The role of the cerebellum in drug-cue associative memory: functional interactions with the medial prefrontal cortex
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Abstract

Drug-induced Pavlovian memories are thought to be crucial for drug addiction because they guide behaviour towards environments with drug availability. Drug-related memory depends on persistent changes in dopamine-glutamate interactions in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), basolateral amygdala, nucleus accumbens core and hippocampus. Recent evidence from our laboratory indicated that the cerebellum is also a relevant node for drug-cue associations. In the present study, we tested the role that specific regions of the cerebellum and mPFC play in the acquisition of cocaine-induced preference conditioning. Quinolinic acid was used to manage a permanent deactivation of lobule VIII in the vermis prior to conditioning. Additionally, lidocaine was infused into the preclinical and infralimbic (IL) cortices for reversible deactivation before every training session. The present findings show, for the first time, that the cerebellum and mPFC might act together in order to acquire drug-cue Pavlovian associations. Either a dorsal lesion in lobule VIII or an IL deactivation encouraged cocaine-induced preference conditioning. Moreover, simultaneous IL-cerebellar deactivation prevented the effect of either of the separate deactivations. Therefore, similar to the IL cortex, neural activity in the cerebellum may be crucial for ensuring inhibitory control of the expression of cocaine-related memories.

Introduction

The strength and persistence of drug-seeking responses in drug addiction are thought to be sustained by long-lasting drug-cue associative memories that compel goal-directed behaviours towards contexts of drug availability (Everitt and Robbins 2005). The incentive and conditioned reinforcing properties of drug-related cues depend on persistent changes in dopamine-glutamate interactions in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), basolateral amygdala (BLA), nucleus accumbens core (NAcore) and hippocampus (Belin and Everitt 2008; Volkow et al. 2013).

Remarkably, the cerebellum is closely connected to the functional loops in the striatum–cortico–limbic circuitry, which has been established by tracing techniques, electrostimulation, and optogenetics (Panagopoulos et al. 1991; Ikai et al. 1992; Hoover and Strick 1999; Ichinohe et al. 2000; Melchitzky and Lewis 2000; Hoshi et al. 2005; Ghaser et al. 2006; Yu et al. 2007; Bostan et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2014; Herrera-Meza et al. 2014). Moreover, different regions in the cerebellum have been demonstrated to be involved in the formation and storage of motor and emotional Pavlovian memory (Steinmetz et al. 1992; Topka et al. 1993; Sacchetti et al. 2002, 2004; Gao et al. 2016; Giovannucci et al. 2017). Additionally, growing evidence has indicated that the cerebellum is a relevant node for drug-cue associations in humans (Moulton et al. 2014) and animals (Carbo-Gas et al. 2014a,b, 2017). Neuroimaging studies of cue reactivity in drug addicts have consistently shown activation in the cerebellum when drug-related cues were presented (Grant et al. 1996; Schneider et al. 2001; Bonson et al. 2002; Anderson et al. 2006; Fuentes et al. 2012). Recent research from our laboratory has gone a step further in determining an accurate location for the cerebellar area involved in these drug-cue associations (Carbo-Gas et al. 2014a,b, 2017). Overall, our findings have indicated that cocaine-induced preference conditioning selectively increases neural activity and the expression of perineuronal nets in the dorsal region of the granular cell layer in the vermis. Correlations between neural activity and drug-induced conditioned preference were observed in lobules III, VIII and IX. These cerebellar lobules receive dopaminergic projections from the ventral tegmental area (VTA) (Ikai et al. 1992, 1994) and express dopamine transporters (Melchitzky and Lewis 2000; Carbo-Gas et al. 2014b).

Several studies have observed that the preclinical (PL) and infralimbic (IL) cortices form different reciprocal loops through the brain (Ongür and Price 2000; Vertes 2004; Hoover and Vertes 2007) and...
exhibit opposite roles at the functional level (Ongür and Price 2000; McFarland and Kalivas 2001; Capriles et al. 2003; Peters et al. 2009; Sierra-Mercado et al. 2011; Ball and Slane 2012; Pfarr et al. 2015). Specifically, reinstatement of cocaine-seeking behaviour requires the integrity of the PL cortex (McFarland and Kalivas 2001; McLaughlin and See 2003), whereas the IL cortex is needed for the suppression of this response, presumably promoting the extinction of this behaviour (LaLumiere et al. 2010; Lalumiere et al. 2012).

