in topsoils and was higher in pedon MARU-P1 than in pedons BIHA-P1 and MISSE-P1 with the order of MARU-P1 > BIHA-P1 > MISSE-P1. The amount of Na₂O ranged from 0.01 - 0.04% in MARU-P1, from 0.01 - 0.06% in MISSE-P1 and from 0.01 - 0.03% in BIHA-P1. In all studied pedons, Na₂O increased with depth, indicating the presence of more Na₂O in subsoils than in topsoils and was higher in pedon BIHA-P1 than in pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 with the order of MISSE-P1 > MARU-P1 > BIHA-P1.

Generally, the total elemental composition of the studied pedons follows the following orders:

- **MARU-P1:** Fe₂O₃ > SiO₂ > Al₂O₃ > P₂O₅ > TiO₂ > CaO > K₂O > MnO > MgO > Na₂O
- **MISSE-P1:** SiO₂ > P₂O₅ > Al₂O₃ > Fe₂O₃ > TiO₂ > K₂O > CaO > MnO > MgO > Na₂O
- **BIHA-P1:** SiO₂ > Al₂O₃ > Fe₂O₃ > P₂O₅ > TiO₂ > CaO > K₂O > MnO > MgO > Na₂O

In all studied pedons, the most abundant oxides were SiO₂, Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃, and P₂O₅ indicating the presence of high levels of Si, Al, Fe and P elements in the soils. Other researcher [63, 29, 30] reported that the geology of the study area is dominated by Precambrian rocks of Karagwe-Ankolean system and Bukoban system whereas Bukoban system came after the erosion of Karagwe-Ankolean system. The parent materials of the two systems are shales and quartzite, which are aluminous, siliceous and ferruginous with low magnesium content [63, 30]. In all studied pedons, the dominant oxide was SiO₂ indicating that quartzite is the dominant parent rock in the studied pedons. The high amount of sesquioxides in the studied pedons particularly pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 signified highly weathered

**Table 8. Total elemental composition of the studied pedons in Bukoba, Missenyi and Biharamulo Districts, Tanzania.**

| Location | Pedon | Horizon | Depth (cm) | Total elemental composition (%) |
|----------|-------|---------|------------|---------------------------------|
|          |       |         |            | SiO₂  | Al₂O₃ | Fe₂O₃ | K₂O | CaO | TiO₂ | MnO | MgO | P₂O₅ | Na₂O |
| TMRK     | MARU-P1 | Ap  | 0 - 24/30 | 33.80 | 15.00 | 41.18 | 0.42 | 0.51 | 4.23 | 0.24 | 0.02 | 14.54 | 0.04 |
|          |       | BAts  | 24/30 - 38/52 | 33.10 | 16.00 | 41.95 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 4.38 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 9.05  | 0.02 |
|          |       | Bts-2 | 70/75 - 115 | 33.05 | 19.00 | 41.95 | 0.38 | 0.31 | 4.39 | 0.15 | 0.85 | 7.22  | 0.03 |
| MYP      | MBYP  | Ap    | 0 - 35/55 | 88.50 | 10.00 | 4.02 | 2.59 | 1.17 | 2.71 | 0.25 | 0.05 | 66.19 | 0.65 |
|          | MISSE-P1 | Cg-2 | 75/105 - 115 | 66.60 | 13.00 | 12.40 | 2.00 | 0.90 | 2.75 | 0.07 | 0.34 | 16.91 | 0.02 |
|          |       | Cg-5  | 138 - 167 | 66.20 | 13.00 | 5.28 | 1.93 | 0.63 | 2.73 | 0.06 | 0.37 | 13.91 | 0.01 |
| RKRF     | BIHA-P1 | Ap    | 0 - 17/20 | 64.00 | 15.00 | 13.80 | 0.51 | 0.83 | 3.91 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 14.48 | 0.03 |
|          |       | BAs   | 17/20 - 50 | 58.70 | 17.00 | 16.40 | 0.50 | 0.65 | 4.23 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 7.77  | 0.01 |
|          |       | Bts-2 | 90 - 121 | 57.20 | 20.00 | 16.70 | 0.50 | 0.44 | 4.23 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 3.39  | 0.01 |

