GROUNDWATER POTENTIALS OF EOCENE LIMESTONE AQUIFER IN WEST-WEST EL-MINYA AREA, EGYPT
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The objective of this research is to estimate the groundwater potentials of the Eocene limestone aquifer through the application of GIS technique on the collected and measured data of the drilled wells. Through the investigation of 53 drilled wells, the depth to water increases from 70.8 m at south to 103.8 m at north. The groundwater flow direction is from east to west and from south to north. The salinity ranges from 1000 mg/l to 2982 mg/l. The penetrated depth of the aquifer ranges between 175 m and 500 m. The Eocene fractured limestone aquifer is considered as highly productive aquifer having transmissivity values of 99 - 20160 m²/day and attaining specific capacity values range between < 18 m³/h/m and > 300 m³/h/m. The geologic structures have a considerable effect on aquifer productivity due to increasing the fracture systems and caves. Geographic Information Systems (GIS) technique was applied as a tool to achieve the target of this study, where two scenarios were proposed. In scenario 1, the economic factor is the main factor in the process of extracting groundwater; the western and northeastern parts have the best priority representing 71.7% (100406 Feddan) of the investigated area. In scenario 2, the high productivity of groundwater is the influencing factor; the northern half of the study area and the southwestern corner have the high productivity zones representing about 60.8% (85058 Feddan) of the investigated area.
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Groundwater is the essential single fresh water source in many parts of the world during dry periods (Assaf and Saadeh, 2008). It is considered as the main source for agricultural activity, especially in the investigated area.
Nowadays, Egypt pays attention for the new land reclamation projects to achieve self-sufficiency from strategic crops and to establish new settlements. West-West El-Minya area is considered one of the promising areas for future development plans in Egypt. No doubt, mismanagement exploitation and improper use of water policy will affect directly and/or indirectly both the quality and quantity of groundwater. Therefore, continuous investigation studies and generating groundwater potential maps in new reclamation areas are important for sustainable groundwater management. Such these studies are costly and time consuming and required a huge amount of data.

However, integration between GIS techniques and remote sensing with the available data of the drilled wells are employed recently in several studies to facilitate analyzing such these large volumes of required data and accelerate decision making for groundwater exploration. Andualem and Demeke (2019) used “weighted overlay analysis” to determine groundwater potential areas. Tolche (2020) generated a map using geospatial techniques which can be used in selecting sites for groundwater exploitation.

There are many factors affecting the groundwater potentials; such as depth to water, lithology, topography, land use and land cover, geological structures, lineaments, hydraulic parameters and water quality (Elewa and Qaddah, 2011 and Tolche, 2020), that is why results of studies of groundwater potentials based on the parameter taken into consideration are varied (Magesh et al., 2012).

In new reclamation land, such as West-West El-Minya, groundwater resources are used for irrigation and domestic utilities. Thus, a detailed study is required to identify the potential areas of groundwater resources for better investments.

The main objective of this research work is to construct groundwater potential maps of the Eocene limestone aquifer as it is considered as the essential aquifer in the investigated area in West-West El-Minya, which is considered as a part of the great project for reclaiming a million and a half million Feddan (1 Feddan = 4200 m$^2$) depending on its groundwater resource for reclamation.

To achieve this objective, the collected hydrogeological data of 53 wells including; depth to water, total drilled depth, depth to top surface of limestone aquifer, water salinity and data of pumping tests will be analyzed to assess the aquifer potentials.

Four determinant factors, namely, depth to the top surface of Eocene limestone aquifer, specific capacity, full recovery time and the expected dynamic water level were accounted for in the study.

Many researchers conducted investigation studies in the Eocene limestone aquifer at the desert fringes of west El-Minya governorate among them; El-Kashouty (2010), El-Kashouty et al. (2012), Ibrahim (2013), El-
Deeb et al. (2015), Abdel Moneim et al. (2016), Ibrahim and Lyons (2017), and Gedamy et al. (2019).

