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ABSTRACT

Constraining the greenhouse gas forcing, climatic warming and estimates of climate sensitivity across ancient large transient warming events is a major challenge to the palaeoclimate research community. Here we provide a new compilation and synthesis of the available marine proxy temperature data across the largest of these hyperthermals, the Paleocene–Eocene Thermal Maximum (PETM). This includes the application of consistent temperature calibrations to all data, including the most recent set of calibrations for archaeal lipid-derived palaeothermometry. This compilation provides the basis for an informed discussion of the likely range of PETM warming, the biases present in the existing record and an initial assessment of the geographical pattern of PETM ocean warming. To aid interpretation of the geographic variability of the proxy-derived estimates of PETM warming, we present a comparison of this data with the patterns of warming produced by high pCO2 simulations of Eocene climates using the Hadley Centre atmosphere-ocean general circulation model (AOGCM) HadCM3L. On the basis of this comparison and taking into account the patterns of intermediate-water warming we estimate that the global mean surface temperature anomaly for the PETM is within the range of 4 to 5 °C.
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1. Introduction

The Paleocene–Eocene Thermal Maximum (PETM; ca 55 Ma) is a relatively short-lived (~200 kyr) transient climatic event characterised by a large negative excursion in stable carbon isotope ratios, a prominent shoaling of the global ocean carbonate compensation depth (CCD) and a widespread positive temperature anomaly (Zachos et al., 2005; Sluijs et al., 2007a). The combination of global warming and the release of large amounts of 13C-depleted carbon (circa 2000–6000 PgC) (Dickens, 2003; Panchuk et al., 2008a, 2008b; Zeebe et al., 2009; Cui et al., 2011) to the ocean-atmosphere system during the PETM has encouraged analogies to be drawn with modern anthropogenic climate change. As a result the PETM has been the focus of considerable research effort since it was first recognised over twenty years ago (Thomas, 1989; Kennett and Stott, 1991), with the characteristic carbon isotope excursion and associated lithologic and biotic changes being documented at numerous localities across the globe and in disparate environments (see reviews of Sluijs et al., 2007a; McIverney and Wing, 2011).

The PETM is clearly a planetary-scale perturbation of the Earth’s carbon cycle, biosphere and climate. There remains, however, considerable uncertainty over the size (Panchuk et al., 2008a, 2008b; Zeebe et al., 2009; Cui et al., 2011) of the PETM carbon release. Consequently the exact magnitude of greenhouse gas forcing arising from this carbon release is poorly constrained. Whereas the extent of the PETM carbon cycle perturbation has received considerable attention (e.g. Dickens, 2003; Zachos et al., 2005), there has been, to date, no compilation and thorough reassessment of the available PETM temperature proxy data. When quoted the PETM sea surface temperature anomaly is usually stated as a range, typically ~4–8 °C (Thomas et al., 2002), or more recently ~5–9 °C (Zeebe et al., 2009). The robustness, interpretation, quantity and geographic spread of the underlying data are, however, rarely commented upon. Even recent “PETM” climate modelling studies have used a range of published PETM palaeotemperature proxy data as if they were relatively uncomplicated, unbiased and without inherent uncertainty (Lunt et al., 2010; Winguth et al., 2010).

The purpose of this study is to present a full synthesis of all the available PETM marine geochemical temperature proxy data, with the subsequent derivation of site-specific estimates of the PETM temperature anomaly. Given that the palaeotemperature proxy data are both spatially limited and subject to varying degrees of uncertainty and potential biases, we use the results of an early Eocene fully-coupled Atmosphere-Ocean General Circulation Model (AOGCM) to aid in the interpretation of these data and provide a means of estimating the likely global mean PETM temperature anomaly.

The compilation of PETM proxy data is derived from near-surface dwelling planktic foraminifera, sea surface-derived organic lipid biomarkers (TEX86) and benthic foraminifera, mostly from deep-sea drilling cores recovered in intermediate to abyssal water depths (~1000–3000 m). We treated these data, collected over the last 20 years, with a consistent methodology for the identification of pre-PETM and PETM intervals based on carbon isotope stratigraphies, the application of uniform palaeotemperature calibrations and a more robust and transparent assessment of uncertainties associated with temporal averaging and calibrations. We also discuss major uncertainties in these palaeotemperature estimates that cannot yet be reliably quantified, including the preservation-state of foraminiferal carbonate and the application of TEX86 calibrations from the modern oceans to ancient warm-climates states.

One of the immediate conclusions of this study is that a reliable estimate of PETM near sea surface temperature (SST) change has been established at very few locations. We identify only eight sites with reasonable PETM records of sea surface temperatures: the New Jersey margin sites Bass River and Wilson Lake, DSDP Site 527, ODP Sites 690, 865, 1172, 1209 and the Arctic IODP Site M0004A — abbreviated to ACEX for Arctic Coring Expedition from here on (Fig. 1). Although these sites are geographically disparate, they provide no data from the Indian or Tethys Oceans and most of the tropics. However, within the limitations of the available data some constraint on the plausible range of the global average PETM temperature anomaly is possible. By identifying consistencies in the spatial response of GCM climate simulations and the best-available estimates of PETM warming the model can be used as a ‘grand interpolator’ to produce an estimate of the global mean temperature change. We also hope that a clear presentation of the proxy data and its limitations will justify and encourage future efforts to gather reliable PETM palaeotemperature proxy data across both marine and terrestrial environments and from geographically disparate localities.

2. Methodology

2.1. Identification of pre- and peak-PETM intervals

Original published marine palaeotemperature proxy data were collated from locations where palaeotemperature proxy data were of sufficient number and quality to resolve at least part of the PETM warming and where these data could be coupled to a carbon isotope stratigraphy that resolved an unambiguous PETM CIE. For each location, we have established two stratigraphic intervals, largely based on carbon isotope stratigraphy, representing two relatively stable climatic states on ~10 kyr timescales: one immediately prior to the PETM (“pre-PETM”) and one at the “peak” of the PETM perturbation (“peak-PETM”) (Figs. 2–6). The shape of the PETM carbon isotope excursion (CIE) can vary significantly between sections and the substrate measured (McIverney and Wing, 2011). It is dependent on differential carbonate dissolution, sediment mixing, physiological change of the substrate producer and regional offsets in δ13C of the carbon source (Sluijs and Dickens, 2012). Given the great magnitude of the isotopic perturbation, however, in most instances the CIE involves a large and rapid negative excursion in δ13C, followed by a short, ~80 kyr, interval that records the most extreme negative δ13C values before a long period of recovery in δ13C back towards pre-excision values (Sluijs et al., 2007a).

The use of δ13C stratigraphy to identify pre- and peak-PETM intervals, for the purpose of this temperature proxy synthesis, is further complicated by potential diachrony between the onset of the PETM CIE and PETM-associated sea surface warming, as observed in some high-resolution records (Thomas and Shackleton, 1996; Thomas et al., 2002; Sluijs et al., 2007b). Apparent diachrony on the 1–10 kyr scale has also been observed between proxy records of surface and deep-ocean CIEs and temperature perturbations at two sites on Maud Rise (Thomas et al., 2002; Zachos et al., 2007). To overcome these issues we have independently identified the pre-PETM and peak-PETM conditions for surface ocean proxy data at each locality using the following considerations:

1. The pre-PETM interval should be taken below the onset of the PETM anomaly in all of the surface ocean proxy records at a given locality. Hence, the identification of the onset of the PETM is not based solely on δ13C records but also includes a consideration of the positive temperature anomalies, which is not always synchronous with the onset
of the CIE. The pre-PETM interval is always taken below these pre-CIE temperature perturbations, as it is being used to characterise pre-PETM "background" conditions.

