Spin symmetry energy and equation of state of the spin-polarized neutron star matter
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Equation of states (EOS) of the spin-polarized nuclear matter (NM) is studied within the Hartree-Fock (HF) formalism using the realistic density dependent nucleon-nucleon interaction. With a nonzero fraction $\Delta$ of spin-polarized baryons in NM, the spin- and spin-isospin dependent parts of the HF energy density give rise to the spin symmetry energy that behaves in about the same manner as the isospin symmetry energy, widely discussed in literature as the nuclear symmetry energy. The present HF study shows a strong correlation between the spin symmetry energy and nuclear symmetry energy over the whole range of baryon densities. The important contribution of the spin symmetry energy to the EOS of the spin-polarized NM is found to be comparable with that of the nuclear symmetry energy to the EOS of the isospin-polarized or asymmetric (neutron-rich) NM. Based on the HF energy density, the EOS of the spin-polarized ($\beta$-stable) npe$\mu$ matter is obtained for the determination of the macroscopic properties of neutron star (NS). A realistic density dependence of the spin-polarized fraction $\Delta$ have been suggested to explore the impact of the spin symmetry energy to the gravitational mass $M$ and radius $R$, as well as the tidal deformability of NS. Given the empirical constrains inferred from a coherent Bayesian analysis of gravitational wave signals of the NS merger GW170817 and the observed masses of the heaviest pulsars, the strong impacts of the spin symmetry energy $W_I$, nuclear symmetry energy $S$, and nuclear incompressibility $K$ to the EOS of nucleonic matter in magnetar were revealed.

I. INTRODUCTION

The rotating neutron stars are known to possess strong magnetic field \cite{Blandford77, Kunihiro09}, with the field strength $B$ of the order of $10^{14}$ to $10^{19}$ G, so that the effects of magnetic field on the equation of states (EOS) of NS matter should not be negligible. In particular, a significant fraction of baryons in NS matter might have their spins polarized along the axis of magnetic field. The full spin polarization of neutrons was shown by Broderick et al. \cite{Broderick11} to likely occur at the high field strength of $B \gtrsim 4.41 \times 10^{18}$ G. It is commonly assumed that the magnetic field of NS is usually much weaker than the upper limit of $B \approx 10^{19}$ G, and the spin polarization of baryons is often neglected in the mean-field studies of the EOS of NS matter. Recently, the “blue” kilonova ejecta observed in the aftermath of the NS merger GW170817 \cite{Mooley19, Duan19} have been suggested by Metzger et al. \cite{Metzger19} to be caused by both the $\gamma$ decay of the $r$-process nuclei and magnetically accelerated wind from the strongly magnetized hypermassive NS remnant. A rapidly rotating hypermassive NS remnant with the magnetic field of $B \approx (1{-}3) \times 10^{14}$ G at the surface has been assumed to explain the velocity, total mass, and enhanced electron fraction of the kilonova ejecta \cite{Metzger19}. Such a scenario seems to agree with the prediction made by Fujisawa et al. \cite{Fujisawa19} for the strength of magnetic field in the outer core of magnetar, and a partial spin polarization of baryons might well occur in the two merging neutron stars of GW170817. To investigate such effects, a nonrelativistic Hartree-Fock (HF) study of the spin-polarized nuclear matter (NM) has been done recently \cite{Khoa22}, assuming different (relative) strengths $\Delta$ of the spin polarization of baryons. The EOS obtained in the HF approach for NS matter consisting of strongly interacting baryons and leptons, i.e., the npe$\mu$ matter in $\beta$-equilibrium was used as input to determine the gravitational mass $M$ and radius $R$ of NS \cite{Khoa22, Khoa21} from the solutions of the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) equations \cite{To80}.

Given a realistic EOS of NS matter, General Relativity not only explains the compact shape of NS in the hydrostatic equilibrium but also predicts interesting behaviors of NS in the strong gravitational field formed by two inspiraling neutron stars during their merger. In particular, the shape of each NS is tidally deformed by the mutual attraction of two coalescing neutron stars to gain nonzero multipole moments \cite{Choi14, Molnar19}, with the energy being lost via the emission of gravitational waves (GW). The tidal deformation is usually expressed in terms of the tidal Love number $k_2$ of the second order, which has been inferred recently from the analysis of the observed GW signals from GW170817, and translated into the constraint for the gravitational mass $M$ and radius $R$ of NS \cite{Abbott19b}. Because this empirical constraint serves now as an important reference in validating different models of the EOS of NS matter, we have applied in the present work the HF approach suggested in Ref. \cite{Khoa22} to study in more detail the impact by the spin polarization of baryons to the EOS of NS matter. Governed by the
same SU(2) symmetry, the spin symmetry energy $W$ is shown in Sect. II to behave in about the same manner as the isospin symmetry energy $S$, widely known as the nuclear symmetry energy. In particular, the parabolic approximation is valid also for the spin symmetry energy, so that the (repulsive) contribution from $W$ to the total NM energy is directly proportional to $\Delta^2$. An interesting correlation between the slope parameter $L$ of the nuclear symmetry energy $S$ and the slope $E_n$ of the spin symmetry energy $W$ has been found and discussed in detail.

The EOS of the $\beta$-stable spin polarized NS matter obtained in Sect. III is used as the input to solve the linearized Einstein equation for the metric perturbation of the stress-energy tensor of NS to determine the tidal deformability $\Lambda$ of NS matter and compare with the empirical $\Lambda$ deduced from the analysis of the GW data of GW170817 in Sect. IV. The explicit treatment of the spin- and isospin variables in the CDM3Yn density dependent interaction allows us to show explicitly the impacts by the spin symmetry energy $W$, nuclear symmetry energy $S$, and nuclear incompressibility $K$ to the EOS of nucleonic matter, the tidal deformability, mass and radius of NS.

