Potential Risk Factors for the Onset of Complex Regional Pain Syndrome Type 1: A Systematic Literature Review
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Anaesthetists in the acute and chronic pain teams are often involved in treating Complex Regional Pain Syndromes. Current literature about the risk factors for the onset of Complex Regional Pain Syndrome Type 1 (CRPS 1) remains sparse. This syndrome has a low prevalence, a highly variable presentation, and no gold standard for diagnosis. In the research setting, the pathogenesis of the syndrome continues to be elusive. There is a growing body of literature that addresses efficacy of a wide range of interventions as well as the likely mechanisms that contribute to the onset of CRPS 1. The objective for this systematic search of the literature focuses on determining the potential risk factors for the onset of CRPS 1. Eligible articles were analysed, dated 1996 to April 2014, and potential risk factors for the onset of CRPS 1 were identified from 10 prospective and 6 retrospective studies. Potential risk factors for the onset of CRPS 1 were found to include being female, particularly postmenopausal female, ankle dislocation or intra-articular fracture, immobilisation, and a report of higher than usual levels of pain in the early phases of trauma. It is not possible to draw definite conclusions as this evidence is heterogeneous and of mixed quality, relevance, and weighting strength against bias and has not been confirmed across multiple trials or in homogenous studies.

1. Introduction

There is a growing body of literature addressing a variety of disorders known as Complex Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS). It is a condition that presents with a pain experience that is severe and disproportionate to the inciting event and is accompanied by highly variable signs and symptoms of inflammatory, sensory, autonomic, trophic, or motor features. Anaesthetists in the acute and chronic pain teams are often involved in treating Complex Regional Pain Syndromes. The onset of CRPS can follow injuries ranging from minor injuries to fracture(s), from lesions of the central nervous system, or from surgery [1–3]. Its prevalence is low, ranging from 5.46 to 26.2 per 100 000 [4, 5]. This low prevalence has led to difficulty in research where robust statistical analysis necessitates larger sample sizes [6, 7].

Furthermore, CRPS nomenclature continues to be debated and remains controversial [8–11]. Research had shown that this condition is not wholly a problem of the sympathetic nervous system. The old terms “reflex sympathetic dystrophy” and “causalgia” needed to be changed [12]. In 1994, the committee for taxonomy of the International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) identified specific diagnostic criteria for this syndrome that were termed the IASP criteria. This IASP committee changed the name to Complex Regional Pain Syndrome or CRPS. The term CRPS Type 1 (CRPS 1) applies, if there is no nerve damage, or CRPS Type II, if the nerve is physically and permanently damaged, and this nomenclature replaced the terms “reflex sympathetic dystrophy” and “causalgia,” respectively [13]. Other diagnostic criteria developed were the Veldman [14] and Harden/Bruehl [15] criteria that
continue to be used in clinical practice and research. The Harden/Bruehl criteria became known as "The Budapest Criteria" with minor modifications. Though published in an IASP-sanctioned book, the Harden/Bruehl criteria have not been officially endorsed by the IASP. The "Budapest Criteria" are used in clinical diagnosis. Here a report of at least one symptom in 3 or 4 categories (sensory, vasomotor, sudomotor/oedema, motor/trophic) with at least one sign at time of evaluation in 2 or more of the categories (sensory, vasomotor, sudomotor/oedema, motor/trophic) confirms a clinical CRPS diagnosis. There must be no other diagnosis that better explains the signs and symptoms. Budapest Clinical Criteria have retained sensitivity almost identical to the IASP criteria but with much improved specificity.

In the “Budapest Research Criteria,” diagnostic decision rule is at least one symptom in all four symptom categories and at least one sign (observed at evaluation) in two or more sign categories. The intent of the Budapest Research Criteria was to maximize specificity (minimize false positives) at the expense of sensitivity. They have a high specificity but a low sensitivity [16, 17]. This systematic review explores the literature since 1999. It therefore includes a level of variation for diagnostic criteria.

CRPS 1 is considered by most to be overdiagnosed [6, 18, 19]. There are a few, however, who still consider it under-diagnosed [20]. The precise pathophysiological mechanisms and predictive factors underlying CRPS 1 or subsets of CRPS 1 remain unknown [6, 21–23]. A standard diagnostic test is unavailable and the absence of a gold standard makes the validation of diagnostic criteria difficult [6, 24, 25]. Effective treatment strategies (in both the research and clinical fields) have moderate evidence [26–29]. A variety of medical and physiotherapy interventions and a multidisciplinary approach to the management of CRPS 1 continue to be widely used [23, 30, 31]. These factors contribute to the difficulty in determining potential risk factors for CRPS 1 in a reliable and statistically valid way.

