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ABSTRACT

Objective: Below Standard brown sugar is a sugar that cannot be made or resembles dodol (a traditional and sticky confection) because the sap is damaged. Although the sap is made into brown sugar, the product will not last long and become soft-textured sugar. The aim of this research is to prevent Below Standard sap and produce coconut sugar in accordance with Indonesian National Standard (SNI) by using natural preservatives were formulated from guava leaves, corn cobs, mangosteen peels.

Methods: The formulation of guava leaves, corn cobs, mangosteen peels were designed through several stages by testing the composition of the materials. The first step was measuring the pH value of each materials with concentration 4.5%, which were 4.5 grams per material and it was compared to the composition of the formula after the concentration of each materials was combined to get the basis pH. The second step was formulation to get the natural preservatives by applying the formulas on the tap process of sap until manufacturing process to get the coconut sugar. Quality of coconut sugar were conducted SNI 01-3743-1995 which included oven method for testing water content and insoluble parts, luff school method for reducing sugar content and sucrose, and AAS (Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy) method for testing the contamination of Cu metal.

Results: Guava leaves, corn cobs and mangosteen peels with each materials 4.5% were the optimal concentrations as natural preservatives to inhibit fermentation process of sap. Coconut sugars were obtained by using these natural preservatives. Coconut sugar that obtained were have the moisture content was 0.2402%, the ash content was 1.3%, reducing sugar content was 0.39%, the sucrose level was 69.99%, and the metal contamination was 0.201mg/kg Cu. While the results of organoleptic tests indicated the brownish yellow sugar, a typical sweet taste of sugar, and the normal smell of coconut sugar. All of the coconut sugar properties were meet with Indonesian National Standard (SNI).

Conclusion: Guava leaves, corn cobs, and mangosteen peels were effective as natural preservatives and antioxidants to produce the best quality of coconut sugar according to the SNI.
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INTRODUCTION

Brown sugar according to Indonesian National Standard (SNI 01-3743-1995) is sugar made from the processing of the sap of palm trees, namely sugar palm (Arenga pinnata)
Merr), nipa palm (Nypafruticans), palmra palm (Borassus flabellifera Linn), and coconut (Cocos nucifera Linn). Palm trees (Arenga pinnata (Wurmb) Merr.) are plants native to Southeast Asia and are mostly found in tropical rainforests and dry forests. Almost all parts of the palm tree are useful for various applications [1]. In Indonesia, brown sugar, which is well known as gula jawa, has a solid form and its color ranges from reddish brown to dark brown [2]. The benefit of brown sugar is the content of the glycemic index of 35 and it is categorized as low (<55). The glycemic index (GI) is a number that indicates the potential of increased blood sugar due to carbohydrate consumption. Low GI values can improve blood glucose response. In addition, it can reduce weight, increase the body’s sensitivity to insulin, reduce the risk of cardio-vascular, and control cholesterol levels. Based on this, consuming brown sugar is good for health [3]. However, there are several identified problems that need attention, including the raw materials mixed with chemicals (sodium bisulfite) so that many farmers are disadvantaged because brown sugar cannot be produced (Below Standard) [4]. Brown sugar categorized as Below Standard cannot be produced or resembles dodol (a traditional and sticky confection) because the sap is damaged. Even though the sap can be made into brown sugar, the product will not last long and will become soft textured sugar [5]. Damage can also occur due to improper dose of preservatives and an unfavorable climate [6]. The tapping process takes a long time and coconut sap is easily fermented because it contains high sucrose [5]. Sap is greenish brown liquid produced from sugar cane milling. The sap is very easily damaged thus it becomes acidic, foamy, and slimy. If the sap is cooked late usually it will turn into yellow and cloudy as well as has sour taste and strong odor. The condition of the sap will determine the nature and quality of the sugar produced [7]. There are many kinds of damages which can occur to sap, but generally sap is said to be damaged if the content of sucrose in it is inverted into reducing sugars consisting of glucose and fructose in the same ratio. This sucrose inversion can be caused by the temperature which is too high, the degree of acidity (pH) of the sap which is too low or high, and the activity of microorganisms [2]. The better the quality of the sap, the higher the amount of sucrose. Besides, the brown sugar formed will have a good texture. If sucrose has been inverted, brown sugar will be difficult to harden [8]. According to [9] the addition of sodium metabisulfite in the process of boiling the sap (for 10 minutes) can extend the shelf life of the sap to 62 hours. It is also known that without the addition of preservatives, sap can last for 18 hours with the initial condition of the sap which is not very good with pH 5.5 and high total acid. The use of lime with a concentration of 1000 ppm can preserve the sap up to 78 hours, but from an observation it is not recommended because it damages the bunch of palm sugar. The addition of sodium metabisulfite is an alternative so that sugar is more durable and lighter in color. The results indicated that sodium bisulfite had no significant effect on moisture content, ash content, and reducing sugar content. The greater the concentration of sodium bisulfate, the higher the level of red ash. The water content of brown sugar is positively correlated to the texture. If the water content is higher, the brown sugar will get softer. The addition of sodium bisulfite does not give a significant effect on the brightness of brown sugar color which is aimed at the value of L (Lightness) [6]. Based on this fact, although sodium bisulfite is known to maintain the PH value but it increases the amount of water content in the sap so that the brown sugar produced is still in low quality (Below Standard) [10]. The utilization of mangosteen (Garcinia Mangostana L) peel, corn cobs, guava leaves is
still limited to leather tanning, textile coloring and traditional medicine. Mangosteen peels has been extracted and it is found that it contains 95% xanthone including isoflavones, tannins, flavonoids, vitamin C, phenol, and anthocyanins [11]. Mangosteen peel is known to contain xanthone compounds as antioxidants, antiproliferatives, and antimicrobials which are not found in other fruits. Xanthone compounds include mangostin, mangostanol A, mangostinone A, mangostinone B, trapezofolixanthone, tovophyllin B, alpha mangostin, beta mangostin, garcinone B, mangostanol, flavonoid epicatechin, and garthanin. The contents make mangosteen peels extract have very strong antioxidants. It is proven in all solvent fractions (methanol, ethanol, and ethyl acetate) have an EC50% less than 50 [12]. The research results indicated that the extract of corn cobs and corn silk has chemical contents such as flavonoids, alkaloids, saponins, tannins and allantoin [13] (Rahmi et al., 2014). Antioxidants are defined as compounds that can delay, slow down, or inhibit food or drug oxidation reactions. Antioxidants are substances that can protect cells against damage caused by free radicals namely Reactive Oxygen Sp. Tannins are used to protect plants from microbes and fungi [14].

