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Abstract

Background: Dental caries are largely preventable, and epidemiological evidence for a relationship between diet and oral health is abundant. To date, however, dentists’ perceptions about the role of diet and dentists’ practice patterns regarding diet counseling have not been clarified.

Objective: The purposes of this study were to: (1) examine discordance between dentists’ perception of the importance of diet in caries treatment planning and their actual provision of diet counseling to patients, and (2) identify dentists’ characteristics associated with their provision of diet counseling.

Design: The study used a cross-sectional study design consisting of a questionnaire survey in Japan.

Participants: The study queried dentists working in outpatient dental practices who were affiliated with the Dental Practice-Based Research Network Japan (JDPBRN), which aims to allow dentists to investigate research questions and share experiences and expertise (n = 282).

Measurement: Dentists were asked about their perceptions on the importance of diet and their practice patterns regarding diet counseling, as well as patient, practice, and dentist background data.

Results: The majority of participants (n = 116, 63%) recognized that diet is “more important” to oral health. However, among participants who think diet is “more important” (n = 116), only 48% (n = 56) provide diet counseling to more than 20% of their patients. Multiple logistic regression analysis suggested that several variables were associated with providing diet counseling; dentist gender, practice busyness, percentage of patients interested in caries prevention, caries risk assessment, and percentage of patients who receive blood pressure screening.

Conclusions: Some discordance exists between dentists’ perception of the importance of diet in caries treatment planning and their actual practice pattern regarding diet counseling to patients. Reducing this discordance may require additional dentist education, including nutritional and systemic disease concepts; patient education to increase perception of the importance of caries prevention; or removing barriers to practices’ implementation of counseling.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01680848.

Introduction

Dental caries affect 60% to 90% of school children and almost 100% of adults, and is the most common chronic disease affecting children and adolescents [1,2]. Oral health is essential to general health and quality of life, and the high prevalence of this disease highlights the importance of public health approaches to the prevention of dental caries [2].

Dental caries is largely preventable, and epidemiological evidence showing a relationship between diet and oral health is
Study Design

Materials and Methods

Dentists’ Dietary Perceptions and Practice Patterns of Diet Counseling

Statistical Analysis

Factors affecting the decision to provide diet counseling. Descriptive analysis was conducted via univariate regression analysis for explanatory variables associated with dentists’ practice patterns of diet counseling. Subsequently, multiple logistic regression analysis was conducted to examine the relationship between explanatory variables and prevalence of patients receiving diet counseling. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. All statistical analyses were performed with the STATA/SE® (version 10; STATA Corporation, College Station, TX, USA). Statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

Results

Demographic Information of Participants

Questionnaires were distributed to 282 dentists, and valid responses were collected from 189 (67%). Demographic characteristics of study participants are shown in Table 1 [16]. The mean
number of years (± standard deviation) elapsed since graduation from dental school was 18.5 ± 9.9, and participants were predominantly male (n = 154, 82%). With regard to practice setting, 40% (n = 76) of practices were established in government ordinance-designated cities of over 700,000. The percentage of dentists who perform caries risk assessment as a routine part of treatment planning was 26% (n = 49).

### Dentists’ Dietary Perceptions and Practice Patterns of Diet Counseling

One hundred and sixteen participants (63%) answered that they value diet as a “more important” aspect when they decide on a treatment plan (Table 2). The percentage of patients who would receive diet counseling is shown in Figure 1. The mean percentage of patients who would receive diet counseling was 21% (Table 1). Seventy-three participants (40%) gave diet counseling to more than 20% of patients (Table 2).

Table 2 shows the relationship between participants’ dietary perceptions and practice patterns regarding diet counseling.

**Factors Affecting the Decision to Perform Diet Counseling**

The results of multiple logistic regression analysis are shown in Table 3. Five factors were significantly associated with the intent to provide diet counseling. The odds ratios (95% CI) were: gender, 2.76 (1.04–7.34); practice busyness, 0.21 (0.04–0.97); percentage of patients interested in caries prevention, 1.98 (1.31–3.01); doing caries risk assessment, 2.59 (1.02–6.39); and percentage of patients getting blood pressure screening, 1.24 (1.03–1.49). The results were similar when an analysis used an outcome cut-off of 15% (median) instead of 20% (mean).

