Perioperative temperature measurement and management: moving beyond the Surgical Care Improvement Project
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Abstract
Intraoperative management of patient body temperature is a standard of care for practicing anesthesiologists. Merely complying with the Surgical Care Improvement Project (SCIP) measurement is inadequate for optimizing perioperative outcomes. Clinicians should have a sound understanding of available temperature monitoring sites, deleterious effects of hypothermia, and indications for therapeutic hypothermia. This foundation will help physicians use indicated modalities to improve patient outcomes throughout the perioperative period. The purpose of this paper is to review appropriate intraoperative temperature monitoring, the importance of maintaining normothermia, and indications for intraoperative hypothermia.
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Introduction
Temperature monitoring is a standard of care as well as a part of the American Society of Anesthesiologists’ guidelines for anesthetic management. Anesthesiologists are expected to be proactive in recognizing and managing temperature derangements throughout the perioperative period [1,2]. The purpose of this paper is to review appropriate intraoperative temperature monitoring, the importance of maintaining normothermia, and indications for intraoperative hypothermia.

In an effort to regulate perioperative practice, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services have endorsed the Surgical Care Improvement Project (SCIP) measurements. One SCIP measure is related to the number of patients whose body temperature is greater than 36°C after undergoing anesthesia for longer than one hour [1]. For anesthetics lasting greater than one hour, either forced-air heated devices or warm-water garments should be employed to help maintain normothermia [1]. The only exceptions to the SCIP measure are documentation of intentional hypothermia, monitored anesthesia care, or peripheral nerve block [1]. The SCIP measure was created because intraoperative hypothermia has been associated with an increase in surgical site infections (SSIs), post-operative cardiac events, intraoperative blood loss, transfusion requirements, time to recover from anesthesia, and a decrease in the metabolism of common anesthetics [5-13].

Once anesthetized, a patient’s autoregulatory and behavioral thermoregulatory mechanisms are compromised. Therefore, anesthesiologists should be familiar with the accuracy and reliability of commonly used monitoring sites. Additionally, anesthesiologists should have an understanding of strategies for altering patient core temperature. While the SCIP measure does permit clinically-indicated intentional hypothermia, the anesthesiologist should be knowledgeable about how to minimize the risks of adverse events related to hypothermia.

Intraoperative temperature monitoring and its limitations
Effective perioperative temperature management begins with accurate temperature measurement. SCIP measurement does not indicate which temperature site is the most appropriate to monitor as long as it represents core body temperature [1]. Anesthesiologists should be proficient in understanding the advantages and limitations of contemporary measurement techniques. Probes which measure temperature should be capable of discriminating within 0.5°C, the minimum difference shown to affect blood loss [14,15].

Temperature monitoring is measured from a plethora of sites. Sites commonly used for measurement of temperature are: skin, tympanic membrane, bladder, esophagus, nasopharynx, pulmonary artery, and jugular bulb. Each site has limitations,
Thermometry

Rectal Changes more slowly than pulmonary artery,
Tympanic Decreased by air currents 15,16†
Bladder Accuracy is dependent on urine output 20
Esophageal Decreased by suctioning on nasogastric/orogastric tube 18
Nasopharyngeal Adjusts slowly to rapid changes in cerebral temperature 17
Pulmonary artery Affected by CPB 16

The temperature measured from the pulmonary artery
is a close approximation from the pulmonary artery temperature except during rapid changes [17]. The temperature measured in the bladder does not reflect core temperature accurately in all patients, in fact, in some patients the measured temperature may vary more than measured skin temperature [17].

Less accurate sites include tympanic, rectal, and deep tissue thermometry. Regardless of whether the tympanic site is measured by infrared technology or with a thermocouple, it is difficult to place correctly [15]. Rectal temperature has been shown to adjust more slowly than other sites for measuring core temperature contributing to its lower accuracy [15,17]. The least accurate location to measure temperature is the skin as it is subject to peripheral vasoconstriction induced by thermoregulatory mechanisms in the presence of hypothermia [16]. Skin temperatures are frequently monitored because they are easily accessible. The literature supporting normothermia uses a variety of sites on the body for monitoring temperature, making it difficult to compare a single temperature measurement between the different studies. Based on the surgical procedure and patient characteristics, the anesthesiologist should incorporate the least invasive modality that will provide reliable and adequate assessment of core body temperature for the duration of the operation.

