Size Effect of Layered Double Hydroxide Platelets on the Crystallization Behavior of Isotactic Polypropylene
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ABSTRACT: Layered double hydroxide (LDH) platelets with nanosized and microsized level were synthesized and used as fillers in an isotactic polypropylene (PP) matrix. The nucleation and crystallization behavior of PP/LDH composites (denoted as 1-PPLx and 2-PPLx for composites containing nanosized and microsized LDH, respectively; x represents the mass percentage of LDH) was investigated by differential scanning calorimetry and polarized optical microscopy techniques. It is found that the crystallization temperature of PP/LDH composites is largely enhanced and the half crystallization time is reduced remarkably relative to pure PP, especially for 2-PPLx composites. The 2-PPLx composite exhibits stronger heterogeneous nucleating ability and faster crystallization rate than 1-PPLx samples with the same LDH loading. In addition, the crystallized PP/LDH composites possess significantly enhanced thermal stability, gas barrier, and flame-retardant properties relative to neat PP, which would show a broad application prospect in engineering plastics and packing industry.

1. INTRODUCTION

Polymer-based composites filled with inorganic compounds have been regarded as one of the most promising materials because the properties of polymers could be improved significantly with the incorporation of inorganic fillers. Among the most versatile polymers, polypropylene (PP) is extensively used in industries because of its nontoxicity, corrosion resistance, and good mechanical properties. However, the semicrystalline feature (crystallinity < 60%) of PP normally induces low transparency, long process duration, and poor impact performance, which restricts its application as engineering plastics and transparent packing materials. A large number of inorganic compounds, such as calcium carbonate nanoparticles, carbon nanotubes/fibers, and metal oxides (e.g., TiO₂, SiO₂, and ZnO), have been incorporated into the PP matrix as fillers for better mechanical properties or new functionalities. Recently, lamellar fillers have attracted great interest for preparing PP-based nanocomposites with various unique properties, such as gas barriers and flame-retardant properties.

Layered double hydroxide (LDH) is a class of lamellar compounds made up of positively charged brucite-like layers and interlayer charge-compensating anions. The flexibility in tuning the composition of both host layers and interlayer anions endows LDH materials with various application prospects in catalysts, biomaterials, and functional additives. In particular, LDH is considered as a new emerging class of nanofillers for the preparation of multifunctional polymer/LDH nanocomposites. The addition of LDH not only improves the mechanical properties but also endows the polymer/LDH nanocomposites with better properties. For instance, Wang and co-workers developed a solution blending method to prepare highly dispersed polymer/LDH composites, which exhibit enhanced flame-retardant property and good tunability in rheological behavior. Yan et al. used LDH as a UV-blocking material for improving the thermal stability and photostability of the composites.

For PP/LDH composites, it has been revealed that the incorporation of LDH not only reinforces the physicochemical properties of the composite but also alters the crystallization behavior of PP. It is well-known that the properties of semicrystalline polymers are correlated with their crystalline structure which in turn optimizes their physical properties and processing conditions. The fillers can change the crystallization manner and can tune the structural/morphological characteristics of the semicrystalline polymers. Therefore, it is of great importance to investigate the crystallization behavior of polymer/filler composites. Although great progress has been achieved on the development of PP/LDH nanocomposites, the crystallization behavior and related crystallization mechanism of the nanocomposites have been rarely reported.
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heterogeneous nucleation and crystallization rate of PP were promoted by LDH. Nagendra et al.29 found that LDH treated by aqueous miscible organic solvent22 exerts remarkable influence on crystallization kinetics of PP after sonication.

In this work, LDH platelets with different particle sizes were synthesized and applied as inorganic fillers to prepare PP/LDH composites. The crystallization behavior of PP with the assistance of LDH platelets was investigated by diﬀerential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and polarized optical microscopy (POM) techniques. A heterogeneous nucleation mechanism is proposed for the PP/LDH composites followed by a three-dimensional (3D) growth of spherocrystals. It is demonstrated that the LDH filler not only accelerated the crystallization rate of PP (especially for microsized LDH) but also enhanced the comprehensive properties of PP/LDH composites.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The X-ray diﬀraction (XRD) patterns (Figure 1a,b) display a well-deﬁned and high-purity LDH phase, with an interlayer spacing of 0.75 nm, corresponding to carbonate LDH. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images (Figure 1c,d) show the individual LDH platelets with particle sizes of 200–300 nm and 2–3 μm for the products prepared by the hydrothermal and homogeneous co-precipitation method, respectively. The average diameter is 280 nm and 2.5 μm, revealed by laser grain-size analysis (insets of Figure 1c,d).

