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Abstract. We consider the Polyakov loop operator in finite temperature planar \( N = 4 \) supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory defined on a spatial \( S^3 \) and in representations where the number of boxes in the Young Tableau \( k \) is large and scales so that \( kN \) remains finite in the large \( N \) limit. We review the argument that, in the de-confined phase of the gauge theory, and for symmetric representations with row Young tableau, there is a quantum phase transition in the expectation value of the Polyakov loop operator which occurs as the size of the representation is increased beyond a critical value of \( kN \). We also argue that for completely antisymmetric representations with column tableau, there is no such phase transition. The AdS/CFT dual of such large representation loops are thought to be probe D-branes with \( k \) units of fundamental string charge dissolved in their world-volumes. Our results for both symmetric and antisymmetric representations are consistent with what is known about these branes on the thermal AdS black hole background.

1. Introduction

A beautiful picture of the quark de-confinement phase transition of finite temperature Yang-Mills theory has emerged in the context of AdS/CFT duality [1]. In the most conservative version of this duality, the gauge theory is \( N = 4 \) supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory, which has conformal symmetry and is not normally viewed as a confining gauge theory. In fact, conformal invariance dictates that its non-Abelian gauge field mediated interactions are Coulomb-like at all distance scales. However, in context of AdS/CFT holography, the gauge theory must be defined on a spatial three-dimensional sphere, \( S^3 \). Then “confinement” is simply due the impossibility of solving the gauge theory Gauss’ law for a color charged state on a compact space. Furthermore the \( N = 4 \) theory has only adjoint representation degrees of freedom, which are not capable of combining with a fundamental representation external source to make a color singlet. For this reason, the question of confinement can then be addressed by testing the response of the gauge theory to insertion of a fundamental representation external charge, which must necessarily create a color-charged state. This response is measured by the expectation value of the Polyakov loop operator, and the question of confinement or de-confinement can be couched in terms of the realization of the center symmetry of the adjoint gauge theory and under which the Polyakov loop transforms.

Being in finite volume, de-confinement can only be a sharp phase transition in the large \( N \) limit. The idea is that, as the temperature gets larger, the thermally excited degrees of freedom which tend to screen the color charge are more numerous. When \( N \) is infinite, this screening can be so efficient that above a certain critical temperature, charged states are actually allowed.
We will generally work with the large $N$ planar limit of Yang-Mills theory, also called the 't Hooft limit where we take $N$ to infinity while holding the 't Hooft coupling $\lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 N$ finite. Here, $g_{YM}$ is the coupling constant of the gauge theory. In planar Yang-Mills theory defined on a spatial $S^3$, the de-confinement phase transition can be seen even in the weakly coupled gauge theory [2]-[3].

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory is thought to be exactly dual to type IIB superstring theory on an $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background space-time with $N$ units of Ramond-Ramond 4-form flux. The 't Hooft coupling of the gauge theory is related to the fundamental string tension, $\frac{1}{\ell_{string}^2}$, and the radius of curvature of the $AdS_5$ and $S^5$ spaces, $R$, by $\lambda = R^4/(\alpha')^2$. The planar limit of the Yang-Mills theory is equivalent to the classical (tree level) limit of the string theory. The further limit of strong 't Hooft coupling in the planar Yang-Mills theory is dual to the limit of string theory as the radius of curvature of the background space is much larger than the fundamental string scale. This limit produces classical type IIB supergravity in an $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background.

At large 't Hooft coupling, the planar Yang-Mills theory is therefore efficiently described by its dual, classical IIB supergravity. There, the gravitational dual of de-confinement is the collapse of hot Anti-de Sitter space to an anti-de Sitter-Schwarzschild black hole. Unlike asymptotically flat space, where a thermodynamic state of a gravitational system is unstable to black hole collapse no matter what the temperature, at sufficiently low temperatures, anti-de Sitter space is thought to be stable. It contains a hot gas of super-gravitons. Moreover, when it is heated to a certain critical temperature, comparable to the inverse radius of curvature of the $AdS$ space, the hot gas eventually undergoes gravitational collapse resulting in a space-time which is an anti-de Sitter black hole. The temperature and entropy of the resulting space-time are identified with the Hawking temperature and Beckenstein-Hawking entropy of the black hole. The phase transition between the two phases is first order and is called the Hawking-Page transition [4]. It is thought to be the gravity dual of the de-confinement transition of finite temperature Yang-Mills theory, extrapolated from the weak coupling to the strong coupling regime. It is conjectured that the strong and weak coupling limits are connected by a line of de-confining phase transitions which stretches across the intermediate $\lambda$ regime.

