Bioethanol Production from Microalgae Oscillatoria sp Cultured in Blue Green 11 and Bold Basal Media
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Abstract. The third generation of bioethanol production, bioethanol converted from biomass of microalgae. Our research aimed to produce bioethanol from substrate microalgae Oscillatoria sp. as substrate has been conducted. Oscillatoria sp. cultured in two media namely Bold Basal and Blue Green 11. Microalgae Oscillatoria sp. were cultivated in Bold Basal and Blue Green 11 media hydrolyzed substrate, 0.143 mL/g and 0.496 mL/g, respectively. Unhydrolysate microalgae generated 0.018 mL/g and 3.59 mL/g of reduced sugar, respectively. Biomass obtained from Blue Green 11 media and Bold Basal media were 0.676 g and 0.482 g, respectively. Based on HPLC analysis, Oscillatoria sp. biomass contained maltose. Biomass were hydrolyzed by autoclaving in 121°C for 15 minutes. Bioethanol production was conducted by fermentation process using Saccharomyces cerevisiae as a microbial agent which applied in hydrolysate and non-hydrolysate of microalgae. Ethanol generated from fermentation was analyzed using gas chromatography. Based on gas chromatography data resulted that hydrolysate of microalgae biomass cultivated in Bold Basal medium and in Blue Green 11 medium produced 7% and 23% ethanol, respectively.

1 Introduction

The world's energy consumption has increased from 2002 to 2012. The transport sector contributes 60% of global oil consumption and responsible for 20% emissions carbon dioxide to the atmosphere [1] [2]. Biofuels have gained immense popularity in recent years as they show significant potential to replace the depleting non-renewable fuels.

Bioethanol is produced from biomass by fermentation process of carbohydrates, such as sucrose, starch and cellulose [3]. The use of first and second generation feedstocks create several problems among others, there is food versus, the highly cost of raw material, limitation of agricultural land, low production and high cost in the process of hydrolysis which makes the material less effective and difficult to be commercialized [2].
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Microalgae are classified as third generation feedstocks [4]. Microalgae are microscopic photosynthetic organism, many of which are unicellular. Microalgae are found in both fresh and marine water. Microalgae which have high amount of carbohydrates are very useful for bioethanol production. The advantages of using microalgae include rapid growth, high productivity, both freshwater and seawater may be used, they also not compete with food and the production cost is relatively low [3,5].

Cyanobacteria, such as Oscillatoria sp., are a ubiquitous group of bacteria [6] found in freshwater systems. Research utilizing Oscillatoria sp. has been widely used its bioactive compounds as antibacterial, antioxidant, antibiofilm, biofertilizer, etc. Carbohydrates contained in microalga Oscillatoria sp. about 19.3% [3], it can be used as bioethanol feedstock through fermentation process by utilizing the capability of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. The cultivation process of Oscillatoria sp. can use growth media such as Basal Bold Media and Blue Green 11 media.

Based on the content of carbohydrates and the ability to grow, this research is aimed to know the potential of bioethanol production with microalgae Oscillatoria sp. as substrate through fermentation process using yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

The raw materials used in this research are: Oscillatoria sp., cultured at the Integrated Research Laboratory of Sriwijaya University Graduate Program, yeast strain SH5209 Saccharomyces cerevisiae, yeast extract, peptone, dextrose, bacto agar, ammonium sulphate, magnesium sulphate, potassium dihydrogen phosphate, sulfuric acid, NaNO₃, K₂HPO₄, MgSO₄.7H₂O, CaCl₂.2H₂O, Citric acid, Ammonium ferric citrate green, EDTA Na₂, Na₂CO₃, H₃BO₃, MnCl₂.4H₂O, ZnSO₄.7H₂O, NaCl, KOH, Na₂MoO₄.2H₂O, CuSO₄.5H₂O, Co(NO₃)₂.6H₂O, MoO₃, EDTA, FeSO₄.7H₂O, ethanol standard and aquades.

