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Background: Patients with early stage breast cancer with same treatment strategy can have markedly different outcomes. Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2/neu) gene amplification or the subsequent overexpression of protein has been proved to be associated with patient's outcome and response to anthracyclins-based regimens.

Objectives: This study assessed prognostic value of HER2/neu marker in patients with early stage breast cancer who received adjuvant chemotherapy with anthracyclins-based regimens.

Materials and Methods: Fifty tissue samples from patients with primary breast cancer of moderate risk receiving sequential adjuvant chemotherapy with anthracyclins-based regimens were assessed to evaluate HER2/neu gene status (quantified by Immunohistochemistry and fluorescence in situ hybridization) retrospectively. Besides, correlation of HER2/neu with patients' characteristics and outcome was studied.

Results: HER2/neu amplification was identified in 19 (38%) of 50 patients. No significant difference regarding HER2/neu status was seen in clinic pathological characteristics of patients. Although Progression Free Survival (PFS) was shorter in HER2 overexpressed group, but uni/multivariate analysis adjusted for HER2 overexpression, nodal involvement, hormone receptor status, age and tumor size revealed no significant predictive and/or prognostic value for HER2 regarding PFS.

Conclusions: This study on a limited number of patients treated with adjuvant anthracyclins-based regimens, revealed that HER2/neu is not a unique strong predictor for outcome, thus according to combination of HER2/neu status and other clinical factors, it is necessary to distinguish patients at high risk of recurrence.
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1. Background

Breast cancer is one of the most common cancers among females and the first malignancy affecting Iranian women (1-4). It is assumed that behavior, prognosis, and treatment response are very different in this non-homogenous disease (5). Despite all efforts in early diagnosis, treatment and biomarker identification, about 30% of patients with early-stage breast cancer would experience recurrence (6). One reason could be drug resistance leading to treatment failure and finally responsible for breast cancer mortality (7). It is believed that breast cancer in the Middle East (where Iran is located in) may be unusually aggressive with an unfavorable prognosis (8, 9). Adjuvant chemotherapy, accepted as the main treatment including anthracyclins-based regimens, has been used widely to prevent relapse (10), prolong progression free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) in patients with early-stage breast cancer (11), but sometimes these drugs have serious toxic effects (12). Amplification of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2/neu) oncogene has been identified in 20-30% of invasive breast cancer tumors (13, 14). Prognosis and responsiveness to anthracyclins-based therapy could be affected by HER2/neu receptor protein overexpression and gene amplification (15, 16). Some investigators believed that the relation between HER2/neu amplification and responsiveness to anthracyclines might be relevant to topoisomerase IIα. Anthracyclines are topoisomerase inhibitors, and TOP2A is located closely to the HER2/neu gene on chromosome (17). Generally, several investigators concluded that HER2/neu abnormalities, (either gene amplification or protein overexpression) are...
associated with a worse prognosis (18). In addition to systemic treatment development, many investigators have a desire to know and classify tumors with poor prognosis, to administer appropriate therapy, and improve patients’ outcome. Research has almost focused on comparing anthracyclins to non-anthracyclins based regimens related to HER2/neu over expression (19, 20).

2. Objectives
In this study, we evaluated HER2/neu prognostic value and outcome of patients with HER2/neu protein overexpression or gene amplification compared to those without such abnormalities when using anthracyclins-based regimens. It may be possible to find a way to characterize prognostic value of HER2/neu, which can identify tumors with more aggressive behavior in Iranian patients.

3. Materials and Methods
This survey was a retrospective single institute study on 50 patients with early stage breast cancer from August 1997 to January 2011 referred to Iranmehr hospital, Tehran, Iran. Informed written consent was obtained prior to enrolling patients in the study according to Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences ethics and scientific committees and was conducted in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration. All patients underwent modified radical mastectomy (MRM) and were treated with postoperative anthracyclins-based chemotherapy. Two anthracyclins containing regimens were administered; CAF (N = 28) versus TAC (N = 22) regimens. Administration dosages were: 5-Fu 500 mg/m², Doxorubicin 50 mg/m², Cyclophosphamide 500 mg/m² in CAF (21) and Docetaxel 75 mg/m², Doxorubicin 50 mg/m², Cyclophosphamide 500 mg/m² for TAC (22), repeated every three weeks. Two hundred files of patients with breast cancer were reviewed and 50 cases were included. Inclusion criteria were MRM (Marketing Resource Management) surgery, primary early stage breast cancer who had one to three nodes involvement or had high risk node negative disease (which was defined as primary tumor greater than 2 cm in size or greater than 1 cm for tumors both estrogen [ER] and progesterone receptors [PR] negative). Exclusion criteria were metastatic disease or chemotherapy with other regimens. Neither of patients received adjuvant trastuzumab. Formalin paraffin embedded tissue blocks of breast tumors removed during modified radical mastectomy were obtained from archived files of the Pathology Department at Iranmehr Hospital. For hormone receptors and HER2/neu status determining, immunohistochemical methods (IHC) alone (for ER and PR), or IHC and fluorescent in situ hybridization test (FISH) was used. The avidin-biotin-peroxidase method was used for IHC staining. Antibodies were obtained from DAKO Company (Glostrup, Denmark).

