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Experimental Procedures

General Information. The chemicals and reagents were purchased from commercial sources TCI (Shanghai), SigmaAldrich (China) and Energy Chemical (Beijing) and were used directly. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and toluene were dried by distillation from sodium/benzophenone, and CH$_2$Cl$_2$ was purified by distillation from calcium hydride before use. Mon-Ac, Mon-H, Mon-CF, Mon-CN, BP-H, GP-H and RP-H were synthesized according to procedures in our previous report,[1] PhPe,[2] TBPAD,[3] NTTPA,[4] 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(perylen-3-yl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (1),[5] 1,8-bis(4-bromophenyl)octane (2),[6] 10-bromo-N,N-bis(4-(tert-butyl)phenyl) anthracen-9-amine (3),[7] 4-(9-bromonaphtho[2,3-c][1,2,5]thiadiazol-4-yl)-N,N-diphenylaniline (7)[4] and 1-((8-bromoctyl)oxy)-3-vinylbenzene (9)[8] were prepared following the procedures in literatures.

Measurements and characterization. $^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR measurements were carried out on Bruker Avance NMR spectrometer. Elemental analysis was carried out on Bio-Rad elemental analysis system. Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ ionization time of flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectra were performed on AXIMA CFR MS apparatus (COMPACT). Number/weight-average molecular weight of the polymers were tested by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) on Waters 410 using polystyrene as standard and THF as eluent. Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) were measured on Perkin-Elmer-TGA 7, while differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) were performed on PekinElmer-DSC 7 instruments under N$_2$ at a heating rate of 10 $^\circ$C/min. Cyclic voltammetry curves were recorded on EG&G 283 (Princeton Applied Research) potentiostat/galanostat system, using ferrocene as the reference and $n$-Bu$_4$ClO$_4$ as the supporting electrolyte. The oxidation and reduction curves were recorded in dichloromethane and THF, respectively. The HOMO/LUMO levels were calculated according to the equation $E_{HOMO}$ (or $E_{LUMO}$) = $-e [E_{onset, ox}$(or $E_{onset, red}) + 4.8V]$, where $E_{onset, ox}$ and $E_{onset, red}$ were the onset of the oxidation and reduction waves, respectively. UV-visible absorption spectra were recorded on Perkin-Elmer Lambda 35 UV-vis spectrometer, and photoluminescence spectra were measured on FluoroMax spectrofluorometer. The PLQYs were measured by integrating sphere on Hamamatsu Photonics C9920-2. PL decay curves were recorded using Edinburgh fluorescence spectrometer (FLSP-980).
Figure S1. Comparison of RISC rate constant ($k_{\text{RISC}}$) values between through-space charge transfer (TSCT) TADF polymers used in this work and through-bond charge transfer (TBCT) TADF polymers in literatures.

Table S1. $k_{\text{RISC}}$ values for TBCT polymers in literatures.

| Polymer   | Chemical Structure | Emission Peak (nm) | $k_{\text{RISC}}$ $(10^6 \text{s}^{-1})$ | CIE $(x, y)$ | Ref. |
|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------|------|
| PCzDP-10  | ![PCzDP-10 Structure](image) | 496                | 0.86                                   | 0.22, 0.40   | [9]  |
| P3        | ![P3 Structure](image)       | 497                | 0.052                                  | 0.22, 0.35   | [10] |
| PBD-10    | ![PBD-10 Structure](image)   | 478                | 0.065                                  | 0.20, 0.29   | [11] |
| Name             | Structure | Molecular Weight | Fluorescence Lifetime (ns) | Ref. |
|------------------|-----------|------------------|---------------------------|------|
| PACDPS-12        | ![Structure](image1) | 491              | 0.22, 0.39                 | [12] |
| P(BOPACTRZ-BPA)  | ![Structure](image2) | 477              | 0.63, 0.18, 0.32           | [13] |
| PDT-2            | ![Structure](image3) | 436              | 0.44, 0.15, 0.09           | [14] |
| pCz8BP           | ![Structure](image4) | 500              | 0.031, 0.28, 0.43          | [15] |
| POPT-25          | ![Structure](image5) | 540              | 0.81, 0.36, 0.50           | [16] |
| P(DMTRZ-Cp)      | ![Structure](image6) | 528              | 1.07, 0.35, 0.57           | [17] |
| poly(TPAm-DCBm)  | ![Structure](image7) | 532              | 0.10, 0.34, 0.57           | [18] |
| poly(TMTPA-DCB)  | ![Structure](image8) | 512              | 0.35, 0.34, 0.57           | [19] |
| P9               | ![Structure](image9) | 550              | 0.23, 0.41, 0.54           | [20] |
| Polymer      | Chemical Structure | Emission Peak (nm) | $k_{RISC}$ ($10^6$ s$^{-1}$) | CIE (x, y) | Ref. |
|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------|------|
| PCzBPCl-10   | ![Chemical Structure](image1.png) | 549                | 0.75                        | 0.39, 0.56 | [21] |
| PCzAQC0.5    | ![Chemical Structure](image2.png) | 620                | 0.29                        | 0.56, 0.42 | [22] |
| PSAQF10      | ![Chemical Structure](image3.png) | 608                | 0.86                        | 0.48, 49   | [23] |