In this Article, we shall review some of our recent work on the properties of the behavior of some particular Polyakov loop expectation values in de-confined phase of the gauge theory [5]. This work was motivated by an observation in string theory concerning the gravity dual of the Polyakov loops [6]. We will elaborate on this motivation in Section 2.

2. Giant Wilson loops
In the duality between gauge field theory and string theory, the expectation value of the Wilson loop is normally thought to correspond to an open fundamental string amplitude in string theory. This has been made precise for the Maldacena-Wilson loop [7] of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory,

$$W_M[C] = \text{Tr} P e^{\oint_C d\tau (iA_\mu(x)\dot{x}^\mu(\tau) + \phi^I(x)\theta^I(\dot{x}(\tau)))}$$ (1)

where $x^\mu(\tau)$ parameterizes a closed curve $C$, $\phi^I(x)$, $I = 1, ..., 6$ are the scalar quark fields of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory and $\theta^I$ is a (not necessarily $\tau$-independent) unit 6-vector.

When $\lambda$ is large, the loop (1) is computed by finding the minimum area of a fundamental string worldsheet embedded in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and which has boundary located on the contour $C$ that is placed at the boundary of $AdS_5$ and at a point $\theta^I$ on $S^5$.

If the contour $C$ in (1) links periodic Euclidean time in the finite temperature Yang-Mills theory, $W_M[C]$ carries center charge and it can be nonzero only when the center symmetry is spontaneously broken, that is, in the de-confined phase. Then, the boundary of the disc in the disc amplitude of the string dual must also link periodic Euclidean time, but in the Euclidean $AdS$ background. Whether such a disc exists depends on whether the time circle is contractible.
It is not contractible in the hot $AdS$ background, and it is contractible on the $AdS$ black hole background. There is therefore no tree level disc amplitude on the hot $AdS$ background. This is interpreted as implying that the expectation value of (1) vanishes there. The black hole background, on the other hand can have a classical disc amplitude, so the expectation value of (1) can be non-zero. This difference was pointed out by Witten as further evidence for the identification of the black hole formation with de-confinement [1].

In the gauge theory, if $C$ wraps the time circle, $W_M[C]$ carries center charge and its expectation value is governed by the realization of the center symmetry. Its expectation value is related to the holonomy of a heavy $W$-boson which would be created by Higgsing $SU(N+1)$ gauge symmetry to $SU(N) \times U(1)$ and where $\theta^I$ gives the orientation of the scalar condensate. One could imagine larger representation objects made from $W$-bosons, for example a bound state of a large number of the $W$-bosons which transforms in a higher representation of the gauge group.

In the zero temperature Yang-Mills theory defined on a spatial $R^2$, an interesting phenomenon occurs for loops in representations where the number of boxes $k$ in the Young tableau is large so that $\frac{k}{N}$ is finite in the large $N$ limit. The dual fundamental string worldsheet is replaced by a D-brane with world-volume electric flux [8]. This object is called a “giant Wilson loop”.

This was found by studying highly supersymmetric $\frac{1}{2}$-BPS loops, where some results are known for all values of the coupling constant [9]. For the anti-symmetric representation, the dual is a D5-brane whose world volume is a direct product $AdS_2 \times S^3$ where $AdS_2 \subset AdS_5$ and $S^4 \subset S^5$. For a symmetric representation, it is a D3-brane with world volume $AdS_2 \times S^2 \subset AdS_5$.

It is interesting to ask whether these D-branes exist in the finite $AdS$ black hole geometry where they would be dual to a gauge theory loop linking periodic Euclidean time and in the de-confined phase. This question was studied by Hartnoll and Kumar [6] who searched for solutions of the appropriate Born-Infeld actions on the black hole background.

For the D5-brane wrapped on $S^4 \subset S^5$ which corresponds to a totally antisymmetric representation on the gauge theory side, there seem to be solutions for any $k$ with the usual cutoff at $k = N$ dictated by the maximum size of an antisymmetric representation on the gauge theory side and a maximum radius for embedding $S^4$ in $S^5$ on the supergravity side. However, in the case of the D3-brane, which should correspond to a totally symmetric representation, Hartnoll and Kumar could not find any solutions at all. It is interesting to ask whether this difference between the two cases is visible in the gauge theory. This question is what motivated our work on the gauge theory which is summarized in Ref. [5] and which we shall review in the following Sections.

