Myf6/MRF4 is a myogenic niche regulator required for the maintenance of the muscle stem cell pool
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Abstract

The function and maintenance of muscle stem cells (MuSCs) are tightly regulated by signals originating from their niche environment. Skeletal myofibers are a principle component of the MuSC niche and are in direct contact with the muscle stem cells. Here, we show that Myf6 establishes a ligand/receptor interaction between muscle stem cells and their associated muscle fibers. Our data show that Myf6 transcriptionally regulates a broad spectrum of myokines and muscle-secreted proteins in skeletal myofibers, including EGF. EGFR signaling blocks p38 MAP kinase-induced differentiation of muscle stem cells. Homozygous deletion of Myf6 causes a significant reduction in the ability of muscle to produce EGF, leading to a deregulation in EGFR signaling. Consequently, although Myf6 knock-out mice are born with a normal muscle stem cell compartment, they undergo a progressive reduction in their stem cell pool during postnatal life due to spontaneous exit from quiescence. Taken together, our data uncover a novel role for Myf6 in promoting the expression of key myokines, such as EGF, in the muscle fiber which prevents muscle stem cell exhaustion by blocking their premature differentiation.
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Introduction

In adult skeletal muscle, muscle stem cells (MuSCs) are spatially housed between the sarcolemma of the muscle fibers and the basal lamina, a layer of extracellular matrix proteins (ECM) that are closely juxtaposed with the myofibers. The physical enclosure of MuSCs in such an anatomical space ensures that they remain in close proximity to the myofiber in a mitotically quiescent state, under normal physiological conditions. Injury or trauma to the myofiber causes disruptions to the MuSC niche, during which they re-enter cell cycle, transiently proliferate, and undergo myogenic differentiation to repair the injured muscle (Charge & Rudnicki, 2004; Lepper et al., 2011; Comai & Tajbakhsh, 2014). Induction of MuSC activation triggers a temporally regulated myogenic differentiation program orchestrated by four myogenic factors, namely Myf5, MyoD, Myogenin, and Myf6 (Braun et al., 1994; Berkes & Tappcott, 2005; Soleimani et al., 2012). MyoD and Myf5 transiently amplify MuSCs, but also induce differentiation by upregulating Myogenin in a context-dependent manner (Soleimani et al., 2012; Siles et al., 2013). While Myf5, MyoD, and Myogenin are transiently expressed during myogenic commitment and differentiation of MuSCs; in the fully differentiated myofiber, Myf6 is the predominant myogenic factor that remains expressed under normal physiological conditions. Previous studies have implicated Myf6 involvement in myofiber maturation and in the maintenance of their differentiated state (Buckingham, 1994). Aside from its function in differentiation, Myf6 has also been implicated as a muscle determination factor in the absence of MyoD and Myf5 (Kassar-Duchossoy et al., 2004).

While the epigenetic and transcriptional control of muscle formation has been extensively studied (Bentzinger et al., 2012; Buckingham & Rigby, 2014), less is known about the precise mechanisms by which the muscle stem cell pool is maintained in adult skeletal muscle. Maintenance of the MuSC pool crucially depends on a dynamic balance between quiescence and activation (Boonsanay et al., 2016); a process that is intricately dependent on the interaction of MuSCs with their niche microenvironment (Yin et al., 2013; Ragariri et al., 2018; Verma et al., 2018). Many non-myogenic cells have been shown to contribute to the niche milieu of MuSCs, such as endothelial cells under normal physiological conditions (Verma
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et al., 2018), fibroblasts under hypertrophy (Fry et al., 2017), and macrophages and neutrophils during muscle injury (Joe et al., 2010; Jin et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018). The physical enclosure of MuSCs by the ECM creates an anatomically defined niche microenvironment where the muscle stem cell resides in a quiescent state. However, the manner in which the molecular communication between myofibers and their associated MuSCs regulates their fate remains unknown.

Beyond its contractile properties, skeletal muscle plays an important role as an endocrine organ. The secretome of skeletal muscle is composed of proteins and peptides known as myokines, which are thought to have system-wide effects through autocrine, paracrine, and endocrine functions (Pedersen & Febbraio, 2012; Whitham et al., 2012). Exercise-induced myokines such as Interleukin-6 (IL6), Interleukin-15 (IL15), and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) among others, have been studied in the context of their effect on inflammation, metabolic homeostasis, and lipid oxidation, respectively (Matthews et al., 2009; Munoz-Canoves et al., 2013; Quinn et al., 2013).

Here, we show that Myf6 plays a key role in the endocrine function of skeletal muscle by transcriptionally regulating multiple myokines, such as epidermal growth factor (EGF) and vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFA). Our data show that the regulation of myokine expression by Myf6 is required to maintain the adult muscle stem cell pool. In the absence of Myf6, MuSCs break quiescence, upregulate the p38 MAPK signaling pathway, and undergo premature differentiation. Importantly, our data suggest that the loss of Myf6 does not confer a cell-intrinsic defect in MuSCs, as shown by the lack of a deficit in their activation or their transient differentiation ex vivo. Collectively, our data suggest that Myf6 has a novel function as a myogenic niche regulator and plays a critical role in regulating the stem cell pool in adult skeletal muscle by transcriptionally regulating key secreted factors and myokines from the muscle fiber.

Results

Myf6/MRF4 cistrome and associated genetic networks in skeletal muscle

Among the four myogenic regulatory factors (MRFs), Myf6 is the only factor that is primarily expressed in fully differentiated muscle fibers (Figs 1A and EV1A). The remaining three MRFs, namely Myf5, MyoD, and Myogenin, are transiently expressed during muscle stem cell activation, commitment, and terminal differentiation. To identify genome-wide Myf6 targets in primary myotubes, we first used Chromatin Tandem Affinity Purification Sequencing (ChTAP-Seq), an ideal alternative to traditional ChiP-Seq when a commercial ChiP-grade antibody is not available. Here, we generated primary myoblast cell lines over-expressing a C-terminal Tandem Affinity Purification tag (Myf6-CTAP), using a retroviral vector. We validated the expression, subcellular localization and functionality of the ectopic Myf6-CTAP in muscle and non-muscle cells using immunofluorescence (IF), Western blotting (WB), and Luciferase assays (Fig EV1B–F). Next, we performed ChTAP-Seq on multinucleated primary myotubes formed after 5 days in differentiation media as described previously (Soleimani et al., 2013). Using MACS2 (Zhang et al., 2008) with a P-value threshold of 10^{-5} and default parameters, we identified 12,885 binding sites for Myf6 in primary myotubes, using sequencing reads in the affinity-matched empty vector control (EV-ChTAP-Seq) as background (Fig 1B). Motif analysis of the peak-covered sequences from the total number of Myf6 peaks using MEME suite (Bailey et al., 2009) identified the top scoring canonical E-box motif, centrally located and closely juxtaposed by the MEF2A binding motif located peripheral to the E-box counterparts (Fig 1C). This is in accordance with the previous report of a physical interaction between Myf6 and MEF2 (Moretti et al., 2016). Comparative analysis between the densities of the E-box motif distribution in the Myf6 ChIP-Seq peaks compared with random genomic blocks showed significantly denser clustering of E-boxes under Myf6 peaks (Fig 1E). Such patterns of motif distribution in Myf6 peaks mirror those of other myogenic factors such as MyoD and Myf5 (Soleimani et al., 2012). Within Myf6 peaks, highly enriched motifs for known MRF cooperative heterodimers such as E-protein (E12/E47) and antagonistic interactors such as TWIST1 and ID4 followed similar distribution patterns as those of E-box motifs (Fig 1D).

