Postabsorptive hyperglucagonemia in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus analyzed with a novel enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
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INTRODUCTION
Proglucagon, a straight-chain peptide composed of 160 amino acids, is synthesized by intestinal L-cells, pancreatic islet α-cells, gastric α-cells and in certain neurons in the nucleus of the solitary tract in the brain stem1–3. In pancreatic α-cells, glucagon (1–29) is produced through processing of proglucagon by prohormone convertase 2 (Figure 1a)4. In the gastrointestinal tract, in contrast, glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), glucagon-like peptide-2 (GLP-2), glicentin and oxyntomodulin are derived from proglucagon processed by prohormone convertase 1 (Figure 1b)5,6.

In healthy subjects, food intake results in increased insulin secretion from the islets of Langerhans, and this has been known to suppress glucagon secretion from the pancreatic α-cells7. In contrast, the paradoxical rise of plasma levels of glucagon has been reported to be one of the causes of postprandial blood glucose increase in patients with long-standing diabetes8–11.

Proglucagon is processed to various proglucagon fragments including glucagon (1–29)12. So far, pancreatic glucagon is conventionally measured with radioimmunoassay (RIA) kits that use polyclonal antibodies against the glucagon C-terminal...
However, the existence of proglucagon fragments with the same C-terminal region as glucagon (1–29) has been reported13–18. Such RIA kits might therefore not accurately measure plasma glucagon (1–29) because of cross-reactivity of the antibodies with oxyntomodulin, glicentin, miniglucagon (19–29), GLP-1, GLP-2 and gastric inhibitory polypeptide (GIP)19.

Recently, a quantitative assay kit (E-M; Mercodia Glucagon enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay [ELISA], Uppsala, Sweden) known as sandwich ELISA using monoclonal antibodies against both of the C- and N-terminal regions of glucagon has been developed20. This kit reportedly measures glucagon concentrations with much lower cross-reactivity against proglucagon fragments other than glucagon (1–29), and with higher specificity and reliability than previous assay methods20.

However, few investigators have evaluated the performance of this ELISA kit in measuring glucagon (1–29)20. We therefore decided to evaluate the kit performance. In the present study, we compared the cross-reactivity against proglucagon fragments associated with two conventional RIA kits and the novel ELISA kit. We also analyzed differences in measured plasma levels and responses of glucagon to oral glucose loading in normal glucose tolerance (NGT) subjects and patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Participants**

The present study was carried out with 30 healthy NGT subjects (NGT group) and 17 patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus undergoing dietary and exercise therapy, but not receiving any oral antidiabetic agents (type 2 diabetes mellitus group). The criteria for normal glucose tolerance in a 75-g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), set according to the diagnostic criteria of Japan Diabetes Society and World Health Organization, was plasma glucose concentration of less than 110 mg/dL in the fasting state and less than 140 mg/dL 2 h after glucose loading. Diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus was made in accordance with type 2 diabetes mellitus diagnostic criteria of the Japan Diabetes Society. This study was approved by the ethics committee of Hyogo College of Medicine Hospital (No. 1661), and was registered with the University Hospital Medical Information Network Center (UMIN 000015235). This study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants gave informed consent, and signed the informed consent form.

**Methods**

The OGTT was carried out using a glucose formulation, Trelan G® (Ajinomoto Pharma Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). The participants were fasted for 12 h before Trelan G® administration, and the entire quantity was taken within 5 min. Bodyweight and
height in the participants were measured at the time of the OGTT. Blood was collected before, and 15, 30, 60 and 120 min after initiation of the OGTT, and plasma glucose, immunoreactive insulin (IRI), and glucagon (1–29) were measured. Plasma glucose was measured with the hexokinase ultraviolet method using the BioMajesty ICA-BM9000 series (Japan Electron Optics laboratory, Tokyo, Japan). IRI was measured with chemiluminescence enzyme immunoassay using Lumipulse Presto II (Fujirebio Inc., Tokyo, Japan). In preparation for glucagon (1–29) measurement, plasma was separated using BD P800 tubes (BD Diagnostics, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) containing K2 ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, protease, esterase and dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors. Glucagon (1–29) in the separated plasma was measured with three types of glucagon measurement kits in accordance with the manufacturers’ recommendations.

