Comparative Studies Of Three Formulated Artificial Litchi Flavored Drinks Under Storage
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Abstract:
The aim to the present study was to formulate of artificial litchi flavored drinks, to assess quality parameters like pH, acidity, TSS and sensory characteristics of three formulated artificial litchi flavored drinks were investigated under 28 days of storage at ambient (30±2°C) temperature. The pH values for all samples varied from 3.53 to 3.65 under the entire storage duration. The acidity found in three different samples ranged from 0.155 to 0.161% under storage condition. The TSS possess among the samples between 15.43 to 16.10 Brix. The sensory profile of three different formulation was evaluated in terms of color, flavor, taste and overall acceptability. The sensory parameters were followed the decreasing trend after end of the storage except sample 3. Although, initially sample 3 found in lowest sensory acceptance but retained the more stable score under the entire storage period which is significantly different (P≤0.05) from other samples. Considering pH, acidity, TSS values under entire storage condition the formulation of sample 3 had shown better results although with few exceptions there was no significant difference (P≥0.05) among the samples. However, end of the study we recommended that, the formulation of sample 3 had better among other sample 1 and sample 2 in terms of quality and cost.
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1.0. Introduction
Lychee (Litchi chinensis Sonn.) is one of the most important sub-tropical evergreen fruit trees, grow well in Bangladesh which originated in southern China and possibly northern Viet Nam belongs to the Sapindaceae family [1]. It is a highly priced, popular and major table fruit in Bangladesh. Fresh lychee is always very high demand due to its unique taste, flavor and color. A rich source of vitamin C, litchi contains a fair amount of phosphorus, Calcium, Iron, Vitamin A and B. Litchi pulp contains 15.9% seed, 9.6% pericarp, 74.5% edible portion, 78.2% moisture, 1.2% acid, 0.97% oil, 0.94% protein, 0.69% ash, 6.89% free reducing sugars, 6.68% hydrolysable sugars and 13.75% total sugars [1]. Litchis are generally eaten fresh, but can also be consumed as other litchi-based products like dried litchi, canned litchi, frozen litchi, litchi honey, litchi wine, health beneficial litchi drinks to name a few have been documented [2]. Litchi also utilize for the development of different value-added products like jelly, juice and squash [1].

Nowadays, fruit drinks is synthetically produced with artificial flavor like lychee, strawberry, orange etc. and bottled as well as sold in the market. Prepared drink (beverage) are also in high demand due to their thirst quenching, nutritious, easily digestible, appetizing, and highly refreshing properties for human intake. Although fruit juice is far better than synthetic or artificial fruit drink that are available in market which is considered as high calorific value make them popular and acceptable as well as meet the current demand of the population [3]. Development of artificial drink utilizing by the local producer is significantly important for expanding the country’s ever-growing food companies.

Usually, artificial flavored drink contained with sugar, stabilizer (like Macogel, Carboxy Methyl Cellulose (CMC), citric acid, sodium benzoate, Potassium sorbet, and permitted artificial litchi flavor and litchi concentrate etc. that are available in market which is considered as high calorific value make them popular and acceptable as well as meet the current demand of the population [3]. Development of artificial drink utilizing by the local producer is significantly important for expanding the country’s ever-growing food companies.

2.0. Materials and Method
2.1. Sample collection and preparation
All raw materials were purchased from the local market, Dhaka, Bangladesh. In the laboratory, raw materials were weighed according to the formulation and packed with polyethylene bag until use.

2.2. Chemical and reagents
Sugar, Macogel, CMC, Compound stabilizer, citric acid, sodium benzoate, potassium sorbet, and permitted artificial litchi flavor and litchi concentrate etc. were used in this study.

Table 1. Formulation of artificial litchi flavored drinks

| Sl. No | Ingredients                                | Sample 1 (%) | Sample 2 (%) | Sample 3 (%) |
|-------|-------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 01    | Sugar                                     | 16.00        | 16.00        | 16.00        |
| 02    | *Macogel/CMC/Compound stabilizer          | 0.08         | 0.08         | 0.08         |
| 03    | Ascorbic acid                             | 0.012        | 0.012        | 0.012        |
| 04    | Citric acid                               | 0.16         | 0.16         | 0.16         |
| 05    | Sodium benzoate (20% N Solution)          | 0.0962       | 0.0962       | 0.0962       |
| 06    | Potassium sorbet (20% N Solution)         | 0.035        | 0.035        | 0.035        |
| 07    | Litchi emulation & Flavor                 | 0.100        | 0.100        | 0.100        |
| 08    | Litchi concentrate                        | 0.800        | 0.800        | 0.800        |
| 09    | Water                                     | 83.54        | 83.54        | 83.54        |

*For sample 1 used Macogel, sample 2 used CMC and sample 3 used compound stabilizer
2.3. Preparation of artificial litchi flavored drinks

