Animal models of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a critical review
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Abstract   Attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) involves clinically heterogeneous problems including attention deficits, behavioural hyperactivity and impulsivity. Several animal models of ADHD have been proposed, ranging from models with neurotoxic lesions to genetically manipulated animals. An ADHD model is supposed to show phenomenological similarities with the disorder, i.e. it should mimic the three core symptoms (face validity). A model should also conform to an established or hypothesized pathophysiological basis of the disorder (construct validity). Finally, an animal model should be able to predict previously unknown aspects of the neurobiology of ADHD or to provide potential new treatments (predictive validity). The currently proposed models are heterogeneous with regard to their pathophysiological alterations and their ability to mimic behavioural symptoms and to predict response to medication. This might reflect the heterogeneous nature of ADHD. Since the knowledge about the biology of ADHD from human studies is limited, one cannot at present decide which model best represents ADHD or certain ADHD subtypes. Animal models with good face and predictive validity may be useful for investigations of the underlying biological substrates of ADHD. At present, the models in use should be described as animal models of ADHD-like symptoms rather than models of ADHD.
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Introduction

The definition of hyperkinetic disorder according to ICD-10 is based upon the simultaneous presence of three main behavioural problems, i.e. attention deficit, overactivity and impulsiveness. They need to be present in more than one situation and to cause impairment in functioning. The problems need also to have been present before the age of 7 years. The DSM-IV category is called attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). The criteria are based upon the same list of behaviours as those that characterize the ICD-10 definition of hyperkinetic disorder.

The 4th edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV) describes three subtypes of ADHD, i.e. (1) the predominantly inattentive type, (2) the predominantly hyperactive/impulsive type and (3) the combined type with symptoms of inattention, impulsivity and hyperactivity (American Psychiatric Association 1994). ADHD represents the extremes of normal behaviour in the domains of attention and activity, which makes a clear diagnosis difficult. In addition, several comorbid disorders can be found in children and adolescents with ADHD, including oppositional defiant disorder and conduct disorder (50%), anxiety disorders (25–35%), mood disorders (15%) and learning disabilities (Biederman et al. 1991). In view of the high prevalence of comorbid disorders, clinical, neuropsychological and neuroimaging...
studies of children and adolescents with ADHD will consist of relatively heterogeneous patient groups. It is therefore important to describe the core disorder of ADHD, e.g. by identifying biological markers, which could improve the diagnosis. This may also help to develop new treatment strategies.

The current pharmacotherapy with psychostimulants goes back to 1937 when Bradley discovered that amphetamines ameliorate disruptive behaviour in children (Bradley 1937). All drugs that are found to be therapeutically effective in ADHD affect central catecholaminergic neurotransmission, namely the dopaminergic and noradrenergic systems.

These findings suggest that dysfunctions of catecholaminergic neurotransmitter systems contribute to the symptoms of ADHD. In addition, patients with frontal brain lesions show some behavioural similarities with ADHD patients (Benton 1991; Heilman et al. 1991; Levin 1938; Mattes 1980) and ADHD has been shown to be highly heritable (Bohman et al. 2005; Fisher et al. 2002; Teicher et al. 2000). These findings suggest that ADHD is based on some specific neurobiological dysfunctions.

Several animal models of ADHD have been suggested and discussed (for review see also Kostrzewa et al. 2008; Russell et al. 2005; Sagvolden et al. 2005; van der Kooij and Glennon 2007). The quality of these animal models depends on their ability to mimic the symptoms and to reflect the neurobiology of ADHD. Most models are solely based on similarities in symptoms. Since our knowledge concerning the neurobiological alterations in ADHD remains sketchy, it is too early to propose valid animal models of ADHD. The present review will come to the conclusion that none of the currently discussed models fulfil all necessary validation criteria.

Human studies in ADHD

Genetics

Several investigations have shown that genetic factors play a major role in the aetiology of ADHD. The risk to develop ADHD in siblings of an affected child is between 10% and up to 32% (Biederman et al. 1992, 1995; Levy and Hay 2001; Smidt et al. 2003). If a parent has ADHD, the risk for offspring to develop the disorder is 57% (Biederman et al. 1995). In twin studies, it was found that the concordance for ADHD is 81% in monozygotic twins, compared with 29% in dizygotic twins (Gilger et al. 1992). The average heritability for ADHD was found to be 0.80–0.90 (Gilger et al. 1992; Rhee et al. 1999). Although high heritability in ADHD has been reported in twin, family and adoption studies, with estimates up to 90%, genome-wide linkage scans and candidate gene studies have so far not been able to reliably identify ADHD-associated genes (Faraone et al. 2005).

Hebebrand and associates (2006) reported evidence for a risk haplotype at the dopamine-transporter (DAT/SLC6A3) locus based on a linkage scan and subsequent finemapping of chromosome 5p13. Several novel susceptibility loci have been detected in a linkage analysis of extended families using 50K single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array-based genotyping assay (Romano and Warner 2008), one of these loci, the chromosome 16q locus, contributes to the genome-wide significant finding revealed by a meta-analysis comprising data of seven ADHD linkage scans (Zhou et al. 2008). Although significant linkage signals were identified in some of the studies, there have been limited replications between the various independent datasets. The meta-analysis by Zhou et al. (2008) aimed to identify the genomic region with most consistent linkage evidence across the studies. Genome-wide significant linkage was identified on chromosome 16 between 64 and 83 Mb. In addition, there were nine other genomic regions showing nominal or suggestive evidence of linkage.

Several candidate genes have been proposed. The focus was initially on genes coding for the D_2 receptor. An association between this gene and alcoholism, Tourette syndrome and ADHD was found (Blum et al. 1996; Comings et al. 1991). However, other studies failed to replicate these results (Fisher et al. 2002; Gelbner et al. 1991; Kelsoe et al. 1989). Another promising gene codes for the dopamine D_4 receptor. Several studies have suggested that an overrepresentation of this gene is associated with ADHD (Faraone et al. 1999, 2005; Faraone and Doyle 2001; Grady et al. 2003; LaHoste et al. 1996; Li et al. 2006; Swanson et al. 1998). The D_4 receptor is predominantly expressed in prefrontal regions, which are thought to be involved in the aetiology of ADHD (Floresco and Tse 2007; Noain et al. 2006). Several mutations on the D_4 receptor gene have been suggested to be associated with ADHD. The most widely studied polymorphism is the 48-bp VNTR in exon 3. The most common alleles are the 2-, 4- and 7-repeat alleles. A recent meta-analysis by Gizer et al. (2009) has found a significant association between ADHD and the 7-repeat allele. This result is in line with other studies (Faraone et al. 2005; Li et al. 2006). Another polymorphism that has been associated with the D_4 receptor, and ADHD is located in the promoter region of this gene. Some studies have found an association between ADHD and the 240-bp-allele in the promoter region of the D_4 receptor gene (Kustanovich et al. 2004; McCracken et al. 2000) while other studies were not able to confirm this association (Barr et al. 2001; Todd et al. 2001). Other authors have suggested a role of the D_3 receptor (Daly et al. 1999; Fisher et al. 2002) or the D_1 receptor (Cook et al. 1995; Daly et al. 1999; Gill et al. 1997; Waldman et al.
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The D1 receptor gene might also be associated with different responses to methylphenidate in patients with ADHD (Winsberg and Comings 1999). Genes regulating the dopamine (DA) metabolism might also play a role, e.g. the gene regulating dopamine-beta-hydroxylase appears to be associated with hyperactivity (Mueller et al. 2003). Genes regulating noradrenergic activity, such as the noradrenaline (NA) transporter gene (NAT), have been found to be associated with ADHD (Barr et al. 2002; Wang et al. 1999).

