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A B S T R A C T

In the last five years, the prevalence of monkeypox has been increasing both in the regions considered endemic for the disease (West and Central Africa) and worldwide. Indeed, in July 2022, the World Health Organization declared the ongoing global outbreak of monkeypox a public health emergency of international concern. The disease is caused by monkeypox virus (MPXV), a member of the Orthopoxvirus genus, which also includes variola virus (the causative agent of smallpox) and vaccinia virus (used in the smallpox eradication campaign). Here, we review aspects of MPXV genetic diversity and epidemiology, with an emphasis on its genome structure, host range, and relationship with other orthopoxviruses. We also summarize the most recent findings deriving from the sequencing of outbreak MPXV genomes, and we discuss the apparent changing of MPXV evolutionary trajectory, which is characterized by the accumulation of point mutations rather than by gene gains/losses. Whereas the availability of a vaccine, the relatively mild presentation of the disease, and its relatively low transmissibility speak in favor of an efficient control of the global outbreak, the wide host range of MPXV raises concerns about the possible establishment of novel reservoirs. We also call for the deployment of field surveys and genomic surveillance programs to identify and control the MPXV reservoirs in West and Central Africa.

1. Introduction

At the beginning of May 2022, an unexpected occurrence of monkeypox infections was reported from UK health officers. Soon afterwards, subjects affected by the disease were identified in Portugal, Spain, Belgium, and several other European and non-European countries (Kraemer et al., 2022). Since May 7, 2022, and as of this writing (27 September 2022), more than 61,000 cases have been reported in 105 countries, making the ongoing monkeypox outbreak the largest ever recorded (https://www.who.int/emergencies/situation-reports). The fast rising number of cases is not the only unusual feature of the epidemic. Indeed, until the beginning of May 2022, monkeypox was considered to be a zoonotic disease occasionally transmitted in West and Central Africa, although exported cases had already been reported (see below). Monkeypox spread across the globe seems to herald a new twist in the epidemiology of the disease and, on July 23, 2022, the WHO declared the global monkeypox outbreak a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC).

The disease is caused by monkeypox virus (MPXV), a member of the Orthopoxvirus genus (family Poxviridae, order Chitivirales, class Pokesviricetes), which also includes variola virus (VARV, the causative agent of smallpox). Monkeypox has a similar but less severe disease presentation than smallpox (Simpson et al., 2020). Clinically, three distinct phases - incubation, prodrome, and rash - can be distinguished (McCollum and Damon, 2014). The incubation phase is relatively long, ranging from 7 to 14 days. The prodrome phase typically includes inguinal lymphoadenopathy, fatigue and headache (McCollum and Damon, 2014). As for the rash, lesions begin as macules and progress to papules, vesicles, pustules, and scabs; pruritus and pain may be present. Usually 10-to-150 lesions are observed, which can persist for up to four weeks. Differently from the lesions of smallpox, monkeypox lesions are similar in size and typically present at the same stage (McCollum and Damon, 2014). The lesions contain infectious virus that can be transmitted through direct contact. Secondary complications of infection include, gastroenteritis, sepsis, pneumonia, encephalitis, and bacterial skin infections (Ogoina et al., 2020). In the endemic regions, human case fatality rates (CFRs) range from 3.6% to 10.6% (Bunge et al., 2022). Disease presentation and CFRs are usually worse in patients with a diagnosis of HIV infection, and are influenced by viral genetic determinants (see below) (Bunge et al., 2022, Yinka-Ogunleye et al., 2019).
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For many years, monkeypox has been a neglected disease virtually confined to Africa. In the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, the worldwide spread of MPXV has raised concern that the virus might turn into a new global threat. While, for many reasons, this is unlikely to be the case, a better understanding of MPXV biology will be instrumental to control viral spread in both affluent and developing countries. Here, we review aspects of MPXV genetic diversity and epidemiology with an emphasis on its evolutionary history and relationship with other poxviruses.

2. Monkeypox history and epidemiology

Monkeypox was first recognized as a human disease in 1970, when a 9-month-old child in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC, at the time known as Zaire) was suspected of having smallpox (Ladnyj et al., 1972). Laboratory investigations revealed that the causative agent was not VARV, but a virus previously isolated during an outbreak of pustular disease in captive macaques in Denmark (Magnus et al., 1959). Hence, the designation of monkeypox. Since 1970, human cases of monkeypox have been reported from several countries in West and Central Africa (Bunge et al., 2022). In these endemic regions, the number of cases has fluctuated over decades (Bunge et al., 2022), but in 2017–2019, Nigeria and the DRC suffered the largest ever reported outbreaks (Yinka-Ogunleye et al., 2019, Beer and Rao, 2019).

