The outer wind of γ Velorum
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ABSTRACT
Fine-structure mid-infrared emission lines with critical densities in the regime $10^4 \leq n_{\text{crit}} \leq 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ can be employed to probe the outflow from Wolf-Rayet stars at radii of $\sim 10^{15} \text{ cm}$. Narrow-band mid-infrared imaging and spectroscopy of the nearest WR star to the sun, γ Velorum is analysed for spatially resolved forbidden line emission in the Wolf-Rayet outer wind. The [S iv] 10.52-μm and [Ne ii] 12.81-μm emission regions are found to be spatially extended, compared to unresolved continuum and He and C recombination line emission. The [S iv] and [Ne ii] emission line distributions have a high degree of azimuthal symmetry, indicating a spherically symmetric outflow. A model wind with a modest degree of clumping (clumping factor $f \sim 10$) provides a better match to the observations than an unclumped model, The overall line intensity distributions are consistent with a freely expanding, spherically symmetric 1/$r^2$ outflow with constant ionization fraction and modestly clumped density structure.

Key words: circumstellar matter – infrared: stars – stars: Wolf-Rayet – stars: winds, outflows - stars: individual: γ Velorum

1 INTRODUCTION
γ Velorum is a binary system comprising a WC8-type Wolf-Rayet (Smith 1968) and O7.5 III companion (De Marco & Schmutz 1999). The binary is well-defined, with a period of 78.53 days, $i \sim 65^\circ$ (Schmutz et al. 1997) and a separation of $\sim 3.8$ milliarcsec (North et al. 2007; Milsch et al. 2007). The O-star appears typical and is the more massive star in the binary with $M_0 = 28.5 M_\odot$ against $M_{WR} = 9.0 M_\odot$ (Conti & Smith 1972; De Marco & Schmutz 1999; North et al. 2007). The WC8 is the nearest example of a Wolf-Rayet star, at a distance of 342 pc (see below; van Leeuwen 2007), affording the greatest opportunity to study this important class in depth.

The outflow from the WC8 is considerable, with a mass-loss rate of $2.95 \times 10^{-5} \leq M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \leq 8.85 \times 10^{-5}$ if unclumped (and a factor of 3.8 lower if clumped by a factor of 10), at least 100 times that of the O-star (De Marco et al. 2004; Barlow, Roche & Aitken 1988). The ejecta can be detected at great separations from the star, several times the binary separation, from the Bremsstrahlung continuum at radio wavelengths (Seaquist 1976) and from low-density forbidden emission lines (e.g. Barlow et al. 1988). The wind terminal velocity, found from those forbidden emission lines, is $\sim 1520 \text{ km} \text{s}^{-1}$, confirmed by UV eclipse absorption line profiles (St-Louis, Willis & Stevens 1993). An energetic wind-collision zone is detected in UV and X-rays, with variability consistent with the effect of the alignment of the binary to the observer (St-Louis et al. 1993; Stevens et al. 1994; Schild et al. 2004). X-ray absorption column densities suggest a lower mass-loss rate by an order of magnitude, which may be evidence of clumping in the inner wind (Schild et al. 2004).

Mid-infrared spectroscopy of γ Vel has been used to investigate the wind structure. One important motivation was to determine the neon abundance in the wind, as Wolf-Rayet stars are thought to be the origin of most $^{22}\text{Ne}$ in the ISM. This was done from the forbidden line emission [Ne II] at 12.81-μm and [Ne III] at 15.56-μm (Barlow et al. 1988; van der Hucht et al. 1996) both of which have wide, flat spectral profiles suggesting that much of the emission arises from the outer regions where terminal velocity has been reached. However, from an uncertainty in the mass-loss rate due to the uncertain distance, the Ne enrichment was not initially found (Barlow et al. 1988). Further analysis in the mid-IR and the introduction of wind-clumping models suggests that Ne is enriched in WC star winds (Dessart et al. 2004; Smith & Houbek 2005; Ignace et al. 2007).

