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Abstract

The evidence on the predictors of mental health in the COVID-19 pandemic has revealed contradictory findings, which prevent effective screening for mental health assistance. This study aims to identify the predictors of mental health issues, specifically examining age as a nonlinear predictor. Based on a survey of 474 adults using snowball sampling under the COVID-19 pandemic during April 1st–10th, 2020, in Iran, we found that age had a curvilinear relationship with nonsomatic pain, depression, and anxiety. Specifically, it predicted pain, depression, and anxiety disorders, negatively among adults younger than 45 years, yet positively among seniors older than 70 years. Adults who were female, were unsure about their chronic diseases, or exercised less were more likely to have mental health issues. This study, being the first paper to examine age curvilinearly, suggests future research to pay more attention to nonlinear predictors of mental health disorders in the COVID-19 pandemic.

Keywords Nonsomatic pain · Depression · Anxiety · Distress · Age · Curvilinear relationship

The COVID-19 pandemic has led to the unprecedented disruption of people’s work and life (Zhang et al., 2020a, b, c, d) and triggered widespread mental health issues (Xiang et al., 2020). A burgeoning body of research is unveiling the risk factors for mental disorders under the COVID-19 pandemic (Chen et al., 2020; Yáñez et al., 2020); however, the evidence on individual demographics as predictors is mixed. For example, some studies have found that age affected mental disorders negatively (González-Sanguino et al., 2020; Moccia et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020), but other studies have found the relationship between age and mental disorders to be positive (Qi et al., 2020) or insignificant (Meng et al., 2020; Song et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020a, b, c, d). The conflicting evidence prevents the effective identification of the mentally vulnerable people during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic.
This study aims to investigate the role of age and other predictors on adults’ nonsomatic pain, depression, anxiety, and distress. To this end, this study does not assume the relationship between age and mental health disorders to be linear. Instead, it examines its second-order term, using a sample of adults across a wide spectrum of ages from 20 to 79. Furthermore, this study tests the predictors of individuals’ work situation, daily exercise hours, and the number of times out of home per day. Finally, some studies have found individuals among both healthcare workers and the general population who were unsure of their COVID-19 infection status had more mental health issues (Jahanshahi, Dinani, Madavani, Li, & Zhang et al., 2020a, b, c, d). Following this line of thinking, this study tests whether individuals were unsure of their chronic diseases as a predictor of mental health issues.

The predictors in our study help to further the advancement of ongoing research about the predictors of mental health issues during the COVID-19 pandemic (Dai et al., 2020). Our results provide some reconciliation of the conflicting findings in this rapidly growing body of literature and provide new directions for future research regarding specific risk factors and their boundary conditions to screen people in greater need of prioritized mental healthcare support.

**Methods**

**Context**

This study was conducted during the COVID-19 outbreak in Iran, one of the worst-hit countries by COVID-19 since January 2020. People in Iran faced some unique challenges such as sanctions and economic slowdown, lack of medical equipment and supplies, and the government’s inability to enact effective social distancing measures, all of which may contribute to the mental vulnerabilities of adults in Iran under the COVID-19 pandemic. First, the Iranian economy has been under long-standing US-led sanctions. Moreover, a month before the first confirmed COVID-19 cases, Iran has been further isolated from the international financial markets, due to the claimed failure of Iran to comply with international anti-terrorism financing rules and norms. The severe sanctions have made it difficult for the health sectors in Iran to obtain medical equipment including even basic personal protective equipment (PPE) (Yoosefi Lebni et al., 2020). As a result, the isolated medical supply system in Iran faced severe shortages in both private and public health systems under the COVID-19 crisis (Ahorsu et al., 2020). As a result, healthcare workers did not have access to sufficient personal protective equipment and had to make their own gowns and marks during the pandemic (Zhang et al., 2020a, b, c, d).

Second, the healthcare system in Iran suffers from a lack of modern technologies, equipment, and devices necessary to provide sufficient healthcare capacities to deal with the infected cases during the COVID-19 pandemic (Aman, 2020; Zhang et al., 2020a, b, c, d). And evidence has shown that people in Iran suffered from higher distress than people in China during the COVID-19 pandemic (Jahanshahi et al., 2020).

