π-extended porphyrin dimers as efficient near-infrared emitters and two-photon absorbers
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ABSTRACT
A Zn-porphyrin dimer and its linear conjugate with two diketopyrrolopyrrole (DPP) units have been prepared and their one- and two-photon photophysical properties have been characterised. The already wide conjugation of the dimer is further extended in the DPP containing derivative, leading to absorption and emission features in the near-infrared. The fluorescence quantum yield of the two compounds is of the order of 0.20 in organic solvents, a remarkable value for NIR emitting Zn-porphyrin systems. High two-photon absorption cross sections of 10,000 GM and 21,500 GM are measured at 910 nm for the dimer and the DPP conjugate, respectively, making these dimers promising for two-photon excited fluorescence imaging applications.

Introduction
Porphyrins are appealing compounds for a wide range of applications, in particular those related to bio-medical chemistry thanks to their close affinity to naturally occurring pigments and catalytic components.

Extension of the conjugation of the porphyrin aromatic system has been shown to be an interesting tool to decrease the HOMO-LUMO gap and develop near-infrared (NIR) absorbing and emitting materials (1–5). The development of efficient NIR emitters finds important applications in bio-medical imaging (6,7), due to the high transparency of biological environments to NIR radiation. One of the main issues in the development of NIR probes is the drop of the emission quantum yield with the decrease of the energy of the emitting state, due to the increased efficiency of non-radiative deactivation processes (energy gap law) (8).

We recently showed that conjugation of diketopyrrolopyrrole (DPP) units to a Zn-porphyrin component leads to NIR absorption and emission in the larger derivatives,
with the interesting and unusual trend of an increase in the fluorescence quantum yield with the decrease of the emission energy (3). DPP-porphyrin conjugates, moreover, have been found to be efficient two-photon absorbers (3,9–11), with exceptional potential in imaging and sensing applications, two-photon photodynamic therapy and theranostics.

We further explore the topic here, by describing the preparation and the characterisation of a Zn-porphyrin dimer and of a linear derivative containing two DPP units linked by ethynyl spacers. Detailed photophysical investigations of the compounds have been performed, together with the analysis of their two-photon absorption activity. Fluorescence spectra and quantum yields, combined with two-photon absorption cross-section, are discussed in view of assessing the potential of the two novel compounds as biphotonic NIR fluorophores.

**Results and discussion**

The chemical structures of the compounds of the present study, ZnP-ZnP and DPP-ZnP-ZnP-DPP, are represented in Figure 1. They consist of a butadiyne-linked Zn(II) porphyrin dimer linked to TIPS-protected ethynyl groups for ZnP-ZnP or conjugated to two peripheral diketopyrrolopyrrole (DPP) units through ethynyl spacers for DPP-ZnP-ZnP-DPP. Several hydrophilic di- and tri-ethylene glycol chains on the central porphyrin core and on peripheral DPPs enhance the water solubilization of the compounds.

**Synthesis**

ZnP-ZnP and DPP-ZnP-ZnP-DPP were obtained by Pd/Cu-catalyzed homocoupling reaction (12) of ZnP-TIPS (9) and DPP-ZnP (9,11) respectively (Figure 2). The reaction proceeded at room temperature and was completed after 45 min. Both compounds have been purified by silica gel column chromatography and obtained with 81% and 80% yield, respectively. They were fully characterised by NMR spectroscopy (see Figures S1–S2 for ZnP-ZnP and Figures S4-S5 for DPP-ZnP-ZnP-DPP). ESI mass spectrometry of ZnP-ZnP and DPP-ZnP-ZnP-DPP showed the isotopic profile of the molecular ionic species [M + Na]+ and [M + 2Na]2+/2 respectively that were in accordance with the calculated profile (Figures S3 and S6).

![Figure 1. Chemical structure of ZnP-ZnP and DPP-ZnP-ZnP-DPP.](image-url)
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The photophysical characterisation of ZnP-ZnP and DPP-ZnP-ZnP-DPP was performed in two organic solvents, dichloromethane (DCM) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), and an aqueous solution (H₂O added with 1% of DMSO).