The sucrose is added to the purified water at 2:3 ratios in a syrup preparation tank and heated (70-80°C) until dissolved completely, then strained and sufficient purified water added to make the desired weight or volume. Blending for 60 minutes and maintain the final brix at 60°. During cooking, syrup mixed with water, fresh Litchi concentrate and blending for few minutes and add Sodium benzoate then add Citric Acid, Ascorbic acid, Potassium Sorbet, Macogel, CMC, Compound stabilizer. Mixing the ingredients at steam pressure 0.5-1.5 kg/cm² and temperature (80-85) °C. After 30-35 minutes later check the brix if get as per required then add color and flavor. Then filtered by SS net and transfer to the reserved tank. After cooking the mixture send to the filling machine through pipe line. And it’s falls into the polypropylene cup by filling valve. During filling, temperature (40-45) °C is maintained. After filling the product sealed at 200-220°C. Then it pasteurized at 85°C for 15 minutes. End of the pasteurization, the product pass through the cooling tank and cooling at temperature (38°C) using normal water for 4 to 5 min. After cooling operation, the product become wet and drying by air blower for 4 to 5 minutes. Finally, dried product fill into suitable package (Figure 1).

![Flow diagram of Artificial litchi flavored drinks](image)

2.4. Determination of pH

The pH of artificial litchi flavored drink was measured by a pH meter (Hanna Instruments, HI 8417, Italy) calibrated using buffers of pH 7.0 and 4.0. Then the pH of the samples was recorded.

2.5. Determination of Acidity/Titratable Acidity

Acidity of artificial litchi flavored drinks was determined according to the method reported with few modifications [4]. 5 ml of sample was diluted to 95 ml of de-ionized-distilled water. 10 mL diluted sample was taken in conical flask. After that, 2-3 drops of phenolphthalein indicator were added to the flask. Then the solution was titrated against 0.1 N NaOH solution until the end point is reached. The acid content of the sample was calculated based on the volume of 0.1 N NaOH used for neutralizing the acid content in the sample and multiplying by a correction factor of 0.064 to estimate titratable acidity as percentage of citric acid. The titratable acidity was calculated using the following equation

\[
\text{% Acidity} = \frac{\text{ml NaOH} \times 0.1 \text{N NaOH} \times 0.064}{\text{Volume of Sample}} \times 100
\]

2.6. Determination of Total Soluble Solid (TSS)

The TSS (°Brix) of the artificial litchi flavored drink were determined by using a handheld refractometer (Model: HT119-ATC).

2.7. Sensory Evaluation

Sensory characteristics of artificial litchi flavored drink sample was carried out on 28 days of storage. Semi-trained fifteen panel members were selected from the University community. Randomly, the samples were presented to the panel members. Artificial litchi drink samples were evaluated organoleptically for color, flavor, taste and overall acceptability, according to the hedonic scale of nine points (9-like extremely to 1-dislike extremely) as reported [5].

2.8. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed statistically using the statistical software R (windows version 2.13.1). All results were measures triplicate for each sample. Results were expressed as mean values with standard deviation (±SD). DMRT (Duncan Multiple Range Test) was performed to evaluate the significance of difference between mean values at the level of 5%.

3.0. Results and Discussion

3.1. pH values of artificial litchi flavored drinks during storage

The pH values of artificial litchi flavored drinks shown in (figure 2) under storage condition. The values of pH in artificial litchi drink varied from 3.53 to 3.65 for all samples during entire storage duration. The highest pH value found in sample 3 and lowest in sample 1. The pH values of sample 1 and sample 3 has reduced in the end of the storage except sample 2. Although pH values for all samples varied from day to day but there is no significantly (P≤0.05) different found among them either samples or storage. Our obtained pH value was higher than the normal litchi juice was 3.10 [6]. The value is comparable with the work of who reported the different fruit drink’s pH varied from 2.57 to 3.68 [7]. Our obtained pH value is lowest as compare to the commercial fruit drink was 4.2 found [8]. A group researcher reported that, the pH value in cucumber-melon drink was 4.89 [9].
Figure 2. pH values of artificial litchi flavored drinks under storage

Means followed by different Uppercase letter of alphabets indicate significantly different (P≤0.05) within the sample in same day
Means followed by different superscript lowercase letter of alphabets indicate significantly different (P≤0.05) within the same sample every 7 days

3.2. Acidity (%) values of artificial litchi flavored drinks during storage

The figure 3 shows the acidity% of artificial litchi drink during storage. The acidity values of artificial litchi drink ranged from 0.155 to 0.161% under the storage condition. The highest value and lowest value found in sample 3 after end of the storage. The acidity for all samples reduced with few exceptions from the beginning to the end period. Our obtained value is lower with the work of who found the acidity in cucumber-melon drink was 0.44% [9]. The mandarin (Citrus reticulata) diet drink retained the acidity about 0.51 % which was higher than our work [10]. The variation in acidity might be due to the ingredient’s variation, microbial metabolites formation.

