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Perovskite solar cells (PSCs) are currently the leading thin-film photovoltaic technology owing to their high power conversion efficiency (PCE), as well as their low-cost and facile manufacturing process. Two-dimensional (2D) materials have been reported to improve both the PCE and the stability of PSCs when incorporated across the device's layered configuration. Hereby, a two-fold engineering approach is implemented in inverted PSCs by using ultra-thin Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes, i.e.: (1) to dope the electron transport layer (ETL) and (2) to form a protective interlayer above the ETL. Thorough steady-state and time-resolved transport analyses reveal that our first engineering approach improves the electron extraction rate and thus the overall PCE (+6.6% vs. reference cells), as a result of the favourable energy level alignment between the perovskite, the ETL and the cathode. Moreover, the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ interlayer, through the second engineering approach, facilitates further the electron transport and in addition protects the underlying structure against chemical instability effects, leading to enhanced device performance and stability. By combining the two engineering approaches, our optimised PSCs reach a PCE up to 19.46% (+15.2% vs. reference cells) and retain more than 80% of their initial PCE, after the burn-in phase, over 1100 h under continous 1 sun illumination. These performances are among the highest reported in the literature for inverted PSCs.

Meanwhile, the exceptional optoelectronic properties of 2D materials and their compatibility with large-scale, solution-processable methods (e.g., roll-to-roll printing techniques) lay the ground for the direct integration of low-cost and flexible photovoltaic devices in emerging smart and multifunctional technologies. The beneficial impact of graphene and related 2D materials in PSCs has been demonstrated both in mesoporous and inverted planar structures, as well as in their corresponding tandem systems. Beyond graphene and its derivatives, various 2D material families have been tested including transition metal dichalcogenides, MXenes, phosphorene and antimonene just to name a few. The high degree of structural and optoelectronic tunability of 2D materials renders them an ideal choice to form functional layers in PSCs. For example, 2D material films have been proposed as replacements for conventional electron/hole transport layers (ETLs/HTLs) by taking advantage of their optimal charge transport properties, low fabrication cost and chemical inertness. They have been also used as interlayers between the ETL/HTL and the photoactive layers to promote the transport...
of the photogenerated charges towards the electrodes, while concurrently hindering interfacial charge recombination processes.\textsuperscript{33,34} Recently, 2D materials have been used as additives into the perovskite layer in order to increase the crystal grain size of the latter and to facilitate the extraction rate of the photogenerated charges towards the electrodes.\textsuperscript{35,36} Moreover, the integration of 2D materials in PSCs led to a strong enhancement of the device lifetime due to their morphology-derived protective properties against the diffusion of external agents (e.g., oxygen and moisture) and the metal ion migration, which are both causes of PSC performance degradation.\textsuperscript{37,38}

In the plethora of layered materials which can be exfoliated into 2D forms, Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} belongs to a special material class, namely topological insulators,\textsuperscript{39} with exceptional surface state properties\textsuperscript{40,41} originating from their non-trivial topological order protected by time reversal symmetry.\textsuperscript{42} Indeed Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} and other topological insulators behave as insulators in their interior, while their surface states, featuring a single Dirac cone in their band structure, are electrically conductive\textsuperscript{43} and insensitive to carrier backscattering.\textsuperscript{44} In addition, both Te vacancies and the oxidation level determine the optoelectronic properties of Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3}, which can be engineered to be either metallic\textsuperscript{18} or semiconducting,\textsuperscript{19} depending on its targeted role within the final application. Lastly, the peculiar surface state properties of Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} can be fully exploited in low-dimensional structures that exhibit large surface area-to-volume ratios (e.g., 2D Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} flakes).

Despite the fascinating properties of Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3}, its potential in photovoltaic systems has not been fully rationalized yet, although a few studies reported the successful integration of Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} in solar cells, including inorganic (CdTe) thin-film solar cells,\textsuperscript{45} dye-sensitized solar cells\textsuperscript{46} and PSCs.\textsuperscript{47,48} For example, metallic Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} films, produced by thermal evaporation methods, have been used in inverted PSCs as a low-cost counter electrode material to replace expensive noble-metals (e.g., Ag).\textsuperscript{49} Beyond economic reasons, Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3}-based counter electrodes can effectively suppress the electron back scattering, thus improving the electron collection. Meanwhile, it can also suppress the metal-induced decomposition of the perovskite layers, increasing the overall device stability.\textsuperscript{49} Alternatively, semiconducting Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} films have been proposed as interlayers between the perovskite and the HTL in a normal n–i–p cell configuration with three functional roles: (1) to promote the hole extraction via material energy level matching; (2) to reduce the recombination of the photogenerated charges by suppressing the formation of charge trapping interfacial defects and (3) to increase the device stability by providing a barrier against the migration of iodide and silver ions, as well as the diffusion of moisture.\textsuperscript{48}

