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1. INTRODUCTION

The ultraviolet (UV) continuum provides an essential observational lever for understanding the formation and evolution of galaxies. Understanding the trends of the UV continuum slope with luminosity and redshift in galaxies bears upon their star formation rates, dust properties, stellar population ages, and chemical enrichment. Extending observations to the lowest luminosities as low as $M_{UV} \sim 14$ has awaited the advent of deep field UV imaging with HST. The Wide Field Camera (WFC3) Early Release Science (ERS; Windhorst et al. 2011) enabled detection of deep UV-selected galaxies in the range $1 < z < 3$ (Hathi et al. 2010, 2013; Oesch et al. 2010). The Hubble Ultradeep Field (HUDF; Beckwith et al. 2006) provides the deepest imaging currently available. The most recent HUDF imaging in the near-IR (HUDF12; Ellis et al. 2013) and the UV (UVUDF; Teplitz et al. 2013) enable the study of UV emission across the epoch of peak star formation, $1 < z < 4$, to fainter limits than ever before.

In this Letter, we utilize these data to probe the redshift range $1 < z < 8$ and measure the UV emission of galaxies with luminosities as low as $M_{UV} \sim 14$ in the range $1 < z < 2$. For comparison to the literature, we refer to luminosities in terms of $L_{\ast}^{*} = 0.25 L_{\odot}$ galaxies (e.g., Overzier et al. 2011), and ground-based studies have explored $z > 1$ at higher luminosities (e.g., Adelberger & Steidel 2000; Reddy & Steidel 2009; Reddy et al. 2010; Lee et al. 2011b; Buat et al. 2012).

However, extending observations of the UV continuum to the lowest luminosity population of $z > 1$ galaxies in large numbers has awaited the advent of deep field UV imaging with HST. The Wide Field Camera (WFC3) Early Release Science (ERS; Windhorst et al. 2011) enabled detection of deep UV-selected galaxies in the range $1 < z < 3$ (Hathi et al. 2010, 2013; Oesch et al. 2010). The Hubble Ultradeep Field (HUDF; Beckwith et al. 2006) provides the deepest imaging currently available. The most recent HUDF imaging in the near-IR (HUDF12; Ellis et al. 2013) and the UV (UVUDF; Teplitz et al. 2013) enable the study of UV emission across the epoch of peak star formation, $1 < z < 4$, to fainter limits than ever before.
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However, extending observations of the UV continuum to the lowest luminosity population of $z > 1$ galaxies in large numbers has awaited the advent of deep field UV imaging with HST. The Wide Field Camera (WFC3) Early Release Science (ERS; Windhorst et al. 2011) enabled detection of deep UV-selected galaxies in the range $1 < z < 3$ (Hathi et al. 2010, 2013; Oesch et al. 2010). The Hubble Ultradeep Field (HUDF; Beckwith et al. 2006) provides the deepest imaging currently available. The most recent HUDF imaging in the near-IR (HUDF12; Ellis et al. 2013) and the UV (UVUDF; Teplitz et al. 2013) enable the study of UV emission across the epoch of peak star formation, $1 < z < 4$, to fainter limits than ever before.
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2. DATA AND SAMPLE SELECTION

We utilize the 11 waveband HST data set for photometry, catalogs, and redshifts. Catalogs and photometry are made from images consisting of WF3/UVIS mosaics in F225W, F275W, F336W (UVUDF Epoch 3), ACS mosaics in F435W, F606W, F775W, F850LP, and WF3C/IR mosaics in F105W, F125W, F140W, F160W. Objects are detected in a weighted sum of ACS and WF3C/IR images (8 images total) and cataloged based on aperture matched, PSF corrected photometry as described in Coe et al. (2006) and M. Rafelski et al. (2014, in preparation).

The new UV photometry improves the accuracy of photometric redshift estimates, particularly at $z < 3$. Sampling the Lyman break reduces catastrophic errors and significantly improves redshift estimates (Rafelski et al. 2009). We use the Bayesian Photometric Redshift (BPZ) algorithm (Benitez 2000) as described in Coe et al. (2013). There are 148 sources across the entire redshift range with ground-based spectroscopic confirmation, from which a photo-z error is $\sigma_z = 1.8\%$. $^{12}$ Nine nominal 10$\sigma$ outliers (6.1%) include seven sources that are near an image edge, have incomplete photometric coverage, or segmentation problems. The remaining two sources yield an outlier fraction of 1.35%. No outliers are included in our sample. Previous photo-z estimates in HUDF (Coe et al. 2006) have a larger error (4.2%) that may also affect a comparison.

