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Abstract
Hypertension is the leading cause of increased morbidity and mortality rates worldwide. Despite adherence to therapies is the important determinant of treatment success to reduce apparent resistant hypertension, maintaining good adherence to antihypertensive medications remained the most serious challenge. Thus, this study aimed to assess adherence to antihypertensive medications among adult hypertensive patients in Dessie Referral Hospital.

A cross-sectional study design was conducted among hypertensive patients during May and June 2020. The study participants were selected using a systematic random sampling technique. The collected data were entered into EpiData version 4.4 and exported to SPSS version 25.0 software for data cleaning and analysis. Data were analyzed using bivariable and multivariable logistic regression at a 95% confidence interval (CI). A variable that has a P-value <.05 was declared as statistically significant. Hosmer–Lemeshow test was used to test goodness-of-fit and multicollinearity was tested.

The overall good adherence to antihypertensive medications was 51.9%; 95% CI: (46.8–58.3%) and poor adherence was 48.1%. Factors associated with good adherence were: sex—female adjusted odd ratio (AOR)=1.31; 95% CI (1.06–2.52), occupational status—employed AOR=2.24; 95% CI (1.33–3.72), good knowledge of the disease AOR=2.20; 95% CI (1.34–3.72) and good self-efficacy AOR=1.38; 95% CI (1.20–2.13).

This study revealed that almost half of the hypertensive patients in Dessie Referral Hospital had good antihypertensive medication adherence. Sex, occupational status, knowledge, and self-efficacy were factors associated with good adherence. Therefore, health education should be given to patients on the importance of complying with medication and patients should be monitored by health extension workers.

Abbreviations: DRH = Dessie Referral Hospital, HTN = hypertension, MMAS-4 = four items; Morisky Medication Adherence Scale.
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1. Introduction

Hypertension (HTN) is a non-communicable global public health threat that afflicts approximately 1.13 billion people worldwide with upwards of 1 in 4 men and 1 in 5 women and an estimated 10.3 million deaths and 208 million disabilities.[1] The prevalence of hypertension varies across the world and country. Africa has the highest prevalence of hypertension (27%) while the Americas has the lowest prevalence of hypertension (18%).[2] The prevalence increment was seen largely in low- and middle-income countries, two-thirds of those with hypertension are in economically developing countries.[3] In Ethiopia the prevalence of hypertension was 19.6%.[4]

Hypertension doubles the risk of cardiovascular diseases such as coronary heart disease,[5] congestive heart failure (CHF), stroke, renal failure, and peripheral arterial diseases.[6] Many countries have not implemented effective public policies to prevent and control hypertension.[1-3] Hypertension treatment and control rates were 10%, and 1% respectively.[7] Although adherence to therapies is a primary determinant of treatment success, approximately only one-half of hypertensive patients adhere to prescribed medications to control high blood pressure.[8] Rapid urbanization and transition from agrarian life to the wage-earning economy of city life continue to fuel increases in average blood pressure levels and prevalence of HTN.[7]

The worldwide burden of hypertension is attributed to morbidity, premature death, and cost to society and make preventing and treating hypertension is a public health challenge.[9] Poor medication adherence can cause negative health outcomes such as worsening disease or even death or the impact can be both personal and economic, as a result of increased demands for healthcare resources if there is deterioration in patients’ health.[10] Many factors can affect adherence to medications to control HTN such as social support, knowledge, attitude, behavioral factors, and sociodemographic characteristics. Therefore, attention to these barriers is necessary, and to improve adherence follow the most important strategies like patient education on hypertension, its treatment modalities, and its long-term complications; and patient engagement building on the foundation of education.[11,12]

Good adherence to hypertension medication is a decisive and collective action which saves millions of hypertensive patients’ life. Failure to adhere to medications puts hypertensive patients at risk for further complications and death. The prevalence of HTN has been widely reported[13-15] but adherence to hypertension medication has not been adequately described particularly in the study area. Therefore, this study was designed to assess adherence to antihypertensive medications among adult hypertensive patients attending chronic follow-up units of Dessie Referral Hospital (DRH), Northeastern Ethiopia.

2. Methods and materials

2.1. Study area

The study was carried out in DRH that is under South Wollo Zone. South Wollo Zone is one of the 13 zones found in Amhara regional state, Ethiopia. Its major city administration is Dessie town located 401 km away from Addis Ababa, the capital city of Ethiopia, and 480 km from Bahir Dar, the capital city of Amhara regional state. DRH is the only referral hospital in South Wollo zone province serving about 7 million people including the neighboring regions.

