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The Role of Epigenetic Alterations in Papillary Thyroid Carcinogenesis
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Papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) accounts for over 80% of all thyroid malignancies. The molecular pathogenesis remains incompletely clarified although activation of the RET fusion oncogenes, and RAS and BRAF oncogenes, has been well characterized. Novel technologies using genome-wide approaches to study tumor genomes and epigenomes have provided great insights into tumor development. Growing evidence shows that acquired epigenetic abnormalities participate with genetic alterations to cause altered patterns of gene expression/function. It has been established beyond doubt that promoter cytosine methylation in CpG islands, and the subsequent gene silencing, is intimately involved in cancer development. These epigenetic events very likely contribute to significant variation in gene expression profiling, phenotypic features, and biologic characteristics seen in PTC. Hypermethylation of promoter regions has also been analyzed in PTC, and most studies have focused on individual genes or a small cohort of genes implicated in tumorigenesis.

1. Background

Thyroid carcinoma is the most common endocrine malignancy. Papillary thyroid cancer (PTC) accounts for over 90% of thyroid malignancies [1]. With improved diagnostic techniques, papillary thyroid carcinoma is identified much more frequently than in the past [2]. Currently, ways to preoperatively identify patients with invasive thyroid cancer include asymptomatic disease with concomitant vocal cord dysfunction or subglottic/tracheal mass, recurrent disease in the central compartment, symptomatic disease with voice changes, dyspnea, hemoptysis, or dysphagia, and finally documented invasive disease based on preoperative imaging [3]. More papillary thyroid cancers are diagnosed as microcarcinomas; therefore, molecular methods of detecting aggressive disease will aid in treatment planning.

The majority of genetic alterations in thyroid cancer exert their oncogenic actions at least partially through the activation of the MAP kinase/ERK pathway. Constitutive activation of the MAP kinase/ERK pathway leads to tumorigenesis by upregulating cell division and proliferation [4]. Activation of this pathway is a common and important mechanism in the genesis and progression of human cancers. When constitutively activated, the MAP kinase pathway leads to tumorigenesis [4]. Alterations of RET/PTC, BRAF, and RAS genes are linked to papillary thyroid tumorigenesis.

RET proto-oncogene encodes a cell membrane receptor tyrosine kinase. Ligands of the kinase are of the glial-cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) family which cause the receptors to dimerize upon binding, leading to autophosphorylation of tyrosine residues and initiation of the MAP kinase/ERK pathway signaling cascade [5]. High expression of RET in parafollicular C-cells of the thyroid gland is consistent with its role in the development of neural crest-derived cell lineages. This high expression of RET does not normally occur in thyroid follicular cells.
however, RET activation in these cells occurs by fusion of the 3' tyrosine domain of RET to the 5' portion of constitutively expressed genes. The most common RET/PTC rearrangements seen in PTC are RET/PTC1 (fusion with H4 gene) and RET/PTC3 (fusion with NCOA4 gene). Prevalence of RET/PTC rearrangements in PTC is greatest in populations exposed to radiation (60–70%). In the general population, the prevalence is higher in children [6]. Activation of RET/PTC results in downregulation of thyroglobulin and sodium iodide importer genes, which are thyroid specific, and thyroid follicular cell differentiation. Wild-type and truncated forms of RET/PTC activate the PI3K/AKT pathway leading to tumorigenesis [6, 7].

Mutations of BRAF, a serine-threonine kinase and downstream signaling molecule of RAS and RET, are potent activators of the MAP kinase/ERK pathway [4, 8]. These missense mutations of the BRAF gene, located on chromosome 7, occur in the kinase domain with the T1799A transversion mutation that results in a single amino acid substitution of valine to glutamic acid (V600E) accounting for 80–90% of BRAF activating mutations [6, 9]. The V600E mutation is thought to mimic phosphorylation in the activation segment of BRAF by inserting a negatively charged residue adjacent to an activating phosphorylation site [4].

BRAF V600E occurs as a sporadic mutation in thyroid cancer [9, 10] and is restricted to papillary and anaplastic or poorly differentiated carcinomas [11]. Prevalence of the mutation is reported in papillary thyroid cancer at 35–40%, with a significantly higher prevalence in males than females [9]. The rate of BRAF mutation in PTC is the second highest to that in melanomas (60%) and is much higher than other cancers such as colorectal adenocarcinomas (5–10%) and lung cancers (1.8%) [9]. BRAF V600E correlates with poorer clinicopathologic outcomes defined as extrathyroidal extension, lymph node metastasis, and advanced tumor grade (III/IV) at presentation and is prognostic of tumor recurrence [12–15].

