Giant Protostellar Flares: Accretion-driven Accumulation and Reconnection-driven Ejection of Magnetic Flux in Protostars
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Abstract

Protostellar flares are rapid magnetic energy release events associated with the formation of hot plasma in protostars. In the previous models of protostellar flares, the interaction between a protostellar magnetosphere with the surrounding disk plays crucial role in building-up and releasing the magnetic energy. However, it remains unclear if protostars indeed have magnetospheres because vigorous disk accretion and strong disk magnetic fields in the protostellar phase may destroy the magnetosphere. Considering this possibility, we investigate the energy accumulation and release processes in the absence of a magnetosphere using a three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamical simulation. Our simulation reveals that protostellar flares are repeatedly produced even in such a case. Unlike in the magnetospheric models, the protostar accumulates magnetic energy by acquiring large-scale magnetic fields from the disk by accretion. Protostellar flares occur when a portion of the large-scale magnetic fields are removed from the protostar as a result of magnetic reconnection. Protostellar flares in the simulation are consistent with observations; the released magnetic energy (up to $\sim 3 \times 10^{38}$ erg) is large enough to drive observed flares, and the flares produce hot ejecta. The expelled magnetic fields enhance accretion, and the energy build-up and release processes are repeated as a result. The magnetic flux removal via reconnection leads to redistribution of magnetic fields in the inner disk. We therefore consider that protostellar flares will play an important role in the evolution of the disk magnetic fields in the vicinity of protostars.

1. Introduction

Protostars are formed as a result of the gravitational collapse of cold ($\sim 10$ K) molecular cloud cores (McKee & Ostriker 2007). As the contracting gas should have finite angular momentum, circumstellar disks form as natural byproducts. Since the protostellar evolution is largely controlled by accretion through the disks (Matt & Pudritz 2005; Tomida et al. 2017), it is important to reveal the star–disk interaction to understand the protostellar growth history.

Since the star formation takes place in a cold environment, it had been considered that deeply embedded protostars such as class 0 and I objects are irrelevant to high-energy processes. However, X-ray observations revealed that class I protostars emit hard X-rays associated with very hot ($\sim 10^8$ K) plasma (Koyama et al. 1996). Such powerful explosions in hard X-rays are called protostellar flares.

Protostellar flares release a huge amount of energy ($\sim 10^{34}$–$10^{37}$ erg in the X-ray radiation; the actual released magnetic energy should be much larger) within a timescale of $10^{-5}$ s (Montmerle et al. 2000; Tsuboi et al. 2000; Imanishi et al. 2003). Statistical studies show that the X-ray detection rate of class 0/1 objects is generally high (40%–60%) Imanishi et al. 2001; Getman et al. 2007; Güdel et al. 2007; Prisinzano et al. 2008; Pillitteri et al. 2010), indicating that explosive events ubiquitously occur even just after the birth of protostars. Observations of more evolved (class II/III) objects reported that class III objects produce larger flares than class II objects, which suggests that the accretion interrupts the energy build-up for flares (Getman et al. 2008). However, actively accreting class I objects are as bright as class III objects in the X-ray (Pillitteri et al. 2010). This fact motivates us to consider a different mechanism in protostars.

Protostellar flares have been considered as a scale-up version of solar flares. A solar flare is an explosive phenomenon in which the accumulated magnetic energy is violently released by magnetic reconnection (Priest & Forbes 2002; Benz 2008; Shibata & Takasao 2016; Takasao & Shibata 2016). Since solar flares release a fraction of the magnetic energy stored above sunspots with a field strength of a few kG, the flare energy can be estimated as

$$E_{\text{flare}} \approx f \frac{B_{\text{spot}}^2 L_{\text{spot}}^3}{8\pi} \approx 10^{32} \text{ erg} \left( \frac{f}{0.1} \right) \left( \frac{B_{\text{spot}}}{1000 \text{ G}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{L_{\text{spot}}}{0.04 R_\odot} \right)^3,$$

(1)

where $B_{\text{spot}}$ is the field strength of sunspots and $f$ is the fraction of magnetic energy that can be released as flare energy (Shibata et al. 2013). $E_{\text{flare}} \approx 10^{32}$ erg is the energy of the largest solar flare ever observed, and the size $L_{\text{spot}}$, defined as the square-root of the total area of sunspots, is consistent with observations by Sammis et al. (2000). Since solar flares occur in the corona, it is meaningful to write the energy with the coronal quantities. Considering that the coronal field strength is...
smaller than the photospheric value due to expansion, we get

\[ E_{\text{flare}} \approx 10^{32} \text{erg} \left( \frac{f}{0.1} \right) \left( \frac{B}{300 \text{ G}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{L_{\text{flare}}}{0.1 R_\odot} \right)^3. \]  

(2)

Although the result depends on the coronal field strength, this relation suggests that the size of the largest flare is roughly 10% of the solar radius and this is supported by observations.