TMRK = TARI Maru (Tanzania Agricultural Research Institute, Maru Centre); MBYP = Mabuye Primary School; RKRF = Rukturagata Farmer's Extension Centre; MARU-P1 = Maruku profile 1; MISSE-P1 = Missenyi profile 1; BIHA-P1 = Biharamulo profile 1.
conditions [99, 72]. Based on the levels of sesquioxides (oxides of Al and Fe) (Table 8), it is apparent that the soil of MARU-P1 was more weathered than that of pedons BIHA-P1 and MISSE-P1 with the order of MARU-P1 > BIHA-P1 > MISSE-P1. The increasing levels of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ with depth and the decreasing contents of $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$, $\text{CaO}$ and $\text{K}_2\text{O}$ with increasing depth is attributed to the decreasing soil pH with increasing depth observed in the studied pedons as in soils with $\text{pH} < 5.5$ there is likelihood of dissolution of aluminum and iron which precipitates with phosphorus leading to P-fixation [66, 16]. In addition, presence of high rainfall (up to $> 2500$ mm) in the study area might have led to the leaching of Al, Fe and other essential plant nutrients like N, P and K [100, 101]. In all studied pedons the amount of all basic oxides were very low due to the nature of parent rocks being dominated by quartzite and shale, which contain more Si, Al and Fe [63, 30].

3.4.8. Weathering Indices of the Studied Pedons

The kind and arrangement of the horizons and weathering potential are determinants of weathering state of a particular soil [102]. Weathering index is the measure of intensity of chemical weathering by comparing the mineral or compound that is relatively stable and the one that is readily removed by weathering [102, 103]. The weathering indices presented in this study (Table 9) are $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, $\text{SiO}_2/(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)$ and $\text{Chemical Index of Alteration (CIA)} = \frac{\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3}{(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{K}_2\text{O} + \text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{CaO})} \times 100$. The level of $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ranged from 1.74 - 2.25 in MARU-P1, from 5.12 - 8.85 in MISSE-P1 and from 2.86 - 4.27 in BIHA-P1 (Table 9). In all studied pedons, $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ decreased with increasing depth, indicating higher level of $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ in topsoils than in subsoils, which signified more weathering in subsoils than in topsoils. Other researchers [104, 72] reported similar trend. Moreover, $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ was higher in pedon MISSE-P1 than in pedons BIHA-P1 and MISSE-P1 with the order of MISSE-P1 > BIHA-P1 > MARU-P1. However, [104, 72] reported that high values of $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ indicate low potential for weathering. Therefore, based on the $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ levels, the order of weathering potential of the studied pedons was MARU-P1 > BIHA-P1 > MISSE-P1. The level of $\text{SiO}_2/(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)$ ranged from 0.54 - 0.60 in MARU-P1, from 2.62 - 8.31 in MISSE-P1 and from 1.56 - 2.22 in BIHA-P1. In all studied pedons, $\text{SiO}_2/(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)$ decreased with depth, indicating high level of $\text{SiO}_2/(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)$ in topsoils than in subsoils, which signified more weathering in subsoils than in topsoils [72] and was higher in MISSE-P1 than in the other two pedons with the order of MISSE-P1 > BIHA-P1 > MARU-P1. Therefore, based on the levels of $\text{SiO}_2/(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)$, the order of weathering potential of the studied pedons was MARU-P1 > BIHA-P1 > MISSE-P1. Therefore, based on the levels of $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, the order of weathering potential of the studied pedons was MARU-P1 > BIHA-P1 > MISSE-P1. The CIA is a quantitative indicator of the degree of weathering of silicates. Feldspars are the most abundant reactive minerals in the earth’s crust and once subjected to weathering process they release calcium, sodium, potassium and aluminum into the soils and the proportion of alumina to alkalins would typically increase in the weathered product [105, 8]. Therefore, high level of CIA indicates high degree of weathering [105]. The CIA in the studied pedons ranged from 93.03 - 96.45% in MARU-P1, from 69.40 - 82.36% in MISSE-P1 and from 91.63% - 95.47% in BIHA-P1. In all studied pedons, CIA increased with depth, which signified high level of CIA in subsoils than in topsoils and thus more weathering in subsoils than in topsoils. Among the studied pedons, the degree of weathering was higher in pedon MARU-P1 than in pedons BIHA-P1 and MISSE-P1 with the order of MARU-P1 > BIHA-P1 > MISSE-P1. Generally, based on the three weathering indices presented in this study, MARU-P1 was highly weathered followed by BIHA-P1 and the least was MISSE-P1.

