Interspecific small molecule interactions between clinical isolates of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus from adult cystic fibrosis patients.
Alexandre Fugère, David Lalonde Séguin, Gabriel Mitchell, Eric Déziel, Valérie Dekimpe, André M Cantin, Eric Frost, François Malouin

To cite this version:
Alexandre Fugère, David Lalonde Séguin, Gabriel Mitchell, Eric Déziel, Valérie Dekimpe, et al.. Interspecific small molecule interactions between clinical isolates of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus from adult cystic fibrosis patients.. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9 (1), pp.e86705. 10.1371/journal.pone.0086705. pasteur-01135620

HAL Id: pasteur-01135620
https://riip.hal.science/pasteur-01135620
Submitted on 25 Mar 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License
Interspecific Small Molecule Interactions between Clinical Isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* from Adult Cystic Fibrosis Patients
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Abstract

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* are the most prevalent pathogens in airway infections of cystic fibrosis (CF) patients. We studied how these pathogens coexist and interact with each other. Clinical isolates of both species were retrieved from adult CF patients. Culture supernatants from 63 *P. aeruginosa* isolates triggered a wide range of biofilm-stimulatory activities when added to the culture of a control *S. aureus* strain. The extent of biofilm formation by *S. aureus* was positively correlated to the levels of the 2-alkyl-4-(1H)-quinolones (AQs) *Pseudomonas* Quinolone Signal (PQS) and 2-heptyl-4-hydroxy quinoline N-oxide (HQNO) produced by the *P. aeruginosa* isolates. Supernatants from *P. aeruginosa* isogenic mutants deficient in PQS and HQNO production stimulated significantly less biofilm formation by *S. aureus* than that seen with the parental strain PA14. When studying co-isolated pairs of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* retrieved from patients showing both pathogens, *P. aeruginosa* supernatants stimulated less biofilm production by the *S. aureus* counterparts compared to that observed using the control *S. aureus* strain. Accordingly, some *P. aeruginosa* isolates produced low levels of exoproducts and also some of the clinical *S. aureus* isolates were not stimulated by their co-isolates or by PA14 despite adequate production of HQNO. This suggests that colonization of the CF lungs promotes some type of strain selection, or that co-existence requires specific adaptations by either or both pathogens. Results provide insights on bacterial interactions in CF.
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Introduction

Cystic fibrosis is a common life-shortening autosomal recessive disorder that manifests as clinical syndromes of chronic pulmonary infections, gastrointestinal disorder, nutritional and other abnormalities. However, the majority of individuals with CF ultimately succumb to respiratory failure primarily caused by chronic airway bacterial infections from mixed microbial communities [1]. In many cases, *Staphylococcus aureus* is predominant in infections occurring during childhood but colonization by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* gradually increases as CF patients grow older. Nevertheless, *S. aureus* persists and is one of the most prevalent pathogens in adult CF patients along with *P. aeruginosa* [2,3,4]. Despite such documented and frequent co-colonization of *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa*, very little is known about the impact of polymicrobial infections on patient health. Also, it is now becoming obvious that bacteria found in CF airways interact together in several different ways [5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12]. It has been proposed that *S. aureus* could prepare the respiratory tract epithelia of CF patients to promote the subsequent colonization of *P. aeruginosa* [13]. Studies are needed to understand how these microbial interactions develop, affect the balance of colonization and the progression of disease [14].

*P. aeruginosa* produces many extracellular molecules during its different growth phases. Synthesis of many of these compounds is controlled by quorum sensing (QS) regulatory systems. Their impact on other bacterial populations has also become an important research topic. Among QS-controlled pseudomonal factors, LasA, pyocyanin, hydrogen cyanide, and alkyl quinoline N-oxides have been reported to reduce *S. aureus* growth [15,16,17]. Prolonged growth of *S. aureus* with physiological concentrations of the *P. aeruginosa* exoproduct 4-hydroxy-2-heptylquinoline-N-oxide (HQNO) induces a sub-population of slow-growing, respiratory deficient *S. aureus* named small-colony variants (SCVs) [16]. We
have also shown that S. aureus biofilm production is increased in presence of P. aeruginosa supernatant or HQNO, and that the staphylococcal stress- and colonization-related alternative sigma factor B (SigB) is involved in this response [18]. Another class of pseudomonal QS-regulated molecules, the N-acylhomoserine lactones (AHLs), was also reported to affect S. aureus populations. At sub-inhibitory concentrations, long chain AHLs like N’(3-oxo-octanoyl)-3-homoserine lactone reduce exotoxins production and down-regulate both agr and sarA expression in S. aureus [7].

To add to the complexity of these bacterial interactions, previous studies have demonstrated that the formation of biofilm by S. aureus can be influenced by agr [19], sigB [20] and sarA [21], while P. aeruginosa also produces the QS-regulated biofilm activator homoserine lactonolipids, which can disrupt biofilms and show some inhibitory activity on Gram positive bacteria, such as S. aureus. [22,23,24].

Biofilms may play a major role in the development of chronic lung infections in CF. They confer to bacteria protection from host defenses as well as tolerance to some antibiotics that target active and dividing cells [13,25]. In addition, biofilms also provide protection against hydrodynamic shear forces, and biofilm infections are generally difficult to eradicate even in hosts with intact immune system [26,27]. In fact, it was estimated that biofilm formation is involved in 65% of nosocomial infections in the United States [28] and most chronic infections [29] [30]. Naturally-occurring biofilms represent complex and integrated polymicrobial communities in which cell-to-cell signals may alter bacterial growth and virulence [31,32].

Considering the genetic diversity and hypermutability of P. aeruginosa isolates from CF lungs [33,34,35], the susceptibility of S. aureus to various Pseudomonas exoproducts and the complex regulatory mechanisms involved in S. aureus biofilm production, a variety of patient-specific interactions between P. aeruginosa and S. aureus is likely to exist. The aim of this study was to investigate the types and consequences of the interactions between S. aureus and P. aeruginosa co-isolated from adult CF patients. Measurements of some relevant exoproducts were done to demonstrate the complexity and heterogeneity of P. aeruginosa isolates and to determine the impact of such molecules on S. aureus biofilm formation, a relevant virulence determinant.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

This study was approved by the ethics review board of the CHUS (Comité d’éthique de la recherche en santé chez l’humain du Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Sherbrooke) under Protocol 06-138-R6. Informed written consent was obtained from all subjects (all adults over 18 years of age) prior to recruitment to the study; a document explaining the study objectives and procedures was read to the subject and two copies were signed, one for the subject and the other for our files and for review by the ethic committee. This consent procedure was also reviewed and approved by the ethic committee prior to the study. An annual review and re-approval process was also requested by the ethic committee. Approval was obtained for each of the three years of the study.

