Probiotic Mixture Golden Bifido Prevents Neonatal *Escherichia coli* K1 Translocation via Enhancing Intestinal Defense
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*Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) K1 sepsis and meningitis is a severe infection characterized by high mortality in neonates. Successful colonization and translocation across the intestinal mucosa have been regarded as the critical steps for *E. coli* K1 sepsis and meningitis. We recently reported that the probiotic mixture, Golden Bifido (containing live *Lactobacillus bulgaricus*, *Bifidobacterium*, and *Streptococcus thermophilus*, LBS) has a preventive role against neonatal *E. coli* K1 bacteremia and meningitis. However, the interaction between the neonatal gut barrier, probiotics and *E. coli* K1 is still not elucidated. The present study aims to investigate how LBS exerts its protective effects on neonatal gut barrier during *E. coli* K1 infection. The beneficial effects of LBS were explored in vitro and in vivo using human colon carcinoma cell lines HT-29 and rat model of neonatal *E. coli* K1 infection, respectively. Our results showed that stimulation with *E. coli* K1 was able to cause intestinal barrier dysfunction, which were reflected by *E. coli* K1-induced intestinal damage and apoptosis of intestinal epithelial cells, reduction of mucin, immunoglobulin A (IgA) and tight junction proteins expression, as well as increase in intestinal permeability, all these changes facilitate *E. coli* K1 intestinal translocation. However, these changes were alleviated when HT-29 cells were treated with LBS before *E. coli* K1 infection. Furthermore, we found that LBS-treated neonatal rats (without *E. coli* K1 infection) have showed higher production of mucin, ZO-1, IgA, Ki67 in intestinal mucosa as well as lower intestinal permeability than that of non-treated rats, indicating that LBS could accelerate the development of neonatal intestinal defense. Taken together, our results suggest that enhancement of the neonatal intestinal defense to fight against *E. coli* K1 translocation could be the potential mechanism to elucidate how LBS confers a protective effect against neonatal *E. coli* K1 bacteremia and meningitis. This indirect mechanism makes LBS exert preventive effect on most of gut-derived pathogenic infections rather than only *E. coli*.
INTRODUCTION

Bacterial sepsis and meningitis are regarded as severe infections that lead to high morbidity and mortality during the neonatal period (Bhagat et al., 2015). Among the bacterial pathogens causing sepsis and meningitis, the most common is *Escherichia coli* (E. coli) K1 (Glode et al., 1977). During the past decades, antibiotic resistance has become a major health issue affecting public health (Furuya and Lowy, 2006). The excessive, even indiscriminate use of antibiotics may increase the incidence of neonatal infections with antibiotic-resistant E. coli as well as reduces the efficacy of conventional antibiotic chemotherapy. Currently, the incidence of early onset E. coli infections and ampicillin-resistant E. coli infections has been increased rapidly in low birth weight infants (Simonsen et al., 2014). Given the seriousness of neonatal E. coli K1 infection, development of a non-antibiotic strategy for prevention and treatment has emerged as an urgent issue.

Bacterial translocation refers to migration of bacteria or bacterial products from the intestinal lumen through its epithelium to the extra-intestinal sites, such as the mesenteric lymph nodes and the blood stream (Koutsounas et al., 2015). It has already been established that vertical transmission is the primary route of neonatal E. coli K1 infection, which is usually spread from the maternal birth canal to fetal oral cavity at the time of birth (Kim, 2003; Witcomb et al., 2015). Following initial colonization in the intestinal mucosa, E. coli K1 could penetrate the intestinal mucosal barrier and enter into the blood stream resulting in bacteremia and sepsis (Yousuf et al., 2014). Once reaches a necessary threshold in blood, E. coli K1 penetration across the blood brain barrier and invade the central nervous system, leading to meningitis (Wang et al., 2016). Obviously, the pathogenesis of E. coli K1 is a multi-step process, while successful translocation across the gut barrier could be a crucial step for meningeval invasion by E. coli K1. Although the precise mechanism of neonatal E. coli K1 translocation is not completely understood, it is clear that this complicated process would occur as a result of interactions between the enteropathogenecity of E. coli K1 and the immaturity of the neonatal intestinal defense.

Gut barrier is a multi-layer system consisting of two functional units: physical barrier and functional barrier. The intestinal physical barrier is the first line of defense against pathogens, and it plays an important role in maintaining the segregation between host and intestinal microbiota. From the outer layer to the inner layer, intestinal physical barrier is composed of commensal microbiota, mucus layer, intestinal epithelial cells layer and the epithelial tight junction. Commensal microbiota could compete for adhesion sites and nutritional resource with pathogens, and maintain intestinal homeostasis (Smith et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2015) as well as promote the maturation of the intestinal immune system. Intestinal mucus layer is a dense layer which lie on the surface of intestinal epithelium, contains antimicrobial products and secretory immunoglobulin A (IgA), that prevents bacterial penetration (Hansson, 2012; Ouwerkerk et al., 2013). The last but not the least, the intestinal epithelial layer, which consist of enterocytes, goblet cells, endocrine cells, paneth cells, microfold cells etc., are joined together by tight junctions and form a contiguous and relatively impermeable barrier, inhibiting the translocation of bacteria to inner tissues (Bruewer et al., 2006; Naydenov and Ivanov, 2010; Blume et al., 2016). Below the intestinal physical barrier is the immune barrier, consisting of aggregated lymphoid follicles and scattered immune cells (Constantinovits et al., 2012; Pearson et al., 2012). Many studies have suggested that E. coli K1 infection could induce disruption of the gut physical barrier, and thereby translate across the intestinal lumen. For example, Valeri et al. (2015) found that E. coli K1 could express SsiE, a zinc-metalloprotease, which is associated with the cleavage of mucin and facilitates E. coli adhesion to intestinal epithelium. Burns et al. (2001) demonstrated that E. coli K1 could decrease the transepithelial cell electrical resistance of the intestinal barrier in vitro, indicating that E. coli K1 has potential to break the integrity of the intestinal barrier. Furthermore, Birchenoough et al. (2013) showed that neonatal colonization of rats with neuropathogenic E. coli O18:K1 impaired the intestinal barrier function, including down-regulation of intestinal defensins, mucin and trefoil factor family 2 synthesis. Overall, these studies suggested that E. coli K1 could exert a deleterious effect on gut barrier function.