In order to correctly calibrate the spatial scale of the observed outflow it is necessary to fix the distance to the object. The distance to γ Vel has been the subject of many revisions in recent years. In this paper we use the revised Hipparcos parallax of 2.92±0.3 mas from van Leeuwen (2007). This translates to a distance of 342±39 pc, which is broadly consistent with the interferometry-derived distances of 336 pc and 368 pc estimated by North et al. (2007) and
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Millour et al. (2007) respectively. This distance is substantially greater than the initial Hipparcos estimate of 258 pc.

In this paper, we present spatially resolved 8-13 μm spectroscopy and narrow-band mid-infrared images of the γ Vel outflow and investigate the density structure of the wind.

2 DATA

The imaging and spectroscopic observations of γ Velorum were obtained with the Gemini Thermal-Region Camera Spectrograph (T-ReCS) (Telesco et al. 1998) in April and May 2006 under Gemini programme GS-2006A-Q-64. The instrument uses the telescope in the chop-nod mode, with a maximum chop-throw of 15″, used for all of the observations presented here. The fixed pixel size of T-ReCS is 0.09″. Images of the WR-O binary and a standard star (SAO 219422) were taken in four filters covering the [Ne II] and [S IV] emission lines and nearby continuum regions (see Table 1). Spectra were obtained through a 0.35″ slit and the broad-N filter, centred at 10.36-μm and with 50% transmission between 7.70-12.97 μm. The spectral resolution was 0.08μm, sampled at 0.022 μm/pixel. Three exposures were taken on the night, with the total on-source time given in Table 1. Observing conditions were good apart from the night of the [Ar III] imaging observations, which caused some striping in the final image, and the spectra were obtained at rather high airmass as the target set. A log of the imaging and spectroscopic observations is provided in Table 1.

Data reduction was completed using the T-ReCS IRAF pipeline. The chop frames were mean-averaged and the alternating positions subtracted to remove residual background structure. Examination of the spatial-axis distribution of the flux suggested there would be no significant off-source emission within the chop throw. The spectra were centred and aligned along along one pixel-row, though the corrections applied were minimal. A wavelength calibration was applied using the sky emission line list supplied by Gemini for the night, with the total on-source time given in Table 1. Observing conditions were good apart from the night of the [Ar III] imaging observations, which caused some striping in the final image, and the spectra were obtained at rather high airmass as the target set. A log of the imaging and spectroscopic observations is provided in Table 1.

Data reduction was completed using the T-ReCS IRAF pipeline. The chop frames were mean-averaged and the alternating positions subtracted to remove residual background structure. Examination of the spatial-axis distribution of the flux suggested there would be no significant off-source emission within the chop throw. The spectra were centred and aligned along along one pixel-row, though the corrections applied were minimal. A wavelength calibration was applied using the sky emission line list supplied by Gemini for the night, with the total on-source time given in Table 1. Observing conditions were good apart from the night of the [Ar III] imaging observations, which caused some striping in the final image, and the spectra were obtained at rather high airmass as the target set. A log of the imaging and spectroscopic observations is provided in Table 1.

Data reduction was completed using the T-ReCS IRAF pipeline. The chop frames were mean-averaged and the alternating positions subtracted to remove residual background structure. Examination of the spatial-axis distribution of the flux suggested there would be no significant off-source emission within the chop throw. The spectra were centred and aligned along along one pixel-row, though the corrections applied were minimal. A wavelength calibration was applied using the sky emission line list supplied by Gemini for the night, with the total on-source time given in Table 1. Observing conditions were good apart from the night of the [Ar III] imaging observations, which caused some striping in the final image, and the spectra were obtained at rather high airmass as the target set. A log of the imaging and spectroscopic observations is provided in Table 1.
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In this paper, we present spatially resolved 8-13 μm spectroscopy and narrow-band mid-infrared images of the γ Vel outflow and investigate the density structure of the wind.

