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**Summary**

Gram-positive, nonpathogenic lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are considered to be promising candidates for the development of novel, safe production and delivery systems of heterologous proteins. Recombinant LAB strains were shown to elicit specific systemic and mucosal immune responses against selected antigens. For this reason, this group of bacteria is considered as a potential replacement of classical, often pathogenic, attenuated microbial carriers. Mucosal administration of recombinant LAB, especially via the best explored and universal oral route, offers many advantages in comparison to systemic inoculation, and is attractive from the immunological and practical point of view. Research aimed at designing efficient, mucosally applied vaccines in combination with improved immunization efficiency, monitoring of *in vivo* antigen production, determination of optimal dose for vaccination, strain selection and characterization is a priority in modern vaccinology. This paper summarizes and organizes the available knowledge on the application of LAB as live oral vaccine vectors. It constitutes a valuable source of general information for researchers interested in mucosal vaccine development and constructing LAB strains with vaccine potential.

**Introduction**

Vaccination is the most effective method of preventing and controlling infectious diseases, leading often to eradication of contagious factors from the environment. For this reason, vaccines play a profound role in the improvement of human and animal health. The World Health Organization (WHO) reported 25 licensed vaccines against most common and dangerous maladies available on the market in 2012 (WHO 2012). Induction of an effective immune response to a specific antigen is considered to be the main goal of vaccination. This approach should provide long-lasting protection against particular infections. Recently, many researchers focused their interest on development of new, safe, mucosally administered vaccines, which production is less time- and cost-consuming, less laborious and which are more easily applied. Additionally, such vaccines are thought to prevent carriage of pathogens in the population with very limited influence on maternal antibodies in infants.

Most often vaccines are based directly on pathogenic antigens. However, when such preparations are administered orally, they induce low or nonexisting immune responses. The reasons of such low efficacy are most probably connected with ineffective microbial adsorption, fast antigen degradation and induction of mucosal tolerance. Yet, although development of efficient oral vaccines may pose many difficulties, advantages of such approach are still predominant. Currently, there are two major trends in development of mucosally applied vaccines. One is based on synthetic systems for oral antigen delivery, such as emulsions, microparticles, immune-stimulating complexes, liposomes (Saroja *et al.* 2011). The other strategy relies on live viral or bacterial vectors, enabling antigen production *in vivo*. In case of pathogenic bacteria, often used for vaccine development, construction of stable recombinant strains that will synthesize sufficient antigen amounts with no adverse effects on the vaccinated host or the environment can be problematic. An innovative solution is the use of lactic acid bacteria (LAB), which possess the so-called ‘GRAS status’,
meaning that they are nonpathogenic and their application is safe for humans and animals. The absence of lipopolysaccharides (LPS) in their cell wall is a great advantage that eliminates the risk of endotoxic shock. Additionally, food industry has long and successful experience in large-scale production and safe storage of these bacteria, which can simplify preparation and shelving of the potential vaccine (Holzapfel et al. 1998). LAB are mostly known for their widespread use as starter strains in food and feed fermentation technology, but also for the probiotic effect that some species or strains have on humans and animal health (Mercenier et al. 2000). Most LAB are quite acid resistant which enables them to effectively survive passage through the stomach — a vital point in oral vaccine administration. Additionally, many different approaches have been developed to improve the viability of LAB during the gastrointestinal transit (Vidhyalakshmi et al. 2009). Nowadays, the most common technique used to protect bacterial cells against degradation in the gut and/or to target antigens for uptake into the gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) is encapsulation into microparticles or liposomes. Such approach might also enhance adhesion of the formed particles to mucosal surfaces (Plant and Lapatra 2011) and provide adjuvant properties by inducing local inflammatory signals. Encapsulation can be successfully applied for preservation of live vector vaccines with surface-located antigens anchored in the cell wall. This solution effectively helps to avoid degradation of the externally exposed protein during passage through the gastrointestinal tract (GIT). In case of intracellularly localized antigens, it is assumed that they might not need further encapsulation since the cell wall itself acts as a natural protection barrier against the intestinal environment. Other, more simple methods of preserving the viability of LAB are based on application of appropriate liquid carriers with protective properties, such as trehalose (Jain and Roy 2009; Szatraj et al. 2014).

Some strains, mostly belonging to the Lactobacillus genus, effectively colonize host cavities, where they play a crucial role in maintaining a balance of the natural microbiota. Adhesive properties are considered to be an important quality also for recombinant LAB-based vaccines, by ensuring long-lasting persistence in the host and possibly extending the time of antigen presentation to the immune system.

Specific lactic acid bacterial strains can exhibit immunomodulatory effects on human and animal organisms. This observation has been confirmed also for recombinant LAB cells producing various antigens from infectious diseases, allergy promoting proteins and therapeutic antibodies (Capron et al. 1995). Although the precise molecular mechanism of LAB-induced immunomodulation has not been fully examined, they were determined to affect dendritic cells (DC) maturation and induce cytokine secretion through toll-like receptors (TLR), including TLR2. A number of studies evidenced the role of LAB in peripheral T-cell hyporesponsiveness and promotion of regulatory T-cell development by DC modulation (Dubois et al. 2003; Rigaux et al. 2009; You et al. 2014).