To identify the genetic networks regulated by Myf6 in differentiated muscle cells, we first used Genomic Regions Enrichment of Annotations Tool (GREAT) (McLean et al., 2010) and performed Gene Ontology (GO) analysis using an association rule of single nearest genes (100 kb maximum extension). Within those regions, 12,270 out of 12,885 peaks (95.2%) were associated with a single gene and 610 peaks (4.73%) were not associated with any gene. Computation of GO terms using binomial tests, implemented in GREAT (McLean et al., 2010) showed that the majority of the Myf6 ChIP-Seq peaks in the primary differentiated myotubes were within the regulatory domains of genes involved in regulation of muscle

Figure 1. Genome-wide binding sites of Myf6/MRF4 in primary myotubes.

A Heat map from RNA-Seq data from FACS-isolated muscle stem cells and single myofibers showing relative expression of Pax7, Myf5, MyoD, Myog, and Myf6 (GSE138591, Blackburn et al., 2019).
B Pie chart showing the distribution of Myf6 ChIP-Seq peaks within various genomic features.
C Motif analysis using MEME suite (Bailey et al., 2009) identified canonical E-box motif as the most highly enriched sequence among all sequences under Myf6 peaks, followed by the MeF2a DNA binding motif.
D Spatial distribution of transcription factor binding motifs within Myf6 ChIP-Seq peaks using CentriMo available via MEME suite (Bailey et al., 2009).
E Normalized distribution of E-box motifs that occur within Myf6 peaks compared with their occurrences in the genome normalized per kilo base of DNA (two-tailed t-test).
F Gene Ontology (GO Molecular Function) analysis of genes associated with Myf6 peaks based on association by proximity (single gene within 100 kb of peaks) analyzed by Genomic Regions Enrichment of Annotations Tool (GREAT) (McLean et al., 2010).
G Gene Ontology (GO Biological Process) analysis of genes associated with Myf6 peaks based on proximity similar to the analysis in (F).
H Colormap of Myf5, MyoD, and Myf6 peaks within 100 kb of the Transcription Start Sites (TSS) of myokines (Table EV1) ranging from zero (black) to six peaks (red) occupancy. The onset of differentiation coincides with increased binding of MRFs to the regulatory domains of the myokines genes.
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(A) Genomic location of MYF6 peaks identified by ChIP-Seq. The peaks are color-coded based on their expression level with red indicating high expression and blue indicating low expression.

(B) Pie chart showing the distribution of genomic features:
- 5'UTR
- 3'UTR
- Exons
- Introns
- Promoters
- Intergenic

Total MYF6 peaks: 12,885

(C) Comparison of E-box motifs between the Myf2a DBD and the E-box in Myf6 peaks. The motif for Myf2a DBD is shown in red, and the E-box in Myf6 peaks is shown in blue.

(D) Distribution of E-box motifs along the genome. The y-axis represents the probability, and the x-axis represents the position of the best site in the sequence.

(E) Number of E-boxes per kilo bases across the genome. The p-value for the enrichment of E-boxes is less than 2.2e-16.

(F) GO Molecular Function:
- Cytoskeletal protein binding
- Actin binding
- Protein complex binding
- GTPase regulator activity
- Nucleoside-triphosphate activity
- Phospholipid binding
- Protein serine/threonine kinase activity
- Enzyme activator activity
- Protein kinase binding
- Small GTPase regulator activity

(G) GO Biological Process:
- Muscle structure development
- Cytoskeleton organization
- Cardiovascular system development
- Positive regulation of catalytic activity
- Actin filament-based process
- Actin cytoskeleton organization
- Muscle organ development
- Intracellular transport
- Muscle tissue development
- Muscle cell differentiation
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structure, muscle cell development and differentiation, and actin filament organization, as expected (Fig 1F and G). However, in addition to the expected differentiation genes, we identified a large set of genes for secreted proteins, including many known myokines and chemokines that are bound and transcriptionally regulated by Myf6 (Figs 1H and 2A–E, and EV2). When comparing transcription factor binding at the regulatory elements of myokine genes, there is a partial yet incomplete overlap between Myf6 and MyoD peaks in myotubes (Figs 1H and EV2B). Together, these data suggest that Myf6 but not MyoD or Myf5 is the predominant MRF which binds to the vicinity of various myokine genes.

**Myf6 occupies regulatory domains of a number of cytokine genes in differentiated muscle cells**

To determine the role of Myf6 in the transcriptional regulation of myokines, we first analyzed Myf6 binding sites in their vicinity (Figs 1H and 2A and B). Notably, our ChIP-Seq data show strong enrichment of Myf6 ChIP-Seq reads, but not the reads from the affinity-matched control ChIP-seq, on the enhancer elements in the vicinity of the TSS of EGF, LIF, OSM, and VEGFA in primary myotubes (Fig 2C–E). Next, we determined the pattern of regulatory histone marks including Histone H3 mono methyl lysine 4 (H3K4me1), a marker for enhancer elements and histone H3 trimethyl lysine 4 (H3K4me3), marking active/poised TSS in the vicinity of select cytokine genes (Fig 2C–E). Notably, our analysis of ChIP-Seq data indicates that Myf6 binding sites overlap with H3K4me1 (Fig 2C–E). In primary myotubes, the presence of histone mark Histone 3 lysine 27 Acetyl (H3K27Ac) at the Myf6 binding site in the vicinity of the TSS of EGF and VEGFA further supports their active transcription (Fig EV2G). These data suggest that a novel function of Myf6 in adult skeletal muscle may be the establishment of a myokine-mediated regulatory network. EGFR and STAT3 have recently been shown to play crucial roles in regulating muscle stem cell self-renewal and expansion (Zhu et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019). Both EGFR and STAT3 are readily activated in proliferating myoblasts (Figs 2J and EV3N) as well as in myofiber-associated MuSCs (Figs 2I and EV3M) in response to exogenously applied ligands, indicating that these pathways are operationally active in muscle cells. Other myokines, such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGFA), are also transcriptionally regulated by Myf6 (Figs 2A, B, E and EV3B–C, and G). VEGFA plays an important role in maintaining MuSCs quiescence via recruitment of endothelial cells (Verma et al., 2018). In vitro depletion of Myf6 transcript by RNAi in differentiating primary myotubes shows that Myf6 is required for the transcriptional regulation of EGF and VEGFA (Figs 2K and L, and EV3G and H). While some ligands such as VEGFA are produced by both progenitors as well as differentiated myotubes (Fig 2A and F), others such as EGF are principally produced in differentiated myotubes and mature myofibers (Figs 2A, F, G and EV3F). This finding suggests that in the skeletal muscle EGFR signaling in satellite cells may be operationally dependent on the transcriptional regulation of its ligands by Myf6 in myofibers (Fig 2G and H). Together, these data indicate that the differentiation of muscle stem cells creates a physical niche whereby myokines (ligands) are produced in myofibers while their respective receptors are expressed in the associated MuSCs, suggesting the existence of a myokine-mediated communication network between myofibers and MuSCs.

**Loss of Myf6 leads to impaired myokine production in adult mice**

To determine the requirement of Myf6 in the regulation of myokine expression in vivo, we first analyzed the whole muscle transcriptome of Myf6-knockout mice under normal physiological conditions and after cardiotoxin (CTX) injury by RNA-Seq. For this, we used Myf6EC mice, in which a Cre-ERT2 cassette is knocked into the first exon of the Myf6 gene, rendering it a null allele (Fig 3A; Southard et al., 2014). Mice with biallelic deletion of Myf6 were born with normal

