INTRODUCTION

Coccolithophores are a highly diverse group of single-celled marine algae belonging to the haptophyte clade (Jordan & Chamberlain, 1997; de Vargas et al., 2007). They evolved over 200 million years ago and have flourished in the world oceans ever since (Bown, 1985; Mai et al., 1997). Their name is derived from the elaborate CaCO₃ platelets, termed coccoliths, that cover the algal cell (Young et al., 1992). The coccoliths are produced intracellularly in a designated compartment termed the coccolith vesicle and are ejected onto the
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cell surface upon completion (Brownlee et al., 2020; Gal et al., 2016; Marsh, 2003; Van Der Wal et al., 1983). Once excysted, the coccoliths adjoin one another to form a continuous shell.

Coccolithophore relics, both mineral and organic, are preserved in sediments and can provide chemical evidence of past environmental conditions (Baumann et al., 2005; Stoll & Ziveri, 2004). Given the long evolutionary history and vast global distribution of the coccolithophore group, these primary producers have left behind one of the most extensive records of marine paleo-proxies, often dominating the bulk CaCO\(_3\) content in marine sediments (Bordiga et al., 2014; Broecker & Clark, 2009). An example for a commonly used haptophyte-derived organic paleo-proxy is the alkenone unsaturation index (\(U^\text{C}_{37}\)), providing information about past sea surface temperatures (SST) (Brassell et al., 1986; Marlowe et al., 1990; Prahl & Wakeham, 1987).

A prevalent inorganic coccolithophore-derived paleo-proxy is the Sr/Ca ratio in the CaCO\(_3\) coccoliths, offering evidence for past productivity and SST. Coccolith Sr/Ca ratio has been studied both in sediments and culture experiments, revealing a connection between algal growth, calcification rates, and Sr/Ca values (Mejía et al., 2018; Müller et al., 2014; Stoll, Klaas, et al., 2002; Stoll, Rosenthal, et al., 2002 Stoll et al., 2007; Stoll & Schrag, 2000, 2001). In laboratory experiments, a strong influence of temperature on Sr/Ca has been observed (Müller et al., 2014; Stoll, Klaas, et al., 2002; Stoll, Rosenthal, et al., 2002), similar to other biogenic CaCO\(_3\) sources (Freitas et al., 2006; Goodkin et al., 2005; Rosenthal et al., 1997).

Accumulating studies indicate that coccolithophore physiology, and consequently coccolithophore remains, are largely influenced by biotic interactions, especially with bacteria (Barak-Gavish et al., 2018; Harvey et al., 2016; Segev, Wyche, et al., 2016; Whalen et al., 2018). To study these influences, we have previously established a model system for the co-cultivation of coccolithophores and bacteria. The algal–bacterial pair, consisting of the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi* and the bacterium *Phaeobacter inhibens*, was chosen according to environmental data indicating that these specific algal and bacterial species co-occur in the marine environment and are likely to interact (Green et al., 2015; Segev, Wyche, et al., 2016).

Laboratory studies revealed that *E. huxleyi* and *P. inhibens* engage in a dynamic interaction, mediated through the exudation of various metabolites into the surrounding environment (Segev, Wyche, et al., 2016). Initially, the interaction is beneficial for both partners; algae exude dissolved organic matter (DOM) essential for bacterial growth. Consequently, bacteria secrete a hormone that stimulates algal growth, resulting in higher final densities of the algal population. As the algal population senesces, bacteria switch from being mutualistic to being pathogenic and kill their algal partners. The bacterial gain from killing the algal host is most likely the release of algal metabolites into the surrounding environment (Segev, Wyche, et al., 2016). Importantly, the bloom-and-bust dynamics observed in laboratory experiments are similar to natural *E. huxleyi* bloom dynamics in the ocean (Behrenfeld & Boss, 2014; Tyrrell & Merico, 2004).

In light of the bacterial impact on algal physiology and the environmental relevance of this microbial interaction, previous studies explored the influence of algal–bacterial interactions on algal relics that serve as paleo-proxies, specifically the alkenone unsaturation index. Culture experiments indicated that algal–bacterial interactions result in a measurable influence on \(U^\text{C}_{37}\), significantly modifying temperature reconstructions (Segev, Castaneda, et al., 2016).

