Case Report: Successful engraftment of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cells using CAR-T cell therapy as the conditioning regimen in R/R Ph+ B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia
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Background: Consolidative allogeneic hematopoietic stem cells (allo-HSCs) after chimeric antigen receptor T cells (CAR-T) therapy is an emerging modality in hematologic malignancies. Knowledge about the success of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT) after CAR-T therapy without a conditioning regimen is limited.

Case presentation: We report a patient with relapsed/refractory (R/R) Ph⁺ B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) who underwent anti-CD19 CAR-T immunotherapy. After 1 month of treatment, bone marrow hyperplasia remained reduced with no hematopoietic improvements. In line with this, allogeneic hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) were extracted from an HLA-matched sibling donor and administered to the patient on day 33 after CAR-T cell therapy to support hematopoiesis. On day 40, the level of immature bone marrow lymphocytes was at 0% and minimal residual disease-negative, and the fusion gene BCR/ABL 190 was negative. Chimerism analysis showed full donor chimerism. Three months after CAR-T cells infusion, the patient was still in complete remission with full donor chimerism. However, decreased liver function with skin pigmentation and festering, indicative of acute graft versus host disease, was noted. The treatment was halted owing to financial reasons.
Introduction

Treatment with CD19 targeting chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells has significantly improved the prognosis of patients with R/R B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL) (1–7). However, post-CAR-T cell therapy recurrence remains a significant obstacle. Some studies found that allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT) after anti-CD19 CAR-T therapy was associated with an improved leukemia-free survival (LFS) (8–10). Usually, after CAR-T cell therapy, patients undergo conditioning regimens such as myeloablative (cyclophosphamide and busulfan-based and total body irradiation-based) or nonmyeloablative regimens prior to allo-HSCT (11, 12). However, this treatment protocol will lead to a relatively long treatment cycle and more adverse reactions for patients. Knowledge about the successful engraftment of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell (allo-HSC) after CAR-T therapy without a conditioning regimen is limited. We described a R/R B-ALL patient who received an allo-HSC infusion to support hematopoiesis due to CAR-T cell therapy, and the HSCs were successfully engrafted, which suggest CAR-T cell therapy not only induced disease remission but also directly as a pretreatment regimen for HSC implantation.

Case presentation

A 36-year-old man was diagnosed with Ph+ B-ALL. He received ten courses of chemotherapy and relapsed after four lines of therapy. In relation to the evaluation criteria, morphology complete remission (CR) was achieved after one course of vincristine, daunorubicin, cyclophosphamide, and prednisone (VDCP) plus imatinib. Then two courses of cyclophosphamide, cytarabine, and 6-mercaptopurine (CAM) plus imatinib were performed. The patient remained in morphology CR, but BCR-ABL 190 was positive. The patient proceeded with two courses of high-dose methotrexate (HD-MTX) plus dasatinib and one course of VDCP plus dasatinib. Morphology CR persisted, but BCR-ABL 190 did not become negative even with dasatinib. After one course of CAM plus dasatinib, the percentage of lymphoblasts and prolymphocytes in the bone marrow increased to 11%. Moreover, the fusion gene showed that BCR-ABL 190/ABL was 0.4, and flow cytometry (FCM) analysis revealed minimal residual disease (MRD) with 10.5% abnormal lymphocytes. Subsequently, one course of dexamethasone, vincristine, cytarabine, mitoxantrone, and etoposide (DOAME) plus dasatinib was conducted, and molecular CR was achieved. Unfortunately, the patient progressed to molecular relapse within 2 weeks. DOAME plus dasatinib was administered again, but BCR-ABL 190/ABL ascended to 0.29 with 20.2% lymphoblasts in the bone marrow. Subsequently, a T315I mutation was detected, and dasatinib was discontinued. Finally, the patient was administered a course of cytarabine, aclacinomycin, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (CAG) in combination with prednisone and L-asparaginase, whereas the disease continued to progress with 68% lymphoblasts in the bone marrow (the WBC count was 1.92 × 109/L; LDH was 227 U/L; BCR-ABL 190 was not detected). During the above treatment process, the patient received nine intrathecal injections of dexamethasone, cytarabine and methotrexate and did not develop central nervous system invasion.

