Development of chromium and aluminum coatings on superalloys by pack-cementation technique
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Abstract. Austenitic nickel-iron-chromium based superalloys are materials of choice for high temperature applications as they provide high temperature creep resistance associated with a suitable oxidation behavior in the temperature range of 600-1100°C. However, these properties are not sufficient for applications as Steam Methane Reformer (SMR). As a consequence, aluminum and chromium coatings are developed by the pack-cementation technique to improve their corrosion resistance. The oxidation behavior of the coated samples has been carried out in air at 1050°C. Chromium deposition leads to a layer of bcc chromium-iron solid solution. Oxidation tests indicated that a too high chromium concentration induces a too rapid growth of the chromia layer. In the case of aluminum coatings, a layer of β-NiAl is formed at the surface of the alloy. It permits a significant decrease of the oxidation rate.

Introduction

Iron – Nickel – Chromium alloys have been proven to be resistant to corrosive environments at high temperatures (600-1000°C). Their good resistance is due to the chromium content (22 to 28 wt.%) which allows the formation of a protective Cr₂O₃ layer [1]. For Steam Methane Reforming applications, operating conditions impose a carburizing atmosphere inside the reactor and an oxidizing one outside. In such conditions, Iron – Nickel – Chromium alloys do not permit a satisfactory life time of the reactor. This is the reason why it could be of interest to improve the resistance of these alloys by forming coatings on the surface. Thus, the aim of our study is to develop chromium rich and aluminum rich coatings on Cr-rich austenitic alloys by a pack-cementation process and to carry out oxidation tests of the coated alloys in air at 1050°C. Among the various techniques to form coatings, the pack cementation process is a very suitable technique as it permits the formation of homogeneous, adherent and thick coatings. Also, it is possible to deposit coatings on pieces of complex geometrical shapes. Chromium coatings should « play the role » of a chromium reservoir to avoid its fast depletion under the chromia layer while the aluminum coating should permit the formation of an Al₂O₃ layer which grows slower than the Cr₂O₃ layer [2].

The oxidation behavior of coated alloys was followed by TGA and samples were characterized by XRD, SEM and EPMA. In addition, the adhesion of the oxide scales has been evaluated by a cyclic oxidation test at 1000°C.
Experimental procedures

The substrate alloy employed throughout the present study consisted of a specific nickel-iron-base alloy with a principal Cr addition (25%) and minor alloying elements: Mo, Co, Mn, W, C, N and Nb (Table 1).

| Table 1. Chemical composition of the alloy |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|
| austenitic alloy  | Ni | Fe | Cr | Other elements |
| [wt. %]           | 37 | 33 | 25 | 5 |

The samples were cut from a plate and their dimensions were approximately: 10 mm x 10 mm x 0.5 mm. The surface preparation consisted of polishing until 800 grid and rounding the corner with SiC paper. Then, the samples were cleaned ultrasonically in ethanol.

In the case of chromium coatings, the pack mixture was composed of a Cr\textsubscript{70}Fe\textsubscript{30} master alloy and CrCl\textsubscript{3} (1 wt.%). The chromization process was carried out by putting the mixture and sample in a quartz tube sealed under vacuum. The tube was then placed at 980 and 1100°C for 4, 9 and 16 hours.

In the case of aluminum coatings, the pack was composed of a Ni\textsubscript{2}Al\textsubscript{3} master alloy (40 wt.%), CrCl\textsubscript{3} (1 wt.%) and Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} as an inert filler (60 wt.%). The aluminization process was carried out by placing the mixture and the sample(s) in an alumina crucible. The crucible was then introduced into an oven under Ar flow at 950°C for 20 hours. Thermodynamic calculations were executed by the HSC software.

Isothermal high temperature oxidations were performed in dry air flow by using a thermogravimetric analyzer: SETARAM SETSYS. The exposure time was 24 hours and the dwell temperature was 1050°C. The phases were identified by X-ray diffraction, using K\textalpha{} (0.154 nm) copper radiation, on a Philips X’PERT pro diffractometer. After the oxidation process, the samples were observed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM). The layers were protected during polishing by electrodepositions of nickel. Elementary analysis was performed by Electron Probe Microanalysis (EPMA) on a Cameca SX100.

Experimental results and discussion

Thermodynamics. Before performing the experiments, the thermodynamics of the processes were simulated. Indeed, compositions of the gas phase can be calculated using HSC software for the two types of cementation. Results gave the partial pressure change of each gaseous species versus temperature. The data indicate that chromium dichloride (CrCl\textsubscript{2}) in the case of chromization and AlCl in the case of aluminization are the gas vectors in the respective gas phase. This calculation step confirms the feasibility of the deposition process and validates the experimental conditions.

Chromization. The chromium coatings were carried out for two temperatures: 980 and 1100°C, and three different durations: 4, 9 and 16 hours, so as to obtain the depositing rate. The results of mass gain versus the time of cementation indicate that the rate increases with the temperature of treatment and that the cementation obeys a parabolic law with time.

