Longitudinal alterations of the gut mycobiota and microbiota on COVID-19 severity
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Abstract

Background: The impact of SARS-CoV-2 infection on the gut fungal (mycobiota) and bacterial (microbiota) communities has been elucidated individually. This study analyzed both gut mycobiota and microbiota and their correlation in the COVID-19 patients with severe and mild conditions and follow-up to monitor their alterations after recovery.

Methods: We analyzed the gut mycobiota and microbiota by bacterial 16S and fungal ITS1 metagenomic sequencing of 40 severe patients, 38 mild patients, and 30 healthy individuals and reanalyzed those of 10 patients with severe COVID-19 approximately 6 months after discharge.

Results: The mycobiota of the severe and mild groups showed lower diversity than the healthy group, and in some, characteristic patterns dominated by a single fungal species, *Candida albicans*, were detected. Lower microbial diversity in the severe group was observed, but no differences in its diversity or community structure were detected between the mild and healthy groups. The microbiota of the severe group was characterized by an increase in *Enterococcus* and *Lactobacillus*, and a decrease in *Faecalibacterium* and *Bacteroides*. The abundance of *Candida* was positively correlated with that of *Enterococcus* in patients with COVID-19. After the recovery of severe patients, alteration of the microbiota remained, but the mycobiota recovered its diversity comparable to that of mild and healthy groups.

Conclusion: In mild cases, the microbiota is stable during SARS-CoV-2 infection, but in severe cases, alterations persist for 6 months after recovery.
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Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). More than 100 million people worldwide have been infected by SARS-CoV-2 [1]. It has been reported that dysregulated immune responses correlate with the severity of COVID-19 [2].

The gut fungal community (mycobiota) plays an important role in host immune responses and the prevention of infection [3, 4]. An altered mycobiota composition has been observed in patients with COVID-19 [5, 6]. Patients with severe COVID-19 were usually
treated with dexamethasone, which may affect the gut mycobiota [7]. Candida and Aspergillus species were enriched in fecal samples from hospitalized patients with COVID-19 [5]. Secondary infections, such as COVID-19-associated candidemia, present serious complications in the treatment of severe disease [8]. Previous reports found that the mortality rate is high in patients with COVID-19-associated candidemia [9, 10]. However, it is still unclear how disease severity affects the mycobiota composition.

The gut bacterial community (microbiota) also plays an important role in regulating innate and adaptive immune responses during infection [11, 12]. Several studies reported alteration of the gut microbiota composition in patients with COVID-19 [13–17]. These changes were characterized by the increase of opportunistic bacteria and the decrease of beneficial commensal bacteria. Some of these changes were possible due to the use of antibiotics [16]. Some specific bacteria were correlated with disease severity [14]. Thus, gut microbiota alterations might reflect the clinical outcome of COVID-19. However, no study has investigated the correlation between mycobiota and microbiota, and the severity of COVID-19.

Alteration of the gut microbiota in response to respiratory infections by other viruses has also been reported. For example, patients with influenza A virus infection displayed lower diversity and a different composition of the intestinal microbiota than healthy controls [13]. It remains unclear whether the altered composition of the mycobiota and microbiota in patients with COVID-19 is indicative of the sensitivity to SARS-CoV-2 infection or simply a consequence of the inflammatory condition of viral infection.

There is limited evidence of the altered microbiota composition in patients who recovered from COVID-19. A report demonstrated that the diversity and community structure of the gut microbiota in patients with COVID-19 at 3 months after discharge was different from that of healthy controls [18]. Another study showed prolonged impairment of fecal metabolites and microbiota after a month of the discharge in patients with COVID-19 [19]. To understand the long lasting COVID-19 symptoms, a longitudinal study of the gut mycobiota and microbiota in patients with severe COVID-19 is required.

This study explored the gut mycobiota and microbiota in patients who recovered from COVID-19. We first investigated the correlation between gut mycobiota and microbiota in hospitalized patients with severe and mild conditions. In addition, we collected fecal samples from 10 patients who had the severe disease after approximately 6 months of recovery and reanalyzed their mycobiota and microbiota alterations.

**Materials and methods**

**Study participants**

Fecal samples were obtained from 40 patients with severe COVID-19 at Osaka University Hospital and 38 patients with mild COVID-19 at Osaka Toneyama Medical Center. The severity of COVID-19 was categorized as (1) mild, if there was no radiographic evidence of pneumonia; (2) moderate, if pneumonia was present without requiring mechanical ventilation or intensive care; (3) severe, if there was respiratory failure requiring mechanical ventilation, shock, or organ failure requiring intensive care. In this study, “mild” included patients with mild-to-moderate COVID-19. Fecal samples were recollected from 10 patients with severe COVID-19 after discharge. Fecal samples were also collected from 30 age- and sex-matched healthy controls. Samples were collected after informed consent was obtained from the subjects in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the study was conducted with approval from the local ethics committees of Osaka University Hospital and Osaka Toneyama Medical Center.

