Immune Dysregulation in Children With Down Syndrome
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Down syndrome (DS) is the most common genetic syndrome associated with immune defects. The extent of immune dysregulation in DS is substantial, spanning the innate and adaptive systems and including anomalies in: T and B cells, monocytes, neutrophil chemotaxis, circulating cytokines, and suboptimal antibody responses which all contribute to an increased risk of infections, poorer clinical outcomes and chronic inflammation in this vulnerable cohort. Other aspects of innate immunity may also be abnormal and contribute to the increased morbidity and warrant further interrogation such as: gamma delta T cell function, the inflammasome, Toll-like receptors and their pathways. Pharmacotherapies such as pavilizumab, pneumococcal and influenza immunizations, as well as potential immunoprophylactic agents such as pidotimod, azithromycin and Broncho-Vaxom may help alleviate the infectious consequences. Children with DS need to be managed with a heightened sense of awareness and urgency in the setting of sepsis and signs of chronic inflammation need regular screening and appropriate follow up.
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INTRODUCTION

Down syndrome (DS) is caused by extra genetic material from chromosome 21 and occurs in all ethnicities and across different species. It occurs in \(\sim\) 1 in 700 births in the USA, and 1 in 546 births in Ireland, which is the highest rate in Europe (1, 2). There are many co-morbidities associated with DS including; developmental delay, congenital heart disease, gastrointestinal anomalies, increased risk of hematological malignancy and several autoimmune conditions (3). It is also the most common genetic syndrome associated with immune deficits, with both the innate and adaptive responses being affected (4).

Children with DS are a high-risk group who get more severe infections and have poorer outcomes. In addition, they are more likely to be admitted to hospital, have an increased length of stay due to respiratory tract infection (RTIs) and a greater chance of requiring ventilatory support and intensive care (PICU) (5, 6). Infants with DS are more susceptible to severe respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) bronchiolitis with worse clinical outcomes and are more likely to be admitted to PICU with a higher overall mortality rate (7). Garrison et al. (8) reported that children with DS had a 30% increased mortality risk from sepsis than children without DS who also had sepsis. There is also evidence that their response to immunizations is sub-optimal, and that adaptive immunity may wane overtime contributing to their vulnerability to infection (9).

There are some anatomical considerations which may contribute to an increased predisposition to infection. Children with DS have a shorter midface and a relative macroglossia making it more
difficult to clear secretions, leading to aspiration and LRTI development. Furthermore, they have a relatively short eustachian tubes which facilitates migration of pathogens into the middle ear (10), resulting in recurrent otitis media with effusion (OME) and sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL).

Autoimmune conditions such as hypothyroidism, coeliac disease, arthropathy and type 1 Diabetes mellitus are more prevalent in DS. These chronic inflammatory conditions are as a result of unchecked and persistent inflammation which can have significant long-term health complications (11). For example, in later life, this cohort represent the largest group of people with dementia under the age of 50 years (12). This neurodegenerative disorder is driven by aberrant neuroinflammatory processes which are exacerbated in DS (13), and may be driven by indolent chronic infection such as periodontitis, which is also more common in DS (14).

**T LYMPHOCYTES**

T lymphocytes are crucial parts of the adaptive immune system and are dichotomized into CD4+ or CD8+ based on their T cell receptor (TCR) and expression of CD4 or CD8. The former binds MHC class 1 molecules while CD8 interacts with MHC class 2 (15). During the first year of life there is normally a large expansion in the number of circulating T & B lymphocytes, however in DS there is an absence of these immunological changes (16). The fate of differentiating T and B cells over time is quite different in this population. de Hingh et al. (17) demonstrated that T lymphocyte numbers gradually increase toward the normal range over time, but B lymphocyte levels remain markedly reduced. These findings do point toward an inherent dysfunction of adaptive immunity in DS.

The thymus gland is a primary organ of lymphoid origin which is the site of T cell development and also has a crucial role in ensuring immune tolerance. Abnormalities of the thymus gland in DS including the smaller size of the organ have been known for some time (10). There is evidence of reduced T cell receptor excision circle (TREC) counts which represent recent emigrants from thymus gland and are a surrogate for T cell turnover (18). However, an appraisal of thymocyte development as well as Regulatory T cell (Treg) functionality has been less well-studied. Tregs are a key subtype of T lymphocytes which maintain self-tolerance and prevent autoimmunity by suppressing the immune system (19). Marcovecchio et al. (20) examined histological thymic samples from children with DS (n = 9), DiGeorge syndrome (DGS) (n = 10), controls (n = 26) and demonstrated that thymus in DS is hypocellular, smaller and with a reduced number of mature thymocytes. In the periphery there were reduced lymphocytes and Tregs which demonstrated decreased suppressive ability in patients with DS. These abnormalities may alter thymic selection of T lymphocytes and the Treg population leading to a greater propensity to develop autoimmune conditions.

