Measurement and Simulation Techniques for Piezoresistive Microcantilever Biosensor Applications
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Abstract

Aplikasi mikrokantilever sebagai biosensor mulai banyak digunakan dalam dunia kesehatan, biologi, kimia dan lingkungan. Riset ini akan membahas perancangan teknik pengukuran dan simulasi aplikasi piezoresistive mikrokantilever sebagai biosensor, meliputi pembuatan rangkaian Wheatstone bridge sebagai detektor obyek, simulasi perubahan frekuensi resonansi berbasis Persamaan Euler-Bernoulli Beam sebagai deteksi keberadaan obyek, dan simulasi gerak mikrokantilever dengan menggunakan program software COMSOL Multiphysics 3.5. Tipe piezoresistive mikrokantilever yang digunakan adalah produk Seiko Instrument Technology (Jepang) dengan panjang 110 µm, lebar 50 µm, dan tebal 1 µm. Massa dari mikrokantilever 12.815 ng. Contoh obyek yang dideteksi adalah bakteri EColi, dimana massa untuk satu bakteri diasumsikan 0,3 pg. Hasil simulasi pada saat pendeteksian terjadi, untuk satu massa obyek bakteri akan menyebabkan nilai defleksi sebesar 0,03053 nm dan nilai frekuensi resonansi sebesar 118,90 kHz. Sedangkan untuk empat obyek bakteri akan menyebabkan nilai defleksi sebesar 0,03054 nm dan nilai frekuensi resonansi sebesar 118,68 kHz. Dari data tersebut terlihat bahwa bertambahnya massa bakteri akan menyebabkan naiknya nilai defleksi dan turunnya nilai frekuensi resonansi.
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quartz crystal oscillator method has a low sensitivity of about 30 pg/Hz, and third, the Surface Plasmon Resonance method is complex and expensive.

Micro-machined cantilever is a good candidate for sensor. This was first used as force probes in Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM). The extreme sensitivity to several environmental factors, such as noise, temperature, humidity and pressure was immediately evident. In 1994, research teams in Oak Ridge National Laboratory and IBM have converted the mechanism causing interference into a platform for a novel family of biosensors [1]. Some of characteristics of microcantilever are as follows:

a) small size (in range micrometer)
b) high sensitivity (scale in attogram, $10^{-18}$ gram)
c) low cost relatively
d) low power consumption
e) detectable of various object in one platform
f) simple fabrication
g) integrated in microarray form.

The microcantilever based sensors are applicable for various fields in medicine and biology field and in chemistry & environmental monitoring [2], such as detection of DNA and protein, detection of myoglobin, detection of temperature and heat changes, detection of Escherichia Coli bacteria, detection of humidity, etc. This paper discusses a design of measurement technique and simulations for piezoresistive-typed microcantilever as a biosensor, including the design of Wheatstone bridge circuit as object detector, simulation of resonance frequency shift based on Euler-Bernoulli Beam equation, and microcantilever vibration simulation using COMSOL.

2. Research Method

In this research, the measurement techniques and simulations of microcantilever-based biosensor are discussed, especially the changes in deflection ($\Delta \delta$) and the change in resonance frequency ($\Delta f$) of microcantilever due to the changes of object mass ($\Delta m$) absorbed on the microcantilever surface. The measurement techniques were designed using a Wheatstone bridge circuit and operational amplifier of INA 128. The microcantilever used here is piezoresistive type made by Seiko Instrument Technology (Japan). Microcantilever surface will be coated by a material receptor that serves as a catcher and selector that only react with the selected object. The microcantilever size is 110 $\mu$m in length, 50 $\mu$m in width, and 1 $\mu$m in thickness. Microcantilever mass is 12.815 ng, including the mass receptor. The object used here is Escherichia Coli bacteria, which has the mass of 0.3 pg. Simulation of the resonance frequency is performed based on Euler-Bernoulli Beam Equation, and the microcantilever motion simulation is done by using COMSOL Multiphysics 3.5.

