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Abstract. An affine algebraic variety \( X \) is called cylindrical if it contains a principal Zariski dense open cylinder \( U \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \). A polarized projective variety \((Y, H)\) is called cylindrical if it contains a cylinder \( U = Y \setminus \text{supp} \, D \), where \( D \) is an effective \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor on \( Y \) such that \( [D] \in \mathbb{Q}_+[H] \) in \( \text{Pic}_\mathbb{Q}(Y) \). We show that cylindricity of a polarized projective variety is equivalent to that of a certain Veronese affine cone over this variety. This gives a criterion of existence of a unipotent group action on an affine cone.

\section*{Introduction}

Fix an algebraically closed field \( \mathbb{k} \) of characteristic zero, and let \( \mathbb{G}_a = \mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{k}) \), the additive group of \( \mathbb{k} \). We investigate when the affine cone over an irreducible, normal projective variety over \( \mathbb{k} \) admits a non-trivial action of a unipotent group. Since any unipotent group contains a one parameter unipotent subgroup, instead of considering general unipotent group actions we stick to the \( \mathbb{G}_a \)-actions. Our main purpose in this paper is to provide a geometric criterion for existence of such an action, see Theorem \ref{thm:criteriadirect} and Corollary \ref{cor:direct}. The former version of such a criterion in \cite{KPZ1} involved some unnecessary assumptions. In Theorem \ref{thm:universal} we remove these assumptions. What is more important, we extend our criterion so that it can be applied more generally to affine quasicones. An affine quasicone is an affine variety \( V \) equipped with a \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-action such that the fixed point set \( V^{\mathbb{G}_m} \) attracts the whole \( V \). Thus the variety \( Y = (V \setminus V^{\mathbb{G}_m}) / \mathbb{G}_m \) is projective over the affine variety \( S = V^{\mathbb{G}_m} \). We assume in this paper that \( Y \) is normal. Our criterion is formulated in terms of a geometric property called cylindricity, which merits study for its own sake.

\subsection*{0.1. Cylindricity.}
Let us fix the notation. For two \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisors \( H \) and \( H' \) on a quasiprojective variety \( Y \) we write \( H \sim H' \) if \( H \) and \( H' \) are linearly equivalent that is, \( H - H' = \text{div} \, (f) \) for a rational function \( f \) on \( Y \). We write \( [H'] \in \mathbb{Q}_+[H] \) in \( \text{Pic}_\mathbb{Q}(Y) \) meaning that \( H' \sim \frac{p}{q} \, H \) for some coprime positive integers \( p \) and \( q \).

\begin{definition}[cf. \cite{KPZ1} 3.1.4)]\end{definition}
Let \( Y \) be a quasiprojective variety over \( \mathbb{k} \) polarized by an ample \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor \( H \in \text{Div}_\mathbb{Q}(Y) \). We say that the pair \((Y, H)\) is cylindrical if there exists an effective \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor \( D \) on \( Y \) such that \( [D] \in \mathbb{Q}_+[H] \) in \( \text{Pic}_\mathbb{Q}(Y) \) and \( U = Y \setminus \text{supp} \, D \) is a cylinder i.e.

\[ U \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \]
for some variety $Z$. Here $U$ and $Z$ are quasiaffine varieties. Such a cylinder $U$ is called $H$-polar in [KPZ$_1$, 3.1.7]. Notice that the cylindricity of $(Y, H)$ depends only on the ray $\mathbb{Q}_+[H]$ generated by $H$ in $\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}} Y$.

**Remark 0.3.** The pair $(Y, H)$ can admit several essentially different cylinders. For instance, let $Y = \mathbb{P}^1$ and $H$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $Y$ of positive degree. Then any divisor $D = rP$, where $P \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $r \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, defines an $H$-polar cylinder on $Y$.

**Definition 0.4.** An affine variety $X$ is called cylindrical if it contains a principal cylinder

$$
\mathcal{D}(h) := X \setminus \mathcal{V}(h) \simeq Z \times \mathbb{A}^1,
$$

where $\mathcal{V}(h) = h^{-1}(0)$, for some variety $Z$ and some regular function $h \in \mathcal{O}(X)$. Hence $U$ and $Z$ are affine varieties.

The cylindricity of affine varieties is important due to the following well known fact (see e.g. [KPZ$_1$, Proposition 3.1.5]).

**Proposition 0.5.** An affine variety $X = \text{Spec } A$ over $k$ is cylindrical if and only if it admits an effective $\mathbb{G}_a$-action, if and only if $\text{LND}(A) \neq \{0\}$, where $\text{LND}(A)$ stands for the set of all locally nilpotent derivations on $A$.

The proof is based upon the slice construction, which we recall in subsection 1.1. In Section 1 we gather necessary preliminaries on positively graded rings. In particular, we give a graded version of the slice construction, and recall the DPD (Dolgachev-Pinkham-Demazure) presentation of a positively graded affine domain $A$ over $k$ in terms of an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $H$ on the variety $Y = \text{Proj } A$. In Section 2 we prove our main result, inspired by Theorem 3.1.9 in [KPZ$_1$].

**Theorem 0.6.** Let $A = \bigoplus_{\nu \geq 0} A_{\nu}$ be a positively graded affine domain over $k$. Define the projective variety $Y = \text{Proj } A$ relative to this grading, let $H$ be the associated $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $Y$, and let $V = \text{Spec } A$, the affine quasicone over $Y$.

(a) If $V$ is cylindrical, then the associated pair $(Y, H)$ is cylindrical.

(b) If the pair $(Y, H)$ is cylindrical, then for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$ the Veronese cone $V^{(d)} = \text{Spec } A^{(d)}$ is cylindrical, where $A^{(d)} = \bigoplus_{\nu \geq 0} A_{\nu^d}$.

In Lemma 2.8 we specify the range of values of $d$ satisfying the second assertion. In particular, the latter holds with $d = 1$ provided that $H \in \text{Div}(Y)$. Thus if $H \in \text{Div}(Y)$, Theorem 0.6 yields a necessary and sufficient condition of cylindricity of the corresponding affine quasicone, see Corollary 3.2.

Let us notice that the main difference between Theorem 0.6 and the former criterion in [KPZ$_1$, Theorem 3.1.9] consists in removing the unnecessary extra assumption $\text{Pic}(Z) = 0$ or, what is equivalent, $\text{Pic}(U) = 0$ used in the proof in [KPZ$_1$]. So our proof of Theorem 0.6 here is pretty much different. On the other hand, it is worthwhile mentioning that the present proof works only under the assumption of normality of the variety $Y$ (see §1.9 below), absent in [KPZ$_1$, Theorem 3.1.9] and as well in Proposition 0.5.

In Section 3 we provide several examples that illustrate our criterion. Besides, we discuss a possibility to lift a $\mathbb{G}_a$-action on a Veronese cone $V^{(d)}$ over $Y$ to the affine cone $V$ over $Y$. In particular, we prove the criterion of Corollary 3.2 cited above.
1. Preliminaries

Throughout this article, A will denote an affine domain over \( \mathbb{k} \), and \( \text{LND}(A) \) will denote the set of locally nilpotent derivations of A.