Table S2. $k_{RISC}$ values for TSCT polymers used in this work.
Figure S2. PL decay curves of fluorescent emitters in toluene (1×10^{-5} mol L^{-1})

Table S3. Summary of photophysical properties of fluorescent emitters.

|        | λ_{abs}^a (nm) | λ_{em}^b (nm) | τ^c (ns) | PLQY^d (%) | S_1^e (eV) |
|--------|----------------|---------------|---------|------------|------------|
| PhPe   | 418/447        | 463           | 4.0     | 94         | 2.79       |
| TBPAD  | 361/471        | 528           | 14.4    | 90         | 2.51       |
| NTTPA  | 350/511        | 646           | 12.4    | 75         | 2.18       |

^a Absorption peak measured in dichloromethane (1×10^{-5} mol L^{-1}); ^b emission peak measured in toluene (1×10^{-5} mol L^{-1}); ^c lifetime of fluorescence; ^d absolute PL quantum yield measured in toluene (1×10^{-5} mol L^{-1}) by integrating sphere; ^e singlet energy obtained from onset of fluorescence.
Figure S3. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) characteristics for TSCT polymers and corresponding fluorescent emitters.

Table S4. Summary of CV properties of TSCT polymers and fluorescent emitters.

|     | $E_{\text{onset, ox}}$ | $E_{\text{onset, red}}$ | $E_{\text{HOMO}}$ | $E_{\text{LUMO}}$ |
|-----|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
|     | (V)                    | (V)                      | (eV)              | (eV)             |
| Blue|                        |                          |                   |                  |
| BP-H| 0.48                   | -2.12                    | -5.28             | -2.68            |
| PhPe| 0.52                   | -1.99                    | -5.32             | -2.81            |
| Green|                       |                          |                   |                  |
| GP-H| 0.48                   | -1.80                    | -5.28             | -3.00            |
| TBPAD|                       | -1.97                    | -5.15             | -2.83            |
| Red |                       |                          |                   |                  |
| RP-H| 0.48                   | -1.66                    | -5.28             | -3.14            |
| NTTPA|                      | -1.51                    | -5.30             | -3.29            |

$^a$ Onset of oxidation potential; $^b$ Onset of reduction potential; $^c$ HOMO energy level; $^d$ LUMO energy level.
Figure S4. Optimized geometries and distribution of HONTOs and LUNTOs for hyperfluorescent polymers (top: blue polymer, middle: green polymer, bottom: red polymer) using a donor, an acceptor and an emitter as model (geometry optimized at B3LYP/6-31G(d) level and HONTOs/LUNTOs simulated at (PBE0-1/3)/6-31G(d) level).
Figure S5. Thermogravimetic analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) characteristics for hyperfluorescent polymers.

Table S5. Summary of decomposition temperatures ($T_d$) and glass transition temperatures ($T_g$) for hyperfluorescent polymers.

|          | Blue | Green | Red  |
|----------|------|-------|------|
|          | BP02 | BP05  | BP10 |
| $T_d$ ($^\circ$C) | 373  | 371   | 372  |
| $T_g$ ($^\circ$C) | 193  | 194   | 194  |

*Corresponding to 5% weight loss.
Figure S6. PL spectra and relative emission intensity of hyperfluorescent polymers and fluorescent emitters in THF/H$_2$O mixed solvents (concentration of 1×10$^{-5}$ M) with different water fractions ($f_w$).
Figure S7. Transient PL decay characteristics for hyperfluorescent polymers and TSCT polymer sensitizers in THF/H₂O mixture.

Table S6. Summary of lifetimes for TSCT polymer sensitizers and hyperfluorescent polymers in THF/H₂O mixtures.

| Water fraction | τ_p/τ_d (ns/μs) |
|----------------|-----------------|
|                | BP-H            | BP05 | GP-H | GP10 | RP-H | RP15 |
| 99%            | 30.8/1.70       | 12.5/0.68 | 38.6/1.1 | 18.3/0.51 | 39.2/0.75 | 19.6/0.23 |
| 90%            | 25.3/1.30       | 10.3/0.39 | 32.5/0.93 | 16.4/0.37 | 26.4/0.56 | 17.4/0.19 |
| 80%            | 20.5/0.83       | 9.6/0.26  | 27.4/0.57 | 10.3/0.18 | 11.8/0.16 | 8.3/--     |
| 60%            | 17.7/0.62       | 8.9/0.19  | 18.7/0.48 | 9.8/0.15  | 10.3/0.13 | 7.9/--     |
| 40%            | 15.8/0.60       | 8.7/0.18  | 16.3/0.41 | 8.8/0.14  | 9.5/--     | 7.3/--     |
| 20%            | 14.1/0.53       | 8.5/0.16  | 15.7/0.25 | 8.4/--    | 9.1/--     | 6.7/--     |
| 0              | 11.2/0.47       | 5.1/--    | 12.3/0.21 | 4.8/--    | 8.7/--     | 4.7/--     |