We note that X-ray observations can only measure a small fraction of the total released energy (e.g., Benz 2008; Emslie et al. 2012). For instance, Emslie et al. (2012) suggest that only \( \sim 1\% \) of the total released energy is radiated in X-rays. Although there are uncertainties; for instance, solar observations have shown that the total released energy should be one or more orders of magnitude larger than the energy in X-rays. Therefore, the total released energy of protostellar flares should be larger than \( 10^{34-37} \) erg.

We repeat the above estimation for protostellar flares. The huge flare energy suggests that either strong magnetic fields and/or a large spatial scale are required. The maximum field strength should be at most a few kG even for protostars, otherwise the magnetic field cannot be confined by the gas pressure around the stellar surface (for direct measurements of kG fields for classical T-Tauri stars, see, e.g.; Donati & Landstreet 2009). Therefore, the field strength at the footpoints will be comparable to the sunspots, and protostellar flares should have a very large spatial scale to release the observed flare energy:

\[ E_{\text{flare}} \approx 10^{37} \text{erg} \left( \frac{f}{0.1} \right) \left( \frac{B}{300 \text{ G}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{L_{\text{flare}}}{5 R_\odot} \right)^3. \]  

(3)

Since the typical radius of protostars is a few solar radii (Baraffe et al. 2009; Hosokawa et al. 2011), this estimate indicates that the size of protostellar flares is comparable to or larger than the stellar radius. Mechanisms for the energy build-up at such large scales remain unresolved.

The energy build-up in the case of solar flares is as follows. Magnetic fields first emerge from the solar interior to form sunspots. Then, sunspots show shearing and/or rotating motions, shearing up coronal magnetic loops (Takasao et al. 2015). This energy build-up may not be expected in the case of protostellar flares, because the spatial scale is larger than the stellar radius and therefore both of the footpoints of magnetic loops may not be anchored by the stellar surface.

What makes the difference between the Sun and a protostar? One crucial factor is the existence of accretion disks. The large spatial scale suggests that protostellar flares are associated with the dynamical interaction between the protostar and a circumstellar disk. The dynamical evolution of systems in which a protostar has a strong dipolar magnetosphere threading the disk has been investigated (Ghosh & Lamb 1979; Camenzind 1990; Koenigl 1991; Shu et al. 1994; Matt & Pudritz 2005; Ferreira et al. 2006). In this configuration, magnetic loops of the magnetosphere are wound up by the rotating disk (i.e., rotational or gravitational energy of the disk is efficiently converted into magnetic energy). As the twist accumulates, magnetic loops expand to form an electric current sheet inside the loops (Lynden-Bell & Boily 1994; Lovelace et al. 1995). Magnetic reconnection eventually takes place in the current sheet, and rapidly releases the magnetic energy stored at a scale larger than the stellar radius. Although one end of the footpoints is anchored in the disk, this energy build-up by shear is similar to the case of solar flares. Hayashi et al. (1996) investigated the magnetospheric model in detail using a two-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic (2D MHD) simulation (see also Goodson et al. 1997; Hirose et al. 1997; Uzdensky 2004; Zanni & Ferreira 2013), and showed that magnetic reconnection naturally accounts for the formation of hot plasma. The accretion process of the star with a magnetosphere has also been studied (Miller & Stone 1997; Romanova et al. 2009). The condition for the magnetospheric accretion has been widely discussed (e.g., Bessolaz et al. 2008, and references therein).

