The risk of venous thromboembolic events in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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Abstract

Background Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), comprising Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), is a chronic inflammatory disorder of the gastrointestinal tract that has been associated with increased risk of extraintestinal manifestations, amongst which is venous thromboembolism (VTE). We assessed the risk for VTE in patients with IBD through systematic review and meta-analysis.

Methods A systematic search for English language studies was conducted in Medline, Scopus, and the Cochrane Library of publications from database inception till August 10, 2020, to identify relevant studies reporting the risk of VTE in patients with IBD. The random-effects and fixed-effect models were used to estimate relative risks (RRs) with their respective 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The quality of the included studies was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa scale.

Results Eleven observational studies were included in this meta-analysis, involving 3,175,012 patients with IBD and 920,144,253 controls without IBD. The overall RR for VTE in patients with IBD compared to non-IBD individuals was 2.03 (95%CI 1.72-2.39). An analysis of studies with larger population size demonstrated a lower risk for VTE (RR 1.77, 95%CI 1.48-2.13) among patients with IBD, whereas studies with a smaller population size yielded a greater risk for VTE (RR 2.67, 95%CI 1.97-2.93). After adjustment for smoking and body mass index, the RR for VTE was moderately increased (RR 2.65, 95%CI 1.51-4.65).

Conclusions The present meta-analysis shows that IBD is linked to a 2-fold increased risk for VTE. Thus, primary prevention against VTE is of the utmost importance.
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Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is an autoimmune systemic disorder that mainly affects the gastrointestinal tract and predominantly includes ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD), as distinct clinical entities. Its exact cause is not yet fully understood, with genetic susceptibility, environmental factors and alterations in the host's innate as well as adaptive immunity being the principal etiological factors. Apart from the gastrointestinal involvement, IBD is also responsible for a multitude of extraintestinal manifestations, including thromboembolic events (TEs) that significantly increase morbidity and mortality [1,2].

TEs in IBD are often missed, given the fact that the prevalence of thrombosis varies between 1.3% and 7.7% in patients with IBD, and the rate increases up to 39-41% in autopsy series [3,4]. The pathologic process of TEs in IBD patients involves multiple factors. Abnormalities in procoagulation, anticoagulation, and fibrinolytic factors have been proven to
Contribute to the development of thrombus in IBD, although several studies have not reported any risk factors in about 50% of IBD patients with TEs. Acquired risk factors for venous thromboembolism (VTE) include oral contraceptive use, surgical operation, body mass index (BMI) >30 kg/m², trauma, pregnancy, puerperium, lupus anticoagulants, malignancy, long-distance travel, myeloproliferative disorders, and polycythemia vera [5,6]. Systemic corticosteroids in long-term courses were also associated with a significantly higher rate of VTE in IBD patients, compared to IBD patients without steroid medication [7]. Over half of the cases of VTE in IBD may be associated with factor V Leiden and prothrombin gene mutation, which may indicate that genetic factors play a role in VTE; however, this is inconsistent with other studies [8,9].

Venous thrombosis is commonly observed in deep veins of the lower extremities (deep venous thrombosis [DVT]) and the pulmonary arterial circulation (pulmonary embolism [PE]). Less frequently, cerebrovascular, portal, mesenteric, hepatic and retinal vein thrombosis is observed [10,11]. Even though several observational studies and a couple of meta-analyses have investigated the relationship between VTE and IBD [12-14], the exact risk estimate of VTE in the IBD population remains ambiguous because of methodological differences and heterogeneity across studies. The aim of this study was to evaluate the risk of VTE in patients with IBD compared to the non-IBD population. Accordingly, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies that investigated the incidence of VTE, including DVT and/or PE in patients with IBD.

Materials and methods

This systematic review is reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) and Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) guidelines [15,16]. The study protocol is registered with the PROSPERO international prospective register of systematic reviews (protocol number: CRD42020204404) [17]. The present meta-analysis was performed based on previously published studies; therefore, no ethical approval or patient consent were required.