There has been no systematic review of risk factors which may contribute to the onset of CRPS 1. This is the first systematic review to address factors posing as possible risk factors for the onset of CRPS 1. This paper selects from the current literature to systematically describe factors which expose a potential risk factor for a possible relationship to the onset of CRPS 1. This paper defines a risk factor as a factor contributing to a likely association of the onset of CRPS 1. This association is not necessarily causal.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Selection. Key words for CRPS (such as diagnosis, epidemiology, aetiology, genetics, history, pathophysiology, rehabilitation, risks, fractures, osteoporosis, or predictors) were combined in searches of Web of Science and OVID Medline for articles dated 1996 to April 2014. All abstracts were screened. Inclusion criteria for data extraction were articles written in English with reference to risks or predictors associated with the onset of CRPS 1. Exclusion criteria included articles written in other languages or no mention of CRPS 1 risks for either onset or outcomes or prognosis. A total of 969 abstracts were screened according to the study selection inclusion and exclusion criteria. Forty-one abstracts were included, and 928 abstracts were excluded. Search terms are outlined in Table 1 (Web of Science search) and Table 2 (Groups of OVID Medline searches).

2.2. Data Extraction. The methodology of the 41 articles included through the study selection was screened for data extraction with these inclusion criteria being randomised controlled trials, prospective and retrospective studies for

| Table 1: Search terms for Web of Science CRPS 1 risks. |
|---|---|---|
| **Database** | **Search statement (1996 to April 2014)** | **Results** |
| Web of Science | CRPS and risks | 128 |

| Table 2: Search terms for OVID Medline(R) CRPS 1 risks. |
|---|---|---|
| **Number** | **Search statement** | **Results** |
| 1 | OVID Medline(R) < 1996 to April 2014 Complex Regional Pain Syndromes (diagnosis, epidemiology, aetiology, genetics, history, physiopathology, and rehabilitation) | 617 |
| 1 | OVID Medline(R) < 1996 to April 2014 Complex Regional Pain Syndromes/ep, et (Epidemiology, Aetiology) | 104 |
| 2 | crps.tw. | 1122 |
| 3 | Complex Regional Pain Syndrome*.tw. | 1515 |
| 4 | 1 or 2 or 3 | 1823 |
| 5 | *Epidemiology/ | 2325 |
| 6 | epidemiology.tw. | 63875 |
| 7 | aetiology.tw. | 22487 |
| 8 | etiology.tw. | 75941 |
| 9 | 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 | 159810 |
| 10 | *Risk Factors/ | 592 |
| 11 | *Risk/ | 1573 |
| 12 | risk*.tw. | 990294 |
| 13 | 10 or 11 or 12 | 990691 |
| 14 | 4 and 9 and 13 | 9 |
| 15 | 4 and 9 | 80 |

*Before the word indicates focussing the subject heading. This means the results that have been retrieved have that subject heading as a major topic in the article, rather than something more minor. The word refers to truncation. This means searching for all words have the same start, but different endings. In this case, for search 12 e.g. risk*.tw. would look for risk, risks, risky, and anything else that starts with risk.
CRPS 1. Exclusion criteria incorporated CRPS Type II, methodology used in animal studies, case studies, and case control studies or cross-sectional studies. Sixteen articles met these inclusion criteria (10 prospective studies, 6 retrospective studies). Twenty-five articles were excluded due to the direction of effect not being able to be determined. These inclusion and exclusion criteria were used to determine evidence for a direction of the effect specific for the likelihood or not for the potential risk for the onset of CRPS 1. A prospective or retrospective study can provide evidence for the likelihood (or not) of a risk towards the onset of a disease by determining a direction of effect. Animal studies, case studies, case control studies, and cross-sectional studies provide evidence of a relationship. They are not able to determine the direction of effect of this relationship over a period of time. Longitudinal, prospective, or retrospective studies by nature of their design are more able to determine this necessary direction of effect to reveal the potential risks for the onset of disease [7]. Figure 1 summarises the data extraction.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Data Synthesis

No randomised controlled trials (RCTs) were found describing either possible risk or predictive factors for the onset of CRPS 1. Ten prospective studies and six retrospective studies (total of 16) were included for the data synthesis. These data were synthesised from the following patient samples: 77 patients after knee replacement surgery; 1976 patients after distal fractures of the radius; 748 patients after wrist or ankle fractures; 168 CRPS male patients from the Turkish armed forces; 1639 CRPS 1 male and female patients with duration of disease for <1 year; and 453 male and female CRPS 1 patients with duration of disease >1 year. Four hundred and sixty patients were lost to follow-up; 21 eligible fracture patients were lost due to administrative errors; 216 patients refused to participate; there were 1052 controls (male and female). The human populations from which the patient epidemiological studies were sourced consisted of a total of 297,372 people. Eight studies used the IASP criteria for diagnosis. Six studies used a variation between the Veldman and Harden/Bruehl criteria. One study did not define their criteria stating their use of “standard criteria.” Criteria were not stated at all in one study. In the prospective studies, follow-up time varied from 3 months to 2 years. In the retrospective studies, follow-up time varied from 3 to 10 years. Table 3 describes the data from the literature synthesised from prospective studies. Table 4 describes the data from the literature synthesised from retrospective studies.

