Shaking table tests on strengthening of masonry structures against earthquake hazard
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Abstract. Turkey and neighborhood countries like Greece and Iran are situated on an active earthquake region. Masonry type structures are very common on these countries, especially on the rural areas. During the last earthquakes, several masonry type houses were collapsed, causing loss of life and property. Strengthening methods of masonry houses were discussed in this study. The paper summarizes the results of an experimental programme carried out on models, scaled 1/10, of one-storey masonry buildings. First specimen tested was the reference specimen and used for comparison purposes. Other specimens contained several strengthening strategies. A total of 9 specimens were tested. The results allow to assess the efficiency of the various strengthening techniques employed.

1 Introduction

Western Peloponissos, Ionian Islands of Greece and lands of Turkey, are among the most seismically prone areas of Southern Europe (Karantoni and Bouckovalas, 1997). 90% of the land area of Turkey is situated on one of the most active seismic zones of the world and devastating earthquakes frequently occur. Bingol, Turkey, located in a region of high seismic risk, an earthquake of magnitude 6.4 occurred on 1 May 2003. In the disaster area, 308 buildings collapsed, 2566 buildings have severe and moderate and 2546 buildings have light damage. In this earthquake, 174 deaths and 520 casualties were reported by the Governor of the city (Kaplan et al., 2003). On 27 March 2004 and earthquake that struck Erzurum with a magnitude of 5.1 killed 8 people in rural areas. A moderate earthquake of 5.1 on the Richter scale was occurred on Friday, 2 July 2004, near Dogubayazit township and 18 people were killed and 25 people were injured, 1000 building affected from the earthquake (Bayraktar et al., 2007). Recently, a 6.0 magnitude earthquake has struck the eastern province of Elazig in Turkey on 8 March 2010. This is the most seismically hazardous zone according to the seismic classification criteria adopted for Turkey (Gülkan et al., 1993). The area is sparsely populated, with most dwellings having one or two stories constructed from masonry without timber reinforcement or adobe and brick (Sandikkaya et al., 2010). In Fig. 1, several damaged masonry houses were illustrated in Elazig Earthquake.

Structures located in the seismically active zones are far from possessing qualities that would ensure satisfactory seismic performance (Ozcebe et al., 2003). Developing countries commonly have poor and under educated population living in self-constructed masonry houses, which are at high risk if they are located on seismically active regions. The behavior of the masonry buildings during the earthquakes is poorly understood and appropriate tools to analyse them are now urgently required. On the other hand, numerical modeling of the seismic behavior of masonry structures represents a very complex problem due to the constitutive characteristics of the structural material and its highly physical and geometrical nonlinear behavior when subjected to strong ground motion (Bayraktar et al., 2007). The structural vulnerability and damage-failure patterns of unreinforced masonry (URM) were studied by many researchers (Korkmaz, 2010; Bruneau, 1994; Tornabvie, 1997; Benedetti et al., 1998; Abrams, 2001; Paquette and Bruneau, 2003; Doherty et al., 2002). Of the methods considered, injection grouting, insertion of reinforcing steel, prestressing, jacketing, use of FRP and various surface treatments were the most common (Albert et al., 2001). The difficulties in performing advanced testing of this type of structures are quite large due to the innumerable variations of masonry, the large scatter of in situ material properties and the impossibility of reproducing it all in a specimen (Zucchini and Lourenco, 2004).
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This paper discusses the effectiveness of masonry strengthening techniques. The scope includes construction of one reference and eight strengthened identical 1/10 scaled one storey masonry houses and dynamic testing of specimens on uniaxial shaking table. The performance of each strengthening technique was compared with reference specimen.

