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Abstract

Low-energy particle production perpendicular to the event plane in three-jet events produced in $Z$ decays in $e^+e^-$ annihilation is measured and compared to that perpendicular to the event axis in two-jet events. The topology dependence of the hadron production ratio is found to agree with a leading-order QCD prediction. This agreement and especially the need for the presence of a destructive interference term gives evidence for the coherent nature of gluon radiation. Hadron production in three-jet events is found to be directly proportional to a single topological scale function of the inter-jet angles. The slope of the dependence of the multiplicity with respect to the topological scale was measured to be $2.211 \pm 0.014\text{(stat.)} \pm 0.053\text{(syst.)}$ in good agreement with the expectation given by the colour-factor ratio $C_A/C_F = 9/4$. This result strongly supports the assumption of local parton–hadron duality, LPHD, at low hadron momentum.

1. Introduction

Quantum-mechanical interference effects are basic to the gauge theories of fundamental interactions such as quantum chromodynamics, QCD. The probabilistic parton model of strong interactions, however, neglecting interference effects still successfully describes many processes as an incoherent sum of the individual sub-processes. In fact it has proved difficult to show strong-interaction interference effects in high-energy inelastic processes like $e^+e^-$ annihilation, deep-inelastic scattering or $pp$ interactions. Evidence for coherent soft gluon emission in multiparticle production comes from the necessity to include angular ordering in the fragmentation models in order to describe the energy dependence of hard interactions, from the so-called hump backed plateau in the logarithmic scaled-momentum spectrum of hadrons due to the suppression of low-energy particle production, and from the string-effect in three-jet events in $e^+e^-$ annihilation explained by destructive interference. For a comprehensive review see [1].

Arguments against the conclusiveness of these verifications of coherence effects have been raised, however. Incoherent fragmentation models involving a large number of parameters allow for a sufficient description of the data at least at fixed centre-of-mass energy [2]. The hadronic momentum spectrum and the energy dependence of the peak of this distribution may also be accounted for when assuming a non-minimal phase-space structure [3]. The string-effect measurements, except for symmetric topologies [1,4], are also influenced by boost effects.

In this Letter evidence for quantum-mechanical interference or colour coherence is presented from the comparison of low-energy hadron production perpendicular to the event plane of three-jet events and the event axis of two-jet events, respectively. Similarly to the string-effect, in this case the presence of destructive interference is expected. Presuming colour coherence, the measurement is also directly sensitive to the colour of the underlying partons, $q$, $\bar{q}$ and $g$. The ratio of the colour charges or the colour-factors for gluons and quarks, $C_A/C_F$, can be verified directly from the dependence of the hadron production on the three-jet topology.

This Letter is organised as follows. In Section 2 a basic description of the theoretical formulae and the ideas underlying this measurement are given. Section 3 gives an overview of the data, selections and corrections applied and describes the measurement. In Section 4 results on the hadron multiplicity observed
in cones oriented perpendicular to the event plane of three-jet events and the event axis of two-jet events, respectively, are presented and conclusions are given.

2. Motivation and theoretical basis of the measurement

The hadronisation process is believed to commence with the radiation of soft gluons from the initial hard partons. Due to the higher colour charge of gluons compared to quarks, soft-gluon radiation and consequently hadron production is expected to be increased in the high energy limit by the gluon to quark colour-factor ratio \( C_A/C_F = 9/4 \). This simple expectation is perturbed for hadrons sharing a large fraction of the energy of the underlying parton. Leading-particle effects become evident and, as such particles are created last in time \([5]\), effects due to energy conservation are important. The latter influence is stronger for gluon jets due to the more copious particle production.

When a radiated hard gluon stays close to the radiating quark, additional soft gluons may be unable to resolve the individual colour charges of the close-by partons. In this limit only coherent radiation off the parton ensemble, that is from the colour charge of the initial quark occurs. This fundamental effect also leads to the angular-ordering property of soft-gluon emission.

Coherence effects should be best visible for low-energy hadrons emitted perpendicularly to the radiating partons as these particles cannot be assigned to a specific jet and have a poor resolution power for close-by colour charges.

