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Abstract: Diabetes is considered as one of the most chronic disease which has serious impact on human health and leading cause of mortality worldwide. The early prediction of diabetes can help clinicians to provide a better diagnosis to the patients. Recently, computed aided diagnosis systems have gained attention due to significant growth in data mining, and machine learning. Several approaches are present based on the machine learning techniques but due to poor classification performance and computational complexity, it becomes difficult to utilize for real-time applications. Ensemble classification approaches have reported a noteworthy improvement in diabetes classification but desired accuracy is still a challenging task. Hence, in this work we introduce a combined hybrid approach called as ENN-Ensemble based neural network approach for diabetes classification. In this approach, a feature selection process is presented using neighboring search technique; the selected features are processed through the feature ranking model to generate the efficient feature subset for better classification accuracy. Finally, these features are learned and classified using neural network classifier. The experimental study shows that the proposed approach achieves better accuracy when compared with the existing techniques.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus is a group of chronic disease and pose several severe threats to human health. The characteristic of diabetes is that the elevated blood glucose level crosses the limit of normal glucose level. Generally, the diabetes is caused due to inoperative insulin secretion and impaired biological effects[1]. This glucose imbalance may lead towards several chronic health issues such as blindness, heart disease, kidney failure and death. The International Diabetes Federation reported that currently more than 382 million people are affected with diabetes in the worldwide and it is expected that this mark will increase up to 592 million diabetes patients by 2035. The diabetes mellitus is categorized into 2 types as Type-1 and Type-2 diabetes [2]. Type-1 diabetes affects children where patient’s body is not capable of using insulin properly. Type-1 diabetes can be characterized increased thirst, high blood glucose levels and frequent urination. Similarly, Type-2 diabetes affects adults where body fails to produce sufficient amount of insulin. Type-2 is associated with occurrence of hypertension, obesity, dyslipidemia, and arteriosclerosis etc. [3].

Due to the unhealthy lifestyle, the diabetes has become a common disease in human being. Thus, the diagnosis of diabetes is considered as an important task. In medical field, the diabetes diagnosis depends on the glucose levels, glucose tolerance, and fasting glucose levels. Diabetes is a chronic health related issue and can be controlled with the help of healthy lifestyle, food habits and exercise. However, till now, there is no permanent solution for diabetes diagnosis. In order to diagnose the diabetes, the patient requires special care, and deep knowledge of patient’s medical history. Moreover, the medical tests are expensive and time consuming which can lead to tiring the patient. Thus, automated medical tests and diagnosis is always preferred. In order to improve the diagnosis, machine learning has gained attraction from research community. Moreover, in automated system, the computer aided diagnosis (CAD) systems are developed that use certain parameters to recognize the specific pattern. In the medical field, the pattern recognition and data mining play pivotal role to predict the diseases. Generally, researchers have focused on the classification based data mining schemes for early prediction of different types of diseases such as breast cancer [4], heart disease [5], Liver disorder [6], Thyroid [7], Lymphography [8], Parkinson’s [9] and diabetes [10] etc. Significant amount of works have been carried out in these fields. The diabetes is one of the most chronic disease and several techniques have been introduced for early prediction of diabetes such as combined support vector machine (SVM) and Naïve Bayes statistical modeling [11], type-2 diabetes prediction using detection tree classifier [12], SVM [13], SM-RuleMiner [14] and boosting algorithms [15] etc.

Recently, ensemble classification based approaches are introduced to overcome the classification error related issues in the data mining related applications. In [16] authors introduced an ensemble classifier for software defect prediction. Ensemble classifiers are also used for multi-class imbalanced data classification [17] and time-series forecasting applications [18-19]. Limited work have been carried out for diabetes prediction using ensemble learning such as voting based classifier [20], SVM based ensemble learning [21] and k-nearest neighbors, naive Bayes, decision tree, Support Vector Machine, fuzzy decision tree, artificial neural network, and logistic regression based learning approaches [22]. However, these techniques have gained attention but suffer from the poor classification accuracy and computational complexity. Hence, there is a need to introduce a novel ensemble approach to improve the diabetes classification accuracy.

In this work, we focus on the ensemble learning approach to predict the diabetes based on the data mining concept. In order to achieve this, the proposed ensemble approach uses kNN, decision tree, SVM and random forest. The main contributions of this work are as follows:
Rest of the article is organized as follows: In section II literature related to several state-of-art techniques of data mining for diabetes prediction are discussed, section III suggests proposed solution for diabetes classification, section IV presents experimental and comparative study using proposed approach, and finally, section V gives conclusive remarks about the proposed approach.

