Scattering amplitudes from a deconstruction of Feynman diagrams
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We show how to apply the BCFW recursion relation to Feynman loop integrals with the help of the Feynman-tree theorem. We deconstruct in this way all Feynman diagrams in terms of on-shell subamplitudes. Every cut originating from the Feynman-tree theorem corresponds to an integration over the phase space of an unobserved particle pair. We argue that we can calculate scattering amplitudes alternatively by the construction of on-shell and gauge-invariant subamplitudes.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years lots of effort has been spent on the calculation of scattering amplitudes without the usual Feynman diagram approach; see the reviews \cite{1-5}. With an increasing perturbation order or with an increasing number of external particles, the number of Feynman diagrams grows in general rapidly. However, the final scattering amplitude typically collapses to a rather short expression. One example is the very short Parke-Taylor formula \cite{6} for the tree-level scattering amplitude for an arbitrary number of external gluons. A tremendous simplification arises from the analytic continuation of the momenta of external particles in the BCFW recursion relation approach \cite{7,8}. In particular all internal lines become on-shell and gauge invariance holds diagram by diagram. This means that unphysical degrees-of-freedom do not enter the calculation. The BCFW recursion relations are valid in gauge theories \cite{9}, but are restricted to tree diagrams (like the Parke-Taylor formula). There are attempts to generalize the BCFW recursion relation to Feynman loop diagrams; see for instance \cite{10}.

Considering loop diagrams, there is the well-known unitarity cut relation which reduces the loop order of a Feynman diagram. However, the unitarity cut only gives a relation to the imaginary part of a loop diagram. It has been shown that by a generalization of this idea one-loop amplitudes can be constructed by generalized unitarity cuts \cite{11,13}.

The Feynman-tree theorem, introduced by Richard Feynman in 1963 \cite{15,16}, opens the loops recursively and is not limited to one-loop Feynman diagrams. In each recursion step a loop is cut in all possible ways from single cuts up to \(n\) cuts, with \(n\) the number of propagators in the considered loop. In each recursion step a loop diagram with \(n\) propagators is decomposed into \(n^2 - 1\) diagrams with at least one order of loop reduced. Typically not all of these diagrams contribute. In this sense the Feynman-tree theorem gives a natural decomposition of Feynman diagrams into generalized cut diagrams. Recursively, we can open all loops of a Feynman diagram, that is, we can deconstruct eventually Feynman loop diagrams in terms of tree diagrams. There is some recent interest in the Feynman tree theorem; see for instance \cite{17,20}.

Here we shall argue that the Feynman-tree theorem combined with the BCFW recursion relation allows for a systematic deconstruction of Feynman diagrams into a product of on-shell subamplitudes. Every cut gives a phase space integration over a pair of unobserved particles. Subsequent application of the BCFW recursion relation through analytic continuation of the external momenta allows for a complete factorization of each tree diagram into simple vertex amplitudes. In this way, any Feynman loop diagram is deconstructable in terms of on-shell subamplitudes. In particular, each subamplitude is gauge invariant. One subtle point is that the Feynman-tree-theorem cuts give new external particles with opposite momentum. Singularities are encoded in the corresponding phase space integrations and we have to regularize all diagrams to keep track of the singularities. The key is to regularize the infrared and ultraviolet singularities consistently. We will show that this is possible in dimensional regularization. The method of first opening the loops with the help of the Feynman-tree theorem and then applying BCFW recursion has been discussed in \cite{21} with an explicit example of a two-point function employing Pauli-Villars regularization.

Eventually we propose an alternative way to compose scattering amplitudes from a product of on-shell, gauge invariant subamplitudes. Depending on the perturbation order considered, an appropriate number of unobserved particles has to be introduced. Over the phase space of these unobserved particles has to be integrated. In this way, no virtual particles appear and we get the scattering amplitudes in a rather direct way. The main focus in this work does not lie on the simplification of the actual computation of amplitudes, since we trade loop integrations off against phase-space integrations. This is in particular true because of the rather large number of subamplitudes. The
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point is that the composition of gauge-invariant subamplitudes with on-shell particles represents physical scattering amplitudes, since unphysical off-shell degrees as well as gauge degrees are systematically avoided.

