Investigation into the Presence of and Serological Response to XMRV in CFS Patients
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Abstract

The novel human gammaretrovirus xenotropic murine leukemia virus-related virus (XMRV), originally described in prostate cancer, has also been implicated in chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS). When later reports failed to confirm the link to CFS, they were often criticised for not using the conditions described in the original study. Here, we revisit our patient cohort to investigate the XMRV status in those patients by means of the original PCR protocol which linked the virus to CFS. In addition, sera from our CFS patients were assayed for the presence of xenotropic virus envelope protein, as well as a serological response to it. The results further strengthen our contention that there is no evidence for an association of XMRV with CFS, at least in the UK.

Introduction

Hitherto, gammaretroviruses have never been found in human populations. In mice, for example, the murine leukemia viruses (MLVs) which belong to this genus of retroviruses can be both exogenous and endogenous. Classically, endogenous MLVs are categorised on the basis of their envelope gene sequence and their cognate receptor polymorphism into ecotropic (replicating only in murine cells), xenotropic (replicating in multiple mammalian cells, including human but not murine cells), polytropic and modified polytropic (able to grow in murine and nonmurine cells) [1].

The discovery by microarray technology of a retrovirus in human prostates that was most closely related in its sequence to the xenotropic MLVs explains its designation as xenotropic murine leukemia virus-related virus (XMRV) [2]. Several reports have since confirmed the XMRV association with prostate cancer in the US [3–5], but not in Europe with the exception of one study [6–8]. One Mexican study described the identification of XMRV in Germany study in which it was found in one patient and one in the US [3–5], but not in Europe with the exception of one study [6–8].

Interest in XMRV heightened when a study from the Whittemore Peterson Institute, published in Science by Lombardi et al [10] identified XMRV in 67% of patients suffering from chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) and in 3.7% of healthy controls. One [11] of the many independent studies that failed to find XMRV in a variety of CFS patient cohorts [12–17] amplified instead genetic sequences closely related to the polytropic MLVs. We [12] among others failed to confirm the presence of XMRV in CFS patients tested not only in Europe [13,14] and China [15] but also in the US [16,17] where the original study was carried out. It has been suggested that these negative results could have arisen because of a failure to duplicate the experimental conditions described in the original publication by Lombardi et al [10]. To remove any element of doubt, we have repeated our genetic analysis using the same oligonucleotide primer sets described in [10] and, in addition, we have assayed sera taken from 130 of our CFS patients and 30 normal healthy subjects (NHS) for antibodies to the New Zealand Black (NZB) xenotropic virus and its envelope protein, gp70, a virus that shares more than 94% overall homology with XMRV.

Materials and Methods

Patient samples

All patients gave written informed consent for the use of their DNA to test aetiological theories of CFS, and the study was approved by the South London and Maudsley NHS Trust Ethics Committee. The patient samples have been described in detail previously [12]. Briefly, patients were recruited into our study from consecutive referrals to the CFS clinic at King’s College Hospital, London and constitute a well-characterised and representative sample of routine clinic attenders. All patients had undergone medical screening to exclude detectable organic illness, including a minimum of physical examination, urinalysis, full blood count, urca and electrolytes, thyroid function tests, liver function tests, 9 a.m. cortisol and ESR. Patients were interviewed using a semi-structured interview [18]; all included patients met...
The CDC international consensus criteria for CFS [19]. Patients with the Fukuda-specified exclusionary psychiatric disorders, or somatisation disorder (as per DSM-IV), were not included. The use of standardised scales revealed high levels of fatigue and disability (see [12] and supplementary correspondence for further details). Overall we are confident that the patients in this study are typical of those seen in secondary care and/or CFS clinics around the world [20].

PCR amplification of XMRV sequences

DNA extraction has been described previously [12]. Briefly, DNA was extracted from whole blood collected in EDTA. As a control for DNA integrity, a 124 nucleotide (nt) section of the human beta-globin gene was amplified. Amplification of XMRV gag sequences was carried out using primers, 419F and 1154R, and of env sequences using primers, 5922F and 6273R [10].

