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Recent clinical practice has found that the spike-wave discharge (SWD) scopes of absence seizures change from small cortical region to large thalamocortical networks, which has also been proved by theoretical simulation. The best biophysics explanation is that there are interactions between coupled cortico-thalamic and thalamocortical circuits. To agree with experiment results and describe the phenomena better, we constructed a coupled thalamocortical model with bidirectional channel (CTMBC) to account for the causes of absence seizures which are connected by the principle of two-way communication of neural pathways. By adjusting the coupling strength of bidirectional pathways, the spike-wave discharges are reproduced. Regulatory mechanism for absence seizures is further applied to CTMBC via four different targeted therapy schemes, such as deep brain stimulation (DBS), charge-balanced biphasic pulse (CBBP), coordinated reset stimulation (CRS) 1:0, and (CRS) 3:2. The new CTMBC model shows that neurodiversity in bidirectional interactive channel could supply theory reference for the bidirectional communication mode of thalamocortical networks and the hypothesis validation of pathogenesis.

1. Introduction

Absence seizures characterized by spike-wave activity were first discovered in 1941 by the electroencephalograms (EEGs) of patients [1], whose frequency is shown at a range of approximately 2–4 Hz [2]. The classic symptoms of seizures are anxiety and depression compromising quality of patient life. Absence seizures are particularly prevalent among young people [3]. It is a heavy affliction tortured by clouding of consciousness and temporary disturbance of consciousness when patients are at the onset of a seizure [4, 5]. Complex brain dynamics [6, 7] may cause the rhythmic spike-wave discharges of neurological disorders due to the exceptional transmissions between cerebral cortex and thalamus [8, 9]. Recent theoretical researches on this neurological disorder have also confirmed the above points in the coupled thalamocortical model and drilled down to deeper levels of induced mechanism of SWD [10–12].

In the aspect of modeling, the spatially extended neural field model has become a hot area of research in recent years [13–15]. The model embodies the dynamics of cerebral cortex incorporating stereotactic space and distance [16–18]. Due to their coupling interactions with each other, dynamical behaviors of firing neurons can be reproduced, which can be regarded as a reference model of macroscopic absence seizures in epilepsy. The original model containing four...
neurons in the space of brain has been proposed in [19]. The coupled thalamocortical model consisting of two different coupled compartments with short-range connection has been reported in [20]. A basal ganglia corticothalamic (BG-CT) model has been expanded via replacing basal ganglia by a 2:3O feedback modulator [21]. Until now, the spatiotemporal characteristics have not been fully exploited. Therefore, it is necessary to construct a theoretical model to explore a wide range of pathogenic possibilities. However, it remains uncertain whether or not absence seizures exist in coupled thalamocortical model with interactional channel.

In the aspect of neurostimulation, countries in the whole world are making substantial progress in seeking access to epilepsy seizure therapies [22–24]. For patients with drug-resistant epilepsy [25, 26], deep brain stimulation (DBS) [27–29] and coordinated reset stimulation (CRS) [30–32] are two control schemes most widely used in the treatment of neurological disorders. Both candidates have their pros and cons in controlling energy consumption and side effects: the advantage of DBS itself is that epileptic circuitry is effectively blocked under successive strong stimuli against focus areas, while the successive strong stimuli are highly energy consuming and tissue-destructive; the admirable point of CRS is that multiple parts of the brain can be added brief pulse trains with small side effects despite the drawback of being slow. Subsequently, a new of treatment scheme named periodic charge-balanced biphasic pulse (CBBP) is proposed by combining both control schemes above [33, 34]. It is valuable to test which therapeutic stimulation plan is preponderant in achieving the best therapeutic effect [35–38], although it is still an open question as for the optimal scheme of improving cure rates and reducing CTMBC risks. Therefore, it is necessary to select a neurostimulation with less side effect and energy consumption.

To sum up, a coupled thalamocortical network evolved from a neural field model is composed mainly of four neuronal populations with unidirectional information transfer. Inspired by these excellent results, a large amount of unknown space is found in coupled thalamocortical model with interactional channel [39, 40]. To reveal bidirectional interactive transmit, an extended theoretical model should be established to investigate the mechanism of induced epilepsy seizures in interactional channel macroscopically. Therefore, to further show multichannel transmission, a coupled bidirectional cortico-thalamic model constituted by eight neuronal populations with unidirectional connection structure and is expanded from the coupled cortico-thalamic model. Here, we address the above unsolved issues on the previous classical coupled models. Peculiarly, we develop a coupled cortico-thalamic model by viewing unidirectional channel as a bidirectional channel. The CTMBC led to the occurrence of absence seizures induced by interactional channel and the discovery of focal area of epilepsy. Corresponsively, four targeted therapy schemes including DBS, CBBP, CRS1:0, and CRS3:2 are added to the focal area to resist epilepsy.

In this paper, we focus on the regulatory mechanism of coupled thalamocortical model with bidirectional interactive channel. The next section describes the coupled thalamocortical model and four therapeutic plans in detail, including DBS, CBBP, CRS 1:0, and CRS 3:2. The absence seizures under double-directional transmission and the optimal treatment plan are obtained in Section 3. Finally, the results of modeling and simulation are presented in Section 4.