The algal–bacterial relationship involves a physical aspect. In previous reports, bacteria were observed to attach directly onto the algal cells (Barak-Gavish et al., 2018; Segev et al., 2015; Segev, Wyche, et al., 2016). Interestingly, when bacteria were cultivated with a calcifying *E. huxleyi* strain, in which the extent of coccolith coverage, i.e., the number of coccoliths covering each cell, varies within the population, physical attachment of bacteria onto algae was restricted to uncalcified cells exhibiting no coccoliths at all, termed naked cells. Algal cells covered by coccoliths exhibit no attached bacteria (Segev, Wyche, et al., 2016). Despite the natural variability in coccolith coverage, these observations raised the possibility that *P. inhibens* bacteria might influence algal CaCO\(_3\) production or its retainment on the cell surface.

Here, we sought to explore the bacterial influence on coccolithophore CaCO\(_3\) production or cell coverage and derived inorganic remains, namely coccoliths Sr/Ca ratio. Culture experiments were conducted under different temperatures, in which marked changes in algal–bacterial dynamics were evident. Our findings reveal that bacteria do not influence coccoliths production or coverage. Furthermore, our results indicate that coccolith Sr/Ca depends on temperature and algal growth rate, regardless of significant bacterial influences on algal physiology.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Strains and culture conditions

The algal strain in this study was *E. huxleyi* CCMP3266 obtained from the National Center for Marine Algae and Microbiota, Maine, USA. Axenic algal cultures were inoculated with an initial cell concentration of 40 cells ml\(^{-1}\) and cultivated in 1 L glass Erlenmeyer flasks containing 0.5 L L\(^{-1}\) Si growth medium prepared according to Segev, Wyche, et al. (2016). L\(^{-1}\) Si was prepared using 0.2 \(\mu\)m-filtered and autoclaved natural Mediterranean Sea water collected from Michmoret, Israel. Growth medium was filtered again prior to algal inoculation to remove particles that had formed during autoclaving. Flasks were cultivated in separate water baths maintained at 14, 18, and 22°C, under light/dark cycle of 16/8 h with light intensity of 130 \(\mu\)mol photons m\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\).

The bacterial species in this study was, *P. inhibens* DSM17395, obtained from the DSMZ German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures, Braunschweig, Germany. To initiate bacterial cultures, frozen bacterial stocks were plated on 1/2 YTSS agar plates containing 2 gr yeast extract, 1.25 gr tryptone, 20 gr sea salts (Sigma Aldrich), and 16 gr agar (BD biosciences) in 1 L distilled water. Plates were incubated for 24 h at 30°C. A single colony was transferred into liquid medium containing 10 ml of sea water supplemented
with 5.5 mM glucose (Sigma Aldrich), 33 mM Na₂SO₄ (Merck), 5 mM NH₄Cl (Sigma Aldrich), and 2 mM KH₂PO₄ (Carl Roth; Segev, Wyche, et al., 2016). Liquid bacterial cultures were cultivated at 30°C for 24 h, shaking at 130 rpm.

To initiate algal–bacterial co-cultures, after 4 days of cultivation algal cultures were inoculated with bacteria. Day 4 of algal growth, or upon addition of bacteria in co-cultures, is termed day 0 in both axenic cultures and co-cultures in all experiments. The bacterial inoculum was added at a final concentration of roughly 50 colony forming units (CFU) ml⁻¹. Co-cultures were cultivated under the same conditions as their corresponding axenic algal cultures, as specified above.

Cultures intended for coccolith elemental analysis were grown in quadruplicates and were undisturbed until coccoliths were harvested. Cultures intended for algal and bacterial growth rate measurements and coccolith coverage assessment were homogenized by rigorous agitation every three days before a sample was taken for further analysis.

### 2.2 | Algal and bacterial cell enumeration

Algal cell density was measured using triplicate cultures on a Merck CellStream CS-100,496 flow cytometer by plotting chlorophyll autofluorescence (excited at 561 nm, collected at 615–789 nm) against side scattering and quantifying high chlorophyll events. For each sample, 50,000 events were recorded. Bacterial CFU in co-cultures was assessed by plating serial dilutions on 1/2 YTSS plates.