Poor intestinal defense is another pivotal reason responsible for neonatal susceptibility to E. coli K1 translocation. Recently, Birchenoough et al. (2013) found that 9 days old wistar rat pups were more resistance to gut-originated E. coli K1 infection than 2 days old pups, as 9 days old pups have more sophisticated intestinal defense than 2 days old pups. The same phenomenon occurs in humans, because human neonates are also most susceptible to E. coli K1 infection during the early neonatal period (Holt et al., 2001). Now, it has been found that compared with adult, the intestinal defense of neonate, especially premature and very low birth weight infant, is relatively highly immature, which characterized as follows: (1) an immature intestinal immune system with a few T and B cell as well as a low-level of IgA (Claud, 2009); (2) a thin and sparse intestinal mucus layer (Birchenough et al., 2013); (3) a low diversity in gut flora mainly composed by aerobic bacteria, which is incapable of inhibiting pathogenic colonization and translocation (Madan et al., 2012); (4) low tight junction proteins expression and high intestinal barrier permeability (Weaver et al., 1984; Patel et al., 2012).

Currently, more evidences have demonstrated that probiotics exhibit the potential to maintain the intestinal homeostasis and prevent the bacterial translocation via enhancing intestinal barrier function (Zarieie et al., 2006; Fukuda et al., 2011). Therefore, it is reasonable to predict that the application of probiotics in neonates would be a very promising strategy for preventing or treating neonatal E. coli K1 infection. Indeed, our recent research showed that a probiotic mixture Golden Bifido (contain live *Lactobacillus bulgaricus*, *Bifidobacterium*, and *Streptococcus thermophilus*, LBS) could exert a preventive effect on neonatal rats against gut-derived E. coli K1 infection (Yu et al., 2015). The present study aims at exploring how LBS exert its protective effect. Our data suggested that accelerating the development of neonatal intestinal defense and protecting intestinal barrier from E. coli K1’s enteropathogenecity could be the underlying mechanism to explain the contribution of LBS to neonatal resistance against E. coli K1 infection.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics Statement
All animal experiments were approved by the Animal Care Committee of Southern Medical University (Guangzhou, China). Timed-pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats were obtained from Animal Experimental Center of Southern Medical University and bred in-house. All supplements including food, water, and other nutrients were autoclaved, and animals were kept in the animal facility. The protocol was approved by the Animal Care Committee of Southern Medical University. All surgeries were performed under anesthesia with ketamine and lidocaine, and utmost efforts were taken to minimize suffering.

Bacterial Strains, Cell Lines and Culture Conditions
The probiotics used in this study was obtained from Live Combined bifidobacterium and lactobacillus Tablets [Trade Name: Golden Bifid, Inner Mongolia Shuangqi Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., 0.5 g/tablet, no less than 50 million live Bifidobacterium (ATCC 15697), five million live L. bulgaricus (ATCC 11842) and S. thermophilus (ATCC 19987)]. LBS were grown in MRS broth (De Man, Rogosa, Sharpe; Guangdong Huangkai Science & Technology Co., Ltd., Guangzhou, China) under anaerobic condition at 37°C for 24 h without shaking.

Escherichia coli K1, a rifampicin-resistant E. coli RS218 (O18:K1:H7), was kindly provided by Prof. Sheng-He Huang (University of Southern California, United States). E. coli K1 was isolated from the cerebrospinal fluid of a neonate with meningitis (Weiser and Gotschlich, 1991). The bacterial pathogen, E. coli K1 was incubated at 37°C with constant shaking at 200 revolutions per minute (rpm) in brain-heart infusion (BHI) broth (Guangdong Huangkai Science & Technology Co., Ltd., Guangzhou, China) with rifampicin (100 μg/ml) for 14 h.

The human colon carcinoma cell lines HT-29 was purchased from Shanghai Institute of Cell Biology (Shanghai, China) and routinely cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, United States) with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (PAN, Adenbach, Bavaria), 1% antibiotic mixture (100 mg/ml streptomycin and 100 U/ml penicillin G; Hyclone, Logan, Utah) at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO2 atmosphere.

Adhesion and Invasion Assays
HT-29 cells were cultured in 24-well plates (Corning, United States) and pre-incubated/co-incubated with LBS and/or E. coli K1 for 3 h. After incubation, 10^7 colony forming units (CFU) of E. coli K1 were added to the HT-29 monolayers and further incubated for 2 h at 37°C. The adhesion and invasion assays were performed as follows, respectively. After E. coli K1 infection, monolayers were washed three times with RPMI 1640 medium and lysed by 0.5% Triton X-100 for 8 min (Yu et al., 2015). Number of cell-associated bacteria (recovered from the lysing cells) was counted after 16 h of incubation in the BHI agar plates with rifampicin (100 μg/ml). Each assay was carried out in triplicate wells and repeated at least three times.

Invasion assays were carried out after incubation with bacteria. HT-29 monolayers were washed three times and incubated with a medium containing gentamicin (100 μg/ml) for 1 h at 37°C to eliminate extracellular bacteria (Yu et al., 2015). Then cells were washed and the number of internalized bacteria recovered from the lysing cells was enumerated as described above. Each assay was carried out in triplicate wells and repeated at least three times.

Protein Extraction and Western Blotting Analysis
HT-29 cells were cultured in 6-well plates and grown to complete confluence. The HT-29 monolayers were allocated into four groups: I (PBS), II (LBS), III (E. coli K1), and IV (LBS plus E. coli K1). Group I and III were pre-treated with phosphate buffer saline (PBS) while II and IV were pre-treated with LBS (1 × 10^8 CFU) for 3 h at 37°C. Then, III and IV were incubated with E. coli K1 (1 × 10^8 CFU) while I and II were given PBS for 3 h. After this, cells were washed with cold PBS for three times and lysed with 150 μl radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Shanghai, China) containing 1% Cocktail and 1 mmol/L phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride. The lysing samples were centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 5 min at 4°C and supernatant was collected. The concentration of protein was determined by the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay (Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). All samples were diluted with 5 × SDS loading buffer and denatured at 100°C for 10 min. Equal amounts of proteins (20 μg) were electrophoresed on SDS-polyacrylamide gels and electro-transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Millipore, United States). The membranes were blocked for 1 h at room temperature with 5% skim milk (dissolve in PBS + 0.05% Tween 20, PBST) and incubated with primary antibody occludin, MUC2 (Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom), ZO-1 (Proteintech, Wuhan, China) or β-actin (Bios, Beijing, China). After this, membranes were washed three times and incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-coupled secondary antibody (Dingguo, Beijing, China). The results were observed and analyzed using an enhanced chemiluminescence reagent kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, United States) and Tanon-6200 gel imaging and analysis software. Each assay was repeated at least three times.