### Table 9. Weatherability of the studied pedons in Bukoba Rural, Missenyi and Biharamulo Districts, Tanzania.

| Location | Pedon | Horizon | Depth (cm) | $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ | $\text{SiO}_2/(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)$ | CIA (%) |
|----------|-------|---------|------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|
| TMRK     | MARU-P1 | Ap     | 0 - 24/30  | 2.25                            | 0.60                            | 93.93   |
|          |        | BAs    | 24/30 - 38/52 | 2.07                      | 0.57                            | 95.52   |
|          |        | Bts-2  | 70/75 - 115 | 1.74                     | 0.54                            | 96.45   |
| MBYP     | MISSE-P1 | Ap     | 0 - 35/55  | 8.85                           | 6.31                            | 69.40   |
|          |        | Cg-2   | 75/105 - 115 | 5.52                       | 3.83                            | 81.66   |
|          |        | Cg-5   | 138 - 167   | 5.12                         | 2.62                            | 82.36   |
| RKRF     | BIHA-P1 | Ap     | 0 - 17/20  | 4.27                           | 2.22                            | 91.63   |
|          |        | BAs    | 17/20 - 50  | 3.45                        | 1.76                            | 93.61   |
|          |        | Bts-2  | 90 - 121    | 2.86                        | 1.56                            | 95.47   |

TMRK = TARI Maruku (Tanzania Agricultural Research Institute, Maruku Centre); MBYP = Mabuye Primary School; RKRF = Rukaragata Farmer's Extension Centre; MARU-P1 = Maruku profile 1; MISSE-P1 = Missenyi profile 1; BIHA-P1 = Biharamulo profile 1; CIA = Chemical index of alteration = $\frac{\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3}{(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{K}_2\text{O} + \text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{CaO})} \times 100$

3.5. Classification of the Soils of the Study Area

Field description of soil morphological features and laboratory analytical data (physical and chemical properties) were used to classify the soils of the study areas according to the USDA Soil Taxonomy [41] and the World Reference Base for Soil Resources [56]. Soil diagnostic horizons, properties and materials/features used in classifying the soils and the soil names according to the USDA Soil Taxonomy and the FAO World Reference Base for Soil Resources are presented in Table 10 and Table 11, respectively. According to the USDA Soil Taxonomy, the soils of the studied sites are “Almost flat to
gently undulating, very deep, clayey, kaolinitic, strongly to very strongly acid, isohyperthemic, Typic Kandiudults” (pedon MARU-P1), “Almost flat, very deep, sandy loam to sandy clay loam, mixed, medium to strongly acid, isohyperthemic, Typic Endoaquolls” (pedon MISSE-P1) and “Almost flat to gently undulating, very deep, clayey, kaolinitic, medium to strongly acid, isohyperthemic, Typic Kandiudults” (pedon BIHA-P1) (Table 10). Moreover, according to FAO World Reference Base for Soil Resources, the soils of the studied sites are “Haplic Ferralic Acrisols (Clayic, Cutanic, Hyperdystric, Humic, Profondic)” (pedon MARU-P1), “Fluvic Gleyic Phaeozems (Loamic)” (pedon MISSE-P1) and “Chromic Ferralic Acrisols (Clayic, Cutanic, Hyperdystric, Ochric, Profondic)” (pedon MISSE-P1) (Table 11).

