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ABSTRACT

Background: Loud noise in the Neonatal Intensive Care Units (NICU) has negative effects on the health and wellness of hospitalized preterm infants. Various technologies for the care of preterm infants, extensive therapeutic interventions, and communication between staff are among the causes of loud noise in these wards. This review article aims to evaluate the effectiveness of noise reduction interventions in NICUs.

Methods: A literature search was conducted 2012-2020 in Medline, Embase, CINAHL, SID IranDoc, and Magiran databases. The search words were preterm infant, noise, and neonatal intensive care unit. Interventional and quasi-experimental papers were included in this review. A total of 26 articles were analyzed regarding the noise levels, effects of noise enhancement, and noise reduction interventions. The exclusion criteria were duplicate texts, non-English/Persian articles, editorials, letters, patents, errata, meeting abstracts, and conference papers. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses methodology was followed to perform the review.

Results: The results showed that the sound levels in the NICUs were higher than those mentioned by the WHO standard. The study articles reported personnel training as an effective intervention in noise reduction. But even with the proper training, there were very few changes in the sound levels. The noise level had been reduced to 3-4 dB, and even increased in some cases due to the impossibility of changing the structure of the NICU and changing the existing devices.

Conclusion: Education is the most effective way to reduce noise. To make noise reduction possible, personnel training is essential as the first step. Sound levels must be measured to control the volume. NICUs should be designed with separate beds and acoustic incubators should be used.
Highlights

- Despite many efforts and interventions conducted, the noise level in NICUs is still above the standard level.
- The most effective way to reduce noise is to provide continuous training to the personnel.
- To achieve sustainable results, changing culture is necessary.

Plain Language Summary

This study aimed to investigate the effectiveness of noise reduction methods in neonatal intensive care units. To achieve the goal, 26 interventional articles in English/Persian language were examined in a 10-year period. The results showed that the noise level in these units is higher than the standard. Most studies have focused on staff training. Studies have yielded conflicting results and in some cases, staff training was not enough to reduce noise. Therefore, there is a need to continue education for personnel and all clients in these units. The change in the physical structure and culture of the department staff helps to sustain the results of noise reduction measures. Future studies are needed to discover new solutions to this old problem.

1. Introduction

Sound is produced by air vibration and exists in all areas of life. Loud noise has harmful effects on both neonates and medical staff (Carvalhais et al. 2018). A great deal of research has focused on identifying and creating the ideal Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) environment (Meredith, Jnah & Newberry 2017). Specifically, attention has been dedicated to monitoring and regulating the acoustic environment in the NICU (Smith, Ortmann & Clark 2018).

Cochlear development and the growth of other terminal sensory organs occur in the 24th week of fetal life. Consequently, premature neonates hear sounds nearly similar to normal infants (Eggermont 2017). The range of hearing stimulation threshold in the 28-27 week is 40 dB and reaches 13.5 dB at 42 weeks of gestation, indicating continued evolution of the auditory nerve pathway through the term (Manske 2017; Santos et al. 2018).

The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) recommends that the noise level should not exceed 45 dB in NICUs and the US Environmental Protection Agency recommends that noises should be raised to 45 dB for the comfort and recovery of infants (Aggarwal et al. 2019). Despite these recommendations, existing analyses of the acoustic environment in the NICU have indicated that these noise standards are being violated regularly (Knutson 2012).

Studies show that the average noise level in NICUs is 50-75 dB and reaches 105 dB at most. Although the benefits of noise reduction for neonates have been established, there is still no appropriate way to its implementation in the noisy parts of NICUs (Almadhoob & Ohlsson 2015).

Many recent studies have shown the need for sound reduction interventions to improve the environmental conditions of NICUs.

Two systematic reviews with 5 years apart have been conducted on sound reduction interventions (Almadhoob & Ohlsson 2015). Many studies have suggested different ways to manage harmful sounds and obtained different results (Abdeyazdan, Ghassemi & Marofi 2014; Calikusu Incekar & Balci 2017; Fernández Zacarías et al. 2018).

Because of the rising trend of preterm births on the one hand, and the increased survival of these infants thanks to advances in technology and improved care, on the other hand, the importance of caring for these infants in a safe environment and treating them with minimal complications is paramount.

This review article aims to evaluate the effectiveness of noise reduction interventions in neonatal intensive care units.