2. The Principle of Connection and Schemes of Treatment

There are four types of neuronal populations shown in the original Taylor model [19], which are comprised of thalamic reticular nucleus (TRN) and specific relay nucleus (SRN) in the subcortical pathway and inhibitory interneuronal population (IN) and pyramidal neuronal population (PY) in the cortex, where pathological SWD activity is reproduced and a single pulse stimulation is given to control epileptic seizures. To explore how the cortico-cortical connectivities affected different macroscopic dynamical phenomena, some modified models were extended in spatial pathways [17, 18, 20, 21]. Because different organizations transfer in different ways, the unidirectional connection from the thalamus to the cerebral cortex between module I and module II was not considered, which is shown in Figure 1(a). The extended model composed by two coupled module circuits can reveal SWD oscillation of epilepsy. The arrow and round headlines represent the excitatory and inhibitory projections from glutamate and GABA_A receptors, respectively. The midrange and bidirectional connections are adopted in cortex and subcortical circuits between two different coupled modules.

In order to explore the disease mechanism of absence seizures, we construct a bidirectional channel model to simulate the neural kinetic processes in coupled cortico-thalamic thalamocortical circuits, namely, coupled module I and module II. The schematic of coupled model with bidirectional channel has been displayed in Figure 1(b). Double arrow lines and double round headlines denote the same bidirectional excitatory and inhibitory projections, respectively. Single arrow lines and single round lines at the opposite ends of the projections denote different interactional channels. The coupled model has two modules, consisting of a cerebral cortex and a subcortical circuit, which systematically divide the internal space into eight populations, i.e., PY_i and IN_i in the cerebral circuits and SRN_i and TRN_i in the subcortical circuits (i = 1, 2). There are two main forms of interneuronal population: excitatory and inhibitory. The former originates from SR N_i and PY_i, and the latter TRN_i and IN_i (i = 1, 2). Our modified model establishes a two-module coupled cortico-thalamic network with bidirectional path to explore absence seizures and macroscopic nonlinear kinetics characteristics. The set of coupled model breaks up
In the coupled module I and module II, there are four excitatory projections including pyramidal neuronal PY1, PY2 from the cerebral cortex and specific relay nucleus SRN1, and SRN2 from the thalamus. Analogously, there are four inhibitory projections including interneuronal IN1, IN2 from the cerebral cortex and thalamic reticular nucleus TRN1, and TRN2 from the thalamus. The eight populations pass the transaction information to each other by different interactional channels.

The coupled thalamocortical model (CTM) with bidirectional channel is described as shown below:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dPY_1}{dt} &= (\epsilon_{py} - PY_1 + h_1Q[PY_1] - h_2Q[IN_1] + h_3Q[SRN_1])\tau_1 + \frac{h_4}{6}Q[PY_2] - \frac{h_5}{6}Q[IN_2], \\
\frac{dPY_2}{dt} &= (\epsilon_{py} - PY_2 + h_1Q[PY_2] - h_2Q[IN_2] + h_3Q[SRN_2])\tau_1 + \frac{h_4}{6}Q[PY_1] - \frac{h_5}{6}Q[IN_1], \\
\frac{dIN_1}{dt} &= (\epsilon_{in} - IN_1 + h_4Q[PY_1])\tau_2 + \frac{h_6}{6}Q[PY_2], \\
\frac{dIN_2}{dt} &= (\epsilon_{in} - IN_2 + h_4Q[PY_2])\tau_2 + \frac{h_6}{6}Q[PY_1], \\
\frac{dSRN_1}{dt} &= (\epsilon_{srn} - SRN_1 + h_5Q[PY_1] - h_6K[TRN_1])\tau_3 - \frac{h_7}{6}K[TRN_2], \\
\frac{dSRN_2}{dt} &= (\epsilon_{srn} - SRN_2 + h_5Q[PY_2] - h_6K[TRN_2])\tau_3 - \frac{h_7}{6}K[TRN_1], \\
\frac{dTRN_1}{dt} &= (\epsilon_{trn} - TRN_1 + h_7Q[PY_1] + h_8K[SRN_1] - h_9K[TRN_1])\tau_4 + \frac{h_{10}}{6}K[SRN_2] - \frac{h_6}{6}K[TRN_2] + \beta_1(t)u(t), \\
\frac{dTRN_2}{dt} &= (\epsilon_{trn} - TRN_2 + h_7Q[PY_2] + h_8K[SRN_2] - h_9K[TRN_2])\tau_4 + \frac{h_{10}}{6}K[SRN_1] - \frac{h_6}{6}K[TRN_1] + \beta_2(t)u(t).
\end{align*}
\]

