Background

The global population is now living longer with consequences related to musculoskeletal conditions that comprised the second highest global volume of years lived with disability (YLD).1,2 Approximately 1.71 billion people have musculoskeletal conditions worldwide.3 Among musculoskeletal conditions, back pain is a major cause of disability that reduces worker performance, well-being, and increases absence from work, which can cause an enormous economic burden. In developing countries, particularly in Ethiopia, there is no adequate evidence on the overall prevalence of occupational-related upper and low back pain, and they remain less prioritized and empirically unrepresented. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the prevalence of occupational-related upper and low back pain among the working population of Ethiopia.
Among back pain, low back pain is a work-related disease/injury and leads to a huge worker’s compensation and a decrease in productivity and increased costs for workers, companies, and society in general. It is still one of the persistent public health challenges around the world and the most common type of musculoskeletal disorder (MSD) is usually related to work and work conditions. It results in increased demand for the utilization of healthcare services, causing temporary and permanent disability, and reduced quality of life. For example, 568 million people experienced low back pain and caused 64 million YLDS globally. It results in a serious social problem, huge worker’s compensation, and a decline in productivity.

In developing countries, where there is poor awareness of ergonomic issues, lack of adequate training, and problems are underreported, occupational-related back pain has increased. In Ethiopia, many studies have reported occupational-related upper and low back pain in different occupational settings. To our knowledge, there are limited studies conducted to determine and compare the prevalence of upper and low back pain in workplaces that are crucial to health and safety issues and to promote the implementation of environmental, ergonomic, and organizational interventions.

Thus, this systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to determine the prevalence of occupational-related upper and low back pain among the working population in Ethiopia. This study also provides detailed country-based information on the upper and low back pain, which contribute to the needs. Such figures can serve as powerful tools to strengthen and integrate control measures, to prevent upper and low back pain in working environments.

Methods
This study included articles that reported the prevalence of low back pain or and upper back pain in the previous year. The study was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) protocol.

Eligibility criteria
Inclusion criteria
i. Population: The study reported the prevalence of low and/or upper back pain among workers over or equal to 18 years of age regardless of their occupation.
ii. Study design: Cross-sectional studies
iii. Outcome: Studies that provided quantitative results (magnitude, frequency, proportion, or prevalence) in the last 12 months
iv. Exposure: In the work environment or in the workplace.
v. Study area: Studies conducted in Ethiopia
vi. Language: Full-text articles published in English.
vii. Publication issue: Articles published from 2017 to 2020 were included in the study to provide current evidence on the prevalence of upper and low back pain to be used by the policy makers and health program planners.

Exclusion criteria. Studies that did not report the prevalence of low or upper back pain in the last year (12 months), case reports, case series, qualitative studies, review articles, surveillance data/reports, conference abstracts, personal opinions, articles written in non-English language, articles had a high risk of bias, study not available in full texts, and studies published before 2017 were excluded from the study.

Information sources and search strategy
Articles were searched from electronic databases (Web of Science, SCOPUS, PubMed, Google Scholar, CINAHL, Cochrane Library, African Index Medicus, African Journals Online databases, and Science Direct) using a combination of Boolean logic operators (AND, OR, and NOT), Medical Subject Headings (MeSH), and main keywords.

The following are the search terms that the authors (DAM, AA, and YMD) used in the initial search of the articles: “Prevalence” OR “Magnitude” AND “Occupational related” OR “Work related” AND “Musculoskeletal” OR “Low back” OR “Upper back” AND “Disorders” OR “Disease” OR “Problems” OR “Pain” OR “Injury” AND “Working group” OR “Working population” OR “Workers” AND “Ethiopia.” Furthermore, the manual search was conducted to address articles not covered in the included electronic databases. The reference list of all selected articles was searched for more articles.

All identified keywords and index terms were checked by the authors (DAM, AA, and YMD) across the included electronic databases. The last search was done on October 12, 2020.

Study selection
After searching, duplicated articles were removed using the ENDNOTE software version X5 (Thomson Reuters, USA). The authors (DAM, AA, and YMD) screened the articles based on the titles and abstracts of the identified articles by applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Finally, the systematic review and meta-analysis included articles conducted in Ethiopia and published from 2017 to 2020 that reported the prevalence of low and/or upper back pain in the last year in different occupational settings to provide current evidence on the prevalence of low and upper back pain.

