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Abstract

In this paper, we aim to develop the averaging principle for stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion (G-SDEs for short) with non-Lipschitz coefficients. By the properties of G-Brownian motion and stochastic inequality, we prove that the solution of the averaged G-SDEs converges to that of the standard one in the mean-square sense and also in capacity. Finally, two examples are presented to illustrate our theory.
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1 Introduction

The averaging principle for a dynamical system is important in problems of mechanics, control, and many other areas. As is known to all, a lot of problems in theory of differential systems can be solved effectively by the averaging principle. The first rigorous results were obtained by Bogoliubov and Mitropolsky [3], and further developments were studied by Hale [9]. With the developing of stochastic analysis theory, many authors began to study the averaging principle for differential systems with perturbations and extended the averaging theory to the case of stochastic differential equations (SDEs). We refer the reader to Bao et al. [2], Chen et al. [5], Golec and Ladde [8], Khasminskii [11, 12], Liptser and Stoyanov [14], Liu et al. [15], Stoyanov and Bainov [23], Wu and Yin [25], Xu et al. [28, 29], Xu and Miao [26, 27], Luo et al. [16], and the references therein.

On the other hand, for the potential applications in uncertainty problems, risk measures, and the superhedging in finance, the theory of nonlinear expectation has been developed. Peng [20] established a framework of G-expectation theory and G-Brownian motion. Denis et al. [6] obtained some basic and important properties of several typical Banach spaces of functions of G-Brownian motion paths induced by G-expectation. After that, the theory of G-SDEs has drawn increasing attention and has been studied subsequently by many authors. For example, Gao et al. [7] investigated the existence of the solution and large deviations for G-SDEs. Hu et al. [10] studied the regularity of the solution for the backward SDEs driven by G-Brownian motion. Luo and Wang [17] studied the sample solution of
G-SDEs and obtained a new kind of comparison theorem. In the G-framework, Zhang and Chen [31] considered the quasi-sure exponential stability of semi-linear G-SDEs. By means of G-Lyapunov function method, Li et al. [13], Ren et al. [22], and Yin et al. [30] established the moment stability and the quasi-sure stability for nonlinear G-SDEs.

Compared with classical Brownian motion, the structure of G-Brownian motion is very complex. G-Brownian motion is not defined on a probability space but on the G-expectation space. A natural question is as follows: Is there an averaging principle for SDEs driven by G-Brownian motion? In this paper, we shall investigate the averaging principle of nonlinear G-SDEs

\[ dx(t) = f(t, x(t)) \, dt + h(t, x(t)) \, dB_t + g(t, x(t)) \, d\langle B \rangle_t, \]

where \( B_t \) is one-dimensional G-Brownian motion, \( \langle B \rangle_t \) is the quadratic variation process of the G-Brownian motion \( B_t \). Our main objective is to show that the solution of the averaged equation converges to that of the standard equation in the sense of mean square and capacity.

It is worth noting that most existing works of research on the averaging principle of SDEs require that the coefficients of SDEs are global Lipschitz continuous. In fact, the global Lipschitz condition imposed on [5, 8, 11, 14, 16, 23, 25, 28] excludes practical applications in the real world. For the past few years, many scholars have devoted themselves to finding some weaker conditions to study the averaging principle of stochastic system. Recently, some works on the averaging principle of stochastic system (see [18, 24, 29]) have been obtained under the Yamada–Watanabe condition: For any \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( t \geq 0 \),

\[ |f(t, x) - f(t, y)|^2 \vee |g(t, x) - g(t, y)|^2 \leq k(|x - y|), \]

where \( k(\cdot) \) is a continuous increasing concave function from \( \mathbb{R}^+ \) to \( \mathbb{R}^+ \) such that \( k(0) = 0 \) and \( \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(x)} \, dx = \infty \). However, this condition is somewhat restrictive because it does require that the control function \( k(x) \) of the modules of the continuity of the coefficients is concave, while this restriction excludes Eq. (4.4) of Example 4.3. In fact, \( f(t, x) \) of (4.4) does not satisfy condition (1.2) because \( \log x^{-1} < (\log x^{-1})^2 \) for \( x \leq \eta \). In this paper, we use the non-Lipschitz condition which arose in the study of the Brownian motion on the group of diffeomorphisms of the circle [1] to study the averaging principle for Eq. (1.1). Compared with (1.2), one will find that in our paper the coefficients \( f, h, \) and \( g \) of Eq. (1.1) are not assumed to be controlled by the concave functions. Thus, the conditions here are weaker than those of [18, 24, 29], and some results in [18, 24, 29] are generalized and improved.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we introduce some preliminaries about G-Brownian motion. In Sect. 3, we establish the stochastic averaging principle of Eq. (1.1). By the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality and some useful lemmas to be established, we prove that the solution of the averaged equation will converge to that of the standard equation in the sense of mean square and capacity. Finally, two illustrative examples are given in Sect. 4.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let us first recall some basic definitions and lemmas about G-Brownian motions. For more details, please see, e.g., [6, 7, 20].
Let $\Omega$ be a given nonempty set, and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a linear space of real-valued functions defined on $\Omega$. We assume that $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies that $c \in \mathcal{H}$ for any constant $c$ and $|X| \in \mathcal{H}$ for all $X \in \mathcal{H}$. 

