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1 Introduction

With the increasing global population and the growth of cities, the quantity of municipal wastewater has rapidly increased. Consequently, the production of sewage sludge (SS), a by-product of biological wastewater treatment, in wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) has continuously increased in recent years (Xu and Dai, 2020). For example, by the end of 2019, SS production (defined as having an 80% moisture content) in China had exceeded 50.0 million tons/year, and it is predicted to exceed 65.0 million tons/year between 2020 and 2025 (Geng et al. 2020). Notably,...
SS contains large concentrations of toxic, harmful and perishable pollutants (i.e., biological protein, polysaccharides, microbial cells, and its secretion etc.), which may cause great environmental harm if not properly treated. Anaerobic digestion (AD) is one of the most popular and promising methods of treating the sludge, because it can reduce the amount of sludge, kill pathogenic microorganisms, and recover the bio-energy such as methane at the same time.

The earliest application of AD is thought to have commenced in the 19th century, and it has gradually become an accepted technology for the treatment of biodegradable organic wastes (McCarty, 2001). For example, between 1995 and 2010, approximately 150–200 large-scale AD plants were established across Europe, with a capacity increase of 6 million tonnes of biomass annually (Fagbohungbe et al., 2015). In general, non-SS organic waste is categorised in terms of its total solids (TS) content, with low-solid AD (LS-AD) systems processing non-SS organic waste with a TS content <15%, and high-solid AD (HS-AD) systems processing non-SS organic waste with a TS content >15% (Rapport et al., 2008; Li et al., 2011). As SS contains a large volume of water, conventional AD operates on SS with a low solid content (0.2%–5%), Zhang et al. (2015 and 2016) explored the influence of sludge TS content on AD and diffusion behaviour, and concluded that a TS content of 6% represented the boundary between the LS-AD and HS-AD of SS. HS-AD systems have also been applied to treat non-SS solid organic materials, such as yard waste, food wastes, and organic fractions of municipal solid wastes (OFMSW) (Li et al., 2011). However, the use of LS-AD systems to treat SS is not always feasible in small-scale WWTPs, or if SS has a low organic-matter content, i.e., volatile solids (VS)/TS <50%. For example, the LS-AD of SS has not been well applied in China: up to 2010, only 70 of China’s 5200 WWTPs incorporated LS-AD systems, and only 20 of these were operated routinely. The main reasons for this are poor management, economic limitations, and inadequate planning, as well as the characteristics of SS (i.e., low VS/TS) in China. Specifically, in many areas of China, the VS content of sludge has been found to be much lower (typically <55% of TS) than that in developed countries (usually >70% of TS) (Duan et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2020a). HS-AD may be a viable way to solve these problems, because this SS processing technique uses a smaller reactor volume, has lower energy requirements for heating, generates less wastewater, and has a higher volumetric biogas production rate than LS-AD. Recently, SS dewatering has been developed to reduce SS volume, which enhances the HS-AD process. For example, Duan et al. (2012) proved the feasibility of HS-AD of SS under mesophilic conditions by using dewatered SS (TS contents of 10%, 15% and 20%), and also found that with a concentration of free ammonia-nitrogen (FAN) <600 mg/L, the HS-AD of SS was satisfactorily stable. Moreover, they found that although methane production and VS reduction by the HS-AD of SS were similar to those by the LS-AD of SS, with the same solid retention time (SRT), a much higher volumetric methane production rate was achieved by the HS-AD system. Hidaka et al. (2013) also reported that the AD of SS containing 10% TS can be successfully achieved under mesophilic conditions, and highlighted that controlling total ammonia concentration renders the HS-AD of SS suitable for use in small facilities. These findings were further confirmed by Liao et al. (2014), who found that the HS-AD of SS significantly increased the volumetric biogas production rate and the treatment capability of digesters. These researchers also proposed that HS-AD offers an attractive option for treating dewatered sludge, which could provide a new direction for the anaerobic treatment of SS.

Figure 1 shows the annual numbers of publications on ScienceDirect containing the terms “HS-AD of SS” and “HS-AD”. Notably, the numbers of such publications have increased in the last 15 years, with more than twice as many publications containing the term “HS-AD” than the term “HS-AD of SS” in this period. This indicates that HS-AD has been mainly applied to the processing of non-SS organic wastes in the last 15 years, as confirmed by recent studies (Li et al., 2011; Fagbohungbe et al., 2015; André et al., 2018). In addition, a careful examination of the Fig. 1 shows that the annual number of published papers containing the term “HS-AD” did not increase from 2006 to 2010, but sharply increased after 2011. From 2006 to 2008, fewer than 10 papers contained the term “HS-AD of SS”, but this number rapidly increased from 2008 to 2017. It can be seen that all of the publications containing the term “HS-AD of SS” between 2006 and 2020 were research papers, and there has been no literature review of the research on HS-AD systems used to process SS. Accordingly, the aims of this paper are to provide a comprehensive review of the research progress in the development of HS-AD systems for SS processing, to identify knowledge gaps, and to discuss the future directions of research to improve the HS-AD of SS.

2 Overview of current research on the HS-AD of SS

2.1 Factors affecting the HS-AD of SS

Although the HS-AD of SS was proposed more than 20 years ago (PWRI, 1997; Hidaka et al., 2013), it is still not a widely used technique. The instability of HS-AD performance is a key bottleneck to its wide uptake. There are many factors that affect the stability of HS-AD of SS. According to the published literatures, the operating
Factors and the intrinsic factors are two main factors affecting the HS-AD performance of SS. These main influencing factors are summarized in Table 1.

2.1.1 The main operating factors affecting the HS-AD of SS

Recently, Duan et al. (2012) proved that the semi-continuous mesophilic HS-AD of SS with HS concentrations of 10%, 15%, and 20% was possible, which suggests that satisfactory stability of the HS-AD of SS can be achieved by adjusting the main process parameters. As shown in Table 1, the main operating parameters that affect the efficiency of HS-AD of SS—namely solid concentration, agitation, SRT, temperature, and pH—are thus regaining attention.