Owing to the presence of R/R disease, anti-CD19 CAR-T cell therapy was initiated. The anti-CD19 CAR-T cells were cultured using a standard lymphodepleting regimen (fludarabine 30 mg/m2 and cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m2) on day −5 to −3. The CAR-T cell infusion was administered as follows: 4 × 106 cells/kg of anti-CD19 CAR-T cells were divided into three infusions on day 0 to day +2 (Figure 1A). Following the infusion, the patient experienced grade 4 cytokine release syndrome (CRS) with elevated IL-6, IL-10, IFN-γ and ferritin levels, as well as grade 3 CAR-T cell-related encephalopathy syndrome according to Lee’s grading system (13). The levels of IL-6, IL-10, IFN-γ, and ferritin gradually returned to baseline 3 weeks after treatment with tocilizumab (monoclonal antibody against the IL-6 receptor) (8 mg/kg, qd, +3 and +5 days), dexamethasone (20 mg, qd, +6 to +8 days, +12 to +15 days, +19 to +21 days), and plasma exchange (14, 15) (2000 mL per time, +10 days, +11 days and +17 days) (Figures 1B, C). One month later, the white blood cell (WBC) and lymphocyte counts remained below 1.0 × 103/L, except for a brief increase on days 6 and 7 post-CAR-T cell infusion. The dynamic changes of WBC and lymphocyte counts
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after CAR-T cell therapy were depicted in Figure 1D. Furthermore, lentivirus copies with the polymerase chain reaction test to reflect CAR-T cell infusion increased in relation to the B lymphocyte decline, suggesting that the CAR-T cells reached peak levels after 2 weeks (Figures 1E, F). In addition, the CD4+/CD8+ T cell ratio in the peripheral blood was significantly below normal 2–3 weeks after the CAR-T cell infusion (Figure 1G).

After CAR-T cell therapy, bone marrow morphology revealed 0% immature lymphocytes, and severe bone marrow suppression with no hematopoietic recovery, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) was administered to promote granulocyte recovery. Antibiotics and intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) were administered because the patient developed infections (Escherichia coli) during myelosuppression. There was no evidence of viral infection. To support hematopoietic recovery, allogeneic HSCs were harvested by apheresis from a 6/6 HLA-matched sibling donor. Furthermore, 100 mL of HLA-homologous donor peripheral HSCs (mononuclear cells [MNC] 1.8×10^6/kg and CD34+ cells 0.95×10^6/kg) (16, 17) were infused on day 34 following the CAR-T cell infusion. Before allogeneic HSCs on day 33 after the CAR-T cell infusion, the WBC count was 0.61×10^9/L, the neutrophil count was 0.53×10^9/L, lymphocyte count was 0.06×10^9/L, and the platelet count was 20×10^9/L. The engraftment time of neutrophils was +36 days, and the engraftment time of platelets was +42 days after CAR-T cells infusion (Figure 1D). On day 40, bone marrow morphology revealed 0% immature lymphocytes and negative MRD by FCM. The expression of the fusion gene BCR/ABL 190 was negative. Chimeric analysis showed full donor chimerism. Three months after CAR-T cell therapy, bone marrow morphology, and fusion gene expression level suggested molecular CR. However, decreased liver function with skin pigmentation and a festering skin rash was noted (Figure 2). The level of donor chimerism was 100%. According to the consensus criteria for acute graft versus host disease (aGVHD), grade III aGVHD was highly likely (18). Unfortunately, we did not expect allogeneic HSCs to engraft successfully for patient and did not realize that aGVHD would occur, therefore, no prophylaxis for aGVHD was administered. Treatment was halted owing to financial reasons, and the patient abandoned the treatment and eventually may be died of aGVHD combined with infection. A brief chronology of this case’s key clinical events is depicted in Table 1.
Discussion