The natural adjuvanticity of LAB is an attractive feature in oral vaccine development. Moreover, LAB have also been shown to be efficient in producing many different heterologous antigens (e.g. Helicobacter pylori urease, LcrV antigen from Yersinia pseudotuberculosis, EP7 antigen of the human type 16 papilloma virus, haemagglutinin (HA) of avian influenza virus) (Bermúdez-Humarán et al. 2002; Szatraj et al. 2014; Zhou et al. 2015). All mentioned aspects make LAB attractive and promising hosts for oral vaccine production and delivery of many different compounds (Fig. 1).

This work summarizes and organizes available knowledge about ongoing research on the application of LAB for heterologous protein production and oral mucosal delivery of many different molecules acting as antigens. We hope this article will provide actual and informative data on the new possibilities in vaccine development, especially of orally administered formulations, but also give practical ideas helpful while planning LAB vaccine experiments. The article also discusses the issue of genetic modifications that can lead to development of LAB strains with new, vaccine potential for application in human or animal medicine.

LAB and mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue

Current research shows that LAB can be efficiently engineered to produce and deliver different proteins, including therapeutics, to mucosal surfaces. The most popular antigen target is the GALT, although nasal-associated lymphoid tissue (NALT) and genital mucosal surfaces (VALT) are also taken into consideration in vaccine trials. Although nasal immunizations have been proven effective in a number of studies (Falcone et al. 2006; Lee et al. 2006; Oliveira et al. 2006; Hannify et al. 2007; Campos et al. 2008), this route of vaccination is chosen primarily against respiratory infectious diseases including tuberculosis, coronavirus, influenza, respiratory syncytial virus, etc. As for VALT, this immunization approach is less sufficient (Ogra et al. 2001) and requires additional stimulation, usually via the oral path, as was shown for cholera vaccine (Kozlowski et al. 1997). Interactions between LAB and LAB-associated vaccines with GALT are the most extensively explored and will be addressed in the following section.
The GIT is intensively exposed to pathogens and various biologically active factors, among them antigens and carcinogens. Lymphatic tissue associated with the gut plays a crucial role in the local and systemic immune response. Its role relies on mediating the migration and homing of the activated immune cells from the gut to other sites of the body. The mucosal immune system is responsible for about 60% of the daily immunoglobulin production. LAB are among bacteria which occur physiologically in animals and humans, mainly in their digestive tracts. They ensure the balance in the composition of the gut microbiota and participate with other factors in regulation of many physiological processes, such as allergies and inflammations (Gonzaga et al. 2009). Although the main role of LAB in dairy food production is milk fermentation, selected strains from this group of bacteria are also known for health-promoting effects, including enhancement of non and specific immune responses, as well as control of intestinal infections and anti-tumorigenic activity. Through their actions, based on activation of inflammatory immune responses (IgA antibodies production), production of exopolysaccharides and antibiotic-like substances, LAB assure the integrity of the gut mucous membrane. They also influence the distribution and the number of lymphoid cells in lymphatic tissues associated with the gut. However, the molecular mechanisms by which LAB affect the immune system are unknown. Probably, LAB alone or products of their metabolism can be absorbed by M-cells and transported to lymphatic follicles where they are analysed by the immune system (Mestecky 1987; Ernst et al. 1988; Lidbeck and Nord 1993; Gibson and Wang 1994). It is possible that LAB can directly impact gut epithelium cells, which contain lymphocytes able to produce a wide scale of cytokines, and influence local immunity (Fig. 2) (Galdeano et al. 2010).

Interactions of LAB and products of their metabolism with immunocompetent cells, such as macrophages and T-cells, can stimulate production of cytokines. It is hypothesized that cytokines can be induced by LAB through two possible pathways: (i) via antigen presentation to T-lymphocytes or (ii) after direct interaction between LAB and immunocompetent cells. These speculations are reinforced by the fact that lymphocytes and macrophages are equipped with specific receptors for LAB peptidoglycan (Fuller 1997). Two different scientific groups proved that the peptidoglycan of LAB can induce the secretion of interleukin (IL)-1 (stimulation of T- and B-cell proliferation), IL-6 (induction of B-cell differentiation) and TNF-α (antitumorigenic activity) by monocytes (Fernandes and Shahnani 1990; Bhakdi and Tranumjensen 1991; Dziarski 1991). TNF-α interferon induces expression of MHC class I and class II antigens, stimulates T-helper lymphocytes, activates macrophages which can all enhance vaccine immunogenicity (Heumann et al. 1994). The most important factors that influence the immunomodulative character of LAB are connected with:

i the ability to modulate the immune system by particular strains;
ii doses which are dependent on the applied strain and the vaccination scheme (Murray 1988; Perdigón and Alvarez 1992; de Petrino et al. 1995)
iii age and physiological state of the host.

