High-throughput mutagenesis reveals unique structural features of human ADAR1

SeHee Park¹, Erin E. Doherty¹, Yixuan Xie¹, Anil K. Padyana², Fang Fang³, Yue Zhang¹, Agya Karki¹, Carlito B. Lebrilla¹,4, Justin B. Siegel¹,4,5 & Peter A. Beal¹

Adenosine Deaminases that act on RNA (ADARs) are enzymes that catalyze adenosine to inosine conversion in dsRNA, a common form of RNA editing. Mutations in the human ADAR1 gene are known to cause disease and recent studies have identified ADAR1 as a potential therapeutic target for a subset of cancers. However, efforts to define the mechanistic effects for disease associated ADAR1 mutations and the rational design of ADAR1 inhibitors are limited by a lack of structural information. Here, we describe the combination of high throughput mutagenesis screening studies, biochemical characterization and Rosetta-based structure modeling to identify unique features of ADAR1. Importantly, these studies reveal a previously unknown zinc-binding site on the surface of the ADAR1 deaminase domain which is important for ADAR1 editing activity. Furthermore, we present structural models that explain known properties of this enzyme and make predictions about the role of specific residues in a surface loop unique to ADAR1.
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ADARs (adenosine deaminases that act on RNA) catalyze hydrolytic deamination of adenosine (A) in RNA generating inosine (I)\(^{-3}\). Because this reaction changes the Watson–Crick hydrogen bonding specificity of the base, the consequences of A-to-I modifications on RNA function are widespread and include changing the meaning of specific codons (recoding), redirecting splicing, altering miRNA recognition sites, and modulation of duplex RNA stability\(^{4,5}\). The different enzymes known to carry out the A-to-I conversion in humans are ADAR1 (p150 and p110) and ADAR2\(^2\). ADAR activity is required for nervous system function and altered editing has been linked to neurological disorders\(^{6,8-10}\). Furthermore, ADAR1 plays an important role in innate immunity\(^{11,12}\). Mutations in the ADAR1 gene are known to cause the autoimmune disease Acardi-Goutieres Syndrome (AGS) and the skin disorder dyschromatosis symmetrica hereditaria (DSH)\(^{14,16}\). In addition, ADAR1 mediated A-to-I editing of dsRNAs prevents over-activation of dsRNA sensing pathways, thus avoiding an auto-inflammatory response\(^{11,12}\). In fact, a recent study has shown that ADAR1 knockdown sensitizes certain types of tumor to imatinib therapy by activating dsRNA sensing pathways in tumor cells, which in turn leads to an innate immune response\(^{17}\). Thus, investigating how ADAR1 activity is regulated and how ADAR1 differentiates self RNAs from non-self RNAs is crucial to understand the immune response pathways regulated by ADAR1. In addition, recent studies show the loss of ADAR1 function is lethal in a specific subset of cancers that display an interferon-stimulated gene signature identifying ADAR1 as a potential cancer therapeutic target\(^{13,18-20}\).

The ADAR proteins have a modular structure with double-stranded RNA-binding domains (dsRBDs) and a C-terminal deaminase domain\(^5\). ADARs require duplex secondary structure in their substrate RNAs and use a base flipping mechanism to place the reactive adenosine into a zinc-containing active site\(^{21,22}\). Indeed, surface loops present on the ADAR deaminase domain have been identified that bind RNA on the 5′ side of an editing site (i.e. 5′ binding loop), bind RNA on the 3′ side of the editing site (i.e., 3′ binding loop), and is directly involved in base flipping (i.e., flipping loop)\(^{21}\). ADARs selectively edit certain adenosines over others in an RNA molecule and ADAR1 and ADAR2 have overlapping yet distinct selectivity\(^{22,24}\). However, our understanding of the basis for ADAR-specific selectivity is limited. Domain swapping experiments demonstrated that selectivity differences between the ADARs primarily originate from differences in their deaminase domains\(^{25}\) and our earlier work indicated that differences in sequence in the 5′ binding loops of the deaminase domains were important determinants for ADAR-specific selectivity\(^{26}\). The structure and RNA recognition properties of the deaminase domain of human ADAR2 (hADAR2d) have been extensively studied\(^{21,27,28}\). Less is known about the ADAR1 deaminase domain (hADAR1d)\(^{23,26,29}\). This is, in part, due to the lack of structural information for the ADAR1 deaminase domain alone or in complex with RNA. Thus, studies that advance our understanding of structural features of the ADAR1 deaminase domain, particularly those that are unique to ADAR1, are important. Here we describe the use of high-throughput mutagenesis-functional screening along with biochemical studies of purified mutant proteins and Rosetta-based molecular modeling to define structural features unique to ADAR1. This includes the discovery and characterization of an unknown zinc-binding site present on the surface of the ADAR1 deaminase domain but absent in this domain from ADAR2. We identify amino acids that make up the ligand environment for this second zinc site and show these residues are important for efficient deamination by the ADAR1 deaminase domain in vitro and by full-length ADAR1 p110 in cultured human cells. Furthermore, structural models constructed using Rosetta and constrained using results from our high-throughput mutagenesis and functional screening protocol explain previously observed properties of the ADAR1 deaminase domain and suggest roles for specific residues present in the ADAR1 5′ binding loop, including one mutated in AGS.

**Results**

**Discovery of a second metal-binding site in hADAR1d.** Although the deaminase domains of human ADAR1 and ADAR2 (hADAR1d and hADAR2d, respectively) have 59% sequence similarity, these two proteins show different A-to-I editing efficiencies on different substrate RNAs\(^{23,30}\). There have been various studies directed at understanding the differences between these two proteins\(^{22,24,26}\). In addition, we have observed a substantial concentration-dependent aggregation of hADAR1d during purification that is not observed for hADAR2d under the same conditions. We reasoned that cysteine residues present in hADAR1d may be responsible for this phenomenon since there are a total of 11 cysteines in this domain (including the two putative catalytic zinc-binding cysteines at the active site), whereas there are a total of only six cysteines within hADAR2d. Cysteines are often important for catalytic activity or protein folding\(^{31}\). However, surface cysteines can also be involved in protein–protein aggregation and, in such cases, removing surface cysteines by mutagenesis can be helpful for experiments that require high protein concentrations\(^{32,34}\). Therefore, we chose to investigate the importance of cysteine residues present in hADAR1d. For this purpose, we used the Sat-FACS-Seq screening method that was developed by our lab\(^{27}\). This method utilizes Saturation mutagenesis (Sat) to incorporate codons for the 20 different amino acids at specific positions of interest, fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) to sort yeast cells based on various levels of fluorescence from a fluorescent activity reporter, and next-generation Sequencing (Seq) to identify ADAR library mutants with different levels of editing activity. We generated a total of nine cysteine libraries for hADAR1d by saturation mutagenesis: C851, C893, C909, C976, C1081, C1082, C1129, C1169, and C1224, excluding the two putative catalytic metal-binding residues (C966 and C1036). Yeast cells were transformed with the hADAR1d cysteine codon plasmid libraries and fluorescent activity reporter plasmid. The fluorescent activity reporter contains a stop codon present in an ADAR1 substrate sequence upstream of yeast enhanced GFP coding sequence (Fig. 1a). Only when edited by hADAR1d mutants, can GFP be expressed, resulting in fluorescent yeast cells. Different fluorescence intensities observed correlate with A-to-I editing activity of the hADAR1d mutant present\(^{27}\). After inducing the yeast cells to overexpress hADAR1d mutants and reporters, we sorted cells into five different gates (R1–R5) based on different fluorescence levels. R1 contained cells with a background fluorescence level (expressing an inactive mutant of hADAR1d E912A) and R2–R5 gates contained cells having a fluorescence level above background (Fig. 1b). Cells in each gate were further cultured, followed by plasmid isolation to generate plasmid libraries from R1 to R5 gates.