Figure 1. Spectra of γ Velorum at the peak mid-IR flux and at spatial separations to the North and South. The [S IV] and [Ne II] emission line components at 10.5 and 12.8 μm increase in prominence away from the central position.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Spectroscopy

Cuts across the spatial-axis of the spectrum of γ Vel yield FWHM of 0.45″ in the continuum emission between 10 and 12 μm, which matches the spectroscopic standard star PSF and indicates an unresolved core emission source. There are several prominent emission line blends present in the spectrum. These are listed in Table 2 together with a number of weaker emission lines and the principal lines contributing to each blend. Five of the most prominent lines are attributed to helium or carbon recombination line blends, but the lines at 10.52 and 12.81 μm have contributions from the ground state fine-structure S III and Ne IV transitions respectively, blended with helium and/or carbon, see Table 2.

In the WCS star, hydrogen lines are not expected and are not seen in optical or UV spectra (e.g. Willis 1982). Ionized carbon is, of course, an important emission component, with C III and C IV recombination lines previously observed in the near- and mid- IR (e.g. Aitken, Roche & Allen 1982) and
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Table 2. Wavelengths of prominent emission lines together with the most important components in the blended lines. The λ and fluxes are from Gaussian fits to lines in the centre bin. Errors on the fluxes are estimated to be at most 10% of the flux of the most prominent lines.

| λ_{obs} (µm) | Identification | Flux (10^{-20}Wcm^{-2}) |
|-------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| 8.25        | C iv 19-17, CIΙ 28-20 | <10                      |
| 8.48        | CIΙ 16-14, CIΙ 21-17  | <15                      |
| 8.67        | Hei 14-8, C iv 25-19, CI v 15-14 | <20                   |
| 8.78        | Hei 10-7, Hei 20-14   | <40                      |
| 9.72        | Hei 10-9, Hei 13-11, CI v 20-18 | 179.8               |
| 9.86        | CIΙ 13-12, Hei 18-9, Hei 25-16 | 63.2               |
| 10.52       | [S iv], Hei 12-8, Hei 21-15 | 54.4                |
|             | Hei 24-16, CI v 20-17, CI v 16-15 | 66.4               |
| 11.32       | Hei 9-7, Hei 23-16, Hei 18-14 | 155.6              |
|             | Hei 16-13, CI v 21-19 | 13.4                   |
| 12.81       | Hei 14-9             | 53.4                   |

Figure 2. Normalised spatial profiles of emission lines and continuum from γ Vel. The continuum emission at a number of wavelengths between 10 and 12 µm is shown by the thin solid lines and has a very similar profile to the those of the recombination lines, illustrated by the Hei 7-6 and 9-7 lines and the Hei 10-9 line. These are unresolved, but the lines at 10.5 and 12.8 µm, which contain contributions from [S iv] and [Ne ii] have more extended profiles. Negative separations are to the South, positive to the North.

Table 2. Wavelengths of prominent emission lines together with the most important components in the blended lines. The λ and fluxes are from Gaussian fits to lines in the centre bin. Errors on the fluxes are estimated to be at most 10% of the flux of the most prominent lines.

| λ_{obs} (µm) | Identification | Flux (10^{-20}Wcm^{-2}) |
|-------------|----------------|--------------------------|
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Figure 2. Normalised spatial profiles of emission lines and continuum from γ Vel. The continuum emission at a number of wavelengths between 10 and 12 µm is shown by the thin solid lines and has a very similar profile to the those of the recombination lines, illustrated by the Hei 7-6 and 9-7 lines and the Hei 10-9 line. These are unresolved, but the lines at 10.5 and 12.8 µm, which contain contributions from [S iv] and [Ne ii] have more extended profiles. Negative separations are to the South, positive to the North.

The fact that the recombination line blends exhibit comparable spatial profiles to the continuum emission, with little dependence on wavelength, indicates that seeing contributes substantially to the profiles and masks the effects of diffraction across the 9.7 to 12.4 µm wavelength range; over this range, the diffraction-limited image width increases from 0.26 to 0.34 arcsec. The continuum and recombination line emission is centrally concentrated from an unresolved emission source, arising from the dense, inner regions of the wind. The lines at 10.5 and 12.8-µm show a different, spatially-extended emission profile from the stellar continuum and He lines. The blended and deblended (see below) emission is plotted in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 respectively.