In this work, Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} flakes were produced through scalable liquid-phase exfoliation (LPE) of the corresponding bulk crystal. Experimentally, 300 mg of Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} crystal powder (−325 mesh, 99.99% trace metals basis) was inserted in 50 mL of a mixture of anhydrous isopropanol (IPA) (99.5%, Sigma Aldrich) and acetonitrile (ACN) (99.8%, Sigma Aldrich) (1:1 vol/vol). The resulting mixture was ultrasonicated in an ultrasonic bath (Branson\textsuperscript{8} 5800 cleaner, Branson ultrasonic) for 6 h. Subsequently, a sedimentation-based separation (SBS) process was used to separate the exfoliated Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} flakes from the unexfoliated material. More specifically, the sample was ultracentrifuged for 20 min at 935g (Sigma 3-16P centrifuge, rotor 19776). Finally, the Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} flake dispersion was obtained by collecting 80% of the supernatant by pipetting.\textsuperscript{50} It is noteworthy that the final dispersion was formulated in low-boiling point solvents, which are compatible with eco-friendly, low-temperature and solution-processing deposition techniques. The handling of the material was carried out inside a N\textsubscript{2}-filled glovebox to minimize the oxidation occurring when the Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} flakes are exposed to ambient environmental conditions. In order to dope the ETL (PC\textsubscript{70}BM) with Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} flakes, a solvent exchange approach was used to replace the IPA:ACN mixture with the solvent used to dissolve PC\textsubscript{70}BM, i.e., anhydrous chlorobenzene (CB). A quantitative volume of the pristine

**Experimental section**

**Material production**

Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} flakes were produced through LPE of the corresponding bulk crystal. Experimentally, 300 mg of Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} crystal powder (−325 mesh, 99.99% trace metals basis) was inserted in 50 mL of a mixture of anhydrous isopropanol (IPA) (99.5%, Sigma Aldrich) and acetonitrile (ACN) (99.8%, Sigma Aldrich) (1:1 vol/vol). The resulting mixture was ultrasonicated in an ultrasonic bath (Branson\textsuperscript{8} 5800 cleaner, Branson ultrasonic) for 6 h. Subsequently, a sedimentation-based separation (SBS) process was used to separate the exfoliated Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} flakes from the unexfoliated material. More specifically, the sample was ultracentrifuged for 20 min at 935g (Sigma 3-16P centrifuge, rotor 19776). Finally, the Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} flake dispersion was obtained by collecting 80% of the supernatant by pipetting.\textsuperscript{50} It is noteworthy that the final dispersion was formulated in low-boiling point solvents, which are compatible with eco-friendly, low-temperature and solution-processing deposition techniques. The handling of the material was carried out inside a N\textsubscript{2}-filled glovebox to minimize the oxidation occurring when the Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} flakes are exposed to ambient environmental conditions. In order to dope the ETL (PC\textsubscript{70}BM) with Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} flakes, a solvent exchange approach was used to replace the IPA:ACN mixture with the solvent used to dissolve PC\textsubscript{70}BM, i.e., anhydrous chlorobenzene (CB). A quantitative volume of the pristine...
Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flake dispersion in IPA:ACN was added to a pre-weighted empty vial. Subsequently, the sample was dried by evaporating the solvent under mild heating at 45 °C. Afterwards, the dried vial was weighed, and an amount of anhydrous CB was added to the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ sediment mass to obtain a Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flake dispersion in CB with a concentration of 1 mg mL$^{-1}$. The so-produced dispersion was sonicated in an ultrasonication bath (Elmasonic S30H) for 60 min followed by ultracentrifugation for 15 min at 1679g (Hettich Zentrifugen, Universal 320, rotor 4101). A Gilson pipette (PIPETMAN L P100L, 10–100 µL) was then used to collect the supernatant (corresponding to ~95% of the initial volume), which was used without any further treatment. Finally, the sediment was dried and weighed in order to precisely determine the concentration of the collected supernatant, yielding a final concentration of ~62 µg mL$^{-1}$.

**Material characterization**

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) characterization of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes was performed using a JEM 1011 (JEOL) TEM (thermionic W filament), operating at 100 kV. The morphological and statistical analyses were performed by means of ImageJ software (NIH) and OriginPro 9.1 software (OriginLab), respectively. The samples for the TEM measurements were prepared by drop casting the as-produced Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flake dispersion onto Si/SiO$_2$ substrates under a N$_2$ atmosphere. Then, the samples were heated up to 60 °C in a N$_2$ atmosphere. The samples were then rinsed with deionized water and subsequently dried overnight under a vacuum. The AFM images were acquired with an XE-100 AFM (Park System, Korea) by means of PPPNCHR cantilevers (Nanosensors, Switzerland) having a tip diameter inferior to 10 nm. The images were collected in intermittent contact (tapping) mode over an area of $5 \times 5 \text{µm}^2$ (1024 x 1024 data points) using a drive frequency of ~330 kHz and keeping the working set point above 70% of the free oscillation amplitude. The scan rate for the acquisition of the images was 0.2 Hz. Gwyddion 2.53 software (http://gwyddion.net/) was used for determining the height profiles, while the statistical analysis of the data was performed using OriginPro 2018 software. The samples were prepared by drop-casting the as-produced Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flake dispersion onto mica sheets (G250-1, Agar Scientific Ltd) under a N$_2$ atmosphere. Then, the samples were heated up to 60 °C for 15 min to dry the samples and remove possible adsorbates. Raman spectroscopy measurements were performed using a Renishaw microRaman Invia 1000 with a 50× objective, an excitation wavelength of 633 nm and an incident power on the samples of 0.4 mW. The samples were prepared by drop casting the as-produced Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flake dispersion onto Si/SiO$_2$ substrates under a N$_2$ atmosphere and subsequently dried under a vacuum overnight. Optical absorption spectroscopy (OAS) measurements were performed on the as-produced Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flake dispersion using a Cary Varian 5000 UV-vis spectrometer. Ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) analysis was performed to estimate the work function ($W_F$) of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes using a Kratos Axis Ultra spectrometer and a He I (21.22 eV) discharge lamp. The $W_F$ was measured from the threshold energy for the emission of secondary electrons during He I excitation. A voltage bias of ~9.0 V was applied to the sample in order to precisely determine the low kinetic energy cut-off. The samples were prepared by drop casting the as-produced Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flake dispersion onto Si substrates.