Barcode PCR and sequencing with Illumina MiSeq were used to analyze the hADAR1d-encoding plasmids present in each gate. The resulting data were used to determine the enrichment levels of codons for different amino acids from R1 through R5 compared to the input library. This was accomplished by calculating an overall average fluorescence value (\(F_{\text{ave}}\)) for each amino acid at a specific position using the median fluorescence value of populations falling into each gate (R1 through R5) (See Supplementary Data 1). Then, each \(F_{\text{ave}}\) was normalized to the wild type amino acid at that
position to analyze the impact of all 20 amino acids at each position varied within hADAR1d (Fig. 2).

To determine which amino acids are preferred for high activity at each cysteine position, amino acids found in the R5 gate that have R5/input value over 1 were plotted as a logo plot (Fig. 3a). Most of the cysteine positions can tolerate mutations to many other amino acids other than the wild type residue, except for two positions, C1081 and C1082. The C1081 position showed a strong preference for the wild type cysteine residue. The C1082 position also has a high preference for cysteine, although it can be replaced with other amino acids and retain moderate activity. Thus, this Sat-FACS-Seq data indicated that C1081 and C1082 play an important role in the function of hADAR1d. Interestingly, we noted that the amino acids that are well tolerated at C1082X are histidine, glutamic acid, and aspartic acid (Fig. 3b). These amino acids are commonly found in metal-binding sites in proteins along with cysteine. Because there are two adjacent cysteine residues at positions 1081 and 1082 and both positions showed a high preference for amino acids that are often associated with a metal binding, we speculated that an additional metal-binding site other than the catalytic zinc-binding site could exist in hADAR1d.

To test this hypothesis further, we generated a homology model of hADAR1d using the SWISS-MODEL web server based on the crystal structure of hADAR2d bound to dsRNA. The resulting homology model suggested that H1103 is in close proximity to C1081 and C1082, consistent with a metal-binding site involving ligation by the side chains of these three amino acids (Fig. 4a). Indeed, C1081, C1082, and H1103 are conserved among ADAR1 proteins from different organisms suggesting functional significance for all three (Fig. 4c, Supplementary Fig. 1). In addition, we used the Metal Ion-Binding Site Prediction and Docking Server (MIB) to further assess the possibility of a second metal-binding site in hADAR1d. The homology model for hADAR1d was used as a template for the metal-binding residue prediction and docking for various metal ions with MIB. The C1081, C1082, and H1103 site showed a high binding score for zinc, suggesting that these residues are involved in zinc binding. While the Sat-FACS-seq data were consistent with C1081 and C1082 being involved in metal binding, H1103 was predicted to be a part of the metal-binding site based on the homology model and the MIB prediction. To further test the role of H1103, we mutated this residue to various amino acids (H1103A, H1103F, H1103Q, H1103S, and H1103C) and determined the activity level for each mutant by monitoring fluorescence intensities for the fluorescent activity reporter that was used for Sat-FACS-Seq and normalizing to the fluorescence generated by hADAR1d WT (Fig. 4d). Interestingly, only H1103C, a mutant that could still bind metal ions, showed activity. Taken together, these results provide strong support for a second zinc metal-binding site within the deaminase domain of hADAR1 involving C1081, C1082, and H1103.

In hADAR2d, Y561, Q562, and K578 residues correspond to hADAR1d C1081, C1082, and H1103 (Fig. 4b). In ADAR2, these residues stabilize the fold of the protein by a combination of H-bonding of the K578 ammonium group and the Q562 carboxamide along with hydrophobic interactions between the K578 methylenes and the Y561 phenyl ring (Fig. 4b). Therefore, it appears the second metal site in hADAR1d binding is responsible for the stabilization of the protein fold using metal binding instead of H-bonding and hydrophobic interactions seen in the hADAR2d structure.

**Metal analysis of hADAR1d and hADAR2d by ICP-MS.** To measure metal content directly, both His10-tagged hADAR1d WT and hADAR2d WT proteins were purified under the same conditions with 0.1 mM ZnCl2 supplemented purification buffers. Ni-NTA purification, TEV protease cleavage to remove the His10-tag followed by size exclusion chromatography were used to purify each protein sample. Excess zinc was removed at the final step of purification by using 0.5 mM EDTA and each protein was analyzed by ICP-MS to compare the metal content within each sample. For hADAR2d, we observed a 1:0.90 ratio of protein to zinc metal as expected given the known structure of this protein.

---

Fig. 1 Fluorescence-activated cell sorting of cysteine libraries of hADAR1d. a Schematic and ADAR substrate sequence of the fluorescent reporter used in Sat-FACS-Seq analysis. Editing site A is shown in red. b Fluorescence-activated cell sorting for hADAR1d E912A (deaminase inactive mutant) and hADAR1d cysteine libraries. hADAR1d E912A was used to determine the background level of fluorescence. Top: Cells expressing either yeGFP reporter and hADAR1d E912A or yeGFP reporter and hADAR1d Cysteine libraries were sorted into different gates based on the different levels of fluorescence. Bottom: Parameters corresponding to each sorting gate.
containing one zinc ion at the active site21 (Fig. 5a, Supplementary Table 1). For hADAR1d, a 1:1.93 ratio of protein to zinc metal was observed, consistent with two zinc-binding sites in this protein (Fig. 5a, Supplementary Table 1). In addition, native protein mass spectrometry analysis of hADAR1d WT revealed the protein mass corresponding to hADAR1d monomer, a cofactor (IP₆), and two zinc atoms (Fig. 5b, Supplementary Fig. 2). The observed mass is consistent with the calculated values within the errors of measurement for both intact (±1 amu) and native MS (±3 amu). This result along with the ICP-MS analysis strongly supports the presence of a second zinc-binding site within the ADAR1 catalytic domain.

For the ICP-MS studies described above, a His₁₀-tagged version of hADAR1d was used and protein concentration-dependent precipitation of this protein, and particularly for mutants of this protein, complicated its use. To facilitate additional biochemical studies with hADAR1d mutants, we generated a maltose-binding protein (MBP) tagged variant of hADAR1d. MBP is 42 kDa protein that is often used as a protein fusion tag because MBP can help solubilize proteins38. Both MBP-tagged hADAR1d wild type and metal-binding site mutants (hADAR1d C1082D, C1082E, and H1103D) were purified under the same conditions. The C1082 position was chosen for additional study since mutagenesis at this site to weak metal-binding amino acids, Asp and Glu, retained some enzymatic activity (Fig. 2).

