The Dendritic Cell Receptor for Endocytosis, DEC-205, Can Recycle and Enhance Antigen Presentation via Major Histocompatibility Complex Class II–positive Lysosomal Compartments
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Abstract. Many receptors for endocytosis recycle into and out of cells through early endosomes. We now find in dendritic cells that the DEC-205 multilectin receptor targets late endosomes or lysosomes rich in major histocompatibility complex class II (MHC II) products, whereas the homologous macrophage mannose receptor (MMR), as expected, is found in more peripheral endosomes. To analyze this finding, the cytosolic tails of DEC-205 and MMR were fused to the external domain of the CD16 Fcγ receptor and studied in stable L cell transfectants. The two cytosolic domains each mediated rapid uptake of human immunoglobulin (Ig)G followed by recycling of intact CD16 to the cell surface. However, the DEC-205 tail recycled the CD16 through MHC II–positive late endosomal/lysosomal vacuoles and also mediated a 100-fold increase in antigen presentation. The mechanism of late endosomal targeting, which occurred in the absence of human IgG, involved two functional regions: a membrane-proximal region with a coated pit sequence for uptake, and a distal region with an EDE triad for the unusual deeper targeting. Therefore, the DEC-205 cytosolic domain mediates a new pathway of receptor-mediated endocytosis that entails efficient recycling through late endosomes and a greatly enhanced efficiency of antigen presentation to CD4+ T cells.
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Introduction

Several cell surface receptors deliver ligands to the endocytic system for purposes of extensive intracellular digestion within lysosomes. Many of these receptors function exclusively in endocytosis, such as the prototype low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR)1 (Brown et al., 1983) and asialoglycoprotein receptor (Ciechanover et al., 1983). These localize to coated pits, dramatically increasing uptake via coated vesicles. After ligand release in early endosomes, the receptors recycle rapidly to the cell surface. By avoiding proteolysis during this recycling, such receptors are ideal for nutrient delivery and scavenging, e.g., cholesterol, iron, and altered glycoproteins. Other receptors, e.g., those for immune complexes (Amigorena et al., 1992a) and certain growth factors (Wilde et al., 1999), have signaling functions in addition to mediating adsorptive uptake of their ligands. The receptors typically are catabolized in lysosomes, rather than recycled, after uptake of immune complexes and growth factors (Mellman et al., 1983). This receptor downregulation can dampen signal transduction. The ligands for both recycling and nonrecycling families of endocytic receptors are digested in lysosomes down to the level of amino acids. Digestion must be complete, since amino acids and monosaccharides are then able to diffuse out of the lysosomal system. Incomplete digestion, as occurs with lysosomal enzyme deficiency, leads to vacuolar swelling (Cohn and Ehrenreich, 1969; Ehrenreich and Cohn, 1969).

Adsorptive endocytosis receptors, like the macrophage mannose receptor (MMR), FcR, and B cell antigen receptor (BCR), are used in the immune system to facilitate antigen capture and presentation of peptides to T cells (Bonnerot et al., 1992; Sallusto et al., 1995; Engering et al., 1997).
Previously we have identified an endocytic receptor, termed DEC-205, expressed by dendritic cells (DCs). This 205-kD protein contains 10 external, contiguous, C-type lectin domains and by sequence analysis, is a homologue of the MMR. In fact, both the MMR and DEC-205 mediate adsorptive uptake, and both have cytosolic domains with requisite coated pit localization sequences (Stahl et al., 1980; Jiang et al., 1995). Therefore, we expected that both MMR and DEC-205 would recycle through early endosomal compartments and present bound antigens comparably. However, we will show that DEC-205 unexpectedly targets to late endosomes or lysosomes in developing DCs, and that DEC-205 is far superior to the MMR in presenting bound rabbit anti-receptor antibodies to T cells, a classical assay for measuring the presenting function of endocytosis receptors (Chesnut and Grey, 1981).

