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Abstract
The Yangtze River Economic Belt (YREB) is a highly influential economic belt and an innovative demonstration belt for the protection and restoration of ecological and environmental systems. The dynamic coordination between economic development system (EDs) and water pollution control capability system (WPCCs) is a critical issue to be solved for regional sustainable development. However, this topic has not been adequately addressed in previous studies. To bridge this gap, this paper analyzed the spatiotemporal differentiation and obstacle factors influencing the coupling coordination between EDs and WPCCs in the Chinese Five-Year Plan based on coupling coordination degree model and obstacle degree model. The main results suggest that (1) the comprehensive level of the comprehensive level of water pollution control capability presents an upward trend with the increase of economic development. A J-shaped relationship existed between the EDs and WPCCs. (2) The regions with rapid economic growth are mainly distributed in the Shanghai, Zhejiang, Jiangsu, Chongqing, and Sichuan. Moreover, water pollution control capability system shows this pattern, eastern regions > western regions > central regions. (3) The coupling coordination level of each region in the YREB has improved from a moderately unbalanced development level to a superiorly balanced development level from 2006 to 2019. (4) Per capita gross domestic product, gross product of tertiary industry, total volume of waste water treated, and per daily volume treated of sewage treatment facilities are the major indexes influencing the coordinated development of the EDs and WPCCs. These findings are conductive to formulating reasonable strategies for water environment protection and sustainable development and providing a direction for urban planning.
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Introduction
A nice water environment is a crucial foundation for the sustainable development of cities (Li et al. 2019). The Yangtze River Economic Belt (YREB), as a watershed economy, involves water, ports, and biological and environment aspects, which must be comprehensively controlled and planned (Cui et al. 2021; Deng et al. 2021; Zhao et al. 2013). However, the rapid development of the YREB has brought great pressure on the water shortage, water pollution and water ecosystem destruction (Jin et al. 2018; Li et al. 2018; Xu et al. 2020a, b). The conflict between economic development and water ecological environment of the YREB has become increasingly obvious. Therefore, it is of great significance to explore the coordinated relationship and obstacle factors between economic development and water ecological environment systems for promoting the sustainable development the YREB.

Economic development of YREB is highly dependent on the water ecological environment (Shi et al. 2020; Zhang and Jiao 2015). The water ecological environment is the material condition for economic development and the basis for human survival, and it can either promote economic
development or hinder it (Yang et al. 2020a). To maintain the coordinated development of the YREB, Chinese government has issued many policy documents to improve the water pollution control capability of cities, such as, in 2016, the Outline of the Development plan for the Yangtze River Economic Belt was released, emphasizing the establishment of the strictest ecological environment protection and water resources management systems. In 2017, the Plan for ecological and environmental protection along the Yangtze Economic Belt was issued, calling for prioritizing ecological and environmental protection. Overall, in long-term practice and research, people realize the importance of the relationship economic development system (EDs) and water pollution control capability system (WPCCs) in the YREB and gradually form the coordinated development theory that the two are opposites and unity.

Coupling theory can be used to express the influence degree of interactions between multiple systems (Han et al. 2019). The coupling coordination degree obtained by calculation cannot only clearly reflect the coordination degree but also judge whether the systems develop harmoniously between the EDs and WPCCs (Yang et al. 2020b; Zhang et al. 2021). In the economic development system and water pollution control capability system, the rapid development of economy brings adverse impact on water resources environment. However, the sustainable economic development also provides financial support and technical guarantee for the prevention and management of water pollution (Liu et al. 2019). Conversely, the level of water pollution control capability will also influence the effect of economic development (Zhang and Jiao 2015). Sufficient water resources and quality water quality can ensure high-quality economic development. More importantly, with the deepening of the implementation of the YREB strategy, the interconnectivity between regions is increasing. It has become the key of the sustainable development of the YREB to realize the coordinated development of the EDs and WPCCs in the trans-regional process while maintaining the improvement of water ecological environment. Therefore, exploring the coupling relationship between the EDs and WPCCs has become a significance topic to implement the high-quality development of the YREB.

The degree of coupling and coordination between the EDs and WPCCs is strongly linked to the level of social and economic sustainable development of a country or region. Therefore, in recent years, the focus of scholars has become to improve the coupled and coordinated development, especially economic development system and ecological environment system of the YREB. Peng et al. (2021) constructed the dynamic model of the economy-resource-environment of the YREB and measured and compared the coordinated development levels of the YREB under different resources and environment management and control intensities based on the coupling coordination degree model. Zhang and Liu (2017) analyzed the coupling coordination degree of urbanization system and ecological environment system in the YREB from two dimensions of time and space by using variation coefficient method and coupling measure model. To correctly grasp the relationship between eco-environmental protection and economic development, Long and Yang (2020) constructed an economic development index and carbon emission accounting system to evaluate the economy-ecosystem system of the YREB and analyzed the spatial differentiation of carbon emissions through spatial autocorrelation. To sum up, only sustainable development of ecological environment protection can continuously optimize the long-term development of YREB. Therefore, in order to promote the sustainable development and water ecological management of the YREB, it is necessary to analyze the coupling coordination of the ED and WPCC systems and the main obstacles affecting the coupling coordination degree in spatial and temporal scale.

Despite these advances in the study of the interaction between economic development and ecological environment, few studies have been conducted from the perspective of water pollution control capability and economic development. Even if there is, it only explored the changes of a single system. In addition, in the previous model of coupling coordination degree (CCD), the contribution coefficient is determined by subjective method (Cai et al. 2021; Deng et al. 2021). Most importantly, previous studies did not explore the obstacles affecting the coordinated development between economic development system and water pollution control capacity system. To fill these gaps, this paper introduces an improved CCD model and obstacle degree model to analyze the spatiotemporal differentiation and obstacle indicators influencing the coupling coordination between the EDs and WPCCs in the YREB.

