Mating competitiveness and life-table comparisons between transgenic and Indian wild-type *Aedes aegypti* L.
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: OX513A is a genetically engineered strain of *Aedes aegypti* carrying a repressible, dominantly inherited transgene that confers lethality in immature heterozygous progeny. Released male OX513A adults have proven to be effective for the localised suppression of wild *Ae. aegypti*, highlighting its potential in vector control. Mating and life-table assessments were used to compare OX513A with reared *Ae. aegypti* strains collected from New Delhi and Aurangabad regions in India.

RESULTS: Mating proportions of New Delhi females versus males of OX513A or New Delhi strains were 0.52 and 0.48 respectively, indicating no discrimination by females against either strain, and males of both strains were equally competitive. Developmental time from first instar to adult emergence was significantly longer for OX513A (10.7 ± 0.04 days) than for New Delhi (9.4 ± 0.04 days) and Aurangabad strains (9.1 ± 0.04 days). Differences in mean longevities, female reproductive parameters and population growth parameters between the strains were non-significant.

CONCLUSIONS: The laboratory study demonstrates that only minor life-table variations of limited biological relevance exist between OX513A and Indian *Ae. aegypti* populations, and males had equal potential for mating competitiveness. Thus, results support the OX513A strain as a suitable candidate for continued evaluation towards sustainable management of *Ae. aegypti* populations in India.
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1 INTRODUCTION

*Aedes aegypti* Linnaeus (Diptera: Culicidae), commonly referred to as the yellow fever mosquito, is well known as a vector of numerous human diseases, including dengue, chikungunya and yellow fever. 1–3 Dengue, a debilitating disease present throughout the tropics, continues to increase in both geographical spread and intensity owing to the proliferation of multiple serotypes. 4 A recent report suggests that India is the country with the greatest number of cases per annum, potentially contributing one-third of the global total. 5 *Ae. aegypti* is the primary vector of dengue virus and as such is the target of intensive mosquito control programmes. Although epidemiological data on fluctuating dengue prevalence are difficult to attribute to specific vector control efforts, it is widely accepted that the present focus of larval breeding source reductions and insecticidal applications is not sufficiently effective.

Reduction of vector populations is just one of the methods used to combat the spread of public health diseases, but is of particular relevance for the mitigation of dengue, as neither therapeutic medication nor preventive vaccines are available. In recent years, genetically based insect control strategies for mosquitoes have received increasing attention, with conventional and transgenic technologies undergoing scientific appraisal. 6, 7 One such approach that has been successfully used to suppress localised *Ae. aegypti* populations is RIDL® (Release of Insects carrying a Dominant Lethal) and involves repeated, large-scale releases of genetically ‘sterilised’ male mosquitoes. 8 Unlike truly sterile insects, RIDL insects can still breed and produce offspring; however, a proportion (up to 100%) of the offspring are unviable and die before attaining adulthood. Operationally, RIDL resembles the conventional sterile insect technique (SIT), although, by contrast, SIT involves the release of insects that have typically been sterilised by either irradiation or chemosterilants. 9, 10

OX513A is an *Ae. aegypti* RIDL strain in which all individuals carry a repressible, homozygous, dominantly inherited lethal gene
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insertion.\textsuperscript{11} Mass rearing of OX513A in the laboratory is facilitated through the addition of tetracycline as a dietary supplement that represses the lethal phenotype. Males are released into the field to compete against wild males for female mates, and, if successful, offspring inherit a copy of the lethal gene and die before adulthood. Repeated releases of OX513A males have delivered high levels of suppression of target Ae. \textit{aegypti} populations in open field studies conducted in the Grand Cayman Islands\textsuperscript{8} and north-east Brazil (McKemey AR, unpublished).

Dispersal, mate seeking and mating success are core requirements for genetically based population suppression strategies, and so assessing the potential for mass-reared mosquitoes to survive and compete effectively provides invaluable information. Although the efficacy of released mosquitoes in the open field is ultimately determined by complex biological, environmental and operational interactions, controlled laboratory environments can help to isolate and identify deleterious physiological effects due to inbreeding depression or transgene insertions within the receiving organism.\textsuperscript{12} Identification of deleterious traits or parameters does not necessarily preclude the use of specific insect strains in the field, but may enable a strategic approach that maximises efficiencies and performance, and could aid the technical development of improved strains.\textsuperscript{13}

With a view towards evaluation of OX513A for \textit{Ae. aegypti} control within open environments in India, the work presented here is a laboratory study of mating and life-table parameters in comparison with strains recently collected from New Delhi (New Territory Capital) and Aurangabad (Maharashtra State).

