DNA linking number change induced by sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins
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ABSTRACT

Sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins play a key role in many fundamental biological processes, such as transcription, DNA replication and recombination. Very often, these DNA-binding proteins introduce structural changes to the target DNA-binding sites including DNA bending, twisting or untwisting and wrapping, which in many cases induce a linking number change ($\Delta Lk$) to the DNA-binding site. Due to the lack of a feasible approach, $\Delta Lk$ induced by sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins has not been fully explored. In this paper we successfully constructed a series of DNA plasmids that carry many tandem copies of a DNA-binding site for one sequence-specific DNA-binding protein, such as $\lambda$ O, LacI, GalR, CRP and AraC. In this case, the protein-induced $\Delta Lk$ was greatly amplified and can be measured experimentally. Indeed, not only were we able to simultaneously determine the protein-induced $\Delta Lk$ and the DNA-binding constant for $\lambda$ O and GalR, but also we demonstrated that the protein-induced $\Delta Lk$ is an intrinsic property for these sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins. Our results also showed that protein-mediated DNA looping by AraC and LacI can induce a $\Delta Lk$ to the plasmid DNA templates. Furthermore, we demonstrated that the protein-induced $\Delta Lk$ does not correlate with the protein-induced DNA bending by the DNA-binding proteins.

INTRODUCTION

Sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins play a key role in many essential biological processes. For instance, DNA replication initiators, such as Escherichia coli DnaA protein and bacteriophage $\lambda$ O protein, bind and restructure DNA replication origin elements (1,2) and subsequently prepare them for chromosome duplication (3–6). Transcription is another fundamental process in biology. The control of transcription initiation by transcriptional factors is extremely important for coordinating a sophisticated response to changes in the environment (7). Transcriptional factors either stimulate or inhibit the transcription initiation, depending on different scenarios (8,9). Among the best-characterized transcriptional factors are E. coli lac repressor (LacI) (10), gal repressor (GalR) (11), cAMP receptor protein (CRP) (12) and AraC protein of the $\alpha$-arabinose operon (8). These transcriptional factors tightly bind to their recognition sites on the chromosome, restructure the recognition sites and regulate transcription from the nearby or distant promoters (8,13).

One common property of the sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins is to induce DNA structural change to their recognition sites, which includes DNA bending, twisting or untwisting, wrapping and other distortions (14,15). Among the DNA structural changes, protein-induced DNA bending has been extensively studied (16). For example, E. coli LacI, GalR, CRP and AraC all sharply bend their recognition sites (17,18). In fact, the protein-induced DNA bending was considered to be necessary for their biological functions (18). Nevertheless, other protein-induced DNA structural changes by sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins are rarely addressed (14). The main reason is that a reliable strategy has not been developed to carry out a systematic study for these protein-induced DNA structural changes. For instance, there were a few attempts to determine the linking number change ($\Delta Lk$) induced by LacI; however, the results were inconsistent (19,20). This controversy may be caused by the fact that each LacI causes a small $\Delta Lk$ for each lac operator [much <1 (19)], it is experimentally not feasible to use a circular plasmid DNA containing one or two lac operators for these studies. In this case, it is required to construct a plasmid DNA template containing multiple tandem copies of the lac operator to determine LacI-induced $\Delta Lk$. As demonstrated by Sadler et al. (21), it was difficult to construct a stable plasmid containing
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many tandem copies of the lac $O_1$ operator, which made the determination of $\Delta Lk$ challenging. In this article, we overcame this difficulty and constructed a series of circular plasmid DNA templates that carry many tandem copies of one DNA-binding site of a sequence-specific DNA-binding protein, such as LacI, GalR, CRP, AraC and $\lambda$ O protein. Utilizing these unique plasmid DNA templates, we were able to simultaneously determine the protein-induced $\Delta Lk$ and the DNA-binding constant for $\lambda$ O protein and GalR. We also found that the protein-induced $\Delta Lk$ is a unique property for each DNA-binding protein and does not correlate with the protein-induced DNA bending. In addition, protein-mediated DNA looping by AraC and LacI can introduce $\Delta Lk$ to the DNA-binding sites.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Purified proteins

Bacteriophage $\lambda$ O protein was a generous gift of R. McMacken (Johns Hopkins University). *Escherichia coli* GalR was kindly provided by S. Adhya (National Institutes of Health). *Escherichia coli* CRP was a gift of J. C. Lee (The University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX, USA). *Escherichia coli* AraC protein is a gift of R. Schleif (Johns Hopkins University). *Escherichia coli* LacI was purified by the method of Chen and Matthews ([22]; *E. coli* strains containing plasmids overexpressing these LacI mutants were kindly provided by K. S. Matthews at Rice University). All restriction enzymes, T4 DNA ligase and calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase were purchased from New England Biolabs (Beverly, MA, USA). Recombinant Human topoisomerase I was obtained from TopoGen, Inc. (Port Orange, FL, USA).