The glucagon (1–29) concentration was measured by RIA using two types of kit: EURIA-Glucagon (R-E; EuroDiagnostica, Malmö, Sweden) and Glucagon RIA Kit (R-M; Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The E-M sandwich ELISA kit (E-M; Merckodia Glucagon ELISA, Uppsala, Sweden) was also used. The basic performance of the kits as presented in the instruction manuals is shown below. The coefficient of variation (CV) for intra-assay variation was 3.3–5.1% for the E-M, 4.5–8.1% for the R-E and 4.0–6.8% for the R-M21–23. The CV for inter-assay variation was 7.3–9.4% for the E-M, 3.9–8.3% for the R-E and 7.3–13.5% for the R-M21–23. Recovery rates were 96–101% for the E-M, 97.6% for the R-E and 96–98% for the R-M21–23. Dilution linearity was 81–96% for the E-M (but not indicated for the R-E or R-M)21–23. The blood plasma used was not extracted for any kit. Miniglucagon (19–29), glicentin (1–61), oxyntomodulin, GLP-1 (1–37), GLP-1 (7–36 amide), GLP-2 and GIP were measured with these kits to determine cross-reactivity. Oxyntomodulin, GLP-1 (1–36) and glucagon (1–29) were obtained from Biological Industries (Kibbutz Be’at Haemek, Israel), Anygen (Jeollanam-do, Korea) and Phoenix Pharmaceuticals (Burlingame, CA, USA), respectively. Synthetic glicentin (1–61) peptide and synthetic glicentin (1–69) peptide were synthesized by BEX (Tokyo, Japan), and their authenticity was confirmed using high-performance liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry. All other peptides were purchased from Peptide Institute, Inc. (Osaka, Japan).

Kit cross-reactivity was evaluated by comparing the EC50 values for the peptides analyzed. More specifically, EC50 values were calculated by measuring different concentrations of the peptides, in assay buffer, over the range of linearity, with cross-reactivity evaluated by calculating the ratio of individual EC50 values to the EC50 value of glucagon. The basic performance of this study involved dual measurements, and reproducibility was confirmed by means of three independent assays.

Statistical Analysis
The results were presented as means ± standard deviations (SD) unless otherwise stated. Fisher’s exact test was used to test the differences in sex between the NGT group and the type 2 diabetes mellitus group. Welch’s t-test was used to evaluate the differences between the backgrounds of the NGT group and the type 2 diabetes mellitus group. Two-way repeated-measures ANOVA was used to test the time-courses of changes in glucagon (1–29) concentration in the NGT group and the type 2 diabetes mellitus group, measured by the OGTT, and Dunnett’s test was used to test the changes from baseline. When the principal effects were found to be significant, and/or interactions were found, intergroup comparison was carried out using Welch’s t-test. StatView software (version 5.0; SAS Institute Inc.; Cary, NC, USA) was used for all analyses.

RESULTS
First, we verified reproducibility by four measurements each with low-, medium- and high-concentration pooled plasma, and, with respect to intra-assay variation and inter-assay variation for E-M, respectively, the coefficients of variation were 2.5–4.7%, and 11.5% or lower. Next, low, medium and high concentrations of glucagon were added to four samples with different endogenous glucagon concentrations, and the yields were evaluated, and found to be 94.4–119.3%. When the dilution linearity of the E-M kit was evaluated by preparing a twofold dilution series using a calibrator 0 included in the E-M kit, for two samples with different endogenous glucagon concentrations, dilution linearity was confirmed, in that the curves were almost straight lines (r > 0.998), and passed through the origin. In addition, the sensitivity of the E-M kit was evaluated by measuring glucagon standard substance over a 5-day period. The finding was that the coefficient of variation between 1.35 and 128 pmol/L, which is the standard concentration range included in the E-M kit, was 8.5%, differing from the theoretical value by no more than 5.1%.

The cross-reactivity curves are shown in Figure 2a–c. The cross-reactivities against glucagon-related peptides using the three assay kits are summarized in Table 1. The cross-reactivities against miniglucagon (19–29) were 23.1% and over 100%, respectively in the R-E and R-M, whereas no cross-reactivity was found in the E-M. The cross-reactivities against glicentin (1–69) were 0.004%, 0.01% and 17.3%, respectively, in the R-E, R-M and E-M. The cross-reactivities against oxyntomodulin were 0.7%, 0.9% and 10.2%, respectively, in the R-E, R-M and E-M. No cross-reactivity against GLP-1 (1–36), GLP-1 (7–36 amide), GLP-2 and GIP were found in any of the kits. These experiments were repeated two more times, and the results were approximately the same (data not shown).

The characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 2. The present study included 30 NGT subjects and 17 patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. The mean age was significantly higher in the type 2 diabetes mellitus group than in the NGT group (62.7 ± 11.0 vs 24.0 ± 1.7, P < 0.01). Body mass index (BMI) was significantly higher in the type 2 diabetes mellitus group than in the NGT group (24.8 ± 3.6 vs 21.4 ± 2.6,
The duration of diabetes was 5.5 – 5.1 years, and glycated hemoglobin (National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program) was 6.6 – 0.6% in the type 2 diabetes mellitus group.