Selection criteria

Studies in this meta-analysis were observational cohort or case-control studies that met the following inclusion criteria: 1) diagnosed IBD (CD and/or UC) according to well-defined criteria; 2) reported incident cases of first VTE/DVT or PE event after the diagnosis of IBD; 3) included a non-IBD population for which VTE/DVT or PE event rates were calculated (or could be inferred as expected event rates from a reference population); 4) reported relative risk ([RR] for cohort studies), rate or risk ratio (for cohort studies), odds ratios (for case-control studies), hazard ratio (for cohort studies) with 95% CIs or provided raw data for their calculation; and 5) assessed age and sex as confounding factors. We included peer-reviewed, observational controlled data (case-control and cohort studies) deriving from hospital, referral center and population based-studies. Studies evaluating only pediatric patients (age <18 years) were excluded. Cross-sectional studies, meta-analyses, review articles, short surveys, letters to the editor, notes, case reports, pilot studies and conference abstracts were excluded. In addition, studies including only pregnant or postoperative populations as control groups, studies that evaluated only recurrent VTEs and studies that did not contain primary data were excluded. Selection was not restricted by the number of participants in each study. If there were multiple published studies coming from the same population, only data from the most recent comprehensive report were included. VTE was defined as the presence of a first episode of DVT and/or PE, confirmed by objective imaging techniques. IBD, which included UC and/or CD, was defined based on medical diagnostic codes and records of clinical, endoscopic, histological, and radiological findings.

Data extraction

Two investigators (KA and AA) reviewed and abstracted the data independently onto a standardized form. The following data were collected from the studies: author and year of publication,
study design, time period of study conduction, origin of the study population, type of exposure (IBD [CD and/or UC] and control population), primary outcome (VTE, DVT, and/or PE) and definition of outcome, total number of participants in each group (IBD vs. non-IBD controls), frequency of VTE, DVT, and PE adjusted for potential confounders, as well as confounding factors reported in each study. When frequencies of IBD patients and associated VTE events were not reported in the studies, we merged data on UC and CD to evaluate the VTE risk estimate for the IBD population as a whole. Risk estimates of outcomes were extracted as RRs and their 95% CIs. Data on the following covariates for DVT or PE were extracted from each study, wherever available: age, sex, history of cancer, history of major surgery, BMI, history of pregnancy, history of PE or DVT, and smoking habits.

### Outcome measures

The primary analysis focused on assessing the RR of VTE, defined as DVT and/or PE, in patients diagnosed with IBD (CD and/or UC) according to well-defined criteria [19-21], compared with non-IBD subjects originating from the general population, hospital or referral center. Furthermore, based on information available from individual studies, we performed subgroup analysis evaluating the risk estimates for DVT and PE separately in patients diagnosed with IBD compared to controls, and additionally compared the risk for DVT vs. PE in IBD patients. Moreover, CD and UC risk estimates for VTE, DVT and PE compared to controls were calculated individually. In addition, risk estimates for VTE, DVT and PE events were separately estimated in patients with UC vs. CD. Risk estimates for VTE in IBD individuals were also calculated, according to the IBD population size. We separated studies into 2 groups (larger and smaller) based on the median value of the total number of IBD patients in each of the 11 studies, which was 13,756. The influence of sex on the occurrence of VTE in patients with IBD was also assessed. Finally, we assessed the risk for VTE in IBD patients vs. controls adjusted for BMI and smoking, based on the available data.

### Data presentation

The PRISMA flow chart was used to report the selection process of the studies and includes an overall summary of the number and types of articles incorporated into the review (Fig. 1).

### Quality assessment of the studies

The quality of case-control and cohort studies included in our meta-analysis was independently assessed by 2 investigators (KA and AA), using the Newcastle-Ottawa scale [22]. The scale is based on a “star system” that ranges from 0-9, with 0 being the lowest possible quality, and judges study quality according to 3 perspectives: selection of the study groups (4 questions), comparability of the groups (2 questions), and ascertainment of the outcome of interest (3 questions). Each question was rated with maximum 1 star except for “comparability of the groups”, for which separate stars were awarded for controlling age and/or sex (maximum 2 stars). Any differences between the 2 investigators were addressed via a reevaluation of the original article.