II. HARTREE-FOCK CALCULATION OF THE SPIN-POLARIZED NUCLEAR MATTER

The nonrelativistic Hartree-Fock (HF) approach has been extended recently to study the spin-polarized NM at zero temperature. In this case, NM is characterized by the neutron and proton number densities, $n_n$ and $n_p$, or equivalently by the total baryon number density $n_b = n_n + n_p$ and neutron-proton asymmetry $\delta = (n_n - n_p)/n_b$. The spin polarization of baryons is treated explicitly for neutrons and protons by using the densities with baryon spin aligned up or down along the axis of magnetic field $\Delta_{n,p} = (n_{n,p} - n_{\bar{n},\bar{p}})/n_{n,p}$. In general, the total HF energy density of NM is given by

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_\text{kin} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\vec{k} \sigma, \vec{k}' \sigma', \tau} \left[ (\vec{k} \sigma \tau, \vec{k}' \sigma' \tau') | v_{4d} | (\vec{k} \sigma \tau, \vec{k}' \sigma' \tau') \right] + (\vec{k} \sigma \tau, \vec{k}' \sigma' \tau') | v_{\text{ex}} | (\vec{k} \sigma \tau, \vec{k}' \sigma' \tau')],$$

(1)

where $| \vec{k} \sigma \tau \rangle$ are plane waves, and $v_{4d}$ and $v_{\text{ex}}$ are the direct and exchange components of the (in-medium) density dependent NN interaction. Although the neutron and proton magnetic moments are of different strengths and of opposite signs, in the presence of strong magnetic field $|\Delta_n|$ and $|\Delta_p|$ should be of the same order. Like the previous HF study, we also assume hereafter the baryon spin polarization $\Delta = \Delta_n \approx -\Delta_p$.

We have used in the present work several versions of the density dependent CDM3Yn interaction which is based upon the (G-matrix) M3Y-Paris interaction. These interactions were well tested in the earlier HF studies of NM as well as the folding model analyses of nucleus-nucleus scattering. Explicitly, the CDM3Yn interaction is just the original M3Y-Paris interaction supplemented by an empirical density dependence

$$v_{d(\text{ex})}(n_b, r) = F_{00}(n_b) v_{00}(r) + F_{01}(n_b) v_{01}(r) (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\sigma}'),$$

(2)

The radial dependence of the central interaction is determined from the spin (isospin) singlet and triplet components of the M3Y-Paris interaction, and expressed in terms of three Yukawa functions as

$$v_{st}^{d(\text{ex})}(r) = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{3} Y_{st}^{d(\text{ex})}(\kappa) \exp(-R_{\kappa} r),$$

(3)

The Yukawa strengths $Y_{st}^{d(\text{ex})}(\kappa)$ are given explicitly, e.g., in Table I of Ref. [1]. If the spin polarization is neglected ($\Delta = 0$) then NM can be treated as spin-saturated, and the $\sigma$-components of plane waves are averaged out in the HF calculation. As a result, only the $st = 00$ and $st = 01$ terms of the central interaction need to be properly taken into account in the HF calculation. In this case, the total HF energy density of the spin-polarized NM is obtained as

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_\text{kin} + F_{00}(n_b) \mathcal{E}_{00} + F_{10}(n_b) \mathcal{E}_{10} + F_{01}(n_b) \mathcal{E}_{01} + F_{11}(n_b) \mathcal{E}_{11},$$

(4)

The explicit expressions of $\mathcal{E}_{st}$ obtained with the density dependent CDM3Yn interaction are given in Ref. [2]. We note that the isoscalar density dependence $F_{00}(n_b)$ was first parametrized in Ref. [3] to properly saturate symmetric NM at the density $n_0 \approx 0.17$ fm$^{-3}$, while giving different values of the nuclear incompressibility $K$. The isovector density dependence $F_{01}(n_b)$ was parametrized later to reproduce the microscopic Brueckner-Hartree-Fock (BHF) results of asymmetric NM, with the total strength fine tuned by the folding model description of the charge exchange ($p,n$) reaction to the isobar analog states in finite nuclei. Because the spin polarization of baryons gives rise to the nonzero contribution from $\mathcal{E}_{10}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{11}$ to the total NM energy density, the density dependencies $F_{10}(n_b)$ and $F_{11}(n_b)$ of the CDM3Yn interaction need to be properly determined for the HF calculation of the spin-polarized NM. For the convenience in numerical calculations, the density dependent functional $F_{st}(n_b)$ of the CDM3Yn interaction has been assumed in the analytical form

$$F_{st}(n_b) = C_{st} \left[ 1 + \alpha_{st} \exp(-\beta_{st} n_b) + \gamma_{st} n_b \right].$$

(5)

Such a procedure has been carried out for the CDM3Y8 version of the interaction in the HF calculation of the spin-polarized NM, where the parameters of $F_{10}(n_b)$ and $F_{11}(n_b)$ were adjusted to reproduce the BHF results.
for the spin-polarized symmetric NM and neutron matter by Vidaña et al. [23] using the Argonne V18 free NN potential added by the Urbana IX three-body force. In the present work, we apply the same procedure to 4 versions (CDM3Y4, CDM3Y5, CDM3Y6, and CDM3Y8) of the CDM3Yn interaction for a comparative HF study. Note that a slight difference of the HF results for the spin-polarized symmetric NM (a) and pure neutron matter (b) given by the HF calculation 4 using four versions of the CDM3Yn interaction, in comparison with the BHF results (squares) 23. The circles and stars are results of the ab-initio calculations by Akmal, Pandharipande, and Ravenhall (APR) 24 and microscopic Monte Carlo (MMC) calculation by Gandolfi et al. 25, respectively.
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**FIG. 1.** Energy per baryon of the spin-unpolarized symmetric NM (a) and pure neutron matter (b) given by the HF calculation using four versions of the CDM3Yn interaction, in comparison with the BHF results (squares). The circles and stars are results of the ab-initio calculations by Akmal, Pandharipande, and Ravenhall (APR) and microscopic Monte Carlo (MMC) calculation by Gandolfi et al., respectively.