Doubling time of algal populations was calculated using the equation (Widdel, 2007)

\[
\text{Growth rate} = \frac{\ln (N_f) - \ln (N_0)}{t}
\]

where \(N_0\) and \(N_f\) denote the cell densities at the beginning and end of the logarithmic phase, and \(t\) is the phase length (expressed in days).

### 2.3 | Quantifying the portion of calcified algal cells

For assessing the portion of calcified cells in the algal population, 10 µl from duplicate cultures were loaded into a Bright-Line Hemacytometer and counted manually under a Nikon Eclipse Ni microscope equipped with Plan Fluor 40x lens. More than 300 cells were counted for every sample, except for day 3 in which only ~100 cells were counted due to low cell density. Cells were counted as calcified if they exhibited one or more attached coccoliths.

### 2.4 | Light microscopy

Light microscopy images were taken using Nikon Eclipse Ni microscope equipped with Plan Fluor 100x lens and polarizer. To reduce particle movement in the field of view, Liquid samples were loaded onto 1% agar pads prepared on a glass slide and covered with a glass cover slip.

### 2.5 | Scanning electron microscopy

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were taken using ZEISS™ Ultra 55 SEM, with SE2 detector and voltage of 5kV. Samples were coated with iridium.

### 2.6 | Coccolith sample preparation

#### 2.6.1 | Coccolith Sr/Ca analysis

For coccolith purification, each culture was vigorously agitated to detach organic deposits that may have adhered to the glass vessel, and centrifuged into a single pellet consisting all of the organic and inorganic biomass that accumulated during growth. Each pellet was transferred into 2 ml Eppendorf tubes, and supernatant was discarded. Each pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of 100% Percoll (Sigma Aldrich) (Gal et al., 2016) and was homogenized at 30 Hz for 5 min using a RETSCH MM 400 mixer mill.

Homogenized pellets were centrifuged at 11,000 RCF for 1 min. The Percoll separates the organic fraction which floats at the top, from the coccolith fraction that remains at the bottom of the tube. The organic fraction and Percoll were discarded, and resuspension with Percoll was repeated. The coccolith fraction was washed twice using 18.2 MΩ ultrapure water (Merck Milli-Q IQ 7003) buffered to pH = 9 using NH₄OH.

Inspired by Blanco-Ameijeiras et al. (2012), coccoliths were subjected to an oxidizing step for further removal of organic remains, with the following modifications: samples were treated with 1 ml 10% H₂O₂ (Fisher Scientific, trace analysis grade), buffered to pH = 9 with NH₄OH (Sigma Aldrich, trace metal basis), and heated to 80°C for 1 h. The oxidizing procedure was conducted twice, followed by two washes with ultrapure water. Next, coccoliths were treated for removal of possible metal oxides that could have deposited on the coccoliths surface. Following the protocol of Blanco-Ameijeiras et al. (2012), samples were incubated in 1 ml 12% NH₄OH-HCl (Sigma Aldric, 99% pure) and buffered to pH = 9 with NH₄OH at room temperature for 24 h. Samples were washed three times with ultrapure water, lyophilized and kept at room temperature until analyses.

#### 2.6.2 | Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS) measurements

Purified samples were weighed, dissolved in 2% HNO₃ (Sigma Aldrich, trace metal basis), and diluted to final Ca²⁺ concentration of 50 ppm. Elemental analysis was conducted using Agilent 7500cx inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS) with an internal error typically below 5%. Each sample was measured three times and averaged. Prior to the analysis, the ICP-MS was calibrated with a series of multi-element standard solutions (Merck ME VII) and a series of Ca²⁺ standard solutions (SCP Science PlasmaCAL), both diluted in the same matrix as the samples.
To account for precision and possible drift during analysis, a series of control samples was examined at the beginning of the analysis, every 30 samples, and at the end of the analysis. The series of control samples included a blank sample, standard reference samples (USGS SRS T-221, T-229), and several of the calibration standard solutions. To account for possible contamination during coccolith cleaning, several samples of CaCO$_3$ powder (Sigma Aldrich, trace metal basis) were subjected to the entire coccolith cleaning process, and their elemental composition compared to uncleaned CaCO$_3$. Prior to every experiment, all plastic equipment used for coccolith sample preparation and elemental analysis were submerged in 2% HNO$_3$ for 48 h and washed 5 times with ultrapure water. For monitoring elemental ratios and pH in the culture medium, measurements were conducted before culturing and at the end of culturing experiments (Table S2).

