Optimizing Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) Growth With Different Combinations of Organo-Mineral Fertilizers
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With the aim of promoting sustainable agriculture that respects the environment and human health, a study was carried out to evaluate the impact of organic and mineral fertilizers on tomato plant cultivation. The study was carried out at the Research Station of Farako-Bâ in Burkina Faso. A complete randomized block of Fisher design with four replications was used to carry out the experiment. The treatments were as follows: T0: control (compost 15 t/ha); T1: compost (15 t/ha) + biosol (160 kg/ha) + urea (35 kg/ha) + NPK (87.5 kg/ha) + KCl (52.5 kg/ha); T2: compost (15 t/ha) + neem seed cake (10 t/ha) + urea (100 kg/ha) + NPK (250 kg/ha) + KCl (150 kg/ha); T3: compost (15 t/ha) + biochar (10 t/ha) + urea (100 kg/ha) + NPK (250 kg/ha) + KCl (150 kg/ha). The data collected were collar diameter, height of plants, number of fruits, fruit diameter, and tomato fruit yield. The results showed that the combination organo-mineral fertilizers had a significant effect on tomato plant productivity. Application of neem seed cake and mineral fertilizers was the most efficient treatment with a yield increase of 53% and 40% in 2019, respectively. In 2020, the yield increase was 32 and 85% for biochar and biosol, respectively. Incorporation of organo-mineral fertilizers has improved soil organic and nutrient status, which ultimately promotes crop growth of tomato plant. Neem cake can be effectively used to increase tomato plant productivity and farmer’s income and also maintain soil fertility.
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INTRODUCTION

Agriculture remains a vital sector for sub-Saharan African countries. Burkina Faso’s economy is based on agriculture, which employs more than 80% of the population and contributes about 31% to the gross domestic product (PNDES, 2016). Among the agricultural sectors, vegetable farming occupies a prominent place (MAHRH, 2011). The sector is an important source of foreign exchange for the national economy (CILSS, 2017). Tomato cultivation takes second place after onion in terms of cultivated area and volume of production. In 2018, tomato production has reached 167,400 tons, about 0.8% of African production, and generated more than 78 billion FCFA in Burkina Faso (MAAHA, 2019). However, the yield of tomatoes declined from 12.5 tons (t)/ha in 2012 to 10.9 t/ha in 2018 (Faostat, 2020). This decline in yield is linked to sub-optimal crop management, which
could include poor fertilization and irrigation, and to abiotic constraints (pedoclimatic variations, land degradation, etc.) (Ferrante and Mariani, 2018; Ullah et al., 2021). The fundamental cause is the degradation of land followed by their loss of fertility (physical, chemical, and biological fertility). In Burkina Faso, land degradation now affects 24% of arable land and threatens to undermine medium- and long-term food security. Tomato is grown by using conventional as well as organic fertilizers. The use of organic manure has been reported to improve biological, chemical, and physical properties of the soil and invariably increase plant growth and yield because of its high organic matter content due to high microbial activity (Mitran et al., 2017). Organic amendments such as such biochar, compost, and neem seed cake are compounds rich in organic matter that can be used for tomato production. Biochar is widely used in soil amendment for improving physicochemical properties and biological activities of agricultural soils. Biochars can be used as soil amendments for improving soil properties and crop yield, and secondly, storing biochars in soils is regarded as a means for permanently sequestering carbon (Glaser et al., 2002; Lehmann et al., 2006). Neem seed cake is rich in plant nutrients (crude protein 13–18%; carbohydrate 24–50%; crude fiber 8–26%; fat 2–13%; ash 5–18%; and acid-insoluble ash 1–17%, with nitrogen, phosphorus, calcium, and magnesium) and is used as manure for soil amendment and for urea coating (Puri, 1999). However, fertilizer sources can have a significant effect on tomato quality (Toor et al., 2006). Extensive use of inorganic fertilizer has a depressing effect on yield, by causing a reduction in number of fruits, and delays and reduces fruit setting, which consequently delays ripening and leads to heavy vegetative growth (Aliyu et al., 2003). Many other authors (Ayeni and Ezeh, 2017; Islam et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2020) mentioned the positive effect of the combination of organo-mineral fertilizers on tomato productivity. Also, the beneficial effect of combined organic manure with bio-fertilizer on availability of nutrients was reported by Fernandes and Bhalerao (2015) and Youssef and Eissa (2017). The objective of this study is to propose alternative solutions for maintaining or improving soil fertility and increasing tomato productivity by combining different sources of organic amendments and inorganic fertilizers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Site
The experiment was conducted in 2019 and 2020 in Farako-Bâ Research Station (4° 20 W Longitude, 11° 06 N Latitude, 405 m above sea level). The climate is south-Sudanese type, with a rainy season ranging between May and October, and a dry season, from November to April. The annual average rainfall in the area is between 900 and 1,100 mm. The temperature varies between 17 and 37°C during the dry season and during the rainy season between 10 and 32°C. During the experiment period from 2019 to 2020, the mean annual rainfall was between 1,248 and 1,282 mm, received on 73 to 63 days, respectively. The rainfall distribution was characterized by frequent dry spells. The experiment was implemented on a tropical ferruginous soil (lixisol).