The MgAl LDHs prepared below were incorporated with PP using the solution blending method to prepare PP/LDH composites. The composites involving smaller and larger LDH are denoted as 1-PPLx and 2-PPLx, respectively, in which x represents the weight percent of LDH in the composites. The dispersion of an LDH ﬁller in PP/LDH composites (LDH loading = 5%) was observed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images (Figure 2), which show that both nanosized and microsized LDH platelets are homogeneously distributed in the PP matrix.

DSC was carried out to explore the crystallization behavior of PP/LDH composites. PP and PP/LDH were ﬁrst heated from room temperature to 200 °C and held for 3 min to erase the thermal history, then cooled to room temperature at a rate of 10 °C/min to measure the crystallization temperature of pure PP (Tc,p) and PP/LDH composites (Tc,c) (Figure 3), and ﬁnally reheated to 200 °C at a rate of 10 °C/min to record the melting temperature (Tm) (Figure S1, Supporting Information). It is observed that the crystallization peak temperature of pure PP is located at 112.8 °C, whereas it is enhanced for PP/LDH composites (summarized in Table 1), demonstrating that both LDHs have the eﬀect on inducing PP crystallization. Moreover, the Tc,c rises with increasing LDH content, which is indicative of a heterogeneous nucleation mechanism with the presence of LDH. Interestingly, 2-PPLx presents a higher crystallization temperature at equal loading of LDH compared with 1-PPLx.

The diﬀerence in crystallization peak temperature between pure PP and PP/ﬁller composites (ΔTc = Tc,c − Tc,p) is an important parameter to evaluate the nucleation activity of the nanofiller. It should be noted that the ΔTc for 2-PPLx composite is among the highest level (summarized in Table S1, Supporting Information), compared with previously reported inorganic nanofillers, illustrating an excellent nucleation activity for microsized LDH. For all the PPLx composites, only one peak is observed at ∼164 °C (melting temperature, Tm) during the melting process, ascribed to the formation of monoclinic α-form PP. Furthermore, the XRD patterns of PP/LDH composites (Figure 4) show a diﬀraction superposition of LDH and α-PP, where the reﬂections at 11.8° and 23.7° correspond to the (003) and (006) plane of LDH, respectively, and the other diﬀraction peaks at 14.2°, 17.0°, 18.7°, 21.3°, and 22.1° are the reﬂections corresponding to the (110), (040), (130), (111), and (041) crystalline planes of the monoclinic α form of PP, respectively. No other form of PP was induced during the isothermal crystallization process.

To further understand the crystallization behavior of PPLx, the isothermal crystallization of all samples at 135 °C was investigated. A broad crystallization peak of pure PP is...
presented from ∼3 to ∼40 min (black line in Figure 5a,b). Upon incorporation with LDH, the peak position undergoes a negative shift accompanied with a narrower peak width as the LDH loading increases, which indicates that the presence of LDH improves the crystallization rate of PP. The relative crystallinity (\(X_t\)) was obtained by the integrals at different times (\(t\)) divided by the total integral of heat flow (Figure 5c,d). The half crystallization time (\(t_{1/2}\)), defined as the time when \(X_t\) reaches 50%, is a general parameter to inspect the crystallization rate. The \(t_{1/2}\) of PP/LDH composites shows a decrease with the increment of LDH loading for both 1-PPL\(_x\) and 2-PPL\(_x\) composites (Table 2). In addition, \(t_{1/2}\) of 2-PPL\(_x\) is much smaller than that of 1-PPL\(_x\) at equal LDH loading, indicative of a higher crystallization rate for 2-PPL\(_x\) composites.