This study has three main objectives: (1) develop indicator systems for coupling coordination analysis, including economic development system and water pollution control capability system, (2) analyze the coupling coordination level and spatiotemporal differentiation based on the coupling coordination model of the economic development and water pollution control capability systems, and (3) diagnose the main obstacle factors in subsystem and indicator level affecting the coordinated development of systems based on the obstacle degree model. This study is scientifically significant and presents a theoretical reference for the coordinated and sustainable development of the regional economic development and water pollution control capability systems.

Materials and methodology

Study area

The area through which the Yangtze River flows is called the YREB. The YREB covers Jiangsu, Anhui, Zhejiang, Hubei, Jiangxi, Hunan, Sichuan, Yunnan, and Guizhou
(nine provinces) and Chongqing and Shanghai (two municipalities) (see Fig. 1). The YREB is an economic belt with the highest economic density in China, which has unique advantages and huge potential for development. The rapid development of the YREB cannot be promoted without water resources in the region, and water resources are essential elements for the construction of the green ecological of the YREB. However, the development of the YREB is faced with many problems, mainly including the severe water ecological environment situation, unbalanced regional development, and the incomplete regional cooperation mechanism (Qian 2021; Tang et al. 2019). With the continuous development of urbanization, the YREB regions are also constantly improving its ability to maintain water ecological balance and assuming the responsibility of leading the demonstration belt of ecological civilization construction. Therefore, it is necessary to analyze the coordinated development of economic development and water pollution control capability systems in the YREB.

**Methodology**

A framework is constructed to explore the coordination level and obstacle factors between the EDs and WPCCs for 11 regions of the YREB in the Fig. 2. The main contents are (1) developing the evaluation index system of economic development and water pollution control capability, (2) collecting the evaluation indicator data and preprocessing, (3) establishing comprehensive assessment model combining AHP-Entropy methods, (4) constructing the improved coupling coordination degree model of economic development and water pollution control capability systems, and (5) establishing the obstacle degree model to analyze and diagnose the major obstacle factors.

**Evaluation index of the EDs and WPCCs**

Economic development and environment protection are opposites, unity, and coordination (Qian 2021; Yang et al. 2020a). There are complex interactions and contradictions between the EDs and WPCCs. Therefore, the EDs
and WPCCs can be considered as a coupled system, and the degree of mutual relationship between the two systems can be measured by coupling coordination degree (Fang and Liu 2020; Wang et al. 2020; Zhang and Liu 2017). In light of this, based on the previous studies and the China Environmental Statistical Yearbook, the index systems of economic development and water pollution control capacity were established. Specifically, the economic development system was established from the three dimensions of economic product, economic aggregate, and residents living (Table 1). The water pollution control capability system was established from the three dimensions of economic product, economic aggregate, and residents living (Table 2).

### Data sources and preprocessing

In order to guarantee the objectivity and accuracy of the evaluation results, the economic development and water pollution control capability systems index data released by China Statistics Bureau are used in this study, including China Statistical Yearbook (2006–2019) and the China Environmental Statistical Yearbook (2006–2019) (http://www.stats.gov.cn/). The analysis indicators in the economic development and water pollution control capability systems include positive and negative indicators. In order to delete the differences in the data of various indicators, this paper first needs to normalize the original data [55]. If it is a positive indicator, Eq. (1) will be selected to standardize the data. On the contrary, if the variable is a negative indicator, Eq. (2) will be selected.

### Table 1: Evaluation index system for the economic development

| Subsystem               | Indicator level                                      | Unit       | Nature | References                                                                 |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Economic product        | Gross product of primary industry (E1)               | 10^{8} Yuan| +      | (Cui et al. 2019; Ding et al. 2015; Han et al. 2021; Peng et al. 2020; Tang et al. 2019) |
|                         | Gross product of secondary industry (E2)             | 10^{8} Yuan| +      |                                                                           |
|                         | Gross product of tertiary industry (E3)              | 10^{8} Yuan| +      |                                                                           |
|                         | Per capita gross domestic product (E4)               | 10^{8} Yuan| +      |                                                                           |
| Economic aggregate      | Total general public budget expenditure (E5)         | 10^{8} Yuan| +      | (Han et al. 2021; Liao et al. 2019; Peng et al. 2020; Tang et al. 2019; Zhao et al. 2013) |
|                         | Total general public budget revenue (E6)             | 10^{8} Yuan| +      |                                                                           |
|                         | Total investment in fixed assets (E7)                | 10^{8} Yuan| +      |                                                                           |
|                         | Total foreign capital actually utilized (E8)         | USD 10^{8} Yuan| + |                                                                           |
|                         | Gross import and export (E9)                         | USD 10^{8} Yuan| + |                                                                           |
| Residents living        | Annual per capita consumption expenditure of urban households (E10) | Yuan       | +      | (Ding et al. 2015; Han et al. 2021; Liao et al. 2019; Peng et al. 2020; Sun et al. 2018) |
|                         | Annual per capita consumption expenditure of rural households (E11) | Yuan       | +      |                                                                           |
|                         | Annual per capita disposable income of urban households (E12) | Yuan       | +      |                                                                           |
|                         | Annual per capita net income of rural households (E13) | Yuan       | +      |                                                                           |
|                         | Engle’s coefficient of urban households (E14)         | %          | -      |                                                                           |
|                         | Engle’s coefficient of rural households (E15)         | %          | -      |                                                                           |
where \( x_{ij} \) is the value of \( j \) in \( i \) years. \( r_{ij} \) is the normalized value of \( x_{ij} \) and \( \min \limits_{j} (x_{ij}) \) and \( \max \limits_{j} (x_{ij}) \) indicated the maximum and minimum of indicator \( j \), respectively. The maximum value multiplied by 1.05 and the minimum value divided by 1.05 are to reduce the heterogeneity caused by boundary effect to interfere with the calculation results (Wen and Wen 2019), \( i = 1, 2, \cdots, m, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n \)

