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Abstract
Understanding the factors, which control ErbB2 and EGF receptor (EGFR) status in cells is likely to inform future therapeutic approaches directed at these potent oncogenes. ErbB2 is resistant to stimulus-induced degradation and high levels of over-expression can inhibit EGF receptor down-regulation. We now show that for HeLa cells expressing similar numbers of EGFR and ErbB2, EGFR down-regulation is efficient and insensitive to reduction of ErbB2 levels. Deubiquitinating enzymes (DUBs) may extend protein half-lives by rescuing ubiquitinated substrates from proteasomal degradation or from ubiquitin-dependent lysosomal sorting. Using a siRNA library directed at the full complement of human DUBs, we identified POH1 (also known as Rpn11 or PSMD14), a component of the proteasome lid, as a critical DUB controlling the apparent ErbB2 levels. Moreover, the effects on ErbB2 levels can be reproduced by administration of proteasomal inhibitors such as epoxomicin used at maximally tolerated doses. However, the extent of this apparent loss and specificity for ErbB2 versus EGFR could not be accounted for by changes in transcription or degradation rate. Further investigation revealed that cell surface ErbB2 levels are only mildly affected by POH1 knock-down and that the apparent loss can at least partially be explained by the accumulation of higher molecular weight ubiquitinated forms of ErbB2 that are detectable with an extracellular but not intracellular domain directed antibody. We propose that POH1 may deubiquitinate ErbB2 and that this activity is not necessarily coupled to proteasomal degradation.

Introduction
The ErbB2/Her2 receptor is one of four members of the ErbB family of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) [1,2]. Its over-expression in breast cancers is associated with poor prognosis and malignancy. It is a high priority drug target, against which monoclonal antibodies (e.g. Herceptin) are used as a frontline therapy. The receptor possesses no ligand binding affinity and is only activated upon ligand-induced hetero-dimerisation with another family member, for example EGF Receptor (EGFR). Upon activation, most RTKs are down-regulated through Cbl-dependent ubiquitination and ubiquitin-dependent sorting to the lysosome [3]. Uniquely amongst the ErbB family, ErbB2 is endocytosis defective, with the consequence that its over-expression may also interfere with the down-regulation of ErbB family binding partners [4,5,6,7]. To date the influence of ErbB2 on EGFR down-regulation has been studied by over-expression, but the inverse approach of ErbB2 knock-down has not been explored.

The ubiquitin system influences nearly all aspects of cell physiology [8]. It can determine protein stability, by promoting both proteasomal and lysosomal degradation, but also regulates transcription and translation. The hsp90 inhibitor Geldanamycin induces the down-regulation of ErbB2 [9]. Ubiquitination of the receptor becomes evident and proteasome inhibitors reverse Geldanamycin-induced degradation [10,11], most likely indirectly by interfering with lysosomal trafficking of the receptor [12,13,14]. Ubiquitination can be reversed by the action of deubiquitinating enzymes (DUBs), of which there are around 85 active members falling into 5 major families [15]. These enzymes are emerging as attractive drug targets [16].

In this study we have identified a requirement for a DUB associated with the proteasomal 19S complex, POH1 (also known as Rpn11 or PSMD14), in the regulation of ErbB2 ubiquitination.

Results
Role of ErbB2 in EGF receptor down-regulation and signalling
It has been established that SKBr3 cells highly over-express ErbB2 (2.7 × 10^6) [17] and that HeLa cells possess around 50,000 EGFR receptors [18]. Using these estimates as benchmarks, we have extrapolated relative levels of receptors to other cell lines by quantitative immuno-blotting using an Odyssey Imaging system. Thus we can estimate the number of ErbB2 receptors on our HeLa cells to be in the order of 54,000 and the number of EGFRs on A549 cells as around 67,000 (Figure 1A and B). Following EGF stimulation, ErbB2 levels remained constant whilst EGFR levels declined over a 2 hours time period in HeLa, A549 and DU145 cells (Figure 1B). The degradation rate of EGFR between various cell lines did not correlate with reduced ErbB2 levels. Degradation of EGFR in A549 cells is incomplete after 2 hours, yet complete in HeLa cells, which have a higher ErbB2 to EGFR ratio by an order of magnitude (Figure 1B). We could not unambiguously detect...
EGFR in SKBR3 cells; the band seen by Western blotting with anti-EGFR antibodies is most likely due to minor cross reactivity with ErbB2, based on expression levels and molecular weight considerations (Figure 1C).

