Quantitative correlation between promoter methylation and messenger RNA levels of the reduced folate carrier
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Abstract

Background: Methotrexate (MTX) uptake is mediated by the reduced folate carrier (RFC). Defective drug uptake in association with decreased RFC expression is a common mechanism of MTX resistance in many tumor types. Heavy promoter methylation was previously identified as a basis for the complete silencing of RFC in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells, its role and prevalence in RFC transcription regulation are, however, not widely studied.

Methods: In the current study, RFC promoter methylation was assessed using methylation specific PCR in a panel of malignant cell lines (n = 8), including MDA-MB-231, and M805, a MTX resistant cell line directly established from the specimen of a patient with malignant fibrohistiocytoma, whom received multiple doses of MTX. A quantitative approach of real-time PCR for measuring the extent of RFC promoter methylation was developed, and was validated by direct bisulfite genomic sequencing. RFC mRNA levels were determined by quantitative real-time RT-PCR and were related to the extent of promoter methylation in these cell lines.

Results: A partial promoter methylation and RFC mRNA down-regulation were observed in M805. Using the quantitative approach, a reverse correlation (correlation coefficient = -0.59, p < 0.05) was identified between the promoter methylation and RFC mRNA levels in this a panel of malignant cell lines.

Conclusion: This study further suggests that promoter methylation is a potential basis for MTX resistance. The quantitative correlation identified in this study implies that promoter methylation is possibly a mechanism involved in the fine regulation of RFC transcription.
Background

Methotrexate (MTX) remains an important drug in the treatment of a variety of malignancies, such as acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL), choriocarcinoma, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, osteosarcoma, breast cancer, and head and neck cancer. The mechanisms of MTX resistance include: 1) impaired drug transport; 2) reduced drug accumulation because of decreased MTX polyglutamylation or increased drug hydrolysis; 3) increased drug efflux possibly mediated by multiple drug resistance associated proteins (MRPs); 4) alterations in the structure or expression of the target enzyme dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) and some novel mechanisms proposed recently [1-3]. MTX is delivered into cells predominantly via the reduced folate carrier (RFC), a bi-directional anion exchanger with 12 putative transmembrane domains [4]. To generate sufficient intracellular MTX, RFC transport of MTX is critical to its efficacy. Since the human RFC cDNA was cloned in 1995 [5-8], intensive efforts have been made to explore its clinical relevance in different tumor models. MTX resistance has been associated with decreased expression of RFC or loss of function of the carrier in many tumor types [9-12]. The RFC is ubiquitously expressed in normal tissues [13]; its regulatory mechanisms are, however, not clearly understood. Transcription of the RFC starts from at least four distinct promoters, (designated A, B, C, and D) [14], and is complicated by multiple 5'-non-coding exons resulting from alternative splicing [13,15-20]. The RFC promoter B appeared to be most potent in activity, and was predominantly utilized in tumor cells [16,19]. At least 18 different RFC transcripts have been reported, the functions of which are not clear, although links to tissue specific expression were suggested [13]. Further information on RFC regulation is of importance for understanding the mechanisms of MTX resistance in these diseases.

DNA methylation plays an important role in embryonic development and gene imprinting [21]. In cancer cells, DNA methylation in the promoter region is often involved in gene silencing, particularly for some tumor suppressor genes [22]. Recently, it was reported that a ~1400 bp region, including RFC promoter B and A, was identified as a CpG island [23]. Moreover, heavy promoter methylation was the underlying mechanism for the complete lack of RFC expression in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell line [24], associated with MTX resistance [23]. However, the role and prevalence of promoter methylation in RFC are not widely studied. A study was therefore initiated to further investigate the role of promoter methylation in a panel of malignant cell lines.

Methods

Cell Culture

The M805 cell line was directly established from a patient with malignant fibrohistiocytoma (MFH), who was treated at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC) and received multiple courses of chemotherapy, including MTX [25]. The fibrosarcoma cell line, HT1080; breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB-231, MCF-7; and leukemia cell line CCRF-CEM were purchased from ATCC (Rockville, MD). The MTX resistant leukemia cell line CEM-T and HL60R have been described previously [26,27]. The MTX resistant cell line, M316, was established from a relapsed pre-B ALL patient at MSKCC. Cells were maintained as monolayer or suspension in MEM-α media, supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Life technologies, Bethesda, MD), 100 units/ml penicillin and 3 mg/ml streptomycin at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂.