In regards to the immune modulation, an important feature of LAB is that they can be internalized in the gut via different pathways, which enables them to induce...
different immune responses. The interaction of LAB with M-cells activates mainly specific immune responses, while interaction with FAE cells (follicle-associated epithelial cells) induces a nonspecific or inflammatory response. Contact of LAB with epithelial cells can lead to enhancement of local immunity (Perdigón et al. 2002). It has been shown that Lactobacillus casei, Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus and Lactobacillus acidophilus affect the systemic humoral immune response, which can be an attribute during selection of appropriate lactobacilli strains as effective antigen carriers. Studies show that the choice of specific LAB for oral administration is crucial for directed modulation of the systemic immune response according to the observed cytokine profiles. A significant increase of interleukins IL-10 and IL-4 levels was observed in mice fed with Lact. delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus or Lact. casei. In turn, induction of IL-2 and IL-12 was observed in case of Lact. acidophilus. The role of produced cytokines in the balance Th1/Th2 was determined. It was reported that Lact. casei, Lact. delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus all increased the IgG1 response in favour of Th2. Lactobacillus acidophilus induced the IgG2a response, with the advantage for Th1. In turn, Streptococcus thermophilus did not affect the Th1/Th2 balance. Other studies also confirmed the effect of various LAB strains in stimulating different mucosal cytokine profiles (Martin and Lew 1998; Hershberg and Mayer 2000). Thus, for vaccine development, selection of strains with specific immunological properties must be well defined to induce the desired immune response and cytokine expression.

Lactic acid bacteria strains can induce also nonspecific immune responses (the first line of defence), which are connected with monocytes, macrophages, neutrophils and NK cells. Phagocytosis leads to intracellular reactions, and production of reactive oxygen and nitrogen radicals, as well as additional factors, like TNF-α and IL-1 (Moinneau and Goulet 1991; Balasubramanya et al. 1995; Tizard 2000). Results from various animal studies show that the level of phagocytic cell functions, as in case of systemic immune response, is strain-dependent. Secretion of lysosomal enzymes by macrophages in mice fed fermented milk containing Lact. casei was more effective compared to animals supplemented with Lact. acidophilus or Strep. thermophilus. Tortuero and Fernandez (1995) reported an increase in IL-2 concentration in ileal tissues in piglets treated with Streptococcus faecium M-74 and Lact. casei spp. Histological examination indicated an increased phagocytic activity of these cells. The differences in cell-wall composition were suggested to be responsible for the different immunomodulative activities of the tested lactobacilli strains (Tortuero and Fernandez 1995). Moreover, strains able to survive in the GIT and adhere to the intestine mucous membrane were reported to induce a far more effective immune response (Perdigón and Alvarez 1992). Stimulation of the nonspecific immune system by fermented products is
probably due to immune-active peptides produced during fermentation (Fiat et al. 1993). As reported by Perdigón et al. (1999), some LAB strains are able to induce specific secretory immunity, while others enhance the gut inflammatory immune response. Lactobacillus casei and Lact. plantarum were shown to interact with Peyer’s patch cells which resulted in an increase in IgA, CD4+ cells, and specific antibodies directed against the stimulating strain. In turn, Lactococcus lactis and Lact. delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus strains induced an increase in IgA+ cells entering the IgA cycle, but not CD4+ cells (Perdigón et al. 1999).

Lactic acid bacteria strains were also determined to have a positive role in eradicating intestinal infections. In the study by Herias et al. (1999), gnotobiotic rats fed with Lact. plantarum in combination with Escherichia coli showed lower amounts of E. coli in the small intestine and caecum 1 week after colonization, compared with a group colonized with E. coli alone. It appeared that colonized rats had a significantly higher total serum IgA levels and slightly higher IgM and IgA antibody levels against E. coli than those colonized with E. coli alone (Herias et al. 1999).

Health-promoting effects of LAB-based milk products, enhancement of nonspecific and specific immune responses, as well as control of intestinal infections have been confirmed in a large number of different publications. Nader de Macías et al. (1992) reported increased resistance to Shigella infection mediated by high titres of anti-Shigella antibodies in serum and in intestine secretions in mice fed fermented milk (Nader de Macías et al. 1992). Also, Paubert-Braquet et al. (1995) showed a correlation between an increased immune response and resistance to Salmonella Typhimurium infection in mice fed milk cultures (Paubert-Braquet et al. 1995). In another study, the application of Lact. casei and Lact. bulgaricus was reported to terminate corticoid-induced immunosuppression in mice with Candida albicans infection (de Petrino et al. 1995). Animals inoculated with lactobacilli showed a significant increase in faecal secretory IgA levels compared with control animals (Lee et al. 2016). According to Alvarez et al. (1998), cultures of Lact. casei protect the host against Salm. Typhimurium infection (not only) after the first application, but the effect is maintained after a simple revaccination (on day 15 or 30). A protective effect was observed when the number of IgA-secreting cells in the lamina propria and the level of secretory IgA in the gut fluid increased (Alvarez et al. 1998). This correlated with an elevated number of polymorph nuclear cells that induced inflammatory immune response and was noted to influence the mucous membrane integrity. It was discussed that the population of CD4+ and CD8+ T-lymphocytes as a whole can be increased, but the balance between them should be maintained. Higher level of cells in the CD8+ T-cell population could induce an inflammatory response through the cytotoxicity effect. On the other hand, the elevated number of CD4+ T-lymphocytes, peculiarly the Th1 population, could through the cytokine pathway, stimulate an enhanced expression of HLA class II. This event could be associated with a higher capture of antigens and overstimulation of the mucus.