Knock-down of ErbB2 had no effect on the EGFR degradation rate in HeLa cells (Figure 2A), nor did it influence MAP kinase (MAPK) signalling as evidenced by immunoblotting with anti-phospho-MAPK.

Screening for DUBs controlling ErbB2 levels

We screened a siRNA (siGenome, Dharmacon) library for DUBs, which control the stability of ErbB2, using 29D8 monoclonal antibody, which recognises an epitope in the cytoplasmic domain. We then assembled our results into a rank order (Figure 3). The screen is conducted with a pool of 4 oligos for each DUB. We selected DUBs at extreme ends of the spectrum of ErbB2 levels for validation with individual On-Target Plus oligos. 3 DUBs MYSM1, CSN5 and AMSH-LP showed 1.5 fold increase in ErbB2 in our initial screen, but in no case could this be confirmed with On-Target Plus Oligos applied individually. BAP1, USP14, USP2, and POH1 all indicated substantial loss of ErbB2 in the initial screen. Only POH1 could be convincingly validated, showing significant decrease in ErbB2 with all four On-Target Plus oligos (Figure 4A). Whilst small effects on the levels of other RTKs EGFR and Met were also evident, this was most striking for ErbB2, suggesting some degree of selectivity (Figure 4A,B). No noticeable change was observed in the Coomassie Blue staining pattern following POH1 knock-down (not shown), nor in the levels of Transferrin receptor or the endosome associated proteins STAM and AMSH (Figure 4C).

Determining the mechanism of ErbB2 loss following POH1 knock-down

What is the mechanism of this apparent ErbB2 down-regulation following POH1 knockdown? In principal this could reflect...
changes in transcription, translation or protein turnover. Determination of mRNA levels by RT-PCR revealed a slight decrease at the 48 hrs time-point, but this is not of the requisite magnitude (assuming linearity), nor does it exhibit selectivity for ErbB2 over EGFR (Figure 5). We next measured the rate of loss of ErbB2 and EGFR following a cycloheximide-induced block to translation. Knockdown of POH1 gave a modest increase in ErbB2 turnover, but significantly enhanced the down-regulation of EGFR (Figure 6A,B). Whilst interesting, these results cannot account for the preferential loss of ErbB2 at steady state. Levels of Hsp90, a factor known to control ErbB2 stability [11], were unchanged (not shown). Using an antibody against an extracellular epitope of ErbB2, Ab20, we obtained very similar results, however we noticed the presence of a faint, higher molecular weight smear specific to POH1 knock-down conditions (Figure 6A top panel) that is characteristic of ubiquitination. We verified that this phenomenon was reproduced by all four On-Target Plus oligos directed at ErbB2 (Figure 6C). Given our failure to find a substantial defect in ErbB2 transcription or protein degradation rates, we suspected this higher molecular weight form may represent ubiquitinated ErbB2 that accumulates upon POH1 knockdown and may be less easily detectable by western blotting due to its heterologous molecular weight. This effect may be further compounded by using an antibody directed against an intracellular epitope (29D8), which may conceivably be masked by this modification. Indeed, the high molecular weight smear detected with the extracellular antibody is susceptible to in vitro protease treatment with the catalytic domain of USP2, a non-specific DUB that can be used in a similar way to alkaline phosphatase treatment to query the phosphorylation status of a protein, and which is able to remove ubiquitin from activated EGFR, a well-established ubiquitinated protein (Figure 6D). Concomitantly, removal of ubiquitin by USP2 also leads to a partial recovery of the ErbB2 signal detected with the intracellular domain antibody, suggesting regeneration of an epitope that was previously masked by ubiquitin. A western blotting approach may thus lead us to misjudge the actual amount of ErbB2 expressed in these cells. Using fluorescently labelled anti-ErbB2 or anti-EGFR extracellular antibodies allowed us to measure cell surface ErbB2 and EGFR levels following POH1 knockdown by FACS analysis (Figure 7A,B). This approach showed only modest reductions in the levels of both receptors. When the same samples were probed by western blotting with anti-ErbB2 or anti-EGFR antibodies a highly significant and preferential loss of ErbB2 is observed, that is however more striking for the intracellular ErbB2 antibody (29D8) (Figure 7C).