**Extraction of bacterial and fungal DNA**

Human fecal samples were collected in sterile transparent tubes with a screw cap (L × Ø: 76 × 20 mm) (SARSTEDT) containing RNAlater® (Ambion). After samples were weighed, RNAlater® was added to generate tenfold dilutions of homogenates. Homogenates (200 µl) were washed twice with 1 ml of phosphate-buffered saline and stored at −20 °C until use. Bacterial DNA was extracted according to a previously described method [20]. Briefly, to extract DNA, 300 µl of Tris-SDS solution, 0.3 g of glass beads (diameter, 0.1 mm, BioSpec Products), and 500 µl of Tris–EDTA-saturated phenol were added to the suspension, and the mixture was vortexed vigorously using a FastPrep-24 (M.P. Biomedicals) at a power level of 5.0 for 30 s for bacterial DNA and 60 s for fungal DNA. After centrifugation at 20,000 × g for 5 min, the supernatant (400 µl) was collected. Subsequently, phenol–chloroform extraction was performed, and the supernatant of 250 µl was subjected to isopropanol precipitation. Finally, DNA was suspended in 200 µl of TE buffer and stored at −20 °C.

**qRT-PCR and whole-genome sequencing of SARS2-CoV-2**

RNA was extracted from the nasal swab, sputum, or stool samples stored in RNAlater using a QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The extracted RNA was then subjected to qRT-PCR targeting the N gene to obtain Ct values. Each reaction mixture consisted of One Step PrimeScript III RT-qPCR Mix (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan), a forward primer (NIID_2019-nCOV_N_F2, 500 nM), a
reverse primer (NIID_2019-nCOV_N_R2, 700 nM), a probe (NIID_2019-nCOV_N_P2, 200 nM), and 2 µl of RNA solution in a final volume of 5 µl.

SARS-CoV-2 positive control RNA for One-Step RT-PCR (#P-N22-PC, NIHON GENE RESEARCH LABORATORIES, Sendai, Japan) was used for the standard control of qPCR. An Eco 48 Real-Time qPCR system (PCR max, Stone, Staffordshire, UK) was used for the qRT-PCR assays with the following program: 5 min at 52 °C, 10 s at 95 °C, and 45 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C and 60 s at 60 °C. To determine the SARS-CoV-2 genome sequences, we prepared sequencing libraries using the multiplex PCR method. Briefly, after reverse transcription using SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and random primers pd(N)6 (Takara Bio), whole-genome amplification was performed using ATRIC Network’s modified (V3) primer set [21]. NGS libraries were prepared using the Nextera XT Library Prep Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Paired-end sequencing to a length of 2 × 150 bp was performed on a DNSEQ-G400RS sequencer (MGI, Yantian, Shenzhen, China) using the DNSEQ-G400RS High-throughput Sequencing Kit (FCL PE150). After trimming the adapter sequences using Cutadapt version 3.2, the trimmed sequence reads were aligned to the reference genome of SARS-CoV-2 (GenBank accession number: NC_045512.2) by BWA version 0.7.17. After marking duplicate reads in BAM files using Samtools version 1.11 and Picard in GATK 4.2.0.0, variant calling was executed using Mutect2 in GATK 4.2.0.0. Consensus sequences were obtained using bcftools version 1.9.

Determination of the bacterial and fungal composition by amplicon deep sequencing

AmpliSeq libraries were prepared using the two-step tagged PCR method for microbiota analysis by targeting the V1–V2 region of the 16S rRNA gene (27Fmod, 5’AGGTGTGTGATCCTAGAGGAA GTAA-3’; ITS1F, 5’-GTGTCGGCTCATCTAATCMTGTCAGTGCA-3’). Then, 301-bp paired-end sequencing of these amplicons was performed on a MiSeq system (Illumina, San Diego, CA) using a MiSeq Reagent v3 600 cycle kit. The paired-end sequences obtained were merged, filtered, and denoised using DADA2. Taxonomic assignment was performed using QIIME2 feature-classifier plugin with the Greengenes 13_8 database for bacteria and the ntF-ITS1 database for fungi [22]. The QIIME2 pipeline, version 2020.2 was used as the bioinformatics environment to process all relevant raw sequencing data (https://qiime2.org).

Statistical analysis

Principal coordinate analysis was performed using the R package ade4, and ANOSIM was performed using the R package Vegan. The differential in bacterial and fungal taxonomy between groups was identified by linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) [23].

Patient and public involvement

Patients or the public were not involved in the design, conduct, reporting, or dissemination plans of this study.

Results

Characteristics of patients with severe and mild COVID-19 compared with healthy controls

Clinical characteristics such as comorbidities and symptoms at enrollment are presented in Table 1. Five fatal cases were included in the severe group, whereas no fatal cases were observed in the mild group. Antibiotics were used by 90% of patients with severe disease and 84.2% of patients with mild disease. Dexamethasone was used by 95% of patients with severe disease and 47.4% of patients with mild COVID-19. No patients with mild COVID-19 were treated with antifungal drugs. The clinical information for the severe and mild groups, including the duration of hospitalization, day of stool sampling, and drug usage, is presented in Additional file 1: Figs. S1 and S2. We performed SARS-CoV-2 qRT-PCR on the collected stool specimens, and the results demonstrated that 17 patients in the severe group, but none in the mild group, were positive for coronavirus. Among the positive samples, 12 were evaluated by whole-genome sequencing. The obtained genome sequences illustrated that all SARS-CoV-2 variants were assigned to clade 20B on the Nextclade lineage (Table 2).

