A high-sensitivity optical device for the early monitoring of plant pathogen attack via the in vivo detection of ROS bursts
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INTRODUCTION

As sessile creatures, plants are often challenged by a wide variety of environmental stress factors (Ma et al., 2009). Among these factors, biotic stressors, especially pathogenic microorganisms, are rather difficult to measure because their presence cannot be observed as directly as can abiotic factors, such as extreme temperature, ultraviolet radiation and osmotic stress; these abiotic factors can be measured directly or detected easily using a variety of means (Tenhaken et al., 1995). In fact, it has been demonstrated that plant-associated pathogens (which are called plant pathogens) have seriously threatened plant productivity and environmental safety, as well as the quality and diversity of food available (Chisholm et al., 2006; Jones and Dangl, 2006; Kamoun, 2006; Oerke, 2006; Tang et al., 2007). Therefore, an effective method for the early detection of plant pathogen attacks will play a vital role in restraining the proliferation of phytopathogenic microorganisms and reducing their impairments of plant growth and reproduction (Parry, 1990).

Conventional methods that are commonly employed to detect plant pathogen infection mainly rely on the identification of specific microbiological and biochemical components (Lindeberg et al., 2008; Velusamy et al., 2010). These methods can be divided into three categories: culture and colony-counting methods that involve pathogen counting (Löfström et al., 2004); nucleic acid-based methods, such as microarray analysis techniques and polymerase chain reaction techniques (Velusamy et al., 2010); and immunology-based methods that involve antigen-antibody interactions (Gehring et al., 2006). Although these methods can be sensitive and can provide both qualitative and quantitative information about the tested microorganisms, most of these techniques are severely restricted by the assay time and cost, as well as by their labor-intensive nature. Furthermore, initial enrichment is needed to detect pathogens, which typically occur in low numbers (Tang et al., 2007; Ma et al., 2009; Velusamy et al., 2010).

In plants, one of the most rapid and earliest cellular responses to plant pathogen infection is the so-called oxidative burst, which involves the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), primarily superoxide (O$_2^-$) and hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), at the site of attempted invasion (Apostol et al., 1989; Land, 1990). Doke (1985) first reported the oxidative burst and demonstrated that potato tuber tissues generated O$_2^-$ that was rapidly transformed into H$_2$O$_2$ following inoculation with Phytophthora infestans. Subsequently, the ROS burst was documented in a number of plant-pathogen interactions (Grant et al., 2000; Bindschedler et al., 2001; Rojas et al., 2014), and enzymatic (Torres et al., 2002; Choi et al., 2007) and non-enzymatic sources (Yao and Greenberg, 2006) for ROS production during pathogen attack have been identified in plant cells (Allan and Fluhr, 1997; Apel and Hirt, 2004). In fact, a wide range of abiotic factors all appear to elicit ROS production in plant cells (Dong et al., 1991; Green...
and Fluhr, 1995; Inzé and Montagu, 1995; Zhang and Xing, 2008; Li et al., 2012; Baxter et al., 2014); however, these factors themselves can be identified directly by certain instruments. Detection of the ROS burst, therefore, may be a feasible strategy to monitor the early stages of phytopathogenic microorganism attack. To the best of our knowledge, however, there is currently no such pathogen detection system based on measuring ROS bursts in vivo.

Electron paramagnetic resonance is a classical method to measure the levels of ROS, and this method can measure and localize ROS in vivo (Halliwell and Whiteman, 2004). However, it is necessary to introduce spin trap molecules into the studied cells, which could potentially cause additional stress and affect the ROS levels (Shulaev and Oliver, 2006). Additionally, other methods have also been developed to measure superoxide and H$_2$O$_2$ in living tissues. The nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) assay is used for the histochemical localization of superoxide (Flohe and Otting, 1984). Additionally, the measurement of H$_2$O$_2$ is generally based on the H$_2$O$_2$-dependent oxidation of a non-fluorescent substrate, such as the oxidation-sensitive 2′, 7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (H$_2$DCFDA), which forms a fluorescent product that can be detected easily (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 1999; Steffens et al., 2013). It has been confirmed that H$_2$DCFDA in 0.2% DMSO solution readily infiltrates epidermal cells of tobacco leaf tissue in the diacetate form (DCFH-DA), which is then hydrolyzed and trapped as non-fluorescent DCFH. Subsequent oxidation of DCFH by ROS yields the highly fluorescent dichlorofluorescein (DCF) (Allan and Fluhr, 1997).

