INTRODUCTION

Fistulas, perforations, leaks, and refractory gastrointestinal bleeding have traditionally led to reflexive surgical or interventional radiology consultation for management, the introduction of the over-the-scope clip has allowed increased therapeutic possibilities for endoscopists. While primarily limited to case reports and series, the over-the-scope clip successfully manages gastrointestinal bleeding, perforations, as well as postoperative leaks and fistulas. Retrospective studies have demonstrated a relatively high success rate and a low complication rate. Given the similarity to variceal banding equipment, the learning curve with the over-the-scope clip is rapid. However, given the higher risk of procedures involving the use of the over-the-scope clip, it is essential to obtain the scope in a stable position and grasp sufficient tissue with the cap using a grasping tool and/or suction. From our experience, while closure may be successful in lesions sized up to 3 cm, successful outcomes are obtained for lesions sized <1 cm. Ultimately, given the limited available data, prospective randomized trials are needed to better evaluate the utility of the over-the-scope clip in various clinical scenarios, including fistula and perforation management.

OTSC SYSTEM: AN OVERVIEW

The OTSC system, approved in Europe in 2009 and by the United States Food and Drug Administration in 2010, consists of the OTSC clip, cap, thread, and hand wheel. The OTSC clip is installed on the cap and tethered to a thread that is controlled by the hand wheel (Fig. 1). The edges of the desired tissue (such as area around perforation, dehiscence, or bleeding vessel) are grasped with the cap by suction, an anchor, or a twin grasper, and the hand wheel is turned clockwise to release the clip over the area. The clip is made of nitinol and is shaped as a "bear claw". The clip is magnetic resonance imaging conditional. To accommodate different applications, the OTSC cap comes in various sizes (mini, 11, 12, and 14) and depths (3 and 6 mm).

Similarly, the clips come in the same sizes but have three types of teeth: type a (blunt), type t (small spikes), and type gc (elongated teeth with spikes) (Fig. 2). The advantages of the OTSC bear claw over traditional through-the-scope clip (TTSC) are its larger size allowing for greater area captured and greater compression force. The OTSC has been used to manage bleeding, leaks, and perforations as well as facilitate closure after complex polypectomy.
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CLINICAL APPLICATIONS OF OTSC

OTSC in the management of bleeding
In only one of two randomized trials evaluating OTSC, Schmidt et al. compared OTSC with or without epinephrine injection to standard therapy (clips or thermal therapy with epinephrine injection) for patients with recurrent peptic ulcer bleeding that occurred after initial successful hemostasis. Patients treated with OTSC experienced a lower rate of persistent bleeding than those treated with standard therapy (6.1% vs. 42.4%, \( p = 0.001 \)). Of the 14 patients who received standard therapy and experienced persistent bleeding, 10 were crossed over to OTSC and achieved complete hemostasis. Separately, in a meta-analysis by Zhong et al. evaluating 16 studies and 769 patients with non-variceal bleeding, OTSC was successfully placed in 95.7% of lesions, and 84.2% had no re-bleeding. Twelve of the studies identified a mortality rate of 10.9% (3.9% related to bleeding).

Evaluation of OTSC in leaks or perforations in animals
Given the novelty of the device as well as low scenario frequency, there are currently no randomized controlled trials evaluating its use in perforations or leaks in humans. However, several trials have been conducted using animal models. Renteln et al. evaluated gastrotomy closure using TTSC versus OTSC and found 0/10 leaks for OTSC and 4/10 leaks for TTSC via a laparoscopic leak test (\( p = 0.09 \)). On necropsy
analysis, although TTSC was limited to the mucosa (8/10) and submucosa (2/10), OTSC achieved closure of the deeper layers (submucosa 7/10, muscularis 2/10, and serosa 1/10). In addition, Renteln et al. performed a randomized controlled study in which gastrotomy performed in pigs was randomized to open surgical repair or OTSC. OTSC achieved successful closure in 18/18 cases, although 1 case required a second OTSC deployment for adequate closure. At necropsy, both surgical and OTSC closure resulted in complete closure in all cases. Renteln et al. also evaluated OTSC versus surgery in the closure of 10-mm duodenal perforations and found that OTSC achieved immediate closure results comparable to those of surgical repair.

