Quality Assessment of Strips produced from Soybean and Cassava Flour Blends
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Abstract

This study evaluated the quality characteristics of strips produced from blends (%) of soybean and cassava flours. Wholesome cassava roots and soybean grains were procured and processed into flours. Seven blends of soybean and cassava flour blends were formulated (0:100, 100:0, 50:50, 40:60, 20:80, 60:40 and 80:20) while 100% wheat flour served as control. Strips were produced from the blends. The composite flours were analyzed for their functional properties while the proximate, mineral, vitamin, antinutrient contents and sensory characteristics (assessed by 25 panelists) of the strips were assessed. The statistical analysis was carried out using one-way ANOVA at 95% confidence level. The functional properties ranged from 0.53 to 0.77 g/mL for bulk density, 3.76 to 12.88% for foam capacity, 1.92 to 49.00% for foam stability, 108.00 to 204.00 g/mL for oil absorption capacity, 81.00 to 140.50 g/mL for water absorption capacity and 61.07 to 87.00°C for gelatinization temperature. The results of proximate analysis ranged from 1.44 to 3.46 % for moisture, 1.63 to 3.91% for ash, 2.04 to 10.76% for fat, 0.18 to 1.37% for crude fibre, 4.07 to 24.16% for protein and 60.46 to 88.10% for carbohydrate. The phytate, tannin, trypsin inhibitor, saponin and hydrogen cyanide ranged from 0.22 to 5.59 mg/100g, 0.34 to 4.13 mg/100g, 0.04 to 1.69 mg/100g, 0.02 to 0.36 mg/100g and 0.00 to 2.11 mg/100g respectively. Sensory analysis revealed that 80% soybean flour and 20% cassava flour possessed better organoleptic characteristics. Production of strips from blends of soybean and cassava flours improved the nutrient composition, sensory properties with acceptable/safe antinutrient limit which can be optimized for value addition.
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Introduction

Composite flours are a mixture of flours from tubers rich in starch (e.g. cassava, yam, sweet potato) and/or protein-rich flours (e.g. soy, peanut) and/or cereals (e.g. maize, rice, millet, buckwheat), with or without wheat flour. The supplementation of cereal flour with inexpensive stable food such as legume/pulses helps in improving the nutritional quality of cereal products (Suresh et al., 2015). The use of composite flour for commercial snack making purposes are increasingly gaining much attention in Nigeria (Shittu et al., 2007). The use of composite flours has the following advantages: savings of hard currency, a better supply of protein for human nutrition and a simple production technology (Bugusu et al., 2001) aside the fact that wheat cannot be economically produced in tropical countries like Nigeria due to unfavourable climatic conditions. For these reasons, local staples like soybean and cassava are currently being investigated as industrial raw materials in confectionaries production.

The wide use of soybean (Glycine max) in food production could be due to the fact that, it is a cheap source of protein that provides similar quality of protein as meat, milk and eggs (Kusuma, 2015). Soybean contains 37.69 % protein, 28.20 % fat and 16.31 % carbohydrate (Etiosa et al., 2018). It is
also rich in isoflavones which have numerous health benefits such as lowering of blood cholesterol level, cancer prevention and reduction of risk of heart diseases (Kusuma, 2015). It can be processed into a wide variety of food products, including edible oil, milk, tempeh, infant formula and flour (O’Keefe et al., 2015). Flour from whole soybean seeds has huge potentials of being used to enrich foods in order to help individuals meet recommended daily intake of nutrients such as protein (Adelakun et al., 2013). Pele et al. (2016) reported that soybean flour has protein (23.98 to 28.44 %), carbohydrate (38.48 to 43.52 %), fat (20.51 to 26.20 %) and reduced anti-nutrient factors than the unprocessed seeds.

Cassava (Manihot esculenta, Crantz) is a food security crop with the capacity of addressing some health-related problems such as ketosis (Onyenwoke and Simonyan, 2014). The starch content varies between 60.34 to 86.79 % of the mass of white-flesh cassava and 69.90 % of biofortified yellow-flesh cassava (Ayetigbo et al., 2018). Cassava root of 0.50 to 2.50 kg have 0.30 to 3.50 % protein, 0.03 to 0.50 % lipids, 0.10 to 3.70 % dietary fibre, 0.40 to 1.70 % ash, 0.03 to 0.28 mg/100g thiamin, 0.03 to 0.06 mg/100g riboflavin, 19.00 to 176.00 mg/100g calcium, 0.30 to 1.40 mg/100g iron and 6.00 to 152.00 mg/100g of phosphorus (Omosuli, 2018).

The increasing urbanization has profoundly contributed to the popularity, increased production and consumption of snacks. The possible exploration of non-wheat flours in snack production could possibly increase the use of home grown crops. Vitamin A deficiency which is responsible for visual impairment is a rising health concern in developing countries. Indigenous crops such as carotenoid rich cassava roots could contribute to its eradication. More so, protein energy malnutrition (PEM) which arises as a result of insufficient consumption of protein and energy is known to result in high rate of death particularly among children. Economically, the continuous production of snacks using imported wheat flour would continue to affect Nigeria’s foreign exchange negatively. Production of strips from flour blends of cassava and soybean will reduce the over dependence on wheat flour for snack production, improve farmer’s livelihood, create variety, contribute in combating protein energy malnutrition and vitamin A deficiency, make strips affordable and increase the demand of soybean and cassava for food development. This study is therefore aimed at producing and evaluating strips from blends of cassava and soybean flours and finding alternative ways of exploiting the industrial potential and nutritional benefits of cassava and soybean.

