Studies on the Origin of Carbons in Aroma Compounds from $[^{13}C_6]$Glucose-Cysteine-(E)-2-Nonenal Model Reaction Systems
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Abstract: The thermal degradation of lipid oxidation products with amino acids and reducing sugars is known to be important for the characteristic aroma generation in both meat and meat-like process flavorings. SPME (solid phase microextraction)/GC-MS was used to analyze the volatiles produced from a solution of $[^{13}C_6]$glucose, cysteine, and lipid degradation product- (E)-2-nonenal, heated at 130 °C for 90 min. Analysis of the mass spectra showed that the resulting 2-butylthiophene and 5-butyldihydro-2(3H)-furanone were $^{13}C_6$-labeled and hence stemmed from glucose. Glucose and (E)-2-nonenal were equally important for the formation of 2-pentylfuran, whether cysteine was present in the reaction or not. 2-Furanmethanol, (E)-2-(1-pentenyl)-furan, 2-hexanoylfuran, ethanethiol, 5-methyl-2(5H)-thiophenone, 1-methyl-5-mercaptotetrazole, 4-pentyl-pyridine, 2-pentylthiophene, and 2-mercaptopropanoic acid were virtually $^{13}C_1$-$^{13}C_4$ labeled, suggesting an origin from both glucose and cysteine and/or (E)-2-nonenal carbons. Thus, the relative contribution of aldehyde to the C-skeleton of a particular aroma compound changed substantially when both glucose and cysteine were involved in its formation.
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1. Introduction

Over the past few years, many studies have been conducted on the production of meat flavor and the interaction between Maillard reactions and lipid degradation during the thermal processing of meat products [1]. Lipid oxidation products have been degraded to lipid aldehydes, acids, and ketones, which may have inherent flavors contributing to the fatty aroma [2]. On the other hand, these degraded products may participate further in Maillard reactions to yield other compounds that contribute to flavor or modify the balance of odorous compounds [3].

Generally, model systems of amino acid/peptide-reducing sugars with lipids have been used to study the influence of lipids on flavor formation mechanisms. For example, Zhao [4] studied the formation mechanism of aroma compounds in a glutathione–glucose reaction with fat or oxidized fat. Xu [5] revealed that, during thermal reactions of lipids, cysteine, and xylose, products 2-methylthiophene, 3-methylthiophene, 2-methyl-3-furanthiol, and 2-furanmethanethiol were the most crucial in aroma production in cooked meat and commercial meat flavors. In addition, many researchers have demonstrated an important stage in meat flavor production through pyrolysis or Strecker degradation in the participation of dicarbonyl compounds [6–8]. However, all of these studies pertained to the fat-mixing system involved in the reaction. Model systems such as cysteine-reducing sugar with or without a single lipid degradation product have scarcely been investigated.
Nevertheless, the origin of these compounds cannot be verified conclusively without labeling atoms with selectively enriched sugars and amino acids [9]. Therefore, the carbon module labeling (CAMOLA) approach is commonly used. It is a versatile and convenient tool for elucidating formation pathways of flavor compounds and for gaining information on the fragmentation of these precursors in a model reaction system or food matrix [10–12]. By the CAMOLA technique, especially 13C-labeled precursors, there are pathways to form cyclotenes, pyridinones, 2-acetyltetrahydropyridine, and several hydroxyproline-derived pyrrol and tetrahydroindolizinone compounds in [1-13C]glucose with proline and hydroxyproline systems, respectively [13], and those pathways are used to generate furans from an intact sugar skeleton [14] have been clarified. In addition, the formation pathways of 2-ethylthiophene, 2,5-dimethylthiophene, and 5-methylthiophene-2-carbaldehyde were explained in the glutathione and [13C6]glucose system [15].

In order to explore the role of aldehyde from lipid degradation in the formation of meat flavor compounds, a CAMOLA experiment was necessary. However, the cost of labeled aldehyde or amino acids is very high and their availability is also difficult. Thus, labeled sugar is usually used to indirectly elucidate the trend of aldehyde. The objective of this study was to elucidate the formation pathways of odorants formed from glucose, cysteine, and aldehyde during a Maillard reaction. The carbon module labeling approach is proposed to explain the extent to which the glucose skeleton remains intact during the formation of odorants and the extent to which breakdown products play a role. Additionally, creating a mixture of a carbohydrate (glucose) and an aldehyde (E-2-nonenal) with or without cysteine is proposed to elucidate the role of aldehyde in the formation of aroma compounds. In this approach, the sugar-containing carbon isomer labels ([13C] and the peaks of selected volatiles were integrated in a total ion chromatogram (TIC) obtained from GC-MS, and the corresponding mass spectra were analyzed to assess the relative contribution of aldehyde to the formation of aroma compounds.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

[13C6]Glucose (99%) was from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc. (Tewksbury, MA, USA). (E)-2-Nonenal, L-cysteine, and extra amounts of glucose were from Sinofarm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). 1,2-Dichlorobenzene were of chromatography grade from TCI Development Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). C6–C30 n-alkane series (chromatographic reagent) were from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA).