Criteria for use of OTSC

In general, several criteria are needed for successful OTSC deployment. Given the larger system diameter (16.5 mm for 11; 17.5 mm for 11, 12; and 21 mm for 14), the OTSC can only be deployed if the system can successfully reach the area of interest. Strictures or significant looping can hinder OTSC use, making through-the-scope stents or TTSCs more preferable. However, other options to mitigate this may include performing balloon dilation for a stenotic area or overtube use to reach the area. In addition, OTSC requires that the tissue being approximated be pliable enough to be carried into the OTSC cap. Significantly fibrotic, scarred, ulcerated, or macerated tissue can preclude its successful deployment. Use of a twin grasper or anchor can be helpful. As described by Albert et al., early intervention may increase success rates compared with late intervention since this is likely related to decreased damage to the tissue and an increased ability to achieve approximation.

Use of OTSC for managing perforations

Case reports included a 43-year-old male with Boerhaave’s alcohol ingestion who was hospitalized for 9 days prior to transfer. He had bilateral chest tubes with purulent drainage. A 12-mm OTSC was deployed over a 1.5-cm-deep ulcer in the distal esophagus. Two OTSCs were placed to ensure complete closure. An upper gastrointestinal (GI) series performed 4 days after the procedure demonstrated no leaks and the patient remained well at the 6-month follow-up. In another case, a 90-year-old female underwent endoscopic ultrasound and developed a 10-mm iatrogenic perforation during the procedure. An OTSC was used, and an abdominal computed tomography with oral diatrizoate meglumine and diatrizoate sodium solution (GASTROGRAFIN) demonstrated no leaks. Finally, a 73-year-old female with a history of pelvic surgery and severe diverticulosis underwent colonoscopy and experienced a 12-mm sigmoid perforation. This was closed with a single OTSC, and the patient was successfully discharged 48 hours later.

Use of OTSC for managing postoperative leaks and fistulas

Surgical anastomotic dehiscence or leaks following esophagectomy and gastrectomy occurs in at least 15% of surgical cases. In one study of the American College of Surgeons NSQIP database at the University of Virginia between 2003 to 2006, patients treated with gastrointestinal surgeries requiring anastomosis had a leak rate of 4.8% (13.5% for esophageal procedures). Compared with patients without leakage, patients with anastomotic leaks had a higher morbidity rate, an increased length of stay, and a higher 30-day mortality rate. Surgical management of anastomotic leaks can be difficult. There has been an increasing number of studies on endoscopic management of surgical leaks and fistulas. Therapies may include the use of TTSCs and stents. However, TTSCs are generally limited to smaller perforations (<1 cm). In addition, in one retrospective review of 115 patients who received stents, anastomotic healing occurred in 70%, stent dislocation occurred in 53%, and in-hospital mortality in 9%. As such, OTSC has been increasingly studied in terms of postoperative anastomotic leaks.

In a retrospective study by Mercky et al. who evaluated 30 patients who were treated for gastrointestinal leaks or fistulas, the majority (60%) had gastric fistula following laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy. Other leaks and fistulas included rectovaginal, rectovesical, urethrectal, gastrogastric, gastrosophageal, esophagojejunal, gastroesophageal fistula, and colorectal anastomotic leak. Most (30/34) clips were placed successfully, and 53% of patients were able to close the fistula or leak after the first OTSC deployment; 26.7% required additional endoscopic maneuvers (stent, clipping, and cyanoacrylate), and another 26.7% required surgery.

Gastrogastric fistulas (GGFs) are known complications of Roux-en-Y gastric bypass and have been estimated to occur in 1.2-6% of cases, although this value may be 0–49%. While a variety of techniques have been employed to attempt endoscopic closure of GGF including TTSC and endoscopic suturing, OTSC has also been explored as a possibility. In 2016, Niland et al. presented a case series of OTSC for managing GGE. Of the 10 patients who were followed up, five (50%) had no persistent fistula in the upper GI series. Of the nine patients who completed long-term follow-up, only three (33.3%) had durable success. In comparison, in a study evaluating 95 patients undergoing endoscopic suturing or TTSC for GGF management, 0% of >20-mm GGFs and 32% of <10-mm GGFs had durable success. Overall, GGF is difficult to manage endoscopically, and while more exploration is needed to understand the role of OTSC, its use in larger lesions is usually...
unsuccessful.