2 Material and Method

In the context of this study, evaluation of different strengthening techniques for masonry houses was aimed. The testing of masonry structures under lateral loads is a very difficult task. The reinforced concrete structures can be tested under lateral point loading, since the mass of the structure is concentrated on the storey levels (Ersubasi, 2008). On the other hand, point loading of masonry walls is very difficult due to local shear failure of the bed joints. Also mass of the structure is distributed through the wall height. Computer controlled shaking table test were very popular to simulate the destructive effects of recorded or generated earthquake records. But, the equipment for a full-scale computer controlled shaking table is too costly for many institutions (Turer et al., 2007). Several more economic testing techniques were developed to evaluate the earthquake performance of the masonry structures. Tilting table test setup was first used by Zegarra et al. (2000) and Turer et al. (2004). Roorke shock table (Fig. 2) was another setup in the literature (Keightley, 1986). Indian researcher Jagadish (2002) used a pendulum and a free vibration table to simulate the earthquake forces on the masonry houses. Altin et al. (2005) and Kamanli and Balik (2010) used a more simplistic shaking table to test the different strengthening strategies on the masonry houses.

Due to budget constraints of the researchers, relatively more economic shaking table test equipment was developed by the authors. This setup was also used in previous study (Turer et al., 2004). The shaking table is custom made and generates sinusoidal motions at increasing frequency and accelerations. The setup consisted of a platform that can be move in only forward and backward directions. The movement of the platform was driven by an electric motor and frequency control mechanism. The illustration of the mechanical system is shown in Fig. 3.

The frequency of the rotation and also platform motion can be adjusted by an AC motor controller. The stroke length of the shaking platform can be modified mechanically as the long bar connection location on the rotational disk is moved close or away from the disk centroid when the platform is
not in operation. The platform displacement-amplitude (x) can be adjusted by changing the radius of the rotating disc (r). Amplitude is a function of the rotational angle (θ) of the motor disk which depends on angular velocity (w) times time (t). In order to obtain a sinusoidal wave, (L) which is the length of the arm linking the rotating disk and the slider-platform must be long enough. The relationship between the table displacement and other parameters explained above can be written as in Equation 1 using geometric relationships as;

\[ x = r \cdot \cos(wt) + L \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{r^2}{L^2} \sin(wt)^2} \right) \]  

(1)

The first derivative of Eq. (1) yields the velocity (v) function of the platform as shown in Eq. (2).

\[ \dot{x} = v = r \sin(wt) w + \frac{r^2}{L \sqrt{1 - \frac{r^2}{L^2} \sin(wt)^2}} \sin(wt) \cos(wt) w \]  

(2)

The second derivative of the displacement function yields the acceleration (a) function of the platform as shown in Eq. (3).

\[ \ddot{x} = a = r \cdot w^2 \begin{pmatrix} \cos(wt) - \left( \frac{\dot{t}}{2} \right)^3 \sin(wt)^2 \cdot \cos(wt)^2 \frac{\cos(wt)^2}{\left(1 - \frac{r^2}{L^2} \sin(wt)^2\right)^2} \\ - \left( \frac{\dot{t}}{2} \right) \cdot \left(2\cos(wt)^2 - 1\right) \end{pmatrix} \]  

(3)

The shaking table used in this study has an L/r ratio equal to 80 which generates close-to-sinusoidal waves with maximum error of 1.25%. The amplitude of the accelerations generated by the shaking table setup is a function of rotary disk radius (r) and square of rotation frequency (w²) as seen in Eq. (3). The level of acceleration can only be increased by changing rotation speed or rotation frequency (w) since rotary disk radius (r) cannot be changed during testing. The natural vibration frequencies of the 1/10 scale test specimens are much higher than the testing frequency range of the shaking table; therefore, amplification errors due to resonance condition is not an issue. The levels of acceleration that cause failure of the test specimens are determined by using Eq. (3). The accelerations for the failure level of loading should also be corrected for their equivalent accelerations if the tests were conducted in full scale. The correction factor for a 1/N scale model can be calculated considering the stiffness, mass, and acceleration changes in a 1/N scale test. The lateral stiffness term of a 1/N scale model would

![Fig. 4. Normalized shaking table acceleration comparison for different L/r ratios.](image)

![Fig. 5. Shaking table maximum acceleration error change as a function of L/r ratio.](image)
be \( N \) times smaller than the full scale stiffness regardless of the stiffness type (e.g., shear or bending dominant stiffness). The force equivalence in a 1/N scale reduced model would be compatible with the stiffness \( (K) \) times the displacement \( (\delta) \) and should be modified by \((1/N)^2\). The dynamic equilibrium equation of \((F = ma)\) might be rearranged for acceleration \((a = F/m)\) and would have ratios of \((1/N^2)/(1/N^3)\) which would yield \(N\). Therefore, the equivalent accelerations causing failure of a 1/N scale model would be \( N \) times larger compared to the full scale model. Consequently, accelerations causing failure of a full scale model must be decreased by a factor of \(N\) (Turer et al., 2004).

