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Abstract

Purpose – This paper aims to explore the relevant literature available regarding the use of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) as a mode of treatment for obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD); to evaluate the evidence to support the use of rTMS as a treatment option for OCD.

Design/methodology/approach – The authors electronically conducted data search in five research databases (MEDLINE, CINAHL, Psych INFO, SCOPUS and EMBASE) using all identified keywords and index terms across all the databases to identify empirical studies and randomized controlled trials. The authors included articles published with randomized control designs, which aimed at the treatment of OCD with rTMS. Only full-text published articles written in English were reviewed. Review articles on treatment for conditions other than OCD were excluded. The Covidence software was used to manage and streamline the review.

Findings – Despite the inconsistencies in the published literature, the application of rTMS over the supplementary motor area and the orbitofrontal cortex has proven to be promising in efficacy and tolerability compared with other target regions such as the prefrontal cortex for the treatment of OCD. Despite the diversity in terms of the outcomes and clinical variability of the studies under review, rTMS appears to be a promising treatment intervention for OCD.

Research limitations/implications – The authors of this scoping review acknowledge several limitations. First, the search strategy considered only studies published in English and the results are up to date as of the last day of the electronic data search of December 10, 2020. Though every effort was made to identify all relevant studies for the purposes of this review per the eligibility criteria, the authors still may have missed some relevant studies, especially those published in other languages.

Originality/value – This review brought to bare the varying literature on the application of rTMS and what is considered gaps in the knowledge in this area in an attempt to evaluate and provide information on the potential therapeutic effects of rTMS for OCD.
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Introduction

Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) is a non-invasive neuromodulatory intervention, which affects neural activity through rapidly alternating magnetic fields. The stimulation operates through Faraday’s law of electromagnetic induction, where the rapidly alternating electric current in the stimulating coil placed over the scalp generates a magnetic field that moves across the skull and produces electric currents in the neural tissue beneath (Wagner et al., 2009). This magnetic field has the capacity to penetrate the bone of the skull to stimulate cortical activity. Pulses can be delivered in a repeated manner to induce long-term changes in neural activity (Dhaliwal et al., 2015) as an increase or a decrease in cortical excitability through relatively high (>5 Hz) or low frequency (1 Hz) stimulation (Rossi et al., 2009; Wassermann et al., 1996). Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) is very flexible and, depending on the site and frequency, it can inhibit or induce local and remote brain activity (Liu et al., 2007). Typical rTMS comprises a train of repetitive pulses with similar stimulus intervals (Dhaliwal et al., 2015; Sandrini et al., 2011).

Barker (1985) originally introduced TMS as a safe, and painless non-invasive means of applying focal brain stimulation,
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to stimulate the motor cortex and to assess human central motor pathways (Barker et al., 1985). rTMS has become an integral research tool in psychiatric treatment as method to exert explicit effects on a range of measures of brain function (Hallett, 2000; Rossini and Rossi, 2007). rTMS has been evaluated quite extensively as a therapeutic tool for several psychiatric disorders and is accepted as a brain-system-based, neuromodulation treatment for impacting direct targets involved in the neural circuitry of these disorders (Nahas et al., 2001).

A previous review of rTMS studies identified limitations in earlier clinical trials and recommended further research (Daskalakis et al., 2008). Results of more recent studies report improved rTMS outcomes through higher or accelerated dosing regimens (Hadley et al., 2011; Holtzheimer et al., 2010), extended treatment durations (McDonald et al., 2011), patient centered stimulation frequencies (Speer et al., 2009) and bilateral stimulation (Blumberger et al., 2012). Further, they define more accurate and advanced neuro-navigational technologies (Fitzgerald et al., 2009) and more precise techniques for detecting the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) (Herbsman et al., 2009) with newer coil geometries (Levkovitz et al., 2007). With these advancements, new rTMS studies have reported higher scores in remission and response, ranging from 30%–35% and 40%–55%, respectively (Holtzheimer et al., 2010; Galletly et al., 2012; Levkovitz et al., 2009).

