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ABSTRACT

BOS policy is one of the public policies made by the government aiming at improving the quality of the human resources as the indicator of the progress of a nation’s development. This study aims to analyze and describe the implementation of BOS budget, and the supporting factors also inhibiting factors in implementing BOS at Junior High Schools in Tembilahan sub-district. The research design was qualitative using a descriptive method. The data and information were collected through an observation technique, interview, and documentation. Data analysis was conducted using classification steps, analysis, and data interpretation until the conclusion was drawn. The result showed that BOS policy was an appropriate and effective public policy for people in general and, particularly, for the school, teaching staff, and the students. The implementation of BOS at Public Junior High Schools in Tembilahan sub-district, was good and met the BOS technical guideline. However, it had still an obstacle that several school activities could not be accommodated using BOS budget. The supporting factors of the BOS policy implementation at Public Junior High Schools in Tembilahan sub-district such as a good communication between several relevant parties and the availability of competent human resources. Meanwhile, the inhibiting factors were financial resources and the characteristics of the policy were too rigid in the use in every school.
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INTRODUCTION

Education is one of the sectors with a big impact in improving the nation’s human development. The government as the highest responsible party in a country has issued the public policy supporting the national education vision for actualizing the intellectual and productive Indonesian people with noble
characters. One of the programs for implementing public policies is distributing BOS funds to schools. The implementation of public policies is an activity of applying a program (Jones, 1991). Further, Van Meter and Van Horn also stated that the activity contains several actions performed by several parties (individuals/state officials or government or private sector) in the policy to achieve the desired goals (Wahab, 1997).

The mechanism of allocating the BOS funds and the usage at schools is regulated in the Regulation of the Indonesia Ministry of Education and Culture Number 3 of 2019 in the Technical Guidance of Reguler School Operational Assistance 2019 that regulates the procedure and the responsibility of using regular school operational assistance. The School Operational Assistance policy (BOS) performed by the government gives a positive impact on education in Indonesia. This government policy has been implemented in Indragiri Hilir Regency since July 2006. Indragiri Hilir Regency consists of 12 sub-districts. The total number of junior high schools receiving the BOS funds is 135 schools.

The practice in the field still finds many inappropriate implementations of BOS policy to the SOP/Standard Operational Procedure (BOS technical guidance). Whereas, the SOP (BOS technical guidance) is made to regulate and control as well as direct a certain policy to be implemented based on its path so it will not cause a new problem that violates the law in the future and it leads to achieving the purposes of why the policy is made. From several mass media, there are many cases of abuse in using the allocated funds. Consequently, the distribution of BOS funds does not meet the expectation. Several findings that appeared in the mass media showed that the weaknesses of implementing the School Operational Assistance policy were the management, lacking transparency in using the BOS funds by the school parties. Besides, in compiling the Treasurer Accountability Report for the use of Operational Funds, the school parties often make mistakes and are late. Therefore, accountability and credibility are still skeptical. In a study by Nurul Hariswati, it was found that the use of the School Operational Assistance policy was inappropriate to the regulation of using BOS funds mentioned in the BOS technical guidance (Hariswati, 2015). It was also found that several schools had not performed the transparency in managing the BOS funds and the education related to the use of BOS funds had not been implemented in the schools (Regina, Soeaidy, & Ribawanto, 2014) and (Fauzan, 2014). The problems above, based on the preliminary interview on September 24th, 2018, along with the Board of Education, were also happened in Indragiri Hilir Regency in terms of managing and using the BOS funds. To discover the implementation of this BOS funds policy, the researcher used the implementation model from the theory by Van Meter and Van Horn. The model of a policy implementation process according to Donald S. Van Meter and Carl E. Van Meter Horn emphasizes the characteristics of a policy in each policy implementation and connects it with the policy issue and policy implementation as well as a conceptual model that links the policy to the policy performance.