In the context of the experimental part, test specimens were designed such that they were 10 times scaled down models. Only one room and one storey of a typical masonry house was considered. Turer et al. (2004) tested a typical one storey one room rural house with 12 m² base area. The scale of the study was very small comparing the 1/1 tests. Korkmaz (2007) used same model ratio and compared with the 1/1 test conducted in METU as a part of World Bank DM-2003 project. She found the same failure pattern in reference and strengthened specimens and concluded that the major failure characteristics of the specimens can be compared with each other.

Current prototype has 3 m × 4 m in plan and 3 m in height. Consequently, the test model had 30 cm height and it was constructed as 30 cm × 40 cm in plan. Masonry units were also scaled down with 1/10 scale. Clayey soil was used as mortar and material properties were not modeled. Generally brick, stone or briquette masonry houses has RC slabs and a roof on it. The heavy roof of the masonry houses were not modeled, instead thick marble plate was used to represent the RC slab of the structure. Additional weight was included on the roof of the test specimen. In order to see crack propagation on the masonry walls, a thin clay plaster was applied and painted. White plaster is quite welcome to observe the cracking pattern of the walls. In Fig. 6 dimensions and general configuration of the test specimens were depicted.

During the testing a constant amplitude sinusoidal displacement was applied. Maximum velocity and acceleration were linear functions of \(w\) and \(w^2\). The frequency of the motion was started from low frequencies and increased with time. As the frequency of the motion was increased, also acceleration of the table was increased remembering the amplitude of the motion was constant during the testing. The failure instant and frequency of the failure was noted. The rate of increase is tried to be kept constant for all tests. The frequencies causing failure are noted for each test. The failure modes of the specimens were captured by three cameras located at the three corners of the laboratory. The acceleration versus time graphs of the platform was given in Fig. 7 for different \(L/r\) ratios.

### 3 Experimental study

In this section summary of the experiments were given with photos of the specimens to represent the damage conditions. Since type of the experiment was dynamic, it was not possible to stop the testing and mark the cracks on the walls. Instead, three cameras were used to capture the failure sequence in video format and a commercial software converted video files to bitmap files. Different perspective views of the specimen at the same instant were given in a row. The following row corresponds to the next instant of the damage.

First specimen was the reference specimen tested to observe reference capacity and contained no strengthening. Other specimens were strengthened with different proposals. The performances of specimens were compared against each other using acceleration levels causing collapse or heavy damage to the structure. The comparisons of cracking and heavy damage accelerations are made in reference to the
3.1 Reference specimen (Ref)

The first specimen tested was reference specimen (Ref) with original construction details. The capacity of this specimen was used for comparison purposes. The dynamic excitation to specimen Ref was applied in East-West direction.

The first cracks were initiated diagonally above the North window and also above the door of the model. New diagonal cracks were formed perpendicular to the previous ones as the direction of the movements was reversed. This diagonal cracks extended up to the bottom of the structure. As the frequency of the motion was increased, similar X type cracks were observed on the West window and previous cracks were widened. After that, a portion of the wall above the North window and door was separated and fell down. A horizontal shear crack was propagated above the door. After so much damage, stability of the structure was disturbed and a sudden and total collapse of the roof took place. Total collapse of the model was observed at the end of the test and classified as a brittle and sudden failure. The failure acceleration of this reference specimen was taken as 1. The failure sequence of the specimen was depicted in Fig. 8.

3.2 Strengthened specimen CF1

The first method of strengthening was based on the use of CFRP type material. Application CFRP on the masonry walls is a well known technique and several researches are available in the literature. CFRP was applied on the walls with epoxy. The benefit of CFRP on the wall is; it delays the disintegration of walls units and can withstand axial tension forces on the wall. On the other hand CFRP material is very expensive. To cover all the wall surfaces with this material is not economical. Rather, several critical stress concentration points can be covered. As observed from the reference specimen test, corners of the structure and openings are the most critical points. To model the CFRP material on this study, several material alternatives were tried. Plaster mesh wire made of plastic based material was quite welcome for this purpose. Silicone based glue was used to represent the epoxy. Plaster wire mesh was cut in strips with 3 cm wide and corners of the specimen were covered with these strips (Fig. 9).

