False Opposing Fear Memories Are Produced as a Function of the Hippocampal Sector Where Glucocorticoid Receptors Are Activated

Nadia Kaouane1,2†, Eva-Gunnel Ducourneau1,2, Aline Marighetto1,2, Menahem Segal3 and Aline Desmedt1,2*  

1INSERM, Neurocentre Magendie, Physiopathologie de la Plasticité Neuronale, Bordeaux, France, 2University of Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France, 3Department of Neurobiology, The Weizmann Institute, Rehovot, Israel

Injection of corticosterone (CORT) in the dorsal hippocampus (DH) can mimic post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD)—related memory in mice: both maladaptive hypermesia for a salient but irrelevant simple cue and amnesia for the traumatic context. However, accumulated evidence indicates a functional dissociation within the hippocampus such that contextual learning is primarily associated with the DH whereas emotional processes are more linked to the ventral hippocampus (VH). This suggests that CORT might have different effects on fear memories as a function of the hippocampal sector preferentially targeted and the type of fear learning (contextual vs. cued) considered. We tested this hypothesis in mice using CORT infusion into the DH or VH after fear conditioning, during which a tone was either paired (predicting-tone) or unpaired (predicting-context) with the shock. We first replicate our previous results showing that intra-DH CORT infusion impairs contextual fear conditioning while inducing fear responses to the not predictive tone. Second, we show that, in contrast, intra-VH CORT infusion has opposite effects on fear memories: in the predicting-tone situation, it blocks tone fear conditioning while enhancing the fear responses to the context. In both situations, a false fear memory is formed based on an erroneous selection of the predictor of the threat. Third, these opposite effects of CORT on fear memory are both mediated by glucocorticoid receptor (GR) activation, and reproduced by post-conditioning stress or systemic CORT injection. These findings demonstrate that false opposing fear memories can be produced depending on the hippocampal sector in which the GRs are activated.
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INTRODUCTION

Exposure to an extreme stress can produce highly fearful memories, which contribute to the development of stress-related disorders (de Quervain et al., 1998). In such a situation, excess glucocorticoids, whose the hippocampus constitutes a key brain site of action, impair hippocampus-dependent memory consolidation of the event (McEwen, 2000; Roozendael, 2003). Therefore, this may explain the emergence of pathological fear memories like those observed in post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD; Layton and Krikorian, 2002; Desmedt et al., 2015a). In accordance with this, we previously demonstrated in mice that, under a high stressful situation, post-training infusion of glucocorticoids into the dorsal hippocampus (DH) impairs contextual fear memories while inducing fear memory for an irrelevant (i.e., not predicting the threat) simple tone, thereby mimicking both contextual amnesia and the maladaptive hypermnesia observed in PTSD (Kaouane et al., 2012).

However, accumulated evidence demonstrates a functional dissociation along the dorsal–ventral axis of the hippocampus (Fanselow and Dong, 2010). The DH receives polymodal sensory information from cortical areas (Witter and Amaral, 2004) and primarily contributes to contextual learning. In contrast, the ventral hippocampus (VH) is strongly connected to the subcortical structures, especially the amygdala, and may rather contribute to emotion-related processes (Moser and Moser, 1998; Bannerman et al., 2004), particularly fear conditioning (Maren, 1999; Bast et al., 2001; Maren and Holt, 2004) and anxiety-related behaviors (Bannerman et al., 2004; Calhoon and Tye, 2015). In addition, stress and glucocorticoids differentially regulate long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD) in the DH and the VH (Maggio and Segal, 2007a,b, 2009a,b). In particular, they impair LTP in the DH while enhancing it in the VH (Maggio and Segal, 2007b), whereas stress increases LTD in the DH while converting LTD to LTP in the VH (Maggio and Segal, 2009a).

Moreover, the glucocorticoid receptors (GRs), on which depend the glucocorticoids’ memory effects (Oitzl et al., 2001), show a higher density in the DH than in the VH (Robertson et al., 2005; Segal et al., 2010). Of particular interest in the context of normal vs. pathological fear memory, GR activation within the hippocampus is crucial for the consolidation of contextual fear memories and can even enhance them (Donley et al., 2005; Revest et al., 2005, 2010, 2014) whereas full GR activation results in impaired spatial memory (Conrad et al., 1999; Brinks et al., 2007). Furthermore, specific activation of GRs also abolishes in vitro synaptic excitability in both DH and VH (Segal et al., 2010) and facilitates LTD in both hippocampal sectors (Maggio and Segal, 2009a). Together, these data strongly suggest that GR activation in either DH or VH could differentially contribute to the deleterious effects of glucocorticoids on fear memories.

