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Summary

Transporters move hydrophilic substrates across hydrophobic biological membranes and play key roles in plant nutrition, metabolism, signaling, and consequently in plant growth, development, and responses to the environment. To initiate and support systematic characterization of transporters in the model legume, *Medicago truncatula*, we identified 3,830 transporters and classified 2,673 of these into 113 families and 146 sub-families. Analysis of gene expression data for 2,611 of these transporters identified 129 that are expressed in an organ-specific manner, including 50 that are nodule-specific and 36 specific to mycorrhizal roots. Further analysis uncovered 196 transporters that are induced at least five fold during nodule development and 44 in roots during arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) symbiosis. Amongst the nodule- and mycorrhizal-induced transporter genes are many candidates for known transport activities in these beneficial symbioses. The data presented here are a unique resource for selection and functional characterization of legume transporters.
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INTRODUCTION

Transporters are membrane-spanning proteins that selectively transport hydrophilic solutes across hydrophobic membranes. They are present and required in all cellular membranes, including the cell or plasma membrane that separates cellular contents from the external environment and membranes of the various sub-cellular organelles. By transporting metabolites and non-metabolites, such as inorganic ions, transporters play integral roles in cell metabolism, ion homeostasis, osmoregulation, signaling, and other processes. Transporters move solutes not only within cells, but also between cells, tissues, and organs of complex, multicellular organisms such as higher plants. Therefore, they help to coordinate metabolic, physiological, and developmental processes in higher plants and other organisms.

Transporter proteins/complexes contain multiple membrane-spanning domains that form an aqueous pore in the membrane, which enables movement of selected solutes from one side of the membrane to the other. Membrane-spanning domains are hydrophobic in nature, or at least partially so, which enables them to interact with the phospholipid bilayer of membranes. Many transporters contain hydrophobic α-helical segments that span the membrane, while others contain β-barrel transmembrane domains. Computer programs have been developed to identify putative membrane-spanning α-helices (Hoffman and Stoffel, 1993; Hirokawa et al., 1998) (Tusnady and Simon, 2001) and β-barrels (Koebnik et al., 2000; Valavanis et al., 2006), which facilitate de novo prediction of putative membrane proteins, including transporters. Databases of known, characterized transport proteins aid identification and classification of transporters in new species, via sequence similarity. Perhaps the most comprehensive of these is the Transporter Classification Database (TCDB) (Saier et al., 2006), which was created to serve as a repository of functionally characterized transporters. It also serves to categorize new transporters into families and subfamilies based on molecular, evolutionary, and functional properties. Presently, it consists of ~3,000 transporters classified in more than 500 families (www.tcdb.org).
The legume family is second only to the grass family in importance to humans as a source of food, feed for livestock, and raw materials for industry (Graham and Vance, 2003). Legumes are the lynchpin of sustainable agriculture because they supply their own nitrogen by ‘fixing’ it (reducing N\(_2\) to NH\(_3\)) in a symbiotic association with bacteria called rhizobia. This mutually-beneficial association provides legumes and subsequent crops with a free and renewable source of usable nitrogen (Udvardi and Day, 1997). Legumes also establish symbiosis with mycorrhizal fungi that help the plant mine phosphorous and other nutrients from the soil (Smith and Read, 2008).

Symbiotic nitrogen fixation (SNF) in root nodule cells of legumes is carried out by rhizobia that are completely surrounded by a plant membrane called the symbiosome membrane (SM), which forms a nitrogen fixing organelle, the symbiosome, within the plant cytoplasm. Infected cortical cells of nodules contain thousands of symbiosomes, each containing one or a few bacteria. Infected plant cells, interspersed with non-infected cells constitute the central tissue of nodules, which is surrounded by uninfected tissue that restricts gas exchange with the soil, and phloem and xylem, which import and export nutrients from the nodule, respectively. In exchange for ammonium produced by bacterial nitrogenase and released to the plant, rhizobia receive reduced carbon (principally dicarboxylic acids such as malate) and every other nutrient required for bacterial cell growth and maintenance (Udvardi and Day, 1997). Exchange of nutrients between the plant cell cytoplasm and rhizobia is mediated by a variety of transporters in the SM, some of which are induced during nodule development (Benedito et al., 2008). Transporters perform many other important roles in nodules, such as short- and long-distance transport of nutrients between plant cells and tissues, and between the nodule and other organs, processes facilitated by proteins of the plant cell plasma membrane. On the other hand, transporters on the membranes of organelles such as mitochondria, plastids, and peroxisomes facilitate the movement of metabolites between cellular compartments, which is crucial for nodule metabolism and SNF.

In the arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) symbiosis, the fungal symbionts inhabit the root cortex where they obtain carbon from the plant and in exchange they deliver mineral nutrients, particularly P and N, to the root. Mineral nutrient transfer between symbionts occurs at a
specialized symbiotic interface between branched hyphae, called arbuscules, and the cortical cells that they inhabit (Parniske, 2008). The interface is delimited by a plant-derived membrane called the periarbuscular membrane (PAM), which is continuous with the plasma membrane but contains some unique proteins including novel Pi transporters (Harrison et al., 2002; Paszkowski et al., 2002). These transporters are required to transfer Pi that is released from the arbuscule, into the cortical cell. It is assumed, but not yet shown directly, that N, and possibly other mineral nutrients such as zinc, is also transferred between the symbionts at this membrane interface (Smith and Read, 2008). However, the transport proteins involved are currently unknown. Likewise transporters involved in carbon transfer to the fungal symbiont have not been identified. While it is expected that the periarbuscular membrane will contain additional transport activities, currently, only a handful of transporters residing in this membrane have been identified.

Although in-roads have been made in the characterization of individual transporters in a variety of legume species, no systematic work has been done to identify and characterize all the transporters in any one species. Three legume species, *Medicago truncatula*, *Glycine max.* (soybean), and *Lotus japonicus* have been the subject of extensive cDNA and genomic DNA sequencing over the past few years (Young et al., 2003; Young et al., 2005; Sato et al., 2007; Sato et al., 2008), making them interesting model systems for whole-genome analysis of transporters. The genome sequence of *Medicago truncatula* is being annotated by the International Medicago Genome Annotation Group (IMGAG), which described 38,335 genes in its version 2.0 of the genome sequence (http://www.medicago.org/genome/downloads/Mt2/). Additional resources relevant to Medicago functional genomics include the Medicago Gene Expression Atlas (http://bioinfo.noble.org/gene-atlas/v2), which provides developmental expression data for the majority of Medicago genes (Benedito et al., 2008) and a *Tnt1* transposon-insertion mutant population with insertions in the majority of genes, which enables efficient forward and reverse genetics (Tadege et al., 2005; Tadege et al., 2008). To facilitate systematic functional analysis of transporters in Medicago, and especially those involved in nitrogen-fixing (NF) and AM symbioses, we have identified and categorized 2,673 transporter
RESULTS

Identification of putative transporters

Initially, *Medicago truncatula* proteins predicted from genome sequence (IMGAG sequence release version 2.0) were analyzed for the presence of potential transmembrane domains (TMD) using three algorithms: HHM-TOP 2.0 (Tusnady and Simon, 2001); TMPred (Hoffman and Stoffel, 1993); and SOSUI (Hirokawa et al., 1998). HHM-TOP utilizes a machine-learning Hidden Markov Model (HMM) approach, whereas TMPred uses amino acid properties to identify hydrophobic stretches of amino acids that could interact with lipid membranes. SOSUI integrates multiple properties, including hydrophobicity, amphipathicity, amino acid charges, and sequence length to predict protein topology in the membrane.