Based on the explanation above and considering the importance of high-quality education as well as the benefits of the BOS funds, the researcher aimed at: 1) Analyzing and describing the BOS policy to the public Junior high schools in Tembilahan Sub-district; 2) Analyzing and describing the implementation of BOS policy at the public Junior high schools in Tembilahan Sub-district; and 3) Analyzing and describing the supporting factors and the inhibiting factors of the BOS policy implementation at the public Junior high schools in Tembilahan Sub-district.
RESEARCH METHODS

This study was Qualitative Research using a descriptive method. The qualitative study is the method to explore and understand the meaning that is considered from social or humanity issues by individuals or a group of people (Creswell, 2009). The sampling technique in determining the source of information was the purposive sampling technique. The source of information was from 4 public junior high schools in Tembilahan sub-district, namely SMPN 1 Tembilahan, SMPN 2 Tembilahan, SMPN 3 Tembilahan, and SMPN 4 Tembilahan, and the informants related to the process of implementing the BOS program, such as the officers of the Board of Education in Indragiri Hilir regency, Executing Officer team of BOS in Indragiri Hilir regency, Headmasters, the school treasurers for BOS, the chairman of teaching staff and the School Committee Chairman of Public Junior High Schools in Tembilahan Sub-district, Indragiri Hilir Regency. The instruments used here were interview transcripts, observation records, and documentation tools, in the form of a camera or handphone, that were shown to some informants. To collect the required data, the following data collection techniques were used: Observation; Interview, and Documentation. The data analysis was done qualitatively; it is the data analysis process conducted by analyzing the data entirely from several sources. The existing data were then classified and compared to their phenomena. Then, it was continued by analyzing the data using the descriptive-qualitative analysis, whereby the collected data were classified, analyzed, and interpreted to obtain a conclusion.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The BOS Policy in Tembilahan Sub-district

Based on the general and specific goals of BOS policy, namely reducing costs or exempting the payments for the students whose parents have less income, no school in this study object that asked the students to do payments. It was found from the interview with some informants in this study. All schools as the object of this study exempted the payments and reduced the school operational costs. It was discovered from the result of the interview with the regency regular BOS team, and after checking it in the field based on the interview findings along with the school regular BOS team, it was confirmed by the school committee as the representative of the people/students’ parents. Based on the result of the interview above, it shows that BOS is a public policy as a decision made by a state institution, the government, to solve public issues. Based on the findings in the interview, the purposes of the BOS policy are considered successful. It is in line with the theory stated by Harold D. Laswell and Abraham Kaplan that a policy is a program to achieve directional goals, values, and practices (Lasswel & Kaplan, 2013).

A public policy is a series of decisions related to realistic, directional, and measurable public interests performed by the government by involving the stakeholders in certain fields leading to a certain goal (Ramdhani & Ramdhani, 2017). The BOS policy aims to assist the operational funding and non-personnel matters as well as reduce the students’ costs on behalf of improving the quality of learning process at school that will eventually improve the quality of education. Thus, it is in line with the three (3) general goals of BOS mentioned in the BOS technical guidance Number 3 of 2019. The goal achievement is the result of policymaking as the important factor for an organization (Iskandar, 2012).

Based on the explanation from the informants in the interview, observation, and the study of documents above, it can be said that the policy on BOS funds is an appropriate public policy and its impacts can be felt by many people, especially schools, teaching staff,
and students. Based on the opinion by Yoyon (Irianto, 2011), a public policy is a series of actions as an instruction to achieve the goals. Public policy can also be defined as a series of activities established and performed or not performed by the government with a certain goal on behalf of all people’s importance. The form of the public policy can be laws or regional regulation and others (Ambarsari, 2002).

The Implementation of a Public Policy (BOS) at Junior High Schools in Tembilahan Sub-district

According to Van Meter and Van Horn (Subarsono, 2011), it is stated that the implementation of a policy is performed by the government or private sector, both individually or in groups, intended to achieve some goals. Six phenomena affect the implementation of a policy in this study, namely:

**Standards and Targets of the Policy**

According to Agustino, when the standard and the target of a policy are too ideal (utopian/visionary), they will be difficult to be achieved. To measure the performance of the policy implementation, it certainly determines a certain standard and target that should be achieved by the policy implementers; generally, the performance of a policy is the assessment for the achievement of the standard and target (Agustino, 2016).