Bacterial strains, media and growth conditions

P. aeruginosa PA14 was the prototypical reference strain used in the present study [36]. The P. aeruginosa PA14-derived mutants ppsA, ppsE, ppsH and ppsL were all previously described [37,38,39], as were the lasR/rhlR double-mutant and the rhlA mutant [40,41]. The relevant properties of these mutants are listed in Table 1. S. aureus CF1A-L is a strain isolated from a CF patient and its characteristics in relation to biofilm production and response to some P. aeruginosa PA14 exoproducts have already been described [18]. S. aureus CF1A-L was thus used as a control strain in this study. For routine maintenance, P. aeruginosa was grown on TSA (BD, Mississauga, ON, Canada) and subcultured in TSB (BD), whereas S. aureus was grown on BHI agar and broth (BD, Mississauga, ON, Canada).

Clinical isolates

Clinical isolates of P. aeruginosa and S. aureus were obtained from 32 adult CF patients at the CF outpatient clinic of the Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Sherbrooke (CHUS, Quebec, Canada). The age of patients ranged from 18 to 41 year old (mean of 25). Through routine visits or during hospitalization (exacerbation), sputum samples were obtained by expectoration or by deep throat swab if no bronchial secretions were produced by the patient. Up to 6 samples were obtained for some patients over a period of 3 years. For all specimens, detection of S. aureus and P. aeruginosa was made by routine methods. Specimens were streaked on sheep blood agar, MacConkey and mannitol salt agar (MSA) and incubated at 35°C for a minimum of 48 hours. Suspected P. aeruginosa colonies growing on MacConkey were confirmed by the oxidase test and their capability to grow at 42°C, and by the Vitek II XL AutoMicrobic System Gram-negative identification card (bioMerieux Vitek, Hazelwood, Mo.). To facilitate detection and confirm the identification of S. aureus, a portion of each specimen was also treated as follows. For sputum samples, 100 µl of DTT (100 mg/ml) were added to 100 mg of sputum [42]. From this solution, 100 µl were then added to 10 ml of NaCl broth that consisted of 7.5 g tryptone, 1.25 g neutralized liver digest, 2.5 g yeast extract, 37.5 g NaCl, 5 g D-mannitol, and 2 g of glucose in a final volume of 500 ml. For deep throat specimens, swabs were directly used to inoculate 10 ml of NaCl broth. Cultures were aerobically incubated at 35°C with shaking (225 rpm) for 48 h. Aliquots were then plated on MSA for detection and isolation of S. aureus. Suspected S. aureus colonies were confirmed with the Pasteurex Staph Plus test (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Marnes-La-Coquette, France), detection of catalase and by PCR detection of the femA gene [43] and of the 668 pb product amplified from the mecA gene by using the following primer sequences: mecA FWD: 5’-GGCATTCTAGAGCTAAGTCGTGGCATATGTATGGC-3’ and mecA REV: 5’-CCGACTAGTCTGGACCCGTATGGC-3’. The mecA gene coding for the low-affinity penicillin-binding protein responsible for methicillin resistance in S. aureus [44] was also tested by PCR. All isolates were kept at −86°C until used.

A total of 63 clinical P. aeruginosa isolates were selected for further studies and these included 23 that were co-isolated with a S. aureus strain. This collection was completed with 21 S. aureus strains that were not co-isolated with P. aeruginosa. The selected bacterial strains were from different patients or from a sample of the same patient collected at a different visit, which occurred 3–8 months apart. A few strains were from the same sample. The P. aeruginosa strains collected from the same patient were screened for mucoidy and pigmentation characteristics and only those with obvious phenotypic differences were considered in the study. S. aureus strains collected from the same patient were selected based on their distinctive antibiotic resistance profile or VNTR type (multiple-locus variable-number of tandem repeats, [45]).

Collection of clinical data

Clinical data were associated with each specimen and included age, sex, medical condition and FEV1 (forced expired volume in 1...
second) at the time of the visit. The FEV₁ was evaluated by the CF clinic’s respirologist and recorded with a Jaeger MasterScope spirometer (Viasys Healthcare GmbH, Hochberg, Germany). The FEV₁ was expressed as a percentage of the predicted value (100%) which depends on the sex, age and body height of each patient. This study was approved by the ethics review board of the CHUS. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects prior to recruitment to the study.

**P. aeruginosa culture supernatant preparation**

Overnight primary cultures of *P. aeruginosa* were used to inoculate 50 ml of TSB in 125-ml flasks at a dilution of 1:100. Cultures were grown aerobically under agitation (225 rpm) for 20 h at 35°C. Supernatants were retrieved by centrifugation at 2,300 x g and filter-sterilized using 0.22 μm pore size (VWR, Mont-Royal, Canada) and included three replicates for each condition tested. For each supernatant concentration tested, dose-dependent results were presented relative to the normal biofilm production level of each strain.

**Pyocyanin extraction and quantification**

Four ml of chloroform was added to 5 ml of *P. aeruginosa* culture supernatants prepared as described above. After vigorous vortexing, solutions were let to rest until two distinct phases were apparent. The organic phase contains the pyocyanin and is usually colored (blue-green). The top aqueous phase was discarded without disrupting the organic phase. Pyocyanin was then extracted by adding 1 ml HCl 0.2 M combined to another cycle. The pyocyanin-containing phase then appeared in shades of pink and was retrieved. Aliquots of vortex and resting of the mixture. The pyocyanin-containing phase was mixed, evaporated and the residue suspended with 500 μl acetonitrile directly in HPLC vials. Samples were stored at −20°C until analyzed.

**LC/MS analyses**

Analyses were achieved with a Micromass Quattro II triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Micromass Canada, Pointe-Claire, Canada) in positive electrospray ionization mode, interfaced to an HP1100 HPLC equipped with a 4.5×150 mm reverse-phase C₈ column, as reported [46,47].