Monitoring brain temperature

Since the jugular bulb receives the majority of cerebral blood flow, it is thought to correlate to temperature in the cerebral cortex [17]. However, there is no evidence that measuring jugular bulb temperature or intraparenchymal temperature, compared to other measurements of core body temperature, improves outcomes and they are highly invasive monitors. This is interesting since intentional hypothermia is induced while monitoring core body temperature for central neuroprotection after cardiac arrest or in infants with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy [19,24].

In certain circumstances, such as severe brain injury, jugular bulb temperature measurements do not reflect true cerebral temperature. In fact, a two- to four-degree difference may exist [25-27]. In the severely brain-injured population, jugular vein temperature more accurately represents core body temperature instead of brain temperature. The difference becomes greater if the patient is febrile [26,27]. In a study by Rumana et al., the difference between jugular bulb and intraparenchymal brain temperature did not correlate with a decrease in global cerebral flow, injury severity, or type of injury [25]. Therefore, in the setting of brain injury, it is difficult to estimate actual brain temperature based on jugular bulb temperature alone.

Usually, commonly-used monitoring sites such as tympanic, bladder, esophageal, nasopharyngeal, and pulmonary artery are similar to brain temperature [16]. However, all

Table 1. Limitations associated with common sites for core temperature measurement. (CPB, Cardiopulmonary Bypass; AHA, American Heart Association; * denotes measured by infrared; † denotes measured by thermocouple).

| Site          | Limitations                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Pulmonary artery | Affected by CPB16, Decreased for several minutes after receiving cold cardiopulmonary solutions17                                      |
| Nasopharyngeal | Adjusts slowly to rapid changes in cerebral temperature17, Placement can cause nasal bleeding19                                             |
| Esophageal    | Decreased by suctioning on nasogastric/orogastric tube18, Affected by ventilation through endotracheal tubes19, Affected by CPB19, Not recommended by the AHA for monitoring during therapeutic hypothermia19 |
| Bladder       | Accuracy is dependent on urine output20, Unreliable during CPB18, Not recommended by the AHA for monitoring of anuric patients during therapeutic hypothermia18 |
| Tympanic      | Decreased by air currents15,16†, Affected by changes in skin temperature on the head and face15,16†, Response to temperature change is delayed by cerumen or dried blood in the auditory canal16†, Probes are difficult to place correctly16†, Placement may perforate the tympanic membrane16† Not recommended by the AHA for monitoring during therapeutic hypothermia19 |
| Rectal        | Changes more slowly than pulmonary artery, nasopharyngeal, tympanic, esophageal, and bladder15,17, May not increase as expected during malignant hyperthermia15, Can become lodged in fecal matter16, Not recommended by the AHA for monitoring during therapeutic hypothermia19 |
| Deep Tissue Thermometry | Requires a long equilibrating time12,13, Response to rapid changes in body temperature is unpredictable12,13 |
| Skin          | Can have 2°C difference from core5, Changes more slowly during induction of hypothermia and with rewarming compared to other monitored sites16, Not recommended by the AHA for monitoring during therapeutic hypothermia19 |

listed in Table 1. The primary factors to consider when appraising temperature probe locations are the level of invasiveness and the degree of accuracy. The following discussion is a description of assessment of core body temperatures ranging from the most to the least accurate measurement locations.