Thus, two different reciprocal loops have been proposed for the mPFC. Reinstatement of cue-induced cocaine seeking is driven by close interactions among the PL, NAc, BLA and VTA. By contrast, the consolidation and expression of extinction of a previously acquired cocaine seeking response are under the control of the IL, NAcshell and BLA (McFarland and Kalivas 2001; McLaughlin and See 2003; Lalumiere et al. 2012).

Interestingly, human studies of drug addicts have indicated that the prefrontal cortex and cerebellum may be recruited in a competitive manner during reward tasks (Martin-Sölich et al. 2001; Desmond et al. 2003; Hester 2004; Bolla et al. 2005). In these studies, a prefrontal impairment was accompanied by strong activation of the cerebellum. Thus, it seems that the cerebellum acquires higher functional relevance when prefrontal function is compromised by disease or chronic drug use (Anderson et al. 2006; Miquel et al. 2009).

Very recently, we proposed that the dorsal and ventral regions in the posterior vermis could be functionally related to different prefrontal–striatal–limbic loops in order to initiate or restrain cocaine seeking (Miquel et al. 2016). In the present investigation, we tested for the first time the role that specific regions of the cerebellar cortex play in the acquisition of cocaine-induced conditioned preference. Additionally, we explored the effects of focal deactivation in the IL and PL cortices. Finally, we wondered whether simultaneous IL–cerebellar deactivation would be able to change the effects of deactivating each of the regions separately. Importantly, this work is the first attempt to provide support for a causative role of the cerebellum in the regulation of drug-related behaviours.

Methods

Subjects

Male Sprague-Dawley rats weighing 175–200 g (N = 151) were obtained from Janvier (ST Berthevin Cedex, France). Rats were individually housed in the animal facility (Jaume I University, Spain) under standard laboratory conditions (12-h light cycle from 8:00 AM to 8:00 PM) with access to food and water ad libitum. Handling was performed on a daily basis for 2 weeks before the experiments began. Rats were subjected to stereotaxic surgery when they reached a weight of 270–350 g. Behavioural protocols took place within the first 5 hours of the light cycle, 2 hours after the lights were turned on. All animal procedures were approved by the local Animal Welfare Ethics Committee and Empowered Body and were developed in accordance with the European Community Council directive (2010/63/EU), Spanish directive BOE 34/11370/2013 and local directive DOGV 26/2010.

Pharmacological agents

Cocaine hydrochloride (Alcaliber S.A., Madrid, Spain) was dissolved in a 0.9% saline solution and administered intraperitoneally (IP). The 0.9% saline solution was used as the control vehicle. Anaesthesia was induced using a cocktail of ketamine (100 mg/kg) (Imalgene 100 mg/mL; Mersal Laboratorios S.A., Barcelona, España) and xylazine (10 mg/kg) (xylazine hydrochloride ≥ 99%; Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC, Madrid, España). Lidocaine (6%: 60 mg/mL) (lidocaine hydrochloride: Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC) and quinolinic acid (90 nmol/μl) (2,3-pyridinedicarboxylic acid: Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC) were used for deactivation of the mPFC and the cerebellum respectively.

Stereotaxic surgery and brain deactivation procedures

All rats weighed between 270 and 350 g before stereotaxic surgery. Surgery was performed using a Kopf stereotaxic apparatus. For the intracranial infusion, a stainless steel guide cannula (length, 10 mm; external diameter, 23 gauge) was targeted at the following coordinates with respect to bregma (Paxinos and Watson 1998). For the cerebellum, the dorsal area (AP: −14.5; ML: 0; DV: −4.5) and the ventral area (AP: −13; ML: 0; DV: −4.5) of lobule VIII in the vermis were targeted. For the mPFC, the PL (AP: +3.2; ML: +0.6/−0.6; DV: −3) and IL (AP: +3.2; ML: +0.6/−0.6; DV: −4) cortices were targeted (Fig. 1). After the surgery, all the animals received analgesic treatment with meloxicam (Metacam 5 mg/mL; Boehringer Ingelheim España S.A., Barcelona, España), repeated every 24 hours for 3 days. The animals remained undisturbed for 3 to 5 days after surgery for recovery (for the experimental timeline see Fig. 2A).