Recently, optical devices have aroused considerable interest for the detection of foodborne pathogens and other plant physiology processes, such as seed germination and photosynthesis, due to their non-destructive nature and rapidity (Wang et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2009; Velusamy et al., 2010). In this study, a novel optical device was developed for the early monitoring of plant pathogen attack; this device is based on direct and precise measurements of ROS bursts via H$_2$DCFDA-mediated fluorescence in vivo in Arabidopsis thaliana (A. thaliana), allowing the early detection of plant pathogens.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**MATERIALS**

$A$. thaliana$)$ plants were grown in a plant growth chamber (Conviron, model E7/2, Winnipeg, Canada) with a 16 h light photoperiod (100 µmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) and a relative humidity of 75/80% at 23/19°C (light/dark) for the whole experiment.

The bacterial strains Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 (Psph NPS3121), Pseudomonas syringae pv. phaseolicola NPS3121 (Psph NPS3121) and Pseudomonas syringae pv. maculicola DG3 (Psm DG3) were provided by Professor Nan Yao (College of Life Science, Sun Yat-sen University, China). These plant pathogens were cultured according to the procedure described by Zhou et al. (2013).

**PATHOGEN INFECTION**

Three-week-old attached $A$. thaliana$)$ leaves were inoculated with a 1 ml suspension of $Pst$ DC3000, $Psph$ NPS3121 or $Psm$ DG3 at various densities (Figure 2, Table 1) in 10 mM MgCl$_2$ using a needleless syringe (Mishina and Zeier, 2007). All infection experiments were performed according to the procedure described by Matthews and Hull (2002) at room temperature in the presence of continuous light (100 µmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). Control plants were treated with 10 mM MgCl$_2$ solution. After infection for the required time interval, half (by number of detached leaves) of each group was used for ROS level measurements at 6 h after infection, and the remaining half was used to determine the concentration of $Pst$ DC3000 based on the culture and colony-counting method after the necessary pathogen proliferation for 48 h.

**PATHOGEN GROWTH ASSAY**

For the pathogen growth assay, leaves after 2 d of infection with unknown concentrations of $Pst$ DC3000 were harvested. Disks of the leaves that were 0.37 cm in diameter and that originated from the infiltrated areas of five independent leaves were pounded into the leaves that were 0.37 cm in diameter and that originated from the infiltrated areas of five independent leaves were pounded into the leaves that were 0.37 cm in diameter and that originated from the infiltrated areas of five independent leaves were pounded into...
The structure and spectral characteristics of H$_2$DCFDA was shown in Supplementary Figure 1. After treatment with the pathogens for the required time, five leaves were incubated in 1.5 ml H$_2$DCFDA (10 μM) in 0.2% DMSO solution for 5 min at room temperature in the dark and then rinsed with fresh distilled water to remove excess dye (Allan and Fluhr, 1997). The ROS production, indicated by the DCF fluorescence intensity, was measured using a custom-made optical device.

**OPTICAL DEVICE SYSTEM**

**Concept of operation**

Two distinct operation modes, remote and local control, were designed for this system. The main instrument was operated using the front panel of the instrument in the local control mode and using a personal computer (PC) in the remote control mode. First, various parameters were set, including the excitation light intensity and time, the sample interval, the position of the sample and the experiment duration. Then, according to the defined parameters, the excitation light source irradiated the sample for the set excitation time. The intact leaves were placed in the sample chamber; the measurement was performed using an optical device that detected ultra-weak luminescence using the single-photon counting technique (Wang et al., 2007). The process of measuring the DCF fluorescence signal was divided into two steps: a background survey and a measurement of the mixed signal that included the DCF-oxidation fluorescence and the background. For the background, 10 μM H$_2$DCFDA in 0.2% DMSO solution without leaves was used. The DCF fluorescence was obtained by subtracting the background from the mixed signal and was displayed directly on the front panel (local control mode) and on the PC display (remote control mode) relative to the DCF fluorescence.

