A Putative Homologue of CDC20/CDH1 in the Malaria Parasite Is Essential for Male Gamete Development
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Abstract

Cell-cycle progression is governed by a series of essential regulators. Two major regulators are cell-division cycle protein 20 (CDC20) and its homologue, CDC20 homologue 1 (CDH1), which activate the anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C) in mitosis, and facilitate degradation of mitotic APC/C substrates. The malaria parasite, Plasmodium, is a haploid organism which, during its life-cycle undergoes two stages of mitosis; one associated with sexual multiplication and the other with male gametogenesis. Cell-cycle regulation and DNA replication in Plasmodium was recently shown to be dependent on the activity of a number of protein kinases. However, the function of cell division cycle proteins that are also involved in this process, such as CDC20 and CDH1 is totally unknown. Here we examine the role of a putative CDC20/CDH1 homologue in the rodent malaria Plasmodium berghei (Pb) using reverse genetics. Phylogenetic analysis identified a single putative Plasmodium CDC20/CDH1 homologue (termed CDC20 for simplicity) suggesting that Plasmodium APC/C has only one regulator. In our genetic approach to delete the endogenous cdc20 gene of P. berghei, we demonstrate that PbCDC20 plays a vital role in male gametogenesis, but is not essential for mitosis in the asexual blood stage. Furthermore, qRT-PCR analysis in parasite lines with deletions of two kinase genes involved in male sexual development (map2 and cdpk4), showed a significant increase in cdc20 transcription in activated gametocytes. DNA replication and ultra structural analyses of cdc20 and map2 mutants showed similar blockage of nuclear division at the nuclear spindle/kinetochore stage. CDC20 was phosphorylated in asexual and sexual stages, but the level of modification was higher in activated gametocytes and ookinetes. Changes in global protein phosphorylation patterns in the Δcdc20 mutant parasites were largely different from those observed in the Δmap2 mutant. This suggests that CDC20 and MAP2 are both likely to play independent but vital roles in male gametogenesis.

Introduction

Progression of mitosis in the cell-cycle is dependent upon a number of complex, sequential processes that are governed by a series of essential cell cycle regulatory proteins. Anaphase and mitotic exit is regulated by the conserved multi-subunit E3 ubiquitin ligase Anaphase Promoting Complex/Cyclosome (APC/C), which targets mitotic regulators such as securin and cyclin B for destruction by the 26S proteasome [1]. Two of the major regulators of APC/C activity are cell-division cycle protein 20 (CDC20) [also known as Fizzy, p55Cdc20 or Sb1 [2–4]] and its homologue, CDC20 homologue 1 (CDH1 – also known as Cdh1p/Hct1p, Fizzy-related, Scc9, Smc1 or Ccs52 [5–8]). CDC20 and CDH1 are related tryptophan-aspartic acid (WD) repeats-containing adapter proteins, which are highly conserved throughout eukaryotic evolution. They consist of approximately 40 amino acid-repeat motifs that often contain a C-terminal Trp-Asp (WD) sequence, as well as an N-terminal C-Box motif and C-terminal Ile-Arg (IR) residues, along with a KEN-box, Mad2-interacting motif (MIM) and a CRY-box [9].

CDC20 protein accumulates during S-phase, peaks in mitosis and activates the phosphorylated APC/C complex (which is phosphorylated by cyclin-dependent kinase 1 (CDK1) and other mitotic kinases [10,11]) by physical association, which results in the activation of the metaphase-anaphase transition [12] and degradation of mitotic cyclins via ubiquitination [13]. Phosphorylation of APC/C[CDC20] and high levels of CDK prevent CDH1 interacting with APC/C during early mitosis [14], whereas a reduction in CDK levels by the activity of APC/C[CDC20] during telophase/G1 results in CDH1 maintaining APC/C activity and...
Author Summary

Malaria parasites are single cell organisms that multiply via asexual division at different stages in the life-cycle: in the liver and red blood cells of the vertebrate host and gut of the mosquito vector. The precursor sexual stages (male and female gametocytes) form in red blood cells, then following ingestion in a blood meal the male gametocytes undergo three mitotic divisions resulting in eight male gametes in the mosquito gut. In many organisms including yeast and mice it has been shown that cell division and mitosis are tightly regulated by a set of cell division cycle proteins, namely CDC20 and CDH1. We studied the function of the single homologue of CDC20/CDH1 expressed in the rodent malaria parasite, *Plasmodium berghei*. We found that *P. berghei* CDC20 is not required for asexual multiplication but is essential for male gamete formation. Analysis of these mutant parasites revealed a defect in male gametocyte division and differentiation resulting in no male gamete formation with major defects in cytokinesis. This phenotype is similar to that of a kinase mutant (*map2*), suggesting that they play an independent but essential role in progression of the sexual stage.

cyclin degradation in proliferating cells [6] and exit from mitosis. This shows that activity of CDC20 and CDH1 in the cell cycle is temporally controlled and ensures that exit from mitosis does not occur before sister chromatid separation has been initiated.

The activity of APC/C^CDC20^ is tightly regulated by a surveillance mechanism known as the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) [15]. The SAC is a pathway that prevents the unregulated separation of sister chromatids [16] and consists of a number of regulatory proteins including mitotic-arrest deficient (MAD) 1, MAD2, MAD3, budding uninhibited by benzimidazoles (BUB) 1 and BUBR1. The SAC negatively regulates the activity of APC/C^CDC20^ by preventing ubiquitination of securin and cyclin B and subsequently promotes prometaphase until all chromosomes have been correctly oriented [15]. This process occurs at the kinetochores, where MAD2 and BUBR1 interact with APC/C^CDC20^ to form a mitotic checkpoint complex (MCC) [17], which inhibits its activity. Once the chromatids are correctly oriented, APC/C^CDC20^ becomes active as it is released from the MCC and initiates anaphase, degrading securin and cyclin B and resulting in reduced Cdk activity. This reduction in kinase activity promotes the formation of APC/C^CDH1^ and results in exit from mitosis via degradation of APC/C^CDC20^, maintaining cyclin degradation in G1 prior to a new round of DNA replication [18,19].

Regulation of the cell-cycle and DNA replication in the unicellular apicomplexan malaria parasite, *Plasmodium*, is known to be highly complex and dependent on the activity of a number of protein kinases [20]. *Plasmodium* is a haploid organism lacking sex chromosomes but with a complex life-cycle involving both asexual and sexual processes. Asexual multiplication occurs at three particular stages of the parasites life-cycle: blood stage schizogony, sporogony in the mosquito and pre-erythrocytic schizogony in liver hepatocytes [21]. As with some, but not all apicomplexan parasites multiplication involves repeated nuclear divisions before daughter formation by a process termed schizogony. During these stages genome duplication and segregation is accomplished using an intra-nuclear spindle while retaining an intact nuclear membrane without the formation of the typical morphological features of mitosis [22,23]. In contrast, DNA replication during *Plasmodium* sexual stages within male gametocytes occurs in the mosquito vector and involves three rounds of genomic replication resulting in eight microgamete nuclei and ultimately eight microgametes [24–27].

