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Abstract

Introduction: Behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPS) include depressive symptoms, anxiety, apathy, sleep problems, irritability, psychosis, wandering, elation and agitation, and are common in the non-demented and demented population.

Methods: We have undertaken a systematic review of reviews to give a broad overview of the prevalence, course, biological and psychosocial associations, care and outcomes of BPS in the older or demented population, and highlight limitations and gaps in existing research. Embase and Medline were searched for systematic reviews using search terms for BPS, dementia and ageing.

Results: Thirty-six reviews were identified. Most investigated the prevalence or course of symptoms, while few reviewed the effects of BPS on outcomes and care. BPS were found to occur in non-demented, cognitively impaired and demented people, but reported estimates vary widely. Biological factors associated with BPS in dementia include genetic factors, homocysteine levels and vascular changes. Psychosocial factors increase risk of BPS; however, across studies and between symptoms findings are inconsistent. BPS have been associated with burden of care, caregiver’s general health and caregiver depression scores, but findings are limited regarding institutionalisation, quality of life and disease outcome.

Conclusions: Limitations of reviews include a lack of high quality reviews, particularly of BPS other than depression. Limitations of original studies include heterogeneity in study design particularly related to measurement of BPS, level of cognitive impairment, population characteristics and participant recruitment. It is our recommendation that more high quality reviews, including all BPS, and longitudinal studies with larger sample sizes that use frequently cited instruments to measure BPS are undertaken. A better understanding of the risk factors and course of BPS will inform prevention, treatment and management and possibly improve quality of life for the patients and their carers.

Introduction

Behavioural and psychological symptoms (BPS) include depressive symptoms, anxiety, apathy, sleep problems, irritability, psychosis, wandering, elation and agitation. They are common in people with dementia, but are not restricted to this group [1]. BPS have public policy implications as they impact upon quality of life of older people and their carers, and influence prescribing and use of services [2,3].

Systematic reviews are an important tool for summarising the available evidence regarding a specific topic, permitting policy decisions to be based on representative literature. They allow researchers to focus on areas where information is most lacking, and allow more reliable interpretation of research findings. A review of reviews, therefore, serves two important purposes: first, summarising the evidence base on a subject beyond the scope of a single review, and second, highlighting areas where the literature is inadequate and where additional reviews are required. While the prevalence, course,
biological and psychosocial associations, care and outcomes of BPS have been the subject of systematic reviews, the findings from these different reviews have not been brought together in this way. Given the broad research focus on BPS, including underlying causes, association with dementia risk, and impact on care, and the large number of studies published in this area, any single systematic review of the primary literature can only explore a narrow range of objectives.

This paper presents a systematic ‘review of reviews’ of the literature on BPS in older people. Combining current knowledge across the multiple domains of BPS research provides a broad overview of what is known and identifies gaps where reviews have not been conducted [4]. Bringing together the conclusions of the reviews, we provide recommendations for future research and highlight areas where the evidence base with respect to BPS in the older population should be strengthened. In addition, we discuss the recommendations for future research made by the reviews.

**Materials and methods**

**Scope of review**

BPS are related to cognitive impairment and dementia. So-called “behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia”, BPSD, are commonly studied within this sub-population, but BPS can also occur in older people without significant cognitive impairment. Traditionally these are considered as phenomena distinct to BPSD; however, BPS in a cognitively healthy older person may indicate early dementia, and certain BPS, for example, depression, may be risk factors for dementia. Continuities in BPS are seen ‘pre’ and ‘post’ diagnosis, and common biological and psychosocial risk factors for BPS may exist among the cognitively healthy and cognitively impaired older populations. For this reason the scope of our review includes studies of BPS in the older population with or without cognitive impairment or dementia. We included reviews of the prevalence, the causes and consequences of BPS.

Owing to the breadth of literature and the specialist treatment required to review certain kinds of study, there are some limitations to the scope of this review. We did not include reviews that focused on pharmacological or non-pharmacological treatment of symptoms. Depression is a heterogeneous disorder ranging from mild symptoms to major depressive disorder. Depression is common in the older population with dementia and can be studied in the context of other BPS, but is also seen in the older population without dementia (Figure 1). Depression in the older population without dementia has been studied widely since it was first described in 1896 [5,6]. The term BPSD was introduced by the International Psychogeriatric Association in 1996 [7]. Although they have been identified since the earliest descriptions of dementia, research only moved to BPSD in the 1980s with the development of instruments to measure BPSD [8,9]. Depression as a BPSD and depression in the older population without dementia have largely been separate research areas. Here, we focused on depressive symptoms below the threshold for depressive disorder. We excluded reviews that studied only major or clinical depression and included reviews that studied both major depression and minor depression, depressive symptoms or minor depression only or depressive symptoms in the context of other BPS.

**Search methods**

Embase and Medline were searched for potentially relevant articles published before 29 March 2012. Search terms included Emtree terms and text searches for each individual BPS and BPS in general (see Additional file 1), and Dementia (Emtree) or Aged (Emtree). Additional articles were identified from reference lists of included studies and relevant narrative reviews.

**Data collection**

All systematic reviews written in English of one or more BPS in the older non-demented or demented population were included. A systematic review was defined as used by the Cochrane Collaboration and the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Statement: “A review of a clearly formulated question that uses systematic and explicit methods to identify, select, and critically appraise relevant research, and to collect and analyse data from the studies that are included in the review. Statistical methods (meta-analysis) may or may not be used to analyse and summarise the results of the included studies” [10]. Specific symptoms included depressive symptoms (including sadness, tearfulness, being unhappy, depressed feeling, suicidal feelings), anxiety (including feelings and physical signs of anxiety, worrying, being frightened), apathy (including listlessness, loss of interest, slowing), sleep problems (includes reduced sleep, increased sleep, change in sleep, tiredness), irritability (including being irritable or angry, verbal and physical aggression), psychosis (including delusion and hallucination), wandering (including wandering away, getting lost, aimless wandering), elation (including euphoria, inappropriate laughing) and non-aggressive agitation (including restlessness, repetitive behaviour).

RvdL selected articles through a multi-step screening process first based upon the assessment of the title and the abstract, followed by assessment of the article content. Information from each potential article was extracted by RvdL using a standardised form, recording the BPS investigated, population, date of publication and literature search, number of studies reviewed and if a meta-analysis
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**Additional file 1**

This file contains additional information related to the article, such as tables and figures that support the main text. It is available for download from the provided link. The file is structured to facilitate easy access to supplementary data, which may include statistical analyses, case studies, and detailed methodology. The content is formatted for clarity and ease of use, allowing readers to reference specific data points directly. Additional file 1 also includes references to other relevant literature, providing a comprehensive overview of the topic at hand. The supplementary material is designed to complement the main article, offering a deeper understanding of the research and its implications.
was performed. Reviews were divided by the following themes: prevalence, progression, course, biological associations, risk factors, care, quality of life and disease outcome. Results were summarised using the abstract. A list of recommendations for future research (for example, “future research should”, “we recommend”, “is needed”) and limitations of the original studies and review as reported by the reviews in their discussion section was generated.
The quality of the included reviews was assessed using AMSTAR, a validated measurement tool (Shea et al. 2007, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada) to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews [11,12].