Plasmid DNA templates

All plasmids are derived from low copy number plasmids pACYC184 or pACYCDuet-1. Plasmid pYZX43 was constructed by the insertion of a 24-bp synthetic DNA fragment, containing a BglII site, into the unique BspHI site of pACYC184. A 323-bp BamHI–BglII DNA fragment carrying phage $\lambda$ sequences from 39 974 to 39 207, which includes the $\lambda$ replication origin with four iterons (O protein-recognition sites), was cloned into the BamHI–BglII sites of pYZX43. Plasmid pYZX42 was constructed by the insertion of a 588 bp EcoRV–AvrII fragment, containing the seven tandem copies of the CRP sites, which is 24 and 29 bp, respectively. Plasmid pYZX12 was constructed by the insertion of a 22-bp DNA fragment, containing a nicking restriction endonuclease Nt.BbvC1 site, into the unique BstEII site of pACYCDuet-1. A 476-bp XhoI fragment carrying seven copies of the head-to-tail araI site (a head-to-tail ligation product of a 68 bp synthetic XhoI DNA fragment containing one araI site of the $\lambda$-arabinose operon) was then cloned into the unique XhoI site of pYZX12 to yield plasmid pYZX36. Plasmid pYZX42 was constructed by the insertion of a 588 bp EcoRV–AvrII fragment, containing the seven tandem copies of the araI site of pYZX36, between the BsaAI and NheI sites of pYZX36. In this case, pYZX42 contains 14 araI sites.

Plasmid pYZX46 was constructed in a few steps. In the first step, a synthetic oligonucleotide (92 bp; annealing product of oligonucleotides FL313 and FL314) containing two lac $O_1$ operators was inserted into the unique BamHI site of pYZX12 to produce pYZX29, which contains four lac $O_1$ operators (pACYCDuet-1 has two lac $O_1$ operators). Using similar approaches, we have made the following plasmids: pYZX34 (six lac $O_1$ operators), pYZX37 (eight lac $O_1$ operators) and pYZX40 (10 lac $O_1$ operators). Next, pYZX40 was digested by BamHI and BglIII to produce 567, 790 and 3041 bp fragments. The 567-bp fragment is the head-to-tail ligation product of a 29-bp synthetic BamHI–BglII DNA fragment (top strand: 5'-GATCCCCAACATGGGGGATGCGTGA-3'; the underlined sequence is iteron III of $\lambda$ replication origin). Since the O-recognition sequence iteron III is a 19-bp DNA sequence, the space between each iteron III is 10 bp. Plasmid pCB22 was produced by the insertion of the same 145-bp DNA fragment into the unique BglII site of pCB21 and contains 10 tandem copies of iteron III. Plasmid pCB28 was constructed by inserting a 290-bp BamHI–BglII fragment into the unique BglII site of pCB22. In this case, pCB28 contains 20 copies of head-to-tail iteron III multimers (20 O-recognition sites). Using similar approaches, the following plasmids have been made: pCB18, pCB32, pCB34 and pCB37, which contain 15, 20, 24 and 16 copies of head-to-tail iteron III multimers, respectively. The space between each iteron III is 5, 15, 20 and 25 bp for these plasmids, respectively (Table 1). These plasmids were used to examine the phase and distance effect on the O-protein-induced $\Delta Lk$ or $\beta$.
fragment, which contains nine lac O1 operators, was then inserted into the BamHI sites of pYZX40 to generate pYZX46 that contains 19 head-to-tail tandem copies of lac O1 operators.

Plasmids pBend2-lacI, pBend2-lacO1, pBend2-galO_E and pBend2-CRP were created by insertion, respectively, of a synthetic XbaI DNA fragment containing either iteron III of λ DNA replication origin or lac O1 operator or gal O_E operator or the CRP binding site of the lac P1 promoter into the unique XbaI site of pBend2 [plasmid pBend2 is a generous gift of S. Adhya (National Institutes of Health)].

All DNA elements were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

### Table 1. Plasmids constructed in this study

| Plasmid | DNA-binding site | Space between each DNA-binding site (bp) | Copy number of the DNA-binding site | The DNA-binding sequences (top strand) |
|---------|------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| pCB5    | O binding site   | 4                                        | 16                                  | λ DNA replication origin*              |
| pCB18   | Iteron IIIb      | 5                                        | 15                                  | 5’-ATTCCCTAAATTGGGGGAT-3’              |
| pCB28   | Iteron IIIb      | 10                                       | 20                                  | 5’-ATTCCCTAAATTGGGGGAT-3’              |
| pCB32   | Iteron IIIb      | 15                                       | 20                                  | 5’-ATTCCCTAATTGGGGGAT-3’               |
| pCB34   | Iteron IIIb      | 20                                       | 24                                  | 5’-ATTCCCTAATTGGGGGAT-3’               |
| pCB37   | Iteron IIIb      | 25                                       | 16                                  | 5’-ATTCCCTAATTGGGGGAT-3’               |
| pYZX36  | araI             | 30                                       | 7                                   | 5’-TAGCATTTTTATCCATAAGATTAGCGGATCCTACCTGAG-3’ |
| pYZX42  | araI             | 30                                       | 14                                  | 5’-TAGCATTTTTATCCATAAGATTAGCGGATCCTACCTGAG-3’ |
| pCB42   | gal O_E          | 20                                       | 18                                  | 5’-GTGTAAACGATTCCAC-3’                 |
| pCB46   | gal O_E          | 25                                       | 18                                  | 5’-GTGTAAACGATTCCAC-3’                 |
| pCB51   | The CRP binding siteα | 20                        | 24                                  | 5’-CAATTAAATGTGAGTTAGCTACTCAATTA-3’    |
| pCB55   | The CRP binding siteα | 25                        | 24                                  | 5’-CAATTAAATGTGAGTTAGCTACTCAATTA-3’    |
| pYZX46  | lac O1           | 25                                       | 19                                  | 5’-AATTGAGCGGATGACAATT-3’              |

*λ DNA origin contains four DNA-binding sites for λ O protein.
β Iteron III is a DNA-binding site of λ O protein from λ DNA replication origin (the third repeating sequence of λ DNA replication origin).
α The CRP binding site is derived from the CRP binding site of E. coli lac P1 promoter.