The cross-section for soft-gluon radiation in \((q\bar{q}g)\) three-jet events has been calculated in \([6]\). It varies strongly as a function of the three-jet topology. In contrast to many other event characteristics, the soft radiation out of the \(q\bar{q}g\) plane is dominated in the perturbative calculation by the leading-order contribution which takes a particularly simple form \([7]\):

\[
\frac{d\sigma_3}{d\sigma_2} = \frac{C_A}{C_F} r_t,
\]

\[
r_t = \frac{1}{4} \left( \hat{q}g + \bar{q}g - \frac{1}{N_c^2} \hat{q}q \right),
\]

with the so-called antenna function

\[
\hat{i}j = 1 - \cos \theta_{ij} = 2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta_{ij}}{2}.
\]

Here \(d\sigma_3\) is the cross-section for soft gluon emission perpendicular to the \(q\bar{q}g\) plane, \(d\sigma_2\) the corresponding cross-section for emission perpendicular to the two-jet event \((q\bar{q})\) axis, and \(\theta_{ij}\) denotes the angle between the partons \(i\) and \(j\). The complete topology dependence can be factorised in the topological term \(r_t\), which, multiplied by the energy, obviously plays the rôle of the evolution scale of the low energy hadron multiplicity.

Eq. (1) interpolates between the two cases in which \(q\bar{q}g\) events appear as two-jet events. For gluon radiation parallel to the quark (or antiquark) \(r_t = 4/9\) and \(d\sigma_3 = d\sigma_2\), as soft particles cannot resolve the individual colour charges of the quark and the gluon. In the case where the gluon recoils with respect to the quark–antiquark pair \(r_t = 1\). This case is similar to an initial two-gluon state forming a colour singlet and \(d\sigma_3 = C_A/C_F d\sigma_2\). The term inversely proportional to the number of colours, \(N_c = 3\), in \(r_t\) is due to destructive interference and provides an additional suppression of particle production on top of the boost effects caused by the string-like topology in general three-jet events.

The interest of the measurement presented in this Letter is:

- the experimental test of the predicted topology dependence manifesting the coherent nature of hadron production,
- the verification of the \(1/N_c^2\) term as direct evidence for the negative interference term beyond the probabilistic parton cascade, and
- the measurement of the slope of the homogeneous straight-line given by Eq. (1) and thereby the verification of the colour-factor ratio \(C_A/C_F\).

In order to verify the above predictions experimentally, charged-particle production has been studied in cones with \(\theta_{cone} = 30^\circ\) half opening angle situated perpendicular to both sides of the three-jet plane or the two-jet event axis. For two-jet events the azimuthal orientation of the cones is taken randomly. In order to distinguish events with two, three and four or more jets the angular ordered Durham (aoD) algorithm is
used with a jet-resolution parameter $y_{\text{cut}} = 0.015$. The aoD algorithm corresponds to the Cambridge algorithm without soft freezing [8]. It has been chosen as it is expected to lead to optimal reconstruction of jet directions but to avoid complications due to soft freezing. The choice of a fixed jet-resolution is necessary as the leading-order prediction of Eq. (1), applies to two- and three-jet events. Consequently, events with less than two or more than three jets are explicitly excluded from the analysis.

These experimental choices, made in order to approximate the theoretical prediction, imply systematic uncertainties in the data-to-theory comparison. The possible systematic variations of the data were assessed by varying the cone opening angle in the range $20^\circ \leq \theta_{\text{cone}} \leq 40^\circ$ and the jet-resolution in the range $0.01 \leq y_{\text{cut}} \leq 0.02$. Moreover the Cambridge [8] and Durham [9] algorithms were used alternatively. The uncertainty of the leading-order prediction, Eq. (1), is not specified in [7] and, consequently, is not included in the uncertainties of the derived quantities quoted below.