Results
Number of studies
Separate searches of each BPS resulted in 266 reviews for depressive symptoms (N = 156 in dementia), 110 for anxiety (N = 74 in dementia), 8 for apathy (N = 7 in dementia), 82 for sleep problems (N = 45 in dementia), 30 for irritability (N = 24 in dementia), 42 for psychosis (N = 33 in dementia), 3 for wandering (N = 3 in dementia), 3 for elation (N = 3 in dementia), 15 for agitation (N = 14 in dementia) and 29 for BPS (N = 28 in dementia). Altogether, 399 reviews were found. Of these, 28 reviews were included. The others were excluded because BPS were not the main focus of the paper, instead they studied treatment or non-pharmacological interventions, did not focus on elderly or dementia populations, were not performed systematically or did not meet any other inclusion criteria. Seven reviews were excluded because they only studied major depression [13-19]. Reference searches of the included systematic reviews and relevant narrative reviews identified nine additional reviews. Therefore, in total 36 reviews were included.

Characteristics and focus of included reviews
Tables 1 and 2 show the characteristics of the reviews included. Most (N = 13) [20-32] investigated the prevalence or co-occurrence of symptoms. Eleven [21,27,30,33-40] reviewed the longitudinal course of BPS or its associations with incident dementia. Possible underlying biological factors were examined in nine reviews [41-49], as were psychosocial risk factors [20,24,26,27,30,31,49,50]. Only two reviews [51,52] focused on the associations between BPS and care outcomes, one [53] on the effects on quality of life and four [30,32,54,55] on disease outcomes.

Figure 1 shows the populations and BPS that were the focus of the different reviews. As shown, most reviews focused on depression in the older population, not specifically excluding those with dementia (N = 11) [20,29-31,35,43,44,46,48,50,56], or depression and several other BPS in dementia (N = 6) [21,22,24,26,42,52]. Of the studies focusing on depressive symptoms or other BPS in the older population, it was often unclear if those with dementia were included, with only few specifically including only studies of "healthy" or "normal" elderly [38,39] or reporting which original studies included those with dementia or cognitive impairment [28,35,43,57]. Overall, 12 reviews [20,28-32,41,45,48-50] included studies of the entire older population (> 50 years), 3 [35,43,44] of the older population but with some studies excluding those with dementia or cognitive impairment, 1 [23] of patients in long-term care, 3 [40,46,47] of an adult population including older aged adults, 2 [38,39] that only included ‘healthy’ adults, and 3 included case control studies comparing those with dementia to normal controls [33,36,37]. In addition, 3 [21,22,24] studied cognitively impaired populations and 10 [25-27,34,42,51-55] people with dementia. Nine reviews included a wide battery of BPS [21-24,42,51-54] and a further three [25,26,49] focused on a combination of two or more symptoms. Of those studying a single symptom, depressive symptoms were most widely reviewed (N = 18) [20,28-31,33-37,41,43-48,50]. Sleep problems (N = 3) [38-40], psychosis (N = 2) [27,55] and anxiety (N = 1) [32] were the subject of few reviews. Details of the characteristics and recruitment of the population of the original studies included in the reviews were often not reported.

Quality
The quality assessment for each included review is shown in Table 3. Generally, review quality was low, with only 7 of the reviews scoring positive on 5 of the 11 components and meeting the criteria for moderate scientific quality (5 to 8 points). All other reviews scored less than five points.

Narrative description by theme
Prevalence of BPS
Two reviews [21,22] of BPS in populations with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) reported an overall symptom prevalence ranging from 35 to 75% and 35 to 85%. Depressive symptoms, anxiety and irritability were the most commonly observed symptoms, followed by apathy and agitation. Hospital-based samples of MCI cases reported a higher mean prevalence of any BPS than population-based studies [21]. In people with dementia in long term care the prevalence of one or more BPS was 78% [23]. The mean reported prevalence of psychosis in dementia was 41% [27].

Reviews of the prevalence of BPS in the older population without dementia were available only for depression. The prevalence of depressive disorders in the older population without dementia has been estimated to be 17.1% (95% CI 9.7 to 26.1), in a meta-analysis of moderate scientific quality [28]. Other studies reported a high variability in the prevalence reported in included studies ranging from 14 to 82% [23], 14 to 16% [20], 0 to 35% [58] and 7 to 49% [31]. A lower prevalence has been reported in community settings than in primary care and long term care settings [30].

Most reviews found considerable variability in reported prevalence, possibly due to heterogeneity in methodology, thereby limiting the ability to compare
| First author | Search date | BPS | Population | N reviewed | Summary of results | Meta-analysis | Recommendations future research | Reported limitations | Quality |
|-------------|-------------|-----|------------|------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Monastero [21] Aug 2008 | BPS MCI | 27 | Prevalence: 35 to 85%. Most common: dep, anx and irr. Hospital-based studies reported higher prevalence than population based studies | - Large cohort studies - Using standardised MCI criteria - Standardised behavioural instruments - Prognostic role of BPS in MCI - Not exclude those with dep at baseline Prevalently proposed: - Prevalence - Correlates - Different MCI subtypes, - Genetic/biological markers | Original studies - Differences in methodology, including setting, age and sex distribution, inclusion and exclusion criteria and differential sensitivity of BPS instruments | 4 |
| Apostolova [22] Dec 2006 | BPS MCI | 21 | Prevalence: 35 to 75%. Most common BPS: dep, apa, anx and irr. Least common: ela, hal, dis and wan | - Large, prospective longitudinal studies - Standard MCI diagnostic criteria not excluding those with depression - Neuropsychiatric instruments designed for the cognitively impaired | Original studies - Different sampling methods - Exclusion of subjects with depression - Heterogeneity of MCI diagnostic criteria - Heterogeneity of BPS instruments | 3 |
| Seitz [23] See 1B Mar 2009 | BPS, Dep, Anx Care home | 35 | Prevalence BPS in dementia: 78% (median) | - | See Table 2 | See Table 2 | 3 |
| Zuidema [24] Aug 2005 | BPS MMSE < 24, care home | 25 | Prevalences ranged considerably, from 3 to 54% for del, 1 to 39% for hal, 8 to 74% for dep, 7 to 69% for anx, 17 to 84% for apa, 48 to 82% for agg or agi, and 11 to 44% for psychical agg. | - See risk factors | See risk factors | 2 |
| Shub [25] NR | Psy Agg Dem | 54 | Of seven cross and two long studies directly examining correlation agg and psy, most showed a positive association. | - Prospectively designed studies - Temporal relationship | Original studies - Limited data of sufficient methodological rigor - Majority cross-sectional studies | 3 |
| Wragg [26] NR | Dep Psy Dem | 30 | Dep and Psy occurred in 30 to 40% of AD patients. Isolated symptoms were two to three times as frequent as diagnosable affective or psychotic disorders. Paranoid del were the most common psy symptoms. | - Specify an a priori hypothesis - Clearly report characteristics study sample - Prospective and longitudinal design - Use standardised case definitions - Reliable and valid BPS instruments - Use appropriate statistical analysis - Clearly report conclusions - Both theoretical and clinical focus. | Original studies - Methodological limitations including small samples, misclassification bias | 2 |
### Table 1 Characteristics of included reviews and summary of findings - older population with dementia or cognitive impairment (Continued)

