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Abstract: Background: In Benin, adherence to intermittent preventive treatment against malaria in pregnant women is below national indicators. This study aimed to determine the rate of IPT1, IPT2, and IPT3 and to investigate the sociodemographic and gynecological factors associated with IPTp’s intake. Methods: During a cross-sectional study conducted from October 2017 to February 2018 in southern Benin, 422 women, pregnant in the last trimester or who gave birth less than a month ago were included. Sociodemographic, gynecological and obstetric factors were collected. Logistic regression model was fitted to search for factors associated with IPT’s use. Results: The rates of IPT1, IPT2 and IPT3 were 36.49%, 26.78% and 11.14% respectively. Primiparous mothers (OR=1.31 [1.11-1.92]), women who had attended at least 4 antenatal care visits (ACV) (OR=12.93 [6.27-26.64]) and those who received IPT counseling during their ACV (OR=5.27 [3.02-9.17]) were more likely to take IPT. Conversely, the increase in women’s age was associated with a lower probability of taking IPT (OR=0.56 [0.51-0.92]). No significant association was found with marital status (p=0.37) and schooling level (p=0.38). Conclusion: This study confirmed the low use of IPTp for all doses. Our findings suggest strengthening public health interventions to increase women's participation in prenatal consultations by targeting older and multiparous women. Intervention such as organizing intra-community prenatal consultations in addition to those organized at the health center would be useful to improve the national coverage of the IPTp.
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1. Introduction

In 2016, more than 216 million cases of malaria were reported worldwide, representing an increase of more than 5 million cases compared to 2015 [1]. And Sub-Saharan Africa is the most affected with nearly 90% of deaths related to malaria [1, 2]. Every year, more than 25 million of pregnant
women are at risk of malaria worldwide and especially in Sub-Saharan Africa [1]. Pregnant women represent a particularly vulnerable population. Gestational malaria can be manifested in two ways, namely malaria infection in the circulating peripheral blood and placental malaria infection. The negative consequences of gestational malaria affect both mother and fetus and have been widely described in the literature, including maternal anemia, maternal death, fetal anemia, stillbirth, neonatal death, prematurity and intrauterine growth retardation leading to low birth weight [2-11].

For more than a decade, the World Health Organization (WHO) has recommended intermittent preventive treatment (IPT) for malaria in pregnant women to ensure good protection against this pathology especially in endemic areas like Sub-Saharan Africa. IPT is a fixed combination of 2 molecules, Sulfadoxine-Pyrimethamine (SP). In October 2012, the WHO recommendations were updated [12]: the IPT is administered in supervised dose by a health worker from the 2nd trimester at every antenatal care visit consultation (AVC) until delivery in respecting an interval of at least one month between 2 consecutive doses [12, 13].

Benin, a country located in malaria-endemic area, adopted IPT in 2006 and set the national coverage performance standards at 80%. But after more than 10 years of implementation, and despite the free IPT in pregnant women set up by the national program against malaria, the national coverage of IPT remains low, 40%. In Ouidah-Kpomassé-Tori Bossito health zone, the IPT coverage is even lower than the national rate and is around 30 to 35%. It therefore seems relevant to investigate the reasons for this low coverage. In general, several parameters can be involved. In this study, we have particularly focused on factors that are directly linked with women such as sociodemographic and gynecological factors. Our main objective was to determine the role of these factors on the use of IPTp.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Area Characteristics

This cross-sectional study conducted during 05 months from October 2017 to February 2018, took place in Ouidah / Kpomassé / Tori-Bossito (OKT) area, located in the Atlantic Department, south of the Republic of Benin. It is a semi-urban area of 942 km², crossed by Lake Ahémé and several satellite streams providing larval breeding, the climate is tropical alternating between two rainy seasons and two dry seasons during the year. In 2016 the population was estimated at 254742 inhabitants (National Institute of Statistics and Economic Analysis, INSÆ) and the incidence of malaria was 49.3‰ (Ministry of Health, Demographic and Health Survey 2016). The main mosquito vectors that exist in this area are Anopheles gambiae ss and Anopheles funestus. Plasmodium falciparum is the most commonly identified parasite species [14].

