Impact of baseline clinical and radiological features on outcome of chronic rhinosinusitis in granulomatosis with polyangiitis
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Abstract

Background: Granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA) causes a recurring inflammation in nose and paranasal sinuses that clinically resembles chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) of other aetiologies. While sinonasal inflammation is not among the life-threatening features of GPA, patients report it to have major negative impact on quality of life. A relatively large proportion of GPA patients have severe CRS with extensive damage to nose and sinus structures evident by CT, but risk factors for severe CRS development remain largely unknown. In this study, we aimed to identify clinical and radiological predictors of CRS-related damage in GPA.

Methods: We included GPA patients who had clinical data sets from time of diagnosis, and two or more paranasal sinus CT scans obtained ≥ 12 months apart available for analysis. We defined time from first to last CT as the study observation period, and evaluated CRS development across this period by CT scores for inflammatory sinus bone thickening (osteitis), bone destructions and sinus opacifications (here defined as mucosal disease). In logistic regression, we applied osteitis as main outcome measure for CRS-related damage.

Results: We evaluated 697 CT scans obtained over median 5 years observation from 116 GPA patients. We found that 39% (45/116) of the GPA patients remained free from CRS damage across the study observation period, while 33% (38/116) had progressive damage. By end of observation, 32% (37/116) of the GPA patients had developed severe osteitis. We identified mucosal disease at baseline as a predictor for osteitis (Odds Ratio 1.34), and we found that renal involvement at baseline was less common in patients with severe osteitis at last CT (41%, 15/37) than in patients with no osteitis (60%, 27/45).

Conclusions: In this largely unselected GPA patient cohort, baseline sinus mucosal disease associated with CRS-related damage, as measured by osteitis at end of follow-up. We found no significant association with clinical factors, but the data set indicated an inverse relationship between renal involvement and severe sinonasal affliction.
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Introduction

Granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA) is a heterogeneous multisystem disorder causing granulomatous inflammation and necrotising vasculitis in small and medium
sized vessels. The disease is associated with Anti-Neutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibodies (ANCA), predominantly targeting proteinase 3 (PR3-ANCA). The upper and lower respiratory tract and the kidneys are the organ systems most commonly involved [1–3]. GPA usually runs a relapsing-remitting course with damage accrual. The relapse rates are high, particularly after withdrawal of immunosuppressive therapies [4, 5].

Sinonasal inflammation is common in GPA, with a reported cumulative incidence of at least 80% [4, 6]. Clinical and imaging features indicate an aggressive disease course of the Chronic RhinoSinusitis (CRS) related to GPA, with pronounced damage to cartilage and bone [7]. Imaging, predominantly paranasal sinus Computed Tomography (CT) scans, is important for diagnosis and follow-up of CRS in GPA. By CT, sinonasal mucosal thickening and fluid may represent disease activity, while inflammatory bone thickening (defined as osteitis) and destructions presumably represent CRS-related sinus damage.

When GPA patients were asked to rank disease-related challenges in daily life, sinonasal symptoms reached top five together with fatigue, pain, musculoskeletal symptoms and breathing difficulty [8], explaining why CRS-related features were prioritised items in the newly developed and validated ANCA-associated vasculitis patient-reported outcome measures (AAV-PRO) [9]. Nonetheless, the research on CRS in GPA is still quite limited [6, 10].

Damage accrual, determined by time-dependent increase in the Vasculitis Damage Index (VDI), appears to have major impact on morbidity and mortality in GPA [11]. It is not clear, however if VDI has sufficient resolution to capture extent and development of organ-restricted damage, such as the damage caused by CRS. Follow-up by paranasal sinus CT scans may be a better method to assess sinonasal damage development in GPA. Recently, we developed and applied methods suitable for evaluation of serial paranasal sinus CT scans of GPA patients. We used these methods to assess time-dependent CRS changes, with thickening of the bones of the sinus walls as the main surrogate marker for sinus damage [12, 13].

