Association between Serum 25-Hydroxyvitamin D Level and Stroke Risk: An Analysis Based on the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
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Background. To analyze the association between serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D level (25(OH)D) and stroke risk based on the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). Methods. Between 2007 and 2018, the baseline information of participants from NHANES was collected. Univariate analysis was used to identify the covariates. Multivariate logistic regression model was used to analyze the association between serum 25(OH)D level and the stroke risk. Results. Of the 8,523 participants, there were 310 participants with stroke and 8,213 participants without stroke. The multivariate logistic analysis showed that serum 25(OH)D deficiency (odds ratio (OR): 1.993, 95% confidence intervals (CI): 1.141-3.481, and \( P = 0.012 \)) was the significant risk factors for stroke. Subgroup analysis showed that non-Hispanic whites with serum 25(OH)D deficiency (OR: 2.501, 95% CI: 1.094-5.720, and \( P = 0.001 \)) and insufficiency (OR: 1.853, 95% CI: 1.170-2.934, and \( P = 0.006 \)) were associated with a higher risk of stroke than those with normal 25(OH)D levels. Conclusions. Serum 25(OH)D deficiency may be associated with an increased risk of stroke.

1. Introduction

Stroke remains the third most common cause of disability and the second most common cause of death worldwide [1]. In the United States, there were an estimated 795,000 new or recurrent stroke with approximately 130,000 deaths due to stroke each year [2]. The prevalence increases with age in both females and males. By 2030, an additional 3,400,000 adults are estimated to have a stroke in the United States, which increased by 20.5% with 2012 [3]. Stroke is associated with modifiable risk factors (hypertension, hyperglycemia, obesity, hyperlipidemia, and renal dysfunction) and behavioral risk factors (sedentary lifestyle, cigarette smoking, and unhealthy diet) [2, 4]. Interestingly, vitamin D (25-hydroxyvitamin D, 25(OH)D), a hormone mainly regulating calcium homeostasis, is found to be associated with the development of various nonskeletal chronic diseases, including stroke [5], cardiovascular disease [6], cancer [7], metabolic disorder [8], autoimmune disease [9], and infectious diseases [10].

In recent years, several studies have been conducted on the association between 25(OH)D level and stroke risk, but
the results are inconsistent. Zhou et al. reported that 25(OH)D levels were associated with ischemic stroke (relative risk: 2.45) [11]. Berghout et al. also found a correlation between 25(OH)D level and prevalent stroke (adjusted odds ratio (OR): 1.31), but only extremely low 25(OH)D level was related to incident stroke (hazard ratio (HR): 1.25), showing that low 25(OH)D level may not increase the risk of stroke [12]. There is another evidence suggesting no association between 25(OH)D levels and incidence of stroke (HR: 1.00) [13]. In view of the inconsistent results, in this study, we used a pooled cross-sectional data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) (2007-2018) to further analyze the association of serum 25(OH)D level with stroke risk.

2. Methods

2.1. Data Sources. NHANES, a nationally representative survey for noninstitutionalized civilians in the United States, is conducted in two-year cycles, with approximately 10,000 persons in each cycle [14]. Participants aged over 18 years who had serum 25(OH)D level measured during the survey were enrolled in this study. Pregnant women and participants who did not respond to the question on stroke history and had missing information (e.g., age, sex, marital status, family income, educational level, and condition of complications) were excluded from the study.

The data used in this study were accessed from NHANES, a continuous program performed by the National Center for Health Statistics. The approval from the Institutional Review Board of Tianjin Medical University was not required because the data from NHANES were freely available.

The baseline characteristics of participants were collected, including age, gender, body mass index (BMI), race (Mexican Americans, non-Hispanic whites, non-Hispanic blacks, other races), marital status (married, widowed/divorced, unmarried, and living with partner), family income (<20,000$ and ≥20,000$), educational level (<high school, high school, and >high school), dietary intake (dietary fiber, total fat, fruits, vegetables, and vitamins A, B, C, and E), total cholesterol (TC), glycated hemoglobin (GHb), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), C-reactive protein (CRP), and 25(OH)D levels (deficiency: <30 nmol/L, insufficiency: 30-50 nmol/L, normal: 50-125 nmol/L, and adequacy: >125 nmol/L), as well as presence or absence of drinking, emphysema, chronic bronchitis, hypertension, high cholesterol, and diabetes mellitus.

