Meta-Analysis on Comparison of Therapeutic Effects of Different Treatment Methods on Multiple Rib Fractures
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Abstract

Objective
The treatment of multiple rib fractures is worthy of consideration. A Meta-analysis on the surgical and conservative treatment of multiple rib fractures was carried out in this paper to explore the curative effect of these methods and provide some medical evidence.

Methods
Articles about randomized controlled trials (RCT) on the surgical and conservative treatment of multiple rib fractures were retrieved from the PubMed, Embase and Cochrane library databases, and were screened then to extract the data. The results were displayed by forest plots.

Results
This study included 5 articles and 337 cases which were divided into the surgical group (161 cases) and conservative group (176 cases). The Meta-analysis showed that differences between the two groups in respirator support time, intensive care unit (ICU) stay time, length of stay, and the incidence of pulmonary infection, chest wall deformity, chest tightness and breathlessness on exertion were statistically significant, and the differences between the two groups in the rate of tracheotomy and in-hospital mortality were not statistically significant.

Conclusion
The surgical treatment of multiple rib fractures can shorten the respirator support time, ICU stay time and length of stay, and reduce the incidence of pulmonary infection and chest disability, but this should be verified further by more randomized controlled trials.
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Background

Multiple rib fractures are commonly seen in chest trauma, and one in every five patients with blunt chest trauma suffers from multiple rib fractures [1]. A special phenomenon called flail chest sometimes occurs when multiple rib fractures happen. Flail chest refers to the fracture of 3 or more than 3 ribs in adjacent parts at one side or two sides of the chest, leading to destruction of the thorax stability, softening of chest wall, and thus the paradoxical respiratory movement [2–3]. It often causes the lowering of respiratory efficiency of patients, then expiratory dyspnea, hypoxemia, and even respiratory distress syndrome, threatening the life of patients. Therefore, the treatment of multiple rib fractures is worth much attention.

How to treat rib fracture? It is a question worthy of consideration by thoracic and trauma surgeons. The thorax has relatively good stability, and it is usually considered that the rib fractures without flail chest are relatively stable and have little influence on the chest even if many ribs are broken. Some patients with severe flail chest are still treated with analgesia, chest bandage for fixation, backplate for fixation, rest in bed, bronchoscopy and aspiration of sputum, mechanical or artificial ventilation [4–5]. The treatment of rib fractures has changed with the rapid development of surgery, and especially, the studies in recent years have made positive and objective comments on the treatment effect of surgery [6–11], but applying surgical intervention in the treatment of rib fractures is still very controversial and challenging. Therefore, it is essential to establish proofs for this question by using evidence-based medicine method. There are studies that have systematically assessed and conducted Meta-analysis of the surgical and non-surgical treatment of rib fractures at present [12–14], but most of them are retrospective, and randomized controlled trials are absent. Although there are studies about randomized controlled trials [15], it is the goal of evidence-based medicine to update the results of
these studies, include more randomized controlled trials, and arrive at more convincing results. Therefore, the significance of this paper is to include more high-quality studies that have been published continuously and provide more medical evidence for this question.

Materials And Methods

Literature Retrieval

Articles published from the establishment date of the PubMed, Embase and Cochrane library databases to December 2019 about the surgery and traditional treatment of multiple rib fractures were retrieved from these databases. The keywords included “surgery”, “operative”, “internal fixation”, “conservative treatment”, “non-surgical treatment”, “rib fracture”, “flail chest”, and “randomized controlled trial”, which were connected with “AND” or “OR”.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria: a. Object of this study were patients diagnosed as having multiple rib fractures after a variety of inspection; b. This study was a randomized controlled trial (RCT); c. The interventions for the surgical treatment group included thoracoscopy or thoracotomy, and those for the conservative treatment group included methods like chest bandage for fixation, rest in bed, sedation, and respiratory support. Exclusion criteria: a. Non-RCT studies; b. Personal operative experience, animal model tests, conference papers, summaries, and case reports; c. Studies without the control group (i.e. lacking the conservative treatment group or the surgical treatment group).

