Lifeworks

Pompous pedants, medical monsters, humane healers: operatic physicians

If you look to reality TV or the many television hospital dramas to gauge how our society views doctors today, you’re following a time-worn tradition. In fact, the 400-year-old extravagant and excessive art form of opera predates TV when it comes to dramatizing our culture’s perceptions of the medical profession — and not all those perceptions are positive. As science and medicine changed over the centuries, so too did Western society’s idea of doctors as “professionals.” A quick review of the operatic canon offers 3 basic views of the physician.

The pompous pedant: In representations of doctors in operatic stories set before the 19th century, the physician is most often presented as arrogant, foolish and inept, from Mozart’s fake mesmerist in Così fan tutte (1790), to the quack Dr. Dulcamara in Donizetti’s Elisir d’amore (1832) and on to the Bologna-trained pedant, Dr. Spinelloccio, in Giacomo Puccini’s comic opera Gianni Schicchi (1918), set in 14th century Florence. The butt of the joke, the early doctor is not a respected man of science but, rather, a comic figure of general scorn.

With the major shift in medical thinking that came in the 19th century, when clinical medicine’s findings were combined with those of pathological anatomy, physicians became the ones who could link the visible and tangible to that which could not be seen — except by microscope or in an autopsy. Add to this the developments in bacteriology and therapeutic advances, and suddenly doctors had new capabilities and powers in clinical medicine, initially in diagnosis and prognosis and eventually in therapeutics. All this gave physicians a new way of looking at the body and seeing, within a patient, conditions not accessible to the untrained eyes of the patients and their families.

New images, more suited to these new medical powers, began appearing on the operatic stage. Some (though very few) were positive; a case in point is the compassionate and kindly Dr. Grenvil in Verdi’s La Traviata (1853), caring for his tubercular patient. Even though he can do little for her, he understands totally her prognosis — and fate.
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The Metropolitan Opera premiere of Wozzeck on Mar. 5, 1959 featured baritone Karl Dönch (above) as the callous and detached doctor.
The medical monster: Even more often, however, the newly empowered physician was seen in negative terms, as a sinister figure preying on the sick, such as Dr. Miracle in Offenbach’s *Les Contes d’Hoffmann* (1881), who lures in Alban Berg’s *Wozzeck* (1925), who terrifyingly callous and detached doctor her dead. return one final time — to pronounce sinks into the ground laughing, only to sing. As she dies, the doctor-as-demon that will cause her health to fail: to medicine. (1986) suggests that physicians can be Humane healers: Michael Nyman’s *The Man Who Mistook His Wife for a Hat* (1986) suggests that physicians can be humane healers. It is based on Oliver Sacks’ story of Dr. P, a famous musician and teacher who is behaving strangely: not recognizing the faces of his students, talking to the curved knob on a chair, asking directions of a parking lot. It opens with the doctor’s medical meditation:

**Deficit**

**Loss**

Ev’rything that patients aren’t and nothing that they are.

Such language tells us nothing about an individual’s history. It conveys nothing of the person and the reality of facing disease and struggling to survive it.

To restore the human subject at the center... the suffering, afflicted, fighting human subject... we must deepen a case history to a narrative or tale.

Only then do we have a WHO as well as a WHAT — a patient in relation to disease — a real person.¹

Dr. S (the neurologist) carries out a full neurological examination on stage and does other tests to try to find a diagnosis. His real understanding comes only when he visits Dr. and Mrs. P at their home. Upon examining some of his patient’s amateur paintings, he sees a move from figurative to abstract art that he interprets as the progress of the pathology, ending in chaos.

This reading leads to an altercation with the protective wife, who sees the change as an advance in artistic sophistication. At this point, the agitated patient freezes, losing his ability to function. As he regains function, it becomes clear that he has changed the structure of his world from a visual one to an auditory one — and he can continue to function through the use of music. The doctor’s pragmatic and humane prescription is “More music.”²

---

¹ Nyman, M. *The man who mistook his wife for a hat* [chamber opera]. London: Chester Music; 1996. p.177–8.
² Nyman, M. *The man who mistook his wife for a hat* [chamber opera]. London: Chester Music; 1996. p.177–8.
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