The [S iv] emission is blended with helium and carbon emission at 10.5-µm and the [Ne ii] emission is blended with C iv 17-16 at 12.77-µm (Table 2). The 10.5-µm blend shows a FWHM comparable to the unresolved continuum, reflecting the dominance of the He/C contribution to that line in the inner region. However, beyond ~0.5" the line flux decreases more slowly than the continuum, indicating resolved emission from [S iv] at large radii in the wind. The 12.81-µm emission is less heavily blended. The largest contribution is from [Ne ii] while the contribution from C iv emission is weak, as can be seen from the small flux in the 8.66-µm line - the strongest C iv emission line in this spectral range. The 12.8 µm line blend has a FWHM = 0.65", significantly larger than those of the continua and 10.5 µm emission line blend, which have FWHM in the range 0.43 to 0.48 " This reflects the dominant contribution of [Ne ii] in the 12.8 µm blend and indicates an extended distribution. Careful correction for He and C lines at 10.5 µm is required to extract the [S iv] distribution.

To deblend the lines, the INTRAT program (supplied by Prof. P. Storey) was used to compute line ratios between the different helium and carbon transitions, allowing for those components of the 10.5 and 12.81-µm emission blends to be calculated and subtracted. The reference lines against which ratios of each species would be computed, were Hei 7-6, Heii 10-9, C iii 13-12 and C iv 15-14, each the strongest line of their species in the 8-13 µm spectral region. INTTRAT calculates the line flux ratios for hydrogenic species in specified densities and temperatures using case B recombination (Baker & Menzel 1938), which is justifiable for the opaque inner wind where the lines are thought to form (e.g. Stevens et al. 1996). The electron temperature and density adopted were 30,000 K and 10^{10} cm^{-3} respectively, but the dependence of the line ratios on these quantities is fairly weak. The contributions from weak lines were estimated by generating a synthetic spectrum from the line ratios generated from INTRAT and fitting to the peak flux spectrum, where the He and C emission lines are strongest. The contributions from Hei, Heii, C iii and C iv were adjusted to give a good match to the most prominent emission lines. Once the ratios of Hei, Heii, C iii and C iv to each other were determined, they were applied to the measured fluxes at offset positions (see Fig. 3). The synthetic spectrum was broadly consistent with the offset spectra, giving confidence that the He and C line contributions to the 10.52 and 12.81-µm lines could be estimated.

Inspection of Fig. 3 shows that the spectral structure between 10 and 12 µm, which results from high-N recombination transitions is well matched by the synthetic spectrum. The region between 8 and 10 µm is noisier because of the poorer atmospheric transmission. Note that the dom-
After processing the imaging data, images in the four filters, 
3.2 Imaging

The FWHM of the \([\text{S} \text{iv} \text{iv}]\) emission blend is small, the peak \([\text{S} \text{iv} \text{iv}]\) to the 10.5-\(\mu\)m transition in HeI, which is not a hydrogenic transition. The C\(\text{iii}\) and C\(\text{iv}\) lines are relatively weak, resulting in significant uncertainties which propagate into the contributions from the higher \(n\) carbon lines at 10.5 \(\mu\)m and 12.8\(\mu\)m. About 2/3 of the 12.37 \(\mu\)m and 9.72 \(\mu\)m fluxes are attributable to the He I (7-6) and HeII (10-9) components respectively. \([\text{S} \text{iv} \text{iv}]\) emission was found to contribute only \(\sim 15\%\) of the total 10.5-\(\mu\)m emission at the peak position, but increases to \(\sim 50\%\) at 0.54" from the centre. The recombination line contributions were subtracted from the spatially-separated 10.5-\(\mu\)m flux measurements by subtracting the scaled synthetic spectrum. The resulting spatial profiles are plotted in Fig. 4.