First of all, the most direct factor is the magnitude of the HS concentration, which has been considered to limit the efficiency and stability of HS-AD of SS (Lay et al., 1997; Liao et al., 2014). For example, Lay et al. (1997) investigated the effects of moisture content on the HS-AD of SS under mesophilic conditions and found that the relative methanogenic activity decreased from 100% to 50% with a decrease in SS moisture content from 96% to 90%, which indicated that the HS concentration restricted the mass and energy transport in the biochemical reactions of the HS-AD process. These findings were further confirmed by Le Hyaric et al. (2011), who have reported that a low water content in sludge decreased molecular diffusivity and resulted in a substantial decline in methanogenic activity. Liao et al. (2014) also found that with an increase of TS from 4.47% to 15.67%, the slow degradation period was prolonged and the biogas yield decreased. They attributed these findings to the fact that a high TS concentration led to the rapid generation of a high concentration of metabolites (i.e., volatile fatty acids (VFAs) and ammonia), which then accumulated rather than being transformed further. Zhang et al. (2015 and 2016) demonstrated that the inhibition of mass transfer was a non-negligible problem during the HS-AD of SS, as they found that increasing TS from 6% to 15% without agitation led to sharp decreases in diffusion coefficients. They proposed that solid concentration has a significant influence on the mass transfer during HS-AD. Importantly, Liao and Li (2015) highlighted that the inhibition of mass transfer in HS sludge can be relieved by improved agitation. They conducted a pilot-scale HS-AD of SS for 9.5 months using an enhanced stirring system, and found that the VS reduction and biogas production were similar to those achieved by the LS-AD of SS, which was consistent with the findings of Duan et al (2012). These findings also suggest that the HS-AD of SS may need a special anaerobic digester with the enhancement of stirring impeller and mix system, which is different from the conventional anaerobic digester.

The SRT is a key parameter in the anaerobic treatment of SS. Typically, an appropriate SRT is crucial for balancing hydrolysis-acidification and methanogenesis in the HS-AD of SS. For example, it is well known that a long SRT leads to the increased removal of VS, especially from the slowly degradable organic matters of SS (Kapp H., 1984; Young et al., 2013; Jahn et al., 2016), while a long SRT decreases SS treatment efficiency and thus increases SS disposal costs (Young et al., 2013). Therefore, reducing SRT becomes an important way to improve the HS-AD of SS.
| Factor              | Stability Description                                                                 | Microbial Activity                                                                 | Biogas/Methane Production                      | VS Reduction                                      | References                                                                 |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Solid concentration| The stability decreases with an increase of TS content from 6% to 15%                 | Methanogenic activity decreases from 100% to 50% with an increase of TS content from 4% to 10% | Decrease with an increase of TS content from 4.47% to 15.67% | Degradation of VS is prolonged                  | Lay et al., 1997; Le Hyaric et al., 2011; Liao et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016 |
| Agitation           | The stability increases by improving agitation                                         | Increase by improving agitation                                                    | Increase by improving agitation                | Increase by improving agitation                  | Duan et al., 2012; Liao and Li, 2015                                      |
| SRT                 | The stability decreases with a decrease of SRT from 15 days to 10 days                | Increase in biogas-production rate with a decrease of SRT from 35 days to 12 days  | Increase with an increase of SRT              | Increase with an increase of SRT                | Kapp, 1984; Ng and Liu, 2010; Young et al., 2013; Jahn et al., 2016          |
| Temperature         | The stability decreases with the temperature shift from mesophilic to thermophilic     | The mesophilic HS-AD has a richer and more diverse active microbial community than the thermophilic process | The thermophilic HS-AD shows better biogas production than mesophilic process | Increase with an increase of temperature         | Hidaka et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014; Jahn et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2020 |
| pH                  | The process may fail at a pH < 6.1 or > 8.3                                           | Methanogenic activity decreases at a pH < 6.3 or > 7.8                             | –                                             | –                                              | Lay et al., 1997; Bitton, 2002; Gerardi, 2003; Xu et al., 2020a             |
| Ammonia/Ammonium    | The process may fail at FAN concentration > 600 mg/L and TAN concentration > 4000 mg/L | Methanogenic activity sharply decreases with an increase of TAN concentration from 4090 mg/L to 5550 mg/L | Decrease with an increase of TAN concentration | –                                              | Kayhanian, 1994; Lay et al., 1997; Duan et al., 2012; Li et al., 2015b; Li et al., 2017b |
| VFAs                | The process may fail at VFA concentration > 4500 mg/L                                  | Methanogenic activity decreases with the accumulation of VFAs                      | Decrease with the accumulation of VFAs        | –                                              | Boe and Angelidaki, 2012; Duan et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2018; Yin and Wu, 2019; Zhou et al., 2020 |
| Toxic and harmful   | The stability decreases with the increase of concentration                            | Decrease with an increase in concentration of antibiotic residues and PAM          | Increase at 100 mg/L of antibiotic residues; Decrease at 500 mg/L of antibiotic residues; Decrease with the presence of PAM | –                                              | Borah et al., 2010; Luo et al., 2011; Qi et al., 2011; Dai et al., 2014b; Dai et al., 2015; Liti et al., 2019; Zhi and Zhang, 2019 |
| Rheological properties | The stability decreases with an increase in the viscosity or an increase in the diffusion coefficient | –                                                                                  | Decrease with high viscosity or low diffusion coefficient | –                                              | Kirby, 1988; Slatter, 1997; Cheng and Li, 2015; Sijjadi et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2018 |

Notes: HS-AD: high solid-anaerobic digestion; SS: sewage sludge; VS: volatile solid; TS: total solid; SRT: solid retention time; FAN: free ammonia-nitrogen; TAN: total ammonia-nitrogen; VFAs: volatile fatty acids; PAM: polyacrylamide.
Nges and Liu (2010) shortened the SRT from 35 days to 12 days in the HS-AD of SS under both thermophilic and mesophilic conditions, and found that this increased the biogas-production rate and volumetric methane productivity, and decreased the VS-reduction efficiency. They also stated that the short SRT operation usually means more sludge can be treated and time is saved while utilizing the same facility (Nges and Liu, 2010). Jahn et al. (2016) studied the influence of SRT on the HS-AD of SS by performing 3.0 L semi-continuous experiments under mesophilic conditions. They found that the HS-AD of SS with an initial TS of 6.7–7.8% was possible, as long as a minimal SRT of 15 d was ensured: the HS-AD of SS with a 10 d SRT resulted in an unstable process. These results were supported by the DWA (2014), who provided the design recommendations for digesters, including a minimum SRT of 15 d. Moreover, STR usually correlates with the organic loading rate (OLR), and it is critical to the stable operation of HS-AD that the OLR is maintained in the range at which the rate of microbial decomposition of solids and metabolism of organic molecules is sufficient to prevent the accumulation of inhibitors (i.e., acids and free ammonia).

