Active gastrointestinal bleeding: Use of hemostatic forceps beyond endoscopic submucosal dissection
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Abstract
To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of the application of hemostatic forceps in active gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding that is not related to endoscopic submucosal dissection. An 86-year-old woman with chronic intake of low-dose aspirin had a Dieulafoy’s lesion of the third duodenal portion. Bleeding control with epinephrine injection was unsuccessful. A 60-year-old man presented with a bleeding ulcer in the duodenal bulb. Ten days after combined endotherapy, he had recurrent bleeding from two minimal lesions in the same location. A 66-year-old woman under combined antithrombotic treatment was referred to us for chronic GI bleeding of unexplained origin. Endoscopy revealed active diverticular bleeding in the second duodenal portion. A 61-year-old woman underwent endoscopic mucosal resection of superficial gastric adenocarcinoma, which was complicated with immediate bleeding. In all cases, the blood was washed out using a water-jet-equipped, single-channel gastroscope with a large working channel. The bleeding points were pinched and retracted with hemostatic forceps. Monopolar electrocoagulation was performed using an electrosurgical current generator. Hemostasis was achieved. No complications occurred. In conclusion, hemostatic forceps may be an effective as well as safe alternative approach for active GI bleeding of various origins.

Key words: Hemostasis; Forceps; Blood coagulation; Hemorrhage; Endoscopic submucosal dissection

INTRODUCTION
Therapeutic endoscopy has greatly reduced the indications for urgent surgery in cases of gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding. Despite several advances in endoscopic technology, hemostasis can be technically challenging. In addition, the risk of rebleeding, which is associated with high mortality, cannot be eliminated. Hemostatic forceps is commonly included in the essential accessories for performing endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD). Therefore, we assumed that it could be also applicable in the treatment of active GI bleeding that is not related to ESD.

CASE REPORT

Case 1
An 86-year-old woman was admitted for melena. She had a medical history of ischemic heart disease and chronic intake of low-dose aspirin. She was intravenously administered omeprazole (8 mg/h). Her endoscopic findings were suggestive of a Dieulafoy’s lesion that was located in the third duodenal portion at the level of the genu inferius. An initial attempt at bleeding control with injection of epinephrine solution at a dose of 25 mL proved...
A 61-year-old woman was diagnosed with depressed-type IIc superficial adenocarcinoma in the stomach, with a diameter of approximately 1.5 cm. Cap-assisted endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) of her neoplastic lesion was complicated with immediate bleeding. Coagulation of the spurting bleeding vessels using an ERBE VIO 200 generator (soft mode, Effect 5, 50 W) and hemostatic forceps allowed us to complete successfully the EMR procedure (Figure 3). The patient was treated with omeprazole 20 mg bid. She did not experience any further complications. She was discharged home within 48 h.

**DISCUSSION**

Several techniques or devices have been used for the endoscopic control of active GI bleeding. The widely available endoscopic hemostatic options include injection techniques such as epinephrine or sclerosant, ablative ones such as heater probe or argon plasma coagulation (APC), and mechanical methods such as endoclips or endoscopic banding. In several ulcer bleeding cases, combination endoscopic treatment with epinephrine injection and thermal coagulation or endoclipping has been recommended to achieve better outcomes. Bleeding control of a Dieulafoy’s lesion may require hemostasis with multiple endoscopic treatment methods, whereas clinical experience with endotherapy of duodenal intradiverticular bleeding is limited to a small number of cases.

GI bleeding is a major complication of endoscopic surgery. Minor bleeding during ESD can be managed with coagulation using an electrosurgical knife. When that fails, conventional endoscopic means such as hemoclips or APC can be applied. However, endoclipping may pose several difficulties in the completion of the dissection procedure.

A hemostatic forceps is a valuable tool for performing safely ESD procedures, which are usually demanding. Its intraoperative use is extended from the coagulation of actively bleeding or oozing vessels to the pre-coagulation of visible, exposed vessels on the artificial ulcer. It does not only aim at facilitating an ESD procedure, so as to continue the endoscopic intervention safely, but also to prevent delayed bleeding as a late-onset complication.

