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Abstract: For the first time, monoterpenene trifluoromethylated β-hydroxy-benzyl-O-oximes were synthesized in 81–95% yields by nucleophilic addition of the Ruppert–Prakash reagent (TMSCF3) to the corresponding β-keto-benzyl-O-oximes based on (+)-nopinone, (−)-verbanone and (+)-camphoroquinone. Trifluoromethylation has been determined to entirely proceed chemo- and stereoselective at the C=O rather than C=N bond. Trifluoromethylated benzyl-O-oximes were reduced to the corresponding α-trifluoromethyl-β-amino alcohols in 82–88% yields. The structure and configuration of the compounds obtained have been established.
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1. Introduction

Derivatives of monoterpenoids have a wide spectrum of antimicrobial activity against certain pathogenic species of bacteria and fungi [1]. The binding site of cyclic terpene hydrocarbons is located in the cell membrane of pathogenic microorganisms [2]. Many monoterpenoids, such as α- and β-pinenes, γ-terpinene, limonene, are capable of inhibiting respiration and other energy-dependent processes localized in fungal cell membranes [3].

It is known that the introduction of fluorine-containing groups into the molecule of a substance leads to an increase in membrane permeability, as well as an increase in resistance to biodegradation in comparison with their non-fluorinated analogues [4,5]. For this reason, about 25% of all modern pharmaceuticals contain fluorine atoms [6–8]. These transformations can lead to a change in the biological activity of the resulting compounds, as well as a new way of substrate–receptor interactions in comparison with hydrocarbon analogues [9–12].

Natural asymmetric molecules are a good starting point for the synthesis of chiral compounds because they are usually enantiomerically pure, obtained from renewable sources, and in most cases inexpensive. Terpenes are excellent natural asymmetric building blocks: they are mainly produced by various plants, some of them can be converted into more complex compounds used, for example, as ligands or catalysts for asymmetric reactions [13].

It is known that chiral β-amino alcohols [14,15], including terpene ones [16–19], are organocatalysts for a wide range of reactions of asymmetric synthesis, such as Diels–Alder cycloaddition, 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition, aldol condensation, Michael addition, cascade cyclization, Morita–Baylis–Hillman reaction, Friedel–Kraftz alkylation of indoles, allylation of isatins, and epoxidation of olefins.
It has been shown that the introduction of fluoroalkyl groups, including the trifluoromethyl group, into many chiral ligands, chiral auxiliaries, and chiral substrates improved their ability to induce asymmetry in stereoselective reactions [20–23]. Chiral α-trifluoromethyl-β-amino alcohols improved the stereoselectivity of addition reactions of diethylzinc and the Reformatsky reagent to carbonyl compounds and imines compared to their non-fluorinated analogs [22,24]. The authors attribute the effect of increasing stereoselectivity and reaction rate to strong electron-withdrawing properties, a large steric effect, as well as electrostatic repulsion between the local negative charge of the trifluoromethyl group and the charge of attacking nucleophiles [22].

In addition, fluorine-containing compounds are easily identified by $^{19}$F NMR spectroscopy and related homo- and heterocorrelation techniques due to the fact that the nucleus of the $^{19}$F fluorine atom has a spin $\frac{1}{2}$, with an unprecedented natural abundance (100%) and a relatively high gyromagnetic ratio (83% of $^1$H), which results in a strong signal. The large range of chemical shifts observed for fluorine nuclei means that $^{19}$F NMR spectra compared to $^{13}$C, $^1$H, $^{15}$N nuclei, make it possible to study fluorine-containing compounds in biological media [25,26]. These advantages, as well as the absence of background noise and the considerable simplicity of $^{19}$F NMR spectra compared to $^{13}$C, $^1$H, $^{15}$N nuclei, make it possible to study fluorine-containing compounds in biological media [25,27,28], to study the mechanisms and kinetics of reactions [29–31], including catalytic reactions [32,33]. Chiral fluorine-containing derivatizing agents make it easy to evaluate the enantiomeric purity of amines and amino alcohols by $^{19}$F NMR [34–38].