In order to select well-measured particles originating from the interaction point, the cuts shown in Table 1 were applied to the measured tracks and electromagnetic or hadronic calorimeter clusters. Here $p$ and $E$ denote the particle’s momentum and energy, $\delta_{\text{polar}}$ denotes the polar angle with respect to the beam, $\epsilon_k$ is the distance of closest approach to the interaction point in the plane perpendicular to $(xy)$ or along $(z)$ the beam, respectively, $L_{\text{track}}$ is the measured track length, $E_{\text{HPC}}$ ($E_{\text{EMF}}$) denotes the energy of a cluster as measured with the barrel (forward) electromagnetic calorimeter, respectively, and $E_{\text{HAC}}$ the cluster energy measured by the hadronic calorimeter.

In order to select well-measured particles originating from the interaction point, the cuts shown in Table 1 were applied to the measured tracks and electromagnetic or hadronic calorimeter clusters. Here $p$ and $E$ denote the particle’s momentum and energy, $\delta_{\text{polar}}$ denotes the polar angle with respect to the beam, $\epsilon_k$ is the distance of closest approach to the interaction point in the plane perpendicular to $(xy)$ or along $(z)$ the beam, respectively, $L_{\text{track}}$ is the measured track length, $E_{\text{HPC}}$ ($E_{\text{EMF}}$) denotes the energy of a cluster as measured with the barrel (forward) electromagnetic calorimeter, respectively, and $E_{\text{HAC}}$ the cluster energy measured by the hadronic calorimeter.

In order to select well-measured particles originating from the interaction point, the cuts shown in Table 1 were applied to the measured tracks and electromagnetic or hadronic calorimeter clusters. Here $p$ and $E$ denote the particle’s momentum and energy, $\delta_{\text{polar}}$ denotes the polar angle with respect to the beam, $\epsilon_k$ is the distance of closest approach to the interaction point in the plane perpendicular to $(xy)$ or along $(z)$ the beam, respectively, $L_{\text{track}}$ is the measured track length, $E_{\text{HPC}}$ ($E_{\text{EMF}}$) denotes the energy of a cluster as measured with the barrel (forward) electromagnetic calorimeter, respectively, and $E_{\text{HAC}}$ the cluster energy measured by the hadronic calorimeter.

In order to select well-measured particles originating from the interaction point, the cuts shown in Table 1 were applied to the measured tracks and electromagnetic or hadronic calorimeter clusters. Here $p$ and $E$ denote the particle’s momentum and energy, $\delta_{\text{polar}}$ denotes the polar angle with respect to the beam, $\epsilon_k$ is the distance of closest approach to the interaction point in the plane perpendicular to $(xy)$ or along $(z)$ the beam, respectively, $L_{\text{track}}$ is the measured track length, $E_{\text{HPC}}$ ($E_{\text{EMF}}$) denotes the energy of a cluster as measured with the barrel (forward) electromagnetic calorimeter, respectively, and $E_{\text{HAC}}$ the cluster energy measured by the hadronic calorimeter.

The general event cuts shown in Table 2 select hadronic decays of the $Z$ and suppress background from lepton-ic $Z$ decays, $\gamma\gamma$ interactions or beam-gas interactions to a negligible level. Further reduction of background is achieved by the jet-selection cuts given also in Table 2. The cut variables are the vis-

### Table 1

| Variable                  | Cut           |
|---------------------------|---------------|
| $p$                       | $\geq 0.4$ GeV|
| $\delta_{\text{polar}}$  | $20^\circ$–$160^\circ$ |
| $\epsilon_{xy}$           | $\leq 5$ cm  |
| $\epsilon_z$              | $\leq 10$ cm |
| $L_{\text{track}}$        | $\geq 30$ cm |
| $\Delta \rho / \rho$      | $\leq$ $100\%$ |
| $E_{\text{HPC}}$          | 0.5–50 GeV   |
| $E_{\text{EMF}}$          | 0.5–50 GeV   |
| $E_{\text{HAC}}$          | 1–50 GeV     |

| Variable                  | Cut           |
|---------------------------|---------------|
| $\sum_{i=1}^{3} q_i$      | $\geq 355^\circ$ |
| $E_{\text{visible/jet}}$  | $\geq 5$ GeV  |
| $N_{\text{charged/jet}}$  | $\geq 2$      |
| $\delta_{\text{jet}}$     | $30^\circ$–$150^\circ$ |