**Definition 2.1** A sublinear expectation $\mathbb{E}$ is a functional $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

(i) Monotonicity: $\mathbb{E}[X] \geq \mathbb{E}[Y]$ if $X \geq Y$.
(ii) Constant preserving: $\mathbb{E}[C] = C$ for all $C \in \mathbb{R}$.
(iii) Sub-additivity: $\mathbb{E}[X + Y] \leq \mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[Y]$.
(iv) Positive homogeneity: $\mathbb{E}[\lambda X] = \lambda \mathbb{E}[X]$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$.

The triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$ is called a sublinear expectation space.

**Definition 2.2** A random variable $X$ on a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$ is called $G$-normal distributed if

$$aX + b\bar{X} \overset{\mathbb{D}}{=} \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} X \text{ for } a, b \geq 0,$$

where $\bar{X}$ is an independent copy of $X$, $y \overset{\mathbb{D}}{=} z$ means $y$ and $z$ are identically distributed.

**Definition 2.3** A process $\{B_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ on a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$ is called a $G$-Brownian motion if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $B_0 = 0$.
(ii) For any $t, s \geq 0$, the increment $B_{t+s} - B_t$ is $G$-normal distributed.
(iii) For any $n \geq 1$, $0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_n < \infty$, the increment $B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}}$ is independent of $B_{t_1}, B_{t_2}, \ldots, B_{t_{n-1}}$.

Now, let $\Omega = C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the space of all real-valued continuous paths $(w_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with $w_0 = 0$ equipped with the distance

$$\rho(w^1, w^2) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \left( \max_{t \in [0,k]} |w^1_t - w^2_t| \right) \wedge 1, \quad w^1, w^2 \in \Omega.$$

Consider the canonical process $B_t(w) = (w_t)_{t \geq 0}$. For any $T \geq 0$, we define

$$L_{ip}(\Omega_T) := \{ \varphi(B_{t_1}, B_{t_2}, \ldots, B_{t_n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}, t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n \in [0, T], \varphi \in C_{b,\text{Lip}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \}$$

and $L_{ip}(\Omega) := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{ip}(\Omega_n)$, where $C_{b,\text{Lip}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of all bounded, real-valued, and Lipschitz continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Peng [20] defined the sublinear expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ on $(\Omega, L_{ip}(\Omega))$ so that the canonical process $B_t$ is a $G$-Brownian motion. This sublinear expectation is known as a $G$-expectation. For each $p \geq 1$, $L_{ip}^p(\Omega)$ denotes the completion of $L_{ip}(\Omega)$ under the norm $\| \cdot \|_p = \langle \hat{\mathbb{E}} | \cdot |^p \rangle^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

For a given pair of $T > 0$ and $p \geq 1$, define

$$M_{ip}^p(0, T) = \left\{ \eta : \eta_t(\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \xi_i(\omega) I_{t_i, t_{i+1}}(\cdot), \xi_i \in L_{ip}^p(\Omega_{t_i}), 0 = t_0 < \cdots < t_N = T, N \geq 1 \right\}.$$
Denote by $M^p_G(0, T)$ the completion of $M^p_G(0, T)$ under the norm

$$
\| \eta \|_{M^p_G(0, T)} := \left( \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |\eta_t|^p \, dt \right)^{1/p}.
$$

**Definition 2.4** For each $\eta \in M^p_G(0, T)$, the Bochner integral and Itô integral are defined by

$$
\int_0^T \eta_t \, dt = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \xi_i (t_{i+1} - t_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^T \eta_t \, dB_t = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \xi_i (B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i}),
$$

respectively.

**Definition 2.5** ([20]) Let $\pi^N_t = \{t^N_{0}, t^N_{1}, \ldots, t^N_{N}\}$, $N = 1, 2, \ldots$, be a sequence of partitions of $[0, t]$. For the G-Brownian motion, we define the quadratic variation process of $B_t$ by

$$
\langle B \rangle_t = \lim_{u(\pi^N_t) \to 0} N^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (B_{t^N_{i+1}} - B_{t^N_{i}})^2 = B^2_t - 2 \int_0^t B_s \, dB_s,
$$

where $u(\pi^N_t) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |t_{i+1} - t_i| \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$.

**Definition 2.6** Define a mapping $M^1_G(0, T) \mapsto L^1_G([\Omega, T])$:

$$
Q_{0, T}(\eta) = \int_0^T \eta_t \, d\langle B \rangle_t := \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \xi_i (\langle B \rangle_{t_{i+1}} - \langle B \rangle_{t_i}).
$$

Then $Q_{0, T}$ can be uniquely extended to $M^1_G(0, T)$. We still denote this mapping by

$$
Q_{0, T}(\eta) = \int_0^T \eta_t \, d\langle B \rangle_t, \quad \eta \in M^1_G(0, T).
$$

**Lemma 2.7** ([20]) Let $p \geq 1$ and $\eta_t \in M^p_G([0, T])$, then we have

$$
\mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T |\eta_t|^p \, dt \right) \leq \int_0^T \mathbb{E} |\eta_t|^p \, dt
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t \eta_s \, d\langle B \rangle_s \right|^p \right) \leq \tilde{\sigma}^2 T^{p-1} \int_0^T \mathbb{E} |\eta_t|^p \, dt,
$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}^2 = \mathbb{E}(X^2)$.