#### 3.2. Data Analysis

The data show a high level of heterogeneity. There are no particular variables consistent across these studies with evidence strong enough to comprise a risk factor. Rating criteria for quality and relevance and weighted strength against bias were based on published recommendations [7, 62, 63]. Quality and relevance criteria used included the following: the sample had to be representative of the CRPS 1 population; an adequate control group was needed; study attrition rate was required; adequate description of study and measurements used were necessary (to identify a potential risk factor); the statistical analysis needed to be appropriate.

The data were analysed for a weighted strength against the risk of possible bias. The criteria used included bias risk in sample selection, study design, funding provision, detection, and measurement. Two authors (Tracey Pons, Roger T. Mulder) independently assessed each paper for quality, relevance, and weighted strength against potential bias. Any disagreement was discussed and resolved by consensus. Where a disagreement could not be resolved by consensus, the two other authors (Edward A. Shipton, Jonathan Williman) arbitrated disagreement and facilitated consensus amongst all four authors. Observer expectancy was considered to be reduced since 2 authors’ (Roger T. Mulder, Jonathan Williman) expertise is outside the pain management field; hence, no external observer was included as they were considered objective enough with no historical or current involvement with CRPS 1 diagnosis or management.

Quality and relevance were measured against six factors. Ratings were graded as poor, adequate, or good with the following algorithm: good = five or six factors rated as yes; adequate = three or four factors rated as yes; and poor = less than two factors rated as yes. The weighting against bias was measured against five factors. Ratings were graded as weak, acceptable, or strong with the following algorithm: strong = all five factors rated as no risk; acceptable = three or four factors rated as no risk; and weak = two or less factors rated as no risk.
Table 3: Characteristics of the prospective data literature synthesized.

