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Abstract
Let $s_k/t_k$, $k \geq 0$, be the convergents of the continued fraction expansion of a number $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. We investigate the sequence of Jacobi symbols $\left( \frac{s_k}{t_k} \right)$, $k \geq 0$. We show that this sequence is purely periodic with shortest possible period length 24 for $x = e = 2.718281\ldots$ and shortest possible period length 40 for $x = e^2$. Further, we make the first steps towards a general theory of such sequences of Jacobi symbols. For instance, we show that there are uncountably many numbers $x$ such that this sequence has the period 1 (of length 1), and that every natural number $L$ actually occurs as the shortest possible period length of some $x$.

Introduction
Let $(a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots)$ be the regular continued fraction expansion of $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. The sequence

$$s_k/t_k = [a_0, \ldots, a_k], \quad k \geq 0,$$

of convergents of $x$ is defined in the well-known way by

\[
\begin{align*}
  s_{-1} &= 1, \quad s_0 = a_0, \quad s_k = a_k s_{k-1} + s_{k-2}, \\
  t_{-1} &= 0, \quad t_0 = 1, \quad t_k = a_k t_{k-1} + t_{k-2}, \quad k \geq 1.
\end{align*}
\]

Accordingly, we may write

$$x = \lim_{k \to \infty} [a_0, \ldots, a_k] = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots].$$

For odd natural numbers $n$ and integers $m$ with $(m, n) = 1$, the Jacobi symbol

$$\left( \frac{m}{n} \right)$$

generalizes the Legendre symbol in the usual way (see [3], p. 44). Note that the Jacobi symbol equals 1 in the case $m = 0, n = 1$. If $n$ is even and $(m, n) = 1$, we put

$$\left( \frac{m}{n} \right) = \ast,$$

where $\ast$ stands for an arbitrarily chosen symbol different from $\pm 1$. This means that the sequence of convergents of $x$ defines a sequence

$$\left( \frac{s_k}{t_k} \right), \quad k \geq 0,$$

of Jacobi symbols. We call this sequence the Jacobi sequence of $x$ (although this name is already in use in other fields of mathematics). One of our main results is
**Theorem 1** Let \( e = 2.718281 \ldots \) be Euler’s number. The Jacobi sequence of \( e \) is purely-periodic with period length 24. If, therefore \( s_k/t_k \) is the \( k \)th convergent of \( e \), then

\[
\left( \frac{s_k}{t_k} \right) = \left( \frac{s_{k+24}}{t_{k+24}} \right) \text{ for all } k \geq 0.
\]

Moreover, 24 is the smallest possible period length of the Jacobi sequence of \( e \).

It is easy to check that the period of the Jacobi sequence of \( e \) reads

\[
1, 1, -1, *, -1, *, -1, -1, *, -1, *, || - 1, -1, 1, *, 1, 1, *, 1, *, 1.\]

The symbol \( \parallel \) separates the first half of the period from the second. The latter arises from the former by interchanging 1 and \(-1\) (so one may say that the period is skew-symmetric). Hence the period does not arise from a sub-period of length 12, but also not from one of length 8. Accordingly, 24 is the smallest possible period length.

A basic tool for our investigation (and, in particular, for the proof of Theorem 1) is

**Theorem 2** Let \( x = \{a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots \} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \) and \( s_k/t_k, \ k \geq 0 \), be as above. The Jacobi symbol \( \left( \frac{a_k}{t_k} \right) \) depends only on the residue classes \( a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \). The same is true for the reciprocal symbol \( \left( \frac{t_k}{a_k} \right) \).

Possibly this theorem has been known implicitly, but we cannot give a reference where it is stated in the present form.

In view of Theorem 2 we say that two irrational numbers \( x = \{a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots \} \) and \( y = \{b_0, b_1, b_2, \ldots \} \) are congruent mod 4, if \( a_k \equiv b_k \mod 4 \) for all \( k \geq 0 \). In this case we write \( x \equiv y \mod 4 \). Whenever \( x \equiv y \mod 4 \), the numbers \( x \) and \( y \) have the same Jacobi sequence. Of course, for any \( x \) of the above shape there is a uniquely determined \( y = \{b_0, b_1, b_2, \ldots \} \) such that \( x \equiv y \mod 4 \) and \( b_k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \) for all \( i \geq 0 \). We call \( y \) the 4-representative of \( x \) or, if we disregard \( x \), a 4-representative per se. The set of all possible 4-representatives has Lebesgue measure 0, since this is true for continued fractions with digits \( a_i \leq C, \ i \geq 1 \), for an arbitrary constant \( C \) (see [5], p. 138). Hence congruence mod 4 divides \( \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \) into a number of classes which can be represented by a set of measure 0.

