Percolation and Universal Scaling in Composite Infiltration Processing
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Composite materials are often made by infiltration, that is, by injecting a liquid matrix between packed solid fibers or particles. We give here direct proof that molten copper, slowly infiltrated into a broad spectrum of preforms, displays in initial phases of the process universal scaling and fractal geometric features that are characteristic signatures of percolation-dominated flow. Implications are that the microstructure of infiltrated composite materials can develop over length scales that far exceed the average preform pore size, and that network models are pertinent in the simulation of composite infiltration processing.

Keywords: Infiltration, Composite Material, Percolation, Universal Scaling, Fractal

Many modern composite materials are made by infiltrating a liquid matrix of polymer, metal or ceramic into a solid porous ‘preform’ of reinforcing fibers, whiskers or particles; electric vehicle chassis structures,[1] windmill blades [2] and electronic substrates [3] are examples. To eliminate porosity in the final material and to speed the process, pressure is often applied on the infiltrating liquid (Figure 1(a) and 1(b)). Applying pressure is particularly important when the matrix is metallic because metals seldom wet nonmetallic solids typically used as reinforcements;[4] metal meniscuses within the preform are then concave toward the metal, and this creates a back pressure $P$ resisting infiltration.[5,6] With metals $P$ is high: reinforcements are typically micrometric and metal surface tensions are on the order of one joule per square meter, such that $P$ easily exceeds ten atmospheres. Capillarity is thus particularly manifest in metal matrix composite infiltration.

The science underlying capillarity in infiltration is very rich: the complex physics of two-phase flow within porous media have generated a considerable body of knowledge, from which our understanding of composite infiltration processing can draw. To describe the range of pressures $P$ required to force a poorly wetting fluid into a porous body, the well-established semi-empirical relation of Brooks and Corey [6–8] proposes that, when capillary forces and $P$ are equilibrated, the following relation holds:

$$S \equiv \frac{V_m}{1 - V_r} = 1 - \left( \frac{P_b}{P} \right)^{\lambda}.$$ (1)

Here, $S$ is the pore saturation in non-wetting fluid (molten metal in the present instance), $V_m$ is the local metal volume fraction per unit volume of material, $V_r$ is the local volume fraction of reinforcement, $P$ is the pressure difference between the two fluids within the preform, while $P_b$ and $\lambda$ are constants. In composite processing, preforms are initially dry and evacuated; hence, $P$ is here simply the metal pressure.

The Brooks and Corey correlation has repeatedly been shown to agree with the experiment, in soil science, in petroleum extraction and also in composite materials processing.[6,8,9] It is currently used, together with an alternative but closely related relation by van Genuchten,[10] in advanced modeling of composite infiltration processing. In such models, it is typically coupled with continuum transport equations written over representative volume elements (RVEs)
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Figure 1. Different views of pressure infiltration. (a) Sketch of metal matrix composite pressure infiltration. (b) In conventional modeling, the process is simulated by integrating local flow laws over a representative volume element (RVE), which is then used as a differential element in a continuum-based simulation of the process across the composite part to be produced. (c) Infiltration as governed by percolation: the metal structure is fractal, invalidating the RVE approach.

There is, however, a radically different view of infiltration, which has so far been essentially ignored in composite materials processing: infiltration is an archetypical percolation phenomenon.[12–14] Recent summaries of the immense literature on the subject that are specifically focused on two-phase fluid flow through porous media are in Refs. [6,15]. To be specific, infiltration is a variant of percolation known as ‘invasion percolation’: to be infiltrated with a metal, a pore must not just be ‘open’ (e.g. conductive in conduction percolation or big enough in the present context); it must also be connected to a stringer of other open pores that extends from the pore in question to the specific location(s) where liquid is fed into the preform.[14,16,17] Invasion percolation in turn comes in several variants. When the infiltrant displaces a liquid, motion of the former around the latter creates isolated blobs of (the displaced, wetting) liquid, which can remain trapped within the porous medium. If, on the other hand, the porous medium is initially evacuated, there is no trapping. Invasion percolation then falls in the same universality class and shows similar spanning cluster fractal characteristics as in ordinary percolation.[6,15,18–20]

This leads to anticipate that universal laws of percolation might govern early phases of the metal pressure infiltration process. Here, we make this connection by giving direct experimental proof that percolation and its manifestations do, indeed, govern the composite pressure infiltration process in its initial phases, as sketched in Figure 1(c). At the same time, we give here one of the clearest extant direct experimental demonstrations of percolation effects in porous media capillarity.