Table 10. Diagnostic features and classification of the studied pedons in Bukoba Rural, Missenyi and Biharamulo Districts, Tanzania, according to USDA Soil Taxonomy [41].

| Pedon   | Diagnostic horizon(s) | Other diagnostic features                                      | Order | Suborder | Great group | Subgroup | Family | Requirements |
|---------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------|-------------|----------|--------|--------------|
| MARU-P1 | Argic horizon          | Acrisols                                                        |       | Ferralic | Haplic      | Kandiudults |        |              |
|         |                        | 1 - 3                                                           |       |          | Ferralic    | Kandiudults |        |              |
| MISSE-P1| Mollic horizon         | Phaeozems                                                       |       | Loamic   | Fluvic      | Kandiudults |        |              |
|         |                        | 1 - 3                                                           |       |          | Loamic      | Kandiudults |        |              |
| BIHA-P1 | Argic horizon          | Acrisols                                                        |       | Ferralic | Clavanic    | Kandiudults |        |              |
|         |                        | 1 - 3                                                           |       |          | Clavanic    | Kandiudults |        |              |

MARU-P1 = Maruku profile 1; MISSE-P1 = Missenyi profile 1; BIHA-P1 = Biharamulo profile 1; SMR = Soil moisture regime, STR = Soil temperature regime

Table 11. Diagnostic horizons and features, and classification of the studied pedons in Bukoba Rural, Missenyi and Biharamulo Districts, Tanzania, according to WRB for Soil Resources [56].

| Pedon   | Diagnostic horizon(s) | Reference Soil Group (RSG) - TIER-1 | Principal Qualifiers | Supplementary Qualifiers | WRB soil name - TIER-2 |
|---------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| MARU-P1 | Argic horizon          | Acrisols                            | Ferralic, Haplic     | Clavanic, Hyperdystric,  | Haplic Ferralic Acrisols (Clayic, Cutanic, Hyperdystric, Humic, Profondic) |
|         |                        | 1 - 3                               | Gleyic, Fluvic       | Humic, Profondic         | Haplic Ferralic Acrisols (Clayic, Cutanic, Hyperdystric, Humic, Profondic) |
| MISSE-P1| Mollic horizon         | Phaeozems                           | Loamic               | Fluvic                    | Fluvic Gleyic Phaeozems (Loamic) |
|         |                        | 1 - 3                               |                      |                          | Fluvic Gleyic Phaeozems (Loamic) |
| BIHA-P1 | Argic horizon          | Acrisols                            | Ferralic, Haplic     | Clavanic, Hyperdystric,  | Chronic Ferralic Acrisols (Clayic, Cutanic, Hyperdystric, Ochric, Profondic) |
|         |                        | 1 - 3                               | Gleyic, Fluvic       | Humic, Profondic         | Chronic Ferralic Acrisols (Clayic, Cutanic, Hyperdystric, Ochric, Profondic) |

MARU-P1 = Maruku profile 1; MISSE-P1 = Missenyi profile 1; BIHA-P1 = Biharamulo profile 1

3.6. Suitability Assessment for Cassava Production

Suitability of the studied pedons for cassava production was assessed based on the observed land/soil characteristics of the respective pedon that were compared to the requirements for cassava crops [106, 59, 60, 61] using simple limiting method [57, 58]. Data on suitability assessment based on ecological requirements of cassava and actual field conditions of the studied pedons are presented in Table 12. MARU-P1 pedon was moderately suitable due to fertility problem caused by low available phosphorus and exchangeable potassium whereas MISSE-P1 pedon was marginally suitable due to fertility problem caused by very low organic carbon and BIHA-P1 was marginally suitable due to fertility problem caused by very low exchangeable potassium.