MBP-hADAR1d WT and metal-binding site mutants were purified by amylose and heparin column using 0.1 mM ZnCl₂ supplemented purification buffers, then excess zinc was removed during a final step of purification by 0.5 mM EDTA. Each protein was then analyzed for metal content by ICP-MS. Interestingly, MBP-hADAR1d WT showed a ratio of 1:1.24 protein to zinc metal (Supplementary Table 1) suggesting this fusion protein is not completely bound to zinc at both sites. The lack of complete saturation of both ADAR1 zinc sites for the MBP fusion protein suggests the MBP domain is capable of solubilizing misfolded and demetallated ADAR1. Nevertheless, mutating the putative metal-binding residues, C1082 or H1103, to either Asp or Glu caused a substantial loss of zinc (Fig. 5c, Supplementary Table 1). In addition, mutating a different cysteine in the protein not predicted to be involved in the second zinc site (C893) to Asp minimally affected the measured zinc metal content compared to that of WT MBP-hADAR1d fusion (Fig. 5c, Supplementary Table 1). To gain additional insight into what metal is preferred at the second site, MBP-hADAR1d WT was purified without any metal ion supplementation in purification buffers. Only the amylose column was used to prevent demetallation caused by extensive purification steps. ICP-MS for this protein sample clearly showed only the presence of a substantial amount of zinc and no other metals (Fig. 5d, Supplementary Data 2).
Importance of the second metal-binding site for activity. Editing activities of MBP-hADAR1d WT and the two metal-binding mutants (C1082D and C1082E) were compared using an in vitro deamination assay. We prepared a 147 nt RNA derived from the human Gli1 mRNA (hGli1 RNA) for this assay and we measured rates of conversion of A-to-I at a known editing site for each protein (Fig. 6a). MBP-hADAR1d WT showed $k_{\text{obs}} = 0.480 \pm 0.008 \text{ min}^{-1}$ and both metal-binding mutants, C1082D and C1082E, showed significantly lower catalytic activity compared to WT. The C1082D mutant was 5.7-fold slower with $k_{\text{obs}} = 0.084 \pm 0.004$, whereas C1082E mutant was 16-fold slower in activity having $k_{\text{obs}} = 0.03 \pm 0.01$ (Fig. 6b).

Next we tested the importance of the second zinc site for catalytic activity in the context of a full-length hADAR1 protein. For this purpose, full-length hADAR1 p110 WT and two metal-binding site mutants (C1082D and C1082E) were overexpressed in HEK293T cells. The expression level of each protein was confirmed by Western blot to ensure similar levels (Supplementary Fig. 3). Then, we measured editing levels for five different endogenous targets in HEK293T cells (Fig. 6c, Supplementary Fig. 4). For the endogenous Gli1 transcript, endogenous ADARs present in HEK293T cells edit this site to 24 ± 6%. When full-length hADAR1 p110 wide type was overexpressed, an increase to 51 ± 6% editing was observed, whereas the two metal-binding site mutants, C1082D and C1082E, showed lower editing (43 ± 6% and 24 ± 3%, respectively). For the other four endogenous targets (AZIN1 site 1 and 2, COG3, and NUP43), no editing was detected by endogenous ADARs. In addition, no editing was detected when the C1082D and C1082E mutants were overexpressed while overexpression of WT clearly showed editing on those targets (AZIN1 site 1: 31 ± 2% and site 2: 61 ± 4%, COG3: 27 ± 2%, NUP43: 34 ± 2%). These results indicate that the second metal-binding site is important for the deaminase activity of full-length hADAR1 p110 in human cells.

Homology modeling of hADAR1 catalytic domain. A high-resolution structure of hADAR1 is not yet available. Nevertheless, our discovery here of a second zinc site and our previous high-throughput mutagenesis study of the hADAR1 5′ binding loop have provided useful constraints for modeling the hADAR1 deaminase domain structure. Therefore, we generated a structural model of hADAR1d, using RosettaCM and the experimentally derived constraints described below.

The deaminase domains of hADAR1 and hADAR2 have high sequence similarity so structures of hADAR2d provide a good starting point for modeling hADAR1d. However, the sequence of the protein loop that interacts with the 5′ side of an RNA substrate (i.e., the 5′ binding loop) is substantially different in hADAR1 and hADAR2 (Fig. 7a). Due to the size of the loop, roughly 30 amino acids, lack of structural homologs, and no significant predicted secondary structural elements, additional data were desirable to reduce the structural search space and direct protein modeling efforts. In our previously published Sat-FACS-Seq studies of the hADAR1 and hADAR2 5′ binding loops, we noted a striking similarity in selectivity for specific amino acids at three common positions in the two hADARs (i.e., F457, D469, and R477 in hADAR2 and F972, D973, and K996 in hADAR1) suggesting these residues play similar roles in the two
Fig. 4 Prediction of metal-binding residues through a homology model and mutagenesis study. a Close-up view of possible second metal-binding site from a homology model of hADAR1d generated by SWISS-MODEL web server where C1081, C1082, and H1103 are likely to be involved in a metal binding. b Crystal structure of hADAR2d (PDB: 5HP3) with residues corresponding to possible metal-binding residues in hADAR1d. c Sequence alignment of ADAR1 and ADAR2 from different organisms showing C1081, C1082, and H1103 residues (highlighted in orange) and K561, Q562, and K578 (highlighted in purple) that are corresponding to possible metal-binding residues of hADAR1d WT. d Fluorescence-activated activity assay of hADAR1d H1103 mutant fluorescence over hADAR1d WT fluorescence and data are plotted as the mean ± s.d. from three biological replicates. Individual data points are shown with black circles. Source data are provided in the Source Data file.

deaminase domains (Fig. 7b). In the hADAR2 5′ binding loop, D469 contacts R477 via an ion pair between the side chains and the phenyl ring of F457 provides a platform onto which the guanidinium group of R477 stacks in an apparent cation-π interaction. Thus, the side-chain positions of F972, D973, and K996 in hADAR1 were constrained in our Rosetta modeling to match the distances found between F457, D469, and R477 in structures of hADAR2 (Fig. 7c).

In addition to structurally conserved residues between hADAR1 and hADAR2, additional constraints were used in modeling to enforce canonical zinc coordination at the predicted binding site. Zinc ions are typically coordinated by four ligands forming tetrahedral structures. However, the analysis described above only identified three hADAR1 residues involved in the second zinc-binding site (C1081, C1082, and H1103), leading to the question of the identity of a potential fourth metal-binding residue. Interestingly, our previous study of the 5′ binding loop of hADAR1 also provided evidence that a residue within that loop could serve this role. We observed that mutation of H988 to cysteine within the 5′ binding loop of hADAR1d increased deaminase activity in our fluorescent reporter assay in yeast whereas mutation of this residue to each of the other common amino acids reduced activity (Fig. 7b, Supplementary Fig. 5). Thus, H988 is a promising candidate for the fourth metal ligand given the fact that histidine and cysteine are common zinc-binding amino acids and the 5′ binding loop is near residues already implicated in the second zinc site. To directly test this idea, MBP-hADAR1d H988D was prepared and analyzed by ICP-MS. As seen with the other metal-binding mutants (MBP-hADAR1d C1082D, C1082E, and H1103D), we observed the loss of metal upon the mutation of this residue, consistent with this residue binding the second zinc (Fig. 5c). Therefore, Rosetta modeling was performed with the four hADAR1d residues C1081, C1082, H1103, and H988 constraints in addition to the constraints derived from hypothesized structural homology to hADAR2d between residues F972, D973, and K996. For the residues constrained to the zinc ion, average distances from known structures containing a zinc ion bound by two cysteine and two histidine residues were used (Supplementary Table 2).

A three-dimensional model of the human ADAR1 deaminase domain was then generated using the RosettaCM protocol including the constraints described above, all input and representative output structures are provided in the Supplementary information (Supplementary Fig. 6, 12, Supplementary Table 2). The crystal structure of hADAR2 deaminase domain bound to double-stranded RNA (PDB ID: 5HP3) was used as the template for generating the model. During the modeling, zinc was treated as a ligand and incorporated as the homology models were constructed. Five-thousand models were generated from which the 10 lowest energy structures were evaluated (Supplementary Fig. 6 showing all 10 overlaid). Figure 8a shows a representative hADAR1d model superimposed on our previously reported crystal structure of an hADAR2d-RNA complex. Among the top 10 lowest energy models, this model shows the most similar conformation of the 5′ binding loop interactions observed in hADAR2d crystal structure that were used as modeling constraints (Fig. 8c, Supplementary Fig. 7). Also, in this model, the long hADAR1 5′ binding loop folds back onto the deaminase domain to engage in zinc binding coordinated by C1081, C1082, H1103, and H988. In fact, this conformation of the 5′ binding loop observed in hADAR1d homology model is
mediated by DSBU. Importantly, cross-linking between S986 and 25 Å, which is the distance typically observed from cross-linking mean ± s.d. from three independent experiments. Individual data points are shown with black circles.