The stroke was determined based on the Medical Condition Questionnaire (MCQ). Question MCQ160f “Has a doctor or other health professional ever told you that you had a stroke?” was asked by interviews. The participants answered “yes” were deemed to have stroke.

Diabetes mellitus was identified through the Diabetes Questionnaire (DIQ). Question DIQ010 is “Other than during pregnancy, have you ever been told by a doctor or health professional that you have diabetes or sugar diabetes?” Participants who answered “yes” were considered as diabetic.

The Blood Pressure & Cholesterol Questionnaire (BPQ) question BPQ080 is “Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that your blood cholesterol level was high?” Participants who answered “yes” were considered as high cholesterol level.

Hypertension was determined according to the question BPQ020, “Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that you had hypertension, also called high blood pressure?” Participants who answered ”yes” were considered with hypertension.

Dietary intake was estimated by two 24-hour dietary recall, a validated Automated Multiple-Pass Method jointly completed by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) and the United States Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) [15]. The specific intake of each nutrient was available in the Dietary Interview-Total Nutrients Intakes. Consumptions of dietary fiber, total fat, fruits, vegetables, vitamin A, vitamin B, vitamin C, and vitamin E were retrieved from the dietary data.

2.2. Measurement of Serum 25(OH)D Level. Serum 25(OH)D level (ng/mL) was thought to be the optimal indicator to assess vitamin D status [16]. In the NHANES (2001-2006), the serum 25(OH)D level in approximately 88% of the adult participants was measured using radioimmunoassay kits (DiaSorin Inc., Stillwater, MN). An independent calibration
was conducted for radioimmunoassay kits against high-performance liquid chromatography-purified 25(OH)D. Since 2007, the serum 25(OH)D level was measured using ultrahigh performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Due to the differences in the results of these two measurement methods, this study only analyzed data from 2007 to 2018. The detailed measurement methods and quality assurance for serum 25(OH)D level could be found in the survey laboratory data [17]. The Institute of Medicine (IOM) and United State Preventive Services Task Force define vitamin D sufficiency as a total 25(OH)D level greater than 50 nmol/L [18, 19]. In this study, serum 25(OH)D level < 30 nmol/L is thought as deficiency, 30-50 nmol/L as insufficiency, 50-125 nmol/L as the normal value, and >125 nmol/L as adequacy.

2.3. Statistical Analysis. The SAS software (version 9.4, SAS Institute Inc., NC, USA) was employed to analyze the data. Normally, distributed data were represented as mean ± standard error (SE) and compared using t test, while non-normal data was presented as median and quartile (M (Q1 and Q3)) for continuous variables and n (%) for categorical variables. In the NHANES 2007–2018 study, exam weight was taken into account.

All included data from 2007 to 2018 were not missing. The covariates with significant difference in the univariate analysis were enrolled into the multivariate logistic regression model to analyze the association between serum 25(OH)D levels and the stroke risk. The difference was significant at P < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Basic Characteristics of Participants. A total of 10,425 participants with serum 25(OH)D data, stroke information, and age ≥ 18 years were retrieved from the NHANES between 2007 and 2018. After excluding 543 participants without dietary intake data and 1,359 participants with other missing information (BMI, marital status, drinking, emphysema, chronic bronchitis, etc.), 8,523 participants were finally eligible for the study. The process of inclusion and exclusion of participants is shown in Figure 1. Of these 8,523 participants, the mean age was 46.96 ± 0.35 years, 4,201 (48.60%) were males, and 4,322 (51.40%) were

| Variables | Description (n = 8,523) |
|-----------|------------------------|
| Stroke, n (%) |                         |
| Yes | 8,213 (97.20) |
| No | 310 (2.80) |

Notes: BMI: body mass index; TC: total cholesterol; GHb: glycated hemoglobin; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; CRP: C-reactive protein; 25(OH)D: 25-hydroxyvitamin D. Values were presented as mean ± standard error (SE) or median and quartile (M (Q1 and Q3)) for continuous variables and n (%) for categorical variables. In the NHANES 2007–2018 study, exam weight was taken into account.