Vertical strips on the corners were connected with three horizontal strips to delay the disintegration of the corners. In the reference specimen test, wall portions above the openings were failed. Consequently, strips were also applied above the openings horizontally (Fig. 9). After strengthening procedure, walls were plastered and painted.

Strengthening of masonry walls are not included in the current Turkish Earthquake Code (2007). The CFRP application on the masonry walls within the RC frames is given in the code only. In the regulations, there is no restrictions about the width of the CFRP strips. In the code only anchorage details of the CFRP strips on the masonry infill is given. In this study the width of the CFRP strips were not considered as a parameter. Instead, the width of the CFRP strips were taken as 3 cm constant. The anchorage of the CFRP strips were also not modeled since the model ratio of the study is small. 3 cm strip width corresponds to 30 cm width in real dimensions. The larger dimensions will increase the cost of the application.

Testing of specimen CF1 was recorded with three cameras. Strips, simulating the CFRP, changed the damage and cracking modes of the specimen. First cracks were observed below the window openings (Fig. 10). These initial cracks could not propagate further and X type cracks could not form.
due to polymer application. Instead, wall portions under the window openings were cracked like reversed V shape. More cracks were observed comparing the reference specimen and distributed over a larger area. The testing was stopped since the width of the cracks was widened and stability of the structure was lost. At the end of the test, specimen survived 2.5 times higher acceleration than the failure acceleration of the reference specimen.

### 3.3 Specimen CF2

In specimen CF2, strips above the openings were removed and a horizontal strip was applied just above the ground level of the structure. The corners were also strengthened like CF1 (Fig. 11). The failure sequence of the test was depicted in Fig. 12. Initial cracks were observed above the openings like reference specimen Ref. X type cracks were formed but limited and could not reached to the foundation level. Oppositely, these cracks were extended parallel to the ground. This specimen was collapsed when the acceleration level was 2 times the reference specimens failure acceleration.

### 3.4 Specimen CF3

After obtaining satisfactory results in specimens CF1 and CF2, in specimen CF3, only corner of the structure was covered with strengthening material. In this manner, more economic application was maintained (Fig. 13). Initial cracks were formed in the corners of the openings and X type cracks were formed. Also horizontal shear crack parallel to the ground was formed. Comparing with the reference specimen, more cracks were formed and distributed on a larger wall area. The wall, which was loaded in the out of plane direction, was failed and the test was stopped. This specimen could withstand 1.5 times acceleration according to the reference specimen. In Fig. 14, failure and damage mode of the specimen was given.

### 3.5 Specimen SwSP

Altin et al. (2005), studied 1/1 scale ordinary masonry house on the shaking table (Fig. 15). They applied a thin (5 mm in thickness) steel strip on the inner and outer surfaces of the
Fig. 14. Failure sequence of specimen CF3.

Fig. 15. Outer and inner steel strips (Altın, 2005, 2008).

walls. Inner and outer steel strips were connected through the wall section with several rivets. The width of the steel plates were 150 mm. At the end of the test, they obtained satisfactory results and stated that this method is effective for strengthening of masonry structures. The specimen SwSP was strengthened with this idea. In order to represent steel plates, very thin steel plates were found and cut to obtain strips. Strips were placed vertically on the walls. Four strips were placed on the long walls and three strips were placed on the short walls (Figure 16).

After attaching the steel plates, thin plaster was applied over the wall surfaces. The first crack formed above the door corner and could not reached to the roof level diagonally due to steel strip (Fig. 17). Rather, this crack extended horizontally and reached to the corner of the structure. Similar condition was valid for the cracks formed on the corner of the window. Damage was concentrated above and below the window openings and X type cracks could not form. At the end of the test, roof was separated from the structure and experiment was stopped. The maximum acceleration applied to this specimen was 1.4 times higher than the failure acceleration of the reference specimen.