Data extraction and quality assessment
The authors (DAM, AA, and YMD) extracted the data from the eligible articles independently. A predefined Microsoft Excel 2016 format was used to extract data from selected studies under the following headings: author, publication year, sample size, study participants, occupation, sex, data collection tool, study region, study design, and primary outcomes of interest.

The quality of each article was evaluated to confirm the relevance of the articles to the study. The selected articles were subjected to a rigorous and independent evaluation using
standardized critical appraisal tools (JBI Critical Appraisal tools)\textsuperscript{46} to determine the quality and relevance of the articles. The score was taken for all studies and classified as high quality (85\% and above score), moderate quality (60\%-85\% score), and low quality (<60\% score). The disagreement made between the authors (DAM, AA, and YMD) was resolved by discussion after repeating the same procedure.

**Data analysis and statistical procedures**

The pooled prevalence of occupational-related upper and low back pain in the previous year was performed using comprehensive meta-analysis (CMA) version 3.0 statistical software. The forest plot and the random effects model were used to determine the upper and low back pain in the previous year.

The publication bias of the included articles was evaluated using funnel plots. A $P$-value of <.05 was considered as evidence of publication bias. Furthermore, subgroup analysis was performed based on the publication year, occupation categories, study region, and results to minimize random variations between the point estimates of the included articles. Finally, the characteristics of the included articles were presented using text, tables, and graphs.

**Heterogeneity**

The Cochran $Q$ test ($Q$) and the $I^2$ squared test ($I^2$ statistics) were used to evaluate the heterogeneity between the included articles. $I^2$ statistics is the proportion of variation in prevalence estimates due to genuine variation in prevalence.\textsuperscript{47,48} The level of heterogeneity was classified into 4 categories; no heterogeneity (0\%), low (25\%-50\%), moderate (50\%-75\%), and high heterogeneity (>75\%).\textsuperscript{49} Subgroup analysis was performed to determine the heterogeneity in prevalence, based on the years of publication, study population, study areas, and outcomes. Sensitivity analyses were performed to determine differences in pooled effects by dropping studies that were found to influence the summary estimates. A $P$-value of <.05 was considered as evidence of publication bias.

**Results**

**Study selection**

A total of 1114 articles were searched from the included electronic databases (Web of Science, SCOPUS, PubMed, Google Scholar, CINAHL, African Index Medicus, African Journals Online databases, and Science direct) from September 10th, 2020 to October 12th, 2020. The search was carried out by the authors (DAM, YMD, and AA) from the included electronic databases independently. Then, 285 duplicate articles were removed using the ENDNOTE software version X5 (Thomson Reuters, USA). A total of 706 articles were excluded after the initial screening based on title and abstract. Thirty-five articles were excluded after the eligibility of full-text articles was evaluated, of which 20 articles were included in the systematic review and meta-analysis (Figure 1).

**Characteristics of the included articles**

In this study, a total of 9410 participants were included in 20 articles conducted in Ethiopia and published from 2017 to 2020,\textsuperscript{15,19,20,28-44} 9 (45.0\%) articles\textsuperscript{15,19,29,31,36,38,40,43,44} were conducted in Oromia, 3 (15\%) in Tigray,\textsuperscript{20,30,41} 3 (15\%) in SNNP,\textsuperscript{28,33,34} 3 (15\%) in Addis Ababa,\textsuperscript{32,37,42} and 2 (10\%) articles in Amhara region.\textsuperscript{19,35} The included studies were cross-sectional studies with a sample size ranging from 264\textsuperscript{41} to 771\textsuperscript{37} study participants.

Among the included articles, 10 (50\%)\textsuperscript{15,19,20,29,32,33,36,37,39} articles reported the prevalence of low back pain alone, 9 (45\%)\textsuperscript{28,30,31,34,38,40-42,44} articles reported both low back pain and upper back pain, and 1 (5\%)\textsuperscript{35} article reported the prevalence of upper back pain alone.