Third, due to the difficult economic situations in Iran, it is hard to implement prolonged mandatory quarantine to effectively contain the spread of COVID-19 cases. Quarantine at home became especially challenging for people in low- and middle-class households, which experienced high inflation rates in the COVID-19 outbreak. The quick spread of COVID-19 in Iran has led to fears, worries, and anxiety among the general population, regardless of their
gender and age (Ahorsu et al., 2020). Moreover, some government officials downplayed the severity of the early COVID-19 cases to the public, who subsequently developed a lack of trust with the published COVID-19 data, fueling rumors that confound the anxiety of the adults in Iran.

**Data Collection**

Data in this cross-sectional study were collected through an online survey via social media platforms, e.g., Telegram, Instagram, and WhatsApp, from April 1 to April 10, 2020, in Iran. Iran passed the peak of its COVID-19 pandemic with 3111 new infection cases on April 2 and had a total of 68,192 confirmed cases and 4232 deaths of COVID-19 on April 10, 2020. The survey was originally in English and then translated to the official language of Iran (Persian). It was pretested among 10 professionals in several industries and approved by the research ethics committee of the Iranian Sport Sciences Research Institute (IR.SSRI.REC.1389.685). Participation was voluntary, and all participants agreed, with their informed consent, to complete the survey. We guaranteed the anonymity and strict confidentiality of responses and targeted working adults older than 18 years in Iran. Based on the number of active users and social media groups, our survey could reach about 980 people. We received 474 usable responses, resulting in a response rate of 48.37%. The participants worked in banking, education, finance, insurance, manufacturing, services, mining, and oil and gas sectors from all 31 provinces of Iran.

**Variables**

Participants reported their demographic characteristics such as exact age, gender, marital status, and working situation. Participants also reported whether they had chronic diseases (no, unsure, yes), daily exercise hours, and the number of times they left home per day in the past week.

The outcome variables included nonsomatic pain, depression, anxiety, and distress. Nonsomatic pain was measured by three items including “For the past week I feel I am in agony” (0 = never, rarely, to 3 = always; Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.75$) (Keller & Nesse, 2006). Depression was measured by Patient Health Questionnaire-2 (PHQ-2) (0 = never, rarely, to 3 = always; $\alpha = 0.77$) with a cut-off point of 3. Anxiety was measured by the Generalized Anxiety Disorder-2 scale (GAD-2) (0 = never, rarely, to 3 = always; Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.76$) with a cut-off point of 3 (Kroenke et al., 2009). Distress was measured by K6, the six-item Kessler mental distress scale (0 = never to 4 = almost all of the time; Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.90$) with a cut-off point of 13 (Kessler et al., 2002). All scales show internal consistency as their Cronbach’s alphas are greater than 0.7 (Cronbach, 1951).

**Data Analysis Approach**

We used Stata 16.0 for descriptive statistics and to predict pain by ordinary least squares regression and depression, anxiety, and distress by logistic regression with a 95% confidence level.
Results

Descriptive Findings on the Covariates

Table 1 shows that 37.4% of the 474 participants were younger than 40 years old, 50.2% were between 40 and 59, and 12.4% were above 60 years. Over half (51.3%) were female, and 87.1% did not have chronic diseases, 3.0% were unsure, and 9.9% had chronic diseases. Over half (56.3%) did not do any exercise in the last week, 37.3% exercised on average about one hour daily, and 6.4% exercised two hours or more per day. 44.9% worked at home in the past week, 26.8% worked in the office, and 28.3% did not work during the epidemic. 15.6% did not leave home in the last week, 37.8% left home once daily, and 46.6% left twice or more per day. Tehran, Iran’s capital, is the epicenter of the Iran COVID-19 outbreak in April 2020, and 99 (20.7%) of our data were collected from this city.

Descriptive and Comparative Findings on the Outcome Variables

Less than one in ten (8.65%) participants felt nonsomatic pain most of the time. About one fifth surpassed the cut-off of depression (21.94%), anxiety (21.10%), and distress (14.77%). By comparing our findings with those in 12 studies using similar measurements, we found that overall, the Iranian adults’ mental health conditions were comparable or worse than those in several samples in China, Spain, and Italy with a few exceptions (see Table 2 for a summary). For example, the proportion of adults with depression and anxiety disorders in our sample was similar to or worse than the samples from China and Spain during the COVID-19 outbreak with four exceptions (see Song et al., 2020; González-Sanguino et al., 2020; Ni et al., 2020). The adults in our sample were less likely to have depression and anxiety disorders than those in a sample of seniors older than 60 years in China (Meng et al., 2020) and of younger adults aged 18–30 years in the USA (Liu, Zhang et al., 2020a, b, c, d), and to have more depression than the adults in two relatively small samples in China (Guo et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020a, b, c, d). Additionally, in our sample, the proportion of adults with distress disorder was similar or worse than those in several samples in China and Italy, but lower than that in a sample of adults in the USA in late April 2020 (Twenge & Joiner, 2020).