In the coupled module I and module II, there are four excitatory projections including pyramidal neuronal PY1, PY2 from the cerebral cortex and specific relay nucleus SRN1, and SRN2 from the thalamus. Analogously, there are four inhibitory projections including interneuronal IN1, IN2 from the cerebral cortex and thalamic reticular nucleus TRN1, and TRN2 from the thalamus. The eight populations pass the transaction information to each other by different interactional channels.
The equations $Q[.]$ and $K[.]$ defined as follows are activation factors [22]:

\[
\begin{align*}
Q[x] &= \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}, \\
K[y] &= ay + b,
\end{align*}
\]

where $x = PY_{i}$ ($i = 1, 2$), $IN_{i}$ ($i = 1, 2$), $SRN_{i}$ ($i = 1, 2$), and $TRN_{i}$ ($i = 1, 2$), and $y = SRN_{i}$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $TRN_{i}$ ($i = 1, 2$). The $b$ is a constant. The parameters $\varepsilon$ and $a$ mean the steepness of two activation functions, where $a = 2.8$, $b = 0.5$, and $\varepsilon = 250000$ in this paper, respectively. The axons of different neuronal populations have different radiation ranges, which can be roughly classified into three categories: short-range transmission, long-range transmission, and distant excitatory transmission. Three kinds of coupling strength are $h_{i}/3$, $h_{i}/6$, and $h_{i}/9$ in turn. In the CTMBC, there are two coupled modules, eight neuronal populations, and six two-way interactional channels connecting module I and module II. The intermodule coupling strength of long-range transmission is $h_{i}/6$ to ensure effective connection in the same cerebral cortex areas and thalamic areas. In the cerebral cortex areas of module I and module II, $h_{i}/6$ is a bidirectional excitatory projection, and $h_{i}/6$ and $h_{i}/6$ are bidirectional excitatory-inhibitory projections. In the thalamic areas of module I and module II, $h_{i}/6$ and $h_{i}/6$ are bidirectional excitatory-inhibitory projections, and $h_{i}/6$ is a bidirectional inhibitory projection.

The proposed model is composed of 16 nerve neurons, which are functionally connected to each other and coupled to other parts of the brain. Generally, the above three transmissions are the three main ways to connect coupled compartments whose transmission conditions are quite complex and transmission ways are many and varied. The short-range connection $h/3$ has been widely studied, and its dynamic properties have been given in previous studies, while the dynamic properties of long-range connection $h/6$ are unknown. In particular, the connection distance is relatively far in the process of neuronal interaction. In order to connect coupled adjacent areas, the axons of neuronal population should long enough to affect the distant neurons. We just consider long-range connection $h/6$ described as connection strength which can affect cerebral cortex and thalamus between two coupled compartments, respectively. Therefore, researching the coupled thalamocortical model with bidirectional channels by long-range connection $h/6$ has higher theory value and practical significance.

During the process of calculation, a sigmoid activation term located the thalamic circuit $Q[x] = 1/(1 + e^{-x})$ can be approximated by the linear type $K[y] = ay + b$. It is shown that the approximation is available and that the linear range result is in agreement with theoretical one tested by Taylor et al. [19]. Multiple control schemes shown in Figure 2 are added for the treatment of SWD. $u(t)$ represents the DBS therapeutic plan, and ICRS ($t$) represents the CRS therapeutic plan. The main difference between the control strategies of DBS and CRS 1:0 is that the former simultaneously exert stimulation to $TRN_{i}$ in the same thalamic areas of module I and module II, and the latter alternately exert stimulation to $TRN_{i}$, beginning with $TRN_{1}$. The control strategy of CRS 3:2 is an upgraded and controllable schemes compared to CRS 1:0. Alternate property beginning with $TRN_{1}$ remains unchanged, but controllable property changes from nonstop alternate stimulation to on-off alternate stimulation, alternately spending stimulating $TRN_{1}$ and $TRN_{2}$ for three cycles and stopping stimulating for two cycles.

In the DBS therapeutic plan, a periodic step function is the principle of operation DBS described as follows [28] (see Figure 2(a)):

\[
u(t) = \alpha \times H\left(\sin \left(\frac{2\pi}{\rho} t\right)\right) \left(1 - H\left(\sin \left(\frac{2\pi(t + \delta)}{\rho}\right)\right)\right),
\]

where an effective stimulus duration $\delta$ is a positive input pulse; parameters $\alpha$ and $\rho$ are the stimulation amplitude and period; $H$ denotes Heaviside step function. The value of instantaneous frequency $f$ is $1/\rho$. To be effective in reducing the risk of absence seizures, by a contrastive analysis, suitable values of stimulation amplitude, frequency, and positive input pulse for the treatment are selected as $\alpha = 2$ mA, $f = 130$ Hz, and $\delta = 4$ ms, respectively.

The CBBP therapeutic plan has anodic pulse (AP), cathodic pulse (CP), and a rectangular waveform with adjustable duration, described as follows [34] (see Figure 2(b)):

\[
u_{CBBP}(t) = \begin{cases} 
\delta, & PT \leq |t| \leq PT + \delta, \\
-\delta & T - \delta, & PT + \delta \leq |t| \leq PT + T,
\end{cases}
\]

where $T$ and $\delta$ are the period and duration of pulse current, $P \in N$.

