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Abstract

Stellar feedback is fundamental to the modeling of galaxy evolution, as it drives turbulence and outflows in galaxies. Understanding the timescales involved are critical for constraining the impact of stellar feedback on the interstellar medium. We analyzed the resolved star formation histories along with the spatial distribution and kinematics of the atomic and ionized gas of four nearby star-forming dwarf galaxies (NGC 4068, NGC 4163, NGC 6789, and UGC 9128) to determine the timescales over which stellar feedback drives turbulence. The four galaxies are within 5 Mpc and have a range of properties including current star formation rates of 0.0005–0.01 M⊙ yr⁻¹, log(MH/道理) between 7.2 and 8.2, and log(MHI/道理) between 7.2 and 8.3. Their color–magnitude diagram derived star formation histories over the past 500 Myr were compared to their atomic and ionized gas velocity dispersion and H1 energy surface densities as indicators of turbulence. The Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient was used to identify any correlations between their current turbulence and their past star formation activity on local scales (~400 pc). The strongest correlation found was between the H1 turbulence measures and the star formation rate 100–200 Myr ago. This suggests a coupling between the star formation activity and atomic gas on this timescale. No strong correlation between the ionized gas velocity dispersion and the star formation activity between 5 and 500 Myr ago was found. The sample and analysis are the foundation of a larger program aimed at understanding the timescales over which stellar feedback drives turbulence.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Interstellar medium (847); Dwarf galaxies (416); Irregular galaxies (864); Extragalactic astronomy (506); Radio astronomy (1338); Interstellar atomic gas (833); Optical astronomy (1776); Warm ionized medium (1788)

1. Introduction

Star formation activity is thought to drive turbulence in the interstellar medium (ISM) through ionizing radiation, stellar winds, and supernovae (SNe; e.g., Spitzer 1978; Elmegreen & Scalo 2004; Mac Low & Klessen 2004). As a necessary component to understanding galaxy evolution, stellar feedback and turbulence are invoked to regulate star formation and star formation efficiencies (e.g., Ostriker & Shetty 2011), and to drive the loss of metals via outflows and explain the observed galaxy mass–metallicity relationship (e.g., Tremonti et al. 2004; Brooks et al. 2007; Christensen et al. 2018), and are proposed as a solution to the core-cusp dispute over dwarf galaxies’ dark matter distributions (see Bullock & Boylan-Kolchin 2017 for a review). Observational studies have found a correlation between current star formation and the Hα velocity dispersion (σHα; Moiseev et al. 2015; Yu et al. 2019), as well as a correlation between the current star formation activity and H1 turbulence at high star formation rate (SFR) surface density (e.g., Joung et al. 2009; Tamburro et al. 2009; Stilp et al. 2013a). However, a correlation between the current star formation activity and H1 turbulence is not seen in regions of low SFR surface density such as the outer regions of spirals and in dwarf galaxies (e.g., van Zee & Bryant 1999; Tamburro et al. 2009).

From recent theoretical work, there are suggestions that the impact of recent star formation activity may not be immediately observable as turbulence. These models observe a time delay between star formation activity and stellar feedback driven turbulence in the ISM (e.g., Braun & Schmidt 2012). From the FIRE (Hopkins et al. 2014) and FIRE-2 (Hopkins et al. 2018) simulations, enhancement of the ionized gas velocity dispersion and instantaneous SFR may be asynchronous on quite short timescales (less than tens of Myr; Hung et al. 2019), while an increase in the atomic gas velocity dispersion may be more closely correlated with increased star formation activity on longer timescales (~100 Myr; Orr et al. 2020). To identify such a correlation between the current ISM turbulence and the past star formation activity, the use of time-resolved star formation histories (SFHs) is required.

Most previous observational work studying the relationship between stellar feedback and the turbulence in the ISM has focused on integrated light measurements to determine SFRs (e.g., Zhou et al. 2017; Hunter et al. 2021). While observationally less expensive, SFRs based on integrated light measurements are limited to set timescales (<10 Myr for Hα and <100 Myr for the far-ultraviolet; see Kennicutt & Evans 2012 and references therein), and they are not sensitive to time variability in the SFR. However, the time variability of galaxies’ SFHs has been well established (e.g., Dolphin et al. 2005; McQuinn et al. 2010a, 2010b; Weisz et al. 2011, 2014).
Thus, time-resolved SFHs are needed to analyze the impact of star formation on the ISM over time. Resolved stellar populations provide a means to measure the SFR as a function of time and study time-variable star formation, stellar feedback, and galaxy evolution (Dalcanton et al. 2009; McQuinn et al. 2010a; Stilp et al. 2013c). Color–magnitude diagrams (CMDs) can reconstruct the SFH using stellar evolution isochrones and CMD fitting techniques (e.g., Tolstoy & Saha 1996; Dolphin 1997; Holtzman et al. 1999; Harris & Zaritsky 2001; Aparicio & Hidalgo 2009). By comparing the current H I turbulence and CMD derived SFHs for a sample of 18 dwarf galaxies, Stilp et al. (2013c) found a correlation between the global H I energy surface density ($\Sigma_{\text{HI}}$) and the star formation activity 30–40 Myr ago.

In this paper, we focus on the correlation timescale on local scales. We explain our methodologies for connecting recent star formation with turbulence in multiple phases of the ISM in low-mass galaxies in 400 pc regions. The analysis focuses on a subsample of four galaxies (NGC 4068, NGC 4163, NGC 6789, and UGC 9128) from a larger sample of low-mass galaxies, as a demonstration of the analysis strategies. Section 2 discusses the data used in this study from the Very Large Array (VLA\(^6\)), the Hubble Space Telescope (HST), and the WIYN 3.5 m telescope\(^7\), and Section 3 outlines how we measure the turbulence of the atomic and ionized gas and determine SFHs. Section 4 presents our initial results on the timescales over which stellar feedback drives turbulence. Section 5 summarizes the initial results and outlines the upcoming larger project.

