Long-Distance Transport of Prosystemin Messenger RNA in Tomato
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Main conclusion: The transcripts of transgenic prosystemin (PS) gene are mobile and the PS mRNA can be translated into protein in tomato and tobacco plants. Systemin (SYS) and its precursor protein, prosystemin (PS), are upstream components of the wound-induced signaling pathway in tomato. Although the mobile signal(s) for wound responses has been the subject of considerable research, its identity remains controversial. Intensive studies have revealed the essential role of mRNA on plant systemic signaling. We hypothesize that PS mRNA can act as a transmissible signal in tomato. Herein, we demonstrated that transgenic PS mRNA occurs in leaves located at considerable distances from the initial site of its generation by a transient Agrobacterium-infiltration assay system. We also showed that PS protein is present in the vascular bundle of the distant leaves. Our results indicate that transgenic PS mRNA may be functional as a long-distance signal to modulate systemic defense responses in tomato, providing novel insights into the multifaceted systems by which SYS signaling transports.
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INTRODUCTION

Plants respond to mechanical wounding, herbivore injury or pathogen infection by induction of multiple protective genes throughout the whole plant as a defense against the invasion. This phenomenon implies the existence of complex regulatory networks that are capable of generating, transporting, and interpreting alarm signals produced at the plant–herbivore/pathogen interface. Systemic defense responses thus provide an attractive model system for studies of cell-to-cell signal transduction pathways that operate over long distances (Li et al., 2002).

Systemin (SYS), an octadeca-peptide isolated from tomato, was the first bioactive peptide hormone identified in plants (Pearce et al., 1991). The 18-amino acid SYS is thought to be processed from a 200-amino acid precursor protein called prosystemin (PS) by proteolytic cleavage upon wounding of tomato leaves (McGurl et al., 1992). Perception of SYS by its membrane receptor triggers a complex cascade of intracellular events that are all orchestrated to activate a phospholipase A2 (PLA2) for the release of linolenic acid (LA) from plasma membrane. LA is subsequently converted to oxylipins 12-oxy-phytodienoic acid (OPDA) and jasmonic acid (JA) that regulate the transcription of defense-related genes (Ryan, 2000). Previous studies showed that application of SYS enhances JA biosynthesis and in turn, JA treatment increases abundance of PS protein (Howe et al., 1996). In addition, SYS coordinates synthesis of many other
immunoregulatory signals such as ethylene (ET), hydrogen peroxide, cytosolic calcium ion influx, and plasma membrane depolarization (Ryan, 2000; Huffaker, 2015).

Prosystemin (PS) gene expression occurs tissue-specifically in vascular bundles and unwounded tomato plants exhibit constitutive expression of PS at a low level (McGurl et al., 1992). The tomato plants transformed with a PS antisense gene, driven by the constitutive 35S promoter, exhibited a sharply compromised wound response that leads to a reduced defense against Manduca sexta larvae attack (McGurl et al., 1992). On the other hand, plants transformed with PS cDNA in its sense orientation, produced high levels of PS mRNA in plants (McGurl et al., 1994), resulting in constitutively expressing of more than 20 systemic wound response proteins in leaves as if they are in a permanently wounded state. Wild-type (WT) plants grafted as scions onto transgenic rootstocks that constitutively express the PS sense gene also accumulate high levels of defense proteins in the absence of wounding, suggesting that a systemic signal is produced by the transgenic rootstocks and is transported to the WT scions (McGurl et al., 1994).