1.1. Slice construction. Let \( \partial \in \text{LND}(A) \) be non-zero. The filtration

\[
A^0 = \ker \partial \subsetneq \ker \partial^2 \subsetneq \ker \partial^3 \subsetneq \ldots
\]

being strictly increasing one can find an element \( g \in \ker \partial^2 \setminus \ker \partial \). Letting \( h = \partial g \in \ker \partial \cap \text{im} \partial \), where \( h \neq 0 \), one considers the localization \( A_h = A[h^{-1}] \) and the principal Zariski dense open subset

\[
\mathbb{D}(h) = X \setminus \mathbb{V}(h) \simeq \text{Spec } A_h, \quad \text{where } \mathbb{V}(h) = h^{-1}(0).
\]

The derivation \( \partial \) extends to a locally nilpotent derivation on \( A_h \) denoted by the same letter. The element \( s = g/h \in A_h \) is a slice of \( \partial \) that is, \( \partial(s) = 1 \). Hence

\[
A_h = A_h^0[s], \quad \text{where } \partial = d/ds \quad \text{and} \quad A_h^0 \simeq A_h/(s)
\]

(‘Slice Theorem’, [Fr, Corollary 1.22]). Thus \( \mathbb{D}(h) \simeq Z \times A^1 \) is a principal cylinder in \( X \) over \( Z = \text{Spec } A_h^0 \). The \( \mathbb{G}_a \)-action on \( \mathbb{D}(h) \) associated with \( \partial \) is defined by the translations along the second factor. The natural projection \( p_1 : \mathbb{D}(h) \to Z \) identifies \( \mathbb{V}(g) \setminus \mathbb{V}(h) \subseteq \mathbb{D}(h) \) with \( Z \). Choosing \( f \in A^0_h = \mathcal{O}(Z) \) such that \( \text{Sing}(Z) \subseteq \mathbb{V}(f) \) we can replace \( g \) and \( h \) by \( fg \) and \( fh \), respectively, so that the slice \( s \) remains the same, but the new cylinder \( \mathbb{D}(fh) \) over an affine variety \( Z' = \mathbb{D}(fh)/\mathbb{G}_a \) is smooth.

1.2. Graded slice construction. Suppose that the ring \( A \) is graded. The gradings used in this paper are \( \mathbb{Z} \)-gradings over \( \mathbb{k} \), i.e., if \( A = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} A_{\nu} \) is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-grading of the ring \( A \), then \( k \subseteq A_0 \). The grading is said to be a positive grading if \( A_{\nu} = \{0\} \) for \( \nu < 0 \); we do not assume that \( A_0 = \mathbb{k} \).

Any non-zero derivation \( \eta \in \text{LND}(A) \) can be decomposed into a sum of homogeneous components

\[
\eta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i, \quad \text{where } \eta_i \in \text{Der}(A), \quad \deg \eta_i < \deg \eta_{i+1} \quad \forall i, \quad \text{and } \eta_n \neq 0.
\]

Letting \( \partial = \eta_n \) be the principal homogeneous component of \( \eta \), \( \partial \) is again locally nilpotent and homogeneous (see [Da, Rd]). Hence all kernels in (\( \mathbb{U} \)) are graded. So one...
can choose homogeneous elements $g$, $h$, and $s$ as in §1.1. With this choice, we call the construction of the cylinder in §1.1 a graded slice construction.

1.3. Graded rings and associated schemes. We recall some well known facts on positively graded rings and associated schemes. The presentation below is borrowed from [De], [Fl, sect. 2], [FZ §2.1], and [Do, Lecture 3].

### Notation 1.4.
Given a graded affine domain $A = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} A_{\nu}$ over $k$ the group $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts on $A$ via $t.a = t^{\nu}a$ for $a \in A_{\nu}$. This action is effective if and only if the saturation index $e(A)$ equals 1, where

$$e(A) = \gcd\{\nu | A_{\nu} \neq (0)\}.$$ 

If $A$ is positively graded then the associated scheme $Y = \text{Proj} A$ is projective over the affine scheme $\bar{S} = \text{Spec} A_0$ [EGA, II]. Furthermore, $Y$ is covered by the affine open subsets

$$D_+(f) = \{ p \in \text{Proj} A : f \notin p \} \cong \text{Spec} A_f,$$

where $f \in A_{>0}$ is a homogeneous element and $A_f = (A_f)_0$ stands for the degree zero part of the localization $A_f$. The affine variety $V = \text{Spec} A$ is called a quasicone over $Y$ with vertex $\mathbb{V}(A) = \mathbb{V}(A_{>0})$ and with punctured quasicone $V^* = V \setminus \mathbb{V}(A_{>0})$, where $\mathbb{V}(I)$ stands for the zero set of an ideal $I \subseteq A$. For a homogeneous ideal $I \subseteq A$, $\mathbb{V}_+(I)$ stands for its zero set in $Y = \text{Proj} A$. There is a natural surjective morphism $\pi : V^* \to Y$. If $A = A_0[A_1]$ i.e., $A$ is generated as an $A_0$-algebra by the elements of degree 1 then $V^* \to Y = \text{Proj} A$ is a locally trivial $\mathbb{G}_m$-bundle. In the general case the following holds.

**Lemma 1.5.** $\text{Proj} A \cong V^*/\mathbb{G}_m$.

**Proof.** Indeed, $V^*$ is covered by the $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant affine open subsets $D_+(f) = \text{Spec} A_f$, where $f \in A_d$ with $d > 0$. Since $(A_f)^{\mathbb{G}_m} = A_0$ we have $D_+(f) = D_+(f)/\mathbb{G}_m$ and the lemma follows. \hfill \Box

**Remark 1.6.** Assuming that $A$ is a domain over $k$ and $e(A) = 1$ one can find a pair of non-zero homogeneous elements $a \in A_{\nu}$ and $b \in A_{\mu}$ of coprime degrees. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $pv + q\mu = 1$. Then the localization $A_{ab}$ is graded, the element $u = a^pb^q \in (A_{ab})_1$ is invertible, and $A_{ab} = A_{ab}[u, u^{-1}]$. This gives a trivialization of the orbit map $\pi : V^* \to Y = \text{Proj} A$ over the principal open set $D_+(ab) \subseteq Y$:

$$D_+(ab) = \pi^{-1}(D_+(ab)) \cong D_+(ab) \times \mathbb{A}^1_s, \text{ where } \mathbb{A}^1_s = \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}.$$ 

1.7. Cyclic quotient construction. Let $h \in A_m$ be a homogeneous element of degree $m > 0$, and let $F = A/(h-1)$. For $a \in A$ we let $\bar{a}$ denote the class of $a$ in $F$. The projection $\rho : A \to F$, $a \mapsto \bar{a}$, extends to the localization $A_h$ via $\rho(a/h^l) = \rho(a) = \bar{a}$. The cyclic group $\mu_m \subseteq \mathbb{G}_m$ of the $m$th roots of unity acts on $F$ effectively and so defines a $\mathbb{Z}_m$-grading

$$F = \bigoplus_{[i] \in \mathbb{Z}_m} F_{[i]},$$

$^1$Notice that $A_0$ can be here an arbitrary affine domain.
where $\mathbb{Z}_m = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ and $[i] \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ stands for the residue class of $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ modulo $m$. It is easily seen that the morphism $\rho : A \to F$ restricts to an isomorphism $\rho : A_{(h)} \to F[0]$. This yields a cyclic quotient

$$Y_h \to Y_h/\mu_m = \mathbb{D}_+(h) \subseteq Y,$$

where $Y_h = h^{-1}(1) \subseteq V$.

$$V = \text{Spec } A, \quad \text{and } \mathbb{D}_+(h) = \text{Spec } A_{(h)} \simeq \text{Spec } F[0].$$