| Course and progression | Monastero [21] | Aug 2008 | BPS MCI 27 | Prospective studies showed that BPSD, particularly depression, may represent risk factors for MCI or predictors for the conversion of MCI to AD. | See prevalence | See prevalence | 4 |
|------------------------|----------------|----------|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---|
| Verkaik [34]           | Mar 2006       | Dep Dem 24 | 1/4 (continuous) and 0/3 (categorical) high quality studies found a significant association between severity of AD and prevalence of dep. | - | Longitudinal study | Review | Only English language, studies that did not have depression or depressive disorder as a keyword were not identified | 5 |
| Ropacki [27]           | 2003 Psy Dem 55 | Incidence increased progressively the first three years, after that plateau. Duration several months but less prominent after one year. Associated with more rapid cognitive decline | - | See prevalence | See prevalence | 1 |

### Biological

| Flirski [42]           | ? BPS Dem 73  | Behavioural genetics of BPS reviewed: genes coding for APOE E, serotonin receptors, serotonin transporter, COMT, MAO-A, tryptophan hydroxylase and dopamine receptors. A general conclusion is the striking inconsistency of the findings, unsurprising in the field of psychiatric genetics. | - | Precisely define symptoms | Original studies | - Inconsistency of results | 0 |
| Risk factors | Zuidema [24] | Aug 2005 | BPS | MMSE | 25 < 24, care home | BPSD predicted not only by dem type or stage, but also by the psychosocial environment and the amount of psychoactive medication and physical restraints used. | - Effects of manipulation the physical and social environments in nursing homes. | Original studies | - Uncertainty defining dem, its type and severity | - Few accurately diagnosed dementia | - Different BPS instruments and definitions | 2 |
|-------------|--------------|----------|-----|------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|------|
| Wragg [26]  | NR           | Dep Psy  | Dem | 30   | -                 | See prevalence                                     | See prevalence                    | 2 |
| Ropacki [27]| 2003         | Psy Dem  | 55  | -    | See prevalence    | See prevalence                                     | 1 |
| Care        | Gaugler [51] | 2006     | BPS | Dem  | 80                | Behavioural symptoms one of most consistent predictors of nursing home admission in persons with dementia. | - Interventions should consider long-term efficacy and timing of nursing home admission in course of dem | Review                           | - Research synthesis method: requires descriptive information from samples composed of subjects a similar age, studies providing only correlations and not means or standard deviations are excluded and some assumption made about shape of distribution | 5 |
| Black [52]  | Dec 2001     | BPS Dem  | 55  | -    | See summary of results | Pooled correlation coefficients for relationship BPS and caregiver burden (0.57, 95%CI 0.52 to 0.62), caregiver psychological stress (0.41, 0.32 to 0.49) and caregiver depression (0.30, 0.21 to 0.39). Multivariate data supported BPS are predictor of burden of care, psychological distress and dep. Limited long data. Caregiver variables may be more important in predicting institutionalisation than BPS. | Concept of burden of care is too broad and more clinically relevant measures such as caregiver depression are preferred. | Original studies | - Relatively few studies | - Majority clinic-based samples, few representative | - Majority cross-sectional and correlational | - Little about which care-recipient symptoms are most distressing or particular risk factors for subgroups | - Other variables are likely to be important | - Other variables are likely to be important | 3 |
| Disease outcome | Lee [54] | NR BPS Dem NR | | | | There was no consensus regarding the association with dementia prognosis | - Guideline for dementia prognostication | Original studies | - Uncertainty of etiologic diagnosis of dementia | 1 |
### Table 1 Characteristics of included reviews and summary of findings - older population with dementia or cognitive impairment (Continued)

| Original studies | Fischer [55] | NR | Psy | Dem | 6 |
|------------------|--------------|----|-----|-----|---|
|                  | Three of six studies showed and association with real-world functioning | - Longitudinal studies |
|                  | - More detail about delusional severity. | - Use cognitive and functional measures that are better at detecting executive impairment to clarify the association. |
|                  | - Use cognitive and functional measures that are better at detecting executive impairment to clarify the association. | Original studies |
|                  | - Confounders not always taken into account | - Considerable variation definition del |
|                  | - Psychoactive medication not taken into account | - No longitudinal studies |
|                  | - No longitudinal studies | |

| Quality of life | Banerjee [53] | Oct | BPS | Dem | NR |
|-----------------|---------------|-----|-----|-----|----|
|                  | Strong suggestion dep is consistently associated with decreased health related quality of life in dem. Magnitude of associations is moderate and the proportion of variance explained is low. | - Quality of life in dementia: determinants, in dementia subtypes, self- versus proxy-report, in different settings, association with outcomes and interventions |
|                  | - | NR |
|                  | | 0 |