Although the magnetospheric model is promising, it is possible that actual protostars have no magnetospheres because vigorous disk accretion and strong disk magnetic fields in this phase can destroy the magnetosphere. For instance, if the inner disk has a poloidal magnetic field with the strength of 40 G, the total disk magnetic flux within \( \sim 5 R_* \) is enough to open up the closed stellar dipole fields with the strength of 1 kG through magnetic reconnection. Although there is large uncertainty in the above estimation, an observation indicates the existence of kG fields in a highly accreting young star (Donati et al. 2005). A recent simulation by Machida & Basu (2019) shows the existence of strong (>100 G) poloidal magnetic fields around a protostar, although the simulation only investigates the very early phase of the star formation (until 2000 yr after the birth of the protostar). The strong disk fields can be rapidly transported to the protostar by accretion near/above the disk surface (Beckwith et al. 2009; Takasao et al. 2018), which will also enhance the destruction of the stellar magnetosphere via reconnection. If protostars have no magnetospheres that interact with rotating disks, the energy build-up process in which field lines of the magnetospheres are twisted by the rotating disks will not operate. Does this mean that protostellar flares will not occur without magnetospheres? This is the central question of this paper. We investigated whether protostellar flares can occur in the absence of magnetosphere using a 3D MHD simulation. We report here that protostellar flares can occur even in such a case. This study discusses the relationship between the accretion process and the protostellar flares.

2. Numerical Setup

Our numerical setting (including method and initial and boundary conditions) is similar to that in our previous paper (Takasao et al. 2018). The differences are (1) a resistivity is included to model reconnection, (2) the disk is magnetized more strongly, (3) the dual energy formalism (the time evolution of the internal energy is also solved) is used to avoid negative pressure in the low plasma \( \beta \) regions (e.g., Takasao et al. 2015), and (4) the inner boundary condition is modified. Here we briefly describe our model. For more detailed information, the reader is referred to our previous paper. We solve the 3D resistive MHD equations in a conservative form in spherical coordinates \((r, \theta, \phi)\) using Athena++ (J. Stone et al. 2019, in preparation). We include a simplified radiative cooling term for the disk material in the energy equation to sustain the initial disk temperature profile.

We include a resistivity to capture magnetic reconnection. Our resistivity \( \eta_{\text{dynamical}} \) is an anomalous resistivity that operates only in the regions with a large relative electron–ion drift velocity \( v_{\text{drift}} \) (proportional to the current density divided by the mass density) in order to spatially localize the resistivity and
realize a fast reconnection (Ugai 1992). The functional form is \( n_{\text{fl}} = \eta_0 (v_{\text{drift}} / v_{\text{crit}} - 1) \) in the region where \( v_{\text{drift}} > v_{\text{crit}} \) and the density is 1000 times smaller than that of the initial inner disk, otherwise \( n_{\text{fl}} = 0 \). \( v_{\text{crit}} \) is the threshold for the drift velocity. We chose the constants \( \eta_0 \) and \( v_{\text{crit}} \) so that the current sheets are resolved with at least several meshes. Therefore, the resistivity does not operate in the disk.

The protostar is surrounded by a cold disk threaded by an hourglass-shaped poloidal magnetic field in the initial state. The protostellar mass and radius are \( 0.5M_\odot \) and \( 2R_\odot \), respectively. The Keplerian orbital period at the stellar radius is \( \sim 0.46 \) days. The ratio of the disk pressure scale height to the radius is about 0.14, as in our previous model. The initial plasma \( \beta \), the ratio of the gas pressure to the magnetic pressure, on the equatorial plane is constant with radius and set to 10^2.

We expect that the inner part of the accretion disk is more strongly magnetized in the protostellar phase because a fossil magnetic field may still remain there (e.g., Machida & Basu 2019). The initial disk field strength is approximately 100 G in the innermost region in this study. The initial midplane disk density is \( 10^{16} \) cm\(^{-3} \) at the inner edge, and it decreases to approximately \( 10^{14} \) cm\(^{-3} \) in 23 days after the simulation starts.

We adopt an outgoing boundary condition for the outer boundary. Our inner boundary is a rotating stellar surface that gradually absorbs the accreting mass. The inner boundary is controlled by the boundary condition plus a damping layer (a thin spherical shell around the actual inner boundary). The difference from our previous setting is that the values of the latitudinal and azimuthal components of magnetic fields in the ghost cells are set to zero to improve the numerical stability. The latitudinal component of the velocity is also set to zero in the ghost cells as in our previous paper. The protostar is rotating and the corotation radius is set to \( 3R_\ast \), where \( R_\ast \) is the stellar radius, and the magnetic fields threading the stellar surface are also rotated at this velocity. We investigated the influence of the boundary condition by performing a set of 2D simulations with different boundary conditions (zero-value/reflecting boundaries for \( v_\theta \) and free/radial-component-only boundaries for magnetic fields), and confirmed that protostellar flares occur in all the cases.