**Lemma 2.8** ([20]) Let $p \geq 1$ and $\eta_t \in M^2_G([0, T])$, then we have

$$
\mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t \eta_s \, dB_s \right|^p \right) \leq C_p \mathbb{E} \left( \left| \int_0^T |\eta_t|^2 \, d\langle B \rangle_t \right|^{p/2} \right).
$$
Let $B(\Omega)$ be a Borel $\sigma$-algebra of $\Omega$. It was proved in [6] that there exists a weakly compact family $\mathcal{P}$ of probability measures defined on $(\Omega, B(\Omega))$ such that

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}(X) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P(X), \quad \forall X \in L^p(\Omega).$$

**Definition 2.9** The capacity $\hat{C}()$ associated with $\mathcal{P}$ is defined by $\hat{C}(A) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P(A)$, $A \in B(\Omega)$. A set $A \subset \Omega$ is called polar if $\hat{C}(A) = 0$. A property is said to hold quasi-surely (q.s.) if it holds outside a polar set.

**Lemma 2.10** ([20]) Let $X \in L^p_G$ and $\hat{\mathbb{E}}|X|^p < \infty$ ($p > 0$). Then, for any $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\hat{C}(|X| > \delta) \leq \frac{\hat{\mathbb{E}}|X|^p}{\delta^p}.$$

### 3 Stochastic averaging principle

In this section, we study the averaging principle of G-SDEs. Let us consider the standard form of Eq. (1.1):

$$x_\varepsilon(t) = x_\varepsilon(0) + \varepsilon \int_0^t f(s, x_\varepsilon(s)) \, ds + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t h(s, x_\varepsilon(s)) \, dB_s + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t g(s, x_\varepsilon(s)) \, dB_s, \quad q.s., \quad (3.1)$$

with the initial condition $x_\varepsilon(0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Here, $f, h, g : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ are given functions and $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0]$ is a positive small parameter with $\varepsilon_0$ being a fixed number.

In this paper, the following hypotheses are imposed on the coefficients $f$, $h$, and $g$.

**Assumption 3.1** For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$|f(t, x) - f(t, y)| + |h(t, x) - h(t, y)| \leq L|x - y|k_1(|x - y|) \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$|g(t, x) - g(t, y)|^2 \leq L|x - y|^2k_2(|x - y|), \quad (3.3)$$

where $L$ is a positive constant and $k_i(\cdot)$ are two positive continuous functions bounded on $[1, \infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{k_1(x)}{\log x^{-1}} = \zeta_i < \infty, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (3.4)$$

**Assumption 3.2** There exists a positive constant $K$ such that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \geq 0} \left( \left| f(t, 0) \right| \lor \left| h(t, 0) \right| \lor \left| g(t, 0) \right| \right) \leq K. \quad (3.5)$$

Let $\tilde{f}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{g} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be three functions, satisfying (3.2), (3.3), and (3.5) with respect to $x$. We also assume that the following condition is satisfied.
Assumption 3.3 There exists a positive bounded function \( \psi \) on \( R \), such that
\[
\lim_{T_1 \to \infty} \psi(T_1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{T_1} \int_0^{T_1} |f(t,x) - \bar{f}(x)|^2 \, dt + \frac{1}{T_1} \int_0^{T_1} |h(t,x) - \bar{h}(x)|^2 \, dt
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{T_1} \int_0^{T_1} |g(t,x) - \bar{g}(x)|^2 \, dt \leq \psi(T_1) (1 + |x|^2)
\]
for \( T_1 > 0, x \in R^n \).

Then we have the averaging form of Eq. (3.1)
\[
y_\varepsilon(t) = y_\varepsilon(0) + \varepsilon \int_0^t \bar{f}(y_\varepsilon(s)) \, ds + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \bar{h}(y_\varepsilon(s)) \, dB_s
\]
\[
+ \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \bar{g}(y_\varepsilon(s)) \, dB_s, \quad \text{q.s.,} \quad (3.6)
\]
where \( y_\varepsilon(0) = x_0 \).  

Remark 3.4 Under Assumptions 3.1–3.2, it is easy to conclude that the standard SDEs driven by G-Brownian motion (3.1) and the averaged one (3.6) have a unique solution, respectively (see Qiao [21]).

Now, we present our main results which are used for revealing the relationship between the processes \( x_\varepsilon(t) \) and \( y_\varepsilon(t) \).

Theorem 3.5 Let Assumptions 3.1–3.3 hold. For a given arbitrary small number \( \delta_1 > 0 \) and arbitrary constants \( \hat{L} > 0, \beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1) \), there exists a number \( \varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon_0] \) such that, for all \( \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1] \),
\[
E \left( \sup_{t \leq \frac{T_1}{2} - \frac{\beta}{p}} \left| x_\varepsilon(t) - y_\varepsilon(t) \right|^2 \right) \leq \delta_1. \quad (3.7)
\]

In order to prove our main result, we need to introduce the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.6 ([19]) Let \( p \geq 2 \) and \( a, b > 0 \). Then, for \( \varepsilon > 0 \),
\[
a^{p-1}b \leq \frac{\varepsilon(p-1)}{p} a^p + \frac{1}{p \varepsilon^{p-1}}b^p \quad (3.8)
\]
and
\[
a^{p-2}b^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon(p-2)}{p} a^p + \frac{2}{p \varepsilon^{p-2}}b^p. \quad (3.9)
\]

Lemma 3.7 ([4]) Let \( \rho \) be a concave nondecreasing continuous function on \( R \), such that \( \rho(0) = 0 \). Then \( \gamma(x) = \rho'(x^2) \) is also a concave nondecreasing continuous function on \( R \), with \( \gamma(0) = 0 \) for all \( s \geq r \geq 1 \).