---

**Figure 2. Temporally regulated occupancy of myogenic regulatory factors (MRFs) to cis-regulatory domains of myokine genes.**

| A | Gene expression analysis of myokines (Table EV3) during a 5 day time course of myogenic differentiation going from cycling myoblasts in growth media (Ham’s F10 supplemented with 20% Fetal Bovine Serum, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 25 ng/ml basic Fibroblast Growth Factor) to terminally differentiated myocytes (2 days in differentiation media, DMEM supplemented with 5% horse serum) to postmitotic multicellular myotubes (5 days in differentiation media). Gene expression was assayed in biological triplicate by microarray (Soleiman et al., 2012, 2013). Expression of each gene was averaged across three replicates and normalized to mean zero and standard deviation of one. Expression values were then truncated at ±3 for color display. Blue indicates lower than average expression, while red indicates higher than average expression. |
| B | Distribution of Myf6 peaks within 100 kb of the TSS of the myokine genes (Table EV3), similar to the analysis shown in A. |
| C–E | Snapshots of the UCSC genome browser showing enrichment of Myf6 ChIP-Seq reads compared with control around EGF (C), LIF and OSM (D), and VEGFA (E) loci superimposed on reads for Histone H3 lysine 4 mono methyl (H3K4me1), Histone H3 lysine 4 tri methyl (H3K4me3), and total H3 in myoblasts (pink) and myotubes (green) (CSE08588, Soleiman et al., 2018). |
| F | Snapshots of representative IGV tracks displaying RNA-Seq data from WT whole muscle (WM), single myofibers (SF), and satellite cells (SC) showing the expression of EGFR, EGF, and VEGFA. Ckm and Myf6 are used as positive controls for whole muscle and single fibers. PDGFR, a marker of fibro/adipogenic progenitor cells, is used as a control to show the lack of niche cells in the single myofiber samples. |
| G–H | RNA-Sequencing Reads Per Million (RPM) for EGF (G) and EGF (H) in satellite cells and single myofibers. RNA-Sequencing libraries were prepared from 1,000 satellite cells freshly isolated by FACs or from a single myofiber as described in the Materials and Methods. (n = 3 biological replicates per group) bars = mean ± SD, two-tailed t-test. |
| I | Activation of EGFR in MuSCs on EDL myofibers treated with EGF compared with untreated control. Myofibers were cultured for 48 h and were treated with 40 ng/ml of EGF for 10 min before fixing and staining with antibodies for Pax7 and phospho-EGFR. Scale bar = 30 μm. |
| J | Activation of EGFR signaling in myoblasts treated with recombinant EGF. Myoblasts were treated with 2 ng/ml of recombinant protein in growth media (Ham’s F10 supplemented with 20% FBS, 2.5 ng/ml bFGF) for 15 min and lysed in RIPA lysis buffer. Western blots were performed with antibodies against EGFR and phospho-EGFR (pEGFR-Y1068). |
| K | Depletion of Myf6 transcript by siRNAs in differentiated primary myotubes (SDM). (n = 3 biological replicates) Two-tailed t-test, bars = mean ± SD. |
| L | Depletion of Myf6 expression by siRNAs leads to a significant reduction in the gene expression output of Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF). (n = 3 biological replicates) Two-tailed t-test, bars = mean ± SD. |
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skeletal muscles and were indistinguishable from their WT littermates (Fig 3B). Quantitative Real-Time PCR (RT–qPCR) of RNA extracted from hindlimb muscles showed efficient depletion of Myf6 transcript in homozygous Myf6-knockout mice (Fig 3C). RNA-Seq analysis showed that genetic deletion of Myf6 in skeletal muscles led to deregulation of a vast array of genes involved in myoblast differentiation, muscle development, muscle contraction, and pre-mRNA splicing (Dataset EV1). However, in addition to this, our RNA-Seq data also suggest that loss of Myf6 leads to the downregulation of the expression of key myokine genes, such as VEGFA and EGF in vivo (Figs 3D and EV3D). Analysis of Myf6 binding sites by ChIP-Seq showed enrichment of Myf6 peaks around the transcription start sites (TSS) of the aforementioned genes (Figs 3E and EV3E). Next, to determine if loss of Myf6 leads to diminished myokine protein production in vivo, we isolated blood from Myf6-KO and heterozygous counterparts and performed Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) analysis on serum. Our data show that serum from Myf6-KO mice has a significant reduction in key myokines, EGF, and VEGFA compared with controls (Fig 3F and G). In parallel, we also isolated MuSCs from Myf6-KO and WT counterparts by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) and differentiated fully confluent cultures of primary myoblasts for 7 days in differentiation media.

Next, we collected media (secretome) from myotubes and performed ELISA followed by comparative analysis of protein expression by normalizing protein amount to the number of nuclei. Secretome from Myf6-KO myotubes had significantly lower levels of EGF and VEGFA compared with their WT counterparts (Fig 3H and I). Importantly, reintroduction of Myf6 by retroviral infection into Myf6-KO myotubes leads to rescue of EGF protein levels compared with uninfected Myf6-KO myotube controls (Fig 3J). In accordance with this data, RT–qPCR analysis of Myf6-KO myotubes transduced retrovirally by exogenous Myf6 also showed restoration of EGF and VEGFA levels (Fig 3K–M). Together, these data suggest that Myf6 is required for the production of multiple myokines, at both the transcript and protein level, in adult mice.

**Genetic deletion of Myf6 leads to exhaustion of the MuSC pool in adult skeletal muscle**

Genetic deletion of Myf6 led to the downregulation of many myokine genes including EGF, LIF, VEGFA, and IL-15 (Figs 2 and 3). Given that EGF activates the EGFR signaling pathway (Fig 2I and J), we hypothesized that genetic deletion of Myf6 in the skeletal muscle myofiber can lead to defects in phosphorylation and subsequent

---

**Figure 3. Genetic Deletion of Myf6 Impairs Myokine Expression in Adult Skeletal Muscles.**

A Schematic drawing of the Myf6 locus showing insertion of Cre-ER\(^{T}\) sequence rendering the Myf6 locus a null allele (Southard et al, 2014).

B Picture of 8-week-old Myf6-KO and WT mice.

C Relative expression of VEGFA in the blood serum from Myf6-KO and WT counterparts. Differential expression is Log(2) transformed and truncated at ± 3. Color scale goes from blue (−3 = lower than mean) to yellow (+3 = higher than mean).

D Heat map showing the distribution of Myf6 peaks within 100 kb of the TSS of the myokine genes ranging from zero (black) to six ChIP-Seq peaks (red) occupancy.

E Expression of EGF in the blood serum from Myf6-KO and heterozygous counterparts as measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). (n = 5 heterozygous biological replicates, n = 3 Myf6-KO biological replicates) Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.

F Expression of EGF protein in the secretome of Myf6-KO and WT myotubes as measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Primary myotubes were derived from MuSCs isolated by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS, see the Extended Materials and Methods) from Myf6-KO and WT mice. Myotubes were obtained by feeding > 90% confluent primary myoblasts with differentiation media (DMEM supplemented with 5% horse serum) for 7 days. Media from the last 48 h of differentiation (days 5–7) were collected for ELISA secretome analysis. Protein readouts were normalized to the total number of nuclei in each plate. (n = 4 WT biological replicates, n = 3 Myf6-KO biological replicates) Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.

G Expression of VEGFA protein in the secretome of Myf6-KO and WT myotubes as measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Primary myotubes were derived from MuSCs isolated by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS, see the Extended Materials and Methods) from Myf6-KO and WT mice. Myotubes were obtained by feeding > 90% confluent primary myoblasts with differentiation media (DMEM supplemented with 5% horse serum) for 7 days. Media from the last 48 h of differentiation (days 5–7) were collected for ELISA secretome analysis. Protein readouts were normalized to the total number of nuclei in each plate. (n = 4 WT biological replicates, n = 3 Myf6-KO biological replicates) Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.

H Expression of EGF protein in the secretome of Myf6-KO and WT myotubes as measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Primary myotubes were derived from MuSCs isolated by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS, see the Extended Materials and Methods) from Myf6-KO and WT mice. Myotubes were obtained by feeding > 90% confluent primary myoblasts with differentiation media (DMEM supplemented with 5% horse serum) for 7 days. Media from the last 48 h of differentiation (days 5–7) were collected for ELISA secretome analysis. Protein readouts were normalized to the total number of nuclei in each plate. (n = 4 WT biological replicates, n = 3 Myf6-KO biological replicates) Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.

