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Background/Aims
To explore the role of intestinal flora and mast cells in visceral hypersensitivity (VH).

Methods
The experimental animals were divided into 4 groups: control group, VH group, VH + VSL#3 group, and VH + ketotifen group. Stool samples were collected from each group (n = 3) for a further analysis using 16S ribosomal DNA gene sequence. Visceral sensitivity was evaluated by abdominal withdrawal reflex (AWR) score. Colon tissues of rats were obtained from each group. Mast cells were detected by toluidine blue staining. The degranulation of mast cells was assessed by transmission electron microscopy.

Results
VH rat model could successfully be induced by acetic acid enema combined with partial limb restraint method. Compared with rats in the control group, AWR score, number of mast cells, and degranulation of mast cells were increased in the VH rats, which could be reduced by administration of ketotifen or probiotic VSL#3. Clostridium sensu stricto 1 abundance was higher in the VH group compared to the control group, which could be restored by application of probiotic VSL#3.

Conclusions
Probiotic VSL#3 decreases visceral sensitivity in VH rats. The mechanism may be related to mast cell and intestinal flora. Change of Clostridium sensu stricto 1 abundance may be a basis for VH observed in irritable bowel syndrome and may be prevented by specific probiotic administration.
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Introduction

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a clinical syndrome characterized by abdominal pain or discomfort accompanied by changes in defecation habits or frequency. The incidence of IBS is about 5.8% to 17.5%, which varies due to different country and classification criteria of IBS. According to Rome IV criteria, IBS is classified into 4 subtypes, including diarrhea-predominant, constipation-predominant, mixed, and undetermined type. Although IBS is not life-threatening, it is characterized by a long-term course and recurrence, which leads to significant expenditure on medical resources.

The etiology of IBS is still unclear. The pathogenesis of IBS includes abnormal intestinal motility and sensation, abdominal distension, excessive neuro-hormonal stress response, and abnormal central regulatory mechanism. Visceral hypersensitivity (VH) is the hallmark of IBS. Therefore, further study of the pathogenesis of VH may reveal the mystery of IBS.

Mast cells may be involved in the pathogenesis of VH. It has been shown that mast cells can affect the gastrointestinal function. The increase of mast cell numbers can affect the intestinal mucosal barrier function, which leads to an increase of visceral sensitivity. The severity of symptoms in IBS patients was related to the number of mast cells. Stress or inflammation-induced VH could be attenuated by mast cell stabilizers. Mast cell deficiency eliminated TNBS-induced VH in rats. Mast cells release a variety of inflammatory mediators and cytokines through degranulation, including biogenic amines (eg, bradykinin and serotonin), enzymes, arachidonic acid metabolites, adenosine, neuropeptides, and cytokines under stress, which could affect adjacent nociceptive nerve fibers by activating corresponding receptors, whereby indirectly changing the sensory threshold of primary afferent neurons. At the same time, it was also found that there were Toll-like receptors on the surface of mast cells, which can recognize intestinal microorganisms and make corresponding physiological reactions.

Intestinal flora plays an important role in the pathogenesis of VH. Animal and human studies have shown that bi-directional signal transduction between brain and intestine affects stress response. Intestinal flora may be an important mediator in brain-gut interaction. It can affect nerve pathways, nerve development and plasticity, pain perception, neurobiochemistry, and integrity of the blood-brain barrier. Epidemiology shows that the development of IBS symptoms is related to the destruction of flora in individuals. For example, IBS symptoms developed after antibiotic treatment or infection, and post-infectious IBS has a close relationship with intestinal flora. In addition, IBS is associated with small intestinal bacterial overgrowth. Clinical data showed that the intestinal flora composition in IBS patients had changed. Transplanting these human stools to sterile rats can lead to VH. It was found that non-absorbable antibiotics (bacitracin/neomycin) induced intestinal flora disorder, and reduced visceral pain-related responses in mice. At present, although there are many studies on intestinal flora and pathogenesis of IBS, the results are inconsistent. Hadizadeh et al reported that abdominal pain sensation was associated with an altered fecal microbiota composition (such as Prevotella, Blautia, Streptococcus, and Lactobacillus). But some studies found that there were no significant differences in fecal microbiota composition between IBS and general population. The relationship between intestinal flora and IBS needs further study.