We adopt static mesh refinement to capture turbulence and magnetic reconnection around the disk. The simulation domain is \( (0.9R_\ast, 0, 0) \leq (r, \theta, \phi) < (30R_\ast, \pi, 2\pi) \). The radial grid size is proportional to the radius to keep the ratio between the radial and longitudinal grid sizes. We resolve the simulation domain with \( 120 \times 120 \times 80 \) grid cells at the root level (level 0). The refinement level increases toward the midplane of the inner disk. The maximum level is 2 (\( r < 6R_\ast \)).

3. Numerical Results

Figure 1 shows the evolution of the magnetic energy around the protostar and the accretion rate. The time-averaged accretion rate is \( \sim 3 \times 10^{-7} M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1} \). Within the distance of five stellar radii, the protostar stores magnetic energy of \( \sim 10^{39} \) erg, which is sufficient to produce large protostellar flares. The plot indeed displays many events of sudden release of magnetic energy. The initial sharp increase in the magnetic energy (\( t < 10 \) days) is due to quick accumulation of magnetic flux from the disk. Such efficient transport of magnetic flux is also found in our previous simulation (Takasao et al. 2018) and caused by a rapid accretion near and above the disk surface where the plasma \( \beta \) is lower and the magnetic torque can transport angular momentum more efficiently than around the equatorial plane (Matsumoto et al. 1996; Beckwith et al. 2009; Zhu & Stone 2018). As discussed later, the energy accumulation after energy release events is also mediated in this manner. We observe fast funnel-accretion found in our previous paper (Takasao et al. 2018).

Figure 2 displays an example of the explosive events that occurred at \( t \sim 68 \) days. We find a hot (\( \sim 10^7 \) K) plasma ejection from the protostar with a velocity of \( \sim 450 \) km s\(^{-1} \) (yellow-colored region). A portion of the reconnection outflow is refracted along the reconnected fields to form a hot bipolar outflow. We observe many explosions like this event and interpret them as protostellar flares. The averaged energy conversion efficiency is approximately \( L_{\text{flare}} \sim 0.1E_{\text{grav}} \), where \( L_{\text{flare}} \) and \( E_{\text{grav}} = -GM_\ast M / R_\ast \) are the time-averaged flare luminosity and the energy release rate by accretion, respectively.

Protostellar flares are driven by magnetic reconnection in a way similar to solar flares. The hot ejecta seen in Figure 2 is a manifestation of magnetic reconnection. Protostellar flares are so powerful that they significantly affect the disk. Figure 3 displays the disk structure at the equatorial plane at two successive times. The protostellar flare in Figure 2 appears as a
plasma ejection is indicated by the isosurface of the temperature in the left panel. Figure 2. 3D images of a protostellar flare that occurred at \( t \sim 68 \) days. The protostellar surface is colored by the value of the radial component of magnetic fields. In the left panel (side view), the density is shown by the poloidal slice. The blue isosurface in the right panel (top view) indicates the density of \( 3 \times 10^{-11} \) g cm\(^{-3}\). A hot plasma ejection is indicated by the isosurface of the temperature (yellow, the temperature is \( \sim 5 \times 10^6 \) K). Reconnected magnetic field lines are colored in orange, while the stellar and disk field lines are yellow and purple, respectively. Arrows denote velocity vectors.

tenuous hot void in this figure (see the region indicated by the dashed curves in the left panel). The void corresponds to a cross-section of the bundle of reconnected magnetic fields. The void carries strong magnetic fields away (orange-colored field lines in Figure 2), removing large-scale poloidal fields from the protostar. This is why the plasma \( \beta \) in the void is much lower than unity. The density is small because the reconnected field lines come from the tenuous protostellar corona.