Based on the result of the interview, nearly all schools that had been interviewed related to the accountability for the use of BOS funds had met the regulation of the Ministry of Education and Culture and BOS technical guidance, namely the regulation of the Ministry of Education and Culture Number 3 of 2019. In the observation and the study of documents conducted by the researcher, it was found that the schools had some documents, such as a circular letter, the regulation of the Ministry of Education and Culture, and BOS technical guidance, as the guideline in managing and using the BOS funds. In further detail, the standard and target of a policy become one of the reasons for the success of policy implementation. The standard and the goal of a policy are strongly correlated with the implementers’ disposition. Consequently, in this study, all junior high schools in Tembilahan sub-district, in using and managing the regular BOS funds, followed the obvious standard and target of the policy, namely satisfying the regulation of the Ministry of Education and Culture and BOS technical guidance Number 3 of 2019. This result is supported by Van Meter and Van Horn, that to avoid the interpretation that will cause a conflict between implementers, a clear and measurable policy is required (Widodo, 2011).

**Resources**

The policy implementation requires support from resources, both human resources and non-human resources. The success of policy implementation strongly depends on the capability in using the available resources (Agustino, 2016). Frank Jefkins in Public Relations, stated that the existence and the influence of limited time, money, and other resources need to be concerned (Jefkins, 1992). The development of human resources is proven empirically towards the improvement of public service quality (Mujtahid & Darmi, 2014). Besides human resources, financial resources and time become important considerations in the success of policy implementation. According to Phoebe Wong, et. al., implementing a policy effectively and efficiently requires the process of analyzing and identifying the improvement and the use of available resources (Wong, Ng, Mak, & Chan, 2015). Based on the result of the observation, interview, and the study of documents, it was shown that the capability of resources in managing and using the BOS funds at Public Junior high schools in Tembilahan sub-district strongly supported to achieve the goals of the implementation of BOS policy in Tembilahan sub-district; it can be seen from the human...
resources capacity in completing the activities and making a report of managing and using the regular BOS funds in time. Even though the financial resources could not entirely accommodate the operational and non-personnel costs at the schools, it was categorized as good based on its implementation.

**Communication among Organizations**

To perform the public policy effectively, Van Meter and Van Horn (Widodo, 2011), stated that the standard of the goals should be understood by individuals (implementers). Communication is an intended activity by the spokesperson or author through a common system, either symbols, signals, or behaviors (Wardhani, Hasiolan, & Minarsih, 2016).

The communication phenomenon among organizations is identified from the community outreach conducted by the regular BOS team, at both the regency level and school level. Based on the result of the observation, interview, and the study of documents, it was found that communication among organizations related to the implementation of BOS policy by the public junior high schools in Tembilahan sub-district, performed by both the BOS management team at regency level and the BOS management team at a school level to student guardians, people, or other relevant parties, was categorized very good. In with the opinion by Van Meter and Van Horn (Subarsono, 2011), that the characteristics of an organization, determining whether the program is successful or not, consist of competencies, the total staff, legislative and executive supports, the power of organization, the degree of communication openness with both outer parties and the board of policy makers.

**Implementers’ Disposition**

The implementers’ perception in an organization where the policy is implemented can be in the form of rejection, neutrality, and acceptance related to the personal value system, loyalty, personal interest, and the like. According to the opinion by Van Meter and Van Horn (Agustino, 2016), it is stated that acceptance or rejection from the policy implementers strongly affects the success or failure of the public policy implementation. It is extremely possible since the policy is not implemented based on the formulation result from the local residents who strongly understand the issues and problems they feel.

The researcher conducted this study by identifying how far the importance of the
targeted groups involved in the use and the management of BOS funds and the implementers’ readiness in performing the policy. From the result of the interview, it can conclude that the implementers’ disposition, related to the implementation of BOS policy at public junior high schools at Tembilahan sub-district in managing and using the BOS funds, is categorized as excellent. Good implementers’ disposition will strongly support the implementation of BOS policy (Fauzi, 2019). The disposition of implementers against the policy implementation will strongly help the process of achieving the goals of the policy implementation (Handani & Frinaldi, 2020).

