The Trend of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* Infections in Southern Thailand from 2006 to 2010
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**Abstract:** The bacterium, *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* was isolated from 776 patients at Hat Yai Hospital in Southern Thailand from 2006 to 2010. 51.3–73.6% of the isolates were *tdh*+*trh*− and Group-specific PCR positive pandemic strains. A comparison of the number of *V. parahaemolyticus* isolates in this study and that from the same hospital in 2000–2005 indicates that this region of Thailand is endemic for *V. parahaemolyticus*.
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**INTRODUCTION**

*Vibrio parahaemolyticus* is a Gram-negative halophilic bacterium detected worldwide in the marine environment. It is a leading cause of gastroenteritis and generally associated with consumption of raw or improperly cooked seafood [1]. The infective dose of pathogenic *V. parahaemolyticus* has been estimated to be 10⁵ to 10⁷ pathogenic organisms [2]. The incubation period ranges from 4 to 96 h and the illness lasts for 2–3 days. Clinical symptoms include abdominal pain, watery diarrhea, nausea, vomiting and the disease is occasionally associated with headache, fever and chills [1]. However, not all strains of *V. parahaemolyticus* are pathogenic. Most clinical strains possess a major virulence factor, the thermostable direct hemolysin (TDH), and exhibit β-hemolysis on Wagatsuma agar [3]. Another virulence factor, the TDH-related hemolysin (TRH) has also been associated with some food-poisoning outbreaks [4]. TDH and TRH are encoded by the *tdh* and *trh* genes respectively. The percentage of *tdh* and *trh* genes detected in the environment varies and depends on both the location and the detection techniques. However, around 90% of clinical isolates possess either the *tdh* gene, the *trh* gene, or both [5–7].

In Thailand, *V. parahaemolyticus* was first reported in 1970 [8]. Since then, infections have been reported from various communities [9, 10]. The incidence of infections in Thailand was unremarkable until 1996 when a pandemic strain with the *tdh* virulence gene and O3:K6 serotype emerged [11, 12]. Group-specific PCR (GS-PCR) has been established to detect nucleotide variations unique to the pandemic clone within the 1,364 bp toxRS region [13]. The first report of the O3:K6 pandemic strain was obtained from patients at a Hat Yai Hospital in southern Thailand. One isolate of the O3:K6 pandemic strain was detected in shellfish purchased from the same area, indicating that people in this area were at risk of *V. parahaemolyticus* infection [12]. Thus, a surveillance program has been established at Hat Yai Hospital, the main public hospital in Songkhla province, as a public health warning. A total of 865 patients infected with *V. parahaemolyticus* were reported from 2000 to 2005 [7]. Approximately 64.1–69.7% of the isolates between 2000 and 2003 were pandemic strains, defined as GS-PCR positive *tdh* *trh* (Fig. 1). However, in 2004 and 2005, the percentage of infections by these strains decreased to 56.1 and 55.5%, respectively. It has been postulated that people previously exposed to pandemic strains may have acquired immunity to the pandemic strains although they continue to be susceptible to non-pandemic strains. Hence, we report our continued surveillance of infection by *V. parahaemolyticus* in Hat Yai Hospital from 2006 to 2010.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection and bacteriology
Rectal swabs were obtained from patients with diarrhea in Hat Yai Hospital, Songkhla Province, Thailand, between 2006 and 2010. Each sample was plated on MacConkey, Salmonella-Shigella and Thiosulfate Citrate Bile-Salts Sucrose (TCBS) agars (Difco, Md., U.S.A.). After incubation at 37°C overnight, sucrose non-fermenter colonies on TCBS were selected and were identified as *V. parahaemolyticus* by standard biochemical tests. Confirmation of *V. parahaemolyticus* was performed by PCR targeted to the *toxR* gene.

ToxR investigation
The test isolate was grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth containing 1% NaCl with shaking at 160 rpm at 37°C overnight. One milliliter of the broth culture was centrifuged, and the bacterial cells were washed with sterile saline (0.85% NaCl) and then suspended in it. The cell suspension was boiled for 10 min and the supernatant was obtained by centrifugation, diluted 10-fold in distilled water, and used as the DNA template for PCR amplification. To investigate the *toxR* gene, PCR using primers T4 and T7 was performed, as described previously [14].

Detection of *tdh* and *trh* genes
To determine the presence of the *tdh* and *trh* genes, the DNA template was prepared as described above. PCR was carried out using the primers D3–D5 and R2–R6, respectively [15].