Based on the foregoing, the synthesis of chiral trifluoromethylated amino alcohols based on natural monoterpenoids is of undoubted interest. In this work, based on verbanone, nopinone, and camphorquinone, we synthesized the corresponding ketooximes, benzyl-O-oximes, trifluoromethylated benzyl-O-oxymoalcohols, and trifluoromethylated amino alcohols.

2. Results

For this study, we used ketoximes 1–3 based on (+)-nopinone [39], (−)-verbanone [18], and (+)-camphoroquinone [40], which were synthesized according to already known methods. The corresponding benzyl-O-oximes 4–6 were obtained from the resulting oximes 1–3 in 68, 55, 45% yields, respectively (Scheme 1). The benzyl group was previously introduced to protect the OH group of the oxime before trifluoromethylation of the obtained compounds.

![Scheme 1. Synthesis of benzyl-O-oximes 4–6 from oximes 1–3. Reagents and conditions: (a) Cs$_2$CO$_3$, BnCl, MeCN, rt, 48 h. (b) t-BuOK, BnCl, THF, 4 °C → rt, 24 h.](image-url)
The IR spectra of compounds 4–6 contain absorption bands characteristic of the carbonyl group in the region of 1715–1717 cm⁻¹, characteristic of the C=N–O group in the region of 1584–1684 cm⁻¹. The ¹H NMR spectra contain the signals of the protons of the methylene group C-1 at 5.37 ppm for compound 4, at 5.4 ppm for 5, at 5.28 ppm for 6 and a multiplet of the phenyl fragment in the range 7.31–7.41 ppm for 4–6. In the ¹³C NMR spectra, the signals of these functional groups are present at 77.9 ppm for 4, at 78 ppm for 5, at 77.3 ppm for 6 and the multiplet of the phenyl fragment in the regions 128.2–128.4 ppm for 4–6, respectively.

Significantly, oximes 4–6 have two reaction centers C=O and C=N bonds, and both of them can be subjected to trifluoromethylation, for example, as demonstrated in [41–44] for imines and sulfinimines, which react at the C=N bond, and for monoterpene ketooximes 4–6 undergoing trifluoromethylation to yield the products solely at the C=O bond.

Nucleophilic addition of the Ruppert–Prakash reagent–trifluoromethyltrimethylsilane (TMSCF₃) [45] to β-keto-benzyl-O-oximes 4–6 at the double C=O bond is carried out in THF at 4 °C in an argon atmosphere in the presence of an initiator—cesium fluoride (CsF). At the first stage, trimethylsilyl ethers 7–9 are formed, which, after addition of tetrabutylammonium trifluoromethyl carbonate hydrate (TBAF·3H₂O), form new trifluoromethyl alcohols 10–12 in 81, 89 and 95% yields, respectively (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Synthesis of β-trifluoromethyl-β-hydroxy-benzyl-O-oximes 10–12 from β-keto-benzyl-O-oximes 4–6. Reagents and conditions: (a) TMSCF₃, CsF, THF, 4 °C → rt, 4 h. (b) TBAF·3H₂O, THF, rt, 30 min.

The IR spectra of compounds 10–12 contain absorption bands characteristic of the hydroxyl group in the region 3437–3560 cm⁻¹, characteristic of the C=N–O group in the region 1618–1688 cm⁻¹, absorption bands corresponding to the CF₃ group at 1271, 1171, and 1096 cm⁻¹ for 10; at 1283, 1169, 1105 cm⁻¹ for 11; at 1265, 1180, and 1103 cm⁻¹ for 12.