The following Avrami equation was used to quantitatively elaborate the relationship between LDH content and crystallization kinetics

\[ 1 - X_t = \exp(-kt^n) \]  

where \(X_t\) is the relative crystallinity at time \(t\); \(n\) is the Avrami exponent relating to the nucleation mechanism and the crystal growth geometry; and \(k\) is the crystallization rate constant including both nucleation and growth. In general, the Avrami equation is transformed into a double-logarithmic equation form as follows

\[ \ln[-\ln(1 - X_t)] = n \ln t + \ln k \]  

\[ G = G_0 \exp\left(-\frac{U^*}{R(T - T_\infty)}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{K_s}{T_0 \Delta T f}\right) \]  

where \(G_0\) is a pre-exponential factor, which is independent of temperature; \(U^*\) is the activation energy needed for the chain movement; \(T_\infty\) stands for the temperature at which polymer chains are motionless (\(T_\infty = T_g - 30 \text{ K}\), \(T_g\) is the glass transition temperature); \(R\) is the universal gas constant; \(\Delta T\) is the undercooling temperature (\(\Delta T = T_m^0 - T_c\)) \(T_m^0\) is the equilibrium melting temperature); and \(f\) is the correction factor, \(f = 2T_\infty/(T_m^0 + T_c)\), in consideration of the fact that the equilibrium melting enthalpy (\(\Delta H_m^0\)) varies with temperature.

**Table 1. Crystallization Peak Temperature of Pure PP (\(T_{c,p}\)) and PP/LDH Composites (\(T_{c,c}\)) and Melting Temperature (\(T_m\)) of PP and PP/LDH Composites**

| temperature/°C | PP   | 1-PPL1 | 2-PPL1 | 1-PPL5 | 2-PPL5 | 1-PPL10 | 2-PPL10 |
|----------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| \(T_{c,p}\)    | 112.8|        |        |        |        |         |         |
| \(T_{c,c}\)    | 118.0| 123.4  | 121.3  | 124.9  | 122.7  | 126.5   |
| \(T_m\)        | 161.7| 163.7  | 164.6  | 163.9  | 164.7  | 164.0   | 164.9   |

**Figure 3.** DSC non-isothermal crystallization curves for PP and PP/LDH composites containing (a) nanosized LDH and (b) microsized LDH with a cooling rate of 10 °C/min.

**Figure 4.** XRD patterns of PP and PP/LDH composites containing (a) nanosized LDH and (b) microsized LDH. Green star represents the diffraction peaks of LDH phase.
For a heterogeneous nucleation, the nucleation constant $K_g$ can be expressed as follows:

$$\sigma = \frac{\Delta H_m^0}{2k_B^0}$$

where $b_0$ is the distance between two adjacent fold planes; $\sigma$ and $\sigma_e$ are the lateral and fold surface free energies, respectively; and $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant ($k_B = 1.38 \times 10^{-23} \text{JK}^{-1}$).

The value of $G$ was substituted by $1/t_{1/2}$. Figure S2 (Supporting Information) demonstrates the straight lines based on the plot of $\ln G + U^*/R(T_c - T_\infty)$ versus $1/T_c \Delta T f$. The value of $K_g$ can be obtained from the slope of the straight lines (summarized in Table 4).

The fold surface free energy ($\sigma_e$) is an important parameter to evaluate the nucleating effect of a nano-filler, that is, a nano-filler with high nucleating ability can reduce $\sigma_e$. The $\sigma_e$ of PP, 1-PPL5, and 2-PPL5 was calculated (through eq 4) to be 0.198, 0.177, and 0.159 J m$^{-2}$ (Table 4), respectively.
accordance with the superior ability of microsized LDH toward PP nucleation.

The free energy ($\Delta G^*$) necessary for the formation of a nucleus with critical size was calculated by the following equation

$$\sigma^* = \frac{4h_0\sigma T_m^0}{\Delta H_m^0}\Delta T$$

As shown in Figure 6b, for these samples, $\Delta G^*$ increases as the temperature rises, and the incorporation of LDH decreases the energy barrier for the nucleation of PP/LDH composites. Similar result was also observed in other PP/inorganic filler systems (e.g., MoS$_2$/PP composite). Significantly, the $\Delta G^*$ of 2-PPL5 is lower than that of 1-PPL5 at the same crystallization temperature. This result indicates that LDH reduces the free energy of nucleation and the microsized LDH shows a better performance. Therefore, the overall crystallization rate is improved markedly by LDH, especially for microsized LDH.