Data extraction and statistical methods

The basic feature collection table for studies included was made and filled out, and the data were extracted by two researchers separately. Then, they did a cross-check, and the
inconsistencies or disagreements would be solved by them through discussion or by all researchers through consultation. The data extracted included the basic features of the studies (including the name of the first author, the year of publication, the number of cases, the number of cases with flail chest, the number of broken ribs and the follow-up time). Stata version 15.1 software (StatCorp, College Station, TX, USA) was used for the Meta-analysis. The test for heterogeneity of the studies included was carried out at first. If no significant heterogeneity among these studies was observed (P > 0.1, I^2 < 50%), the fixed effect model was used to analyze the results; if the heterogeneity among these studies was observed (P < 0.1, I^2 > 50%), the random effect model was adopted to analyze the results. Standardized mean difference (SMD) was taken as the statistic for the analysis of continuous variables. The relative risk (RR) was used as the statistic for the analysis of binary variables. 95% confidence interval (CI) was used as the interval estimation of each statistic. 0.05 (α = 0.05) was taken as the significant level. That is to say, the difference between the two groups was statistically significant when P < 0.05. The analytical results were shown by the forest plots.

Results

704 papers were retrieved in total, in which 83 papers were selected after excluding 621 papers that were identical and not in line with this study through reading the title and the abstract. After reading the whole article, 78 papers that did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded and 5 papers were included finally [16–20]. The retrieval process is shown in Fig. 1. The basic features of the articles included are shown in Table 1.
Table 1
Basic characteristics of included studies

| Name of Study | Year | Case | Flail chest | Follow-up |
|---------------|------|------|-------------|-----------|
| Tanaka [16]   | 2002 | 18   | 18          | 12 months |
| Granetzny [17]| 2005 | 20   | 20          | 2 months  |
| Marasco [18]  | 2013 | 23   | 23          | 3–6 months|
| Wu [19]       | 2015 | 75   | 31          | 2 months  |
| Liu [20]      | 2019 | 25   | 25          | Unclear   |

| Study | Year | Case | Flail chest | Number of fractures | Follow-up |
|-------|------|------|-------------|---------------------|-----------|
| O     | C    | O    | C           |                     |           |
| 8.2 ± 3.3 | 8.2 ± 2.6 | 2 months |
| ≥ 3  | ≥ 3  | ≥ 3  | ≥ 3         | 2 months            |
| 11.0 ± 3.1 | 11.3 ± 4.7 | 3–6 months |
| 8.1 (6–12) | 7.9 (6–11) | 2 months |
| Unclear | Unclear |           |

Notes: O: Operative group C: Conservative group
Data are reported as mean ± SD or median (IQR) SD: standard deviation IQR: interquartile range

5 articles [16–20] were included to analyze the respiratory support time (day). The heterogeneity among these 5 studies was observed ($I^2 = 94.6\%$, $P = 0.000 < 0.1$), so the random effect model was adopted for Meta-analysis. The results indicated that the surgical group had shorter respiratory support time than the conservative group (SMD=-2.02; 95%CI: -3.22 to -0.82; $P = 0.001 < 0.05$), as shown in Fig. 2.

5 articles [16–20] were included to analyze the intensive care unit (ICU) stay time (day). The heterogeneity among these 5 studies was observed ($I^2 = 86.6\%$, $P = 0.000 < 0.1$), so the random effect model was adopted for Meta-analysis. The results showed that the surgical group had shorter ICU stay time than the conservative group (SMD=-1.35; 95%CI: -2.07 to -0.64; $P = 0.000 < 0.05$), as shown in Fig. 3.

3 articles [17–19] were included to analyze the length of stay (day). The heterogeneity among these 3 studies was observed ($I^2 = 96.3\%$, $P = 0.000 < 0.1$), so the random effect model was adopted for Meta-analysis. The results suggested that the surgical group had shorter length of stay than the conservative group (SMD=-2.77; 95%CI: -4.76 to -0.77; $P = 0.006 < 0.05$), as shown in Fig. 4.