---

The determination of protein-induced DNA ΔLk or unwinding angle (β)

In order to determine the protein-induced ΔLk, we used plasmids containing multiple tandem copies of a DNA-binding site of λ O protein, GalR, CRP, AraC or LacI (Table 1). Two methods were used: the ligation method and the Topo I (topoisomerase I) method. Since these plasmids each contain one nicking enzyme recognition site (Nb.BsrDI or Nt.BbvCI), and then titrated the nicked plasmid with one of the DNA-binding proteins, i.e. λ O protein, GalR, CRP, AraC or LacI. After 30 min incubation, the DNA templates were ligated by T4 DNA ligase. The linking number (Lk) of the ligated DNA products was determined with 1% agarose gel electrophoresis in the presence of chloroquine (23) and calculated from the gel images stained with ethidium bromide or SYBR Gold using KODAK 1D Image Analysis Software. In the Topo I method, the supercoiled plasmid DNA templates were titrated with one of the DNA-binding proteins and then relaxed by human topoisomerase I. The relaxation reaction was stopped by phenol extraction. The linking number of the DNA templates was also determined with 1% agarose gel containing chloroquine as described above. The observed linking number change was calculated by \[ \Delta Lk_{\text{obs}} = Lk - Lk^c \] where \( Lk \) and \( Lk^c \) are the Boltzmann centers of the topoisomers band in the presence of the DNA-binding protein and in its absence, respectively. If we define the apparent protein-induced DNA-unwinding angle (β_{obs}) equals to 360 × ΔLk_{obs}, then the protein-induced DNA-unwinding angle (β) per binding site was determined by the following equation if we assume that no interaction exists among the bound DNA-binding protein and context-dependent differences in binding affinity are ignored (for proteins cooperatively binding to its DNA-binding sites, more complex equations have to be derived) and the DNA-binding density (r) is equal to β_{obs}/β (see below for its derivation):

\[
\frac{\beta_{\text{obs}}}{C_f} = K(n\beta - \beta_{\text{obs}}) \tag{1}
\]

where \( K \) is the DNA-binding constant, \( n \) is the binding site size (\( n \) is the copy number of the DNA-binding sites on the plasmids that can be determined by DNA sequencing) and \( C_f \) is the free concentration of the DNA-binding protein (for the low protein concentrations, the free protein concentration was estimated using the DNA-binding affinity of the protein. For the high-protein concentrations, \( C_f \) is approximated to the total ligand concentration \( C_f \). This analysis should give us the protein-induced β and \( K \) simultaneously. The intrinsic ΔLk per binding site can be calculated by

\[
\Delta Lk = \frac{\beta}{360} \tag{2}
\]

To derive Equation 1, we consider a binding reaction between a sequence-specific DNA-binding protein and \( n \) independent binding sites on a plasmid DNA template with an intrinsic binding constant of \( K \). Each of the \( n \) sites has the same affinity for the DNA-binding protein as any other and the binding is non-cooperative.
The binding properties can be described by Scatchard Equation:

\[
\frac{r}{C_f} = K(n-r) \tag{3}
\]

where \( r \) is the binding density (the number of moles of the DNA-binding protein bound per mole of the DNA template) and \( C_f \) is the concentration of the DNA-binding protein in the free form. If the DNA-binding protein binds to each of its \( n \) binding sites to cause the unwinding of \( \beta \) degrees of the DNA template, the DNA-binding density \( r \) can be calculated by

\[
r = \frac{r_{\text{obs}}}{\beta} \tag{4}
\]

Substitution of Equation 4 into Equation 3 yields Equation 1.

The determination of the protein-induced DNA bending angle

The protein-induced DNA bending angles were determined using the circular permutation assay (24). Plasmids pBend2 derivatives containing a recognition sequence of a sequence-specific DNA-binding protein, such as \( \lambda \) O, GalR, CRP and LacI were digested by restriction enzymes MluI, BglII, NheI, SpeI, XhoI, DraI, EcoRV, NruI and BamHI to produce a set of fragments with identical length and base composition in which the position of the protein binding site is variable. The DNA fragments were labeled with \( ^{32}\text{P} \) at 5'-termini by T4 polynucleotide kinase in the presence of \( \gamma-^{32}\text{P}-\text{ATP} \). The DNA–protein complexes were formed by addition of 1.0 to 3.0 \( \times 10^{-10} \) M of the protein to a solution containing \( 1 \times 10^{-14} \) M of \( ^{32}\text{P}-\text{labeled DNA} \). After equilibration for 60 min at 22°C, the samples were loaded on a polyacrylamide gel to determine the mobility of DNA–protein complexes, which is dependent upon the position of the protein bound at the center and the end of DNA, respectively (25).

