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SUMMARY

Introduction Myoid angioendothelioma of the spleen is an extremely rare form of a benign splenic tumor. There is no characteristic symptomatology for this disease.

Case outline We present four patients operated on for myoid angioendothelioma of the spleen. Three patients were without problems while one had nonspecific problems. Two patients underwent laparoscopic surgery and two underwent open splenectomy. In all patients, the definitive diagnosis was confirmed by histopathological examination.

Discussion By reviewing the aforementioned medical databases of published papers in English, we found a total of eight cases of myoid angioendothelioma of the spleen. In addition to the mentioned number, we have added our series of cases.

Conclusion Twenty-one years after the discovery of myoid angioendothelioma of the spleen, the small number of cases presented in the literature is still a limiting factor in making conclusions and in learning lessons about this disease.
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INTRODUCTION

Myoid angioendothelioma of the spleen (MEA) is an extremely rare form of benign splenic tumor. As most benign tumors of the spleen, vascular malformations in combination with stromal cells are responsible for the development of MEA [1].

There is no characteristic symptomatology for this disease. Patients are mostly asymptomatic, and sporadically there may be symptoms in the form of nonspecific pain below the left costal arch, abdominal discomfort, nausea and vomiting, which may occur sporadically [2].

MAE is diagnosed accidentally, most often as an incidental finding within other diagnostic foci. Initially, it can be detected by ultrasonography of the abdomen, although
computed tomography (CT), as well as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) have much greater specificity and sensitivity. The most authoritative, and at the same time the most accurate diagnosis is histopathological verification (HP) [3,4].

Splenectomy (laparoscopic or open) is the only curative treatment modality. Laparoscopic splenectomy (LS) should certainly be preferred over open splenectomy (OS) because of the numerous advantages and benefits achieved by this approach [5].

Our study aims to implement our series of MAE cases into current literature data. We also wanted to show that, in addition to the already known benefits of LS over OS, preserving the integrity of the preparation does not affect the definitive HP finding, and that there is absolutely no difference whether the spleen is delivered in fragments or as a complete sample.

Case report

Case 1

A 54-year-old female patient was referred to our hospital for additional diagnostics and further treatment due to a lesion in the spleen, which had previously been verified with ultrasound. On admission, the patient’s general health status was good, she had no comorbidities and reported no complaints. Within additional diagnostics, an abdominal CT scan was performed. The finding confirmed the existence of a lesion in the spleen, 52x50 mm in size, which was, according to its characteristics, most consistent with a splenic tumor. We indicated that surgical treatment was necessary and proceeded to perform laparoscopic splenectomy in the standard manner, with the use of hem-o-lok clips for the vascular elements of the splenic hilum (Figure 1). Hospitalization was uneventful, with no complications. On the third postoperative day the patient was prescribed appropriate therapy and discharged from hospital to complete recovery at home.
Case 2

A 26-year-old male patient was hospitalized for surgical treatment. Previous MRI of the abdomen showed tumorous lesions in the spleen, 45x40 mm (Figure 2). After adequate preoperative preparation, the patient was operated on in general anesthesia. Laparoscopic splenectomy was performed, in the standard manner, with the application of the hanging spleen technique, as was done in the previously described case. The surgery itself, as well as postoperative recovery, were uneventful, with no complications. The patient was discharged from hospital on the third postoperative day.

Case 3

A 58-year-old male patient was hospitalized for surgical treatment of a previously verified tumorous lesion in the spleen. This lesion had been diagnosed with an abdominal MRI scan, as an incidental finding within diagnostic procedures and testing focused on other health issues. The patient, whose general health status was good and who had no comorbidities, was treated surgically. The surgical procedure and postoperative recovery were uneventful, with no complications. The patient was discharged on the fifth postoperative day.

Case 4

A 69-year-old male patient was admitted to hospital for health status assessment and additional diagnostics. Prior to hospitalization, the patient felt discomfort in the upper left quadrant of the abdomen with occasional non-specific pain in the same region. Ultrasound of the abdomen showed a lesion in the spleen. The patient was then referred for further treatment. After hospital admission, a CT of the abdomen was performed. The scan verified a
lesion in the spleen, 55x60 mm in size, which, according to its characteristics, was consistent with a splenic tumor. Surgical treatment was indicated, and open splenectomy was performed, as in Case 3, described above. Postoperative recovery was uneventful and with no complications, and the patient was discharged from hospital for further recovery at home on the fifth day after the procedure.

All the patients whose cases are described above were prescribed prophylactic antibiotic therapy at discharge, and were also given instructions regarding necessary postoperative immunization, in keeping with the current guidelines found in literature and guidebooks on the prevention and treatment of postsplenectomy infections.

All the spleen samples were sent for definitive histopathological and immunohistochemical analysis, which confirmed that, in all four of the described cases, the lesions were myoid angioendotheliomas (MAE) of the spleen. In one of the patients, however, overlap was detected, i.e., in addition to MAE, the existence of splenic cord capillary hemangioma was also confirmed.

DISCUSSION

Myoid angioendothelioma of the spleen is an extremely rare form of a benign splenic tumor. It was described for the first time by Kraus and Dehner in 1999 [6]. By reviewing the aforementioned medical databases of published papers in English, we found a total of eight cases of MEA. In addition to the mentioned number, we have added our series of cases and showed it in Table 1, all of it to update and add to the current literature data.