To dissect the role of the cytosolic domain in these findings, we studied a totally heterologous system: L cells stably transfected with a fusion receptor formed between the external domains of human CD16 and different cytosolic tails. We find that the DEC-205 cytosolic domain has a distinct distal region with an acidic EDE triad. The distal region and its acidic sequence are required for recycling beyond early endosomes through deeper major histocompatibility complex class II–positive (MHC II⁺), late endosomes and lysosomes. Such distal targeting is unique for adsorptive endocytosis receptors that have been analyzed to date, and proves to be necessary for presentation of antigenic peptides at low doses of ligand. This new pathway for receptor-mediated uptake is therefore a hybrid of the two known endocytic pathways discussed above, targeting deeper digestive compartments but recycling efficiently to produce biologically active peptides.

Materials and Methods

Cells

The MHC II (I-E⁺)-expressing cell line DCEK.ICAM.H7 was maintained in RPMI with 7.5% Ig-depleted FCS (Atlanta Biologicals), 10 IU/ml penicillin/streptomycin, 200 μM/ml glutamine (GIBCO BRL), and 50 μM 2-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich) (R7 medium). To maintain MHC II⁺ and intracellular adhesion molecule (ICAM)-1 expression, every other week the cells were kept in MXH medium (R7 containing 6 μg/ml penicillin/streptomycin, 200 μg/ml G418 [GIBCO BRL], and 0.05% saponin [Sigma-Aldrich]). After washing with cold PBS/1% FCS (vol/vol), FITC-labeled mouse anti-IgG or goat anti-mouse Ig (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) secondary reagents were used. Aliquots of cells were harvested and fixed with 1 μM propidium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich) to gate out dead cells during analysis for fluorescence using a FACS™ (Becton Dickinson).

Cloning Immunofluorescence Microscopy of L Cells and DCs

L cells were seeded into LabTec tissue culture chambers (Nunc) and incubated overnight. Cells were washed twice with warm RPMI medium, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). The remaining cells were further incubated in R7 at 37°C after which the cells were harvested, fixed, and stained with FITC-labeled goat anti-human IgG antibodies as described above. To detect internalized HuIgG, cells were permeabilized before adding FITC-labeled goat anti-human IgG antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) at a final concentration of 5 μg/ml in PBS/1% FCS (vol/vol) were added. After 45 min on ice, cells were washed and resuspended in PBS containing 1 μM propidium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich) to gate out dead cells during analysis for fluorescence using a FACS™.
permeabilization buffer, cells were incubated for 45 min at room temperature with the following antibodies: anti–MHC II (M5/114; American Type Culture Collection), anti–lysozyme–associated membrane protein 1 (LAMP-1) (clone ID-4B; gift of Dr. Ira Mellman, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT), anti–transferrin receptor (TIR) (C2F2; American Type Culture Collection), anti–CD16 (clone 3G8), and either monoclonal or polyclonal antibody to DEC-205 (Swiggard et al., 1995) and MMR (prepared in rabbits by immunization with cloned MMR external domains). In double labeling experiments, FITC-conjugated MHC II and LAMP-1 antibodies (BD PharMingen) were used. For unconjugated antibodies, we stained cells for 45 min in permeabilization buffer with appropriate FITC- or Texas red–labeled secondary reagents, absorbed against mouse or rat proteins and applied at a final concentration of 1 μg/ml. For detection of endocytosed HuIgG, FITC-labeled goat anti–HuIgG was applied at 1 μg/ml in permeabilization buffer. Slides were mounted in aquamount (Polysciences) and examined by confocal laser scan microscopy (ZEISS). ZEISS software was used to take and to overlay pictures. Composite figures were made using Photoshop® (Adobe Systems).