Reactions were carried out in a volume of 25 μl which contained 0.5 units TaqGold (Applied BioSystems, Warrington, UK), 1× TaqGold reaction buffer (Applied BioSystems), 1.5 mM Mg++, 200 mM each dNTP, 2.5 pmol each primer to which 5 μl DNA extract or control (see below) was added. The PCR conditions were as follows; one cycle at 94°C for 8 minutes, 45 cycles at 94°C for 30 seconds, 55°C for 30 seconds 72°C for 1 minute and a final annealing cycle of 72°C for 7 minutes. A 5 μl aliquot of the PCR product was applied to a 1% agarose gel, stained with Ethidium Bromide and subjected to electrophoresis. Each PCR included six no-template (water) controls and a positive control, plasmid pXMRV, containing the full-length XMRV isolate, vp62, for which we are grateful to R. Silverman.

Serology

Sera from 130 CFS patients and 30 normal healthy subjects (NHS) as controls were assayed blind and in duplicate for antibody responses to the xenotropic NZB retrovirus envelope protein, gp70 by two independent ELISAs, based on antibodies against the NZB virus and the ecotropic Rauscher MLV. For the assays, a CNBr-activated Sepharose 4B column coupled with gp70-enriched serum glycoproteins was used to affinity-purify either goat anti-NZB xenotropic virus antibodies, or goat anti-Rauscher MLV gp70 antibodies, as previously described [21].

gp70 antigen capture ELISA

Microtiter plates were coated with 83A25 rat IgG2a anti-retroviral gp70 mAb (10 μg/ml), which recognizes xenotropic, polytropic, ecotropic and amphotropic murine leukemia viruses [22]. Serum samples were diluted 1:100 in PBS containing 1%
BSA and 0.05% Tween 20 and incubated overnight at 4°C. Virus was detected following a 3-hour incubation with affinity-purified goat anti-Rauscher MLV gp70 or affinity-purified goat anti-NZB xenotropic virus antibodies, labelled with alkaline phosphatase. Results are expressed as absorbance values at 405 nm (A_{405}). In these assays, 2-fold serially diluted NZB virus, obtained from ViroMed Biosafety Laboratories, Camden, NJ, was used as a positive control.

**XMRV antibody response**

Serum IgG anti-XMRV responses were determined by ELISA. Microtiter plates were coated with whole inactivated NZB retrovirus (10 μg/ml), and incubated with 1:100 diluted serum samples overnight at 4°C. The assay was developed following a 5-hour incubation with alkaline phosphatase-labelled goat anti-human IgG antibody (Cappel Laboratories, Durham, NC). The A_{405} values are shown. As there is no defined XMRV-positive human antisera available, we used 603, a murine anti-Xeno gp70 monoclonal antibody [23] as a positive control.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analysed by unpaired Student’s T test comparison of absorbance values between CFS patients and NHS controls. The ELISA cut-off was calculated as the mean + 3 standard deviations from the A_{405} value of the NHS sera.

**Results**

We examined 48 of the previously described [12] 186 samples by PCR using primers derived from the gag and env regions of the XMRV genome previously published by Lombardi et al [10]. No amplicons were observed in either reaction (Figures 1a and 1b).

Sera from 130 CFS patients and 30 NHS controls were assayed by ELISA for antibodies to MLV Env protein and in an antigen capture ELISA for the presence of MLV Env itself. Results are shown in Figures 2 and 3.

For the antibody ELISA, NZB virus was coated onto microtiter plates and patient sera incubated at a dilution of 1:100. The reaction was detected by alkaline phosphatase-labelled polyclonal goat anti-human IgG antibody. The A_{405} value obtained with BSA as background was 0.071. The cut-off was at 0.289. No specific serological reaction could be detected (p = 0.336, Figure 2).

For the antigen capture ELISA, 83A25 rat IgG2a antibody raised against murine leukemia virus envelope protein and able to recognize xenotropic, polytropic, ecotropic and amphotropic MLV [22], was coated onto microtiter plates and the serum added at a dilution of 1:100. On Western blots this antibody recognised recombinant XMRV envelope protein, gp70, produced in 293 T cells (data not shown). To detect bound Env protein, affinity-purified polyclonal antibodies, goat anti-Rauscher MLV gp70 or goat anti-NZB xenotropic virus labelled with alkaline phosphatase were employed. These antibodies had demonstrated reactivity to NZB virus in experiments using 2-fold serial dilutions (Figure 3a). When goat anti-Rauscher MLV gp70 antibody was used as an XMRV detection reagent on sera from CFS patients and NHS (Figure 3b), the background A_{405} value obtained with BSA was 0.161. The cut-off value was 0.296. Four CFS samples and one NHS sample gave A_{405} values above the cut-off range, but the reactivity was not significant (p = 0.632).

