The effect of the carbodiimide cross-linker on the structural and biocompatibility properties of collagen–chondroitin sulfate electrospun mat
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Background: Collagen and chondroitin sulfate (CS) are an essential component of the natural extracellular matrix (ECM) of most tissues. They provide the mechanical stability to cope with the compressive forces in ECM. In tissue engineering, electrospun nanofibrous scaffolds prepared by electrospinning technique have emerged as a suitable candidate to imitate natural ECM functions. Cross-linking with 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethyl-aminopropyl)-1-carbodiimide hydrochloride/N-hydroxy succinimide can overcome the weak mechanical integrity of the engineered scaffolds in addition to the increased degradation stability under physiological conditions.

Materials and methods: This study has synthesized nanofibrous collagen–CS scaffolds by using the electrospinning method.

Results: The results have shown that incorporation of CS in higher concentration, along with 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethyl-aminopropyl)-1-carbodiimide hydrochloride/N-hydroxy succinimide, enhanced mechanical stability. Scaffolds showed more resistance to collagenase digestion. Fabricated scaffolds showed biocompatibility in corneal epithelial cell attachment.

Conclusion: These results demonstrate that cross-linked electrospun CO–CS mats exhibited a uniform nanofibrous and porous structure, especially for lower concentration of the cross-linker and may be utilized as an alternative effective substrate in tissue engineering.
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Introduction
It is well-known that all anchorage-dependent cells in tissues reside in the extracellular matrix (ECM) with the capability of providing the structural and mechanical support. They support the residing cells attachment and proliferation as well as conducting cell bioactivities and tissue developmental dynamic processing by providing proper growth factors and well-timed degradation required for neovascularization. ECM is composed of a variety of fibrous proteins and glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) (which are unbranched polysaccharide chains covalently attached to the protein cores). GAGs, which are negatively-charged molecules, possess sulfate and carbonyl groups on their repeated sugar units. These units can absorb a large amount of water molecules and create a gel-like substrate embedded in the fibrous proteins. This property provides a swelling pressure which contributes in compressive stress resistances. Therefore, the design and the construction of alternative substrates, along with the potential of presentation, which are partially close to the native ECM susceptibility, have become one of the major challenges for researchers in the field of tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. Due to the fibrous form of organization in most tissues,
as well as natural ECM proteins, nanofiber-based scaffolds have been engineered rapidly as suitable candidates to provide cell and tissue physical scaffoldings. Among many existing techniques for fabrication of nanofibers, such as self-assembly, phase separation, melt blowing, flash-spinning, etc., the method electrospinning (described in detail elsewhere) has emerged as a widely used in terms of constructing fibers with the possible ability to control fiber diameter. The controlled fiber diameter generated through electrospinning varies from 100 nm to several microns. It can produce the ultra fine fibers with special orientation, high surface-to-volume ratio, surface morphology, pore geometry, and three-dimensional architecture. Thus, the choice of electrospinning technique takes into account the properties of the selected biomaterial, for instance, utilization of natural ECM proteins, to achieve a well-designed nanofiber scaffold, which imitates the desired natural ECM. It is obvious that collagen (CO) is the main abundant ECM protein, and it provides flexibility and structural strength to the ECM tissues. For this reason, CO-based scaffolds have been widely used as the most appropriate component for engineered scaffolds. In addition, the utilization of marine-based COs for the sake of sole intrinsic properties, such as biocompatibility and biodegradability, has attracted more importance. One of the major challenges faced with engineered scaffolds is the insufficient mechanical stability under various physiological conditions causing out of time degradation and collapse of scaffolds prior to tissue formation along with remodeling. Researchers are inspired by the efficacious factor in the creation of natural ECM stability, trying to overcome the aforementioned problems and the improvement of the scaffold resistance. As mentioned above, CO and other fibrous proteins are embedded in the hydrated GAGs mesh and get proper resistance through covalently binding to ECM proteins. In this case, the incorporation of GAG molecules to the engineered scaffolds has become promising. Recent attention has been focused on chondroitin sulfate (CS), which is a negatively sulfated GAG, and is a major structural component of most of the ECM, such as cartilage, bone, and cornea. It is suggested that CS should be involved with important cellular processes like receptor binding, cell adhesions, cell growth, and migrations. Scaffolds containing CS have been widely applied for various goals, especially regeneration of skin, articular cartilage, conjunctiva, nerve, and bone. Since CO fibrils are strengthened through covalent cross-linking between lysine residues in native ECM, the creation of a network by cross-linking between nanofibers with cross-linkers can be another option to cope with mechanical stability of engineered scaffolds. Among chemical cross-linking agents, 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-1-carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) is a relatively low cytotoxic compound compared to glutaraldehyde, which facilitates the formation of amide bonds between carboxylic and amino groups on the CO molecules, either alone or with N-hydroxy succinimide (NHS). EDC has been widely used to cross-link reconstituted CO fibrils, helping to prevent the formation of side products; moreover, it increases the reaction rate. The goal of the present study is to fabricate a nanofibrous CO–CS scaffold with different blend ratios of CS along with two woven and aligned morphologies. The mixture of hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP) and water was used as the solvent to dissolve the blended CO and CS to obtain a homogeneous solution with a suitable viscosity for electrospinning experiments. Fabricated nanofibrous scaffolds were cross-linked with two concentrations of EDC/NHS cross-linker. The in vitro cytocompatibility assays for scaffolds were performed by using human corneal epithelial (HCE) cells.