METHOD

This research was held through several stages and using primary and secondary data. Secondary studies were conducted with the study of literature based on Scopus indexed journals with several keywords such as red palm sugar, sap, brown sugar below Standard, guava leaves, corn cobs, mangosteen peels. The primary study was conducted by doing surveys at research partners’ location in Cilongok Sub-district, Banyumas Regency, which included the provision of additional materials in the sap when tapped.

The each plant materials guava leaves, corn cobs, mangosteen peels were collected from Banyumas regency. Each materials were grinded to obtain powder. The powder of guava leaves, corn cob, mangosteen leaves were formulated as natural preservatives. To find out the amount of additional materials used, the additional materials used and the sap tapped were calculated, so that the amount of additional material used per liter of the sap was discovered. Besides, pH measurements were also carried out before and after using the formulation to find out the difference of sap for making coconut brown sugar.

The formulation included drying the guava leaves, corn cobs, and mangosteen peels which aimed to stop the activity of microorganisms, refining the leaves, cobs, and peels to make them into powder to improve the effectiveness of the formula, sieving the powder to homogenize the weight, mixing the three materials, and dissolving them with hot water to 1 liter. The formula that had been made was stored overnight before being applied.

In the process of making the formula, the equipment used included scales, blenders, knives, and crock/dryer bases. While the materials used were guava leaves, corn cobs, mangosteen peels, quicklime, and water. After that, the formulation of guava leaves, corn cobs, and mangosteen peel was applied by adding 1 spoonful of the solution that had been left for one night to each container. The quality of the formulation was discovered by testing the content on the results of brown sugar in accordance with SNI 01-3743-1995 standards.

