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Early Neurological Outcome of Surgical Repair of Lipomyelomeningocele in Infants
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ABSTRACT

Objective: A prospective cohort study was aimed to evaluate the early neurological outcome of surgery among infants having lipomyelomeningocele.

Material and Methods: The study was conducted at the Department of Pediatric neurosurgery, Children Hospital & The Institute of Child Health, Lahore from January 2019 to June 2019. A total of 50 pediatric patients, both male and female, aged 3 months to 1 year, with lipomyelomeningocele (symptomatic or asymptomatic) who presented to the out-patient department were included in the study. Group A included those cases who were aged <6 months and Group B were aged >6 months. All patients underwent standard surgical procedures for the treatment of lipomyelomeningocele. Post-operative findings were recorded on the 3rd and 10th postoperative days for the immediate outcome while early outcomes were recorded at 6-months intervals.

Results: Out of a total of 50 infants, there was 32 (64.0%) female. Overall, the mean age was 7.39 ± 2.63 months. The lumbar area having mass on the back was the most frequently seen in 23 (46.0%) infants. Group A included 20 (40.0%) infants while Group-B had 30 (60.0%). Low lying cord was the commonest neurological finding noted among 25 (50.0%). Complete Excision of Lipoma was done among 48 (96.0%) infants. Post-surgery, no significant difference was found on day-3 and day-10 between study groups (P > 0.05). Early outcome at 6-months intervals was found to be associated with significantly improved neurological function grades in Group-A (p = 0.030).

Conclusion: Surgical management of lipomyelomeningocele is a safe procedure. An early treatment approach even in asymptomatic infants is recommended to reduce neurological deterioration.
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INTRODUCTION

Lipomyelomeningocele is a complex disorder of neural tube development. It may present with a wide range of neurological deficits due to inherent tethered cord. Management of lipomyelomeningocele is a difficult task for a pediatric neurosurgeon. There are two types of neural tube defects, open and closed types. Closed neural tube defects comprise lipomyelomeningocele, diastematomyelia, and spina bifida occulta. Lipomyelomeningocele is the most common closed neural tube defect. The reported incidence is 0.3 to 0.6 per 100,000 live birth. Embryologically primary neurulation abnormalities including the process of disjunction (premature separation of neuraelectoderm from epithelial ectoderm resulting in the fusion of the spinal cord with fatty elements.

Pathogenesis of lipomyelomeningocele is different from other neural tube defects that’s why not affected by the use of folic acid prophylactically. Presence of subcutaneous lipoma and its connection with cord limits upward growth of conus medullaris during child’s axial growth, furthermore presence of a thickened filum terminale further restricts the movement due to its adherence with dura. Progressive fat deposition causing mass effect on cord and stretch lead to the decreased blood supply and increasing neurological deficit.

Hoffman et al. in a study found that 62.5% of patients were neurologically asymptomatic before 6 months of age whereas this decreased to 29% after 6 months of age. Appropriate treatments with complete resection of lipoma and untethering at an early age give good results whereas delay in treatment and incomplete excision of lipoma result in a neurological deficit.

Associated malformation with lipomyelomeningocele include genitourinary tract anomalies (4.1%), split cord malformations (3.1%), associated dermal sinuses (3.1%), dermoid or epidermoid cysts (3.1%), diastematomyelia (3.1%), terminal hydromyelia (3.1%), anal stenosis (1.0%), and Down syndrome (1.0%). Kanev et al. showed that 92% of the children with normal neurological examination preoperatively had no neurological deficit postoperatively while those children who had preoperative bowel and bladder incontinence did not improve much. Preoperative functions of the patients are directly related to surgical outcomes. Standard surgical procedures involve complete excision of lipoma, untethering of the cord, preservation of neural components, and prevention of retethering. In this study we planned to evaluate the early neurological outcome of surgery among both symptomatic and asymptomatic infants having lipomyelomeningocele.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study Design and Setting

This prospective cohort study was conducted in the department of Pediatric neurosurgery, Children Hospital & The Institute of Child Health, Lahore from January 2019 to June 2019. Approval from Institutional Ethical Committee was taken. No conflict of interest was involved in the present research. Asymptomatic lipomyelomeningocele was labeled as children with lipomyelomeningocele without any neurological, orthopedic, urological deficit i.e. grade 0 of functional grading system devised by Hoffmann et al. Symptomatic lipomyelomeningocele was referred to as children with lipomyelomeningocele having any neurological, orthopedic, urological deficit i.e. grade 1 to 5 of functional grading system.

Inclusion Criteria

A total of 50 consecutive patients of both genders, aged 3 months to 1 year having lipomyelomeningocele (symptomatic or asymptomatic) were included in the study.
asymptomatic) presenting in the out-patient department were enrolled.

**Exclusion Criteria**
All children who had any kind of surgery in the past, or having any ongoing current infections or patients with chronic medical illness were not included.