2.2. Study Population

We included pregnant women in the last trimester or women who gave birth less than a month ago, and permanently living in the study area. Exclusion criteria:

1) Women not eligible to take Sulfadoxine-Pyrimethamine (allergy, taking cotrimoxazole in progress)
2) Refusal to participate

We combined 2 types of sampling methods. The first step was a stratified probability proportional sampling. We have formed 3 strata corresponding to Ouidah, Kpomassé and Tori-Bossito. The size within each stratum was proportional to the size of the population in the corresponding town. The second step was a 3-stage cluster sampling. The first degree was the district of each town, the second degree the village and the third degree the house or the hearth. At each degree a random draw has been made. The size of the sample was calculated using the Schwartz formula: \(N = \frac{c^2pq}{i^2}\) with \(c = 1.96\) (for \(\alpha = 5\%\), \(p=\)Prevalence of the IPT in zone OKT=35\%, \(q=1 - p=65\%), and \(i\) the expected accuracy=0.05. We decided to increase the sample size by 20% to give greater statistical power. The calculated sample size was therefore 420 subjects. A total of 422 women were included in the study.

2.3. Data Collection

Date were collected at the health center or at home, during an individual interview where a questionnaire was filled in. Investigators were trained before the start of the field phase and were supervised by a senior epidemiologist.

2.4. Variables Definition

Dependent variable
Taking IPT was the dependent variable of our study, qualitative variable, coded 1 when the woman took at least one dose of IPT during pregnancy and coded 0 if no.

Independent variables
1) Sociodemographic factors: age, marital status, level of education, occupation status, ethnic group and religion.
2) Gynecological factors: parity, number of antenatal care visits (ACV), receiving IPT counseling during ACV, pregnancy related hypertension, genital infections and anemia during pregnancy, knowledge of malaria complications on pregnancy.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Inconsistencies, duplicates, and missing data were systematically detected and corrected. After description of the study population, univariate and multivariate analyzes were conducted to investigate factors associated with taking IPTp. We used Chi2 test or Fisher test to compare percentages and Student test to compare means. All variables with \(p<0.20\) in univariate analysis were introduced into a logistic regression model for multivariate analysis. In multivariate, women’s schooling status have been recoded in 2 categories: schooled and unschooled women. Statistical
significance was set at p<0.05. Data were processed using STATA 11® (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

2.6. Ethical

Women were included on the basis of their free and informed oral consent. Before the start of data collection, public information sessions were organized in the 3 towns by the teams of investigators. Each participant had the right to withdraw from the study at any time.

3. Results

3.1. Use of IPTp

As shown in figure 1, in the study population, 108 women never took IPT during pregnancy and almost 75% took at least one dose of IPT. By looking at the different doses of IPT taken by the women, we observed a progressive decrease, 36.49%, 26.78% and 11.14% respectively for the 1st dose, the 2nd dose and the 3rd dose of IPTp.

Figure 1. Use of malarial IPTp.

3.2. Sociodemographic Factors

Mean age (SD) was 25.63 years (6.42) and the majority of women, 55.21% were at most 25 years old. Whether in qualitative variable (p=0.64) or quantitative (p=0.16), women's age was not associated with taking IPTp. But our study suggests that women who take the IPTp are younger. Most women were married (80.09%), more than half were out of school (57.35%). There was no significant difference in using malarial IPTp with women’s marital status (0.12) and schooling status (0.19). Majority of participants were artisan, 45.97% or merchants, 39.10%. Six percent were students. The dominant ethnic group and religion were respectively Fon (83.65%) and Christian (60.90%). We have not found any significant association between these sociodemographic factors and the use of malarial IPTp. (Table 1)