**POH1 depletion and proteasome inhibition**

POH1 is a component of the 19S proteasomal lid complex and its knockdown inhibits proteasome activity [20]. Blotting cell lysates for ubiquitin revealed an accumulation of ubiquitinated proteins comparable to that observed in the presence of proteasome inhibitors, however in distinction to acute proteasome inhibition (for 6 hours) free ubiquitin levels were not reduced, but if anything slightly increased (Figure 8). We next asked the question whether we could reproduce the effects of POH1 depletion on ErbB2 levels by chronic inhibition of proteasome activity. We titrated epoxomicin in long-term culture to determine the maximum tolerated dose and analysed the effect on ErbB2 levels. Sustained application of 10 nM epoxomicin recapitulated the selective loss of ErbB2 seen following POH1 knockdown with minimal effect on EGFR levels (Figure 9A), whilst the extracellular domain antibody, Ab20, again showed a higher molecular weight smear upon proteasome inhibition (Figure 9B).

**Discussion**

The degradation rate of activated RTKs may be controlled by the balance of ubiquitination by E3 ligases (such as c-Cbl) and DUBs such as AMSH [21,22]. ErbB2 is not reduced following indirect activation through stimulation of EGFR, but can be destabilised by CHIP-dependent ubiquitination following dissociation of Hsp90, the target of Geldanamycin [10,23]. Previous studies have determined the influence of ErbB2 on acute EGFR down-regulation following over-expression of ErbB2 [5,6,7]. Here, we have examined the influence of endogenous ErbB2 on endogenous EGFR down-regulation in HeLa cells, for which both receptors are estimated to be expressed at similar levels. In this instance, we clearly show that depletion of ErbB2 does not influence EGFR down-regulation kinetics.

Initially we reasoned that tonic DUB activity may contribute to ErbB2 stability and that we may identify a relevant DUB with a siRNA screen. This predicts that knockdown of a specific DUB would lead to decreased ErbB2 levels due to ubiquitin-dependent degradation. The initial screen using the siGenome pool of 4 oligos per target identified several candidates, but these did not pass the second round of validation i.e.≥two of four On-Target Plus oligos recapitulating the effect.

One DUB, POH1, passed our validation study (4/4 oligos). POH1 is a component of the 19S proteasomal lid complex and has been suggested to couple recycling of ubiquitin to protein degradation [24]. Although we see a high degree of loss of ErbB2 following POH1 knock-down, especially when using an antibody directed at the cytosolic domain, when we assay surface associated ErbB2 with an extra-cellular directed antibody we do not observe a corresponding loss of receptor. Furthermore, in these POH1-depleted cells, Western blotting for ErbB2 with an extracellular domain directed antibody reveals a higher molecular weight smear characteristic of ubiquitinated receptor that is susceptible to USP2 cleavage. Thus, we propose that POH1 is an ErbB2 DUB, which may oppose constitutive ubiquitination of the receptor.

We can see the accumulation of ubiquitinated ErbB2 under conditions where proteasome activity is blocked, either by POH1...
knockdown or by epoxomicin. Remarkably, the ubiquitinated ErbB2 is not rapidly down-regulated by the lysosomal pathway as happens for example with a truncated EGFR fused to a single linear ubiquitin [25,26]. Our data however fits with the idea of a specific domain within ErbB2 that restricts ligand-dependent degradation independently of ubiquitination status [27].

Our study also indicates that the proteasomal pathway is unlikely to be a major degradative pathway for ErbB2 under steady state conditions as we do not see any accumulation of ErbB2 upon POH1 knockdown as measured by FACS analysis. Rather we suggest that our results indicate a novel role for POH1 in deubiquitinating ErbB2 that may in fact rescue it from

Figure 4. Multiple POH1 oligos down-regulate ErbB2. A. siRNA mediated knockdown (KD) of candidates was repeated with four individual On Target Plus oligos incubated with HeLa cells for 48 hours. The results for POH1 were consistent. The knockdown of other candidates (MYSM1, USP14, BAP1, etc) with four individual oligos failed to show significant effects on ErbB2 levels (results from MYSM1 shown as an example). Lysates were also probed with EGFR, Met, and tubulin antibodies. The effect of knock-down of POH1 on EGFR and Met levels was much less pronounced than for ErbB2. B. quantitation of ErbB2, EGFR, and Met receptors for POH1 knockdown cells (averaged from 3 experiments). C. HeLa cells were treated with POH1 siRNA (four individual oligos) or oligofectamine transfection reagent (control) for 48 hours before lysis and analysed by immunoblotting for Transferrin receptor (TfR), Hrs, STAM, AMSH, and tubulin. Knock-down of POH1 showed minor effects on the levels of these proteins. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005544.g004
As shown in Figure 2, downregulation of EGFR is associated with enhancement of ErbB2. POH1 regulate these receptor levels by de-ubiquitinating EGFR and ErbB2 in a manner dependent upon the cell type. In HeLa cells, POH1 knock-down resulted in downregulation of EGFR transcript levels while ErbB2 transcript levels actually remained unchanged (Figure 5).