Generally, rTMS treatments are comparatively simple and relatively easy to administer, are non-invasive and are typically well-tolerated by patients (Pink et al., 2021). A major benefit of rTMS is its relative safety being devoid of any major adverse side-effects (Machii et al., 2006). It is a highly cost-effective alternative to other more expensive treatment methods such as electroconvulsive therapy (Coles et al., 2018). The most frequent negative effect noticed by patients is temporary pain in the scalp, although with a moderate increase in the intensity of rTMS, it should be normalized (Perera et al., 2016). Vasovagal syncope may also manifest at the initial stages of the treatment and caution is taken to not avoid having the patient stand up, in addition, earplugs can help reduce the clicking sound experienced during rTMS administration (Tringali et al., 2012). rTMS was approved in Canada in 2002 and in the USA in 2008 (Kennedy et al., 2009; Höflich et al., 1993). In 2015, it was also approved by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence for treatment-resistant depression in the UK (Fregni et al., 2005; Hara et al., 2016).

The large literature on superficial brain stimulation for mental disorders is based on rTMS for major depressive disorder (Cristancho et al., 2013a). Based on its versatility and efficacy, rTMS use has now been investigated in other psychiatric conditions including bipolar disorders, psychotic disorders, anxiety disorders, obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) and post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Cristancho et al., 2013b). Evidence-based guidelines for the therapeutic use of rTMS (Lefaucheur et al., 2014) drew attention to the analgesic effect of high frequency (HF) rTMS of the motor cortex and the antidepressant effect of HF rTMS of the DLPFC. Similar encouraging outcomes have been reported for neuropsychiatric conditions such as schizophrenia and motor stroke. It has also been revealed that rTMS is capable of regulating cortical plasticity and brain network movements. The outcome depends on the selected cortical section and the different stimulating parameters such as the frequency, design and the potency of stimulations (Lefaucheur, 2008; Lefaucheur, 2012). Many studies including a meta-analysis confirm the antidepressant effects of rTMS of the DLPFC (Burt et al., 2002; Couturier, 2005), but there seems to be conflicting outcomes in relation to anxiety disorders (Herwig et al., 2007; O’Reardon et al., 2007).

Although antidepressants or psychotherapy help the symptoms of patients with OCD, this condition can be very debilitating and presents with a greater degree of non-response to conventional treatments (Ressler and Mayberg, 2007). Despite the wide use of rTMS for the management of mental disorders and the continuous interest in research for newer treatments for OCD, the therapeutic use of rTMS is still focused in the domain of depression (Schoenfeldt-Lecuona et al., 2010), and much less is known and evaluated for its use in the management of OCD.

In view of the above considerations, the clinical effectiveness of rTMS should be assessed in relation to its potential to provide OCD patients with safe, and lasting improvement in quality of life (Machado et al., 2012; Grant and Booth, 2009). This scoping review aims to identify what we know and to consider gaps in our knowledge in this area in an attempt to evaluate and provide information on the potential therapeutic effects of rTMS for OCD.

Methods

We developed an operationalized search strategy, which was applied to an electronically conducted data search in five research databases (MEDLINE, CINAHL, Psych INFO, SCOPUS and EMBASE) using relevant keywords and index terms across all the databases to identify empirical studies and randomized controlled trials (RCTs).

Key terms included: rTMS, OCD, Post-traumatic stress disorder, Bipolar disorders and Treatment. This was a larger search strategy involving results for the use of rTMS for the treatment of three major mental disorders (OCD, PTSD and Bipolar Disorders). This paper reports only on and discusses the results specifically for OCD. Table 1 shows a sample of the search strategy, for Medline.