The aim of this study was to identify clinical and radiological baseline predictors of severe CRS outcome, i.e. osteitis, in patients with GPA. Specifically, we analysed the potential impact of clinical variables from time of diagnosis and baseline paranasal sinus CT findings on osteitis. With new knowledge on predictors, we may be able to better identify patients at high risk for CRS-related damage and provide targeted treatment at early disease stages. In turn, this may contribute to reduce the huge negative impact of CRS on GPA patients’ perceived quality of life.

Methods
GPA patient cohort and study timeline
We have described details on the GPA patient cohort in previous paranasal CT studies [12, 13]. Briefly, the cohort included all the GPA patients from the Norwegian systemic vasculitis and connective tissue disease registry (NOSVAR), a consent-based patient registry run by the Department of Rheumatology at Oslo University Hospital, who met the following pre-specified inclusion criteria: (I) clinical ANCA vasculitis classifiable as GPA according to the European Medicines Agency (EMA) algorithm [14], (II) at least two sets of archived sinus CT scans available for analysis,
with minimum one year between time of baseline CT and time of last CT. Specific to this study, we included patients who had complete Electronic Medical Record (EMR) available from time of GPA diagnosis. We assessed changes in radiological features during the study observation period, defined from the time of baseline CT to the time of last CT in each patient (Figure 1).

Clinical parameters assessed at time of GPA diagnosis
From each patient, we reviewed and recorded complete EMR information from time of diagnosis to registration end on 31 December 2016 in a pre-specified medical history form. Form items included: date of GPA symptom onset, date of GPA diagnosis assessed by an experienced physician, and type and extent of organ involvement at time of diagnosis defined by the Birmingham Vasculitis Activity Score, version 3 (BVAS) [15]. We also recorded smoking status and the findings on routine blood tests, ANCA tests and urine analyses. We further chose to stratify the patients according to the definition of the Wegener’s Granulomatosis Etanercept Trial (WGET) research group of limited and severe disease [16], as recommended by EULAR [10] where limited disease equals no organ or life-threatening disease while kidney involvement usually is classified as severe disease.

We retrieved data on paranasal sinus surgery from the EMR and from the Norwegian Patient Registry, which is a mandatory national registry that includes patient identifiable data on surgical procedures from 2008 [13].

Evaluation and scoring of CRS-related CT findings
We scored CRS-related CT features by scoring systems developed for CRS assessment as previously described [12]. Briefly, we assessed mucosal thickening or fluid (mucosal disease) by Lund-Mackay score (LM-score) [17], where a completely clear sinus is scored as 0 and a completely opacified sinus as 2, and all degrees of mucosal disease in between are scored as 1. In addition, the ostiomeatal complex is scored as 0 for an open passage and 2 for an obliterated one. For ten sinuses (pairs of frontal, maxillary and sphenoid sinuses, and pairs of anterior and posterior ethmoid sinuses) and two ostiomeatal complexes, the maximum LM-score is 24.

We assessed bone thickening, i.e. osteitis, by the Global Osteitis Scoring Scale (GOSS) [18], where ten individual sinuses are scored from zero (no osteitis) to 5 (> 50% of the sinus wall is > 5 mm thick), giving a maximum score of 50. We scored bony destruction as present (score 1) or absent (score 0) in 18 defined structures (10 sinuses, 3 pairs of conchae, nasal septum, and hard palate). We recorded saddle nose deformity by evaluating the lateral contour of the nose seen on the lateral scout view of the CT scans.

We followed the osteitis trajectories of the cohort, and in line with our two previous studies [12, 13], we stratified the patients into three groups based on the osteitis development over time: (I) no osteitis, (II) stable osteitis and (III) progressive osteitis. Specific to this study, the patients were stratified by osteitis severity at the end of study observation, using a modification of the GOSS severity grading proposed by Georgalas et al. [18]. Three groups were defined: (I) no osteitis (GOSS = 0), (II) mild to moderate osteitis with GOSS < 35 and no single sinus with score > 4 (III) severe osteitis with GOSS ≥ 35 or GOSS < 35 and at least one sinus score = 5 (Figure 1).
Statistical analyses
Normally distributed variables were given in mean (SD) and skewed variables in
median (range) where range is from the minimum value to the maximum value.
Proportions were given in number (percentage). Mean difference with 95% confi-
dence interval was calculated by t-distribution. Due to skewed data, we calculated
correlation by the Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient with a bias-corrected and
accelerated (BCa) bootstrap 95% confidence interval.