*a* Lifetime of prompt fluorescence; *b* Lifetime of delayed fluorescence; *c* Delayed fluorescence is too weak to be detected in these conditions.
**Table S7.** Summary of photophysical properties of hyperfluorescent polymers.

| Polymer | $\tau_p$<sup>a</sup> (ns) | $\tau_d$<sup>b</sup> (μs) | $1/\tau_p$<sup>c</sup> ($10^7$ s<sup>-1</sup>) | $k_{\text{FRET}}$<sup>c</sup> ($10^7$ s<sup>-1</sup>) |
|---------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| **Blue** |               |                |                 |                  |
| BP-H    | 36.0          | 1.28           | 2.8             | /                |
| BP02    | 12.1          | 0.76           | 8.3             | 5.5              |
| BP05    | 11.2          | 0.75           | 9.1             | 6.3              |
| BP10    | 10.1          | 0.66           | 10.0            | 7.2              |
| **Green** |               |                |                 |                  |
| GP-H    | 40.0          | 1.20           | 2.5             | /                |
| GP05    | 17.4          | 0.99           | 5.9             | 3.4              |
| GP10    | 16.6          | 0.93           | 6.3             | 3.8              |
| GP15    | 14.5          | 0.86           | 7.1             | 4.6              |
| **Red** |               |                |                 |                  |
| RP-H    | 47.0          | 1.14           | 2.1             | /                |
| RP05    | 24.4          | 0.90           | 4.2             | 2.1              |
| RP10    | 20.8          | 0.85           | 4.8             | 2.7              |
| RP15    | 18.0          | 0.79           | 5.5             | 3.4              |

<sup>a</sup> Lifetime of prompt fluorescence; <sup>b</sup> Lifetime of delayed fluorescence; <sup>c</sup> Rate constant for FRET process.
Figure S8. PL decay curves for BP02~BP10 monitored at 466 nm (a), GP05~GP15 monitored at 530 nm (b), and RP05~RP15 monitored at 640 nm (c).

Figure S9. PL spectra (a-c) and PL decay curves (d-f) of control fluorescent polymers in toluene solution (1×10^{-5} mol L^{-1}).
Figure S10. EL spectra (a), current density ($J$) – voltage ($V$) – luminance ($L$) (b) and EQE–$L$ characteristics (c) of solution-processed OLEDs based on BP02, BP05 and BP10.
Figure S11. EL spectra (a), J–V–L (b) and EQE–L characteristics (c) of solution-processed OLEDs based on GP05, GP10 and GP15.
Figure S12. EL spectra (a), $J$–$V$–$L$ (b) and EQE–$L$ characteristics (c) of solution-processed OLEDs based on RP05, RP10 and RP15.
**Figure S13.** EL spectra for solution-processed OLEDs based on Ctrl-BP05, Ctrl-GP10 and Ctrl-RP15.

**Table S8.** Calculation of exciton utilization ratio for solution-processed OLEDs.

| Polymer     | PLQY\(^a\) (%) | EQE\(^b\) (%) | \(\eta_{st}\)^\(^c\) (%) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| Blue        |                |               |                          |
| BP02        | 81             | 13.5          | 66.7                     |
| BP05        | 83             | 14.6          | 70.4                     |
| BP10        | 82             | 12.2          | 59.5                     |
| Ctrl-BP05   | 82             | 3.0           | 14.6                     |
| Green       |                |               |                          |
| GP05        | 81             | 18.2          | 89.9                     |
| GP10        | 82             | 19.2          | 93.7                     |
| GP15        | 82             | 17.6          | 85.9                     |
| Ctrl-GP10   | 83             | 3.6           | 17.3                     |
| Red         |                |               |                          |
| RP05        | 65             | 8.8           | 54.2                     |
| RP10        | 65             | 9.6           | 59.1                     |
| RP15        | 64             | 10.3          | 64.4                     |
| Ctrl-RP15   | 62             | 2.0           | 12.9                     |

\(^a\) Photoluminescence quantum efficiency measured in solid-state film; \(^b\) Maximum external quantum efficiency for solution-processed OLEDs; \(^c\) exciton utilization ratio for the devices assuming light out-coupling efficiency of 0.25.
Scheme S1. Synthetic routes for emitter-containing monomers.
Scheme S2. Synthetic routes for hyperfluorescent polymers.

