Entanglement links and the quasiparticle picture
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The time evolution of a quantum state with short-range correlations after a quench to a one-dimensional critical Hamiltonian can be understood using the quasi-particle picture, which states that local entanglement spreads as if it was carried by quasi-particles which separate at a fixed speed. We extend the quasi-particle picture using the recently introduced link representation of entanglement, allowing us to apply it to initial states presenting long-range correlations. The entanglement links are current correlators, and therefore follow a wave equation on the appropriate configurational space which allows us to predict the time evolution of the entanglement entropies. Our results are checked numerically for free fermionic chains with different initial entanglement patterns.

Figure 1. Illustration of the link representation. (a) Let us consider a quantum state containing \( N = 6 \) units, whose non-zero entanglement links (EL) are denoted by the blue arches. The entanglement entropy (EE) between blocks \( A \) and \( A \) is obtained by adding up the matrix entries in the shaded regions above the diagonal.

\[
J(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_x \partial_y S_{[x, y]}}{S_{[x, y]}},
\]

where \( S_{[x, y]} \) denotes the EE of the block \([x, y] \). Of course, in a discrete setup the derivatives in Eq. (3) become finite differences,

\[
J_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{i, j} - S_{i+1, j} - S_{i, j+1} + S_{i+1, j+1}),
\]

where \( S_{a,b} \) denotes the EE of \( A = \{a, \cdots , b - 1\} \). This link representation is exact for compact blocks, losing its accuracy slowly as the number of fragments in the partition is increased. We should stress that the ground states

Link representations of entanglement. Entanglement is a central concept for most recent developments in quantum theory \([1]\). Let us consider the von Neumann entanglement entropy (EE) \( S_A = - \text{Tr}(\rho_A \log \rho_A) \) of a block \( A \), obtained from the reduced density matrix of a pure state, \( \rho_A = \text{Tr}_x[\psi(\psi|) \rho] \), where \( \rho \) is the complement of \( A \). The state is said to follow the area law when \( S_A \propto |\partial A| \), i.e. when the EE of a block \( A \) is proportional to the measure of its boundary \([2, 3]\). The area law provides a deep connection between entanglement and geometry \([4]\), paving the route for the recent holographic approaches to a quantized gravity \([5]\). Moreover, it can be generalized into the so-called link representations of entanglement \([6]\), which associate to each pure state on an \( N \)-partite system a symmetric matrix \( J \), with \( J_{ij} = J_{ji} \geq 0, \ 1 \leq i, j \leq N \), whose entries are called the entanglement links (EL), such that

\[
S_A = \sum_{i \in A, j \in \bar{A}} J_{ij},
\]

for all possible blocks \( A \), see Fig. 1 for an illustration. Since there are \( 2^N \) different blocks and the EL matrix only contains \( N(N - 1)/2 \) parameters, we can not ensure the existence of an exact link representation, except in a few notable cases, such as valence bond states \([7]\). Yet, approximate link representations with low errors have been found for many relevant states. Interestingly, the entropies built from a link representation fulfill naturally the subadditivity constraints \([6]\).

Within a continuous framework we can write the EL as a two-point function, \( J(x, y) \), such that

\[
J(x, y) = \int_A dx \int_A dy J(x, y).
\]

For a one-dimensional (1D) system, this provides an approximate route to find the EL.
(GS) of critical systems possess a very clean link representation, with $J(x, y) \approx (c/6)|x - y|^{-2}$, thus showing that Eq. (4) applies to states with a logarithmic violation of the area law [8–10], because their entanglement entropy scales as $S_{x,y} \approx (c/3) \log(|x - y|/\varepsilon)$, where $\varepsilon$ is the UV cutoff. This scaling suggests that the entanglement links can be associated to the expectation value of current operators,

$$J(x, y) = \langle J(x)J(y) \rangle,$$

which is indeed the case if we let $J(z, \bar{z}) = J_L(z) + J_R(\bar{z})$, with \[ J_L(z) \equiv \lim_{n \to 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1 - n)}} \partial_z \mathcal{T}_n(z), \]

where $\mathcal{T}_n(z)$ is the twist field operator of order $n$ [11,12], and we define $J_R(\bar{z})$ as the equivalent anti-holomorphic part. The scaling dimension of the twist operators is $\Delta_n = \frac{c}{2}(n - 1/n)$, thus proving that the entanglement current operator $J(z)$ has scaling dimension 1 and, therefore, $\partial_z J_L(z) = \partial_{\bar{z}} J_R(\bar{z}) = 0$. We are therefore led to claim that

$$\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} J = 0,$$

i.e., the full entanglement current follows a wave equation. The remainder of this article is devoted to exploring the consequences of Eq. (7) and providing numerical checks in particular cases.

