The geometry and kinematics of active faults have a significant impact on their seismic potential. In this work, a structural characterization of the active Baza Fault (central Betic Cordillera, southern Spain) combining surface and subsurface data is presented. Two sectors are defined based on their surface geometry: a northern sector striking N–S to NNW–SSE with a narrow damage zone and a southern sector striking NW–SE with a wide damage zone. A kinematic analysis shows pure normal fault kinematics along most of the fault. Geometric differences between the northern and southern sectors are caused by i) a heterogeneous basement controlling the fault geometry at depth and in the cover; ii) different orientations of the Baza Fault in the basement with respect to the regional extension direction and iii) interaction with other active faults. We use this structural characterization to analyse the segmentation of the Baza Fault. According to segmentation criteria, the entire Baza Fault should be considered a single fault seismogenic segment. Consequently, the seismic potential of the fault is defined for a complete rupture. Magnitude for the $M_{\text{max}}$ event is calculated using several scale relationships, obtaining values ranging between Mw 6.6 and Mw 7.1. Recurrence times range between approximately 2,000 and 2,200 years for $M_{\text{max}}$ events and between 5,300 and 5,400 years for palaeo-events. A geodetic scenario modelled for an $M_{\text{max}}$ event of Mw 6.7 shows permanent vertical displacements of more than 0.40m and an overall WSW–ENE extension during entire ruptures of the Baza Fault.
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and hence the magnitude of the event. This is the reason why defining along-strike structural heterogeneities and the tip lines of a fault is essential to fault segmentation (e.g. Boncio et al., 2004; Crone and Haller, 1991; Field et al., 2015; Wesnousky, 2006), i.e. to constrain potential rupture lengths during future earthquakes. The geometric pattern of a fault system also has a major control on seismogenic processes (e.g. Pace et al., 2002; Rice and Cocco, 2007; Scholz, 2002). This characterization of the seismic potential of active faults is a fundamental step in Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Assessment (PSHA) studies. Moreover, geometric and kinematic features of normal fault systems are also important for other disciplines, such as for the analysis of faulted oil, water or mineral reservoirs (e.g. Bense and Person, 2006; Fairley 2009; Folch and Mas-Pla, 2008; Manzocchi et al., 2010; Wibberley et al., 2017).

The Baza Fault (BF) is one of the most active faults in the central Betic Cordillera (southern Spain, Fig. 1). The 1531 AD Baza earthquake (I$_{max}$=VIII–IX) (Martínez-Solares and Mezcua, 2002), the most destructive event reported in this region, occurred on this fault (Alfaro et al., 2008). This event was responsible for 310 deaths and severe damage in the towns of Baza and Benamaurel (Olivera Serrano, 1995). This fault was also responsible for numerous low-magnitude events during historical and instrumental periods (Spanish Instituto Geográfico Nacional (IGN) catalogue; Martínez-Solares and Mezcua, 2002). The seismogenic potential of the BF has been estimated by previous studies (e.g. Sanz de Galdeano et al., 2012), but new, more detailed fault data (particularly about fault geometry) are required for proper characterization. Moreover, new tools were developed in recent years (e.g. Chartier et al., 2019; Pace et al., 2016; Toda et al., 2011) that use the fault data (fault geometry and kinematics) that define the seismogenic potential to estimate parameters necessary for PSHA.

**FIGURE 1.** Geological map of the Guadix-Baza Basin (GBB). The red dashed line indicates the Guadix subbasin limits. The blue dashed line indicates the Baza subbasin limits. Black lines are the main active structures in the basin. BF: Baza Fault, GF: Galera Fault, AFs: Almanzora Faults, AB: Alfahuara-Botardo structure, SZF: Solana de Zamborino Faults, GrLF: Graena-Lugros Fault. The inset shows the location of the GBB in south-central Spain.
In this paper, we carry out a structural and seismogenic characterization of the BF. By means of its structural characterization, based on both surface and subsurface data, we define the geometry (length, dip, strike, width) and kinematics of the fault system. Furthermore, we identify, describe and explain the along-strike variations in these parameters. For the surface analysis of the fault geometry, we develop a 1:5,000 scale geological map that includes both the traces of the BF and the lithostratigraphic units offset by the fault. For the description of the subsurface geometry, we use both gravity (Alfaro et al., 2008) and seismic surveys (Haberland et al., 2017). The kinematic analysis is based on measurements of kinematic indicators, such as slickenlines, joints and veins. Using these geometric and kinematic data, we characterize the seismogenic potential and segmentation of the BF defining its maximum expected magnitude, mean recurrence times and a geodetic rupture scenario.

**GEOLOGICAL SETTING OF THE BAZA FAULT**

The western Mediterranean region is characterized by the oblique NNW–SSE convergence of the Nubian and Eurasian plates (approximately 5–6mm/year, DeMets et al., 2010; Nocquet, 2012) since the Late Miocene. Under this general geodynamic context, regional NNW–SSE shortening (Galindo-Zaldívar et al., 1993; Herráiz et al., 2000; Sanz de Galdeano and Alfaro, 2004) and orthogonal ENE–WSW extension (Galindo-Zaldívar et al., 2015) take place. In the central Betic Cordillera, ENE–WSW regional extension, ranging between 2.1 and 3.7mm/yr (Pérez-Peña et al., 2010; Serpelloni et al., 2007; Stich et al., 2007), is accommodated by NNW–SSE-striking normal faults (Galindo-Zaldívar et al., 1989, 1999; Sanz de Galdeano et al., 2012, 2020). The BF (Fig. 1) is the easternmost active normal fault accommodating this regional extension in the central Betic Cordillera. Its long-term vertical slip rate ranges from 0.2 to 0.5mm/yr, using the displaced glacis (ca. 500Kyr) as marker (García-Tortosa et al., 2011; Sanz de Galdeano et al., 2012).

The active BF (Figs. 1; 2) is a 40km-long normal fault striking N–S to NW–SE and dipping 55±10ºE (Alfaro et al., 2008; Haberland et al., 2017; Sanz de Galdeano et al., 2012). Previous studies proposed that normal faulting initiated in the Late Miocene (ca. 8Myr) (García-García et al., 2006). The BF is responsible for a total throw of more than 2000m and for a half graben in its hanging wall (Alfaro et al., 2008; Haberland et al., 2017). The surface expression of the BF consists of a fault array whose width and number of fault strands vary along strike. Fault strands with larger displacements within this fault array define a footwall block, a central block and a hanging wall block (Medina-Cascales et al., 2019).

The BF is not the only active fault in the region (Fig. 1). The ENE–WSW regional extension of the central Betic Cordillera is mainly accommodated by N–S to NW–SE normal faults, subperpendicular to the extension direction (e.g. BF Solana de Zamborino Fault, Graena-Lugros Fault or the Granada fault system; Galindo-Zaldívar et al., 1989, 2015; Gil et al., 2002; Ruiz et al., 2003; Sanz de Galdeano et al., 2012, among others). Deformation also leads to the formation of strike-slip faults subparallel to the extension direction (Fig. 1), such as the left-lateral Galera Fault or the Almanzora Corridor fault system (Galindo-Zaldívar et al., 2015; García-Tortosa et al., 2007, 2011; Guerra-Merchán, 1992; Martínez-Martínez et al., 2006; Pedraza, 2006, 2008, 2012).