Materials and methods
Sources of raw materials

The cassava roots (Manihot esculenta Crantz) (UMUCASS 38 (TMS 01/1371) variety) used for this study was procured from National Root Crops Research Institute Umudike, Abia State. Soybean seeds (Glycine max) and other ingredients such as onion, salt and vegetable oil were procured from Ubani local market, Umuahia, Abia State.

Sample preparation
Production of cassava Flour

The method described by Alozie and Chinma (2015) was employed in cassava flour production. Cassava roots were sorted, cleaned, manually peeled and sliced prior to oven drying at 60°C for 9 h. The oven dried cassava roots were milled using attrition mill (Model SK-30-SS, USA) and sieved through 500 µm mesh size to obtain the cassava flour which was packaged in polyethylene bag prior to further use. Processing of soybean seeds into soybean flour

The method of Adelakun et al. (2013) was used in processing of soybeans into flour with modification. Soybean seeds were sorted to remove stone and unwholesome seeds and were cleaned properly. The seeds were steeped in clean tap water for 6 h, drained and boiled in water for 30 min, cooled and manually dehulled. The dehulled soybean seeds were washed in water, drained, dried at 60°C for 6 h, milled (Attrition mill Model SK-30-SS, USA), sieved through 500 µm mesh size and packaged for further use.

Formulation of soybean-cassava composite flour

The proportion of soybean flour (SF) and cassava flour (CF) used in the formulation of composite flour were as follows; 0:100 (SF100), 100:0 (CF100), 50:50 (SF50:CF50), 40:60 (SF40:CF60), 20:80 (SF20:CF80), 60:40 (SF60:CF40) and 80:20 (SF80:CF20), while 100% wheat flour (WF100) served as control.

Functional analysis

The method described by Onwuka (2018) was used in the determination of bulk density, gelatinization temperature, water absorption capacity, oil
absorption capacity, foam capacity and stability and wettability of the flour samples.

**Production of soybean-cassava strips**
Strips were produced according to the procedure of Dada et al. (2017) (Figure 1). The recipe for the production of soybean-cassava strips comprised wheat flour (100 g), cassava flour (350 g), soybean flour (350 g), onion (360 g), salt (40 g), water (1200 mL) and vegetable oil (3000 mL).

**Sample analyses**

![Diagram of production process](image)

**Proximate analysis**
The method of AOAC (2010) was used in determining the proximate composition including moisture, crude protein, crude fibre, ash, fat and carbohydrate contents.

**Vitamin analysis:** The spectrophotometric method described by Onwuka (2018) was employed in the determination of vitamin A, vitamin B₁, vitamin B₂, vitamin B₃ while the vitamin C content was analyzed according to the method described by Achikanu et al. (2013).

**Mineral analysis**
The soybean-cassava strips were analyzed for potassium and iron content using Achikanu et al. (2013) procedure. Zinc, calcium, magnesium, phosphorous contents were determined according to the method described by Onwuka (2018). Sodium content of the soybean-cassava strips was determined using the flame photometric method of AOAC (2010).

**Antinutrient analysis**
Nwosu (2011) spectrophotometric procedure was adopted in analyzing for trypsin inhibitor and tannin contents of the soybean-cassava strips. Hydrogen cyanide was determined according to the procedure described by Onwuka (2018). The saponin and phytate contents were respectively determined using the colorimetric and spectrophotometric methods of AOAC (2010).

**Sensory analysis**
The method described by Iwe (2014), was used for evaluating the sensory attributes of the soybean-cassava strips. The appearance, taste, aroma, texture, mouthfeel and general acceptability of the soybean-cassava strips were evaluated by 20-member semi-trained panelists randomly selected from students of Department of Food Science and Technology, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State. The samples were presented in identical packaging materials labeled with appropriate codes. Portable water was served to the panelists for mouth rinsing after each tasting to avoid interference with the taste of the succeeding samples. Sensory attributes of the soybean-cassava strips were scored on a 9-point
Hedonic scale ranging from like extremely (9) to dislike extremely (1).

Statistical Analysis
The data obtained from laboratory experiment were expressed as mean ± SD (standard deviation) of duplicate determinations. One-way ANOVA was used to analyze the experimental data. When a significant difference exists among the means level of significance among the means was compared using Duncan Multiple Range Test method at 95 % confidence level (SPSS, 2018).

Results and Discussions
Functional properties of soybean-cassava flour blends
Table 1 showed the functional properties of soybean-cassava flour blends. The bulk density (BD) (0.53 to 0.77 g/mL) obtained in this study was lower than the values (0.76 to 0.82 g/mL) reported by Suresh et al. (2015) for cassava-wheat composite flour. The highest BD (0.77 g/mL) was recorded in 100% cassava flour (CF100) while SF50:CF50 had the lowest BD (0.53 g/mL). BD is defined as the mass/volume of a substance. It is important in assessing the load carrying capacity of a packaging material (Ezeocha and Onwuka, 2010). Suresh et al. (2015) reported that BD is dependent on the particle size, starch, and initial moisture content of flour. The BD obtained in this study is considerably low which might suggest their suitability in food formulation with an extra advantage in the formulation of complimentary foods (Akapata and Akubor, 1999) and may possibly encourage bulk packing of the flour samples using compact packaging material (Ezeocha and Onwuka, 2010).