The temperature measured from the pulmonary artery is the most accurate location from which to measure core temperature, in the majority of circumstances, and is the gold standard for core temperature monitoring. The temperature measured from the pulmonary artery is a close approximation of the jugular bulb temperature and even correlates well during rapid changes in surgical procedures involving deep hypothermic circulatory arrest [17]. Detecting temperature from the pulmonary artery requires an invasive catheter. Since placement of a pulmonary artery catheter is associated with several severe possible complications [22,23], insertion of the catheter is indicated for hemodynamic monitoring.

Nasopharyngeal, esophageal, and urinary bladder monitoring all constitute good measurement sites. Nasopharyngeal and urinary bladder temperatures correlate well with pulmonary artery temperature except during rapid changes [17]. Thermodilution measurements of temperature may vary more than measured skin temperature [17].
The importance of maintaining normothermia

Hypothermia is defined by SCIP as a core body temperature less than 36°C [1]. Hyperthermia is defined as a core body temperature > 38.3°C [28]. Maintaining normothermia is necessary to prevent adverse effects, many relevant in the immediate postoperative period. Hypothermia causes shivering which in controlled settings can increase the metabolic rate up to 5 times the basal rate [29]. A higher rate of metabolism increases oxygen demand and causes a depletion of glycogen in the muscles [30] and high-energy phosphate stores [31]. Hypothermia is also associated with up to a 250% increase in minute ventilation [32], which may be detrimental in certain subsets of patients such as those with underlying lung disease. Increased metabolism, minute ventilation, and activation of the sympathetic system make avoidance of shivering necessary.

Hyperthermia is associated with patient discomfort, increased metabolic demand and increased cardiovascular stress [28]. There is a wide range of etiologies for fevers that can be categorized into syndromic, infectious or noninfectious [28,33-35]. The clinical option to treat fevers, specifically in neurologically intact patients, is reliant on etiology and clinical scenario. The availability of evidence-based guidelines is also lacking. Routine pharmaceutical and cooling treatments, in this population, are not recommended [28]. High temperatures are well tolerated in these patients with infectious causes and play a role in improved host immunological defense via decreasing microorganism growth and virulence [28].

In the critical care arena, aggressive treatment of fever is associated with an increased number of infections and mortality [28,34]. However, in patients with neurologic injury, pregnancy or the pediatric population, treatment of fevers may be beneficial [34-39]. The SCIP, and this review, focuses on perioperative warming and maintenance of normothermia, current applications of hypothermia, and the detrimental effects associated with hypothermia during the perioperative period. The vast topics of hyperthermia, its many etiologies, association with outcome and perioperative evidence-based guidelines has not been fully established and is not covered in detail in this review.

Hyperthermia is associated with an increase in cardiac motility, most likely due to an increase in the amount of circulating catecholamines [3]. However, adverse cardiac events have been demonstrated only in patients with probable coexisting coronary atherosclerotic disease (CAD). The largest study to employ therapeutic hypothermia for surgical patients (IHAST), demonstrated no increase in the use of vasopressors, arrhythmias (other than sinus bradycardia), myocardial ischemia, or myocardial infarction in the group that underwent hypothermia [40,41]. The authors hypothesized this was due to the low incidence of coexisting CAD in their study group and further hypothesized that with adequate adrenergic blockade, even patients with a history of CAD would suffer a low incidence of cardiac events when cooled [41]. In a prospective study, Frank et al., demonstrated that patients who were allowed to become hypothermic did not differ from patients in which normothermia was maintained in the incidence of intraoperative ventilricular tachycardia and myocardial ischemia [3]. However, patients who were not actively warmed had more cardiac events in the postoperative period [3]. This suggests that medications given for general anesthesia may be protective against the adverse effects of hypothermia on the heart [3]. Hence, until a pharmacological regimen is established to reduce cardiac morbidity, hypothermia for non-cardiac surgery should be avoided in patients with concomitant CAD unless the patient has another indication (Table 2).