Periodic Acid-Schiff (PAS) Assay
We analyzed mucin content using PAS assay as described as previously (García et al., 2009). HT-29 cells were cultured in 6-well plates and treated as mentioned in the western blotting assay. Then treated cells were collected and lysed using RIPA buffer to obtain soluble ingredients. Each sample was placed in the 96-well plate (10 μl/well) followed by added 200 μl 0.1% periodic acid (Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). The plate was incubated for 2 h at 37°C, then 200 μl of Schiff’s reagent (Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) was added and incubated at room temperature for half an hour. Optical density (OD) of the resulting solution was detected at 555 nm wavelengths and taken as a measure of the amount...
of PAS positive product. Each assay was performed in triplicate wells and repeated three times.

To explore the morphological alterations of mucin layers during LBS and/or *E. coli* K1 treatment, HT-29 monolayers were cultured in 24-well plate and treated as described in the western blotting assay. Then monolayers were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C overnight and stained using a PAS kit (Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's instruction. The results were observed and analyzed using light microscopy.

**Immunofluorescence of MUC2**

HT-29 monolayers were cultured in 24-well plates and treated as described in the western blotting assay. Monolayers were washed with PBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature for 10 min. Then cells were blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBST for 30 min followed by incubation with rabbit anti- MUC2 antibody overnight at 4°C. Cells were washed four times with PBST and incubated with DyLight 488-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody (Abbkine, United States) at room temperature for 1 h followed by incubation with Hoechst 33342 for 10 min. Results were observed using fluorescence microscopy (Nikon Eclipse: TE 2000-E, Japan). Each assay was performed in triplicate wells and repeated three times.

**Bacterial Translocation and Transepithelial Permeability Measurements**

HT-29 cells were cultured in the Transwell insert (6.5 mm diameter, 3 µm pore size, Corning Costar Corp., United States) and divided into four groups: I (PBS), II (LBS), III (*E. coli* K1), and IV (LBS plus *E. coli* K1). Group I and III were pre-treated with PBS while II and IV were pre-treated with LBS (2 × 10^9 CFU) for 3 h at 37°C. Three hours later, PBS and *E. coli* K1 (2 × 10^9 CFU) were added to the upper chamber of Transwell inserts in I and II, III and IV group, respectively, and incubated for 2 h at 37°C. After this, HRP was added to the upper chamber of all the Transwell inserts and incubated for 1 h at 37°C. Afterward, 20 µl medium were extracted from the lower chamber, one half was transferred into 96-well plate for testing the OD value of HRP, and the another half was diluted and plated on BHI agar plates for bacterial number counting. Each assay was performed in triplicate wells and repeated three times.

**Cell Cytotoxicity Assay**

Cells were cultured in 96-well plate and treated as described in the western blotting assay. HT-29 cell damage was detected using Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH) activity assay according to the manufacturer's instruction of LDH detection kit (Suzhou Keming Science & Technology Co., Ltd., Suzhou, China) (Borosmajewska et al., 2015). Briefly, LDH activity was tested in both the culture supernatant and adherent cells. LDH content from the culture supernatant was defined as index of cytotoxic cells, while LDH from the adherent cells represented the total LDH. LDH content was tested by microtitre plate reader (wavelength: 572 nm), the percent cytotoxicity was calculated as follows: %Cytotoxicity = [(Experimental sample−Background)/Total LDH release] × 100. Total LDH release was achieved by lysing cells in 1% Tritonx-100. The data were expressed as a percentage of the control (untreated HT-29 cells).

**Cell Apoptosis Assay**

Cellular apoptosis was tested by Hoechst 33342/propidium iodide (PI) double staining method (Nie et al., 2016). Cells were cultured in 24-well plates and treated as described in western blotting assay. In brief, 1 µg/ml PI (Biosharp, Hefei, China) and 2 µg/ml Hoechst 33342 (Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) were added into each well and co-incubated for 20 min in the dark room. To minimize background, cells were washed with PBS for three times after incubation. Results were observed using a fluorescence microscopy (Nikon Eclipse: TE 2000-E, Japan). Each assay was performed in triplicate wells and repeated three times.

**Neonatal Rat Model of Gut-Derived *E. coli* K1 Infection**

We used the neonatal rat model to explore how LBS exert the prophylactic effect against neonatal *E. coli* K1 translocation similar with our recent research (Yu et al., 2015). Twenty pathogen-free Sprague-Dawley rat pups (2 days old) were divided randomly into four groups: I (PBS), II (LBS), III (*E. coli* K1), and IV (LBS plus *E. coli* K1). Pups were given LBS (1 × 10^9 CFU) or PBS by oral gavage once a day for 3 days. Afterward, all pups in groups III and IV received 5 × 10^9 CFU of *E. coli* K1 with the same delivery approach. Two days after *E. coli* K1 infection, all pups were anesthetized with ketamine and lidocaine, the intestinal tissues were removed and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, and embedded in paraffin for immunohistochemical analysis. Intestinal colonization and bacteremia were determined as described previously via culturing the stool and blood samples, respectively, on Luria-Bertani agar plates with rifampin (50 µg/ml) (Yu et al., 2015).

**PAS Staining and Immunohistochemical Staining**

Paraffin-embedded tissue sections were stained using PAS stain kit according to manufacturer's instruction. The PAS-stained sections were counterstained with hematoxylin and observed using light microscopy.