Two recent studies found that the Iranian adults’ mental health symptoms measured by DASS-21 during the COVID-19 pandemic were even worse than our findings (Khademian et al., 2021; Moghanibashi-Mansourieh, 2020) (Table 2). For example, based on a sample of 1498 participants from April 18 to 28, 2020, Khademian et al. (2021) found that the prevalence of depression, anxiety, and distress are 34.7%, 31.8%, and 24.6%, respectively. The prevalence rates in the two studies were higher than that of our study perhaps because of the different measurements used. Some meta-analyses show that the prevalence of anxiety in Africa in studies using DASS-21 were significantly higher than those using GAD-7 (Chen et al., 2021).

Predictors of Pain, Depression, Anxiety, and Distress

First, adults’ age had a curvilinear relationship with their pain, depression, and anxiety (for pain: $b = 0.0005$, 95% CI 0.0001 to 0.0008, $p = 0.007$; for depression: OR = 1.0020, 95% CI 1.0004 to 1.0035, $p = 0.009$; for anxiety: OR = 1.0020, 95% CI 1.0004 to 1.0035, $p = 0.015$). To show the curvilinear relationship, we plotted the mental health–age slope at varying ages in
### Table 1 The relationships between the covariates and pain (linear regression) and mental health disorders (logistic regression) ($n = 474$)