We have designed an apparatus that measures with unique precision capillary forces in metal pressure infiltration under conditions representative of ‘real’ engineering materials processing. It uses induction heating to melt metal over a porous preform, both being held within an impervious and chemically inert ceramic crucible placed inside an evacuated pressure chamber. Once it is molten, the metal seals the preform from gas in the pressure chamber. The liquid metal can then be pushed into the preform by letting pressurized argon into the closed chamber. Our apparatus is special in that it can measure dynamically the volume of metal displaced [9,21]: as such, it can in fact be viewed as a (very) high-temperature analogue to the mercury porosimeter.[6,22] We achieve this using a floater connected to a rod, the upper end of which exhibits a set of marks, which are visible through a window in the pressure chamber. Movement of the marks is then tracked by a camera. We can work at temperatures up to roughly 1,500 K under applied pressures up to 20 MPa (200 bar); this is the range typical of engineering metal composite processing. Noteworthy features of this apparatus are (i) its precision ($\pm 10 \mu m$) in tracking dynamically the position of the metal surface above the preform and hence of the metal volume having flown into the preform and (ii) its ability to hold molten metals such as copper at constant (high) temperature and fixed (high) pressure over extended periods of time (hours). A detailed description of the apparatus and its use is given in the Supplementary Online Material.

Precision and stability combined are critical, because $P$ can only be equated with the applied gas pressure if flow of the metal has essentially stopped. Indeed, percolation as described in what precedes is for the ideal case where there are no pressure gradients within the invading liquid and no extraneous destabilizing effects. If pressure gradients are significant, invasion percolation becomes a variant of what is known as ‘gradient percolation’ [6,16]: pore filling statistics are then no longer spatially uniform across the preform, and this complicates the problem. Pressure gradients in the liquid arise due to viscous friction; this becomes important if the capillary number, $Ca$, equals the fluid velocity times its viscosity divided by its surface tension, exceeds unity.[6,23,24] In our experiments, we hold the pressure
constant and wait for the fluid to stabilize: as a result, pores fill gradually while Cu falls to a value well below $10^{-4}$, reaching at times $10^{-8}$; this causes the course of infiltration to be dominated by capillarity.[6,21,23,25] Gravity also creates pressure gradients within the fluid. These exert an influence if the Bond number, $Bo$, exceeds unity; $Bo$ is by definition the fluid density times the gravitational constant $g$ times the typical pore diameter squared divided by the liquid surface tension.[6]

Here, pores are micrometric and pressures are many atmospheres. Hence, $Bo$ is well below $10^{-2}$ and gravity effects are far weaker than capillary forces, despite the high density of liquid copper.

Another potential source of complexity has to do with the mechanics of fluid transport. Viscous fingering is an instability that appears in infiltration if the ratio of infiltrating to displaced fluid viscosity, $M$, is less than unity [6,24]: here, $M$ is nearly infinite, so there is no viscous fingering. Also, since wetting angles are large and the metal partial pressure can be neglected, infiltration in present experiments is free of complications observed with a wetting or evaporating liquid, such as pre-wetting film formation or liquid flowing along asperities on the solid.[24] And finally, there is no trapping, and samples of this work are sufficiently large in comparison with the average pore size for finite-size scaling or edge effects to be negligible (these are felt when the sample is on the order of 40 particles across or less [5,6,25,26]).

Summing up, while quite challenging experimentally given the high temperature and high pressures involved, pressure infiltration experiments of this work are not only precise explorations of composite processing; they are also particularly clean and rich experimental embodiments of invasion percolation. Earlier experiments of this type, using for example Wood’s metal or mercury, were either affected by gravity, or did not freeze the invading fluid to allow its detailed observation.[13,25,27–31]

We have infiltrated pure molten copper at rates sufficiently slow for pressure gradients to be negligible at 1,423 K into a range of different preforms. These are listed in Table 1 and described in detail in the Supplementary Online Material. Preforms investigated here comprise (i) packed alumina particle preforms, with particles of various origins and hence of diverse shapes and/or sizes (comminuted F320 or F1000, vapor-grown AA18 and atomized spheres; at low oxygen partial pressures the contact angle of Cu on Al$_2$O$_3$ at 1,423 K is in the range 120–130° [4]), together with (ii) preforms of pressed (Saffil$^\text{TM}$) alumina short fibers and (iii) microporous graphite (at low oxygen partial pressures, the contact angle of Cu on carbon at 1,423 K is in the range 140–150° [4]). Figure 2(a) shows the microstructure of fully infiltrated composites together with the associated ‘drainage’ curves (Figure 2(b)) that plot $S$ as a function of $P$. The latter resemble other primary drainage curves found in the literature: invasion of the preform starts at an identifiable threshold pressure $P_\text{c}$, then progresses rapidly as $P$ increases further, to then gradually approach full saturation ($S = 1$) in an essentially asymptotic fashion. Typical cross sections through infiltrated samples at low metal saturation are shown in Figure 3(a). Note how, at pressures situated just above the threshold, holes within the metal are much larger than individual preform pores, particles or fibers. This is a sign that pore connectivity plays a role, suggesting in turn that percolation effects might intervene in early phases of pressure infiltration. To show this, we confront the drainage curves, and samples frozen during early phases of infiltration, with predictions of percolation theory.