Because the contribution from \([\text{S} \text{iv} \text{iv}]\) to the 10.5 \(\mu\)m emission blend is small, the peak \([\text{S} \text{iv} \text{iv}]\) flux is very uncertain. This makes the FWHM of the \([\text{S} \text{iv} \text{iv}]\) emission difficult to estimate, but it is nonetheless clearly extended with a much flatter distribution than the continuum emission. \([\text{Ne} \text{ii}]\) emission was found to contribute \(\sim 88\pm 5\%\) of the 12.81-\(\mu\)m flux at the peak, increasing to 95\% at 0.54". The emission is spatially centrally peaked and symmetrical within the estimated errors, with a FWHM\(\sim 0.6"\) and a steeper profile than \([\text{S} \text{iv} \text{iv}]\).

Table 3. Spatially-measured line fluxes of the prominent emission line blends through the 0.35 arcsec wide T-ReCS slit. The detector pixels were binned in threes in the spatial direction, giving spaxels of 0.27 arcsec. Negative separations are to the South, positive to the North.

| Position (arcsec) | 9.72 \(\mu\)m | 10.52 \(\mu\)m | 11.30 \(\mu\)m | 12.37 \(\mu\)m | 12.81 \(\mu\)m |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| -1.62            | 0.322         | 1.023         | 0.493         | 0.977         | 0.680         |
| -1.35            | 1.598         | 1.003         | 0.567         | 0.249         | 1.347         |
| -1.08            | 1.975         | 1.919         | 0.854         | 2.705         | 3.318         |
| -0.81            | 7.263         | 3.974         | 2.962         | 7.914         | 6.836         |
| -0.54            | 17.05         | 7.555         | 6.763         | 18.54         | 12.61         |
| -0.27            | 67.15         | 20.68         | 25.14         | 75.38         | 33.84         |
| 0                | 179.8         | 54.43         | 66.41         | 155.6         | 53.42         |
| 0.27             | 69.02         | 20.15         | 26.44         | 56.92         | 28.43         |
| 0.54             | 16.61         | 7.263         | 7.305         | 16.38         | 12.20         |
| 0.81             | 5.738         | 3.472         | 2.526         | 5.480         | 4.895         |
| 1.08             | 2.241         | 2.464         | 1.031         | 2.440         | 2.769         |
| 1.35             | 1.931         | 1.456         | 0.887         | 1.258         | 1.871         |
| 1.62             | 1.240         | 1.060         | 0.384         | 0.920         | 1.627         |
| 1.89             | 0.286         | 0.524         | 0.0829        | 0.607         | 1.064         |

Figure 3. The continuum-subtracted spectrum of \(\gamma\) Vel extracted at 0.27" S of the peak (circles connected by the dashed line) overlaid by the scaled synthetic spectrum (solid line). The most prominent emission line blends are labelled with the wavelength and the dominant contributor, but several more components are also important in many cases - see Table 2.

To obtain images of the spatial distribution of the \([\text{S} \text{iv} \text{iv}]\) and \([\text{Ne} \text{ii}]\)-cont. and \([\text{Ne} \text{ii}]\)-continuum filter images, which sample the continuum emission near to the wavelengths of the forbidden-line emission. The \([\text{Ar} \text{iii}]\) filter does not include any prominent emission lines but the \([\text{Ne} \text{ii}]\)-continuum filter includes a contribution from the HeII 11-10 transition at 13.12-\(\mu\)m. The contribution from this line to the flux contained within the \([\text{Ne} \text{ii}]\)-continuum filter was estimated to be \(\sim 6\%\) using the measurements in Aitken, Roche & Allen (1982) and the transmission function of the filter.