### Positive indicator

\[
r_{ij} = \frac{x_{ij} - \min \limits_{j} (x_{ij}) / 1.05}{1.05 \max \limits_{j} (x_{ij}) / \min \limits_{j} (x_{ij}) / 1.05}
\]

### Negative indicator

\[
r_{ij} = \frac{1.05 \max \limits_{j} (x_{ij}) - x_{ij}}{1.05 \max \limits_{j} (x_{ij}) / \min \limits_{j} (x_{ij}) / 1.05}
\]

where \( x_{ij} \) is the value of \( j \) in \( i \) years. \( r_{ij} \) is the normalized value of \( x_{ij} \). \( \max \limits_{j} (x_{ij}) \) and \( \min \limits_{j} (x_{ij}) \) indicated the maximum and minimum of indicator \( j \), respectively. The maximum value multiplied by 1.05 and the minimum value divided by 1.05 are to reduce the heterogeneity caused by boundary effect to interfere with the calculation results (Wen and Wen 2019), \( i = 1, 2, \cdots, m, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n \)

### Comprehensive assessment Combining AHP-entropy methods

This study combines subjective weighting method and objective weighting method to determine the weight of each index in the EDs and WPCCs. The subjective weight method includes the Delphi method and AHP; the objective weighting method includes entropy weight method and variation coefficient method. For the subjective weighting method, AHP considers the experience and knowledge of experts and the intention and preference of decision makers. The ranking of index weights often has a high degree of rationality, but it has a defect of large subjectivity and arbitrariness (Wu et al. 2017a). For the objective weighting method, the entropy weight method is far more widely used than any of the other techniques (Hafezalkotob and Hafezalkotob 2016; Wang and Wu 2016; Zhu et al. 2020). Entropy weight method determines the indicator’s weight based on the information size of each indicator by fully mining the information of the original data (Komeily and Srinivasan 2015), so results have certain objectivity. According to the advantages and disadvantages of these two methods, this paper combines AHP with the entropy method to obtain the weight comprehensively considering the subjective and objective factors. The specific steps are proposed as follows:

**Step 1:** Determine the subjective weight using AHP method. In this study, yaahp software is used to calculate the objective weight \( w_{sw} \) results quickly and conveniently.

**Step 2:** Determine the objective weight using entropy weight method. The entropy weight \( w_{oe} \) of indicators at

### Table 2: Evaluation index system for water pollution control capability

| Subsystem                              | Indicator level                                      | Unit       | Nature | References                                      |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------|--------|------------------------------------------------|
| Waste water treatment capacity         | Waste water treatment rate (W1)                      | \%         | +      | (Cui et al. 2019; Gai et al. 2013; Nie and Zhang 2020; Peng et al. 2020; Sun et al. 2018) |
|                                        | Length of drainage pipes (W2)                        | km         | +      | China Environmental Statistical Yearbook       |
|                                        | Number of waste water treatment plants (W3)         | unit       | +      | China Environmental Statistical Yearbook       |
|                                        | Total volume of waste water treated (W4)            | 10^4 tons  | +      |                                                |
|                                        | Per daily volume treated of sewage treatment facilities (W5) | 10^4 tons/day | +      |                                                |
| Non-point source pollution control capability | Consumption of chemical fertilizers (W6)            | ton        | -      | (Deng 2021; Tian and Chen 2014; Xu et al. 2020a, b; Zhang and Wang 2021) |
|                                        | Use of pesticide (W7)                               | ton        | -      | China Environmental Statistical Yearbook       |
|                                        | Use of agricultural plastic film (W8)               | ton        | -      |                                                |
|                                        | Use of plastic film for covering plants (W9)        | ton        | -      |                                                |
|                                        | Increased soil erosion control area (W10)           | 10^3 ha    | +      |                                                |
| Water conservation capacity            | Total volume of water conservation (W11)            | 10^4 tons  | +      | (Gai et al. 2013; Nie and Zhang 2020; Tang et al. 2019; Xu et al. 2020a, b) China Environmental Statistical Yearbook |
|                                        | Per capita daily household water consumption (W12)  | liter      | -      |                                                |
|                                        | Repeated utilization factor (W13)                    | %          | +      |                                                |
|                                        | Total amount of water replenished for ecological environment (W14) | 10^8 tons   | -      |                                                |
|                                        | Proportion of wetland in total area of territory (W15) | %          | +      |                                                |

“+” and “-” represent positive and negative indicators, respectively
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each level of economic development and water pollution control capability systems, which can be calculated by Eqs. (3) and (4):

\[ G_j = -\frac{1}{\ln m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (F_{ij} \ln F_{ij}) \]  

(3)

\[ w_{ow} = \frac{1 - G_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} (1 - G_j)} \]  

(4)

where \( F_{ij} = r_{ij}/\sum_{j=1}^{m} r_{ij} \) is the proportion of the indicator \( j \) in year \( i \). \( G_j (0 \leq G_j \leq 1) \) is the information entropy of the \( j \)th evaluation indicator. \( w_{ow} \) is the weight of the indicator. \( m \) is the number of years.

**Step 3:** Determine the weight based on AHP-Entropy methods. This study used the linear combination method (Jiang et al. 2011; Nabavi-Kerizi et al. 2010) to obtain the combined weight of economic development and water pollution control capability system indexes. In order to eliminate the interference of large fluctuation data and make the difference between \( w_{sw} \) and \( w_{ow} \) consistent with the difference between \( \eta \) and \( \phi \), the concept of distance function is introduced (Zhang et al. 2011). The combined weight can be expressed as follows:

\[ w_{AE} = \eta w_{sw} + \phi w_{ow} \]  

(5)

where \( \eta \) and \( \phi \) are the distribution coefficients of weights, \( \eta + \phi = 1 \).