The serotonin transporter gene has been proposed as a candidate gene for ADHD and may be involved in the aetiology of impulsivity (Halperin et al. 1997; Spivak et al. 1999; Stein et al. 1993). One of the most studied polymorphisms of this gene (the 5HTTLPR) is located in the promoter region of this gene. There are two variants, the long variant is associated with a more rapid serotonin reuptake and the short variant is associated with a reduced serotonin uptake (Lesch et al. 1996). A meta-analysis by Gizer et al. (2009) found a significant but modest association between ADHD and the long variant, which supports the importance of this gene in the aetiology of ADHD. In the same study, Gizer et al. (2009) also investigated the serotonin 1B receptor and serotonin 2A receptor genes. An association between ADHD and the serotonin 1B receptor had been reported by Hawi et al. (Hawi et al. 2002), and this was confirmed in the meta-analysis by Gizer et al. (2009). No association was observed between the serotonin 2A receptor and ADHD (Gizer et al. 2009).

In summary, several genes related to DA, NA and serotonin appear to be involved in the aetiology of ADHD (Bobb et al. 2005; DiMaio et al. 2003; Gizer et al. 2009). Both genome-wide linkage scans and the results of genome-wide association studies are contradictory concerning the ‘classic’ genes of the dopaminergic (e.g. DAT/SLC6A3; COMT), noradrenergic and serotonergic pathways (Lesch et al. 2008; Franke et al. 2009). Other genes not related to the major neurotransmitters have also been identified, e.g. the synaptosomal-associated protein 25 gene (Gizer et al. 2009). New findings from genome-wide association studies provide additional support for common effects of genes coding for cell adhesion molecules (e.g., CDH13, ASTN2) and regulators of synaptic plasticity (e.g. CTNNA2) (Lesch et al. 2008).

Even though many associations between candidate genes and ADHD have failed despite a plausible aetiological relevance (Gizer et al. 2009), a combination of several genes is likely to be involved in ADHD.

Functional and structural abnormalities

There is a striking similarity in symptoms between patients with lesions in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) and individuals with ADHD (Benton 1991; Heilman et al. 1991; Levin 1938; Mattis 1980), which suggests an important role of the PFC in ADHD. Three studies using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) found a decreased volume of the right PFC in children with ADHD, while no such result was found regarding the left PFC (Castellanos et al. 1996b; Filipek et al. 1997; Hynd et al. 1990). Other brain nuclei including the basal ganglia were also found to be altered. For example, two studies described a reduced volume of the left caudate nucleus in children with ADHD (Filipek et al. 1997; Hynd et al. 1993). Two further studies found a reduced volume of the globus pallidus in children with ADHD compared to normal controls (Aylward et al. 1996; Castellanos et al. 1996b). However, whereas Castellanos et al. (1996b) observed a volume reduction in the right pallidum, Aylward et al. (1996) found a smaller left pallidum. Even more important is the observation that the size of the basal ganglia and the right frontal lobe appears to correlate with the degree of impairment in attention and inhibition in children with ADHD (Casey et al. 1997; Semrud-Clikeman et al. 2000).

The volumes of several regions of the corpus callosum, such as the anterior genu (Hynd et al. 1991), rostral body regions (Baumgardner et al. 1996; Giedd et al. 1994) and splenial regions (Hynd et al. 1991; Semrud-Clikeman et al. 1994) have also been shown to be reduced in ADHD. Other brain regions including the temporal lobe, insula, hippocampus, amygdala or the central grey did not differ between children with ADHD and controls (Castellanos et al. 1996b; Filipek et al. 1997).

Some studies have reported a reduced cerebellar volume, especially concerning the vermis, in children with ADHD (Castellanos et al. 1996b, 2001, 2002; Durston et al. 2004). The meaning of this finding is not entirely clear. Some studies have shown a close connection between the cerebellum and certain parts of the PFC. It has been suggested that there are anatomically separate output channels of the cerebellum to the PFC and back to the pons, which is the main input to the cerebellum (Middleton and Strick 1997a, b, 2001). These data suggest a circuit involved in cognition between the cerebellum and the PFC. Furthermore, based on observations in patients with cerebellar tumours, a cerebellar cognitive affective syndrome was postulated (Schmahmann 2004; Schmahmann and Sherman 1998), which is characterized by deficits in executive functions, disturbed spatial orientation and uninhibited behaviour. All these disturbances can also be observed in ADHD. The cerebellum may therefore play a role in ADHD.

Several single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) studies have shown a reduced blood flow in prefrontal regions and the connecting pathways to the limbic system and cerebellum (Lou et al. 1984, 1989, 1990; Sieg et al. 1995). Even more interesting is the fact that the
reduction in blood flow in these regions is reversed by methylphenidate (Langleben et al. 2002; Lou et al. 1984, 1989).

In a PET study, Zametkin et al. (1990) found a reduced glucose metabolism in striatum, thalamus, hippocampus, cingulate regions and most prominently in the premotor and superior PFC. Subsequent studies, however, found no overall alterations in these regions (Ernst et al. 1994; Zametkin et al. 1993). Post-hoc analyses revealed a reduced glucose metabolism in females but not in males with ADHD (Ernst et al. 1994, 1997; Zametkin et al. 1993).

In summary, structural and functional abnormalities have been observed within the prefronto-striato-cerebellar network suggesting an important role of this system in ADHD. Evidence in support of this hypothesis is that children with ADHD show different activation patterns during attention and inhibition tasks within prefrontal regions, basal ganglia and cerebellum (Rubia et al. 1999; Teicher et al. 2000; Vaidya et al. 1998; Yeo et al. 2003).

