Pre-clinical Evidence: Berberine as a Promising Cardioprotective Candidate for Myocardial Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury, a Systematic Review, and Meta-Analysis
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Objective: Myocardial ischemia/reperfusion (I/R) injury is one of the causes of most cardiomyocyte injuries and deaths. Berberine (BBR) has been suggested a potential to exert protective effects against myocardial I/R injury. This systematic review aims to determine the intrinsic mechanisms of BBR's protective effects in myocardial I/R injury.

Methods: Seven databases were searched for studies performed from inception to July 2020. Methodological quality was assessed by SYRCLE’s-RoB tool.

Results: Ten studies including a total of 270 animals were included in this study. The methodology quality scores of the included studies ranged from 5 to 7 points. The meta-analysis we conducted demonstrated that BBR significantly reduced myocardial infarct size and the incidence of ventricular arrhythmia, compared to control groups (P < 0.00001). Cardiac function of animals in the BBR treatment group was also markedly increased (P < 0.00001). The index of myocardial apoptosis and the levels of biomarkers of myocardial infarction (LDH and CK) were also decreased in the BBR treatment groups compared to the control groups (P < 0.00001).

Conclusions: The pre-clinical evidence, according to our study, showed that BBR is a promising therapeutic agent for myocardial I/R injury. However, this conclusion should be further investigated in clinical studies.
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INTRODUCTION

Despite recent progress in the diagnosis and treatment of cardiovascular diseases, acute myocardial infarction (AMI) remains a leading cause of death and disabilities worldwide (1, 2). Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is one of the most effective reperfusion strategies for AMI (3). However, the process of myocardial reperfusion can itself induce further cardiomyocyte death, a
phenomenon known as myocardial ischemia/reperfusion (I/R) injury (4). The exact mechanisms of myocardial I/R injury are not fully understood (5). What is known is the pathological process of I/R injury, which begins with inflammation, oxidative stress and intracellular Ca2+ overload, taking place in a time-dependent fashion. Eventually, irreversible cell death is caused by apoptosis and necrosis (6). Myocardial I/R injury presents in various forms, including reperfusion-induced arrhythmias, myocardial stunning, and microvascular obstruction. In particular, ischemia-induced lethal ventricular arrhythmias (VAs) can induce sudden death (7). Therefore, novel strategies to improve myocardial salvage and cardiac function call for further investigation.

BBR (Figure 1), a natural isoquinoline alkaloid, extracted from the Chinese medicinal Coptis chinensis Franch (also named "Huang Lian" in Chinese) (8). Huang Lian was first recorded as a medicinal in the book “Shen Nong Ben Cao Jing,” dated A.D. 200, which was used to treat inflammatory disorders (9). BBR presents in a form of a yellow odorless powder, with characteristic alkaloid bitterness and a molar weight of 336.36 g/mol (10). The salt form of BBR is relatively water-soluble (11). BBR can be easily obtained, either from the extraction from medicinal plants or artificial synthesis (12). Because of its antiatherosclerotic, lipid-lowering, antiobesity, and antihepatic steatosis effects, BBR might presents as a promising cardioprotective candidate for myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury (9). However, no relevant studies that systematically evaluate the mechanisms of BBR in an experimental myocardial I/R injury model are available.

METHODS

Search Strategy

Seven databases, including Medline, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Wangfang database, VIP database (VIP), and China Biology Medicine disc (CBM), were systematically searched from inception to July 2020. The keywords “myocardial infarction OR myocardial ischemia OR myocardial I/R OR myocardial I/R injury OR ischemia/reperfusion injury OR myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury” AND “berberine (MeSH Terms) OR berberine (Title/Abstract)” were retrieved. Moreover, commentary articles, including meeting abstracts and reference review articles, were scrutinized. All included studies were limited to animals, and there were no language restrictions.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To prevent bias, the inclusion criteria were prespecified as follows: (1) The I/R experimental model is established by ligating the left anterior descending (LAD) coronary artery or injecting vasoconstrictor to intravenously; (2) BBR is the only means of intervention, and control animals received placebo fluids or no treatment at all; (3) non-human studies; and (4) the data on the levels of MI size (Evans blue/TTC staining or only TTC staining for ex vivo studies), cardiac enzymes, biomarkers of myocardial injury, and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) were collected for outcome evaluation. The following were prespecified exclusion criteria: (1) no model animals; (2) BBR is not the only intervention; (3) no control group; (4) repeated literature; (5) combined with cardiovascular comorbidity; and (6) in vitro studies.