LOCATION OF THE STUDY AREA

The study area lies to the west of the Nile Valley occupying the area between latitudes 28° 10' 00" and 28° 30' 00" N, and longitudes 29° 48' 00" and 30° 42' 36" E (Fig. 1). El-Minya governorate area is characterized by arid to semi-arid climate, hot, dry, and rainless in summer, and mild with rare precipitation in winter. The average temperatures during January are 4.6°C to 20.5°C and 20.5°C to 37.7°C during August. The annual rainfall value is about 19.6 mm/year (Salem, 2015). So, the input of rainfall to groundwater recharge is limited (Yousif et al., 2018). Evaporation in “El-Minya governorate” ranges from 14.85 mm/month in June to 3.54 mm/month in December, with the average value of 8.92 mm/month and total amount of about 107.04 mm/year. Evapotranspiration at El-Minya is 4897.91 mm/year (Korany, 1980 and 1984). The mean monthly relative humidity during daytime according to “Egyptian Meteorological Authority” has average value of 54.76% (Elewa et. al, 2013).

Fig. (1). Location map of the study area.

GEOMORPHOLOGICAL AND GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Nile River channel runs between two high calcarceous plateaus bounding it from east and west with a gentle slope from south to north with about 0.1 m/km (Korany et al., 2008). The Nile tends to represent the eastern part of the Valley; therefore, the cultivated area is wider in the western part than in the east. According to Shabana (2010), the study area includes the following three geomorphologic units:
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1- **Young alluvial plain of the Nile**: It occupies the area adjacent to the Nile bank from west to the eastern scarp of the limestone plateau.

2- **Nile terraces**: They lay adjacent to the cliff of the limestone plateau and to the west of the young alluvial plain forming a gently undulated dissected surface made of several broad benches differentiated into old and young terraces.

3- **Western limestone plateau**: This bounds the Nile Valley from the east and the west. Generally, the ground elevation varies widely from 20 m in the floodplain area to 200 m at the limestone plateau at west. Thus, the general slope is from the western plateau toward the floodplain of the Nile Valley as clear from the Digital Elevation Model (Fig. 2).

Geologically, the surface of the study area is covered by the Middle Eocene limestone, Oligocene-Pleistocene gravel and sand and Quaternary deposits (Fig. 3).

![Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of the study area](image1)

**Fig. (2)**. Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of the study area.

![Regional geologic map of the study area](image2)

**Fig. (3)**. Regional geologic map of the study area (CONOCO, 1987).
According to Shabana (2010), the recorded rock units from base to top are:

**Middle Eocene limestone (Samalut Formation)**

Samalut Formation is the oldest exposed rock unit in the study area overlying El-Minya Formation of Lower Eocene. It consists mainly of massive limestone assigned to the Early-Middle Lutetium times. This Formation is exposed at eastern portion, while in the western portion; it is covered by a great thickness of Oligocene-Pleistocene gravels and sands. Samalut Formation represents one of the main water bearing formations in the study area.

**Oligocene-Pleistocene gravel and sand**

It covers a wide area and composed mainly of gravel, sand, clay, and limestone fragments.

**Quaternary deposits**

It has a wide distribution in the eastern side including the Nile silt, sand dunes and fanglomerates.

Structurally, the Nile Valley is essentially bounded by wrench faults that are more or less parallel either to NW-SE trend of the Gulf of Suez or to NE-SW trend of Gulf of Aquba (Youssef, 1968 and Shabana, 2010).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

1. **Aquifer Systems**

The available and field data of 53 wells (Fig. 4) tapping only the fractured limestone aquifer of the Middle Eocene (Samalut Formation) were used to carry out the current study. These data include well drilling data collection, water level measurements, long duration and recovery tests and water sampling. It was found that the aquifers in the study area fall into two categories: unconsolidated aquifer (granular) represented by the Oligocene aquifer and consolidated fractured aquifer represented by the Eocene fractured limestone aquifer of secondary porosity.