Logistic regression modelling was used to assess the strength of association be-
tween selected covariates and osteitis at last CT, which was our CRS-related out-
come variable. Some of the patients had undergone sinus surgery either before or
during observation. We excluded patients after they had undergone surgery in the
present modelling data set, to avoid time varying covariates. Patients who had at
least one year of observation before their first surgery, were included with their
preoperative observation time (n = 102). Covariates were baseline mucosal thick-
ening or fluid (mucosal disease) scored by LM-score, renal involvement at baseline
by BVAS, age at diagnosis, sex and the time between baseline CT and last CT (i.e.
observation period). Level of significance was set at < 0.05.

Results
GPA patient cohort, demographics, and clinical characteristics at time of diagnosis
The GPA cohort consisted of 116 patients. Mean age at diagnosis was 45 (SD = 19)
years and 54% were men. ANCA was positive in 109 patients. Sixty-four patients
(55%) had severe GPA as defined by the WGET research group criteria (16) (Table
1). At the time of GPA diagnosis, 37/116 (32%) patients had concurrent involvement
of upper and lower airways and kidneys, while 26/116 (22%) had combined upper
and lower airways disease, with no renal involvement. Symptoms and/or signs of
upper airway disease were present in 107/116 (92%) of the GPA patients, with
involvement of the nose and/or sinuses in 102 of them. Sinus involvement at baseline
was seen more often in men (76%, 48/63) than in women (58%, 31/53), and more
men (63%; 40/63) than women (42%; 22/53) had baseline kidney involvement.

Changes in paranasal sinus CT findings from baseline to end of follow-up
We evaluated 697 sinus CT scans from the 116 patients, with median 5 CT scans
per patient. From 68/116 patients, we had baseline CT scans performed within
one year from the time of GPA diagnosis (Figure 1). As detailed in Methods, we
scored all CT scans for three CRS-related findings: mucosal thickening, sinus bone
thickening (i.e. osteitis) and bony destruction, with osteitis as the main marker for
GPA-related sinus damage.

At the baseline CT scan, we found that out of the 116 patients 95 (82%) had
mucosal thickening or fluid in the sinuses (defined by LM score > 0), 29 (25%) had
bony destructions (Table 1) and 53 (46%) had osteitis (Figure 1). The patients who
had osteitis at baseline had a longer delay between year of diagnosis and year of
baseline CT (mean difference = 2.8; 95% confidence interval 0.7 to 4.9).

To determine changes in CRS-related damage during the median 5-year study
observation period, we scored osteitis severity (by GOSS) on all CT scans performed
during follow up. In line with previously published data on this cohort (12) we found
that the degree of osteitis either remained stable or progressed during observation. In this cohort, 53 patients had osteitis at the baseline CT, 26 of these had stable osteitis during observation, while 27 had progressive osteitis (Figure 1). Time-course analyses of the 63 patients with no signs of osteitis at the baseline CT showed that 45 patients remained free from osteitis during observation, seven developed a mild osteitis that remained stable across the observation period and 11 displayed progressive osteitis (Figure 1).

By the end of the study period, defined for each patient as the time of the last sinus CT scan, outcomes regarding CRS-related damage were as follows: 45 patients had no osteitis, 34 patients had moderate osteitis and 37 had developed severe osteitis (Figure 1). Not surprisingly, we found that 28/37 patients ending with severe osteitis had displayed progression of osteitis during follow-up (Figure 1).