In the case of Euler’s number \( e \) we have \( e = [2, \{1, 2j, 1\}_{j=1}^{\infty}] \), where \( \{1, 2j, 1\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \) stands for the sequence

\[
1, 2, 1, 1, 4, 1, 1, 6, 1, \ldots
\]

(see [4], p. 124). Therefore, the 4-representative of \( e \) is \( e’ = [2, \{1, 2, 1, 4, 1\}_{j=1}^{\infty}] \), i.e., a periodic continued fraction with period 1, 2, 1, 4, 1, whose value is \( e’ = (7 + \sqrt{15})/4 \). In [2] we have shown that the Jacobi sequence of a periodic continued fraction \( x \) is periodic. More precisely, if the corresponding purely periodic continued fraction \( z \) (here \( z = \{1, 2, 1, 4, 1\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \}) has a Jacobi sequence with even period length \( L \), then \( L \) is also a possible period length for the Jacobi sequence of \( x \). In [1] we have shown that a purely periodic continued fraction with period length \( l \) has a periodic Jacobi sequence with period length \( L = dl \), where \( d \) is a divisor of 8 or 12. In our example
This means that $L$ can be chosen as a divisor of 48 or 72. We shall show that $L = 24$ works for $e'$ and, by Theorem 2, also for $e$.

Theorem 2 says that for two irrationals $x$, $y$ with $x \equiv y \mod 4$ the Jacobi sequences are the same. Is the converse also true, i. e., does equality of Jacobi sequences imply congruence mod 4? The answer is "no", as the following theorem shows.

Theorem 3 For each $C > 0$ there is periodic 4-representative with period length $\geq C$ and the Jacobi sequence $\{1\}_{j=1}^{\infty} = 1, 1, 1, \ldots$ Furthermore, there are uncountably many non-periodic 4-representatives having this Jacobi sequence.

Below we shall investigate the Jacobi sequence for numbers like $e^2$, for which it is periodic with period length 40. We shall also make the first steps towards a general theory of Jacobi sequences. In particular, we study questions that arise in the context of Theorem 3 such as: Does every possible period length actually occur for some Jacobi sequence? Are there non-periodic Jacobi sequences? Are there short sequences of symbols $\pm 1$ that do not occur as subsequences of Jacobi sequences — for non-obvious reasons?

1. Proof of Theorem 2

Let the above notations hold, in particular $x = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots]$ (see (2)) and $s_k/t_k$ is defined by (1). We have to show that the symbols $\left(\frac{s_k}{t_k}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{t_k}{s_k}\right)$ depend only on $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$. The proof is by induction over $k$. In the case $k = 0$ we have $s_0 = a_0, t_0 = 1$, and so $\left(\frac{s_0}{t_0}\right) = 1$ and

$$\left(\frac{t_0}{s_0}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a_0 \text{ is odd,} \\ * & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For the step from $k$ to $k + 1$ we put $s = s_k, t = t_k, p = a_{k+1}, q = 1, m = s_{k+1}, n = t_{k+1}$ and apply three theorems of [1]. We have to distinguish a number of cases.

Case 1: $n = t_{k+1}$ is odd.

(a) Let $t = t_k$ be odd. Then Theorem 1 of [1] can be applied, since $q = 1$ is odd. It gives

$$\left(\frac{-\delta s}{t}\right) \left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \left(\frac{\delta m}{n}\right) = \varepsilon(t, q, n).$$

Here the symbol $\varepsilon(t, q, n)$ equals 1, if at least two of $t, q, n$ are $\equiv 1 \mod 4$, and $-1$, otherwise. Since $q = 1$, this symbol depends only on $\overline{t}, \overline{p} \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, which, in turn, depend only on $\overline{a_0}, \ldots, \overline{a_{k+1}} \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, by [1]. On the left hand side we have $\delta = (-1)^k$, hence the Jacobi symbols $\left(\frac{s_k}{t_k}\right), \left(\frac{t_k}{s_k}\right)$ depend only on $k$ and $\overline{t}, \overline{p} \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, i. e., on $\overline{a_0}, \ldots, \overline{a_{k+1}} \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$. Altogether, we obtain, since $\left(\frac{\overline{q}}{q}\right) = 1$,

$$\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{-\delta}{t}\right) \left(\frac{t}{n}\right) \varepsilon(t, q, n) \left(\frac{\overline{s}}{\overline{q}}\right).$$

