The Effect of Educational Program on Quality of Life in Menopausal Women: A Clinical Trial
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Objectives: This study aimed to investigate the effect of Educational program on quality of life (QOL) in menopausal women in 2016 in Hamadan, Iran.

Methods: In this clinical trial study, 100 postmenopausal women were randomly selected and allocated to case and control group (50 per group). Data collection tool included questionnaires of demographic information and Menopause QOL, which were completed by the samples before the intervention. In the case group, education program was run during 5 sessions for 45 to 60 minutes. Immediately and Three months after intervention, information were collected using questionnaire in both groups and they were analyzed using SPSS 16 software.

Results: The menopause women in both intervention and control groups had similar demographics. There was not a significant difference in the QOL mean scores in before of the intervention between the two groups of intervention and control in all dimension of QOL. There was a significantly difference in the mean of QOL scores between the two groups in immediately after the intervention and 3 months after the intervention in dimension of vasomotor, psychosocial, sexual and physical (P < 0.001).

Conclusions: This study recommend that a unit in health and treatment centers be established for training menopausal women about health care by holding didactic classes. (J Menopausal Med 2017;23:91-95)
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Introduction

Natural menopause is the permanent cessation of periods which is determined one year after the last menstrual period.¹ The number of postmenopausal women has been increasing in recent years due to the increase of life expectancy.² Nowadays, most women spend more than one-third of their life after of menopause.³,⁴ Menopause is due to the cessation of ovaries function and the hormonal changes.⁵

The concept of quality of life (QOL) includes satisfaction and wellbeing, multi-dimensional characteristics and comprising subjective.⁶,⁷ Menopause especially in symptomatic women has the most dramatic effect on QOL during the postmenopausal stages.⁴,⁸

In recent years, medical professions have been focusing on training and education programs for improve QOL in women. Women in menopause period need care of health providers for education, awareness for improvement of their health. Yazdkhasti et al.,⁹ in Iran conducted the effect of support group on quality of and in the study three month
after training sessions in the intervention group, scores of vasomotor, psychological, mental, physiological and sexual domains in menopause women were improved \((P < 0.001)\). Ueda et al.\(^{10}\) in Japan conducted study of a 6 weeks health education program in menopause women. The results showed that the education program was improved QOL in menopause women.\(^{10}\)

Up to date, the study in this field was not conducted in the west of Iran. Therefore, this study was performed to assess the effect of an educational program on QOL in menopausal women.

### Materials and Methods

This randomized clinical trial was conducted in 2016. We enrolled eligible postmenopausal women in Hamadan city located in the west of Iran from February 2016 to March 2016. This research was approved in the Ethics Committee of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences.

The study was registered at the Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials under the number IRCT2013011912188N1. A written informed consent was obtained from all the study participants.

Inclusion criteria consisted of women (a) married women (b) from whose last menstrual period at least 1 year had passed (c) had intact uterus and ovaries (d) had no history of physical and mental disorders (e) and had not used hormone replacement therapy. Exclusion criteria consisted of (a) women who unwillingness to continue and (b) women who be disorder during intervention.

Regarding the results of a similar study conducted by Mohammadi Zeidi et al.\(^{11}\) in Iran, the standard deviation (SD) QOL score in postmenopausal women in control and intervention was 2.8 and 3.3, respectively. Based on these results, we arrived at a total sample size of 45 in every group of control and intervention, The mean of education duration for each session was between 45 to 60 minutes. The education program not was used in control group. The questionnaires were filled before intervention, immediately after intervention and 3 months after intervention in intervention group. In addition, the questionnaires were filled in these times in control group.

In this study, the resources of educational program included educational booklet, educators, educational classes, educational photos and slide about menopausal. Table 1 describes the curriculum of the health education program. The following tools were used to collect data.

The demographic scale was including effective factors in menopause women QOL.

On the most tools used to assess QOL in menopausal women is Menopause-Specific QOL (MENQOL) questionnaire. MENQOL questionnaires published in English in 1996. We used the MENQOL for measuring the QOL in postmenopausal women. This questionnaire consists of 29 items in vasomotor (3 items), psychosocial (7 items), physical (16 items) and sexual (3 items) domains. The validity and reliability tests for this questionnaire were conducted in Iran.\(^{13,14}\) This questionnaire has seven–point Likert scale and ranges from 0 to 7. A “zero” is equivalent to a woman responding "no", meaning she has not experienced this symptom in the past month, Score “one” shows that the woman experienced the symptom, but it was not bothersome at all, Scores “two” through “seven”
show increasing levels of bother experienced from the symptom and correspond to “1” through “6” check boxes on the MENQOL. Hence, the average for each domain was calculated between 0 and 7. Test–retest by intraclass correlation coefficients was used for the MENQOL questionnaire reliability. The scores were 0.93, 0.88, 0.87, and 0.92 for the physical, psychological, sexual and vasomotor domains, respectively.