Activating mutations of the three RAS oncogenes (H-RAS, K-RAS, and N-RAS) occur in thyroid tumors; however, their prevalence depending on histology of the tumors has been controversial [16]. Early studies demonstrated that RAS mutations were more frequent in follicular tumors than papillary thyroid cancers, in addition to different patterns of mutations occurring in the two types. Indeed, mutations in up to 50% on microfollicular adenoma further supported the idea that RAS oncogene activation was an early event in follicular thyroid tumorigenesis. More recent studies have reported varying incidences of RAS mutations in thyroid tumors (0–50% in PTC, 0–85% in adenomas, 14–62% in FTC, and 0–60% in anaplastic carcinomas). Some investigators find no correlation between RAS mutation isoforms and tumor pathology, while others report a higher frequency of mutations in codon61 of H-RAS and N-RAS in FTC and poorly differentiated carcinomas.

Although not confirmed by similar studies [10], 38% of BRAF-positive papillary thyroid tumors showed RET/PTC rearrangement [9], contrary to reports that BRAF V600E mutation does not occur with RET/PTC or RAS mutations in cancer [10]. Concurrent RET/PTC and BRAF mutations has also recently been reported in papillary thyroid cancer [17]. The low oncogenic potential of both BRAF and RET/PTC1 suggest that both mutations occurring in the same pathway are not necessarily redundant but may cooperate in papillary thyroid tumorigenesis. Indeed, RET/PTC1 and RAS mutations have been shown to synergistically lead to tumorigenesis [9].

The molecular pathogenesis of PTC thus remains incompletely clarified. With respect to gene alterations, papillary thyroid cancers have relatively low rates of loss of heterozygosity, with no specific region displaying a particularly high prevalence when compared to follicular thyroid cancers [18]. Like RET, BRAF, or RAS mutations, other molecular alterations are thought to be essential for the induction of papillary thyroid cancer. Epigenetic events very likely contribute to significant variation in gene expression profiling, phenotypical features, and biologic characteristics seen among papillary thyroid carcinoma [19].

2. Epigenetics Mechanisms Involved in Tumorigenesis

Epigenetic silencing of regulatory genes is part of the global genomic alterations in cancer that alter pathways relevant to stem-cell growth and differentiation. Epigenetic silencing mechanisms include covalent modifications of chromatin, DNA cytosine methylation, noncoding RNAs, and nucleosome remodeling [20]. It has been proposed that epigenetic abnormalities may play a seminal role in the earliest steps in tumorigenesis [21–24]. Epigenetic changes may act in concert with genetic changes resulting in tumorigenesis, because they are mitotically heritable. The high degree of mitotic stability of silencing plus the progressive nature by which it is achieved makes pathological silencing of growth controlling and other genes essential to carcinogenesis.

Patterns of DNA methylation are linked to gene expression; for example, methylation in a gene promoter region generally correlates with a silenced gene [25]. DNA methylation, the DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) catalyzed addition of methyl group to cytosine ring, is restricted to cytosines that precede a guanosine in the DNA sequence (the CpG dinucleotide) in humans and other mammals [25]. The distribution of CpG dinucleotides in the genome is unusually asymmetric, occurring in small clusters called “CpG islands”. The CpG islands are often in promoter regions of genes and are usually unmethylated regardless of the transcriptional state. This highlights the importance of DNA methylation for gene expression, especially in transcriptional silencing [25].

Aberrant DNA methylation plays a strong role in tumorigenesis. Global hypomethylation of intergenic CpG dinucleotides and regional hypermethylation of CpG islands in promoter regions are characteristic hallmarks of many cancers [24]. The impact of hypermethylation on tumorigenesis is further illustrated by the silencing of multiple tumor suppressor genes, thereby contributing to the hallmarks of carcinogenesis which include evading apoptosis (P53, p14ARF, BNIP3 and Caspase-8), insensitivity to anti-growth signals (p16INK4a and miR-124a), sustained angiogenesis (TIMP3 and TSP1), limitless replicative potential
(hTERT), and tissue invasion and metastasis (E-cadherin and LIM-S2).