The ¹H NMR spectra of compounds 10–12 contain singlets of the proton of the hydroxyl group at 3.27 ppm for 10; 3.20 ppm for 11; 2.76 for 12 and a multiplet of the phenyl fragment in the range of 7.31–7.41 ppm for 10–12. The ¹³C NMR spectra show quartets of the C-2 carbon atom at 77.9 ppm (J_F=27.6 Hz) for 10; at 78.9 ppm (J_F=27.6 Hz) for 12. There is a quartet of C-4 carbon at 78.6 ppm (J_F=26.5 Hz) in the ¹³C NMR spectrum of compound 11. The quartet of the C-10 carbon of compound 10 is present at 125.0 ppm (J_F=288.6 Hz). The quartets of the C-11 carbon atom of compounds 11, 12 are present at 125.2 ppm (J_F=288.6 Hz) for 11; at 125.2 ppm (J_F=287.5 Hz) for 12. Singlets of the trifluoromethyl group of compounds 10–12 appear in the ¹⁹F NMR spectra in the range from –71.8 to –74.6 ppm.
For each of the benzyl-O-oximes 4–6 in the trifluoromethylation reaction, only (2S)-10, (4R)-11, (2R)-12 diastereomers are formed from two theoretically possible diastereomers in 81, 89 and 95% yields, respectively (Scheme 2).

The configuration of C-2, C-4 and C-2 atoms of compounds 10–12, respectively, was established by $^1$H NMR NOESY spectroscopy by the presence of NOE interactions between the protons of the hydroxyl group and the C-8 methyl group in compounds 10 and 11, between the protons of the hydroxyl group and the C-9 methyl group of compound 12 (Figure 1).

![Figure 1](image1.png)

Figure 1. Structure and NOE interactions of compounds (2S)-10, (4R)-11, (2R)-12.

Trifluoromethylated benzyl-O-oximes 10–12 based on (+)-nopinone, (−)-verbanone and (+)-camphoroquinone were reduced with LiAlH$_4$ to the corresponding amines isolated as hydrochlorides 13–15 in 81–95% yields (Scheme 3).

![Scheme 3](image2.png)

Scheme 3. Synthesis of hydrochlorides of trifluoromethylated amino alcohols 13–15 from trifluoromethylated benzyl-O-oximes 10–12. Reagents and conditions: (a) LiAlH$_4$, Et$_2$O, 4 °C → rt, 12 h. (b) HCl (gas), Et$_2$O, 4 °C.

The IR spectra of compounds 13–15 contain absorption bands characteristic of the hydroxyl group in the region of 3298–3558 cm$^{-1}$, characteristic of the NH$_3^+$ group in the region of 2922–3080 cm$^{-1}$, absorption bands corresponding to the CF$_3$ group in the regions of 1128–1198 cm$^{-1}$ for 13, 1126–1194 cm$^{-1}$ for 14, and 1121–1186 cm$^{-1}$ for 15.

The $^1$H NMR spectra of compounds 13–15 contain singlets of the protons of the OH and NH$_3^+$ groups at 4.75 ppm and there are no signals of the phenyl fragment compared to the original substrates. The $^{13}$C NMR spectra of compounds 13 and 15 contain quartets of the C-2 carbon atom at 78.2 ppm ($J_F$ 25.4 Hz) for 13; at 80.6 ppm ($J_F$ 26.5 Hz) for 15. There
is a quartet of the C-4 carbon atom at 78.1 ppm ($J_F$ 26.5 Hz) in the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of compound 14. The quartet of the trifluoromethyl group C-10 of compound 13 is at 125.3 ppm ($J_F$ 288.6 Hz). Quartets of the trifluoromethyl groups C-11 of compounds 14, 15 are present at 125.3 ppm ($J_F$ 287.5 Hz) for 14; at 125.4 ppm ($J_F$ 288.6 Hz) for 15, respectively. There are singlets in the range from −72.6 to −68.2 ppm in the $^{19}$F NMR spectra of 13–15.

The configuration of the C-3 atom of compounds 13–15 was established by $^1$H NOESY NMR spectroscopy by the presence of NOE interactions between the H-3 protons and the methyl group C-8 in 13 and 14, between the H-3 protons and the methyl group C-9 in the compound 15 (Figure 2).