Among the 38,335 IMGAG-annotated gene products (Figure 1A), 44% were predicted by TMPred to contain at least one TMD, while 32% and 21% were predicted to have one or more TMD by HHM-TOP and SOSUI, respectively. In total, 18,684 proteins were predicted to contain at least one TMD by one or more of the three programs. 7,438 proteins were predicted to contain two or more TMD by at least one program, of which 2,405 were identified by all three programs (Figure 1B). Additionally, all 38,335 IMGAG proteins were compared by sequence homology to proteins of the Transporter Classification Database (TCDB) (Saier et al., 2006). This approach was used to identify potential transporters not recognized by any of the TMD prediction algorithms, as well as to guide transporter classification. Among the IMGAG-predicted proteins, 2,039 (5.3%) showed significant similarity to a TCDB sequence. Of these, 1,114 proteins were also found to contain at least two (2+) TMD by at least two prediction programs (Figure 1C).

Since Medicago genome sequencing is not yet complete, we also analyzed Medicago EST data (*Medicago truncatula* Gene Index (MTGI) version 8.0,
http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/tgi/), using tBlastX. Among the 36,850 Tentative Consensus (TC) sequences and singletons in the EST database, 2,051 (5.6%) encoded proteins similar to TCDB transporters, of which 1,249 did not match transporters predicted from IMGAG (genomic) sequences (Table 1, Figure 1D). TMD prediction was avoided as a method to select putative transporters encoded by ESTs because many of these sequences are incomplete.

**Transporter classification**

Medicago proteins with similarity to TCDB proteins were classified into families and, when sufficient evidence was available, into subfamilies. Many transporter families have distinctive characteristics, such as membrane topology (number of TMD), presence of conserved domains, and approximate protein size. These features were considered during transporter classification and used to determine a level of confidence (from 1 to 5) for our classification of each transporter. All putative membrane protein sequences (with 2+ TMD or a match to TCDB proteins) were further analyzed with respect to predicted length, presence of conserved domains (Pfam and InterPro), Gene Ontology (GO) annotation, and predicted subcellular localization (Suppl. Table S1). Medicago proteins with significant similarity to TCDB transporters, original annotations indicative of transporter activity, or with conserved domains characteristic of transporters were collected for manual curation and classification into TCDB families. To avoid false positives resulting from ‘forced’ matches to proteins in TCDB, which contains only 3,000 transporters, Medicago sequences were also compared to more comprehensive sequence databases: the well-annotated Swiss-Prot database (Boeckmann et al., 2003) and the comprehensive (Viridiplantae) Non-Redundant NCBI GenBank (Benson et al., 2008). Annotation based on TCDB analysis and other protein characteristics was checked against annotations of homologous proteins in the Swiss-Prot and NR-NCBI databases. Confidence level 1 in our classification indicates that all features of a protein are consistent with its membership in a particular TCDB transporter family/sub-family, while level 2 indicates some divergence from expected features. Level 3 means functionality is doubtful due to lack of key expected features (such as protein size, TMD absence or an unexpected number, or dubious TCDB homologies) or that classification is loose due to conflicting or weak pieces of evidence. Level 4 indicates that
the putative membrane protein did not match any TCDB transporter, although there was some
evidence of transporter function such as a characteristic transporter domain, original annotation
from IMGAG or EST, or GO annotation. TCDB contains some proteins, such as heat shock
protein HSP70 family (TCDB 1.A.33) and group translocators, which transfer chemical groups
from one molecule to another (TCDB category 4), that do not fit our conception of a transporter
as a pore-forming membrane protein. We assigned such proteins level 5, although this does not
necessarily indicate lack of confidence in their classification.

2,039 proteins predicted from the genome sequence had homology to TCDB proteins
and an additional 543 proteins had features consistent with transporter function (such as the
presence of conserved transporter domains). Of these, 1,681 proteins were classified into
transporter families and subfamilies with confidence level from 1-3, 389 putative membrane
transporters with no significant TCDB hit were assigned level 4, and 514 were assigned level 5
(Table S1). Likewise, among the 2,051 EST-encoded proteins with homology to TCDB proteins,
1,759 sequences were classified into transporter families and subfamilies with confidences 1-3
(Tables 1-2). A total of 2673 proteins predicted from genomic and EST sequences were
classified into TCDB families and subfamilies. The largest Medicago transporter families are
listed in Table 3 and a complete list is given in Table S2.

Our analysis of transporters encoded by genomic DNA was based on IMGAG version
2.0 annotation of the genome, which discarded seven IMGAG version 1.0 gene models encoding
putative transporters represented by probesets on the Affymetrix Medicago GeneChip, including
a putative ammonium transporter gene known to be expressed during mycorrhizal symbiosis
(Gomez et al., 2009). Therefore, we included these seven putative transporter genes in our
subsequent analyses of gene expression.

Analysis of transporter gene expression

The Affymetrix Medicago GeneChip contains 50,900 *Medicago truncatula* probesets
corresponding to most of the gene transcripts in this species. A script was written in Perl to map
probesets to IMGAG version 2.0 gene sequences (see Materials and Methods). In this way,
Affymetrix probesets were assigned to 18,909 IMGAG-annotated genes and 21,284 ESTs (TCs and singlets) not represented by genomic sequence (Figure 1A). Of the 2,673 genes encoding TCDB-classified transporters, 2,604 were represented by probesets on the Affymetrix Medicago GeneChip (Table 2 and S3). Affymetrix probesets matched seven additional transporter genes predicted by IMGAG v.1 but not IMGAG v.2. We included these in our analyses and assigned them confidence level “X” to make them easy to identify in the tables.

Expression data for putative transporter genes were retrieved from the Medicago Gene Atlas (Benedito et al., 2008), including data from all major organ systems (Table S4), a nodule developmental series, and mycorrhizal roots (Table S5). Based on presence/absence calls (at least two present calls within three biological replicates) obtained from chip analysis, 94% of transporter genes were expressed in at least one organ, with each organ expressing about 60% of all transporter genes. Only 4.5% of transporter genes (129) were organ-specific (i.e. active in a single organ type), while 29% of genes (823) were expressed in more than one, but not all organ types. The majority of transporter genes (61%) were expressed in all organs analyzed, although at different levels (Table S4).

Transporter gene expression during root symbioses

Regulation of transporter gene expression during development of two different root symbioses was investigated: nitrogen-fixing and arbuscular mycorrhizal symbioses.

