Effective safe technique for surgical canalization of congenital aural atresia with the same session reconstruction of the meatus and TM by split thickness graft (Autograft)
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Abstract

Background: This case series study is to evaluate the results of our technique for correction of congenital aural atresia. Seventeen patients were done from 2015 till 2018, 4 cases bilateral and 13 unilateral. Surgical canalization of congenital aural atresia with the same session reconstruction of the meatus and TM by split thickness graft (Autograft) was done. Follow-up is done weekly for 3 months then every 2 weeks for another 3 months, till we establish the formation of EAC. All cases were followed up for at least 1 year.

Results: All patients have now established skin limned external canal. There is no facial nerve or dural or sigmoid injuries. Only one case TMJ was exposed during the surgical procedure. One case had postauricular wound gapping and another one was complicated after 1 year by stenosis. All patients improved subjectively in speech and language postoperatively except one case who has autism and still undertreatment.

Conclusion: Congenital aural atresia is a challenging surgery. Surgical canalization with the same session grafting of the drum and the formed cavity by split thickness skin graft is effective with minimal minute rate of complications and recurrence.
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Background

Congenital aural atresia is not an uncommon disorder. It represents 1 in 10,000 live births [1]. It involves abnormal development of the first pharyngeal cleft. It results in varied degrees of malformation that affects the ossicles, middle ear space, tympanic membrane (TM), bony and cartilaginous external canal, and pinna [2]. The most common associated ossicular deformity is fused or amalgamated ossicular chain. Congenital aural atresia repair is a challenging surgery. Surgical anatomy of the facial nerve, mastoid, middle ear, and inner ear as well as their congenital variations is mandatory for this surgery [3]. Atresioplasty surgery is done for the creation of a patent EAC and meatus in addition to providing hearing either by anterior approach or transmastoid approach [4]. Many grading systems and surgical approaches were modified in the past 40 years by otologists like De la Cruz and Jahrsdoerfer to obtain better outcomes [5]. Several informative retrospective case series evaluating the procedures and its complications have been reported [6]. Still, atresioplasty results remain a topic of interest. There are two opinions either to do canalization before auriculoplasty or to do staged otoplasty before surgical canalization. Here, we present our
case series of correction of congenital aural atresia and we think this technique may be better and efficient by results and follow-up.

**Methods**

This study was done in our otorhinolaryngology department from January 2015 to December 2018. Seventeen patients were done from 2015 till 2018, 4 cases bilateral, 13 unilateral, 8 females, and 9 males. The average age is 6.5 years old. All patients were not operated before either for canalization or cosmetically. All patients underwent audiological evaluation, CT scan temporal bone non-contrasted thin cut (2 mm cuts) coronal, and axial cuts. We preceded to operate patients with nearly mature middle ear cleft space. Also, most of our cases carry more or less normal courses of temporal bone vital structures as facial nerve, internal carotid artery, and middle fossa dural levels. We excluded anatomical anomalies of important structures, and also, we excluded cases with marked hypoplastic middle ear cleft structures. Unilateral cases were operated for this side, while bilateral cases were also operated unilaterally first for the more mature ear anatomically and functionally. All cases were operated by the same technique and surgeons. Follow-up is done weekly for 3 months then every 2 weeks for another 3 months, till we establish formation of EAC. Every visit, we exchange the ear pack using merocel sponge soaked with antibiotic ointment. The follow-up continued till we established adequate epithelialized EAC with easily visible new TM and dry ear. This usually occurs within 6 months to 1 year. All cases were followed up for at least 1 year.

**Technique**

1- First step study CT scan well: degree and nature of atresia, mastoid and antrum pneumatization, position of dura, facial nerve, carotid artery, and sigmoid sinus (Fig. 1)

2- After anesthesia: we examine the patient to determine the site of TMJ, zygomatic arch, mastoid tip level, and atretic segment. Also, we determine the expected site of the future external auditory canal in relation to the auricle. If it will be in front of the deformed auricle, it will be good. If it will be behind the deformed auricle, we will plan additional procedure to transfer the auricle behind to fashion the future EAC opening in front of the deformed auricle. This will be done by removal of strip of skin vertical over the mastoid then at the end of surgery when we close the postauricular incision; we do it under tension. This will pull the auricle posteriorly.

3- Postauricular incision and making mucoperiosteal flap anteriorly based and expose the mastoid and the atretic segment to start drilling. Exposure will be from supramastoid crest superiorly to mastoid tip inferiorly, and from TMJ and root of zygoma anteriorly to area retro mastoid posteriorly.

4- Start to drill the mastoid in the following strict sequence:

   a- Start to do drilling in the superficial mastoid air cells  
   b- Start to skeletonize dural plate and sinus plate of the mastoid till you reach the antrum air cells  
   c- From the sinodural angle, we start to drill anteriorly with the dural line and very near to the dural plate to reach the antrum air cell.  
   d- Start to remove the outer antral bone anteriorly and superiorly directed toward attic, and drilling must be very close to dural plate till we reach the ossicular chain which may be normal or more frequently fused  
   e- At this moment, we start to drill superficial to ossicular chain and inferiorly in direction till we complete removing the atretic segment and exposing stapes and oval window if they are not deformed.
f- Putting temporalis fascia graft over the ossicular chain then put another layer of split thickness skin graft

g- Then start to fashion suitable opening of the skin over the formed bony cavity. This opening must be anterior to the deformed auricle. This is achieved by removing strip of skin from postauricular area, and when we resuture the postauricular incision, it will pull the auricle posteriorly and the skin opening will overlap the bony cavity (Fig. 2).

h- Finally, we harvest split thickness skin graft from non-hairy area in the postauricular area (Fig. 3) or from the thigh. This skin graft will be diverted to outside to be sutured to the edges of the skin openings. Then we support the skin graft of the external canal by silastic sheet. Then we put pieces of gel foam inside the cavity and external canal and closure.

Results (Figs. 4 and 5)

Our results were complete success to create adequate epithelized meatus in all our cases except in one case; the patient had restenosis (4.6%) within 3 months and she needed surgery. This occurs due to recurrent local infection as she was hyperactive and had bad compliance to ear packs and remove it. No complications occurred during surgery neither facial nerve injury nor dural or sigmoid injury. Only one case TMJ was exposed during the surgical procedure while was complicated postoperatively by pain and tenderness in the joint. This case was treated by hot foment and analgesic for 1 week.

One case was complicated postoperatively by gapping of postauricular wound and was treated by secondary suturing.

All patients were conductive pattern of hearing loss with average 50 dB air-bone gap (ABG), and although the postoperatively average ABG 35 dB, all patients improved subjectively in speech and language postoperatively except one case who has autism and still under treatment.
Discussion

Cases with congenital meatal atresia are very challenging. To gain good results, you must counsel the family that the treatment and follow-up will take long time and need effort.

You must choose good candidates on basis of imaging and audiological assessment. Very meticulous and very cautious surgical steps are needed to avoid intraoperative complications. Good grafting of the tympanic membrane and the cavity of the canal by split thickness skin graft is mandatory. Repeated ear packing by any method for 6–12 months is mandatory to prevent restenosis.

In Egypt, we recommend canalization because BAHA is so costly and it is not affordable in most of cases. Also, we do canalization preceding auricular reconstruction in patients with minute auricular deformity.

Conclusion

Congenital aural atresia is a challenging surgery. Surgical canalization with the same session grafting of the drum and the formed cavity by split thickness skin graft is effective with minimal minute rate of complications and recurrence.
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