Absorption spectra of the two dimers in DCM are reported in Figure 3 and those recorded in DMSO and H₂O + 1% DMSO are reported in Figures S7 and S8, respectively. A splitting of the Soret band is observed, indicative of strong electronic interactions between the two porphyrins (13–15). The Q-band region extends in the near-infrared, in particular for the DPP containing porphyrin dimer which absorbs up to 800 nm. The bathochromic shift observed for DPP-ZnP-ZnP-DPP with respect to ZnP-ZnP, of the order of 3–4 nm in the Soret region and of ca. 20 nm in the Q-bands in DCM and DMSO (in H₂O + 1% DMSO these values increase to ca. 10 nm and 50 nm, respectively) is ascribed to the enhanced conjugation introduced by the ethynyl linked DPP units (3,16,17). For each compound, a shift of the absorption bands to lower energies is observed when the polarity of the solvent increases (DCM < DMSO < H₂O) and the extent of the shift is higher for the DPP containing dimer (Table 1), indicating a contribution from charge-transfer transitions (3).
in the Soret region and 15–20 nm in the Q region (see Figures S11a and S12a) and a parallel red-shift of the emission spectra by ca. 20 nm (Figures S11b and S12b) with fluorescence quantum yields almost unaltered with respect to pure DCM (Table 1), the latter excluding the occurrence of aggregation phenomena in the pure solvent.

On the other hand, in the aqueous medium, solubility and aggregation issues are proven by the lower, but still significant, emission quantum yields of the compounds (of the order of $10^{-3}$) and by short or multi-exponential decays (Table 1).

Low temperature investigations have been performed at 77 K in a DCM:MeOH (1:1) glassy mixture. Fluorescence spectra, shown in Figure S13, are slightly red-shifted with respect to the room temperature case (ca. 10 nm, Figures S11b and S12b) with fluorescence quantum yields almost unaltered with respect to pure DCM (Table 1), the latter excluding the occurrence of aggregation phenomena in the pure solvent.

The room temperature emission spectra of the two compounds in DCM are reported in Figure 4; those recorded in DMSO and H$_2$O + 1% DMSO are shown in Figures S9 and S10. The relevant emission parameters are collected in Table 1. The fluorescence of the two dimers is in the NIR region, with a pronounced shift to lower energies when the polarity of the solvent increases (Table 1). The fluorescence quantum yield is of the order of 0.20 in the two organic solvents, higher than that of related DPP-ZnP-porphyrin conjugates containing only one porphyrin component (3). The significant value of the fluorescence quantum yield is interesting in consideration of the low energy of the transitions. This result, in apparent contradiction with the ‘energy gap law’, can be ascribed to the increased value of the oscillator strength brought by the conjugation (3,18) between the two porphyrin units in ZnP-ZnP and the extended conjugation towards the DPP units in DPP-ZnP-ZnP-DPP. This is reflected in the remarkable value of the radiative rate constant, of the order of $2 \times 10^8$ s$^{-1}$ for both compounds (Table 1).

Measurements in DCM added with 1% of pyridine revealed a red-shift of the absorption spectra by 3–5 nm in the Soret region and 15–20 nm in the Q region (see Figures S11a and S12a) and a parallel red-shift of the emission spectra by ca. 20 nm (Figures S11b and S12b) with fluorescence quantum yields almost unaltered with respect to pure DCM (Table 1), the latter excluding the occurrence of aggregation phenomena in the pure solvent.

On the other hand, in the aqueous medium, solubility and aggregation issues are proven by the lower, but still significant, emission quantum yields of the compounds (of the order of $10^{-3}$) and by short or multi-exponential decays (Table 1).

Low temperature investigations have been performed at 77 K in a DCM:MeOH (1:1) glassy mixture. Fluorescence spectra, shown in Figure S13, are slightly red-shifted with respect to the room temperature case (ca. 10 nm, Table S1 and Table 1). The energies of the lowest singlet states, derived from the emission maxima, are 1.69 and 1.63 eV for ZnP-ZnP and DPP-ZnP-ZnP-DPP, respectively. Phosphorescence could not be detected at low temperature, even upon addition of an external heavy atom, i.e. 50% of ethyl iodide in the same mixture.

Table 1. Photophysical data and singlet oxygen production quantum yields at room temperature in the different solvents.