2. The pre-PETM interval is taken to extend stratigraphically down from the "background" (non-excursion) level nearest the onset of the PETM, over an interval that includes several pre-PETM data points. This interval should exclude any obvious pre-PETM long-term trends and represent conditions prior to the PETM across a stratigraphic interval comparable to that of the peak-PETM. In many cases the pre-PETM interval is constrained at its base by the start of a studied section
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Fig. 2. Primary geochemical records across the PETM interval at the New Jersey continental shelf sites Wilson Lake (top) and Bass River (bottom). Wilson Lake planktic foraminifera stable isotope data from Zachos et al. (2006); GDGT data from Zachos et al. (2006) and Sluijs et al. (2007b). Pre-PETM stable isotope data is limited to single sample below 109.95 m where both A. soldadoensis and Subbotina spp. have δ13C values ~3‰ higher than at the sampling interval above. The PETM onset is more clearly constrained by GDGT data, which shows a rapid warming between 109.93 and 109.8 m. The pre-excision interval is taken down to the base of high-resolution GDGT data at 112.78 m. The base of the peak-PETM interval is placed at the first planktic foraminiferal δ13C excursion value and the beginning of peak warmth indicated by GDGTs at 109.23 m. The top of the peak-PETM is placed at 106.52 m towards the end of the negative plateau in foraminiferal δ13C and peak GDGT-based temperatures. Single specimen planktic foraminifera stable isotope data for the Bass River section are from John et al. (2008); GDGT data are from Sluijs et al. (2007b). The onset of the PETM is delineated as the rapid ~3‰ negative shift in δ13C values of both Acarinina spp. and Subbotina spp. between 357.49 and 357.21 m. The pre-PETM interval is thus defined from 357.58 m down to the limit of high-resolution TEX86 data at 360.0 m. The base of the peak-PETM interval is taken at 354.99 m just below the gradual decline in GDGT-based temperatures.
3. The peak-PETM interval is identified as extending stratigraphically upwards from the point at which PETM excursion values have been established in all surface ocean proxy records at a given locality. Again, this overcomes some of the problems of diachrony between palaeotemperature and δ¹³C records during the onset of the PETM perturbation. The length of peak-PETM conditions is harder to constrain but in this study the top of the peak-PETM interval is normally taken at the level where δ¹³C begins to consistently return to more positive values in any phase — i.e. at the first sign of δ¹³C recovery as measured in any phase/taxa.

The compilation of the bottom-water temperature records of the PETM uses the existing Nunes and Norris (2006) pre-PETM and “CIE plateau” intervals to represent pre- and peak-PETM conditions. It is also based on their existing compilation of Nuttallides truempyi δ¹⁸O values, updated with isotope data from other species and the New Jersey shelf sites as well as available benthic foraminifera Mg/Ca data.

2.2. Sea surface temperature estimation

Currently available geochemical proxy estimates of near-surface ocean temperatures during the PETM are based on three methodologies: the oxygen isotope composition (δ¹⁸O) and magnesium/calcium (Mg/Ca) ratios of the calcite tests of planktic foraminifera and the composition of organic tetraterheter lipids produced by marine Thaumarchaeota (GDGT-based proxies). Each of these methodologies has its own particular advantages and difficulties in determining accurate temperature estimations across the PETM. All of them have been subject to either a recalibration (Kim et al., 2010), a reassessment of the assumed values of seawater chemistry used to calculate temperature (for example Coggon et al., 2010; Tindall et al., 2010; Roberts et al., 2011) or a new understanding of the preservational biases on measured geochemistry (Pearson et al., 2001; Sexton et al., 2006a; Pearson, 2012) since the original publication of much of the data. In particular, the relatively new GDGT-based proxies, dating back to the original TEX⁸⁶ proxy (Schouten et al., 2002), have been subject to three significant recalibrations in the past three years (Kim et al., 2010), with the most appropriate version for reconstruction of Paleogene palaeoeclimates as the subject of ongoing discussion (Hollis et al., 2012; Bijl et al., 2013). Alongside these recalibrations, new GDGT-based palaeotemperature proxies have been proposed that vary fundamentally from the original TEX⁸⁶ proxy in the ratios of tetraterheter lipids (GDGTs) used (Setiawan et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2010).

Given the evolution in the methodological understanding of these approaches, quoting the original temperature estimates and PETM temperature anomalies from the primary literature and making comparisons between studies spread over a decade or more, are somewhat unsatisfactory. Here we present a consistent approach to each proxy methodology, which was guided by three principles: first, to focus on the PETM temperature anomaly rather than the absolute temperatures or the start of high-resolution sampling. Establishing strictly comparable pre-PETM stratigraphic intervals between sites is not feasible at present, and would unnecessarily limit the number of data points.

Fig. 3. Primary geochemical records across the PETM interval at ODP Site 1209 (top) and DSDP Site 527 (bottom). ODP Site 1209 planktic foraminifera Mg/Ca ratios and single specimen stable isotope data from Zachos et al. (2003). The pre-PETM interval is taken from the base of the studied section (211.39 mcd) up to 211.27 mcd — the highest level with consistent pre-exursion values of δ¹³C from single specimens of M. velascoensis. The peak-PETM interval is taken to extend from the first level with exclusively excursion δ¹³C values recorded in Morozovella velascoensis at 211.24 mcd up to 211.10 mcd. Arguably this may be slightly longer than the period of peak negative δ¹³C values but is necessary to capture the full pattern of increase in Mg/Ca ratios in both M. velascoensis and A. soldadoensis, which slightly lags the peak negative CIE. DSDP Site 527 planktic foraminifera stable isotope data are from Thomas et al. (1999) and Mg/Ca ratios are from Tripati and Elderfield (2004).
and climate state (see Hollis et al., 2012; Lunt et al., 2012 for discussion of the background climate state); second, a consideration of the different available proxy methodologies/calibrations where there is active ongoing discussion; and third, to provide a synthesis of the underlying geochemical data, such that it can be easily reanalyzed as and when calibrations develop. Below, we detail our approach to each of the proxy methodologies used.

2.2.1. Oxygen isotopes

For planktic foraminifera-derived \(\delta^{18}O\) temperature estimates we used the calibration of Kim and ONeil (1997) for inorganic calcite, in the form presented in Bernis et al. (1998).

\[
T = 16.1 - 4.64(\delta^{18}O_{\mathrm{C}} - \delta^{18}O_{\mathrm{cw}}) + 0.09(\delta^{18}O_{\mathrm{C}} - \delta^{18}O_{\mathrm{cw}})^2.
\]  

Fig. 4. Primary geochemical records across the PETM interval at ODP Site 690. Low resolution planktic foraminiferal stable isotope data (top/middle left) from Kennett and Stott (1991); high-resolution single specimen data (top/middle right and bottom panel) from Thomas et al. (2002). Pre-PETM/peak-PETM stratigraphic intervals of Nunes and Norris (2006) are shown as vertical bars to the right of plots; ages in kyr relative to the onset of the PETM are from Röhl et al. (2007). The base of the peak-PETM interval in this study is taken at 170.54 mbsf, which is the start of consistent excursion \(\delta^{13}C\) values in the planktic isotope data of Kennett and Stott (1991). Specimens of Acarinina in the pre-PETM, and Subbotina in the peak-PETM, with anomalous \(\delta^{13}C\) are circled with a dotted line and have been excluded from the analysis. The top of the peak-PETM interval is taken at 169.84 mbsf based on the end of the distinct plateau interval observed in Subbotina spp. \(\delta^{13}C\) (Kennett and Stott, 1991). At this site the peak-PETM interval is divided into two, a “base PETM” (gold) and “top PETM” (yellow) to allow for a more detailed analysis of the single specimen data of Thomas et al. (2002) — see text for further discussion. Single specimen data of Thomas et al. (2002) is plotted for pre-PETM, base-PETM and top-PETM intervals in \(\delta^{18}O/\delta^{13}C\) space (bottom). Double-headed arrows indicate isotope off-sets between populations of Acarinina and Subbotina through each stratigraphic interval.
This equation falls between the high-light and low-light calibrations for the symbiotic planktic foraminifera Orbulina universa (Bemis et al., 1998), and has a very similar gradient to both these equations and other commonly used calibrations (Bemis et al., 1998). For all locations we use the latitudinal correction of Zachos et al. (1994) to estimate the oxygen isotopic composition of local seawater ($\delta^{18}O_{\text{sw}}$) and apply a VSMOW to VPDB correction of $-0.27\,‰$. As an estimate of calibration error for planktic foraminifera, we use $\pm 0.7\,°C$ derived from the low-light calibration of Bemis et al. (1998).

Using the $\delta^{18}O$ composition of planktic foraminifera to estimate the PETM temperature anomaly relies on the unlikely assumption that there is little change in the local oxygen isotopic composition of seawater across this event. An intensified hydrological cycle under warming conditions is likely to increase latitudinal oxygen isotope gradients and continental margin sites may be influenced by increased continental freshwater runoff (Zachos et al., 2006). The inference of significant changes in open ocean salinity across the PETM based on coupled $\delta^{18}O$ and Mg/Ca thermometry (Zachos et al., 2003; Tripati and Elderfield, 2004), may however, be overestimated due to the damping of the PETM $\delta^{18}O$ anomaly in poorly preserved foraminifera. In the initial presentation of temperature proxy data, we do not factor in any estimate of the size or direction of PETM salinity perturbations – based on either modelled $\delta^{18}O_{\text{sw}}$/salinity or geological evidence – and their potential effects on $\delta^{18}O$ temperature estimates. Instead this is one of several factors, discussed later, which has the potential to either damp or enhance the proxy estimates of the PETM anomaly.

