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Evolutionary Patterns and Processes: Lessons from Ancient DNA
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Abstract—Ever since its emergence in 1984, the field of ancient DNA has struggled to overcome the challenges related to the decay of DNA molecules in the fossil record. With the recent development of high-throughput DNA sequencing technologies and molecular techniques tailored to ultra-damaged templates, it has now come of age, merging together approaches in phylogenomics, population genomics, epigenomics, and metagenomics. Leveraging on complete temporal sample series, ancient DNA provides direct access to the most important dimension in evolution—time, allowing a wealth of fundamental evolutionary processes to be addressed at unprecedented resolution. This review taps into the most recent findings in ancient DNA research to present analyses of ancient genomic and metagenomic data. [Ancient DNA; metagenomics; palaeogenomics; population genomics; temporal sampling.]

The first DNA sequence from an extinct organism was reported in 1984, after Russell Higuchi and colleagues successfully extracted DNA from a quagga zebra museum specimen prepared in 1883 (Higuchi et al. 1984). With only 229 nucleotides from the mitochondrial genome, the amount of genetic information they characterized was admittedly limited. However, the authors anticipated that “if the long-term survival of DNA proves to be a general phenomenon, several fields including palaeontology, evolutionary biology, archaeology and forensic science may benefit” (Higuchi et al. 1984).

They were proven right. Thirty years later, the complete genome of this extinct group of zebras was sequenced to an average depth of coverage (Jónsson et al. 2014).

A Wealth Of Ancient genomes Has Now Been Characterized

Ancient DNA research has made massive progress in its rather short history, extending greatly beyond the temporal range covered by museum specimens. In 2008, the first draft of the complete genome of an extinct species—the woolly mammoth—was characterized, benefitting from exceptionally well-preserved DNA material present in hairs kept frozen for ~40 kyr in the Siberian permafrost (Miller et al. 2008). This unleashed the field of palaeogenomics, focusing on the genome characterization of extinct species and ancient individuals. Four high-quality genomes of mammoth specimens have now been characterized at ~11×, 20× coverage, unveiling the genetic makeup of this fascinating elephantid lineage, including signatures of adaptation to the cold Arctic (Lynch et al. 2015, as well as the dynamics of its demographic expansions and collapses over the last 4 Myr (Palkopoulou et al. 2015).

The first ancient human genome was sequenced at high-coverage (~20×) in 2010 from a ~4 kyr-old hair shaft of a palaeo-Eskimo Greenlander (Rasmussen et al. 2010). Up to that time, the general understanding was that no reliable DNA sequence could be retrieved from anatomically modern human remains, due to problems with contamination, as exemplified in Green and colleagues (Green et al. 2009): “...for Cro-Magnons and other modern humans, the problems (of contamination) are so severe that over the past 15 years we have been pessimistic over the prospects of ever reliably determining such DNA sequences.” Yet, at the middle of 2016, more than 500 ancient humans have been analyzed for genome-wide sequence variation, including Upper Palaeolithic individuals (Fu et al. 2014, 2016; Raghavan et al. 2014b; Seguin-Orlando et al. 2014; Jones et al. 2015), pre-historical and historical Amerindians (Malaspinas et al. 2014; Rasmussen et al. 2014, 2015a; Raghavan et al. 2015), Mesolithic hunter-gatherers (Lazaridis et al. 2014; Olalde et al. 2014; Günther et al. 2015; Jones et al. 2015; Fu et al. 2016), Neolithic hunter-gatherers and farmers (Gamba et al. 2014; Lazaridis et al. 2014; Günther et al. 2015; Haak et al. 2015; Llorente et al. 2015; Mathieson et al. 2015; Olalde et al. 2015; Cassidy et al. 2016), Bronze Age Eurasians (Gamba et al. 2014; Allentoft et al. 2015; Haak et al. 2015; Cassidy et al. 2016), and historical samples (Schroeder et al. 2015; Martiniano et al. 2016; Schögel et al. 2016).

Besides Anatomically Modern Humans (AMH), the first draft of the Neanderthal genome was released in...
2010, opening ancient genomics to the study of archaic hominins (Green et al. 2010). Soon after, a new species, otherwise totally unknown to physical anthropologists, was discovered based on the divergent genetic signature from a phalanx bone: the so-called “Denisovans,” named after the cave where the small bone fragment was excavated (Reich et al. 2010; Sawyer et al. 2015). Since then, high-quality genomes from both Neanderthals and Denisovans have been generated (Meyer et al. 2012; Fu et al. 2014) together with draft genomes of additional Neanderthal individuals (Prüfer et al. 2014), providing—as closer relatives than the chimpanzee—insights into the origins and genetic makeup of our own species (Pääbo 2014, 2015), and evidence of ancient admixture between different hominin lineages (Meyer et al. 2012; Fu et al. 2014, 2015; Prüfer et al. 2014; Kühnelt et al. 2016; Vernot et al. 2016). At the time these lines are written (spring 2016), nearly 80 ancient human genomes (with an average depth of coverage above 1.0×) have been characterized, and this number is expected to more than double within the forthcoming months (Table 1).

Until then limited to the Late Pleistocene, the temporal range of palaeogenomics was pushed back to the Middle Pleistocene with the sequencing of a draft genome from a 560 to 780 kyr-old permafrost-preserved horse bone (Orlando et al. 2013), the complete mitochondrial genome of a ∼400 kyr-old cave bear (Dabney et al. 2013) and a complete mitochondria (Meyer et al. 2014) from Spain, and nuclear sequence data from the latter sample (Meyer et al. 2016). Yet, only a few years ago, ancient DNA researchers were unanimous in thinking that “barring some unimaginable technical advance, diachronical studies of DNA sequences are confined to the past one million years, and more probably to the past 100,000 years.” (Hofreiter et al. 2001b). Clearly, this “unimaginable” technical advance has been realized.

**A Technological Revolution**

The advent of high-throughput sequencing (HTS) has been the main driver behind the emergence of palaeogenomics, significantly reducing the amount of sample, time, and costs involved. With HTS, ancient DNA fragments are unspecifically built into DNA libraries, which are amplified by PCR and massively sequenced in parallel, to up to several billion sequencing reads in few days. Such sequencing capacities made the characterization of ancient genomes feasible, as ancient DNA libraries often contain extremely low amounts of endogenous DNA, with most templates deriving from the environment and from microbes colonizing fossil material after death (Der Sarkissian et al. 2015a).

In addition to brute force shotgun sequencing, a range of molecular techniques has been developed to improve access to ancient DNA molecules, starting from the extraction stage, with methods tailored to the recovery of ultra-short (∼25–50 bp) DNA fragments (Dabney et al. 2013; Allentoft et al. 2015; Gamba et al. 2016). Some fossil material, such as hair (Gilbert et al. 2004), the petrous bone (Gamba et al. 2014; Rasmussen et al. 2014), and tooth cementum (Adler et al. 2011; Damgaard et al. 2015), are now recognized for their superior DNA preservation, often reducing the cost of ancient genome sequencing. Partial digestion of the bone matrix (Ciniglia et al. 2012; Der Sarkissian et al. 2014; Damgaard et al. 2015; Gamba et al. 2016), bleach treatment of the bone powder (Korlevič et al. 2015), and immuno-precipitation of methylated DNA (Seguin-Orlando et al. 2015) also offer novel alternatives to reduce the microbial fraction present in ancient DNA extracts. Additionally, innovative DNA library construction methods can improve access even to the most damaged DNA templates (Meyer et al. 2012; Gansauge and Meyer 2013, 2014), and with targeted enrichment, the regions required to address a particular biological question, which can range from a limited number of loci (Fabre et al. 2014) to several millions genome-wide markers (Haak et al. 2015; Fu et al. 2016) and even the entire genome (Carpenter et al. 2013), can be characterized. Finally, computational tools have also improved significantly. Read alignment parameters optimized for ancient DNA data have been identified (Green et al. 2009; Schubert et al. 2012) and alignment procedures building on the specificities of ancient DNA nucleotide mis-incorporations have been developed to maximize alignment specificity and accuracy (Kerpedjiev et al. 2014).

**Towards Integrative Ancient “-omic” Approaches**

In addition to the genome of their hosts, ancient DNA studies have successfully characterized the genome of a number of ancient pathogens, including some of the deadliest in human history such as those responsible for the Spanish flu of 1917–1918 (Taubenberger et al. 2001) and the Black Death of 1348–1350 (Bos et al. 2011). They have even revealed plague to be widespread some 3,000 years before any written recording (Rasmussen et al. 2015). The recent discovery of excellent microbial DNA preservation in ancient dental calculus (calcified dental plaque) (Adler et al. 2013; Warinner et al. 2014) also allows for the reconstruction of ancient oral microbiomes. This provides a temporal dimension to the analysis of biological systems that play an important role in the development of diseases and cognitive disorders (Burokas et al. 2015; Rosenbaum et al. 2015).