In the ICRS ($t$) therapeutic plan, the $m : n$ ON-OFF CRS signal added to $TRN_{i}$ ($i = 1, 2$) can be expressed as follows [32] (see Figures 2(c) and 2(d)):

\[
I_{CRS}(t) = \beta_{1}(t)u(t) + \beta_{2}(t)u(t),
\]

where stimulation microelectrodes $\beta_{1}(t)$ and $\beta_{2}(t)$ are the stimulus functions. $\beta_{1,2}(t) = 1$ and 0 mean start stimulation and end stimulation to epileptogenic focus $TRN_{1}$ and $TRN_{2}$.

In order to obtain the optimal therapeutic plan, the judgment criteria on cure rates and energy consumption should be considered after adding stimulation. In search of an optimal treatment way round the SWD problem, four different stimulation strategies are added to epileptogenic focus. A set of evaluation indices is adopted to compare the advantages and disadvantages of the four strategies, especially indices on the percentage reduction in the number of absence seizures and energy consumption. The root mean
square (RMS) is considered to calculate the electrical current stimuli values of ICRS \((t)\) and \(u(t)\) defined as follows [20]:

\[
I_{\text{RMS}}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \|\bullet\|_2, (\bullet = u(t), I_{\text{CRS}}(t), u_{\text{CBBP}}(t)), \tag{9}
\]

where \(\|\bullet\|_2\) represents the two norms of the currents \(u(t)\), \(I_{\text{CRS}}(t)\), and \(u_{\text{CBBP}}(t)\), respectively. \(N\) is total time steps.

Most of the parameters used in the CTMBC are in consistency with that of the original experimental studies. The long-range transmission parameters of six two-way interactional channels connecting module I and module II to ensure effective connection in the same cerebral cortex areas and thalamus areas are estimated in numerical studies. Compared to previous studies, the complexity of the model is that the corticothalamic equation set of module I has more coupled terms which are the feedback from module II. Based on the existing results, the coupled thalamocortical model with bidirectional channel is studied deeply in the paper by means of bifurcation simulation, state evolution and frequency analysis, and practical comparison calculating in a relatively simple manner but enough to show the validity and innovation of the model. All simulations are performed up to 30 seconds and the data values from 10 to 30 seconds are employed for statistic analysis. For each numerical setting, 20 independent simulations with different random initial values are carried out to obtain true results, and the averaged result is presented as the final result in the paper. The dynamical differential equations of the CTMBC are solved via the standard fourth-order Runge–Kutta method. All the numerical calculations in the paper are verified in the MATLAB R2019a (MathWorks, USA) simulation environment. All the temporal resolution of numerical integration is 0.25 ms. The integration step is 0.25.

### 3. Numerical Results

#### 3.1. TRN Activation Regulating State Transitions.

Previous studies have confirmed that the TRN is core cell relating to the firing of absence seizures both in a single corticothalamic model and coupled model [19–21]. To know whether the same principle also exists in the extended CTMBC, bifurcation analysis for the two coupling strengths \(h_7\) and \(h_8\) is shown, respectively. Above numerous results were gathered to establish proven findings that TRN activation induces absence seizures. Therefore, it is necessary to analyze the relationship between TRN activation and caused absence seizures in our coupled thalamocortical model with bidirectional channel. The TRN activation is closely correlated with tristate of cerebral cortex consisting of excitatory pyramidal neuronal (PY) population and inhibitory interneuronal (IN) population. Therefore, the mean field potential \(0.5(\text{PY} + \text{IN})\) of superimposing above two populations is practical analysis. In our CTMBC, \(0.5(\text{PY}_1 + \text{IN}_1)\) in module I is selected as the main focus to show different dynamical states (see Figures 3(b–d) and 3(f–h)) by bifurcation analysis.

Increasing the coupling strengths, \(h_7\) and \(h_8\) have a double effect on promoting TRN\(_1\) activation. In order to display this characteristic, the bifurcation analysis of \(0.5(\text{PY}_1 + \text{IN}_1)\) as a function of \(h_7\) is presented from two directions, low \(h_8\) coupling strength and high \(h_8\) coupling strength. In the low value \(h_8 = 9.2\) case, when the coupling strengths \(h_7\) and \(h_8\) are small, the TRN\(_1\) activation is very low to suppress SRN\(_1\) activation leading to excitatory firing applied to the...
Figure 3: One-dimensional bifurcation diagram. (a) Three dynamical state areas including high saturated state (I), the simple oscillation state (II), and the SWD oscillation state (III). The time series of 0.5\((PY_1 + IN_1)\) with different \(h_7\) are shown in (b–d), respectively; (b–d) \(h_7 = (1.5, 2.1, 2.5)\) and \(h_8 = 9.2\) are set. (c) Three dynamical state areas including the simple oscillation state (II), the SWD oscillation state (III), and the low firing state (IV). The dynamical evolution of the above three dynamical states are shown in (f–h), respectively; (f–h) \(h_7 = (1.5, 2.1, 2.5)\) and \(h_8 = 10.2\) are selected (color figure online).
cerebral cortex inducing the high saturated state. With the strength $h_{2}$ increased, more and more inhibitory neurons from TRN$_{1}$ to SRN$_{1}$ caused SRN$_{1}$ activation decreasing the firing of cerebral cortex. The firing state changes from the high saturated state to the simple oscillation state. Finally, with further increase of coupling strength $h_{7}$, the TRN$_{1}$ activation is strong enough to suppress the firing of SRN$_{1}$, which can lead to the occurrence of absence seizures also known as SWD. The bifurcation analysis shows three states: lowering firing state, simple oscillation state, and SWD oscillation state. In the high value $h_{8} = 10.2$ case, there are three state transitions compared to the low value $h_{8} = 9.2$ case under the same the variation regions of $h_{8}$, where the high saturated state changes to the simple oscillation state, the simple oscillation state changes to the SWD, and the SWD changes to the lowering firing state. The three transitions illustrate that the larger the $h_{8}$ coupling strength, the more active the TRN$_{1}$ activation is. In other words, remaining $h_{1}$ original range and increasing $h_{8}$ can strengthen TRN$_{1}$ activation working better for the regulation and control of absence seizures.

