Study of the Effect of the Driving Force on the Kinetics of CO2 Hydrate Growth in Coal Particles
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Coal and gas outbursts are geological disasters occurring in the process of coal mining that can cause serious casualties and economic losses, among which CH4 is the main component of coal mine gas. However, there are still many coal seams around the world that are dominated by CO2. Although the frequency of CO2 gas outburst accidents is relatively low, CO2 outbursts are very violent, notably difficult to control and highly dangerous. The application of hydration curing technology to reduce the pressure and gradient of CO2 gas in the coal can effectively reduce the occurrence of coal and CO2 outburst. Accordingly, in this paper, experimental studies on the growth kinetics of CO2 hydrate with three driving forces (2, 2.5, 3 MPa) were carried out under four different coal particle sizes (C1: 0.425–0.850 mm, C2: 0.250–0.425 mm, C3: 0.180–0.250 mm, C4: 0–0.180 mm) to obtain kinetic parameters such as gas consumption, growth rate, and heat of decomposition during the synthesis of CO2 hydrate. The results show that the hydrate nucleation time in the same particle size system does not follow the same decreasing trend with increasing driving force. Gas consumption of CO2 hydrates in the same particle size system increased with increasing driving force, and there exists a critical value regarding the effect of the driving force on CO2 hydrate generation in coal particles with the particle size. Under the same temperature conditions, increasing the driving force in the particle size system could increase the CO2 hydrate growth rate. With decreasing coal particle size and increasing driving force, the promoting effect gradually exceed the inhibiting effect, which promote CO2 hydrate formation. Through linear fitting, an equation of the average growth rate of CO2 hydrates versus the driving force for the C1-C4 systems is fitted to provide a reference to predict the average CO2 hydrate growth rate. In the same medium, with increasing driving force, more heat is required for complete decomposition, which remains relatively stable, and the heat of decomposition of CO2 hydrates is the highest in the C1 medium, indicating that the presence of CO2 hydrates in the C1 system represents the most stable state.
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INTRODUCTION

Coal energy is widely used in heating, power generation and other industries, accounting for 56% of the total primary energy consumption in China in 2021 (Hu, 2022). As the demand for coal energy increases year by year, the intensity and depth of coal mining have gradually increased, causing frequent geological disasters such as coal and gas outbursts. Coal and gas outbursts are dynamic phenomena in which coal and gas violently erupt from coal seams within a short period in the underground mining process, which can cause serious economic losses and casualties (Xu and Jiang, 2017; Si et al., 2021a). Therefore, regarding the prevention and control of coal and gas outburst disasters, Wu Qiang et al. (Wu et al., 2005) proposed mine gas hydration curing technology (the principle is shown in Figure 1). By injecting high-pressure and low-temperature water into a coal seam, CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ are hydrated and cured under high-pressure and low-temperature conditions to produce mine gas hydrates, thus reducing the mine gas content and pressure in the coal seam, and in the process of coal mining, because decomposition of solid mine gas hydrates absorbs much heat, the heat transfer coefficient of the surrounding rock of the coal seam is low, which cannot meet the heat requirements for mine gas hydrate ablation within a short time. Therefore, it is difficult to rapidly melt and decompose mine gas hydrates when breaking coal and forming high-pressure mine gas flows to prevent and control coal and gas outbursts.

CH$_4$ is the main component of coal mine gas in outburst-prone coal seams, but there remain many coal seams worldwide dominated by CO$_2$. Although coal and CO$_2$ outbursts occur relatively infrequently, researchers have pointed out that CO$_2$ outbursts are very violent, notably difficult to control and highly dangerous (Wu et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2016; Black, 2019). For example, the largest coal and gas outburst disaster ever recorded in Australia involved a CO$_2$ outburst in the Collinsville State Mine, which ejected 500 tons of coal and released approximately 14,000 m$^3$ of CO$_2$. The Metropolitan colliery in Australia also experienced a CO$_2$ outburst in 2017, ejecting 200 tons of coal and releasing approximately 11,500 m$^3$ of CO$_2$ (Black, 2019). The coal fields in China where CO$_2$ outbursts have occurred include the Yaojie coal field in Gansu, the Yingcheng coal field in Jilin, and the Helong coal field (Hou and Lu, 2000; Wang et al., 2009; Li et al., 2011). Among these coal fields, the CO$_2$ outburst volume in the Yaojie coal field reached as high as 240,000 m$^3$, and the outburst ejected 1,030 tons of coal and rock only on the day of the accident (Tao et al., 1992).