Beyond genomic sequences, ancient epigenomes have started to be characterized, with the first genome-wide methylation and nucleosome maps reconstructed from post-mortem patterns of DNA degradation along the genome (Gokhman et al. 2014; Pedersen et al. 2014) or from direct enrichment for methylated CpG sites (Seguin-Orlando et al. 2015). This promises...
Table 1. List of published ancient whole genomes above 1 × depth of coverage

| References                        | Organism               | Date             | Number of genomes above 1 × depth of coverage | Average coverage |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Reich et al. (2010); Meyer et al.  | Archaic hominin—Denisovan | 40,000 yrs BP    | 1                                            | 30               |
| Green et al. (2010)               | Archaic hominin—Neanderthal | 44,450–38,310 yrs BP | 1                                      | 1.3              |
| Prüfer et al. (2014)              | Archaic hominin—Neanderthal | 50,000 yrs BP    | 1                                            | 1                |
| Rasmussen et al. (2010)           | Human                  | 4000 yrs BP      | 1                                            | 20               |
| Rasmussen et al. (2011)           | Human                  | 200 yrs BP       | 1                                            | 6.4              |
| Keller et al. (2012)              | Human                  | 5300 yrs BP      | 1                                            | 7.6              |
| Fu et al. (2014)                  | Human                  | 45,000 yrs BP    | 1                                            | 42               |
| Gamba et al. (2014)               | Human                  | 7600–2830 yrs BP | 8                                            | 1.2–21.1         |
| Lazaridis et al. (2014)           | Human                  | 8000–7000 yrs BP | 3                                            | 2.4–32           |
| Malaspina et al. (2014)           | Human                  | 500–300 yrs BP   | 2                                            | 1.2–1.5          |
| Olalde et al. (2014)              | Human                  | 7000 yrs BP      | 1                                            | 3.4              |
| Raghavan et al. (2014b)           | Human                  | 24,000 yrs BP    | 1                                            | 1                |
| Rasmussen et al. (2014)           | Human                  | 10,705 yrs BP    | 1                                            | 14.4             |
| Seguin-Orlando et al. (2014)      | Human                  | 36,200 yrs BP    | 1                                            | 9.8              |
| Skoglund et al. (2014a)           | Human                  | 5050–4600 yrs BP | 2                                            | 1.3–2.2          |
| Allentoft et al. (2015)           | Human                  | 5400–1400 yrs BP | 19                                           | 1.1–7.4          |
| Llorente et al. (2015)            | Human                  | 4500 yrs BP      | 1                                            | 12.5             |
| Günther et al. (2015)             | Human                  | 5200–4600 yrs BP | 4                                            | 1.1–4.1          |
| Jones et al. (2015)               | Human                  | 13,700–9700 yrs BP | 3                                     | 1.4–15.4         |
| Olalde et al. (2015)              | Human                  | 7400 yrs BP      | 1                                            | 1                |
| Raghavan et al. (2015)            | Human                  | 200 yrs BP       | 3                                            | 1.0–1.7          |
| Rasmussen et al. (2015a)          | Human                  | 9200–8540 yrs BP | 1                                            | 1                |
| Cassidy et al. (2016)             | Human                  | 3300–1500 yrs BP | 2                                            | 1.5–10.3         |
| Martino et al. (2016)             | Human                  | 1900–1100 yrs BP | 6                                            | 1.1–1.7          |
| Schiffers et al. (2016)           | Human                  | 2360–1230 yrs BP | 9                                            | 1.3–11.8         |
| Park et al. (2015)                | Mammal—Aurochs         | 6750 yrs BP      | 1                                            | 6.2              |
| Orlando et al. (2013)             | Mammal—Horse           | 780,000–43,000 yrs BP | 2                        | 1.1–1.8          |
| Schubert et al. (2014b)           | Mammal—Horse           | 43,000–36,000 yrs BP | 2                       | 7.4–24.3         |
| Librado et al. (2013)             | Mammal—Horse           | 5200–1000 yrs BP | 2                                            | 18.3–20.2        |
| Lynch et al. (2015)               | Mammal—Mammoth         | 30,000–20,000 yrs BP | 2                           | 20               |
| Palkopoulou et al. (2015)         | Mammal—Mammoth         | 44,800–4300 yrs BP | 2                       | 11.1–17.2        |
| Der Sarkissian et al. (2015b)     | Mammal—Pezwalski horse | 200 yrs BP       | 3                                            | 1.4–4.3          |
| Jörsson et al. (2014)             | Mammal—Quagga          | 100 yrs BP       | 1                                            | 7.9              |
| Skoglund et al. (2015)            | Mammal—Wolf            | 35,000 yrs BP    | 1                                            | 1                |
| Maxwell et al. (2016)             | Pathogen—Helicobacter pylori | 5300 yrs BP    | 1                                            | 18.5             |
| Schuenemann et al. (2013)         | Pathogen—Mycobacterium leprae | 1023–444 yrs BP | 5                              | 10.1–105.1       |
| Mendum et al. (2014)              | Pathogen—Mycobacterium leprae | 1050–950 yrs BP | 2                           | 6.7–44.8         |
| Bos et al. (2014)                 | Pathogen—Mycobacterium ulcerans | 1000–700 yrs BP | 3                        | 20.5–31.4        |
| Martin et al. (2013)              | Pathogen—Phytophthora infestans | 150 yrs BP    | 5                                            | 3–22             |
| Yoshida et al. (2013)             | Pathogen—Phytophthora infestans | 150 yrs BP    | 11                           | ~1–~26           |
| Bos et al. (2014)                 | Pathogen—Yersinia pestis | 650 yrs BP      | 1                                            | 30               |
| Rasmussen et al. (2015b)          | Pathogen—Yersinia pestis | 480–774 yrs BP  | 2                                            | 19.2             |
| Bos et al. (2016)                 | Pathogen—Yersinia pestis | 300 yrs BP      | 5                                            | 84.8–92.8        |

Phylogeographic Inference

Phylogeographic methods provided a functional framework for early genetic analyses (Avise et al. 1987), exploring the association of geographic patterns with the gene genealogy of the surveyed individuals using phylogenetic trees. Integrating ancient data into such trees has often revealed that population histories are much more complex than could be inferred from the genetic variation present in extant populations, and has led to a better understanding of the true dynamics of speciation processes, past population movements, and expansions and declines (Table 2). Regarding animal domestication, for example, the phylogeographic tree based on mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) sequences from modern pig and their wild progenitor, the wild boar, showed a clear geographic pattern suggesting...
### Table 2. Examples of possible applications of ancient DNA analyses to different fields

| Field of application | Method | Organism(s) or population | Major finding | References |
|----------------------|--------|---------------------------|---------------|------------|
| Vicariant speciation | Phylogenetics (1) | Hutias | Colonization of the Antilles via rafting from South America. | Fabre et al. (2014) |
|                      |        | Ratites | No continental vicariance, but dispersal by flying ancestors. | Mitchell et al. (2014) |
| Population migration and replacement | Phylogenetics (1) | Pigs and wild boars | Separate pig domestication in Europe and then population replacement by Near-eastern domesticates. | Larson et al. (2005, 2007); Krause-Kyora et al. (2013) |
|                      | Serial Coalescent Simulations and ABC model fitting (3), PCA (6), Clustering methods (6), $f_2$-statistic (6), $f_4$-statistic (6) | Palaeo-Mesolithic hunter-gatherers, Neolithic farmers, present-day individuals | Genetic discontinuity associated with Neolithic transition in Europe supporting a demic diffusion from the Near East. | Bramanti et al. (2009); Malmström et al. (2009); Haak et al. (2010); Gamba et al. (2012); Skoglund et al. (2012); Lazaridis et al. (2014); Seguin-Orlando et al. (2014) |
|                      | Clustering methods (6), $f_2$-statistic (6) | Neolithic to Bronze Age individuals | At least three main genetic components in modern-day Europeans. | Allentoft et al. (2015); Haak et al. (2015) |
|                      | MSMC (3), clustering methods (6), $f_2$-statistics (6), admixture graph (6) | Ancient and modern-day Native Americans and Siberians | Reconstruction of the peopling of Northern Siberia and America. | Raghavan et al. (2014b, 2015) |
| Estimation of substitution rates | PMSC (3) | Humans, mammoth | Estimation of substitution rates. | Fu et al. (2014); Palkopoulou et al. (2015) |
| Calibration          | Branch shortening method (2), Shotgun sequencing-based metagenomics (8) | Yersinia pestis, Mycobacterium tuberculosis | Date and genetic mechanisms underlying the emergence of the different strains. | Bos et al. (2011, 2014); Cui et al. (2013); Kay et al. (2015); Rasmussen et al. (2015b) |
| Molecular dating     | Branch shortening method (2) | Denisovans, Ursus deningeri | Matching dates around 400 kyr ago from two different organisms from the same site. | Dubey et al. (2013); Meyer et al. (2014) |
| Changes in effective population size | Bayesian skyline plots (3), PMSC (3), Serial Coalescent Simulations and ABC model fitting (3) | Bison, mammoth, woolly rhino, horse, musk-ox. | Population expansions/collapses match global climatic changes. | Shapiro et al. (2004); Drummond et al. (2005); Campos et al. (2010); Lorenzen et al. (2011); Orlando et al. (2013); Mertz et al. (2014); Schuhert et al. (2014b); Palkopoulou et al. (2015) |
|                      | SMC (3) | Neanderthals, Denisovans | Demographic profiles for both species. | Prüfer et al. (2014) |
|                      | Serial Coalescent Simulations and ABC model fitting (3) | Patagonian rodents | Present-day low diversity is the result of a severe bottleneck that happened 3 kyr ago. | Chan et al. (2006) |