Unfortunately, the continuum subtractions did not appear satisfactory, despite using the star spectral energy distribution to normalize the continuum fluxes to the wavelength of the forbidden emission lines. It seems that the variable PSF between the observations dominates and leaves substantial residuals, although other factors (e.g. filter leaks or ghosts) may also contribute. The spectra indicate that while the contribution from the \([\text{Ne} \text{ii}]\) emission line to the total flux measured within the \([\text{Ne} \text{ii}]\) filter is about 15\% in the central 0.3 arcsec of the image, the contribution from the \([\text{S} \text{iv} \text{iv}]\) line is only about 3\%. The approach used in the end was to smooth the images and subtract the continuum images with the aim of scaling the radial flux to best match the spatial flux distribution measured in the spectra. The
that a spherically symmetric outflow is a good approximation.

4 WIND MODEL

The spatially extended emission from the fine structure lines provides a potential diagnostic of the wind structure. The ratio of the [NeII] to [SIV] line intensity probes the wind region with densities near the critical densities for the transitions, while the radial profiles of these lines will trace the level populations, ionic abundances and density of the wind.

A simple wind model was constructed to compare the observed peak and extended emission from [SIV] and [NeII] in the wind with expectations of a freely expanding envelope with constant ionization fraction. Two model winds were used: (i) a smooth wind as described by Barlow et al. (1988), but modified by the revised distance of 342 pc and a corresponding mass loss rate of $M = 5.7 \times 10^{-5} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ (rather than 460 pc and the mass loss rate of $M = 8.8 \times 10^{-5} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ adopted in Barlow et al. (1988)); and (ii) a clumped wind with a clumping factor $f = 10$ and a mass loss rate of $M = 1.5 \times 10^{-5} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, corresponding to the values determined by de Marco et al. (2000) adjusted for the distance adopted here of 342 pc. The models calculate the flux admitted by the T-ReCS slit from a spherical $1/r^2$ distribution of gas with concentric shells of decreasing electron density, $n_e$, away from the core for an optically-thin (at the wavelengths of line emission) wind. The instrument slit was projected onto the wind model and intervals along the spatial-axis of the slit were defined to create bins, representing the pixel scale of the observing instrument. The volume of each of the individual density shells visible to each bin was then calculated. Finally the mean fractional upper atomic level population times the radius interval $(f_\lambda d\tau)$ for each density sphere, shown to trace the observed line flux, from Table 4 in Barlow et al. (1988) was multiplied by the normalized volume of the corresponding sphere observed in each bin to reproduce the flux observed in each bin.

The collision strengths for [SIV] and [NeII] adopted are $8.27$ and $0.30$ respectively (Saraph & Storey 1999, Johnson & Kingston 1987). The Einstein A Values of $7.74 \times 10^{-3}$ and $8.85 \times 10^{-3}$ then indicate critical densities $n_{\text{crit}}$ of $3.4 \times 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$ for [SIV] and an order of magnitude greater at $5 \times 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$ for [NeII]. As discussed by Barlow et al. (1988), line formation peaks in the region in the wind where $n_e \sim n_{\text{crit}}$. For the two cases considered here, $n_{\text{crit}}$ occurs at radii of $2.7 \times 10^{15}$ cm and $7.1 \times 10^{14}$ cm for [SIV] and [NeII] for model (i) and at radii of $4.4 \times 10^{15}$ cm and $1.1 \times 10^{15}$ cm for [SIV] and [NeII] for model (ii).

Because of its lower critical density, the [SIV] emission is expected to peak further out in the wind than the [NeII] emission, and for a constant ionization wind the ratio of the line fluxes will vary until the density intersected by the instrument beam falls below the critical density of [SIV], beyond which it should approach a constant value given by the atomic parameters and the relative ionic abundances of the two species.