**Biofilm formation**

To evaluate the effect of *P. aeruginosa* supernatants on biofilm formation by *S. aureus* CF1A-L (control) or other clinical isolates, the supernatants were filter sterilized and diluted in TSB at concentrations ranging between 0 and 25% (v/v). The diluted supernatants (100 μl) were distributed in a 96-well tissue culture treated flat-bottom polystyrene microtiter plate (BD). A *S. aureus* suspension (0.5 McFarland standard) was then prepared in BHI-0.5% glucose and 100 μl were added to each well of the plate already containing the *P. aeruginosa* supernatant dilutions. The plates were incubated for 48 h at 35°C. The cultures were then discarded and the wells were carefully washed three times with 200 μl PBS. Once dried, the plates were treated for 30 min with 200 μl of a 0.1% (p/v) crystal violet solution (Sigma HT90132) to stain the biofilm, rinsed twice with 200 μl water to remove excess dye, and then allowed to dry. Finally, the wells were filled with 200 μl 95% ethanol and incubated at room temperature for 1 h with intermittent agitation. The absorbance of each well at 560 nm was then measured. The assays were repeated three times and included three replicates for each condition tested. For each supernatant concentration tested, dose-dependent results were presented relatively to the normal biofilm production level of *S. aureus* measured in the absence of *P. aeruginosa* supernatant. Also, net biofilm increases were calculated by summation of the differences between biofilm formation quantified at each supernatant concentration and the normal biofilm formation level for each strain.

**Statistics**

Statistical analyses were carried out with the GraphPad Prism Software (v.5.00). Tests used for the analysis of each experiment are specified in the figure legends.

---

**Table 1. *P. aeruginosa* reference and mutant strains.**

| Strain     | Relevant characteristics                                      | Consequence or principal compound for which biosynthesis is altered | Reference |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| *P. aeruginosa* |                                               |                                                                    |           |
| PA14       | Clinical isolate UCBBPP-PA14, Rif⁺                             | None                                                                 | [36]      |
| PA14ΔlasR/hirR | PA14 lasR/hirR; Gm, Tc                                       | Altered in QS circuitry and all AQS production                     | [40]      |
| PA14ΔpqIA  | PA14 pqsA·TnphoA; Rif⁺, Km⁺                                   | HQO; PQS*, HQNO*                                                  | [37]      |
| PA14ΔpqsE  | PA14 pqsE·Ampr                                                 | Altered in QS circuitry and required for pyocyanin production     | [37]      |
| PA14ΔpqsL  | PA14 ΔpqsL; Rif⁺                                              | HQNO                                                                | [38]      |
| PA14ΔpqsH  | PA14 pqsH:acoC1 cassette; Gm⁺, Km⁺                           | PQS                                                                 | [39]      |
| PA14ΔhIA   | PA14 hIA·TnMrT7;                                              | Rhamnolipids                                                      | [41]      |

*Altered biosynthesis of the precursor molecule.

DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0086705.t001
Results

Clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa* trigger a wide range of biofilm formation responses in *S. aureus*

To measure the effect of *P. aeruginosa* culture supernatants on *S. aureus* biofilm formation, the previously described clinical *S. aureus* strain CF1A-L [10], was used as a reference. This *S. aureus* strain was considered an adequate candidate to act as a control since it shows an increased biofilm production in response to *P. aeruginosa* PA14 supernatant and because it was originally retrieved from a CF patient who was not co-colonized with *P. aeruginosa* [18]. The supernatant from *P. aeruginosa* strain PA14 was used as a positive control for typical production of QS-related compounds [36,37]. As a matter of fact, the supernatant from a culture of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 stimulates *S. aureus* CF1A-L biofilm formation in a dose-dependent manner with an overall ~2.5-fold increase in biofilm production compared to that observed in the absence of the *P. aeruginosa* supernatant (*P*<0.001). As expected, biofilm formation was not significantly stimulated in the presence of an *E. coli* K12 supernatant as it does not produce any known stimulatory molecule for *S. aureus* (Fig. S1). Dose-dependent biofilm stimulation was then assayed with supernatants from all 63 clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa*. Some isolates demonstrated high stimulating ability such as *P. aeruginosa* PAC26A (Fig. 1A) while others acted as poor biofilm inducers like PAC18A, which exhibited no significant biofilm stimulation activity in comparison to the *E. coli* control supernatant (Fig. 1B). \(A_{560} \text{nm}\) values measured for crystal violet-stained biofilms formed in the absence of supernatant (control condition, 0% supernatant) were subtracted from the \(A_{560} \text{nm}\) values detected at each concentration of supernatant (0.2 to 12.5% *P. aeruginosa* supernatant) and summed up in order to calculate the net biofilm increase induced by each *P. aeruginosa* isolate supernatants. Results revealed a wide distribution of biofilm stimulation activities by the 63 *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolates, among which 30% (19/63) of isolates showed significant stimulation of CF1A-L biofilm production, as calculated with Sidak’s multiple comparisons test (Fig. 1C). *S. aureus* CF1A-L biofilm modulation ranged from slight inhibition (−0.19 \(A_{560} \text{nm}\)) to strong induction (+2.70 \(A_{560} \text{nm}\)), depending on the *P. aeruginosa* isolate (Fig. 1C).

*S. aureus* biofilm stimulation by *P. aeruginosa* is associated with PQS and HQNO production

LC/MS analysis of *P. aeruginosa* supernatants was performed for each clinical isolates in order to determine the concentration of 2-heptyl-4-hydroxyquinoline (HHQ), PQS, HQNO, and AHLs produced after 20 h of growth. Pyocyanin production in these cultures was also measured, as described in the Materials and Methods section. The stimulation of *S. aureus* biofilm formation was found significantly associated with the concentrations of HQNO (\(r = 0.7511, P<0.0001\)) and PQS (\(r = 0.9087, P<0.0001\)) in *P. aeruginosa* supernatants, according to Pearson’s correlation coefficients (Fig. 2A and 2B). Also, we confirmed that the production levels of HQNO and PQS by *P. aeruginosa* isolates are closely correlated (Fig. 2C, \(r = 0.8318, P<0.0001\)). As expected, conditions in which biofilm was significantly increased, planktonic bacterial growth was greatly attenuated (data not shown). On the other hand, there was a poor correlation between the concentration of pyocyanin, HHQ or AHLs found in a supernatant and its ability to promote biofilm production by *S. aureus* (Fig. S2). AHLs were not detected in an important proportion of the supernatants analyzed, as C4-HSL was quantified in 38% of the cultures whereas the presence of 3-oxo-C12-HSL was only observed in 19% of the *P. aeruginosa* isolates (Table S1). Interestingly, the level of rhamnolipids measured in the *P. aeruginosa* supernatants showed a high positive correlation with the capacity to increase biofilm in strain CF1A-L (Fig. 2D, \(r = 0.7344, P<0.0001\)).