SYS was originally known as the primary long-distance transmissible signal in plants as a synthetic 14C-labeled SYS exogenously supplied to wound sites was shown to be mobile, traveling systemically from a wounded leaf to the upper leaves of treated tomato plants (Pearce et al., 1991). This is compatible with results obtained in poplar trees where the systemic wound signal also travels through the phloem to activate proteinase inhibitor synthesis (Davis et al., 1991). The transport of radioactively labeled SYS is blocked by p-chloromercuribenzenesulfonic acid (PCMB), an inhibitor of apoplastic phloem loading in plants (Narvaez-Vasquez et al., 1994; Doares et al., 1995). Therefore, it was proposed that upon wounding, SYS is processed from PS, loaded into the sieve elements and transported to unwounded tissues following source–sink directions (Ryan, 2000). However, grafting experiment involving wound-response tomato mutants and WT plants indicated that the graft-transmissible signal might be JA or a related compound derived from the octadecanoid pathway (Li et al., 2002). Therefore, investigation of the identity of the systemic internal signal in defense responses in tomato remains an interesting problem.

Here, we demonstrated the occurrence of transgenic PS mRNA in leaves located at considerable distances from the initial site of its generation in tomato plants using a transient Agrobacterium-infiltration assay system. We also showed that the PS-GFP can be processed to release SYS-GFP and Leu178 is essential for PS-GFP processing.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) and tobacco (*Nicotiana benthamiana*) plants were grown in soil at 21°C with a 16-h light/8-h dark cycle. For the experiments 1-month-old plants were used.

#### Construction of Binary Vector

PS cDNA lacking the nucleotide sequence encoding the four C-terminal amino-acids (NNKL) was amplified by PCR from the pGA643 vector containing the PS gene using the primers listed in Table 1. The PCR product was digested and subcloned as an Xba I/Kpn I fragment into an Xba I/Kpn I-digested pGFP221 vector (Liu et al., 2007), resulting in the plasmid p221-PS-GFP. The Hind III/EcoR I-digested fragment containing the PS-GFP and nopaline synthase terminator from p221-PS-GFP was inserted into the respective sites of pCambia1301 (with GUS and hygromycin-resistance genes), yielding pCambia1301-PS-GFP (pro35S::PS-GFP) (Figure 1). To generate pro35S::PS construct,

| TABLE 1 | List of primers used in this study. |
| --- | --- |
| Gene/Terminator | Primer sequence (5′→3′) | Use | Location (Gene/Terminator/Plasmid) |
| PS | F: tcggagATGGGAACCTCCCTGATGATACAC | Subcloning | 1..30 (PS) |
| | R: gcggccgcCGTCGTTTTGCGATATGATACAC | Subcloning | 564..585 (PS) |
| PS-GFP | F: gcggccgcATGGGAACCTCCCTGATGATACAC | Subcloning | 1..30 (PS) |
| | R: gcggccgcTTACTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATGC | Subcloning | 535..555 (GFP) |
| SYS-GFP | F: gcggccgcATGGGACCTTCCCTGATGATACAC | Subcloning | 535..555 (GFP) |
| | R: ggtaccCGTCGTTTTGCGATATGATACAC | Amplification | 935..958 (Tubulin 8) |
| | R: gcggccgcCATCTTCTCGTACTATAATTTTCTC | Mutagenesis | 1427..1451 (Tubulin 8) |
| Tubulin 8 | F: CGTGGATCACAGCAATACAGAGCC | Amplification | 935..958 (Tubulin 8) |
| | R: CGTGGATCACAGCAATACAGAGCC | (RT-PCR) | 1427..1451 (Tubulin 8) |
| PS(L178A) | R: TCACGCTTATTGAAAGGAGGTTTTAGTTGGAACACGAC | Mutagenesis | 507..566 (PS) |
| | CATCCTCGTACTATAATTTTCTC | Gene | 507..566 (PS) |
| PS(L178D/A179E) | R: TCACGCTTATTGAAAGGAGGTTTTAGTTGAACACCGC | Mutagenesis | 507..566 (PS) |
| | CATCCTCGTACTATAATTTTCTC | Gene | 507..566 (PS) |
| NOST | R: CGCGCGCGATAATTTATCCTAG | Amplification | 210..230 (NOS terminator) |
| GUS | F: TGGATACGCGAAACCTGCGGA | Amplification | 276..295 (pCambia 1301) |
| | R: TCCAGTTGCAACACGACTGTT | (RT-PCR) | 870..899 (pCambia 1301) |