To address this issue: (1) we compared the effects of local infusions of corticosterone (CORT), the major glucocorticoid in rodents, into either the DH or the VH, on the consolidation of tone and contextual fear memories; (2) we assessed whether these effects are mediated by GR activation; and (3) we tested whether these effects could be physiologically mimicked by post-training stress or systemic CORT injection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

Three-month-old male mice (C57Bl/6 JI Company, Charles River Laboratories) were individually housed a week before experiments in standard Macrolon cages in a temperature- and humidity-controlled room under a 12-h light/dark cycle (lights on at 07:00) and had ad libitum access to food and water. As all the present experiments were restricted to male mice, future experiments will have to determine the extent to which the present findings can be extended to female mice. Mice were handled a few days before experiments and habituated to intracerebral or systemic injection procedures. All experiments took place during the light phase. All animal care and behavioral tests were conducted in compliance with the European Communities Council Directive (86/609/EEC).

Surgical Procedure

Mice were anesthetized with ketamine (80 mg/kg body weight, i.p.) and xylazine (16 mg/kg body weight, i.p.; Bayer) and secured in a David Kopf Instruments stereotaxic apparatus. Stainless-steel guide cannulas (26 gauge, 8-mm length) were implanted bilaterally 1 mm above either the dorsal hippocampus (A/P, −2 mm; M/L, ±1.3 mm; D/V, 1 mm) or the ventral hippocampus (A/P, −3.6 mm; M/L, ±3 mm; D/V, 3.3 mm; relative to dura and bregma; Franklin and Paxinos, 1997), then fixed in place with dental cement and two jeweler screws attached to the skull. Mice were then allowed to recover in their home cage for at least 8 days before behavioral experiments.

Fear Conditioning Procedures

The procedures have been fully described in previous studies (Calandreau et al., 2005, 2006, 2007; Kaouane et al., 2012). Briefly, mice were placed in the conditioning chamber and, after a baseline period of 100 s, received two tone cues (63 dB, 1 kHz, 15 s) either paired (intertrial interval of 60 s) or unpaired (pseudo-random distribution of the stimuli) with two electric footshocks (0.8 mA, 50 Hz, 3 s). With the tone presentation always followed by the shock delivery (cue–shock pairing procedure), the animals identified the tone as the main threat predictor of the shock (predicting-cue group). In contrast, when the tone presentation was never followed by shock delivery (cue–shock unpairing procedure), the animals identified the conditioning context as the right predictor of the shock (predicting-context group; Figure 1). Specifically, in the CS–US unpairing procedure, 100 s after being placed into the chamber, animals received a shock, then, after a 20-s delay, a tone; finally, after a 30-s delay, the same tone and the same shock spaced by a 30-s interval were presented. After 20 s, animals were returned to the home cage. The relatively high footshock intensity used (i.e., 0.8 mA) is known to produce a strong fear conditioning to the tone or to the context in the predicting-cue group and the predicting-context group, respectively, as demonstrated in our previous study (Kaouane et al., 2012). The quality of the
memory formed was assessed the following day when mice were submitted to two memory tests. First, after a 2-min baseline period in a neutral chamber, mice were exposed for 2 min to the tone cue alone, followed by a 2-min post-tone period. Conditioned fear to the tone is expressed by the percentage of freezing during the tone presentation, and the strength and specificity of this conditioned fear is attested by a ratio that considers the percentage of freezing increase to the tone with respect to a baseline freezing level (i.e., pre- and post-tone periods mean) and that was calculated as follows: \[ \% \text{ freezing during tone presentation} - (\% \text{ pre-tone period freezing} + \% \text{ post-tone period freezing})/2 \].