The observed [SIV] and [NeII] emission line distributions given by the models can be compared with the spatial distributions of the emission lines extracted from the spectra. Because they were observed simultaneously, the emis-

\[ \text{Figure 4. Spatially-resolved, extended emission from the [SIV] and [NeII] forbidden lines in the } \gamma \text{ Velorum outflow obtained from the spectra. Unresolved emission from the inner wind is illustrated by the 11\mu m continuum and the 12.37\mu m emission line, the latter attributed primarily to the HeI (7-6) line (closed and open triangles respectively) and normalised to the [NeII] emission peak. The extended [SIV] and [NeII] emission is shown after the line deblending described in the text.} \]
Figure 5. Top: Contour plots of the [Ne\textsc{ii}] (left) and [S\textsc{iv}] (right) line intensities. North is up, East to the left. The contours are logarithmic in 0.2\text{dex} intervals. Bottom: Radial profiles of the comparison star, SAO 219422 at 10.5\text{\textmu}m and the [S\textsc{iv}] and [Ne\textsc{ii}] line intensities in \gamma\text{ Vel}. The solid lines are gaussian fits to the core emission. Because of the uncertainties in subtraction of recombination line and continuum emission, the flux levels and distribution in the cores of the line images and profiles may be subject to systematic errors.

The observed spatial dependence of the [Ne\textsc{ii}] to [S\textsc{iv}] line intensity ratio is shown together with the ratio calculated from the two models in Figure 6. There is reasonable qualitative agreement with the observations, although the models cannot account for the observed asymmetry and neither model matches the observations in detail. In model
(ii), the clumping factor of 10 increases the emitting density of the wind so that the regions of critical density occur at greater distances from the centre, even though the mass loss rate is substantially lower. This means that the [Ne\text{II}] to [S\text{IV}] line ratio approaches the asymptotic value beyond 2 arcsec, whereas in the unclumped wind model, the critical density is reached at a radius smaller by a factor of 1.6 and so the line ratio drops more steeply in the inner region and starts to flatten out beyond 1 arcsec. Furthermore, because the peak intensity of the [S\text{IV}] line is very uncertain, the line ratio at the centre has a correspondingly large error, which makes the normalization of the fits very uncertain.

In the outer wind, where the density falls below the critical densities of the transitions, the [Ne\text{II}] to [S\text{IV}] line intensity ratio approaches 1.0 ±0.2. The dominant ionisation stage of neon is Ne\text{3+}, so the observations presented here cannot place useful constraints on the overall neon abundance. However, they can provide estimates of the Ne\text{+} to S\text{3+} ionic ratio. The emission line intensity \(I_{\lambda} \propto h\nu \int n_u A_{21} V\), and as the Ne and S lines arise from the same volume, the ratio of the line intensities provides an estimate of the Ne\text{+}/S\text{3+} abundance ratio. The fractional upper level populations from Table 3 of Barlow et al. (1988) and the older atomic datasets used in that work would have given an ionic abundance ratio Ne\text{+}/S\text{3+} ~ 50, while the more recent atomic datasets for Ne\text{+} and S\text{3+} from the CHIANTI database (www.chianti.rl.ac.uk) yield a Ne\text{+}/S\text{3+} ratio of 67 in the outer wind.

Fig. 7 compares the spatial distribution of the [Ne\text{II}] and [S\text{IV}] emission lines with the model predictions. The spherical wind and density-dependent emission of the forbidden lines does reasonably reproduce the observed emission from a separation of 0.6″ outwards, although the range of scales sampled is small. The slope of the emission past ~0.6″ appears to confirm that a spherical wind geometry with the 1/\(r^2\) density-dependent emission distribution is a good match for the observed data in the outer wind. However, the models diverge in the inner regions. In the unclumped wind model, the line intensities continue to increase steeply towards the central pixel as the critical densities are reached relatively close to the centre. The [S\text{IV}] emission from the clumped wind model starts to flatten at a radius of ~1 arcsec, providing a better match to the observations; the model indicates that the [Ne\text{II}] emission line profile would flatten inside a radius of 0.3 arcsec, but unfortunately this regime is not well-sampled by the data.

The clumped wind provides a better overall match to the spatial dependences of the emission line intensity distributions and the [Ne\text{II}] to [S\text{IV}] line ratio. A modest clumping factor \(f \sim 10\) provides a reasonable match to the data; a more highly clumped wind might improve the fit somewhat, but a very highly clumped wind (with \(f \gg 10\)) would flatten the profiles further and start to diverge from the observations.