| Table 1. Sociodemographic factors. |
|-----------------------------------|
| **Age**                          | **N (%) or Mean (SD)** | **Use of malarial IPTp (N or Mean)** | **P** |
| Age in class                      |                        |                                       |       |
| ≤ 25                             | 233 (55.21)            | 24.88                                  | 0.64  |
| [25-35]                          | 154 (36.49)            | 170                                    |       |
| > 35                             | 35 (8.29)              | 116                                    |       |
| Marital status                    |                        |                                        |       |
| Married                           | 338 (80.09)            | 250                                    | 0.12  |
| Not married                       | 84 (19.91)             | 64                                     |       |
| Schooling status                  |                        |                                        |       |
| Unschooled                       | 242 (57.35)            | 176                                    | 0.19  |
| Primary and secondary            | 175 (41.47)            | 134                                    |       |
| higher                           | 5 (1.18)               | 4                                      |       |
| Occupation                       |                        |                                        |       |
| Unemployed                       | 23 (5.45)              | 15                                     | 0.43  |
| Student                          | 27 (6.40)              | 20                                     |       |
| Artisan                          | 194 (45.97)            | 141                                    |       |
### 3.3. Gynecological and Obstetrical Factors

The majority of women were primiparous, 56.64%. Parity was significantly related to taking IPTp; indeed, there were more primiparous mothers among women who took IPTp. Mean number (SD) of antenatal care visits (ACV) was 3.49 (2.26). Women who complied with IPTp had a significantly higher number of ACV than others (p=0.000). This association was observed even when the number of ACV was analyzed as qualitative variable (<4; ≥4). Nearly 60% of women reported receiving IPTp counseling during their ACV and this was significantly positively associated with taking IPTp (p=0.000). Among women surveyed, 13.98% had anemia, 22.04% had a genital infection, and 7% had a pregnancy-related hypertension. Almost 35% of women reported they did not know the complications of malaria on pregnancy. We found that pregnancy-related hypertension and genital infections during pregnancy were significantly related to taking IPTp. (Table 2).

### Table 2. Gyneco-obstetrical factors.

| Use of malarial IPTp (N or Mean) | N (%) or Mean (SD) | P |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---|
|                                  | Yes | No | |
| **Parity**                       |     |    | 0.01 |
| Primiparous                      | 239 (56.64) | 174 | 65 |
| Multiparous                      | 183 (43.36) | 140 | 43 |
| **Number of ACV**                |     |    | 0.000 |
| Number of ACV                    | 3.49 (2.26) | 4.21 | 1.42 |
| ≥ 4                              | 189 (44.79) | 179 | 10 |
| **IPT counseling during ACV**    |     |    | 0.000 |
| Yes                              | 248 (58.77) | 216 | 32 |
| No                               | 174 (41.23) | 98 | 76 |
| **Anemia during pregnancy**      |     |    | 0.72 |
| Yes                              | 59 (13.98) | 45 | 14 |
| No                               | 363 (86.02) | 269 | 94 |
| **Genital infections during pregnancy** | | | |
| Yes                              | 93 (22.04) | 80 | 13 |
| No                               | 329 (77.96) | 234 | 95 |
| **Pregnancy related hypertension** | | | |
| Yes                              | 29 (6.87) | 28 | 1 |
| No                               | 393 (93.13) | 286 | 107 |
| **Knowledge of malaria complications on pregnancy** | | | |
| Yes                              | 278 (65.88) | 208 | 70 |
| No                               | 144 (34.12) | 106 | 38 |

* Fisher’s test

### 3.4. Factors Associated with Taking IPTp. Multivariate Analysis

The variables: age, parity, number of ACV, counseling on IPTp during ACV were significantly associated with taking IPTp. Increasing age, the probability of taking the IPTp decreases. Older women have poor adherence to using malarial IPTp compared to younger (OR=0.56, p=0.031). The probability of taking IPTp was higher in primiparous women than in multiparous (OR=1.31, p=0.04) and in women who had attended at least 4 ACV compared to those who had less than 4 ACV (OR=12.93, p=0.000). The occurrence of genital infections during pregnancy seemed to increase the probability of taking IPTp but this effect was
borderline (P=0.09). Pregnancy-related hypertension was no longer associated with the use of IPTp in multivariate analysis. (Table 3).

