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Abstract

Background: Adherence to COVID-19 preventive measures is essential for disease control especially given the lack of effective treatment at the time of data collection. Medical students’ adherence to COVID-19 preventive measures is highly important because they are at a higher risk of infection as they spend considerable time at hospitals for training. In addition, they will be responsible for disease fighting in the future. This study aimed to identify the adherence to COVID-19 preventive measures among the studied male students of Al-Azhar Faculty of Medicine, Egypt.

Methods: An online survey was conducted on a convenience sample of 537 male medical students of Al-Azhar University at the Cairo branch between March 2 and April 15, 2021, using an Arabic questionnaire constructed by Google form with link sharing to students’ groups on WhatsApp and face book applications.

Results: A total of 537 students voluntarily submitted their responses. Most of these students were aged between 18 and 20 years (62.4%), rural residents (62.9%), having family income of 5000 LE or less/month (64.2%), studying at the first, second, and sixth years (79.2%). The prevalence of adherence to COVID-19 preventive measures among studied students was 28.1% without significant difference in the students’ adherence status regarding age, residence, family income, studying year, or academic score. Wearing a face mask outside the house was practiced by 58% of students, avoiding hugging or kissing others (41.3%), and keeping a distance from others (20.7%).

Conclusion: Students showed a low level of adherence to COVID-19 preventive measures among students. Also, there is no significant difference between students’ adherence status in relation to their socio-economic or academic variables. This unhealthy behavior increases the risk of infection among students. Also, students become a source of infection for their vulnerable contacts. More studies are needed to identify the real cause of this low level of adherence.
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1 Introduction

In December 2019, a novel coronavirus outbreak emerged at Wuhan city, China, resulting in the death of about 1800 and the infection of more than 70,000 in the first 7 weeks of the epidemic. The virus responsible for this outbreak was found to be of the B group coronaviruses. It was named SARS-CoV-2, and the disease was named COVID-19 [1–3].

After about 1 month of the emergence of the disease and on January 30, 2020 (with the rapid spread of the virus around the world), the World Health Organization (WHO) announced the COVID-19 outbreak as a public health emergency of international concern. Countries were asked to apply urgent and aggressive measures to prevent the viral spread. On March 11, 2020, the WHO declared COVID-19 a global pandemic [4].

The rapid spread of the virus around the world was due to its highly contagious nature which distinguishes it from other viruses of the corona family [5]. Also, it was found that the virus is able to survive in the external
environment and at room temperature, which doubles the chances of transmission to other people by coming into contact with surfaces contaminated with the virus [6]. Moreover, it has been found that an infected person is able to transmit the infection to 3 people who are contacts, but this number decreases if precautionary measures are taken to prevent infection. The highly infectious nature of the virus is due to the presence of the virus in the respiratory secretions of the infected person in large quantities and for a long period even before the symptoms appear [7]. The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has recognized that SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes COVID-19, can be transmitted via respiratory fluids, which are fine droplets released during respiration [8].

The first confirmed case in Egypt was reported in February 14, 2020, at Cairo airport for a Chinese citizen who was also the first confirmed case in Africa [9]. In late February and Early March 2020, multiple cases of the acute respiratory syndrome due to coronavirus were discovered in various countries where the patients had a traveling history to Egypt [10]. By March 2, Egypt reported first death from COVID-19 [11].

Egypt’s response was in the form of the closure of airports which went into effect on March 19, 2020, and closure of all schools, universities, and Mosques, along with the cancellation of cultural events and tourist trips. Also, a citizen curfew was imposed as well as forcing the closure of cafes, restaurants, and public places [12, 13]. In parallel to these measures, Egypt started an extensive health education campaign using newspapers, radio, T.V, and social media to increase public knowledge and awareness about COVID-19 [14].

The UN defines youth as people between the ages of 15 and 24 years, and their role has become more important than ever in the efforts to stop the spread of the virus and mitigate its different consequences. For Egypt, a country where the youth constitute about 19% of the population, finding ways to engage young people and empower them can be decisive in the battle against the pandemic [15]. Medical students as future physicians are a subset of the youth who may have more interest and knowledge about COVID-19 than other youth and consequently are supposed to be more adherent to preventive measures than other youth [16]. Meanwhile, they are at a greater risk of infection due to their long stays at the hospitals and their close contact with diseased persons during their study. Moreover, they are mostly not sufficiently trained to protect themselves against infection [17]. This study was conducted to identify male students’ adherence to COVID-19 preventive measures and its predictors.