AD, Alzheimer’s disease; Agg, aggression; Anx, anxiety; Biol, biological associations; BPS, behavioural and psychological symptoms; Cross, Cross-sectional; Dem, Dementia; Dep, depressive symptoms; Ela, elation; Long, longitudinal; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; Psy, psychosis; Sle, sleep problems
| First author | Search date | BPS Population | N reviewed | Summary of results | Meta-analysis | Recommendations future research | Reported limitations | Quality |
|--------------|-------------|----------------|------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Seitz [23]  | Mar 2009    | BPS Dep Care home | 35         | Prevalence dep symptoms in long term care: 29% (14 to 82%) | -             | - Developing countries - Multinational studies - Collaboration across centres - Adoption of standard survey methods - Effective and safe interventions | Original studies - Small sample size - Conducted in developed countries - Included relatively few long term care facilities - Many studies conducted several years ago | 3       |
| Luppa [28]  | May 2010    | Dep Older (60+) | 24         | Prevalence of dep disorders ranged from 4.5 to 37.4%. Pooled prevalence: 17.1% (95% CI 9.7 to 26.1) | Pooled prev major dep: 7.2% (95% CI 4.4 to 10.6) Dep disorders: 17.1 (9.7 to 26.1) | Large scale - Population-based - Prospective studies - Also covering oldest age segments - Comorbidity, cognition and function - Suitable depression diagnostics | Original studies - Methodological differences in study design, sampling structure and study quality | 5       |
| Chen [20]   | Jun 1997    | Dep Older (60+) | 10         | Prevalence dep mood: 14.8 (14.2 to 15.6%), higher in rural communities | Prev dep mood: 14.8% (14.2 to 15.6) | - Similar methodology - Culture-specific validated instruments - Risk factors and understanding dep | Original studies - Much variation - Cultural acceptability of instruments | 4       |
| Beekman [29]| 1996        | Dep Older, community dwelling (55+) | 34         | The reported prevalence rates vary enormously (0.4 to 35%). Minor dep: 9.8% (8.3 to 14.3) Clinical dep symptoms: 13.5% (2.8 to 35%) | - | - Focus on those most at risk and in adverse socio-economic conditions - Improving comparability of the data | Original studies - Methodological differences - Bias translating instruments Review - Formal meta-analysis was not considered justified | 3       |
| Meeks [30]  | Jan 2010    | Dep Older (55+) | 153        | Dep was generally at least two to three times more prevalent than major dep. Prevalence lower in community settings (9.8%, 40 to 22.9) than primary care (15.1 to 35.9%) and LTC (4.0 to 30.5%). | - | - Incidence - Prevalence - Various clinical settings, - Diverse geographical areas - Cultural/socioeconomic groups - Neurobiology - Treatment - Terminology of depression - Associations with psychopathology | Review - Could not conduct a meta-analysis due to data heterogeneity - Review did not include data on early or mild adulthood subthreshold depression, limiting extrapolation of findings to other age groups | 2       |
Table 2 Characteristics of included reviews and summary of findings - general older population (Continued)

| Djernes [31] | Sep 2004 | Dep Older (65+) | 122 | Prevalence clinical relevant depressive symptoms: 7.2 to 49% | - | - | Target risk factors, improvement of prevention and treatment of chronic somatic and mental illnesses, adequate social support, prevention social isolation. | Original studies | - Methodological differences | 2 |
|--------------|---------|----------------|-----|------------------|----|----|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|----|
|              |         |                |     |                  |    |    | - Education and information dep in elderly |                | - Rates of participation; depressed elderly may be particularly prone to refuse research invitations |    |
|              |         |                |     |                  |    |    | - Comparability of methodology |                | - Subjective variations in the assessment of the presence or absence of a diagnostic criterion |    |
|              |         |                |     |                  |    |    | - Focus on nursing home residence |                | - Differences between instruments |    |
|              |         |                |     |                  |    |    | - - Target risk factors, improvement of prevention and treatment of chronic somatic and mental illnesses, adequate social support, prevention social isolation. |                | - Original studies | - Methodological differences | 2 |
|              |         |                |     |                  |    |    | - Education and information dep in elderly |                | - Rates of participation; depressed elderly may be particularly prone to refuse research invitations |    |
|              |         |                |     |                  |    |    | - Comparability of methodology |                | - Subjective variations in the assessment of the presence or absence of a diagnostic criterion |    |
|              |         |                |     |                  |    |    | - Focus on nursing home residence |                | - Differences between instruments |    |
|              |         |                |     |                  |    |    | - - Target risk factors, improvement of prevention and treatment of chronic somatic and mental illnesses, adequate social support, prevention social isolation. |                | - Original studies | - Methodological differences | 2 |
|              |         |                |     |                  |    |    | - Education and information dep in elderly |                | - Rates of participation; depressed elderly may be particularly prone to refuse research invitations |    |
|              |         |                |     |                  |    |    | - Comparability of methodology |                | - Subjective variations in the assessment of the presence or absence of a diagnostic criterion |    |
|              |         |                |     |                  |    |    | - Focus on nursing home residence |                | - Differences between instruments |    |
|              |         |                |     |                  |    |    | - - Target risk factors, improvement of prevention and treatment of chronic somatic and mental illnesses, adequate social support, prevention social isolation. |                | - Original studies | - Methodological differences | 2 |
|              |         |                |     |                  |    |    | - Education and information dep in elderly |                | - Rates of participation; depressed elderly may be particularly prone to refuse research invitations |    |
|              |         |                |     |                  |    |    | - Comparability of methodology |                | - Subjective variations in the assessment of the presence or absence of a diagnostic criterion |    |
|              |         |                |     |                  |    |    | - Focus on nursing home residence |                | - Differences between instruments |    |
| Alwahhabi [32] | 2001 | Anx Older (55+) | 119 | - | - | See disease outcome | See disease outcome | 1 |
| Huang [35] | Aug 2007 | Dep Older (55+) | 17 | Non-dementia cognitive impairment vs without: incidence dep: OR = 1.5, 95% CI 0.9 to 2.5 prevalence dep: RR = 1.1, 95% CI 0.6 to 2.0. Dem vs. no dem: incidence OR = 1.8, 85% CI 1.2 to 2.9, prevalence RR = 3.9, 95% CI 1.9 to 8.0 | See summary of results | - Risk for cognitive impairment for depression | Review | - No conclusion if dep was risk factor for dem | - No hand-search of journals and no attempt to identify unpublished studies. English language only | 5 |
| Meeks [30] | Jan 2010 | Dep Older (55+) | 153 | 8 to 10% of subthreshold dep developed major dep per year. Median remission rate to non-dep status 27% after > 1 year. | - | - | Longitudinal course | See prevalence | 2 |
| Jorm [33,36,37] | End 2000 | Dep Dem/Older | 11, 15, 2 | 1991: history of dep (late onset cases) associated with AD (late onset). 2000: Dep increased risk of dem in case control, 95% CI 1.2 to 3.5 and prospective studies, 95% CI 1.1 to 3.2. 2001: Update 2000: case control studies: RR = 2.0, 95% CI 1.2 to 3.5, prospective studies 1.9, 95% CI 1.1 to 3.2 | Too many results | 1991: | Review | - Prospective studies | - History of psychiatric disorders other than dep and psychiatric treatments 2000/2001: - Large sample size - Mechanisms association dep and dem | 0 |
|              |         |                |     |                  |    |    | - Longitudinal course | See prevalence | 2 |
|              |         |                |     |                  |    |    | - - Target risk factors, improvement of prevention and treatment of chronic somatic and mental illnesses, adequate social support, prevention social isolation. |                | - Original studies | - Methodological differences | 2 |
|              |         |                |     |                  |    |    | - Education and information dep in elderly |                | - Rates of participation; depressed elderly may be particularly prone to refuse research invitations |    |
|              |         |                |     |                  |    |    | - Comparability of methodology |                | - Subjective variations in the assessment of the presence or absence of a diagnostic criterion |    |
|              |         |                |     |                  |    |    | - Focus on nursing home residence |                | - Differences between instruments |    |
| Study | Year | Type | Age Range | Sample Size | Findings | Limitations | Notes |
|-------|------|------|------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-------|
| Ohayon [38] | 2003 | Adult | 65 | Total sleep time, sleep efficiency, percentage of slow-wave sleep, percentage of REM sleep and REM latency all significantly decreased with age. Sleep latency, waking after sleep, waking after sleep duration and the percentage of stage 1 and 2 sleep increase with age, but only sleep efficiency continued to significantly decrease after 60 yr. | - Strict screening methods | - Information given in relation with the presence or absence of sex differences, no information about race composition |
| Floyd [39] | 2002 | Adult | 244 | Age and REM%: essentially linear, decreasing 0.6% per decade but ceased during mid-70s followed by small increase 75 to 85 | - REM sleep in women | - More data in old-old population |
| Floyd [40] | 1996 | Adult | 41 | Night-time sleep amount and the ability to initiate sleep decreased with age. Larger age-related changes when sleep variables were measured by polysomnography rather than self-report. | Age - sleep, effect size: Sleep latency: 0.19 (0.14 to 0.24) WASO frequency: 0.38 (0.34 to 0.42) WASO duration: 0.74 (0.71 to 0.77) Night time sleep amount: -0.33 (-0.37 to -0.28) | - Controlling for health moderators (carefully assessed for levels of depression, sleep apnea and use of psychoactive substances) | - Study women |
| Biological | | | | | | | |
| Huang [43] | Aug 2007 | Dep | Older (55+) | 28 | Significant OR and RR for increased dep in old age: stroke, loss of hearing, loss of vision, cardiac disease or chronic lung disease had a. Significant OR but un-significant RR. arthritis, hypertension and diabetes. Both OR and RR not significant: gastro-intestinal disease | Too many results | - Not hand-search journals, not identify unpublished studies, three databases, only English language |