MPXV is a zoonotic virus and, in the endemic regions, most human cases occur in rural areas and derive from independent introductions from animal reservoirs (most likely rodents, see below), although human-to-human transmission was also documented (Yinka-Ogunleye et al., 2019). The epidemiology of the disease suggests that the viral reservoir is associated with the African rainforest, although the 2017 Nigerian outbreak also included cases in savanna regions and in urban areas (Yinka-Ogunleye et al., 2019). Notably, in West Africa, the surge in human cases that started in 2017 was paralleled by an increased incidence in primate communities (Patrono et al., 2020). Specifically, Patrono and co-workers surveyed populations of human-habituated western chimpanzees in the Ivory Coast and reported multiple MPXV outbreaks starting in 2017, after decades of little viral activity. The hunting habits of the chimpanzees did not change prior to the outbreaks and rodent consumption did not increase. The authors thus suggested that the raising of cases in human and primate communities was related to an epizootic in the reservoir or to changes in the demography and rodent consumption did not increase. The rash phase is often characterized by fewer than 10 lesions (Thornhill et al., 2022, Bragazzi et al., 2022).
Fig. 1. Orthopoxvirus genetic diversity. (A) Phylogenetic tree of 12 recognized orthopoxvirus species (Akhamta virus, AKMV; camelpox virus, CMLV; cowpox virus, CPXV; ectromelia virus, ECTV; MPXV; orthopoxvirus Abatino, OPVA; raccoonpox virus, RPXV; skunkpox virus, SPXV; taterapox virus, GBLV, also known as TATV; VACV; VARV; volepox virus, VPXV) plus Alaska virus (AKPV). The tree is mid-point rooted and was constructed with IQTREE (Trifinopoulos et al., 2016), using a concatenated alignment of 49 genes shared by all poxviruses (Upton et al., 2003). Black dots indicate internal nodes with bootstrap support >0.8. Animal silhouettes were obtained from the Phylopic website (http://www.phylopic.org/) and are representative of the host ranges of different viruses (Silva et al., 2020). (B) Whole-genome alignment of MPXV, VARV, VACV, CPXV, and ECTV obtained with progressive Mauve (v.2.3.1) (Darling et al., 2004, Darling et al., 2010). Each genome is laid out in a horizontal track, with annotated coding regions denoted as boxes. Vertical red bars represent genome boundaries. A similarity plot generated by progressive Mauve is shown above each genome, with colors indicating regions that align to part of any another genome and thus represent locally collinear blocks. A similarity profile is also plotted within blocks, with height proportional to the level of conservation in that region. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
Fig. 2. Structure of the MPXV genome. A schematic representation of the MPXV genome is reported based on NCBI annotation and gene positions of the reference strain (NC_003310, clade I). Arrowheads indicate gene orientation. Genes are colored based on the function/category they belong to (see legends for details). Forty-nine genes shared among all poxviruses are shown in bold and asterisks denote genes that are deleted/truncated in clade IIa/IIb genomes (see also Table 1). Vertical triangles indicate nonsynonymous single nucleotide variants that are fixed (blue) or polymorphic (red) in B.1 genomes. ITR: inverted terminal repeats. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
A specific host is accompanied by the mutation or deletion of genes that approximately 225 Kb, with variations depending on the isolate. The smallest genome among orthopoxviruses is CPXV, with a broad host range (which includes humans), a genome of approximately 225 Kb, with variations depending on the isolate. On the opposite side, VARV, with a genome of 200 Kb, has a restricted host range and infects only humans. MPXV, with a genome of approximately 190 Kb, infects a broad range of mammals, including humans. Although far from complete, knowledge about the host range of MPXV in natural settings suggests that the main reservoir is represented by squirrels (see below) and can be transmitted to humans through contact with infected animals or their excreta.

The mechanism underlying reductive evolution is that adaptation to a specific host is accompanied by the mutation or deletion of genes that facilitate infection of multiple hosts. Indeed, orthopoxviruses with a restricted host range tend to have fewer genes than those with a broad host range. The most extreme example is VARV, which only infects humans and has the smallest genome among orthopoxviruses. On the opposite side, CPXV, with a broad host range (which includes humans), has a genome of approximately 225 Kb, with variations depending on the isolate.

The mechanism underlying reductive evolution is that adaptation to a specific host is accompanied by the mutation or deletion of genes that facilitate infection of multiple hosts. Indeed, orthopoxviruses with a restricted host range tend to have fewer genes than those with a broad host range. The most extreme example is VARV, which only infects humans and has the smallest genome among orthopoxviruses. On the opposite side, CPXV, with a broad host range (which includes humans), has a genome of approximately 225 Kb, with variations depending on the isolate (Fig. 1B).

The mechanism underlying reductive evolution is that adaptation to a specific host is accompanied by the mutation or deletion of genes that facilitate infection of multiple hosts. Indeed, orthopoxviruses with a restricted host range tend to have fewer genes than those with a broad host range. The most extreme example is VARV, which only infects humans and has the smallest genome among orthopoxviruses. On the opposite side, CPXV, with a broad host range (which includes humans), has a genome of approximately 225 Kb, with variations depending on the isolate (Fig. 1B).

The mechanism underlying reductive evolution is that adaptation to a specific host is accompanied by the mutation or deletion of genes that facilitate infection of multiple hosts. Indeed, orthopoxviruses with a restricted host range tend to have fewer genes than those with a broad host range. The most extreme example is VARV, which only infects humans and has the smallest genome among orthopoxviruses. On the opposite side, CPXV, with a broad host range (which includes humans), has a genome of approximately 225 Kb, with variations depending on the isolate (Fig. 1B).

3.3. MPXV genome and host range

Although far from complete, knowledge about the host range of MPXV in natural settings suggests that the main reservoir is represented by squirrels (see below) and can be transmitted to humans through contact with infected animals or their excreta. Old World non-human primates are also susceptible to MPXV and experimental or accidental infection (e.g., in captive animals) has indicated that the virus has a wide host range, which extends to non-placental mammals such as opossums.

These observations place MPXV among orthopoxviruses with a broad host range (Fig. 1A).