2.2. Model Reactions

Cysteine, [13C6]glucose, and (E)-2-nonenal were dissolved in 10 mL of Na-phosphate buffer (0.2 mol/L, pH 6.00) and heated, combined with stirring in a 20 mL Maillard, septum-closed vial at 130 °C for 90 min in an oil bath. Similar model system experiments were also carried out according to conditions given in Table 1.

| Table 1. Model reactions a. |
|-----------------------------|
| Amount                      |
|                            | A   | B   | C   | D   | E   | F   |
| Cysteine                    | -   | 0.26 g | 0.26 g | 0.26 g | -   | 0.26 g |
| [13C6]Glucose               | 0.13 g | 0.13 g | 0.13 g | -   | -   | -   |
| Glucose                     | -   | -   | -   | 0.13 | 0.13 g | 0.13 g |
| (E)-2-Nonenal               | 12.5 µL | 12.5 µL | 12.5 µL | 12.5 µL | -   | -   |

a Reaction in phosphate buffer (0.2 mol/L; pH 6.00) at 130 °C (90 min).
2.3. SPME (Solid Phase Microextraction)/GC-MS Analysis

All samples were analyzed three times with solid-phase microextraction fiber and assayed with a gas chromatography–mass spectrometer (SPME/GC-MS) and a carboxen/polydimethylsiloxane fiber. A 6 mL solution with 4 µL of a 1,2-dichlorobenzene methanol solution (100 mg/L) used as an internal standard was added into a 15 mL amber vial closed by a PTFE/silicone septum.

Analysis of volatile compounds was performed on a GC-MS using an Agilent 5975C mass selective detector coupled with an Agilent 7890A GC (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA), equipped with a DB-5MS capillary column (a 60 m × 0.25 mm inner diameter and a 0.25 µm film thickness). Helium was used as a carrier gas, and the flow rate was 0.8 mL/min. Subsequent headspace equilibration occurred over a period of 20 min at 60 °C, and the SPME fiber was exposed in the headspace for 30 min. GC oven temperature was programmed at 40 °C (held for 3 min) and increased to 240 °C at 4 °C/min (held for 2 min). The MS conditions were as follows: the transfer line temperature was 280 °C; the ion source temperature was 230 °C; ionization energy was 70 eV, and mass range was 40–400 a.m.u. Desorption was in splitless mode at 250 °C for 5 min.

2.4. Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis of the Volatile Compounds

The volatile compounds were identified by comparing retention indices and retention times with those obtained for authentic standards or with mass spectra in the NIST 05 and Wiley 08 Database (Hewlett-Packard, Palo Alto, CA, USA). The retention indices (RIs) of unknown compounds were determined via sample injection with a homologous series of straight-chain alkanes (C6–C30) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA).

Approximate quantities of the volatile compounds were estimated by comparison of their peak areas with that of the 1,2-dichlorobenzene internal standard, obtained from the total ion chromatograms, assuming that the relative response factor was 1 and the recovery ratio was 100%. The quantitative formula was as follows:

\[ W_i = f' \cdot \frac{A_i \cdot m_s}{A_s} / m \]  

where \( A_i \) is the peak area of compound i, \( A_s \) is the peak area of the internal standard, \( m_s \) is the mass of the internal standard, \( m \) is the mass of the sample, \( f' \) is the relative correction factor, assumed to be 1, and \( W_i \) is the concentration (µg/g) of compound i.

2.5. Data Analysis

Data from the GC-MS analysis was evaluated by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS 21.0.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Volatile Compounds from Different Model Systems by GC-MS Analysis

The volatiles identified in the different model reactions are presented in Table 2. The results are mean values of three replicated experiments. The reaction between [13C6]glucose and (E)-2-nonenal (System A) resulted in the identification of 110 compounds. The main volatile compounds included 16 aldehydes, eight alcohols, seven furans, seven carboxylic acids, and others. The results showed that a high amount of (E)-2-nonenal was detected in the system, due to the fact that (E)-2-nonenal was not fully reacted in the reaction, which had arrived at the relatively high amount of 205.718 µg/g. The thermal reaction of (E)-2-nonenal produced degradation products such as hexanal, heptanal, octanal, and (E)-2-hexenal, as well as alcohols, ketones, acids, and esters. Therefore, the amounts of aldehydes, esters, acids, and alcohols of System A were much higher than those of Systems B and C, respectively. For example, the concentration of 2-nonenolic acid remained at 205.718 µg/g in System A, but was 0.066 µg/g in System B and 0.648 µg/g in System C. According to earlier research,
(E)-2-nonenal can be converted to oxidized derivatives, such as 2-nonenolic acid, rapidly during malting in beer, even without amino acids [22]. In addition, because of the absence of amino acids, there were no sulfur-containing compounds or nitrogen-containing compounds detected in System A.