Gene expression data for developing and nitrogen-fixing root nodules were obtained from two sets of experiments, one in which plants were grown in solid substrate (turface) and one in which plants were grown aeroponically (Benedito et al. 2008). In the first set of experiments, mature, nitrogen-fixing nodules were harvested 28 days after inoculation with \textit{S. meliloti} and compared to non-inoculated, control roots of the same age. In the second set of experiments, plants were grown aeroponically and nodules were harvested at 4, 10, and 14 days after inoculation and compared to control, non-inoculated roots harvested immediately prior to inoculation of symbiotic plants. In both sets of experiments, three biological replicates were performed for both treated and control samples. Using a Bonferroni-corrected P-value cut-off of
1.14e-6 (Benedito et al., 2008) in pair-wise comparisons of nodules against control roots, 49-65% of transporter genes exhibited differential expression during nodule development. 196 genes showed >5 fold-increase in expression in nodules compared to root controls, with 25 genes showing >100 fold-change in expression (Table S5). Table 4 shows 37 genes that were induced >50-fold during nodule development. Figure 2 shows membrane transporters highly induced in, or specific to nodules.

Gene expression data for AM symbiosis were obtained from Medicago roots harvested 30 days after inoculation with Glomus intraradices and compared to data from non-inoculated control roots, with three biological replicates in both cases (Gomez et al., 2009). Changes in transporter gene expression during AM symbiosis were more subtle than during nodule development and NF symbiosis, as might be expected given the absence of new organ development during AM symbiosis. Nonetheless, 886 genes showed significantly altered expression during AM symbiosis, of which 44 genes were induced >5-fold compared to non-inoculated roots (Tables 5 and S5).

DISCUSSION

Genome-wide identification and classification of transporters is an important first-step in the systematic analysis of transporters in model organisms. Manual curation of information collected for all Medicago proteins, including predictions of the number of TMD and homology to transporters in the TCDB, resulted in the identification and classification of 2,673 distinct transporters. This represents 4.4% of all predicted proteins in Medicago and is in line with what has been found in other plant species. For example, Arabidopsis has 1,269 transporter genes (4.6% of all genes, Bock et al., 2006) while transporter genes account for approximately 5% of all rice genes (Amrutha et al., 2007).
Classification into a specific family/subfamily was given a confidence score from 1-3, based on whether or not additional information supported the results of TCDB analysis, as described in the results section. Proteins with two or more TMD that did not match proteins in the TCDB, but for which additional information pointed to possible transporter function were given a confidence score of 4. Such additional information included the presence of conserved domains typical of transporters or annotation of homologous proteins in more extensive databases (Plant NR-NCBI and Swiss-Prot). Automatization of the classification process is underway (Li et al., 2008, 2009). A total of 389 putative transporters received a score of 4. Medicago proteins with similarity to TCDB proteins that we consider not to be involved in transport of solutes across lipid bilayers were given a score of 5 and not subjected to gene expression analysis. There were 768 such proteins. All putative Medicago transporters predicted from genomic and non-redundant cDNA/EST sequences are linked to Affymetrix probesets listed in the Medicago Gene Atlas database (http://bioinfo.noble.org/gene-atlas), which includes further links to gene expression and analysis tools. This database will be updated following release of IMGAG version 3 annotation of the Medicago genome.

The 3,062 putative transporters assigned confidence scores from 1 to 4 were represented by 2,886 non-redundant probesets on the Affymetrix Medicago GeneChip. Therefore, we were able to query published gene expression data (Benedito et al., 2008; Gomez et al., 2009) for 94% of all predicted Medicago transporters. While the majority of transporter genes were expressed in two or more organs, approximately 4.5% (129) were expressed in an organ-specific (Table S4). Presumably, these play specialized roles in organ development, differentiation, and/or function, and they represent interesting targets for future functional analysis. However, because of our interest in beneficial plant-microbe interactions, we focused most of our attention on genes induced during nodule development and SNF or during AM symbiosis. 87% of all transporter genes were differentially-expressed during nodule development and SNF, of which 196 were induced more than 5-fold compared to non-inoculated roots, and 25 were induced >100-fold. (Table S5). 886 genes were differentially-expressed during AM symbiosis, of which 44 were induced more than 5-fold compared to non-inoculated roots. In the following
paragraphs, we discuss some of these genes in the context of what is known about transport and transporters in the two types of symbiosis.

**Transporters involved in N₂ fixation symbiosis**

A variety of complementary data (reviewed in Udvardi and Day, 1997) indicate that sucrose translocated into nodules from the shoot is converted to dicarboxylic acids, such as malate before being transported from the cytoplasm of infected cells to nitrogen fixing bacteroids. The high affinity, energy-dependent bacterial transporter, DctA is mainly responsible for dicarboxylate uptake by nitrogen-fixing bacteroids, and is indispensable for SNF (Ronson et al., 1981; Udvardi and Day, 1997). The plant counterpart of DctA on the SM is a lower affinity transporter that has been characterized biochemically (Udvardi et al., 1988), but not yet at the molecular level. However, the discovery of a dicarboxylate transporter, AgDCAT1 located on the SM in actinorhizal nodules of the non-legume *Alnus glutinosa* (Jeong et al., 2004) suggests that related H⁺-dependent Oligopeptide Transporter Family (POT/PTR, TC 2.A.17) members may transport dicarboxylates across the SM of legume nodules. Interestingly, two POT/PTR genes are strongly induced during nodule development in *Medicago truncatula* (Table 4, Figure 2a) and *Lotus japonicus* (Colebatch et al., 2004).

Ammonia produced by nitrogen-fixing bacteroids appears to be transported out across the bacteroid membranes by simple diffusion, before being transported across the SM as either NH₄⁺, via a cation channel that also transports K⁺ (Tyerman et al., 1995; Roberts and Tyerman, 2002; Obermeyer and Tyerman, 2005), or as NH₃ (Niemietz and Tyerman, 2000), possibly via aquaglyceroporins of the NIP (Nodulin-like Intrinsic Protein) family (TC 1.A.8.12). The archetype of the NIP family is soybean nodulin 26, a nodule-specific protein of the SM. In *Lotus japonicus*, nodule-induced LIMP1 (a Tonoplast Intrinsic Protein, TIP family member) and LIMP2 (possibly an ortholog of Nod26) have been characterized, although their roles during SNF remain unclear (Guenther and Roberts, 2000). Two homologs of these proteins are also expressed more or less specifically in Medicago nodules (Table 4, Figure 2b-c). The molecular identity of the SM NH₄⁺/ K⁺ channel is unknown. However, it is unlikely to be a member of the
AMT family of NH$_4^+$ transporters, which are relatively specific for NH$_4^+$, do not transport K$^+$, and seem to be located in the plasma membrane where they are likely to be involved in the recovery of ammonia lost from nodule cells by diffusion (Simon-Rosin et al., 2003; D’Apuzzo et al., 2004; Rogato et al., 2008). We identified nine AMT family (TC 1.A.11) members in Medicago, none of which were induced more than 5-fold during nodule development.

