Expression pattern and prognostic value of N6-methyladenosine RNA methylation key regulators in hepatocellular carcinoma
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Abstract

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is still one of the most common malignancies worldwide. The accuracy of biomarkers for predicting the prognosis of HCC and the therapeutic effect is not satisfactory. N6-methyladenosine (m6A) methylation regulators play a crucial role in various tumours. Our research aims further to determine the predictive value of m6A methylation regulators and establish a prognostic model for HCC. In this study, the data of HCC from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database was obtained, and the expression level of 15 genes and survival was examined. Then we identified two clusters of HCC with different clinical factors, constructed prognostic markers and analysed gene set enrichment, proteins’ interaction and gene co-expression. Three subgroups by consensus clustering according to the expression of the 13 genes were identified. The risk score generated by five genes divided HCC patients into high-risk and low-risk groups. In addition, we developed a prognostic marker that can identify high-risk HCC. Finally, a novel prognostic nomogram was developed to accurately predict HCC patients’ prognosis. The expression levels of 13 m6A RNA methylation regulators were significantly upregulated in HCC samples. The prognosis of cluster 1 and cluster 3 was worse. Patients in the high-risk group show a poor prognosis. Moreover, the risk score was an independent prognostic factor for HCC patients. In conclusion, we reveal the critical role of m6A RNA methylation modification in HCC and develop a predictive model based on the m6A RNA methylation regulators, which can accurately predict HCC patients’ prognosis and provide meaningful guidance for clinical treatment.

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) has become the fourth most common cancer with a second highest mortality rate and a poor survival outcome worldwide (1,2). Most HCC patients are in an advanced stage at initial diagnosis (3–5). Recently, although immune checkpoint inhibitors and targeted therapy have been shown to improve the survival rate of advanced HCC patients, the overall survival (OS) rate is still low (6–10). Therefore, it is still an urgent task to predict the prognosis of HCC with high accuracy and find new biomarkers and therapeutic targets for the diagnosis and treatment of HCC. Currently, the tumour staging system (TNM) is still the most extensively used prognostic indicator for monitoring HCC progression (11,12). Some prognostic models were recently based on the differentially expressed genes between HCC tissues and...
non-tumour tissues. Unfortunately, these prognostic models’ predictive sensitivity and specificity are not high (13,14).

N6-methyladenosine (m6A) has reversible modification in messenger RNA (mRNA), regulating the processing, translation, and degradation of mRNA (15,16). Recent evidence has revealed that the expression of m6A regulators is closely related to pathological processes such as stem cell differentiation, tumorigenesis and metastasis (17–19). Moreover, the dysregulation of m6A genes will affect the expression of oncogenes (20–22). m6A modification is also associated with the proliferation, differentiation, invasion and metastasis of malignancy (23–25).

The m6A-related genes have been widely studied in various tumours (15). The methylation modification of m6A includes three enzymes: ‘writers’ (methyltransferase, WTAP, METTL3, METTL14, KIAA1429, ZC3H13 and RBM13), ‘reader’ (YTH domain-containing RNA binding proteins, YTHDF1, YTHDF22, YTHDF3, YTHDC1, YTHDC2, HNRPNA2B1 and HNPNPC) and ‘eraser’ (demethylase, FTO and ALKBH5) (26,27). However, the relationship between m6A-related regulators and HCC remains unclear.

Therefore, our research aims to evaluate gene expression characteristics, analyse associations between m6A methylation regulators and clinicopathological features in patients with HCC and generate a novel predictive model based on the m6A genes HCC.

Material and Methods

Data collection

The RNA-seq transcriptome data and corresponding clinical data of HCC samples were obtained from the public database, The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/). mRNA expression data and clinical parameters including age, gender, tumour grade, tumour stage, TNM stage and vascular invasion were downloaded. A total of 50 non-tumour tissues and 374 tumour tissues were included for subsequent analysis.

Data processing and differential expression analysis of m6A RNA methylation regulators

R software (Version 3.5.3) was performed for evaluating differentially expressed genes between HCC tissues and non-tumour tissues. The differential expression of 15 currently known m6A RNA methylation-related genes (HNRNPC, HNRNPA2B1, YTHDF1, YTHDC1, RBM15, YTHDF2, YTHDC2, FTO, KIAA1429, ALKBH5, METTL14, WTAP, METTL3, YTHDF3 and ZC3H13) in tumour samples and non-tumour samples were analysed. The adjusted \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant in the test. For validation, the research compared expression levels of these 15 genes in HCC tissues and non-tumour tissues in the Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis 2 (GEPIA2) database (https://geopia2.cancer-pku.cn/#index).

