1 Introduction

Lime is a commonly used constituent of cement-based mortars and plasters used in masonry. Lime improves consistency and workability of the fresh mortars [1, 11], while improving the stability of the fresh mortar in relation to its water retention [10, 15, 16]. Hardened cement-lime mortars also exhibit lower compressive strength and slightly higher flexural strength, what in turn makes the mortars weaker but more deformable, what is a desired trait for masonry [6–8].

In Polish construction practice, lime has been mainly perceived and treated as a plasticizing agent. Therefore, when plasticizing admixtures for masonry mortars and plasters were introduced, they were often regarded as a direct substitute for lime, and this trend is still present in the current Polish construction practice [14]. The perception of plasticizing admixtures as direct substitutes for lime leads to a common belief that their effect on the properties of fresh masonry mortars and plasters is also comparable [9]. This can cause problems during construction process, especially taking under consideration the non-stable conditions of making and applying plaster and masonry mortar on the construction site. While the difference of the properties of mortars and plasters has been a subject of comparative studies such as [17], the research into topic of the effect of material and technological factors on the properties of cement-lime mortars and mortars with plasticizing admixture yields little results.

2 Experimental details

For the research, following materials were used: cement CEM I 42.5 R, two types of lime from different producers, one plasticizing admixture based on naphthalene resin, standard sand according to EN 196-1 [5].

Mortars with a volume ratio of cement, lime and sand 1: 6 were made as plasters and masonry mortars. For plaster, a consistency equal to 9 cm to Novikov’s cone [12] was assumed, for masonry mortar - 7 cm. The amount of water was experimentally adjusted to obtain these consistencies. The volume ratio of cement to sand of mortars with plasticizing admixture was 1: 6, the admixture content was assumed as 0.25% of the cement mass. The amount of water was adjusted for consistency similarly to cement-lime mortar.

The exact compositions and assumed designation of mortars and plasters is shown in Table 1. Several factors that could influence the properties of the mortars and plasters were chosen. The factors were chosen based on the most common and probable deviations from the standard conditions on the construction...
Table 1: Compositions of the plaster mortars for 1m³.

| Component [kg] | Mortar | Z1T | Z2T | Z3T | Z1M | Z2M | Z3M |
|----------------|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| CEM I 42.5 R   |        | 169 | 186 | 184 | 177 | 189 | 189 |
| Plasticizing admixture | 0.426  |     |     |     | 0.446|     |
| Lime "N"       |        | 89  |     |     |     |     | 91  |
| Lime "T"       |        | 88  |     |     |     |     | 91  |
| Sand           |        | 1455| 1599| 1582| 1525| 1625| 1625|
| Water          |        | 197 | 284 | 287 | 189 | 271 | 274 |

Table 2: The research plan.

| Factor                        | Changeability                  | Plaster               | Masonry mortar       |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Temperature of the mortar/plaster | +5°C; +20°C; +35°C             | Plasticizing admixture | Plasticizing admixture |
| Mixing intensity              | Low, standard, high            | Lime (2 types)        | Lime (2 types)       |
| Amount of lime/admixture      | ± 10% of mass                  | Plasticizing admixture | Plasticizing admixture |
| Amount of water               | ± 10% of mass                  | Plasticizing admixture | Plasticizing admixture |

sites. The temperature on the construction site is usually different from +20°C leading to differences in mortar temperature, the mixing time can vary, and small dosing mistakes can occur. The changes in those factors were tested in order to ascertain how the changes of those factors influence the properties of the fresh mortar. The full research plan is shown in Table 2.

2.1 Methodology

To determine the effect of the changeability of the tested factors, following tests were performed:

- consistency determined by the Novikov’s cone method according to PN-B-04500 [12],
- consistency determined by the plunger penetration method according to EN 1015-4:2000 [3],
- fluidity determined by the cement flow table method according to EN 1015-3: 2000 [2],
- air content in the mortar according to EN 1015-7 [4],

All the procedures were conducted in laboratory conditions and in accordance to the standards, except for tests of set conditions (temperature, mixing time).

The changed mortar and plaster temperature was obtained by placing measured materials in the freezer (for +5°C mortars) or climatic chamber (for +35°C mortars) for 24 h. The temperatures in freezer and climatic chamber were experimentally adjusted, in order to obtain the mortar of set temperature after mixing. The temperature of the mortar was monitored immediately after mixing, and before each test.