Materials and methods

Scaffold preparation

Fish type I CO was prepared by following the standardized protocol. Chondroitin-6-sulfate (CS-6) sodium salt from shark cartilage, EDC, NHS, MES (SIGMA), 3-(4,5-dimethyl-ylazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT), and type I collagenase from Clostridium histolyticum were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St Louis, MO, USA). Initially, CO was dissolved at a determined concentration in HFIP (1,1,1,3,3,3-Hexafluoro-2-propanol) solvent (10% W/V), and then, it was mixed at a concentration of CS solution (10% W/V). Next, the resulting suspensions were filtered to remove any impurities. After that, the spinning solutions were transferred into a 5-mL glass syringe fitted with a 7-mm needle, which was attached to a syringe pump of electrospinning apparatus (Nano Fiber Electrospinning Unit; Holmarc Opto-Mechatronics Pvt Ltd., Kerala, India). The needle-to-collectors distances were fixed at 12 cm. A similar 10 KV voltage was applied to the needle of the syringe for both wovening and aligned morphologies. Later, an electric field was generated between the spinning solution and the collectors. A grounded plate and rotating collector were utilized for collecting woven and aligned fibers with the rotating speed of 100 and 3,000 rpm, respectively, that was covered with aluminum foil. CO–CS nanofibers are formed by the electrically charged jet movement with the flow rate of 0.8 mL/h toward the collectors, once the charge overcomes the surface tension of electrospinning solutions and subsequent evaporation of the solvent. Finally, nanofibrous mats were carefully detached...
from the collector and dried in vacuum for 2 days at room temperature to remove solvent molecules completely.

Cross-linking of scaffolds
In order to extend the stability of the electrospun mats in physiological conditions, all electrospun CO–CS mats were cross-linked with the EDC carbodiimide in the presence of NHS at two concentrations, ie, 200 and 600 mM with the ratio-EDC/NHS=2:1 W/W. The reaction mechanism is presented in Figure 1. Cross-linking of CO–CS mats was carried out by soaking the scaffolds in 200 and 600 mM of EDC/NHS solutions in an acetone/water mixture (Vacci-ether/V H2O =90/10) and incubated through shaking for 4 h, respectively. Later, cross-linked mats were rinsed in deionized water to remove the residual cross-linking solution and put in an oven at 30°C for 24 h. The surface characteristics of modified fibers were studied by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi S-3000N) to analyze the changes in the surface morphology. Attenuated total reflectance-Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR) studies were carried out for mats of electrospun before and after cross-linking using ATR-FTIR (Spectrum Two, Perkin Elmer Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). The tensile strength (stress–strain analysis) of CO–CS nanofibrous mats (thickness=0.04 mm, width=14 mm) was measured using a universal testing machine (Dak System Inc. U.T.M. 7200, India) under an extension rate of 1 mm/min and 100 N load cell. All data are given as mean and SEM unless noted. One-way analysis of variance with post hoc Tukey mean comparison tests and unpaired Student’s t-tests were conducted at a significant level of P<0.05. A minimum of three replicate samples were used for all experiments.