Mon-Ac  \( \overset{1-x-y}{\underset{x \text{ or } y}{\rightarrow}}\)  Mon-H

Mon-Ac  \( \overset{1-x-y}{\underset{x \text{ or } y}{\rightarrow}}\)  Mon-CF

Mon-Ac  \( \overset{1-x-y}{\underset{x \text{ or } y}{\rightarrow}}\)  Mon-CN

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Scheme S2. Synthetic routes for hyperfluorescent polymers.} \\
\end{align*}
\]
3-(4-(8-(4-bromophenyl)octyl)phenyl)perylene (3)

A mixture of 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(perylen-3-yl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (1) (1.13 g, 3.0 mmol), 1,8-bis(4-bromophenyl)octane (2) (1.91 g, 4.5 mmol), Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.17 g, 0.15 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (1.24 g, 9.0 mmol) in 80 ml THF and 20 ml water was stirred at 65 °C under argon atmosphere for 8 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL) and washed with brine three times (100 mL×3). Then the organic phase was dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue was applied to silica gel column using cyclohexane as eluent to afford the product as a yellow solid in a yield of 45%. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.25 – 8.19 (m, 4H), 7.80 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.52 – 7.47 (m, 2H), 7.43 (m, 3H), 7.41 – 7.37 (m, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.05 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 2.71 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 2.50 t, J =7.7 Hz, 2H), 1.73–1.67 (m, 2H), 1.64 – 1.56 (m, 2H), 1.42 – 1.31 (m, 8H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 142.09, 141.81, 140.04, 137.99, 134.71, 133.02, 131.47, 131.37, 131.32, 131.25, 130.42, 130.17, 129.82, 129.09, 128.67, 128.39, 127.71, 126.60, 126.39, 126.22, 120.32, 120.28, 120.03, 119.97, 119.25, 35.76, 35.35, 31.50, 31.32, 29.45, 29.41, 29.18. MALDI-TOF: calcd for C₄₀H₃₅Br: 594.2, found: 594.2 [M⁺], Anal: Calcd for C₄₀H₃₅Br: C, 80.66; H, 5.92; found: C, 80.53; H, 5.82.

3-(4-(8-(4-vinylphenyl)octyl)phenyl)perylene (Mon-PhPe)

A mixture of 3-(4-(8-(4-bromophenyl)octyl)phenyl)perylene (3) (0.60 g, 1.0 mmol), tributyl(vinyl)stannane (0.47 g, 1.5 mmol), and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.06 g, 0.05 mmol) in 20 ml toluene was stirred at 100 °C under argon atmosphere for 3 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction was quenched by 20 mL saturated potassium fluoride aqueous solution. The organic phase was washed with brine three times (40 mL×3) and then dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate. After removing the solvent under vacuum, the residue was applied to silica gel column using cyclohexane as eluent to afford the product as a yellow solid in a yield of 45%.
eluent to afford the product as a yellow solid in yield of 58%. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.26 – 8.18 (m, 4H), 7.80 (d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (d, $J$ = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.51 – 7.46 (m, 2H), 7.42 (t, $J$ = 8.2 Hz, 4H), 7.32 (t, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 4H), 7.14 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.69 (dd, $J$ = 17.6, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.70 (d, $J$ = 17.6, 1H), 5.18 (d, $J$ = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (t, $J$ = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 2.60 (t, $J$ = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 1.74 – 1.66 (m, 2H), 1.66 – 1.58 (m, 2H), 1.43 – 1.32 (m, 8H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 142.70, 142.12, 140.06, 137.98, 136.73, 135.04, 134.71, 133.03, 131.49, 131.37, 131.33, 130.41, 129.82, 129.09, 128.68, 128.57, 128.40, 127.72, 127.66, 126.61, 126.60, 126.39, 126.24, 126.12, 120.31, 120.27, 120.02, 119.97, 112.80, 35.77, 35.70, 31.51, 31.44, 29.47, 29.42, 29.30. MALDI-TOF: calcd for C$_{42}$H$_{38}$: 542.3, found: 542.3 [M$^+$], Anal: Calcd for C$_{42}$H$_{38}$: C, 92.94; H, 7.06; found: C, 92.93; H, 6.98.

$^{N^9,N^{10},N^{11}}$-tris(4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)anthracene-9,10-diamine (5)