5 articles [16–20] were included to analyze the incidence of pulmonary infection. No significant heterogeneity among these 5 studies was observed ($I^2 = 8.9\%$, $P = 0.356$), so
the fixed effect model was used for Meta-analysis. The results showed that the surgical group had lower incidence of pulmonary infection than the conservative group (RR = 0.48; 95%CI: 0.35 to 0.67; P = 0.000 < 0.05), as shown in Fig. 5.

4 articles [16, 18-20] were included to analyze the rate of tracheotomy. No significant heterogeneity among these 4 studies was observed ($I^2 = 46.7\%, P = 0.131$), so the fixed effect model was used for Meta-analysis. The results indicated that the two groups had almost the same rate of tracheotomy (RR = 0.69; 95%CI: 0.45 to 1.05; P = 0.086), as shown in Fig. 6.

4 articles [17-20] were included to analyze the in-hospital mortality. No significant heterogeneity among these 4 studies was observed ($I^2 = 0.0\%, P = 0.491$), so the fixed effect model was used for Meta-analysis. The results suggested that the two groups had almost the same in-hospital mortality (RR = 0.75; 95%CI: 0.30 to 1.85; P = 0.529), as shown in Fig. 7.

3 articles [17, 19-20] were included to analyze the incidence of chest wall deformity. No significant heterogeneity among these 3 studies was observed ($I^2 = 31.6\%, P = 0.232$), so the fixed effect model was used for Meta-analysis. The results showed that the surgical group had lower incidence of chest wall deformity than the conservative group (RR = 0.07; 95%CI: 0.03 to 0.17; P = 0.000 < 0.05), as shown in Fig. 8.

2 articles [16, 19] were included to analyze the incidence of chest tightness. No significant heterogeneity between these 2 studies was observed ($I^2 = 28.8\%, P = 0.236$), so the fixed effect model was used for Meta-analysis. The results showed that the surgical group had lower incidence of chest tightness than the conservative group (RR = 0.27; 95%CI: 0.17 to 0.44; P = 0.000 < 0.05), as shown in Fig. 9.

2 articles [16, 19] were included to analyze the incidence of breathlessness on exertion.
No significant heterogeneity between these 2 studies was observed \( (I^2 = 0.0\%, P = 0.337) \), so the fixed effect model was used for Meta-analysis. The results showed that the surgical group had lower incidence of breathlessness on exertion than the conservative group \( (RR = 0.32; 95\%CI: 0.16 \text{ to } 0.61; P = 0.001) \), as shown in Fig. 10.

**Discussion**

Patients with multiple rib fractures often feel strong chest pain in the early stage of injury, so they dare not breathe deeply and cough hard, and the change of their body position will be limited greatly. As time went on, a series of complications like hemothorax, pneumothorax, hemopneumothorax, traumatic coagulopathy, pulmonary infection and respiratory failure occur because of the lack of efficient treatment, thereby threatening their lives and severely affecting the outcome [21–22]. Such patients could only rest in bed, and the hypoxemia caused by acute respiratory failure could only be treated with analgesic drugs, external fixation of chest and respirator in the past [23]. These methods are efficient to a certain extent, but staying in bed for a long time limits the movement of chest and is not conducive to coughing up of sputum, thus leading to complications such as atelectasis and pulmonary infection. Besides, the long intubation time may result in ventilator-associated pneumonia [24–25]. Meanwhile, the conservative treatment often adopts analgesic drugs with a stronger and lasting effect to make patients cough up of sputum. These drugs can reduce the chance of atelectasis and pulmonary infection, but they also make the displacement of the broken end of rib worse, and increase the risk of damaging intercostal vessels and the occurrence of delayed hemothorax caused by fall-off of blood scabs of damaged vessels. As long-term chest pain, chronic pulmonary infection and chest wall deformity are exerting a growing impact on the respiratory function of patients and have reduced the quality of life, the curative effect of conservative treatment
is not satisfactory.