Upon ingestion of a blood meal by the female *Anopheles* mosquito, exposure of the male gametocyte to a slight drop in temperature, a rise in intracellular Ca^{2+} concentration and the mosquito-derived metabolic intermediate xanthurenic acid [28–30] result in rapid DNA replication (within 12 min) and mitosis giving rise to eight gametes, which egress out of the cell in a process termed exflagellation. This process is known to be dependent upon two protein kinases – calcium-dependent protein kinase 4 (CDPK4) and mitogen-activated protein kinase 2 (MAP2) [20,28,31–33]. Activation of CDPK4 results in genome replication, mitosis and axoneme assembly [28] and in a subsequent step, MAP2 is activated and results in axoneme motility and cytokinesis [32]. However, the cell division cycle proteins that interact with these kinases are unknown. As described earlier, in human and yeast cells CDC20 and CDH1 are known to play a major part in cell cycle regulation [9] particularly during early mitosis, and interact with regulatory kinases and phosphatases [3,7,34].

To examine the function of a single homologue of CDC20/CDH1 (termed CDC20 for simplicity) in the complex life-cycle of *Plasmodium* we used a rodent malaria model, *P. berghei* (Pb) in laboratory mice, which is very amenable to analysis by reverse genetics and where the entire life cycle, including within the mosquito vector, can be analysed. The results presented here suggest that CDC20 has an essential role in *Plasmodium* male gamete formation, possibly through interacting with the kinase regulator MAP2, but has no essential involvement in asexual multiplication.

Results

*Plasmodium* has a single homologue for CDC20/CDH1

Sequence analyses of *P. berghei* identified a cdc20 gene (PBANKA_051060) comprised of one exon. The protein contains a classical KEN-box, RVL-cyclin binding motif, IRR motif and seven WD-40 repeat motifs as found in CDC20 and CDH1 of other organisms (Figure 1A), but does not contain a C-box, D-box or a Mad2-interacting motif. We were only able to identify a single CDC20/CDH1 homologue coded in the genomes of *Plasmodium* species, which has also been suggested for *Trypanosomatidae* [35]. To assess the evolutionary relationships between these CDC20 homologues we aligned (using ClustalW – Figure S1) the WD domains and used the alignment to draw a phylogenetic tree using the meiotic APC/C activator from yeast as an out group (Figure 1B). In the resulting tree we see four clusters. Two clusters, as expected, represent the CDC20 and CDH1 homologues from a range of eukaryotic species. Another cluster contains the CDC20/CDH1 homologues from *Trypanosomatidae* species. The final cluster includes all the CDC20/CDH1 homologues from *Plasmodium* species. These results suggest that *Plasmodium* species contain only a single CDC20/CDH1 homologue and that the *Plasmodium* APC/C has only one regulator.

CDC20-GFP shows nuclear expression through-out the life-cycle, with highest expression in activated male gametocytes

Little information is available regarding CDC20 expression and localisation in the malaria parasite in both vertebrate and mosquito hosts. Therefore, we generated a C-terminal green fluorescent protein (GFP) fusion protein from endogenous cdc20 using a single crossover recombination strategy (Figure S2A–D). Correct targeting was confirmed using integration PCR and Southern blot (Figure S2B, C). Expression of CDC20-GFP in...
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transgenic parasites was confirmed by Western blotting using an anti-GFP polyclonal antibody (Figure S2D). A protein band of ~92 kDa was present for all analysed CDC20-GFP samples, which corresponds to the predicted mass of the CDC20-GFP fusion protein (92.4 kDa). The line expressing the unfused GFP [36] produced a band at 29 kDa and was used as a control (Figure S2D). Expression of the CDC20-GFP fusion protein resulted in no visible abnormalities. Low intensity CDC20-GFP expression was detected during all stages of the life-cycle (data not shown) apart from activated male gametocytes, which had the highest intensity of GFP expression that co-localized with Hoechst nuclear staining (Figure 2). We also generated a parasite line whereby CDC20-GFP was expressed episomally (using the same plasmid utilised to target the endogenous locus) under the control of the cdc20 promoter. This line showed high GFP fluorescence intensity at all stages, which co-localised with Hoechst nuclear staining in asexual, gametocyte and ookinete stages and an additional cytoplasmic localisation in ookinete (Figure S3).

CDC20 is critical to male gamete formation and exflagellation

To discover the function of CDC20 in the Plasmodium life-cycle, we used a double crossover homologous recombination strategy to knockout the gene. This was achieved by replacing the endogenous gene with a pyrimethamine resistant allele of the dihydrofolate reductase-thymidine synthetase (dhfr-ts) gene from Toxoplasma gondii (Figure S2E). Successful integration of the gene was confirmed by a diagnostic PCR across the junction of the expected integration site, as well as by Southern blot, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) and quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) to indicate an absence of transcription (Figure S2F-1).

Analysis of two cdc20 deletion mutant clones, N10 cl7 and N10 cl9 (henceforward called Δcdc20), showed no developmental abnormalities during asexual proliferation or gametocyte formation, as assessed on blood smears (data not shown). However, in vitro cultures analysed for differentiation into ookinete stages [20,37] showed complete ablation of ookinete development (Figure 3A, B). To ascertain whether the block in ookinete formation was a defect along the male or female line, we decided to analyse mRNA expression of cdc20 and map2 (PBANKA_093370) and cdpk4 (PBANKA_061520) in our Δcdc20 line as well as the previously characterised Δmap2 and Δcdpk4 mutant lines. Transcription of these three genes in total asexual blood, schizont and gametocyte stages of wild type parasites showed a similar profile, with highest mRNA levels found in gametocytes (Figure 3E). When compared to wild-type, expression of both map2 and cdpk4 was not significantly altered at any stage in the Δcdc20 mutant; however, striking differences were found in cdc20 mRNA levels in both the Δcdpk4 and Δmap2 mutants. cdc20 was found to be significantly down-regulated in Δcdpk4 asexual blood and schizont stages (p = 0.037 and 0.009 respectively). In contrast, expression in activated Δcdpk4 activated gametocytes was significantly up-regulated (p = 0.001), but was not altered in non-activated blood stage gametocytes. The greatest change in cdc20 expression was observed in both non-activated and activated gametocyte stages of the Δmap2 parasites, where expression was significantly up-regulated (p = <0.001 and 0.001 respectively).

