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The emergence of new therapeutic agents for non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) implies that histologic subtyping and molecular predictive testing are now essential for therapeutic decisions. Histologic subtype predicts the efficacy and toxicity of some treatment agents, as do genetic alterations, which can be important predictive factors in treatment selection. Molecular markers, such as epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutation and anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) rearrangement, are the best predictors of response to specific tyrosine kinase inhibitor treatment agents. As the majority of patients with NSCLC present with unresectable disease, it is therefore crucial to optimize the use of tissue samples for diagnostic and predictive examinations, particularly for small biopsy and cytology specimens. Therefore, each institution needs to develop a diagnostic approach requiring close communication between the pulmonologist, radiologist, pathologist, and oncologist in order to preserve sufficient biopsy materials for molecular analysis as well as to ensure rapid diagnosis. Currently, personalized medicine in NSCLC is based on the histologic subtype and molecular status. This review summarizes strategies for tissue acquisition, histologic subtyping and molecular analysis for predictive testing in NSCLC.
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Introduction

Until recently, the classification of lung cancer into two groups, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and small cell lung cancer, was adequate for selection of appropriate therapy. However, the use of new therapeutic agents for NSCLC requires definite knowledge of histological subtype. In particular, it is important to discriminate between squamous cell carcinoma and non-squamous cell carcinoma in NSCLC. In recent phase III clinical trials, pemetrexed showed a superior effect in non-squamous cell carcinoma, such as adenocarcinoma and large cell carcinoma, than in squamous cell carcinoma. Bevacizumab is not recommended for treatment of squamous cell carcinoma, due to the higher frequency of pulmonary hemorrhage in this histologic subtype.

The epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitors (EGFR-TKIs) gefitinib and erlotinib produce a dramatic response when used as a first-line therapy in NSCLCs carrying an activating EGFR mutation. The anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) inhibitor, crizotinib, is effective in NSCLCs with rearrangement of ALK. Moreover, the molecular markers for targeting of these agents, EGFR mutations and ALK rearrangements, are primarily found in adenocarcinoma. Therefore, the histologic subtype of NSCLC is an important consideration, not only in selecting cytotoxic chemotherapeutic agents, but also to target molecular analysis tests.

Approximately 70% of patients with lung cancer are diagnosed using small biopsy or cytology specimens, as they present with unresectable, advanced stage disease. Thus, it is important to be able to diagnose lung cancer and confirm histologic subtypes using small specimens.
While the amount of specimen required for histologic subtyping and molecular predictive testing has increased, the sample size obtained from patients is frequently insufficient. Therefore, it is critical to optimize the use of tissue samples for diagnostic and predictive examination. This article will review strategies for tissue acquisition, pathology approach, histologic subtyping, specimen requirement, and molecular analysis for predictive testing in NSCLC.

Tissue Acquisition

For a definite diagnosis of lung cancer, it is important to obtain adequate tissue samples and to process these adequately. Minimally invasive techniques can be used to obtain specimens in patients with advanced NSCLC. The available methods for acquisition of tumor cells or tissue are exfoliation cytology, aspiration cytology, and biopsy.

Exfoliation cytology sampling techniques include bronchial washing, bronchoalveolar lavage, bronchial brushing, and sputum cytology. Aspiration cytology sampling methods include transbronchial needle aspiration (TBNA), endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS), and endoscopic ultrasound; these may be used in conjunction with one or more other techniques. Biopsies used to diagnose lung cancer include bronchial biopsy, transbronchial lung biopsy, and transthoracic needle biopsy.

The success rates for these procedures vary considerably. The size of needle and forceps and the number of biopsies may affect the accuracy of diagnosis and risk of complications.

Rapid on-site examination of TBNA specimens is a fast cytological examination of sample adequacy and allows preliminary diagnosis by a pathologist. In addition, the telepathology system makes it possible for pathologists to view cytology samples remotely and is a valuable time-saving technology.

Cytology samples obtained by EBUS-TBNA are sufficient for evaluation of EGFR mutation and ALK rearrangement by molecular testing.