### Table 1. Participant characteristics [16].

| Number (%) or Mean±SD |
|------------------------|
| **Dentist’s Individual Characteristics** |
| Years since graduation from dental school (year)* (n = 185) | 18.5±9.9 |
| Gender (male), n(%) (n = 187) | 154 (82) |
| **Practice Setting** |
| Type of practice, n (%) (n = 182) |
| Employed by another dentist | 77 (41) |
| Self-employed without partners and without sharing of income, costs, or office space | 105 (56) |
| Practice busyness, n (%) (n = 181) |
| Too busy to treat all people requesting appointments | 19 (11) |
| Provided care to all, but the practice was overburdened | 72 (40) |
| Provided care to all, but the practice was not overburdened | 59 (33) |
| Not busy enough | 31 (17) |
| City population (government ordinance-designated city), n(%) (n = 189) | 76 (40) |
| **Patients’ Characteristics** |
| Percentage of patients interested in caries prevention, n(%) (n = 189) |
| 0% (none) | 16 (8) |
| 1–24% | 80 (42) |
| 25–49% | 38 (20) |
| 50–74% | 46 (24) |
| 75–99% | 8 (4) |
| 100% | 1 (1) |
| Patient age distribution* |
| 1–18 years old (%) (n = 183) | 16.1±13.2 |
| 19–44 years old (%) (n = 188) | 24.8±11.0 |
| 45–64 years old (%) (n = 183) | 30.4±11.2 |
| 65+ years (%) (n = 183) | 28.5±17.4 |
| Percent of patients who self-pay (%)*(n = 183) | 8.6±16.6 |
| **Dental Procedure Characteristics** |
| Caries risk is assessed as a routine part of treatment planning, n(%) (n = 189) | 49 (26) |
| Percentage of patients who receive diet counseling (%)*(n = 183) | 21.4±27.2 |

*Mean±SD.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059615.t001
The majority of participants (n = 116, 63%) recognized that diet is “more important” when they decide on a caries treatment plan. However, less than half (n = 56, 48%) of these participants who indicated that diet is “more important” would give diet counseling to more than 20% of their patients. Results of multiple logistic regression analysis suggested that several variables were associated with dentists’ intent to give diet counseling. Specifically, dentist’s gender, practice busyness, percentage of patients interested in caries prevention, doing caries risk assessment, and percentage of patients receiving blood pressure screening, were all significantly associated with providing diet counseling.

The proportion of participants who place high value on the importance of diet for deciding a treatment plan (63%) was consistent with previous studies. According to the results of the same questionnaire survey by the US DPBRN, 67% of male and 72% of female dentists think patient’s diet is very or extremely important when they decide on a treatment plan [24]. Also, Kelly et al. noted that 66% of British dentists in their study responded that they believe that nutrition plays an important role in the maintenance of periodontal health [17]. These studies showed that over 60% of dentists in the US, UK, and Japan recognized the importance of diet.

However, only about 20% of patients would receive diet counseling in this study [16]. This low rate of dietary counseling practice patterns in dental clinics is consistent with previous studies. Kelly et al. also showed that only 14% of dentists or other dental professionals provided dietary advice as a regular part of patient care [17]. Touger-Decker et al. pointed out that perceived needs for nutrition education in dental school were high, with most respondents indicating the need for graduates of dental school programs to know how and when to conduct a nutrition assessment [25]. These findings indicate that achieving an increase in practice patterns of administering diet counseling in dental settings depends on the provision of appropriate education for dentists.

Our study clarified that female dentists and dentists who routinely do caries risk assessment tend to give more diet counseling than males or those who do not routinely do caries risk assessment. Also, according to the results of the same questionnaire survey by the US DPBRN, female dentists showed a greater overall preventive orientation than male dentists [24]. In addition, our previous results showed that female dentists and dentists who conduct caries risk assessment tend not to surgically intervene in enamel carious lesions, which is considered best practice for non-cavitated caries confined to enamel [16]. These

| Table 2. Dentists’ dietary perception and practice of diet counseling* (n = 183). |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                | Less important, n (%) | More important, n (%) | Total, n (%) |
| % of patients receiving diet counseling <20% | 50 (75) | 60 (52) | 110 (60%) |
| % of patients receiving diet counseling ≥20% | 17 (25) | 56 (48) | 73 (40%) |

*p = 0.002.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059615.t002
results suggest that these factors are possibly related to overall preventive practices, including the provision of diet counseling.

Practice busyness was not a disincentive for participants’ decisions to give diet counseling. Our previous study clarified that practice busyness was not related to dental preventive practice, such as interproximal enamel surgical intervention thresholds [16]. This study shows that dentists who are not sufficiently busy did not tend to give more diet counseling than those who are busy. This result suggests that Japanese dentists do not decide whether or not to give diet counseling based on practice busyness.