The foam capacity (FC) of the flour blends ranged from 3.76 to 12.88 %. The reduction of soybean flour and increase in cassava flour resulted into decrease in the FC of the flour blends. The results implied that legumes have higher ability to form foam than root crops. Legumes are rich in protein and may likely form foam than root crops because of their higher protein content since foam capacity is the extent to which protein dispersion in water form foam. Onimawo and Akubor (2005) reported that the texture of food products can be improved by foam, although the method of processing, pest infestation as well as contamination of the food material can affect the ability of the food material to form foam. Kaushal et al. (2012) reported that legumes influence the formation of foams positively by reducing the surface tension of the barrier at the water-air interface, thus, a cohesive thin film was formed around the air bubbles. Consequently, higher legume-containing composite flours might have a better foam performance when used in formulating food materials that require foam formation.

Foam stability (FS) of the flours ranged from 1.92 to 49.00 %, showing significant difference (p<0.05) among the flour samples. As the proportion of soybean flour decreased and cassava flour increased in the flour blends, the FS decreased concurrently. This observation could be attributed to the low surface tensions and high viscosity that exist at the surface of colloidal solutions, forming a tough amorphous solid surface film (Mwasaru et al., 1999). Low FS in flours can be attributed to inadequate electrostatic repulsions resulting from low protein availability as well as lesser solubility and to protein denaturation (Butt and Batool, 2010). Good FS are desirable attributes for flours intended for the production of a variety of baked products such as angel cakes, cookies, akara, etc and also act as functional agents in other food formulations (El-Adawy, 2001). The FS obtained in this study for the composite flours are quite high which implied that the flour blends may be useful as aerating agents in food productions (Hung et al., 2004) (such as cakes and other baked products) that require the production of foam.

The oil absorption capacity (OAC) (108.00 to 204.00 g/mL) was higher than 130.00 to 156.00 g/mL reported by Suresh et al. (2015) for cassava-wheat composite flour. The composite flours had lower OAC values than the reference sample. Increasing the proportion of soybean flour, increased the OAC of the flour samples which indicated that legumes might have better OAC. However, the OAC of the flour samples were generally high and differ significantly (p<0.05) from each other. OAC is an index of flour protein capacity to bind fat (Onimawo and Akubor, 2005). The protein content, physical nature of the oil as well as the type of method used may have affected the oil absorption capacity of the samples leading to variation (Ibeabuchi et al., 2017). The high OAC obtained for the flour blends might translate to good applicative potential in food formulations since high OAC increases the retention of fat.
of flavor, and also increases the mouth feel of products. Although, the high OAC of the flour blends might be challenging with respect to shelf life particularly in bakery products (Adebowale and Lawal, 2004).
The water absorption capacity (WAC) (81.00 to 140.50 g/mL) differ significantly (p<0.05) among the samples, which may be due to variation in the concentration of protein content, degree of association and conformational characteristics (Butt and Batool, 2010). The WAC of the flour blends decreased with increasing proportions of soybean flour, which indicated that cassava flour might have better WAC than soybean flour. Butt and Batool (2010) reported that protein possess both heads (hydrophilic and hydrophobic properties) which enables its association with moisture in foods. Adejuyitan et al. (2009) reported that carbohydrates also influence water absorption capacity of foods. The values of WAC for the composite flours were considerably high, which might be attributed to the presence of hydrophilic protein that has the capacity to bind water as well as high digestible starch. The values obtained in this study are desirable in flour and impliedly, legumes can be incorporated into food formulations involving other flours.

The gelatinization temperature (GT) of the flour blends ranged from 61.07 to 87.00°C. The lowest GT was recorded for 100% wheat flour (WF100) which implied that WF100 took the shortest time to gelatinize, while soybean (a legume with high protein content) and cassava flour required higher temperature to gelatinize and consequently longer time. More so, composite flours containing higher proportion of cassava flour gelatinized at a lower temperature. GT is the temperature at which food material form gel or become gelatinous (Onwuka, 2010). The primary function of gel in foods is to bind or solidify the free water (Onimawo and Egbekun, 1998). The GT results obtained in this study are generally high and suggested that the flours could be suitable for the stabilization of emulsion in soups and cakes and other food formulations.

**Proximate composition**

Table 2 showed the proximate composition of soybean-cassava strips. The moisture content (1.44 to 3.46%) was generally low. The low moisture in the sample could be attributed to the frying process which has the capacity to extract almost all available free water. Hence, the strips might remain stable for a long period without spoilage at ambient temperature (Offor, 2015).
The ash content ranged from 1.63 to 3.91%. WF100 (100% wheat flour strip) had the lowest ash value while SF80:CF20 (80% soybean flour: 20% cassava flour strip) had the highest value. Increase in the proportion of soybean flour resulted to increased ash content, suggesting the influence of legumes on the ash content of food formulation. Ash content is the fraction in biomass that is composed of incombustible mineral material, which is a representation of mineral availability in food (Mamiro et al., 2011). Soybean is rich in minerals (Rooney and Waniska, 2000), therefore the increased ash content of the strips containing soybean flour may suggest improved mineral content than in the strips containing 100% wheat or cassava flour.

The fat content of the soybean-cassava strips also increased significantly (p<0.05) with increased soybean substitution (an oil seed) and ranged from 2.04 to 10.76%. The fat content was highest in SF80:CF20 (80% soybean flour: 20% cassava flour strip) formulation. Generally, the lipid content of the strips containing legumes is quite high which might result to decreased keeping quality as a result of increased susceptibility to rancidity (Ikujenlola et al., 2013). However, the strips containing legumes may have the capability of serving as a viable vehicle for fat soluble vitamins as well as improved mouth-feel and palatability (Coppin and Pike, 2011).