Biofilmic mutants of strain *P. aeruginosa* PA14 altered in the biosynthesis of AQs (Table 1) were then used to confirm or complement our observations. As mentioned above, the culture supernatant of PA14 elicited a great stimulation of *S. aureus* CF1A-L biofilm formation that was statistically significant in comparison to the *E. coli* negative control (\(P<0.0001\); Fig. 3A). A significant loss of biofilm induction capacity was observed with the supernatants of the lasR/thiR mutant, altered in the biosynthesis of AHLs and the AQ molecules PQS and HQNO (\(P<0.0001\)), and of the pqsA mutant, deficient in all AQ production (\(P<0.001\)) (Fig. 3A). This was also the case for the pqsl mutant showing a significant reduction in its biofilm stimulation ability (\(P<0.05\)), thus confirming that HQNO is of utmost importance in the biofilm stimulation process. In addition to the observed correlation between the amount of PQS or HQNO produced by *P. aeruginosa* strains and the biofilm stimulatory activity (Fig. 2), results with the pqslH mutant also implies some implication of PQS in biofilm stimulation since this mutant showed a slight decrease in its biofilm stimulatory effect compared to the prototypical PA14 strain (Fig. 3A). Experiments conducted with synthetic PQS (Sigma) showed supporting results since this compound alone did not show any significant effect on *S. aureus* CF1A-L biofilm formation but in combination with HQNO, greatly increased biofilm production to levels appearing higher than those seen with HQNO (Fig. 3B). The latter results act as a chemical confirmation of the specific role of HQNO in the stimulation of *S. aureus* biofilm formation by *P. aeruginosa*.

Besides, our results do not suggest that the presence of the regulator protein PqsE or pyocyanin is linked to *S. aureus* biofilm stimulation since the pqsEH mutant was not altered in its biofilm stimulation ability (Fig. 3A). Similarly, the ihlK mutant induced similar *S. aureus* biofilm stimulation than that observed with PA14, suggesting that rhamnolipids are also not implicated in this phenomenon (Fig. 3A).

*P. aeruginosa* strains do not stimulate biofilm formation of co-isolated *S. aureus* strains

*S. aureus* biofilm stimulation assays were also performed using *S. aureus* isolates retrieved from patients that were co-colonized with *P. aeruginosa*. Unexpectedly, *P. aeruginosa* isolates showing the highest biofilm stimulatory activities on CF1A-L (i.e., those showing the highest production of HQNO; Fig. 1C) were unable to maintain this trait toward their respective co-isolates (Fig. 4A). We found, as before, a strong correlation between biofilm stimulation of CF1A-L and HQNO levels in the *P. aeruginosa* supernatants (\(r = 0.8921\), Pearson’s correlation calculation; \(P<0.0001\), two-tailed) but no correlation was found (\(r = -0.32\)) when the same supernatants were tested with their respective co-isolated *S. aureus* (Fig. 4A). In other words, the correlation between HQNO production and the stimulation of *S. aureus* biofilm production is lost when *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* co-isolates are tested together.

Very interestingly, both the lack of stimulation of some *S. aureus* strains by high levels of HQNO and the lack of adequate production of HQNO by some *P. aeruginosa* strains could explain the lack of biofilm stimulatory effect among co-isolates. Fig. 4B shows a specific example of an *S. aureus* isolate (CF22A-L) that is not stimulated for biofilm production by either PA14 or its co-isolate (*P. aeruginosa* PAC22A) despite adequate production of HQNO and biofilm stimulation of the *S. aureus* reference strain CF1A-L. Similarly, 28.5% (6/21) of all the *S. aureus* co-isolates did not respond to PA14 stimulation although they were co-isolated
with *P. aeruginosa* isolates causing significant stimulation of *S. aureus* CF1A-L. On the other hand, Fig. 4C shows an example of the other scenario where the supernatant from strain *P. aeruginosa* PAC54A does not increase biofilm formation of either its co-isolate *S. aureus* CF54A-L or the reference strain *S. aureus* CF1A-L while the biofilm production of both *S. aureus* strains is greatly increased by a supernatant of PA14. Indeed, the supernatant from strain *P. aeruginosa* PAC54A only contains 4% of the amount of HQNO produced in a supernatant from PA14 as determined by LC/MS. Overall, 73.9% (17/23) of the *P. aeruginosa* co-isolates produced relatively low amounts of HQNO and were poorly able to stimulate *S. aureus* CF1A-L biofilm production (as determined by Sidak’s multiple comparisons test; Fig. 1C). Consequently, considering the *S. aureus* strains that do not respond to HQNO and the low-HQNO-producing *P. aeruginosa*, none of the co-isolated *S. aureus* showed biofilm augmentation when in presence of supernatants obtained by their specific *P. aeruginosa* counterparts.

All together, this suggests that some type of strain selection within the host or some type of interspecific adaptations providing either a tolerance of *S. aureus* to HQNO or a decrease production

---

**Figure 1. S. aureus biofilm stimulation by clinical *P. aeruginosa* supernatants.** *S. aureus* CF1A-L biofilm production in response to culture supernatants from clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa*. (A) Supernatant from the clinical strain *P. aeruginosa* PAC26A stimulates *S. aureus* CF1A-L biofilm formation in a dose-dependent manner, while in (B) a supernatant retrieved from *P. aeruginosa* strain PAC18A does not. Biofilm increase was measured at each supernatant concentration after 48 h of incubation and results were normalized relatively to the biofilm production measured in the absence of *P. aeruginosa* supernatant. Means and standard deviations for triplicates of each supernatant concentration are shown. Statistical significance was determined by a two-way ANOVA and the Bonferroni’s multiple comparison post-test (*, *P*<0.05; ****, *P*<0.0001). (C) The dose-dependent biofilm stimulation of *S. aureus* CF1A-L was measured after treatment with supernatants obtained from 63 clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa*. The mean A$_{560 nm}$ value measured for *S. aureus* CF1A-L biofilm formed in the absence of *P. aeruginosa* supernatant (control condition, 0% supernatant) was subtracted from the A$_{560 nm}$ value detected at each concentration of supernatants (0.2 to 12.5% supernatants as in panels A and B) and summed up in order to calculate the net biofilm increase induced by each *P. aeruginosa* isolate (panel C). Supernatants from *P. aeruginosa* PA14 and *E. coli* K12 were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. Significant differences with the control condition were determined by Two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak’s multiple comparisons test. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086705.g001
of stimulatory exoproducts by \( P. \) \textit{aeruginosa} can occur with these bacterial species during colonization or co-colonization of CF lungs.