Temperature is another important operational parameter for the HS-AD of SS. Mesophilic and thermophilic temperature conditions are the most widely used for AD. Although thermophilic AD reduces VS more than mesophilic AD, it is a more expensive process. In addition, microorganisms in thermophilic AD prefer a narrow temperature range, and thus temperature shifts can rapidly destabilise the process (Jahn et al., 2016). However, it is not clear which temperature is most favourable for the HS-AD of SS. Hidaka et al. (2013) studied the performance of mesophilic and thermophilic HS-AD of SS in laboratory-scale continuous reactors for 600 days, and found that the performance of mesophilic HS-AD of SS with an initial TS content of 10% was stable at a total ammonia-nitrogen (TAN) concentration of 3000 mg N/L and achieved a 60% VS removal, similar to the performance of LS-AD of SS. Conversely, the thermophilic HS-AD of SS with an initial TS content of 7.5% failed when the TAN concentration was >2000 mg N/L, and a few weeks were required for the methanogenic activity to recover from inhibition. Hidaka et al. (2013) also revealed that the high viscosity of HS sludge created a challenge to its mesophilic processing, and that a more careful operation would be required for the stable thermophilic HS-AD of SS. In other work, Wu et al. (2020) also recently described a comparative study of mesophilic (37°C±2°C) and thermophilic (53°C±2°C) HS-AD of SS with an initial TS content of 10% at an OLR of 4 g VS/(L·d) for 170 days, and found both the mesophilic and the thermophilic HS-AD of SS were stably maintained, although the thermophilic HS-AD was slightly inhibited by ammonia. They reported that the thermophilic HS-AD of SS showed better VS reduction and biogas production than the mesophilic process, but was more prone to inhibition by ammonia. They also found that the mesophilic HS-AD of SS was performed by a substantially richer and more diverse active microbial community than the thermophilic HS-AD of SS, which may explain why the thermophilic HS-AD of SS is a more unstable process. These findings were supported by Wang et al. (2014), who achieved the thermophilic HS-AD of SS with an initial TS content of 9.5%, confirming the feasibility of this process.

As pH can influence enzymatic activity, it is another key parameter affecting the HS-AD of SS. It has been shown that the activity of methanogens decreases at a pH < 6.3 or >7.8 (Bitton, 2002; Xu et al., 2020a), and Gerardi (2003) determined that most anaerobic bacteria perform well at a pH range of 6.8–7.2. However, these findings were obtained under LS-AD conditions, so it is not clear whether this pH range is the most suitable for anaerobic bacteria in the HS-AD of SS. Based on calculations, Lay et al. (1997) proposed that a high rate of methane production during the HS-AD of SS was obtained at a pH of 6.8, and that the process may fail at a pH < 6.1 or >8.3. In their study, the lag-phase time for methane production at pH 6.8 reached a minimum in the HS-AD of SS with an initial TS content of 4%–10%. Unfortunately, the optimal pH value for the HS-AD of SS with an initial TS content >10% has been rarely reported.

2.1.2 The main intrinsic factors affecting the HS-AD of SS

Although the HS-AD of SS is a promising technology that can be performed in smaller reactors and consumes less heating energy than LS-AD, the high concentration of potentially inhibitory substances (i.e., ammonia, VFAs, and toxic and harmful substances) released from HS SS and poor rheological properties of HS SS may detrimentally affect the efficiency of the AD process, as shown in Table 1.

It has been reported that the FAN concentration can reach up 600 mg/L in a stable system in which the HS-AD of SS was occurring (Duan et al., 2012), which is significantly higher than the inhibition threshold (200 mg/L) of conventional systems which perform the LS-AD of SS (Yenigün and Demirel, 2013; Liu et al., 2016b). It is notable that the HS-AD of SS is stable when the TAN is 4000 mg/L, which is significantly greater than the inhibition threshold (1000 mg/L) for the HS-AD of other organic wastes (Kayhanian, 1994; Duan et al., 2012). This is presumably attributable to the special micro-ecosystems operating in the HS-AD of SS. It is clear that the overproduction of ammonium can inhibit methanogenesis, and this is a decisive factor that may significantly imbalance microbial community structure in an HS-AD process (Kayhanian, 1994; Li et al., 2015b; Li et al., 2017b). For example, Lay et al. (1997) found that methanogenic activity decreased by 10% with an increase in TAN concentration from 1670 mg/L to 3720 mg/L, and decreased by 50% with an increase in TAN concentration...
from 4090 mg/L to 5550 mg/L. Moreover, methanogenic activity was lost when the TAN concentration was >5880 mg/L. Li et al. (2017a) explored the effects of ammonium stress on metabolic pathways in bacterial and archaeal communities in the HS-AD of SS. As depicted in Fig. 2, three main methanogenic pathways including acetoclastic, hydrogenotrophic, and methylotrophic pathways were identified and the acetoclastic methanogenesis was the dominant pathway in the HS-AD of SS with the effects of ammonium stress. Li et al. (2017a) also revealed that in the HS-AD of SS, the expression of only 6 of the total 22 ammonium-related genes was upregulated, and that the expression of some amino-acid-related genes decreased under ammonium stress, thereby resulting in an acceleration of the syntrophic acetate oxidation reaction. For example, the acetate kinase (AckA) and phosphate acetyltransferase (PTA), which are involved in the reversible reaction of acetate conversion, enriched from 8670 and 6858 hits to 10004 and 7120 hits under ammonium stress, respectively, suggesting that the active syntrophic acetate oxidation performance with the effect of ammonium stress. Although how to effectively control ammonium stress in the HS-AD of SS is rarely reported, various strategies for recovering the AD performance of other organic wastes from ammonia inhibition have been studied (Rajagopal et al., 2013). For example, adding the biochars, zeolites, and activated carbon into the AD can reduce the ammonium level via adsorption reactions (Mumme et al., 2014; Cuetos et al., 2017; Poirier et al., 2017). In addition, Liu et al. (2020a) reported that the carbon- and iron-based additives (i.e., zero valent iron) can play important roles in accelerating microflora acclimation to tolerate ammonia stress. These strategies could provide some important references for relieving ammonia inhibition in the HS-AD process of SS.