### 2.2.2. Mg/Ca ratios

The temperature dependent fractionation of Mg into foraminiferal shell carbonate during growth has become a standard palaeothermometer, especially in Quaternary palaeoceanography, where changes in seawater Mg/Ca ratios (Mg/Ca$_{\text{sw}}$) diverge little from modern values (Elderfield et al., 2012). In deeper-time applications, the use of foraminiferal test Mg/Ca (Mg/Ca$_{\text{test}}$) to determine absolute palaeotemperature estimates is problematic due to strongly divergent reconstructions of early Cenozoic Mg/Ca$_{\text{sw}}$ (see discussions in Broecker and Yu, 2011; Coggon et al., 2011; Evans and Müller, 2012), the potential for significant variability in the magnesium partition coefficient (D$_{\text{Mg}}$) with...
changing Mg/Ca_{sw} (Cramer et al., 2011; Evans and Müller, 2012) and the effect of changing oceanic carbonate ion saturation state on Mg/Ca_{seafloor} (see Yu and Elderfield, 2008 and references therein). Temporal changes in D_{Mg} may in fact, be responsible for the divergence in estimates of early Cenozoic Mg/Ca derived from coupled δ^{18}O-Mg/Ca palaeothermometry assuming invariant D_{Mg} with Mg/Ca_{sw} (Lear et al., 2002; Sexton et al., 2006a; Creech et al., 2010) and nearly all other independent proxy and modelling estimations of the Cenozoic evolution of Mg/Ca_{sw} (Stanley and Hardie, 1998; Lowenstein et al., 2001; Horita et al., 2002; Berner, 2004; Dickson, 2004; Demicco et al., 2005; Coggon et al., 2010; Ries, 2010; Higgins and Schrag, 2012). As Evans and Müller (2012) note, the potentially incorrect assumption of invariant D_{Mg} with Mg/Ca_{sw} can be counteracted by the assumption of unrealistically high Paleogene Mg/Ca_{sw}.

Even given the double uncertainty in both the value of D_{Mg} for planktic/benthic foraminiferal calcite and Mg/Ca_{sw} during the early Paleocene, the form of the test calcite Mg/Ca — temperature equation is such that temperature anomalies over short timescales (<1 Ma), where Mg/Ca_{sw} is assumed to be constant, remains independent of both D_{Mg} and Mg/Ca_{sw}. Here we use the multi-species sediment trap calibration of Anand et al. (2003), modified to include variable D_{Mg} with changing Mg/Ca_{sw} following the discussion in Evans and Müller (2012), such that ancient calcification temperature can be calculated:

$$T = \frac{1}{A} \ln \left( \frac{(Mg/\text{Ca})_i}{B} \cdot \frac{[(Mg/\text{Ca})_{sw}]^H}{[(Mg/\text{Ca})'_{sw}]^H} \right)$$

where (Mg/\text{Ca})_i is the Mg/Ca ratio recorded in ancient foraminiferal calcite; (Mg/\text{Ca})_{sw} and (Mg/\text{Ca})'_{sw} are the Mg/Ca ratios of modern and ancient seawater respectively; A and B are the standard Mg/Ca-temperature calibration constants, for the Anand et al. (2003) calibration A = 0.09, B = 0.38. This assumes that there is a power law dependence of test Mg/Ca with changing Mg/Ca_{sw} with power component, H (Evans and Müller, 2012). Although there are, as yet, limited constraints on the appropriate value of H to be used in deep-time palaeothermometry, we use an indicative value of H = 0.42, following the reanalysis of modern culture studies of Globigerinoides sacculifer (Hasiuk and Lohmann, 2010). We use a modern seawater value of 5.15 mol/mol and a latest Paleocene value of 2 mol mol⁻¹, which is broadly in line with the range of estimates of early Paleogene Mg/Ca_{sw} that are not derived from paired δ^{18}O-Mg/Ca palaeothermometry. Where plotted we use the calibration error of ±1.13 °C (Anand et al., 2003).

2.2.3. GDGT-based palaeothermometry

Since being defined by Schouten et al. (2002), the TEX_{86H} proxy, based on relative abundances of archaeal tetraether lipids produced by marine Thaumarchaeota, has produced a number of key Paleogene sea surface palaeotemperature data sets (e.g. Pearson et al., 2007; Sluijs et al., 2008; Bijl et al., 2009) including four detailed reconstructions of SSTs across the PETM (Sluijs et al., 2006; Zachos et al., 2006; Sluijs et al., 2008; Bijl et al., 2009) including four detailed reconstructions of SSTs across the PETM (Sluijs et al., 2006; Zachos et al., 2006; Sluijs et al., 2008; Bijl et al., 2009) including four detailed reconstructions of SSTs across the PETM (Sluijs et al., 2006; Zachos et al., 2006; Sluijs et al., 2008; Bijl et al., 2009) including four detailed reconstructions of SSTs across the PETM (Sluijs et al., 2006; Zachos et al., 2006; Sluijs et al., 2008; Bijl et al., 2009) including four detailed reconstructions of SSTs across the PETM (Sluijs et al., 2006; Zachos et al., 2006; Sluijs et al., 2008; Bijl et al., 2009) including four detailed reconstructions of SSTs across the PETM (Sluijs et al., 2006; Zachos et al., 2006; Sluijs et al., 2008; Bijl et al., 2009). TEX_{86L} calibrations (Schouten et al., 2002; Schouten et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2008) generally regarded as producing very high estimates of SSTs, especially in the high-temperature range (>30 °C) (Liu et al., 2009; Schouten et al., 2013). The most recent calibration study proposed two GDGT-based SST proxies; one calibrated to a global core top data set (TEX_{86L}) and the other to a data set that excluded the polar oceans (TEX_{86H} (Kim et al., 2010). This was justified on the basis that the Modern polar ocean GDGT distributions are systematically different from those in the global ocean. It was proposed that TEX_{86H} is applied in regions where SSTs exceed 15 °C, while TEX_{86L} was more appropriate in lower temperature palaeoenvironments. A recent multi-proxy comparison study has, however, argued that TEX_{86L} records SSTs more accurately than TEX_{86H}, even at temperatures above 15 °C, in the Paleogene Southern Ocean (Hollis et al., 2012). TEX_{86L} palaeotemperatures from the same site across the Middle Eocene Climatic Optimum (MEOC) are, however, consistent with U{^3}^{14}CHproxies (Bijl et al., 2010). We refer to an extensive review paper for further discussion of GDGT-based proxies (Schouten et al., 2013).
(1) the consistency of behaviour between the various GDGT-proxies and the identification of problematic samples or environments for this approach; (2) a comparison of GDGT-based proxies with other proxy methodologies in the estimation of absolute temperatures; and, (3) the variation between GDGT-proxies in estimating the magnitude of climate anomalies such as the PETM warming. We use calibration errors of ±2.5, 4.0 and 5.4 for TEX86, TEX86 and 1/TEX86 respectively (Kim et al., 2010) and ±2.0 for TEX86 (Slujs et al., 2009).

2.3. Bottom water temperature estimation

Geochemical analyses carried out on the test calcite of calcareous benthic foraminifera across the PETM were collated from a total of sixteen sites (DSDP Sites 213, 401, 525, 527, 549; ODP Sites 690, 738, 865, 1051, 1209, 1220, 1258, 1263, the New Jersey drill cores from Wilson Lake and Bass River and the Alameda section in southern California). Temperature estimates are based upon both oxygen isotope and Mg/Ca analyses. Data is predominantly from the Nunes and Norris (2006) compilation of stable isotope data measured on the cosmopolitan foraminifera *Nuttallides truempyi*. We have added stable isolate data from the genera *Or Ridorsalis* (ODP Sites 1051 and 1263) (Katz et al., 2003; McCaren et al., 2008), *Bulimina* (ODP Site 865) (Thomas et al., 2000) and *Cibicidoides* (Wilson Lake and Bass River) (Zachos et al., 2006; John et al., 2008). It is very likely, however, that the "Cibicidoides" records from Wilson Lake, are actually a mixed assemblage dominated by analyses of *Anomalina* (Stassen et al., 2012). We have also included benthic foraminifera Mg/Ca from DSDP Site 527 and ODP Sites 865 and 1209 (Tripati and Elderfield, 2005).

Bottom water temperature estimates from foraminiferal δ18O measurements were calculated using the same *Kim and O'Neil* (1997) calibration for inorganic calcite used for planktonic foraminifera above. Following Sexton et al. (2006b) and Katz et al. (2003), we correct both *Cibicidoides* spp. and *Nuttallides truempyi* to *Or Ridorsalis* spp., which is assumed to calibrate in equilibium. The correction factor of +0.28‰ is applied to *Cibicidoides* spp. δ18O values (Katz et al., 2003) and +0.35‰ for *N. truempyi* (Sexton et al., 2006b); no correction is applied to *Bulimina* δ18O. For all locations we use a uniform oxygen isotopic composition of seawater (δ18Osw) of −1‰ for an ice free world and apply a VSMOW to VPDB correction of −0.27‰.