α-C binding residue, H988 (Fig. 8d, e).

The structural model presented here is also consistent with the known properties of the protein. For instance, given the structure, which is located close to the RNA (Fig. 8a–c). To test this structural model further, we turned to chemical cross-linking spectrometry (XL-MS) using the MS-cleavable cross-linker disuccinimidyl dibutyric urea (DSBU). Several cross-linked peptides were detected in the XL-MS analysis of DSBU-treated hADAR1d (See Supplementary Data 3 for raw cross-linking spectrum files). Indeed, four specific cross-linked peptides were reproducibly identified in three different experimental replicates (Supplementary Fig. 8, 9, and Supplementary Table 3). These results are in good agreement with our homology model, showing a Ca–Ca distance of the two cross-linked residues between 10 and 25 Å, which is the distance typically observed from cross-linking mediated by DSBU. Importantly, cross-linking between S986 and K1105 supports the conformation of the 5′ binding loop represented in hADAR1d homology model since S986 is located in the 5′ binding loop only two amino acids away from a metal-binding residue, H988 (Fig. 8d, e).

The structural model presented here is also consistent with the known properties of the protein. For instance, given the positioning of the hADAR1 5′ binding loop in the model, most of the amino acids present on this loop are directed away from the RNA. The hADAR1 deaminase domain readily deaminates RNA substrates with short 5′ duplexes (<10 bp) whereas a longer 5′ duplex (>10 bp) is required for the efficient editing of the hADAR2 deaminase domain. In addition, the 5′ binding residues that are not evolutionally conserved among ADAR1 proteins from different species (corresponding to aa978-aa987 in human, Fig. 7a, Supplementary Fig. 1) are located away from the region predicted to be the RNA-binding surface in our model. This hADAR1 deaminase domain model also suggests likely roles for specific residues in the 5′ binding loop. For instance, K974 within the 5′ binding loop is a good candidate for an RNA-binding residue given its proximity to the predicted RNA-binding surface (Fig. 8b, Supplementary Fig. 10a). Also, our model predicts methylenes present on the side chain of K999, the residue mutated in Aicardi-Goutierres Syndrome (K999N), is involved in hydrophobic contacts to Y1208, resulting in stabilization of the 5′ binding loop fold (Supplementary Fig. 10c).

Although the model described here provides valuable information on the general course of the ADAR1 5′ binding loop and features of the second metal-binding site, it should be noted that the ten lowest energy models do not converge to a single conformation throughout the loop (Supplementary Fig. 6). The uncertainty for the exact positions for certain residues in this loop highlights the need for additional studies to define high-resolution structures for ADAR1 bound to RNA.
Metal ions are important cofactors that can serve essential roles in protein structure and function\textsuperscript{48,49}. In this work, we discovered a previously undisclosed zinc-binding site within catalytic domain of human ADAR1 that, along with the zinc ion present in the active site, makes for a total of two known zinc sites in this domain. We also identified likely coordinating amino acids for the second zinc site (H988, C1081, C1082, and H1103) and demonstrated that mutation of these residues results in reduced zinc content for the protein. Mutation of these residues also reduces RNA editing activity. Given the positioning of the second zinc site, we believe it most likely that this site plays a structural role.

**Fig. 6** Analysis of catalytic activity of hADAR1 showing importance of the second metal-binding site. \textbf{a} Sequence of hGli1 RNA substrate used for in vitro deamination kinetics study. Highlighted in red is an editing site. \textbf{b} MBP-hADAR1d WT and metal-binding mutants (C1082D and C1082E) deamination kinetics. hGli1 RNA (10 nM) and 750 nM of each protein were used. Data are presented as the mean ± s.d. from three independent replicates. \textbf{c} Endogenous target site editing of hADAR1 p110 WT and metal mutants (C1082D and C1082E) in HEK293T cells. Individual data points from hADAR1 p110 WT, C1082D, C1082E, and empty vector control are represented as circles, up-pointing triangles, down-pointing triangles, and diamonds, respectively. Asterisk indicates no editing observed. Data are plotted as the mean ± s.d. from three biological replicates. Source data for Figs. 6\textit{b}, \textit{c} are provided in the Source Data file.

**Fig. 7** Comparison of the 5′ binding loops of hADAR1 and hADAR2. \textbf{a} Sequence alignment of the 5′ binding loop of ADAR1 and ADAR2 from different organisms. Purple: conserved in both ADAR1 and ADAR2, Red: conserved in ADAR1, Blue: conserved in ADAR2, Black: not conserved. \textbf{b} Comparison of Sat-FACS-Seq results for the 5′ binding loops of hADAR1\textsuperscript{26} and hADAR2\textsuperscript{27}. Arrows indicate functionally similar residues in the different loops. \textbf{c} Close-up view of interactions within the 5′ binding loop observed in the crystal structure of hADAR2d with dsRNA\textsuperscript{21}.

**Discussion**

Metal ions are important cofactors that can serve essential roles in protein structure and function\textsuperscript{48,49}. In this work, we discovered a previously undisclosed zinc-binding site within catalytic domain of human ADAR1 that, along with the zinc ion present in the active site, makes for a total of two known zinc sites in this domain. We also identified likely coordinating amino acids for the second zinc site (H988, C1081, C1082, and H1103) and demonstrated that mutation of these residues results in reduced zinc content for the protein. Mutation of these residues also reduces RNA editing activity. Given the positioning of the second zinc site, we believe it most likely that this site plays a structural role.
role like other zinc sites found on the surface of proteins\textsuperscript{50,51}. Indeed, our model suggests the second zinc site is important for maintaining the conformation of the 5′ binding loop suitable for RNA substrate recognition (Fig. 8a–c). Importantly, our characterization of hADAR1 mutants defective in zinc binding at the second site indicates this site is important for editing activity (Fig. 6). These results suggest this location in the protein could be targeted for small molecule inhibitor development, perhaps by incorporating zinc-binding groups into candidate ligands\textsuperscript{52–54}.

Beyond the apparent structural role, the second zinc site in hADAR1 could be involved in additional functions. For instance, it is possible that when each ligating amino acid (H988, C1081, C1082, and H1103) is bound to the second zinc site, the fully active conformation of the 5′ binding loop is populated. However, if H988 binding is transient and it releases from the metal site, the 5′ binding loop could adopt lower activity conformations. In addition, it is well known that cysteines in proteins are susceptible to oxidation under oxidative stress conditions\textsuperscript{55}. Cysteine oxidation can lead to protein conformational changes, unfolding, and degradation\textsuperscript{55–59}. Finally, the hADAR1 second zinc site could serve as an interface for protein–protein interactions\textsuperscript{60}. Additional studies are required to determine if the zinc site identified here has other regulatory roles or is involved in the formation of protein complexes.