Soil Sampling
Diagonal soil sampling method was used to collect soil samples in each elementary plot in five points and soil composite sample was made per treatment for soil analysis before and after the experimentation. Soil samples were taken on 0–10 cm, 10–20 cm, and 20–30 cm depth in 2019 to determine soil chemical properties. Cation-exchange capacities (CEC) were determined, as well as total N, total P, total K, available P, available K, soil organic matter (OM), organic carbon (OC), and pH. Soil pH was measured using an electronic pH meter according to the international standard ISO 10390, carbon according to Walkley and Black (1934), total nitrogen and total phosphorus by mineralization according to the Kjeldahl method, and available phosphorus according to Bray 1 method (Bray and Kurtz, 1945), and available potassium was determined with a flame photometer. The Metson method (Metson, 1956) was used to determine the CEC. Calcium (Ca) of organic amendments was analyzed with the flame photometer method, and other parameters were analyzed by using the same method for soil analysis.

Plant Material
Hybrid tomato varieties Lindo F1 and Mongal F1 with a cycle of 65 days were used on January to March in 2019 and on May to September in 2020, respectively. The fruit weight of Lindo F1 and Mongal F1 are 90 g and 100–120 g, respectively. These varieties were chosen according to their adaptability to all the season and market demand.

Organic Amendments
Compost was obtained by the process of composting in pile of sorghum straw and cow dung during 3 months. For the composting, an area of 2 × 1.5 m was delimited to build a pile. The surface was filled with straw (30 cm of height) and cow dung (5 cm of height), and 1 cm of ash was added to complete one layer. Tree layer of the mixture was done to build one pile. Biochar was obtained by the pyrolyzing of cotton biomass, and neem seed cake was obtained after neem oil processing and biosol (bio-organic fertilizer) were used for the experiment. Their characteristics are presented in Table 1. Neem seed cake and biosol are compounds rich in organic matter, total nitrogen, total K, Ca, and acidic pH compared to compost and biochar, which are alkaline (9.09 to 10.16 pH value).

Mineral Fertilizers
Mineral fertilization of tomatoes was ensured by single urea [CO(NH2)2] with 46% nitrogen content, potassium chloride with 60% K2O content, and NPK (14-23-14).

