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ABSTRACT
The undulated power supply has dropped to its worst reliability index in most parts of the city despite the installations of distribution transformers to improve the power. In this work, examination of Port Harcourt Town Zone 4 (Z4), Rivers State power distribution system forcing on its operation, planning for future expansion of the system, and sharing of power between utilities was done. The objective was to unravel the problematic recurrent blackouts as a result high power loss, that is (FR) in the line; low voltage profile, poor cosØ at the load end, excessive loading of feeder transformers, and conductors rating inadequacy at the receiving end of the 33KV Distribution part of the substation. A comprehensive study was carried out on the system with the formation of node admittance matrix. Programmable codes were written using MATLAB script to resolve the static power flow equations defined applying Fast decoupled-Newton Raphson calculation procedure centered on the advantages of time and PC memory space (PC-MS). Thus, the node voltage and the other variables like branch flows and phase angles were gotten, and network losses were reduced. However, the results obtained were compared with that gotten from Electrical Transient Analyzer Program (ETAP) application software. It was seen that the two results got were related. The general net power gotten was (129.741 MW, 83.818 MVAR) applying the Fast Decoupled-Newton-Raphson load flow technique in MATLAB programming environment after the addition of receptor power through the means of the capacitor bank to the affected nodes. The total net power that is real and reactive got employing ETAP programming were (125.765 MW, 92.782 MVAR). The overall line losses were enhanced by 0.246 reductions. That is from (4.75MW, 10.05MVAR) to (3.58MW, 7.57MVAR) of the entire real power losses.

1. Introduction

Generally, electrical power is transmitted from the sending end side to the receiving end substations. At the receiving side, the voltage is stepped down to a lesser value of the sending end value, most times accompanied by some technical and economic challenges. As a result of these challenges, electrical energy being the hub of modern technologies as well as the foundation of industrialization, has driven every nation to improve electrical power generation as well as enhancing the power transmission and distribution systems in order to make it efficient and meet-up with the growing power demand of the respective nations, thus massive upgrading. Despite the perceived upgrade to enhance the power wheeling capacity of the transmission line and its ancillary parts, low voltages are still felt in some areas and this has prompted the installation of distribution generators without adequate arrangement, accordingly causing the over-loading of the different feeders and some other issues. Thus, a few zones are under-used whereas certain transformers are over stacked. In this study, the combined Fast Decoupled - Newton Raphson method was utilized to study the Port Harcourt Zone 4 power network. The Port Harcourt Z4 substation includes 4-distribution transformers using a complete installed capacity/limit of approximately 164.9MVA with nine (9) serving feeders. However, the authority of the substation has attributed blame on poor power situation on
insufficient megawatts, adding that there had been a reduction in the megawatts allotted to the substation from 693.9MW to between 149.7MW/159.5MW. This shortage of megawatts forced the company to embark on recurrent load shedding to ensure the limited-megawatts available go round consumers. These are the key problems to be looked upon in the distribution network for proper utilization of the available power. Hence, the objective of this paper is to formulate a load flow program based on the combined Fast Decoupled - Newton- Raphson load flow method. Analyze, and take care of the issue of successive blackouts brought about by heavy power (fR) losses in the line, low voltage experienced, poor cosθ at the load end, over-loading of feeders, and transformers in the 33kV distribution network.

2. Related Work

Availability of adequate electrical energy supply is an indispensable enabler for the social-economic advance of any nation [1, 2]. Several numeral of resourceful and dependable power flow solution techniques, like, Gauss-Seidel (GS), Newton-Raphson (NR), Fast Decoupled Load Flow (FDLF), and so on were reviewed as in [3, 4]. In an earlier era and now, they were widely and excellently used for network analysis, operation, control, and planning. However, in the investigation of distribution networks with high resistance to reactance proportions (Resistance/Reactance) an exceptional network becomes relevant. Power flow study is an indispensable requirement for power system planning, expansion, and assessment, etc. Many scholars have carried out great research for the improvement of computer programs (CP) to enhance large power system power flow investigation [5, 6]. Though, these comprehensively useful programs may experience convergence complexities with radial distribution networks when many nodes are to be resolved [5-7] and, thus, development of a special program (SP) becomes crucial for distribution study. The result formulation and procedure may be accurate or estimated, with balanced values anticipated for either on-line or detached on line use, and intended to be applied for either single-case several-case assessments [3, 8]. The load flow methodology is a key device in application programming for the network distribution management. These approaches can be classified as node-based or branch-based procedure. The node-based class utilizes node voltages and or currents infusion as state variables to address the load flow issue. Here, the most prominent techniques incorporate system equivalence approach strategy, Z-node approach, Fast Decoupled calculation, and Newton-Raphson’s approach. The branch-based procedure uses either branch currents, and or branch flows as main variables for the solution of the load flow issue. Regressive and forward range based procedures, as well as ring impedance calculation algorithms, can be arranged in group assessment in [7]. The power losses in electricity are most cases higher at the distribution lines compared to that of the transmission systems. This is usually due to the higher R/X ratio at the distribution lines [2, 6, 9].