### Table 1. Mean dorsal cephalothorax widths (mm) of OX513A and wild-type Delhi \textit{Ae. aegypti} male pupae from mating competition experiments\textsuperscript{a}

| Dorsal-side thoracic width of male pupae |  |
|-----------------------------------------|--|
| RIDL OX513A strain | WT male | F-value | P-value |
| 1.172 ± 0.009 (n = 49) | 1.142 ± 0.012 (n = 49) | 3.50 | >0.05 |

\textsuperscript{a} Mean differences were non-significant at the 0.05 level by one-way ANOVA using the Bonferroni test.

### Table 2. Mating values for OX513A and wild-type Delhi \textit{Ae. aegypti} male adults when competing to mate with wild-type Delhi \textit{Ae. aegypti} female adults\textsuperscript{a}

| Mosquito strains | OX513A ♀ (mean ± SE) | Wild-type Delhi ♀ (mean ± SE) | Double mating (wild-type Delhi and OX513A) ♀ (mean ± SE) | Number of females dead (mean ± SE) | F-value (df) | P-value |
|------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Number of female adults mated |
| Percentage female adults mated |
| Relative mating index |
| Relative mating index (excluding double-mated females) |
| Observed mating index |
| Expected mating ratio | Chi-square test ($\chi^2$) | P-value | df | – | – |
| 122:113:26 [OX513A ♀:Delhi ♀:double mating (OX513A and DEL ♀)] |

\textsuperscript{a} Means (±SE) are the results of 57 mating competitiveness experiments between wild-type and OX513A strain male adults with wild-type Delhi strain female adults, conducted at a ratio of 5:5:5 respectively. Figures in parentheses represent percentage female adults mated with male adults of respective strains in the columns. Differences between mean values followed by the same letters within rows are non-significant at the 0.05 level by one-way ANOVA using Tukey’s b-test.
Comparison between transgenic and wild-type *Ae. aegypti*

Table 3. Total progeny sired and relative mating successes for male OX513A and wild-type Delhi *Ae. aegypti* adults when competing to mate with wild-type Delhi *Ae. aegypti* female adults

| Parameters                                      | OX513A strain | Delhi strain | Double mating |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| Total number of progeny                        | 3086 (49.67)  | 3114 (50.32) | 600           |
| Relative mating success of OX513A and wild type | 0.98          | 1.01         | –             |

Key:
- Figures in parentheses are the percentage progeny calculated for single-mated female adults.
- Relative mating success is calculated as the ratio of progeny from transgenic OX513A strain male adults to wild-type Delhi strain male adults, and vice versa.

Figure 1. Representation of percentage survival with respect to oviposition and survival (days) for adult females of OX513A and wild-type strains of *Ae. aegypti*.

until pupation, with an increasing daily feeding regime of 0.06, 0.00, 0.08, 0.16, 0.32, 0.32, 0.32, 0.32 and 0.32 mg larva⁻¹. All individuals were sexed manually at the pupal stage, based on size difference, and introduced into rearing cages (30 x 30 x 30 cm) at a 1:2 ratio (male:female) prior to adult emergence. To ensure accuracy in sex sorting of pupae, around 50 pupae of each batch were picked and observed under stereomicroscope for confirmation of sex, based on the shape of the eighth abdominal segment (i.e. pointed in male pupae and blunt in female pupae). Emerged adults were provided with 10% sucrose solution and a damp substrate for laying eggs; in addition, female adults were fed with sheep blood twice per week via a feeding membrane. Eggs were collected once per week, allowing 2 days for embryonic development prior to dry storage under standardised laboratory conditions.

2.3 Mating competitiveness

*Ae. aegypti* is not an indigenous species and is considered to originate from the African continent. It has invaded much of the tropical and subtropical world relatively recently, and a primary objective of this study was to analyse the mating potential of the OX513A strain in comparison with a wild strain of Indian origin. Mating competitiveness experiments were therefore done only between OX513A and the wild strain from New Delhi (DEL). This was the primary objective of the present work, supplemented with life-table studies for both DEL and an additional wild strain from Aurangabad (AWD).