**Antigen Presentation to T Cells**

To obtain HuIgG- or RbIgG-specific T cells, 6–8-wk-old B10.R.B mice (The Jackson Laboratory) were primed to HuIgG or RbIgG by subcutaneous injection of 50 μg HuIgG or RbIgG emulsified in complete Freund's adjuvant (Difco). In some experiments, mice were primed to RbIgG using anti–DEC-205 Rb antiserum or anti-MMR Rb antiserum. 8 d later, draining lymph nodes were removed and single cell suspensions were prepared by teasing with forceps and forcing the nodes through a nylon mesh. T cells were purified by passage over nylon wool columns and incubating the eluted cells with antibodies directed against MHC II (M5/114; American Type Culture Collection) and CD45 (B220; American Type Culture Collection) on ice for 30 min. In some experiments, anti–CD8 antibodies (TIB 211; American Type Culture Collection) were added to obtain purified CD4+ T cells. Cells were washed and incubated with goat anti–rat Dyne–beads (Dynal) at a ratio of 4 beads to 1 target cell for an additional 30 min at 4°C to remove non–T cells. For antigen presentation assays with DCEK.ICAM.Hi7 cells, transfected and untransfected DCEK.ICAM.Hi7 cells were irradiated with 5,000 rad and seeded into 96–well plates (15,000 cells/well). HuIgG was added to the cells in graded doses. After overnight culture, unbound HuIgG was removed by washing the plates with warm R7 medium. Thereafter, 250,000 T cells/well in 200 μl R7 were added in triplicate, and the plates were incubated for 3–4 d. For antigen presentation assays with DCs, developing bone marrow DCs were harvested after 6 d of culture in GM-CSF, placed on ice, and incubated with graded doses of rabbit anti–DEC-205, anti–MMR, and preimmune serum for 1 h. Unbound antibodies were washed away and aliquots of the DCs were seeded in triplicates into 96–well plates. Then 200,000 T cells/well were added and cultured for 3–4 d. To assay T cell proliferation, [3H]Thymidine (1 μCi/ well; Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) was added for the last 12 h before harvesting. Incorporation of radioactivity was determined by scintillation counting. Data are shown as means of triplicates where the standard deviation was <10% of the mean cpm.

**Results**

**Unique Localization and Function of DEC-205 in DCs**

DEC-205 belongs to the group VI family of lectins (Drickamer and Taylor, 1993) that contain 8–10 extracellular, contiguous C-type lectin domains and include the MMR. Both DEC-205 and MMR can be expressed on DCs (Jiang et al., 1993; Engering et al., 1997). Rabbit polyclonal antibodies to mouse DEC-205 (Swiggard et al., 1995) and the mouse MMR (our unpublished data) were used to localize these receptors in developing mouse DCs, generated from bone marrow progenitors with GM-CSF (Inaba et al., 1992). By day 6, the cultures were predominantly immature DCs with endocytic activity and abundant, intracellular, late endosomes or lysosomes (Pierre et al., 1997). These compartments were rich in MHC II products and are called MIICs.

By confocal laser scan microscopy, both the MMR and DEC-205 were abundant in intracellular granules (Fig. 1 A, red). As expected for a recycling endocytic receptor, very little of the MMR was found in late endosomes or lysosomes that were labeled for LAMP-1 or for MHC II (Fig. 1 A, green and merge images). In marked contrast, the bulk of the intracellular DEC-205 was localized in perinuclear MIICs, as demonstrated by colocalization with LAMP-1 and with MHC II (Fig. 1 A).

To detect a functional consequence for the distinct targeting of the MMR and DEC-205, we used the rabbit polyclonal antibodies as surrogate antigens for T cells primed to rabbit Ig, as Chesnut and Grey (1981) first did to show presentation via the BCR. When antibodies were added to immature DCs in the cold, the cells bound comparable amounts of anti–MMR and DEC-205 Ig (Fig. 1 B, top). When the same cells were added to cultures of primed T cells, the anti–DEC-205 was presented with much higher efficiency (Fig. 1 B, bottom). To rule out that the quantitative difference is due to different allotypic determinants within the MMR and DEC-205 antibodies, additional experiments were performed using T cells from mice primed to RbIgG with either anti–DEC-205 or anti–MMR antiserum (Fig. 1 C). Here again the anti–DEC-205 was presented with much higher efficiency regardless of whether the T cells were derived from animals primed with either DEC-205 or MMR antibodies. Thus, DEC-205 is normally found in MIICs, whereas MMR is predominantly found in early endosomes; when rabbit antibodies are bound to these two receptors, DEC-205 is much more efficient at presenting peptides to rabbit Ig–primed, CD4+ T cells.

