Diversity level of genomic microsatellites in redbay (*Perseaborbonia L.*) generated by Illumina sequencing
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Abstract

Redbay, *Perseaborbonia* (L.) Spreng., is a common evergreen tree or shrub native to the swamp forests of the Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plains in the United States. In the past decade, redbay populations have suffered extensive mortality caused by the vascular disease Laurel wilt (*Raffaelea lauricola* Eichhoff), which has been spread by the exotic redbay ambrosia beetle (*Xyleborus glabratus* Eichhoff). The disease is threatening the economic, ecologic and aesthetic functions of redbay. Early efforts to preserve genetic diversity of the threatened species, through seed and vegetative propagule collections have occurred without the benefit of guidance from a molecular characterization of existing genetic variation. Molecular markers may prove useful in guiding efficient conservation efforts for this species. Here we surveyed 51 genomic microsatellite (gSSR) markers derived from low-coverage whole genome sequencing of redbay with a panel of 25 unrelated redbay trees from eastern South Carolina. When analyzed in an ABI 3730 Genetic Analyzer, 24 markers demonstrated highly informative scores with a polymorphic information content of at least 0.50 and an estimated null allele frequency ≤0.1. The mean observed and effective number of alleles of these 24 sSSRs were 5.29 and 3.06, respectively. The observed heterozygosity ranged from 0.17 to 1.00 with a mean of 0.65, while the expected heterozygosity ranged from 0.16 to 0.90 with a mean of 0.62. Nei’s expected heterozygosity and Shannon’s Information index were 0.60 and 1.17, respectively. These results indicate high diversity level in the 24 redbay gSSR markers. This is the first report of genetic variation of redbay DNA markers. The gSSRs exhibiting high levels of polymorphism can be applied in characterization of genetic composition and diversity of seed collection and resistant genotypes in redbay germplasm conservation and breeding programs.
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Introduction

Redbay, *Perseaborbonia* (L.) Spreng., (Lauraceae) is an attractive aromatic evergreen tree or shrub indigenous to the southeastern United States. Its geographic distribution ranges to Maryland and Delaware in the north, to eastern Texas in the west, and to the tip of Florida in the south, as well as the Bahama Islands. It grows on hammocks, coastal dunes, and along swamp edges in mixed hardwoods and maritime forests [2]. The tree's size and growth habit varies considerably over its range, and can attain a height of over 60 feet and a trunk diameter of 3 feet. Redbay has limited use as a wood material, though its fine-grained wood is useful for cabinets, veneer, and boat trim. The tree's fruits are consumed by a wide variety of birds, rodents, deer, and bears, and persist late into the year, making it an important winter food source [5]. Dried redbay leaves have been used for generations as the “real” Southern bay leaf for flavoring savory foods, considered essential for gumbo. Native Americans used redbay in ceremonies and medicines [6]. Redbay also serves as...
a primary host for larval Palamedes swallowtail butterflies [17].

Today, redbay are being threatened by Laurel wilt, a disease caused by the fungus *Raffaelea lauricola* T.C. Harr., Friedrich & Aghayeva, which is vectored by a nonnative, wood-boring ambrosia beetle (*Xyleborus glabratu*s Eichhoff) [9]. Once introduced into a redbay host, the pathogen rapidly causes complete crown wilt and death, often within three weeks. Since the first report of the disease in 2003 on Hilton Head Island, South Carolina, and surrounding areas, thousands of redbays have died in the low country of South Carolina, resulting in loss of 75–80% of Hilton Head’s redbays by the end of 2004 [10]. In the past few years, more than 90% mortality rate of large redbays has been reported in some affected sites [9,16,18,25]. Reported a decrease of 91 million redbay stems between the years of 2003 and 2011 in Georgia. In Maryland, redbay has been listed as an endangered species [6]. According to a model reported by [26], Laurel wilt disease is spreading at a rate of 54.8 km/year and infection throughout the entire range of redbay is expected in less than 40 years. The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Forest Services have initiated germplasm conservation efforts for redbay through collection and storage of seeds at the USDA Forest Service’s National Seed Laboratory in Macon, Georgia. In addition, 80 different clones from lingering redbay in areas with more than 95% mortality have been propagated and maintained in Atlanta GA [7]. However, all these redbay materials have not been characterized.