When using goat anti-NZB xenotropic virus antibody, the A_{405} value of BSA was of 0.095. Twenty CFS sera were significantly above the cut-off value of 0.222, p value of 0.017 (Figure 3c). However, the reactivity was not demonstrated in both ELISAs (Table 1). For example, the CFS sera 122 and 186, which displayed the highest A_{405} values of 0.730 and 0.371, respectively, in the goat anti-NZB virus antibody ELISA, had A_{405} values of 0.139 and 0.138 in the goat anti-Rauscher gp70 MLV ELISA, a value below the BSA background of 0.161. Moreover, CFS sera 261 and 61, which showed the highest absorption of 0.492 and 0.419 in the goat anti-Rauscher gp70 ELISA, gave values of 0.181 and 0.167 (both below the cut-off value of 0.222) in the anti-NZB virus antibody ELISA.

Only sera 17 and 185 produced A_{405} values of 0.304 and 0.327, slightly above the cut-off of 0.296 in the goat anti-Rauscher MLV antibody ELISA. These sera also had a modest reaction of 0.299 and 0.278 in the goat anti-NZB virus antibody ELISA (cut-off being 0.222). However, as other samples showed similar absorbance values in this assay that did not significantly react in the goat anti-Rauscher gp70 MLV ELISA, the reactions are considered to be non-specific. Taken together, these experiments suggest that neither XMRV nor a specific serological response against this family of viruses was found in CFS patients.

**Discussion**

The connection between XMRV and CFS remains highly controversial. The initial report by Lombardi et al [10] identified XMRV in 67% of CFS patients and 3.7% of health control subjects. Subsequent to this, using the same experimental protocol an independent study by Lo et al. [11] detected four classes of MLV-related gag sequences in 86.5% of CFS patients and blood donors. In this study [11] it was suggested that MLV-related sequences found in CFS cases vary to the extent that they may have remained undetected by our original PCR primers which targeted the leader gag region and the pol region of XMRV. We have now used the primers described in the original paper [10] which bind to the XMRV gag and env open reading frames. We have again failed to detect XMRV or MLV-related sequences in 48 of our CFS patients, demonstrating that our failure to find XMRV in CFS tissue is not a reflection of the primers used in the amplification process. Indeed, the original primer sets have been...

---

**Figure 2. Antibody ELISA using sera from CFS patients and normal health subjects (NHS).** The absorbance A_{405} values for 130 sera from CFS patients and NHS are shown. For the antibody ELISA whole NZB xenotropic virus was coated to the microtitre plate following incubation with serum samples overnight. Antibodies were detected by incubation with anti-human IgG antibodies labelled with alkaline phosphatase. The solid lines represent the mean. The BSA background of 0.071 is represented by the dotted line. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017592.g002
exploited in several studies which have failed to amplify XMRV sequences in CFS patient samples [14,16,17].

We have extended our study to investigate any possible serological response to XMRV in our CFS patients, since there appears to be a mis-match between PCR data and serology [10,24]. In the absence of a specific XMRV serological assay, we made use of an ELISA in which Goat anti-Rauscher MLV gp70 and goat anti-NZB xenotropic virus antibodies were employed to detect gp70 Env protein in sera of CFS patients. In control experiments these antibodies clearly recognised recombinant XMRV gp70 Env expressed on dog thymus D17 cells (data not shown). Both assays highlighted samples with A405 values above the cut-off, however, for the goat anti-Rauscher MLV gp70 ELISA, this result was not significant. Using the goat anti-NZB xenotropic virus ELISA, twenty sera were above the cut-off, but most of these sera cluster outside the linear range with low absorbance values at the limit of detection of the assay as indicated by the flat curve b in Figure 3a. Considering further the fact that these sera did not behave consistently in both ELISAs, we believe that no specific serological reactions could be detected in the sera of the CFS patients.