**Effect of co-colonization on FEV1**

The respiratory health, expressed by FEV1 values for each patient at the time of sampling has been compiled. FEV1 values were segregated into three groups in relation to the bacterial species colonizing the respiratory tract (Fig. 5). Although the overall number of patients for such analysis is relatively low, we note that the group of patients from which both \( S. \) \textit{aureus} and \( P. \) \textit{aeruginosa} were simultaneously retrieved shows the poorer breathing capacity (mean FEV1). Four patients were colonized by MRSA and only one was co-colonized with \( P. \) \textit{aeruginosa} (Fig. 5). These results suggest that co-colonization worsens the patient health, although statistical significance was only observed between the \( S. \) \textit{aureus} group and the \( S. \) \textit{aureus} and \( P. \) \textit{aeruginosa} co-colonized group.

**Discussion**

We gathered a collection of 63 clinical \( P. \) \textit{aeruginosa} isolates retrieved from the respiratory tract of 32 adult CF patients over a period of three years. From those isolates, 23 were collected from patients in whom \( S. \) \textit{aureus} was also found co-infecting with \( P. \) \textit{aeruginosa}. This collection thus offered a unique strain panel for investigations on the properties of \( S. \) \textit{aureus} and \( P. \) \textit{aeruginosa} co-isolates.

It was previously demonstrated that the \( P. \) \textit{aeruginosa} exoproduct HQNO promotes the emergence of \( S. \) \textit{aureus} small colony variants (SCVs) and importantly increases biofilm formation by prototypical \( S. \) \textit{aureus} [16,18]. Since biofilm are associated with chronic and difficult to eradicate infections [13,25], we were therefore interested to know if a similar phenomenon could be observed with clinical isolates of both \( S. \) \textit{aureus} and \( P. \) \textit{aeruginosa}; in other words, to know if one species could influence some aspects of the other species colonization or pathogenesis in general.

By treating cultures of \( S. \) \textit{aureus} strain CF1A-L with culture supernatants from the \( P. \) \textit{aeruginosa} strain collection, we were able to demonstrate a broad range of biofilm stimulatory abilities among the clinical isolates of \( P. \) \textit{aeruginosa}; some greatly stimulated \( S. \) \textit{aureus} biofilm production while others did not. Noteworthy, isolates that were retrieved from same patients showed not only different morphotypes, but also different biofilm stimulatory activity on \( S. \) \textit{aureus} CF1A-L. We subsequently used LC/MS analysis to precisely determine the types and concentrations of \( P. \) \textit{aeruginosa} exoproducts present in culture supernatants and to identify those most likely responsible for the stimulation of \( S. \) \textit{aureus}

![Figure 2. \( P. \) \textit{aeruginosa} exproducts associated with \( S. \) \textit{aureus} biofilm stimulation.](image-url)

The ability of \( P. \) \textit{aeruginosa} supernatants to stimulate \( S. \) \textit{aureus} CF1A-L biofilm formation is correlated with the concentrations of HQNO and PQS. LC/MS was used to detect and quantify specific exoproducts in 20-h culture supernatants of clinical isolates of \( P. \) \textit{aeruginosa}. The increase of \( S. \) \textit{aureus} biofilm production by \( P. \) \textit{aeruginosa} supernatants was calculated as described in Fig. 1C and plotted against the Log10 concentrations of (A) HQNO and (B) PQS found in each supernatant. Pearson’s correlation coefficients are indicated and statistical significance was determined by two-tailed \( P \) value. (C) Correlation between HQNO and PQS production by \( P. \) \textit{aeruginosa} isolates using Pearson’s calculation. (D) Rhamnolipids amounts produced by \( P. \) \textit{aeruginosa} isolates are highly correlated with CF1A-L biofilm increase (Pearson’s correlation, two-tailed \( P \) value).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086705.g002
biofilm production. LC/MS results showed that the capacity to stimulate S. aureus biofilm formation was strongly associated to the production of the two most abundant AQs, HQNO and PQS. Since biosyntheses of HQNO and PQS are simultaneously derived from the same main pathway [37,38], we used isogenic mutants deficient in some parts of the biosynthesis pathways and signaling mechanisms to further decipher the contributions of HQNO and PQS. The observation that the pqsl mutant, deficient in both PQS and HQNO production, demonstrates poorer biofilm stimulation than that observed using either of the pqslH or pqslE mutants deficient in PQS or HQNO production, respectively, supports some contribution of both PQS and HQNO to the S. aureus biofilm stimulatory activity. Besides, the fact that pqslH is less affected than pqslL in its ability to promote biofilm formation tends to indicate a greater role for HQNO. However, confounding factors may impact on such deductions. For example, the pqslL mutant accumulates precursors that may increase the proportions of other AQs. Also, a blocked production of PQS in the pqslH mutant will certainly impact on the expression of the pqslABCDE operon, as PQS is known to act as an inducer in vitro.

Since the double mutant lasR/rhlR is even more affected than pqslL in its ability to stimulate S. aureus biofilm formation, other factors, others than the AQs controlled by pqslA/pqslE, may also be involved. AHLs could represent good candidates as they were described to inhibit agr controlled phenotypes in S. aureus [7] and are regulated through the lasR-dependent quorum-sensing in which mutations in CF related isolates have been widely discussed [48,49,50]. It is also possible that other AQs from the long list of such molecules produced by P. aeruginosa [38] also play some role in S. aureus biofilm stimulation. A phenazine could also be involved but pyocyanin production did not correlate with biofilm stimulation and the pqslA and pqslE mutants produce less or no pyocyanin at all. We have also ruled out rhamnolipids (no difference between PA14 and the rhlA mutant, Fig. 3A). Besides, it has been reported that P. aeruginosa rhamnolipids could act as surfactant molecules capable of disrupting biofilms produced by Gram positive bacteria, such as S. aureus [51,52]. Therefore, it would be interesting to document S. aureus CF1A-L, apparent resilience to biofilm disruption (Fig. 3A) although we need to consider that rhamnolipids were not optimally produced in our experimental conditions (medium used and time of supernatant harvesting). This may need to be revisited in another experimental setup or with the use of purified rhamnolipids. Hence, the stimulation of S. aureus biofilm production by P. aeruginosa seems multifactorial and controlled by lasR/rhlR, with HQNO being one of the principal actors in this phenomenon.