Notably, consolidative allo-HSCT after CAR-T therapy is still a controversial option for improving long-term LFS. Park et al. reported that of seventeen patients who underwent allo-HSCT after CAR-T therapy, relapse and transplantation-associated complications were the main causes of death for those who received CAR-T therapy before allo-HSCT, and the patients seemed not to benefit from allo-HSCT after CAR-T treatment. However, some studies have shown allo-HSCT after CAR-T cell therapy can reduce the risk of relapse and improve long-term OS and LFS (8, 10, 19). Jiang et al. summarized the data of several clinical trials in which some R/R B-ALL patients received consolidative allo-HSCT after CAR-T cell therapy (19). In total, 429 R/R B-ALL patients achieved CR/CRi after CAR-T cell therapy. A total of 203 of these responding patients underwent allo-HSCT subsequently, and only 27 (13.3%) relapsed. In comparison, 116/226 (51.3%) of the patients who did not proceed with allo-HSCT relapsed finally. Generally, for patients who received consolidative allo-HSCT after CAR-T cell therapy, high-dose conditioning chemotherapy or total body irradiation are administered before allo-HSCT. The aims of pre-treatment are to (1) eliminate abnormal clonal cells from the body, (2) inhibit the immune system to prevent the rejection of foreign cells, and (3) allow the transplanted cells to settle in the bone marrow.

CAR-T cell therapy has emerged as a potential induction therapy for patients with R/R ALL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia, and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma. Clinical studies have reported favorable outcomes (4, 20, 21). The main adverse effects of CAR-T cell therapy include CRS, B-cell aplasia, neurotoxicity, and bone marrow depression (22, 23). Moreover, myelosuppression is the most common reported adverse reaction of CAR-T cell therapy. Fried et al. analyzed the persistent severe hematologic toxicity after anti-CD19 CAR-T cell therapy in patients with R/R leukemia and lymphoma (21). Severe myelosuppression was more commonly reported in patients with high-grade CRS. Wang et al. reported the kinetics of immune reconstitution after anti-CD19 CAR-T cell therapy and found that neutrophils, platelets, lymphocytes returned to a normal level with a median time of recovery on day 28 (14-44), 28 (3-45), 42 (3-125), respectively (24). In the present case, the bone marrow suppression remained persistent with no hematopoietic recovery after 1 month of CAR-T cell therapy, consistent with the previous literature. Considering the severe myelosuppression of the patient and referring to the report by Rejeski K et al (17), HSCs were infused to support hematopoietic recovery. Fortunately, the
HSCs were successfully implanted into the patient. This indicates the possibility of direct transfusion of allogeneic HSCs without any conditioning regimen, during the period of bone marrow suppression after CAR-T cell therapy. Potential reasons for the HSCs were successfully implanted into the patient were: (a) clearance of abnormal tumor cells and reduction of tumor load and (b) inhibition of the body’s systemic immunity, similar to the pre-transplant effect. Concerning the eligibility of the patients or the degree of bone marrow suppression for which HSCs may be successfully implanted, further studies are needed.

So far, there have been no previous reports of HSCs successful engraftment without a conditioning regimen. In this case, the initial purpose of apply allogeneic HSCs was to restore hematopoietic function temporarily, and we did not expect allogeneic HSCs to engraft successfully for patient. aGVHD was a common complication in the allo-HSCT setting, thus, we did not realize that aGVHD would occur, which led to no prophylaxis and treatment being initiated. Multiple laboratory parameters and careful clinical observations may be useful for the early detection the occurrence of aGVHD in the future. CAR-T cell therapy not only induced disease remission but also as a conditioning regimen enabled the successful implantation of HSCs in R/R Ph+ B-cell ALL patient is well-documented. But, how many the HSCs required for successful engraftment would be a more important discussion.