2.1. Load Flow Solution methodology for Radial Distribution network

According to [6, 10] power flow procedure is planned for transmission networks rather than distribution systems. Much of the algorithm has been modified in literature. The necessity for dependability, exactness, storage ability, and quick calculation assumes a significant function in any latest power flow proposed distribution analysis. In this study, it was stated that a new distribution power flow methodology must consider complex network so order that the storing capacity can be reduced to achieve the result fast, and in fewer iteration for both online and offline applications [8, 10].

2.2. The Theory of Diakoptic Built On Fast Decoupled Power Flow Algorithm

The hypothesis is reasonable for dispersed processing of load flow problem. The studies can be performed in a very shorter period if calculations for various subsystems of an incorporated network are done simultaneously utilizing a few workstations. However, when distributed processing preparation is carried out continuously in actual time, information should be gathered from nearby points and only a generally little information base is to be updated locally at normal interims. The Reduction of transmission line information over long significant distances to the central workstation can be achieved [8, 10].

2.3. Load Flow Analysis using ETAP Software Simulation

This product is a computer-based model that simulates a continuous real-time stable state power system process. It facilitates the calculation of system line losses, reactive and real power flow, and node voltage profiles [9-11]. ETAP software is used for designing and coordinating of relays in the distribution network [10, 12]. To carry out a power flow study to analyze the performance of the electrical system during abnormal and normal working conditions, and giving the proof expected to upgrade or optimize circuit uses, create functional voltage profiles; identifies transformer tap settings, minimize MVAr, and MV losses. Besides, to help in developing equipment specification guidelines.

2.4. Analysis of the Load Flow Problem in Power System Planning Studies

According to [7, 11, 13], load flow or power flow is the movement of active and reactive power from generators to different load points of the system. This examination is an exceptionally basic instrument utilized by power system engineers for planning and deciding the steady-state activity of a power network. In [10, 12, 14] power system is presented as an electric circuit that comprises of generators, transformers, circuit breakers, lines, etc. to decide the different hub voltages, phase angles, dynamic and responsive power flows through the system [15]. The analysts or researchers in [14, 16, 17] said that the primary proof acquired from the load/power flow consists of voltage and phase angles at a given node number. The load flow problem equations are nonlinear and this requires an iterative technique to solve it.

2.5. Power Perturbation Technique for Study of Power Network Load Flow

Perturbation technique theory is a new power flow technique which goal is to try to improve the convergence rate by linearizing the load flow equation where more attention is given to the voltage values (V) and the phase angle (δ) in every recalculation step. This
procedure is quicker, and it gives more precise results than the regular or normal Gauss-Seidel power flow computation method, having been tested on the IEEE 118-node, 30-node, 14-node, and 5-node systems [2, 18, 19]. Reduction of the computational challenges by decreasing the total equations, approximating the Jacobian matrix structure, and other different factors are the opinions of most developed existing algorithms.

3. Methodology of the Research

Among various power flow solution algorithms, power flow models depend more on the Newton-Raphson (NR) based algorithm. Various decoupled polar alternatives of the NR methodology have been tried for minimizing the storage capacity limit and calculation period associated with the load flow remedy. In this study, the tools needed for the study are line parameters, node information, MATLAB applications programming, a PC; MATLAB programming codes utilizing a Fast-decoupled load flow calculations approach. ETAP programming will be additionally utilized for examination, comparism, and result justification. The FDLF technique was utilized for the study being considered. The system was modeled and demonstrated using the Electrical Transient Analyzer Program (ETAP programming) for simulation purposes employing fast decoupled. The universal purpose NR method of power flow studies was used for solving initial values.

3.1. Power Flow Equations

Sample of Node of a Power System network is as shown in Figure 1(a & b) for illustration purposes.

\[
V_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n} Y_{ij} V_j, \quad \text{where } j \neq i
\]

Using Kirchhoff current law (kcl) from Figure 1(b) we obtain

\[
I_i = (y_{01} + y_{11} + y_{21} + \ldots + y_{m1}) V_i - y_{11} V_1 - y_{21} V_2 - \ldots - y_{m1} V_m.
\]

\[
I_i = V_i \sum_{j=0}^{n} Y_{ij} - \sum_{j=0}^{n} Y_{ij} V_j
\]

Substituting \( I_i \) from the above equation into 3

\[
(P_i - jQ_i)/V_i^* = V_i \sum_{j=0}^{n} Y_{ij} - \sum_{j=0}^{n} Y_{ij} V_j
\]

Equation 3 can be written as in Equation 5

\[
I_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n} Y_{ij} V_j.
\]

And the polar representation

\[
I_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n} |Y_{ij}| |V_j| \sin(\theta_j + \delta_j),
\]

The complex power at node I is given as

\[
P_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n} |Y_{ij}| |V_j|^2 \cos(\theta_j - \delta_i + \delta_j),
\]

\[
Q_i = -\sum_{j=0}^{n} |Y_{ij}| |V_j|^2 \sin(\theta_j - \delta_i + \delta_j).
\]