2.2. Study design, period, and population

An institution-based cross-sectional study was conducted during May and June 2020 among adult hypertensive patients attending chronic follow-up units of DRH. The source populations were all hypertensive patients who were on antihypertensive therapy and attending chronic follow-up units of DRH. The study populations were all registered adult hypertensive patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria and were available during the time of data collection. All hypertensive patients who were 18 years and older and on anti-hypertensive treatment at least for 6 months before the commencement of the study were included.

2.3. Sample size determination and sampling techniques

The sample size was determined using single population proportion formula with the assumptions of expected proportion of adherence to hypertension medication 67%,[16] Zα/2 value at 95% confidence interval (CI) was 1.96 and 5% margin of error. After considered a 10% non-response rate from the calculated sample size of 340, the final sample size was 374. Study participants were selected using a systematic sampling technique with a sampling interval of 3 by considering the 1st comers as starting point till the sample size was saturated at exit time.

2.4. Outcome variable measurement

The outcome variable of this study was good or poor adherence to medications among hypertensive patients. The level of medication adherence among hypertensive patients was measured using the four-item Morisky Medication Adherence Scale (MMAS-4).[17] Good adherence was determined when those study participants scored 0 on the Morisky 4 item self-reported medication adherence scale whereas poor adherence refers to those study participants who scored ≥1 on the MMAS-4 scale.

2.5. Operational definitions

Adherence: The extent to which a persons’ behavior corresponds with recommendations from health care providers.

Comorbidities: respondents with one or more medical conditions in addition to HTN. Knowledge about hypertension: respondents with scores above the mean value on hypertension evaluation of lifestyle and management scale were taken as having good knowledge about hypertension.

Social support: is the support gained from family and non-family members. In this study, respondents whose score was above the mean value on the Duke social support and stress scale were taken as having social support.

Self-efficacy is the belief in one’s capabilities to organize and execute the courses of action required to produce given attainment. In this study, respondents who scored above the mean value on the 6 items chronic disease self-efficacy scale were considered as having good self-efficacy to cope up and manage their disease.

2.6. Data collection and quality control

Data were collected using a face to face interviewer-administered questionnaire. The questionnaire was adapted from different reviewed literatures.[18-21] Primarily the questions were prepared in English and translated to Amharic, which is a local language,
and then retranslated back to English by bilingual experts to ensure its consistency.

Two data collectors and 1 supervisor who were Bachelor of Science nurses were recruited. All data collectors and supervisor had previous experience in data collection. The training was given to data collectors and supervisor for 1 day about data collection, how to fill the information on a structured questionnaire, the ethical aspect in approaching the participants as well as the aim of the study and contents of the instruments. Data collectors approached the participants politely and respectfully at the time of the interview. The supervisor monitored the data collection process of the data collectors daily and if a problem happened they tried to solve it or contact the principal investigator by mobile or physically. Principal investigator and supervisor made daily on spot-checking for completeness of the questionnaire.

To assure the data quality, the questionnaire was pretested in 10% of the selected hypertensive patients at Kemissie Hospital which was not included in the study to assess the content, approach of the questionnaire and to amend unclear and vague issues on the questionnaire. Each questionnaire was checked for completeness, missed values, and unlikely responses; that incomplete questionnaire and checklist were omitted from the analysis.

2.7. Data management and analysis

The collected data were coded and entered into EpiData version 4.4 and exported to Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25.0 for data cleaning and analysis. Mean with standard deviation were reported for continuous variables and categorical variables proportion were reported. Data were analyzed using a binary logistic regression model at 95% CI and variables with P-value < .25 during the bivariable analysis were entered into a multivariable logistic regression analysis to see the relative effect of confounding variables and interaction of variables. Adjusted odd ratio (AOR) with 95% CI was performed to determine the strength of association of variables with a P-value < .05 was declared as statistically significant. The Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of-fit statistic was used to assess whether the necessary assumptions for the application of multiple logistic regression were fulfilled. Multicollinearity was tested using the variance inflation factor (VIF) and tolerance test.

3. Results

3.1. Socio-demographic characteristics of participants

Among the total study participants, 366 were included in the study and 8 participants were refused to participate, yielding a response rate of 97.9%. The mean (±SD) age of participants was 51 ± 17.3 years while the majority of the respondents (40.1%) had the age of >55 years. Most study participants were women (66.7%) (Table 1).

3.2. Adherence to anti-hypertensive medications

Out of the total study participants, 138 (37.7%) of them missed their medication due to forgetfulness. Only 16.4% of respondents were careless about taking antihypertensive medications (Table 2). Out of the total 366 participants, 51.9% (n = 190; 95% CI [46.8–58.3%]) were adherent to the medication regimen whereas the remaining were not (Fig. 1).

3.3. Bivariable and multivariable analysis

The bivariable analysis showed significant associations between antihypertensive medication adherence and sex, residence, marital status, occupational status, number of medications type, presence of morbidities, knowledge, and self-efficacy.