**Device fabrication**

Pre-patterned 2.5 × 1.5 cm$^2$ ITO substrates (Naranjo substrates) with a sheet resistance of ~20 Ω sq$^{-1}$ were cleaned through a four-step process consisting of subsequent washings with 5% liquid soap solution, deionized water, acetone and isopropanol, and performing 10 min sonication for each step. After drying with N$_2$ flow, the substrates were transferred to a N$_2$-filled glovebox, where they underwent a UV-ozone treatment (15 min at 1.2 mW cm$^{-2}$). Thin (~10 nm) layers of poly(bis(4-phenyl)[2,4,6-trimethylphenyl]amine) (PTAA) (Solaris $M_w$ = 20–70 kDa) were prepared by uniformly spreading 65 µL of a 2 mg mL$^{-1}$ solution in toluene (Honeywell Research chemicals, ≥99.7%) on ITO substrates and spinning at an angular speed of 6000 rpm and an acceleration of 750 rpm s$^{-1}$ for 30 s. Then, the so-obtained PTAA layers were annealed at 110 °C for 10 min and left to cool down for 5 min before the next step. The quadruple cation perovskite (Rb+, Cs+, MA+, FA+, with: MA = methylammonium; FA = formamidinium) was formulated by following previous protocols reported by Saliba et al.$^{51}$ Initially, a (MA$_{1.5}$FA$_{0.85}$Pb$_{1.85}$Br$_{1.15}$)$_{0.91}$ perovskite solution (hereafter simply named MAFa) was prepared containing 0.2 M MABr (GreatCell Solar), 1.14 M FAI (GreatCell Solar), 1.24 M PbI$_2$ (TCI America) and 4 : 1 v/v anhydrous dimethyldiformamide (DMF) (99.8%, Sigma Aldrich)dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (≥99.9%, Sigma Aldrich). Stock solutions of 1.5 M CsI (99.999% trace metal basis, Sigma Aldrich) in DMSO and 1.5 M RbI (99.8% metals basis, Sigma Aldrich) in DMF:DMSO (4:1 vol/vol) were prepared and heated at 150 °C until the powders were completely dissolved. Afterwards, the stock solutions were left to cool down for 40 min.

The (RbPbI$_3$)$_{0.04}$(CsPbI$_3$)$_{0.03}$(FA$PbI_3$)$_{0.85}$(MAPbBr$_3$)$_{0.15}$ perovskite (hereafter simply named RbCsMAFA) solution was prepared by adding 5 vol% of CsI stock solution and 4 vol% of RbI stock solution to the MAFa precursor, and the solution was left under stirring for 12 h. The perovskite layer was deposited by dynamically spin coating 45 µL of the RbCsMAFA solution on the PTAA film at an angular speed of 6000 rpm for 45 s. Ten seconds prior to the end of the spinning process, the samples were washed with 200 µL of anhydrous CB (99.8%, Sigma Aldrich) used as an antisolvent. Subsequently, the samples were immediately annealed for 45 min on a preheated hotplate at 100 °C.
The XRD pattern of the perovskite layer is presented in Fig. S1 (ESI†). The XRD peak around 14.25° corresponds to the RbCsMAFA perovskite phase, while no peaks related to PbI₂ nor a yellow-phase peak are evident.

The PC$_{70}$BM (99%, Solenne) layers were deposited onto the perovskite by spinning 45 μL of a 20 mg mL$^{-1}$ PC$_{70}$BM solution in anhydrous CB (99.8%, Sigma Aldrich), stirred for at least 12 h at 70 °C, at an angular speed of 1000 rpm and an acceleration of 333 rpm s$^{-1}$ for 60 s. In order to dope the PC$_{70}$BM with the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes, the 62 μg mL$^{-1}$ Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flake dispersion in anhydrous CB was added to the initial PC$_{70}$BM solution upon stirring for 3 h at 70 °C. The doping of the PC$_{70}$BM solution with the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flake dispersion in CB was varied between 0% and 3% v/v. For the devices incorporating a Bi$_2$Te$_3$ interlayer above the PC$_{70}$BM, the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes were deposited onto PC$_{70}$BM through consecutive SCs (up to three) of the corresponding dispersion in IPA:ACN. Afterwards, 45 μL of 0.5 mg mL$^{-1}$ bathocuproine (BCP) (96%, Sigma Aldrich) in IPA (99.5% extra dry, ACROS Organics) was spin coated on the PC$_{70}$BM layer (Bi$_2$Te$_3$-doped or not) or on the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ interlayer (in the case of samples incorporating the interlayer) at an angular speed of 4000 rpm and an acceleration of 333 rpm s$^{-1}$ for 45 s. Finally, a 100 nm-thick Ag top electrode was deposited by thermal evaporation at a base pressure of 10$^{-6}$ mbar.