Alteration of the mycobiota in patients with COVID-19

We compared the gut mycobiota of patients with severe or mild COVID-19 with that of healthy controls. The fungal α-diversity of the severe disease group was significantly lower than that of the control and mild disease groups (Figs. 1A, B, 2A). The β-diversity of the gut mycobiota in both the severe and mild groups differed from that of the healthy controls (P = 0.001, P = 0.002, respectively; Fig. 1C). The mycobiota was dominated by the genus Candida in the severe (60%, 21/35) and mild (51.6%, 16/31) groups, whereas no patients (0/21) in the control group showed a predominance of this genus (Fig. 2B, Additional file 1: Fig. S3). LEfSe identified characteristic fungi in the severe group as Candida, whereas Aspergillus was identified in the healthy controls (Fig. 3A, B). When analyzing Candida species, the patient groups were characterized by an increase in...
the abundance of *C. albicans* (Additional file 1: Fig. S4). The abundance of other *Candida* species, namely *C. tropicalis*, *C. parapsilosis*, and *C. dubliniensis*, did not significantly differ among the three groups.

To evaluate the effect of dexamethasone, we compared the relative abundance of *Candida* species according to the use of dexamethasone. We observed no significant difference according to the receipt of this drug (Additional file 1: Fig. S5). In addition, 11 patients in the severe group required additional antifungal drugs such as micafungin sodium or amphotericin B, and three patients (Cov-6, Cov-42, and Cov-50) developed candidemia during hospitalization. Ten patients in the severe group received antifungal drugs after the collection of fecal samples. These results indicated that the increased abundance of *Candida* species in patients with COVID-19 was not simply attributable to drug treatment. We also investigated whether antibiotics affect the gut mycobiota in the patients with severe COVID-19. To this end, we divided the patients with severe disease into two groups with or without meropenem, the most frequently used antibiotics. We found that the α- and β-diversity of the gut mycobiota did not differ between the two groups (Additional file 1: Fig. S6A, B).

### Alteration of the fecal microbiota in patients with COVID-19

To evaluate the interaction between fungi and bacteria in patients with COVID-19, we analyzed the gut microbiota in the patients with severe disease. The bacterial α-diversity was significantly lower in the severe group than in the control and mild groups (Figs. 1D, E, 2A). The bacterial β-diversity in the severe group differed from those of the mild and control groups (Fig. 1F). There were no significant differences in α- and β-diversity between the mild and control groups. In the severe group, a loss of diversity was observed for individual genera, such as *Enterococcus*, *Alistipes*, and *Streptococcus*, accounting for over 50% of the microbiota (Fig. 2C, Additional file 1: Fig. S7). No such patterns were observed in the mild and healthy groups. LEfSe identified characteristic bacteria in the severe group as *Enterococcus*, *Finegoldia*, and *Lactobacillus*. The characteristic bacteria in the healthy controls were identified as *Bacteroides*, *Faecalibacterium*, and *Blautia* (Fig. 4). We also investigated whether antibiotics

---

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics and clinical course

|                                | Severe COVID-19 | Mild COVID-19 | Healthy controls | P value |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|---------|
| Numbers of samples             | 40              | 38            | 30               |         |
| Median age (IQR), year         | 72 (62.75–74)   | 72 (58–78)    | 64 (61–69.75)    | 0.21    |
| Female sex-no./total no.       | 10 (25%)        | 16 (42.1%)    | 10 (33.3%)       | 0.27    |
| Median BMI                      | 23.2            | 23.6          | N.A              | 0.39    |
| Comorbidities                  |                 |               |                  |         |
| Hypertension                   | 19 (47.5%)      | 13 (34.2%)    | 8                | 0.18    |
| Diabetes mellitus              | 11(27.5%)       | 6 (15.8%)     | 4                | 0.26    |
| Dyslipidemia                   | 11 (27.5%)      | 12 (31.6%)    | 6                | 0.55    |
| Symptoms at admission          |                 |               |                  |         |
| Fever                          | 33 (82.5%)      | 29 (76.3%)    | N.A              | 0.49    |
| Diarrhea                       | 8 (20%)         | 4 (10.5%)     | N.A              | 0.34    |
| Respiratory symptoms           | 10 (25%)        | 18 (47.4%)    | N.A              | 0.06    |
| Antibiotics therapy            | 36 (90%)        | 32(84.2%)     | 0                | <0.001  |
| Meropenem                      | 20 (50%)        | 0             | 0                | N.T     |
| Tazobactam/piperacillin        | 11 (27.5%)      | 0             | 0                | N.T     |
| Azithromycin                   | 8 (20%)         | 31 (81.6%)    | 0                | N.T     |
| Levofloxacin                   | 13 (32.5%)      | 3 (7.9%)      | 0                | N.T     |
| Other antibiotics              | 14 (35%)        | 7 (18.4%)     | 0                | N.T     |
| Dexamethasone therapy          | 38 (95%)        | 18(47.4%)     | 0                | <0.001  |
| Median dose (mg)               | 6               | 6             | 0                | 1       |
| Death                          | 5 (12.5%)       | 0             | N.A              | 0.05    |
| Sampling days after onset of symptoms, Median (IQR) | 13 (9.75–18) | 17 (11–22.5) | N.A | 0.58 |