Let $\partial$ be a homogeneous locally nilpotent derivation of $A$. If $h \in A^0_m$ then the principal ideal $(h - 1)$ of $A$ is $\partial$-stable. Hence the hypersurface $Y_h = \mathbb{V}(h - 1)$ is stable under the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $V$ generated by $\partial$, and $\partial$ induces a homogeneous locally nilpotent derivation $\bar{\partial}$ of the $\mathbb{Z}_m$-graded ring $F$. The kernel $F^\partial = \ker \bar{\partial}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_m$-graded subring of $F$:

$$F^\partial = \bigoplus_{[i] \in \mathbb{Z}_m} F^\partial_{[i]}, \quad \text{where } F^\partial_{[i]} = F_{[i]} \cap F^\partial.$$

Assume further that $F$ is a domain. Then the set $\{[i] \in \mathbb{Z}_m \mid F_{[i]} \neq (0)\}$ is a cyclic subgroup, say, $\mu_n \subseteq \mu_m$. Letting $k = m/n$ we can write

$$F^\partial = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} F^\partial_{[ki]}.$$

**Lemma 1.8.** We have $k = e(A^0)(= e(A^0_h))$ and $\gcd(k, d) = 1$, where $d = -\deg \partial$.

**Proof.** The second assertion follows from the first since $\gcd(d, e(A^0)) = 1$. Indeed, notice that for any non-zero homogeneous element $g \in A_j$ ($j > 0$) there is $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\partial^r g \in A^0_{j-rd} \setminus \{0\}$. Hence $j = rd + se(A^0)$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since by our assumption $e(A) = 1$ it follows that $\mathbb{Z} = \langle d, e(A^0) \rangle$ and so $\gcd(d, e(A^0)) = 1$.

To prove the first equality we let $g \in A_j$ be such that $\bar{g} \in F^\partial$, where $j > 0$. The restriction $g|_{Y_h}$ being invariant under the induced $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $Y_h$, this restriction is constant on any $\mathbb{G}_m$-orbit in $Y_h$. For a general point $x \in \mathbb{D}(h) \subseteq V$ there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{G}_m$ such that $h(\lambda, x) = 1$. Since $\partial$ is homogeneous the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $V$ induced by the grading normalizes the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action. Therefore $\lambda.(\mathbb{G}_m.x) = \mathbb{G}_m.\lambda.x \subseteq Y_h$ and so $g|_{\mathbb{G}_m.\lambda.x}$ is constant. Hence also $g|_{\mathbb{G}_m.\lambda.x} = \lambda^j g|_{\mathbb{G}_m.x}$ is. It follows that $g \in A^0_j$. Clearly $\rho(A^0) \subseteq F^\partial$, so finally $\rho(A^0) = F^\partial$. Thus $k = e(A^0)$. \hfill \Box

1.9. Quasicones and ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors. To any pair $(Y, H)$, where $Y \to S$ is a proper normal integral $S$-scheme, $S = \text{Spec } A_0$ is a normal affine variety over $k$, and $H$ is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $Y$, one can associate a positively graded integral domain over $k$,

$$A = A(Y, H) = \bigoplus_{\nu \geq 0} A_\nu, \quad \text{where } A_\nu = H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y([\nu H])).$$

The algebra $A$ has saturation index $e(A) = 1$, is finitely generated and normal. So the associated affine quasicone $V = \text{Spec } A$ over $Y = \text{Proj } A$ is normal.

Conversely, every affine quasicone $V = \text{Spec } A$, where $A$ is a normal affine positively graded $k$-domain of dimension at least 2 and with saturation index 1 arises in this way ([De 3.5]). The corresponding ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $H$ on $Y$ is defined uniquely by

---

2See [Ha](#) Ch. II, Exercise 5.14(a), [De](#) 3.1, [De](#) 3.3.5, and [AH](#) Theorem 3.1.
the quasicone $V$ up to the linear equivalence. In particular, its fractional part \( \{H\} = H - [H] \) is uniquely determined by \( V \); it is called the Seifert divisor of the quasicone \( V \), see [Dé 3.3.2].

1.10. Let again \( A = A(Y, H) \) be as in (2). By virtue of Remark 1.6 there exists on \( V \) a homogeneous rational function \( u \in (\text{Frac}\ A)_1 \) of degree 1. Notice that the divisor \( \text{div}(u) |_{V^*} = \pi^* (H) \), where \( \pi : V^* \to Y \) is the quotient by the \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-action (see Lemma 1.5).

Furthermore, \( \text{Frac} \ A = (\text{Frac} \ A)_0(u) \). So any homogeneous rational function \( f \in (\text{Frac}\ A)_d \) of degree \( d \) on \( V \) can be written as \( \psi u^d \) for some \( \psi \in (\text{Frac} \ A)_0 \). For any \( d > 0 \) the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor class \( [dH] \) is ample. It is invertible and trivial on any open set \( \mathbb{D}_+(ab) \subseteq Y \) as in Remark 1.6.

A rational function on \( Y \) can be lifted to a \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-invariant rational function on \( V \). Thus the field \( (\text{Frac} \ A)_0 \) can be naturally identified with the function field of \( Y \). Under this identification we get the equalities

\[
A_{\nu} = H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y([\nu H]))u^{\nu} \quad \forall \nu \geq 0.
\]

1.11. Given a normal positively graded \( k \)-algebra \( A = \bigoplus_{\nu \geq 0} A_{\nu} \), a divisor \( H' \) on \( Y \) satisfying \( A_{\nu} \simeq H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y([\nu H'])) \forall \nu \geq 0 \) can be defined as follows (see [Dé 3.5]). Choose a homogeneous rational function \( u' \in (\text{Frac} \ A)_1 \) on \( V \). Write \( \text{div}(u' |_{V^*}) = \sum_i p_i \Delta_i \), where the components \( \Delta_i \) are prime \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-stable Weil divisors on \( V^* \) and \( p_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \). For every irreducible component \( \Delta_i \) of \( \text{div}(u' |_{V^*}) \) we have \( \Delta_i = \text{Spec} \ (A/I_{\Delta_i}) \), where \( \Delta_i \) is the closure of \( \Delta_i \) in \( V \) and \( I_{\Delta_i} \) is the graded prime ideal of \( \Delta_i \) in \( A \). Thus the affine domain \( A/I_{\Delta_i} \) is graded. Let \( q_i = e(A/I_{\Delta_i}) \). Then \( q_i > 0 \ \forall i \), the Weil \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor \( H' = \sum_i q_i \pi_i \Delta_i \) satisfies \( \pi^* H' = \text{div}(u' |_{V^*}) \), and \( A \simeq A(Y, H') \). Furthermore, for every component \( \Delta_i \) the divisor \( p_i \pi_i \Delta_i \) is Cartier (see [Dé Proposition 2.8]).

If \( A = A(Y, H) \) for a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor \( H \) on \( Y \) then \( H' \sim H \) and \( \pi^* (H' - H) = \text{div} (\varphi |_{V^*}) \), where \( \varphi = u'/u \in (\text{Frac} \ A)_0 \).

1.12. We keep the notation of 1.9. For some \( d > 0 \) the \( d \)th Veronese subring of \( A \)

\[
A^{(d)} = A(Y, dH) = \bigoplus_{\nu \geq 0} A_{\nu d},
\]

is generated over \( A_0 \) by its first graded piece \( A_d \):

\[
A^{(d)} = A_0[A_d]
\]

(see Proposition 3.3 in [Bou Ch. III, §1] or [Dé Lemma 3.1.3]). This leads to an embedding of \( Y \simeq \text{Proj} \ A^{(d)} \) in the projective space \( \mathbb{P}^N_S \simeq \mathbb{P}_{A_0}(A_d) \) and so \( H \) is ample over \( S \). Since \( S \) is Noetherian, \( H \) is ample over \( Spec \ k \).