Hyperthermia in the post-operative period is thought to be a risk factor for surgical site infections (SSI) and delay wound healing [4,20]. In 1996, a randomized controlled trial reported that patients who were hypothermic at the end of surgery experienced a three-fold increase in the incidence of SSI [4]. The mean temperature in the hypothermic patients was 34.7°C compared to 36.6°C in the control patients [4]. Alternatively, in 1999, a retrospective study performed in the United States failed to identify any difference in the infection rate between hypothermic and normothermic patients [42]. On average, the lowest recorded temperature for the hypothermic and normothermic groups were 34.9°C and 35.9°C, respectively [42]. Both differences in the two studies’ designs and outcomes highlighted the complex nature of the relationship between hypothermia and SSIs.

In a recent retrospective study by Lehtinen et al., documentation of normothermia as a part of SCIP compliance
A randomized controlled trial demonstrated that hypothermic surgical bleeding, and potentially leading to greater transfusion requirements [41]. The authors concluded that SCIP standards should be reconsidered as a quality of care index in itself, does not improve outcome [45]. Recently, a large retrospective study found that documented adherence to postoperative normothermia in patients undergoing colorectal surgery had an adjusted odds ratio of 1.00 (95% CI, 0.81 to 1.23) in reducing postoperative infections [46], a finding supported by others (adjusted odds ratio 1.02; 95% CI, 0.88 to 1.18) [47]. However, there is an association with an increase in infectious complications involving hypothermia outside of the operating room [48-50]. Infectious complications are more likely associated with an increased duration of hypothermia [33].

Hypothermia causes coagulopathy, increasing the amount of surgical bleeding, and potentially leading to greater transfusion requirements [5]. Because of the heterogeneity of outcomes in multiple controlled trials, a meta-analysis of literature published before 2006 was performed [5]. It showed that a patient with a mean temperature of 35.6°C was likely to lose 16% more blood and was 22% more likely to receive a blood transfusion [5]. Therefore, based on the available evidence, hypothermia should be permitted only when clinically indicated for neuroprotection. Also, to be consistent with the American Heart Association’s guidelines for the induction of hypothermia, “ongoing bleeding should be controlled before decreasing temperature” [19].

Hypothermia has been linked to additional adverse outcomes such as prolonged time to recovery from general anesthesia [6]. Drug effects are prolonged because of decreased metabolism and increased potency secondary to decreased body temperature [6]. For example, the clearance of midazolam and vecuronium is reduced approximately 11% for every degree Celsius drop in body temperature [7,8]. Similarly, the metabolism of remifentanil is reduced by approximately 6% for every one degree Celsius drop in temperature [9]. Likewise, the metabolism of fentanyl and propofol are reduced [10-13]. A randomized controlled trial demonstrated that hypothermic patients (average intraoperative temperature 34.8°C) took approximately 40 minutes longer to meet discharge criteria from the post anesthesia care unit compared to normothermic patients (average intraoperative temperature 36.7°C) [6]. When a temperature of 36°C was added to discharge criteria, discharge time was prolonged by 90 minutes [6].

Hypothermia has specifically been shown to be detrimental in patients with certain comorbidities as emphasized in Table 3. In septic patients, hypothermia is associated with a much higher incidence in mortality [28,51,52]. This is likely because fever—a form of autologous hyperthermia—functions as an immunologic defense that combats infection [28,33-35]. Moreover, aggressive treatment of fever in the surgical critical care setting is associated with an increased number of infections and mortality [34].

Patients with CAD are not likely to tolerate the increased physiologic demands of hypothermia. Hypothermic patients with CAD have a higher incidence of vasopressor and/or inotropic support, arrhythmia, angina, myocardial ischemia, and mortality [3,10,11]. The proposed mechanism for an increase in morbidity and mortality is the increased adrenergic response to hypothermia, coupled with a decreased ability of the heart to respond to an increase in myocardial oxygen demand [41].

Hypothermia upon admission after trauma is associated with a three-fold increase in mortality in adults and more than double in pediatric patients [53-55]. Hypothermia at admission was also associated with severe functional impairment [53]. Trauma patients admitted to the intensive care unit with hypothermia who were aggressively rewarmed required less intravenous fluids, had a lower oxygen consumption on the second day after trauma, and overall had a lower rate of mortality in the first 24 hours [56]. Thus trauma patients should be rewarmed, especially in the setting of ongoing hemorrhage.

