Climate change impact on soil salt accumulation in Khon Kaen, Northeast Thailand
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Abstract:

In northeast Thailand, 17% of the total agricultural land is classified as salt-affected. In the future, climate change may exacerbate salt-affected soil problems. Therefore, in this study, we conducted a field survey to evaluate seasonal changes in soil electrical conductivity (ECe) in salt-affected paddy areas of Ban Phai District, Khon Kaen Province, northeast Thailand. Fifteen soil samples were collected every 2 weeks from October 2016 to December 2018, and the ECe, soil water content, and soil textures were analyzed. Then, the HYDRUS-1D model was applied to estimate seasonal changes in the salinity level, and the simulated results corresponded well with observed data. Using HYDRUS-1D and the global circulation model (MIROC5) outputs under the Representative Concentration Pathways 8.5 scenario, future ECe was predicted. Under a temperature increase of 2.8°C from 2016 to 2100, annual potential evapotranspiration increased from 1,430 mm (2016–2025) to 1,584 mm (2081–2100). The average ECe in cultivation season increased from 2.63 dS/m (2016–2025) to 3.31 dS/m (2081–2100). A 5 cm reduction in groundwater level offsets the negative impact of climate change, and a 10 cm reduction significantly improves the soil ECe relative to the current soil salinity level.
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INTRODUCTION

Soil salt accumulation is a major soil degradation process that threatens ecosystems and is the critical global problem for agricultural production. Soil salinization occurs under almost all climatic conditions; however, the problem is more severe in arid and semi-arid regions than in humid regions (Hassani et al., 2020). Salinity decreases the capacity of plants to uptake water thereby reducing agricultural productivity (Oo et al., 2011). In addition, when surface plants die and the soil becomes bare, salt crusts (a thin layer of dense salt near the soil surface) are formed, and the physical properties of the soil deteriorate (Parihar et al., 2015). Soil salinity levels change spatially, vertically, and temporally, particularly within the topsoil layer (0–30 cm), which is easily affected by climatic conditions (Hassani et al., 2020). Recently, the impact of climate change on soil salinization has attracted research attention (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2021). The rise in greenhouse gas (GHG) concentrations and the consequent increases in air temperature or decreases in rainfall are driving forces with possibly huge impacts on soil salinization (Haj-Amor and Bouri, 2020). Therefore, monitoring and assessing the impacts of climate change on soil salinity is crucial for predicting future trends and designing irrigation and crop management practices that will maintain the agricultural productivity of affected areas (Corwin and Scudiero, 2020).

Thailand, among many countries, faces the problem of soil salinization. Although salt-affected soils can be found in coastal and inland areas throughout Thailand, the most affected area is the northeastern region (Arunin and Pongwichian, 2015). Northeast Thailand covers approximately 1/3 of the kingdom in both area and population, and over 70% of its population is engaged in rainfed agriculture. The major constraints on agriculture include water shortages, low fertility, and soil salinity. The source of salt in the northeast is halite from the Maha Sarakham Formation (Dissataporn et al., 2002), which underlies approximately 30% of the area. Deforestation in the 20th century has been the main cause of uplifting the groundwater table and soluble salts moving from the lower strata to the soil surface (Miura and Subhasaram, 1990; Sahunalah, 2003). The improvement of the salt-affected soils in Northeast Thailand is a major concern of the responsible agency, the Land Development Department (LDD), and other relevant institutions.

The electrical conductivity of a saturated soil extract
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ECe is the most useful and reliable measure of salinity for comparing between soil types, as it accounts for water holding capacity in different soil texture, and it reflects the suitability of the soil for growing crops. However, this method requires conventional soil sampling and laboratory analyses, an expensive and time-consuming process. Numerical models have the advantage of reducing the necessary monitoring frequency and cost. HYDRUS-1D is one of the most widely used models for solving soil-related problems (Merdun, 2012). The features of HYDRUS-1D that are advantageous for this study include the option to model the coupled transport of water and solutes in the soil. HYDRUS-1D can also calculate runoff, evaporation, and infiltration fluxes at the soil surface and drainage fluxes through the bottom of the soil profile (Simunek et al., 2016). Several studies have been conducted to validate the model’s accuracy in predicting water and salt transport in semi-arid and arid regions by comparing measured values with those calculated using HYDRUS-1D. Ramos et al. (2011) performed a long-term simulation of salinity and nitrogen in soil and reported that HYDRUS-1D is a powerful tool for analyzing various solute concentrations. Kanzar et al. (2018) simulated the water balance and salt transport in a semi-arid region of Tunisia using HYDRUS-1D and found it to be reliable. Li et al. (2015) applied HYDRUS-1D to the dynamics of water and salt in an arid wetland in China and revealed high accuracy. However, few studies have been conducted in Thailand, where salt accumulation remains a serious problem.