**Expression of Chimeric DEC-205/CD16 Receptors in Transfected DCEK.ICAM.Hi7 Cells**

The targeting of endocytic receptors is determined by amino acid sequences within their intracellular domains (for review see Bonifacino and Dell’Angelia, 1999). A re-examination of the cytosolic domains (“tails”) of three homologous lectins—the MMR, DEC-205, and the phospholipase A2 receptor (PLA2R)—showed the tails of the MMR and PLA2R to be very similar to each other but different from DEC-205 (gray shading in Fig. 2 A). All three tails contained a membrane-proximal, putative coated pit localization sequence (Fig. 2 A, underlined) for uptake (Collawn et al., 1990), but the distal region of DEC-205 was distinct and included a sequence of three acidic amino acids (Fig. 2 A, italicized).

We constructed chimeric DEC-205/CD16 receptors containing the external IgG binding domain of the HuFcIII receptor or CD16 and different DEC-205 cytosolic tails (Fig. 2 B). In addition to the wild-type (WT) DEC-205 tail, we made truncations to remove the terminal three amino acids (long tail, LT), residues 19–31 with the putative EDE distal targeting sequence (intermediate tail, IT), and residues 6–31 with the coated pit sequence (short tail, ST). We also made mutants of the
DEC-205 tail, converting tyrosine to alanine in the coated pit sequence (altered tail or AT), and EDE to alanines in the putative distal targeting sequence. We used the wild-type MMR tail for comparison.

The CD16 chimeras were transfected into a murine fibroblast-like line, DCEK.ICAM.Hi7, which expresses MHC II as well as the T cell costimulatory molecules B7-1 (CD80) and ICAM-1 (CD54) (Dubey et al., 1995). Comparable surface expression of each CD16 chimeric receptor was obtained (Fig. 2 C) in the stable transfectants, without altering expression of MHC II (Fig. 2 C) or B7-1 (not shown).

**Binding, Uptake, and Recycling of HuIgG by CD16 Chimeric Receptors**

To test the function of the chimeric receptors, we first measured binding of HuIgG as illustrated for WT-DEC:

---

**Figure 1.** Distinct intracellular localization and function of DEC-205 and MMR in DCs. (A) Localization. Immature day 6 bone marrow DCs (BmDC) (Inaba et al., 1992) were seeded on coverslips, fixed, and double stained with rabbit anti-DEC-205 and MMR (red), and the late endosomal/lysosomal components, LAMP-1 or MHC II (green). Examples of individual granules that contain red and green label are marked by arrows, and colocalization is further shown in yellow in the merged images. (B) Surface binding and presentation of anti-DEC-205 and MMR antibodies. Day 6, immature marrow-derived DCs were incubated with different dilutions (as indicated) of anti-DEC-205, anti-MMR, or preimmune rabbit serum on ice for 1 h. Unbound antibody was washed away. The cells were incubated with FITC-labeled anti-Ig, to assess amounts of surface bound antibody by FACS® (top), or cocultured in graded doses with rabbit IgG–primed T cells, to measure presentation of peptides from rabbit antibodies (bottom). (C) DCs were incubated with 1:100 dilution of anti-DEC-205 or anti-MMR rabbit serum on ice for 1 h. Unbound antibody was washed away, and presentation of peptides from rabbit antibodies was assayed by coculturing the DCs with graded doses of T cells primed with anti-DEC-205 or anti-MMR antisem, respectively.
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On PAGE, <10% of the HuIgG aggregated (molecular mass > 200,000 D) upon heating to 65°C for 30 min (Fig. 3 B), so that saturable binding of the expressed chimeric receptors was occurring at <1 μg/ml of agg-HuIgG. When the sensitivity of bound ligand to pH was measured, aggHuIgG began to elute at pH 5, and only 40% remained at pH 4 (Fig. 3 C).