Experimental Design
This study was carried out in a complete randomized Fisher block design, with four treatments and four replications. Plants of 1 month in nursery were transplanted to their respective treatment plots at a spacing of 80 × 40 cm. A surface of 2.4 m2 was assigned to each treatment. The treatments consisted of T0 (Control): compost (15 t/ha); T1: compost (15 t/ha) + biosol (160 kg/ha) + urea (35 kg/ha) + NPK (87.5 kg/ha) + KCl (52.5 kg/ha); T2:
TABLE 1 | Characteristic of organic amendments.

| Organic amendments          | pHw | OM (%) | N (%) | C/N | Total P (mg/kg) | Total K (mg/kg) | Total Ca (mg/kg) |
|----------------------------|-----|--------|-------|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Compost                    | 9.09| 41.06  | 1.20  | 19.90| 1,528.43        | 13,667.24       | 21,631.99       |
| Biochar                    | 10.16| 72.64  | 0.88  | 47.96| 3,441.46        | 17,151.93       | 29,192.73       |
| Neem seed cake             | 5.81| 82.28  | 6.32  | 7.55 | 3,098.17        | 30,521.58       | 36,919.91       |
| Biosol                     | 7.00| 77.23  | 15.30 | 2.93 | 1,118.15        | 40,490.82       | 76,217.47       |

compost (15 t/ha) + neem seed cake (10 t/ha) + urea (100 kg/ha) + NPK (250 kg/ha) + KCl (150 kg/ha); and T3: compost (15 t/ha) + biochar (10 t/ha) + urea (100 kg/ha) + NPK (250 kg/ha) + KCl (150 kg/ha). Fertilizer application was done according to the recommendation. Urea was applied at transplanting (50%) and at the flowering stage (50%), and the other mineral fertilizers were applied at transplanting (15 days after transplanting). Organic manure (compost) was applied at the rate of 5 t/ha during land preparation by broadcasting, and the other organic manures were locally applied 5 days after transplanting near the plant. Tomato protection was ensured by usual insecticides chlorpyriphos-ethyl 480 g/L and active Acetamiprid 16 g/L + indoxacarb 30 g/L. Irrigation was done when needed. The agronomic parameters were determined as follows: Plant height was determined by measuring the tomato stand from the base to the tip, plant diameter was determined by measuring the tomato stem from the base, the number of fruits were counted per treatment, and the fruits were hand-picked weekly and weighed.

Data Analysis
After verifying the normality of the data by Shapiro-Wilk test, the means of different treatments were subjected to Fisher's analysis of variance (ANOVA), with XL STAT 2016. Means were separated using LSD at 5% probability level.

RESULTS

Soil Characteristics With Organo-Mineral Fertilizers
The incorporation of soil organic amendments showed significant improvement on soil parameters (Table 2). In soil depth 0–10 cm, the addition of soil amendments led to enhance soil organic carbon contents and organic matter. The significant improvement in soil carbon was recorded by 64.1, 42.8, and 35.92%, when compost and neem cake, compost and biosol, and compost only were incorporated, respectively, over the initial soil. Similarly, total nitrogen improved with the association of organo-mineral fertilizers and the highest value (0.09%) was obtained with the treatment T1 [compost (15 t/ha) + biosol (160 kg/ha) + urea (35 kg/ha) + NPK (87.5 kg/ha) + KCl (52.5 kg/ha)]. Likewise, the application of both organic and mineral fertilizers significantly increased soil total P, total K, available P, available K, and CEC by 67.55, 45.03, 248.48, 13.63, and 30.61%, with the treatment T2 [compost (15 t/ha) + neem seed cake (10 t/ha) + urea (100 kg/ha) + NPK (250 kg/ha) + KCl (150 kg/ha)] respectively, over initial soil.

Soil pH increases with the use of organic amendments and mineral fertilizers in both depths, generally. The increase was 6.09 and 12.42% in soil depths 0–10 and 10–20 cm with the treatment T0 [compost (t/ha)] respectively, over initial soil.

The use of biochar, compost, and mineral fertilizers (T3) efficiently (p < 0.05) increased soil organic matter, organic carbon, total N, available P, available K, and CEC in soil depth 10–20 cm by 65.38, 66.67, 71.43, 116, 11.64, and 29.89% respectively, over the initial soil.