---

### Table 1
Galaxy Sample and Optical Properties

| Galaxy     | R.A. J2000 | Decl. J2000 | Dist. Mpc | $m_g$ mag | $A_g$ mag | $M_g$ mag | $D_{25}$ arcsec | $B/A$ | $M_*$ log($M_\odot$) | log($H_0$) erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ | log(SFR) $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ |
|------------|------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| NGC 4068   | 12:04:03   | 52:35:29    | 4.38 ± 0.04 | 13.10     | 0.09      | 15.20 ± 0.02 | 167.4 ± 0.54 | 0.54 | 8.34 ± 0.07        | −12.08 ± 0.05            | −1.98 ± 0.05              |
| NGC 4163   | 12:12:09   | 36:10:10    | 2.88 ± 0.04 | 13.46     | 0.09      | 13.92 ± 0.03 | 116.0 ± 0.67 | 0.67 | 7.99 ± 0.12        | −12.67 ± 0.05            | −2.94 ± 0.05              |
| NGC 6789   | 19:16:42   | 63:58:16    | 3.55 ± 0.07 | 14.02     | 0.3       | 14.03 ± 0.03 | 84.8 ± 0.85  | 0.85 | 8.0 ± 0.13         | −12.88 ± 0.06            | −2.97 ± 0.06              |
| UGC 9128   | 14:15:57   | 23:03:22    | 2.21 ± 0.07 | 14.39     | 0.10      | 12.43 ± 0.07 | 92.2 ± 0.67  | 0.67 | 7.11 ± 0.07        | −13.79 ± 0.11            | −4.29 ± 0.11              |

---

### Table 2
H I Observations

| Galaxy     | Array | Project | Dates | TOS (hrs) | Ch Sep (km s$^{-1}$) |
|------------|-------|---------|-------|-----------|----------------------|
| NGC 4068   | B     | 16A-172 | 2016 July 30, August 6, 19, 21, 22, 31 | 13.5 | 0.825 |
| NGC 4068   | C     | 16A-013 | 2016 April 22, 23 | 6.8 | 0.825 |
| NGC 6789   | C     | 16A-172 | 2016 April 10 | 1.62 | 0.825 |
| NGC 6789   | B     | 16A-172 | 2016 June 20, 30, July 5, 9 | 13.15 | 0.825 |

---

### 2. Observational Data

For our initial study of the impact of stellar feedback on turbulence in the ISM, multiwavelength observations have been acquired for four nearby low-mass galaxies (NGC 4068, NGC 4163, NGC 6789, and UGC 9128; see Table 1). All four of these galaxies have previously had their global SFHs determined as part of McQuinn et al. (2010a) and are part of STARBIRD (McQuinn et al. 2015). These systems were selected as a pilot study to test our methodology for connecting star formation timescales with gas kinematics, as they are representative of the larger sample. NGC 4068, at almost 4.4 Mpc, and UGC 9128, as one of the lower surface brightness galaxies, are good tests of our abilities to accurately recover spatially resolved SFHs. In addition, UGC 9128 and NGC 6789 have physical sizes that result in small numbers of regions and are useful for determining how to best partition the galaxies.

A combination of new and archival VLA radio synthe\(^6\)s observations (new observations listed in Table 2) of the neutral hydrogen 21 cm emission line are used to determine the atomic gas surface density and velocity dispersions (see Table 3). Archival F555W, F606W, and F814W HST observations of resolved stars were used to create CMDs, from which we derive SFHs (see Table 4). Spectroscopic Integral Field Unit (IFU) observations from SparsePak on the WIYN 3.5 m telescope provide the ionized gas kinematics (Table 5).

---

\(^6\) The VLA is operated by the NRAO, which is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

\(^7\) The WIYN Observatory is a joint facility of the NSF’s National Optical-Infrared Astronomy Research Laboratory, Indiana University, the University of Wisconsin–Madison, Pennsylvania State University, the University of Missouri, the University of California–Irvine, and Purdue University.
(2018; see Table 2 for the new observations). For both galaxies the standard flux calibrator 3C286 was observed at the beginning of each observing block and the phase calibrator (either J1219+4829 or J2022+6136) was observed every 35 minutes to flux and phase calibrate the data.

For this study, the archival data for NGC 4163 and UGC 9128 were reprocessed to match the handling of NGC 4068 and NGC 6789. Each set of new and archival data were loaded into AIPS\(^{8}\) to be processed. The inner 75\% of each observation block were combined to create a “channel zero” for the data set, which was then flagged uniformly for radio frequency interference before flux and phase calibration. The calibration solutions were applied to the line data before they were bandpass calibrated using the flux calibrator. After calibrations were applied, the line data were corrected for not Doppler tracking with CVEL before being continuum subtracted in the \(uv\) plane. After Doppler correction and continuum subtraction, the individual observing blocks for each galaxy were combined and data cubes of multiple different resolutions for each galaxy were created using IMAGR. For NGC 6789 and NGC 4068, the channels were binned by 3 for a velocity resolution of \(\sim 2.5\) \(\text{km s}^{-1}\). The natural weighted (robust of 5) data cubes were selected in this paper for analysis, as we gave preference to sensitivity over spatial resolution. The natural weighted beam sizes resulted in multiple resolution elements per region of interest for each galaxy. The parameters of the resulting data cube for each galaxy are presented in Table 3. The total \(\text{H I}\) column density, velocity field, and velocity dispersion maps for each galaxy are presented in Figures 1, 2, 3, and 4. The velocity dispersion maps will be discussed in more detail in Section 3.3.

\(^{8}\) The Astronomical Image Processing System (AIPS) was developed by the NRAO.