### Table 1: Baseline characteristics of participants after multiple imputation.

| Variables | Description (n = 8,523) |
|-----------|------------------------|
| Age, years, mean ± SE | 46.96 ± 0.35 |
| Gender, n (%) |                         |
| Male | 4,201 (48.60) |
| Female | 4,322 (51.40) |
| BMI, kg/m², mean ± SE | 28.79 ± 0.10 |
| Race, n (%) |                         |
| Mexican Americans | 1,519 (8.31) |
| Other races | 1,199 (9.87) |
| Non-Hispanic whites | 4,294 (71.57) |
| Non-Hispanic blacks | 1,511 (10.25) |
| Marital status, n (%) |                         |
| Married | 4,562 (57.47) |
| Widowed/divorced | 1,913 (23.56) |
| Unmarried | 1,377 (16.93) |
| Living with partner | 671 (7.71) |
| Educational level, n (%) |                         |
| <high school | 2,408 (28.79) |
| High school | 2,010 (23.56) |
| >high school | 4,105 (49.67) |
| Family income, n (%) |                         |
| <20,000$ | 2,100 (15.69) |
| ≥20,000$ | 6,423 (83.04) |
| Drinking, n (%) | 2,337 (23.04) |
| Emphysema, n (%) | 203 (1.91) |
| Chronic bronchitis, n (%) | 474 (5.15) |
| Hypertension, n (%) | 3,022 (35.33) |
| High cholesterol, n (%) | 3,547 (39.33) |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%) | 1,045 (8.63) |
| Dietary intake, mean ± SE |                         |
| Dietary fiber | 16.68 ± 0.28 |
| Total fat | 82.96 ± 0.81 |
| Fruits | 1.00 ± 0.02 |
| Vegetables | 1.58 ± 0.03 |
| Vitamin A | 632.18 ± 10.22 |
| Vitamin B | 2.23 ± 0.02 |
| Vitamin C | 84.52 ± 1.96 |
| Vitamin E | 7.90 ± 0.12 |
| TC, nmol/L, mean ± SE | 196.89 ± 0.71 |
| GHb, nmol/L, mean ± SE | 5.60 ± 0.02 |
| HDL, nmol/L, mean ± SE | 52.72 ± 0.37 |
| CRP, nmol/L, mean ± SE | 0.38 ± 0.01 |
| 25(OH)D, nmol/L, n (%) |                         |
| Deficiency (<30) | 749 (6.24) |
| Insufficiency (30-50) | 2,026 (18.71) |
| Normal (50-125) | 5,585 (72.40) |
| Adequacy (≥125) | 163 (2.65) |
### Table 2: Comparison of the baseline characteristics between stroke and nonstroke groups.