Analyses of additional CT damage parameters showed that 15/116 (13%) had nasal septum perforation (n = 12) and/or saddle nose deformity (n = 8) already at baseline. By the end of follow-up, 30/116 (26%) patients had saddle nose and/or nasal septum perforation, with saddle nose in 16 and nasal septum perforation in 26. Sixty percent (18/30) of the patients who had saddle nose and/or nasal septum perforation at end of observation, had limited disease by WGET at diagnosis.

**Associations between baseline radiological features and outcome of CRS**

As expected, we found the most pronounced increase in osteitis from baseline to end of study in the patient subset with a severe osteitis outcome (Figure 2). Regarding mucosal disease, we found gradually increasing median baseline LM-score from the no osteitis group via the moderate to the severe osteitis group (Table 2 and Figure 2). Consistently, the baseline LM-score correlated with GOSS at last CT \( \rho = 0.64 \) with 95% confidence interval 0.50 to 0.75. Finally, the patients with severe osteitis outcome also had more bony destruction including saddle nose deformity than the no osteitis and moderate osteitis outcome groups (Figure 2, Table 2), but it should be noted that surgical interventions in sinuses, which often include removal of bony structures, were more prevalent in the severe osteitis group (Table 2).

**Associations between baseline demographics, clinical characteristics, and outcome of CRS**

When patients were stratified by outcome of CRS, we found that the group ending with severe osteitis (n = 37) were younger at disease onset than the patients with no osteitis (n = 45) or moderate osteitis (n = 34) and included more smokers (Table 2). In patients who were < 50 years at disease onset, we found that 40% (25/63) had a severe osteitis outcome, compared to 22% (12/53) in patients with onset > 50 years (Figure 3). Severe osteitis outcome was slightly more frequent in men (35%, 22/63) than in women (28%, 15/53). Overall, we found that types and extent of organ involvement at baseline were quite similar across the osteitis outcome groups (Table 2). However, in patients with renal involvement at baseline (as defined by BVAS), severe osteitis outcome was less frequent (24%, 15/62) than in patients with no renal disease (41%, 22/54). We found a similar difference with disease classified by WGET, where 28% (18/64) with severe disease and 37% (19/52) with limited disease developed severe osteitis (Figure 3).
Baseline clinical and radiological features as risk factors for osteitis

We have previously shown that sinus surgery associates with osteitis development in GPA (13). Consistently, we found a large difference in osteitis outcome between GPA patients with or without a history of sinus surgery (Figure 3). Patients were excluded after sinus surgery from the modelling, as detailed in the Methods section, due to surgery being a time-varying variable.

A logistic regression model was fitted with baseline covariates and the outcome variable which divided the patients into two groups (no osteitis and a merged moderate and severe osteitis group). We found a clear association between baseline LM-score and the osteitis outcome variable, where the odds of having osteitis at last CT increased by 34% for one-unit increase in baseline LM-score with 95% confidence interval from 17% increase in odds to 57%. Baseline renal disease and sex were included in multivariable analyses but were not significant (Table 3).

Discussion

CRS is highly prevalent in GPA and a major contributor to perceived disease burden. Still, we know little about risk factors for severe CRS outcomes in GPA. In this study, the main finding was that baseline sinonasal CT findings consistent with mucosal disease, increased the risk for development and progression of CRS-related damage (osteitis) during follow-up. We found no effect of age at diagnosis or time of observation (OR = 1), but the results indicated, albeit not statistically significant, that baseline kidney involvement reduced the odds, and sex increased the odds of osteitis at last CT.

Our finding of a positive correlation between baseline mucosal disease measured by LM-score and development of osteitis measured by GOSS in GPA is novel. A previous cross-sectional study described associations between LM-score and osteitis in CRS [18], but this was not investigated in studies on GPA-related CRS [7].

In this study, we used sinonasal osteitis rather than destructions as primary marker of CRS-related damage, because we had previously observed in individual patients that it was highly challenging to differentiate bony destructions caused by CRS from post-surgical bone changes [13]. Since no prospective studies exist on CRS in GPA we do not know if CRS-related damage correlates with sinonasal symptom severity. In a prospective follow-up study of CRS patients with sinus surgery it was observed that patients with severe osteitis at baseline experienced less symptom improvement after the surgical intervention [19].