Neurotransmitters

Given the important role of catecholamines in ADHD, alterations in the metabolism of these neurotransmitters are to be expected. However, the investigation of urinary levels of the NA metabolite 3-methoxy-4-hydroxyphenylglycol (MHPG) has indicated no differences (Rapoport et al. 1978; Wender et al. 1971) or increased levels in ADHD children compared to control subjects (Shekim et al. 1977, 1979, 1983, 1987).

Oades et al. (1998) found slightly elevated levels of plasma NA and adrenaline in children with ADHD compared to controls. But there are indications that there is no correlation between plasma/urine levels of MHPG or the DA metabolite homovanillic acid (HVA) and the behavioural measures of hyperactivity or aggression. Furthermore, the metabolite levels do not predict the response to stimulant medications (Castellanos et al. 1994, 1996a).

The assessment of peripheral levels of catecholamine metabolites has revealed conflicting results, and there are doubts whether these levels actually reflect the brain neurochemistry in ADHD. A better approach is the measurement of these levels in the central nervous system. A few studies have investigated neurotransmitter metabolites in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). For example, Shetty and Chase (1976) found no significant differences in the level of CSF-HVA between hyperactive children and normal controls, while Shaywitz et al. (1977) found reduced CSF-HVA levels in children with minimal brain dysfunction. However, none of these studies used children with ADHD according to current diagnostic standards. A more recent study by Kruesi et al. (1990) found no differences in the CSF levels of HVA or MHPG in children with disruptive behaviour, many of whom had ADHD, compared to children with obsessive compulsive behaviour. Although these children were diagnosed with ADHD, they also presented with disruptive behaviour and, in addition, they were compared to children with obsessive compulsive behaviour. It is therefore difficult to describe the neurotransmitter status of ADHD children on the basis of this study.

Other studies have attempted to establish a relationship between stimulant medication and central catecholamine activity. For example, Reimherr et al. (1984) found in adults that methylphenidate responders had lower CSF-HVA levels than non-responders. A predictive value of CSF-HVA levels for the responsiveness to methylphenidate was confirmed by Castellanos et al. (1996a) who, however, found that increased levels of HVA predicted a good response to stimulant treatment while low levels were associated with a worsening of some symptoms. This discrepancy might be explained by the fact that Castellanos reported on children and Reimherr on adults.

In summary, the findings regarding the neurochemistry of ADHD are inconsistent. Since heterogeneous patient groups were used, it is difficult to perform reliable comparisons between patients and controls. Furthermore, it is questionable whether the levels of peripheral neurotransmitter metabolites reflect the neurochemical status of patients with ADHD, since neither plasma nor urinary levels of HVA and MHPG correlate with hyperactivity or predict the response to stimulant treatment (Castellanos et al. 1994, 1996a). Neurotransmitter metabolite levels in the CSF provide limited information since they reflect the overall activity of a neurotransmitter. Concurrently occurring regional increases and reductions in transmitter activity might offset each other. The above-mentioned findings clearly underline the contribution of a catecholaminergic dysfunction to ADHD.

In ADHD, there appears to be a functional disturbance within the fronto-striato-cerebellar system affecting the neurotransmitters DA and NA. These disturbances may be associated with genes regulating dopaminergic, noradrenergic and probably serotonergic functions. The exact nature of the neurotransmitter dysfunctions is not clear. Further research is therefore needed in order to elucidate the neurobiological basis of ADHD. In this context, the investigation of animal models may be a useful approach.

Dysfunctional systems in ADHD

Mefford and Potter (1989) postulated a noradrenergic dysfunction of the locus coeruleus (LC) as one of the earliest models of ADHD. This model was supported by findings in monkeys, which showed that the LC is involved in selective processing of sensory stimuli (Aston-Jones
et al. 1997), which is partly modulated by alpha 2-autoreceptors (Simson and Weiss 1987). An increase in noradrenaline (NA) suppresses basal firing and enhances responses to stimuli, i.e. an increase in NA leads to more focused behaviour, while a reduction in NA would increase the response to irrelevant stimuli. In addition, adrenaline is known to inhibit the tonic activity of the LC. A deficit in one of the two systems might therefore disrupt stimulus-evoked responding, and this could induce deficits in sustained attention.

In contrast to Mefford and Potter (1989), Pliszka et al. (1996) suggested a dysfunction in two neurotransmitter systems. Studies in humans have shown that attention is distributed in a posterior and anterior system (Posner and Petersen 1990). The posterior system includes the superior parietal cortex, the superior colliculus and the pulvinar nucleus. This system receives a dense innervation from the LC (Holets 1990). NA enhances the signal-to-noise ratio and primes, according to Pliszka et al. (1996), the posterior system to orientate to novel stimuli. Attention then shifts to the anterior system, which is known to control executive functions. It consists of the PFC and the anterior cingulate gyrus. The sensitivity of this system is modulated by DA from the ventral tegmental area (VTA). According to Pliszka et al. (1996), a noradrenergic dysfunction could inhibit the priming of the posterior system and lead to attention deficits. A loss of DA could induce deficits in the anterior system and impair executive functions.

A third model suggested by Arnsten et al. (1996) is based on a dysfunction of the alpha 2-autoreceptors in the prefrontal cortex (PFC). The PFC inhibits the processing of irrelevant sensory stimuli through connections with the association cortex (Cavada and Goldman-Rakic 1989) and therefore protects on-going tasks from interference (Alexander et al. 1976; Knight et al. 1989). This function is regulated by the LC, since ascending noradrenergic fibres stimulate postsynaptic alpha 2-adrenoreceptors on the pyramidal cells in the PFC (Aoki et al. 1994) leading to a reduction in spontaneous firing (Hasselmo et al. 1997). Therefore, the activity of the LC primes the PFC to suppress task-irrelevant stimuli and inhibits behaviour. According to Arnsten et al. (1996), a reduced NA activity causes a partial denervation of the alpha 2 receptors in the PFC, thereby disrupting the inhibitory control of children with ADHD. Based on this model, the central deficit in ADHD is a lack of inhibition induced by a decrease in brain NA.

These models differ but they also have certain points in common, e.g. the central role of the PFC and catecholamine neurotransmitters. The models by Mefford and Potter (1989), Pliszka et al. (1996) and Arnsten et al. (1996) emphasize the role of NA in focusing on relevant stimuli or tasks. Pliszka et al. (1996) and Arnsten et al. (1996) suggest that a reduced noradrenergic activity contributes to attention deficits and distractibility. However, these models need to be tested against findings in patients with ADHD.