A range of inorganic nutrients, including phosphorus, sulfur, potassium, sodium, calcium, vanadium, iron, molybdenum, nickel and cobalt are required by rhizobia for multiplication and maintenance (Rosendahl et al., 1991), but little is known about how most of these nutrients are obtained from the plant. Although sulfate transport into symbiosomes has not been studied directly, map-based cloning of \textit{LjSST1} identified a nodule-induced sulfate transporter gene in \textit{L. japonicus} that is essential for nodule function (Krusell et al., 2005). Its reported location on the SM (Wienkoop and Saalbach, 2003) suggests that SST1 is essential for sulfur supply to the bacteroids. Thirteen homologs of \textit{LjSST1} in the SulP/SULTR family (TC2.A.53) were induced more than two-fold during nodule development in Medicago, and two were essentially nodule-specific (Table 4, Figure 2d-e). Some members of the SulP family transport substrates other than sulfate, including nitrate, bicarbonate, chloride, and molybdate (Tejada-Jimenez et al., 2007; Tomatsu et al., 2007) so it will be interesting to determine the substrates of the various nodule-induced SulP transporters in Medicago.

Lotus \textit{LjN70} and soybean \textit{GmN70}, two nodulins of the Nitrate/Nitrite Porter family (NPP, TC 2.A.1.8), were shown to be anion channels with ion selectivity similar to a soybean SM transporter characterized biochemically earlier (Udvardi et al., 1991; Vincill et al., 2005). Two homologs of these NPP transporters, together with 32 other members of the Major Facilitator Superfamily (MFS, TC 2.A.1), were induced during nodule development in Medicago (Table 4, Figure 2f-g).

Iron transport across the SM and bacteroid membranes of soybean nodules have been characterized biochemically (Moreau et al., 1995; LeVier et al., 1996; Moreau et al., 1998), and a nodule-induced divalent metal transporter, GmDMT1 capable of ferrous iron transport has been cloned and characterized (Kaiser et al., 2003). GmDMT1 was localized to the SM and also appears to transport zinc, copper and manganese, so it may play a role in supplying a variety of
metal ions to bacteroids (Kaiser et al., 2003). A homolog of GmDMT1 (NRAMP, TC 2.A.55 – not to be confused with the DMT superfamily, TC 2.A.7) is expressed in a nodule-specific manner in Medicago (Table 4). An unrelated, nodule-specific SM protein of soybean called GmZIP1 (TC 2.A.5), which transports zinc has also been characterized (Moreau et al., 2002). Inhibition of zinc transport into isolated symbiosomes by an antibody to GmZIP1 implicates the transporter in zinc supply to the bacteroids (Moreau et al., 2002). We identified 23 ZIP family members in Medicago, six of which were induced more than 2-fold during nodule development (Table S5).

Potassium is transported across the SM of soybean, broad bean, and Lotus (Tyerman et al., 1995; Roberts and Tyerman, 2002; Andreev et al., 2005) via the \( \text{NH}_4^+ / K^+ \) channel described above, and possibly others, but the identity of these proteins is unknown. A nodule-induced potassium transporter of the KUP family (TC 2.A.72), LjKUP1 from Lotus was cloned and characterized, but its location on the plasma membrane of plant cells suggests that it plays a role in plant rather than bacteroid potassium nutrition/homeostasis (Desbrosses et al., 2004). Of the 25 putative potassium transporters identified in Medicago, one gene (represented by two probesets) showed a massive induction during the onset of nitrogen fixation and in mature nodules (Table 4, Figure 2i).

Calcium uptake into symbiosomes has been documented for yellow lupin (Andreev et al., 1998) and broad bean (Andreev et al., 1999), driven by a \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-ATPase (TC 3.A.3.2) in the latter case, but the corresponding proteins remain to be discovered. Interestingly, the \( \text{NH}_4^+ / K^+ \) channel of the Lotus SM also appears to transport \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) (Roberts and Tyerman, 2002). Among the 15 \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-ATPases found in Medicago, one EST showed >150-fold change during late states of nodule development and nitrogen fixation (Table 4).

A P-type \( \text{H}^+ \)-ATPase (TC 3.A.3) and possibly other proton pumps energize the SM, which drives many secondary transport processes on this membrane (Udvardi and Day, 1989; Fedorova et al., 1999). However, the specific isoforms responsible remain to be identified. We found a nodule-specific P-type ATPase in Medicago, which is an interesting candidate for this activity (Table 4).
ATP for plant membrane energization and metabolism in nodule cells is provided by mitochondria, which undergo both morphological (Werner and Mörschel, 1978) and biochemical differentiation (Suganuma and Yamamoto, 1987) during nodule development. We found that several mitochondrial transporter genes are induced during Medicago nodule development, including a Mitochondrial Protein Translocase (MPT, TC 3.A.8; Table 4), which generally transports preproteins into mitochondria (Lister et al., 2007), and two Mitochondrial Carriers (MC, 2.A.29), which may transport TCA cycle intermediates like α-oxoglutarate to the cytoplasm for ammonium assimilation/ amino acid biosynthesis (Picault et al., 2002; Palmieri et al., 2008).

The ultimate source of energy and carbon skeletons for nodule metabolism and ammonium assimilation is sucrose, which is imported into nodules from photosynthetic organs (Vance et al., 1997; Gordon et al., 1999). LjSUT4 is a sucrose transporter in Lotus japonicus that is up-regulated during nodule development and presumably plays a role in sucrose uptake into nodule cells (Flemetakis et al., 2003). Three members of the sucrose/proton symporter subfamily (TC 2.A.2.4) of the Glycoside-Pentoside-Hexuronide:Cation Symporter family (GPH, TC 2.A.2) were identified in our analysis of Medicago transporters, but none of them were induced during nodulation.

Nodules of temperate legumes, such as Medicago, typically export fixed nitrogen to the root and shoot in the form of amides (glutamine and asparagine; Temple et al., 1998; Lodwig and Poole, 2003). Putative amino acid transporters from different families were identified in our analysis as strongly induced during nodule development (>5-fold change over control roots): 5 members of the Amino Acid-Polyamine-Organocation family (APC, TCDB 2.A.3); 17 Drug/Metabolite Transporters (DMT, 2.A.7); 12 Multidrug/Oligosaccharidyl-lipid/Polysaccharide Flippases (MOP/MATE, 2.A.66); 4 Oligopeptide Transporters (OPT, 2.A.67); and 1 Aromatic Acid Exporter (ArAE, 2.A.85) (Table S5), making them interesting targets for future work aimed at identifying transporters with key roles in amino acid export from nodules.

Nodule development is subject to hormonal regulation (Mabood et al., 2006; Prayitno et al., 2006; Murray et al., 2007; Ding et al., 2008; Oldroyd and Downie, 2008), which in the case
of auxin appears to involve changes in auxin transport (de Billy et al., 2001; Wasson et al., 2006). An auxin influx carrier, CgAUX1 (Amino Acid/Auxin Permease Family, AAAP, TC 2.A.18) was proposed to be active during actinorrhizal nodule formation in *Casuarina glauca* (Péret et al., 2007). We found one AAAP transporter that is essentially nodule-specific in *Medicago* (Table 4), although it is not the most similar in sequence to the CgAUX1.