2.2 Cultivation of Microalgae Oscillatoria sp. in Blue Green 11 media [7]

Preparation of BG 11 media consists of making stock solution and trace element solution. Blue Green 11 stock solution was dissolved in 500 mL distilled water with the following composition, 75 g NaNO₃, 2 g K₂HPO₄, 3.75 g MgSO₄.7H₂O, 1.8 g CaCl₂.2H₂O, 0.30 g Citric Acid, 0.30g Ammonium ferric citrate green, 0.05g NaEDTA, 1g Na₂CO₃. The trace metal solution was prepared as 1 liter with the following composition, 2.86g H₃BO₃, 1.8 g MnCl₂.4H₂O, 0.22g ZnSO₄.7H₂O, 0.39 g Na₂MoO₄.2H₂O, 0.08 g CuSO₄.5H₂O, 0.05 g Co (NO₃)₂.6H₂O. Biomass production was performed with 10 mL of stock solution and 1 mL of trace metal solution was added into 1 L of distilled water. Set the pH of the solutionup to 7.1 with 1 M HCL or NaOH. Then it was autoclave at 121°C for 15 minutes. Then added 1 mL of culture seed Oscillatoria sp. and culture using light intensity, temperature 30°C with aeration continuously.

2.3 Cultivation of Microalgae Oscillatoria sp. In Bold Basal Media [8]

Preparation BB media consists of making stock solution and trace element solution. The Bold Basal stock solution was dissolved in 400 mL distilled water with the following composition, 10.0 g NaNO₃, 3.0 g MgSO₄.7H₂O, 1.0 g NaCl, 3.0 g K₂HPO₄, 7.0g KH₂PO₄, 1.0 g CaCl₂.2H₂O. Trace metal solution was prepared as 1 liter with the following
composition, 8.82 g ZnSO$_4$.7H$_2$O, 1.44 g MnCl$_2$.4H$_2$O, 0.71 g MoO$_3$, 1.57 g CuSO$_4$.5H$_2$O, 0.49 g Co(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O, 11.42 g H$_3$BO$_3$, 50 g EDTA, 31 g KOH, 0.49 g Co(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O, 11.42 g H$_3$BO$_3$, 50 g EDTA, 31 g KOH, 4.98 g FeSO$_4$.7H$_2$O, 1.0 mL H$_2$SO$_4$ (conc). Biomass production was performed with 10 mL of stock solution and 1 mL of trace metal solution was introduced into 1.0 L of distilled water. Then sterilized using autoclave at 121°C for 15 minutes. Then added 1.0 mL of culture seed Oscillatoria sp. and in culture using light intensity, temperature 30°C with aeration continuously. Fermentation media consisted of 2 g yeast extract, 2.0 g (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$, 2.0 g MgSO$_4$, 4.0g KH$_2$PO$_4$ 4 dissolved in 100 mL distilled water erlenmeyer dissolved.

2.4 Determination of Carbohydrate Content [9]

Dried biomass about 25 mg are inserted into centrifugation tubes, then added 2.5 mL of sterile water incubated at 90°C for 10 min. Sample was vortexed for 7x2.0 min, then finally carbohydrate content of extract was measured using HPLC.

2.5 Hydrolysis of Microalgae Oscillatoria sp.

Hydrolysis dried biomass Oscillatoria sp. is done by adding 0.2g into 15 mL of distilled water, then heated by autoclaving at 121°C at 1 atm for 20 min. Reducing sugar content was analysed using 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method [10].

2.6 Fermentation Process

The bioethanol fermentation carried out with the following composition, 2 mL biomass Oscillatoria sp., 2 mL of inoculum S.cerevisiae, and 25 mL of fermentation media incubated with shaking 30 rpm at 30°C, 30 rpm.

While the bioethanol fermentation dried biomass Oscillatoria sp. without hydrolysis process is carried out with the following composition as biomass Oscillatoria sp. 0.1 g, 2 mL of inoculum media, and 25 mL of fermentation media. Fermentation is carried out for 4 days with every day interval taken 2 mL of solution to analyze ethanol content using Gas Chromatography.