Table I. Parameters of the density dependence of 4 versions of the CDM3Yn interaction used in the present HF calculation. $K$ is the nuclear incompressibility of symmetric NM determined at the saturation density $n_0 \approx 0.17$ fm$^{-3}$.

| Interaction | st  | $C_{st}$ | $\alpha_{st}$ | $\beta_{st}$ | $\gamma_{st}$ | $K$  |
|-------------|-----|----------|---------------|--------------|--------------|------|
|             | 00  | 0.3052  | 3.2998        | 2.3180       | -2.0         | 228  |
|             | 01  | 0.2129  | 6.3581        | 7.0584       | 5.6091       |      |
|             | 02  | 0.1494  | 6.7055        | 2.5766       | 116.5455     |      |
|             | 03  | 0.0830  | 0.6949        | 3.2104       | 1.0433       |      |
| CDM3Y5      | 04  | 0.2728  | 3.7367        | 1.8294       | -3.0         |      |
|             | 05  | 0.2204  | 6.6146        | 7.9910       | 6.0040       | 241  |
|             | 06  | 0.1607  | 3.3867        | 2.8341       | 106.7274     |      |
|             | 07  | 0.7016  | 0.6299        | 3.4552       | 1.0752       |      |
| CDM3Y6      | 08  | 0.2658  | 3.8033        | 1.4099       | -4.0         | 252  |
|             | 09  | 0.2313  | 6.6805        | 8.6775       | 6.0182       |      |
|             | 10  | 0.1887  | -0.9998       | 3.1342       | 92.1075      |      |
|             | 11  | 0.7259  | 0.5452        | 3.6416       | 1.0775       |      |
| CDM3Y8      | 12  | 0.2658  | 3.8033        | 1.4099       | -4.3         |      |
|             | 13  | 0.2643  | 6.3836        | 9.8950       | 5.4249       | 257  |
|             | 14  | 0.2162  | -2.3396       | 3.3397       | 77.3144      |      |
|             | 15  | 0.7573  | 0.4858        | 4.2011       | 1.0179       |      |

Note that a slight difference of the HF results for the energy of symmetric NM at high baryon densities (upper panel of Fig. 1) is due to the different values of the incompressibility $K$ obtained with 4 versions of the interaction (see Table I). The $K$ value is strongly sensitive to the EOS of NM. In the present work we explore this effect also for the EOS of the spin-polarized NM, and $K$ has been, therefore, a key research topic of numerous structure studies of nuclear monopole resonances (see, e.g., Ref. 26 and references therein) as well as studies of the refractive light heavy-ion scattering [27]. These researches have narrowed the empirical range to $K \approx 240 \pm 20$ MeV. While the 4 density dependent versions of the CDM3Yn interaction give $K \approx 228 - 257$ MeV which are well within the empirical range, such a difference in $K$ values was shown to affect significantly the gravitational mass of NS obtained with the EOS given by these interactions. In the present work we explore this effect also for the EOS of the spin-polarized NM. One can see in Fig. 2 that the full spin polarization of baryons ($\Delta = 1$) substantially enhances the energy of both the symmetric NM and pure neutron matter over the whole range of densities, and the energy required per baryon to change the spin-unpolarized NM into the fully spin-polarized NM is the *spin symmetry energy* $W_\Delta$. Governed by the same SU(2) symmetry, the spin symmetry energy behaves in about the same manner as the *isospin symmetry energy*. 

\[ K = 9n_0^2 \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial n_b^2} |_{n_b = n_0} = 9 \frac{\partial P(\delta = 0)}{\partial n_b} |_{n_b = n_0} \]
S, which is widely known as the nuclear symmetry energy \( S \). Thus, the total energy of NM can be expressed alternatively as

\[
\frac{E}{A} = \frac{E}{A}(n_b, \Delta, \delta = 0) + S(n_b, \Delta)\delta^2 + O(\delta^4) + \ldots \tag{7}
\]

\[
= \frac{E}{A}(n_b, \Delta = 0, \delta) + W(n_b, \delta)\Delta^2 + O(\Delta^4) + \ldots \tag{8}
\]

The contribution from both the higher-order terms \( O(\delta^4) \) and \( O(\Delta^4) \) were proven to be small and can be neglected in the well-known parabolic approximation \[8, 20\]. In the present context, we find it illustrative to consider the pure neutron matter as the fully isospin polarized NM (\( \delta = 1 \)), so that the nuclear symmetry energy \( S(n_0) \) equals just the energy required per baryon to change the (isospin) symmetric NM into the fully isospin-polarized NM, i.e., the pure neutron matter. The (isospin) symmetric energy \( S(n_0, \Delta) \), widely discussed in the literature as the nuclear symmetry energy, is the key characteristics of the EOS of NS matter and is, therefore, a long-standing goal of numerous nuclear physics studies (see, e.g., Refs. [28, 30]). However, these studies were done mainly for the spin-saturated NM, and describe, therefore, \( S(n_b, \Delta = 0) \). The HF results obtained with the CDM3Y8 interaction for the nuclear symmetry energy \( S(n_b) \) at different spin polarizations of baryons \( \Delta \) are compared in the upper panel of Fig. 3 with the ab-initio results obtained at \( \Delta = 0 \) \[24, 25\] as well as the constraint implied by the analysis of the HI fragmentation data \[31, 32\]. Using the parameters of \( F_{\text{B}}(n_0) \) of the CDM3Yn interaction fine tuned recently \[10\], the HF results obtained with \( \Delta = 0 \) agree nicely with those of the ab-initio calculations at high densities. The nuclear symmetry energy increases significantly with the increasing spin polarization \( \Delta \), but the \( S(n_0, \Delta) \) values remain well within the empirical range inferred from a Bayesian analysis of the correlation of different EOS’s of the npe\( \mu \) matter with the GW170817 constraint on the radius \( R_{1.4} \) of NS with mass \( M = 1.4 M_\odot \) \[33\] (the vertical bars in the upper panel of Fig. 3). It is natural to expect that this GW170817 constraint also has an imprint of the spin polarization of baryons in the two coalescing neutron stars.