| Author                  | Number of initial sample | Outcome measure listed in italics followed by instrument used | Result for risk towards the onset of CRPS1 | Diagnostic criteria used for CRPS1 diagnosis | Number in sample lost to follow-up, declined to participate, or study attrition (%) | Follow-up period |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Harden et al. 2003 [32] | 77 patients for total knee replacement | Pain intensity: McGill Pain Questionnaire Depression: Beck Depressive Inventory Anxiety: trait form of the State Trait Anxiety Inventory | CRPS1-like symptoms following total knee replacement were not predicted by preoperative psychological distress or pain levels | IASP | 26 (33.7%) | 6 months |
| Schürmann et al. 2000 [33] | 27 distal radial fracture patients | Oedema: Likert scale Active ROM: Likert scale Sympathetic function: laser Doppler flowmetry, inspiratory gasp test, and contralateral cooling test Age or gender is NOT included in analyses | Failure of the sympathetic nervous system predicted those who developed CRPS1 in the early stages of patients who had radial fractures and also possibly suffer from a systemic sympathetic dysfunction that is not limited to the affected limb | IASP | None | 12 weeks |
| Puchalski and Zyluk 2005 [34] | 121 distal radial fractures Population group: postmenopausal women, retired, or disability pensioners | Personality traits: Eysenck Personality Questionnaire Depression: Beck Depressive Inventory if <60 years of age or Yesavage’s Geriatric Depression Scale CRPS1 severity: Zyluk scoring system | In 62 patients with distal radial fractures, 18% developed CRPS1 (8 females, one male) Their psychological behaviour patterns or depression did not differ with those who had not developed CRPS1 | Veldman and Zyluk CRPS1 scoring system | 59 (48.7%) refuse permission for psychological examination Of 62 patients included, 12 (19.4%) were lost to follow-up | 20 months |
| Beerthuizen et al. 2012 [35] | 748 wrist or ankle fractures | Health related quality of life: SF-36 survey Medical fracture details: type, location of fracture with type of fracture and treatment or number of weeks in plaster | Of 596 patients with wrist or ankle fractures, 7% developed CRPS1; wrist or ankle fracture dislocation and intra-articular fracture contributed significantly to the likelihood of the development of CRPS1; one year following the fracture, no CRPS1 patient was pain-free; the highest majority of patients were females (73%); the highest incidence was between 61 and 70 years of age; early reporting of high levels of pain and other musculoskeletal comorbidities made the risk of CRPS1 more likely | 3 sets of criteria: Veldman, IASP, and Harden/Bruehl as well as confirmation with experienced clinician | 152 (20.3%) decline consent 46 (18.6%) are lost to follow-up | 1 year |
| Author                  | Number of initial sample | Outcome measure listed in italics followed by instrument used                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Result for risk towards the onset of CRPS 1                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Diagnostic criteria used for CRPS 1 diagnosis | Number in sample lost to follow-up, declined to participate, or study attrition (%) | Follow-up period |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Dijkstra et al. 2003 [36] | 91 distal radius fractures | Pain: Visual Analogue Scale Stressful events before fracture: Social Readjustment Rating Scale                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Only one female patient (age 69 years) developed CRPS 1 after a follow-up of 88 patients                                                                                                                                                                                                 | IASP                                          | 3 are lost to follow-up                                                         | 1 year |
| Dilek et al. 2012 [37]   | 74 with distal radius fractures treated with closed reduction and plaster casts | Psychological assessment: Anxiety Sensitivity Index, Toronto Alexithymia Scale-20, State Trait Anxiety Inventory, and Beck Depression Inventory                                                                                                                                                      | In 50 patients, a high risk for developing CRPS 1 was found in those with a high anxiety personality trait score; of the 50 patients, 26% (13/50) developed CRPS 1; 34% of the females (age $62.38 \pm 10.8$) developed CRPS; 11% of the males developed CRPS 1                                                                 | IASP                                          | 13 (17.6%) refuse permission for psychological examination; 4 (5%) are excluded due to needing surgery; 7 (9%) are lost to follow-up | 16 months |
| Jellad et al. 2014 [38]  | 121 consecutive patients with fractures of the distal radius treated conservatively | Pain: Visual Analogue Scale Active range of motion: Goniometer and Kapandji distance Hand and wrist function: Patient Related Wrist Evaluation Depression or Anxiety: Arabic adaption of Hospital Anxiety and Depression scale Quality of Life: Arabic adaption of SF-36 | CRPS 1 occurred in 32.2% of patients, mostly females (age $52.9 \pm 13.2$) [odds ratio 5.774 95% CI 1.391-23.966]; these also reported severe pain and impairment of quality of life where the CRPS 1 onset occurred in the third and fourth week after cast removal | Veldman                                      | 31 (25.6%) excluded as treated operatively or other problems                    | 9 months |
| Goris et al. 2007 [39]   | 114 distal radius fractures 95 females, 19 males, mean age of 62 years (range 22–82 years) | Medical fracture details: type, location of fracture with type of fracture and treatment or number of weeks in plaster Skin temperature: infrared ear thermometer and ThermaCAM E2 infrared camera Oedema: custom made device for measuring accurate finger circumference Active range of motion: Goniometer Skin colour: subjective Likert scale Grip strength: dynamometer Blood analysis: venous blood samples for lactate and oxygen saturation | CRPS 1 onset was associated with an increased regional inflammatory score (sensitivity 100%, specificity 16%); it was not associated with raised inflammatory markers in the blood; age and gender not included in published analyses | IASP and Harden/Bruehl criteria | 25 (21.9%) are lost to follow-up                                                | 1 year |
| Author                        | Number of initial sample | Outcome measure listed in italics followed by instrument used | Result for risk towards the onset of CRPS 1 | Diagnostic criteria used for CRPS 1 diagnosis | Number in sample lost to follow-up, declined to participate, or study attrition (%) | Follow-up period |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Gradl and Schürmann 2005 [40] | 10 CRPS patients, 4 males and 6 females, age 53–79 years with average age of 62 years | Sympathetic function: laser Doppler flowmetry, inspiratory gasp test, and contralateral cooling test | Dysfunction of the sympathetic nervous system evident in the early stage of CRPS 1 was measured in this German study; this dysfunction was transitory; it normalised over the course of the syndrome; the diagnosis of CRPS 1 was able to be made 46 to 72 days following an injury | Harden/Bruehl | None | 3 months |
| Moseley et al. 2014 [41]      | 1549 near consecutive patients with radial fractures across 3 hospital out-patients | Pain: NRS Reaction time: seconds Dysynchiria: absent or present Swelling: affected thumb and first 3 fingers’ circumference as a proportion of unaffected hand Catastrophising: Pain Catastrophising Scale | A pain score of ≥5 in the first week of fracture is shown to be predictive and should be considered a “red flag” risk for the likely onset of CRPS 1; 55 patients have developed CRPS 1 at evaluation 112 days after fracture; age and gender were not predictive of CRPS 1 onset | Referred to as “established criteria” without formal reference | 21 likely CRPS 1 patients lost due to administrative error; 93.3% of all fractures eligible for inclusion and 94.5% agree to participate; 97.2% contacted for follow-up; no numbers of patients given, only percentages | Sequential cohort over 2 years |
Table 4: Characteristics of the retrospective data literature synthesized.