Interestingly, administration of antigens in a certain mucosal region may generate S-IgA antibodies at distant mucosal sites (Kozlowski et al. 1997). For example, oral immunization was shown to allow antigen uptake at inductive sites of the oral cavity and upper intestine and can elicit antibodies not only in saliva and intestine, but also in mammary glands and vaginal secretions. Therefore, it seems that oral vaccination can induce immune responses locally in the gut and at other mucosal sites, as well as systemic humoral and cellular immune responses (De Moreno de LeBlanc et al. 2005). Concluding, the effect of local mucosal immunization with LAB can have a broader range and elicit a global immune response.

Antigen dose and vaccine protection are also crucial issues for live vaccine development. Firstly, it seems that some proteins (e.g. the hepatitis B surface antigen) are easily recognized by the immune system (Yum et al. 2012). Other compounds are less effective, and the immune response may not provide sufficient protection. The type of strain used as antigen vehicle (the producer) is also an important determinant. Different strains possess various adjuvant properties, as well as different adhesive abilities, which can have a significant impact on the stimulation of the immune system. Another concern is designing a proper vaccination scheme. In case of orally administered vaccines, prime-boost strategy is required. One must take into account that overdosage of the antigen can lead to oral tolerance. Therefore, appropriate doses and vaccination schemes need to be separately optimized for each chosen vaccine system. In general, the dose of the produced antigen should be relatively high to be recognized as ‘nonself’ by the immune system of the host and elicit a protective response, but still remain low to avoid immunosuppression.

In regards to age and physiological state of the host, in immunocompromised patients, less often infants or elderly, certain LAB can cause opportunistic infections. Those rare cases are connected most often with probiotic preparations containing Lactobacillus sp. However, the risk of lactobacillemia was considered as ‘unequivocally negligible’ (Sanders et al. 2010). Moreover, there is no published evidence that consumption of orally administered LAB vaccines carries the risk of bacteraemia. This is probably due to significant difference in doses as well as
application schemes between probiotics and live vector vaccines. In case of the latter, administration is not long-lasting, which decreases the risk of bacteraemia.

**Choice of appropriate LAB strain**

The choice of appropriate strain for the live vector vaccine development seems to be crucial in order to provide efficient protection. Until now, in frame of the LABVAC European research network, three different species of LAB (i.e. *L. lactis*, *Streptococcus gordonii* and *Lactobacillus* sp.) have been quite well characterized, not only based on the physiological properties, but also according to the available molecular tools and developed experimental approaches.

**Lactococcus lactis**

*Lactococcus lactis* strains do not colonize the digestive tracts of animals and humans. In mice, these microbes reside for about 24 h and only passive transit is observed. In humans, lactococci persist in the gut for about 3 days (Klijn et al. 1995; Chamberlain et al. 1997; Havenith et al. 2002). Due to these facts, attention has been focused on expressing various antigens in *L. lactis* cells, both intra- and extracellularly. For this, efficient, well-controlled expression systems were developed. The first high-level inducible expression systems developed for *L. lactis* were based on the properties of the *E. coli* T7 bacteriophage RNA polymerase (pLET vectors). These systems were used for successful intracellular production of many heterologous antigens in *L. lactis* (e.g. diphtheria toxin fragment B, immunogen of *Schistosoma mansoni*, tetanus toxin fragment C (TTFC) Wells et al. 1996; Robinson et al. 1997; Mercenier et al. 2000). Further on, modified pLET vectors were developed to secrete antigens (up to 3 mg l\(^{-1}\)) into the extracellular matrix or anchor them to the cell surface. Also, a variety of TTFC fusion proteins (e.g. TTFCHIV-gp120V3 loop), were produced with quite good efficiency, ranging between 2 and 20% of the total soluble cell proteins. An analogous study was performed with the glutathione S-transferase (P28) of the parasite *Schistosoma mansoni* (Capron et al. 1995). The P28 antigen has been efficiently expressed in *L. lactis*, separately and also in fusion with TTFC. The immunogenicity of these antigens has been proven by *in vivo* experiments (Klijn et al. 1995; Chamberlain et al. 1997).

Lactococci were also shown to be efficient cytokine delivery vehicles. Constitutive expression strains of *L. lactis* that accumulate a TTFC, within the cytoplasmic compartment and also secrete either murine IL-2 or IL-6 were tested (Steidler et al. 1995). Recombinant *L. lactis* strains were shown to produce active interleukins in the culture supernatants at a level of 0.9 mg l\(^{-1}\). Immunization trials with these interleukin-producing strains confirmed strong adjuvant effect only for the modified live strains. The anti-TTFC serum IgG titres were 10- to 15-fold higher and increased more rapidly as compared to the response generated by immunization with strains producing TTFC alone. In contrast, the level of antilactococcal immune responses was not enhanced by co-expression of the cytokines (Walker 1994; Miettinen et al. 1996). Cell localization of the expressed heterologous protein, and the route of administration are also crucial, and often correlate with immune response levels. A study showed that recombinant *L. lactis* strains producing three different types of TTFC protein (intracellular, membrane-anchored, extracellular) were able after subcutaneous administration into mice, without any adjuvant, to evoke protection against lethal toxin challenge (Michon et al. 2016). All three types of localization allowed development of specific immune responses. However, the highest IgG serum antibody titres were obtained with the strain producing TTFC in the cytoplasm. In experiments conducted by Robinson et al. (1997), mice were immunized by oral and intranasal routes. Nasal inoculation of mice with the strain expressing TTFC intracellularly using the lactococcal T7 system led to significant IgG serum antibody response, and 75% protection from lethal challenge with tetanus toxin (20 × LD50). The antibody titres were similar whether live or inactivated (mitomycin C- or formalin-treated) recombinant *L. lactis* cells were used. It was shown that effective immune response can be obtained both in mice immunized orally with *L. lactis* strains producing TTFC at high levels (T7 expression system) or at a 10-fold lower level (pTREX1) (Robinson et al. 1997; Mercenier et al. 2000). TTFC-specific serum antibody responses of both IgG1 and IgG2a isotypes were induced and significantly elevated levels of anti-TTFC IgA antibodies were detected in faeces and gut secretions. Even though the antibody titres were lower than those following nasal immunization, the protective efficacy was similar. Often, high antibody titres detected in ELISA do not find confirmation in neutralizing assays that are based only on specific neutralizing antibodies (Nabs) and reflect the actual protective efficacy of the vaccine.