In addition to standardised environmental conditions, equivalent development of individuals is essential to facilitate fair comparisons between adult mating abilities. Therefore, OX513A and DEL strains were simultaneously reared from eggs at equal densities and with equal food regimes to promote synchronised growth. Precautions were taken to ensure virgin insects alone were used for the experiments. Male and female pupae were separated according to size into batches of five individuals and adults allowed to eclose. Following emergence, the sex of each individual was confirmed, and only those batches correctly sexed as pupae were used for the mating competitiveness experiments.

All mating experiments were performed under standardised laboratory conditions (see Section 2.2) in 30 x 30 x 30 cm cages. Experiments were conducted on the fourth day post-emergence (all adults were 3 days old) at a ratio of 5:5:5 (OX513A males:DEL males:DEL females respectively). Five DEL females were introduced into each mating cage and allowed to acclimatise for 1 h. Five male OX513A adults and five male DEL adults were then released simultaneously into the cage to provide equal...
opportunities for mating. After 24 h, female adults were separated and housed individually in rearing boxes (6.5 cm diameter × 8.0 cm height) and provided with a blood meal and substrate for oviposition. They were provided with a blood meal until fully engorged following each oviposition, and daily observations of adult survivorship and fecundity were recorded to determine longevity and reproductive parameters. Hatch rate and larval-to-adult survivorship were recorded for eggs from each oviposition event. In order to assess the adult sex ratio, eggs laid from ten individual females for each strain were randomly selected and reared to adults for sex determination.

Male adults of each strain were transferred from the mating cages to individual polypropylene tubes (50 mL capacity), confined with mesh and provided with a cotton pad saturated in 10% sucrose. Sucrose feeders were replaced every other day to prevent fungus development. Dead adults were recorded daily.

2.4.2 Developmental period of immature stages

Eggs were submerged in tap water containing 30 μg mL⁻¹ of tetracycline and placed under low atmospheric pressure to synchronise hatching. Larvae were then transferred individually to plastic cups (8 cm diameter × 3.5 cm height) containing 20 mL of tap water, with 120 replicates for each of the three strains (OX513A, DEL, AWD). Observations of ecdysis were recorded twice daily at fixed times (0900 and 1700) throughout the aquatic developmental period. The larvae were provided with Liquifry for the first day, followed by Tetramin® ad libitum during subsequent days of larval development. Daily observations were done to determine the mean developmental period of each larval instar and pupal period.

2.4.3 Pupal size

Pupae were transferred to a small petri dish that contained a measuring scale and cold water to immobilise the pupae. Images were captured manually using a 14 megapixel digital camera. Pupal sizes based on the width (dorsal view) of the cephalothorax were recorded using Imagej 1.46r software (National Institute for Health, USA).

2.5 Population growth parameters

Fecundity, adult female longevity and offspring survivorship (see Section 2.4.1) were used to determine the following population growth parameters.¹⁵

The net reproductive rate

$$R_0 = \sum l_x m_x$$

where \(R_0\) is the average number of female offspring that a female produces during her lifetime, \(l_x\) is the proportion of females surviving to each age \(x\) and \(m_x\) is the average number of female offspring born to the surviving females at each age. Adding the products \((l_x m_x)\) of all age groups yields the per-generation growth rate of the population under defined conditions. A value of \(R_0 > 1.0\) indicates an increasing population; \(R_0 = 1.0\) indicates that a population is neither increasing nor decreasing but replacing its number exactly; \(R_0 < 1.0\) indicates a decreasing population.

---

Figure 2. Male (a) and female (b) adult survivorship of OX513A and wild-type strains of *Ae. aegypti*. 
where overlap, and with discrete time intervals and possess generations that do not yield values in the same sequence. The doubling time

\[ T_a = \ln 2 / r_m \]

where \( T_a \) is the time required for a population growing at a specified rate of increase to double in size.