Therefore, this study aimed to identify salt accumulation risks, in response to climate change, in northeast Thailand through long-term simulations using HYDRUS-1D. To evaluate seasonal changes in soil ECe, 15 soil samples were collected every 2 weeks from October 2016 to December 2018, and the ECe, soil water content (SWC), and soil textures were analyzed. The model parameters of HYDRUS-1D were calibrated and validated by comparison with observed data. Then, using the model, future soil salinity levels were predicted, and the effect of ground-water control was assessed as a countermeasure for climate change adaptation.

METHODS

Study area

The study area was located in the salt-affected area of Ban Phai District, Khon Kaen Province, northeast Thailand (Figure 1). LDD classifies agricultural land into four classes according to the degree of salt affect: class 1 “very severely” (salt crust >50%), class 2 “severely” (salt crust 10–50%), class 3 “moderately” (salt crust 1–10%), and class 4 “slightly” (salt crust <1%) (Roengsak and Somsak, 2012). Soil salinity is a measure of the concentration of all soluble salts in soil water and is typically expressed by the ECe in saturated paste. Seasonal change in ECe is an important factor in the design of the cropping system because the threshold for rice yield reduction is 3 dS/m of ECe, with 90 percent yield loss at 10 dS/m (Food and Agriculture Organization, 2012). In this study, a class 2 field located at 16°03.4′ N, 102°40.2′ E was selected, and 15 soil samples were collected from the 0–15 cm soil layer every 2 weeks from October 2016 to December 2018. Currently, farmers can cultivate rice paddies in class 2 fields under rainfed condition using salt-tolerant varieties, like Khao Dawk Mali 105 (KDML105) (Arunin and Pongwichian, 2015); however, no crop can grow in class 1 fields. Therefore, protecting class 2 fields is a major concern for sustainable agriculture in this area. The ECe, SWC, and soil textures were analyzed at the laboratory of the LDD Regional Office 5. To measure the soil ECe, deionized water was added to soil samples until saturation, and soil water was suctioned by vacuum pump after being left for 24 hours, then the EC value of the extracted water was measured by EC meter. Meteorological data such as rainfall, solar radiation, wind speed, air temperature, and humidity were also monitored, and potential evapotranspiration (ET) was estimated using the Penman-Monteith equation (Allen et al., 1998). Figure 2a shows the daily observed precipitation, potential evapotranspiration (PET) and air temperature (Ta) and Figure 2b shows daily measurements for the groundwater level from soil surface and groundwater EC. Annual rainfall was 1336 mm in 2017 and 1259 mm in 2018, and the air temperature varied in the range of 16.0°C to 33.2°C. The groundwater level (GWL) varied in the range of −30.6 cm on Sep. 1, 2017 to −209.0 cm on Apr. 6, 2018 from the soil surface, and its EC varied in the range of 39.6 dS/m to 47.5 dS/m, respectively. In soil sample measurements, estimating representative values at the target site is difficult owing to high non-uniformity. Phontusang et al. (2018) also reported the spatial heterogeneity of soil ECe in this region, and in such a case, Yavitt et al. (2009) identified boxplots as useful for recognizing outliers. Under the conditions of high heterogeneity, the use of a median value, independent of outliers, is recommended (Dołęgowska et al., 2016). Therefore, in this study, the median value was employed when analyzing the correlations between precipitation, SWC, and soil ECe. The soil texture in the study area is loam or sandy loam with a sand content of 36%–72%, silt content of 22%–47%, and clay content of 6%–22%. Figure 1. Study area in Khon Kaen province, Thailand
unsaturated hydraulic conductivity as a function of water, heat, and multiple solutes in unsaturated, partially saturated hydraulic properties were described using the van Genuchten-Mualem function.