3. Confinement-de-confinement and the Polyakov loop

Let us begin by reviewing the role of the Polyakov loop operator and the origin of center symmetry in an adjoint gauge field theory [10]-[11]. The Polyakov loop,

$$\langle \text{Tr} P e^{i \int_0^\beta d\tau A_0(\tau, \vec{x})} \rangle = \int [dA_{\mu e}...] e^{- \frac{1}{2g^2_{YM}} \text{Tr} F_{\mu e}^2 + \cdots} \int [dA_{\mu e}...] e^{i \int_0^\beta d\tau A_0(\tau, \vec{x})} \text{Tr} P e^{i \int_0^\beta d\tau A_0(\tau, \vec{x})}$$

measures the trace of the holonomy of the gauge group on the Euclidean time circle. In pure Yang-Mills theory, or a theory such as $N = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory where all matter fields transform in the adjoint representation of the gauge group, the Euclidean path integral has a center symmetry. It arises from gauge transformations which, in such a theory need not be periodic in Euclidean time, they need only preserve the periodicity of the local fields. As such, they can obey a boundary condition

$$g(\tau + \beta, \vec{x}) = cg(\tau, \vec{x})$$
where \( c = e^{2\pi i/N} \) is the generator of the \( Z_N \) center of the \( SU(N) \) gauge group. Because \( c \) commutes with everything, all local operators which transform in the adjoint representation, for example \( F_{\mu\nu}(\tau, \vec{x}) \to g(\tau, \vec{x})F_{\mu\nu}(\tau, \vec{x})g^\dagger(\tau, \vec{x}) \), remain periodic after the gauge transform. However, the Polyakov loop transforms as

\[
\text{Tr} Pe^{i \int_0^\beta d\tau A_0(\tau, \vec{x})} \to c \text{Tr} Pe^{i \int_0^\beta d\tau A_0(\tau, \vec{x})}
\]

The center transformation appears as a global symmetry of the Euclidean path integral and it acts on Polyakov loops. When it is a good symmetry, any combination of Polyakov loops with non-vanishing center-charge must have vanishing expectation value. This is interpreted as confinement. When the center symmetry is spontaneously broken, the expectation value can be non-zero. This is interpreted as de-confinement. The representation of center symmetry has been used to study the de-confining phase transition of Yang-Mills theory \[12\]-[15].

The expectation value of the Polyakov loop operator is related to the free energy of Yang-Mills theory with a classical color source inserted. If one quantizes Yang-Mills theory with the constraint that a non-dynamical color charge is located at point \( \vec{x} \), the free energy of the system \( \Gamma \) is obtained from the Euclidean path integral in (2).

\[
e^{-\beta \Gamma} = \left\langle \text{Tr} Pe^{i \int_0^\beta d\tau A_0(\tau, \vec{x})} \right\rangle
\]

\( \Gamma \) is the energy that is needed to insert the external charge. When the expectation value is zero, as in the confining phase, the energy is infinite. When the expectation value is non-zero, the energy is finite.

4. Effective field theory

Our goal is to study the realization of the center symmetry at weak coupling. In this Section, we will review how this can be done using an effective unitary matrix model. In recent work, the weak coupling limit of both Yang-Mills theory and \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) super Yang-Mills theory have been studied for the case where the space-time is \( S^3 \times S^1 \) \[2\]-[3]. This is an interesting case in that, at weak coupling, the spectrum of the vector gluon fields is completely gapped. If they are conformally coupled to the curvature of the \( S^3 \), the scalar fields of \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) theory are also gapped, as are the fermionic quarks. Then, the only low energy degree of freedom is the temporal component \( A_0 \) and, in the regime where the temperature is much less than the gap, all of the gapped fields can be integrated out to find an effective action for the zero mode on \( S^3 \) of \( A_0 \), or alternatively the unitary matrix variable \( U \equiv \text{Tr} Pe^{iA_0} \), and in the effective theory, an order parameter for the center symmetry is

\[
\langle \text{Tr} U \rangle = \frac{\int dU e^{-S_{\text{eff}}[U]} \text{Tr} U}{\int dU e^{-S_{\text{eff}}[U]}}
\]

This is a unitary one-matrix model. At one-loop order, the effective action is

\[
S_{\text{eff}}[U] = -\sum_{n=1}^\infty \left[ z_B(x^n) + (-1)^{n+1}z_F(x^n) \right] \frac{\left| \text{Tr}U^n \right|^2}{n}
\]

where

\[
x = e^{-\frac{\beta}{R}}, \quad z_B(x) = \frac{6x + 12x^2 - x^3}{(1 - x)^3}, \quad z_F(x) = \frac{16x^\frac{3}{2}}{(1 - x)^3}
\]

Here, we have presented the action for \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) Yang-Mills theory. The one for pure Yang-Mills theory is similar and is presented in Ref. [3]. \( S_{\text{eff}} \) in (6) does not depend on the ’t Hooft coupling.
This is due to the fact that it is the one-loop approximation. Dependence on $\lambda$ starts at two loops. For pure Yang-Mills theory, it has been partially found up to order two loops [16] where the first order nature of the phase transition is confirmed.