Data Extraction

Two reviewers collected data independently, and a third reviewer resolved any divergence. The standardized predefined forms for data extraction were as follows: (1) first author name and publication year; (2) animal data, including species, sex, and body weight/age; (3) model preparation and anesthesia methods; (4) group information, including the dosage of drug, method of administration and duration of intervention; and (5) mean and standard deviation of the results. When there were many different time point outcomes, only the result of the peak time point was recorded. When the experimental animals received different doses of the drug, only the highest dose was recorded. Some outcome measures were published in graphical format. We used digital ruler software to measure numerical values from the graphs when we were unable to contact the authors for further information (13).

Risk of Bias

The quality of the included studies was assessed using SYRCLE's RoB tool 14. Two reviewers who performed data extraction separately evaluated the risk of bias in the included studies. Each of the following was scored as one point: (a) sequence generation; (b) baseline characteristics; (c) allocation concealment; (d) random housing; (e) blinding of the investigator; (f) random outcome assessment; (g) blinding of the outcome assessor; (h) incomplete outcome data; (i) selective outcome reporting; and (j) other sources of bias.

Statistical Analyses

Myocardial infarct size, cardiac function, the incidence of ventricular arrhythmia, the index of myocardial apoptosis, and biomarkers of myocardial infarction were analyzed using Cochrane Program Review Manager Version 5.3 (Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK). The $I^2$-statistic test was used to evaluate heterogeneity. Outcomes used a random-effects
model to decrease heterogeneity; otherwise, a fixed-effects model was applied. Outcomes are presented as risk ratios (RRs) and weighted mean differences (WMDs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Publication bias was assessed by funnel plots, and meta-regression analysis was used to evaluate factors that affected the heterogeneity.

RESULTS

Study Selection

A total of 216 studies were identified by searching the databases. After removing duplicates and irrelevant studies, 136 studies remained. After screening the titles and abstracts, 70 studies remained. A total of 66 articles were excluded for the following reasons: clinical trials, comments, or reviews. After reading the full text of the articles, 17 studies were included. Fifty-three studies were excluded for the following reasons: (1) combined use of other drugs, (2) no myocardial I/R model, (3) studies including animals with cardiovascular comorbidity of different stages, and (4) in vitro studies. Of the 17 studies that were included in the qualitative synthesis, we excluded 7 studies for the following reasons: (1) duplication, (2) no myocardial I/R model, and (3) no available data. Finally, 10 studies (14–22) were selected. The study selection process is summarized in a flow chart (Figure 2).