## 2.6 Statistical analysis

Statistical tests were carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics v.20 (IBM Corporation). The numbers of female adults mated with OX513A males, DEL males and double mated females (mated with both OX513A and DEL males) were compared by one-way ANOVA using Tukey’s b-test. The chi-square test was applied to test the observed relative mating index against the expected mating index. The relative mating index was calculated as the number of females mating OX513A males/total number of females whose mating genotype could be determined. If the OX513A males were equally competitive, then this number would be 0.5. A statistically significant difference from 0.5 indicates that the OX513A males were either more or less competitive than the competing males. The relative male mating success takes into account the numbers of progeny produced and was calculated as the proportion of female progeny (fluorescence) sired by each respective genotype.\(^6\)

Data obtained for longevity and developmental and reproductive parameters were compared by ANOVA for multiple comparisons by Tukey’s test to determine the significance of differences between the three strains (OX513A, DEL, AWD). The proportions of female adult emergence in relation to male adult emergence were analysed for each strain by applying the chi-square test. Male and female adult survivorships were analysed for each strain using the Wilcoxon (Gehan) test.

# 3 RESULTS

## 3.1 Mating competitiveness

The cephalothorax measurements (Table 1) of male pupae batches used for the mating competitiveness experiments were not significantly different between OX513A and DEL strains (\( F = 3.50, P > 0.05 \)).

Out of 57 mating cage experiments conducted, 261 female adults survived. Of those, 122 females mated with OX513A males and 113 mated with wild-type DEL males. Twenty-six were double mated, i.e. progeny sired by both OX513A and DEL males, and 24 females (8.4%) were found dead (Table 2). The percentage matings of OX513A, DEL and double matings from the total number of all females recovered were 42.8, 39.6 and 9.1 respectively (Table 2). Calculated values of the relative mating index for female adults mated with OX513A males, DEL males and double mated were found to be 0.47, 0.43 and 0.10 respectively. The relative mating index calculated when excluding females that were double mated yielded values of 0.52 and 0.48 for OX513A and DEL respectively and were not significantly different.

Analysis of mating numbers 122:113:26 (OX513A:DEL:double mated) by the chi-square test against numbers expected for equal mating proportions revealed non-significant deviation at the 0.05 level (\( \chi^2 = 0.012, P = 0.9942 \)). Expected progeny ratios were 1:1:0, based on consideration of the fact that female Ae. aegypti are largely monogamous and double mating is rare in wild environments.

The numbers of larvae screened were from single oviposition events (following a blood meal) of individual female adults recovered from mating experiments. The total number of larvae screened for paternity, based on the presence of fluorescence emission, revealed 3086 (49.67%) and 3114 (50.32%) for OX513A and DEL respectively. An additional 600 and 524 (OX513A and DEL respectively) progeny were recorded from double mated females. Calculation of relative mating success (i.e. total OX513A progeny:total DEL progeny) revealed a ratio of 0.98:1.01 (Table 3).

## 3.2 Life-table studies

### 3.2.1 Adult longevity, fecundity, sex ratio and larval-to-adult survivorship

There were no significant differences in longevities of adult females between strains, with mean survival periods of 49.3 ± 5.6 days for OX513A, 39.3 ± 2.6 days for DEL and 40.9 ± 2.8 days for AWD. Male adult longevity was found to be significantly shorter for OX513A; however, there was no significant difference observed between the wild-type strains. Adult female survival following each oviposition decreased consistently, and

### Table 4. Reproductive parameters of OX513A and wild-type strains of Ae. aegypti\(^a\)

| Reproductive parameters | OX513A strain (mean ± SE) | DEL strain (mean ± SE) | AWD strain (mean ± SE) | F-value (df) | P-value |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Blood meals per female  | 9.5 ± 1.1 a               | 7.6 ± 0.5 a            | 7.5 ± 0.5 a            | 1.9 (2)      | 0.148   |
| Oviposition events per female | 7.9 ± 0.9 a | 7.0 ± 0.5 a | 6.7 ± 0.5 a | 0.8 (2) | 0.466   |
| Eggs laid per female    | 546.5 ± 66.9 b            | 499.8 ± 41.8 ab        | 378.8 ± 32.1 a         | 3.1 (2)      | 0.050   |
| Hatch rate (%)          | 91.8 ± 1.24 a             | 91.7 ± 0.95 a          | 94.9 ± 1.09 a          | 2.5 (2)      | 0.088   |
| Pupation rate (%)       | 81.0 ± 3.06 ab            | 75.2 ± 3.16 a          | 88.0 ± 1.70 b          | 5.1 (2)      | 0.010   |
| Adult emergence (%)     | 79.0 ± 3.13 ab            | 73.7 ± 3.20 a          | 86.9 ± 1.62 b          | 5.3 (2)      | 0.009   |