We call \( V^{(d)} = \text{Spec} \ A^{(d)} \) the \( d \)th Veronese quasicone associated to the pair \( (Y, H) \).

1.13. The discussion in §§1.10 and 1.11 leads to the following presentations:

\[
A = A(Y, H) = \bigoplus_{\nu \geq 0} H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y([\nu H]))u^{\nu} = \bigoplus_{\nu \geq 0} H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y([\nu H']))u^{\nu} = A(Y, H'),
\]

where \( H' \sim H \) and \( u'/u \in (\text{Frac} \ A)_0 \) (see §1.10) is such that \( \pi^* (H' - H) = \text{div} (u'/u) \).

\[\text{See [Dé] Theorem 3.3.4]; cf. also [FZ] and [AH] Theorem 3.4].\]

\[\text{Notice that } (\text{Frac} \ A)_0 = (\text{Frac} \ A_0) \text{ only in the case where } \dim_S Y = 0.\]
Remark 1.14 (Polar cylinders). We keep the notation as in 1.13. Assume that for some non-zero homogeneous element \( f \in A_\nu \), where \( \nu > 0 \), the open set \( \mathbb{D}_+(f) \subseteq Y \) is a cylinder i.e., \( \mathbb{D}_+(f) \simeq Z \times \mathbb{A}^1 \) for some variety \( Z \). Then this cylinder is \( H \)-polar. Indeed, let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) be such that \( \nu H \) is a Cartier divisor on \( Y \). We have \( f^n \in A_\nu = H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\nu H))u^n \). The rational function \( f^n u^{-\nu} \in (\text{Frac} \, A)_0 \) being \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-invariant it descends to a rational function, say, \( \psi \) on \( Y \) such that
\[
D := \text{div} \, \psi + n\nu H = \pi_* \text{div}(f^n) \geq 0.
\]
Hence \( D \in |n\nu H| \) is an effective Cartier divisor on \( Y \) with \( \text{supp} \, D = \mathcal{V}_+(f^n) = \mathcal{V}_+(f) \).

Therefore the cylinder \( \mathbb{D}_+(f) = \text{Spec} \, A(f) \) is \( H \)-polar.

1.15. Generalized cones. A quasicone \( V = \text{Spec} \, A \) is called a generalized cone if \( A_0 = \mathbb{k} \) so that \( \text{Spec} \, A_0 \) is reduced to a point. Let us give the following example.

Example 1.16 (see e.g. [KPZ]). Let \( (Y, H) \) be a polarized projective variety over \( \mathbb{k} \), where \( H \in \text{Div}(Y) \) is ample. Consider the total space \( \bar{V} \) of the line bundle \( \mathcal{O}_Y(-H) \) with zero section \( Y_0 \subseteq \bar{V} \). We have \( \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}(Y_0) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y(-H) \) upon the natural identification of \( Y_0 \) with \( Y \). Hence there is a birational morphism \( \varphi : \bar{V} \to V \) contracting \( Y_0 \). The resulting affine variety \( V = \text{cone}_H(Y) \) is called the generalized affine cone over \( (Y, H) \) with vertex \( 0 = \varphi(Y_0) \subseteq V \). It comes equipped with an effective \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-action induced by the standard \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-action on the total space \( \bar{V} \) of the line bundle \( \mathcal{O}_Y(-H) \). The coordinate ring \( A = \mathcal{O}(V) \) is positively graded: \( A = \bigoplus_{\nu \geq 0} A_\nu \), and the saturation index \( e(A) \) equals to 1. So the graded pieces \( A_\nu \) with \( \nu \gg 0 \) are all non-zero and the induced representation of \( \mathbb{G}_m \) on \( A \) is faithful. The quotient
\[
Y = \text{Proj} \, A = V*/\mathbb{G}_m, \quad \text{where} \quad V* = V \setminus \{0\},
\]
can be embedded into a weighted projective space \( \mathbb{P}^n(k_0, \ldots, k_m) \) by means of a system of homogeneous generators \( (a_0, \ldots, a_n) \) of \( A \), where \( a_i \in A_{k_i}, \, i = 0, \ldots, n. \)

Remarks 1.17. 1. Assume that \( A_0 = \mathbb{k} \) and \( V \) is normal. According to 1.9-1.13
\[
(3) \quad A = \bigoplus_{\nu \geq 0} H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\nu H))u^\nu \quad \text{i.e.} \quad A_\nu = H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\nu H))u^\nu \quad \forall \nu \geq 0,
\]
where \( u \in (\text{Frac} \, A)_1 \) is such that \( \text{div}(u|_{V*}) = \pi^*H \). Since \( H \) is ample this ring is finitely generated (see e.g. Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 in [P3]).

2. If the polarization \( H \) is very ample then \( A = A_0[\mathbb{A}_1] \) and the affine variety \( V \) coincides with the usual affine cone over \( Y \) embedded in \( \mathbb{P}^n \) by the linear system \( |H| \).

In this case the \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-action on \( V* \) is free. However, (3) holds if and only if \( V \) is normal that is \( Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n \) is projectively normal.

2. The criterion

In this section we prove our main Theorem 0.6. Besides, in Lemma 2.8 below we specify a range of values of \( d \) where the assertion of (b) in Theorem 0.6 can be applied.

In the sequel we fix the following setup.

2.1. Letting \( A = \bigoplus_{\nu \geq 0} A_\nu \) be a positively graded normal affine domain over \( \mathbb{k} \) with \( e(A) = 1 \) we consider the affine quasicone \( V = \text{Spec} \, A \) and the variety \( Y = \text{Proj} \, A \)
projective over the affine scheme $S = \text{Spec } A_0$. We let $\pi : V^* \to Y$ be the projection to the geometric quotient of $V^*$ by the natural $G_m$-action. We can write

$$A = A(Y, H) = \bigoplus_{\nu \geq 0} A_\nu,$$

where $A_\nu = H^0(Y, O_Y([\nu H]))u^\nu$

with an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $H$ on $Y$ such that $\pi^* H = \text{div}(u|_{V^*})$ for some homogeneous rational function $u \in (\text{Frac } A_1)_1$, see (1.13).

Notice that in the ‘parabolic case’ where $\dim_S Y = 0$ there exists on $A$ a non-zero homogeneous locally nilpotent derivation ‘of fiber type’ (that is, an $A_0$-derivation), whatever is the affine variety $S = \text{Spec } A_0$, see [Li, Corollary 2.8]. In contrast, such a derivation does not always exist if $\dim_S Y \geq 1$. Hereafter we assume that $\dim_S Y \geq 1$.

Given $d > 0$ we consider the associated Veronese cone $V^{(d)} = \text{Spec } A^{(d)}$, where $A^{(d)} = \bigoplus_{\nu \geq 0} A_{\nu d}$. In the next example we illustrate our setting (without carrying the normality assumption).

