Intralesional Steroid Injection to Prevent Stricture after Near-Circumferential Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection for Superficial Esophageal Cancer
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Stricture frequently occurs after endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) for superficial esophageal carcinoma with near- or whole-circumferential mucosal defects, and post-ESD stricture is difficult to treat and usually requires multiple sessions of endoscopic balloon dilatation. Intralesional steroid injection has previously been used to prevent stricture; however, there have been few experiences with this method after near- or whole-circumferential ESD. We present a case of a single session of intralesional steroid injection performed immediately after near-circumferential ESD to prevent post-ESD stricture. After a follow-up period of 6 months, the patient showed good outcome without dysphagia.
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INTRODUCTION

Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) has been performed widely and safely as an established treatment for superficial esophageal neoplasms. To date, the indication for ESD has been gradually extended to larger lesions. However, as large superficial esophageal neoplasms become candidates for ESD, a substantial number of post-ESD strictures have been reported. In particular, esophageal stricture mostly occurs after near-circumferential or whole-circumferential ESD at a rate of 88% to 100%. Post-ESD stricture decreases the patients’ quality of life and usually requires multiple sessions of risky endoscopic balloon dilatation (EBD).4

Recently, the efficacy of prophylactic oral prednisolone in preventing post-ESD stricture was reported. According to the report, oral prednisolone may be effective in reducing the need for EBD. However, the cumulative dose of prednisolone was high and associated with serious adverse events. Intralesional steroid injection is a novel method to prevent post-ESD stricture. A previous study reported that intralesional injection of triamcinolone reduced the incidence of stricture and the number of required EBD sessions. However, there is little experience in intralesional steroid injection for near- or whole-circumferential ESD ulcerations. Furthermore, there is no established method of injection to date.

We report a patient with superficial esophageal squamous cell carcinoma who underwent complete near-circumferential ESD and then received a single session of intralesional steroid injection immediately after ESD. Dysphagia and the need for EBD have not developed after a follow-up period of 6 months.

CASE REPORT

A 72-year-old man visited our hospital for the treatment of esophageal cancer. He had undergone a low anterior resection for colorectal cancer 1 year previously. Oral capecitabine was administered after surgery as an adjuvant treatment. There were no significant abnormal findings in the physical examination. All the laboratory findings were within normal
limits. Computed tomography and positron emission tomography revealed no distant metastasis. An initial upper endoscopy showed a lugol-voiding lesion involving more than three-quarters of the circumference of the lower esophagus, extending 39 to 43 cm from the incisors (Fig. 1A). Endoscopic ultrasound revealed no evidence of submucosal invasion or regional lymph node metastasis. Histopathological findings from another hospital revealed high-grade squamous cell dysplasia, but squamous cell carcinoma could not be excluded. We decided to perform ESD. Because the lesion was near circumferential, a high probability of post-ESD stricture was expected. In this case, we needed to obtain clearer views and to control the scope in detail throughout the procedure; therefore, this patient underwent ESD with tracheal intubation and general anesthesia in an operating room.

The ESD procedure was performed using a single-channel upper endoscope (GIF-H260Z; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) with a transparent cap. Circumferential markings were made using a hook knife (KD-620LR; Olympus) just outside the lesion, on soft coagulation mode of a high-frequency generator for electrosurgery with an automatically controlled system for cutting and coagulation (ERBE, Tübingen, Germany). A total amount of 80 mL normal saline solution mixed with epinephrine was injected into the submucosal layer to lift the mucosa including the tumor. After the lesion was lifted, mucosal incision and dissection was made with a hook knife and an IT knife (KD-611L; Olympus) (Fig. 1B, C). The en bloc resection was completed without complications (Fig. 1D). Bleeding in the post-ESD ulcer was coagulated with hemostatic forceps. The total procedure time was approximately 55 minutes. Just after dissection and hemostasis, a single-session endoscopic triamcinolone injection was administered (Fig. 1E). Triamcinolone acetonide (Triam, 40 mg/mL; Shinpoong Co., Seoul, Korea) was diluted with saline to make a 20 mg/mL solution. A total of 80 mg (4 mL) triamcinolone was injected into the deep submucosa and the superficial proper muscle layer of the ulcer base at eight sites, with a 0.5 mL dose at each site. The depth of needling was as shallow as producing a small amount of spillage into the esophageal lumen side during injection to avoid possible abscess formation. The resected area was 54×27 mm in size. Three neoplastic lesions (38×17, 11×7, and 7×3 mm) were found in the specimen (Fig. 1F). The histopathologic diagnosis was well-differentiated intramucosal invasive carcinoma confined to the lamina propria.
Serial esophagography was performed 2 and 4 weeks later to assess the post-ESD stricture. Mild irregular segmental narrowing was seen in the lower esophagus, but no definite passage disturbance was observed (Fig. 2A). Follow-up upper endoscopy was performed after 4 months, which revealed only a post-ESD scar (Fig. 2B). The scope was passed without resistance. The patient did not complain of dysphagia and showed a good outcome for 6 months after ESD. Moreover, no single session of EBD has been needed.