The BF is the main active structure of the Guadix-Baza Basin (GBB) (Fig. 1), which is the largest intramontane Neogene–Quaternary basin of the Betic Cordillera (Vera, 1970a, b). The sedimentary infill of the basin consists of Upper Miocene marine deposits and Pliocene-Quaternary continental sediments (e.g. García-Aguilar and Martín, 2000; García-Aguilar and Palmqvist, 2011; Gibert et al., 2007a, b; Peña, 1979, 1985; Soria et al., 1987; Vera, 1970a, b; Vera et al., 1994, 1999), representing one of the most continuous successions of continental Plio-Pleistocene sediments in Europe. The GBB was a continental endorheic basin from the Lower Pliocene until the Middle Pleistocene (García-Tortosa et al., 2008, 2011; Gibert et al., 2007a, b), allowing the preservation of a continuous palaeogeographic, palaeoclimatic and fossiliferous record in the region. During this endorheic stage, an extensive depositional-erosive glacis developed in the GBB. From the Middle Pleistocene on (ca. 500kry; Díaz-Hernández and Julià, 2006; García-Tortosa et al., 2011; Scott and Gibert, 2009), the basin became exorheic. During this exorheic stage, erosional processes dominated the basin (Calvache and Víreras, 1997; Pérez-Peña et al., 2009) and sedimentation was constrained in marginal alluvial fans, valley bottoms, and fluvial terraces (García-Tortosa et al., 2011).

The BF controls the geometry, the accommodation space and the different sedimentary environments in the GBB (García-Tortosa et al., 2008, 2011). The BF divides the GBB into two domains (Figs. 1; 2): the Baza subbasin in the eastern sector and the Guadix subbasin in the western sector (Alfaro et al., 2008). Subsidence related to the downthrow of the BF was responsible for the development of a lacustrine sedimentary environment in the eastern Baza subbasin. Conversely, uplift related to the upthrow of the BF produced the onset of fluvial and alluvial sedimentary environments in the western Guadix subbasin.

Since the Late Miocene, the activity of the BF has controlled the sedimentary environments in the Guadix-Baza basin,
FIGURE 2. Geological map of the Baza Fault and the study area. Red lines show the location of the geological cross sections in Figure 6.
juxtaposing different facies that outcrop on the different fault blocks. In addition, the development of a lake in the Baza subbasin during Plio-Pleistocene times resulted in a concentric distribution of the sedimentary environments, leading to lateral changes in facies from the borders to the middle of the subbasin (Gibert et al., 2007a). In this work, we define a series of informal lithostratigraphic units (Fig. 3) whose ages are based on previous stratigraphic and palaeontological studies.

The basement of the GBB is highly heterogeneous, as it consists of three different tectonostratigraphic domains (Figs. 1; 2; 3). The basement extending south of Baza city consists of Triassic metamorphic rocks of the Alpujarride Complex (Figs. 1; 2; 3) (e.g. García Dueñas et al., 1992; Orozco and Alonso-Chaves, 2002) of the Betic Internal Zones. These rocks are grouped in several stacked tectonic units, each one consisting of a basal meta-detrital formation and an overlying meta-carbonate formation (Delgado, 1978; Delgado-Salazar et al., 1978; Martín-Rojas et al., 2009, 2012). Basement between the North of Baza city and south of the Negratín Reservoir is made up of a homogeneous carbonate succession, Jurassic in age, belonging to the Frontal Units (Martín-Algarra et al., 2004) of the Betic Internal Zones (Figs. 1; 2; 3). Basement north of the Negratín Reservoir is formed by a sedimentary succession of Mesozoic carbonates and marls belonging to the Betic External Zones (Figs. 1; 3) (Azéma et al., 1979; García-Hernández et al., 1980).

Unconformably over the basement lies unit 1 (Fig. 3), formed by the Upper Miocene (uppermost Tortonian, ca. 8Myr) fan delta and shallow marine deposits (García-García et al., 2006; Guerra-Merchán, 1992). After the onset of normal faulting, sedimentary environments were controlled by the BF during the Pliocene and Pleistocene.

FIGURE 3. Simplified 3D scheme showing the spatial distribution and correlation of the defined lithostratigraphic units along the study area: AC: Alternated meta-detrital and meta-carbonate sediments of the Alpujárride Complex; Betic Internal Zones, Triassic. DD: Massive limestones and dolostones of the Dorsal Units; Betic Internal Zones, Jurassic. EZ: Limestones, marls and sandstones; Betic External Zones, Jurassic-Cretaceous. 1: Marls, sands, conglomerates, reefal limestones; marine and fan delta deposits, Late Tortonian. 2: Massive, cemented conglomerates; proximal alluvial fan, Late Miocene-Early Pliocene. 3: Limestones and marls; lacustrine, Early Pliocene. 4: Grey and red silts, clays, limestones and conglomerates; distal alluvial fan-marginal lacustrine, Pliocene. 5: Red conglomerates and sands; alluvial fan, Pliocene-Early Pleistocene. 6a: White clays and conglomerates; alluvial fan, Pliocene-Early Pleistocene. 6b: Sandy silts, clays, conglomerates and limestone levels; alluvial fan, Pliocene-Early Pleistocene. 7: Grey silts with marl levels and conglomerates on top; lacustrine and fan-delta, Pliocene-Early Pleistocene. 8: Sandy silts, sands and marls; alluvial fan-marginal lacustrine, Pliocene-Early Pleistocene. 9: Dark grey and greenish silts, sands and clays; terminal alluvial fan, Early Pleistocene. 10: Sandy marls and silts with conglomerates and sands; terminal alluvial fan, Pliocene-Early Pleistocene. 11: Layered silts, marls and gypsum levels; lacustrine, Pliocene-Middle Pleistocene. 12: Layered silts and gypsum; distal lacustrine, Pliocene-Middle Pleistocene. 13: Exorheic deposits (travertines, colluvial, fluvial terraces and valley bottom); Late Pleistocene-Holocene.
Lithostratigraphic units deposited during the endorheic stage can be separated into three groups according to their relative positions with respect to the BF: i) units deposited in the footwall, ii) units deposited in the central block (fault zone) and iii) units deposited in the hanging wall (Fig. 3).

The footwall of the BF (Fig. 2) is dominated in the SW of the study area by Late Miocene to Lower Pliocene proximal alluvial fan deposits (unit 2, Fig. 3), the source of which was the S margin of the basin (García-García et al., 2006; Goy et al., 1989; Guerra-Merchán, 1992). To the N, unit 2 laterally grades to distal fluvial and marginal lacustrine deposits (Fig. 3) of unit 3 (Lower Pliocene, ca. 5 to 4.5Myr; Guerra-Merchán, 1992). To the N, unit 2 laterally grades to distal fluvial and marginal lacustrine deposits (Fig. 3) of unit 3 (Lower Pliocene, ca. 5 to 4.5Myr; Guerra-Merchán et al., 1991; Guerra-Merchán and Ruíz-Bustos, 1992; Ros-Montoya et al., 2017) and units 4 and 9 (Pliocene to Lower Pleistocene, ca. 4 to 2Myr; Agustí et al., 2001). In the northernmost part of the footwall block, Pliocene to Lower Pleistocene distal alluvial fan deposits crop out (unit 6, Fig. 3), whose source is the N margin of the basin (Vera, 1970b).