Equations 7 and 8 constitute a set of non-linear algebraic equations in terms of independent variables, voltage values in per unit, and phase angle in radians. Using the Taylor series approach in expanding Equations 7 and 8 with the initial estimate and neglecting all higher-order terms results in a set of equations as shown below:

\[
\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta V} = \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & I_2 & \ldots & I_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \delta_m \end{bmatrix}
\]

The diagonal and off-diagonal elements of J1 are

\[
\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial \delta_i} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} |Y_{ij}| |V_j|^2 \sin(\theta_j - \delta_i + \delta_j), \quad j \neq i
\]

\[
\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial \delta_i} = -|V_i||V_j|^2 \sin(\theta_j - \delta_i + \delta_j)
\]

The diagonal and off-diagonal of J4 are;

\[
\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial |V_i|} = -2|V_i| |V_j| \sin \theta_i - \sum_{j=0}^{n} |V_i||V_j|^2 \sin(\theta_j - \delta_i + \delta_j)
\]

The capacitor, in this case, injects a specified power in the system, while the voltage is controlled.

\[
S_2 = S_{G2} - S_{D2} = -P_{D2}.
\]
\[
\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial |V_i|} = -|V_i|Y_i\sin(\theta_i - \delta_i + \delta_j). 
\]  
(13)

\[
|V_i^{(k+1)}| = |V_i^{(k)}| + \Delta |V_i^{(k)}|. 
\]  
(17)

Table 1: 33KV Feeders and Injection Substations at port Harcourt Town Zone 4

| Fdr S/N | Feeder Name       | Installed Transformer Capacity (MVA) | Feeder Name | Installed Transformer Capacity (MVA) |
|---------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1       | UST feeder        | 30                                   | RSUST Agip  | 2x15                                 |
|         |                   |                                      | NAOC        | 1x7.5                                |
|         |                   |                                      |             | 2x3                                  |
| 2       | Secretariat Feeder| 45                                   | Secretariat | 2x7.5                                |
|         |                   |                                      | Marine Base | 2x15                                  |
|         |                   |                                      | Juanuta     | 1x2.5                                 |
| 3       | Borokiri Feeder   | 60                                   | Borokiri    | 1x15                                  |
|         |                   |                                      | Eastern     | 1x15                                  |
|         |                   |                                      | Bypass      |                                       |
| 4       | Silver Bird Feeder|                                     | Silver Bird | 1x15                                  |
|         |                   |                                      | Kidney Island|                                     |
| 5       | UTC Feeder        | 60                                   | UTC         | 1x15                                  |
|         |                   |                                      | Water Works | 1x15                                  |
| 6       | Rumuolumeni Feeder|                                     | UOE         | 1x7.5                                |
|         |                   |                                      | School of Nursing | 1x15                      |
|         |                   |                                      | Naval Base  | 2x2.5                                |
|         |                   |                                      | Master      | 1x1.5                                |
| 7       | Nzimiro Feeder, etc.|                                   | Nzemiro-Old | 3x15                                 |
|         |                   |                                      | Diobu, Owerri Road, etc          |                                       |

The terms \( \Delta P_i^{(k)} \) and \( \Delta Q_i^{(k)} \) in Equations 9 are the differences between the computed and scheduled quantities, called power mismatch or residual, expressed as in Equations 14 and 15.

\[
\Delta P_i^{(k)} = P_i^{(sch)} - P_i^{(k)} 
\]  
(14)

\[
\Delta Q_i^{(k)} = Q_i^{(sch)} - Q_i^{(k)} 
\]  
(15)

The new estimates for node voltage are

\[
\delta_i^{(k-1)} = \delta_i^{(k)} - \Delta P_i^{(k)}. 
\]  
(16)

3.2. Formulation of Power Flow Equations

A complex unified system with several nodes interconnected through transmission lines can be described as a power system. The load flow issue includes the calculation of voltage values and phase angle at every node, real and reactive power flow in all subsystems in the network under predictable or consistent state condition. Formulation and calculation of node admittance matrix is the starting point in the solution of power flow which is formed from transmission line parameters. In this manner, a contextual analysis is taking from Port Harcourt Town (zone 4) on the 33KV Distribution System of active feeders with 165MVA as a complete installed limit of the Transformers. The breakdown of the distribution transformers added to the incoming substation is as shown in Table 1 while Figure 2 depicts the 33KV Distribution System of the substations used as the case study.

3.3. Line Parameters for Port Harcourt Town, 33KV Distribution Network

Consider the line Parameters of the 33KV Distribution System, the conductors are evenly sorted and are overhead lines. The spacing between FACT as in the case of the Nigerian 33KV distribution system is 88cm. (that is, \( D = 0.88 \)m) and, Figure 3 is the phi representation of per phase Line.

For the UST Feeder (33KV) on Node 2 at 15.15km to Incoming Substation; with Conductor Cross-Sectional Area, \( A = 182 \)mm\(^2\)ACSR/GZ. (Aluminum conductor steel fortified with electifies).

