We present a case report of a ceramic liner fracture after total hip arthroplasty; we believe that the fracture occurred because the microseparation between the ceramic bearings was accentuated by an avulsion of the greater trochanter.

Case report

In May 2008, a left total hip arthroplasty was undertaken for the treatment of end-stage osteoarthritis in a 77-year-old man with a body mass index of 25 kg/m². A cementless total hip arthroplasty prosthesis was implanted through a transgluteal approach. A combination of a ceramic acetabular liner with a 36 mm ceramic femoral head was chosen. During the procedure, a low femoral neck cut resulted in a fracture of the greater trochanter, which was subsequently fixed with two partially threaded screws. Immediate postoperative radiographs revealed satisfactory orientation of the components and a fixed greater trochanter. The patient made an uneventful recovery and was discharged on the seventh postoperative day with instructions to walk with the use of crutches, partially weight-bearing for six weeks. At six weeks the previously fixed greater trochanter was found to be avulsed (Figure 1).

The patient had no symptoms and was therefore allowed to mobilize fully weight-bearing. At six weeks postoperatively the patient reported the sudden onset of pain-free squeaking with no history of trauma. Radiographs revealed a fracture of the ceramic acetabular liner (Figure 2) and seven days later revision surgery was undertaken. The fractured liner and the partially threaded screws were removed and thorough debridement of multiple small fragments of ceramic was undertaken. The femoral head exhibited macroscopic signs of wear and was removed as well (Figure 3). Having checked the stability of both prosthetic components, revision consisted of the exchange of the acetabular liner and the femoral head with ceramic implants of the same size as the originals. During the procedure, the greater trochanter was found enveloped in fibrous tissue, partially comminuted. The abductors were found to be atrophic and were reattached with transosseous sutures to the proximal femur. The patient made an uncomplicated recovery and was discharged on the fifth postoperative day. At 24 months following the revision procedure (Figure 4), the patient was pain-free and able to mobilize unaided.

Discussion

The use of third generation ceramic-on-ceramic bearings in total hip arthroplasty is thought to be a safe and reliable option particularly in young and more active patients. The prevalence of ceramic fracture has decreased with the use of superior materials. Nevertheless, ceramic fracture and the risk of squeaking continue to cause anxiety.

Fracture of a ceramic bearing usually presents with the sudden onset of pain accompanied by a variably described sound (squeaking, pop, click, etc.). Factors implicated both in the risk for
fracture of a ceramic bearing and the potential for squeaking are obesity and acetabular cup malpositioning. Our patient was not obese and the acetabular component was in a satisfactory position (inclination of 40°, anteverision of 10°).

Visual inspection of the retrieved components revealed evidence of stripe wear on the ceramic femoral head. The stripe wear was most certainly a result of the direct contact of the femoral head with the acetabular shell; this contact was also the probable cause for the sudden onset of squeaking in the previously ‘silent’ hip articulation. In terms of the ceramic liner, several medium-sized pieces and multiple smaller fragments were retrieved; further biomechanical assessment of the liner was deemed futile due to the severe damage to its structure.

Microscopic ceramic particles have been identified after histological examination of the periprosthetic soft tissues following ceramic fractures. These particles have been associated with higher wear rates especially affecting the use of polyethylene liner with stainless steel femoral head articulations for revisions following fractured ceramic components. During our revision procedure and in order to avoid excessive postoperative wear rates we opted for the use of ceramic components both for the liner and the femoral head.

With regards to the aetiology behind the ceramic liner breakage, we believe that the greater trochanteric avulsion during the primary procedure may have been the initiating factor that eventually led to ceramic failure. Professor Fisher’s team studied the phenomenon of microseparation between ceramic bearings in vitro and they were able to reproduce clinically relevant wear rates in ceramic-on-ceramic hip prostheses. During their investigation, scanning electron micrographs revealed transgranular fractures of the ceramic. They also suggested that soft tissue tension and muscle forces could influence the degree of microseparation. In our case we believe that the trochanteric avulsion resulted in an accentuation of the physiological microseparation which exists between the bearing surfaces. The formation and subsequent propagation of cracks across the surface of the ceramic liner led to the fracture of the material.

Microseparation may play an important part in the aetiology of ceramic fractures. We suggest that formation of cracks in the ceramic is more likely to occur when there is accentuation of the microseparation that exists between the bearing surfaces. Achieving the correct soft tissue tension is an essential skill in any total hip arthroplasty, but it may be even more critical when a ceramic bearing is used. In the event of trochanteric
avulsion or abductor insufficiency, the use of an alternative bearing surface (other than ceramic) should be considered. Further studies on the effect of abductor insufficiency and its effect on the biomechanics of ceramic bearings would shed more light to this mode of catastrophic failure.
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