Degradation of nanofibrous mats
In vitro enzymatic degradation tests were carried out on the cross-linked and non-cross-linked scaffolds by using bacterial collagenase (from C. histolyticum; Sigma-Aldrich Co.) to degrade the CO and CS-6 components, respectively. The concentrations were determined experimentally by observing the extent of degradation in samples of matrix after 2, 8, and 24 h at 37°C using enzymes. CO–CS scaffolds were accurately weighed and placed in 1 mL 0.1 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.4) containing 125 CDU/mg (CO digestion units/mg) bacterial collagenase and incubated for the mentioned time intervals. At the end of each incubation time, samples were removed and washed threefold in distilled water and finally lyophilized. Scaffold biodegradability was determined from the weight of residual scaffold and expressed as a percentage of the original weight43,44 and SEM morphology after different immersion time periods.

Cellular analyses
The cytocompatibility assay of the electrospun scaffolds was carried out by using a Human corneal epithelial cell line (HCE-P3) from the Stem Cell Biology Laboratory, Prof Brien Holden Eye Research Center, L. V. Prasad Eye Institute, Hyderabad, India (the HCE cell line was a kind gift from Dr Araki-Sasaki K, Osaka University, Medical School, Osaka, Japan, to Professor Brien Holden Eye Research Center, L. V. Prasad Eye Institute, Hyderabad, India).45 Scaffolds were selected for the study, based on the characterization results, and the scaffolds showing better properties were chosen for cell culture studies. The scaffolds were cut into a round shape of ~22 mm in diameter each, prior to cell seeding, and were sterilized by placing in 75% ethanol for 10 min. Later, the scaffolds were peeled off gently from the support substrate and spread without folds over the round-shaped cover slip. The samples were further placed in 12-well culture plates, air-dried inside a biosafety hood. The samples were further placed in 12-well culture plates, air-dried inside a biosafety hood. Human amniotic membrane (HAM) was obtained from the Ramayamma International eye bank (RIEB), L. V. Prasad

![Figure 1 Schematic illustration of the reaction mechanism of CO and CS with EDC/NHS cross-linker.](https://www.dovepress.com/tc.png)

**Figure 1** Schematic illustration of the reaction mechanism of CO and CS with EDC/NHS cross-linker.

**Abbreviations:** CO, collagen; CS, chondroitin sulfate; EDC, 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethyl-aminopropyl)-1-carbodiimide hydrochloride; NHS, N-hydroxy succinimide.
Eye Institute, Hyderabad, India, with approval from the institutional ethics committee (LVPEI-IC-SCR 04-15-008, this IRB was for the use of amniotic membrane when studying in vitro using the above cells) and used as a control. The amniotic membrane was prepared from the placenta, removed under sterile conditions. RIEB collects placenta discarded during Caesarean operations only. This membrane is then cleaned and treated. The amniotic membrane pieces processed by the RIEB are being made available for surgeons in the Institute for Ocular Surface Surgery and Reconstruction and for use in research (http://eyebank.lvpei.org/product-development.php). The amniotic membrane was processed by washing with 2× PBS (DBPS powder, D5652-10X1L, Sigma-Aldrich Co.) containing streptomycin and penicillin (S9137-25G, P3032-10MU; Sigma-Aldrich Co.) under sterile conditions and gently removed from the nitrocellulose membrane with the epithelial side surface upward. Excess glycerol was removed by washing the membrane with 1× PBS (DBPS powder, D5652-10X1L, Sigma-Aldrich Co.), and the surface epithelium layer was removed by incubating the membrane with 0.25% trypsin solution (T4799-10G, Sigma-Aldrich Co.) for 30 min at 37°C, and the digested epithelium layer was gently removed using a cell scraper (3010, Corning, NY, USA). The membrane was washed with 1× PBS to discard the spent and finally mounted uniformly without folds over a round-shaped cover slip. 0.1×10^6 HCE cells were taken in 100 μL of DMED/F12 (D0547-10X1L, Sigma-Aldrich Co.) with 10% FBS (Gibco, CA, USA), 10 ng/mL human recombinant Insulin (I2643, Sigma-Aldrich Co.), 100 U/mL penicillin (P3032-10MU, Sigma-Aldrich Co.), and 100 μg/mL streptomycin (S9137-25G, Sigma-Aldrich Co.) was seeded over both scaffolds and amniotic membrane (AM) followed by incubation for 1 h to permit the cells to attach on the surface of the scaffolds. The freshly prepared same medium was added 2 mL to each sample, incubated for up to 7 days, and similarly repeated every 3 days. The proliferation and cell viability analyses were checked after 72 h of HCE, post-culturing with 3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-diphenyltetrazoliumbromide (MTT), to which 20 μL of MTT substrate (2.5 mg/mL stock solution prepared in phosphate buffered saline [PBS], Sigma Aldrich Co.) was added to each well, and the plates were incubated for an additional 4 h. Later, the medium was removed, and the cells were solubilized in 100 μL of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to dissolve formazan crystals, and colorimetric analysis was performed at 570 nm (RAYTO, micropleat reader). To carry out the SEM studies, the medium was removed from the cultured scaffolds and HAM and washed with phosphate buffer (PB). Fixation of the samples was done by immersing in 2.5% glutaraldehyde buffered with 0.1 M PB and stored for 4 h at 4°C. Samples were later washed with PB (3×10 min) and dehydrated in ascending serial concentration of ethanol (20% [5 min]→40% [5 min]→60% [5 min]→80% [5 min]→100% [30 s]), and finally, the samples were dried in a desiccator and sputter-coated with gold and imaged with SEM.