Apart from pLET, different vectors with constitutively active promoters of low to medium strength have been applied for heterologous gene expression in *L. lactis*. For example, the pTREX1 vector has been used to express TTFC and P28 proteins with efficiency of 1–3% of total cell proteins (Capron et al. 1995). These kind of vectors are suitable for the expression of membrane-associated antigens which exhibit a certain degree of insolubility or toxicity in bacterial cells. Other examples of expression...
systems in L. lactis include the regulated ptcB promoter inserted in the pIL253 vector which was successfully used that in our previous work (Szatraj et al. 2014) for expression of different kinds of viral HA genes. The same vector was applied by Kasarello et al. (2015) during experiments connected with oral administration of L. lactis expressing synthetic genes of myelin antigens. Many researchers rely on the use of the commercially available nisin-inducible expression system (NICE®), which includes a variety of different vectors, adapted for intra- or extracellular protein expression. It is probably the most often used expression system in Gram-positive bacteria nowadays (Kleerebezem et al. 1997).

Certain L. lactis strains exhibit quite good persistence in the GIT of animals, which is an important aspect in oral vaccine development as it can prolong the exposure of particular antigens to specific receptors of GALT. Boguslawaska et al. (2009) reported on the use of a L. lactis strain which exhibited the ability to persist efficiently in the GIT of rats (Boguslawaska et al. 2009). Further examination of the identified L. lactis IBB 477 strain by Radziwill-Bienkowska et al. (2014, 2016) led to determining that its persistence is inextricably connected with adhesive properties. In L. lactis IBB 477, three surface proteins connected with adhesion have been described to date: the chromosomally encoded sex factor aggregation protein CluA, and two plasmid-encoded proteins, serine proteinase PrtP (Radziwill-Bienkowska et al. 2014, 2016) and protein YghE2 displaying pilin characteristics (Meyrand et al. 2013). It seems that the persistence of live bacterial vaccines depends also on the host. For example, some strains have been suggested to be adapted specifically to the GIT of humans, but not to those of other animals (Ozawa et al. 2012). Strains that possess increased adhesive abilities and thus exhibit high persistence (e.g. IBB477) are very attractive in view of mucosally applied vaccines. Nonetheless, it seems that bacterial persistence in the gut is a feature that should be determined for each strain and host separately.

Streptococcus gordonii

Streptococcus gordonii is a member of the endogenic human microflora. It colonizes the oral and vaginal cavities, but persists only transiently in the digestive tract. The naturally competent genetic expression system of heterologous proteins on the surface of Strep. gordonii strain CH1 is called ‘Challis’ (Medaglini et al. 1995, 1997; Pouwels et al. 1998). Its basis relies on the chromosomal integration of recombinant DNA encoding the vaccine antigen fused with the M6 surface protein of Streptococcus pyogenes. The system allows for release of the antigen to the medium or its presentation in the anchored form. Recombinant proteins ranging in size from 15 to 441 amino acids have been effectively produced on the surface of Strep. gordonii cells, including the E7 protein of human papilloma virus type 16, the V3 domain of HIV-1 gp120, allergen from the hornet venom, ovalbumin, surface proteins (F and H) of the measles virus, the B subunit of the heat labile toxin (LTB) of E. coli (Salminen et al. 1996). An interesting feature of the system is that a recombinant strain can express at the cell surface two different antigens. Conducted experiments revealed that use of such strain in single dose (in a range of 107–109 CFU) led to stable colonization in the oropharyngeal mucosa of animals. Moreover, antigen expression was stable in vitro and antigen-specific local (IgA) and systemic (IgG) antibodies were produced. The effectiveness of Strep. gordonii colonization was shown to be related with the observed immune response (Moineau and Goulet 1991; Tizard 2000). Intragastric immunization with strains producing LTB was the only one described so far (Moineau and Goulet 1991; Havenith et al. 2002). In contrast to oropharyngeal mucosa, it was shown that Strep. gordonii cells did not colonize the intestinal mucosa, but they did induce serum IgG and faecal IgA. Subsequent results indicated that phagocytosis of Strep. gordonii activates DC. This is a great advantage as those cells represent efficient antigen-presenting cells, and are responsible for generating primary T-cell responses. Despite promising results, indicating the potential role of Strep. gordonii-based system for live vaccine vector development, there are still some serious safety issues to be solved before licensing it for human/animal use. Firstly, the vector was formerly classified as Streptococcus sanguis and the M6 protein originating from Streptococcus pyogenes for a long time has been considered as a virulence determinant. Moreover, the system can evoke chromosomal integration of a gene fusion together with an associated drug resistance marker what constitutes an additional disadvantage (Mercenier et al. 2000). In spite of that, this is still the most common system used for designing live vaccines based on Strep. gordonii (Ricci et al. 2000; Sharma et al. 2001; Kotloff et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2013). Other selection markers, such as the cadmium resistance gene, were also investigated in Strep. thermophilus. Yet, the usefulness of this system can be doubted since it necessitates the use of a harmful and toxic compound (cadmium) (Peterbauer et al. 2011). It is without doubts that a variety of safety issues need to be resolved before Strep. gordonii-based vaccines can be licensed for human or animal use. For sure, other less controversial solutions, lacking unwarranted markers or sequences, need to be developed.
Lactobacillus