Excitotoxic lesions from quinolinic acid were preferred for the lesion of the posterior cerebellum (lobule VIII) because in our past experience, the cannula installation did not remain in place for a long time. In this case, the infusion was performed only once during the initial surgery under anaesthesia. Quinolinic acid (90 nmol/μl) was released through a removable stainless steel injector (length, 11 mm; external diameter, 30 gauge) inserted into the previously implanted guide cannula and connected to an infusion pump (volume, 0.5 μl; infusion ratio, 0.2 μl/min). The infusions were made unilaterally at the middle line of lobule VIII in the vermis (ML: 0), which is in this cerebellar region that we have previously described plasticity changes linked to cocaine-related memory (Carbo-Gas et al. 2014a,b, 2017). After the infusion was completed, the injector remained in place for 3 minutes to avoid liquid aspiration. Then, the guide cannula was removed, and the wound was sutured. The same procedure was implemented in the sham group, but in this case, phosphate buffered saline (PBS) was infused.

For mPFC deactivations, the guide cannulas were attached to the skull through stainless steel screws fixed with acrylic dental cement. Stainless steel obturators were kept in the guide cannula to maintain the cannula’s integrity. Rats were gently handled while restrained, and 6% lidocaine (60 mg/mL) was infused either into the IL or PL cortex before each training trial (volume, 1 μl; infusion ratio, 0.5 μl/min). Rats were not anaesthetised during the microinjections because this procedure does not involve pain or discomfort for the animals. Behavioural trials began 2 minutes after the infusion, as deactivation via lidocaine only lasts for 20 minutes (Martin 1991). Sham animals underwent the same procedure, but saline was infused instead of lidocaine. Cannula placements for each site were counterbalanced among the animals in terms of the right and left sides, and infusions were made unilaterally. Bilateral cannula installations were not included in this study as we intended to preserve mPFC functions partially in the animals in terms of the right and left sides, and infusions were made unilaterally. Bilateral cannula installations were not included in this study as we intended to preserve mPFC functions partially in order to obtain a more realistic picture of what would happen during an early chronic experience with the drug or in vulnerable brains.

Finally, simultaneous deactivations of the cerebellum and IL cortex were achieved using the two abovementioned procedures in the same rat. Therefore, rats were trained under a unilateral IL deactivation together with a neurotoxic lesion in the dorsal region of lobule VIII. The rationale behind this study was to test whether these two
regions might act to compensate each other after impairment of any of them. In this case, one could expect that the effect of separate deactivations would be prevented.

Cocaine-induced preference conditioning procedure

Conditioning was developed in an opaque, oblong corridor (90 × 20 × 60 cm) that included two lateral black chambers (20 × 20 × 60 cm) located on opposite sides. We evaluated the initial preference for two olfactory stimuli (lavender and rose) of four animals. Because the innate preferences for the odours were not different [Student’s t-test for dependent samples: t (3) = 0.8692, P = 0.4487], these two equally preferred odours were used in the conditioning experiment. Two drops of lavender or rose fragrance were put on gauze and presented inside a steel ball with holes that hung on the walls of the chambers. One of the odours acted as the conditioned stimulus (CS+) and was associated with cocaine (15 mg/kg, IP). On alternate days, rats were exposed to the other odour (CS−) and received saline injections. During the training session, the animals remained confined in one of the lateral chambers, and access to the other side was blocked by a panel. Each pairing session lasted for 15 min. A total of eight cocaine-cue paired sessions were conducted, and the odours used as the CS+ and CS−, as well as the left and right locations in the corridor, were counterbalanced among the animals (Fig. 2B).

