BITE FORCE EVALUATION IN SUBJECTS WITH CLEFT LIP AND PALATE
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The purpose of this study was to evaluate the masticatory function of subjects with cleft lip and palate by analyzing the bite force developed by these individuals. Bite force was evaluated in a group of 27 individuals with repaired unilateral cleft lip and palate (14 males and 13 females – aged 18-26 years) and compared to the data achieved from a group of 20 noncleft subjects (10 males and 10 females – aged 18-26 years). Measurement was achieved on three positions within the dental arch (incisors, right molars and left molars), three times at each position considering the highest value for each one. Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA and Mann-Whitney test (α = 5%). There was a significant deficit in bite force in male individuals with cleft lip and palate compared to the male control group (p=0.02, p=0.004, p=0.003 for incisors, right and left molars, respectively). For the female group, the difference was not statistically significant (p=0.79, p=0.06, p=0.47). In the group of individuals with clefts, 92.6% were under orthodontic treatment, which could be a reason for the present findings, since it can decrease the bite force more remarkably in males than in females. In conclusion, the bite force is significantly reduced in men when comparing the cleft group to the noncleft group. In females, this reduction was not significant in the same way. However, the main reason for this reduction and for the different behavior between genders should be further investigated.
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INTRODUCTION

The treatment of patients with cleft lip and palate involves a multidisciplinary team approach including pediatrics, oral and maxillofacial surgery, otolaryngology, prosthodontics, speech therapy, genetics, and others. Rehabilitation is initiated in the first months of life and is continued until adult age. Because of its complexity, the treatment of cleft lip and palate requires knowledge of the alterations of the stomatognathic system of these subjects for complete rehabilitation2.

The bite force or masticatory function yielded by masticatory muscles influences not only the occlusal variations and dental arch form, but also the mandibular shape and structure21. Many studies reported in the literature use the bite force as a clinical indicator of masticatory performance19. This parameter is correlated with craniofacial morphology, gender, age, temporomandibular disorders (TMD) and also with status, number and tooth mobility10. The same relationship is found in patients submitted to orthodontic treatment15,14,16, orthognathic surgery5,21, etc. However, there is no information on the bite force in patients with cleft lip and palate, a valid resource for evaluation of the neuromuscular function in these subjects. The aim of the present investigation was to quantify the bite force that patients with cleft lip and palate are able to develop, comparing these data to the values found for noncleft individuals.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Population

This study was conducted on 27 patients (14 males and 13 females; age: 18 to 26 years) with repaired unilateral cleft lip and palate under treatment at the Hospital for Rehabilitation of Craniofacial Anomalies – USP, Bauru, SP, Brazil. One of the subjects had not been submitted to orthodontic treatment, and 2 females and 2 males had already completed it. The others were under orthodontic treatment and 8 subjects of each group wore fixed appliances at the time of measurements. None of the patients had undergone orthognathic surgery less than one year before measurement. At examination for TMD, patients’ symptoms varied from not existing to mild. Fourteen patients presented unstable occlusion. In the control group, 20 noncleft subjects (10 males and 10 females) also aged 18...
to 26 years were evaluated. This sample included only individuals without any signs and symptoms of TMD with no need of prosthetic or orthodontic treatment.

Prior to patient enrollment, the research protocol was reviewed and approved by the Hospital’s Research Ethics Committee and all participants signed a written informed consent form.

**Bite force measurement**

A gnathodynamometer (cell charge type with a strain gauge sensor) (KFC-D16-11 Kyowa Electronic Instruments CO., Tokyo, Japan) was used for measurement of maximum isometric bite force. This equipment consists of a 10 mm high x 10mm diameter stainless steel cylinder enclosing two strain gauge sensors (Figure 3).

Measurements were taken with the patient comfortably seated in a dental chair. The stainless steel cylinder was wrapped by a plastic shield previously disinfected with 77ºGL alcohol, changed for each patient. The maximum isometric bite force was quantified (in kgf) in the molar region bilaterally and in the anterior region at points where the subject felt safer to develop the maximum strength (Figure 4). Measurement was accomplished three times at each position, with a 30-s interval between measurements to avoid muscular fatigue. The highest value of each position was considered for analysis.