Furthermore, 8 (40\%) articles published in 2020,\textsuperscript{28,30,31,33,40-43} followed by studies published in 2019\textsuperscript{15,19,20,35,38,40} that represented 6 (30\%) of the included articles. Based on the JBI Critical Assessment tool,\textsuperscript{46} all articles included had a low risk of bias. Occupational-related prevalence of low and upper back pain in the last year ranged from 25.5\%\textsuperscript{38} to 74.8\%\textsuperscript{20} and 10.4\%\textsuperscript{28} to 60.4\%,\textsuperscript{34} respectively.

The sex of study participants was specified in 18 (90\%) articles\textsuperscript{15,19,20,28-31,33-37,39-44}; there were 4906 (57.2\%) males and 3672 (42.8\%) females. The Nordic musculoskeletal questionnaire was used for data collection in 18 (90\%) studies\textsuperscript{15,19,20,28,37,39-43} (Table 1).

**Prevalence of occupational-related upper and low back pain**

Meta-analysis was performed using the Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) Version 3 statistical package (software) to determine the pooled prevalence of occupational-related low and upper back pain in Ethiopia.

**Prevalence of occupational-related upper back pain.** The pooled prevalence of occupational-related upper back pain in the previous year was (27.1\% [95\% CI: 18.4, 37.9]) (Figure 2). After a subgroup analysis was performed based on occupation, the pooled prevalence of upper back pain in the previous year was (34.7\% [95\% CI: 33.1, 36.2]). The lowest prevalence (10.4\% [95\% CI: 7.6, 14.1]) was reported among vehicle repair workers, while the highest prevalence (60.4\% [95\% CI: 55.7, 65.0]) was reported among pedestrian back-loading women (Supplementary File I; Figure 1).

After the subgroup analysis was performed based on the publication year, the pooled prevalence of occupational-related upper back pain in the previous year was (43.8\% [95\% CI: 39.9, 47.7]). The lowest prevalence (15.3\% [95\% CI: 11.7, 19.8]) was reported in the study published in 2018, while the highest
Prevalence of occupational-related upper back pain. The pooled prevalence of upper back pain was 60.4% [95% CI: 55.7, 65.0] among the studies published in 2017 (Supplementary File I; Figure 2). Furthermore, based on the study region, the pooled prevalence of upper back pain was 36.2% [95% CI: 33.6, 39.0]. The lowest prevalence was 22.1% [95% CI: 9.2, 44.5] among the studies conducted in the Oromia regional state, while the highest prevalence was 38.8% [95% CI: 34.2, 43.6] among the studies conducted in the Amhara region (Supplementary File I; Figure 3).

Prevalence of occupational-related low back pain. The pooled prevalence of low back pain in the previous year was 54.2% [95% CI: 48.2, 60.0] (Figure 3). Based on the subgroup analysis by occupation, the pooled prevalence of low back pain in the previous year was 52.8% [95% CI: 51.3, 54.3]. The lowest prevalence was 25.5% [95% CI: 21.5, 29.9] among construction workers, while the highest prevalence was 67.3% [95% CI: 62.7, 71.6] among pedestrian back-loading women (Supplementary File I; Figure 4).

After subgroup analysis was performed based on the publication year, the pooled prevalence of low back pain was 61.8% [95% CI: 58.9, 64.6]. The lowest pooled prevalence (46.9% [95% CI: 39.9, 54.0]) was reported among studies published in 2020, while the highest prevalence (65.7% [95% CI: 62.5, 68.9]) was reported among studies published in 2017 (Supplementary File I; Figure 5).

Furthermore, the subgroup analysis was performed by study region, the pooled prevalence of low back pain was 55.2% [95% CI: 51.4, 59.0]. The lowest pooled prevalence (50.7% [95% CI: 25.0, 76.0]) was reported among the studies conducted in the Tigray regional state, while the highest prevalence (56.3% [95% CI: 37.1, 73.9]) was reported among the studies conducted in Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples (Supplementary File I; Figure 6).

The result of the sensitivity analysis indicated that there was no significant difference between the pooled prevalence, before and after the sensitivity analysis. There is no significant difference between the overall pooled prevalence of upper and low back pain before and after the sensitivity analyses (Table 2).