The distance function of subjective weight and objective weight can be expressed as:

\[ d(w_{sw}, w_{ow}) = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (w_{sw} - w_{ow})^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \]  

(6)

The difference between the partition coefficients of weights can be expressed as:

\[ D = |\eta - \phi| \]  

(7)

Therefore, according to the Eqs. (6) and (7), the simultaneous equations are constructed as follows:

\[ \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
     d(w_{sw}, w_{ow})^2 = (\eta - \phi)^2 \\
     \eta + \phi = 1
    \end{array} \right. \]  

(8)

Then, the partition coefficients \( \eta, \phi \) of weights can be obtained, and substitute the distribution coefficients into Eq. (5). Finally, the combined weight \( w_{AE} \) of indicators at each level of water pollution control capability system and economic development system can be obtained.

**Step 4:** Calculate comprehensive level of the EDs and WPCCs. According to the normalized value and weight of each indicator, the comprehensive level of the EDs and WPCCs were calculated by weighted summation. Therefore, comprehensive level of the EDs and WPCCs in \( i \) year can be expressed as follows:

\[ f_{ij} (ED) = w_{AE-i}^e \times r_{ij}^e \]  

(9)

\[ f_{ij} (WPCC) = w_{AE-i}^w \times r_{ij}^w \]  

(10)

where \( f_i(ED) \) and \( f_j(WPCC) \) represent the comprehensive level of economic development and water pollution control capability systems in \( i \) years, respectively. \( w_{AE-i}^e \) and \( r_{ij}^e \) represent the weight and the normalized value of the economic development system, respectively. \( w_{AE-i}^w \) and \( r_{ij}^w \) represent the weight and the normalized value of the water pollution control capability system, respectively. In addition, \( n \) is the number of indicators.

**Improved coupling coordination degree model**

The contribution coefficient of CCD model is determined by subjective method in the previous studies (Ding et al. 2015; Liu et al. 2018). In order to calculate the coupling coordination degree more accurately and objectively, this study proposes an improved CCD model based on the synergy theory (Brereton 1978). The calculation steps are shown as follows:

\[ a = \frac{f_i(ED)}{f_i(WPCC) + f_i(ED)} \]  

(11)

\[ \beta = \frac{f_j(WPCC)}{f_j(WPCC) + f_i(ED)} \]  

(12)

where \( a \) and \( \beta \) are the improved contribution coefficients of water pollution control capability system and economic development system, respectively.

\[ C = \sqrt{f_i(WPCC) + f_i(ED)} \div \sqrt{(f_i(WPCC) + f_i(ED))/2} \]  

(13)

\[ T = af_i(WPCC) + \beta f_i(ED) \]  

(14)

\[ D = \sqrt{C \times T} \]  

(15)

where \( C \) is the coupling degree between the EDs and WPCCs, which reflects the strength of the connections between the EDs and WPCCs. \( C \) is the coupling coordination index between the EDs and WPCCs. \( C \) is the coupling coordination degree the EDs and WPCCs.
To clearly describe the CCD level between water pollution control capability system and economic development system, this study classified the coupling coordination degree grade. According to the results of \( f(WPCC) \) and \( f(ED) \), the CCD values are divided into 3 categories and 6 levels in this paper with reference (Zhang and Li 2020). The coupling coordination states are shown in Table 3.

### Obstacle degree model

The obstacle degree model is introduced to analyze and diagnose the obstacle factors that influence the CCD value between the water pollution control capability and economic development (Luo et al. 2019; Wu et al. 2015). The analysis results of obstacle factors are helpful for the government to adjust the direction of water pollution control and formulate new prevention measures and policies. The model includes three basic variables: factor contribution degree, factor skewness, and factor obstacle degree. The obstacle degree model can be expressed as follows:

\[
O_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - r_{ij})w_{AE}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (1 - r_{ij})w_{AE}}
\]

(16)

In this study, factor contribution degree is the index weight \( w_{AE} \). Factor skewness is replaced by the difference between 1 and the normalized value \( r_{ij} \). Factor obstacle degree \( O_j \) denotes the effect degree of subsystems or indicators on CCD value between the economic development and water pollution control capability systems.

### Results

#### Comprehensive levels of economic development and water pollution control capability systems

The variation trend in the comprehensive levels of economic development system for YREB regions from 2006 to 2019 is shown in Fig. 3. The comprehensive levels of economic development system can be obtained through Eq. (9) and the collected data of 11 YREB regions. As shown in Fig. 3a, the comprehensive levels of economic development system were gradually increasing trend in the 11 YREB regions. Specifically, the comprehensive economic development levels of Shanghai, Zhejiang, and Chongqing were higher than that of other regions. This is because the three provinces are coastal regions or municipality (Jiang et al. 2019). Figure 3b revealed the evolution path of economic development for entire YREB from 2006 to 2019 by the three subsystems that constitute the system. Overall, the comprehensive levels of three subsystems have shown a significantly upward trend, which indicated that economic development level of YREB has continued to increase.