**Animal models in research**

Animal models of diseases are supposed to show phenomenological similarities with the modelled disease. In animal models of ADHD, one would expect the three core symptoms of this disorder to be present, i.e. attention deficits, hyperactivity and impulsivity (Rhee et al. 1999). These symptom similarities represent the face validity of the model (Willner 1991). However, as Willner (1991) has pointed out, face validity also includes a resemblance regarding aetiology, treatment and the physiological basis of the modelled disease. Most of these aspects cannot be used for validation since they are currently objects of research. Face validity is therefore frequently reduced to symptom similarities. Validity based on symptom similarities alone might be misleading, since not every hyperactive rat is a valid model of ADHD. There may be several alternative reasons why a certain behaviour is observed. The presence of a certain disease symptom does not necessarily reflect the presence of the entire disease. Furthermore, similar behavioural expression does not necessarily indicate that this expression has the same biological substrate. This indicates that models based on symptom similarities alone are weak and that other criteria are needed for the validation of an animal model. Willner (1991) has suggested to check for aspects of construct validity and predictive validity.

Construct validity means that the model conforms to an established or hypothesized pathophysiological basis of the disorder. A disturbance within the fronto-striato-cerebellar system has been postulated in ADHD. An animal showing hyperactivity because of alterations in this system has both construct and face validity. Construct validity is more important than face validity because it is a certain theoretical framework that connects the behavioural symptoms with the modelled disease.

Another criterion used in validating an animal model is predictive validity, which is the ability to predict previously unknown aspects of the genetics, neurobiology and pathophysiology of a disorder or to provide potential new treatments. In practice, drugs with similar effects in human disease and animal model are often used to validate the model.

In summary, the validity of an animal model should not solely be based on behavioural similarities. Both construct and predictive validity have also to be considered. Construct validity depends on the knowledge about the human
neurobiology of the modelled disease. Since this knowledge is often limited, construct validity is relatively weak.

Animal models of ADHD

Genetic models (Table 1)

The spontaneously hypertensive rat

The spontaneously hypertensive rat (SHR) was initially developed as a model of hypertension (Okamoto and Aoki 1963) by inbreeding rats of the Wistar-Kyoto strain (WKY). This rat strain also showed high spontaneous motor activity suggesting it as an animal model of ADHD (Moser et al. 1988). Sagvolden and colleagues established it as one of the best studied animal models of ADHD (Sagvolden et al. 1992, 1998; Sagvolden 2000). The SHR shows several major ADHD symptoms such as impulsivity, learning deficits or a reduced waiting capacity. These findings suggest a good face validity of this model (Moser et al. 1988; Sagvolden 2000; Wyss et al. 1992). The deficits observed are likely to be related to dysfunctions within the fronto-striatal system. For example, the SHR has an impaired release of DA in the prefrontal cortex, nucleus accumbens and caudate-putamen (Deutch and Roth 1990; Jones et al. 1995; Myers et al. 1981; Russell et al. 1995, 1998, 2000b; Russell 2000). Young male SHRs have an increased density of D_1 and D_2 receptors in the neostriatum and nucleus accumbens (Carey et al. 1998), and a recent study by Li et al. (2007) showed that SHRs show a reduced expression of the D_4 receptor gene in the PFC.

In addition, alterations in the noradrenergic system such as elevated concentrations of NA in the LC, substantia nigra and PFC have been found (de Villiers et al. 1995). This finding is in line with an increased NA transmission and a down-regulation of beta-adrenoreceptors (Myers et al. 1981). Glutamatergic-induced NA release in the prefrontal cortex is higher in SHRs than in control WKY rats (Russell and Wiggins 2000), while the stimulus-induced release from prefrontal cortex slices does not differ between these rat strains (Russell et al. 2000a, b). However, the inhibition of NA release by the alpha2-autoreceptor may be deficient (Reja et al. 2002; Russell et al. 2000a, b; Tsuda et al. 1990) suggesting an overall increased noradrenergic transmission in SHR. Finally, the behavioural deficits can be attenuated with monoaminergic agents (Boix et al. 1998; Myers et al. 1982). The SHR shows therefore several aspects of face validity, construct validity and predictive validity.

However, hypertension is a confounding factor in this animal model since it is not associated with ADHD, and it

| Modification | Face validity | Predictive validity | Construct validity | Missing data and problems with the model |
|--------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------|
| SHR          | Bred for hypertension | Hyperactivity, impulsivity, learning deficits | All symptoms reduced by monoaminergic agents | Dysfunctional fronto-striatal system | Hypertension, WKY rats as control group |
| DAT-KO       | Knock-out of the dopamine-transporter gene | Hyperactivity, spatial memory deficits | Hyperactivity reduced by psychostimulants | Alterations in the dopaminergic system | No hints for reduced dopamine transporter in patients with ADHD |
| Coloboma mouse | Mutation on the SNAP-25 gene | Hyperactivity, impulsivity | – | Alterations in the dopaminergic and noradrenergic systems | No data on predictive validity |
| Naples high-excitability rat | Bred for excitability | Hyperactivity | – | Alterations in the dopaminergic system | No data on predictive validity |
| Acallosal mouse | Agenesis of the corpus callosum | Hyperactivity, learning deficits | – | Reduced callosal regions found in patients with ADHD | Role of the corpus callosum in ADHD unclear |
| (TR)-beta(1) transgenic mouse | Carries a mutant human TR-beta1 gene | Hyperactivity, impulsivity, inattention | All symptoms reduced by methylphenidate | Alterations in the dopaminergic system | No data on the dopaminergic and noradrenergic systems |
| Alpha-synuclein lacking mouse | Lack of alpha and gamma synuclein | Hyperactivity, working memory deficits | – | Increased dopamine release | Role of the thyroid system in ADHD unclear |

Table 1 Genetic animal models of ADHD
cannot be excluded that increased blood pressure affects behaviour. The behavioural deficits in SHRs might reflect dysfunctioning or brain damage caused by high blood pressure. Some human studies have shown a negative effect of hypertension on cognition (Anstey and Christensen 2000; Birkenhager et al. 2001). Young SHRs do not show effect of hypertension on cognition (Anstey and Christensen 2000).

Some human studies have shown a negative dysfunctioning or brain damage caused by high blood pressure. The behavioural deficits in SHRs might reflect cannot be excluded that increased blood pressure affects dependence. Both SHRs and WKY rats have shown a poor performance at the age of 6 months compared to normal Sprague–Dawley rats. This suggests that both young SHRs and young WKY rats show cognitive impairment, which does not worsen with increasing age. This is in support of the SHR as a model of ADHD. However, the finding that WKY rats have cognitive deficits puts in question the use of these rats as controls for SHRs. Interestingly, Pare (1989) reported a decrease in open field activity in WKY rats compared to Wistar rats and SHRs. These findings were confirmed by other researchers (McCarty and Kirby 1982; Sagvolden et al. 1993; Schaefer et al. 1978). WKY rats have also shown decreased activity levels in the forced swim test suggesting them as a model of depression (Lahmame et al. 1997). It is therefore not surprising that SHRs show increased motor activity when compared to hypoactive rats. In a recent report, Alsop (2007) could not show any difference between SHRs and WKY rats when correcting for different activity levels in these two strains. As Alsop (2007) pointed out, this does not necessarily mean that the SHR is of no use as an animal model of ADHD. However, all studies comparing SHRs with WKY rats have to be interpreted with care.