The general event cuts shown in Table 2 select hadronic decays of the $Z$ and suppress background from lepton-ic $Z$ decays, $\gamma\gamma$ interactions or beam-gas interactions to a negligible level. Further reduction of background is achieved by the jet-selection cuts given also in Table 2. The cut variables are the vis-
ible charged energy, $E_{\text{charged}}^{\text{total}}$ and $E_{\text{charged}}^{\text{hemisphere}}$, observed in the event or in one event hemisphere, respectively. Event hemispheres are defined by the plane perpendicular to the sphericity axis. The polar angle of this axis with respect to the beam is $\theta_{\text{sphericity}}$ and $N_{\text{ch}}$ is the observed charged multiplicity. Events are discarded, if they contain charged particles with momenta above the kinematic limit.

Events are then clustered into jets using the aOD algorithm. The three-jet event quality requirements also shown in Table 2 assure well-measured jets. Here $\theta_i$ denotes the angle between the two jets opposite to jet $i$, $\vartheta_{\text{jet}}$ the polar angle of a jet and $E_{\text{visible}}/\text{jet}$ the total visible energy per jet. The sequence number $i$ of a jet is given by ordering the jets with decreasing jet energy ($E_1 > E_2 > E_3$). These exact jet energies were calculated from the inter-jet angles assuming massless kinematics (see, e.g., [12]). The quality of the selected two-jet events is guaranteed already by the event cuts.

Besides general three-jet topologies, mirror-symmetric three-jet topologies (defined by $\theta_3 - \theta_2 \leq 5^\circ$) are considered in later cross-checks. Symmetric three-jet topologies form a class of especially simple and clean three-jet topologies which we used extensively in previous studies [4,12,13]. Therefore, events with symmetric topologies are considered separately in order to compare the results obtained with this clean, but statistically limited class of events with the results obtained from events with more general topologies. Note, that the symmetric-event sample is almost completely included in the general sample. However, it allows the angular range to be extended to lower values of $\theta_1$.

Initially 3 150 000 events measured by DELPHI enter into the analysis. In total 1 797 429 $Z$ events fulfill the above event cuts, of which 1 031 080 are accepted as two-jet events, 309 227 as general and 53 344 as symmetric three-jet events.

The charged-particle multiplicity in cones perpendicular to the event axis of a two-jet event or the event plane of a three-jet event has then been determined. Besides the cuts mentioned above it was required that the axis of the cones has a polar angle of at least 30° with respect to the beam in order to assure a sufficient acceptance for particle tracks.

The multiplicity has been corrected for the applied cuts and the limited detector acceptance and resolution by a multiplicative correction factor. Using simulated events this has been calculated as the ratio of the multiplicities of generated events to accepted events at the detector level. The events were generated with the JETSET 7.3 parton-shower model [14] as tuned to DELPHI data [15]. The influence of the magnetic field, detector material, signal generation and digitisation was simulated. The simulated data were then treated like the measured events.

It has already been shown [2,15], that the Monte Carlo model underestimates the production of particles at large angles with respect to the primary partons. Therefore, the hadron multiplicity in cones perpendicular to the two-jet event axis or the three-jet event plane has been reweighted with a small overall multiplicative correction. It was deduced from a comparison of data and simulation for two-jet events. After correction the model well describes the data and its dependences on the three-jet topology.

In particular, due to the 400 MeV momentum cut on the charged particles the correction factors obtained for the charged multiplicity in the cones are comparably big ($\sim 2$), but vary only slightly with event topology. Moreover, in the important ratio of the multiplicity of three- and two-jet events the correction factors cancel to a large extent. The correction factor for the ratio $N_3/N_2$ is only $\sim 0.9$. The stability of the acceptance correction has been tested by varying the selections imposed on charged-particle tracks shown in Table 1. In particular the lower momentum cut has been changed by $\pm 100$ MeV. No significant change in the results was observed. Conservatively a 10% relative uncertainty of the respective acceptance correction is assumed to propagate to the quoted multiplicities or multiplicity ratios. As this uncertainty is expected to mainly change the normalisation of the multiplicities it is not included in the overall uncertainties shown in the figures. It is, however, considered in the results obtained from global fits of the data.