In DS there are significantly reduced T lymphocyte numbers, both of CD4+ and CD8+ cells. Although absolute numbers will increase over time, deficient stimulation in response to circulating antigens may render the functionality and phenotype of these cells impaired (21, 22). Infants and children with DS have a reduced lymphocyte proliferative response to stimulation with phytohaemagglutinin (PHA) (23). Further evaluation of the function of T cell subpopulations on children and adults with DS (n = 40) and controls (n = 51), in response to pathogen specific stimulation with varicella zoster virus (VZV) and cytomegalovirus (CMV) found that the DS cohort could demonstrate an efficient effector T cell response with an equivalent phenotype and function to controls. However, the DS cohort needed greater effector T cell frequencies to eliminate pathogens (24). Noble et al. (25) reported a decrease in the number and functionality of helper T cells in children with DS cohort age matched controls. Furthermore, there may be an inherent defect in T helper cell responses to stimulation in DS in view of the normal levels of IL-2.

**B LYMPHOCYTES**

B lymphocytes are key players in all aspects of the adaptive immune response, they are derived from hematopoietic stem cells and following antigen presentation undergo proliferation, differentiation, and class switching to produce specific antibodies, and also retain memory to rapidly produce a high affinity response on subsequent encounter with the previous stimulating antigen (26). There are four subpopulations of B lymphocytes in peripheral blood; IgM memory B cells, switched memory B cells, mature naïve B cells and transitional B cells which have recently emigrated from the bone marrow (27). The switched memory cells are important as they represent the previous antigen experience of the individual and are imperative for an appropriate antibody response on encountering pathogens or following vaccination (28).

Further evidence of dysfunction of B lymphocytes in children with DS was demonstrated by Carsetti et al. (27) who found that DS is in fact a primary immunodeficiency disorder characterized by a fundamental defect in the differentiation of B cells leading to a significant decrease in switched memory B cells. These cells play a crucial role in the response to immunization and the secondary response to infectious organisms. The levels of immunoglobulins in DS are not profoundly different from controls. However, given their increased susceptibility to infection it can be argued therefore that switched memory B cells are important in the fight against infection and developing long term immunity post immunization, despite apparently normal serum immunoglobulins (29). Therefore, these cells are important in the response to vaccination and maintenance of adequate titers. Carsetti et al. demonstrated that transitional and mature naïve B cells are reduced by 50% in children with DS, and that switched memory B cells were lessened by 85–90% vs. controls. Although the total numbers of certain classes of B lymphocytes were found to be low, following stimulation with TLR-9 agonists children with DS mounted an exaggerated response and produced increased numbers of
antibody generating cells from IgM and switched memory B lymphocytes. This demonstrated that children with DS can respond to antigenic stimulation.

There is conflicting evidence regarding serum immunoglobulin levels in DS. Valentini et al. (30) found that overall serum immunoglobulin levels were in the normal range, except for IgA which was found to be 40% lower compared with controls. They also found that salivary IgA was normal, despite the contrary being reported in other studies (31). Other research has shown adequate immunoglobulin levels in most children with DS (16, 32). Hyper gammaglobulinaemia of IgG and IgA after 5 years of age has been described in DS as well as decreased IgG2 and IgG4 and elevated IgG1 and IgG3 (33). Despite relatively normal immunoglobulins in DS, the important clinical questions surround whether protection from pathogens is conferred and the maintenance of robust long term immunity.