Figure 4 illustrated the dynamic changes of water pollution control capability and the level of their subsystem from 2006 to 2019. The comprehensive levels of water pollution control capability system can be obtained through Eq. (10) and the collected data of 11 YREB regions. The comprehensive levels of water pollution control capability system showed a trend of fluctuating growth in Fig. 4a. Obviously, Shanghai province has the fastest growth from 0.25 in 2006 to 0.76 in 2019, and Yunnan province has the smallest growth trend from 0.46 in 2006 to 0.68 in 2019. Moreover, except for the obvious fluctuation of Anhui, Jiangxi, and Guizhou provinces, the growth level of water pollution control capability in other regions is relatively consistent. Figure 4b depicted the changing trend of water pollution control capability for entire YREB from 2006 to 2019 by the three subsystems that constitute the system. The three subsystems of water pollution control capability showed different trends. The comprehensive level of waste water treatment capacity increased from 0.40 in 2006 to 5.11 in 2019. However, the comprehensive level of non-point source pollution control capability and water conservation capacity subsystems changed from downward trend to upward trend. Overall, the comprehensive levels of the water pollution control capability in YREB have shown an upward trend but fluctuated in different degrees during the period.

To further analyze the relationship between the EDs and WPCCs in 11 regions of the YREB from 2006 to 2019, nonlinear fitting is made for these two systems in Fig. 5. Obviously, there is a J-shaped curve relationship between the two systems. According to the fitting

| Classes               | CCD value | Coupling coordination level                  |
|----------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------------|
| Balanced development | 0.8 < D ≤ 1 | Superiorly balanced development            |
|                      | 0.6 < D ≤ 0.8 | Favorably balanced development            |
| Transitional development | 0.5 < D ≤ 0.6 | Slightly balanced development            |
|                      | 0.4 < D ≤ 0.5 | barely unbalanced development            |
| Unbalanced development | 0.2 < D ≤ 0.4 | Moderately unbalanced development         |
|                      | 0 < D ≤ 0.2 | Seriously unbalanced development         |
curves, the R-Square and Adj. R-Square values of Hubei, Hunan, and Sichuan provinces are more than 0.90. The R-Square and Adj. R-Square values of Zhejiang, Jiangxi, and Chongqing provinces are between 0.80 and 0.90. The R-Square and Adj. R-Square values of Shanghai, Jiangsu, Anhui, Guizhou, and Yunnan provinces are between 0.60 and 0.80. These results showed that the fitting accuracy is good. Overall, with the rapid development of economy, the comprehensive level of water pollution control capability presented an upward trend.

**Spatiotemporal differentiation of coupling coordination degree**

The CCD values are obtained according to the comprehensive level of economic development and water pollution control capacity systems and the improved coupling
coordination degree model. Figure 6 showed variation trend in CCD values between economic development and water pollution control capability systems in 2006–2019. Obviously, CCD values in 11 regions of the YREB increased year by year during the 14 years.

According to the Chinese Five-Year Plan, the 14 years from 2006 to 2019 are divided into three periods: 2006–2010, 2011–2015, and 2016–2019 in this study. Then, this study conducted a spatial–temporal coupling analysis on CCD values of the 11 regions of the YREB in these three periods. Based on the classification standard of CCD values between water pollution control capability and economic development systems in Table 3, this study classified the CCD values into 3 categories and 6 levels. In order to depict the changes more visually in spatial distribution of CCD values in various regions, ArcGIS software is used for spatial–temporal analysis in this paper. The variation trend of the CCD values in the three Five-Year Plan is as follows:

The Eleventh Five-Year Plan period (2006–2010): Fig. 7(a)–(b) showed variation trend in spatial distributions of CCD values in years 2006 and 2010. In 2006, only Anhui province experienced moderately unbalanced development state, while the coordination level in the other 10 regions of the YREB were in the barely unbalanced development state. In 2010, most of the CCD classes of the two systems were at a transitional development. The coordination levels of Yunnan, Sichuan, Jiangxi, Hubei, Hunan, and Zhejiang provinces were in a slightly balanced development state. Moreover, the coordination levels of Shanghai, Jiangsu, Anhui, Guizhou, and Chongqing provinces increased rapidly and were in a favorably balanced development state. Overall, the CCD classes of economic development and water pollution control capability systems started at an unbalanced development level but then gradually increased in the Eleventh Five-Year Plan.

The Twelfth Five-Year Plan period (2011–2015): Fig. 7(c)–(d) showed variation trend in spatial distributions of CCD values in years 2011 and 2015. In 2011, the coordination levels of eight provinces in the other 10 regions of the YREB were in the barely unbalanced development state. Moreover, the provinces with slightly balanced development state between the EDs and WPCCs were mainly distributed in the middle and upper reaches of the Yangtze River Basin. In 2015, it is obvious that the coordination levels of all regions were in a favorably balanced development state. Overall, compared with the Eleventh Five-Year Plan, the link between the economic development and water pollution control capability systems showed a significant improvement, and the coordination levels between the two systems improved steadily.

The thirteenth Five-Year Plan period (2016–2019): Fig. 7(e)–(f) showed variation trend in spatial distributions of CCD values in years 2016 and 2019. In 2016, obviously, there is no change in other regions except Chongqing and Shanghai provinces, where the coordination levels turned the favorably balanced development state into the superiorly balanced development state. In 2019, the coordination levels of all regions were in a superiorly balanced development state. During the thirteenth Five-Year Plan period, the performance levels of both the EDs and WPCCs gradually increased, and the coordination state of the two systems changed from transitional development to balanced development.

**Major obstacle factors of coupling coordination degree**

**Subsystem obstacle factors**

The variation trend in factor obstacle degree of economic development subsystems for 11 regions during the three Five-Year Plan period was shown in Fig. 8. The economic development system includes three subsystems: economic product, economic aggregate, and residents living. Overall, in these three periods, the economic product subsystem has the largest obstacle degree, and the resident living subsystem has the smallest obstacle degree, and the two subsystems have little fluctuation (except Shanghai). The subsystem of economic aggregate fluctuated greatly. Moreover, during the Thirteenth Five-Year Plan, the obstacle degree of economic aggregate subsystem in Jiangsu and Guizhou provinces is lower than that of resident living subsystem. To sum up, in the economic development system, economic product is the key subsystem that affects the coordination level of the 11 regions.