I Expression of EGF protein in the secretome of Myf6-KO and WT myotubes as measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Primary myotubes were derived from MuSCs isolated by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS, see the Extended Materials and Methods) from Myf6-KO and WT mice. Myotubes were obtained by feeding > 90% confluent primary myoblasts with differentiation media (DMEM supplemented with 5% horse serum) for 5 days. Media from the last 48 h of differentiation (days 3–5) were collected for ELISA secretome analysis. Protein readouts were normalized to the total number of nuclei in each plate. (n = 3 Myf6-KO technical replicates, n = 4 rescue technical replicates) Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.
Therefore, we next analyzed how the loss of Myf6 affects muscle that Myf6 is required to maintain functional signaling pathways like phosphorylation of EGFR (pEGFR-Y1068) (Fig 3N–Q). Importantly, treatment of EDL myofibers from Myf6-KO animals with recombinant EGFR rescues defects in EGFR phosphorylation (Fig 3N–Q). These data suggest that Myf6 is required to maintain functional signaling pathways like EGFR in the myofiber-associated MuSCs (Figs 3N–Q and EV3L–L). Therefore, we next analyzed how the loss of Myf6 affects muscle regeneration and the stem cell pool. Immunofluorescent analysis of cross sections of TA muscles showed no significant difference in the number of regenerating fibers (i.e., myofibers with centrally located myonuclei), or the number of myofibers per unit area (mm²) between Myf6-KO and WT mice (Fig EV4B–J). These data suggest that Myf6 is dispensable for muscle formation and MuSC differentiation during development. Next, we assessed the effect of genetic deletion of Myf6 on the MuSC pool postnatally (P7, P21) and during adulthood (3 and 10 months of age) by first performing immunofluorescent analysis of the TA muscles from Myf6-KO and WT animals with antibodies against Pax7 as a marker of MuSCs and Laminin to mark the periphery of myofibers (Table EV1). Our data indicate that loss of Myf6 has no significant effect on the establishment of the MuSC pool during development as the MuSC compartment remains unchanged at postnatal day 7 (P7) and 21 (P21) (Fig 4A–D). However, by the age of 3 months we observed a significant reduction in the number of Pax7⁺ cells in the Myf6-KO TA muscles compared with their WT counterparts (Fig 4E and F). The reduction in the stem cell pool continues into late adulthood at 10 months of age (Fig 4G and H). Likewise, analysis of muscle stem cells associated with the Extensor Digitorum Longus (EDL) muscles stained with anti-PAX7 antibody immediately after isolation (T = 0 h after isolation) showed a similar significant reduction in the number of MuSCs in Myf6-KO myofibers (Fig 4I–L). Taken together, these data suggest that maintenance of the MuSC pool in adult mice is dependent on Myf6.

**Deletion of Myf6 leads to spontaneous break from quiescence and entry of MuSCs into differentiation**

To determine the mechanism underlying the exhaustion of the muscle stem cell pool in Myf6-KO adult mice, we first examined the quiescent state and the size of the muscle stem cell pool during three postnatal time points. By the third week of postnatal life (P21), we observe significantly fewer quiescent MuSCs in Myf6-KO compared with WT mice, as shown by an increased number of Pax7⁺ cells that also express Ki67, a marker for proliferating cells (Fig 5A–F; Table EV1). The spontaneous break of MuSCs from a quiescent state continues postnataially, and by 3 months of age there is a significant reduction in the MuSC pool of Myf6-KO animals compared with their WT counterparts (Figs 4E and F, and 5E and F). Next, we isolated MuSCs from the Myf6-KO and their WT counterpart by FACS and performed in vitro analysis of these MuSCs for differentiation potential, senescence, and apoptosis. Our data indicate that loss of Myf6 has no significant effect on the propensity of MuSCs to undergo apoptosis or senescence (Fig EV5E–H), suggesting that neither of these mechanisms is the cause of MuSC exhaustion in Myf6-KO muscle. Next, we performed immunofluorescence analysis of myofiber-associated MuSCs from the EDL myofibers at T0 after fiber isolation. At T0, WT MuSCs normally express Pax7 but not MyOD. However, in Myf6-KO EDL myofibers we observed a significant number of MuSCs that express both Pax7 and MyOD, suggesting that a significant portion of MuSCs from the Myf6-KO mice have exited quiescence (Fig 5K–M). These data are consistent with our earlier analysis showing that by 3 weeks of age, MuSCs from Myf6-KO mice break quiescence and express Ki67 (Fig 5C–F).

---

**Figure 4. Genetic Deletion of Myf6 Leads to Depletion of the Muscle Stem Cell Pool.**

A Immunofluorescent analysis of TA muscle cross-sections from Myf6-KO and WT mice with Pax7 and Laminin (LAMA1) antibodies at postnatal day 7. Arrowheads indicate Pax7⁺ satellite cells. Scale bar = 200 μm.

B Quantification of the number of Pax7⁺ cells per unit area (mm²) in TA muscle cross-sections from Myf6-KO and WT counterparts at postnatal day 7. (n = 30 fields of view from n = 3 animals). Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.

C Immunofluorescent analysis of TA muscle cross-sections from Myf6-KO and WT mice with Pax7 and Laminin (LAMA1) antibodies at postnatal day 21. Arrowheads indicate Pax7⁺ satellite cells. Scale bar = 200 μm.

D Quantification of the number of Pax7⁺ cells per unit area (mm²) in TA muscle cross-sections from Myf6-KO and WT counterparts at postnatal day 21. (n = 27 fields of view from n = 3 animals). Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.

E Immunofluorescent analysis of TA muscle cross-sections from Myf6-KO and WT mice with Pax7 and Laminin (LAMA1) antibodies at 3 months of age. Arrowheads indicate Pax7⁺ satellite cells. Scale bar = 200 μm.

F Quantification of the number of Pax7⁺ cells per unit area (mm²) in TA muscle cross-sections from Myf6-KO and WT counterparts at 3 months of age. (n = 52 fields of view from n = 5 animals) Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.

G Immunofluorescent analysis of TA muscle cross-sections from Myf6-KO and WT mice with Pax7 and Laminin (LAMA1) antibodies at 10 months of age. Arrowheads indicate Pax7⁺ satellite cells. Scale bar = 200 μm.

H Quantification of the number of Pax7⁺ cells per unit area (mm²) in TA muscle cross-sections from Myf6-KO and WT counterparts at 10 months of age. (n = 60 fields of view from n = 3 animals). Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.

I Immunofluorescent analysis of myofiber-associated MuSCs isolated from the EDL muscles of 3-month-old Myf6-KO and WT counterparts. Fibers were stained with Pax7 antibody and counterstained with DAPI at time T = 0 h after fiber isolation. Scale bar = 25 μm.

J Quantification of the number of MuSCs per fiber between 3-month-old Myf6-KO (n = 41 fibers from n = 3 mice) and WT (n = 31 fibers from n = 3 mice) counterparts. Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.

K Immunofluorescent analysis of myofiber-associated MuSCs isolated from the EDL muscles of 10-month-old Myf6-KO and WT counterparts. Fibers were stained with Pax7 antibody and counterstained with DAPI at time T = 0 h after fiber isolation. Scale bar = 25 μm.