The primers (F, forward primer; R, reverse primer) were designed using Primer 5.0 software. The restriction enzyme sites are underlined.
PS cDNA coding region was amplified from pCambia1301-PS-GFP and the PCR products cloned into the Xba I and Sac I sites of pCambia1301-PS-GFP to substitute the PS-GFP fragment (Figure 1). The resulting plasmid was transformed into competent Escherichia coli strain DH5α and the resulting clones were transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 by electroporation.

Directed Point Mutation
Plasmid pCambia1301-PS-GFP was used as the template for site-directed mutagenesis by PCR. Mutations of Leu178Ala and Leu178AspAla179Glu were introduced into the PS sequence by a PCR overlap method. The first-round PCR was performed with the 5′ end primer (PS-forward) and 3′ end primer containing the mutated codon(s) [PS(L178A) or PS(L178DA179E), respectively]. The full length of the modified PS fragments were amplified in the second-round PCR using the product of the first-round PCR and the 5′ end primer (PS-forward) and 3′ end primer (PS-reverse), the latter primer partly overlapped with the primers PS(L178A) and PS (L178DA179E). All primers used are listed in Table 1. The altered sequence was excised from pCambia1301-PS-GFP. The resultant plasmids were then transformed into A. tumefaciens strain GV3101 by electroporation. All PCR-derived modifications of the PS cDNA sequence were verified by DNA sequencing.

Agro-Infiltration
A. tumefaciens GV3101 containing the binary vector was grown overnight in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium with the appropriate antibiotics. The bacteria were briefly spun down (5000 g, 15 min) and re-suspended in suspension buffer (10 mM MES-KOH, pH 5.2, 10 mM MgCl2, 100 µM acetoxyringone) to an OD600 of 0.5 and left for at least 3 h at room temperature. The Agrobacterium suspension was infiltrated into lower leaves of tomato or N. benthamiana via a needle-less 1-mL syringe. The young developing leaf at the top of each plant was kept non-infiltrated. The infiltrated and non-infiltrated leaves were then harvested separately and total RNA and protein were extracted for RT-PCR and immunoblot analysis.

Protein Purification
The coding regions of PS-GFP and SYS-GFP were amplified respectively from the pCambia1301-PS-GFP vector by PCR using the primers listed in Table 1. The PCR products were digested and subcloned as fragments into a Sal I/Not I-digested pET-28b(+) vector to produce the prokaryotic expression vectors, which were used to transform E. coli Rosetta (DE3) cells. Expression of recombinant proteins was induced by 0.1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside for 16 h at 16°C. Bacteria were collected by centrifugation and lysed via ultrasonication before the recombinant proteins were affinity purified using Ni-NTA agarose (QIAGEN, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s manual. The purified proteins contained one 6 × His affinity tag at its N-terminus.

Immunoblot Analysis
Total protein extracts were obtained by grinding 100 mg leaf tissues in protein extraction buffer [20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 5 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 5 mM ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid, 10 mM dithiothreitol, 0.05% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride]. The extracts were centrifuged for 10 min at 4°C, and the resulting supernatants were loaded on SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) gels with loading buffer. After electrophoresis, the separated proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane for 2 h. The membrane was then incubated with 1:4000 anti-GFP antibodies (Sigma Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, United States) in phosphate-buffered saline (pH 6.9). Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Sigma-Aldrich) was used at 1:5000 dilution and the results were visualized and interpreted using an enhanced chemiluminescence detection system according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (Applygen Technologies Inc., Beijing, China). The intensity of bands on the blots was quantified by densitometry of images using ImageJ software (Media Cybernetics, San Diego, CA, United States).

Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy
GFP signals in leaves were visualized using a TCS SP5 confocal laser-scanning microscope (Leica, Oberkochen, Germany). The excitation wavelength for GFP was 488 nm and emission
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The PS Messenger RNA Is Mobile in Tomato

 Trafficked mRNA molecules in plants can act as long-distance signals in the control of developmental processes and in responses to environmental cues (Kehr and Buhtz, 2008). Prosystemin (PS) mRNA was exclusively present in the vascular bundle in wounded or methyl jasmonate-treated leaves of tomato (Jacinto et al., 1997). We hypothesized that the localization of PS mRNA may help its load into the phloem, where it could be readily transported throughout the plant. A transient Agrobacterium-infiltration assay system was used to investigate this hypothesis in tomato. We monitored whether PS mRNA produced transiently in infiltrated leaves can move to non-infiltrated leaves in tomato. Lower leaves of tomato were infiltrated with a culture of A. tumefaciens carrying pro35S::PS construct and the infiltrated and the non-infiltrated leaves (young developing leaf at the top of each plant) were collected separately at 4 days postinfiltration for RT-PCR analysis. To discriminate the pro35S::PS transgene from endogenous PS mRNA, specific primers against PS and non-plant nopaline synthase (NOS) terminator (Banerjee et al., 2006; Lu et al., 2012) were used for PCR reactions. We found that the infiltrated leaves accumulated high levels of transgenic PS mRNA and the non-infiltrated leaves showed detectable transgenic PS mRNA (Figure 2). To exclude the possibility that the detectable PS mRNA in the non-infiltrated leaves is due to contamination during sample infiltration and collection, we tested the transcriptional levels of β-glucuronidase (GUS) reporter gene harbored by vector backbone pCambia1301 of pro35S::PS construct (see Materials and Methods). As expected, GUS mRNA was detected only in the infiltrated leaves, but not in the non-infiltrated leaves (Figure 2). These results suggested that transgenic PS mRNA can be transported over long distance in tomato.

To further confirm the movement feature of transgenic PS mRNA, we tested the mobility of PS mRNA fused with GFP mRNA which was known to be immobile within plants (Huang and Yu, 2009). We generated a construct (pro35S::PS-GFP) encoding a PS-GFP fusion in which the four C-terminal amino acids (NNKL) excluded in/out SYS sequence, of PS were replaced by GFP under the control of the constitutive promoter 35S. Construct pro35S::GFP encoding free GFP under the control of the constitutive promoter 35S were used as a negative control. We found that the infiltrated leaves accumulated high levels of PS-GFP mRNA and the non-infiltrated leaves showed detectable PS-GFP mRNA (Figure 3). However, when pro35S::GFP plasmid was applied, free GFP transcripts were detected in all infiltrated leaves but not detected in non-infiltrated leaves (Figure 3). These results suggested the movement of PS-GFP mRNA is correlated with the PS sequence but not with the GFP sequence.
Expression of GFP Fusion Protein in Leaves of *N. benthamiana*

To investigate whether PS-GFP fusion protein is present in the developing non-infiltrated leaves when *PS-GFP* mRNA is produced in the infiltrated leaves, we observed PS-GFP fluorescence in the non-infiltrated tomato leaves by confocal microscope. Unexpectedly, tomato leaf cells exhibits strong autofluorescence either before or after agro-infiltration (Zizkova et al., 2015). We then performed agro-infiltration of *pro35S::*PS-GFP culture using tobacco *N. benthamiana* plants. Like in tomato, we detected transgenic *PS* mRNA in the non-infiltrated new leaves freshly emerged from the top axial bud of tobacco plants (Figure 4A). Confocal microscopic observation showed that the fluorescence of PS-GFP was found in most cells of the infiltrated leaf epidermis (Figure 4B). However, PS-GFP fluorescence was present exclusively in the veins of the developing non-infiltrated leaves (Figures 4C–F). In contrast, when *pro35S::*GFP plasmid was applied, free GFP fluorescence was found in all the cells of both the infiltrated and the non-infiltrated developing leaves (Figures 4G–J), supporting the previous conclusion that free GFP protein can move over...
long distance in plants (Imlau et al., 1999). Although we do not provide conclusive evidence for PS protein trafficking because it is not easy to characterize movement of a protein if its corresponding mRNA is mobile, PS-GFP in the non-infiltrated leaves is probably required for the systemic SYS signaling.