2. Materials and Methods

This review was performed according to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines for reporting on systematic reviews.
| Objective                                                                 | Authors                                                                 | Intervention                                                                 | Publication (y) | Authors                                                                 | Authors                                                                 | Objective                                                                 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| To lower the mean ambient noise level within a level IV neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) by 10% from the baseline in one year. | Mohamed Farooq Ahamed, Deborah Campbell, Susan Horan, and Orna Rosen     | Multiple noise reduction strategies were tested through Plan-Do-Study-Act cycles based on the Institute for Healthcare Improvement model for improvement. Strategies targeted environmental and behavioral modifications. | 2017           | Vickie Laubach, Patricia Wilhelm, Katie Carter                          | Shhh. I'm Growing: Noise in the NICU                                      |                                                                            |
| Reduced sound levels from 55-100 dB to 46.3 dB to create a “quiet time” | Vickie Laubach, Patricia Wilhelm, Katie Carter                           | Evaluate nurses’ views on noise in the NICU, measure sound levels, evaluate and compare sound levels with standard limits, implement quiet hour protocol, make structural changes and section equipment | 2014           | Wang, Aubertin, Barrowman, Moreau, Dunn, Harrold                       | To determine whether implementation of a noise reduction policy followed by the addition of direct audit and feedback reduces noise levels in a tertiary-level NICU. |                                                                            |
| Decreasing sounds in some places and increasing in others                | Carlos Carvalhais, Joana Santos, Manuela Vieira da Silva & ana Xavier    | Identification of sources of sound production, measurement of sound levels before and after noise reduction interventions, meetings Conferencing training in 14 sessions | 2015           | Adriana Nieto-Sanjuaneiro1, José Quero-Jiménez, Daniel Cantú-Moreno, Isaías Rodríguez-Balderrama1, Fernando Montes-Tapia, Nadina Rubio-Pérez, Consuelo Treviño-Garza and Manuel de la O-Cavazos | To develop, implement, and evaluate the effectiveness of a Training Program (TP) on noise reduction in a NICU. |                                                                            |
| Reduced noise by 3-4 dB                                                  | Adriana Nieto-Sanjuaneiro1, José Quero-Jiménez, Daniel Cantú-Moreno, Isaías Rodríguez-Balderrama1, Fernando Montes-Tapia, Nadina Rubio-Pérez, Consuelo Treviño-Garza and Manuel de la O-Cavazos | Measurement of sound levels during 3 weeks before and after noise reduction interventions and comparison with standard levels, correction of department structure and personnel training | 2015           | Azam Biabanaki-goortani, Mahboobeh Naminbati, Zahra Abdeyazdan, Zohreh Badii | To determine the noise levels of different areas responsible for newborn care, develop intervention strategies to decrease the noise, and evaluate its effectiveness. |                                                                            |
| Reduced sound levels by about 12-20 dB at different locations           | Azam Biabanaki-goortani, Mahboobeh Naminbati, Zahra Abdeyazdan, Zohreh Badii | Measurement of sound levels in different parts of the department during busy hours, selection of trainer partner through pre-test, training of personnel in different groups, the re-examination of sound levels, and post-test. | 2015           | Vickie Laubach, Patricia Wilhelm, Katie Carter                          | Shhh. I'm Growing: Noise in the NICU                                      |                                                                            |
Search strategy

A literature search was conducted from 2012 to 2020 using Medline, Embase, CINAHL, SID IranDoc, and Magiran databases. The search words used were preterm infant, noise, and neonatal intensive care unit. Articles on sound levels and noise reduction interventions conducted at NICU were included. Conference proceedings and gray literature were also included. The articles were selected if they were in Persian/English languages, and interventional, or quasi-experimental in design. A total of 26 articles were found on noise levels, effects of noise enhancement, and noise reduction techniques; 19 articles included no interventions to reduce the noise in NICU and were excluded.

Data collection and analysis

Title screening was carried out by the first author based on the agreed, pre-piloted structured forms. Full-text articles were assessed for eligibility by other reviewers with agreement by consensus. The included studies were assigned a grade based upon their level of evidence and critically appraised using several tools (Burns, Rohrich & Chung 2011; Evans 2003). Meta-analysis was not undertaken due to insufficient numerical data (Moher et al. 2016). Finally, six articles investigating different types of noise reduction techniques were reviewed. Papers that met the inclusion criteria were assessed in terms of performance and effectiveness of noise reduction interventions.

3. Results

The results are shown in Table 1.

4. Discussion

The noise level in the NICU consistently exceeds the recommended standards. Despite all interventions, the sound levels remained high. Noise reduction interventions were performed in the studied articles. The interventions used in the reviewed articles were the training of personnel and parents, changes in ward structure, use of low noise equipment, use of acoustics to alert loudspeakers, the implementation of quiet hours’ protocol, care of infants in separate rooms, and use of earplugs.

The studies that assessed interventions found that continuous education was an important factor to reinforce suitable noise levels. The redesign of the NICU room has been recognized as one of the critical factors in maintaining a sound standard level. These findings led to effective strategies targeted environmental and behavioral modifications. Recent studies have shown that both structural changes and staff education have a significant impact on reducing NICU noise levels.

Implementing interventions to reduce noise levels may be attainable but requires a change in culture. Also, parents of newborns in NICUs will need education and reminders to maintain an appropriate level of silence within this environment. Proper placement of required equipment and careful evaluation of alarms are necessary. The implementation of such interventions should not inhibit the quality of care provided to this fragile population, but it should solely enhance it. Based on this review, nurses have a crucial role as leaders in affecting practice change to improve outcomes for the fragile population. Further studies focusing on implementing noise reduction strategies could enhance our knowledge base.
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