The organoleptic test including the shape, smell, taste, and color of the sample was tested in the laboratory. Other tests carried out were water insoluble parts, moisture content,
ash content, reducing sugar content, and sucrose levels with SNI 01-3743-1995 as the reference. The test of the content suitability of brown sugar was conducted at the Laboratory of Pharmacy Biology of the Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto and at the Laboratory of Chemical Analysis, Universitas Jendral Soedirman Purwokerto.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Haryanti et al., (2012) [15] stated that the microbe contaminating sap include *Saccharomyces cerevisae* which can assist hydrolysis reaction of sucrose to reducing sugars. It is found that the addition of the formulation of guava leaves, corn cobs, and mangosteen peels can reduce the hydrolysis reaction due to antioxidant activity in the materials used, this it can make the coconut sugar in accordance with Indonesian National Standard 01-3743-1995. Guava leaves have phenolic compounds and flavonoids with high anti-oxidant activity. The main active substances in guava leaves are gallic acid, caffeic acid, quaijavera, (16), tannins (17), carotenoids (18), and triterpenoids (19). In corn, the anthocyanins and phenolic compounds in whole cob section account for 54.6% and 59.3%, respectively of the cor(120). The ferulic acid was the predominant phenolic compound in corn (21, 22). The major secondary metabolites of mangosteen have been found to be prenylated xanthone derivatives (23-26); some members of this compound class isolated from this plant possess antifungal (27), antimicrobial (28), antioxidant (29), and cytotoxic (30) activities. Mangosteen pericarp ethanol extract (MPE) has a strong potential to reduce weight gain, fat storage, lipid droplets in the liver (obesity) and development of metabolic syndrome in Wistar rats with high fat diets compared to positive controls using orlistat (31). Determination of levels of α-mangostin from 95% ethanol extract from mangosteen from four regions of West Java province, Indonesia, using the HPLC method was 12.39% (Subang), 8.30% (Purwakarta), 6.34% (Bogor), 6.70% (Tasikmalaya) (32). This means that the levels of α-mangostin from mangosteen in West Java are greater than those reported by (33). The formulation was designed through several stages by testing the materials to fit 4.5%. The step done was measuring the pH value of 4.5% of each material, which is 4.5 grams of each material and compared with the composition of Formula V. The comparison of the pH and weight of each material (guava leaves, corn cobs, mangosteen peels, and lime) is as follows (Table 1).

Table 1. Comparison of the pH and weight of guava leaves, corn cobs, mangosteen peels, lime, and combination of each materials

| Formula Name | Material Name       | Material composition weight (grams) | pH value |
|--------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|
| Formula I    | Guava leaves        | 4.5                                 | 5        |
| Formula II   | Mangosteen peels    | 4.5                                 | 5        |
| Formula III  | Corn cob            | 4.5                                 | 6        |
| Formula IV   | Lime                | 2                                   | 13       |
| Formula V    | Combination of each materials | 4.5; 4.5; 4.5; 2                  | 11       |
Figure 1. Comparison the pH of (A) guava leaves, (B) corn cobs, (C) mangosteen peels, (D) lime and (E) combination of each materials.

Based on the above formula composition design it is found that Formula V produced an appropriate pH value to be used as a preservative. It could prevent brown sugar to be Below Standard. So the formula used was formula V. One trial was conducted to the design of formula V and compared to brown sugar before using formula V because the results of test I indicated the results of formula V were better than formula I and it was successful to produce brown sugar in accordance with the SNI -01-3743-1995 as follows (Table 2).

| Circumstances       | Unit          | Without Formula                                    | Use Formula V                                      | Requirements (%) |
|---------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Form                |               | Normal, typical coconut brown sugar, and rather soft | Normal, typical coconut brown sugar, and solid     | Normal, typical  |
| Smell               | Normal        | Normal                                             | Normal                                             | Normal           |
| Taste               | Typical brown sugar | Typical coconut brown sugar                       | Typical coconut brown sugar                        | Normal           |
| Color               | Blackish brown | Brownish yellow                                    | Brownish yellow                                    | Yellow to brownish |
| Water-insoluble parts | % bb          | 1.3%                                               | 1%                                                 | Maximum of 1.0   |
| Water               | % bb          | 1.052%                                             | 0.2402%                                            | Maximum of 10.0  |
| Ash                 | % bb          | 4%                                                 | 1.3%                                               | Maximum of 2.0   |
| Reduction sugar     | % bb          | 10.32%                                             | 1.56%                                              | Maximum of 10.0  |
| Sucrose             | % bb          | 62.07%                                             | 69.99%                                             | Maximum of 77.0  |
| Cu metal contamination | mg / kg      | 0.217                                              | 0.201                                              | Maximum of 10    |

The results showed that guava leaves, corn cobs and mangosteen peels were effective as natural preservatives and antioxidants on the sap. This is proven since the administration of the substance with a concentration of 4.5% did not give a significant effect on the moisture content of coconut sugar. This type of preservative had effectiveness in inhibiting the damage of the sap thus coconut sugar with standardized water content could be produced. Sugar water content produced was 0.2402 % so it was effective in inhibiting the deterioration of sap because the sugar water content is still covered by the SNI standard limit of 10%. Water
content of a food ingredient greatly influences its storability, because microbes are hampered by the lower water content.