| Variables                          | Nonstroke group (n = 8,213) | Stroke group (n = 310) | Statistic | P       |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Age, years, mean ± SE              | 46.47 ± 0.35               | 63.93 ± 1.28           | $t = -13.62$ | <0.001  |
| Gender, n (%)                      |                            |                        |           |         |
| Male                               | 4045 (48.74)               | 156 (44.01)            |           |         |
| Female                             | 4168 (51.26)               | 154 (55.99)            |           |         |
| BMI, kg/m², mean ± SE              | 28.75 ± 0.10               | 30.16 ± 0.36           | $t = -3.60$ | 0.001   |
| Race, n (%)                        |                            |                        |           |         |
| Mexican Americans                  | 1492 (8.44)                | 27 (3.78)              |           |         |
| Other races                        | 1172 (9.96)                | 27 (6.68)              |           |         |
| Non-Hispanic whites                | 4108 (71.44)               | 186 (76.23)            |           |         |
| Non-Hispanic blacks                | 1441 (10.16)               | 70 (13.31)             |           |         |
| Marital status, n (%)              |                            |                        |           | <0.001  |
| Married                            | 4396 (57.59)               | 166 (53.30)            |           |         |
| Widowed/divorced                   | 1804 (17.48)               | 109 (32.20)            |           |         |
| Unmarried                          | 1353 (17.20)               | 24 (7.71)              |           |         |
| Living with partner                | 660 (7.74)                 | 11 (6.80)              |           |         |
| Educational level, n (%)           |                            |                        |           | <0.001  |
| <high school                       | 2291 (18.13)               | 117 (29.97)            |           |         |
| High school                        | 1931 (23.40)               | 79 (29.04)             |           |         |
| >high school                       | 3991 (58.47)               | 114 (40.99)            |           |         |
| Family income, n (%)               |                            |                        |           | <0.001  |
| <20,000$                           | 1989 (16.68)               | 111 (26.77)            |           |         |
| ≥20,000$                           | 6224 (83.32)               | 199 (73.23)            |           |         |
| Drinking, n (%)                    | 2228 (22.66)               | 109 (36.32)            | $\chi^2 = 25.510$ | <0.001  |
| Emphysema, n (%)                   | 179 (1.75)                 | 24 (7.22)              | $\chi^2 = 42.304$ | <0.001  |
| Chronic bronchitis, n (%)          | 431 (4.79)                 | 43 (17.71)             | $\chi^2 = 61.132$ | <0.001  |
| Hypertension, n (%)                | 2773 (29.23)               | 249 (75.83)            | $\chi^2 = 194.882$ | <0.001  |
| High cholesterol, n (%)            | 3364 (38.86)               | 183 (55.70)            | $\chi^2 = 28.263$ | <0.001  |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%)           | 939 (8.00)                 | 106 (30.64)            | $\chi^2 = 168.476$ | <0.001  |
| Dietary intake, mean ± SE          |                            |                        |           |         |
| Dietary fiber                      | 16.74 ± 0.28               | 14.48 ± 0.71           | $t = 3.36$ | 0.002   |
| Total fat                          | 83.32 ± 0.76               | 70.44 ± 4.55           | $t = 3.04$ | 0.005   |
| Fruits                             | 1.00 ± 0.03                | 0.93 ± 0.08            | $t = 0.92$ | 0.366   |
| Vegetables                         | 1.59 ± 0.03                | 1.38 ± 0.07            | $t = 3.14$ | 0.004   |
| Vitamin A                          | 633.17 ± 10.04             | 597.84 ± 41.66         | $t = 0.89$ | 0.381   |
| Vitamin B                          | 2.24 ± 0.02                | 1.89 ± 0.09            | $t = 4.06$ | <0.001  |
| Vitamin C                          | 84.80 ± 1.97               | 74.84 ± 5.03           | $t = 2.00$ | 0.054   |
| Vitamin E                          | 7.93 ± 0.11                | 6.71 ± 0.41            | $t = 3.28$ | 0.003   |
| TC, nmol/L, mean ± SE              | 197.05 ± 0.72              | 191.52 ± 3.95          | $t = 1.37$ | 0.179   |
| GHb, nmol/L, mean ± SE             | 5.58 ± 0.01                | 6.09 ± 0.08            | $t = -6.67$ | <0.001  |
| HDL, nmol/L, mean ± SE             | 52.81 ± 0.37               | 49.53 ± 1.05           | $t = 2.97$ | 0.006   |
| CRP, nmol/L, mean ± SE             | 0.37 ± 0.01                | 0.67 ± 0.09            | $t = -3.55$ | 0.001   |
females. Among included participants, 1,519 (8.31%) were Mexican Americans, 4,294 (71.57%) were non-Hispanic whites, 1,511 (10.25%) were non-Hispanic blacks, and 1,199 (9.87%) were other races. In addition, there were 749 (6.24%) participants of serum 25(OH)D deficiency, 2,026 (18.71%) participants of serum 25(OH)D insufficiency, 5,585 (72.40%) participants of serum 25(OH)D normal, and 163 (2.65%) participants of serum 25(OH)D adequacy. There were 310 participants of stroke and 8,213 participants of no stroke. More detailed characteristics of participants are shown in Table 1.