Two independent reviewers (Medard Adu and Ejemai Eboreime) conducted the title and abstract screening, as well as the full text screening and came out with relevant articles that conformed to the objectives of the scoping review. Thematic classifications were done by the first reviewer (MA), with decisions analyzed by the second reviewer (EE). Where conflicts in classification arose, the articles in question were scrutinized and consensus was reached between the two reviewers.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria included studies involving a completed RCT of rTMS as a treatment intervention for OCD. Open label trials on OCD using rTMS as a treatment intervention were also included. The review only covered full text articles and studies published in English. Studies involving rTMS as a form of treatment for PTSD, Bipolar disorders, OCD with comorbidities or studies involving any other conditions other than OCD, as well as those examining rTMS as a combined therapy with pharmacotherapy or any other interventions were

**Table 1**
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| Inclusion criteria | Exclusion criteria |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| rTMS as a treatment intervention for OCD | Open label trials on OCD using rTMS as a treatment intervention |
| Studies involving rTMS as a form of treatment for PTSD, Bipolar disorders, OCD with comorbidities or studies involving any other conditions other than OCD | |
excluded. Systematic reviews, meta-analysis and study protocols and experiments with rTMS that were not designed for treatment for OCD were not included.

Results

Through the search strategy and the use of the Covidence software, we identified a total of 2,373 studies from the electronic databases searched. The Covidence software automatically screened and removed 872 studies as duplicates. The remaining items (1,501) were screened against the eligibility criteria set by the authors based on the title and abstract only, yielding 182 remaining records for full text screening. In total, 154 studies were excluded in the full text screening phase, leaving a final pool of 28 studies that were eligible for inclusion in this scoping review (Figure 1).

Many of the studies examined rTMS as a stand-alone treatment intervention for OCD with most of them comparing the use and efficacy of rTMS to sham treatment. Relevant and detailed methodological information was extracted and summarized from the various studies and presented in Table 2.

We examined the geographical distribution of studies conducted on rTMS treatment for OCD globally, as presented in Figure 2. Out of the total of 28 studies included in our review, 12 (43%) were conducted in Asia, North America and South America had 4 (14%) and 2 (7%) studies, respectively, Europe had 5 (18%), Africa had 3 (11%) and Australia had 2 (7%) studies. This indicates that research on rTMS in OCD is being conducted across all continents, but the quantity and scope vary widely across geographical jurisdictions. Table 2 summarizes the main findings for these included studies.

Study designs vary widely, including 18 RCTs, 4 open-label trials, 4 retrospective analysis, 1 brief report and 1 case report. All these studies sought to evaluate the efficacy and effectiveness of rTMS for the treatment of OCD. Sample sizes ranged from 10 to 100 subjects across included studies with a mean sample size of 31.68. The studies were heterogeneous in terms of features of clinical variability such as the severity of OCD symptoms, duration of sickness and rate of resistance to pharmacotherapy. Location of rTMS stimulation, varied among studies, as did treatment duration and stimulus intensity. Of the 28 studies included, 19 used 70 mm figure-of-eight shaped coils because of their ability to induce more focal current compared to circular coils. The remaining studies

Table 1 Medline search strategy

| # | Search strategy                                                                 | Results |
|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| 1 | exp ‘stress disorders, post-traumatic/ or (PTSD or (posttraumatic or post traumatic or combat or war or trauma*) adj [stress* or neurosis or neurones or nightmare*]) or [(traumatic or acute) adj (stress disorder* or stress symptom*)] or shell shock* or shellshock*].mp | 46,596  |
| 2 | exp obsessive-compulsive disorder/ or bipolar disorder/ | 54,776  |
| 3 | (Bipolar or bi-polar or manic-depress* or mania or obsessive-compulsive disorder* or OCD).mp | 102,961 |
| 4 | 1 or 2 or 3                                                                    | 147,991 |
| 5 | Transcranial magnetic stimulation/                                               | 11,653  |
| 6 | (repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation or rTMS).                          | 5,423   |
| 7 | 5 or 6                                                                         | 13,372  |
| 8 | 4 and 7                                                                        | 492     |