Characteristics of Included Studies

A total of 10 studies (14–23) with 270 animals was included between 2009 and 2020. All studies were conducted in China, including 7 studies (14, 15, 17, 19, 20, 22, 23) in English and 3 studies (16, 18, 21) in Chinese [among these studies, two were online master’s theses (16, 21) in Chinese]. Male or female Sprague-Dawley rats, male Wistar rats, and male mouse animal models were used in 6 studies (15, 17–21), 3 studies (16, 22, 23), and 1 study (14), respectively. The weight of adult rats varied from 180 grams to 300 g, and that of the mice used varied from 20 to 25 g. All myocardial infarction models were produced by ligation of the LAD. Five studies (15, 17, 19–21) used sodium pentobarbital, 2 studies (18, 22) used chloral hydrate, 2 studies (16, 23) used urethane, and 1 study (14) used isoflurane. The dose of BBR in the rat model varied between 50 and 300 mg·kg\(^{-1}\)·d\(^{-1}\), but the mice model was pre-conditioned with 10 mg·kg\(^{-1}\)·d\(^{-1}\) BBR by intraperitoneal injection in one study (14). Nine studies (14, 16–23) utilized the myocardial infarct size assay as an outcome measure. Five studies (14, 19–21, 23) used echocardiography to evaluate cardiac function (LVEF, LVFS, LVESD, LVEDD, and LVDP as outcome measures). Six studies (17–22) analyzed myocardial apoptosis by measuring the myocardial cell apoptosis rate. Six studies (17–22) detected biomarkers of myocardial injury by determining levels of serum creatine kinase (CK), creatine kinase MB (CK-MB), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and cardiac troponin I activity (cTnI). Two studies (15, 20) measured cardiomyocyte inflammatory mediators by detecting levels of tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, interleukin (IL)-6, and IL-1β. Three studies (16, 20, 21) measured myocardial superoxide generation, gp91phox protein expression, MDA concentration, and SOD activity. Three studies (15, 16, 23) investigated ventricular arrhythmia following I/R insult. The overall characteristics of the included publications are shown in Table 1.

Methodological Quality of the Included Studies

The quality scores of the studies ranged from 5 to 7 points. Two studies (15, 17) received 7 points, 6 studies (14, 18–20, 22, 23) received 6 points, and 2 studies (16, 21) received 5 points. All included studies were at low risk of bias regarding baseline characteristics, allocation concealment, random outcome assessment, and incomplete outcome data. No studies described sequence generation, blinding investigators, or blinding outcome assessors. Four studies (15, 17, 18, 22) described random housing. Seven studies (14, 15, 17, 19, 20, 22, 23) exhibited selective outcome reporting, and 9 studies (14–21, 23) declared no other sources of bias. The details of the methodological quality are shown in Table 2.

OUTCOME MEASURES

Myocardial Infarct Size

A meta-analysis of 9 studies (14, 16–23) demonstrated that BBR significantly decreased myocardial infarct (MI) size compared to the control group \([n = 250, MD = −14.03, 95\% CI (−14.85, −13.21), P < 0.00001, I^2 = 97\%]\). Because of obvious heterogeneity in the included studies, we used sensitivity analyses. Two studies (22, 23) were the origin of obvious heterogeneity, which was determined by systematically excluding each study. After removing these studies, there was no significant heterogeneity among the remaining research. Thus, a fixed effects model was used for statistical analysis. A meta-analysis of 7 studies (14, 16–21) showed a significant effect of BBR on decreasing MI size compared to the control groups \([n = 210, MD = −17.59, 95\% CI (−18.52, −16.65), P < 0.00001, I^2 = 6\%]\). Notably, subgroup analysis according to reperfusion duration suggested that the pooled estimates for decreasing MI size did not depend on it (Figure 3). The funnel plot did not show any asymmetry, demonstrating the absence of publication bias (Figure 4). Meta-regression did not reveal a significant impact of covariates (i.e., species, sample size, and reperfusion duration) on the decrease in MI size of BBR (Table 3).

Cardiac Function

Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and left ventricular fractional shortening (LVFS) were examined to demonstrate improvements in cardiac function induced by BBR. A total of 4 trials (14, 19–21) reported the protective effects of BBR on LVEF and LVFS compared to the control groups. The 4 independent studies (14, 19–21) of LVEF showed significant heterogeneity. Thus, a random effects model was used for statistical analysis. The meta-analysis demonstrated that BBR led to a greater increase in LVEF than in the control groups \([n = 124, MD = 21.97, 95\% CI (17.31, 26.62), P < 0.00001, I^2 = 41\%] \) (Figure 5). However, the meta-analysis of 4 LVFS studies (14, 19–21) did not show significant heterogeneity. Thus, in the pooled analysis using a fixed effects model, preconditioning with BBR markedly
FIGURE 2 | Summary of the process for identifying candidate studies.
### Table 1: Characteristics of included studies.