\( ^a \) Differences in the mean values indicated by the same letters within rows are non-significant at the 0.05 level by one-way ANOVA using Tukey’s b-test.
Table 5. Fecundity and survivorship values for OX513A and wild-type Ae. aegypti females

| Oviposition | Parameters | OX513A strain (mean ± SE) | DEL strain (mean ± SE) | AWD strain (mean ± SE) |
|-------------|------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| I           | Total eggs laid (no. females) | 2872 (29) | 2481 (30) | 1618 (30) |
|             | Mean eggs per female           | 99 ± 3.8 a | 82.7 ± 4.9 a | 53.9 ± 2.6 ab |
|             | Hatch rate (%)                 | 95.5      | 95.4      | 98.3      |
| II          | Total eggs laid (no. females)  | 2166 (24) | 2004 (30) | 1687 (28) |
|             | Mean eggs per female           | 90.3 ± 3.8 a | 66.8 ± 4.3 ab | 60.3 ± 2.9 a |
|             | Hatch rate (%)                 | 93.9      | 90.4      | 97.5      |
| III         | Total eggs laid (no. females)  | 1811 (24) | 1945 (27) | 1014 (27) |
|             | Mean eggs per female           | 75.5 ± 5.3 ab | 72.0 ± 4.4 ab | 37.6 ± 5.4 abc |
|             | Hatch rate (%)                 | 95.6      | 93.1      | 97.1      |
| IV          | Total eggs laid (no. females)  | 2063 (24) | 1779 (26) | 1706 (27) |
|             | Mean eggs per female           | 860 ± 5.4 a | 68.4 ± 3.7 ab | 63.2 ± 3.8 a |
|             | Hatch rate (%)                 | 93.3      | 89.9      | 97.8      |
| V           | Total eggs laid (no. females)  | 1512 (20) | 1729 (25) | 1012 (26) |
|             | Mean eggs per female           | 75.6 ± 7.0 ab | 69.2 ± 3.0 ab | 38.9 ± 5.6 abc |
|             | Hatch rate (%)                 | 95.2      | 94.6      | 92.5      |
| VI          | Total eggs laid (no. females)  | 1233 (18) | 1688 (24) | 1548 (25) |
|             | Mean eggs per female           | 68.5 ± 3.9 abc | 70.3 ± 3.0 ab | 61.9 ± 5.0 a |
|             | Hatch rate (%)                 | 94.1      | 83.7      | 93.7      |
| VII         | Total eggs laid (no. females)  | 777 (16) | 949 (18) | 956 (19) |
|             | Mean eggs per female           | 48.6 ± 6.7 bcd | 52.7 ± 5.5 ab | 50.3 ± 5.7 ab |
|             | Hatch rate (%)                 | 93.2      | 92.5      | 93.9      |
| VIII        | Total eggs laid (no. females)  | 659 (16) | 789 (15) | 875 (17) |
|             | Mean eggs per female           | 41.2 ± 6.3 cd | 52.6 ± 3.8 ab | 51.5 ± 5.6 ab |
|             | Hatch rate (%)                 | 90.5      | 93.0      | 93.6      |
| IX          | Total eggs laid (no. females)  | 543 (15) | 466 (7) | 220 (10) |
|             | Mean eggs per female           | 36.2 ± 6.9 cd | 66.6 ± 5.2 ab | 22.0 ± 8.4 bc |
|             | Hatch rate (%)                 | 91.4      | 92.1      | 82.7      |
| X           | Total eggs laid (no. females)  | 628 (15) | 432 (7) | 365 (10) |
|             | Mean eggs per female           | 41.9 ± 6.7 cd | 61.7 ± 6.4 ab | 36.5 ± 8.1 abc |
|             | Hatch rate (%)                 | 93.6      | 93.0      | 92.9      |
| XI          | Total eggs laid (no. females)  | 467 (15) | 280 (6) | 148 (10) |
|             | Mean eggs per female           | 31.1 ± 5.5 d | 46.7 ± 12.3 b | 14.8 ± 6.5 c |
|             | Hatch rate (%)                 | 93.8      | 96.6      | 92.3      |
| XII         | Total eggs laid (no. females)  | 441 (14) | 249 (6) | 23 (3) |
|             | Mean eggs per female           | 31.5 ± 5.8 d | 41.5 ± 13.5 b | 7.7 ± 7.6 c |
|             | Hatch rate (%)                 | –         | 93.3      | 100.0     |
| XIII        | Total eggs laid (no. females)  | 474 (13) | 110 (1) | 142 (2) |
|             | Mean eggs per female           | 36.5 ± 6.7 cd | 110.0 ± 0 | 71.0 ± 24.0 a |
|             | Hatch rate (%)                 | 89.3      | 95.7      | 94.8      |
| XIV         | Total eggs laid (no. females)  | 334 (10) | 48 (1) | 15 (1) |
|             | Mean eggs per female           | 33.4 ± 6.4 d | 48.0 ± 0 | 15.0 ± 0 |
|             | Hatch rate (%)                 | 92.9      | 86.7      | 98.5      |
| XV          | Total eggs laid (no. females)  | 190 (7) | 46 (1) | 34 (1) |
|             | Mean eggs per female           | 27.1 ± 7.2 d | 46.0 ± 0 | 34.0 ± 0 |
|             | Hatch rate (%)                 | 73.6      | 86.7      | 98.5      |
| XVI         | Total eggs laid (no. females)  | 158 (5) | – | – |
|             | Mean eggs per female           | 31.6 ± 10.4 d | – | – |
|             | Hatch rate (%)                 | 92.0      | –         | –         |
| XVII        | Total eggs laid (no. females)  | 66 (4) | – | – |
|             | Mean eggs per female           | 22.0 ± 11.0 d | – | – |
|             | Hatch rate (%)                 | 94.6      | –         | –         |