### Statistical analysis

All information was reported according to the PRISMA and MOOSE guidelines for meta-analyses [15,16]. The Cochrane Collaboration’s Review Manager Software (version 5.4) was used to perform the data analysis. The generic inverse variance method was used to combine the studies with different scales of outcome estimates for random-effect meta-analysis, calculating ln(RRs) and the SE (ln(RR)) [23]. When RRs and their respective confidence intervals (CIs) were not reported in the studies, they were calculated using the original data. Because the evaluated outcomes are relatively rare and the effects estimated are generally small, odds ratios in case-control studies were considered reasonable approximations of the corresponding RRs in cohort studies [24,25]. We assessed the heterogeneity between study-specific estimates using 2 methods. First, the Cochran Q statistical test for heterogeneity, which tests the null hypothesis that all studies in a meta-analysis have the same underlying magnitude of effect, was measured. Because this test is underpowered to detect moderate degrees of heterogeneity, the presence of statistically significant heterogeneity across the studies was evaluated by utilizing a P-value <0.10. Second, to estimate what proportion of total variation across studies was caused by study-related factors (clinical setting, methodological or statistical differences) rather than chance, the I² statistic was calculated, where $I^2 = \frac{100\% \times(Q-df)/Q}{F}$ represents the magnitude of the heterogeneity (moderate: 30-60%, substantial: 50-90%, considerable: 75-100%) [23,26,27]. Dichotomous outcomes were pooled using the Mantel-Haenszel random-effects model (when more than moderate heterogeneity was detected among studies), used to calculate the RRs and corresponding 95% CIs. The Mantel-Haenszel fixed effects model was used to calculate the RRs and corresponding 95% CIs in the case of homogenous studies. For all tests (except for heterogeneity), a probability level <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Visual inspection of the funnel plot demonstrated the asymmetry typically associated with publication bias [28]. That is, smaller, less precise studies (those with the larger standard errors) appeared to have higher RRs than the larger, more precise studies. Evidence of publication bias was also confirmed by Egger’s test [29], which was performed using linear regression analysis in the IBM SPSS statistics 26.0 software, since the number of the included studies was n>10.
Results

Eligible studies

The search strategy identified 1547 articles (Fig. 1). After removal of duplicates and screening of titles, abstracts and keywords, 20 papers underwent full-text review. During this process, 6 articles were excluded because of irrelevant outcomes [30-35], while 1 study was excluded for its cross-sectional design [36]. In addition, 2 studies reported outcomes that originated from the same database [37,38]; accordingly, the study with the shorter follow-up period was excluded [38]. Another study was excluded because it neither provided an overall risk estimate for VTE, nor offered available data for calculating it [39]. The remaining 11 studies [37,40-49], published between 2001 and 2018, fulfilled the selection criteria.

Quality assessment of the included studies

We used the Newcastle-Ottawa scale to evaluate the quality of the studies included in our meta-analysis: 2 studies were rated as 9-star, 5 studies as 8-star and 4 studies as 7-star. The included studies averaged a quality score of 7.8. All studies provided a clear definition of the diagnosis of VTE, including the details of confirmation based on imaging techniques. Some studies used the international disease codes for VTE diagnosis (Table 1).
The overall RR for VTE in patients with IBD compared to non-IBD individuals was 2.03 (95%CI 1.72-2.39). All individual studies had RR estimates above 1.0 with statistical significance. Significant heterogeneity was observed among studies (Q statistic=412.43, P<0.10, I²=98%). (Fig. 2).