Transcriptional silencing is also a result of chromatin compaction due to convergence of DNA methylation and histone modifications. Methylated DNA recruits methyl-binding proteins (MBDPs), which have methyl-CpG-binding domains (MBD), to hypermethylated DNA. MBDPs associate with histone deacetylases, resulting in chromatin remodeling and gene silencing. In addition to these mechanisms of silencing, histone methyltransferase (HMTs) repress transcription by methylation of lysine 9 of histone 3 (H3K9) or lysine 27 of histone 3 (H3K27). An excellent review of epigenetic modifications of chromatin is provided by Iacobuzio-Donahue [26].

The influence of epigenetic events on tumorigenesis is well illustrated by the evolution of colon cancer, in which risk factors for common cancers such as aging and inflammation are shown to cause expansions in either normal colon epithelial stem cells or precursor cells derived from them. Epigenetic gatekeepers such as cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A (CDKN2A/p16), secreted frizzled-related protein (SFRP), GATA-binding protein 4 and 5 (GATA-4 and -5), and adenomatous polyposis of the colon (APC) prevent early tumor progression in colon cancer. Normal epigenetic modulation of these gatekeeper genes allows them to prevent stem/precursor cells from becoming immortalized during periods of chronic stresses and renewal pressures on cell systems. APC is a classically mutated tumor suppressor gene in colon cancer, which is also inactivated by epigenetic mechanisms [27]. Epigenetic silencing of one allele serves as a second-hit in Knudson’s hypothesis for tumor suppressor gene inactivation when paired which mutations on the other allele [21].

Like APC, loss of p16 can be epigenetically mediated, permitting expanding cells to develop genomic instability [28, 29] and further epigenetic gene-silencing events [30]. Its loss is seen in subsets of preinvasive stages of colon and other cancers [30]. Finally, GATA-4 and -5 transcription factor genes, important for both embryonic gastrointestinal epithelial development and for maturation in adults, are epigenetically silenced in about half of all the preinvasive and invasive lesions for colon cancer [31]. This can hamper differentiation and promote precursor cell expansion.

The wingless-type MMTV integration site (Wnt) pathway activation also illustrates how multiple epigenetic events may act in concert to affect a single-cell pathway. Inappropriate silencing of these genes leads to abnormal activation of the Wnt pathway, which plays a canonical role in colon tumorigenesis [23]. These genes are independently affected by epigenetic events but result in Wnt activation. Four genes in the SFRP family encoding proteins that antagonize the action of the Wnt ligand at the cell membrane are hypermethylated simultaneously in the majority of preinvasive lesions for colon cancer. Upregulation of the survival protein Sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) also results in Wnt pathway activation. SIRT1 is upregulated as a result of loss of the transcription factor hypermethylated in cancer 1 (HIC1) via hypermethylation in early preinvasive lesions in colon cancer as well as other types of cancer [23]. Loss of HIC1 also results in additional gene silencing events as well as downregulation of tumor protein 53 (p53).

Loss of DNA methylation results in the weakening of transcriptional repression in normally silent regions of the genome resulting in harmful expression of inserted or normally silenced genes, and loss of functional stability of chromosomes. It has been established that covalent histone modification is linked to DNA methylation. Cytosine methylation attracts methylated DNA-binding proteins and histone deacetylases to methylated CpG islands during chromatin compaction and gene silencing [32, 33]. In addition to epigenetic modification of transcriptional start sites, there is evidence for more global changes in chromatin structure. For instance, there is an overall decrease in the 5-methylcytosine content of cancer genomes that is reflected as hypermethylation in CpG islands [34]. The consistently observed hypermethylation is due to a change in 5-methylcytosine distributions rather than an overall increase in total amount of methylation. It has also been observed that large stretches of DNA can become abnormally methylated in cancer.

3. Epigenetics of Papillary Thyroid Carcinogenesis

Quantitative analysis of promoter hypermethylation in thyroid cancer has involved RASSF1A, TSHR, RARβ2, DAPK, S100, p16, CDH1, CALCA, TIMP3, TGF-β, and GSTπ [35]. Hypermethylation of 2 or more markers (RASSF1A, TSHR, RARβ2, DAPK, CDH1, TIMP3, and TGF-β) was detectable in 25% of thyroid hyperplasias, 38% of adenomas, 48% of thyroid cancers, and 100% of cell lines. Rank correlation analysis of marker hypermethylation suggests that a subset of the markers were hypermethylated in concert, which may represent a thyroid-specific regulatory process [35]. Additionally, a positive correlation was observed between BRAF mutation and RARβ2 and a negative correlation between BRAF mutation and RASSF1A [35].