By assumption, $\left(\frac{\overline{s}}{\overline{q}}\right)$ depends only on $\overline{a_0}, \ldots, \overline{a_k} \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$. As we have seen, the remaining quantities on the right hand side depend only on $\overline{a_0}, \ldots, \overline{a_{k+1}} \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, which, thus, holds for $\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)$. 
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As to the reciprocal symbol \( \left( \frac{n}{m} \right) \), we have \( \left( \frac{n}{m} \right) = *, \) if \( m \) is even, so it depends only on \( \overline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \) and thus on \( \overline{a}_0, \ldots, \overline{a}_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \). If, however, \( m \) is odd, we have, by quadratic reciprocity,

\[
\left( \frac{n}{m} \right) = \left( \frac{m}{n} \right) \varepsilon(m,n)
\]

where \( \varepsilon(m,n) = 1 \) if \( m \) or \( n \) is \( \equiv 1 \mod 4 \), and \( \varepsilon(m,n) = -1 \), otherwise. Again, \( \left( \frac{n}{m} \right) \) depends only on \( \overline{a}_0, \ldots, \overline{a}_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \).

(b) Let \( t = t_k \) be even. Here we can apply Theorem 2 of [1]. If \( m \) is odd, this theorem says

\[
\left( \frac{\delta t}{s} \right) \left( \frac{p}{q} \right) \left( \frac{-\delta n}{m} \right) = \varepsilon(s,q,m)
\]

(observe that \( s \) is odd). Analogous considerations as in subcase (a) show that \( \left( \frac{n}{m} \right) \) depends only on \( \overline{a}_0, \ldots, \overline{a}_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \). Further,

\[
\left( \frac{m}{n} \right) = \left( \frac{n}{m} \right) \varepsilon(n,m),
\]

which gives the corresponding assertion for \( \left( \frac{m}{n} \right) \). If \( m \) is even, the symbol \( \left( \frac{n}{m} \right) (= \ast) \) depends only on \( \overline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \) and, hence only, on \( \overline{a}_0, \ldots, \overline{a}_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \). As to \( \left( \frac{m}{n} \right) \), we have, by Theorem 2 of [1],

\[
\left( \frac{-\delta s}{s+t} \right) \left( \frac{n}{q} \right) \left( \frac{\delta m}{m+n} \right) = \varepsilon(s+t,q,m+n).
\]

Since \( t \) is even, \( s \) is odd, and, by quadratic reciprocity,

\[
\left( \frac{s+t}{s} \right) = \left( \frac{s+t}{s} \right) \varepsilon(s,s+t) = \left( \frac{t}{s} \right) \varepsilon(s,s+t),
\]

so \( \left( \frac{s+t}{s} \right) \) depends only on \( \overline{a}_0, \ldots, \overline{a}_k \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \), because this is true for \( \left( \frac{t}{s} \right) \). Therefore, the identity [1] shows that \( \left( \frac{m}{m+n} \right) \) depends only on \( \overline{a}_0, \ldots, \overline{a}_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \). Since \( n \) is odd and \( m \equiv -n \mod m+n, \) we have

\[
\left( \frac{m}{m+n} \right) = \left( \frac{-n}{m+n} \right) = \left( \frac{-1}{m+n} \right) \left( \frac{m+n}{m} \right) \varepsilon(n,m+n) = \left( \frac{-1}{m+n} \right) \left( \frac{m}{m+n} \right) \varepsilon(n,m+n),
\]

where we have used quadratic reciprocity again. But \( \left( \frac{m}{m+n} \right) \) depends only on \( \overline{a}_0, \ldots, \overline{a}_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \), so the same must be true for \( \left( \frac{m}{n} \right) \).

Case 2: \( n = t_{k+1} \) is even.

Then \( \left( \frac{m}{n} \right) = *, \) so it depends only on \( \overline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \) and, thus, only on \( \overline{a}_0, \ldots, \overline{a}_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \). In the case of \( \left( \frac{n}{m} \right) \) we observe that \( t \) must be odd, since \( t_k, t_{k+1} \) cannot both be even. We apply Theorem 5 of [1].

