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Abstract

Recent studies have shown the importance of modeling long-range interactions in the inpainting problem. To achieve this goal, existing approaches exploit either standalone attention techniques or transformers, but usually under a low resolution in consideration of computational cost. In this paper, we present a novel transformer-based model for large hole inpainting, which unifies the merits of transformers and convolutions to efficiently process high-resolution images. We carefully design each component of our framework to guarantee the high fidelity and diversity of recovered images. Specifically, we customize an inpainting-oriented transformer block, where the attention module aggregates non-local information only from partial valid tokens, indicated by a dynamic mask. Extensive experiments demonstrate the state-of-the-art performance of the new model on multiple benchmark datasets. Code is released at \url{https://github.com/fenglinglwb/MAT}.

1. Introduction

Image completion (a.k.a. inpainting) is a fundamental problem in computer vision, which aims to fill missing regions with plausible contents. It has many applications including image editing [23], image re-targeting [9], photo
restoration [53, 54] and object removal [3].

In inpainting, modeling the contextual information is crucial, especially for large masks. Creating reasonable structures and textures for the missing areas demands contextual understanding, using distant information according to non-local priors [4, 7, 38, 56] in images. Previous works employ stacked convolutions to reach large receptive fields and model long-range relationships, which works well on aligned (e.g., faces, bodies) and texture-heavy (e.g., forests, water) data. When processing images with complicated structures (i.e., the first example in the 2nd row in Figure 1), it is difficult for fully convolutional neural networks (CNNs) to characterize the semantic correspondences between distant areas. This is mainly due to the inherent properties of CNNs, the slow growth of the effective receptive field and the inevitable dominance of nearby pixels. To explicitly model long-range dependencies in inpainting, [61, 65, 66] propose to employ attention modules in the CNN-based generator. However, limited by the quadratic computational complexity, the attention module is merely applied to relatively small-scale feature maps with a few times, where long-range modeling is not fully exploited.

In contrast to applying attention modules to CNNs, transformer [52] is a natural architecture to handle non-local modeling, where attention is a basic component in every block. Recent advances [55, 68, 77] adopt transformer structures to address the inpainting problem. Nonetheless, affected by the complexity issue, existing works only employ transformers to infer low-resolution predictions (e.g., 32 × 32) for subsequent processing, hence the produced image structure is coarse, compromising the final image quality, especially on large-scale masks.

In this paper, we develop a new inpainting transformer, capable of generating high-resolution completed results for large mask inpainting. Due to the lack of useful information in some regions (this is common when the given mask rules out most pixels), we find the commonly utilized transformer block (LN→MSA→LN→FFN) exhibits inferior performance in adversarial training. In this regard, we customize the vanilla Transformer block to increase optimization stability and also improve performance, by removing the conventional layer normalization [1] and replacing the residual learning with fusion learning using feature concatenation. We analyze why these modifications are crucial for learning and empirically demonstrate they are non-trivial. Also, to handle possible heavy interactions between all tokens extracted from the high-resolution input, we propose a new variant of multi-head self-attention (MSA), named multi-head contextual attention (MCA). It computes non-local relations only using partial valid tokens. The selection of adopted tokens is indicated by a dynamic mask, which is initialized by the input mask and updated with spatial constraints and long-range interactions, improving the efficiency at no cost of effectiveness. Additionally, we incorporate a novel style manipulation module into the proposed framework, inherently supporting pluralistic generation. As shown in Fig. 1, our method successfully fills large holes with visually realistic and exceptionally diverse contents. Our contributions are summarized as:

- We develop a novel inpainting framework MAT. It is the first transformer-based inpainting system capable of directly processing high-resolution images.
- We meticulously design components of MAT. The proposed multi-head contextual attention conducts long-range dependency modeling efficiently by exploiting valid tokens, indicated by a dynamic mask. We also propose a modified transformer block to make training large masks more stable. Moreover, we design a novel style manipulation module to improve diversity.
- MAT sets new state of the arts on multiple benchmark datasets including Places [78] and CelebA-HQ [25]. It also enables pluralistic completion.

