Leishmania mexicana in a central Texas cat: clinical presentation, molecular identification, sandfly vector collection and novel management
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Abstract

Case summary This case report documents the clinical appearance, diagnosis and novel treatment of a central Texas cat with cutaneous leishmaniosis. The cat presented with a linear erosion on the right pinnal margin, an ulcerated exophytic nodule on the right hock and a swelling in the right nostril. Cytological and histopathological findings were consistent with leishmaniosis. PCR confirmed the presence of Leishmania mexicana, a species endemic to Texas. An epidemiological investigation was conducted by trapping sandflies from the cat’s environment. Sandflies collected were identified as Lutzomyia species, known vectors of Leishmania species. Given the lack of validated medical therapies for L mexicana in cats, treatments typically prescribed for canine leishmaniosis were administered. Allopurinol achieved clinical success but was discontinued due to suspected drug-related neutropenia. Topical imiquimod also improved lesional skin but was not sustainable due to application difficulty. Oral administration of artemisinin resulted in significant clinical improvement of cutaneous lesions without reported adverse events. Nearly 8 months after the initiation of artemisinin therapy, the cat remained systemically healthy with stable lesions.

Relevance and novel information This case report demonstrates endemic feline leishmaniosis in central Texas and provides the clinician with alternative therapeutic options for medical management.
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Introduction Leishmaniosis is caused by intracellular protozoal parasites that rely on insect vectors for transmission into their vertebrate hosts.1,2 Infection caused by species of the Leishmania donovani complex (especially L infantum) has a wide geographical distribution and is characterized by both visceral and cutaneous manifestations.1 Leishmania mexicana, the species endemic to Texas (USA), has been reported to cause primarily cutaneous lesions in cats.3 Clinical signs include nodules, scale and erosions/ulcers typically located on the pinnae and muzzle.1,2 Diagnosis
of feline leishmaniosis can be accomplished through cytology, histopathology or PCR. Feline-specific serological testing is not commercially available.1–3 Although immunofluorescence antibody testing has been useful for cases of L infantum infection, it has not been validated for the detection of specific L mexicana antibodies.4,5 The lack of validated treatments for cats with leishmaniosis has forced clinicians to extrapolate therapies used for canine leishmaniosis, particularly allopurinol and meglumine antimoniate.1,6 An additional challenge is the inability to procure commonly reported anti-leishmanial medications such as meglumine antimoniate and miltefosine in the USA. Therefore, treatment options are limited to extra-label use of allopurinol, surgical excision of lesions or alternative/novel therapies.

This case describes the clinical presentation and diagnosis of a central Texas cat infected with L mexicana and the successful use of novel treatments such as imiquimod cream and herbal artemisinin for feline leishmaniosis. In addition, it confirms local vector presence through identification of Lutzomyia sandflies at the cat’s residence.

Case description
A 6-year-old neutered male domestic shorthair cat was presented to the primary veterinarian for evaluation of pruritic, non-healing wounds on the right pinna and the right tarsus. The lesions were noticed several weeks prior to examination. The cat was initially found as a stray in Bryan, Texas, at approximately 3 months of age. Since being obtained by the owner, the cat had remained primarily indoors with only infrequent exposure to the outdoor patio (approximately once a year). There was no known travel history outside of Texas prior to presentation. Clinical signs appeared to respond partially to prescribed therapies such as cephalexin, amoxicillin–potassium clavulanate, prednisolone and topical nitrofurazone. However, the lesions never entirely resolved, prompting the primary veterinarian to biopsy the pinnal lesion. Histopathology was consistent with focally extensive granulomatous dermatitis with intralesional amastigotes. A diagnosis of leishmaniosis was made and a course of marbofloxacin was administered for 40 days prior to referral.

At presentation to the dermatology service, the right pinna had an irregular lateral margin with an erythematous, crusted edge. Dermal thickening of the tissue with overlying ulceration was noted at the proximolateral aspect of the pinna. The caudal right tarsus had an ulcerated exophytic nodule with a thin overlying crust (Figure 1).

Impression cytology of the lesions revealed intracellular amastigotes with rounded nuclei and perpendicularly oriented kinetoplasts, consistent with Leishmania species organisms (Figure 2). The cat tested negative for feline leukemia virus (FeLV) antigen and feline immunodeficiency virus (FIV) antibodies using a SNAP FIV/FeLV Combo Test (IDEXX). A moderate leukopenia (3500/µl; reference interval [RI] 5500–19,500) and mild thrombocytopenia (232,000/µl; RI 300,000–800,00) were noted on complete blood count (CBC) with mild hyperglobulinaemia (3.9 g/dl; RI 2.3–3.8) and marginally elevated creatinine (1.83 mg/dl; RI 0.8–1.8) on serum chemistry. A recently voided bladder precluded urinalysis. The owner was instructed to have this performed with the primary veterinarian as soon as possible. A precautionary in-house consultation with the Ophthalmology Service confirmed no ocular abnormalities.