Values are expressed in number (percentage)

N.A not applicable, N.T not tested
negatively correlated with the fungus
and Aspergillus Lachnospiraceae spiraceae (Fig. 5A, B). These results demonstrated that in all groups. The abundance of the fungus was not different between the patients with COVID-19 (Additional file 1: Fig. S6C, D). We next analyzed correlations between the microbiota and mycobiota in patients who recovered from COVID-19. The bacterial α-diversity of the recovered group was comparable to that of the control group (Fig. 6A). The fungal β-diversity of the recovered group displayed no apparent difference from that in the severe and control groups (Fig. 6B). Dominance by the fungus Candida was observed in 56% (5/9) of patients in the recovered group (Fig. 6C). Although the abundance of Aspergillus was slightly higher in the recovered group, there was no significant difference between the recovered and control groups (Additional file 1: Fig. S8A). In summary, the α- and β-diversity of the mycobiota in the recovered group reached control levels; however, the increased abundance of Candida species was sustained after recovery.

The bacterial α-diversity of the recovered group increased slightly; however, it remained different from that of the control group (Fig. 6D). The bacterial β-diversity of the recovered group differed significantly from that of the control (P = 0.002) but not from that of the severe disease group (Fig. 6E). The relative abundance of Lactobacillus was dominant in some recovered patients (Fig. 6F). The bacteria Enterococcus and Alistipes onderdonkii, which exhibited higher abundance in the severe group, had a lower abundance in the recovered group (Additional file 1: Fig. S8B). The relative abundance of Lachnospiraceae and F. prausnitzii in the recovered group was lower than that of the control group. These results indicate that the impact of COVID-19 infection on the microbiota persists after 6 months of recovery.

Discussion
In the present study, we analyzed both the mycobiota and microbiota of three groups, patients with severe and mild COVID-19 and healthy individuals, in Japan. The mycobiota of patients with severe and mild COVID-19 showed lower diversity, in some cases with Candida species, especially C. albicans, dominating the composition. Cohorts from Hong Kong and Hangzhou, China, have also reported altered mycobiota composition of COVID-19 patients. The Hangzhou cohort showed lower mycobial diversity and enrichment of C. albicans, C. auris, and A. flavus in COVID-19 patients [6]. In contrast to this study and our study, the Hong Kong cohort demonstrated higher mycobial diversity and enrichment of C. glabrata in COVID-19 patients, whereas Penicillium and Aspergillus were reduced in abundance. The common observation from these studies is the relatively higher composition of Candida species in COVID-19 patients.

In the recovered patients. The fungal α-diversity of the recovered group was comparable to that of the control group (Fig. 6A). The fungal β-diversity of the recovered group displayed no apparent difference from that in the severe and control groups (Fig. 6B). Dominance by the fungus Candida was observed in 56% (5/9) of patients in the recovered group (Fig. 6C). Although the abundance of Aspergillus was slightly higher in the recovered group, there was no significant difference between the recovered and control groups (Additional file 1: Fig. S8A). In summary, the α- and β-diversity of the mycobiota in the recovered group reached control levels; however, the increased abundance of Candida species was sustained after recovery.

The bacterial α-diversity of the recovered group increased slightly; however, it remained different from that of the control group (Fig. 6D). The bacterial β-diversity of the recovered group differed significantly from that of the control (P = 0.002) but not from that of the severe disease group (Fig. 6E). The relative abundance of Lactobacillus was dominant in some recovered patients (Fig. 6F). The bacteria Enterococcus and A. onderdonkii, which exhibited higher abundance in the severe group, had a lower abundance in the recovered group (Additional file 1: Fig. S8B). The relative abundance of Lachnospiraceae and F. prausnitzii in the recovered group was lower than that of the control group. These results indicate that the impact of COVID-19 infection on the microbiota persists after 6 months of recovery.