Fibre content ranged from 0.18 to 1.37%. The fibre content of the reference sample was lower than the composite samples, reflecting the beneficial importance of complementation. However, the fibre content of the composite samples did not differ significantly (p ≥0.05). Fibre has been reported to offer a variety of health benefits and is essential in reducing the risk of chronic diseases such as diabetes, obesity, cardiovascular diseases and diverticulitis (Ajani et al., 2012). The low values obtained in this study may imply that, the strips produced from cassava and soybean flours might not contribute significantly to the dietary fibre needs of the human body.

Protein content ranged from 4.07 to 24.16%. There was appreciably increase in the protein content of the strips as the proportion of soybean flour increased in the composite strips such that, SF80:CF20 (80 % soybean flour: 20 % cassava flour strip) had the highest value (20.07 %) among the composite strips as expected. Thus, the increased protein content of the strips could be attributed to the influence of the soybean flour. Ndife et al. (2011) reported an increase in protein content of bread as a result of partial substitution of wheat flour with soybean flour while flour Mashayekh et al. (2008) reported an increase in protein content of snack (cookies) as a result of soybean flour addition. About 23-56 g protein was recommended by FAO/WHO/UNU (1994) to meet the protein needs of the human body and combat protein deficiency. The protein content

---

**Table 2: Proximate composition of the soybean-cassava strips.**

| Samples      | Moisture (%) | Ash (%) | Fat (%) | Crude Protein (%) | Crude Fibre (%) | Carbohydrate (%) |
|--------------|--------------|---------|---------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| WF100        | 2.61±0.02    | 1.63±0.01| 4.51±0.01| 0.18±0.01        | 9.71±0.02       | 81.36±0.04       |
| SF100        | 1.44±0.01    | 2.91±0.01| 9.66±0.01| 1.37±0.01        | 24.16±0.01      | 60.46±0.00       |
| CF100        | 2.16±0.01    | 2.51±0.01| 2.04±0.01| 1.12±0.01        | 4.07±0.01       | 88.10±0.03       |
| SF50:CF50    | 1.66±0.01    | 3.08±0.01| 7.44±0.01| 1.13±0.01        | 12.23±0.01      | 74.46±0.00       |
| SF40:CF60    | 2.32±0.01    | 2.84±0.01| 6.88±0.01| 1.12±0.01        | 11.29±0.01      | 75.55±0.31       |
| SF20:CF80    | 2.95±0.02    | 2.34±0.01| 5.59±0.01| 1.17±0.01        | 4.95±0.01       | 83.00±0.72       |
| SF60:CF40    | 3.44±0.01    | 3.61±0.01| 8.72±0.01| 1.14±0.01        | 19.24±0.01      | 63.85±0.15       |
| SF80:CF20    | 3.46±0.01    | 3.91±0.01| 10.76±0.01| 1.14±0.01        | 20.07±0.01      | 60.66±0.01       |

Values are means ± standard deviation of duplicate determination. Mean values in the same column with different superscripts are significantly different (p<0.05). WF- Wheat flour, SF- Soybean flour, CF- Cassava flour. WF100 (100% Wheat flour). SF100 (100% soybean flour). CF100 (100% cassava flour). SF50:CF50 (50% soybean flour: 50% cassava flour). SF40:CF60 (40% soybean flour: 60% cassava flour). SF20:CF80 (20% soybean flour: 80% cassava flour). SF60:CF40 (60% soybean flour: 40% cassava flour). SF80:CF20 (80% soybean flour: 20% cassava flour).
suggested that the strips might be valuable in combating protein energy malnutrition.

The carbohydrate content of the soybean-cassava strips ranged from 60.46 to 88.10% and was observed to decrease with increased soybean flour substitution. The values were higher than 33.35 to 54.27% reported by Ndife et al. (2011) for wheat-soybean bread. Oguntona et al. (1987), reported that food products from cassava are energy-rich foods which is in agreement with the findings of this study.

**Vitamin composition**

Table 3 showed the vitamin composition of the soybean-cassava strips. The pro-vitamin A content ranged from 0.45 to 3.83 µg/100g with significant differences existing among the samples. WF100 (100 % wheat flour strip) and SF80:CF20 (80 % soybean flour: 20 % cassava flour strip) had the lowest and highest pro-vitamin A values respectively. It was also observed that the vitamin value of the formulated samples decreased with increased cassava flour substitution. Pro-Vitamin A is important for sight functions (Akuyili et al., 2013). The pro-vitamin A content obtained in this study are below the recommended daily intake of 700 – 1000 µg/day for men and 600 – 800 µg/day for women (FAO/WHO, 1998) which suggested that the formulated samples might not contribute significantly to eye health.

The vitamin B₃ composition of the strips ranged between 0.03 to 0.06 mg/100g. No significant differences (p ≥ 0.05) existing among the formulated samples (composite strips). The values are lower than the value (0.25 mg/100g) reported by Suresh et al. (2015) for cassava-wheat composite bread and below the recommended daily nutrient intakes of vitamin B₃ for infants, children, and adults (0.2-0.9, 1.1-1.2, 1.1-1.5 mg/day) (FAO/WHO, 1998).