Serotyping
The serotype of *V. parahaemolyticus* was established by the slide-agglutination technique using anti-O and anti-K antibodies (Denka Seiken, Tokyo, Japan). The test isolate was grown in tryptic soy broth containing 3% NaCl at 37°C for 18 h, and the bacterial cells were suspended in saline (3% NaCl). For the determination of the K serotype, the bacterial cell suspension was subjected to agglutination with specific anti-K antibodies. For the O serotype, the bacterial cell suspension was autoclaved at 121°C for 1 h after which the autoclaved bacterial cells were agglutinated with specific anti-O antibodies.

GS-PCR
GS-PCR was carried out using the primers GS-VP1 and GS-VP2 and the reaction was carried out as previously described [13].

Statistical analysis
Student’s *t* test was used for comparison of the results.

RESULTS
From 2006 to 2010, a total of 776 *V. parahaemolyticus* isolates were obtained from patients in Hat Yai Hospital (Table 1). The highest number was in 2006 and the lowest number was in 2009. The bacteria were classified into four groups: *tdh*−*trh*−, *tdh*−*trh*+, *tdh*+*trh*− and *tdh*+*trh*+ based on presence or absence of the *tdh* or *trh* toxin genes. Most of the clinical *V. parahaemolyticus* isolates were in the *tdh*−*trh*− group, which was divided into pandemic (GS-PCR positive) and non-pandemic (GS-PCR negative) strains. The predominant isolates of *V. parahaemolyticus* in each year were pandemic strains of which the highest number, 73.6%, and the lowest number, 51.3%, were from 2008 and 2010, respectively (Table 1). The numbers of isolates in the other three
groups, \textit{tdh} \textit{trh}, \textit{tdh} \textit{trh} and \textit{tdh} \textit{trh}, were highest in 2007 (7.9%), 2010 (12.6%) and 2009 (2.7%) respectively. Two isolates of \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} in the \textit{tdh trh} group obtained in 2009 were GS-PCR positive.

Every year the most prevalent serotype was O3:K6, which accounted for 46.8% of the total isolates (Table 2). Also, O3:K6 was the predominant serotype among the pandemic strains, followed by O4:K8 and O1:K25 (Table 3). The serotypes of isolates from non-pandemic strains were variable; the predominant serotypes were O1:K56, O4:K8, O1:KUT and O4:K9 (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Infections caused by \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} were investigated between 2006 and 2010. It was found that most of the isolates were pandemic strains and belonged to the O3:K6 serotype. This result is consistent with the findings since 2000 in Hat Yai Hospital, where O3:K6 pandemic strains were the main isolates [7]. This pandemic clone is a permanent part of the bacterial flora in this region. The reasons remain unknown, because it has been demonstrated that the effects of environmental factors such as temperature (either 4 or 50°C), acid stress (pH 4) and low-salinity stress on O3:K6 pandemic strains and other non-pandemic strains are not different [16]. In this study, the percentage of O3:K6 pandemic strains between 2006 and 2010 was alternatively high and low, but the total percentage median of pandemic strains detected in 2000–2005 [7] was not significantly different from the number of isolates belonging to the O3:K6 serotype. This result is consistent with the findings of a previous investigation [17, 18]. In this study, we also detected two isolates of \textit{tdh trh} that belong to the O3:K6 serotype and GS-PCR positive pandemic group (Table 1). Therefore, it is possible that the \textit{tdh} gene was deleted in those strains.

Mollusks are important sources of \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} because they are filter feeders. In Thailand, consumption of mollusks, especially cockles contaminated with \textit{V. parahaemolyticus}, is probably a major cause of acute gastroenteritis because the popular way to prepare this seafood is by semi-cooking. The average amount of \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} reported from cockles was 5.1 \times 10^3 cfu/g [21]. Infection by this bacterium is regularly encountered. An effective way to reduce \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} contamination in cockles is needed. It has been reported that the number of \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} in oysters decreased after they were stored at low temperatures of 0 to 5°C [22]. Therefore, simple refrigeration of cockles in the market may help to minimize the risk of infection.