Preference for the cocaine-related cue was evaluated 48 hours after the last cocaine administration in a 30 minutes drug-free test in which the CS+ and CS− were presented simultaneously on both sides of the corridor. Importantly, the location of the odours (CS+ and CS−) was opposite to that in the training. Therefore, for the first 10 minutes of the test session, the animals were allowed to explore the new location of the cues, and thus, this period was not included in the analysis. Then, the time spent (TS) in each chamber was recorded for the last 20 min. All the test sessions were videotaped and scored by a blind observer. The preference score was calculated as [TS in (CS+) / TS in (CS−)] × 100. Additionally, we included a pseudo-conditioning group (Unp group) that was treated with the same number of cocaine and saline injections but was randomly associated with the odours.

Locomotor activity

Activity was scored by a blind observer in the videos obtained from the preference test session. The 20-minute testing period was split into four segments of 5 min. The number of crossovers was registered by dividing the corridor into four equal quadrants on the screen. A locomotion score was assigned each time an animal crossed over from one quadrant to another on all four legs. Locomotion was assessed only
during the preference test. During conditioning, motor activity was not considered, as rats were confined to one of the lateral chambers for the entire session. Thus, despite the fact that free movement was possible inside these boxes, the movement was limited to a very short distance.

**Perfusion protocol and brain sampling**

Animals were deeply anaesthetised with sodium pentobarbital (30 mg/kg) (Dolethal 100 mL; Vetoquinol E.V.S.A., Madrid, España) 90 minutes after the preference test and were perfused transcardially, first with saline solution (0.9%) and then with paraformaldehyde (4%). After perfusion, the brain and cerebellum were quickly dissected and placed in a container with the same fixative for 24 hours at 4 °C. After this time, the tissue was immersed in a 30% sucrose solution in PBS until the brain sank. The brain tissues were rapidly frozen by quick immersion in liquid nitrogen, and 40-μm sections were performed with a cryostat microtome (Microm HM560; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Barcelona, Spain). Four series of tissue sections were collected and stored at −80 °C in cryoprotectant solution with ethylene glycol. Sagittal sections of the cerebellum and brainstem were selected according to the lateral coordinates from −0.72 to 0.72 mm, comprising the whole vermis. For the prefrontal cortex, coronal sections were collected according to bregma coordinates from 4.70 to 1.70 mm (Paxinos and Watson 1998). Several sections were stained with cresyl violet for assessment of the cannula locations. Lesion sites were identified and represented using light microscopy and camera lucida drawings. Rats with cannula misplacement were used as negative controls and were not included in the statistical analysis (Fig 1).

**Experimental design and statistics**

All behavioural data were based on the preference scores obtained on the test day. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 7 software (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). As a first step, we analysed the effect of cerebellar and prefrontal deactivations on cocaine-induced preference conditioning. In this analysis, because the normality requirements were met, the results were presented as the mean ± SEM and were analysed by one-way ANOVA or Student’s t-tests for independent samples. Then, post hoc comparisons were performed using Tukey’s HSD tests. As a second step, we used an arbitrary cut-off point of 60% to cluster sham rats in two subgroups: the preference (≥ 60%, Sham P) and no preference (< 60%, Sham NP) groups. The rationale behind the use of a cut-off point to conform these two different subgroups was based on our previous findings that indicated a completely different kind of cocaine-induced plasticity when comparing mice expressing preference with those that did not (Carbo-Gas et al. 2014a,b, 2017). Comparisons of the variances in these groups were carried out using Kruskal–Wallis nonparametric analyses tests with post hoc Dunn’s multiple comparison test. The results were depicted by scatter plots and median scores. For the data regarding the proportion of rats expressing preference scores higher than 60%, a chi-square test was used to determine differences between the expected vs. observed frequencies. In all analyses, the statistical level of significance was set at P < 0.05.