To identify possible sources of heterogeneity, several subgroup analyses were performed relating to study size, IBD type, thrombosis location, and adjustment for confounders (Table 3). Analysis of studies with larger IBD population size [37,41,43,44,46,48] demonstrated a lower risk for VTE (RR 1.77, 95%CI 1.48-2.13) among patients with IBD, whereas studies with smaller IBD population size [40,42,45,47,49] yielded a greater risk for VTE (RR 2.67, 95%CI 1.97-2.93). A greater risk for VTE was found in both patients with UC (RR 1.8, 95%CI 1.5-2.12) and those with CD (RR 1.72, 95%CI 1.58-1.88), with no difference between the 2 groups (RR 1.03, 95%CI 0.72-1.46). Similar results were found in relation to the risk for DVT and PE in patients with UC and CD, with no difference between the 2 IBD clinical entities (Table 3). Additionally, patients with IBD presented a greater risk for DVT (RR 1.95, 95%CI 1.59-2.39) and PE (RR 1.91, 95%CI 1.75-2.08) compared to controls. The risk for DVT was almost 2-fold higher than that for PE in the IBD population (RR 1.96, 95%CI 1.34-2.86). Moreover, the risk for VTE in patients with IBD did not differ statistically significantly between male and female patients (RR 0.95, 95%CI 0.8-1.12). After adjustment for smoking and BMI, the RR for VTE was moderately increased (RR 2.65, 95%CI 1.51-4.65). The remaining 8 studies that did not adjust for these confounders demonstrated a lower risk for VTE in patients with IBD, but still greater compared to controls (RR 1.88, 95%CI 1.57-2.24).

There was significant heterogeneity among all the studies included in the aforementioned subgroup analyses (Table 3). Only studies evaluating the risk for PE in patients with IBD compared to non-IBD subjects demonstrated no evidence of heterogeneity (χ²=2.06, P=0.72, F=0%). Finally, a secondary analysis was performed using the most recently published studies [41,42,49], which demonstrated a higher risk of VTE in patients with IBD (RR 1.88, 95%CI 1.70-2.07), without evidence of heterogeneity among them (Q statistic=0.43, P=0.81 F=0%) (Table 3).

| Study, year [Ref.] | Selection (max 4 stars) | Comparability (max 2 stars) | Exposure/outcome (max 3 stars) | Overall quality score (max 9 stars) |
|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Ha 2004 [44]      | ****                     | *                          | **                            | 7                                 |
| Kappelman 2011 [37]| ****                     | *                          | ***                           | 8                                 |
| Bernstein 2001 [40]| ****                     | *                          | ***                           | 8                                 |
| Chung 2015 [42]   | ****                     | *                          | ***                           | 8                                 |
| Grainge 2010 [43] | ****                     | **                         | ***                           | 9                                 |
| Miehsler 2004 [45]| ***                      | **                         | **                            | 7                                 |
| Nguyen 2008 [46]  | ****                     | *                          | **                            | 7                                 |
| Rothberg 2011 [47] | ****                     | *                          | **                            | 7                                 |
| Saleh 2010 [48]   | ****                     | *                          | **                            | 8                                 |
| Weng 2018 [49]    | ****                     | **                         | ***                           | 8                                 |
| Chu 2018 [41]     | ****                     | **                         | ***                           | 9                                 |

A “star” symbol identifies “high” quality choices. The score allows a maximum of 1 “star” for each item within the “Selection” and “Outcome” categories and a maximum of 2 “stars” for “Comparability”. Highest quality choice allows 4 stars for “Selection”, 2 stars for “Comparability” and 3 stars for “Outcome”. In comparability, 1 star was allocated in studies that adjusted for the confounder “age” and 2 stars in studies that also adjusted for the confounder “smoking”.