In conclusion, investigation of \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} isolates from Hat Yai Hospital from 2006 to 2010 revealed that the predominant isolates were pandemic O3:K6 strains. The number of isolates belonging to each toxin gene profile was not significantly different from the number from the same hospital in 2000–2005. This indicates that people in

**Table 1. Characteristics of \textit{V. parahaemolyticus} isolates from Hat Yai Hospital from 2006 to 2010.**

| Year | total | \textit{tdh} \textit{trh} | \textit{tdh} \textit{trh} | \textit{tdh} \textit{trh} | \textit{tdh} \textit{trh} |
|------|-------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|      |       | GS-PCR positive | GS-PCR negative | GS-PCR positive | GS-PCR positive |
| 2006 | 214   | 138 (64.5)      | 52 (24.3)       | 7 (3.3)         | 16 (7.5)       |
| 2007 | 139   | 83 (59.7)       | 26 (18.7)       | 11 (7.9)        | 17 (12.2)      |
| 2008 | 193   | 142 (73.6)      | 32 (16.6)       | 6 (3.1)         | 11 (5.7)       |
| 2009 | 111   | 67 (60.4)       | 28 (25.2)       | 4 (3.6)         | 9* (8.1)       |
| 2010 | 119   | 61 (51.3)       | 37 (31.1)       | 6 (5.0)         | 15 (12.6)      |
| total| 776   | 491             | 175             | 34             | 68             | 8 |

* Two isolates were GS-PCR positive
Table 2. Serotypes of *V. parahaemolyticus* isolated between 2006 and 2010.

| Year (total isolate) | No. of isolates* | Serotype |
|----------------------|------------------|----------|
| 2006 (214)           | 107              | O3:K6    |
|                      | 31               | O4:K68   |
|                      | 19               | O1:K56   |
|                      | 13               | O4:K8    |
|                      | 5                | O1:KUT, O4:K55 |
|                      | 4                | O11:KUT, O12:KUT |
|                      | 3                | O2:K3, O3:K29, O5:KUT, O1:K9, O4:K9, O1:K25, O3:K5, O3:K29, O3:K53, O4:K49, O4:K11, O5:K19, O5:K61, O8:KUT, O11:K40 |
| 2007 (139)           | 73               | O3:K6    |
|                      | 9                | O1:KUT   |
|                      | 7                | O1:K25   |
|                      | 5                | O4:K8, O4:K68 |
|                      | 4                | O1:K56   |
|                      | 3                | O13:K5, O4:K11, O5:KUT, O8:KUT, O11:KUT |
|                      | 2                | O1:K69, O3:K5, O3:K5, O10:K32, O10:K10, O12:KUT, O13:K75, O10:K6 |
|                      | 1                | O1:K9, O1:K32, O1:K64, O2:K3, O2:K28, O3:K75, O3:KUT, O4:K13, O4:KUT, O9:KUT, O10:K19, O10:K10, O12:KUT, O13:K75, O10:K6 |
| 2008 (193)           | 106              | O3:K6    |
|                      | 32               | O1:K25   |
|                      | 9                | O1:K56   |
|                      | 7                | O1:KUT   |
|                      | 6                | O4:K8    |
|                      | 3                | O12:KUT  |
|                      | 2                | O2:K3, O4:K13, O4:K53, O4:K55, O5:KUT, O8:K74, O9:K44 |
|                      | 1                | O1:K9, O1:K58, O1:K69, O3:K5, O3:K29, O3:K45, O3:K75, O4:K12, O4:K68, O5:K17, O5:K61, O8:K38, O8:K41, O8:K44, O9:K44, O11:K22 |
| 2009 (111)           | 49               | O3:K6    |
|                      | 18               | O4:K68   |
|                      | 9                | O4:K9    |
|                      | 6                | O1:K56   |
|                      | 5                | O1:KUT   |
|                      | 4                | O2:K3    |
|                      | 3                | O4:K8    |
|                      | 2                | O1:K25, O3:KUT, O8:K22, O11:K36 |
|                      | 1                | O1:K9, O3:K29, O4:K13, O4:K42, O4:K55, O5:K15, O8:K32, O9:K44, O10:KUT |
| 2010 (119)           | 47               | O3:K6    |
|                      | 24               | O1:KUT   |
|                      | 15               | O4:K9    |
|                      | 7                | O1:K56, O4:K8 |
|                      | 4                | O3:KUT   |
|                      | 3                | O10:KUT, O11:KUT |
|                      | 2                | O4:K4, O4:KUT, O5:KUT |
|                      | 1                | O4:K3, O8:KUT, O8:K70 |

* No. of isolates per serotype.
† UT, Untypeable
this area are constantly exposed to pathogenic *V. parahaemolyticus* at the same level. Therefore, this region of Thailand is probably endemic for *V. parahaemolyticus*. In the future it would be worthwhile to investigate the factors involved in this infection. It is also important to find methods to reduce the amount of *V. parahaemolyticus* in shellfish in the market.
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