**Results**

**Morphological characterization**

Electrospinning is a fiber production method, which uses the fabrication of woven and aligned morphologies of CO and CO–CS fibers using HFIP solvent. Figure 2 shows the SEM images of the non-cross-linked electrospun mats at different morphologies. The smooth and homologous bead-free nanofibers have been shown clearly in these figures. The average sizes for the woven and aligned CO nanofibers were about 400 and 500 nm, and for CO–CS blends were about 300 and 400 nm. The electrospun collagenous scaffolds indicate insufficient resistances to withstand dissolution upon exposure to any aqueous solution and mechanical integrity, due to the lack of native inter and intra-cross-linker in fabricating mats. It is rendered as a notable shortcoming related to electrospun mats, which can be eliminated by cross-linking. The EDC (hetero-bifunctional water-soluble carbodiimide) as a zero length (ie, without its incorporation into macromolecule) cross-linker agent has been utilized widely among chemical cross-linkers. SEM images of the cross-linked nanofibrous mats at different concentrations are shown in Figure 3. After cross-linking at different concentrations, the average sizes of nanofibers were bigger than non-cross-linked samples. The CO and CO–CS blend samples cross-linked in EDC solution with higher concentrations (600 mM) have shown disordered morphologies and dimensional gelation without unsuitable porosity as a scaffold for cellular growth and, in turn, prevent cell penetration following the increase in the extent of cross-linking (Figure 3B, D, F, and H). This may be due to the greater ratio of EDC (600 mM) to proteins, which caused more reaction in electrospun nanofiber mats, whereas the samples cross-linked at lower concentrations (200 mM) maintained morphology with high porosity and open pores (Figure 3A, C, E, and G).

**ATR-FTIR analysis**

The representative FTIR absorption spectra of the non-cross-linked and cross-linked electrospun mats are shown in Figure 4. The IR peaks of the amide I, II, and III bands...
related to type I collagen are presented at 1,660, 1,548, and 1,239 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. The bands at 3,450 and 2,850 cm$^{-1}$ were observed, and they represented the stretching of $-\text{OH}$, and $-\text{CH}_3$, respectively. The C=O and C–O stretching vibration of ionized carboxyl group was observed at 1,377 and 1,416 cm$^{-1}$ bands, whereas the bands at 1,066 and 1,127 cm$^{-1}$ represent C–O stretching vibration of hydroxyl groups. The S=O stretching vibration located at about 1,239 cm$^{-1}$ is related to the presence of CS. Also, the reaction between amino and carboxylic groups of CO amino acids, ie, lysine residues, formed the amide linkage (CONH) with strong peaks that took place during the cross-linking process. The amide and sulfonamide linkages are formed under acidic conditions due to the reaction of the EDC cross-linker with hydroxyl groups of sulfate and carboxyl groups of CS. The C–N peak intensity at 1,404 cm$^{-1}$ of EDC-cross-linked samples was higher than non-cross-linked samples, which might indicate that the EDC-cross-linked samples contained a higher amount of amide bonds due to the EDC cross-linking. In addition, CO and CS samples exhibit similar peaks; however, their amide bands were slightly increased. The other CO–CS samples also exhibited a broadened C–O stretching zone.