The results of vitamin B₂ (riboflavin) varied significantly (p<0.05) among the samples with values ranging from 0.16 to 0.63 mg/100g. SF20:CF80 had the highest value while SF80:CF20 had the lowest value. The cassava flour had more influence on the vitamin B₂ content than the soybean flour, the composite strips containing higher proportion of cassava flour had higher vitamin B₂ content.

However, the values obtained in this study are generally low and were below the FAO/WHO recommended daily intake (RDI) for adolescents and adults (1.00 to 1.30 mg/day), pregnant women (1.40 mg/day) and lactating women (1.60 mg/day) but within the RDI for infants and children (0.30 to 0.90 mg/day).

The result of vitamin B₆ content of the strips ranged from 0.27 to 1.51 mg/100g with SF100 (100% soybean flour strip) and CF100 (100% cassava flour strip) having the highest vitamin B₆ values respectively. The formulation of composite strips had a positive influence on vitamin B₆ compared to the reference sample but the values were below the FAO/WHO recommended daily intake for infants and children (2.00 to 12.00 mg/day), adults (14.00 to 16.00 mg/day), pregnant women (18.00 mg/day) and lactating women (17.00 mg/day).

The vitamin C content of the strips ranged between 1.33 to 2.71 mg/100g. The reference sample had lower values than the composite strips which implied that the blending of soybean and cassava flours had beneficial impact on the vitamin C level of the strips. Increasing soybean flour proportion resulted to an increase in the vitamin C content of the samples. The result obtained in this study was below the value (3.67 mg/100g) reported by Jitngarmkusol et al. (2008) for cassava strips. Also, the vitamin C values obtained in this study were below the FAO/WHO recommended daily intake for infants and children (25.00 to 35.00 mg/day), adults (40.00 to 45.00 mg/day), pregnant women (55.00 mg/day) and lactating women (70.00 mg/day) (FAO/WHO, 1998).

**Mineral content**

Mineral contents of the strips are shown in Table 4. The calcium content of the strips ranged from 1.01 to 5.83 mg/100g. The calcium content increased with increased proportion of soybean flour. The values obtained suggested that the consumption of every 100 g of the strips would result to calcium intake that is below the FAO/WHO recommended daily intake for calcium of different target consumer such as Infants and children of 0 to 9 years (300 to 700 mg/day), Adolescents of 10 to 18 years (1300 mg/day),
The magnesium content of the strips ranged from 1.35 to 42.60 mg/100g. The magnesium content of the composite strips increased as the proportion of soybean flour increases. However, the values obtained are below the recommended nutrient intake for infants and children (26 to 100 mg/day), adolescents (230 mg/day for females and 220 mg/day for males) and adults (220 mg/day for females and 260 mg/day for males) respectively (FAO/WHO, 1998). The magnesium content of the strips may not be adequate to satisfy the daily functions in the human body due to their inability to reach the recommended daily allowance.

Phosphorus content ranged from 1.16 to 27.40 mg/100g. SF100 (100% soybean flour strip) had the highest phosphorus value (27.40 mg/100g) while CF100 (100% cassava flour strip) had the lowest value. The phosphorous content of the composite strips was higher than the reference sample (3.13 mg/100g) which suggested an improvement after blending except for SF40:CF60 (40% soybean flour: 60% cassava flour) with a value 1.16 mg/100g. The phosphorus content of the strips increased with increased soybean flour substitution. The increased phosphorous content implied that the mineral content of snacks might be improved by developing composite products.

The sodium content of the strips ranged from 0.25 to 6.53 mg/100g. The composite strips had lower sodium content than the reference sample, thus, the production of strips from soybean and cassava flours resulted into product with lower sodium content. Low sodium content is beneficial to health especially in the aspect of blood pressure regulation (Onwuka, 2018). The low sodium content of the strips is therefore advantageous.

Potassium content ranged from 0.22 to 2.64 mg/100g which was lower than the value obtained for the reference sample (100% wheat flour strip) (2.64 mg/100g). Gerlin et al. (2007) reported that potassium plays a key role in skeletal and smooth muscle contraction. The values suggested that the potassium content of the studied samples are quite low and might not be good sources of potassium.

### Table 3: Vitamin composition of soybean-cassava strips.

| Sample     | pro-Vitamin A (µg/100g) | Vitamin B₁ (mg/100g) | Vitamin B₂ (mg/100g) | Vitamin B₃ (mg/100g) | Vitamin C (mg/100g) |
|------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| WF100      | 0.45±0.01               | 0.06±0.00            | 0.53±0.01            | 0.34±0.01            | 1.33±0.01           |
| SF100      | 3.17±0.01               | 0.03±0.00            | 0.47±0.01            | 0.27±0.01            | 2.56±0.01           |
| CF100      | 2.50±0.01               | 0.05±0.00            | 0.52±0.01            | 1.51±0.00            | 1.51±0.01           |
| SF50:CF50  | 2.51±0.01               | 0.04±0.00            | 0.43±0.01            | 0.93±0.00            | 1.86±0.01           |
| SF40:CF60  | 2.40±0.01               | 0.04±0.00            | 0.55±0.01            | 0.74±0.01            | 1.71±0.01           |
| SF20:CF80  | 1.94±0.01               | 0.03±0.00            | 0.63±0.01            | 0.68±0.01            | 1.64±0.01           |
| SF60:CF40  | 3.21±0.01               | 0.03±0.00            | 0.25±0.01            | 0.68±0.01            | 2.37±0.01           |
| SF80:CF20  | 3.83±0.01               | 0.04±0.00            | 0.16±0.01            | 0.72±0.01            | 2.71b±0.01          |