To monitor endocytosis, aggHuIgG was bound to each transfectant on ice, and aliquots were transferred to 37°C for 2 h. The FACS® was used to follow uptake at the single cell level. Binding of FITC anti-HuIgG to fixed cells detected residual surface HuIgG, and to fixed permeabilized cells, detected surface and intracellular HuIgG. With WT-DEC:CD16-expressing cells, HuIgG was no longer detectable at the surface after the 2-h chase at 37°C (Fig. 3 D), but strong staining was obtained in permeabilized cells, indicating that the DEC tail mediated uptake of ligands bound to a heterologous receptor.

Similar results, i.e., endocytosis of bound HuIgG, were obtained with cells expressing the LT-, IT-, and AT-DEC:CD16 chimera (not shown). In contrast, cells transfected with the short six–amino acid tail (ST-DEC:CD16), lacking the putative coated pit localization sequence, showed no significant loss of surface IgG (Fig. 3 D), indicating that deletion of amino acid residues 6–31 prevents endocytosis of the DEC:CD16 chimeric receptor. In a more detailed time course study (Fig. 3 E), we included cells expressing AAA-DEC:CD16 and MMR:CD16. Again, the ST-DEC:CD16 chimera did not internalize, whereas half the surface Ig entered the cells via the other CD16 chimeras within 15 min. The AT-DEC:CD16 chimera, which contained a mutation of the tyrosine residue in the coated pit localization site, was capable of endocytosis although at a somewhat slower rate (Fig. 3 E). Therefore, all the chimeric CD16 receptors bound aggHuIgG, and all except the ST-DEC:CD16 mediated rapid adsorptive uptake of ligand.

To examine recycling of the DEC:CD16 chimeric receptors, we blocked protein synthesis using CHX at 10 μg/ml for 2 h. We then added a saturating dose of aggHuIgG, placed the cells on ice, washed, and transferred the L cells to 37°C. This procedure was sufficient to saturate all of the CD16 chimeric receptors (data not shown). Thereafter, at subsequent time points, we added 125I-labeled aggHuIgG to detect a reappearance of functional CD16 receptors. The intact cytosolic tails (WT, MMR) recycled within 1 h after internalization, but the truncated intermediate DEC tail (IT) and the AAA-DEC:CD16 chimera recycled less rapidly (Fig. 3 F). The 1-h recycling time could underestimate the speed of recycling via the DEC-205 tail, because the pH of the vacuolar system in L cells may be insufficiently low to quickly elute all of the bound HuIgG ligand. As expected, the ST tail did not recycle, i.e., regenerate functional CD16, because endocytosis was not occurring. To rule out replenishment of receptors from endocytic pools, rather than recycling, we repeated the experiments in cells exposed for 1 h at 37°C to aggHuIgG to occupy intracellular stores. Again, recycling of new HuIgG binding receptors took place (data not shown). We conclude that the DEC-205 and MMR tails are each capable of mediating ligand uptake, discharge, and recycling to the cell surface, and that these activities can be carried out by all of the mutant cytosolic tails we had prepared, except for the short tail lacking the coated pit localization and uptake sequence.
Intracellular Compartments Targeted by CD16 Chimeric Receptors

At this stage of the studies, the DEC-205 and MMR tails seemed similar. Distinctive features of the DEC-205 tail became apparent upon examining the intracellular targeting of CD16 chimeric receptors and HuIgG ligand, and in tests of antigen presentation to HuIgG-primed T cells. First, we did confocal immunofluorescence microscopy to simultaneously identify MHC II and LAMP-1 or the early endosomal marker TfR. In all transfectants (WT shown here), MHC II largely colocalized with LAMP-1, a marker for late endosomes/lysosomes in the perinuclear region (Fig. 4, top), and not with TfR in the periphery (Fig. 4, bottom).

In either the absence or presence of IgG ligand, WT-DEC:CD16 chimeric receptors efficiently localized to late endosomal/lysosomal compartments, as shown by colocalization with LAMP-1 and MHC II in the perinuclear region (left columns of Fig. 5, A and B, respectively). In contrast, the MMR:CD16 did not colocalize with LAMP-1 and was found primarily in the peripheral cytoplasm (Fig. 5 A). Receptor targeting to late endosomes was mediated by sequences found in the distal portion of the cytoplasmic tail of DEC-205, since DEC-IT:CD16 chimeras, which lacked amino acids 18–31 in the cytoplasmic tail of DEC-205, failed to accumulate in MHC II+ lysosomes and were found in vesicles throughout the cytoplasm (Fig. 5, A and B).
The ST-DEC:CD16 chimera failed to mediate endocytosis completely, and was distributed along the cell membrane (Fig. 5 A).