1. Literature review
During last decade, the data mining and computer aided diagnosis has gained huge attraction due to machine learning and pattern recognition techniques. This section briefly describes these data mining approaches for diabetes prediction. Kandhasamy et al. [23] presented a comparative study for diabetes classification using different classifiers such as J48 Decision Tree, K-Nearest Neighbors, and Random Forest, and Support Vector Machines. The comparative study is divided into two phases as data with noise and data without noise. Comparative study shows that the Random forest classifier achieves better performance when compared with other techniques.

Pradhan et al. [24] used machine learning approach for diabetes prediction. In this work, authors incorporated genetic algorithm to design a new classifier model for diabetes prediction. In this approach, various selection methods are used during genetic programming where tournament selection achieves better performance.

Zhu et al. [25] introduced an ensemble approach to predict the type-2 diabetes. This approach is designed with the help of different types of classifiers such as support vector machine (SVM), Naïve Bayes (NB), C4.5, logistic regression (LR) and neural network (NN). With the help of this authors introduced a dynamic weighted voting scheme called multiple factors weighted combination for classifiers. According to this process, the local, global and diverse k-NN accuracies are considered and finally a voting scheme is applied to construct the final classifier.

Anto et al. [26] proposed least square support vector machine (LS-SVM) approach to construct the medical decision support system. Moreover, this model uses simulated annealing approach for optimization process. The feature selection task is carried out using Fisher score (FS). During classification phase, Radial Basis function is used for training the SVM classifier. Choubey et al. [26] presented native Bayes classification approach to predict the diabetes. In this work, authors suggested that the feature selection plays important role hence, authors used Genetic algorithm as feature selection. Chen et al. [27] presented a hybrid approach for diabetes classification. In the hybrid approach, the K-Means and decision tree approaches are combined. The K-means is used for reducing the data and J48 decision tree is used for classification.

Geman et al. [29] developed hybrid neuro-fuzzy approach for diabetes classification. The proposed neuro-fuzzy classifier focuses on the reduction of fuzzy rule to improve the classification accuracy and computational cost. The feature set is constructed with the help of Diabetes Pedigree Function which helps to get the patient’s genetic relationship. Moreover, it constructs the robust membership functions. Similarly, Mohapatra et al. [30] used deep learning approach for diabetes classification.

Several techniques have been introduced for diabetes prediction and classification using machine learning techniques. The feature selection plays important role in these techniques but computational complexity and time are the crucial parameters for these techniques. The ensemble classification schemes show a significant improvement in this field but achieving the desired accuracy remains a tedious task for researchers.

II. PROPOSED MODEL
This section presents a proposed ensemble solution for diabetes classification which achieves better accuracy. In this approach a neural network is used as base learner, optimized pruning searching for feature selection and information gain for feature ranking. In this proposed model features are ranked using information gain and the ranked features are used to train neural network model and generate the predictions for test data.

1.1. Optimized pruning neighboring feature selection
In order to reduce the dimensionality related issues feature selection process is introduced and a novel method presented for neighboring feature pruning based on the sequential forward search method. The proposed approach follows bottom-up search method which initializes from the empty set and selects the optimal features using evaluation functions. In each iteration, the features are selected from the remaining features and a feature set is constructed to minimize the classification error. According to this process, we have an objective function $J$ and our main aim is to maximize the objective function. The objective function depends on the feature subset $F_{k}$. Numbers of features are selected to maximize the classification accuracy. This approach starts with an empty feature subset $F_{0}$ and selects the $k$ features. Denote $X_{i}$ as the random feature variable and $Y$ is their corresponding label. The features which are useful for maximizing the objective function, are considered as selected features. This process is repeated until the cardinality condition is satisfied.

| Algorithm 1 |
|----------------|
| **Input:** PIMA Data set  |
| **Output:** (i) Best neural network model with single attribute  |
| (ii) Best neural network model with two attributes.  |
| (iii) Best neural network model with three attributes.  |
| and so on until  |
| (iv) Best neural network model with $n$ attributes.  |
| **Step 1:** Maximize the objective function as $X_{j} = \arg \max \{F(X_{j}, Y, F_{0})\}$  |
| **Step 2:** Discard the selected features from the original set $F_{0} \leftarrow F \setminus X_{j}$  |
| **Step 3:** Update the current feature set based on the objective function as $F_{0} \leftarrow F_{0} \cup X_{j}$  |
| **Step 4:** Repeat the process until $|F_{0}| = k$  |

1.2. Feature ranking
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The selected features using optimized approach as discussed in previous subsection are processed through the feature ranking process to rank the various features. In this work, information gain based feature ranking process is used where features are ranked using filtering module. This ranking is performed based on the entropy of the feature. Let us consider that a training set is given as \( S_x \) where \( x_i \) is the feature vector of \( i^{th} \) instance. The information gain of the given feature set can be computed as:

\[
IG(S_x, x_i) = H(S_x) - \sum_{v=values(x_i)} |S_{x=v}| H(S_x=v) \\
= -p_+ \log_2 p_+ - p_- \log_2 p_-
\]

(1)

Where \( H(S) = -p_+ \log_2 p_+ - p_- \log_2 p_- \) where \( -p \) and \( +p \) denotes the probability of class of feature to be in negative or positive category.