### 3.2. Comparison of the Baseline Characteristics between the Stroke and No Stroke Groups

Univariable analyses are shown in Table 2. The results indicated that age ($t = -13.62, P < 0.001$), BMI ($t = -3.60, P < 0.001$), GHb ($t = -6.67, P < 0.001$), CRP ($t = -3.55, P < 0.001$), and the proportion of drinking ($\chi^2 = 25.510, P < 0.001$), emphysema ($\chi^2 = 42.304, P < 0.001$), chronic bronchitis ($\chi^2 = 61.132, P < 0.001$), hypertension ($\chi^2 = 194.882, P < 0.001$), high cholesterol ($\chi^2 = 28.263, P < 0.001$), and diabetes mellitus ($\chi^2 = 168.476, P < 0.001$) of participants in the stroke group were higher than those in the no stroke group. However, participant’s education level ($\chi^2 = 37.712, P < 0.001$), HDL ($t = 2.97, P = 0.006$), serum 25(OH)D ($\chi^2 = 9.357, P = 0.025$), the proportion of family income ($\chi^2 = 14.866, P < 0.001$), intake of dietary fiber ($t = 3.36, P = 0.002$), total fat ($t = 3.04, P = 0.005$), vegetables ($t = 3.14, P = 0.004$), vitamin B ($t = 4.06, P < 0.004$), and vitamin E ($t = 3.28, P = 0.003$) were lower in the stroke group compared with the no stroke group. In addition, there were statistical differences in the race ($\chi^2 = 12.177, P = 0.007$) and marital status ($\chi^2 = 25.660, P < 0.001$) between the two groups. The serum 25(OH)D level distribution of stroke and no stroke groups is shown in Figure 2, and the results indicated that the proportion of patients with serum 25(OH)D deficiency and insufficiency in the stroke group was higher in the stroke group than that in the no stroke group.

### 3.3. Relationship between Serum 25(OH)D Level and Stroke Risk

Multivariate logistic regression analysis results of the association between serum 25(OH)D levels and the stroke risk are summarized in Figure 3. As is shown, in the univariate serum 25(OH)D logistic regression analysis (model 1), the patients with serum 25(OH)D deficiency (OR: 1.993, 95% confidence interval (95% CI): 1.141-3.481, and $P = 0.012$) had an increased risk of stroke in contrast to those with normal 25(OH)D levels. After adjustment for covariates of age and gender (model 2), the risk of stroke was increased by 1.369- and 0.523-fold, respectively, in patients with serum 25(OH)D deficiency (OR: 2.369, 95% CI: 1.404-3.995, and $P < 0.023$) and insufficiency (OR: 1.523, 95% CI: 1.044-2.222, and $P = 0.023$) when compared with those with normal serum 25(OH)D levels. After the adjustment of all the covariates (model 3), such as age, gender, BMI, educational level, hypertension, vitamin E, and CRP levels, serum 25(OH)D deficiency (OR: 1.770, 95% CI: 1.023-3.065, and $P = 0.034$) was still the independent risk factors for stroke.

### 3.4. Further Analysis of the Relationship between Serum 25(OH)D Level and Stroke Risk Based on Race

Further analysis results of the relationship between serum 25(OH)D levels and stroke risk based on race are shown in Table 3. Except for non-Hispanic whites, the association between serum 25(OH)D level and stroke risk was not statistically significant among Mexican Americans, non-Hispanic...
and in myocytes, endothelial cells, vascular smooth muscle cells, risk of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases and in trophic, protecting vascular endothelium, and regulating.

\[ P = 0.034 \]

patients with serum 25(OH)D deficiency (OR: 2.501, 95% CI: 1.908-3.287, and \( P < 0.001 \)) and insufficiency (OR: 1.908-3.287, and \( P = 0.002 \)) had a higher risk of stroke than those with normal 25(OH)D levels. After adjustment for all the covariates, the risk of stroke in participants with normal serum 25(OH)D levels. After adjustment for multiple covariates, our results still showed that serum 25(OH)D deficiency is associated with a higher risk of stroke in non-Hispanic whites. However, vitamin D status is under the influence of various factors including age, living areas, exposure to sunlight, and vitamin D daily dietary intake [24, 25]. After adjustment for multiple covariates, our results still showed that serum 25(OH)D deficiency is related to an increased risk of stroke.