In isolated traumatic brain injury, hypothermia may have both positive and negative clinical effects. Hypothermia at the time of admission is associated with an increase in mortality [53]. However, the Brain Trauma Foundation et al., published guidelines in 2007 which gave level III recommendations for prophylactic hypothermia in severe traumatic brain injury [59]. Their meta-analysis failed to show a significant improvement in mortality; however patients treated with hypothermia had improved neurologic outcomes [59]. These recommendations were updated in 2008 after another systematic review showed that hypothermia may reduce mortality and improve neurologic outcomes [60]. The review found that the greatest benefit occurred when hypothermia was maintained for 48 hours; however hypothermia doubled the risk of pneumonia [60]. In 2011 the National Acute Brain Injury Study: Hypothermia II was published after being terminated early for futility [61]. No benefit could be attributed to early induced hypothermia in trauma patients [61]. However, the group containing intentionally hypothermic patients had a higher daily

| Patient Population | Deleterious Effects Associated with Hypothermia |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Sepsis             | Increase in mortality [28,31,32]               |
| CAD                | Increase incidence of vasopressor and/or inotropic support [11] Increase occurrence of arrhythmia [1] Increase rate of angina or myocardial ischemia [3,10] Increase in mortality [11] |
| Trauma             | Increase mortality with hypothermia upon admission [28,33] Increase mortality at 24 hours with slow rewarming [14] |
| Pregnancy          | Shifts the oxygen delivery curve to the left Decreases blood flow to the uterus [27,30] May cause fetal bradycardia [34] |
therapeutic intervention score in the intensive care unit for increased intracranial pressures and double the rate of preoperative hospital hypoxia [61]. The same authors performed a combined analysis of their randomized prospective multi-site studies and found that induction of hypothermia in patients with traumatic brain injury who had a craniotomy for hematoma halted the incidence of a “poor outcome” [61-63]. Poor outcome was defined as severe disability, vegetative state, and death using the Glasgow Outcome Scale [63]. The study did not find a significant increase in the incidence of rehemorrhaging after hematoma evacuation in the hypothermic group [63]. Currently the Eurotherm3235Trial is being performed in Europe and is hoping to recruit 1800 patients by January 2013 with the aim of being able to recruit 2000 patients by January 2014. The study did not find a significant increase in the incidence of rehemorrhaging after hematoma evacuation in the hypothermic group [63]. Currently the Eurotherm3235Trial is being performed in Europe and is hoping to recruit 1800 patients by January 2013 with the aim of being able to recruit 2000 patients by January 2014.

Pregnant and elderly patients are uniquely affected by hypothermia. There are multiple case reports of induction of hypothermia in the first, second, and third trimester with later successful delivery of a healthy infant [57,58,65]. However, no controlled trials have been conducted in this patient population. Hypothermia shifts the oxygen delivery curve to the left, decreases blood flow to the uterus [57,58], and may cause fetal bradycardia [58]. Therefore, it is prudent to strictly follow the SCIP measurement in patients with pregnancy, trauma, CAD, and sepsis.

**SCIP measurement and maintenance of normothermia**

The SCIP measurement describes a limited number of techniques for maintaining normothermia. Forced air warming, or water garments, as well as appropriate passive insulation and an increased ambient temperature of the operating room are recommended. With forced air warming devices, there has been some concern that the devices may increase the spread of bacteria in the operating room; however, this does not seem to increase the incidence of surgical site infections [66-68]. The SCIP measurement also suggests warming intravenous (IV) fluids [1], though the use of warmed IV fluids as a sole intervention to treat or prevent hypothermia has been shown to be ineffective [20].