Figure 1 Prisma flow diagram summarizing search process and results
### Table 2: Summary of studies using rTMS for the treatment of OCD

| Author (year) | Country of origin | Study design | No. of participants | Targeted brain region | Targeted symptom | Measurement | Duration of treatment | Coil/ parameters/ stimulation method | Outcome/significant improvements | Assessment and follow-up | Conclusion | Side effects |
|---------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|-------------|
| Sachdev et al. (2007) | Australia | Double-blind, randomized, sham controlled followed by open-label phase | 18 adults | Left DLPFC | Obsessive symptoms | YBOCS, MADRS, BDI, STAI | Two weeks | Focal, 8-shaped 70-mm coil, 30 trains of 5 s each, at 10 Hz and 110% MT, with 25 s inter-train intervals (1,500 stimuli per session) | This study did not support the efficacy of high frequency left DLPFC rTMS given over two weeks in OCD, as there was no improvement in obsession scores. | Weekly throughout the study and after one and six months of the last treatment | Two weeks of rTMS over the left DLPFC is ineffective for treatment-resistant OCD | Transient headache, localized scalp pain |
| Kang et al. (2009) | Republic of Korea | A double-blind sham-controlled investigation | 21 patients | Right DLPFC | Obsessive-compulsive symptoms | YBOCS, MADRS | 10 days | Focal, 8-shaped 70-mm coil, daily sessions for the first 2 weeks, At 1 Hz and (100% and 110%) RMT, at 10 min (1,200 stim per day) | The study did not show any clinically meaningful efficiency of sequentially applied low-frequency rTMS over a right DLPFC and SMA of patients with OCD | At baseline, after two weeks of TMS and at the 2-week follow-up | The study did not show any clinically meaningful efficiency of sequentially applied low-frequency rTMS over a right DLPFC and SMA of patients with OCD | Transient headache, localized scalp pain |
| Mantovani et al. (2010) | USA | This trial consisted of two phases, namely, 4-wk double blind and 4-wk open-label | 21 Patients with 8 women | Coil was positioned over pre-SMA | Obsessive compulsive symptoms | HAMD-24, YBOCS, CGI-S, BDI-II, HAMA-14 | 4-wk double-blind and 4-wk open-label | A vacuum-cooled 70-mm figure-of-eight coil. Stimulation of 1 Hz, 20-min train at 100% MT, once a day, 5 days per week | There was an average of 25% reduction in the YBOCS compared to a 12% reduction in those receiving sham. For 4 wk. and for the 8 wks. 28.2 ± 5.8 to 14.5 ± 3.6 | There was an average of 25% reduction in the YBOCS compared to a 12% reduction in those receiving sham, for the 4 wks. and for the 8 wks. 28.2 ± 5.8 to 14.5 ± 3.6 | nil |
| Sachdev et al. (2011) | Australia | Single-blind, randomized, non sham controlled | 12 patients | Right DLPFC and 1 DLPFC | Obsessive-compulsive symptoms | YBOCS, MADRS, BDI, STAI-I | Two weeks | Active RDP1C0, 10 s, 1 Hz, 10% MT, 15 min, 30 trains, 5 s on, 5 s off, b.g. coil | Global reduction in Y-BOCS score, 40% from baseline to wk. 2 and wk. 6 | At baseline, after two weeks, and at six weeks | Significant improvement in relieving OC symptoms, reducing clinical severity or improving treatment response, for both DLPFC and LDPFC | Nil |
| Gomes et al. (2012) | Brazil | Randomized double-blind trial | 22 right-handed outpatients (women: 13; men: 9), age 18 to 60 years | Coil positioned over pre-SMA | To assess the efficacy of low-frequency rTMS to the SMA in treatment-resistant OCD and further examine the duration of a significant clinical effect | HAMD YBOCS | Two weeks | Active LDPFC10 sessions, RDP1C0, 1 kHz, 10% MT, 15 min, 30 trains, 5 s on, 25 s off, b.g. coil at 100% MT, one day, 5 days per week | No significant reduction in Y-BOCS for baseline but at 2 wks, there was a significant reduction for the active group. No significant difference between groups for anxiety and depression symptoms | At baseline, after treatment and 14 weeks after the end of TMS treatment | No significant reduction in Y-BOCS for baseline but at 2 wks, there was a significant reduction for the active group. No significant difference between groups for anxiety and depression symptoms | Mild headache, scalp discomfort, cervical pain |