### Table 3

| Galaxy   | \(\Delta v\) \(\text{km s}^{-1}\) | Beam \(\text{arcsec} \times \text{arcsec}\) | P.A. \(\text{deg}\) | rms \(\text{mJy beam}^{-1}\) | \(\text{H I}\) flux \(\text{Jy km s}^{-1}\) | \(\text{H I}\) Mass \(\log(M_\odot)\) |
|----------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| NGC 4068 | 2.47                          | \(11.83 \times 11.29\)          | 14.2           | 0.7095                       | 40.1 ± 4.0                  | 8.30 ± 0.04    |
| NGC 4163 | 1.29                          | \(13.80 \times 12.96\)          | 24.9           | 0.839                        | 9.9 ± 0.99                 | 7.28 ± 0.05    |
| NGC 6789 | 2.47                          | \(11.92 \times 10.52\)          | –64.8          | 0.536                        | 4.9 ± 0.5                 | 7.17 ± 0.05    |
| UGC 9128 | 1.29                          | \(9.64 \times 6.35\)            | 79.4           | 0.865                        | 15.3 ± 1.5                | 7.25 ± 0.05    |

### Table 4

| Galaxy   | HST Proposal ID | PI              | Instrument | F555W \(\text{s}\) | F606W \(\text{s}\) | F814W \(\text{s}\) |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| NGC 4068 | 9771            | Karachentsev    | ACS        | –                  | 1200              | 900              |
| NGC 4163 | 9771            | Karachentsev    | ACS        | –                  | 1200              | 900              |
| NGC 6789 | 8122            | Schulte-Ladbeck | WFPC2      | 8200              | –                 | 8200             |
| UGC 9128 | 10210           | Tully           | ACS        | –                  | 990               | 1170             |

### Table 5

| Galaxy   | No. of Fields | Date of Obs    | \(\lambda\) Center | ToS \(\text{A} \times \text{s}\) |
|----------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| NGC 4068 | 2             | 2016 April 3   | 6680.530            | 1800                          |
| NGC 4163 | 1             | 2017 April 23  | 6681.184            | 2700                          |
| NGC 6789 | 1             | 2016 April 2   | 6680.530            | 1800                          |
| UGC 9128 | 1             | 2017 April 22  | 6681.184            | 2700                          |

### 2.2. Archival HST Observations

CMDs of resolved stellar populations from HST observations taken with the Advanced Camera for Surveys instrument (ACS; Ford et al. 1998) and the Wide Field Planetary Camera 2 instrument (WFPC2; Holtzman et al. 1995) were used to determine the SFHs. Details of the observations are listed in Table 4. The ACS observations were taken of NGC 4068, NGC 4163, and UGC 9128 with the camera’s F606W filter and F814W filter. The WFPC2 observations were taken of NGC 6789 with the F555W filter and F814W filter. The ACS instrument has a 202″ \(\times\) 202″ field of view with a native pixel scale of 0′05 pixel \(^{-1}\) and the WFPC2 instrument has three 800 \(\times\) 800 pixel wide-field CCDs, with a 0′1 pixel \(^{-1}\) pixel scale, and an 800 \(\times\) 800 pixel planetary camera CCD with a 0′05 pixel \(^{-1}\) pixel scale.

The optical imaging was processed in an identical manner to that used in STARBIRDS (McQuinn et al. 2015). We provide a summary of the data reduction here and refer the reader to McQuinn et al. (2010a) for a detailed description. Photometry was performed on the pipeline processed, charge transfer efficiency corrected images using the software HSTphot optimized for the ACS and WFPC2 instruments (Dolphin 2000). The photometry was filtered to include well-recovered point sources with the same quality cuts on signal-to-noise ratios, crowding conditions, and sharpness parameters as applied in STARBIRDS. Artificial star tests were run on the individual images to measure the completeness of the stellar catalogs. As the derivation of the SFHs require a well-measured completeness function, we ran \(\sim 4\) M artificial star tests over each full field of view, ensuring sufficient numbers of stars in the individual smaller regions used in the analysis.

### 2.3. SparsePak Observations

Spatially resolved spectroscopy of the ionized gas were taken with the SparsePak IFU (Bershady et al. 2004) on the WIYN 3.5 m telescope in 2016 April and 2017 April. The SparsePak IFU has 82 4″ \(\times\) 69 diameter fibers arranged in a fixed 70″ \(\times\) 70″ square, with the fibers adjacent to each other in the core and separated by 11″ in the rest of the field. All observations were taken with the same bench setup with the 316\@63.4 bench spectrograph including the X19 blocking filter and an order 8 grating. The resulting wavelength range
Figure 1. NGC 4068 H I moment maps from VLA observations. Left: H I column density in $10^{21}$ hydrogen atoms cm$^{-2}$. Center: H I velocity map with isovelocity contours spaced every 10 km s$^{-1}$. Right: H I velocity dispersion map with isovelocity contours at 2.25 km s$^{-1}$ spacing. The beam size ($11''83 \times 11''29$) of the H I data cube used is shown in the bottom left of the left panel.

Figure 2. NGC 4163 H I moment maps from VLA observations. Left: H I column density in $10^{21}$ hydrogen atoms cm$^{-2}$. Center: H I velocity map with isovelocity contours spaced every 5 km s$^{-1}$. Right: H I velocity dispersion map with isovelocity contours at 2 km s$^{-1}$ spacing. The beam size ($13''80 \times 12''96$) of the H I data cube used is shown in the bottom left of the left panel.

Figure 3. NGC 6789 H I moment maps from VLA observations. Left: H I column density in $10^{21}$ hydrogen atoms cm$^{-2}$. Center: H I velocity map with isovelocity contours spaced every 10 km s$^{-1}$. Right: H I velocity dispersion map with isovelocity contours at 2.5 km s$^{-1}$ spacing. The beam size ($11''92 \times 10''52$) of the H I data cube used is shown in the bottom left of the left panel.
was from 6480 Å to 6890 Å with a velocity resolution of 13.9 km s$^{-1}$ pixel$^{-1}$. To fill in the gaps between fibers, a three pointing dither pattern was used. For each dither pointing three exposures of either 600 s (NGC 4068 and NGC 6789) or 900 seconds (NGC 4163 and UGC 9128) were taken in order to detect diffuse ionized gas, not just star-forming regions. For NGC 4068, two pointings were used to cover the full extent of the galaxy’s H$\alpha$ emission on the sky. Observations of blank sky were also taken to remove telluric line contamination, as the galaxies were more extended than the SparsePak field of view.