In addition to osteitis, we focused on saddle nose deformity and nasal septum perforation as clinically important organ damage. Few GPA studies have assessed these nose complications by paranasal CT scans [7], and only one of these studies included more than 15 patients [20]. This cross-sectional study from a tertiary otolaryngology centre by Grindler et al. reported CT findings consistent with saddle nose in 34% (25/74) of GPA patients and septal perforation in 35% [20]. For comparison, by end of follow-up we identified septal perforation and saddle nose in 22% (26/116) and 14% (16/116) of patients, respectively. This difference indicates probably selection for GPA patients with severe sinonasal disease in Grindler’s cohort.

Although no variables other than mucosal disease were significant in the logistic regression model, some clinical variables did show a different distribution between
the osteitis groups. Specifically, patients with no renal involvement and/or severe GPA (by the WGET definition) at baseline seemed to have increased risk for severe osteitis development. The potential negative association between baseline kidney involvement and severe CRS is, to our knowledge, a new observation. It is, however, indirectly supported by the finding of low renal disease (27%) in the above-mentioned GPA cohort from Grindler et al. [20], where a high proportion of patients had severe CRS with osteitis (78%).

Finally, it seemed that younger age at diagnosis (< 50 years as compared to ≥ 50 years) associated with development of severe osteitis during observation (Figure 3). The difference was perhaps due to longer disease duration in the younger patients with mean increased disease duration of 4.8 years with 95% confidence interval 2.2 to 7.3 years. However, twice as many younger patients had undergone sinus surgery which could reflect a more aggressive disease in the younger and partly explain the higher level of osteitis. In the logistic regression model, where the surgery patients were excluded, the age at diagnosis had no effect (Odds Ratio = 1). The potential effect of sex on osteitis was unclear, with trends in opposite directions in the uni- and multivariable analyses. We do, however, believe that the relatively even distributions of males across the three categories of osteitis at study end (as shown in Figure 3) argues against sex differences regarding severe CRS damage.

Limitations and strengths
The major strength of this study is that it contains detailed analyses of a large number of paranasal sinus CT scans obtained over a relatively long observation period from a largely unselected cohort of GPA patients. Due to the unselected nature of the cohort, we were able to identify groups of patients with different CRS trajectories, ranging from patients with no osteitis ever, to patients with progressive changes and severe osteitis outcome. An obvious weakness, which relates to the observational study design, is that we did not have baseline CT from time of GPA diagnosis in all the patients. Additionally, we could not develop a prediction model for osteitis because of too little data, and we were not able to fit any model with more than one significant variable. Furthermore, the study did not take into account the underlying systemic activity of GPA at time of CT scanning or the impact of systemic GPA treatment on CRS development.

There have been discussions on how to measure disease activity of the sinonasal area in GPA, and BVAS has been considered too coarse. Decker et al. [21] proposed therefore an endoscopy-based disease activity score in GPA, but this required follow-up by otorhinolaryngologists. We think that our clear association between baseline mucosal disease and osteitis at last follow-up could suggest LM-score as a potential useful indicator of activity. This needs confirmation in independent GPA populations with longitudinal data and preferably comparison to both endoscopy findings and BVAS.

Conclusion
We found a clear association between baseline paranasal sinus mucosal disease and osteitis at last follow-up (Odds Ratio = 1.34 with 95% confidence interval 1.17 to 1.57). No other variables reached the significance level of 5%, but there seemed to
be a negative association between osteitis and kidney disease, indicating that development of severe CRS damage is not evenly distributed across the GPA population.
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Figures

**Figure 1** Plot showing the study timeline and osteitis development from baseline to end of follow up. Timeline plot (A). Timepoint zero was defined as the year of diagnosis. Median differences between the year of diagnosis and the time of the baseline and the last CT are shown as diamond shaped points and the interquartile ranges are shown as horizontal error bars. The mean study observation period with error bars of 2 standard deviations is shown at the bottom. Groups of the study (B). At baseline there were two groups. Three different osteitis trajectories ended in three different outcome groups. The connection lines show how the patients changed groups during the study observation period.