L Quantification of the number of MuSCs per fiber between 10-month-old Myf6-KO (n = 38 fibers from n = 2 mice) and WT (n = 67 fibers from n = 3 mice) counterparts. Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.
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Next, we performed RNA-Seq analysis of freshly sorted MuSCs from Myf6-KO and WT mice. To overcome the limitation in the number of MuSCs that can be isolated from each animal and, by proxy, the limited amount of total RNA, we used Switching Mechanisms at 5’ end of RNA Template (SMART technology, Clontech, Table EV1). Using an adjusted P-value of < 0.01, we identified 754 genes that are significantly up- or downregulated in Myf6-KO compared with their WT counterparts (Dataset EV2). Analysis of RNA-Seq data shows that the p38 MAPK pathway is significantly upregulated in MuSCs of the Myf6-KO mice compared with their WT counterparts (Fig 5G and H). Upregulation of p38 MAPK was further validated by immunofluorescence analysis of EDL, myofiber-associated MuSCs (Fig SN–P). p38 MAPK plays a critical role in MuSC activation and exits from cell cycle and terminal differentiation (Segalés et al., 2016). Notably, our data show that progenitors derived from MuSCs from Myf6-KO mice readily undergo myogenic differentiation with a fusion index approaching 100% as compared to their WT counterparts (Fig EV1). The lower number of mononuclear ACTB-EGFP+ cells remaining when cultured with Myf6-KO myotubes suggests that the enhanced fusion index seen in Myf6-KO myotubes is due to the increased fusion of reserve cells as opposed to apoptosis or senescence (Fig EV5J). Furthermore, treatment of differentiating C2C12 myoblasts with recombinant EGF or LIF blocks p38 MAPK-induced differentiation, as shown by the reduced expression of phospho-p38 as well as the weakened expression of differentiation markers Myogenin and MF20 (Fig 5Q–T). Likewise, treatment of cultured EDL muscle fibers with EGF protein leads to the expansion of the PAX7+ satellite cell pool (Fig 5U and V). Taken together, these data suggest that loss of Myf6 in skeletal muscle leads to precocious activation of the differentiation program by dampening the EGFR pathway along with concomitant activation of p38 MAPK (Figs 4 and 5J) and MyoD expression (Fig 5K–M).

Figure 5. MuSCs from Myf6-KO Mice Exhibit Precocious Exit from Quiescence.

A Immunofluorescence analysis of TA muscle cross-sections from Myf6-KO and WT mice with PAX7 and Ki67 antibodies at postnatal day 7. Scale bar = 100 μm. Arrowheads highlight select MuSC.
B Quantification of the percentage of Ki67+ PAX7+ MuSCs between Myf6-KO and WT mice at postnatal day 7 (n = 15 fields of view from n = 3 animals). Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD. Arrowheads highlight select MuSC.
C Immunofluorescence analysis of TA muscle cross-sections from Myf6-KO and WT mice with PAX7 and Ki67 antibodies at postnatal day 21. Scale bar = 100 μm. Arrowheads highlight select MuSC.
D Quantification of the percentage of Ki67+ PAX7+ MuSCs between Myf6-KO (n = 28 fields of view from n = 3 animals) and WT mice (n = 31 fields of view from n = 3 animals) at postnatal day 21. Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.
E Immunofluorescence analysis of TA muscle cross-sections from Myf6-KO and WT mice with PAX7 and Ki67 antibodies at 3 months of age. Scale bar = 100 μm. Arrowheads highlight select MuSC.
F Quantification of the percentage of Ki67+ PAX7+ MuSCs between Myf6-KO and WT mice at 3 months of age (n = 30 fields of view from n = 5 mice). Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.
G Schematic drawing of the strategy for FACS isolation of Myf6-KO and WT satellite cells for RNA-Seq library preparation or for culture and differentiation.
H (Left) Heat Map of up- and downregulated genes in the p38 MAPK pathway (WP1400) in Myf6-KO and WT satellite cells. (Right) Gene set enrichment analysis of p38 MAPK pathway genes. In each plot, the blue curve represents the gene set enrichment score profile (Subramanian et al., 2005) across p38 MAPK pathway genes that are ranked by their differential expression. The gray curve represents the null expectation. P-values are based on permutation test (10,000 permutations).
I Immunofluorescence analysis of WT and Myf6-KO primary myotubes cultured in differentiation media for 7 days and stained with MF20 and DAPI. Scale bar = 200 μm.
J Quantification of the fusion index between Myf6-KO (n = 54 fields of view from n = 3 mice) and WT (n = 81 fields of view from n = 4 mice) 70D myotubes. Fusion index is calculated as the percentage of myonuclei out of the total number of nuclei per field of view. Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.
K EDL myofibers isolated from WT and Myf6-KO mice fixed at time t = 0 and stained with PAX7, MYOD, and DAPI. Scale bar = 30 μm.
L Quantification of the percentage of MYOD+/PAX7+ satellite cells per fiber in Myf6-KO (n = 88 myofibers) vs. WT (n = 86 myofibers) myofibers. Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.
M Quantification of the average percentage of MYOD+/PAX7+ satellite cells per mouse in Myf6-KO and WT myofibers (n = 3 mice). Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.
N Staining for phospho-p38 MAPK on EDL myofibers cultured for 48 h from Myf6-KO and WT mice. Scale bar = 30 μm.
O Quantification of the percentage of phospho-p38+/PAX7+ satellite cells per fiber in Myf6-KO (n = 56 myofibers) vs WT (n = 67 myofibers) myofibers. Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.
P Quantification of the average percentage of phospho-p38+/PAX7+ satellite cells per mouse in Myf6-KO vs. WT myofibers (n = 3 mice). Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.
Q Western blot analysis of C2C12 myoblasts treated with EGF, LIF, or EGF + LIF while in differentiation media and stained with phospho-p38, total p38, myogenin, MF20, and GAPDH. Cells were treated with EGF (50 ng/ml), LIF (1000 U/ml) or EGF + LIF after 48 h in differentiation media, and every 6 h following initial treatment, followed by cell lysis at 72 h.
R Phospho-p38 Western blot signal intensity normalized to total p38 signal intensity in control, EGF-treated, LIF-treated and EGF + LIF-treated C2C12s. Signal intensity was measured by placing ROIs over Western blot bands using LI-COR Image Studio. (n = 3 technical replicates) Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.
S Myogenin Western blot signal intensity normalized to GAPDH signal intensity in control, EGF-treated, LIF-treated and EGF + LIF-treated C2C12s. Signal intensity was measured by placing ROIs over Western blot bands using LI-COR Image Studio. (n = 3 technical replicates) Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.
T MF20 Western blot signal intensity normalized to GAPDH signal intensity in control, EGF-treated, LIF-treated, and EGF + LIF-treated C2C12s. Signal intensity was measured by placing ROIs over Western blot bands using LI-COR Image Studio. (n = 3 technical replicates) Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.
U EDL myofibers treated repeatedly with recombinant EGF protein (100 ng/ml) at 0, 24, 32, 40, and 48 h in culture and fixed at 48 h, compared with untreated controls.
V Quantification of the number of PAX7+ cells per fiber in EGF-treated (n = 19 fibers from n = 1 mouse) and untreated control EDL myofibers (n = 16 fibers from n = 1 mouse) after 48 h in culture. Two-tailed t-test, error bars = ± SD.
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**Myf6 is required for the establishment of functional niche environment in skeletal muscle**