The density dependence of the nuclear symmetry energy is widely investigated in terms of the symmetry coefficient \( J \), slope \( L \) and curvature \( K_{\text{sym}} \) of an expansion of \( S \) around the saturation density \( n_0 \) \[28, 30\].

\[
S(n_b) = J + \frac{L}{3} \left( \frac{n_b - n_0}{n_0} \right) + \frac{K_{\text{sym}}}{18} \left( \frac{n_b - n_0}{n_0} \right)^2 + \ldots \tag{9}
\]

These quantities and the incompressibility \( K \) of symmetric NM are the main characteristics of the EOS of NM. For the spin-unpolarized asymmetric NM, the symmetry coefficient \( J \) is well established to be around 30 MeV, but the \( L \) and \( K_{\text{sym}} \) values remain much less certain. A recent systematic survey by Li et al. \[34\] quotes \( L \approx 57.7 \pm 19 \) MeV at the 68\% confidence level. The relativistic mean-field studies have suggested \[35\] that the neutron skin thickness \( R_{\text{skin}} = R_n - R_p \) of the \( ^{208}\text{Pb} \) nucleus is a stringent laboratory constraint on the slope \( L \) of the symmetry energy \( S(n_b) \). Given \( R_{\text{skin}} \approx 0.283 \pm 0.071 \) fm deduced recently from the measurement of the parity-violating asymmetry in the elastic scattering of polarized electrons from \( ^{208}\text{Pb} \) by the PREX collaboration \[36\], one obtains \( L \approx 106 \pm 37 \) MeV using different relativistic energy density functionals \[35\]. This \( L \) value is significantly higher than that predicted by most of the mean-field calculations, and impacts strongly the calculated macroscopic properties of NS \[37\].

At variance with the nuclear symmetry energy \( S \), the spin symmetry energy \( S_\delta \) (see lower panel of Fig. 3) was much less studied, and we could compare the HF results for \( W(n_b, \delta) \) only with the BHF result obtained for the fully spin-polarized neutron matter \[24\]. With the quadratic dependence on \( \delta \) and \( \Delta \) of the NM energy, the stiffness of the EOS of spin-polarized NM increases significantly with the increasing polarization of spin (\( \Delta \)) and isospin (\( \delta \)) of baryons. Such effect is well seen in the behavior of \( S(n_b, \Delta) \) and \( W(n_b, \delta) \) with the increasing \( \Delta \) and \( \delta \), respectively. Given such a correlation of the \( S \) and \( W \), it is obvious that the spin polarization of baryons should not be neglected in a mean-field study of NS matter. It is interesting that the density dependence of the spin symmetry energy can also be expressed in terms of...
The spin-symmetry coefficient $J_s$, slope $L_s$ and curvature $K_{\text{sym}s}$, in the same manner as the expansion (11),

$$W(n_b) = J_s + \frac{L_s}{3} \left( \frac{n_b - n_0}{n_0} \right) + \frac{K_{\text{sym}s}}{18} \left( \frac{n_b - n_0}{n_0} \right)^2 + \ldots$$

(10)

$J_s$, $L_s$, and $K_{\text{sym}s}$ have not been investigated so far in different mean-field models of the EOS of NM. In the present work these quantities are obtained with 4 versions of the CDM3Yn interaction, and the those determined at $\delta = 0$ are shown in Table II together with the symmetry coefficient, slope and curvature of the nuclear symmetry energy (3) determined at $\Delta = 0$. Although the spin symmetry energy at the saturation density $W(n_0) = J_s \approx 40$ MeV which is only about 10 MeV larger than $S(n_0) = J \approx 30$ MeV. The slope $L_s$ of the spin symmetry energy is nearly twice that of the nuclear symmetry energy ($L$) and this makes the EOS of the spin-polarized NM much stiffer than that of the spin-unpolarized NM (see Figs. 1 and 2). In general, the parameters $J$, $L$ and $K_{\text{sym}}$ of the nuclear symmetry energy must depend on the spin polarization of baryons $\Delta$, and vice versa, $J_s$, $L_s$ and $K_{\text{sym}s}$ also depend on $\delta$. Such a spin-isospin correlation of the two slope parameters is shown in Fig. 4 and one can see about the same increasing trend of $L(\Delta)$ and $L_s(\delta)$ with the increasing spin and isospin polarization, respectively. Over the whole range $0 \lesssim \Delta \lesssim 1$, the obtained $L(\Delta)$ values remain well within the empirical range implied by the nuclear physics studies and astrophysical observations (34), but are still below the lower limit of the $L$ value implied by the neutron skin of $^{208}\text{Pb}$ measured in the PREX-2 experiment (35, 36).

As discussed above, the energy of the spin-polarized asymmetric NM depends on both the spin polarization of baryons $\Delta$ and the neutron-proton asymmetry (or the isospin polarization) $\delta$ in about the same manner. As a result, it turns out possible to expand $E/A$ simultaneously in the spin- and isospin polarizations, which enables the description of the energy of NM in terms of the nuclear symmetry (3) and spin symmetry energy (11) that depend on the baryon density only

$$\frac{E}{A} = E_0(n_b) + S_0(n_b)\delta^2 + W_0(n_b)\Delta^2 + O(\delta^4) + O(\Delta^4) + \ldots,$$

where $E_0(n_b) = E(n_b, \Delta = 0, \delta = 0)$,

$S_0(n_b) = S(n_b, \Delta = 0)$, and $W_0(n_b) = W(n_b, \delta = 0)$. (11)