The central block is dominated to the S by Late Miocene to Lower Pliocene alluvial fan facies (units 2 and 5, Fig. 3) (Guerra-Merchán, 1992). To the north, this unit changes laterally to Pliocene to Lower Pleistocene (ca. 2.5Myr) distal alluvial into lacustrine deposits (units 3, 4, 7 and 9, Fig. 3) (Guerra-Merchán et al., 1991; Guerra-Merchán and Ruíz-Bustos, 1992; Peña, 1979).

The hanging wall of the BF (Fig. 2) is dominated in the S and N of the study area by terminal alluvial fan to lacustrine deposits (units 8, 10 and 11, Fig. 3) (Gibert et al., 2007a; Guerra-Merchán and Ruíz-Bustos, 1992; Peña et al., 1977), Pliocene to Lower Pleistocene in age (ca. 0.8Myr on the top of unit 10). Units 8 and 11 change laterally into the central part of the Baza subbasin to distal lacustrine facies (unit 12, Fig. 3) (Gibert et al., 2007a, b), Pliocene–Middle Pleistocene in age (ca. 2.1 to 0.7Myr on the top).

Overlying these endorheic units, Pleistocene–Holocene sediments, such as colluvial slope deposits, travertines, floodplain deposits, and fluvial terraces (unit 13, Fig. 3), were deposited during the exorheic stage of the basin. Some of these recent deposits are offset by the BF (Castro et al., 2018).

Structural characterization of The Baza Fault

In this section, we describe the structural features of the BF We focus on macroscale features to describe the map-scale fault geometry and on mesoscale structures to analyse the fault kinematics.

Fault geometry

The surface geometry of the BF has been defined by means of geological mapping (1:5,000 scale). The resulting map shows the fault traces of the BF and the offset lithostratigraphic units. Mapping has been carried out from field observations combined with aerial photographs and digital elevation models with a resolution of 1m per pixel.

The BF is an approximately 40km-long structure, striking NNW–SSE to NW–SE, that crosses almost the entire Baza subbasin (Figs. 1; 2). The BF presents a curved trace in map view (Fig. 2). We divided the BF into two sectors based on the surface geometry of the fault array (Fig. 4A). The 19km-long northern sector strikes NNW–SSE, and its width varies between 40 and 1,000m. The southern sector strikes NW–SE, is 21km long, and has a width varying between 1 and 7km.

The subsurface geometry and total cumulative throw of the BF (Fig. 5) have been reconstructed using the available gravity survey carried out by Alfaro et al. (2008). These authors calculate the residual anomaly by subtracting the regional anomaly from that of the Bouguer. Seismic reflection surveys (Haberland et al., 2017) have been also used to support the sub-surface description of the BF. The gravity and seismic profiles have been georeferenced together with our surface data in structural 3D modelling software (MOVE©, developed by Petroleum Experts (PETREX)) to interpolate the 3D geometry of the fault and of the top surface of the Baza subbasin basement (Fig. 5C). This 3D interpolation shows that the general arrangement of the BF at depth is equivalent to the surface expression: a northern sector striking NNW–SSE and a southern sector striking NW–SE. The overall dip of the BF observable in the seismic profiles (Haberland et al., 2017), is approximately 55° to the east. The total cumulative throw of the BF can be determined from the available residual gravity anomaly survey (Alfaro et al., 2008) (Fig. 5A, B). The BF presents a maximum displacement of approximately 2,400m with two depocenters in the Baza subbasin (Fig. 5B). These maximum displacement values correspond to the central parts of the northern and southern sectors of the BF A zone with a lower cumulative throw (approximately 2,000m) is observed in the transition zone between the two fault sectors (Fig. 5B).

The surface expression of the BF varies along strike. It consists of a set of closely spaced faults, i.e. fault strands, interconnected between them, whose number ranges from only 1 strand in the N to more than 10 strands in the S. To define and describe the surface fault array, we grouped the fault strands into two categories according to their displacements and geomorphic expressions. Fault displacement has been estimated using stratigraphic markers and geomorphic features. Fault throw is easily measured when the same stratigraphic marker is observed at both sides of the fault. Stratigraphic markers in the study area record offsets of up to a few tens of metres (maximum valley incision in the area);
FIGURE 4. A) Surface geometry map of the Baza Fault (BF). Dashed rectangles show the location of the close-ups in B to F. B) Close-up of the northern termination of the BF. C) and D) Close-ups showing the main fault bends in the northern sector of the BF. E) Close-up of the transition area between the northern and southern sectors. F) Close-up of the southern termination of the F1 primary fault. Brown shading represents the main fault bends and relay zones. Green shading represents the relay zone R5 between the F2 and F3 faults. Dark red blobs represent towns. In these maps, stratigraphy is simplified by age.
A) Cross sections interpreted from the residual gravity anomaly (G-profiles 1 to 8) and seismic (S-profiles 1 and 2) profiles, modified from Alfaro et al. (2008) and Haberland et al. (2017), respectively. We simplified the cross-sections, so they show only two distinct layers of the Baza sub-basin: the basement (in purple) and the sedimentary cover (in yellow). Location in B. B) Residual gravity map (contour interval is 5mGal) of the Baza subbasin, modified from Alfaro et al. (2008). C) 3D model interpolated from the profiles in A. The purple surface is the interpolation of the contact between the basement and the cover. The red surface is the interpolation of the subsurface BF traces. This model shows that the Baza subbasin presents two depocenters. In addition, the model also shows that the subsurface orientation of the BF is NW-SE in the northern sector and NW-SE in the southern sector.

FIGURE 5. A) Cross sections interpreted from the residual gravity anomaly (G-profiles 1 to 8) and seismic (S-profiles 1 and 2) profiles, modified from Alfaro et al. (2008) and Haberland et al. (2017), respectively. We simplified the cross-sections, so they show only two distinct layers of the Baza sub-basin: the basement (in purple) and the sedimentary cover (in yellow). Location in B. B) Residual gravity map (contour interval is 5mGal) of the Baza subbasin, modified from Alfaro et al. (2008). C) 3D model interpolated from the profiles in A. The purple surface is the interpolation of the contact between the basement and the cover. The red surface is the interpolation of the subsurface BF traces. This model shows that the Baza subbasin presents two depocenters. In addition, the model also shows that the subsurface orientation of the BF is NW-SE in the northern sector and NW-SE in the southern sector.

We identified three block-bounding faults: F1, F2, and F3 (Figs. 4A; 6; 7). F1 can be traced all along the BF. F2 only crops out in the northern sector of the BF, while F3 only appears in the southern sector. F2 and F3 define the three main fault blocks previously mentioned (Figs. 4; 6): i) The footwall of the BF is located west of F1. ii) A central block is located between F1 and F2 in the northern sector and between F1 and F3 in the southern sector. This central block corresponds to the fault zone of the BF. iii) The hanging wall of the BF is located to

consequently, larger fault throws cannot be calculated using stratigraphic markers. We assume that offset is larger than a few tens of metres when two lithostratigraphic units with significantly different ages (age difference larger than 1Ma) are juxtaposed at both sides of a fault. Geomorphic features (the mountain front and fault scarps) record offsets between 1 and tens of metres. With these criteria, two groups of fault strands have been defined: i) block-bounding faults or main faults with larger displacements, i.e. large enough to juxtapose stratigraphic units with an age difference of at least 1Ma and/or with a geomorphic expression given by several tens of metres high mountain front; ii) intrablock faults or secondary faults with minor displacements, i.e. not large enough to juxtapose stratigraphic units with an age difference of at least 1Ma and a geomorphic expression given by fault scarps of a few metres high or less (no scarps).