**General characteristics**

Our meta-analysis included 11 observational studies (10 cohort studies [40-49] and 1 case-control study [37]), the general characteristics of which are described in Table 2. Six studies were population-based, 4 were hospital-based and 1 study had a referral center as a population source. The primary analysis included 3,175,012 patients with IBD and 920,144,253 controls without IBD. In the analysis of secondary outcomes, regarding the evaluation of risk estimates for DVT and PE in IBD patients compared to controls without IBD, 5 studies were included [37,42,44,45,49]. In addition, 4 studies were included in the analysis regarding the risk of VTE in patients with UC compared to patients with CD [37,44,48,49], while 3 studies were used to evaluate the risk of DVT and PE [37,44,49], in the same subgroups. Articles had large differences in their selection of covariates for adjusted analyses. Covariates included demographics such as age and sex, past medical history (history of surgery, history of pregnancy, history of cancer, history of PE or DVT), as well as other risk factors, such as BMI and smoking habits. Six studies included in the analysis assessed the influence of sex on the risk for VTE in patients with IBD [37,41,42,45,48,49]. A subgroup analysis was also performed, including 3 studies that evaluated smoking and BMI as confounding factors [41,43,45].

**Quantitative analysis and evaluation of heterogeneity**

The overall RR for VTE in patients with IBD compared to non-IBD individuals was 2.03 (95%CI 1.72-2.39). All individual studies had RR estimates above 1.0 with statistical significance. Significant heterogeneity was observed among studies (Q statistic=412.43, P<0.10, I²=98%). (Fig. 2).
Table 2 Characteristics of the studies included in the meta-analysis of venous thromboembolic events in patients with inflammatory bowel disease

| Study, year [Ref.] | Location | Study Period | Study Design | Data source (Setting) | Definition of Outcome | IBD VTE (n) | IBD Total (n) | Controls VTE (n) | Controls Total (n) | Confounders adjusted for* | Quality of study 0-9 Stars |
|-------------------|----------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Ha 2009 [44]      | USA      | 2001-2006    | Cohort       | Thomson Reuters Market Scan Research claims database Population based | CVA (TIA, cerebrovascular occlusion); IHD (including acute MI, atherosclerosis), peripheral vascular disease | 446         | 17487         | 830              | 7480                   | 1,2                       | 7                        |
| Kappelman 2011 [37] | Denmark | 1980-2007  | Case-Control | Danish Civil Registration System Danish National Patient Registry Population based | Occurrence of DVT and PE | 1181        | 49799         | 6646             | 477504                 | 1,2,4,6,7                  | 8                        |
| Bernstein 2001 [40] | Canada  | 1984-1997   | Cohort       | Manitoba Health administrative Population based | DVT and PE occurrence in hospitalized patients | 187         | 6027          | N/A              | 55290                   | 1,2                       | 8                        |
| Chung 2015 [42]   | Taiwan   | 2000-2010   | Cohort       | National Health Insurance database Population based | DVT and PE diagnosis | 90          | 11445         | 195              | 45780                  | 1,2,4,6,7                  | 8                        |
| Grainge 2010 [43] | UK       | 1987-2001   | Cohort       | General Practice Research Database Population based | Changes in the state of IBD and venous thromboembolism after hospitalization | 139         | 13756         | 165              | 71672                  | 1,2,3,5,7,8                | 9                        |
| Miehsler 2004 [45] | Austria | N/A         | Cohort       | Three out-patient clinics of Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Referral center | History of TE, any cases of which had to be confirmed radiologically | 38          | 618           | 10               | 618                    | 1,2,3,4,5,6                | 7                        |
| Nguyen 2008 [46]  | USA      | 1998-2004   | Cohort       | Nationwide Inpatients Sample Hospital based | VTE and in-hospital mortality | 1933        | 116842        | 7213             | 522703                 | 1,2,4                     | 7                        |
| Rothberg 2011 [47] | USA      | 2004-2005   | Cohort       | Patients discharged from 374 acute care facilities in the United States that participated in Premier’s Perspective Hospital based | VTE during hospitalization and within 30 days after discharge | 11          | 814           | 1041             | 241924                 | 1,2,7                     | 7                        |
Publication bias

Upon inspection, the funnel plot of studies included in the primary analysis showed sign of an asymmetrical plot, suggesting the presence of publication bias (Egger P = 0.017) (Fig. 3). After 6 studies that appeared to be the cause of the asymmetry [40,43,44,46-48] were removed, little to no evidence of underlying bias could be found. Similarly, visual inspection of the funnel plots of the studies included in most of the subgroup analyses presented asymmetry that was indicative of possible publication bias.

