We report the case of a 66-year-old patient who presented in August 2016 after a severe anaphylactic reaction. She had been stung by a small dark insect on her wrist while gardening in the morning and subsequently developed a common local insect sting reaction. The insect did not seem to be a wasp or bee, and it was not clear if she had received a tick bite. At noon she had eaten “sour” pork kidneys for lunch (fine strips soaked in milk, briefly fried and seasoned with salt, pepper, and vinegar). An hour and a half later, she complained of dizziness and an itchy rash all over her body, followed by difficulty swallowing and shortness of breath. She collapsed on the way to her family doctor 1 hour later, and emergency medical care was provided, including administration of prednisolone, clemastine, and inhaled adrenalin. She was able to be treated for exocrine pancreatic insufficiency. Two similar cases recently published study [1] and in the present case, basophil activation test series with Creon was positive to alpha-Gal-HSA and negative to Creon and bee and wasp venom. The BAT performed 1 month later was once again positive to Creon (Supplementary Table).

This case shows that Creon, an α-gal–containing porcine pancreas extract can be tolerated at higher doses than usual and despite cofactors by patients with α-gal syndrome. This is particularly relevant in cases where the drug is necessary to treat exocrine pancreatic insufficiency. Two similar cases have been described [3], although this is the first case in which cofactors, which are well-known amplifiers of reactions to α-gal [4], were also tested with the α-gal–containing drug. The allergy work-up enabled us to rule out hymenoptera allergy as a cause or cofactor of the anaphylaxis.

Several factors may enable patients to tolerate Creon despite sensitization. Provocation tests have generally shown that sensitivity to α-gal can be quite variable: some patients react only to pork kidney but routinely tolerate muscle meat, even in the presence of cofactors, whereas others, eg, patients with mastocytosis, experience biphasic immediate and delayed severe reactions [5-7]. Higher amounts of α-gal determinants in kidney than in meat may explain these observations. In the presence of cofactors by patients with α-gal syndrome. This α-gal determinants in kidney than in meat may explain these observations. In the case we report, the patient also tolerated hydrolyzed gelatin. We do not know how much α-gal is present in Creon. In a recently published study [1] and in the present case, basophil activation was more pronounced with Creon than with pork kidney extract, thus pointing to a relevant amount. Inhibition experiments also revealed that the absolute reduction in α-gal sIgE binding by Creon could be reduced by up to 97% (median, 83.5%), thus confirming specific recognition of α-gal epitopes in Creon [3]. In the present case, the eliciting dose of pork kidney was 10 g, and the total amount of Creon ingested was 0.9 g (gel capsules), which might be below the threshold for eliciting symptoms.

In the context of Creon protein extracts [1], α-gal may not be absorbed in the gastrointestinal tract. In vitro studies...
suggest that only lipid-bound α-gal is able to cross the intestinal monolayer. In vivo, α-gal could only be detected in the blood when carried by chylomicrons—but not when bound to proteins—3 to 6 hours after consumption of beef [8].

Induction of tolerance to α-gal in Creon—but not in pork kidneys—through the long-term intake of Creon for pancreatic insufficiency would also be conceivable. The fact that the BAT result was negative after a 3-day, high-dose course of Creon argues for a desensitization protocol that is exclusive to Creon, because basalophil activation by alpha-Gal-HSA was not reduced at this time point. Specific desensitization to Creon would argue for a contribution of the protein environment to the α-gal epitope or a diversity of carbohydrates near the epitope, as suggested elsewhere [9]. Desensitization to α-gal–containing cetuximab in a rapid protocol resulted in α-gal sIgE becoming negative [10].

In conclusion, it appears that there is a clinically relevant difference in vivo between oral intake of α-gal–containing pancreatic powder and α-gal–containing food. Since positive skin test and BAT results probably do not yield sufficient information to confirm clinical relevance and clinical reactions to cofactors cannot be excluded, provocation tests (with cofactors) are essential if drugs containing α-gal have to be administered for therapeutic reasons. Based on the extensive testing performed, the patient in the present case was recommended to avoid large amounts of red meat and inards, but to continue taking Creon.
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