| Compound          | Solvent | $\lambda_{\text{em}}$/nm | $\phi^b$ | $\tau$/ns | $K_1$/s$^{-1}$ | $K_2$/s$^{-1}$ | $\phi^d$ |
|-------------------|---------|--------------------------|----------|-----------|----------------|---------------|----------|
| ZnP-ZnP           | DCM     | 722, 802, 858 sh (744, 826, 880 sh) | 0.20 (0.21) | 1.16 (1.17) | $1.7 \times 10^6$ | $6.9 \times 10^6$ | 0.37     |
|                   | DMSO    | 742, 826, 880 sh         | 0.21     | 1.22      | $1.7 \times 10^6$ | $6.5 \times 10^6$ | 0.38     |
|                   | H$_2$O  | 750, 842, 902            | 3.2 $\times 10^{-3}$ | <0.05    |                |               |          |
| DPP-ZnP-ZnP-DPP   | DCM     | 752, 838, 898 sh (774, 862, 922 sh) | 0.23 (0.24) | 1.21 (1.25) | $1.9 \times 10^6$ | $6.4 \times 10^6$ | 0.11     |
|                   | DMSO    | 774, 862, 916 sh         | 0.20     | 0.95      | $2.1 \times 10^6$ | $8.4 \times 10^6$ | 0.19     |
|                   | H$_2$O  | 820, 922, 1080           | 8.7 $\times 10^{-4}$ | 0.80$^f$ |                |               |          |

Note: In brackets, values obtained with 1% pyridine.

*From corrected emission spectra.

Fluorescence quantum yields, measured with reference to DPP-ZnP-DPP in aerated DCM ($\phi_1 = 0.16$) (9).

Fluorescence lifetimes, excitation at 465 and 560 nm.

*Singlet oxygen production quantum yields, see the Experimental Section for details.

With 1% DMSO.

* A longer component (5.2 ns) is detected for 20% of the decay.
be ascribed to a decrease in energy of the triplet excited state of the DPP containing dimer, which should follow the same trend observed for the lowest singlet excited state, due to the enhanced conjugation of the system. By considering the singlet-triplet energy gaps observed for a series of DPP-porphyrin conjugates (3), we can estimate the energy of the triplet excited state of \( \text{DPP-ZnP-ZnP-DPP} \) to be of the order of 1.0 eV, thus very close to the singlet oxygen level (0.98 eV). This explains the low efficiency of the T-T energy-transfer process and, accordingly, the reduced singlet oxygen sensitisation observed for the extended dimer.

Two-photon absorption

Two-photon absorption (TPA) properties of \( \text{ZnP-ZnP} \) and \( \text{DPP-ZnP-ZnP-DPP} \) were determined by the way of their two-photon induced fluorescence in DCM/1% pyridine. Both compounds show strong TPA with a cross section (\( \sigma^2 \)) maximum at 910 nm of 10,000 and 21,500 GM for \( \text{ZnP-ZnP} \) and \( \text{DPP-ZnP-ZnP-DPP} \) respectively (Figure 6). The TPA properties of the silyl protected dimer are close to the one reported in DCM/1% pyridine for a similar porphyrin dimer bearing a 3,5-diterbutyl-phenyl group in meso position and with hexyl groups on the protecting silyl moiety (9,100 GM) (22). The increase in the conjugation length and the introduction of the electro-active DPP system on each side of the porphyrin dimer induce a strong increase of the \( \sigma^2 \), up to 21,500 GM at 910 nm. This effect is similar to the one obtained by ionic electron accepting moieties such as a methyl pyridinium. The TPA maximum is also red-shifted by 30 nm compared to a carboxylic acid salt bearing system with a similar \( \pi \)-backbone (16).

The use of a porphyrin dimer instead a single porphyrin macrocycle is highly valuable as the \( \sigma^2 \) of a bis-DPP porphyrin system showed maximum TPA cross-section of 4,000 GM in the 900 nm region (3,9).
Conclusions

The synthesis of two π-extended porphyrin dimers \( \text{ZnP-ZnP} \) and \( \text{DPP-ZnP-ZnP-DPP} \) was successfully realised based on a Pd/Cu homocoupling reaction of porphyrin functionalized with a terminal alkyn.

The two compounds show absorption and fluorescence features in the near-infrared, the latter extending up to 1000 nm for the longer array. Interestingly, they present a fluorescence quantum yield of 0.20 in organic solvents, a remarkable value for NIR emitting Zn-porphyrin compounds.

Triplet excited state absorption spectra show a bathochromic shift with the introduction of the DPP units, extending in the NIR up to 1500 nm. A decrease in the singlet oxygen quantum yield from ca. 0.40 to ca. 0.15 is observed and ascribed to the decrease of the triplet energy level due to the extended conjugation of the larger system. As a general observation, a low efficiency in singlet oxygen generation, which could prevent application of the material in photodynamic therapy, has to be taken into account when designing systems with fluorescence far in the NIR region.