**Table 2 Characteristics of included reviews and summary of findings - general older population (Continued)**
Table 2 Characteristics of included reviews and summary of findings - general older population (Continued)

| Review | Dep | Sample | Findings | Methodological limitations |
|--------|-----|--------|----------|---------------------------|
| Huang [44] Aug 2007 | Dep | Older (55+) | Chronic disease - dep: RR = 1.5 (1.2 to 2.0). Poor SRH - dep: RR = 2.4, 95% CI 1.9 to 3.0. | - Not hand-search journals, no attempt to identify unpublished studies, three databases, only English - Heterogeneity in results |
| Almeida [45] | Dep | Older (70+) | High tHcy increased risk of dep: OR = 1.7, 95% CI 1.4 to 2.1 TT vs. CC carriers: OR = 1.2, 95% CI 1.0 to 1.5 | - Sufficiently powered randomised trials |
| Stetler [46] May 2009 | Dep | Adult | Dep vs no dep: Cortisol d = 0.6 (95% CI 0.5 to 0.7) Adrenocorticotropic-releasing hormone d = 0.28 (95% CI 0.2 to 0.4) Corticotropin-releasing hormone d = 0.02 (95% CI -0.5 to 0.5) | - Bioinformatic technologies - Larger sample size - Longitudinal |
| Kuo [47] Sep 2004 | Dep | Adult | High concentrations C-reactive protein predictive of cognitive decline and dem. Relations to dep cross and not consistent. | - Prospective study c-reactive protein-dep - Intervention studies to lower c-reactive protein and improved outcomes |
| Kuo [41] Mar 2004 | Dep | Older (55+) | Growing evidence of association hyper-homocysteinemia and cognitive impairment, dem and dep. Proposed mechanisms include angiotoxicity, neurotoxicity, and inhibition of collagen cross-linking | - Role of homocysteine in prevention - Prospective studies association with dep - Adequate adjustment for possible confounders |
| Camus [48] Jun 2003 | Dep | Older | Potential ways association dep - vascular disease: 1 direct influence vascular disease, 2 direct influence dep, 3 common causes | - Pathophysiological and genetic background of vascular depression |
### Table 2 Characteristics of included reviews and summary of findings - general older population (Continued)

| Reference | Date | Domain | Population | Sample Size | Characteristics | Findings | Methodology | Review |
|-----------|------|--------|------------|-------------|----------------|----------|-------------|--------|
| Vink [49] | Dec 2005 | Anxiety | Older (50+) | 80 | Risk factors anx and dep showed many similarities but some differences were found. Biological factors may be more important in predicting dep, and a differential effect of social factors on dep and anx was found. | - | - | 1 |

**Risk factors**

| Reference  | Date    | Domain | Population | Sample Size | Characteristics | Findings | Methodology | Review |
|------------|---------|--------|------------|-------------|----------------|----------|-------------|--------|
| Chen [20]  | Jun 1997 | Depression | Older (60+) | 10 | The patterns of risk factors were similar to those in western countries | See prevalence | See prevalence | See prevalence | 4 |
| Meeks [30] | Jan 2010 | Depression | Older (55+) | 153 | Risk factors: female, medical burden, disability and low social support; neurological illnesses (Parkinson’s disease, stroke, AD) | - | - | See prevalence | 2 |
| Djernes [31] | Sep 2004 | Depression | Older (65+) | 122 | Risk factors: female, somatic illness, cognitive and functional impairment, lack of social contacts, history of dep | - | - | See prevalence | 2 |
| Cole [50]  | 2001    | Depression | Older (50+) | 20 | Risk factors: Qualitative: disability, new medical illness, poor health status, prior depression, poor self-perceived health, and bereavement. Quantitative: bereavement, sleep disturbance, disability, prior depression, female gender | 13 risk factors investigated. OR ranged from 1.0 to 3.3, significant risk factors: bereavement, sleep disturbance, disability, prior dep, female gender | - | Original studies | 2 |
### Table 2 Characteristics of included reviews and summary of findings - general older population (Continued)

| Review | Date  | Population | N | Risk factors both anx and dep: personality, coping strategies, previous psychopathology, social network, stressful life events, female. Dep: smaller network size, being unmarried. | Disease outcome | Notes |
|--------|-------|-------------|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------|
| Vink [49] Dec 2005 | Anx, Dep Older (50+) | 80 | - | See biological | See biological | 1 |

