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1. Introduction

Ovarian, fallopian tube, and primary peritoneal high grade serous carcinomas are morphologically similar, may derive from a common fallopian tube precursor, and given similar clinical behaviors are treated in the same manner. In the platinum-resistant setting, these high grade serous carcinomas (HGSC) are characterized by diminishing responses to additional lines of chemotherapy and a poor prognosis. This has placed a premium on the discovery and evaluation of new agents, particularly those targeting key growth and metastasis regulatory processes. Phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K) is well documented to play important roles in regulating cell growth, survival, and metabolism. Dysregulation of PI3K, its downstream effectors, and its negative regulators are commonly implicated in solid tumor pathogenesis in multiple tumor lineages. PI3K activates downstream kinases AKT and mTORC1, while being negatively regulated by PTEN. Overactivation of the PI3K/AKT pathway can occur through alterations of PI3K itself, such as by mutations in PIK3CA or PIK3R1, through AKT upregulation or loss of PTEN. Ovarian cancer (EOC) is one tumor type in which alterations in PI3K/AKT signaling are present: 68% exhibit activated AKT (Altomare and Testa, 2005), 13% of high-grade EOC are PIK3CA-amplified, 8% have activating PIK3CA mutations (Forbes et al., 2017), and 18% are AKT2-amplified (Nakayama et al., 2006). Mutations have also been characterized in PIK3R1 and AKT1 (De Marco et al., 2013), as has loss of inhibitory phosphatases PTEN and INPP4B (Gewinner et al., 2009). Given the importance of the PI3K/AKT pathway and the multiple possible targets for signal modulation, there has been marked interest in developing selective inhibitors of this pathway.

In a Phase I trial of the pan-PI3K inhibitor pictilisib (GDC-0941), a heavily pretreated platinum-refractory EOC patient harboring PIK3CA
amplification and PTEN loss achieved a partial response (Sarker et al., 2015), suggesting there was clinical utility in targeting this pathway. MK-2206 was developed as the first allosteric inhibitor of AKT, with greatest potency against AKT1 and AKT2, and lower potency against AKT3. It demonstrated single-agent anti-proliferative activity, as well as activity in combination with other agents, across multiple breast, ovarian, lung, and prostate cancer cell lines. MK-2206 showed pre-clinical synergistic anti-tumor activity when combined with docetaxel, erlotinib, and carboplatin in various human tumor xenograft models (Hirai et al., 2010), leading to a Phase 1 trial of MK-2206 in advanced solid tumors that included 2 EOC patients (Yap et al., 2011). Interim results showed that the EOC patients achieved serologic CA125 reductions (Tolcher et al., 2009). The best response, however, was seen in a patient with pancreatic adenocarcinoma with PTEN loss by IHC, who achieved a 23% tumor reduction and remained on treatment for 24 weeks. The most frequent DLT was reversible grade 3 to 4 erythematous rash (n = 8, 24%). The RP2D was established as 60 mg of MK-2206 on alternating days. Results from these trials suggested clinical efficacy of MK-2206, particularly in those with molecular alterations affecting the PI3K/AKT pathway, providing a logical basis in which to evaluate MK-2206 in EOC.

2. Patients & methods

We designed and conducted an open-label Phase II study of MK-2206 in patients with platinum-resistant high grade serous ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer from April 2011 through November 2012. The primary objective was to assess the objective response rate (ORR). Secondary endpoints included safety, tolerability, progression free survival (PFS), and overall survival (OS). Institutional review board approval was obtained. Each patient provided signed informed consent before study enrollment.

2.1. Patient population

Participants were required to have histologically confirmed high grade serous ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal carcinoma, with evidence of PTEN loss by immunohistochemistry, or evidence of a PIK3CA or AKT mutation per a CLIA-certified assay. Additional eligibility included recurrence within 6 months of the last platinum-containing regimen, ECOG performance status of 0 or 1, and measurable disease by Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) 1.1. Participants were limited to two prior lines of therapy in the recurrence setting, including biologic and targeted therapies, but excluding hormonal therapies and prior use of an AKT/PI3K pathway inhibitor.

Participants were required to have recovered from prior treatment-related toxicities to grade 1 or better. Adequate bone marrow and organ function were required, including ANC ≥ 1500/µL, platelets ≥ 100,000 µL, and hemoglobin ≥ 8 g/dL. Exclusion criteria included chemotherapy or radiation within 4 weeks prior to study entry. Due to the risk of MK-2206-associated hyperglycemia, diabetic participants were excluded if glycemic control was inadequate, defined as a fasting serum glucose of > 130 mg/dL or HgbA1c > 7.5 mg/dL, or required the use of non-oral glycemic medications. Additionally, patients were excluded if they had severe or uncontrolled comorbidities, or evidence of other malignancies within the previous 5 years, excepting carcinoma-in-situ of the breast or cervix and basal or squamous cell carcinomas of the skin.