MTX Uptake, Polyglutamylation and Cytotoxicity Assays

[3',5',7-3H] MTX was purchased from Moravek (Brea, CA). MTX polyglutamate standards containing one to five additional glutamate residues were obtained from B. Schircks Labs (Jona, Switzerland). MTX uptake and intracellular MTX polyglutamates were measured as described previously [27]. Non-labeled MTX was purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO) and trimetrexate (TMX) was obtained from Warner Lambert/Parke-Davis (Ann Arbor, MI). Cell growth inhibition studies with MTX and TMX were performed as described previously [27]. The fibrosarcoma cell line, HT1080, was used as a control in the MTX transport assays and cytotoxicity assays.

Analysis of hRFC Transcripts

Total RNA was isolated using UltraspecRNA reagent (Biotech, Houston, TX) according to manufacturer's instructions and was reverse-transcribed using Superscript II RT (Invitrogen, Bethesda, MD). The level of RFC mRNA was measured as described previously using quantitative real-time RT-PCR [28]. For M805 cells, the RFC mRNA was measured before and after the treatment with 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) at a concentration of 1 μM for 4 days, respectively. To screen for mutations in the RFC, cDNA from the M805 cell line was PCR-amplified using four pairs of overlapping primers spanning the entire coding region of RFC as described previously [29]. PCR products were gel-purified and subjected to automated sequencing on an ABI3100 sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), or manual sequencing with a T7 Sequenase version 2.0 sequencing kit (Amersham, Piscataway, NJ) in both directions.

Transfection of hRFC cDNA

The transfection of the full-length human RFC cDNA into the RFC null, MDA-MB-231 cells was carried out with a SuperFect Transfection Reagent Kit (Qiagen, Valencia CA) according to manufacturer's instructions as described previously [30]. Stable transfection was obtained by selection with zeocin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) at a concentration of 400 μg for 2 weeks. Zeocin resistant colonies were
obtained and were further analyzed for RFC expression by real-time RT-PCR.

**Methylation Specific PCR (MSP)**

Genomic DNA was extracted using a QIAamp DNAeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to manufacturer's instructions.

Bisulfite treatment was carried out as described previously [23]. Modified DNA was PCR-amplified with two pairs of primers targeting the same region (close to promoter B) of 110 base pair in length, but specific for the methylated RFC promoter and the unmethylated promoter respectively as described previously [23]. Primers specific to the RFC promoter A were designed as shown in Table 1. The annealing temperature was 58°C for methylated promoter A and 54°C for the unmethylated one. PCR products of 132 bp or 134 bp in length were separated on 2% agarose gels with ethidium bromide visualization under UV light. The breast cancer cell lines, MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 were used as controls for methylated RFC promoter and unmethylated RFC promoter respectively as described previously [23].

**Quantitative Real-time Methylation Specific PCR**

Primers and fluorescent probes based on sodium bisulfite treatment were designed as shown in Table 1. The RFC promoter B (minimal sequences: nt.1233–1278) was flanked by the PCR primers [19]. Fluorescent real-time PCR was performed in a reaction volume of 50 μL using components of a Taqman PCR Buffer A Pack (PE Biosystems, Branchburg, NJ). Three microliters of treated genomic DNA were used in each reaction including 1 × Taqman buffer A with 600 nM each primer, 200 nM of probe, 200 μM of dNTP mix and 5.5 mM MgCl₂. Thermal cycling was carried out on a Bio-Rad iCycler with a denaturation step of 95°C for 10 min, 50 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 1 min. Genomic DNA treated with SsI methyl transferase (New England Biolab, Beverly, MA) was used as a control for methylated promoter. MYOD1 was used as internal control because of the lack of CpG sites on the primers and probe as described previously [31]. Multiple wells of non-template control were included on each 96-well PCR plate. All the samples were tested in triplicate for the RFC and MYOD1 respectively.