In what follows, \( C > 0 \) is a constant which can change its value from line to line.
Lemma 3.8 Let Assumptions 3.1 and 3.2 hold. Then, for every $p \geq 2$,

$$
\hat{E} |y_r(t)|^p < \infty \quad \text{on } t \geq 0.
$$

(3.10)

Proof By the G-Itô formula [20], we have

$$
|y_r(t)|^p = |y_r(0)|^p + p\varepsilon \int_0^t |y_r(s)|^{p-2} \langle y_r(s) \rangle^\top \tilde{f}(y_r(s)) \, ds
+ p\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t |y_r(s)|^{p-2} \langle y_r(s) \rangle^\top \tilde{g}(y_r(s)) \, dB_s + \int_0^t \left( p\sqrt{\varepsilon} |y_r(s)|^{p-2} \tilde{g}(y_r(s)) \right) \, dt
+ \frac{p(p-1)}{2} |y_r(s)|^{p-2} |\sqrt{\varepsilon} \tilde{g}(y_r(s))|^2 \, ds,
$$

(3.11)

Let $T > 0$ be arbitrary. For any $t_1 \in [0, T]$, taking the G-expectation on both sides of (3.11), one gets

$$
\hat{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} |y_r(t)|^p \leq |y_0|^p + p\hat{E} \int_0^{t_1} |y_r(s)|^{p-2} \langle y_r(s) \rangle^\top \tilde{f}(y_r(s)) \, ds
+ p\sqrt{\varepsilon} \hat{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} \int_0^t |y_r(s)|^{p-2} \langle y_r(s) \rangle^\top \tilde{g}(y_r(s)) \, dB_s
+ \hat{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} \int_0^t \left( p\sqrt{\varepsilon} |y_r(s)|^{p-2} \tilde{g}(y_r(s)) \right) \, dt
+ \frac{p(p-1)}{2} |y_r(s)|^{p-2} |\sqrt{\varepsilon} \tilde{g}(y_r(s))|^2 \, ds.
$$

(3.12)

By (3.8) with $\varepsilon = 1$, we have

$$
I_1 \leq p\hat{E} \int_0^{t_1} |y_r(s)|^{p-1} |\tilde{f}(y_r(s))| \, ds \leq \varepsilon \hat{E} \int_0^{t_1} ((p-1)|y_r(s)|^p + |\tilde{f}(y_r(s))|^p) \, ds.
$$

Further, using the basic inequality $|a + b|^p \leq 2^{p-1}(|a|^p + |b|^p)$ and Assumptions 3.1, 3.2, we get

$$
|\tilde{f}(y_r(s))|^p \leq 2^{p-1}(|\tilde{f}(y_r(s)) - \tilde{f}(0)|^p + |\tilde{f}(0)|^p) \leq 2^{p-1} (L^p |y_r(s)|^p K^p |y_r(s)| + K^p).
$$

Then

$$
I_1 \leq \varepsilon (p-1) \hat{E} \int_0^{t_1} |y_r(s)|^p \, ds + \varepsilon 2^{p-1} L^p \hat{E} \int_0^{t_1} |y_r(s)|^p K^p |y_r(s)| \, ds
+ \varepsilon 2^{p-1} K^p T.
$$

(3.13)

For the term $I_2$. By Lemmas 2.7, 2.8 and the Young inequality, we have

$$
I_2 \leq \hat{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} \left| \int_0^t p\sqrt{\varepsilon} |y_r(s)|^{p-2} \langle y_r(s) \rangle^\top \tilde{g}(y_r(s)) \, dB_s \right|
\leq pC \left[ \int_0^{t_1} \varepsilon |y_r(s)|^{2p-2} |\tilde{g}(y_r(s))|^2 \, ds \right]^\frac{1}{2}.
$$
Using the basic inequality $|a + b|^p \leq 2^{p-1}(|a|^p + |b|^p)$ and Assumptions 3.1, 3.2, we get

\[
\begin{align*}
I_2 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \hat{\Theta} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t_1} |y_s(s)|^p + (p - 2)C\bar{\sigma}^2 \sqrt{\hat{\Theta}} \int_{0}^{t_1} |y_s(s)|^p \, ds + 2C\bar{\sigma}^2 \sqrt{\hat{\Theta}} \int_{0}^{t_1} |\bar{g}(y_s(s))|^p \, ds \\
&\quad + 2C\bar{\sigma}^2 \sqrt{\hat{\Theta}} \int_{0}^{t_1} \left[2L^2 |y_s(s)|^2 K_2(|y_s(s)|) + 2K_2^2\right] \, ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \hat{\Theta} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t_1} |y_s(s)|^p + (p - 2)C\bar{\sigma}^2 \sqrt{\hat{\Theta}} \int_{0}^{t_1} |y_s(s)|^p \, ds \\
&\quad + 2pC\bar{\sigma}^2 L^p \sqrt{\hat{\Theta}} \int_{0}^{t_1} |y_s(s)|^p k_2^p \left(|y_s(s)|\right) \, ds + 2pC\bar{\sigma}^2 \sqrt{\hat{\Theta}} K^p T. \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
\]

Similarly, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
I_3 &\leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \hat{\Theta} \int_{0}^{t_1} \left(p\sqrt{\epsilon} |y_s(s)|^{p-1} |\bar{h}(y_s(s))| + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \epsilon |y_s(s)|^{p-2} |\bar{g}(y_s(s))|^2 \right) \, ds \\
&\leq \left[(p - 1)\sqrt{\epsilon} + \frac{(p - 1)(p - 2)\epsilon}{2}\right] \bar{\sigma}^2 \hat{\Theta} \int_{0}^{t_1} |y_s(s)|^p \, ds \\
&\quad + 2p^{-1} \bar{\sigma}^2 L^p \sqrt{\hat{\Theta}} \int_{0}^{t_1} |y_s(s)|^p k^p_1 \left(|y_s(s)|\right) \, ds \\
&\quad + (p - 1)\epsilon 2p^{-1} \bar{\sigma}^2 L^p \sqrt{\hat{\Theta}} \int_{0}^{t_1} |y_s(s)|^p k_2^p \left(|y_s(s)|\right) \, ds \\
&\quad + \left[\sqrt{\epsilon} + (p - 1)\epsilon\right] 2p^{-1} K^p T \bar{\sigma}^2. \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
\]