**System hardware design**

A block diagram of the major hardware system for the optical device is shown in Figure 1. The system was mainly composed of the following hardware parts: dark sample chamber, excitation light source, ultra-high-sensitivity single photon counting module (SPCM; MP963, Perkin-Elmer, Wiesbaden, Germany), and a data acquisition and processing system. After infection and incubation with H$_2$DCFDA, the samples were placed inside the sample chamber of the system and irradiated by a set of super bright light-emitting diodes (LEDs) ($\lambda = 480$ nm, full width at half maximum = 10 nm, single channel output luminous flux = 20 lm). The DCF fluorescence from the samples was collected using an optical fiber bundle and transmitted to the SPCM with a wavelength detection range of 185–850 nm. Because of the emission peak of DCF (Supplementary Figure 1), a 500–550 nm band-pass filter was used to avoid interference by the excitation light. The output signal, which had been amplified and discriminated by the SPCM, was collected and processed by a micro control unit (MCU; AT89c55) in the local control mode. The collected and processed signal could be stored in memory (AT29c020) before further data analysis using a PC. The total DCF fluorescence intensity between 500 and 550 nm was recorded to determine the relative ROS level.

**OPTICAL DEVICE SYSTEM**

**Concept of operation**

Two distinct operation modes, remote and local control, were designed for this system. The main instrument was operated using the front panel of the instrument in the local control mode and using a personal computer (PC) in the remote control mode. First, various parameters were set, including the excitation light intensity and time, the sample interval, the position of the sample and the experiment duration. Then, according to the defined parameters, the excitation light source irradiated the sample for the set excitation time. The intact leaves were placed in the sample chamber; the measurement was performed using an optical device that detected ultra-weak luminescence using the single-photon counting technique (Wang et al., 2007). The process of measuring the DCF fluorescence signal was divided into two steps: a background survey and a measurement of the mixed signal that included the DCF-oxidation fluorescence and the background. For the background, 10 μM H$_2$DCFDA in 0.2% DMSO solution without leaves was used. The DCF fluorescence was obtained by subtracting the background from the mixed signal and was displayed directly on the front panel (local control mode) and on the PC display (remote control mode) relative to the DCF fluorescence.

**System hardware design**

A block diagram of the major hardware system for the optical device is shown in Figure 1. The system was mainly composed of the following hardware parts: dark sample chamber, excitation light source, ultra-high-sensitivity single photon counting module (SPCM; MP963, Perkin-Elmer, Wiesbaden, Germany), and a data acquisition and processing system. After infection and incubation with H$_2$DCFDA, the samples were placed inside the sample chamber of the system and irradiated by a set of super bright light-emitting diodes (LEDs) ($\lambda = 480$ nm, full width at half maximum = 10 nm, single channel output luminous flux = 20 lm). The DCF fluorescence from the samples was collected using an optical fiber bundle and transmitted to the SPCM with a wavelength detection range of 185–850 nm. Because of the emission peak of DCF (Supplementary Figure 1), a 500–550 nm band-pass filter was used to avoid interference by the excitation light. The output signal, which had been amplified and discriminated by the SPCM, was collected and processed by a micro control unit (MCU; AT89c55) in the local control mode. The collected and processed signal could be stored in memory (AT29c020) before further data analysis using a PC. The total DCF fluorescence intensity between 500 and 550 nm was recorded to determine the relative ROS level.

**Dark sample chamber**

The dark chamber contained an upper chamber and a lower chamber for the adaxial and abaxial surfaces of the leaf, and these chambers were connected by a gemel. A protuberant ring and groove were located in the upper and lower chambers, respectively, and combined to form the dark cavity. To facilitate the placement of a live plant leaf in the sample chamber, an air hole was placed in a black hermetic foam cushion, which was used to exert non-destructive tension on the leaf stalk while shielding the sample from outside light. The dark sample chamber was locked and opened using a lock and spring.

**LED driver**

To stabilize the excitation light intensity produced by the LED, a low-noise constant-current source was employed at the output level; this source was able to stabilize the current with only a small ripple coefficient. A high-power field effect transistor was used to drive the LED light source. An automatic current control feedback technique was used to stabilize the positive drive current and provide continuous regulation in the range of 0–100 mA with a control precision of approximately 0.1 mA.