In summary, the SHR is a well-studied model, and many studies have confirmed the necessary validation criteria. The influence of hypertension and the problematic role of WKY rats as control animals remain unsolved problems.

The dopamine-transporter knockout mouse

The DA transporter knockout (DAT-KO) mouse lacks the DA transporter (DAT) gene and shows some ADHD symptoms such as spontaneous behavioural hyperactivity (Gainetdinov et al. 1999; Gainetdinov and Caron 2001; Giros et al. 1996) or deficits in spatial memory (Gainetdinov et al. 1999; Gainetdinov and Caron 2001). The hyperactivity observed in DAT-KO mice is associated with a marked decrease in DA clearance (Jones et al. 1998), which is most likely due to the lack of the DAT. This lack has been shown to induce several compensatory changes such as a decrease in DA release from nerve terminals (Gainetdinov et al. 1998; Jones et al. 1998a) so that the extracellular DA concentration is only increased about fivefold.

The concentration of DA metabolites has been shown to vary. HVA is increased, while 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid (DOPAC) is unaltered (Jones et al. 1998a). There are also changes on the postsynaptic side such as a decrease in D1 and D2 receptor protein and mRNA in the basal ganglia (Gainetdinov et al. 1998; Jaber et al. 1996, 1999). paradoxically, hyperactivity can be inhibited by compounds such as amphetamine, methylphenidate and cocaine, which act primarily on the DA transporter (Gainetdinov et al. 1998; Gainetdinov and Caron 2001; Jones et al. 1998b). This suggests that the therapeutic effects of these compounds in ADHD are not necessarily based on changes in dopaminergic transmission alone. In line with this is the finding that the DA concentration in the striatum of DAT-KO mice is not increased after challenges with the psychostimulant drugs in a new environment (Gainetdinov et al. 1998, 1999; Gainetdinov and Caron 2001). Since these agents also act on the noradrenergic system, it is likely that the reduction in hyperactivity in the DAT-KO mouse is based on alterations of the noradrenergic system rather than the dopaminergic system.

In summary, this mouse model shows some face, construct and predictive validity because of behavioural similarities, alterations of the catecholaminergic system and the effectiveness of psychostimulants. However, animal models have to be compared with patients, and there are so far no indications that the DAT is reduced in patients with ADHD. On the contrary, several studies have found increased DAT levels in the striatum of adults and children with ADHD (Cheon et al. 2003; Dougherty et al. 1999; Krause et al. 2000).

The coloboma mutant mouse

The coloboma mutant mouse was developed using neutron irradiation (Searle 1966). This mouse shows delayed neurodevelopment and behavioural deficits such as motor hyperactivity, impulsivity and impaired inhibition in a delayed reinforcement task (Bruno et al. 2007; Hess et al. 1994, 1996; Heyser et al. 1995; Wilson 2000). The hyperactivity observed could be reduced by d-amphetamine but not by methylphenidate (Hess et al. 1996; Wilson 2000). Since this mouse has a mutation on the SNAP-25 gene, it is likely that the behavioural deficits are related to SNAP-25 dysfunction (Hess et al. 1992, 1996; Steffensen et al. 1996). The SNAP-25 protein is essential for the fusion of the neurotransmitter vesicle with the presynaptic membrane in order to release neurotransmitters. This might explain why the DA release in the dorsal striatum of the coloboma mutant mouse is almost completely lost (Raber et al. 1997). In addition, the D2 receptor expression is increased in the ventral tegmental area and substantia nigra (Jones et al. 2001b).
Alterations in the noradrenergic system such as an increased NA concentration in the striatum, LC and nucleus accumbens were also observed (Jones et al. 2001a). NA depletion following the administration of the neurotoxin N-(2-chloroethyl)-N-ethyl-2-bromobenzylamine (DSP4) has been shown to reduce the hyperactivity but not the impulsivity (Bruno et al. 2007; Jones and Hess 2003). In line with this is the finding that adrenergic receptor antagonists also reduce hyperactivity (Bruno and Hess 2006). Taken together, these results suggest that the motor activity in coloboma mutant mice is related to a hyperactive noradrenergic system.

In summary, the biochemical data suggest that—similar to the SHR—the coloboma mouse has a hyperactive noradrenergic system and a hypoactive dopaminergic system. The alterations of the catecholaminergic systems support the construct validity of this model. Face validity is given by the behavioural deficits, and predictive validity is given through the effects of amphetamine. However, the role of the SNAP-25 gene in ADHD remains to be investigated. Hess and colleagues found no link between ADHD and the SNAP-25 gene (Hess et al. 1995) whereas findings from another group suggest a role of SNAP-25 in ADHD (Barr et al. 2000).

The Naples high-excitability rat

Naples high-excitability (NHE) rats were selected based on an increased exploration behaviour as assessed in the lat-maze. This increased activity is dependent on the environment, e.g. no hyperactivity could be observed in the rats’ home cage (Sadile 1993) and motor activity increased with increasing complexity of the environment (Sadile et al. 1993; Viggiano et al. 2002b, 2003b). In addition, NHE rats showed deficits in visual-spatial attention but no deficits in working memory (Aspide et al. 1998; Gallo et al. 2002; Papa et al. 2000). Further investigations have shown that these rats have alterations in the dopaminergic system. For example, tyrosine hydroxylase, DAT and D2 receptor mRNA are hyperexpressed in the PFC, while the D1 receptor is down-regulated. No such changes have been reported for the striatum (Viggiano et al. 2002a, b, 2003a, b; Viggiano and Sadile 2000).

Face validity of this model is supported by the presence of motor hyperactivity and attentional deficits. Construct validity is given because these deficits are probably based on altered dopaminergic function in the forebrain. However, studies regarding impulsivity or the effects of psychostimulants on the deficits observed are still lacking. Therefore, this model has so far no predictive validity.