The application of hydration curing technology can theoretically effectively control the occurrence of CO$_2$ outburst accidents, but because the growth process of CO$_2$ hydrates entails continuous mass and heat transfer, there are usually limitations including a long induction time, low generation rate, and high phase equilibrium thermodynamic conditions in CO$_2$ hydrate generation (Sun et al., 2015; Li et al., 2019; Dai et al., 2020; Fan et al., 2020; Cao et al., 2021). Therefore, Chinese and foreign researchers have performed many experiments regarding the formation of CO$_2$ hydrates in noncoal systems. Liu Ni et al. (Liu et al., 2015) conducted a study of the kinetics of CO$_2$ hydrate generation in an Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticle system and found that there exists a certain optimal particle size value leading to the shortest CO$_2$ hydrate generation induction time and the largest amount of gas storage. Montazeri et al. (Montazeri et al., 2019) also found that there occurs an optimal value regarding the effect of the nanoparticle concentration on the hydrate generation rate and induction time by studying the process of CO$_2$ hydrate generation in boehmite nanoparticle systems, thus maximizing energy savings. Bai et al. (Bai et al., 2020) studied the formation pattern and distribution characteristics of CO$_2$ hydrates, and the results indicated that under nonliquefaction conditions, a hydrate film first emerged on the reactor wall, but as the initial temperature was reduced and liquefaction conditions were
reached, hydrates were preferentially formed at the intersection of liquid CO$_2$ and water. Zhang et al. (Zhang et al., 2015) employed quartz sand and ice powder mixtures of different particle sizes to simulate the occurrence conditions of hydrates in the permafrost zone and concluded that the smaller the particle size of porous media within a certain particle size range, the higher the average hydrate formation rate and gas storage capacity of CO$_2$ hydrates are in the hydrate formation process. Seo et al. (Seo et al., 2005) investigated the process of CO$_2$ hydrate generation in porous silica gel with the nuclear magnetic resonance technique and demonstrated that water dispersed in silica gel reacted with CO$_2$ gas very rapidly, thus indicating that the process of CO$_2$ hydrate generation does not require excess water. Kang et al. (Kang and Lee, 2010) studied the process of CO$_2$ hydrate generation in silica gel with a pore size of 100 nm at different temperatures and pressures and concluded that the rate of CO$_2$ gas hydrate generation increased rapidly with increasing driving force. Thus, it can be found that current studies on the mechanism of CO$_2$ hydrate generation in porous media are mostly focused on solving the problem of CO$_2$ greenhouse gas emission reduction, but there are few reports on kinetic data of the CO$_2$ hydrate generation process in coal systems, let alone related literature.

In summary, the results of many studies have pointed out that the driving force and porous medium can promote synthesis of CO$_2$ hydrate. The reaction environment for coal and gas outburst disaster prevention based on the gas hydration solidification method is in the outburst coal body, which has a more complex multi-scale pore structure compared with other porous media (Si et al., 2021b), for which it is particularly important to explore in depth the analysis of factors influencing gas hydrate generation in coal particles. However, at present, there are few reports on the kinetics of the CO$_2$ hydrate generation process in coal particles in China and abroad, and there is a lack of data on CO$_2$ hydrate growth kinetics in coal particles. Accordingly, this paper adopted pressure driving force ($\Delta P$), defined as the pressure value increased on the basis of phase equilibrium pressure ($P_{eq}$) during hydrate formation, and carried out experimental studies on CO$_2$ hydrate growth kinetics with three driving forces (2, 2.5, 3 MPa) under four different coal particle size conditions (C1: 0.425–0.850 mm, C2: 0.250–0.425 mm, C3: 0.180–0.250 mm, C4: 0–0.180 mm), respectively, and the effects of driving forces on kinetic parameters such as gas consumption, growth rate, and heat of decomposition during CO$_2$ hydrate synthesis in coal particles were discussed, which can provide experimental reference and theoretical basis for the selection of pressure driving forces for the realization of hydration curing technology to prevent and control coal and CO$_2$ protrusion in field applications.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Apparatus and Materials