| Author                  | Number of initial sample                                                                 | Outcome measure listed other than age and gender                                                                 | Risk factor towards the onset of CRPS 1                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Diagnostic criteria used for CRPS 1 diagnosis | Period time for inspection |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Allen et al. 1999 [42]  | 134 CRPS patients; 70% female, 30% male Mean group age at evaluation 41.8 (18–71) years | Inciting injury Location Job related occupation Legal and worker compensation issues Season Bone scans Treatment, medical and allied Immobilisation Myofascial component | A diagnostic bone scan was not predictive of a CRPS 1 diagnosis. The inciting event was sprains in 29%, surgical procedures in 24%, and fractures in 16%; since physician imposed immobilisation in either a cast or splint involved 47% of the sample, the possibility of immobilisation is raised as a possible risk factor as well | IASP                                        | 1992–1997 [5 years]         |
| de Mos et al. 2007 [5]  | Source population 190 902 assessed from 46 general medical practices                    | Sensory Vasomotor Sudomotor Motor/trophic Neurologic Complaints Alternative diagnoses                           | Postmenopausal female gender and having a fracture; upper limb affected more frequently than the lower limb                                                                                                                                   | 3 sets of criteria Veldman, IASP, and Harden/Bruehl | 1996–2005 [9 years]         |
| Sandroni et al. 2003 [4] | Source population 106 470 with unified access to all patient records                    | Clinical characteristics Signs and symptoms Laboratory tests Response to treatment                               | Risks for onset of CRPS 1 were identified as female gender or suffering an upper limb fracture                                                                                                                                              | IASP                                        | 1989–1999 [10 years]        |
| Duman et al. 2007 [43]  | 168 males in Turkish military hospitals                                                | Inciting injury Location Hospitalisation                                                                     | Inciting event for onset of RSD was fracture in 55.3%, incisive trauma in 16.7%, and soft tissue sprains/strains in 28%                                                                                                                     | IASP and three-phase bone scan              | 2003–2006 [3 years]         |
| van Rijn et al. 2007 [22]| Neurology outpatient clinic study of 185 patients with CRPS 1, 86.5% females, mean age at onset of CRPS 37.5 ± 15.4 years, 91% of whom developed dystonia | Clinical and temporal characteristics                                                                          | Earlier onset of dystonia (<1 year) to be possibly related to the same mechanism and that delayed onset dystonia was related to another mechanism; 86.5% of participants were female; the inciting injury for CRPS 1 was soft tissue in 49.7%, fracture in 25.9%, and surgery in 24.3 | IASP                                        | 1998–2004 [6 years]         |
| Anderson and Fallat 1999 [44] | 33 patients with lower limb CRPS 1 or sympathetically maintained pain; 60% were female; group average age 43.5 ± 12.6 years | Clinical characteristics Type of injury or surgery Time to diagnosis Signs and symptoms Treatment | Fracture was the most common cause for injury (45%); trauma accounted for 73%                                                                                                                                                               | Not given other than being confirmed by an anaesthesiologist at the pain management centre | 1990–1997 [7 years]         |
Table 5: Results presenting quality and relevance of data extraction for onset of CRPS I from prospective studies.

| Prospective studies | Population sample representative | Adequate control group | Study attrition described | Risk/predictor outcome adequately defined | Risk/predictor outcome adequately measured | Analysis statistically appropriate | Quality |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Harden et al. 2003 [32] | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Adequate |
| Schürmann et al. 2000 [33] | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | Poor |
| Puchalski and Zyluk. 2005 [34] | No | No | Yes | Partly | Yes | Yes | Poor |
| Beerthuizen et al. 2012 [35] | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Good |
| Dijkstra et al. 2003 [36, 46] | No | Yes | Yes | Partly | No | No | Poor |
| Dilek et al. 2012 [37] | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Adequate |
| Jellad et al. 2014 [38] | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Adequate |
| Goris et al. 2007 [39] | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Good |
| Gradl and Schürmann 2005 [40] | Partly | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | Poor |
| Moseley et al. 2014 [41] | Yes | Yes | Partly | Yes | No | Yes | Adequate |

Table 6: Results presenting quality and relevance of data extraction for onset of CRPS I from retrospective studies.

| Retrospective studies | Population sample representative | Adequate control group | Study attrition described | Risk/predictor outcome adequately defined | Risk/predictor outcome adequately measured | Analysis statistically appropriate | Quality |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Allen et al. 1999 [42] | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Adequate |
| de Mos et al. 2007 [5] | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Good |
| Sandroni et al. 2003 [4] | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Good |
| Duman et al. 2007 [43] | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | Poor |
| van Rijn et al. 2007 [22] | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Good |
| Anderson and Fallat 1999 [44] | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Poor |

These data provide a broad and heterogeneous research platform towards probing for possible risk factors for the onset of CRPS 1. In this systematic review, 2 prospective studies and 3 retrospective studies were rated as good (total of 5). Four prospective studies and 1 retrospective study were rated as adequate (total of 5). Four prospective studies and 2 retrospective studies were rated as poor (total of 6). For the weighted strength against bias, 7 prospective studies were weak, 2 were acceptable, and 1 was strong. In the retrospective studies, 3 were weak, 2 were acceptable, and 1 was strong. In summary for the weighted strength against bias, 10 were weak, 4 were acceptable, and 2 were strong. However, these findings should be treated with caution as their statistical reliability and consistency have not been established across multiple or homogeneous studies.

The quality and relevance data are outlined in Table 5 for prospective studies and in Table 6 for retrospective studies. The weighting strength against bias data is illustrated in Table 7 for prospective studies and in Table 8 for retrospective studies.