The *Or Ridorsalis umbonatus* calibration of Lear et al. (2002) was used to estimate temperatures from mixed species benthic foraminifera Mg/Ca data. Modified for a variable magnesium partition coefficient with Mg/CaMg, this takes the same form as Eq. (2) above, but with values of A and B of 0.114 and 1.008 respectively. The original Mg/Ca data of Tripati and Elderfield (2005) was already normalised to *O. umbonatus* by Tripati and Elderfield (2005) using Lear et al. (2002). To determine an estimate of the power law component, H, for *O. umbonatus*, in the early Paleogene oceans, we follow the method of Evans and Müller (2012) using the coupled δ18O-Mg/Ca thermometry at 49 Ma of Lear et al. (2002). We modify their solution slightly by using the original δ18O-paleotemperature estimate of 12.4 °C (Lear et al., 2002) and assume a Mg/CaMg of 2 mol mol−1 rather than 1.6 mol mol−1 (Evans and Müller, 2012). This yields an H value of 0.5, which is only slightly lower than the range 0.52–0.54 derived by Evans and Müller (2012). Again it should be noted that PETM temperature anomalies calculated on the basis of benthic foraminiferal Mg/CaMg are independent of the assumed value of both H and Mg/CaMg.

The Mg/Ca-based temperature estimates presented here are no more well constrained than previous estimates, and this uncertainty will remain until estimates of early Paleogene Mg/CaMg begin to converge. They do demonstrate, however, that realistic surface and intermediate water temperatures can be achieved with much lower estimates of Mg/CaMg than previously used in deep-time palaeoceanography, as long as the effect of a variable magnesium partition coefficient is factored into these calculations.

2.4. Model derived temperature estimates

Climate model realisations under early Eocene boundary conditions were undertaken with the UK Met Office GCM, HadCM3L. These are the same suite of simulations as described in Lunt et al. (2010) and Tindall et al. (2010). In summary the model is run with a solar constant 0.4% less than the modern, an early Eocene topography and bathymetry of Markwick (2007), no land ice, generic grassland across the land surface, lakes in appropriate regions and a runoff routing scheme based on the model orography. Results presented here are taken from four early Eocene simulations with atmospheric CO2 prescribed at ×1, ×2, ×4, and ×6 pre-industrial values (i.e., 280, 560, 1120, and 1680 ppm). All early Eocene simulations were initialized from the end of a run with ×3 pre-industrial CO2 (840 ppm), which in turn was initialized with zonally-symmetric ocean temperatures and salinity distribution, zero ocean velocity and a modern atmospheric state. After initialization, each early Eocene simulation was run for more than 3400 years. The surface temperatures are based on the average climatology of the final 100 years of these simulations.

2.5. Proxy-model comparisons

A potentially significant source of error in deep-time climate model-proxy data comparisons can arise from divergent or erroneous palaeogeographies of model and proxy data (see discussion in Huber and Caballero, 2011). Relevant to this study are (1) the use of significantly different palaeogeographic reconstructions for the model configuration and proxy data palaeolocations, for example the location of sites relative to continental margins and ocean gateways; and, (2) absolute errors in the palaeogeographic reconstruction of proxy data locations — e.g. an error in the latitude, longitude or the assumed representative ocean depth (surface, mixed layer, thermoline) of a proxy measurement. Given the effort required to configure a high resolution AOGCM to palaeogeographies significantly different from the modern, basic model configurations do not update quickly to revised palaeogeographic reconstructions. The model palaeogeography of HadCM3L used here is based on the early Eocene palaeogeographic reconstruction of Markwick (2007) and is the same configuration as used in Tindall et al. (2010), Lunt et al. (2010) and Dunkley Jones et al. (2010).

For consistency with recent Eocene climate modelling studies (Huber and Caballero, 2011; Lunt et al., 2012) all palaeolocations of marine proxy data points are calculated using the GPLATES software and the plate model of Müller et al. (2008). A comparison between the HadCM3L palaeogeography based on Markwick (2007) and the GPLATES reconstruction of continental outlines as well as the locations of proxy data at 55 Ma is shown in Fig. 1. The two palaeogeographies are comparable in all features of the major ocean basins, including the width of key gateways (Tethys, Tasman Gateway, Drake Passage, Panama) and geometry of the central and South Atlantic. There is some discrepancy in the palaeolatitude of the Indian subcontinent, which is reconstructed in a more northerly position under the plate rotations of Müller et al. (2008). Given there are no proxy data for SST conditions in the Indian Ocean, this has no significant effect on model-data comparisons.

When undertaking the model-data comparison, we explicitly consider uncertainty associated with the assignment of a model depth to proxy data and the potential for latitudinal/longitudinal mismatches between data and model reference frames. This is achieved by comparing each proxy measurement with an array of model temperatures, centred on the reconstructed palaeolocation and most likely depth level. For GDGT-proxies, assumed to represent true sea surface temperatures (Kim et al., 2010), the array is formed of the top two model layers (5 m and 15 m); for mixed-layer dwelling planktic foraminifera species (Aacrinina and Morozovella; Norris, 1996) we used data from model layers centred on 25, 35, and 50 m; for thermocline-dwelling foraminifera (Subbotina; Norris, 1996) we use model layers 140 and 200 m.
Although these depths may be somewhat shallow for both mixed-layer and thermocline-dwelling species and do not account for spatial variability in the depth of the thermocline, a more sophisticated comparison is hampered by the loss of model resolution at depths below 200 m. This approach is, however, an improvement on a simple comparison to a single sea surface temperature, by attempting some consideration of the thermal gradients in the upper ocean thermocline. Around any proxy data palaeolocation, the array of model data also includes temperatures from appropriate depth layers in the adjacent model grid cells to the north, south, east and west of the central cell. Where 2-layers are considered, this results in an array of 10 spatial localities (2 depths at 5 points) and 15, when three depths are considered. 100-year seasonal mean temperature data was then extracted from each of these points for each three-month season ([JJA, SON, DJF, MAM]; see Section 2.4 below).

In addition to the palaeogeographic and depth uncertainties, we explicitly consider model temperatures from all four seasons ([JJA, SON, DJF, MAM]) to address potential proxy data biases to a particular season of production. This is most likely to affect mid- to high latitude locations where there is a strong seasonality in surface ocean biological productivity that may determine both the flux and species composition of planktic foraminifera (Zarić et al., 2005; Chapman, 2010) and the transport efficiency of Thaumarchaeota to marine sediments (Kim et al., 2010). For each proxy data location an array of data was extracted from the model formed of the three-month seasonal averaged temperatures ([JJA, SON, DJF, MAM]) at all of the depths and spatial locations described above. In total this produced data arrays of either 40 (for 2 depth layers) or 60 (3 depth layers) model temperatures. At ODP 1172 the model grid box to the south of the site’s palaeolocation was terrestrial resulting in a slightly reduced data array (32 points).

3. Results

The primary geochemical and palaeotemperature proxy data are shown in Figs. 2–6. The pre- and peak-PETM intervals used in this study are also highlighted. Original depth or composite depth scales have been used to present temperature proxy data alongside the associated carbon isotope stratigraphy. Figures also include the extent of the pre-PETM (blue) and PETM (orange) intervals used here. Palaeotemperatures were then calculated from this geochemical data, as outlined above for the different proxies, and mean temperature estimates calculated for the pre- and peak-PETM intervals. These mean values, together with the standard deviations and number of data points in each interval, are listed in Table 1, and provide some quantification of variability and the time resolution of samples within each stratigraphic interval.

In the following we discuss: (1) the complexities of multi-species foraminifera records from ODP Sites 690 and 865; (2) the application of the various GDGT proxy methods across the PETM; and (3) planktic foraminifera preservation and paired δ18O and Mg/Ca records from deep-ocean sediments.

3.1. Multi-species foraminiferal records from ODP Sites 690 and 865

The PETM carbon isotopic excursion was first recognised in cores from ODP Sites 689 and 690, on Maud Rise in the Weddell Sea of the Southern Ocean (Kennett and Stott, 1990). These same cores have subsequently been used for many of the classic studies of the PETM event (Kennett and Stott, 1991; Thomas and Shackleton, 1996; Thomas et al., 2002). The detailed single specimen analyses of Thomas et al. (2002) (Fig. 4) document a complex transition interval at the onset of the PETM, where specimens of Acarinina spp. consistently show excursion values in both δ13C and δ18O, whilst specimens of Subbotina spp. begin to show negative trending δ18O but maintain pre-exursion values of δ13C. The reason for this pattern is still not understood (Thomas et al., 2002; Stoll, 2005; Zachos et al., 2007) but for the purposes of characterising pre-PETM and peak-PETM temperatures we avoid using any data within this transition interval.