Apart from interesting candidates for known transport functions in nodules, our analysis of *Medicago* transporter gene expression identified many nodule-induced or nodule-specific transporters that presumably carry out novel transport functions in nodules. Clearly, both sets of transporters warrant further work in future to characterize their biochemical and physiological roles in nodules.

**Transporters involved in mycorrhizal symbiosis**

The number of differentially induced transporters in mycorrhizal roots in comparison to control roots was much smaller than that observed during nodulation, with only 33 transporters showing > 5 fold-change induction in mycorrhizal roots. This was probably due to a dilution effect, since whole root systems were sampled, in contrast to nodule samples, which were excised from nodulated roots and compared to non-nodulated control roots. Nonetheless, some transporters showed a massive induction (>100 fold-change) in mycorrhizal roots. Statistical test revealed 658 transporters differentially expressed in mycorrhizal roots (Table S5).

One of the greatest benefits of mycorrhizal symbiosis for the plants is an increased phosphate uptake, mediated by the AM fungi, which deliver Pi directly to the root cortex. Plant Pi transporters responsible for acquiring Pi delivered by the fungus have been identified previously and MtPT4, a transporter belonging to the Phosphate: H+ Symporter Family (PHS, 2.A.1.9) is expressed specifically in mycorrhizal roots (Table 4; (Harrison et al., 2002; Javot et al., 2007; Pumplin and Harrison, 2009). We found one member of the Sulfate Permease family (SP, 2.A.53) up-regulated 4.5 fold in mycorrhizal roots, indicating a possible symbiotic role in mycorrhizal roots. Moreover, this sulfate permease is different from the ones up-regulated in nodules. It is important to emphasize that members of this family have also been implicated in
transport of other anions as well, such as molybdate (Fitzpatrick et al., 2008), bicarbonate and heavy metals. Likewise, the solute flippase (MOP/MATE family, 2.A.66), aforementioned as nodule induced, also showed induction (6-fold change) in mycorrhizal roots. Three members of the H⁺-dependent Oligopeptide Transporter (POT/PTR, 2.A.17), also within the MFS, were found to be induced in mycorrhizal roots. Although most members of this family transport peptides, some of them transport other substrates, such as nitrate and dicarboxylates and, therefore, their roles in mycorrhizal roots remain uncertain.

The high energetic requirement of AM symbiosis likely influences mitochondrial metabolism. Our data show two putative Mitochondrial Carriers (MC, 2.A.29), one of them induced more than 100 times in mycorrhizal roots, indicating a possible function in this symbiosis. Many members of this family are ATP/ADP carriers whereas others transport TCA-derived compounds. There was also high expression of a proton ATPase (3.A.3) (50-fold change) which polarizes the plasma membrane through proton extrusion at the expense of ATP hydrolysis. Interestingly, the same gene was also induced in nodules, although to a lesser extent. Proton ATPases induced in AM symbiosis have been reported previously (Rosewarne et al., 2007) including this particular gene, which was named Mtha1 (Krajinski et al., 2002). Mtha1 was shown to be expressed exclusively in cortical cells containing arbuscules, where it is assumed to maintain the proton gradient across the peri-arbuscular membrane (Krajinski et al., 2002). Ultimately, this provides the energy to drive proton-coupled symport activities such as that of MtPT4. A second gene classified in this family was found induced nine-fold in mycorrhizal roots (and not expressed in nodules) and may play a complementary role.

Many eukaryotic ATP-binding Cassette Transporters (ABC, 3.A.1) function as extruders of diverse solutes, including organic acids and secondary metabolites, at the expense of ATP. We identified four ABC transporters induced >20 times in mycorrhizal roots. This classification cannot predict whether these transporters import or export solutes, or the nature of their substrates, although the expression levels indicate an important function during AM symbiosis.

Nitrogen transfer from fungi to plants, potentially as ammonium, can occur in both endo- and ectomycorrhizal symbioses (Govindarajulu et al., 2005; Chalot et al., 2006). In poplar, among 14 ammonium transporters (AMT) identified in the genome, *PtrAMT1;2* was induced in
ectomycorrhizal roots, whereas no expression was detected in mock roots (Couturier et al., 2007). Its ortholog, PttAMT1;2 was also induced in ectomycorrhizal roots (Selle et al., 2005). However, among ten Medicago AMT genes, only one was found up-regulated more than 5-fold in mycorrhizal roots and this was recently shown to be expressed in cortical cells containing arbuscules (Gomez et al., 2009). LjAMT2;2 was recently identified in Lotus and found to be expressed exclusively in mycorrhizal roots (Guether et al., 2009).

Surprisingly, a Voltage-dependent Anion Selective Channel (VDAC, 1.B.8.1) was induced almost 10-fold in mycorrhizal roots. VDAC porins belong to the Mitochondrial and Plastid Porin Family (MPP, 1.B.8), and have been implicated in organellar Ca\(^{2+}\)-regulated homeostasis of ATP and other small molecules (Bathori et al., 2006). Additionally, a Transient Receptor Potential Ca\(^{2+}\) Channel (TRP-CC, 1.A.4) was induced >3-fold in mycorrhizal roots. The same gene was also induced (>20 fold) in nodules. So far, this TCDB family of channels and sensors does not include any plant proteins, and its members are mostly from animals, which resulted in low confidence scores for putative members of this family during manual curation. Many members of this family have an ankyrin-repeat domain, and consequently are classified in the Ankyrin Family (8.A.28). Functional analysis of these transporters would help better resolve this classification, as well as their symbiotic roles.

Plant defensins (PD, 1.C.45) are cysteine-rich polypeptides proposed to transport small molecules, such as ions, by forming channels in the membrane (Kagan et al., 1990). Isolated proteins presented antimicrobial properties (Thomma et al., 2002; Finkina et al., 2008) and in vivo they may regulate microsymbiont differentiation. We noticed four mycorrhizal-induced genes classified in this family, with transcription induction ranging from 14 to 145-fold. Whether they have a defensive function, or alternatively control development of the fungal symbiont remains to be determined. With four very similar proteins, the potential for functional redundancy is high and this makes analysis of their roles in symbiosis a challenge.

Three aquaporins (Major Intrinsic Proteins, MIP, 1.A.8) were induced in mycorrhizal roots (up to 30 times) relative to non-mycorrhizal controls. Interestingly, the most up-regulated aquaporin did not show differential expression during nodulation, indicating a specific role in
mycorrhizal symbiosis, whereas other members induced in nodules did not show variation in mycorrhizal roots.

Gomez et al. (2009) reported 49 Medicago EST-derived probesets on the Affymetrix GeneChip of probable fungal origin. The mycorrhizal root cDNA libraries have the potential to contain transcripts from both plant and AM fungal symbionts. Five of these were classified as putative transporters (supplemental tables indicate these) and were induced between 3 and 10-fold in mycorrhizal roots (Table S5). In our study, we found evidence for an additional fungal-derived transporter belonging to the Iron/Lead Transporter Superfamily (ILT, 9.A.10) that is induced 33-fold in mycorrhizal roots (Table 4).