2. Related Work

Image completion has been a longstanding problem in computer vision. Early diffusion-based methods [2, 6] propagate neighboring undamaged information to the holes. Within an internal or external searching space, patch-based or exemplar-based approaches [10–12, 19, 28, 30, 50] borrow patches with similar appearance based on human-defined distance metrics to complete missing regions. Patch-Match [3] proposes a multi-scale patch searching strategy to accelerate the inpainting process. Moreover, partial differential equation [5, 17] and global or local image statistics [14, 15, 31] are vastly studied in the literature. Though traditional methods can often obtain visually realistic results, the lack of high-level understanding hinders them from generating semantically reasonable contents.

In the few years, deep learning has achieved great success on the image completion. Pathak et al. [42] bring the adversarial training [16] to inpainting and utilize an encoder-decoder-based architecture to fill holes. Afterwards, numerous variants [34, 57, 64, 69] of the U-Net structure [45] have been developed for image completion. Besides, more sophisticated networks or learning strategies are proposed to generate high-quality images, including global and local discrimination [22], contextual attention [35, 61, 65, 66], partial [33] and gated [67] convolution, etc. Multi-stage generation has also received a great amount of attention, where intermediate clues like object edges [40], foreground contours [63], structures [44] and semantic segmentation maps [49] are extensively exploited.

To allow for high-resolution image inpainting, a few attempts have been made to develop progressively generation systems, such as [18, 32, 41, 71, 72].
Recently, researchers switch their focus to more challenging settings, among which the most representative problems are pluralistic generation and large hole filling. For the former, Zheng et al. [76] propose a probabilistically principled framework with two parallel paths, capable of producing multiple plausible solutions. UCTGAN [74] projects the instance image space and masked image space into a common low-dimensional manifold space via optimizing the KL-divergence to allow diverse generations of missing contents. Later on, [55] and [68] take advantage of bidirectional attention or auto-regressive transformers to accomplish this goal. Although these methods improve the diversity, their completion and inference performances are limited due to the variational training and raster-scan-order-based generation. On the other hand, some works [37, 51, 75, 77] are proposed to solve the large hole inpainting problem. For example, CoModGAN [75] leverages the modulation techniques [8, 26, 27] to handle large-scale missing regions. In this work, we develop a novel framework to simultaneously achieve high-quality pluralistic generation and large hole filling, bringing the best of long-range context interaction and unconditional generation to the image completion task.

3. Method

Given a masked image, formulated as \( I_M = I \odot M \), image completion aims to hallucinate visually appealing and semantically appropriate contents for missing areas. In this work, we present a mask-aware transformer (MAT) for large mask inpainting, supporting conditional long-range interactions. Besides, in light of the ill-posed nature of image completion problem, \( i.e., \) there could be numerous plausible solutions to fill the large holes, our approach is designed to support pluralistic generation.

3.1. Overall Architecture

As shown in Fig. 2, our proposed MAT architecture consists of a convolutional head, a transformer body, a convolutional tail and a style manipulation module, bringing the merits of transformers and convolutions into full play. Specifically, a convolutional head is used to extract tokens, then the main body with five stages of transformer blocks models long-range interactions via the proposed multi-head contextual attention (MCA). For the output tokens from the body, a convolution-based reconstruction module is adopted to upsample the spatial resolution to the input size. Moreover, we adopt another Conv-U-Net to refine high-frequency details, leaning upon the local texture refinement capability and efficiency of CNNs. At last, we design a style manipulation module, enabling the model to deliver diverse predictions by modulating the weights of convolutions. All components in our method are detailed below.

3.2. Convolutional Head

The convolutional head takes in the incomplete image \( I_M \) and the given mask \( M \), and produces 1/s sized feature maps used for tokens. It contains four convolutional layers, one for changing the input dimension and others for down-sampling the resolution.