As recommended ASCO/CAP (23) consensus panel and ESMO guideline (24), first we assessed HER2/neu gene status by immunohistochemistry (IHC). If IHC was 2+, tumors block underwent confirmatory FISH test. HER2/neu positivity was defined as samples with more than 10% cells staining 3+ by IHC or 2+ by IHC confirmed by FISH (a ratio of HER-2/neu gene/chromosome 17 ≥ 2.0). HER2/neu expression was determined by Hercept Test™ DAKO test. Breast cancer was classified according to WHO classification of breast tumors. In post-treatment follow-up, patients underwent physical examination at least once every four months for the first three years and every six months thereafter. Annual mammograms, bone scans and chest X-rays were performed if necessary.

3.1. Statistical Analysis
To examine differences in ordinal variables (tumor size, lymph node involvement, age, and stage) between the two groups (HER2/neu overexpressed in compare with the other), non-parametric Mann-Whitney test was used. Chi-square or Fisher’s exact tests were used to compare nominal variables between the two groups when appropriate. Cox proportional hazard model was used to assess adjusted parameters regarding progression free survival (PFS) in uni/multivariate analysis and their 95% CIs (confidence intervals). For all statistical tests, 5% level was used as the cutoff for statistical significance. All analyses were performed using SPSS version 16. As most patients were alive and much exclusion data we did not perform analysis for overall survival. Mean of Kaplan Meier’s survival estimates and curves were obtained and the log-rank test was used to assess the significance of differences of PFS between the two study groups. PFS was calculated from date of registration to date of progression or death (Figures 1 and 2).

4. Results
Among 50 patients included in this study, 42 (84%) invasive ductal carcinomas, 6 (12%) invasive lobular carcinomas and 3 (6%) other pathologies were diagnosed (Figure 3). Age of patients ranged from 26-64. The mean age was 48.4 years. HER2/neu overexpression was found in 38% (N = 19) cases. Slightly more cases received adjuvant chemotherapy with CAF regimen (N = 28) than TAC regimen (N = 22). No patient developed symptomatic cardiac dysfunction or irreversible asymptomatic decreases in left ventricular ejection fraction to 50% or lower. During analysis of postoperative staging of studied cases, the largest group of patients was found in group with stage IIA (Table 1). Lymph node status was assessed during the study, which 46% of them were involved (N = 23). There was no significant difference in any of patients’ characteristics between the two groups including...
tumor size, lymph node involvement, chemotherapy protocol, age, ER/PR status, post-menopausal status, stage and pathology. Clinic pathological characteristics were shown in Table 1. Number of patients without lymphadenopathy involvement was higher in non-over expressed group, but it was not statistically significant (Table 1). In HER2/neu overexpressed tumors, 57.9% were ER negative, but in the other group 32.3% were ER negative. Fifteen patients developed a documented relapse (30%). Mean of PFS in HER2 overexpressed group and the other group were 31.6 ± 3.2 and 80.9 ± 17.4 months, respectively (HR of relapse = 0.977[0272-0.351], P = 0.602, Figure 4). We also evaluated the correlation of relapse and PFS with some parameters (listed in Table 2) (For some Parameters, multivariate analysis was not possible because model coefficients and hazard ratio (HR) were not calculated. these parameters were used simple in multivariate analysis). In uni/multivariate analysis, neither of them remained significant for PFS means (Table 2).