Expression of cdc20 is up-regulated in Δcdpk4 and Δmap2 mutants

Exflagellation of the activated microgametocyte proceeds via a number of sequential steps prior to the formation of male gametes [24,25]. These steps are dependent upon two protein kinases: calcium-dependent protein kinase 4 (CDPK4), which is involved in cell-cycle progression to S phase and mitogen-activated kinase 2 (MAP2), which is essential for replication and mitosis to be completed before cytokinesis commences [20,28,31–33]. Both of these kinases have previously been shown to be essential for male gamete development and the exflagellation process [28,32]. As the cdc20 deletion mutant line shows a similar phenotype, we decided to analyse mRNA expression of cdc20, map2 (PBANKA_093370) and cdpk4 (PBANKA_061520) in our Δcdc20 parasites, preventing oocyst formation. Crossing of Δcdc20 with a cdpk4 deletion mutant (a previously characterised male mutant [28], henceforward called Δcdpk4) showed no rescue of the phenotype. Conversely, crossing with a nek4 deletion mutant (a previously characterised female mutant [38], henceforward called Δnek4) resulted in 36% ookinete formation (Figure 3C). These data prove that Δcdc20 parasites are defective along the male line. As a result of this observation, we analysed exflagellation of the activated male gametocytes [26], which was completely blocked in Δcdc20 parasites. To substantiate the in vitro findings, we fed mosquitoes on mice infected with either wild-type or Δcdc20 parasites and analysed oocyst development. Wild type parasites developed normally and oocysts were detected in the mosquito gut, whereas no oocysts were found in the guts of mosquitoes fed on Δcdc20 parasites and analysed 14 or 21 days after feeding (Figure 3D). This result confirms that CDC20 is vital to male gamete development and that fertilization/zygote formation/oocyst development is completely blocked in the Δcdc20 parasites, preventing oocyst formation.

Figure 1. Phylogenetic analysis of Plasmodium CDC20. A. Amino-acid sequence of a Plasmodium berghei putative CDC20. WD repeats are shown in bold and underlined. Other motifs (highlighted) are the KEN box, RVL cyclin binding motif and IR motif. B. WD domains from various eukaryotic Cdc20 and Cdh1 homologues were aligned and used to draw a phylogenetic tree. The S. cerevisiae meiotic APC/C regulator (Ama1) was used as an out group. Four clusters are apparent. Two correspond to previously described Cdc20 and Cdh1 protein families. A third includes homologues from Trypanosomatidae species. The fourth cluster includes all the identified CDC20/CDH1 homologues from Plasmodium species.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002554.g001

Figure 2. CDC20-GFP protein expression in activated male gametocytes. High CDC20-GFP intensity was observed in activated male gametocytes and co-localised with Hoechst nuclear staining in both the microgametocyte body and exflagellating microgametes (arrows). Bar = 5 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002554.g002
Figure 3. Phenotypic analysis of Δcdc20. A. Immunofluorescence images of Plasmodium cultures after 24 hr in vitro immunostained for the female gamete/zygote/ookinete marker P28 (red) and counterstained with the nuclear marker Hoechst (blue). Development of elongated ookinetes was completely ablated in Δcdc20 lines, which produced only round female gametes. Bar = 5 μm. B. Bar graph illustrating ookinete conversion in wild-type and Δcdc20 parasites. The conversion rate is the percentage of P28-positive parasites that had successfully differentiated into elongated ‘banana-shaped’ ookinetes (error bar = arithmetic mean ± SD; n = 3). C. Ookinete conversion after crossing Δcdc20 parasites with a female-defective nek4 mutant (Δnek4) and a male-defective cdpk4 mutant (Δcdpk4). Wild-type parasites were used as a control. Bar graph represents the percentage of round P28-positive parasites that had converted into elongated ookinetes (arithmetic mean ± SD; n = 3). D. Bar graph showing average numbers of oocysts per gut (error bar indicates ± SEM; n = 60 of wild-type or Δcdc20 infected mosquitoes from three independent experiments). Overall infection prevalence was 80% for wild-type and 0% for Δcdc20. E. Wild-type mRNA expression of cdc20, cdpk4 and map2 relative to hsp70 and arginyl-tRNA synthetase as endogenous controls (ΔΔCt method). Error bars represent ± SEM, n = 3 from three independent experiments. F. Relative expression of cdc20, cdpk4 and map2 in Δcdc20, Δcdpk4 and Δmap2 parasites compared to wild-type parasites (Pfaffl method). Error bars represent ± SEM, n = 3 from three independent experiments. ASB = Asexual blood; Sch = Schizont; IG = Inactivated gametocytes; AG = Activated gametocytes; RQ = relative quantification.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002554.g003
CDC20 is not essential for genome replication in activated microgametocytes, but regulates cytokinesis and subsequent exflagellation

Due to the ablation of exflagellation in the Δcdc20 line and the significant alteration in cdc20 expression in the Δmap2 line, we analysed axoneme formation and DNA replication in both mutants by direct immunofluorescence and fluorometric estimation of DNA content respectively. Staining of α-tubulin in both Δcdc20 and Δmap2 lines revealed normal formation of axonemes and their characteristic circling of the nucleus by the axonemes in concentric rings 8 min post activation (mpa) (Figure 4A). However, differentiation and shortening of the spindle microtubules did not occur in either mutant 15 mpa. Furthermore, nuclear DNA in the enlarged nucleus of activated microgametocytes remained uncondensed in both mutants at 15 mpa; whereas wild-type microgametocytes had started to undergo exflagellation and nuclear division resulting in the release of normal microgametocytes containing haploid nuclei with condensed DNA. These observations suggest that development of mutant microgametocytes after activation is blocked at a very late stage, possibly after the third round of DNA replication.