Informative DNA markers are powerful high resolution tools for evaluation of genetic variation at the molecular level. In particular, microsatellites, or simple sequence repeats (SSRs) markers, have become predominant, because they are co-dominant, easily reproduced and scored, highly polymorphic, abundant through the genome, and have higher information content than isoenzyme and dominant markers [23]. To date, however, no DNA markers have been developed in redbay for characterizing its genetic resources, largely due to lack of redbay sequences. As September 2014, there are only 59 redbay DNA sequences in GenBank. Correspondingly, none of the above germplasm collections nor wild populations have been characterized for levels of genetic diversity using molecular markers. Fortunately, an initial set of genomic resources for 10 non-model hardwood tree species, including redbay [19] was generated via low-coverage whole genome sequencing [12] with an Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform. From the redbay sequencing data that had an estimated genome coverage of 1.49X (based on genome size of two other species in *Persea*: *P. americana* (905Mb) and *P. indica* (1,614Mb), a total of 18,167 potentially amplifiable loci were identified with flanking primers (genomic SSRs, gSSRs). This resource provides the opportunity to develop molecular markers for redbay for the first time.

**Methods**

We evaluated 51 gSSR markers in an ABI 3730 Genetic Analyzer with 25 unrelated redbay trees in eastern South Carolina that were available at the time of the study (Figure 1). There were at least 8 km between each tree except for tree #12 and #18. These two trees were 2.4 km apart. Primer design, DNA isolation, and PCR with fluorescent dye (6-FAM, VIC, NED, or PET) labeled primers were described in [24]. The amplicons were separated in an ABI 3730 Genetic Analyzer. Allele calling was performed using GenMapper (3.7, Life Technologies, NY). MICRO-CHECKER [21] was employed to check for potential genotyping errors arising from large allele drop-out. Observed and expected heterozygosities and polymorphic information content (PIC) were obtained using Cervus 2.0 [13]. Deviations from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium and the Shannon’s Information index were calculated with POPGENE [22].

![Figure 1. Locations of the 25 redbay trees included in the study.](image)

**Results and discussion**

We previously tested a subset of 98 markers from the 18,167 potentially amplifiable redbay gSSRs for amplification [19]. All of the 98 markers produced amplicons in at least one of the redbay trees tested, with the exception of marker RBgSSR82. The 51 gSSRs included in this study were randomly selected from the 97 amplifiable markers. Fragment analysis on an ABI 3730XL revealed that only gSSRBRbgSSR40 was monomorphic, resulting in a percentage of polymorphic loci of 98%. Amplification with RBgSSR5, 46, 47 and 48 was successful in only one tree, while amplicon sizes of RBgSSR31 and 35 were found to be under 100 bp, smaller than the expected size of 200 bp. No evidence of large allele dropout was found for any of the remaining 44 markers included in further analyses. The type of motif, repeat size, and marker sequences of these markers are listed in **Supplementary Table S1**. Among the 25 redbay trees surveyed with the 44 markers, the number of alleles per locus ranged from 2 to 16 (mean=5.91) (Table 1). The observed and expected heterozygosities (*Ĥ* and *Ĥ̂*) ranged from 0.04 to 1 and from 0.12 to 0.90, with averages of...
Table 1. Statistics of the 44 markers analyzed by cervus.

| Locus | k | N  | HObs | HExp | PIC  |
|-------|---|----|------|------|------|
| RB2   | 6 | 16 | 0.563 | 0.673 | 0.613 |
| RB3   | 4 | 21 | 0.238 | 0.526 | 0.475 |
| RB4   | 5 | 12 | 0.833 | 0.721 | 0.638 |
| RB6   | 3 | 25 | 0.52  | 0.58  | 0.485 |
| RB8   | 8 | 24 | 0.542 | 0.855 | 0.819 |
| RB9   | 5 | 25 | 0.64  | 0.527 | 0.473 |
| RB10  | 3 | 25 | 0.44  | 0.496 | 0.386 |
| RB11  | 3 | 25 | 0.12  | 0.548 | 0.43  |
| RB12  | 3 | 25 | 0.04  | 0.117 | 0.111 |
| RB13  | 4 | 25 | 0.52  | 0.543 | 0.443 |
| RB14  | 3 | 25 | 0.72  | 0.61  | 0.528 |
| RB15  | 7 | 23 | 0.174 | 0.73  | 0.679 |
| RB16  | 5 | 21 | 0.19  | 0.663 | 0.602 |
| RB17  | 3 | 25 | 0.4   | 0.519 | 0.414 |
| RB18  | 3 | 25 | 0.32  | 0.334 | 0.285 |
| RB19  | 3 | 25 | 0.56  | 0.626 | 0.544 |
| RB20  | 6 | 25 | 0.84  | 0.802 | 0.753 |
| RB21  | 5 | 25 | 0.72  | 0.593 | 0.501 |
| RB22  | 6 | 24 | 0.458 | 0.556 | 0.511 |
| RB23  | 3 | 21 | 0.095 | 0.585 | 0.501 |
| RB24  | 2 | 25 | 0.96  | 0.509 | 0.375 |
| RB25  | 5 | 25 | 0.72  | 0.687 | 0.632 |
| RB26  | 3 | 24 | 0.042 | 0.194 | 0.178 |
| RB27  | 3 | 18 | 0.167 | 0.595 | 0.496 |
| RB29  | 7 | 16 | 0.625 | 0.788 | 0.726 |
| RB30  | 3 | 24 | 0.167 | 0.159 | 0.148 |
| RB32  | 5 | 24 | 0.417 | 0.727 | 0.662 |
| RB33  | 7 | 21 | 0.143 | 0.823 | 0.778 |
| RB34  | 4 | 25 | 0.96  | 0.6   | 0.502 |
| RB38  | 6 | 25 | 0.8   | 0.72  | 0.654 |
| RB39  | 12| 24 | 0.875 | 0.891 | 0.86  |
| RB41  | 2 | 24 | 1    | 0.511 | 0.375 |
| RB42  | 10| 25 | 0.68  | 0.687 | 0.651 |
| RB43  | 9 | 24 | 0.5   | 0.824 | 0.782 |
| RB44  | 8 | 25 | 0.56  | 0.795 | 0.747 |
| RB45  | 7 | 24 | 0.75  | 0.661 | 0.601 |
| RB49  | 15| 19 | 0.684 | 0.899 | 0.864 |
| RB50  | 16| 20 | 0.4   | 0.899 | 0.867 |
| RB51  | 11| 22 | 0.409 | 0.816 | 0.773 |
| RB52  | 11| 16 | 0.5   | 0.819 | 0.778 |
| RB53  | 6 | 15 | 0.6   | 0.768 | 0.705 |
| RB54  | 9 | 16 | 0.375 | 0.643 | 0.605 |
| RB55  | 5 | 18 | 0.167 | 0.351 | 0.328 |
| RB56  | 6 | 16 | 0.313 | 0.688 | 0.621 |