As mentioned above, invasion percolation without trapping falls in the same universality class and displays similar infinite cluster characteristics as ordinary percolation.[6,15,18–20,32] The fraction $F$ of bonds or sites that belong to the infinite percolation cluster then scales with the probability, $p$, that a pore be open to invasion by the metal, according to the scaling law

$$F \propto (p - p_c)\beta,$$

where $p_c$ is the percolation threshold probability. On a regular bond percolation network $p_c$ roughly equals 1.5 divided by the bond network coordination number.
Figure 2. Fully infiltrated samples. (a) Microstructure in each composite investigated here after full infiltration ($S = 1$). (b) Measured drainage curves for each system.

$Z_{[6,12,14,33,34]}$ The exponent $\beta$ is universal and is the same for both bond and site percolation; in three dimensional (3-D) $\beta = 0.41_{[6,14]}$ Drainage, defined as the invasion of a porous medium by a non-wetting fluid, is in essence a bond percolation problem.$^{[12,19,35]}$ Indeed, what limits ingress of a non-wetting fluid through the network of open pores is the ability of the liquid to cross, one by one, the narrowest constrictions or ‘throats’ that join neighboring pores: in the parlance of percolation theory these throats are bonds, while the larger pores that they link are sites. Throat sizes and geometries are statistically distributed across the preform. If we assume that the statistical distribution of pressures, $P$, needed to push the metal through each throat in the preform is regular, then the fraction of throats traversed, and hence the fraction of pores invaded, will increase just past the threshold pressure $P_c$ according to Equation (2) with the applied pressure $P$ replacing the probability $p$. If we also assume that the average pore volume that is filled with metal each time a throat is traversed does not vary much as $P$ increases slightly past $P_c$ (which can reasonably be expected if the pore size distribution is also regular), then, for $P$ just above $P_c$ the saturation $S$ will be roughly proportional to the fraction of invaded pores, $F$. Summing up, one is entitled to expect the (universal) scaling law

$$S = C(P - P_c)^\beta,$$

(3)

where $\beta = 0.41$ and $C$ is a system-dependent constant, to describe the drainage curves in the early phases of the pressure infiltration process, that is, when $P$ slightly exceeds $P_c$. Note that the simple view of drainage that we offer here is not universally adopted (most authors give a finite volume to the throats for example); however, it comes close to that given by Yanuka et al.$^{[36,37]}$ and Equation (3) can also be found in$^{[29]}$.

Figure 3(b) replots drainage curves of Figure 2(b) in coordinates that will show, with a straight line, whether drainage curve data collected for the range of systems in Table 1 agree with Equation (3) for $P \geq P_c$. As seen, within uncertainty, our data indeed do follow straight lines near $P_c$, proving that Equation (3) is obeyed regardless of the preform. The range of saturations over which the universal scaling law in Equation (3) holds varies...
Figure 3. Partially infiltrated samples. (a) Low-magnification (left) and high-magnification (right) view of the microstructure of each composite system, taken at low saturation (\(S < 0.5\)). (b) Measured drainage curves for each system, plotted to test Equation (1). Average sample saturation for both lower and higher magnification micrographs is 0.1 for Saffil\textsuperscript{TM} short fibers, 0.2 for all other systems.

with the preform; in some systems it stretches all the way to \(S = 0.75\), Figure 3(b).

Percolation theory also predicts that, just past the percolation threshold, the cluster of invaded pores is a fractal of dimension \(D = 2.53\).\[14,16,34\] We have applied standard fractal dimension measurement methods \[28–31\] on two-dimensional (2-D) metallographic cuts through frozen composites at low saturation to measure the 2-D fractal dimension, \(D_{2D}\), of the solidified metal 'tree' formed after partial infiltration when \(P\) is just past \(P_c\); Figure 4(a)–(c) illustrates the procedure with an example (a detailed description of the measurements is in the Supplementary Online Material). Results for \(D_{2D}\), and for corresponding 3-D metal cluster fractal dimensions \(D \approx 1 + D_{2D}\), are collected in Figure 4(d). As seen, the metal structure is indeed fractal, since measured \(D_{2D}\) values are well below 2. The metal cluster fractal dimension increases slightly with the fraction metal and is, for low \(S\) values, near that predicted by percolation theory (Figure 4(b) and 4(c)). Microstructures of partially infiltrated composites confirm that first phases of metal pressure infiltration are governed by percolation.