**Device characterization**

The PSCs were tested under an inert atmosphere using an ABB solar simulator (Oriel) equipped with a 450 W xenon lamp and an AM1.5 G filter. The intensity was calibrated at 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ by a KG5-window Si reference cell. The $J-V$ curves were recorded at a constant scan rate of 20 mV s$^{-1}$ using a multiplexor test board system (Ossila), and no device preconditioning was applied before the measurements. A black metallic aperture mask was used during each measurement to fix the active area of the fabricated devices close to 0.04 cm$^2$ and to reduce the influence of the scattered light. The external quantum efficiency (EQE) spectra were recorded using a QE-T system (Enlitech). A chopping frequency of 65 Hz was used. The calibration of the light intensity was performed using a quartz-window Si solar cell. The integrated current density was calculated by integrating the product between the spectral response of the test cell and the AM1.5G spectrum, correcting the result by multiplying it by the spectral mismatch factor. The topography of the samples was evaluated through AFM by means of an XE7 AFM (Park Systems) operating in non-contact mode, as described in Material characterization.

Optoelectrical characterization was performed with a transient module of a commercially available measurement platform, ARKEO (Cici Research s.r.l.). Transient photovoltage (TPV) experiments were performed in small perturbation mode by confining the intensity of the light pulse to less than 10% of the background voltage. Thus, the equilibrium of the field induced by the background bias remained undisturbed. By doing so, the voltage decay of the measured devices follows a first order behaviour and directly reflects the lifetime of the charge carriers. Transient photocurrent (TPC) experiments were performed in both large and small perturbation regimes. Large perturbations were induced over 200 μs under a 0.8 duty cycle, while small perturbations were loaded with a 0.001 cycle and passed through an external circuit of 50 Ω resistance. Both the signals of open circuit voltage (for TPV) and short circuit current (for TPC) were monitored after passing them through voltage and impedance amplifiers. In order to observe the photoinduced charge extraction through linearly increasing voltage (photo-CELIV) measurements, a 470 nm fast LED source driven by a 100 mA current and exhibiting a Lambertian radiation pattern was used. The relaxation pulse width was set to 20 μs, charged by a 50 000 V s$^{-1}$ ramp, following a 13 μs delay after the injection pulse. The collected signals were processed through a transimpedance amplifier and passed through a 100 MHz bandwidth digitizer running in single shot mode.

The lifetime behaviour of the solar cells was monitored using an ISOS testing laboratory from InfitivityPV in ISOS-L2 operation mode. The devices were first encapsulated with a piece of glass and a UV-curable epoxy as an adhesive (Ossila E132). Then, the devices were placed inside the test chamber and exposed to continuous illumination. The apparatus was equipped with a solar simulator employing a metal halide light source simulating the AM1.5G spectrum in the range 300–900 nm. The light intensity was calibrated at 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ using a Si reference cell. The humidity in the test chamber was below 15%, while the temperature was over 65 °C.

**Results and discussion**

**Production and characterization of Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes**

The Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes were produced through the LPE of the corresponding bulk crystal in IPA:ACN followed by a SBS process to remove the unexfoliated material. It is noteworthy that the use of IPA:ACN as the exfoliating solvent circumvents any issue related to: (a) the use of toxic and/or high boiling point solvents (e.g., 1-cyclohexenyl pyrrolidine (CHP) or N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), which have been previously used to exfoliate Bi$_2$Te$_3$) or (b) material handling with special precautions (e.g., the use of a controlled atmosphere or fume hood) and (c) high temperature (≥100 °C) processing for solvent removal. In addition, the choice of the IPA:ACN mixture is advantageous compared to the use of single IPA or ACN. In fact, as shown in Fig. S2 (ESI†), the LPE in IPA leads to unstable Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flake dispersions, while the LPE in ACN results in stable dispersions only at very low material concentration (<1 mg L$^{-1}$, weight estimated by weighing the residual mass after the evaporation of the solvent). On the contrary, the LPE in IPA:ACN (1:1) results in stable flake dispersions even after centrifugation. We tentatively attribute the stabilization of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes in LPE in IPA:ACN (1:1) to a possible matching of the Hansen solubility parameters of the solvent with the HSPs of Bi$_2$Te$_3$.

