Immune complex–driven neutrophil activation and BAFF release: a link to B cell responses in SLE
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ABSTRACT

Objective The role of neutrophils in driving pathogenic B cell responses in SLE is not fully understood. In this study, we explored the link between immune complex (IC)–driven neutrophil activation, the release of B cell pro-survival factor BAFF and B cell activation using SLE clinical samples.

Methods BAFF levels were analysed in serum samples from patients with SLE (n=60) and healthy controls (HCs, n=20) by ELISA and correlated with markers of neutrophil activation and circulating IC levels. Neutrophils were stimulated with RNP/IgG ICs and neutrophil activation, the release of BAFF, and neutrophil–mediated B cell responses were studied in vitro.

Results Levels of BAFF in patients with SLE were associated with markers of disease activity, including anti-dsDNA antibody titres (r=0.33, p<0.05), serum C3 levels (r=−0.57, p<0.001) and levels of circulating ICs (r=0.39, p<0.05). Stimulation of neutrophils from healthy individuals with RNP-ICs in vitro induced the release of BAFF (p<0.05), concomitant with formation of neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) (p<0.05). In culture, neutrophils promoted B cell survival (p<0.05), proliferation (p<0.05) and CD27+/CD38+ plasmablast differentiation.

Conclusions Our results support a new mechanism by which ICs, on NET formation, induce the release of BAFF from neutrophils and the direct effects of neutrophil–B cell interactions. Targeting neutrophil–B cell interactions can be further explored as an approach for inhibiting pathogenic B cell responses in SLE.

INTRODUCTION

SLE is a severe, potentially life-threatening autoimmune disease associated with the production of pathogenic anti-nuclear autoantibodies (Abs) and the formation of immune complexes (ICs). The mechanisms driving the activation of autoreactive B cells in SLE are not fully understood. The levels of the B cell-activating factor (BAFF or BLYS), a member of TNF superfamily of cytokines, essential for B cell survival and responses to antigens (Ags), are increased in patients with SLE1–3 and have been shown to correlate with the production of pathogenic autoantibodies.3 4

Despite the clinical importance of abnormal BAFF production, the cellular sources of BAFF, driving pathogenic B cell responses in SLE, remain poorly explored.

Activation of neutrophils and the formation of neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) have been implicated in SLE pathogenesis, particularly in the acceleration of tissue inflammation and vascular damage.5 6 Still, relatively little is known how neutrophils may contribute to B cell responses and auto-Ab production. In this study, we explored whether ICs contribute to the release of BAFF from neutrophils and the direct effects of neutrophil–B cell interactions on B cell survival and activation.

METHODS

Study subjects

Patients with SLE (n=60) were recruited from the University of Washington Medical Center. All patients fulfilled the revised ACR criteria for SLE (online supplemental table 1). Healthy controls (HCs) (n=20) with no history of autoimmune diseases or current infections were used. Anti-dsDNA antibody
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Key messages

What is already known about this subject?
⇒ The levels of B cell activating factor (BAFF) are elevated in patients with SLE. However, the cellular sources of BAFF and the potential stimuli promoting BAFF release in SLE has not been fully explored.

What does this study add?
⇒ In vitro stimulation of neutrophils with SLE-relevant RNP/IgG immune complexes induces the release of BAFF. Neutrophil–B cell crosstalk directly promotes B cell survival and activation.

How might this impact on clinical practice or future development?
⇒ Approaches targeting interactions between neutrophils and B cells could help inhibit pathogenic B cell responses in patients with SLE.
Serum BAFF levels
Serum BAFF levels were measured by ELISA using Human BAFF/BLYS/TNFSF13B Quantikine ELISA Kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN).

Immune complexes quantification
FcγRIIA internalisation, a bioassay for IC quantification, was analysed by flow cytometry as described.7

In vitro neutrophil activation and BAFF quantification
Neutrophils (1×10^6 cells/mL) were incubated in poly-L-lysine–coated tissue culture plates, left untreated or stimulated with 10 µg/mL RNP-ICs prepared as described previously7 for 1 and 3 hours. Supernatants were collected at each time point, and BAFF and other cytokines (IL-6, TNF-α, IL-21 and IL-10) were measured using LEGENDplex bead-based assay (BioLegend). NETs were detached and analysed as described.8

For the visualisation of BAFF, neutrophils were seeded on a 48-well plate pre-coated with 0.01% poly-L-lysine (Sigma) and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 1 hour and permeabilised in 0.2% Triton for 10 min. BAFF was detected by anti-BAFF (D7I1U) Rabbit mAb (1:100, #19944S; Cell Signaling Technology), followed by incubation with Cy5 AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) at 1:100 (Jackson ImmunoResearch) and DAPI at 1 µg/mL. Cells were visualised with an immunofluorescence (IF) microscope (EVOS cell imaging system; Life Technology).