Perspectives

Our search for transporters in Medicago uncovered and classified 2,673 putative transporter genes, many of which are induced during symbiosis with nitrogen-fixing rhizobia or AM fungi. Given the importance of these symbioses to plant nutrition and sustainable agriculture, it will be interesting to characterize the function of many of the symbiosis-induced transporters. Systematic analysis of transporters in Medicago will be aided not only by the results presented here, but also by the availability of Tnt1 insertion lines of Medicago (Tadege et al., 2008).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Transporter identification and sequence analyses

Predicted protein sequences of Medicago truncatula from IMGAG v.2 were retrieved (ftp://ftpmips.gsf.de/plants/medicago/MT_2_0/) and analysed for the presence of transmembrane domains (TMD) using two algorithms: HMMTOP 2.0 (Tusnady and Simon, 2001) and TMPred (Hoffman and Stoffel, 1993). The resulting putative TMD proteins were analyzed further using a
more conservative TMD identification algorithm, SOSUI (Hirokawa et al., 1998). In parallel, all IMGAG v.2 predicted proteins were analyzed for sequence similarity to transporters of the TC database (TCDB, http://www.tcdb.org/index.php), using BLASTP with an e-value cutoff $\leq e^{-3}$. All sequences with two or more predicted TMD or with significant similarity to TCDB proteins were selected for manual curation.

Expressed sequence tags (tentative consensuses and singlets) of the *Medicago truncatula* Gene Index v.8 (MTGI, http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/cgi-bin/tgi/gimain.pl?gudb=medicago) were retrieved and compared to TCDB proteins through tBLASTX with e-value $\leq e^{-3}$. Since most ESTs are not full-length cDNA sequences, TMD analysis, although performed, was not taken into account for selection. Sequences with significant similarity to TCDB proteins were selected for further analyses.

Medicago proteins predicted from genomic and cDNA (mostly EST) sequences were screened for conserved domains by Pfam (http://pfam.sanger.ac.uk/) and InterProScan (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/InterProScan/) with e-value $\leq e^{-3}$, and submitted to sequence similarity analysis against broader databases: the curated Swiss-Prot database (evalue $\leq e^{-3}$; http://expasy.org/sprot/) and the Viridiplantae subset of the comprehensive NR-NCBI GenBank (evalue $\leq e^{-3}$; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Gene Ontology classification was carried out through protein homology to sub-terms of GO: 0022857 (transmembrane transporter activity; http://www.geneontology.org/). Additionally, TMD proteins without TCDB homologs but with annotation (IMGAG, Medicago Gene Index, Gene Ontology, Swiss-Prot or NCBI) indicating transporter functions (such as transpor*, *porter, carrier, channel, translocase, permease, ATPase, extrusion and exchanger) were retrieved for further analyses.

**Curation and classification of transporters**

Selected sequences were analyzed with respect to the presence of TMD, predicted protein size, presence of typical conserved domains, annotation of best matches in comprehensive databases, and homology to classified TCDB transporters. Medicago proteins were classified according to TCDB transporter homology at the family, or subfamily level, and a confidence level was assigned to each categorization, according to a variety of evidence. Confidence level 1
indicates that all features of a protein are consistent with its membership in a particular TCDB transporter family/sub-family, while level 2 indicates some divergence from expected features. Level 3 means functionality is doubtful due to lack of key expected features (such as protein size, TMD absence or an unexpected number, or dubious TCDB homologies). Level 4 designates proteins with no TCDB homology but with some indications of transporter activity (such as conserved transporter domain, IMGAG annotation, transporter as best hit in broader databases). Level 5 indicates proteins classified into TCDB families that do not conform to our strict definition of a transporter, such as molecular chaperones and plasmodesmata proteins (potentially kinases). Transporter classification, confidence levels and additional features are provided in the Supplementary Table 1. The *Medicago truncatula* transporter classification resulting from this study has been incorporated into Medicago Gene Expression Atlas ([http://bioinfo.noble.org/gene-atlas/v2](http://bioinfo.noble.org/gene-atlas/v2)) (Benedito et al., 2008).

**Mapping of IMGAG v.2 predicted genes onto the Medicago Affymetrix GeneChip**

Affymetrix GeneChip Array (http://www.affymetrix.com) probesets comprise 11 perfect-match 25-mer antisense probes designed to hybridize to each gene transcript. Because the current Medicago GeneChip was designed, in part, on a previous version of IMGAG gene annotations, we reanalyzed probesets by mapping them onto the current IMGAG sequence release. Predicted coding sequences (CDS) derived from IMGAG v.2 were matched to probesets using ProbeMatch from the NetAffx package of Affymetrix ([https://www.affymetrix.com/analysis/netaffx/probematch/probe_match.affx](https://www.affymetrix.com/analysis/netaffx/probematch/probe_match.affx)). This software post-processes BLASTn results among probes and transcript sequences, and scores the alignments using the position mismatch penalty matrix \([1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 3 \ 3 \ 3 \ 3 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1]\), which corresponds to the 25 nucleotides of each probe on chip. Thus, the maximum score is 45 and a score of at least 43 implies two mismatches in the margin or one mismatch in the middle locations, but no mismatches in the central 5 positions. Each probeset on the Affymetrix Medicago GeneChip was designed to have 11 perfect-match probes (as well as 11 mismatched probes, disregarded in this analysis). We set a threshold of 8 out the 11 probes with a score of \(\geq 43\) as the minimum requirement to match a gene to a probeset. Probeset mapping information for all identified transporters is provided in Supplementary Table 2. The complete mapping (all
probesets on the Medicago GeneChip to all IMGAG v.2 genes and MTGI v.8 transcripts) can be downloaded at http://bioinfo.noble.org/gateway/index.php?option=com_wrapper&Itemid=65.

Expression analyses of identified Medicago transporters

The expression of IMGAG genes and MTGI transcripts mapped onto the Affymetrix GeneChip was analyzed for all organs of mature (4-week old) plants, during nodule development, and in mycorrhizal roots. Expression data were retrieved from the Medicago Gene Atlas version 1 (http://bioinfo.noble.org/gene-atlas/), normalized and analyzed according to Benedito et al. (2008). Probesets of the identified transporters are shown in Supplementary Tables 4 and 5. Statistical analyses for differential expression between control roots and nodules or mycorrhizal roots, and hierarchical cluster analyses were also carried out as described by Benedito et al. (2008).
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Table 1. Genomic analysis of *Medicago truncatula* membrane transporters.

|                          | IMGAG $^a$ v.2 | MTGI $^b$ v.8 | Total $^c$ |
|--------------------------|----------------|---------------|------------|
| number of sequences      | 38,335         | 36,850        | 60,823     |
| proteins with TMD $^d$   | 18,684         | -             | -          |
| proteins with TCDB homologues | 2,039       | 2,051         | 3,830      |
| classified transporters  | 1,681          | 1,759         | 2,673      |

$^a$ IMGAG: International Medicago Genome Annotation Group (http://www.medicago.org/genome/downloads/Mt2/);  
$^b$ MTGI: *Medicago truncatula* Gene Index (http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/tgi/).  
$^c$ non-redundant number of genes.  
$^d$ proteins with transmembrane domains identified by at least one algorithm.