The characterizations of coatings are shown in Fig.1. The SEM micrographs of the cross-section show a homogeneous single-phased layer with a coating thickness of 50 µm for 16 hours of sample treatment at 1100°C. The XRD analysis reveals that the phase is cubic-centered. In addition, the concentration profile exhibits high chromium content in the layer: between 50 and 60 at.%, signifying that the coating consists of a solid solution of chromium and iron.
Aluminization. The aluminum coatings were performed at 950°C and for 20 hours. The characterizations of the coating are shown in Fig. 2. The cross-section micrograph shows a layer of around 35 µm of thickness and an interdiffusion underlayer of 20 µm of thickness. The XRD analysis shows that the phase of the coating is NiAl. The concentration profile points out that the ratio of aluminum in the layer is 50 at.%. Nickel, iron and chromium are also present in varying ratios indicating that the scale is formed by NiAl with substitutions of nickel by iron and chromium. The underlayer is mainly composed of chromium and iron. Accordingly, the concentration gradient of nickel indicates the significant diffusion of this element into the coating during the treatment.

Oxidation kinetics. The oxidation kinetics of the aluminized and chromized alloys have been studied by TGA at 1050°C and compared to the uncoated alloy. The results are presented in Fig. 3. The mass gain versus time of the samples shows that, after a transition time of about 1 hour, the oxidation reactions obey a parabolic law in all cases. The mass gain of the aluminized alloy is lower than that of the uncoated one. In the case of the chromized alloy, the mass gain is higher. The XRD spectra of the oxidized samples indicate that the oxide which develops on the chromium coating is Cr₂O₃, on the aluminum coating is α-Al₂O₃ and a mixture of NiCr₂O₄ and Cr₂O₃ develops on the uncoated sample.
The cross-section pictures of the samples after oxidation tests at 1050°C are shown in Fig. 4.

Fig 4(a) shows that a thin Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) layer of around 2 µm thickness was formed at the surface of the aluminized sample during the oxidation. The structure of the aluminum coating did not change much after oxidation. However, at the surface, the aluminum depletion induces a modification of the NiAl phase into a new phase which was identified by XRD as being \(\sigma\)-(Fe, Cr). For the chromized sample, a spallation of the Cr\(_2\)O\(_3\) layer is observed after the cooling step. Compared to the coated alloys, the uncoated one shows an oxide which is more adherent than that of the chromized sample but thicker than the alumina layer (between 5 and 10 µm in average). These results are in accordance with Hindam and Whittle [2] as the value of the parabolic kinetic constant is lower for the Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) formation than that of Cr\(_2\)O\(_3\). However, the chromium is not efficient to play the role of a reservoir because the high proportion of chromium may induce a rapid growth of the oxide layer in accordance with Kofstad [3]. This process leads to stresses which cause cracks of the chromia and the partial re-oxidations of the coating [4]. This phenomenon is visible on the kinetic curves which present a succession of normal growth and breakdown of the oxide layer.

The oxidation cycle consisted of 1 hour of heating followed by 10 minutes of cooling. The curves of cyclic oxidation versus time at 1000°C of the aluminized and uncoated alloys are presented in Fig. 5. This test indicates the high adhesion of the alumina scale compared to the chromia scale and the ability of the aluminum coating to preserve the alumina scale even after 3200 hours of cycles.
Alumina growth. Particular attention was given to the development of the alumina scale. It is well known that transient alumina can form on NiAl coatings [5,6,7]. Indeed, further experimentations at lower temperatures on the aluminized alloy exhibited two parabolic systems. The first one is transient and is observed during the beginning of the oxidation and the second one is steady and observed for long periods of oxidation. The parabolic kinetic constants were determined and presented in Fig. 6. According to the results of Grumm and Grabke [8] on the oxidation of NiAl, these results indicate that the growth of transient aluminas precedes the formation of the stable $\alpha$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$.

The $k_p$ values measured before 1 hour of oxidation are a bit higher than those observed on pure NiAl [8]. Those measured at long periods of oxidation have almost the same values. In our case, substitution of nickel by iron and chromium seems to increase the growth rate of transient alumina but not that of the stable one.

XRD analyses identified the transient alumina as $\theta$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ during 24 hours of oxidation in the range of 850-950°C. At 1000 and 1050°C, $\theta$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ was not evidenced after the same period of oxidation. Activation energies that were calculated from the $k_p$ values are shown in Table 2.
The Ea values are a little lower than those found by Grumm and Grabke [8] on pure NiAl, indicating that chromium and iron facilitate the formation of the alumina scale. It is noteworthy that the transient period before the growth of $\alpha$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ is very short at 1000 and 1050°C: around one hour. This period increases when the temperature decreases: at 950°C it is around 65 hours while on pure NiAl it is around 250 hours [8]. So, these results confirm that the presence of chromium and iron probably catalyses the transition of $\theta$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ / $\alpha$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ by the development of seeds of $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ at the beginning of the oxidation [9]. Indeed, these seeds may promote an epitaxial growth of $\alpha$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$.

**Conclusion**

Chromium and aluminum coatings were realized on a Fe-Ni-Cr alloy in agreement with the thermodynamic calculations. An $\alpha$-FeCr solid solution forms through chromium deposits whereas $\beta$-(Ni, Fe, Cr)Al forms during aluminization. The alloy protected by the chromium rich coating exhibits a poor oxidation resistance due to too high amounts of chromium in the deposit, leading to a high strain level in the $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$ layer.

The performances of NiAl coatings are validated by both cyclic and isothermal oxidation tests. The beneficial effect of Cr and Fe on the rate of the transition: transient alumina to stable $\alpha$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ was observed.
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