**LS55 SPECTROPHOTOMETER**

To test the accuracy of this system at quantifying the ROS level, the DCF fluorescence of the sample was also determined using a commercial luminescence spectrophotometer (LS55, Perkin-Elmer, UK) according to a previously described procedure (Allan and Fluhr, 1997; Zhang and Xing, 2008) using an excitation wavelength of 480 nm and emission wavelengths between 500 and 550 nm (excitation and emission slit widths of 5 nm). The
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FIGURE 2 | Quantitative analysis of concentration-dependent changes in the dichlorofluorescein (DCF) fluorescence intensity of Arabidopsis thaliana (A. thaliana) leaves infected with Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 (Pst DC3000). The DCF fluorescence was determined by the optical device with difference concentration of Pst DC3000 infection (A). The mean ± SD of the fluorescence intensity is shown for five independent A. thaliana leaves inoculated with Pst DC3000 or 10 mM MgCl2 (Control). There were a significant effect of DCF fluorescence obtained from optical device on the infected concentration with Pst DC3000 (F1, 8 = 228.179, p < 0.01). The H2O2 content was determined according to the standard procedure of a hydrogen peroxide assay kit (B). There were a significant effect of ROS content obtained from optical device on the infected concentration with Pst DC3000 (F1, 8 = 97.519, p < 0.01). The asterisks indicate significant differences (p < 0.01) compared with the MgCl2-treated control according to Duncan’s multiple range test (DMRT). The DCF fluorescence was also assayed using a LS55 spectrophotometer as described in the Materials and Methods, and the relationship between the DCF fluorescence detected by the developed sensor was analyzed (C). A.U., arbitrary unit; cfu, colony-forming units; df, degree of freedom.

fluorescence intensity was obtained by performing an integration between 500 and 550 nm to determine the relative ROS level.

DETERMINATION OF H2O2 CONTENT
To test the accuracy of using H2DCFDA to quantify the ROS level, the H2O2 content was also examined using a hydrogen peroxide assay kit (Beyotime Biotech, China). H2O2 oxidizes Fe2+ to Fe3+, and Fe3+ can react with xylene orange in a colorimetric reaction. Briefly, a 50 mg sample of infected leaves was ground in 500 μl lysis buffer and then centrifuged at 12,000 g for 5 min; the resulting supernatant was retained for the subsequent detection. Next, 50μl supernatant and 100 μl test solution were placed at room temperature for 30 min, and the fluorescence was measured directly using a commercial luminescence spectrophotometer (LS55, Perkin-Elmer, UK) at a wavelength of 560 nm. The concentration of H2O2 was calculated from a standard concentration curve (Dai et al., 2010), (Supplementary Figure 2).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Data were subjected to mean separation by Duncan’s multiple range tests (DMRTs; p < 0.05) and significance by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS 12.0 software for Windows (Nyaboga et al., 2014). To stabilize the variance and fulfill the normality assumption of ANOVAs, log10 transformations were applied. Correlations between DCF fluorescence from LS55 and DCF fluorescence from optical device were tested by means of the Pearson correlation coefficient (Liu et al., 2010).

RESULTS
CONCENTRATION-DEPENDENT EFFECTS OF PLANT PATHOGENS ON ROS PRODUCTION
In this study it was demonstrated that as the Pst DC3000 concentration increased, the ROS level initially increased, reaching a maximum value at 107 cfu (colony-forming units) ml⁻¹ and then decreasing slightly at 108 cfu ml⁻¹ (Figure 2A). When the concentration of Pst DC3000 increased to 103 cfu ml⁻¹, a significant increase in the DCF fluorescence intensity was observed compared with that for the MgCl2 treatment (Control, Figure 2A). A similar result was obtained when the H2O2 content was measured (Figure 2B). It should be emphasized that no disease lesion was visible even after 18 h of infection with 10⁶ cfu ml⁻¹ of the pathogen (data not shown). Interestingly, the changes in the DCF fluorescence level in A. thaliana leaves infected with various amounts of Pst DC3000 detected by the commercial LS55 spectrophotometer showed a trend similar to that detected by the developed sensor (data not shown). Statistical analyses revealed a good positive correlation between these two types of data, which were detected by two distinct systems (R = 0.97, Figure 2C),
indicating that the proposed optical device could detect the ROS level with high accuracy.