(a) Suppose that \( s \) is odd. Then this theorem says that \( \left[3\right] \) holds. By assumption, \( \left( \frac{s}{t} \right) \) depends only on \( \overline{a}_0, \ldots, \overline{a}_k \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \). As in Part (b) of Case 1, \( \left[3\right] \) shows that \( \left( \frac{n}{m} \right) \) depends only on \( \overline{a}_0, \ldots, \overline{a}_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \).

(b) Let \( s \) be even. By the said theorem, \( \left[4\right] \) holds in this case. We use

\[
\left( \frac{s}{s+t} \right) = \left( \frac{-t}{s+t} \right) = \left( \frac{-1}{s+t} \right) \left( \frac{s+t}{t} \right) \varepsilon(s,s+t) = \left( \frac{-1}{s+t} \right) \left( \frac{s}{t} \right) \varepsilon(s,s+t),
\]

where we have used quadratic reciprocity again. But \( \left( \frac{s}{s+t} \right) \) depends only on \( \overline{a}_0, \ldots, \overline{a}_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \), so the same must be true for \( \left( \frac{n}{m} \right) \).
which shows that \( \left( \frac{m}{m+n} \right) \) depends only on \( \overline{a_0}, \ldots, \overline{a_k} \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \). Since \( n \) is even, \( m \) must be odd, and
\[
\left( \frac{m}{m+n} \right) = \left( \frac{m+n}{m} \right) \varepsilon(m, m+n) = \left( \frac{n}{m} \right) \varepsilon(m, m+n).
\]
Together with (4), this identity shows that \( \left( \frac{m}{m} \right) \) depends only on \( \overline{a_0}, \ldots, \overline{a_{k+1}} \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \).

\[\blacksquare\]

Remark. It would be desirable to have a more elegant proof of Theorem 2, in particular, a proof that avoids the above cases.

2. Jacobi sequences for \( e \) and its relatives

Proof of Theorem 1. We start with the 4-representative \( e' = [2, \{1, 2, 1, 1, 4, 1\}]_{j=1}^{\infty} \) of Euler’s number \( e \). The purely periodic number that belongs to \( e' \) is \( z = [\{1, 2, 1, 4, 1\}]_{j=1}^{\infty} \). Let \( s_k/t_k \) be the convergents of \( z \) and \( L \) an even multiple of the period length 6 of \( z \). Suppose that \( L \) has the property
\[
\left( s_{L-1} s_{L-2} \right) \equiv I \text{ mod } 4,
\]
where \( I \) is the \( 2 \times 2 \)-unit matrix and the congruence has to be understood entry-by-entry. Suppose, further, that
\[
\left( \frac{t_{L-1}}{s_{L-1}} \right) = 1. \quad (6)
\]
Then Proposition 1 of [1] says
\[
\left( \frac{s_k}{t_k} \right) = \left( \frac{s_{k+L}}{t_{k+L}} \right) \quad (7)
\]
for all \( k \geq 0 \). If we choose \( L = 24 \), we obtain (observe \( z = (2 + 2\sqrt{15})/7 \))
\[
\left( \frac{s_{23}}{t_{23}} \frac{s_{22}}{t_{22}} \right) = \left( \frac{9286113}{6669712} \frac{7622528}{5474849} \right),
\]
which is obviously \( \equiv I \text{ mod } 4 \). Moreover,
\[
\left( \frac{t_{23}}{s_{23}} \right) = \left( \frac{6669712}{9286113} \right) = 1.
\]

Hence (7) holds for \( L = 24 \). The number \( e' \) is mixed periodic, the number 2 forming its pre-period. We denote the sequence of its convergents by
\[
\frac{p_0}{q_0}, \frac{s_0'}{t_0'}, \frac{s_1'}{t_1'}, \frac{s_2'}{t_2'}, \ldots,
\]
in accordance with the pre-period of length 1. In [2] we have shown that our assumptions on \( L \) imply
\[
\left( \frac{s_k'}{t_k'} \right) = \left( \frac{s_{k+L}}{t_{k+L}} \right)
\]
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for all \( k \geq 0 \). In order to prove Theorem 4, we have only to compare the Jacobi symbols \( \left( \frac{m}{q} \right) \) and \( \left( \frac{e}{q} \right) \). Since they have the same value (= 1), the Jacobi sequence of \( e' \) is purely periodic with period length 24.