(continued)
| Field of application | Method | Organism(s) or population | Major finding | References |
|----------------------|--------|---------------------------|---------------|------------|
| Testing for ancestry | Ancestry test (6) | Kennewick man, Anzick infant, modern Native Americans | Revealed the true relationships of the samples with Native Americans. | Rasmussen et al. (2014, 2015a) |
|                      | f₃-statistic (6) | Mota, modern-day Ethiopians | Genetic continuity in East Africa since 4.5 kyr ago. | Llorente et al. (2015) |
|                      | PCA (6) | Palaeo-Eskimo | Closer affinities with Siberians than with Greenlanders. | Rasmussen et al. (2010) |
| Admixture between different species | f₃-statistic (6), D-statistics (6), enhanced D-statistics (6), admixture graphs (6), local ancestry and admixture dates (6) | Neanderthals, Denisovans, Anatomically Modern Humans | Admixture between different hominin species. | Green et al. (2010); Reich et al. 2010; Meyer et al. 2012; Fu et al. 2014; Prüfer et al. (2014); Seguin-Orlando et al. 2014; Vervet et al. 2016 |
| Ancient signatures of adaptation | Reconstructing allele frequencies through time (7) | Horses | Selection signatures for specific phenotypic traits in horses. | Ludwig et al. (2009, 2014) |
|                      | | Ancient and modern Eastern Europeans | Selection signatures on light pigmentation-related genes in humans. | Wilde et al. (2014) |
| Recognition of selected loci | Comparing genomes of closely related species (7) | Horses | Selection signatures associated with horse domestication led to changes in behavioral traits, circulatory system and physical structure. | Schubert et al. (2014b) |
|                      | | Neanderthals, modern humans | Selective sweeps in humans more likely related to regulatory changes than protein-coding genes. | Green et al. (2010); Prüfer et al. (2014); Paabo (2015) |
| Tracking ecosystem changes associated with climatic and/or anthropic factors | Metabarcoding (8) | Permafrost sediments from the Arctic | Ecosystem changes associated with the Last Glacial Maximum. | Willerslev et al. (2014) |
|                      | | Alpine lake sediments | Reconstruction of changes in the distribution of plants and domestic animal species. | Gigaat-Coves et al. (2014); Pansu et al. 2015 |
|                      | | Dental calculus from ancient and modern humans | Changes in oral microbiota associated with important dietary shifts during the Neolithic and Industrial age. | Adler et al. (2013) |
| Detecting contamination | SourceTracker (8) | Ancient coprolites, ancient dental calculus | Contamination estimates in different samples. | Tito et al. (2012), Adler et al. 2013 |

In brackets the section where each method is described. Legend: 1: phylogeographic inferences; 2: calibration and divergence estimates; 3: population demography; 4: genotype likelihood; 5: genetic continuity over time; 6: population structure and admixture; 7: tracking genetic signatures of selection; 8: ancient metagenomics.
that European pigs were domesticated in situ, while archaeological evidence suggested that they were introduced from the Near East (Larson et al. 2005). However, ancient mtDNA data from animals spread across the whole temporal range of domestication supported a much more complex scenario. In this model, pigs were first domesticated within the Near East, and were later introduced into Europe, before being ultimately replaced by incorporation of local wild European boars into the domestic pool (Larson et al. 2007; Krause-Kyora et al. 2013).

Phylogenetic analyses based on ancient DNA have also been widely used to study the dispersal of now extinct taxa and vicariant speciation, the process leading to the development of new species due to geographic fragmentation. Vicariant speciation in the Cretaceous, following the separation of the main Gondwana supercontinent, was for example proposed to explain the evolutionary origin of non-flying ratite birds (ostrich, rhea, kiwi, emu, cassowary, and the extinct elephant bird and moa). Their mtDNA phylogeny and divergence times, including data from two different genera of extinct bird (Mitchell et al. 2014). The results not only supported an initial dispersal by flying ancestors, but also provided a possible explanation for the disparity in size between lineages living in the same region. The first genus to arrive on each landmass is indeed proposed to have monopolized the available niche space for large flightless herbivores and omnivores, forcing subsequent newcomers to adopt different life history traits, including smaller sizes (Mitchell et al. 2014).

Beside classical biases associated with phylogenetic reconstructions (e.g., Hagen et al. 2015), additional problems can arise when considering temporally sampled DNA data. As a common assumption in phylogenetics is that all samples are tips, direct ancestry relationships are not allowed, which can affect parameter estimates if not properly modelled (Gavryushkina et al. 2014). In addition, inflated sequence error rates can derive from extensive DNA chemical reactions taking place after death (Briggs et al. 2007; Saëcker et al. 2012), resulting in substantial overestimates of the substitution rate, distorting branch lengths, and potentially the true topology of the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1). Other issues are related to the fact that ancient DNA studies have traditionally focused on haploid and unparentally inherited loci, such as the Y-chromosome and, even more frequently, mtDNA due to its high copy number per cell (Pakendorf and Stoneking 2005). These markers can be extremely useful to investigate sex-specific processes, such as the respective contributions of mares and stallions during horse domestication (Vila et al. 2001; Lippold et al. 2011). However, they also face a number of limitations. First, they may not always contain enough information to build well-resolved phylogenies or retrace the full evolutionary history of species or populations (Nordborg 1998; Goldstein and Chikhi 2002). Second, not only is the gene tree of a single marker affected by the stochastic nature underlying evolutionary processes, but also different population histories can also lead to the same tree (Nielsen and Beaumont 2009). Finally, phylogeography assumes that gene genealogies are only shaped by past demographic events, but natural selection can create similar molecular patterns (Currat et al. 2006). The Demography section below describes some methods for leveraging the power of multiple loci to reconstruct past demographic histories.

CALIBRATION AND DIVERGENCE ESTIMATES

Phylogenetic methods can exploit and provide insights into the time of divergence between species and populations with the “molecular clock” hypothesis, allowing the direct conversion of the substitution rate estimates calculated from genetic data into absolute time scales (see Ho and Duchêne 2014 for an extensive review). Calibration points are essential to this conversion, as they anchor samples (tip-dating) and/or population splits (internal node calibration) to specific dates, which enables extrapolation of the time scale for the remaining samples and nodes (Shapiro et al. 2011). Traditionally, calibration points are found within the fossil record, and/or biogeographic (e.g., vicariance) and bio-cultural dates (Ho et al. 2015).

Clock calibration is usually performed when reconstructing phylogenetic trees in a Bayesian statistical framework (Ho and Duchêne 2014), for example, with the software BEAST (Drummond et al. 2012). None of the clock calibration methods are, however, devoid of limitations (reviewed in Ho et al. 2011), despite important methodological improvements for handling uneven evolutionary rates across sites (Soubrier et al. 2012) or clades (the so-called “relaxed” clocks). The initial Bayesian implementation
underlying the “relaxed” clock enabled accommodation of uncertainties in the diversifying process through arbitrary prior distributions, both for the calibration nodes and for the coupled birth-and-death process of lineage speciation and extinction, which is ultimately informative about the age of the uncalibrated nodes. By considering heterochronous taxa as part of the same macroevolutionary process, the recently developed “fossilized birth–death” (FBD) model unifies the stochastic nature of lineage diversification (Heath et al. 2014). Although this model was mainly proposed for the analysis of infectious diseases, it is also specially suitable for temporal samples, including ancient DNA data (e.g., Stadler and Yang 2015). Recently, Pairwise Sequential Markov Coalescent (PSMC) demographic profiles (Li and Durbin 2011) (see Sequential Markov Coalescent (SMC) section) have offered a novel way to directly estimate substitution rates. This was first implemented by Fu et al. (2014), who compared the demographic trajectories as inferred from a PSMC analysis of eleven non-African human genomes, with that obtained from the genome of a ∼45-kyr-old AMH from Ust’-Ishim, Russian Federation. Here, genome-wide substitution rates were estimated by maximizing the overlap between ancient and modern demographic trajectories, assuming that both modern and ancient groups showed similar recombination rates and generation times. The substitution rate estimated was closest to that found from pedigrees in humans, representing about half that calculated when using 6 Myr for a divergence date between the chimpanzee and the human lineage (Scally and Durbin 2012).

Substitution rate estimates can also be derived from the branch length differences observed along the tree. Under the so-called branch-shortening method, a clock model is assumed, and any branch leading to ancient samples is expected to be shorter than that leading to extant organisms due to the fact that their evolution stopped at the time the individual died. Relating the extent of branch shortening to their age (e.g., as estimated from radiocarbon dating) thus provides a direct substitution rate estimate. This approach is particularly useful in the case of bacteria, for which external calibration points are not available. For Yersinia pestis, the agent of plague, Bos and colleagues made use of the genome of the Black Death strain to calibrate a genome-wide molecular clock, and propose 668–729 years ago as a date for the emergence of pathogenic Y. pestis (Bos et al. 2011). This date has been recently pushed back in time to 1,505–6,409 years ago on the basis of genomes from isolates from different Asian locations (Cui et al. 2013) and finally to 5,021–7,022 years ago following the discovery of early plague in Bronze Age humans (Rasmussen et al. 2013b).

Molecular clocks calibrated with ancient DNA have also been used to estimate the age of samples for which direct dates cannot be obtained, such as biological material beyond the range of radiocarbon dating. Phylogenetic trees are reconstructed within a Bayesian framework, and the ages of internal nodes are treated as unknown parameters. The ages of all sampled external nodes are known (e.g., from radiocarbon dating and/or cultural context) except the one that needs to be estimated, which represents an additional model parameter (Shapiro et al. 2011). This method has been used to date the Sima de los Huesos hominin to about 400 kyr ago (confidence range = 150–640 kyr) (Meyer et al. 2014), a result in strong agreement with the age recovered with the same method using the mtDNA sequence of the contemporary Ursus deningeri material found at the same site (Dabney et al. 2013). Recently, the original method has been modified to also take into account uncertainties in radiocarbon dating of the samples used for tip dating (Medak et al. 2015).

The disadvantage of this method is the high uncertainties in the mutation rate estimates (Ségurel et al. 2014) and, given the short time frame of interest compared to the total human–chimp divergence, even low errors can substantially bias the results (Moorjani et al. 2016). For this reason a recent study (Moorjani et al. 2016) proposes a variant of the branch shortening method based on the recombination clock (Hinch et al. 2011). The proof-of-concept was developed for dating ancient non-African individuals, leveraging on the size of Neanderthal blocks present in their genome. The basic idea is to estimate the date of Neanderthal introgression separately for ancient and modern non-African genome(s), by jointly modeling recombination rates across the genome and the decay of Neanderthal ancestry through time. It is then possible to calculate the age of the ancient specimen as the difference between those two values. Tested on five ancient Eurasian genomes older than 10,000 years ago, this method provided age estimates largely consistent with radiocarbon dates. It is worth noting that this method gives more precise estimates as the age of the individual is closer to the admixture event, but performs poorly towards more recent times, making it complementary to radiocarbon dating (Moorjani et al. 2016).