Table 2. Membrane transporter classification according to TCDB classes and mapping onto the Affymetrix Medicago GeneChip.

| Transporter Class               | IMGAG v.2 | MTGI v.8 | Total $^a$ | probesets |
|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|------------|-----------|
| Class 1. Channels and pores     | 223       | 244      | 365        | 379       |
| Class 2. Secondary transporters | 755       | 756      | 1181       | 1132      |
| Class 3. Primary active transporters | 452   | 536      | 791        | 734       |
| Class 8. Accessory factors      | 35        | 20       | 47         | 41        |
| Class 9. Incompletely characterized | 213     | 203      | 289        | 318       |
| Total (confidence levels 1-3)   | 1,681     | 1,759    | 2,673      | 2,611     |
| Not classified (level 4) $^b$   | 389       | -        | 389        | 275       |
| Excluded from analysis (level 5) $^c$ | 514 | 292      | 768        | 715       |

$^a$ non-redundant number of genes.  
$^b$ Genes with no significant TCDB homology but with indications of transport function.  
$^c$ TCDB families that were not considered further or potentially false positives (see discussion).
| TCDB number | Family name (acronym)                                                                 | IMGAG genes | MTGI transcripts | non-redundant sequences | Affymetrix probes |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 1.A.1       | Voltage-gated Ion Channel (VIC) Superfamily                                         | 30          | 13              | 33                      | 23               |
| 1.A.4       | Transient Receptor Potential Ca2+ Channel (TRP-CC)                                   | 73          | 65              | 116                     | 113              |
| 1.A.8       | Major Intrinsic Protein (MIP)                                                        | 32          | 53              | 64                      | 72               |
| 1.A.20      | gp91phox Phagocyte NADPH Oxidase-associated Cytochrome b558 H+ channel (CytB)        | 15          | 34              | 34                      | 43               |
| 1.A.31      | Annexin (Annexin)                                                                    | 19          | 14              | 25                      | 22               |
| 1.C.45      | Plant Defensin (PD)                                                                  | 9           | 14              | 21                      | 20               |
| 2.A.1       | Major Facilitator Superfamily (MFS)                                                  | 110         | 116             | 175                     | 163              |
| 2.A.3       | Amino Acid-Polyamine-Organocation (APC)                                              | 8           | 27              | 29                      | 33               |
| 2.A.5       | Zinc (Zn2+)-Iron (Fe2+) Permease (ZIP)                                               | 11          | 15              | 19                      | 23               |
| 2.A.6       | Resistance-Nodulation-Cell Division (RND) Superfamily                                | 13          | 18              | 23                      | 27               |
| 2.A.7       | Drug/Metabolite Transporter (DMT) Superfamily                                        | 119         | 93              | 168                     | 159              |
| 2.A.17      | H+-dependent Oligopeptide Transporter (POT)                                          | 71          | 79              | 111                     | 101              |
| 2.A.18      | Amino Acid/Auxin Permease (AAAP)                                                     | 57          | 61              | 96                      | 87               |
| 2.A.19      | Ca2+-Cation Antiporter (CaCA)                                                        | 11          | 14              | 18                      | 26               |
| 2.A.29      | Mitochondrial Carrier (MC)                                                           | 91          | 86              | 134                     | 119              |
| 2.A.37      | Monovalent Cation-Proton Antiporter-2 (CPA2)                                         | 29          | 6               | 30                      | 29               |
| 2.A.40      | Nucleobase:Cation Symporter-2 (NCS2)                                                 | 10          | 14              | 19                      | 18               |
| 2.A.49      | Chloride Channel (CIC)                                                               | 26          | 14              | 32                      | 38               |
| 2.A.53      | Sulfate Permease (SulP)                                                              | 12          | 29              | 33                      | 34               |
| 2.A.66      | Multidrug/Oligosaccharidyl-lipid/Polysaccharide (MOP) Flippase Superfamily            | 53          | 48              | 73                      | 79               |
| 2.A.67      | Oligopeptide Transporter (OPT)                                                       | 23          | 17              | 30                      | 26               |
| 2.A.69      | Auxin Efflux Carrier (AEC)                                                           | 22          | 9               | 27                      | 23               |
| 2.A.72      | K+ Uptake Permease (KUP)                                                             | 15          | 22              | 30                      | 25               |
| 3.A.1       | ATP-binding Cassette (ABC) Superfamily                                              | 160         | 160             | 254                     | 219              |
| 3.A.2       | H+/Na+ -translocating F-, V- and A-type ATPase (F-ATPase) Superfamily                | 34          | 49              | 64                      | 77               |
| 3.A.3       | P-type ATPase (P-ATPase) Superfamily                                                | 37          | 71              | 85                      | 84               |
| 3.A.5       | General Secretory Pathway (Sec)                                                      | 63          | 82              | 108                     | 114              |
| 3.A.8       | Mitochondrial Protein Translocase (MPT)                                              | 11          | 26              | 30                      | 34               |
| 3.A.9       | Chloroplast Envelope Protein Translocase (CEPT or Tic-Toc)                          | 40          | 51              | 73                      | 75               |
| 3.A.16      | Endoplasmic Reticular Retrotranslocon (ER-RT)                                        | 72          | 67              | 109                     | 114              |

*The complete list is given in Table S2.*
Table 4. Membrane transporters induced in nodules in comparison to non-nodulating roots (>|50 FC|).