The morphology of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes was characterized by TEM and AFM to determine their lateral size and thickness distributions, respectively. Fig. 1a shows a TEM image of
representative Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes, which show irregular and wrinkled geometries. It is noteworthy that a single-layer of Bi$_2$Te$_3$ consists of five monoatomic planes of Te–Bi–Te–Bi–Te, which result in the so-called quintuple structure with a thickness of ~1 nm. Therefore, the flakes shown in the AFM image of Fig. 1b refer to few-layer (or few-quintuple) Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes. Statistical TEM analysis shows that the flake lateral size follows a log-normal distribution with a mode value of 33 nm (Fig. 1c). Besides, the statistical AFM analysis shows that the flake thickness is mainly distributed between 1 and 13 nm, following a log-normal fit peaking at ~3 nm (Fig. 1d). The structural properties of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes were evaluated through Raman spectroscopy measurements. Belonging to the space group $R3m$ ($D_{3d}^4$), the rhombohedral crystal structure of bulk Bi$_2$Te$_3$ exhibits 15 lattice vibration modes, namely 3 acoustic modes ($A_{2u}$ + $E_{u}$) and 12 optical modes ($2A_{1g}$ + $2E_{g}$ + $2A_{1u} + 2E_{u}$). Because of the inversion symmetry of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ space group, the optical modes are exclusively Raman- or IR-active. In particular, the Raman-active modes are two-fold degenerate in-plane modes ($E_{2g}^1$ and $E_{2g}^3$) and two-fold degenerate out-of-plane modes ($A_{1g}^1$ and $A_{1g}^3$). However, additional Raman modes, i.e., $A_{1u}^1$ and $A_{2u}^1$ (odd parity modes), show up in low-dimensional structures, including single-/few-layer flakes and thin films, due to the breaking of the crystal symmetry along the c-axis. Fig. 1e shows the comparison between the Raman spectra of the bulk Bi$_2$Te$_3$ (native crystals) and the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes. An excitation power on the sample as low as 0.4 mW was used to avoid local heating and/or oxidation effects of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ materials. The bulk Bi$_2$Te$_3$ shows two main peaks at 103.7 cm$^{-1}$ and 135.0 cm$^{-1}$ attributed to $E_{2g}$ and $A_{1g}$, respectively. In the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes, $A_{1g}$ retains the same position, while $E_{2g}$ is slightly blueshifted (by 1.3 cm$^{-1}$), in agreement with previous studies. In addition, $A_{1u}$ is also evidenced, confirming the successful exfoliation of the material.

Ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) measurements were performed to determine the Fermi level energy ($E_F$), i.e., the work function ($W_F$), and the valence band maximum (VBM) energy ($E_{VBM}$) of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes. As shown in Fig. 1f, the secondary electron cut-off (threshold) energies of the He I (21.22 eV) UPS spectra of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ bulk and flakes are both ~17.0 eV. These values correspond to a $W_F$ of 4.2 eV. Such low values can be likely attributed to n-type doping, which originates in the presence of chalcogen vacancies, as found for several chalcogenides. Moreover, the presence of parasitic oxides, which form on the native Bi$_2$Te$_3$ powder after ambient exposure, can also lower the $W_F$ of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes. Fig. 1g shows the UPS spectrum region near $E_F$. These data evidence a non-negligible signal, decreasing to zero in the low binding...
energy region, corresponding to the position of the Fermi level. This behaviour is typical of metal-like materials, and likely arises from the metallic surface of Bi$_2$Te$_3$. Optical absorption spectroscopy (OAS) measurements of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes show a weak broadband in the UV-visible-NIR range (Fig. S3a, ESI†), in agreement with previous studies on Bi$_2$Te$_3$ used for broadband non-linear optical processes.$^{64,65}$ In addition, the Tauc plot analysis presented in Fig. S3b (ESI†) reveals the negligible band gap of the surface state of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes.

**Structural and morphological characterization of PSCs**

A schematic illustration of an inverted PSC is shown in Fig. 2a, consisting of a glass/ITO/PTAA/perovskite/PC$_{70}$BM/BCP/Ag material stack. The positions 1 and 2 in which Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes have been incorporated as ETL dopants and interlayer films, respectively, are also depicted in Fig. 2a. Based on the aforementioned spectroscopic characterization, a sketch of the materials’ energy diagram is graphically presented in Fig. 2b, revealing that the $W_h$ of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes lies between the LUMO of the PC$_{70}$BM ($\sim$4 eV)$^{66}$ and the $W_0$ of the Ag ($\sim$4.3 eV).$^{57}$ This finding, in combination with the metallic character of the material surface states, propelled our efforts towards the use of Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes in PSCs to fulfil a dual function: (1) to dope the PC$_{70}$BM ETL in order to accelerate the electron transport through conductive pathways offered by the metallic surface states of the flakes while suppressing the electron backscattering and the associated recombination at the perovskite/ETL interface; and (2) to form an ultra-thin interlayer between the ETL and the Ag to further align the energy levels of the overall structure and to suppress various chemical instability effects by blocking the migration of iodide and silver ions, as well as the diffusion of oxygen and moisture towards the PC$_{70}$BM and the perovskite.$^{68-71}$

Hereafter, the cells using pristine PC$_{70}$BM are named PSC-Ref. The cells using doped PC$_{70}$BM are named PSC-Dop2 and PSC-Dop3 for Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flake dispersion doping of the PC$_{70}$BM solution of 2% and 3% v/v, respectively. The cells incorporating the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ interlayer are named PSC-Int1, PSC-Int2 and PSC-Int3 having an interlayer respectively formed by 1 SC, 2 SCs and 3 SCs of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flake dispersion onto PC$_{70}$BM. Finally, the cells incorporating both engineering approaches are named PSC-Com1, PSC-Com2 and PSC-Com3, having an interlayer formed by 1 SC, 2 SCs and 3 SCs, respectively, while all incorporating 2% v/v PC$_{70}$BM doping.