By comparing the full HF result for $E/A$ and that given by the parabolic approximation in the expansion (11), we found that the contributions from the quartic and higher orders in $\delta$ and $\Delta$ are negligible over baryon densities up to $n_b \approx 0.9$ fm$^{-3}$. This important feature shows a close similarity between the spin symmetry and isospin symmetry in the mean-field study of the spin-polarized NS matter. The explicit contributions of the spin-symmetry and isospin-symmetry energies to the EOS of the fully spin-polarized neutron matter ($\Delta = \delta = 1$) are illustrated in Fig. 4 and one can see that $W_0(n_b)$ has about the same strength as that of $S_0(n_b)$ at low baryon densities $n_b \lesssim 0.1$ fm$^{-3}$. However, the spin symmetry energy becomes much stronger with the increasing $n_b$, and
$W_0$ is nearly double the nuclear symmetry energy $S_0$ at high baryon densities which significantly stiffens the EOS of neutron matter. It can be seen in the lower panel of Fig. 5 that the baryonic pressure of the fully spin-polarized neutron matter is also about twice that of the spin-unpolarized neutron matter. We note that the total energy per baryon (11) of the fully spin-polarized neutron matter given by the present HF calculation is quite close to that given by the microscopic BHF calculation [23] using the Argonne V18 potential supplemented by a realistic three-body force (see upper panel of Fig. 5). The nuclear symmetry energy $S_0(n_b)$ given by the HF calculation is also close to that given by the $ab$-initio calculations [24, 25] (see upper panel of Fig. 5). Consequently, the expansion (11) should be of interest for the mean-field studies of the spin-polarized NS matter, where some estimate of the spin symmetry energy $W_0(n_b)$ can be done based on the expansion (10) using parameters given in Table II.

III. EOS OF THE SPIN-POLARIZED NEUTRON STAR MATTER IN $\beta$ EQUILIBRIUM

The HF approach (1)–(4) describes NM that contains nucleons only. In fact, the NS matter contains significant lepton fraction in both the crust and uniform core, and a realistic EOS of NS matter should include the lepton contribution. For the inhomogeneous NS crust, we have adopted the EOS given by the nuclear energy density functional calculation [37, 38] using the BSk24 Skyrme functional, with atoms being fully ionized and electrons forming a degenerate Fermi gas. At the edge density $n_{\text{edge}} \approx 0.06 \text{ fm}^{-3}$, a weak first-order phase transition takes place between the NS crust and uniform core of NS. At baryon densities $n_b \gtrsim n_{\text{edge}}$ the core of NS is described as a homogeneous matter of neutrons, protons, electrons and negative muons ($\mu^-$ appear at $n_b$ above the muon threshold density $\mu^- > m_{\mu}\Delta_E \approx 105.6 \text{ MeV}$). The total mass-energy density $E$ of the spin-polarized npe$\mu$ matter...
is determined as

\[ \mathcal{E}(n_e, n_p, \Delta, n_e, n_\mu) = \mathcal{E}_{\text{HF}}(n_e, n_p, \Delta) + n_n m_n c^2 + n_p m_p c^2 + \mathcal{E}_e(n_e) + \mathcal{E}_\mu(n_\mu), \]

(12)

where \( \mathcal{E}_{\text{HF}}(n_e, n_p, \Delta) \) is the HF energy density \( \mathcal{E}_{\text{HF}} \) of the spin-polarized baryonic matter, \( \mathcal{E}_e \) and \( \mathcal{E}_\mu \) are the energy densities of electrons and muons given by the relativistic Fermi gas model [39]. In such a Fermi gas model, the spin polarization of leptons does not affect the total energy density \( \mathcal{E} \), and the lepton number densities \( n_e \) and \( n_\mu \) are determined from the charge neutrality condition \( (n_\mu = n_e + n_\mu) \), and the \( \beta \)-equilibrium of (neutrino-free) NS matter is sustained by the balance of the chemical potentials

\[ \mu_n = \mu_p + \mu_e \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_e = \mu_\mu, \quad \text{where} \quad \mu_j = \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_j}{\partial n_j}. \]

(13)

The fractions of the constituent particles in the spin-polarized npe\( \mu \) matter are determined at the given baryon density \( n_b \) as \( x_j = n_j/n_b \). Below the muon threshold density \( (\mu_e < m_\mu c^2 \approx 105.6 \text{ MeV}) \) the charge neutrality condition leads to the following relation [16]

\[ 3\pi^2 (\hbar c)^3 n_b x_p - 4S(n_b, \Delta)(1 - 2x_p)^3 = 0, \]

(15)

which shows the direct link between the nuclear symmetry energy \( S(n_b, \Delta) \) and the proton abundance in the NS matter. Above the muon threshold, \( \mu_e > m_\mu c^2 \approx 105.6 \text{ MeV} \), it is energetically favorable for electrons to convert to negative muons, and the charge neutrality condition results on the following equation for \( x_p(n_b, \Delta) \)

\[ 3\pi^2 (\hbar c)^3 n_b x_p - \mu^3 - \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \left( m_\mu c^2 \right)^2 \right] \frac{3}{2} \theta(\mu - m_\mu c^2) = 0, \]

(16)

where \( \theta(x) \) is the Heaviside step function. The proton fraction \( x_p(n_b, \Delta) \) is known to correlate with the NS cooling rate. In particular, the direct Urca (DU) process of NS cooling via neutrino emission is possible only if \( x_p \) is above the DU threshold \( x_{\text{DU}} \)

\[ x_{\text{DU}}(n_b) = \frac{1}{1 + \left[ 1 + r_e^{1/3}(n_b) \right]^3}, \]

(17)

where \( r_e(n_b) = n_e/(n_e + n_\mu) \) is the leptonic electron fraction at the given baryon number density. At low densities \( r_e = 1 \), and \( x_{\text{DU}} \approx 11.1\% \), which is the muon-free threshold for the DU process. Since the lepton-baryon interaction is neglected in the present study, \( x_{\text{DU}} \) depends very weakly on the spin polarization of baryons \( \Delta \). Because the nuclear symmetry energy \( S(n_b, \Delta) \) increases steadily with the increasing spin polarization of baryons \( \Delta \) (see Fig. 4), from Eqs. (13)-(16) one can expect the same trend for the proton fraction \( x_p \) of the spin-polarized \( \beta \)-stable npe\( \mu \) matter. As shown in the lower panel of Fig. 6, \( x_p \) increases significantly with the increasing spin polarization of baryons, and it exceeds the DU threshold at densities \( n_b \gtrsim 2n_0 \) for the fully spin-polarized NS matter with \( \Delta = 1 \). It is also natural that the neutron-proton asymmetry \( \delta \) decreases with the increasing \( x_p \) as shown in the upper panel of Fig. 6. The charge neutrality implies also an increasing electron fraction with the increasing \( x_p \), up to 20%-30% when \( \Delta \lesssim 1 \). Such a high electron fraction was found in the blue kilonova ejecta following GW170817 [4-6], and suggested by Metzger et al. [7] to be of the magnetar origin.