We utilize a convolutional head mainly for two reasons. First, the incorporation of local inductive priors in early visual processing remains vital for better representation [43] and optimizability [60]. On the other hand, it is designed for fast downsampling to reduce computational complexity and memory cost. Also, we empirically find this design is better than the linear projection head used in ViT [13], as validated in the supplementary material.
after every module. Whereas, we observe unstable optimization using the general block when handling large-scale masks, sometimes incurring gradient exploding. We attribute this training issue to the large ratio of invalid tokens (their values are nearly zero). In this circumstance, layer normalization may magnify useless tokens overwhelmingly, leading to unstable training. Besides, residual learning generally encourages the model to learn high-frequency contents. However, considering most tokens are invalid at the beginning, it is difficult to directly learn high-frequency details without proper low-frequency basis in GAN training, which makes the optimization harder. Replacing such residual learning with concatenation leads to obviously superior results, as verified in Sec. 4.3.

3.3.2 Multi-Head Contextual Attention

To handle a large number of tokens (up to 4096 tokens for $512 \times 512$ images) and low fidelity in the given tokens (at most 90% tokens are useless), our attention module exploits shifted windows [36] and a dynamical mask, capable of conducting non-local interactions using a few feasible tokens. The output is computed as the weighted sum of valid tokens, as shown in Fig. 3, which is formulated as

$$\text{Att}(Q, K, V) = \text{Softmax}\left(\frac{QK^T + M}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)V,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $Q, K, V$ are the query, key, value matrices and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_k}}$ is the scaling factor. The mask $M'$ is expressed as:

$$M'_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if token } j \text{ is valid}, \\ -\tau, & \text{if token } j \text{ is invalid}, \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\tau$ is a large positive integer (100 in our experiments). In this case, the aggregation weights of invalid tokens are nearly 0. After each attention, we shift the positions of $w \times w$ sized windows by $\lfloor \frac{w}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{w}{2} \rfloor$ pixels, enabling cross-window connections.

**Mask Updating Strategy.** The mask (M') points out whether a token is valid or invalid, which is initialized by the input mask and automatically updated during propagation. The updating follows a rule that all tokens in a window are updated to be valid after attention as long as there is at least one valid token before. If all tokens in a window are invalid, they remain invalid after attention. As shown in Fig. 4, going through an attention from (a) to (b), all tokens in the top left window become valid, while tokens in other windows are still invalid. After several times of window shift and attention, the mask is updated to be fully valid.

**Analysis.** For images dominated by missing regions, the default attention strategy not only fails to borrow visible information to inpaint the holes, but also undermines the

3.3.1 Adjusted Transformer Block

We propose a new transformer block variant to handle the optimization of masks with large holes. In detail, we remove the layer normalization (LN) [1] and employ fusion learning (using feature concatenation) instead of residual learning. As shown in Fig. 3, we concatenate the input and output of attention and use a fully connected (FC) layer:

$$X_{k, \ell} = \text{FC}(\text{MCA}(X_{k, \ell-1}, X_{k, \ell-1})),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$X_{k, \ell} = \text{MLP}(X'_{k, \ell}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $X_{k, \ell}$ is the output of the MLP module of the $\ell$-th block in the $k$-th stage. After several transformer blocks, as illustrated in Fig. 3, we adopt a convolution layer with a global residual connection. Note that we abandon the positional embedding in the transformer block since [59, 62] have shown that $3 \times 3$ convolutions are sufficient to provide positional information for transformers. Thus, the flowing only depends on the feature similarity, which promotes long-range interactions.

**Analysis.** The general architecture of transformer [52] contains two sub-modules, a multi-head self-attention (MSA) module and an MLP module. Layer normalization is applied before every module and a residual connection [20]
3.4. Style Manipulation Module

Inspired by [8, 26, 27], we design a style manipulation module to endow our framework with pluralistic generation. It manipulates the output by changing the weight normalization of convolution layers in the reconstruction procedure with an additional noise input. To enhance the representation ability of noise inputs, we enforce the image-conditional style $s_u$ to learn from both the image feature $X$ and the noise-unconditional style $s_v$, formulated as

$$s_u = \mathcal{E}(n),$$  

$$X' = B \odot X + (1 - B) \odot \text{Resize}(s_u),$$  

$$s_v = \mathcal{F}(X'),$$

where $B$ is a random binary mask, on which values are set to 1 with a probability of $p$ and to 0 with $1 - p$, $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are mapping functions. As shown in Fig. 2, the style representation is obtained by fusing both style representations:

$$s = \mathcal{A}(s_u, s_v),$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is a mapping function. Then the weights $W$ of convolutions are baked as

$$W'_{ijk} = W_{ijk} \cdot s_i,$$  

$$W''_{ijk} = W_{ijk} \sqrt{\sum_{i,k} W'_{ijk}^2 + \epsilon},$$

where $i, j, k, \epsilon$ denotes the input channels, output channels and spatial footprint of the convolution, respectively, and $\epsilon$ is a small constant. The modulation of different style representations leads to pluralistic outputs. Also, we incorporate the noise injection [26] into our framework to further enhance the diversity of generation.

3.5. Loss Functions

To improve the quality and diversity of the generation, we adopt the non-saturating adversarial loss [16] for both two stages to optimize our framework, regardless of the pixel-wise MAE or MSE loss that usually leads to averaged blurry results. We also use the $R_1$ regularization [39, 46], written as $R_1 = E_x \|\nabla D(x)\|$. Besides, we adopt the perceptual loss [24] with an empirically low coefficient since we notice it enables easier optimization.

Adversarial Loss. We calculate the adversarial loss as

$$L_G = -E_x [\log (D(\hat{x}))],$$  

$$L_D = -E_x [\log (D(x))] - E_\hat{x} [\log (1 - D(\hat{x}))],$$

where $x$ and $\hat{x}$ are the real and generated images. We apply adversarial loss to both two-stage generations in Fig. 2.

Perceptual Loss. The perceptual loss is formulated as

$$L_P = \sum_i \eta_i \|\phi_i(\hat{x}) - \phi_i(x)\|_1,$$

where $\phi_i(\cdot)$ denotes the layer activation of a pre-trained VGG-19 [48] network. We only consider the high-level features of $\text{conv}_{4,4}$ and $\text{conv}_{5,4}$, allowing for variations of inpainted results, with scaling coefficients $\eta_i$ as $\frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$.

Overall Loss. The overall loss of the generator is

$$L = L_G + \gamma R_1 + \lambda L_P,$$

where $\gamma = 10$ and $\lambda = 0.1$.

4. Experiments

4.1. Datasets and Metrics

We conduct experiments on the Places365-Standard [78] and the CelebA-HQ [25] datasets at $512 \times 512$ resolution. Specifically, on the Places dataset, we use the 1.8 million and 36.5 thousand images from train and validation sets to train and evaluate our models, respectively. Images are randomly cropped or padded to $512 \times 512$ size during training while centrally cropped or padded for evaluation. For CelebA-HQ, train and validation splits are organized with 24,183 and 2,993 images. Though trained on $512 \times 512$ images, we show our model generalizes well to a larger resolution in the supplementary material.

In terms of the large hole setting, following [75], we opt for perceptual metrics including FID [21], P-IDS [75] and U-IDS [73] for evaluation. We suggest that it is inappropriate to use the pixel-wise L1 distance, PSNR and SSIM [58], since preliminary works [29, 47] have shown that these metrics correlate weakly with human perception regarding image quality, especially for the ill-posed large-scale image completion problem. Though LPIPS [73] is calculated in...
Figure 5. Visual examples for ablation study. Model A is our full model, while model B, C, D refer to models replacing transformers with convolutions, using the conventional transformer block and multi-head attention, respectively.

Table 1. Ablation study of the framework components. “A” represents our full model. “B” replaces transformers with convolutions. “C” replaces our adjusted transformer block with the original design [52]. “D” means using the conventional attention strategy. “E” removes the noise style manipulation. “F” limits the output size of first-stage generation to $64 \times 64$.

| Type          | Model                      | FID↓ | P-IDS (%)↑ | U-IDS (%)↑ |
|---------------|----------------------------|------|------------|------------|
| A             | Full Model                 | 5.97 | 13.17      | 29.23      |
| B             | - Tran.                    | 6.21 | 11.30      | 27.39      |
| C             | - Adjusted Tran. Block     | 5.36 | 12.30      | 29.05      |
| D             | - MCA                      | 6.08 | 13.13      | 29.19      |
| E             | - Style Mani. Module       | 6.10 | 11.88      | 27.94      |
| F             | - High-Res. Gen.           | 6.32 | 12.57      | 28.21      |
performance, 0.39 improvement on FID, than model “C” with the original transformer block. As illustrated in Fig. 5, we notice our design produces more visually appealing results, supporting high-quality image completion. Especially for the first example, even though the missing area is extremely large, our method can still recover a semantically consistent and visually realistic indoor scene.

