Gene trap capture of a novel mouse gene, *jumonji*, required for neural tube formation
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A mouse mutation, termed *jumonji* (*jmj*), was generated by a gene trap strategy. Expression of the trapped gene (*jmj* gene), as monitored by X-gal staining, was detected predominantly at the midbrain–hindbrain boundary and in the cerebellum, depending on the stage of development. All embryos homozygous for the *jmj* mutation died before embryonic day 15.5. Some, but not all, of the homozygotes developed an abnormal groove in a region just anterior to the midbrain–hindbrain boundary on the neural plate at embryonic day 8–8.5 and showed a defect in neural tube closure in the midbrain region. Analyses of *jmj* cDNA revealed that the *jmj* gene is novel, conserved among vertebrates, and disrupted by vector insertion in the *jmj* homozygotes. The amino acid sequence deduced from the cDNA shared a portion of significant homology with human retinoblastoma-binding protein RBP-2 and with a putative protein encoded by human gene *XE169* that escapes X-chromosome inactivation. These results suggest that *jmj* gene is essential for normal morphogenesis of the neural tube.
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Mutant organisms that exhibit abnormal and sometimes intriguing phenotypes have contributed enormously to our understanding of heredity. Historically, mutations have provided links between genes and biological phenomena, offering clues to elucidating the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying their phenotypes. In higher animals such as mammals, identifying genes responsible for mutant phenotypes, forward genetics, has increasingly advanced during the past decade but still remains difficult because of the complexity of the mammalian genome and the labor intensive nature of the effort required. As an alternative approach, the process termed reverse genetics has been used to explore structure–function relationships of individual genes. With the advent of modern methods of gene targeting, involving homologous recombination in mice, have come major advances in our understanding of the function of numerous genes of importance in normal development and in diseases.

One methodology, called gene trap, represents a versatile strategy by which genes that control developmental events can be captured and identified while at the same time corresponding mutants can be produced [Gossler et al. 1989; Friedrich and Soriano 1991; Skarnes et al. 1992; Chen et al. 1994; DeGregori et al. 1994]. This approach combines features of both forward and reverse genetics and identification of interrupted trapped genes is usually straightforward and direct as compared with classical genetics.

While in search of genes required for morphogenesis of the brain, we improved the efficiency of the gene trap methodology in several respects, and we established a mutant mouse strain displaying an intriguing defect in neurulation. In this study homozygous fetal mice died in utero, and a significant proportion of the homozygotes showed abnormal groove formation on the neural plate and a defect in neural tube closure. We named this mutation “*jumonji*,” because the morphology produced by the normal neural groove and abnormal grooves resembles a cross (*jumonji* is literally translated as cruciform in Japanese). The trapped gene, presumed to be responsible for these phenotypes, encodes a protein that is significantly homologous to the human retinoblastoma-binding protein RBP-2 and to a putative protein encoded by human gene *XE169*, which escapes X-chromosome inactivation. These results, taken together with the fact that the trapped gene is conserved among vertebrates, suggest that the trapped gene is required for normal morphogenesis of the neural tube.

Results

*Trap vector and trapped cell screening*

A trap vector, designated TV2, possessing several features appropriate for the gene trap strategy, was con-
structured by conventional methods (Fig. 1A). TV2 has a neomycin-resistant \textit{neo} gene for selection against G418 and a \textit{lacZ} gene for monitoring the expression of the trapped gene by X-gal staining. An internal ribosome entry site (IRES) derived from encephalomyocarditis virus was inserted between \textit{neo} and \textit{lacZ} to enable the dicistronic expression of two genes (Jang et al. 1988; Kim et al. 1992). Kim et al. (1992) showed that \textit{lacZ} was expressed throughout the entire chimeric embryo derived from ES cells transfected with phosphoglucominase-1 promoter–\textit{neo}–IRES–\textit{lacZ} vector. This result suggests that there is no tissue specificity for IRES function.