Inserting (3.13)–(3.15) into (3.12) yields

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{\Theta} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} |y_t(t)|^p &\leq C_1 + C_2 \hat{\Theta} \int_{0}^{t_1} |y_s(s)|^p \, ds + 2p\bar{\sigma}^2 L^p \epsilon_0 \hat{\Theta} \int_{0}^{t_1} |y_s(s)|^p k^p_1 \left(|y_s(s)|\right) \, ds \\
&\quad + 2p(C + p)\bar{\sigma}^2 L^p \epsilon_0 \hat{\Theta} \int_{0}^{t_1} |y_s(s)|^p k_2^p \left(|y_s(s)|\right) \, ds, \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
\]
where \( C_1 = 2|x_0|^p + (C + p)2^p K^\sigma T \hat{\sigma}^2 \hat{\epsilon}_0 \), \( C_2 = 2p(1 + C + p) \hat{\sigma}^2 \hat{\epsilon}_0 \). By condition (3.4), we can find a \( \eta \in (0, e^{-1}) \) such that

\[
|y_r(t)|^p k_1^\sigma(|y_r(t)|) \leq \rho_\eta^p(|y_r(t)|) \quad \text{and} \quad |y_r(t)|^p k_2^\sigma(|y_r(t)|) \leq \rho_\eta^p(|y_r(t)|^2),
\]

where \( \rho_\eta : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) is a concave function given by

\[
\rho_\eta(x) := \begin{cases} 
  x \log x^{-1}, & x \leq \eta, \\
  \eta \log \eta^{-1} + (\log \eta^{-1} - 1)(x - \eta), & x > \eta.
\end{cases} \tag{3.17}
\]

Hence, we have

\[
\hat{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} |y_r(t)|^p \leq C_1 + C_2 \hat{E} \int_0^{t_1} |y_r(s)|^p ds + 2^p \hat{\sigma}^2 \hat{L} \hat{\epsilon}_0 \hat{E} \int_0^{t_1} \rho_\eta^p(|y_r(s)|) \, ds \\
+ 2^p (C + p) \hat{\sigma}^2 \hat{L} \hat{\epsilon}_0 \hat{E} \int_0^{t_1} \rho_\eta^p(|y_r(s)|^2) \, ds \\
\leq C_1 + C_2 \hat{E} \int_0^{t_1} |y_r(s)|^p ds + 2^p \hat{\sigma}^2 \hat{L} \hat{\epsilon}_0 \hat{E} \int_0^{t_1} \rho_\eta^p(|(|y_r(s)|^p)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \, ds \\
+ 2^p (C + p) \hat{\sigma}^2 \hat{L} \hat{\epsilon}_0 \hat{E} \int_0^{t_1} \rho_\eta^p((|y_r(s)|^p)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \, ds.
\]

Since \( \rho_\eta(\cdot) \) is a concave nondecreasing continuous function on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \), then by Lemma 3.7 we can conclude that \( \rho_\eta^p(\cdot) \) and \( \rho_\eta^p(\cdot)^{\frac{1}{2}} \) are two concave nondecreasing continuous functions on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \). Then, by the Jensen inequality, we obtain

\[
\hat{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} |y_r(t)|^p \leq C_1 + C_2 \hat{E} \int_0^{t_1} |y_r(s)|^p ds + 2^p \hat{\sigma}^2 \hat{L} \hat{\epsilon}_0 \hat{E} \int_0^{t_1} \rho_\eta^p((|\hat{E}| y_r(s)|^p)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \, ds \\
+ 2^p (C + p) \hat{\sigma}^2 \hat{L} \hat{\epsilon}_0 \hat{E} \int_0^{t_1} \rho_\eta^p((|y_r(s)|^p)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \, ds.
\]

By the properties of the concave function, we can find some positive constants \( a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \) such that \( \rho_\eta^p(x^2) \leq a_1 + b_1 x, \rho_\eta^p(x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq a_2 + b_2 x \). Consequently,

\[
\hat{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} |y_r(t)|^p \leq C_3 + C_4 \int_0^{t_1} \hat{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |y_r(s)|^p \, dt,
\]

where \( C_3 = C_1 + 2^p \hat{L} \hat{\epsilon}_0 a_1 \hat{\sigma}^2 + 2^p (C + p) \hat{L} \hat{\epsilon}_0 a_2 \hat{\sigma}^2, C_4 = C_2 + 2^p \hat{\epsilon}_0 b_1 \hat{L} \hat{\sigma}^2 + 2^p (C + p) \hat{L} \hat{\epsilon}_0 b_2 \hat{\sigma}^2 \). Then the Gronwall inequality gives

\[
\hat{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |y_r(t)|^p \leq C_3 e^{C_4 T}.
\]

The proof is therefore complete. \( \Box \)
Proof of Theorem 3.5 From (3.1) and (3.6), we have

\[ x_i(t) - y_i(t) = \varepsilon \int_0^t \left[ f(s, x_i(s)) - \tilde{f}(y_i(s)) \right] ds + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \left[ h(s, x_i(s)) - \tilde{h}(y_i(s)) \right] dB_s \]

