Improved Efficiency of Ternary-Blended Films in Organic Solar Cell

Eunhee Lim

ABSTRACT

Organic solar cells (OSCs) have attracted great interest as next-generation energy sources. In this study, a diketopyrrolopyrrole (DPP)-based electron-richer small-molecule donor, α-DPP-DT, was incorporated into a P3HT:PCBM binary film to fabricate ternary-blended OSCs. The OSCs were fabricated with the conventional configuration of ITO/PEDOT:PSS/active layer/LiF/Al and exhibited a power conversion efficiency of 2.12 %, which was greater than those of the two corresponding binary-blended devices. Both the open-circuit voltage and short-circuit current density values of the ternary films were lay between the corresponding values of the two binary films. The proposed working mechanism of the ternary-blended films is based on an alloy structure of two donors rather than on a cascade structure.
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1. Introduction

Advances in various materials and in device manufacturing technology have led to the development of high-performance organic solar cells (OSCs) [1, 2]. With respect to materials development, new molecular backbones and synthesis strategies, such as halogen substitution and side-chain engineering, have been widely introduced. For example, power conversion efficiencies (PCEs) greater than 12 % have been achieved using nonfullerene small-molecule acceptors composed of a fused-ring-based electron-rich core and suitable halogen substituents [3]. The development of new molecular backbones can lead to next-level device performance, which can be further increased through fine-tuning of the side-chains/substituents. Since the development of Y6 and COi8DFC, device performance has improved by more than 16 % [4, 5]. With respect to advances in manufacturing, the introduction of ternary and/or tandem devices has contributed to improvements in device performance [6, 7]. Unlike binary devices, which comprise one donor and one acceptor, ternary solar cells include a third component (donor or acceptor) in their active layer. This configuration is generally used to improve photovoltaic performance. The third component used in a binary system must satisfy several requirements to enhance the performance of solar cells, including appropriate molecular energy levels, a good film morphology, and complementary absorption. The introduced third component can affect charge transfer of the films because of the energy offset of the other materials used in the device, which influences the open-circuit voltage (Voc). Control of the film roughness and morphology is necessary because improper morphological characteristics can induce phase separation of the photoactive layer. Complementary UV–vis absorption of the three materials enables the absorption of a broader range of the solar spectrum, which can improve the short-circuit current density (Jsc) of solar cell devices. For commercialization, further improvement of device performance is still required; to this end, the development of new materials and the optimization of devices in which they are incorporated must be considered simultaneously.

In this study, a ternary system was developed by incorporating a diketopyrrolopyrrole (DPP)-based small-molecule donor as the third component into a poly(3-hexylthiophene) (P3HT):phenyl-C61-butyric acid methyl ester (PCBM) binary system. Device performance was improved upon incorporation of the third component. A working mechanism was also proposed.

2. Experimental details

2.1. Materials

α-DPP-DT was synthesized using the palladium-catalyzed Suzuki coupling reaction between the DPP-based dibromide, 2, 5-diethylhexyl-3,6-bis (5-bromothiophen-2-yl) pyrrolo[3,4-c]-pyrrolo[1,4-dione, and the borolanylaryl compound, 5-hexylthiophene-2-boronic acid pinacol ester [8]. DPP-based dibromide was synthesized according to the literature [9–11], and the borolanylaryl compound was commercially available from Sigma-Aldrich.

2.2. Physical measurements and fabrication of organic solar cells

UV–vis spectra were obtained using a Shimadzu UV/vis spectrometer. The devices used in the UV–vis measurement were prepared by drop-casting a chlorobenzene solution. The optical energy bandgaps (Eg) were estimated from the absorption onset wavelengths (Eg = 1240/λonset (eV)) of the films [8]. The electrochemical properties were characterized by cyclic voltammetry (CV), as presented in our previous reports [8, 9].

The OSC devices were fabricated with the conventional configuration: indium tin oxide (ITO)/poly(3, 4-ethylenedioxythiophene) polystyrene sulfonate (PEDOT:PSS)/active layer/LiF/Al. The ITO-coated glass substrates were cleaned via ultrasonic treatment in deionized water, acetone, isopropyl alcohol, and methanol. The PEDOT:PSS layer (40 nm) was spin-coated on the substrate at a rate of 3500 rpm for 30 s and annealed at 120 °C for 30 min. In the ternary cells, both P3HT and α-DPP-DT were used as a donor, and the PCBM...
three absorption spectra were normalized to maximum values of
was used as an acceptor. The ternary-blended solution (P3HT:α-DPP-DT:PCBM = 8:2:8) was prepared using chlorobenzene as a solvent at a solid concentration of 36 mg mL\(^{-1}\). For comparison, a P3HT:PCBM binary cell was also prepared under the same conditions, where the D:A ratio was adjusted to 10:8, and chlorobenzene was used as a processing solvent. Prior to use, the chlorobenzene solution was stirred at 60 °C overnight to ensure complete dissolution. The solutions were filtered through a 0.45 μm polytetrafluoroethylene membrane syringe before applied dropwise onto the substrates. The active layer was then spin-coated onto the PEDOT:PSS-coated ITO substrates at a rate of 3000 rpm for 30 s, followed by soft-baking at 120 °C for 10 min in a nitrogen-filled glove box. The thickness of the photoactive layer was approximately 50 nm, measured using a surface profiler (Alpha-Step 200, Tencor). Subsequently, an LiF (1 nm)/Al (100 nm) electrode was thermally evaporated on the active layer under vacuum. The effective area of all the devices was measured to be 4 mm\(^2\). The current–voltage (\(I−V\)) characteristics of the devices were measured using a Keithley 2400 source-measure unit in air under AM 1.5G illumination (100 mW cm\(^{-2}\)).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Materials and physical properties