Representative top-view and cross-section SEM images of the PSC-Ref are presented in Fig. 3a and b respectively. In particular, Fig. 3a shows the perovskite surface, evidencing crystal grain sizes of approximately 250 nm. Fig. 3b depicts a typical cross-section of a complete reference device, nicely showing its layered structure. Top-view and cross-section SEM images of PSC-Com2 are shown in Fig. 3c and d, respectively. Notably, since most of the flakes have thicknesses around 3 nm or below (see TEM and AFM data in Fig. 1), only the thicker Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes can be detected by the SEM imaging shown in Fig. 3c. However, SEM-EDX analysis (Fig. S4, ESI†) and AFM measurements (Fig. S5, ESI†) confirmed the presence of Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes on the PC$_{70}$BM surface, as well as inside the doped PC$_{70}$BM (Fig. S6a, ESI†). The Bi$_2$Te$_3$ interlayer positioned on the PC$_{70}$BM surface passivates shunt pathways by covering both cracks and pinholes (for details see the extended discussion regarding the J–V analysis in the next section). Moreover, the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flake doping does not affect the morphology of the PC$_{70}$BM, which is similar to the one reported in previous work.$^{19}$ Finally, as shown by the cross-sectional SEM imaging (Fig. 3d), the insertion of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ interlayer does not affect the device morphology, indicating that the solvent (CB) used to disperse the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes is compatible with both the PC$_{70}$BM and the perovskite layer. A perovskite layer thickness of approximately 400 nm is determined for both device types.

**Steady state photovoltaic characterization of PSC devices**

The photovoltaic performance of the PSCs was initially evaluated by incorporating different levels of Bi$_2$Te$_3$ doping in the PC$_{70}$BM ETL. As shown in Fig. 4a, the optimal photovoltaic performance was achieved for 2% v/v doping, namely PSC-Dop2. In detail, the incorporation of Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes increased the PCE of the champion devices from 16.89% (PSC-Ref) to
18.0%, corresponding to a ~6.6% enhancement (see Table 1). However, by further increasing the amount of doping to 3% v/v (PSC-Dop3), the PCE decreased to 17.4%. Nevertheless, this value is still superior to the reference cell.

A similar investigation was performed by tuning the number of consecutive SCs (from 0 up to 3) of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flake dispersion in IPA:ACN on top of the undoped PC$_{70}$BM (Fig. 4b). The maximum photovoltaic performance was achieved after 2 consecutive SCs (PSC-Int2), resulting in a PCE as high as 18.6% (+10% vs. PSC-Ref). The further increase of the number of SCs to 3 (PSC-Int3) significantly reduced the photovoltaic performance, resulting in a PCE close to 15%. This behaviour is likely due to the enhanced electron scattering rate as the interlayer thickness increases, as well as to the possible negative effect of the excessive exposure of the underlying layers to IPA:ACN solvent (see SEM analysis in Fig. S6b and c, ESI†). Although the simplicity of spin coating deposition is effective for preliminary lab-scale studies, the use of automated methods to deposit 2D material based inks on a large scale, e.g., spray coating, could overcome any issue related to the spin coating deposition of Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes and further increase the current device performance.

Upon identifying separately the optimal conditions for each engineering approach, we then combined both the above discussed strategies into a single PSC (PSC-Com cells). This led to PSC devices exhibiting PCE values up to 19.46% (PSC-Com2 in Fig. 4c), corresponding to a significant +15.2% enhancement compared to the values measured for PSC-Ref. In addition, Fig. 4c also reports the device performance statistics for PSC-Dop2 and PSC-Int2, i.e., the cells obtained through the single engineering approach at optimum conditions, together with those for PSC-Ref. Moreover, the $J$–$V$ curves of the champion devices of PSC-Dop2, PSC-Int2 and PSC-Com2 are presented in Fig. 5a, in comparison with the one measured for the PSC-Ref champion. Table 1 summarizes the main device photovoltaic parameters extracted from the $J$–$V$ curves, i.e., the PCE, the $V_{oc}$, the $J_{sc}$ and the fill factor (FF). All devices exhibited negligible hysteresis as proved by Fig. S7 (ESI†), where forward and reverse $J$–$V$ scans are presented, and by Table 1, where all device characteristics are listed. Moreover, the steady-state PCE behaviour of the devices was excellent as shown in Fig. 4d, where the maximum power point tracking plot of the PSC-Com2 PCE for 250 s is depicted (below 1% difference).

Fig. 5b displays the EQE spectrum of representative PSC-Int2 and PSC-Com2 devices. The EQE curves show a wide photoresponse in a spectral region from 300 to 830 nm, which is consistent with the absorption spectrum of the perovskite film. From the high-wavelength edge of the EQE spectrum, the band gap of the perovskite is estimated to be ~1.6 eV. The integrated current densities for PSC-Int2 and PSC-Com2 are
21.01 and 22 mA cm$^{-2}$, respectively, which deviate by less than 3% compared to the $J_{sc}$ values measured in the $J-V$ curve (Fig. 5a).

Photoluminescence (PL) measurements were also performed to support the role of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes in improving the performance of the PSCs. It is noteworthy that PL analysis represents an effective tool to qualitatively detect changes of carrier extraction rates at the various interfaces of PSCs. As shown in Fig. S8 (ESI†), our PL measurements corroborate the beneficial role of Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes acting either as an ETL dopant or as an interlayer in inverted PSCs. Indeed, although significant quenching of the PL intensity occurs after the deposition of the PC$_{70}$BM layer on top of the perovskite (inset of Fig. S8, ESI†), the maximum PL quenching is observed in PSC-Com2. Therefore, these data clearly indicate that PSC-Com2 shows optimal charge extraction, in agreement with its highest photovoltaic performance among those measured for the investigated PSCs.