In the reaction between \[^{13}\text{C}_6\]glucose and cysteine (System B), a total of 105 compounds were identified, including two aldehydes, two alcohols, six carboxylic acids, two furans, nine thiophenes, eight thiazoles, one imidazole, one pyrrole, and three thiols. These compounds correspond to many reports of reactions between cysteine and sugar in Maillard reactions [23]. Thiophene is an important sulfur-containing compound formed in Maillard reactions with cysteine, and it is a significant component in meat flavor [24]. Among the nine detected thiophenes, 4-butyl thiophene (0.078 µg/g) is attributed with a “floral, fruity, and milky” aroma, and dihydro-2-methyl-3(2H)-thiophenone (0.083 µg/g) emits a “sulfuric, fruity, and berry” note [25]. Meanwhile, two kinds of thiolethanethiol and 2-thiophene thiol, important meat flavor compounds, have been detected [26]. It was found that the content of 2-thiophene thiol decreased to 0.80 µg/g when the reaction was supplemented with (E)-2-nonenal in System C; in System B, the content was 1.386 µg/g.

In System C, with \[^{13}\text{C}_6\]glucose, cysteine, and (E)-2-nonenal, 78 compounds were detected, including four aldehydes, six alcohols, six acids, three furans, six thiophenes, two thiazoles, one pyrrole, two pyridines, and three thiols. Due to the addition of (E)-2-nonenal in this system, 43 kinds of compounds were newly detected. Many researchers have reported that, when unsaturated aldehydes are added to a glucose and cysteine system, the types of volatile compounds change significantly, and the number of sulfur and nitrogen compounds are significantly reduced or even undetectable [27]. This might be because the aldehydes were added to the Maillard reaction to inhibit the formation of sulfur and nitrogen compounds in the reaction and to provide some small molecular weight carbonyl compounds so as to interact with and improve the odor of meat. It also can be seen in Table 2 that the addition of (E)-2-nonenal caused the disappearance of thiophenes such as dihydro-2-methyl-3(2H)-thiophenone, 2-butyl-thiophene, and thiophen. At the same time, new thiophene compounds, such as 2-pentylthiophene (0.779 µg/g), 3-hexylthiophene (0.098 µg/g), and 3-methyl-2-thiophencarboxaldehyde (0.341 µg/g), were produced. Among them, 2-pentylthiophene has an odor of fatty attribute, and 3-methyl-2-thiophencarboxaldehyde has an odor of camphorous saffron. There are two kinds of pyridine compounds, of which 4-pentylpyridine (0.177 µg/g) has a fatty, green, and tallowy aroma and is an important contributor to meat flavor, which is why fat needs to be added to the preparation of meat flavors.
Table 2. Volatile compounds identified in model systems.