The acallosal mouse strain

Acallosal mice show a complete agenesis of the corpus callosum. This mouse strain presents with learning deficits (Lipp et al. 1990; Lipp and Wahlsten 1992; Magara et al. 2000) and signs of hyperactivity, such as a reduced number of brief stops and a decrease in habituation in an open field (Magara et al. 2000). This behaviour appears to be related to a functional dominance of the right hemisphere (Magara et al. 2000). This is interesting since dysfunctioning of the right hemisphere has also been discussed in human ADHD (Garzia-Sanchez et al. 1997; Stefanatos and Wasserstein 2001), and reduced sizes of callosal regions have been found in some patients with ADHD (Baumgardner et al. 1996; Giedd et al. 1994; Hynd et al. 1991; Semrud-Clikeman et al. 1994). However, whether or not alterations in the human corpus callosum contribute to the aetiology of ADHD remains an open question. In addition, information regarding impulsive behaviour and attentional deficits, possible alterations in the catecholaminergic system and the effects of psychostimulants are still lacking. Taken together, the validity of this model appears to be rather weak.

The thyroid hormone receptor (TR)-beta(1) transgenic mouse

A relatively new animal model of ADHD is the TR-beta(1) transgenic mouse. This mouse carries a mutant human TRβ1 gene, which was derived from a patient diagnosed to suffer from a resistance to thyroid hormone (RTH) syndrome. This rare syndrome is heritable and characterized by elevated thyroid hormone levels, normal or elevated levels of thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH), a short stature, hearing loss and tachycardia (Weiss and Refetoff 2000). Even more interesting is the fact that approximately 70% of children with RTH syndrome meet the diagnostic criteria for ADHD (Burd et al. 2003). This suggests a common mechanism related to the thyroid system in both diseases.

Both patients with the human RTH syndrome and the transgenic mouse show an increased level of thyroid hormone and normal levels of TSH. In comparison with the wild type, the TR-beta(1) transgenic mouse is hyperactive but not impulsive and shows normal attentional functioning (McDonald et al. 1998). However, using another promoter for the TRβ1 gene, Siesser et al. (2006) were able to induce impulsivity, inattention and hyperactivity in these mice. As shown in patients with ADHD and in most animal models, the locomotor hyperactivity was primarily present in a familiar environment. Furthermore, an elevated DA turnover and the sensitivity to treatment with methylphenidate
suggest that these behavioural deficits are related to the catecholaminergic system (Siesser et al. 2006).

Thyroid abnormalities are rare in children with ADHD (Weiss et al. 1993). The behavioural deficits in this mouse model were present at adulthood, although elevated levels of TSH were only found around the age of 33 days. These findings suggest that a short period of thyroid abnormalities during brain development might be responsible for the behavioural phenotype of these mice (Siesser et al. 2006).

A similar mechanism could be possible in humans, which might explain why thyroid levels are not abnormal in children with ADHD.

In summary, this model shows good face validity because all three core symptoms of ADHD are present. It has predictive validity since these mice are sensitive to the treatment with methylphenidate. Finally, it shows some construct validity because of alterations in the catecholaminergic system and developmental disturbances.

However, the role of the thyroid system in ADHD is not entirely clear. One study has suggested that subclinical maternal thyroid abnormalities may contribute to the development of ADHD (Haddow et al. 1999). Abnormal thyroid hormone levels are known to have severe effects on brain development and cognition (Thompson and Potter 2000). One might therefore assume that alterations in thyroid system induce deficits in brain development resulting in the ADHD behavioural phenotype.

**Alpha-synuclein lacking mice**

The synucleins are a family of three proteins (alpha, beta, gamma), which are mainly seen in presynaptic terminals (Nakajo et al. 1993; Totterdell et al. 2004; Totterdell and Meredith 2005). The alpha-synuclein protein has been shown to be involved in the pathogenesis of Parkinson’s disease (Chartier-Harlin et al. 2004; Zarranz et al. 2004) suggesting that alpha-synuclein is important in the regulation of DA transmission. In a recent study, Senior et al. (2008) found that mice lacking both alpha- and gamma-synuclein proteins showed hyperactive behaviour in a novel environment and a reduced alternate rate in the T-maze spontaneous alternation task. These behaviours are most likely associated with an increase in DA release. Both the hyperactive behaviour and the deficit in working memory show that this model has some face validity for ADHD. The construct validity is given because of the increase in DA release. However, hyperactive behaviour in these mice is only present in a novel environment and the activity in the home cage does not differ to the wild type. It has been suggested that hyperactivity in a familiar environment is a better indicator of ADHD-like hyperactivity (Sagvolden et al. 2005). Furthermore, no data are available with respect to attention deficits or impulsive behaviour.

The validity of this model of ADHD is so far only based on hyperactive behaviour and alterations in the dopaminergic system.

**Pharmacological animal models of ADHD (Table 2)**

*Juvenile rodents with a neonatal 6-hydroxydopamine-induced brain lesion*

The experimental destruction of DA-containing neurons with 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA) in adult rats is an established model of Parkinson’s disease. Lesions of the dopaminergic system in neonatal rats lead to age-limited spontaneous motor hyperactivity (Creese and Iversen 1973; Heffner and Seiden 1982; Luthman et al. 1989, 1997; Shaywitz et al. 1976a, b). Hyperactivity observed in this rat is most prominent prior to puberty (Erinoff et al. 1979; Shaywitz et al. 1976b; Zhang et al. 2002b) and can be antagonized by stimulants (Davids et al. 2002; Heffner and Seiden 1982; Luthman et al. 1989; Shaywitz et al. 1976a). These deficits disappear in adult rats, probably due to on-going developmental processes. Most behavioural deficits observed are based on acute adaptive alterations in the dopaminergic system due to the 6-OHDA lesion. For example, the remaining dopaminergic neurons release more DA from their terminals (Carder et al. 1989; Castaneda et al. 1990). Both presynaptic D2 autoreceptors and DA transporters are reduced (Joyce et al. 1996; Schwarting and Huston 1996). Further data suggest that increased D4 receptor levels in the caudate-putamen correlate with behavioural hyperactivity (Zhang et al. 2001). Furthermore, the D4 receptor seems to be essential for hyperactive behaviour (Avale et al. 2004a). Mice with neonatal 6-OHDA lesions lacking the D4 receptor did not show hyperactive behaviour compared to the wild type (Avale et al. 2004a). This effect was not based on a different sensitivity to 6-OHDA since both genotypes showed an equivalent degree of DA depletion. These findings are important since a polymorphism of the D4 receptor has been linked to ADHD (Faraone et al. 1999; Faraone and Doyle 2001; Grady et al. 2003; LaHoste et al. 1996; Swanson et al. 1998). This model appears therefore to be useful in the investigation of the role of the D4 receptor in ADHD.

The 6-OHDA lesion also affects other neurotransmitter systems. For example, a serotonergic hyperinnervation of the striatum was found (Descarries et al. 1992; Frohna et al. 1997; Kostrzewa et al. 1998; Luthman et al. 1990; Stachowiak et al. 1984; Towl et al. 1989; Zhang et al. 2002a). By contrast, no such changes were observed in the noradrenergic system (Luthman et al. 1990; Ornday 1995). A study by Avale et al. (2004b) suggests that the increase in striatal serotonin is associated with hyperactive behaviour.
Avale and colleagues treated mice with neonatal 6-OHDA lesions with a tryptophan hydroxylase inhibitor in order to normalize striatal serotonin without affecting DA levels. These mice did not show hyperactive behaviour.