For immunohistochemical staining, paraffin-embedded tissue sections were deparaffinized and the antigen was retrieved. Sections were blocked with normal goat serum and incubated at 4°C with primary antibody specific for Ki67, IgA, and ZO-1, respectively. Then the sections were incubated with HRP-conjugated second antibodies with 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH = 7.4) containing DAB (3, 3'-diaminobenzidine) and counterstained with hematoxylin. Images were obtained using a light microscopy. Digital images were collected from at least three
different fields per section and quantified by NIH image analysis software (Image J).

**Intestinal Permeability Assay**

Intestinal permeability was assessed by fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-dextran leakage assay. Neonatal rats were treated as described above. Forty-four hours after *E. coli* K1 infection, all pups were gavaged with FITC-dextran (600 mg/kg, MW 4000, Santa Cruz, CA, United States). Four hours later, all pups were anaesthetized with ketamine and lidocaine. Blood was collected through heart puncture and FITC concentrations were measured using a fluorescence spectrophotometer.

**Statistical Analysis**

All experiments were performed at least three times and the data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Unpaired t-test was used to analyze the difference between two groups, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for analyzing the difference among three or more groups. All analyses were performed by Graphpad Prism 5 software. 

**RESULTS**

**Pre-treatment with LBS Mitigates *E. coli* K1-Induced Down-Regulation of Mucin**

Golden Bifid is a well-known trade name of a complex-probiotic-preparation, which containing living *Bifidobacterium longum*, *L. bulgaricus*, and *S. thermophilus*. As probiotic property is characteristic of some specific strain, we have performed real time PCR to assure that, these strains are existing in MRS broth. Our results suggested that all these three strains were included under condition of growth in MRS broth (data not shown). Previous study reported that *E. coli* K1 could be able to degrade mucin layer on the intestinal surface (Valeri et al., 2015). As the mucin layer is the first barrier that *E. coli* K1 encounter in the intestine (Corfield et al., 2001; Kim and Ho, 2010), we initially explored the possibility of LBS protecting the mucin from *E. coli* K1-induced degradation. As shown in Figure 1A, infection with *E. coli* K1 significantly down-regulated the production of mucin. In contrast, pre-treatment with LBS could alleviate *E. coli* K1-induced loss of mucin. Similar results were observed with morphological alterations of mucin layers. As shown in Figure 1B, PBS or LBS treated HT-29 monolayers were covered with a purple and thick mucin layer. However, infection with *E. coli* K1 led to a lilac, thin and fractured mucin. In contrast, incubation with LBS before *E. coli* K1 infection could prevent the *E. coli* K1-induced disruption of the mucin layer. Mucin2 (MUC2) is the major components of the intestinal mucosa, we further performed immunoblotting and immunostaining to examine the expression of MUC2 in HT-29 cells during stimulation with LBS and/or *E. coli* K1. As expected, infection with *E. coli* K1 could decrease the MUC2 expression while pre-treatment with LBS was able to reverse *E. coli* K1-mediated mucin depletion (Figures 1C,D).

**LBS Inhibits Adhesion/Invasion of *E. coli* K1 to HT-29 Cells**

Adhesion and invasion to intestinal epithelium are the pivotal steps in intestinal bacterial translocation and thereafter to enter the circulation resulting in a systemic infection. We next determined whether LBS has the inhibitory effect on adhesion and invasion of *E. coli* K1. Two sets of experiments were performed. In one set, HT-29 cells were stimulated simultaneously with LBS (10^7 or 10^8 CFU/well) and *E. coli* K1 (10^7 CFU/well) (Figures 2A,B). In another set, HT-29 cells were pre-incubated with LBS for 3 h (−3 h indicated in Figures 2C,D) before exposure to *E. coli* K1 or co-incubation with LBS and *E. coli* K1, this set was established to explore whether the inhibitory effect of pre-treatment with LBS was superior to co-treatment. As shown in Figures 2A–D, in both the pre- and co-incubation group, lower number of *E. coli* K1 CFU were observed in LBS-treated groups as compared with that of control. Interestingly, we found the number of *E. coli* K1 CFU recovered from pre-incubated group was fewer compared with that of co-incubated group (Figures 2C,D). Together, these results suggested that LBS could inhibit adhesion and invasion of *E. coli* K1 to intestinal cells, and the preventive effect of LBS is superior to its therapeutic effect.

**LBS Could Attenuate the *E. coli* K1-Induced Cytotoxicity and Apoptosis in HT-29 Cells**

Induction of intestinal epithelial cells damage and apoptosis is an important approach for *E. coli* K1 translocation across the gut barrier (Pietzak et al., 2004). It is interestingly to determine whether the pre-treatment with LBS could alleviate *E. coli* K1-induced damage and apoptosis of enterocyte. LDH is an intracellular enzyme that is released from the cells after it is subjected to membrane damage (Soman et al., 2008). We used the LDH activity assay to explore the protective effect of LBS on HT-29 cells. As shown in Figure 3A, no significant difference in LDH activity was observed after LBS treatment, while cells exposed to *E. coli* K1 showed a significant increase in LDH activity. In contrast, pre-treatment with LBS could decrease the LDH release caused by *E. coli* K1 infection.

Hoechst 33342 and PI double staining are routinely used to distinguish live and dead cells. When cells are in the late apoptotic stage or in the early necrotic stage, the nuclei are red in color, whereas the nuclei of live cells appear blue. In Figure 3B, Hoechst-PI staining showed that *E. coli* K1 could induce the apoptosis of cells and pretreatment with LBS reversed it. These results suggested that pre-treatment with LBS could alleviate *E. coli* K1-induced cell death and apoptosis.

**Pretreatment with LBS Inhibits *E. coli* K1-Induced Disruption of Intestinal Integrity and Translocation**

Tight junctions seal the intercellular space of intestinal epithelial cells to form a contiguous and relatively impermeable barrier that regulates the passage of antigen through the paracellular pathway.
Lactobacillus bulgaricus, Bifidobacterium, and Streptococcus thermophilus (LBS) alleviates mucin degradation during Escherichia coli K1 infection. HT-29 cells were pre-treated with or without LBS before E. coli K1 infection. Cells treated with PBS or LBS alone were served as controls. Cellular proteins were extracted for (A) periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) assay and (C) western blot analysis. (B) HT-29 monolayers were stained with PAS and observed under light microscope (100× magnification). (D) Immunofluorescence of MUC2 was obtained by fluorescence microscopy (200× magnification), green staining represents the MUC2, the cell nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342 (blue). Results are represented as mean ± SD. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.001.

