Antibiotics in COPD exacerbations: practice and evidence

Clinical practice should be directed by scientific evidence in this era of evidence-based medicine. It might then appear curious that paradoxes between practice and the evidence can still occur. Take the example of the use of antibiotics in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). A recent systematic review of placebo-controlled antibiotic trials in acute exacerbations of COPD (AECOPD) concluded that they did not support the use of antibiotics for mild or moderate exacerbations [1]. Conversely, the report of the UK national COPD audit of 9,716 adults admitted with this diagnosis in 2008 to 177 hospitals recorded antibiotic treatment in 81% [2]. So why is there this paradox?

First there is history. The identification of bacteria, then the discovery of antibiotics, taken with the occurrence of purulent sputum in AECOPD and the microscopic identification of bacteria in these samples led to the early adoption of antibiotics as one of the pillars of AECOPD management. All of this occurred when other causes (for example viruses [3]) for COPD exacerbation could not be reliably identified. In addition, antibiotics were perceived to be overwhelmingly beneficial without significant side-effects at a time when objective assessment of scientific evidence was a fledgling science. This is despite one of the earliest placebo-controlled studies being conducted in 1957 that found no benefit from antibiotics compared with placebo [4].

A number of similar studies followed, and one issue with the evidence is that most of these trials are old from today’s standpoint. For this reason they can be criticised on their methodological robustness, the use of antibiotics that may no longer be considered to be standard (e.g. sulphonamides), poor profiling of patient characteristics, lack of control for other interventions now known to influence outcome and weak end-points.

A number of meta-analyses and systematic reviews have preceded the previously mentioned study [1] and have come to rather different conclusions. One of the earliest concluded that there was a small but statistically significant improvement due to antibiotic therapy [5]. The authors suggested that this may be clinically significant – not an overwhelming endorsement! The 2006 Cochrane systematic review supported the use of antibiotics for patients with COPD exacerbations with increased cough and sputum purulence who are moderately or severely ill [6]. However, the authors correctly acknowledged the limitations of this study.
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review, which were largely due to the methodology of these older trials. One study, that showed the largest benefit from antibiotics, had a disproportionate influence on the conclusions of the Cochrane review [7]. This small study was conducted on an intensive care unit without the use of many usual additional interventions such as steroid therapy and its results cannot therefore be generalised to hospital admissions or outpatients with AECOPD. The evidence base is therefore flawed, but it does appear likely that there may be a subgroup who might benefit, even if the overall conclusion is of a small or even no benefit from antibiotics.

This was explored in one sentinel study [8] and repeated in a separate Italian study [9]. The results of these 20-year-old studies remain the basis for current antibiotic practice in AECOPD. In this study, a clear benefit from antibiotics was only found in those with Type 1 exacerbations (all three of increased sputum purulence, sputum volume and breathlessness), with no benefit in Type 3 (only one of the three features) and minimal benefit in Type 2 (two of the three features). However, even in Type 1 exacerbations 43% responded to placebo, albeit with a higher subsequent deterioration rate. While this clinical rule can be a basis for clinical practice it remains far from perfect as patients who will not benefit from antibiotic exposure are still unnecessarily receiving antibiotics. This we now know is harmful both to the individual and the population and should be avoided if possible.

Do newer antibiotics make a difference? Well probably not. In a study of one of the newer fluoroquinolones, a difference in bacteriological outcome in favour of the fluoroquinolone was found, but this did not translate into a clinical benefit – at least not in clinical recovery from the exacerbation [10]. Interestingly, this study did find an association with fluoroquinolone use and a delay in the onset of subsequent exacerbations, so maybe we have been studying the wrong end-points? One older study found that short-term mortality was reduced by pre-hospital antibiotic therapy [11] and another that relapse rates were reduced in antibiotic-treated patients regardless of type [12] and this has been reproduced in a more recent study based on general practice [13]. These findings remain associations since antibiotic use may simply be a marker of some other aspect of beneficial care. Another fluoroquinolone study did not find this difference [14].

Maybe different antibiotics are required by different patients? Studies have shown that the bacteriology of the airways may differ according to lung function, with Gram-negative organisms such as Pseudomonas aeruginosa being more common in those with poorer lung function [15, 16]. However, this has not been reproduced in other populations [17].

Maybe the Holy Grail of giving antibiotics only to those who will benefit is not achievable using simple clinical markers. Two studies in the same setting have suggested biomarkers, specifically procalcitonin, can be used to direct antibiotic therapy in AECOPD [18, 19]. Larger studies are required to ensure that those who do not receive antibiotics directed in this way are not at a disadvantage and the results need to be reproduced in other settings, but this may be the way forward.

Ultimately the paradox is driven by inadequate evidence. Evidence can only guide practice when it is robust, unequivocal and generalisable and the data on antibiotics in AECOPD fulfill none of these requirements as yet. Undoubtedly we know more than we did but we are not there yet!
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Ask the Expert – Smoking cessation strategies

In the next issue of Breathe, to be published in September at the European Respiratory Society’s Congress, Professor Jacques Prignot of the Université Catholique de Louvain in Belgium will answer readers’ questions about smoking cessation strategies - from setting up clinics to be benefits and drawbacks of different therapies and strategies and the effects of tobacco. Professor Prignot was until very recently programme secretary of the International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease’s Tobacco Control Section, and has written extensively on the subject of tobacco control, among many other topics.

Professor Prignot cannot enter into direct correspondence with readers or answer questions about specific cases. Questions with wider relevance to common problems are most likely to be answered. Please send your questions to breathe@ersj.org.uk by Monday, June 29, 2009.