Social Environment, Economy, and Politics

Non-conducive social environment, economy, and politics can be the source of problems from the failure of policy implementation performance. Therefore, the effort for policy implementation requires an external conducive environment. The result of data collection from the informants showed that the level of support from several parties toward the progress of this BOS funds program was related to the involvement of external parties in using and managing the BOS funds. Support or involvement by the school committee as the representative of students’ parents in supporting the BOS funds program is high. It is seen from the involvement of the school committee along with the school parties in designing the use and the management of the BOS funds at school.

The implementation of BOS policy through the phenomenon of a social environment, economy, and politics is seen from the result of the observation, the study of documents, and the result of the interview conducted at public junior high schools in Tembilahan sub-district, and it has already been good in giving support to the implementation of BOS policy. The social condition, politics, and economy in the public policy are a big concern; even though, the implementation of policy decision receives a small concern (Septian & Suryaningsih, 2019). Even though the phenomenon of social condition, economy, and politics supports the success of performing the policy implementation (Handani & Frinaldi, 2020).

It can conclude that the implementation of BOS policy at Public junior high schools in Tembilahan sub-district, based on the result of the interview, observation, and the study of documents, uses 6 phenomena. Based on the phenomena above, the implementation of BOS funds at public junior high schools in Tembilahan sub-district, in using and managing the BOS funds, was categorized as good in terms of following the SOP/BOS technical guidance and the regulation of the Ministry of Education and Culture No. 03 of 2019.

The Supporting Factors and the Inhibiting Factors of BOS funds policy at Junior High Schools in Tembilahan Sub-district

Supporting Factors

The first supporting factor is communication. It strongly determined the success of achieving the goals from the implementation of a public policy. Communication is a vital phenomenon that affects the implementation of a public policy. Effective policy implementation will be performed if the decision-makers know the things they will do. In terms of implementing the BOS funds program at public junior high schools in Tembilahan sub-district, the communication that was performed was between the regency-level regular BOS team to the school-level regular BOS team and the school-level BOS team to the parents of public junior high school students in Tembilahan sub-district.

Besides communication, another supporting factor in implementing the BOS program at public junior high schools in Tembilahan sub-district was human resources. It is one of the several phenomena determining the success of the implementation of BOS...
policy. Good quality human resources in managing BOS funds will make the process of achieving the goals of the policy implementation easier. It is in line with a study by Azis Rachman, that good quality human resources in managing BOS funds can improve the education quality by managing and using the BOS funds in supplying facilities that support the teaching and learning activity (Rachman, 2020). Regarding the BOS program at the regency level and school level, human resources acting as implementers are the school-level BOS management team. In providing good knowledge and skills for the BOS management team, it requires instruction from the municipal board of education through community outreach. The regency board of education is known to perform community outreach to schools that have received BOS funds in Indragiri Hilir regency.

### Inhibiting Factors

The inhibiting factor in the implementation of the BOS program at public junior high schools in Tembilahan sub-district was financial resources. First, it was related to the time of disbursement that almost reaches the end of the quarter year. The late disbursement of the BOS funds still occurs. This delay was caused by several schools that had not finished the Treasurer Accountability Report of BOS to the office. Another thing was caused by the change in the school BOS management team due to a certain cause.

Secondly, the nominal fund received by the schools, based on the total student, does not cover the school operational and non-personnel costs. Whereas, the adequacy level, by William N. Dunn, of a policy is related to the effectiveness level for satisfying the needs, values, or the opportunities to solve the problems (Dunn, 2003). The nominal fund received by the public junior high schools in Tembilahan sub-district did not satisfy and cover the needs and the school condition in Tembilahan sub-district. Therefore, the additional fund from the regional government, besides BOS funds, is required to help the school operational costs.

The second inhibiting factor is the characteristics of a policy, namely the existence of BOS technical guidance that is less flexible. The actual BOS technical guidance is the transcript for the implementers in performing the BOS program, however, the existence of BOS technical guidance also inhibits the school parties in using the available BOS funds. The school BOS management team felt that many other components should be covered by the BOS funds.

### CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

The policy on the BOS funds at public junior high schools in Tembilahan sub-district is an accurate public policy, and its impact can be felt by many people, especially the school parties, teaching staff, and students. By seeing the 6 phenomena for the BOS policy implementation according to the theory by Van Mater and Van Horn, namely the standard and target of the policy, resources, communication among organizations and activity reinforcement, implementers’ characteristics, implementers’ disposition, as and social, economic, and political conditions, at public junior high schools in Tembilahan sub-district, it can conclude that the implementation is excellent even though several obstacles still occur, such as the nominal fund and the legalization in using the BOS funds.

The supporting factors in the implementation of BOS policy at public junior high schools in Tembilahan sub-district are communication and human resources. Meanwhile, the inhibiting factors in the implementation of BOS policy at public junior high schools in Tembilahan sub-district are financial resources and the characteristics of a policy.

The result of this study can be an input for the Ministry of Education and Culture and
the Ministry of Finance in terms of managing and using the BOS funds at school. Thus, they can make some improvement in terms of the legalization of a policy. This study can be an input for the regional government to cover the remaining school operational costs as the counterpart budget of the BOS funds from the central government.
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| No. | Informasi | Kebijakan Dana BOS | Implementasi Kebijakan BOS | Faktor-faktor yang mendukung implementasi program BOS | Faktor-faktor yang menghambat implementasi program BOS |
|-----|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 2   | Penyusunan RKAS hingga disalurkan ke sekolah | Kebijakan Dana BOS sangat baik. Kebijakan ini sangat membantu meningkatkan pemerintah daerah dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah. Selain itu, kebijakan Dana BOS yang memungkinkan pemerintah daerah untuk melakukan pendidikan yang lebih baik. Kebijakan ini menunjukkan pendekatan pelaksanaan BOS yang efektif. | Penyusunan RKAS hingga disalurkan ke sekolah sangat membantu pemerintah daerah dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah. Selain itu, kebijakan Dana BOS yang memungkinkan pemerintah daerah untuk melakukan pendidikan yang lebih baik. Kebijakan ini menunjukkan pendekatan pelaksanaan BOS yang efektif. | Adanya tanggung jawab dan kebijakan yang baik antara Tim Kabupaten dan Tim Sekolah, permasalahan mengenai Dana BOS yang dirut infas oleh tim BOS juga terjadi konsultasi dalam laporan yang diterima oleh tim BOS. Dana BOS ke sekolah sangat diminusi baik. Adanya tanggung jawab dan kebijakan yang baik antara Tim Kabupaten dan Tim Sekolah, permasalahan mengenai Dana BOS yang dirut infas oleh tim BOS juga terjadi konsultasi dalam laporan yang diterima oleh tim BOS. Dana BOS ke sekolah sangat diminusi baik. |}

| 3   | Penyusunan RKAS hingga disalurkan ke sekolah | Kebijakan Dana BOS sangat baik. Kebijakan ini sangat membantu meningkatkan pemerintah daerah dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah. Selain itu, kebijakan Dana BOS yang memungkinkan pemerintah daerah untuk melakukan pendidikan yang lebih baik. Kebijakan ini menunjukkan pendekatan pelaksanaan BOS yang efektif. | Penyusunan RKAS hingga disalurkan ke sekolah sangat membantu pemerintah daerah dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah. Selain itu, kebijakan Dana BOS yang memungkinkan pemerintah daerah untuk melakukan pendidikan yang lebih baik. Kebijakan ini menunjukkan pendekatan pelaksanaan BOS yang efektif. | Adanya tanggung jawab dan kebijakan yang baik antara Tim Kabupaten dan Tim Sekolah, permasalahan mengenai Dana BOS yang dirut infas oleh tim BOS juga terjadi konsultasi dalam laporan yang diterima oleh tim BOS. Dana BOS ke sekolah sangat diminusi baik. Adanya tanggung jawab dan kebijakan yang baik antara Tim Kabupaten dan Tim Sekolah, permasalahan mengenai Dana BOS yang dirut infas oleh tim BOS juga terjadi konsultasi dalam laporan yang diterima oleh tim BOS. Dana BOS ke sekolah sangat diminusi baik. |}