Radius of conductor, \( R = \frac{\sqrt{A}}{\pi} \) m.  \( (18) \)

\[
R = \sqrt{\frac{182 \times 10^{-6}}{\pi}} = 0.00761 \text{ m.} 
\]

GMD = \( \sqrt{D_{RV} \times D_{VB} \times D_{RB}} = 1.26D \).  \( (19) \)

DGMD = 1.26D.  \( (20) \)

Figure 2: 33KV Lumped Load Distribution Network for Port Harcourt Town (Zone 4) Injection Substation.
DGMD = 1.26 x 0.88 = 1.1088m or 1.109m.

Resistivity of Aluminum, \( \rho = 2.826 \times 10^{-8} \Omega \cdot m \) at 20°C

\[ Z = r + jx \]

Per-Kilometer Reactance, \( X_0 \)

\[ X_0 = 0.1445 \log_{10} \left( \frac{DGMD}{R} \right) + 0.0157 \frac{\Omega}{km} \]  \hspace{1cm} (21)

Per-Kilometer Capacitive Susceptance, \( b_0 \),

\[ b_0 = \frac{7.58}{\log_{10} \left( \frac{DGMD}{R} \right)} \times 10^{-6} \frac{1}{\Omega \cdot km} \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)

Shunt admittance (susceptance) value for Node 1-2 for UST Feeder Network.

Given \( b_{UST} = 53.1 \times 10^{-6} \frac{1}{\Omega} \).

\[ Y_{UST} = 0.5 \times 33^2 \times 53.1 \times 10^{-6} = 0.0289 \text{MVAr} \]

Per-Unit Values of the Line Parameters for Port Harcourt Town, 33KV Distribution Network

Assume: 100MVA as Base MVA, (S Base)
33KV as Base Voltage

Base impedance, \( Z_b = \frac{(kB_{base})}{MVABase} \)  \hspace{1cm} (23)

Base impedance, \( Z_b = \frac{33^2}{100} = 10.89 \Omega \)

Per Unit impedance of the UST feeder, \( Z_{UST} = 0.216 + j0.456 \text{ pu.} \)

Per unit capacitive susceptance value for UST feeder, \( Bpu_{UST} = \frac{(Bshunt-MVAR)}{S_{Base}} \) \hspace{1cm} (24)

\[ Bpu_{UST} = \frac{(Bshunt-MVAR)}{S_{Base}} = j0.000289 \text{ pu.} \]

We compute the complex power demanded at the load side bearing in mind the percentage loading. Note that, the loading capacity is due to available power

Per Unit Load Parameter at Each Feeder for Port Harcourt Town, 33KV Distribution Network

UST Feeder (Node 1-2) for Port Harcourt Town, 33KV Distribution Network

SD = 30MVA, Percentage loading = 60%, Pf= cos\( \phi \)= 0.8, Rf = sin\( \phi \)= 0.6.

SD (1-2) = 30x0.6=18MVA, PD(UST)=18x0.8 =14.4MW, QD(UST)=18x0.6 =10.8MVAr

On 100 MVA base, the per unit values of the complex power demand, we have

\[ P_{D(UST)} = \frac{14.4MW}{100MVA} = 0.144 \text{ pu} \]
\[ Q_{D(UST)} = \frac{10.8MVAr}{100MVA} = j0.108 \text{ pu} \]

\[ S_{D(UST)} = (P_{D(UST)} + jQ_{D(UST)}) = (0.144 + j0.108) \text{pu} \]

Nzimiro-Trans-Amadi Feeder (Node 1-9) for Port Harcourt Town, 33KV Distribution Network

SD = 15MVA, Percentage loading = 80%, Pf= cos\( \phi \)= 0.8, Rf = sin\( \phi \)= 0.6.

SD (1-9) = 15x0.8 = 12MVA, PD (NZ-A.) = 12x0.8 = 9.6MW, QD (NZ-A.) = 12x0.6 = 7.2MVAr

On 100 MVA base, the per unit values of the complex power demand, we have

\[ P_{D(NZ-A.)} = \frac{9.6MW}{100MVA} = 0.096 \text{ pu} \]
\[ Q_{D(NZ-A.)} = \frac{7.2MVAr}{100MVA} = j0.072 \text{ pu} \]

\[ S_{D(NZ-A.)} = (P_{D(NZ-A.)} + jQ_{D(NZ-A.)}) = (0.096 + j0.072) \text{pu.} \]

Nzimiro-Old-Diobu Feeder (Node 1-10) for Port Harcourt Town, 33KV Distribution Network.

SD = 15MVA, Percentage loading = 80%, Pf= cos\( \phi \)= 0.8, Rf = sin\( \phi \)= 0.6.