Protein–protein interaction network and gene co-expression network analysis

STRING (http://string-db.org; version 11.0) is an online biological database used to analyse the protein–protein interaction among m6A RNA methylation regulators. The Coexpedia database (http://www.coexpedia.org/) was used to analyse the co-expression of m6A RNA methylation-related genes in HCC samples.

Consensus clustering analysis

Consensus clustering is a kind of class discovery technique used to detect unknown possible clusters composed of items with similar intrinsic characteristics. Based on the comprehensive expression of the 15 genes, we identified distinct subgroups of 374 HCC samples with ‘ConsensusClusterPlus’ in R, using principal component analysis to verify the grouping results. The OS rate among different clusters was evaluated by the Kaplan–Meier method and log-rank test. The distribution of age, gender, tumour grade, tumour stage and vascular invasion between various clusters was analysed by the Chi-square test.

Prognostic signatures generation and prediction

To evaluate the association between 15-gene expression and patients’ survival, Cox regression analysis and least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) were performed by the ‘glmnet’ package in R software. High-risk and low-risk group patients were grouped by the median risk scores and Kaplan–Meier method was used for further survival analysis. The receiver operating characteristic curve was used to detect the predictive efficiency of the prediction model. Independent risk factors for HCC were defined by univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis.

Gene set enrichment analysis

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA; version 3.0) was performed to explore the m6A RNA methylation regulators related to signalling pathways in HCC. Gene expression enrichment analysis was performed between datasets with low or high mRNA expression. The normalised enrichment score, nominal \( P \)-value and false discovery rate \( q \)-value were assessed.

Statistical analysis

A two-sided \( P < 0.05 \) was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. IBM SPSS (version 24.0) and R software (version 3.5.3) were used for statistical analysis.

Results

Profiling of m6A RNA methylation regulators in HCC

Heatmap and violin plot were generated to visualise the specially expressed m6A RNA methylation regulators between HCC samples and non-tumour samples (Figure 1A and B). Red or green colour in the plots represented relatively high or low expression, respectively. The expression levels of FTO (\( P < 0.001 \), YTHDC1 (\( P < 0.001 \), YTHDC2 (\( P < 0.001 \), ALKBH5 (\( P < 0.001 \), KIAA1429 (\( P < 0.001 \), YTHDF3 (\( P < 0.001 \), YTHDF1 (\( P < 0.001 \), METTL3 (\( P < 0.001 \), HNRPNA2B1 (\( P < 0.001 \), WTAP (\( P < 0.001 \), RBM15 (\( P < 0.001 \) and YTHDF2 (\( P < 0.001 \) were significantly upregulated in HCC tissues compared to non-tumour tissues. METTL14 (\( P < 0.062 \) and ZC3H13 (\( P < 0.831 \) showed no significant difference. As shown in Figure 2A, Pearson correlation analysis demonstrated that all 15 genes showed a positive correlation with each other. HNRPNA and HNRPNA2B1 have the strongest correlation (\( r = 0.78 \), METTL3 and HNRPNA (\( r = 0.72 \), YTHDC1 and HNRPNA2B1 (\( r = 0.68 \), YTHDC1 and HNRPNA (\( r = 0.67 \), KIAA1429 and YTHDF3 (\( r = 0.66 \), METTL3 and HNRPNA2B1 (\( r = 0.66 \), WTAP and HNRPNA2B1 (\( r = 0.64 \), YTHDF1 and HNRPNA2B1 (\( r = 0.62 \), YTHDF1 and HNRPNA (\( r = 0.62 \), YTHDF2 and HNRPNA2B1 (\( r = 0.62 \), as well as RBM15 and YTHDF2 (\( r = 0.61 \) were also correlated. For validation, we performed an analysis of the expression of m6A RNA methylation-related genes in HCC samples with a threshold set as \( P \)-value \( \leq 0.05 \), fold change \( \geq 1 \) in the GEPIA2 database. FTO, ALKBH5, KIAA1429, YTHDF3, YTHDF1, HNRPNA, HNRPNA2B1, WTAP, RBM15 and
YTHDF2 were significantly upregulated in HCC tissues compared with non-tumour tissues (All \(P < 0.05\)). However, ZC3H13 was significantly upregulated in non-tumour than tumour (\(P < 0.05\)). METTL14, YTHDC1, YTHDC2 and METTL3 showed no significant difference (Figure 2B–P).

**Protein–protein interaction network and gene co-expression network analysis**

The interaction network further supported the result of the correlation analysis. The STRING database analysed the interactions among the 15 m6A RNA methylation regulators (Figure 3A). WTAP, YTHDF2 and HNRNPA2B1 seemed to be the interaction network’s hub genes, and their interactions or co-expressions with FTO, YTHDC1, METTL3, YTHDF3, HNRNPC, KIAA1429 and RBM15 were supported both by the Coexpedia database (Figure 3B).