Entanglement link dynamics. The quasi-particle picture (QPP) successfully describes the time-evolution of the EE when a state with short-range entanglement is quenched to a critical Hamiltonian [13–15]. In this work we extend the quasi-particle picture using the link representation framework, in order to characterize the time evolution of the EE of different initial states, including those presenting long-range entanglement. Our examples include dimerized states, see Fig. 2 (a), the rainbow chain of $N$ sites [17–20], whose GS can be approximately described as a concentric set of valence bond states between site $i$ and site $N + 1 - i$, see Fig. 2 (b), or the bridge state that we introduce here, which presents bonds between sites $i$ and $i + N/2$, with $i \in \{1, \ldots, N/2\}$, see Fig. 2 (c).

According to the QPP, when we quench a short-ranged state using a 1D critical Hamiltonian the EE of a block of size $\ell = |x - y|$ at time $t$ behaves approximately as

$$S(\ell, t) = \begin{cases} \sigma v t, & v t < \ell, \\ \sigma \ell, & v t > \ell, \end{cases}$$

where $v$ is twice the speed of light, which may depend on the structure of the initial state [27–28], and $\sigma > 0$ is the EE per site at saturation, and we have neglected the initial value of the EE. This expression can be written alternatively as

$$S(\ell, t) = \sigma vt \Theta(\ell - vt) + \sigma \ell \Theta(vt - \ell),$$

where $\Theta(x)$ is the Heaviside function. Using Eq. (3) we can estimate the entanglement links,

$$J(\ell, t) = -\frac{1}{2} \partial^2_{\ell} S(\ell, t) = \frac{\sigma}{2} \delta(vt - \ell),$$

which represents an entanglement wave. Indeed, it is straightforward to check that this expression fulfills the wave equation,

$$\frac{1}{v^2} \partial^2_{\ell} J(\ell, t) = \partial^2_{t} J(\ell, t),$$

which can be regarded as an extension of the QPP, in accordance with Eq. (7) and the requirements of conformal invariance.

Let us check the validity of Eq. (11) using as our quenching Hamiltonian a free-fermionic chain,

$$H_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i^\dagger c_{i+1} + \text{h.c.},$$

with either open or periodic boundaries, as required. Notice that the propagation velocity is $v = 2$ in this case, since the Fermi velocity is $v_F = 1$. Meanwhile, our initial states will be chosen as GS of deformed versions of that Hamiltonian

$$H(\mathbf{g}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} g_i c_i^\dagger c_{i+1} + \text{h.c.},$$
where \( g = \{ g_i \} \) are the hopping amplitudes, and we assume periodic boundaries. GS of Hamiltonian (13) are Slater determinants, whose EE can be found using single-body techniques [29]. The EL are always numerically estimated using expression (3), which has been shown to provide a good link representation of these states [7].

Let us consider a dimerized initial state, defined by \( g_i = (1 + (-1)^i\delta) \), using \( \delta = 1/2 \) and \( N = 128 \). Fig. 3 (a) shows the time evolution of the EE of blocks of different sizes \( \ell \). We observe that each block saturates at a time proportional to its size, as proposed by the QPP. In Fig. 3 (b) we can see the EL matrix of the initial state. The only non-zero EL are located near the diagonal, \( J_{\ell,\ell-1} = J_{\ell+1,\ell} \). Fig. 3 (c), on the other hand, provides the EL matrix at time \( t = 10 \), showing that two wavefronts are traveling in opposite directions from the original diagonal, as proposed in Eq. (11) if the initial velocity, \( \partial_t J(t, t = 0) \), is zero.

**EE of the rainbow state.** Let us apply the QPP to states out of its initial range of applicability, such as the GS of the free-fermionic rainbow chain [17] [26], which presents long-range entanglement and is defined by Hamiltonian (13) using

\[
g_i = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } i = N/2, \\
\ e^{-h((N/2-i-1)/2)} & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases} \tag{14}
\]

The EE of blocks within the GS of the rainbow Hamiltonian grows linearly with their size, \( S(\ell) \approx \ell \ell'/6 \) for \( h \gg 1 \), and site \( i \) is most strongly correlated to site \( N + 1 - i \), thus showing a concentric bond structure which justifies the rainbow term, see Fig. 2 (b). We will take as our example the case \( N = 128 \) and \( h = 0.7 \), which is quenched to the homogeneous Hamiltonian \( H_0 \), although in this case we will choose open boundaries. The time evolution of the EE of the lateral blocks \( A_\ell = [1, \ldots, \ell] \) is shown in Fig. 4 (a). At time \( t = 0 \) the entanglement entropy of these blocks is proportional to their length, as the volume law requires. The EE of the largest one, \( \ell = N/2 \), starts to decrease immediately after the quench. Yet, the EE of smaller lateral blocks remains constant for a certain time that increases linearly as the block size decreases. Interestingly, the block of size \( \ell \) starts its decrease when the entropy of all larger blocks reach its starting value. After all the blocks have reached their minimum value they start growing linearly, in similarity to the dimerized state, saturating at a value proportional to their size, corresponding approximately to their initial value.