In summary, this model shows some predictive validity, since treatment with psychostimulants reduces the hyperactivity. Construct validity is given by the profound changes in the catecholaminergic neurotransmitter system. Finally, the hyperactivity of this model supports face validity. In addition, this model enables the study of the role of the D₄ receptor and serotonin in ADHD. However, data regarding impulsive behaviour or specific attentional deficits are not available.

**Neonatal hypoxia in rats**

Hypoxia induced by nitrogen after birth has been shown to induce ADHD-like behavioural deficits (Dell’Anna et al. 1993; Speiser et al. 1983, 1988) including age-limited hyperactivity and deficits in learning and memory (Gramatte and Schmidt 1986). The hyperactivity can be counteracted with p-amphetamine (Speiser et al. 1983). As shown following neonatal lesions, hypoxia induces several adaptive monoaminergic alterations which change with age (Dell’Anna et al. 1993). The acute effect is a decrease in NA in the cortex and of DA in the striatum, while the serotonin metabolite 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA) is increased in both cortex and cerebellum (Dell’Anna et al. 1993). A week after hypoxia NA was increased in the cerebellum, and serotonin and 5-HIAA were decreased in both cerebellum and cortex. On postnatal day 21, NA in the hippocampus and HVA in the striatum were increased. By contrast, serotonin was decreased in the striatum with increased levels of its metabolite 5-HIAA in striatum and hippocampus. Finally, on postnatal day 60, DOPAC and 5-HIAA levels were increased in the striatum (Dell’Anna et al. 1993).

The learning deficits reported might be related to morphological changes in the hippocampus since neuronal density was reduced in the CA1 region starting on postnatal day 15, and indexes of neuronal repair could be observed on postnatal day 7 (Dell’Anna et al. 1995).

It still remains to be established to what extent these complex changes contribute to ADHD.

In summary, face validity of this model is based on hyperactivity, while studies investigating attention deficits or impulsivity are missing. The alterations in the catecholaminergic system might have some construct validity. However, it remains unclear whether these complex changes reflect the symptoms of ADHD. Some predictive validity is given by the effect of p-amphetamine on hyperactivity.

**Developmental cerebellar stunting in rats**

A significantly reduced cerebellar volume in children with ADHD has been reported (Castellanos et al. 1996b, 2001, 2002; Durston et al. 2004), suggesting a role of the cerebellum in ADHD. Animal models using lesions of the cerebellum may therefore show some construct validity.

Various substances have been used for cerebellar lesions. For example, the administration of metylazoxymethanol (MAM) before postnatal day 4 resulted in hyperactivity while treatment from postnatal day 4 onwards resulted in...
mild hyperactivity (Ferguson et al. 1996; Ferguson 1996, 2001). However, the treatment with amphetamine increased the activity (Ferguson et al. 1996). The administration of alpha-difluoromethylornithine (DFMO) on postnatal days 5–10 reduced cerebellar brain volume and affected cerebellar development but did not change open field behaviour (Cada et al. 2000). The administration of dexamethasone in rats on postnatal day 7 has been shown to cause decreased cerebellar volume and mild hyperactivity in an open field (Ferguson and Holson 1999).

With regard to ADHD, lesions performed between postnatal days 5 and 12 show some face validity because of the hyperactivity observed. However, amphetamine treatment increased the hyperactivity indicating that evidence of predictive validity is missing. The criterion of construct validity is difficult to judge since data on abnormal catecholamine neurotransmitters are not available. Reductions in cerebellar volume have been found in patients with ADHD. However, it is not clear to what extent the cerebellum contributes to ADHD. Studies in primates have shown a complex circuitry between cerebellum, basal ganglia, PFC and pons (Middleton and Strick 1997a, b, 2001). Therefore, from an anatomical point of view, it is possible that the cerebellum influences PFC activity. In addition, Schmahmann and Sherman (1998) and Schmahmann (2004) have postulated a cerebellar cognitive affective syndrome, which has some behavioural similarities with ADHD. In summary, this model may have potential to study the role of the cerebellum in ADHD. However, the data available at present are not sufficient to describe cerebellar stunting as a valid model of ADHD.

Maternally stressed mice

A recent study by Son et al. (2007) has suggested the use of maternally stressed adult mice as an animal model of ADHD. It was found that the adult offspring of mice treated with restraint stress during pregnancy were hyperactive. These mice showed a reduced habituation to a novel environment compared to control mice. Furthermore, wheel-running activity was still increased in these mice after three days of habituation. The use of a DA antagonist reduced the wheel-running activity to the level of the control mice, suggesting that the hyperactive behaviour is associated with DA. The maternally stressed adult mice also showed a reduced expression of the DAT and an increased DA turnover in the striatum.

The maternally stressed adult mice have face validity because of their hyperactive behaviour. Construct validity is given because of the alteration in the dopaminergic system. Furthermore, there are studies in humans suggesting a correlation between stress during pregnancy and the onset of ADHD (Laucht et al. 2000; McIntosh et al. 1995). However, further studies investigating attention, impulsivity and cognitive deficits are necessary to validate this model.

Discussion

Although ADHD is a common disorder among children and adolescents, little is known about its neurobiological basis. It has been suggested that disturbances within the fronto-striatal system and altered levels of the neurotransmitters DA and NA are involved in the pathophysiology of ADHD. This is based on several indications. For example, patients with prefrontal lesions show behavioural similarities with ADHD patients (Benton 1991; Heilman et al. 1991; Levin 1938; Mattes 1980) and the right PFC volume is reduced in children with ADHD (Castellanos et al. 1996b; Filipek et al. 1997; Hynd et al. 1990). Both basal ganglia and frontal lobe volumes correlate with impaired attention and inhibition (Casey et al. 1997; Semrud-Clikeman et al. 2000). Finally, methylphenidate increases the reduced blood flow in prefrontal regions of individuals with ADHD (Langleben et al. 2002; Lou et al. 1984, 1989). DA and NA are important neurotransmitters in these brain regions, and a dysfunction of these neurotransmitters appears to be likely. This is also underlined by the fact that treatment with psychostimulants reduces ADHD symptoms.