The effective action $S_{\text{eff}}$ inherits gauge invariance from its parent theory:

$$S_{\text{eff}}[U] = S_{\text{eff}}[VUV^{-1}]$$

as well as center symmetry

$$S_{\text{eff}}[U] = S_{\text{eff}}[cU]$$

Further, the action is of order $N^2$, $S_{\text{eff}}[U = 1] \sim N^2$.

The analysis of unitary matrix models and the existence of a phase transition of the type that we shall discuss has a long history dating back to the seminal work of Gross and Witten [17]. Gauge invariance (8) allows one to diagonalize the unitary matrices to form a model of the eigenvalues. The large $N$ limit can then be solved by a saddle-point method and it is found that it has a phase transition from a center symmetric to a center symmetry broken phase at a critical temperature. The phase transition occurs at $T_C \approx 0.38$ which is marginal to the regime $T << 1$. We will assume that it is within the range of validity of the effective field theory technique. In the following we will explore the de-confined phase. We will assume that we are at temperatures just above the critical one and we will assume that the effective matrix model gives an accurate description of the physics there.

5. Higher representations

We could also consider order parameters for center symmetry breaking in any representation of the gauge group that carries non-zero center charge,

$$\langle \text{Tr}_R U(x) \rangle = \frac{\int [dU] e^{-S_{\text{eff}}[U]} \text{Tr}_R U}{\int [dU] e^{-S_{\text{eff}}[U]}}$$

where $\text{Tr}_R U$ is called the character. This expectation value should also exhibit the de-confining phase transition and it is interesting to ask whether it is at the same temperature for all representations. For small representations, it is easy to argue that the phase transition comes from the matrix ensemble and the transition temperature is always the same, independent of which representation we choose. On the other hand, we shall show in the following that (10) can have interesting behavior which depends on the size and nature of the representation when the representation is large. Two types of representation are easy to analyze: the completely symmetric representations $S_k$ whose Young tableaux are a single row with $k$ boxes and completely antisymmetric representations $A_k$ whose Young tableaux are a single column with $k$ boxes. We shall consider large values of $k$ so that the ratio $\frac{k}{N}$ remains finite as $N \to \infty$ and we will study the behavior of these representations as $\frac{k}{N}$ is varied.

Note that we have not normalized the trace in (10), as one would normally do by dividing by a factor of the dimension of the representation. Our reason for not doing so is to be able to compare our results directly with holographic duality where the appropriate operator is the un-normalized one. This immediately introduces the interesting possibility that the expectation value is bigger than one – for example if the averaging over $U$ were concentrated at the unit matrix – the expectation value would simply be equal to the dimension of the representation. The free energy would be negative, indicating that the system would “attract” the heavy quark. This apparent attraction is not dynamical, it is statistical, simply due to the increase in entropy from the multiplicity of states of the quark.

The center charge of a representation is equal to the number of boxes in the Young Tableau corresponding to that representation, modulo $N$. Thus, both representations $S_k$ and $A_k$ have
center charge \( k \mod N \). The expectation value (10) is therefore expected to vanish in this phase charge is non-zero. On the other hand, the expression value can be non-zero in the de-confined phase.

If the matrix \( U = \text{diag}[e^{i\phi_1}, ..., e^{i\phi_N}] \) were diagonal, the permutation symmetry could be used to order the eigenvalues in a completely symmetric or completely antisymmetric representation so that they occur in order of non-decreasing index:

\[
\text{Tr}_{S_k} U = \sum_{a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \ldots \leq a_k} e^{i\phi_{a_1}} e^{i\phi_{a_2}} \ldots e^{i\phi_{a_k}} , \quad \text{Tr}_{A_k} U = \sum_{a_1 < a_2 < \ldots < a_k} e^{i\phi_{a_1}} e^{i\phi_{a_2}} \ldots e^{i\phi_{a_k}}
\]