To follow the targeting of bound ligand, we incubated the L cells with aggHuIgG for 30 min on ice, followed by a 30-min chase at 37°C. Surface-bound HuIgG was found on all transfectants after incubation on ice (Fig. 6 A, top), confirming the FACS® data (Fig. 3 A). After incubation at 37°C, HuIgG was mainly found in lysosomes in WT-DEC:CD16 transfectants, colocalizing with LAMP-1 in the perinuclear region (Fig. 6 A, bottom left). In contrast, HuIgG endocytosed by either IT-DEC:CD16 or MMR:CD16 transfected cells, colocalized with TfR in early endosomes (data not shown), indicating that ligands bound to these receptors failed to reach lysosomes efficiently (Fig. 6). HuIgG bound to the ST-DEC:CD16 chimera remained surface bound during the 30-min chase period. From these results, we conclude that the DEC-205 cytoplasmic domain targets CD16 chimeras and their ligands to MHC II-LAMP-1 vacuoles, whereas the cytoplasmic domain of the MMR targets primarily to early endosomes. Sequences for targeting to late endosomes lie between positions 18 and 31 in the DEC-205 tail. The different targeting routes of MMR:CD16 and AAA-DEC:CD16 versus WT-DEC:CD16 should be reflected in a different time course for their recycling of these receptors, but in fact unoccupied receptors reappeared with a similar pace. However, the speed of recycling via early endosomal compartments is likely to have been underestimated because the pH of the early endosomes may be insufficiently low to quickly elute all of the bound HuIgG ligand.

Presentation of Ligands Internalized by Chimeric CD16 Receptors to CD4+ T Cells

To determine whether antigen bound to CD16 chimeric receptors was processed and presented, we pulsed the transfected cells with aggHuIgG for 6 h, and assayed for presentation to primed T lymphocytes. Cells expressing WT-DEC:CD16 induced strong T cell proliferation, with saturation concentrations of 1 μg/ml aggHuIgG (Fig. 7 A). Immune complexes formed with anti-HuIgG and soluble HuIgG were also presented efficiently, whereas nonaggregated HuIgG, which did not bind to CD16 receptors (Fig. 3 A), only induced occasional T cell proliferation at high doses (100 μg/ml; Fig. 7, B and C).

When the mutant cytosolic tails were studied, LT-DEC:CD16 chimeras were indistinguishable from WT-DEC:CD16 in inducing T cell proliferation at low antigen concentrations. AT-DEC:CD16 chimeras (tyrosine 15 substituted by alanine) showed only a slightly diminished response. In contrast, IT-DEC:CD16, which mediated endocytosis and recycling (Fig. 3, E and F) but failed to target to MHC II-LAMP-1 compartments (Fig. 5), was inefficient for antigen presentation. Cells expressing MMR:CD16 chimeras resembled those expressing IT-DEC:CD16 in that they were only able to stimulate T cell proliferation at high concentrations of HuIgG (10–100 μg/ml; Fig. 7). ST-DEC:CD16 (lacking the 25 most distal amino acids in the DEC-205 cytoplasmic domain) did not stimulate T cell proliferation above the background obtained with untransfected DCEK.ICAM.Hi7 cells. These data indicate that sequences required to target antigens for efficient presentation differ from those required for endocytosis and recycling. IT-DEC:CD16 and MMR:CD16 chimeras mediated endocytosis and recycling, but additional information found between amino acids 18 and 28 in the DEC-205 cytoplasmic domain is required for targeting to antigen processing compartments.