Loss of Myf6 led to a reduced MuSC pool in adult mice (Fig 4A–L) through spontaneous MuSC break from quiescence (Fig 5A–F). MuSCs from Myf6-KO mice upregulate the p38 MAPK pathway, express MyoD (Fig 5K–P), and enter into myogenic differentiation (Fig 5G–J). Therefore, we next investigated whether defects in the stem cell compartment in Myf6-KO mice are the result of a defective niche microenvironment. Since our earlier analysis established the requirement for Myf6 to regulate the expression of key myokines (Figs 2 and 3) that are required for the activation of EGFR (Fig 3), we hypothesized that genetic deletion of Myf6 leads to a defective niche microenvironment which is pro differentiation. To test this hypothesis, we isolated MuSCs from a luciferase mouse (B6;FVB-Thy1a/C6 Tg (CAG-luc,-GFP)L2G85Chco Thy1+/-) (see Materials and Methods) and transplanted ten thousand satellite cells into either homozygous Myf6-knockout or WT control mice. Next, we monitored the engraftment efficiency by bioluminescence imaging at day 1, 7, 14, and 21. Notably, in the Myf6-knockout animals there is significantly less retention of donor MuSCs (Fig 6A–D), suggesting that the main effect of the loss of Myf6 on the MuSC compartment is due to a defective niche microenvironment. To eliminate the possibility that defects in the MuSC compartment in Myf6-KO animals might be due to a cell-intrinsic defect, we performed acute muscle regeneration assay using CTX injury. Importantly, following CTX-induced injury, the MuSC pool in Myf6-KO mice is reconstituted back to the level seen in their WT counterparts (Fig 6E–G), suggesting that exposure of muscle stem cells to injury-induced sources of cytokines can rescue defects in the MuSC compartment. Similarly, continuous exposure of culturing EDL myofibers with chick embryo extract expands the number of MuSCs associated with EDL myofibers (Fig 6H and I). Furthermore, exposure of myofiber-associated MuSCs to ligands, such as EGF and LIF, significantly increases the number of high Pax7 expressing MuSCs (Fig 6J–K). Our Edu incorporation data also show that cultured MuSCs from Myf6-KO mice have a similar growth rate as that of their WT counterparts (Fig 6L–M). Lastly, when cultured in equal numbers alongside WT myotubes, Myf6-KO and WT myoblasts differentiate to a similar extent, as shown by their equivalent number of reserve cells remaining after 2 days of co-culture (Fig 6N and O). Taken together, our data indicate that the defect in the stem cell compartment of the Myf6-KO animals is not due to cell-intrinsic defects but is primarily due to an impairment in the niche microenvironment, in which depletion of myokine expression from the myofiber leads to activation of a signaling cascade that breaks MuSC quiescence, activates p38 MAPK signaling and induces precocious differentiation. This perturbation in the niche environment leads to a gradual reduction in the number of MuSCs in adult mice.

**Discussion**

Skeletal muscle has an inherent endocrine function in addition to its primary roles of producing force and regulating energy metabolism (Pedersen & Febbraio, 2012; Pal et al., 2014; Nadeau & Aguier, 2019). However, mechanistic insights into how myokines are regulated and whether or not they function as part of the MuSC niche environment remain largely unknown. This project provides important clues to the roles of Myf6 and myokines in the regulation of MuSCs and the niche microenvironment.
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myotubes (Figs 2K and L, and EV3G and H) and accordingly, using both siRNA to specifically knockdown Myf6 in animals, and therefore cannot counteract the lack of Myf6 in the associated stem cells, which express the corresponding receptors. In other words, our data identify a novel function for Myf6 as a myogenic regulator of niche factors to maintain the muscle stem cell pool (Figs 4 and EV5A–D).

In accordance with previous work, the differentiation function of Myf6 in myogenesis is dispensable, likely because of the large overlap in the regulatory function of myogenic factors (Fig EV2: Olson et al., 1996). Consistent with this notion, comparative ChIP-Seq analysis in differentiating myotubes shows that MyoD is fully capable of binding to a very similar set of genes, sharing a 50% overlap with Myf6 targets (Figs 1H and EV2, and Fig EV3A). Myf6-knockout mice were born with no observable physical abnormalities. Moretti et al. (2016) previously reported that treatment of skeletal muscles with Myf6 shRNA led to myofiber hypertrophy. Similarly, we noticed a slight trend toward larger fiber size in adult mice with a homozygous Myf6 deletion, despite there being no distinct difference in fiber morphology (Fig EV4B–J). However, once the muscle fiber is fully formed, MyoD and Myogenin are no longer largely expressed in WT or in Myf6-null animals, and therefore cannot counteract the lack of Myf6 in the context of myokine production (Figs 1A and EV1A, and EV4A). Accordingly, using both siRNA to specifically knockdown Myf6 in myotubes (Figs 2K and L, and EV3G and H) and in vitro genetic deletion of Myf6 in skeletal muscle followed by whole muscle RNA-Seq analysis (Figs 3D and EV3D), we show that the unique function of Myf6 in regulating myokine expression is not compensated by other myogenic factors, i.e., MyoD and/or Myogenin during the transient differentiation of muscle stem cells (Figs EV2 and EV4B–J). However, once the muscle fiber is fully formed, MyoD and Myogenin are no longer largely expressed in WT or in Myf6-null animals, and therefore cannot counteract the lack of Myf6 in the context of myokine production (Figs 1A and EV1A, and EV4A). Accordingly, using both siRNA to specifically knockdown Myf6 in myotubes (Figs 2K and L, and EV3G and H) and in vitro genetic deletion of Myf6 in skeletal muscle followed by whole muscle RNA-Seq analysis (Figs 3D and EV3D), we show that the unique function of Myf6 in regulating myokine expression is not compensated by other myogenic factors, such as MyoD and Myogenin. The unanticipated function of Myf6 in regulating the MuSC pool via myokine expression is primarily due to its temporal position in the hierarchy of the muscle differentiation program. While Myf5, MyoD, and Myogenin are transiently expressed during MuSC differentiation, in mature skeletal muscle fibers, Myf6 is the predominantly expressed myogenic factor (Figs 1A and EV1A).

RNA-sequencing of single myofibers clearly reveals that the primary source of EGF and VEGFA in the muscle is from fully differentiated myofibers (Fig 2F and G). Our ChIP-Seq (Fig 1), gene expression analysis (Fig 2), and ELISA experiments (Fig 3) point to a role for Myf6 in the production of multiple regulatory myokines, such as EGF and VEGFA. Our striking observation that loss of Myf6 leads to a significant reduction of myokines, not only in the secretome of differentiating myotubes (Fig 3H and I) but also in serum (Fig 3F and G) suggests that the regulatory function of Myf6 may extend beyond skeletal muscle.

The impairment in the ability of Myf6-KO MuSCs to activate pathways such as EGFR, followed by subsequent rescue (Fig 3N–Q), strongly suggests that Myf6 regulates MuSC activity by myokine signaling. A critical factor that is required for the maintenance of the MuSC pool throughout adult life is the ability of stem cells to remain quiescent under homeostatic conditions. In Myf6-KO animals, muscle stem cells break quiescence and spontaneously enter into the differentiation program by upregulating the p38 MAPK pathway and expressing MyoD (Fig 5). Importantly, Myf6-regulated myokines, namely EGF, have the ability to block p38 MAPK-induced differentiation (Fig 5Q–T), instead promoting the expansion of the PAX7+ cell pool (Fig 5U and V). Therefore, the spontaneous exit from quiescence and entry into myogenic differentiation seen in Myf6-KO animals results from the abrogation of myokine-mediated communication between myofibers and their associated stem cells. The inability of donor stem cells to be efficiently retained in the skeletal muscles of Myf6-KO mice further supports our conclusion that loss of Myf6 leads to defective communication between MuSCs and their niche microenvironment (Fig 6A–D). Our in vivo and in vitro analyses uncover a novel Myf6-mediated myokine signaling pathway that establishes a direct communication between myofibers and their associated stem cells. Therefore, we propose that Myf6 is a myogenic niche regulator which acts upstream of EGFR and p38 MAPK signaling pathways to maintain the muscle stem cell pool.

**Materials and Methods**

**Generation of Myf6-CTAP retrovirus**

Full open reading frame (FL-ORF) of the mouse Myf6/MRF4 was subcloned into pBRIT retroviral backbone (Soleimani et al., 2012). The construct was verified by sequencing, and its functionality was confirmed using multiple functional assays (Fig EV1). Retroviral particles containing Myf6-CTAP were generated by transfection of Phoenix helper-free retrovirus producer lines, a kind gift from the Nolan laboratory (https://web.stanford.edu/group/nolan/_OldWebsite/retroviral_systems/phx.html) with Polyethylenimine (PEI, Polysciences Cat. 23966-2). Viral supernatant was collected 48 h after transfection.