These findings should be treated with caution as their statistical reliability and consistency have not been established across multiple or homogeneous studies.

The potential risk factors identified with a strong weighting against bias as well as good quality and relevance are being female (particularly postmenopausal), a fracture of the distal radius, and dislocation or an intra-articular fracture of the ankle. The factors presenting as possible risks for the onset of CRPS 1 are summarised in Table 9.

4. Discussion

Potential risk factors identified (strong weighting against bias, good quality, and relevance) across the 16 papers
Table 7: Results showing weighted strength against possible bias risk for prospective studies’ analyses with risk rating in bold italics.

| Authors                  | Population sample selection bias risk | Study design bias risk | Funding provision bias risk | Detection bias risk | Measurement bias risk | Weighted strength across the five factors |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Harden et al. 2003 [32]  | Yes                                  | Yes                    | No mention of funding or conflict of interests | All samples assessed by the same physician | Point-biserial correlations due to small sample of CRPS | Weak                                    |
| Schürmann et al. 2000 [33] | Yes                                  | Yes                    | Acknowledgment is given to funder support, potential conflict of interests is not mentioned | Consensus between examiners only for oedema, reliable Doppler perfusion monitor | Regression analysis | Weak                                    |
| Puchalski and Zyluk 2005 [34] | Yes                                  | Yes                    | No mention of funding or conflict of interests | Sample assessed by “we,” but clarity about authors assessment for agreement is not mentioned | A Mann-Whitney U-test for determining statistical relationships | Weak                                    |
| Beertuizen et al. 2012 [35] | No                                   | Yes                    | Acknowledgment is given to 2 sources of funding and neither funders are involved with design, conduct, preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript | Routine examination followed up by single experienced pain specialist clinician to confirm CRPS 1 diagnosis | A Mann-Whitney U-test due to skewed distribution of variables, binary logistic regression analysis using SPSS | Weak                                    |
| Dijkstra et al. 2003 [36] | Yes                                  | Yes                    | Only researchers given as assessors for CRPS 1, no other confirmation of diagnosis | Descriptive statistics used and analysis not possible with only one CRPS 1 subject | No confirmation validation for CRPS 1 diagnosis other than fulfillment of IASP criteria | Weak                                    |
| Dilek et al. 2012 [37]    | Yes                                  | Yes                    | No conflict of interests identified and no funders acknowledged, thanks given to patients who participated | No confirmation validation described with P value for significance but no statistical approach described | Weak                                    |
Table 7: Continued.

| Authors                  | Population sample selection bias risk | Study design bias risk | Funding provision bias risk | Detection bias risk | Measurement bias risk | Weighted strength across the five factors |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Jellad et al. 2014 [38]  | All patients, 62.2% female, presenting to single setting with closed fractures of distal radius referred by orthopaedic surgeons for rehabilitation | Yes                     | Yes                         | No                  | Yes                   | No                                      |
|                          | 90 participants with zero drop-outs.  |                        |                             |                     |                       | Weak                                   |
| Goris et al. 2007 [39]   | Multicentre setting of 3 hospitals in 2 cities, 83.3% female | No                     | No                          | No                  | No                    | Strong                                 |
|                          | 114 participants with 6 drop-outs 1 year later |                        |                             |                     |                       |                                        |
| Gradl and Schürmann 2005 [40] | All consecutive patients, 60% female, who develop CRPS 1 following trauma in single centre setting | Yes                     | Yes                         | Yes                 | No                    | Weak                                   |
|                          | 10 participants |                        |                             |                     |                       |                                        |
| Moseley et al. 2014 [41] | 661 patients, 51.3% female presenting to multicentre (3) hospital settings with fractures of distal radius not needing surgical fixation; no city(s) mentioned | No                     | No                          | Yes                 | No                    | Acceptable                             |
|                          | 1549 participants, 21 drop-outs due to administrative error, only mentioned as percentages |                        |                             |                     |                       |                                        |
Table 8: Results showing weighted strength against possible bias risk for retrospective studies’ analyses with risk rating in bold italics.