**Figure 2** The levels of the three CT parameters at baseline and last CT. Upper panel shows bone thickening (i.e. osteitis) measured by Global Osteitis Scoring Scale (GOSS), number of destructed sinonasal bone structures in the middle panel and sinonasal mucosal disease measured by Lund-Mackay score (LM-score) in the bottom panel. The variables are grouped by the degree of osteitis severity at last CT.

**Figure 3** Associations to the degree of osteitis severity at last CT. Demographic data including age at diagnosis (A), baseline clinical data (B) and total sinus surgery (C). Limited/severe disease is by the definition of the WGET research group.

Tables
### Table 1  Demographics, baseline clinical data, and baseline CT scores in total cohort.

| Demographic data                  | Total, n = 116 | No baseline osteitis, n = 63 | Baseline osteitis, n = 53 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Women; n (%)                      | 53 (46)        | 32 (51)                    | 21 (40)                  |
| Limited GPA\(^1\), as defined in WGET\(^2\) research group; n (%) | 52 (45)        | 26 (41)                    | 26 (49)                  |
| Mean age at diagnosis in years (SD) | 45 (19)        | 45 (20)                    | 46 (19)                  |
| Median time in years between symptom onset and diagnosis (range) | 0.5 (0.0 - 10.0) | 0.5 (0.0 - 9.3) | 0.5 (0.0 - 10.0) |
| Missing = 1                        |                | Missing = 1                | Missing = 0              |
| Median time in years from diagnosis to first CT (range) | 0.3 (-3.9 - 24.6) | 0.0 (-3.9 - 18.5) | 0.8 (-1.3 - 24.6) |
| Ever smokers; fraction (%)        | 48/94 (51)     | 26/51 (51)                 | 22/43 (51)               |
| Mortality; n (%)                  | 10 (9)         | 4 (6)                      | 6 (11)                   |

| Laboratory data                  | Total, n = 116 | No baseline osteitis, n = 63 | Baseline osteitis, n = 53 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| ANCA\(^3\) positive; fraction (%) | 109/110 (99)  | 62/63 (98)                 | 47/47 (100)              |
| ANCA\(^3\) negative; fraction (%) | 1/110 (0.9)   | 1/63 (1.6)                 | 0/47 (0)                 |

| Clinical data, BVAS\(^4\)        | Total, n = 116 | No baseline osteitis, n = 63 | Baseline osteitis, n = 53 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| General; n (%)                    | 107 (92)       | 56 (89)                    | 51 (96)                  |
| Cutaneous; n (%)                  | 26 (22)        | 15 (24)                    | 11 (21)                  |
| Mucosal membranes/eyes; n (%)     | 33 (28)        | 19 (30)                    | 14 (26)                  |
| Ear, nose, and throat; n (%)      | 107 (92)       | 59 (94)                    | 48 (91)                  |
| Bloody nasal discharge/crusts/ulcers/granuloma; n (%) | 93 (80)       | 50 (79)                    | 43 (81)                  |
| Paranasal sinus involvement; n (%) | 79 (68)       | 37 (59)                    | 42 (79)                  |
| Subglottic stenosis; n (%)        | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)                      | 0 (0)                    |
| Conductive hearing loss; n (%)    | 34 (29)        | 24 (38)                    | 10 (19)                  |
| Sensorineural hearing loss; n (%) | 34 (29)        | 24 (38)                    | 10 (19)                  |
| Chest; n (%)                      | 69 (59)        | 36 (57)                    | 33 (62)                  |
| Cardiovascular; n (%)             | 1 (1)          | 1 (2)                      | 0 (0)                    |
| Abdominal; n (%)                  | 6 (5)          | 4 (6)                      | 2 (4)                    |
| Renal; n (%)                      | 62 (53)        | 34 (54)                    | 28 (53)                  |
| Nervous system; n (%)             | 21 (18)        | 13 (21)                    | 8 (15)                   |