A second characteristic of the ODP Site 690 record is a distinct shift in the isotopic composition of Acarinina tests to heavier δ13C and lighter δ18O values at 170.21 mbsf, ~25 kyr after the onset of PETM on the orbital age model of Röhl et al. (2007) (Fig. 4). We use this event to separate the PETM into two intervals, “base-PETM” (~0–25 kyr) and “top-PETM” (~25–50 kyr), which together represent the first ~30% of the PETM (Röhl et al., 2007). A cross-plot of the single specimen Acarinina and Subbotina δ18O and δ13C data is shown in Fig. 4. The isotopic gradient between mixed-layer species Acarinina and the deeper-dwelling Subbotina reflects the strength of the thermocline (δ18O) and the balance between surface biological productivity and organic matter remineralization with depth (δ13C) (John et al., in press). Prior to the onset of the PETM this gradient is about 2‰ in δ13C and slightly less than 1‰ in δ18O. Immediately after the onset of the CIE, during the base-PETM, the isotopic gradient in both δ18O and δ13C between Acarinina and Subbotina reduces dramatically to around 0.5‰. This could be due to a transient break down in stratification and enhanced vertical mixing during the base-PETM (Thomas and Shackleton, 1996; Thomas et al., 2000, 2002). Such a scenario is difficult to reconcile with records of phytoplankton assemblages indicating warming-induced oligotrophy from the very base of the CIE at ODP 690 (Bralower et al., 2002). Instead, we suggest that this reduction in gradients is largely due to the depth-migration of surface-dwelling Acarinina, towards the habitat of Subbotina during the initial phase of the PETM.

This collapse in isotopic gradients may have been enhanced by the loss of photosymbionts by Acarinina during the PETM. Combined depth-migration and loss of symbionts appears to be an integrated ecological response to transient warming events (Kelly et al., 1996; Kelly et al., 1998; Edgar et al., 2013). Symbiont bleeding and migration to deeper habitats both result in negative δ13C and positive δ18O shifts in planktic foraminifera test calcite. During the MECO bleeding caused a negative δ13C perturbation in mid-size range Acarinina tests from ODP Site 748 of ~1‰ (Edgar et al., 2013), similar in magnitude to the difference between high and low light experiments on modern symbiotic-bearing planktic foraminifera (Spero et al., 1997). The effect of bleeding on δ18O is more difficult to assess from the geological record, but the same culture experiments indicate that bleeding could cause a positive shift in δ18O of ~0.3‰ (Spero et al., 1997) due to the loss of CO2 uptake by the symbionts and the associated carbonate ion effect on oxygen isotopes. During the “top-PETM” interval, above 170.21 mbsf, the δ13C gradient between the two genera is restored to ~2‰ and the δ18O gradient is increased to nearly 1.5‰, as Acarinina morphotypes re-establish populations in the mixed-layer and reacquire photosymbiotic algae. If this interpretation is correct, then using pre-PETM to base-PETM intervals to characterise the CIE and peak-PETM warming is likely to result in an underestimate of warming and an overestimate of the CIE due to the depth-migration of Acarinina in the early stages of the PETM.

The PETM record from ODP Site 865 Allison Guyot in the western Pacific is not ideal, with suggestions that the peak-PETM is missing or condensed (Nunes and Norris, 2006) and evidence for sediment mixing through the onset of the event (Kelly et al., 1998). Nuttallides truempyi isotope data from this site (Bralower et al., 1995) were excluded from the Nunes and Norris (2006) compilation because of their low-resolution and poor stratigraphic constraints. There are, however, more detailed stable isotope records from both Cibicidoides and Bulimina sp. that resolve a clear PETM CIE (Thomas et al., 2000). We have decided to consider the planktic foraminiferal isotope and trace metal data from this site for two reasons: (1) the analysis of numerous single planktic foraminifera specimens across the onset of the PETM (Kelly et al., 1998) allows the clear separation of specimens with pre- and PETM δ13C values (see Fig. 5); and (2) the trace element data from this site (Tripati and Elderfield, 2004) resolve a PETM warming anomaly that can be analysed to give some estimate of pre- and peak-PETM conditions (Fig. 5). Foraminiferal preservation at this site is poor.
there is a species-level differentiation in included to produce generic level isotope anomalies but it is clear that the temperature anomaly across the PETM. Excursion taxa could be into the PETM, we only use data from the non-excursion taxa to assess PETM δ13C (Kelly et al., 1998). Isotopic analyses from the deeper-dwelling migration and loss of symbionts within these taxa during the peak PETM (Fig. 5), which would bias a generic-level esti-

During peak-PETM conditions (Kelly et al., 1998). These data are not considered further but are available in the supplementary information. (Tripati and Elder (2004), are susceptible to sediment mixing and signal attenuation across the onset of the PETM. Pre- and peak-PETM intervals are based on the observed Mg/Ca anomaly across the planktic foraminifera taxanymy (A. soldadoensis, M. velascoensis and Subbotina sp.,) (Fig. 5). All three species show consistently higher Mg/Ca ratios above the CIE onset recorded within the representation of peak-PETM conditions, this interval is selected to be representative of peak-PETM Mg/Ca ratios and 103.02 to 103.50 mbsf to represent pre-excursion Mg/Ca values. Benthic foraminiferal Mg/Ca data in Tripati and Elderfield (2005) are published as species-specific analyses but the data provided with this are reported as unidentified benthic foraminiferal analyses (NOAA NCDC: ftp://ftp.ncdc.noaa.gov/pub/data/paleo/contributions_by_author/tripati2005b/tripati2005b.txt). Here they are treated as a mixed benthic assemblage. The PETM excursion in benthic foraminiferal Mg/Ca is more gradual than the CIE onset recorded within Bulliminina spp., with peak-PETM δ13C values. To maximise...
from all three proxies against the values of both TEX\textsubscript{86} and GDGT-1 indices (Fig. 7). For plots against TEX\textsubscript{86}, SST estimates based on TEX\textsubscript{86H} and 1/TEX\textsubscript{86} – both based on the underlying TEX\textsubscript{86} index – simply follow their respective calibration lines. SST estimates from TEX\textsubscript{86L}, however, are derived from the index GDGT-1, and although showing a strong correlation between SST and TEX\textsubscript{86} also contains a degree of scatter produced by the differential behaviour of TEX\textsubscript{86} and GDGT-1. For plots against GDGT-1, the reverse is true, with TEX\textsubscript{86L} following its calibration and TEX\textsubscript{86H} and 1/TEX\textsubscript{86} displaying a broad trend with some scatter. Ideally, there would be a good correlation between the behaviour of TEX\textsubscript{86} and GDGT-1 across temperature and palaeoenvironments; i.e. a minimum of scatter in the plots shown in Fig. 7. This would reflect a strong and dominant control by ocean temperature on the lipid structures produced by ancient marine Thaumarchaeota. For Bass River, Wilson Lake and ODP Site 1172 the SST estimates from all three proxies behave in a generally consistent manner. The exception to this are four data points below the onset of the PETM in Bass River (duplicate samples from levels 358.83 m and 362.57 m), where, in comparison with surrounding data points, TEX\textsubscript{86L} produces anomalously high SST estimates by ~3 °C (points with SST estimates of >25 °C in the interval prior to the PETM in Fig. 2). In Fig. 7, these points fall ~3 °C above the general trend line of TEX\textsubscript{86L} SST estimates plotted against TEX\textsubscript{86} (TEX\textsubscript{86L} values between 0.7 and 0.75) and plot as anomalously low TEX\textsubscript{86H} and 1/TEX\textsubscript{86} SST estimates when these are plotted against the GDGT-1 index (GDGT-1 values between −0.32 and −0.30). Given that these points are anomalous both with respect to TEX\textsubscript{86H} and 1/TEX\textsubscript{86} estimates and the surrounding TEX\textsubscript{86L} SST estimates, we interpret these anomalous points as being caused by non-temperature related influences on the GDGT-1 index. A similar but less pronounced positive deviation (~2 °C) in TEX\textsubscript{86L} estimates also occurs in two data points before the onset of the PETM in ODP Site 1172 (Fig. 7; TEX\textsubscript{86L} values 0.68 and 0.69).

As noted in the original studies, the relationships between GDGT-proxies from the Arctic Ocean ACEX site are more complex (Sluijs et al., 2009). The TEX\textsubscript{86} index does not show a clear warming trend across the onset of the PETM (Fig. S2 of Sluijs et al., 2006). Sluijs et al. (2006) attribute this to anomalously high concentrations of the GDGT-3 isomer in Paleocene (pre-PETM) samples. For a more realistic estimate of the magnitude of PETM warming, they therefore proposed the new proxy TEX\textsubscript{86}\textsuperscript{′} that excluded the GDGT-3 isomer. It is important to note that for samples that do not yield high GDGT-3 isomers, TEX\textsubscript{86} and TEX\textsubscript{86}\textsuperscript{′} provide almost identical values (Sluijs et al., 2006; Sluijs et al., 2009). Moreover, contrary to most other records from across the globe, ACEX TEX\textsubscript{86} and TEX\textsubscript{86}\textsuperscript{′} values are within the modern calibration and therefore yield much smaller calibration errors. Therefore, apart from samples in the ACEX record that are accompanied by high terrestrial lipid input as indicated from the BIT index (Sluijs et al., 2006), there is no reason to treat absolute SSTs based on TEX\textsubscript{86}\textsuperscript{′} differently than other records. However, SSTs in this region could be skewed to summer values (Sluijs et al., 2006).