![Figure 3](https://example.com/figure3.png)

**Figure 3. Antigen-capture ELISA using sera from CFS patients and NHS.** (A) Goat anti-Rauscher MLV gp70 (lane a) and goat anti-NZB xenotropic virus (lane b) antibodies were tested for their reactivity against NZB xenotropic virus in 2-fold serial dilutions starting with 10 μg/ml. The A405 values are given. For the antigen capture ELISA, xenotropic virus envelope was captured onto the microtitre plate using 83A25 rat monoclonal antibody [22]. Detection was then carried out using goat anti-Rauscher antibodies or goat anti-NZB xenotropic MLV gp70 antibodies. (B) Results using goat anti-Rauscher MLV gp70 antibodies. The solid lines represent the mean and the dotted line indicates the BSA background of 0.161. (C) Results shown for goat anti-NZB xenotropic virus antibodies. The solid line represents the mean and dotted line indicates the BSA background of 0.095.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017592.g003

**Table 1. Absorbance values of CFS sera in the two antigen-capture ELISAS used.**

| CFS patient number | ELISA A405 | α-Rauscher | α-NZB |
|--------------------|-----------|------------|-------|
| 17                 | 0.304     | 0.299      |       |
| 61                 | 0.419     | 0.167      |       |
| 261                | 0.492     | 0.181      |       |
| 185                | 0.327     | 0.378      |       |
| 122                | 0.159     | 0.730      |       |
| 186                | 0.138     | 0.371      |       |

α-Rauscher, goat anti-Rauscher MLV antibody. α-NZB, goat anti-NZB antibody.
The values are the mean of two independent experiments. α-Rauscher, goat anti-Rauscher gp70 MLV antibody; α-NZB, goat anti-NZB virus antibody.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017592.t001
Non-specific serological reactions have also been described by Switzer et al in an ELISA using recombinant XMRV Env protein [16]. In this case, one of 51 sera from CFS patients and one from 53 healthy controls were slightly above the cut-off, but both sera were negative by further testing by immunofluorescence assays and PCR. Groom et al [14] detected some neutralisation activity against MLV particles, pseudotyped with XMRV Env. This neutralisation activity was also present in healthy blood donors and showed no correlation with CFS [14], nor could XMRV specific sequences be detected by PCR in these samples.

It would not be inconceivable that our failure to replicate was due to patient differences. Clinical details of patients in the original study [10] remain sparse. By contrast, we provide evidence confirming that our patients not only had CFS, but had considerable disability, and were not contaminated by either exclusionary psychiatric or medical diagnoses. While it is true that there will always be some variation based on local referral practices, differences in health care provision and so on, and while it is also true that CFS remains a difficult and elusive construct, even when international consensus criteria are applied, we doubt that this could explain the full range of differences in prevalence of XMRV published to date.

Our serological data are consistent with the idea that XMRV may be present in the human population at some level [14,16]. However, this study confirms our previous report that a connection of XMRV or MLV-related viruses with UK CFS patients could not be substantiated.

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. Leonard Evans for providing the rat monoclonal antibody 83A25.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: OE SI MOM SK. Performed the experiments: OE GW MJR SI. Analyzed the data: OE MJR MOM SK SI JW. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: SW AC DC SI. Wrote the paper: MOM OE MJR.