### 2.7 | Statistics

Sr/Ca ratios between samples were regarded as different only if the difference was statistically significant, determined by independent two-sample t test, assuming unequal variances, with a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$.

---

### RESULTS

To assess possible bacterial influences on the elemental composition of algal coccoliths, we first assessed the general bacterial impact on algal calcification. As previously reported, in algal–bacterial co-cultures, bacteria appear to be exclusively attached to naked algal cells (Segev, Wyche, et al., 2016) and not to calcified algal cells that are present in the culture (Figure 1a–d). To determine whether bacteria inhibit algal calcification, or whether bacteria attach to pre-existing naked algal cells, we evaluated whether bacteria affect algal calcite production in co-cultures. As can be seen in Figure 1, when both axenic and co-cultures are subjected to centrifugation using a viscous solution that promotes coccolith separation from biomass and accumulation at the bottom of a tube (see sample preparation in Materials and Methods), all cultures exhibit a significant white pellet (Figure 1e). Inspection under a scanning electron microscope (SEM) revealed that the white pellet in all cultures is composed of coccoliths (Figure 1f). Thus, it appears that coccoliths are produced both in axenic and co-cultures, and no major bacterial influence on algal calcification is observed.

Next, we wished to examine whether bacteria influence algal coccolith coverage in the algal population. To this end, we quantified the number of naked and calcified algae in axenic versus

---

**FIGURE 1** Calcification in *Emiliania huxleyi* is not affected by microbial interactions. (a) Bright-field microscopy image of a fully calcified *E. huxleyi* cell from a co-culture. (b) Image of the same calcified cell (from a) under crossed polarizers. Coccoliths are evident covering the algal cell. Scale bar corresponds to 5 $\mu$m. (c) Bright-field microscopy image of a non-calcified (“naked”) *E. huxleyi* cell with attached bacteria in co-culture. Arrow points to bacteria. (d) Image of the same cell (from c), under crossed polarizers showing no coccoliths. Scale bar corresponds to 5 $\mu$m. (e) Co-culture post algal death (left tube) and axenic algal culture (right tube), following centrifugation in a viscous solution that promotes coccolith separation from biomass (see Materials and Methods). Both samples exhibit a distinct white pellet indicative of coccoliths. (f) SEM image of the white pellet from the co-culture sample in (e). As can be seen, the pellet is indeed composed of coccoliths. Scale bar corresponds to 2 $\mu$m.
co-cultures. Our results indicate that algal populations, both in axenic cultures and co-cultures, exhibit a similar proportion of calcified cells at each growth stage (Figure 2). Therefore, it appears that bacteria attach to a pre-existing sub-population of naked algal cells in co-cultures, while coccoliths production continues to occur in the adjacent calcified cells in the algal population. It remains to be determined whether naked algal cells do not produce coccoliths or actually produce them but do not retain them on the cell surface.

Previously it was demonstrated that bacteria have a detectable impact on the physiology of coccolithophores and other microalgae (Amin et al., 2015; Barak-Gavish et al., 2018; Harvey et al., 2016; Segev, Wyche, et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2014; Whalen et al., 2018). Importantly, algal alkenones that serve as a valuable proxy for sea surface temperature (SST) were altered in live algae due to the presence of bacteria (Segev, Castaneda, et al., 2016). Given that algal calcification appears to proceed in co-cultures, the resulting coccoliths and their elemental composition can potentially be influenced by bacteria. To study whether bacteria affect coccolith elemental composition, we analyzed Sr/Ca ratios. Ratios of coccoliths Sr/Ca have been recognized as reliable and sensitive indicators of a range of temperatures and growth rates (Cavaleiro et al., 2019; Mejía et al., 2018; Saavedra-Pellitero et al., 2017; Stoll, Klaas, et al., 2002; Stoll, Rosenthal, et al., 2002; Stoll & Schrag, 2000, 2001; Stoll et al., 2007). From a microbial perspective, growth rates of both algae and bacteria largely depend on temperature. Therefore, we first sought to explore the influence of different temperatures on dynamics in our algal–bacterial co-cultures. To this end, we cultivated cultures at different temperatures and found that the algal–bacterial interaction is highly affected by temperature (Figure 3a–c). Higher temperatures resulted in faster growth rates (roughly 2.2 times faster at 22°C compared to 18°C, and 1.3 times faster at 18°C compared to 14°C. See Table 1), and in turn expedited microbial dynamics and resulted in earlier algal death (Figure 3d). Subsequent analyses of coccolith elemental composition revealed that the Sr/Ca ratio remained largely unaffected by the dynamic microbial interaction (p > .05, two-sample t test). The Sr/Ca ratios in both axenic algal cultures and co-cultures appear to correspond to temperature and growth rate regardless of microbial interactions (Figure 4a). Elemental ratios of Mg/Ca, Mn/Ca, and Ba/Ca were measured as well (Table S1, Figure S1), however, yielded low reproducibility between biological replicates and exhibited no change across temperatures, treatments, and time. Whether these results are due to technical or biological reasons is yet to be determined.