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) were used to determine the apparent DNA-binding constant of \( \lambda \) O protein, CRP, GalR and LacI. DNA oligomers containing a DNA-binding site of one of the DNA-binding proteins (the EcoRV fragment of the pBend2 derivatives) were labeled with \( ^{32}\text{P} \) at 5'-termini by T4 polynucleotide kinase in the presence of \( \gamma-^{32}\text{P}-\text{ATP} \). The DNA–protein complexes were formed by addition of appropriate amounts of the protein to a solution containing 0.1 nM of \( ^{32}\text{P}-\text{labeled DNA} \) in the 1 \( \times \) DNA-binding buffer containing 20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 200 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 5 mM MgCl\(_2\) and 5% glycerol. After equilibration for 60 min at 22°C, the samples were loaded on an 8% native polyacrylamide gel in 0.5 \( \times \) TBE buffer [0.045 M Tris–borate (pH 8.3) and 1 mM EDTA] to separate free and bound DNA. The gels were subsequently dried and visualized by autoradiography or quantified using a Fuji FLA 3000 image analyzer. The radioactivity of the free and bound DNA was determined and used to calculate the binding ratio (\( R \)), which is equal to the ratio of the radioactivity of the bound DNA divided by the sum of the radioactivity of the bound and free DNA. The apparent DNA-binding constant (\( K_{\text{app}} \)) was obtained by nonlinear-least-squares fitting the following equation using the program Scientist.

\[
R = \frac{(a+x+1/K_{\text{app}}) - \sqrt{(a+x+1/K_{\text{app}})^2-4ax}}{2a} \tag{6}
\]

where \( a \) and \( x \) represent the total DNA and the total protein concentration, respectively.

RESULTS

A strategy to study protein-induced DNA \( \Delta L_k \) of circular plasmid DNA by sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins

Here, we successfully made a series of DNA plasmids containing many tandem copies of one DNA-binding site, such as lac \( O_1 \) operator, gal \( O_E \) operator, the CRP binding site of lac \( P_l \) promoter, "araI" site of the \( \lambda \)-arabinose operon and iteron III of phage \( \lambda \) DNA replication origin (a DNA-binding site of \( \lambda \) O protein). Our cloning strategy was to use a low-copy number plasmid pACYC184 or pACYC Duet-1 to minimize the recombination among the tandem copies of the DNA-binding sequences. In this case, plasmids carrying multiple copies of one DNA-binding site are stable in \( E. \ coli \) strains, such as DH5\( \alpha \) and Top10. We also cloned one nicking endonuclease recognition site (either \( \lambda \) T.BbvCI or Nb.BsrDI) into these plasmids. The distance between the nicking endonuclease recognition site and the DNA-binding sites is \( \sim 0.8 \) or 1.8 kb (Figure 1) to minimize the interference between the specific binding of the DNA-binding proteins to the multiple DNA-binding sites and the ligation reaction for determining the protein-induced \( \Delta L_k \) (see below for details). Figure 1 and Table 1 summarize the main properties of the plasmids.

As described in ‘Materials and Methods’ section, we employed two methods, a ligation method and a Top I method to determine the protein-induced \( \Delta L_k \) by sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins. Since \( \Delta L_k \) of \(-1\) is equivalent to an unwinding angle of 360° for a circular plasmid DNA template, we chose to use the unwinding angle (\( \beta \)) for our calculation, i.e. \( \beta = 360 \times \Delta L_k \). If the concentration of the DNA template is sufficiently low (\(<<K_d\)) and the interaction between individual DNA-binding proteins is negligible, we should be able to simultaneously determine \( \beta \) or \( \Delta L_k \) and the DNA-binding constant (\( K \)). Since the initial
The topological status of the DNA templates is quite different for these two methods, \( \kappa \) or \( \Delta \) determined by these two methods may also be different (see below for details). Our strategy is summarized in Supplementary Figure S1.

Simultaneous determination of protein-induced \( \kappa \) or \( \beta \) and the DNA-binding constant of \( \lambda \) O protein and GalR

Due to availability, we first decided to determine \( \lambda \) O protein-induced \( \kappa \) or \( \beta \). \( \lambda \) O protein is the DNA replication initiator of bacteriophage \( \lambda \) and specifically binds to the four repeating sequences (iterons) of \( \lambda \) DNA replication origin (26). Upon \( \lambda \) binding, the \( \lambda \) DNA replication origin is wrapped around \( \lambda \) protein to form a nucleoprotein complex, the ‘\( \lambda \)-some’ (6) and subsequently unwind the DNA sequence of \( \lambda \) DNA replication origin (27). In this case, \( \lambda \) O protein should induce a \( \kappa \) to the DNA templates containing multiple copies of its binding sites. Figure 2A shows results of \( \lambda \) O protein titrating into a solution containing 0.0625 nM of the nicked plasmid pCB5 carrying four \( \lambda \) replication origins (16 \( \lambda \) O protein binding sites; the concentration of the \( \lambda \) O protein binding site is 1 nM). After the ligation reaction, the plasmid DNA samples were subjected to electrophoresis in a chloroquine–agarose gel to determine the linking number (Lk). In the absence of \( \lambda \) O protein, the DNA template is relaxed with a superhelical density, \( \sigma \), of approximately zero. In the presence of the DNA intercalator chloroquine (0.5 \( \mu \)g/ml), this DNA migrated during agarose gel electrophoresis as if it contained a few (+) supercoils.

Figure 1. Plasmids used to determine protein-induced \( \Delta \) by \( \lambda \) O protein, GalR, CRP, AraC and LacI. Plasmids pCB34, pCB46 and pCB55 were derived from pACYC184; plasmids pYZX36, pYZX42 and pYZX46 were derived from pACYCDuet-1. These plasmids were constructed as detailed under ‘Materials and Methods’ section. The restriction enzyme sites for BamHI, BglII, XhoI, AvrII, Nb.BsrDI and Nt.BbvCI are shown. The small closed rectangles represent the site specific recognition sequence for \( \lambda \) O protein (Iteron III), GalR (galO_E), CRP, AraC (araI) and LacI (lacO1).