Lactobacilli are known as safe bacteria possessing a number of properties that render them highly suitable for delivering many different compounds to the mucosa. The immunomodulating capacity of lactobacilli together with the possibility of targeted antigen production at specific sites of the bacterial cell seems to be an attractive feature. Two types of Lactobacillus strains: 'commensal' and 'dietary', may be taken into account as a potential vaccine vehicles. Commensal strains are expected to combine health-promoting properties with the ability to adhere in the area of the oral cavity, stomach, intestine, vagina and urethra. Dietary strains are mainly used in the milk industry as starters for production of fermented milk, meat or vegetable products. It should be taken for consideration that different strains vary in their immunomodulatory characteristic, what can have a great impact on their intrinsic vaccine potential. Especially, their capacity to adhere to the relevant epithelial surfaces is an important property. Adhesion can be mediated through direct adherence to the antigen sampling cells (M-cells, epithelial cells, mucus), or through aggregation with resident bacteria. The second strategy leads to intense competition with the endogenous bacterial community, what can result in prolonged antigen exposure. Both in vitro and in vivo models have been used to select or screen for adherent Lactobacillus strains (Pouwels et al. 1998). Gathered data indicate that colonization is host- and tissue- or even site-specific. It is unlikely that one particular strain will constitute an ideal vector to deliver many different compounds to the mucosa. The immunomodulating capacity of lactobacilli together with the possibility of targeted antigen production at specific sites of the bacterial cell seems to be an attractive feature. Two types of Lactobacillus strains: 'commensal' and 'dietary', may be taken into account as a potential vaccine vehicles. Commensal strains are expected to combine health-promoting properties with the ability to adhere in the area of the oral cavity, stomach, intestine, vagina and urethra. Dietary strains are mainly used in the milk industry as starters for production of fermented milk, meat or vegetable products. It should be taken for consideration that different strains vary in their immunomodulatory characteristic, what can have a great impact on their intrinsic vaccine potential. Especially, their capacity to adhere to the relevant epithelial surfaces is an important property. Adhesion can be mediated through direct adherence to the antigen sampling cells (M-cells, epithelial cells, mucus), or through aggregation with resident bacteria. The second strategy leads to intense competition with the endogenous bacterial community, what can result in prolonged antigen exposure. Both in vitro and in vivo models have been used to select or screen for adherent Lactobacillus strains (Pouwels et al. 1998). Gathered data indicate that colonization is host- and tissue- or even site-specific. It is unlikely that one particular strain will constitute an ideal vector to deliver antigens to different hosts or to different mucosal cavities within the same host (Medaglini et al. 1997). A study performed on nonrecombinant, chromosomally marked (Rif², Sm²) lactic acid bacterial strains: Lactobacillus fermentum KLD, Lact. plantarum NCIMB 8826 and Lact. salivarius UCC 433118, given orally to human volunteers as a fermented milk product, showed that the two latter strains were far more superior in their ability to survive the passage through the stomach. These strains were able to reach quite high viable counts in the ileum, what is advantageous in regards to the localization of Peyer’s patches (Merce- nier et al. 2000). It seems that the best strategy of selecting the most efficient colonizer strain is by direct isolation of the candidate strain from the targeted host. Some strains of human or murine origin were shown to be effective in colonizing at least two body cavities of the mouse animal model. Lactobacillus paracasei LtTG51.4 (vaginal murine isolate) and Lact. plantarum NCIMB 8826 (human saliva isolate) were determined to persist in the gut or the vagina for over a week (Salminen et al. 1996). Choice of the most favourable Lactobacillus strain is also linked with strong strain-specificity of the
allowed for high expression levels of gp50, TTFC and GFP (Green Fluorescent Protein from *Aequorea victoria*). Since the antigen production level can be controlled by conditions of induction, it is possible to investigate the effect of antigen quantity on the level and duration of the specific immune response. The GFP+ strains that do not require addition of exogenous substrate or co-factor to emit fluorescence represent an ideal tool to perform such studies *in vitro*. Their usefulness has been confirmed *in vivo* (phagocytosis by macrophages) and *in vivo* (intragastric and intranasal administration to mice) (Geoffroy *et al*. 2000). Use of GFP markers is also applied to track the survival of strains in the environment. Although the function of lactobacilli as adjuvants or carriers was established early on, the immunogenicity of recombinant strains by the intraperitoneal route was demonstrated relatively recently. Latest experiments carried within the LABVAC network confirmed that after nasal administration all lactobacilli producing TTFC at the level of a few percent of the total cellular protein content, including the *Lact. plantarum* NCIMB 8826 integrant, induced production of serum IgG and local IgA (Mercenier *et al*. 2000). A controlled comparison of TTFC-producing LAB strains by the oral route has been undertaken as well. The ongoing works include selection of strains appropriate for human use, identification of adhesion factors and use of S-layers for antigen presentation (Mercenier *et al*. 1996).