A single crystal of free amine 16 was obtained after alkaline extraction of hydrochloride 14 with Et$_2$O. The configuration of free amine 16 was confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure 3). This compound crystallizes in the chiral space group P2$_1$2$_1$2$_1$ of the orthorhombic system. There are two independent molecules (A and B) of 16 in the asymmetric unit cell. They have the same molecular structure. The root–mean–square deviation of atomic positions of A and B molecules is 0.056 Å. The carbon atoms C(1), C(2), C(3), C(4), and C(5) lie almost in the same plane. The average deviation of atoms from the plane is 0.085 Å. The trifluoromethyl group, the amino group, and the methylene group are on the same side of this plane. The main geometric characteristics in 16 are in good agreement with related carbocyclic compounds [18,46].

In a crystal, neighboring molecules are oriented in such a way that intermolecular hydrogen bonds are realized O-H...O (2.03 Å), O-H...N (2.07 Å), N-H...N (2.47 Å), and N-H...O (2.48 Å). As a result, endless molecular chains A-B-A-B are formed.

Figure 2. Structure and NOE interactions of compounds (2S,3S)-13, (3R,4R)-14, (2R,3R)-15.

Figure 3. Molecular structure of two independent molecules (A,B) of compound 16 with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level.
3. Materials and Methods

3.1. General Information

FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu IR Prestige 21 on thin films or KBr pellets; ν in cm\(^{-1}\). 1H and 13C NMR spectra were registered on a Bruker Avance 300 spectrometer (300.17 MHz for 1H, 75.48 MHz for 13C and 282.44 MHz for 19F) in CDCl\(_3\), J in Hz (See Supplementary Materials). The signals were assigned using COSY, NOESY, HSQC, HMBC techniques, and 13C NMR spectra in J-modulation mode. Automatic analyzer EA 1110 CHNS-O was employed for elemental analysis. The melting points were measured on a Sanyo Gallenkamp MPD350.BM3.5 and were not corrected. Optical rotations were performed with automatized digital polarimeter Optical Activity PolAAr 3001. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Sorbfil plates; spots were visualized by treatment with 10% phosphomolybdic acid in ethanol, 5% vanillin and 0.005% H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) in ethanol, 5% KMnO\(_4\), and 0.005% H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) in H\(_2\)O. Silica gel 60 (70–230 mesh, Alfa Aesar, Lancashire, UK) was used for column chromatography (CC). For both TLC and CC the same eluent systems were used.

X-ray Data Collection and Structure Refinement. The diffraction data for compound 16 were collected on a Bruker D8 Quest diffractometer (Mo-K\(_\alpha\) radiation, ω-scan technique, λ = 0.71073 Å) at 298(2) K. The intensity data were integrated by the SAINT [47] program. The structure was solved by dual methods [48] and was refined on F\(^2\) using the SHELXTL package [49]. The SADABS program [50] was used to perform absorption corrections. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. All H-atoms, with the exception of H(1)-H(6) atoms in iso-3-(hydroxyimino)-4,6,6-trimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptan-2-one (C\(_{14}\)H\(_{22}\)O_2\_N), and U\(_{eq}\) for other groups). The H(1)-H(6) atoms in 16 were located from the differential Fourier map and were refined isotropically. CCDC 2191818 contains the supplementary crystallographic data accessed on 19 October 2022. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via https://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures.

Commercially available reagents such as (trifluoromethyl)trimethylsilane TMSCF\(_3\) (purity 98%, Alfa Aesar, Lancashire, UK), caesium fluoride CsF (purity 98%, Alfa Aesar, Lancashire, UK), lithium aluminum hydride LiAlH\(_4\) (purity 95%, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) were used directly as supplied without further purification. All solvents used for the reactions were distilled. (1R,5R,E)-3-(Hydroxyimino)-6,6-dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptan-2-one (1), mp 180 \(^\circ\)C, [39], (1S,4S,5S,Z)-3-(hydroxyimino)-4,6,6-trimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptan-2-one (2), mp 135 \(^\circ\)C, [18], and (1S,5R,E)-3-(hydroxyimino)-1,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-one (3), mp 118 \(^\circ\)C, [40] were synthesized in accordance with known methods.