5. Discussion
In this retrospective study, we found no correlation between HER2/neu amplification and prognosis of early stage breast cancer treated by anthracyclins-based regimens in Iranian women. Most studies performed to evaluate the HER2/neu status were conducted in western countries and compared prognostic value of HER2/neu in anthracyclins-based to non anthracyclins-based regimens. In different studies performed in other countries, HER2/neu amplification was found in 20-30% of breast malignancies (13, 14), but in some countries such as Lebanon the higher percentage was reported (25). HER2/neu overexpression in this study is accordance with data from Egypt (25).

Figure 1. Primary Breast Cancer Estrogen Receptor Immunohistochemical Staining Was Positive

Figure 2. Primary Breast Cancer Progesterone Receptor Immunohistochemical Staining Was Positive
50 patients who met criteria (For all patients IHC staining had been performed and for 18 patients who were 2+ FISH test was performed. Among them 7 patients showed HER2/neu amplification.
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**Table 1.** Clinic pathological Characteristics of Patients \(^{a,b}\)

|                       | HER2/neu Amplification gene Group; N = 19 | HER2/neu Not Amplification gene Group; N = 31 | P Value |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------|
| **Age**               |                                          |                                             | 0.914   |
| 9 Years               | 1 (5.3)                                  | 3 (9.7)                                     |         |
| 30-39 Years           | 2 (10.5)                                 | 4 (12.9)                                    |         |
| 40-49 Years           | 8 (42.1)                                 | 10 (32.3)                                   |         |
| 50 Years              | 8 (42.1)                                 | 14 (45.2)                                   |         |
| **Stage**             |                                          |                                             | 0.494   |
| IA                    | 1 (5.3)                                  | 1 (3.2)                                     |         |
| IB                    | 2 (10.5)                                 | 3 (9.7)                                     |         |
| IIA                   | 7 (36.8)                                 | 16 (51.6)                                   |         |
| IIB                   | 6 (31.6)                                 | 9 (29.0)                                    |         |
| IIIA                  | 3 (15.8)                                 | 2 (6.5)                                     |         |
| **ER**                |                                          |                                             | 0.153   |
| Positive              | 9 (47.4)                                 | 21 (67.7)                                   |         |
| Negative              | 10 (52.6)                                | 10 (32.3)                                   |         |
| **PR**                |                                          |                                             | 0.151   |
Positive  8 (42.1)  21 (67.7)
Negative  11 (57.9)  10 (32.3)

| Chemotherapy Protocol |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| CAF                   | 11 (57.9)  17 (54.8%) |
| TAC                   | 8 (42.1)  14 (45.2%) |

| Node + | 8 (47.4)  15 (48.4%) |

| Number of LAP³ |  |
|----------------|--|
| 0              | 10 (52.6)  16 (51.6) |
| 1              | 4 (21.1)  4 (12.9) |
| 2              | 4 (21.1)  6 (19.4) |
| 3              | 1 (5.3)  5 (16.1) |

| Tumor size d |  |
|--------------|--|
| 1 cm         | 2 (10.5)  2 (6.5) |
| 1-1.99 cm    | 9 (47.4)  18 (58.1) |
| 2-4.99 cm    | 6 (31.6)  9 (29.0) |
| 5 cm         | 2 (10.5)  2 (6.5) |

| Post-menopausal status |  |
|------------------------|--|
| 10 (52.6)              | 14 (45.1) |

| Pathology |  |
|-----------|--|
| Invasive ductal carcinoma | 19 (100)  23 (74.3) |
| Invasive lobular carcinoma | 0  6 (19.3) |
| Others | 0  2 (6.4) |

a Abbreviations: HER2/neu, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; ER, estrogen receptor; PR, progesterone receptor; TAC: TAC regimen including (Docetaxel, Doxorubicin, Cyclophosphamide); CAF regimen including (Docetaxel, Doxorubicin, Cyclophosphamide).
b Data are presented as No. (%).
c Number of nodes involved: 0, node negative; 1, 1 positive node; 2, 2 positive nodes; 3, 3 positive nodes.
d 1 cm, tumors less than 1 cm in size; 1.99 cm, tumors between 2 and 4.99 cm in maximum diameter; > 5 cm, tumors >5 cm in maximum diameter.