Discussion

Our meta-analysis, which examined pooled data from all currently available observational studies assessing the risk of VTE in patients with IBD, indicated that the overall risk of VTE was 2-fold higher in the IBD group, compared to the non-IBD control group. After both qualitative and quantitative confirmation of funnel plot asymmetry, publication bias was suspected of being the main culprit behind this relationship between VTE and IBD. As the pooled RR for VTE derived from the 6 studies with the largest sample sizes was substantially higher than the pooled RR from the “smaller” ones, the aforementioned assumption seemed quite plausible. However, despite the fact that publication bias could not have been the causal factor for our results.

Subgroup analysis revealed that the risk of VTE in IBD was moderately increased after adjusting for smoking and BMI, indicating that, when considered together, these factors could act as confounders in the relationship between IBD and VTE. In addition, patients with IBD demonstrated an even greater risk of VTE compared to the non-IBD control group. After both qualitative and quantitative confirmation of funnel plot asymmetry, publication bias was indicated by the visual inspection of the funnel plots of the studies included in most of the subgroup analyses presented asymmetry that was indicative of possible publication bias.
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In contrast to the previously published studies, we specifically excluded articles that included solely postoperative patients or pregnant women with IBD, to avoid selection bias.

In general, pregnant women develop VTE 4-5 times more frequently than non-pregnant women [56,57], while a recent meta-analysis estimated the VTE risk in pregnant women with IBD to be 10-fold higher than that of non-pregnant ones without IBD [13]. Furthermore, major surgery has been proven to be a strong risk factor for VTE [58]. In addition, we excluded a study by Bernstein et al., included in the other meta-analyses [39], because it did not satisfy our inclusion criteria; in particular, the frequencies of VTE events in both the IBD population and the controls were not reported in the original study, while there was no overall risk estimate of VTE in patients with IBD; only age- and sex-specific comorbidity rates were reported.

Table 3 Results of meta-analyses by type of outcome

| Outcome of interest | Number of studies | RR (95%CI) | Effect Model | Heterogeneity |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| VTE in IBD          | 11                | 2.03 (1.72-2.39) | Random | 98% < 0.01 412.43 |
| DVT in IBD          | 5                 | 1.95 (1.59-2.39) | Random | 75% < 0.01 16.07 |
| PE in IBD           | 5                 | 1.91 (1.75-2.08) | Fixed | 0% 0.72 2.06 |
| DVT vs. PE in IBD   | 5                 | 1.96 (1.34-2.86) | Random | 86% < 0.01 29.23 |
| VTE in UC           | 4                 | 1.72 (1.58-1.88) | Random | 74% < 0.01 11.54 |
| VTE in CD           | 4                 | 1.80 (1.15-2.82) | Random | 98% < 0.01 181.37 |
| VTE in smaller size studies | 6 | 1.77 (1.48-2.13) | Random | 98% < 0.01 319.15 |
| Male vs. Female VTE in IBD | 6 | 2.67 (1.93-3.71) | Random | 72% < 0.01 14.39 |
| Adjusted VTE risk*  | 3                 | 2.65 (1.51-4.65) | Random | 93% < 0.01 28.27 |
| Unadjusted VTE risk* | 8                | 1.88 (1.57-2.24) | Random | 98% < 0.01 316.11 |

*Variables adjusted for were smoking and body mass index

IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; CD, Crohn's disease; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; PE, pulmonary embolism; UC, ulcerative colitis; VTE, venous thromboembolism; RR, relative risk; CI, confidence interval

Figure 2 Forest plot demonstrating the summary relative risk for venous thromboembolic events in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Studies are listed in chronological order.

IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; CI, confidence interval

Figure 3 Funnel plot of the 11 studies included in the meta-analysis

SE, standard error; RR, relative risk
There is a long-standing debate about the prevention methods and treatment options of VTE in patients with IBD. According to recent consensus statements regarding the prevention and treatment of VTE in patients with IBD [59], moderate to severe disease activity increases the risk of VTE and thus should be considered as a provoking factor. It is alarming that up to date, inadequate use of anticoagulants for VTE prophylaxis in IBD has been reported [60,61], and is mainly attributed to: (1) gastroenterologists' lack of awareness of both the increased risk of VTE in IBD patients and the recommended use of pharmacological prophylaxis in hospitalized IBD patients [62]; and (2) concerns about the safety of anticoagulant drugs in patients with active IBD [63].

Pathophysiologic mechanisms that could explain the increased risk of thromboembolism in IBD have not yet been fully elucidated. Several studies have shown that glucocorticoid use in the setting of increased inflammatory activity, such as in patients with IBD, increases clotting factor levels and levels of plasminogen activator inhibitor-1, suggesting that glucocorticoid-induced alterations in fibrinolysis may contribute to the presence of a hypercoagulable state [64,65]. Moreover, emerging data indicate that neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) expressing active tissue factor [66] are crucially implicated in the pathogenesis of various thromboinflammatory disorders [67-69]. A recent study pointed out that the local inflammatory response in the colon and peripheral blood in active UC was characterized by the presence of NETs carrying bioactive interleukin-1β and thrombogenic tissue factor, which could be responsible for the higher frequency of thrombosis in those patients than in healthy individuals [70]. Clinical studies have revealed an increased platelet count (reactive thrombocytosis) in IBD patients, proposed as a biomarker of disease activity in human IBD [71]. In addition, thrombocytosis appears to be accompanied by the presence of immature platelets in blood, suggesting that this response to IBD is linked to accelerated thrombopoiesis [72,73].

The intrinsic coagulation pathway can be activated by NETs released by polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMNs) in a process called NETosis. NETs activate platelets as well as the complement system (C3a, C5a) and release proteases that inactivate endogenous anticoagulants. Platelets secrete alpha granules that recruit PMNs and macrophages, while stimulating PMNs to undergo NETosis, which in turn reactivates platelets, creating a feedback loop (platelet-mediated NET-driven thrombogenicity). They also activate the coagulation pathway by assembling enzyme–cofactor–substrate complexes on their exposed surface [74-76]. Clinical evaluation and interpretation of these findings could be promising, in order to develop novel diagnostic and therapeutic targets for clinically active IBD patients with an increased risk of immunothrombosis.

Several limitations of this study must be acknowledged. First of all, since no randomized controlled trials have been performed to explore the association between VTE and IBD, our meta-analysis included only observational studies, which are often susceptible to selection bias and may fail to take into account several potential confounders for the risk factor under investigation [77]. Second, significant heterogeneity (>90%) was observed among studies. That could be attributed to a variety of factors, such as different population characteristics of the included studies: 1) some studies were population-based cohorts, while in others data were abstracted from hospitalized patients or referral centers; and 2) studies had different follow-up periods and variability in the disease phenotype of IBD among patients. Although IBD severity, colonic involvement and extent of disease have been proven to correlate with VTE risk [45,46], not enough studies provided sufficient information on disease location or disease characteristics and severity, so we were not able to further analyze these factors. Third, since VTE is frequently diagnosed post mortem [78], some degree of differential misclassification of outcomes is to be expected in the studies of this meta-analysis. Finally, we cannot rule out that we omitted relevant articles by having imposed the English language as a filter on our search.

To conclude, patients with IBD carry a 2-fold greater risk of VTE compared to non-IBD subjects; it is therefore of utmost importance to increase gastroenterologists' awareness of the primary prevention of VTE in this group of patients. Further, adequately conducted prospective cohort studies as well as randomized trials are warranted to provide more robust data regarding risk differences among certain subgroups.