Including data from our case series, the distribution by gender is slightly higher in men compared to women 7/5. The symptomatology of this disease is mostly absent. Rarely, there may be a pain in the upper left quadrant of the abdomen, as well as a feeling of discomfort in the abdomen [7,8].
Due to the absence of specific symptoms, like other benign tumors of the spleen, MAE is a real diagnostic challenge. They are almost always discovered accidentally as an incidental finding as part of other diagnostic tests and foci [9,10]. They are initially detected by ultrasound examination of the abdomen, although it is certainly necessary to perform one of the more modern diagnostic methods such as CT, MRI, or positron emission tomography for better verification, determination of characteristics, and differential diagnosis [11].

The definitive and only authoritative diagnosis is made by histopathological and immunohistochemical examination [2,5,12].

All patients presented in our study underwent histopathological and immunohistochemical verification. Definitive HP findings revealed a well-circumscribed tumor composed of numerous capillary caliber vessels implanted in an eosinophilic matrix with pulp stromal cells. Further immunohistochemical examination revealed strongly positive staining of the vascular lining cells for CD34 and CD31, while stromal cells stained positive for SMA, desmin, actin, and myosin SM. Staining for S100, CD8 and CD21 was not present in either lining. Therefore, a diagnosis of myoid angioendothelioma of the spleen was confirmed.

Some authors advocate preoperative histopathological analysis of changes in the spleen using fine-needle aspiration [13].

From our previous experience in the treatment of benign tumors of the spleen, we do not share the opinion that the use of fine-needle aspiration is necessary if it is known that any tumor change in the spleen requires surgical treatment, ie splenectomy [2,5,14,15]. Special attention should certainly be paid to the fact that the fine-needle aspiration sample can often be inconclusive, and the intervention itself, like all other invasive procedures on the spleen, carries a certain type of risk for complications [16].

As in the case of other benign splenic tumors, splenectomy is the only curative
treatment modality to be applied for MAE [2,17]. According to the literature data presented so far in Table 1, out of a total of eight cases, only one underwent laparoscopic splenectomy. During that time, we performed laparoscopic splenectomy in two patients, and open splenectomy was performed in two patients due to technical reasons and to the insufficient experience of the surgeons who performed the operations.

Due to the numerous advantages and benefits that laparoscopic splenectomy provides, it should always be given priority over open splenectomy [2,5,14,16,18].

Since it is a benign tumor of the spleen, it is possible to perform partial splenectomy, especially in younger people [19]. In general, the small number of cases described so far, as well as the lack of reliable information on the application of partial splenectomy in the treatment of MAE, are a limiting factor [20].

CONCLUSION

Twenty-one years after the discovery of MAE, the small number of cases presented in the literature is still a limiting factor in making conclusions and in learning lessons about this disease. Each subsequent case should be approached individually to possibly gain new, significant, and necessary information and knowledge. Laparoscopic splenectomy has proven to be a safe, easily applicable, and effective treatment modality for MAE. It can be said that it is the modality of choice both as surgical treatment and as diagnostics and should always be applied when there are suitable conditions, technical equipment, and trained staff. It remains to be seen in the future whether there are conditions for the application of partial splenectomy in MAE on a larger sample of patients, which would certainly improve treatment and provide a better, more comfortable postoperative lifestyle, especially in younger patients.
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**Figure 1.** Intraoperative presentation of the hemostatic technique for the vascular elements of the spleen hilum; the red arrow indicates the splenic artery and the blue arrow indicates a splenic vein.
Figure 2. Magnetic resonance imaging examination showed a solitary lesion in the lower pole of the spleen, with peripheral rim enhancement on arterial phase.
### Table 1. Characteristics of all included studies and our studies

| Author            | Country   | Year | Study design | Age | Gender | Histopathology confirmation | Treatment | Accompanying disease | Signs and symptoms                                                                 |
|-------------------|-----------|------|--------------|-----|--------|------------------------------|------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Kraus M. D.       | USA       | 1999 | Case series  | 3   | Female | In all three cases: may represent proliferations of myoid elements native to the spleen | Laparoscopy or Open surgery | Case 1: not reported | Case 1: Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome  
Case 2: not reported  
Case 3: open surgery | Abdominal discomfort  
Case 3: not reported |
| Karim R. Z.       | Australia | 2004 | Case report  | 51  | Male   | Yes                          | Not clear  
Partial splenectomy and removal of the splenic lesion | Renal calculi and degenerative spinal disc disease | Fever, lethargy, vomiting and bone pain |
| Chan Y. F.        | Australia | 2005 | Case report  | 8   | Male   | Yes                          | Wilms' tumor | Rectal cancer, hypertension and asthma | Incidentally discovered while searching for metastasis of rectal cancer |
| Jang K. Y.        | South Korea | 2013 | Case report  | 41  | Female | Yes                          | Open surgery | None | Epigastric abdominal pain and fullness |
| Geramizadeh B.    | Iran      | 2017 | Case report  | 38  | Female | Yes                          | Laparoscopic splenectomy | Wilms tumor (kidney) | No symptoms and signs, discovered on routine ultrasound (follow up after Wilms tumor) |
| Shah A. A.        | India     | 2018 | Case report  | 11  | Male   | Yes                          | None | No symptoms and signs, discovered on routine ultrasound and incidentally on computed tomography, magnetic resonance |
| Milosavljević V.  | Serbia    | 2020 | Case series  | 57  | Female | Yes                          | Case 1, 2. Laparoscopic splenectomy  
Case 3, 4. Open surgery | None | |