**Data Collection**
Medical history, clinical findings, and radiological investigations (e.g. MRI) were done in all patients. All patients were graded based on neurological and radiological assessment using functional grading scheme described by Hoffmann et al. Group A were those who were aged < 6 months (both symptomatic and asymptomatic patients) and group B were aged > 6 months (both symptomatic and asymptomatic patients). All patients underwent standard surgical procedures for the treatment of lipomyelomeningocele. Post-operative findings were recorded on the 3rd and 10th postoperative days for the immediate outcome while the early outcome was recorded at 6-month intervals. Written consent was sought from parents/guardians.

**Data Analysis Procedure**
Data was collected on a structured questionnaire specifically designed for this study. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 26.0. Age was represented as mean and standard deviation while frequencies and percentages were calculated for gender, area of residence, area of mass on back, neurological functional grades, neurological examination, clinical findings, and post-surgery complications/findings. The Chi-square test was applied considering p-value < 0.05 as significant.

**RESULTS**

**Demographics**
Out of a total of 50 infants, there were 18 (36.0%) male and 32 (64.0%) female. Overall, the mean age was 7.39 ± 2.63 months.

**Clinical Characteristics**
The majority of the infants, 29 (58.0%) were symptomatic. The lumbar area having mass on the back was the most frequently seen in 23 (46.0%) infants. Neurological examination functional grading revealed that there were 21 (42.0%) with grade 0, 6 (12.0%) grade 1, 10 (20.0%) grade 2, 10 (20.0%) grade 3 while 3 (6.0%) were grade 4. There were 20 (40.0%) infants who were aged less than 6 months so they were slotted in Group A while the remaining 30 (60.0%) infants were aged above 6 months so were labeled as Group B. Table 1 is showing a comparison of characteristics of infants among both study groups and a significant difference was observed (p > 0.05).

**Neurological Examination Findings**
Table 2 is showing the frequency of the distribution of neurological examination among both study groups. Low lying cord was the most frequent neurological finding noted among 25 (50.0%). No significant difference was noted between both study groups in terms of distribution of neurological examination (p > 0.05).

**Clinical Examination Findings**
Table 3 is showing a comparison of the frequency of various clinical examination findings between both study groups. Subcutaneous lipoma was the most common findings noted among 48 (96.0%) infants. No statistically significant difference was noted between both study groups in terms of clinical examination findings.
### Table 1: Characteristics of Patients (n = 50).

| Characteristics | Group – A (n = 20) | Group – B (n = 30) | P-Value |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Gender          |                   |                   |         |
| Male            | 7 (35.0%)         | 11 (36.7%)        | 0.9043  |
| Female          | 13 (65.0%)        | 19 (73.3%)        | (insignificant) |
| Area of Residence |                 |                   |         |
| Rural           | 8 (40.0%)         | 14 (46.7%)        | 0.2468  |
| Urban           | 12 (60.0%)        | 16 (53.3%)        | (insignificant) |
| Symptoms        |                   |                   |         |
| Asymptomatic    | 10 (50.0%)        | 11 (36.7%)        | 0.3494  |
| Symptomatic     | 10 (50.0%)        | 19 (73.3%)        | (insignificant) |
| Area of Mass on Back |               |                   |         |
| Lumbar          | 4 (20.0%)         | 19 (73.3%)        | (insignificant) |
| 0               | 13 (65.0%)        | 8 (26.7%)         |         |
| 1               | 2 (10.0%)         | 4 (13.3%)         | 0.078   |
| 2               | 2 (10.0%)         | 8 (26.7%)         | (insignificant) |
| 3               | 3 (15.0%)         | 7 (23.3%)         |         |
| 4               | 0 (0%)            | 3 (10.0%)         |         |

### Table 2: Distribution of Neurological Examination Findings (n = 50).

| Neurological Examination Findings | Total (%) | Group – A (n = 20) | Group – B (n = 30) | P-Value |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Vertebral anomalies              | 5 (10.0%) | 1 (5.0%)           | 4 (13.3%)          | 0.3359  |
| Low lying cord                   | 25 (50.0%)| 12 (60.0%)         | 20 (66.7%)         | 0.6304  |
| Diastematomyelia                 | 10 (20.0%)| 3 (15.0%)          | 7 (23.3%)          | 0.4705  |
| Syrinx                           | 10 (20.0%)| 3 (15.0%)          | 7 (23.3%)          | 0.4705  |
| Ultrasonography kidney, ureter and bladder normal | 30 (60.0%) | 12 (60.0%) | 18 (60.0%) | 1 (insignificant) |
| Dilated urinary bladder          | 15 (30.0%)| 6 (30.0%)          | 9 (30.0%)          | 1 (insignificant) |
| Neurogenic bladder with hydrourerter and hydrocephalus | 5 (10.0%) | 2 (10.0%) | 3 (10.0%) | 1 (insignificant) |