**Results**

The injection sites are shown in Fig 1. As can be seen, focal infusions with very small diffusion areas were achieved in the present study. Neither of the sham deactivations produced significant effects on cocaine-induced preference conditioning, as demonstrated by Student’s t-tests for independent samples [Sham Dorsal vs. Sham Ventral: (t (19) = 0.6104, P = 0.5489); Sham IL vs. Sham PL: (t (17) = 0.7523, P = 0.4622)]. Therefore, sham animals were collapsed for each brain region to shape two different control groups, namely, the Sham cerebellum and Sham mPFC groups. Then, these two groups were split into preference (P) and no preference (NP) groups, as explained above. Additionally, we tested for significant differences between the effects of deactivation on the left and right sides of the mPFC. Neither the sham [(t (17) = 1.05, P = 0.3085)] nor the lidocaine groups [IL (t (6) = 1.299, P = 0.2418); PL (t (5) = 0.06548, P = 0.9503)] exhibited any kind of lateralisation effect.

**An excitotoxic lesion in the dorsal region of lobule VIII facilitates cocaine-induced preference conditioning**

A one-way ANOVA of the preference scores yielded a significant group effect (F (2,32) = 4.672, P = 0.0166). As shown by subsequent post hoc comparisons using Tukey’s HSD tests, the quinolinic acid dorsal group (QA Dors) (n = 6) exhibited a significantly higher preference for the CS+ than the control (Sham) (n = 21) (P = 0.0143) and unpaired dorsal (Unp Dors) (n = 8) (P = 0.0492) groups (Fig. 3A).

As seen in figure 3A, only a subgroup of the sham rats showed a clear preference for the cocaine-related odour cue. Therefore, the sham animals were split into two subgroups, namely, the Sham NP (n = 15) and Sham P (n = 6) groups, by using the arbitrary preference cut-off point of 60%. A Kruskal–Wallis test demonstrated a significant effect of the group factor (H (4) = 23.06, P < 0.0001). Post hoc comparisons revealed that all lesioned animals (QA Dors) showed the same preference level as that of the Sham P group (P > 0.99) (Fig. 3B), and both groups exhibited an increased preference for the CS+ compared to that of the Sham NP group (P < 0.001). Then, a chi-square test was conducted to compare the proportion of animals that met our criteria for preference in each group. Remarkably, the excitotoxic lesions in the dorsal lobule VIII promoted the acquisition/expression of cocaine-induced preference conditioning in 100% of the trained animals (χ² (2) = 10.89, P = 0.0043). However, the percentage of preference animals in the sham group was 28.57% (Fig. 3C).

**Rats with a ventral region of lobule VIII do not show cocaine-induced preference conditioning**

A one-way ANOVA comparing the preference for the CS+ did not demonstrate a significant effect of the group factor (F (2,36) = 1.301, P = 0.2848) (Fig. 3D). Nevertheless, the nonparametric analysis, which split the sham group into the NP (n = 15) and P (n = 6) groups, yielded a significant effect of the group factor (H (4) = 16.31, P < 0.001) (Fig. 3E). Dunn’s multiple comparisons test revealed that ventrally lesioned animals (QA Vent) showed a similar preference score to those of the Sham NP (P > 0.99) and unpaired ventral (Unp ventral) groups (P > 0.99). In addition, the Sham P group exhibited a higher preference than the Sham NP (P < 0.001) and QA Ventral (P < 0.004) groups (Fig. 3E). Despite the fact that no lesioned animal reached the preference score of 60%, a chi-square test revealed no significant differences in the proportions of rats that acquired cocaine-induced conditioned preference after ventral lesions (χ² (2) = 3.954, P = 0.138) (Fig. 3F).
A temporary deactivation of the IL cortex promotes cocaine-induced preference conditioning

A temporal deactivation of the IL cortex facilitated the acquisition of cocaine-induced preference conditioning, as indicated by a one-way ANOVA (F (2,31) = 8.879, P = 0.0009). As shown by a subsequent post hoc comparison using Tukey’s HSD tests, the lidocaine IL group (Lido IL) (n = 8) exhibited a significantly higher preference for the CS+ than the sham (n = 19) (P = 0.0021) and unpaired lidocaine groups (Unp IL) (n = 7) (P = 0.0021) (Fig. 4A). The Kruskal–Wallis test showed a significant effect of the group factor (H (4) = 21.3, P < 0.0001) (Fig. 4B). Dunn’s post hoc comparisons revealed that a repeated IL deactivation before each training session increased preference to the same level as that shown by the Sham P group (P > 0.99). Additionally, both groups were different from the Sham NP group (P < 0.001 and P < 0.03 respectively), but only the animals in the lidocaine IL group exhibited a significantly higher preference than the unpaired group (P < 0.02) (Fig. 4B). Moreover, 100% of deactivated animals expressed a preference score higher than 60% ($\chi^2 (2) = 10.6, P = 0.005$) (Fig. 4C).