Due to the nature of complicated fracture system in fractured rock aquifers and their heterogeneity, there is a difficulty to be investigated. The groundwater flow is classified as non-Darcian flow in this hydrological system. The present work focuses only on the Middle Eocene limestone aquifer.

1.1. **Hydrogeological cross-sections**

The lateral and vertical extensions of the Eocene aquifer are shown through 6 hydrogeological cross-sections (Fig. 5 and 6). Three of them (A-A', B-B' and C-C') are crossing the study area in West-East direction and the other three (D-D', E-E' and F-C') have North-South direction. To avoid any repetitions in the description of these cross-sections the following features are distinguished:
West-East hydrogeological cross-sections:
1- These cross-sections include A-A', B-B' and C-C' having West-East direction. The lengths of these cross-sections are 12 km, 24 km and 24 km, respectively.
2- The cross-sections A-A', B-B' and C-C' pass from the west to the east through wells Nos. (8, 7, 6, 5 and 4), (20, 11, 13, 21, 22, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34 and 35) and (40, 24, 25, 26, 37, 38, 39 and 36), respectively (Fig. 5).
3- The total drilling depth of the wells along these cross-sections ranges between 500 m (wells 22, 31 and 32, section B-B') and 705 m (well 39, section C-C').
4- The two aquifers; the Oligocene aquifer which composes of sand, gravel and clay and the Eocene aquifer which composes of fractured and caved limestone are water bearing formations along these sections.
5- Water depth ranges from 72.5 m (well 13, cross-section B-B') to 103.8 m (well 5, cross-section A-A').
6- Thickness of Oligocene aquifer ranges between 46.4 m (well 4, cross-section A-A') and 253.8 m (well 40, cross-section C-C').
7- The depth to Eocene limestone aquifer varies from 120 m (well 13, cross-sections B-B') to 335 m (well 40, cross-section C-C').
8- The minimum recorded penetrated thickness of Eocene limestone aquifer is 239 m at well 32 (cross-section A-A'), while the maximum one is 480 m at well 13 (cross-section B-B').
9- Water salinity of Eocene limestone aquifer ranges between 1888 mg/l at well 11 (cross-section B-B') and 2790 mg/l at well 25 (cross-section C-C').
10- The West-East cross-sections are influenced by three normal faults in NW-SE and NE-SW directions. These faults are F15 at cross-section A-A', F6 at cross-section B-B' and F4 and F6 at cross-section C-C'. These faults are making hydraulic connection between the Oligocene (gravel and sand) and Eocene (fractured limestone) aquifers through fault rising. This fault rising brought the Eocene fractured limestone aquifer at the upthrown sides of these faults in juxtaposition with the Oligocene aquifer at the downthrown sides.

North-South hydrogeological cross-sections:
1- These cross-sections include D-D', E-E' and F-C' having North-South direction. The lengths of these cross-sections are 24.5 km, 16.4 km and 7.1 km, respectively.
2- The cross-sections D-D', E-E' and F-C' pass from the north to the south through wells Nos. (10, 9, 2, 7, 18, 12, 14, 15 and 16), (1, 3, 5, 17, 19, 22, 23 and 26) and (27, 28, 29, 35 and 36), respectively (Fig. 6).
3- The total drilling depth of the wells along these cross-sections ranges between 500 m (well 22, cross-section E-E') and 700 m (well 36, cross-section F-C').
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4- Along these cross-sections, the Oligocene and the Eocene aquifers are existed.
5- Water depth ranges from 70.8 m (well 23) to 103.8 m (well 5) on cross-section E-E'.
6- Thickness of Oligocene aquifer ranges between 40.5 m (well 18, cross-section D-D') and 223.4 m (well 36 cross-section F-C').
7- The depth to Eocene limestone aquifer varies from 112 m (well 18, cross-section D-D') to 320 m (well 36, cross-section F-C').
8- The minimum recorded penetrated thickness of Eocene limestone aquifer is 255 m at well 15 (cross-section D-D'), while the maximum one is 500 m at well 10 (cross-section D-D').
9- Water salinity of Eocene limestone aquifer ranges between 1000 mg/l at well 14 (cross-section D-D') and 2656 mg/l at well 26 (cross-section E-E').
10- The North-South hydrogeological cross-sections are influenced by eight normal faults in NW-SE and NE-SW directions. These faults are; F12, F1, F2, F13, F4 and F14 at cross-section D-D', F7 at cross-section E-E' and F11 at cross-section F-C'.
11- The existed faults as shown along these cross-sections are making hydraulic connection between Oligocene sand and gravel and the underlying Eocene fractured limestone aquifers as mentioned in the West-East cross-sections.