(11)

It is convenient to obtain these expressions from generating functions

\[
\text{Tr}_{S_k} U = \oint \frac{dt}{2\pi i t^{k+1}} \prod_{a=1}^{N} \frac{1}{1 - e^{i\phi_a}} , \quad \text{Tr}_{A_k} U = \oint \frac{dt}{2\pi i t^{k+1}} \prod_{a=1}^{N} \left( 1 + t e^{i\phi_a} \right)
\]

(12)

where the contour integral projects onto the term in a Taylor expansion of the integrand which contains \( k \) eigenvalues. The contour in the integral over \( t \) encircles the origin. It can be moved away from the origin if it does not cross singularities of the integrand. In the case of the anti-symmetric representation (12) when \( N \) is finite, the integrand is a polynomial and the contour can be moved anywhere. For the symmetric representation (12) it should remain within the unit circle.

The characters in (13) and (14) have center charge \( k \) and therefore they must vanish in the center symmetric confining phase. They can be non-zero in the de-confined phase.

In the large \( N \) limit, the quantities in (13) and (14) can be computed using two saddle point approximations. The first occurs while integrating over unitary matrices in the expectation value bracket in (13) and (14) and which is defined in (10). Because of the gauge symmetry, this is an eigenvalue model. The gauge symmetry can be used to diagonalize \( U \). At large \( N \), the eigenvalues become classical variables and their distribution is found by minimizing \( S_{\text{eff}} \) plus the logarithm of a Jacobian from the unitary integral measure. As long as \( k \ll N^2 \), the operators in (13) do not modify the eigenvalue distribution in the leading order at large \( N \). It is given by a density \( \rho(\phi) \) which is \( \frac{1}{N} \) times the number of eigenvalues between \( \phi \) and \( \phi + d\phi \) and is normalized, \( \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\phi \rho(\phi) = 1 \). In the large \( N \) limit the expectation values in Eq. (13) are computed using the eigenvalue density,

\[
\beta \Gamma_{S_k} = -\frac{1}{N} \ln \langle \text{Tr}_{S_k} U \rangle = -\frac{1}{N} \ln \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dt}{t^{k+1}} \langle \exp \left[ -\text{Tr} \ln (1-tU) \right] \rangle ,
\]

(13)

\[
\beta \Gamma_{A_k} = -\frac{1}{N} \ln \langle \text{Tr}_{A_k} U \rangle = -\frac{1}{N} \ln \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dt}{t^{k+1}} \langle \exp \left[ \text{Tr} \ln (1+tU) \right] \rangle
\]

(14)

The second use of a saddle-point approximation is to evaluate the integral over \( t \) in (15) and (16). Let \( \bar{t} \) satisfy the saddle-point equation

\[
R_{S_k}(\bar{t}) \equiv \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\phi \rho(\phi) \frac{\bar{t} e^{i\phi}}{1 - \bar{t} e^{i\phi}} = \frac{k}{N} , \quad R_{A_k}(\bar{t}) \equiv \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\phi \rho(\phi) \frac{\bar{t} e^{i\phi}}{1 + \bar{t} e^{i\phi}} = \frac{k}{N}
\]

(17)

We will argue that using the leading order \( N^0 \) density \( \rho(\phi) \) in (15) is sufficient to obtain \( \beta \Gamma_{S_k/A_k} \) to leading order \( N^0 \) accuracy.
The functions $R_{s_k}(t)$ in (17) are related to the resolvent of the matrix model and are holomorphic functions of $t$ with cut singularities on the unit circle determined by the support of $\rho(\phi)$. Once the solution $\hat{t}$ of the saddle point is determined, the free energy is given by

$$\beta \Gamma_{s_k} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\phi \rho(\phi) \ln(1 - \hat{t} e^{i\phi}) + \frac{k}{N} \ln \hat{t}.$$

$$\beta \Gamma_{A_k} = -\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\phi \rho(\phi) \ln(1 + \hat{t} e^{i\phi}) + \frac{k}{N} \ln \hat{t}.$$  (18)  (19)

The generating function technique that we have used in the above is well known. See Ref. [18] for a recent application in a different context.