A possible explanation for the observed differences in T and B lymphocyte number and function may be due to a tendency toward apoptosis in these cells. Apoptosis, also known as programmed cell death, is a cellular mechanism to remove unwanted cells. In the immune system it is important in eradicating poorly responsive B lymphocytes in germinal centers and deleting auto-reactive T lymphocytes in the thymus gland (34). There are reports that a propensity toward apoptosis in lymphocytes in children with DS may be a factor in the lymphopenias described. Gemen et al. (35) examined apoptotic markers (propidium iodide and Annexin V) by flow cytometry on peripheral lymphocytes in controls (n = 32) and children with DS (n = 72). There were greater levels of apoptosis in the DS cohort, which increased with age, and especially within B cells. This may be a cause for the reduced B lymphocytes seen in DS (36). Elsayed et al. (37) evaluated apoptosis by immunophenotyping and annexin V in 17 children with DS (n = 17) and controls (n = 17) found that there was also increased rates of apoptosis in DS, but contrary to the former publication, T cells were more profoundly affected. The authors concluded that it is the impairment of functionality in these cells that leads to the immune dysregulation and that cellular immunity was more markedly affected than humoral (37).

NEUTROPHILS

Neutrophils are a crucial effector cell, are the main phagocytes of the innate immune system and play an important role in clearing pathogenic micro-organisms (38). Izumi et al. (39) found significantly impaired neutrophil chemotaxis and periodontal disease in adults with DS vs. healthy controls (n = 14 in both groups) which they suggested may have a role in the poorer oral health of this population. Licastro et al. (40) found that phagocytic activity in children with DS (n = 27) was significantly decreased compared with controls (n = 23) which may point to an inherent defect in neutrophil functionality in DS. At the cell surface, receptors like CD11b (Mac-1) are important in the activation and migration of cells toward the site of infection or injury (41). Novo et al. (42) found no significant differences in CD11b expression on neutrophils in children with DS (n = 12, age 8–16 years) vs. controls (42). However, we reported a significant decrease in neutrophil CD11b at baseline in children with DS (n = 23, mean age 8.67 years) compared to controls (n = 21, age 7.4 years), and a significantly greater rise in CD11b post lipopolysaccharide (LPS; endotoxin) stimulation in the DS cohort vs. controls (43). This endotoxin hyperresponsiveness in neutrophils in DS which may lead to deleterious inflammatory consequences.

MONOCYTES

The monocyte is another crucial innate immune cell that has several roles. They protect against foreign pathogens, clear dead cells, contribute to tissue repair and stimulate the adaptive immune system (44). Monocytes exist as a malleable, heterogeneous population and it is now accepted that there are three distinct subtypes, based on their relative CD14/CD16 surface positivity, which have distinct functions and are context dependent (45). The classical monocyte accounts for approximately 80% of the total monocyte population and expresses high levels of CD14 and is bereft of CD16 on their surface. The remainder have CD16 surface positivity and are separated based on the level of CD14 expression. More commonly the non-classical monocyte has very low CD14 and raised CD16 expression and the intermediate or inflammatory monocyte which has both cell surface markers increased (46).

The classical monocyte displays cell surface markers associated with antigen presentation, and the highest levels of CD163, CD36 which points to these cells having a major role in phagocytosis. The relative numbers are reduced in the setting of acute infection like sepsis or in chronic disease. The intermediate monocyte has multi functionality in phagocytosis and antigen presentation, but also in cytokine production such as Interleukin (IL)-10, increased Toll like receptor (TLR) cell surface expression and increased numbers in acute inflammation. We reported the highest baseline TLR4 (and TLR2) expression on intermediate monocytes in both children with DS and controls (43). The non-classical monocyte is pro-inflammatory and is the chief producer of pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1β and Tumor Necrosis Factor (TNF-α) its numbers increase in both acute and chronic disease (47, 48).

Although pediatric research on monocyte subtypes in sepsis is limited, Skrężczyńska et al. reported that infants (n = 30) had more CD14+/16+ and 14dim/16+ (intermediate and non-classical types), a reduced ability to phagocytose E. coli in vitro and produced less IFN-γ, IL-1, and more IL-10 (49). Monocytes in children with DS have similar anomalies. Bloemers et al. (50) examined the innate immune in DS children in detail and found that total leukocyte, lymphocyte and monocyte counts were decreased in the DS cohort compared to controls. Although total monocyte counts were reduced, there was a significant increase in the absolute number and overall percentage of non -classical or CD14dimCD16+ monocyte sub-population. Non-classical monocyte have been implicated in various disease states such as cancer, sepsis and chronic inflammation (51). We reported significantly elevated TLR-4 on non-classical monocytes in
children with DS vs. controls (43), and greater TLR-2 expression on intermediate and non-classical sub-types (52). This portrays a pro-inflammatory phenotype of monocyte subpopulations in DS.