3 Results and Discussions

3.1 Biomass Oscillatoria sp. in Growing Media Bold Basal and Blue Green 11

The process of cultivating microalga Oscillatoria sp. is done in two different media: Bold Basal and Blue Green 11. Bold Basal and Blue Green 11 are selective media for microalgae especially from division of Cyanophyta or blue green algae. The cultivation process is carried out in two different culture to produce biomass and see the comparison biomass product that can be used for further analysis.
Fig. 1. Wet biomass microalgae *Oscillatoria sp.* in media cultivation (a). Bold Basal (b). Blue Green

Harvesting of microalgae *Oscillatoria sp.* done at the stationary phase. Stationary phase is a phase of constant growth in which the reproduction rate equal to the rate of mortality. Cell division still occurs in stationary phase, this is because cells reserves energy for cell division and growth [11]. The harvesting process used separation method by centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 5 minutes. The advantages using this method on a laboratory scale has been tested and obtain high concentration of about 12-22%. In Figure. 1, shows wet biomass from microalgae *Oscillatoria sp.* Wet biomass obtained in figure.1(a) is 9.49 g, figure.1(b) 10.27 g biomass.
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Fig. 1. Dried biomass of microalgae *Oscillatoria sp.* cultivated in media (a). Bold Basal (b). Blue Green

Preparation of microalgae *Oscillatoria sp.* is done by drying the biomass in the oven at 35°C for a day. Dried biomass, the solid green, is shown in Figure 2, which obtained 0.48 g in figure2(a) and figure2(b) 0.68 g. organic sources in microalgae growing can be obtained from wastewater containing amino acids, phosphorus, and potassium

3.2 Water Content of Biomass Microalgal *Oscillatoria sp.*

Determination of moisture content is done by evaporating wet biomass in the oven at low temperatures. Water content from biomass microalgae *Oscillatoria sp.* shown in Table 1.

| Cultivation media | Biomass | Water content (%) |
|-------------------|---------|-------------------|
| Bold Basal        | Wet     | 94.92%            |
|                   | Dried   | 5.08%             |
| Blue Green 11     | Wet     | 93.42%            |
|                   | Dried   | 6.58%             |
3.3 Simple Sugar Content of Microalgae *Oscillatoria sp.*

Type of carbohydrates on the substrate of the two cultures of culture media is maltose. The maltose standard appears as a peak at a retention time of 4.525 minutes with concentration of 160 ppm. Substrate from Bold Basal media appeared as peak with a retention time 4.732 minutes with an area of 50757 while from Blue Green 11 media was at the peak with a retention time of 4.737 minutes and wide area of 46710. The substrate concentration of HPLC measurements was obtained sequentially as much as 167 ppm and of 154 ppm. Other saccharides appeared as peak in the chromatogram which possibly is composing structure of microalga *Oscillatoria sp.* and its existence can not be identified yet.

3.4 Determination Concentration of Reducing Sugar Content

Determination of reducing sugar content is done by reacting the sample with a reagent. Then the solution was analyzed by the spectrophotometric method at 540 nm wavelength. The absorbance values obtained from the analysis using spectrophotometry were inserted into the linear equations on the glucose standard curve. Figure 3 and 4 have shown the graph of reducing sugar content by the DNS method.
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**Fig. 3.** Glucose content from hydrolysate and nonhydrolysate *Oscillatoria sp.* cultivated from Bold Basal media

Based on Figure 4 shows the sugar content before fermentation as much as 3.55 μg/mL. On the first day the reducing sugar level of 5.33 μg/mL decreased on 2nd and 3rd day 4.49 μg/mL and 4.28 μg/mL respectively. The highest reducing sugar content, 5.78 μg/mL, was obtained in the 4th day. Another graph within the orange line shows the glucose levels of the substrate was not hydrolyzed. The reducing sugar on the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th day were 18.17 μg/mL, 16.27 μg/mL, and 13.24 μg/mL, sequently.
Fig. 4. Reducing sugar content from hydrolysate and nonhydrolysate *Oscillatoria sp.* from Blue Green 11 media

Microalgae Hydrolysis of Blue Green medium 11 in Figure 5 shows the largest sugar content obtained on the first day with a total of 15.69 μg/mL. Glucose before fermentation level of 2.29 μg/mL. Thereafter decreased on the 2nd day by 5.02 μg/mL, and the 3rd day 3.60 μg/mL, on the 4th day there was a slight increase of 4.08 μg/mL. While the sugar content on the same medium but the substrate without hydrolysis obtained a small amount, with the optimum value on the 3rd day of fermentation with a total of 8.39 μg/mL. Glucose levels before fermentation were 2.71 μg/mL and increased from day 1 to 1.68 μg/mL, and the 2nd day was 4.82 μg/mL and started to decline on the 4th day with glucose levels of 2.71 μg/mL.