Table 2 Genotype sequence of SARS-CoV2

| Patient ID | Sample type | Ct value | NextClade lineage | Spike amino acid changes |
|-----------|-------------|----------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Cov1      | Stool       | 32.9     | 20B               | D614G                   |
| Cov2      | Stool       | 34.3     | 20B               | M153T, D614G            |
| Cov3      | Stool       | 40.2     | 20B               | D614G                   |
| Cov6      | Stool       | 35.4     | 20B               | D614G                   |
| Cov7      | Stool       | 37.0     | 20B               | D614G                   |
| Cov9      | Stool       | 36.0     | 20B               | D614G                   |
| Cov13     | Stool       | 37.2     | 20B               | R273M, D614G            |
| Cov17     | Stool       | 35.7     | 20B               | R273M, D614G            |
| Swab      | 30.8       | 20B      | D614G             |                         |
| Sputum    | 25.7       | 20B      | D614G             |                         |
| Cov27     | Swab        | 23.6     | 20B               | D614G                   |
| Sputum    | 29.9       | 20B      | D614G             |                         |
| Cov32     | Stool       | 30.8     | 20B               | D614G, Q675H            |
| Swab      | 16.3       | 20B      | D614G             |                         |
| Sputum    | 26.7       | 20B      | D614G, Q675H      |                         |
| Cov38     | Stool       | 37.8     | 20B               | D614G                   |
| Swab      | 18.0       | 20B      | D614G             |                         |
| Sputum    | 29.5       | 20B      | D614G             |                         |
| Cov40     | Stool       | 38.0     | 20B               | D614G                   |
| Swab      | 22.3       | 20B      | D614G             |                         |
| Sputum    | 32.3       | 20B      | D614G             |                         |

Longitudinal alteration of the gut microbiota and mycobiota in patients who recovered from COVID-19
To investigate whether the altered composition of the mycobiota and microbiota is sustained after recovery from severe COVID-19, we collected fecal samples from 10 patients in the severe group approximately 6 months after recovery. Table 3 presents the characteristics of these patients. We first analyzed the composition of fungi
transplantation [30, 31]. Another report also illustrated that the abundance of opportunistic pathogens such as *Streptococcus*, *Rothia*, and *Veillonella* was elevated in patients with COVID-19 [13]. We found that the abundance of *Candida* was positively correlated with that of *Enterococcus* in patients with COVID-19. It has been reported that in immunocompromised mice, inoculation with *C. albicans* resulted in the overgrowth of *Enterococcus* in the intestine, indicating that these microorganisms synergistically exist in a dysbiotic state [24]. Another report demonstrated that *Enterococcus*-derived products changed the hyphal morphogenesis of *C. albicans*, which
was explained by interkingdom signaling [25]. Therefore, it is possible that the abundance of *Candida* and *Enterococcus* coordinately increased in patients with severe COVID-19.

Our study illustrated that alteration in the gut mycobial and microbial compositions persisted for at least 6 months. By then, the mycobial diversity was recovering, but the dominance of *C. albicans* remained. The microbial diversity was also not restored, and the relative abundance of beneficial microbes such as *Faecalibacterium* or *Lachnospiraceae*, was depressed. A possible reason for the sustained alteration of the gut microbiota
is inflammation. Indeed, long-lasting COVID-19 symptoms, known as "long COVID," represent a growing issue. A study found that 13.3% of patients with COVID-19 exhibited symptoms for more than 28 days, and 2.3% of patients experienced symptoms for more than 12 weeks [26]. Thus, the sustained alteration of the gut mycobiota and microbiota might be a cause of long COVID-19 symptoms.

One possible explanation for the altered gut mycobiota is treatment with antifungal drugs. In this study, 3 of 40 patients in the severe group underwent candidemia episodes. Two of three candidemia patients showed a high composition over 97% of intestinal *C. albicans*. The mechanisms for the development of candidemia in some severe COVID-19 patients remain to be elucidated; however, immunocompromised condition might be associated with this symptom. Because candidemia is associated with elevated mortality rates in the treatment of COVID-19 [27], 11 patients in the severe group required antifungal drugs. Among them, 10 patients received the antifungal drugs after the sampling. Therefore, the effect of antifungal drugs on the altered mycobiota can be ruled out from our results. The Hangzhou cohort excluded cases in which antifungal drugs were used. The Hong Kong cohort described the use of antibiotics and antiviral drugs, but the usage of antifungal drugs was not mentioned. We show that the mycobiota in the mild group differed from that of the healthy group.

![Identifying fungal differences by linear discriminant analysis (LDA) effect size. A] The LDA score of differentially enriched taxa in patients with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and healthy controls (HC). B] Taxonomic cladogram presents the taxa that were considered statistically significant between patients with severe COVID-19 and healthy controls (HC).
even though they were not treated with antifungal drugs. In addition, the mycobial compositions dominated by *C. albicans* were observed both in the severe and mild groups. These facts indicate that the use of antifungal drugs is not involved in the altered gut mycobiota.

![Fig. 4](image-url) Fig. 4 Identifying bacterial differences by linear discriminant analysis (LDA) effect size. The LDA score of differentially enriched taxa in patients with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID‑19) patients and healthy controls (HC)

![Fig. 5](image-url) Fig. 5 Correlations of gut fungi and bacteria in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID‑19). A Correlation plot for associations between two fungal genera (*Candida* and *Aspergillus*) and bacteria. B Pairwise correlation network between two fungal genera (*Candida* and *Aspergillus*) and bacteria. Bacteria that were present in 5% or more of the samples were extracted. Correlation coefficients greater than 0.2 or smaller than ‑0.2 are presented.