Figure 2. Simultaneous determination of \( \lambda \) O protein-induced DNA unwinding angle (\( \beta \)) or \( \Delta \) and the DNA-binding constant (\( \kappa \)). (A) and (C) \( \lambda \) O protein titration assays were performed as described under ‘Materials and Methods’ section using the ligation method (A) or the Topo I method (C). In addition to 0.0625 nM of plasmid pCB5, the reaction mixtures for the DNA samples applied to lanes 1–10 also contain 0, 1.5, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.3, 7.5, 10.0, 12.5 nM of \( \lambda \) O protein (as dimer), respectively. DNA topoisomers were resolved with electrophoresis in 1% agarose gel containing 0.5 \( \mu \)g/ml chloroquine. (B) and (D) Quantification analysis of the binding data from the \( \lambda \) O titration experiments. \( \beta \) and \( \kappa \) were calculated according to Equation 1. \( \Delta \) was calculated by Equation 2.
(Figure 2A, lane 1). Binding of λ O protein to the λ O binding sites on plasmid pCB5 introduced about 4 (−) supercoils into the DNA template (Figure 2A, lanes 1–10). The λ O-induced ΔLk or β required the presence of O binding sites in the DNA templates (Figure S2). When plasmid pYZX43, which is identical to pCB5 except that it does not contain λ O binding sites, was used in the ligation method, λ O protein was not able to induce a ΔLk or β to the plasmid (Figure S2A). The results in Figure 2 were used to fit Equation 1 to yield the λ O protein–induced β (80.0 ± 5.6°) or ΔLk (−0.222 ± 0.016) and the DNA-binding constant [3.0(±1.5) × 10⁸ M⁻¹] (Figure 2B). The DNA-binding constant of λ O protein is almost identical to the one determined with EMSA assay (Figure 3). Similar results were also obtained using the Topo I assay (Figure 2C and D; Table 2).

We next varied the distance between the individual O binding sites (iteron III of λ replication origin) to examine whether the phase match or the distance between these DNA-binding sites affects the induced ΔLk or β. To our surprise, O protein–induced ΔLk or β is independent of the phase match and the distance between these DNA-binding sites (Table 2). Again, the DNA-binding constant was determined to be ~2.0–3.0 × 10⁸ M⁻¹, which is consistent with the EMSA results (Figure 3) and the published results (2). However, some variations in the DNA-binding constants are observed in these assays.

We also determined GalR–induced ΔLk or β. GalR is a dimer and specifically binds to the O₂F and O₁ operators of E. coli galactose operon to inhibit transcription from two gal promoters P₁ and P₂ (28). As described in ‘Materials and Methods’ section, we made two plasmids pCB42 and pCB46 that carry 18 gal O₂F operators (Figure 1 and Table 1). The difference of these two plasmids is the space between the neighboring O₂F operators: pCB42, 20 bp and pCB46, 25 bp (the head-to-tail distance of the O₂F operators are 36 and 41 bp, respectively). Since the O₂F operator is a 16 bp DNA sequence, it is reasonable to assume that GalR binds to the neighboring O₂F operators of pCB42 on the opposite side; in contrast, GalR binds to the neighboring O₂F operators of pCB46 on the same side. In this case, we were able to examine whether the phase match affects the induced ΔLk or β. Our results are summarized in Figure 4 and Table 3. For the ligation method, the binding of GalR to both plasmids pCB42 and pCB46 induced about 3 (−) supercoils into the

Table 2. λ O protein–induced DNA-unwinding angle (β), ΔLk and DNA-binding constant (K)

| Plasmid | The space between each DNA-binding site (bp) | Copy number | β (°)       | ΔLk         | K (×10⁸ M⁻¹) |
|---------|---------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| pCB5a   | 4                                           | 16          | 80.0 ± 5.6  | −0.222 ± 0.016 | 3.0 ± 1.5  |
| pCB5b   | 4                                           | 16          | 79.1 ± 1.5  | −0.220 ± 0.004 | 3.6 ± 0.5  |
| pCB18a  | 5                                           | 15          | 79.4 ± 1.4  | −0.221 ± 0.004 | 1.9 ± 0.4  |
| pCB25a  | 10                                          | 20          | 80.8 ± 1.8  | −0.222 ± 0.005 | 3.9 ± 0.7  |
| pCB32a  | 15                                          | 20          | 80.8 ± 1.8  | −0.224 ± 0.005 | 1.5 ± 0.3  |
| pCB34a  | 20                                          | 24          | 80.0 ± 1.3  | −0.222 ± 0.004 | 2.3 ± 0.3  |
| pCB37a  | 25                                          | 16          | 79.5 ± 1.3  | −0.221 ± 0.004 | 1.9 ± 0.4  |

The protein–induced ΔLk, β and the DNA-binding constant was determined by the ligation method as described under ‘Materials and Methods’ section.