### Intracerebral Infusions

Immediately after the acquisition of fear conditioning, animals were placed back in their home cage and received intra-DH or intra-VH bilateral infusions of artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF), corticosterone (CORT), or dexamethasone (DEX). The dose of CORT was selected based on our previous study reporting that post-training intra-DH infusions of 10 ng disturbed the selection of the right predictor of the shock under a 0.8-mA footshock intensity (Kaouane et al., 2012). The dose of DEX was selected on the basis of previous in vivo studies using similar concentration for intra-DH infusions in rats (Mizoguchi et al., 2007) and reporting that dose 10-fold lower than for CORT is efficient to mimic in vitro CORT effects (Maggio and Segal, 2007b; Chaouloff et al., 2008). For infusions, stainless-steel cannulas (32-gauge, 9 mm) attached to 1-µl Hamilton syringes with polyethylene catheter tubing were inserted through the guide cannula. The syringes were fixed in a constant rate infusion pump (0.1 µl/min). The cannulas were left in place for an additional 1 min before removal to guarantee diffusion of the drug.

### Restraint Stress

Immediately after the acquisition of fear conditioning using a footshock of 0.8 mA or a lower one (0.3 mA) known to be too weak for inducing significant fear responses but with which post-training stress can enhance contextual fear conditioning (Kaouane et al., 2012), mice were placed during 20 min in a transparent Plexiglas cylinder (diameter: 2.5 cm, 11 cm long) in a room adjacent to the fear conditioning room. After immobilization, mice were returned to their home cage.

### Systemic Injection of Corticosterone

CORT (2-hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin complex) or vehicle (NaCl 0.9%) was administrated intraperitoneally (i.p.) immediately after the acquisition of fear conditioning. The complex of corticosterone with cyclodextrins allows dissolving this steroid in aqueous solutions. After the injection, animals were returned to their home cage. We selected a relatively low (1.5 mg/kg) and a high (10 mg/kg) dose of corticosterone (in a volume of 0.1 ml/10 g bodyweight) known to produce dose-dependent effects on fear memories as shown in our previous study (Kaouane et al., 2012).

### Histology

After behavioral testing, animals were given an overdose of pentobarbital and transcardially perfused with physiological saline, followed by 10% buffered formalin. Brains were postfixed in formalin–sucrose 30% solution for 1 week, frozen, cut coronally on a sliding microtome into 60-µm sections that were
mounted on gelatin-coated glass slides, and stained with thionine to evaluate the cannula placements (Supplementary Figure S1).

**Data Analysis**

Data are presented as the mean ± SEM. Statistical analyses were performed using, on StatView software, analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni–Dunn post hoc test when appropriate. Values of $p < 0.05$ were considered as significant. Several experiments using a similar behavioral protocol led to the conclusion that 6–8 mice per group were enough to reach statistical significance. To face the variability of surgery, we increased the sample size to 10 mice per group. For indication, the power of these experiments, with $n = 8$ mice per group and an alpha of 0.05, is 85%.

**RESULTS**

**Activation of GR in the DH Produces a Simple Tone-Based Fear Memory at the Expense of Contextual Fear Memory**

This experiment first replicates our previous findings showing that intra-DH injection of CORT mimicked a simple/salient tone fear conditioning at the expense of contextual conditioning, thereby reproducing the PTSD-like pathological hypermnesia and contextual amnesia (Kaouane et al., 2012). Second, the present results reveal that such abnormal fear memory can also be produced by intra-DH injection of DEX, indicating that GR activation is sufficient to induce such memory alteration. The effects of the intra-DH injections of CORT and DEX on the tone responses to the tone (Figure 2A) and the conditioning context (Figure 2B) were dependent on the conditioning procedure (i.e., predicting-cue vs. predicting-context) as they were both restricted to the predicting-context condition. Tone conditioning ratio: effect of treatment ($F_{(2,38)} = 8.19, p < 0.01$) and treatment $\times$ procedure ($F_{(2,38)} = 13.00, p < 0.001$); Context test: effect of treatment ($F_{(2,38)} = 6.20, p < 0.01$) and treatment $\times$ procedure ($F_{(2,38)} = 7.04, p < 0.01$).

First, compared with their aCSF-injected controls, CORT- and DEX-injected mice submitted to the predicting-context procedure displayed increased fear responses to the tone, attested by a significant increased tone conditioning ratio (Figure 2A, left, both CORT and DEX: Bonferroni–Dunn post hoc test $p < 0.001$), and a significant increase in the percentage of freezing level to the tone per se (Figure 2A, right, CORT: $p < 0.01$, DEX: $p < 0.05$; see also Supplementary Figure S2A), so that they did not differ anymore from animals submitted to the predicting-tone procedure (in CORT and DEX groups: both $p > 0.05$). It must be noted that in our previous study (Kaouane et al., 2012), we also showed that CORT-injected mice displayed a fear response to a previously unexperienced cue (2-kHz tone) at some extent similar to the one experienced during the conditioning (1-kHz tone), but not to a completely different cue (white noise). Thus, as in PTSD, these mice showed a partial fear generalization to cues more or less similar to trauma-related cues, but not to very different cues.