Based on the above research background, the aim of this study is to explore the role of mast cells and intestinal flora in VH rats, further reveal the pathogenesis of VH, and provide new therapeutic targets for IBS.

Materials and Methods

Animals

A total of 32 male specific pathogen-free Sprague-Dawley rats weighing 150-170 g were purchased from Beijing HFK bioscience Co, Ltd (Beijing, China). The rats were kept in the clean-grade laboratory animal room. The room temperature and humidity were constant. The temperature was controlled between 20 °C and 25 °C. The food and drinking water were freely consumed by rats. At the end of the experiment, rats were euthanized to minimize the pain or discomfort. All experiments followed the guidelines of the International Council for Laboratory Animal Science (Permit No. 2018035).

Experimental Protocol

In the control group, the rats were fed under normal conditions without stimulation.

In the VH model group (n = 8), the VH model was induced as follows: briefly, 1 mL of 4% acetic acid was injected into the colon by an enema tube through the anus (7-cm away from the anus), compressed the anus with a cotton swab for 30 seconds, injected 1 mL of phosphate-buffered saline into the colon, then slowly pulled out the enema tube, and fed normally for 6 days. The rats were fasted for 2 hours each every day.

In the VH + ketotifen group, the VH rats (n = 8) were
treated with intraperitoneal injection of ketotifen furamate (1 mg/kg) from day 7 to day 13. On day 14 the rats were sacrificed.

In the VH + VSL#3 group, the VH rats (n = 8) were treated once daily with intragastric administration of VSL#3 from day 7 to day 13. VSL#3 (15 mg) was dissolved in 200 μL of phosphate-buffered saline.29,30

Rectal Distension Procedure

A disposable 8-French silicone balloon-urethral catheter for pediatric was used for abdominal withdrawal reflex (AWR) in response to colorectal distention. Insertion of the catheter lubricated with paraffin oil into the rats through anus (the end of the balloon was about 7 cm from the anus) was performed, with fixation of the end of the catheter at 1 cm distal from the anus. The rats were placed on a self-made platform, after 30 minutes of adaptation, the AWR score was recorded when the distention pressure of the balloon was at 15, 30, 45, and 60 mmHg. Each expansion lasted for 30 seconds, repeated for 3 times, with an interval of 5 minutes. AWR scoring criteria: 0: no behavioral response to balloon dilation in rats; 1 point: body immobility and head movement reduction during balloon dilation; 2 points: abdominal muscle contraction during balloon dilation, but the abdomen is not lifted off the platform surface; 3 points: abdominal muscle contraction and the abdomen lifted off the platform surface during balloon dilation; and 4 points: pelvis lifted, the body arched and the perineum left the ground during balloon dilation.29,31

Rats were sacrificed at the end of the last distension protocol. One centimeter long segments of colon (3 cm and 8 cm from the anus, ileoceccus) were removed for H&E staining and toluidine blue staining.29 Small pieces of colon tissue (1 mm × 1 mm) were fixed in 3% phosphate buffered glutaraldehyde. It was stored in a refrigerator at 4°C for further mast cell electron microscopic examination.

Hematoxylin and Eosin Staining and Toluidine Blue Staining

Three colon specimens were fixed in Carnoy’s fixation, and 5 μm sections were processed for H&E staining and toluidine blue staining.29

Mast cell toluidine blue staining: paraffin-embedded section subjected to conventional dewaxing to water, stained with 0.5% toluidine blue for 10 minutes, differentiated with 0.5% glacial acetic acid, made transparent using xylene, and sealed with a neutral balsam. Under the microscope (1x71; Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), the granules of mast cells were purple-red and the nuclei were blue. The distribution of mast cells was observed under low power microscopy, and the number of mast cells was calculated for every 5 high power fields (40× objective magnification) and the mean was calculated.