#### Disease outcome

| Review | Date  | Population | N | Consequences: disability, greater healthcare utilisation, increase suicide ideation | Disease outcome | Notes |
|--------|-------|-------------|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------|
| Meeks [30] Jan 2010 | Dep Older (55+) | 153 | - | More sophisticated health economic studies | See prevalence | 2 |

| Review | Year | Anxiety (SS+) | N | Limitations: understanding expression anx, variable definitions elderly, diagnostic instruments. Anx in elderly potential for negative consequences independent of comorbidity major dep. | Disease outcome | Notes |
|--------|------|---------------|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------|
| Alwahhabi 2001 | Anx, Dep Older (55+) | 119 | - | Definition of elderly - Symptom definition and diagnostic instruments - Clinical trials | Original studies | 1 |

AD, Alzheimer’s disease; Agg, aggression; Anx, anxiety; BPS, behavioural and psychological symptoms; Cross, Cross-sectional; Dem, Dementia; Dep, depressive symptoms; Ela, elation; Long, longitudinal; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; Prev, prevalence; Psy, psychosis; Sle, sleep problems; SRH, self-rated health
Table 3 Methodological quality of systematic reviews assessed with the AMSTAR measurement tool

| Author         | 1 A priori design | 2 Duplicate | 3 Search | 4 Publication status | 5 List of studies | 6 Characteristics studies | 7 Scientific quality reported | 8 Conclusions methods | 9 Combination methods | 10 Publication bias | 11 Conflict of interest | Score | Quality |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------|----------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------|---------|
| Almeida [45]   | Can’t answer       | Can’t answer| No       | No                   | No               | Yes                      | Yes                        | No                     | Yes                  | Yes                | No                    | 4      | Low     |
| Alwahhabi [32] | Can’t answer       | Can’t answer| No       | No                   | No               | Yes                      | Yes                        | No                     | Not applicable       | No                 | 1                    | 5      | Moderate|
| Apostolova [22]| Can’t answer       | Can’t answer| No       | No                   | No               | Yes                      | Yes                        | Yes                    | Not applicable       | No                 | 0                    | 3      | Low     |
| Banerjee [53]  | Can’t answer       | Can’t answer| No       | No                   | No               | Yes                      | Yes                        | No                     | Not applicable       | No                 | 2                    | 0      | Low     |
| Black [52]     | Can’t answer       | Can’t answer| No       | No                   | Yes              | Yes                      | Yes                        | Yes                    | Not applicable       | No                 | No                   | 3      | Low     |
| Camus [48]     | No                 | Yes         | No       | No                   | No               | No                      | No                        | No                     | Not applicable       | No                 | No                   | 1      | Low     |
| Chen [20]      | No                 | Can’t answer| No       | Yes                  | No               | Yes                      | Yes                        | Yes                    | Yes                  | No                 | No                   | 4      | Low     |
| Cole [50]      | Can’t answer       | No          | Can’t answer| No               | No               | Yes                      | Yes                        | No                     | No                  | No                 | No                   | 2      | Low     |
| Djernes [31]   | Can’t answer       | Can’t answer| No       | Can’t answer          | No               | Yes                      | Yes                        | No                     | No                  | Not applicable       | No                 | 2      | Low     |
| Fischer [55]   | Can’t answer       | Can’t answer| No       | No                   | Yes              | No                      | Yes                        | Not applicable          | No                  | No                 | No                   | 2      | Low     |
| Flirski [42]   | Can’t answer       | Can’t answer| No       | Yes                  | No               | No                      | No                        | No                     | Not applicable       | No                 | No                   | 0      | Low     |
| Floyd [40]     | Can’t answer       | Can’t answer| No       | No                   | No               | No                      | Yes                        | No                     | No                  | No                 | No                   | 1      | Low     |
| Floyd [39]     | Can’t answer       | Yes         | Can’t answer| No               | No               | No                      | No                        | No                     | No                  | No                 | No                   | 2      | Low     |
| Gaugler [51]   | Can’t answer       | Yes         | Can’t answer| Yes              | No               | Yes                      | Yes                        | Yes                    | No                  | No                 | No                   | 5      | Moderate|
| Huang [35]     | Can’t answer       | Yes         | Can’t answer| No               | Yes              | Yes                      | Yes                        | Yes                    | No                  | No                 | No                   | 5      | Moderate|
| Huang [43]     | Can’t answer       | Yes         | Can’t answer| No               | Yes              | Yes                      | Yes                        | Yes                    | No                  | No                 | No                   | 5      | Moderate|
| Huang [44]     | Can’t answer       | Yes         | Can’t answer| No               | No               | Yes                      | Yes                        | Yes                    | No                  | No                 | No                   | 5      | Moderate|
| Jorm [33,36,37]| No                 | Can’t answer| No       | No                   | Yes              | No                      | Yes                        | No                     | No                  | No                 | No                   | 0      | Low     |
| Kuo [47]       | Can’t answer       | Yes         | No       | No                   | Yes              | No                      | Yes                        | No                     | Not applicable       | No                 | No                   | 3      | Low     |
| Kuo [41]       | Can’t answer       | No          | No       | No                   | No               | Yes                      | Yes                        | Not applicable          | No                  | No                 | No                   | 3      | Low     |
| Table 3 Methodological quality of systematic reviews assessed with the AMSTAR measurement tool (Continued) |
|---|
| Lee [54] | Can't answer | Can't answer | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | Not applicable | No | No | 1 | Low |
| Luppa [28] | Can't answer | No | Can't answer | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Not applicable | No | No | 5 | Moderate |
| Meeks [30] | Can't answer | Can't answer | No | No | No | Yes | No | Yes | Not applicable | No | No | 2 | Low |
| Monastero [21] | Can't answer | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Not applicable | No | No | 4 | Low |
| Ohayon [38] | Can't answer | Can't answer | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Not applicable | No | No | 3 | Low |
| Ropacki [27] | Can't answer | Can't answer | No | No | Yes | No | No | No | Not applicable | No | No | 1 | Low |
| Seitz [23] | Can't answer | Yes | Can't answer | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Not applicable | No | No | 3 | Low |
| Shub [25] | Can't answer | Can't answer | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Not applicable | No | No | 3 | Moderate |
| Stetler [46] | Can't answer | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | Yes | Not applicable | No | No | 3 | Low |
| Venkai [34] | Yes | Yes | Can't answer | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Not applicable | No | No | 5 | Moderate |
| Vink [49] | Can't answer | Can't answer | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | Not applicable | No | No | 1 | Low |
| Wragg [26] | Can't answer | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | Yes | Not applicable | No | No | 2 | Low |
| Zuiderma [24] | Can't answer | Can't answer | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | Not applicable | No | No | 2 | Low |

Response options: yes, no, can’t answer, not applicable.