**Example 2.2.** In the affine space $A^3 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{k}[x, y, z]$ consider the hypersurface

$$V = \mathbb{V}(x^2 - y^3) \simeq \Gamma \times A^1,$$

where $\Gamma$ is the affine cuspidal cubic given in $A^2 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{k}[x, y]$ by the same equation $x^2 - y^3 = 0$. Notice that $V^*$ is stable under the $G_m$-action on $A^3$ given by

$$\lambda.(x, y, z) = (\lambda^3 x, \lambda^2 y, \lambda z).$$

With respect to this $G_m$-action, $A^3$ is the generalized affine cone over the weighted projective plane $\mathbb{P}(3, 2, 1)$ polarized via an anticanonical divisor $H$. The divisor $H$ is ample, and $\mathbb{P}(3, 2, 1)$ is a singular del Pezzo surface of degree 6. The quotient $Y = V/G_m$ is a unicuspoidal rational curve in $\mathbb{P}(3, 2, 1)$ with an ordinary cusp at the point $P = (0 : 0 : 1)$. It can be polarized by an effective divisor $D$ supported at $P$ from the linear system of the restriction $H|_V$. The affine surface $V \simeq \Gamma \times A^1$ is a cylinder, and $(Y, H)$ is cylindrical as well. The cylinder in $Y$ consists of a single affine curve

$$Y \setminus \text{supp } D = Y \setminus \{P\} \simeq A^1.$$

The natural projection $\pi : V^* \to Y$ sends any generator $\{Q\} \times A^1$, where $Q \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$, of the cylinder $V$ onto $Y \setminus \{P\}$.

Recall the assertion (a) of Theorem 1.6.

*If a normal affine quasicone $V = \text{Spec } A$ is cylindrical then $(Y, H)$ is.*

The proof given below is based on Proposition 2.7. In Corollary 2.3 we start with a particular case, where the proof is rather short. We need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $R = \bigoplus_{e \in \mathbb{Z}} R_e$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{k}$-domain, and let $\partial \in \text{LND}(R)$ be non-zero and homogeneous. If $e(R^0)$ divides $\deg \partial$, then there exist non-zero homogeneous $h \in R^0$ and $f \in R^0_h$ such that $f\partial \in \text{LND}(R_h)$ is non-zero.

*Proof.* For the proof, we use the fact that, if $t = e(R^0)$ and $-d = \deg \partial$, then there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that both $R^0_{m\partial}$ and $R^0_{m\partial+d}$ are non-zero. Up to reversing the grading, we may suppose that $m > 0$. Picking then non-zero elements, say, $h \in R^0_{m\partial}$ and $h_1 \in R^0_{m\partial+d}$ we consider a homogeneous locally nilpotent derivation $\delta = f \partial$ of degree zero on the localization $R_h$, where $f = h_1/h \in (R^0_h)_{d}$. It restricts to a locally nilpotent derivation on $R_h$. Let us show that this restriction is non-zero, as required. Indeed,
we have
\[ R_{(h)} = \sum_{j \geq 0} R_{tmj} h^{-j}. \]
Suppose that the restriction \( \delta|_{R_{(h)}} \) is trivial. This implies that \( \delta(a/h^j) = f \partial(a)/h^j = 0 \)
for any \( a \in R_{tmj} \) and \( j \geq 0 \). Hence the restriction of \( \partial \) to \( R_{tmj}^{(tm)} \) is trivial, where
\[ R_{tmj}^{(tm)} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} R_{tmj} \]
is the \( m \)th Veronese subring of \( R_{\geq 0} \). However, this is impossible since \( \text{tr.deg}(R_{tmj}^{(tm)}) = \text{tr.deg}(R_{tmj}) = \text{tr.deg}(R) = \text{tr.deg}(R^0) + 1 \).

\[ \square \]

Corollary 2.4. Let \( A \) be a positively graded normal affine domain over \( k \) with \( e(A) = 1 \), and let \( \partial \in \text{LND}(A) \) be non-zero and homogeneous. Consider the presentation \( A = A(Y,H) \), where \( Y = \text{Proj}(A) \) and \( H \) is an ample \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor on \( Y \), see [1.9]. If \( e(R^0)|\deg \partial \) then the pair \((Y,H)\) is cylindrical.

Proof. Let a pair \((A_{(h)},f\partial|A_{(h)})\) verify the conclusion of Lemma 2.3. Applying to this pair the homogeneous slice construction (see §1.2) we obtain a principal cylinder \( D_+(\hat{h}) = \text{Spec } A_{(h)} \) in \( D_+(h) \), where \( \hat{h} \in \ker(f\partial) \cap \text{im}(f\partial) \subseteq A_{(h)} \) is a non-zero homogeneous element of degree zero. We can write \( \hat{h} = ah^{-\beta} \) for some \( \beta \geq 0 \) and some \( a \in A_\alpha \), where \( \alpha = \beta \deg h \). Hence the cylinder \( D_+(\hat{h}) = D_+(ah) = Y \setminus V_+(ah) \) is \( H \)-polar, see Remark [1.1.4].

The next corollary is immediate in view of Remark [1.1.7] 2.

Corollary 2.5. Let as before \( A = A(Y,H) \), and let \( \partial \in \text{LND}(A) \) be non-zero homogeneous of degree \( \deg(\partial) = -d \). If \( H \in \text{Div}(Y) \) is very ample and \( e(A^0) = 1 \), then \( h^d\partial \in \text{LND}(A_{(h)}) \) is non-zero for a non-zero element \( h \in A^0_1 \).

In contrast, in case where the assumption \( e(A^0) = 1 \) of Corollary 2.5 does not hold it is not so evident how one can produce a locally nilpotent derivation on \( A \) stabilizing \( A_{(h)} \) starting with a given one. Let us provide a simple example.

Example 2.6. Consider the affine plane \( X = \mathbb{A}^2 = \text{Spec } k[x,y] \) equipped with the \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-action \( \lambda(x,y) = (\lambda^2 x, \lambda y) \). The homogeneous locally nilpotent derivation \( \partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \)
on the algebra \( A = k[x,y] \) graded via \( \deg x = 2 \), \( \deg y = 1 \) defines a principal cylinder on \( X \) with projection \( x : X \to \mathbb{A}^1 = Z \). Note that \( e(A^0) = 2 \). The derivation \( \partial \) extends to a locally nilpotent derivation of the algebra
\[ \hat{A} = A[z]/(z^2 - x) = k[z,y] \supseteq A \]
such that \( e(\hat{A}) = 1 \). The localization \( A_x = k[x,x^{-1},y] \) extends to \( \hat{A}_x = k[z,z^{-1},y] = k[z,z^{-1},s] \), where
\[ s = y/z \in \hat{A}_{(z)} = (\hat{A}_x)_0 = k[s] \]
is a slice of the homogeneous derivation \( \partial_0 = z\partial \in \text{LND}(\hat{A}_{(z)}) \) of degree zero. Thus \( \text{Spec } \hat{A}_{(z)} = \text{Spec } k[s] \simeq \mathbb{A}^1 \) is a polar cylinder in \( Y = \text{Proj } \hat{A} \).

The subrings \( A \subseteq \hat{A} \) and \( A_x \subseteq \hat{A}_x \) are the rings of invariants of the involution \( \tau : (z,y) \mapsto (-z,y) \) resp. \( (z,s) \mapsto (-z,-s) \). This defines the Galois \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \)-covers \( \text{Spec } A_x \to \text{Spec } A_x \) and \( \text{Spec } \hat{A}_{(z)} \to \text{Spec } A_x \). Hence \( \text{Spec } A_x = \text{Spec } k[s^2] \simeq \mathbb{A}^1 \),

---

5In [KPZ3] Lemma 2.3, the decomposition of \( R_{(h)} \) was written as a direct sum decomposition. However, this is evidently false, as was pointed out to the authors by Kevin Langlois. Indeed, a nonzero element \( a/h^j = ah/h^{j+1} \) is simultaneously contained in \( R_{tmj} h^{-j} \) and in \( R_{tm(j+1)} h^{-(j+1)} \).
where \( s^2 = y^3/x \in A(x) \), is a polar cylinder in \( Y = \text{Proj} A \) with a locally nilpotent derivation \( d/ds^2 \).