**Population Demography**

The main strength of ancient DNA is to open access to genetic variation in past populations, thereby providing maps of allelic frequencies through space and time. This information can significantly improve the statistical power to detect past population processes, for example, demographic expansions and declines (Ramakrishnan and Hadly 2009; Mourié et al. 2012), population splits (Skoglund et al. 2014b), etc. This is particularly relevant in cases where some historical events, for example, demographic collapses, have erased most of the genetic variation existing in the past and where our ability to resolve past population dynamics from modern DNA data alone is limited (Heléod and Drummond 2008; see also Fig. 2b). However, the additional power gained by including ancient DNA depends on the summary statistics used, the effective population size ($N_e$), the
FIGURE 2. Skyline-plot methods. a) Overview on how skyline-plot methods are used to reconstruct the variation of effective population size through time. After the reconstruction of the gene genealogy (step 1), the effective population size is estimated over time, on the basis of the density of coalescent events present in each corresponding time interval (step 2). The thick solid line is the median estimate, and the coloured area shows the 95% bounds of the highest posterior density (HPD). b) Bayesian skyline plot reconstructed from mitochondrial genome data of 34 ancient (dotted line) and 105 modern (continuous line) horse samples. (Table S1 available as Supplementary material in Dryad as http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.tt78r), calibrated by adding the donkey (Orlando et al. 2013) as outgroup, considering a split time of 2.75 Myrs ±650 kyr BP based on the most credible range estimate for the date when caballine (horse) and non-caballine (donkeys, asses, and zebras) equine lineages became genetically isolated (Jónsson et al. 2014). Bayesian phylogenetic inference was performed with BEAST 1.8.2 (Drummond et al. 2012), considering 5 site categories treated as unlinked partitions, following (Achilli et al. 2012). Divergence was estimated using a log-uncorrelated molecular clock model, including dating of ancient samples as calibration tips. The phylogenetic inference was run for 200 million generations, sampling the chain every 30,000, with 10% burn-in. Convergence was checked with Tracer v1.6.0 (Rambaut et al. 2014), also used for reconstructing the Bayesian skyline demographic profile, plotted with ggplot2 package (Wickham 2009), R (R et al. 2015).

mutation rate and the bottleneck intensity, and, to a lesser extent, on the sample size (Ramakrishnan et al. 2005). The sampling scheme is also crucial. For example, collecting genetic information from individuals just pre-dating and post-dating bottlenecks is essential to detect such events from mtDNA markers, especially when small population sizes are concerned (Mourier et al. 2012). In contrast, nuclear Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) from independent loci provide much higher power to detect bottlenecks with fast recoveries, although moderate bottlenecks generally require an increasing number of loci to be detected (Mourier et al. 2012), and wide, rather than clustered, temporal sampling appears to be more powerful (Skoglund et al. 2014a).

If not properly handled, damage-derived errors in ancient DNA data can lead to flawed demographic reconstructions, by either creating false relationships between samples, or by inflating the number of singleton mutations observed (Fig. 1). Simulations have shown that the latter can lead to spurious demographic inference, where an initial phase of growth is followed by a relatively recent decline, even though the actual population size was kept constant (Axelsson et al. 2008). To overcome these problems, mutational models that include the specific chemical features of ancient DNA damage have been developed (Ho et al. 2007; Rambaut et al. 2009), as have experimental methods for removing post-mortem damage, including independent amplification, multiple sequencing, and enzymatic treatment of ancient DNA extracts before amplification (Hofreiter et al. 2001a; Briggs et al. 2010), or amplification with DNA polymerases that cannot bypass DNA damage (Pederson et al. 2014).

The Serial Coalescent

As previously discussed, phylogenetic methods face important limitations when trying to reconstruct population histories, especially from single genetic markers. Rather than searching for exact historical reconstructions, coalescent theory provides a statistical
framework to compare and fit population demographic models (Kingman 1982; Hudson 1990).

For demographic inference, neutral markers that do not affect reproductive success are generally preferred. Their mutational and genealogical processes can be considered separately (Nordborg 2008), first by building a possible genealogy, then by spreading mutations along the branches according to a given substitution model. Temporal sampling, however, affects the shape of the coalescent tree (Depaulis et al. 2009), and consequently the expected patterns of genetic diversity (Skoglund et al. 2014a), as different samples show different branch lengths. Simulations have shown that the extent of the bias is directly proportional to the temporal range and the sample size, and inversely proportional to the generation time and effective population size (Depaulis et al. 2009). Serial coalescent approaches (Anderson et al. 2005; Excoffier and Foll 2011), which extend the coalescent framework to allow the incorporation of sequentially sampled sequences, are thus recommended. The serial coalescent was originally formulated in 1999 (Rodrigo and Felsenstein 1999) and several programs have been developed to apply this method, following two main frameworks, namely the skyline-plot methods (and their numerous derivatives) and direct coalescent simulations.

**Skyline-Plot Methods**

Skyline plots are an application of coalescent theory aimed at the reconstruction of historical population size trajectories, without the need for a priori restrictions on possible demographic models (Pybus et al. 2000; Ho and Shapiro 2011). They can be applied to a single locus or multiple independent ones, if aimed at increasing statistical power (Ho and Shapiro 2011), and work in two steps. The first one involves the reconstruction of the gene genealogy, including the tree topology and the branch lengths, often carried out under a Monte Carlo Markov Chain (MCMC) sampling scheme. In the second step, the profile of variations in effective population size over time is estimated, exploiting the density of coalescent events observed in each corresponding time interval. Since there is a relatively simple relationship between effective population size and the probability of coalescence (i.e., the larger the population size, the lower the probability that two sampled alleles are inherited from the same ancestor), this step only depends on the timing of the coalescent events and not on the exact genealogical relationships among the sequences (Fig. 2a) (Pybus et al. 2000). Yet, unaccounted-for population structure (Heller et al. 2013), sequencing errors (Heled and Foll 2011), and direct coalescent simulations.

The software most commonly used within this framework is BEAST (Drummond et al. 2012), and since it allows serial sampling over different time periods, it has been used in a variety of ancient—mainly mitochondrial—DNA studies (Ramakrishnan and Hadly 2009). BEAST implements a Bayesian framework to jointly infer any parameter of the associated coalescent, including the tree topology, the dates of the internal nodes, the rate of evolution, and substitution models (Drummond et al. 2002). The latest version of the software, BEAST 2 (Bouckaert et al. 2014) contains an add-on (Gavryushkina et al. 2014) allowing implementation of the above-mentioned FBD model (see section on Calibration and Divergence estimates) (Stadler et al. 2013).

The first and perhaps most iconic study so far analyzed more than four hundred ancient bison mtDNA sequences from northern and eastern Asia and northern America. Bayesian skyline-plot reconstructions show that the bison genetic diversity started decreasing between 45 and 30 kyr ago, concomitant with the onset of the last glacial cycle, and increased again after 30 kyr ago (Shapiro et al. 2004; Drummond et al. 2005). Similar changes in effective population sizes matching glacial cycles have been observed in a range of species sampled for mtDNA across their whole geographic range (see Table 2). The analysis of ancient data allows reconstruction of demographic events for which signals may be absent in modern-day samples due to recent evolutionary histories (e.g., domestication) sweeping away any signatures of earlier expansions/collapses (Fig. 2b).

**Sequential Markov Coalescent**

In recent years, another framework for inferring complex population histories has been developed, the so-called SMC (McVean and Cardin 2005; Marjoram and Wall 2006, but see also Wiuf and Hein 1999). The SMC framework is a tractable and accurate simplification of the Ancestral Recombination Graph (ARG), (Hudson 1983), an extended representation of gene genealogies that fully captures the coalescent process with recombination, and enables the study of unlinked markers with different evolutionary histories along the sequence. Integrating over all these markers has increased the statistical power for population inferences, even with a limited number of diploid genome sequences—generally not more than four (Schiffels and Durbin 2014).

SMC has received much interest in ancient DNA research especially through the PSMC, which allows for demographic inferences from a single diploid genome (Li and Durbin 2011). As a proxy for changes in effective population size over time, and similarly to Bayesian skyline plots, the PSMC framework estimates the density of coalescent events over a range of algorithmically selected time intervals. The applicability of the Bayesian skyline plots is, however, limited to unlinked loci without intragenic recombination, while PSMC integrates all the information along the genome. This method was first applied on ancient genomes to estimate the
demographic profile of archaic hominin populations, such as Neanderthals and Denisovans (Prüfer et al. 2014), which revealed extremely reduced population sizes, respectively, about seven or eight times lower than estimated for anatomically modern humans. It has been since applied to a range of other species, for example, revealing in horses and woolly mammoths periods of demographic expansion and collapses concomitant with major climatic changes (Table 2).

Other SMC methods, such as CoalHMM (Mailund et al. 2011), use Hidden Markov Models (HMMs) to partition pairwise or multiple sequence alignments into fragments with different evolutionary histories, from which they evaluate whether population/species pairs diverged in allopatry (isolation) or sympatry (isolation with migration). Such models hold great potential for ancient DNA analyses as they allow for an accurate inference of ancestral population sizes and divergence times, both at the time when population split and when migration stopped. However, they have until now received much less attention (with some exceptions, e.g., Jónsson et al. 2014).