Since we dimensionally regularize infrared and ultraviolet singularities, the Weyl spinor formalism can not be applied directly as long as the Weyl spinors are given in two dimensions, corresponding to four-dimensional Dirac spinors. It would be interesting to extend the method shown here to the Weyl spinor formalism. Some work has been done in context of Weyl spinors with generalized cuts; see for instance [22–24].

In the following section 2, we present the details of the argument to deconstruct Feynman diagrams in terms of on-shell gauge-invariant amplitudes. In section 3 we illustrate the method in an explicit example, the electron-photon vertex correction.

2. DECONSTRUCTING FEYNMAN DIAGRAMS

In order to make this paper more self-contained, we briefly remind the reader about the Feynman-tree theorem [15, 16]. A Feynman diagram with vertex correction. It would be interesting to extend the method shown here to the Weyl spinor formalism. The integrations over the directly as long as the Weyl spinors are given in two dimensions, corresponding to four-dimensional Dirac spinors. Since we dimensionally regularize infrared and ultraviolet singularities, the Weyl spinor formalism can not be applied in terms of on-shell subamplitudes, since unphysical off-shell degrees as well as gauge degrees are systematically avoided.

The numerator of the loop diagram \( N \) terms of tree diagrams. Let us note that a loop diagram with propagators replaced by the delta distribution terms. In the product expansion we find that at least one \( \delta \) with \( \pi \delta(x) \), with P.V. the principal value prescription we have

\[
G_A(p) = G_F(p) - 2\pi \delta^{(+)}(p^2 - m^2)
\]

with \( \delta^{(+)}(p^2 - m^2) = \theta(p_0)\delta(p^2 - m^2) \), as usual. In an arbitrary loop diagram we subsequently consider each loop and replace the usual Feynman propagators \( G_F(p) \) by the advanced propagators \( G_A(p) \). In the loop integration, owing to the advanced propagators, the poles of the zero component are now above the real axis. We therefore see that the loop integral vanishes when we close the integration contour on the lower half plane. With (2.3) we get

\[
0 = \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} N(q) \prod_i G^{(i)}_F(q - p_1 - \ldots - p_i)
\]

\[
= \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} N(q) \prod_i \left\{ G^{(i)}_F(q - p_1 - \ldots - p_i) - 2\pi \delta^{(+)}((k - p_1 - \ldots - p_i)^2 - m^2) \right\}.
\]

The numerator of the loop diagram \( N(q) \) depends in general also on the loop momentum, as indicated. We recognize the recursion relation in the last expression of (2.4): the original Feynman loop diagram is given in terms of diagrams with propagators replaced by the delta distribution terms. In the product expansion we find that at least one propagator is cut reducing the number of loops about at least one unit. Recursive application opens all loops in terms of tree diagrams. Let us note that a loop diagram with \( n \) propagators results in each recursion step to \( n^2 - 1 \) loop-reduced diagrams.

We observe that by the BCFW recursion relations [7, 8] we can express the tree amplitudes, resulting from the Feynman-tree theorem, in terms of on-shell amplitudes. The basic idea of the BCFW recursion relations is analytic continuation of the external momenta. In this way tree amplitudes factorize into on-shell subamplitudes without violation of momentum conservation. In an arbitrary tree amplitude let us denote the \( e \) external momenta by \( p_1^0 \) with \( i = 1, \ldots, e \). These external momenta are shifted,

\[
p_i^0 = p_i^0 + z \cdot r_i^0
\]

with one common \( z \in \mathbb{C} \) and appropriately chosen vectors \( r_i \), such that \( r_1 + \ldots + r_e = 0 \), \( r_i \cdot r_j = 0 \) \( (i, j \in \{1, \ldots, e\}) \), \( r_1 p_1 = \ldots = r_e p_e = 0 \), keeping in particular \( \hat{p}_T^2 = p_T^2 \) invariant. By the analytic continuation (2.5) a tree amplitude \( A \) can be decomposed in terms of on-shell subamplitudes,