By the G-Itô formula [20], we have

\[
|x_i(t) - y_i(t)|^2 = \int_0^t 2\varepsilon [x_i(s) - y_i(s)]^\top [f(s, x_i(s)) - \tilde{f}(y_i(s))] ds
\]

\[ + \int_0^t 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} [x_i(s) - y_i(s)]^\top [g(s, x_i(s)) - \tilde{g}(y_i(s))] dB_s 
\]

\[ + \int_0^t \left( 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} [x_i(s) - y_i(s)]^\top [h(s, x_i(s)) - \tilde{h}(y_i(s))] 
\]

\[ + \varepsilon |g(s, x_i(s)) - \tilde{g}(y_i(s))|^2 \right) d(B)_s \quad q.s. \] (3.18)

Taking the expectation on both sides of (3.18), it follows that, for any \( u \in \mathbb{R}^n \),

\[ \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq u} |x_i(t) - y_i(t)|^2 \leq 2\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \int_0^u |x_i(s) - y_i(s)||f(s, x_i(s)) - \tilde{f}(y_i(s))| ds 
\]

\[ + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq u} \int_0^t [x_i(s) - y_i(s)]^\top [g(s, x_i(s)) - \tilde{g}(y_i(s))] dB_s 
\]

\[ + \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq u} \int_0^t \left( 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} [x_i(s) - y_i(s)]^\top [h(s, x_i(s)) - \tilde{h}(y_i(s))] 
\]

\[ + \varepsilon |g(s, x_i(s)) - \tilde{g}(y_i(s))|^2 \right) d(B)_s = \sum_{i=1}^3 Q_i. \] (3.19)

By Assumption 3.1 and the basic inequality \( 2ab \leq a^2 + b^2 \), we have

\[ Q_1 \leq 2\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \int_0^u |x_i(s) - y_i(s)||f(s, x_i(s)) - f(s, y_i(s))| ds 
\]

\[ + 2\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \int_0^u |x_i(s) - y_i(s)||f(s, y_i(s)) - \tilde{f}(y_i(s))| ds 
\]

\[ \leq 2L\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \int_0^u |x_i(s) - y_i(s)|^2 k_1(|x_i(s) - y_i(s)|) ds + \varepsilon \mathbb{E} \int_0^u |x_i(s) - y_i(s)|^2 ds 
\]

\[ + \varepsilon \mathbb{E} \int_0^u |f(s, y_i(s)) - \tilde{f}(y_i(s))|^2 ds. \]

Then Assumption 3.3 implies that

\[ Q_1 \leq 2L\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \int_0^u |x_i(s) - y_i(s)|^2 k_1(|x_i(s) - y_i(s)|) ds 
\]

\[ + \varepsilon \mathbb{E} \int_0^u |x_i(s) - y_i(s)|^2 ds + \varepsilon u\psi(u) \left( 1 + \varepsilon \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq u} |y_i(s)|^2 \right). \] (3.20)
By Lemmas 2.7, 2.8 and the Young inequality, we get

\[ Q_2 \leq C \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} \left[ \int_0^u |x(s) - y(s)|^2 |g(s, x(s)) - \bar{g}(y(s))|^2 \, d\mu(s) \right]^{1/2} \]

\[ \leq C \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} |x(s) - y(s)|^2 \int_0^u |g(s, x(s)) - \bar{g}(y(s))|^2 \, d\mu(s) \right]^{1/2} \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathcal{E}} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} |x(s) - y(s)|^2 + C \hat{\sigma}^2 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} \int_0^u |g(s, x(s)) - \bar{g}(y(s))|^2 \, ds. \]

Similarly, by Assumptions 3.1 and 3.3, we have

\[ Q_2 = \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathcal{E}} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} |x(s) - y(s)|^2 + 2C \hat{\sigma}^2 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} \int_0^u |g(s, x(s)) - g(s, y(s))|^2 \, ds \]

\[ + 2C \hat{\sigma}^2 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} \int_0^u |g(s, y(s)) - \bar{g}(y(s))|^2 \, ds \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathcal{E}} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} |x(s) - y(s)|^2 + 2C \hat{\sigma}^2 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} \int_0^u |x(s) - y(s)|^2 k_2(\|x(s) - y(s)\|) \, ds. \]  

(3.21)

By Lemma 2.7, Assumptions 3.1, 3.3, and the basic inequality \(2ab \leq a^2 + b^2\), we have

\[ Q_3 \leq \hat{\sigma}^2 \mathcal{E} \int_0^u (2\sqrt{\mathcal{E}} |x(s) - y(s)||h(s, x(s)) - \bar{h}(y(s))| + \epsilon |g(s, x(s)) - \bar{g}(y(s))|^2) \, ds \]

\[ \leq 2L \hat{\sigma}^2 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} \int_0^u |x(s) - y(s)|^2 k_1(\|x(s) - y(s)\|) \, ds \]

\[ + \hat{\sigma}^2 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} \int_0^u |x(s) - y(s)|^2 \, ds + \hat{\sigma}^2 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} u \psi(u) \left( 1 + \hat{\mathcal{E}} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} |y(s)|^2 \right) \]

\[ + 2L \hat{\sigma}^2 \epsilon \mathcal{E} \int_0^u |x(s) - y(s)|^2 k_2(\|x(s) - y(s)\|) \, ds \]

\[ + 2\epsilon \hat{\sigma}^2 \mathcal{E} u \psi(u) \left( 1 + \hat{\mathcal{E}} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} |y(s)|^2 \right). \]  