We may also consider the EE of central blocks of size \( \ell \), whose initial entanglement is approximately zero, as we can see in Fig. 4 (b). They start growing immediately, twice as fast as the lateral blocks decrease.

Extended predictions of the QPP.- It is relevant to ask whether the quasi-particle picture can provide an expla-
nation for these observations. Indeed, based on Eq. (7), we propose the following wave equation

$$\frac{1}{v^2} \partial_t^2 J(x,y) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 \right) J(x,y),$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)$$

with suitable boundary conditions, in order to explain the time evolution of both short-ranged and long-ranged initial states. Notice that, due to the symmetry $J(x,y) = J(y,x)$, we could have used only $\partial_x^2$ or $\partial_y^2$. Let us apply this equation to the rainbow case, with open boundaries. In Fig. 4 (c) we can see the EL matrix for $t = 0$, which contains two different features: a diagonal double line, corresponding to the local entanglement, and an opposite diagonal line, which shows the links between sites $i$ and $N + 1 - i$, which conforms the (approximate) concentric bonds. The time evolution leaves the first line invariant, but it splits the second one into two wavefronts, one of which propagates rightwards and the other one leftwards, but it splits the second one into two wavefronts, one of which propagates rightwards and the other one leftwards, as we can see in the EL matrix for $t = 10$ in Fig. 4 (d). Moreover, we see a new wavefront appear, parallel to the main diagonal, joining the previous two fronts.

We note that the long-range EL shown in Fig. 4 (c) and (d) are solutions of the entanglement wave equation, Eq. (15), if we choose as initial conditions $J(x,y,0) = \sigma \delta(x + y - N)$ and $\partial_t J(x,y,0) = 0$, leading to

$$J(x,y,t) = \frac{\sigma}{2} \delta(x + y - N + vt) + \frac{\sigma}{2} \delta(x + y - N - vt) + \sigma \delta(x - y - N + vt),$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)$$

where the last term corresponds to a line parallel to the main diagonal joining the two other lines, due to reflection at the boundaries. Indeed, this is what we can observe to a good accuracy. Yet, the main diagonal of the EL matrix remains static, showing a remanent non-universal behavior.

How can we understand Eq. (16) in physical terms? Using Huygens principle, we start out with the rainbow wavefront, which corresponds to the secondary diagonal, $y = N - x$. Each site of the wavefront generates a new circular wave around it, and the new wavefront is given by their envelope. In other terms: each EL excites the neighboring links: one slightly stretched, one slightly shrunk and two slightly displaced leftwards and rightwards. Yet, for the rainbow system the stretched and shrunk links are already excited, so only the translated links appear. Of course, the boundary conditions should also be taken into account: the largest link can not merely translate, so it gives rise to two links, one in which the left extreme bounces rightwards and another one in which the right extreme bounces leftwards.

Integration of Eq. (16) according to Eq. (2) will provide the time-evolution of the EE of any block [30]. Yet, we would like to show a graphical procedure that will yield a better physical intuition in Fig. 5. For the sake of clarity, let us start with an initial state with short-range entanglement, in Fig. 5 (a), where we have neglected boundary effects for simplicity. The initial entanglement is denoted by the thick blue line, that splits into two lines traveling in opposite directions. In general terms, the EE obtained when a diagonal line crosses a rectangle is given by the projection of that line on any of the axes. Thus, we see that the EE grows linearly up to time $vt_1 = a$, remains constant up to $vt_2 = N - a$, and decays linearly down to zero from that moment on [31]. That is the prediction of the QPP, given in Eq. (8).

Let us consider the EL of the rainbow state with open boundaries, schematically drawn in Fig. 5 (b) for lateral blocks $A = [0,a]$ with $a < N/2$. Notice that the EE will remain constant up to time $vt_1 = N - 2a$. Then it will start decreasing linearly until it reaches zero at time $vt = N$. In other terms, we get an expression similar to Eq. (8).

$$S_A(t) = \begin{cases} \sigma a, & vt < vt_1 = N - 2a, \\ \sigma (a - v(t - t_1)/2), & vt_1 < vt < N. \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)$$

Notice that if $a > N/2$ we get the same EE as with the block with size $N - a$. Let us now do the same calculation for central blocks of the form $B = [a,N - a]$, with $a <
N/4, using Fig. 5 (c). Notice that the EE starts at zero, grows linearly until time $vt_1 = a$. Then it remains constant until time $vt_2 = N - a$, when it will start a linear decrease to zero at time $vt = N$. In other terms,

$$S_B(t) = \begin{cases} 
\sigma \frac{vt}{2}, & vt < vt_1 = 2a, \\
\sigma a, & vt_1 < vt < vt_2 = N - 2a, \\
\sigma (a - v(t - t_2)/2), & vt_2 < vt < N.
\end{cases}$$

(18)

If $a > N/4$, our graphical procedure shows that the time evolution of the EE of the block $[a, N - a]$ corresponds to that of block $[N/2 - a, N/2 + a]$, which is approximately the case as we can see in Fig. 4 (b).