Functionality as well as overall monocyte numbers seem to be affected also in DS. One study involving 36 patients with DS and controls (n = 42), showed a significant reduction in monocyte chemotaxis (53). Khocht et al. found increased oxidative burst capacity from neutrophils and monocytes which correlated with clinical evidence of chronic inflammation and periodontitis (54).

**NATURAL KILLER CELLS**

Natural killer (NK) cells arise from hematopoietic stem cells, and function in an effector and regulatory capacity. NK cells work by a combination of cytosis and cytokine production (e.g., IFN-γ) and have anti-neoplastic, anti-viral and anti-bacterial actions (55). Bloemers et al. (50) reported a higher NK cell percentage in children from 1 to 9 years with DS (n = 41) vs. age-matched controls (n = 41) but not to a significant level. However, in the under-2-year olds a higher percentage of NK cells in the DS group was found (10.3 vs. 5.7% p < 0.01) (50). Maccario et al. (56) found a significant increase in the NK cell percentage in patients with DS (n = 25, n = 11; <10 years, n = 5 11–20 years, n = 9, 21–42 years) compared with controls (n = 25 age and sex matched) which did not increase with age. The functionality of NK cells in DS has been studied; Maccario et al. (56), described that NK cells in DS displayed a hypersensitivity to interferon stimulation. Cossariza et al. (57) evaluated numbers and function of lymphocytes in children (n = 10, average age = 9.2 years) and adults (n = 7, average age 43.2 years) with DS vs. age-matched controls found a significant increase in NK cell percentage in both DS groups. The proportion of NK cells increased dramatically in the adults with DS. There was a significant decrease in the cytotoxic activity in both DS age-groups compared with controls. The samples were also incubated with stimulatory cytokines IL-2, IFN-γ, IFN-β, after which normal cytotoxicity was recorded, suggesting that in patients with DS, NK cells can respond to stimulation. There is conflicting evidence about the degree of aberrant NK functioning. Nurmi et al. (58) found deficient NK cell activity post stimulation with interferon-α in adults with DS compared with controls. Nair et al. (59) found that NK activity against target cells (K562) was reduced in DS and that the response to IL-2 was impaired vs. the control group. In contrast, there were no differences in the effects of Interferon alpha (IFN-α) on NK between children with DS and controls (60). Abnormal NK function adds to the evidence of a dysregulated innate immune system in DS.

**GAMMA DELTA (γδ) T CELLS**

The majority of the T lymphocyte population including CD4+ helper and CD8+ cytotoxic T cells express a CD3+ associated α/β T cell receptor. A smaller subset of T lymphocytes utilize heterodimeric T cell receptors composed of γδ chains (61). These γδ T cells have a varied tissue distribution in the body and are mostly enriched in several gastrointestinal and epithelial tissues, as well as in the epidermis. In peripheral blood they account for approximately 0.5–5% of the total lymphocyte count (62).

γδ T cells have a myriad of different functions and they are an important first line of defense from invading pathogens. They release several chemokines which increase neutrophil concentration at the site of infection and can also serve as antigen presenting cells, stimulate other adaptive and innate immune cells, while also retaining immunological memory. γδ T cells are key first responders to inflammation and propagate an early cytokine response (62). Cytokines such as IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-17, and the anti-inflammatory IL-10 are known to be secreted by γδ T cells in the setting of autoimmunity or infection (63, 64).

There is a paucity of studies in the literature looking at γδ T cells in DS. Bertotto et al. (65) examined the proportion of blood lymphocytes bearing the γδ T receptor in this population and showed a significant increase in γδ T cells in adults with DS vs. controls. This was mainly attributed to a larger number of cells that express non-covalently bound γδ chains on their cell surface, in contrast to controls, where most of these cells had the disulphide-linked form of the receptor. These cells appear to also be different in number and perhaps function and are an important link between the innate and adaptive immune response.

**THE INFLAMMASOME**

Inflammasomes are multi-protein complexes that generate IL-1 family cytokines. Their activation results in an innate inflammatory cascade involving caspases and the cleavage of pro IL-1β and IL-18 to their active forms (66). The NLRP3 inflammasome has been well-characterized and is associated with several medical conditions such as metabolic disorders, inflammatory bowel disease, multiple sclerosis and other autoinflammatory diseases (67). It is found mainly in innate immune cells, such as macrophages, dendritic cells, monocytes and neutrophils following inflammatory stimuli (68). As children with DS are reported to have significantly elevated levels of IL-1β (69), and are more prone to autoimmune conditions the inflammasome and its potential immunomodulation is potentially important target for further research in DS. Currently, there is a lack of research on the inflammasome in DS.