SD (1-10) = 15x0.8 = 12MVA, PD (NZ-OD.) = 12x0.8 = 9.6MW, QD (NZ-OD.) = 12x0.6 = 7.2MVAr

On 100 MVA base, the per unit values of the complex power demand, we have

\[ P_{D(NZ-OD.)} = \frac{9.6MW}{100MVA} = 0.096 \text{ pu} \]
\[ Q_{D(NZ-OD.)} = \frac{7.2MVAr}{100MVA} = j0.072 \text{ pu} \]

\[ S_{D(NZ-OD.)} = (P_{D(NZ-OD.)} + jQ_{D(NZ-OD.)}) = (0.096 + j0.072) \text{pu.} \]

The complex power received from the grid network to the 33KV node is 165MVA at a power factor of 0.8, we have

\[ S_{Rec} = \frac{(Bshunt-MVAR)}{S_{Base}} \]
(130+j100.8) MVA. Where 130MW is the real power and 100.8MVar is the reactive power demanded on the 33kV node.

The summary of the 33kV Distribution node network data under consideration are shown in Tables 2 and 3. It is much better to compute all other parameters using the per unit values. To realize its real values we multiply the per unit values by the base values assumed at the beginning.

3.4. Mathematical Model of Fast Decoupled Power Flow Method

In a power network, the net infused active and receptive power at an ith node are mathematically represented by:

\[
P_i = \sum_{j=1}^{nb} |V_i||V_j| \left( G_{ij}\cos\theta_{ij} + B_{ij}\sin\theta_{ij} \right) . \quad (26)
\]

\[
Q_i = \sum_{j=1}^{nb} |V_i||V_j| \left( G_{ij}\sin\theta_{ij} - B_{ij}\cos\theta_{ij} \right) . \quad (27)
\]

where \( V_i \) and \( V_j \) represent voltage levels at the ith and jth nodes separately; \( G_{ij} + jB_{ij} \) is the ijth component of the Y-node; and “n” the entire number of nodes. The linearized power flow Eqns. (26) and (27) are stated or given in reduced forms:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta P \\
\Delta Q
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
J_1 & J_2 \\
J_3 & J_4
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta \theta \\
\Delta V
\end{bmatrix} . \quad (28)
\]

We compute the complex power demanded at the load side bearing in mind the percentage loading. Note that, the loading capacity depends on the available power.

Table 2: Line Data for Port Harcourt Town, 33KV Distribution Network

| S/No | Feeders | Impedance code | Impedance, \( Z_{\text{series}} \) (pu) | Admittance, \( Y \) (pu) | Susceptance \( B/2 \) (pu) |
|------|---------|----------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1.   | (Node 1-2) UST | Y_{1,2} | 0.2159 + j0.4567 | 0.8460-j1.7897 | j0.0002890 |
| 2.   | (Node 1-3) Secretariat | Y_{1,3} | 0.1452 + j0.3072 | 1.2576-j2.6607 | j0.0001940 |
| 3.   | (Node 1-4) Silver bird | Y_{1,4} | 0.0436 + j0.0922 | 4.1916-j8.8638 | j0.0000584 |
| 4.   | (Node 1-5) Borokiri | Y_{1,5} | 0.1573 + j0.3328 | 1.1608-j2.4561 | j0.0002110 |
| 5.   | (Node 1-6) UTC | Y_{1,6} | 0.0427 + j0.0904 | 4.2719-j9.0441 | j0.0000572 |
| 6.   | (Node 1-7) Rumuolemeni | Y_{1,7} | 0.2565 + j0.5426 | 0.7120-j1.5064 | j0.0003430 |
| 7.   | (Node 1-8) Owerri Road | Y_{1,8} | 0.0003 + j0.0006 | 666.67-j1333.3 | j0.00000038 |
| 8.   | (Node 1-9) Nzimiro Trans- Amadi | Y_{1,9} | 0.0003 + j0.0006 | 666.67-j1333.3 | j0.00000038 |
| 9.   | (Node 1-10) Old Diobu | Y_{1,10} | 0.0003 + j0.0006 | 666.67-j1333.3 | j0.00000038 |

Table 3: Node Data for Port Harcourt Town (Zone 4), 33KV Distribution Network (Initials Input)

| Node | Type | Vsp (pu) | \( P_0 \) (pu) | \( Q_0 \) (pu) |
|------|------|----------|----------------|---------------|
| 1    | slack | 1.00     | 0              | 0             |
| 2    | PQ   | 1.00     | 0.144          | 0.108         |
| 3    | PQ   | 1.00     | 0.228          | 0.171         |
| 4    | PQ   | 1.00     | 0.079          | 0.059         |
| 5    | PQ   | 1.00     | 0.144          | 0.108         |
| 6    | PQ   | 1.00     | 0.234          | 0.176         |
| 7    | PQ   | 1.00     | 0.187          | 0.142         |
| 8    | PQ   | 1.00     | 0.096          | 0.072         |
| 9    | PQ   | 1.00     | 0.096          | 0.072         |
| 10   | PQ   | 1.00     | 0.096          | 0.072         |
The sub-networks J1 and J4 in Eqn. 28, have a similar order because of the absence of the Q-V equations at PV nodes. A few presumptions are made as in previous studies in the formation of the FDPF model from Eqn. (28) the sub-matrices J2 and J3 are disregarded. Subsequent to joining the assumptions, the subsequent FDPF conditions become:

\[
[\Delta P'] = [B'] [\Delta \theta] \tag{29}
\]

\[
[\Delta Q'] = [B'] [\Delta V] \tag{30}
\]

where

\[
\Delta P'_{i} = P_{\text{scheduled},i} - P_{\text{calculated},1,i} - P_{\text{shunts},i}
\]

\[
\Delta Q'_{i} = Q_{\text{Scheduled},f,i} - Q_{\text{calculated},i} - Q_{\text{shunts},i} \tag{31}
\]