| 4   | Kesiapan dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah | Tim Kabupaten sangat membantu sekolah dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah. Tim Kabupaten memastikan bahwa sekolah dapat menerima Dana BOS yang cukup besar dan adanya pelaksanaan kebijakan Dana BOS di Kabupaten. | Tim Kabupaten sangat membantu sekolah dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah. Tim Kabupaten memastikan bahwa sekolah dapat menerima Dana BOS yang cukup besar dan adanya pelaksanaan kebijakan Dana BOS di Kabupaten. | Adanya tanggung jawab dan kebijakan yang baik antara Tim Kabupaten dan Tim Sekolah, permasalahan mengenai Dana BOS yang dirut infas oleh tim BOS juga terjadi konsultasi dalam laporan yang diterima oleh tim BOS. Dana BOS ke sekolah sangat diminusi baik. Adanya tanggung jawab dan kebijakan yang baik antara Tim Kabupaten dan Tim Sekolah, permasalahan mengenai Dana BOS yang dirut infas oleh tim BOS juga terjadi konsultasi dalam laporan yang diterima oleh tim BOS. Dana BOS ke sekolah sangat diminusi baik. |}

| 5   | Kesiapan dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah | Tim Kabupaten sangat membantu sekolah dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah. Tim Kabupaten memastikan bahwa sekolah dapat menerima Dana BOS yang cukup besar dan adanya pelaksanaan kebijakan Dana BOS di Kabupaten. | Tim Kabupaten sangat membantu sekolah dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah. Tim Kabupaten memastikan bahwa sekolah dapat menerima Dana BOS yang cukup besar dan adanya pelaksanaan kebijakan Dana BOS di Kabupaten. | Adanya tanggung jawab dan kebijakan yang baik antara Tim Kabupaten dan Tim Sekolah, permasalahan mengenai Dana BOS yang dirut infas oleh tim BOS juga terjadi konsultasi dalam laporan yang diterima oleh tim BOS. Dana BOS ke sekolah sangat diminusi baik. Adanya tanggung jawab dan kebijakan yang baik antara Tim Kabupaten dan Tim Sekolah, permasalahan mengenai Dana BOS yang dirut infas oleh tim BOS juga terjadi konsultasi dalam laporan yang diterima oleh tim BOS. Dana BOS ke sekolah sangat diminusi baik. |}

| 6   | Kesiapan dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah | Tim Kabupaten sangat membantu sekolah dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah. Tim Kabupaten memastikan bahwa sekolah dapat menerima Dana BOS yang cukup besar dan adanya pelaksanaan kebijakan Dana BOS di Kabupaten. | Tim Kabupaten sangat membantu sekolah dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah. Tim Kabupaten memastikan bahwa sekolah dapat menerima Dana BOS yang cukup besar dan adanya pelaksanaan kebijakan Dana BOS di Kabupaten. | Adanya tanggung jawab dan kebijakan yang baik antara Tim Kabupaten dan Tim Sekolah, permasalahan mengenai Dana BOS yang dirut infas oleh tim BOS juga terjadi konsultasi dalam laporan yang diterima oleh tim BOS. Dana BOS ke sekolah sangat diminusi baik. Adanya tanggung jawab dan kebijakan yang baik antara Tim Kabupaten dan Tim Sekolah, permasalahan mengenai Dana BOS yang dirut infas oleh tim BOS juga terjadi konsultasi dalam laporan yang diterima oleh tim BOS. Dana BOS ke sekolah sangat diminusi baik. |}

| 7   | Kesiapan dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah | Tim Kabupaten sangat membantu sekolah dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah. Tim Kabupaten memastikan bahwa sekolah dapat menerima Dana BOS yang cukup besar dan adanya pelaksanaan kebijakan Dana BOS di Kabupaten. | Tim Kabupaten sangat membantu sekolah dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah. Tim Kabupaten memastikan bahwa sekolah dapat menerima Dana BOS yang cukup besar dan adanya pelaksanaan kebijakan Dana BOS di Kabupaten. | Adanya tanggung jawab dan kebijakan yang baik antara Tim Kabupaten dan Tim Sekolah, permasalahan mengenai Dana BOS yang dirut infas oleh tim BOS juga terjadi konsultasi dalam laporan yang diterima oleh tim BOS. Dana BOS ke sekolah sangat diminusi baik. Adanya tanggung jawab dan kebijakan yang baik antara Tim Kabupaten dan Tim Sekolah, permasalahan mengenai Dana BOS yang dirut infas oleh tim BOS juga terjadi konsultasi dalam laporan yang diterima oleh tim BOS. Dana BOS ke sekolah sangat diminusi baik. |}