The accumulation of VFAs is another problem with the HS-AD of SS. For example, Duan et al. (2012) reported that the VFAs concentration in a reactor in which the HS-AD of SS was performed was as high as 4500 mg/L, and that this led to the failure of the HS-AD process. Boe and Angelidaki (2012) also reported that at high OLR anaerobic digester is susceptible to failure due to the accumulation of VFAs, which is caused by an imbalance between syntrophic bacteria and methanogens. Typically, HS-AD has a high OLR and a low rate of diffusion of intermediate metabolites, with the former favouring the production of VFAs and the latter disfavouring the metabolism of VFAs. This therefore results in the accumulation of VFAs, which can directly cause the instability of the HS-AD of SS. Wang et al. (2018) found that the conventional process of interspecies hydrogen transfer (IHT) between anaerobic microorganisms was often inhibited in the HS-AD of SS, but that promoting the direct interspecies electron transfer (DIET) pathway in HS-AD effectively prevented the accumulation of VFAs. Thus, they enhanced the DIET pathway in the HS-AD of SS by adding magnetite (Fe₃O₄). It has been reported that adding scrap iron and nano zero-valent iron to a HS-AD system processing SS accelerates the conversion of VFAs into methane (Zhang et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2020). For example, Zhang et al. (2014) reported that the scrap iron with ferric oxides on the surface can induce the microbial iron reduction, which accelerated the conversion of VFAs. Zhou et al. (2020) found that with the addition of nano zero-valent iron the propionic acid was more easily decomposed in HS-AD of SS. This result is consistent with the findings of Yin and Wu (2019), who revealed that the addition of conductive materials in AD system can effectively accelerate the degradation of propionate and butyrate via enhancing the DIET. In addition, Lv et al. (2020) proposed that enriching syntrophic associations to synchronously enhance their ecological function was a useful solution for alleviating VFAs accumulation. In related work, Nguyen et al. (2019) developed an intermittent oxidation-reduction potential (ORP)-controlled micro-aeration system to prevent the accumulation of VFAs in the HS-AD via regulating facultative heterotrophs. Specifically, they used micro-aeration to precisely control the ORP in AD and found that the VFAs were rapidly consumed by the facultative heterotrophs. They concluded that the intermittent ORP-controlled micro-aeration system could enrich the facultative heterotrophs and conserve crucial anaerobic niches for methanogens, and it was a useful tool for recovering the anaerobic digester on the verge of failure, which is due to the accumulation of VFAs. Although this finding is not based on the HS sludge system, it has an important reference for alleviating VFAs accumulation in the HS-AD process of SS.

Toxic and harmful substances in SS may also affect the efficiency of the HS-AD of SS, typically in a concentration-dependent manner. For example, Zhi and Zhang (2019) investigated the effects of antibiotic residues (i.e., residues of oxytetracycline, sulfadimethoxine, sulfamethoxazole, enrofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin, and norfloxacin) on the methane production and microbial activity during the HS-AD of SS. They found that low concentrations of antibiotics (10 mg/L) had no obvious effect on methane production; that medium concentrations (100 mg/L) significantly stimulated methane production; and that high concentrations (500 mg/L) inhibited methane production at the initial stage of the HS-AD process, but enhanced methane production after recovery at the late stage of the process. They also revealed that these antibiotics affected the archaeal community, but did not significantly affect the bacterial community. In related research, polyacrilamide (PAM), a refractory and common flocculant used in SS dewatering, has been found to be ubiquitous in HS sludge (Boráñ et al., 2010; Qi et al., 2011; Dai et al., 2015; Litti et al., 2019). Dai et al. (2014b) determined that the biodegradation of PAM in SS processed via HS-AD was usually accompanied by the
accumulation of high concentrations of toxic acrylamide monomers (AMs), which inhibited microbial activity (Luo et al., 2011). They also revealed that PAM can be hydrolysed at different position of its carbon-chain backbone, and that the hydrolysed PAM fragments combined with tyrosine-rich proteins to form colloid complexes during the HS-AD of SS. These findings were further confirmed by Litti et al. (2019), who reported that the addition of PAM led to decreased methane production in the HS-AD of SS. The researchers attributed this to the formation of large flocs and the consequent suppression of mass transfer. Unfortunately, the migration and transformation processes of these toxic and harmful substances during the HS-AD of SS remain unclear. However, these

Fig. 2 Hit numbers of genes involved in the relevant methanogenesis pathways in HS-AD process of SS without and with ammonium stress (Reprinted from Li et al., 2017a, Copyright (2017), with permission from Elsevier). (The acetoclastic pathway is shown in red, the hydrogenotrophic pathway is marked in blue, and the methylotrophic pathway is represented by the green dashed line).

**Abbreviation:** FdhA, glutathione-independent formaldehyde dehydrogenase; EchA, hydrogenase subunit A; FmdA, formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase subunit A; FTR, formylmethanofuran-tetrahydrodromethanopterin N-formyltransferase; MCH, methenyltetrahydrodromethanopterin cyclohydrase; MTD, methylenetetrahydrodromethanopterin dehydrogenase; MER, coenzyme F420-dependent N5, N10-methenyltetrahydrodromethanopterin reductase; MtrA, tetrahydrodromethanopterin S-methyltransferase; MtaA, [methyl-Co(III) methanol-specific corrinoid protein]coenzyme M methyltransferase; MerA, methyl-coenzyme M reductase alpha subunit; AckA, acetate kinase; ACSS, acetyl-CoA synthetase; PTA, phosphate acetyltransferase; HdrA, heterodisulfide reductase subunit A; CdhC, acetyl-CoA decarboxylase/synthase complex subunit beta.
findings suggest that although high concentrations of metabolism-inhibiting substances may be present or formed during the HS-AD of SS, the tolerance of the system to these may be high, as long as its operating parameters are effectively controlled.