A mixture of 10-bromo-$N,N$-bis(4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)anthracen-9-amine (4) (3.21 g, 6.0 mmol), 4-(tert-butylaniline (1.35 g, 9.0 mmol), Pd$_2$(dba)$_3$ (0.27 g, 0.3 mmol), (t-Bu)$_3$HP-BF$_4$ (0.35 g, 1.2 mmol) and t-BuONa (1.15 g, 12.0 mmol) in toluene (50 mL) was stirred at 105 °C under argon for 8 hours. After cooling to room temperature, 200 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$ was added to dilute the solution. The organic phase was washed with brine three times (200 mL×3) and then dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate. After the solvent was removed under vacum, the residue was applied to a silica gel column using cyclohexane/dichloromethane = 25/1 (v/v) as eluent to afford the product as a yellow solid in yield of 73%. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 8.39 (s, 1H), 8.28 – 8.22 (m, 2H), 8.09 – 8.03 (m, 2H), 7.24 – 7.18 (m, 4H), 7.11 (d, $J$ = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.93 – 6.88 (m, 4H), 6.49 (d, $J$ = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 1.21 (s, 27H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 145.50, 145.26, 143.42, 141.49, 136.08, 133.06, 131.45, 130.06, 126.55, 126.10, 125.86, 125.22, 124.50, 119.48, 113.78, 34.07, 33.95, 31.52, 31.40, 26.91, 22.66, 14.13. MALDI-TOF: calcd for C$_{44}$H$_{48}$N$_2$: 604.4, found: 604.4 [M$^+$], Anal: Calcd for C$_{44}$H$_{48}$N$_2$: C, 87.37; H, 8.00, N, 4.63; found: C, 87.28; H, 7.87, N, 4.72.
A mixture of $N^9,N^9,N^{10}$-tris(4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)anthracene-9,10-diamine ($6$) (2.67 g, 4.0 mmol), 1,8-bis(4-bromophenyl)octane ($2$) (2.54 g, 6.0 mmol), Pd$_2$(dba)$_3$ (0.18 g, 0.2 mmol), (t-Bu)$_3$HP-BF$_4$ (0.23 g, 0.8 mmol) and t-BuONa (1.15 g, 12.0 mmol) in toluene (50 mL) was stirred at 105 °C under argon for 8 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the solution was diluted with 200 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The organic phase was washed with brine three times (200 mL×3) and then dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate. After the solvent was removed under vacuum, the residue was applied to silica gel column using cyclohexane as eluent to afford the product as a yellow solid in yield of 67%. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.18 (s, 4H), 7.37 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H), 7.33 (dd, $J = 6.7$, 2.8 Hz, 4H), 7.18 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 6H), 7.00 (dd, $J = 28.4$, 8.0 Hz, 12H), 2.60 – 2.44 (m, 4H), 1.60 – 1.50 (m, 4H), 1.28 (s, 8H), 1.26 (s, 27H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 145.65, 145.27, 143.53, 141.81, 137.50, 132.03, 131.96, 131.24, 130.16, 129.00, 128.59, 128.39, 128.20, 126.50, 125.95, 125.34, 125.27, 119.98, 119.57, 119.23, 35.33, 35.21, 34.09, 31.41, 31.30, 29.71, 29.40, 29.36, 29.15, 26.92, 14.12. MALDI-TOF: calcd for C$_{64}$H$_{71}$BrN$_2$: 946.5, found: 946.5 [M$^+$], Anal: Calcd for C$_{64}$H$_{71}$BrN$_2$: C, 81.07; H, 7.55, N, 2.95; found: C, 81.18; H, 7.46, N, 2.87.

$N^9,N^9,N^{10}$-tris(4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)-$N^{10}$-(4-(8-(4-vinylphenyl)octyl)phenyl)anthracene-9,10-diamine (Mon-TB PAD)

A mixture of $N^9$-(4-(8-(4-bromophenyl)octyl)phenyl)-$N^9,N^{10},N^{10}$-tris(4-(tertbutyl)phenyl)anthracene-9,10-diamine ($6$) (1.90 g, 2.0 mmol), tributyl(vinyl)stannane (0.95 g, 3.0 mmol) and Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$ (0.12 g, 0.1 mmol) in toluene (30 mL) was stirred at 105 °C under argon for 3 hours. After cooling...
to room temperature, the reaction was quenched with 30 mL saturated potassium fluoride aqueous solution. The organic phase was washed with brine three times (40 mL×3), and then dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate. After the solvent was removed under vacuum, the residue was applied to silica gel column using cyclohexane as eluent to afford the product as a yellow solid in yield of 64%. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.18 (d, $J = 6.3$ Hz, 4H), 7.36 − 7.29 (m, 6H), 7.17 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 6H), 7.13 (t, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 2H), 7.07 − 6.94 (m, 10H), 6.68 (dd, $J = 17.6$, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.69 (d, $J = 17.6$ Hz, 1H), 5.17 (d, $J = 10.9$ Hz, 1H), 2.58 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 2H), 2.49 (s, 2H), 1.61 − 1.52 (m, 4H), 1.30 (s, 8H), 1.26 (s, 27H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 145.25, 143.55, 142.71, 137.48, 136.73, 135.02, 132.03, 129.01, 128.56, 128.39, 128.20, 126.50, 126.10, 125.94, 125.53, 125.34, 125.28, 119.99, 119.58, 112.77, 35.97, 35.67, 35.21, 34.09, 31.42, 29.71, 29.43, 29.37, 29.31, 29.27. MALDI-TOF: calcd for C$_{66}$H$_{71}$N$_2$: 894.6, found: 894.6 [M$^+$], Anal: Calcd for C$_{66}$H$_{71}$N$_2$: C, 88.54; H, 8.33; N, 3.13; found: C, 88.43; H, 8.20; N, 3.05.