**COMPLEMENT PATHWAY**

The complement system is another critical component of innate immunity. Complement factor H (CFH) is secreted by the liver and after albumin is the most common plasma protein, and functions to inhibit conversion of C3 to C3b on the complement pathway. This results in dampening down and preventing spontaneous activation of the immune system. Deficiencies in CFH are associated with increased risk of persistent inflammation and autoimmunity (70). DS results in increased expression of certain genes and micro RNAs (miRNAs) on chromosome 21. miRNA-155 has been shown to be
and bacterial pneumonia (associated with DS and reduced complement levels is otitis media population. The classical complement cascade and activation of the membrane attack complex in neurons in response to amyloid beta plaque deposition has been implicated in the development of AD in DS (72). Another study examining complement and AD in DS reported that C1q, which is the initial factor in the complement pathway, was increased in neurons with activated microglia and Abeta plaque accumulation, highlighting the importance of a dysregulated complement cascade in neurodegeneration (73).

There may indeed be a fundamental problem with the complement system in DS. Sullivan et al. (74) examined proteomics of blood samples from 263 people, 165 of whom had DS, and pointed toward an overall deficiency of complement factors or hypocomplementemia as C1QA, C1R, C3 and C6 were downregulated. Indeed, hypocomplementemia is associated with type 1 interferonopathies, which are also strongly associated with DS (75), suggesting that this persistent inflammation leads to the consumption of complement factors. Another clinical sequela associated with DS and reduced complement levels is otitis media and bacterial pneumonia (76).

TOLL LIKE RECEPTORS

A key mechanism linking the innate and adaptive immune response is via Toll Like Receptor (TLR) signaling. TLRs are pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) located on the cell membrane of blood cells including neutrophils, monocytes, macrophages, lymphocytes, dendritic and epithelial cells. They are located at the cell membrane where they can recognize and bind signal molecules. These molecules can be derived from microorganisms such as bacteria, viruses or fungi exhibiting pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs e.g., LPS, peptidoglycan, flagellin) or from dying endogenous cells bearing damage associated molecular patterns (DAMPs e.g., heat shock proteins, oxidative stress) (77).

Activation of TLRs causes downstream signaling pathways which require a variety of five adaptor proteins. The Toll/interleukin-1 receptor (TIR) domain, which is found on the cytosolic face of both the TLRs and the adaptors is the main signaling area. The four remaining adaptor proteins involved in TLR downstream signaling are as follows: myeloid differentiation primary-response gene 88 (Myd88), MyD88-adaptor-like protein (MAL), TIR-domain-containing adaptor protein inducing interferon-β (TRIF), and TRIF-related adaptor molecule (TRAM). Their activation eventually results in increased production of the interferon regulatory factor (IRF) family and nuclear factor kB (NF-κB) (78), ultimately leading to inflammatory cytokine release.

Two important receptors involved in recognizing pathogenic ligands and maintaining host defense are TLR2 and TLR4, which predominantly bind to constituents of gram positive and gram-negative bacteria respectively (79). However, there are several studies showing that a dysregulation in these receptors can cause excess pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, leading to autoimmunity, sepsis and multi-organ dysfunction (80, 81). These clinical sequelae are particularly relevant for children with DS. Infections from gram positive bacteria like Strepococcus pneumoniae and Staphylococcus aureus causing lower respiratory tract infections (LRTIs), and recurrent otitis media are more prevalent in children with DS and associated with poorer outcomes (5, 10). TLR2 is the major PRR involved in binding gram-positive bacteria and is strongly implicated in chronic inflammation. It is possible that dysregulation of this receptor and its pathways may be abnormal in DS, and our research on these immune signals supports this theory. Indeed, anomalous TLR2 signaling has been associated with unregulated pro-inflammatory cytokine production and autoimmunity (52, 82).