Figure 2 shows the experimental system of CO$_2$ hydrate growth kinetics in coal particles applied in the experiment. The apparatus mainly consists of a visual high-pressure reaction system, a gas booster system (all oil-free and water-free silent air compressors, air dehydrators, pressure pumps, and high-pressure pipelines), a thermostatic control system and a data acquisition system (industrial control computer, data acquisition module and temperature/pressure sensor).
Among these components, the visual high-pressure reaction system is the core part of the experimental device, the reactor body material is 316L stainless steel, the reaction system is equipped with a 3 cm diameter glass window, the effective volume is 1 L, the applicable temperature ranges from -10~50°C, and the ultimate pressure is 30 MPa, similar to the properties of coal particles at the gas hydrate synthesis site. The pressure of silent air compressor is 6 Kw, the gas exhaust capacity is 1000 L/min. The filtering precision of air de-waterer is 0.1 μm, the rate of water/oil removal is 99%, the air treatment quality is 0.48~1.54 Nm³/min. The pressure pump can drive the gas source up to 0.69 MPa under maximum power conditions, and the pressure ranges from atmospheric pressure to the maximum rated value. Constant-temperature air bath is provided by Shanghai Ruiwen Instrument and Equipment Factory (temperature range: −10~60°C; accuracy ± 0.1°C). The temperature is precisely controlled with an intelligent microcomputer equipped with multichannel circulation ventilation, and the air duct is equipped with a heater and chiller to fully ensure that the working temperature within the reactor remained uniform. The data acquisition module displays store temperature and pressure data and a curve of fluctuations within a certain range. Finally, the experiment reached dynamic equilibrium and was sustained, and the temperature and pressure basically remained stable during this phase.

4) After the experiment was initiated, when the temperature and pressure values in the reactor remained unchanged, the constant-temperature air bath was set to 5°C to start cooling, and synthesis was sustained at this temperature for 48 h. When the pressure in the reactor remained stable for a long time, the temperature was raised and maintained at 26°C to eliminate the memory effect, after which the temperature was lowered for the next experiment.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

CO₂ Hydrate Synthesis Process in Coal Particles

Nucleation and growth of CO₂ hydrate in coal particles are two key steps in the hydrate formation process, and the growth process can be subdivided into a fast growth phase, slow growth phase and dynamic equilibrium phase. The fast growth phase is mainly characterized by a rapid decrease in system pressure, and the duration varies from system to system. At the completion of the rapid growth phase, the experiment enters the next growth phase, the duration of this phase is slightly longer than that of the rapid growth phase, and the change in pressure drop is smaller. The experimental temperature still exhibits small increasing fluctuations within a certain range. Finally, the experiment reached dynamic equilibrium and was sustained, and the temperature and pressure basically remained stable during this phase.

Figure 3 shows P–T–t curves of the CO₂ hydrate generation process in the C1-C4 system. The figure shows that the system pressure decreases with decreasing experimental temperature, and the temperature of the four experimental systems remains stable at about 5°C. However, in the process of temperature reduction, all 12 groups of experiments for the C1-C4 system show a trend of temperature increase or slowing down of temperature decrease after the gas dissolution nucleation process. This occurs because the hydrate synthesis process is an exothermic process, and the system temperature increases due to the release of heat and then continues to decrease under the action of the external constant-temperature air bath. The temperature in Expt. 1-4-2 in the C4 system increase to a certain extent after dropping to approximately 9°C, which is caused by the exothermic generation of hydrates, indicating that the heat of reaction release at this time was much higher than the heat removed during the refrigeration system cycle. In addition, it can still be observed in the figure that the higher the pressure driving force of the four systems, the earlier the rapid temperature increase and subsequent decrease occur and the shorter the time require to reach the experimental pressure. Ideally, with increasing driving force, the induction time decreases, but the effects of the particle size, interfacial tension
and capillary coalescence cannot be excluded, so the induction time is not exactly the same for the different particle sizes.

**Analysis of the Influence Law of CO₂ Hydrate Gas Consumption**

Gas consumption is the central parameter reflecting the hydrate synthesis pattern. Hydrates are synthesized in a gas-consuming manner. Gas consumption is determined by the amount of gas change in the reactor for any given time \( t = 0 \sim t \). The number of moles of gas consumed is calculated with Equation 1 (ZareNezhad et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2019).

\[
\Delta n_g = \left[ \frac{PV}{ZRT} \right]_{G,t} - \left[ \frac{PV}{ZRT} \right]_{G,0} 
\]

(1)

Where \( \Delta n_g \) is the gas consumption at moment \( t \) (mmol), \( P, V \) and \( T \) are the pressure (MPa), gas volume (cm³) and temperature (K) in the reactor, respectively, \( R \) is the ideal gas constant (8.314 J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹), and \( Z \) is the gas compression factor, which is calculated with gas compression factor calculation program version 1.0.