References

1. Stoye JP, Coffin JM (1987) The four classes of endogenous murine leukemia virus: structural relationships and potential for recombination. J Virol 61: 2659–2669.
2. Urisman A, Molinaro RJ, Fischer N, Plummer SJ, Casey G, et al. (2006) Identification of a novel gammaretrovirus in prostate tumors of patients homogenous for R-462Q RNASEL variant. PLoS Pathog 2: 211–225.
3. Schlaberg R, Choe DJ, Brown KR, Thaker HM, Singh IR (2009) XMRV is present in malignant prostatic epithelium and is associated with prostate cancer, especially high-grade tumours. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106: 16351–16356.
4. Arnold RS, Makarova NV, Osunkoya AO, Suppiah S, Scott TA, et al. (2010) XMRV infection in patients with prostate cancer: novel serologic assay and correlation with PCR and FISH. Urology 75: 755–761.
5. Danielon BF, Ayala GE, Kimata JT (2010) Detection of xenotropic murine leukemia virus-related virus (XMRV) in German prostate cancer patients. Retrovirology 6: 92.
6. D’Arcy FR, Foley A, Perry L, Marignol L, Lawler M, et al. (2008) No evidence for xenotropic murine leukemia virus-related virus (XMRV) in Irish prostate cancer patients. European Urology 7 Suppl 271.
7. Fischer N, Hellwinkel O, Schulz C, Chun FK, Huland H, et al. (2008) Lack of evidence for xenotropic murine leukemia virus-related virus (XMRV) in blood of patients with chronic fatigue syndrome or chronic immunomodulatory conditions. J Infect Dis 202: 1470–1477.
8. Fukuda K, Strauss S, Hickie I, Sharpe MC, Dobbins JG, et al. (1994) The chronic fatigue syndrome: a comprehensive approach to its definition and study. Annals of Internal Medicine 121: 935–959.
9. Johnson-Pais T, et al. (2010) Identification of viral infections in the prostate and evaluation of their association with cancer. BMJ cancer 10: 326.
10. van Kuppeveld FJ, de Jong AS, Lanke KH, Verhaagh GW, Melkers WJ, et al. (2006) Prevalence of xenotropic murine leukemia virus-related virus in patients with chronic fatigue syndrome in the Netherlands: retrospective analysis of samples from an established cohort. BMJ 340: c1018.
11. Groom HC, Boucherit VC, Makinson K, Randal E, Baptista S, et al. (2010) Absence of xenotropic murine leukemia virus-related virus in UK patients with chronic fatigue syndrome. Retrovirology 7: 10.
12. Henrich TJ, Li JZ, Feichenstein D, Kotton CN, Plenge RM, et al. (2010) Xenotropic murine leukemia virus-related virus prevalence in patients with chronic fatigue syndrome or chronic immunomodulatory conditions. J Infect Dis 202: 1470–1481.
13. Sharpe M, Chalder T, Palmer I, Wesely S (1997) Chronic fatigue syndrome. A practical guide to assessment and management. General Hospital Psychiatry 19: 185–199.
14. van Koppenveld FJ, de Jong AS, Lanke KH, Verhaagh GW, Melkers WJ, et al. (2008) Prevalence of xenotropic murine leukemia virus-related virus in patients with chronic fatigue syndrome in the southern United States with prostate cancer is dependent on specific polymerase chain reaction conditions. J Infect Dis 202: 1470–1477.
15. Henrich TJ, Li JZ, Feichenstein D, Kotton CN, Plenge RM, et al. (2010) Xenotropic murine leukemia virus-related virus prevalence in patients with chronic fatigue syndrome or chronic immunomodulatory conditions. J Infect Dis 202: 1470–1481.
16. Sharpe M, Chalder T, Palmer I, Wesely S (1997) Chronic fatigue syndrome. A practical guide to assessment and management. General Hospital Psychiatry 19: 185–199.
17. van Koppenveld FJ, de Jong AS, Lanke KH, Verhaagh GW, Melkers WJ, et al. (2006) Prevalence of xenotropic murine leukemia virus-related virus in patients with chronic fatigue syndrome in the Netherlands: retrospective analysis of samples from an established cohort. BMJ 340: c1018.
18. Groom HC, Boucherit VC, Makinson K, Randal E, Baptista S, et al. (2010) Absence of xenotropic murine leukemia virus-related virus in UK patients with chronic fatigue syndrome. Retrovirology 7: 10.
19. Hoon O, Krause H, Barabartto P, Niederstadt L, Beimforde N, et al. (2009) Lack of evidence for xenotropic murine leukemia virus-related virus (XMRV) in German prostate cancer patients. Retrovirology 6: 92.
20. D’Arcy FR, Foley A, Perry L, Marignol L, Lawler M, et al. (2008) No evidence of XMRV in Irish prostate cancer patients with the R-462Q mutations.
21. Izui S, Lange G (1983) Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of human gamma retrovirus XMRV in sporadic prostate cancer. Jpn J Clin Oncol 13: 337–343.
22. van Koppenveld FJ, de Jong AS, Lanke KH, Verhaagh GW, Melkers WJ, et al. (2006) Prevalence of xenotropic murine leukemia virus-related virus in patients with chronic fatigue syndrome in the southern United States with prostate cancer is dependent on specific polymerase chain reaction conditions. J Infect Dis 202: 1470–1477.
23. Henrich TJ, Li JZ, Feichenstein D, Kotton CN, Plenge RM, et al. (2010) Xeno- tropic murine leukemia virus-related virus prevalence in patients with chronic fatigue syndrome or chronic immunomodulatory conditions. J Infect Dis 202: 1470–1481.
24. Mikovits J, Lombardi V, Pfost M, Hagen K, Ruscetti F (2010) Addenda to: Xenotropic murine leukemia virus-related virus prevalence in prostate cancer patients with the R462Q mutations. Jpn J Clin Oncol 13: 337–343.