We identified three block-bounding faults: F1, F2, and F3 (Figs. 4A; 6; 7). F1 can be traced all along the BF. It juxtaposes lithostratigraphic units of 4Myr and 2.5Myr, generating a mountain front up to approximately 140m. In addition, available seismic profiles (Haberland et al., 2017) show that F1 is the Baza half-graben border fault to the west (Fig. 5A). Consequently, we consider F1 as the fault with the largest displacement in all the BFs. F2 only crops out in the northern sector of the BF, while F3 only appears in the southern sector. F2 and F3 juxtapose lithostratigraphic units of ca. 2.5 and 1Myr and produce a small mountain front of a few tens of metres high (up to approximately 25m). In addition, seismic profiles show that F2 and F3 present less displacement than that of F1 (Fig. 5A). We interpret F2 and F3 as major fault splays of fault F1. F1, F2 and F3 define the three main fault blocks previously mentioned (Figs. 4; 6): i) The footwall of the BF is located west of F1. ii) A central block is located between F1 and F2 in the northern sector and between F1 and F3 in the southern sector. This central block corresponds to the fault zone of the BF. iii) The hanging wall of the BF is located to
the east of F2 and F3. The rest of the BF strands (Fig. 4) are considered secondary, i.e. intrablock faults that internally deform these three main blocks.

**Northern sector of the BF**

In the northern sector, the fault zone of the BF is bounded by F1 and F2, and deformation concentrates along a narrow damage zone (from 40 to 1,000m wide). In this northern sector, the overall strike of the BF grades from NNW–SSE (approximately N175E) to N–S (Figs. 4A; 6).

A closer look reveals that fault strands in the northern sector present local strike variations. These strike variations define fault bends extending tens to hundreds of metres along F1 (e.g. R1 in Fig. 4C and R4 in Fig. 4E) and F2 (e.g. R2 and R3 in Fig. 4D). Locally, in these areas, beds gently dip perpendicular to the fault bends (e.g. Fig. 4C). Intrablock faults striking parallel to the fault bends are also observed (Fig. 4C, E). These faults extend out of the fault bend striking parallel to the strike of the block-bounding faults (Fig. 4C, D, E). All these structural features evidence that these fault bends are breached relay ramps developed by hard-linked overlapping fault segments: Beds dipping...
Fault geometry into seismic potential: the Baza Fault

FIGURE 7. Structural measurements along the BF. Stereoplots 1 to 5 show the orientations of fault slip surfaces and slickenlines. Almost all the observed slickenlines indicate pure dip slip, i.e. a slip direction varying according to the BF strike. Consequently, the BF presents convergent directions of slip towards the centre of the Baza subbasin. White arrows show the mean slip directions and senses of slip.
perpendicular to the fault bends represent ramp-like folds, intrablock faults striking parallel to the fault bends conform the damage zone resulting after deformation within the relay ramp, and intrablock faults extending out of the fault bends represent the remains of the original linked fault segments.

Along-strike fault bends lead to width variations in the surface expression of the fault zone. In the northernmost part of the BF, the fault zone is only a few metres wide, as only one block-bounding fault (F1) is recognized (I-I’ in Fig. 6). Southwards, F2 branches out from F1 (Fig. 4C); this fact, combined with a right bend in F1, produces a widening of the fault zone up to approximately 200m (II- II’ in Fig. 6). The fault zone width decreases southwards down to 40-100m (III-III’ in Fig. 6) as a consequence of a left bend in F1. After that, successive right bends in both F1 and F2 southwards widen the fault zone up to 600m (IV-IV’ and V-V’ in Fig. 6). In addition to F1 and F2 block-bounding faults, the damage zone in the northern sector of the BF is composed of a variable number of interconnected intrablock faults. These are more abundant (a total of 9 distinct secondary fault strands, at map scale) along the southern part of the northern sector, leading to an approximately 1km-wide damage zone (Fig. 4A and V-V’ in Fig. 6).

**Northern termination**

As described above, along the northern termination of the BF, F1 and F2 join approximately 4km south of the fault tip (Fig. 4C and I-I’ in Fig. 6), and displacement concentrates on a single block-bounding fault (F1). In this northern termination of the BF, the fault strike changes from NNW–SSE (N175E) to SW–NE (N30E) (Figs. 4B; 7). In this area, the geomorphic expression of the BF is given by a subtle 1-2m-high scarp. In addition, in this northern termination, the BF juxtaposes stratigraphic units with analogous age (units 6b and 8, Pliocene-Early Pleistocene, Fig. 3 and I-I’ in Fig. 6). In this northernmost part of the BF, beds in the hanging wall gently dip to the south (approximately 5–10º), parallel to the fault trace (Fig. 4B). At the very end of the northern termination, the F1 fault splays into multiple secondary fault strands, leading to an approximately 800m-wide damage zone. The northern tip of the BF intersects another fault that extends eastwards striking N70E (Fig. 4A). In this area, minor SW–NE strike-slip faults crop out (Fig. 4B and I-I’ in Fig. 6).

**Southern sector of the BF**

In the transition between the northern and southern sectors, F2 coalesces with F1 (Fig. 4E). The northern tip point of the F3 fault is located approximately 500m north of this coalescence point; consequently, F2 and F3 partially overlap (R5 in Fig. 4E). This overlap is an approximately 500m-wide and 1000m-long area where bedding gently dips to the north, parallel to the BF strike. To the south, F3 accommodates a small proportion of the total offset of the BF; juxtaposing units with significantly different ages (Lower Pleistocene, ca. 2.5Myr (unit 7) against Upper Pleistocene, ca. 1Myr (unit 11) deposits; Figs. 3; 4A and VI-VI’ in Fig. 6). Consequently, in this southern sector, the fault zone of the BF is bounded by F1 and F3 (Fig. 4). The strike of the BF changes along the southern sector, grading southwards from N165E to N135E. Furthermore, in this sector, deformation is distributed in a wide damage zone that gradually widens southwards from 1 to 7km (Fig. 4A; 6).

Again, a closer look reveals that fault strands in the southern sector present strike variations. Along-strike fault bends extending hundreds to thousands of metres are also present along the trace of F1 (e.g. R6 and R7 in Fig. 4E; R8 and R10 in Fig. 4A). These variations define the trace of F1 formed by alternate portions striking approximately N165E and N135E (Fig. 4A). Additionally, fault bends tens to hundreds of metres long characterize F3 (e.g. R11 and R12 in Fig. 4A). All these bends are responsible for the curved traces of faults F1 and F3 in map view (Fig. 4A). Intrablock faults striking parallel to the fault bends are observed (e.g. R7 in Fig. 4E). In addition, intrablock faults extend out of the fault bend striking parallel to the strike of the block-bounding faults (e.g. R8 and R11 in Fig. 4A and R7 in Fig. 4E).