Given the relative consistency of GDGT-proxy behaviour at the New Jersey shelf sites and ODP Site 1172, the question remains open as to which index and calibration best represents both absolute SSTs at these sites and the warming anomaly across the PETM. The early Paleogene proxy inter-comparison study of Hollis et al. (2012) in the South west Pacific suggests that TEX\textsubscript{86H} is most likely to represent SSTs in this region but even these estimates may be biased towards summer rather
than mean annual temperatures. In Fig. 7, alongside the GDGT-derived SSTs, we plot the distribution of temperature estimates derived from the δ¹⁸O composition of well-preserved specimens of the mixed-layer planktic foraminifera *Acarinina* (shown as mean ± 1 standard deviation and maximum/minimum values). These clearly show that TEX⁸⁶ₗ SST estimates are in closest agreement with both pre- and peak-PETM δ¹⁸O estimates, whilst TEX⁸⁶ₜ and 1/TEX⁸⁶ estimates are ~5 °C warmer. This temperature offset cannot be explained by poor preservation of foraminifera at this location, which is generally good to excellent (Plate 1) or errors in δ¹⁸O_{sw} estimates, which in these shelf settings are more likely lower (less saline) than those used here, rather than being the ~1‰ heavier required to account for a ~5 °C cool-bias in δ¹⁸O SSTs. Large seasonal variations in temperature is a possibility at these locations and is discussed in Section 4.1.

Finally, we assess the variability in the PETM temperature anomalies derived from the range of GDGT-proxies. The pattern of GDGT-derived SST anomalies through the PETM at both the New Jersey sites and ODP Site 1172 is relatively consistent between the different GDGT-proxies (Figs. 2 & 6). The difference in the magnitude of PETM warming between these proxies is more clearly shown in Fig. 10. For the New Jersey sites and ODP 1172, the warming derived from TEXₘₜ is consistently larger than that from 1/TEXₘₜ, which is simply a result of their respective calibration equations and becomes more pronounced with increasing temperature as their calibrations diverge. In contrast, the anomalies derived from TEXₘₜ vary from site-to-site with respect to the other GDGT-proxies, with larger temperature anomalies at the New Jersey sites and a smaller anomaly at ODP Site 1172 (Fig. 10). This is apparent in subtle changes in the relative gradients between TEXₘₜ and the other two proxies in plots of SST against GDGT-1 (Fig. 7). This may be indicative of a more systematic control on GDGT-proxy gradients with environment or latitude but demonstrating this would require data from more locations.

3.3. Influence of planktic foraminifera preservation on palaeotemperature estimates

A comparison of planktic foraminiferal δ¹⁸O and Mg/Ca-derived temperatures estimates clearly shows the now widely recognised cool-bias in δ¹⁸O-derived SSTs from deep-ocean sediments (Pearson et al., 2001; Sexton et al., 2006a). This is particularly clear in the low and mid-latitudes with δ¹⁸O derived temperature ~6–10 °C cooler than Mg/Ca temperatures (DSDP Site 527, ODP Sites 865 and 1209; Fig. 8). Although there is significant uncertainty in both the estimate of early Paleogene seawater Mg/Ca and the appropriate value of the magnesium partition coefficient, the values used here produce palaeotemperature reconstructions from well-preserved Eocene planktic foraminifera that
are not inconsistent with δ¹⁸O reconstructions (cf. Sexton et al., 2006a; reconstructed palaeotemperature using these parameters and shell calcite Mg/Ca ratio of 4.5 mmol mol⁻¹ is 31.9 °C). Given the extensive work on the effects of diagenetic recrystallisation on δ¹⁸O composition of planktic foraminifera (Pearson et al., 2001; Sexton et al., 2006a) and a preservation mode typical of other mid- to low-latitude deep-ocean locations (Plate 1), we consider δ¹⁸O derived temperature estimates from all of these sites to be substantially cool-biased. Trace metal concentrations, and associated Mg/Ca-derived palaeotemperatures, appear to be less impacted by diagenetic recrystallisation but there is little understanding of the processes behind this observation.

The impact of diagenesis on δ¹⁸O records of transient temperature perturbations, such as across the PETM, is more difficult to quantify. One argument against a diagenetic bias on estimates of warming, proposed by Zachos et al. (2003), is that the addition of deep-ocean diagenetic calcite to foraminifera tests is likely to operate uniformly across the whole PETM sequence, producing an offset in absolute temperature estimates but not biasing the magnitude of short-term perturbations. Diagenetic processes are now known to operate via pervasive micron-scale recrystallisation throughout the foraminiferal test, rather than the discrete addition of diagenetic calcite (Sexton et al., 2006a). The resultant δ¹⁸O composition of the recrystallised test is thus on a mixing line between its primary value and in situ deep-ocean diagenetic calcite, depending on the extent of recrystallisation (Pearson et al., 2001). In tests that have undergone significant recrystallisation, this will act to damp a primary anomaly in δ¹⁸O. Examining the PETM records of
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**Fig. 8.** a and b. Site-specific response of HadCM3L model to increased GHG forcing. Model responses are plotted as the mean (line and crosses) and maximum to minimum range (shaded area) of a temperature array that includes both spatial (one grid cell laterally and 2 or 3 depth layers — see text for details) and seasonal variability. Proxy temperature estimates are plotted for comparison, including both 95% confidence intervals derived from data variability within the pre- and peak-PETM intervals (black error bars) and indicative calibration uncertainty for each proxy methodology (blue/red error bars). In all cases the calibration uncertainty is significantly larger than the variability of temperature estimates within the pre- and peak-PETM intervals.
δ¹⁸O, it is notable that the sites with the worst planktic foraminiferal preservation (ODP Sites 865 and 1209) show the smallest perturbations in δ¹⁸O, which are inconsistent with Mg/Ca derived temperature anomalies from the same locations. As a conservative interpretation, we consider these offsets between δ¹⁸O and Mg/Ca derived temperature anomalies to be primarily due to poor test preservation rather than any major changes in surface ocean δ¹⁸Osw and salinity (Zachos et al., 2003; Tripati and Elderfield, 2004).

4. Discussion

In assessing the PETM temperature anomaly, we take an approach that uses the characteristic response of an early Paleogene climate model to CO₂-driven warming to inform the interpretation of PETM climate proxy data and act as an interpolator of sparse data. The use of model simulations to interpret the palaeoclimate proxy data aims to better quantify the global mean surface temperature response on the basis of spatially limited proxy data. In this section we first describe the model’s site-specific response to CO₂ forcing and use this to derive an indicative “PETM” temperature anomaly before discussing the proxy records of PETM warming informed by the model responses.

4.1. Site-specific responses of HadCM3L to CO₂ forcing

The site-specific SST responses of HadCM3L at CO₂ forcings of 1×, 2×, 4× and 6× pre-industrial CO₂ (280 ppm), are shown in Fig. 8. These temperature responses are taken from the data arrays outlined in Section 2.4, which include spatial, depth and seasonal variability. The mean and maximum to minimum range of these arrays, are shown alongside proxy temperature estimates for pre- and peak-PETM intervals. Notable features of these plots are: (1) the site-specific nature of warming trends with increasing CO₂; (2) the range and variability of seasonality between sites; and, (3) the first-order comparison between model and proxy SST estimates.

Comparisons should be made with the warming trend observed between the highest CO₂ simulations (4× and 6× CO₂), where absolute temperatures are closest to those of the pre-PETM proxy palaeo-temperature estimates. The site-specific patterns of warming between these simulations reflect the more general response of the model
climatology, which depending on the accuracy of the model response and fidelity of the proxy records, may be present in palaeoclimate proxy data. Briefly, these are (1) a reduction in the magnitude of SST warming of tropical to mid-latitude sites in the open ocean, specifically DSDP Site 527 and ODP Sites 865 and 1209, in response to the same CO2-driven radiative forcing at higher CO2 concentrations; (2) high SST seasonality at the New Jersey sites on the north-west Atlantic continental margin; (3) relatively high temperature sensitivity to CO2-forcing at the Southern Ocean ODP Site 690; and, (4) seasonally asymmetric response of the Arctic Ocean site to increasing CO2 with more pronounced warming in the summer season. Given the caveats on the proxy data discussed in various sections above, a comparison between proxy and model temperature estimates (Fig. 8) clearly shows, (1) the cool-bias in δ18O SST estimates at DSDP Site 527 and ODP Sites 865 and 1209; (2) due to the large model seasonality of SSTs at the New Jersey sites, all GDGT- and δ18O-based based proxy estimates for the pre-PETM fall within the temperature envelopes of the 6×CO2 model simulation; (3) GDGT-proxies are on the order of 10 °C warmer than even the warm-season model temperatures at the high-latitude sites ODP 1172 and ACEX. Point 2) is important to consider if using proxy inter-
comparisons to identify the most appropriate version of GDGT palaeothermometry for deep-time applications. For 6×CO2, the modelled seasonal range at the New Jersey sites is 10 °C, which is at the low end of the ~15 °C or greater seasonal SST range over much of the New Jersey Shelf today (Yoder et al., 2002). If these model results are genuinely indicative of the seasonal SST range of this region during the early Paleogene then any of the GDGT-based proxy estimates could be reconciled with δ18O-based SST estimates by seasonal offsets in the productivity of planktic foraminifera and marine archaea.