The impact of serum 25(OH)D level-related stroke risk between different races is controversial. The study of Judd et al. indicated that lower 25(OH)D level is a significant risk factor for incident stroke, and no statistically significant difference was observed between blacks and whites [26]. However, Michos et al. found that serum 25(OH)D deficiency was associated with a higher risk of stroke in whites but not in blacks (hazard ratios 2.13 vs. 0.93) [27]. Our results showed that a lower serum 25(OH)D level was related to an increased risk of stroke in non-Hispanic whites. Robinson-Cohen et al. also demonstrated that lower serum 25(OH)D level was related to an increased risk of incident coronary heart disease among participants who were Hispanic [28]. In addition, several studies indicated that both low and high serum 25(OH)D levels have increased the risk of stroke [29, 30]. However, the relationship between high 25(OH)D levels and stroke risk was not observed in this study. The possible explanation was that the sample size of participants with higher high 25(OH)D levels is not large, and no statistically significant results can be obtained.

At present, the effect of 25(OH)D level on the stroke risk can be explained by several mechanisms below. Inappropriate activation of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) may be

| Variables | Model 1 | | Model 2 | | Model 3 | |
|-----------|---------|---|---------|---|---------|---|
| OR (95%CI) | P value | OR (95%CI) | P value | OR (95%CI) | P value |
| Serum 25(OH)D(n mol/L) | | | | | |
| Deficiency (<30) | 1.993 (1.141–3.481) | 0.012 | 2.369 (1.404–3.995) | <0.001 | 1.770 (1.770–3.065) | 0.034 |
| Insufficiency (30–50) | 1.245 (0.842–1.842) | 0.254 | 1.523 (1.004–2.222) | 0.023 | 1.337 (0.918–1.947) | 0.115 |
| Normal (50–125) | Ref | | Ref | | | |
| Adequacy(>125) | 0.971 (0.494–1.908) | 0.928 | 0.875 (0.477–1.606) | 0.655 | 0.995 (0.572–1.733) | 0.987 |

Figure 3: Multivariate logistic regression forest plot of the association between serum 25(OH)D levels and the stroke risk.
25(OH)D, a negative endocrine regulator of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS), may influence the stroke risk through RAS regulation [31, 32]. A previous experiment showed that by regulating cholesterol efflux and macrophage polarization through elevated CYP27A1 activation, vitamin D played a protective role against atherosclerosis in hypercholesterolemic swine [33]. Activated vitamin D may defer atherosclerosis by inhibiting the formation of foam cells and the process of macrophage cholesterol absorption, consequently reducing the risk of developing stroke [34]. There is another study showing that vitamin D deficiency contributes to facilitating secondary hyperparathyroidism, while the increased levels of parathyroid hormone may accelerate the inflammatory response in atherosclerosis [35, 36]. In addition, activated vitamin D plays a crucial role in preventing thrombosis, which may explain why the low vitamin D level is associated with an increased risk of developing stroke [37, 38].

The strength of the present study was that it was a large-scale, population-based study, providing strong evidence for assessing the relationship between vitamin D status and stroke risk. Compared with the single-center studies, our research results may be more generalizable. Furthermore, we conducted a further analysis of the relationship between serum 25(OH)D level and stroke risk based on race. However, there existed some limitations. First, the data in our study were accessed from the NHNES database, which may lack some important variables, such as vitamin D supplementation, exposure to sunlight, living areas, and seasons of vitamin D measurement. Second, the stroke history was confirmed through self-reported data. Despite lack of verification for self-reporting stroke in NHANES, the stroke history from questionnaire was checked. In several studies, the self-reported data from NHANES were used to identify the risk factors for cardiovascular diseases [39–41].

### 5. Conclusions

The results suggested that serum 25(OH)D deficiency (<30 nmol/L) might be related to an increased risk of stroke. In addition, non-Hispanic whites with serum 25(OH)D deficiency (<30 nmol/L) and insufficiency (30-50 nmol/L) were associated with a high risk of stroke than those with normal 25(OH)D levels.
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