The MPXV genome of the reference strain (NC_003310, Zaire-96-I-16, clade I) is ~197 kb long with 191 annotated protein products (Fig. 2). Like in all poxviruses, the linear MPXV genome is flanked by inverted terminal repeats (ITRs) (Fig. 2). Forty-nine genes shared among all poxviruses occupy the central portion of the genome. A number of genes encoding potential immunomodulators are located in the regions flanking core genes (Fig. 2). It should however be noted that interference with the host immune system can also be contributed by proteins that play other, unrelated function in poxvirus biology. For instance, the products of the VACV orthologs of E9R and E10R (D9 and D10 in VACV nomenclature) remove caps from mRNAs but also inhibit the RNase L/ PKR pathway (Liu et al., 2015). In VACV, the same pathway is also modulated by mutations in the A24R gene, which encodes the catalytic subunit of the viral RNA polymerase (A25R in MPXV) (Cone et al., 2017, Brennan et al., 2015). In this respect, it is worth noting that the function of several MPXV genes is simply inferred from experiments performed with VACV, the most extensively studied poxvirus. Whereas the two viruses most likely share most core processes, even subtle changes in proteins involved in interaction with the host might have significant effects on virulence or other phenotypes.

3.4. MPXV cell/tissue tropism

Because poxvirus cell entry is mediated by ubiquitous surface elements, the cell-type and tissue tropism is determined by events that occur after the virus has gained access to the cell and initiated the replication cycle (McFadden, 2005). A full understanding of MPXV tissue/cell tropism in humans is still missing, and most information derive from studies in animal models or with other orthopox viruses. MPXV infection is known to start from the respiratory tract or the skin (Lum et al., 2022). In a macaque model of aerosol exposure, the virus can readily infect the oral and respiratory tract mucosae, with airway epithelial cells as the main targets of primary infection (Lum et al., 2022, Zaucha et al., 2001). Lymphoid tissues such as tonsils, mediastinal and mandibular lymph nodes are also infected early after exposure and the lymphatic system contributes to systemic viral spread. Within draining lymph nodes, MPXV infection is thought to mainly involve macrophages and dendritic cells (Lum et al., 2022,Zaucha et al., 2001). In the macaque model, lesions are mainly detected in the thymus, spleen, skin, oral mucosa, gastrointestinal tract, and reproductive system (Zaucha et al., 2001). A similar tropism was observed in other animals (Faldendysz et al., 2017,Osorio et al., 2009), including rope squirrels, which represent a likely natural reservoir of MPXV (see below). Intranasal or intradermal inoculation of these animals causes lesions to occur mainly in the skin, oral cavity, and lungs (Faldendysz et al., 2017).

MPXV infection can also occur through the skin. In this case, infection is thought to be initially mediated by keratinocytes and fibroblasts, although skin-resident immune cells may also be infected (Lum et al., 2022). It is however still unclear whether migratory antigen-presenting cell contribute to virus dissemination through the lymphatic system or if the virus gets direct access to lymphatic vessels.

3.5. MPXV genetic diversity

In the endemic regions, the genetic diversity of MPXV is divided into two major clades, which were originally named after the regions where the virus is mainly transmitted: West Africa and the Congo Basin (Berthet et al., 2021,Likos et al., 2005). The West African clade is further divided into two sub-clades broadly corresponding to viruses sampled in Nigeria and West of Nigeria (i.e., in Liberia, Sierra Leone, and the Ivory Coast) (Forni et al., 2022) (Fig. 3). Recently, though, it was proposed that, also because of the growing international attention to the current outbreak, a non-stigmatizing and non-discriminatory nomenclature for MPXV clades should be adopted. In particular, Happy and coworkers suggested that clades should be named with numbers in order of detection: clade I (mainly transmitted in the Congo Basin), clade IIa (prevailing West of Nigeria), and clade IIb (mainly Nigerian viruses) (Fig. 3) (Happi et al., 2022). The same authors also indicated that the virus which is spreading worldwide, as well as some recent Nigerian samples, have different features and should be considered as a distinct entity referred to as hMPXV1 (Happi et al., 2022). We thus adopt such nomenclature hereafter.

Besides their names and geographic distribution, the two major clades (I and II), which show ~0.5% genomic sequence difference, are associated with distinct disease presentation. In fact, retrospective surveys of human outbreaks and experimental infection in cynomolgus monkeys indicated that clade I MPXV is more virulent and has a higher CFR than clades IIa/IIb (Bunge et al., 2022,Likos et al., 2005,Chen et al., 2005). Understanding the genetic differences between the two clades could thus provide extremely relevant information on the virulence determinants of monkeypox.

Early studies based on the pattern of gene inactivation in the reference clade I genome (NC_003310, Zaire-96-I-16) and in the clade Ila SI-70 strain (AY741551, isolated in Sierra Leone in 1970) suggested some possible virulence determinants and indicated D14L as the most likely candidate (Chen et al., 2005). D14L encodes a complement inhibitor also referred to as MOPCIE (monkeypox inhibitor of complement enzymes) which is deleted in clade Ila/Ib viruses (Fig. 2). However, using recombinant MPXV, Estep and co-workers showed that deletion of D14L from the Zaire-96 strain renders the virus more rather than less virulent, at least in a macaque model (Estep et al., 2011). Conversely, deletion of D14L was shown to decrease MPXV virulence in prairie dogs, although
Fig. 3. MPXV phylogenetic relationships in the endemic regions. A phylogenetic tree of 89 MPXV genomes from the endemic regions was generated using IQTREE (Trifinopoulos et al., 2016) and virus sampling location was placed on a geographic map using country (indicated by colors) or, when possible, sub-region information. Identical genomes were excluded. The most internal nodes with bootstrap support $>0.8$ are denoted with dots. The gray color denotes exported human cases (before 2021) with country of destination shown at the end of the dashed lines. The route of exposure and the total number of cases (index and secondary) are reported (Simpson et al., 2020, Reynolds et al., 2006, Mauldin et al., 2022, Ng et al., 2019). Clades are labeled based on to the nomenclature proposed by Happi (Happi et al., 2022). DRC: Democratic Republic of the Congo; CAR: Central African Republic.