### 4. Results

Experimental results on the average charged-hadron multiplicities in cones are presented for cones perpendicular to the event axis in two-jet events and cones perpendicular to the event plane in three-jet events. Moreover the ratio of the cone multiplicities in three-
and two-jet events is given as well as the momentum spectra in cones perpendicular to the plane of three-jet events.

The charged-hadron multiplicity in cones perpendicular to the event axis in two-jet events, $N_2$, is given in Table 3 for three different cone opening angles and three different values of the jet-resolution parameter $y_{\text{aoD}}$. The multiplicity shows the expected slight increase with $y_{\text{cut}}$ and, due to the increase of phase-space, an approximately quadratic increase with cone opening angle.

The corresponding three-jet multiplicity, $N_3$, is shown in Fig. 1 as a function of the inter-jet angles $\theta_{2}$ and $\theta_{3}$ for general three-jet topologies and as a function of $\theta_{3}$ for symmetric ones. The cone opening angle is $\vartheta_{\text{cone}} = 30^\circ$ and $y_{\text{aoD}} = 0.015$. For the general three-jet events the bin width in $\theta_{2}$ is: 2° for $98^\circ < \theta_{2} < 108^\circ$, 3° for $108^\circ < \theta_{2} < 111^\circ$, 4° for $111^\circ < \theta_{2} < 123^\circ$ and 5° for $123^\circ < \theta_{2} < 148^\circ$. The corresponding binning in $\theta_{3}$ is: 10° for $120^\circ < \theta_{3} < 140^\circ$ and 5° for $140^\circ < \theta_{3} < 165^\circ$. For symmetric topologies the range in $\theta_{1}$ is fixed by the highest
accessible angle of 120° and the bin width of 5° imposed by the condition \( \theta_3 - \theta_2 \leq 5° \). The lower limit of \( \theta_1 \sim 20° \) depends on the applied value of \( y_{\text{cut}} \). Numerical values of the results are given in [16]. The inner error bars represent the statistical error, the outer bars also include the systematic uncertainty relevant for the comparison to the theoretical expectation of Eq. (1), added in quadrature. As only the ratio of multiplicities in three- and two-jet events enters in the comparison to the theoretical expectation (see Eq. (1)), the relative systematic uncertainty of the multiplicity has been taken to be identical to the relative error of the three-to two-jet multiplicity ratio. It has been determined as the r.m.s. of the results obtained when varying the cone size, jet-resolution and jet algorithm as described in Section 2.

The theoretical expectation, shown as a full line in Fig. 1, describes the data well for all topologies except for large \( \theta_3 \) for symmetric three-jet topologies. This region corresponds to small \( \theta_1 \), i.e., close-by low-energy jets. In this case the event plane is ill determined and data and theory cannot be compared reliably.

The prediction increases (by \( \sim 7\% \), see dashed line in Fig. 1) if the interference term of the theoretical prediction \( 1/N_2^2 \) is omitted. In this case the prediction is significantly above the data.

In order to quantify this result the interference term in Eq. (1) has been multiplied by an amplitude factor \( k \) and then fitted to the ratio \( N_3/N_2 \) of the multiplicities as given in Fig. 1 and Table 3, respectively. The fit yields:

\[
k = 1.37 \pm 0.05(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.33(\text{syst.}),
\]

\[
\chi^2/\text{NDF} = 1.2
\]

for general three-jet topologies and

\[
k = 1.23 \pm 0.13(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.32(\text{syst.}),
\]

\[
\chi^2/\text{NDF} = 2.1
\]

for symmetric three-jet events with \( \theta_1 > 48° \). The systematic uncertainty of \( k \) was taken to be the r.m.s. of the results obtained when varying the cone size, jet-resolution and jet algorithm as described in Section 2. Moreover a 10% uncertainty on the acceptance correction applied for the multiplicity ratio has been assumed. The \( \chi^2 \) has been calculated using statistical errors only. Therefore, a significant destructive interference term is observed in the data-to-theory comparison. The size of the term is consistent within error with expectation. Moreover it has been verified that the topology dependence of the \( 1/N_2^2 \) term is consistent with that present in the data. This result represents the first direct verification of a colour suppressed negative antenna contribution not included in the usual probabilistic parton cascade calculation. Note, that it is based on the direct comparison of data to an absolute prediction. In particular no construction of an unphysical incoherent model is needed for the comparison.