### Table 3  Clinical characteristics of recovered COVID-19 patients

| Patient ID | Age | Gender | Duration from 1st to 2nd sampling (days) | Comorbidities |
|------------|-----|--------|------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Cov3       | 58  | Male   | 241                                      | Hypertension, hyperuricemia, fatty liver |
| Cov4       | 68  | Male   | 244                                      | None          |
| Cov10      | 74  | Male   | 222                                      | Hypertension, dyslipidemia, hyperuricemia |
| Cov14      | 73  | Male   | 71                                       | Hypertension, DM |
| Cov18      | 64  | Male   | 153                                      | Dilated cardiomyopathy |
| Cov22      | 76  | Male   | 144                                      | RA (no treatment), DM |
| Cov25      | 56  | Male   | 180                                      | Hypertension, dyslipidemia |
| Cov27      | 72  | Female | 155                                      | Dyslipidemia    |
| Cov28      | 63  | Female | 155                                      | DM, dyslipidemia, hashimoto-disease |
| Cov39      | 50  | Male   | 120                                      | None           |

*RA* rheumatoid arthritis, *DM* diabetes mellitus type 2
Fig. 6 Longitudinal alterations of the gut mycobiota and microbiota in patients with COVID-19. Diversity of the gut mycobiota (A, B) among patients with severe COVID-19 (severe; n = 35), patients who recovered from COVID-19 (recovered; n = 10) COVID-19, and healthy controls (healthy; n = 24). Diversity of the gut microbiota (D, E) among patients with severe COVID-19 (severe; n = 40), patients who recovered from COVID-19 (recovered; n = 10) COVID-19, and healthy controls (healthy; n = 30). C Fungal composition in patients with severe COVID-19 at baseline (pre) and after 6 months of recovery (post). F The bacterial composition of patients with severe COVID-19 at baseline (pre) and after 6 months of recovery (post).
It has been reported that the use of prednisolone, a type of glucocorticoid, increases \textit{C. albicans} in the murine intestine [28]. We also used a glucocorticoid drug, dexamethasone, for treating most patients in the severe group and approximately half of the patients in the mild group. The Hangzhou cohort also used glucocorticoids in 73.1% of patients. Although it is not explicitly stated, the Hong Kong cohort may not have used immunosuppressive drugs because mild or moderate patients were recruited. We found that there was no difference in the \textit{Candida} species composition with or without the use of dexamethasone. Thus, the immunosuppressive drugs, at least dexamethasone, do not appear to be a major factor in mycobacteria alterations.

Another factor associated with the alteration of mycobiota is antibiotic treatment. A study using a mouse model showed that broad-spectrum antibiotic treatment (i.e., clindamycin, and cefoperazone) resulted in the overgrowth of \textit{Candida} species [29]. We used narrow-spectrum antibiotics, such as azithromycin and levofloxacin, for the mild group, and broad-spectrum antibiotics, meropenem, and tazobactam/piperacillin are used for the severe group. We found that the composition of \textit{Candida} species and the fungal \(\beta\)-diversity showed no significant difference between the severe and mild groups. The \(\alpha\)-diversity of mycobiota in the severe group was reduced, but no difference was observed between the groups with and without meropenem. Thus, the effect of antibiotic use on mycobiota alterations is not considered to be significant.

The gut microbiota could be more affected by antibiotic use. However, we showed no difference in microbial \(\alpha\)- and \(\beta\)-diversities between the mild and healthy groups. Yeoh et al. compared the microbiota of 100 COVID-19 and 78 non-COVID-19 patients and showed no obvious difference in composition. Of these 100 COVID-19 patients (8 severe, 45 moderate, and 47 mild), 34 patients were treated with antibiotics. We found a significant change in the microbiota in 40 patients in the severe group compared with those in the mild and healthy groups. The reduced microbial diversity was observed only in the severe group of our cohort. This difference cannot be explained by the use of meropenem. Gu et al. also reported reduced diversity of the microbiota in COVID-19 patients, including 15 with general symptoms and 15 with severe symptoms. We presume that the disease severity of COVID-19 should be the most relevant factor that influences the microbiota.

In summary, we observed alterations in the mycobiota in patients with mild to severe COVID-19, and also alterations in the microbiota in patients with severe COVID-19. These abnormalities in microbial communities persisted even after recovery. Our findings indicate that the mycobiota would be a more sensitive biomarker than the microbiota for the disease severity of COVID-19. Comparisons between studies are difficult because of the different clinical conditions, including the use of antibiotics and immunosuppressive drugs and the severity of disease; however, the overall conclusion is that COVID-19-induced changes to the mycobiota and microbiota correlate with the severity of the infection. Mycobiota and microbiota in the intestine influence the host immune responses, which might be involved in the long-lasting COVID-19 symptoms. Thus, although a more careful investigation of mycobiota and microbiota would be required, our results suggest that an intervention into intestinal mycobiota and microbiota of patients who recovered from severe COVID-19 will be useful for the improvement of the long-lasting COVID-19 symptoms.

**Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-022-07358-7.
Author contributions
YM, DM, SO, KenT and HO performed experiments. DM and SN analyzed the composition of the mycobiota and microbiota. YM, TK, YN, YA, TN, KF, SA, RH, EQ, TM, HH, YT, HK, and AK recruited patients and collected fecal samples. KiyT planned and directed the research. YM, DM and SN wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding
This research was partially supported by MIC/SCOPE #172107106 and AMED-CREST [18gm1010005] grants to SN. Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (20dk0108265, 20dk0108454, 20gm1010004) and The Kansai Economic Federation, and the Mitsubishi Foundation to K. Takeda.

Availability of data and materials
The metagenome sequencing datasets are available in the NCBI/EMBL/DDBJ database, accession numbers DRRR52589–DRRR52867 in DRA013647. The viral genome sequences of SARS-CoV-2 were deposited to GISAID (https://www.gisaid.org/). Additional file 2, Table S1).

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Human fecal samples were collected after informed consent was obtained from the subjects, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the study was conducted with the approval of the local ethics committees of Osaka University Hospital (13239-12) and Osaka Toneyama Medical Center (THN-R-2020030). All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details
1 Laboratory of Immune Regulation, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, Suita, Japan. 2 WPI Mycobiome in the gut: a multiperspective review. Mediat Inflamm. 2019 (COVID-19) in Wuhan, China. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;71(15):762–8. 3 Integrated Frontier Research Initiative, Osaka University, Suita, Japan. 4 Integrated Frontier Research Initiative, Osaka University, Suita, Japan. 5 Department of Infection Metagenomics, Genome Information Research Center, Research Institute for Microbial Diseases, Osaka University, Suita, Japan. 6 Integrated Frontier Research Initiative, Osaka University, Suita, Japan. 7 Department of Infection Metagenomics, Genome Information Research Center, Research Institute for Microbial Diseases, Osaka University, Suita, Japan. 8 National Hospital Organization Osaka Toneyama Medical Center, Osaka, Japan. 9 Department of Host Defense, Research Institute for Microbial Diseases (RIMD), Osaka University, Suita, Japan. 10 Center for Infectious Disease Education and Research, Osaka University, Suita, Japan.