The reader might have the concern that the presence of the loop variable in the path integral, though it does not alter the eigenvalue distribution to the leading order $N^0$, it will have an effect at order $1/N$ and a $1/N$ correction in the order $N^2$ part of the action would contribute a term of order $N$ which competes with the free energy which we are computing. To see why this is not a problem, consider the free energy in the large $N$ limit is given by

$$N \beta \Gamma = \inf_{(\rho, t)} \left[N^2 S[\rho] + \lambda \int \rho - \lambda + N \int \rho \ln(1 - t e^{i\phi}) + k \ln t\right] - \inf_{\rho} \left[N^2 S[\rho] + \lambda \int \rho - \lambda\right]$$

(20)

where $S[\rho]$ is the effective action consisting of $S_{\text{eff}}$ plus a contribution from the integration measure. The saddle-point equations are

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \rho} + \frac{1}{N} \ln \left(1 - t e^{i\phi}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{N^2} = 0, \quad \int \rho = 1, \quad \int \rho \frac{t e^{i\phi}}{1 - t e^{i\phi}} = \frac{k}{N} \frac{1}{t}.$$  (21)

for the first infimum and

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \rho} + \frac{\lambda}{N^2} = 0, \quad \int \rho = 1.$$  (22)

for the second infimum. The eigenvalue density which satisfies (22) is $\hat{\rho}_0$. Then the density which satisfies (21) differs from it by a correction of order $1/N$, $\hat{\rho}_0 + \frac{1}{N} \tilde{\rho}_1$. However, since $\hat{\rho}_0$ satisfies (22), it is easy to see, that if we are interested in $N \beta \Gamma$ only to accuracy of order $N$, we can get simply use $\hat{\rho}_0$ in the equation which determines $\hat{t}$ and, to the same accuracy (where we trust the order $N$ but not the order $N^0$ contribution), in the expression for $N \beta \Gamma$ in (20). This justifies our use of the “probe approximation” where we use the eigenvalue distribution of the effective unitary matrix model to compute the generating functions in (15) to (19). We note that a similar probe approximation is made when analyzing the dual objects on the string theory side of the AdS/CFT correspondence.

Before we proceed further, let us consider a simple example, the confined phase. Center symmetry is an invariance under a simultaneous translation of all eigenvalues $\phi_a \rightarrow \phi_a + 2\pi/N$. In the center-symmetric confined phase, the distribution is translation invariant, eigenvalues are uniformly distributed on the unit circle and

$$\rho_{\text{conf}} = \frac{1}{2\pi}.$$  (23)

In the de-confined phase, on the other hand, the eigenvalues would have a non-constant distribution. Let us put off discussing the de-confined phase until later.

With the confining eigenvalue distribution (23) we can integrate over $\phi$ in the saddle-point equations (17),

$$R_{s_k}(\hat{t}) = \begin{cases} 0 & |\hat{t}| < \frac{k}{N} \\ -1 & |\hat{t}| > \frac{k}{N} \end{cases}, \quad R_{A_k}(\hat{t}) = \begin{cases} 0 & |\hat{t}| < \frac{k}{N} \\ 1 & |\hat{t}| > \frac{k}{N} \end{cases}.$$  (24)
Similarly, and consistent with this, we can integrate the free energies in (18) and (19),

$$
\beta \Gamma_{S_k} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{k}{N} \ln |t| + \pi \left( 1 + \frac{k}{N} \right) \ln |t| & |t| < 1 \\
\frac{k}{N} \ln |t| & |t| > 1
\end{cases}, \quad \beta \Gamma_{A_k} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{k}{N} \ln |t| & |t| < 1 \\
\left( \frac{k}{N} - 1 \right) \ln |t| & |t| > 1
\end{cases}
$$

(25)

In the case of the symmetric representation, the saddle-point equation (24) has a solution only when \( \frac{k}{N} = 0 \). We interpret the absence of a solution when \( \frac{k}{N} \neq 0 \) as meaning that the expectation value of any representation with non-zero center charge vanishes. Certainly, if there is no saddle-point of a periodic function of a variable \( \phi \), the integration is not dominated by any particular value of \( \phi \) and \( \phi \) must be integrated over its entire range. This would average the expectation value of any operator with non-zero center charge to zero. It is in the other case, when there is a saddle point, where the large \( N \) limit forces one to evaluate the integrand at the saddle point and the expectation value is generically non-zero. Further, we see that the free energy has an imaginary part when \( |t| > 1 \) which indicates an instability. This apparent pathology is consistent with the observation after (12) that the integration contour should remain inside the unit circle. We shall henceforth ignore the region \( |t| > 1 \) for symmetric representations.\(^2\)

Similarly, for the anti-symmetric representation, the saddle-point equation (24) has a solution only when either \( \frac{k}{N} = 0 \) or \( \frac{k}{N} = 1 \), the two cases where the antisymmetric representation is center neutral. This is also interpreted as confinement, the expectation value vanishes in all other cases. Note that it has an expected value of \( \langle \phi \rangle = 0 \) for the antisymmetric representation. (If \( \frac{k}{N} \) and \( p \) are such that \( |t| > 1 \), both \( R_{S_k} \) and \( \Gamma_{S_k} \) should be extended there by analytic continuation.)