Figure 3. Acidity (%) values of artificial litchi flavored drinks during storage

Means followed by different Uppercase letter of alphabets indicate significantly different (P≤0.05) within the sample in same day
Means followed by different lowercase letter of alphabets indicate significantly different (P≤0.05) within the same sample every 7 days

3.3. TSS (°Brix) values of artificial litchi flavored drinks under storage condition

The TSS values of artificial litchi drinks has shown in figure 4 under storage condition. The values of TSS in artificial litchi drink varied from 15.43 to 16.10 °Brix. The sample 2 found highest TSS value and lowest found in sample 3 under the entire storage duration. At the beginning, the values of TSS varied among the sample but there was no significant different (P≤0.05) found. The values for sample 1 and sample 3 increased till 14 days except sample 2 whereas the values for all samples was decreased at the end of the storage. Our obtained value of TSS was lower than the reconstituted litchi juice was varied from 20-26°Brix [6]. The TSS values of cucumber drink was ranged from 16 to 15.16 °Brix which was supported our obtained values [9]. The mandarin (Citrus reticulata) diet drink retained the TSS value about 18.8 °Brix which was higher than our observed values [10,11]. The variation it might be due to the chemical reaction happen during increase storage duration as well as addition of solid content present in the samples.
In this study, pH, acidity, TSS and sensory characteristics of three formulated samples were investigated under 28 days of storage at ambient temperature. Considering pH, acidity, TSS values under entire storage condition the formulation of sample 3 had shown better results although with few exceptions there was no significantly different among the samples. The sensory profile of three different formulation was evaluated in terms of color, flavor, taste and overall acceptability after 28 days of storage at ambient temperature (30±2°C). At the very beginning (0 day), sample 1 found highest score and lowest in sample 2 for color value whereas sample 3 found highest score and lowest score found in sample 2 for the flavor score. On the other hand, sample 1 retained highest taste score and lowest taste score found in sample 2 whereas sample 1 found highest overall acceptability and lowest in sample 2. It is clearly observed that, the sensory parameters were followed the decreasing trend after end of the storage except sample 3. Although, initially sample 3 found in lowest sensory acceptance but retained the more stable score under the entire storage period which is significantly different (P≤0.05) from other samples.

Table 2. The sensory characteristics of artificial litchi flavored drinks during storage.

| Day (s) | Sample | Color       | Flavor      | Taste       | Overall acceptability |
|--------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| 0      | 1      | 4.68±1.46a  | 5.67±0.98a  | 6.00±1.31a  | 6.27±0.59a            |
|        | 2      | 5.67±1.11a  | 5.40±0.74a  | 5.53±1.30a  | 5.67±0.90a            |
|        | 3      | 5.87±0.99a  | 5.87±1.19b  | 5.87±1.30b  | 5.87±0.74b            |
| 7      | 1      | 4.50±0.60±0.63b | 4.80±1.08b  | 5.47±0.74a  | 4.93±0.76b            |
|        | 2      | 5.06±1.20bc | 5.40±1.24a  | 6.33±1.11a  | 4.67±0.62b            |
|        | 3      | 6.40±1.40a  | 6.80±1.15a  | 6.93±1.10a  | 7.20±0.41a            |
| 14     | 1      | 4.53±0.70a  | 4.93±1.03ab | 5.47±0.74a  | 5.13±0.64a            |
|        | 2      | 4.53±0.99bc | 4.87±1.36ab | 6.13±1.68a  | 4.67±1.05b            |
|        | 3      | 5.87±1.46a  | 6.87±1.06a  | 6.87±0.99a  | 6.80±0.56a            |
| 21     | 1      | 4.67±1.11c  | 4.08±0.85c  | 2.87±0.99c  | 4.07±1.62c            |
|        | 2      | 4.13±0.92c  | 4.20±1.32b  | 3.80±1.15b  | 3.67±0.98c            |
|        | 3      | 5.73±1.16b  | 6.07±1.28ab | 6.33±1.05ab | 5.73±0.70b            |
| 28     | 1      | 4.07±1.39c  | 4.53±1.30bc | 3.87±1.92c  | 4.13±0.92c            |
|        | 2      | 4.07±1.03c  | 4.27±1.59b  | 2.80±1.01c  | 3.60±1.18c            |
|        | 3      | 5.87±0.92a  | 6.27±1.10ab | 5.73±1.16b  | 5.87±0.83a            |

A-C Means followed by different superscript letter of alphabets in each column significantly different (P≤0.05) within the same sample every 7 days.
a-c Means followed by different superscript letter of alphabets in each column significantly different (P≤0.05) within the same sample in same day.

4.0. Conclusion

In this study, pH, acidity, TSS and sensory characteristics of three formulated samples were investigated under 28 days of storage at ambient temperature. Considering pH, acidity, TSS values under entire storage condition the formulation of sample 3 had shown better results although with few exceptions there was no significantly different among the samples. The sensory profile of three different formulation was evaluated in terms of color, flavor, taste and overall acceptability. The sensory parameters were followed the decreasing trend after end of the storage except sample 3. Although, initially sample 3 found in lowest sensory acceptance but retained the more stable score under the entire storage period which is significantly different (P≤0.05) from the other samples. However, end of the study we recommended that, the formulation of sample 3 had better among other sample 1 and sample 2 in terms of quality and cost.
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