Table 1

| Name                  | Position | Clade Ila/IIb | Clade I | Function/features                                      | Reference                        |
|-----------------------|----------|---------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| D4L                   | 8830-9081| 20 fragmented | 20 intact| Belongs to the poxvirus C4/C10 family of immunomodulators | (Ember et al., 2012)             |
| D14L                  | 19,060-19,710 | 20 intact | 20 intact| Complement inhibitor and virulence factor               | (Chen et al., 2005)              |
| D15L                  | 19,834-20,151 | 20 intact | 20 intact| Kelch-like protein                                     |                                  |
| D16L                  | 20,205-20,438 | 20 intact | 20 intact| Kelch-like protein                                     |                                  |
| D17L                  | 20,440-20,736 | 20 intact | 20 intact| Kelch-like protein                                     |                                  |
| MPXV_LIB1970_184_057  | 49,967-50,368 | 17 intact, 3 fragmented | 20 fragmented| Ortholog in VACV is an inhibitor of cGAS            | (Yang et al., 2021)             |
| B10R                  | 167,957-168,622 | 15 intact, 2 | 20 intact| Possible regulator of apoptosis                        | (Barry et al., 1997)             |
| B14R                  | 172,403-173,383 | 20 fragmented or truncated | 20 fragmented| Interleukin 1 binding protein                        | (Alcamí and Smith, 1992)        |
| B15L                  | 173,429-173,665 | 20 fragmented or truncated | 20 intact | Unknown                                              |                                  |
| K1R                   | 187,567-187,779 | 20 fragmented or truncated | 20 intact| Tumor necrosis factor receptor-like                   | (Alvarez-de Miranda et al., 2021) |

* If not specified, the position refers to the reference genome (NC_003310).
  + Gene status in 20 clade Ila/IIb viruses (AY741551, DQ011156, KP849470, MT903346, MT903347, KJ642615, MT903341, MT903338, MT903342, KJ642617, MN648051, MT903337, MT903339, MT903340, MT903343, MT903344, MT903345, MG693723, MG693724, MG693725).
  + Gene status in 20 clade I viruses (KX257459, NC_003310, DQ011154, KX11151, HQ857562, JX878407, KX878416, JX878429, KX257460, KJ642612, KJ642613, KJ642618, KJ642619, KP849469, KP849471, MN702446, MN702449, MN702451, MN702452, MN702453).
  + Intact: the predicted protein is full-length; fragmented: the predicted protein is less than 50% in length than the intact protein; truncated: the predicted protein is not full length but it is more than 50% in length compared to the intact protein.
insertion of the gene in a clade Ia virus was not associated with changes in disease presentation (Hudson et al., 2012). Thus, these results do not support a relevant role of MOPICE as a virulence determinant, although the protein might engage complement components with different efficiency in distinct species and thus result in variable effects depending on the host.

With the aim to describe differences in gene content between viruses in the two major MPXV clades, we analyzed 20 annotated genomes from clade I and the same number from clade Ia/Ib. We used annotation and orthology analysis to identify genes that are encoded by most genomes in one clade but not in the other (Table 1, Fig. 2). In addition to D14L, genes encoding potential immunomodulators are present in clade I genomes but not in clades Ia/Ib. These include genes for a putative regulator of apoptosis (B10R), an interleukin 1 binding protein (B14R), and a tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor-like protein (K1R) (Table 1). Among these, B14R, already pinpointed by Chen and co-workers (Chen et al., 2005), is particularly interesting because deletion of its ortholog in clade IIa/IIb. These include genes for a putative kelch-like proteins encoded by clade I MPXV, which are however very similar in vivo and in vitro. The VARV ortholog (B16R) is also inactivated in most viral genomes, both modern and ancient (Mühlmann et al., 2020). It was thus suggested that its loss was associated with the characteristic high severity of smallpox (Alcamí et al., 2020). It is thus surprising that, in MPXV, the gene is inactivated in the less virulent clade. Clearly, as the MOPICE example reported above also indicates, multiple genetic factors most likely interact to determine MPXV virulence, with possible differences among hosts. For instance, clade I viruses also encode three short kelch-like proteins, which are deleted in clade Ia/Ib viruses (Table 1, Fig. 2). Such proteins play a role in different processes and, in other poxviruses, were associated with host range and virulence, cell adhesion, evasion of the innate immune system, and interaction with the ubiquitin pathway (Balinsky et al., 2007,Kochneva et al., 2005,Shchelkunov, 2010,Wang et al., 2014). Independent deletion of two kelch-like proteins in mouse models leads to increased lesion size, although the phenotype also depends on the mode of infection (i.e., intradermal or intranasal) (Beard et al., 2006,Pires de Miranda et al., 2003). Conversely, deletion of the F12L gene in VACV, also encoding a kelch-like protein, results in lower virulence (Froggatt et al., 2007). In vitro and in vivo studies will thus be necessary to assess the role of the three kelch-like proteins encoded by clade I MPXV, which are however very short, thus raising doubts about their functional potential. Along the same lines, the tumor necrosis factor receptor-like molecule encoded by clade I viruses is a truncated copy of the protein expressed by some VACV and VARV strains, where it acts as a virulence factor (Alvarez-de Miranda et al., 2021). In particular, the MPXV protein only covers the first cystein-rich domain and a portion of the second, making its ability to bind TNF uncertain.