| Surgery before baseline           | Total, n = 116 | No baseline osteitis, n = 63 | Baseline osteitis, n = 53 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Sinus surgery; n (%)              | 12 (10)        | 1 (2)                      | 11 (21)                  |

| CT scores                         | Total, n = 116 | No baseline osteitis, n = 63 | Baseline osteitis, n = 53 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Median GOSS\(^5\) (range)        | 0 (0 - 38)     | 0 (0 - 0)                  | 4 (1 - 38)               |
| Sinonasal destructions; n (%)     | 29 (25)        | 5 (8)                      | 24 (45)                  |
| Median LM-score\(^6\) (range)    | 3 (0 - 22)     | 2 (0 - 13)                 | 6 (0 - 22)               |
| LM-score\(^6\) > 0; n (%)         | 95 (82)        | 44 (70)                    | 51 (96)                  |

Missing data on ANCA and smoking.

**Abbreviations:**
\(^1\)GPA = Granulomatosis with polymyositis, \(^2\)WGET = Wegener’s Granulomatosis Etanercept Trial, \(^3\)ANCA = Anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies, \(^4\)BVAS = Birmingham Vasculitis Activity Score, version 3, \(^5\)GOSS = Global Osteitis Scoring Scale, \(^6\)LM-score = Lund-Mackay score.
Table 2  Demographics, baseline clinical data, and CT scores at baseline and last CT grouped by osteitis severity at last CT.