In parallel, although the conditioning context is the objective predictive stimulus in this training condition, the same mice displayed significantly decreased contextual fear responses compared with aCSF controls (Figure 2B, both CORT and DEX: Bonferroni–Dunn post hoc test $p < 0.01$). As a result, their levels of contextual freezing were as low as those displayed by mice submitted to the predicting-tone condition (in CORT and DEX groups: $p > 0.05$).

**Activation of GR in the VH Promotes a Context-Based Fear Memory at the Expense of Tone-Based Fear Memory**

The effects of intra-VH injections of CORT and DEX on the fear responses to the tone (Figure 3A) and the conditioning context (Figure 3B) were dependent on the conditioning procedure (i.e., predicting-cue vs. predicting-context), but in contrast to intra-DH injections, they were both restricted to the predicting-cue condition. Tone conditioning...
ratio: effect of treatment ($F_{(2,40)} = 7.26, p < 0.01$) and treatment $\times$ procedure ($F_{(2,40)} = 7.40, p < 0.01$); Context test: effect of treatment $\times$ procedure ($F_{(2,40)} = 8.61, p < 0.001$)).

First, compared with their aCSF-injected controls, CORT- and DEX-injected mice submitted to the predicting-cue procedure did not express any conditioned fear to the tone, which is the objective predictive stimulus in this training condition. This blockade is attested by a significant decreased tone conditioning ratio (Figure 3A, left, both CORT and DEX: Bonferroni–Dunn post hoc test $p < 0.001$) and a significant decrease in the percentage of freezing level to the tone per se (Figure 3A, right, both CORT and DEX: $p < 0.01$; see also Supplementary Figure S2B). As a result, these mice did not differ anymore from those submitted to the predicting-context procedure (in CORT and DEX groups: both $p > 0.05$).

In parallel, the same mice displayed significantly increased fear responses to the conditioning context (Figure 3B, CORT: $p < 0.01$, DEX: $p < 0.001$) to the extent that their levels of contextual freezing were as high as those of mice submitted to the predicting-context condition (in CORT and DEX groups: both $p > 0.05$).

**Stress or Systemic CORT Injection Mimics the Effects of Local CORT Infusion on Fear Memories**

We previously showed that post-training (restraint) stress or systemic CORT injection performed after a predicting-context conditioning mimicked the effects of intra-DH CORT infusions on fear memories, i.e., promoting the selection of the tone cue instead of the context as predictor of the shock when a relatively high stress intensity was used (Kaouane et al., 2012, and see Figure 4A for a summary). Here, in the same perspective of more physiological stress-related manipulations, we tested whether similar manipulations performed after a predicting-cue conditioning could mimic the effects of intra-VH CORT infusions on fear memories, i.e., the selection of the context instead of the tone cue as predictor of the shock.

First, we analyzed whether post-training stress had differential effects on tone fear conditioning depending on the shock intensity, as demonstrated for contextual fear conditioning (Kaouane et al., 2012). The amplitude of the conditioned fear to the tone (Figure 4B, left and middle, Supplementary Figure S2C) was dependent on the footshock intensity (0.3 vs. 0.8 mA; effect of intensity on tone conditioning ratio: $F_{(1,30)} = 16.26, p < 0.001$; on freezing to the tone per se: $F_{(1,30)} = 37.47, p < 0.001$), and depending on this intensity the post-training stress had an opposite effect on the tone conditioning (intensity $\times$ stress for tone conditioning ratio: $F_{(1,30)} = 15.21, p < 0.001$; for freezing to the tone per se: $F_{(1,30)} = 30.17, p < 0.001$). As expected, the conditioned fear responses to the tone were higher after a footshock of 0.8 mA than 0.3 mA in the control condition (Bonferroni–Dunn post hoc test $p < 0.001$), but this difference disappeared in the post-training stress condition because both the tone conditioning ratio and the percentage of freezing to the tone increased after a 0.3-mA footshock ($p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.001$, respectively) whereas they decreased after a 0.8-mA footshock (both $p < 0.05$). In parallel, the amplitude of the fear responses to the conditioning context (Figure 4B, right) increased with the footshock intensity (effect of intensity: $F_{(1,30)} = 14.12, p < 0.001$) and the post-training stress (effect of stress: $F_{(1,30)} = 7.7, p < 0.01$), which produced a significant enhancement of contextual freezing when the highest footshock intensity was used during training ($p < 0.05$).