K: Number of alleles; N: Number of individuals
HObs: Observed heterozygosity
HExp: Expected heterozygosity
PIC: Polymorphic information content

0.49 and 0.63, respectively.

The polymorphic information content (PIC) ranged from 0.11 to 0.87, with an average of 0.57. Overall, 29 of the 44 markers demonstrated highly informative scores (PIC>0.50), while 12 were reasonably informative scores (0.50>PIC>0.25), according to the criteria of [4]. RB12, RB26 and RB30 had a PIC that was less than 0.25. The PIC values obtained indicate that these 41 markers can serve as an important DNA marker resource in redbay population genetic studies. Of these, 17 loci exhibited null alleles however (estimated null allele frequencies range from 0.111 to 0.710 by MICRO-CHECKER), implying that caution should be exercised when using these loci in population genetics studies. When excluding the markers exhibiting null alleles (Table 2), the mean observed and effective number of alleles for the remaining 24 gSSR loci were 5.29 and 3.06, respectively. These 24 loci revealed observed heterozygosities ranging from 0.17 to 1.00 with a mean of 0.65, while expected heterozygosities ranged from 0.16 to 0.90 with a mean of 0.62. There was no significant difference between observed and expected heterozygosities (p=0.05, t-Test). Nei’s expected heterozygosity and Shannon’s Information index were 0.60 and 1.17, respectively. Lastly, a total of 15 loci (RB2, 4, 6, 10, 13, 14, 18, 19, 22, 25, 29, 30, 38, 39, 53) were found to significantly deviate from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (Chi-square test, p<0.05) in the 25 trees included in the study (Supplementary Table S2). Thus, these results indicate that the 24 redbay gSSR markers which did not exhibit null alleles could reveal high levels of genetic variation among redbay populations.

The study has shown that the approximately 18,000 potentially amplifiable redbay gSSRs generated by low-coverage whole genome sequencing [19] will be a valuable source for developing more informative markers. Compared to EST derived markers, genome sequence-derived SSRs are generally more polymorphic and provide higher resolution [14,20]. Thus, species-specific genomic SSRs are useful for fingerprinting and parentage analysis in closely related lines. Among the species in genus Persea, avocado (Persea americana) is most intensively studied due to its commercial values as one of the most important fruits in the world. Many DNA markers, SSRs in particular, have been developed and applied in population genetics and mapping of avocado c.f. [1,3]. Some of the avocado EST-SSRs markers may be transferable to redbay, as previous studies have shown that EST-SSRs generally demonstrate a high frequency of cross-species transferability [8,11].