So in order to construct a polar cylinder for \((Y, H)\) in the general case one needs to apply a different strategy. We use below the cyclic quotient construction (see §1.7)

\[
Y_h = \text{Spec } F \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}_+(h) = \text{Spec } A_{(h)} ,
\]

where \( h \in A^0_\mathfrak{m} \) is non-zero, \( F = A/(h-1) \), and \( Y_h \rightarrow Y_h/\mathbb{Z}_m \cong \text{Spec } A_{(h)} \) is the quotient map defined in §1.7. The key point is the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let \( A = \bigoplus_{\nu \geq 0} A_\nu \) be a positively graded normal affine domain over \( k \), \( Y = \text{Proj} A \), and let \( H \) be an ample \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor on \( Y \) such that \( A = A(Y, H) \) (see §1.9). Suppose that \( \dim_S Y \geq 1 \), where \( S = \text{Spec } A_0 \). Given a non-zero homogeneous locally nilpotent derivation \( \partial \in \text{LND}(A) \) there exists a homogeneous element \( f \in A^0 \) such that \( \mathbb{D}_+(f) = \text{Spec } A_{(f)} \) is an \( H \)-polar cylinder in \( Y \).

**Proof.** Let \( d = -\deg \partial \). We apply the homogeneous slice construction §1.2. One can find a homogeneous element \( g \in (\ker \partial^2 \setminus \ker \partial) \cap A_{d+m} \) such that \( h = \partial g \in A^0_m \), where \( m > 0 \) (in particular \( h \) is non-constant). Indeed, assuming to the contrary that \( A^0 \subseteq A_0 \) we obtain \( \text{tr.deg}(A_0) \geq \text{tr.deg}(A) - 1 \). It follows that the morphism \( Y \rightarrow S \) is finite, contrary to our assumption that \( \dim_S Y \geq 1 \). Thus there exists \( a \in A^0_\alpha \), where \( \alpha > 0 \) and \( \alpha \neq 0 \). Replacing \( (g, h) \) by \((ag, ah)\), if necessary, we may assume that \( \deg h > 0 \). In this case the fibers \( h^*(c) \) with \( c \neq 0 \) are all isomorphic under the \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-action on \( V = \text{Spec } A \) induced by the grading of \( A \).

We use further the cyclic quotient construction, see §1.7. In particular, we consider the quotient

\[
F = A/(h-1)A = A_h/(h-1)A_h = F^\partial[\bar{s}] , \quad \text{where } \bar{s} = g + (h-1)A \in F
\]
is a slice of the induced locally nilpotent derivation \( \bar{\partial} \) on \( F \). We have

\[
\text{Spec } F^\partial \cong \mathbb{V}(g) \cap \mathbb{V}(h-1) ,
\]

where both schemes are regarded with their reduced structure. Choosing \( g \) appropriately we may suppose that \( \text{Spec } F \cong h^*(1) \) is of positive dimension, reduced, and irreducible. Since \( \text{Spec } F \cong \text{Spec } F^\partial \times \mathbb{A}^1 \) by §1.5, then \( \text{Spec } F^\partial \) is also reduced and irreducible. Indeed, the affine Stein factorization (see Lemma §1.9 below) applied to \( h \) gives \( h = h^*_1 \), where \( m = kl, l \geq 1 \), and \( h_1 \in A^0_k \) is such that the fibers \( h^*_1(c), c \neq 0 \), are all reduced and irreducible. Now we replace \((g, h)\) by the new pair \((g_1, h_1)\), where \( g_1 = g/h^*_1 \in A_h = A_{l+1} \) and \( h_1 = \partial g_1 \). Since the variety \( \text{Spec } F^\partial \) is reduced and irreducible \( F^\partial \) is a domain. Thus we can apply Lemma §1.8.

The subgroup \( \mu_m \subseteq \mathbb{G}_m \) of \( m \)th roots of unity acts effectively on \( F \) stabilizing the kernel \( F^\partial \). This action provides the \( \mathbb{Z}_m \)-gradings

\[
F = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_m} F_\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad F^\partial = \bigoplus_{\nu = 0}^{n-1} F^\partial_{[k \nu]} ,
\]

\(^6\text{In particular } \text{LND}(A_{(f)}) \neq \{0\}.\)
where \( m = kn \) and \( k = e(A^q) \) is such that \( F^\vartheta_{[k\nu]} \neq 0 \) \( \forall \nu \), see \[1.7\] Lemma \[1.8\]. The \( \mathbf{\mu}_m \)-action on \( F \) yields an effective \( \mathbf{\mu}_n \)-action on \( F^\vartheta \). We have \( \vartheta : F_\sigma \rightarrow F_{\sigma - r} \), where \( r = [d] \in \mathbb{Z}_m \).

According to \([3]\), one can write
\[
A_{(h)} \cong F^\mu_m = F_{[0]} = (F^\vartheta_{[\bar{s}]})_{[0]} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} F^\vartheta_{[-rj]} \bar{s}^j.
\]
For \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \gg 1 \), one can find a non-zero element \( t \in A^\vartheta_{(A^q) + \alpha m} = A^\vartheta_{k + \alpha m} \), see Lemma \[1.8\]. Then also \( \bar{t} = t + (h - 1)A \in F^\vartheta_{[k]} \) is non-zero. The subgroup \( \langle \bar{t} \rangle \subseteq (F^\vartheta)^\times \) acts on \( F^\vartheta_t \) via multiplication permuting cyclically the graded pieces \( (F^\vartheta_t)_{[ik]} \), \( i = 0, \ldots, n - 1 \). Thus \( (F_t)_{[k\nu]} = (F_{\bar{t}})_{[0]} \vartheta^\nu \) \( \forall \nu \). It follows that
\[
A_{(ht)} \cong F^\mu_m = (F_{\bar{t}})_{[0]} = (F^\vartheta_{\bar{t}}_{[\bar{s}^k]}_{[0]} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} (F^\vartheta_{\bar{t}}_{[-rj]} \bar{s}^k)^j.
\]
where \( \bar{s}_1 = \bar{s}^k - r \in F_{[0]} \). Letting \( f = ht \in A^\vartheta_{k + (\alpha + 1)m} \) we obtain that \( A_{(f)} \cong F^\vartheta_{\bar{t}}_{[\bar{s}_1]} \) is a polynomial ring. Thus \( \mathbb{D}_+(f) = Y \subseteq \mathbb{V}_+(f) \) is a cylinder. According to Remark \[1.14\] this cylinder is \( H \)-polar. Now the proof is completed.

**Proof of Theorem \[0.6\](a).** We have to show that if the affine quasicone \( V \) over \( Y \) is cylindrical then the pair \((Y,H)\) is. By virtue of Proposition \[0.5\] this is true in the case where \( \dim_S Y = 0 \), see the discussion in \[2.1\] Otherwise the assertion follows from Propositions \[0.5\] and \[2.7\].

This finishes the proof of part (a) of Theorem \[0.6\]. Part (b) follows from the next lemma.

**Lemma 2.8.** Assume that the pair \((Y,H)\) as in \[2.7\] is cylindrical with a cylinder
\[
Y \setminus \text{supp} \, D \simeq Z \times \mathbb{A}^1,
\]
where \( D \) is an effective \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor on \( Y \) such that \( D \sim \frac{p}{q} H \) in \( \text{Pic}_\mathbb{Q}(Y) \) for some coprime integers \( p,q > 0 \). Then the Veronese quasicone \( V^{(p)} \) over \( Y \) is cylindrical and possesses a principal cylinder \( \mathbb{D}(h) \simeq Z' \times \mathbb{A}^1 \), where \( Z' \simeq Z \times \mathbb{A}^1 \) for some affine variety \( Z \) and \( h^p \in A_p \).