HYDRUS-1D

HYDRUS-1D simulates the one-dimensional movement of water, heat, and multiple solutes in unsaturated, partially saturated, or fully saturated porous media (Simunek et al., 2016). The flow and transport domains may be nonuniform or layered soils. Physical non-equilibrium solute transport is considered by assuming a dual-porosity approach, in which the total soil porosity is partitioned into two regions: mobile and immobile.

The mathematical expression of Richards equation for water flow is as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ K(h) \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} - K(h) \right) \right]$$

(1)

where \( \theta \) is the volumetric SWC, \( t \) is the time, \( h \) is the soil water pressure, \( z \) is the vertical coordinate, and \( K(h) \) is the unsaturated hydraulic conductivity as a function of \( h \). The unsaturated soil hydraulic properties were described using the van Genuchten-Mualem function.

$$K(h) = K_s \cdot S_e^\alpha \cdot [1 - (1 - S_e)^{1+\alpha}]^{m}$$

(2)

$$S_e(h) = \frac{\theta_r - \theta_s}{\theta_r - \theta_s} = (1 + \alpha \cdot |h|^n)^{-m}$$

(3)

where \( S_e \) is the effective saturation, and \( \theta_r \) and \( \theta_s \) are the residual and saturated SWC, respectively. \( K_s \) is the saturated hydraulic conductivity, \( \alpha \) and \( n \) represent the empirical shape parameters, \( m = 1 - 1/n \), and \( l \) is the pore connectivity parameter.

The advection-dispersion equation governs the solute transport in a variably saturated soil:

$$\frac{\partial (\theta \cdot c)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \theta \cdot D \cdot \frac{\partial c}{\partial z} - v \cdot \theta \cdot c \right]$$

(4)

where \( c \) is the solute concentration of the liquid phase, \( D \) is the dispersion coefficient, and \( v \) is the average pore water velocity.

In HYDRUS-1D, EC is expressed as the electrical conductivity of the soil solution (ECw). Therefore, the simulated ECw is converted into ECe by the following relation (Li et al., 2015):

$$EC_e = EC_w \cdot \frac{\theta}{\theta_r}$$

(5)

where \( \theta_r \) is the saturated soil water content.

The upper surface of the soil profile corresponds to the atmospheric boundary condition with a surface layer, where the rainfall and potential evapotranspiration estimated from the climate data are specified, and the bottom boundary condition is set to the flux boundary based on groundwater level. For the solute transport, the upper boundary conditions correspond to the type of concentration flux boundary, and the bottom boundary condition is set as the concentration boundary based on the observed EC of groundwater in Figure 2b.

Simulation scenarios

To understand the impacts of future climate change on salt accumulation risk, future SWC and ECe values were calculated using the global circulation model projections. We used the future prediction data of the Model for Interdisciplinary Research on Climate (MIROC5), developed jointly at the Center for Climate System Research (CCSR), University of Tokyo, National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES), and Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology. In this study, we employed basic bias correction method which modifies the daily variability of the simulated data about their monthly means to match the observed daily variability (Hempel et al., 2013). Data from the 2006 to 2017 historical run were used for bias correction against observed data at Khon Kaen station, whereas data from the 2041–2060 and 2081–2100 runs were used to assess future risk under Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP) 8.5, generally considered the worst-case scenario. The model predicts that the air temperature will increase by approximately 2.8°C during 2016–2100, whereas the annual rainfall, radiation and non-rainy days will not change significantly.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In HYDRUS-1D, inverse parameter estimation is available, which is a gradient-based, local optimization approach based on the Marquardt-Levenberg method (Simunek et al., 2016). In this study, inverse solutions were applied to optimize 8 parameters of the soil hydraulic and solute transport in Table I (except the sand, silt clay context) simultaneously using the observed data and boundary conditions. The correspondence between the predicted and observed data was evaluated using the root mean square error (RMSE).
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (O_i - P_i)^2}{N}} \quad (6)

where $O$ are the observed or measured values, $P$ are the model prediction or estimation values, and $N$ is the number of observations.