In summary, with the incorporation of Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes either as PC$_{70}$BM dopants or as an interlayer, the photovoltaic performance can be significantly improved, suggesting an active role of the flakes to enhance the electron extraction dynamics. In particular, the Bi$_2$Te$_3$-based PSCs exhibited higher $V_{oc}$, $J_{sc}$ and FF values compared to the reference cell as a direct consequence of: (1) the favourable energetic alignment between the LUMO of the PC$_{70}$BM and the $W_F$ of the Ag in the presence of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes, and (2) the enhanced carrier extraction induced by conductive paths within the PC$_{70}$BM layer originating from the surface states of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes.  

**Table 1** Photovoltaic parameters of champion PSCs of each engineering approach extracted from the $J-V$ forward (FS) and reverse (RS) scans

| Device     | PCE (%) | $V_{oc}$ (V) | FF   | $J_{sc}$ (mA cm$^{-2}$) | $R_{sh}$ (Ohm cm$^{-2}$) | $R_s$ (Ohm cm$^{-2}$) |
|------------|---------|--------------|------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| PSC-Ref    | 16.86   | 16.78        | 1.071| 0.739                   | 0.732                    | 21.49                  |
| PSC-Dop2   | 18.01   | 17.84        | 1.115| 0.757                   | 0.735                    | 21.42                  |
| PSC-Int2   | 18.60   | 18.57        | 1.10 | 0.763                   | 0.766                    | 22.18                  |
| PSC-Com2   | 19.46   | 19.28        | 1.096| 0.788                   | 0.783                    | 22.52                  |
| FS RS      | FS RS   | FS RS        | FS   | FS RS                   | FS RS                    | FS RS                  |

 transient photovoltage and TPC measurements were performed to further support the physical mechanism of the reported performance enhancement when the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes...
were incorporated into the PSCs. Fig. 6a shows the comparison between the photovoltage decay curves of PSC-Int2, PSC-Com2 and PSC-Ref. Small perturbation TPV decays followed a single exponential trend, which can result from either charge carrier annihilation or three-body recombination. Since the excitons in perovskites dissociate after 2 ps upon generation and our measurements cover a μs-scale, we can safely disregard the potential exciton contribution. Hence, the measured decay curves are a consequence of electron–hole recombination, and the transient tail can directly yield the carrier lifetimes. The data indicate that the devices incorporating Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes exhibit a $V_{oc}$ decay slower than the one of the reference device. In particular, TPV results show that the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes reduce the charge recombination rate and increase the charge carrier lifetime (Fig. 6b), which is consistent with the highest $V_{oc}$ of Bi$_2$Te$_3$-based devices measured through the $J$–$V$ characterization (see Fig. 5a and Table 1). Furthermore, the addition of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes does not significantly affect the distribution of shallow defect states, as seen from the voltage rise times shown in the inset of Fig. S9 (ESI†) (panels a to d), clearly demonstrating a negligible change between the reference and the Bi$_2$Te$_3$-based PSCs. On the other hand, the PSC-Int2 and PSC-Com2 devices are less sensitive to capacitive contributions (low bias conditions in Fig. S9, ESI†). This is due to the spatially...
divided distribution of charge carriers and shunt pathways, which means that the Bi$_2$Te$_3$-based PCSs are less affected by electrically injected/background carriers. Transient photocurrent experiments in charge extraction mode demonstrated that the use of Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes increases the overall extracted charge density, especially in the PSC-Com2 device (blue data in Fig. 6c). This data suggests that the metallic character of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes improves the charge extraction efficiency. The measurements performed in the high perturbation regime (0.8 duty cycle) show the absence of deep trap states in all the tested samples (see ESI† TPC data in Fig. S10). By doping the PC$_{70}$BM with the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes, the charge transport was slightly slowed down, as evidenced by the calculated transit times (Fig. 6d), obtained under low perturbation conditions. However, this is compensated in the optimal device case (PSC-Com2 device), which showed a higher extraction rate compared to the reference device. The extrapolated charge carrier transfer times are on the order of $10^{-7}$ s in all the investigated devices. These values are about ten times higher than the bimolecular recombination lifetime measured by TPV (tens of µs). Therefore, we can reasonably assume that the charge recombination and extraction processes are non-competitive, which is consistent with the high PCE achieved by our PCSs.

The drift mobility of electrons/holes was probed using charge extraction by linearly increasing voltage (photo-CELIV) under various light pulse delay points. In detail, the drift mobility was derived from the extracted charge represented by the part of the transient superimposed over the displacement current level (Fig. S11, ESI†). Taking into account the fact that perovskites are not highly disordered materials, according to the equation proposed by Lorrmann et al. the mobility can be derived as: $\mu = \frac{L}{2A t_{\text{max}}^2} \left[\frac{1}{6.2 \left(1 + 0.002 \frac{\Delta I}{I_0}\right)} + \frac{1}{\left(1 + 0.12 \frac{\Delta I}{I_0}\right)}\right]^2$ where $L$ is the active layer thickness, $A$ is the ramp of the extraction voltage, $t_{\text{max}}$ is the point of the transient measurement where the current reaches its peak and the $\Delta I/I_0$ ratio corresponds to the level of charge accumulation. As shown by Fig. 6e, both PSC-Int2 and PSC-Com2 demonstrated improved charge carrier mobility compared to the reference device by approximately half an order of magnitude. This effect results in more efficient charge transfer, which agrees with the TPC results. Notably, the delay of the photogeneration pulses does not affect the drift mobility, which means that the charge carrier transport is well balanced. Additionally, the PSC-Int2 devices were characterized by the complete absence of a transient peak shift, suggesting that both the charge mobility and electrical conductivity in Bi$_2$Te$_3$-doped PC$_{70}$BM are improved compared to the reference device. These effects likely impede the charge transport becoming dispersive (Fig. S11, ESI†).