| References   | Country | Species (sex, n = experimental/control group) | Weight/year | Model (method) | Anesthetic | Treatment group (method to astragal sides) | Control group | Duration, week | Outcomes | Intergroup differences |
|--------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|------------|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------|------------------------|
| Yu et al. (20) | China   | SD rats (male 10/10)                          | 250–300 g   | Block LAD for 30 min then reflow for 4, 6, 24 h | Pentobarbital sodium 3% | BBR (dissolved in 0.5% CMC-Na solution) oral 200 mg/kg/d | 0.5% CMC-Na solution oral 2 mL | 2 | (1) LVEF, (2) LVFS, (3) Myocardial infarct size, (4) Myocardial cell apoptosis rate, (5) MDA, (6) SOD, (7) gp91phox protein, (8) MDA, (9) SOD, (10) IL-6, (11) TNF-α, (12) MPO | (1) P < 0.01, (2) P < 0.01, (3) P < 0.01, (4) P < 0.01, (5) P < 0.01, (6) P < 0.01, (7) P < 0.01, (8) P < 0.01, (9) P < 0.01, (10) P < 0.01, (11) P < 0.01, (12) P < 0.01 |
| Wang et al. (17) | China   | SD rats (male 20/20)                          | 250–300 g   | Block LAD for 30 min then reflow for 3 h | Pentobarbital sodium 40 mg/kg | BBR oral 200 mg/kg/d | Saline | 4 | (1) Myocardial infarct size, (2) CK-MB, (3) cTnI, (4) LDH, (5) Myocardial cell apoptosis rate, (6) MMP, (7) Mitochondrial complex I | (1) P < 0.05, (2) P < 0.05, (3) P < 0.05, (4) P < 0.05, (5) P < 0.05, (6) P < 0.05, (7) P < 0.05 |
| Zhu et al. (22) | China   | Wistar rats (male 5/5)                        | 180–200 g   | Block LAD for 30 min then reflow for 2 h | Chloral hydrate 10% | BBR oral 300 mg/kg/d | NM | 3 days | (1) Myocardial infarct size, (2) OK-MB, (3) AST, (4) LDH, (5) Myocardial cell apoptosis rate | (1) P < 0.05, (2) P < 0.05, (3) P < 0.05, (4) P < 0.05, (5) P < 0.05 |
| Qin-Wei and Yong-Guang (15) | China   | SD rats (male 10/10)                          | 200–250 g   | Block LAD for 30 min then reflow for 4 h | Pentobarbital sodium 1% | BBR oral 100 mg/kg/d | Saline | 2 | (1) Incidence of ventricular arrhythmia, (2) TNF-α, (3) IL-6, (4) IL-1β | (1) P < 0.05, (2) P < 0.01, (3) P < 0.05, (4) P < 0.01 |
| Yu et al. (19) | China   | SD rats (male 20/20)                          | 220–250 g   | Block LAD for 30 min then reflow for 4, 6, 72 h | Pentobarbital sodium 3% | BBR (dissolved in 0.5% CMC-Na solution) oral 200 mg/kg/d | 0.5% CMC-Na solution oral 2 mL | 2 | (1) LVEF, (2) LVFS, (3) Myocardial infarct size, (4) Myocardial cell apoptosis rate, (5) OK, (6) LDH | (1) P < 0.01, (2) P < 0.01, (3) P < 0.01, (4) P < 0.01, (5) P < 0.01, (6) P < 0.01 |