### Table 3. Factors associated with use of malarial IPTp. Multivariate analysis by logistic regression.

| Variables                          | Use of malarial IPTp | p     |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------|
|                                    | Adjusted OR [95% CI] |       |
| Age                                | 0.56 [0.51; 0.92]    | 0.031 |
| Marital status (Married)           | 1.74 [0.81; 3.34]    | 0.37  |
| Schooling status (Schooled)        | 1.49 [0.78; 2.89]    | 0.38  |
| Parity (Primiparous)               | 1.31 [1.10; 1.92]    | 0.04  |
| Number of ACV ≥ 4                  | 12.93 [6.27; 26.64]  | 0.000 |
| IPT counseling during ACV (Yes)    | 5.27 [3.02; 9.17]    | 0.000 |
| Genital infections during pregnancy| 1.87 [0.89; 3.92]    | 0.09  |
| Pregnancy related Hypertension     | 1.29 [0.67; 2.47]    | 0.51  |

4. Discussion

This study, which concerned 422 women in the Ouidah-Kpomassé-Tori Bossito area, aimed to determine the compliance with the recommended doses of IPTp and to determine women’s sociodemographic and gynecological associated factors.

Just over 25% of women never took IPT during pregnancy. The rates were 36.49%, 26.78% and 11.14% respectively for IPT1, IPT2 and IPT3 doses. Primiparous, women who had followed up at least 4 antenatal care visits, and women who received IPTp counseling during their ACV had a significantly greater probability of taking IPTp. In contrast, age was a reducing factor, older women had a lower probability of taking IPTp.

The rate noted was quite low and gradually decreased for the 2nd and 3rd doses of IPT. Benin's national performance targets for IPT were not met. The low prevalence in the OKT health zone is consistent with what is observed in other departments and at national level in Benin. IPT coverage during pregnancy is low and requires a lot of effort to be made to reach the set goals. According to the latest WHO Malaria Report 2017, the coverage rate of IPT3 was 19% on average in 23 African countries [1], higher than the 11.14% observed in our work. In Africa, the IPTp uptake rate varies depending on country. In Senegal, a rate similar to ours was observed with 80.35% of women taking at least one dose of IPTp [15]. In a recent study in Ghana, observed prevalence was higher than ours: 98.5% of women received at least one dose of IPTp and 71% received fully 3 doses of IPTp [16]. Lower rates were found in rural Tanzania where 16% of women surveyed never took IPTp during pregnancy, 54% took one dose and 34% 2 doses [17].

Primiparous mothers more complied with taking IPTp compared to multiparous, our observations are shared by other authors [18-22]. This is because primiparous mothers use health center services more often, such as antenatal care and even postnatal care, as several studies have shown [23, 24], since IPTp doses are given and supervised by health workers during these consultations. The same observation was also made in Nigeria [25]. Several reasons could explain this fact. These primiparous mothers are mostly young. They have little experience in maternity, are often worried about the progress of pregnancy and need to be reassured by the advices of health workers and regular medical examinations during antenatal consultations. On the other hand, older women have more children and are more confident because they have a lot of experience from previous pregnancies. Therefore they go to health center only in case of proven illness. Such a situation involves risks on mother-and-child health and it is necessary to revise this by adopting a multisectional approach. This approach must take into account the improvement of the health system and the performance of health centers, must better include both pregnant women and their husbands.