Since different initial water injections are used in the experiment, the gas consumption can be further divided by the number of moles of water \( n_{w} \) in the solution, yielding the normalized gas consumption, defined by Eqn. 2 (Mech et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2018).

\[
\Delta N_t = \frac{\Delta n_g}{n_{w}} 
\]

(2)

Where \( \Delta N_t \) is the normalized gas consumption at moment \( t \) (mmol/mol) and \( n_{w} \) is the number of moles of water (mol) in the solution.

Therefore, in this paper, the gas consumption of hydrates under the different pressure driving force conditions with time is calculated with Eqns. 1, 2. The experimental results of the effect of the different pressure driving forces on the gas consumption of CO₂ hydrates in coal particles are listed in Table 1. Figures 4A–D show the gas consumption of CO₂ hydrates in the C1-C4 systems under the different driving force conditions. The figure shows that the slopes of the gas consumption curves under the different pressure driving force conditions are different, and all of these curves show that the gas consumption rate of CO₂ hydrates rapidly rises when CO₂ hydrates are generated, and the gas consumption rate is relatively high at this stage. However, as the reaction proceeds, the gas consumption rate of CO₂ hydrates gradually decreases. The analysis suggests that because the density of CO₂ hydrates is lower than that of water, when CO₂ hydrates are further generated, hydrates can cover the
surface of coal particles, and it is difficult for gas to diffuse into the interior of the coal particles, so the gas consumption rate of CO₂ hydrates gradually decreases and gas consumption gradually remains stable (CO₂ hydrates are basically no longer generated). Regarding CO₂ hydrates in the four particle size systems, the higher the driving force of the synthesis process is, the higher the slope of the gas consumption curve and the earlier the end of the CO₂ hydrate generation phase, indicating a

| Experimental system | Feed Gas | Medium | Particle size d/Mm | Experimental conditions | Driving force ΔP/MPa | Gas consumption Nt/(mmol/mol) |
|---------------------|----------|--------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| I-1-1               | CO₂      | C1     | 0.425~0.850       | 2.79                   | 5                    | 59.3                        |
| I-1-2               |          |        |                   |                        | 2.5                  | 62.6                        |
| I-1-3               |          |        |                   |                        | 3                    | 68.3                        |
| I-2-1               | C2       |        | 0.250~0.425       | 2.59                   | 5                    | 2                           |
| I-2-2               |          |        |                   |                        | 2.5                  | 61.1                        |
| I-2-3               |          |        |                   |                        | 3                    | 63.7                        |
| I-3-1               | C3       |        | 0.180~0.250       | 2.34                   | 5                    | 2                           |
| I-3-2               |          |        |                   |                        | 2.5                  | 48.5                        |
| I-3-3               |          |        |                   |                        | 3                    | 56.5                        |
| I-4-1               | C4       |        | 0~0.180           | 2.24                   | 5                    | 2                           |
| I-4-2               |          |        |                   |                        | 2.5                  | 47.5                        |
| I-4-3               |          |        |                   |                        | 3                    | 62.8                        |

Note: The medium comprises coal particles of C1: 0.425~0.850; C2: 0.250~0.425; C3: 0.180~0.250; C4: 0~0.180. The initial pressure in the kinetic experiment is P = Peq + ΔP.

**FIGURE 4** | Gas consumption of CO₂ hydrates in the C1-C4 systems.
higher gas consumption rate. In the same particle size system, the gas consumption of CO2 hydrates under all three pressure driving force conditions is ranked as $\Delta N_{\text{r},3\text{MPa}} > \Delta N_{\text{r},2.5\text{MPa}} > \Delta N_{\text{r},2\text{MPa}}$, i.e., the gas consumption of CO2 hydrates under the same particle size conditions increases with increasing driving force. This occurs because the driving force affects the gas diffusion process and increases the gas–liquid contact area in the later generation process, thus affecting gas consumption and CO2 hydrate generation. However, the effect of the driving force on CO2 hydrate generation varies among the different particle size systems, where the gas consumption under the 3 MPa (Expt. I-1-3) driving force in the C1 system is 1.09 and 1.15 times higher than that under the 2.5 MPa (Expt. I-1-2) driving force and 2 MPa (Expt. I-1-1) driving force, respectively. The gas consumption under the 3 MPa (Expt. I-2-3) driving force in the C2 system is 1.04 and 1.08 times higher than that under the 2.5 MPa (Expt. I-2-2) driving force and 2 MPa (Expt. I-2-1) driving force, respectively. The gas consumption under the 3 MPa (Expt. I-3-3) driving force in the C3 system is 1.15 and 1.16 times higher than that under the 2.5 MPa (Expt. I-3-2) driving force and 2 MPa (Expt. I-3-1) driving force, respectively. The gas consumption under the 3 MPa (Expt. I-4-3) driving force in the C4 system is 1.32 and 2.02 times higher than that under the 2.5 MPa (Expt. I-4-2) driving force and 2 MPa (Expt. I-4-1) driving force, respectively. With decreasing particle size, the effect of the driving force on gas consumption first decreases and then gradually increases. This phenomenon indicates that there exists a critical value regarding the effect of the driving force on CO2 hydrate generation in coal particles with the change in particle size, above which the effect of the driving force on CO2 hydrate generation gradually decreases with decreasing particle size, and below which the effect of the driving force on CO2 hydrate generation gradually increases with decreasing in particle size.