6. Giant Polyakov loop

Let us begin with the symmetric representation \( S_k \). We consider three examples of eigenvalue distributions. First, the confining phase has \( \rho_{\text{conf}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \) considered above. As a second example consider a simple prototype of a de-confined distribution (while it is not realistic for \( N = 4 \) Yang-Mills theory, this is actually the solution of the strong coupling phase of the Gross-Witten model [17]) \( \rho(\phi) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} (1 + 2p \cos \phi) \). There the parameter \( p = \frac{1}{N} \langle \text{Tr} U \rangle = \int d\phi \rho(\phi) e^{i\phi} \) is the fundamental representation loop. Positivity of the density requires \( 0 \leq p \leq \frac{1}{2} \).

There is one solution of \( R_{S_k}(\hat{t}) = \frac{k}{N} \) in the region \( |t| < 1 \) at \( \hat{t} = \frac{k}{N}/p \). (If \( \frac{k}{N} \) and \( p \) are such that \( |t| > 1 \), both \( R_{S_k} \) and \( \Gamma_{S_k} \) should be extended there by analytic continuation.) The free energy is

$$
\Gamma_{S_k} = \frac{k}{N} \ln \left( \frac{k/N}{e^p} \right),
$$

(26)

where \( e = 2.718 \ldots \). \( \Gamma_{S_k} \) has the interesting feature that, as \( \frac{k}{N} \) is increased, it changes sign from negative to positive. This results in a phase transition which occurs when \( \frac{k}{N} = \left( \frac{k}{N} \right)_{\text{crit}} = ep \).

When \( \frac{k}{N} < \left( \frac{k}{N} \right)_{\text{crit}} \), \( \Gamma_{S_k} \) is negative and the loop expectation value, \( e^{-NT} \), is exponentially large. When \( \frac{k}{N} > \left( \frac{k}{N} \right)_{\text{crit}} \), \( \Gamma_{S_k} \) is positive and the loop vanishes for \( N \to \infty \). This phase transition implies that, even in the de-confined phase, sufficiently large symmetric representations are still confined.

As a check of the saddle-point approximation to the \( t \)-integral in this simple example, observe that, if for the moment we assume that \( k \) and \( N \) are finite, we can integrate (15) explicitly to get \( e^{-NT} s_k = \frac{N^k}{T^k} p^k \). Using the Stirling formula and taking \( k \sim N \to \infty \) reproduces (26).

\(^2\) We do later consider the analytic continuation of the free energy and the solution of the saddle point equation from the region \( |t| < 1 \) to the entire complex plane.
Figure 1. The free energy $\Gamma_{S_k}(\theta)$ as a function of $\frac{k}{N}$ in the semi-circle distribution with $p = 0.51$.

To see this behavior in another example, consider the semi-circle distribution which, for $|\phi| < 2 \arcsin \sqrt{2 - 2p}$, is

$$\rho(\phi) = \frac{\cos \frac{\phi}{2}}{\pi(2 - 2p)} \sqrt{2 - 2p - \sin^2 \frac{\phi}{2}}$$

and which vanishes in the gap $2 \arcsin \sqrt{2 - 2p} \leq |\phi| \leq \pi$. We still use the fundamental loop, $p$, as a parameter and now $\frac{1}{2} \leq p \leq 1$. This is the distribution in the weak coupling phase of 2-dimensional lattice Yang-Mills theory [17]. It is also an approximation to the de-confined distribution for weakly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills theory [3][19]. For sufficiently weak coupling, it could be accurate near the phase transition where $p = \frac{1}{2}$. The saddle point computation can be done explicitly near $t = 0$ and analytically continued. The free energy is

$$\Gamma_{S_k} = (2 \cosh \theta - \sinh \theta) \frac{\sinh \theta + \sqrt{\sinh^2 \theta + 2 - 2p}}{2 - 2p} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[ \frac{\sinh \theta + \sqrt{\sinh^2 \theta + 2 - 2p}}{2 - 2p} \right],$$

where $\theta$ is defined by $\hat{t} = e^{2\theta}$ and is determined by the saddle-point equation