The SparsePak data were processed using the standard tasks in the IRAF$^9$ HYDRA package. The data were bias-subtracted, dark-corrected, and cosmic-ray cleaned, before the task DOHYDRA was used to fit and extract the apertures from the IFU data. The spectra were wavelength calibrated using a solution created from ThAr lamp observations. The individual images were sky subtracted using a separate sky pointing, scaled to the 6577 Å telluric line. After sky subtraction, the three exposures were averaged together to increase the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N). A flux calibration using observations of spectrophotometric standards from Oke (1990) was applied to enable measurement of relative line strengths, although the nights were not photometric.

The galaxy spectra were smoothed by 1 pixel (0.306 Å) in order to improve S/N. For each fiber spectrum, the emission lines were fit to Gaussians using the IDL software suite Peak ANalaysis (PAN; Dimeo 2005). The measured H$\alpha$ line widths were corrected for instrumental broadening of 47.8 ± 1.6 km s$^{-1}$, as measured from the equivalently smoothed ThAr spectra. The H$\alpha$ line fluxes, centers, and velocity dispersions from PAN where visually inspected and the fiber positions that passed were placed into a grid mapping their SparsePak fiber placements. The output line fluxes, centers, and velocity dispersions are shown in Figures 5, 6, 7, and 8.

3. Region Processing

In order to study the spatially resolved impact of star formation on the ISM, the galaxies were divided into regions of interest with a set physical size. For each region the SFH, ionized gas velocity dispersion, and atomic gas velocity dispersions were measured independently.

3.1. Galaxy Divisions

Two competing criteria were balanced to determine an appropriate physical scale for the analysis: the number of star counts within each region and the retention of information about the local effects of stellar feedback on the ISM. In other words, regions must be large enough to ensure reliable SFHs with sufficient time resolution (≥25 Myr in the most recent time bins) and a 500 Myr baseline, while being small enough that any local turbulence effects are not washed out. We chose to partition each galaxy into square regions with a physical size of 400 pc per side as a compromise between observational limits and theoretical expectations.

A region size of 400 × 400 pc$^2$ was determined as the largest reasonable scale for the analysis from work on clustered SNe. As individual and clustered SNe (superbubbles) are likely the most important mechanism for driving turbulence in the ISM (Norman & Ferrara 1996; Ostriker & Shetty 2011; Kim et al. 2011; El-Badry et al. 2019), the maximum physical scale was limited to the scale of these events. From simulations and theory, the predicted range over which superbubbles input momentum into the ISM is about one to a few times the scale height of the galaxy (Kim et al. 2017; Gentry et al. 2017), or roughly 200–600 pc for dwarf galaxy disk thicknesses (Bacchini et al. 2020b). Thus, region sizes larger than 400 pc would not be able to distinguish the impacts of the local star formation activity from the global star formation activity on the ISM.

For each galaxy, the angular size of the regions was calculated based on their distance and rounded to the nearest $2^\circ$, the pixel size of the H$\alpha$ data. The physical and angular size of each region is listed in Table 6. Regions were arranged as a grid across the galaxies with grid placement adjusted to

$^9$ IRAF is distributed by NOAO, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.
maximize the number of regions with reliable SFHs over the past 500 Myr, and to have as consistent an H I velocity dispersion within the region—based on HI second moment maps—as possible. Adjustments to the region placements from a simple grid were made with the goal of measuring the SFH in regions with particularly high or low H I or Hα velocity dispersion along with adjustments based on the stellar distribution. The final region placements for each galaxy are shown in Figures 9, 10, 11, and 12.

### 3.2. Star Formation Histories

The numerical CMD fitting program MATCH was utilized to reconstruct SFHs from resolved stellar populations (Dolphin 2002). To summarize, MATCH uses an assumed initial
mass function (IMF) along with a stellar evolution library to create a series of synthetic simple stellar populations (SSPs) with different ages and metallicities. A large number of synthetic CMDs were produced for each region with each CMD containing stars with limited ranges of age (0.05 dex) and metallicity (0.10 dex). The SFH solutions were based on a Kroupa IMF (Kroupa 2001), an assumed binary function of 35% with a flat binary mass ratio distribution, and the PARSEC stellar library (Bressan et al. 2012). We assumed no internal differential extinction because, for the low masses of this sample of galaxies, internal extinction should be low (i.e., the mass–metallicity relation; Berg et al. 2012). Observational errors (from photon noise and blending) are simulated by using the completeness, photometric bias, and photometric scatter (all functions of color and magnitude) measured in artificial star tests. These synthetic CMDs, as well as simulated CMDs of foreground stars, were combined linearly to calculate the expected distribution of stars on the CMD for any SFH.