Figures 5A–C show the CO2 hydrate gas consumption in the 2, 2.5, and 3 MPa systems under the different particle sizes. As shown in Figure 5A and Figure 5B, the gas consumption of CO2 hydrates gradually decreases with decreasing particle size. The reason for this phenomenon is that the diffusion and migration process of CO2 gas inside the coal particle is hindered by the decrease in coal particle size, which leads to the inability of CO2 to react with water deep within the coal particles to form hydrates, and thus, gas consumption decreases. However, as shown in Figure 5C, the gas consumption sequence of CO2 hydrates of $\Delta N_{\text{r},\text{Expt. I-1-3}} > \Delta N_{\text{r},\text{Expt. I-3-3}}$ emerges, i.e., the gas consumption in the C4 particle size system is higher than that in the C3 particle size system under a 3 MPa driving force, which is the opposite to the phenomena in Figure 5A and Figure 5B. The analysis suggests that the reduction in particle size leads to an increase in the specific surface area of the coal particles, which greatly increases the gas–liquid contact area required for CO2 hydrate growth, and the interfacial effect is especially prominent, which is favorable for CO2 hydrate generation. Moreover, the promotion effect is further strengthened with increasing driving force, resulting in an increase in CO2 hydrate gas consumption.

### Analysis of the Influence Law of CO2 Hydrate Average Growth Rate

The average growth rate is an important parameter of the overall synthesis process conditions of CO2 hydrates, which can suitably reflect the kinetic behavior of CO2 hydrate growth and can be calculated with Equation 3 (Mech et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2018).

$$N_{r(t_{\text{total}})} = \frac{\Delta n_g}{t_{\text{total}}}$$

(3)

Where $N_{r(t_{\text{total}})}$ is the ratio between the final amount of CO2 hydrate production and the corresponding production time $t_{\text{total}}$.

The normalized average growth rate at each stage of the CO2 hydrate synthesis process is calculated with the forward difference method given by Equation 4 (Zhong et al., 2016), where $N_{r(t)}$ is the normalized hydrate growth rate (mmol/mol/min) and $\Delta t$ is the time interval (min).

$$N_{r(t)} = \frac{(\Delta n_g)_{t+n_{\Delta}} - (\Delta n_g)_t}{\Delta t \cdot n_{\Delta}}$$

(4)

Considering that CO2 hydrate generation is not the same in the different particle size systems, the effect of the pressure driving force on CO2 hydrate growth kinetics was accurately analyzed to avoid CO2 hydrate generation from affecting the
The average growth rate of CO₂ hydrates in each system under the different driving forces was calculated in the experiment. The average growth rate during the first 300 min and the average growth rate of the whole process were considered to analyze the influence of the different driving forces on the formation process of CO₂ hydrates. The experimental results are provided in Table 2.