$$\frac{k}{N} + \frac{1}{2} = \cosh \theta \frac{\sinh \theta + \sqrt{\sinh^2 \theta + 2 - 2p}}{2 - 2p},$$

which can be solved for $\sinh(\theta)$. The free energy is zero when $k = 0$, negative for small $k$, goes to zero at a critical $\frac{k}{N}$ and is positive thereafter. This is so for any value of $p$ in the allowed range. A graph of $\Gamma_{S_k}$ versus $\frac{k}{N}$ for $p = 0.51$ is plotted in Fig. 1. With this value of $p$, the free energy becomes positive at $\theta \simeq 0.50$ which corresponds to $\frac{k}{N_{\text{crit.}}} \simeq 1.4$.

Now, we consider the antisymmetric representation. For a large class of distributions gapped around $\phi = \pi$ and with $\frac{dR_{A_k}(\hat{t})}{d\hat{t}} > 0$, which includes the semi-circle distribution (27), we can argue that $\Gamma_{A_k}$ is always negative and the phase transition that we are discussing does not occur. To begin, by changing variables in (18), we observe that $\Gamma_{A_k} = \Gamma_{A_{N-k}}$. This symmetry is reflected in the saddle-point equation (17) which, using our assumption that $\rho(\phi) = \rho(-\phi)$,
can be re-written as
\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\phi \rho(\phi) \left( \frac{i^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{i\phi}}{i^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{i\phi}} - \frac{i^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-i\phi}}{i^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-i\phi}} \right) = \frac{k}{N} - \frac{1}{2}
\]
and implies \( \hat{t}(k/N) = 1/(1 - k/N) \). The free energy,
\[
\Gamma_{A_k} = -\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\phi \rho(\phi) \ln \left( i^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{i\phi} + i^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-i\phi} \right) + \left( \frac{k}{N} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \ln \hat{t}
\]
is symmetric under \( \frac{k}{N} \to 1 - \frac{k}{N} \). Moreover, with a gapped distribution, \( \rho(\pi) = 0 \), and the integral in (30) is continuous at \( \hat{t} = 1 \). From \( \frac{dR_{A_k}}{dt} > 0 \), \( \hat{t}(k) \) is monotone, and one can see in (30) that \( \hat{t} = 0 \) corresponds to \( k/N = 0 \), \( \hat{t} = \infty \) to \( k/N = 1 \) and \( \hat{t} = 1 \) to \( k/N = 1/2 \). Furthermore, since \( \frac{d^2 \Gamma_{A_k}}{dt^2} > 0 \), thus \( \Gamma_{A_k} \) is a convex function which decreases from 0 to a negative minimum as \( \frac{k}{N} \) goes from 0 to \( \frac{1}{2} \) and then increases back to zero when \( \frac{k}{N} \) goes from \( \frac{1}{2} \) to 1. \( \Gamma_{A_k} \) does not become positive and there is no phase transition of the kind that we found for symmetric representations. When the distribution is ungapped, or when \( \frac{dR_{A_k}}{dt} \) becomes negative (for example when \( p < 0 \)), interesting behavior can occur, discussion of which we put off to a later time.

7. Conclusion
We have found a difference between the symmetric and antisymmetric representation Polyakov loops in the gauge theory which is qualitatively similar to the one found by Hartnoll and Kumar [6] for the dual objects in supergravity: the antisymmetric loop is non-zero in the de-confined phase for all allowed \( k/N \) and the dual D5-brane exists whereas the gauge theory symmetric representation loop has a phase transition at a critical value of \( \frac{k}{N} \). The numerical search for the dual D3-brane in [6] combined with analytic arguments at large values of the parameter \( \kappa = \sqrt{T/N} \) found no solution at all. We have also carried out a further numerical search for the D3-brane, which was partially reported in [5]. We explored the region of small \( \kappa \) and found no evidence for a solution except for extremely small values of \( \kappa \) which we cannot rule out. If we assume that there is no solution and that this means that the expectation value of the gauge theory quantity vanishes, it indicates that, even in the de-confined phase, the gauge theory is still confining for large charge symmetric representation sources. Of course, coming from the string theory, this is accurate only for large \( \lambda \). The conclusion is that the critical value of \( \frac{k}{N} \) becomes coupling constant dependent and the function \( \left( \frac{k}{N} \right)_{\text{crit}}(T, \lambda) \) goes to zero faster than \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \) as \( \lambda \to \infty \).

We note that a phase transition of similar nature, but in a somewhat different context of branes with large angular momentum has recently been discussed [20].
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