![Well location map](image)

**Fig. (4).** Well location map.
Fig. (5). Hydrogeological cross-sections A-A', B-B' and C-C'.
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Fig. (6). Hydrogeological cross-sections D-D', E-E' and F-C'.
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1.2. Groundwater movement

The groundwater movement in the study area is discussed through the thematic raster maps representing the distribution of the depth to water and the potentiometric surface (Fig. 7a and b). The water depth is an important parameter for the groundwater pumping and the drilling depth. The depth to water map (Fig. 7a) illustrates that the water depth increases northward from 70.8 m (well 23) at the south to 103.8 m (well 5) at the north. On the other hand, the potentiometric surface, with respect to the mean sea level, ranges between 28.3 m at well 33 and 48.5 m at well 27 with general decrease westward (Fig. 7b). The general groundwater flow as shown on the potentiometric contour map (Fig. 7c) is from the east to the west and from the south to the north with some exceptions. These exceptions are represented by the cone of depressions at wells 2, 5 and 33.

1.3. Penetrated thickness

The distribution of the partially penetrated saturated thickness of the Eocene limestone aquifer as shown on the thematic raster map (Fig. 8) indicates that the penetrated aquifer thickness decreases from the all directions to the center of the study area at well 46 (175 m) and reaches its maximum thickness at well 10 (500 m). Accordingly, it is recommended to penetrate the full thickness of the aquifer to increase the productivity of the wells.

1.4. Water salinity

The spatial distribution of the total dissolved solids (TDS) of the Eocene limestone aquifer (Fig. 9) illustrates that the TDS varies from 1000 mg/l at well 14 at the southwestern part of the study area to 2982 mg/l at well 45. In general, the dominant TDS value is more than 2000 mg/l covering 96.9 % of the study area.

2. The Role of the Geologic Structures on the Occurrences of Groundwater

The geologic structures have a direct effect on the groundwater occurrences. Via the drilled wells data, it was found that the upper surface of the Eocene limestone aquifer was considered as a marker bed to demonstrate the geologic structures according to the level of this aquifer. Accordingly, two thematic raster maps (Fig. 10a and b) were constructed to illustrate the depth to the upper surface of the Eocene limestone aquifer and its level referenced to Mean Sea Level with the predicted inferred faults that have a direct impact on the groundwater occurrences and the hydraulic connection between this aquifer and the overlying Oligocene aquifer.
Fig. (7). Maps showing: (a). depth to water (m), (b). potentiometric surface with respect to Mean Sea Level (m) and (c). potentiometric contour map (m).
Fig. (8). Penetrated thickness of Eocene aquifer (m).

Fig. (9). Total dissolved solids (mg/l).

Fig. (10). Maps showing: (a). Depth to the top surface of Eocene Limestone aquifer (m) and (b). Level of the top surface of Eocene Limestone aquifer (m) with inferred faults.
2.1. Depth to the upper surface of the Eocene limestone aquifer
The constructed depth to the upper surface of the Eocene limestone aquifer map (Fig. 10a) shows that, approximately, the depth values range between 250 and 335 m in the east and the southwestern corner of the study area while the depth values range between 112 and 250 m in the west of the study area.