With the synthetic and observed $V$ versus $(V-I)$ CMDs, the likelihood that the observed data were produced by the SFH of a particular synthetic CMD was calculated. A maximum likelihood algorithm was used to determine the SFH most likely to have produced the observed data for each region. Systematic uncertainties from the stellar evolution models were estimated by applying shifts in luminosity and temperature to the observed stellar populations through Monte Carlo simulations (Dolphin 2012). Random uncertainties were estimated by applying a hybrid Markov Chain Monte Carlo simulation (Dolphin 2013). The resulting CMD based SFH provide $\Delta \log(t) = 0.3$ time resolution with a 500 Myr baseline. Example CMDs and the resulting SFHs for $400 \times 400$ pc$^2$ regions in NGC 4163 and UGC 9128 are shown in Figure 13. A more

**Table 6**

| Galaxy   | Region arcsec × arcsec | Region pc × pc |
|----------|------------------------|-----------------|
| NGC 4068 | 18 × 18                | 405 × 405       |
| NGC 4163 | 28 × 28                | 390 × 390       |
| NGC 6789 | 24 × 24                | 413 × 413       |
| UGC 9128 | 38 × 38                | 407 × 407       |

**Figure 8.** UGC 9128 maps from observations with the SparsePak IFU on the WIYN 3.5 m telescope, with H$_\alpha$ line measurements from PAN. Left: H$_\alpha$ on a log scale in units of $10^{-17}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$. Center: H$_\alpha$ line centers map. Right: H$_\alpha$ velocity dispersion ($\sigma_{H\alpha}$) map. Each filled circle corresponds to a fiber’s size and position on the sky. For reference to where the Sparsepak fibers fall on the galaxy compared with the HI and optical distributions, see Figure 12.

**Figure 9.** NGC 4068. Left: two-color image from HST F814W (red) and F606W (blue) observations with ACS. Center: HI dispersion map from VLA observations with isovelocity contours in 2.25 km s$^{-1}$ step size. Right: $\sigma_{H\alpha}$ map from the SparsePak IFU on the WIYN 3.5 m telescope, with each filled circle corresponding to a fiber’s size and position on the sky. Overlaid on all three panels are the outlines of the regions used for the analysis.
complete description of the methods applied can be found in McQuinn et al. (2010a) and the references therein.

Dynamical studies indicate that low-mass galaxies are solid body rotators (e.g., Skillman et al. 1988; Skillman 1996; van Zee et al. 2001; see also the velocity fields in Figures 1 through 3).
4), which results in less radial and azimuthal mixing of stellar populations compared to the differential rotation of larger galaxies, allowing the SFHs of specific locations to be recovered. However, stellar populations do diffuse slowly, destroying the substructure made by the clusters, groups, and associations that they were born in (Bastian et al. 2010, and references therein). For dwarf galaxies, substructures can persist on timescales of ~80 Myr for the SMC (Gieles et al. 2008) to >300 Myr for DDO 165 (Bastian et al. 2011). As these timescales were measured down to the limiting depth of the photometry of the images, the stellar structures cannot be probed on longer timescales, making these estimates lower limits. Based on these lower limits we anticipate that we are accurately recovering the SFH of each region back more than 250 Myr, and likely back to 500 Myr, the limit of the SFHs derived from the CMDs here.

3.3. Turbulence Measurements

To determine the turbulence for each region, two independent measures of the velocity dispersion and energy surface density of the H I were used, along with one method for measuring the velocity dispersion of the ionized gas. For the H I, the velocity dispersion of the region was characterized using moment maps (see Section 3.3.1), which provide an estimate of the H I kinematics and make no assumption about the underlying H I emission profile (e.g., Tamburro et al. 2009). However, second moment measurements can be strongly affected by small amounts of gas at atypical velocities. Independent of the moment maps, superprofiles were made using methods similar to those of Ianjamasimanana et al. (2012) and Stilp et al. (2013b) by coadding line-of-sight profiles after correcting for rotational velocities (see Section 3.3.2). For the Hα line emission, we measured the intensity-weighted average velocity dispersion within each region (see Section 3.4.1).

3.3.1. Moment Maps

The H I synthesis data cubes were processed with standard tools from the GIPSY software package (van der Hulst et al. 1992) to extract the intensity-weighted velocity dispersion maps of the four galaxies. To create the second moment maps, individual channels of the data cubes were smoothed by a factor of 2 and clipped at the 2σ level before being interactively blotted to identify signal. Figures 9, 10, 11, and 12 show the final velocity dispersion maps with the regions placements overlaid. The flux-weighted average of the second moment map was measured for each region:

$$\sigma_{m2} = \frac{\Sigma_i \sigma_i N_{HI,i}}{\Sigma_i N_{HI,i}}$$

(1)

where $N_{HI,i}$ is the H I column density, and $\sigma_i$ is the second moment velocity dispersion of each pixel. The column density-weighted average velocity dispersions from the second moment maps are shown in Table 7. For the uncertainty of the second moment velocity dispersion, the standard deviation of a weighted average was used.

3.3.2. Superprofiles

Superprofiles of the total H I flux within each region were constructed using techniques similar to those described in Ianjamasimanana et al. (2012) to determine the velocity dispersion. Before summing the H I profiles within each region, the bulk motion of the gas was accounted for by shifting the individual profiles to a reference velocity of zero. The location of the peak for the individual profiles was estimated using the GIPSY task XGAUFIT to fit each profile with a third-order (h3) Gauss–Hermite polynomial. A Gauss–Hermite h3 polynomial gives a robust estimate of the peak location even in the presence of asymmetries, as it fits the skewness of the line profile (see de Blok et al. 2008 for details). Line profiles were excluded from fitting if the maximum was less than 3σ above the mean rms noise level per channel, or if the velocity dispersion was less than the channel width to avoid fitting noise peaks. After determining the center with XGAUFIT, SHUFLFLE was used to shift the profiles to a reference velocity of zero. The total flux within each region was calculated using the task FLUX after the lines were shifted. The uncertainty of each point in the superprofiles is defined as:

$$\sigma = \sigma_{ch,rms} \times \sqrt{N_{pix}/N_{pix/beam}}$$

(2)
where $\sigma_{\text{ch},\text{rms}}$ is the mean rms noise level per channel, $N_{\text{pix}}$ is the number of pixels contributing to a given point in the superprofile, and $N_{\text{pix}}/\text{beam}$ is the number of profiles in one resolution elements or pixels per beam size.