To test whether mutant microgametocyte development was blocked after completing the three rounds of DNA replication, we analysed DNA replication by determination of the DNA content of activated microgametocytes by fluorescence microscopy and by FACS after staining with the DNA-specific dyes 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAP) and Hoechst 33258, respectively. The DNA content of activated microgametocytes at 8 mpa, as determined by fluorescence microscopy, was similar in wild-type and mutant parasites, with nuclei of mutant parasites also increasing their DNA content to the octoploid level at 8 mpa (Figure 4A, B; upper and middle panels). At 15 mpa the activated Δcdc20 and Δmap2 microgametocytes still contained a single enlarged nucleus with octoploid DNA content, but in contrast, in wild type microgametocytes nuclear division and gamete formation resulted in the formation of gametes with haploid DNA content (Figure 4A, B; lower panels). Genome replication in activated microgametocytes was confirmed using FACS analysis of purified gametocytes that were stained with Hoechst 33258. At 8 mpa both Δcdc20 and Δmap2 microgametocytes showed strongly increased DNA content similar to that of wild-type parasites (Figure 4C, D). Purified gametocytes of the previously characterised Δidpk4 parasite line [28] were used as a control and did not undergo DNA replication. Together these results suggest that CDC20 acts downstream of CDPK4 and has an essential role in axoneme motility, DNA condensation and cytokinesis, similar to MAP2 [32], but does not play a role in activation of genome replication.

cdc20 mutants show defects in nuclear pole and kinetochore progression

Due to the similar morphology and dynamics of DNA replication of cdc20 and map2 mutants as analysed by direct immunofluorescence and DNA content analysis, respectively, we next examined whether deletion of the endogenous cdc20 locus resulted in structural defects that were similar to those associated with the map2 mutant line by electron microscopy. Ultrastructure analyses were performed on wild-type, Δcdc20 and Δmap2 gametocytes at 15 and 30 mins after activation. The appearance of the cytoplasm was similar for all three lines with the formation of a number of axonemes (Figure 5A, B, C). The microgametocyte nucleus also appeared similar in all three strains with homogeneous electron lucent nucleoplasm and the formation of nuclear poles with radiating microtubules and attached kinetochores (Figure 5B, C, E, and F). However, only in the wild-type was it possible to observe nuclear poles with condensed chromatin consistent with later stages in microgamete nucleus formation (Figure 5A, D), whereas the mutants showed defects in chromosome condensation.

To identify any quantitative differences, 100 parasites of each line and time point were examined with nuclear appearance divided into four categories. When the number of microgametocytes displaying the various nuclear appearances was counted, while the mutants appeared similar, significant differences were observed between the mutants and the wild type (Table 1). It was observed that wild-type parasites exhibited all stages of microgamete nuclear development with reduced numbers of the early stages with tubules and kinetochores (21% compared to 10%) and increased numbers of the later stages with condensed chromatin (42% compared to 29%) at 30 mins compared to 15 mins post-activation (Table 1). In both mutants approximately half the nuclei exhibited early stage microtubules and attached kinetochores (49–60%) and parasites with dense nuclear pole or chromatin condensation (0–3%) were rarely observed irrespective of the time point (Table 1). While no structural abnormality was observed, the quantitative differences are consistent with the two mutants being “frozen” at the nuclear spindle kinetochore formation stage. Furthermore, chromosome condensation was not observed in either cdc20 or map2 mutants as compared to wild type parasites.

Phosphorylation of CDC20 during gametogenesis

Reversible phosphorylation is an important regulatory mechanism in mitotic progression. In human and yeast cells, phosphorylation of CDC20 is known to be an essential step during anaphase and early mitosis [11,39]. As exflagellation of Δcdc20 parasites is completely ablated, but DNA replication and axoneme motility in activated microgametocytes was indistinguishable from wild-type parasites, we hypothesised that phosphorylation of CDC20 could be a vital regulator of Plasmodium gametogenesis. Analysis of CDC20 phosphorylation was performed before, during and after completion of microgametogenesis (i.e. in schizont, activated gametocyte and ookinete stages respectively) in CDC20-GFP parasites metabolically labelled with 32P-orthophosphate [40] and immunoprecipitated by GFP-trap. 32P-orthophosphate labelling in whole cell lysates of schizonts, activated gametocytes and ookinetes showed similar profiles and confirmed efficient uptake of 32P-orthophosphate in all stages. Autoradiography showed that CDC20 is phosphorylated at all three stages (Figure 6A) but phosphorylation levels were higher in activated gametocytes and ookinetes compared to schizonts (1.70 and 2.48 times higher respectively) (Figure 6A, B). The GFP-tagged CDC20 protein appeared as a doublet by Western Blot in schizonts (Figure 6B), whereas only a single band was detected on the corresponding autoradiograph (Figure 6A), suggesting that the upper band on the Western Blot may represent a phosphorylated form of CDC20-GFP and the lower band a non-phosphorylated form of the protein. Interestingly, in activated gametocytes and ookinetes, only the upper GFP-immunoreactive band is present, which may reflect a higher degree of phosphorylation of CDC20-GFP in sexual stages compared to schizonts.

Deletion of cdc20 and map2 affects specific protein phosphorylation in activated gametocytes

In order to examine whether or not CDC20 has a role in pathways of protein phosphorylation similar to those of the kinase MAP2, we compared the global phosphorylation profile of wild type activated gametocytes with that of Δcdc20 and Δmap2 lines using metabolic labelling with 32P-orthophosphate.
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This approach employs metabolic labelling of parasites followed by fractionation by ion exchange chromatography. The experiment was performed in triplicate and in each experiment 20 fractions were collected, resolved by SDS-PAGE and an autoradiograph obtained for seven of them to reveal the phosphorylation profile. Shown in Figure 7 are three fractions from the ion exchange fractionation where differences in the phosphorylation profile between the wild type and mutant parasite strains were observed. Importantly, the Coomassie blue stain of the SDS-PAGE gels demonstrated that the overall protein expression profiles of the wild type and mutant parasite lines were very similar (Figure 7). Despite this similarity, there were clear differences in the phosphorylation profile between the parasite lines. The phosphorylated band labelled A in Figure 7 was significantly decreased in the Δmap2 mutant, whereas the Δcdc20 mutant showed increased phosphorylation. Bands C, D, F, G, H and J showed altered phosphorylation status only in the Δmap2 mutant, whereas bands A, E and I were changed only in the Δcdc20 mutant. Only one band (band B) showed a similar change in both the Δmap2 and Δcdc20 mutants. This analysis indicated that although the phosphorylation profile of the parasite was affected by the deletion of map2 and cdc20, the proteins that showed a change in phosphorylation status in the two mutant lines were (with the exception of one protein) different. It seems unlikely therefore that MAP2 and CDC20 regulate the same network of...
phospho-proteins. We are currently investigating this result further using mass spectrometry-based phosphoproteomic approaches.

Discussion

Mechanisms to control cell division and the cell cycle are essential parts of the cell regulation machinery. These processes are not well understood in unicellular protozoa such as the malaria parasite Plasmodium. Plasmodium undergoes two distinct mitotic processes; one involving repeated DNA duplication, in which karyokinesis occurs after each replication and is associated with asexual proliferation and the other involving endoreduplication, with three rounds of replication prior to the simultaneous formation of eight microgamete nuclei during microgametogenesis. Here, we describe a CDC20/CDH1 ortholog in Plasmodium as an important regulator of mitosis during male gametogenesis, but interestingly it has no effect on the mitotic process undergone during schizogony.