Explaining the large mismatch in GDGT-proxy and model SSTs at ODP Site 1172 in the high southern latitudes and the ACEX site in the Arctic, is a critical challenge for understanding early Paleogene warm climates. Although this mismatch between warm proxy data and cooler model SSTs is a persistent feature across early Eocene climate models (Lunt et al., 2012), the scale of this offset is likely exacerbated by particular features of the HadCM3L configuration and climatology. ODP Site 1172, in the western Tasman Sea, is the coldest modelled region at this latitude in the Southern Ocean (Fig. 9), which is also a feature of other AOGCM simulations (Hollis et al., 2012) but may be highly

Fig. 9. Early Eocene HadCM3L GCM simulations of sea surface temperature compared to pre- and peak-PETM proxy SST estimates. 4×pCO2 simulations compared to pre-PETM (top left) and peak-PETM (top right) proxy SST estimates. 6×pCO2 simulations compared to pre-PETM (bottom left) and peak-PETM (bottom right) proxy SST estimates. SST difference 6×–4× simulations normalised to a doubling of pCO2 (centre) compared against proxy estimates of the PETM temperature anomaly (ΔT). Proxy estimates plotted are as follows: ACEX — TEX86′, Wilson Lake — 1/TEX86, and A. soldadoensis δ18O; Bass River — 1/TEX86, and A. soldadoensis δ18O; DSDP Site 527 and ODP Sites 1209 and 865 — mean values of M. velascoensis and A. soldadoensis Mg/Ca; ODP Site 690 — A. soldadoensis δ18O using the “top PETM” interval as representative of peak-PETM conditions; ODP Site 1172 — TEX86L.
 depend on regional bathymetry and oceanography (Bijl et al., 2013). Eocene simulations (1500 ppm CO₂) with the intermediate-complexity UVic model, however, have both higher Southern Ocean SSTs in general, of the order 10–14 °C, and do not show this cooler region on the east Australian margin (Sijp et al., 2011). The authors attribute this to a proto-East Australian Current, even with a closed Tasman Gateway, that warms this region by 2–4 °C (Sijp et al., 2011) but this remains inconsistent with biogeographic patterns (Bijl et al., 2011). This difference in model response between intermediate-complexity and AOGCMs is important for understanding heat transport to the Southern Ocean in warm climate states; the SST fields of intermediate-complexity models are in better agreement with available proxy data but the reasons for this are unclear. Even assuming the –10 °C warmer Southern Ocean SST fields of UVic and a summer bias of –5 °C, model-proxy agreement for ODP Site 1172 cannot be fully achieved. In the Arctic, a strong seasonality in archaeal lipid production would place ACEX GDGT-proxy estimates close to warm month mean terrestrial temperatures of over 18 °C (Eberle et al., 2010). Together with reconstructions of the Arctic zero degree isotherm (Markwick, 2007), these re-emphasize that, even at 6× CO₂, these Eocene HadCM3L simulations have a persistent, year-round cold-bias in the Arctic on the order of 5–10 °C.

### 4.2. Proxy- and model-based estimates of PETM warming

To estimate the site-specific CO₂-driven warming in the model, we calculate the temperature change between the 4× and 6× simulations normalised to a doubling of CO₂ concentrations. This metric is an approximation of the temperature anomaly between the 4× simulation and the predicted response of a model run at 8× CO₂, if the temperature sensitivity of all sites to GHG-forcing was constant across this range of CO₂. This is represented by the dashed line extensions to 8× CO₂ in Fig. 8 and the geographical pattern and magnitude of warming is shown in Fig. 9.

Proxy-derived PETM temperature anomalies, estimated as the difference between mean pre- and peak-PETM temperatures, are shown in Fig. 10 and Table 1. These are grouped by location and ranked by the size of anomaly. There is significant variability in temperature anomalies between different proxy methods at almost all locations, whilst the model responses are relatively uniform across depths (surface, mixed-layer, thermocline). The variability in the temperature anomaly estimated using the different DGDT proxies (discussed in Section 3.2), which are derived from the same underlying set of GDGT analyses, must arise from the form of the proxy and particular calibration used. The only site with a reasonably good independent proxy estimate of warming to compare to the GDGT-proxies is Bass River, where there are sufficient pre-PETM Acarinina and Subbotina δ¹⁸O-derived temperature estimates to define an anomaly. Here, the δ¹⁸O-derived anomaly (3.0 and 3.7 °C for Acarinina and Subbotina respectively) is smaller than any of the GDGT-proxy anomalies (4.3, 5.4 and 5.7 °C for ¹/TEX⁸⁶, TEX⁸⁶ and TEX⁸⁶ respectively). The difference between δ¹⁸O and Mg/Ca-derived temperature anomalies at deep-ocean sites ODP 865 and 1209 of –1–2 °C, is more easily accounted for by foraminiferal test recrystallization significantly damping the PETM perturbation in δ¹⁸O. This was originally ascribed to major changes in open ocean salinity across the PETM (Zachos et al., 2003; Tripati and Elderfield, 2004), but we attribute this to poor preservation. The magnitude of the uncertainty of these estimates also approaches the difference between them.

The final marked variation between proxy estimates of the temperature anomaly is at ODP Site 690, where, at 9 °C, the temperature anomaly between the pre-PETM and top-PETM interval in the single specimen
Acarinina spp. analyses of Thomas et al. (2002) is over 3 °C larger than any other δ18O-derived estimate at this site. The possible upwards depth migration, from the thermocline to mixed layer, and reacquisition of photosymbionts is the likely explanation for the coordinated shift in δ18O and δ13C at the transition into the top-PETM interval. This is, however, unlikely to account for the large anomaly as measured from the pre-PETM state to the top-PETM state because in both intervals it seems likely that Acarinina dwelt in the mixed layer and was photosymbiotic. A related ecological explanation is possible, such as the post-PETM recolonization of the mixed-layer by a different population of Acarinina with a significantly lighter δ13C signature or altered seasonality. Although this region does show one of the strongest warming responses in HadCM3L (Fig. 9), a strong vertical stratification is not reproduced, with a differential warming between the mixed- and deep-layers of HadCM3L of less than a degree (4.9 and 4.0 °C respectively). Given the uncertainty in the ecological controls on the Acarinina isotope record, and the consistent model prediction that mixed- and deep-layer temperature anomalies should be similar within a degree, we consider the deep-dwelling Subbotina record to provide a more accurate estimate of warming at ODP Site 690, in the region of 5.2–5.8 °C.

Considering the specifics and limitations of the proxy data for each site, we suggest that the following estimates of the PETM anomaly – based on the available data – to be the most robust for each site: Mg/Ca at DSDP Site 527 (−2–3 °C) and ODP Sites 865 (−1–2 °C) and 1209 (−4 °C); TEX86′ at ACEX (−4 °C); 1/TEX86′ at the New Jersey margin sites (−4 and −6 °C); TEX86′ at ODP Site 1172 (−4 °C); and, Subbotina spp. δ18O at ODP Site 690 (−6 °C). The estimate from ODP Site 865 is certainly compromised by very poor foraminifera preservation. Across all the sites, however, these figures are somewhat lower, than previous estimates of warming at the PETM, for example the “5–9 °C” of Zeebe et al. (2009). There is also a spatial variability in the proxy-derived estimates of warming, which could be accounted for in three ways: (1) the larger estimates of warming (e.g. ODP 690 and New Jersey sites) are closer to the global response, whilst other sites are biased downwards by poor-preservation, dissolution or incomplete records; (2) the larger estimates of warming are biased upwards, for example by salinity effects on δ13C; or, (3) the differential reflects the genuine spatial pattern of warming at the PETM. Given the consistency of warming estimates between inorganic and organic proxies at New Jersey, we consider the second explanation unlikely. Obtaining higher quality proxy records in regions that, in this analysis, show small magnitudes of warming, would help differentiate between explanations 1 and 3.