Successive right fault bends and overlaps are responsible for the gradual southward widening of the fault zone along the southern sector (Fig. 4A; 6). To the north of Baza town, the overlap between F2 and F3 produces an approximately 600m-wide fault zone (R5 in Fig. 4E and VI-VI’ in Fig. 6). Southwards, right bends along F1 produce a widening of the fault zone up to approximately 3km (R6 and R7 in Fig. 4A) and even up to 4km (R8 to R12 in Fig. 4A and VII-VII’ in Fig. 6).

In addition to the F1 and F3 block-bounding faults, the damage zone in the southern sector of the BF is composed of a large number of subparallel intrablock faults. These faults appear mainly in the central block and in the hanging wall. Intrablock faults are more abundant to the south (with 14 observable secondary fault strands), leading to a maximum damage zone width of 7km (Fig. 4A and VII-VII’ in Fig. 6). Many of these faults are not interconnected at the surface, so faults are soft linked by means of fault overlap.

**Southern termination**

Displacement in the southern termination of the BF is distributed into two block-bounding faults (F1 and F3).
and several intrablock faults (Fig. 2). In this southern termination, F1 presents a geomorphic expression defined by a mountain front approximately 25m high and juxtaposes Upper Miocene (Tortonian) unit 1 in the footwall and Upper Miocene-Pliocene unit 2 (Fig. 2 and VII-VII’ in Fig. 6) in the hanging wall. Towards the SE, the geomorphic expression of F1 is subtler (approximately 2m-high scarp), and the fault juxtaposes unit 2 in both the footwall and hanging wall. Farther to the SE, F1 splays into several minor fractures (Fig. 4F). F3 also shows a prominent geomorphic expression (approximately 20m-high mountain front). This geomorphic expression gradually becomes subtler southwards. The F3 fault juxtaposes the same units in both the footwall and the hanging wall (Fig. 2, locally, F3 juxtaposes units 1 and 5 because unit 5 is only approximately 5m thick).

**Fault kinematics**

In this section, we analyse the BF kinematics from mesoscopic structural features. Slickenlines are the most common kinematic indicators observed on the fault slip surfaces along the BF (Fig. 7). Slickenlines and slickenlines are more abundant in the northern sector of the BF, as these features are better preserved in fine-grained lithologies (e.g. unit 9). Most of the observed slickenlines present rakes ranging between 75 and 90º, indicating an almost pure dip slip. Consequently, most of the measured slickenlines indicate slip direction orthogonal to the fault traces. Thus, slip directions in the northern sector of the BF are in agreement with the overall ENE–WSW regional subhorizontal extension. An exception is observed in the northern termination of the fault, where slickenlines indicate NW–SE slip directions. We postulate that this pattern of slickenlines (Fig. 7) could be related to local perturbations related to the interaction zone between the Galera and the BF. Moreover, strike of fault traces gradually changes along the southern sector of the BF. As consequence, slip directions also grades from ENE–WSW to NE–SW (Fig. 7). We hypothesize that this pattern could also be related to interaction of the BF with a major active structure, in this case with the Almanzora fault system. The overall convergent pattern of slickenlines observed in the BF (Fig. 7) could be also related to an effect of accommodation of the soft-rocks of the hanging wall, due to the curved geometry of the BF at surface. In addition, scarce oblique slickenlines (rake between 40 and 60º) are locally observed in the fault bends (e.g. R12 in Fig. 4A, stereoplot 5 in Fig. 7). These striaitions indicate oblique kinematics with a main normal component and a minor left-lateral component.

Joints and gypsum veins are abundant throughout the damage zone (Figs. 7; 8A). These fractures are vertical and strike approximately parallel to the BF (Figs. 7; 8A). Thus, vertical veins and joints are subparallel between them but oblique to the fault dip. Fibres within these veins consist of straight gypsum crystals, indicating an opening trajectory perpendicular to the vein surface. These features indicate that no shearing occurred during the veins formation. Consequently, observed joints and veins are in agreement with a subhorizontal extension perpendicular to the fault traces. In zones where the BF offsets fine-grained lithologies (e.g. unit 9), shear zones between slip surfaces show pseudo-SC structures (Fig. 8B). Fault-related folds are also a common feature along the BF (Fig. 8C).

All the above exposed kinematic criteria are in agreement with the results obtained from palaeomagnetic data in the northern sector of the BF (Marcén et al., 2019) and with the ENE–WSW regional extension evidenced by previous authors (e.g. Galindo-Zaldívar et al., 2015).

**DISCUSSION OF THE ALONG-STRIKE GEOMETRIC VARIATIONS OF THE BAZA FAULT**

As we described in the section Fault geometry, we have recognized two sectors in the BF according to the differences in the surface geometry of the fault array (Fig. 4): a northern sector striking N–S to NNE–SSE (N175E) characterized by a narrow damage zone (maximum width of 1km) and a southern sector that strikes NW–SE (N165E to N135E) characterized by a wide damage zone (maximum width of 7km). In this section we discuss these two distinct sectors could be the consequence of three factors: i) a heterogeneous basement, ii) the orientation of the BF with respect to the regional extension and iii) the interaction with other active faults.

i) The BF offsets a heterogeneous basement. The northern sector of the BF offsets a massive and mechanically homogeneous limestone succession belonging to the Frontal Units of the Betic Internal Zones (Martín-Algarra et al., 2004) (Figs. 2; 3). Consequently, the fault presents a homogeneous dipping geometry and, therefore, a narrow damage zone at the surface (Ferril et al., 2017) (Fig. 9A). The southern sector of the BF offsets a basement belonging to the Alpujarride Complex (Figs. 2; 3), consisting of alternate mechanically heterogeneous layers (e.g. Delgado, 1978; García Dueñas et al., 1992; Orozco and Alonso-Chaves, 2002). As a result, an along-dip step geometry of the BF is expected in this area (Ferril et al., 2017). These fault steps represent asperities to fault movement, resulting in a hanging wall extensive strain that forms contractional or extensional areas where fault networks develop and splay upwards (e.g. Childs et al., 2009; Janecke et al., 2010; Kurt et al., 2013; Legg et al., 2007; Peacock and Anderson, 2012). This led to the formation of the wide damage zone that can be observed on the surface in the BF southern sector (Fig. 9A).
The two sectors of the BF present different orientations with respect to regional extension. The orientation of the basement faults with respect to the regional stress field controls the surface geometry of the fault systems when they propagate upwards through the cover (e.g. Bott, 1959; Giba et al., 2012; Jackson and Rotevatn, 2013; Saltzer and Polland, 1992; Whipp et al., 2013). The narrow northern sector is a result of the upward propagation into the sedimentary cover of the NNW–SSE striking BF at the basement (Fig. 5). That is, in this sector, the subsurface BF strikes subperpendicular to the WSW–ENE regional extension. Buried faults striking oblique to the extension direction first produce individual fault segments in the cover that strike approximately perpendicular to the extension. More recently, these segments linked up, resulting in a large fault subparallel to the strike of the basement fault.

