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In this supplementary material accompanying the paper "LwPosr: Lightweight Efficient Fine Grained Head Pose Estimation", details of following topics are given:

• Results for BIWI Dataset [3] using protocol $P_1$
• Results for BIWI Dataset using protocol $P_2$
• Sine Embedding
• Transformer Encoder Layer
• Orthogonality Loss

1. Qualitative Results on Protocol $P_1$ with BIWI dataset

Figure 1 illustrate the qualitative head poses on the test set of BIWI dataset using protocol $P_1$. In this protocol $P_1$, LwPosr is both trained with artificial images from 300W-LP dataset and tested using BIWI dataset. Visualization of comparison of ground truth head poses and predicted head poses in the Figure 1 shows that LwPosr can efficiently recognize the head poses on real head pose images even when trained with artificially generated images. The results with FSA-Net [7] are also compared which shows that the LwPosr performs to the same level as FSA-Net but LwPosr takes less parameters than FSA-Net.

2. Qualitative Results on Protocol $P_2$ with BIWI dataset

Figure 2 illustrate the qualitative head poses on the test set of BIWI dataset using protocol $P_2$. In this protocol $P_2$, LwPosr is both trained and tested using BIWI dataset. Visualization of comparison of ground truth head poses and predicted head poses in the Figure 2 shows that LwPosr performs efficiently as all three line vectors almost match with the ground truth line vectors.

3. Sine Embedding

If position embedding is not present in the Transformer Encoder, then it behaves like a permutation equivariant structure as shown in Eq. 1. The $\rho$ is the sequence order or it is any permutation for the pixel locations.

$$Encoder(\rho(input)) = \rho(Encoder(input))$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

The sine positional embeddings $P$ are used to include the order of sequence and spatial structure of the pixels in the image. It is hypothesized that the horizontal and vertical position information in an image is independent [2, 4, 6].

$$P_{(2i, p_y)} = \sin \left( \frac{2\pi \times p_y}{(H \times 10000^{\frac{C}{2}})} \right),$$
$$P_{(2i+1, p_y)} = \cos \left( \frac{2\pi \times p_y}{(H \times 10000^{\frac{C}{2}})} \right),$$
$$P_{(2i, p_x)} = \sin \left( \frac{2\pi \times p_x}{(W \times 10000^{\frac{C}{2}})} \right),$$
$$P_{(2i+1, p_x)} = \cos \left( \frac{2\pi \times p_x}{(W \times 10000^{\frac{C}{2}})} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

The original 2D-structure is retained for x and y-direction with $C/2$ channels. In the Eq. 2, $s = 0, 1, ..., C/2 - 1$. $p_x$ and $p_y$ are the position indexes for the x and y direction, respectively. $W, H$ are the width and height of the input. They are further stacked and flattened to have a shape $\mathbb{R}^{A \times C}$. The input sequence is injected with the position embedding before the self-attention is computed.

4. Transformer Encoder Layer

The Transformer Encoder layer [5, 6] used in the paper can be defined as:

$$X' = \text{LayerNorm}(\text{MultiheadSelfAttention}(X) + X),$$
$$X' = \text{LayerNorm} (\text{FFN}(X') + X'),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

where $X$ is the input sequence without the position embedding. The queries and keys are computed when position
embedding is added to $X$. The output of the Transformer Encoder layer is given by $X^e$. This $X^e$ is used as an input sequence for the next encoder layer. The definitions of Multihead Self-Attention and FFN are given in [5].

5. Orthogonality Loss

The orthogonality loss for head pose estimation is adapted from [1]. They represent the head poses as described below.
5.1. Representation of Rotation

As described in [1], 3D Rotation can be represented in several ways such as Euler angle, axis-angle, quaternion, and Lie algebra. The rotation is described using 4 dimensions. In [8], it is shown that atleast 5 dimensions are needed for continuous description of 3D rotation which means that the above representations will have ambiguity problem. So, ro-
The rotation matrix becomes a good option. It has nine elements and can have orthogonality (determinant +1). Continuous special orthogonal group $SO(3)$ is formed by the set of rotation matrices. It does not have problems related to ambiguity and discontinuity. The next step is to choose a metric which can quantify the closeness between the two rotation matrices. The Frobenius norm of rotation matrices can be used as a measure. It is a square root of the sum of squares of the differences of the elements of the rotation matrices. As used and shown in [1], the three vectors of head pose can be considered equivalent and corresponding to the three columns of rotation matrices. For instance, at the starting reference point, the left, down and front vectors are given as $v_l = [1, 0, 0]^T$, $v_d = [0, 1, 0]^T$ and $v_f = [0, 0, 1]^T$ respectively. So, the rotation matrix is applied to these vectors, the resulting vectors are $\hat{v}_l = Rv_l = r_1$, $\hat{v}_d = Rv_d = r_2$ and $\hat{v}_f = Rv_f = r_3$. There are two options that can be used as a metric: (1) Frobenius norm has a drawback that it is not intuitive and perceivable to see the difference of rotation angles by using the distance measure between the endpoints of corresponding vectors; (2) the mean absolute error of vectors (MAEV) [1], it is absolute error between the ground truth vector and predicted vector and then mean of the three error gives the final error.

Unlike [1], we used the combination of mean absolute error of angles (MAE) instead of using MAEV. But, we adapt their orthogonality loss between vectors. Loss of orthogonality between vectors is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{ortho} = \sum_{i \neq j} \text{mse}(\hat{v}_i, \hat{v}_j, 0) \text{ where } i, j = 1, 2, 3 \quad (4)$$

where $\hat{v}_i$ is the predicted vector from the proposed network. Total loss is given as by the Eq. [5]

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{MAE} + \alpha \mathcal{L}_{ortho}(\hat{v}_i, \hat{v}_j) \quad (5)$$

As in [1], we use $\alpha = 0.5$. We also found out the $\alpha > 0.1$ did not converge as smoothly as when $\alpha$ is small. From ablations, it is clear that orthogonality loss did not improve the performance of the network. Hence, it increased the complexity of the optimization technique for the proposed approach. So, to keep the algorithm and optimization technique simple, we use only MAE loss for our other experiments.
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