2.1. Microcantilever Structure

Figure 1 describes a simple structure of the microcantilever before and after deflection. In order to use the micro cantilever as a biosensor, it must be placed bio-receptor as functionalization layer on the surface of microcantilever and placing the electronics devices to detect the deflection of the microcantilever. Figure 2 shows a biosensor microcantilever (before (a) and after (b) deflections), where functionalization layer is coated on the microcantilever surface.

In case of the bacteria object detection, the microcantilever needs to be coated by antibody layer on its surface which only can react with bacteria. The mass detected here is the reaction result between both antibody and bacteria mass which is represented by BSA (Bovine Serum Albumin). BSA and the antibody were immobilized on the microcantilever surface using physical adsorption as follows. First, the microcantilevers were cleaned using piranha solution ($H_2O_2:H_2SO_4=1:1$) and then immersed in ethanol before critical point dried (CPD). Second, the microcantilevers were then rinsed for around 30 s in deionized (DI) water and treated with BSA at a concentration of 2 mg/ ml for 15 min. The resonance frequency of the microcantilever is then measured after both the antibody and BSA are attached to the surface [3]. Commercial microcantilever dimensions used here is 50-200 $\mu$m in length, 10-40 $\mu$m in width, and 0.3-3 $\mu$m in thickness. Such microcantilever size is possible to detect attogram scale ($10^{-18}$ gram) object mass [4].
2.2. Microcantilever Deflection Modes

Microcantilever biosensors can operate in two modes (see Figure 3), i.e., static and dynamic modes. In the static mode, the microcantilever biosensor operates based on the difference of surface stress generated between the top and bottom surfaces during binding or adsorption of target molecules on a functionalized surface. The change of surface stress causes the cantilever to bend. This bending is known as deflection ($\delta$).

On the other hand, in the dynamic mode, the microcantilever is oscillated at a certain frequency and the change in oscillation frequency due to mass change ($\Delta m$) loading is measured on its surface. Deflection values can be calculated using the two methods, i.e., distributed load and pointed load as shown in Figure 4.
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The calculation of deflection values in static mode for uniformly distributed load method uses equation (1), while the calculation of deflection values for pointed load method uses equation (2) [6]:

\[ \delta = \frac{\omega l^4}{8EI} \]  

(1)

\[ \delta = \frac{pa^2}{6EI}(3l - a), \]  

(2)

where \( E \) is Young's Modulus of material, \( P \) is the force in microcantilever beam, \( l \) is length of microcantilever, \( a \) is the object distance from fixed end, and \( I \) is Inertia Moment of microcantilever, which is calculated by the equation (3) [7].

\[ I = \frac{wt^3}{12}, \]  

(3)

where \( w \) and \( t \) are width and thickness of microcantilever, respectively. To determine the amount of deflection in the dynamic mode, we use the Euler-Bernoulli Beam Equation with uniformly distributed load method [7] as follows,

\[ EI \frac{\partial^4 \delta}{\partial x^4} + \rho A \frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial t^2} = P(x, t), \]  

(4)

where \( \rho \) is the density of material, and \( A \) is cross section area \((A = w \times t)\). To change the partial differential equations into differential equations on the value of \( x \) only, we assume a harmonic input force applied to the system with the radial frequency \((\omega)\), and then \( P(x, t) = P e^{i\omega t} \) and \( \delta(x, t) = \delta e^{i\omega t} \). Equation (4) can then be simplified by replacing the factor \( e^{i\omega t} \), becomes [8]:

\[ EI(1 + i\gamma) \frac{\partial^4 \delta}{\partial x^4} + \rho A \delta \omega^2 = P, \]  

(5)

where \((1 + i\gamma)\) is a constant value of structural damping factor at the time of the vibrations and strains that occur in the microcantilever.