\[
A = -\sum_{z_l} \text{Res}_{z = z_l} \frac{\hat{A}(z)}{z} + B = \sum_{\text{diagram } l} \hat{A}_L(z_l) \cdot \frac{1}{\hat{P}_l^2} \cdot \hat{A}_R(z_l) + B.
\]
Here, $\hat{A}(z)$ denotes the shifted amplitude with the position of the poles in the complex plane at $z = z_1$. On the right-hand side we have the on-shell subamplitudes $\hat{A}_L(z_1)$ and $\hat{A}_R(z_1)$, with a propagator factor $1/P_1^2$. The term $B$ denotes the residues of the poles of $\hat{A}(z)$ at $|z| \to \infty$. In case of a vanishing term $B$ we have on the right-hand side the desired factorization into on-shell subamplitudes. It has been shown that the term $B$ vanishes for an appropriate shift of the external momenta in gauge theories [9]. An example of a theory, where we do not have a vanishing contribution $B$ is $\phi^4$ theory, as discussed in [25]. Considering gauge theories we have therefore the appropriate method we can apply to the diagrams originating from the cut diagrams, which themselves come from the Feynman-tree theorem. Note that all internal particles become on-shell, on the one hand from the cuts of the Feynman-tree theorem and on the other hand from the BCFW recursion relations. Every cut corresponds to a pair of unobserved particles. These pairs have opposite momenta and correspond to an unobserved particle-antiparticle state. We have to sum over all possible spins (and other degrees-of-freedom) of the unobserved particles.

We observe that we equivalently can start the construction of scattering amplitudes by gauge invariant on-shell diagrams: to a given perturbation order we have to consider an appropriate number of unobserved particles. In particular all particles are on-shell and each contribution is gauge invariant. All unphysical off-shell degrees-of-freedom as well as gauge degrees do not enter the calculation. In the next section we shall demonstrate this in an explicit example, the electron-photon vertex correction.

3. EXAMPLE: ELECTRON-PHOTON VERTEX CORRECTION

We want to demonstrate the deconstruction of Feynman integrals in a simple example, the vertex correction to the scattering of an electron with a photon. The Feynman diagram of the vertex correction is shown in Fig. 1. We have to integrate over the undetermined loop momentum $q$ and find in the usual Feynman diagram approach

$$A_3(p_1; s_1, p_2; s_2, p_3; \lambda) = \bar{u}(p_2, s_2) \cdot \Gamma_\mu(p_1, p_2) \cdot u(p_1, s_1) e^{i\theta}(p_3, \lambda) \quad (3.1)$$

with

$$\Gamma_\mu(p_1, p_2) = e^{i\frac{\mu}{4-D}} \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D q^2} \left\{ \frac{\gamma_\mu(q + p_1 + m) \gamma_\mu(q + p_2 + m) \gamma_\mu(q)}{((q + p_1)^2 - m^2)((q + p_2)^2 - m^2)} \right\} \quad (3.2)$$

The momenta of the external particles are denoted by $p_1$, $p_2$, $p_3$, the mass of the electron by $m$ and the spins of the electrons by $s_1$ and $s_2$, whereas the polarization of the photon is denoted by $\lambda$. Since the diagram is singular we employ dimensional regularization which gives the usual $D$-dimensional integral measure together with an arbitrary mass scale $\mu$. Using Passarino-Veltman tensor reduction [26] and standard scalar integrals we find the well-known result

$$\Gamma_\mu(p_1, p_2) = \frac{ie^3}{(4\pi)^2} \left\{ \frac{\gamma_\mu(4B_0(m^2, m, 0) - 3B_0((p_1 - p_2)^2, m, m) + (4m^2 - 2(p_1 - p_2)^2)C_0(m^2, m^2, (p_1 - p_2)^2, m, m, 0) - 2)}{4m^2 - (p_1 - p_2)^2} \right\} \quad (3.3)$$

with $B_0$ and $C_0$ the standard scalar integrals (see for instance [27] for explicit expressions for the scalar integrals). In particular the ultraviolet and infrared singularities of this vertex correction are encoded in these scalar integrals.

We start the deconstruction of the vertex correction [3.1], [3.2] with the Feynman-tree theorem [24]. Since the loop has three internal lines, there are $2^3 - 1 = 7$ cut diagrams as shown in Fig. 2. Moreover, the loop diagram is given in $D$ dimensions, so the integration measure in [24] has to be generalized to $D$ dimensions. The first row
in Fig. 2 shows the single cuts, the second row the double cuts, and the last row the triple cut. The separate cut diagrams are

\[ A_3^{(i)}(p_1; s_1, p_2; s_2, p_3; \lambda) = \bar{u}(p_2, s_2) \cdot \Gamma_\mu^{(i)}(p_1, p_2) \cdot u(p_1, s_1) \epsilon_\mu(p_3, \lambda), \]  

(3.4)

where \( i \) denotes the diagram number as given in Fig. 2.