Materials and Methods

Antibodies and other reagents

Rabbit polyclonal anti-Met (C-28) and anti-TrfR (H-300), goat polyclonal (1005) anti-EGFR, FITC conjugated ErbB2 (2H2) and PE conjugated EGFR (528) antibodies were from Santa Cruz. Mouse monoclonal anti-ErbB2 antibody (Ab20) was purchased from Neomarkers. Mouse anti-pMAPK, rabbit anti-ErbB2 (29D8), anti-EGFR (2232), anti-MAPK antibodies were obtained from Cell Signaling. Mouse monoclonal anti-tubulin and rabbit anti-ubiquitin (U5379) were from Sigma. Mouse monoclonal anti-polyubiquitinated proteins (FK1) was from BIOMOL international. Rabbit anti-POH1 was obtained from Zymed laboratories. Rabbit anti-Hrs, anti-AMSH, and anti-STAM were described previously [21,32,33]. Secondary donkey anti-mouse and anti-rabbit IRDye (680 and 800 nm) antibodies were obtained from LI-COR.

Cell culture and RNAi experiments

All tissue culture reagents were from Invitrogen unless specified. HeLa, HEK293T, and A549 cells were cultured at 37°C with 5% CO2 in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum and 1% non-essential amino acids. DU145 and SKBr3 cells were grown under the same conditions in RPMI and McCoy’s (Sigma) medium respectively. In siRNA mediated knockdown experiments, HeLa cells were treated with siRNA oligos at 40–45 nM using OligoJetamine in the absence of serum. After 4 hours, FBS was added to a final concentration of 10%. siRNA duplexes used are as follows, ErbB2 (sense UGGAAGAGAUCACAGGUUAUU, antisense 5’UAAUCUAUCCUUGCCAUU, POH1 OL1 (sense GAGAGAUCACAGGUUAUU), POH1 OL2 (sense GAGAGAUCACAGGUUAUU, antisense 5’PAU-ACCUUAGCCACCCCGUU), POH1 OL3 (sense AGAGAGAUCACAGGUUAUU, antisense 5’PAU-ACCCUGUGGAUGCCCGUU), POH1 OL4 (sense GAAGCAGAAGGGAUGCCCGUU, antisense 5’PAU-ACCGAAGAAGGGAUGCCCGUU).

Estimation of ErbB2 receptor levels in HeLa cells in comparison to SKBr3 cells

Two dishes of SKBr3 and HeLa cells were set up in parallel. Two days later, one dish each was trypsinised and cells counted by haemocytometer, while each parallel dish was lysed in NP-40 lysis buffer (0.5% Nonidet P-40, 25 mM Tris/HCl pH7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 50 mM NaF, protease inhibitors) on ice, and the samples precleared by centrifugation. The amount of protein per cell was established by BCA assay and samples were analysed by SDS-PAGE followed by Western blot.

Cell lysis and immunoblotting

Cells were lysed with either NP-40 lysis buffer on ice or SDS “hot lysis buffer” (1% SDS, 1 mM EDTA, and 50 mM NaF)
Figure 6. POH1 depletion and ErbB2 receptor turnover. A. HeLa cells were treated with POH1 siRNA for 48 hours before incubation with 10 μg/ml cycloheximide. Cells were lysed and analysed by immunoblotting with ErbB2 29D8 and Ab20 antibodies, which recognize intracellular and extracellular epitopes of ErbB2 respectively, EGFR, and tubulin antibodies. B, quantitation shows that both EGFR (by antibody 1005) and ErbB2 (by antibodies Ab20 and 29D8) are turned over more rapidly in POH1 knock-down cells (data averaged from 3 experiments). C. HeLa cells were treated with four On Target Plus oligos (POH1) or with oligofectamine alone for 72 hours before lysis with hot lysis buffer. A higher molecular weight ErbB2 “smear” was observed in all 4 knock-down samples. D The high molecular weight smear associated with ErbB2 immuno-reactivity is sensitive to treatment with a deubiquitinase (USP2). HeLa cells were treated with POH1 siRNA or oligofectamine for 48 hours before lysis in the presence of NEM. ErbB2 was immunoprecipitated and treated in vitro with USP2 catalytic domain (100 nM, 8 hours, 37°C). Samples were analyzed by immunoblotting with ErbB2 antibodies targeting extracellular (Ab20) and intracellular (29D8) domains. Note that the smear detected with Ab20 is lost upon USP2 treatment whilst detection with the intracellular domain antibody increases. As a control for USP2 DUB-activity, EGFR was immunoprecipitated from EGF-stimulated (5 min) HeLa cells and treated in vitro with USP2 catalytic domain before SDS-PAGE and western blotting with anti-Ubiquitin.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005544.g006
heated to 110°C. Cell lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting, and finally analysed with a LI-COR Odyssey 2.1 system.