There are two important characteristics in the original coupled model: short-range transmission and unidirectional connection configurations. Figure 4 displays the comparison effect under different conditions when the transmission strength is $h/6$ and the connection way is unidirectional, and the transmission strength is $h/6$, and the connection way is bidirectional, which show the advantage of design in selecting internal configuration properties. When changing the short-range transmission and keeping unidirectional connection configurations unchanged, one more discharge state was found in state evolution after changing the short-range transmission to $h/6$. When changing two characteristics that are the short-range transmission to long-range transmission and unidirectional connection configurations to bidirectional connection configurations, compared with changing the characteristic of transmission (Figure 4(a)), state diagram shown in Figure 4(c) has a smaller area of SWD than that shown in Figure 4(a). Therefore, by comparison, the main trends of the coupled thalamocortical model were forwarded to long-range transmission and bidirectional connection configurations due to four EEG activities and a smaller area of SWD in the brain.

The change of short-range transmission to long-range transmission can modify the activation level of neurons, which affects state transition. Indeed, we find that the activation level of SRN$_{1}$ is doubly activated by not only the TRN$_{1}$ but also the TRN$_{2}$ through the $h_{7}$ and $h_{8}$ and $h_{8}/6$ pathways. Further, changing the connection configurations can enhance the activation level of SRN$_{1}$ to narrow down the SWD oscillation areas. Meanwhile, the feedback effect of TRN$_{1}$ shown in Figures 1(b) reveals that, for two fixed PY$_{1}$-TRN$_{1}$ and SRN$_{1}$-TRN$_{1}$ pathways, the SWD area of the coupled model within the $2$-$4$ Hz can be shrunk by the coupled feedback of TRN$_{1}$-SRN$_{1}$ from module II (Figure 4(c)). In especial, owing to the activation of SRN$_{1}$ is related to the growth of $h_{8}/6$ pathway, the novel results further indicate that the model exists the better inhibitory effect due to the bidirectional connection configurations, such as 44% SWD area with unidirectional connections (Figure 4(a)) and 42.3% SWD area with bidirectional connections (Figure 4(c)).

From the above discussion, it can be confirmed that the pathways $h_{1}$ and $h_{8}$ can regulate absence seizures. In strong $h_{7}$ region, the SWD suppression is presented by increasing the excitatory pathway SRN–TRN $h_{8}$, suggesting that high active TRN neurons may force seizure termination through the TRN–SRN pathway (see Figure 4(c)). In the strong region of $h_{7}$ and $h_{8}$, such suppression effect is pretty obvious that powerful TRN activation can kick the cortex dynamic state into the low firing region (see Figure 4(c) IV). To further study the combined effect of two excitatory pathways on the regulation of absence seizures, the two-dimensional state and dominant frequency analysis are the best way to show different state regions and corresponding frequencies. In $(h_{7}, h_{8})$ plane, four different state areas are described by different colors in Figures 4(c) and 4(d), which are consistent with the states shown in Figures 3(a) and 3(e). The four different state areas I to IV are filled with four kinds of color, white high saturated state I, red state simple oscillation state II, yellow $2$-$4$ Hz SWD III, and black low firing IV. In particular, the yellow area III whose domain frequency comes within the $2$-$4$ Hz represents SWD oscillation symbolizing the appearance of absence seizures. In general, TRN$_{1}$ activation is a main clue passing through the four different states [41, 42]. Corresponding dominant frequency is displayed by double coordinates in Figures 4(e) and 4(i) to III when $h_{8} = 9.2$ and II to IV when $h_{8} = 10.2$, respectively. The best explanation is that the appearance of four oscillation states in cortical neuronal populations adjusted by inhibitory SRN$_{1}$ transmission affected by GABAA receptors from TRN$_{1}$ which is gradually activated by $h_{7}$ and $h_{8}$. State evolution is influenced by the increasing value of $(h_{7}, h_{8})$. When the new coupled thalamocortical model is with bidirectional channel, four state transitions reflect more pathological regions being better for clinical detection and treatment.