Because TV2 has no promoter sequences, only trapped cells can survive in media containing G418. A splicing acceptor sequence placed at the 5’ terminus of the \textit{neo} gene is expected to enhance the trapping efficiency (Gosslar et al. 1989). The sequence for nuclear transporting peptide derived from SV40 large T gene was placed at the 5’ terminus of the \textit{lacZ} sequence. This sequence is expected to concentrate \textit{lacZ} products in the nucleus (Kimura et al. 1994; M. Tanaka, pers. comm.) and facilitates detection of cells expressing trapped genes. The \textit{supF} and \textit{pBS} sequences in Figure 1A are for the purpose of recovering the trapped gene by plasmid rescue and are irrelevant to the present study. A total of \(6 \times 10^7\) E14 embryonic stem (ES) cells were transfected with the TV2 trap vector in three independent experiments, and 44 G418-resistant colonies were recovered. Among them, 41 colonies (93.2\%) were stained positively with X-gal, showing that IRES functioned as expected. As to why three colonies were X-gal negative, two possibilities may exist: (1) The promoter of the trapped gene was so weak that \textit{lacZ} expression could not be detected, and (2) the \textit{lacZ} gene was damaged during introduction into ES cells.

\textbf{lacZ} expression in chimeric embryos and the establishment of a \textit{jmj} mouse

Nineteen X-gal positive cell lines were selected and each was processed for production of chimeric embryos. Expression patterns of \textit{lacZ} were monitored by whole-mount X-gal staining of the embryos. A chimeric embryo obtained from a gene-trapped cell line, B6, was of special interest because of its characteristic \textit{lacZ} expression in a restricted region of the neural tube in the 10.5 day embryo [E10.5] and also in the cerebellum of neonates. A significant proportion of F\(_1\) progeny resulting from mating B6-derived chimeric male mice to Balb/cA female mice was found by Southern blotting to possess the trapped allele. We named this mouse line as \textit{jumonji} (\textit{jmj}) because of its characteristic phenotype associated with homozygotes described later. \textit{jmj} heterozygotes appeared phenotypically normal.

\textbf{lacZ} expression patterns of \textit{jmj} heterozygotes

\textit{lacZ} expression patterns in embryos (E8.0–E12.5) and brains (E14.5–postnatal day 14, P14) of \textit{jmj} heterozygotes were examined by whole-mount X-gal staining. Strong expression was detected at the midbrain–hindbrain boundary [Fig. 2A–C] whereas relatively weak expression was seen ubiquitously throughout developmental stages. Expression at the midbrain–hindbrain boundary was observed as early as E8.5, with some additional weak expression in the head region [Fig. 2A]. In the E9.5 embryo, this expression increased in intensity, forming a ring-shape structure [Fig. 2B]. Conspicuous expression of \textit{lacZ} was also noted at this stage in the primitive phar-
**Figure 2.** lacZ expression patterns in heterozygous mice revealed by X-gal staining. (A) E8.5, dorsal view of the whole embryo; arrowheads show expression in future midbrain–hindbrain boundary. (B) E9.5, lateral view of whole embryo; arrow shows expression at midbrain–hindbrain boundary. (C–E,G) Lateral view of excised brain. (C) E14.5; arrow and arrowheads show expression at midbrain–hindbrain boundary and in cerebellum, respectively; (D) E16.5; (E) P2; (G) P14. (F,H) Sagittal section of cerebellum of E and G with a razor, respectively. Scale bars, (A,B) 500 μm; (C–E,G) 2 mm; (F,H) 1 mm.

ynx, the bulbous cordis of the heart, and around the posterior neuropore in the tail.

lacZ expression at the midbrain–hindbrain junction persisted until E14.5 (Fig. 2C) but became undetectable after E16.5 (Fig. 2D,E,G). In contrast, expression in the cerebellum was first detected at E14.5 in its lateral regions (Fig. 2C) and spread to the whole cerebellum at E16.5 (Fig. 2D). On P2, expression was confined to Purkinje cells (Fig. 2F). During P2–P14, an increasing number of cells in the internal granular layer were stained with X-gal until lacZ expression was detected in all granule cells at P14 (Fig. 2H). Thus, the lacZ expression profile of granule cells appeared to coincide with the timing of their migration and functional differentiation. In the forebrain, lacZ expression was also detected at several regions.

**jmj** homozygotes show embryonic lethality

Analysis of the lacZ expression patterns suggested that the jmj-trapped gene is involved in the formation of the neural tube and development of the cerebellum. Because no apparent abnormality was associated with heterozygous mice, the phenotype of jmj homozygotes was examined. Genotypes of offspring derived from heterozygous parents were determined by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or Southern blot analysis (Fig. 1B,C; Table 1). For this purpose, sequences flanking the trap vector insertion site were amplified by the PCR–cassette method (see Materials and methods). Using the amplified product as a probe, a genomic library was screened to obtain a genomic clone containing the corresponding wild-type gene.