Our bioinformatic studies suggest that in Plasmodium there is only one gene representing CDC20 and its homologue CDH1, and that the protein is a true structural homologue of CDC20/CDH1, even though we could not complement CDC20 function in yeast (data not shown). Although we cannot exclude the possibility that we failed to detect a second highly spliced Plasmodium cdc20/edh1 homologue, the phylogenetic clustering of all the Plasmodium CDC20 homologues gives confidence that there is only a single CDC20 orthologue in Plasmodium species. This suggests that Plasmodium diverged from other eukaryotes prior to the duplication event that presumably gave rise to CDC20 and CDH1 genes. It is interesting to note that the Plasmodium cluster is distinct from the Trypanosomatidae cluster where there is also a single corresponding gene in each genome. Furthermore, this orthologue has a classical KEN box-like domain at the N-terminus and an RVL domain and IR motif at the C-terminus, all of which are required for cyclin degradation and binding to the APC/C core [7]. The presence of these domains suggests that CDC20 in Plasmodium could influence the cell cycle in a similar manner to other systems, such as yeast, mammals and plants [9,41]. The lack of a D-box and presence of a KEN-box are consistent with the structure of CDC20 in humans, with the presence of a KEN-box suggesting that Plasmodium CDC20 is a prime target for ubiquitination, as suggested in a recent study [42]. Alternatively, as Plasmodium CDC20 is the only orthologue of both CDC20 and CDH1 present in other systems, it is plausible that ubiquitination of CDC20 in Plasmodium is self-regulating, as CDC20 is known to be degraded by APC/C^CDH1 via its KEN-box [43] and could therefore act as a “negative feedback” mechanism as seen in human cells [44,45]. The seven conserved WD repeats in the Plasmodium CDC20 protein also suggests that it does bind an as yet unknown multi-protein complex. Plasmodium CDC20 shows some differences from the cc52 homologue reported in plants, such as Medicago sativa [8], since it lacks a MAD-binding box and also the D-box that appears to be specific for CDH1 and is not conserved in CDC20 and FZY proteins. It has been reported recently that in Arabidopsis thaliana there are five isoforms of CDC20, and two of them are functional [41]. We did not observe any such expansion of genes for this protein in Plasmodium.

Table 1. Nuclear features of wild-type and mutant parasites based on stage of microgametocyte development.

| Strain | Time (min) | No features | Early | Mid | Late |
|--------|------------|-------------|-------|-----|------|
| Wild type | 15     | 35          | 21    | 15  | 29   |
| Δcdc20 | 15     | 46          | 51    | 2   | 1    |
| Δmap2  | 15     | 40          | 60    | 0   | 0    |
| Wild type | 30     | 33          | 10    | 15  | 42   |
| Δcdc20 | 30     | 40          | 58    | 2   | 0    |
| Δmap2  | 30     | 48          | 49    | 3   | 0    |

Quantitation of the nuclear features observed by electron microscopy was carried out at the 15 and 30 minute time points. This was based on the examination of 100 microgametocytes identified by axoneme formation at each time point. The features identified were nuclei with:

1. no specific features in the plain of section,
2. early stage exhibiting nuclear poles with spindle microtubules and kinetochores,
3. mid stage with nuclear pole but no attached kinetochores, and,
4. late stage with the nucleus exhibiting areas of condensed chromatin.

Microgametogenesis is a dynamic process and nuclear changes will relate to the length of time spent in each phase allowing the identification of any differences between parasite lines.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002554.g001

Figure 6. Phosphorylation of CDC20 in schizonts, activated gametocytes and ookinetes. Schizonts (Sch), activated gametocytes (AG) and ookinetes (Ook) purified using the corresponding Nycodenz protocols were metabolically labelled with 32P-orthophosphate for 30 min, lysed, and GFP-tagged CDC20 was immunoprecipitated using GFP-TRAP beads. A. Phosphorylation of CDC20-GFP in schizonts, activated gametocytes and ookinetes as assessed by autoradiography. B. Protein expression levels by Western Blot using a polyclonal anti-GFP antibody.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002554.g006
Our CDC20-GFP expression studies showed that CDC20 is highly expressed in activated male gametocytes (with gametocytes showing highest expression at the mRNA level, in agreement with previous transcriptomic studies [46]) but it is also present throughout the life-cycle and located mainly in the nuclear compartment, with some cytoplasmic localisation, consistent with expression in other systems [47,48]. However, although previous studies have shown cdc20 transcripts and protein to be highly expressed in sporozoites of P. falciparum [46,49], we did not observe high protein expression levels of CDC20-GFP in sporozoites. Functional studies using a gene deletion strategy showed that CDC20 controls male gamete development and deletion mutants are impaired during transmission of the parasite to the mosquito vector. Further in-depth analysis of these mutants using a cross fertilisation approach showed that this defect is limited to male gamete differentiation (exflagellation) and formation since Δcdc20 macrogametocytes are fully capable of cross fertilization with microgametes from donor strains. Hence, CDC20 has an essential function for the transition of male gametocytes to gametes. Gametogenesis in Plasmodium involves three rounds of mitotic division in male gametocytes resulting in eight gametes [24–26,50]. We have previously shown that CDPK4 is involved in cell cycle progression to S phase and MAP2 may be essential for replication and mitosis to be completed before cytokinesis commences [28,32,33] (although it is important to note that MAP2 is essential for asexual development in P. falciparum [51], so there may be species-specific differences in the roles of different kinases). As cdc20 mRNA levels are up regulated in both Δcdpk4 and Δmap2 mutants, this suggests that CDC20 may be interlinked with these kinases and orchestrates the process of male gametogenesis and is perhaps up-regulated to compensate for the loss of these two kinases, but this suggestion requires further investigation. The cdc20 deletion mutants formed axonemes and mitotic spindles but failed to undergo karyokinesis or cytokinesis and also did not form motile, flagellar gametes, a phenotype similar to what we have observed with map2 deletion mutants. The requirement for CDC20 during karyokinesis is consistent with the known function of CDC20 and CDH1 in other systems [7]. As described earlier, CDC20 is active during early mitosis in other cells and its up-regulation in gametocytes suggests that it has an essential role in the multiple rounds of DNA replication and the chromosome separation specifically associated with this process.