Second, we analyzed whether systemic (i.p.) injection of CORT could mimic the deleterious effects of intra-VH CORT injections on fear memory when performed after tone fear conditioning using a 0.8-mA footshock (Figure 4C). Systemic CORT injection decreased both the tone conditioning ratio (Figure 4C, left; treatment: $F_{(2,24)} = 8.13, p < 0.01$) and the percentage of freezing to the tone per se (Figure 4C, middle; treatment: $F_{(2,24)} = 15.82, p < 0.001$; see also Supplementary Figure S2D), whatever the dose used (1.5 mg/kg: $p < 0.01$ and $p < 0.001$, respectively; 10 mg/kg: $p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$, respectively), but increased the fear responses to the conditioning
FIGURE 4 | Stress or systemic CORT injection mimics the effects of local CORT infusion on fear memories. (A) Summary of previously published data relative to the effects of post-training stress or systemic CORT injection on fear memory after a predicting-context procedure. (B) Post-training stress after a predicting-cue procedure using a low shock intensity (0.3 mA) increased the tone conditioning ratio (left) and the fear responses to the tone per se (middle), whereas the same stress applied after this conditioning procedure using a high shock intensity (0.8 mA) reduced them and significantly increased the fear responses to the context (right). 0.3 mA control: n = 8; 0.3 mA + stress: n = 9; 0.8 mA control: n = 9; 0.8 mA + stress: n = 8. (C) Intraperitoneal (i.p.) corticosterone injection after a 0.8-mA predicting-cue conditioning decreased the tone conditioning ratio (left), the fear responses to the tone per se (middle), and increased at 10 mg/kg the fear responses to the context (right). After CORT injection, the ratios decreased such that it did not differ from zero (both p > 0.05). Control (0): n = 9; CORT 1.5: n = 10; CORT 10: n = 8. *Effect of shock intensity (0.3 vs. 0.8 mA; p < 0.05 and ***p < 0.001); #effect of stress or injection compared with controls (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ###p < 0.001).

context (Figure 4C, right, treatment: F(2,24) = 23.11, p < 0.001) at 10 mg/kg (p < 0.001). Therefore, peripheral CORT administrations after tone fear conditioning dose-dependently reproduced the effects of local VH injections.

DISCUSSION

The present results show that CORT differentially alters fear memories depending on the hippocampal sector where GRs are activated and the fear learning considered. Replicating our previous results (Kaouane et al., 2012), intra-DH CORT infusion impaired contextual fear conditioning and induced fear responses to a salient cue, non-predicting the threat. Strikingly, the present study shows that intra-VH infusion produced the exact opposite pattern: it blocked cue fear conditioning while inducing fear responses to the (background) conditioning context which was not yet the main predictor of the shock. The fact that these opposite effects could be reproduced by local infusions of DEX indicates that they are mediated by activation of GRs in the DH and VH, respectively. Finally, post-training stress or systemic CORT injections reproduced the alterations of fear memories induced by local infusions of glucocorticoids.

The replication of the deleterious effect of intra-DH infusion of CORT on contextual fear memory is fully congruent with a
Our results suggest that when a simple cue–shock association is blocked by interfering with VH-dependent processes, a context–shock association, which could be mainly supported by the DH, is preferentially consolidated, leading to a prevalent contextual fear memory even if the context, which is consigned in the background in this learning situation, is not the best predictor of the threat.