Received: 25 December 2021 Accepted: 1 April 2022 Published online: 24 June 2022

References
1. Huang RL, Fu YC, Wang YC, Hong C, Yang WC, Wang U, Sun JR, Chen Y, Shen CF, Cheng CM. A lateral flow immunoassay coupled with a spectrum-based reader for SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody detection. Vaccines. 2022;10(2):271.
2. Qin C, Zhou H, Hu Z, Zhang S, Yang T, Xie Y, Cai M, Shang K, Wang W, et al. Dysregulation of immune response in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Wuhan, China. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;71(15):762–8.
3. Chin VK, Yong VC, Chong PP, Amin Nordin S, Abdullah M. Mycobiome in the gut: a multiperspective review. Mediat Inflamm. 2020;2020:956084.
4. Kong HH, Segre JA. Cultivating fungal research. Science. 2020;368(6489):365–6.
5. Zuo T, Zhan H, Zhang F, Liu Q, Tso EYK, Lui GCY, Chen N, Li A, Lu W, Chan FKL, et al. Alterations in fecal fungal microbiota of patients with COVID-19 during time of hospitalization until discharge. Gastroenterology. 2020;159(4):1302–1304.e1305.
6. Lv L, Gu S, Jiang H, Yan R, Chen Y, Chen Y, Luo R, Huang C, Lu H, Zheng B, et al. Gut mycobiota alterations in patients with COVID-19 and H1N1 infections and their associations with clinical features. Commun Biol. 2021;4(1):480.
7. Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson JR, Fraitlam M, Bell JL, Linsell L, Staplin N, Brightling C, Ustunavuski A, Elmh C, et al. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. N Engl J Med. 2021;384(8):693–704.
8. Arastehfar A, Shaban T, Zarrinfar H, Roudabehy M, Ghazanfari M, Hedayati MT, Sedaghat A, Ilkt M, Najafzadeh MJ, Perlin DS. Candidemia among Iranian patients with severe COVID-19 admitted to ICUs. J Fungi. 2021;7(4):280.
9. Villanueva-Lozano H, Treviño-Rangel RJ, González GM, Ramírez-Elizondo MT, Lara-Medrano R, Aleman-Bocanegra MC, Guajardo-Lara CE, Gaona-Chávez N, Castilla-Leal F, Torre-Amione G, et al. Outbreak of Candida auris infection in a COVID-19 hospital in Mexico. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2021;27(5):813–6.
10. Mastrangelo A, Germinario BN, Ferrante M, Frangi C, Li Voti R, Muccini C, Ripa M. Candidemia in COVID-19 patients: incidence and characteristics in a prospective cohort compared to historical non-COVID-19 controls. Clin Infect Dis. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa584.
11. Negi S, Pahari S, Bashir H, Agrevala JN. Gut microbiota regulates mice-mediated activation of lung dendritic cells to protect against Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Front Immunol. 2019;10:1142.
12. Dhar D, Mohanty A. Gut microbiota and Covid-19—possible link and implications. Viruses. 2020;285. 198018.
13. Gu S, Chen Y, Wu Z, Chen Y, Gao H, Lv L, Guo F, Zhang X, Luo R, Huang C, et al. Alterations of the gut microbiota in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 or H1N1 influenza. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;71(10):2669–78.
14. Zuo T, Zhang F, Lui GCY, Yeoh YK, Li AYL, Zhan H, Wan Y, Chuck ACK, Cheung CP, Chen N, et al. Alterations in gut microbiota of patients with COVID-19 during time of hospitalization. Gastroenterology. 2020;159(3):944-955.e948.
15. Zuo T, Liu Q, Zhang F, Lui GCY, Tso EY, Yeoh YK, Chen Z, Boon SS, Chan FK, Chan PK, et al. Depicting SARS-CoV-2ecal viral activity in association with gut microbiota composition in patients with COVID-19. Gut. 2021;70(2):276–84.
16. Yeoh YK, Zuo T, Lui GC, Zhang F, Liu Q, Li AY, Chung AC, Cheung CP, Tso EY, Fung KS, et al. Gut microbiota composition reflects disease severity and dysfunctional immune responses in patients with COVID-19. Gut. 2021;70(4):694–706.
17. Ngo VL, Gewirtz AT. Microbiota as a potentially-modifiable factor influencing COVID-19. Curr Opin Virol. 2021;49:21–6.
18. Tian Y, Sun KY, Meng TQ, Ye Z, Guo SM, Li ZM, Xiong CL, Yin Y, Li HG, Zhou LQ. Gut microbiota may not be fully restored in recovered COVID-19 patients after 3-month recovery. Front Nutr. 2021;8:638825.
19. Zhang F, Wan Y, Zuo T, Yeoh YK, Liu Q, Zhang L, Zhan H, Lu W, Xu W, Lui GCY, et al. Prolonged impairment of short-chain fatty acid and l-isoleucine biosynthesis in gut microbiome in patients with COVID-19. Gastroenterology. 2021;162(2):548–61.
20. Matucci T, Watanabe K, Fujimoto J, Kado Y, Takada T, Matsumoto K, Tanaka R. Quantitative PCR with 16S rRNA-gene-targeted species-specific primers for analysis of human intestinal bifidobacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2004;70(1):167–73.
21. Itozaka K, Sekizuka T, Hashino M, Tanaka R, Kuroda M. Disentangling primer interactions improves SARS-CoV-2 genome sequencing by multiplex tiling PCR. PLoS ONE. 2020,15(9):e0239403.
22. Motooka D, Fujimoto K, Tanaka R, Yaguchi T, Gotoh K, Maeda Y, Furuta Y, Kurakawa T, Goto N, Yasunaga T, et al. Fungal ITS1 deep-sequencing strategies to reconstruct the composition of a 26-species community and evaluation of the gut mycobiota of healthy Japanese individuals. Front Microbiol. 2017:8:238.
23. Segata N, Izard J, Waldron L, Gevers D, Miropolsky L, Garrett WS, Huttenhower C. Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. Genome Biol. 2011;12(6):R60.
25. Garsin DA, Lorenz MC. Candida albicans and Enterococcus faecalis in the gut: synergy in commensalism? Gut Microbes. 2013;4(5):409–15.
26. Sudre CH, Murray B, Varsavsky T, Graham MS, Penfold RS, Bowyer AC, Pujol JC, Klaser K, Antonelli M, Canas LS, et al. Attributes and predictors of long COVID. Nat Med. 2021;27(4):626–31.
27. Seagle EE, Jackson BR, Lockhart SR, Georgacopoulos O, Nunnally NS, Roland J, Barter DM, Johnston HL, Czaja CA, Kayalioglu H, et al. The landscape of candidemia during the COVID-19 pandemic. Clin Infect Dis. 2021;74(5):802–11.
28. Kobayashi-Sakamoto M, Tamai R, Isogai E, Kiyoura Y. Gastrointestinal colonisation and systemic spread of Candida albicans in mice treated with antibiotics and prednisolone. Microb Pathog. 2018;117:191–9.
29. Kim YG, Udayanga KG, Totsuka N, Weinberg JB, Nuñez G, Shibuya A. Gut dysbiosis promotes M2 macrophage polarization and allergic airway inflammation via fungi-induced PGE2. Cell Host Microbe. 2014;15(1):95–102.
30. Kusakabe S, Fukushima K, Yokota T, Hino A, Fujita J, Motooka D, Nakamura S, Shibayama H, Kanakura Y. Enterococcus: a Predictor of ravaged microbiota and poor prognosis after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2020;26(5):1028–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbmt.2020.01.019.
31. Ogier J, Serror P. Safety assessment of dairy microorganisms: the Enterococcus genus ☆. Int J Food Microbiol. 2008;126(3):291–301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2007.08.017.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.