There were no homozygotes among the genotypes of pups derived from heterozygous parents (Table 1). The ratio of wild type, heterozygotes, and homozygotes, 2:0:1, indicates that jmj homozygotes die before birth. Live homozygous embryos were found during gestation (Table 1), but the number of dead embryos, first detected at E10.5, increased as gestation proceeded until almost all of the homozygotes died by E15.5 (Table 2). Severe necrosis prevented the identification and recovery of some of the resorbed embryos. All of the E14.5 homozygous embryos recovered showed severe edema in the large area of the back (Fig. 3). It is plausible to assume that the edema is involved in the lethality. However, we could not detect any apparent histological abnormalities associated with edema, such as defects in the circulation system or in the kidney.

A significant number of the homozygous embryos showed a neural tube defect [NTD] around the midbrain region (Fig. 4) or abnormal groove formation (Figs. 5 and 6). These abnormal phenotypes were limited, almost exclusively, to homozygotes (Table 2), although there were rare cases of dead or NTD embryos among wild-type and heterozygous offspring. We presume that the latter cases were spontaneous.

**Abnormal groove formation in the neural plate of jmj homozygotes at E8–8.5**

At E8–E8.5, 5 of 13 homozygous embryos (38.5%) developed abnormal grooves (Table 2, Figs. 5 and 6). Figure 5B shows a typical example observed at E8. The normal neural groove and ectopic grooves intersect to form a cross. At E8.5, the head neural plate of abnormal homozygotes exhibited a prominent flexure (Fig. 6B). At this stage, the future midbrain–hindbrain boundary can be recognized (Fig. 6E, arrowhead). lacZ expression was detected in the vicinity of the midbrain–hindbrain boundary in heterozygotes (Fig. 6C, arrowhead). In homozygotes, expression was even stronger in the same region of the embryo (Fig. 6D, arrowhead). Therefore, the location of the abnormal grooves appeared to be just anterior to the midbrain–hindbrain boundary.

Transmission electron microscopy of the cells comprising the abnormal grooves did not reveal any ultrastructural abnormality as compared with heterozygotes (Fig. 5C, D). The abnormal grooves had a cleft-like shape, and, similar to heterozygotes, the neuroepithelial cells were characterized by tight junctions at their apices and...
Table 1. Genotypes of offspring derived from heterozygous parents

| Stage       | Litters (no.) | Embryos* (no.) | Genotypeb |
|-------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|
|             |               | wild type      | heterozygote | homozygote | N.D. |
| Embryo      |               | 5 [11.6]       | 25 [58.4]   | 13 [38.5]  | 0 [0] |
| E8.0–8.5    | 4             | 18 [27.5]      | 33 [50.4]   | 15 [22.7]  | 0 [0] |
| E8.5–9.5    | 6             | 23 [35.3]      | 37 [46.3]   | 12 [15.0]  | 8 [10] |
| E10.5–11.5  | 5             | 17 [36.2]      | 20 [42.6]   | 8 [15.7]   | 3 [6]  |
| E12.5–13.5  | 5             | 18 [35.3]      | 22 [43.1]   | 8 [15.7]   | 3 [6]  |
| E14.5–15.5  | 5             | 23 [43.1]      | 37 [46.3]   | 12 [15.0]  | 8 [10] |
| Total       | 31            | 81 [28.1]      | 137 [47.6]  | 53 [18.4]  | 15 [5.2] |
| Postnatal (days) | 15 | 33 [35.1]      | 61 [64.9]   | 0 [0]      | 0 [0]  |

*All numbers contain resorbed embryos.

Table 2. Abnormal phenotypes during gestation

| Stage       | Wild type + Heterozygoteab | Homozygotea |
|-------------|----------------------------|-------------|
|             | normal | abnormal headb | dead | normal | abnormal headb | dead |
| E8.0–8.5    | 100    | 0               | 0    | 61.5   | 38.5           | 0    |
| E8.5–9.5    | 100    | 0               | 0    | 40.0   | 60.0           | 0    |
| E10.5–11.5  | 95.0   | 1.7             | 3.3  | 41.7   | 33.3           | 25.0 |
| E12.5–13.5  | 98.0   | 0               | 2.0  | 37.5   | 12.5           | 50.0 |
| E14.5–15.5  | 100    | 0               | 0    | 0      | 16.7           | 83.3 |

aN all numbers contain resorbed embryos.