2.2. Level of upper surface of Middle Eocene limestone aquifer
Figure (10b) illustrates that the level of the upper surface of the Eocene limestone aquifer referenced to the Mean Sea Level varies from –2.5 m at well 18 to -211 m at well 40 with general dipping to the central part at well 49 and to the southwestern corner at well 40. This map helps in detection of the inferred faults that affected the study area and contribute to the hydraulic connection between the Oligocene and Eocene fractured limestone aquifers.

2.3 Inferred faults
There are 15 normal faults forming grabens and horsts affect the study area (Fig. 10b). The faults Nos. 1-12 have NW-SE trend, while the faults Nos. 13-15 have NE-SW trend. These faults have a great role in the occurrence of the groundwater and the hydraulic connection with the overlying Oligocene aquifer.

3. Aquifer Hydraulic Characteristics
It is especially important to provide information about aquifer hydraulic parameters such as specific capacity, transmissivity, full recovery time and the expected dynamic water level, which help in understanding the aquifer potentials. Pumping test is an effective tool to achieve this target. In the present work, constant discharge pumping and recovery tests were carried out for the investigated wells. The results obtained from these tests are represented by four thematic raster maps (Fig. 11a, b, c, and d) as follows:

3.1. Specific capacity
Specific capacity of a well is a measure of both the productivity of a well and the aquifer transmissivity. It is defined as the ratio of pumping rate and the drawdown (Summers, 1972). For the Eocene fractured limestone aquifer (heterogeneous system) in the investigated area, it was found that the specific capacity (Q/s) ranges between less than 18 m$^3$/h/m and more than 300 m$^3$/h/m. According to Sen (1995), the aquifer is classified as highly productive aquifer except the western area with specific capacity values less than 18 m$^3$/h/m which considered as moderately productive as shown on Figure 11a.
Fig. (11). Maps showing (a). specific capacity (m³/h/m), (b). transmissivity (m²/day), (c). full recovery time (minute) and (d). the expected dynamic water level (m) of Eocene Limestone aquifer.
3.2 Transmissivity

Transmissivity for the Eocene fractured aquifer can be estimated by the following equation (Verbovsek, 2008).

\[ T = C \left( \frac{Q}{s} \right) \]

where

- \( T \) is the transmissivity \( m^2/\text{day} \)
- \( Q/s \) is the specific capacity \( m^3/\text{day/m} \)
- \( C \) is constant value and is found to vary from 0.9 to 1.5 with an average of 1.2 (Jalludin and Razack, 2004) or 1.22 (Misstear, 2001).

The approximated transmissivity values for the Eocene fractured aquifer range between less than 1000 \( m^2/\text{day} \) in the southern part, while in the northern part it is more than 9000 \( m^2/\text{day} \) as shown in Fig. (11b).

3.3 Full recovery time

Full recovery time and rate of recovery were monitored to assess the response of the pumped well after the pump has been shut down via measuring the rise of water level in the pumped well after the pumping test has been completed and the pump is turned off in what is known as a recovery test.

The inspection of the thematic raster map of the full recovery time (Fig. 11c) and the representation of the field measurement data in Fig. (12), where the residual drawdown (\( \Delta s' \)) values are plotted against their corresponding \( t/t' \) on a single logarithmic scale, reveals that the time taken to achieve complete recovery of the drawdown and reach to the original static water level in the wells ranges between less than 30 min in wells Nos. 7 (2 minutes), 15 (4 minutes) and 48 (5 minutes) and more than 180 min in well No. 20 (540 minutes) as representative wells. The small values of the full recovery time for the fractured aquifer (Eocene fractured limestone) is attributed to the nature of the karst aquifers, which have multiple types of porosity and two flow types of water: laminar and turbulent flows. The laminar flow occurs within the matrix domain of the karst aquifer with slow velocities and the turbulent flow occurs in the large diameter void spaces that are well connected forming conduit domain with high permeability and fast water movement.