4-(9-(4-(diphenylamino)phenyl)naphtho[2,3-c][1,2,5]thiadiazol-4-yl)phenol (8)

A mixture of 4-(9-bromonaphtho[2,3-c][1,2,5]thiadiazol-4-yl)-N,N-diphenylaniline (7) (0.61 g, 1.2 mmol), (4-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)boronic acid (0.40 g, 1.56 mmol), Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$ (0.07 g, 0.06 mmol), toluene (20 mL) and K$_2$CO$_3$ aqueous solution (2 M, 4 mL) was stirred at 110 °C under argon for 12 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the organic phase was separated and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was applied to silica gel using hexane/dichloromethane = 8/1 (v/v) as eluent to afford the intermediate with protecting group as a red solid in yield of 74%. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) $\delta$ 8.04 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.55 (dd, $J = 13.2$, 8.5 Hz, 4H), 7.51 − 7.44 (m, 2H), 7.40 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 4H), 7.19 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 6H), 7.12 (dd, $J = 16.9$, 7.9 Hz, 4H), 1.03 (s, 9H), 0.31 (s, 6H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 155.77, 151.64, 151.47, 147.73, 147.56, 132.48, 132.18, 132.04, 129.74, 129.41, 129.25, 127.19, 126.13, 125.14, 123.39, 122.23, 119.91, 25.71, 18.24. MALDI-TOF: calcd for S23
A mixture of the protected intermediate (0.32 g, 0.5 mmol), 30 mL THF and HCl aqueous solution (2 M, 15 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 48 h. Then the mixture was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ three times (30 mL×3), and the combined organic phase was washed with brine (50 mL×3). After drying the organic phase by anhydrous sodium sulfate, the solvent was removed under vacuum to afford the product as a red solid, which was used directly for next step.

$N,N$-diphenyl-4-(9-(4-(8-(3-vinylphenoxy)octyl)oxy)phenyl)naphtho[2,3-c][1,2,5]thiadiazol-4-yl)aniline (Mon-NTTPA)

A mixture of phenol4-(9-(4-(diphenylamino)phenyl)naphtho[2,3-c][1,2,5]thiadiazol-4-yl)phenol (8) (0.15 g, 0.3 mmol), 1-((8-bromooctyl)oxy)-3-vinylbenzene (9) (0.16 g, 0.5 mmol) and Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (0.20 g, 0.6 mmol) were added to 30 mL DMF and stirred at 65 °C under argon for 8 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was poured into water (100 mL) and extracted with CHCl$_3$ (50 mL×3). The combined organic phase was washed with brine (200 mL×3) and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. After the solvent was removed under vacuum, the residue was applied to silica gel column using hexane/dichloromethane = 8/1 (v/v) as eluent to give the product as a red solid in yield of 67%.

$^1$H NMR (500 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) δ 8.34 – 8.30 (m, 1H), 8.26 – 8.21 (m, 1H), 7.67 – 7.63 (m, 2H), 7.63 – 7.57 (m, 2H), 7.34 – 7.29 (m, 2H), 7.20 (dd, $J = 9.3$, 5.7, 3.6 Hz, 4H), 7.13 – 7.04 (m, 10H), 6.96 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.91 – 6.87 (m, 2H), 6.82 (dd, $J = 8.2$, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (dd, $J = 17.6$, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.67 (dd, $J = 17.6$, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (dd, $J = 10.8$, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (t, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 2H), 3.70 (t, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 2H), 1.74 – 1.61 (m, 4H), 1.45 – 1.33 (m, 4H), 1.30 – 1.21 (m, 4H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 159.36, 159.18, 151.75, 151.46, 147.72, 147.55, 138.98, 136.83, 132.43, 132.18, 132.04, 129.72, 129.45, 129.41, 128.53, 127.20, 126.14, 125.14, 123.40, 122.23, 118.75, 114.52, 114.01, 113.97, 112.19, 68.03, 67.91, 29.36, 29.34, 29.31, 26.08, 26.04.
MALDI-TOF: calcd for C$_{50}$H$_{45}$N$_3$O$_2$S: 751.3, found: 751.3 [M$^+$], Anal: Calcd for C$_{50}$H$_{45}$N$_3$O$_2$S: C, 79.86; H, 6.03; N, 5.59; S, 4.26; found: C, 80.01; H, 5.98; N, 5.50; S, 4.32.

**General polymerization procedure for polymers**

The polymers were synthesized by free radical polymerization using azodiisobutyronitrile (AIBN) as radical initiator and THF as solvent. AIBN was recrystallized from diethyl ether and THF was freshly distilled to remove the stabilizer before use. To synthesize the polymers, the monomer and AIBN (2 mol% of the total amount of monomers) were dissolved in THF with total concentration of $\sim$ 0.2 g mL$^{-1}$, which were then stirred at 50 °C for 48 hours under argon atmosphere. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was precipitated into acetone and methanol subsequently. The mixture was filtered and dried under vacuum to give the desired polymers in yields of 63~74%.