The present study also shows that activation of the same receptor (GRs), by infusion of the specific agonist DEX either into the DH or the VH, mimicked the opposite CORT-induced alterations of fear memories. CORT can act on two subtypes of nuclear receptors: the high-affinity mineralocorticoid receptor (MR) and the low-affinity GR (de Kloet et al., 1998). Both receptors are found in the hippocampus and often co-localized on the same neurons (Joëls, 2007). At the basal level, only MRs are occupied. During mild stress, the increase in CORT levels result in full MR and moderate GR occupancy, resulting in enhanced synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus (Diamond et al., 1992). This effect is thought to mediate the facilitating effects of glucocorticoids on hippocampus-dependent memory function (Conrad et al., 1999; Brinks et al., 2007). In contrast, full GR occupancy, which occurs during high stressful situation, impairs synaptic excitability and hippocampus-dependent memory functions (Conrad et al., 1999; Brinks et al., 2007). Specifically, GR activation in the DH was shown to disrupt excitability and synaptic plasticity (Diamond et al., 1992; Kim and Yoon, 1998; Garcia, 2001; Maggio and Segal, 2007b), which is crucial for contextual fear conditioning (Sacchetti et al., 2001). In the VH, whereas stress or direct corticosterone bath application promotes LTP via the MRs, specific activation of GRs results in low excitability and synaptic plasticity (Maggio and Segal, 2009a,b), which could explain the disruption of the cue fear conditioning in the present study.

Using more physiological conditions, our last experiment shows that post-training (restraint) stress or systemic injection of CORT mimicked the effects of local CORT injections on fear memories. More specifically, we had previously shown that in a predicting-context situation, post-training stress or systemic CORT injection reproduced the effects of intra-DH CORT injections, i.e., enhancing adaptive contextual fear memory after a low stress condition, but producing a false tone fear memory after a high stress condition (Kaouane et al., 2012; see Figure 4A). In contrast, the present study shows that in a predicting-cue situation, the same manipulations reproduced the effects of intra-VH CORT injections, i.e., promoting an adaptive tone fear memory after a low stress condition while promoting a maladaptive contextual fear memory after a high stress condition. Under the low stress condition, the increase in tone fear memory is in accordance with previous studies showing that post-training glucocorticoid injections increase cued fear memory in low or mild stress situations (Hui et al., 2004; Marchand et al., 2007). Under the high stress condition, the observed CORT-induced maladaptive contextual fear memory and deficit in cue fear conditioning strongly suggest that activation of GR, in the VH specifically, constitutes a key molecular device for such fear memory disturbances. Now, how can we explain that the same biological manipulation (GR activation) can have drastically different, and even opposite, effects on fear memory as a function.
of the learning procedure used? Even if GRs respond similarly to systemic CORT/DEX injection in the DH and VH, the deleterious impact of this systemic injection on fear behavior is supposed to differ as a function of the specific recruitment of the DH and VH in the training procedure considered. Because the DH and VH are known to be differentially involved in contextual and tone fear conditioning, the present findings suggest that the opposite effect of systemic CORT/DEX injection on fear behavior may result from an imbalance between the recruitment of the DH and that of the VH as a function of the training procedure used.

In conclusion, our study shows that glucocorticoids alter fear memories in an opposite way as a function of the hippocampal sector where GR are activated, providing evidence for a functional dissociation between the DH and the VH. These findings indicate that glucocorticoids, under high stressful situation, can produce false fear memories on the basis of the erroneous selection of the most salient, but irrelevant, simple cue or the background context as predictor of an aversive event. Classically, “false memory” applies to memories formed without the actual experience of the items that constitute the object of these memories. Here, “false memories” refer to totally erroneous memory representation of the stressful situation as regards to the objective training situation. Indeed, animals wrongly attribute an aversive predictive value to the salient but not predictive tone instead of the (foreground) context in the unpairing situation, and to the (overshadowed) context instead of the predictive tone in the pairing situation. These erroneous representations, based on distorted meanings of both the salient tone and the context, are clearly akin to “false memories.” These opposing false fear memories might be related to the development of different stress-related disorders. On the one hand, in human, specific alterations in the posterior hippocampus (DH in rodents) have been linked to PTSD-related cue-based hypermnesia and contextual amnesia (Brewin, 1996; Brewin and Holmes, 2003; American Psychiatric Association, 2013). We precisely reproduced this paradoxical memory alteration with intra-DH CORT (Kaouane et al., 2012) or DEX infusions in mice. On the other hand, the anterior hippocampus in human (Satpute et al., 2012) and the VH in rodents (Degroot and Treit, 2004) have been involved in anxiety-related behaviors including intense and irrational fear reactions to particular stressful situation (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Such abnormally high fear behaviors to (background) contextual elements under stress were precisely those observed after intra-VH CORT or DEX infusions in the present study. Furthermore, highlighting different roles for the DH and the VH in adaptive and maladaptive (anxiety-like) contextual fear, respectively, our findings are congruent with an optogenetic study reporting similar functional dissociation (i.e., contextual fear vs. anxiety-like behaviors) along the dorso-ventral axis of the dentate gyrus (Kheirbek et al., 2013). Altogether, our findings strongly suggest the different effects of glucocorticoids induced by their action along the dorso-ventral axis of the hippocampus might explain the constellation of memory alterations observed after stressful situations, thereby contributing to a better understanding of the pathophysiology of stress-related disorders.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Bordeaux ethic committee; European Communities Council Directive (86/609/EEC).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