DISCUSSION

Post-ESD stricture is a major complication especially in esophageal ESD. In a previous report, multivariate analysis revealed that a circumferential extension of more than three-quarters and a histological depth to M2 are reliable independent predictors for post-ESD stricture. As larger superficial esophageal neoplasms became candidates for ESD, substantial post-ESD strictures have been reported. Indeed, the rate of esophageal strictures after ESD for near-circumferential or whole-circumferential lesions has been reported to be surprisingly as high as 88% to 100%. However, there are no guidelines for the treatment of post-ESD esophageal stricture, and most of the previous studies have been performed retrospectively. Until now, multiple sessions of EBD is the preferred treatment of esophageal stricture regardless of etiology, however, this can increase the risk of perforation, massive bleeding, and bacteremia. For this reason, the development of strategies to prevent post-ESD stricture is important. Periodic balloon dilations and temporary stenting were used to prevent post-ESD stricture in some institutes. Fujishiro et al. reported that repetitive, periodic EBD was effective in controlling and preventing post-ESD stricture. However, Isomoto et al. reported that a scheduled preventive EBD immediately after ESD was needed in a median of 30 times for circumferential cases. The results were not satisfactory. Several studies suggested that severe inflammation, fibrosis, and scarring in the exposed submucosa after ESD might play an important role in the formation of stricture. According to that theory, post-ESD stricture might be prevented by controlling such inflammation. Steroid can inhibit fibrosis by preventing the migration and activation of inflammatory cells and fibroblasts. A few studies reported on the effectiveness of prophylactic oral prednisolone for the prevention of post-ESD stricture. As a result, the rate of stricture was decreased together with the number of needed EBD procedures. However, the cumulative dose of prednisolone administered was approximately 1,000 mg. The potential risks of such a high dose, such as immune suppression, psychiatric disturbance, peptic ulceration, and optical damages, were a cause for concern.

Intralesional steroid injection has also been previously used to prevent stricture after esophageal ESD. Hashimoto et al. reported that endoscopic intralesional injection of triamcinolone was safe and effective for the prevention of esophageal stricture after ESD for superficial esophageal carcinoma. Triamcinolone was injected into post-ESD ulceration 3, 7, and 10 days after ESD. The total dose of the steroid was much lower than that of oral prednisolone. However, it needed three additional endoscopic procedures, causing inconvenience and additional costs to the patients. Moreover, the enrolled patients had a semicircumferential mucosal defect with a relatively low risk of post-ESD stricture.
In a controlled prospective study, Hanaoka et al. showed promising results with a single session of intraluminal steroid injection immediately after ESD for preventing post-ESD stricture. Compared with the historical control group, the study group had a significantly lower stricture rate (10% vs. 66%). We injected triamcinolone immediately after ESD in the exposed submucosa of the post-ESD ulceration in the same manner. However, the other authors diluted triamcinolone solution up to 5 mg/mL. Because the total amount of the injected triamcinolone was roughly similar to that in our case (100 mg vs. 80 mg), the injected volume and the number of needlings were larger than those in our case (10 mL vs. 4 mL, 20 to 40 punctures vs. 8 punctures). Therefore, our method of steroid injection was much simpler than that in any previous study. Furthermore, our patient had a near-circumferential mucosal defect, whereas other studies excluded patients with near-circumferential defects because of the extremely high risk of esophageal stricture.

Because injected triamcinolone usually remains locally active for 3 to 4 weeks, we did not repeat the injection. In this case, no adverse events related to triamcinolone injection occurred. However, a previous animal study reported that direct injection of triamcinolone into the exposed esophageal submucosal tissue of four pigs resulted in the complication of esophageal abscess. In addition, potential risks of perforation, mediastinitis, and pleural effusion may be associated with local injection therapy. To prevent excessively deep mural injection, we performed the triamcinolone injection very carefully while checking for minor spillage from the injection site.

There are no standard guidelines to prevent stricture in a patient with near-circumferential or circumferential mucosal defect after ESD. Many methods to prevent post-ESD stricture, such as repetitive EBD, temporary stent insertion, and oral steroid and intraluminal steroid injection, have been used by different institutes individually. Because there are no randomized controlled studies comparing those methods, any method cannot be widely accepted. In this case, we showed that a single session of intraluminal steroid injection into a post-ESD ulcer was an effective and convenient method to prevent post-ESD stricture. This method of steroid injection was also simpler and safer than those reported in other previous studies. However, the true benefit and the risks of injection have not been validated yet. A randomized, controlled trial would be needed in the future.
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