**Generation of primary myoblasts over-expressing Myf6-CTAP**

Muscle stem cells were isolated from 8-week-old WT C57BL/6 mice by FACs and were propagated and maintained in growth media (HAM’s F10 supplemented with 20% FBS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin and 2.5 ng/ml basic Fibroblast Growth Factor, bFGF) for up to three passages. The resulting myoblasts were then infected with a retroviral cocktail containing Myf6-CTAP virus and polybrene (Millipore) for 8 h. Cells were subsequently washed with 1× PBS and fed with normal growth media. The selection of transduced cells was carried out by puromycin selection (2.5 μg/ml) in primary myoblast growth media for up to 1 week. Subsequently, stably transduced primary cells were maintained in low puromycin selection media (HAM’s F10 supplemented with 20% FBS, 2.5 ng/ml bFGF, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 1.25 μg/ml puromycin). Differentiated multinucleated myotubes were obtained by placing > 90% confluent myoblasts in differentiation media (DMEM supplemented with 5% horse serum) for 5 days.

**Tandem affinity chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChTAP-Seq)**

ChTAP-Seq was carried out as described previously (Soleimani et al., 2013). Briefly, multi-nucleated myotubes over-expressing Myf6-
CTAP or empty vector- (EV-CTAP) were harvested and 20 mg of chromatin was used as input for ChIP. ChIP was performed in tandem using anti FLAG antibody conjugated to agarose beads (Sigma). Chromatin was then eluted from the beads by competition with FLAG peptide (Sigma) and cleavage by TEV protease (New England Biolabs). The eluted chromatin was then used for a second purification step using Ni-NTA Agarose with nickel-charged affinity resin (Thermo Fisher), as described previously (Soleimani et al., 2013). Finally, 10 ng of ChIP DNA was used to construct ChIP-Seq libraries using TrueSeq ChIP Library Preparation Kit (Illumina). Reads from the Myf6 ChTAP- and the EV-ChTAP-Seq were mapped to the mouse mm10/GRCm38 mouse genome assembly. Peak calling was carried out using MACS2.0 (Zhang et al., 2008) with P-value threshold of 10^{-5} using default parameters.

Luciferase assays

Luciferase assays were carried out as described previously (Soleimani et al., 2018). Briefly, Cos7 cells were co-transfected with retroviral vectors containing MRFs (MyoD or Myf6) with and without mouse E47 expression vector together with the luciferase vector (pGL4.23 [luc2/minP]) containing a multimerized E-box motif with three spaced out CAGCTG motifs, as described previously (Soleimani et al., 2018). Dual luciferase assay was performed using Promega Dual luciferase assay kit. Relative luciferase expressions were normalized to renilla and plotted relative to the promoter readout.

Generation of a stable cell line of primary myoblasts over-expressing Myf6

Stable cell lines of Myf6-KO primary myoblasts over-expressing Myf6 were generated as previously described (Soleimani et al., 2012). Briefly, retrovirus particles containing Myf6-Flag plasmid were generated using Phoenix helper-free retrovirus producer lines with lipofectamine and chloroquine. Viral supernatant was collected 48 h after transfection and applied to primary myoblasts for 8 h. Cells were then washed twice with 1 × PBS and grown for 48 h in normal growth media. The cells were then treated with puromycin selection media (HAM’s F10 supplemented with 20% FBS, 2.5 ng/ml bFGF, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 2.5 μg/ml puromycin) for 1 week. Afterward the cells were maintained in low puromycin maintenance media (HAM’s F10 supplemented with 20% FBS, 2.5 ng/ml bFGF, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 1.25 μg/ml puromycin).

Immunofluorescent analysis of the tibialis anterior (TA) muscles

TA muscle sections were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS for 10 min on ice and washed three times for 5 min each in PBS. Permeabilization was done in 0.3% Triton-X100 and 0.1 M glycine in PBS for 20 min on a shaker at room temperature, followed by washing once in PBT (0.05% Triton-X100 in PBS, v/v).

Muscle cross-sections were first blocked in Mouse blocking reagent (M.O.M reagents Vector Laboratories) for 1 h and subsequently in 10% goat serum (Thermo Fisher) supplemented with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in a humid chamber at room temperature for 1 h. Subsequently, muscle sections were incubated with the primary antibodies (anti PAX7, concentrated DSHB 1:100 dilution; anti-Laminin (LAMA1), Sigma 1:750 dilution) in goat serum with BSA blocking solution overnight in a humid chamber at 4°C. The next day, slides were washed twice for 10 min each time in PBT (0.05% Triton-X100 in PBS, v/v), and once for 10 min in PBS. Samples were then incubated with the secondary antibodies (goat anti-mouse IgG1, Alexa 568 1:1,000 dilution; goat anti-rabbit IgG Alexa 488 1:500 dilution) diluted in goat serum in BSA blocking solution for 1 h at room temperature in a humid chamber protected from light. Sections were washed three times for 10 min each time in PBT followed by mounting with ProLong Gold AntiFade plus DAPI solution (Invitrogen P36935), and coverslips were sealed using clear nail polish.

Skeletal muscle injury and regeneration

Injury-induced regeneration experiments were performed via intra-muscular injection of 50 μl cardiotoxin (CTX, 5 μM, Sigma) into the TA muscle of 3-4-months old Myf6-KO and WT control animals. Prior to injury, mice were anaesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of Avertin (2,2,2-tribromoethanol from TCI America at 15 μl per gram body weight of 20 mg per ml solution), or by the administration of isoflurane. The right injured and the left uninjured TA muscles were harvested via dissection 21 days after CTX injury. Muscles were immediately mounted in tragacanth (Alfar Aesar) on cork and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen-chilled isopentane (Sigma).

Isolation and immunofluorescent analysis of EDL myofibers

Briefly, the skin from the hindlimb of the mouse was removed and the TA muscle was dissected to gain access to the extensor digitorum longus (EDL). Excess tissue was removed around the knee to reveal the proximal tendon of the EDL muscle. The EDL muscle was dissected by cutting the distal tendon, gently peeling the muscle off the leg to reach the proximal tendon and severed that as well. The EDL was placed in a 1.5 ml tube that was coated with 10% HS plus DMEM for 30 min.

Excess media were removed, and fibers were fixed with 0.1% Triton X100 with 0.1% Triton X100. 0.1 M glycine in PBS for 15 min at room temperature (RT). After removal of permeabilization buffer, fibers were washed 3× with 0.1% Triton X100 in PBS. Fibers were then permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X100 and blocked with a permeabilization solution composed of 5% HS, 2% BSA, 1% Triton X100. 0.1 M glycine in PBS for at least 1 h at RT. Subsequently, blocking solution was removed and fibers were stained with appropriate primary antibodies diluted in the blocking solution overnight at 4°C. The next day, the primary
antibody solution was removed and fibers were washed 3× with 0.1% Triton X100 in PBS. Subsequently, the secondary antibodies were diluted in the blocking solution and added to fibers at RT for at least 1 h. Lastly, antibody solution was removed and fibers were washed 3× with 0.1% Triton X100 in PBS and placed on a glass slide with mounting solution containing DAPI for microscopic analysis.

Immunofluorescence of cultured fibers was essentially the same as above with the exception that the fibers were placed in growth media [DMEM/sodium pyruvate (110 mg/l), supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 1% chick embryo extract, 2.5 ng/ml bFGF] and incubated at 37°C and 5% CO₂ for 48 h prior to fixation.

Immunofluorescence imaging

Imaging was performed using an EVOS FL Imaging System (Thermo Fischer, AMF4300) equipped with GFP, Texas Red and DAPI filters, and 4×, 10×, 20×, 40× objectives. To determine the numbers of PAX7-high and PAX7-low cells when imaging EDL myofibers, images were captured at 60% signal intensity. Once all channels were overlaid, the GFP channel for PAX7 staining was set to 40% intensity. If no signal was visible at that threshold, cells were counted as PAX7-low. If a signal was visible at that threshold, cells were counted as PAX7-high. The imaging threshold was determined by focusing on a field of view containing cells with varying signal intensities, and adjusting the settings such that the brightest cells are visible after image capture whereas the dimmer cells are not. A similar method was used to determine numbers of pEGFR-high and pSTAT3-high cells.