3.2. The Therapeutic Effects of Different Stimulation Strategies. In therapeutic effects, surgical in resection of epileptogenic foci is seldomly used owing to higher risks and severe trauma. Medication treatment for epilepsy is not up to expected effect and has side effect in a certain extent. Therefore, the electrical nerve stimulation of DBS, CBBP, and CRS became the main approaches in treatments for epilepsy. In our model, there are TRN$_{1}$ and TRN$_{2}$ two cores induced epilepsy. However, it is still unknown which stimulation would help patients to realize reducing disease, symptoms, and spread. In this section, we apply four different stimulation plans to TRN populations to evaluate the effect of the treatments in seizure inhibition. The detailed strategies of DBS, CBBP, CRS 1:0, and CRS 3:2 are also displayed in Figures 2(a)–2(d). The size of SWD area in two-dimensional state and dominant frequency analysis plotted in $(h_{7}, h_{8})$ plane are displayed to show control results after adding four different stimulation plans. In particular, in Figures 5(a)–5(d), the white area and red area mean high saturated state and simple oscillation; the yellow region means SWD oscillation representing the pattern of absence seizures; the black area shows...
ollowing firing state. Corresponding frequency analysis is displayed in Figures 5(e)–5(h), respectively.

In contrast to the original 42.3% size of SWD in Figure 4(a), SWD yellow areas, displayed in Figures 5(a)–5(d), have different extent shrink when applying stimulations to TRN. Most notably, an obvious reduction takes place after adding DBS, only 4.3% SWD size after adding DBS lead the pack followed by 18.8% SWD size after adding CBBP, 25.7% SWD size after adding CRS 1:0, and 36.3% SWD size after adding CRS 3:2. The four 2–4 Hz frequency domains of SWD are displayed in Figures 5(e)–5(h). By contrasting the size of SWD region in Figures 4(a) and
Figure 5: Therapeutic effect diagrams among four different stimulations, respectively. Stimulation parameters are amplitude $\alpha = 3$ mA, the positive input pulse $\delta = 0.004$ s, and frequency $f = 130$ Hz. (a, e) Dynamical evolution and corresponding frequency in $(h_7, h_8)$ plane through adding DBS, (b, f) through adding CBBP, (c, g) through adding CRS 1:0, and (d, h) through adding CRS 3:2. The four yellow regions III signify the size of absence seizures in $(h_7, h_8)$ plane (color figure online).
When the above four stimulations applied to TRN, the activation level of TRN is suppressed under the effects of electrical stimulation, and activation level of SRN is gradually activated. The cortical firing states are transformed from SWD state to lowing firing state or simple oscillation state by excitatory effect from SRN on the cerebral cortex. Therefore, four stimulation plans are very effective for the inhibition of absence seizures. Owing to the size of SWD shrunk quite large, the treatment combinations of TRN, and TRN under four plans can be adopted in inhibiting the pathological area of the CTMBC.

In order to compare the best curative effect of the four stimulation plans, we measure two important indexes, SWD percentage reduction and the electric current (EC) expenditure, displayed in Figure 6. The blue bars and the yellow bars mean the SWD percentage reduction and the electric current (EC) expenditure after giving the four kinds of stimulation, respectively (color figure online).

5(a)–5(d), Figures 5(a)–5(d) have four smaller SWD sizes. When the above four stimulations applied to TRN, the activation level of TRN is suppressed under the effects of electrical stimulation, and activation level of SRN is gradually activated. The cortical firing states are transformed from SWD state to lowing firing state or simple oscillation state by excitatory effect from SRN on the cerebral cortex. Therefore, four stimulation plans are very effective for the inhibition of absence seizures. Owing to the size of SWD shrunk quite large, the treatment combinations of TRN, and TRN under four plans can be adopted in inhibiting the pathological area of the CTMBC.

In order to compare the best curative effect of the four stimulation plans, we measure two important indexes, SWD percentage reduction and the electric current (EC) expenditure, displayed in Figure 6. The blue bars and the yellow bars mean the SWD percentage reduction and the electric current (EC) expenditure after giving the four kinds of stimulation, respectively. From Figure 6, the highest SWD reduction ratio was 89.8% in the treatment of DBS compared with other methods. Conversely, there is a reduction of only 14.4% under CRS3:2 stimulation. 55.8% SWD reduction under the CBBP stimulation and 39.5% under CRS1:0 stimulation rank in second and third place. On the other hand, the most and the least energy-efficient are 40.9% EC expenditure under CRS3:2 stimulation and 76.8% EC expenditure under CBBP stimulation, respectively; 51.2% EC expenditure under CRS1:0 stimulation and 71.9% EC expenditure under DBS stimulation rank in second and third place. However, the DBS and CBBP use relatively high stimulation current during the treatment that may have plenty of side effects on the brain. The continuous strong stimuli of DBS and CBBP might disrupt the nervous system. Although DBS and CBBP dramatically reduce the numbers of absence seizures, there are big risks in neurological complications and brain damage. Therefore, in this model, the CRS 1:0 characterized with comprehensiveness and high safety is best suited for epilepsy treatment.