### Table 2 | Average growth rate of CO₂ hydrates in coal particles under the different driving forces.

| Experimental system | Feed Gas | Medium | Particle size (μm) | Driving force ΔP (MPa) | Average growth rate over 300 min (mmol/mol/min) | Average growth rate of the whole process (mmol/mol/min) |
|----------------------|----------|--------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| I-1-1                | CO₂      | C1     | 0.425–0.850       | 2                      | 0.11633                                       | 0.02196                                             |
| I-1-2                |          |        |                   | 2.5                    | 0.17004                                       | 0.02319                                             |
| I-1-3                |          |        |                   | 3                      | 0.20485                                       | 0.0263                                              |
| I-2-1                | C2       |        | 0.250–0.425       | 2                      | 0.095                                         | 0.02185                                             |
| I-2-2                |          |        |                   | 2.5                    | 0.11234                                       | 0.02263                                             |
| I-2-3                |          |        |                   | 3                      | 0.16344                                       | 0.02359                                             |
| I-3-1                | C3       |        | 0.180–0.250       | 2                      | 0.06113                                       | 0.01796                                             |
| I-3-2                |          |        |                   | 2.5                    | 0.09683                                       | 0.01822                                             |
| I-3-3                |          |        |                   | 3                      | 0.14479                                       | 0.02093                                             |
| I-4-1                | C4       |        | 0–0.180           | 2                      | 0.06629                                       | 0.01152                                             |
| I-4-2                |          |        |                   | 2.5                    | 0.09641                                       | 0.01759                                             |
| I-4-3                |          |        |                   | 3                      | 0.19697                                       | 0.02526                                             |

Note: The medium comprises coal particles of C1: 0.425–0.850; C2: 0.250–0.425; C3: 0.180–0.250; C4: 0–0.180.

### Figure 6 | Histogram comparing the average growth rate of CO₂ hydrates in the C1–C4 systems.

The results show that the average growth rate of CO₂ hydrates in each system under the different driving forces was calculated in the experiment. The average growth rate during the first 300 min and the average growth rate of the whole process were considered to analyze the influence of the different driving forces on the formation process of CO₂ hydrates. The experimental results are provided in Table 2.
The average growth rate of CO₂ hydrates in the C1–C4 systems is shown in Figures 6A–B. The average growth rate of CO₂ hydrates in the C1–C4 systems increases with increasing driving force. The average growth rate of CO₂ hydrates in the four particle size systems was ranked as \( N_r(ΔP=3\, \text{MPa}) > N_r(ΔP=2.5\, \text{MPa}) > N_r(ΔP=2\, \text{MPa}) \) over the first 300 min and the whole experiment under the three driving conditions, which indicated that the average growth rate of CO₂ hydrates increased with increasing driving force. The average growth rate was higher during the first 300 min of the experiment than that throughout the whole experiment, indicating that CO₂ hydrates were rapidly synthesized in large quantities during the first 300 min of the experiment. The analysis suggests that in a reaction system with a constant temperature and volume, the main water molecules and guest CO₂ molecules are adsorbed to form CO₂ hydrates at the gas–liquid interface in the upper layer of the coal particles first, and the Gibbs free energy of the system reaches a maximum value when CO₂ hydrate nuclei are formed. The higher the driving force of CO₂ hydrate generation, the more CO₂ hydrates are continuously synthesized rapidly along the direction of decreasing the molar Gibbs free energy, thus prompting the hydration reaction to rapidly proceed toward the lower layer of coal particles, and the CO₂ hydrates in the coal particles continuously increase, while the growth rate increases. However, as the reaction proceeds, when the particle size surface, pores between the coal particles and contact surface between the coal particles and CO₂ are occupied by CO₂ hydrates, the passage of CO₂ into the coal particles can become partially blocked, which increases the gas transport resistance and reduces the diffusion rate of gas. As the gas supply is insufficient, the CO₂ hydrate growth rate starts to decrease, resulting in a lower average growth rate throughout the experiment than that during the first 300 min of the experiment.

Figures 7A–C show that the average growth rate of CO₂ hydrates under the three driving force conditions decreases overall with decreasing particle size during the whole synthesis process. The analysis suggests that the average growth rate of CO₂ hydrates decreases with decreasing particle size of the coal particles, which hinders and inhibits the diffusion and migration of CO₂ in the pores of the coal particles to different degrees. However, with increasing driving force and decreasing coal particle size, the variation in the average growth rate of CO₂ hydrates gradually decreases or the growth rate of the CO₂ hydrate system even increases. The analysis suggests that during the process of CO₂ hydrate generation in the coal particle system, a decrease in particle size leads to obstruction of CO₂ gas diffusion and migration in the coal particles, which hinders CO₂ hydrate generation, but with increasing specific surface area and driving force of the coal particles, the promotion effect is strengthened and eventually exceeds the obstruction effect, which promotes CO₂ hydrate generation, resulting in the phenomenon of an increasing hydrate growth rate.