The pulmonologist or radiologist who performs a tissue sampling procedure should endeavor to obtain sufficient tissue for diagnosis and molecular analysis. If only limited tissue is acquired, owing to unanticipated technical problems or complications during the procedure, the order of preference for tissue analysis must be considered.

Histologic Subtyping

The new classification of lung adenocarcinoma recommends that NSCLC is further identified as a specific histologic type, such as adenocarcinoma or squamous cell carcinoma, whenever possible. Adenocarcinoma may manifest glandular differentiation with lepidic (formerly bronchioloalveolar), acinar, papillary, or micropapillary, or solid growth patterns, while key morphologic features of squamous cell carcinoma are keratinization, pearl formation, and/or intercellular bridges.

The percentage of NSCLC cases that cannot be accurately classified into histologic subtypes can be as high as 40%; such cases are diagnosed as NSCLC-NOS. Using this information, NSCLC-NOS should be reduced to less than 5%, and IHC may be a useful method for refining diagnosis if NSCLCs are poorly differentiated and not clearly differentiated by routine light microscopy.

Thyroid transcription factor-1 (TTF-1) is the strongest diagnostic marker for adenocarcinoma, while p63 is a reliable marker for squamous cell carcinoma. Currently, TTF-1 and p63 are the two principle markers capable of subtypeing NSCLC. Mucin stain may also be useful for identifying adenocarcinoma, likewise cytokeratin 5/6 (CK5/6) can be valuable for distinguishing squamous cell carcinoma. Napsin A is a highly specific marker for lung adenocarcinoma and p40 is an antibody that recognizes a specific p63 isoform, and is superior to p63 in specificity, with potential to replace p63.
as a marker of squamous cell carcinoma. In general, immunohistochemical panels containing TTF-1, p63, CK5/6, mucin stain or TTF-1, p63, CK5/6, and Napsin A are used to subtype poorly differentiated NSCLC. Again, the IHC tests for histologic subtype should be minimal to preserve as much tissue as possible for molecular analysis from small biopsy and cytology samples.

### Specimen Requirements for Molecular Analysis

The specimen purity, defined by the ratio of malignant cells to "contaminating" non-neoplastic cells and necrotic tissue, is crucial for the sensitivity of all molecular methods; therefore, tumor cell enrichment is necessary for molecular tests, such as direct sequencing, which has relatively low sensitivity. This can be performed by demarcating the area of interest in a paraffin block or glass slide and manual macro/microdissection. Laser capture microdissection is rarely necessary in histological specimens, but is sometimes useful for cytological specimens.

DNA quality is primarily influenced by specimen fixation time, the fixation solution used, and the degree of tissue necrosis. Fixation times of 6 to 48 hours are recommended for adequate results. Formalin is the most widely used fixative, although alcohol fixed specimens show comparable results.

If molecular analyses are performed sequentially, results should be available for EGFR and KRAS mutation analysis within 5 working days, ALK IHC within 2 working days, and ALK fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) test within 3 working days. The total turnaround time (TAT) for EGFR and ALK testing should not exceed 2 weeks (10 working days).

In practice, the duration of the order-to-report time may be delayed by several factors. The communication between clinicians and pathologists in hospital is important and poor collaboration may lead to delayed results. If the hospital is not set up to perform molecular analyses, samples are transported to specialized molecular pathology laboratories and this can also increase the order-to-report time.

### Molecular Analysis: Predictive Testing

1. **EGFR mutation**

*EGFR* mutation status is the best predictor of response to EGFR-TKI therapy in NSCLC. It is important to determine which patients should be tested for *EGFR* mutations, and which tests should be performed. The most common *EGFR* mutations are deletions in exon 19 and the L858R point mutation in exon 21; together these comprise up to 90% of all activating *EGFR* mutations. However, clinical *EGFR* mutation testing should be able to detect all individual mutations that have been reported with a frequency of at least 1% in *EGFR*-mutated lung adenocarcinomas. *EGFR* mutations are significantly more frequent in never smokers, women, East Asians, and adenocarcinomas, but also occur in smokers, men, and Western; therefore, these clinical characteristics are not absolute markers indicating that *EGFR* mutation analysis is necessary.

