Hubble Frontier Fields: a high-precision strong-lensing analysis of galaxy cluster MACSJ0416.1-2403 using ~200 multiple images
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ABSTRACT
We present a high-precision mass model of the galaxy cluster MACSJ0416.1-2403, based on a strong-gravitational-lensing analysis of the recently acquired Hubble Space Telescope Frontier Fields (HFF) imaging data. Taking advantage of the unprecedented depth provided by HST/Advanced Camera for Survey observations in three passbands, we identify 51 new multiply imaged galaxies, quadrupling the previous census and bringing the grand total to 68, comprising 194 individual lensed images. Having selected a subset of the 57 most securely identified multiply imaged galaxies, we use the LENSTOOL software package to constrain a lens model comprised of two cluster-scale dark-matter haloes and 98 galaxy-scale haloes. Our best-fitting model predicts image positions with an rms error of 0.68 arcsec, which constitutes an improvement of almost a factor of 2 over previous, pre-HFF models of this cluster. We find the total projected mass inside a 200 kpc aperture to be $(1.60 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{14} \, M_\odot$, a measurement that offers a three-fold improvement in precision, reaching the per cent level for the first time in any cluster. Finally, we quantify the increase in precision of the derived gravitational magnification of high-redshift galaxies and find an improvement by a factor of $\sim 2.5$ in the statistical uncertainty. Our findings impressively confirm that HFF imaging has indeed opened the domain of high-precision mass measurements for massive clusters of galaxies.
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1 INTRODUCTION
The power of gravitational lensing as a tool for observational cosmology was recognized when Soucail et al. (1988) spectroscopically confirmed that the giant luminous arc discovered in images of the galaxy cluster Abell 370 (redshift $z = 0.375$) lay far behind the cluster at $z_{\text{arc}} = 0.725$. The bending of light from distant galaxies by foreground clusters allows astronomers to (i) directly measure the total (dark and baryonic) matter distribution, (ii) image very distant galaxies using galaxy clusters as ‘cosmic telescopes’, and (iii) constrain the geometry of the Universe (for reviews, see e.g. Massey et al. 2010; Kneib & Natarajan 2011). The most massive lenses will produce magnified and highly distorted images of background galaxies, often in multiple-image sets. Strong-lensing analyses of high-quality imaging data in which many (>10) such multiply imaged sources are visible enable the most direct and accurate mapping of mass in cluster cores (e.g. Bradac et al. 2006; Jullo et al. 2007; Bradač et al. 2008; Jullo & Kneib 2009; Coe et al. 2010).
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The unparalleled power of the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) has transformed this field in recent decades. High angular resolution and multicolour imaging allow the robust and efficient identification of multiple-image systems, as demonstrated in many in-depth studies. For example, using the Advanced Camera for Survey (ACS) onboard HST, Broadhurst et al. (2005a) discovered 30 strongly lensed multiple-image systems behind the massive galaxy cluster Abell 1689 (z = 0.183). The accuracy of the resulting mass map was further increased by Limousin et al. (2007b) whose analysis was based on a total of 42 multiply imaged systems, 24 of which were spectroscopically confirmed.

We here present the results of our strong-lensing analysis of a more distant massive cluster. MACSJ0416.1-2403 (z = 0.397, hereafter MACSJ0416) was discovered by the MAssive Cluster Survey (MACS; Ebeling et al. 2010) and is classified as a merging system based on its double-peaked X-ray surface brightness distribution (Mann & Ebeling 2012). Because of its large Einstein radius, MACSJ0416 was selected as one of the five 'high-magnification' clusters in the Cluster Lensing And Supernova survey with Hubble (CLASH; Postman et al. 2012), resulting in HST imaging in 16 bands from the UV to the near-IR regime, with a typical depth of 1 orbit per passband. As expected for a highly elongated mass distribution typical of merging clusters, many multiple-image systems were immediately apparent. The first detailed mass model of the system was based on these data and presented by Zitrin et al. (2013).

The cluster was selected as one of six targets for the Hubble Frontier Fields3 (HFF) project, started by the Space Telescope Science Institute in 2013 and aiming to harness the gravitational magnification of massive cluster lenses to probe the distant Universe to unprecedented depth. Using Director’s Discretionary Time, the HFF programme will observe each cluster for 140 HST orbits, split between three filters on ACS and four on Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3), to reach a depth unprecedented for cluster studies of magAB ~ 29 in all seven passbands. Mass models2 of all six HFF cluster lenses were derived from pre-HFF data to provide the community with accurate mass models prior to the arrival of this historical data set (see in particular Coe, Bradley & Zitrin 2014; Johnson et al. 2014; Richard et al. 2014).