Concerning clade Ia/Ib, we detected one gene that is present in these viruses but not in those from clade I (Table 1). The predicted encoded protein is a N-terminal and C-terminal truncated version of the E5 protein of VACV and VARV (Yang et al., 2021). E5 is inactivated in some ancient VARV strains but it is encoded by most modern genomes (Mühlmann et al., 2020). Its function is still largely unknown, although preliminary evidence suggests that the VACV protein acts as an inhibitor of the cytoplasmic DNA sensor cGAS and that it represents a virulence factor in mice (Yang et al., 2021). However, because the functional domain of the gene were not characterized, it is impossible to determine whether the short version of the protein encoded by MPXV clade Ia/Ib viruses performs the same functions as the full-length VACV ortholog.

Clearly, MPXV clades do not only differ in terms of gene content, but also display single nucleotide substitutions and small indels in coding and non-coding regions. Understanding the role of such changes for viral phenotypes is clearly challenging. Extended sampling and collection of related metadata in the endemic regions may provide valuable information. Most likely, though, such data will need to be integrated with results from in vivo and in vitro reverse genetic experiments.

Finally, it should be noted that differences also exist among viruses in the same clade and some of these might be associated with relevant features of MPXV lineages. For instance, clade I viruses carrying a 628 bp deletion were previously identified during a survey of primary and secondary human infections in the DRC (Kugelman et al., 2014). The deletion removes the N2R gene (with unknown function) and the S region of N3R, which encodes the orthopoxvirus major histocompatibility complex class I-like protein (OMCP). OMCP functions as an antagonist of the NKG2D activating receptor, possibly representing a strategy to avoid NK-cell-mediated killing (Campbell et al., 2007). Based on the clinical metadata, it was suggested that the deletion is associated with increased human-to-human transmission. Clearly, additional data and continuous genomic surveillance will be necessary to assess whether this is the case.

4. Monkeypox laboratory diagnosis and MPXV genome sequencing

The clinical presentation of monkeypox may resemble that of other infectious diseases or conditions, including chickenpox (VZV, varicella zoster virus), herpes simplex virus (HSV) infection, measles, bacterial skin infections, scabies, primary or secondary syphilis or other orthopoxvirus infections. Thus, accurate and rapid molecular diagnosis for confirmation of MPXV infection is critical for timely control and tracking of transmissions.

Monkeypox diagnostic tools include both serological analysis and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tests. Serological examination is limited by cross-reactivity with different orthopoxviruses, as antigen/antibody presence might be the result of previous exposure to other orthopoxviruses or to the smallpox vaccine. Although it does not lead to a definitive diagnosis of MPXV infection, serological analysis is very useful for epidemiological purposes, especially for monitoring orthopoxvirus epidemics in non-endemic areas (Miletto et al., 2022).

Conversely, detection of MPXV DNA from clinical specimens, as well as veterinary samples or MPXV-infected cell cultures, is based primarily on nucleic acid amplification testing, using real-time polymerase chain reaction (quantitative PCR, qPCR) or PCR, alone or in combination with sequencing. Given the specificity, sensitivity, low-cost, and rapidity of qPCR analysis, this approach is highly recommended for routine diagnosis (http://www.who.int/health-topics/monkeypox,https://www.cdc.gov/poxvirus/monkeypox/lab-personnel/index.html). Several validated qPCR protocols were developed for the detection of orthopoxviruses, MPXV and/or to discriminate MPXV clade I from clade Ia/Ib (WHO, https://www.cdc.gov/poxvirus/monkeypox/lab-personnel/index.html) (Li et al., 2010,Orba et al., 2015,Li et al., 2006). In addition, several commercial qPCR assays are now available for the qualitative detection of MPXV nucleic acid.

Swabs of lesion exudate and/or surface or crust sample represent the best specimens for MPXV DNA detection, although viral DNA can also be detected in blood, seminal fluid and urine (Miletto et al., 2022,Antinori et al., 2022,Peiró-Mestres et al., 2022). In the latter samples, the quantity of viral DNA is reduced compared to that present in the vesicular samples and depends on the viremic phase. Therefore an analysis carried out exclusively on these samples is at risk of false negative results.

The PAHO/WHO suggests two protocols for orthopoxvirus and MPXV detection (https://www.paho.org/en/documents/laboratory-guidelines-detection-and-diagnosis-orthopoxvirus-infection). The first consists of a qPCR using the orthopoxvirus test; positive samples are then subjected to MPXV-specific qPCR. In the second protocol, the samples are directly subjected to MPXV-specific PCR, followed by differentiation of clade I and clade Ia/Ib using primers/probes specific for the D14L and J2R genes, respectively. As mentioned above, D14L (C3L in VACV nomenclature) is deleted in clade Ia/Ib viruses, hence the...
specificity of the assay for clade I (Li et al., 2010). In the case of J2R, different primer/probe sets detect either all MPXV clades or clade Ia/ Ib only (Li et al., 2010).