1.3. Neural Network classification

In this work, we use neural network based model to learn and classify the features. The neural network architecture is depicted in figure 1. According to this process, the input variables are processed through various layers and the corresponding class of these attributes is identified. The architecture shows a single hidden layer model and called as feed-forward neural network.

![Fig.1. Neural network architecture](image)

In these networks, each single hidden layer is connected to the previous layers with the help of weight. The knowledge process of these networks is stored in the form of neural weights. During the training process, these weights are adjusted by the network to achieve the desired outcome. Consider the Pima Indian diabetes data(PID) is the input given to the network as \( p = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_8) \) with 8 attributes and an output which denotes the predicted class. These inputs are associated with the \( N \) number of neurons in the hidden layer with the help of weights as \( w_{kj}, k, j = 1, \ldots, N \). These weights are specific to each individual variable to neuron. The mapping of hidden layer and output can be given as:

\[
HiddenLayer n_k^{(1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{8} w_{kj}^{(1)} p_j + b_k^{(1)}
\]

(2)

Similarly, the output layer’s mapping can be given as:

\[
Output = g(\sum_{k=1}^{N} w_{kf}^{(2)} n_k^{(1)} + b^{(2)})
\]

(3)

In our work, we have considered multiple neurons hence for \( N \) neurons, the biases are given as \( b_1^{(1)}, b_2^{(1)}, \ldots, b_N^{(1)} \). Before applying any activation function, the value of neuron \( k \) is denoted as \( f(b_1^{(1)} + \sum_{j=1}^{M} w_{kj} p_j) \) where \( M \) denotes the attributes. Then the activation function can be applied and the neuron value can be transformed as \( f(b_1^{(1)} + \sum_{j=1}^{M} w_{kj} p_j + b^{(2)}) \).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we present a detailed discussion about experimental analysis of proposed Ensemble approach for diabetes prediction. The proposed data mining approach is based on the ensemble classification where we have considered neural network as base learner. The neural network is trained using Bayesian Regulation back propagation. In order to construct an ensemble classifier Forward search optimization is incorporated and information gain for search method and feature ranking, respectively. The experimental study is carried out on the PIMA Indian Diabetes data which has total 768 data samples with 8 numerical attributes per data sample. These data are shown in table 1.
Table 1 Pima Indian Diabetes Dataset details

| ID | Attribute                  | Range  |
|----|---------------------------|--------|
| 1  | No. of times pregnant     | 0-9    |
| 2  | Plasma glucose concentration | 0-199  |
| 3  | Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg) | 0-122  |
| 4  | Triceps skin fold thickness (mm) | 0-99   |
| 5  | 2-h serum insulin (µU/ml) | 0-846  |
| 6  | Body mass index (kg/m2)   | 0-67.1 |
| 7  | Diabetes pedigree function | 0.078-2.42 |
| 8  | Years of age             | 21-81  |
| 9  | Class                     | Diabetes/Non-Diabetes |

In order to evaluate the performance, we consider 70% data for training and 30% data for testing i.e. 537 samples for training and 231 samples for testing. Other implementation details are shown in table 2.

Table 2 Implementation parameters

| Implementation Parameter | Considered Parameter          |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Base Learner            | Neural network               |
| Feature Ranking         | Information gain             |
| Training Ratio          | 70%                          |
| Cross validation        | 10                           |
| Testing ratio           | 30%                          |
| Hidden Layer Size       | 10                           |
| Number of Epochs        | 1000                         |

Based on these parameters we measure the performance of proposed model of diabetes classification. The performance measurement parameters are described below.

(a) Performance Measurement

In order to measure the performance, we consider the confusion matrix analysis. First of all, we have obtained the confusion matrix where the measurement of correct and incorrect classification as given in table 3.