Rats with a temporary deactivation of the PL cortex do not show cocaine-induced preference conditioning

The transient deactivation of the PL cortex did not produce a significant effect on cocaine-induced conditioned preference (F (2,31) = 1.152, P = 0.3293) (Fig. 4C). Nevertheless, as seen in the scatter plots (Fig. 4D), PL-deactivated animals (n = 7) showed a preference score similar to that of the Sham NP group (n = 12). A Kruskal–Wallis test demonstrated a significant effect of the group factor (H (4) = 13.14, P = 0.0043). Both the Sham NP (P < 0.01) and lidocaine PL (P < 0.05) groups were different from the Sham P group (n = 7), as revealed by post hoc tests (Fig. 4D). However, a chi-square test of the proportions of animals that met the criterion for preference revealed no significant differences ($\chi^2 (2) = 3.579, P = 0.167$) (Fig. 4F).

Simultaneous deactivation of the IL cortex and dorsal lobule VIII prevents the facilitative effect on cocaine-induced preference conditioning

Remarkably, the effect of IL deactivation was very similar to that observed after dorsal lesions of the cerebellar cortex. Therefore, we managed to deactivate both regions simultaneously in order to ascertain if these regions might outweigh the lack of activity in the other region after impairment. As expected if they were functionally related, the facilitative effect of the separate deactivations was prevented by combining both a unilateral deactivation of the IL cortex and a dorsal lesion of lobule VIII. Student’s t-test for independent samples supported no differences in the preference scores between the animals with deactivation and sham animals (t (9) = 0.8126, P = 0.4374) (Fig 5A). Thus, the proportion of rats expressing preference was rescued to control levels [(P = 0.4773)] (Fig. 5B).
Motor activity during the preference test is unaffected by either prefrontal or cerebellar deactivations

Locomotion was assessed during the preference test by dividing the 20-minute testing period into 5 minute segments. Neither of our manipulations affected locomotion during the preference test, as was demonstrated by two-way repeated measures ANOVAs of each region. In all cases, locomotion decayed during the session for all groups independent of the group factor [Dorsal cerebellum: Group F(2,10) = 0.888, P = 0.4415; Time F(3,15) = 35.72, P < 0.0001; Interaction F(6,30) = 2.338, P = 0.0569]; [Ventral cerebellum: Group F(2,12) = 2.16, P = 0.1581; Time F(3,18) = 25.85, P < 0.0001; Interaction F(6,36) = 2.212, P = 0.0642]; [IL: Group F(2,12) = 1.293, P = 0.31; Time F(3,18) = 33.04, P < 0.0001; Interaction F(6,36) = 0.728, P = 0.0629]; [PL: Group F(2,12) = 0.489, P = 0.6247; Time F(3,18) = 18.43, P < 0.0001; Interaction F(6,36) = 2.282, P = 0.0573] (Fig. 6).

Discussion

It is widely accepted that mPFC impairment is a crucial part of the physiopathology of drug addiction (McFarland and Kalivas 2001; Van den Oever et al. 2010; Goldstein and Volkow 2011). However, not until recently has the cerebellum been considered a relevant structure in understanding the persistent drug-induced behavioural alterations in addiction (Miquel et al. 2009, 2016; Moulton et al. 2014).

The present results show, for the first time, that the dorsal region of the posterior cerebellum plays a role similar to that of the IL cortex in the establishment of drug-cue Pavlovian memory. The loss of activity in either of these regions dramatically increased the number of animals that expressed cocaine-induced conditioned preference. The effects of a lesion in the ventral region of lobule VIII or a deactivation of the PL cortex are less clear. In both cases, the inactivation seems to reduce the proportion of rats that show preference for the cocaine-related cue, although statistics do not provide full support for the significance of the effects. Thus, further research is needed in order to propose any functional interactions between these two regions. Importantly, as all the effects were memory-related and specific for the formation of drug-cue associations, none of our manipulations were shown to be effective in the pseudo-conditioned rats (unpaired groups).