As a single Gaussian does not fit the low-density $\text{H} \, \text{I}$ flux at higher velocities well, we did not perform a traditional $\chi^2$ minimization to fit the line profiles. Instead, the process described in Stilp et al. (2013b, 2013c) was used. For each superprofile a Gaussian was scaled to the amplitude and the FWHM of the line profile. The $\text{H} \, \text{I}$ flux at higher velocities and lower densities that is above the Gaussian fit is described as the wings of the superprofile (Figure 14). From the scaled Gaussian fits three parameters were measured:

1. $\sigma_{\text{central}}$: the width of the scaled Gaussian profile fit to the FWHM and amplitude of the observed $\text{H} \, \text{I}$ superprofile. We chose $\sigma_{\text{central}}$ instead of FWHM, as other studies often describe line width in terms of a Gaussian $\sigma$ (e.g., Ianjamasiminana et al. 2012).

2. $f_{\text{wings}}$: the fraction of $\text{H} \, \text{I}$ in the wings of the profile where $f_{\text{wings}}$ is a measure of the fraction of gas moving at faster velocities than are expected compared to the bulk of the $\text{H} \, \text{I}$.

\[
f_{\text{wings}} = \frac{\Sigma_{|v| > v_b}[S(v) - G(v)]}{\Sigma_{|v| > 0}S(v)}. \tag{3}
\]

Here, $v$ is the center velocity of the profile, $v_b$ is the velocity at the half-width at half-maximum, $|v| > v_b$ is where the absolute value of the velocity is greater than the velocity at the half-width at half-maximum, $S(v)$ is the superprofile of the observed $\text{H} \, \text{I}$ flux within the regions, and $G(v)$ is the scaled Gaussian profile fit to the observed $\text{H} \, \text{I}$ superprofile.
3. $\sigma^2_{\text{wings}}$: the rms velocity of the H I flux in the profile wings, weighted by the fraction of gas in the observed H I superprofile $S(\nu)$ moving faster than the scaled Gaussian profile $G(\nu)$ predicts, used to characterize the velocity of the excess low-density gas.

$$\sigma^2_{\text{wings}} = \frac{\sum_{|\nu| > \nu_{y}} [S(\nu) - G(\nu)]^2}{\sum_{|\nu| > \nu_{y}} [S(\nu) - G(\nu)]}.$$  

To estimate the errors on these parameters, we assumed the observed superprofile is correct and added Gaussian noise to each point based on Equation (2). The “noisy” data were refit with a Gaussian performing a standard $\chi^2$ minimization. The process was repeated 3000 times. We took the $1\sigma$ standard deviation of the refitting as the uncertainty on the superprofile parameters. The $\sigma_{\text{cen}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{wings}}$, along with $\sigma_{\text{mom2}}$, are listed below in Table 7 with their errors and region ID number and galaxy.

### 3.4. H I Energy Surface Density

Many studies, including this one, use the velocity dispersion to quantify the turbulence from feedback. However, the velocity dispersion of a region does not make for the ideal comparison with the SFH. Due to the differences in column densities between regions, two regions with the same H I energy density may have very different line widths/velocity dispersion. To account for the H I mass within each region, we measured the H I energy surface density ($\Sigma_{\text{H I}}$) along with the velocity dispersion. Between the superprofile parameters and the second moment averages, three $\Sigma_{\text{H I}}$ estimates were used in the analysis:

1. $\Sigma_{E,\text{m2}}$ is the H I energy surface density from the second moment average derived H I velocity dispersion ($\sigma_{\text{mom2}}$):

$$\Sigma_{E,\text{m2}} = \frac{3M_{\text{H I}}}{2A_{\text{H I}}} \sigma^2_{\text{mom2}}.$$  

$M_{\text{H I}}/(A_{\text{H I}})$ is the average H I surface density of the region, where $M_{\text{H I}}$ is the H I mass within the region and $A_{\text{H I}}$ is the unblotted area of the region. All regions of a galaxy do not have identical region areas, as some regions contain blotted pixels that are removed from the total area of the region, as they do not contribute to the H I mass or velocity dispersion. The $3/2$ factor accounts for the motion in all three directions, assuming an isotropic velocity dispersion.

2. $\Sigma_{E,\text{central}}$ is the H I energy surface density derived from the velocity dispersion of the Gaussian fits to the superprofiles ($\sigma_{\text{central}}$):

$$\Sigma_{E,\text{central}} = \frac{3M_{\text{H I}}}{2A_{\text{H I}}}(1 - f_{\text{wings}})(1 - f_{\text{cold}})\sigma^2_{\text{central}}.$$  

$M_{\text{H I}}$ is the total H I mass within the region, $f_{\text{wings}}$ is the fraction of H I not in the wings of the superprofile, and $(1 - f_{\text{cold}})$ is a correction for the dynamically cold H I ($\sigma < 6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), which $\sigma_{\text{central}}$ does not describe well. $M_{\text{H I}}(1 - f_{\text{wings}})(1 - f_{\text{cold}})$ is the total H I mass contained within the central peak corrected for the dynamically cold H I and the fraction of H I within the wings of the superprofile. We chose $f_{\text{cold}} = 0.15$ to be consistent with Stilp et al. 2013b and to be in line with previous estimates for dwarf galaxies (Young et al. 2003; Bolatto et al. 2011; Warren et al. 2012).

3. $\Sigma_{E,\text{wing}}$ is the H I energy surface density derived from the velocity dispersion ($\sigma_{\text{wing}}$) of the wings of the superprofiles:

$$\Sigma_{E,\text{wing}} = \frac{3M_{\text{H I}}}{2A_{\text{H I}}}f_{\text{wings}}\sigma^2_{\text{wing}}.$$  

$M_{\text{H I}}/A_{\text{H I}} \times f_{\text{wings}}$ represents the total H I surface density associated with the superprofile wings by multiplying the average surface density by the fraction of H I in the wings.