Full questions: A priori design: Was an “a priori” design provided? Duplicate: Was there duplicate study selection and data extraction? Search: Was a comprehensive literature search performed? Publication status: Was the status of publication (that is, grey literature) used as an inclusion criterion? List of studies: Was a list of studies (included and excluded) provided? Characteristics studies: Were the characteristics of the included studies provided? Scientific quality reported: Was the scientific quality of the included studies assessed and documented? Conclusion: Was the scientific quality of the included studies used appropriately in formulating conclusions? Combination methods: Were the methods used to combine the findings of studies appropriate? Publication bias: Was the likelihood of publication bias assessed? Conflict of interest: Was the conflict of interest stated? Score: The maximum AMSTAR score a review can receive is 11 (11 for meta-analyses and 10 for systematic reviews) Quality: Scores of 0 to 4 indicated low quality, 5 to 8 moderate quality, and 9 to 11 high quality.
data. Recommendations include the use of standardised BPS instruments and the study of various populations. 

**Longitudinal course and association with cognitive decline**

Monastero et al. reported that prospective studies showed that BPS, particularly depression, might represent risk factors for MCI or predictors of MCI conversion to dementia [21]. A review of moderate scientific quality failed to find evidence of an association between depressive symptoms and severity of dementia [34]. A review on psychotic symptoms suggested that these symptoms increase with the development of dementia but plateau after three years [59]. Few reviews on BPS course have been conducted in the older population. Huang et al. reported that compared to individuals without cognitive impairment, incidence and prevalence of depression was higher in those with cognitive impairment or dementia. Meeks et al. found that depression was relatively stable in the older population, with a median remission of 27% after more than one year [30]. Three reviews by Jorm et al. concluded that history of depression in cognitively normal persons was associated with increased risk of dementia [33,36,37,60]. Sleep problems including sleep latency and waking after sleep were common with increasing age, but only sleep efficiency continued to significantly decrease after age 60 [38-40].

The reviews identified a need for more longitudinal studies using standardised measures of cognitive function and BPS and appropriate adjustment for confounding factors.

**Biopsychosocial associations**

**Biological factors** A systematic review shows inconsistent results for genetic associations, including genes coding for APOE E, serotonin receptors and transporter, COMT, MAO-A, tryptophan hydroxylase and dopamine receptors with BPS in individuals with dementia [42]. No other reviews were found.

In the general older population, most reviews of biological correlates of BPS focus on depression. An association between high levels of homocysteine and depression and dementia has been reported [41,45]. Stetler et al. suggest an association between depression and cortisol and other hormones [46]. In addition, cerebral atherosclerotic changes may result in cognitive impairment and depression, possibly mediated by C-reactive protein but results were not consistent [47]. The association between vascular factors and depression was further studied and discussed in a review by Camus et al. [48].

Recommendations for future research include prospective studies with large sample sizes further investigating the association with biological factors.

**Risk factors** In nursing home patients with cognitive impairment, BPS were associated with the psychosocial environment, in addition to dementia type and stage and medication use [24]. In dementia patients, psychosis has been associated with age, illness duration and functional impairment, whereas results are weak or inconsistent for sociodemographic variables [27].

In two moderate quality reviews of the older population by Huang et al., depression was reported to be common in those with poor self-rated health, disability and chronic disease, including stroke, sensory impairment, cardiac disease or chronic lung disease [43,44]. Depression is more common in women, and has been associated with many risk factors, including other diseases, low social support, cognitive impairment, disability, prior depression and bereavement [20,29-31,49,50]. Vink et al. report that health factors were less clearly related to anxiety than to depression [49]. Psychosocial associations of other BPS have not been reviewed.

More research is recommended on risk factors for depression and randomised controlled trials to investigate if manipulation of risk factors reduces the onset of BPS.

**Outcomes and care**

Some evidence suggests that BPS predicts nursing home placement in those with dementia [51]. Burden of care, caregiver’s general health and caregiver depression scores have been associated with BPS, but perhaps caregiver’s perception of the BPS and caregiver’s social and psychological resources prior to institutionalisation are more important factors [52]. Lee et al. concluded that there was no consensus regarding the association between BPS and increased mortality in individuals with dementia [54]. Studies of associations between delusions in dementia and functional outcome had inconsistent results [55]. Finally, depression has been associated with decreased health related quality of life in dementia, although the size of the association was moderate [53]. Depression in the general older population has been associated with increased health care utilisation and expenditure [30]. In addition, anxiety in the elderly has been reported to have negative consequences independently of depression [32].

The reviews recommend more research with better measurements of determinants and outcomes and more sophisticated techniques to analyse the association with disease outcomes.

**Summary: limitations and recommendations**

Overall, the reviews reported several limitations of the original studies, including heterogeneity in methodology, insufficient adjustment for confounders, heterogeneity in BPS instruments and definitions, small sample size and that most studies were cross-sectional (Figure 2). This led to recommendations for prospective longitudinal studies, with a large sample size and using standardised BPS instruments and definitions. The following topics for future research were most often recommended, including intervention and treatment, mechanisms and underlying
causes of BPS and the prevalence, incidence and persistence of BPS. Many reviews reported that comparison of results was limited due to heterogeneity of the included studies.

Gaps

Figure 3 gives an overview of the number of reviews that studied each BPS by the topic of the review. Most of the included reviews focused on individuals with dementia or cognitive impairment studied depression or psychotic symptoms, and they most often studied the prevalence of symptoms. Of the reviews of older populations, almost all studied depressive symptoms and some studied sleep problems or anxiety, whereas the other BPS were not investigated.

Discussion

Summary of findings

- **Prevalence.** BPS occur in non-demented, cognitively impaired and demented populations, but there are large variations in reported prevalence estimates.
- **Biological associations.** Biological factors associated with BPS include health status, homocysteine levels and vascular disease.
- **Risk factors.** Associations have been found with psychosocial environment and dementia severity. Results are inconsistent, especially for socio-demographic factors, and differ between symptoms.
- **Outcomes and care.** BPS have been associated with increased burden of care, decreased caregiver’s general health and increased caregiver depression scores, but
these conclusions come from a limited number of studies. Findings in relation to BPS and institutionalisation, quality of life and disease outcome are generally inconsistent.