Isolation of jmj cDNA

Southern blot analysis of DNA extracted from B6-ES cells suggested that a complete copy of the trap vector followed by at least four copies, each missing neo', were integrated in tandem into a single site (data not shown). Northern blot analysis of RNA from B6-ES cells revealed a single band of 6 kb using either the neo' or lacZ gene as a probe (data not shown). From these results, it was concluded that the most 5' copy of trap vector functioned as a selectable marker and as a reporter, and only the single type of fusion transcript containing both the neo' and lacZ sequences was produced in trapped B6-ES cells.

The trapped gene of B6-ES cells and its product were designated jmj gene and jmj protein, respectively. The 5-kb cDNA clone for the jmj gene (jmj cDNA) was isolated by first applying the 5' RACE (rapid amplification of cDNA ends) method to fusion RNA extracted from B6-ES cells (Frohman et al. 1988). This was followed by four successive downstream walks along the cDNA library (see Materials and methods). The jmj cDNA potentially encodes a polypeptide of 1234 amino acids (Fig. 8), and the molecular mass of this polypeptide is calculated to be 137.5 kD. The first ATG (position 211) of the...
open reading frame represents a good candidate for the initiation codon, because an in-frame stop codon is found in the upstream region (TAA, double underlined at position 160), and the sequence around this ATG is in a favorable context for translation initiation [Kozak 1991]. The junction between the jmj cDNA and neo of the trap vector in making fusion mRNA is between positions 255 and 256 (Fig. 8, arrowhead).

Amino acid sequences deduced from jmj cDNA were compared with those translated from DNA sequences compiled in the EMBL and GenBank data bases. This search revealed two regions (A, 541–780; and B, 816–1107 in jmj protein) (Fig. 8, underlined) of significant homology with human retinoblastoma binding protein-2 (RBP-2) [Fattaey et al. 1993] and with a putative protein encoded by human gene XE169, which escapes X-chromosome inactivation [Wu et al. 1994] (Table 3). Significance is shown by z value [Lipman and Pearson 1985]. Although the overall homology between RBP-2 and the XE169 protein is high (48.1%), the homology in regions corresponding to A and B of the jmj protein is considerably higher (57.7% and 82.6%, respectively) (Table 3). Region B exhibited a significant homology with proteins encoded by two mouse genes, Smcx and Smcy (Agulnik et al. 1994a, b). Smcx is presumed to be a mouse homolog of XE169 (Agulnik et al. 1994b). Smcx and Smcy are highly homologous to each other. It is not known whether region A exists in Smcx and Smcy proteins, because only partial sequences were reported for the Smcx and Smcy genes (Agulnik et al. 1994a, b). Aside from possible phosphorylation and glycosylation sites, no notable protein motifs could be discerned. Hydropathy analysis [Kyte and Doolittle 1982] revealed abundant hydrophilic regions but no apparent transmembrane regions.

Transcriptional arrest in jmj homozygotes

We also examined the transcriptional status of the jmj gene in homozygous embryos. Figure 9A shows the results of Northern blot analysis of RNA derived from E12.5 embryos of three genotypes. With a probe corresponding to sequences upstream of the fusion site [5' probe], a single band of ~6 kb was detected in all three genotypes. With the lacZ gene as a probe, a band of ~6 kb was again noted both in heterozygotes and homozygotes. It is likely that the transcript from the trapped
Coincidence of in situ localization of jmj mRNA with lacZ expression

Next, we addressed the question as to whether the X-gal staining pattern reflects expression of mRNA from the jmj gene. We compared the X-gal staining pattern in E9–10 heterozygous embryos with the localization of the in situ signal of jmj mRNA in wild-type embryos of the same developmental stage. In overall embryonic tissues, the in situ signals of jmj mRNA coincided with the X-gal positive regions. This demonstrates that the X-gal staining pattern accurately reflects that of mRNA expression from the jmj gene. Figure 10 shows a typical expression pattern at the midbrain–hindbrain boundary in E10.

Discussion

Etiology of NTD in jmj homozygotes

NTDs are among the most common malformations, and numerous examples have been described as spontaneous or teratogen-induced mutations. Nevertheless, our understanding of the underlying causes, especially at the molecular level, is extremely limited. In this study 12 of 26 live jmj homozygotes (46.2%) showed NTD at E10.5–14.5. The NTD apparently results from a failure of neural tube fusion at the posterior site of the forebrain (Fig. 7). The two neural folds of abnormal homozygotes appear to be unable to approach each other in this region.

The jmj gene is conserved among vertebrates

Because neural tube closure is crucial for the formation of the central nervous system among vertebrates, we were prompted to see the general occurrence of the jmj gene in the animal kingdom. DNA from all possible sources was probed with jmj cDNA. As seen in Figure 9B, positive signals were detected in DNA of all vertebrates but not in any of the invertebrates examined thus far. This result suggests simply that the jmj gene functions in the process of neural tube formation in vertebrates.