Figure 7. Global phosphorylation in Δcdc20 and Δmap2 lines. Gametocytes from wild type, Δmap2 and Δcdc20 parasites were purified on 48% Nycodenz and activated for 30 mins in ookinete medium before addition of [32P]orthophosphate for 30 mins. After washing, labelled activated gametocytes were lysed with NP40 and fractionated using anion exchange chromatography on an AKTA system. Individual fractions were then resolved by SDS-PAGE and labelled bands detected by autoradiography. The Coomassie blue stained gel shows that protein loading was similar between lanes. Several differences in the 32p signal are observed between the three different parasites. Bands C, D, F, G H and J indicate altered phosphorylation status only in the Δmap2 mutant. Bands A, E and I indicate changes only in the Δcdc20 mutant. Only one band (band B) showed a similar change in both the Δmap2 mutant and Δcdc20 mutant.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002554.g007
In conclusion, this study identified significant differences in the control of mitosis during asexual development compared to microgametogenesis in the malaria parasite. We have also shown that CDC20 and MAP2 may play independent but essential roles in the mitotic division associated with microgametogenesis but are not essential for mitosis during asexual stages in the malaria parasite.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

All animal work has passed an ethical review process and was approved by the United Kingdom Home Office. Work was carried out in accordance with the United Kingdom ‘Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986’ and in compliance with ‘European Directive 86/609/EEC’ for the protection of animals used for experimental purposes. The permit number for the project licence is 40/3344.

Animals

Either Tuck’s Original (TO) [Harlan] or CD1 (CRUK) outbred mice were used for all experiments.

Generation of transgenic parasites

The targeting vector for cdc20 was constructed using the pBS-DHFR cassette, in which polylinker sites flank a Toxoplasma gondii dhfr/t expression cassette conveying resistance to pyrimethamine. PCR primers N10-1 (5’-CCCCGGGCGCCGACGTCGTCCTCTCTCGCTTGATATT-TCTTTGTTTCTGGATCTCC-3’) and N10-2 (5’-GGGGAGCTT-CATTATTCGATATTAGCTTCACCATC-3’) were used to generate a 452 base pair (bp) fragment 5’ upstream sequence of Pbdc20 from genomic DNA, which was inserted into Apal and HindIII restriction sites upstream of the dhfr/t cassette of pBS-DHFR. A 579 bp fragment generated with primers N10-3 (5’-CCCCGGCAATTCGGGAACACTCTTCTTTGTTTCTGGATCTCC-3’) and N10-4 (5’-GGGGAGCTTACGATATTAGCTTCACCATC-3’) from the 3’ flanking region of Pbdc20 was then inserted downstream of the dhfr/t cassette using EcoRI and Xhol restriction sites. The linear targeting sequence was released using Apal/Xhol. For GFP-tagging by single homologous recombination and generation of the plasmid for epistasis experiment, a 2435 bp region of Pbdc20 starting 812 bp upstream of the start codon and omitting the stop codon was amplified using primers T36-1 (5’-CCCCGGTACCCCTATTATATTAGGAACACTGATTAGAAGG-3’) and T36-2 (5’-CCCCGGGGCCCGCTTCTATTACGATTATTTCTTAATATATTTCAAGGG-3’) producing an amplicon 2435 bp in length. This was then inserted upstream of the gfp cassette of the p277 vector using KpnI and Apal restriction sites. The p277 vector contains the human dhfr cassette, also conveying resistance to pyrimethamine. Before transfection, the sequence was linearised using HindIII and P. berghei ANKA line 2.34 was then transfected by electroporation [36]. Briefly, electroporated parasites were mixed immediately with 200 μl of reticulocyte-rich blood from a phenylhydrazine (Sigma) treated, naive mouse and incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes and then injected intraperitoneally. From day 1 post infection pyrimethamine (7 mg/ml) (Sigma) was supplied in the drinking water for four days. Mice were monitored for 15 days and drug selection repeated after passage to a second mouse, with resistant parasites used for cloning by limiting dilution and genotyping.

Genotypic analysis of mutants

Chromosomes of wild type and gene knockout parasites were separated by pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) on a CHEF
DR III (Bio-Rad) using a linear ramp of 60–500 s for 72 hr at 4 V/cm. Gels were blotted and hybridized with a probe recognizing both the resistance cassette in the targeting vector and, more weakly, the 3′-untranslated region (UTR) of the \( P.\ berghii \) dhfr/tS locus on chromosome 7. For the gene knockout parasites, two diagnostic PCR reactions were used as illustrated in Figure S2. Primer 1 (\( 5′-\text{GTGTGCATTTTG-GAATTATGCTAG-3′} \)) and primer 2 (\( 5′-\text{GATGTGTT-TATGTGATTAAATTGATACG-3′} \)) were used to determine correct integration of the selectable marker at the targeted locus. Primers 3 (\( 5′-\text{GATAATTTGGAAATATGG-3′} \)) and primer 2 (ol492, \( 5′-\text{TTACATGTATAACTATTCCA-3′} \)) were used to verify deletion of the target gene. Having confirmed integration, genomic DNA from wild type and mutant parasites was digested with HinDIII and the fragments were separated on a 0.8% agarose gel, blotted onto a nylon membrane (GE Healthcare), and probed with a PCR fragment homologous to the \( P.\ berghii \) genomic DNA just outside of the targeted region.

For the C-fusion GFP tagging parasites, one diagnostic PCR reaction was also used as illustrated in Figure S2. Primer 1 (\( 5′-\text{GATTCCAAACTAGTATTATAAAATTTGTTG-3′} \)) and primer 2 (\( 5′-\text{ACGCCTGAACTTGTGGCCG-3′} \)) were used to determine correct integration of the gfp sequence at the targeted locus. Having confirmed correct integration, genomic DNA from wild type and transgenic parasites was digested with EcoRI and the fragments were separated on a 0.8% agarose gel, blotted onto a nylon membrane, and probed with a PCR fragment homologous to the \( P.\ berghii \) genomic DNA cd20 sequence using the Amersham ECL Direct Nucleic Acid Labelling and Detection kit (GE Healthcare). Parasites were also visualized on a Zeiss Axioslager M2 (Carl Zeiss, Inc) microscope fitted with an AxioCam ICc1 digital camera (Carl Zeiss, Inc) and analysed by Western blot to confirm GFP expression as described.

**Western blotting**

Western blot analysis was performed on cell lysates prepared by re-suspending parasite pellets in a 1:1 ratio of PBS containing Protease inhibitor (Roche) and Laemmli sample buffer, boiling and separating on a 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel. Samples were subsequently transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Amersham Biosciences) and immunoblotting performed using the Western Breeze Chemiluminescent Anti-Rabbit kit (Invitrogen) and anti-GFP polyclonal antibody (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Alignment and phylogenetic analysis**

The protein sequences of the highly conserved WD domain from CDC20 and CDH1 homologues from a range of eukaryotes were downloaded from NCBI. ClustalW was used to align the sequences and construct a phylogenetic tree.