Growth rates and microbial dynamics vary considerably at different physiological stages of the cultures (Figure 3). In the algal exponential phase, the growing algal population supports the growth of the bacterial population. As algae enter stationary phase, growth rate decreases and bacteria kill the algae (Segev, Wyche, et al., 2016). Therefore, we next investigated whether microbial interactions have an effect on coccolith Sr/Ca at different time points of co-culturing. Coccoliths were harvested from two different time points during the cultivation of axenic and co-cultures. The chosen time points represent distinct physiological stages during the algal–bacterial interaction; in the early time point (day 5 at 22°C and day 7 at 18°C) both algae and bacteria in co-cultures are exponentially growing. At the later time point, (day 11 at 22°C and day 14 at 18°C) bacteria kill their algal partners, while algae in the corresponding axenic cultures enter stationary phase. Of note, attempts to sample the first time point at 14°C were unsuccessful due to the very slow growth that resulted in insufficient coccolith yield; therefore, only the later time point was sampled (day 20 at 14°C). Our analyses indicate that the presence of bacteria in co-cultures does not affect coccolith Sr/Ca ratio at different physiological stages (p > .05, two-sample t test) (Figure 4b, c). Even though algal physiology is altered by bacteria during the algal–bacterial interaction (Figure 3), the Sr/Ca ratio is unchanged. These observations reinforce the robustness of Sr/Ca ratio as an indicator of temperature and growth rate, independent of microbial interactions.

4 | DISCUSSION

The biological role of coccoliths, specifically how external CaCO₃ scales benefit microalgae, is largely unknown (Müller, 2019). Here, we find that E. huxleyi strain CCMP3266 exhibits high heterogeneity in coccolith coverage, a phenotype inherent to this algal strain. The fact that bacterial attachment is restricted solely to naked algal cells, points to a possible and novel role of the coccolithophores, similar to other microorganisms, are part of a complex network of chemical interactions with other microbes, namely bacteria (Barak-Gavish et al., 2018; Harvey et al., 2016; Segev, Wyche, et al., 2016; Whalen et al., 2018). These interactions influence diverse aspects of algal physiology, resulting in changes in the composition and structure of different organic algal compounds such as alkenones that are used as paleo- proxies (Fulton et al., 2017; Segev, Castaneda, et al., 2016). Interestingly, viral infection of coccolithophores was also shown to influence algal alkenones (Fulton et al., 2017). To the best of our knowledge, the current study is the first to explore coccolith elemental composition in the context of microbial interactions.

Our results demonstrate no microbial influence on coccolith Sr/Ca ratio, contrary to previous studies that showed a bacterial influence on algal alkenones (Segev, Castaneda, et al., 2016), an established SST proxy (Brassell et al., 1986; Marlowe et al., 1990; Prahl & Wakeham, 1987). To understand the difference in the microbial influence on alkenones versus coccolith Sr/Ca, one should recognize the fundamental difference in the synthesis of the two proxies. Organic molecules, such as algal alkenones, are the product of regulated biosynthetic pathways that dictate the abundance and structure of the resulting compound (Rontani et al., 2006; Zheng et al., 2016). Therefore, a bacterial impact on algal physiology could have a general influence on cellular processes, including those related to alkenone biosynthesis. In contrast, coccolith CaCO₃ crystals are precipitated directly from solution when conditions are favorable (Gal et al., 2016). The final coccolith Sr/Ca ratio is thought to be
controlled solely by thermodynamic and kinetic processes occurring inside the coccolith vesicle (Stoll, Rosenthal, et al., 2002).