| 8   | Kesiapan dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah | Tim Kabupaten sangat membantu sekolah dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah. Tim Kabupaten memastikan bahwa sekolah dapat menerima Dana BOS yang cukup besar dan adanya pelaksanaan kebijakan Dana BOS di Kabupaten. | Tim Kabupaten sangat membantu sekolah dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah. Tim Kabupaten memastikan bahwa sekolah dapat menerima Dana BOS yang cukup besar dan adanya pelaksanaan kebijakan Dana BOS di Kabupaten. | Adanya tanggung jawab dan kebijakan yang baik antara Tim Kabupaten dan Tim Sekolah, permasalahan mengenai Dana BOS yang dirut infas oleh tim BOS juga terjadi konsultasi dalam laporan yang diterima oleh tim BOS. Dana BOS ke sekolah sangat diminusi baik. Adanya tanggung jawab dan kebijakan yang baik antara Tim Kabupaten dan Tim Sekolah, permasalahan mengenai Dana BOS yang dirut infas oleh tim BOS juga terjadi konsultasi dalam laporan yang diterima oleh tim BOS. Dana BOS ke sekolah sangat diminusi baik. |}

| 9   | Kesiapan dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah | Tim Kabupaten sangat membantu sekolah dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah. Tim Kabupaten memastikan bahwa sekolah dapat menerima Dana BOS yang cukup besar dan adanya pelaksanaan kebijakan Dana BOS di Kabupaten. | Tim Kabupaten sangat membantu sekolah dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah. Tim Kabupaten memastikan bahwa sekolah dapat menerima Dana BOS yang cukup besar dan adanya pelaksanaan kebijakan Dana BOS di Kabupaten. | Adanya tanggung jawab dan kebijakan yang baik antara Tim Kabupaten dan Tim Sekolah, permasalahan mengenai Dana BOS yang dirut infas oleh tim BOS juga terjadi konsultasi dalam laporan yang diterima oleh tim BOS. Dana BOS ke sekolah sangat diminusi baik. Adanya tanggung jawab dan kebijakan yang baik antara Tim Kabupaten dan Tim Sekolah, permasalahan mengenai Dana BOS yang dirut infas oleh tim BOS juga terjadi konsultasi dalam laporan yang diterima oleh tim BOS. Dana BOS ke sekolah sangat diminusi baik. |}

| 10  | Kesiapan dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah | Tim Kabupaten sangat membantu sekolah dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah. Tim Kabupaten memastikan bahwa sekolah dapat menerima Dana BOS yang cukup besar dan adanya pelaksanaan kebijakan Dana BOS di Kabupaten. | Tim Kabupaten sangat membantu sekolah dalam hal biaya operasional dan nonoperasional sekolah. Tim Kabupaten memastikan bahwa sekolah dapat menerima Dana BOS yang cukup besar dan adanya pelaksanaan kebijakan Dana BOS di Kabupaten. | Adanya tanggung jawab dan kebijakan yang baik antara Tim Kabupaten dan Tim Sekolah, permasalahan mengenai Dana BOS yang dirut infas oleh tim BOS juga terjadi konsultasi dalam laporan yang diterima oleh tim BOS. Dana BOS ke sekolah sangat diminusi baik. Adanya tanggung jawab dan kebijakan yang baik antara Tim Kabupaten dan Tim Sekolah, permasalahan mengenai Dana BOS yang dirut infas oleh tim BOS juga terjadi konsultasi dalam laporan yang diterima oleh tim BOS. Dana BOS ke sekolah sangat diminusi baik. |}