| RI 1 | Identification 2 | Odors | Quantity (µg/g) | System A | System B | System C |
|------|------------------|-------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|
|      |                  |       |                 | System A | System B | System C |
|      |                  |       |                 |          |          |          |
|      |                  |       |                 |          |          |          |
|      |                  |       |                 |          |          |          |
|      |                  |       |                 |          |          |          |
| Aldehydes |                  |       |                 |          |          |          |
| Pentanal <800 | A,B,C | fruity, fermented, bready | 0.232 ± 0.013 a | ND | ND |
| Hexanal <800 | A,B,C | fatty, green, grassy | ND | 0.849 ± 0.015 a | ND | ND |
| (E)-2-Hexenal 867 | A,B,C | green, banana, cheesy | 20.986 ± 0.031 a | ND | ND |
| (E,E)-2,4-Hexadienal 886 | A,B | sweet, green, waxy | 1.268 ± 0.05 a | ND | ND |
| Heptanal 901 | A,B,C | fatty, green, fresh aldehydic | 7.166 ± 0.097 b | ND | 0.465 ± 0.016 a | ND |
| (E)-2-Heptenol 949 | A,B,C | green, fatty | 0.556 ± 0.017 a | ND | ND |
| Benzaldehyde 968 | A,B,C | bitter, oily, sweety | 0.431 ± 0.015 a | ND | ND |
| Octanal 1005 | A,B,C | aldehydic, citrusy | 8.632 ± 0.069 b | 0.079 ± 0.002 a | 0.133 ± 0.006 a | ND |
| (E)-2-Octenal 1081 | A,B,C | fatty, green, waxy, cucumber | 2.512 ± 0.094 a | ND | ND |
| Nonanal 1104 | A,B,C | fatty, rose, aldehydic | ND | 0.088 ± 0.001 a | 0.319 ± 0.041 b | ND |
| (E)-2-Nonenal 1150 | A,B,C | ND | ND |
| 2,4-Nonadienal 1176 | A,B | fatty, green cucumber | 290.887 ± 0.044 b | ND | 0.556 ± 0.057 a | ND |
| (E,E)-2,6-Nonadienal 1266 | A,B | fatty, green cucumber | 0.573 ± 0.018 a | ND | ND |
| (E,E)-2,4-Decadienal 1275 | A,B,C | oily, cucumber, melon | 39.763 ± 0.036 a | ND | ND |
| 5-Hexyl-2-Furaldehyde 1430 | A,B | ND | ND |
| 4-Pentyl-Benzaldehyde 1448 | A,B | ND | ND |
| 2-Pentyl-2-Nonenal 1463 | A,B,C | fatty, green, waxy, cucumber | ND | ND |
| Alcohols | 2,3-Butanediol <800 | A,B | - | ND | ND | 0.184 ± 0.02 a | ND |
| 2-Butanol <800 | A,B | sweet, apricot | ND | ND | 0.228 ± 0.034 a | ND |
| 1-Pentanol <800 | A,B,C | fusel, oil, sweet | 0.22 ± 0.013 a | ND | ND | ND |
| 1-Hexanol 842 | A,B,C | - | ND | 0.379 ± 0.013 a | ND | ND |
| 1-Heptanol 965 | A,B,C | musty, leafy, violet | ND | ND | 0.042 ± 0.001 a | ND |
| 1-Octen-3-ol 991 | A,B,C | mushroom, oily, green | 1.007 ± 0.01 a | ND | ND | ND |
| 2-Ethyl-1-Hexanol 1049 | A,B | - | ND | ND | 0.077 ± 0.002 a | ND |
| 5-Methyl-6-Hepten-1-ol 1047 | A,B | - | ND | 1.569 ± 0.043 a | ND | ND |
| 1-Octanol 1049 | A,B,C | mushroom, rose, green | ND | 0.634 ± 0.028 a | ND | ND |
| (Z)-2-Penten-1-ol 1094 | A,B | green, plastic, fruity | 0.224 ± 0.013 a | ND | ND | ND |
| (E)-3-Nonen-1-ol 1125 | A,B | - | ND | ND | 0.136 ± 0.006 a | ND |
| 1-Butyl-Cyclohexanol A,B | - | - | ND | ND | 0.175 ± 0.004 a | ND |
| 1-Methylecycloheptanol 1396 | A,B | - | ND | ND | 0.103 ± 0.004 a | ND |
| 9-Decen-2-ol 1525 | A,B | - | ND | 0.565 ± 0.038 a | ND | ND |
| 4-Cyclohexylidene-n-butanol 1721 | A,B | - | ND | 0.153 ± 0.011 a | ND | ND |
### Table 2. Cont.