**BP02** Mon-Ac (0.948 mmol, 0.2948 g), Mon-TRZ (0.050 mmol, 0.0167 g), Mon-PhPe (0.002 mmol, 0.0011 g) were used in the polymerization to provide the polymer (0.22 g) in yield of 71%. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.62 (s, 1H), 7.52 – 7.26 (m, 8H), 7.23 – 7.10 (m, 6H), 6.99 – 6.22 (m, 50H), 5.90 (s, 14H), 2.20 (d, $J$ = 69.3 Hz, 7H), 1.81 – 1.18 (m, 55H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 140.62, 129.68, 126.36, 125.03, 120.45, 113.67, 53.42, 35.78, 31.13. $M_n$=23.7 k Da, PDI=1.63

**BP05** Mon-Ac (0.945 mmol, 0.2939 g), Mon-TRZ (0.050 mmol, 0.0167 g), Mon-PhPe (0.005 mmol, 0.0027 g) were used in the polymerization to provide the polymer (0.21 g) in yield of 68%. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.62 (s, 1H), 7.28 (d, $J$ = 45.6 Hz, 9H), 7.25 – 7.10 (m, 9H), 6.82 (d, $J$ = 211.8 Hz, 57H), 5.90 (s, 15H), 2.20 (d, $J$ = 65.7 Hz, 8H), 1.81 – 1.18 (m, 60H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 140.62, 129.67, 126.28, 125.12, 120.44, 113.62, 53.42, 40.67, 35.78, 31.15. $M_n$=21.4 k Da, PDI=1.80
BP10 Mon-Ac (0.940 mmol, 0.2923 g), Mon-TRZ (0.050 mmol, 0.0167 g), Mon-PhPe (0.010 mmol, 0.0054 g) were used in the polymerization to provide the polymer (0.23 g) in yield of 74%. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.62 (s, 1H), 7.51 – 7.26 (m, 9H), 7.25 – 7.08 (m, 9H), 6.83 (d, $J = 211.0$ Hz, 51H), 5.90 (s, 14H), 2.65 – 1.90 (m, 7H), 1.90 – 1.17 (m, 59H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 140.60, 140.59, 129.72, 129.67, 126.30, 126.26, 125.08, 125.05, 120.45, 113.65, 113.60, 77.26, 77.01, 76.76, 53.42, 35.79, 31.15, 0.00. $M_n$=24.3 k Da, PDI=1.60

Ctrl-BP05 Mon-Ac (0.995 mmol, 0.3094 g), Mon-PhPe (0.005 mmol, 0.0027 g) were used in the polymerization to provide the polymer as (0.22 g) in yield of 71%. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.56 (d $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.46 – 7.42 (m, 2H), 7.42 – 7.26 (m, 21H), 7.24 – 7.14 (m, 12H), 7.10 – 6.19 (m, 135H), 6.18 – 5.70 (m, 35H), 2.53 – 1.96 (m, 17H), 1.91 – 1.12 (m, 147H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 141.55, 140.58, 130.55, 129.69, 126.29, 125.08, 120.47, 113.64, 53.42, 35.80, 31.22. $M_n$=22.4 k Da, PDI=1.76

GP05 Mon-Ac (0.945 mmol, 0.2939 g), Mon-CF (0.050 mmol, 0.0236 g), Mon-TBPAD (0.005 mmol, 0.0045 g) were used in the polymerization to provide the polymer (0.22 g) in yield of 69%. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.64 (s, 1H), 8.18 (s, 1H), 7.62 (s, 1H), 7.08 – 6.21 (m, 88H), 5.91 (s, 22H), 2.62 – 1.93 (m, 13H), 1.52 (s, 90H), 1.26 (d, $J = 4.3$ Hz, 2H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 141.45, 140.66, 129.68, 129.19, 126.29, 125.04, 120.52, 113.60, 35.81, 31.14. $M_n$=28.1 k Da, PDI=1.95

GP10 Mon-Ac (0.940 mmol, 0.2923 g), Mon-CF (0.050 mmol, 0.0236 g), Mon-TBPAD (0.010 mmol, 0.0090 g) were used in the polymerization to provide the polymer (0.21 g) in yield of 66%. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.64 (s, 1H), 8.18 (s, 1H), 7.62 (s, 1H), 7.32 (m, 13H), 7.24 – 7.10 (m, 11H), 7.09 – 6.21 (m, 79H), 5.90 (s, 20H), 2.50 – 1.90 (m, 11H), 1.52 (s, 82H), 1.26 (d, $J = 4.6$ Hz, 4H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 141.41, 140.60, 130.49, 129.74, 129.13, 126.31, 125.06, 120.44, 113.59, 35.78, 31.41, 31.16. $M_n$=24.7 k Da, PDI=1.87
**GP15** Mon-Ac (0.935 mmol, 0.2908 g), Mon-CF (0.050 mmol, 0.0236 g), Mon-TBPAD (0.015 mmol, 0.0135 g) were used in the polymerization to provide the polymer (0.21 g) in yield of 63%. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.64 (s, 1H), 8.18 (s, 1H), 7.62 (s, 1H), 7.10 – 6.21 (m, 82H), 5.91 (s, 21H), 2.57 – 1.89 (m, 11H), 1.52 (s, 82H), 1.25 (s, 4H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 140.64, 129.68, 129.23, 126.25, 125.04, 120.53, 113.65, 35.82, 31.19. $M_n$=25.4 k Da, PDI=1.84