**Doubts**

The Food and Drug Administration acknowledges LAB as food-grade bacteria with GRAS status, meaning they are safe for use in humans and animals. Nonetheless, live vaccine strains based on these micro-organisms should not be considered as avirulent. That is why an increasing number of projects aim to assess the biosafety of LAB. After polyphasic taxonomic identification, biosafety of these microorganisms for human or animal application should be generally assessed by: detection of antibiotic resistance and horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistance genes, detection of known and new virulence properties, evaluation of immunological adverse effects, and the survival, colonization, and genetic stability in the human gut. *Lactococcus lactis* and lactobacilli are generally classified to Biosafety Level 1 (BSL-1), while *Strep. gordonii* is classified to BL-2 class. LAB strains used as live vector vaccines should be handled according to official guidelines and procedures available, for example, on the CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention) Website.

The use of genetically modified organisms always raises concerns about their uncontrolled persistence and spread in the environment. Thus, transfer of antibiotic selection markers or other genetic sequences between microbes cannot be ignored, especially when many efficient methods that improve adaptation skills, such as conjugation, transformation, retromobilization or transduction have been identified. Toomey *et al*. (2010) examined the involvement of *Enterococcus faecalis* and *L. lactis*, in spreading of resistance determinants between other LAB and such pathogenic strains as *E. coli*, *Listeria* spp., *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Salmonella* spp. While no resistance transfer was noted for the first two strains, transfer of erythromycin resistance to *Listeria* spp. from the donor strains was determined. Additionally, a high frequency of erythromycin and tetracycline-resistance transfer was observed between LAB species. Also, many strains of lactobacilli are naturally resistant to vancomycin, which may evoke questions about the potential transfer. However, vancomycin resistance genes of *Lactobacillus* species are chromosomally located and cannot be easily transmitted to other genera (Tynkkynen *et al*. 1998). Additionally, vancomycin is excluded from treatment of lactobacillemia to minimize the occurrence of nonsusceptible strains to this antibiotic and further spread of resistance onto other bacteria.

Much attention is paid to the development of different selection markers that meet the requirements specified by food-relevant definitions. The two main groups of selection markers replacing the routinely used selection for antibiotic resistance can be classified into dominant (active) and complementation (passive) selection approaches (Peterbauer *et al*. 2011; He *et al*. 2012). Active containment is based on the conditional genetic control of either activation of a compound or repression of an essential gene. Passive containment is based mainly on complementation of an auxotrophy or other gene defect by supplementation with either the intact gene or the essential metabolite. Dominant markers do not rely on host-specific genes and therefore they are widely applicable and can often be adapted in several different LAB species. For example, the *nsr* gene, encoding a hydrophobic protein that provides resistance to nisin, was one of the first genes used for alternative dominant selection. The nisin immunity gene *nisI* and the *lafI* gene, encoding immunity to class II bacteriocin – lactacin F, were also successfully used as selection markers in heterologous hosts. More recently, a dominant selection marker based on the bile salt hydrolase gene, *bsh*, from *Lact. plantarum* was reported (Jarocki *et al*. 2014). Another example of dominant selection exploit genes involved in the utilization of rare and unusual sugars like: d-xylose catabolism encoding genes (*xylRAB*), α-galactosidase gene, sucrose genes *scrA* and *scrB*.

The second type of food-grade markers is based on complementation selection that involves the selectable host/vector system. The system is based on
The most known mutations are: nonsense mutation in the purine biosynthetic pathway combined with a nonsense suppressor (ochre suppressor gene supB), deletion of an internal fragment of the chromosomal alr gene, mutation in the thyA gene, mutations in the chromosomal lactose operon of various LAB. Complementation systems are often bacteriostatic rather than bactericidal. Among them, two systems with potential human application can be distinguished. The first one is based on alanine racemase mutants that require d-Ala, and can be generated in a large number of LAB strains. The second one relies on a thymidine synthase (thyA) mutant of L. lactis. In a potential situation when the genetically modified strain would be released into the environment, the transgene will be eliminated from the genome and the strain will revert to the wild-type. In L. lactis for example, Steidler et al. (2000), Steidler (2003) replaced thyA with a synthetic human IL-10 transgene, what resulted in creation of the Thy12 strain. After validation studies in pigs, this strain has been approved in the Netherlands for experimental therapy in humans with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). It would be of great interest to determine the specificities of double mutants that could offer additional benefits over a single thyA mutation, such as increased antigen expression in the presence of two transgene copies, redundancy with respect to biological containment, and the applicability of the mutant using different kind of transgenes (Steidler et al. 2000; Steidler 2003).

A special subgroup of food-grade host/vector systems are inducible gene expression systems like: the NICE (NIsin-Controlled Expression) system, systems based on quorum sensing, pSIP vectors developed based on promoters and regulatory genes of the class II bacteriocin – sakacin A (sap gene cluster), or sakacin P (spp gene cluster). The possibilities of selecting an adequate expression vector and selection marker are diverse; however, the final steps towards food-grade systems, such as the removal or replacement of undesired parts of the expression vectors, have not yet been reported (Peterbauer et al. 2011; He et al. 2012).