Subgroup analysis based on the outcome. After subgroup analysis was performed based on the outcomes, the pooled prevalence of occupational-related upper and low back pain in the previous year was 54.2% [95% CI: 48.2-60.0] and 31.0% [95% CI: 21.6-42.3], respectively (Figure 4).
Table 1. Overall characteristics of included articles in the systematic review and meta-analysis.

| AUTHORS             | PUBLICATION YEAR | STUDY YEAR | SAMPLE SIZE | STUDY DESIGN      | LOW BACK PAIN (%) | UPPER BACK PAIN (%) | POPULATION | STUDY PARTICIPANTS (GENDER) | DATA COLLECTION TOOL | REGION |
|---------------------|------------------|------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| Tamene et al⁴⁸      | 2020             | 2019       | 344         | Cross-sectional   | 62.8              | 10.4                | Vehicle repair workers | 340 | 4 | NMQ | SNNP |
| Tafese et al⁴⁹      | 2018             | 2015       | 422         | Cross-sectional   | 64.9              | NA                  | Industry workers       | 52  | 370 | NMQ | Oromia |
| Kibret et al⁵⁰      | 2020             | 2018       | 307         | Cross-sectional   | 40.4              | 33.6                | Bank workers           | 198 | 109 | NMQ | Tigray |
| Hailu et al³¹        | 2020             | 2018       | 412         | Cross-sectional   | 35.9              | 15.8                | Industry workers       | 257 | 155 | NMQ and Oswestry back pain disability index | Oromia |
| Wanamo et al⁵²      | 2017             | 2015       | 422         | Cross-sectional   | 64.2              | NA                  | Industry workers       | Not specified | 408 | 217 | NMQ | Addis Ababa |
| Fanta et al³³       | 2020             | 2017       | 625         | Cross-sectional   | 38.4              | NA                  | Civil service workers  | 422 | 331 | NMQ | SNNP |
| Henok and Bekele³⁴  | 2017             | 2016       | 422         | Cross-sectional   | 67.3              | 60.4                | Pedestrian back-loading women | 280 | 331 | NMQ | SNNP |
| Kebede et al⁵⁰      | 2019             | 2015       | 611         | Cross-sectional   | 74.8              | NA                  | Teachers               | 362 | 55  | NMQ | Amhara |
| Yosef et al⁵⁵       | 2019             | 2018       | 400         | Cross-sectional   | 65.0              | NA                  | Truck drivers          | 393 | 378 | NMQ | Addis Ababa |
| Mekonnen et al⁵⁶    | 2019             | 2018       | 417         | Cross-sectional   | 38.8              | NA                  | Barbers                | 397 | 378 | NMQ | Addis Ababa |
| Olana³⁶             | 2018             | 2017       | 660         | Cross-sectional   | 58.2              | NA                  | Industry workers       | 372 | 294 | NMQ | Oromia |
| Abebaw et al³⁷      | 2018             | 2016       | 771         | Cross-sectional   | 44.0              | NA                  | Teachers               | 280 | 331 | NMQ | Addis Ababa |
| Lette et al³⁸       | 2019             | 2017       | 410         | Cross-sectional   | 25.5              | 15.7                | Construction workers   | Not specified | 297 | 294 | NMQ | Oromia |
| Mekonnen³⁹          | 2019             | 2017       | 429         | Cross-sectional   | 55.7              | NA                  | Barbers                | 373 | 56  | NMQ | Amhara |
| Mekonnen³⁹          | 2019             | 2017       | 418         | Cross-sectional   | 63.6              | NA                  | Nurses                 | 185 | 233 | NMQ | Oromia |
| Mekonnen et al⁴⁰    | 2020             | 2019       | 652         | Cross-sectional   | 53.2              | 50.4                | Hairdressers           | 358 | 294 | NMQ | Oromia |
| Melese et al⁴¹      | 2020             | 2019       | 264         | Cross-sectional   | 34.8              | 17.0                | Cleaners               | 264 | 294 | NMQ | Tigray |
| Dagne et al⁴²       | 2020             | 2016-2017  | 755         | Cross-sectional   | 54.3              | 35.4                | Bank workers           | 372 | 383 | NMQ | Addis Ababa |
| Tolera and Kabeto⁴³ | 2020             | 2018       | 368         | Cross-sectional   | 55.7              | NA                  | Beauty Salon Workers   | 320 | 48  | NMQ | Oromia |
| Regassa et al⁴⁴     | 2018             | 2015       | 301         | Cross-sectional   | 67.8              | 15.3                | Nurses                 | 159 | 142 | DMQ | Oromia |