In vitro degradation stability of the non-cross-linked and cross-linked mats was evaluated in the presence of the collagenase for all electrospun CO and CO–CS mats. Enzymatic degradation has been studied by monitoring the weight loss of samples after 4, 24, and 72 h of incubation. Non-cross-linked mats have shown >95% loss in mass after 4 h of in vitro degradation in the collagenase (Figure 5). Degradation in non-cross-linked samples was characterized by a complete loss of weight. Cross-linking can increase scaffolds stability against the enzymatic degradation. This is due to the formation of a cross-link between the cross-linker and surface functional groups of scaffolds because of a dense network. The non-cross-linked samples exhibited a greater extent of enzyme degradation at each time point compared to those that were cross-linked. In addition, within a 72 h interval, non-cross-linked samples were completely solubilized in enzyme solutions, while cross-linked samples were intact, especially those cross-linked mats containing CS. It is well-known that incorporation of GAG into CO indicates a significant increase of collagenase degradation resistance. Exposure of cross-linked CO–CS blend mats with collagenase exhibited more resistance to digestion compared to the CO samples only.
Figure 3: SEM images of the cross-linked nanofibrous mats with EDC cross-linker at different concentrations. Woven (A) 200 mM and (B) 600 mM, and aligned CO (C) 200 mM and (D) 600 mM mats. Woven CO–CS (E) 200 mM and (F) 600 mM, and aligned CO–CS (G) 200 mM and (H) 600 mM) mats (1,000×).

Abbreviations: SEM, scanning electron microscopy; EDC, 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-1-carbodiimide hydrochloride; CO, collagen; CS, chondroitin sulfate.
The mats showed the least degradability due to the strong chemical cross-linking between CO and CS.

SEM images have indicated an increasing fiber disintegration of collagenase digested samples as a function of time of exposure to the enzyme up to 24 h. A complete degradation has been detected by enzyme after 4 h for CO and CO–CS samples (Figure 6A). The diameter of the cross-linked electrospun fiber samples in collagenase decreased compared to the initial diameter after 24 h (Figure 6); while morphology of electrospun fibers have been accompanied only by a decrease in the size of the fiber diameter after 4 h of enzymatic incubation.

Figure 7 shows the mechanical properties of the nanofibrous mats. A higher tensile strength in the stress–strain curves can be seen in the mats with aligned morphology as compared with the woven ones. In addition, the CO mats containing CS showed higher tensile strength and a lower elongation percentage compared to electrospun mats before the treatment by cross-linker. This is compatible with the cross-linking CS, and CO was effective to increase the high mat mechanical integrity. The cross-linked CO/CS mats significantly possess high tensile strength 0.55±0.05 MPa for aligned and 0.46±0.01 MPa for woven samples. However, the lower tensile strain at break or elongation is ~18% for aligned and woven samples, compared to the non-cross-linked one with a tensile strength of 0.14±0.01 MPa for aligned and 0.12±0.2 MPa for woven samples, and the elongation is ~20% for non-cross-linked samples. Moreover, the cross-linked CO mats showed significantly higher tensile strength (0.41±0.01 MPa for aligned and 0.34±0.05 MPa for normal samples) and lower elongation at ~22%. They are compared to the non-cross-linked ones (tensile strength of 0.25±0.3 MPa for aligned and 0.21±0.5 MPa for normal samples, and elongation of ~30%). Results of stress–strain curves demonstrated that the cross-linked CO and CO–CS mats show good strength attributing to the role of cross-linking agent and GAG incorporation.

To determine the viability and proliferation of HCE cells on the non-cross-linked and cross-linked scaffolds, we measured cell proliferation ability over 72 h of incubation by MTT assay. Figure 8 presents the results determined by MTT

![Figure 4](image-url) FTIR spectra for (A) CO, (B) CS, (C) cross-linked CO with EDC, and (D) CO–CS cross-linked with EDC.

**Abbreviations:** FTIR, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy; CO, collagen; CS, chondroitin sulfate; EDC, 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-1-carbodiimide hydrochloride.

![Figure 5](image-url) The degradation percent of cross-linked and non-cross-linked mats in collagenase solution at different times. Non-cross-linked (A) woven and (B) aligned CO, and non-cross-linked (C) woven and (D) aligned CO–CS mats, cross-linked (E) woven and (F) aligned CO, and cross-linked (G) woven and (H) aligned CO–CS mats (P<0.05).