Multi-Head Contextual Attention. To quickly fill the missing regions with realistic contents, we propose a multi-head contextual attention (MCA). To make a deeper understanding, we build a model without partial aggregation from valid tokens. The quantitative results are shown as model “D” in Table 1. It is noted that FID drops by 0.1 yet other metrics do not change too much. We suggest the proposed contextual attention is helpful for maintaining color consistency and reducing blurriness. As illustrated in Fig. 5, the model without MCA generates contents with incorrect colors for the first example, while producing blurry artifacts for the second example. Both the quantitative and qualitative results validate the power of our MCA.

Style Manipulation Module. To deal with large masks, apart from the conditional long-range interaction, we also introduce unconditional generation. To quantify the unconditional generative capability of our framework, we strip the noise style manipulation. From the results of model “E” in Table 1, we find a large gap on P-IDS and U-IDS, showing the modulation of stochastic noise styles further improves the naturalness of completed images.

High Resolution in Reconstruction. Due to quadratically increased computational complexity, existing works [55, 68, 77] adopt transformers to synthesize low-resolution results, typically 32 × 32, for subsequent processing. By contrast, our MAT architecture takes advantage of its computational efficiency to enable high-resolution outputs in the reconstruction stage. As illustrated in Table 1, our full model “A” achieves significant improvement over model “F”, demonstrating the importance of high-resolution prediction.

4.4. Comparison with State of the Arts

We compare the proposed MAT with a number of state-of-the-art approaches. For a fair comparison, we use publicly available models to test on the same masks. As illustrated in Table 2, MAT achieves superior or comparable results on both CelebA-HQ and Places. It is noted that we only use a subset Places365-Standard (1.8M images) to train our model, much fewer than CoModGAN [75] (8M images) and Big LaMa [51] (4.5M images). Besides, our method is much more parameter-efficient than the second-best CoModGAN and transformer-based ICT [55]. As illustrated in Fig 8, compared to other methods, the proposed MAT restores more photo-realistic images with fewer artifacts. For example, our method successfully recovers visually pleasing flowers and regular building structures.

4.5. Pluralistic Generation

The inherent diversity of our framework mainly sources from the style manipulation. As shown in Fig. 6, style variants lead to different completions. From the first example in Fig. 6, we observe a change from a pursed smile to a toothy laugh. And the second example shows different face contours and appearances. As for the final one, we find different window and roof structures.

4.6. Limitations and Failure Cases

Trained without semantic annotations, MAT usually struggles when processing objects with a variety of shapes, e.g., running animals. As shown in Fig. 7, our method fails to recover the cat and car due to the lack of semantic context understanding. Also, limited by the downsampling and predefined window sizes in attention, we need to pad or resize.
Table 2. Quantitative comparison on Places [78] and CelebA-HQ [25]. “†”: CoModGAN [75] and LaMa [51] use 8M and 4.5M training images on Places, while our model is only trained on a subset (1.8M images). The LaMa models on Places and CelebA are different in size. The results of LPIPS and 256 × 256 CelebA are provided in the supplementary. The best and second best results are in red and blue.

Figure 8. Qualitative comparison (512 × 512) with state-of-the-art methods. Our results are more visually realistic, containing more details.

5. Conclusion

We have presented a mask-aware transformer (MAT) for pluralistic large hole image inpainting. Taking advantage of the proposed adjusted transformer architecture and partial attention mechanism, the proposed MAT achieves state-of-the-art performance on multiple benchmarks. Also, we design a style modulation module to improve the diversity of generation. Extensive qualitative comparisons have demonstrated the superiority of our framework in terms of image quality and diversity.
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