3.6 Steel wire mesh application (SM)

The third method used was the mesh reinforcement and plaster application over the masonry walls. This method is commonly used by many contractors and specialist to improve the seismic behavior of masonry structures. In Fig. 18 an application case in a school building was given. The mesh reinforcement was applied over the surface of the wall and several holes were drilled to fix the anchorages through the existing walls. Cement based plaster was applied over the mesh. Same method was also used for adobe structures after the Aksehir Earthquake in 2002 (Fig. 19).
Similar method was used to test the effectiveness of the application. In order to represent the mesh reinforcement, custom made scaled mesh reinforcement made of wires was used. Application was applied only on the corners of the structures. Mesh reinforcement was applied and gypsum was used to represent the cement based plaster. Column like gypsum-wire mesh reinforcement was given in Fig. 20.

Specimen SM was tested execution starting from low frequencies and applied acceleration was increased up to the failure. First diagonal cracks were observed on the corners of the openings and X type cracks were formed. Due to strengthening application, corner disintegration did not formed. The cracks are rather horizontal at the bottom and at the top of the openings. Failure acceleration of this specimen was 1.7 times higher than the reference specimen. Failure condition of the specimens was illustrated in Fig. 21.

### 3.7 Post tensioned specimen P1

Post tensioning of masonry walls increased the lateral load carrying and shear and bending capacities providing ductility. Several studies were exist in the literature about this idea. Vertical post tensioning increased the shear resistance of the walls and horizontal post tensioning also delays the corner separations. For this purpose, horizontal post tensioning was applied on the specimen P1. Benedetti et al. (1998) tested 2 storey model houses on the shaking table setup (Fig. 22).

In order to apply horizontal post tensioning and to distribute the stress over the wall, wooden logs were used on the corners of the structure. The cost of the wooden logs in real application is not expensive. Steel rods were used to apply tension forces on the structure. The structure was wrapped at the roof and foundation levels. At this point door of the structure created problem from architectural point of view. The failure condition of the specimen was given in Fig. 23. Diagonal cracks replaced with horizontal shear cracks. Comparing with the reference specimen, more and distributed cracks can be pronounced. This specimen exposed 1.8 times higher acceleration than reference specimen. The behavior of the specimen was more ductile than the reference specimen. Horizontal ties are very efficient in preventing collapse due to the separation of walls. Such devices were accomplished by steel ties, steel beams and RC bands. It was found that the best effects are achieved by an appropriate distribution on the walls of the retaining forces due to horizontal ties (Benedetti et al., 1998).
Specimen P2 was identical to P1. After obtaining satisfactory results in specimen P1, wooden logs were replaced with shorter wooden pieces (Fig. 24). The post tensioning was applied at the same positions. Similarly horizontal cracks observed just above the openings. At the end of the test, rigid body motion of the roof limited the capacity of the specimen. The failure of the specimen was given in Fig. 25. The maximum acceleration reached at this specimen was less than the acceleration of P1 and 1.7 times higher than the that of reference specimen.

The post tensioning just above the foundation level was architecturally very difficult due to door entrance. In specimen P3, horizontal wrapping was applied only at the roof level. The structure was wrapped by steel rods and a box type behavior was obtained. The crack patterns of this specimen were different than P1. Initially diagonal cracks were formed and reached to the ground level. But corner failure was delayed due to horizontal rods and horizontal shear cracks were observed just below the window openings (Fig. 26). At the last stages of the failure, secondary horizontal cracks above the openings and corner failure near to the ground was observed. The specimen survived 1.5 times higher acceleration then reference specimen Ref.

4 Conclusions

Unreinforced masonry (URM) walls are used in a broad range of historic and modern buildings worldwide. Unfortunately unreinforced masonry construction is vulnerable to earthquake hazards. Earthquakes are considered to be the major cause of structural failure of masonry buildings in Europe and Turkey. In less developed countries, especially in
the suburbs and rural areas, houses are constructed predominantly from masonry materials. These masonry structures were constructed to resist gravitational forces with no consideration of the lateral seismic loads. Especially rural masonry structures can be classified as non-engineered since they were constructed without engineering skills, based on experience of the local construction workers while scientific knowledge has been misapplied or omitted.