Table 12. Suitability assessment based on ecological requirement of cassava and actual field conditions of the studied pedons in Bukoba Rural, Missenyi and Biharamulo Districts, Tanzania.

| Land quality   | Diagnostic factor | Required range | Actual field range | Rating |
|----------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------|
| Climate (c)    | Annual rainfall (mm) | 500 - 3000 | 900 - 700 - 120 | S1     |
|                | Temperature (°C)  | 18 - 30 | 22 - 26 | S1     |
|                | Slope (%)         | 0 - 15 | 1 - 2 | S1     |
| Topography (t) | Soil texture      | LS, SCL, L | SCL to SCL | S2     |
|                | Effective depth (cm) | > 50 cm | > 200 cm | S1     |
|                | Soil drainage     | Moderate to well drained | Well drained | S1     |
| Soil toxicity (n) | EC (dS m⁻¹) | 2 - 4 | 0.01 - 0.02 | S1     |
|                | ESP (%)           | < 6 | 0.21 - 0.78 | S1     |
| Soil fertility (f) | Soil pHₑₚₑₑ | 4.5 - 7.0 | 5.0 - 5.46 | S1     |

S1 = Suitable; S2 = Marginally Suitable; S3 = Marginally In suitable; S4 = Insuitable
4. Conclusions and Recommendations

4.1. Conclusions

Pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 have similar morphological properties, which are different from those of pedon MISSE-P1. Moreover, all studied pedons differ in terms of their physical and chemical properties, implying differences in terms of their use and management. This emphasizes the need to characterize soils before decisions on land use are made. Climatic conditions of the study areas are characterized as semi-humid with Udic soil moisture regime and Isohyperthermic soil temperature regime, both of which are favourable for crop production.

Due to its finer soil texture, pedon MARU-P1 exhibits more favourable moisture retention characteristics followed by pedon BIHA-P1 and lastly pedon MISSE-P1. The ESP levels indicate that the studied soils are non-sodic and pose no limitation to crop production. In terms of weathering indices, pedon MARU-P1 is more highly weathered followed by BIHA-P1 and lastly MISSE-P1. On the basis of pH, SOC, TN, available P, CEC and BS, the studied pedons are generally rated as having low to medium soil fertility.

Pedons MARU-P1 and BIHA-P1 classified both as “Typic Kandiudults” according to USDA Soil Taxonomy and as “Haplic Ferralic Acrisols” and “Chromic Ferralic Acrisols” respectively, according to FAO World Reference Base for Soil Resources. Pedon MISSE-P1 classified as “Typic Endoaquolls” according to USDA Soil Taxonomy and as “Fluvic Gleyic Phaeozems” according to FAO World Reference Base for Soil Resources. Pedons MISSE-P1 and BIHA-P1 were both evaluated as marginally suitable while pedon MARU-P1 was evaluated as moderately suitable for cassava production due to soil fertility problems caused by very low organic carbon in pedon MISSE-P1, very low exchangeable K in pedon BIHA-P1 and low available P and exchangeable K in pedon MARU-P1.

4.2. Recommendations

Soil fertility management strategies need to be deployed for optimum cassava yields in the study areas. These include:

1) application of P-containing fertilizers such as triple superphosphate (TSP) and K-containing fertilizers such as muriate of potash (MOP) at the recommended rates for the area represented by pedon MARU-P1.

2) application of N-containing fertilizers such as calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN), farmyard manure and/or compost and incorporation of crop residues into the soil for improving the current level of OC and N for the area represented by pedon MISSE-P1.

3) application of K and P-containing fertilizers, farmyard manure and/or compost and incorporation of crop residues into the soil for improving the current levels of K and OC for the area represented by pedon BIHA-P1.

Other soil fertility management strategies should include crop rotation, fallowing, intercropping, use of leguminous and cover crops and retention of crop residues in the field after crop harvesting to allow their decomposition within the field.

In view of the fact that topsoils of the studied pedons have high levels of zinc and copper, we recommend sustainable crop harvesting to allow their decomposition within the field.

Given that this study presents information on pedological characteristics and suitability of the study areas for cassava production, we recommend further pedological characterization and suitability assessment for other major crops grown in the study areas and other districts of Kagera Region to establish specific recommendations on land use and management for sustainable crop production in the region.
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