| probesets | family | cert | IMGAG locus | MTG1 | Nod0 | Nod4 | Nod10 | Nod14 | Root | max ratio |
|-----------|--------|------|-------------|------|------|------|-------|-------|------|-----------|
| Mtr.2246.1.S1_at | 1.A.8 | 1 | Major Intrinsic Protein (MIP) | AT1G22080 | 15 | 10 | 1831 | 1866 | 13 | 529 | 149 |
| Mtr.37525.1.S1_at | 1.A.8 | 1 | Major Intrinsic Protein (MIP) | AT1G22080 | 15 | 10 | 1831 | 1866 | 13 | 529 | 149 |
| Mtr.32104.1.S1_s_at | 1.A.20 | 3 | gp91phox Phagocyte NADPH Oxidase-associated Cytochrome b558 (Cytochrome P450) | AT1G22080 | 15 | 10 | 1831 | 1866 | 13 | 529 | 149 |
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| probesets       | family    | certainty | Superfamily/Family name                        | IMGAG locus | MTGI      | myc(b) | myc(c) | ratio |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|
| Mtr.27423.1.S1_at | 2.A.66    | 1         | Multidrug/Oligosaccharidyl-lipid/Polysaccharide (MOP) Flippase Superfamily | AW980583    | 20        | 280    | 423    | 651   | 20    | 1801  | 89   |
| Mtr.28858.1.S1_at | 2.A.66    | 1         | Multidrug/Oligosaccharidyl-lipid/Polysaccharide (MOP) Flippase Superfamily | AC165438_46.5 | 14        | 1586   | 219    | 575   | 16    | 1783  | 108  |
| Mtr.49406.1.S1_at | 2.A.66    | 1         | Multidrug/Oligosaccharidyl-lipid/Polysaccharide (MOP) Flippase Superfamily | AC144375_31.4 | 10        | 32     | 3064   | 2463  | 12    | 1947  | 303  |
| Mtr.49182.1.S1_x_at | 2.A.67   | 1         | Oligopeptide Transporter (OPT)                  |             |           |        |        |       |       |       |      |
| Mtr.3724.1.S1_at  | 3.A.2     | 1         | H+ or Na+ translocating F-, V- and A-type ATPase (F-, ATPase) Superfamily | BG583480    | 14        | 31     | 5652   | 4130  | 14    | 1608  | 403  |
| Mtr.42937.1.S1_s_at | 3.A.2     | 2         | H+ or Na+ translocating F-, V- and A-type ATPase (F-, ATPase) Superfamily | TC93945     | 17        | 40     | 7945   | 7575  | 20    | 8828  | 451  |
| Mtr.42017.1.S1_at  | 3.A.3     | 1         | P-type ATPase (P-ATPase) Superfamily            | TC110571    | 13        | 12     | 2003   | 1390  | 10    | 1427  | 152  |
| Mtr.40309.1.S1_s_at | 3.A.8     | 3         | Mitochondrial Protein Translocase (MPT)         | CT573353_23.4 | 14        | 7079   | 10753  | 11381 | 69    | 10337 | 803  |
| Mtr.9479.1.S1_at  | 9.B.24    | 3         | Testis-Enhanced Gene Transfer (TektG) (Not defined) |             |           |        |        |       |       |       |      |
| Mtr.636.1.S1_at   | unclass   | 4         | (Not defined)                                   | AC148995_45.5 | 15        | 13     | 741    | 470   | 11    | 546   | 51   |

a Data was retrieved from the Medicago Gene Expression Atlas (Benedito et al., 2008).
b Days after rhizobia inoculation (Nod0-Nod14 comprise a time-course of nodule development, numbers indicate days after inoculation of rhizobia).
c Control for the Nod28 sample (mature nodule belonging to the organ series).

Table 5. Membrane transporters induced in mycorrhizal roots in comparison to control roots (>10 FC)^a^
| Mtr.44070.1.S1_at | 3.A.1 | 1  | ATP-binding Cassette (ABC) Superfamily | AC202319_10.4 | TC96634 | 20 | 544 | 28 |
| Mtr.46524.1.S1_at | 3.A.1 | 1  | ATP-binding Cassette (ABC) Superfamily | AC152057_1.5  | 21 | 888 | 42 |
| Mtr.51195.1.S1_at | 3.A.1 | 1  | ATP-binding Cassette (ABC) Superfamily | AC126010_13.4 | 10 | 249 | 24 |
| Mtr.43470.1.S1_at | 3.A.3 | 1  | P-type ATPase (P-ATPase) Superfamily | TC95400       | 13 | 610 | 48 |
| Mtr.31910.1.S1_at | 3.A.16 | 2  | Endoplasmic Reticular Retrotranslocon (ER-RT) | AL385223 | 9  | 99  | 11 |
| Mtr.4771.1.S1_at  | 9.A.10 | 1  | Iron/Lead Transporter (ILT) Superfamily | AL382013      | 11 | 369 | 33 |
| Mtr.37110.1.S1_at | 9.A.12 | 1  | Copper Transporter (Citr) | TC97522     | 12 | 552 | 47 |

* Data was retrieved from Gomez et al. (2009) and is publicly available in the Medicago Gene Expression Atlas v. 2 (http://bioinfo.noble.org/gene-atlas/v2/).

* Expression level in control roots (myc-) and mycorrhizal roots (myc+) as mean values of three biological replicates of Affymetrix chip expression.

* Expression induction in root cells with arbuscules was confirmed by RT-PCR (Gomez et al., 2009).

* This transcript is probably derived from AM fungal RNA. cDNA libraries generated from mycorrhizal roots contain transcripts from both plant and fungal symbionts.
SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL:

Table S1. Classification of *Medicago truncatula* membrane transporters.

Table S2. Number of members of each Medicago membrane transporter family.

Table S3. Correspondence of Affymetrix Medicago Gene Chip membrane transporter probesets to IMGAG v.2 coding sequences and MTGI transcripts.

Table S4. Expression profile of each identified *Medicago truncatula* membrane transporters across vegetative and reproductive organs.

Table S5. Differential gene expression and statistical analyses of *Medicago truncatula* membrane transporters during nodule development and in mycorrhizal roots. Nod0-Nod14 comprise a time-course of nodule development, numbers indicate days after inoculation of rhizobia. The sample Root is a non-inoculated organ that serves as a control for the Nod28, a mature organ sample harvested along with the mature vegetative and reproductive organs (Benedito et al., 2008). Myc⁻ is a non-inoculated root control sample to the mycorrhizal root sample myc⁺ (Gomez et al., 2009).
Figure 1. Genomic analysis of *Medicago truncatula* membrane transporters. (A) Number of genome-predicted genes (IMGAG v2) and transcripts (MTGI), and overlap between databases. (B) Number of IMGAG-predicted proteins with at least two transmembrane domains (2+ TMD) according to different algorithms. (C) Overlap between the 2,039 IMGAG-predicted proteins with significant similarity (e-value < e-3) to TCDB members and the 4,161 proteins with 2+ TMD predicted by at least two topology algorithms. (D) Number of identified membrane transporters derived from IMGAG v. 2.0 or MTGI v. 8 databases.

*Note that the total number of MTGI sequences is higher than shown in Table 1 due to gene redundancy or multiple probeset mapping.*
Figure 2. Nodule-enhanced and nodule-specific membrane transporters in Medicago truncatula. Gene expression represents signal strength of Medicago GeneChip identifiers from the Medicago Gene Expression Atlas (Benedito et al., 2008) and Gomez et al. (2009). Samples for the mature organ series were taken from non-inoculated 28-day-old plants (except nodules) growing at optimal conditions (Benedito et al., 2008). For nodule samples (Nod), numbers indicate days post-inoculation with rhizobia. Myc indicate root samples with (+) or without (-) mycorrhizal association. Bars indicate standard error of three biological replicates. Affymetrix probesets and their respective Transporter Classification (TC; Saier et al., 2006) numbers and family acronyms are shown for each transcript. (A) Member of the H+-dependent Oligopeptide Transporter Family (POT/PTR). (B) and (C) Members of the Major Intrinsic Protein family (MIP, aquaporins). (D) and (E) members of the Sulfate Permease Family (SulP/SULTR). (F) and (G) Members of the Nitrate/Nitrite Porter family (NNP), which belong to the Major Facilitator Superfamily (MFS). (H) Member of the Drug/Metabolite Transporter Superfamily. (I) A member of the K+ Uptake Permease (KUP) family, homolog to LjKUP1 from Lotus japonicus.