Plant Growth With Organo-Mineral Fertilizers
Plant diameter was significantly (p < 0.01) higher with T3 [compost (15 t/ha) + biochar (10 t/ha) + urea (100 kg/ha) + NPK (250 kg/ha) + KCl (150 kg/ha)] 30 days after transplanting and lower plant diameter was obtained with T0 (compost only) in 2019 (Figure 1). At the end of the experiment, maximum plant diameter with T3 (1.11 cm) was 7% and 10% higher than T2 and T1, respectively. In 2020, higher plant diameter was observed with treatment T2 [compost (15 t/ha) + neem seed cake (10 t/ha) + urea (100 kg/ha) + NPK (250 kg/ha) + KCl (150 kg/ha)] and lower plant diameter was obtained with T0 (compost) during all the experiment time. Similarly, 27, 26, and 11% plant diameter increases were recorded with T2, T3, and T1 applications compared to T0 (0.80 cm). Significant difference was observed with plant height only in the later stage of growth between all the treatments in 2019 and higher plant height was recorded with T3 (53.33 cm). In 2020, all the treatments were significantly different in all stages. In general, lower plant growth rate was observed with T0 (55.17 cm) and higher growth rate was obtained with T2 (66.92 cm) (Figure 2).

Yield Component and Yield of Tomato Plant With Organo-Mineral Fertilizers
The number of tomato fruits and fruit diameter were significantly (p < 0.001) increased with the different combinations of organo-mineral fertilizers in 2020 (Table 3). The highest number of fruit per plant was obtained with T2 (15.39), and the increase over T3, T1, and T0 was 29, 77, and 171%, respectively. Fruit diameter was higher with T2 (6.16 cm) and lower fruit diameter was obtained with T0 (5.10 cm). Data presented in Table 4 show a significant difference (p < 0.001) between treatment and fruit yield, and the highest yield in 2019 and 2020 was recorded with treatment T2 (19.17 and 49.82 t/ha, respectively). T2, T3, and T1 increased fruit yield of tomato by about 91, 53, and 41% compared to T0 (10.04 t/ha) in 2019. Similarly, 351, 84, and 32% fruit yield increases
TABLE 2 | Soil chemical characteristics at different depths.

| Treatment | pHw | OM (%) | OC (%) | Total N (%) | C/N | Total P (mg/kg) | Av-P (mg/kg) | Total K (mg/kg) | Av-K (mg/kg) | CEC (cmol/kg) |
|-----------|-----|--------|--------|-------------|-----|----------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|
| Depth 0–10 cm |
| IS        | 6.40b | 1.03c  | 0.60b  | 0.053c      | 11.31a | 109.7c         | 5.92e        | 1,375.4e       | 84.4b       | 3.43b        |
| T0        | 6.79a  | 1.40b  | 0.81ab | 0.083b      | 9.79a  | 160.5b         | 15.33c       | 1,613.0d       | 63.1c       | 5.08a        |
| T1        | 6.66a  | 1.47b  | 0.86a  | 0.090a      | 9.50a  | 156.7b         | 11.06d       | 1,805.8c       | 56.8c       | 4.29ab       |
| T2        | 6.48b  | 1.69a  | 0.98a  | 0.090a      | 10.88a | 183.8a         | 20.63a       | 1,994.7a       | 95.9a       | 4.58a        |
| T3        | 6.76a  | 1.43b  | 0.83ab | 0.080b      | 10.36a | 162.4b         | 16.94b       | 1,859.3b       | 88.3b       | 4.63a        |
| Depth 10–20 cm |
| IS        | 6.20b  | 0.78bc | 0.45bc | 0.042c      | 10.96a | 94.1b          | 2.86d        | 1,424c         | 82.5b       | 3.78c        |
| T0        | 6.97a  | 1.06b  | 0.62b  | 0.058b      | 10.58a | 106.4a         | 4.40c        | 1,907a         | 45.4c       | 5.38a        |
| T1        | 6.67a  | 0.97b  | 0.56b  | 0.058b      | 9.89a  | 106.4a         | 4.47c        | 1,705b         | 44.2c       | 5.21a        |
| T2        | 6.88a  | 1.03b  | 0.60b  | 0.060b      | 9.94a  | 94.2b          | 5.64b        | 1,907a         | 75.7b       | 4.69ab       |
| T3        | 6.88a  | 1.29a  | 0.75a  | 0.072a      | 10.37a | 109.3a         | 6.88a        | 1,657b         | 92.1a       | 4.91a        |