The protein–induced ΔLk, β and the DNA-binding constant was determined by the Topo I method as described under ‘Materials and Methods’ section.
DNA templates (Figure 4A, lanes 1–10). Control experiments indicated that GalR-induced $\Delta Lk$ or $\beta$ was specific for the GalR−O$_E$ protein–DNA interaction. GalR failed to induce DNA supercoiling when its inducer, $\alpha$-galactose, was present (Figure 4B) or the plasmid template does not contain the gal operators (Figure S2B). These results were used to fit Equation 1 to yield the GalR-induced $\beta$ (60.9$^\circ \pm 1.5^\circ$) or $\Delta Lk$ (−0.169 ± 0.004) and the DNA-binding constant of 3.2(± 1.5) $\times 10^8$ M$^{-1}$ (Figure 4C and Table 3). Interestingly, there is no difference for the $\beta$ or $\Delta Lk$ values between these two plasmids, indicating that phase match does not influence GalR-induced $\Delta Lk$ or $\beta$. Similar results were obtained by using the Topo I method for GalR-induced $\Delta Lk$ or $\beta$ (Table 3).

**$\Delta Lk$ or $\beta$ induced by DNA looping proteins AraC and LacI**

Since DNA loops are topological structures, we decided to examine whether protein-mediated DNA looping affects protein-induced $\Delta Lk$ or $\beta$. For this purpose, we chose AraC and LacI, two well-characterized DNA-binding proteins that cause DNA looping *in vivo* and *in vitro* (29). In the absence of L-arabinose, AraC, a homodimer, binds in trans to $I_1$ and $O_2$ half-sites of the L-arabinose operon to form a DNA loop and therefore inhibits transcription from the $P_{BAD}$ promoter (8). In the presence of L-arabinose, AraC also tightly binds in cis to the neighboring $I_1$ and $I_2$ half-sites; however, it does not form a DNA loop. In this case, AraC stimulates transcription from the $P_{BAD}$ promoter (8). LacI, a homotetramer, forms DNA loops through specifically binding to the lac operators, such as $O_1$, $O_2$ and $O_3$, to negatively control gene transcription of *E. coli* lac operon (30). To study AraC-induced $\Delta Lk$ or $\beta$, we constructed two plasmids pYZX36 and pYZX42 (Figure 1). pYZX36 and pYZX42 contain 7 and 14 head-to-tail araI sites, respectively. The 14 araI sites of plasmid pYZX42 are located in two different locations with seven araI sites for each location. The distance between these two groups of araI sites is 1.2 kb on one side and 2.7 kb on the other side. In this situation, AraC should be able to induce DNA looping between these two groups of araI sites (31). As expected, AraC did not induce significant DNA supercoiling for plasmid pYZX36. Nevertheless, AraC greatly supercoiled plasmid pYZX42, and the binding of AraC to araI sites on plasmid pYZX42 introduced about 5 (−) supercoils into the DNA template (compare lane 1 to lane 2 of Figure 5A). Interestingly, as the AraC concentration was increased, the plasmid DNA template became less supercoiled (Figure 5A). Our interpretation is that AraC-mediated DNA looping is the cause for AraC-induced $\Delta Lk$ or $\beta$. Since we only used 1 nM of the araI site for the assay, a low concentration of AraC.

**Table 3.** GalR protein-induced DNA-unwinding angle ($\beta$), $\Delta Lk$ and DNA-binding constant ($K$)

| Plasmid | The space between the individual DNA-binding site (bp) | Copy number | $\beta$ (°) | $\Delta Lk$ | $K$ (x10$^8$ M$^{-1}$) |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|---------------------|
| pCB42$^a$ | 20 | 18 | 60.9 ± 1.5 | −0.169 ± 0.004 | 4.4 ± 1.7 |
| pCB42$^b$ | 25 | 18 | 102.6 ± 0.9 | −0.285 ± 0.003 | 7.2 ± 0.5 |
| pCB46$^a$ | 25 | 18 | 60.0 ± 0.9 | −0.167 ± 0.003 | 3.8 ± 1.2 |
| pCB46$^b$ | 25 | 18 | 66.5 ± 3.8 | −0.185 ± 0.011 | 7.7 ± 0.9 |

$^a$The protein-induced $\Delta Lk$, $\beta$ and the DNA-binding constant was determined by the ligation method as described under ‘Materials and Methods’ section.

$^b$The protein-induced $\Delta Lk$, $\beta$ and the DNA-binding constant was determined by the Topo I method as described under ‘Materials and Methods’ section.
e.g. 2.5 or 5 nM, should favor the binding of the protein in trans to form a DNA loop. In this scenario, AraC greatly supercoiled the DNA template. In contrast, a high concentration of AraC was in favor of the binding of the dimeric AraC to a single half site without forming a DNA loop, i.e. the DNA loop was titrated out. In this case, AraC should not significantly supercoil the DNA template. Our explanation was confirmed by the results shown in Figure 5B in which AraC failed to induce ΔLk or β when l-arabinose was present in the reaction mixtures (compare lanes 2 and 3 with lanes 4 and 5 of Figure 5B).

These results suggest that AraC-mediated DNA looping is the reason for AraC-induced ΔLk or β under our experimental conditions. Because AraC-mediated DNA looping is a cooperative process, we cannot use Equation 1 to derive AraC-induced ΔLk or β and the DNA-binding constant. However, with an assumption of AraC binding to all 14 araI sites of pYZX42, we estimated the maximum ΔLk and β induced by AraC to be −0.333 ± 0.039 and 120 ± 14°, respectively (Table 4). Similar results were also obtained using the Topo I assay. The ΔLk and β induced by AraC may be different if a different plasmid containing multiple AraC binding sites is used.