**Statistical analysis**

Comparison was made among the values developed by cleft and normal subjects by ANOVA. Comparisons for subjects of the same gender at each dental arch position were performed through Mann-Whitney test. Significance level was set at p<0.05.

**RESULTS**

The results obtained from bite force measurement are presented in Figures 1 and 2.
No group showed statistically significant difference between (p>0.05) bite force values at the right and left sides, while differences were found between anterior and posterior regions, in agreement with the current literature\(^6,17,21,22\). In cleft lip and palate subjects, no significant differences (p>0.05) were found between the cleft and noncleft sides (data not shown).

Data analysis of the four groups by ANOVA showed interaction between gender and bite force performance. This analysis implies that the comparison between maximum isometric bite force depends on gender. Considering that, a bite force deficit was observed in the cleft group compared to the noncleft one through Mann-Whitney test. However, statistically significant difference was observed only comparing male subjects with p values of 0.02, 0.004 and 0.003 for incisors, right molars and left molars, respectively (Figure 1). Differences between female groups were also found, however without statistically significant differences (p = 0.79, p = 0.06 and p = 0.47, for incisors, right molars and left molars, respectively) (Figure 2). The great variability between subjects at the same group impairs the observation of significant differences.

**DISCUSSION**

Bite force evaluation is well recognized in the literature as a clinical indicator of masticatory performance. However, the sensors employed for bite force measurement, such as those used in the present study, constitute an apparatus that act by interposition between superior and inferior dental arches. Although the masticatory forces are improved from 10 to 20 mm of mouth opening, there is no possibility to evaluate this parameter with appliances that do not introduce arch interposition.

The present study was designed to estimate the functional condition of the masticatory system of surgically repaired cleft lip and palate subjects by maximum isometric bite force. This parameter in cleft subjects was reduced compared to noncleft male subjects. On the other hand, the difference found for the female gender was not statistically significant.

In a group of noncleft subjects, the difference found for males and females has been shown to be significant, with a reduction for females\(^3,7,10,18,19,21\). For this reason, the results obtained for males and females were considered separately.

Among the patients, 3 males and 3 females had undergone orthognathic surgery with a minimum postsurgical period of 1 year. This period is considered enough to restore the occlusal forces\(^15,21\). Missing teeth were significant only in 2 patients in cleft area, and thus measurements were not taken in the anterior region for these patients. The TMD varied from not existing to mild, and none of the subjects had relevant signs or symptoms of TMD. These data exclude the possibility of bite force reduction caused by orthognathic surgery postoperatively\(^15,21\), TMD\(^6,8,14,16\), or missing teeth\(^10\).

Among the patients of this sample, 2 individuals of each group were not under orthodontic treatment and 8 individuals of each group wore fixed appliances. Included in factors that affect the stomatognathic function, orthodontics has been mentioned as an important reason for reduction in bite force values in several studies\(^3,4,20,21\). The reasons for this deficit are still not completely known, but pain has been reported as the main cause for bite force reduction in these cases\(^3,20,21\). The pain from periodontal tissue alteration caused by the force and increased by the inflammatory response is transmitted to the central nervous system and a protective stimulus is sent back, which inhibits the action of jaw closing muscles, thereby avoiding additional damage to periodontal tissues\(^20\). Studies describe the pain as the most unpleasant aspect reported by the patients\(^1,13\), being one of the major causes of discontinuance of treatment\(^7\). However, in the present study, only the bite force reduction observed for male cleft subjects compared to male noncleft subjects was significant. The same phenomenon was previously reported by Throckmorton, et al.\(^21\) (1996), who observed a greater bite force deficit for males than for females submitted to orthodontic treatment. These data suggest that bite force reduction in patients with unilateral cleft lip and palate is more related to orthodontic treatment than to the presence of the cleft, especially because no differences were observed between the cleft and noncleft sides when analyzing the force...
performed by these subjects. However, this hypothesis could not be confirmed because there is no possibility to evaluate bite force of cleft lip and palate subjects not wearing orthodontic appliances due to the long oral rehabilitation treatment that these patients should undergo.

CONCLUSION

Based on the findings of this investigation, it may be concluded that patients with repaired cleft lip and palate have a decrease in maximum isometric bite force that is significant only in male subjects. The reasons for these findings are not clearly understood and further studies must be performed to determine whether this reduction is related or not to the presence of the cleft per se.
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