Next we consider the numbers \( e^{1/n} \) for positive integers \( n \geq 2 \). We obtain

**Theorem 4**  The Jacobi sequence of \( e^{1/n}, n \geq 2 \), is purely periodic, the smallest possible period length being

\[
\begin{align*}
24 & \quad \text{if } n \equiv 1, 3 \mod 4, \\
12 & \quad \text{if } n \equiv 2 \mod 4, \\
3 & \quad \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 4.
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** By [4], p. 124, \( e^{1/n} = \{1, n(2j - 1) - 1, 1\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \), \( n \geq 2 \). Accordingly, the 4-representative of \( e^{1/n} \) is

\[
\begin{align*}
\{1, 4, 1, 1, 2, 1\}_{j=1}^{\infty} & \quad \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 4, \\
\{1, 2, 1, 1, 4, 1\}_{j=1}^{\infty} & \quad \text{if } n \equiv 3 \mod 4, \\
\{1\}_{j=1}^{\infty} & \quad \text{if } n \equiv 2 \mod 4, \\
\{1, 3, 1, \}_{j=1}^{\infty} & \quad \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 4.
\end{align*}
\]

We investigate the period length of the Jacobi sequences of these four periodic continued fractions in the same way as for \( e' \), in particular, we use [2] and [3] for \( L = 24 \) if \( n \equiv 1, 3 \mod 4 \), for \( L = 12 \) if \( n \equiv 2 \mod 4 \), and for \( L = 6 \) if \( n \equiv 0 \mod 4 \). In the last-mentioned case it turns out that the smallest possible period length is not 6 but 3. Note that these cases are simpler than the case of \( e \) since no pre-period occurs.

Even simpler than the case of \( e^{1/n} \) is the case of the number \( (e^{2/n} + 1)/(e^{2/n} - 1), n \geq 1 \). Indeed, we have \( (e^{2/n} + 1)/(e^{2/n} - 1) = [n, 3n, 5n, 7n, \ldots] \equiv [\{n, 3n\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \mod 4 \) (see [4], p. 124). Accordingly, the 4-representative of this number is

\[
\begin{align*}
\{1, 3\}_{j=1}^{\infty} & \quad \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 4, \\
\{3, 1\}_{j=1}^{\infty} & \quad \text{if } n \equiv 3 \mod 4, \\
\{2\}_{j=1}^{\infty} & \quad \text{if } n \equiv 2 \mod 4, \\
\{4\}_{j=1}^{\infty} & \quad \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 4.
\end{align*}
\]

If we inspect these cases in the above way, we obtain

**Theorem 5**  The Jacobi sequence of \( (e^{1/n} + 1)/(e^{1/n} - 1), n \geq 2 \), is purely periodic, the smallest possible period length being

\[
\begin{align*}
24 & \quad \text{if } n \equiv 1, 3 \mod 4, \\
8 & \quad \text{if } n \equiv 2 \mod 4, \\
2 & \quad \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 4.
\end{align*}
\]

Finally, we consider the number \( e^2 = [7, 2 + 3(j - 1), 1, 1, 3 + 3(j - 1), 18 + 12(j - 1)]_{j=1}^{\infty} \) (see [4], p. 125). Its 4-representative is

\[
e'' = [3, 2, 1, 1, 3, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 4, 1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 1, 1, 2, 4, 2]_{j=1}^{\infty} \],
\]
i. e., the quadratic irrational

\[ e'' = \frac{370619 + 11\sqrt{444198255}}{177718}. \]

As in the case of \( e \) we consider the purely periodic part of \( e'' \). For this purely periodic number and \( L = 40 \), (5) holds, namely

\[ \left( \begin{array}{cc} 11702972599281 & 5273785915232 \\ 4563573565840 & 2056512547601 \end{array} \right) \equiv I \mod 4. \]

Furthermore, (6) is also fulfilled. By the same arguments as in the case of the number \( e \), we obtain that 40 is a possible period length of the Jacobi sequence of \( e^2 \). Indeed, it turns out that this sequence is purely periodic with the period

1, *, 1, -1, *, 1, -1, *, -1, *, -1, 1, *, -1, *, -1, *, -1, *
-1, *, -1, 1, *, -1, 1, *, -1, *, 1, 1, *, 1, 1, *, 1, 1, *.

As in the case of \( e \), we see that this period does not consist of subperiods of length 20 or 8. Altogether, we obtain

**Theorem 6** The Jacobi sequence of \( e^2 \) is purely-periodic with smallest possible period length 40.

**Remark.** It is not difficult to obtain analogues of Theorem 6 for the numbers \( e^{2/(2n+1)} \), \( n \geq 1 \) (their continued fraction expansion can be found in [4], p. 125). It turns out that the respective 4-representatives have to be checked only for the cases \( n = 1, 2, 3, 4 \). In each of these cases one obtains 40 as the smallest possible period length for the Jacobi sequence. We leave these details to the reader.