2 Methods
2.1 Study setting and design
This is an online faculty-based survey that was conducted during the academic year 2020–2021 from March 2 to April 15, 2021, to identify the level of adherence to COVID-19 preventive measures and its predictors among students at Al-Azhar Faculty of Medicine for males, Cairo branch. The selection of only male students for this study was due to their accessibility to the authors.

2.2 Sample size and sampling technique
The minimum sample size necessary to perform the study was 357. This number was calculated based on a 95% confidence level, 5% marginal errors, and an adherence proportion of 49.2% [18]. However, to increase the power of the study, no maximum number of participants was decided, and the link remained open throughout the specified period of data collection. In the end, 539 students responded to the questionnaire, of them, two were excluded for not meeting the inclusion criteria of the study (one was female and the other was from the Assiut branch). So, 537 responses represent the sample size of the study.

Non-probability convenience sampling technique (voluntary responses) was used in this study. The questionnaire link was shared to students’ groups on social media (Facebook and WhatsApp) by students’ representatives who were contacted via modules moderators and personal communication. Any male student at the Cairo branch of Al-Azhar Faculty of Medicine who had internet access, WhatsApp/Facebook application, and was willing to participate in the study was included.

2.3 Study tool
Data were collected using a structured self-reported Arabic questionnaire that was constructed based on reviewing the previously published literature [16, 18–21]. The questionnaire was formulated first in English and then translated to Arabic. The electronic form of the questionnaire was constructed using Google forms. The questionnaire was tested for internal consistency and reliability using Cronbach’s α test and it was 0.88. A pilot study was performed to test the applicability of the questionnaire and the effectiveness of the online method for data collection. This was done by sending the questionnaire online to 30 students who were contacted personally by the researcher.

The questionnaire link was posted to students’ groups on WhatsApp/Facebook applications by students’ representatives at each studying year. The questionnaire included three sections: the first section included socio-demographic data: age, residence, and monthly family income; and academic data: study year and academic score in the previous year (with exception to the first-
The second section included data about COVID-19 infection among students and COVID-19 occurrence and mortality among their relatives and friends. The third section included 15 questions that assessed students’ adherence to COVID-19 preventive measures regarding personal protection (e.g., frequent hand washing, antiseptic use, avoiding the touching of eyes, nose and mouth with hands, and mask wearing), self-isolation, and social distancing. The first thirteen questions used a three-point Likert scale (yes=2, sometimes=1, no=0) while the last two questions were categorized as “yes” or “no” with reversal scoring (yes=0, no=2). The total practice score had a range from 0 to 30. Participants with a total score of 21 points (70% of the total score) or more were considered adherent to COVID-19 preventive measures while those with a score of 20 or less were considered non-adherent to COVID-19 preventive measures [19].

2.4 Ethical approval
All procedures applied in the study comply with the Institutional Research Ethics and the declaration of Helsinki. Approval of the ethics committee at Al-Azhar Faculty of Medicine was obtained. Participation in the study was completely voluntary, and the anonymity of the participants was guaranteed. At the beginning of the questionnaire, there was a written informed consent that must be approved by students to be able to complete the questionnaire. Confidentiality of the collected data was strictly maintained.

2.5 Statistical analysis
Data analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel and SPSS version 20. The extracted Microsoft Excel data file was subjected to editing, sorting, and recoding, and then, the Excel file was imported to the SPSS software. Descriptive statistics (frequencies and percentages) were performed. The differences between the studied variables were analyzed using chi-square tests for qualitative variables. \( P \) value < 0.05 was considered a sign of significance.

3 Results
The highest percent of studied students (45.6%) were aged between 18 and <20 years, were rural residents (62.9%), having family income of 5000 L.E. or less/month (64.2%), studying at the first, second, and sixth years (41.3%, 21.0%, and 16.9% respectively), and have achieved an “Excellent” academic score in the previous year (40.6%) (Table 1).