In summary, CAR-T cell therapy induced disease remission simultaneously as a pretreatment protocol enabling successful implantation of allo-HSCs in a patient with R/R Ph+ B-cell ALL patient is well-documented. But, how many the HSCs required for successful engraftment would be a more important discussion.

### TABLE 1 Key clinical events in this case.

| Lines of therapy | Time before or after CAR-T cell therapy | Date of regime | Regime | Date of BM aspiration | Blasts in BM | MRD | BCR-ABL 190 (copies/mL) | Response |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------------|-------------|-----|-----------------------|----------|
| -290 days        | 2016/3/9                               | VDCP+Imatinib   | 2016/4/7 | 0.0%                  | -           | -   | 6.70×10³              | Morphology CR |
| 1 -259 days      | 2016/4/9                               | CAM+Imatinib    | 2016/5/9 | 0.0%                  | -           | -   | 6.39×10³              | Morphology CR |
| -227 days        | 2016/5/11                              | CAM+Imatinib    | 2016/6/9 | 0.0%                  | 0.26%       | 2.75×10³ | Molecular relapse     |
| -194 days        | 2016/6/13                              | HD-MTX+Dasatinib| 2016/7/18 | 0.8%                  | Negative    | 3.47×10³ | Morphology CR         |
| -183 days        | 2016/6/24                              | HD-MTX+Dasatinib| 2016/8/18 | 0.0%                  | Negative    | 4.78×10³ | Morphology CR         |
| -157 days        | 2016/7/20                              | VDCP+Dasatinib  | 2016/9/21 | 11.0%                 | 10.50%      | 2.5×10⁶  | Relapse               |
| -126 days        | 2016/9/26                              | DOAME+Dasatinib | 2016/10/14 | 0.6%                  | Negative    | 0.26%  | Molecular relapse     |
| -89 days         | 2016/11/2                              | DOAME+Dasatinib | 2012/11/23 | 12.0%                 | 20.20%      | 1.69×10⁸  | Relapse               |
| 3 -52 days       | 2016/11/2                              | DOAME+Dasatinib | 2016/11/28 | 33.0%                 | -           | -   | Progression           |
| -26 days         | 2016/12/24                             | Anti-CD19 CAR-T cells infusion | 2017/1/22  | 0% with myelosuppression | -          | -   | -                     |
| 4 -24 days       | 2016/12/24                             | Anti-CD19 CAR-T cells infusion | 2017/1/22  | 0% with myelosuppression | -          | -   | -                     |
| -5 to -3 days    | 2016/12/24                             | Anti-CD19 CAR-T cells infusion | 2017/1/22  | 0% with myelosuppression | -          | -   | -                     |
| 0 to +2 days     | 2016/12/26                             | Anti-CD19 CAR-T cells infusion | 2017/1/22  | 0% with myelosuppression | -          | -   | -                     |
| +34 days         | 2017/1/26                              | HSCs infusion   | 2017/2/4  | 0%                    | Negative    | Negative | Molecular CR |
| +90 days         | 2017/3/23                              | Symptomatic and supportive treatment | 2017/3/27  | 0%                    | Negative    | Negative | Molecular CR |
| +113 days        | 2017/4/15                              | The patient abandoned the treatment | 2017/4/15  | 0%                    | Negative    | Negative | Molecular CR |

BM, bone marrow; MRD, minimal residual disease; CR, complete response; VDCP, vincristine, daunorubicin, cyclophosphamide, and prednisone; CAM, cyclophosphamide, cytarabine, and 6-mercaptopurine; HD-MTX, high-dose methotrexate; DOAME, dexamethasone, vincristine, cytarabine, mitoxantrone, and etoposide; CAG+Pred+L-Asp, cytarabine, aclacinomycin, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor, prednisone, and l-asparaginase; HSCs, hematopoietic stem cells; -: not available.
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