To predict the average growth rate of CO₂ hydrates under any driving force condition between 2 and 3 MPa in the C1–C4 particle size systems, the average growth rate of CO₂ hydrates in the C1–C4 systems is fitted with the driving force using linear fitting, and the fitting results are shown in Figures 8A–D and Table3. Among them, except for the general correlation of the whole process for the C3 system (correlation coefficient \( R_{Nr(2700\, \text{min})} = 0.63 \), the rest of the fitting results show extremely strong or strong correlation. The construction of these fitted equations can provide a reference to predict the average growth rate of CO₂ hydrates in the C1–C4 particle size systems under a driving force ranging from 2 to 3 MPa.

**Analysis of the Influence Law of CO₂ Hydrate Heat of Decomposition**

The complete decomposition of CO₂ hydrate requires the absorption of a large amount of heat, and the heat of decomposition is an important parameter for carrying out the hydrate method of solidifying and storing CO₂ in mines, as well as an important basic value for the stable existence of CO₂ hydrate in the outburst coal body. Therefore, it is crucial to determine the value of the heat of decomposition of CO₂ hydrate solidification products in the outburst coal body and the influence of the driving force on its variation. For this reason, in this paper, on the basis of the average calorific value of decomposition of CO₂ hydrate in the C1–C4 system using the Clausius-Clapeyron equation (Xie et al., 2020), the total heat of decomposition of
CO2 hydrates in the different systems is obtained with Eqn. 5, and the experimental results are listed in Table 4.

$$\Delta H_{\text{diss\,total}} = \Delta N \cdot \Delta H_{\text{diss\,average}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Where $\Delta H_{\text{diss\,total}}$ is the total heat of decomposition of CO2 hydrates (J) and $\Delta H_{\text{diss\,average}}$ is the average heat of decomposition of the CO2 hydrate system (kJ/mol).

**Figure 9** shows a comparison of the heat of decomposition of CO2 at the end of the hydration curing reaction under the different driving forces and four particle sizes of coal particles. The figure shows that the heat of decomposition of CO2 hydrates gradually increases with increasing driving force in the same medium, and the heat required for complete decomposition increasingly stabilizes. This occurs because in the same coal

---

**TABLE 3** | Results of fitting the average growth rate of CO2 hydrate versus driving force in the C1-C4 systems.

| Medium | Fitting formula | Correlation coefficient | Fitting correlation |
|--------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| C1     | $N_{(300 \text{ min})} = -0.05756 + 0.08852P$ | $R_{N_{(300 \text{ min})}} = 0.97$ | extremely strong correlation |
|        | $N_{(2700 \text{ min})} = 0.01513 + 0.00334P$ | $R_{N_{(2700 \text{ min})}} = 0.95$ | extremely strong correlation |
| C2     | $N_{(300 \text{ min})} = -0.04751 + 0.06844P$ | $R_{N_{(300 \text{ min})}} = 0.85$ | extremely strong correlation |
|        | $N_{(2700 \text{ min})} = 0.01834 + 0.00174P$ | $R_{N_{(2700 \text{ min})}} = 0.95$ | extremely strong correlation |
| C3     | $N_{(300 \text{ min})} = -0.10623 + 0.08366P$ | $R_{N_{(300 \text{ min})}} = 0.99$ | extremely strong correlation |
|        | $N_{(2700 \text{ min})} = 0.01161 + 0.00297P$ | $R_{N_{(2700 \text{ min})}} = 0.63$ | strong correlation |
| C4     | $N_{(300 \text{ min})} = -0.20681 + 0.1306P$ | $R_{N_{(300 \text{ min})}} = 0.82$ | extremely strong correlation |
|        | $N_{(2700 \text{ min})} = -0.01189 + 0.01174P$ | $R_{N_{(2700 \text{ min})}} = 0.99$ | extremely strong correlation |

Note: The medium comprises coal particles of C1: 0.425~0.850; C2: 0.250~0.425; C3: 0.180~0.250; C4: 0~0.180.
particle system, the total gas–liquid contact area is almost the same, and under the effect of a high driving force, more guest CO2 molecules enter the deep coal particle reaction system through the surface layer to participate in the reaction. The other reason is that under the effect of a high driving force, the initial armor effect of the reaction system is mitigated, and more gas molecules participate in the reaction. Under the same driving force, the heat required for the decomposition of CO2 hydrates in medium C1 is the highest and exhibits the highest heat of decomposition, indicating that the presence of CO2 hydrates in medium C1 is relatively consistent.