The magnetic fields in the void diffuse out as time progresses because of the magnetic interchange instability. The right panel of Figure 3 shows the result 0.8 days after the time in the right panel. We can find that finger-like structures are developing in the void (indicated by arrows), which are manifestations of the instability. The instability can appear only in regions where the Lorentz force is operating significantly against gravity (Steinh & Spruit 2001). In fact, in the ejecta the plasma \( \beta \) is much smaller than unity and the magnetic energy density is larger than the gravitational energy density (indicated by the ratio of the Alfvén speed to the local Keplerian velocity, the bottom right panel). We also confirmed that the instability condition is satisfied by checking the gradient of the magnetic field strength divided by the surface density. The accretion is initially driven by magnetorotational instability (MRI Balbus & Hawley 1991), but MRI is suppressed later due to strong magnetization. The accretion rate around the protostar seems to be sustained by a combination of the magnetic interchange instability and the disk outflow (not shown here).

Why do magnetic fields that accumulate around the protostar overflow into the disk? We describe the process with a schematic diagram in Figure 4. The protostar acquires large-scale magnetic fields from the disk by accretion (stage 1). When the stellar magnetic fields become strong enough, the stellar fields expand toward the disk. Since the stellar rotation is slower than the Keplerian rotation in this study, the stellar fields remove the angular momentum of the disk gas and accelerate the disk surface accretion near the protostar (stage 2). Once the inner disk is cleared up, magnetic fields of the stellar north and south poles contact to reconnect around the equatorial plane, producing a flare (stage 3). In this way, a fraction of the stellar fields is removed and goes into the disk.

We will describe why protostellar flares repeatedly occur. Although the flare drives an outgoing ejection, the ejection is spatially limited in the azimuthal direction (Figure 3) and therefore the accretion continues. In addition, the magnetic fields ejected by reconnection are moving radially, and therefore they behave as an obstacle for surrounding rotating disk materials. Disk materials lose their angular momenta when interacting with the magnetic fields. The disk accretion is enhanced as a result (see the correlation between the magnetic energy and the accretion rate in Figure 1). Thus, the inner density gap is filled up and the disk accretion can accumulate magnetic fields around the protostar again.

We investigated the size of the influence region of the stellar fields. The stellar fields are confined in the polar regions by the sum of the gas and magnetic pressures of the disk (see Takasao et al. 2018), which means that the opening angle of the stellar magnetic funnel is determined by the pressure balance in the latitudinal direction. If the balance point reaches close to or inside the disk, the stellar fields have significant impact on the disk accretion. Figure 5 shows the angle of the balance point, where the angle is measured from the equatorial plane. We also measured the disk opening angle numerically (see the caption in Figure 5). The figure shows that in this simulation (solid line, the initial plasma \( \beta = 10^2 \)) the balance point comes into or close to the disk within the radius of 1.5–2 \( R_\ast \). Therefore, the stellar fields significantly affect the disk within this radius. In a weaker field case, on the other hand, the balance point is located well above the disk surface, and we do not observe strong flares.

4. Summary and Discussion

We presented the results of a global 3D MHD simulation of protostellar flares under the assumption that the protostar does not have a magnetosphere initially. We found that protostellar flares repeatedly occur even in the absence of a stellar magnetosphere. The protostar accumulates huge magnetic energy by getting large-scale magnetic fields from the disk.
The stored magnetic energy is released by magnetic reconnection to produce protostellar flares. A fraction of the stellar fields are removed as a result of reconnection. Protostellar flares release a large amount of magnetic energy ($\sim 10^{36}$ erg) because of their large spatial scale. When we consider the energy partition of flares, only a small fraction of this energy will be radiated in X-ray. If the energy conversion rate is 1%, the flare energy observed in the simulation can account for protostellar flares with the energy of $\sim 10^{36}$ erg estimated from X-ray observations.

We compare our “flux removal model” with the magnetospheric model by Hayashi et al. (1996). In both models, the energy build-up and release are controlled by the surrounding disks, and flares can occur repeatedly to produce hot ejecta. However, some big differences can be found. In the magnetospheric model, flares are triggered when field lines of the magnetosphere are wound up by approximately one rotation (Lynden-Bell & Boily 1994), but observations do not support this (Imanishi et al. 2001). Reconnection occurs well above the disk, and therefore the disk is less affected by ejecta. In our model, the energy build-up is the magnetic field accumulation by accretion. The time interval between two successive flares (flare interval) is determined by the star–disk interaction and more than 10 orbital periods at the stellar surface. Reconnection happens around the midplane, and therefore largely disturbs the inner disk. Inhomogeneity in the azimuthal direction is also prominent because of the localized reconnection and the magnetic interchange instability in the ejecta. The accretion rate is not totally quenched by flare ejecta due to the inhomogeneity.