| Variable | No. (total = 537) | % |
|----------|-------------------|---|
| Age      |                   |   |
| - 18–<20 | 245               | 45.6 |
| - 20–<23 | 180               | 33.5 |
| - ≥23    | 112               | 20.9 |
| Residence|                   |   |
| - Urban  | 199               | 37.1 |
| - Rural  | 338               | 62.9 |
| Family income |            |   |
| - Ten thousands L.E. or more/month | 44 | 8.2 |
| - More than 5000 L.E. and less than 10000/month | 148 | 27.6 |
| - Five thousands or less L.E./month | 345 | 64.2 |
| Academic year |                |   |
| - First  | 222               | 41.3 |
| - Second | 113               | 21.0 |
| - Third  | 50                | 9.4 |
| - Fourth | 42                | 7.9 |
| - Fifth  | 16                | 3.5 |
| - Sixth  | 91                | 16.9 |
| Academic score in the previous year (No.= 315) |      |
| - Excellent | 128              | 40.6 |
| - Very good | 94              | 29.8 |
| - Good    | 76                | 24.2 |
| - Accepted| 15                | 4.8 |
| - Failed  | 2                 | 0.6 |

*First year students were excluded

There were 151 students (representing 28.1% of the total sample) adherent to COVID-19 preventive measures while the remaining 386 students (representing 71.9% of the total sample) were non-adherent to COVID-19 preventive measures (Fig. 1).

Covering the mouth and nose with a tissue (or the elbow) while coughing or sneezing was practiced by 65% of students and 58% wore a face mask when they were outside the house. Also, 41.3% of students avoided touching their eyes, nose, and mouth directly by the finger or the hand and avoided hugging or kissing others whereas 20.7% kept a distance from others and 17.3% avoided shaking hands with others. Moreover, only 25% of students washed their hands frequently with soap and water for at least 20 s, 29.4% used antiseptics, and 22% friends who died of COVID-19. The latter proportion represented the frequency of deaths among the sample’s relatives and friends in general but not the death rate among the infected ones (Table 2).
attended a relative’s or friend’s event in the 2 weeks prior to the survey (Table 3).

There is no significant difference in the students’ adherence to COVID-19 in relation to their socio-demographic characters (age, residence, and family income) or academic characters (academic year or academic score) (Table 4).

There is no significant difference in the adherence status of students in relation to their previous infection with COVID-19 or having a relative or friend been infected with COVID-19. However, there is a significant association between the adherence status of students and the deaths of their relatives or friends (Table 5).

4 Discussion

This study revealed that only 28.1% of the medical students were adherent to COVID-19 preventive measures. Also, when we look closely at the items of adherence, we find that there was a considerable percentage of students who did not always comply with personal protection measures as most of them did not comply with the social distancing and self-isolation measures. This low prevalence of adherence to COVID-19 preventive measures among students despite the expectation based on the fact that they have sufficient knowledge as a result of clinical training and public health study [16] and the relatively long time that elapsed ever since the emergence of the disease along with the extensive health education campaigns may be attributed to the students’ low-risk perceptions where they believe that they are not susceptible to infection with COVID-19 at this age (perceived susceptibility), and even if the infection occurs, there is a low probability of having severe clinical manifestation (perceived severity); and therefore, there is no need for strict adherence to COVID-19 preventive measures. This assumption is supported by the finding of Lee et al. (2021) in Korea where personal beliefs had a significant and robust effect on the practice of the participants [22]. Moreover, a significant positive correlation was found between students’ attitudes and behavior [16]. However, Moradzadeh et al.’s study (2020) in Iran found a significant positive correlation only between the educational level and knowledge but not with the attitude or practice. The authors concluded that improving people’s practice towards COVID-19 preventive measures can be achieved only by improving their knowledge and beliefs [23].

There is no significant difference in the adherence status of studied students in relation to their socio-demographic variables (age, residence, and family income) and the academic variables (studying year and academic score). The absence of significance in our study may be due to statistical reasons where a larger sample size was needed to yield significant results. However, it may be due to the effect of the students’ narrow age range (18–26) and their educational similarity which overwhelmed the effect of residence and income differences and led to this insignificant difference. Also, there is no significant difference in relation to their previous infection or infection of their relatives or friends with COVID-19. The only significant difference observed in our study was in relation to the death of a relative or a friend due to COVID-19 where students who have relative or friend deaths were less adherent to the preventive measures. Students and their dead relatives and friends come from the same families and communities which have similar practices. These families and communities were most probably less adherent to COVID-19 precautions and consequently more deaths.