Figure 9 showed the variation trend in factor obstacle degree of water pollution control capability subsystems for 11 regions during the Five-Year Plan. The water pollution control capability system includes three subsystems: waste water treatment capacity, non-point source pollution control capability, and water conservation capacity. There are significant differences in the obstacle degree changes of the three subsystems on the comprehensive level of water pollution control capability in the 11 regions. Specifically, during the Eleventh Five-Year Plan, the waste water treatment capacity subsystem and the water conservation capacity subsystem has the largest and the smallest obstacle degree for all regions, respectively. During the Twelfth Five-Year Plan, the obstacle degree of the wastewater treatment capacity subsystem decreased greatly but non-point source pollution control capability subsystem increased greatly in all regions, especially in Anhui and Yunnan provinces. During the Thirteenth Five-Year Plan, the obstacle degree of the three subsystems fluctuated greatly in each region. Compared to the Eleventh and Twelfth Five-Year Plan, the biggest change is that the biggest obstacle affecting the coordination level of the system has changed from waste water treatment capacity
The fitting curves of economic development and water pollution control capability systems. (a) Shanghai, (b) Jiangsu, (c) Zhejiang, (d) Anhui, (e) Jiangxi, (f) Hubei, (g) Hunan, (h) Chongqing, (i) Sichuan, (j) Guizhou, (k) Yunnan.

Discussion

Comprehensive level characteristics analysis

Due to the unique regional characteristics of the YREB, this study divided the 11 regions of the YREB into eastern (SH, ZJ, and JS), western (CQ, SC, GZ, and YN), and central (HB, HN, JX, and AH) regions for in-depth discussion according to the natural geographical location (Tang et al. 2019). The YREB has made remarkable achievements and its economic status in China has been rising (Li et al. 2020a; Tang et al. 2019). According to the analysis for the comprehensive level of economic development system in 11 regions of the YREB, it is obvious that the comprehensive level showed a gradually increasing trend. Specifically, the economic development of the 11 regions showed the pattern of emphasis to the east (Yao 2018). The regions with faster comprehensive level growth of the YREB are mainly distributed in the Yangtze River Delta (Shanghai, Zhejiang, Jiangsu), the central cities (Chongqing), and the provinces where important cities are located (Sichuan). In terms of geographical location, Shanghai, Zhejiang, and Jiangsu are located at the intersection of the rivers and the seas, and there are many coastal ports along the river, so the economy started faster than other regions (Miao and Sun 2020; Zhao et al. 2013). Chongqing and Chengdu in Sichuan province are the Chengdu-Chongqing Area Twin-City Economic Circle in China, adjacent to the Three Gorges Reservoir area in the east. Factors of production such as labor and capital are more advantageous, so the economic development is growing faster than other regions (Pan et al. 2021a, b; Sun and Luo 2021). On the whole, the overall difference in economic development of different regions of the YREB is gradually decreasing (Wu et al. 2017b).

While maintaining sustained economic growth, it is necessary to prevent and avoid water pollution and achieve efficient use of water resources. This is an objective requirement for the YREB to solve the water resource crisis and realize the sustainable development (Yang and Xu 2020; Yang et al. 2015). Based on the analysis of the comprehensive level of water pollution control capacity system in 11 regions of the YREB, the comprehensive level of water pollution control capability system presented a trend of fluctuating growth. The results showed that the water pollution control capability in the YREB had significant spatial variability and regional imbalance. From 2006 to 2019, the water pollution control capability showed this pattern, eastern regions...
In addition, this paper finds that although the water pollution control capability of the YREB is increasing year by year, non-point source pollution control capability and water conservation capacity is relatively low and unstable (Fig. 4b). This means that the prevention and treatment of water pollution in each region of the YREB mainly focuses on industrial pollution, while agricultural non-point source pollution and water conservation are less involved (Sun and Cheng 2019).

In recent years, agricultural non-point source pollution has become a major challenge with the development of rural economy (Xiao et al. 2019). Based on these findings, the government should focus on non-point source pollution and water conservation when drafting any ecological protection plans.

### Spatial–temporal characteristic analysis

The improved CCD model was employed to explore the coordination levels between economic development and water pollution control capability systems in the YREB. In the spatial characteristics aspect, in the eleventh Five-Year Plan, the CCD values of the two systems in the eastern region was higher than that in the central and western regions, and this finding was similar to previous studies by Jiang et al. (2019). In this stage, the CCD values in the central and western regions are in the unbalanced development and transitional development state mainly because of their lagging economic development. Then, with the continuous development of the western regional development strategy, the spatial differences of the 11 regions are gradually narrowing.

From the perspective of temporal evolution trends, the coordination level between the two systems was initially moderately unbalanced development and barely unbalanced development, but this improved rapidly during the eleventh Five-Year Plan. This was followed by a period of favorably balanced development state with steady growth during the twelfth Five-Year Plan and finally a period of superiorly balanced development with slow growth during the thirteenth Five-Year Plan. From 2006 to 2019, the coupling coordination level between the two systems in all regions of the YREB experienced three stages: unbalanced development, transitional development, and balanced development. This revealed that the coordination between economic development and water pollution control capability systems in the YREB is constantly improving and the spatial difference is gradually narrowing. The important reason is that China has implemented many ways to promote coordinated economic and environmental development in the YREB during the twelfth and thirteenth Five-Year Plan period, such as (i) Issuing policy documents Guidelines of The State Council on Promoting the Development of the Yangtze River Economic Belt by relying on Golden Waterways, (ii) promulgating the programmatic document Outline of the Development Plan for the Yangtze River Economic Belt on the major national strategy for promoting the development of the YREB, and (iii) publishing the notification Ecological and Environmental Protection Plan for the Yangtze River Economic Belt. These documents provided corresponding water environment treatment measures and economic development direction to improve the comprehensive level of the two systems (Huang et al. 2021). Overall, as the YREB has been identified as one of the three national development strategies, the relationship between the two systems has been strengthened, and the coordination levels of systems showed a trend of continuous optimization.