FIGURE 8. A) Panoramic view of vertical gypsum veins associated with F1 in the northern sector of the BF. Veins strike parallel to the BF. Picture location: 518574.869m E, 4160787.898m N. B) Pseudo SC structures related to the F2 fault zone in the northern sector of the BF. The tool, shown for scale, is 40cm long. Picture location: 518633.962m E, 4160155.895m N. C) Drag folds related to a secondary fault in the southern sector of the BF. Picture location: 526556.235m E, 4144076.283m N. Projected coordinate system: ETRS 1989, UTM Zone 30N.
FIGURE 9. Schematic sketch representing how the heterogeneous basement of the Baza subbasin is responsible for along-strike width variations in the BF. In the northern sector, the BF offsets a mechanically homogeneous basement (in blue), so it presents a flat along-dip geometry. This leads to the development of a narrow damage zone in the cover (in yellow). In the southern sector, the BF offsets a basement with significant contrast in competence (in purple), so it presents a step along-dip geometry. This leads to the development of a wide damage zone in the cover (in yellow). B) Schematic sketch representing how the orientation of the basement BF with respect to the regional extension controls the strike and orientation of the fault array on the surface. In the northern sector, the BF at depth is oriented subperpendicular to the regional extension. Consequently, a narrow damage zone, consisting of linked segments perpendicular to the extension, is developed on the surface. In the southern sector, the BF at depth is oblique to the regional extension, so faults perpendicular to the extension (black lines) developed in the cover. In the second stage, these initial faults were linked by segments oblique to the extension (red dotted lines), leading to a wide damage zone on the surface. C) Schematic sketch representing how fault interaction is responsible for along-strike variations in the strike and width of the BF. Interaction between the Galera Fault and the Almanzora fault system led to a widening of the BF damage zone due to the development of interaction damage zones.
and producing wider damage zones in the cover (Fig. 9B) (e.g. Deng et al., 2017; Fossen and Rotevatn, 2016). This can be observed in the BF southern sector, where fault strands are formed by minor portions oriented approximately perpendicular to the WSW–ENE extension direction (N175E to N165E), joined by segments oblique to the extension and subparallel to the basement BF (e.g. F1 in Figs. 2; 4).

iii) The interaction of the BF with other active faults located beyond the fault tip leads to the development of interaction damage zones (sensu Peacock et al., 2016). In these damage zones, deformation is distributed in the rock volume to accommodate displacement and geometric variations along the faults generated by fault interaction (Mouslopoulou et al., 2007; Peacock et al., 2017a, b). The BF interacts to the northeast with the left-lateral Galera Fault and, to the southeast, with the Almanzora Corridor fault system, which presents overall right-lateral kinematics (Fig. 9C) (Galindo-Zaldívar et al., 2015; García-Tortosa et al., 2011; Guerra-Merchán, 1992; Martínez-Martínez, 2006; Pedrera, 2006, 2008). These interactions would be responsible for the change in strike and widening observed in both the northern and southern terminations of the BF.

## SEISMOGENIC CHARACTERIZATION OF THE BAZA FAULT

### Is the Baza Fault segmented?

The above-described northern and southern sectors of the BF are defined according to the surface geometry of the fault. We discuss in this section whether these two sectors can be considered two seismogenic segments, i.e. whether their differences could act as significant barriers to fracture propagation in past and future earthquakes.

To analyse the segmentation of the BF we mainly use its geometry, applying the segmentation models defined by Boncio et al. (2004) and Field et al. (2015) (Table 1). According to Boncio et al. (2004), seismogenic master faults are structures that can be considered substantially continuous at depths of several kilometres, even if in some cases they are divided at the surface in closely spaced structures of minor hierarchical order. Seismogenic master faults are separated from each other by first-order structural or geometric complexities, such as fault gaps, sharp bends, intersecting structures, or en echelon geometries (Table 1). According to the 2013 Uniform California Earthquake Rupture Forecast, Version 3 (UCERF3) model (Field et al., 2015), faults are divided into multiple subsections. These subsections will interact to propagate fault rupture if they fit to a list of criteria based on fault gaps, azimuthal and rake changes, and the Coulomb criterion (Table 1). As previously described, there are azimuth differences between the northern (N–S to N175E) and southern sectors (N165E to N135E) of the BF. However, neither the azimuthal changes nor the spacing between F2 and F3 (R5, Fig. 4E) exceed the thresholds established by Boncio et al. (2004) and Field et al. (2015) to be considered rupture propagation barriers. We also apply these criteria to the fault bends (e.g. R1, R4 and R8) and overlaps (e.g. R9) observed along the BF to check if they can act as propagation barriers within these two sectors, but none of them exceed these thresholds (Table 1).

Kinematics criteria can also be used to define fault segments, i.e. differences in the slip rates or slip direction can act as propagation barriers (e.g. Chartier et al., 2019). Available kinematic data show that there is no significant variation in the slip direction (Fig. 7; Table 1), and differences in fault slip rates between the northern (0.2–0.5mm/yr, García-Tortosa et al., 2011) and southern sectors (0.12–0.33mm/yr, Alfaro et al., 2008) are small and poorly constrained. GPS-derived data seem to indicate different current slip rates in the northern and southern sectors of the BF (Alfaro et al., in press). However, these data are too dispersed to determine if these slip rates clearly define two different

### TABLE 1. Geometric segmentation criteria applied to the BF. The first and second columns show the threshold values that each feature must exceed for being considered as a rupture propagation barrier, according to Boncio et al. (2004) and Field et al. (2015), respectively. The third column shows the maximum values measured along the BF for each of these features.

|                     | Boncio et al. (2004) | Field et al. (2015) | Baza Fault (max values) |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Fault gaps          | 3-4km                | ≥ 5km               | ~ 100-500m              |
| Intersecting structures | 4-9km               | -                   | NO                      |
| Fault bends/junction azimuth changes | ≥ 60°              | ≥ 60°               | 45°                     |
| En echelon separations | 2-5km               | -                   | 1km                     |
| Total azimuth change | -                   | ≥ 60°               | ~40°                    |
| Cumulative azimuth change | -                   | ≥ 560°              | 439°                    |
| Cumulative rake change | -                   | ≥ 180°              | 20°                     |
segments or whether there is a gradual change in slip rate. Consequently, according to geometric and kinematic criteria, we propose that despite the two distinct sectors observed in the BF, the entire fault should be considered as a single master seismogenic fault that is not divided into seismogenic segments.

**Maximum expected magnitude and mean recurrence times**

To evaluate the seismic potential of the BF two parameters have been computed: the maximum magnitude $M_{\text{max}}$ and the mean recurrence time between $M_{\text{max}}$ events, with their respective associated uncertainties. For that, we used different empirical relationships. First, we used the FiSH (Fault into Seismic Hazard) code (Pace et al., 2016). This software enables to characterize and model faults as seismogenic sources for PSHA. It allows to calculate parameters, such as $M_{\text{max}}$ and mean recurrence times, that serve as inputs to estimate the seismic hazard. These parameters are estimated from fault data, which can include geometrical, seismic and geological information. However, the FiSH code only uses two empirical relationships to calculate $M_{\text{max}}$ (Leonard et al., 2010; Wells and Coppersmith, 1994). These relations are widely used and conceptually valid. Moreover, an advantage of using FiSH is that it is designed to take into account the uncertainties of the scale relationships, providing the standard deviations for each $M_{\text{max}}$ value. Even so, we decided to calculate $M_{\text{max}}$ using other scale relationships to make a comparison. The chosen regressions are those developed by Stirling et al. (2002) and Wesnousky (2008), as their use is recommended for normal faults.