3.2. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Benzyl-O-Oximes 4 and 5

In a two-necked flask equipped with a stirrer and a reflux condenser, oxime 1 or 2 (2.63 mmol) was added to the residue, extracted with Et\(_2\)O (30 mL) was added to the residue, extracted with Et\(_2\)O, the organic layer was washed with brine and dried over Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\). The solvent was distilled off under reduced pressure. The reaction products were isolated by silica gel column chromatography.

\((1R,5R,E)-3-((Benzyloxy)imino)-6,6-dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptan-2-one\) (4). Yield: 68%; light brown oil; \([\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +19.70 (c = 0.99 \text{ in CHCl}_3); R_{f} 0.38 \text{ (petr. ether/EtOAc, 3:1)}; ^1H NMR (CDCl\(_3\), δ, ppm, J/Hz): 0.91 (s, 3H, H\(^\alpha\)), 1.38 (s, 3H, C\(^9\)H\(_3\)), 1.53 (d, 1H, J = 11.0, H\(^\beta\)), 2.25–2.32 (m, 1H, H\(^\delta\)), 2.65–2.88 (m, 4H, H\(^1\)H, H\(^2\)H, H\(^4\)H, H\(^6\)H, H\(^7\)H); \(^13\)C NMR (CDCl\(_3\), δ, ppm): 21.5 (C\(_6\)), 26.2 (C\(_7\)), 28.0 (C\(_8\)), 28.6 (C\(_4\)), 37.6 (C\(_5\)), 41.7 (C\(_6\)), 56.6 (C\(_1\)), 77.9 (C\(_1\)), 128.2 (C\(_5\)), 128.3 (C\(_4\)), 128.4 (C\(_9\)), 136.6 (C\(_2\)), 152.7 (C\(_3\)), 198.2 (C\(_2\)); IR spectrum (KBr, ν, cm\(^{-1}\)): 1715 (C=O), 1684
(C≡N−O); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C_{16}H_{19}NO_{2}: C 74.68, H 7.44, N 5.44; found: C 74.08, H 7.26, N 5.14.

(1S,4S,5S)-3-((Benzyloxy)mino)-4,6,6-trimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptan-2-one (5). Yield: 55%; light brown oil; [α]_{D}^{25} = −12.2 (c = 1.0 in CHCl_{3}); R_{f} 0.29 (CHCl_{3}/petr. ether, 2:1); ¹H NMR (CDCl_{3}, δ, ppm, J/Hz): 0.10 (s, 3H, H_{1}'), 0.98 (s, 3H, C_{3}H_{3}), 1.01 (d, 1H, J = 11.0, H_{7}'), 2.77 (td, 1H, J = 5.8, 3.0, H_{5}), 2.71 (s, 2H, H_{1}'), 3.18 (qd, 1H, J = 7.1, 3.0, H_{5}), 5.32−5.42 (m, 2H, H_{2}'), 7.31−7.41 (m, 5H, H_{3}', H_{4}', H_{5}', H_{6}', H_{7}'); ¹C NMR (CDCl_{3}, δ, ppm): 16.5 (C_{10}), 23.9 (C_{3}), 27.3 (C_{9}), 28.2 (C_{7}), 36.9 (C_{2}), 42.3 (C_{5}), 45.7 (C_{1}), 56.6 (C_{5}), 78.0 (C_{1'}), 128.1 (C_{3'}), 128.2 (C_{4'}'), 128.4 (C_{5'}'), 136.6 (C_{5'}), 156.3 (C_{1'}), 199.0 (C_{2'}); IR spectrum (KBr, ν, cm⁻¹): 1717 (C=O), 1584 (C≡N−O); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C_{17}H_{21}NO_{2}: C 75.25, H 7.80, N 5.16; found: C 75.05, H 7.52, N 5.01.