### Major obstacle indicator analysis

According to the result analysis of subsystem obstacle factors of economic development and water pollution control capability, this study found that economic product and waste water treatment capacity, and non-point source pollution control capability is the three main obstacle subsystems. Residents living subsystem and water conservation capacity subsystem have the least impact on CCD of the two systems. In addition, the top five obstacle factors in the two system indicators are basically in the three main obstacle subsystems. Therefore, this study mainly focused on the top-ranking obstacle indicators of each system for in-depth discussion.

In the economic development system, this study mainly discussed these major obstacle indicators, gross product of tertiary industry (E3), per capita gross domestic product (E4), total investment in fixed assets (E7), and annual per capita disposable income of urban households (E12). The increase of E3 is the most significant factor promoting the
overall economic development of the YREB, and the promotion effect is the most significant (Cai et al. 2019). In addition, E3 has a significant positive role in promoting urban environmental innovation capacity in the YREB, which can reduce the emission level of various industrial pollutions (Bai et al. 2018; Duan et al. 2021; Sun and Cheng 2019). Therefore, the YREB should vigorously develop the tertiary industry (Liang and Wang 2019; Lu et al. 2020). E7 has a great correlation with economic development (Xu 2015). It is precisely because of the continuously increasing proportion of investment in fixed assets that Chinese economy has realized rapid development to a certain extent. Also, E7 has an important impact on the environmental quality of a region (Liu et al. 2017). E4 and E12 are both a standard to measure the living standards of people and important indicators to reflect the overall economic activity in this region (Zhong et al. 2021). Therefore, the formulation of economic development policies should pay special attention to the improve of indicators E3, E4, E7, and E12.

In the water pollution control capability system, these major obstacle indicators were discussed in this study, waste water treatment rate (W1), length of drainage pipes (W2), total volume of waste water treated (W4), and per daily volume treated of sewage treatment facilities (W5). Drainage pipes are an important engineering facility for water pollution control and environment protection (Cheng et al. 2012). Industrial wastewater, domestic wastewater and municipal wastewater must be collected and treated reasonably before
Fig. 8 Variation in factor obstacle degree of economic development subsystems for YREB regions during the Eleventh, Twelfth, and Thirteenth Five-Year Plan. (a) 2006–2010, (b) 2011–2015, (c) 2016–2019

Fig. 9 Variation in factor obstacle degree of water pollution control capability subsystems for YREB regions during the Eleventh, Twelfth, and Thirteenth Five-Year Plan. (a) 2006–2010, (b) 2011–2015, (c) 2016–2019

Table 4 The major obstacle indicators in economic development system

| System | Economic development |
|--------|----------------------|
| Indicator rank | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| OI | CD | OI | CD | OI | CD | OI | CD | OI | CD |
| SH | E4 | 14.57 | E3 | 11.61 | E12 | 11.03 | E14 | 8.73 | E13 | 7.32 |
| JS | E4 | 12.77 | E3 | 11.58 | E12 | 10.79 | E7 | 8.94 | E14 | 7.85 |
| ZJ | E4 | 12.52 | E3 | 12.26 | E12 | 10.55 | E7 | 8.74 | E5 | 7.59 |
| AH | E3 | 12.63 | E4 | 12.52 | E12 | 10.14 | E7 | 7.13 | E13 | 6.55 |
| JX | E3 | 12.59 | E4 | 11.93 | E12 | 9.61 | E7 | 9.36 | E14 | 7.00 |
| HB | E4 | 12.57 | E3 | 12.34 | E12 | 9.92 | E14 | 7.27 | E7 | 6.57 |
| HN | E3 | 12.02 | E4 | 11.83 | E12 | 10.13 | E7 | 7.72 | E13 | 6.35 |
| CQ | E4 | 13.03 | E3 | 12.69 | E12 | 11.06 | E13 | 6.92 | E7 | 6.89 |
| SC | E3 | 13.75 | E4 | 13.17 | E12 | 10.41 | E13 | 7.09 | E7 | 6.94 |
| GZ | E4 | 12.45 | E3 | 11.30 | E12 | 9.63 | E7 | 7.55 | E8 | 7.06 |
| YN | E4 | 12.02 | E3 | 11.66 | E12 | 9.74 | E8 | 8.26 | E7 | 7.80 |
being discharged into the natural environment, so that the water environment can be properly treated from the root and people’s quality of life can be improved. Drainage pipes is the indispensable material basic to guarantee people’s life and social production for modernization and has become one of the constraints of economic development (Qiu et al. 2011; Wan et al. 2012). W2 is also the key to the efficient operation of sewage treatment facilities. In addition, higher W5 can quickly treat sewage and recycle it, reducing the consumption of water resources for China’s production. Accordingly, Higher W1 and W4 mean that most of the production and domestic sewage in the region will be treated, which can basically recover the deterioration of river and lake water ecology (Li et al. 2020b). A green, safe, and pleasant water ecological environment can be preliminarily realized. Therefore, improving W1, W2, W4, and W5 indicators is the key way to improve water pollution control capability.

### Conclusions and policy implication

The YREB is a highly influential economic belt and an innovative demonstration belt for the protection and restoration of ecological and environmental systems. Therefore, it is of great significance to analyze the coupling coordination levels of YREB regions between economic development and water pollution control capability. Firstly, this study constructed comprehensive index systems of economic development and water pollution control capability. Secondly, this study examined the CCD values and spatiotemporal differentiation between the two systems in the 11 regions of the YREB from 2006 to 2019. Thirdly, the obstacle degree model was used to diagnose the major obstacle subsystems and indicators. The four main conclusions are as follows:

1. Through the nonlinear fitting of economic development and water pollution control capability systems in the YREB, this paper found the J-shaped relationship between the two systems. These results showed that the fitting accuracy is good. That is, the comprehensive level of water pollution control capability presented an upward trend with the rapid development of economy.