In the absence of such new data, here we compare the proxy and model-derived geographical patterns of warming (Fig. 10). The ranking of sites by the mean size of the proxy-derived PETM temperature anomaly, is largely consistent with site-to-site variation in model-derived warming estimates. At the level of accuracy of the data, this is best described as a division between the less responsive open-ocean tropical to mid-latitude Pacific and Atlantic Ocean sites, together with the Arctic Ocean site versus the more responsive Southern Ocean (ODP 690 and 1172) and New Jersey shelf sites (see the clear separation of Pacific/
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damped by 1–2 °C due to reductions in the carbonate saturation state (Tripati and Elderfield, 2005).

The PETM temperature anomaly was estimated from the benthic foraminiferal proxy data using the same methodology as for the SST proxy estimates (Table 2; Fig. 12). The minimum temperature anomaly is recorded at the deep, northwest Pacific site ODP 1209 on Shatsky Rise (−2 °C), with relatively small warming also recorded in the eastern equatorial Pacific (ODP 1220). Sedimentation rates are very low at ODP 1209, making it unlikely that peak-PETM warming is fully recorded at this site. Both of these sites were also located at palaeodepths of greater than 2500 m (Bralower et al., 2002; Lyle et al., 2002) and together may reflect a genuine damped response of Pacific intermediate and deep ocean to global warming. In contrast, sites on the New Jersey shelf, in waters depths of <150 m (Gibson et al., 1993; van Sickel et al., 2004), show some of the highest temperature anomalies. At such shallow palaeodepths, benthic foraminifera temperature records will be dominated by the seasonal dynamics of stratification and mixing of shelf-waters, rather than the wider patterns of warming in North Atlantic intermediate waters.

From the North Atlantic to the Southern Ocean the majority of sites, across latitudes, record warming on the order of −5–6 °C, including the North Atlantic (ODP 1051), the tropical South Atlantic (ODP 1258) and the Southern Ocean (ODP 690 and 738). This intermediate water warming is slightly higher than the estimate of global mean surface temperature warming presented above. This is, however, likely driven by enhanced warming in the high latitude regions from which these intermediate waters are sourced. In this context, 5–6 °C intermediate water warming is actually remarkably consistent with the −6 °C warming of the Southern Ocean simulated with a doubling of GHG forcing. In two regions, the northeast and southeast Atlantic, the estimates of warming from multiple sites are less coherent. First, in the northeast Atlantic, the temperature anomalies from DSDP Site 401 and Alamedilla are −2 °C less that that recorded at DSDP Site 549 (−5–6 °C). All three of these sites are estimated to have been in bathyal depths of 2000–3000 m during the PETM (Shipboard Scientific Party, 1979; Shipboard Scientific Party, 1985; Lu et al., 1996). None of these sites have ideal records: at DSDP 549 there is ~1 m of carbonate dissolution during the event (Nunes and Norris, 2006); foraminiferal preservation at Alamedilla is poor, such that planktic foraminifera record almost no δ¹⁸O excursion across the PETM (Lu et al., 1996); and rotary drilling at DSDP Site 401 likely prevented full recovery of the PETM interval. DSDP Site 549 was excluded from the Nunes and Norris (2006) compilation because of anomalously low δ¹³C values attributed to diagenetic overprint. We suggest that the best available constraint on PETM warming of northwest Atlantic intermediate waters is thus a minimum estimate based on DSDP Site 401 (∆T > 3 °C).

In the southeast Atlantic, there is significant variability in benthic foraminifera δ¹⁸O anomalies between sites drilled in the vicinity of Walvis Ridge. PETM records from DSDP Leg 74 Sites, 525 (modern water depth 2467 m) and 527 (water depth 4435 m) are relatively low resolution but both show clear negative δ¹⁸O anomalies, equivalent to −5 °C of warming. In contrast, large negative δ¹⁸O (and δ¹³C) anomalies,
equivalent to -9 °C of warming, are recorded in the benthic foraminifera *Nuttallides trumpeyi* and *Oridorsalis umbonatus* at the more recently drilled ODP 1263 (water depth 2712 m). These anomalies exceed the δ¹⁸O response at intermediate water far-field sites to the south (ODP 690) and north (ODP 1258) as well as the Leg 74 sites nearby. McCarren et al. (2008) attribute this strong response to a potential change in intermediate water sources from the southern high latitudes to warm, nutrient-rich, oxygen depleted waters. Such a change is supported by the circulation responses of HadCM3L at high-levels of GHG-forcing (Lunt et al., 2010) and independent redox proxies from the South Atlantic (Chun et al., 2010). McCarren et al. (2008) show that this large isotope anomaly can be damped with increasing palaeodepth and intensity of carbonate dissolution. It is likely that the smaller anomaly recorded at the older, single hole at DSDP Site 525, at a similar water depth to ODP 1263, is due to incomplete recovery of the PETM, given similar problems at ODP Site 1263 that were only recognised with the drilling of multiple holes (Shipboard Scientific Party, 2004).

In the pre-PETM interval, benthic foraminiferal δ¹⁸O and Mg/Ca data also provide a constraint on the minimum (cold season) surface temperatures in the principal regions of high latitude deep-water formation. All δ¹⁸O-based pre-PETM intermediate water temperature estimates from the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean (ODP 690) and South Atlantic (DSDP 525, 527, ODP 1263) are all 12 °C ± 1 °C. Given the pattern of austral winter mixed layer depths in the 6 × CO₂ model simulation (Fig. 13), with the major locations of deep-water formation in the Weddell Sea and Atlantic-Indian Ocean sectors of the Southern Ocean, these benthic proxy temperatures (~12 °C) should reflect winter-time SSTs in these regions. For the 6 × CO₂ model runs these austral winter SSTs are of the same order or slightly warmer than reconstructed intermediate water temperatures in the Atlantic and Southern Ocean. The agreement of both modelled winter surface water and proxy intermediate water temperatures in the Atlantic and Indian Ocean sectors of the Southern Ocean and between modelled mean annual and proxy (δ¹³C) surface water temperatures from ODP Site 690 is a positive step forward in addressing problems of high-latitude warm climate model-proxy mismatches. Most notable in these model simulations is the >10 °C gradient in winter temperatures between the Indo-Atlantic and the (higher latitude) Pacific sectors of the Southern Ocean. The most significant model-data mismatches, including the GDGT-data from ODP Site 1172 discussed herein and the recently published early Eocene terrestrial temperature estimates from the Wilkes Land margin (Pross et al., 2012; Bijl et al., 2013) are both located in this overly cool Pacific-sector in the model representations of the Southern Ocean.

5. Conclusion

This study presents a detailed reassessment of the existing marine palaeotemperature estimates across the PETM hyperthermal event. We are able to apply a consistent and up-to-date set of calibrations to all published data. This provides the basis for a more rigorous comparison of the PETM temperature anomaly between records published over the past two decades, from widely distributed locations. On the basis of these recalculated PETM palaeotemperature estimates, we find a range of estimated near-sea surface PETM temperature anomalies, from almost no change (δ¹³C-based estimates from ODP Site 865) up to a maximum estimated warming of 9 °C (TEX₉₅ at Wilson Lake and *Acarinina* δ¹³C at ODP Site 690). Such face value estimates of PETM temperature change must be treated with the utmost caution. All of these proxy-based estimates of the PETM temperature anomaly are subject to multiple uncertainties and potential biases. Three critical uncertainties in proxy temperature estimations are (1) the impact of foraminiferal carbonate recrystallisation on δ¹³C and Mg/Ca temperature estimates, especially in deep-ocean sediments; (2) the unconstrained variability in local seawater δ¹³C across the event, critical for δ¹³C-derived palaeotemperatures; and, (3) ongoing significant discrepancies in deep-time,
warm-climate SST estimates derived from different GDGT-based proxies and calibrations.

The fundamental contention of this study is that the variability in proxy estimates of PETM warming between different sites contains some component of genuine spatial variability in warming at the PETM. Here we have tried to deconvolve the relative influence of proxy bias and the genuine patterns of warming by comparing the proxy-derived pattern of PETM warming to the location-specific response of early Eocene AOGCM simulations to greenhouse-gas forced warming. This comparison clearly shows that the first-order spatial pattern of warming is consistent between the proxy-derived and model-derived temperature anomalies. Further, that taking all proxy records into account, it appears unlikely that the global mean surface temperature anomaly across the PETM is in excess of 5 °C. Although this estimate of mean global warming at the PETM may appear to be relatively modest in relation to some of the larger PETM temperature anomalies recorded at individual sites, as an estimate of the global surface temperature response it represents a remarkably large climate anomaly. For climate perturbations occurring on the ~10 kyr timescale it is, to date, unequalled in the Cenozoic. If this assessment of the global temperature anomaly proves to be relatively robust then, with refined controls on the PETM carbon cycle perturbation and carbon input, a more accurate estimate of early Paleogene climate sensitivity is within reach.
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