4. Conclusions

Taking advantage of the coupled thalamocortical model and the bidirectional connection, we have investigated how the PY–TRN pathway and the SRN–TRN pathway induce the SWD firing of absence seizures. The four different states have proved that the appearance of state transitions, especially absence seizures in the CTMBC, originates from the change of the coupling strengths of h_{2} and h_{6} on TRN. Combining with previous treatments, the TRN and the TRN are selected as a combined stimulation target in our research. Four different stimulation methods including DBS, CBBP, CRS 1:0, and CRS 3:2 are applied to the TRN and the TRN to explore the best cure by qualitatively comparing and analyzing. The CRS 1:0 reveals high cure rate and low risk for treating seizures in our model. However, the human brain has more intricate connections, more unknown factors, and huge difference than that of the theoretical CTMBC. But the insight of CTMBC may provide clinicians more therapeutic options in absence epilepsy patients. We will further investigate how electromagnetic radiation from memristor promotes the suppression of the SWD [43]. Ultimately, the new CTMBC model is presented in the paper to reproduce and control epileptic seizures by remodeling transmission strengths and connection configurations. Such obtained data might just change how we can explore the underlying outbreak range of the epilepsy and devise suitable neurological treatment schemes.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 11502139).

References

[1] H. H. Jasper and J. Kershman, “Electroencephalographic classification of the epilepsies,” Archives of Neurology and Psychiatry, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 903–943, 1941.
[2] V. Crunelli and N. Leresche, “Childhood absence epilepsy: genes, channels, neurons and networks,” Nature Reviews Neuroscience, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 371–382, 2002.
[3] X. Bai, M. Vestal, R. Berman et al., “Dynamic time course of typical childhood absence seizures: EEG, behavior, and functional magnetic resonance imaging,” Journal of Neuroscience
Neural Plasticity

the Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience, vol. 30, no. 17, pp. 5884–5893, 2010.

[4] J. H. P. Aarts, C. D. Binne, A. M. Smit, and A. J. Wilkins, "Selective cognitive impairment during focal and generalized epileptiform EEG activity," Brain, vol. 107, no. 1, pp. 293–308, 1984.

[5] E. K. Johnson, J. E. Jones, M. Seidenberg, and B. P. Hermann, "The relative impact of anxiety, depression, and clinical seizure features on health-related quality of life in epilepsy," Epilepsia, vol. 45, no. 5, pp. 544–550, 2004.

[6] G. Deco, D. Vidaurre, and M. L. Kringselbach, "Revisiting the global workspace orchestrating the hierarchical organization of the human brain," Nature Human Behaviour, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 497–511, 2021.

[7] L. E. Suárez, R. D. Markello, R. F. Betzel, and B. Misic, "Linking structure and function in macroscale brain networks," Trends in Cognitive Sciences, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 302–315, 2020.

[8] H. J. Pi, B. Hangya, D. Kvititsiani, J. I. Sanders, Z. J. Huang, and A. Kepecs, "Cortical interneurons that specialize in disinhibitory control," Nature, vol. 503, no. 7477, pp. 521–524, 2013.

[9] M. A. Avoli, "A brief history on the oscillating roles of thalamus and cortex in absence seizures," Epilepsia, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 779–789, 2012.

[10] M. M. Halassa and S. M. Sherman, "Thalamocortical circuit motifs: a general framework," Neuron, vol. 103, no. 5, pp. 762–770, 2019.

[11] M. Donoso, A. G. E. Collins, and E. Koechlin, "Foundations of human reasoning in the prefrontal cortex," Science, vol. 344, no. 6191, pp. 1481–1486, 2014.

[12] A. Lütjohann, J. M. Schoffelen, and G. van Luijtelaar, "Termination of ongoing spike-wave discharges investigated by cortico-thalamic network analyses," Neurobiology of Disease, vol. 70, pp. 127–137, 2014.

[13] C. Wang, J. Tang, and J. Ma, "Minireview on signal exchange between nonlinear circuits and neurons via field coupling," The European Physical Journal- Special Topics, vol. 228, no. 10, article 80193, pp. 1907–1924, 2019.

[14] Y. Wang, X. Xu, and R. Wang, "The place cell activity is information-efficient constrained by energy," Neural Networks, vol. 116, pp. 110–118, 2019.

[15] K. Geng, D. C. Shin, D. Song et al., "Mechanism-based and input-output modeling of the key neuronal connections and signal transformations in the CA1-CA3 regions of the hippocampus," Neural Computation, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 149–183, 2018.

[16] F. Wendling, P. Benquet, F. Bartolomei, and V. Jirsa, "Computational models of epileptiform activity," Journal of Neuroscience Methods, vol. 260, pp. 233–251, 2016.

[17] P. N. Taylor and G. A. Baier, "A spatially extended model for macroscopic spike-wave discharges," Journal of Computational Neuroscience, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 679–684, 2011.

[18] M. Goodfellow, K. Schindler, and G. Baier, "Intermittent spike-wave dynamics in a heterogeneous, spatially extended neural mass model," NeuroImage, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 920–932, 2011.

[19] P. N. Taylor, Y. Wang, M. Goodfellow et al., "A computational study of stimulus driven epileptic seizure abatement," Plos One, vol. 9, no. 12, article e114316, 2014.