NK, E-GD, and AD conceived, designed, performed the experiments, and analyzed the data. NK, AM, MS, and AD wrote the article.

FUNDING

This work was supported by Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, Fondation pour la Recherche sur le Cerveau, Conseil Régional d’Aquitaine, Ministère de l’Enseignement supérieur et de la Recherche, and University of Bordeaux. This study was also performed in the framework of the Laboratoire Européen Associé “France–Israel Laboratory of Neuroscience” and the International Research Network “France–Israel Center for Neural Computation.”

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank C. Dupuy, A. Faugere, A. Grel, and F. Rougé-Pont for technical help. We also thank Alice Shaam Al Abed for her helpful comments on this article and suggestions for the English revision.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnbeh.2020.00144/full#supplementary-material.

REFERENCES

American Psychiatric Association. (2013). Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 5th Edn. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
Cordero, M. I., and Sandi, C. (1998). A role for brain glucocorticoid receptors in contextual fear conditioning: dependence upon training intensity. *Neural Plast.* 7:209163. doi: 10.1155/2007/90163

Calandreau, L., Desmedt, A., Decorte, L., and Jaffard, R. (2005). A different recruitment of the lateral and basolateral amygdala promotes contextual or elemental conditioned association in Pavlovian fear conditioning. *Learn. Mem.* 12, 383–388. doi: 10.1101/lm.92305

Calandreau, L., Jaffard, R., and Desmedt, A. (2007). Dissociated roles for the lateral and medial septum in elemental and contextual fear conditioning. *Learn. Mem.* 14, 422–429. doi: 10.1101/lm.531407

Calandreau, L., Triffilleff, P., Mons, N., Costes, L., Marien, M., Marighetto, A., et al. (2006). Extracellular hippocampal acetylcholine level controls amygdala function and promotes adaptive conditioned emotional response. *J. Neurosci.* 26, 13556–13566. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3713-06.2006

Calhoon, G. G., and Tye, K. M. (2015). Resolving the neural circuits of anxiety. *Neural Plast.* 2015.10.065

Diamond, D. M., Bennett, M. C., Fleshner, M., and Rose, G. M. (1992). Inverted-U relationship between the level of peripheral corticosterone and the magnitude of hippocampal primed burst potentiation. *Hippocampus* 2, 421–430. doi: 10.1002/hip.450020409

Donley, M. P., Schulkin, J., and Rosen, J. B. (2005). Glucocorticoid receptor antagonism in the basolateral amygdala and ventral hippocampus interferes with long-term memory of contextual fear. *Behav. Brain Res.* 164, 197–205. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2005.06.020

Esclassan, F., Coutureau, E., Di Scala, G., and Marchand, A. R. (2009). Differential contribution of dorsal and ventral hippocampus to trace and delay fear conditioning. *Hippocampus* 19, 33–44. doi: 10.1002/hipo.20473

Fanselow, M. S., and Dong, H.-W. (2010). Are the dorsal and ventral hippocampus functionally distinct structures? *Neuron* 65, 5–19. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2009.11.031

Franklin, K. B. J., and Paxinos, G. (1997). *The Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
Mizoguchi, K., Sun, N., Jin, X.-L., Kase, Y., Takeda, S., Maruyama, W., et al. (2007). Saikokaryukotsuboreito, a herbal medicine, prevents chronic stress-induced dysfunction of glucocorticoid negative feedback system in rat brain. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 86, 55–61. doi: 10.1016/j.pbb.2006.12.007