THE EARLY MONITORING OF PLANT PATHOGEN ATTACK

As shown in Figure 3, in the presence of Pst DC3000 at 10⁶ cfu ml⁻¹, a robust and long ROS burst could be detected by this optical system; the increased DCF fluorescence in the leaves reached a peak at 6 h after inoculation (Figure 3A). Moreover, upon infection with the limiting concentration (10⁵ cfu ml⁻¹), a pronounced and long ROS burst could also be detected by the optical device (Figure 3A). The increased DCF fluorescence in the leaves reached a peak at the same infection time and remained detectable until 8 h after inoculation, although at any given time point, the DCF fluorescence level in leaves infected with 10³ cfu ml⁻¹ of the pathogen was lower than that in leaves infected with 10⁶ cfu ml⁻¹ of Pst DC3000 (Figure 3A). In contrast, the leaves inoculated with 10 mM MgCl₂ (Control) exhibited no apparent increase in ROS production throughout the assessment period (Figure 3A). The H₂O₂ content showed a similar pattern. Additionally, it should be noted that no visible disease lesion could be found until 24 h post inoculation (hpi, Figure 3B). Taken together, these results demonstrated that the developed optical device could provide early monitoring of the Pst DC3000 infection in real time during a time period that was considerably earlier than the onset of any visual effects on plant morphology or growth.

PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF PLANT PATHOGEN INFECTION MONITORING

A practical application of the proposed optical device for monitoring plant pathogen attack under stochastic situations was also tested. Unknown concentrations of Pst DC3000 were used to stochastically infect seven groups of A. thaliana leaves (Groups 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7). As shown in Figure 4, the level of ROS production in each group detected by the proposed optical device at 6 hpi clearly reflected the amounts of Pst DC3000, while the conventional methods based on the culture and colony-counting method required 2 d to determine the amounts of Pst DC3000.

FEASIBILITY OF DETECTING OTHER PLANT PATHOGEN INFECTIONS

The suitability of the proposed system for monitoring attacks by other plant pathogen was also tested. A. thaliana leaves were inoculated with two other plant pathogens (Psm DG3 and Psph NPS3121; at concentrations ranging from 10¹ cfu ml⁻¹ to 10⁸ cfu ml⁻¹), and the ROS production was measured at 6 h using the proposed system and using a commercial LS55 spectrophotometer. The result indicated that in response to each of the two plant pathogens, the proposed system detected similar trends.

FIGURE 3 | The early monitoring of Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 (Pst DC3000) infection in A. thaliana leaves by the optical sensor through detection of the ROS production dynamics. The DCF fluorescence was determined by the optical device at 2, 4, 6, 8 hours post infection with 10⁶ cfu ml⁻¹ or 10³ cfu ml⁻¹ Pst DC3000 (A). The mean ± SD of the fluorescence intensity is shown for five independent A. thaliana leaves inoculated with 10³ cfu ml⁻¹ or 10⁶ cfu ml⁻¹ of the pathogen or 10 mM MgCl₂ (Control). The H₂O₂ content was determined according to the standard procedure of a hydrogen peroxide assay kit (B). (C) Macroscopic disease development (white arrow) of A. thaliana leaves infected by Pst DC3000. Independent experiments were repeated five times with similar results. Bars = 3 mm. A.U., arbitrary unit; cfu, colony-forming units; hpi, hour post inoculation.
in the ROS level in response to the two pathogens (Table 1). Importantly, when the concentrations of Psph NPS3121 and Psm DG3 were 10² and 10¹ cfu ml⁻¹, respectively, the ROS levels measured by the developed optical device were significantly different from those of the control at \( P < 0.01 \) (Table 1); thus, the proposed system provided greater sensitivity than that of the commercial LS55 spectrophotometer when detecting ROS, indicating that the developed optical device could be used to monitor attack by a variety of plant pathogens with high sensitivity.

Next, the limiting concentration of two plant pathogens (Psm DG3 at 10² cfu ml⁻¹ and Psph NPS3121 at 10³ cfu ml⁻¹) was also used to infect A. thaliana leaves, and the dynamics of DCF fluorescence were measured. The results demonstrated that all pathogens used here could result in a robust ROS burst compared with the response to MgCl₂ treatment. Moreover, the ROS level in the leaves infected with both pathogens was significantly higher than that in the control samples at all indicated time points (Figure 5). It should be noted that, under infection by these pathogens, no visible disease lesion could be found until 24 hpi (data not shown). Based on these results, we can therefore conclude that this proposed approach can be successfully used to detect several pathogen attacks considerably earlier than the observation of disease lesions.