DNA was extracted from fresh frozen tissue of the tarsal lesion using the EZNA. Tissue Extraction Kit (Omega Bio-Tek). Primers R221 and R332 were used to amplify a 604 base pair (bp) segment of the small subunit ribosomal RNA gene of Leishmania species using previously described protocols.3,7 Amplicons were sequenced in forward and reverse, and compared to sequences in the National Center for Biotechnology Information Genbank database using the basic local alignment search tool (BLAST), resulting in ≥99% sequence homology with L mexicana in both directions.

![Figure 1](a) Irregular margin of the right pinna with an erythematous, crusted edge at presentation. (b) Ulcerated, exophytic nodule on the caudal right tarsus at presentation.
Initial treatment recommendations included application of 5% imiquimod cream applied as a thin layer to lesions three times weekly. Marbofloxacin was continued (3.5 mg/kg q24h) owing to its presumptive antileishmanial activity. It has demonstrated clinical efficacy in dogs by enhancing macrophage killing of amastigotes through the nitric oxide synthase pathway.8–11 Surgical excision of the pinnal lesion via pinnectomy and surgical de-bulking of the tarsal lesion were discussed but declined by the owner. In an attempt to repel potential Leishmania vectors (sandflies), a collar comprised of 4.5% flumethrin/10% imidacloprid (Seresto; Bayer) was recommended.

A few weeks after the cat’s initial visit, four Centers for Disease Control and Prevention miniature light traps (BioQuip Products) baited with dry ice were set on the owner’s residential property to survey for sandflies. The residence was surrounded by brushy habitat and debris, with chickens, dogs and cats present outside. Light traps were set in the brush and by the chicken coop in the evening for three consecutive nights with insects collected each morning. Three female sandflies were collected and stored individually in vials containing 100% ethanol (Figure 3). One sandfly was morphologically identified as Lu shannoni and the other two were not identified owing to poor body condition. DNA was extracted from each individual sandfly for confirmation of species identification based on sequencing of two different genetic regions: a 416bp fragment of the CO1 gene and an approximate 450bp fragment of the ITS2 region using previously described protocols.12 Following amplification, sequencing and the BLAST protocol, two sandflies had >97–100% nucleotide sequence identity to Lu shannoni for both genetic regions, and the third sandfly had 100% identity to Lu anthophora at the CO1 region and 79% sequence identity to (Lutzomyia) Nyssomyia umbratilis at the ITS2 region. The Leishmania species PCR described above was used to test sandflies for infection, and all were negative.

Unfortunately, the cat did not return for recommended recheck appointments and the owners did not reply to requests for updates. Ten months after the cat’s last appointment, it presented to the emergency service for a nasal swelling in its right nostril resulting in intermittent epistaxis with sneezing. The pinnal and tarsal lesions had not improved despite continued marbofloxacin administration. Imiquimod application had not been performed and a flumethrin/imidacloprid collar had not been applied. Baseline blood work and clotting times (prothrombin time/partial thromboplastin time) were normal. The owner was instructed to follow-up with the dermatology service to determine if the nasal mass was related to L mexicana prior to pursuing additional diagnostics such as CT or rhinoscopy.

The cat returned to the dermatology service approximately 8 weeks later for re-evaluation. The right pinnal margin was irregular with ulceration and adhered black crusts. The right tarsal nodule remained unchanged. A fleshy pink, slightly eroded mucosal mass, partially occluding the right nostril, was observed (Figure 4) with no purulent exudate. Impression cytology of all three lesions revealed amastigotes within macrophages. DNA was extracted from the cytology slide and run on the Leishmania species PCR described above, again yielding
sequences with \( \geq 99\% \) homology to \( L \) mexicana. A FeLV/FIV test was negative (SNAP FIV/FeLV Combo Test; IDEXX) and CBC/chemistry was unremarkable. A precautionary Cryptococcus antigen latex test was performed owing to the new nasal lesion but was negative. Nasal mass biopsy under general anesthesia was recommended, to determine if there was a Leishmania-infected mass, but was declined by the owner. Allopurinol was initiated at 15mg/kg PO q24h and a flumethrin/imidacloprid collar was once again recommended (but not actually applied by the owner until months later).

Within 6 weeks of allopurinol administration, the lesions of all three locations improved in size and degree of ulceration and epistaxis ceased to exist. However, blood work performed 8 weeks into allopurinol treatment revealed marked neutropenia (740/µl from 3408/µl at initiation of treatment; RI: 2500–12,500), despite the cat systemically doing well, as per the owner’s report. Allopurinol was immediately discontinued and a course of pradofloxacin was prescribed owing to the high risk of infection (8mg/kg/day PO for 7 days).\(^{13,14}\) The neutrophil count rebounded from 740/µl to 3328/µl within 1 week of allopurinol discontinuation; however, cutaneous and nasal lesions also deteriorated.