In this study, seismic performance improvement methods of masonry structures were evaluated experimentally. The performance of 1/10 scale shaking table tests were compared against each other. Failure accelerations were given in reference to the original un-strengthened specimen Ref. The comparison of applied final accelerations in terms of reference specimens acceleration was presented in Fig. 27.

According to the limited results of this study, the main achievements provided by the interpretation of the experimental results are as follows:

Reference specimen displayed a similar failure patterns observed after earthquakes. Diagonal X type shear cracks were observed on the walls loaded in plane direction. Separation followed by cracks near the corners was the last stage before the collapse of the structure. The failure of the reference specimen was sudden and the behavior can be classified as brittle.

CFRP type materials can be applied over the masonry walls. In specimen CF1, corners and wall portions above the opening were covered with a material simulating the CFRP.

This application increased the failure acceleration approximately 150%. CF1 survived 2.5 higher accelerations than the reference specimen Ref. The amount of strength improvement remained at about 100% for the test specimen with only vertical strips at the corners and horizontal cover below the openings. Relatively inferior strength enhancement was achieved by application of only vertical coverage at the corners (CF3) with a total failure acceleration improvement of 50%. The width of the CFRP strips were taken as constant. In future study, the width can be taken as a parameter of the study but not included in the current paper.

The fixation of thin steel plate strips over the masonry changed the behavior and load carrying capacity. X type diagonal cracks could not formed and horizontal shear cracks just below the roof level was followed by a new horizontal cracks above the ground level. The performance improvement was 40% higher than the reference specimen.

The use of welded steel mesh reinforcement and plaster application was very popular in strengthening applications. In this specimen, strength improvement of up to 70% was obtained.

Post tensioning application on the masonry walls can change the shear and bending capacities. Significant increases of the lateral resistance, with respect to the original one, may be obtained by the application of horizontal post-tensioning tendons. Specimen P3, which was horizontally wrapped just below the roof level was displayed rather more ductile behavior than reference specimen. The level of improvement achieved was 50% higher than the reference one. If the wrapping can be done at several levels like P2, the performance was superior to the one level application. On the other hand, openings like windows or doors may limit the application. In specimen P1, wooden logs along the height of the structure was used. In this way, post tensioning stress was distributed over a larger wall portion. In specimens P1, P2 and P3, cracks were distributed over a larger area and more cracks were formed. Crack pattern distribution resulted in more ductile behavior and improved the damping ratio.
and energy consumption characteristics of the masonry specimens. More retarded failure was obvious. The performance improvement in specimen P1 was approximately 80%.

In all of the strengthened specimens, the brittle behavior associated with sudden roof collapse had delayed or prevented. Large and clear diagonal crack formation followed by brittle collapse were less pronounced and replaced by well-distributed cracks. The failure sequences was relatively more ductile with gradual damage formation.

The choice of the appropriate method depends on the availability of the material and workmanship. Application of post tensioning is rather difficult due to details of the construction. On the other hand, CFRP type materials are expensive and may be used for historic and important structures.

Strengthening of historical heritage buildings require special attention from architectural point of view. The applied technique must not disturb the appearance of the structure and better to give minimum disturbance. At this point CFRP application may be the best solution considering the effectiveness. Application of steel mesh and cement based plaster can be categorized as the worst solution considering the application area surface. Post tensioning application may also create undesired appearance.

Strengthening of adobe structure is a very difficult task. For the rehabilitation studies the economical cost of the existing structure and the cost of the strengthening is important. The economical value of adobe structures are low and material quality and overall structural performance is poor. The new materials applied must behave monolithically with the adobe material. CFRP application cannot be considered for rural adobe structures. Post tensioning methods may delay the disintegration of wall units and can provide time to occupant to empty the dwelling before failure.

Another problem is the durability of the applied material. Steel plates can create corrosion with time. Galvanized steel plates can solve this problem for the steel plate anchored methods. Painting of the steel plates can extend the life of the plates as well.

5 Recommendations for future study

This study handled three dimensional 1/10 scaled masonry specimens. Same methods can be tested on single masonry walls loaded in plane direction.
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