### 3. Some period lengths of Jacobi sequences

We start with a small table that displays the shortest possible period lengths of the Jacobi sequences of some periodic continued fractions.

| \([1]_{j=1}^\infty, [3]_{j=1}^\infty\) | 12 |
| \([2]_{j=1}^\infty\) | 8 |
| \([4]_{j=1}^\infty\) | 2 |
| \(1, 1, [4]_{j=1}^\infty\) | 1 |
| \([1, 2, 3]_{j=1}^\infty\) | 6 |
| \([1, 2, 2]_{j=1}^\infty\) | 36 |
| \([1, 2, 2, 2]_{j=1}^\infty\) | 8 |
| \([1, 3, 3]_{j=1}^\infty\) | 3 |

This table shows that the shortest possible period length of a Jacobi sequence may be hard to predict from the appearance of the continued fraction. But it leaves the impression
that this period length is always a multiple of the shortest possible period length of the latter. Theorem 3, however, suggests that this impression may be misleading. We are going to prove this theorem now. A fundamental ingredient of the proof is the following lemma.

**Lemma 1** Let \( x = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots] \in \mathbb{R} \smallsetminus \mathbb{Q} \) be such that the denominators \( t_k \) and \( t_{k+1} \), \( k \geq 0 \), are odd. Then
\[
\left( \frac{s_k}{t_k} \right) = \left( \frac{s_{k+1}}{t_{k+1}} \right),
\]
extcept when
\[
\begin{align*}
\{ & t_k \equiv 1 \mod 4, \ t_{k+1} \equiv 3 \mod 4, \ \text{k odd}, \\
& t_k \equiv 3 \mod 4, \ t_{k+1} \equiv 1 \mod 4, \ \text{k even},
\end{align*}
\]
in which cases
\[
\left( \frac{s_k}{t_k} \right) = - \left( \frac{s_{k+1}}{t_{k+1}} \right).
\]

**Proof.** As in Section 1, we put \( s = s_k, \ t = t_k, \ p = a_{k+1}, \ q = 1, \ m = s_{k+1}, \ n = t_{k+1} \) and apply Theorem 1 of [1]. In our case it says
\[
\left( \frac{-\delta s}{t} \right) \left( \frac{\delta m}{n} \right) = \varepsilon(t, n),
\]
where \( \delta = (-1)^k \). We have to distinguish eight cases depending on \( t \equiv 1, 3 \mod 4, \ n \equiv 1, 3 \mod 4 \) and \( k \equiv 0, 1 \mod 2 \). Thereby we obtain the lemma. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 3** Let \( k, \ j \geq 1 \), be a sequence of even natural numbers such that \( k_1 \geq 6 \) and \( k_{j+1} - k_j \geq 6 \) for all \( j \geq 1 \). We put
\[
a_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0, 1, \\
2 & \text{if } k = k_j - 1 \text{ or } k = k_j + 1 \text{ for some } j \geq 1, \\
4 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]
Let \( x = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots] \) and let \( s_k/t_k, \ k \geq 0 \), be the convergents of \( x \). Then
\[
t_k \equiv \begin{cases} 3 \mod 4 & \text{if } k = k_j - 1 \text{ for some } j, \\
1 \mod 4 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \tag{8}
\]
In order to prove [3], we put \( k_0 = 0 \) and use induction over \( j, \ j \geq 0 \). Since \( t_0 = t_1 = 1 \) and \( t_k = 4t_{k-1} + t_{k-2} \), we see that \( t_k \equiv 1 \mod 4 \) for \( k_0 + 2 \leq k \leq k_1 - 2 \). Suppose we have shown \( t_k \equiv 1 \mod 4 \) for \( k_j + 2 \leq k \leq k_{j+1} - 2 \) and \( j \geq 0 \). Then \( t_{k_{j+1} - 1} = 2t_{k_{j+1} - 2} + t_{k_{j+1} - 3} \), and since \( k_{j+1} - 3 \geq k_j + 2 \), we have \( t_{k_{j+1} - 1} \equiv 2 \cdot 1 + 1 \equiv 3 \mod 4 \). Further, \( t_{k_{j+1} + 1} = 4t_{k_{j+1} - 1} + t_{k_{j+1} - 2} \equiv 4 \cdot 3 + 1 \equiv 1 \mod 4 \), \( t_{k_{j+1} + 1} = 2t_{k_{j+1}} + t_{k_{j+1} - 1} \equiv 2 \cdot 1 + 3 \equiv 1 \mod 4 \), and \( t_{k_{j+1} + 2} = 4t_{k_{j+1} + 1} + t_{k_{j+1}} \equiv 4 \cdot 1 + 1 \equiv 1 \mod 4 \). Since \( t_k = 4t_{k-1} + t_{k-2} \), \( k_{j+1} + 3 \leq k \leq k_{j+2} - 2 \), we have \( t_k \equiv 1 \mod 4 \) for these \( k \).