|                          | No osteitis,  n = 45 | Moderate osteitis, n = 34 | Severe osteitis, n = 37 |
|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| **Demographic data**     |                      |                           |                         |
| Women; n (%)             | 23 (51)              | 15 (44)                   | 15 (41)                 |
| Limited GPA⁷, as defined in WGET² research group; n (%) | 18 (40)              | 15 (44)                   | 19 (51)                 |
| Mean age at diagnosis in years (SD) | 44 (20)              | 54 (18)                   | 39 (18)                 |
| Median time in years between symptom onset and diagnosis (range) | 44; 0.4 (0.0 - 9.3)  | 0.7 (0.0 - 6.0)           | 0.4 (0.1 - 10.0)        |
| Missing = 1              |                      |                           |                         |
| Median time in years from diagnosis to first CT (range) | 0.1 (-3.9 - 18.5)    | 0.3 (-2.3 - 16.1)         | 0.5 (-1.3 - 24.6)       |
| Median time in years between first and last CT (range) | 4.5 (1.3 - 12.9)     | 4.8 (1.1 - 13.5)          | 7.3 (2.1 - 14.7)        |
| Ever smokers; fraction (%) | 17/36 (47)           | 14/30 (47)                | 17/28 (61)              |
| Mortality; n (%)         | 3 (7)                | 3 (9)                     | 4 (11)                  |
| **Laboratory data**      |                      |                           |                         |
| ANCA³ positive; fraction (%) | 44/45 (98)           | 33/33 (100)               | 32/32 (100)             |
| ANCA³ negative; fraction (%) | 1/45 (2.2)           | 0/33 (0)                  | 0/32 (0)                |
| **Baseline clinical data, BVAS⁴** |                      |                           |                         |
| General; n (%)           | 42 (93)              | 32 (94)                   | 33 (89)                 |
| Cutaneous; n (%)         | 11 (24)              | 7 (21)                    | 8 (22)                  |
| Mucosal membranes/eyes; n (%) | 14 (31)              | 10 (29)                   | 9 (24)                  |
| Ear, nose, and throat; n (%) | 42 (93)              | 29 (85)                   | 36 (97)                 |
| Bloody nasal discharge/crusts/ulcers/granulomata; n (%) | 35 (78)              | 25 (74)                   | 33 (89)                 |
| Paranasal sinus involvement; n (%) | 23 (51)              | 23 (68)                   | 33 (89)                 |
| Subglottic stenosis; n (%) | 0 (0)                | 0 (0)                     | 0 (0)                   |
| Conductive hearing loss; n (%) | 16 (36)              | 6 (18)                    | 12 (32)                 |
| Sensorineural hearing loss; n (%) | 16 (36)              | 6 (18)                    | 12 (32)                 |
| Chest; n (%)             | 26 (58)              | 21 (62)                   | 22 (59)                 |
| Cardiovascular; n (%)    | 1 (2)                | 0 (0)                     | 0 (0)                   |
| Abdominal; n (%)         | 3 (7)                | 1 (3)                     | 2 (5)                   |
| Renal; n (%)             | 27 (60)              | 20 (59)                   | 15 (41)                 |
| Nervous system; n (%)    | 7 (16)               | 4 (12)                    | 10 (27)                 |
| **Surgery at end of follow-up** |                      |                           |                         |
| Sinus surgery; n (%)     | 1 (2)                | 4 (12)                    | 17 (46)                 |
| **CT scores at baseline** |                      |                           |                         |
| Median GOSS⁵ (range)     | 0 (0 - 0)            | 2 (0 - 18)                | 6 (0 - 38)              |
| Sinonasal destructions; n (%) | 3 (7)                | 6 (18)                    | 20 (54)                 |
| Nasal septum perforation; n (%) | 3 (7)                | 4 (12)                    | 5 (14)                  |
| Saddle nose deformity; n (%) | 2 (4)                | 1 (3)                     | 5 (14)                  |
| Median LM-score⁶ (range) | 1 (0 - 12)           | 4 (0 - 22)                | 9 (0 - 22)              |
| LM-score⁶ > 0; n (%)     | 29 (64)              | 30 (88)                   | 36 (97)                 |
| **CT scores at last CT** |                      |                           |                         |
| Median GOSS⁵ (range)     | 0 (0 - 0)            | 4 (1 - 28)                | 16 (5 - 49)             |
| Sinonasal destructions; n (%) | 6 (13)                | 11 (32)                   | 33 (89)                 |
| Nasal septum perforation; n (%) | 6 (13)                | 7 (21)                    | 13 (35)                 |
| Saddle nose deformity; n (%) | 2 (4)                | 4 (12)                    | 10 (27)                 |
| Median LM-score⁶ (range) | 1 (0 - 7)            | 4 (0 - 20)                | 7 (0 - 22)              |

Missing data on ANCA and smoking.

Abbreviations:
¹GPA = Granulomatosis with polyangiitis, ²WGET = Wegener’s Granulomatosis Etanercept Trial, ³ANCA = Anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies, ⁴BVAS = Birmingham Vasculitis Activity Score, version 3, ⁵GOSS = Global Osteitis Scoring Scale, ⁶LM-score = Lund-Mackay score.
Table 3: Univariable, and multivariable logistic regression models with outcome variable osteitis at last CT. Estimates (Odds Ratio), 95% confidence intervals (CI), and p-values.

|                        | Univariable | 95% CI    | p-value | Multivariable | 95% CI    | p-value |
|------------------------|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|-----------|---------|
| (Intercept)            | -           | -         | -       | 0.60          | 0.26      | 1.36    | 0.23    |
| LM-score$^1$           | 1.34        | 1.17      | 1.57    | 8.8e-05       | 1.34      | 1.17    | 1.59    | 1.41e-04 |
| Kidney disease         | 0.63        | 0.28      | 1.39    | 0.25          | 0.76      | 0.30    | 1.92    | 0.55    |
| Men                    | 1.35        | 0.61      | 2.98    | 0.46          | 0.80      | 0.30    | 2.07    | 0.65    |
| Age at diagnosis (years)| 1.01        | 0.99      | 1.03    | 0.38          |           |         |         |         |
| Observation period (years)| 1.02    | 0.90      | 1.16    | 0.71          |           |         |         |         |

Abbreviation:
$^1$LM-score = Lund-Mackay score.