**Phenotypic analysis**

Phenotypic screening of \( cd20 \) mutants was performed as previously described [20,37]. Briefly, asexual proliferation and gametocytogenesis were analysed using blood smears. Gamete activation, zygote formation and ookinete conversion rates were monitored by \( in\) \( vitro\) cultures using a marker for the surface antigen P28 as previously described [37,38]. Hoechst 33342 was used to stain parasite nuclei. Stained cells were analysed on a Zeiss Axioslager M2 microscope (Carl Zeiss, Inc) fitted with an Axioslager ICc1 digital camera (Carl Zeiss, Inc). For mosquito transmission triplicate sets of 50–100 \( Anopheles\ stephensi \) mosquitoes were allowed to feed on anaesthetized infected mice on days 4 to 5 following blood infection for 20 min at 20°C. Guts were analysed 14 and 21 days post infection for production of oocysts and sporulating oocysts respectively.

**Ookinete conversion assay**

Parasite-infected blood was re-suspended in ookinete medium as previously described [32,60]. After 24 hours, samples were re-suspended in ookinete medium containing Hoechst DNA dye and anti-P28 Cy3-conjugated 13.1 antibody [32,37] and examined with the Zeiss Axioslager M2 microscope fitted with an Axioslager ICc1 digital camera (Carl Zeiss, Inc). The percentage of ookinetes to all 13.1-positive cells (unfertilised macrogametes (round cells) and ookinetes) was then calculated.

**Immunocytochemistry and analysis of DNA content**

Gametocytes in parasite-infected blood (as described above) were activated in ookinete medium, re-suspended in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA (Sigma) diluted in microtubule stabilizing buffer (MTSB) [32] and added to poly-L-lysine coated slides. Immunocytochemistry was performed on the fixed parasite material using primary mouse monoclonal anti-alpha tubulin antibody (Sigma, used at 1 in 500). Secondary antibody was Alexa 547 conjugated anti-mouse IgG (Molecular probes, used at 1 in 1000). The slides were then mounted in Vectashield with DAPI (Vector Labs). Parasites were visualized on a Zeiss Axioslager M2 microscope (Carl Zeiss, Inc) fitted with an Axioslager ICc1 digital camera (Carl Zeiss, Inc).

To measure nuclear DNA content of activated microgametocytes by direct immunofluorescence, images of parasites fixed and stained as above were analyzed using the ImageJ software (version 1.44) (National Institute of Health) as previously described [32].

To confirm nuclear DNA content of activated microgametocytes by FACs, purified gametocytes were transferred to standard ookinete culture medium for activation of gamete formation. At 8 mins after activation cells were pelleted by centrifugation (5 sec; 10,000 rpm), fixed in 0.25% glutaraldehyde/PBS solution and stained with 2 μM Hoechst-33258. The Hoechst-fluorescence intensity (DNA content) of the gametocytes was analyzed by FACs using a LSR-II flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson). Cells were analyzed at room temperature with the following filters (parameters/thresholds): UB 440/40 (Hoechst) (400/5000); FSC (250/2000); SSC (200/5000). The cells for analysis were selected on size by gating on FSC and SSC. A total of 10,000–500,000 cells were analyzed per sample and all measurements were performed on triplicate samples. To determine the Hoechst-fluorescence intensity (DNA content) from the populations of activated female and male gametocytes, gates were set as in [63]. Data processing and analysis was performed using the program FlowJo (http://www., flowjo.com).

**Electron microscopy**

Samples of wild type, \( cd20 \) mutant and \( map2 \) mutant microgametocytes cultured as described above were fixed in 4% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer and processed for routine electron microscopy as described previously [64]. Briefly, samples were post fixed in osmium tetroxide, treated \( en\) \( bloc\) with uranyl acetate, dehydrated and embedded in Spurr’s epoxy resin. Thin sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate prior to examination in a JEOIL12EX electron microscope (Jeol AB).

Quantitation of the nuclear features observed by electron microscopy was carried out at 15 and 30 minutes. This was based on the examination of 100 microgametocytes identified by axoneme formation at each time point. The features identified were 1 nuclei with no specific features in the plan of section, 2 early stage exhibiting nuclear poles with spindle microtubules and...
kinetochores, mid stage with nuclear pole but no attached kinetochores, and late stages with the nucleus exhibiting areas of condensed chromatin.

Purification of gametocytes and ookinetes

Purification of gametocytes was achieved using a modified protocol from [65]. Briefly, mice were treated by intra-peritoneal injection of 0.2 ml of phenylhydrazine (6 mg/ml) (Sigma) in PBS to encourage reticulocyte formation four days prior to infection with parasites. Day four post infection (p.i.) mice were treated with sulfadiazine (Sigma) at 20 mg/L in their drinking water for two days to eliminate asexual blood stage parasites. On day six p.i. mice were bled by cardiac puncture into heparin and gametocytes separated from uninfected erythrocytes on a NycoDenz gradient made up from 48% NycoDenz (27.6% w/v NycoDenz in 5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.20, 3 mM KCl, 0.3 mM EDTA) and coelenterazine loading buffer (CLB), containing PBS, 20 mM HEPES, 20 mM G6-phosphate, 4 mM sodium bicarbonate, 1 mM EGTA, 0.1% w/v bovine serum albumin, pH 7.25. Gametocytes were harvested from the interface and washed twice in RPMI 1640 ready for activation of gamete formation. Blood from day 5 p.i. mice were cultured for 24 hrs at 20°C for ookinetes as described above. Ookinetes were purified on a 63% NycoDenz gradient and harvested from the interface, washed and labelled.

Quantitative RT-PCR

Parasites were purified as described and frozen in Trizol (Sigma) prior to RNA extraction. RNA was isolated according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Isolated RNA was treated with DNase I (Promega) and used in reverse transcription reactions (Super-Script III Reverse Transcription kit, Invitrogen) from 1 µg of total RNA.

Gene expression was quantified by SYBR green PCR using Fast mastermix on an ABI 7500 QPCR System (Applied Biosystems). Primers were designed using the PerlPrimer software program [66] to be 18–22 bps in length, with 30–60% GC content, to amplify a primer dimer, as determined by dissociation curves. cDNA was diluted 1:20 with DEPC-treated water before use. Reactions consisted of 3.6 µl of diluted cDNA, 5 µl SYBR green fast mastermix (Applied Biosystems), 0.2 µl each of forward and reverse primer and 1 µl of DEPC water. Cycling conditions were: 95°C for 20 sec followed by 40 cycles of 95°C, 3 secs, and 60°C, 30 secs, followed by dissociation curve. Three biological replicates, with three technical replicates from each biological replicate were performed for each assayed gene. Endogenous gene expression was determined using the comparative cycle threshold method [67], whereas relative quantification in mutant lines was determined using the Pfaffl method [68]. Both methods used hsp70 (PBANKA_081890) (forward, 5'-GTATTATTAATGAs-ACCACACCCT-3'; reverse, 5'-GAAACATCATAATGTACC-AsCCTCC-3') and arginyl-tRNA synthetase (PBANKA_143420) (forward, 5'-TTAGTTCAAGTTGGAATTTGGC-3'; reverse, 5'-ATCCTTCCTTCGCCCTC-3') as reference genes.

cdc20 primers were: forward, 5'-ATGGTTGGTAACTATTTGGCCG-3'; reverse, 5'-ATCCTTCCTTCGCCCTC-3'; map2 (PBANKA_093370): forward, 5'-AATGGAAGAACCAGGGCCA-3'; reverse, 5'-ACCCTCTTAGAATCTACCATGGCT-3'; cdk1 (PBANKA_061520): forward, 5'-AAATGGTGATGTACAGAAGTGC-3'; reverse, 5'-ATGGTTCTAATGCAATCTCT-CTTGCT-3'.