The EOS of the spin-polarized npe\( \mu \) matter in \( \beta \)-equilibrium is determined entirely by the mass-energy density \( \rho_{\text{en}}(n_b, \Delta) = \mathcal{E}(n_b, \Delta)/c^2 \) and the total pressure

\[ P(n_b, \Delta) = n_e^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial n_b} \left( \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\text{HF}}(n_b, \Delta)}{n_b} \right) + P_e + P_\mu. \]

(18)
We show in Fig. 7 the total pressure \( P(n_b, \Delta) \) of the spin-polarized NS matter obtained with different \( \Delta \) values from the HF calculation using the CDM3Y8 interaction over baryon densities up to above \( 6n_0 \), in comparison with the empirical pressure given by the “spectral” EOS inferred from the Bayesian analysis of the GW170817 data at the 50% and 90% confidence levels [15]. One can see the substantial impact by the spin symmetry energy \( S \) with the increasing \( \Delta \), which stiffens the EOS and compresses nucleonic matter inside the NS core to the higher pressure over the whole range of densities. It is noticeable that \( P(n_b, \Delta) \) obtained with \( 0.8 \lesssim \Delta \lesssim 1 \) overestimates the empirical constraint at the baryon densities within the range \( 0.05n_0 \lesssim n_b \lesssim 2n_0 \).

**IV. TIDAL DEFORMABILITY, MASS AND RADIUS OF NEUTRON STAR**

The interesting effect inferred from the GW170817 observation is the tidal deformation of NS induced by the strong gravitational field which enhances the GW emission and accelerates the decay of the quasicircular inspiral [12]. We recall briefly the tidal deformability of a static spherical star being exposed to the gravitational field created by the attraction of the companion star in a binary system [12, 13]. At the range close enough, this star is tidally deformed and gains a nonzero quadrupole moment \( Q_{ij} \) that is directly proportional to the strength \( E_{ij} \) of the gravitational field

\[
Q_{ij} = -\lambda E_{ij}.
\]

\( \lambda \) characterizes the star response to the gravitational field and is dubbed as the tidal deformability or quadrupole polarizability of star. In the General Relativity, \( \lambda \) is related to the \( l = 2 \) tidal Love number \( k_2 \) [12] as

\[
\lambda = \frac{2}{3} k_2 R^5,
\]

where \( R \) and \( G \) are the star radius and gravitational constant, respectively. It is convenient to consider the (dimensionless) tidal deformability parameter \( \Lambda \) expressed in terms of the compactness \( C \) of star with mass \( M \) and radius \( R \) as

\[
\Lambda = \frac{2}{3} k_2 C^{-5}, \quad C = \frac{GM}{Rc^2}.
\]

Using the linearized Einstein equation, the Love number \( k_2 \) can be expressed in terms of the nonzero metric perturbation of the stress-energy tensor \( H(r) \) and its radial derivative \( H'(r) \) [12, 13], which are determined from the solution of a differential equation that is integrated together with the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff equations. More details on this computation can be found, e.g., in Ref. [10].

![Figure 8](image-url)  
**FIG. 8.** The tidal deformability parameter \( \Lambda \) given by the EOS of the spin-polarized NS matter obtained with different \( \Delta \) values from the HF calculation using the CDM3Y8 interaction. The vertical bar is the empirical \( \Lambda \) value for NS with \( M = 1.4 M_\odot \) inferred from the Bayesian analysis of the GW170817 data at the 90% confidence level [12], and the circles are \( \Lambda \) values obtained at the corresponding maximum central densities \( n_c \).

The tidal deformability and gravitational mass-radius of NS given by the EOS of the spin-polarized NS matter
Density dependence of the spin polarization

The above results were obtained with the uniform spin polarization of baryons which is independent of the baryon density $n_b$. However, nucleons inside the inner core of NS are known to be fully degenerate and occupy all possible quantum states allowed by the Pauli principle \[43\]. Such a full degeneracy exhausts all spin orientations of baryons and a spin polarization (or asymmetric spin orientation of baryons with $\Delta > 0$) is unlikely inside the inner core of NS. As a result, $\Delta$ inside the core of NS must be dependent on the baryon density. Moreover, the distribution of magnetic field inside magnetar was shown to be quite complex \[8\], and the spin polarization of baryons is expected to decrease gradually to $\Delta \approx 0$ in the central region of magnetar where the intensity of magnetic field is diminishing to zero \[8\]. Although it is beyond the scope of the present mean-field approach to properly calculate the density profile $\Delta(n_b)$ of the spin polarization of baryons in magnetized NS, we try to explore this effect by assuming a realistic scenario for the density dependence of $\Delta$ based on the magnetic-field distribution in magnetar obtained by Fujisawa and Kisaka using the Green’s function relaxation method \[8\].

Several simple scenarios of $\Delta(n_b)$ having its maximum at the surface and decreasing gradually to zero towards the center of NS were considered in Ref. \[43\], and it was shown that up to 60% of baryons might have their spins polarized during the NS merger GW170817. A more elaborate density dependence of $\Delta(n_b)$ is suggested in the present work, based on the spatial distribution of the magnetic flux $\Psi$ derived in Ref. \[8\]. Namely, the radial distribution of $\Psi$ from the star center to the surface (see Fig. 5 in Ref. \[8\]) has first been translated into the density profile of this quantity, then it is reasonable to assume the density profile of $\Delta(n_b)$ to have the same shape as that of $\Psi(n_b)$. In such a scenario, the spin polarization of baryons $\Delta$ and the intensity of magnetic field reach their maximum values at the same baryon density (around $2n_0$). The asymmetric spin orientation $\Delta$ is expected to decrease gradually to zero in the inner core of NS where baryons are believed to be fully degenerate \[43\].