Another issue that should be addressed in designing administration schemes of live vaccines (especially via the oral route) is the phenomenon of mucosal tolerance (Mowat and Weiner 1999). Oral tolerance is the state of local and systemic immune unresponsiveness that is induced by oral administration of an antigen. Systemic hyporesponsiveness has also been induced by administration of antigens via the nasal route. At the level of molecular mechanisms, the proper balance between CD4+ and CD8+ should be maintained in order to elicit an effective immune response (Dubois et al. 2003). Rise solely in CD8+ can lead to inflammation, while increase of CD4+ will result in overstimulation and appearance of the mucosal tolerance.

It appears that induction of mucosal tolerance and mucosal immunity occurs at the same site in the gut and other mucosal lymphoid sites. In some cases, mucosal tolerance can serve as a very beneficial function (Ogra et al. 2001). There are some diseases for which oral immunization has been shown to suppress autoimmune responses, e.g. rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis, experimental autoimmune encephalitis, myelitis, uveoretinitis and diabetes mellitus.

Information on clinical trials connected with LAB vaccines is limited. A biological containment strategy is a prerequisite for marketing live bacterial vaccine vectors and its lack is the major reason for restrictions in development of this field. However, the system established in L. lactis used for therapeutic delivery of human IL-10 has already undergone phase II clinical trials for the treatment of IBD (Steidler 2003). This recombinant L. lactis strain was termed ‘Actobiotics’, and is being developed for clinical use by ‘ActoGenix’ company. In spite of some serious safety issues, also Strep. gordonii has been used in a phase I clinical trial to demonstrate that the organism can be safely administered to humans by the nasal/oral route (Kotloff et al. 2005). Still, development of new stable auxotrophic LAB strains that are not able to replicate in the human body and can safely be used even in immune compromised individuals is highly desirable.

**Research networking**

The selection of colonizing vs noncolonizing vaccine species or strains is a crucial step in designing vaccines. On one side, in vivo synthesis of the antigen by bacteria persisting at the desired mucosal surface should efficiently stimulate the immune system due to prolonged exposure to the antigen. On the other hand, noncolonizing LAB can act as live microparticles preloaded with the antigen, which release it during transit in the gut. It is not possible to univocally predict which system is better according to optimal antigen presentation, a parameter which is known to affect its immunogenicity. Strains able to efficiently colonize humans need to be selected on the basis of safety, physiological and metabolic criteria. Vaccine strains should be genetically stable to allow expression of protective epitopes in different cellular locations. From the immunological point of view, analysis of the immune response, the nature and the intensity according to the mode of antigen presentation, the immunization route and the nature of the bacterial vector should be well characterized as it is essential for the final immune-protective effect.
Two research networks (contracts BIO2-CT94-3055 and BIO4-CT96-0542) focused on a common model system have been organized to examine the potential of three LAB as vaccine vehicles: *L. lactis* (a prototype of a noncolonizing strain), lactobacilli (colonizing bacteria) and *Strep. gordonii* (an oral commensal bacterium with a stable antigen presentation system) (Mercenier et al. 2000). The mentioned project concentrated on examining production of antigens of bacterial and viral origin by these three bacteria. The first antigen used was the TTFC, a 47 kDa nontoxic polypeptide with the ganglioside-binding domain. It is a useful model antigen due to its well-established immunogenicity and the availability of a lethal mouse challenge model (Fairweather et al. 1987). It allows to effectively evaluate the capability of a mucosal delivery system to elicit systemic humoral immune response. The second examined antigen was the gp50 protein of the porcine pseudorabies virus (Aujeszky’s disease virus, ADV). This pathogen infects animals through the respiratory tract. The best way for its inactivation is induction of mucosal immune responses. This approach was designed to evaluate the potential of the three selected LAB to target viral mucosa-associated diseases. Additionally, challenging mice or pigs with ADV will enable evaluating the bioactivity of the induced antibody responses (Mercenier et al. 2000). Thanks to the information gathered in frame of the project, knowledge about characteristics and properties of the three examined LAB species for mucosal vaccination has been updated, unified and organized.

**Conclusions**

Lactic acid bacteria-based delivery and expression systems can be considered as promising tools for efficient production of antigens and many other, biologically active compounds. Crucial aspects that should be taken into account when designing an effective mucosal vaccine are manifold. Despite numerous studies using LAB as potential vaccine vehicles, it is necessary to broaden the knowledge about the immune effects of local mucosal application of this group of bacteria and the antigen levels they may produce. Development of effective mucosally administrated vaccines is also inextricably linked to the understanding of the immune response mechanisms and the cellular and molecular pathways involved in its control. More comprehensive knowledge about the type of induced cells and immune responses, the role of cytokines or phagocytic functions would be helpful. Currently, hopes are directed at new systems for production of immunogenic antigens that are targeted to specific areas, cells or even receptors. The development of recombinant LAB vaccines is in its early stages. Fortunately, specific immunological experiments, new recombinant strains and vectors continue to be constructed and described in detail what can lead in the near future to standardization of LAB vectors in vaccine production.
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