Table I shows the optimized parameters by inverse solutions, and Figures 3a and 3b show the daily basis output of seasonal changes in SWC and ECe, respectively. Calibration and verification periods were set as Oct 2016–Oct 2017 and Nov 2017–Dec 2018, respectively. The estimated RMSE of SWC was 0.028 cm$^3$/cm$^3$ for calibration and 0.031 cm$^3$/cm$^3$ for the verification period. The estimated RMSE of soil ECe was 1.72 dS/m for calibration and 2.19 dS/m for the verification period. When ECe was converted to ECw, the estimated RMSE of soil ECw was 0.10 dS/m for calibration and 0.13 dS/m for the verification period. In a previous study using HYDRUS-1D to simulate salinity dynamics, Ramos et al. (2011) performed a simulation of salinity in a soil irrigated with saline water in southern Portugal and reported that calculated water content (RMSE: 0.04 cm$^3$/cm$^3$), and ECw of the soil solution (RMSE: 0.99 dS/m) were in good correspondence with the measured values. Kanzari et al. (2018) simulated the water balance and salt transport in a semi-arid region of Tunisia using HYDRUS-1D and found it to be reliable (RMSE: SWC 0.10 cm$^3$/cm$^3$, ECw 0.10 dS/m). Li et al. (2015) modeled water and salt dynamics in an arid wetland in China and observed that the accuracy of HYDRUS was high (RMSE: SWC 0.031 cm$^3$/cm$^3$, ECw 0.037 dS/m). Haj-Amor and Bouri (2020) obtained an RMSE with a range of 0.11–0.23 dS/m for soil ECw and a range of 0.011–0.021 cm$^3$/cm$^3$ for SWC. Compared with these previous studies, the RMSE of both SWC and soil ECe in this study were satisfactorily accurate.

RMSE of soil ECe was 1.72 dS/m for calibration and 2.19 dS/m for the verification period. When ECe was converted to ECw, the estimated RMSE of soil ECw was 0.10 dS/m for calibration and 0.13 dS/m for the verification period. In a previous study using HYDRUS-1D to simulate salinity dynamics, Ramos et al. (2011) performed a simulation of salinity in a soil irrigated with saline water in southern Portugal and reported that calculated water content (RMSE: 0.04 cm$^3$/cm$^3$), and ECw of the soil solution (RMSE: 0.99 dS/m) were in good correspondence with the measured values. Kanzari et al. (2018) simulated the water balance and salt transport in a semi-arid region of Tunisia using HYDRUS-1D and found it to be reliable (RMSE: SWC 0.10 cm$^3$/cm$^3$, ECw 0.10 dS/m). Li et al. (2015) modeled water and salt dynamics in an arid wetland in China and observed that the accuracy of HYDRUS was high (RMSE: SWC 0.031 cm$^3$/cm$^3$, ECw 0.037 dS/m). Haj-Amor and Bouri (2020) obtained an RMSE with a range of 0.11–0.23 dS/m for soil ECw and a range of 0.011–0.021 cm$^3$/cm$^3$ for SWC. Compared with these previous studies, the RMSE of both SWC and soil ECe in this study were satisfactorily accurate.

Figure 4 shows the seasonal change of soil ECe during 2016–2025 and 2081–2100, together with the current baseline ECe during 2016–2025. Future ECe increments were relatively large in the dry season (Nov–Apr). However, ECe change in rainy season is more important in this area because rice cultivation season is in the rainy season (May–Oct) under rainfed condition. The threshold for rice yield reduction is 3 dS/m of ECe, therefore suitable cultivation periods become shorter especially in 2081–2100 and it also affects the crop calendar.

Figure 5 shows the box plot of the estimated future ECe in the rice cultivation season (May–Oct) during 2041–2060 and 2081–2100, together with the current baseline ECe during 2016–2025. Due to the temperature increase of 2.8°C from 2016 to 2100, annual potential evapotranspiration increased from 1,430 mm (2016–2025) to 1,584 mm (2081–2100). The predicted ECe in cultivation season increased significantly, by 25.7%, at a rate of 0.01 dS/m/year in the period 2016–2100. This is relatively low compared to previous studies because of the relatively larger rainfall. Phogat et al. (2018) evaluated future salinity risks to viticulture in South Australia by running the HYDRUS-1D model using the GCM (GFDL ESM2 M) data downscaled for RCP8.5. They reported that ECw...