3.4 Dynamic water level

Dynamic water level is the level of water in the productive well during pumping. In the present study, the expected dynamic water level at constant rate of pumping of order 150 \( m^3/h \) is calculated using the data of specific capacity. The thematic layer of the expected water level is constructed and classified into five classes with values lie between 80.5 m at well No. 15 up to 127.2 m at well No. 39 as shown in Fig. (11d).
4. Aquifer Potentials

In this work, the main target is to provide a priority map reflecting the groundwater potentials of the Eocene fractured limestone aquifer for water investments on the scale of the investigated area. The Geographic Information Systems (GIS) technique was applied as a tool to achieve this target. First step is the creation of the different GIS layers represented as thematic raster layers of the aquifer characteristics as shown in Fig. (7, 8, 9, 10 and 11) using the “ArcMap software version 9.2” (ESEI, 2006). Four thematic raster layers were selected representing the most effective parameters in the groundwater potentials covering the different requirements and needs of investors as shown on the flow chart (Fig. 13). These layers are; the depth to the top surface of Eocene limestone aquifer (m) (Fig. 10a), specific capacity (m$^3$/h/m) (Fig. 11a), full recovery time (minute) (Fig. 11c) and the expected dynamic water level (m) (Fig. 11d). The second step is reclassifying the classes to give them values from best to worst (values from 1–5) in the four selected layers. Raster calculation between these layers was processed with a specific weight for each layer according to its importance in the groundwater investments through two proposed different scenarios. The result of the calculations of these layers is a priority map with five priority classes for each scenario indicating aquifer potentials classified to five classes, (“Excellent”, “Very good”, “Good”, “Moderate” and “Poor”), in the study area.
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Fig. (13). Flow chart shows the input and the output of the raster calculation.

4.1. Scenario 1

In this scenario, the economic factor is the dominant factor, i.e. the low cost of groundwater extraction is the need of the investors. So, the depth to the top surface of the Eocene limestone aquifer is important factor because it controls the depth of drilling and it can be translated to money. Also, the expected dynamic water level in the productive well is an economic factor because it means power consumption. These two thematic layers take the highest weights (40% for each of the depth to the top surface of the Eocene limestone aquifer and the expected dynamic water level out of 100% of the four layers). On the hand, the specific capacity and full recovery time take low weights (10% for each layer out of the 100% of the four layers). The result is a final model map with five priorities for the groundwater investments (Fig. 14a, Scenario 1). The characteristics of the areas covering each priority can be summarized in Table (1).
Fig. (14). The spatial distribution of the groundwater potentials of the Eocene limestone aquifer in two different scenarios; (a). Scenario 1 and (b). Scenario 2.

Table (1). Regional characteristics of the areas covering each priority (Scenario 1).

| Layer name                      | Weight value (%) | Range of each layer in the final model map |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------|
|                                 |                  | Priority 1 | Priority 2 | Priority 3 | Priority 4 | Priority 5 |
| Depth to limestone (m)          | 40               | Classes    | Classes    | Classes    | Classes    | Classes    |
|                                 |                  | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 2, 3, 4    | 4, 5       | 4, 5       | 4, 5       |
|                                 |                  | 112 - 200  | 150 - 300  | 250 - 335  | 250 - 335  |            |
| Expected dynamic water level (m)| 40               | Classes    | Classes    | Classes    | Classes    | Classes    |
|                                 |                  | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 2, 3, 4    | 4, 5       | 4, 5       | 4, 5       |
|                                 |                  | 80.5 - 110 | 90 - 120   | 90 – 120   | 110 – 127.5|
| Specific capacity (m³/h/m)      | 10               | Classes    | Classes    | Classes    | Classes    | Classes    |
|                                 |                  | 3, 4, 5    | 4, 5       | 4, 5       |
|                                 |                  | >300 - <18 | <30 - >180 | 100 - <18  |
| Full recovery time (min)        | 10               | Classes    | Classes    | Classes    | Classes    | Classes    |
|                                 |                  | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 2, 3, 4    |
|                                 |                  | <30 - 180  | <30 - >180 | <30 - >180 |
| Percentage of the area (%)      |                  | Classes    | Classes    | Classes    | Classes    | Classes    |
| Area (Feddan)                   |                  | 14.859     | 19.672     | 37.188     | 23.945     | 4.336      |
|                                 |                  | 20802.4    | 27540.8    | 52063.0    | 33523.1    | 6070.65    |
From scenario 1, it can be concluded that, the first three priorities 1, 2 and 3, which represent economic potentials, excellent, very good and good, respectively covered an area of about 100406 Feddan representing approximately 71.719% of the total study area (140000 Feddan). While, the priority 4, which represents moderate potentials covered an area of about 33523.1 Feddan representing 23.945% of the total area. Finally, the priority 5 of poor potential represent 4.336% covering approximately 6070.65 Feddan and the spatial distribution of the different priorities shown in Fig. (14a) (Scenario 1).