To our knowledge, very few studies have examined the interactions of co-isolates of S. aureus and P. aeruginosa. Interestingly, we demonstrated here that although some P. aeruginosa isolates produce adequate amounts of HQNO and stimulate biofilm production of the S. aureus control strain CF1A-L, such P. aeruginosa isolates were unable to induce the same effect on the co-isolated S. aureus strains. Our results also demonstrated the opposite situation in which PA14 HQNO-sensitive S. aureus isolates were co-isolated with P. aeruginosa strains producing low concentrations of HQNO. Overall, these results suggest that colonization of the lungs of CF patients promotes some type of strain selection or the adaptation of either one of these pathogens to the presence of the other. These adaptations may, in one of the two scenarios, provide some type of strain selection or the adaptation of either one of these pathogens to the presence of the other. These adaptations may, in one of the two scenarios, provided the ability to tolerate more efficiently the presence of pseudomonal compounds, such as HQNO that can reduce growth. In the second scenario, a deficiency of HQNO production in P. aeruginosa could be the result of the lung environment [48,49,53] or the production of 2-amino acetophenon [54], which have been reported to select for the emergence of lasR mutants. Indeed, absence of lasR results in reduced HQNO production [37]. On the other hand, the presence of S. aureus and its increased biofilm formation resulting from the production of HQNO may also provide some pressure on P. aeruginosa for selection of HQNO-deficient strains. We propose that the coexistence of S. aureus and P. aeruginosa in CF patients results from an adaptive process, notably in the interspecific interactions involving HQNO. Similarly, it has been observed that some P. aeruginosa genetic mutations leading to an up-regulation of siderophore production can occur in the presence of S. aureus in iron-limiting conditions [55]. As for the ability of S. aureus to adapt to the presence of P. aeruginosa, we suspect that the alternative SigB factor may play an important role. Indeed, we have already shown that S. aureus SigB...
can promote host colonization, emergence of SCVs and biofilm formation in response to antibiotic treatment and HQNO [18,56,57]. Our study supports the emerging perspective of a co-adaptation and interspecies cooperation that is largely contrasting with studies focusing on the competitive/inhibitory interactions between both bacterial species [6,16,17,58,59]. Hence, our findings are in accordance with the fact that *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* are often found together in CF lungs [3,16,60,61]. Studying microbial interactions and adaptive processes leading to co- or poly-bacterial infections is now evidently important. Traditional microbiology revealed *S. aureus*-positive samples in 56% of the cases in our sputum collection, whereas, PCR detection revealed *S. aureus* in as much as 77% of the samples. In contrast, *P. aeruginosa* was similarly detected in 65% and 71% of samples by microbiology and PCR, respectively. Consequently using PCR, *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* co-isolate pairs were detected in 52% of cases instead of 25% (data not shown). This shows that the presence of *S. aureus* in the CF lungs of adult patients may often be underestimated and our results suggest that the co-existence of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* may potentially impact on the patient health (Fig. 5). A recent study involving many more patients observed that MRSA might be more deleterious than MSSA only when associated with *P. aeruginosa* [62]. However, it is still difficult to know if CF infections involving “adapted” *S. aureus* (or MRSA) and *P. aeruginosa* co-isolates, as opposed to prototypical “competitive” isolates, can result in a worse or better prognosis. Nevertheless, our study implies that each patient will present specific bacterial isolates with distinct properties [63]. Future patient-specific therapies may need to account for *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* co-isolates’ characteristics and take into consideration possible therapy-induced disturbances in the microbiota.

**Supporting Information**

**Figure S1** *S. aureus* CF1A-L biofilm production in response to the culture supernatant of *P. aeruginosa* PA14. Biofilm increase was increased by the *P. aeruginosa* PA14 supernatant. Results obtained with *S. aureus* CF1A-L were used as control and significant differences between conditions are shown for both supernatants used, PA14 (***; P<0.0001) or PAC54A (ΔΔΔΔ, P<0.0001).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086705.g004

**Figure 4.** Altered response of co-isolated strains. Stimulation of *S. aureus* CF1A-L biofilm production by *P. aeruginosa* culture supernatants compared to that measured for *S. aureus* strains originally co-isolated with the studied *P. aeruginosa* isolates. (A) Correlation between HQNO production by *P. aeruginosa* strains and stimulation of *S. aureus* CF1A-L biofilm production (●) compared to that of *S. aureus* strains originally co-isolated with the studied *P. aeruginosa* isolates (○). No significant correlation is observed in the response of *S. aureus* co-isolates to the amounts of HQNO found in *P. aeruginosa* supernatants (r = -0.32, Pearson’s calculation) whereas a significant correlation is seen for *S. aureus* CF1A-L (r = 0.8921; P<0.0001). (B) Specific example of an *S. aureus* isolate (CF22A-L) that is not stimulated for biofilm production by *P. aeruginosa* PA14 supernatant compared to that observed for *S. aureus* CF1A-L in the presence of supernatant from *P. aeruginosa* PA14 (**; P<0.001) or co-isolate PAC22A (△, P<0.05; one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test). (C) *P. aeruginosa* PAC54A supernatant does not increase biofilm formation of either *S. aureus* CF1A-L or its co-isolate *S. aureus* CF54A-L even though both *S. aureus* strains have their biofilm production greatly increased by the *P. aeruginosa* PA14 supernatant. Results obtained with *S. aureus* CF1A-L were used as control and significant differences between conditions are shown for both supernatants used, PA14 (***; P<0.0001) or PAC54A (ΔΔΔΔ, P<0.0001).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086705.g004

**Figure 5.** Health condition of co-colonized patients. FEV1 values in patients colonized with one or both pathogens. Each dot represents the FEV1 of a patient. Square symbols represent patient colonized by a MRSA strain. Bars show the means with standard deviations. Groups were compared using a Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test (ns: non-significant).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086705.g005
measured at each supernatant concentration after 48 h of incubation and results were normalized relatively to the biofilm production measured in the absence of *P. aeruginosa* supernatant. Means and standard deviations for triplicates of each supernatant concentration are shown. Statistical significance was determined by a two-way ANOVA and the Bonferroni’s multiple comparison post-test (***, *P* < 0.001). A supernatant from *Escherichia coli* K12 was used as negative control.

**Figure S2** A) *S. aureus* CFIA-L biofilm formation in function of pyocyanin production by *P. aeruginosa* isolates. Pyocyanin production by each isolate is reported relative to *P. aeruginosa* PA14’s production (100%). B) CFIA-L biofilm formation in function of HHQ levels produced by *P. aeruginosa* isolates. C) CFIA-L biofilm increase in function of C4-HSL and D) 3-oxo-C12-HSL levels detected in *P. aeruginosa* supernatants. Pearson’s correlations (r) are shown.