Lactobacillus bulgaricus, Bifidobacterium, and Streptococcus thermophilus inhibits adhesion and invasion of E. coli K1 to HT-29 cells. HT-29 cells were cultured in 24-well plate and pre-treated with various doses of LBS for 3 h before adding E. coli K1 or co-incubated with LBS plus E. coli K1. Adhesion and invasion assays were carried out as described in section “Materials and Methods.” The numbers of associated bacteria (A,C) and intracellular bacteria (B,D) were determined. Results are represented as mean ± SD. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.
However, pathogens such as *E. coli* K1 could compromise intestinal integrity and translocation across the intestinal barrier into the blood stream, leading to systemic infection (Valeri et al., 2015). We next tested whether LBS could modulate the intestinal integrity during *E. coli* K1 infection. As shown in Figure 4A, in contrast to the untreated HT-29 monolayer (control), cells infected with *E. coli* K1 alone developed higher concentration of HRP, indicating that *E. coli* K1 could impair the intestinal integrity, and consequently led to intestinal barrier leakage. Interestingly, this obvious increase of HRP leakage could be significantly prevented by pre-treatment with LBS. Meanwhile, the number of bacteria translocated from the upper chamber to the lower chamber, which was higher in only *E. coli* K1 infection group, also decreased in LBS pre-treated group (Figure 4B). These findings suggested that LBS was able to inhibit *E. coli* K1-induced disruption of intestinal integrity and block its translocation.

In order to further explore the protective effect of LBS on intestinal integrity, we performed immunoblotting assay to detect the expressions of two main tight junction proteins, ZO-1 and occludin. As expected, pretreatment with LBS could attenuate ZO-1 and occludin degradation during *E. coli* K1 infection (Figure 4C). These results indicated that LBS could reduce *E. coli* K1-mediated injury of intestinal integrity and thereby prevent from intestinal translocation of *E. coli* K1.

Administration with LBS Prevents *E. coli* K1-Induced Dysfunction of Intestinal Defense in Neonatal Rats

Next, we used the animal models of neonatal rats *E. coli* K1 bacteremia and meningitis to explore how LBS exerts prophylactic effect against neonatal gut-derived *E. coli* K1 infection. In this animal model, the course of *E. coli* K1 infection mimics the natural route of intestinal colonization, translocation, bacteremia, sepsis and meningitis found in the human neonate. As shown in Table 1, the colonization of *E. coli* K1 in the intestinal tract and bacteremia incidence of LBS-treated pups was decreased significantly compared with that of non-treated pups. These results indicated again that LBS could prevent neonatal *E. coli* K1 translocation. As mucin, IgA, ZO-1 and intestinal barrier functions are important for intestinal defense against bacterial translocation (Jakaitis and Denning, 2014), we performed immunohistochemical staining and FITC-dextran leakage assay to determine whether stimulation with *E. coli* K1 could impair these intestinal defenses. As shown in Figure 5, PAS staining in intestinal tissue slice revealed that, infection with *E. coli* K1 could decrease the PAS-positive cells per villus compared with the control (PBS-treated pups). Similarly, Figure 6 showed that *E. coli* K1 infected pups revealed a lower expression level of ZO-1 and IgA as well as a higher intestinal permeability. However, when pre-treated with LBS, all these detrimental effects of *E. coli* K1 were reversed (Figures 5, 6). These data suggested that alleviating the detrimental effects of *E. coli* K1 on intestinal defense could explain the preventive role of LBS against neonatal *E. coli* K1 translocation.

As mentioned in the Introduction section, intestinal barrier function always not fully developed in the early neonatal period, which is the important reason responsible for neonatal susceptibility to *E. coli* K1 translocation. A previously published study reported that, the commensal and probiotic bacteria might prevent neonatal necrotizing enterocolitis by maturing intestinal defenses (Jakaitis and Denning, 2014). Is it interestingly to explore whether LBS could promote the formation of neonatal intestinal defense. To explore this issue, we compared the expression levels of mucin, IgA and ZO-1, and intestinal permeability between LBS-treated and non-treated pups. Results showed that treatment with LBS could not only increase mucin, IgA and ZO-1 expression, but also decrease intestinal permeability (Figures 5, 6). These results indicated that LBS could promote maturation of neonatal intestinal defenses.

**LBS Pre-treatment Restores the Proliferative Capacity of Epithelial Cells in *E. coli* K1 Infected Small Intestine**

We further investigated whether *E. coli* K1 infection could affect the capacity of ileal cells to repair the damaged intestinal epithelia. Ki67 staining was used to detect mitotic cells. We found fewer numbers of Ki67-positive dividing cells in *E. coli* K1 infected pups compared with the PBS and LBS treated pups (Figures 7A, B). In contrast, pretreatment of rats with LBS before *E. coli* K1 infection...
FIGURE 4 | Lactobacillus bulgaricus, Bifidobacterium, and Streptococcus thermophilus prevents E. coli K1-induced disruption of intestinal integrity. HT-29 cells were cultured on the upper chamber of the Transwell insert and pre-treated with or without LBS before E. coli K1 infection. Cells treated with PBS or LBS alone were served as controls. After infection, HRP was added to the upper chamber of Transwell for 1 h. Bacteria and HRP translocated from the upper chamber to the lower chamber were quantified as described in section “Materials and Methods.” (A) The OD450 value of HRP. (B) The number of E. coli K1 CFU, which penetrated across the HT-29 monolayer. Results are represented as mean ± SD. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.001. (C) HT-29 cells were treated as described in Figure 1, proteins of each group were isolated for western blotting. The expressions of ZO-1 and occludin was determined, β-Actin band was used as an indicator of protein loading.

TABLE 1 | The population of Escherichia coli K1 colonization and incidence of bacteremia.

| Treatment          | Colonization of E. coli K1 in intestinal tract (CFU/pups, mean ± SD) | Incidence of bacteremia |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| E. coli K1         | 1.34 × 10^8 ± 0.39                                                  | 60%                     |
| LBS+E. coli K1     | 3.98 × 10^7 ± 0.49                                                  | 0                       |

*P < 0.01.

infection could restore the number of Ki67-positive dividing cells. Interestingly, we found that LBS treated pups also had more Ki67-positive dividing cells than that of non-treated pups, indicated that LBS was able to promote intestinal epithelial cell proliferation.