The suffix “i” represents the ith node, Q-shunts,i; and P-shunts,i are the reactive and real powers, because of lumped shunts at the ith node. It ought to be noticed that the order for the Jacobians \([B']\) are (nb-1) by (nb – 1) in Eqns. (29) while that of \([B']^T\) in Eqn. (30) is (nb – mb -1) by (nb – mb – 1) where mb is the total number of voltage-controlled nodes. At the point when both \([B']\) and \([B']^T\) are of the similar order of (nb -1), the matrices are real, sparse, and just contain system parameters. These matrices are only factorized once, stored, and are held and fixed all through the iteration. The result of Eqns. (29) and (30) are given by Eqn. (32) and (33):

\[
[\Delta \theta] = [B']^{-1} \left[ \frac{\Delta P'}{V} \right] \tag{32}
\]

\[
[\Delta V] = \left[ B'^T \right]^{-1} \left[ \frac{\Delta Q'}{V} \right] \tag{33}
\]

Thus, by utilizing an abridged fast decoupled load flow technique, the voltage values and change in phase angle can be determined.

3.5. Fast Decoupled Power Flow for Radial Distribution System

In the Radial Distribution network, the massive R-X ratio constitutes problems in the convergence of the normal ordinary load flow calculation. Hence, for excellent convergence, some modifications in the load flow methods are applied. The model can be represented by a radial interconnection of networks of the fundamental structure depicted in Figure 4. The dotted lines from the co-generator, shunt capacitor, and load to the ground are to demonstrate that these components might be interconnected in floated delta-design since a given branch might be two-phase or single-phase as situation demands.

The suffix “i” represents the ith node, Q-shunts,i; and P-shunts,i are the reactive and real powers, because of lumped shunts at the ith node. It ought to be noticed that the order for the Jacobians \([B']\) are (nb-1) by (nb – 1) in Eqns. (29) while that of \([B']^T\) in Eqn. (30) is (nb – mb -1) by (nb – mb – 1) where mb is the total number of voltage-controlled nodes. At the point when both \([B']\) and \([B']^T\) are of the similar order of (nb -1), the matrices are real, sparse, and just contain system parameters. These matrices are only factorized once, stored, and are held and fixed all through the iteration. The result of Eqns. (29) and (30) are given by Eqn. (32) and (33):
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The branch update function \( I \) is given beneath as:

\[
W_{k-1} = gk(W_k)
\]  
(35)

Where \( W_k \) is a vector containing the real and imaginary parts of the voltages and current flows at node \( k \). The factor \( gk \) is controlled by the sub-laterals joined at node \( k \) like as the models for distribution lines, switches, loads, transformers, co-generators, and shunt capacitors. From \( V_k \) we can calculate the flows as a result of the loads, co-generators shunt and, capacitors, given \( I_k + 1 \) and the currents \( I_j \) taken into sub-laterals branching out from node \( k \), applying KCL at node \( k \) to the calculate current \( I \) given by Eq. 36:

\[
I'_k = I_{Gk} + I_{Ck} + I_{Lk} - I_{k-1} - \sum_{j \in AK} I_j
\]  
(36)

Where \( Ak \) is the set of nodes adjacent to node \( k \) on sub-laterals.

From Eqn. (36), we calculate the voltage and current at the main side given the voltage and current at the auxiliary or secondary “I” as in Eqn. 37:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
I_k \\
I_{k-1}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
Y^{pp}_{kk} & Y^{ss}_{kk} \\
Y^{sp}_{kk} & Y^{pp}_{kk}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{k-1} \\
V_k
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
(37)

Therefore by solving equation (37), we get;

\[
V_{k-1} = (Y^{pp}_{kk})^{-1}(I_{k-1} - Y^{ss}_{kk}V_k)
\]  
(38)

\[
I_k = (Y^{pp}_{kk})^{-1}(I_{k-1} + Y^{ss}_{kk}V_k)
\]  
(39)

So that by applying this formulation or definition we get the converged values easily and faster than the other normal techniques.