In this paper, we present results from the first deep ACS observations conducted as part of the HFF initiative and describe the discovery of 51 new multiple-image systems in HFF images of MACSJ0416 that enabled the first high-precision mass reconstruction of any cluster using nearly 200 multiple images. We adopt the Λ cold dark matter concordance cosmology with Ωm = 0.3, ΩΛ = 0.7, and a Hubble constant H0 = 70 km s−1 Mpc−1. Magnitudes are quoted in the AB system.

2 HUBBLE FRONTIER FIELDS OBSERVATIONS

The HFF observations of MACSJ0416 (ID: 13496) were obtained with ACS between 2014 January 5 and February 9, in the three filters F435W, F606W, and F814W, for total integration times corresponding to 20, 12, and 48 orbits, respectively. We applied basic data-reduction procedures using HSTCAL and the most recent calibration files. Individual frames were co-added using Astrodrrizzle after registration to a common ACS reference image using Tweakreg. After an iterative process, we achieve an alignment accuracy of 0.1 pixel. Our final stacked images have a pixel size of 0.03 arcsec.

3 STRONG LENSING ANALYSIS

By carefully inspecting the deep HFF images of MACSJ0416 we identify 51 new multiple-image systems, three times as many as previously known, bringing the total to 68 multiple-image families composed of 194 individual images (see Fig. 1 and Table 2). Spectroscopic redshifts are presently available for nine of these systems; although constituting but a small fraction of the total, these are sufficient to calibrate the absolute mass of the lens. We are thus now able to dramatically improve the strong-lensing model of this cluster.

3.1 Methodology

We here provide only a brief synopsis of our method which has already been described in detail elsewhere (see e.g. Kneib et al. 1996; Smith et al. 2005; Richard et al. 2011; Verdugo et al. 2011). Our mass model is primarily composed of large-scale dark-matter haloes, whose individual masses are larger than that of a typical galaxy group (of the order of 1012 M⊙ within 50 arcsec), but also takes into account mass perturbations associated with individual cluster members, usually large elliptical galaxies. As in our previous work, we model all mass components as dual Pseudo Isothermal Elliptical Mass Distributions (dPIEMD; Limousin, Kneib & Natarajan 2005; Efësödöttir et al. 2007), characterized by a velocity dispersion σ, a core radius rcore, and a scale radius rs.

For mass perturbations associated with individual cluster galaxies, we fix the geometrical dPIE parameters (centre, ellipticity, and position angle) to the values measured from the cluster light distribution (see e.g. Kneib et al. 1996; Limousin et al. 2007b; Richard et al. 2010), and use empirical scaling relations (without any scatter) to relate the dynamical dPIE parameters (velocity dispersion and scale radius) to the galaxies’ observed luminosity (Richard et al. 2014). For an L∗ galaxy, we optimize the velocity dispersion between 100 and 250 km s−1, and force the scale radius to less than 70 kpc to account for the tidal stripping of galactic dark-matter haloes (Limousin et al. 2007a; Natarajan et al. 2009; Wetzel & White 2010).

3.2 Multiple-image systems

The secure identification of multiple-image systems is key to building a robust model of the lensing mass distribution. The first strong-lensing analysis of MACSJ0416 identified 70 images of 23 background sources in the redshift range 0.7 < z < 6 (Zitrin et al. 2013); however, only the 13 most secure systems consisting of 34 individual images were used in the optimization of the mass model. A combined weak- and strong-lensing analysis based on the same pre-HFF data (Richard et al. 2014) extended the set of secure identifications to 17 multiple-image systems comprising 47 images, by evolving the lens model over several iterations. Nine of these multiple-image systems are spectroscopically confirmed; their spectroscopic redshifts, which range from 1.8925 to 3.2226, are listed in Table 2.

For the present study, we scrutinised the new, deep HFF ACS images, using the predictive power of the Richard et al. (2014) model to find an even larger set of multiple images. To this end, we computed the cluster’s gravitational-lensing deflection field from

1 http://www.stsci.edu/hst/campaigns/frontier-fields/
2 http://archive.stsci.edu/prepds/frontier/lensmodels/
the image plane to the source plane, on a grid with a spacing of 0.2 arcsec pixel$^{-1}$. Since the transformation scales with redshift as described by the $D_{LS}/D_{ls}$ distance ratio, the transformation needs to be computed only once. We also determined the critical region at redshift $z = 7$ as the area within which to search for multiple images in the ACS data. A thorough visual inspection of all faint galaxy images in this region, combined with an extensive search for plausible counter images, revealed 68 multiple-image systems, comprising 194 individual images (Fig. 1 and Table 2). Table 2 gives the coordinates, as well as the redshifts (predicted by our model, $z_{\text{Model}}$; or spectroscopic, $z_{\text{Spec}}$; if available), the $F814W$-band magnitudes, $\text{mag}_{F814W}$, and their magnification (measured with our best-fitting mass model). The magnitudes were measured using SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). For some of the images, we could not make reliable measurements due to their proximity to much brighter objects.