Neutrophil and B cell co-culture and flow cytometry
Isolated B cells (1×10^6 cells/mL) were labelled with Tag-it Violet (BioLegend) and cultured alone or co-cultured with autologous neutrophils (2.5×10^6 cells/mL) in RPMI 1640 containing L-glutamine and NaHCO₃, supplemented with 10% FCS (Atlanta Biologicals), and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma), in the absence or presence of F(ab′)2 anti-human IgM (anti-IgM) (10 µg/mL; Jackson ImmunoResearch) for 1–5 days. Cells were analysed by flow cytometry. Cell viability was measured by Zombie-NIR staining (Biolegend) and cell proliferation was measured by the dilution of cell-tracing dye. Analysis of B cell subsets was performed using a combination of fluorescently conjugated Abs (online supplemental table 2) by flow cytometry (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA). Data were analysed using FlowJo (Tree Star, Ashland, OR).

Statistical analysis
Statistical tests were performed using Prism 8.0 (GraphPad, San Diego). Non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test and paired Student t-test were used to compare group values. Correlations were performed using Spearman’s correlation test. Results are reported as mean±SD. P values≤0.05 were considered statistically significant.
In vitro stimulation of neutrophils with ICs induces the release of BAFF

Neutrophil activation by TLR ligands induces BAFF release. To investigate whether IC-driven neutrophil activation similarly can promote release of BAFF, we isolated neutrophils from patients with SLE or healthy individuals and stimulated them with SLE-ICs in vitro.

Consistent with prior work, RNP-IC could mediate a partial NET formation 1–3 hours after stimulation, as measured by the release of DNA (online supplemental figure 2). Next, we used a combination of immunofluorescent microscopy and ELISA to test whether the activated neutrophils release BAFF. Neutrophils stimulated with RNP-ICs showed a marked decrease in intracellular BAFF signal 1–3 hours after stimulation, as compared with unstimulated cells (figure 1C). The decrease in intracellular BAFF was associated with a significant increase in BAFF titres in cell culture supernatants (figure 1D), suggesting that, on IC stimulation, neutrophils release BAFF. Importantly, we observed a similar release of BAFF from neutrophils isolated from patients with SLE (p=0.0062 and p=0.042, at 1 hour and 3 hours, respectively) (online supplemental figure 3A).

The production of other cytokines, known to promote B cell activation, including IL-6 and TNF-α, was also increased after 3 hours of IC stimulation (although it did not reach statistical significance), while the levels of other cytokines, including IL-21 and IL-10 titres, remain unchanged (online supplemental figure 3B–E).

**Neutrophils promote B cell survival and activation in vitro and drive SLE B cell differentiation**

We next investigated the effects of neutrophils on B cell responses. We isolated CD19⁺ B cells from healthy individuals, and cultured them either alone or together with autologous neutrophils. After 5 days of incubation, the percentages of live B cells and proliferating B cells were higher in B cells–neutrophil co-cultures, as compared with B cells cultured alone, particularly, when cells were also stimulated with anti-IgM (figure 2A,B). The percentage of CD86⁺ (activated) B cells was also significantly increased in co-culture conditions without BCR crosslinking (figure 2C).

Using similar in vitro experimental settings, we also tested whether SLE neutrophils can also promote B cell differentiation into CD27⁺CD38⁻ plasmablast. Notably, a distinct plasmablast population appeared on co-culturing of SLE B cells with neutrophils (figure 2D and online supplemental figure 4A–C). The frequencies of CD27⁺CD38⁻ cells were increased by, but did not require BCR crosslinking, suggesting that neutrophil-derived signals alone were sufficient to drive their differentiation (figure 2D).