Abbreviations: DMQ, Dutch musculoskeletal questionnaire; NMQ, Nordic musculoskeletal questionnaire.
| Study name          | Event rate | Lower limit | Upper limit | Z-Value | p-Value |
|---------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| Tamene et al        | 0.104      | 0.076       | 0.141       | -12.193 | 0.000   |
| Kibret et al        | 0.336      | 0.308       | 0.415       | -4.839  | 0.000   |
| Hailu et al         | 0.158      | 0.126       | 0.196       | -12.387 | 0.000   |
| Henok and Bekele    | 0.604      | 0.557       | 0.650       | -4.241  | 0.000   |
| Mekonnen et al (a)  | 0.388      | 0.342       | 0.436       | -4.535  | 0.000   |
| Lette, et al        | 0.157      | 0.125       | 0.196       | -12.381 | 0.000   |
| Mekonnen et al (b)  | 0.504      | 0.466       | 0.542       | 0.204   | 0.838   |
| Melese et al        | 0.170      | 0.129       | 0.220       | -9.678  | 0.000   |
| Dagne et al         | 0.354      | 0.321       | 0.389       | -7.904  | 0.000   |
| Regassa et al       | 0.153      | 0.117       | 0.198       | -10.688 | 0.000   |
| Overall             | 0.271      | 0.184       | 0.379       | -3.902  | 0.000   |

Heterogeneity (I Squared) = 98.029; P-Value <0.0001

**Figure 2.** Forest plot shows the pooled prevalence of occupational-related upper back pain in the previous year in Ethiopia, 2020.

| Study name          | Event rate | Lower limit | Upper limit | Z-Value | p-Value |
|---------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| Tamene et al        | 0.628      | 0.576       | 0.677       | 4.694   | 0.000   |
| Tafese et al        | 0.649      | 0.602       | 0.693       | 6.026   | 0.000   |
| Kibret et al        | 0.404      | 0.351       | 0.460       | -3.343  | 0.001   |
| Hailu et al         | 0.359      | 0.314       | 0.407       | -5.645  | 0.000   |
| Wanamo et al        | 0.642      | 0.595       | 0.686       | 5.752   | 0.000   |
| Fanta et al         | 0.384      | 0.347       | 0.423       | -5.746  | 0.000   |
| Henok and Bekele    | 0.673      | 0.627       | 0.716       | 6.956   | 0.000   |
| Kebede et al        | 0.748      | 0.712       | 0.781       | 11.676  | 0.000   |
| Yosef et al         | 0.650      | 0.602       | 0.695       | 5.905   | 0.000   |
| Olana               | 0.582      | 0.544       | 0.619       | 4.194   | 0.000   |
| Abebaw, et al       | 0.440      | 0.405       | 0.475       | -3.324  | 0.001   |
| Lette, et al.       | 0.255      | 0.215       | 0.299       | -9.462  | 0.000   |
| Mekonnen (a)        | 0.557      | 0.510       | 0.603       | 2.356   | 0.018   |
| Mekonnen(b)         | 0.636      | 0.589       | 0.681       | 5.490   | 0.000   |
| Mekonnen et al (b)  | 0.532      | 0.494       | 0.570       | 1.633   | 0.102   |
| Melese et al        | 0.348      | 0.293       | 0.407       | -4.859  | 0.000   |
| Dagne et al         | 0.543      | 0.507       | 0.578       | 2.360   | 0.018   |
| Tolea & Kabeto      | 0.557      | 0.506       | 0.607       | 2.182   | 0.029   |
| Regassa et al       | 0.678      | 0.623       | 0.728       | 6.036   | 0.000   |
| Overall             | 0.542      | 0.482       | 0.600       | 1.363   | 0.173   |