Plasma glucose levels were significantly higher in the type 2 diabetes mellitus group at all time-points than in the NGT group (P < 0.01 for all time-points; Figure 3a). In addition, IRI levels were significantly lower in the type 2 diabetes mellitus group at 15 and 30 min after glucose loading (P < 0.01 for 15 and 30 min; Figure 3b).

Changes of plasma glucagon (1-29) levels are shown in Figure 4a-c. R-E showed decreases in glucagon (1-29) level in the NGT group after glucose loading (Figure 4a). R-M showed no significant changes in glucagon (1-29) levels after glucose loading in either the NGT or type 2 diabetes mellitus group (Figure 4b). Glucagon (1-29) levels measured by the E-M decreased over 60 min after glucose loading in the NGT group, but increased over 30 min after loading in the type 2 diabetes mellitus group (Figure 4c). Glucagon (1-29) levels determined by the R-E after glucose loading were significantly higher in the NGT group than the type 2 diabetes mellitus group, whereas NGT patients showed no significant changes in glucagon (1-29) levels over the time after glucose loading (Figure 4c).

Table 1 | Cross-reactivities of proglucagon fragments in respective glucagon assay kits

| Glucagon (1-29) (%) | Glucagon (19-29) (%) | Glicentin (1-69) (%) | Glicentin (1-61) (%) | Oxyntomodulin (%) | GLP-1 (1-36) (%) | GLP-1 (7-36) (%) | GLP-2 (%) | GIP |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|-----|
| E-M 100             | ND                  | 17.3                | 22.7                | 10.2              | ND               | ND               | ND        | ND  |
| R-E 100             | >100                | 23.1                | 0.004               | >100              | 0.7              | ND               | ND        | ND  |
| R-M 100             | >100                | >100                | >100                | 0.9               | ND               | ND               | ND        | ND  |

GIP, gastric inhibitory polypeptide; GLP, glucagon-like peptide; ND, not detectable.

Table 2 | Characteristics of participants

| Variables | Healthy participants | Diabetes patients | P-value |
|-----------|----------------------|-------------------|---------|
| n (female: male) | 30 (13:17) | 17 (5:12) | NS |
| Age (years) | 24.0 ± 1.7 | 62.7 ± 11.0 | <0.01 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 21.4 ± 2.6 | 24.8 ± 3.6 | <0.01 |
| HOMA-R | 1.6 ± 0.7 | 2.2 ± 1.7 | NS |
| HOMA-β | 81.0 ± 32.5 | 41.4 ± 27.8 | <0.01 |
| IGI | 1.2 ± 0.7 | 0.3 ± 0.3 | <0.01 |

Data are expressed as means ± standard deviations. BMI, body mass index; HOMA-β, homeostasis model assessment of β-cells; HOMA-R, homeostasis model assessment ratio; IGI, insulinogenic index; NS, not significant.

P < 0.01. The duration of diabetes was 5.5 ± 5.1 years, and glycated hemoglobin (National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program) was 6.6 ± 0.6% in the type 2 diabetes mellitus group.

Plasma glucose levels were significantly higher in the type 2 diabetes mellitus group at all time-points than in the NGT group (P < 0.01 for all time-points; Figure 3a). In addition, IRI levels were significantly lower in the type 2 diabetes mellitus group at 15 and 30 min after glucose loading (P < 0.01 for 15 and 30 min; Figure 3b).

Changes of plasma glucagon (1-29) levels are shown in Figure 4a-c. R-E showed decreases in glucagon (1-29) level in the NGT group after glucose loading (Figure 4a). R-M showed no significant changes in glucagon (1-29) levels after glucose loading in either the NGT or type 2 diabetes mellitus group (Figure 4b). Glucagon (1-29) levels measured by the E-M decreased over 60 min after glucose loading in the NGT group, but increased over 30 min after loading in the type 2 diabetes mellitus group (Figure 4c). Glucagon (1-29) levels determined by the R-E after glucose loading were significantly higher in the NGT group than the type 2 diabetes mellitus group, whereas
with the E-M glucagon (1–29), levels were significantly higher at all time-points in the type 2 diabetes mellitus group (Figure 4a,c). In addition, the glucagon (1–29) levels measured by the R-M were approximately the same among the groups (Figure 4b).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, the cross-reactivity against proglucagon fragments associated with three glucagon assay kits was evaluated to compare kit specificities.