Values are means ± standard deviation of duplicate determination. Mean values in the same column with different superscripts are significantly different (p<0.05). WF-Wheat flour, SF- Soybean flour, CF-Cassava flour. WF100 (100% Wheat flour). SF100 (100% soybean flour). CF100 (100% cassava flour). SF50:CF50 (50% soybean flour: 50% cassava flour). SF40:CF60 (40% soybean flour: 60% cassava flour). SF20:CF80 (20% soybean flour: 80% cassava flour). SF60:CF40 (60% soybean flour: 40% cassava flour). SF80:CF20 (80% soybean flour: 20% cassava flour).
Table 4: Mineral composition of soybean-cassava strips (mg/100g).

| Samples   | Calcium          | Magnesium    | Phosphorus | Sodium       | Potassium     | Iron        | Zinc         |
|-----------|------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|
| WF100     | 5.83±0.01        | 7.14±0.01    | 3.13±0.14  | 6.53±0.14    | 2.64±0.14     | 3.02±0.14   | 0.24±0.01    |
| SF100     | 4.62±0.01        | 42.60±0.01   | 27.40±0.14 | 2.14±0.14    | 0.17±0.14     | 0.13±0.14   | 2.54±0.01    |
| CF100     | 1.01±0.01        | 1.35±0.01    | 1.20±0.01  | 0.25±0.01    | 0.35±0.14     | 0.16±0.14   | 0.03±0.01    |
| SF50:CF50 | 3.11±0.14        | 20.40±0.01   | 15.40±0.01 | 1.16±0.14    | 0.27±0.01     | 1.53±0.14   | 1.27±0.01    |
| SF40:CF60 | 2.16±0.14        | 15.05±0.01   | 1.16±0.01  | 0.26±0.14    | 1.43±0.01     | 1.26±0.01   | 1.06±0.01    |
| SF20:CF80 | 2.27±0.14        | 14.55±0.01   | 11.95±0.14 | 0.89±0.01    | 0.22±0.07     | 1.41±0.01   | 1.21±0.01    |
| SF60:CF40 | 3.35±0.01        | 15.10±0.01   | 13.15±0.14 | 1.04±0.14    | 0.24±0.01     | 1.42±0.01   | 1.24±0.01    |
| SF80:CF20 | 3.71±0.01        | 22.35±0.01   | 18.35±0.01 | 1.13±0.14    | 0.42±0.14     | 1.51±0.01   | 1.29±0.01    |

Values are means ± standard deviation of duplicate determination. Mean values in the same column with different superscripts are significantly different (p<0.05). WF100 (100% Wheat flour), CF100 (100% cassava flour), SF100 (100% soybean flour), SF50:CF50 (50% soybean flour: 50% cassava flour), SF40:CF60 (40% soybean flour: 60% cassava flour), SF20:CF80 (20% soybean flour: 80% cassava flour), SF60:CF40 (60% soybean flour: 40% cassava flour), SF80:CF20 (80% soybean flour: 20% cassava flour).

The iron content of the strips ranged from 0.13 to 3.02 mg/100g. The reference sample (100% wheat flour strip) had the highest iron value (3.02 mg/100g) which implied that the development of composite strips from soybean and cassava flours did not improve the iron content of the final product. Iron deficiency anemia is a serious problem for certain at-risk groups (Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine, 2002) and continued research is ongoing for the development of snack with appreciable iron content. However, the iron content obtained in this study was below the recommended daily intake for a dietary iron bioavailability of 15% with respect to infants and children (5.90 to 6.20 mg/day), adolescents (9.30 to 20.70 mg/day for females and 9.70 to 12.5 mg/day for males) and adults (19.60 mg/day for females and 9.10 mg/day for males) respectively (FAO/WHO, 1998).

The zinc values of the strips ranged between 0.03 to 2.54 mg/100g. The addition of the soybean flour increased the zinc value of the samples, which is an important mineral for growth and development as reported by Chandra (2002). Tuber-based diets as well as cereals are deficient in iron and zinc which can be improved by the addition legumes (FAO/WHO, 1998) as observed in the present study. However, the zinc content of the formulated strips was below the recommended daily intake with respect to infants and children (6.60 to 11.20 mg/day), adolescents (14.40 mg/day for females and 17.10 mg/day for males) and adults (9.80 mg/day for females and 14.00 mg/day for males) respectively (FAO/WHO, 1998).

**Anti-nutrient composition**

The results of antinutrient composition of the soybean-cassava strips are shown in Table 5. Phytate content (0.22 to 5.59 mg/100g) was lower than 25 mg/100g, the amount considered lethal to health (Nagel, 2010). The formulated strips had higher phytate content than the reference sample (100% wheat flour strip). Increasing proportion of cassava flour resulted to increased phytate content in the strips. Phytate is often considered as an antinutrient because it binds minerals (Zn²⁺, Fe²⁺/³⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺, and Cu²⁺) in the digestive tract, making them unavailable (Dick et al., 2018), and reduces mineral absorption thereby reducing bioavailability (Gupta et al., 2013).

The tannin content ranged from 0.34 to 4.13 mg/100g. The reference sample had lower tannin content than the formulated strips. Increasing proportion of soybean flour resulted into increased tannin content in the strips. However, the tannin in the strips was generally below the reported lethal dose of 90 mg/100g (Ifie and Emeruwa, 2011). Therefore, the tannin level in the strips might not influence digestion negatively since high tannin concentration impairs microbial enzyme activities including cellulose and intestinal digestion may be depressed (Ferrell and Thorington, 2006).