**ABC-like Model-Fitting and Serial Coalescent Simulations**

Likelihood-based population models are often analytically complex, and require large computational resources. Complex scenarios are, thus, often analytically intractable. Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC) is a likelihood-free framework for model selection and estimation of corresponding parameters. When applied to genetic data it allows researchers to circumvent the mathematical and computational problems associated with complex evolutionary scenarios (Beaumont 2010). ABC selects models and parameters minimizing the difference between observed and expected levels and patterns of genetic diversity, as summarized in a set of highly informative statistics. With temporal sampling, the expected summary statistics are simulated using the serial coalescent (Anderson et al. 2005; Escollier and Foll 2011).

A recent study examining the population history of the American bison integrated serial coalescent simulations based on heterochronous DNA sequences with palaeoclimatology, bioclimatic envelope modeling, and spatio-temporal fossil information (Metcalf et al. 2014). The best-fit scenario supported a history where both climate and human hunting pressure drove population declines. Lorenzen and colleagues (2011) also used ABC coupled with serial coalescent simulations on data from woolly mammoths, reindeer, musk ox, bison, and woolly rhino. They characterized population dynamics in a more detailed fashion than when they applied skyline plots. All species considered except the bison showed an increase in population size in the Late Pleistocene. The time for these expansions varied across species, most being concomitant with climatic changes. Moreover, the comparison of the geographical ranges of humans and the different species analyzed suggested that the extinction of past megafauna was not only linked to human activity, but that climate also played an important role.

**GENETIC CONTINUITY OVER TIME**

Populations can experience rapid expansions and collapses in effective size, for example through serial founder events at the front wave of expansion. The colonization of new environments not only provides opportunities for the emergence of local adaptations, but may also entail the full or partial replacement of native populations previously inhabiting the region, resulting in a local genetic discontinuity over the whole time scale.

Several methods have been developed to test for genetic discontinuity. Present-day genetic data can be instrumental to date and quantify serial founder events leading to isolation-by-distance patterns. However, they cannot inform us about fully replaced populations, as they went extinct leaving no modern descendants. Serial sampling encompassing the time for the potential population replacements provides a framework for directly testing the hypothesis of genetic continuity over time. Direct ancestry can, for instance, be visually explored with genome projections (Yang and Slatkin 2015), which summarize the differences in derived allele frequencies (DAF) in a test genome relative to a reference panel from a single population (Yang et al. 2014). The difference in DAF is calculated separately for each frequency bin of the unfolded site frequency spectrum (SFS), reporting a pattern that in the case of direct ancestry is unique and distinct from that generated by potentially confounding evolutionary scenarios. The observed pattern consists of a deficit of derived alleles in the ancestral genomes, reflecting the additional evolutionary time leading to descending populations.

As useful as genome projections can be to formulate ancestry hypotheses, they do not represent a formal test for direct ancestry, in contrast to the more widely used serial coalescent simulations within an ABC framework (see the section on ABC-like model-fitting and serial coalescent simulations). Regarding the process of agricultural diffusion in Europe during the Neolithic, a range of studies, first based on ancient mtDNA data (Bramanti et al. 2009; Malmström et al. 2009; Haak et al. 2010; Gamba et al. 2012), but now based on full-genome sequencing of diachronic time series (Skoglund et al. 2012; Gamba et al. 2014; Lazaridis et al. 2014), have highlighted a genetic discontinuity between the Neolithic farmers from different regions and the local Mesolithic hunter-gatherers, supporting a demographic diffusion from the Near East (Ammerman and Cavalli-Sforza 1984).

Unlike ABC simulations, formal tests of direct ancestry explicitly model genetic drift, which is often quantified as changes in allele frequency between temporal series. On the basis of this concept, Rasmussen et al. (2014) developed a simple likelihood ratio test demonstrating that the 10.7-kyr-old Anzick-1 infant, excavated in Montana (USA), belonged to the ancestral population of
modern Native Americans (Rasmussen et al. 2014). The authors first estimated the so-called two-dimensional SFS (2D-SFS), a matrix that in its \((i, j)\)th entry contains the number of positions with \(i\) alternative alleles in the ancient Anzick-1 genome and \(j\) in the genome of a present-day Native American \((p_{ij}\) in Fig. 3). Then, two competing models were fit to the 2D-SFS (Fig. 3), (1) a null model assuming that Anzick-1 belonged to the direct ancestors of Native Americans (Direct Ancestry model) and (2) an alternative model in which the population Anzick-1 belonged to experienced drift since its divergence from the ancestors of the present-day Native Americans (Sister Population model). The data showed a significantly better fit to the Direct Ancestry model, supporting the Anzick-1 population as a direct ancestor to Native Americans. Sjödin and colleagues have also developed a similar method to assess the maximum modern genetic legacy of ancient populations (Sjödin et al. 2014). This approach considers that an ancient sample belongs to a population that is directly ancestral to a modern one, while allowing for gene flow from an unknown source into either the descending population (the so-called “genetic contribution”) or the parental one (“demographic contribution”). A mathematical framework to quantify the amount of genetic drift expected under these scenarios is derived, and subsequently used within a classical null hypothesis test to reject the scenarios that are incompatible with the observed allele frequency changes.

**Multivariate Principal component analysis Approaches**

Principal component analysis (PCA) is part of the classical multivariate statistical tool kit for describing the genetic structure of populations, and was already applied to genetic data some 40 years ago (Menozzi et al. 1978). More recently, the method was applied to part of the POPRES data set (Nelson et al. 2008) representing hundreds of thousands of SNPs spread across the genome, revealing a pattern in which the first two principal components mirrored the geographic map of the European continent (Novembre et al. 2008). Many similar data sets have been produced since, such as the Human Genome Diversity Project (HGDP, Li et al. 2008) and the Affymetrix Human Origins Panel (Patterson et al. 2012), the latter designed with no population ascertainment bias.

Interestingly, placing ancient data sets within modern-data PCA plots does not require complete genome sequencing. Skoglund et al. (2012) recovered informative PCA positioning of four ancient Scandinavian samples (three hunter-gatherers and one farmer) with genome coverage of only 0.01–0.035×. At biallelic positions in modern-day populations, the authors randomly sampled one allele per individual, transforming all diploid genotypes into pseudo-homozygous genotypes.
and first drew individual PCAs for each of the ancient specimens. They then applied the Procrustes transformation to the two components, to position all four ancient individuals within the same PCA space (Skoglund et al. 2012). This revealed that the three hunter-gatherers were outliers to the present-day variability, while the farmer clustered with southern Europeans, a pattern compatible with population discontinuity between hunter-gatherers and early Scandinavian farmers.

PCAs provide first-exploratory approximations of population affinities, but their interpretation is limited by their non-parametric nature. Suggested population affinities can largely be mistaken due to differential levels of genetic drift, especially when information about the populations’ demographic history is missing (Skoglund et al. 2014a). The temporal structure within ancient DNA data sets is also known to impact PCAs, with individuals from the same population background appearing increasingly distant as their temporal differences increase (Skoglund et al. 2014a). Ancestry components and population affinities between individuals are thus better identified with more robust statistical procedures relying on a restricted number of parameters, such as clustering methods.

**Clustering Approaches**

Clustering methods assign individuals to their corresponding ancestral populations, based on their allele frequencies. Briefly, the model assumes K clusters, representing K putative ancestral populations with different allele frequencies, and the individuals tested are probabilistically assigned to one or more of clusters based on their genotypes, and assuming Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium at each locus analyzed (Pritchard et al. 2000). Several model-based clustering approaches (i.e., STRUCTURE, Pritchard et al. 2000 and ADMIXTURE, Alexander et al. 2009) provide, for each individual tested, estimates of the genetic fraction descending from each of the K ancestral populations. The value of K is set a priori and can be selected based on historical information, although more complex scenarios are usually also investigated. When the number of clusters is unknown, it can be inferred as the smallest number of K (the simplest model) within a credible range, giving the best fit to the data. Such a cross-validation procedure is provided within the program ADMIXTURE (Alexander et al. 2009). Clustering approaches can be directly applied to genotype calls, pseudo-homozygous genotype calls (see Multivariate PCA Approaches), and genotype likelihoods. The latter is particularly convenient when low-coverage (ancient) genomes are examined and is implemented in the NGSDmix software from the ANGSD suite (Skotte et al. 2013).

Clustering approaches have been extensively used in studies of ancient specimens, including horses (Der Sarkissian et al. 2015b; Librado et al. 2015), maize (da Fonseca et al. 2015), and cattle (Park et al. 2015), but most frequently to place ancient individuals within the present-day human diversity. The three largest studies so far have generated genome-wide data from Neolithic and Bronze Age Eurasian individuals, either through extensive genotyping of 69 (Haak et al. 2015) and 163 (Mathieson et al. 2015) individuals or through whole-genome sequencing of 101 individuals (Allentoft et al. 2015). These studies provided a diachronic picture of European ancestors and identified a significant ancestry linked to the massive migration from the steppes of the Yamnaya culture, in the late Copper/early Bronze Age (around 5 kyr ago).

Clustering methods do not rely on explicit population models and always assume a defined number of ancestral clusters, which can be ill-suited to complex admixture histories (Patterson et al. 2012). Moreover, global ancestry approaches are generally prone to sampling biases, as uneven representation of individuals across populations can distort the relative frequencies of the different ancestry components (McKeown 2009).

This is particularly relevant when comparing modern and ancient sequence data sets, as modern genomes are generally overrepresented. For all these reasons, a complement of formal tests for detecting population admixture is required for confirming population affinities as reflected in global analyses.