The need for an alternative treatment that would effectively and safely manage cutaneous leishmaniosis led to a trial of artemisinin. The cat received capsules containing extracts of the plant Artemisia annua (~8mg/kg q24h) in the following repeated cycles: 50mg capsule by mouth every 12h for 11 days, followed by discontinuation for 3 days, then commencing again for another 11 day cycle (ArteMin; Holly Pharmaceuticals).\(^{15}\) After three cycles, there was marked clinical improvement of the nasal and pinnal lesions, while the tarsal mass remained static. The artemisinin was continued and topical imiquimod at the previously advised dosing was prescribed for the pinnal and tarsal lesions.

Eight months later, the cat remained solely on artemisinin with both clinical and cytological improvement (Figure 5). Imiquimod cream appeared initially to improve the appearance of the lesions but was discontinued shortly after first use owing to difficulty with application. There was marked reduction in the size of the nostril mass, to the point that it was barely detectable. The right pinna only had a minimally irregular margin with a normal surface. The right caudal tarsus had a pedunculated, exophytic lobulated mass that was smaller and less ulcerated. No amastigotes could be found cytologically from any affected, albeit improved, site. Although the size of the tarsal mass made it more amenable to potential surgical excision or cryotherapy, the owner declined any changes to the treatment protocol due to satisfaction with artemisinin.

**Discussion**

This case describes cutaneous and nasal mucosa leishmaniosis, owing to \( L \) mexicana, in a cat, and its successful response to novel therapy. Because there are no validated
studies on treatments for feline leishmaniosis, protocols established for canine leishmaniosis are typically implemented. Allopurinol is the most commonly prescribed medication owing to its anecdotal efficacy. This xanthine oxidase inhibitor interrupts protozoal protein synthesis by affecting the ability to make purines. Clinical improvement of cutaneous lesions was noted with allopurinol, but a suspected drug-induced neutropenia occurred and necessitated discontinuation. Allopurinol-induced agranulocytosis has been reported in people, with neutrophil counts improving rapidly following drug discontinuation. This rebound effect was also noted in this case once allopurinol was withdrawn. If the neutropenia had failed to resolve so quickly, additional diagnostics for detection of other infectious diseases or primary bone marrow disease would be warranted.

The allopurinol adverse reaction, along with the inability to obtain meglumine antimoniate or miltefosine in the USA, prompted exploration of alternative therapies. Meglumine has been commonly prescribed for Leishmania-infected dogs and cats in Europe owing to its ability to reduce parasite load and enhance the immune response. Miltefosine has also been used outside the USA for its ability to affect parasite metabolism. One alternative therapy prescribed was topical imiquimod. Imiquimod is an immune-response modifier, approved for actinic keratoses, basal cell carcinoma and genital warts in people, that has effectively treated people with cutaneous leishmaniosis. This drug induces the release of proinflammatory cytokines and stimulates macrophages, thereby triggering protozoal killing. Clinical improvement of the cat’s lesions was appreciated with this treatment, but it was ultimately discontinued owing to the owner’s difficulty with application.

Another alternative treatment prescribed in this case was artemisinin. This derivative of the Artemisia plant species (A annua) has traditionally been used in Asia to treat people with visceral leishmaniosis and malaria. In addition to having direct parasiticidal activity, artemisinin also increases nitric oxide production within macrophages and improves host protection by favoring a T-helper cell 1 response. Although there is a paucity of studies involving artemisinin use in animals, in vitro, as well as in vivo, murine models have demonstrated artemisinin’s cytotoxic effect on amastigotes. Artemisinin was well tolerated by the cat and significantly improved its lesions, both clinically and cytologically. This therapy should be considered a viable option for cats affected with leishmaniosis, especially if ‘traditional’ treatments have failed or are not tolerated.

To our knowledge, this is also the first case in which sandfly vectors were identified in the infected cat’s environment. Vector trapping at the cat’s property revealed two species of sandflies Lu shannoni and Lu anthophora. Lu anthophora is a known competent vector of L mexicana in the USA, and experimental transmission of L mexicana has been demonstrated in Lu shannoni. L mexicana was not identified in the samples collected, but the sample size was small. Furthermore, Leishmania species are highly focal over space and time, and sample collection took place months after the cat’s initial clinical signs.

The confirmed presence of vectors in the immediate environment indicated the need for infection prevention; therefore, a flumethrin/imidacloprid collar was recommended. This product has proven to reduce significantly the risk of Leishmania infection in cats in endemic areas. To further prevent vectors, it is also beneficial to clear brushy habitat and minimize outdoor light usage.

Nearly 8 months after the initiation of artemisinin, the cat’s lesions remain well-controlled. The nasal and pinna lesions are barely detectable, while the tarsal mass remains markedly reduced in size. Surgical excision of this mass was discussed with the owner but was declined. The cyclic dosing of artemisinin and application of a flumethrin/imidacloprid collar have successfully managed this cat’s cutaneous leishmaniosis.

Conclusions
This case demonstrates endemic leishmaniosis in central Texas. It reminds clinicians in this geographic region to consider leishmaniosis as a differential for cutaneous lesions in a cat, particularly when on the pinnae. It also provides alternative therapeutic options to manage this zoonotic disease.
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