**Bisulfite Genomic Sequencing**

Bisulfite genomic sequencing was used to validate the results obtained by quantitative real-time MSP in M805, MDA-MB-231, and MCF-7 cells. Primers flanking RFC promoter B were designed in regions lacking CpG sites (Table 1), thus amplification (covering 46 CpG sites) was independent of methylation status. The 314 bp PCR product was separated onto a 1% agarose gel and was purified with a Gel purification Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The PCR product was cloned using a TOPO-PCR TA cloning vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and at least 10 random clones were sequenced on an ABI 3100 auto sequencer with M13 reverse and forward primers.

| Table 1: Primers used in methylation specific PCR and bisulfite genomic sequencing |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| **Methylation Specific PCR (MSP)**     | **Real-time Quantitative MSP**  |
| **Promoter A (methylated)**            | **Promoter B**                  |
| Forward: 5'-TTC GTC GTA GTT TGC GAA TG | Forward: 5'-TTC TCG TGC GTG GAA |
| Reverse: 5'-CAA CAC GTA CCT AAA CGC GA| Reverse: 5'-AAA CTA CAA CGC CCA |
| **Promoter A (unmethylated)**          | **AA ACC TAA ACA CAA**          |
| Forward: 5'-TTT GTT GTA GTT TGT GAA TGG| Probe: 5'-Fam-TCG CGG GAC GGA |
| Reverse: 5'-ACA ACA CAT ACC TAA ACA CAA| TTC GTT TA                      |
| **Promoter B**                         | **Bisulfite Genomic Sequencing**|
| Forward: 5'-TTG TCG TAG CGT TCG GTT AC| Forward: 5'-tgg ggg gga ggg tgg tt |
| Reverse: 5'-AAA CTA CAA CGC CCA CAA AA| Reverse: 5'-ccc cac aaa cac cta caa acc t |
| **Promoter B**                         | **Position**                   |
| Ref. [23]                              |      |

a: Numbering is based on NCBI accession number U92868.
Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using a software package SPCC10 (Chicago, IL). Pearson correlation was used to assess the association between the promoter methylation and RFC mRNA levels, and a p < 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

Results
The M805 cell line, obtained from a MFH patient treated with multiple courses of MTX, developed resistance to MTX in vivo[25]. A 15-fold resistance to MTX was observed in M805 cells (IC_{50} = 2.30 μM) as compared to a fibrosarcoma cell line, HT1080 (IC_{50} = 0.15 μM). In contrast, these two cell lines were almost equally sensitive to TMTX (IC_{50}: 24 nM for M805 and 19 nM for HT1080, respectively), which enters cells through passive diffusion. This suggested that the MTX resistance might be the result of impaired drug uptake. MTX transport assay was performed as shown in Figure 1. A 3.0, 3.1, and 3.6-fold decrease of [3H]-MTX uptake in M805 cells was found at 2, 5, and 10 min respectively, as compared to the HT1080 cells. The intracellular concentrations of MTX and MTX polyglutamates after 24 h incubation were measured as shown in Table 2. Although the total (N = 1–5) MTX polyglutamates in M805 cells was only about 55% of that in HT1080 cells in consistent with defective drug transport, long-chain polyglutamates (N = 3–5) accounted for 54.8% of the polyglutamates in M805 cells as compared to 39% in HT1080 cells, suggesting that the M805 cell line did not have impaired MTX polyglutamylation. Using quantitative real-time RT-PCR, a 5-fold decrease in RFC mRNA expression was detected in M805 cells as compared to HT-1080, while there was no detectable RFC mRNA in MDA-MB-231 cells in consistent with previous report [23]. The down-regulation of RFC mRNA in M805 cell was not apparently changed after growing cells for 2 weeks in folate-free media (Life technologies, Bethesda, MD) supplemented with 20 nM leucovorin, in stead of standard media containing 2.3 μM folic acid. To screen for mutations in the M805 cells, the entire coding region of the RFC was sequenced, but no mutation was identified.