In multivariable logistic regression analysis sex, occupational status Farmer 75 20.5 Governmental employee 47 12.8 Private employee 63 17.2 Private business 61 16.7 Non-employed 14 3.8 Laborer 60 16.4 Retired 46 12.6 Monthly income (USD [United States Dollars], $)*

| Variables          | Frequency (n = 366) | Percentage (100%) |
|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Age                | 18–35               | 79 21.6            |
|                    | 36–45               | 84 23.0            |
|                    | 46–55               | 56 15.3            |
|                    | >55                 | 147 40.1           |
| Sex                | Male                | 122 33.3           |
|                    | Female              | 244 66.7           |
| Residence          | Urban               | 220 60.1           |
|                    | Rural               | 146 39.9           |
| Religion           | Orthodox            | 99 27.0            |
|                    | Muslim              | 194 53.0           |
|                    | Protestant          | 38 10.4            |
|                    | Catholic            | 35 9.6             |
| Ethnicity          | Amhara              | 326 89.1           |
|                    | Oromo               | 14 3.8             |
|                    | Tigre               | 26 7.1             |
| Marital status     | Single              | 165 45.1           |
|                    | Married             | 201 54.9           |
| Educational status | Illiterate          | 77 21.0            |
|                    | Informal education  | 53 14.5            |
|                    | Primary school      | 83 22.7            |
|                    | Secondary school    | 42 11.5            |
|                    | College and above   | 111 30.3           |
| Occupational status| Farmer              | 75 20.5            |
|                    | Governmental employee| 47 12.8          |
|                    | Private employee    | 63 17.2            |
|                    | Private business    | 61 16.7            |
|                    | Non-employed        | 14 3.8             |
|                    | Laborer             | 60 16.4            |
|                    | Retired             | 46 12.6            |
| Monthly income (USD [United States Dollars], $)* | No regular income | 77 21.0 |
|                    | <30                 | 41 11.2            |
|                    | 30–58 ETB           | 119 32.5           |
|                    | 58.1–88             | 74 20.3            |
|                    | >88                 | 55 15.0            |

* Average exchange rate of 1 $ USD to Ethiopia birr (ETB) during May and June 2020 was 1 $ USD = 34.1 ETB.
times more likely to be adherent than those who had poor self-efficacy (AOR = 1.38, 95% CI [1.20–2.13]) (Table 3).

4. Discussion

Out of 366 patients, 66.7% of them were women. Regarding anti-hypertensive medications, 62.3% of patients missed their medication due to forgetfulness. We found that sex, occupational status, knowledge of the disease, and self-efficacy had a statistically significant association with antihypertensive medication adherence among adult hypertensive patients.

The overall rate of good adherence to antihypertensive medications as measured using MMAS-4 in DRH was 51.9% (n = 190; 95% CI [46.8–58.3%]). The finding of this study was comparable with studies conducted in India 57.2%,[22] China 52.0%,[23] Jordan 56%,[24] and Eastern Nigeria 52.5%.[11] Perhaps this might be due to methodological similarity and use of a similar tool.

This adherence level was lower than studies conducted in China 65.1%,[25] Canada 77.0%,[26] Southwest Ethiopia 67.2%,[27] and Jimma University Specialized Hospital 61.8%.[28] The inconsistency might be due to the differences in the study population, sample size, method of measurement, cultural and health perception on hypertension.

On the other hand, the current study finding was surprisingly higher than studies conducted in Korea 39.2%,[29] Italy 41.5%,[30] and Nedjo General Hospital in West Ethiopia 31.4%.[31] The possible reasons for this discrepancy might include the difference in time of the study, sample size, and sociocultural difference of study participants.

This study identified factors significantly associated with antihypertensive medication adherence. Female patients were more likely to be adherent to antihypertensive medications than male patients. This finding was supported by studies conducted in Jordan,[24] Romania,[32] Addis Ababa,[33] and Gondar.[34] This can be explained by the fact that naturally women are careful and follow their prescriptions better. It might be due to that men are responsible mostly for outdoor activities which lead them not to access their medication easily and even the outside duty make them forget medications. And also men might tend to discontinue their medication due to alcohol and khat consumption than women.

The occupational status of hypertensive patients was associated with medication adherence; those employed hypertensive patients were more likely to have good antihypertensive medication adherence than unemployed. This was consistent with studies in China,[25] Jordan,[24] and Addis Ababa.[35] The possible explanation might be that employed patients had increased social support, better structuring of time, and improved psychosocial or mental well-being to adhere to their medications. It might also be due to that employed patients’ increased material well-being, for example, improved food security and housing quality and reduced poverty to access medical service.