The value of resonance frequency is influenced by the mass and strain value factor (spring constant) of microcantilever [9].

\[ f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{k}{M+m}}, \]  

(6)

\[ k = \frac{3EI}{l^3} = \frac{3Ewt^3}{12l^3} = \frac{Ewt^3}{4l^3}, \]  

(7)

where \( M \) is object mass on microcantilever surface and \( m \) is effective mass of microcantilever \((m = \rho Al = \rho wt l)\) [10]. By adding the mass, the resonance frequency changes \((\Delta f)\) will reduce the value of the frequency and then mass change \((\Delta m)\) can be determined. The resonance frequency change can be seen in the following equation [11].

\[ \Delta f = f \left( \frac{1}{\frac{\Delta m}{m} + 1} - 1 \right). \]  

(8)

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Measurement Results of \( \Delta V \) and \( \Delta R \)

Here, the measurement of microcantilever deflection was done using a Wheatstone bridge circuits which consists of potentiometers and resistors components (Figure 5). Potentiometer can be considered to replace the piezoresistor in microcantilever. From the data
obtained in this experiment, we can calculate the resistance value changes and voltage changes.

\[ \Delta V = \frac{R_1 R_3 - R_2 R_4}{(R_3 + R_4)(R_1 + R_2)} E \quad (9) \]

\[ \Delta V = \frac{\Delta R}{2R} E \quad (10) \]

| \( R_1 \) (Ω) | \( V_{out} \) (Volt) | \( \Delta V \) (Volt) | \( \Delta R \) (Ω) | \( \Delta R + R \) (Ω) |
|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 1              | 14.26               | 3.43                | -626.35         | 3.65                |
| 183            | 13.88               | 3.06                | -558.78         | 71.22               |
| 275            | 9.45                | 1.82                | -332.35         | 297.65              |
| 480            | 6.02                | 1.16                | -211.83         | 418.17              |
| 615            | 2.50                | 0.48                | -87.65          | 542.35              |
| 685            | 0.00                | 0.16                | -29.22          | 600.78              |
| 714            | -1.04               | -0.15               | 27.39           | 657.39              |
| 777            | -2.42               | -0.46               | 84.00           | 714.00              |
| 905            | -3.61               | -0.69               | 126.00          | 756.00              |
| 959            | -4.11               | -0.79               | 144.26          | 774.26              |

Figure 5. Wheatstone bridge circuit and operating amplifier INA128

R₁ and R₂ components are piezoresistors within the piezo resistive microcantilever. Components R₃, R₄ and R₅ are external resistors. The amplifier INA128 is used to enhance the value of \( \Delta V \). In the experimental procedure, value R₁ is set close to the value R = 630 Ω and V_{out} is set to zero. Once the value of V_{out} = 0 is obtained, then the value of V_{out} is measured for all values of R₁ as shown in Table 1. The measurement of \( \Delta V \) values for all values of R₁ in Table 1 are shown in Figure 6.

Figure 6. Graphs of experimental Resultsof \( \Delta V \) and \( \Delta R + R \) as function of R₁

For comparison, we performed the calculation of \( \Delta V \) and \( \Delta R \) using equation (9) and equation (10) [12].
The calculation results are shown in Table 2. The graphs of $\Delta V$ and $\Delta R+R$ as function of $R_1$ from Table 2 can be shown in Figure 7.

### Table 2. Calculation Results of $\Delta V$ and $\Delta R+R$

| $R_1$ (Ω) | $V_{out}$ (Volt) | $\Delta V$ (Volt) | $\Delta R$ (Ω) | $\Delta R+R$ (Ω) |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|
| 1         | 24.289           | 3.520            | -642.798       | -12.798          |
| 183       | 13.647           | 1.978            | -361.178       | 268.822          |
| 275       | 9.897            | 1.434            | -261.922       | 368.078          |
| 480       | 3.776            | 0.547            | -99.930        | 530.070          |
| 615       | 0.846            | 0.123            | -22.385        | 607.615          |
| 685       | -0.437           | -0.063           | 11.555         | 641.555          |
| 714       | -0.929           | -0.135           | 24.580         | 654.580          |
| 777       | -1.928           | -0.279           | 51.026         | 681.026          |
| 905       | -3.706           | -0.537           | 98.072         | 728.072          |
| 959       | -4.370           | -0.633           | 115.646        | 745.646          |