Women who attended at least 4 ACV and those who received IPTg counseling during ACV have a higher probability of taking IPTp. This result is consistent since, according to WHO recommendations, IPTp should be taken directly and supervised by a health worker during an antenatal consultation. Our results are consistent with what is widely described in LMICs, highlighting the important positive role that antenatal consultation has played in taking IPTp and in the outcome of pregnancy in general [15, 16, 18, 19, 21, 22, 26, 27]. The antenatal consultation allows a good monitoring of the pregnancy and a better follow-up of the fetal intrauterine growth. It can early detect any abnormality that may occur during pregnancy. In our study population, less than half of women, 44.79%, attended at least 4 ACV as recommended by WHO. In LMICs this recommendation is poorly respected with rates ranging from 30% to 40% of women having attended at least 4 ACV [28]. The almost 45% observed in our study are higher than the national rate of 29.4% of women having had at least 4 ACV according to the statistics of the Ministry of Public Health in 2016, and represent a better gyneco-obstetrical follow-up of the pregnancy. However, one of the measures proposed in this work, the advanced strategy of ACV in homes and households, will improve this important indicator of maternal and child health. Organizing intra-community prenatal consultations in addition to those organized at the health center would be useful to improve the national coverage of the IPTp.

During the prenatal consultations, apart from the medical examination, advice is given to women on the progress of the pregnancy, the precautionary measures to be taken,
information is given on the pathologies related to pregnancy and the first signs of emergency. This advice includes malaria and malaria prophylaxis with IPTp. In our study, we found that these advices were significantly associated with taking IPTp. Studies in Ghana, Kenya, and Malawi also found that women positively valued the advice given by health workers during prenatal consultations [29-31].

We observed in our study during univariate analysis, that women who had genital infections during pregnancy and those who presented pregnancy related hypertension, were more likely to receive IPTp. On the medical level, there is no direct link between genital infections during pregnancy, pregnancy related hypertension and taking IPTp. But the relationship is rather indirect. These variables, which can be described as instrumental variables, actually reflect the woman's presence at the health center outside the normal prenatal consultation schedule. When the pregnant woman is healthy and has no signs of worry, she does not feel the need to come to the health center. She prefers to go about her business, to devote herself to her professional and income-generating activities. But when she is ill she goes to the health center for examination and proper care. And it is during this consultation that the health staff by stripping the woman's health book realizes that this dose of IPTp has not been taken. The woman is then given the required dose of IPTp in addition to other care related to the pathology that brought her to the health center. Our results shed light on a sociological aspect of people's perception of health and care. In their logic, when we are not sick, we do not have to go to the health center. And we only go when the state of health requires it. This implies proposals for actions with an advanced strategy where health workers and community relays go inside the community, homes and households to offer ACV services and distribute IPTp free of charge. As in the case of vaccination campaigns, for example, children who do not come to the health center are vaccinated at home by health workers who move from household to household. This type of public health intervention already exists in Benin for other health issues and should be extended to the ACV. Positive results have been observed in other countries [32].

In populations living in rural or semi-rural areas, the level of education was described as a determining factor in observance of health care in general. Women with a higher level of education are more receptive to awareness and education messages, health informations. They better understand the risks associated with various diseases and more often adopt the recommended preventive measures. In our study population, the variable “schooling status” was not associated with the use of malarial IPTp. In Benin, like many other African countries, information, education and communication messages, communication messages for behavior change are explained and disseminated in the local languages of target populations during outreach sessions. This promotes their understanding and their adherence to messages. This may explain in our study the lack of link between women's level of education and the use of malarial IPTp.

In this work, we have chosen to focus only on factors related to pregnant women. But the compliance with recommendations on IPTp can also depends on other factors such as the sufficient presence and availability of qualified health worker, the supply, free distribution and efficient management of the Sulfadoxine-Pyrimethamine stock by health authorities in the OKT zone. It is therefore relevant to carry out additional studies taking into account in particular, the management of Sulfadoxine-Pyrimethamine stock made by the health centers, as well as other parameters such as perception of health by the pregnant woman, index of household wealth.

5. Conclusion

Our results showed that it is older and multiparous women who do not adhere to the schedule for intermittent preventive malaria treatment. This can be corrected by increasing the number of prenatal consultations through awareness raising, information, education and by organizing intra-community prenatal consultations.
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