The effects of neutrophils on B cell differentiation were variable between patients with SLE. Analysis of several patients with SLE (n=5, online supplemental table 3) suggested that CD27⁺CD38⁻ B cell differentiation in B cell–neutrophil co-cultures may depend on the presence of CD27⁺CD38⁻/lo B cells, which disappeared in co-culture conditions, suggesting that they are the likely source of CD27⁺CD38⁻ cells (online supplemental figure 4A). CD27⁺CD38⁻ population showed a shift in CD86 expression and were larger in cell size as compared with CD27⁺CD38⁻ naïve B cells and CD27⁻CD38⁻/lo memory B cells, further supporting their activated (blast-like) phenotype (online supplemental figure 4C).
Together, these data support the role of neutrophils in promoting B cell activation and their ability to directly drive SLE B cell differentiation into plasmablast.

**DISCUSSION**

BAFF is an important B cell activating factor, involved in SLE pathogenesis and a target of the approved biologic belimumab. Consistent with prior work, we found elevated levels of BAFF in peripheral blood of patients with SLE associated with serological markers of disease activity, including complement consumption and anti-dsDNA antibodies.  

Although neutrophils are known to be an important source of BAFF, the potential stimuli promoting BAFF release in SLE had not been carefully explored. Given the serological findings of IC-driven disease associated with BAFF levels, as well as our prior work on IC-mediated NET formation, we hypothesised that ICs may be central in promoting BAFF release in SLE. Consistent with this hypothesis, prior studies have reported that exposure of neutrophils to bovine serum albumin-IC, after priming with G-CSF, induced the release of BAFF. Furthermore, Lood and Hughes showed BAFF release by neutrophils during NET formation.  

Our data revealed a reverse correlation between neutrophil counts and BAFF titres, and a positive association between increase in BAFF levels and levels of 8-OHdG DNA (oxidised DNA) and mt-COXII (mitochondrial) DNA (data not shown), further supporting the potential link between neutrophil activation/NET remnants and increased BAFF in patients with SLE.  

To our knowledge, our study is the first to show that in vitro stimulation of neutrophils with disease-relevant RNP/IgG ICs induces the release of BAFF from human neutrophils. Our in vitro data suggest that this is likely an active process of BAFF release from intracellular storages, associated with neutrophil’s activation and NETosis. During this process, BAFF, released by the neutrophils, may also physically associate with the NETs.  

Consistent with the release of BAFF, we found an increase of B cell survival in neutrophil/B cell co-cultures. Under the experimental conditions used, IC-stimulation did not promote significant release of other cytokines such as IL-21, IL-10, IL-6, TNF-α or CD40L, although we saw a trend towards the increased release of IL-6 (p=0.081) and TNF-α (p=0.2125) at 3 hours after IC stimulation, which may contribute to the effects of neutrophils on B cells. We also found an increase in the production of BAFF-related cytokine APRIL (data not shown); however, unlike BAFF, serum levels of APRIL did not correlate with IC levels, markers of neutrophil activation, or decrease of complement levels in patients with SLE.  

Only a few published studies have explored the ability of neutrophils to activate B cells. Puga et al have reported on B-helper neutrophils in the splenic marginal zone, driving the activation of IgM-producing marginal zone B cells via the secretion of BAFF, APRIL and IL-21. More recently, Gestermann et al have shown that LL37–DNA complexes, present in NETs, can drive the activation of memory B cells and the production of anti-LL37 Abs. We propose that during NET formation, the extrusion of autoantigens, including LL37-DNA, oxidised mitochondrial DNA, and other mitochondrial components or neutrophil-specific enzymes, in combination with BAFF, could be a strong driver of autoreactive B cell responses in SLE. Despite the appearance of CD27hiCD38hi cells in co-culture conditions, we did not detect a significant anti-nuclear auto-Ab production. Different experimental conditions could explain the discrepancy of our data from Gestermann’s study. While Gestermann et al induced NET formation by anti-LL37 antibody to promote memory B cell activation and anti-DNA Ig production; in our study, we co-cultured SLE neutrophils and B cells without prior neutrophil activation. Our data, however, suggest that similar to Gestermann et al, CD27hiCD38hi cells derive from a subset of memory B cells, which are present in some, but not all, patients with SLE. Why SLE neutrophils selectively activate memory B cells, exactly what subset of memory B cells these cells correspond to, and what their Ag-specificity is, would need further in-depth analysis.  

In brief, the results presented in this study support a novel mechanism in which ICs mediate NET formation and the release of BAFF. Consistent with the role of BAFF on B cells, our data support the ability of neutrophils to promote B cell activation and Ab-producing cell differentiation. Approaches to target the crosstalk between neutrophils and B cells could help inhibit pathogenic B cell responses in patients with SLE.
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