We find a significant difference in the coccolith Sr/Ca ratio between cultures under different temperatures (Figure 4a), similar to previous studies (Müller et al., 2014; Stoll, Rosenthal, et al., 2002). Earlier studies with laboratory cultures and field observations have attributed variations in coccolith Sr/Ca to changes in growth rate and temperature (Müller et al., 2014; Stoll, Klaas, et al., 2002; Stoll, Rosenthal, et al., 2002; Stoll et al., 2007). However, it is still debated which of the two factors, growth rate or temperature, has a key control over coccolith Sr/Ca (Stoll, Klaas, et al., 2002). In our experiments, rising temperatures resulted in higher growth rates and elevated coccolith Sr/Ca ratio. It is therefore difficult to detangle, using our experimental system, the influence of each of the two variables on the final observed Sr/Ca.

Growth rates change significantly as cultures age (Figure 3). Laboratory cultures offer a unique opportunity to tightly monitor a synchronized microbial population throughout different growth stages. Interestingly, comparison of the exponential growth phase and the stationary phase in cultures cultivated at 22°C, revealed a measurable difference in coccolith Sr/Ca (p = .001, two-sample t test). Previous studies described a correlation between coccolith Sr/Ca values and sea water Sr/Ca (Langer et al., 2006). In our cultures, the Sr/Ca ratio of the growth medium at the end of culturing increases compared to the initial medium (Table S2), while the coccolith Sr/Ca decreases as growth progresses (Figure 4b). Since no dissolution of coccoliths was detected, the increased Sr/Ca ratio of the medium is most likely the result of reduced Sr, but not Ca, incorporation into the coccoliths. Therefore, it appears that the observed differences in coccolith Sr/Ca between different growth phases are not the result of changing water chemistry.

Slight variability might be seen between results obtained from independent experiments (such as the data for 18°C in Figure 4a compared with Figure 4c). However, results obtained within a given
experiment are highly reproducible (such as data shown at Figure 4a at various temperatures). Of note, in our experimental system, coccoliths that are collected at each time point represent the cumulative sum of coccoliths that were produced during the cultivation period. It is tempting to hypothesize whether an even larger difference in coccolith Sr/Ca could be detected between growth stages by collecting only newly produced coccoliths at each time point. Needless to say, that in natural microbial populations at sea, where algal communities are comprised of cells at different ages, such growth-phase-specific impacts, are difficult to detect. Therefore, our experimental approach provides a good representation of natural coccolith-derived paleo-proxies, where different growth phases are presented collectively in sedimentary layers.

To conclude, it is becoming increasingly evident that coccolithophores have co-evolved with various microorganisms and that algal-bacterial interactions have been a driving force in the evolution of the interacting partners. The extensive fossil record produced by coccolithophores throughout the history of our planet was produced while these algae were engaging in microbial interactions.

Our study highlights the key difference between organic and inorganic coccolithophore-derived paleo-proxies. The organic alkenone-based $^{37}$C paleo-proxy is prone to biological influences during its production; the presence or absence of bacteria during cultivation of *E. huxleyi* has a measurable influence on algal alkenone unsaturation (Segev, Castaneda, et al., 2016). This in turn translates into differences in temperature reconstructions according to alkenone unsaturation (Brassell et al., 1986; Marlowe et al., 1990; Prahl & Wakeham, 1987). In contrary, the inorganic paleo-proxy Sr/Ca is mainly under thermodynamic and kinetic controls and is thus less sensitive to influences originating from microbial interactions. Whether additional organic paleo-proxies are influenced by microbial interactions, while inorganic ones remain unaltered, remains to be explored.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We are thankful to Jonathan Erez for valuable discussions and help during early stages of this research. We thank Itay Halevy and Assaf Gal for their valuable comments on the manuscript. We thank Tzachi Jacobson, Nir Gallil, Ruth Yam, and Aldo Shemesh for
valuable technical advice and assistance. We thank Ophir Tirosch and Yigal Erel for their kind assistance with ICP-MS analyses. Finally, we are thankful to all members of the Segev lab for insightful discussions. We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Israeli Science Foundation (ISF 947/18), the Peter and Patricia Gruber Foundation, the Minerva Foundation with funding from the Federal German Ministry for Education and Research, and the Weizmann Sustainability and Energy Research Initiative (SAERI).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST
Authors declare no conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
All data are provided in the manuscript and Appendix S1.