**a. Formulation for Fast Decoupled Power Flow Method**

The stepwise calculation procedure to solve the power flow issue by applying the Fast Decoupled Power Flow Method is as given in [3] and as summarized thus: Creation of the node admittance \( Y \) as indicated by the lines given by the IEEE standard node test system, identification of all kinds of nodes according to the IEEE standard and setting all node initial value of \( 1\angle 0 \). Creation of the matrices \( B_1 \) and \( B_{11} \) Where \( B_1 \) and \( B_{11} \) are the imaginary part of the node admittance matrix of \( Y_{node} \). Calculating the value \( \Delta P \) and \( \Delta Q \) mismatches, Check the convergence status, Calculation of real and reactive power at every node, and checking if MVAr of generator nodes are within the cutoff points, Update of the voltage magnitude \( V \) and the voltage angle \( \delta \) at every node. The current can then be determined from the calculated \( Y \) node using Eqn. (36)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
I_k \\
I_{k-1}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
Y^{pp}_{kk} & Y^{ps}_{kk} \\
Y^{sp}_{kk} & Y^{pp}_{kk}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{k-1} \\
V_k
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
(40)

If convergence is attained Display the load flow result, calculation, and show the line flows, current, and losses.

**Percentage Voltage Drop**: \( V_d = \frac{V_S - V_R}{V_S} \times 100\% \)  
(41)

**b. Capacitor Bank**

Line compensators are introduced in the network because of line losses and other line limitations to reduce losses. In the system under study, shunt capacitors are applied over an inductive load to give part of the reactive VARs required by the load to keep the voltage within the anticipated range. They can also be applied through capacitive loads and in light load situations to consume some amount of the main (leading) VARs for accomplishing voltage regulation. Capacitors are connected either directly to a node or through the tertiary winding of the primary transformer and are installed along the line as to limit mishaps in form of losses, voltage drop, also improves loading power factor. The shunt capacitor banks introduce reactive power into the system and compensate for line losses. To avoid much harmonic in line FACTS devices suffice.

Implementation of the Fast-Decoupled Load Flow in MATLAB. The program is implemented in the MATLAB programming language and is run in MATLAB Software development environment. The software modules files (M-files) results are as presented in appendices A1 to A3:

**3 Results and Analysis**

The results obtained are shown in Figs 5, 6, A1 to A3, and Tables 4 to 9 using the Fast decoupled-N-R procedures inserted in ETAP programming. The outcomes of the studied power flow and its parameters determined utilizing MATLAB program are represented in Figs. 5, 6, and Tables 4 and 5. The power flow study of the 33KV Distribution system making use of Port Harcourt Town, Zone 4, are presented in appendix A1 to A3 for different results sections from the utilization of the methods and simulation of the system as follows:

**Injection of Reactive Power into the Feeder (via Capacitor Bank)**

Feeder 2 (Node 1-2): The initial net load (Power) received was 9.59 MVAr and 12.76 MW with a voltage drop of 0.961. But after injection of 21.67 MVAr of reactive power through a capacitor bank, (Cap 1- rated 22MVAr), the voltage drop reduces to 0.0059 while the new net load (power) became 10.18 MVAr and 13.57MW, new node voltage becomes 0.994 with an angle of -5.48 degree as in Table 4.

Feeder 3 (Node 1-3): The initial net load (Power) received was 15.09 MVAr and 20.14 MW with a voltage drop of 0.11. But, after the injection of 33.79 MVAr of reactive power, -0.49MW through
Injection of Reactive Power into the Feeder (via Capacitor Bank)

Injection of Reactive Power into the Feeder (via Capacitor Bank) using MATLAB

From the voltage profile, the network required more power injection and some tap setting. In Table 7, M means the bus voltage is marginal while C mean critical.

Table 6: Results for 10 Bus/9 Feeder System Load Flow Solutions before Injection of Reactive Power (ETAP)

| Bus | Type | V (KV) | Angle | P. Received | P. Dispatched | Demand |
|-----|------|--------|-------|-------------|--------------|--------|
| 1   | SL   | 33.00  | 0.0   | 130.001     | 10.846       | -      |
| 2   | PQ   | 29.82  | -1.5  | 0.00        | 13.905       | 12.819 |
| 3   | PQ   | 29.65  | -1.5  | 0.00        | 21.742       | 19.949 |
| 4   | PQ   | 32.64  | -0.2  | 0.00        | 7.886        | 5.863  |
| 5   | PQ   | 30.68  | -1.1  | 0.00        | 14.026       | 13.229 |
| 6   | PQ   | 31.89  | -0.5  | 0.00        | 24.789       | 18.092 |
| 7   | PQ   | 27.81  | -2.4  | 0.00        | 18.851       | 16.415 |
| 8   | PQ   | 32.99  | 0.0   | 0.00        | 9.601        | 7.199  |
| 9   | PQ   | 32.99  | 0.0   | 0.00        | 9.601        | 7.199  |
| 10  | PQ   | 32.99  | 0.0   | 0.00        | 9.601        | 7.199  |