For the modelling of the cluster lens, described in detail in the following section, we adopt a conservative approach and use only the 57 most securely identified systems comprising 149 individual images; we propose the remaining identifications as candidate multiple-image systems. We consider a system secure if it meets all of the following criteria: the different images have (1) similar colours, (2) show morphological similarities (for resolved images), and finally (3) a sensible geometrical configuration. Note that, although the total number of multiple-image sets used in the optimization has increased by more than a factor of 3 compared to Richard et al. (2014), the area within which they are located has not changed significantly. As a result, our improved mass model does not extend

Figure 1. Overview of all multiple-image systems used in this study. The most secure identifications, used to optimize the lens model in the image plane (149 images) are shown in cyan; the less secure candidates (45 images) are shown in magenta. The underlying colour image is a composite created from HST/ACS images in the $F814W$, $F606W$, and $F435W$ passbands. Mass contours of the best-fitting strong-lensing model are shown in white. The yellow rectangle in the top panel highlights the zoomed region shown in the bottom panel.
4 STRONG-LENSING MASS MEASUREMENT

The distribution of cluster galaxies provides a starting point for the modelling process. In MACS J0416, the distribution of light from the cluster ellipticals is elongated along the north-east/south-west direction, with two cD-type galaxies dominating the light budget. Our initial model thus places one cluster-scale dark-matter halo at the location of each of the two cD-type galaxies that mark the centres of the overall large-scale distribution of light from all cluster galaxies. During the optimization process, the position of these large-scale haloes is allowed to vary within 20 arcsec of the associated light peak. In addition, we limit the ellipticity, defined as $e = (a^2 + b^2)/(a^2 - b^2)$, to values below 0.7, while the core radius and the velocity dispersion are allowed to vary between 1 arcsec and 30 arcsec, and 600 and 3000 km s$^{-1}$, respectively. The scale radius, by contrast, is fixed at 1 000 kpc, since strong-lensing data alone do not probe the mass distribution on such large scales. In addition to the two cluster-scale dark-matter haloes, we also include perturbations by 98 probable cluster members, by associating a galaxy-scale halo to each of them. Using the set of multiply imaged galaxies described in Section 3 and shown in Fig. 1, we optimize the free parameters of this mass model using the publicly available LENSTOOL tool.3

The unprecedented number of multiple-image systems detected in the HFF observations of MACS J0416 poses a technical challenge for the ensuing optimization process. Not only are not all individual images equally robustly identified, the sheer number of constraints alone proved computationally taxing. Indeed, in order to allow the optimization of the mass model in the image plane with the current version of LENSTOOL and the computing resources available to us, we had to use a RATE parameter (see Jullo et al. 2007) of 0.4 when considering the full set of 57 multiple-image systems. For reference, we usually parametrize the MCMC convergence speed with RATE = 0.1. By using a considerably larger rate value here, the multidimensional parameter space may not be fully sampled, which increases the risk of us missing the best-fitting region.

The best-fitting model optimized in the image plane predicts image positions that agree with the observed positions to within an rms of 0.68 arcsec. This value is remarkable. For Abell 1689, the cluster with the previously most tightly constrained mass distribution to date, Broadhurst et al. (2005b) quote an rms value of 3.2 arcsec, Halkola, Seitz & Pannella (2006) quote 2.7 arcsec, and, Limousin et al. (2007b) quote an rms value of 2.87 arcsec. All these models, as well as ours, are based on the same a priori that light traces mass. The rms value reached by us here for MACS J0416 thus represents an improvement of a factor of 4 over the residual positional uncertainty of the previously best constrained lensing mass reconstruction. Using the pre-HFF model of MACS J0416 as a reference, the relevant rms values range from 1.37 arcsec to 1.89 arcsec depending on the model used (Zitrin et al. 2013), a factor of 2 larger than the value reached by our high-precision model. The parameters describing our best-fitting mass model are listed in Table 1; contours of its mass distribution are shown in Fig. 1.

To check the robustness of our model, we performed the optimization of the 68 multiple-image systems also in the source plane, using our standard value of RATE = 0.1. The resulting best-fitting model parameters are fully consistent with those derived in our image-plane optimization and are listed in Table 1. This agreement strongly suggests that the image-plane optimization has indeed converged and instills confidence in the identification of the additional multiple-image systems. In addition, this second optimization allowed us to estimate redshifts for all 68 multiple-image systems using the best lens model; we list these redshifts in Table 2.

In order to test our initial assumption of a bimodal mass distribution inspired by the large-scale distribution of cluster light, we also investigated a more complex model by associating an additional mass concentration with the bright cluster galaxy located between images 31.2 and 33.1 (Fig. 1). Given that the resulting rms of this alternative model with additional free parameters is slightly higher (rms = 0.86), we conclude that a third large-scale mass component is not required and not supported by the current observational constraints.