Importance of the Distal EDE Sequence in the Distinct Targeting of the DEC-205 Tail

Acidic amino acids are implicated in intracellular targeting, e.g., the movement of HIV-1 nef protein to lysosomes (Piguet et al., 1999), the movement of furin to the trans-Golgi network (Voorhees et al., 1995; Simmen et al., 1999), and the retrieval of the LDLR from apical to basolateral membranes of epithelial cells (Matter et al., 1993). To assess if acidic amino acids in the distal part of the DEC-205 tail were required for late endosomal targeting, we mutated the EDE residues to alanines (AAA-DEC:CD16). The AAA-DEC:CD16 chimera was fully competent for adsorptive uptake of aggHuIgG and recycling back to the surface (Fig. 3,
Figure 5. Typical distribution of CD16 chimeric receptors in DCEK.ICAM.Hi7 cells in the absence (shown here) or presence of ligand, 10 μg/ml aggHuIgG. (A) CD16 and LAMP-1 double labeling. Cells on tissue culture chamber slides were fixed and stained for CD16 (green, FITC-labeled anti–mouse Ig) and LAMP-1 (red, Texas red–labeled anti–rat Ig). Colocalization in discrete vesicles in WT trans-
E and F), but failed to target aggHuIgG or CD16 to lysosomes (Fig. 5 B). Consistent with the absence of late endosomal targeting, presentation of antigen to IgG-primed T cells was greatly reduced (Fig. 7 A) and occurred only at antigen levels comparable to those needed for IT-DEC:CD16– and MMR:CD16– mediated presentation. Thus, the EDE in the DEC-205 tail is required for its unique lysosomal targeting and antigen presenting functions.

**Discussion**

**A Specialized Receptor for Antigen Uptake and Presentation on DCs**

High doses of protein antigens, e.g., 100–1,000 μg/ml, are typically added to antigen-presenting cells to generate the MHC II–peptide complexes that are ligands for CD4+ T cells. The efficiency of antigen binding and uptake is greatly enhanced by receptor-mediated endocytosis, as occurs with the MMR (Engering et al., 1997; Prigozy et al., 1997; Tan et al., 1997), FcR (Sallusto and Lanzavecchia, 1994), and BCR (Bonnerot and Lankar, 1995). The DEC-205 receptor is expressed by DCs and is a homologue to the MMR, localizing to coated pits and enhancing ligand uptake (Jiang et al., 1995). The MMR primarily recycles through peripheral, early endosomes (Engering et al., 1997; Tan et al., 1997), although some entry into late endosomes is reported (Prigozy et al., 1997). In contrast to the MMR, DEC-205 targets to deep endosomes or lysosomes in DCs rather than early endosomes, the latter being typical for other endocytosis receptors. The MMR presents mannosylated BSA very efficiently (Sallusto et al., 1995). Surprisingly, when we used polyclonal rabbit antibodies as surrogate ligands, DEC-205 was much more efficient than the MMR in presenting peptides to rabbit Ig–primed T cells. Since cytosolic domains guide the movements of adsorptive endocytosis receptors, we proceeded to study fusion receptors formed by the external domains of human CD16 and different tails, especially the MMR and DEC-205. In a totally heterologous system, i.e., transfected L cells, the DEC-205 tail mediated a unique recycling pathway through late endosomes rich in MHC II, and importantly, greatly enhanced antigen presentation relative to the MMR tail. Typically, L cells are inefficient at producing MHC II–peptide complexes from proteins, and preprocessed peptides are used to study antigen presentation (Dubey et al., 1995).

Additional work will be needed to pursue the physiological implication of these findings, i.e., that DEC-205 is used by DCs to improve antigen presentation. The following types of future experiments would be of value. First, to assess the role of the distal cytoplasmic tail in the context of a full length receptor, it would be important to mutate full length DEC-205; currently, it is not yet feasible to obtain high level expression of this large receptor. Second, the studies of CD16-DEC fusion receptors could be extended into DCs using different vectors, and such experiments are underway. Third, ligands for DEC-205 and MMR need to be identified, so that the presentation of natural ligands rather than surrogates can be tested. Interestingly, DEC-205 and not the MMR is readily detected on DCs within the T cell areas of mouse and human lymphoid tissues (Linehan et al., 1999; Guo et al., 2000).