Various additional methods for preventing and treating hypothermia are commercially available, but not endorsed by SCIP. Heated humidification of ventilator gases and passive heat and moisture exchangers do not significantly alter changes in patient body temperature [69,70]. One effective strategy for maintaining normothermia involves warming the patient preoperatively. This strategy has been shown to significantly attenuate, and prevent, hypothermia from redistribution of blood from the patient's core to periphery while under general anesthesia [71-75]. Recently, prewarming the patient for as long as 10 to 20 minutes has been shown as effective at reducing perioperative hypothermia and postoperative shivering [75]. Disadvantages with preoperative warming include reduced efficacy with sweating and patient discomfort [72,75]. The Artic Sun™ Temperature Management System (Medivance Inc., Louisville, CO) has been shown “to be more effective for rewarming patients after off-pump coronary artery bypass than conventional warming methods.” [31]. Additionally, the heatexchanger on the CPB machine, while obviously impractical for the majority of surgical cases, is highly effective at adjusting body temperature [36]. A summary of the aforementioned techniques, their efficacy, and weaknesses is listed in Table 4.

In pregnant patients with neurologic injury [34,36-38], or under the age of six [34,35,39], or as pregnant [37], it may be beneficial to treat or prevent hypothermia. When controlling fever, the goal is to eliminate the cause. All other interventions should either be used in conjunction or until the source of the fever can be identified. Antipyretics may be used although they are rarely efficacious [34,36,38,84], especially if thermoregulatory centers have been damaged from a neurologic insult [38]. Cooling with a forced air device in the intensive care unit has been shown to be ineffective [38], however failure was attributed to intolerance from the patient, shivering, and vasoconstriction which all can be avoided with general anesthesia. Other devices that have shown good efficacy in lowering body temperature include; water circulating gel-coated pads, water garments, intravascular devices, and infusion of cold intravenous fluids [7,31,34,36,79,83,85-87].

**Table 4. Efficacy and disadvantages of different methods for maintaining normothermia.**

| Method                              | Efficacy   | Disadvantages                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Water circulating gel-coated pads   | Very61     | Possible damage to skin66                                                     |
| Prewarming                          | Very71-75  | Possible patient discomfort72,75                                              |
| Water garments                      | Very76-78  | Possible burns34                                                               |
| Venous catheter-based cooling systems| Very-Good64 | Invasive                                                                        |
| Forced air devices                  | Good40     | Ineffective when wet61 Possible burns when warming62 Possible shivering when cooling |
| Warm ed or cold intravenous fluids  | Minimal16, 36 | Possible hemodilution43 Possible coagulation derangements83                   |
| Heated humidification of gases      | Minimal68,70 | Minimal to none                                                                 |
| Passive insulation                   | Minimal48  | Possible shivering when cooling                                               |

doi: 10.7243/2049-9752-2-8

**Indications for intraoperative hypothermia**

An accepted exclusion to normothermia within the SCIP measurement is intentional hypothermia [1]. Moderate hypothermia is the only intervention shown to consistently provide neuroprotection in humans [88]. Although there is some suggestion of benefit from normoglycemia and intravenous administration of barbiturates and lidocaine, none of these interventions have been shown to provide...
any benefit during ischemia to the human brain [88]. Mild hyperthermia, hyperventilation, hyperbaric oxygen, propofol, etomidate, nitrous oxide, isoflurane, sevoflurane, desflurane, ketamine, and glucocorticoids have not been shown to provide neuroprotection [88].

Theoretically, patients undergoing surgery who have a neurologic insult should receive the greatest benefit from hypothermia. In addition to the suppression of thermoregulatory responses that occurs under general anesthesia, patients can be cooled rapidly before, or shortly after, a neurologic insult. This would maximize the neuroprotective effects of hypothermia when the brain tissue is most vulnerable. Nonetheless, hypothermia has only been proven to be clinically beneficial in patients undergoing cardiac arrest outside the hospital, who have a rapid return of spontaneous circulation [31,48,89] or neonatal patients suffering from hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy [31,90-93]. Outside of surgical procedures requiring deep hypothermic circulatory arrest, the current indications for therapeutic hypothermia are described in Table 2. This is relevant to anesthesiologists because some of these patients present for interventions to reestablish coronary perfusion post arrest. It is also unclear what should be done for the patient who arrests in the operating room. The current guidelines further state that “active rewarming should be avoided in comatose patients who spontaneously develop a mild degree of hypothermia” during two days post arrest [19]. There is a paucity of evidence regarding active warming in patients post arrest intraoperatively.