Also, screening for high blood pressure (BP) was associated with administration of diet counseling. BP screening is particularly important for dentists to better manage patients with hypertension so that anxiety and pain can be avoided. More importantly BP should be carefully monitored and ideally be well under-control before the dentist begins certain dental treatments that have the potential to increase blood pressure [26]. In this study, 69 participants (38%) did not provide blood pressure screening to any patients. The safety and effectiveness of oral health care will be improved if more dentists provide blood pressure screening as a part of general preparation for the management of emergencies.

In addition, dentists’ perception of patient interest in preventive programs was associated with the provision of diet counseling in this study. Previous studies pointed out that patient willingness, liability, cost, and time were perceived barriers to the performance of medical screening in dental practice [27]. In the present study, over half of participants (51%, n = 96) thought that less than 25% of their patients were interested in preventive programs. However, a previous study of patient attitudes to preventive programs pointed out that the majority of patients were willing to have a dentist conduct screening for heart disease, high blood pressure, and diabetes [28]. Ongoing improvement in understanding of preventive care in dental practice requires education for both patients and dentists. Additionally, a better understanding of patients’ and dentists’ agreement on need for communication of preventive care could be further explored [29].

The main strength of this study was its relatively wide variety of participants, with respondents hailing from all over Japan. The age and gender distribution of this study sample was similar to the actual distribution of dentists in Japan [30], thereby enhancing the generalizability of the findings. However, the study results should be approached with caution. First, participants were not selected by random sampling, but rather by responding to the invitation to participate in the JDPBRN. Second, no objective standard for cut-off regarding an adequate prevalence of patients receiving diet counseling has been established, although we used prior planned off regarding an adequate prevalence of patients receiving diet counseling exist. Remedying this discrepancy requires additional dentist education, including nutritional and systemic disease concepts; patient education to increase perception of the importance of caries prevention; and programs that provide the opportunity to demonstrate the feasibility of delivering packaged diet counseling programs for implementation in dental clinical settings.

### Table 3. A multiple logistic regression of whether the dentist provides diet counseling on 20% or more of patients (n = 163).

| Variable                                      | Odds Ratio | 95% CI          | p value |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------|-----------------|---------|
| **Dentists’ Individual Characteristics**      |            |                 |         |
| Years since graduation from dental school    | 1.02       | 0.98-1.06       | 0.342   |
| Gender (reference: male)                      | 2.76       | 1.04-7.34       | 0.041   |
| **Practice Setting**                          |            |                 |         |
| Type of practice                              |            |                 |         |
| Employed by another dentist                   | 1.00       |                 |         |
| Self-employed without partners and without sharing of income, costs, or office space | 0.85       | 0.36-1.98       | 0.700   |
| Practice busyness                             |            |                 |         |
| Too busy to treat all people requesting appointments | 1.00       |                 |         |
| Provided care to all who requested appointments, but the practice was overburdened | 0.69       | 0.20-2.38       | 0.561   |
| Provided care to all who requested appointments, but the practice was not overburdened | 0.62       | 0.17-3.22       | 0.481   |
| Not busy enough- the practice could have treated more patients | 0.21       | 0.04-0.97       | 0.046   |
| City population (reference: non-government ordinance designated city) | 1.22       | 0.56-2.65       | 0.623   |
| **Patients’ Characteristics**                 |            |                 |         |
| Percentage of patients interested in caries prevention | 1.98       | 1.31-3.01       | 0.001   |
| Percentage of elderly patients (65 or older)  | 1.00       | 0.98-1.02       | 0.902   |
| Percentage of practice revenue or charges from self-pay | 1.00       | 0.97-1.02       | 0.914   |
| **Dental Procedure Characteristics**         |            |                 |         |
| Caries risk assessment is done as a routine part of treatment planning (reference: no) | 2.59       | 1.02-6.59       | 0.046   |
| Percentage of patients receiving blood pressure screening (every 25%) | 1.24       | 1.03-1.49       | 0.025   |

The outcome of interest (diet counseling) was coded 1 = provides diet counseling on 20% or more of the practice’s patients; 0 = does not. Hosmer-Lemshow goodness-of-fit, 0.91.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059615.t003

**Conclusion**

Our study confirmed that discrepancies between the high level of perception of diet importance and practice patterns in the provision of diet counseling exist. Remediating this discrepancy requires additional dentist education, including nutritional and systemic disease concepts; patient education to increase perception of the importance of caries prevention; and programs that provide the opportunity to demonstrate the feasibility of delivering packaged diet counseling programs for implementation in dental clinical settings.
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