**siRNA DUB screen**

HeLa cells were grown in 6-well plates to 30–50% confluency and then transfected with DUB specific siRNA oligos at 40 nM, using Oligofectamine (Invitrogen). After 72 hours, cells were lysed with NP-40 lysis buffer. Lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting with ErbB2 and tubulin antibodies. ErbB2 bands were quantified with the LI-COR Odyssey 2.1 system and normalized to tubulin.

**Real time PCR**

HeLa cells were treated with control reagent or POH1 siRNA for 24 or 48 hours. RNA was extracted using a Qiagen RNAeasy kit. cDNA was prepared with a QuantiTect® reverse transcription kit (Qiagen). RT-PCR reactions were set up using DyNAmo HS SYBR Green qPCR kit (Finnzymes) and run on the BioRad iQ5 system according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Experimental data for EGFR and ErbB2 were normalized to actin.

**Flow cytometry**

HeLa cells were treated with control reagent or POH1 siRNA for 48 hours. Cells were detached by incubation at 37°C in PBS.
supplemented with EDTA. One million cells were used per reaction, which were first washed with PBS supplemented with 0.1% BSA and 0.05% sodium azide (PBS/B/A) and then incubated with Phycoerythrin conjugated EGFR and fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) conjugated ErbB2 antibodies (Santa Cruz) for 30 minutes in the same buffer on ice. Cells were washed once and then resuspended in 0.5 ml of PBS/B/A, finally analysed by flow cytometry using a FACScan cytometer.

In vitro deubiquitination assay with USP2 catalytic domain

HeLa cells were treated with POH1 siRNA or control reagent for 48 or 72 hours before lysis with "hot lysis" SDS-buffer. Equal amounts of cell lysates were analysed by immunoblotting with A, polyclonal anti-ubiquitin (recognising ubiquitinated proteins and free ubiquitin) and B, FK1 monoclonal anti-ubiquitin (recognising only polyubiquitinated proteins). As with proteasome inhibitor treatment (Lact: lactacystin, 10 μM and Epo: epoxomicin, 10 μM left and right panels respectively), POH1 depletion caused an accumulation of ubiquitinated proteins in the cell, but in contrast to the inhibitors, which deplete free ubiquitin, levels of free ubiquitin were elevated.
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Figure 8. POH1 deletion increases levels of free ubiquitin and ubiquitinated proteins. HeLa cells were treated with POH1 siRNA (oligo 1–4) or control reagent for 48 or 72 hours before lysis with “hot lysis” SDS-buffer. Equal amounts of cell lysates were analysed by immunoblotting with A, polyclonal anti-ubiquitin (recognising ubiquitinated proteins and free ubiquitin) and B, FK1 monoclonal anti-ubiquitin (recognising only polyubiquitinated proteins). As with proteasome inhibitor treatment (Lact: lactacystin, 10 μM and Epo: epoxomicin, 10 μM left and right panels respectively), POH1 depletion caused an accumulation of ubiquitinated proteins in the cell, but in contrast to the inhibitors, which deplete free ubiquitin, levels of free ubiquitin were elevated.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005544.g008
Figure 9. Chronic treatment with a proteasome inhibitor replicates effects of POH1 depletion on ErbB2. HeLa cells were incubated with epoxomicin (8 nM or 10 nM) or DMSO for 48 hours (fresh inhibitors were applied at 24 hours). Cell lysates obtained by “hot lysis” were subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting with ErbB2, EGFR, TrfR, POH1, and tubulin antibodies. Epoxomicin treatment resulted in the apparent loss of ErbB2 and concomitant appearance of a high molecular weight smear.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005544.g009
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