**PS-GFP Protein Can Be Processed in Tomato**

To test whether the deletion of the amino acids NNKL has an effect on the cleavage of PS-GFP into SYS-GFP, we performed immunoblot analysis using GFP antibodies on the tomato leaves infiltrated with *A. tumefaciens* harboring the pro35S::PS-GFP plasmid and on the non-infiltrated leaves. Previous studies showed that PS protein produced in *E. coli* or expressed in tobacco was detected as a 40 kDa protein (much larger than its predicted size of 23 kDa) (Delano et al., 1999; Rocco et al., 2008). In this study, we detected one weak band at the expected molecular weight of full length PS-GFP (about 67 kDa based on the abnormal migration of PS, 40 kDa for PS plus 27 kDa for GFP) and one strong smaller band at 30 kDa in both infiltrated and non-infiltrated leaves (Figures 5A,B). In view of migration of the fusion proteins PS-GFP and SYS-GFP produced in *E. coli* as 67 kDa and 30 kDa polypeptides with SDS-PAGE, respectively (Supplementary Figure S1), we presumed that smaller protein detected in the agro-infiltrated leaves is the processed form (SYS-GFP) of PS-GFP protein, and its retarded mobility is probably due to the highly hydrophilic nature of the SYS peptide.

**LEU178 is Involved in PS Processing**

To confirm whether the protein detected in the pro35S::PS-GFP infiltrated tomato leaves was indeed SYS-GFP, we disrupted the putative processing site(s) of PS by mutating the amino acids bordering SYS peptide. The presumed junction (Leu178-Ala179) between the precursor and the processed peptide likely contains a recognition site for cleavage, as found for the plant peptide hormone RALF23 (Srivastava et al., 2009). Therefore mutagenesis of PS cDNA was performed at sequences encoding the Leu178-Ala179 junction (Figure 5A). We constructed two mutant plasmids, one containing a replacement of Ala for
Leu178 proximate to the N-terminus of the SYS domain, and the other in which Leu178 and Ala179 (the first residue of the SYS domain) were replaced by Asp and Glu, respectively (Figure 5A). Immunoblot analysis showed that as compared with leaves expressing pro35S::PS-GFP both simultaneous substitution of Leu178 and Ala179 and single substitution of Leu178 resulted in enhanced band of ∼67 kD (Figure 5C), suggesting that Leu178 is involved in PS processing in tomato.

Our evidence shows that transgenic PS mRNA can be mobile within the plants, and more importantly, the mobile transgenic PS mRNA may undergo translation into protein, suggesting that translocated PS mRNA from the stress-exposed tissues probably function as a long-distance signal to prime systemic defense resistance in tomato. However, we should note that in addition to PS mRNA, other molecules operating independently of or in parallel with the SYS signaling pathway might also travel throughout the tomato plant suffering from wounding. Previous work demonstrated that JA can travel throughout the plant and induce systemic wound responses in tomato (Li et al., 2002) although JA biosynthesis or downstream signaling is not essential for systemic acquired resistance in Arabidopsis (Attaran et al., 2009). Phloem-loaded-radiolabeled SYS was distributed throughout the plants within a few hours within the plant (Narváez-Vásquez et al., 1995). In addition, evidence has shown that volatile organic compounds play a role in within- and between-plant signaling (Heil and Ton, 2008). Taken together, it seems evident that within-plant signaling by PS mRNA can synergize the response to other signaling molecules such as JA or SYS peptide to regulate systemically expressed resistance.
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