Basic mixing intensity was set according to EN 196-1 [5]. Slow mixing intensity was set as 90 rpm for 3 min, while high mixing intensity was 140 rpm for 3 min.

Changes in dosage were calculated by mass of the constituent, as it is the most probable mistake that can be made on the construction site.

The sensitivity of the properties of mortars to changes of the set factors was calculated according to following formula:

\[ W = \frac{S}{X} \times 100\% \]  

Where \( W \) – sensitivity of a factor [%], \( s \) – standard deviation of the measurements of a given property for all set cases for a factor, \( x \) – mean of all the measurements of a given property.
Table 3: Results of the tests for plaster and mortars in three temperatures: +20°C, +5°C, and +30°C.

| Property                  | Mortar type | Plaster | Masonry mortar |
|---------------------------|-------------|---------|----------------|
|                           | Z1T         | Z2T     | Z3T            | Z1M | Z2M | Z3M |
| Flow diameter [mm]        | 192         | 222     | 216            | 159 | 201 | 201 |
| Cone penetration [cm]     | 8.9         | 9.5     | 8.9            | 6.4 | 7.4 | 6.9 |
| Plunger penetration [mm]  | 28          | 35      | 36             | 19  | 23  | 26  |
| Air content [%]           | 18.8        | 2.4     | 2.8            | 17  | 3.2 | 3.4 |

Temperature +20°C

| Flow diameter [mm]        | 198         | 227     | 213            | 181 | 218 | 209 |
| Cone penetration [cm]     | 9.9         | 10.5    | 9.9            | 7.3 | 9.6 | 7.6 |
| Plunger penetration [mm]  | 39          | 44      | 41             | 23  | 30  | 28  |
| Air content [%]           | 19.4        | 2.2     | 3.0            | 19.5| 4.9 | 3.7 |

Temperature +35°C

| Flow diameter [mm]        | 182         | 219     | 226            | 173 | 210 | 206 |
| Cone penetration [cm]     | 8.3         | 9.6     | 10             | 7   | 8.1 | 7.9 |
| Plunger penetration [mm]  | 29          | 40      | 43             | 20  | 31  | 29  |
| Air content [%]           | 19          | 2.5     | 4.0            | 18.5| 4.2 | 4.4 |

3 Results

3.1 The influence of temperature on the properties of mortars and plasters

Mortars and plasters were tested at temperature of +5, +20 and +35°C. The results of the tests are shown in Table 3.

The sensitivity of plasters and mortars to temperature, calculated according to formula 1, is shown in Figure 1.

Generally, plasters with plasticizing admixture show greater sensitivity of consistency to temperature changes from cement-lime mortars, in the case of masonry mortars the sensitivity is similar or smaller.

The mechanism of lower sensitivity of cement-lime mortars in lower and higher temperatures can be explained by the fact that +5°C and +35°C are the borderline values for the effective use of the admixture, and thus the plasticizing agents effect of the admixture can be already diminished, leaving just the air-entraining effect, which is just a part of plasticizing effect of the admixture.

In case of masonry mortars, the Z2M cement-lime mortar exhibits greater sensitivity to temperature changes than the mortars with admixtures. It must be noted that masonry mortars have a lower amount of water, and therefore are more susceptible to changes in water retention of mortar, which changes together with temperature.

The cement-lime mortars exhibit higher sensitivity to changes of temperature in case of air content in mortar, however it is mostly due to the fact that the air content in cement-lime mortars is lower by the order of magnitude from the air content in mortar with the admixture, and even small changes are high in percentage.
Table 4: Results of the tests of plaster and mortars mixed by 3 different intensities of mixing procedure.

| Property                  | Mortar type | Plaster | Masonry mortar |
|---------------------------|-------------|---------|----------------|
|                           | Z1T         | Z2T     | Z3T            | Z1M | Z2M | Z3M |
| Flow diameter [mm]        | 192         | 222     | 216            | 159 | 201 | 201 |
| Cone penetration [cm]     | 8.9         | 9.5     | 8.9            | 6.4 | 7.4 | 6.9 |
| Plunger penetration [mm]  | 28          | 35      | 36             | 19  | 23  | 26  |
| Air content [%]           | 18.8        | 2.4     | 2.8            | 17  | 3.2 | 3.4 |