| RI  | Identification  | Odors | Quantity (µg/g) |
|-----|-----------------|-------|-----------------|
|     |                 |       | System A | System B | System C |
| 5,7-Undecadienol | 1826 | A,B | - | 0.23 ± 0.016 a | ND | ND |
| **Acids** | | | | | |
| 2-Propenoic acid | <800 | A,B | - | ND | ND | 0.091 ± 0.002 a |
| 3-Methyl-Butanoic acid | 825 | A,B | - | 0.463 ± 0.019 a | ND | ND |
| 2-Methyl-2-Butenoic acid | 883 | A,B | - | ND | 0.364 ± 0.029 a | ND |
| Heptanoic acid | 1078 | A,B,C | sour, cheesy | 6.72 ± 0.024 b | ND | 1.133 ± 0.012 a |
| 2-Ethyl-Hexanoic acid | 1096 | A,B | - | ND | 0.077 ± 0.002 a | ND |
| Octanoic Acid | 1160 | A,B,C | - | 18.745 ± 0.034 c | 0.081 ± 0.002 a | 1.909 ± 0.021 b |
| 2-Octenoic acid | 1204 | A,B,C | - | 0.291 ± 0.046 a | ND | ND |
| 2-Methyl-Hexanoic acid | 1256 | A,B,C | - | ND | 1.904 ± 0.048 b | 0.611 ± 0.037 a |
| Nonanoic acid | 1310 | A,B | - | 205.718 ± 0.018 c | 0.066 ± 0.002 a | 0.648 ± 0.019 b |
| 2-Methyl-Hexanoic acid | 1355 | A,B | - | ND | 0.087 ± 0.001 a | ND |
| n-Decanoic acid | 1534 | A,B | - | 0.423 ± 0.015 a | ND | ND |
| **Furans** | | | | | |
| 2-Furanmethanol | 873 | A,B | burnt, sweet, caramel | 1.881 ± 0.013 a | ND | ND |
| 2-Pentyl-Furan | 985 | A,B,C | green, fruity, fatty | 1.211 ± 0.024 | ND | 1.31 ± 0.021 |
| 2-Butyltetrahydro-Furan | 1001 | A,B | - | ND | 0.076 ± 0.003 a | ND |
| (E)-2-(1-pentenyl)-Furan | 1060 | A,B | phenolic coffee grounds | 0.235 ± 0.018 a | ND | ND |
| 5-Hexylidihydro-4-methyl-2(3H)-furanone | 1167 | A,B | fruity, floral, lactonic, green | ND | ND | 0.347 ± 0.029 a |
| 2-Hexanoylfuran | 1283 | A,B | sweet, green, beany | 0.258 ± 0.01 a | ND | ND |
| 5-Butyldihydro-2(3H)-furanone | 1218 | A,B | - | ND | 0.086 ± 0.001 a | ND |
| 4-Methylbenzofurazan | 1393 | A,B | - | ND | 0.093 ± 0.006 a | ND |
| 5-Ethylidihydro-5-methyl-2(3H)-Furanone | 1426 | A,B | - | 0.274 ± 0.026 a | ND | ND |
| 5-Thiophene | 1534 | A,B | - | 1.056 ± 0.033 a | ND | ND |
| **Thiophenes** | | | | | |
| Thiophene | <800 | A,B,C | burnt garlic | ND | 0.076 ± 0.003 a | ND |
| Dihydro-2(3H)-thiophenone | 952 | A,B | - | ND | 0.082 ± 0.002 | 0.086 ± 0.003 |
| Dihydro-2-methyl-3(2H)-Thiophenone | 990 | A,B | sulfur, fruity, berry | ND | 0.083 ± 0.002 a | ND |
| 5-Methyl-2(5H)-thiophenone | 1024 | A,B | - | ND | 0.081 ± 0.002 a | ND |
| 2-Butyl-Thiophene | 1045 | A,B | fruity, floral, milky | ND | 0.078 ± 0.002 a | ND |
| 2-Penty1-Thiophene | 1160 | A,B | fruity, fatty, cranberry | ND | ND | 0.779 ± 0.012 a |
| 2(5H)-Thiophenone | 1165 | A,B | - | ND | 0.083 ± 0.002 a | ND |
| Thieno[3,2-b]thiophene | 1192 | A,B | - | ND | 0.316 ± 0.021 a | ND |
| Identification                  | Odors                                      | Quantity (µg/g) | System A | System B | System C |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|
| **3-Hexylthiophene**            |                                            |                 | ND       | ND       | 0.098 ± 0.005 a |
| 2,2'-Bithiophene                | dry, phenolic                              | ND              | 3.415 ± 0.146 a | 10.734 ± 0.06 b |
| 1-(2-thiényl)-1-Heptanone       |                                            | ND              | ND       | 0.064 ± 0.001 a |
| 5-(2-thiényl)-2-Acetylthiophene |                                            | ND              | 0.076 ± 0.002 a | ND       |
| 3-Methyl-2-thiophenecarboxaldehyde | saffron (camphoreous)                     | ND              | ND       | 0.341 ± 0.001 a |
| **Pyridines**                   |                                            |                 |          |          |          |
| 3-(2-Pyridyl)-1-propanol        |                                            | ND              | ND       | 0.115 ± 0.003 a |
| 4-pentyl-Pyridine               | fatty, tallowy, green                      | ND              | ND       | 0.177 ± 0.005 a |
| **Thiazoles**                   |                                            |                 |          |          |          |
| 5-methyl-Thiazole               |                                            | ND              | 0.077 ± 0.003 a | ND       |
| Tetrahydro-Thiazole             |                                            | ND              | ND       | 0.103 ± 0.004 a |
| 1,3,4-Thiadiazol-2-amine        |                                            | ND              | 0.078 ± 0.003 a | ND       |
| 1-Methyl-5-mercaptotetrazole    |                                            | ND              | 0.122 ± 0.003 a | ND       |
| Aminothiazole                   |                                            | ND              | 0.173 ± 0.013 | 0.126 ± 0.001 |
| 4-methyl-2(3H)-Thiazolethione   |                                            | ND              | 0.075 ± 0.002 a | ND       |
| 2-(2-methylpropyl)-thiazole     |                                            | ND              | ND       | 0.086 ± 0.004 a |
| 2-Isobutylthiazole              | green, leafy, tomatoes                     | ND              | 0.117 ± 0.005 a | ND       |
| 2-ethyl-2-methyl-Thiazolidine   |                                            | ND              | 0.078 ± 0.003 a | ND       |
| **Imidazoles**                  |                                            |                 |          |          |          |
| 1-Imidazol-1-yl-3-methylbut-2-en-1-one |                                            | ND              | ND       | 0.106 ± 0.004 a |
| 2,5-dimethyl-1H-Benzimidazole   |                                            | ND              | 0.758 ± 0.013 a | ND       |
| **Pyroles**                     |                                            |                 |          |          |          |
| 1,5-dihydro-4-methoxy-2H-Pyrrol-2-one |                                            | ND              | 0.079 ± 0.002 a | ND       |
| n-butryloyloxy-2,5-Pyrrolidione  |                                            | ND              | ND       | 0.703 ± 0.03 a |
| **Thiols**                      |                                            |                 |          |          |          |
| 2-Thiophenethiol                | burnt, caramel, roasted coffee             | ND              | 1.386 ± 0.042 | 0.926 ± 0.117 |
| Ethenethiol                     |                                            | ND              | 0.146 ± 0.006 a | ND       |
| 1,2-Ethenedithiol               |                                            | ND              | 0.079 ± 0.002 a | 0.222 ± 0.015 b |
| 3-Methyl-4-amino-1,2,4-triazole-5-thiol |                                            | ND              | ND       | 0.086 ± 0.003 a |