| Variables                  | n (%) | Linear regression |                  | Logistic regression |                  |                  |                  |
|----------------------------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                            |       | Nonsonatic pain   |                   |                    | Depression disorder |                   | Anxiety disorder |                   | Distress disorder |                   |
|                            |       | $b$ (95% CI)      | $p$ value         | $OR$ (95% CI)      | $p$ value          | $OR$ (95% CI)      | $p$ value          |
| Age                       |       |                   |                   |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| 20–24                     | 7 (1.5)| −0.05 (−0.09 to −0.02) | 0.003             | 0.82 (0.71 to 0.95) | 0.008             | 0.81 (0.69 to 0.94) | 0.006             |
| 25–29                     | 39 (8.2)|                    |                   |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| 30–34                     | 44 (9.3)|                    |                   |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| 35–39                     | 87 (18.4)|                   |                   |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| 40–44                     | 98 (20.7)|                   |                   |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| 45–49                     | 56 (11.9)|                   |                   |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| 50–54                     | 64 (11.4)|                   |                   |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| 55–59                     | 30 (6.3)|                   |                   |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| 60–64                     | 29 (6.1)|                   |                   |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| 65–69                     | 19 (4.0)|                   |                   |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| 70–74                     | 7 (1.5)|                   |                   |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| 75–79                     | 4 (0.8)|                   |                   |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| Age squared               |       | 0.0005 (.000 to .001) | 0.007             | 1.00 (1.00 to 1.001) | 0.009             | 1.00 (1.00 to 1.001) | 0.015             | 1.00 (.999 to 1.003) | 0.082             |
| Gender                    |       |                   |                   |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| Female                    | 243 (51.3)| 0.15 (0.04 to 0.26) | 0.008             | 1.75 (1.04 to 2.94) | 0.034             | 1.26 (0.74 to 2.14) | 0.389             | 3.06 (1.60 to 5.86) | 0.001             |
| Male                      | 231 (48.7)| Reference         |                   |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| Marital status            |       |                   |                   |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| Single                    | 108 (22.8)| Reference         |                   |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| Married without children  | 58 (12.2)| −0.12 (−0.30 to 0.06) | 0.196             | 0.80 (0.36 to 1.79) | 0.591             | 0.75 (0.33 to 1.69) | 0.486             | 0.78 (0.29 to 2.11) | 0.632             |
| Married with children     | 296 (62.5)| −0.04 (−0.19 to 0.10) | 0.545             | 1.11 (0.58 to 2.11) | 0.758             | 1.02 (0.53 to 1.99) | 0.947             | 1.61 (0.74 to 3.52) | 0.231             |
| Divorced                  | 12 (2.5)| 0.28 (−0.06 to 0.62) | 0.104             | 1.64 (0.42 to 6.48) | 0.479             | 2.78 (0.73 to 10.56) | 0.133             | 2.63 (0.61 to 11.37) | 0.196             |
| Chronic disease           |       |                   |                   |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| No                        | 413 (87.1)| −0.77 (−1.06 to −0.47) | 0.000             | 0.24 (0.08 to 0.72) | 0.011             | 0.15 (0.05 to 0.46) | 0.001             | 0.13 (0.04 to 0.41) | 0.001             |
| Unsure                    | 14 (3.0)| Reference         |                   |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| Yes                       | 47 (9.9)| −0.64 (−0.97 to −0.31) | 0.000             | 0.29 (0.08 to 1.07) | 0.063             | 0.21 (0.06 to 0.78) | 0.020             | 0.08 (0.01 to 0.39) | 0.002             |
| Exercise hours per day    |       |                   |                   |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| 0                         | 267 (56.3)| −0.05 (−0.12 to 0.01) | 0.610             | 0.68 (0.47 to 0.97) | 0.034             | 0.66 (0.45 to 0.96) | 0.030             | 0.56 (0.35 to 0.91) | 0.020             |
| 1                         | 177 (37.3)|                   |                   |                    |                   |                   |                   |
| Variables                                | n (%) | Linear regression | Logistic regression |
|------------------------------------------|-------|-------------------|---------------------|
|                                          |       | Nonsomatic pain   | Depression disorder | Anxiety disorder   | Distress disorder |