Figure 5. Abnormal groove formation on neural plate at E8 (0 somite stage). [A,B] Bright-field microscopy of dorsal view. [A] heterozygote, [B] homozygote, a littermate of A. Scale bar, 200 µm. [C,D] Transmission electron micrographs of parasagittal section of A and B, respectively. Scale bar, 20 µm.

Figure 6. Abnormal groove formation on neural plate at E8.5 (nine-somite stage). [A–D] Bright-field microscopy; [E,F] scanning electron micrographs. [A,B] Lateral view; [C–F] dorsal view. [A,C,E] Heterozygote; [B,D,F] homozygote. Scale bar, 250 µm. Arrowheads in C and E indicate jmj–lacZ expression and the future midbrain–hindbrain boundary, respectively. Arrowheads in D mark corresponding regions in which jmj–lacZ is expressed in C.
head neural plate causes a flexure that prevents the neural folds far apart, thereby causing a defect in closure (Fig. 7E–H). Another possibility is that abnormal groove formation in the head neural plate causes a flexure that prevents the normal bending required for closure, leaving the two neural folds far apart.

Although the link between NTD and abnormal morphology of the neural plate has not been proved, some mouse mutants, such as SELH [MacDonald et al. 1989] and trisomics 12 and 14 [Putz and Morris-Kay 1981], show midbrain exencephaly associated with abnormal morphology of the neural plate. In these mutants, the fusion at the posterior site of the forebrain is impaired. SELH mice have increased numbers of pyknotic cells in the anterior region of the neural tube. 

**Figure 7.** Head neural tube formation in heterozygotes and homozygotes. Scanning electron micrographs. (A–D) heterozygote, (E–H) homozygote. (A,E) 10-somite stage; (B,F) 12-somite stage; (C,G) 15-somite stage; (D,H) 21-somite stage. Scale bar, 200 μm. The arrowhead in B marks the de novo fusion site in the posterior forebrain.

**Figure 8.** Nucleotide sequence and deduced amino acid sequence of *jmj* cDNA. The arrowhead shows the fusion site between the *jmj* cDNA and *neo' of trap vector. TAA with double underline at position 160 is an in-frame stop codon located in the upstream region. Underlined amino acid sequences (amino acid positions 541–780 and 816–1107) are regions with significant homology to human RBP-2 and *XE169* proteins [see text].

Takeuchi et al.

Table 3. Sequence similarity of putative j mj protein

|        | j mj | RBP-2 | XE169 |
|--------|------|-------|-------|
| j mj   | 27.9a(28.2) | 29.3a(33.6) |
| RBP-2  | 31.6b(54.8) | --     | 57.7a(109.4) |
| XE169  | 31.9b(57.2) | 82.6b(153.6) |

Numbers in parentheses are z values.

*Similarities (%) in region A (jmj, 541-780; RBP-2, 3-246; XE169, 4--243).

*Similarities (%) in region B (jmj, 816-1107; RPB-2, 359-657; XE169, 390--688).

the neuroepithelium and mesenchyme [MacDonald et al. 1989]. In mice with trisomies 12 and 14, the edges of the neural plate fail to turn medially, preventing them from approaching each other [Putz and Morris-Kay 1981]. This defect is associated with disruption of the neuroepithelial lamina, reduction of mesenchymal cell number, and an increased number of dead neuroepithelial cells [Morris-Kay and Putz 1986]. We have not detected any significant abnormalities in neuroepithelial or mesenchymal cells in j mj homozygotes (Fig. 5C,D). Determination of the cause of abnormal groove formation will require examination of the biological behavior of homozygous neuroepithelial cells such as proliferation, migration, and polarity. In view of the lack of knowledge concerning the genes responsible for SELH mouse and mouse trisomy 12 and 14 phenotypes, the j mj mutant should provide a useful alternative model for investigating the etiology of midbrain exencephaly.