The first single-cut diagram with the cut in the photon propagator, with view on (2.4), reads

\[
\Gamma_\mu^{(1)}(p_1, p_2) = -e^3 \mu^{4-D} \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} 2\pi \cdot \delta^{(+)}(q^2) \frac{\gamma_\alpha(g + \not{p}_2 + m)\gamma_\mu(g + \not{p}_1 + m)\gamma^\alpha}{[(q + p_1)^2 - m^2][(q + p_2)^2 - m^2]} 
\]

(3.5)

In the last step we have rewritten the loop integral measure as a phase space integral over the unobserved on-shell photon pair and relabeled \( q \) as \( k_1 \). We have to sum over the on-shell helicities of the photon pair.

For the second single-cut diagram we find

\[
\Gamma_\mu^{(2)}(p_1, p_2) = -e^3 \mu^{4-D} \sum_{\lambda_1} \int \frac{d^{D-1} k_1}{(2\pi)^{D-1}2k_{10}} \frac{\gamma_\alpha(k_1 + \not{p}_2 + m)\gamma_\mu(k_1 + \not{p}_1 + m)\gamma_\beta}{[(k_1 + p_1)^2 - m^2][(k_1 + p_2)^2 - m^2]} \cdot \epsilon^\alpha(k_1, \lambda_1) \epsilon^\beta(k_1, \lambda_1). 
\]

(3.6)

We have to sum over the helicities of the unobserved on-shell Dirac electron. We see the explicit integration over the phase space of the unobserved electron.

The calculation of the third single-cut amplitude can be performed analogously. Let us proceed with the double-cut diagrams. We explicitly calculate the diagram 4,

\[
\Gamma_\mu^{(4)}(p_1, p_2) = e^3 \mu^{4-D} \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} 2\pi \cdot \delta^{(+)}((q + p_1)^2 - m^2) 2\pi \cdot \delta^{(+)}((q + p_2)^2 - m^2) \frac{\gamma_\alpha(g + \not{p}_2 + m)\gamma_\mu(g + \not{p}_1 + m)\gamma^\alpha}{q^2} 
\]

(3.7)

\[
= e^3 \mu^{4-D} \sum_{k_3, k_4} \int \frac{d^{D-1} k_1}{(2\pi)^{D-1}2k_{10}} \frac{d^{D-1} k_2}{(2\pi)^{D-1}2k_{20}} \frac{2\pi \delta^{(D)}(p_1 - p_2 + k_2 - k_1)}{(2\pi)^D \delta^{(D)}(p_1 - p_2 + k_2 - k_1)} \frac{\gamma_\alpha u(k_2, s_4) \cdot \bar{u}(k_2, s_4) \gamma_\mu u(k_1, s_3) \cdot \bar{u}(k_1, s_3) \gamma^\alpha}{(k_1 - p_1)^2}. 
\]
We see the explicit phase space integration over the two unobserved Dirac electrons. The other two double-cut diagrams \( \Gamma^{(5)}_\mu \) and \( \Gamma^{(6)}_\mu \) can be performed analogously. Let us eventually calculate the triple-cut diagram \( \Gamma^{(7)}_\mu \). From the three cuts in Fig. 2 we see that this diagram has the product of three delta distributions in the integrand, that is, 
\[
\delta^{(+)}(q^2) \cdot \delta^{(+)}((q + p_1)^2 - m^2) \cdot \delta^{(+)}((q + p_2)^2 - m^2)
\]
These three delta distributions are only simultaneously fulfilled for \( q p_1 = q p_2 = 0 \) which in general can not be arranged for the two fixed external momenta \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \). Therefore this triple-cut diagram vanishes in general.