Electron Microscopic Examination

Colon tissues were fixed for 2 hours in 3% phosphate buffered glutaraldehyde fixative at 4°C, subsequently bathed in a cacodylate buffer 3 times, and post-fixed for 90 minutes in a 1% buffer solution of osmium tetroxide (OsO4). They were then dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol, immersed in propileneoxide and durcupan (SPI-Epon 812; Structure Probe, Inc, West Chester, PA, USA) and embedded in durcupan.

Stool samples were collected from rats in each group (n = 3). All of the stool samples were immediately stored at −80°C, and were transferred to Shanghai Majorbio Bio-pharm Technology Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China) where the total DNA was extracted and tested for further analysis.

16S Ribosomal DNA Sequencing of Fecal Microbiota

16S ribosomal DNA (rDNA) sequencing was processed at Shanghai Majorbio Bio-pharm Technology Co., Ltd by using Illumina MiSeq System (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA).

Microbial DNA was extracted from mouse fecal pellets using the E.Z.N.A. soil DNA Kit (Omega Bio-tek, Norcross, GA, USA) according to manufacturer’s protocols. The final DNA concentration and purification were determined by NanoDrop 2000 UV-vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA), and DNA quality was checked by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. The V3-V4 hypervariable regions of the bacteria 16S rDNA gene were amplified with primers 5’-barcode-ACTCTTACGGG AGGCGAGCA-3’ and 806R5’-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTA AT-3’ by thermocycler polymerase chain reaction (PCR) system (GeneAmp 9700; Applied Biosystems, Union City, CA, USA). The PCR reactions were conducted using the following program: 3 minutes of denaturation at 95°C, 27 cycles of 30 seconds at 95°C, 30 seconds for annealing at 55°C, and 45 seconds for elongation at 72°C, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes. PCR reactions were performed in triplicate 20 μL mixture containing 4 μL of 5× FastPfu buffer, 2 μL of 2.5 mM dNTPs, 0.8 μL of each primer (5 μM), 0.4 μL of FastPfu polymerase, and 10 ng of template DNA. The resulting PCR products were extracted from a 2% agarose gel and further purified using the AxyPrep DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Axygen Biosciences, Union City, CA, USA) and quantified using QuantiFluor-ST (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the
manufacturer’s protocol.

Purified amplicons were pooled in equimolar and paired-end sequenced (2 × 300) on an Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina) according to the standard protocols by Majorbio Bio-Pharm Technology Co, Ltd (Shanghai, China).

Operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were clustered with 97% similarity cutoff using UPARSE (version 7.1 http://drive5.com/uparse/) with a novel “greedy” algorithm that performs chimera filtering and OUT clustering simultaneously. The taxonomy of each 16S rDNA gene sequence was analyzed by Ribosomal Database Project Classifier algorithm (http://rdp.cme.msu.edu/) against the Silva (SSU123) 16S rDNA database using confidence threshold of 70%.

Statistical Methods

Data were expressed as mean ± SD. A significant difference among groups in the values (AWR score) at each distension pressure was statistically analyzed using ANOVA. A Fisher’s significant difference and Student–Newman–Keuls post hoc test was

Figure 1. Comparison of abdominal withdrawal reflex (AWR) scores in different groups. AWR score in the visceral hypersensitivity (VH) group was higher than that in the control group. After administration with ketotifen (Ket) or VSL#3, AWR scores in VH rats decreased to normal levels. *P<0.05, compared with the control group; #P<0.05, compared with the VH group.

Figure 2. Hematoxylin and eosin staining for colon tissue. (A) Control group, (B) visceral hypersensitivity (VH) group, (C) VH + ketotifen group, and (D) VH + VSL#3. Scale bar, 200 μm.

Figure 3. Toluidine blue staining for mast cells. (A) Control group, (B) visceral hypersensitivity (VH) group, (C) VH + ketotifen group, and (D) VH + VSL#3. Scale bar, 50 μm.
used after ANOVA analysis where appropriate. Differences with \( P < 0.05 \) were considered to be significant. IBM SPSS version 17.0 statistical software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for data processing and analysis.