Expression of the j mj gene

The expression of j mj-lacZ can be viewed as having some implications regarding neural development. Strong expression of j mj-lacZ was detected at the midbrain–hindbrain boundary and in the cerebellum (Fig. 2). Because cells in the caudal region of the midbrain vesicle migrate into the cerebellum [Martinez and Alvarado-Mallart 1989; Hallonet et al. 1990], it is possible that cells at the midbrain–hindbrain boundary migrate into the cerebellum and remain X-gal positive. However, it is known that cells from the midbrain migrate into the rostromedial cerebellum [Martinez and Alvarado-Mallart 1989; Hallonet et al. 1990], and X-gal positive cells of j mj heterozygotes were first detected in the lateral region (Fig. 2C). These observations suggest that the lacZ expression at the midbrain–hindbrain boundary is independent from that observed in the cerebellum.

Although one can imagine specific roles for the j mj gene in the cerebellum before and after birth because of the strong expression of j mj-lacZ, experimental analysis is complicated by homozygous lethality.

Penetrance of the phenotype of j mj homozygotes

All j mj homozygotes died in utero, but incomplete penetrance was observed in NTD and abnormal groove formation (Table 2). Incomplete penetrance has often been observed in spontaneous mouse mutants with NTD [Copp et al. 1990]. In the case of curly tail, only 1–3% of the homozygotes show exencephaly. One of the most attractive explanations for incomplete penetrance of j mj phenotypes involves the heterogeneous genetic background of the homozygotes. In our experiments homozygotes were obtained from heterozygous parents that were hybrids between 129/Ola and Balb/cA. Backcross matings are in progress and a definitive estimate of the frequency of penetrance remains to be determined.

Is the j mj gene responsible for the j mj phenotype?

All of the available evidence is consistent with the idea that the j mj gene is responsible for the j mj mutant phe-

Figure 9. Northern blot and zoo blot analyses. (A) Northern blots. Two micrograms of poly[A]+ RNA from E12.5 embryos were electrophoresed. (Lanes 1,4,7) Wild type; (lanes 2,5,8) heterozygote; (lanes 3,6,9) homozygote. Probes 5' and 3' correspond to sequences upstream and downstream of the fusion site, respectively. Human β-actin cDNA was used as a control probe. (B) Zoo blots. The blots contain DNAs (each 8 μg) from different organisms digested with EcoRI and electrophoresed. (Lane 1) Human (Homo sapiens); (lane 2) cow (Bovis domesticus); (lane 3) mouse (Mus musculus); (lane 4) chick (Gallus domesticus); (lane 5) frog (Xenopus laevis); (lane 6) snake (Rhineura floridana); (lane 7) fish (Tautoga onitis); (lane 8) mussel (Mytilus edulis); (lane 9) fruit fly (Drosophila melanogaster); (lane 10) nematode (Caenorhabditis elegans); (lane 11) yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae).
notype. The major reasons are as follows. (1) No intact jmj transcript was detected in homozygous embryos [Fig. 9A]. Because of vector insertion into the trapped allele, transcription yielded a fusion mRNA that has a capacity to code for an anomalous protein, and only the amino-terminal 15 amino acids are identical to those of the wild-type protein [Fig. 8]. (2) The affected region in homozygous embryos coincided with the lacZ-expressing region, that is, the region expressing the jmj gene. Abnormal grooves were observed just anterior to the midbrain–hindbrain boundary where lacZ was expressed [Fig. 6C,D]. Regarding the NTD, this abnormality may have resulted from abnormal grooves. (3) jmj phenotypes were found only in homozygous mice and, as such, were trapped allele dependent [Tables 1 and 2]. In addition, homozygotes derived from F3 heterozygotes, produced by backcross matings of jmj heterozygotes to Balb/cA mice [statistically 96.9% of the genome is composed of the that of the Balb/cA mouse], showed the NTD as detected in F1. This result excludes the possibility that mutations at other loci, except for those located close to the jmj gene, are responsible for jmj phenotypes. (4) The trap vector was integrated into a long intron (>20 kb).

However, Southern blot or PCR analyses showed neither deletion nor rearrangement of >2 kb around the insertion site [data not shown]. This observation favors the idea that no appreciable alteration in sequence has occurred in the proximity of the jmj gene. Finally, it appears unlikely that β-galactosidase produced from the trap vector plays a role in generating the jmj phenotypes, because heterozygous mice were apparently normal in our experiments. A previous report from another laboratory also argues against this possibility (Mansour et al. 1993). In addition, targeting β-galactosidase to the nucleus possibly reduced the deleterious effect of β-galactosidase on embryonic development (Kimura et al. 1994). They showed that germ-line transmission in transgenic mice was improved by targeting β-galactosidase to the nucleus.

Unequivocal proof would require a rescue experiment such as transgenesis with the intact jmj gene or producing the same phenotypes by jmj gene targeting.