**Summary Box**

**What is already known:**

- Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is responsible for a multitude of extraintestinal manifestations, including thromboembolic events that significantly increase morbidity and mortality
- Thromboembolic events in patients with IBD are often missed, given the fact that the prevalence of thrombosis varies between 1.3% and 7.7% in patients with IBD, and the rate rises to 39-41% in autopsy series
- The exact risk estimate of venous thromboembolism (VTE) in the IBD population still remains ambiguous, because of methodological differences and heterogeneity across published studies

**What the new findings are:**

- The overall risk of VTE was found to be 2.03 times higher in the IBD group compared to the non-IBD control group
- The risk of VTE in IBD was moderately increased after adjusting for smoking and body mass index, indicating that, when considered together, these factors could act as confounders in the relationship between IBD and VTE
- Patients with IBD demonstrated an almost 2-fold higher risk for deep venous thrombosis compared to the risk for pulmonary embolism
Venous thromboembolism in inflammatory bowel disease
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Supplementary material

Search Strategy

Text words and, if applicable, database subject heading fields used to perform a systematic literature search in PubMed, Scopus and Cochrane library.

PubMed

Research Question: What is the risk of venous thromboembolic events in patients with inflammatory bowel disease?
Filters applied: English language, Humans
Concept 1: Venous thromboembolism
Keywords: "Venous Thromboembolism"[Mesh] OR "venous thromboembolic event*"[tw] OR "deep vein thrombosis"[tw] OR "pulmonary embolism"[tw] OR vte OR pe OR dvt
Mesh: "Venous Thromboembolism"[Mesh]
Concept 2: Inflammatory bowel disease
Keywords: "Inflammatory Bowel Diseases"[Mesh] OR "inflammatory bowel disease*"[tw] OR "ulcerative colitis"[tw] OR "crohn's disease"[tw] OR ibd
Mesh: "Inflammatory Bowel Diseases"[Mesh]
("Venous Thromboembolism"[MeSH Terms] OR "venous thromboembolic event*"[Text Word] OR "deep vein thrombosis"[Text Word] OR "pulmonary embolism"[Text Word] OR vte OR pe OR dvt) AND ("Inflammatory Bowel Diseases"[MeSH Terms] OR "inflammatory bowel disease"[Text Word] OR "ulcerative colitis"[Text Word] OR "crohn's disease"[Text Word] OR ibd) AND ((humans[Filter]) AND (english[Filter]))

Results: 419

Scopus

Research Question: What is the risk of venous thromboembolic events in patients with inflammatory bowel disease?
Filters applied: English Language
Concept 1: Inflammatory bowel disease
Keywords: Concept 2: Venous thromboembolism
Keywords: "venous thromboembolism" OR "deep vein thrombosis" OR "pulmonary embolism" OR dvt OR pe OR vte
Keywords: "inflammatory bowel disease*" OR "ulcerative colitis" OR "crohn's disease" OR ibd
TITLE-ABS-KEY ("inflammatory bowel disease*" OR "ulcerative colitis" OR "crohn's disease" OR ibd ) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY ("venous thromboembolism" OR "deep vein thrombosis" OR "pulmonary embolism" OR dvt OR pe OR vte ) AND ( LIMIT-TO ( LANGUAGE , "English"))

Results: 1068

Cochrane Library

#1 ("inflammatory bowel disease"):ti,ab,kw OR ("Crohn's disease"):ti,ab,kw OR ("IBD"):ti,ab,kw OR ("ulcerative colitis"):ti,ab,kw
#2 ("venous thromboembolism"):ti,ab,kw OR ("pulmonary embolism"):ti,ab,kw OR ("deep vein thrombosis"):ti,ab,kw OR (vte):ti,ab,kw OR (dvt):ti,ab,kw OR (pe):ti,ab,kw
#3 #1 and #2

Results: 60