(3.22)

Combining with (3.19)–(3.22), we get

\[ \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathcal{E}} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} |x(t) - y(t)|^2 \]

\[ \leq (2L \hat{\epsilon} + 2L \hat{\sigma}^2 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}) \int_0^u |x(s) - y(s)|^2 k_1(\|x(s) - y(s)\|) \, ds \]

\[ + (\hat{\epsilon} + \hat{\sigma}^2 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}) \int_0^u |x(s) - y(s)|^2 \, ds \]

\[ + (2C \hat{\sigma}^2 L \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} + 2L \hat{\sigma}^2 \epsilon) \int_0^u |x(s) - y(s)|^2 k_2(\|x(s) - y(s)\|) \, ds \]

\[ + \left[ (1 + 2 \hat{\sigma}^2) \hat{\epsilon} + (1 + 2C) \hat{\sigma}^2 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} u \psi(u) \left( 1 + \hat{\mathcal{E}} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u} |y(s)|^2 \right) \right]. \]  

(3.23)
Similarly, by condition (3.4), we can find a \( \eta \in (0, e^{-1}) \) such that
\[
|x_i(s) - y_i(s)|^2 k_i(|x_i(s) - y_i(s)|) \leq \rho_i(|x_i(s) - y_i(s)|^2), \quad i = 1, 2,
\]
where \( \rho_i(\cdot) \) is defined by (3.17). Consequently, by Lemma 3.8 and the boundedness of \( \psi(u) \), we have
\[
\hat{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq u} |x_i(t) - y_i(t)|^2
\leq 4L(1 + C)(1 + \hat{a}^2)(\epsilon + \sqrt{\epsilon})\hat{E} \int_0^u \rho_i(|x_i(s) - y_i(s)|^2) \ ds
\]
\[
+ 2(1 + \hat{a}^2)(\epsilon + \sqrt{\epsilon})\hat{E} \int_0^u |x_i(s) - y_i(s)|^2 \ ds + 2(1 + 2C)(1 + 2\hat{a}^2)M(\epsilon + \sqrt{\epsilon})u
\]
\[
\leq C_5(\epsilon + \sqrt{\epsilon})\hat{E} \int_0^u \left( \hat{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq s} |x_i(t) - y_i(t)|^2 + \rho_i \left( \hat{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq s} |x_i(t) - y_i(t)|^2 \right) \right) \ ds
\]
\[
+ C_6(\epsilon + \sqrt{\epsilon})u,
\]
where \( C_5 = 4L(1 + C)(1 + \hat{a}^2) \) and \( C_6 = 2(1 + 2C)(1 + 2\hat{a}^2)M \). Let \( \hat{\rho}_i(x) = x + \rho_i(x) \), we obtain that
\[
\hat{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq u} |x_i(t) - y_i(t)|^2 \leq C_5(\epsilon + \sqrt{\epsilon})\hat{E} \int_0^u \hat{\rho}_i \left( \hat{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq s} |x_i(t) - y_i(t)|^2 \right) \ ds
\]
\[
+ C_6(\epsilon + \sqrt{\epsilon})u. \tag{3.24}
\]
Obviously, \( \hat{\rho}_i(x) \) is a concave function on \( R_+ \). Hence, by the properties of the concave function, we can find a pair of positive constants \( a \) and \( b \) such that
\[
\hat{\rho}_i(x) \leq a + bx \quad \text{for any } x \geq 0.
\]
Therefore, (3.24) will become
\[
\hat{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq u} |x_i(t) - y_i(t)|^2 \leq C_5b(\epsilon + \sqrt{\epsilon})\hat{E} \int_0^u \hat{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq s} |x_i(t) - y_i(t)|^2 \ ds
\]
\[
+ (C_5a + C_6)(\epsilon + \sqrt{\epsilon})u.
\]
Hence, the Gronwall inequality implies that
\[
\hat{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq u} |x_i(t) - y_i(t)|^2 \leq \left[ (C_5a + C_6)(\epsilon + \sqrt{\epsilon})u \right] e^{C_5b(\epsilon + \sqrt{\epsilon})u}.
\]
Choose \( \beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1) \) and \( \bar{L} > 0 \) such that, for every \( t \in [0, \bar{L} \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \beta}] \subseteq R_+ \),
\[
\hat{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \bar{L} \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - \beta}} |x_i(t) - y_i(t)|^2 \leq N\bar{L} \epsilon^{1-\beta}.
\]
where \( N = (C_5 a + C_6)(1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon_0})e^{C_5\beta L\varepsilon_0^{1-\beta}} \). Consequently, given any number \( \delta_1 > 0 \), we can choose \( \varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon_0] \) such that, for each \( \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1] \) and for every \( t \in [0, \hat{L}_0^{1-\beta}] \),

\[
\hat{E} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, \hat{L}_0^{1-\beta}]} |x_\varepsilon(t) - y_\varepsilon(t)|^2 \right) \leq \delta_1.
\]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

With Theorem 3.5, we can show the convergence in capacity between the processes \( x_\varepsilon(t) \) and \( y_\varepsilon(t) \).