Figure 4. Kaplan-Meier Survival Curve From the Onset of Recurrence for the Effect of Her2/Neu Gene Amplification on Progression Free Survival

Non-significant shortened PFS was observed in HER2/neu overexpressed group (P = 0.602).
Table 2. Uni/ Multivariate Analysis Regarding the Mean of Progression Free Survival a,b

|                          | Univariate Analysis |               | Multivariate Analysis |               |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|
|                          | HR (95% CI)        | P Value       | HR (95% CI)          | P Value       |
| HER 2/neu                | 1.370 (0.417-4.501)| 0.604         | 0.977 (0.272-3.510)  | 0.971         |
| Age                      | 0.999              |               |                      |               |
| ≤ 29/ ≥ 50               | 0.960 (0.158-5.849)| 0.530         | 1.071 (0.316-3.616)  | 0.193         |
| Age                      | 1.021 (0.956-1.090)| 1.017 (0.940-1.099)| 0.680               |               |
| ER +                     | 0.411 (0.137-1.233)| 0.133         | 0.350 (0.096-1.281)  | 0.113         |
| Node +c                  | 1.191 (0.385-3.685)| 0.762         | 1.399 (0.377-5.392)  | 0.616         |
| Tumor Size               |                    |               |                      |               |
| ≤ 1 Cm/ ≥ 5 Cm           |                    |               |                      |               |
| 1-1.99 Cm/ ≥ 5 Cm        | 0.223 (0.044-1.141)| 0.124         | 1.567 (0.921-2.664)  | 0.098         |
| 2-4.99 Cm/ ≥ 5 Cm        | 0.316 (0.015-0.874)| 0.525 (0.116-2.368)| 0.402               |               |
| Chemotherapy protocol CAF/TAC | 0.788 (0.226-2.749)| 0.708         |                      |               |

a Abbreviations: HER-2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; ER, estrogen receptor; PR, progesterone receptor.
b TAC; TAC regimen including (Docetaxel, Doxorubicin, Cyclophosphamide); CAF: CAF regimen including (Docetaxel, Doxorubicin, Cyclophosphamide); ER +: ER positive.
c Lymph nodes involvement (For some parameters, multivariate analysis was not possible because model coefficients and hazard ratio (HR) were not calculated. These parameters were used simple in multivariate analysis).
d 1cm, tumors less than 1 cm in size; 1.99 cm, tumors between 2 and 4.99 cm in maximum diameter; > 5 cm, tumors > 5 cm in maximum diameter.

In patients aged 50 years, HER2/neu overexpression was found in 39.2%, whereas in women over 50 it was detected in 36.2%. It was shown in other studies that in a group of younger female breast cancer, worse prognosis was associated with overexpression of HER2/neu, which leads to more aggressive tumor behavior (26). Tumor size, hormone receptor status, HER2 overexpression and expression of p53 have been identified previously as indicators in breast cancer (27, 28) and correlation of HER2 gene overexpression with other parameters indicative of disease relapse has been shown (29, 30). We designed this study in a HER2-unselected population to examine whether above findings could be repeated. Although ER/PR negative status and tumor size > 5 cm were more prevalent in HER2/neu amplified group, it was not statistically significant. Neither of tumor characteristics remained significant regarding HER2/neu status in both uni and multivariate analyses. This finding was verified by similar results from Huang et al. (30) and Badowska-Kozakiewicz et al. (31). PFS in HER2/neu non-over expressed group was very much, but not statistically significant in our study. Some researchers showed that in early breast cancer HER2/neu overexpression is a major and potentially risk of relapse (3, 33-38), while others did not (31, 39). Although our results are not robust but it seems consistent with the report of Tubbs et al. (11). Nevertheless, high levels of HER2/neu amplification had a correlation with worse disease free survival, but some studies suggested that anthracycline-based therapy improved the outcome of patients with HER2 amplification profile (24). Thus in our population of patients who all received anthracyclins-based regimens, the overall outcome would be worse in absence of treatment but with anthracyclins-based therapy more benefit was obtained. Paradoxical results between our results and others could be explained by several factors such as technical and methodological differences or different dosages of drugs and small sample size. However, evaluation of predictive and/or prognostic relevance of this biomarker supposed to perform prospective randomized clinical trial. Finally, this article suggested that distinguishing patients at high risk of recurrence must be based on combination of HER2 status and other clinical factors. Our data does not provide additional information how to improve management of patients with early stages breast cancer and high risk of recurrence. If it is supposed that they would receive standard anthracyclins-based regimens, higher percentage of HER2/neu overex-
pression in Iranian breast tumors calls for attention to detect HER2/neu in all breast samples.
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