DISCUSSION

More than a simple anatomical structure, the gut barrier represents a functional unit, which plays a key role in maintenance of intestinal homeostasis and prevention of gastrointestinal and extra-intestinal diseases. E. coli principally resides in the gut, but could also translocate to distant organs such as the bladder and brain, where they can cause urinary tract infections and meningitis. We have recently showed that LBS-inhibited E. coli K1 translocation across the intestinal barrier was mediated by MUC2 (Yu et al., 2015). In this study, we further discovered that exposure to E. coli K1 could impair gut barrier function as reflected by inducing intestinal epithelial apoptosis and injury to various intestinal defenses including mucin, IgA and tight junction proteins expression as well as integrity of the gut barrier. However, pretreatment with LBS could protect gut barrier from intrusion of E. coli K1. Furthermore, we found that LBS-treated neonatal pups have a more mature intestinal defense, as reflected by higher expression of mucin, ZO-1, IgA and lower intestinal permeability than that of non-treated pups. These data suggested that neonatal treatment with LBS promotes the formation of the intestinal epithelial barrier and confer a high resistance to E. coli K1 insult, and thereby prevent its translocation (Figure 8).

The intestinal physical barrier consists of the gut microbiota, mucous layer, intestinal epithelial layer and the epithelial junction adhesion complex. A single layer of intestinal epithelium is composed of enterocytes, goblet cells, paneth cells and microfold cells (Watson et al., 2014). These cells contribute to the formation
FIGURE 5 | Effects of LBS on mucin expression of neonatal colon tissue with or without E. coli K1 infection. Two days old neonatal rats were orally administered with or without LBS for 3 days before E. coli K1 infection. Pups administered with PBS or LBS alone was served as controls. (A) Colon tissues received different treatment were processed with PAS stain and visualized by light microscopy (200× magnification). (B) The number of PAS-positive cells was calculated. Results are represented as mean ± SD. ∗P < 0.05, ∗∗P < 0.01.

FIGURE 6 | Effects of LBS on ZO-1, IgA expressions and the permeability of neonatal intestinal tract with or without E. coli K1 infection. Two days old neonatal rats were treated as described in Figure 5. Paraffin-embedded small intestinal tissues were prepared for ZO-1 (A) and IgA (D) staining. Images were visualized by light microscopy (400× magnification). Semi-quantitative analysis of ZO-1 (B) and IgA (E) were performed by Image J. (C) The intestinal permeability was measured by FITC-dextran leakage assay. The value of PBS group was assigned as 1. Data were represented as mean ± SD, n = 5. ∗P < 0.05, ∗∗P < 0.01, ∗∗∗P < 0.001.

of intestinal barrier according to its specific differentiation characteristics, including secretion of the mucin, production of antimicrobial peptides, formation of tight junction and antigen presentation and so on. Thus, intestinal epithelium is a key component in the arsenal of defense mechanisms required to prevent bacterial translocation. Human colon carcinoma cell lines HT-29 is a valuable tool for studies of intestinal epithelial function in vitro, as it could express differentiation features, including production of mucus and formation of steady intercellular tight junction (Rousset, 1986). Using this model, we established that LBS has multiple protective role on epithelial barrier function during E. coli K1 infection, including: (1) blockage of E. coli K1-caused loss of mucin layer; (2) inhibition of adhesion and invasion of E. coli K1 to
intestinal cells; (3) alleviation of *E. coli* K1-induced epithelial cell damage and apoptosis; (4) modulation of integrity of intestinal barrier. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study reported regarding the multiple protective effects of probiotics on intestinal physical barrier during *E. coli* infection. It is possible that a mixture of probiotics may beneficially impact the overall profile of intestinal barriers, and different probiotic strains may confer synergistic benefits to intestinal epithelial functions. This hypothesis is supported by many previous experimental studies, which indicated that a single probiotic strain has failed to exert its preventive role on bacterial translocation (Bauer et al., 2002; Soriano et al., 2012). Furthermore, it would be interesting and informative to explore whether the dose of multi-strain probiotic is lower than that of single strains for preventing neonatal bacterial translocation. Although, normally non-pathogenic, probiotics could also exhibit potential harmful effects in high doses in neonates and preterm babies (Giliborg et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012; Patel et al., 2012).

The barrier function of the intestinal mucosa is immature in newborns, especially in low birth weight infants and preterm babies. Undeveloped intestinal defenses in the neonatal period may provide opportunities for pathogenic translocation across the gut barrier into the blood stream, leading to systemic inflammation and infection. It has been established that the mature intestine has many physical barriers to prevent the establishment of pathogenic bacteria, such as peristalsis, microbial antagonism by commensal microflora, cell surface mucus and IgA, and tight junctions between intestinal epithelial cells. All these are designed to limit bacteria to the gut lumen and prevent attachment and translocation across the intestinal
epithelium. However, the mature intestinal defense, which is equipped with dense intestinal mucin and IgA layer, stable tight junction and low intestinal permeability, always not fully developed in early neonatal period (Claud, 2009; Patel et al., 2012; Birchenough et al., 2013). In the present study, we found that LBS could increase the expression of mucin, IgA and ZO-1 in the intestinal tissue of neonatal rats as well as decreased the intestinal permeability. Furthermore, LBS could also promote proliferation of neonatal enterocytes. These data suggested that intensifying the development of neonatal intestinal defense could be the underlying mechanism to explain the contribution of LBS to neonatal resistance against gut-derived E. coli K1 infection.