To gain additional understanding of the possible structural impact of this previously unknown zinc site in hADAR1, we carried out molecular modeling using Rosetta and structures of the hADAR2 deaminase domain bound to RNA\textsuperscript{21} along with constraints defined from high-throughput mutagenesis/functional screening (i.e., Sat-FACS-Seq)\textsuperscript{26,27}. It is important to note the assumptions we made in defining our modeling constraints. First, based on the remarkable similarity in amino acid preference at three positions in the two different hADARs 5′ binding loops (e.g., F457, D469 and R477 in ADAR2; F972, D973, and K996 in ADAR1) (Fig. 7b, c), we assumed these amino acids play similar roles in stabilizing the 5′ binding loop conformation in each protein. Second, we assumed that the side chain of H988 is directly involved in binding to the second zinc in hADAR1. This is based on the Sat-FACS-Seq result at this position (Fig. 7b, Supplementary Fig. 5) previously reported by us\textsuperscript{26} indicating that mutation to cysteine enhanced hADAR1d activity whereas mutation to other residues reduced activity. In fact, this assumption was confirmed later by the metal analysis, indicating that H988 is also involved in a metal binding (Fig. 5c). Rosetta modeling is often supplemented with experimentally derived constraints\textsuperscript{61}. For example, chemical cross-linking mass spectrometry (XL-MS) has been used to generate constraints for modeling\textsuperscript{62} as well as other structural related experimental data such as NOE measurements from NMR\textsuperscript{63} and EPR/DEER measurements\textsuperscript{64,65}. Our modeling approach is unique in that our constraints were obtained from comparative high-throughput mutagenesis/functional screening instead of structural data alone. The model we arrived at not only supports the biochemical data reported previously\textsuperscript{26}, but also allows for analysis of possible roles for different 5′ binding loop residues. Understanding the interactions of the 5′ binding loops present in the ADAR proteins is crucial to understanding ADAR selectivity\textsuperscript{25,26}. However, it has been challenging to predict the RNA-binding residues present in the hADAR1 5′ binding loop given only hADAR2-RNA structural data. Indeed, our model suggests the 5′ binding loop structures diverge from each other substantially and this conformational difference between hADAR1d and ADAR2 was further supported by the XL-MS analysis (Fig. 8). Part of the 5′ binding loop of hADAR2 is in position for direct contact to the RNA substrate ~10 nt from the editing site, whereas a similar contact with hADAR1 seems unlikely given that a large portion of its 5′ binding loop is directed away from the RNA (Fig. 8a, b).
However, the model does predict K974 is a likely RNA contact residue given its proximity to the putative RNA-binding site (Fig. 8b). While additional studies will be necessary to confirm this prediction, the fact that hADAR1 editing activity is maintained when this site is mutated to polar residues (e.g., R, N, Q, T, and H) is consistent with K974 functioning in direct RNA binding26 (Fig. 7b, Supplementary Fig. 10a, b). On the other hand, our model predicts the side-chain methylenes of K999 contact Y1208, stabilizing the 5′ binding loop fold (Supplementary Fig. 10c). This is consistent with our previously published mutagenesis data indicating that K999 can be mutated to several different large hydrophobic residues (e.g., I, L, M, and V) and editing activity is maintained (Fig. 7b, Supplementary Fig. 10c, d)26. This also suggests that the AGS-associated mutation (K999N) disrupts the protein structure by introducing a short polar side chain into this hydrophobic site.

The unique structural features of ADAR1 described here increase our knowledge of this protein in general and provide the basis for understanding differences between hADAR1 and hADAR2. Furthermore, the unique zinc site in hADAR1 suggests innovative ways to control its activity.

Methods

Preparation of Cy3 library and FACS

hADAR1d Cysteine library in hADAR1d E1030G/E1032G/E1035G/E1038G (E701P12-GAL1) vector was prepared by saturation mutagenesis using QuikChange II XI site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent) and the resulting mutagenesis products were transformed into XL-10 Gold ultracompetent E. coli cells (Agilent) following the manufacturer’s protocol (Primers used for saturation mutagenesis are listed in Supplementary Table 4). To ensure coverage of the scope of each library, E. coli colonies at least 10 times greater number than the library diversity (NNS = 32 possible codons) were pooled (at least 300 E. coli colonies). Plasmid samples from each saturation mutagenesis libraries were pooled in an equal amount to make the start plasmid library27. S. cerevisiae INVSc1 strain (Invitrogen) was sequentially transformed with the yEGFP reporter plasmid containing BD22-derived sequence with TRP1 selection. With trp+ colonies, the total number of reads in each sample was determined using a microfluorimeter followed by centrifugation (43,667 x g for 5 min) to obtain clear cell lysate. Cell lysate was loaded to 5 ml Ni-NTA agarose Superflow Cartridges (Qiagen) and washed with 50 ml wash I buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 5% glycerol, 750 mM NaCl, 30 mM imidazole, 0.1 mM ZnCl2, 1 mM BME), then 100 ml of wash II buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 5% glycerol, 350 mM NaCl, 30 mM imidazole, 0.1 mM ZnCl2, 1 mM BME). Protein was eluted by a linear gradient of 30–400 mM imidazole in wash II buffer and pooled for Hinota-tag cleavage using 1-to-2 ratio of TEV protease to the protein by initial 1 h incubation at room temperature, followed by overnight dialysis in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 5% glycerol, 350 mM NaCl, 30 mM imidazole, 0.1 mM ZnCl2, 1 mM BME, then concentrated to 1 ml and dialyzed against 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 5% glycerol, 350 mM NaCl, 70 mM imidazole, 0.1 mM ZnCl2, 1 mM BME overnight at 4 °C. The concentrated protein was further purified by a gel filtration on a GE Healthcare HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 200 pg column using a superdex buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 5% glycerol, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM PC, 10% glycerol, 200 mM KCl, 70 mM imidazole, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.01% NP-40 substitute, 1 mM DTT overnight at 4 °C, which then concentrated to 22 μM for hADAR1 WT and 122 μM for hADAR2 WT for subsequent ICP-MS metal analysis.

Expression and purification of His6-tagged hADAR1WT and hADAR2 WT

Protein expression and purification of His6-tagged hADAR1 WT and hADAR2 WT were carried out following a previous protocol21,28 with modifications. Each protein plasmid (in YepTOP2P-GAL1) was transformed into S. cerevisiae BY123 cells and plated onto a selection plate (CM -ura + 2% glucose) and pooled with 5 ml wash I buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 5% glycerol, 750 mM NaCl, 30 mM imidazole, 0.1 mM ZnCl2, 1 mM BME, 0.1 mM ZnCl2, 1 mM BME, 0.05% Triton X-100, complete EDTA-protease inhibitor (Roche)) using a microfluorimeter followed by centrifugation (43,667 x g for 5 min) to obtain clear cell lysate. Cell lysate was loaded to 5 ml Ni-NTA agarose Superflow Cartridges (Qiagen) and washed with 50 ml wash I buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 5% glycerol, 750 mM NaCl, 30 mM imidazole, 0.1 mM ZnCl2, 1 mM BME), then 100 ml of wash II buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 5% glycerol, 350 mM NaCl, 30 mM imidazole, 0.1 mM ZnCl2, 1 mM BME). Protein was eluted by a linear gradient of 30–400 mM imidazole in wash II buffer and pooled for Hinota-tag cleavage using 1-to-2 ratio of TEV protease to the protein by initial 1 h incubation at room temperature, followed by overnight dialysis in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 5% glycerol, 350 mM NaCl, 30 mM imidazole, 0.1 mM ZnCl2, 1 mM BME, then concentrated to 1 ml and dialyzed against 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 5% glycerol, 350 mM NaCl, 70 mM imidazole, 0.1 mM ZnCl2, 1 mM BME overnight at 4 °C. The concentrated protein was further purified by a gel filtration on a GE Healthcare HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 200 pg column using a superdex buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 5% glycerol, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM PC, 10% glycerol, 200 mM KCl, 70 mM imidazole, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.01% NP-40 substitute, 1 mM DTT overnight at 4 °C, which then concentrated to 22 μM for hADAR1 WT and 122 μM for hADAR2 WT for subsequent ICP-MS metal analysis.