We noticed that in our procedure only a small group of control rats (29%) developed a clear preference for the cocaine-related cue. Several methodological issues, such as the high cocaine dose used (15 mg/kg), the use of a discrete odour cue instead of a place preference procedure or the elevated number of drug-cue pairings, might explain the reduced number of conditioned animals found in the sham groups. Nevertheless, both IL and dorsal cerebellar impairment caused a consistent and robust effect, increasing by up to 100% the number of animals expressing conditioned preference (Figs 34C–C).

As the IL deactivation was unilateral, the intact contralateral IL or even the PL cortex might increase its activity, promoting the acquisition of cocaine-related memory. As a matter of fact, the PL cortex may be inhibited by the IL cortex (McFarland and Kalivas 2001; Lalumiere et al. 2012), and thus, the facilitative effect on cocaine-induced
conditioning, caused by a partial IL deactivation, might then be explained by a reduced inhibition of PL activity. IL deactivation could also enhance activity in the cerebellum. Indeed, it has been shown that the prefrontal dysfunction observed in drug addicts is accompanied by an increase in cerebellar activity (Martin-Solch et al. 2001; Desmond et al. 2003; Hester 2004; Bolla et al. 2005). Similarly, lesions of the dorsal cerebellum could boost neural activity in the mPFC, striatum and limbic regions and thereby facilitate cocaine-induced preference conditioning. Supporting a close functional loop, our results also revealed that simultaneous cerebellum-IL impairment prevents the facilitative effect of separate deactivations. This finding suggested that both the IL cortex and the dorsal cerebellum might increase their relevance during conditioning when the other region has been compromised. Subsequently, if both areas are impaired at the same time, this compensatory function will not be possible, and the propensity to acquire cocaine-induced conditioned preference will resemble that of the control group.

The present findings argued in favour of our recent hypothesis proposing that the dorsal regions of the posterior vermis are part of the IL-NAshell-BLA network (Miquel et al. 2016). Our previous work established that the plasticity hallmark signatures of cocaine-induced preference conditioning are expressed in the dorsal region of the cerebellar cortex (Carbo-Gas et al. 2014a,b). Strikingly, we showed here that the acquisition of drug-cue associations is facilitated when the same region of the cerebellar cortex is damaged. Taken together, our findings suggested that the dorsal region of the posterior vermis might inhibit drug seeking using previously learned Pavlovian associations that involve other additional regions in the striatum–cortico–limbic circuitry. Interestingly, behavioural inhibition has been one of the functions ascribed to the cerebellum.

**Fig. 5.** Effect of a simultaneous deactivation of the IL cortex and dorsal cerebellum on cocaine-induced preference conditioning. (A) Preference scores for the CS+ on the test day in the Sham (n = 7) and IL + Dorsal (n = 4) groups. Data are shown as the mean ± SEM. The facilitative effect of separate deactivations was blocked after combining both a unilateral deactivation of the IL cortex and a dorsal lesion of lobule VIII. (B) The percentage of rats expressing a preference score above and below 60% after the simultaneous IL + Dorsal cerebellar deactivation. The number of rats that acquired preference for the cocaine-related olfactory stimulus was similar to that in the control group.

**Fig. 6.** Effects of deactivation in the cerebellum and mPFC on locomotor activity during the preference test. (A) A dorsal excitotoxic lesion in the cerebellum [(Sham: n = 21); (QA Dors: n = 6); (Unp Dors: n = 8)]. (B) Ventral excitotoxic lesion in the cerebellum [(Sham: n = 21); (QA Vent: n = 11); (Unp Vent: n = 7)]. (C) Deactivation of the IL cortex [(Sham: n = 19); (Lido IL: n = 8); (Unp IL: n = 7)]. (D) Deactivation of the PL cortex [(Sham: n = 19); (Lido PL: n = 7); (Unp PL: n = 8)]. Data are shown as the mean ± SEM. Locomotion decayed during the session for all groups independent of the group factor and the region deactivated.
Cerebellar lesions promote behavioural disinhibition (Schmahmann and Sherman 1998; Tanaka et al. 2003), whereas increasing activity in the cerebellum improves inhibitory control (Brunamonti et al. 2014).