Different pathophysiological mechanisms have been suggested on the basis of altered dopaminergic and noradrenergic neurotransmission. For example, Arnsten et al. (1996) have suggested a sole reduction in noradrenergic function while Pliszka et al. (1996) postulated a combination of dopaminergic hypofunctioning and noradrenergic dysfunctioning as the basis of the core symptoms of ADHD. Studies investigating neurotransmitter levels in patients revealed conflicting results. Some studies found indications of an altered activity in catecholaminergic metabolites (Oades et al. 1998; Shaywitz et al. 1977; Shekim et al. 1977, 1979, 1983, 1987), while others found no differences (Rapoport et al. 1978; Shetty and Chase 1976; Wender et al. 1971). However, it has to be considered that the patients investigated in these studies differed with regard to comorbidity, medication and other relevant factors. Catecholamine metabolites may not reflect the neurochemical status of patients with ADHD since neither plasma nor urinary levels of HVA and MHPG correlate with hyperactivity or predict the response to stimulant treatment (Castellanos et al. 1994, 1996a).

Given the high heritability of ADHD (Gilger et al. 1992; Rhee et al. 1999), the investigation of genes involved in catecholamine functioning is another research strategy. Recent studies have suggested that both the DAT gene and
the D₄ receptor gene are associated with ADHD (Bobb et al. 2005; DiMaio et al. 2003). However, several studies were not able to establish any association (Bakker et al. 2005; Frank et al. 2004; Langley et al. 2004; Mill et al. 2004).

Although the available data clearly indicate that dopaminergic, noradrenergic and probably serotonergic activities within the fronto-striatal system play an important role in ADHD, there is no prevailing concept of the neurobiology of ADHD. This might reflect the heterogeneous nature of ADHD, and it may not be reasonable to expect a unique biological profile in ADHD.

Further knowledge about the neurobiology of ADHD may be provided by animal models. However, these models will provide reasonable conclusions only if certain validation criteria are fulfilled.

Several animal models of ADHD have been proposed (for review see also Kostrzewa et al. 2008; Russell et al. 2005; Sagvolden et al. 2005; van der Kooij and Glennon 2007), and most of these models were initially based on the presence of hyperactivity. However, face validity of an animal model of ADHD should also include impulsive behaviour and attention deficits. In addition, deficits in learning or executive functions might also be indicators of face validity. Investigations concerning impulsivity and attention deficits are still missing for some of the ADHD models including the acallosal mouse, the neonatal 6-OHDA lesion model, the neonatal hypoxia model, the NHE rat, the DAT-KO mouse and the developmental cerebellar stunting model. Further research is therefore needed in order to validate these models regarding face validity. Most of these models have predictive validity since treatment with psychostimulants reduces hyperactivity. It has sometimes been argued that good predictive validity is given only if both amphetamine and methylphenidate are effective in these models. However, the fact that there are responders and non-responders to methylphenidate among patients with ADHD suggests different types of pathophysiology in ADHD. Differential response to amphetamine and methylphenidate in animal models might therefore reflect different pathophysiological mechanisms. Data concerning predictive validity are not available for the acallosal mouse and the NHE rat, while the treatment of the developmental cerebellar stunting rat with amphetamine leads to an increase in hyperactivity.

With regard to construct validity, alterations in dopaminergic or noradrenergic activities have been reported for all models except the acallosal mouse and developmental cerebellar stunting. The validity of these two models of ADHD is therefore limited. However, the developmental cerebellar stunting rat might have some potential as an animal model, since human studies have suggested a role of the cerebellum in ADHD. Not all models fulfil therefore the criteria necessary. The SHR is the best studied animal model with regard to validity. However, the hypertension in this rat and the use of the WKY rat as control in most studies put in question the use of SHRs as an animal model of ADHD.

Even if all criteria are fulfilled, the models show differences. For example, the SHR shows an impaired DA release, and both neonatal 6-OHDA-lesion rat and coloboma mouse have a decreased DA transmission while the DAT-KO mouse shows an increased DA transmission. Nevertheless, all these animals present with symptoms of ADHD, namely hyperactivity. Both increased and decreased dopaminergic activity can therefore lead to ADHD-like symptoms. This suggests that a dysbalance between presynaptic and postsynaptic activities might be important. There is a similar problem with noradrenergic activity in these models. Pliszka et al. (1996) and Arnsten et al. (1996) have postulated a decreased noradrenergic function in ADHD. Depletion of NA in neonatal rats by administering 6-OHDA in combination with a selective DAT-inhibitor (Teicher et al. 1986) has been shown to induce motor hyperactivity (Raskin et al. 1983), learning deficits (Roberts et al. 1976) and attention deficits (Carli et al. 1983). The main source of central NA is the LC, which innervates the entire cerebral cortex, various subcortical areas, cerebellum and spinal cord. The LC has been found to play an important role in attention, arousal, orientation and vigilance (Solanto1998) since its neurons selectively respond to target stimuli. Tonic LC activity corresponds with the arousal state, and both very low and very high LC activities are associated with impaired vigilance (Arnsten 1997; Aston-Jones et al. 1994). However, the above-mentioned animal models show either unaltered noradrenergic functioning or an increase in NA functions, while none of the models show a decrease in noradrenergic activity.

Based on the SHR model, one might conclude that increased noradrenergic activity and decreased dopaminergic activity represent the characteristic dysbalance of catecholamines in ADHD. However, there are indications that the opposite might also be true, i.e. both increased and decreased dopaminergic activity can lead to ADHD-like symptoms. The same appears to hold true for noradrenergic activity. Furthermore, the increase in noradrenergic activity in the SHR is closely connected to hypertension, which is one of the most confounding factors in this animal model.

The question therefore arises, which model best represents the nature of ADHD. So far, studies with patients have only shown that the structural alterations in the fronto-striatal-cerebellar system, functional alterations in catecholaminergic systems and genes coding for the DAT and the D₄-receptor are associated with ADHD. In regard to construct validity in ADHD animal models, this means that every animal with alterations in these systems has
some construct validity for ADHD. Therefore, it is the combination of face, predictive and construct validity that makes an animal model more or less valid. This illustrates the basic problem in validating animal models: the more is known about the biology of a disease the more conclusive is the comparison between animal model and modelled disease. However, it is the lack of information that makes it necessary to develop a model in order to learn more about the biology of the modelled disease.

Conclusion

There are several animal models of ADHD and some of them fulfil all criteria necessary for a valid model. The currently proposed models are heterogeneous with regard to their pathophysiological alterations and their ability to mimic behavioural symptoms and to predict response to medication. This might reflect the heterogeneous nature of ADHD. Since our knowledge about the neurobiology of ADHD from human studies is limited, one cannot at present decide, which model best represents ADHD or certain ADHD subtypes. Animal models with good face and predictive validity may be useful for investigations of the underlying biological substrates of ADHD. At present, the models in use should be described as animal models of ADHD-like symptoms rather than models of ADHD.
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