(1S,4R,E)-3-((Benzyloxy)mino)-1,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-one (6). In a two-necked flask equipped with a stirrer and a reflux condenser, (+)-camphorquinone oxime 3 (0.59 mmol) in 3 mL of THF (dry) was placed under argon. After ice-bath cooling the mixture, t-BuOK (0.65 mmol) was added and the flask was purged with argon. Benzylic chloride (2.06 mmol) was added after 20 min of stirring. The resulting mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC (eluent, pet.ether/EtOAc, 3:1). At the end of the reaction, H_{2}O (20 mL) was added, the reaction mixture was extracted with diethyl ether, the organic layer was washed with brine and dried over Na_{2}SO_{4}. The solvent was distilled off under reduced pressure. The reaction product was isolated by silica gel column chromatography. Yield: 45%; light brown oil; [α]_{D}^{25} = −134.4 (c = 1.15 in CHCl_{3}); R_{f} 0.23 (petr. ether/EtOAc, 5:1); ¹H NMR (CDCl_{3}, δ, ppm, J/Hz): 0.88 (s, 3H, H_{1}'), 0.98 (s, 3H, C_{3}H_{3}), 1.03 (s, 3H, C_{10}H_{3}), 1.47−1.62 (m, 2H, H_{2}'), 1.71−1.83 (m, 1H, H_{6}'), 1.96−2.06 (m, 1H, H_{5}'), 3.21 (d, 1H, J = 4.4, H_{4}'), 5.28 (s, 2H, H_{1}'), 7.33−7.37 (m, 5H, H_{3}', H_{4}', H_{5}', H_{6}', H_{7}'); ¹C NMR (CDCl_{3}, δ, ppm): 9.0 (C_{10}), 17.6 (C_{9}), 20.6 (C_{5}), 23.9 (C_{5'}), 30.7 (C_{7}), 44.8 (C_{7'}), 47.4 (C_{1'}), 58.5 (C_{3'}), 77.3 (C_{1'}), 128.0 (C_{3'}), 128.1 (C_{4'}'), 128.4 (C_{5'}'), 137.0 (C_{2'}), 159.3 (C_{1'}), 203.9 (C_{2'}); IR spectrum (KBr, ν, cm⁻¹): 1715 (C=O), 1634 (C≡N−O); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C_{17}H_{21}NO_{2}: C 75.25, H 7.80, N 5.16; found: C 75.10, H 7.56, N 5.09.

3.3. General Procedure for Trifluoromethylation of β-Keto-Benzyl-Oximes 4−6

In a two-necked flask equipped with a stirrer and reflux condenser, cooled in an ice bath under argon, benzyl-O-oxime 4 (or 5, 6, 1.36 mmol) was placed in 6 mL of THF (dry). After cooling the mixture, CsF (0.68 mmol) and TMSCF_{3} (4.08 mmol) were added with stirring. The resulting mixture was stirred for 4 h (control by TLC until the disappearance of the substrate). After that, the ice-bath was removed and TBAF·3H_{2}O (1.36 mmol) was added. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC (eluent, pet.ether/ EtOAc, 3:1). A saturated solution of NH_{4}Cl (20 mL) was added, the reaction products were extracted with diethyl ether, the organic layer was washed with brine and dried over Na_{2}SO_{4}. The solvent was distilled off under vacuum. The reaction products were isolated by column chromatography.