**Proof.** We have \( D = \frac{p}{q} H + \text{div}(\varphi) \) for a rational function \( \varphi \) on \( Y \). Hence \( \text{div}(\varphi^q) + pH = qD \geq 0 \) and so in the notation as in \[1.13\]
\[
h := \varphi^q u^p \in A_p = H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z([pH]))u^p \subseteq A,
\]
where \( u \in \text{Frac} \, A \) satisfies \( \text{div}(u|_{Z'}) = \pi^* H \). So \( \text{div}(h|_{Z'}) = q\pi^* D \). Since
\[
\text{Spec}(A^{(p)})_{(h)} = \mathbb{D}_+(h) = Y \setminus \text{supp} \, D \simeq Z \times \mathbb{A}^1
\]
is a cylinder we have
\[
(A^{(p)})_{(h)} \simeq \mathcal{O}(Z)[s], \text{ where } s \in (A^{(p)})_{(h)} \text{ and } \mathcal{O}(Z) \simeq (A^{(p)})_{(h)}/(s).
\]
Similarly as in Remark \[1.6\] we obtain
\[
(A^{(p)})_h = (A^{(p)})_{(h)}[h,h^{-1}] \simeq \mathcal{O}(Z)[s,h,h^{-1}] = \mathcal{O}(Z')[s],
\]
where \( Z' = \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}(Z)[h, h^{-1}] = Z \times \mathbb{A}^1 \). Letting \( \mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec} \mathbb{k}[s] \) we see that

\[
D(h) = \text{Spec} (A(p))[h] \simeq Z' \times \mathbb{A}^1
\]

is a principal cylinder in \( V(p) \), as required. \( \square \)

Now the proof of Theorem 0.6 is completed.

3. Final remarks and examples

Let us start this section with the following remarks.

Remarks 3.1. 1. The assumption \( D \sim p^q H \) of Lemma 2.8 implies the equality of the fractional parts \( \{ pH \} = \{ qD \} \). Hence the irreducible components \( \Delta_i \) of the fractional part \( \{ pH \} \) of the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor \( pH \) on \( Y \) (cf. 1.11) are contained in \( \text{supp} \{ qD \} \) and do not meet the cylinder \( Y \setminus \text{supp} D \).

2. Suppose that \( H \in \text{Div} Y \) is an ample Cartier divisor. According to Lemma 2.8 with \( p = 1 \), the existence of an effective divisor \( D \in |H| \) such that \( Y \setminus \text{supp} D \) is a cylinder guarantees the cylindricity of the quasicone \( V = \text{Spec} A(Y, H) \). On the other hand, the cylindricity of \( V \) does not guarantee the existence of such a divisor \( D \) in the linear system \( |H| \), but only in the linear system \( |nH| \) for some \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), see Theorem 0.6(b). We wonder whether there exists an upper bound for such \( n \) in terms of the numerical invariants of the pair \((Y, H)\). This important question is non-trivial already in the case of del Pezzo surfaces \( Y \) and pluri-anticanonical divisors \( H = -mK_Y \), see Example 3.3 below.

Remark 3.1.2 together with Proposition 0.5, Theorem 0.6, Lemma 2.8, and Remark 3.6.2 below lead to the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. Let \( Y \) be a normal algebraic variety over \( \mathbb{k} \) projective over an affine variety \( S \) with \( \dim_S Y \geq 1 \). Let \( H \in \text{Div}(Y) \) be an ample divisor on \( Y \), and let \( V = \text{Spec} A(Y, H) \) be the associated affine quasicone over \( Y \). Then \( V \) admits an effective \( \mathbb{G}_a \)-action if and only if \( Y \) contains an \( H \)-polar cylinder.

Proof. Indeed, suppose that \( Y \) contains an \( H \)-polar cylinder. Then by Lemma 2.8 for some \( d \in \mathbb{N} \) the associated Veronese quasicone \( V^{(d)} \) over \( Y \) admits an effective \( \mathbb{G}_a \)-action. Notice that in our setting the \( d \)-sheeted cyclic cover \( V \to V^{(d)} \) is non-ramified off the vertex. Hence by Theorem 3.1 in [MaMi] any effective \( \mathbb{G}_a \)-action on \( V^{(d)} \) can be lifted to \( V \) (see Remark 3.6.2 below). The converse assertion follows immediately from Proposition 0.5 and Theorem 0.6. \( \square \)

For the details of the following examples we send the reader to [KPZ1, KPZ3]. The latter paper inspired the present work.

Example 3.3. The generalized cone over a smooth del Pezzo surface \( Y_d \) of degree \( d \) (proper over \( S = \text{Spec} \mathbb{k} \)) polarized by the (integral) pluri-anticanonical divisor \( -rK_{Y_d} \) admits an additive group action if \( d \geq 4 \) and does not admit such an action for \( d = 1 \) and \( d = 2 \), whatever is \( r \geq 1 \). The latter follows from the criterion of Theorem 0.6. Indeed, in the case \( d \leq 2 \) the pair \((Y_d, -rK_{Y_d})\) is not cylindrical ([KPZ3]). The case \( d = 3 \) remains open.

Remark 3.1.2 initiates the following definitions.
Definition 3.4. The cylindricity spectrum of a pair \((Y,H)\) is
\[
\text{Sp}_{\text{cyl}}(Y,H) = \{ r \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \mid \exists D \in [rH] \text{ such that } D \geq 0 \text{ and } Y \setminus \text{supp} D \simeq Z \times \mathbb{A}^1 \}.
\]
Clearly, \(\text{Sp}_{\text{cyl}}(Y,H) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_+\) is stable under multiplication by positive integers. An element \(r \in \text{Sp}_{\text{cyl}}(Y,H)\) is called primitive if it is not divisible in \(\text{Sp}_{\text{cyl}}(Y,H)\). The set of primitive elements will be called a primitive spectrum of \((Y,H)\). We conjecture that the primitive spectrum is finite.

Examples 3.5. 1. It may happen that the pair \((Y,H)\) as in Theorem 0.6 is cylindrical while the quasicone \(V\) is not. Consider, for instance, a normal generalized cone \(V\) over \(Y = \mathbb{P}^1\), that is, a normal affine surface with a good \(\mathbb{G}_m\)-action and a quasirational singularity. Notice that \((Y,H)\) is cylindrical for any \(\mathbb{Q}\)-divisor \(H\) on \(Y\) of positive degree (see Remark 0.3). However, it was shown in \([FZ]\) Theorem 3.3 that \(V\) admits a \(\mathbb{G}_a\)-action (that is, is cylindrical) if and only if \(V \simeq \mathbb{A}^2/\mathbb{Z}_m\) is a toric surface, if and only if it has at most cyclic quotient singularity. The singularities of the generalized cones
\[
x^2 + y^3 + z^7 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x^2 + y^3 + z^3 = 0
\]
in \(\mathbb{A}^3\) being non-cyclic quotient, these cones over \(\mathbb{P}^1\) are not cylindrical (see \([G]\) ), whereas suitable associated Veronese cones are. In terms of the polarizing \(\mathbb{Q}\)-divisor \(H\) on \(Y\), a criterion of \([Le]\) Corollary 3.30 says that \(V\) is cylindrical if and only if the fractional part of \(H\) is supported on at most two points of \(Y = \mathbb{P}^1\). In the above examples it is supported on three points.