1: RI, identified by retention indices (RI); 2: Method of identification: (A) mass spectrum comparison using NIST 08 and Wiley library; (B) mass spectrum and RI according to literature [16–21]; (C) mass spectrum and RI agree with that of the authentic compound run under the same conditions of the samples; ND: not detected in GC-MS; "-": no volatile flavors; * The values in the same line followed by different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05).
3.2. The Origin of Carbons in Meat-Flavor Compounds from Different Models

Thermal reactions were carried out in 20 mL Maillard vials at 130 °C for 90 min and at a pH of 6.0. Due to the steam pressure at the reaction temperature, the customized, high-pressure-resistant, Maillard vials were used to avoid a possible breakage of glass. The quality of the vials was observed before and after the reaction, and no leakages were detected. The reaction was repeated with unlabeled glucose as control, and both reaction products were analyzed by SPME/GC-MS. Mass spectra of selected sulfur-containing and nitrogen-containing volatiles were analyzed.

3.2.1. Reaction between [13C₆]Glucose and (E)-2-Nonenal (System A)

Table 3 shows the isotope ratios of 2-pentylfuran and (E)-2-nonenal based on the molecular ions as generated by electron impact. A comparison of the isotope ratios of 2-pentylfuran revealed that the same isotopomer pattern occurred whether or not glucose (91.2%) or [13C₆]glucose (89.1%) was used in the reaction. It can be seen in Table 4 that the ratio between unlabeled and fully labeled 2-pentylfuran was 89.2:10.8, indicating that either glucose or [13C₆]glucose reacted with (E)-2-nonenal; a large proportion of 2-pentylfuran formed bears carbons from an unlabeled compound. The analysis of (E)-2-nonenal from the reaction in the presence of [13C₆]glucose showed similar results. According to mass spectra, the main molecular ion isotopomers corresponded both to the unlabeled and the fully labeled compound (m/z 141). It shows that (E)-2-nonenal appears to mainly originate from its own addition.

| 2-Pentylfuran | (E)-2-Nonenal |
|---------------|--------------|
| m/z Glu [13C₆]Glu m/z Glu [13C₆]Glu | m/z Glu [13C₆]Glu m/z Glu [13C₆]Glu |
| 138 91.2 89.1 140 18.0 26.1 | 140 89.8 82.6 |
| 139 5.0 9.9 141 72.2 65.9 | 141 72.2 65.9 |
| 140 0.0 1.0 142 9.8 8.1 | 142 9.8 8.1 |
| 141 0.8 0.0 143 0.0 0.0 | 143 0.0 0.0 |
| 142 0.9 0.0 144 0.0 0.0 | 144 0.0 0.0 |
| 143 2.1 0.0 145 0.0 0.0 | 145 0.0 0.0 |
| 144 0.0 0.0 146 0.0 0.0 | 146 0.0 0.0 |

Table 4. Proportion of isotopomers formed from [13C₆]glucose and (E)-2-nonenal.

| No. | Compound a | m/z (M+) | m/z (Analyzed Ions) | Unlabeled Carbon Atoms b (%) | Labeled Carbon Atoms b (%) | Number of Labeled Carbon Atoms |
|-----|------------|----------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1   | 2-Furanmethanol | 98       | 98;99               | >90                        | <10                       | 1                             |
| 2   | (E)-2-(1-pentenyl)-Furan | 136      | 136;137            | >89                        | <10                       | 1                             |
| 3   | 2-Hexanoylfuran | 166      | 166;167;168;169;171;172 | 64                      | 11:4;7:4;8               | 1,2;3;5;6                     |
| 4   | (E)-2-Nonenal | 140      | 140;141;142        | 26                        | 66;8                     | 1                             |
| 5   | 2-Pentylfuran | 138      | 138;139            | 89                        | 11;1                     | 1                             |