Gut microbiome is largely subjected to dynamic changes after birth and plays an important role within our body, such as modulation of many metabolic pathways, nutrient and drug metabolism, maintenance of epithelial integrity, regulation of gastrointestinal peristalsis and maturation of the intestinal immune system (Sommer and Bäckhed, 2013; Deshmukh et al., 2014). The alteration of gut microbiota is often associated with several disorders, such as inflammatory bowel disease, metabolic syndrome, obesity and irritable bowel syndrome (Kronman et al., 2012; Cammarota et al., 2014). A recent study has demonstrated that a balanced intestinal microbiota plays a key role in neonatal resistance to E. coli K1 sepsis (Deshmukh et al., 2014). Thus, the limit of the present study is not to explore the effect of LBS on the colonization and formation of neonatal intestinal commensal bacteria, which have been proved to of a very important role on the fight with E. coli K1 infection (Deshmukh et al., 2014). We speculated that LBS could promote development of neonatal intestinal microbiota. This hypothesis is based on two rational bases. Firstly, previous study showed that mucus could provide glycan-dependent anchoring sites and nutrients to intestinal commensal microbiota besides protecting the enterocyte from pathogenic assault (Yan et al., 2016). Thus, LBS may help in the colonization of commensal bacteria by inducing mucin production. Secondly, IgA is a dominant Ig isotype that plays a critical role in intestinal immune function (Wittig and Zeitz, 2003). It has been established that IgA promotes the establishment of microbiota composition and maintains the diversity of microbiota (Fransen et al., 2015). Moreover, research has shown that IgA is able to exclude the pathogenic microbes and control the commensal microbes by maintaining the homeostasis of gut flora (Macpherson et al., 2008). Thus, inducing IgA expression by LBS may play an important role in formation, development and maintenance of intestinal microbiota composition. Another important issue is whether the culture supernatant of LBS exerts protective role on neonatal intestinal mucous. More recently, probiotic-derived soluble factors (defined as “postbiotics”) have been suggested to have beneficial properties as same as their original “parent”-live probiotics (Tsilingiri et al., 2012). Postbiotics have been implicated to exhibit a beneficial effect on intestinal barrier through inducing mucin production, maintenance of intestinal barrier integrity and in promoting immune function (Tsilingiri and Rescigno, 2012). Furthermore, it has been established that in normal flora, Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus could directly exert antibacterial effects on pathogens by producing bacteriocins and toxic acidic substances (Servin, 2004). Thus, it is reasonable to speculate that LBS culture supernatant may also exhibit the preventive effects toward infectious pathogens. Actually, during the initial experiment, we have assessed the antimicrobial activity of LBS in vitro by growing E. coli K1 in the presence of LBS. E. coli K1 were incubated with 1 × 10⁸ CFU of LBS in BHI broth or cell culture media (RPMI 1640 + 1% fetal bovine serum) for 1 to 8 h at 37°C. The bacterial growth curves were determined by measuring the OD₆₀₀ at each time point. The results showed that LBS is unable to inhibit E. coli K1 multiplication when co-incubation time is less than 4 h. In contrast, the killing effect was revealed if the co-incubation time is more than 4 h. These results indicated that, in our study, the LBS-mediated inhibitory effect on E. coli K1 infection in vitro may not be via its antibacterial agent. It is possible that the incubation time less than 4 h is not enough for LBS mixture to secrete antibacterial agent, such as bacteriocins. However, we could not rule out the possibility that LBS-secreted antibacterial agents have no positive effect in vivo.

Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, there is no study aimed to investigate the health effects of postbiotics come from probiotics mixture. Thus, our ongoing work will involve the beneficial effect of LBS culture supernatant.

In summary, our study suggested that LBS enhanced neonatal resistance to E. coli K1 infection could be mediated through maturation of neonatal intestinal defense. Our data also indicated that LBS have great potential to act as an effective prophylaxis for immature intestine-associated diseases, such as inflammatory bowel disease, infectious enteritis and necrotizing enterocolitis. Hopefully, understanding the effect and mechanism of probiotics on basic intestinal pathophysiology will influence how they will be used clinically in the future.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

HC, QZ, XH, SP, and S-HH conceived and designed the experiment. QZ, XH, HX, ZG, LC, and HT performed the experiment. XH, QZ, SB, SP, HX, ZG, HT, LC, and SH analyzed the data. SH contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools. QZ, XH, SP, SB, SH, and HC participated in its design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**FUNDING**

This study was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81370740 to S-HH), and the Grant from School of Public Health of Southern Medical University, China (No. GW201601 to HC).

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

We are grateful to Inner Mongolia Shuang Qi Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., for generously provided probiotic mixture Golden Bifido.
REFERENCES

Bauer, T. M., Fernández, J., Navasa, M., Vila, J., and Rodés, J. (2002). Failure of Lactobacillus spp. to prevent bacterial translocation in a rat model of experimental cirrhosis. J. Hepatol. 36, 501–506. doi: 10.1016/S0168-8278(02)00033-X

Bhattacharyya, R., Hussain, S. Q., Gattoo, I. A., and Wani, S. A. (2015). Incidence of meningitis in late onset sepsis. Int. J. Contemp. Pediatr. 2, 96–102. doi: 10.5455/ijcp.20150507

Birchenough, G. M., Johansson, M. E., Stabler, R. A., Dalgakiran, F., Hansson, G. C., Wren, B. W., et al. (2013). Altered innate defenses in the neonatal gastrointestinal tract in response to colonization by neuropathogenic Escherichia coli. Infect. Immun. 81, 3264–3275. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00268-13

Blume, C., David, J., Bell, R. E., Laver, J. R., Read, R. C., Clark, G. C., et al. (2016). Modulation of human airway barrier functions during Burkholderia thailandensis and Francisella tularensis infection running title: airway barrier functions during bacterial infections. Pathogens 5:E53. doi: 10.3390/pathogens5030053

Borosmajewska, J., Wei, X., Milewski, S., and Williams, D. W. (2015). A novel probiotic administration to preterm pigs. PLoS ONE 10, e0134066. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0134066

Macpherson, A. J., Mccoy, K. D., Johansen, F. E., and Brandtzæg, P. (2008). The immune geography of IgA induction and function. Microbes Infect. 11, 11–22. doi: 10.1016/j.microbiol.2007.6

Madden, J. C., Salari, R. C., Saxena, D., Davidson, L., O’toole, G. A., Moore, J. H., et al. (2012). Gut microbial colonisation in premature neonates predicts neonatal sepsis. Arch. Dis. Child. Fetal Neonatal Ed. 97, 456–462. doi: 10.1136/fetalneonatal-2011-30373

Naydenov, N. G., and Ivanov, A. I. (2010). Adducins regulate remodeling of apical junctional complexes in leukemic cells infected with Candida albicans. J. Appl. Microbiol. 119, 223–230. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2010.04584.x