Figure 7. Calculation results of $\Delta V$ and $\Delta R+R$ as function of $R_1$

### 3.2. Simulation Results of The Dynamic Mode

In this simulation, mass of bacteria as object is assumed to be 0.3 pg. We use Euler-Bernoulli Beam equation approach to simulate the deflection and resonance frequency. The simulation is done using Matlab software and the result is shown in Figure 8.

Figure 8. Deflection and amplitude changes for bacteria masses of 0.3 pg and 0.6 pg

To see the deflection shift ($\Delta \delta$) and the change of resonance frequency ($\Delta f$), we will simulate two bacteria masses, i.e., 0.3 pg and 0.6 pg. Figure 8 shows that the changes of object mass ($\Delta m$) causes the changes of frequency (X axis) and the changes of deflection value (Y axis).
For bacteria mass is 3 pg, the resonance frequency value (f) is 118.90 kHz and the deflection value (δ) is 30.536 pm. On the other hand, for bacteria mass of 6 pg, the resonance frequency value (f) is 118.85 kHz and the deflection value (δ) is 30.539 pm. It shows that the change of the bacterial mass from 3 pg to 6 pg results in a decrease in the resonance frequency value of 0.05 kHz and an increase in the deflection value of 0.003 pm.

3.3. Simulation of Microcantilever Motion

It is well known that the deflection of microcantilever is influenced by several factors related to physical geometries such as length, width and thickness. Therefore, in order to see the difference in the deflection value, the simulation is done by using COMSOL Multiphysics 3.5 software [14-17]. Here, the dimensions of the microcantilever are the length of 110 µm, a width of 50 µm and a thick of 1 µm. The results of this simulation can be seen in Figure 9. The values of frequency and microcantilever deflection can be seen.

Figure 9. Simulation of microcantilever motion by COMSOL.

When we increase the length of the microcantilever, the value of deflection increases and the value of resonance frequency decreases. This indicates that the increasing of microcantilever dimension will cause a change in microcantilever mass and also the changes in the value of deflection and resonance frequency. The detail of simulation results for several bacterial masses can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3. The comparison of the resonance frequency and deflection for different values of bacteria masses

| Microcantilever mass (gram) | Detected object mass (gram) | Deflection (m) | Resonance frequency (kHz) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| 12.815 x 10^{-9}          | 3 x 10^{-19} (1 bacterium)  | 3.05355 x 10^{-11} | 118.90                   |
|                           | 6 x 10^{-19} (2 bacteria)  | 3.05385 x 10^{-11} | 118.85                   |
|                           | 9 x 10^{-19} (3 bacteria)  | 3.05415 x 10^{-11} | 118.74                   |
|                           | 12 x 10^{-19} (4 bacteria) | 3.05445 x 10^{-11} | 118.68                   |

From the result in Table 3, it can be seen that the increasing of bacteria masses on the microcantilever surface will decrease the value of the resonance frequency and increase the deflection value of microcantilever.
4. Conclusion

The main results of this research as follows:
1. In static mode deflection, the increasing of object mass causes an increase in the value of the Wheatstone bridge voltage change ($\Delta V$).
2. In dynamic mode deflection, one bacteria will cause the deflection value of 0.30535 pm and resonance frequency value of 118.90 kHz. Four objects of bacterium will cause the deflection value of 0.30544 pm and resonance frequency value of 118.68 kHz. It means that increasing of the object mass causes an increase in the value of deflection and decrease in the resonance frequency value.
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