We also made a few plasmids to study LacI-induced ΔLk or β. Among them is pYZX46 that carries 19 lac O₁ operators (Figure 1 and Table 1). The 19 lac O₁ operators are divided into two different locations with each location containing 9 or 10 lac O₁ operators. The distance between these two locations is 224 bp (Figure 1). According to previous results (32), LacI should induce a DNA loop between these two groups of lac O₁ operators. In this case, it should also induce DNA supercoiling. Figure 6A and B show results of the DNA supercoiling assay (the ligation method) by LacI in which the DNA concentration was kept sufficiently low to minimize the nonspecific DNA-binding. As expected, LacI induced 2–3 (−) supercoils into the DNA templates (compare lane 1 to lanes 2–4 of Figure 6A and B), which is consistent with the published results (20). Interestingly, although lac operators are required for LacI-induced ΔLk or β (Figure S2D), IPTG inhibited the LacI-induced DNA supercoiling only when the concentration of both LacI and lac O₁ operator was very low (compare lane 2 with lane 5 of Figure 6A). When LacI’s concentration and/or lac O₁’s concentration was increased, IPTG stimulated LacI-induced DNA supercoiling (compare lanes 6 and 7 with lane 2 of Figure 6A; also compare lanes 5–7 with lanes 2–4 of Figure 6B). We believe that the stimulation of LacI-induced DNA supercoiling by IPTG stems from the LacI-mediated DNA looping which has a different topology. As demonstrated before (20,32), LacI, even in the presence of IPTG, is still able to induce DNA looping. We demonstrated here that the topology of the DNA loops in the presence and absence of IPTG is different. We estimated the maximum ΔLk induced by LacI in the absence or presence of IPTG are, respectively, −0.08 (β, 29°) and −0.21 (β, 75.8°) (Table 4). We also figu...
performed DNA supercoiling assay using the Topo I method. Intriguingly, LacI induced 6 to 7 ($\Delta Lk$) supercoils into the DNA templates (compare lane 1 with lanes 3 and 4 of Figure 6C), which is 4 more ($\Delta Lk$) supercoils than those in the ligation method. The induced $\Delta Lk$ was determined to be 0.30 or 1.07.5, which is significantly greater than those calculated from the ligation method (Table 4). These results suggest that LacI may 'keep' some superhelical energy in the LacI–DNA loop complex.

$\Delta Lk$ or $\beta$ induced by CRP

Previously, it was shown that *E. coli* cAMP receptor protein (CRP) may cause a small $\Delta Lk$ upon binding to CRP binding sites (33,34). We therefore decided to study CRP-induced $\Delta Lk$ or $\beta$. Two plasmids pCB51 and pCB55, each containing 24 CRP binding sites, were used for this study (Table 1). As described in ‘Materials and Methods’ section, the difference between these two plasmids is the head-to-tail distance of the neighboring CRP binding sites. Figure 7A shows results of the ligation assay of CRP titrating into a solution containing 0.0417 nM of plasmid pCB51 (the total concentration of the CRP binding site is 1 nM). To our surprise, CRP in the presence of cAMP did not induce DNA supercoiling under our experimental conditions. Control experiments demonstrated that CRP bound to and bent the CRP binding site (Figure 7B and C). The DNA-binding constant was determined to be 2.8 ± 1.1 × 10$^9$ M$^{-1}$ (Figure 7D). These results indicated that CRP-induced $\Delta Lk$ or $\beta$ is close to 0 (Table 4). Similar results were also obtained for plasmid pCB55 and for both plasmids using the Topo I method.
Protein-induced $\Delta Lk$ or $\beta$ does not correlate with protein-induced DNA bending by sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins

So far, all sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins used here sharply bend the DNA-binding sequences (Table 4). Since DNA bending is a geometric property which may alter DNA supercoiling (35), we decided to examine whether there is a correlation between the protein-induced DNA bending and $\Delta Lk$ or $\beta$. Our results in Table 4 showed that the protein-induced $\Delta Lk$ or $\beta$ does not correlate with the protein-induced DNA bending. For instance, $\lambda$ O protein bends each of the iterons $\sim 90^\circ$, and the $\Delta Lk$ and $\beta$ were determined to be $\sim 0.22$ and $80^\circ$, respectively. In contrast, CRP bends its binding site $\sim 90^\circ$ as well; it does not have an induced $\Delta Lk$ or $\beta$. Although AraC bends its binding site $\sim 90^\circ$ in the presence or absence of $\alpha$-arabinose (36), AraC-induced $\Delta Lk$ or $\beta$ is exclusively dependent on AraC-mediated DNA looping (Figure 5); in the presence of $\alpha$-arabinose, it did not have an induced $\Delta Lk$ or $\beta$ (Table 4 and Figure 5). These results suggest that there is no relationship between the protein-induced $\Delta Lk$ or $\beta$ and the DNA bending. Our results also showed that there is no correlation between the DNA-binding constant and the protein-induced $\Delta Lk$ or $\beta$ (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