The persistent sub-diagonal.- Figures 3 (c) and 4 (d) show a persistent sub-diagonal line in the EL matrix for longer times, which seems to challenge our description. Yet, we should notice that Eq. (15) should be complemented with suitable boundary conditions and probably a source term along the $x = y$ line, which is privileged by the local structure of the quenching Hamiltonian, $H_0$. Thus, we conjecture that the persistent sub-diagonal is a non-universal phenomenon. Indeed, Fig. 6 shows the behavior of links $J_{i,i+1}$ for the dimerized and rainbow cases analyzed before as a function of time, thus linked to Figs. 3 and 4. In the dimerized case, Fig. 6 (a), the sub-diagonal EL tend to a constant after a quick transient. In the rainbow case, Fig. 6 (b), we observe a wave pulse propagating over a constant background.

The bridge state.- Let us consider one last example, a valence bond state that we will call the bridge state,

$$|\Psi\rangle = \prod_{k=1}^{N/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( c_k^\dagger + (-1)^k c_{k+N/2}^\dagger \right) |0\rangle,$$

(19)

where $|0\rangle$ is the Fock vacuum, see Fig. 2 (c). Now, let us show that the evolution of state $|\Psi\rangle$ after a quench to $H_0$ (with periodic boundaries) can be described using the extended version of the QPP. At $t = 0$, the state is a valence bond solid, and the EL matrix can be exactly found, $J_{ij} = \sigma \delta_{i,j+N/2 \mod N}$. We may solve the wave equation with initial condition $J(x, y) = \sigma \delta(x - y - N/2)$ and $\partial_t J(x, y) = 0$, and obtain two traveling waves, $J(x, y, t) = (\sigma/2)\delta(x - y + N/2 + vt) + (\sigma/2)\delta(x - y - N/2 - vt)$, as we can observe in Fig. 7 (a) and (b).

Yet, in this case the wavefronts leave a larger amount of radiation behind, since the lattice artifacts are stronger because the initial state is synthetic. We are then led to predict that the entanglement entropy behaves as

$$S(\ell, t) = \begin{cases} 
\sigma \ell, & vt < N/2 - \ell, \\
\sigma (N/2 - vt), & N/2 - \ell < vt < N/2,
\end{cases}$$

(20)

before the revivals due to the periodic boundaries, which corresponds to the results shown in Fig. 6.

Conclusions and further work.- We have extended the quasi-particle picture (QPP) to describe the time evolution of the entanglement entropy after a quench to a critical Hamiltonian, when the initial state presents long-range entanglement. Our extended description of the QPP makes use of the entanglement link (EL) representation for the entanglement entropy of different blocks. Conformal field theory arguments show that the EL must fulfill a wave equation on a tensor-product space built by two copies of the configuration space, due to their nature as current correlators. The propagation velocity associated to the wave equation depends both on the quenching
Hamiltonian and the structure of the initial state [27, 28], and may even vanish, e.g. in the case of eigenstates of the quenching Hamiltonian. We should stress that our predictions only apply to 1+1D critical Hamiltonians, for any value of their central charge, but they need not apply to non-critical cases, in which another evolution equation should be expected. Moreover, we have observed non-universal effects near the diagonal line of the EL matrix, which are in need of further clarification.

Our results have been checked for the case of free fermions on a chain, using initial states with different patterns of entanglement. More numerical experiments are required to check the validity of our formulation for other conformally invariant systems, such as the critical Ising or XXZ models. Moreover, our predictions refer to the EL obtained from the von Neumann entropy. Higher-order Rényi entropies give rise to alternative EL representations which may present a different time-evolution order.\[10\]

The EL obtained from the von Neumann entropy. Higher-order Rényi entropies give rise to alternative EL representations which may present a different time-evolution order and are also interesting to investigate.

Of course, this extension of the QPP is subject to lattice effects which limit its validity in the long run [28, 32]. It is relevant to ask how these lattice effects will spoil its predictions for different initial states and different critical models. It is also interesting to wonder about the application of our extension of the QPP to higher dimensional systems, where we can find phenomena such as the entanglement tsunami that describes the evolution of the EE in certain holographic setups [33].
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