The Meta-analysis of this paper indicated that no statistical difference in the rate of tracheotomy and the length of stay between the surgical and conservative groups in treating multiple rib fractures was observed. This might correlate with disease severity, indirectly indicating that the surgery might not increase the occurrence of other complications other than the disease itself. The analytical results also showed that statistical differences in the respirator support time, ICU stay time, length of stay, and the occurrence rates of pulmonary infection, chest wall deformity, chest tightness and breathlessness on exertion were observed, indicating that the surgery was good to the patients with multiple rib fractures.

With so many advantages, the surgical treatment of multiple rib fractures also has negative effects. Firstly, the surgery should be undertaken after general anesthesia, which, however, requires good cardiopulmonary function. The surgery itself causes trauma to the body, and increases the risk in the patients with severe chest injury and with cardiopulmonary injury, but patients with severe chest injury tend to be treated with surgery. Secondly, as internal fixation implants of fractures increase the risk of tissue infection [26], the requirements for the implants are extremely strict. Granetzny [17] have reported 2 cases of wound infection and 2 cases of mediastinal infection after surgery.

Thirdly, for some patients who may need secondary surgery, the implant had to be taken out, which increases the pain and the risk. Fourthly, the internal fixation may press the intercostal nerves of some patients, who will feel pain and numbness in the corresponding parts of the chest wall, probably reducing their quality of life.

In addition, indications and the timing of surgery for multiple rib fractures also need to be researched. There is no consensus on surgical indications, which depend on the general situation of patients, mainly including unbearable pain at fractured bones, respiratory
failure caused by flail chest, the occurrence of chest wall deformity and lung function impairment [27]. In fact, some patients who have multiple and severely dislocated rib fractures do not necessarily have flail chest. They may not feel unbearable pain and have respiratory failure when they breathe slowly and stay in bed, but they also need surgery considering the high incidence of atelectasis, pulmonary infection and chest wall deformity in them. In addition, hemodynamic instability like the progressive hemothorax and delayed hemothorax, is also one of the indications of surgical treatment. Therefore, the change degree of chest volume within 24–48 hours, the dislocation degree of fracture end and the influence on the respiratory function should be considered when we assess whether a patient with multiple rib fractures should be treated surgically or non-surgically [5]. The timing of surgery is not unified at present. Some studies claim that the surgery should be performed within 24 hours or 8 days[28]. Though there is no clear consensus on the timing of surgery, it is commonly believed that the sooner to perform a surgery, the better for the patients. In theory, early surgical intervention can avoid prolonging the use of respirator and decrease the related complications for patients with multiple rib fractures and flail chest, while putting off surgery may cause these benefits to disappear and even greatly increase the incidence of complications [29], as proved by the Meta-analysis results of this paper. When to perform a surgery on patients with chest trauma, combined injuries and multiple injuries is also worth thoroughly pondering. No consensus on the indications and the timing of the surgery for multiple rib fractures makes the surgical treatment of rib fractures always a controversial topic, and only through the comprehensive assessment and consideration can the patients benefit more from the surgery. Briefly, as the fast tract surgery theory and damage control surgery are developing rapidly and widely applied in the field of chest surgery [30–31], the research on rib fractures has gradually deepened, the standardized treatment plan is made and the
research on internal fixation materials tends to mature, it is believed that the surgical treatment of multiple rib fractures can gradually be accepted by doctors and patients and get the treatment value it deserves.

This study has some limitations. Firstly, a small number of samples were included and there are some confounding factors in two groups of data, such as whether the flail chest influences the assessment of the curative effect. Secondly, limited by ethics, it is hard to design high-quality randomized controlled trials, especially the double-blind trials. Thirdly, the lack of long-term continuous follow-up data in this research field will also affect the assessment of the clinical effect of the surgical group.

Conclusion

The Meta-analysis of this study shows that the surgical group is superior to the conservative group in respirator support time, ICU stay time, length of stay, and the incidence of pulmonary infection, chest wall deformity, chest tightness and breathlessness on exertion, indicating that the surgical treatment of multiple rib fractures can shorten the respirator support time, ICU stay time and length of stay, and lower the incidence of pulmonary infection and chest disability. However, this conclusion needs to be further verified by more randomized controlled trials.
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