For each galaxy in the sample, absolute magnitude at restframe 2330 A and 1500 A (for comparison to literature) is computed by integrating the best-fit model spectrum over a centered, rectangular 100 A bandpass. Average $M_{1500}$ values are found to be 0.1 mag more luminous than $M_{1500}$ values (standard deviation = 0.2 mag).

We determine errors of the $\beta$ and $M_{1500}$ estimates from Monte Carlo simulations. Simulated sources span the range of redshifts, $\beta$, and $M_{1500}$ values encountered in the data. Photometry is simulated in the observed wavebands by multiplying model spectral flux densities by system throughputs for each filter. Errors are drawn at random from magnitude-matched sources in the actual data. Scatter and bias of the $\beta$ estimates are determined from simulations with $10^3$ realizations. Errors in $\beta$ are found to be a few percent for the brightest and most red sources and increase toward 20% for the faintest and bluest sources in our sample. We find negligible bias.

4. RESULTS

The deep HUDF data reveal galaxies down to absolute magnitude $M_{UV} = -14$. The lowest luminosity galaxies are found in the redshift range $1 < z \lesssim 2$, where they sample the faint end of the UV luminosity function at $z = 1$ ($0.006 \, L_{z=1}^{\dagger}$; Gabasch et al. 2004), and are comparable to the bulk of the dwarf galaxy population in the local universe (Lee et al. 2011a).

In addition to improving the redshift accuracy as discussed above, the new, deep UV photometry, particularly for galaxies in the range $1 < z < 3$, is important in several respects. First, the UV photometry increases sample size; without the UV photometry, the number of sources with $M_{UV} > -16$ meeting our photo-z odds criterion would be reduced by a factor of $\sim 2$. Second, the F275W and F336W bands are essential for estimating $\beta$ with high fidelity (more than two data points in the fitting wavelength range) at $z \approx 1$.

Across the entire redshift range, we find UV spectral indices that are on the whole bluer than those found in the local universe. At $1 < z < 2$, we find mean (median) value range, [1260, 3400] A restframe, and we find that fits using this range have fewer $\beta$ outliers, smaller 68% confidence errors, and a broader, more plausible distribution of $\chi^2$ values in the range $1 < z < 3$. Comparison of $\beta$ estimates for galaxies in our sample that also appear in Finkelstein et al. (2012), using identical photometry, shows a marginal systematic difference, $(\Delta \beta) = 0.09$, and scatter, $\sigma = 0.19$, between the two methods.

To avoid contamination from Ly$\alpha$ emission or the Ly$\alpha$ decrement, we adjust the blue end of the fitting range slightly to exclude photometry for galaxies where the Ly$\alpha$ line would fall within the full width tenth maximum of the system throughput for the bluest filter in the fitting wavelength range.

The spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of galaxies in the entire catalog are fit using $\chi^2$ minimization in order to determine their UV spectral indices, $\beta$. We use power-law templates that span the range $\beta \sim [-10, 10]$ with stepsize $= 0.01$. For each template, the spectrum is multiplied by HST system throughputs from F225W through F160W wavebands to yield predicted photometry modulo a normalization factor. Normalizations are determined analytically for each fit. We require at least three points in the fits for $\beta$ estimation, and we estimate $M_{UV}$ at 2330 A, in the center of the wavelength range used for $\beta$ estimation to avoid bias in the $M_{UV}$ estimates. Bouwens et al. (2012) and Dunlop et al. (2012) discuss additional biases.

12 $\sigma_z$ is the normalized median absolute deviation. Given $dz \equiv |z_{spec} - z_{phot}|$, $\sigma_z = 1.48 \times \text{median}(|dz - \text{median}(dz)|/(1 + z_{spec}))$ (Brammer et al. 2008).

13 We caution that Coe et al. (2006) used different filters, especially in the IR, that may also affect a comparison.
Table 1
UV Continuum Slopes in UVUDF/HUDF12