(Continued)
| References         | Country | Species (sex, n = experimental/control group) | Weight/year | Model (method) | Anesthetic | Treatment group (method to astragal sides) | Control group | Duration, week | Outcomes                                                                 |
|--------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Xiong and Wei (13) | China   | SD rats (male/female 8/8)                     | 180–200 g   | Block LAD for 30 min then reflow for 1.5 h | Chloral hydrate 10% | BBR (dissolved in 0.5% CMC-Na solution) oral 300 mg/kg/d | 0.5% CMC-Na solution oral | 1 | (1) Myocardial infarct size (2) LDH (3) CPK (4) Myocardial cell apoptosis rate |
| Zhao et al. (21)   | China   | SD rats (male & female 8/8)                   | 200–250 g   | Block LAD for 30 min then reflow for 2 h | Pentobarbital sodium 3% | BBR (dissolved in 0.5% CMC-Na solution) oral 200 mg/kg/d | 0.5% CMC-Na solution oral | 3 | (1) LVEF (2) LVFS (3) Myocardial infarct size (4) Myocardial cell apoptosis rate (5) CK (6) LDH (7) gp91phox protein |
| Song (16)          | China   | Wistar rats (male 15/15)                      | 250–300 g   | Block LAD for 30 min then reflow for 2 h | Urethane 20% | BBR oral 50 mg/kg/d | Saline | 10 days | (1) Myocardial infarct size (2) SOD (3) MDA (4) Incidence of ventricular arrhythmia |
| Huang et al. (14)  | China   | C57BL/6 mice (male 28/20)                    | 20–25 g     | Block LAD for 30 min then reflow for 3 h | Isoflurane 4% | BBR Intravenous injection 10 mg/kg | Saline | 15 min | (1) Myocardial infarct size (2) LVESD (3) LVEDD (4) FS (5) EF |
| Chang et al. (23)  | China   | Wistar rats (male 15/15)                      | 250–280 g   | Block LAD for 30 min then reflow for 2 h | Urethane 20% | BBR oral 100 mg/kg/d | Water | 14 days | (1) LVDP (2) LVEDP (3) Incidence of ventricular arrhythmia (4) Myocardial infarct size |

BBR, Berberine; SD rats, Sprague-Dawley; NM, no mention; LVEF, Left ventricular ejection fraction; LVFS, left ventricular fractional shortening; LVESD, left ventricular end-systolic diameter; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVEDP, left ventricular end-diastolic pressure; LVDP, left ventricular developed pressure; FS, Fractional shortening; EF, ejection fraction; CK, creatine kinase; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; MDA, malondialdehyde; SOD, superoxide dismutase; CK-MB, creatine kinase-MB; cTnI, cardiac troponin I activity; MMD, mitochondrial membrane potential; CPK, Creatine Phosphokinase; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α; IL-6, interleukin (IL)-6; MPO, myeloperoxidase.
increased LVFS compared to the control groups [\(n = 124, MD = 12.21, 95\%\ CI (10.27, 14.15), P < 0.00001, I^2 = 0\%\)] (Figure 6).

The Incidence of Ventricular Arrhythmia

Three studies (15, 16, 23) investigated the effects of BBR on ventricular arrhythmia following I/R injury. After sensitivity analysis, we removed the study with data that showed obvious heterogeneity. A meta-analysis of 2 studies (16, 23) using a fixed effects model showed a significant effect of BBR on decreasing the counts of premature ventricular contraction (PVC) compared to the control groups [\(n = 60, MD = -54.36, 95\%\ CI (-69.08, -39.64), P < 0.00001, I^2 = 0\%\)] (Figure 7). A meta-analysis
of 2 studies (16, 23) using a fixed effects model showed that BBR markedly diminished the cumulative duration of ventricular tachycardia (VT) compared to the control groups \([n = 60, MD = -4.1, 95\% CI (-5.28, -2.92), P < 0.00001, I^2 = 0\%]\) (Figure 8).

A meta-analysis of 2 studies (15, 16) using a fixed effects model showed that BBR markedly diminished the cumulative duration of ventricular fibrillation (VF) compared to the control groups \([n = 50, MD = -8.84, 95\% CI (-10.7, -6.99), P < 0.00001, I^2 = 0\%]\) (Figure 9).

### Myocardial Apoptosis
Six studies utilized myocardial apoptosis level as the outcome measure. All included studies used a terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) assay
to analyze the levels of myocardial apoptosis. The level of myocardial apoptosis were evaluated by the counts of apoptotic cardiomyocytes or the total number of cardiomyocytes counted × 100%. A meta-analysis of these studies showed significant effects of BBR in decreasing the level of myocardial apoptosis compared to the control groups \( n = 142, \text{MD} = -17.34, 95\% \text{CI} (-22.78, -11.89), P < 0.00001, I^2 = 95\% \) (Figure 10). There was significant heterogeneity among the studies. Therefore, a random effects model was used for the meta-analysis.