For microbiota analysis, Wilcoxon test was used in diversity index calculation. Fisher’s exact test was performed in cluster analysis. Analysis of species differences between groups was determined by Kruskal-Wallis test. Welch’s \( t \) test was used in statistical analysis of taxonomic and functional profiles. Differences with \( P < 0.05 \) were considered to be significant.

**Results**

**Visceral Hypersensitivity**

AWR score was used to evaluate the visceral sensitivity. In the present study, it was revealed that AWR score in response to colorectal distention in the VH model group (0.50 ± 0.40, 0.58 ± 0.35, 1.16 ± 0.44, and 1.33 ± 0.47) was significantly higher than that in the control group (0.04 ± 0.11, 0.12 ± 0.17, 0.54 ± 0.47, and 0.54 ± 0.31). AWR score in the VH + VSL#3 group (0.12 ± 0.17, 0.29 ± 0.28, 0.54 ± 0.47, and 0.88 ± 0.43), or the VH + ketotifen group (0.16 ± 0.17, 0.25 ± 0.24, 0.58 ± 0.50, and 0.67 ± 0.44) was lower than that in the VH group (0.50 ± 0.40, 0.58 ± 0.35, 1.16 ± 0.44, and 1.33 ± 0.47) (Fig. 1).

**Histology of Colonic Tissue**

There was no obvious inflammatory cell infiltration and tissue damage in the colonic mucosa of each group (Fig. 2).

**Toluidine Blue Staining for Colonic Mast Cells**

The mast cells were counted under the microscope (Fig. 3). In colonic segments 8 cm from anus, mast cell number in the VH group (4.60 ± 2.33) was significantly higher than that in the control group (0.17 ± 0.41) (Fig. 4; \( P < 0.05 \)), and administration of ketotifen (1.00 ± 1.73) or VSL#3 (2.06 ± 1.47) decreased mast cell number in VH rats (Fig. 4; \( P < 0.05 \)). No significant differences were found in colonic segments 3 cm from anus and ileoceccus segments.

![Figure 4. Comparison of mast cell numbers in colonic segments.](https://example.com/f4.png)

**Figure 4.** Comparison of mast cell numbers in colonic segments. Mast cell numbers in the visceral hypersensitivity (VH) group were significantly higher than the control group (*\( P < 0.05 \)); ketotifen (Ket) and probiotic VSL#3 decreased mast cell numbers compared with that in the VH group (\( ^* P < 0.05 \)).

![Figure 5.](https://example.com/f5.png)

**Figure 5.** Electron micrograph of mast cell in the rat colon. (A) Control group, (B) visceral hypersensitivity (VH) group, (C) VH + ketotifen group, and (D) VH + VSL#3. Scale bar, 2 \( \mu \)m.
Transmission Electron Microscopic Observation of Colonic Mast Cells

Mast cells are characterized by the prominent and numerous granules contained in the cytoplasm (Fig. 5). Mast cells are mainly distributed in the lamina propria of intestinal wall. In the VH group, there are degranulation and vacuole formation, and the degranulation of mast cells is reduced by the intervention of probiotic VSL#3 or ketotifen (Fig. 5).

Colonic Bacterial Community Structure

A total of 12 stool samples were analyzed in this study using 16S rDNA sequencing. A total of 627,321 sequences were finally analyzed and were clustered into 818 OTUs. We compared microbial diversity between the control group and VH group, VH + ketotifen group and VH group, and VH + VSL#3 group and VH group with Coverage, Sobs, Simpson, and Shannon index (Table). No significant differences were found in either 2 groups (Table). Species composition was analyzed (Fig. 6). Firmicutes (68.26%) and Bacteroidetes (28.21%) were the most abundant OTUs in most stool samples (Fig. 6). Actinobacteria and Tenericutes were also detected at very low levels (Fig. 6). The composition of fecal microflora was similar among the control group, VH group, VH + ketotifen group, and VH + VSL#3 group (Fig. 6). We analyzed species with different abundances in the microbial community among groups at the genus level (Fig. 7A). The abundance of Clostridium sensu stricto 1 in the VH group was higher than that in the control group (Fig. 7B). Treatment with VSL#3 induced a significant reduction of abundance in Clostridium sensu stricto 1 in VH rats (Fig. 7C). Clostridium sensu stricto 1 may be associated with VH and may act as a biological target of probiotic VSL#3 for the treatment of VH.