It has been reported that the rheological properties of SS play an important role in its performance in anaerobic digesters, especially in the design, selection, and operation of anaerobic digesters (Baudez et al., 2011; Baroutian et al., 2013; Dai et al., 2014a). Moreover, the rheological properties of normal SS with a TS content of 0.2%–4% have been well studied (Lotito et al., 1997; Ruiz-Hernando et al., 2013; Dai et al., 2014a). However, the rheological properties of HS sludge are different from those of the normal SS, and little relevant information is available on this topic (Kirby, 1988; Slatter, 1997; Cheng and Li, 2015). Therefore, it is necessary to reveal the characteristics of rheological properties of HS sludge. It is well known that an increase on SS concentration can result in an exponential increase in the viscosity of SS and an exponential decrease in its diffusion coefficient (Kirby, 1988; Slatter, 1997; Cheng and Li, 2015). Moreover, Zhang et al. (2016) reported that the diffusion coefficient of SS decreased sharply as the TS content increased from 6% to 12%, and decreased gradually with an increase of TS content from 12% to 15%. The high viscosity and low diffusion coefficient of HS SS can lead to non-uniform and non-ideal flow conditions (i.e., incomplete mixing, short circuiting, and an increase in inactive and stagnant zones) in anaerobic digesters (Sajjadi et al., 2016), which may further lead to the accumulation of VFAs and FAN, and thereby destabilise the HS-AD of SS. To improve the rheological properties of HS sludge, many studies have been conducted. For example, Feng et al. (2014) used thermal hydrolysis (170°C, 60 min) treatment significantly decreased the viscosity, shear stress, and viscoelasticity of HS sludge, which is further confirmed by the findings of Urrea et al. (2015) and Zhang et al. (2017). Liu et al. (2016c) investigated the effects of microwave-H$_2$O$_2$ pretreatment on the rheological properties of HS sludge, and found that this method improved the sludge flowability and decreased the viscoelasticity. Furthermore, Hu et al. (2018) conducted a flow-field investigation and proposed that multilayer impellers arranged abreast could enable the more efficient mixing of HS sludge, given its rheological properties, and thus prevent the accumulation of VFAs and ammonia and any subsequent inhibition of HS-AD.

### 2.2 Pre-treatment features of the HS-AD of SS

The poor biodegradability and slow hydrolysis rate of SS limit the widespread adoption of AD processes. Inhibition of mass transfer, poor diffusion of intermediate metabolites, and high sludge viscosity are also a problem. Pre-treating sludge has been reported to be effective in improving its biodegradability and hydrolysis via AD (Xu et al., 2020a). Many physical, chemical and biological pre-treatments (and combinations thereof) for LS sludge, prior to AD, have been reported (Neumann et al., 2016; Gonzalez et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2020a), but only the thermal and alkaline methods have been used to pre-treat HS sludge prior to HS-AD (Jolis, 2008; Li et al., 2015a; Guo et al., 2016; Liao et al., 2016). Aside from economic reasons, these two pre-treatment methods may be favoured due to their substantial improvement of the rheological properties and organic solubilisation of HS SS, which enhances the HS-AD of SS. For example, Zhang et al. (2017) explored the effects of low- and high temperature thermal pre-treatments on HS SS (TS content of 14.2% and 18.2%). They found that with increasing treatment time, organic solubilisation increased logarithmically and the elastic modulus in the linear viscoelastic regime of HS sludge decreased logarithmically, leading to a significant decrease in the SS viscosity, which was conducive to the subsequent HS-AD of the SS. The above results are also consistent with those reported by Xue et al. (2015) and Liao et al. (2016). The former showed that thermal pre-treatment is an effective method of increasing the organic solubilisation and decreasing the viscosity of HS sludge. The latter investigated the effects of low temperature thermal pre-treatment on the HS-AD of SS, and found that this increased the quantity of accessible substrates, decreased sludge viscosity, and even resulted in an increase of biogas production in the HS-AD of SS. Alkaline pre-treatment has also been used. Li et al. (2015a) proposed that HS sludge may be more amenable to such pre-treatment than LS SS, as the same extent of SS disintegration could be achieved in HS SS as in LS SS, but with less alkali. After alkaline pre-treatment of 8%–12% TS SS (30 min treatment with 0.05 mol/L NaOH), they found that methane production was slightly increased in the subsequent HS-AD process, while the digestion time was substantially decreased (24%–29%). In addition, the positive effects of thermal and alkaline pre-treatment of SS have been combined in thermal-alkaline pre-treatment. For example, Guo et al. (2016) explored the effects of thermal-alkaline pre-treatment (105°C–135°C and 5–35 mg NaOH/g TS) on the HS-AD of SS, and concluded that this pre-treatment significantly increased organic solubilisation and methane production during HS-AD. However, although the thermal-alkaline pre-treatment is conductive to the hydrolysis of sludge organic matter and more bio-methane can be further transformed by the organic solubilisation and hydrolysis, some organic pollutants and toxic substances will also be released during this pre-treatment process. The concentrations of organic pollutants and toxic substances released from the HS sludge could be far higher.
than those released from the LS sludge, and these pollutants and toxic substances also could affect the subsequent HS-AD. Unfortunately, current researches on the thermal-alkaline pretreatment of HS sludge prior the HS-AD of SS have ignored this point.