**Two Functional Regions of the DEC-205 Cytosolic Domain**

The membrane-proximal region of the DEC-205 tail contains the sequence FSSVRY, which resembles the coated pit localization sequences described in many other receptors (Goldstein et al., 1979). Such sequences function in

---
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Figure 5 legend continued

fected cells is indicated by arrows, and for all the transfectants is displayed in yellow (bottom row). (B) CD16 and MHC II double labeling. Cells grown on tissue chamber slides were fixed and stained for CD16 (red) or MHC II (green) with species-specific secondary reagents. Slides were analyzed by confocal laser scan microscopy. Examples of colocalization in single vesicles in WT transfected cells are indicated by arrows and displayed in yellow (bottom row).
uptake of the LDLR (Chen et al., 1990; Matter et al., 1993), TfR (Collawn et al., 1990), and MMR (Ezekowitz et al., 1990). The DEC-205 tail is 31 residues in length. Deletion of residues 19–31 did not reduce endocytosis, but further deletion of residues 7–19 including the coated pit sequence ablated uptake. Mutation of the tyrosine residue to alanine did not abolish function, in contrast to decreased function of other receptors (Chen et al., 1990; Amigorena et al., 1992b; Jackman et al., 1998), but the uptake rates were lower relative to wild-type DEC-205 tail. Either the coated pit sequence of DEC-205 is not totally dependent on this tyrosine, or another sequence bypasses its need, e.g., the three acidic residues in the distal tail to be discussed next (Voorhees et al. 1995; Simmen et al., 1999).

The more intriguing region of the DEC-205 cytosolic tail was the distal region. Residues 28–31 seemed superfluous, but residues 18–27 were critical for several functions. In the absence of this “distal targeting sequence,” the DEC-205 tail did not target either receptor or ligand to late endosomes and lysosomes (Fig. 5) and did not mediate efficient antigen presentation (Fig. 7). However, the distal targeting sequence was not required for uptake (Fig. 3 E) and membrane recycling (Fig. 3 F).

A cluster of acidic amino acids (EDE) in the distal DEC-205 tail proved critical for its distinct intracellular movements (Figs. 5 and 7). Acidic clusters also mediate trans-Golgi network retrieval of the mannose-6-phosphate receptor and furin, the latter interacting with a cytosolic sorting molecule PACS-1 (Voorhees et al., 1995; Wan et al., 1998; Simmen et al., 1999). Only two acidic amino acids in the HIV-1 nef protein signal lysosomal targeting and degradation of endocyteded CD4 molecules via β-COP (Piguet et al., 1999). Acidic residues target the LDLR to a basolateral site during transcytosis (Matter et al., 1992). The LDLR-related protein contains a cluster of acidic amino acids as well. This receptor mediates uptake and degradation of sphingolipids, α2-macroglobulin, and complement component C3 into lysosomes (Hiesberger et al., 1998; Meilinger et al., 1999), but the involvement of acidic amino acids in lysosomal targeting has not been described. The experiments in this paper have compared DEC-205 with MMR, because of similarities in their external multilectin domains and their proposed function in antigen presentation. Future work will compare the cytosolic domains of DEC-205 with the LDLR family, as well as function in non–antigen-presenting cells like epithelial cells.

**A Novel Pathway for an Adsorptive Endocytosis Receptor**

As mentioned above (see Introduction), some receptors mediate ligand uptake and discharge in early endosomes, followed by recycling of intact receptors to the surface and further rounds of uptake. Other receptors signal cell activation and growth, and then uptake is followed by ligand and receptor digestion in lysosomes. The new pathway, illustrated by DEC-205, is a hybrid between these two. The cytosolic domain of this receptor can mediate uptake into deeper vacuoles, followed by recycling of ostensibly intact receptor. Concomitantly, there is a marked improvement in the efficiency with which peptides are salvaged and displayed as MHC–peptide complexes. It will be important now to follow the distribution and function of DEC-205 in epithelia and brain endothelium, where this receptor is also abundant. It is possible that in epithelia, as in antigen-presenting cells, DEC-205 will target to deeper proteolytic vacuoles and lead to the production of biologically active peptides.
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