Nie, C., Zhou, J., Qin, X., Shi, X., Zeng, Q., Liu, J., et al. (2016). Reduction of Enterobacteriaceae with Bifidobacterium animalis subsp. infantis La1 without antioxidants does not decrease bacterial translocation in rats with carbon tetrachloride-induced cirrhosis. J. Hepatol. 57, 1395–1396. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2012.07.019

Jakaitis, B. M., and Denning, P. W. (2014). Commensal and probiotic bacteria may prevent NEC by maturing intestinal host defenses. Pathobiology 21, 47–54. doi: 10.1159/000347706

Kim, K. S. (2003). Pathogenesis of bacterial meningitis: from bacteremia to neuronal injury. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 4, 376–385. doi: 10.1038/nrn11103

Kim, Y. S., and Ho, S. B. (2010). Intestinal goblet cells and mucins in health and disease: recent insights and progress. Curr. Gastroenterol. Rep. 12, 319–330. doi: 10.1007/s11894-010-0131-2

Koutsounas, I., Kaltsa, G., Siakavellas, S. I., and Bamias, G. (2015). Markers of bacterial translocation in end-stage liver disease. World J. Hepatol. 7, 2264–2273. doi: 10.4254/wjh.v7.i20.2264

Kronman, M. P., Zaoutis, T. E., Haynes, K., Feng, R., and Coffin, S. E. (2012). Antibiotic exposure and IBR development among children: a population-based cohort study. Pediatrics 130, e794–e803. doi: 10.1542/peds.2011-3886

Lin, X. Q., Zhu, Y. H., Zhang, F. Y., Yue, Y., Cai, Z. X., Lu, Q. P., et al. (2012). Risks associated with high-dose Lactobacillus rhamnosus in an Escherichia coli model of piglet diarrhoea: intestinal microbiota and immune imbalances. PLoS ONE 7:e40666. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0040666

Zeng, et al.

PROBIOTICS ENHANCING NEONATAL INTESTINAL DEFENSE

September 2017 | Volume 8 | Article 12
Zeng et al. Probiotics Enhancing Neonatal Intestinal Defense

Tsilingiri, K., Barbosa, T., Penna, G., Caprioli, F., Sonzogni, A., Viale, G., et al. (2012). Probiotic and postbiotic activity in health and disease: comparison on a novel polarised ex-vivo organ culture model. 

Gut 61, 1007–1015. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2011-300971

Tsilingiri, K., and Rescigno, M. (2012). Postbiotics: What else? 

Benef. Microbes 4, 101–107. doi: 10.3920/BM2012.0046

Valeri, M., Paccani, S. R., Kasendra, M., Nesta, B., Serino, L., Pizza, M., et al. (2015). Pathogenic E. coli exploits SslE mucinase activity to translocate through the mucosal barrier and get access to host cells. 

PLOS ONE 10:e0117486. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0117486

Wang, S., Peng, L., Gai, Z., Zhang, L., Jong, A., Cao, H., et al. (2016). Pathogenic triad in bacterial meningitis: pathogen invasion, NF-κB activation, and leukocyte transmigration that occur at the blood-brain barrier. 

Front. Microbiol. 7:148. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00148

Watson, C. L., Mahe, M. M., Múnera, J., Howell, J. C., Sundaram, N., Poling, H. M., et al. (2014). An in vivo model of human small intestine using pluripotent stem cells. 

Nat. Med. 20, 1310–1314. doi: 10.1038/nm.3737

Weaver, L. T., Laker, M. F., and Nelson, R. (1984). Intestinal permeability in the newborn. 

Arch. Dis. Child. 59, 236–241. doi: 10.1136/adc.59.3.236

Weiser, J. N., and Gotschlich, E. C. (1991). Outer membrane protein A (OmpA) contributes to serum resistance and pathogenicity of Escherichia coli K-1. 

Infect. Immun. 59, 2252–2258.

Witcomb, L. A., Collins, J. W., McCarthy, A. J., Frankel, G., and Taylor, P. W. (2015). Bioluminescent imaging reveals novel patterns of colonization and invasion in systemic Escherichia coli K1 experimental infection in the neonatal rat. 

Infect. Immun. 83, 4528–4540. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00953-15

Wittig, B., and Zeitz, M. (2003). The gut as an organ of immunology. 

Int. J. Colorectal Dis. 18, 181–187.

Yan, F., Liu, L., Cao, H., Moore, D. J., Washington, M. K., Wang, B., et al. (2016). Neonatal colonization of mice with LGG promotes intestinal development and decreases susceptibility to colitis in adulthood. 

Mucosal Immunol. 10, 117–127. doi: 10.1038/mi.2016.43

Yang, F., Wang, A., Zeng, X., Hou, C., Liu, H., and Qiao, S. (2015). Lactobacillus reuteri 15007 modulates tight junction protein expression in IPEC-J2 cells with LPS stimulation and in newborn piglets under normal conditions. 

BMC Microbiol. 15:32. doi: 10.1186/s12866-015-0372-1

Yousuf, F. A., Yousuf, Z., Iqbal, J., Siddiqui, R., Khan, H., and Khan, N. A. (2014). Interactions of neuropathogenic Escherichia coli K1 (RS218) and its derivatives lacking genomic islands with phagocytic acanthamoeba castellanii and nonphagocytic brain endothelial cells. 

Biomed Res. Int. 2014:265424. doi: 10.1155/2014/265424

Yu, J. Y., He, X. L., Puthiyakunnan, S., Peng, L., Li, Y., Wu, L. S., et al. (2015). Mucin2 is required for probiotic agents-mediated blocking effects on meningitic E. coli-induced pathogenicities. 

J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 25, 1751–1760. doi: 10.4014/jmb.1502.02010

Zariee, M., Johnson-Henry, K., Jury, J., Yang, P. C., Ngn, B. Y., Mckay, D. M., et al. (2006). Probiotics prevent bacterial translocation and improve intestinal barrier function in rats following chronic psychological stress. 

Gut 55, 1553–1560. doi: 10.1136/gut.2005.080739

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest. 

Copyright © 2017 Zeng, He, Puthiyakunnan, Xiao, Gong, Boddu, Chen, Tian, Huang and Cao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.