**DISCUSSION**

The feasibility of the developed optical device has been validated in A. thaliana. The current investigation has demonstrated that the proposed system could provide early monitoring of the attack of plant pathogens *in vivo* considerably earlier than the onset of any visual effects on plant morphology or growth, displaying wide application prospects.

In this study, a novel plant pathogen attack sensor was developed based on the quantitative measurement of ROS bursts *in vivo*. The novel features of the optical device described here include the following. (1) The optical device was developed based on a new idea: measuring plant pathogen attacks using ROS bursts, which is one of the most rapid and earliest cellular responses following plant pathogen infection. Therefore, the optical device could be effectively used to monitor attacks by plant pathogen microorganisms considerably earlier than the onset of any visually observable effects of the disease lesion on the leaf morphology or plant growth. (2) Compared with conventional methods, our proposed method for detecting plant pathogen infection is less time-consuming, much easier and more convenient and might therefore be widely used in pest management. Performing our new method only involves placing pathogen-infected leaves into H₂DCFDA solution for several minutes of incubation in the dark and fluorescence collection; the procedure only required approximately 10 min. While the conventional methods commonly employed to detect plant pathogen infection, such as microarray analysis, polymerase chain reactions and immunology techniques, require long sample preparation times (usually over several hours) and complicated extraction processes. However, according to the detection of DCF-based ROS levels, the method cannot distinguish between plant pathogen species. (3) The optical device has higher sensitivity and accuracy at detecting plant pathogen microorganisms considerably earlier than the onset of any visual effects on plant morphology or growth; moreover, the optical device could be used to detect ROS bursts caused by plant pathogen infection *in vivo*, whereas commercial instruments, such as the LS55 spectrophotometer...
spectrophotometer, are only capable of measuring ROS bursts in trimmed segments of detached leaves. (4) The only reaction reagent in the proposed method is H2DCFDA; theoretically, the cost of each test is very low. The new method has the merits of low cost, simple and convenient operation, and remote control, making it a strong competitor for early, high-sensitivity examinations and extended inspections of plant pathogen infection, as well as for wide application in precision agriculture. In a previous study, Choi et al. (2012) indicated that DCF-based ROS sensors have significant limitations and that DCF-based H2O2 probes are susceptible to photobleaching and photooxidation. To test the accuracy of H2DCFDA for quantifying the ROS level using this system, the H2O2 content was also examined by a hydrogen peroxide assay kit. The consistent results confirmed the accuracy of this probe at detecting infections by plant pathogens in this system. (5) The optical device is portable because it relies on SPCM and MCU techniques. (6) The optical device provided a localized assay in vivo using a hermetic dark sample chamber and a battery power supply. The proposed strategy is simple and does not require skilled workers to perform the tests, and it can provide rapid and early reliable data on the tested microorganisms. With further development, the system should be able to provide in situ detection of pathogenic microorganisms and would have potential applications in the preliminary evaluation of pathogen attack before further complicated, cost- and time-consuming biochemical analyses.
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Supplementary Figure 1 | Structure and spectral characteristics of H2DCFDA. (A) Molecular structure of H2DCFDA. (B) The absorption spectrum of 10 μM H2DCFDA in 0.2% DMSO solution shows a maximum absorption peak at 502 nm. In all experiments, however, we used 480 nm as the excitation light to avoid the effect of the excitation light on the fluorescence emission. (C) Fluorescence emission spectra of 10 μM H2DCFDA in 0.2% DMSO solution with excitation at 480 nm. (D) Fluorescence emission spectra of A. thaliana leaf after incubation with 10 μM H2DCFDA in 0.2% DMSO solution. The samples were excited at 480 nm, and the spectra show a 6 nm redshift when compared with (C). All spectra were obtained using an LS55 spectrophotometer in the presence of 10 μM H2O2 applied exogenously to oxidize the H2DCFDA.

Supplementary Figure 2 | Standard concentration curve of H2O2.
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