It is well-known that the crystallization morphology of PP is greatly affected by the fillers. The POM images (Figure 7) display common spherulitic structure with well-defined boundaries (spherulite size: $\sim$70 $\mu$m) for pristine PP after isothermal crystallization. However, the spherulitic structure of PP/LDH composites is not as perfect as neat PP with much smaller spherulite size, which is attributed to the enhanced crystallization rate. For 1-PPLx composites, the samples with 1 and 5% LDH loading still present spherulitic structure with smaller size, whereas no defined spherulites are discovered in the field of vision with a further increase of LDH loading. The 2-PPLx composites show a similar variation in the crystal structure, but only 2-PPL1 sample maintains perfect spherulites. No defined spherulite is observed for 2-PPL5 and 2-PPL10 composites.

The variety of crystallization morphology of 1-PPL5 and 2-PPL5 with different crystallization times was further recorded by in situ POM. For 1-PPL5 composite, only a few small crystals appear randomly at 5 min (Figure 8a). The crystals grow gradually in size, form into spherulites with clear boundaries (Figure 8b–d), and then terminate at 30 min as a result of the spherulite collision. In the case of 2-PPL5 composite, the density of nuclei is much higher than that of 1-PPL5; numerous tiny crystals emerge at 2.5 min (Figure 8e). The crystals keep growing into a bigger imperfect spherulite, which is accomplished within 15 min approximately (Figure 8f–h). It is thus concluded that the presence of LDH particles provides heterogeneous nuclei for PP crystallization, leading to the increase of spherulite density and decrease of spherulite size. In comparison with nanosized LDH, the microsized LDH induces more nuclei in the PP matrix and thus exhibits a stronger heterogeneous nucleating ability. The precise epitaxial mechanism of PP on LDH is still under working.

The size of LDH not only affects the heterogeneous nucleating ability but also impacts the thermal conductive property of the composites, which consequently plays a key role.

---

**Table 4. Kinetic Parameter ($K_g$) and Fold Surface Free Energy ($\sigma_e$) of PP, 1-PPL5, and 2-PPL5**

| Parameter | PP   | 1-PPL5 | 2-PPL5 |
|-----------|------|--------|--------|
| $K_g$ ($10^5$ K$^2$) | 9.94 | 8.86   | 7.98   |
| $\sigma_e$ (J m$^{-2}$) | 0.198 | 0.177  | 0.159  |

---

Figure 6. Crystallization kinetics of PP, 1-PPL5, and 2-PPL5 at different temperatures: (a) plots of $1/t_{1/2}$ vs temperature and (b) plots of the free energy of nucleation ($\Delta G^*$) vs crystallization temperature.

Figure 7. POM images of PP and PP/LDH composites crystallized isothermally at 135 °C: (a) PP, (b) 1-PPL1, (c) 1-PPL5, (d) 1-PPL10, (e) 2-PPL1, (f) 2-PPL5, and (g) 2-PPL10.
on the crystallization behavior of polymer/filler composites. The thermal diffusivity and specific heat capacity of two kinds of LDH were measured by a laser flash thermal conductivity analyzer and DSC. The thermal conductivity (λ) is calculated by the equation $\lambda = C_p \times \rho \times a$, where $C_p$ is the specific heat capacity; $\rho$ is the density of circular plate samples; and $a$ is the thermal diffusivity. As shown in Table 5, the thermal conductivity of microsized LDH is 1.39 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, which is much larger than that of nanosized LDH (0.85 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$). The higher thermal conductivity of microsized LDH enables the temperature of the PP/microsized LDH composite to drop faster, when cooling from the melting temperature to the crystallization temperature. In case the temperature at the LDH/PP interface is lower than the critical condition, the nucleation will occur first on the LDH surface (as shown in Scheme 1), and then the crystals grow gradually and form into spherulites. Therefore, the PP composites filled by microsized LDH show a faster crystallization rate than the PP/nanosized LDH composites.

The influence of LDH on the thermal stability of PP/LDH composites was investigated using thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) (Figure 9). All of these PP/LDH composites display enhanced thermal stability than pristine PP judged from the 50% weight loss temperature ($T_{0.5}$) (summarized in Table 6). Interestingly, 1-PPLx represents better thermal stability compared with 2-PPLx with equal loading of LDH.

The flammability study (see details in the Supporting Information, Figure S3 and Table S2) reveals that nanosized LDH has a better effect on the flame retardancy of PP/LDH composites. The superior thermal stability and flame-retardant property of 1-PPLx are ascribed to the lower thermal conductivity of nanosized LDH, which hinders the heat transport throughout the composite. In addition, the gas permeability property of PP/LDH composites was also studied (Figure S4, Supporting Information); an inhibited oxygen transmission rate of PP/LDH composites was found compared with neat PP, and 2-PPLx exhibits superior gas barrier behavior than 1-PPLx because of the larger LDH platelet size and higher spherulite density.