|                                          |       | \( b \) (95% CI) | \( OR \) (95% CI)  | \( OR \) (95% CI) | \( OR \) (95% CI) |
| ≥2                                       | 30 (6.4) | -0.08 (-0.20 to 0.04) | 0.191 | 0.81 (0.47 to 1.38) | 0.434 | 0.87 (0.46 to 1.64) | 0.667 |
| Work situation                           |       |                   |                     |                     |                   |                     |                     |
| Working at home                          | 213 (44.9) | -0.08 (-0.20 to 0.04) | 0.191 | 0.81 (0.47 to 1.38) | 0.434 | 0.87 (0.46 to 1.64) | 0.667 |
| Working at the office                     | 127 (26.8) | 0.02 (-0.12 to 0.16) | 0.748 | 1.07 (0.56 to 2.01) | 0.842 | 1.06 (0.55 to 2.04) | 0.861 |
| Stopping work during the epidemic        | 134 (28.3) | Reference |                     |                     |                   |                     |                     |
| Number of times out of home              |       |                   |                     |                     |                   |                     |                     |
| 0                                        | 74 (15.6) | -0.02 (-0.08 to 0.03) | 0.559 | 0.92 (0.72 to 1.18) | 0.515 | 1.00 (0.78 to 1.28) | 0.999 |
| 1                                        | 179 (37.8) |                     |                     |                     |                   |                     |                     |
| 2                                        | 84 (17.7) |                     |                     |                     |                   |                     |                     |
| ≥3                                       | 137 (28.9) |                     |                     |                     |                   |                     |                     |
| Measure | Sample description, data collection time | Prevalence | Comparison with this study | Relationship with age | Source |
|---------|----------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--------|
| **Depression** | | | | | |
| PHQ-2 | 3088 adults in 32 provinces of China, Feb 20–27, 2020 | 14.1% | 7.84% (4.12% to 11.96%) | N.S. | Song et al. (2020) |
| PHQ-2 | 3480 adults in Spain, March 21–27, 2020 | 18.7% | 3.24% (~0.50% to 7.38%) | Negative | González-Sanguino et al. (2020) |
| PHQ-2 | 1577 adults in Wuhan, China, Feb 18–24, 2020 | 19.2% | 2.73% (~1.30% to 7.09%) | N.A. | Ni et al. (2020) |
| PHQ-9 | 103 adults in China, Feb 10–28, 2020 | 31.1% | ~9.16% (~19.27% to ~0.13%) | N.A. | Guo et al. (2020) |
| PHQ-9 | 98 adults in Zhongshan, Guangdong in China, Feb 15–29, 2020 | 34.7% | ~12.76% (~23.20% to ~3.22%) | N.A. | Zhang et al. (2020a) |
| PHQ-9 | 1556 seniors older than 60 years in China | 37.1% | ~15.16% (~19.41% to ~10.56%) | N.S. | Meng et al., 2020 |
| PHQ-8 | 898 young adults aged 18–30 years in the USA, April 13–May 19, 2020 | 43.3% | ~21.36% (~26.14% to ~16.28%) | N.S. | Liu et al. (2020) |
| DASS-21 | 1498 participants 18 to 28 in Iran, April 2020 | 34.7% | ~12.76% (~17.02% to ~8.17%) | N.A. | Khademian et al. (2021) |
| **Anxiety** | | | | | |
| GAD-2 | 3088 adults in 32 provinces of China, Feb 20–27, 2020 | 13.2% | 7.9% (4.25% to 11.96%) | N.S. | Song et al. (2020) |
| GAD-2 | 3480 adults in Spain, March 21–27, 2020 | 21.6% | 0.50% (~3.62% to 4.21%) | Negative | González-Sanguino et al. (2020) |
| GAD-7 | 103 adults in China, Feb 10–28, 2020 | 22.3% | ~1.20% (~10.79% to 6.78%) | N.A. | Guo et al. (2020) |
| GAD-7 | 4872 adults in China, Jan 31–Feb 2, 2020 | 22.6% | ~0.90% (~4.53% to 3.16%) | N.A. | Gao et al. 2020 |
| GAD-7 | 98 adults in Zhongshan, Guangdong, China, Feb 15–29, 2020 | 23.4% | ~2.30% (~12.20% to 5.96%) | N.A. | Zhang et al. (2020a) |
| GAD-2 | 1577 adults in Wuhan, China, Feb 18–24, 2020 | 23.8% | ~2.74% (~6.80% to 1.66%) | N.A. | Ni et al. (2020) |
| GAD-7 | 1556 seniors older than 60 years in China | 37.1% | ~16.00% (~20.21% to ~11.44%) | N.S. | Meng et al., 2020 |
| Measure | Sample description, data collection time | Prevalence | Comparison with this study | Relationship with age | Source |
|---------|------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| DASS-21 | 1498 participants 18 to 28 in Iran, April 2020 | 31.8% | $-10.70\% (-14.88\% \text{to} -6.18\%)$ $\chi^2(1)=19.99$, $p<0.0001$ | N.A. | Khademian et al. (2021) |
| DASS-21 | 10,754 individuals from the general population in Iran, March 1–9, 2020 | 40.4% | $-19.30\% (-22.85\% \text{to} -15.30\%)$ $\chi^2(1)=71.00$, $p<0.0001$ | Negative | Moghanibashi-Mansourieh (2020) |
| Distress | 369 adults in China, Feb 20–21, 2020 | 6.15% | 8.62% (4.48% to 12.65%) $\chi^2(1)=15.73$, $p=0.0001$ | N.S. | Zhang et al. (2020b) |
| Kessler-10 | 500 adults in Italy, April 10–13, 2020 | 18.6% | $-3.83\% (-0.87\% \text{to} 8.49\%)$ $\chi^2(1)=2.559$, $p=0.110$ | Negative | Moccia et al. (2020) |
| Kessler-6 | 1599 adults in China, Feb 1–4, 2020 | Mean (SD), 7.7 ($\pm 7.7$) | 0.62 (-0.11 to 1.35) $df=2071$, $p=0.098$ | Negative | Wang et al. (2020) |
| Kessler-6 | 2032 adults in the USA, late April 2020 | 27.7% | $-12.93\% (-16.45\% \text{to} -8.97\%)$ $\chi^2(1)=34.03$, $p<0.001$ | N.A. | Twenge and Joiner (2020) |
| DASS-21 | 1498 participants 18 to 28 in Iran, April 2020 | 24.6% | $-9.83\% (-13.50\% \text{to} -5.76\%)$ $\chi^2(1)=20.18$, $p<0.001$ | N.A. | Khademian et al. (2021) |