For the H I surface density ($M_{\text{H I}}/A_{\text{H I}}$), we assumed 10% as a reasonable uncertainty based on the discussion of the accuracy of H I flux measurements and mass determination in van Zee et al. (1997), and accounting for the differences between H I fluxes and masses from single-dish observations and the VLA.

#### 3.4.1. Hα Velocity Dispersion ($\sigma_{\text{Hα}}$)

For each region, we determined which SparsePak fibers fell within the region. A fiber was placed within a region if more than 50% of the area covered by the fiber was within the region. Due to requiring the detection of Hα flux to measure the kinematics of the ionized gas, some regions have ionized gas velocity dispersion measurements based on only a few fibers or do not have ionized gas measurements (see Figures 9, 10, 11, and 12). For each region, the intensity-weighted average of the velocity dispersions was measured with:

$$\sigma_{\text{Hα}} = \frac{\Sigma_i \sigma_i F_{\text{Hα,i}}}{\Sigma_i F_{\text{Hα,i}}}$$  

where $F_{\text{Hα,i}}$ is the Hα flux of a fiber, and $\sigma_i$ is the FWHM of the Hα line of a fiber. The average of the $\sigma_{\text{Hα}}$ was weighted by the line intensity instead of mass, as X-ray observations would be required to determine the mass of the ionized gas. As with the second moment, the standard deviation of a weighted mean was used for the error (see Table 7).
In Section 4.1 we discuss our methods for determining if there is a correlation between the ISM turbulence and SFH, and in Sections 4.2 and 4.3 we present the results from our initial analysis of four galaxies, and we discuss the implications of the results as well as plans to expand the sample.

4.1. Spearman Correlation Coefficient

To measure the correlation between the ISM turbulence and star formation activity, we used the Spearman rank correlation coefficient \( \rho \). The Spearman \( \rho \) tests for a monotonic relationship between two variables with a value of \( 0 < \rho \leq 1 \) indicating a positive correlation, a value of \( -1 \leq \rho < 0 \) indicating an anticorrelation, and a \( \rho = 0 \) indicating completely uncorrelated data. The value \( P \) is the probability of finding a \( \rho \) value equal to or more extreme than the one measured from a random data set.

Each of the seven measures of turbulence (six H I measures and one H\( \alpha \) velocity dispersion) was compared with each SFH time bin. The resulting \( \rho \) and \( P \) values for the H\( \alpha \) correlations are shown in Figure 15, and the H I turbulence measures are shown in Figure 16.

To investigate whether or not this selection of regions from four galaxies (41 H I regions, 35 H\( \alpha \) regions) adequately samples the underlying parameter space, we use bootstrapping to resample the data. We randomly draw a sample of the same size as the existing sample from the original data allowing for repeated values. Repeating this resampling results in the range of allowable \( \rho \) values based on the sample size. The data were resampled 3000 times and the inner 68% was taken as the uncertainty on \( \rho \).

4.2. H\( \alpha \) Timescale

At this time, our H\( \alpha \) velocity dispersion results are inconclusive. Comparing the \( \sigma_{H\alpha} \) and the SFHs, there are no statistically significant correlations. The strongest indication of a correlation seen in Figure 15 is between \( \sigma_{H\alpha} \) and star formation activity 10–25 Myr ago; however, as the uncertainties on \( \sigma_{H\alpha} \) are significant, any trend of a higher velocity dispersion at higher SFR is overwhelmed by the uncertainties in Figure 17. Overall, in Figure 15 there is the suggestion of a positive correlation between the H\( \alpha \) velocity dispersion and the cumulative SFH. Such a correlation would indicate that the ionized gas turbulence is related to the star formation history, as is expected if stellar feedback drives turbulence. These four galaxies were a test of the methods described in this paper and represent a small subset of a larger sample. It is possible that an increased number of galaxies and regions will allow for the selection of regions with reliable \( \sigma_{H\alpha} \) to constrain the timescale over which stellar feedback drives turbulence in the ionized gas.

As the SFHs do not provide SFR for the past 5 Myr (see McQuinn et al. 2010a for details), we are not sensitive to a correlation between \( \sigma_{H\alpha} \) and the current SFR, which has been observed in previous IFU analyses comparing H\( \alpha \) derived SFRs and \( \sigma_{H\alpha} \) (Moiseev et al. 2015; Zhou et al. 2017). The analysis of the correlation between the ionized gas turbulence and the SFR over the past 5 Myr for individual regions requires a sufficient H\( \alpha \) flux within each region. For the majority of regions within the four galaxies used for this paper, H\( \alpha \) derived SFRs would be highly uncertain due to the low H\( \alpha \) fluxes. With a larger sample, it may be possible to have sufficient regions to accurately measure SFRs over the past 5 Myr and compare with the ionized gas turbulence.

4.3. H I Timescale

In Figure 16 there is a modest peak in the Spearman \( \rho \) value when comparing multiple measures of the current H I turbulence and the SFR 100–200 Myr ago. This modest correlation can be seen when comparing the H I velocity dispersions and \( \Sigma_{H\text{I}} \) measured from the scaled Gaussian fit (Figures 16(a) and 16(b)) and from the second moment maps (Figures 16(e) and 16(f)). The strongest correlation between the H I turbulence and past star formation activity is between the energy surface density of the superprofiles and the SFR 100–200 Myr ago (Figure 16(b)). The measured \( \rho \) is 0.407 and the \( P \) value is 0.008. The SFR and \( \Sigma_{H\text{I}} \) can be seen in Figure 18, where the correlation is dominated by the handful of regions with high SFRs or high \( \Sigma_{H\text{I}} \).