### 3. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, nanosized LDH and microsized LDH were incorporated with isotactic PP by a solution blending method. The nucleation and crystallization behavior of PP/LDH composites with various LDH loadings was investigated by DSC and POM techniques. As the LDH loading increases, the spherulite density of $\alpha$-PP becomes larger and the spherulite size is significantly reduced. A particle-size-dependent crystallization property is observed for PP/LDH composites: the microsized LDH provides more nuclei and shows stronger heterogeneous nucleation ability for PP crystallization, resulting in a faster crystallization rate. Several physical properties, including thermal stability, gas barrier property, and flame retardancy, are improved greatly after incorporation of the LDH filler. The crystallized PP/LDH composites have broad applications in building materials, medical devices, and packaging products.

### 4. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

#### 4.1. Reagents and Materials.

The isotactic PP with melt flow index of 2.2 was purchased from Shanghai Aladdin Reagent Co. Ltd. Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O, Al(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O, NaOH,

---

**Table 5. Thermal Diffusivity ($a$), Specific Heat Capacity ($C_p$), and Thermal Conductivity ($\lambda$) for Nanosized and Microsized LDH**

| Parameter | Nanosized LDH | Microsized LDH |
|-----------|---------------|----------------|
| $a$ (mm$^2$ s$^{-1}$) | 0.30 | 0.22 |
| $C_p$ (J g$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$) | 1.99 | 4.65 |
| $\lambda$ (W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$) | 0.85 | 1.39 |

**Scheme 1. Schematic Diagram of the Nucleation in (a) 1-PPLx and (b) 2-PPLx Composites**

---

![Figure 8. In situ POM images of (a–d) 1-PPL5 and (e–h) 2-PPL5 composites at various crystallization times.](image-url)
Mg(NO₃)₂ coprecipitation method using urea as the alkali resource. Autoclave and placed into an oven at 150 °C for 24 h and poured into 50 mL of ethanol. Finally, the suspension was transferred into an autoclave and placed into an oven at 150 °C for 24 h.

The precipitation was continuously stirred for 24 h and poured into 50 mL of ethanol. The mixed solution was dissolved, another 25 mL of xylene containing diacetone alcohol to remove the residual xylene. Finally, the product was washed three times using ethanol and then washed with centrifuge (4000 rpm). Subsequently, the LDH product was filtered and washed thoroughly with ethanol to remove the residual xylene. Finally, the product was dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C for 24 h.

### 4.2. Preparation of MgAl LDHs with Different Particle Sizes.

The microsized LDH was prepared by a homogeneous coprecipitation method using urea as the alkali resource. Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, Al(NO₃)₃·9H₂O, and urea were dissolved in deionized water with concentrations of 0.08, 0.04, and 0.48 mol/L, respectively. The mixture was aged in a three-neck flask equipped with a reflux condenser at 100 °C for 24 h. After PP was completely dissolved, another 25 mL of xylene containing different weight percent of MgAl LDH was added. The mixed solution was continuously stirred for 24 h and poured into 50 mL of ethanol. The precipitation was filtered and washed thoroughly with ethanol to remove the residual xylene. Finally, the product was dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C for 24 h.

|  | 1-PPL1 | 2-PPL1 | 1-PPL5 | 2-PPL5 | 1-PPL10 | 2-PPL10 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| T₀.5/°C | 345 | 398 | 372 | 396 | 377 | 390 | 389 |

#### 4.3. Preparation of PP/LDH Composites.

The precipitation was continuously stirred for 24 h and poured into 50 mL of ethanol. The mixed solution was dissolved, another 25 mL of xylene containing different weight percent of MgAl LDH was added. The mixed solution was continuously stirred for 24 h and poured into 50 mL of ethanol. The precipitation was filtered and washed thoroughly with ethanol to remove the residual xylene. Finally, the product was dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C for 24 h.

#### Characterization techniques; the melting curves; the plots of ln G + U/R(Tf − Tw) versus 1/Tf,ΔTf; the plots of HRR versus temperature; oxygen transmission rate; comparison of the crystallization peak temperature between PP/LDH (this work) composites and previous report; and heat release capacity (HRC), peak of heat release rate (PHRR), and total heat release (THR) (PDF)
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