N.A. not available, N.S. not statistically significant
Margin effect analyses showed that adults’ age predicted pain negatively among the young (e.g., at 20 years old: $b = -0.032$, 95% CI $-0.052$ to $-0.012$, $p = 0.002$). On the contrary, adults’ age predicted pain positively among seniors older than 70 years (e.g., at 75 years old: $b = 0.022$, 95% CI 0.002 to 0.042, $p = 0.030$). Similarly, age predicted depression negatively among younger people (e.g., at 20 years old: OR = 0.97, 95% CI 0.95 to 0.99, $p = 0.006$) and positively among older people (e.g., at 75 years old: OR = 1.024, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.05, $p = 0.042$). Finally, age predicted anxiety negatively among younger people (e.g., at 20 years old: OR = 0.97, 95% CI 0.96 to 0.98, $p = 0.000$) but not among older people (e.g., at 75 years old: OR = 1.02, 95% CI 0.99 to 1.04, $p = 0.214$). To illustrate the curvilinear relationship between adult age and their mental issues, we show the predicted levels of nonsomatic pain and the predicted likelihood of depression, anxiety, and distress disorders over different ages in Fig. 2.

Second, females reported more pain ($b = 0.15$, 95% CI 0.039 to 0.262, $p = 0.008$) and were more likely to suffer from depression (OR = 1.75; 95% CI, 1.04 to 2.94; $p = 0.034$) and distress disorders (OR = 3.06; 95% CI, 1.60 to 5.86; $p = 0.001$) than males.

Third, adults who were unsure whether they had chronic diseases experienced more pain than those with chronic diseases ($b = -0.64$; 95% CI, $-0.97$ to $-0.31$; $p = 0.000$) or without chronic diseases ($b = -0.77$; 95% CI, $-1.06$ to $-0.47$; $p = 0.000$). Adults who were unsure whether they had chronic diseases were also more likely to suffer from anxiety issues compared with those with chronic diseases (OR = 0.21; 95% CI, 0.06 to 0.80; $p = 0.020$) or without chronic diseases (OR = 0.15; 95% CI, 0.05 to 0.46; $p = 0.001$). Furthermore, adults who were unsure whether they had chronic diseases were also more likely to have distress disorder, compared to those with chronic diseases (OR = 0.08; 95% CI, 0.01 to 0.39; $p = 0.002$) or without chronic diseases (OR = 0.13; 95% CI, 0.04 to 0.41; $p = 0.001$). Lastly, those
who were unsure of their chronic diseases were more likely to suffer from depression than those without chronic diseases (OR = 0.24; 95% CI, 0.08 to 0.72; $p = 0.011$).

Lastly, adults who exercised more were less likely to experience mental health issues of depression (OR = 0.68; 95% CI, 0.47 to 0.97; $p = 0.034$), anxiety (OR = 0.66; 95% CI, 0.45 to 0.96; $p = 0.030$), or distress disorders (OR = 0.56; 95% CI, 0.35 to 0.91; $p = 0.020$).

**Discussion**

The accumulating body of studies on mental health under COVID-19 has begun to show conflicting results, particularly on the relationship between age and mental health disorders (Table 2). Several studies found a negative relationship (González-Sanguino et al., 2020; Moccia et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020); other studies found the relationship to be positive (Qiu et al., 2020) or insignificant (Meng et al., 2020; Song et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020a, b, c, d). The contradictory evidence may result from (a) examining only a linear relationship and (b) the limited age range of the adults in the samples. With a wide range of ages from 20 to 79, our study showed the relationship between age and pain, depression, and anxiety to be curvilinear (Fig. 1). In particular, age predicted pain, depression, and anxiety disorders negatively among adults younger than 45 years. Age was not a predictor of pain for ages 50–65 years, for depression for ages 45–70 years, or for anxiety for ages 50–80 years. Age predicted pain positively among those aged 70–79 years and depression disorder among those aged 75–79 years. Such findings corroborate that the association between age and mental issues is negative among the younger population (<45 years), insignificant among the mid-aged (50–70ish), and positive among seniors over 70 years. Seniors experienced more pain and depression, perhaps because they have a higher fatality rate during the COVID-19 outbreak.
and often have poor physical conditions and immune systems (Meng et al., 2020). In fact, a study of seniors older than 60 years (Meng et al., 2020) and a study of young adults between 18 and 30 years (Liu et al., 2020) showed that they were much more likely to suffer from depression disorder (37.1% and 43.3%, respectively) than adults with varied ages in seven other studies (on average 20.9%) (Table 2).

Our results suggest age remains an important predictor for mental health disorders during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, psychiatrists and mental health services should not use a specific age as either a positive or a negative predictor in screening and identifying people at risk. Instead, they should be mindful that the association between age and mental health issues could vary depending on the age range. The curvilinearity of age as a predictor needs to be considered before using it to identify the mentally vulnerable.