The likelihood of having good antihypertensive medication adherence for hypertensive patients with good knowledge of the disease was high as compared with those with poor knowledge. This result was supported by studies in Malaysia,[8] Hawassa,[16] Gondar,[34] and Debre Tabor.[16] This was possibly explained as knowledgeable patients might have a good understanding and awareness of the severity of hypertension if the medications are not taken as health care professionals prescribed.

This study revealed that those hypertensive patients who had good self-efficacy were more likely to be adherent to antihypertensive medications. This finding was supported by studies in China[25] and Charlotte, NC metropolitan.[37] The possible explanation might be having good self-efficacy encouraged good self-control and positive beliefs about hypertension which increases adherence to antihypertensive medication regimes.

5. Strength and limitations of the study

5.1. Strength

The tools used for the measurement of antihypertensive medication adherence, knowledge, social support, and self-efficacy assessment are internationally tested. It had a representative sample and a good response rate. The study participants were adequately informed about the relevance of this study and the importance of telling the truth. Additionally, the data collectors employed were working out of the chronic illness clinics. There were sufficient references to discuss the results in a situation from international to local.
### Table 3
Factors associated with medication adherence among hypertensive patients attending chronic follow up units of Dessie Referral Hospital, Northeast Ethiopia, 2020 (n = 366).

| Variables                  | Adherent (n = 190) | Non-adherent (n = 176) | COR (95% CI) | AOR (95% CI) |
|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Sex                        |                   |                        |              |              |
| Male                       | 62 (50.8)         | 60 (49.2)              | 1.00         | 1.00         |
| Female                     | 114 (46.7)        | 130 (53.3)             | 1.18 (0.76–2.34)* | 1.31 (1.06–2.52)** |
| Residence                  |                   |                        |              |              |
| Urban                      | 116               | 104                    | 1.09 (0.71–1.65)* | 1.00         |
| Rural                      | 74                | 72                     | 1.00         | 1.00         |
| Marital status             |                   |                        |              |              |
| Single                     | 73 (44.2)         | 92 (55.8)              | 1.00         | 1.00         |
| Married                    | 117 (58.2)        | 84 (41.8)              | 1.76 (1.16–2.66)* | 1.00         |
| Occupational status        |                   |                        |              |              |
| Employed                   | 100 (54.9)        | 82 (45.1)              | 1.27 (0.85–1.92)* | 2.24 (1.33–3.72)** |
| Unemployed                 | 90 (49.8)         | 94 (51.1)              | 1.00         | 1.00         |
| Presence of comorbidities  |                   |                        |              |              |
| Yes                        | 108 (49.1)        | 112 (50.9)             | 1.00         | 1.00         |
| No                         | 82 (56.2)         | 64 (43.8)              | 1.33 (0.87–2.02)* | 1.00         |
| Number of medications type |                   |                        |              |              |
| ≤3                         | 157 (57.3)        | 117 (42.7)             | 2.40 (1.47–3.91)* | 1.00         |
| >3                         | 33 (35.9)         | 59 (64.1)              | 1.00         | 1.00         |
| Knowledge level            |                   |                        |              |              |
| Good                       | 128 (61.5)        | 80 (38.5)              | 2.48 (1.62–3.79)* | 2.20 (1.34–3.72)** |
| Poor                       | 62 (38.2)         | 96 (60.8)              | 1.00         | 1.00         |
| Self-efficacy              |                   |                        |              |              |
| Good efficacy              | 113 (56.5)        | 87 (43.5)              | 1.50 (0.99–2.27)* | 1.38 (1.20–2.13)** |
| Poor efficacy              | 77 (46.4%)        | 89 (53.6)              | 1.00         | 1.00         |

AOR = adjusted odds ratio, COR = crude odds ratio, CI = confidence interval.
* Variables having P < .05 in bivariable analysis.
** Statistically significant at P-value < .05 in the multivariable analysis, 1.00 = reference category.

### 5.2. Limitations
This study used self-reporting as the only method of measuring adherence which could result in overestimation of adherence since this method has the disadvantages of recall bias and eliciting only socially acceptable responses. In addition, this study did not consider hypertensive patients who did not visit health facilities during the data collection period and who had follow-up in private health facilities. Hence, it might be difficult to generalize the findings to the general population.

### 6. Conclusion
This study revealed that almost half of the hypertensive patients in DRH had good antihypertensive medication adherence. Sex, occupational status, knowledge of the disease, and self-efficacy were factors associated with good antihypertensive medication adherence. Based on the findings of this study, nurses/doctors should give health education to patients at every clinic visit on the importance of complying with medication, patients should be educated that antihypertensive drugs are for life use, hypertensive patients should be monitored by health extension workers, strategies should be on the possibilities of giving antihypertensive drugs free of charge and in every health sectors.
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