To explore the impact of the spin symmetry energy, we have probed different maximum values of $\Delta(n_b)$ at its peak as shown in Fig. \[10\].

We show in Fig. \[11\] the gravitational mass and radius of NS given by the EOS of the $\beta$-stable spin-polarized NS matter obtained with different (density dependent) spin polarizations of baryons $\Delta(n_b)$ shown in Fig. \[10\]. Following the trend of $\Delta(n_b)$, the strength of the spin symmetry energy \[10\] is also diminishing to zero in the inner core of NS, and the impact of the spin polarization of baryons on the maximum mass of magnetar becomes weaker compared to that shown in Fig. \[9\]. Based on the suggested scenario for $\Delta(n_b)$, we found that the gravitational mass and radius of NS given by the EOS of the spin-polarized NS matter with $\Delta \lesssim 0.8$ are well within the empirical range implied for NS with $M = 1.4 M_\odot$.
In general, NM becomes less compressible when the spin polarization of baryons is nonzero, and NS expands its size with the maximum mass $M_{\text{max}}$ and radius $R_{\text{max}}$ becoming larger with the increasing $\Delta$ as shown in Fig. 9. With the damping of the $\Delta$ strength in the inner core of NS shown in Fig. 10, the impact of the spin symmetry energy at high densities becomes less significant, and the enhancement of the NS mass and radius (see Fig. 11) with the increasing maximum $\Delta$ value is not as drastic as shown in Fig. 9. At variance with the spin symmetry energy, the impact of the nuclear symmetry energy to the EOS of NS matter remains still strong at large baryon densities where the $\delta$ value sustained by the $\beta$ equilibrium is up to 0.6 (see upper panel of Fig. 6). Thus, the nuclear symmetry energy $S(n_b)$ at high baryon densities in the inner core of NS, where the spin symmetry energy $W(n_b)$ decreases quickly to zero, is the most important input for the EOS of the $\beta$-stable NS matter.

Impact of the nuclear incompressibility

Although the impact of the nuclear incompressibility on the EOS of NM is well known as shown in Fig. 1, FIG. 10. Density dependence of the spin polarization $\Delta$ of baryons inside the core of NS that mimics the distribution of magnetic field in NS obtained by Fujisawa and Kisaka using the Green function relaxation method \cite{8}, with different maximum $\Delta$ values.

FIG. 11. The same as Fig. 9 but obtained with the density-dependent spin polarization $\Delta(n_b)$ of different strengths shown in Fig. 10.

FIG. 12. The same as Fig. 7 but obtained with 4 versions of the CDM3Yn density dependent interaction (2)-(5) that are associated with 4 different values of the nuclear incompressibility $K$. (a) - the results obtained for the spin unpolarized NS matter; (b) - the results obtained for the partially spin polarized NS matter with the maximum $\Delta = 0.6$ of the spin polarization strength shown in Fig. 10.

\cite{15}. In general, NM becomes less compressible \cite{5} when the spin polarization of baryons is nonzero, and NS expands its size with the maximum mass $M_{\text{max}}$ and radius $R_{\text{max}}$ becoming larger with the increasing $\Delta$ as shown in Fig. 9. With the damping of the $\Delta$ strength in the inner core of NS shown in Fig. 10, the impact of the spin
it is of interest to explore explicitly this effect on the calculated macroscopic properties of NS. The total pressure inside the uniform core of NS obtained with 4 versions of the CDM3Yn density dependent interaction that are associated with 4 different values of the nuclear incompressibility \( K \). (a) - the results obtained for the spin-unpolarized NS matter; (b) - the results obtained for the partially spin-polarized NS matter with the maximum \( \Delta = 0.6 \) of the spin-polarized fraction of baryons shown in Fig. 10.

SUMMARY

Equation of states of the spin-polarized NM is studied within the HF formalism using the realistic CDM3Yn density dependent interaction. Given the nonzero spin polarization or asymmetric spin orientation of baryons, the spin- and spin-isospin dependent terms of the HF energy density give rise to the spin symmetry energy \( W \) which behaves in a manner similar to that of the isospin- or nuclear symmetry energy \( S \). The parabolic approximation is shown to be valid also for the spin symmetry energy, so that the (repulsive) contribution from the spin symmetry energy to the total NM energy is directly proportional to \( \Delta^2 \). The EOS of NM becomes much stiffer with the increasing spin polarization of baryons, with the pressure given by the spin symmetry energy at high baryon densities being much larger than that given by the nuclear symmetry energy.

Like the nuclear symmetry energy \( S \), the density dependence of the spin symmetry energy can also be expressed in terms of three quantities: the symmetry coefficient \( J_s \), slope \( L_s \), and curvature \( K_{\text{sym}} \). A close correlation of these characteristics with those of the nuclear symmetry energy \( S \) has been discussed, in particular, a very similar behavior of the slope parameters \( L \) and \( L_s \) of \( S(n_h) \) and \( W(n_h) \), respectively. The slope \( L \) of the nuclear symmetry energy depends strongly on the spin polarization of baryons \( \Delta \), and the slope \( L_s \) of the spin symmetry energy depends on the isospin polarization \( \delta \) in exactly the same manner. The \( L \) values obtained at \( 0 \leq \Delta \leq 1 \) comply well with the constraint inferred from the nuclear physics studies and astrophysical observations, but remain below the lower limit of \( L \) implied by the neutron skin of \(^{208}\text{Pb}\) measured in the PREX-2 experiment.

With the EOS of the \( \beta \)-stable np\( \mu \) matter of NS obtained at different spin polarization of baryons, we found that the proton fraction \( x_p \) increases strongly with the increasing \( \Delta \), which should result on the larger probability of the direct Urca process in the cooling of the magnetar. The charge neutrality then implies an increasing electron fraction with the increasing \( x_p \) that might reach up to around 30%.