| Authors | Population sample selection bias risk | Study design bias risk | Funding provision bias risk | Detection bias risk | Measurement bias risk | Weighted strength |
|---------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Allen et al. 1999 [42] | Medical records of all consecutive CRPS 1 patients, 70% female, who were referred to a single setting multidiscipline pain centre | No | Yes | No mention of funding or conflict of interests | Independent review of medical records | Result of measures listed with P values for significance but no statistical approach described | Weak |
| de Mos et al. 2007 [5] | Electronic medical records of one country, population gender mix not given | No | No | No | No | Potentially overstrict retrospective application of 3 sets of criteria: Veldman, IASP, and Harden/Bruehl, to electronic records by independent reviewers with k statistics for agreement | Strong |
| Sandroni et al. 2003 [4] | Electronic medical records of one region, population gender mix not given | No | No | No | No | Potentially lenient retrospective application of IASP criteria to electronic records by one reviewer with 10% random independent assessment and 93.4% agreement | Acceptable |
| Duman et al. 2007 [43] | Medical records of two tertiary military hospitals in one country, all male | Yes | Yes | No mention of funding or conflict of interests | Author review with no independent assessment using IASP criteria and three-phase bone scan | Descriptive statistics only using SPSS | Acceptable |
| van Rijn et al. 2007 [22] | Medical records of all CRPS patients, 86.5% female, who were referred to a single setting movement disorder centre | No | Yes | Acknowledgment of funding provided through TREND, a Dutch government research grant; no mention of conflict of interests | Author review with no independent assessment using IASP criteria | A multivariate analysis using Cox's proportional hazards model, Mann-Whitney U-test, chi-square tests, and Student's t-tests using SPSS software | Weak |
| Anderson and Fallat 1999 [44] | Medical records of all CRPS patients, 61% female, seen in a single setting foot and ankle trauma clinic | Yes | Yes | No funding mentioned and acknowledgment of assistance with statistical analysis and illustrations | CRPS 1 diagnosis confirmed in records by medical specialist at pain management centre | Regression analysis, unpaired t-test, paired t-test, and Pearson's correlation using SPSS | Weak |
Table 9: Results summary showing factors examined and not found to be risk factors for the onset of CRPS 1 with weighting bias strength and quality and relevance.

| Not a risk factor for CRPS onset                      | Evidence source                     | Weighting bias strength | Quality and relevance of data extraction |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Preoperative psychological distress or pain levels    | Puchalski and Zyluk 2005 [34]       | Weak                    | Poor                                    |
| Diagnostic bone scan                                  | Allen et al. 1999 [42]              | Weak                    | Adequate                                |
| Psychological behaviour: depression                   | Harden et al. 2003 [32]             | Weak                    | Adequate                                |

Table 10: Results summary showing possible risk factors for the onset of CRPS 1 with weighting bias strength and quality and relevance.

| Risk factors for CRPS onset                            | Evidence source                     | Weighting strength against bias | Quality and relevance of data extraction |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Female gender                                          | Puchalski and Zyluk 2005 [34]       | Weak                            | Poor                                    |
|                                                        | Dijkstra et al. 2003 [36, 46]       | Weak                            | Poor                                    |
|                                                        | Dilek et al. 2012 [37]              | Weak                            | Adequate                                |
|                                                        | Sandroni et al. 2003 [4]            | Acceptable                      | Good                                    |
|                                                        | Allen et al. 1999 [42]              | Weak                            | Adequate                                |
|                                                        | van Rijn et al. 2007 [22]           | Acceptable                      | Good                                    |
| Postmenopausal female gender                           | Beerthuizen et al. 2012 [35]        | Acceptable                      | Good                                    |
|                                                        | Jellad et al. 2014 [38]             | Weak                            | Adequate                                |
|                                                        | de Mos et al. 2007 [5]              | Strong                          | Good                                    |
|                                                        | Sandroni et al. 2003 [4]            | Acceptable                      | Good                                    |
| Fracture of distal radius or an ankle dislocation or intra-articular fracture | Beerthuizen et al. 2012 [35]       | Acceptable                      | Good                                    |
|                                                        | Sandroni et al. 2003 [4]            | Acceptable                      | Good                                    |
|                                                        | de Mos et al. 2007 [5]              | Strong                          | Good                                    |
|                                                        | Duman et al. 2007 [43]              | Weak                            | Poor                                    |
|                                                        | Anderson and Fallat 1999 [44]       | Weak                            | Poor                                    |
| Immobilisation                                         | Allen et al. 1999 [42]              | Weak                            | Adequate                                |
| Report of higher than usual levels of pain in early phase of trauma | Beerthuizen et al. 2012 [35]       | Acceptable                      | Good                                    |
|                                                        | Jellad et al. 2014 [38]             | Weak                            | Adequate                                |
|                                                        | Moseley et al. 2014 [41]            | Acceptable                      | Adequate                                |

are as follows: being female (particularly postmenopausal); obtaining a fracture of the distal radius; suffering an ankle dislocation or intra-articular fracture; and reports of higher than usual levels of pain in the early phases after trauma. The findings of this systematic review should be treated with caution as their statistical reliability and consistency have not yet been established across multiple or homogeneous studies and diagnostic criteria were mixed with Budapest Criteria not being used.

Age was accounted for in most studies. Age as a consistent potential risk factor for the onset of CRPS 1 could not be identified. This is shown in the population studies by Sandroni et al. [4], Moseley et al. [41], and de Mos et al. [5], as well as in the study by van Rijn et al. [22]. Although most of these data show that the risk increases in postmenopausal women [5, 34–38, 40], the retrospective studies by Allen et al. [42] and by Anderson and Fallat [44] show a lower age. This might be due to average age of the group sample with the inclusion of both genders. The average age of the female sample groups is, unfortunately, not provided in either of these studies. Females at any age pose a higher risk for the onset of CRPS 1. However, the study of males in the armed forces by Duman et al. [43] shows that males are vulnerable as well. This systematic review shows that the onset of CRPS Type 1 is higher in females than in males in the mixed gender studies.