Table 4 gives an overview of the extent to which the C-skeleton of the selected volatiles stems from glucose (labeled carbon atoms) or from the degradation of (E)-2-nonenal (unlabeled carbon atoms). The compounds 2-furanmethanol, (E)-2-(1-pentenyl)-furan, and 2-pentylfuran were obviously derived from (E)-2-nonenal degradation because the unlabeled carbon atoms account for >90, >89, and 89%, respectively. It is also known that 2-pentylfuran belonging to the key odorants of the thermal Maillard reaction is a typical oxidation compound from linoleic acid and emits a “fruity and caramel malt” note. The odor activity values of 2-pentylfuran ranged from 201.8 in System A to 218.3 in System C. Because 2-pentylfuran has a relatively low threshold (0.006 µg/g), it could potentially play a crucial role...
in the aroma of Maillard reactions. It has been reported that the first hydroxylation at the allylic position and then cyclization could transform α,β-unsaturated aldehydes into 2-alkylfurans [1]. Both (E)-2-nonenal and glucose participated in the formation of 2-hexanoylfuran and (E)-2-nonenal, since a fraction of the carbon atoms was fully $^{13}$C$_6$-labeled. 2-Hexanoylfuran represented a mixture of six isotomers with a molecular mass of m/z 166 (64%), m/z 167 (11%), m/z 168 (4%), m/z 169 (7%), m/z 171 (4%), and m/z 172 (8%). It had just one unlabeled carbon left in the single incompletely labeled isotomer. Thus, they were probably constructed by the incorporation of formaldehyde or acetaldehyde/mercaptoacetaldehyde, which came from the degradation of E-2-nonenal into an intact C-5 skeleton of glucose. The different isotomers formally resulted from the possible combination of [${^{13}}$C$_6$]glucose with (E)-2-nonenal, adding up to unlabeled, mostly 12.5% labeled 2-hexanoylfuran. (E)-2-Nonenal represented a mixture of three isotomers with a molecular mass of m/z 140 (26%), m/z 141 (66%), and m/z 142 (8%). However, according to the result, (E)-2-nonenal was likely derived from the degradation of [${^{13}}$C$_6$]glucose. Based on the above analysis, (E)-2-nonenal might have stemmed not only from the degradation of [${^{13}}$C$_6$]glucose but also from its own residue.

3.2.2. Reaction between [${^{13}}$C$_6$]Glucose and Cysteine (System B)

According to the literature, the sulfur-containing compounds ethanethiol, 5-methyl-2(5H)-thiophenone, 2-butyl-thiophene, and 1-methyl-5-mercaptotetrazole belong to the products of a heated solution of cysteine and sugar [28]. In the presence of cysteine, the detected furan derivatives declined significantly compared with System A. For example, 2-pentylfuran, described as a glucose/cysteine degradation product in the literature, was not detected in System B, possibly due to its low concentration and headspace analytical conditions [29]. From the five volatiles investigated in the reaction with labeled glucose in Table 5, compounds ethanethiol (>92%) and 1-methyl-5-mercaptotetrazole (>93%) were unlabeled; hence, the respective carbon atoms originated from cysteine. It was illustrated that 5-methyl-2(5H)-thiophenone was either unlabeled (42%, m/z 114) or fully labeled (34%, m/z 115; 12%, m/z 116; 7%, m/z 117). Potentially two different formation pathways exist with the integration of [${^{13}}$C$_6$]glucose and cysteine carbons, respectively. These have been identified earlier as a cysteine degradation product and a reaction product from cysteine and glucose [30]. Instead, the carbon atoms of 2-butyl-thiophene and 5-butyldihydro-2(3H)-furanone mainly originated from the labeled compound. In accordance with previous reports, the 5-butyldihydro-2(3H)-furanone (No.7) and 2-butylthiophene (No.8) could result from the glucose-derived 3-deoxypentosone [31].

| No. | Compound a | m/z (M+) | m/z (Analyzed Ions) | Unlabeled Carbon Atoms b (%) | Labeled Carbon Atoms b (%) | Number of Labeled Carbon Atoms |
|-----|------------|----------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 6   | Ethanethiol | 62       | 62:64               | >92                        | <5                        | 2                             |
| 7   | 5-Methyl-2(5H)-Thiophenone | 114 | 114;115;116;117 | 42                          | 34;12.7                  | 1,2,3                         |
| 8   | 2-Butyl-Thiophene | 139 | 139;140;141;142;143;144;145 | 19                          | 13;16;12;12;14;13        | 1,2,3;4,5,6                   |
| 9   | 1-Methyl-5-Mercaptotetrazole | 116 | 116;117;118       | >93                        | <5                        | 1                             |
| 10  | 5-Butyldihydro-2(3H)-Furanone | 142 | 142;143;144;145;146;147;148 | 7                          | 67;29;20;15;16            | 1,2,3;4,5,6                   |

a Compounds were identified in the corresponding reaction between [${^{13}}$C$_6$]glucose and cysteine by comparing the mass spectra and retention indices with those of authentic reference compounds, if not indicated otherwise;
b Values represent the proportion between labeled and the indicated unlabeled isotopomers and are based on the abundance of the respective analyzed ion signals.