**Abbreviations:** CO, collagen; CS, chondroitin sulfate.
as optical density for cross-linked samples in 200 mM EDC after 4 and 24 h. AM is used as control. The cross-linked mats showed lower viability compared to the non-cross-linked ones. The MTT assay showed that cell numbers could be increased by increasing the culture duration. As is shown in Figure 8, cell proliferation increased on all mats at day 3. This demonstrated that all nanofibrous mats possess adequate cytocompatibility for the growth of epithelial cells. On the other hand, a lower cell proliferation was observed in the cross-linked mats compared with the non-cross-linked mats. We have seen a similar growth pattern close to the control for non-cross-linked mats, which clearly indicates that EDC chemical cross-linker decreased cell viability, and, in turn, induced toxicity, which finally could inhibit proper cell proliferation. The results carried out in studies earlier introduced CS as one of the most important GAGs, which induces the tissue regeneration like skin,50 and it enhances the osteoblast adhesion, and it conducts the mesenchymal stem cell differentiation to osteoblasts,51,52 as well as improved fibroblast proliferation by having fibroblast growth factor bonding sites.53,54 For the samples with CS, the incorporation of CS also significantly promoted the cell proliferation in comparison with the CO mats without CS. For that reason, our results demonstrated the effect of addition of CS in stimulating cell proliferation and promoting cellular ingrowths.

SEM experiments were carried out to the cell attachment and morphology cultured over the CO–CS scaffolds after a 3-day cell culture. As is shown in Figure 9, HCE cells exhibited good attachment and spread on both the non-cross-linked CO and CO–CS scaffolds. We observe voids in Figure 9A and B due to the degradation and breakage of the fibers during cell culture. This reflects the weak mechanical strength of the non-cross-linked mats upon biodegradation, while the intact structure was found on the cross-linked scaffold (Figure 9C–F). HCE cells showed a better proliferation and growth to form a compact layer on HAM as control (Figure 9G). The intact structure of CO, especially with

Figure 6 SEM images of degradability of the non-cross-linked and cross-linked electrospun mats. Non-cross-linked CO (A), cross-linked CO mats after 24 h (B) 200 mM EDC, and (C) 600 mM EDC, cross-linked CO–CS mats after 24 h (D) 200 mM EDC and (E) 600 mM EDC (1,000×).

Abbreviations: SEM, scanning electron microscopy; CO, collagen; CS, chondroitin sulfate; EDC, 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-1-carbodiimide hydrochloride.

Figure 7 Stress–strain diagram of CL and non-cross-linked nanofibrous mats of CO and CO–CS (P<0.05).

Abbreviations: CL, cross-linked; CO, collagen; CS, chondroitin sulfate.
Figure 8 MTT assay of cultured HCE cells on non-cross-linked mats (A) CO and (B) CO–CS, cross-linked mats at 4 h (C) CO and (D) CO–CS, and cross-linked mats at 24 h (E) CO and (F) CO–CS, Control (HAM) (G) (P<0.05).

Abbreviations: MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide; HCE, human corneal epithelial; CO, collagen; CS, chondroitin sulfate; HAM, human amniotic membrane OD; OD, optical density.

Figure 9 (Continued)
CS, indicates that cross-linking has succeeded in improving the biostability and cell adhesion as well as the mechanical strength of the mats. The high surface-to-volume ratio of nanofibers could enhance cell adhesion.

Conclusion

Woven and aligned nanofibrous CO–CS scaffolds were designed by the electrosprinning method, along with the cross-linked carbodiimide. The non-cross-linked and cross-linked electrospun mats exhibited a uniform nanofibrous and porous structure, especially for lower concentration of the cross-linker. The results which we obtained indicate that the scaffolds after cross-linking exhibited a high biostability from the CO digestion test. The suitable biocompatibility of cross-linked samples, which were treated to crosslinker at lower times with epithelial cells, was demonstrated by a standard cell proliferation assay. The potential of application of the CO–CS electrospun mats in tissue engineering is significant; because this scaffold made of natural ECM mimics the native ECM found in the soft tissues, it might eventually support more active tissue regeneration. These results show a promising lead that fabricated electrospun CO–CS may be utilized as an alternative effective substrate in tissue engineering.
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