The putative jmj protein

The putative jmj protein has two regions [A and B] of significant homology to RBP-2 and the XE169 protein [Table 3]. RBP-2 is a member of a group of proteins that bind to the retinoblastoma gene product [RB] through a sequence-conserved binding motif composed of a short stretch of amino acids [Deleo-Jones et al. 1991]. Because the conserved binding motif of RBP-2 could not be found in XE169 protein or in the putative jmj protein, it is not known whether these gene products have a capacity to bind RB protein. Although the physiological function of these three proteins is not known, homologies among their sequences suggest that RBP-2, XE169, and the jmj genes belong to the same family and that regions A and B may serve as a distinctive motif recognizing its members. Further studies of the jmj protein, as well as the RBP-2 and XE169 protein, including use of specific antibodies, may provide a basis for understanding the functions of the jmj gene and etiology of jmj phenotypes during development of the nervous system.

Materials and methods

Cells and cell culture

ES cell line E14 derived from 129/Ola mouse was a gift from M.L. Hooper (Edinburgh University, UK). Culture of a parent line and trapped lines were carried out according to Robertson (1987).

Construction of the trap vector

Trap vector TV2 [Fig. 1A] was constructed from the following DNA fragments: (1) XbaI–BamHI fragment containing a splicing acceptor site of the mouse zinc finger gene Zfp 40 [Noco et al. 1992], (2) Escherichia coli lacZ gene, (3) E. coli neo' gene, (4) IRES derived from encephalomyocarditis virus [a gift from E. Wimmer, State University of New York, Stony Brook], (5) oligonucleotide representing the nuclear transporting signal of SV40 large T antigen [a gift from M. Tanaka, Mitsubishi Kasei Institute of Life Sciences, Japan], [8] E. coli supF tRNA gene, [9] pBluescript (Stratagene).
Electroporation and drug selection

Trypsinized ES cells were washed in culture medium and suspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The cell suspension was placed in an electroporation cuvette, mixed with trap vector DNA linearized with BgII at a concentration of 25 μg/ml, and electroporated at 200 V, 500 μF, and plated on dishes. After 2 days, C418 was added at 250 μg/ml to the culture, and surviving colonies were picked up after another 7–10 days.

Blastocyst injection and animal breeding

Blastocyst injection was performed according to Robertson (1987). Blastocysts were recovered from the mating of C57BL/10 SnSic (Japan SLC, Inc.) to C57BL/6 NCrj (Charles River Japan Inc.) mice. ES cell-injected blastocysts were transferred into the uterus of pseudopregnant F1 [C57BL/10 × C57BL/6] mice. Male chimeras were bred with Balb/c A/Jcl females (Clea Japan, Inc.) to test for germ-line transmission of the dominant chinchilla coat marker. F1 (Balb/c A × 129/Ola) mice heterozygous for the imj1 trapped allele were intercrossed to produce homozygotes. Noon of the day that a vaginal plug was detected was defined as E0.5, upon which gestational age was assigned. All mice were caged in a lighted room (12 hr of light and 12 hr of darkness) with free access to water and standard mouse diet.

X-gal staining

Cultured cells were fixed for 3 min at room temperature in 0.2% glutaraldehyde in PBS. Embryos were fixed for 20–30 min at 4°C in 0.2% glutaraldehyde, 1% formaldehyde, and 0.02% NP-40 in PBS. Fixed cells and embryos were washed at room temperature for 15 min in buffer (2 mM MgCl2, 0.02% NP-40, 0.01% deoxycholate in PBS) three times. Then they were stained either overnight or for several hours at 37°C in 0.1% 4-chloro-5-bromo-3-indolyl-β-d-galactopyranoside (X-gal, Nova Biochem), 5 mM K3Fe(CN)6, 5 mM K4Fe(CN)6 in the same buffer. After staining, embryos were washed in PBS and postfixed in 2% glutaraldehyde in PBS.

Transmission and scanning electron microscopy

Embryos were fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde in PBS for 1 hr at 4°C, washed several times in PBS, postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) for 1 hr at 4°C, and then dehydrated in graded series of ethanol. For transmission electron microscopy, the embryos were embedded in Epon 812 (Oken Co., Tokyo). Sections were cut with a Sorvall MT-1 ultramicrotome and were doubly stained in uranyl acetate and lead acetate. Thin sections were examined with a JEOL 1200 EX electron microscope. For scanning electron microscopy, the fixed embryos were immersed in a critical-point dryer (Hitachi HCP-1). After ionized gold coating, the specimens were examined with a Hitachi S-800 scanning electron microscope.