The trypsin inhibitor for the reference sample was lower than the formulated sample with values ranging from 0.04 to 1.69 mg/100g.
Trypsin inhibitors cleave with proteins thereby
preventing the binding of protein and trypsin
and affecting the digestion of protein (Aviles-
Gaxiola et al., 2018). However, the values
obtained in this study are quite low and might
not influence protein digestion negatively.

| Table 5: Antinutrient composition of soybean-cassava strips (mg/100g) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Samples           | Phytate         | Tannin     | Trypsin Inhibitor | Saponin      | Hydrogen Cyanide |
| WF100             | 0.22±0.01      | 0.34±0.01 | 0.04±0.01        | 0.36±0.01    | 0.06±0.01        |
| SF100             | 1.31±0.01      | 4.13±0.02 | 1.69±0.01        | 0.02±0.01    | 0.00±0.01        |
| CF100             | 5.31±0.01      | 0.12±0.01 | 0.54±0.01        | 0.02±0.01    | 2.11±0.01        |
| SF50:CF50         | 5.33±0.02      | 3.11±0.01 | 0.67±0.02        | 0.06±0.01    | 0.87±0.01        |
| SF40:CF60         | 3.59±0.02      | 1.63±0.02 | 0.25±0.01        | 0.04±0.01    | 0.97±0.01        |
| SF20:CF80         | 5.59±0.01      | 0.54±0.01 | 0.16±0.01        | 0.07±0.01    | 1.14±0.01        |
| SF60:CF40         | 2.15±0.01      | 2.04±0.01 | 0.91±0.01        | 0.02±0.01    | 0.57±0.01        |
| SF80:CF20         | 2.15±0.01      | 2.04±0.01 | 0.89±0.01        | 0.03±0.01    | 0.14±0.08        |

Values are means ± standard deviation of duplicate determination. Mean values in the same column with
different superscripts are significantly different (p<0.05). WF100 (100% Wheat flour). SF100 (100%
soybean flour). CF100 (100% cassava flour). SF50:CF50 (50% soybean flour: 50% cassava flour).
SF40:CF60 (40% soybean flour: 60% cassava flour). SF20:CF80 (20% soybean flour: 80% cassava flour).
SF60:CF40 (60% soybean flour: 40% cassava flour). SF80:CF20 (80% soybean flour: 20% cassava flour).

The saponin content of the reference sample
was higher than the values obtained for the
formulated samples with values ranging from
0.02 to 0.36 mg/100g. Saponin can form
complexes with zinc and iron, thus, limiting their
bioavailability (Sun et al., 2009). It has been
reported that, consumption of 5 – 10 mg/kg of
saponin produces local effect of corrosion and
paralytic ileus (Joint FAO/WHO Expert
Committee on Food Additives, 2005). From this
study, the soybean-cassava strips contained low
amount of saponin, and may possibly cause no
health adverse effect.

The results of hydrogen cyanide ranged from
0.00 to 2.11 mg/100g. The results showed that
hydrogen cyanide obtained in the formulated
samples was solely contributed by the cassava
flour since there was no hydrogen cyanide in
SF100 (100% soybean flour strip). Increasing
proportion of cassava flour resulted into
increased hydrogen cyanide content in the
formulated strips. However, the hydrogen
cyanide content of the strips was below the
lethal those of >10 mg/kg as stipulated by
Codex Alimentarius Commission (2013).

**Sensory evaluation**

The sensory properties of soybean-cassava strips
are presented in Table 6. The appearance score
of the samples ranged from 5.45 to 8.45. The
composite strips had higher appearance score
than the control. Strips from 20% soybean flour:
80% cassava flour (SF20:CF80) was rated higher
by the panelist than other strips. This could be
due to the fact that the increasing addition of
soybean caused the strips to turn darker than
strips containing higher proportion of cassava
flour. The browning could also be as a result of
caramelization and mallard reactions, as the
proteins contributed by soybean flour must have
reacted with sugar during frying (Manzocco et
al., 2011), thus, SF20:CF80 (20% soybean flour:
80% cassava flour) with a score of 8 was
liked very much by the panelist. Stripes from
50% soybean flour: 50% cassava flour
(SF50:CF50) and SF40:CF60 (40% soybean
flour: 60% cassava flour) with a score of 7 was
liked moderately by the panelists. SF80:CF20
(20% soybean flour: 80% cassava flour strip) with a score of 5 was neither liked
nor disliked. Crispiness ranged from 1.45 to
8.40. The composite samples had lower score
than the control except SF20:CF80.