CONCLUSION

By conducting comparative experimental studies on the growth kinetics of CO2 hydrates in coal particles under three driving force conditions, the variations in CO2 hydrate gas consumption, growth rate, total heat of decomposition and other effects were studied, and mechanisms and conclusions regarding the influence of the driving force on the growth kinetics of CO2 hydrates in coal particles were obtained.

1) In the same particle size system, with increasing driving force, the nucleation time of hydrates in each system does not follow the same shortening pattern, and the effect of the different driving forces on the gas consumption of CO2 hydrates is different. Under the three driving forces, the gas consumption of CO2 hydrates was ranked as $\Delta N_{1,3.5MPa} > \Delta N_{1,2.5MPa} > \Delta N_{1,2.0MPa}$ and it could be concluded that the gas consumption of CO2 hydrates increased with increasing driving force. By analyzing the effect of the different driving forces on CO2 hydrate generation, it is concluded that there exists a critical value regarding the effect of the driving force on CO2 hydrate generation in coal particles with the change in particle size, above which the effect of the driving force on CO2 hydrate generation gradually decreases with decreasing particle size, and below which the effect of the driving force on CO2 hydrate generation gradually intensifies with decreasing particle size.

2) Under the same temperature conditions, increasing the driving force of the CO2 hydrate generation process in the same particle size system can increase the growth rate of CO2 hydrates, and the driving force exerts a more obvious effect on the average growth rate at the early stage of synthesis. Under the same driving force conditions, the average growth rate of CO2 hydrates decreases overall with decreasing coal particle size, but with increasing driving force, the variation in the average growth rate gradually decreases or the average growth rate even increases. Linear regression was employed to fit an equation of the relationship between the driving force and the average growth rate in each particle size system to provide a reference to predict the average growth rate of CO2 hydrates in the C1-C4 particle size systems under a driving force ranging from 2~3 MPa.

### TABLE 4 | Total heat of decomposition of CO2 hydrates in coal particles under the different driving forces.

| Experimental system | Feed Gas | Medium | Particle size d/Mm | Average heat of decomposition/kJ·mol$^{-1}$ | Driving force $\Delta P/MPa$ | Gas consumption Nt/(mmol/mol) | Total heat of decomposition/J |
|----------------------|----------|--------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| I-1-1 CO2            | C1       | 0.425–0.850 | 47.87             | 2                                           | 59.3                        | 2838.69                      |
| I-1-2                |          |         |                   | 2.5                                         | 62.6                        | 2996.66                      |
| I-1-3                |          |         |                   | 3                                           | 68.3                        | 3269.52                      |
| I-2-1 C2             |          | 0.250–0.425 | 34.27             | 2                                           | 59                          | 2021.93                      |
| I-2-2                |          |         |                   | 2.5                                         | 61.1                        | 2093.88                      |
| I-2-3                |          |         |                   | 3                                           | 63.7                        | 2183.00                      |
| I-3-1 C3             |          | 0.180–0.250 | 41.63             | 2                                           | 48.5                        | 2019.06                      |
| I-3-2                |          |         |                   | 2.5                                         | 49.2                        | 2048.20                      |
| I-3-3                |          |         |                   | 3                                           | 56.5                        | 2352.10                      |
| I-4-1 C4             |          | 0–0.180 | 46.17             | 2                                           | 31.1                        | 1435.89                      |
| I-4-2                |          |         |                   | 2.5                                         | 0–0.180                     | 2193.08                      |
| I-4-3                |          |         |                   | 3                                           | 62.8                        | 2899.48                      |

Note: The medium comprises coal particles of C1: 0.425–0.850; C2: 0.250–0.425; C3: 0.180–0.250; C4: 0–0.180.

### FIGURE 9 | Comparison of the heat of decomposition of CO2 hydrates in the C1-C4 systems.
3) During the growth of CO2 hydrates in the coal particle system, the obstruction of CO2 gas diffusion and migration within the coal particles due to the decrease in particle size is the main factor impacting the process of CO2 hydrate generation. However, with decreasing particle size, the promoting effects, such as the increase in specific surface area and driving force of the coal particles, are strengthened and gradually become stronger than the hindering effects and dominate the factors promoting CO2 hydrate generation in coal particles.

4) In the same medium, the heat of decomposition of CO2 hydrates gradually increases with increasing driving force, and more heat is required for complete decomposition, which remains relatively stable. The heat required for the decomposition of CO2 hydrates in the C1 medium is the highest and exhibits the highest total heat of decomposition, indicating that the presence of CO2 hydrates in the C1 medium remains relatively constant.
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