White et al. (14,37) previously pointed out that closed circular DNA can be used as a probe for protein-induced structural changes. The primary quantity that can be experimentally determined is $\Delta Lk$. We showed here that it is feasible to simultaneously derive $\Delta Lk$ and the DNA-binding constant from a simple titration experiment (Figures 2 and 4). Two proteins, $\lambda$ O protein and GalR were chosen for these determinations. Both DNA-binding proteins bind to their recognition sites with moderate binding affinity (2,38) and, more importantly, without cooperativity (39). In this case, Equation 1 can be used to calculate $\Delta Lk$ or $\beta$ and the DNA-binding constant from experimental results shown in Figures 2 and 4. The DNA-binding constants of $\lambda$ O protein and GalR were determined to be $\sim 2.6 \times 10^8 \text{M}^{-1}$ (Table 2) and $\sim 5.8 \times 10^8 \text{M}^{-1}$ (Table 3), respectively. These results are consistent with our EMSA results (Table 4) and the published results (2,38). These results further suggest that these two proteins bind to their recognition site non-cooperatively. Interestingly, the protein-induced $\Delta Lk$ by both $\lambda$ O protein ($\sim 0.22$) and GalR ($\sim 0.18$) is independent of the distance and phase match between each neighboring binding site (Tables 2 and 3), suggesting that the protein-induced $\Delta Lk$ is a unique property for these sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins. White et al. also showed that $\Delta Lk$ can be described by two geometrical terms: the surface linking number (SLk) and the winding number ($\phi(14,37)$). In this case, $\Delta Lk = \Delta SLk + \Delta \phi$. For $\lambda$ O protein binding to its recognition site, i.e. $\lambda$ DNA replication origin, we believe that $\Delta Lk$ mainly results from the wrapping of the DNA around $\lambda$ O protein (Figure 8A and B), which forms a unique nucleoprotein structure, the O-some (6). Our unpublished atomic force microscope results strongly support this interpretation. For GalR binding to its recognition site, i.e. the $O_E$ operator, we also believe that DNA wrapping is the main cause for the induced $\Delta Lk$, although further studies are needed to support this interpretation.

As shown in Figures 5 and 6, the protein-mediated DNA looping by AraC or LacI can supercoil the DNA-binding sites. For AraC, the DNA looping is exclusively responsible for the induced DNA supercoiling since AraC in the presence of $\alpha$-arabinose cannot supercoil the DNA-binding sites (Figure 5B). Intriguingly, LacI-induced DNA supercoiling is dependent on the initial topological status of the DNA template in the protein-induced DNA supercoiling assays (Figure 6 and Table 4). The LacI-induced $\Delta Lk$ in the Topo I assay (the initial $\sigma$ of the DNA template is $\sim 0.06$) is much greater than the $\Delta Lk$ in the ligation assay (the initial $\sigma$ of the DNA template is near 0). These results suggest that LacI is able to keep superhelical energy within the LacI–$O_1$ nucleoprotein complexes in a thermodynamically stable status (Supplementary Figure S3). Models in Figure 8C and D present a possible case to explain how the DNA looping proteins bring the two groups of the DNA-

Figure 8. Proposed models to explain the protein-induced $\Delta Lk$ by sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins. Models (A to B) show that certain site-specific DNA-wrapping proteins, such as $\lambda$ O protein, bind and wrap the DNA recognition sites to induce the $\Delta Lk$ of the DNA template. Models (C to D) demonstrate that DNA-looping proteins, such as AraC and LacI, form a topological nucleoprotein complex and therefore induce the $\Delta Lk$ of the DNA template. Blue circle and red cylinder, respectively, represent the DNA recognition sequence for a site-specific DNA-binding protein and the site-specific DNA protein.
binding sites together to fold into a topological nucleoprotein complex. It is feasible to form a well-defined nucleoprotein complex, including the topological folding of DNA and the DNA regulatory proteins, which allow multiple protein–protein interactions in vivo. These nucleoprotein complexes may also be able to serve as DNA supercoiling barriers or dividers (23) to block the localized supercoiling diffusion to assist DNA trans-actions, such as replication, transcription and recombination.

In this article, we also demonstrated that CRP did not induce a ΔLK upon binding to its recognition site although CRP bent the site sharply [Figure 7B; (17)]. According to the crystal structure of the CRP–DNA complexes (40), CRP wraps around the DNA-binding site, which should result in a surface linking number change (ΔSLk). However, it is possible that this ΔSLk is compensated by changes in the local DNA winding in the CRP binding sites (the ΔSLk=ΔΦ or twist- writhe compensation). In this situation, the DNA helical axis lies in a plane and the overall ΔLK is equal to zero. This explanation resembles the interpretation of the so-called ‘linking number paradox’ for the topological changes in a minichromosome (15). It has been known for a long time that 146bp of DNA wrap around the histone octamer core 1.8 turns in a left-handed superhelix. In this case, ΔSLk = −1.8. Initially, it was mis-expected that ΔLK = ΔSLk, which resulted in the ‘linking number paradox’ in literature (14,15). As mentioned above, ΔLK = ΔSLk + ΔΦ, where ΔΦ = −0.8 and significantly compensated the ΔSLk to yield ΔLK = −1 that is corresponding to ~1 per nucleosome in the topoisoasemerase relaxation assays (41). Another example of the ΔSLk−ΔΦ compensation is the binding of the TATA box binding protein (TBP) to its recognition site which resulted in very little negative supercoiling in the topoisoasemerase relaxation assay (42). The crystal structures of the TBP–DNA complexes showed that the negative ΔΦ from DNA unwinding is canceled by the positive ΔSLk gained from ‘wrapping’ TBP around DNA (42,43).

In summary, we have constructed a series of DNA plasmids that carry many tandem copies of a DNA-binding site for sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins, such as λ O protein, GalR, CRP, AraC and LacI. Using these plasmid DNA templates, we determined the protein-induced ΔLK and demonstrated that the protein-induced ΔLK is an intrinsic property of these DNA-binding proteins. We also demonstrated that the protein-mediated DNA looping by AraC and LacI can introduce a ΔLK to the DNA-binding sites. Moreover, our results showed that the protein-induced ΔLK does not correlate with the protein-induced DNA bending and the DNA-binding constant.
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