2. Due to the geographical advantages and the Chengdu-Chongqing Area Twin-City Economic Circle in China, the regions with rapid growth in the comprehensive level of economic development are mainly distributed in the Yangtze River Delta (Shanghai, Zhejiang, Jiangsu), the central cities (Chongqing) and the regions where important cities are located (Sichuan). Moreover, for water pollution control capability system, it showed this pattern, eastern regions > western regions > central regions.

3. The spatiotemporal differentiation between systems were analyzed based on the improved coupling coordination degree model. In the spatial characteristics aspect, the CCD values between economic development and water pollution control capability system in eastern China is higher than that in central and western China during the eleventh Five-Year Plan, and then the difference becomes smaller. In term of temporal evolution trend, the coupling coordination level in each region of the YREB experienced three stages of unbalanced development, transitional, and balanced development from the eleventh to the thirteenth Five-Year Plan period.

4. It is found that economic product and waste water treatment capacity and non-point source pollution control capability are the main subsystems of the obstacles. Residents living subsystem and water conservation capacity subsystem have the least impact. In the indi-

### Table 5

| System | Water pollution control capability | Indicator rank | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|-----------------------------------|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|
|        |                                   | OI  | CD  | OI  | CD  | OI  | CD  | OI  | CD  | OI  | CD  | OI  | CD  | OI  | CD  | OI  | CD  | OI  | CD  |
| SH     |                                   | W5  | 18.40 | W1  | 10.25 | W8  | 8.45 | W10 | 7.38 | W4  | 7.36 |
| JS     |                                   | W5  | 19.75 | W1  | 9.76  | W10 | 9.24 | W4  | 7.49 | W9  | 7.10 |
| ZJ     |                                   | W5  | 21.97 | W4  | 8.48  | W1  | 7.94 | W2  | 7.42 | W11 | 7.36 |
| AH     |                                   | W5  | 19.94 | W10 | 12.19 | W4  | 8.77 | W2  | 7.13 | W7  | 7.05 |
| JX     |                                   | W5  | 21.73 | W4  | 7.73  | W7  | 7.51 | W2  | 7.48 | W1  | 7.44 |
| HB     |                                   | W5  | 23.46 | W4  | 9.14  | W10 | 8.77 | W1  | 7.85 | W11 | 7.28 |
| HN     |                                   | W5  | 19.62 | W4  | 8.64  | W10 | 8.57 | W1  | 7.68 | W11 | 7.61 |
| CQ     |                                   | W5  | 24.72 | W4  | 8.54  | W2  | 8.09 | W10 | 7.85 | W8  | 6.72 |
| SC     |                                   | W5  | 20.34 | W10 | 8.68  | W4  | 8.05 | W1  | 7.99 | W2  | 7.55 |
| GZ     |                                   | W5  | 22.68 | W2  | 8.96  | W4  | 8.57 | W7  | 7.02 | W1  | 6.52 |
| YN     |                                   | W5  | 19.46 | W10 | 9.33  | W4  | 8.72 | W2  | 7.91 | W7  | 7.62 |
The results of the empirical analysis showed that the YREB is on a benign path of coupling coordination development. Based on its development status and the development strategy of the YREB, this paper provided several policy suggestions to promote the sustainable development of the YREB. Firstly, accelerating infrastructure construction in the YREB can shorten economic distances, reduce transportation costs, and promote economic development. At the same time, it can also deepen economic ties between cities and promote the coupled and coordinated development of the YREB. The main measures include promoting systematic management of mainline waterways and improving the function of golden waterways. Also, the government should rationally arrange layout of ports and promote the construction of shipping centers and regional shipping logistics center along the upper reaches of the Yangtze River, so as to develop river-sea combined transport services. Secondly, all regions should seize the moment of development and put the restoration of the water ecological environment of the Yangtze River in a significant position. The government can clarify the spatial management units of optimized development, key development, restricted development, and prohibited development in accordance with the positioning of main functional areas. The control of water ecological environment in the YREB needs to break the boundary of administrative divisions and form joint prevention and control of water ecological environment. Finally, with the implementation of the rural revitalization strategy, agricultural non-point source pollution will become a major challenge. Therefore, policymakers should focus on the non-point source pollution and water conservation when formulating ecological protection plans.

The main contributions of the paper are (1) based on the characteristics of sustainable development of the YREB, this study constructed a two-coupling analysis indicator system including economic development and water pollution control capability; (2) the spatiotemporal differentiation between the two systems was explored in the 11 regions of the YREB during the eleventh, twelfth, and thirteenth Five-year Plan, respectively; and (3) the major factors affecting the coordinated development of economic development and water pollution prevention and control capacity system have been accurately diagnosed, which provided a direction for the sustainable planning of the YREB. Future studies can investigate more research and exploration of cities with distinctive development models.

This study provides a methodology to explore the spatiotemporal differentiation and obstacle factors influencing the coupling coordination between economic development system and water pollution control capability system. The findings of this paper contribute to the formulation of reasonable water environment protection and sustainable development strategies, and provide direction and theoretical reference for the coordinated development of other developing countries along the river economic belt. This study has still several limitations. Due to the different policies and development conditions, the economic development system and water pollution control capability system may not be fully applicable to other countries or regions. Moreover, the classification standard for the coordinated development state will also be different. Future research needs to continuously adjust the index system and the coupling coordination classification standard to adapt to other developing countries along the river economic belt.
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