**Ctrl-GP10** Mon-Ac (0.990 mmol, 0.3079 g), Mon-TBPAD (0.010 mmol, 0.0090 g) were used in the polymerization to provide the polymer (0.21 g) in yield of 68%. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.17 (m, 7H), 7.09 – 6.20 (m, 19H), 5.91 (s, 5H), 2.20 (m, 63.5 Hz, 3H), 1.41 (m, 19H), 1.26 (s, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 140.68, 129.66, 126.25, 125.06, 120.52, 113.59, 35.81, 31.16. $M_n$=23.1 k Da, PDI=1.99.

**RP05** Mon-Ac (0.945 mmol, 0.2939 g), Mon-CN (0.050 mmol, 0.0192 g), Mon-NTTPA (0.005 mmol, 0.0037 g) were used in the polymerization to provide the polymer (0.21 g) in yield of 65%. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.55 (s, 1H), 8.22 (s, 1H), 6.83 (d, $J$ = 209.6 Hz, 59H), 5.91 (s, 15H), 2.67 – 1.95 (m, 8H), 1.95 – 1.19 (m, 61H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 140.59, 129.70, 126.23, 124.98, 120.50, 113.56, 35.78, 31.21. $M_n$=27.6 k Da, PDI=1.73

**RP10** Mon-Ac (0.940 mmol, 0.2923 g), Mon-CN (0.050 mmol, 0.0192 g), Mon-NTTPA (0.010 mmol, 0.0075 g) were used in the polymerization to provide the polymer (0.22 g) in yield of 68%. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.56 (s, 1H), 8.28 (s, 1H), 6.83 (d, $J$ = 208.3 Hz, 59H), 5.91 (s, 15H), 2.66 – 1.90 (m, 10H), 1.95 – 1.19 (m, 65H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 140.61, 129.70, 126.27, 125.06, 120.49, 113.57, 35.82, 31.22. $M_n$=26.4 k Da, PDI=1.83
**RP15** Mon-Ac (0.935 mmol, 0.2908 g), Mon-CN (0.050 mmol, 0.0192 g), Mon-NTTPA (0.015 mmol, 0.0113 g) were used in the polymerization to provide the polymer (0.23 g) in yield of 72%. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.74 – 8.48 (m, 1H), 8.27 (s, 1H), 8.04 (s, 1H), 7.71 – 7.50 (m, 2H), 7.50 – 7.11 (m, 24H), 7.07 – 6.23 (m, 61H), 6.20 – 5.49 (m, 16H), 3.92 (m, 1H), 2.74 – 1.88 (m, 9H), 1.88 – 0.54 (m, 72H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 141.32, 140.63, 130.61, 129.64, 129.03, 128.21, 126.31, 124.99, 120.44, 114.02, 113.55, 40.79, 35.78, 31.34, 31.19. $M_n$=24.0 k Da, PDI=1.88

**Ctrl-RP15** Mon-Ac (0.985 mmol, 0.3063 g), Mon-NTTPA (0.015 mmol, 0.0113 g) were used in the polymerization to provide the polymer (0.24 g) in yield of 74%. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.59 – 7.12 (m, 6H), 7.11 – 6.22 (m, 16H), 5.91 (s, 4H), 2.53 – 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.61 (d, $J=84.2$ Hz, 17H), 1.27 – 0.70 (m, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 140.68, 129.73, 126.29, 125.08, 120.47, 113.56, 35.81, 31.25. $M_n$=23.3 k Da, PDI=1.76
Figure S14. $^1$H NMR spectrum of 3.

Figure S15. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 3.
Figure S16. MALDI-TOF spectrum of 3.

Figure S17. $^1$H NMR spectrum of Mon-PhPe
Figure S18. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of Mon-PhPe

Figure S19. MALDI-TOF spectrum of Mon-PhPe
Figure S20. $^1$H NMR spectrum of 5.

Figure S21. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 5.
Figure S22. MALDI-TOF spectrum of 5.

Figure S23. $^1$H NMR spectrum of 6.
Figure S24. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 6.

Figure S25. MALDI-TOF spectrum of 6.
Figure S26. $^1$H NMR spectrum of Mon-TBPAD.

Figure S27. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of Mon-TBPAD.
Figure S28. MALDI-TOF spectrum of Mon-TBPAD

Figure S29. $^1$H NMR spectrum of 8 with protecting group.
Figure S30. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 8 with protecting group.

Figure S31. MALDI-TOF spectrum of 8 with protecting group.
Figure S32. $^1$H NMR spectrum of Mon-NTTPA

Figure S33. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of Mon-NTTPA
Figure S34. MALDI-TOF spectrum of Mon-NTTPA
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