The data were analyzed by SPSS version 16 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago IL, USA). The participants’ characteristics were analyzed by descriptive statistics including frequency tables, mean and SD. The t–test was used to compare groups for qualitative variables, and independent and paired t–tests were used for quantitative variables. The t–test conducted for comparing Scores of menopause QOL in intervention and control groups, All P values of less than 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

### Results

Of 128 menopause women identified and assessed for eligibility, 28 menopause women did not meet inclusion criteria in this study and 100 menopause women randomized for intervention and control groups. Five women in the intervention group and two women in the control group did not return for follow–up and finally were excluded from the study. The analysis conducted based on data from the remaining 93 menopause women, including 45 in the intervention group and 48 menopause women in the control group. The menopause women in both intervention and control groups had similar demographics (Table 2).

There was not a significant difference in the QOL mean scores in before of the intervention between the two groups of intervention and control in all dimension of QOL. There was a significant difference in the QOL mean scores between the two groups in immediately after the intervention and 3 months after the intervention in dimension of vasomotor, psychosocial, sexual and physical ($P < 0.001$) (Table 3).

### Discussion

The results of this study showed that the education program improved scores of QOL in menopause women in immediately and 3 months after the intervention.

Yazdkhasti et al.\(^9\) conducted similar study in Iran and in the study three month after training sessions in the inter-
vention group, scores of vasomotor, psychological, mental, physiological and sexual domains in menopause women were improved ($P < 0.001$).

In the study by Farokhi et al.$^{15}$, total score of QOL was improved after holding life skill training sessions, but no significant difference was observed in terms of psychosocial dimension. Ueda et al.$^{16}$ in Japan conducted study of a 6 weeks health education program in menopause. The results showed that the program was improved QOL in menopause women.

Senba and Matsuo$^{16}$ showed that the health education program in 6 sessions changed the cognitive actions of climacteric women which resulted in improved QOL and autonomic nervous system activity. Esposito Sorpreso et al.$^{17}$ reported that health education for seven 2–hour sessions in the clinic at 45–day intervals in early and late postmenopausal women decrease domain depression mode in the early and late postmenopausal groups.

According to the results of this study, after the menopausal period women need to be consulted and trained about control of menopausal symptoms. In Iran, most women have appropriate access to health and treatment centers. Hence, we recommend that a unit in health and treatment centers be established for training menopausal women about health care by holding didactic classes.

One of the limitations in this study was small sample size which may not be generalizable to other groups and communities. Therefore, we suggested that this study is conducted at wider range,

**Conclusion**

The results of this study showed that the education program in 5 sessions improved scores of QOL in menopause women in immediately and 3 months after the intervention,

| Table 3. Scores of menopause quality of life in intervention and control groups |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Dimension | Intervention group (n = 45) | Control group (n = 48) | $P$ value |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Vasomotor** | | | |
| Before of the intervention | 9.64 ± 4.89 | 8.22 ± 4.64 | 0.156 |
| Immediately after the intervention | 6.55 ± 3.32 | 8.41 ± 4.69 | $< 0.001$ |
| 3 months after the intervention | 5.53 ± 3.48 | 8.52 ± 4.42 | $< 0.001$ |
| **Psychosocial** | | | |
| Before of the intervention | 18.84 ± 7.83 | 18.47 ± 9.32 | 0.839 |
| Immediately after the intervention | 13.40 ± 7.95 | 19.20 ± 8.51 | $< 0.001$ |
| 3 months after the intervention | 9.80 ± 6.29 | 19.20 ± 9.10 | $< 0.001$ |
| **Sexual** | | | |
| Before of the intervention | 12.55 ± 5.37 | 12.06 ± 5.53 | 0.556 |
| Immediately after the intervention | 7.73 ± 5.36 | 12.52 ± 4.23 | $< 0.001$ |
| 3 months after the intervention | 5.77 ± 3.74 | 12.25 ± 5.29 | $< 0.001$ |
| **Physical** | | | |
| Before of the intervention | 46.53 ± 16.89 | 45.31 ± 16.70 | 0.664 |
| Immediately after the intervention | 30.13 ± 16.65 | 48.10 ± 16.58 | $< 0.001$ |
| 3 months after the intervention | 21.82 ± 14.77 | 47.27 ± 17.10 | $< 0.001$ |

The data is presented as mean ± standard deviation.
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