2. **EGFR mutation testing methods:** Direct sequencing is widely used for *EGFR* mutation detection and remains the gold standard for gene mutation analysis, although it has low sensitivity. The method requires that at least 50% of assayed cells are malignant, corresponding to mutations in approximately 25% of the total DNA in the sample. In addition to direct sequencing, various other techniques are used to detect *EGFR* mutations, such as pyrosequencing, Scorpion amplification refractory mutation system, and peptide nucleic acid polymerase chain reaction clamping. These new techniques are more sensitive and rapid than direct sequencing (Table 1).

Recently, *EGFR* mutation-specific antibodies, recognizing the two most common mutations, deletion E746-A750 in exon 19 and the L858R point mutation in exon 21, have been developed and can be used in immunohistochemical staining to identify the expressed mutated EGFR proteins. This technique is fast, inexpensive, and easily available in most pathology laboratories, although it lacks sufficient sensitivity to be used as standard method. Although *EGFR* mutation-specific IHC is not currently recommended to select candidates for EGFR-TKI therapy, the method could be useful for mutation screening and as a predictive marker for patient management in the future.

3. **EGFR mutation in cytology samples:** In practice, ad-
Table 1. Methods for EGFR mutations testing in NSCLC

| Methods                        | % of tumoral DNA required in sample | EGFR mutations detected       |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Direct sequencing              | 25                                 | Known mutations and new       |
| Pyrosequencing                 | 10                                 | Known mutations and new       |
| PCR-SSCP                       | 10                                 | Known mutations and new       |
| q-PCR                          | 10                                 | Known mutations only          |
| TagMan PCR                     | 10                                 | Known mutations only          |
| PCR, heteroduplex cleave       | 5-10                               | Known mutations and new       |
| PCR, heteroduplex melting      | 5-10                               | Known mutations and new       |
| Loop-hybrid mobility shift assay| 7.5                                | Known mutations only          |
| PCR-RFLP                       | 5                                  | Known mutations only          |
| MALDI-TOF MS-based genotyping  | 5                                  | Known mutations only          |
| Cycleave PCR                   | 5                                  | Known mutations only          |
| SnapShot PCR                   | 1-10                               | Known mutations only          |
| dHPLC                          | 1                                  | Known mutations and new       |
| Scorpion ARMS                  | 1                                  | Known mutations only          |
| PNA PCR clamp                  | 1                                  | Known mutations only          |
| Single-molecular sequencing    | 0.2                                | Known mutations and new       |
| Mutant-enriched PCR            | 0.2                                | Known mutations only          |
| SMAP                           | 0.1                                | Known mutations only          |

EGFR: epidermal growth factor receptor; NSCLC: non-small cell lung cancer; PCR-SSCP: polymerase chain reaction (PCR) plus single-strand conformation polymorphism; q-PCR: quantitative PCR; PCR-RFLP, PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism; MALDI-TOF MS: matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry; dHPLC: denaturing high performance liquid chromatography; ARMS: amplified refractory mutation system; PNA PCR clamp: peptide nucleic acid PCR clamp; SMAP: smart amplification process.

Table 2. Pros and cons of ALK detection methods

| Pros                                                                 | Cons                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| FISH                                                                | Expensive                                                            |
| FDA-approved for clinical application                               | Technically challenging                                               |
| Established in many labs                                            | Relative long turnaround time                                         |
| Applicable to archival tissues                                      | Less sensitive                                                       |
| IHC                                                                 | Indirect demonstration of the fusion gene                             |
| Applicable for any partners                                         | Occasional false negative results                                     |
| Rapid turnaround time                                               | High dependence on antibody clones and detection methods             |
| Established in many labs                                            | Lack of dedicated kits and standard procedures                       |
| Applicable to archival tissues                                      | Cheap                                                                |
| RT-PCR                                                              | Difficult to obtain high-quality RNA                                 |
| Highly sensitive                                                    | Not applicable for unknown partners                                  |
| Highly specific                                                     | Difficult to confirm the presence of tumor cells                     |
|                                                                     | Difficult to apply to archival tissues                               |

ALK: anaplastic lymphoma kinase; FISH: fluorescence in situ hybridization; FDA: Food and Drug Administration; IHC: immunohistochemistry; RT-PCR: reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction.
Equate tissue samples for diagnosis are not obtained for all patients with lung cancer. Advanced stage NSCLC is often diagnosed solely using cytology samples.