Quantitative real-time PCR

qPCR analysis was performed using SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix containing buffer, dNTPs and Thermo stable hot-start DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher). Gene-specific primers (Table EV2) were designed using GenScript software. (https://www.genscript.com/tools/real-time-pcr-tagman-primer-design-tool) crossing exon junctions for specified genes. The reactions were run on a QuantStudio 7 Flex Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems – Thermo Fisher). Relative expression values were calculated based on the ΔΔCT method using RPS2 or GAPDH as housekeeping genes.

Isolation of muscle stem cells by fluorescence-activated cell sorting

Hindlimb muscles from Myf6-KO and WT mice were minced and digested in FACS digestion media, composed of HAM’s F10 with 2.4 U/ml Collagenase D, 2.4 U/ml Dispase II and 0.5 mM CaCl₂ for 60 min at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ Tissue Culture (TC) incubator with intermittent trituration. The digested muscle slurry was supplemented with 9 ml of FBS and filtered through a 40 μm cell strainer, and cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 500 g, relative centrifugation force (RCF). The cell pellet was resuspended in 500 μl of 2% FBS: PBS (v/v) and incubated with antibodies and live cell stain (Alexa647-conjugated to anti-mouse ITGA7, PE-CD31, PE-CD45, PE-CD11b, PE-SCA1 and Hoechst 33342) for 15 min at room temperature. Cells were washed in 2% FBS:PBS (v/v) solution and sorted for the ITGA7+/Hoechst+/CD31+/CD45+/CD11b+/SCA1- population as described previously (Pasut et al, 2012) on a FACSAria Fusion system (Beckman-Dickinson).

Isolated cells were sorted directly into lysis buffer for SMART RNA-Seq library preparation or were cultured in growth media (Ham’s F10 supplemented with 20% FBS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin and 2.5 ng/ml bFGF) and passaged after reaching 60% confluence.

SMART RNA-Seq library preparation of satellite cells

1,000–3,000 quiescent satellite cells were lysed after FACS by sorting directly into a 0.2 ml tube containing 1 µl SMART-Seq Reaction Buffer (95% SMART-Seq 10 × lysis buffer containing 5% SMART-Seq RNase Inhibitor, Takara Bioscience, Cat. 634890) in 8 µl ddH₂O. RNA was reverse transcribed using SMART-Seq Reverse Transcriptase followed by 11 cycles of PCR amplification (SMART-Seq v4 kit, Takara Bioscience, Cat. 634890). Purification and size selection of DNA were carried out using Ampure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, Cat. A63880). Total cDNA was quantified using Quant-IT PicoGreen dsDNA Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher). Sequencing libraries were created with the Nextera XT kit (Illumina Cat. FC-131-1024 and FC-131-2001), using 150 pg of total cDNA as input for tagmentation. Illumina sequencing adapters were added by PCR using 12 cycles of amplification (Illumina Cat. FC-131-1024 and FC-131-2001). Final sequencing libraries were purified and size selected using Ampure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, Cat. A63880).

Single myofiber RNA-sequencing

Extensor Digitorum Longus myofibers were isolated from mouse hindlimbs as previously described under “Isolation and Immunofluorescent Analysis of EDL Myofibers”. To strip the myofibers of their associated satellite cells, trypsin was added to the digestion buffer at a final concentration of 0.25%. A single myofiber was then transferred to a 0.2 ml PCR tube, followed by visualization under microscope to confirm the presence of a single fiber. Fibers were resuspended in 9 μl of nuclease-free H₂O containing 1 μl of SMART-Seq 10 × Reaction Buffer (95% SMART-Seq 10 × lysis buffer containing 5% SMART-Seq RNase Inhibitor, Takara Bioscience, Cat. 634890). Sequencing-ready libraries were prepared as previously described (Blackburn et al, 2019), using 250 pg of cDNA as input for Nextera XT (Illumina Cat. FC-131-1024 and FC-131-2001) library preparation.

Satellite cell transplantation

Hindlimbs of WT and Myf6-KO mice were irradiated with 18 Gy using a MultiRad 225 irradiator and were administered a subcutaneous injection of immunosuppressant FK506 (2.5 mg/kg) the day before satellite cell transplantation. Luciferase-expressing satellite cells were FACS sorted from B6:FVB-Ptprd<sup>C</sup> Tg (CAG-luc-GFP)L2GR5Choc Thy1<sup>+</sup>J mice into 2%FBS:PBS (v/v), and 10,000 cells were injected into the hindlimb of WT and Myf6-KO mice using a Hamilton syringe. FK506 injections (2.5 mg/kg) were maintained every 48 h throughout the bioluminescence imaging time course.
**In vivo bioluminescence imaging**

Mice were anesthetized and given two 100 μl contralateral intraperitoneal injections of D-luciferin (Gold Biotechnology) diluted to 15 mg/ml in PBS. Bioluminescence imaging was performed 11 min after luciferin injections using an IVIS Spectrum machine (Perkin Elmer). Bioluminescence signal was measured using region of interest (ROI) placed over each hindlimb. Imaging was performed 1, 7, 14, and 21 days after donor cell transplantation.

**Whole muscle RNA-seq preparation**

Total RNA was isolated from the hindlimb skeletal muscles of 4-month-old Myf6-KO and WT mice using TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher). RNA quality and quantity were assessed by the Agilent Bioanalyzer and 1.0 μg of RNA was used to construct RNA-Seq libraries. cDNA libraries were constructed from total RNA with the TruSeq RNA v2 kit (Illumina). The size distribution of the libraries was analyzed with the Fragment Analyzer High Sensitivity NGS assay (AATI). DNA concentration was measured with the Qubit High Sensitivity DNA assay (Thermo Fisher).

**RNA-seq analysis**

Sequencing was performed on Illumina NextSeq 500 High Output Flow Cell. Sequenced reads were mapped to mouse mm10 genome assembly using HISAT2 (Kim et al., 2015). We used FeatureCounts to quantify gene expression using GENCODE gene definitions (Liao et al., 2014). We then normalized expression by adding one count to every gene (to avoid zeros) and converted gene expression into reads per million (RPM). Differentially expressed genes were identified using the R package DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014). \( P \)-values were corrected by independent hypothesis weighting (Ignatiadis et al., 2016).

**GSEA pathway analysis**

Genes for p38 MAPK (WP400) pathways were used to perform gene set enrichment analysis (Tanabe & Kanehisa, 2012; Fabregat et al., 2018; Slenter et al., 2018) using a weighted Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. In each test, genes in the pathway were weighted by its Wald-test statistic of differential expression. The null distribution of enrichment scores was calculated by permuting the gene labels 10,000 times, the enrichment significance (\( P \)-value) was calculated by the comparison of the enrichment score of the original labels with the null distribution (Subramanian et al., 2005).

**Animal procedures**

All animal procedures were approved by the McGill University Animal Care Committee (UACC).

**Cell isolation and culture**

Muscle stem cells were isolated from the hindlimb muscles of 8-week-old mice by fluorescence-activated cell sorting, as described in the Method Details. Sorted cells were cultured on collagen-coated plates in growth media (Ham’s F10 supplemented with 20% FBS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin and 2.5 ng/ml bFGF) and passaged after reaching 60% confluency.

**Quantification and statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using Prism7 (Graphpad). An unpaired two-tailed \( t \)-test was used for comparison between experimental and control groups. Error bars are representations of the standard deviation unless otherwise stated. Asterisks (*) correspond to \( P \)-values of < 0.05, < 0.01, < 0.001, and < 0.0001, respectively.

**Contact for reagent and resource sharing**

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Vahab Soleimani (vahab.soleimani@mcgill.ca).

**Data availability**

The primary ChIP-Seq and RNA-Seq data reported in this paper are deposited in NCBI and available through Geo Accession numbers GSE123836, GSE80588, GSE158487, and GSE133505.
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