3.2.3. Reaction between [${^{13}}$C$_6$]Glucose, Cysteine and (E)-2-Nonenal (System C)

It can be seen in Table 6 that the four volatiles, which were investigated in the reaction with labeled glucose, were either unlabeled or fully labeled. Many different formation pathways probably exist with the integration of [${^{13}}$C$_6$]glucose, cysteine, and (E)-2-nonenal. Table 7 shows the isotope ratios of 2-pentylthiophene, based on the molecular ions as generated by electron impact. A comparison of the isotope ratios of 2-pentylthiophene reveals that the proportion of reacted products formed with [${^{13}}$C$_6$]glucose was equal to that with unlabeled glucose. In the reaction of unlabeled glucose, the mass
of 2-pentylthiophene was m/z 154, accounting for 84.9%; similarly, in the reaction of [13C6]glucose, the proportion of 2-pentylthiophene was 86.7%. In Table 4, the formation of 2-pentylthiophene from the cysteine and α,β-unsaturated aldehydes is shown. Thiophene compounds are mainly produced by reactions of hydrogen sulfide and carbonyl compounds; in these reactions, 2-alkyl thiophene may be produced by hydrogen sulfide reacting with α,β-unsaturated aldehydes [32].

Table 6. Proportion of isotopomers formed from [13C6]glucose, cysteine, and (E)-2-nonenal.

| No. | Compound a | m/z (M+) | m/z (Analyzed Ions) | Unlabeled Carbon Atoms b (%) | Labeled Carbon Atoms b (%) | Number of Labeled Carbon Atoms |
|-----|------------|----------|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 11  | 4-Pentyl-Pyridine | 149      | 149;150             | >71                           | <26                        | 1                              |
| 12  | 2-Pentyl-Thiophene | 154      | 154;155;156         | 87                           | 9.4                        | 1.2                            |
| 13  | 2-Mercaptopropanoic acid | 106     | 106;107;108         | >89                          | 4.4                        | 1.2                            |
| 5   | 2-Pentylfurran  | 138      | 138;139             | 91                           | 9                          | 1                              |

a Compounds were identified in the corresponding reaction between [13C6]glucose, cysteine, and (E)-2-nonenal by comparing the mass spectra and retention indices with those of authentic reference compounds, if not indicated otherwise; b Values represent the proportion between labeled and the indicated unlabeled isotopomers and are based on the abundance of the respective analyzed ion signals.

Table 7. Isotope ratios (%) of selected sulfur volatiles from the reaction of [13C6]glucose, cysteine, and (E)-2-nonenal.

| 2-Pentyl-Thiophene | m/z Glu | [13C6]Glu |
|--------------------|---------|-----------|
| 154                | 84.9    | 86.7      |
| 155                | 7.7     | 8.6       |
| 156                | 6.1     | 4.2       |
| 157                | 1.0     | 0.4       |
| 158                | 0.1     | 0.1       |
| 159                | 0.1     | 0.1       |
| 160                | 0.1     | 0.00      |

The 2-pentylfuran was mainly unlabeled (91%, m/z 138), and the isotope ratio was about 2% higher than that in System A. Meanwhile, the level of 2-pentylfuran was even higher in the [13C6]glucose, cysteine, and (E)-2-nonenal reaction mixture than in the [13C6]glucose and (E)-2-nonenal system. It illustrates that cysteine could promote the formation of 2-pentylfuran.

4. Conclusions

In this study, the key meat flavor compounds produced from the model Maillard reaction were successfully analyzed using a carbon module labeling technique. The results showed that, in the system of [13C6]glucose and (E)-2-nonenal, the main products of (E)-2-nonenal, 2-nonenic acid, and 2-pentylfuran were 13C6-labeled and were equally important for the formation of 2-pentylfuran, whether cysteine was present in the reaction or not. In the system of [13C6]glucose and cysteine and/or (E)-2-nonenal, the volatile compounds were significantly different when (E)-2-nonenal was added, and the detected sulfur and nitrogen compounds were significantly reduced in number or even undetectable. It was also found that the 2-furanmethanol, 2-hexanoylfuran, ethanethiol, (E)-2-(1-pentenyl)-furan, 5-methyl-2(5H)-thiophenone, 4-pentyl-pyridine, 1-methyl-5-mercaptopentazole, 2-mercaptopropanoic acid, and 2-pentyl-thiophene were virtually 13C1–13C4 labeled, which suggests their origins. In addition, the resulting 5-butylidihydro-2(3H)-furanone and 2-butyl-thiophene were 13C6-labeled and hence stemmed from glucose. It is evident from this study that the relative contribution of lipid oxidation products to the C-skeleton of a particular aroma compound changed substantially when both glucose and cysteine were involved in the system.

The use of 13C6-labeled glucose explained the extent of the fragmentation of the sugar skeleton. This study could be helpful for investigating the role of aldehydes as precursors in the generation of meat flavor compounds.
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