Library preparation and Illumina sequencing

hADAR1d Cys library containing each Cys residue (159–201 nt) was amplified from the plasmid libraries isolated from yeast cells by two-step PCR using Phusion hot-start DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to prepare Illumina MiSeq sequencing samples (Primers are listed in Supplementary Table 5). The PCR products were purified by agarose gel and QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen) and used for a second PCR with primers containing a leader sequence (PS, P7), an 8 bp barcode specific to each sample, and a sequence overlapping with the adapter sequence in the primers used in first PCR products. The PCR products were purified by agarose gel and QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen), pooled in an equal amount, and sequenced by Illumina MiSeq PE250.

NGS sequencing data processing

Paired-end reads from MiSeq were demultiplexed according to the sample-specific barcodes. Using Trimmomatic, adapter sequences were removed and reads were trimmed on both sides with a quality cutoff of 5, which then further filtered with an overall average quality cutoff of 30, 0.3 base displaced by FastQC (Table S1). The genome coverage of reads sufficient to cover target codon sequences, thus only the forward reads were utilized for further data analysis. For each position of variation, the abundance of each codon was determined by searching 12 nt sequences including target codon, the positional barcode (silent mutation), and six extra nucleotides in the 5′ direction using the genome command line. A position bias correction was performed by the following equation: the total number of reads among different samples, the total number of reads in each sample (input library, R1–R5) was adjusted with the smallest reads number. Then, the abundance of amino acids in R1 through R5 was weighed against their abundance in the input library to determine enrichment levels in each gate. Frequencies of amino acids enriched in R5 gate were calculated from their enrichment levels and plotted using Seq2 Logo 2.0 server. Average fluorescence $F_{\text{avg}}$ for each amino acid at each position was estimated using the equation below27 (Supplementary Data 1) using Microsoft Excel and was used to generate bar graphs using KaleidaGraph.

\[
F_{\text{avg}} = \frac{\sum_i F_i \times \text{mean fluorescence of } R_i}{\text{total number of reads in each sample}}
\]
Deamination kinetics. MBP-tagged hADAR1d WT protein used for metal screening was performed on an Agilent 1290 Infinity II UPLC-6530 Accurate-Mass Q-TOF MS instrument in positive ion mode using electrospray ionization. For mass determination using LC-MS, standard solutions were prepared at 100 ppb (10× higher concentrations for Mg, Si, and P) every 9th sample or less in identical buffers without ZnCl₂ supplementation, which was then concentrated to 129 μM for ICP-MS metal analysis. The sample flow from the column was directed to Q-TOF and mass measured in electrospray ionization mode (nozzle 2000V, source temperature 150 °C, sheath gas 325 °C) using positive mode. UPLC separation was performed using a PolyHYDROXYETHYL ATM column (200 × 2.1 mm, 5 μm) (PolyLC) was conducted with an isotropic elution of 200 mM ammonium acetate (pH 7.0) at a flow rate of 0.1 mL/min. The column was held at 23 °C and the auto sampler at 6 °C. Acquisitions were performed in the m/z range 500–3200 for intact metal mass calibration obtained over the range 500–3200 using a solution of 1 μM purine, 25 μM ammonium trifluoroacetate, 0.25 μM HP-0921 in 95%/5% acetonitrile/water mixture prior to the analysis. Data analysis was performed using Agilent MassHunter software.

Deamination kinetics for MBP-hADAR1d. Deamination kinetics were carried out using hGli1 RNA prepared by in vitro transcription (see Supplementary Method) under the single turnover condition with 15 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.4, 20 mM KCl, 40 mM potassium glutamate, 5 mM NaCl, 4% glycerol, 1.5 mM EDTA, 0.003% Nonidet P-40, 0.5 mM DTT, 1.0 μg/mL yeast tRNA, 160 U/mL RNasin, 10 μM hGli1 RNA, and 750 nM of each MBP-hADAR1d. Each reaction was incubated at 30 °C for 30 min before addition of protein. Reactions were quenched at each given time point by adding 190 μL of hot water followed by vortexing and incubation at 95 °C for 5 min. cDNA was obtained via RT-PCR using Access RT-PCR kit (Promega). Primers used for cDNA quantification were listed in Supplementary Table S7 and sequenced by Sanger sequencing, which then quantified with Chromas software to obtain the editing level. The kobs (min⁻¹) of each assay was calculated using the equation [P] = a(1 – e⁻ᵏₙₐₛ), where [P] is the percentage of edited product at time t, a is the fitted end point, and kₙₐₛ is the observed rate constant. Each experiment was carried out in three replicates.

Endogenous editing in HEK293T cells and analysis. HA-tagged full-length hADAR1 pl110 WT in pcdNA 3.1 vector was used to prepare mutants (hADAR1 p110 C1882D and C1882E) by site-directed mutagenesis using QuiQuench II XL site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Primers used for mutagenesis can be found in Supplementary Table 6). HEK293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, 10% fetal bovine serum, and 1% anti-anti at 37 °C, 5% CO₂. Once cells reached 70–90% confluency, 6.4 × 10⁵ cells were seeded into 96-well plates. After 24 h, cells were transfected with 750 ng of hADAR1 plasmids using Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). More specifically, each plasmid and Lipofectamine 2000 were mixed with Opti-MEM Reduced Serum Media (Thermo Fisher Scientific), which simultaneously combined and incubated for 20 min before the solution was added to each designated well. Cells after transfection were incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ for 48 h. Total RNA was extracted and collected using RNeasy Total RNA Isolation Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). cDNA products were puriﬁed by agarose gel and QiAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen), which then submitted for Sanger Sequencing. The editing level was quantified with Chromas software and a bar graph was generated by Graphpad Prism 8. The experiments were performed in biological triplicate.

Homology modeling of hADAR1d WT. A three-dimensional model of hADAR1d catalytic domain was generated in three stages using the RosettaCM protocol70. The crystal structure of hADAR2 deaminase domain bound to dRNA (PDB:HFP3)21 was used as the template for generating the model. Promals3D was used to generate a sequence alignment of the template and query sequence to correlate sequence position to structure45. First, the query sequence was threaded onto the template sequence, resulting in a threaded partial model. To fill in unaligned residues, the threaded model was used to generate cells (the so-called “merge” fragment)46. The scoring function of this sampling was a combination of the Rosetta low-resolution energy function and distance constraints from the template structure. Second, Monte Carlo sampling was again used for full backbone minimization. During the third stage, the structure underwent full-atomic refinement for side-chain optimization and refinement of the side-chain and backbone conformations. During the third stage, side-chain constraints were used to enhance sampling. The conserved residues in the β-binding loop identified through SAT-FACS-Seq49 were constrained to mimic an ionic interaction (D973 and K996) and cation-pi stacking interaction (K996 and P927) observed in the hADAR2 structure19. Furthermore, zinc was treated as a ligand and H988 was deprotonated to exclude 0.01% Triton X-100, which then submitted for Sanger Sequencing. The editing level was quantified with Chromas software and a bar graph was generated by Graphpad Prism 8. The experiments were performed in biological triplicate.
Chemical cross-linking with DSBU and in-gel tryptic digestion. His$_{6}$-tagged hADAR1d WT was purified by Ni-NTA column as described above. Chemical cross-linking with DSBU was carried out according to previous protocols$^{46,47}$ except for a few modifications. The protein sample was buffer exchanged with cross-linking buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 8.0, 350 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 1 mM TCEP) using Zeba spin desalting column (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to remove incompatible buffer components. A final concentration of 10μM of the buffer exchanged protein and 10 mM of DSBU (1000x molar excess, Thermo Fisher Scientific) in a final volume of 10 μl solution was incubated at room temperature for 1 h. Three independent cross-linking reactions were carried out in parallel. Each reaction was quenched by adding 2 μl of 1 M Tris-HCl pH 8.0, and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. A total of 12 μl reaction solution was analyzed by SDS-PAGE gel (Invitrogen), which then stained with Imperial Protein Stain for 1 h. Three independent cross-linking reactions were carried out in parallel. Each master/High-throughput%20Mutagenesis%20Reveals%20Unique%20Structural%20B, respectively, with the LC gradient: 0