In the case of the maximum magnitude ($M_{\text{max}}$) that a fault can host, FiSH computes different values from different approaches and/or uses different scale relationships. The code fits these particular values and uncertainties to a global normal distribution, providing a final $M_{\text{max}}$ mean value and its standard deviation. Input parameters include the total length, mean dip and seismogenic depth of the fault, as well as the maximum recorded event, if available (Table 2). For the BF fault length and dip were set according to the structural data obtained from the characterization carried out in this work. The seismic depth was set to 15km based on the depth of recorded earthquakes in the area (Spanish IGN seismic catalogue, Martínez-Solares and Mezcua, 2002) and the criterion used in this region for other authors (e.g. Galindo-Zaldivar et al., 1997). The 1531 AD Baza earthquake has been considered the maximum recorded event. As this is a historical event, its magnitude has been calculated using a relationship between the maximum macroseismic intensity and moment magnitude (Mw). The latest update of the maximum intensity value for this event is VIII-IX (Martínez Solares and Mezcua, 2002) in the EMS-98 scale (Grünthal, 1998). We used the relationship developed specifically to calculate the Spanish seismic hazard map for the last update of the Spanish seismic-

| Fault parameter | Input value | Previous event | Recurrence time | Recurrence distribution |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Fault length    | 37.5 km     | Baza event: 1531 AD | 2002 years     | CV: 1.45 |
| Fault dip       | 55°         | Paleoevent 7: 8665 BC | 2222 years     | CV: 1.45 |
| Seismogenic Depth| 15 km      | Paleoevent 6: 13790 BC | 5370 years     | CV: 0.46 |
| Slip-rates Min | 0.2 mm/yr   | Paleoevent 5: 15705 BC | 5370 years     | CV: 0.46 |
| Max             | 0.5 mm/yr   | Paleoevent 4: 22223 BC | 5310 years     | CV: 0.46 |

**TABLE 2.** Input parameters used in the seismic potential assessment of the BF (upper rows) and resulting values obtained for the $M_{\text{max}}$ and recurrence times (lower rows)
resistant building code (IGN-UPM, 2013). The result is a Mw 6.3±0.4 value for the 1531 AD Baza earthquake. The uncertainty of this magnitude value includes both the uncertainty in the intensity assignment and the uncertainty in the used relationship. Considering fault dimensions, this value appears as a low value to the maximum magnitude and does not influence this result too much.

The value of the maximum expected magnitude (M_{max}) obtained using the above-mentioned input parameters ranges between Mw 6.6±0.4 and Mw 6.7±0.4, depending on the scale relationship used (Wells and Coppersmith (1994) or Leonard (2010), respectively, Table 2).

As we previously mentioned, we also computed M_{max} using other relationships. The obtained values are Mw 7.1 and Mw 6.9, using Stirling et al. (2002) and Wesnousky (2008), respectively (Table 2). The results are very similar to those derived from FiSH if the standard deviations are considered. We interpret the slight differences as result of the different earthquake dataset used by each regression.

The mean recurrence time between M_{max} events has also been computed, in this case using the criterion proposed by Wesnousky (1986) and Field et al. (1999). The seismic moment is computed for an M_{max} event and is collated to the released moment rate obtained from the known slip rate. For this, the M_{max} event is considered a characteristic event, i.e. an earthquake that is expected with a certain recurrence interval.

The mean slip rate of the BF ranges between 0.2 and 0.5mm/yr (García-Tortosa et al., 2011; Table 2). These are long-term slip rates estimated by using the glacial as a geomorphic marker (ca. 500kyr). The resulting mean recurrence time between M_{max} events in the BF range between approximately 2,000 and 2,200 years, using the M_{max} values obtained from the scale relationships of Wells and Coppersmith (1994) or Leonard (2010), respectively. The coefficient of variation is equal to 1.4 in both cases (Table 2). The uncertainty of this result is very high, showing the influence of both the uncertainty in the knowledge of the slip rate and the uncertainty in the recorded maximum magnitude because, in this case, it was not recorded directly. Regardless, the M_{max} value seems to be an upper limit value and may not be a real characteristic earthquake. There is no consistent evidence, considering the palaeoseismological record and historical events, that this magnitude could be previously hosted by the fault.

The available palaeoseismological record (Castro et al., 2018) shows evidence of surface-rupture events in the past. Therefore, the recurrence time for these earthquakes has also been calculated. The surface-rupturing chronology used for this purpose includes eight events that occurred between ca. 45,000 BC and 1531 AD, including the historical 1531 AD Baza earthquake (Table 2). According to Castro et al. (2018), the minimum magnitude for the earthquakes represented in the palaeoseismological record for the BF is around Mw 6. We have considered both the time and uncertainties (i.e. youngest and oldest year for each occurrence) of each event. Using the FiSH code, these data have been adjusted, using simulations, to well-known temporal distributions. Although all the considered distributions give almost the same results, the Weibull distribution (e.g. Patel et al., 1976) provided the best adjustment. This is an inter-occurrence time distribution that has a scale-invariant hazard function, showing very good agreement in many faults with reported palaeoseismological events (Abaimov et al., 2008).

Regardless, the Brownian Passage Time (BPT) distribution (Matthews et al., 2002) gives quite similar results.

The obtained mean recurrence time for the palaeoseismological events using both the BPT and the Weibull distributions is approximately 5,300–5,400 years, respectively. The coefficient of variation is equal to 0.46 (Table 2). It must be highlighted that the obtained result is not the mean recurrence time for M_{max} but for those surface rupture events that are represented in the palaeoseismological record. These values are similar to 4,750–5,150 years fault-wide earthquake recurrence intervals calculated using Monte Carlo analysis from palaeoseismic data (Castro et al., 2018).

Figure 10 depicts the Probability Distribution Function (PDF) and the Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF) for the adjusted Weibull distribution using the computed parameters. The PDF represents the probability that a new earthquake happens in a certain time after the occurrence of the last one (elapsed time t), and the CDF represents the probability that a new earthquake happens in a time less than or equal to t. For clarification, the elapsed time since the 1531 Baza earthquake is included.

The above-exposed recurrence times obtained for the M_{max} earthquakes using long-term slip-rates and for the available palaeoseismological record are significantly different (2,000–2,200 and 5,300–5,400 years, respectively). This difference could be related to the fact that calculations using long term slip-rates may be overestimated. Long-term slip rates incorporate the seismic displacement, but also the potential aseismic displacement related to creeping processes along the BF (Sparacino et al., 2020) and the displacement produced by low-magnitude earthquakes with no surface ruptures. On the contrary, recurrence intervals obtained from the palaeoseismological data may be underestimated. Palaeoseismological record of Castro et al. (2018) is obtained from only 2 of the many strands of the BF.
addition, the paleoe earthquake record is intrinsically incomplete, as it is likely that there are more paleoevents that have not been identified in the trenches because of the distributed character of the deformation along the BF strands. This makes that the used palaeoseismic data are not representative for the whole fault. Consequently, we assume that the recurrence times obtained from long-term slip rates represent a minimum value, as they incorporate the displacement produced by creeping and by low-magnitude earthquakes. On the contrary, recurrence times obtained from the palaeoseismological data represent a maximum value, as they are based on incomplete data.