Additional case reports regarding the use of OTSC for GI leaks include those on pancreaticogastric,\textsuperscript{26} J pouch,\textsuperscript{27} band penetration following laparoscopic gastric banding,\textsuperscript{28} J pouch-cutaneous fistula,\textsuperscript{29} esophagojunal fistula,\textsuperscript{30} gastro-esophageal fistula,\textsuperscript{3} gastrojejunal fistula,\textsuperscript{3} Crohn’s and ischemic enterocutaneous fistula,\textsuperscript{3} esophagobronchial fistula,\textsuperscript{3} gastrojejunal fistula,\textsuperscript{2} Crohn’s and ischemic enterocutaneous fistula,\textsuperscript{3} esophagobronchial fistula,\textsuperscript{3} rectourethral and rectocutaneous fistula,\textsuperscript{3} sleeve gastrectomy leak,\textsuperscript{3} and colonic\textsuperscript{3} or gastric side closure of gastrocolocutaneous fistula related to percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) placement. In a retrospective analysis by Albert et al., whose cases were covered in this section, the success rate of OTSC was significantly higher when endoscopic therapy was performed within 1 week of diagnosis (100%) versus after 4 weeks (57%).\textsuperscript{2}

**Closure of PEG tube site**

In a single-center case series, Heinrech et al. presented five successful closures of fistulas after PEG tube removal, of which four were related to persistent fistulas and one was due to ascites leakage.\textsuperscript{33} In another multicenter case series of 10 patients, all underwent immediate closure of the PEG fistula. One patient required a second OTSC deployment for closure of the area adjacent to the primary site. Another patient subsequently developed a leak requiring surgical closure.\textsuperscript{34} One of our patients had persistent gastric fluid drainage 3 months after PEG tube removal and was successfully managed with OTSC deployment (Fig. 3).

**OTSC application with endoscopic submucosal dissection**

OTSCs have also been evaluated for closure following complex polypectomy. In 2017, Tashima et al.\textsuperscript{35} evaluated OTSC deployment following endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) of 50 duodenal lesions ranging from 10 to 45 mm. Using 1.4±0.5 OTSCs, the ESD site closure rate was 94.0%. Surgery was required in two patients: in the first patient, closure could not be performed endoscopically and required laparoscopic suturing; in the second patient, pancreaticoduodenectomy was needed for uncontrolled bleeding. There was one case of delayed perforation related to a misplaced OTSC. This was managed using another OTSC placement. Delayed bleeding occurred in three cases but was managed using hemostatic forceps.\textsuperscript{35} Another retrospective study by Dohi et al. evaluated ESD with prophylactic closure for duodenal epithelial tumors using TTSC, OTSC, or laparoscopic closure.\textsuperscript{36} In this study, OTSC achieved the highest closure rate (98.2%), followed by laparoscopic closure (92.3%) and TTSC (76.9%) (p=0.021). The delayed perforation rate was the lowest for OTSC (1.8%), although the difference was not statistically significant. In one case, delayed perforation developed in the area between the two OTSCs and required management with a polyglycolic acid (PGA) sheet.\textsuperscript{36} While the study may be hindered by selection bias, it demonstrated the ability for prophylactic closure in ESD cases.

OTSCs may also be used to manage ESD complications. In 2019, the Japan Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Society recommended TTSC as the first-line therapy for intraoperative perforation during gastric ESD. However, if unsuccessful, one can consider the use of OTSC among other methods, including endoclip-and-loop purse string closure. In delayed perforation, while surgery should be considered, endoscopic management may include OTSC or PGA sheet shielding.\textsuperscript{37} However, commentary is difficult because OTSC use in this scenario has been limited to case reports and series.\textsuperscript{38}

**CLINICAL OUTCOMES OF OTSC**

Evaluation of the clinical outcomes of OTSC in managing leaks, fistulas, or perforations is difficult given the lack of randomized controlled trials. In an ongoing study, Dubois et al. is leading a multicenter randomized controlled trial (FISCLOSE) evaluating the OTSC Proctology clip compared with the rectal...
mucosal advancement flap for complex anal fistulas. In one currently available randomized controlled trial, Mascagni et al. evaluated the use of the OTSC Proctology clip compared with fistulectomy and primary sphincter reconstruction for managing low trans-sphincteric anal fistulas between 2012 and 2013. In this study of 15 patients in each group, OTSC achieved a 93.3% success rate compared with a 100% success rate in the surgery group. One patient receiving OTSC required surgery, while another required OTSC removal due to post-procedure pain on day 7.