4.2. Scenario 2

In this scenario, the aquifer productivity is of prime importance. So, the two layers that represent aquifer productivity take the highest weights; the specific capacity layer (40% out of 100% of the four layers), and full recovery time layer (40% out of 100% of the four layers). On the other hand, the depth to the top surface of the Eocene limestone aquifer and the expected dynamic water level calculated at discharge rate of the order 150 m³/h take equal weight values of 10% for each layer. The final model map of this scenario is shown in Fig. (14b), Scenario 2. The characteristics of the areas covering each priority can be summarized in Table (2).

Table (2). Regional characteristics of the areas covering each Priority (Scenario 2).

| Layer name                        | Weight value (%) | Priority 1 | Priority 2 | Priority 3 | Priority 4 | Priority 5 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Depth to limestone (m)            | 10               | Classes    | Classes    | Classes    | Classes    | Classes    |
|                                   |                  | 2, 3, 4    | 1, 2, 3    | 2, 3, 4, 5 | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 | 1, 2, 3, 4 |
| Expected dynamic water level (m)  | 10               | Classes    | Classes    | Classes    | Classes    | Classes    |
|                                   |                  | 1, 2       | 2, 3       | 1, 2, 3    | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 | 2, 3, 4 |
| Specific capacity (m³/h/m)        | 40               | Classes    | Classes    | Class      | Classes    | Class      |
|                                   |                  | 1, 2       | 3, 4       | 4          | 4, 5       | 5          |
| Full recovery time (min)          | 40               | Class      | Class      | Classes    | Class      | Classes    |
|                                   |                  | 1          | 1          | 2          | 3          | 4, 5       |
| Percentage of the area (%)        |                  | 3.612      | 19.354     | 37.79      | 27.135     | 12.109     |
| Area (Feddan)                     |                  | 5057.2     | 27095.0    | 52905.56   | 37989.17   | 16953.03   |
From scenario 2, also, it can be concluded that, the first three priorities 1, 2 and 3, which represent productivity potentials, excellent, very good and good respectively, covered an area of about 85058 Feddan representing approximately 60.756% of the total study area (140000 Feddan). While, the priority 4, which represents moderate potentials covered an area of about 37989.17 Feddan representing 27.135% of the total area. Finally, the priority 5 of poor potential represent 12.109% covering approximately 16953.03 Feddan and the spatial distribution of the different priorities shown in Fig. (14b) (Scenario 2).

Also, it should be mentioned that the areas of excellent, very good and good potentials (Fig. 14b) have high transmissivity values (1000 to more than 9000 m²/day, Fig. 11b).