- **Fast mixing**

| Property                  | Mortar type | Plaster | Masonry mortar |
|---------------------------|-------------|---------|----------------|
| Flow diameter [mm]        | 205         | 228     | 216            | 180 | 201 | 198 |
| Cone penetration [cm]     | 9.0         | 10.3    | 8.9            | 6.5 | 9.9 | 7.2 |
| Plunger penetration [mm]  | 29          | 40      | 31             | 20  | 30  | 23  |
| Air content [%]           | 18.0        | 2.0     | 3.0            | 17  | 3.1 | 3.8 |

- **Slow mixing**

| Property                  | Mortar type | Plaster | Masonry mortar |
|---------------------------|-------------|---------|----------------|
| Flow diameter [mm]        | 196         | 223     | 227            | 177 | 212 | 207 |
| Cone penetration [cm]     | 9           | 8.8     | 9.3            | 6.5 | 8.1 | 8   |
| Plunger penetration [mm]  | 26          | 32      | 30             | 23  | 36  | 26  |
| Air content [%]           | 19.5        | 2.3     | 3.6            | 19  | 3.3 | 3.9 |

3.2 The influence of mixing intensity changes on the properties of mortars and plasters

The results of the tests are shown in Table 4. The sensitivity of plasters and mortars to mixing intensity, calculated according to formula 1 is shown in Figure 2.

Plasters and masonry mortars with admixture were found to be mostly non-sensitive to differing mixing speed. With regard to consistency measured by cone penetration and plunger penetration, cement-lime mortars and plasters were found to be generally more sensitive to changes in the mixing procedure than cement mortars with plasticizing admixture, however the sensitivity is not visible in case of flow diameter test. This might be due to the fact that cone penetration and plunger penetration is partially dependant on viscosity of the fresh mortar, while flow diameter can be linked to its yield stress [13]. Different speed of mixing can influence the viscosity of the cement-lime mortars to a greater degree than in case of mortars with admixture, as the high air content in mortars with admixture is one of the most important factors that shape the rheological properties of the fresh mortar, and it was practically not affected by the different mixing speeds.

3.3 The influence of dosing mistakes on the properties of mortars and plasters

Inaccuracies in the process of adding the right amount of water to the mortar are considered to be common on the construction site due to e.g. the lack of accurate measuring equipment on the site. Due to this fact, in the paper, a
measured was the sensitivity of the mortars and plasters to the small changes in water content (+10% of water mass).

The results of the tests are shown in Table 5.

The sensitivity of plasters and mortars to changes in water dosage, calculated according to formula 1, are shown in Figure 3.

| Property                        | Mortar type | Plaster | Masonry mortar |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---------|----------------|
|                                 | Z1T | Z2T | Z3T | Z1M | Z2M | Z3M |
| Flow diameter [mm]              | 192 | 222 | 216 | 159 | 201 | 201 |
| Cone penetration [cm]           | 8.9 | 9.5 | 8.9 | 6.4 | 7.4 | 6.9 |
| Plunger penetration [mm]        | 28  | 35  | 36  | 19  | 23  | 26  |
| Air content [%]                 | 18.8| 2.4 | 2.8 | 17  | 3.2 | 3.4 |
| +10% of water                   |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Flow diameter [mm]              | 262 | 251 | 230 | 210 | 239 | 237 |
| Cone penetration [cm]           | 12.2| 11.2| 11.1| 9.7 | 10.5| 9.3 |
| Plunger penetration [mm]        | 50  | 46  | 40  | 35  | 36  | 33  |
| Air content [%]                 | 21.0| 1.2 | 2.5 | 19.2| 2.0 | 2.1 |
| −10% of water                   |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Flow diameter [mm]              | 164 | 196 | 197 | 144 | 173 | 177 |
| Cone penetration [cm]           | 6   | 6.8 | 6.7 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 4.8 |
| Plunger penetration [mm]        | 17  | 18  | 15  | 12  | 14  | 12  |
| Air content [%]                 | 15.5| 4.2 | 3.3 | 14  | 4.5 | 3.6 |

Mistakes in dosing water for the mortar have the predictable effect - worsening of the consistency in the case when there was less water added, increase of the fluidity in the case of overdosing the water. The consistency of mortars and pastes changes significantly as a result of changes in the amount of water, and mortars with plasticizing admixture show greater sensitivity than lime-cement mortars.

This is most probably due to the fact that the plasticizing admixture grants the desired consistency mostly by its aerating effect. Due to the fact that the degree of aeration of the mortar depends on the amount of water, smaller amount of water contributes to the smaller air content, and, in addition to reducing the amount of water, additionally contributes to the lower consistency in mortars with plasticizing admixture. The opposite effect takes place in case of the overdose of water, what results in increased fluidity, what explains slightly higher sensitivity of mortars and plasters with plasticizing admixture.