The data show the cause of the inciting event to be mixed. It can be related to surgery, fractures, or soft tissue injuries. The presence of other comorbidities is neither predictive nor a risk factor for the onset of CRPS 1. Reports of higher than usual levels of pain in early phase of trauma were cited as strong evidence of a risk factor by Beerthuizen et al. [35] but as weak evidence by Jellad et al. [38].

Psychosocial factors are weakly weighted as a risk factor for the onset of CRPS1. Moseley et al. find catastrophising not to be predictive for the onset of CRPS [41]. This is confirmed by other reviews and studies investigating psychological influences on the onset and progression of CRPS [58, 59, 64–66]. Psychological behaviour, depression, and preoperative psychological distress or pain levels are not predictive of the onset of CRPS. The earlier literature described the “Sudeck A personality,” a personality of high anxiety, as a likely risk
factor towards the onset of CRPS 1 [61]. A high anxiety personality trait was identified by this systematic review as only a weak potential risk [37]. In other persistent pain conditions, these complex interactions between the onset of the pathogenesis of CRPS 1 and psychological factors are predictive of level of function [45, 60]. Their interaction in CRPS 1 continues to be investigated by clinicians and researchers [5, 64].

These data show that a positive diagnostic bone scan is not a risk factor for the onset of CRPS 1 [46] and has been confirmed by other studies [42, 47, 48]. Interobserver consistency with interpretation of bone scans appears to be variable [49]. However, a diagnostic bone scan has been found to be helpful towards a diagnosis of CRPS 1 in some observations [50, 51], but since recovery of bone mass following ankle fractures remains variable, it is not necessarily indicative of CRPS 1 [52].

Two recent systematic reviews have collated the prognostic findings about CRPS 1 [53, 67]. Both agreed that the quality of evidence is poor. Our systematic review has confirmed this regarding the risks of onset of CRPS 1. One review retrieved 1648 relevant papers of which twelve were robust enough for qualitative analysis [53]. Prognostic factors for poor outcomes were grouped within 7 clinical clusters as follows: (1) gender, where two studies show the male gender and one study shows the female gender; (2) age, where there is a high variation in age of onset affecting prognosis; (3) inciting event, such as polytrauma, inciting event other than fracture, severe initial injury, and distal articular location; (4) localisation site, either upper or lower extremity; (5) clinical features, such as exercised induced pain, sensory disturbances, initial cold skin temperature, complications of infection, skin ulcers, chronic oedema, dystonia or myoclonus, algodystrophy score > 7 out to 10, low SF-36 general health score, disease duration > 1 year, and coexistence of misdiagnosed nerve injury and compression; (6) associated comorbidities included alcoholism and psychological background in nontraumatic CRPS 1; and (7) diagnosis where a delay of >2 months after inciting event was shown to be associated with poorer outcomes. The other review found that many CRPS 1 patients recover in 6–13 months but that a significant number continue to experience persistent pain and disability [67].

4.2. Implications for Clinical Practice. No specific or sensitive clinical sign or clinical symptom was shown in this review to pose a risk factor for the onset of CRPS 1. Clarity around the sensitivity and specificity of laboratory and imaging testing is needed [42, 47, 48, 51, 52]. This review confirms the importance of maintaining clinician awareness and of being aware of potential risk factors to enable the early diagnosis of CRPS 1 [56]. Evaluation by experienced clinicians hastens the diagnosis [36, 57]. Early diagnosis and referral to pain management specialists and physiotherapists are related to better outcomes [53, 68, 69]. Moseley et al. [41] suggested that a pain score of ≥5 in the first week of fracture could be considered a “red flag” risk for the likely onset of CRPS 1.

5. Conclusion

This systematic review shows that the accurate potential risk factors for the onset of CRPS 1 remain elusive. Studies remain heterogeneous, of mixed quality and relevance, and with varied weighting against the risks of bias. The low prevalence of CRPS 1 accompanied by a lack of a gold standard for diagnosis contributes to the difficulties around determining potential risk factors for the onset of CRPS 1.

Potential risk factors identified with strong weighting against bias and good quality and relevance are summarised as follows: being female (particularly postmenopausal); obtaining a fracture of the distal radius; suffering an ankle dislocation or intra-articular fracture; and reports of higher than usual levels of pain in the early phases after trauma. Potential risk factors with much weaker weighting against bias and poorer quality and relevance include immobilisation, psychosocial barriers, and a positive diagnostic bone scan. Definite conclusions cannot be drawn as evidence remains inconsistent across multiple trials or in homogenous studies.
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