Numerous studies have found reciprocal loops between the prefrontal cortex and the cerebellum that may provide anatomical evidence to explain the present results (Middleton and Strick 1994, 2001; Schmahmann and Pandya 1997; Sang et al. 2012; see Bostan and Strick 2018 for a recent compelling review). Moers-Hornikx et al. (2009) observed an increase in cFos expression in the deep cerebellar nuclei and the prefrontal cortex after deep brain stimulation of the midiodorsal and ventrolateral thalamic nuclei in rats. Furthermore, cortical regulation of striatal activity can be modulated by the cerebellum (Chen et al. 2014). A direct dopaminergic VTA-cerebellar projection has also been demonstrated (Ikai et al. 1992, 1994). Detectable DA levels were found in the posterior lobules of the vermis (VII-X), the right and left hemispheres and the fastigial, interpositus and dentate nuclei (Glaser et al. 2006). In addition, it has been shown that the cerebellar cortex may regulate dopamine release in the mPFC by several independent pathways. First, the cerebellum connects to the VTA through the reticulotegmental and pedunculopontine nuclei (Forster and Blaha 2003). Second, the cerebellum projects to the VTA through the mediiodorsal and ventrolateral thalamus (Rogers et al. 2011). Finally, and more relevant for the present discussion is the finding of a direct projection from the deep cerebellar nuclei to the VTA (Watabe-Uchida et al. 2012). This projection would be crucial for explaining the present results as it provides a direct pathway for the cerebellum to control the cortico-striatal circuitry through an increase in dopaminergic activity.

Nevertheless, a number of caveats and limitations of the present study should be considered. Our sample only included rats with focal lesions in lobule VIII. It is noteworthy that other anterior or posterior cannula locations (lobule VII or IX) did not seem to reproduce the facilitative effect on cocaine-induced preference conditioning. Recent evidence indicated that the cerebellum is subdivided into different specialised regions to regulate specific behaviours (Glickstein et al. 2011; Witter and De Zeeuw 2015). However, it has also become clear that a cerebellar lobule is not the main functional unit. First, a lobule can contain several functional areas; second, cerebellar functions can encompass several lobules (Witter and De Zeeuw 2015). This raises the question of which functional characteristics and connectivity patterns make the dorsal region of lobule VIII in the vermis somehow relevant to associative memory and behavioural inhibition. The dorsal cerebellar cortex receives sensorimotor corticopontine and efferent components of the mossy fibre afferent system, providing neural information from cortical sensorimotor networks to the cerebellum (Ekerot and Larson 1972; Voogd and Ruigrok 2004). In addition, a recent study of motor associative learning established a prominent cerebello-cortical excitatory projection of mossy fibres to the most superficial region of the granule cell layer that optimised the conditioned response (Gao et al. 2016). Granule cell activity in this area is present during unconditioned and conditioned stimuli, as well as during the conditioned response (Giovanucci et al. 2017). This activity also encodes the expectation of reward (Wagner et al. 2017). Classically, lobule VIII in the vermis was considered part of the skeletonomotor divisions of the cerebellum, projecting to motor cortices through the fastigial nucleus and also to the descending motor pathways (Glickstein et al. 2011). It is important to highlight that the present cerebellar lesion did not cause a generalised and unspecific motor disinhibition because locomotor activity during the preference test was not affected. Lobules VII-X of the vermis have also been proposed to serve as an interface among sensory processing, emotional states and motor responses, due to the anatomical and functional connectivity with the amygdala and other areas of the emotional brain (Adamaszek et al. 2017). Therefore, it is plausible for the cerebellum to modulate the reward response in other areas of the striatum–cortico–limbic circuitry.

In conclusion, our findings open new avenues to understanding the role of the cerebellum in drug addiction. Further research using specific experimental approaches is needed to determine the control of different neuronal populations in the dorsal and ventral regions of the vermis.
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