IS, initial soil; T0, compost (15 t/ha) + biosol (160 kg/ha) + urea (35 kg/ha) + NPK (87.5 kg/ha) + KCl (52.5 kg/ha); T1, compost (15 t/ha) + Neem seed cake (10 t/ha) + urea (100 kg/ha) + NPK (250 kg/ha) + KCl (150 kg/ha); T2, compost (15 t/ha) + biochar (10 t/ha) + urea (100 kg/ha) + NPK (250 kg/ha) + KCl (150 kg/ha). Means with the same letter in a column are not significantly different according to Fisher test ($p < 0.05$). OM, organic matter; OC, organic carbon. Means with the same letter in a column are not significantly different according to Fisher test ($p < 0.05$).

FIGURE 1 | Weekly tomato plant diameter days after transplanting (DAT).

were observed with T2, T3, and T1 compared to T0 (11.05 t/ha) in 2020.

DISCUSSION

The use organo-mineral fertilizers is required to supplement readily available nutrients and good growing environment (biological, chemical, and physical condition) for plant growth. Incorporation of organic amendments, especially addition of compost and neem cake or biochar, has efficiently increased soil organic status and soil nutrient level. The improvement of soil chemical properties and organic status is probably linked to the quality of the organic amendments that have been used for the study (Table 1). These results indicate that organic amendments are compounds rich in carbon and organic resources that provide an energy source for soil microorganisms that drive the various soil biological processes that enhance nutrient transformation and other quality parameters of soil (Fairhurst, 2012). The long-term use of organic amendments increases soil fertility by improving the structural and hydrological properties of soil and increasing soil organic matter and other macronutrients (Ramzan et al., 2021). According to Mulugeta and Getahun (2020), organic amendments play a positive role in chemical characteristics of the soil including increase in organic carbon (up to 58% with 120 t/ha vs. unfertilized soil) and organic nitrogen up to 90% depending on the type and the level applied. From the results, after 2 years of trials, soil fertility has increased with the combination of inorganic fertilizer and neem cake (30.61% carbon and 64.1% CEC), or biochar (42.8% CEC) and compost over the control. The finding of Ayamba et al. (2021), Bashir et al. (2021), Bergstrand et al. (2020), and Gorovtsov et al.
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FIGURE 2 | Weekly tomato plant height days after transplanting (DAT).

[Graph showing tomato plant height over time for different treatments]

TABLE 3 | Number of fruits and fruit diameter with organic and organo-mineral fertilizer application.

| Treatments     | Number of fruits | Fruit diameter (cm) |
|----------------|------------------|---------------------|
| T0             | 5.66b            | 5.10d               |
| T1             | 8.66b            | 5.36c               |
| T2             | 15.39a           | 6.16a               |
| T3             | 12.43a           | 5.88b               |

T0, l (compost 15 t/ha); T1, compost (15 t/ha) + biosol (160 kg/ha) + urea (35 kg/ha) + NPK (87.5 kg/ha) + KCI (52.5 kg/ha); T2, compost (15 t/ha) + Neem seed cake (10 t/ha) + urea (100 kg/ha) + NPK (250 kg/ha) + KCI (150 kg/ha); T3, compost (15 t/ha) + biochar (10 t/ha) + urea (100 kg/ha) + NPK (250 kg/ha) + KCI (150 kg/ha). Means with the same letter in a column are not significantly different according to Fisher test (p < 0.05).