**Serum LDH and Serum CK Levels**

Six studies reported LDH as an outcome measure. However, the data of LDH was not available in one study and it was since removed. Meta-analysis of 5 studies showed a significant effect of BBR on decreasing LDH compared to the control groups \( n = 102, \text{MD} = -7.57, 95\% \text{CI} (-8.33, -6.8), P < 0.00001, I^2 = 97\% \). After sensitivity analysis, we removed 2 studies that caused obvious heterogeneity. BBR in the remaining 3 studies showed significant effects on reducing LDH compared to the control groups.
control groups \[n = 76, \text{MD} = -6.75, 95\% \text{CI} (-9.07, -4.44), P < 0.00001, I^2 = 63\%\] (Figure 11). A meta-analysis of 3 studies showed a significant effect of BBR on decreasing CK compared to the control groups \[n = 76, \text{MD} = -6.55, 95\% \text{CI} (-7.13, -5.98), P < 0.00001, I^2 = 13\%\] (Figure 12). Due to low heterogeneity among the trials, the fixed effects model was used for the meta-analysis.

**DISCUSSION**

**Summary of Evidence**

This is the first preclinical meta-analysis and systematic review to investigate the cardioprotective effects of BBR on myocardial I/R injury. Ten studies with 270 animals were included. The methodological quality of the included studies was generally moderate. The findings of our study indicated that, compared to vehicle control, BBR reduces myocardial infarct size and the incidence of ventricular arrhythmia, improves cardiac function, ameliorates myocardial apoptosis, and decreasing biomarker levels of myocardial infarction.

**Molecular Mechanisms**

Myocardial I/R injury was reported to be related to several pathophysiological features, including the inflammatory response, endothelial dysfunction, generation of oxygen free radicals, mitochondrial dysfunction, myocardial cell apoptosis and autophagy (24, 25). Multiple molecular mechanisms may be involved in the underlying protective mechanisms of BBR against myocardial injury, and a better understanding of these protective mechanisms will provide better insight into BBR (Figure 13).
Antioxidant Effect

It has been extensively reported that ischemia/reperfusion injury (I/R injury) is associated with excessive oxidative stress, and the increased consumption of antioxidants in the ischemic and reperfused myocardium results in substantial damage to the heart (26–28). One of the enzymes that contributes to oxidative stress in I/R injury is myeloperoxidase (MPO). BBR-treated rats exhibited markedly reduced myocardial MPO activity (20). BBR also exhibits direct antioxidant properties, including the induction of gp91phox protein expression and SOD activity (16, 21). Silent information regulator 1 (SIRT1) can deacetylate and activate forkhead box O (FOXO). FOXO can synthesize antioxidants, including catalase and manganese superoxide dismutase (MnSOD) to reduce oxidative damage (29, 30). SIRT1 expression and Foxo1 deacetylation are induced by BBR treatment, promoting an antioxidant effect (20).

Anti-inflammatory Effects

The phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT signaling pathway is involved in the protection of myocardial cells against I/R injury by regulating the inflammatory response (31). BBR acts as an anti-inflammatory agent by suppressing the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway to decrease the secretion of an array of proinflammatory cytokines/mediators (IL-6, IL-1β, and TNFα) in myocardial tissue (15, 32). The SIRT1/FOXO signaling pathway has also been shown to be involved in the protection of myocardial I/R injury by regulating the inflammatory response (20).