Selected patients with cardiac disease may potentially benefit from therapeutic hypothermia [94-96], although the literature supporting beneficial outcomes is inconclusive and currently not an accepted indication. Conflicting results in the literature regarding hypothermia and CPB might be explained by confounding factors such as intraoperative cerebral hyperthermia, perioperative hyperglycemia, rapid rewarming, and postoperative hyperthermia [97]. In a thorough review, Grigore et al., recommended that patients, with a low risk of cognitive or neurologic dysfunction, have their temperature fall to 34°C–35°C, followed by slow rewarming to 37°C [97]. For high-risk patients with a long CPB time, hypothermia may be actively induced (28°C–30°C) followed by slow rewarming to 37°C [97]. For high-risk patients with a short CPB time, hypothermia may be induced to 32°C, followed by slow surface warming postoperatively in the intensive care unit [97].

The SCIP standard allows for hypothermia; however, the standard does not address efficacious methods for lowering body temperature. Packing the patient in ice or using a traditional cooling blanket is inadequate, and these methods can cause significant skin damage [31,34,84-98,99]. Catheter-based heat exchange devices [34,79,85-86], advanced surface cooling devices [34,87], and intravenous infusions of cooled liquids [7,31,83], have all been found to be highly effective at reducing body temperature. Yet, each of these methods has advantages and disadvantages (Table 4).

Catheter-based cooling systems are more effective than ice and cooling blankets, but carry risks associated with placement of a central venous catheter [31,79]. Water circulating gel-coated pads, such as the Artic Sun™ Temperature Management System (Medivance Inc., Louisville, CO), have also been shown to be effective [87]. However, this device poses a risk of damage to the skin [36]. Catheter-based devices may be better able to maintain a stable temperature than water circulating gel-coated pads [31,79]. Another cost-effective method for cooling involves infusion of refrigerated IV crystalloids. However, this technique may cause hemodilution, coagulation derangements [83], and is ineffective at maintaining temperature in a narrow range [36]. The reader is referred to an excellent review of techniques for inducing hypothermia by Polderman, et al., [36].

Adverse effects from hypothermia should be minimized. Shivering can be prevented in conscious, moderately hypothermic, spontaneously breathing patients with a combination of meperidine and buspirone [85,99,100]. Alternately, endovascular cooling (e.g. use of a venous catheter-based temperature management system) with concomitant surface warming (e.g. application of a forced warm air blanket) can prevent shivering by modifying the input to the thermoregulatory centers, allowing for a greater degree of hypothermia [99]. While hypothermic, patients should be monitored for changes in blood pressure, arrhythmias, and other cardiac events [3,10,11]. When implementing devices for altering temperature, it is recommended to monitor for complications associated with each strategy such as line infections, venous thrombosis, and skin injury [101].

**Conclusion**

The SCIP guidelines were created to improve patient outcomes. Regardless of their efficacy, or relevance to temperature management practices in the operating room, anesthesiologists should strive to integrate the physiologic basis of these guidelines into an evidence-based practice designed to optimize patient outcomes. Core body temperature should be measured with a modality of monitoring that is least affected by operative technique or patient condition. Hypothermia should be induced only when appropriate for neuroprotection because of the increased associated amount of hemorrhaging, amount of transfusions, time to recover from anesthesia, and morbidity in patients with sepsis, CAD, and trauma. Methods for manipulating body temperature should be implemented when indicated. Due to the importance of body temperature control in patient outcomes, it will likely remain a priority throughout the perioperative period as the evolution of best practice in anesthesiology continues to occur.
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