ORCID
Einat Segev https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2266-1219

REFERENCES
Amin, S. A., Hmelo, L. R., van Tol, H. M., Durham, B. P., Carlson, L. T., Heal, K. R., Morales, R. L., Berthiaume, C. T., Parker, M. S., Djunaedi, B., Ingalls, A. E., Parsek, M. R., Moran, M. A., & Armburst, E. V. (2015). Interaction and signalling between a cosmopolitan phytoplankton and associated bacteria. *Nature*, 522(7554), 98–101. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14488

Barak-Gavish, N., Frada, M. J., Ku, C., Lee, P. A., DiTullio, G. R., Malitsky, S., Aharoni, A., Green, S. J., Rotkopf, R., & Kartvelishvily, E. (2018). Bacterial virulence against an oceanic bloom-forming phytoplankter is mediated by algal DMSP. *Science Advances*, 4(10), eaau5716.

Baumann, K.-H., Andruleit, H., Böckel, B., Geisen, M., & Kinkel, H. (2005). Environmental and biological controls on elemental (Mg/Ca, Sr/Ca and Mn/Ca) ratios in shells of the king scallop Pecten maximus. *Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta*, 70(20), 5119–5133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2006.07.029

Fulton, J. M., Kendrick, B. J., DiTullio, G. R., & Van Mooy, B. A. (2017). Alkenone unsaturation during virus infection of *Emiliania huxleyi*. *Organic Geochemistry*, 111, 82–85.

Gal, A., Wirth, R., Kopka, J., Fratzl, P., Faivre, D., & Scheffel, A. (2016). Macromolecular recognition directs calcium ions to coccolith mineralization sites. *Science*, 353(6299), 590–593. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf7889

Goodkin, N. F., Hughen, K. A., Cohen, A. L., & Smith, S. R. (2005). Record of Little Ice Age sea surface temperatures at Bermuda using a growth-dependent calibration of coral Sr/Ca. *Paleoceanography*, 20(4). https://doi.org/10.1029/2005PA001140

Green, D. H., Echavarrí-Bravo, V., Brennan, D., & Hart, M. C. (2015). *Bacterial diversity associated with the coccolithophorid alga Emiliania huxleyi and Coccolithus pelagicus f. braarudii*. Biomed Research International.

Harvey, E. L., Deering, R. W., Rowley, D. C., El Gamal, A., Schorn, M., Moore, B. S., Johnson, M. D., Mincer, T. J., & Whalen, K. E. (2016). A bacterial quorum-sensing precursor induces mortality in the Marine Coccolithophore, *Emiliania huxleyi*. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00059

Jordan, R., & Chamberlain, A. (1997). Biodiversity among haptophyte algae. *Biodiversity & Conservation*, 6(1), 131–152.

Langer, G., Gussone, N., Nehrke, G., Riebesell, U., Eisenhauer, A., Kuhnert, M., Rost, B., Trimborn, S., & Thoms, S. (2006). Coccolith strontium to calcium ratios in *Emiliania huxleyi*: The dependence on seawater strontium and calcium concentrations. *Limnology and Oceangraphy*, 51(1), 310–320. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2006.51.1.0310

Mai, H., van Salis Perch-Nielsen, K., Willems, H., & Romein, T. (1997). Fossil coccospheres from the K/T boundary section from Geulhemmerberg, The Netherlands. *Micropaleontology*, 43(3), 281–302. https://doi.org/10.2307/1485829

Marlowe, I. T., Brassell, S. C., Eglington, G., & Green, J. C. (1990). Long-chain alkenones and alkyl alkenoates and the fossil coccolith record of marine sediments. *Chemical Geology*, 88(3–4), 349–375.

Marsh, M. E. (2003). Regulation of CaCO₃ formation in coccolithophores. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 136(4), 743–754. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8977(03)00150-5

Mejia, L. M., Paytan, A., Eisenhauer, A., Böhm, F., Kolevica, A., Bolton, C., Méndez-Vicente, A., Abrevaya, L., Isensee, K., & Stoll, H. (2018). Controls over 644/40Ca and Sr/Ca variations in coccoliths: New perspectives from laboratory cultures and cellular models. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 481, 48–60.