In this research, the cross-reactivities against glucagon (1–29) and other proglucagon fragments in each measurement kit were investigated. The cross-reactivities of the E-M kit against glicentin (1–61) and miniglucagon (19–29) were lower than those of the R-E and R-M kits, whereas its cross-reactivities against glicentin (1–69) and oxyntomodulin were higher than those of the other kits.

The kits were also used to determine parameters such as glucagon (1–29) secretion over time in NGT subjects and patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus after OGTT in the study. It has been reported that glucagon (1–29) concentration decreases after glucose loading in healthy volunteers. In contrast to the participants with normal glucose tolerance, it has been reported that plasma glucagon concentration paradoxically rises after meals in patients with longstanding diabetes, and this has been supposed to be one of the causes of postprandial hyperglycemia in patients with diabetes mellitus. In addition, it has been reported that intrinsic insulin secretion
from pancreatic β-cells inhibits glucagon secretion from pancreatic α-cells\textsuperscript{27, 28}. In the present study, glucagon (1–29) secretion in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus was elevated 30 min after glucose loading according to E-M measurements, but R-E and R-M measurements showed no such change. Finally, E-M glucagon (1–29) levels were significantly higher in the type 2 diabetes mellitus group than the NGT group, but R-E levels were higher in the NGT group at all-time points, and R-M levels were higher in the NGT group at 120 min after glucose loading.

Differences in cross-reactivity could partially explain the variation in the glucagon (1–29) measurements among the kits. The principle underlying ELISA indicates this procedure to be specific for glucagon (1–29), and cross-reactivity investigations show the E-M to have lower cross-reactivity against miniglucagon (19–29) and glicentin (1–61). The aforementioned explain why the E-M produced the lowest glucagon (1–29) measurements. Although cross-reactivity against glicentin (1–69) and oxyntomodulin was highest for the E-M, these cross-reactivities likely minimally affect glucagon (1–29) measurements\textsuperscript{29}. Another study found that glicentin (1–69) increases and oxyntomodulin is unchanged after glucose loading in NGT people\textsuperscript{28,30}. In the present study, glucagon (1–29) secretion decreased after glucose loading in the NGT group, which suggests that glicentin (1–69) and oxyntomodulin minimally affect glucagon (1–29) measurements. It has been reported that glucagon is primarily metabolized in the kidneys, and in the present study the mean age of participants in the type 2 diabetes mellitus group was older than that of those in the NGT group, and it is possible that aging-related physiological decreases in renal function affect glucagon (1–29) measurement\textsuperscript{31}. Hormone plasma concentrations reflect the balance between secretion and clearance, and it is therefore possible that, for evaluating the precision of glucagon (1–29) measurement kits, more detailed evaluation of the secretion and clearance of glicentin (1–69), and oxyntomodulin and other proglucagon fragments, which probably affect glucagon (1–29) measurement, is required.

The more accurate glucagon (1–29) measurements obtained with the E-M show a paradoxical rise in glucagon (1–29) after glucose loading in patients with mild type 2 diabetes mellitus treated solely with dietary and exercise therapy. Functional abnormalities of α-cells must be accurately characterized to properly determine the pathological mechanism of type 2 diabetes mellitus and develop treatment strategies. Glucagon secretion should thus be closely analyzed in patients with impaired glucose tolerance or mild to severe type 2 diabetes mellitus. The sandwich ELISA kit used in the present study is well suited for such analyses.

The present study had several limitations. It was primarily concerned with evaluating the usefulness of E-M for glucagon (1–29) measurement, and such aspects of the basic performance of R-E and R-M, such as the CV of intra-assay variation, were therefore not investigated. With respect to the basic performance of R-E and R-M, although no major differences have been found between the presented reports and the manufacturer’s published data\textsuperscript{22–30}, further investigation of this area is considered to be necessary. Only blood glucose, insulin, and glucagon (1–29) were measured. It has been reported that GIP promotes glucagon secretion\textsuperscript{37, 38}, and GLP-1 inhibits it\textsuperscript{39}. The additional measurement of incretin hormones that affect glucagon secretion, such as GLP-1 and GIP, would provide more data for consideration. Age and BMI differed significantly between the NGT and type 2 diabetes mellitus groups. The small sample size prevents us from properly evaluating the effects of age and weight on glucagon secretion. Further work using a larger sample size might be required. Finally, glicentin (1–69), oxyntomodulin and other proglucagon fragments were not measured in the study, but should be measured to further substantiate our conclusion that the E-M produces more accurate measurements than the other kits studied.

In conclusion, a recently developed sandwich ELISA kit that uses monoclonal antibodies against both the C- and N-terminal regions of glucagon more accurately measured plasma glucagon (1–29) concentrations with much less cross-reactivity against other proglucagon fragments than conventional RIA based on polyclonal antibodies.
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