| Category                     | N  | (β)       | Biweight Mean | Median | σ_β  | dβ/dM | dβ/dz | ρ (signif.) |
|------------------------------|----|-----------|---------------|--------|------|-------|-------|-------------|
| Redshift Binned             |    |           |               |        |      |       |       |             |
| 1.0 < z < 2.0               | 460| −1.382 ± 0.002 | −1.80 ± 0.04  | −1.83 | 0.43 | −0.09 ± 0.05 | ...  | −0.16(10^{-3}) |
| 2.0 < z < 3.0               | 120| −1.791 ± 0.005 | −1.95 ± 0.07  | −1.90 | 0.36 | −0.09 ± 0.04 | ...  | −0.21(0.021)  |
| 3.0 < z < 4.0               | 169| −1.624 ± 0.003 | −1.81 ± 0.06  | −1.81 | 0.37 | −0.10 ± 0.03 | ...  | −0.34(10^{-3}) |
| 4.0 < z < 7.7               | 174| −1.668 ± 0.006 | −1.90 ± 0.05  | −1.91 | 0.36 | −0.23 ± 0.04 | ...  | −0.37(10^{-7}) |
| Magnitude Binned            |    |           |               |        |      |       |       |             |
| −15 < M_{UV} < −14          | 23 | −1.343 ± 0.071 | −1.72 ± 0.31  | −1.72 | 0.72 | ...   |       | −0.151 ± 0.492  |
| −16 < M_{UV} < −15          | 90 | −1.695 ± 0.023 | −1.83 ± 0.10  | −1.88 | 0.45 | ...   |       | −0.138 ± 0.079  |
| −17 < M_{UV} < −16          | 152| −1.752 ± 0.011 | −1.90 ± 0.06  | −1.89 | 0.37 | ...   |       | −0.360 ± 0.025  |
| −18 < M_{UV} < −17          | 273| −1.796 ± 0.005 | −1.91 ± 0.04  | −1.91 | 0.37 | ...   |       | −0.052 ± 0.006  |
| −19 < M_{UV} < −18          | 243| −1.518 ± 0.003 | −1.81 ± 0.05  | −1.79 | 0.41 | ...   |       | −0.189 ± 0.003  |
| −20 < M_{UV} < −19          | 115| −1.464 ± 0.003 | −1.75 ± 0.06  | −1.76 | 0.35 | ...   |       | −0.148 ± 0.002  |
| This Work                   |    |           |               |        |      |       |       |             |
| M_{UV} ∼ −17.5              |    | −1.92 ± 0.04 | −1.96 ± 0.16  | −1.93 | 0.17 | −0.13 ± 0.02a | −0.07 ± 0.03 | −0.71(0.05)c |
| M_{UV} ∼ −19.5              |    | −1.78 ± 0.05 | −1.77 ± 0.20  | −1.75 | 0.12 | −0.13 ± 0.02a | −0.04 ± 0.03 | −0.60(0.28)c |
| Combined Literature         |    |           |               |        |      |       |       |             |
| M_{UV} ∼ −17.5              |    | −1.846 ± 0.014 | −2.00 ± 0.11  | −1.93 | 0.25 | −0.11 ± 0.01a | −0.09 ± 0.01b | −0.69(10^{-3})c |
| M_{UV} ∼ −19.5              |    | −1.522 ± 0.002 | −1.92 ± 0.09  | −1.90 | 0.22 | −0.11 ± 0.01a | −0.06 ± 0.01b | −0.78(10^{-3})c |
| Entire Sample               | 923| −1.520 ± 0.002 | −1.84 ± 0.03  | −1.85 | 0.41 | −0.082 ± 0.002 | −0.112 ± 0.001 | −0.16(10^{-4})c |

Notes. Column 1 indicates the sample luminosity/redshift category. Column 2 indicates the number of galaxies. Column 3 indicates the inverse variance weighted average β and standard error of the mean. Column 4 is the Biweight Mean β. Column 5 indicates median β. Column 6 is the standard deviation of β. Columns 7 and 8 are best fit slopes from weighted least squares. Numbers in boldface have χ² probabilities >0.1. Column 9 is the Spearman correlation coefficient, ρ (significance in parentheses).

a Inverse variance weighted average of values shown in Figure 2. Standard deviation = 0.08.
b F-test significance = 10⁻⁴ (10⁻⁵) for M_{UV} ∼ −17.5 (−19.5) combined literature data.
c Refers to β(z).

(β) = −1.382(−1.800) ± 0.002 (random error), and standard deviation = 0.43, see Table 1. In comparison, a GALEX sample of local dwarf galaxies has average (median) values of β = −1.15(−1.29) with standard deviation 0.48 (Hunter et al. 2010).

A modest color–magnitude (β–M) trend, whereby more luminous galaxies tend to be redder (larger β) on average has been reported for galaxies spanning a range of redshifts (Papovich et al. 2004, and references therein, Ouchi et al. 2004, Labbé et al. 2007, Wilkins et al. 2011, Bouwens et al. 2009, 2012, 2013). Our redshift binned subsamples show correlations (Spearman coefficient, ρ) in the range −0.37 < ρ < −0.16 with varying significance (−10⁻²), see Figure 1 and Table 1.