Because of (3) and Lemma 1 \( \left( \frac{s_k}{t_k} \right) = - \left( \frac{s_k}{t_k} \right) \) only if \( k = k_j - 2 \) or \( k = k_j - 1 \). In the first case \( t_k \equiv 1 \mod 4 \) and \( k \) is even, so the lemma says that this sign change is impossible. In the second case \( t_k \equiv 3 \mod 4 \) and \( k \) is odd, which excludes this sign change again. Hence the symbol \( \left( \frac{s_k}{t_k} \right) \) remains constant for all \( k \geq 0 \), and since \( \left( \frac{s_0}{t_0} \right) = 1 \),
the Jacobi sequence of \( x \) is \( \{1\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \). If we choose the numbers \( k_j \) such that \( k_{j+1} - k_j = k_1 \) for all \( j \geq 1 \), the number \( x \) is a periodic 4-representative with period length \( k_1 \), which can be made arbitrarily large. If we choose these numbers such that the difference \( k_{j+1} - k_j \) tends to infinity for \( j \to \infty \), the 4-representative \( x \) is not periodic. Since there are uncountably many sequences \( k_1, k_2, k_3, \ldots \) with this property, we obtain uncountably many 4-representatives of this kind.

A modification of the construction of \( a_k \) in the proof of Theorem 3 gives the following result.

**Theorem 7** For each even number \( L \geq 2 \) there is a periodic 4-representative such that its Jacobi sequence has the period \( 1, 1, \ldots, 1, -1 \), where the number 1 is repeated \( L - 1 \) times. Furthermore, there are uncountably many non-periodic Jacobi sequences belonging to 4-representatives \( x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \).

**Proof.** As in the proof of Theorem 3 let \( k_j, j \geq 1 \), be a sequence of even natural numbers such that \( k_1 \geq 6 \) and \( k_{j+1} - k_j \geq 6 \) for all \( j \geq 1 \). We put

\[
    a_k = \begin{cases} 
        1 & \text{if } k = 0, 1, \\
        2 & \text{if } k = k_j \text{ or } k = k_j + 2 \text{ for some } j \geq 1, \\
        4 & \text{otherwise}.
    \end{cases}
\]

Let \( x = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots] \) and let \( s_k/t_k, k \geq 0 \), be the convergents of \( x \). By the arguments of the proof of (8),

\[
    t_k \equiv \begin{cases} 
        3 \text{ mod } 4 & \text{if } k = k_j \text{ for some } j, \\
        1 \text{ mod } 4 & \text{otherwise}.
    \end{cases}
\]

Because of Lemma 11 \( \left( \frac{s_{k+1}}{t_{k+1}} \right) = -\left( \frac{s_k}{t_k} \right) \) only if \( k = k_j - 1 \) or \( k = k_j \). In the former case we have \( t_k \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 4 \), \( t_{k+1} \equiv 3 \text{ mod } 4 \), and \( k \) is odd, so this sign change actually occurs. In the latter case we have \( t_k \equiv 3 \text{ mod } 4 \), \( t_{k+1} \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 4 \), and \( k \) is even, so the sign changes again. Altogether, \( \left( \frac{s_k}{t_k} \right) = 1 \) except for \( k = k_j \), where \( \left( \frac{s_k}{t_k} \right) = -1 \).

As to the first assertion of the theorem, one chooses the numbers \( k_j \) such that \( k_{j+1} - k_j = k_1 \) for all \( j \geq 1 \). This proves the assertion for even numbers \( L \geq 6 \). For \( L = 4 \), we put \( x = [1, 1, 4, \{4, 2\}_{j=1}^{\infty}] \), which has the period \( 1, 1, 1, -1 \), and for \( L = 2 \), we put \( x = [1, 1, 2, \{4\}_{j=1}^{\infty}] \), which has the period \( 1, -1 \) (for all of these numbers \( x \) the Jacobi sequence has the pre-period 1). As to the second assertion, we choose \( k_j \) such that \( k_{j+1} - k_j \) tends to infinity for \( j \to \infty \). This gives uncountably many non-periodic Jacobi sequences.