The stiffening of the EOS of the NS matter with the increasing spin polarization of baryons affects significantly the calculated tidal deformability as well as the gravita-
tional mass and radius of NS. This effect of the spin symmetry energy is very strong if we assume a uniform (density independent) spin polarization of baryons in both the outer and inner cores of NS. In such a scenario, the GW170817 constraint for the tidal deformability, mass and radius of NS with $M = 1.4 M_\odot$ excludes the spin polarization of baryons inside the core of NS with $0.6 \lesssim \Delta \lesssim 1$.

A more realistic scenario of $\Delta(n_b)$ is further suggested, based on the distribution of magnetic field inside magnetar obtained in Ref. [8] and the full degeneracy of baryons in the inner core of NS [43], where $\Delta$ reaches its maximum in the outer core and decreases quickly to zero in the inner core of NS. By subjecting the mean-field results obtained at different spin polarizations of baryons in this scenario to the GW170817 constraint, we found that up to 80% of baryons in the outer core of NS might have their spins polarized during the NS merger.

The impact by the nuclear incompressibility $K$ on the macroscopic properties of NS is shown clearly for both the spin saturated ($\Delta = 0$) and spin polarized ($\Delta \neq 0$) NS matter. While the $M-R$ results given by 4 versions of the CDM3YN interaction comply well with the GW170817 constraint deduced for NS with mass $M = 1.4 M_\odot$, only the EOS associated with $K \approx 250-260$ MeV gives the maximum mass of NS close to $2M_\odot$, at the lower mass limit of the second PSR J0348+0432 [44] and millisecond PSR J0740 + 6620 [11], the heaviest neutron stars observed so far.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The present research was supported, in part, by the National Foundation for Science and Technology Development of Vietnam (NAFOSTED Project No. 103.04-2021.74).

[1] J. M. Lattimer and M. Prakash, Phys. Rep. 442, 109 (2007).
[2] A. Broderick, M. Prakash, and J. Lattimer, Astrophys. J. 537, 351 (2000).
[3] V. Dexheimer, B. Franzon, R. Gomes, R. Farias, S. Avancini, and S. Schramm, Phys. Lett. B 773, 487 (2017).
[4] B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. Abbott, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, C. Adams, T. Adams, P. Addesso, R. Adhikari, V. Adya, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 161101 (2017).
[5] B. P. Abbott, S. Bloemen, P. Canizares, H. Falcke, R. Fender, S. Ghosh, P. Groot, T. Hinderer, J. Horandel, P. Jonker, et al., Astrophys. J. Lett. 848, L12 (2017).
[6] P. Evans, S. Cenko, J. Kennea, S. Emery, N. Kuin, O. Korobkin, R. Wollaeger, C. Fryer, K. Madsen, F. Harrison, et al., Science 358, 1565 (2017).
[7] B. D. Metzger, T. A. Thompson, and E. Quataert, Astrophys. J. 856, 101 (2018).
[8] K. Fujisawa and S. Kusaka, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 445, 2777 (2014).
[9] N. H. Tan, D. T. Khoa, and D. T. Loan, Phys. Rev. C 102, 045809 (2020).
[10] N. H. Tan, D. T. Khoa, and D. T. Loan, Eur. Phys. J. A 57, 153 (2021).
[11] J. R. Oppenheimer and G. M. Volkoff, Phys. Rev. 55, 374 (1939).
[12] T. Hinderer, Astrophys. J. 677, 1216 (2008).
[13] T. Hinderer, B. D. Lackey, R. N. Lang, and J. S. Read, Phys. Rev. D 81, 123016 (2010).
[14] T. Damour and A. Nagar, Phys. Rev. D 80, 084035 (2009).
[15] B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. Abbott, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, C. Adams, T. Adams, P. Addesso, R. X. Adhikari, V. B. Adya, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 161101 (2018).
[16] D. T. Loan, N. H. Tan, D. T. Khoa, and J. Margueron, Phys. Rev. C 83, 065809 (2011).
[35] B. T. Reed, F. J. Fattoyev, C. J. Horowitz, and J. Piekarewicz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 172503 (2021).

[36] D. Adhikari, H. Albataineh, D. Androic, K. Aniol, D. S. Armstrong, T. Averett, C. Ayerbe Gayoso, S. Barcus, V. Bellini, R. S. Beminiwattha, et al. (PREX Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 172502 (2021).

[37] J. M. Pearson, N. Chamel, A. Y. Potekhin, A. F. Fantina, C. Ducoin, A. K. Dutta, and S. Goriely, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 481, 2994 (2018).

[38] Y. D. Mutafchieva, N. Chamel, Z. K. Stoyanov, J. M. Pearson, and L. M. Mihailov, Phys. Rev. C 99, 055805 (2019).

[39] S. L. Shapiro and S. A. Teukolsky, Black Holes, White Dwarfs, and Neutron Stars (WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co, 2004).

[40] J. Antoniadis, P. C. Freire, N. Wex, T. M. Tauris, R. S. Lynch, M. H. van Kerkwijk, M. Kramer, C. Bassa, V. S. Dhillon, T. Driebe, et al., Science 340 (2013).

[41] H. T. Cromartie, E. Fonseca, S. M. Ransom, P. B. Demorest, Z. Arzoumanian, H. Blumer, P. R. Brook, M. E. DeCesar, T. Dolch, J. A. Ellis, et al., Nature Astronomy 4, 72 (2020).

[42] I. Tews and A. Schwenk, Astrophys. J. 892, 14 (2020).

[43] N. K. Glendenning, Compact stars: Nuclear Physics, Particle Physics and General Relativity (Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 2000).

[44] E. Annala, T. Gorda, A. Kurkela, J. Nättilä, and A. Vuorinen, Nature Physics 16, 907 (2020).

[45] G. Raaijmakers, S. K. Greif, T. E. Riley, T. Hinderer, K. Hebeler, A. Schwenk, A. L. Watts, S. Nissanke, S. Guillot, J. M. Lattimer, and R. M. Ludlam, Astrophys. J. Lett. 893, L21 (2020).