The models made a number of assumptions that could have an effect. The emission was assumed to be optically-thin at all radii. As discussed by Barlow et al. (1988), the fine-structure lines are expected to be optically thin throughout the outflow, but the infrared free-free continuum is expected to become optically thick in the inner dense regions. The radius at which the continuum becomes optically thick can be estimated from the work of Wright and Barlow (1975) who provide an expression for the characteristic radius of the emitting region in the outflow. For \(\gamma\) Vel, the characteristic radius at a wavelength of 12 \(\mu\)m for the properties adopted for model (i) is \(r \sim 2 \times 10^{12}\) cm, and only slightly larger for model (ii), so that a negligible fraction of the fine-structure line emission will be obscured. Other possibilities include a changing ionization structure, possibly as a result of high ionization in the inner regions, or a
non-constant clumping factor. The ionization fraction is not expected to vary at such large radii due to the absorption of ionizing photons in the inner wind and the decreasing recombination rates in the declining-density outer wind regions (‘freeze-out’) [Barlow et al. 1988], but could vary in the inner regions. Fortunately, the data quality presented here is not adequate to place stringent limits on these effects, but they do indicate that modest degrees of clumping in the wind improve the match with observations for the simple cases considered here. As shown by Dessert et al (2000), adoption of a clumpy wind with lower mass loss rate increases the ionic abundances of Ne\(^{++}\) and S\(^{++}\) compared to an unclumped wind. The data presented here support the need for a clumpy wind.

5 CONCLUSIONS
Spatially-resolved imaging and spectroscopy is presented of forbidden emission in the outer wind of the Wolf-Rayet star in the \(\gamma\) Velorum binary. The data confirm that forbidden [S\(\text{iv}\)] and [Ne\(\text{ii}\)] line emission is prominent at large separations (out to \(\sim 2''\) radius and \(\sim 500\times\) the binary separation) from the star. The images reveal largely symmetric outer-wind emission from [S\(\text{iv}\)] and [Ne\(\text{ii}\)], suggesting that spherical symmetry is a reasonable approximation for the outflow. Spatially-binned spectra are rich in emission lines, which clearly follow three distinct spatial emission profiles. The He and C lines, and the thermal continuum, all trace the distribution of the PSF indicating that the emission is unresolved, as expected for recombination lines and free-free emission which arise primarily from the dense inner regions of the wind. The [S\(\text{iv}\)] and [Ne\(\text{ii}\)] emission lines have broader spatial profiles. The [S\(\text{iv}\)] line has a broader spatial emission profile than the [Ne\(\text{ii}\)] line; this is attributed to its low critical density which leads to line formation peaking at larger radii in the wind.

A simple wind model has been constructed, using spherical shells with a \(1/r^2\) dependence, to estimate the flux admitted by the T-ReCS slit. Two cases were considered: a smooth wind with a mass loss rate of \(M = 5.7 \times 10^{-5} M_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\) and a clumped wind with clumping factor \(f = 10\) and a mass loss rate of \(M = 1.5 \times 10^{-6} M_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\). The gradients and ratios of the [S\(\text{iv}\)] and [Ne\(\text{ii}\)] line profiles at large radii from the WR are well-reproduced by the models, showing that a spherical wind and \(1/r^2\) density dependence are appropriate, if not unique, solutions. In the inner regions, neither model fully reproduces the spatial emission profile of the lines, but the unclumped wind model overpredicts the line intensities substantially. The clumped wind provides a better fit to the data, providing a better match to both the intensity profiles and the spatial distribution of the [Ne\(\text{ii}\)] to [S\(\text{iv}\)] line ratio. The wind structure could be probed by higher spatial resolution observations made under better conditions and could be examined further by a similar analysis at mid-IR wavelengths of [Ne\(\text{ii}\)] 15.5\(\mu\)m and [S\(\text{ii}\)] 18.7 \(\mu\)m using the James Webb Space Telescope in the future.
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