((1R,2S,5R,E)-2-Hydroxy-6,6-dimethyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)bicyclo[3.1.1]heptan-3-one O-benzyl oxime (10). Yield: 81%; light brown oil; [α]_{D}^{25} = −9.69 (c = 0.98 in CHCl_{3}); R_{f} 0.39 (petr. ether/EtOAc, 10:1); ¹H NMR (CDCl_{3}, δ, ppm, J/Hz): 0.95 (s, 3H, H_{1}'), 1.35 (s, 3H, C_{3}H_{3}), 1.53 (d, 1H, J = 11.3, H_{7}'), 1.97−2.05 (m, 1H, H_{5}'), 2.34 (t, 1H, J = 5.9, H_{3}'), 2.40−2.50 (m, 2H, H_{6}'), 3.02 (dt, 1H, J = 18.7, 3.0, H_{4}'), 3.27 (s, 1H, OH), 5.15−5.25 (m, 2H, H_{2}'), 7.34−7.39 (m, 5H, H_{3}', H_{4}', H_{5}', H_{6}', H_{7}'); ¹C NMR (CDCl_{3}, δ, ppm): 21.9 (C_{9}), 26.7 (C_{7}), 26.8 (C_{8}), 30.2 (C_{4'}), 37.4 (C_{4'}), 40.0 (C_{1'}), 45.2 (C_{5'}), 76.7 (C_{1}), 77.9 (q, J_{F} = 27.6, C_{2'}), 125.0 (q, J_{F} = 288.6, C_{10}), 128.0 (C_{5'}), 128.4 (C_{3'}), 137.3 (C_{2'}), 156.7 (C_{3'}); ¹F NMR (CDCl_{3}, δ, ppm, J/Hz): −74.6 (s, 3F, C_{11}F_{3}); IR spectrum (KBr, ν, cm⁻¹): 3560 (OH), 1627 (C≡N−O), 1271, 1171, 1096 (CF_{3}); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C_{17}H_{20}F_{3}NO_{2}: C 62.38, H 6.16, N 4.28; found: C 62.01, H 6.12, N 4.17.
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(1S,2R,4S,5S)-2-Hydroxy-4,6,6-trimethyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)bicyclo[3.1.1]heptan-3-one O-benzyl oxime (11). Yield: 89%; light brown oil; [α]D –17.9 (c = 1.0 in CHCl3); Rf 0.20 (petr. ether/CH2Cl2, 2:1); 1H NMR (CDCl3, δ, ppm, J/Hz): 1.11 (s, 3H, Hβ), 1.35 (s, 3H, C3H3), 1.41 (d, 1H, J = 11.6, H2α), 1.47 (d, J = 7.2, 3H, H10), 1.85 (td, 1H, J = 5.8, 2.0, H1), 2.33 (t, 1H, J = 5.8, H2), 2.42 (dt, 1H, J = 11.6, 6.1, H7β), 2.92 (qd, 1H, J = 7.1, 2.0, H3), 3.20 (s, 1H, OH), 5.13–5.22 (m, 2H, H1′α, H1′β), 7.32–7.40 (m, 5H, H9, H4, H5, H6, H7); 13C NMR (CDCl3, δ, ppm): 39.1 (C10), 66.2 (C8), 72.7 (C7), 119.8 (C6), 134.3 (C5), 137.9 (C4), 147.4 (C3), 158.0 (C2), 172.6 (C1). The resulting amines were isolated in the hydrochlorides form in EtOH.

3.4. General Procedure for the Preparation of Trifluoromethylated Amino Alcohols

Trifluoromethylated β-trifluoromethyl-β-hydroxy-benzyl-O-oxime 10 (or 11, 12, 0.54 mmol) in 15 mL of dry Et2O was placed into a two-necked flask equipped with a stirrer, cooled to 4 °C in an argon atmosphere. LiAlH4 (1.65 mmol) was added in portions with stirring. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for a day. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC (eluent: petr. ether: EtOAc, 10:1). The mixture was cooled again in an ice bath, then Et2O (20 mL) was added and 5% KOH solution was carefully poured until phase separation and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et2O. The combined organic phases were washed with brine and dried over Na2SO4. The solvent was distilled off in vacuo. The resulting amines were isolated in the hydrochlorides form in Et2O solution by blowing dry HCl into the flask until the precipitation ceased. The hydrochlorides were purified by washing with a mixture of hexane–Et2O (1:1).