Heavy promoter methylation was identified to be the basis for complete silencing of RFC in MDA-MB-231 cells [23]. MSP was therefore performed in M805 cells in search for the factors responsible for its RFC down-regulation. As shown in Figure 2, PCR products in length of 132 bp for promoter A, and of 110 bp for promoter B were amplified in reactions with primers specific for methylated promoters in the MDA-MB-231 and M805 cells, but not in the other cell lines analyzed, including CCRF-CEM, CEM-T, HL60R, M316, MCF-7, and HT1080. Being different from the RFC-null MDA-MB-231, promoter methylation in RFC-low M805 cells was partial, because weak amplification was also observed in reactions with primers specific for the unmethylated promoters (Figure 2). After treatment with 5-aza-2’-deoxycytidine, RFC expression was increased by 2.9-fold in M805 cells, providing evidence that the partial promoter methylation is a mechanism for the RFC down-regulation in this cell line.

Stable transfection of human RFC cDNA into the RFC-null, MDA-MB-231 cells restored the sensitivity to MTX by more than 100 fold (data not shown). Transfection of RFC cDNA into M805 cells proved to be problematic after multiple attempts. After the treatment of 5-aza-2’-deoxycytidine, a marginal (1.4-fold) increase of sensitivity to MTX was observed in M805 cells as compared to the untreated cells. This was similarly seen in MDA-MB-231 cells, perhaps due to increased MRP’s expression after the treatment as reported previously [23].

To further assess the relationship between the RFC mRNA expression and promoter methylation, a quantitative approach was adapted using the methodology developed by Sidransky et al. as described in the Methods [31]. The methylation level of the RFC promoter B was determined to be 50.6% for MDA-MB-231 cells, 21.8% for M805 cells, 0.3% for CCRF-CEM, and 0% for MCF-7 cells respectively, as compared to that of the DNA treated with SsSI methyltransferase in vitro (arbitrarily defined as 100% methylated). To validate these results, genomic DNA from MDA-MB-231, M805, and MCF-7 cells was further examined by bisulfite genomic sequencing. As shown in Figure 3, for MDA-MB-231, 638 out of total 690 (92%) CpG sites were methylated, and eight out of fifteen (53%) clones...
were expected to be amplified by real-time MSP. (CpG sites 3–5 are covered by sense primer, site 23 by antisense primer, and sites 12–15 by the fluorescent probe. When all these sites are simultaneously methylated, template will be amplified by real-time MSP.) For M805 cells, 299 out of total 460 (65%) CpG sites (10 clones) were methylated. Two out of ten (20%) clones sequenced for M805 cells were expected to be amplified by real-time MSP. For MCF-7 cells, only one out of 506 CpG sites was methylated. These results are in excellent agreement with the data obtained by real-time MSP.

This quantitative real-time MSP was further utilized in a panel of cell lines to determine the extents of promoter methylation and results are shown in Figure 4. The RFC mRNA levels were measured by real-time RT-PCR (Figure 4). When the extents of promoter methylation measured in this panel of cell lines were related to their RFC mRNA levels, a reverse correlation was obtained (Correlation coefficient = -0.59, \( p < 0.05 \)). It revealed that high levels of promoter methylation were only seen in cell lines with decreased RFC mRNA expression, such as MDA-MB-231 and M805 cells, but not in any of the cell lines with higher RFC mRNA expression, including CCRF-CEM, HL60R, CEM-T, M316, MCF-7, and HT1080 cell lines (Figure 4).

**Discussion**

Promoter methylation is associated with RFC down-regulation in the M805 cells, which resulted in impaired MTX uptake and drug resistance. Potential efflux mechanisms to explain decreased MTX uptake were partially excluded by the fact that MRP-1 and MRP-2 were not up-regulated in M805 cells as determined by quantitative real-time RT-PCR (data not shown). This is consistent with previous studies performed using immuno-histochemistry [25]. As reported in the prior study, mutations in the TP53 and Rb genes are not present in M805 cells, which exclude this as a possible mechanism of MTX resistance through alterations in cell cycle regulation [3]. The M805 cell line was resistant to MTX when established from a patient’s specimen, who received pre-operative chemotherapy, including multiple doses of MTX. The breast cell line, MDA-MB-231, also developed MTX resistance in vivo due to the complete loss of RFC expression resulting from heavy promoter methylation [23,24]. This suggests that RFC promoter methylation may be a possible mechanism of MTX resistance developed by tumor cells in vivo. Defective MTX uptake mediated by the RFC is a common mechanism for drug resistance in many tissue types, including ALL, osteosarcoma, and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma [32]. The role of promoter methylation and prevalence of it is not yet widely investigated in these diseases. An obstacle of it is the current methodology. The regular MSP is sensitive; however, the result of it is only qualitative. The bisulfite genomic sequencing with the most accuracy is not a practical approach for patient samples. The quantitative real-time MSP developed in the current study appeared to be both sensitive and consistent with data obtained by bisulfite genomic sequencing. It is therefore reasonable to apply it in a bigger setting of patient samples in future studies.