TABLE 4 | Tomato yield with organic and organo-mineral fertilizer application.

| Treatments     | Tomato yield (t/ha) |
|----------------|---------------------|
|                | 2019    | 2020    |
| T0             | 10.04c  | 11.05d  |
| T1             | 13.63b  | 26.98c  |
| T2             | 19.17a  | 49.82a  |
| T3             | 12.55b  | 37.87b  |

T0, l (compost 15 t/ha); T1, compost (15 t/ha) + biosol (160 kg/ha) + urea (35 kg/ha) + NPK (87.5 kg/ha) + KCI (52.5 kg/ha); T2, compost (15 t/ha) + Neem seed cake (10 t/ha) + urea (100 kg/ha) + NPK (250 kg/ha) + KCI (150 kg/ha); T3, compost (15 t/ha) + biochar (10 t/ha) + urea (100 kg/ha) + NPK (250 kg/ha) + KCI (150 kg/ha). Means with the same letter in a column are not significantly different according to Fisher test (p < 0.05).

This result can be attributed to the fact that biochar can increase soil cation exchange capacity and soil nutrient availability. High nutrient content and nutrient retention capacity lead to improved nutrient supply for plants and reduced nutrient losses by leaching (Glaser et al., 2002). Additionally, applying biochar to acidic soil improve soil pH and nutrient availability such as nitrogen and phosphorus (Van Zwieten et al., 2009; Blanco-Canqui, 2017; Fidel et al., 2018). These nutrients play an important role in plant growth by stimulating photosynthesis and biomass production. According to Hamer et al. (2004), biochar from maize residues in soils can promote mineralization of carbon compounds by enhancing the growth and dynamics (metabolism) of microorganisms. The finding of Nguyen et al. (2017) on short-term effects of organo-mineral biochar showed the positive effect of this combination over inorganic and organic fertilizer application separately. Also, Mustafa et al. (2010) showed that the application of biochar and mineral fertilizers increased plant height compared to the application of biochar or fertilizer alone. In 2020, growth parameters were positively influenced by mineral fertilizers and neem seed cake. Plant diameter and plant height increased about 27 and 21%, respectively, compared to the application of compost only. Similarly, application of neem seed cake with inorganic fertilizer improved the number of fruits by 171% and fruit diameter by 21% compared to application of compost only. The highest fruit yield of tomato in the current work was also recorded from the combined application of neem seed cake + compost with inorganic fertilizers in both years (91 to 35%). These results affirmed the finding of Wu et al. (2020) and Ayeni and Ezeh (2017) that the combination of organic and inorganic fertilizers has a positive effect on tomato productivity. The combination not only improves the organic status of soil but also increases inorganic fertilizer use efficiency. Also, neem seed cake amendment provides nutritional requirements, suppresses plant pest populations, and increases the yield and quality of agricultural crops. Koul and Shankar (1995) demonstrated that the major agricultural pests are sensitive to neem. The neem seed cake is also demonstrated to be rich in plant nutrients and is a tool for integrated pest management.
good manure for soil amendment (Puri, 1999). The results are in conformity with the finding of Oyinlola et al. (2017) who find an improvement of soil properties and tomato yield with the use of neem seed cake. Each organic amendment has a specific importance on the improvement of soil characteristics. However, farmers need fertilizers that have a high impact in the short term. Soil improvement must also be followed in the long term to sustain soil productivity.

**CONCLUSION**

Tomato cultivation required important demand of nutrient supply. Organo-mineral fertilizers are a combined source of nutrient that can be effectively used to increase the long-term productivity of tomato plant. This study clearly showed that the application of organic amendments and mineral fertilizers had more advantages than the application of organic amendment alone. The combined application of neem seed cake and mineral fertilizers was more effective on tomato productivity in this study.
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