2.3 HS anaerobic co-digestion of SS and other organic wastes

Exploiting the characteristics of different organic wastes for energy recovery and waste disposal can optimise resource utilisation. As such, HS anaerobic co-digestion (HS-AcD) of SS and other organic waste is a promising method of the utilisation and management of organic waste. Notably, HS-AcD may be a more stable method than HS-AD of processing SS, due to its dilution of inhibitory substances, improvement of nutrient balance, and creation of synergies between microorganisms (Dai et al., 2013; Aichinger et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2019). Lee et al. (2019) successfully conducted a long-term HS-AcD of SS, food waste (FW) and yard waste (YW), achieving an average VS reduction of 38% and methane production of 186 mL/g VS. They investigated the effects of the ratio of substrate to inoculum (S/I), the mixing ratio of co-substrate, the inoculum source, and the alkalinity sources on HS-AcD performance, and found that the highest methane production was obtained using the mixture of NaHCO3 and oyster shells as alkaline sources with the S/I ratio of 1 (VS basis). Moreover, the presence of FW led to a 1.43-fold higher methane production than from the HS-AD of SS. They also proposed that the mixing ratio of co-substrate, the S/I, and the inoculum source are important operational factors for the successful long-term performance of HS-AcD. Similarly, Dai et al. (2013) compared the stability and performance of the HS-AcD of SS and FW with those of the HS-AD of SS, and found that the addition of FW improved both system stability and volumetric biogas production. This was primarily attributed to the dilution of ammonia and sodium ions. The researchers also revealed that the mixing ratio of SS and FW determined the performance of their HS-AcD system. This finding was confirmed by two further studies by Liu et al. (2016a) and Latha et al. (2019). Liu et al. (2016a) found that the processing of LS sludge (VS/TS 41.6%) via HS-AcD was optimal with a 1:1 SS:FW mixing ratio (VS basis) in a weak alkaline environment (pH 7.5–8.5), as this led to the best synergetic effect. Latha et al. (2019) determined that the optimum mixing ratio of SS and FW in their HS-AcD process was 1:3 (TS basis), with intermittent biogas recirculation, and that this mixing strategy increased the synergy of CO2 acidification with high VFAs production. Cattle manure (CM) is another organic waste that is often used for AcD with SS, because CM is unstable to AD, due to the low C/N ratio of CM (Li et al., 2009). Dai et al. (2016) investigated the HS-AcD of SS and CM and found that the optimum mixing ratio (VS basis) of SS and CW was 3:7 with an initial pH of 9.0, yielding a maximum VFAs production of 98.33 g/kg TS and methane production of >120.0 L/kg TS. They also highlighted that CM increased the relative abundances of bacteria and archaea and the degradation of organic matters under the optimum conditions.

2.4 Characteristics of substance transformation in the HS-AD process of SS

2.4.1 Organic humification in the HS-AD of SS

Organic humification has been widely considered an important index of stabilisation in the treatment of SS (Bernal et al., 2009), and can be realised in the AD of SS (Provenzano et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2020b). Therefore, the humification of SS organic matter must be explored to improve stabilisation during the HS-AD of SS. To reveal the underlying mechanisms of humic formation and transfiguration in the HS-AD of SS, Tang et al. (2018) monitored the aromaticity degree of humic-like substances and the phytotoxicity of the digestate during a 48-day HS-AD of SS. They found that there were significant repolymerisation of aromatic substances and a positive correlation between digestate phytotoxicity and the degree of substance aromaticity. They also proposed that the aromatic repolymerisation of humics regulated the phytotoxicity of digestate via reducing excessive salinity. Based on these findings, they further investigated the effect of humification on extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) in the HS-AD of SS (Tang et al., 2020), and found that the hydrolysis and decomposition of extracellular protein generated changes in the highly cross-linked structures of humics in EPS, resulting in the exposure of humic aromatic groups and binding sites. Based on analysis of the electron exchange capacity and the metabolic activity of methanogenesis, they proposed that structural changes in EPS proteins promoted the catabolism and anabolism of anaerobic microorganisms, and that the products of this metabolism, such as humic groups and active protein derivatives, were beneficial to EPS reconstruction in the HS-AD of SS.

2.4.2 Characteristics of organic transformation in the HS-AD of SS with and without thermal pre-treatment

As is well known to all, with the increase of TS content, the physical, chemical and even biological reactions in the HS-AD process of SS could be changed because of the blocked mass transfer, poor diffusion, and high viscosity. As a result, the transformation of sludge organic matter would inevitably be affected in the HS-AD process, and understanding this transformation process is necessary to improve the HS-AD of SS. Han et al. (2017) investigated...
the organic transformation process during the HS-AD of SS with and without thermal pre-treatment, by monitoring variations in the chemical oxygen demand, and in the production of methane, carbohydrates, VFAs, and other substances in SS containing nitrogen, sulphur and phosphorus, as described in Fig. 3. They found, for example, that thermal pre-treatment significantly enhanced the biogas production rate during the HS-AD of SS, and resulted in increased methane content in the biogas. Without thermal pre-treatment, the biogas production rate and methane content yielded by the HS-AD of SS were similar to those yielded by conventional AD. The above findings were further supported by Chen et al. (2018), who found that thermal pre-treatment shifted the methanogenic pathway from strict acetoclastic methanogenesis to acetoclastic/hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis. In addition, Han et al. (2017) found that thermal pre-treatment led to more than 50% of the particulate nitrogen being converted to a liquid-state during HS-AD, and that the TAN concentration was increased to 3.57 g/L. However, there was little effect on the transformation of phosphorus: regardless of pre-treatment, 32%–35% of total phosphorous (organic phosphorous and polyphosphate) was converted to phosphate, primarily by the hydrolysis of polyphosphate. One possible reason given by Liu et al. (2020b) is that the thermal pre-treatment can improve the release of phosphate, but this phosphate was subsequently converted into a solid state during the HS-AD process by precipitation as struvite (NH₄MgPO₄·6H₂O), precipitation with high concentrations of heavy metals, and adsorption into microbial cells via the synthesis of adenosine triphosphate (ATP). They also proposed that the neutralization of the release of phosphate and redeposition makes it reasonable that the HS-AD process of SS with thermal pre-treatment has little effect on the transformation of phosphorus.