Investigation of DNA methylation in PTC has been predominantly restricted to individual candidate tumor suppressor genes and genes known for their role in thyroid function, using locus specific nonquantitative methods. BRAF, RASSF1A, TSHR, ECAD, NIS-L, ATM, DAPK, SLC5A8, TIMP3, and RARβ2 have been analyzed for DNA methylation. Promoter hypermethylation of TSHR, NIS-L, ATM, and ECAD has been demonstrated in 34–59% [36, 37], 22%, 50%, and 56% of patients with papillary thyroid cancer, respectively [37].

3.1. Thyroid-Stimulating Hormone Receptor (TSHR) and Sodium Iodide Symporter (NIS). TSHR stimulates several key steps in thyrocyte concentration of iodine, including uptake by NIS and oxidation before incorporation into thyroglobulin by thyroid peroxidase [36]. The methylation status of the NIS and TSHR promoter regions are important, because these genes are specific to the thyroid and play a role in the uptake of iodine and normal cellular function [37]. Promoter hypermethylation resulting in decreased expression of TSHR and NIS may result in a decreased ability to concentrate iodine, rendering ablative doses
of 131I ineffective [37]. Promoter hypermethylation of TSHR is reported in 34–59% [36, 37] of patients with papillary thyroid cancer. NIS mRNA expression has been shown to be decreased in thyroid cancers [37, 38], and this has been proposed to be secondary to methylation of the promoter region [32, 37]. The NIS-L region within the promoter was shown to be hypermethylated in 22% (7/32) of patients with papillary thyroid cancer [37] but was not methylated in surrounding histologically benign tissue.

3.2. E-Cadherin (ECAD). E-cadherin complexes with catenins to promote Ca2+-dependent, homotypic cell-to-cell adhesion and to establish normal epithelial tissue architecture [39]. Disruption of the E-cadherin/catenin complex contributes to tumor metastasis, and decreased expression of E-cadherin is observed in advanced stage, poorly differentiated carcinomas [39]. Promoter hypermethylation has been demonstrated in multiple human cancers, including papillary thyroid cancer in 56% (18/32) of patients [37].

3.3. Ataxia Telangiectasia Mutated (ATM). ATM is a member of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase family of proteins that respond to DNA damage by phosphorylating key substrates (p53 and BRCA1) involved in DNA repair and/or cell cycle control [40–42]. Hypermethylation of ATM promoter was observed in 50% (16/32) of patients with papillary thyroid cancer analyzed [37].

3.4. Apical Iodide Transporter (AIT). The thyroid apical iodide transporter AIT encoded by the SLC5A8 gene has been defined as a sodium-coupled transporter of short-chain fatty acid. It is thought that AIT may be involved in the passive transport of iodide from thyrocyte to the follicle lumen [43, 44]. Expression of SCL5A8 is decreased in thyroid cancers compared to other iodide transporters [43] and is expressed abundantly in colon cancer, functioning as a tumor-suppressor gene. Silencing of SLC5A8 occurs by promoter hypermethylation in about 50% of colon cancer cell lines and primary colon cancers. Decreased expression of SLC5A8 observed in classical variant of papillary thyroid cancer is linked to hypermethylation of exon 1 of the gene [44].

Hypermethylation occurred in 33% (76/231) of PTC and was associated with extrathyroidal invasion (40%) and multifocality (40%) [45]. This epigenetic event is thought to occur at a later stage in papillary thyroid cancer and specific of the classical variant; therefore, it may be secondary to other genetic alterations occurring selectively in the tumor type [44]. Indeed, SLC5A8 and BRAF discriminate the classical variant PTC, supporting the argument. In addition, a strong association between low SLC5A8 expression and the presence of BRAF V600E [44] or advanced clinicopathologic features [45] suggests a link in the progression to more aggressive papillary thyroid cancer.

3.5. The Tissue Inhibitor of Metalloproteinase 3 (TIMP3). TIMP3 is one of 4 tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinase thought to inhibit growth, angiogenesis, invasion, and metastasis in several human cancers [45, 46]. TIMP3 inhibits vascular endothelial factor (VEGF)-mediated angiogenesis by blocking the binding of VEGF to VEGF receptor-2, thereby inhibiting downstream signaling and angiogenesis [46]. Promoter hypermethylation, and downregulation of TIMP3 expression, is observed in various human cancers [45, 47–49]. Hypermethylation in PTC occurred in 53% of tumors analyzed and was associated with extrathyroidal invasion (38%), lymph node metastasis (43%), and multifocality (49%).