A remarkable value of TPA cross-section of 10,000 GM at 910 nm in DCM + 1% pyridine is found for the porphyrin dimer, which even doubles to 21,500 GM for the DPP-dimer conjugate. This leads to two-photon brightness (\( \sigma, \phi_2 \)) values of the order of 2,000 and 4,300 GM at 910 nm in organic solvents for \( \text{ZnP-ZnP} \) and \( \text{DPP-ZnP-ZnP-DPP} \), respectively. The two explored compounds, and the DPP conjugated dimer in particular, can thus be considered promising candidates for imaging applications. These results open the way to the design of novel probes based on conjugated porphyrin systems.

Experimental section

Synthesis

Details on the synthesis of the compounds are presented in the ESI Section.

One-photon spectroscopy and photophysics

DCM and DMSO were of spectroscopic grade from Merck and Carlo Erba, respectively. Tri-distilled (Millipore Milli-Q) \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) was used. 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF), Zn-phthalocyanine (ZnPc), pyridine and Rose Bengal bis(triethyl-ammonium) salt were from Aldrich. Ethyl iodide was from Alfa Aesar.

Absorption spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 650 UV-vis spectrophotometer. Emission spectra were collected with a FLS920 fluorimeter (Edinburgh) equipped with a Hamamatsu R5509–72 InP/InGaAs photomultiplier tube supercooled at 193 K in a liquid nitrogen cooled housing and a TM300 emission monochromator with a NIR grating blazed at 1000 nm (sensitivity range: 300–1700 nm). The spectra have been corrected for the wavelength dependent phototube response. The fluorescence quantum yields have been determined with reference to \( \text{DPP-ZnP-DPP} \) in aerated DCM (\( \phi_f = 0.16 \)) (9). Fluorescence lifetimes have been measured with an IBH Time Correlated Single Photon Counting apparatus with excitation both at 465 nm and 560 nm. The analysis of the luminescence decay profiles against time was accomplished with the DAS6 Decay Analysis Software provided by the manufacturer. Experiments at 77 K in frozen glasses made use of quartz capillary tubes immersed in a home-made quartz dewar. Estimated errors are 10% on exponential lifetimes, 20% on quantum yields, 20% on molar absorption coefficients and 3 nm on emission and absorption peaks.

Singlet oxygen production quantum yields in DCM have been measured in air equilibrated solutions with reference to Rose Bengal bis(triethyl-ammonium)salt \( \phi_A = 0.48 \) in DCM) (20), by comparing the areas of singlet oxygen phosphorescence spectra measured with the NIR fluorimeter described above. Since the singlet oxygen emission spectrum overlapped with the tail of fluorescence of the two examined compounds (see Figure S14) the latter has been subtracted from the spectra prior to derive the area of the singlet oxygen signal. Excitation at 442 nm has been performed with a Kimmon Koha Co., Ltd. HeCd laser (with power reduced to ca. 7 mW). Singlet oxygen production quantum yields in DMSO have been measured by means of a comparative method using DPBF as a singlet oxygen trap, which is oxidised by singlet oxygen and its degradation can be monitored by the decrease of its absorbance (24). Zinc phthalocyanine (ZnPc) has been used as a standard (\( \phi_A = 0.67 \)) (21). Solutions of the standard or of the compound containing DPBF 2.2 \times 10^{-5} M, prepared in the dark, have been irradiated at 646 nm (\( A_{646} \sim 0.3 \)) by using an irradiation set-up composed by a 150 W Xenon lamp (LOT) and a Omni-λ 150 monochromator (Zolix), under continuous stirring. The light intensity was 6 mW/cm². The singlet oxygen yield of the sample (\( \phi_A \)) has been determined by comparing the DPBF degradation rates for the sample and the standard, as described previously (3). The absorption spectrum of DPBF at each irradiation step
been followed at 400 nm, a wavelength chosen to avoid distortion effects due to the high absorbance of some solutions around 450 nm.

Two-photon spectroscopy

The two-photon excitation spectra were obtained by upconverted fluorescence method using a Ti:sapphire femtosecond laser Insight DS with pulse width <120 fs and a repetition rate of 80 MHz (Spectra-Physics) as described previously (25). Briefly, the excitation beam was collimated over the cell length (10 mm) and the fluorescence, collected at 90° of the excitation beam, was focused into an optical fiber connected to a spectrometer. The incident beam intensity was adjusted to ensure an intensity-squared dependence of the fluorescence over the whole spectral range investigated. Deviation from a two-photon process was detected below 830 nm. Calibration of the spectra was performed by comparison with the published rhodamine B TPA spectrum (26).
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