**Theorem 3.9** Let Assumptions 3.1–3.3 hold. For a given arbitrary small number \( \delta_2 > 0 \) and arbitrary constants \( \hat{L} > 0 \), \( \beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1) \), there exists a number \( \varepsilon_1 \in [0, \varepsilon_0] \) such that, for all \( \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1] \),

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\hat{E} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \hat{L}_0^{1-\beta}} |x_\varepsilon(t) - y_\varepsilon(t)| \right)}{\delta_2} = 0.
\]

**Proof** By Lemma 2.10, for any given number \( \delta_2 > 0 \), we can obtain that

\[
\hat{E} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \hat{L}_0^{1-\beta}} |x_\varepsilon(t) - y_\varepsilon(t)| \right) \leq \delta_2 \hat{E} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \hat{L}_0^{1-\beta}} |x_\varepsilon(t) - y_\varepsilon(t)|^2 \right) \leq \frac{N\hat{L}_0^{1-\beta}}{\delta_2^2}.
\]

Let \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) and the required result follows. The proof is therefore complete. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.10** Let \( \sigma^2 = \hat{E}(X^2) \), if \( \sigma^2 = \hat{\sigma}^2 \), then Eq. (1.1) will become SDEs which have been studied by [8, 11, 14, 24]. Under our assumptions, we can obtain the convergence of the averaged solution and the standard one to G-SDEs. Hence, the corresponding results in [8, 11, 14, 24] are generalized and improved.

### 4 Examples

In this section, we construct two examples to illustrate our theory.

**Remark 4.1** If we let \( k_1(\cdot) = k_2(\cdot) = 1 \), then Assumption 3.1 will reduce to the Lipschitz condition: For any \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( t \geq 0 \), there exists a positive constant \( L \) such that

\[
|f(t, x) - f(t, y)| + |h(t, x) - h(t, y)| \leq L|x - y|
\]

and

\[
|g(t, x) - g(t, y)| \leq L|x - y|^2.
\]

Clearly, under the Lipschitz condition and Assumptions 3.2–3.3, we can conclude that Theorems 3.5 and 3.9 also hold.

**Example 4.2** Consider the linear SDEs driven by G-Brownian motion

\[
dx_\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon \sin tx_\varepsilon(t) \, dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sin tx_\varepsilon(t) \, d\langle B \rangle_t + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \sin^2(t)x_\varepsilon(t) \, dB_t,
\]

(4.1)
with the initial data $x_ε(0) = x_0$. Here,

$$f(t, x_ε(t)) = ε \sin tx_ε(t), \quad h(t, x_ε(t)) = \sqrt{ε} \sin tx_ε(t), \quad g(t, x_ε(t)) = 2\sqrt{ε} \sin^2 tx_ε(t).$$

Let

$$\bar{f}(y_ε(t)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi f(t, y_ε(t)) dt = ε \bar{y}_ε(t), \quad \bar{h}(y_ε(t)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi h(t, y_ε(t)) dt = \sqrt{ε} \bar{y}_ε(t)$$

and

$$\bar{g}(y_ε(t)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi g(t, y_ε(t)) dt = \sqrt{ε} \bar{y}_ε(t),$$

we obtain the corresponding averaged equation as follows:

$$dy_ε(t) = ε \bar{y}_ε(t) dt + \sqrt{ε} \bar{y}_ε(t) dB_t + \sqrt{ε} \bar{y}_ε(t) dB_t.$$

(4.2)

Obviously, Eq. (4.2) is also a linear G-SDEs and its solution can be given by

$$y_ε(t) = x_0 \exp \left( ε t - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \right) (B_t + \sqrt{ε} B_t).$$

(4.3)

By Theorems 3.5 and 3.9, we can obtain that the solution of averaged G-SDEs (4.3) will converge to that of the standard one (4.1) in the sense of mean square and in capacity.

**Example 4.3** Consider the standard form of SDEs driven by G-Brownian motion

$$dx_ε(t) = ε \cos^2 t \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\sin(kx_ε(t))}{k^2} dt + \sqrt{ε} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\sin(kx_ε(t))}{\sqrt{k^3}} dB_t,$$

(4.4)

with the initial data $x_ε(0) = x_0$. Here,

$$f(t, x) = \cos^2 t \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\sin(kx)}{k^2}, \quad h(t, x) = 0, \quad g(t, x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\sin(kx)}{\sqrt{k^3}}.$$

Let

$$\bar{f}(y_ε(t)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi f(t, y_ε(t)) dt = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\sin(ky_ε(t))}{k^2}$$

and

$$\bar{g}(y_ε(t)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi g(t, y_ε(t)) dt = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\sin(ky_ε(t))}{\sqrt{k^3}},$$

we have the corresponding averaged equation

$$dy_ε(t) = \frac{ε}{2} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\sin(ky_ε(t))}{k^2} dt + \sqrt{ε} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\sin(ky_ε(t))}{\sqrt{k^3}} dB_t.$$

(4.5)
In fact, Eq. (4.5) is not a linear stochastic equation, and we cannot obtain its analytic solution. By [1], we have
\[
|f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{|\sin(kx) - \sin(ky)|}{k^2} \leq 2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{|\sin \frac{k(x-y)}{2}|}{k^2} \leq L|x - y| \bar{\rho}(x - y)
\]
and
\[
|g(t, x) - g(t, y)|^2 \leq \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{|\sin(kx) - \sin(ky)|^2}{k^3} \leq 4 \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{|\sin \frac{k(x-y)}{2}|^2}{k^3} \leq L|x - y|^2 \bar{\rho}(x - y),
\]
where
\[
\bar{\rho}(x) := \begin{cases} 
\log x^{-1}, & x \leq \eta, \\
\log \eta^{-1} - 1 + \frac{7}{x}, & x > \eta.
\end{cases}
\]
It is easily found that the conditions of Theorems 3.5 and 3.9 are satisfied, then the solution of averaged G-SDEs (4.5) will converge to that of the standard one (4.4) in the sense of mean square and in capacity.
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