Theorem 7 shows that each even number \( L \geq 2 \) actually occurs as the period length of some Jacobi sequence. Hence the case of odd numbers \( L \) remains to be investigated. The period \( 1, 1, -1 \) is impossible (see Theorem 3). The construction of the period \( 1, 1, \ldots, 1, -1 \) for the Jacobi sequence is always possible for odd period lengths \( L \geq 5 \), but it is considerably more complicated than for even ones. Therefore, we restrict ourselves to the following theorem which we obtain in a simpler way.

**Theorem 8** For each natural number \( L \geq 2 \) there is a periodic 4-representative such that its Jacobi sequence has the period \( 1, 1, \ldots, 1, * \), where the number 1 is repeated \( L - 1 \) times.
Proof. Let $L \geq 3$. We put
\[
a_k = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } k \equiv 0, 1 \mod L, \\
3 & \text{if } k \equiv -1 \mod L, \\
4 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

As above, we obtain
\[
t_k \equiv \begin{cases} 
0 \mod 4 & \text{if } k \equiv -1 \mod L, \\
1 \mod 4 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Hence Lemma 1 shows that \( (s_k t_k) \) remains constant except in the cases $k \equiv -1 \mod L$, where \( (s_k t_k) = * \), and $k \equiv 0 \mod L$, where the lemma cannot be applied. Here, however, we put $s/t = s_{k-2}/t_{k-2}$, $p/q = [3, 1] = 4/1$, $m/n = s_k/t_k$. Since \( \left( \frac{4}{1} \right) = 1 \), Theorem 1 of \[1\] yields
\[
\left( \frac{-\delta s}{\delta t} \right) \left( \frac{\delta m}{\delta n} \right) = \varepsilon(t, n).
\]

Since $t \equiv n \equiv 1 \mod 4$, we obtain \( \left( \frac{4}{1} \right) = \left( \frac{4}{1} \right) \), i.e., \( \left( \frac{4}{1} \right) = \left( \frac{s_{k-2}}{t_{k-2}} \right) \). Accordingly, \( \left( \frac{s_k}{t_k} \right) = 1 \) if $k \neq -1 \mod L$, and $*$, otherwise. In the case $L = 2$, the Jacobi sequence of \( \{4\}_j \) has the desired property. \(\blacksquare\)

**Theorem 9** There is no number $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ whose Jacobi sequence contains the subsequence $-1, 1, 1, -1$. In particular, $1, 1, -1$ cannot be the period of the Jacobi sequence of such a number $x$.

Proof. Suppose \( \left( \frac{s_k}{t_k} \right) = -1 \), \( \left( \frac{s_{k+1}}{t_{k+1}} \right) = \left( \frac{s_{k+2}}{t_{k+2}} \right) = 1 \), \( \left( \frac{s_{k+3}}{t_{k+3}} \right) = -1 \).

Case 1. Let $k$ be odd. Then $k + 2$ is also odd and due to the sign change from $k + 2$ to $k + 3$, $t_{k+2} \equiv 1 \mod 4$, by Lemma 1. Since $k + 1$ is even, $t_{k+1} \equiv 1 \mod 4$, for otherwise there would be a sign change from $k + 1$ to $k + 2$, which is not the case. Finally, $k$ is odd, and since there is a sign change from $k$ to $k + 1$, we obtain $t_k \equiv 1 \mod 4$, $t_{k+1} \equiv 3 \mod 4$.

This, however, contradicts $t_{k+1} \equiv 1 \mod 4$.

Case 2. Let $k$ be even. Then $k + 2$ is also even and the sign change from $k + 2$ to $k + 3$ requires $t_{k+2} \equiv 3 \mod 4$. Now $k + 1$ is odd, and since there is no sign change from $k + 1$ to $k + 2$, we have $t_{k+1} \equiv 3 \mod 4$. But $k$ is even and there is a sign change from $k$ to $k + 1$, so $t_k \equiv 3 \mod 4$ and $t_{k+1} \equiv 1 \mod 4$. This is a contradiction again. \(\blacksquare\)

Remark. The proof also shows that $1, -1, -1, 1$ is an impossible subsequence of a Jacobi sequence.
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