References
1. Bass, B. L. RNA editing by adenosine deaminases that act on RNA. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 71, 817–846 (2002).
2. Bass, B. L. & Weintraub, H. An unwinding activity that covalently modifies its double-stranded RNA substrate. Cell 55, 1089–1098 (1988).
3. Goodman, R. A., Macbeth, M. R. & Beal, P. A. ADAR proteins: structure and catalytic mechanism.Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 353, 1–33 (2012).
4. Wang, Q. et al. ADAR1 regulates ARHGAP26 gene expression through RNA editing by disrupting miR-30b-3p and miR-573 binding. RNA 19, 1523–1536 (2013).
5. Rueter, S. M., Dawson, T. R. & Emeson, R. B. Regulation of alternative splicing by RNA editing. Nature 399, 75–80 (1999).
6. Yeo, J., Goodman, R. A., Schirle, N. T., David, S. S. & Beal, P. A. RNA editing changes the lesion specificity for the DNA repair enzyme NEIL1. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 107, 20715–20719 (2010).
7. Bass, R. L. et al. A standardized nomenclature for adenosine deaminases that act on RNA. RNA 3, 947–949 (1997).
8. Maas, S., Kawahara, Y., Tamburro, K. M. & Nishikura, K. A-to-I RNA editing and human disease. RNA Biol. 3, 1–9 (2006).
9. Slotkin, W. & Nishikura, K. Adenosine-to-inosine RNA editing and human disease. Genome Med. 5, 80 (2013).
10. Morobito, M. V. et al. Mice with altered serotonin 2C receptor RNA editing display characteristic features of Prader-Willi syndrome. Neurobiol. Dis. 39, 169–180 (2010).
11. Lamers, M. M., van den Hoogen, B. G. & Haagmans, B. L. ADAR1: “Editor-in-Chief” of Cytoplasmic Innate Immunity. Front. Immunol. 10, 1763 (2019).
12. Chung, H. et al. Human ADAR1 prevents endogenous RNA from triggering translational shutdown. Cell 172, 811–824.e14 (2018).
13. Xu, L.-D. & Ohman, M. ADAR1 editing and its role in cancer. Genes 10, 12 (2018).
14. Rice, G. I. et al. Mutations in ADAR1 cause Aicardi-Goutières syndrome associated with a type I interferon signature. Nat. Genet. 44, 1243–1248 (2012).
15. Miyamura, Y. et al. Mutations of the RNA-specific adenosine deaminase gene (DSRD) are involved in dyschomatosis symmetrica hereditaria. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 73, 693–699 (2003).
16. Zhang, X.-J. et al. Seven novel mutations of the ADAR gene in Chinese families and sporadic patients with dyschomatosis symmetrica hereditaria (DSH). Hum. Mutat. 23, 293–300 (2004).
17. Ishizu, J. I. et al. Loss of ADAR1 in tumours overcomes resistance to immune checkpoint blockade. Nature 565, 43–48 (2019).
18. Bhat, A., Sun, T. & Li, J. B. ADAR1: a new target for immuno-oncology therapy. Mol. Cell 73, 866–868 (2019).
19. Gannon, H. S. et al. Identification of ADAR1 adenosine deaminase dependency in a subset of cancer cells. Nat. Commun. 9, 5450 (2018).
20. Liu, H. et al. Tumor-derived IFN triggers chronic pathway agonism and sensitivity to ADAR loss. Nat. Med. 25, 95–102 (2019).
21. Matthews, M. M. et al. Structures of human ADAR2 bound to dsRNA reveal base-flipping mechanism and basis for site selectivity. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 23, 426–433 (2016).
22. Kallman, A. M., Sahin, M. & Ohman, M. ADAR2 A→I editing: site selectivity and editing efficiency are separate events. Nucleic Acids Res. 31, 4874–4881 (2003).
23. Eggington, J. M., Greene, T. & Bass, B. L. Predicting sites of ADAR editing in double-stranded RNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 29, 1534–1539 (2005).
24. Lehmann, K. A. & Bass, B. L. Double-stranded RNA adenosine deaminases ADAR1 and ADAR2 have overlapping specificities. Biochemistry 39, 12875–12884 (2000).
25. Kong, W. S., Sato, S. & Lanzinis, D. W. Substrate recognition by ADAR1 and ADAR2. RNA 7, 846–858 (2001).
26. Wang, Y., Park, S. & Beal, P. A. Selective recognition of RNA substrates by ADAR deaminase domains. Biochemistry 57, 1640–1651 (2018).
27. Wang, Y. & Beal, P. A. Probing RNA recognition by human ADAR2 using a high-throughput mutagenesis method. Nucleic Acids Res. 44, 9872–9880 (2016).
28. Macbeth, M. R. et al. Inositol Hexakisphosphosphate is bound in the ADAR2 core and required for RNA editing. Science 309, 1534–1539 (2005).
29. Schneider, M. F., Wettengel, J., Hoffmann, P. C. & Stafforst, T. Optimal guideRNAs for re-directing deaminase activity of hADAR1 and hADAR2 in trans. Nucleic Acids Res. 42, e87 (2014).
30. Defilipp, S. N. & Hundley, H. A. To edit or not to edit: regulation of ADAR editing specificity and efficiency. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. RNA 7, 113–127 (2016).
31. Marinos, S. M. & Gladyshavev, V. N. Analysis and functional prediction of reactive cysteine residues. J. Biol. Chem. 287, 4419–4425 (2012).
32. Niwa, J. et al. Disulfide bond mediates aggregation, toxicity, and ubiquitylation of familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis-linked mutant SOD1. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 28087–28095 (2007).
33. Dangoria, N. S. et al. HLA-B27 misfolding is associated with aberrant intermolecular disulphide bond formation (dimutation) in the endoplasmic reticulum. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 23459–23468 (2002).
34. Rabano, S. O. et al. Onset of disorder and protein aggregation due to oxidation-induced intermolecular disulfide bonds: case study of RRM2 domain from TDP-43. Sci. Rep. 7, 11611 (2017).
35. Barchuber-Zucker, S., Shaar, A. A. & Schub, C. Transition metal binding selectivity in proteins and its correlation with the phylotypic classification of the cation diffusion facilitator protein family. Sci. Rep. 7, 16381 (2017).
36. Waterhouse, A. et al. SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, W296–W303 (2018).

37. Lin, Y.-F. et al. MIB: Metal Ion-Binding Site Prediction and Docking Server. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 56, 2287–2291 (2016).

38. Kapust, R. B. & Grishin, N. V. PROMALS3D: multiple protein sequence alignment enhanced with evolutionary and three-dimensional structural information. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1079, 263–271 (2014).

39. Gront, D., Kulp, D. W., Vernon, R. M., Strauss, C. E. M. & Baker, D. Generalized fragment picking in Rosetta: design, protocols and applications. *PloS ONE* 6, e23294 (2011).

40. Pei, J. & Grishin, N. V. PRODAS: high-resolution comparative modeling with RosettaCM. *Structure* 21, 1735–1742 (2013).