Finally, whole-genome sequencing, using high-throughput sequencing (HTS), represents a gold standard for the characterization of MPXV. This type of technology is more expensive than qPCR and requires a much longer data analysis time, making it unsuitable for a diagnostic routine. However, virus genome data are critical for evidence-based epidemiological interventions and outbreak genome surveillance. In general, whole genome sequencing can provide information on transmission chains, viral geographic spread, and microevolutionary processes. As detailed below, HTS efforts early during the recent MPXV epidemic allowed the tracing of the viral lineages and the detection of ongoing evolution in hMPXV1 genomes (Istdro et al., 2022, Gigante et al., 2022).

5. Ecology and geographic distribution of MPXV

Whether genetic differences between the two major clades represent MPXV adaption to new environments or hosts is presently unknown. Recent data indicated that the two clades separated ~560–860 years ago, a time frame that spans from the Medieval Warm Period to the Little Ice Age (Forni et al., 2022). This time frame was characterized by hydrological changes that affected the extension of the tropical rainforest, which expanded during high rainfall periods and contracted when the climate was drier (Ngomanda et al., 2007). It was thus suggested that such environmental changes determined the movement and geographic patterning of the MPXV reservoir(s) (Forni et al., 2022). Based on the level of genetic drift in distinct populations, a possible origin of MPXV in West Africa was proposed (Forni et al., 2022). Migration of the reservoir into the Congo Basin might have resulted in the separation of the two major clades, with rainforest extension and distribution playing a major role in this process. In fact, despite major gaps in our understanding of MPXV prevalence in wild mammals, the epidemiology of human cases suggests that at least some of the reservoir species dwell in the rainforest.

Although wild non-human primates were found to be infected with MPXV, these animals are not thought to contribute significantly to MPXV circulation (Patrono et al., 2020, Radončić et al., 2014). Conversely, based on field surveys and analysis of museum specimens, rope squirrels (Funisciurus spp) are considered potential natural reservoirs for MPXV (Doty et al., 2017, Tiee et al., 2018). Indeed, the first isolation of the virus from a wild animal was from a diseased rope squirrel in the DRC (Khdakevich et al., 1986) (Table 2). Also, analysis of more than 1000 museum specimens from Central Africa revealed MPXV genomic DNA in specimens from different species of African rope squirrels (F. anerythrus, F. carruthersi, F. congicus, F. lemniscatus, and F. pyrropus), the highest prevalence being observed for F. anerythrus (Thomas’ rope squirrel) and F. congicus (Congo rope squirrel) (Tiee et al., 2018). However, both in Central and in West Africa, additional small mammals were found to be potential carriers of MPXV. In the DRC, MPXV DNA was found in rodents (Lunda rope squirrels, target rats, giant pouched rats) and naked-tail shrews (Mariën et al., 2021) (Table 2). In West Africa, evidence of orthopoxvirus infection was obtained in giant pouched rats, African dormice, sun squirrels, and ground squirrels (Reynolds et al., 2010) (Table 2). It is thus possible, and even likely, that MPXV circulates in a wide range of wild mammals, although several serological studies could not provide definitive demonstration of MPXV infection because of potential cross-reactivity with other orthopoxviruses (Table 2).

With respect to the identity of the MPXV reservoir, it is interesting to note that experimental infection of African rope squirrels with a clade I strain causes significant pathology and mortality, and the infected animals shed large quantities of virus (Falendysz et al., 2017). In comparison, Gambian pouched rats are susceptible to MPXV but seem to suffer less severe disease and lower mortality when infected with the same viral strain (Falendysz et al., 2015). These animals are frequently hunted and eaten (Guagliardo et al., 2020), making them an attractive candidate as a source of human infections.

Both rope squirrels and Gambian pouched rats are distributed in the forest and savanna areas of Central and West Africa (https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/1). Conversely, other possible MPXV reservoirs such as Congo rope squirrels, Lunda rope squirrels, and naked-tail shrews have a more restricted distribution confined to Central Africa.

Table 2

List of mammals showing potential evidence of natural infection with MPXV.