We find a larger scatter in β at z < 2 than at higher redshifts. For galaxies with M_{UV} > −16, incompleteness and large errors in β may bias a comparison with z > 2; however, even M_{UV} < −16 galaxies in the range 1 < z < 2 have ≈30% greater dispersion in β compared to z > 2 galaxies.

We estimate the slopes, dβ/dM, for redshift binned subsamples by fitting to a linear model, β = a + dβ/dM × M. The estimates are formally not well constrained (χ² probability < 0.1; Press et al. 1990; Bevington & Robinson 1992) due to intrinsic scatter. Nevertheless, we adopt the best fit values in further analyses, and determine errors from bootstrap simulation.

We consider the color–magnitude relation across the redshift range 1.5 < z < 8. Figure 2 shows our results combined with previously reported values of dβ/dM (Bouwens et al. 2009; Finkelstein et al. 2012; Alavi et al. 2014; Bouwens et al. 2013). These combined results have an inverse variance weighted average value (dβ/dM) = −0.11 ± 0.01 (standard error of the mean), standard deviation = 0.08. The combined literature data do not reveal evolution in dβ/dM with redshift, even though somewhat different ranges of M_{UV} have been used to determine dβ/dM at each redshift.

Galaxies tend to be bluer at higher redshift, and we investigate the trend of β versus z in Figure 3. We estimate the slopes, dβ/dz, for magnitude binned subsamples by fitting to a linear model, β = a + dβ/dz × z. We report the slope values with caution since they are also not formally well constrained (χ² probability < 0.1).

For comparison with the literature, we select bins of low luminosity(M_{UV} ∼ −17.5; −18 < M_{UV} < −17) and medium luminosity (M_{UV} ∼ −19.5; −20 < M_{UV} < −19) galaxies that are also binned in redshift (Δz = 1.0, ≈ 10 galaxies/bin, medium luminosity; Δz = 0.5, 1 < z < 4 and Δz = 1.0 4 < z < 6 ≈ 30 galaxies/bin, low luminosity), see Figure 4. We find a significant correlation of β with redshift, see Table 1, and significant dβ/dz = −0.09(0.06) ± 0.01 for M_{UV} ∼ −17.5(−19.5) subsamples, respectively.

To investigate systematics, we repeated our analysis with variants of our sample selection criteria. We varied each criterion and repeated the analysis. We changed the wavelength range from [1260, 3400] Å to [1260, 2600] Å, we relaxed the BPZ odds threshold from odds >0.9 to odds >0.5, and we relaxed

14 β values are computed from reported GALEX FUV − NUV colors using the method of Cortese et al. (2006).
the reduced χ² threshold from χ² ≲ 2.0 to χ² ≲ 5.0. The mean and median β were found to decrease by 10% (i.e., blue ward) when relaxing the BPZ odds requirement; other variations had negligible effect on the mean and the median β. Changing the wavelength range increased dβ/dM by 0.1, but relaxing the BPZ odds requirement or the reduced χ² threshold caused negligible changes in slope. Accordingly, we estimate systematic uncertainties of 0.1 in dβ/dM and 0.1 in ⟨β⟩.

The evolution of β is affected by dust reddening, age of the stellar populations, and metallicity. To interpret the trends in β, we compare the observations to stellar population synthesis models. Inspecting Bruzual & Charlot (2003) models with two of these three parameters fixed to the values E(B−V) = 0.25, age = 30 Myr, metallicity, 0.2 Z⊙, and the third parameter varied, we find variations of β with these parameters of dβ/dE(B−V) = 4.2, dβ/dage = 0.71 Gyr⁻¹, dβ/dlog Z = 0.26 (metallicity in units of Z⊙).

The modest evolution in β seen from z ≈ 3 to z ≈ 1 (Δβ = 0.30) and from MUV ≈ −14 to MUV ≈ −20 at z ≈ 2 (Δβ = 0.36) can be explained by a small increase of ∼0.1 in E(B−V),15 a ∼ 0.4 Gyr increase in average stellar population age, or a factor of ∼14 increase in metallicity. Such large variation in typical metallicity seems unlikely in 3 Gyr of cosmic time or across a factor of 100 in luminosity. An increase in average age is less implausible. Although neither trend can be ruled out, and all three properties are likely evolving in unison, we hypothesize that the dominant source of evolution in β is a modest increase in dust reddening as a function of time and rest-UV luminosity. Similarly, the observed scatter in β at 1 < z < 2 (σ ≈ 0.43) can be explained by a dispersion of 0.10 in E(B−V), a dispersion in age of 2 Gyr, or a factor of 10