Other studies conducted in Egypt revealed a higher level of adherence to COVID-19 preventive measures in comparison with our study. For example, an Egyptian online study conducted in June 2020 and targeting medical students in Suez Canal University revealed that 92% of students were adherent to COVID-19 preventive measures [24]. Also, similar studies were conducted on
university students (medical and nonmedical) in various countries to assess their practice regarding COVID-19 preventive measures. An online cross-sectional KAP study conducted on university students in Japan by Hatabu et al. (2020) revealed that most of the students (96.4%) wore face masks and washed their hands with moderate or high frequency [25]. A study conducted in China by Peng et al. (2020) revealed that 87.94% of students were performing a proactive practice toward COVID-19 [16].

A high level of adherence to COVID-19 preventive measures was also observed in studies that targeted medical students in developing countries like Jordan [20, 21], Iraq [26], Iran [27], Pakistan [28], Afghanistan [29], KSA [30], and UAE [31]. All of these studies revealed highly prevalent good behavior regarding COVID-19 preventive measures among the studied students with very high healthy behaviors scores.

However, a study conducted by Barqawi et al. (2021) in UAE that targeted physicians and medical students revealed that only 18.2% of the studied individuals reported that they would wear the face mask when they came in contact with suspected individuals (having flu-like symptoms) [32].

These different findings between the current study and the other studies with the high level of adherence to COVID-19 preventive measures may be due to the enrollees of these studies where they were both males and females while our study has enrolled only male students who are more likely to be engaged in risky behavior towards COVID-19 than females [16, 18, 33–35]. In addition, most Al-Azhar medical students are from outside Cairo governorate so they live in collective accommodation places as university housing or rented flats. Also, most students have a family income of fewer than 5000 L.E, which obligates the students to depend on public transportation. These factors make social distancing measures difficult to apply. Moreover, legislation and rules that obligate individuals and institutions to be concomitant with COVID-19 preventive measures are strictly applied in some of these countries like Saudi Arabia and China.

However, the most important factor, from our point of view, responsible for this difference between this study and other Egyptian and international studies is the time of the data collection in relation to the emergence of the pandemic. Almost all these studies were conducted a short period after disease emergence and even during the lockdown period, which has a role in the students’ behavior, especially items related to self-isolation and social distancing measures [31]. Also, with the predominance of a panic state all over the world at this time, students’ practices may have been affected. On the other side, our study was conducted in March and April 2021, after more than a year had passed since the emergence of the disease in December 2019, where people and countries became more adapted to the existence of disease and more reluctant to apply preventive measures.

### Table 3
Adherence to COVID-19 preventive measures among male students at Al-Azhar Faculty of Medicine, Cairo, Egypt, 2021

| Adherence item                                                    | Yes | %   | Sometimes | No  | %   |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----------|-----|-----|
| Are you washing your hands frequently with soap and water for 20 s at least? | 139 | 25.9| 237       | 44.1| 161 | 30.0|
| Are you using antiseptics?                                       | 158 | 29.4| 231       | 43.0| 148 | 27.6|
| Are you avoiding the eyes, nose, and mouth touching with your fingers or hands? | 222 | 41.3| 174       | 32.4| 141 | 26.3|
| Are you covering your mouth and nose with a tissue (or elbow) while coughing or sneezing? | 349 | 65.0| 118       | 22  | 70  | 13  |
| Are you wearing a face mask outside the house?                   | 316 | 58.9| 173       | 32.2| 48  | 8.9 |
| Are you disinfecting surfaces and objects in places where you are present? | 90  | 16.8| 202       | 37.6| 245 | 45.6|
| Are you avoiding crowded places (like malls and markets)?        | 201 | 37.4| 173       | 32.2| 163 | 30.4|
| Are you avoiding hands shaking with others?                       | 93  | 17.3| 242       | 45.1| 202 | 37.6|
| Are you avoiding people hugging or kissing?                       | 222 | 41.3| 185       | 34.5| 130 | 24.2|
| Are you keeping a distance between you and others (at least 1 m)? | 111 | 20.7| 193       | 35.9| 233 | 43.4|
| Are you avoiding relatives’ meeting?                              | 69  | 17.9| 145       | 37.0| 296 | 55.1|
| Are you avoiding friends’ meetings?                               | 66  | 12.3| 128       | 23.8| 343 | 63.9|
| Are you staying at home and do not leave except for necessity?    | 183 | 34.1| 132       | 24.6| 222 | 41.3|
| During the past two weeks: Have you attended any family event (such as marriage, for example)? | 120 | 22.3| -         | -   | 417 | 77.7|
| During the past two weeks: Have you attended any event for your friends (such as marriage, for example)? | 119 | 22.2| -         | -   | 418 | 77.8|

