The application of OLAP technology in the automated risk assessment system for oil and gas fields
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Abstract. The article shows the advantages of using OLAP technology in the engineering of fields’ development and its application in the automated risk assessment system.

1. Introduction
Data analysis is an integral part of every stage of engineering of field development. These data represent a different scale arrays, which can be organized into multidimensional hierarchies with different levels of nesting. In addition, the engineering of field development is an iterative process because a lot of variations of geological, hydrodynamic and economic models of fields should be reviewed and analyzed. Therefore, there is a problem of optimization of data storage and data processing for the purpose of narrowing the range of possible solutions and improving development efficiency.

2. Problem
Engineering and maintenance of field development assumes the storage of large volumes of data in the electronic form and on paper. That, along with the multi-variant engineering process and the heterogeneity of the data used creates a number of problems:

- large amounts of data;
- incomplete data, sometimes there is a need to use analogies;
- non-uniformity, the regularity and frequency of data may be different;
- non-aligned formats and forms of data storage;
- lots of data are not digitized, there are only hard copies;
- outdated, irrelevant or lost data.

Most of these problems can be solved by an expert on the basis of personal experience or the experience of engineering of similar fields.

In addition, parameters of fields are constantly changing in time on the basis of the revised data and new researches. An analysis of the history of these changes indicates the reliability of the data and the chosen development strategy, as well as it is an auxiliary tool in correction of the strategy.

3. The use of OLAP technology
To solve the problem, it is proposed to use OLAP technology, which is a tool for storage, processing and analysis of multidimensional multiscale and multi-temporal data and their visualization. OLAP systems provide opportunities for multivariate data analysis and for rapid analysis.
OLAP allows one to organize the information and helps to make analytical conclusions using different slices of data (figure 1). For example, performing the cut by one of the parameters, one can obtain fields group’s statistics (figure 2).

Figure 1. A multidimensional array of reservoir data

Using the hierarchy of OLAP cubes allows to store complex data structures, such as technical and economic parameters of the project or the history of field development. Application of this technology is scheduled on the basis of a developed data structure of oil and gas fields [1].

Figure 2. The data cube slice

An OLAP cube with data on fields of a particular region or of the subsoil user, which includes the entire history of their development, is an experience in the development field and is an instrument of accumulation and analysis of such experience.

In addition, the use of OLAP as a accumulation and analysis of data tool allows one to perform the following multicriteria tasks:
the choice of the system of positioning of planned wells;
selection of geological and technical measures (GTM);
assessment of approved field properties and development parameters;
the choice of analogies of parameters (the problem of choice of analogy when one’s own
researches or data are incomplete or absent):

\[ k = \sum (ks, kd, kf, khyd) / 4 \times C, \]

where \( k \) – a factor characterizing the degree of similarity of fields (at a value of more than
0.8 viewed fields, it can be considered similar in bedding conditions and some parameters
may be approved in the absence of one’s own researches at the early stages of
development), \( ks \) – the degree of closeness, \( kd \) – the similarity of the depth of bedding, \( kf \)
– similarity of deposits layers, \( khyd \) – the similarity of the properties of hydrocarbons, \( C = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\} \) – the weight vector of parameters;
approval of the oil recovery factor (ORF);
express-analysis and engineering for reducing the number of possible variants;
assessment of the relevance of project solution based on a comparison of project and actual
performance;
assessment of the correctness of project decisions on the basis of experience of
development of fields with similar conditions of bedding;
the development of risk assessment;
and etc.

The process of field development designing is connected with a high proportion of expert
participation in decision-making, so it becomes important to consider an experience in developing
similar fields. In addition, there are often situations when the necessary parameters of the field have
not yet been studied and parameters of fields with similar conditions of bedding are approved.

An OLAP structure (figure 1), including as much available information about the fields as possible,
is the tool for accumulation and analysis of the development experience in the form of multi-
dimensional historical data from a variety of fields. This tool is necessary for the specialist in the
engineering, support of the development and decision-making, as well as for the analysis of the
correctness of project decisions.

Using OLAP allows one to assess the correctness of the approved project solution, obtaining the
correlation between actual and project parameters of field development (figure 3).

**Figure 3.** The comparison of data cubes

Another feature of the field development engineering process lies in its multivariance because there
is a hierarchy of models and only one of branches of that hierarchy leads to an acceptable project
solution. Reducing the number of model variants by analyzing the experience of the development, one can achieve a significant reduction in time and resource consumption in the engineering process.

The efficiency of using OLAP structures is achieved by the higher speed of data arrays processing, computing and retrieving pre-aggregated data (table 1). The issue of speed of data arrays processing is crucial in case of multi-dimensional hierarchically nested data from fields. Another significant advantage of OLAP is the confidentiality of data in the event of the need for interaction with the external environment, such as the preparation of statistics on fields of another subsoil user group.

Table 1. Average time spent by the specialist on making decision by the example of some of the parameters, one iteration, in man-hours

| Method              | Hydrocarbon properties | The analysis of the project | ORF | Selection of GTM |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-----|------------------|
| Raw data            | 5                      | 15                         | 3   | 5                |
| Arranged data       | 3                      | 9                          | 2   | 4                |
| Data base (DB)      | 2                      | 3                          | 0.5 | 1                |
| DB + OLAP           | 1                      | 2                          | 0.3 | 0.7              |
| Automated system    | 0.3                    | 1.8                        | 0.3 | 0.5              |

It is obvious that in order to reduce the decision-making time, it is required to reduce the degree of the human expert intervention in the process and assign its functions to the automated system (figure 4) [1], which is based on algorithms of processing of field development experience and which is able to act like a human expert. Such a system reduces the percentage of errors due to the influence of the human factor.
The subsystem of development experience analysis on the basis of OLAP technology is planned to be included in an automated risk assessment system to develop a more informed decision.

4. Conclusion
The use of OLAP technology as the tool for accumulation and analysis of the development experience and as a part of an automated information system [1] at the engineering stage allows one to implement convolution of hierarchy of models and thereby to reduce the number of variants under consideration. Using the experience of fields development on the basis of analytical calculations allows one to estimate possible risks to make more balanced decisions, and reduce labor costs and project time.
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