Antiapoptotic Effects

Cardiomyocyte apoptosis is one of the primary mechanisms of myocardial I/R injury. In a mouse model of myocardial I/R injury, BBR inhibited the activation of caspase-3, caspase-9, and apoptotic protease-activating factor 1 (Apaf-1) in cardiomyocytes (33). Notch1 signaling activation is reported to contribute to cardioprotection provided by ischemic preconditioning and post-conditioning by suppressing apoptosis (34). BBR treatment overactivates Notch1 and upregulates the expression of NICD and Hes1, indicating an essential role of Notch1/Hes1 signaling in the protective action of BBR (19). In addition, PI3K/Akt, AMPK and eNOS phosphorylation are also involved in the
processing of BBR, suppressing myocardial apoptosis (17, 35). Emerging evidence strongly suggests that mTOR is a pivotal factor which negatively regulates autophagy and regulates cellular metabolism, survival, proliferation, and death (36). In cardiac fibroblasts, BBR treatment activates the AMPK signaling pathway and suppresses the mTOR/p70S6K signaling pathway to exert its protective effect (37, 38). In addition, BBR was reported to partly inhibit the PI3K/Akt/mTOR signaling pathway (36).

The Effect on Mitochondrial Dysfunction and Autophagy

Autophagy and mitophagy both are considered to be essential homeostatic pathways in cell, as genetic ablation of key autophagy and mitophagy genes is sufficient to induce the degeneration of cell (39, 40). Mitochondrial dysfunction is involved in myocardial I/R injury (41, 42). BBR pretreatment improved mitochondrial membrane potential, which was accompanied by significantly elevated mitochondrial complex I activity, suggesting that the mitochondrial dysfunction caused by I/R was significantly attenuated (17, 43). In myocardial I/R injury, induced autophagic flux might explain BBR's attenuation of mitochondrial dysfunction (44). In addition, BBR pretreatment regulates mitochondrial autophagy (mitophagy) via the HIF-1α/BNIP3 pathway, promoting mitochondrial autophagy (autophagy-related protein levels were elevated, and the number of autophagosomes increased) (22).

The Effect on Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress

Excessive ER stress has been associated with a number of pathological conditions, including ischemia/reperfusion injury, septic organ damage, and diabetes complications (45, 46). Previous studies have implicated JAK2/STAT3 signaling to play a key role in ameliorating myocardial I/R injury (47), and BBR was suggested to reduce myocardial ER stress by activating JAK2/STAT3 signaling (21).

Implications

Clinically there lack routinely used drugs targeting the improvement on myocardial reperfusion so far (13); nevertheless, there is laboratory evidence on several myocardial protecting agents' potential in clinical use, including Sodium tanshinone IIA sulfonate (STS), Panax Notoginseng Saponins (PNS), Panax quinquefolium saponin (PQS), etc. Wei et al. (48) demonstrated that STS exerted cardioprotective effect on myocardial I/R injury in rat. STS serve this function by augment of antioxidant system, attenuating several consequences of myocardial ischemia including serum myocardial zymogram, cardiac function and microstructure disorder. Li et al. (49) revealed that the myocardial protective effect of PQS during I/R injury is partially mediated by inhibiting the opening of mitochondrial permeability transition pore (mPTP). Liu et al. (50) found PNS alleviated the myocardial ischemia and reperfusion injury in rats through HIF-1α/BNIP3 pathway of autophagy. In their study, the damage of cardiomyocytes and mitochondria was reduced by PNS, and it also increased the expressions of mitophagy related proteins.

Though the number of clinical trials is restricted, it is still evident that BBR has certain priority over other experimental agents according to the precious data. Qing et al. (51) reported BBR could improve the prognosis of patients with AMI and undergoing primary PCI, reducing several transcriptional factors. According to Yao, BBR protects patients from cardiac injury partly via reduction in autophagy and apoptosis. Li et al. (52) reported that BBR improved the prognosis of patients with acute cerebral ischemic stroke and diminished neurological impairment by reducing the serum level of macrophage migration inhibitory factor and interleukin-6.