Moser, M. B., and Moser, E. I. (1998). Functional differentiation in the hippocampus. *Hippocampus* 8, 608–619. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1098-1063(199886-660:aaid-hipo3>2.0.co;2-7

Oitzl, M. S., Reichardt, H. M., Joëls, M., and de Kloet, E. R. (2001). Point mutation in the mouse glucocorticoid receptor preventing DNA binding impairs spatial memory. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 98, 12790–12795. doi: 10.1073/pnas.231313998

Phillips, R. G., and LeDoux, J. E. (1992). Differential contribution of amygdala and hippocampus to cued and contextual fear conditioning. *Behav. Neurosci.* 106, 274–285. doi: 10.1037//0735-7044.106.2.274

Pitkänen, A., Pihkala, M., Nurminen, N., and Ylinen, A. A. (2000). Reciprocal connections between the amygdala and the hippocampal formation, perirhinal cortex, and posthinal cortex in rat. A review. *Ann. N Y Acad. Sci.* 911, 369–391. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2000.tb06738.x

Pugh, C. R., Tremblay, D., Fleshner, M., and Rudy, J. W. (1997). A selective role for corticosterone in contextual-fear conditioning. *Behav. Neurosci.* 111, 503–511. doi: 10.1037/0735-7044.111.3.503

Revest, I.-M., Di Blasi, F., Kitchener, P., Rougé-Pont, F., Desmedt, A., Turiault, M., et al. (2005). The MAPK pathway and Egr-1 mediate stress-related behavioral effects of glucocorticoids. *Nat. Neurosci.* 8, 664–672. doi: 10.1038/nn1441

Revest, J.-M., Kaouane, N., Mondin, M., Le Roux, A., Rougé-Pont, F., Vallée, M., et al. (2010). The enhancement of stress-related memory by glucocorticoids depends on synapsin-la/lb. *Mol. Psychiatry* 15, 1140–1151. doi: 10.1038/amp.2010.40

Revest, J.-M., Le Roux, A., Roullet-Lacarrière, V., Kaouane, N., Vallée, M., Kasanetz, F., et al. (2014). BDNF-TrkB signaling through Erk1/2 MAPK phosphorylation mediates the enhancement of fear memory induced by glucocorticoids. *Mol. Psychiatry* 19, 1001–1009. doi: 10.1038/mp.2013.134

Robertson, D. A. F., Beattie, J. E., Reid, I. C., and Balfour, D. J. K. (2005). Regulation of corticosteroid receptors in the rat brain: the role of serotonin and stress. *Eur. J. Neuroscience* 21, 1511–1520. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2005.03990.x

Rozenendaal, B. (2003). Systems mediating acute glucocorticoid effects on memory consolidation and retrieval. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry* 27, 1213–1223. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2003.09.015

Rudy, J. W., Barrientos, R. M., and O’Reilly, R. C. (2002). Hippocampal formation supports conditioning to memory of a context. *Behav. Neurosci.* 116, 530–538. doi: 10.1037/0735-7044.116.4.530

Sacchetti, B., Lorenzini, C. A., Baldi, E., Bucherelli, C., Roberto, M., Tassoni, G., et al. (2001). Long-lasting hippocampal potentiation and contextual memory consolidation. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 13, 2291–2298. doi: 10.1046/j.0953-816x.2001.01609.x

Satpute, A. B., Mumford, J. A., Naliboff, B. D., and Poldrack, R. A. (2012). Human anterior and posterior hippocampus respond distinctly to state and trait anxiety. *Emotion* 12, 58–68. doi: 10.1037/a0026517

Segal, M., Richter-Levin, G., and Maggio, N. (2010). Stress-induced dynamic routing of hippocampal connectivity: a hypothesis. *Hippocampus* 20, 1332–1338. doi: 10.1002/hipo.20751

Witter, M. P., and Amaral, D. G. (2004). “Hippocampal formation,” in *The Rat Nervous System*, ed. G. Paxinos (San Diego, CA: Academic Press), 635–704.

Zhang, W. N., Bast, T., and Feldon, J. (2001). The ventral hippocampus and fear conditioning in rats: different anterograde amnesias of fear after infusion of N-methyl-D-aspartate or its noncompetitive antagonist MK-801 into the ventral hippocampus. *Behav. Brain Res.* 126, 159–174. doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2020.00055

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Kaouane, Ducourneau, Marighetto, Segal and Desmedt. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.