Similarly to water, problems and mistakes may occur while dosing the plasticizing admixture or lime itself to mortar. A mistake on the level of ±10% of the mass of plasticizing admixture or lime was assumed.

Results of the test are shown in Table 6.

The sensitivity of plasters and mortars to changes in water dosage, calculated according to formula 1, are shown in Figure 4.

The sensitivity of cement-lime plaster and cement plaster with plasticizing admixture to changes in the amount of, respectively, lime and admixture is similar,
Table 6: Results of the tests of plaster and mortars with 10% changes of admixture/lime content.

| Property                  | Mortar type | Plaster | Masonry mortar |
|---------------------------|-------------|---------|----------------|
|                           | Z1T         | Z2T     | Z3T            | Z1M | Z2M | Z3M |
|                           |             |         |                |     |     |     |
| Flow diameter [mm]        | 192         | 222     | 216            | 159 | 201 | 201 |
| Cone penetration [cm]     | 8.9         | 9.5     | 8.9            | 6.4 | 7.4 | 6.9 |
| Plunger penetration [mm]  | 28          | 35      | 36             | 19  | 23  | 26  |
| Air content [%]           | 18.8        | 2.4     | 2.8            | 17  | 3.2 | 3.4 |

+10% of admixture/lime

| Flow diameter [mm]        | 200         | 223     | 222            | 178 | 202 | 199 |
| Cone penetration [cm]     | 9.1         | 9.5     | 8.9            | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.6 |
| Plunger penetration [mm]  | 47          | 29      | 36             | 24  | 19  | 20  |
| Air content [%]           | 19.0        | 2.3     | 2.0            | 19.8| 3.7 | 2.8 |

−10% of admixture/lime

| Flow diameter [mm]        | 186         | 228     | 226            | 145 | 204 | 207 |
| Cone penetration [cm]     | 8.2         | 9.1     | 9.4            | 5.9 | 7.5 | 7.2 |
| Plunger penetration [mm]  | 22          | 25      | 35             | 17  | 27  | 28  |
| Air content [%]           | 18.5        | 3.3     | 2.8            | 16  | 3.7 | 3.4 |

Figure 4: The sensitivity of the properties of plasters (top) and mortars (bottom) to ±10% mistake of admixture/lime dosage.

Figure 4 shows the sensitivity of the properties of plasters (top) and mortars (bottom) to ±10% mistake of admixture/lime dosage.

only in case of plunger penetration, mortar with admixture is extremely more sensitive to changes in admixture amount. In the case of masonry mortars, mortars with plasticizing admixture are more sensitive to changes in the amount of admixture in terms of consistency than cement-lime mortars to changes in lime amount. This effect can be contributed to the difference in the absolute amount of both constituents. To obtain similar consistencies, much less plasticizer is needed, and thus even is the general percent of the change is the same, it is relatively detrimental in the case of admixture.

Cement mortars with tested plasticizing admixture may have similar or less resistance to mistakes in adding admixture than cement-lime mortars for errors in the dosage of lime.

4 Conclusions

The research leads to following conclusions:

- masonry mortars and plasters with chosen plasticizing admixture exhibit greater sensitivity of the properties of on errors in dosing than lime-cement mortars and pastes. This applies to both consistency, and air content.

However, with regard to the consistency, it should be noted that changeability of cement mortars and plasters with plasticizing admixture measured by cone penetration does not exceed 15%, when expressed in absolute values in the case of plasters and masonry mortars is ± 0.7 and ± 0.5 cm respectively, what can be considered to be within the limits of acceptable error.

- Cement-lime mortars show similar or greater sensitivity to changed temperature. This might be ex-
explained by the fact that cement-lime mortars have higher water content, and thus adverse effects of temperature which are linked with water content are more visible.

- Cement-lime mortars show similar or greater sensitivity to different mixing intensity. This might be linked to the changes in viscosity due to differing mixing intensity, what is lesser important in case of mortars with admixture due to its high aeration.

In conclusion, the conducted research indicates that in practical use, the cement-lime mortars and mortars with the plasticizing admixture should not be treated as direct substitutes. The technological and material requirements differ, and preparations of mortars with plasticizing admixture should be given different considerations than those of cement-lime mortars.
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