Protostellar flares occur with a typical period of approximately 20–30 orbital periods (or 10–15 days) in this study. This timescale is consistent with the idea that protostellar flares occur once the protostellar fields clear up the inner disk. The flare interval will be characterized by the timescale at which the inner disk is cleared up by the interaction between the stellar magnetic fields and the disk surface. From Figure 5 the interaction is important within the radius of $\sim 2R_\ast$. We define the accretion rate around the disk surfaces as $\dot{M}_{\text{surf}} = 4\pi R_{\text{surf}} v_i w$, where $\rho_{\text{surf}}$ is the density around the disk surface and $w$ is a typical width of the disk surface accretion (here we take $w = H_\ast$). Then the timescale $t_{\text{clear}}$ is estimated as $M(R < 2R_\ast)/\dot{M}$, where $M(R > 2R_\ast)$ = $2H_\ast/4\pi R_{\text{surf}} v_i w = (1/2)(2\pi R/v_i)(\rho_{\text{mid}}/\rho_{\text{surf}})$. Our numerical simulation indicates that $2\pi R/v_i \sim 5T_K$, and $\rho_{\text{mid}}/\rho_{\text{surf}} \sim 10$ at $R = 2R_\ast$, where $t_K$ is the Keplerian orbital period at $R_\ast$ ($\sim 0.46$ days). Therefore, we obtain that $t_{\text{clear}} \sim 25T_K \sim 12$ days, which is consistent with the numerical result.

A significant amount of magnetic fields is carried to the protostar by accretion outside the disk body as commonly seen in many simulations (e.g., Beckwith et al. 2009; Suzuki & Inutsuka 2014; Takasao et al. 2018). As a result, the accretion flows around the protostar become essentially the same as so-called magnetically choked accretion flows (MCAFs) (McKinney et al. 2012). MCAFs are similar to the “magnetically arrested disk” (MAD) flows (Narayan et al. 2003), widely discussed in the context of black hole accretion and MRI is suppressed due to strong magnetization (White et al. 2019). McKinney et al. (2012) report that the magnetic flux redistribution is mediated by the instabilities once magnetic flux has accumulated up to a saturation point. Here we emphasize the importance of magnetic reconnection. As shown in Figures 3 and 4, the magnetic flux removal via reconnection

Figure 3. Distributions of physical quantities at the midplane at two different times. Left: the density (top left), the temperature (top right), the plasma $\beta$ (bottom left), and the radial velocity normalized by the Keplerian velocity at the stellar surface (bottom right) at time 69.1 days. The hot plasma ejection (indicated by the dashed curves) is driven by the protostellar flare shown in Figure 2. Right: same as the left panels but at a later time of 69.9 days. The bottom right panel shows the ratio of the Alfvén speed to the local Keplerian velocity. The arrows in the density and temperature maps indicate finger-like structures caused by the magnetic Rayleigh–Taylor instability. In the animation, the sequence starts at time 65.8 days and ends at time 75.1 days (20 Keplerian orbital periods at the stellar surface). The video duration is 12 s. (An animation of this figure is available.)
also leads to the redistribution. Protostellar flares will largely affect the disk magnetic field evolution in the innermost region. Reconnection similar to this but around a black hole is also discussed by Komissarov (2005).

Less attention has been paid to the flaring activity in the context of the protostellar evolution. However, our results suggest that the disk material can be significantly heated up by protostellar flares before accreting onto the star, which may affect the stellar evolution through the change in the entropy carried into the protostar (e.g., Hosokawa et al. 2011; Kunitomo et al. 2017). The heating may also contribute to the formation of chondrules, which are believed to be the building blocks of planets (see also Nakamoto et al. 2005). The magnetic flux removal will be important for the transition of the stellar magnetic structure; the stellar magnetic fields should be dominated by fossil, open poloidal fields in the protostellar phase. However, the magnetic fields of evolved stars like CTTSs are dominated by multipolar, dynamo-generated fields. Our results indicate that protostellar flares can occur even before the stellar dynamo starts. Therefore, studying protostellar flares may enable us to probe the very initial state after the birth of protostars. We will explore the impact on star and planet formation in the future.
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