Cytology samples, such as malignant pleural effusion and fine needle aspirate, are also suitable for EGFR mutation testing. Use of cell blocks is preferred to smear preparations, due to the high tumor cell content and preservation of the original specimen. Use of more sensitive mutation testing methods is required when cytology samples with low tumor content are used.

2. ALK rearrangement

The ALK gene rearrangement is a recently identified therapeutic target for tyrosine kinase treatment in NSCLC. The ALK gene translocation was originally identified in a subset of anaplastic large cell lymphomas. Recently, it was also discovered in NSCLC and the most common ALK fusion oncogene partner is the echinoderm microtubule-associated protein-like 4 (EMLA).

EMLA-ALK rearrangement is present in about 4% of all cases of NSCLC. It is more frequent in younger patients, never/light smokers, and adenocarcinoma (predominantly solid pattern and signet ring cell subtypes). In one study, which focused on patients with adenocarcinoma histology, the frequency of EMLA-ALK was 22% within the group of never or light smokers and 33% among never or light smokers without EGFR mutations. This mutation is mutually exclusive with EGFR and KRAS mutations, with very rare exceptions. Therefore, the guidelines recommend a stepwise-testing algorithm: first, analyses of the most common mutations, EGFR and KRAS, are performed and, if the results of these are negative, testing for ALK rearrangement is undertaken.

Currently, FISH, which has been used in clinical trials with crizotinib, is considered the standard technique for detection of ALK rearrangement and is also the Food and Drug Administration-approved method in several countries.

Figure 1. A diagnostic algorithm for histologic subtyping and molecular analysis in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) diagnosis. SCLC: small cell lung cancer; H&E: hematoxylin and eosin; SQCC: squamous cell carcinoma; ADC: adenocarcinoma; NOS: not otherwise specified; TTF-1: thyroid transcription factor-1; CK: cytokeratin; IHC: immunohistochemistry; EGFR: epidermal growth factor receptor; TKI: tyrosine kinase inhibitor; ALK: anaplastic lymphoma kinase; FISH: fluorescence in situ hybridization.
Administration (FDA)-approved method. An ALK FISH assay, using dual color break-apart probes, is commonly used. With this assay, two FISH probes of different colors (red and green) flank the common breakpoint within ALK, separating when a rearrangement occurs. ALK rearrangement appears as distinct and separated red and green signals, while non-rearrangement is seen as a fused yellow signal. FISH allows the use of archival fresh frozen paraffin embedded tissue and can detect any fusion partner. However, the technique requires specialized equipment and expertise, is expensive, and has a relatively long TAT.

IHC and reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) are other diagnostic methods for ALK rearrangement. IHC is technically easy, relatively cheap, rapid, and considered as a useful screening method to select candidates for treatment as the ALK FISH assay. RT-PCR is also technically easy, rapid, and sensitive; however, this method is only applicable for known fusion partners and it is difficult to obtain high-quality RNA in clinical practice (Table 2).

Figure 1 shows a diagnostic algorithm for histologic subtyping and molecular analysis in NSCLC diagnosis.

### Conclusion

Recently, the development of new therapeutic agents has led accurate histologic subtyping and molecular predictive testing to be mandatory in NSCLC. In this new diagnostic approach, close communication between pulmonologist, radiologist, pathologist, and oncologist, involved in tissue acquisition, pathology diagnosis, molecular analysis, and treatment decisions is necessary. Specifically, each hospital should have a multidisciplinary strategy to obtain adequate tissue samples, process them in a pathology laboratory, classify them into histologic subtypes, and preserve materials for molecular testing to ensure fast diagnosis without delay.

Ultimately, we expect therapeutic outcomes in NSCLC to improve with personalized therapy, which is driven by the selection of appropriate treatments based on histologic subtype and molecular status, including EGFR mutations and ALK rearrangement.
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