**Lifetime stability measurements of PSCs**

The stability of the PSCs is also a crucial performance parameter for addressing typical commercial standards in photovoltaic technologies. Several reports have identified oxygen and moisture as external degradation agents along with the diffusion of metal species from the top electrode towards the perovskite layer and the escape of organic molecules from the perovskite crystal. Hereby, the evaluation of the lifetime of the investigated PCSs was performed by implementing the ISOS-L2 protocol, in which the samples are tested under continuous 1 sun illumination at a temperature above 65 °C. As shown in Fig. 7a, the PCE of PSC-Ref exhibited a drop of its initial PCE by 50% after 15 h ($T_{50}$), and below 20% after 100 h. PSC-Int1 reported a moderate stability performance, showing a lifetime $T_{50}$ of ca. 70 h. Notably, PSC-Int2 significantly improved the device stability, displaying a $T_{70}$ superior to 600 h. The stability of PSC-Int2 can be explained by the optimal coverage of the PC$_{70}$BM with the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flake interlayer optimized in its thickness. It has been already reported that the PC$_{70}$BM is the main path for the migration of the metal species towards the perovskite. We attribute the exceptional

---

**Fig. 7** (a) Long term ISOS-L2 stability measurements of PSC-Ref (black), PSC-Com1 (purple), PSC-Com2 (red) and PSC-Com3 (blue). (b) Further analysis of the long term stability measurement of PSC-Com2. All the devices were encapsulated. The red line is a linear fit of the experimental points in the stabilized region extrapolated to 1200 h. $T_{\text{burn-in}}$ marks the end of the burn-in phase and $T_{\text{80}}$ denotes the time at which the initial PCE has dropped by 80% in the stabilized region. The inset shows the linear region of the lifetime measurements.
stability of PSC-Int2 to the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ interlayer, which passivates the PC$_{70}$BM pin-holes and its imperfections. The Bi$_2$Te$_3$ interlayer acts as a barrier for the Ag ion migration towards the perovskite, and it also suppresses the escape rate of both cations and anions from the perovskite (mainly MA). On the other hand, the PSC-Int3 device exhibited enhanced stability compared to the reference, retaining 40% of its initial PCE after 400 h. However, its stability was lower than the PSC-Int2 device. This can be attributed to the washing out of the previously formed films when the number of consecutive SCs exceeds two, and/or the negative impact of the solvent of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes on the Ag dispersion over the underlying PC$_{70}$BM and perovskite layers.

A typical lifetime stability measurement of the best performing PSCs-Int2 reveals a burn-in phase lasting for about 100 h. During this phase, the device retained 70% of its initial PCE. Thereafter, a stabilized behaviour is followed by a slow linear drop. In accordance with the updated ISOS protocols that specifically address the testing protocol for PCs, the time at the end of the burn-in phase can be noted as $T_{\text{burn-in}}$, while the time at which the PCE has dropped by 20% compared to this value is referred to as $T_{180}$. A linear fit was performed in the temporal region corresponding to a stable PSC behaviour, enabling the estimation of the degradation rate. The degradation slope was found to be $-0.017\%$ PCE h$^{-1}$. Conversely, following this approach we estimated $T_{180}$ to be approximately 1100 h under continuous 1 sun illumination.

Conclusions

Ultrathin flakes of Bi$_2$Te$_3$ were produced through the LPE method to be incorporated via solution-processing techniques in two distinct positions across inverted planar PSCs. In particular, the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes were evaluated as dopants of the PC$_{70}$BM and as an interlayer between the PC$_{70}$BM and the Ag. The combination of these two engineering approaches led to an increase of both the device efficiency and stability. The optimal doping of PC$_{70}$BM with Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flakes was found to be 2% v/v, which resulted in a PCE of 18.0%. This value corresponds to an increase of 6.6% compared to the values measured for the reference device. An optimal interlayer was achieved by performing 2 SCs of the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ flake dispersion onto the PC$_{70}$BM, leading to a PCE of 18.6%. This value corresponds to a 10% improvement, while this protective interlayer notably enhanced the stability and lifetime of the devices under ISOS-L2 protocols. By combining these two engineering approaches, PCE values of champion devices (PSC-Com2) were reported up to 19.46%, corresponding to an improvement of 15.2% compared to the reference device. Furthermore, the PSC-Com2 device retained 80% of its initial PCE, after the burn in phase, for more than 1100 h, resulting in one of the highest stability performances reported for inverted PSCs to date. Transient transport measurements, and structural and optical characterization supported the observation that this combined engineering approach increases the carrier lifetimes, the extracted charge density and the carrier effective mobilities.
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