TLR4 is of interest as plays a key role in fighting infection, however its aberrant activation can also lead to excess pro-inflammatory cytokine release, persistent inflammation leading to septic shock and autoimmunity (83, 84). In mice with LPS-induced lung injury the benefits of utilizing TLR4 monoclonal antibodies to block the receptor have been observed. There was reduced inflammation and pulmonary oedema in those who had TLR4 attenuation (85). In adults with DS and periodontal disease compared to controls without periodontitis, there was no difference in the expression of TLR2 or TLR4 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (86). We reported an increase in TLR4 expression on non-classical (CD14dim/CD16+) monocytes at baseline in children with DS vs. controls, highlighting an increase in pro-inflammatory phenotype in this cohort (43).

Dysregulation of TLRs can lead to excess pro-inflammatory cytokine release and damage to tissue, consequently appropriate regulation of TLR signaling is crucial in maintaining homeostasis. There are many regulators described for TLRs, with microRNAs (miRNAs) now being described as key controllers of signals from these receptors (87). O’Neill et al. (88), in their review of miRNAs and their influence on fine tuning TLR responses, described several key miRNAs that attenuate signaling. The following have been implicated in the control and reduction of TLR responses by manipulating transcription: Mal- miR-145, MyD88—miR-155, and TLR2—miR-105. TLR signaling is tightly controlled to prevent persistent inflammation with many negative regulators interacting at many levels of the TLR pathways to maintain a balance (89). These pathways may be aberrant in DS, and we demonstrated that MyD88 expression was significantly reduced and TRIF significantly increased compared with controls, suggesting perhaps a compensatory increase in MyD88 independent signaling pathway (52).

CYTOKINES

One of the key outputs of immune cell activation is cytokine production. Cytokines are proteins secreted by various cells and result in specific communications and interactions between elements of the immune system (90). A regulated
system is required to prevent chronic inflammation and autoimmunity and also to ensure an appropriate response to pathogenic insults. Indeed, if there is dysregulated pro and anti-inflammatory cytokine release in the setting of infection systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) and or compensatory anti-inflammatory response syndrome (CARS) may occur, which can lead to deleterious consequences for certain patients (91). Both pro and anti-inflammatory mediators were elevated in in a murine model of sepsis with early deaths and high Interleukin-6, Tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α), Macrophage inflammatory protein 2 (MIP-2), Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra) predicted mortality within 24 h (92). In adult humans with abdominal sepsis, elevated pro and anti-inflammatory mediators were also associated with increased mortality (TNF-α, Interleukin-8, Interleukin-10, IL-1ra) (91). In a pediatric cohort admitted to PICU with influenza (n = 52), it was shown that significant early immune suppression (leucopenia, low TNF-α) was linked to concomitant S. aureus infection and death (93).

A large meta-analysis (19 papers, DS n = 957, Controls n = 541) examining circulating cytokines in children and adults in DS concluded that TNF-α, IL-1β, IFN-γ were significantly raised in DS (94). These mediators have been implicated in the development of chronic inflammation and autoimmune disease which are more common in our population of interest (95). Early onset Alzheimer’s disease is another clinical feature of DS and IL-6 is a key cytokine associated with this neurodegenerative process (96). IL-10 serves to dampen down the inflammatory response by attenuating cytokines like IL-6 and TNF-α. There is evidence that IL-10 is elevated in DS, and it is hypothesized that the pronounced anti-inflammatory signals could be a contributor in the increased prevalence of respiratory tract infections and pneumococcal lung disease (32, 97). We found that at baseline children with DS had greater levels of both pro- (IL-2, IL-6) and anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-10, IL-1ra), as well as other mediators (Epo, VEGF, GM-CSF) (98). This demonstrates a cohort exhibiting both a potent pro and anti-inflammatory phenotype which again may contribute to the worse outcomes in sepsis and the increased prevalence of chronic disease and autoimmunity. Pulmonary hypertension is more common in DS, and the excess Epo and VEGF we describe may be a contributory factor in the development of this disorder in these children (99).