Discussion

In the present study, our results showed that probiotic VSL#3 decreased VH, which had the same effect as the mast cell stabilizer ketotifen. IBS subjects showed the high rate of mast cell degranulation, which increased the release of serotonin, corticotropin-releasing factor and histamine. Probiotic inhibited IgE-dependent activation and degranulation of mast cell by downregulating high-affinity IgE receptor 1 and histamine H4 receptor expression in

Table. Alpha Diversity Indices and Coverage From Gut Bacterial Communities of Rats in Each Group

| Index       | Control       | VH            | Ketotifen     | VSL#3         |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| Ace         | 0.05 ± 0.03   | 0.04 ± 0.01   | 0.05 ± 0.04  | 0.04 ± 0.01   |
| Simpson     | 0.10 ± 0.00   | 0.10 ± 0.00   | 0.10 ± 0.00  | 0.10 ± 0.00   |
| Shannon     | 513.67 ± 57.50| 519.33 ± 25.93| 510.00 ± 36.00| 473.33 ± 117.25|
| Coverage (%)| 576.28 ± 51.67| 588.71 ± 19.91| 604.66 ± 22.96| 526.28 ± 108.59|

VH, visceral hypersensitivity.
Figure 7. Analysis of species differences at the genus level. (A) Relative abundance of phylotypes in rats. (B) Differences in the relative abundance of phylotypes between visceral hypersensitivity (VH) rats and control rats. VH rats showed a raised abundance of *Clostridium sensu stricto* 1 compared with controls. (C) Differences in the relative abundance of phylotypes between VH rats and VH + VSL#3 rats. *P < 0.05; 0.001 < **P ≤ 0.05.
human mast cells. In addition, probiotic inhibited mast cell degranulation via a Toll-like receptor 2-dependent mechanism with high-affinity IgE receptor 1 gene subunit α downregulation.\textsuperscript{14,16,17} Probiotics such as \textit{Bifidobacterium} and \textit{Bacillus} regulated intestinal metabolites by reconstructing intestinal flora structure and affecting intestinal mast-cell immune responses.\textsuperscript{38,39} In this present study, it revealed that both the number and degranulation of mast cells were increased in VH rats, and the number and degranulation of mast cells could be reduced by the mast cell stabilizer or probiotic VSL\#3. It was further confirmed that mast cells may be related to VH, and probiotic VSL\#3 may reduce VH via the regulation of mast cell.

Although intestinal microbiota has been linked to IBS, there are only few qualitative data presenting the intestinal microbiota in the experimental models of IBS and IBS patients, and the data are ambiguous. For example, Rajilić-Stojanović et al\textsuperscript{36} found that there was a significant difference in the composition of intestinal flora (\textit{Firmicutes} and \textit{Bacteroides}) between IBS patients and healthy controls, and it was also revealed that the composition of intestinal flora was related to IBS symptoms. Carroll et al\textsuperscript{37} using 16S rRNA technology to analyze the fecal microbiota of diarrhea-predominant IBS (IBS-D) (\(n = 23\)) and healthy controls (\(n = 23\)), it revealed the higher levels of Enterobacteriaceae and the lower levels of \textit{Fecalibacterium} genera in patients with IBS-D compared to healthy controls. Jeffery et al\textsuperscript{38} found that compared with healthy controls, IBS-D patients showed normal-like microbiota composition, whereas other IBS subtypes samples (\(n = 22\)) were characterized by an increase of \textit{Firmicutes}-associated taxa and a depletion of \textit{Bacteroidetes}-related taxa. However, Frost et al\textsuperscript{39} found that there was no difference of fecal microbiota composition between IBS patients and controls. Because of different diagnostic criteria for IBS,\textsuperscript{40,41} the different subtypes of IBS,\textsuperscript{42} the different sampling sites and methods (luminal microflora and intestinal mucosa-associated microflora), the evolution of the bacterial taxonomy,\textsuperscript{43} limitations of methodology for analysis of the microbiota (culture-based methods,\textsuperscript{44} real-time fluorescent quantitative PCR,\textsuperscript{45} and 16S rRNA\textsuperscript{46}) and microbiota in different geographical environment,\textsuperscript{46} there is no consistent conclusion about the relationship between intestinal microflora and IBS.