In our small study, a hemostatic forceps was used in the endoscopic management of GI bleeding that was unrelated to ESD. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of its application beyond ESD in the English-language literature. Our initial experience demonstrated that monopolar coagulation using a hemostatic forceps as: (1) a second-line therapeutic approach during the same hemostatic procedure; (2) second-line treatment of two simultaneously bleeding sources after recent combined endotherapy with other modalities; and (3) first-line treatment of a non-iatrogenic bleeding lesion or an EMR-induced lesion, was effective as well as devoid of any post-procedural complications.

Although omeprazole was introduced prior to endotherapy in all our cases, the clinical efficacy of proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) in acute bleeding is a matter of debate. They can reduce the severity of endoscopic signs.
of recent bleeding and the need for endotherapy, but they have not provided a clear benefit in terms of rebleeding, mortality or the need for surgery[10]. In addition, the administration of PPIs prior to ESD does not seem necessary for the prevention of postoperative bleeding[11]. However, they can prevent delayed bleeding more effectively than H2-receptor antagonists[12]. Moreover, epinephrine was initially injected in two cases. Epinephrine alone can provide initial hemostasis of 80%-100% in bleeding ulcers, but recurrent bleeding rates range from 6% to 36%. Similar to ulcers, it may only provide temporary hemostasis of bleeding of Dieulafoy's lesions[13].

Although that hemostatic tools appears to be a reasonable alternative option for the endoscopic treatment of various bleeding lesions, a few practical and technical issues should be taken into consideration before encouraging endoscopists to use it for non-variceal, actively bleeding cases, to achieve definitive hemostasis. To date, the clinical experience of its hemostatic applications has not extended further than those interventionists that perform ESD procedures. However, it is conceivably that excessive coagulation with it might result in delayed perforation. Therefore, one should be aware of the speed of use of a hemostatic forceps, to avoid any complications. The bleeding point should be precisely pinched, retracted and coagulated with a minimal contact area for a minimum time[7]. In difficult cases, it is also possible to achieve prompt tissue coagulation with the simple contact of an unopened hemostatic forceps, as shown in our second case. However, by pinch-

ing an artery, its use seems more advantageous than other endoscopic techniques, such as APC, for avoiding unnecessary maneuvers and providing accurate hemostasis. To facilitate capture, a hemostatic forceps can be also rotated.

Several experts in ESD procedures usually coagulate the blood vessels using a hemostatic forceps in soft mode[7,14-20]. Others use the coagulation mode of the knife for hemostasis[10,22]. In our endoscopy unit, we generally use an ERBE VIO 200 generator in soft mode (Effect 5, 50, 80 or 100 W) for a hemostatic forceps. However, an ICC 200 generator, which can be set to soft or forced mode, is the only available option for emergency cases. This is the reason why that was used for the coagulation of the Dieulafoy’s lesion and the duodenal intradiverticular bleeding. In the case of the Dieulafoy’s lesion, the field was blood-filled and large amounts of water were required to clear it. It took us a long time to grasp the spurting lesion twice and coagulate it with the forced mode to complete the hemostatic procedure. Despite the prolonged duration of coagulation, the bleeding was controlled with no early or delayed-onset complications. It seems doubtful if the use of soft coagulation would be effective in achieving hemostasis. In the case of the large bleeding diverticulum in the second duodenal portion, which was not easily accessible, we continued the coagulation using the forced mode (60 W), because the hemostatic effect of the soft mode with 80 W current was not rapid enough. Although there might be a higher risk of excess tissue injury from thermocoagulation...
in thin-walled duodenal diverticula, an endoclip-induced perforation has been reported as the only complication of endotherapy of diverticular bleeding in a recent case series[3]. Possible explanations include the sharp tip of the hemoclip and excessive air inflation during therapeutic endoscopy.

In conclusion, our case series supports the potential value of the application of a hemostatic forceps in active GI bleeding of various origins. It suggests that it seems to be a reliable and safe therapeutic tool even for those cases of bleeding that are unrelated to ESD, which are difficult to treat with other endoscopic means, although more cases need to be studied before any firm conclusions can be made. Proper techniques and settings should be followed to avoid any procedure-related complications.
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