| Common name(s) | Scientific name(s) | Location/provenance | Evidence | Reference |
|----------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------|-----------|
| Thomas’ rope squirrel | Funisciurus anerythrus | DRC | Virus isolation | (Khdakevich et al., 1986) |
| Sooty mangabey | Cercocebus aterrimus | Ivory Coast | Virus isolation | (Radončić et al., 2014) |
| African rope squirrels | Funisciurus anerythrus, Funisciurus congicus, Funisciurus carruthersi, Funisciurus lemniscatus, and Funisciurus pyrropus | Central Africa | RT-PCR/high-resolution melting/Sanger sequencing | (Tiee et al., 2018) |
| Thomas’ rope squirrel | Funisciurus anerythrus | DRC | PCR assays/sequencing | (Mariën et al., 2021) |
| Lunda rope squirrel | Funisciurus bayonii | DRC | PCR assays/sequencing | (Mariën et al., 2021) |
| Target rat | Saccostomus longicaudatus | DRC | PCR assays/sequencing | (Mariën et al., 2021) |
| Giant pouched rat | Crocidura spp | DRC | PCR assays/sequencing | (Mariën et al., 2021) |
| Naked-tail shrews | Crocidura littoralis | DRC | PCR assays/sequencing | (Mariën et al., 2021) |
| African dormouse | Graphiurus spp | Ghana | RT-PCR, serology | (Reynolds et al., 2010) |
| Giant pouched rat | Crocidura spp | Ghana | RT-PCR, serology | (Reynolds et al., 2010) |
| Ground squirrel | Xerus spp | Ghana | RT-PCR | (Reynolds et al., 2010) |
| Western chimpanzee | Pan troglodytes verus | Ivory Coast | RT-PCR/sequencing | (Patrono et al., 2020) |
| African rope squirrels | Funisciurus spp | Ghana | Serology | (Reynolds et al., 2010) |
| Sun squirrel | Heliosciurus spp | Ghana | Serology | (Reynolds et al., 2010) |
| African rope squirrels | Funisciurus spp | DRC | Serology | (Doty et al., 2017, Nolen et al., 2015) |
| Lorrain dormouse | Graphiurus lorrainensis | DRC | Serology | (Doty et al., 2017) |
| Emin’s pouched rat | Crocidura emini | DRC | Serology | (Doty et al., 2017) |
| Sun squirrel | Heliosciurus spp | DRC | Serology | (Doty et al., 2017) |
| Common rufous-nosed rat | Oenomys hypoxanthus | DRC | Serology | (Doty et al., 2017) |
| Elephant shrew | Petrodromus tetradactylus | DRC | Serology | (Doty et al., 2017) |
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Sampled before 2021. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

(Kugelman et al., 2014) was aligned using MAFFT and the tree was generated using IQTREE (Trifinopoulos et al., 2016). Clades are labeled based on the nomenclature proposed by Happi and colleagues (Happi et al., 2022). Black dots indicate internal nodes with bootstrap support >0.8, blue dots indicate clade Iib strains sampled before 2021. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

6. hMPXV1 and the global outbreak

Based on the COVID-19 pandemic experience, a global genome sequencing effort was soon implemented to understand the origin and spread of the hMPXV1 outbreak. Early results showed that all hMPXV1 genomes sampled in 2022 cluster together, thus suggesting a single origin and the same transmission chain (Isidro et al., 2022; Gigante et al., 2022). Phylogenetically, those genomes belong to clade Iib (Isidro et al., 2022; Gigante et al., 2022). However, their genomic and epidemiological features are quite different compared to the hMPXV1 Nigerian strains, placing them in a separated sub-clade (B.1) (Fig. 4). Specifically, the B.1 genomes differ from the hMPXV1 viruses sampled before 2021 by at least 46 single nucleotide substitutions, 24 of them being missense mutations (Isidro et al., 2022, Gigante et al., 2022) (Fig. 2). This rate of evolution is much faster than expected for orthopoxviruses (Firth et al., 2010) and may represent an indication of viral adaptation to the human host. Moreover, Isidro and co-workers detected ongoing evolution among the 2022 strains, with 15 substitutions (8 missense) that differentiate the genomes they analyzed (Fig. 2) (Isidro et al., 2022). Non-synonymous mutations do not appear to be preferentially located in specific gene categories and tend to be scattered throughout the genome (Fig. 2). More interestingly, the overwhelming majority of these mutations and the ones that characterize the 2022 outbreak involve GA > AA or TC > TT replacements, suggesting the presence of some specific molecular mechanism possibly related to the action of host apolipoprotein B mRNA editing catalytic polypeptide-like 3 (APOBEC3) proteins (Isidro et al., 2022; Gigante et al., 2022). APOBEC3 proteins have an important role in the host immune system as antiviral effectors. They act by deamination of cytidine nucleotides to uridines in single-stranded DNA substrates by preferentially targeting specific nucleotide motifs (e.g. 5′-TC-3′) (Harris and Dudley, 2015).

Humans encode 7 APOBEC3 proteins (APOBEC3A to 3H), which differ in motif preference and tissue expression (Harris and Dudley, 2015). Their action has been extensively studied in HIV and other RNA and DNA viruses, many of which have developed strategies to evade APOBEC3-mediated restriction (Harris and Dudley, 2015). For instance, HIV-1 encodes the Vif (viral infectivity factor) protein which targets APOBEC3G for degradation (Harris and Dudley, 2015). Poxviruses are not known to encode APOBEC3-counteracting products and VACV is not restricted by APOBEC3G, 3F or 3H in vitro (Kremer et al., 2006). Dedicated experiments will thus be required to study the effect of APOBEC3s on MPXV/hMPXV1 replication in human cells.

Interestingly, Gigante and co-workers also analyzed monkeypox genomes from the 2021 cases in Maryland and Texas, and revealed a more complex epidemiology than previously suspected. In fact, the 2021 Maryland genome shared several genomic substitutions with the B.1 clade (Fig. 4), although differing from the latter by 13 mutations (Gigante et al., 2022). Conversely, the 2021 Texas sequence did not cluster with B.1 genomes, although still belonging within the hMPXV1 diversity (Fig. 4). Notably, two 2022 US cases were related to the Texas genome, although, based on the differences among each other, they were not directly linked (Gigante et al., 2022). Thus, all these three genomes were assigned to a specific hMPXV1 clade: A.2 (Fig. 4). Altogether, these results suggest different introductions of the virus in the US. An important finding related to these sequences is that they share the same substitution pattern found in the predominant B.1 genomes and ascribed to APOBEC3 action. Indeed, the same pattern was also found in hMPXV1 samples collected between 2017 and 2019 in Nigeria, but not in clade Ia or clade I samples (Gigante et al., 2022). These results suggest that the recent evolution of MPXV was characterized by changes in the virus biology (e.g. occurrence of adaptive mutations) or in its interaction with host proteins.