PCR

All PCR experiments were performed under the following temperature cycling conditions with a Program Temp Control System (Astec): 94°C for 1 min, at 60°C for 2 min, at 72°C for 3 min for 35 cycles. The PCR mixture consisted of 50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0), 0.1% Triton X-100, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM each of the dNTPs, 0.4 μM each of primers and 0.025 units/μl of Taq DNA polymerase (Promega).

Cloning of genomic DNA flanking vector insertion site

Genomic DNA of B6 ES cells was digested with BamHI and ligated to a BamHI adapter (Takara Shuzo, Japan). Fragments containing the 5' region of the trap vector insertion site were PCR amplified with primers in the adapter (5'-GTGTTAGGATCCAGGCTAC-3') and in the neo r gene [5'-TCTGGTTGTTGTTGTTGGCCAGAAT-3']. Using this fragment as a probe, a 16-kb fragment was cloned from a mouse genomic DNA library (a gift from M. Taniguchi, Chiba University, Japan) as described in Maniatis et al. (1989).

Allele-specific genotyping

DNA from yolk sac of embryos or from the tails of pups was purified according to Maniatis et al. (1989) for genomic Southern blot or according to Singer-Sam et al. (1990) for PCR. Two pairs of oligonucleotides that recognize the wild-type allele of the imj gene (primer P1, 5'-ACAGCCATGTCCCCATACATAC-3', and P2, 5'-TTGGACA-GCTGTCGTCACAA-3') or the trapped allele [primer V1, 5'-CTGCAAGATGTATGGGAGTG-3', and V2, 5'-GTCCAGATCATCTGTGACACA-3'] were used in the same PCR reaction [see Fig. 1B]. Amplified products were analyzed by electrophoresis on agarose gels using ethidium bromide staining. Embryos were scored as wild type (positive with only primers P1 and P2), heterozygous (positive with both primer pairs), or homozygous (positive with only primers V1 and V2) [Fig. 1C].

5' RACE and cDNA walking

To obtain the fragment of imj1 cDNA, we used a 5'-Ampli Finder RACE Kit (Clontech). Briefly, first-strand cDNA was synthesized with a primer within neo r gene of TV2 [5'-GTCCAGATCATCCTGACAA-3']. After hydrolysis of RNA with NaOH, anchor DNA was ligated to the first strand cDNA with T4 RNA ligase. Then, fragments were amplified by PCR with a primer containing a sequence complementary to the anchor DNA [5'-CTGTCGTTGGCCACCTCCTAGACCA-3'] and a nested TV2 specific primer [5'-TCTGGTTGTCCAGGCTAC-3']. The downstream cDNA fragment was isolated from ES cell cDNA ligated to KZAP II arms (Stratagene) by PCR using a primer corresponding to the sequence in the fragment obtained by 5' RACE [5'-GTCCAGAGTTTTCACAG-3'] and T3 primer [5'-CTGGTTGTTGTTGTTGGCCAGAAT-3']. The latter primer is specific for the sequence in the multi-cloning region of KZAP II. To obtain further downstream regions, this procedure was successively repeated three times. Amplified product was cloned into a pGEM-T plasmid (Promega). DNA sequencing analyses were carried out by the dideoxy chain-termination method using a BcaBEST sequencing kit (Takara Shuzo).

Southern and Northern blots

RNA purification as well as Southern and Northern blot analyses were carried out according to Noce et al. (1992). For the zoo blot, a membrane of EVO selectable set kit (Bios) was hybridized at 32°C overnight and washed three times in 2× SSC, 0.1% SDS, for 15 min at 45°C. The 5' and 3' probes for the Northern blot correspond to nucleotide positions 1–235 and 269–561, and the probe for the zoo blot corresponds to nucleotide positions 178–1567 of imj1 cDNA [Fig. 8], respectively.

In situ hybridization

imj sense and antisense single-stranded RNA probes were synthesized using the PCR clone corresponding to nucleotide po-
sition 178–1370 as a template. Plasmid containing the insert, flanked by T7 and SP6 promoters (pGEM-T, Promega), was linearized and transcribed with T7 or SP6 RNA polymerase in the presence of digoxigenin-UTP (Boehringer Mannheim). Probes were diluted to 1 μg/ml in hybridization mixture. Hybridization to frozen sections and washing steps were performed as described by Wilkinson et al. (1987). Sections were examined and photographed using a Zeiss Axioptot microscope.
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