Well-known \(p\)- and \(n\)-type organic semiconducting materials P3HT and PCBM were used as a donor and an acceptor, respectively, constituting the active layer of an OSC. The electron-rich DPP-based small molecule α-DPP-DT was selected as another donor material to be incorporated into the active layer, resulting in a ternary-blended film of P3HT:α-DPP-DT:PCBM. We reported the synthesis of α-DPP-DT via a Pd-catalyzed Suzuki coupling reaction between DPP-based dibromide and a hexylthiophene-based borolanylaryl compound in our previous work [8, 9]. Figure 1 shows the chemical structure of α-DPP-DP with the structures of P3HT and PCBM.

Figure 2 shows the UV–vis absorption spectrum of the ternary P3HT:α-DPP-DT:PCBM film, along with the spectra of the P3HT:PCBM and α-DPP-DT:PCBM binary films. The P3HT:α-DPP-DT:PCBM weight ratio was adjusted to 8:2:8, which is the same ratio used in the fabricated device, as described later. In addition, when P3HT and α-DPP-DT were used as donors and PCBM as an acceptor, the total donor:acceptor weight ratio in the ternary devices was 10:8; thus, the binary P3HT:PCBM film was also fabricated with a weight ratio of 10:8. For convenience, the three absorption spectra were normalized to maximum values of

![Figure 1. Molecular chemical structures of alpha-DPP-DT, P3HT, and PCBM](image)

![Figure 2. Normalized UV–vis absorption spectra of the P3HT:α-DPP-DT:PCBM (8:2:8) ternary-blended films; those of P3HT:PCBM (10:8) and α-DPP-DT films are shown for comparison.](image)

Table 1. Photovoltaic properties of the organic solar cells.

| Active layer | \(V_{OC}\) [V] | \(J_{SC}\) [mA cm\(^{-2}\)] | FF [%] | PCE [%] |
|--------------|---------------|----------------|-------|-------|
| P3HT:α-DPP-DT:PCBM | 0.64 | 6.44 | 51 | 2.12 |
| α-DPP-DT:PCBM [8] | 0.79 | 5.29 | 42 | 1.77 |
| P3HT:PCBM | 0.57 | 7.28 | 44 | 1.83 |
Figure 3. Energy diagrams of α-DPP-DT, P3HT, and PCBM

(100 nm). Figure 4 shows the J–V curves recorded in air under AM 1.5G illumination (100 mW cm−2), and the photovoltaic properties of the devices are summarized in Table 1; the photovoltaic properties of the two binary devices with P3HT:PCBM (10:8) and α-DPP-DT:PCBM (2:1) [8] films are included for comparison. The ternary device fabricated using the P3HT:α-DPP-DT:PCBM (8:2:8) blend film exhibited substantially enhanced performance (PCE of 2.12 %) compared with the two binary P3HT:PCBM (1.83 %) and α-DPP-DT:PCBM (1.77 %) devices.

Interestingly, both the VOC and JSC values of the device with the ternary film were between the corresponding values of the devices fabricated using the two binary films. First, the JSC value of the P3HT:α-DPP-DT:PCBM blend film device (6.44 mA cm−2) was higher than that of the α-DPP-DT:PCBM film device (5.29 mA cm−2) but lower than that of the P3HT:PCBM film device (7.28 mA cm−2). Despite the relatively low Voc of α-DPP-DT and the cascade energy-level alignment of the three materials [Fig. 3], the addition of α-DPPDT resulted in a lower charge transport in the device. Therefore, the working mechanism of the ternary-blended film might not involve a cascade structure. The VOC value of the ternary film device was also between those of the device with the two binary films. The VOC values increased in the order P3HT:PCBM, ternary film, and α-DPP-DT:PCBM. The higher VOC value of the α-DPP-DT:PCBM film device (0.79 V) compared with that of the P3HT:PCBM film device (0.57 V) is consistent with the LUMO levels of the donor materials. The VOC value of the OSC device is known to be dominated by the difference in the HOMO level of the donor and LUMO level of the acceptor. Therefore, given the LUMO level of the acceptor, PCBM, the lower-lying HOMO level of α-DPP-DT (−5.31 eV) compared with that of P3HT (−5.2 eV) could make Voc of the α-DPP-DT:PCBM device (0.79 V) higher than that of the P3HT:PCBM device (0.57 V). The gradually varying VOC dependence on the content of the third component has been explained previously using an alloy-like model [12,13]. In our device, the intermediate VOC value of the ternary device indicates that the two donors adopted an alloy structure rather than a cascade structure. In addition, the improved fill factor (FF) value of 51 % for the ternary-blended device implies the formation of an improved film morphology, where the addition of α-DPP-DT does not cause substantial phase separation. The device efficiency can presumably be further improved by optimizing the device fabrication conditions.

4. Conclusions

This study improved the device performance of OSCs by incorporating a small-molecule donor, alpha-DPP-DT, as the third component into the OSC active layer. The ternary-blended P3HT:α-DPP-DT:PCBM device exhibited a PCE of 2.12 %, which is superior to those of devices based on the corresponding blended films of P3HT:PCBM (1.83 %) and alpha-DPP-DT:PCBM (1.77 %). Because the VOC value was between those of the corresponding two binary devices, the working mechanism of the ternary cells was attributed to the formation of an alloy-like structure.
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