Müller, M. N. (2019). On the genesis and function of coccolithophore calcification. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 6, 49.

Müller, M. N., Lebrato, M., Riebesell, U., Barcelos, E., Ramos, K.-G., Schulz, S. B., Ameijeiras, S., Sett, A. E., & Stoll, H. M. (2014). Influence of temperature and CO2 on the strontium and magnesium composition of coccolithophore calcite. *Biogeosciences*.

Pralh, F. G., & Wakeham, S. G. (1987). Calibration of unsaturation patterns in long-chain ketone compositions for palaeotemperature assessment. *Nature*, 330(6), 367–369. https://doi.org/10.1038/330367a0

Rontani, J. F., Pralh, F. G., & Volkman, J. K. (2006). Re-examination of the double bond positions in alkenones and derivatives: Biosynthetic
implications. *Journal of Phycology*, 42(4), 800–813. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2006.00251.x

Rosenthal, Y., Boyle, E. A., & Slowey, N. (1997). Temperature control on the incorporation of magnesium, strontium, fluorine, and cadmium into benthic foraminiferal shells from Little Bahama Bank: Prospects for thermocline paleoceanography. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 1, 1–28.

Stoll, H. M., & Rosenthal, Y. (2002). Climate proxies from Sr/Ca of coccolith calcite: calibrations from continuous culture of *Emiliania huxleyi*. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 3(4). https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GC000015

Stoll, H. M., & Schrag, D. P. (2000). Coccolith Sr/Ca as a new indicator of coccolithophorid calcification and growth rate. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 64(6), 927–936. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(00)00836-5

Stoll, H. M., & Schrag, D. P. (2001). Sr/Ca variations in Cretaceous carbonates: relation to productivity and sea level changes. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 168(3–4), 311–336.

Stoll, H. M., & Ziveri, P. (2004). *Coccolithophorid-based geochemical paleoproxies*. *Coccolithophores* (pp. 529–562). Springer.

Stoll, H. M., Ziveri, P., Shimizu, N., Conte, M., & Theroux, S. (2007). Relationship between coccolith Sr/Ca ratios and coccolithophore production and export in the Arabian Sea and Sargasso Sea. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 54(5–7), 581–600.

Tyrrell, T., & Merico, A. (2004). *Emiliania huxleyi*: bloom observations and the conditions that induce them. In H. R. Thierstein, & J. R. Young (Eds.), *Coccolithophores: from Molecular Processes to Global Impact* (pp. 75–97). Berlin: Springer.

Van Der Wal, P., De Jong, E., Westbrook, P., De Bruijn, W., & Mulder-Stapel, A. (1983). Polysaccharide localization, coccolith formation, and Golgi dynamics in the coccolithophorid *Hymenomonas carterae*. *Journal of Ultrastructure Research*, 85(2), 139–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5320(83)90103-X

Wang, H., Tomasz, J., Jarek, M., & Wagner-Dobler, I. (2014). A dual-species co-cultivation system to study the interactions between Roseobacters and dinoflagellates. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 5. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00311

Whalen, K. E., Kirby, C., Nicholson, R. M., O’Reilly, M., Moore, B. S., & Harvey, E. L. (2018). The chemical cue tetrabromopyrrole induces rapid cellular stress and mortality in phytoplankton. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-33945-3

Widdel, F. (2007). Theory and measurement of bacterial growth. *Di Dalam Grundpraktikum Mikrobiologie*, 4, 1–11.

Young, J. R., Didymus, J. M., Brown, P. R., Prins, B., & Mann, S. (1992). Crystal assembly and phylogenetic evolution in heterococcoliths. *Nature*, 356(6369), 516–518. https://doi.org/10.1038/356516a0

Zheng, Y., Dillon, J. T., Zhang, Y., & Huang, Y. (2016). Discovery of alkenones with variable methylene-interrupted double bonds: Implications for the biosynthetic pathway. *Journal of Phycology*, 52(6), 1037–1050. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpy.12461

**SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher’s website.

How to cite this article: Eliason, O., & Segev, E. (2022). Coccolith Sr/Ca is a robust temperature and growth rate indicator that withstands dynamic microbial interactions. *Geobiology*, 20, 435–443. https://doi.org/10.1002/gbi.12487