At higher pressures data deviate from universal scaling: there is a crossover to another regime. Replotting the data in Figure 2(b) so as to test the Brooks and Corey relation, Figure 5(a), shows that at higher saturation Equation (1) is well obeyed, while at lower saturation Equation (3) is obeyed, Figures 3(b) and 5(b) (where universal scaling is shown with a more usual log–log plot). What this means from a physical standpoint is that there is a crossover from a dominance of throat size distribution statistics in the early phases of infiltration, to a dominance of the average pore size and
shape in later phases of the metal pressure infiltration process. Percolation theory also predicts this crossover. Indeed, network models of bond-governed percolation evidence a stage, well past the percolation threshold but also well before full saturation, at which the percolation cluster fattens to the point where it contacts essentially all remaining uninfiltred pores; with $Z = 4$, this happens near $p = 0.63$.\cite{5,12,38,39} That is, well above $p_c = 0.388$.\cite{6,14,34} Past that point infiltration is entirely dictated by the local geometry of each throat and pore in the preform, with essentially no role played by pore connectivity since all empty pores are now accessible to metal. In the literature, this crossover appears in a few simulations of drainage, where effects of connectivity (and hence percolation) are combined with a multiscale, fractal-like, description of the internal porous medium architecture (Figure 4 of \cite{40}, Figure 5(c) and 5(d) of \cite{41}). In \cite{15,42} a similar crossover is invoked in connection with predictions of conductivity or global fluid permeability in unsaturated porous media.

Though its existence thus emerges from theory, predicting the crossover location remains a challenge as there are, to our knowledge, no established schemes to predict constant $C$ in Equation (3) for 'real' engineering preforms such as those used here.\cite{15,42} To this question, the present data contribute the observation that the crossover is surprisingly gradual in preforms of the type explored here. Indeed both laws, the universal scaling law expected from percolation
theory (Equation (3)) and the Brooks–Corey relation (Equation (1)), are simultaneously obeyed by the data over a wide range, which extends (with variations from preform to preform) roughly from \( S = 0.2 \) to \( S = 0.7 \), see Figures 3(b) and 5.

Data of this investigation are also interesting as concerns the second, high \( S \), range of infiltration pressures. It is now well established that Equation (1) emerges if one assumes that pore sizes are distributed according to a scaling law, or in other words if one assumes that solid elements in the porous medium, or their surface topography, have a fractal geometry; \( \lambda \) then equals three minus the relevant fractal dimension \( D \).[6,15,43–47] For this reason, power-law saturation expressions such as the Brooks–Corey relation are often called ‘fractal’ saturation laws; however, the link is neither simple nor univalent.[44] Here, such ‘fractal’ saturation scaling is observed with short fibers that were spun to a nominally single diameter. In addition, some of the observed \( \lambda \) values also fall sufficiently far above 3, Table 1, that \( \lambda \) cannot equal 3–\( D \). Both observations are at variance with fractal pore models, suggesting in turn that power-law saturation scaling relations such as Equation (1) can have their origin elsewhere.

In summary, we have evidenced that slowly driven copper pressure infiltration is governed by percolation in its initial phases: metal ingress obeys universal scaling laws and the metal first forms a percolation cluster that has the expected fractal characteristics. As such, the present data provide one of the cleanest extant experimental illustrations of the predictions of percolation theory in the context of flow through porous media. The saturation law then crosses over to the Brooks–Corey saturation relation, with both laws valid over roughly half the range of saturations. Present findings have implications concerning fluid flow in materials processing: in initial phases of the infiltration process, universal scaling and fractal geometry govern capillarity, and likely also basic flow parameters such as the relative permeability. Statistics of pore-to-pore connections are then important, such that averaging over a RVE encompassing only a few pores (Figure 1(b)) will go amiss of important features of the infiltration process (Figure 1(c)). The role played by percolation in early phases of infiltration also has implications beyond fluid flow: it will influence heat transfer, and if there are chemical reactions between the metal and the reinforcement, then pore connectivity statistics will influence their rate and the geometrical distribution of reaction products or solute within the final composite (one of the few papers in the literature addressing percolation effects in composite infiltration processing examines this last effect [39]). And finally, implications of the present findings go beyond the processing of composites: an example is with metal ingress into refractories during primary metal production (e.g. in a blast furnace); here too percolation effects are likely to have considerable importance.
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