Having represented all diagrams as tree level diagrams we are in the position to deconstruct them further by the application of the BCFW recursion relation. In the cases of single cut diagrams (1-3), given in the first row in Fig. 2 we apply two subsequent recursion steps corresponding to the two remaining propagators. In the cases of the double cut diagrams (4-6) we only have to apply once the BCFW recursion relation. We note that the BCFW recursion relation is valid in \( D \) dimensions. In this way every diagram can be eventually expressed in terms of on-shell scattering amplitudes. As an example we calculate the diagram \( \Gamma^{(1)}_\mu \) in Fig. 2 explicitly in terms of the BCFW recursion relation. This diagram can be computed by analytic continuation of two external momenta; we choose to shift the two momenta \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) in the form

\[
\hat{p}_1 = p_1 + z r, \quad \hat{p}_2 = p_2 + z r
\]

with \( r \) chosen such that \( r p_1 = r p_2 = r^2 = 0 \), keeping \( \hat{p}_1^2 = p_1^2 \) and \( \hat{p}_2^2 = p_2^2 \) invariant. We start with the right-hand side of expression (3.5), that is, with one cut, corresponding to one unobserved photon pair. Applying the shift (3.8) we get

\[
A^{(1)}_3(p_1; s_1, p_2; s_2, p_3; \lambda) = e^3 \mu^{-D} \int \frac{d^{D-1}k_1}{(2\pi)^{D-1/2}k_{10}} \cdot 
\]

\[
\bar{u}(\hat{p}_2, s_2) f(k_1, \lambda_1) u(k_1 + \hat{p}_2, s_4) \cdot \frac{1}{P_2^2} \cdot \bar{u}(k_1 + \hat{p}_1, s_3) f(p_3, \lambda) u(k_1 + \hat{p}_1, s_3) \cdot \frac{1}{P_1^2} \cdot \bar{u}(k_1 + \hat{p}_1, s_3) f^*(k_1, \lambda_1) u(p_1, s_1),
\]

with \( P_1^2 = (k_1 + p_1)^2 - m^2 \) and \( P_2^2 = (k_1 + p_2)^2 - m^2 \), following the rules of BCFW. We have with (3.9) the desired factorization into three on-shell vertices. The integration over the phase space and summation over the helicity of the unobserved photon originate from the Feynman-tree theorem single cut. We have to sum over the different spins and helicities of the internal lines. Let us note that all the shifted momenta can be replaced by the external momenta of the amplitude. The result (3.9) is depicted in the diagram 1 of Fig. 3. The full dots denote the on-shell vertices and the grey areas denote external but unobserved pairs of particles. In an analogous way we can compute all the contributions to the electron-photon vertex correction as shown in Fig. 3. We see that every cut corresponds to a pair of unobserved particles.

---

**FIG. 3:** The contributions to the on-shell scattering amplitudes of the electron-photon vertex correction. Full dots correspond to on-shell vertices and the gray areas to the phase-space integration over the unobserved particle-antiparticle pair.
This example shows that we can compute the scattering amplitude starting with the amplitudes as presented in Fig. [3]. Since we are focussing on the vertex correction to order $e^3$ these are all contributions we have to consider to this order. Obviously, in this way we get the scattering amplitude by on-shell, gauge-invariant subamplitudes and the elementary building block is the fermion-photon vertex.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The BCFW recursion relations are a very elegant way to compute tree scattering amplitudes in terms of gauge invariant on-shell subamplitudes. We have shown that loop amplitudes can be decomposed by the BCFW recursion relations if the loops are recursively opened by the application of the Feynman-tree theorem. Since the cut diagrams of the Feynman-tree theorem are singular in general, we have to consistently regularize these singularities. Here we used dimensional regularization and used the fact that the BCFW recursion relations are valid in $D$ dimensions. We note that the method is not limited to a certain perturbation order.

In an explicit example, namely, the electron-photon vertex correction, we have shown the method in practice. We have seen that for every cut, coming from the Feynman-tree theorem, we encounter an unobserved particle pair. Eventually, in the deconstruction of loop diagrams, all particles become on-shell, on the one hand from the cuts and on the other hand from the BCFW factorization. Moreover, every contribution to the scattering amplitude is separately gauge invariant.

We have seen that we can start the amplitude calculation in an alternative way from gauge-invariant, on-shell subamplitudes. Systematically, unphysical degrees-of-freedom, that is, off-shell modes and gauge degrees-of-freedom are avoided. In contrast, in the usual Feynman diagram approach, gauge invariance is in general violated in each Feynman diagram and only restored in the sum of diagrams.
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