[20] Z. Wang and Q. Wang, "Stimulation strategies for absence seizures: targeted therapy of the focus in coupled thalamocortical model," Nonlinear Dynamics, vol. 96, no. 2, pp. 1649–1663, 2019.

[21] D. Fan and Q. Wang, "Closed-loop control of absence seizures inspired by feedback modulation of basal ganglia to the corticothalamic circuit," IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 581–590, 2020.

[22] B. Yan and P. Li, "An integrative view of mechanisms underlying generalized spike-and-wave epileptic seizures and its implication on optimal therapeutic treatments," Plos One, vol. 6, no. 7, article e22440, 2011.

[23] R. A. Sandler, K. Geng, D. Song et al., "Designing patient-specific optimal neurostimulation patterns for seizure suppression," Neural Computation, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 1180–1208, 2018.

[24] O. V. Popovych and P. A. Tass, "Multisite delayed feedback for electrical brain stimulation," Frontiers in Physiology, vol. 9, pp. 1–15, 2018.

[25] E. S. Ereifej, C. E. Shell, J. S. Schofield et al., "Neural engineering: the process, applications, and its role in the future of medicine," Journal of Neural Engineering, vol. 16, no. 6, article 063002, 2019.

[26] N. Zangibadi, L. D. Ladino, F. Sina, J. P. Orozco-Hernández, A. Carter, and J. F. Téllez-Zenteno, "Deep brain stimulation and drug-resistant epilepsy: a review of the literature," Frontiers in Neurology, vol. 10, pp. 1–18, 2019.

[27] J. Lawrence Pool, "Psychosurgery in older people," Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, vol. 2, no. 7, pp. 456–466, 1954.

[28] T. Loddenkemper, A. Pan, S. Neme et al., "Deep brain stimulation in epilepsy," Journal of Clinical Neurophysiology, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 514–532, 2001.

[29] S. Bjerknes, M. Toft, A. E. Konglund et al., "Multiple microelectrode recordings in STN-DBS surgery for Parkinson’s disease: a randomized study," Movement Disorders Clinical Practice, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 296–305, 2018.

[30] D. Fan and Q. Wang, "Improving desynchronization of parkinsonian neuronal network via triplet-structure coordinated reset stimulation," Journal of Theoretical Biology, vol. 370, pp. 157–170, 2015.

[31] L. Cif, V. Gonzalez-Martinez, X. Vasques et al., "Staged implantation of multiple electrodes in the internal globus pallidus in the treatment of primary generalized dystonia," Journal of Neurosurgery, vol. 116, no. 5, pp. 1144–1152, 2012.

[32] P. A. Tass, L. Qin, C. Hauptmann et al., "Coordinated reset has sustained aftereffects in parkinsonian monkeys," Annals of Neurology, vol. 72, no. 5, pp. 816–820, 2012.

[33] N. L. M. Cappaert, D. Ramekers, H. C. F. Martens, and W. J. Wadam, "Efficacy of a new charge-balanced biphasic electric stimulus in the isolated sciatic nerve and the hippocampal slice," International Journal of Neural Systems, vol. 23, no. 1, article 1250031, 2013.

[34] D. Fan and Q. Wang, "Improved control effect of absence seizures by autaptic connections to the subthalamic nucleus," Physical Review E, vol. 98, no. 5, article 052414, 2018.

[35] M. Dmpelmann, "Early seizure detection for closed loop direct neurostimulation devices in epilepsy," Journal of Neural Engineering, vol. 16, no. 4, article 041001, 2019.

[36] F. Su, J. Wang, S. Niu et al., "Nonlinear predictive control for adaptive adjustments of deep brain stimulation parameters in basal ganglia-thalamic network," Neural Networks, vol. 98, pp. 283–295, 2018.
[37] M. Zeitler and P. A. Tass, "Computationally developed sham stimulation protocol for multichannel desynchronizing stimulation," *Frontiers in Physiology*, vol. 9, pp. 1–22, 2018.

[38] M. Lv and J. Ma, "Multiple modes of electrical activities in a new neuron model under electromagnetic radiation," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 205, pp. 375–381, 2016.

[39] E. L. Yap, N. L. Pettit, C. P. Davis et al., "Bidirectional perisomatic inhibitory plasticity of a Fos neuronal network," *Nature*, vol. 590, no. 7844, pp. 115–121, 2021.

[40] A. D. Lien and M. Scanziani, "Cortical direction selectivity emerges at convergence of thalamic synapses," *Nature*, vol. 558, no. 7708, pp. 80–86, 2018.

[41] L. Yan, H. Zhang, Z. Sun, and Z. Shen, "Control analysis of electrical stimulation for epilepsy waveforms in a thalamocortical network," *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, vol. 504, article 110391, 2020.

[42] Y. Cao, X. He, Y. Hao, and Q. Wang, "Transition dynamics of epileptic seizures in the coupled thalamocortical network model," *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, vol. 28, no. 8, article 1850104, 2018.

[43] M. Vinaya and R. P. Ignatius, "Electromagnetic radiation from memristor applied to basal ganglia helps in controlling absence seizures," *Nonlinear Dynamics*, vol. 101, no. 4, pp. 2369–2380, 2020.