**Table S1** Characteristics of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa clinical isolates used in this study and concentrations of exoproducts present in culture supernatants. (XLSX)

**Acknowledgments**

We wish to thank all CF patients involved in this study for their willingness and enthusiasm in participating to this research project. We also thank Josée Lessard from the CF clinic and the personnel from the clinical microbiology laboratory of the CHUS for the initial characterization of the microbial isolates.

**Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: AF DLS GM FM. Performed the experiments: AF DLS VD. Analyzed the data: AF GM. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: AC EF ED FM. Wrote the paper: AF DLS FM.

**References**

1. Brogden KA, Guthmiller JM, Taylor CE (2005) Human polymicrobial infections. Lancet 365: 253–255.
2. CysticFibrosisCanada (2011) Canadian Cystic Fibrosis Patient Data Registry Report 2009.
3. CysticFibrosisCanada (2010) Canadian Cystic Fibrosis Patient Data Registry Report 2008.
4. Kerem E, Viviani L, Olesen HV (2006) Registry Report on 2003 data ECFS.
5. Sibley CD, Parkins MD, Rabin HR, Duan K, Norgaard JC, et al. (2008) A physicochemical characterization and antimicrobial properties of rhamnolipids produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 47T2 NCIBM 40044. Biotechnol Bioeng 81: 316–322.
6. Beenken KE, Blevins JS, Smeltzer MS (2003) Mutation of sarA in *Serratia liquefaciens* MG1. J Bacteriol 185: 554–560.
7. Qazi S, Middelton B, Muharram SH, Cockayne A, Hill P, et al. (2006) N-acetylhomoserine lactones antagonize virulence gene expression and quorum sensing in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Infect Immun 74: 910–919.
8. Riedel K, Oknesorg T, Kroglef KA, Hansen TN, Ounou S, et al. (2001) N-acetyl-L-homoserine lactone-mediated regulation of the lip secretion system in *Serovir liquefaciens* MG1. J Bacteriol 183: 1005–1009.
9. Ryan RP, Dow JM (2008) Diffusible signals and interspecies communication in bacteria. Microbiology 154: 1045–1053.
10. Sibley CD, Parks MD, Rabin HR, Duan K, Norgaard JC, et al. (2006) A polymicrobial perspective of pulmonary infections exposes an enigmatic pathogen in cystic fibrosis patients. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103: 15070–15075.
11. Weaver VB, Kolter R (2004) *Bacillus subtilis* spp. *altera* *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* physiology through iron sequestration. J Bacteriol 186: 2376–2384.
12. Valles J, Laiasa C, Gil C, Toleido-Araña A, Solano C, et al. (2012) A supramolecular organization of *Staphylococcus aureus* prevents cellular internalization through binding to GP96 host receptor. PLoS Pathog 8: e1002843.
13. Archibald IK, Baynes RP (1997) Hospital-acquired infections in the United States. The importance of interhospital comparisons. Infect Dis Clin North Am 11: 245–255.
14. Costerton JW, Stewart PS, Greenberg EP (1999) Bacterial biofilms: a common cause of persistent infections. Science 284: 1318–1322.
15. Davies DD (2003) Understanding biofilm resistance to antibacterial agents. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2: 114–122.
16. Straight PD, Kolter R (2009) Interspecies chemical communication in bacterial development. Annu Rev Microbiol 63: 99–118.
17. Toole GA, Yuk MH (2005) *Bordetella bronchiseptica* promotes the oxidative stress caused by chronic lung inflammation. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 49: 2276–2282.
18. Denk T2 NCBIM 40044. Biotechnol Bioeng 81: 615–623.
19. Mitchell G, Barmou M (2012) Outcome and Prevention of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*- *Staphylococcus aureus* Interactions During Pulmonary Infections in Cystic Fibrosis. In: Stramamuldu D, editor. Cystic Fibrosis - Renewed Hopes Through Research: Interch. pp. 550.
20. Goerke C, Wolz C (2010) Adaptation of *Staphylococcus aureus* to the cystic fibrosis lung. Int J Med Microbiol.
21. Hoffman LR, Dixit E, Aragona DA, Lepine F, Emerson J, et al. (2006) Selection for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* small-colony variants due to growth in the presence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103: 18980–18985.
22. Machan ZA, Taylor GW, Pin TL, Cole PJ, Wilson R (1992) 2-Heptyl-4-hydroxy-2-alkylquinolines (HAQs) reveals a role for 4-hydroxy-2-heptylquinoline in cell-to-cell communication. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101: 1339–1344.
23. Lepine F, Milot S, Deziel E, Je, R., Dahne MG (2005) Occurrence of hypermutable *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis patients is associated with the oxidative stress caused by chronic lung inflammation. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 49: 2276–2282.
24. Oliver A, Canto K, Campo P, Baquero F, Blazquez J (2000) High frequency of hypermutable *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis lung infection. Science 285: 1251–1254.
25. Rahme LG, Stevens EJ, Wolford SF, Shao J, Tompkins RG, et al. (1995) Common virulence factors for bacterial pathogenicity in plants and animals. Science 268: 1899–1902.
26. Deziel E, Lepine F, Milot S, Je, H., Minzindo MN, et al. (2004) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 4-hydroxy-2-alkylquinolines (HAQs) reveals a role for 4-hydroxy-2-heptylquinoline in cell-to-cell communication. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101: 3339–3344.
27. Gil C, Toleido-Araña A, Solano C, et al. (2012) A supramolecular organization of *Staphylococcus aureus* prevents cellular internalization through binding to GP96 host receptor. PLoS Pathog 8: e1002843.
28. Deziel E, Lepine F, Milot S, Je, H., Dahne MG (2004) Electrospray/mass spectrometric identification and analysis of 4-hydroxy-2-alkylquinolines (HAQs) produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 15: 862–869.
29. Xiao G, Deziel E, Je, H., Lepine F, Lesk B, et al. (2006) MvIR, a key *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pathogenicity LTTR-class regulatory protein, has dual ligands. Mol Microbiol 62: 1689–1699.
30. Deziel E, Kozak M (2009) Revisiting the quorum-sensing hierarchy in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol 191: 862–869.
31. Toole GA, Yuk MH (2005) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* rhammolipids disperse *Bordetella bronchiseptica* biofilms. FEBS Microbiol Lett 250: 237–243.
32. Haba E, Pinazo A, Jauregui O, Espuny MJ, Infante MR, et al. (2003) Physicochemical characterization and antimicrobial properties of rhamnolipids produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 47T2 NCIBM 40044. Biotechnol Bioeng 81: 316–322.
33. Zeza do Valle Gomes M, Nitschke M (2012) Evaluation of rhamnolipid and surfactin to reduce the adhesion and remove biofilms in individual and mixed cultures of food pathogenic bacteria. Food Control 25: 441–447.
34. Stewart PS (2002) Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance in bacterial biofilms. Int J Med Microbiol 292: 107–113.
35. Ciofu O, Riis B, Pressler T, Poulsen HE, Hoiby N (2005) Transposon mutagenesis of *Staphylococcus aureus* reveals a new genetic element regulating resistance to antibiotics. J Antimicrob Chemother 56: 615–621.
36. Argenti DA, Lepine F, Emerson J, et al. (2006) *Bordetella bronchiseptica* serves as an iron source for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* during in vivo coculture. J Bacteriol 188: 554–560.
Interactions between *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus*