Among the formulated samples, SF20:CF80 (20
% soybean flour: 80 % cassava flour strip) was
liked very much with mean score of 7 by the
panelist. Mouth feel ranged from 4.15 to 7.30.
SF100 (100% soybean flour strip), SF80:CF20
(80% soybean flour: 20% cassava flour strip)
and SF60:CF40 (60% soybean flour: 40%
Table 6: Sensory properties of soybean-cassava strips.

| Samples         | Appearance | Crispiness  | Mouthfeel | Texture  | Taste  | Flavour  | General acceptability |
|-----------------|------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------|
| WF100           | 6.85<sup>cb</sup>±1.71 | 6.45<sup>c</sup>±1.71 | 6.40<sup>ab</sup>±1.51 | 4.10<sup>d</sup>±1.51 | 6.30<sup>ab</sup>±1.51 | 6.25<sup>abc</sup>±0.51 | 6.45<sup>cb</sup>±0.51 |
| SF100           | 5.65<sup>ab</sup>±1.61 | 4.55<sup>a</sup>±0.51 | 7.30<sup>a</sup>±0.71 | 5.25<sup>ab</sup>±1.61 | 6.90<sup>a</sup>±1.71 | 7.25<sup>a</sup>±1.61 | 7.55<sup>ab</sup>±1.61 |
| CF100           | 7.95<sup>d</sup>±0.51 | 7.60<sup>c</sup>±1.51 | 6.20<sup>ab</sup>±1.71 | 5.55<sup>b</sup>±1.71 | 6.25<sup>ab</sup>±1.61 | 4.65<sup>ab</sup>±2.51 | 6.40<sup>db</sup>±0.71 |
| SF50:CF50       | 7.45<sup>cd</sup>±1.71 | 4.80<sup>c</sup>±1.61 | 5.65<sup>b</sup>±1.51 | 4.80<sup>bc</sup>±1.81 | 6.15<sup>ab</sup>±1.81 | 6.85<sup>cb</sup>±1.81 | 5.40<sup>d</sup>±2.51 |
| SF40:CF60       | 7.80<sup>ab</sup>±1.71 | 5.75<sup>c</sup>±1.71 | 6.30<sup>ab</sup>±2.51 | 5.25<sup>ab</sup>±1.61 | 6.65<sup>ab</sup>±1.61 | 5.95<sup>ab</sup>±1.61 | 7.10<sup>ab</sup>±1.81 |
| SF20:CF80       | 8.45<sup>a</sup>±1.71 | 8.40<sup>a</sup>±0.31 | 4.15<sup>d</sup>±1.71 | 7.30<sup>a</sup>±2.51 | 7.15<sup>a</sup>±1.91 | 5.35<sup>ab</sup>±1.51 | 7.70<sup>a</sup>±1.61 |
| SF60:CF40       | 6.00<sup>ab</sup>±2.71 | 5.35<sup>b</sup>±0.51 | 7.05<sup>a</sup>±0.51 | 5.75<sup>c</sup>±1.61 | 6.55<sup>ab</sup>±1.41 | 6.85<sup>ab</sup>±1.71 | 6.80<sup>abc</sup>±1.91 |
| SF80:CF20       | 5.45<sup>cd</sup>±1.71 | 2.80<sup>d</sup>±0.51 | 7.20<sup>b</sup>±0.51 | 3.20<sup>d</sup>±1.71 | 5.60<sup>b</sup>±1.91 | 7.25<sup>±</sup>±1.81 | 5.67<sup>cd</sup>±0.51 |

Values are means ± standard deviation of duplicate determination. Mean values in the same column with different superscripts are significantly different (p<0.05). WF100 (100% Wheat flour). SF100 (100% soybean flour). CF100 (100% cassava flour). SF50:CF50 (50% soybean flour: 50% cassava flour). SF40:CF60 (40% soybean flour: 60% cassava flour). SF20:CF80 (20% soybean flour: 80% cassava flour). SF60:CF40 (60% soybean flour: 40% cassava flour). SF80:CF20 (80% soybean flour: 20% cassava flour).
cassava flour strip) with values of 7.30, 7.20 and 7.05 respectively was liked moderately by the panelist. The results showed that, increasing proportion of soybean flour resulted to improved mouthfeel. Texture ranged from 3.20 to 7.30 with SF20:CF80 (20% soybean flour: 80% cassava flour strip) exhibiting better texture than the control sample as rated by the panelist and was liked moderately.

The improved texture associated with strips with higher proportion of cassava flour might be attributed to the cohesiveness of starch matrix present in cassava flour. Taste ranged from 5.60 to 7.15. The taste of composite samples was comparable to the control sample. The result showed that all the samples including the control were liked slightly by the panelist except SF20:CF80 (20% soybean flour: 80% cassava flour strip) that was liked moderately and SF80:CF20 (80% soybean flour: 20% cassava flour strip) that was neither liked nor disliked by the panelist. The result for flavour showed that SF80:CF20 (80% soybean flour: 20% cassava flour strip) with a rating of 7.25 was rated higher by the panelist and it was not significantly different from SF100 (100% soybean flour). The improved flavour in strips containing higher proportion of soybean flour could be attributed to the higher lipid and protein content of the flour. The results of general acceptability showed that SF20:CF80 (20% soybean flour: 80% cassava flour strip) was best generally accepted among the soybean-cassava strips with a rating of 7.70.

**Conclusion**

The findings of this study showed the applicability of soybean and cassava flours in the production of strips. The 100 % wheat flour had better functional properties, however, increasing the proportion of soybean flour in the composites resulted to increased foam capacity, foam stability and oil absorption capacity but decreased water absorption capacity and gelatinization temperature. Strips produced from 80% soybean flour: 20% cassava flour blends had better proximate composition and sensory characteristics. The vitamin content was improved in the composite strips but were generally below the FAO/WHO recommended daily intakes. The mineral analysis showed that the reference sample had better mineral composition. However, the mineral contents of the samples were below the FAO/WHO recommended daily intakes. The antinutrient contents of the formulated samples exceeded those of the reference samples but were within the safe limit for consumption. It is therefore recommended that strips produced from soybean and cassava flours could be improved and optimized for the benefit of individuals with diabetes, celiac diseases, as well as protein energy malnutrition.
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