Heterogeneity (I Squared) = 96.78; P-Value <0.0001

**Random effect model**

**Figure 3.** Forest plot shows the pooled prevalence of occupational-related low back pain in the previous year in Ethiopia, 2020.
### Statistics for each study

| Group by Pain | Study name | Event rate | Lower limit | Upper limit | Z-Value | p-Value |
|---------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| LBP           | Tamene et al | 0.628      | 0.576       | 0.677       | 4.694   | 0.000   |
| LBP           | Tafese et al | 0.649      | 0.602       | 0.693       | 6.026   | 0.000   |
| LBP           | Kibret et al | 0.404      | 0.351       | 0.460       | -3.343  | 0.001   |
| LBP           | Hailu et al  | 0.359      | 0.314       | 0.407       | -5.645  | 0.000   |
| LBP           | Wanamo et al | 0.642      | 0.595       | 0.686       | 5.752   | 0.000   |
| LBP           | Fanta et al  | 0.384      | 0.347       | 0.423       | -5.746  | 0.000   |
| LBP           | Henok and Bekele | 0.673 | 0.627 | 0.716 | 6.956 | 0.000 |
| LBP           | Kebede et al | 0.748      | 0.712       | 0.781       | 11.676  | 0.000   |
| LBP           | Yosef et al  | 0.650      | 0.602       | 0.695       | 5.905   | 0.000   |
| LBP           | Olanu        | 0.582      | 0.544       | 0.619       | 4.194   | 0.000   |
| LBP           | Abebaw, el  | 0.440      | 0.405       | 0.475       | -3.324  | 0.001   |
| LBP           | Lette, el.   | 0.255      | 0.215       | 0.299       | -9.462  | 0.000   |
| LBP           | Mekonnen (a) | 0.557      | 0.510       | 0.603       | 2.356   | 0.018   |
| LBP           | Mekonnen (b) | 0.635      | 0.588       | 0.680       | 5.450   | 0.000   |
| LBP           | Mekonnen et al (a) | 0.532 | 0.494 | 0.570 | 1.633 | 0.102 |
| LBP           | Melese et al | 0.348      | 0.293       | 0.407       | -4.859  | 0.000   |
| LBP           | Dagne et al  | 0.543      | 0.507       | 0.578       | 2.360   | 0.018   |
| LBP           | Tolea and Kabeto | 0.557 | 0.506 | 0.607 | 2.182 | 0.029 |
| LBP           | Regassa et al | 0.678      | 0.623       | 0.728       | 6.036   | 0.000   |
| LBP           | Lette et al. | 0.542      | 0.482       | 0.600       | 1.361   | 0.173   |
| UBP           | Tamene et al | 0.104      | 0.076       | 0.141       | -12.193 | 0.000 |
| UBP           | Kibret et al | 0.336      | 0.285       | 0.391       | -5.637  | 0.000   |
| UBP           | Hailu et al  | 0.598      | 0.532       | 0.667       | 3.234   | 0.001   |
| UBP           | Henok and Bekele | 0.604 | 0.557 | 0.650 | 4.241 | 0.000 |
| UBP           | Mekonnen et al (a) | 0.388 | 0.342 | 0.436 | -4.535 | 0.000 |
| UBP           | Lette, et al | 0.157      | 0.125       | 0.196       | -12.381 | 0.000 |
| UBP           | Mekonnen et al (b) | 0.504 | 0.466 | 0.542 | 0.204 | 0.838 |
| UBP           | Melese et al | 0.170      | 0.129       | 0.220       | -9.678  | 0.000   |
| UBP           | Dagne et al  | 0.354      | 0.321       | 0.389       | -7.904  | 0.000   |
| UBP           | Regassa et al | 0.153      | 0.117       | 0.198       | -10.688 | 0.000 |
| UBP           | Overall      | 0.495      | 0.441       | 0.548       | -0.197  | 0.844   |

**Figure 4.** Forest plot shows the subgroup analysis of pooled prevalence of occupational related upper and low back pain in the previous year based on the outcome, 2020.

**Figure 5.** The funnel plot of the prevalence of occupational related low back pain, showing level of publication bias.
In this meta-analysis, publication biases were visualized using funnel plots. Publication bias was examined using the Begg and Egger tests. The Begg test found a $P$-value of .806 and .929 for low back, and upper back pain, respectively. Similarly, Egger tests found a $P$-value of .8367 and .999 for upper and low back pain, respectively. These results indicated that the probability of publication bias was not statistically significant (Figures 5 and 6).