(1R,2S,3S,5R)-2-Hydroxy-6,6-dimethyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)bicyclo[3.1.1]heptan-3-ammonium chloride (13). Yield: 88%; white powder; mp = 218 °C (decomposition); [α]D +33.3 (c = 0.74 in MeOH); 1H NMR (D2O, δ, ppm, J/Hz): 1.64 (s, 3H, H8), 1.70 (s, 3H, CH3C), 3.36 (d, 1H, J = 11.6, H2α), 1.78 (ddd, 1H, J = 14.1, 6.4, 1.5, H7β), 2.05–2.12 (m, 1H, H8), 2.37 (t, 1H, J = 5.8, H3), 2.40–2.49 (m, 1H, H8), 2.68 (ddt, 1H, J = 13.8, 11.0, 3.2, H7β); 4.04 (dd, 1H, J = 10.3, 6.2, H3), 4.75 (s, 4H, OH, NH3+); 13C NMR (D2O, δ, ppm): 22.6 (C8), 26.0 (C7), 26.5 (C6), 31.9 (C5), 38.6 (C4), 39.0 (C3), 47.2 (C1), 51.3 (C2), 78.2 (q, JF = 25.4, C2), 125.3 (q, JF = 288.6, C10); 19F NMR (D2O, δ, ppm, J/Hz): –72.6 (s, 3F, C1′F3); IR spectrum (KBr, cm–1): 3347 (OH), 1688 (C≡N–O), 1283, 1169, 1105 (CF3); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C18H22F3NO2: C 63.33, H 6.50, N 4.10; found: C 63.71, H 6.62, N 4.38.

(1S,2R,4S,5S)-2-Hydroxy-4,6,6-trimethyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)bicyclo[3.1.1]heptan-3-one O-benzyl oxime (12). Yield: 95%; light brown oil; [α]D = –37.9 (c = 0.82 in CHCl3); Rf 0.37 (petr. ether/EtO, 10:1); 1H NMR (CDCl3, δ, ppm, J/Hz): 0.94 (s, 3H, H8), 1.04 (s, 3H, CH3C), 1.07 (s, 3H, C3H3), 1.36–1.45 (m, 1H, H2α), 1.58–1.89 (m, 3H, H6α, H6β, H7β); 13C NMR (CDCl3, δ, ppm): 9.8 (C10), 18.7 (C8), 21.9 (C7), 22.3 (C6), 28.9 (C5), 48.0 (C4), 48.3 (C3), 52.6 (C2), 76.2 (C1), 78.9 (q, JF = 27.6, C2), 125.2 (q, JF = 287.5, C1), 127.8 (C8), 127.9 (C7), 128.3 (C5), 137.7 (C2), 137.8 (C4), 164.7 (C3); 19F NMR (CDCl3, δ, ppm, J/Hz): –71.8 (s, 3F, C1′F3); IR spectrum (KBr, cm–1): 3437 (OH), 1688 (C≡N–O), 1283, 1169, 1105 (CF3); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C18H22F3NO2: C 63.33, H 6.50, N 4.10; found: C 63.71, H 6.62, N 4.38.
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4. Conclusions

Thus, trifluoromethylated amino alcohols based on pinane and bornane monoterprenoids have been synthesized for the first time. The addition of the Ruppert–Prakash reagent to β-keto-benzyl-O-oximes, as well as the reduction of β-hydroxy-benzyl-O-oximes to the corresponding amino alcohols proceed stereoselectively with the formation of one of the diastereomers. Trifluoromethylation has been determined to entirely proceed at the C=O rather than C=N bond.

All compounds are isolated individually; the structure and configuration are proven by NMR and IR spectroscopy, elemental, and X-ray diffraction analysis. The obtained compounds may be of interest as biologically active substances and/or their precursors, as well as new chiral fluorine-containing auxiliaries, ligands or organocatalysts containing a trifluoromethyl group.
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