3.6. Death-Associated Protein Kinase (DAPK). DAPK is a calcium/calmodulin-dependent serine threonine kinase protein with a proapoptotic, tumor-suppressor function [45, 50]. The DAPK gene is silenced by hypermethylation in several human cancers [45, 51, 52], including thyroid cancer, and its expression has been shown to be a useful marker for cancer prognosis [50]. In addition to aberrant DNA methylation, chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis demonstrated that histone deacetylation of the 5' CpG island is involved in gastrointestinal malignancies [51]. In papillary thyroid cancer, promoter hypermethylation of DAPK was demonstrated in 34% of PTC and was associated with tumor multifocality (51%) [45].

3.7. Retinoic Acid Receptor-β2 (RARβ2). RARβ2 plays a central role in the regulation of epithelial cell growth and tumorigenesis. Effects of retinoids are mediated by nuclear receptors, RAR-α, RAR-β, and RAR-γ, RXR-α, RXR-β, and RXR-γ which form RXR-RAR heterodimers, that bind to specific DNA sequences, called RAR elements. It is thought that decreased expression of RARs may lead to resistance to retinoid effects [53]. Hypermethylation of RARβ2 was demonstrated in 22% of papillary thyroid cancer and was not associated with any aggressive clinicopathologic features [45].

4. Conclusion

With improved diagnostic techniques, endocrine tumors are identified much more frequently than in the past. For instance, benign parathyroid tumors occur in as many as 2.3% of postmenopausal women [54], and primary hyperaldosteronism may be the cause of hypertension in as many as 4.8% of all patients with elevated blood pressure [55]. The molecular genetics of rare inherited endocrine tumor susceptibility syndromes, such as multiple endocrine neoplasia (MEN) type 1 and 2, familial pheochromocytoma syndromes, Carney complex, and Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome have all contributed to our understanding of endocrine tumor development [56, 57]. Among different tumor types, there exist common pathways that lead to tumorigenesis, such as inactivation of the MEN1 tumor suppressor and activation of the RET proto-oncogene. As in other cancers, it is believed that the vast majority of genetic changes are somatic, that is, tumor-specific mutations acquired during tumor progression [58].

Epigenetic mechanisms, especially aberrant DNA methylation, very likely play an important role in papillary thyroid tumorigenesis. Genome-wide DNA methylation studies in
PTC provide a powerful tool to identify disease-causing genes. Additionally, unbiased, systematic analyses of tumor methylomes are likely to identify signaling pathways of importance in cancer development, in general. Analyzing epigenetic alterations in papillary thyroid cancer would help to characterize pathogenesis and may play a critical role in tumor classification and diagnosis. It has recently been shown that there are differences in global methylation profiles between prognostic subsets of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) [59]. The specific silencing of unmethylated tumor suppressor genes was seen in the unmethylated IGHV subgroup of CLL, implying a critical role for epigenetic changes during leukemogenesis. Interestingly, patients with immunoglobulin heavy-chain variable gene (IGHV) unmethylated CLL have worse prognoses compared to CLL patients with mutated IGHV genes [59].

These studies may also pave the way for the application of epigenetic therapeutics, by targeted reversal of gene silencing. Azanucleoside drugs are demethylating agents that are currently approved for treatment of myelodysplastic syndrome [20, 56]. These function as DNA methyltransferase inhibitors that require incorporation into DNA to be effective and affect the differentiated state. Other nucleoside DNA methylation inhibitors include 5-fluoro-2′-deoxyuridine and zebulamidine [60] which are in development. Histone deacetylases [61, 62] and histone methyltransferases are another reasonable option for therapeutics. The histone deacetylase SAHA is currently approved by the FDA for treatment of T cell lymphoma [63].

Just as multiple epigenetic events may act in concert to affect a single-cell pathway, it is most likely that epigenetic therapy will involve using multiple drugs that individually affect epigenetic silencing but have synergistic effects. Proposed strategies for the FDA approved epigenetic drugs are as single therapies or in combination as primary or secondary treatment after neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Lack of specificity may not pose a problem, since DNMT inhibitors act only on dividing cells. The drugs preferentially activate genes that have become abnormally silenced in cancer [64]. Moreover, the chromatin structure associated with a pathologically silenced gene may be more susceptible to reactivation than the highly compacted state induced by physiological silencing [20].
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