The implications of multiple promoter usage and heterogeneity of RFC transcripts in normal and tumor tissue remain unclear. In this study, at least for MDA-MB-231 and M805 cell lines, DNA methylation occurred simultaneously at both promoter regions. Meanwhile, for the other cell lines included in this study with higher RFC mRNA expression, DNA methylation was not seen at either promoter region (Figure 2). Therefore, the pattern of promoter methylation in RFC promoter appears to be in a "both or neither" fashion, given the proximity in distance between these two promoters. Therefore, promoter methylation is unlikely involved in the selective usage of multiple promoters in the RFC transcription. However, the role of methylation is yet to be determined in relationship with transcriptional activities of other RFC promoters reported recently [14]. Furthermore, 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine treatment only marginally reversed MTX resistance in M805 cells, or similarly in MDA-MB-231 cells [23]. This suggests that a more specific means of demethylation is perhaps needed to avoid the up-regulation of genes involved in the drug efflux system upon treatment.

The quantitative correlation between promoter methylation and RFC mRNA levels observed in this study is intriguing. This suggests that the extent of promoter methylation may directly reflect the promoter activity, perhaps is a fine mechanism in concert with other regulatory elements [14], as similarly observed in the tumor suppressor
Figure 2

Methylation specific PCR (MSP) on the RFC promoter. Promoter methylation was assayed by MSP in a panel of malignant cell lines. Only in the breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231 and the M805 cells, is there PCR-amplification with the primers specific for the methylated RFC promoter A and B, while there is amplification with the primers specific for the unmethylated promoters in all other cell lines but not MDA-MB-231 cells using the same templates. Water was used as a non-template control.
Bisulfite Genomic Sequencing

Figure 3

Bisulfite genomic sequencing was used to validate the methylation levels measured by real-time quantitative MSP in M805 cells, MDA-MB-231 cells and MCF-7 cells. Sequences of the PCR products (nucleotides no. 1141–1464, Access number: U92868) are mapped, as all the cytidines except those in CpG dinucleotides are converted to uracil (as thymidine in figure) after sodium bisulfite modification. The RFC promoter B is underlined. The number above each cytidine in CpG binucleotide (shown in bold) indicates the percentage of methylated cytidines on the respective site, respectively. The numbers in parenthesis indicate total clones sequenced and total number of methylated cytidines in each cell line analyzed.
gene APC [31]. The patterns of CpG island methylation revealed by the bisulfite genomic sequencing in MDA-MB-231 and M805 cells (Figure 3) suggest that the overall extent of CpG island methylation is perhaps more important than that of specific sites. This is in contrast to the effect of genetic mutations in the promoter region, which usually abort the binding sites of transcription factors. This suggests that the overall compactness of DNA conferred by the promoter methylation is perhaps the determinant of transcription activity in the RFC. Although it is unknown whether methylation, or gene silencing, occurs first, DNA methylation is important for the maintenance of a silenced gene [22]. It is possible that the quantitative methylation is a means for cells to maintain the RFC mRNA transcription at a desired level, rather than in a "whole or none" fashion. A future study including a larger volume of samples is required to further determine the role of promoter methylation in the RFC transcription regulation.

**Conclusion**

In this study, we have developed a quantitative approach measuring the extents of RFC promoter methylation. This study further suggests that promoter methylation is a potential basis for MTX resistance. The quantitative correlation identified in this panel of malignant cell lines implies that promoter methylation is a possible mechanism involved in the fine regulation of RFC transcription. Future study is necessary to clarify the role of promoter methylation in RFC transcription regulation.
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