In addition to one randomized controlled trial, other studies are limited to case reports or small retrospective case series, which unfortunately have the disadvantage of selection bias, causing a possible overestimation of OTSC success rates and underestimation of complication rates. Despite limited information available in the literature, in an extensive review of 1,517 cases over 9 years (2010–2018), Kobara et al. detailed 30 studies evaluating 10 or more cases of OTSC deployment. This included OTSC used for 559 cases of hemorrhage, 388 cases of fistula, 351 cases of perforation, and 97 cases of anastomotic dehiscence. In this review, the use of OTSC achieved a 78% success rate overall, including 85% for perforation, 85% for bleeding, 66% for anastomotic leak, and 52% for fistula.

Adverse events

In a large review by Kobara et al., there were 26 (1.7%) cases of OTSC-related complications and 9 (0.6%) cases of severe complications. In a retrospective series by Merck et al., 4/30 patients experienced issues with OTSC placement: clip dislodgement, fibrosis preventing clip placement, grasper anchor tearing the fistula, and gastric stenosis requiring stent placement. In one case of a patient who had a gastrocutaneous fistula persisting 1 year after PEG tube removal, OTSC deployment was attempted but the clip detached the following day related to significant scar tissue from months of gastric secretions. In another case, a 59-year-old man underwent a proximal gastrectomy for gastrointestinal stromal tumor but was found to have leakage on postoperative day 1 and underwent OTSC deployment. However, the upper GI series identified a leak on postoperative day 2 and upper endoscopy identified necrosis at the anastomosis, leading to a larger defect. The OTSC was removed, and endoscopic vacuum therapy resolved the defect. In a case by Mangiavillano et al., a 77-year-old female underwent endoscopic ultrasound for the evaluation of pancreatic malignancy but experienced a 15-mm full-thickness iatrogenic perforation at the posterior wall of the duodenal bulb. Upon an attempt at passing the 11-mm OTSC, the bear claw clip was accidentally deployed in the tongue and required manual removal.

What happens to the OTSC after a successful procedure?

OTSCs may remain at the application site indefinitely or may spontaneously fall off within a few months of placement. Retained clips usually have no clinical consequence, although no studies have evaluated its long-term effects. However, if necessary, OTSCs can be removed after fragmentation into two pieces using either a direct-current device marketed by the manufacturer (remOVE system; Ovesco Endoscopy AG, Tübingen, Germany) or an intense application of argon plasma coagulation to the thinnest portion of the clip. In one systematic review, there were no major adverse events (perforation, bleeding requiring intervention), although removal can cause minor bleeding, superficial thermal injury, or mucosal tears. Indications for OTSC removal in the literature have included adverse events related to its placement, removal following healing, need for further intervention or biopsy, misplacement, or patient wishes. OTSCs that spontaneously fall off are typically excreted in the feces without difficulty, but there is one report of an OTSC becoming lodged near the anal canal and requiring removal under anesthesia.

OUR EXPERIENCE AND REFLECTIONS

Similar to the recent American Gastroenterological Association Expert Review led by Lee et al., given the complexity of these cases, we engage the patient and family in a risk–benefit discussion prior to the procedure. From our experience, while closure may be successful for lesions up to 3 cm, we found the greatest success with lesions < 1 cm. For lesions > 1 cm, we use endoscopic suturing to manage fistulas and leaks. Given the similarity to variceal banding equipment, we believe that the learning curve is rapid. However, it is important to be familiar with the equipment beforehand to reduce the risk of accidentally deploying the OTSC clip in the GI tract. Deep sedation or general anesthesia is often helpful, as it is important to not rush during the procedure. It is essential to obtain the scope in a stable position and bring sufficient tissue into the cap using a grasping tool and suction. Following OTSC closure of any leaks or perforations, we maintain the patient’s nothing by mouth status and intravenous antibiotics until the upper GI series demonstrates a lack of contrast leak prior to advancing the diet.

CONCLUSIONS

OTSC has been gaining traction in the management of
bleeding, perforation, anastomotic leak, and fistula. Retrospective studies have demonstrated a relatively high success rate and low complication rate. However, prospective randomized trials are needed to better evaluate the utility of OTSC in various clinical scenarios, including fistula and perforation management. We recommend that clinicians familiarize themselves with the equipment and be deliberate during OTSC positioning and deployment to reduce the risk of unsuccessful deployment.
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