15 We interpret changes in E(B−V) as solely due to dust; Alavi et al. (2014) find no dependence of E(B−V) on age, although a modest dependence is found on metallicity for low luminosity, z ≈ 2 galaxies.
The trends of $\beta$ with redshift and magnitude in the range $1 < z < 3$ can be explained by increased dust attenuation, $\Delta E(B-V) \sim 0.1$, corresponding to $\approx 1$ mag assuming a Calzetti et al. (2000) attenuation law. Alternatively, a 0.4 Gyr increase in average stellar population age, or a factor of $\approx 14$ increase in metallicity can explain the observations. The observed scatter in $\beta$ can be similarly explained by comparable dispersions in dust, age or metallicity.

Dust also leads to FIR luminosity as absorbed starlight is thermally re-radiated. The trends in Figure 4 place limits on dust accumulation spanning the epoch of peak star formation, $1 < z < 4$. Using observed $\beta$ values and the empirical IRX-$\beta$ relationship of Meurer et al. (1999) to estimate the dust-induced FIR luminosities, we find the comoving dust luminosity density of typical ($M_{UV} \sim -19.5$) galaxies grows by a factor of $\approx 10$ from $z = 4$ to $z = 1$. Aging stellar populations and metallicity evolution would also increase $\beta$; therefore we interpret this factor as a rough upper limit.

In general, we do not find maximally blue $\beta$ values ($\beta \approx -3$) even in the lowest luminosity galaxies. These could have been interpreted as due to the infall of pristine gas and exclusively young stars, since dust, metallicity and aging all redden spectra (Dorman et al. 2003). Their absence may support scenarios that invoke accretion of metal-rich gas, as opposed to pristine gas, although other scenarios involving dust accumulation can also be supported. Estimation of stellar masses, metallicities, star formation rates and histories of these low luminosity galaxies will enable a more complete synthesis of the formation and evolution of galaxies.
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Figure 4. UV spectral index, $\beta$, vs. redshift for medium luminosity (left panel; $M_{UV} \sim -19.5; 0.25 L^{*}_{z=3}$) and low luminosity (right panel; $M_{UV} \sim -17.5; 0.05 L^{*}_{z=3}$) galaxies in the UVUDF, combined with the literature. Present data are shown as black diamonds. Literature data are from Bouwens et al. 2009, Bouwens et al. 2013 (green half-circles), Finkelstein et al. 2012 (red upward pointing triangles), Hathi et al. 2013 (purple squares), Dunlop et al. 2012, 2013 (blue circles), and Wilkins et al. 2011 (orange downward pointing triangles). Open symbols in the right panel denote samples that are expected to be less than 50% complete. Linear fits to the present data (combined literature) are illustrated as dashed (solid) lines. Legend statistics correspond to the combined literature.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

dispersion in metallicity over this redshift range, which follows the peak in cosmic star formation at $z \sim 2$.

5. CONCLUSION

Deep UVUDF photometry enables the study of galaxies at $1 < z < 2$ down to an absolute magnitude, $M_{UV} = -14(\sim 0.006 L^{*}_{z=1}; 0.02 L^{*}_{z=0})$, comparable to dwarf galaxies in the local universe. These galaxies have mean $\beta$ values comparable to local starbursts, rather than local dwarf galaxies.

We find a modest color–magnitude relation, qualitatively similar to previous literature, whereby less luminous galaxies tend to be bluer, $d\beta/dM = -0.11 \pm 0.01$ (random) $\pm 0.1$ (systematic). Combining these data with literature at higher redshift, we do not find evidence for evolution in this color–magnitude relation in the range $1 < z < 8$.

We find significant color evolution with redshift; galaxies with $M_{UV} \sim -19.5 (0.25 L^{*}_{z=3})$ have $d\beta/dz = -0.06 \pm 0.01$ (significance $\sim 10^{-5}$) in the range $1 < z < 8$, from combining current data with the literature. Lower luminosity galaxies ($M_{UV} \sim -17.5$) show a similar trend, $d\beta/dz = -0.09 \pm 0.01$, in the combined literature.

The trends of $\beta$ with redshift and magnitude in the range $1 < z < 3$ can be explained by increased dust attenuation, $\Delta E(B-V) \sim 0.1$, corresponding to $\approx 1$ mag assuming a Calzetti et al. (2000) attenuation law. Alternatively, a 0.4 Gyr increase in average stellar population age, or a factor of $\approx 14$ increase in metallicity can explain the observations. The observed scatter in $\beta$ can be similarly explained by comparable dispersions in dust, age or metallicity.
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