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| Sand (%)  | 47.7  |
| Silt (%)  | 37.5  |
| Clay (%)  | 14.8  |
| Bulk density | 1.5 |
| $\theta_c$ | 0.222 |
| $\theta_s$ | 0.227 |
| $n$       | 1.4   |
| $K_s$     | 15.8  |
| $l$       | 1.26  |
| $D$       | 32.9  |
increased 206%, at a rate of 0.054 dS/m/year, when the period 2080–2099 was compared to the corresponding baseline salinity during 2004–2015. In Phogat’s study, the average rainfall over the period was projected to decline by 13.7%, and the average median ET increased by 5.0%. Haj-Amor and Bouri (2020) reported that soil ECw in Tunisia increased by 35%, at a rate of 0.082 dS/m/year up to 2050. This trend is a consequence of a projected temperature increase of approximately 2°C by 2050, which would certainly increase soil evaporation and reduce soil moisture.

Li et al. (2015) simulated the effects of groundwater control on soil salinity and showed an opportunity for significant reduction in salt accumulation in the root zone. In general, the root zone ECe increases with upward movement of the groundwater table. Therefore, in this study, to understand the impacts of groundwater control, the reductions of 5 cm and 10 cm in the groundwater level were evaluated as adaptative countermeasures to climate change. Figure 5 also shows future change of soil ECe in the rainy season at different groundwater levels (GWL). The −5 cm GWL case showed that the mitigation of future ECe increase; however, soil ECe still increased at a rate of 0.003 dS/m/year. Contrastingly, the −10 cm GWL case showed a gradual ECe reduction by −0.002 dS/m/year until 2081–2100. The reason why the −10 cm case of 2081–2100 has a smaller ECe than the −10 cm case of 2041–2060 is because the salt amount moving down by leaching becomes larger than it moving up from groundwater by capillary rise. Therefore, a 10 cm reduction in groundwater level is a target which can mitigate the negative impact of climate change on soil ECe and even improve the soil salinity level by disconnecting the capillary rise of groundwater. One possible approach is partial afforestation. Sahunalu (2003) argued that afforestation is an ideal way to lower groundwater levels and reduce the discharge of salt into lowlands. Moreover, Mukhopadhyay et al. (2021) mentioned that biodrainage is an effective technique, using tree species to reduce the water table by transpiration, primarily in waterlogged areas. For example, Dagar et al. (2016) revealed that Eucalyptus lowered the groundwater table by 38.5 cm in 1 m × 2 m, and 31.5 cm in 1 m × 3 m spacings during the fourth year of plantation compared with no tree plantation. The indirect benefit of this method is the regeneration and amelioration of degraded and unproductive land.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, future salt accumulation risks under climate change in northeast Thailand were identified through long-term simulations using HYDRUS-1D. To evaluate the seasonal changes in soil ECe, 15 soil samples were collected every 2 weeks from October 2016 to December 2018, and the ECe, SWC, and soil textures were analyzed. The model parameters of HYDRUS-1D were calibrated and validated by comparison with the observed data. The simulated SMC and ECe were in good correspondence with the observed data in both the calibration and verification periods. Then, using the model, future soil salinity levels were predicted, and the effect of groundwater control was assessed as an adaptative countermeasure to climate change. Without groundwater control, the predicted ECe in rainy season significantly increased by 25.7%, at a rate of 0.01 dS/m/year until 2081–2100, although the annual increment was lower than in previous studies. However, according to the model, groundwater drainage would be effective in reducing, or reversing, the negative impacts of climate change on soil salinization. In particular, at this study site, a 10 cm reduction in groundwater level should be a target to mitigate the negative impact of climate change on soil ECe and to improve the soil salinity level. In future studies, an ensemble assessment is appropriate to evaluate the uncertainty of the GCM and climate scenarios. Also, we focused on the seasonal and future change of soil ECe in surface soil. However, vertical profile also should be measured and be evaluated to understand the dynamics of salinity transport for further study.
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