Ash content in sugar is strongly influenced by the mineral content in the sap and in the manufacturing process. Ash content of coconut brown sugar was 1.3% while the maximum ash content according to SNI 01-7343-1995 is 2%, so that the mangosteen peels preservative with 4.5% concentration was still within the SNI standard.

The results of the analysis of guava leaves, corn cobs, and mangosteen peels with 4.5% concentration as natural preservatives and antioxidants indicated that mangosteen peels had the same effectiveness in inhibiting the deterioration of sap when viewed from the parameters of reducing sugar content. In general, the sugar content of coconut sugar reduction had a value of 0.39 %. The maximum limit of reducing sugar content according to SNI-01-7343-1995 is 10%. All treatments indicated low reduction in sugar content, which was below the maximum limit of SNI. The lower the value of reducing sugar, the higher the quality of coconut sugar. It will also affect the level of hardness, color and taste of coconut sugar.

The natural preservatives and antioxidants made of guava leaves, corn cobs, and mangosteen peels with concentration of 4.5% also had effectiveness in inhibiting the damage of sap to produce sugar with a sucrose concentration which is in accordance with SNI-01-7343-1995 i.e. 69.99%. The bioactive compounds of the three preservatives were equally effective in inhibiting the fermentation process in the sap, so that the total sugar content in the coconut sugar produced still meets the standard. This is due to natural preservatives and antioxidants of guava leaves, corn cobs, and mangosteen peels have bioactive compounds, including tannins which can inhibit sap damage and maintain the pH of the sap. According to Marsigit (2005) (9), the addition of natural preservatives containing tannins can inhibit yeast activity so that it can reduce the hydrolysis reaction of sucrose to reducing sugar.

According to SNI No. 01-3743-1995 the maximum limit of copper metal (Cu) contamination on coconut sugar is 10 mg/kg while the test results for the use of guava leaves, corn cobs, and mangosteen peels was 0.201 mg/kg. It means the maximum limit of copper content was still below the safe limit for public consumption since it was far below SNI. Copper metal (Cu) pollution is thought to come from equipment or water used while processing the coconut sugar.

The ash content of natural preservatives and antioxidants of guava leaves, corn cobs, and mangosteen peels 4.5% was 1.3%. It still meets the SNI sugar quality standard because the maximum content is 2%. The color of coconut sugar ranged from dark brown to brown. According to SNI No. 01-3743-1995 the color of coconut sugar is brownish yellow to brown. The taste of coconut sugar ranged from slightly sweet to sweet. The combination of 4.5% mangosteen peels treatment gave results that were in accordance with the organoleptic requirements of coconut palm sugar which is not eliminating the sweetness that exists. Coconut sugar has a unique sweet taste, which is caused by several types of carbohydrate compounds such as sucrose, fructose and maltose.

The use of natural preservatives can maintain the quality of the sap so that the sugar produced does not taste acidic. This is because natural preservatives contain bioactive compounds that can inhibit sap fermentation caused by enzymatic and microbial activities. Gautara and Wijandi (1985) (34) stated that the damaged sap marked by an acidic taste,
bubble, and slimy form. The use of natural preservatives and antioxidants of guava leaves, corn cobs, and mangosteen peels produced coconut sugar with typical normal odor, sweet taste and brownish yellow in accordance with SNI 01-7343-1995.

The use of formula V, which were natural preservatives and antioxidants made from guava leaves, corn cobs, and mangosteen peels, produced more satisfying and better Coconut brown sugar based on SNI-01-7343-1995. It is proven by the results of the tests which had been done including the water content, ash content, reducing sugar, total sugar content, and Cu metal contamination. In addition, organoleptic test results indicated brownish yellow sugar with typical sweet taste and normal smell.

CONCLUSION

Guava leaves, corn cobs, and mangosteen peels with each materials concentration 4.5% are effective as natural preservatives and antioxidants. The natural preservatives and antioxidants produces coconut sugar with the best quality and meet the Indonesian National Standard (SNI), with chemical nature i.e. moisture content 0.2402%, ash content 1.3%, reducing sugar content 0.39%, sucrose level 69.99%, and metal contamination of Cu 0.201 mg/kg and the results of the organoleptic test showed brownish yellow sugar with typical sweet taste and normal smell. While there are some conditions of coconut brown sugar that are not in accordance with SNI before using formula V, which are ash content 4%, reducing sugar content 10.32%, and the sucrose level 62.07%. Besides the results Organoleptic test indicates sugar with typical sweet taste and smell but it is blackish brown.
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