42. Hammerschlag MR, Harding L, Macone A, Smith AL, Goldmann DA (1980) Bacteriology of sputum in cystic fibrosis: evaluation of dihiothreitol as a mucolytic agent. J Clin Microbiol 11: 532–537.

43. Hwang SY, Kim SH, Jang EJ, Kwon NH, Park YK, et al. (2007) Novel multiplex PCR for the detection of the *Staphylococcus aureus* superantigen and its application to raw meat isolates in Korea. Int J Food Microbiol 117: 99–105.

44. Le´pine F, De´ziel E (2011) Liquid Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry for the Detection and Quantification of N-Acyl-l-Homoserine Lactones and 4-Hydroxy-2-Alkylquinolines. In: Rumbaugh KP, editor. Quorum Sensing: Humana Press.

45. Sabat A, Krzyzton-Russjan J, Strzalka W, Filipek R, Kosowska K, et al. (2003) New method for typing *Staphylococcus aureus* strains: multiple-locus variable-number tandem repeat analysis of polymorphism and genetic relationships of clinical isolates. J Clin Microbiol 41: 1801–1809.

46. Le´pine F, De´ziel E (2010) Liquid Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry for the Detection and Quantification of N-Acyl-l-Homoserine Lactones and 4-Hydroxy-2-Alkylquinolines. In: Rumbaugh KP, editor. Quorum Sensing: Humana Press. pp. 61–69.

47. Wilder CN, Allada G, Schuster M (2009) Instantaneous within-patient diversity of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* genetic adaptation by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to the airways of cystic fibrosis patients. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106: 8467–8472.

48. D’Argenio DA, Wu M, Hoffman LR, Kalleskaza HD, Deziel E, et al. (2007) Growth phenotypes of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* lasR mutants adapted to the airways of cystic fibrosis patients. Mol Microbiol 64: 512–533.

49. Wilder CN, Allada G, Schuster M (2009) Instantaneous within-patient diversity of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quorum-sensing populations from cystic fibrosis lung infections. Infect Immun 77: 5631–5639.

50. Jorgensen K, Blecha F, Schuster M, et al. (2004) Biofilm formation by *Staphylococcus epidermidis* on peritoneal dialysis catheters and the effects of extracellular products from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Pathog Dis 62: 192–196.

51. Dusane DH, Nanchalraiah YV, Zinjarde SS, Venugopalan VP, et al. (2010) Rhamnosolipid mediated disruption of marine *Bacillus familiae* biofilms. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces 81: 242–248.

52. Ciufio O, Mandberg LF, Bjarnsholt T, Wassermann T, Hoiby N (2010) Genetic adaptation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* during chronic lung infection of patients with cystic fibrosis: strong and weak mutants with heterogeneous genetic backgrounds emerge in mucA and/or lasR mutants. Microbiology 156: 1101–1119.

53. Hwang SY, Kim SH, Jang EJ, Kwon NH, Park YK, et al. (2007) Novel multiplex PCR for the detection of the *Staphylococcus aureus* superantigen and its application to raw meat isolates in Korea. Int J Food Microbiol 117: 99–105.

54. Murakami K, Minamide W, Wada K, Nakamura E, Teraoka H, et al. (1991) Genetic adaptation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to the airways of cystic fibrosis patients. Mol Microbiol 64: 512–533.

55. Harrison F, Brouilette E, Seguin DL, Asselin AE, Jacob CI, et al. (2010) A role for sigma factor B in the emergence of *Staphylococcus aureus* small-colony variants and elevated biofilm production resulting from an exposure to aminoglycosides. Microb Pathog 48: 18–27.

56. Mitchell G, Lamontagne GA, Brouilette E, Grondin G, Talbot BG, et al. (2008) *Staphylococcus aureus* sigB activity promotes a strong fibronectin-bacterium interaction which may sustain host tissue colonization by small-colony variants isolated from cystic fibrosis patients. Mol Microbiol 70: 1549–1555.

57. Mitchell G, Lamontagne GA, Brouilette E, Grondin G, Talbot BG, et al. (2008) *Staphylococcus aureus* sigB activity promotes a strong fibronectin-bacterium interaction which may sustain host tissue colonization by small-colony variants isolated from cystic fibrosis patients. Mol Microbiol 70: 1549–1555.

58. Palmer KL, Marshburn LM, Singh PK, Whiteley M (2005) Cystic fibrosis sputum supports growth and cues key aspects of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* physiology. J Bacteriol 187: 5267–5277.

59. Hendricks KJ, Burd TA, Anglen JO, Simpson AW, Christensen GD, et al. (2001) Synergy between *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a rat model of complex orthopaedic wounds. J Bone Joint Surg Am 83-A: 855–861.

60. Vanhoa VA, Barros TF, Mota CM, Moreira TF, Santos MA, et al. (2010) Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of respiratory pathogens in patients with cystic fibrosis. Braz J Infect Dis 14: 406–409.

61. Harrison F (2007) Microbial ecology of the cystic fibrosis lung. Microbiology 153: 917–923.

62. Hubert D, Reglier-Poupet H, Sermet-Gaudelus I, Ferroni A, Le Bourgeois M, et al. (2013) Association between *Staphylococcus aureus* alone or combined with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and the clinical condition of patients with cystic fibrosis. J Cyst Fibros.

63. Stressmann FA, Rogers GB, van der Gast CJ, Marsh P, Vermeer LS, et al. (2012) Long-term cultivation-independent microbial diversity analysis demonstrates that bacterial communities infecting the adult cystic fibrosis lung show stability and resilience. Thorax 67: 867–873.