Sugar becomes the main source of carbon needed for the growth of microorganisms and synthesizes the energy. The decrease in sugar is not only converted by yeast to ethanol but also utilized by yeast for cell growth, intracellular metabolism such as enzyme synthesis, DNA and so on [12]. The amount of sugar needed for yeast metabolism varies from each strain. At low concentrations of substrate, yeast will starve and productivity decrease.

3.5 Bioethanol Content in the Variation of Fermentation Time in Bold Basal Media

Based on chromatogram analysis by comparing ethanol standard and sample, ethanol content from substrate *Oscillatoria sp.* in Bold Basal media with hydrolysis and non hydrolysis treatment shown in Figure 5.

![Graph](https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/20186803018)

Fig. 5. Bioethanol content in the variation fermentation time microalgal *Oscillatoria sp.* from Bold Basal (Hydrolysis) dan (Non Hydrolysis) medil

Substrates *Oscillatoria sp.* which hydrolyzed had the greatest conversion value on the fourth day of fermentation with 0.029 mL ethanol volume. In other graph shows substrate fermentation of the Bold Basal media which is not hydrolysed. The optimum condition of ethanol production was obtained at the time of fermentation on the first day with ethanol 0.0036 mL. Further there was a significant decrease in ethanol content. This suggests that the ethanol content of the hydrolysed substrate is greater than that of nonhydrolysis due to maltose on the microalgae has been broken down into simple sugars, the glucose molecule. The second and third day fermentation times indicate that the yeast stage is in the stationary phase indicating that yeast is not working optimally. During the fourth day of fermentation the resulting bioethanol content decreases and tends to be constant.
3.6 Bioethanol production on the Variation of the Fermentation Time in Blue Green 11 Media

Based on chromatogram analysis by comparing ethanol standard and samples obtained ethanol content from microalgae in growth media of Blue Green 11 with hydrolysis and non hydrolysis treatment as shown in Figure 6.
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**Fig. 6.** Bioethanol content in the variation fermentation time microalgal *Oscillatoria sp.* from Blue Green 11 (Hydrolysis) dan (Non Hydrolysis) media

Based on the graph of bioethanol content of Blue Green 11 medium showed the same optimum conditions at first day fermentation with ethanol content of 0.099 mL and 0.708 mL respectively. This may be due to the low amount of fermented substrate converted entirely on the first day, the consumption of sugar by yeast then decreases because the amount of sugar left utilized by yeast for cell growth as a carbon source. The graph showing the ethanol volume of the unscreened sample showed a high purity so that the resulting volume was larger, but ethanol was not purified first so there should still be impurities or other residues affecting the chromatogram formed. This can be caused by the effect of the duration of storage on ethanol content. Storage time in the refrigerator can affect the increase in ethanol content. This is because in low temperature will inhibit yeast growth. However, cooling can not stop the metabolism activity of microorganisms, with the cooling treatment metabolism activity will take place somewhat slowly which is marked by decreased growth rate.

Based on the difference of treatment on microalgae substrate, obtained the optimum value from substrate Bold Basal and Blue Green 11 media which has been hydrolysis first. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* has the ability to break down hexose sugar like glucose. The microalgae substrate has a sugar content of maltose composed of two glucose molecules, so it is necessary to pretreatment the substrate in the form of hydrolysis to break down the sugar of maltose into glucose to produce a higher ethanol content. Pretreatment performed is physically hydrolysed by heating at a temperature of 121°C for 20 minutes. Physically pretreatment using autoclave means able to produce large amount of carbohydrate [13].

4. Conclusions

1. Based on the results of carbohydrate analysis using HPLC simple sugar content microalga *Oscillatoria sp.* in the culture media Bold Basal and Blue Green 11 are maltose.
2. Microalga *Oscillatoria sp.* producing ethanol of 0.143 mL/g and 0.496 mL/g respectively from the microalgal hydrolyzate in Bold Basal and Blue Green culture medium 11, whereas 0.018 mL/g and 3.59 mL/g respectively from nonhydrolysis substrate in culture medium Bold Basal and Blue Green 11.

3. Yield bioethanol from cultivation media obtained 7% (Hydrolysis) dan 8% (Nonhydrolysis) and from Blue Green 11 media yield obtain 23% (Hydrolysis) dan 6% (Nonhydrolysis).
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