In conclusion, we suggest that the real recurrence times for the surface-rupturing earthquakes of the BF may be an intermediate value between those obtained from the long-term slip rates (2,000–2,200 years, minimum value) and those obtained from the palaeoseismological record (5,300–5,400 years, maximum value).

**Geodetic scenario for the M\textsubscript{max} event**

Once the M\textsubscript{max} magnitude earthquake has been estimated, a geodetic scenario for this event has been modelled. This requires the computation of the static displacement (i.e. the permanent displacement caused by a specific earthquake) based on the fault geometry and the modelling of the displacement among the two blocks along the fault plane. To evaluate this scenario, the Coulomb 3.3 code (Toda et al., 2011) was used. This software uses Okada’s approach (Okada, 1985, 1992) to model the deformation of an elastic half-space with constant elastic properties. The fault has been modelled as several fault planes, adjusting as well as possible the geometry of the BF.

Given the geometry (total length, dip and seismogenic depth) of the fault, the M\textsubscript{max} magnitude has been modelled using a uniform displacement among blocks of 0.65m, which involves a seismic moment on the order of 1.24·10\textsuperscript{26} dyn·cm, i.e. a Mw 6.7 event (sensu Wells and Coppersmith, 1994). A transition zone was used at the edges of the fault to avoid significant discontinuities in the fault displacement, although this does not significantly affect the computed displacement field. The software models an upward movement of the footwall and a downward movement of the hanging wall equal to, in both cases, half of the net slip/displacement.

The obtained results are shown in Figure 11. Vertical and horizontal deformations can be observed jointly, clearly showing the large area affected from a geodetic point of view for such earthquakes. Downward permanent displacements in the hanging wall block reach values that exceed 0.40m, embracing all the central half of this block. In contrast, upward permanent displacements in the footwall block are on the order of a few centimetres. Two areas of maximum vertical displacement are observed in the hanging wall, one to the N of Baza town and another to the W of Caniles town (Fig. 11). We interpret these two maximum displacement areas as features derived from the irregularities of the fault trace. Where the fault present curvatures along its trace, maximum vertical displacement areas are developed. Horizontal permanent displacements reach values that exceed 0.20m in the footwall block and 0.15m in the hanging wall block. Horizontal displacements show a behaviour that globally can be described as an overall WSW–ENE extension. Local variations in this overall trend are observed, especially in the hanging wall block. We postulate that these variations in the direction of the coseismic displacement vectors are related to near-field irregularities related with changes of the fault geometry. In the northern sector of the BF, the horizontal displacement direction varies from NW–SE (approximately N150E in the Cortes de Baza town) to WSW–ENE (approximately N085E in Baza town) (Fig. 11). In the southern sector, the horizontal displacement direction varies from WSW–ENE (approximately N085E in Baza town, Fig. 11) to SW–NE (approximately N040E close to Caniles town) (Fig. 11).

These results show a typical behaviour of a normal fault (e.g. Kobayashi et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2008) and fit with the geological kinematic data (Fig. 8). This type of displacement maps could help in the design of geodetic networks focused on the study of regional or local deformations in the area. For example, observed local anomalies of the displacement vectors close to the fault, diverging from the overall direction of the regional deformation, indicate that GPS stations should not be installed too close to the fault traces to avoid these local effects.
CONCLUSIONS

A structural characterization permits us to consider two different sectors in the BF: i) a northern sector striking N–S to NNW–SSE (N175E) characterized by a narrow damage zone (maximum width of 1km) and ii) a southern sector striking NW–SE (N165E to N135E) characterized by a wide damage zone (maximum width of 7km). (Figs. 2; 4).

The along-strike geometric variation between the northern and southern sectors is caused by i) a different basement in the north and south of the Baza subbasin that controls the fault geometry at depth and thus the geometry of the upwards propagated damage zone in the cover (Fig. 9A); ii) different orientations of the BF in the basement between the northern and southern sectors with respect to the WSE–ENE regional extension (Fig. 9B) and iii) the interaction of the BF with other active faults leads to interaction damage zones near the fault terminations (Fig. 9C). The kinematic analysis (Fig. 7) shows pure normal fault kinematics in most of the BF except in some fault bends, where the fault presents an oblique slip.

A seismogenic characterization of the BF is carried out based on the geometric, kinematic, slip rate and seismic data of the BF to discuss fault segmentation and to evaluate the seismic potential of the fault. The BF constitutes a single seismogenic master fault according to geometric and kinematic segmentation criteria. The
seismic potential of the BF is defined by calculating $M_{\text{max}}$ and recurrence times using different empirical relations (Table 2). The expected $M_{\text{max}}$ considering an entire rupture of the BF ranges between Mw 6.6±0.4 (Wells and Coppersmith, 1994), Mw 6.7±0.4 (Leonard, 2010), Mw 6.9 (Wesnousky, 2008) and Mw 7.1 (Stirling et al., 2002). Recurrence times between $M_{\text{max}}$ events range between approximately 2,000 and 2,200 years (CV=1.45). Furthermore, recurrence times calculated from the palaeoseismological record, using both the BPT and the Weibull distributions, are approximately 5,300–5,400 years (CV=0.46), respectively. We suggest that the actual recurrence times for the BF may range between the overestimated value obtained from the long-term slip rates (2,000–2,200 years) and the underestimated value obtained from the palaeoseismological record (5,300–5,400 years).

A geodetic rupture scenario has been modelled for an $M_{\text{max}}$ event of Mw 6.7 (Fig. 11). Vertical permanent displacements are higher in the hanging wall block, reaching values that exceed 0.40m in the central part of this block. Horizontal permanent displacements present values that exceed 0.20m and 0.15m in the footwall and hanging wall blocks, respectively. This scenario shows an overall WSW–ENE extension, which fits with the present geodynamic setting of the central Betic Cordillera. However, local variations in the direction of extension are observed due to the variations in the fault geometry, especially in the hanging wall block.

The results here presented include a detailed analysis of geometrical heterogeneities both in surface but also in deep structural levels of the BF. In addition, a seismogenic characterization is presented based on these new fault data. Both the structural data and the estimation of the seismogenic parameters of the fault will be relevant for future seismic hazard studies in the central Betic Cordillera, considered as one of the most seismically active areas in the Iberian Peninsula. Our results will also be very helpful in the design of geodetic networks, and in studies of upscaling, subsurface imaging, and reservoir modelling of highly complex fault zones in poorly lithified sediments that are presently developing several international research groups in the BF area.

As a final note, and as future worklines, it is worth noting that the realization of palaeoseismological studies in as many faults (or fault strands of a fault system) as possible would be essential in order to improve the accuracy and quality of studies focused in the characterization of seismogenic structures in the region. Moreover, to achieve an accurate characterization of the subsurface geometry of the main active faults in the area, it may be also necessary to carry out detailed geophysical surveys, e.g. seismic reflection profiles, with a proper resolution.
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