Since the core radii of both cluster-scale haloes are large, we can assume that the centre of each of these haloes coincides within the error bars with its associated light peak. In order to integrate the mass map within annulli, we choose a centre at $\alpha = 64.0364, \delta = -24.0718$, such that a circle of radius 60 arcsec (320 h$^{-1}$ kpc) centred on this point encompasses all multiple images (Fig. 1). The two-dimensional (cylindrical) mass within this radius is then $M(<320 h^{-1}$ kpc) = $(3.26 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{14} M_\odot$.

3 http://projects.lam.fr/repos/lenstool/wiki
5 DISCUSSION

The first strong-lensing analysis of MACSJ 0416 (Zitrin et al. 2013), based on pre-HFF data, estimated the rms error on predicted image positions as 1.89 arcsec and 1.37 arcsec for mass models parametrized using eGaussian or eNFW profiles, respectively, and found a total cluster mass within the effective Einstein radius for a source at $z_s = 1.896$ of $M(R < 145 \text{ kpc}) = (1.25 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{14} \text{ M}_\odot$. From our current best-fitting HFF mass model, we derive a slightly lower, but much more precise value of $M(R < 145 \text{ kpc}) = (1.052 \pm 0.006) \times 10^{14} \text{ M}_\odot$, an order-of-magnitude improvement in the mass uncertainty and the first time that a cluster mass has been measured to a precision of less than 1 per cent. Similarly, the dramatic increase in the number of strong-lensing constraints now available led to a reduction by almost a factor of 3 for the rms. Our study thus achieves one of the HFF mission’s primary goals: to obtain mass models of massive cluster lenses at an unprecedented level of precision.\(^4\)

Dramatic increases in precision are evident also from a comparison with the pre-HFF mass model presented by Richard et al. (2014). Using a subset of 30 multiple images, the latter yields a median amplification of $4.65 \pm 0.60$. For the exact same subset of lensed images, but using our current best-fitting HFF mass model, we now measured a median amplification of $3.88 \pm 0.15$, an improvement in precision of a factor of 4. In addition, the average error of the predicted positions of the same set of lensed images decreased from $\text{rms} = 1.17$ arcsec to $\text{rms} = 0.8$ arcsec.\(^5\)

As for the total cluster mass within the multiple-image region, the model of Richard et al. (2014) yields $M(R < 200 \text{ kpc}) = (1.63 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{14} \text{ M}_\odot$ compared to $M(R < 200 \text{ kpc}) = (1.60 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{14} \text{ M}_\odot$ derived from our current HFF mass model.

\(^4\) We stress in this context that the precision of cluster lensing models depends strongly on the mass modelling technique used in the analysis. For example, our pre-HFF modelling with HST lensmodel in Richard et al. (2014) reaches a precision of $\sim 2$ per cent compared to $\sim 7$ per cent for the modelling derived by Zitrin et al. (2013) from the same imaging data. On-going analysis of FF simulated data will help identify modelling biases, and validate methods of error estimation.

\(^5\) Since these values depend on the subset of multiple-image systems considered, use of only 30 multiple-image families yields a slightly larger value than that reported in Section 4.

To summarize, the advent of the HFF data has led to a significant reduction in the statistical errors of both mass and magnification measurements without any change in the analysis and modelling techniques employed. For MACSJ0416, the four-fold increase in the number of multiple-image systems identified in HST/ACS data lowered the uncertainty in the total mass and magnification by factors of 3 and 4, respectively, making the cluster mass distribution the most tightly constrained yet. Fig. 2 summarizes our findings by showing the resulting high-fidelity magnification map from our best-fitting model, computed for a source at $z_s = 9$, as well as the surface area in the source plane, $\sigma_\mu$, above a given magnification factor, which is directly proportional to the unlensed comoving volume covered at high redshift at this magnification. Wong et al. (2012) proposed using the area above $\mu = 3$ as a metric to quantify the efficiency of the lensing configuration to magnify high-redshift galaxies. Our model yields $\sigma_\mu (\mu > 3) = 0.26 \text{ arcmin}^2$ for MACSJ0416. Finally, we also compare in Fig. 2 the relative magnification errors for our best-fitting model and the pre-HFF model of Richard et al. (2014).

Owing to the discovery of 51 new multiple-image sets in the HFF/ACS images of MACSJ0416, the system’s mass map (whose accuracy depends sensitively on the number of lensing constraints) has now reached a precision of better than 1 per cent in the cluster core, and the uncertainty in the median magnification has been lowered to 4 per cent. The resulting high-precision magnification map of this powerful cluster lens immediately and significantly improves the constraints on the luminosity function of high-redshift galaxies lensed by this system, thereby ushering in the HFF era of lensing-aided precision studies of the distant Universe.
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