### Table 3: Distribution of Frequency of Clinical Examination Findings (n = 50).

| Clinical Examination Findings   | Total (%) | Group – A (n = 20) | Group – B (n = 30) | P-Value |
|---------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Subcutaneous Lipoma             | 48 (96.0%)| 18 (90.0%)         | 30 (100.0%)        | 0.0771  |
| Tail Like Appendages            | 9 (18.0%) | 4 (20.0%)          | 5 (16.7%)          | 0.7638  |
| Asymptomatic Gluteal Folds      | 11 (22.0%)| 4 (20.0%)          | 7 (23.3%)          | 0.7804  |
| Hemangioma (subcutaneous)       | 7 (14.0%) | 2 (10.0%)          | 5 (16.7%)          | 0.5057  |
| Scar Like Skin Patches          | 3 (6.0%)  | 0 (0%)             | 3 (10.0%)          | 0.1450  |
| Skin Dimples                    | 9 (18.0%) | 2 (10.0%)          | 7 (23.3%)          | 0.2293  |

### Post-Operative Findings

Complete excision of Lipoma was done among 48 (96.0%) infants while incomplete excision of Lipoma was done in the remaining 2 (4.0%) infants. Filum Terminale was identified among 32 (64.0%) infants. Table 4 is showing a comparison of postoperative complications/findings among both study groups. Table 5 shows that post-surgery, no significant difference was found at day-3 and day-10 between study groups (P > 0.05). CSD Leakage from the wound was noted in 1 infant in Group – A versus 2 in Group – B (p = 0.8079) and all these 3 cases were re-operated. One patient in Group – A as Grade 1 with right...
congenital talipes equinovarus (CTEV) had ponseti casting but no improvement was observed post-operatively so that case was later on planned to undergo a posteromedial-release (PMR). Early outcome at 6-months interval was found to be associated with significantly improved neurological function grades as 15 (75.0%) infants in Group-A had Grade-4 function grades whereas all 4 cases of Grade-4 were found to be in Group-B (p = 0.030). No deaths were reported.

**DISCUSSION**

Lipomyelomeningocele is rare condition affecting 3-6 per 100000 livebirths. Variation in presentation and underlying pathology influence clinical approaches towards treating lipomyelomeningocele. In the present study, it was noted that 65.0% of infants in Group – A were having Grade – 0 neurological functional grades in comparison to 26.7% in Group – B so it was seen that children aged below 6 months were having less deteriorated neurological functional grades. Group – B was noted to have 10% infants with Grade – 4 neurological functional grades in comparison to no infant in Group – A. It has been observed in the past that lipomyelomeningoceleis commonly found to be linked with cutaneous as well as musculoskeletal dysfunction while sensorimotor and urological abnormalities are also observed frequently. Neurological manifestations are often not present in about 50% of the cases at the time of birth while as infants grow, progression in loss of neurological functioning might be visible. With axial growth, lower limb and sacral motor and sensory abnormalities manifest among infants with lipomeningomyelocele. We noted that a low lying cord was the most frequent neurological finding noted among 50.0% of infants in the present study. A study from Nepal reported as many as 92.3% of their cases to have a low-lying cord.

In the present study, complete excision of lipoma was done among 96.0% of infants. Surgery is considered to be the mainstay of lipomeningomyelocele treatment. The role of surgery before manifestations of neurological symptoms surrounds controversies. Kuklerni and colleagues quoted a 33% chance of deterioration of symptoms among those cases that were managed conservatively in comparison to 46% in surgically treated cases. Researchers have pointed out that surgical outcomes of lipomyelomeningocele closely correlate with the pre-surgery functioning of the cases.

In the present study, we found that no significant difference was noted in terms of neurological functional grades at baseline between both study cases but the outcome at 6-months interval was found to be associated with significantly improved neurological function in infants aged less than 6 months at the time of
surgery (p = 0.030). Pang et al endorsed an aggressive approach to treating lipomyelomeningocele and advised complete resection of lipoma. They noted 88.1% of the cases to have no neurological deterioration at 20-year follow up in comparison to 35% chances of progression at 10–year follow up among cases with partial resection of lipoma.18 Very similar to the present study, a study from Nepal recommended that patients should be counseled and encouraged to go for the early repair of lipomyelomeningocele as cases with established neurological insult are noted to have less improved outcomes in comparison to those treated early and with less severe neurological functional grades.13 A study from China pointed towards the early treatment of lipomyelomeningocele even if patients are asymptomatic as it can prevent progression of neurological abnormalities.19

CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATIONS
Surgical management of lipomyelomeningocele is a safe procedure. An early treatment approach even in asymptomatic infants is recommended to reduce neurological deterioration. Our study had some limitations as well. As this was a single-center study with relatively small sample size, the findings of this study cannot be generalized. We had observed comparatively short-term outcomes of infants undergoing lipomyelomeningocele repair so more studies exploring long-term outcomes and follow-ups should be planned to watch out for the long-term complications and outcomes.
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