2.2. Treatment plan & safety assessment

MK-2206 was administered orally on days 1, 8, 15, and 22 of a 28-day cycle, starting at 200 mg (dose level 0). Toxicity was assessed using the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 4.0. Dose reduction occurred for any non-hematologic Grade 2 AE lasting > 7 days despite support, any non-hematologic Grade 3 AE except hyperglycemia, and second occurrence of neutropenia (ANC < 1000/µL), thrombocytopenia (< 75,000/µL), or anemia (< 8 g/dL). Dose reduction levels included 135 mg (dose level −1) and 90 mg (dose level −2) given weekly. Patients were treated on an outpatient basis and remained on study until disease progression, voluntary withdrawal, or drug-related toxicity. Patients were followed for up to 3 years after removal from protocol therapy or until death. The primary endpoint was determination of the ORR. Tumor assessment by CT or MRI was repeated every 2 cycles.

2.3. Study design

The trial was designed using a Simon Two-Stage Optimum Design with an ORR ≥ 20% considered to be of interest (alternative hypothesis) and ORR ≤ 5% not of further interest (null hypothesis). Using these parameters, ten patients were planned for enrollment in the first stage. If at least one response was seen, an additional 19 patients would be enrolled in the second stage. A maximum enrollment of 29 evaluable patients was planned, and if 4 or more patients demonstrated a response, then the null hypothesis could be rejected in favor of the alternative hypothesis (with α = 0.05 and β = 0.20).

3. Results

3.1. Patients

Of 61 patients screened between April 19, 2011 and November 8, 2012, fifty-eight were tested for PTEN loss by IHC, 13 of whom showed PTEN loss. Of these, a total of 6 patients ultimately enrolled, 5 of whom received treatment on protocol. The 7 patients with PTEN loss who did not start treatment on protocol are tabulated in Supplemental Table 1. One patient enrolled but did not receive protocol therapy. No patients screened for this trial had predetermined PIK3CA or AKT mutations as assessed by CLIA-certified assay. Patient baseline and disease characteristics are detailed in Table 1. All were Caucasian with a median age of 65 years. There were three patients with a diagnosis of epithelial ovarian carcinoma, two with primary peritoneal carcinoma, and one with fallopian tube carcinoma. All patients had high grade papillary serous histology. The study was closed early due to poor accrual.

3.2. Safety

Therapy was well tolerated with the exception of dermatologic toxicity, with all patients experiencing rash. Four of the 5 evaluable patients (80%) experienced grade 3 maculo-papular rash, and the
remaining 1 patient (20%) experienced grade 2 acneiform rash. One patient with grade 3 maculo-papular rash concurrently developed grade 2 palmar-plantar erythrodysesthesia. The next most common treatment-related AEs (TRAEs) were grade 1 hyperglycemia in 2 patients (40%) and grade 1 oral mucositis in 2 patients (40%). The remaining TRAEs were all grade 1 and are further detailed in Table 2.

3.3. Clinical activity

Patients received a median of 3 cycles of treatment. Best response was stable disease in 4 of 5 evaluable patients (Fig. 1). One patient had stable disease for 19 weeks, and one patient had stable disease for 10.8 weeks. One patient was removed from protocol due to unacceptable toxicity; the remaining patients were removed at time of progression. Treatment histories are detailed in Supplemental Table S2. No objective responses were observed in the 5 treated patients. Secondary endpoint of median PFS was estimated at 19 weeks, and median OS was estimated at 48 weeks. (Supplemental Figs. S1 & S2).

4. Discussion

Targeted therapies for ovarian cancer remain an area of significant interest. The rationale for the use of AKT inhibition in HGSOC was based on evidence demonstrating frequent alterations in the PI3K/AKT pathway in this disease type. Demonstration of IHC-confirmed PTEN loss, intended to enrich this population for responders to AKT inhibition, contributed to poor accrual, suggesting that this method of screening is not feasible as an eligibility criterion in this population. Although the results are inconclusive due to poor accrual and early trial termination, our preliminary findings suggest that single-agent MK-2206 was not clinically effective at the dose administered in this population of highly selected patients with PI3K/AKT pathway alterations. No patients had an objective response, though one patient experienced a prolonged SD of 19 weeks. Patients were removed from trial due to progressive disease (n = 4) or unacceptable toxicity (n = 1).