41. Thompson, J. & Baker, D. Incorporation of evolutionary information into Rosetta comparative modeling. *Proteins* 79, 2380–2388 (2011).

42. Kochanek, T., Drozd, A. & Krepl, A. Relationship between the architecture of zinc coordination and zinc binding affinity in proteins—insights into zinc reactivation. *Met. Integr. Biomed.* 7, 244–257 (2015).

43. McCall, K. A., Huang, C. & Fierke, C. A. Function and mechanism of zinc metalloenzymes. *J. Nutr.* 130, 1437S–1446S (2000).

44. Dokmanic, I., Slikic, M. & Tomic, S. Metals in proteins: correlation between the metal-ion type, coordination number and the amino-acid residues involved in the coordination. *Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr.* 64, 257–263 (2008).

45. Pan, D., Brockmeyer, A., Mueller, F., Musacchio, A. & Bange, T. Simplified protocol for cross-linking mass spectrometry using the MS-cleavable cross-linker DSBU with efficient cross-link identification. *Anal. Chem.* 90, 10990–10999 (2018).

46. Iacobucci, C. et al. A cross-linking/mass spectrometry workflow based on MS-cleavable linkers and the Merox software for studying protein structures and protein–protein interactions. *Nat. Protoc.* 13, 2864–2889 (2018).

47. Andreini, C., Bertini, I., Cavallaro, G., Holliday, G. L. & Thornton, J. M. Metal ions in biological catalysis: from enzyme databases to general principles. *J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.* 13, 1205–1218 (2008).

48. Waldron, K. J., Rutherford, J. C., Ford, D. & Robinson, N. J. Metalloproteins and metal sensing. *Nature* 460, 823–830 (2009).

49. Baraldi, E. et al. Structure of the PH domain from Bruton’s tyrosine kinase in complex with inositol 1,3,4,5-tetrakisphosphate. *Structure* 7, 449–460 (1999).

50. Mackeeth, C. D., Arrowsmith, C. H., Edwards, A. M. & McIntosh, L. P. Zinc-bundle structure of the essential RNA polymerase subunit RPB10 from Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 97, 6316 (2000).

51. Chen, Y. et al. Targeting metalloenzymes for therapeutic intervention. *Chem. Rev.* 119, 1323–1455 (2019).

52. Rouflet, M. & Cohen, S. M. Emerging trends in metalloprotein inhibition. *Dalton Trans.* 43, 3435–3451 (2014).

53. Zhang, L., Zhang, J., Liang, Q., Zhang, L. & Song, W. Zinc binding groups for histone deacetylase inhibitors. *J. Enzym. Inhib. Med. Chem.* 33, 714–721 (2018).

54. Birken, E., Sahiner, U. M., Sacksen, C., Erzurum, S. C. & Kalayci, O. Oxidative stress and antioxidant defense. *World Allergy Organ. J.* 5, 9–19 (2012).

55. Wollf, S. P. & Dean, R. T. Fragmentation of proteins by free radicals and its effect on their susceptibility to enzymic hydrolysis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 349, 399–403 (1968).

56. Davies, K. J. Protein damage and degradation by oxygen radicals. I. general aspects. *J. Biol. Chem.* 262, 9895–9901 (1987).

57. Lyras, L., Cairns, N. J., Jenner, A., Jenner, P. & Halliwell, B. An assessment of oxidative damage to proteins, lipids, and DNA in brain from patients with Alzheimer’s disease. *J. Neurochem.* 68, 2061–2069 (1997).

58. Briner, W. The role of metal regulatory proteins in brain oxidative stress: a tutorial. *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev.* 2012, 981561 (2012).

59. Song, W., J., Sontz, P. A., Ambroggio, X. I. & Tezcan, F. A. Metals in protein–protein interfaces. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* 43, 409–431 (2014).

60. Bender, B. J. et al. Protocols for molecular modeling with Rosetta3 and RosettaScripts. *Biochemistry* 55, 4748–4763 (2016).

61. Kahraman, A. et al. Cross-link guided molecular modeling with ROSETTA. *PloS ONE* 8, e73411 (2013).

62. Zhang, Z., Porter, J., Tripsianes, K. & Lange, O. F. Robust and highly accurate automatic NOEY assignment and structure determination with Rosetta. *J. Biomol. NMR* 59, 135–145 (2014).

63. Alexander, N. E. et al. Rosetta/EPR rotamer library for spin label structure and dynamics. *PloS ONE* 8, e72851 (2013).

64. Hirst, S. J., Alexander, N., McHaourab, H. S. & Meiler, J. Rosetta/EPR: an integrated tool for protein structure determination from sparse EPR data. *J. Struct. Biol.* 173, 506–514 (2011).

65. Giertz, R. D. & Schissel, R. H. High-efficiency yeast transformation using the LiAc/SS carrier DNA/PEG method. *Nat. Protoc.* 2, 31–34 (2007).

66. Thomsen, M. C. F. & Nielsen, M. Seq2Logo: a method for construction and visualization of amino acid binding motifs and sequence profiles including sequence weighting, pseudo counts and two-sided representation of amino acid enrichment and depletion. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, W281–W287 (2012).

67. Macbeth, M. R. & Bass, B. L. Large-scale overexpression and purification of ADARs from *Saczcharomyces cerevisiae* for biophysical and biochemical studies. *Methods Enzymol.* 424, 319–331 (2007).

68. Andreini, C., Cavallaro, G., Lorenzini, S. & Rosato, A. MetalPDB: a database of metal sites in biological macromolecular structures. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D312–D319 (2013).

69. Putignano, V., Rosato, A., Banci, L. & Andreini, C. MetalPDB in 2018: a database of metal sites in biological macromolecular structures. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, D459–D464 (2018).

70. Strum, J. S. et al. Automated assignments of N- and O-site specific glycosylation with extensive glycan heterogeneity of glycoprotein mixtures. *Anal. Chem.* 85, 5666–5675 (2013).

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge funding from the US NIH, grant R01GM061115 (to P.A.B.). This project was supported by the University of California Davis Flow Cytometry Shared Resource Laboratory with funding from the NCI P30 CA093373 (Cancer Center), and NIH NCCR C06-R01RR02888, S10 OD18223, S10 RR12964 and S10 RR026825 grants and with technical assistance from Ms. Bridget McLaughlin and Mr. Jonathan Van Dyke. Research conducted by J.B.S. and Y.Z. was supported by the University of California–Davis, the National Science Foundation Award Numbers 1827246, 1805510, 1627539, the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under Award Number P42ES004699, NIH Award Number ROI GM 076234-11, and the Rosetta Commons. E.E.D. was supported by training grant T32-GM113770 from the National Institutes of Health. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or National Science Foundation.

Author contributions

E.E.D., Y.Z., and J.B.S. generated a homology model of hADAR1d using Rosetta. Y.X. and C. B.L. analyzed chemical cross-link samples by tryptic digestion, LC-MS/MS, and data analysis. A.K.P. and F.F. conducted protein intact and native MS and data analysis. A.K. constructed Yfp/TOP2P-GaLL1 vector containing MBP-tagged hADAR1d WT and designed the initial purification strategy for MBP-hADAR1d WT. S.P. conducted all the other experiments not listed above and wrote the initial manuscript draft. S.P. and P.A.B. edited the manuscript.

Competing interests

A.K.P. is an employee of and has ownership interest in Agios Pharmaceuticals. P.A.B. is a consultant for Agios Pharmaceuticals, ProQR Therapeutics and Beam Therapeutics. P.A.B. has ownership interest in Beam Therapeutics.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18862-2.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to P.A.B.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks Michael Jantsch and the other anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© The Author(s) 2020