Different members of gut bacteria may have different effects on gut function. An increased abundance of \textit{Cyanobacteria} and \textit{Proteobacteria} was associated with satiety and bloating, and increased pain threshold.\textsuperscript{38} The increase of \textit{Lachnospiraceae} and \textit{Ruminococcus} was correlated with visceral pain.\textsuperscript{42,47} Decrease of \textit{Lactobacilli} occurred in patients with IBS-D,\textsuperscript{48} constipation-predominant IBS was related to methane excretion.\textsuperscript{43} \textit{Lactobacillus plantarum} PS128 ameliorated VH through gut-brain axis.\textsuperscript{49} \textit{Bifidobacterium longum} BB536 and \textit{Lactobacillus rhamnosus} GN001 restored intestinal permeability and gut microbiota in IBS patients.\textsuperscript{50} \textit{Prevotella} had a negative association with pain in IBS patients.\textsuperscript{51} \textit{Clostridium sensu stricto} 1 was a representative species of \textit{Clostridium} and could produce short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs).\textsuperscript{52} Some studies had demonstrated that there was an increase of \textit{Clostridium} in stool samples from IBS patients.\textsuperscript{36,53} The relative abundance of \textit{Clostridium sensu stricto} 1 positively correlated with the content of SCFAs,\textsuperscript{52} which may be correlated with VH.\textsuperscript{53} SUN found that \textit{Clostridium} increased in IBS-D patients,\textsuperscript{54} further confirming that \textit{Clostridium} may be associated with IBS. In the present study, we did not find any changes in the composition and diversity of intestinal microbiome between VH rats and control rats, and the composition and diversity of intestinal microbiome in VH rats did not change after application of probiotic VSL\#3. However, the fecal microbiota analysis showed that the abundance of \textit{Clostridium sensu stricto} 1 in VH rats was higher than that in the normal control group, and the application of probiotic VSL\#3 downregulated the abundance of \textit{Clostridium sensu stricto} 1 in VH rats. This result suggested that \textit{Clostridium sensu stricto} 1 may be related to VH and a potential mechanism of probiotic VSL\#3 in the treatment for IBS-D patients.

Overall, in this present study, we found both the number and degranulation of mast cell were increased in VH rats. The abundance of \textit{Clostridium sensu stricto} 1 was higher in VH rats. A mast cell stabilizer and probiotic VSL\#3 could decrease VH, mast cell number and degranulation. Probiotic VSL\#3 simultaneously reduced the abundance of \textit{Clostridium sensu stricto} 1. Therefore, we suggest that mast cell and intestinal microbiome play a role in the pathogenesis of VH and probiotic VSL\#3 regulates VH through mast cell or intestinal microbiome. Due to the small sample in the study, the role of \textit{Clostridium sensu stricto} 1 in IBS needs further exploration.

In conclusion, the present study revealed that probiotic VSL\#3 was effective in decreasing VH, and further confirmed the role of mast cells in VH rats. The fecal microbiota analysis showed a higher abundance of \textit{Clostridium sensu stricto} 1 in VH rats, which was reversed by the treatment of probiotic VSL\#3, providing a theoretical foundation for further study on the pathogenesis of IBS. In the latter study, we will further explore the role of mast cells and \textit{Clostridium sensu stricto} 1 in the pathogenesis of IBS and the mechanism of probiotic VSL\#3 in the treatment of IBS.
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