Finally, a recent preprint (Jones et al., 2022) analyzed several B.1 genomes from Germany and, after confirming the pattern described above, highlighted the presence of a genomic translocation involving the ITRs. In particular, the authors found a duplication event of a gene (D2L) located in the 5′ region of the genome that generates a copy of this gene.

Fig. 4. Global MPXV phylogenetic distribution. Phylogenetic tree of 79 representative MPXV/hMPXV1 strains sampled worldwide. A conserved genomic region (Kugelman et al., 2014) was aligned using MAFFT and the tree was generated using IQTREE (Trifinopoulos et al., 2016). Clades are labeled based on the nomenclature proposed by Happi and colleagues (Happi et al., 2022). Black dots indicate internal nodes with bootstrap support >0.8, blue dots indicate clade Iib strains sampled before 2021. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
in the 3’ region, leading to the complete or partial disruption of other 4 genes (Jones et al., 2022). This event was found in one German strain only, but analysis of older African samples identified two other rearrangements in the same regions, although with slightly different mechanisms, both in samples from clade Ila/Ilb and in samples from clade I (Jones et al., 2022). Notably, two of the genes affected by the deletion in the German case are N2R and N3R, also deleted in the DRC samples mentioned above (Kugelman et al., 2014). The authors thus suggested that these events could represent an adaptation of the virus that facilitate human-to-human transmission (Jones et al., 2022).

7. Conclusions and perspectives

Epidemiological and genetic data suggest that the ongoing hMPXV1 outbreak is the result of events that occurred before 2022, most likely starting in 2017. The increased incidence of monkeypox in both West and Central Africa in the past five years has been considered to be at least partially caused by discontinuation of smallpox vaccination. However, the observation that MPXV prevalence also increased in pri- mate communities starting in 2017 suggests that waning immunity against orthopoxviruses is not the sole determinant of monkeypox outbreaks (Yinka-Ogunleye et al., 2019, Patrono et al., 2020, Rimon et al., 2010, Sklenovská and Van Ranst, 2018). In this respect, it is worth noting that, in the same period, Nigeria experienced a surge in human cases of Lassa fever, which is caused by another zoonotic virus transmitted by rodents (although unrelated from poxviruses) (Siddle et al., 2018). It is thus possible that climatic or ecological changes resulted in multiple epizootics in rodent populations with a consequent increase in the transmission to humans and non-human primates. Alternatively, widespread encroachment on wild habitats might have favored human-animal interactions eventually resulting in ample opportunities for spillovers. However, the pattern of substitution observed both in B1 and in earlier samples of hMPXV1 also suggests that some unexplained shift in the virus biology occurred. Different hy- potheses have been put forward, including cryptic transmission in human populations, circulation in a novel reservoir, and superspreader events (Isidro et al., 2022). Whereas all these are valid possibilities, the most arcane aspect remains the change in the substitution spectrum, which is suggestive of APOBEC3 action. No evidence of APOBEC3-mediated deamination is evident before 2017 or in other MPXV clades, and poxviruses are not considered targets of APOBEC3. Thus, it remains to be determined whether any APOBEC3 protein is indeed responsible for the observed substitution pattern and whether this has any relation to the increased human-to-human spread of hMPXV1. In this respect, it might be worth noting that, since 2018, an increase of monkeypox cases was also observed in Central Africa, where a different viral lineage, with a distinct evolutionary history and no evidence of APOBEC3-mediated changes, is transmitted (Beer and Rao, 2019). Additional analyses and experiments will be necessary to clarify these open questions, which however highlight how large dsDNA viruses, which are usually considered slow-evolving, can adopt new evolutionary strategies. An interesting corollary of this observation is that VACV was shown to adapt to the host innate immune system in vitro by gene copy number amplification and contraction, a model referred to as “genomic accordion” (Elde et al., 2012). In general, gene gains/losses seem to have played a relevant role in poxvirus evolution and in determining host range. This is not the case of the ongoing hMPXV1 outbreak as, with the exclusion of the German case mentioned above, virus evolution seems to occur through point mutations. A major problem in the interpretation of the mutation pattern observed in hMPXV1 sequences is that the large MPXV genome is poorly studied and the function of many proteins remains largely uncharacter- ized. Indeed, we even have little clues about the virulence de- terminants of the two major clades, which have been transmitting for decades in the endemic regions. International efforts will be required to fill these knowledge gaps and to develop and widely deploy strategies to diagnose, prevent and treat monkeypox. Large scale field studies and genomic surveillance should also be undertaken to clarify the nature and distribution of the MPXV reservoirs in West and Central Africa. In this respect, we should add that the wide host range of MPXV is of concern, especially as the virus has now expanded in novel areas characterized by diverse ecological conditions and, thus, by a variety of naïve potential hosts. As already noted elsewhere, American ground squirrels are sus- ceptible to MPXV infection, and possibly many other mammals are (Teish et al., 2004, Xiang and White, 2022). It is thus imperative that the hMPXV1 outbreak is rapidly controlled to prevent establishment of novel MPXV reservoirs that might sustain viral spread and promote its accelerated evolution.
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