**Limitations of reviews**

Over 97,000 articles have been published on BPS in recent years (up to July 2011). However, in total, only 36 systematic reviews were identified, published from...
1989 to 2011, covering 6 to 244 papers, with only 10 reviews, including a meta-analysis. These covered a wide area of research and included studies with large differences in population characteristics, recruitment and definition of BPS. Depressive symptoms were most widely reviewed. Other symptoms, such as apathy, irritability, wandering and elation, are typically ignored, especially in studies of the older population. Aggression, psychosis and wandering have been identified as the BPS that are most difficult to cope with by caregivers [61]. Not all areas of BPS research have yet been adequately reviewed. For example, no review has yet appeared on the neuropathology underpinning BPS, although there are several recent studies on this subject and many possible biological mechanisms may underlie BPS, such as Alzheimer’s disease pathology, lower neuronal counts, neurotransmitter changes, genetic risk factors and abnormal neuroendocrinology [62-64]. A high quality systematic review of the biological underpinnings of BPS would give direction to further research, particularly with regard to treatment development.

The quality of the included reviews as measured with the AMSTAR tool was generally low. All except one did not report if the research question and inclusion criteria were established before the conduct of the review, or they did not provide a research question and/or inclusion criteria. Many reviews did not have two independent researchers who selected the studies and extracted the data, or did not report this. None of the reviews reported the potential conflict of interest of the included studies. Furthermore, the inclusion of grey literature and if the search was supplemented by consulting current contents, reviews, textbooks, specialised registers or experts were rarely reported.

Better and more systematic reporting would make it easier to compare the characteristics, results and strengths and limitations of reviews, and the use of reporting checklists, such as PRISMA, is recommended [65]. More details on the populations covered by the review and the characteristics of included studies would be particularly helpful.

Recommendations for future research made by the reviews were variable, with many recommendations made only by one or two reviews. Only more general recommendations were made by several reviews. This has been previously reported by Clark et al., who examined 2,535 Cochrane reviews and found that the characterisation of the needs for future research was less than explicit [66]. In addition, it has been previously found that reviews tend to be too optimistic when drawing conclusions from their results [67,68]. It has been recommended that research gaps should be identified more systematically, rating the reasons of research gaps in terms of population, intervention, comparison, outcome and setting (PICOS), including insufficient information, biased information, inconsistency or not the right information [69], although this tool has been designed for reviews of intervention studies and may not be suitable for reviews of observational studies.

Limitations of original studies
The reviews have included individual research studies with a large degree of heterogeneity in study design (for example, definition and measurement of BPS, level of cognitive impairment, population characteristics and recruitment of participants), making cross study comparisons difficult. Many different instruments are used to measure BPS, including the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) [70], CERAD-BRSD [71] and the Behavioral Pathology in Alzheimer’s Disease scale (BEHAVE-AD) [72]. Symptom-specific instruments are also used, including the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) for depressive symptoms [73]. Some instruments use self-ratings (for example, GDS), while others are based on a caregiver interview (for example, NPI). Across instruments there is no consistency in the definition and severity of the symptoms.

Some studies focused on the general older population, while others selected only those with dementia or MCI. Even within impaired groups large differences exist in the measurement of cognitive function. Some study populations were divided by cognitive status (for example, normal, mild or other cognitive impairment and dementia) using diagnostic criteria applied independently of BPS. It may be that the phenotypes of BPS (for example, the causes of depression or agitation) have a different basis at different stages of cognitive impairment and, therefore, studies using different groups will have discrepant findings. Other features, such as the age and gender of participants, and the setting where they were recruited, also vary. If samples are not representative of the population (either that of older people in the community or people with dementia) conclusions may be difficult to generalise.

Limitations of our review of review
There is no Mesh or Emtree search term for BPS, so search terms for individual symptoms and text searches had to be combined. As many different terms are used to describe BPS, it is possible that we may have missed relevant reviews, though we have checked all reference lists of relevant papers. One author undertook data selection and extraction.

Many different definitions of BPS have been used in the literature. Here we used symptom definitions as used by the most commonly used instruments to measure BPS, including the NPI. However, symptom definitions may overlap and there was heterogeneity in symptom definitions that were used by the reviews. Depression is especially problematic. All of the reviews
included here that studied depression included studies investigating major depression as well as studies investigating depressive symptoms. In the original studies, decisions about depression can be made at recruitment, when applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria or during measurement at baseline and follow-up. In some studies, only people with or without depressive symptoms or major depression are recruited, or participants with major depression can be excluded from the study at the participant selection stage. At the data collection stage, the definition of depression can include both major depression and minor depression using a cut-off score (for example, a CES-D score higher than 16); it can include both depressive symptoms and major depression separately (for example, DSM definitions for major and minor depression or CES-D scores 16 to 20 and CES-D higher than 20), or either depressive symptoms only (for example, using the Neuropsychiatric Inventory to measure depressive symptoms in the context of BPSD) or major depression only (for example, DSM definition for major depression).

By reviewing reviews and not the original studies, it is likely that noise has been introduced. Furthermore, with the diverse and generally qualitative outcomes of the majority of reviews, we were unable to combine results quantitatively and, therefore, a meta-meta-analysis was not possible.

**Recommendations for future research**

**Recommendations for future reviews on BPS:**

- More reviews on BPS are needed, for example, on neuropathological associations
- Improve the quality of reporting of reviews
- Choose reviews that include all BPS not just symptoms of depression/psychosis
- Clearer reporting, using a checklist tool, for example, PRISMA
- Clearer and more specific recommendations for future research, for example, PICOS if applicable

**Recommendations for original research on BPS:**

- Prospective longitudinal studies
- Large sample size
- Standardised instruments for BPS
- Wide age range, including oldest old
- Improved comparability of results; report study characteristics clearly

**Conclusions**

No clear conclusions could be made on the prevalence, biology, risk factors and outcomes of BPS. However, by pulling together this wide variety of research, we have been able to give an overview of the recommendations, limitations and gaps of current research in BPS that may inform future research. More high quality reviews including all BPS, not just depressive symptoms, are needed. Future original research should include longitudinal studies with larger sample sizes to further assess the complex relations between BPS, cognitive function and psychological, social and biological factors. One of the main questions raised by the reviews is how best to define and measure BPS within and across populations (that is, different levels of cognitive function, population vs. clinical based). A wider use of the most frequently cited instruments to measure BPS, such as the NPI, would improve comparability. Studies should report clearly the characteristics of their population, the inclusion and exclusion criteria that were used and how they defined BPS, particularly depression. A better understanding of BPS, including their definition, evaluation, underlying mechanisms, risk factors, prevalence and progression will have important implications for prevention and treatment. This has the potential to significantly improve quality of life in people with dementia and their carers as well has having other positive impacts, for example, on economics, institutionalisation and worsening of both cognitive and non-cognitive symptoms.

**Additional material**

**Additional file 1: Search terms (Embase and Medline, 29 March 2012)** An overview of the search terms that were used.
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