**Consensus clustering of 15 m6A RNA methylation regulators**

Based on m6A methylation-related gene expression levels, we identified distinct subgroups of 374 HCC samples by ‘ConsensusClusterPlus package’ in R and found that \(k = 3\) achieved adequate selection to divide these samples into three clusters, namely cluster 1, cluster 2 and cluster 3 (Figure 4A–C). We further proved the correctness of our grouping by principal component analysis. The significant variance between the three subgroups was shown in the principal component analysis modal (Figure 4D). HCC patients in cluster 2 and cluster 3 had a poor OS than cluster 2 (\(P = 0.02\); Figure 4E). Then the associations between the clustering and clinicopathological parameters were examined. The significant difference was found between cluster 2 and cluster 1, cluster 3 for the tumour stage (\(P < 0.05\)) and T classification (\(P < 0.01\)). In contrast, other parameters such as age, gender, tumour grade, N classification, M classification and vascular invasion were no significant different (Figure 4F).

**Identification of prognostic signature**

We used univariate Cox regression to analyse 15 genes, and 13 candidate genes were selected with \(P < 0.05\) as a screening condition (Figure 5A). Besides, this research screened predictive genes as prognostic indicators through the LASSO Cox regression model. The value of \(\lambda\) was selected according to the minimum residual sum of squares. Five potential predictors (Figure 5B and C). ZC3H13, YTHDF2, YTHDF1, METTL3 and KIAA1429 were identified as prognostic factors for HCC. The risk score of five genes was also calculated for further univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses. The risk score formula to predict OS was developed as follows: risk score = (0.068 × YTHDF2) + (0.023 × YTHDF1) + ...
(0.113 x METTL3) + (0.038 x KIAA1429) + (−0.109 x ZC3H13). In addition, HCC patients were grouped into the high-risk and low-risk groups based on the median risk score. The survival analysis showed that HCC patients in the high-risk group had a significantly poorer OS than those in the low-risk group ($P = 1.692e^{-04}$; Figure 5D).

We also evaluated the prognostic efficiency of risk factors through Fig. 2. (A) Correlation matrix of interaction in m6A methylation-related genes. Correlation coefficients are plotted with negative correlation (green) and positive correlation (red); (B–P) Expression of 15 m6A RNA methylation regulators in tumour samples and non-tumour samples from GEPIA2 database (blue is non-tumour and green is tumour).
receiver operating characteristic curves, which showed that the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) for 1-, 3- and 5-year OS was 0.765, 0.722 and 0.619, respectively (Figure 5E). Figure 5F revealed that the expression of ZC3H13, YTHDF2, YTHDF1, METTL3 and KIAA1429 in the high-risk and low-risk groups. Significant differences were found between the high- and low-risk groups concerning tumour stage ($P < 0.05$) and tumour grade ($P < 0.01$). Univariable and multivariable Cox regression analyses were performed to investigate whether the prognostic signature-based risk score was an independent prognostic indicator. After deleting cases with incomplete values, such as age, gender, tumour grade, tumour stage, vascular invasion, etc., a total of 208 patients were used for subsequent analysis. The univariate analysis showed that the tumour stage ($P < 0.001$, HR = 1.690, 95% CI = 1.272–2.246), T classification ($P < 0.001$, HR = 1.615, 95% CI = 1.229–2.124), M classification ($P = 0.008$, HR = 4.861, 95% CI = 1.504–15.707) and risk score ($P < 0.001$, HR = 1.217, 95% CI = 1.107–1.339) were significantly correlated with the OS (Figure 5G). Moreover, multivariate Cox regression analysis further identified that risk score ($P < 0.001$, HR = 1.225, 95% CI = 1.103–1.362 as shown in Figure 5H), was an independent prognostic factor in HCC.

GSEA biological process enrichment

Based on the TCGA data, we explored mRNAs’ function associated with the m6A RNA methylation regulators and their related signal transduction pathways through GSEA. Based on normalised enrichment score, false discovery rate q-value and nominal P-value, significantly enriched signalling pathways were selected. In this study, the top enrichments involved in the cell cycle, insulin signalling pathway, neurotrophin signalling pathway, oocyte meiosis, pathways in cancer, regulation of autophagy, RIG I-like receptor signalling pathway, RNA degradation, ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis and Wnt signalling pathway (Figure 6).

Establishment of a prognostic nomogram for HCC

We developed a new prognostic nomogram based on age, gender, tumour grade, tumour stage, vascular invasion and risk score to provide a quantitative method for predicting individuals’ survival (Figure 7). The results revealed that the nomogram could systematically predict HCC patients’ OS at 1-, 3- and 5-years.