**Summary Statistics**

Patterson and colleagues (Patterson et al. 2012) formalized into the ADMIXTOOLS package a suite of tests for quantifying ancestral admixture from modern and ancient genomic data. These methods were introduced earlier in a series of publications (Reich et al. 2009; Green et al. 2010; Durand et al. 2011; Moorjani et al. 2011), and include a three-population test (or f3-statistic) and two four-population tests, namely the D-statistics (or ABBA-BABA test), and the f4-statistic (the latter also allows the calculation of the F2-ratio; see below). These tests have become extremely popular in ancient and modern genomic studies and measure the shared genetic drift between populations and individuals, which is interpreted as shared ancestry. Under this framework, genetic drift is quantified as its impact on the allele frequencies of two related populations, H1 and H2, and denoted as F2(H1,H2) for theoretical expression, and as f2(H1,H2) for explicit data quantification (Patterson et al. 2012).

\[ f_3 - \text{statistic} \] — This three-population statistic is designed to test whether a population H3 descends from the admixture of two populations, referred as H1 and H2 (Fig. 4). The genetic drift occurring after the population mix, however, gradually erodes the molecular signature of admixture, which limits its applicability to relatively recent episodes (up to 500 generations ago, i.e., approximately 15 kyr for humans; Patterson et al. 2012).

Mathematically, the F3-statistic can be defined as F3(H3; H1,H2) = F2(H3,h3) − αF2(h1,h2), where h1, h2, h3 refer
to internal nodes, $f_3(H_3, h_3)$ the drift experienced by $H_3$ after the admixture event, and $\alpha$ and $\beta$ the relative contribution of $h_1$ and $h_2$ to the foundation of $h_3$ (Fig. 4). Whenever $H_3$ is related to only one population (i.e., $u = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, or $u = 0$ and $\beta = 1$) the second term of $f_2(h_1, h_2)$ is equal to zero and the $f_3$-statistic is positive. Conversely, in case of admixture, the $f_3$-statistic is negative as long as the amount of shared genetic drift pre-admixture is greater than post-admixture. Therefore, the signature of really ancient admixture events might be lost, and in such cases, analyzing ancient genomes from individuals that lived closer to the time of admixture can help detect signals that would have been missed otherwise. This mathematical definition is however impracticable, because the allele frequencies in the $h_1$, $h_2$, and $h_3$ ancestral populations are often unknown. Operationally, $f_3$-statistic values are calculated as a linear combination of $f_2$-statistics, $f_3(H_3; H_1, H_2) = (f_2(H_3, H_1) + f_2(H_3, H_2) - f_2(H_1, H_2))/2$.

Many examples of negative $f_3$-statistic can be found in the ancient DNA literature, revealing that population admixture between ancient human groups was commonplace. For example, interbreeding between Neanderthals and the ancestors of non-African populations was identified using the $f_3$-statistic and is estimated to have contributed 1.5–2.1% of the present non-African genomes (Prüfer et al. 2014) (Fig. 4).

In cases where an outgroup is used as test population $H_3$, the $f_3$-statistic provides a direct estimate for the shared genetic drift between the reference populations $H_1$ and $H_2$, thus reflecting their genetic proximity (Patterson et al. 2012). African genomes are often used as outgroups to estimate the shared genetic drift of populations outside Africa. For instance, the “outgroup” $f_3$-statistic was maximized when pairing the genome of the ~36 kyr-old European from Kostenki (Russian Federation) with modern Europeans, suggesting that the genetic differentiation of Europeans and Asians pre-dated the radiocarbon age of this individual (Seguin-Orlando et al. 2014). The “outgroup” $f_3$-statistic was also key in identifying genetic continuity in eastern Africa, between the population represented by the first ancient African genome ever sequenced (Mota; 4.5 kyr ago), and an isolated group of Ethiopian Omotic speakers (Llorente et al. 2015).

D-statistics and $f_4$-statistic.—The D-statistics, also known as the ABBA-BABA test, is a four-population test based on evaluating the asymmetries in allele frequencies in a three-population tree topology, given a closely related outgroup (Patterson et al. 2012). The test was described for the first time by the Neanderthal Genome consortium (Green et al. 2010; Durand et al. 2011) when assessing the presence of archaic hominin ancestry in modern human populations, and works as follows. Let us assume that the phylogenetic relationships between three populations (hereafter referred to as $H_1$, $H_2$, and $H_3$) and an outgroup $O$ is known (e.g., $((H_1,H_2),H_3),O$) (Fig. 5a). The most common site pattern along the genome is in the form of BBBA, where A and B, respectively, represent the ancestral and derived allele states. The second most represented site pattern is BABA, grouping together $H_1$ and $H_2$. In contrast, ABBA and BABA patterns represent sites not supporting the tree topology (Fig. 5a). In absence of gene flow and structure...
Figure 5. D-statistics. D-statistics are built on loci showing patterns of incomplete lineage sorting (a). A D-statistics value deviating from zero indicates an excess of ABBA or BABA events, pointing to a shared ancestry or admixture event with one of the populations tested (H1 and H2). In case of admixture events, the $f_4$-statistic and $F$-ratio (b) allow quantification of the admixture proportions.

Within the population ancestral to H1, H2, and H3, such patterns are by-products of incomplete lineage sorting, and are expected to appear in balanced proportions. The D-statistics measures the difference between the number of sites in ABBA and BABA topologies over their sum, and is therefore expected to be equal to zero. In contrast, positive (negative) D-statistics point to an overrepresentation of either the ABBA (BABA) patterns, which suggests gene flow between H1 and H2, (between H3 and H2). To account for the standard error, the D-statistics are transformed into Z-scores, that is, their value is transformed into the number of standard deviations, with $|Z| > 3$ indicating significant levels of admixture (Rasmussen et al. 2011; Orlando et al. 2013). Green and colleagues (Green et al. 2010) retrieved positive $D((\text{Non-African, African}, \text{Neanderthal}), \text{Chimpanzee})$ values for all pairs of present-day African and non-African populations, suggesting Neanderthal affinities to non-Africans, probably linked to interbreeding between Neanderthal and the ancestors of Eurasians (confirming $f_3$-statistic results; Fig. 4). The detection of gene flow between Neanderthals and Anatomically Modern Humans (AMHs) is, however, challenged. Possible ancient population structure in
Africa, where Neanderthals and AMHs might originate from a similar population background, could also generate non-null \( D \)-statistics in absence of gene flow (Green et al. 2010; Eriksson and Manica 2012). However, non-African populations appear to share more derived alleles with the Neanderthal specimen from the Caucasus than the specimens from the Altai Mountains or Croatia, which supports a population scenario where particular Neanderthal subgroups admixed with non-African humans (Prüfer et al. 2014).

Meyer et al. (2012) also tested for gene flow between archaic hominins (H3) and non-African human populations (H1 and H2), using the chimpanzee as outgroup. To remove potential spurious signals due to substructure in the ancestral population leading to H1 and H2, Meyer et al. (2012) introduced the enhanced \( F \)-statistics, conditioned to sites where the ancestral population of H1 and H2 (represented by sub-Saharan Africans) have the same allele as the chimpanzee. This revealed the presence of Denisovan ancestry in Papuans (Meyer et al. 2012), a result that was further supported by the results of a TreeMix analysis (see the section on admixture graphs).

The \( F \)-statistic, an analogue of the \( D \)-statistics, has been developed to detect gene flow and estimate the admixture proportions (Reich et al. 2009; Patterson et al. 2012; Sankararaman et al. 2012). Assuming a \(((H1,H2),(H3,H4),Ο)\) topology, where H3 represents the population potentially admixed with H2 (Fig. 5b), \( f_3(H1,O;H2,H3) \) can be estimated, similarly to \( f_2 \), from the population allele frequencies. Particularly as \( f_2(H1,O;H2,H3) = (p1−p0)(p3−p4) \), which intuitively corresponds to the overlap between the paths going from H1 and O, and from H2 to H1. This is represented as \( −ab \) (Fig. 5b), where \( b \) is the amount of drift exclusively shared by H1 and H2, and \( a \) the fraction introgressed between H2 and H3. The benefit of this is that \( f_2(H1,O;H2,H3) \) is simply equal to \( −b \) because it does not include the test population H3. Therefore, the ratio computed between the two \( F \)-statistics \( F_3(H1,O;H2,H3) \) and \( F_2(H1,O;H2,H3) \), called the \( F \)-ratio, equals \( a \), providing a direct estimate of the admixture proportion between H3 and H2 (Fig. 5b).

While \( F \)-statistics should ideally be restricted to robust population allele sampling, \( D \)-statistics are more flexible, as they can be implemented even when only one sequence per taxon is available (Pease and Hahn 2015). Given the robustness of both tests to various SNP ascertainment biases (Patterson et al. 2012), they have been used in a number of cases involving ancient populations, including AMHs (Fu et al. 2014, 2015; Seguin-Orlando et al. 2014), archaic hominins (Green et al. 2010; Meyer et al. 2012; Prüfer et al. 2014), horses (Schubert et al. 2014b; Der Sarkissian et al. 2015b; Librado et al. 2015), aurochs and cattle (Park et al. 2015).

### Admixture Graphs

Admixture graphs represent natural extensions of phylogenetic trees to accommodate exchanges of genetic material resulting from secondary contacts between diverged populations. The most popular method for building admixture graphs builds on the drift-based \( F \)-statistics described above, generalizing a framework that has been efficiently implemented in several tools scalable up to a moderate number of populations. MixMapper, for example, implements a semi-automated method that first builds a neighbor-joining tree from the F2 distances calculated between clearly non-admixed populations, and subsequently adds the remaining populations as two- or three-way mixtures of the non-admixed populations (Lipson et al. 2013, 2014). TreeMix implements a conceptually similar approach, though with multiple operational differences that enable the full automation of the admixture graph estimation, given a user-fixed number of gene flow events (or migration edges) (Pickrell and Pritchard 2012). This number should be carefully selected, as forcing inadequate migration event edges may induce spurious changes in the tree topology.