Furthermore, the reasons for BBR's clinical potential extend further from it protecting effects against I/R injury. Outside China, the therapeutic role of BBR in other cardiovascular and metabolic diseases had attracted considerable attention from researchers worldwide. According to Marin-Neto’s et al. (53) study, BBR could reduce systemic vascular resistance and heart rate and enhance cardiac index in patients with refractory chronic heart failure (CHF). In another study, BBR was also found to reduce the frequency and complexity of ventricular premature beats (VPBs) and increase left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) (54). A BBR-based nutraceuticals combination, reported Pirro et al. (55), reduced PCSK9 and plasma cholesterol levels and improved arterial stiffness in antiretroviral therapy treated HIV-infected patients. Zhang et al. (56) discovered that BBR improved the levels of fasting blood glucose (FBG), post-load blood glucose (P-LBG), Hba1c, blood lipid indicators and insulin-sensitivity, and reduced systolic blood pressure (SBP) on 106 subjects with T2DM and dyslipidemia. Meng et al. (57) evaluated that the anti-inflammatory action of BBR in ACS patients following PCI, which reduced TC and LDL-C levels as well as markers of inflammation. Additionally, BBR was found to have a tendency to induce better reduction in triglycerides and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, but there was no statistical significance (57). In summary, BBR was found to possess multiple positive effects, including improving blood lipid profile, blood pressure, glucose level, insulin resistance, endothelial function, and systemic inflammation (57-62).

The protective effects of BBR are exerted via diverse pathways, including the inflammatory response, endothelial dysfunction, generation of oxygen free radicals, mitochondrial dysfunction, myocardial cell apoptosis and autophagy, which, from our point of view, makes it a highly promising candidate. Compared to other drugs, BBR has distinguished advantages on regulating metabolism, including blood lipids and glucose levels, which can be remarkably constructive on improving the prognosis of cardiovascular incidents after I/R injury. Although emerging evidence is pointing to the obvious advantages of BBR, however, the gap between laboratory and clinical setting cannot be overlooked.

The purpose of animal experiments is to collect information on three aspects, including understanding disease mechanisms, suggesting intervention strategies (guiding clinical trials), and examining the potential efficacy, safety, and toxicity of the interventions. However, it is difficult to translate conclusions
from preclinical research to humans in clinical trials due to the low predictive value of animal experiments (63). Some deficiencies in poor methodological quality and significant design differences between experimental animal studies and clinical trials might account for the low predictive value of animal experiments with weak internal and external validity (64, 65). Systematic reviews (SRs) and meta-analyses of experimental animal studies has been suggested to facilitate the translation of research findings from animals to humans. SRs are effective in identifying these factors in the short term and promoting their avoidance in the long term (66). In our study, although we found that BBR exerted cardioprotective functions in myocardial I/R injury, the included studies had a high risk of selection, performance and detection bias, and negative findings were less likely to be published. These factors may impair the reliability of pre-clinical evidence of BBR’s protective effects in myocardial I/R injury. Therefore, well-designed animal studies or/and RCTs are urgently called for the evaluation of the expected effects of BBR.

Limitations
First, our meta-analysis includes studies performed solely in China. Although we found that many studies have convincing evidence of BBR showing diverse therapeutic effects on myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury, these studies were primarily originated from China. Therefore, it is indeed a limitation of the present study. Second, similar to other meta-analyses of preclinical studies, studies with experimental animals are most likely to be published if they present positive results. Thus, the efficacy was overestimated, which indeed contributed as a major source of bias. Third, our study only included target animal models without other comorbidities. However, the cardiovascular comorbidities of patients with myocardial I/R injury are more complicated in a clinical setting. Fourth, data from large animal models that share more similarities in pathophysiological characteristics with humans were absent. These issues substantially limit the interpretation and extension of our results to humans.

Conclusions
The results of this preclinical systematic review indicated that BBR significantly reduces myocardial infarct size and the incidence of ventricular arrhythmia, improves cardiac function, ameliorates myocardial apoptosis, and decreasing biomarker levels of myocardial infarction. From the analysis of the underlying mechanisms of BBR’s protective effects, it seems that antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-apoptosis, regulation of mitochondrial dysfunction and autophagy, and reduction of endoplasmic reticulum stress are closely associated with its effects on myocardial I/R injury. Therefore, BBR demonstrates a unique effect on myocardial I/R injury in current studies. In addition, these conclusions should be interpreted with caution due to the lack of clinical trials.
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