F-value (df) 17.5 (16) 3.5 (11) 7.3 (12)  
P-value 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001

* Differences in the mean values indicated by the same letters within columns are non-significant at the 0.05 level by one-way ANOVA using Tukey's b-test.
survival extended greater than 80 days for some females in all strains (Fig. 1). Male adult survival was significantly shorter for OX513A; variations in female adult survival were not statistically significant (Figs 2a and b).

Adult female reproductive parameters were generally similar between the transgenic and wild-type strains (Table 4). The mean number of blood meals taken and the mean number of times that eggs were laid for OX513A, DEL and AWD were found to be 9.5 ± 1.1, 7.6 ± 0.5, 7.5 ± 0.5 and 7.9 ± 0.9, 7.0 ± 0.5, 6.7 ± 0.5 respectively, with no significant differences. The mean numbers of eggs per oviposition per adult female decreased significantly after the sixth, tenth and eighth oviposition events for OX513A, DEL and AWD strains respectively (Table 5). The mean number of eggs laid by OX513A adult females (546 ± 66.9) was not statistically different to DEL (499.8 ± 41.8), yet was statistically different to the AWD strain (378.8 ± 32.1) (Table 4).

Percentage hatching, percentage pupation and percentage adult emergence for OX513A were not significantly different from either wild-type strain. No significant differences were observed between the sex ratios of adults or progenies (Table 6).

### Developmental period of immature stages
Stage-specific developmental times for OX513A versus wild-type strains revealed significant variations (Table 7). The total larval developmental period varied from 2.1 to 2.2 days between wild-type and OX513A strains. The pupal developmental period for OX513A (8.5 ± 0.05 days) was found to be significantly longer compared with those of DEL and AWD (7.4 ± 0.04 and 7.1 ± 0.03 days respectively, P < 0.0001). The pupal period varied from 2.1 to 2.2 days between wild-type and OX513A strains (P < 0.05). The overall developmental period (first instar to adult emergence) for the OX513A strain was found to be 10.7 ± 0.04 days, and this differed significantly from the DEL and AWD strains which had developmental periods of 9.4 ± 0.04 and 9.1 ± 0.04 days respectively (P < 0.0001). Observations on stage-specific mortalities revealed 18% for OX513A during the second instar. Contrastingly, during the fourth instar, DEL and AWD strains had 8% and 10% mortalities respectively.

### Pupal size
Observations on pupal size, based on the dorsal cephalothorax width, revealed no significant difference in male pupal sizes between the transgenic and wild-type strains; however, female OX513A pupae were slightly but significantly larger compared with female pupae of both wild-type strains (Table 8).
OX513A and DEL strains (Table 9). Although the reproductive rate for AWD was lower than for the OX513A and DEL strains, differences were not statistically significant. Mean generation times and intrinsic rates of increase for all three strains also did not show statistically significant differences (Table 9).