*Reversed statement*
**Table 4** Adherence status in relation to socio-demographic and academic characteristics of male students at Al-Azhar Faculty of Medicine, Cairo, Egypt, 2021

| Variable                      | Adherent (total=151) | Non-adherent (total=386) | P value |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------|
|                               | No. | %     | No. | %     |         |
| Age                           |     |       |     |       |         |
| - 18–<20                      | 69  | 45.7  | 176 | 45.6  |         |
| - 20–<23                      | 49  | 32.5  | 131 | 33.9  | 0.92    |
| - ≥23                         | 33  | 21.8  | 79  | 20.5  |         |
| Residence                     |     |       |     |       |         |
| - Urban                       | 64  | 42.4  | 135 | 35.0  | 0.11    |
| - Rural                       | 87  | 57.6  | 251 | 65.0  |         |
| Family income                 |     |       |     |       |         |
| - Ten thousands LE or more/month | 15 | 9.9   | 29  | 7.5   |         |
| - More than 5000 LE and less than 10000/month | 40 | 26.5 | 108 | 28.0 | 0.65 |
| - Five thousands or less LE/month | 96 | 63.6 | 249 | 64.5 |         |
| Academic year                 |     |       |     |       |         |
| - First                       | 63  | 41.6  | 159 | 41.2  |         |
| - Second                      | 28  | 18.4  | 85  | 22.0  |         |
| - Third                       | 16  | 11.0  | 34  | 8.8   | 0.95    |
| - Fourth                      | 12  | 7.9   | 30  | 7.8   |         |
| - Fifth                       | 5   | 3.2   | 14  | 3.6   |         |
| - Sixth                       | 27  | 17.9  | 64  | 16.6  |         |
| Academic score in the previous year (No.= 315) a | | | | | |
| - Excellent                   | 34  | 38.6  | 94  | 41.6  |         |
| - Very good                   | 22  | 25.0  | 72  | 31.8  |         |
| - Good                        | 26  | 29.6  | 50  | 22.2  | 0.4     |
| - Accepted                    | 6   | 6.8   | 9   | 4.0   |         |
| - Failed                      | 0   | 0.0   | 1   | 0.4   |         |

Chi² test
*First year students are excluded

**Table 5** Infections and deaths of COVID-19 and the adherence status of male students at Al-Azhar Faculty of Medicine, Cairo, Egypt, 2021

| Variable                                      | Adherent (total=151) | Non-adherent (total=386) | P value |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------|
|                                               | No. | %     | No. | %     |         |
| Have you had corona (COVID-19) infection before? |     |       |     |       |         |
| - Yes                                         | 27  | 17.9  | 74  | 19.2  | 0.73    |
| - No                                          | 124 | 82.1  | 312 | 80.8  |         |
| Had any of your relatives or friends been infected with corona (COVID-19)? | | | | | |
| - Yes                                         | 94  | 62.3  | 267 | 69.2  | 0.13    |
| - No                                          | 57  | 37.7  | 119 | 30.8  |         |
| Did any of your relatives or friends die of corona (COVID-19)? | | | | | |
| - Yes                                         | 31  | 20.5  | 145 | 37.6  | 0.000 a |
| - No                                          | 120 | 79.5  | 241 | 62.4  |         |

Chi² test
*Statistically significant difference
4.1 Study limitations and strengths
Selection bias cannot be excluded due to lack of randomization in the participants’ selection. These facts make the generalization of our findings problematic. Although our study was restricted to a certain age, sex, and educational level, this category represents a considerable proportion of the Egyptian population and their role as a viral transmitter to other vulnerable groups cannot be neglected. However, this study has a privilege compared with other studies as it was conducted after the lapse of adequate time since the start of the pandemic, and therefore, it depicts the established rather than the emerged norms of practice among medical students.

5 Conclusions
Unexpectedly, there is a low level of adherence to COVID-19 preventive measures among male medical students who are assumed to have good knowledge and practices. Although the students’ beliefs toward COVID-19 infection and its preventive measures appear to be the most probable cause, further studies are needed to affirm or exclude this assumption. Also, this study may draw the attention of policymakers to the urgent need for a health education campaign targeting this age category with great emphasis on their role and responsibility towards other vulnerable groups and towards their nation. Furthermore, this study provides information to policymakers about COVID-19 incidence among students (18.8%) and disease and deaths among their relatives and friends. However, these must be taken with caution because we relied only on the students’ reports without any additional confirmatory tools.
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