CONCLUSIONS

From the above-mentioned discussion, the following characteristics of the Eocene fractured limestone aquifer and its potentials in West-West El-Minya area can be concluded as follows:

1. The groundwater flow direction in the aquifer is from east to west and from south to north with some exceptions, where cone of depressions is occurred.
2. The salinity of the groundwater varies from 1000 mg/l to 2982 mg/l with dominant salinity value more than 2000 mg/l covering 96.9% of the investigated area.
3. The geologic structures have a considerable effect on aquifer productivity due to increasing the fracture systems and caves.
4. The full recovery time ranges between less than 30 min and more than 180 min.
5. The small values of the full recovery time for the fractured aquifer (Eocene fractured limestone) is attributed to the nature of the karst aquifers which have multiple types of porosity and two flow types of water: laminar and turbulent flows. The laminar flow occurs within the matrix domain of the karst aquifer with slow velocities and the turbulent flow occurs in the large diameter void spaces that are well connected forming conduit domain with high permeability and fast water movement.
6. Geographic Information Systems (GIS) technique was applied as a tool to achieve the target of this study. The final finding of this research work can be used as a guide for the investors with different needs and requirements through two scenarios as follows:
   - In case of scenario 1, when the economic factor is the main factor in the process of extracting groundwater, it is preferable to invest in the western and northeastern parts of the investigated area, where these areas have the first three priority classes; 1, 2 and 3, which
characterized by the excellent, very good and good economic potentials and represent 71.719% (100406 Feddan) of the total investigated area.

- In case of scenario 2, the high productivity of groundwater is the influencing factor. In this case, the northern half of the study area and thesouthwestern corner are the promising areas for the investment, where these areas have excellent, very good and good productivity representing 60.756% (85058 Feddan).
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يمكننا المياه الجوفية لخزان الحجر الجيري الأيوبيسيي بمنطقة غرب غرب
المدينة - مصر

سوسن مصيلحي محمد إبراهيم*، محمد الألفي؛ ومنى عبد الحميد هجرس
*قسم الهيدرولوجيا، مركز بحوث الصحراء، المصرية، القاهرة، مصر
*شركة الخطبوضوع، وهم، مصر
*قسم الرش والهيدروليكا، كلية الهندسة، جامعة عين شمس، القاهرة، مصر

تُقع منطقة الدراسة غرب وادي النيل بين داريكي عرض 00 06 28 و 00 28 00 " شماليًا وجنوبيًا 08 04 48 و 08 03 42 " شرقًا. هذه الهدف من هذا البحث هو تقييم إمكانات
تواجد المياه الجوفية لخزان الحجر الجيري الأيوبيسيي في منطقة الدراسة. يزيد عمق المياه من
70.8 م في الجنوب إلى 103.8 م في الشمال والمثل بين مراكز الدراسة في الشرق إلى
100 ملتليجرام/لتر و 988 مللليجرام/لتر ولكن يغلب على منطقة الدراسة (حوالي 96.9 بالمائة من البحيرة) ملحة مياه أكثر
من 2000 مللليجرام/لتر. يتراوح عمق الجزء الذي تم اختراقه من خزان الحجر الجيري بين
175 م و 500 م. يعتبر خزان الحجر الجيري المتشكل ذو النقطة عالية حيث تراوح قيم معمول النافذة
بين 0.99 - 2.02 م/يوم وقيم السد العشوائي تراوحت بين أقل من 0.18 إلى أكثر من 300
م/ساعة. تم تشغيل التراكم الجيولوجي دورًا كبيرًا في المناخ الجوفية من خلال زيادة
أنظمة التدفق والكهوف. تم تطبيق تقنية نظام المعلومات الجغرافية (GIS)
كفاءة لتحقيق هذه
الدراسة، حيث تم اقتراح سيناريوهين بمنطقة. في السيناريو الأول، العامل الإقتصادي وهو يمثل
العامل الرئيسي في عملية استخراج المياه الجوفية ومنه يوضح أن الأجزاء الغربية والساحلية الشمالية
لها الأولوية وتمثل 07.7% من مساحة منطقة الدراسة (4000000 متر). في
السيناريو الثاني، تعتبر الإنتاجية العالية للمياه الجوفية هي العامل المؤثر ومنه يوضح أن النصف
الشمالية من منطقة الدراسة والجزء الجنوبي الغربي بها مناطق إنتاجية عالية تتمثل حوالي 08.10%.
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