Table 7: Line Losses and Voltage Drop before Injection of Reactive Power (using ETAP)

| Bus  | Power Losses | Vd (%) | Remarks |
|------|--------------|--------|---------|
| 1-2  | 1.086        | 9.7    | 9.7% > 5% Under Voltage (C) |
| 1-3  | 1.791        | 11.0   | 11.0% > 5% Under Voltage (C) |
| 1-4  | 0.682        | 1.08   | 1.08% < 5% Acceptable |
| 1-5  | 7.974        | 8.3    | 8.3% > 5% Under Voltage (M) |
| 1-6  | 6.860        | 3.35   | 3.35% < 5% Acceptable |
| 1-7  | 2.436        | 13.9   | 13.9% > 5% Under Voltage (C) |
| 1-8  | 0.007        | 0.01   | 0.01% < 5% Acceptable |
| 1-9  | 0.007        | 0.01   | 0.01% < 5% Acceptable |
| 1-10 | 0.007        | 0.01   | 0.01% < 5% Acceptable |
| **Total** | **6.8502** | **8.485** | |

Table 8: Summary of the Bus Voltages before and after Injection of Reactive Power (with MATLAB)

| Bus Voltage before Injecting Reactive Power | Bus Voltage after Injecting Reactive Power |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| B/ No | Bus Volt (KV) | Angle (degree) | % Vd | Bus Volt (KV) | Angle (degree) | % Vd |
|-------|---------------|---------------|------|---------------|---------------|------|
| 1     | 33.000        | 0.000         | 0.000| 33.000        | 0.000         | 0.000|
| 2     | 30.525        | -0.043        | 7.490| 33.244        | -0.085        | 0.740|

In the new input node data, we have injected reactive power via the capacitor bank. The results are as in Table 5. The values of the reactive power injected were as follows: Node-2 @ 20 MVar, Node-3 @ 30MVar, Node-5 @ 20MVar and Node-7 @ 22MVar. (Check along QGi)
The total power (line) losses on the feeders have been reduced while the entire net load got using ETAP programming was 92.782 MW Ar and 125.765 MW. The Voltage drop at Node 6, 8, 9, and 10 are within the acceptable range. These nodes Voltage drops are less than or equal to the acceptable 0.05 of the nominal voltage drop. Figure 6 above, shows the Node Voltage profile before and after the injection of Reactive Power.

The total power (line) losses on the feeders have been reduced from 10.05MVAR and 4.75MW to 7.57MVAR and 3.58MW. This gives 0.246 reductions of the entire real power loss after the addition of reactive power into the black nodes.

4. Discussion

Power flow is a significant and fundamental device for the study of any power system and also applied in the operation, arranging for future development/upgrade of power systems. It will help in deciding the best mode of operation of the existing networks. The results of the voltage values in nodes, 2, 3, 5, and 7 were not within the acceptable range because its node voltage was critical while node 6 is marginal and is within the required range. The steady-state MW and MVAR delivered to the slack node is not equal to the sum of the load (Power) demanded at each node. The transmitted/injected power and the power expected/net power at the load point were not equal, due to power losses on each feeder. The total disposable power received was 129.741 MW and 83.818 MVAR with Fast Decoupled Newton-Raphson technique in MATLAB Environment after incorporation of Capacitor bank to the nodes that were influenced by unsatisfactory voltage drop, while the entire net load got using ETAP programming was 92.782 MVAR and 125.765 MW.
5. Conclusion

The analysis of this work has revealed the areas of consideration and urgent attention. The use of Fast Decoupled Load Flow study with MATLAB and the use of ETAP programming helps the examination precisely and proactive with less need for memory space. The load dispatched from the grid system to the transmission substation was not satisfactory thus every receiving substation has a fraction of sharing the available power or load. The simulated results show that more power is required from the grid network to the receiving substations through the Port Harcourt Town (Z4) control transmission center. In this way, without a satisfactory power source from the grid system, alternative energy supply or distributed generation suffices or, calls for load shedding as the only way out.

The entire power losses adding up to 10.05MVAR and 4.75MW on the 33KV distribution system require the update of the system by distributing or adding more load from the grid to these essential distribution centers, or alternative power generation should be sourced to beef up else load shedding becomes the only option. However, the recommendation and installation of the line compensator as “capacitor bank” at the affected nodes improved the voltage profile. Giving a 0.246 decrease in real power loss when capacitor banks were introduced on problem nodes for better enhancement.

5.1. Recommendations

1. We recommend that the 33KV distribution system be made more reliable by increasing the number of protective elements, capacitors banks, and transformers as realized from the results obtained. Also, intermittent power flow analysis and maintenance should be carried out.

2. The reactive power required locally at the nodes can be utilized to limit or minimize the losses on the line related with the system.

3. The substations for the network in this study should be made to perform at least 20 to 25 percent below the supply power to the secondary distribution system.
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Figure A1: The Simulated 33KV Distribution Network (with Power Losses indicated) before addition of Capacitors Bank

Figure A2: Simulated Result for 33KV Distribution Network (with Power Losses indicated) after the addition of Capacitors Bank

Figure A3: Result of the Simulated 33KV Distribution Network (with % Voltage Drop indicated) after addition of Capacitors Bank