2. Similarly, let \(a,b,c\) be a triple of positive integers coprime in pairs, and consider the normal affine surface \(x^a + y^b + z^c = 0\) in \(\mathbb{A}^3\) with a good \(\mathbb{G}_m\)-action. According to \([Lc]\) Example 3.6] an associated \(\mathbb{Q}\)-divisor \(H\) on \(Y = \mathbb{P}^1\) can be given as \(H = \frac{\alpha}{a}[0] + \frac{\beta}{b}[1] + \frac{\gamma}{c}[\infty]\), where \(\alpha, \beta, \gamma\) are integers satisfying \(abc + \beta ac + \gamma ab = 1\). This divisor is ample since \(\text{deg } H = 1_{abc} > 0\). For \(a,b,c > 1\) the fractional part of \(H\) is again supported on three points. Hence this cone, say, \(V = V_{a,b,c}\) is not cylindrical and does not admit any \(\mathbb{G}_a\)-action. At the same time the Veronese cone \(V^{(d)}\) does if and only if at least one of the integers \(a,b,c\) divides \(d\). Indeed in the latter case the fractional part of the associated divisor \(dH\) of the Veronese cone \(V^{(d)}\) is supported on at most two points. It is easily seen that the primitive spectrum of \((\mathbb{P}^1,H)\) has cardinality 3.

Remarks 3.6. 1. Given a non-zero homogeneous derivation \(\partial \in \text{LND}(A)\) of degree \(d\) there exists a replica \(a\partial \in \text{LND}(A(m))\) of \(\partial\) stabilizing the \(m\)th Veronese subring \(A(m) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} A_{km}\) of \(A\), where \(a \in A_{j}\) for some \(j \gg 0\) such that \(j + d \equiv 0 \mod m\). In this way a \(\mathbb{G}_a\)-action on a generalized cone \(V = \text{cone}_H(Y)\) induces such an action on the associated Veronese cone \(V^{(m)}\). Notice that the locally nilpotent derivation on the localization \(A_h\) constructed in the proof of Lemma 2.3 has degree zero. Hence it preserves any Veronese subring \(A^{(m)}_h\). It follows that if \(V\) is cylindrical then the associated Veronese cone \(V^{(m)}\) is cylindrical for any positive \(m \equiv 0 \mod e(A^0)\).

2. The question arises as to when a \(\mathbb{G}_a\)-action on a Veronese power \(V^{(m)}\) of a generalized cone \(V = \text{cone}_H(Y)\) (normalized by the standard \(\mathbb{G}_m\)-action) is induced by such an action on \(V\). The natural embedding \(A^{(m)} \hookrightarrow A\) yields an \(m\)-sheeted cyclic Galois cover \(V \rightarrow V^{(m)}\) with the Galois group being a subgroup of the 1-torus \(\mathbb{G}_m\).

\footnote{An isolated surface singularity is called quasirational if the components of the exceptional divisor of its minimal resolution are all rational.}
acting on $V$. This cover can be ramified in codimension 1. For instance, this is the case if $Y$ is smooth and the ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $H$ is not integral, while $mH$ is.

In case that the cyclic cover $V \to V^{(m)}$ is unramified in codimension 1 the $\mathbb{G}_a$-action on $V^{(m)}$ can be lifted to $V$ commuting with the Galois group action (see Theorem 1.3 in \cite{MaMi}).

The following simple example\footnote{See also \cite{Ka, FZ2}, and the proof of Lemma 2.16 in \cite{FZ2}, where the argument must be completed. Cf. Proposition 2.4 in \cite{HJ} for a general fact on lifting algebraic group actions to an étale cover over a complete base in arbitrary characteristic.} shows that without the normality assumption for the quasicone $V$, it is impossible in general to lift to $V$ a given $\mathbb{G}_a$-action on a Veronese cone $V^{(m)}$.

**Example 3.7.** Consider the polynomial algebra $\tilde{A} = \mathbb{k}[x, y]$ with the standard grading and a homogeneous locally nilpotent derivation $\partial = y\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ of degree 0. Consider also a non-normal subring

$$\tilde{B} = \mathbb{k}[x^2, xy, y^2, x^3, y^3] \subseteq \tilde{A}$$

with normalization $\tilde{A}$. Note that $\partial$ does not stabilize $\tilde{B}$, while $y^3\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ does stabilize $\tilde{B}$, i.e., $\tilde{B}$ is not a rigid ring.

On the other hand, the involution $\tau : (x, y) \mapsto (-x, -y)$ acts on $\tilde{A}$ leaving $\tilde{B}$ invariant. Furthermore, letting $G = \langle \tau \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ we obtain

$$\tilde{A}^G = \tilde{B}^G = \bigoplus_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \tilde{A}_{2\nu} =: A.$$ 

Let $\tilde{V} = \text{Spec} \tilde{B}$ and $V = \text{Spec} A$. Then $\tilde{V} = \text{cone}(\tilde{\Gamma})$ is a generalized affine cone over the smooth projective rational curve $\tilde{\Gamma} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^4(2, 2, 2, 3, 3)$ given by $(x : y) \mapsto (x^2 : xy : y^2 : x^3 : y^3)$, while $V = \text{cone}(\Gamma)$ is the usual quadric cone over a smooth conic $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$. The embedding $A \hookrightarrow B$ induces a 2-sheeted Galois cover $\tilde{V} \to V$ ramified only over the vertex of $V$. The derivation $\partial$ stabilizes $A$, and the induced $\mathbb{G}_a$-action on $V$ lifts to the normalization $\tilde{A}^2$ of $V$, and also to $\tilde{V}^* = \tilde{V} \setminus \{0\} \simeq \tilde{A}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. However, since $\partial$ does not stabilize $\tilde{B}$ this action cannot be lifted to the cone $\tilde{V}$.

**Remark 3.8 (Affine Stein factorization).** In the proof of Proposition 2.7 we have used the following affine version of the classical Stein factorization. It should be well known; for the lack of a reference we provide a short argument. \footnote{\textit{Cf.} \cite{HJ} Example 2.17. The double definition of $A$ in \cite{FZ2} Example 2.17 is not correct; the correct definition is given by the second equality $A = \bigoplus_{\nu \neq 1} \tilde{A}_{2\nu}$, while for our purposes the first equality is more suitable.}

**Lemma 3.9.** Given a dominant morphism $f : X \to Y$ of affine varieties there exists a decomposition $f = g \circ f'$, where $f' : X \to Y'$ is a morphism with irreducible general fibers and the morphism $g : Y' \to Y$ is finite.\footnote{We are grateful to Hubert Flenner for indicating this argument. An alternative proof, also discussed with him, consists to define $Y'$ below as spectrum of the normalization of the algebra $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ in $\mathcal{O}(X)$. In this way we avoid the desingularization, but the proof becomes somewhat longer.}

**Proof.** Compactifying $X$ and $Y$ and resolving indeterminacies of the resulting rational map we can extend $f$ to a morphism of projective varieties $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{Y}$. We then...
restrict \(\tilde{f}\) over \(Y\) to get a proper morphism \(\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \to Y\), where \(\tilde{X} = \tilde{f}^{-1}(Y)\) is open in \(\tilde{X}\). Now by [Ha Ch. III, Cor. 11.5] there is a factorization \(\tilde{f} = g \circ \tilde{f}'\), where \(\tilde{f}' : \tilde{X} \to Y'\) is a morphism with irreducible general fibers and the morphism \(g : Y' \to Y\) is finite. Letting \(f' = \tilde{f}'|_X\) we are done. \(\square\)
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