CDC20 phosphorylation in vivo

Blood aliquots from infected mice were incubated overnight, from which schizonts and ookinetes were purified by using NycoDenz protocols as described previously [36,65]. Gametocytes were purified and activated for 25 min at 20°C in ookinite medium as described above. Schizonts, activated gametocytes and ookinetes were then washed in phosphate-free Kreb’s buffer and metabolically labelled with 3–5MBq 32P-orthophosphate in phosphate-free Kreb’s buffer for 30 min at 20°C. After two washes in phosphate-free Kreb’s buffer, the labelled parasites were lysed for 30 min at 4°C in lysis buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40) supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche), the resulting lysate was centrifuged at 20,000×g for 5 min and the supernatant collected. GFP-tagged CDC20 proteins were then immunoprecipitated using GFP-TRAP beads (Chromotek). The immuno-precipitated proteins were then resuspended in Laemmli sample buffer and separated by SDS-PAGE. 32P-labelled proteins were visualized using a phosphorimager (Molecular Dynamics) and GFP-tagged proteins analysed by Western Blot as described above, using an anti-GFP polyclonal antibody (Invitrogen). The relative CDC20-GFP phosphorylation levels in activated gametocytes and ookinetes with respect to schizonts were obtained by taking the normalized ratio between the intensity of the phosphorylation signal from the phosphorimager and the intensity of the GFP immunoreactive signal from the corresponding Western Blot by using the ImageJ software (National Institute of Health).

Metabolic labelling for phosphorylation profile

Gametocytes from wild type, cdc20 and map2 mutant parasites were purified by using a NycoDenz protocol as described above from the blood of infected mice. Purified gametocytes were placed for 25 minutes in ookinite medium at 30°C to activate both male and female gametocytes to form gametes. For metabolic labelling, the parasites were washed once with 1 ml of phosphate-free Kreb’s buffer: 118 mM NaCl, 4.7 mM KCl, 4.2 mM NaHCO3, 1.2 mM MgSO4(2H2O), 11.7 mM glucose, 10 mM HEPES, 1.3 mM CaCl2(2H2O), pH 7.4 and resuspended in 500 µl of the same buffer, 20–25 µl 32P-orthophosphate (7–9.25MBq) was added to the suspension and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. The labelled parasites were then lysed in lysis buffer: 50 mM Tris, 0.5 mM EDTA, 5% β-glycerolphosphate, pH 7.6, supplemented with protease/phosphatase inhibitors (Roche) and 1% NP-40. Following incubation on ice for 10 min, the samples were centrifuged 3 min at 20000×g and the supernatants were collected for further fractionation. Fractionation was carried out on an AKTA chromatographer (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) using Resource Q (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) anion-exchange column (matrix volume 1 ml). The proteins were eluted using a linear gradient of 0–1.0 M NaCl in running buffer: 10 mM Tris, 5 mM EDTA and 20 mM β-glycerolphosphate, pH 7.4. Fractions (1 ml) were collected and analysed further by resolution on SDS-PAGE gels. 32P-labelled proteins were visualized by autoradiography.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software). For ooidine conversion rates, non-parametric t-tests were used. For relative quantification of qRT-PCR reactions, two-way ANOVA was performed.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Clustal W alignments used for phylogenetic analyses. Multiple amino-acid sequence alignments of the
conserved WD repeat domains from different species were performed using the Clustal W program. Accession numbers used for alignments were: Saccharomyces cerevisiae Clb20 (NP_011399.1), Spumia Spl1 (NP_593161.1), Lagenidium Cdc20-2 (XP_001683689.1), Laetiporus Cdc20 (NP_011352097.1), L. braziliensis Cdc20 (XP_001564542.1), T. cruzi Cdc20 (XP_2847840.1), T. evansi Cdc20 (XP_3875539.1), Saccharomyces Cbh1 (NP_011512.1), Spumia Srr1 (CAB59693.1), H. sapiens Cbh1 (NP_057347.2), M. musculus Cbh1 (NP_062731.1), D. rerio fizzy-related (NP_956547.1). D. melanogaster fizzy-related (CAAY74575.1), C. elegans fz-1 (NP_196073.1), C. briggsae Cbh1 (NP_192929.2), A. thaliana Cbh1.2 (NP_194022.3), A. thaliana Cbh1.3 (NP_196888.2), Z. mays Cdc20 (NP_011216.2), M. musculus Cdc20 (NP_075712.2), D. rerio Cdc20 (NP_998245.1). D. melanogaster fizzy (NP_477501.1), A. thaliana Cdc20.1 (NP_195053.1), A. thaliana Cdc20.2 (AE839919.1), A. thaliana Cdc20.3 (AED93647.1), A. thaliana Cdc20.4 (AED93621.1), A. thaliana Cdc20.5 (AED93702.1), Micromonas (XP_002502587.1), P. polylepis (XP_278399.1), P. berghei (XP_679699.1), P. chabaudi (XP_743667.1), P. falciparum (XP_001347545.1), P. knowlesi (XP_001683689.1), P. vivax (NP_956547.1). D. melanogaster fizzy-related (CAA74575.1), A. thaliana Cdc20.4 (AED93621.1), A. thaliana Cdc20.5 (AED93702.1), Micromonas (XP_002502587.1), P. polylepis (XP_278399.1), P. berghei (XP_679699.1), P. chabaudi (XP_743667.1), P. falciparum (XP_001347545.1), P. knowlesi (XP_001683689.1), P. vivax (NP_956547.1). D. melanogaster fizzy-related (CAAY74575.1)

Figure S3 Episomal expression of PbCD20-GFP. Epi-

somal expression of PbCD20-GFP throughout the life-cycle was shown to co-localise with Hoechst staining at all stages with addition cytoplasmic expression in ookinetes. High GFP intensity was observed at all stages. Bar = 5 μm. Female gametes (*), zygotes (z) and ookinetes (arrow) are indicated.
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