The scalability of such programs, especially TreeMix, has been key in ancient DNA studies, as they allow rapidly and jointly screening for genetic affinities and mixture events between ancient samples and present-day populations. MixMapper has been used in a number of ancient DNA studies, showing that a significant fraction of Native American ancestry originates from pre-Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) east Asian populations (Raghavan et al. 2014b) and that Denisovans have contributed to the genomes of modern Melanesians, Australian aborigines, and other Southeast Asian islanders (Meyer et al. 2012). More recently, TreeMix has been applied to study a range of population histories, including the peopling of the New World Arctic (Ragavan et al. 2014a), and revealed genetic affinities between aurochs and local cattle landraces from the British isles (Park et al. 2015).

### Local Ancestry and Admixture Dates

Admixture among sexually reproducing populations results in mosaic genomic regions of different ancestries. Identifying such regions is fundamental not only for the characterization of migration and cross-species gene flow (Green et al. 2010; Reich et al. 2010; Prüfer et al. 2014), but also for the identification of recombination patterns and local adaptations (Kim and Rothschild 2014). This is instrumental to uncover the genetic architecture of reproductive isolation, to detect the so-called “speciation genes” (Wu and Ting 2004; Nosil and Schluter 2011; Burri et al. 2015), and can be applied to the management of endangered populations (Der Sarkissian et al. 2015b).

Some approximations for mapping the ancestry of genomic tracts build on genome-wide sliding window analyses. In one popular method, \( D \)-statistics are calculated within sliding windows of a given size, and candidates for introgression are identified in blocks showing significantly non-null \( D \)-statistics (Kronforst et al. 2013; Smith and Kronforst 2013). However, the variance of the \( D \)-statistics is extreme in small windows,
mainly due to the presence of a rather limited amount of unlinked information (Martin et al. 2015). This increases the rate of non-null statistics, and creates spurious correlations with recombination rates. To avoid this, the minimum size of a sliding windows should be dynamically defined according to $L=1000/(N_e r^*)$, where $N_e$ is the effective population size and $r$ the recombination rate per nucleotide and generation (Pease and Hahn 2015). This restriction typically requires using regions on the order of megabases, a magnitude that is obviously unsuitable for fine-scale local ancestry inference.

More dedicated implementations of the problem of local ancestry inference have been thoroughly reviewed in Padhukasahasram (2014). These methods generally use HMM to define the genomic boundaries of introgressed regions inherited from parental populations (Moorjani et al. 2011; Patterson et al. 2012; Harris and Nielsen 2013). The length of admixture-induced LD segments is also extremely valuable to date admixture events, as recombination breaks down introgressed tracts, producing an exponential decay of genomic blocks with the number of generations, which can effectively operate as a “recombination clock.” Although extremely powerful, these methods are not without caveats, and typically require specification of source populations, which are often unknown, extinct, or at best surrogates of the parental ones. Additionally, the asymptotic decay of LD segments is also extremely valuable to date admixture events after a few hundreds to thousands of generations (Loh et al. 2013).

Using ancient genomes from individuals temporally closer to the date of the gene flow event can minimize or even circumvent these limitations (Fig. 4) whether they belong to the source (parental) or to the descending (admixed) population. For example, the genomes of 36–45 kyr-old modern humans were found to show much longer tracts of Neanderthal ancestry than present-day modern humans, which helped refine the time estimates of Neanderthal admixture to ∼55 kyr ago (Fu et al. 2014; Seguin-Orlando et al. 2014), later corrected to ∼50–60 kyr ago (Fu et al. 2016). This value is in accordance with the ones calculated based on recombination rates (see section on Calibration and Divergence Estimates): ∼47–65 kyr ago (Sankararaman et al. 2012) and ∼40–55 kyr ago (Moorjani et al. 2016).

Tracking Genetic Signatures of Adaptation

Detecting signatures of positive selection is of major interest in evolution, as it is the only evolutionary force that can explain environmental adaptation. Such analyses are generally carried out by comparing the levels and patterns of genetic variability within and/or between different species, which enables detection of episodes of selection at various time scales (Sabeti et al. 2006).

By charting allele frequencies through time, ancient DNA provides an opportunity to identify the pace of adaptation, and thereby determine the age and selection coefficients of an adaptive mutation (Bollback et al. 2008; Malaspinas et al. 2012; Malaspinas 2016; Schraiber et al. 2016). This approach uncovered the tempo and mode of the selective sweep to an unprecedented resolution. For example SNPs at six genes associated with coat color were tracked through time in 89 ancient horses, revealing an explosion in allelic diversity following the early Bronze Age, just after the onset of horse domestication (Ludwig et al. 2009). The dun and bay horses no longer dominated the Eurasian steppes, as black, white, and leopard-spotted horses started reaching significant frequencies in bone assemblages. Further work on the allele associated with leopard spotting revealed dynamic selection regimes, with herders apparently favouring this mutation in the early Bronze Age, but selected against it in the late Bronze Age (Ludwig et al. 2014). As the leopard-spotting associated allele is also a recessive mutation responsible for congenital stationary night blindness in horses, it shows how human preference for a particular phenotype can artificially select and maintain polymorphisms that would otherwise be purged from the population.

Another example utilizing the same approach concerns the origins of lactase persistence (LP) in the human gut in adulthood, conferring the ability to digest milk after weaning. In Europe LP is highly associated with a specific SNP located ∼14k bp upstream of the LCT gene, and shows a strong selection signature in modern European populations, reaching almost fixation frequencies in Scandinavia (Leonardi et al. 2012). It has long been debated if LP-associated alleles spread before the introduction of milk consumption in adulthood, or if the cultural behavior of milking started before the ability to fully digest it, entailing a selective pressure favoring the mentioned variants. Ancient DNA data from Europe show that the Neolithic European population was not able to digest fresh milk (Burger et al. 2007; Malmstrom et al. 2010; Lacan et al. 2011a, 2011b; Plantinga et al. 2012; Gamba et al. 2014; Lazaridis et al. 2014; Sverrisdóttir et al. 2014) suggesting that selection for this LP-associated allele is also a recessive mutation responsible for congenital stationary night blindness in horses, it shows how human preference for a particular phenotype can artificially select and maintain polymorphisms that would otherwise be purged from the population.

Beyond extensive analyses of the temporal allele dynamics at key loci, the characterization of single genomes from a closely related extinct species (or...
lineage) can provide invaluable information regarding selective regimes within present-day populations. This is illustrated by the Neanderthal genome, which illuminated the genetic makeup of anatomically modern humans (Green et al. 2010; Prüfer et al. 2014; Paabo 2015), and in horses, where a now extinct, but closely related lineage helped better understand the genetic foundation of domesticated horses (Schubert et al. 2014b). Briefly, such studies screened for regions where the genomes of modern species (lineages) lost significant amounts of genetic variability, as this represents the molecular signature of selective sweeps. In practice, these authors used SMC to implement a HMM that categorized the sequence alignment in regions compatible or incompatible with genealogies resulting from a sweep in the focal lineage. To avoid the confounding effect of decreased variability from purifying selection, it was furthermore required that the genetic variability had largely recovered through subsequent neutral evolution, resulting in the accumulation of alleles that are not shared with their close relatives. Green et al. (2010) applied this method to the Neanderthal genome and identified 212 human-specific selective sweeps, spanning large genetic distances, and including genes involved in diet metabolism, morphology, and cognition. Five out of the 20 clearest signals of positive selection did not include, however, any known protein-coding gene, suggesting that recent selective sweeps in humans may be associated with non-protein-coding regions, such as the Tajima’s D statistic (Tajima 1989).

This approach was also implemented to unravel domestication genes in horses (Schubert et al. 2014b), together with a number of complementary scans to minimize the number of false positives. One such scan relied on the ratio of the Watterson estimator ($\theta_W$) (Watterson 1975) calculated for a set of domestic horses and for a pair of pre-domestic horses. By using this ratio, regions in which the modern population showed a decrease in genetic diversity could be identified, predicted on a corresponding deviation from neutrality detected using the Tajima’s D statistic (Tajima 1989). The resulting set of 125 candidate domestication genes contained many related to the nervous system and behavioral traits, including learning and fear responses, in addition to genes involved in the circulatory system, metabolism, and muscular and skeletal structure.

Ancient Metagenomics

Ancient Metabarcoding

Ancient remains and environmental samples often contain DNA from multiple organisms, such as microbes, plants, or animals, either arising from the ecosystem or infecting the analyzed specimens. This biodiversity can be revealed through sequence analyses based on strict identity or similarity in short, but informative, genetic markers, or “metabarcodes.” These are recovered by PCR amplification of DNA fragments...
selected to optimize the resolution of taxonomic assignment.

Metabarcoding has been applied to a variety of ancient environmental samples collected from diverse locations (see Pedersen et al. 2015 for a review) and dated up to 400 kyr ago (Willerslev 2003). These encompass permafrost soils (Willerslev et al. 2003; Haile et al. 2009; Epp et al. 2012; Jørgensen et al. 2012; Hou et al. 2014; Willerslev et al. 2014), cave deposits (Hofreiter et al. 2003; Haile et al. 2007; Murray et al. 2013; Haouchar et al. 2014; Credilly et al. 2015), ice cores (Willerslev et al. 1999, 2007), plant remains (Gould et al. 2010), lake and fjord sediments (Matisoo-Smith et al. 2008; Anderson-Carpenter et al. 2011; Parducci et al. 2012, 2013; Pedersen et al. 2013; Giguët-Covex et al. 2014; Pawlowska et al. 2014; Pansu et al. 2015). DNA in these samples derives from the deposition of plants, eukaryotes and prokaryotes, as well as from ejecta (i.e., feces, urine) of animals in the past ecosystem.