The correlation observed between the H I turbulence and SFH 100–200 Myr ago may be related to the timescales over

---

**Figure 15.** The Spearman \( \rho \) coefficient versus log time, which demonstrates how correlated the SFR of a given time bin is with the \( \sigma_{H\alpha} \). The light blue shaded region represents the 1\( \sigma \) bootstrapping error and under each point is the relevant \( P \) value. For \( \sigma_{H\alpha} \), we do not find a strong correlation between the velocity dispersion and the SFR in any of the time bins.
which turbulent momentum decays. Bacchini et al. (2020a) found for SNe the estimated dissipation time for the atomic gas ranges between a few tens of Myr and hundreds of Myr depending on the disk thickness. Similarly, from FIRE-2 simulations, Orr et al. (2020) theorized that the strong correlation between the ISM turbulence and the SFR over 100 Myr in the simulation may be because 100 Myr is approximately the eddy crossing time. As a result of long dissipation times for turbulent momentum, the velocity dispersion may evolve slowly and the impact of older star formation activity could remain observable in the ISM.

Due to their ability to trace back star formation activity and determine ISM turbulence on the relevant scales, simulations of dwarf galaxy evolution provide an excellent comparison to results presented here. Whether or not the same timescale is observed in simulations would be of interest. The timescales observed in simulations could either support the results presented here or open new questions about the implementation of feedback and turbulence in dwarf galaxies and the handling of the atomic gas in simulations.

Previously, Stilp et al. (2013c) found the strongest correlation between the H I energy surface density and the SFR 30–40 Myr ago in their study of 18 galaxies. However, Stilp et al.
The fourth galaxy, NGC 4068, is significantly larger than the other three and contains over half the regions analyzed for this paper. NGC 4068’s inclusion in the initial sample is important as it greatly increases our region sample size and our ability to test our methods and draw preliminary conclusions from a small sample of galaxies. However, we must consider whether NGC 4068’s large number of regions is dominating the results.

For the Hα velocity dispersion, we repeated the analysis excluding NGC 4068 from the sample, which results in 10 regions with SparsePak measurements, half of which have very sparse coverage. Analyzing the regions in NGC 4163, NGC 6789, and UGC 9128 results in no correlation between σHα and the SFH in the past 500 Myr, the same as when the full sample of regions was analyzed.

For the H I turbulence, we repeated the analysis twice, once excluding the regions in NGC 4068, and once only analyzing regions in NGC 4068. Both data sets have indications of the 100–200 Myr correlation timescale and demonstrates the results are not dependent on the inclusion of NGC 4068 in the sample. The correlation between the SFH 100–200 Myr ago and the H I turbulence is not as prominent when including only the three smaller galaxies, or only studying NGC 4068, compared to when the entire sample is analyzed. In Figure 19 there are peaks in ρ at 100–200 Myr ago in panels (a), (c), and (d). For NGC 4068, there is a statistically significant correlation between the velocity dispersion and the SFH 100–200 Myr ago. However, there is no significant correlation with ΣHI. For the three smaller galaxies, the correlation between the SFH 100–200 Myr ago and the velocity dispersion is nonexistent, while the correlation with ΣHI is not statistically significant with a P value of 0.067. Similar results are seen for the other measures of H I turbulence when analyzing the two subsamples. There are clear peaks in ρ at the 100–200 Myr timescale, but the peaks are rarely statistically significant. The inclusion of NGC 4068 is not dominating the results of the H I, as all four galaxies are responsible for the correlation seen in Figure 16.

5. Summary

In this paper, we outlined our methods for determining the timescales over which star formation drives turbulence in the ISM on a spatially resolved scale of ~400 pc. We described how we analyzed available HST, VLA, and SparsePak (WIYN 3.5m) observations of the four galaxies (NGC 4068, NGC 4163, NGC 6789, and UGC 9128) included in the initial study. Using these four galaxies as examples, we detailed how we selected the regions of interest and how we measured the SFH, H I turbulence, and Hα velocity dispersion in each region.

With this initial sample, we compared the local H I energy surface density (ΣHI), measured from Gaussian superprofiles and second moment maps and σHα, with spatially resolved SFHs. Using the Spearman rank correlation coefficient, we found the strongest correlations between the SFH and the atomic gas velocity dispersion and energy surface density are seen between 100 and 200 Myr ago.

A strong correlation between the H I turbulence measures with the SFH 100–200 Myr ago was unexpected and may be related to the timescales over which turbulent momentum decays. This correlation may be due the dissipation times of
dwarf galaxies, which is on the scale of 100 Myr. Long dissipation times for turbulent momentum would result in the velocity dispersion evolving slowly and the impact of older star formation activity may remain observable in the ISM.

With the selection of four galaxies, we are limited in our ability to draw broad conclusions and are left asking: is the measured 100–200 Myr timescale universal or does the timescale vary based on a galaxy’s characteristics? Differences in the physical properties of galaxies could result in a varying correlation timescale between stellar feedback and turbulence. The four galaxies included in this paper are all members of STARBIRDS (McQuinn et al. 2015) and are currently or recently starbursting galaxies. This common feature in the galaxies’ SFHs may impact the timescales involved compared to less active recent SFHs. A more diverse sample of galaxies will help assess if a galaxy’s physical characteristics play an essential role in how stellar feedback and the ISM are connected.

As previously mentioned, these four galaxies were a test of the methods described in this paper and represent a small subset of a larger sample. The total planned sample includes low-mass \((\log(M_{\odot}) = 6–9.5)\) star-forming galaxies within 5 Mpc with a range of current SFRs and SFHs. The planned sample, with its larger range of galaxy characteristics, will permit the analysis of how certain galactic properties may alter the 100–200 Myr correlation timescale. Along with analyzing how recent SFH impacts the correlation timescale, another key galaxy property that may impact the correlation timescale is metallicity. Variations in metallicity cause variations in the cooling timescale of the ISM as thermal energy dissipates at different rates. Such differences in cooling timescale may impact the observed correlation timescale between star formation activity and turbulence in the ISM. A broader selection of galaxies allows for the grouping of galaxies with similar characteristics to probe the importance of parameters such as mass, metallicity, and recent SFH on the correlation timescale. This initial study sets the framework for a larger investigation of feedback and turbulence in low-mass galaxies.
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