Dermatologic toxicity was seen in all evaluable patients, occurring at grade levels 2 and 3. The high rate of dermatologic toxicity seen in this trial reflects that of other trials evaluating MK-2206, including in breast cancer (Kalinsky et al., 2018; Yap et al., 2014), renal cell carcinoma (Jonasch et al., 2017), and gastric/GEJ carcinoma (Ramanathan et al., 2015) and occurring despite prednisone pre-medication (Ma et al., 2017).

In this trial, clinical activity of single-agent MK-2206 showed a best response of stable disease in four patients. The absence of response in a population selected for susceptibility to inhibition of this pathway suggest that there may be bypass mechanisms of resistance, such as through ERK/MAPK signaling or MEK overexpression, that circumvent MK-2206 monotherapy. Alternatively, MK-2206 at the dose delivered may not have fully inhibited the AKT pathway. Additionally, loss of PTEN by IHC, theoretically suggesting uninhibited PI3K/AKT signaling, may not capture alterations in PI3K or AKT that could reduce response to an AKT inhibitor. A best response of SD is comparable to other trials evaluating single-agent MK-2206 (Ahn et al., 2015; Yap et al., 2014; Jonasch et al., 2017; Ramanathan et al., 2015). Intriguingly, a trial in
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**Table 2**

Treatment-related adverse events.

| Adverse Event                        | Grade | N   | %   | N   | %   | N   | %   |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Eye disorders                        |       | 1   | 20% | .   | .   | .   | .   |
| Conjunctivitis                       |       | 1   | 20% | .   | .   | .   | .   |
| Gastrointestinal disorders           |       | 1   | 20% | .   | .   | .   | .   |
| Abdominal pain                       |       | 1   | 20% | .   | .   | .   | .   |
| Cheilitis                            |       | 1   | 20% | .   | .   | .   | .   |
| Diarrhea                             |       | 1   | 20% | .   | .   | .   | .   |
| Mucositis, oral                      |       | 2   | 40% | .   | .   | .   | .   |
| Nausea                               |       | 1   | 20% | .   | .   | .   | .   |
| General disorders                    |       | 1   | 20% | .   | .   | .   | .   |
| Fatigue                              |       | 1   | 20% | .   | .   | .   | .   |
| Metabolism and nutrition disorders   |       | 1   | 20% | .   | .   | .   | .   |
| Increased urea                       |       | 2   | 40% | .   | .   | .   | .   |
| Hyperglycemia                        |       | 1   | 20% | .   | .   | .   | .   |
| Nervous system disorders             |       | 1   | 20% | .   | .   | .   | .   |
| Dysesthesia                          |       | 1   | 20% | .   | .   | .   | .   |
| Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders | | 1   | 20% | .   | .   | .   | .   |
| Cough                                |       | 1   | 20% | .   | .   | .   | .   |
| Throat tightening                     |       | 1   | 20% | .   | .   | .   | .   |
| Wheezing                             |       | 1   | 20% | .   | .   | .   | .   |
| Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders |     | .   | .   | 1   | 20% | .   | .   |
| Palmar-plantar erythrodysesthesia syndrome | | 1   | 20% | .   | .   | .   | .   |
| Pruritus                             |       | 1   | 20% | .   | .   | .   | .   |
| Rash acneiform                       |       | .   | .   | 1   | 20% | .   | .   |
| Rash maculo-papular                  |       | .   | .   | 4   | 80% | .   | .   |

---

**Fig. 1.** Swimmer plot of responses.
renal cell carcinoma demonstrated that while the majority of MK-2206-treated patients had progressive disease (n = 13, 44.8%), there was 1 CR (3.4%) and 3 PRs (10.3%) (Jonasch et al., 2017). Genomic analysis of responding patients did not reveal activating mutations in the PI3K pathway nor shared mutations amongst the responders. Additionally, a combination trial using neoadjuvant MK-2206 did not demonstrate additional apoptosis on resection specimens and no pathologic CRs occurred (Ma et al., 2017), providing a hypothesis for cytostatic but not cytotoxic activity to correlate with best response of SD.

In conclusion, MK-2206 in high grade serous ovarian cancer was well tolerated apart from dermatologic toxicity, however was without evidence of objective response in this small cohort of patients. Insofar as one patient experienced prolonged SD (19 weeks), there may be a subset of patients with HGSOC who will derive benefit from MK-2206. At the time of this trial, next-generation sequencing was not routinely available, and the ability to reproducibly identify patients with known ovarian cancer PI3K/AKT pathway alterations such as PIK3CA or AKT amplification was not available. Further investigation and correlative studies are therefore needed to better determine whether response to PI3K/AKT-directed agents may be present in a molecularly-selected subset.
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