The power of this approach is perhaps best illustrated with ancient sediments, where it revealed the dynamic interplay between climate, human activity, and the environment. For example, 50 kyr of vegetation history could be reconstructed through plant metabarcoding of 42 dated permafrost sediments spanning 21 sites across the Arctic (Willerslev et al. 2014). The analyses revealed forbs-dominated ecosystems before and during the LGM, and a turnover in favor of graminoids post-LGM. Similarly, ancient metabarcoding applied to the sediments from the subalpine Lake Anterne, in the North Italian Alps, helped reveal the temporal distribution of vegetation across the LGM, and a turnover in favor of graminoids post-LGM. Such analyses are not limited to classical vertebrate, plant, and bacterial metabarcodes as illustrated by D’Costa and colleagues (2011), who could detect variants of the antibiotic resistance genes vanX, tetM, and bla in 30 kyr-old permafrost sediments from Beringia, showing that resistance predates by millennia the clinical use of antibiotics (D’Costa et al. 2011).

Ancient metabarcoding has also been used on ancient and modern dental calculus to evaluate whether important dietary changes in human evolution have influenced the structure of human oral microbiomes, and potentially impacted human health (Adler et al. 2013). The analyses revealed a significantly higher incidence of species responsible for caries and periodontal diseases in the Neolithic, probably linked to a shift toward a diet richer in carbohydrates. This study also reported a drastic decrease in oral microbial biodiversity in the modern sample, paralleled by the emergence of oral microbial taxa known to cause chronic diseases. This was attributed to dietary changes during the 18th–19th century Industrial Revolution, which introduced refined grains and concentrated sugars (Adler et al. 2013).

Ancient Shotgun Sequencing-Based Metagenomics

With ever decreasing sequencing costs and growing sequencing capacities, shotgun sequencing approaches are increasingly applied to the metagenomic analyses of ancient samples, potentially revealing the whole population of DNA molecules present in the DNA extract.

Applied to ancient human samples, such approaches have helped detect the Mycobacterium tuberculosis pathogen in 26 ancient human remains found in a Hungarian church crypt dated to the 18th century CE (Kay et al. 2015), and previously identified as victims of tuberculosis (Fletcher et al. 2003; Chan et al. 2013). The analyses revealed 12 different strains falling within the modern diversity of the European-American M. tuberculosis Lineage 4. Consistent times to the Most Recent Common Ancestor of 470 and 396 CE were estimated, placing the origins of Lineage 4 in the Late Roman Period. Bayesian skyline plots of this lineage showed an increase in effective population size until the beginning of the 20th century, when the population declined. This study also revealed infectious patterns indicating that multiple strains could simultaneously infect a single individual, which is rarely reported today. Another work by Rasmussen et al. (2015b) focused on Y. pestis, the etiological agent of plague. They detected the bacteria in 7 Asian and European human specimens ranging in age from 2.8 to 5.0 kyr, that is, three millennia before the earliest historical record of infection. Rasmussen et al. (2015b) provided several lines of evidence for the authenticity of their Y. pestis sequences: (1) the presence of damage patterns characteristic of ancient DNA; (2) the presence of three plasmids of Y. pestis (pCD1, pMT1, and pPCP1); (3) uniform coverage along the Y. pestis reference genome; and (4) closer read to reference edit distances with the reference genome of Y. pestis than any of its closest relative, Y. pseudotuberculosis. The authenticated sequences eventually allowed identification of temporal patterns for the acquisition of the genes responsible for the high pathogenicity of Y. pestis and flea transmission, and for its ability to escape the mammalian innate immune system.

Even though the latter studies focused on the genetic characterization of single microorganisms, shotgun metagenomics can also be applied to investigate the entire microbial diversity preserved in ancient samples as shown by Warinner et al. (2014), who identified bacteria typical of the human oral microbiome in medieval dental calculus from two adult individuals (Warinner et al. 2014).

Analytical Tools for Ancient Metabarcoding

A plethora of programs have been developed to perform metagenomic analyses of both metabarcoding and shotgun sequencing data sets (reviewed in e.g., Sharpton 2014; Hoffman and Matsen 2015). A family of taxonomic assignment methods is
based on DNA sequence similarity between the query and taxonomically annotated sequences from comprehensive reference databases. One such method, the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST; Altschul et al. 1990, 1997) has been widely applied in ancient metagenomic studies, with subsequent analyses of taxonomic profiles sometimes carried out using MEGAN (Huson et al. 2007). BLAST-based taxonomic assignment, however, suffers from a number of limitations (Munch et al. 2008b), including species mis-identification, and mapping scores based on local alignments that do not directly measure the statistical significance of the assignment. Assignment methods based on phylogenetic criteria, and in particular the Statistical Assignment Package (SAP), were developed to address the latter limitation. SAP uses an automated method based on Bayesian phylogenetics for clustering sequences within supported taxonomic clades (Munch et al. 2008a, 2008b). Other classification methods based on similarities in base composition or k-mer frequencies have been applied to modern data sets, (see e.g., Sharpton 2014; Hoffman and Matsen 2015) but their potential for analyzing ancient metagenomic data sets is currently unknown.

Ancient metagenomic analyses have been carried out using computational pipelines, such as OBITools (https://git.metabarcoding.org/obitools/obitools/wiki/home) and QIIME for microbes (Caporaso et al. 2010), which perform all analytical steps from post-sequencing DNA read processing, sequence comparison to reference databases and clustering, to taxonomic assignment, visualization, and statistical analyses. In addition, the program SourceTracker, which is part of the QIIME package, allows prediction of the source of microbial taxa representing distinct microbial communities within a microbiome (Knights et al. 2011). It uses 16S rRNA data from different environments tested as sources, and directly estimates the proportions of contaminants from each source in the sink microbiome using Bayesian modeling. Applied to ancient coprolites from three sites (Tito et al. 2012), SourceTracker revealed variable preservation levels for the original gut microbiomes. While one sample was found to be representative of gut microbiomes typical of rural individuals, extensive microbial contamination from compost-like or unknown sources was identified in the other two samples.

Limitations of Ancient Metagenomics

Although ancient metabarcoding can help describe past biodiversity in a range of samples and organisms, and across wide temporal scales, this approach suffers from several limitations. First, the regions selected as metabarcodes should enable an even representation of all taxa and minimize false negatives/positives. False negatives can result from limited template availability, which is common in ancient DNA, or from the preferential amplification of particular DNA templates. The latter has been recently demonstrated when classical 16S barcodes was applied to ancient DNA extracts from dental plaque, and resulted in skewed bacterial taxonomic profiles (Ziesemer et al. 2015). This advocates for the use of shotgun sequencing to retrieve more accurate microbial profiles. False positives can result from contamination, nucleotide mis-incorporations at damaged nucleotides, and more generally from sequence errors, but can be detected through multiple replications and controls (Ficetola et al. 2015). Second, the evolutionary relationships among metabarcodes do not necessarily reflect the true evolutionary relationships among species, for instance due to gene flow, incomplete lineage sorting, or horizontal gene transfer. Third, as so far restricted to taxonomic assignment, ancient metabarcoding analyses do not provide any information about the functional content of an environmental sample. Finally, the accuracy of taxonomic assignment requires high-quality comparative databases, and databases skewed toward specific taxonomic groups can mislead species-level assignment. Importantly, a large part of the past and present biodiversity still remains undescribed at the molecular level and taxonomic assignment methods should take the incompleteness of the databases into account, for example, correcting assignment scores for the possibility of unobserved species (Munch et al. 2008a).

Efficient and Accurate Microbial Profiling from Ancient Samples

Considering the limitations of ancient metabarcoding (Ziesemer et al. 2015), it is expected that microbial profiling of ancient specimens will mainly rely on HTS in the near future. However, HTS data sets are rapidly increasing in size, causing growing computational challenges. Moreover, the assignment accuracy can be limited by the short read length of ancient DNA templates. These problems are particularly acute for characterizing ancient microbial diversity from shotgun HTS data using BLAST-based approaches (Warinner et al. 2014), but can be overcome with the program MetaPhlAn (Segata et al. 2012; Truong et al. 2015). The MetaPhlAn database consists of a collection of specific taxonomically informative markers identified from pairwise genome comparisons of characterized microbial genomes. It is, however, not immune to representation bias, as the vast majority of environmental microbes is still largely unknown, although likely to improve in the shorter term due to decreasing sequencing costs and the multiplication of microbial genome sequencing projects.

MetaPhlAn was first applied to ancient shotgun HTS data to characterize the microbial diversity present in the DNA extracts from 7 horse remains dated back between 13 kyrs and 200 years ago (Der Sarkissian et al. 2014), representing a recent colonization from the depositional soil. MetaPhlAn and the series of statistical analyses used
to compare microbial profiles in Der Sarkissian et al. (2014) have been implemented in two pipelines: as a module of the PALEOMIX package, dedicated to whole-genome resequencing analyses (Schubert et al. 2014a, 2014b; Der Sarkissian et al. 2015b; Librado et al. 2015; Seguin-Orlando et al. 2015) but routine microbial profiling using PALEOMIX or metaBIT on a diversity of ancient DNA extracts will, in the future, enhance our understanding of depositional environments and their relationship with taphonomic processes, past diets and health statuses, and help identify contamination sources.

CONCLUSIONS

The recent development of new molecular methods, most remarkably HTS technologies, has driven significant advances in ancient DNA research. This review highlights how these advances have led to the implementation of dedicated models and novel tools in statistical palaeogenomics, some of which have even become standard for the analysis of present-day genetic variability. The recent findings described here illustrate how these methods exploit the information provided by ancient DNA sequences to circumvent some of the known limitations in modern genetic analyses, and help reconstruct complex population histories and adaptive processes. With the ever-increasing success in retrieving DNA from ever-larger numbers of ancient samples, the future for ancient DNA research has perhaps never been as promising.
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