Discussion

HCC is still one of the most common cancer globally, and its incidence rate is increasing year by year. The initiation and development of HCC is a multistep process that involves the interaction of biological factors, environmental factors and genetic factors (28). The efficacy of current treatment strategies is not so good that the OS of HCC patients is far from satisfactory (1). Therefore, it is necessary to study cancer development’s molecular mechanism and find novel predictive biomarkers and valuable therapeutic targets. Recent studies have confirmed that N6-methyladenosine (m6A), the most abundant RNA modification, is closely related to various human diseases, including cancer (29–32). Aberrant methylation of m6A RNA can regulate the carcinogenesis of many tumour types (19,25). However, the prognostic value of m6A genes in HCC has not been well confirmed. This study aimed to analyse the differential expression of m6A genes, establish a prognosis model of HCC, and find potential therapeutic targets.

In this study, we compared the expression of 15 m6A RNA methylation regulators (including KIAA1429, RBM15, ZC3H13, METTL3, METTL14, WTAP, YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, HNRNPC, HNRNPA2B1, YTHDC1, YTHDC2, ALKBH5 and FTO) in non-tumour tissues and HCC tissues based on TCGA data. We found that these regulatory factors were upregulated in tumour samples. According to the risk score of m6A regulatory factors, we divided HCC patients into high-risk and low-risk groups. The results showed significant differences in OS and some clinicopathological features between high-risk and low-risk groups. Finally, we established a prognosis model consisting of the m6A RNA methylation regulators. It is encouraging that the risk score can independently predict the prognosis of patients with HCC. Thus, the risk characteristics in this study can more accurately predict the individualised survival of HCC patients.

As shown in our predictive model, 5 m6A RNA methylation-related genes, including ZC3H13, YTHDF1, YTHDF2, METTL3...
and KIAA1429, were identified as prognostic factors for HCC. It has been confirmed that ZC3H13 has different roles in distinct human cancers (33,34). Zhu et al. and Kim et al. reported that ZC3H13 had a tumour-inhibitory effect in colorectal cancer (33,35). In contrast, Gewurz et al. revealed that ZC3H13 might play a role in tumour progression as an oncogene (36). Therefore, the specific function of ZC3H13 in HCC remains unclear, which requires in-depth study.

Both YTHDF1 and YTHDF2 are members of the YTH domain family. YTHDF1 is an m6A ‘reader’, which recognises and binds to m6A modified mRNA, thus improving their target RNAs’ translation efficiency (27). It is reported that YTHDF1 is overexpressed in HCC with a poor prognosis (37). Zhao’s study yielded similar results (38). YTHDF2 has also been reported to be associated with various kinds of tumours (39). Recent studies have shown that the high expression of YTHDF2 can inhibit the proliferation and the growth of HCC. In the mechanism, YTHDF2 can promote the degradation of EGFR mRNA by binding to the m6A modified site of EGFR 3′-UTR, thus inhibiting the proliferation and growth of HCC cells (40).
the contrary, Hou et al. proved that the expression of YTHDF2 was downregulated in HCC. YTHDF2 might inhibit tumour progression by promoting the decay of IL11 and SERPINE2 mRNA, suggesting that YTHDF2 is a suppressor of HCC. Their research also demonstrated that reducing YTHDF2 in HCC cells promotes inflammation, vascular reconstruction and metastasis (41). Therefore, the specific
role of YTHDF2 in HCC is also controversial. The difference in these results may be related to the high heterogeneity of liver cancer.

METTL3, an RNA methyltransferase, is involved in mRNA degradation, translation and biogenesis control through m6A modification (42,43). METTL3 has been proved to be involved in tumorigenesis and the progression of some cancers (42). For example, Chen et al. reported that METTL3 is engaged in HCC and lung metastasis progress (44). Besides, high-level expression of METTL3 promotes cancer metastasis and poor prognosis in HCC patients (45). Aravalli et al. demonstrated that METTL3 gene knockout could significantly inhibit the occurrence and progression of HCC (46). The above results suggest that METTL3 may be an oncogene of HCC (44). The role of METTL3 in other gastrointestinal tumours, such as gastric cancer (47–49), colorectal cancer (50,51) and pancreatic cancer (52), has been confirmed to be similar to HCC.

Overexpression of KIAA1429 was also associated with a poor prognosis of HCC (53). LAN et al. found that KIAA1429 is an essential driving factor of hepatocarcinogenesis and metastasis. Their research showed that silencing KIAA1429 can inhibit the proliferation and metastasis of cancer cells, which indicates that KIAA1429 can be used as a new gene for the treatment of HCC (53). At the mechanism level, KIAA1429 may inhibit ID2 by upregulating its m6A level, thus promoting the progress of HCC (54). In other tumours, Qian et al. revealed that KIAA1429 was highly expressed in
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