It has been reported that the H₂S content of biogas generated by the HS-AD of SS with or without thermal pre-treatment is far lower than the H₂S content of biogas generated by the LS-AD of SS. For example, Han et al. (2017) found that in their HS-AD of SS that the maximum H₂S content in biogas was 168.0±19.2 mg/L, which was far lower than that from the LS-AD of SS (approximately 1500 mg/L). This result was also confirmed by Liao (2016), who found that the H₂S content of biogas generated by AD decreased with an increase in TS content, and that a maximum H₂S content of approximately 45 mg/L was present in biogas generated by the HS-AD of SS with a TS of 20%. This phenomenon was attributable to the effects of pH, heavy metals, and the hydrolysis of sulphurous proteins, as follows. 1) As H₂S is an acidic gas, it is consumed at a high pH; notably, the pH was 8.0 during the HS-AD of SS, which was greater than that during the LS-AD of SS (pH 7.0–7.5). 2) An increase in TS content results in the concentration of heavy metals in the HS-AD of SS being greater than that in the LS-AD, leading to the increased precipitation of heavy metal sulfides. 3) Due to the inefficient hydrolysis of sulphurous proteins during the HS-AD of SS, concentrations of sulphur-containing compounds remain low (Dai, 2016; Liao, 2016; Han et al., 2017). Another interesting phenomenon involving sulphurous substances was reported by Li et al. (2020), who found that the thermal pre-treatment of SS made HS-AD able to directly promote the transformation of organic sulphur (OS) into volatile sulphur compounds (VSCs). They found that methyl mercaptan (MM), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMS), dimethyl disulfide (DMDS), and H₂S were typical VSCs, and that MM was converted into DMS (18%), DMDS (4%), and H₂S (78%) in biogas generated from the HS-AD of SS with an initial TS content of 10%, when SS had been thermally pre-treated. They also revealed that thermal pre-treatment increased the activity of reductases such as adenine phosphate sulfate reductase and sulfite reductase. These findings supported those of previous researchers (Sommers et al., 1977; Higgins et al., 2006; Moestedt et al., 2013; Dai et al., 2017), and the conversion pathway of sulphur substances during the HS-AD of SS with thermal pre-treatment is depicted in Fig. 4. For example, the initial OS content of sludge decreased from 96% to 90% with thermal pre-treatment, specifically, the initial methionine and cysteine contents of sludge decreased from 61% and 35% to 59% and 31%, respectively. However, the conversion pathway of sulphur substances during the HS-AD of SS without pre-treatment has rarely been reported, although knowledge of this is important for establishing a theoretical system for the HS-AD of SS, to enable improvement of HS-AD processes.

### 3 Knowledge gaps of current research on the HS-AD of SS

3.1 The definition of HS sludge is not standardised

As yet, there is no standard definition of HS sludge. For example, some researchers have reported conducting HS-AD of an SS, and yet the TS content of the SS they used was only 4% (Lay et al., 1997). Chen et al. (2019) studied the HS-AD of SS with an initial TS of 5%, while others have used SsSs with an initial TS of 10%, 15%, and 20%, respectively (Duan et al., 2012). These different definitions of HS sludge mean that it is difficult to evaluate the feasibility and applicability of the HS-AD of SS with different TS contents, especially as the properties of SS from different WWTPs are also different. Even more importantly, without a standard definition of HS sludge, many research results can neither be effectively compared nor function as references for establishing a theoretical system of the HS-AD of SS.
Fig. 3  The diagram of the substance transformation ratios in the mesophilic/thermophilic HS-AD process of SS with and without thermal pre-treatment: (a) the diagram of the transformation ratios of COD, protein and carbohydrate; (b) the diagram of the transformation ratios of nitrogen, phosphorus and sulphur (Reprinted from Han et al., 2017, Copyright (2017), with permission from Elsevier).
Fig. 4 Diagram of the conversion pathway of sulphur substances during the HS-AD process of SS with thermal pre-treatment. (Reprinted from Dai et al., 2017, Copyright (2017); Li et al., 2020, Copyright (2020) with permission from Elsevier.)
3.2 Migration and transformation of pollutants in the HS-AD of SS is unclear

It has been reported that SS contains the inert organic pollutants (e.g., microplastics, benzene, chlorophenol, polychlorinated biphenyls, polychlorinated dibenzofurans etc.), inorganic pollutants (e.g., heavy metals), and microbial pollutants (e.g., enterovirus, bacillus coli, protozoan, parasites, and their eggs) (Li et al., 2018; Száková et al., 2019; Souza et al., 2020). Although the concentrations of these pollutants are low in the normal SS with a TS content of 0.2%–2%, these concentrations may increase with the increase of TS content and the pollutants are environmentally persistent and potentially toxic. More seriously, based on the limited detection method, it is difficult to determine the migration and transformation of organic pollutants in sludge, which also restricts the understanding and development of HS-AD process of SS to a certain extent. Therefore, enough attention should be paid to the migration and transformation of these pollutants in the subsequent treatment. However, it is unclear that the migration and transformation of these pollutants in the HS-AD process of SS up till the present moment.

3.3 Metabolic pathways of organic matter in the HS-AD of SS are not known

Although the metabolic pathways of organic matter in AD are well known (Pavlostathis and Giraldo-Gomez, 1991; Batstone et al., 2002), they have been determined mainly based on the AD of complex composite particulate waste (CCPW), which can be assumed to be homogeneous (Batstone et al., 2002). This approach is suitable for describing the fate of CCPW in systems such as wastewater or waste-activated sludge (TS 0.2%–2%), because the inherent properties of CCPW can be effectively maintained by retaining sufficient water in these systems. However, with increasing solid concentrations, the water content of the CCPW decreases and the adjacent micro-environment of the CCPW changes, which profoundly alters the adjacent ionic strength. This leads to changes in the micro-interfaces between the CCPW and water, and subsequent changes in the interfacial structure and properties of the CCPW, ultimately causing a substantial difference in the metabolic pathways operating during the HS-AD of CCPW vs those operating during the LS-AD of CCPW. Therefore, the specific metabolic pathways of CCPW during HS-AD of SS must be investigated to enrich the theory of AD and provide a direct theoretical basis for improving the HS-AD of SS. However, information on this has rarely been reported.

3.4 The mathematical model for the HS-AD of SS is inadequate

Process stability and performance are two key bottlenecks to the application of large-scale HS-AD of SS (Duan et al., 2012; Liao et al., 2014), and it is very important to evaluate and predict the process stability and performance of HS-AD of SS. Mathematical modelling has been widely regarded as an important tool to assess and predict process performance (Mendes et al., 2015). The anaerobic digestion model No. 1 (ADM1) has been used to evaluate and predict the HS-AD of SS for more than 15 years, but it is better suited to predicting the AD of wastewater or LS sludge (TS 0.2%–2%) than that of HS sludge (Abbassi-Guendouz et al., 2012; Mendes et al., 2015). In recent years, with the development of solid-state AD process, the mathematical model of solid-state AD of organic waste have been widely proposed and studied (Xu et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016), however, due to the large differences in the structure and properties of SS compared with other organic wastes, it is difficult to apply the mathematical model of solid-state AD of organic waste to describe the HS-AD of SS. Consequently, the use of HS-AD of SS is hindered by the inability to accurately predict its stability and performance. Therefore, to improve the HS-AD of SS, a relevant mathematical model is urgently needed.