4 DISCUSSION

The potential of transgenic mosquitoes to combat specific pest species and their associated pathogen transmission has been the subject of much debate.16–19 Laboratory and field-cage data may not be perfectly predictive owing to differences in environmental conditions; for example, the adult lifespan observed here are far higher than expected under more challenging conditions in the field. Nonetheless, it is widely recognised that transition to the field benefits from prior laboratory evaluation of parameters with relevance to field efficacy.20–22 Several successful field trials have now been conducted with transgenic mosquitoes,8,23,24 and, although translation of previously acquired laboratory data to those field settings has not been possible, their value as indicators of key performance parameters remains worthwhile. This study contributes to such assessment with data pertinent to deployment within Indian environments.

The caged mating experiments between OX513A and DEL males revealed that they were equally competitive under laboratory conditions. 9.1% of females produced offspring of both genotypes, indicating double mating; as only those females that mate males of different genotypes are detected as double mating by this approach, the best estimate of the multiple mating rate is approximately 18% (assuming no changed bias as to male genotype on remating, and that females rarely mate more than twice). This figure may not be representative of double-mating frequencies in open environments, as the restriction of adults in cages could have influenced courtship behaviours and/or rates of copulation.23–27 The phenomenon of single mating has been documented and is thought to be a consequence of a substance termed ‘matrone’, which is transferred in semen by male adults and renders female adults unreceptive to second matings and refractory to further copulation.28

Under these laboratory conditions, the proportional mating successes of OX513A and DEL male adults with respect to total progeny were found to be similar. Under semi-field conditions in Malaysia, OX513A males were previously found to compete equally against males from a strain of Malaysian origin.29 It should be noted that the results presented do not necessarily reflect mating following OX513A male releases in open environments, where a wider range of characteristics (e.g. dispersal, mate seeking and mate recognition) may play more significant roles.

Under the conditions of the present study, any inherent differences between these strains as a result of factors such as transgene insertion or prior geographical isolation of the background genotypes did not manifest themselves by hindering mating compatibility; given an equal choice of both male adults (OX513A and DEL), DEL females did not discriminate against either strain.

Fitness assessments between strains were largely similar for parameters relating to adult longevity, reproduction and population growth. Developmental periods were found to be somewhat longer for OX513A compared with the wild-type strains; the relative contributions to this effect of the transgene, of strain background and of environmental effects (e.g. presence of tetracycline) were not determined. Perhaps unsurprisingly there are reports of transgenic insects intrinsically burdened with substantial costs to fitness,12,15 but also several reports of transgenic insects where few or no significant fitness costs were observed.30–33 However, earlier life-table studies for the OX513A strain in comparison with wild-type Malaysian Ae. aegypti reported similarity for several parameters involving pre-oviposition period, lifetime fecundity, offspring sex ratio and female sterility.34 In addition, three transgenic strains of Anopheles stephensi (Liston) were shown to have low fitness loads, indicating that fitness should be assessed for each strain of transformed insect.16 OX513A has also previously been studied for life-history characteristics in comparison with an unmodified laboratory-adapted Malaysian strain. Parameters including larval mortality, developmental rate (i.e. time to pupation), adult size and longevity revealed a 5% lower larval survival as well as reduced adult longevity for OX513A compared with the unmodified counterpart.35

When OX513A is being used for its intended purpose, i.e. suppression of wild mosquito populations, the practical consequences of specific fitness traits are not necessarily without contradiction. For example, in the present study, the longevity of male adults was found to be significantly shorter than that of both Indian wild-type comparators, and if this also translated to field environments it would have implications for both performance of the strain and persistence of the transgene. Decreased longevity may negatively influence mating opportunities and/or mating capacity, potentially reducing field performance of OX513A males. Correspondingly, decreased longevity could reduce persistence of the transgene and result in selection against released males in an open environment, thereby offering safeguards that may be perceived as a significant biosafety benefit. It should be noted that,
after reaching adulthood, the lack of exposure to the lethal transgene repressor tetracycline may contribute to a reduced lifespan in OX513A relative to wild type. Understanding how insect transformation technologies can affect fitness at these different levels may in the future improve the ability to rationally design competitive transgenic insects.

In this study, the transgenic OX513A strain of *Ae. aegypti* was similar to wild-type populations that were recently collected from two geographically distinct Indian regions for a range of key developmental, reproductive and population growth parameters relevant to field performance. As such it is a suitable candidate with which to continue a phased evaluation towards open release within Indian environments.
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