Table 3 Confusion Matrix

| Actual class | Predicted class | Healthy | Diabetes |
|--------------|-----------------|---------|----------|
| Healthy      | True Positive   | 183     | 7        |
| Diabetes     | False Positive  | 14      | 334      |

This confusion matrix helps us to compute total accuracy, precision, specificity, sensitivity, and F-measure of the proposed approach. Accuracy is a measurement of rate of correct classification which is denoted by $Acc$. It is computed by taking the ratio of correct prediction and total number of prediction. It can be expressed as:

$$Acc = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Another parameter is known as sensitivity analysis of the model. This is the measurement of true positive rate which can be computed by identifying the correctly classified non-Diabetes modules. This can be expressed as

$$Sensitivity = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Next parameter is computed as true negative rate which shows the measurement of correct classified Diabetes software modules and can be expressed as:

$$Specificity = \frac{TN}{TN + FP}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Then, we compute Precision of the proposed approach. It is computed by taking the ratio of True Positive and (True and False) positives.

$$P = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Finally, F-measure is computed which is the mean of precision and sensitivity performance. It is expressed as:

$$F = \frac{2 * P * Sensitivity}{P + Sensitivity}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

In this section, we present the comparative experimental analysis in terms of different measurement metrics as mentioned in previous subsection. The obtained confusion matrix is shown in table 4.

Table 4 Confusion Matrix for PIMA Dataset

| Actual class | Predicted class | Healthy | Diabetes |
|--------------|-----------------|---------|----------|
| Healthy      | True Positive   | 183     | 7        |
| Diabetes     | False Positive  | 14      | 334      |

According to the confusion matrix, we obtain the classification accuracy as 96.09%. Other performance measurement parameters for each class are presented in table 5.

Table 5 detailed performance for PIMA dataset

| Class | TP  | FP  | Precision | Recall | F-Measure | ROC  |
|-------|-----|-----|-----------|--------|-----------|------|
| s-1   | 0.963 | 0.040 | 0.9289 | 0.963 | 0.9457 | 0.924 |
| s-2   | 0.959 | 0.036 | 0.9759 | 0.959 | 0.9695 | 0.924 |

The obtained performance is compared with existing data mining techniques in terms of accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity. The comparative analysis is presented in table 6.

Table 6 Comparative analysis

| Algorithm      | Accuracy | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) |
|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| ID3 [14]       | 71.79    | 73.26           | 68.12           |
| C4.5 [14]      | 74.20    | 82.60           | 58.58           |
| CART [14]      | 70.70    | 73.80           | 64.92           |
| SM-RuleMiner [14] | 89.87    | 94.60           | 80.11           |
| Proposed Model | 96.09    | 96.31           | 95.97           |

Similarly, the performance of proposed model is compared with several types of meta-
heuristic rule based classification algorithms for 10 cross-validation. The comparison of proposed approach with meta-heuristic approach is given in table 7. The comparative analysis scheme is adopted from [1].

Table 7 comparison with meta-heuristic approaches

| Meta-Heuristic approach         | Accuracy (%) | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| ACO [31]                       | 84.24        | 84.13           | 85.86           |
| Modified ABC with all features [32] | 82.68        | 81.91           | 83.07           |
| Modified ABC with feature selection [32] | 84.21        | 83.45           | 84.60           |
| ABC with all features [32]     | 79.61        | 78.54           | 80.18           |
| ABC with feature selection [32] | 81.40        | 80.76           | 82.88           |
| PSO [33]                       | 82.32        | 84.60           | 80.76           |
| SM-RuleMiner [14]              | 89.87        | 94.60           | 80.11           |
| Proposed Approach EFRNN        | 96.09        | 96.31           | 95.97           |

Furthermore, the accuracy performance is compared with state-of-art techniques such as adaptive fuzzy [5], Hybrid SVR [6], Rule mining with POA [7], Modified PSO [8], Re-RX with J48graft [9], Re-RX with C4.5 [9], QFAM-GA [10] and DNN-SAE [11]. The comparative performance is presented in table 8.

Table 8 Accuracy comparison

| Method                     | Accuracy |
|----------------------------|----------|
| adaptive fuzzy [34]        | 89.80    |
| Hybrid SVR [35]            | 86.13    |
| Rule mining with POA [36]  | 79.06    |
| Modified PSO [37]          | 85.19    |
| Re-RX with J48graft [38]   | 83.83    |
| Re-RX with C4.5 [38]       | 80.83    |
| QFAM-GA [39]               | 91.91    |
| DNN-SAE [40]               | 86.26    |
| SM-RuleMiner [14]          | 89.87    |
| Proposed Model             | 96.09    |

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, main focus is on the development of machine learning based approach for early prediction of diabetes using computer aided diagnosis systems. Several techniques have been presented in this field but achieving accuracy and computational complexity remain challenging tasks. Therefore ensemble classifier model is introduced where feature selection, feature ranking and neural network based scheme is ensemble to learn the feature efficiently and classify the patterns. The experimental study shows that the proposed approach achieves better performance in terms of classification accuracy.
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