4 Future perspectives on HS-AD process of SS

Although HS-AD has been successfully applied to treat organic waste, there have been comparatively few studies of its utility in treating SS. Indeed, the above overview shows that research on HS-AD of SS is in its infancy, and many questions remain open.

As mentioned, there is no standard definition of HS SS, and the optimal TS content of SS for AD treatment is undetermined. The development of sludge dewatering means that high TS contents of SS can be easily obtained. Thus, a definition of HS sludge and the optimal TS content of SS for AD should be explored. An increase in TS content will, however, inevitably alter the structure and properties of SS; thus, it would be reasonable to define HS sludge according to its structure and properties. For example, there is no significant difference in sludge structure within a certain range of TS content. Therefore, a TS content that causes significant changes in sludge structure should be used as a basis for a standard definition of HS sludge. Similarly, a TS content that causes significant changes in certain key properties of sludge, such as its diffusion coefficient or viscosity, should also be considered in making such a definition. Moreover, in order to reduce digester volume and improve sludge treatment efficiency, the HS-AD is proposed via increasing the TS content of sludge. This means that it should be possible to substantially increase the TS content of SS without affecting the AD treatment efficiency of SS. However, due to the unique semi-rigid structure of sludge and the variety of potential inhibitors within sludge that may
inhibit anaerobic microorganisms, a high TS content will lead to the formation of multi-material cross-linking structures that are resistant to biodegradation and an increase in inhibitor concentration. It therefore likely that there is an optimal TS content for the HS-AD of SS, and this should be determined by exploring the effect of various TS contents on HS-AD of SS.

The migration and transformation of substances underpin the HS-AD of SS, and must be understood at a mechanistic level to enable the overall process to be enhanced. There have been some studies on the migration and transformation of substances in SS, but these have focused on the transformation of readily biodegradable organic matter (e.g., proteins, carbohydrates, and VFAs) and nutrients (i.e., nitrogen, sulphur, and phosphorus) in the solid, liquid, and gas phases. The related transformation mechanisms and metabolic pathways of these substances in the HS-AD of SS are rarely reported. For example, the differences between the quantitative transformation of proteins, carbohydrates, and lipids are unknown, as are the differences between the metabolic pathways of proteins, carbohydrates, and lipids in the HS-AD of SS. More deeply, the underlying mechanisms of electron transfer (i.e., DIET) between bacteria and archaea via the transformation of these substances in HS-AD of SS are still blank and need to be further revealed in future. Moreover, knowledge of the migration and transformation of toxic and harmful pollutants (i.e., inert organic pollutants, inorganic pollutants, and microbial pollutants) in sludge will aid in their elimination and in the stabilisation of SS treatment. Two key questions warrant attention, as follows: 1) Which toxic and harmful pollutants in sludge are degraded and what are the pathway and extent of this degradation in the HS-AD of SS? 2) What are the distributions of these toxic and harmful pollutants in the solid, liquid and gas phases during the HS-AD of SS? Future research must establish the fundamental metabolic transformations of organic matter in SS and the migration and transformation characteristics of toxic and harmful pollutants in the HS-AD of SS, to afford a strong knowledge platform for further understanding the HS effect and improving the HS-AD of SS.

To overcome the key bottlenecks to the application of HS-AD of SS, a relevant mathematical model that can accurately predict the stability and performance of this process should be established as soon as possible. To date, the HS-AD of SS has usually been operated empirically, as there is no mathematical tool available for improving parameter control, explicating mechanisms, and predicting process performance. It is time-consuming to determine and optimise experimental parameters for the HS-AD of SS, and the resulting parameters and their values are not universally applicable. This underscores the need for accurate mathematical models. Whilst mathematical models for the HS-AD of other organic wastes are well established (Xu et al., 2015), these are not suitable for application to modelling the HS-AD of SS, due to the substantial difference in the structure and properties of other organic wastes compared with those of SS. However, it is logical and it should be feasible to develop mathematical models for the HS-AD of SS that are based on the ADM1 and the reported mathematical tools for the HS-AD of organic wastes. For example, retarded hydrolysis of the substrate and poor microbial access to the substrate are two key problems in the processing of both SS and other organic wastes. Hence, developing an understanding of the interfacial properties of and the mass transfer that occurs in the solid, liquid, and gas phases in the HS-AD of other organic wastes will inform the development of mathematical models for the HS-AD of SS.

In addition, the effects of sludge dewatering agents on the HS-AD of SS should be further explored, because most HS sludges contain a certain amount of dewatering agents. The underlying relationships between the dewatering agent and the HS-AD of SS should be established and the development of readily biodegradable dehydrators that do not degrade to molecules that may inhibit anaerobic microorganisms should be considered. With the HS effects, the concentrations of high value-added products (HVAP, e.g., lactic acid, biological protein, polyhydroxyalkanoates, and poly-β-hydroxybutyrate) within sludge increased with the increase of TS content, which suggests that attentions should also be paid to the studies of obtaining the HVAP from HS-AD process of SS and the effects of HVAP on HS-AD of SS in future.

5 Conclusions

The HS-AD of SS has been proposed as an attractive option for SS treatment, as it requires only small reactors and has a high volumetric biogas productivity and low heating-energy demand. This paper comprehensively reviews the current research on the main factors affecting process stability and performance, the improvement methods including pre-treatment and HS-AcD of SS and other organic wastes, and the characteristics of substance transformation. The results of current studies indicate that the poor stability and performance of the HS-AD of SS are the main bottlenecks to its wide application. These bottlenecks are due to the HS effect, manifested in the HS-AD of SS by high concentrations of substances that may inhibit anaerobic microorganisms, and in poor mass transfer, low diffusion coefficients, and high viscosity. The main knowledge gaps are the absence of a standard definition of HS sludge, insufficient knowledge of the migration and transformation of pollutants, particularly the specific metabolic pathways involved, and a lack of mathematical models. Attention should be paid to addressing these knowledge gaps in future work in this important area. In addition, future efforts on developing the green
sludge dewatering agents, obtaining the high value-added products, and revealing their effects on HS-AD process of SS can also be considered.
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