From the standpoint of wood utilization, fruit production, or ornamental trade, redbay is not highly important commercially. However, the devastating Laurel wilt, now well established in the southeastern Atlantic Coastal Plain region of the U.S., is causing negative economic, ecological and aesthetic impacts on this native species. This study is the first effort in developing informative molecular markers for redbay germplasm conservation and breeding. This is the first report of genetic variation of redbay DNA markers. The gSSRs characterized in our study,
Table 2. Genetic variation at 24gSSR loci characterized in 25 red bay trees from eastern South Carolina, USA.

| Locus | Sample size | Na  | Ne  | Obs_Hom | Obs_Het | Exp_Hom | Exp_Het | Nei’s I |
|-------|-------------|-----|-----|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| RB2   | 32          | 6   | 2.88| 0.44    | 0.56    | 0.33    | 0.67    | 0.65    | 1.33    |
| RB4   | 24          | 5   | 3.24| 0.17    | 0.83    | 0.28    | 0.72    | 0.69    | 1.33    |
| RB6   | 50          | 3   | 2.32| 0.48    | 0.52    | 0.42    | 0.58    | 0.57    | 0.93    |
| RB9   | 50          | 5   | 2.07| 0.36    | 0.64    | 0.47    | 0.53    | 0.52    | 1.01    |
| RB10  | 50          | 3   | 1.94| 0.56    | 0.44    | 0.50    | 0.50    | 0.49    | 0.74    |
| RB13  | 50          | 4   | 2.14| 0.48    | 0.52    | 0.46    | 0.54    | 0.53    | 0.89    |
| RB14  | 50          | 3   | 2.49| 0.28    | 0.72    | 0.39    | 0.61    | 0.60    | 1.00    |
| RB17  | 50          | 3   | 2.04| 0.60    | 0.40    | 0.48    | 0.52    | 0.51    | 0.80    |
| RB18  | 50          | 3   | 1.49| 0.68    | 0.32    | 0.67    | 0.33    | 0.33    | 0.57    |
| RB19  | 50          | 3   | 2.59| 0.44    | 0.56    | 0.37    | 0.63    | 0.61    | 1.02    |
| RB20  | 50          | 6   | 4.68| 0.16    | 0.84    | 0.20    | 0.80    | 0.79    | 1.63    |
| RB21  | 50          | 5   | 2.39| 0.28    | 0.72    | 0.41    | 0.59    | 0.58    | 1.04    |
| RB22  | 48          | 6   | 2.19| 0.54    | 0.46    | 0.44    | 0.56    | 0.54    | 1.13    |
| RB24  | 50          | 2   | 2.00| 0.04    | 0.96    | 0.49    | 0.51    | 0.50    | 0.69    |
| RB25  | 50          | 5   | 3.06| 0.28    | 0.72    | 0.31    | 0.69    | 0.67    | 1.33    |
| RB29  | 32          | 7   | 4.23| 0.38    | 0.63    | 0.21    | 0.79    | 0.76    | 1.60    |
| RB30  | 48          | 3   | 1.18| 0.83    | 0.17    | 0.84    | 0.16    | 0.16    | 0.33    |
| RB34  | 50          | 4   | 2.43| 0.04    | 0.96    | 0.40    | 0.60    | 0.59    | 1.01    |
| RB38  | 50          | 6   | 3.40| 0.20    | 0.80    | 0.28    | 0.72    | 0.71    | 1.37    |
| RB39  | 48          | 12  | 7.84| 0.13    | 0.88    | 0.11    | 0.89    | 0.87    | 2.24    |
| RB41  | 48          | 2   | 2.00| 0.00    | 1.00    | 0.49    | 0.51    | 0.50    | 0.69    |
| RB42  | 50          | 10  | 3.06| 0.32    | 0.68    | 0.31    | 0.69    | 0.67    | 1.59    |
| RB49  | 38          | 15  | 8.02| 0.32    | 0.68    | 0.10    | 0.90    | 0.88    | 2.37    |
| RB53  | 30          | 6   | 3.88| 0.40    | 0.60    | 0.23    | 0.77    | 0.74    | 1.53    |
| Mean  | 46          | 5.29| 3.06| 0.35    | 0.65    | 0.38    | 0.62    | 0.60    | 1.17    |
| St. Dev. | -- | 3.16| 1.71| 0.21    | 0.21    | 0.17    | 0.16    | 0.16    | 0.49    |

Na: Observed number of alleles; Ne: Effective number of alleles; Obs_Hom/Obs_Het: Observed homozygosity/heterozygosity; Exp_Obs/Exp_Het: Expected homozygosity/heterozygosity; Nei’s I: Shannon’s Information index; St. Dev.: Standard deviation.

particularly the 30 markers exhibiting highly informative scores (PIC>0.50), will be useful in studies assessing genetic diversity within and among regions and for conducting paternity analysis in redbay. This study represents the initial and necessary step to future construction of genetic linkage maps, quantitative trait loci mapping for Laurel disease resistance, and enhancing germplasm selection in redbay with molecular markers.
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