**Discussion**

The current study was conducted to determine the pooled prevalence of occupational-related upper and low back pain in Ethiopia (2017-2020). In this study, a total of 9410 study participants, regardless of their occupation categories, were included in the 20 selected articles.15,19,20,28-44 Back pain, such as upper and low back pain are the leading cause of loss of productivity and absenteeism of employees and affects quality of life.50,51 The current study found that the prevalence of low back pain among the Ethiopian working population ranged from 25.5% to 67.3%, which was lower than the finding of another study conducted in Saudi Arabia, which reported the prevalence of low back pain in different professional groups ranged from 64% to 89%.52

Furthermore, the current study found that the pooled prevalence of occupational-related low back pain was (54.2% [95% CI: 48.2, 60.0]), which was relatively lower than the finding of another study conducted in Africa, which reported a pooled prevalence of 57% of low back pain.53 The difference may be related to the scope of the study or variation in the implementation of engineering and administrative control measures, low awareness of occupational-related hazards, and physical exercise.

The pooled prevalence of low back pain increased to (61.8% [95% CI: 58.9, 64.6]) and (55.2% [95% CI: 58.4, 59.0]) after subgroup analysis was performed based on publication year and study area, respectively. However, the prevalence of low back pain decreased to (52.8% [95% CI: 51.3, 54.3]), after subgroup analysis was performed based on study participants/occupations. There was variation in the prevalence of low back pain among different study populations or occupations. The variation may be due to differences in occupation or working environments or differences in the implementation of control measures and safety practices. For example, the current study found that the prevalence of low back pain among nurses was 65.4%, which was relatively consistent with the work done in Saudi Arabia and Iran that found that the prevalence of low back pain was 65.0% and 61.2%, respectively.51,54

On the other hand, the current study found that the pooled prevalence of occupational-related upper back pain in the previous year was (27.1% [95% CI: 18.4, 37.9]). However, after subgroup analysis was performed based on publication year, study participants, and study area, the pooled prevalence of upper back pain increased to (43.8% [95% CI: 39.3, 47.7]), (34.7% [95% CI: 33.1, 36.2]), and (36.2% [95% CI: 33.6, 39.0]), respectively. The highest prevalence of work-related upper back pain (60.4%) was reported among pedestrian

---

**Table 2.** The pooled prevalence of upper and lower back pain after sensitivity analysis.

| PAIN            | VARIABLE                               | PREVALENCE (%) | 95% CI       | $P$     | $P$ VALUE |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|---------|-----------|
| Low back pain   | After excluding lower outcome          | 55.7           | 50.2-61.1    | 96.048  | <.001     |
|                 | After excluding small sample size and small prevalence | 56.9           | 51.5-62.2    | 95.867  | .012      |
| Upper back pain | By removing lower outcome              | 28.1           | 18.9-39.7    | 98.104  | <.001     |
|                 | Removing small sample size and small prevalence | 29.4           | 20.3-40.5    | 97.897  | <.001     |

---

**Figure 6.** The funnel plot of the prevalence of occupational related upper back pain, showing level of publication bias.
back-loading women, followed by the prevalence reported among hairdressers (50.4%). The lowest prevalence (10.4%) was reported among vehicle repair workers. Variation may be related to variation in activities, workload, nature of work, and physical exercise.

In general, the current study found that at least 1 out of 4 study participants experienced work-related upper back pain, while 1 out of 2 participants experienced low back pain regardless of occupation categories. Performing physical exercise can reduce low back pain. The exercise in combination with education is likely to reduce the risk of low back pain.

Limitations
There was an unequal distribution of occupations among the included articles. On the other hand, the prevalence of upper and low back pain in some regions of Ethiopia was not covered due to the lack of studies in these regions.

Conclusion
Occupational-related upper and low back pain continue to have a potential impact on worker health, productivity, and quality of life worldwide. This study found that more than half of the participants included experienced low back pain in the previous year, while more than one-fourth experienced upper back pain.
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