VACCINE RESPONSE

The response to vaccination is varied in children with DS and there are numerous papers citing suboptimal immune responses in this cohort (9, 100–102). This may have significant clinical consequences for a high-risk cohort more prone to severe RTIs and hospitalizations from vaccine preventable diseases like influenza and pneumococcus (5, 6). There is also evidence that despite initial adequate titers, the immune response may wane over time and that long-term immunity in DS may not be preserved as well as controls (103). Therefore, through public health campaigns it is imperative that immunization against these pathogens is highlighted and delivered routinely, and that this vulnerable cohort is studied and followed over time to ensure

---

**FIGURE 1** | Down syndrome and immune function. Abnormalities of the innate (Neutrophil, Monocyte and Natural killer cell) and acquired (T and B cell, and immunoglobulins) immune system in Down syndrome. NK, Natural Killer cell; TLR, Toll like receptor; TNF, Tumor necrosis factor; Tregs, Regulatory T cells.
robust/adequate immunity is maintained. Tailored vaccination programmes may need to be considered.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Another vaccine preventable illness which disproportionately affects infants with DS is respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) bronchiolitis. This pathogen is the primary cause of this illness, which accounts for significant number of hospital admissions and deaths throughout the world each year (104). Infants with DS are at increased risk for more severe disease independent of congenital heart disease status; one systematic review and meta-analysis reported a 9-fold increased mortality and 8.7-fold increase in risk of hospitalization (105) for infants with DS, and another recently backed this up reporting significantly increased admissions, length of stay and ventilatory requirement, again independent of CHD (106). A passive from of immunization is available via a monoclonal antibody to RSV, pavilizumab, which reduces burden of disease and admission rate by 55–72% (107). Currently, most countries do not offer this prophylaxis against RSV for infants with DS on a routine basis unless they have concomitant risk factors like CHD or prematurity. However, given the greater burden of RSV disease in this cohort; higher hospitalization rates, increased length of stay and requirement for PICU admission, regardless of CHD status, the evidence is growing to support universal vaccination for infants with DS (108–111).

Children with DS are a high-risk group who have more RTIs, are more likely to be hospitalized, and overall have worse clinical outcomes. Studies have taken place to assess the efficacy of various therapies in reducing the burden of infections in DS. In a systematic review undertaken by Manikam et al. (112), they report that an RCT comparing oral zinc with placebo did not show any real benefit for this cohort. La Mantia et al. (113) examined the immunostimulant pidotimod (3-L-pyroglutamyl-L-thiazolidine-4 carboxylic acid, a synthetic dipeptide molecule) which acts by promoting pro-inflammatory cytokine release and phagocytosis, and reported a reduction in the severity and frequency of upper RTIs in children with DS (114). A recent meta-analysis of 29 RCTS (n = 4,344) concluded that pidotimod resulted in good efficacy and was safe in the management of recurrent RTIs in children (115).

A new immunomodulator Broncho-Vaxom is an oral therapy which is composed of lyophilized bacterial lysate from eight bacteria causing RTIs (Haemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Klebsiella ozaenae, Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pyogenes, Streptococcus viridans, and Moraxella catarrhalis). It promotes the immune response by increasing serum IgA and IgG as well as improving T lymphocyte stimulatory signaling (116). A meta-analysis appraising efficacy in pediatric populations in 53 RCTs (n = 4,851) reported that Broncho-Vaxom was efficacious in reducing recurrent RTIs in children and more large scale trials are required to evaluate its efficacy and safety further (117). Azithromycin is increasingly used in children with DS as prophylaxis against recurrent RTIs. To our knowledge no clinical trial solely examining children with DS and this agent has been undertaken to date, however, an RCT found that early treatment with Azithromycin in preschool children with a background of severe LRTIs, resulted in a significant decrease in the likelihood of severe LRTIs (118). This raises the prospect of the potential clinical benefits for children with DS in this context, and an RCT in this cohort would indeed be welcome to further assess this.

CONCLUSION

The extent of immune dysregulation in DS is substantial (Figure 1), spanning the innate and adaptive systems, anomalies in T and B cells, abnormal monocyte phenotype, neutrophil chemotaxis, circulating cytokines, and suboptimal antibody responses which contribute to a phenotype at risk of increased infections, poorer clinical outcomes and chronic inflammation. Other aspects of innate immunity may also be abnormal and contribute to the increased morbidity and warrant further interrogation such as γδ T cell function, the inflammasome, TLRs and their pathways. Pharmacotherapies such as pavilizumab, pneumococcal and influenza immunizations, as well as potential immunoprophylactic agents such as pidotimod, azithromycin and Broncho-Vaxom may help alleviate the infectious burden. Consequently, these children need to be managed with a heightened sense of awareness and urgency acutely, in the setting of sepsis, and signs of chronic inflammation need regular screening and appropriate follow up.
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