Fig. 2(a) shows the multiplicity ratio \( N_3/N_2 \) as function of \( r_t \) for different \( \theta_3 \). The data for different values of \( \theta_3 \) agree well as is already expected from the good description of the data by the expectation, Eq. (1), shown in Fig. 1. The exclusive dependence on \( r_t \) as determined from the general-event sample is shown in Fig. 2(b) compared to the expectation, i.e., to the homogeneous straight-line \( N_3/N_2 = C_A/C_F \cdot r_t \), indicated dashed. The deviation of the points at low values of \( r_t \) is again due to events with close-by jets. A homogeneous straight line fit to the data for \( r_t > 0.525 \) yields for the slope:

\[
2.211 \pm 0.014(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.053(\text{syst.}),
\]

\[
\chi^2/\text{NDF} = 1.3
\]
in astonishingly good agreement with the leading-order QCD expectation \( C_A/C_F = 2.25 \). The systematic uncertainty was again determined as for \( k \) in the interference term. The fit result is indicated by the full line in Fig. 2(b). This result for the first time quantitatively verifies the colour-factor ratio \( C_A/C_F \) from hadronic multiplicities and a leading-order prediction. This simple prediction applies, because higher order contributions prove to be unimportant for soft particles emitted at large angles [7]. This is different from the more global case which depends on all the radiation inside jets. Moreover, these particles are least affected by energy-conservation and leading-particle effects.

For completeness it should be mentioned that the data do not allow the colour-factor ratio and the coherence term to be simultaneously verified due to the obvious high correlation of both terms. If such a fit is attempted the data can as well be described with \( C_A/C_F \sim 2 \) and \( N_C \rightarrow \infty \). This result is also expected from gauge theories with a large number of colours. It is clear, however, that this solution does not apply as \( N_C = 3 \) is well known experimentally, e.g.,
from the ratio, $R$, of the hadronic to the leptonic cross-section at the $Z$ pole.

The dependence of the multiplicity on the scale $r_t$ only allows inclusive distributions of the produced hadrons to be studied. In Fig. 3(a) the differential momentum distribution is shown for different values of $r_t$. The momentum distributions are observed to scale approximately with $r_t$. This is most clearly seen in Fig. 3(b) where the ratio of the momentum spectra to the average spectrum is shown. Moreover, these ratios have been scaled by $(r_t)/r_t$ such that a unit value for the overall multiplicity ratio is expected. For small momenta ($p < 1$ GeV) the data are consistent with unity, for higher momenta less (more) particles are produced for small (high) scales $r_t$, respectively. The constancy of the ratio is expected if the production of hadrons is directly proportional to gluon radiation, thus the observed result strongly supports the conjecture of local parton–hadron duality, LPHD [17], for low-energy hadrons. The deviation from unity for higher momenta may be understood as being due to the increase of the hadronic phase-space for high $r_t$.

In summary the multiplicity in cones perpendicular to the event plane of three-jet events produced in $e^+e^-$ annihilation has been measured for the first time and compared to the corresponding multiplicity in two-jet events. The multiplicity ratio is well described by a leading-order QCD prediction also including a destructive interference term. The presence of destructive interference and thereby of the coherent nature of
soft hadron production was verified from the comparison of the data to the absolute QCD prediction. The slope of the hadron multiplicity with the topological variable $r_t$ directly represents the colour-factor ratio $C_A/C_F$. This measurement for the first time is able to verify the colour-factor ratio using a hadron multiplicity measurement and a leading-order QCD prediction. This possibility is a consequence of the favorable perturbative situation studied and the focus on low-energy large-angle particles. A simultaneous study of the momentum and topology dependence of the hadron multiplicity provides evidence for the LPHD conjecture.
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