Our results showed that those who were unsure about their chronic diseases experienced more pain and were more likely to have depression, anxiety, and distress disorders than those who were sure about their status (either having or not having chronic diseases). Such a pattern is consistent with the findings that healthcare workers (Zhang et al., 2020a, b, c, d) and the general population who were not sure whether they were infected with the COVID-19 virus were more likely to have mental disorders than others who knew their status. In doing so, we extend the finding from COVID-19 to chronic diseases and suggest that future studies explore individuals’ uncertainty on key factors as potential predictors of mental health.

Unlike past research that found gender was not a distress predictor in China (Zhang et al., 2020a, b, c, d) and Iran (Ahorsu et al., 2020; Jahanshahi et al., 2020), our Iranian sample showed that gender predicted distress, similar to an Italian sample (Moccia et al., 2020). In contrast to a study that found Chinese working adults who exercised less were happier with their life in a more severe COVID-19 prefecture (Zhang et al., 2020a, b, c, d), our results show that Iranian adults who exercised less were more likely to be depressed, anxious, and distressed, which corroborated the findings in a study of healthcare staff in Bolivia (Zhang et al., 2020a, b, c, d). All studies confirmed exercise time as a risk factor, but the direction of its effect may depend on the country and type of professionals. It is also worth noting that, in contrast to adults in China (Zhang et al., 2020a, b, c, d) and Iran before the peak of COVID-19 (Jahanshahi et al., 2020), those who stopped working were insignificantly different from those who worked either at home or at the office on reported pain, depression, anxiety, and distress. These studies show the importance of gender, exercise time, and working status in screening mentally vulnerable people in a crisis. The inconsistent findings call for more studies to investigate these predictors of mental health issues and their potential moderators such as country and stage of the pandemic.

This study has some limitations. First, due to the challenge of data collection during the COVID-19 crisis, we used convenient sampling; future studies with more representative sampling techniques could further examine age as a predictor of mental health. Second, the curvilinear relationship that we found was limited to our sample in Iran, and it is interesting to examine how it may vary in other populations. Further studies are required to determine how age plays out as a predictor to identify those who need mental healthcare.

Our study showed that Iranian adults after the peak of COVID-19 still suffered from depression, anxiety, and distress disorders to a similar or higher degree than people in other countries, except one study in the USA. The finding that the association between age and mental health issues was curvilinear suggests age remains a useful yet more nuanced predictor than deemed by the past literature. As increasingly inconsistent results on mental health during COVID-19 are reported, we call for more studies to examine the nonlinear relationships of the predictors of mental health issues.
Appendix. Measurement scales

PHQ-4: Anxiety and Depression

Over the last week, how often have you been bothered by the following problems?

| Over the past few days, how often have you been bothered by the following problems? | Never, rarely | Sometimes | most of time | Always |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|--------|
| 1. Feeling nervous, anxious or on edge                                               | 0           | 1         | 2            | 3      |
| احساس عصبائیت، اضطراب یا ترس                                                    |             |           |              |        |
| 2. Not being able to stop or control worrying                                        | 0           | 1         | 2            | 3      |
| ناتوانی در کنترل نگرانی ها و احساس ناراحتی                                      |             |           |              |        |
| 3. Feeling down, depressed or hopeless                                               | 0           | 1         | 2            | 3      |
| احساس کمدود، افسردگی و نامه‌دی                                                    |             |           |              |        |
| 4. Little interest or pleasure in doing thing                                         | 0           | 1         | 2            | 3      |
| داشتن لذت کم از انجام کارها                                                            |             |           |              |        |
**Psychological Pain scale**

| For the past few days, I feel | Never, rarely | Sometimes | most of the time | Always |
|------------------------------|---------------|-----------|------------------|--------|
| 1. I “hurt” inside, even though the pain isn’t physical. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 2. I am in agony. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 3. I feel really sad | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |

**The Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K6)**

| During the last few days, about how often did... | Never | Some of the time | Half-time | A good bit of the time | Almost all of the time |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------|------------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. You feel worthless? احساس بی ارزش بودن کرده اید؟ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2. You feel nervous? غصبی شده است؟ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 3. You feel restless or fidgety? احساس بی قراری یا اضطراب کرده اید؟ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 4. You feel hopeless? احساس ناامیدی کرده اید؟ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 5. You feel that everything was an effort? چقدر احساس کرده اید که همه چیز یک تلاش یا تقلا بی خود از است | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 6. You feel so depressed that nothing could cheer you up? اینقدر احساس ناامیدی کرده اید که هیچ چیزی نتواند شما را سر حال بیاورد | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
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