| Ma et al. (2014) | China | Double blind sham-controlled study | 46 patients completed after 2 treatments; 9 inpatients and 37 outpatients. Aged between 18 and 60 | Bilateral DLPFC | Obsessive, depressive and anxiety symptoms in OCD patients | HAMD YBOCS, HRSD, CGI | Two weeks | A 9-cm circular coil, 80% MT. Daily for 5 sessions a wk. for 2 wk. with 20 min, each min included 4 s of active stimulation and 56 s of rest | The result showed that there were changes in scores of YBOCS, HRSD and HAMA over time following both a-TMS and sham treatments | Baseline, after the 5th and 10th sessions of treatment and 1 wk. after completing the entire treatment and 2 weeks | nEEG-guided TMS may be an effective treatment for OCD and related anxiety | Mild headache |
| Nauzuciute et al. (2014) | France | A randomized, double-blind, crossover design | 19 patients | Right orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) | Reduction in obsessive-compulsive symptoms, as measured on the Y-BOCS | YBOCS, MADRS, CGI | Two per day for one week | DB-80 butterfly double-cone coil with 120% MT, 1 Hz, 1,200 pulses per session over the right OFC, 10 sessions, two per day over one week | At day 7, a significant decrease in Y-BOCS score was observed compared with baseline, at day 35, no difference was observed in this decrease from the Y-BOCS baseline | Assessments were performed before and after each sequence, as well as | Results of this preliminary study suggest that the OFC is a possible neuroanatomical target for OCD treatment, especially rTMS | Nil |
| Author(s)  | Country of origin | Study design  | No. of participants | Targeted brain region            | Targeted symptom                                      | Measurement  | Duration of treatment | Coil / rTMS parameters / stimulation method | Outcome/significant improvements | Assessment and follow-up | Conclusion | Side effects |
|-----------|------------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|-------------|
| Donse et al. (2017) | The Netherlands | An open-label design | 22 patients | Bilateral SMA and right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DL-PFC) | Role of sleep disturbances in OCD and its predictive value for rTMS treatment nonresponse | Y-BOCS, BDI, PSQI | 10 sessions | Using a figure-eight coil with a frequency of 1 Hz, 1,000 pulses per session, 110% MT.10 sessions over the SMA | Reduction in the mean YBOCS scores after completion of 20 sessions of rTMS from baseline, whereas no further significant change in YBOCS scores after one month after completion of rTMS treatment | Baseline and after the 10 sessions | There is a role of applying LF-rTMS over LF-OFC as an augmentation strategy in ameliorating clinical symptoms among patients with medication-refractory OCD | Headache, scalp discomfort, headache |
| Lee et al. (2017) | Republic of Korea | An open-label pilot study | 9 adults aged 18 or older | SMA | Obsessive and compulsive symptoms of OCD | BAI Y-BOCS, BDI, CGI-Gl, SCL-90-R | Five days a week for four weeks | 70 mm, 8 shaped coil.1 Hz, 20 in train (1,200 stimuli/day) at 90–100% RMT, once a day, 5 days a week, for 4 weeks, in 20 sessions | Symptoms in treatment-resistant OCD patients significantly decreased after 20 sessions of 1 Hz rTMS over the SMA | Baseline, after two weeks and after four weeks of rTMS treatment | This study suggests that 1 Hz rTMS over the SMA can be an efficient and safe add-on therapeutic method in treatment-resistant patients with OCD | Mild headache and mild dizziness |
| Kumar et al. (2018) | India | A retrospective open study | 25 patients | LF-rTMS over left-OFC | Symptoms of OCD, factors affecting response to rTMS | Y-BOCS | Four weeks | 1–Hz at 110% TM 5-s train duration, intertrain interval of 10 s and 240 trains per session, 20 sessions 5 days per wk. for 4 weeks | Significant reduction in the mean YBOCS scores after completion of 20 sessions of rTMS from baseline, whereas no further significant change in YBOCS scores after one month after completion of rTMS treatment | Baseline and one month after the treatment | There is a role of applying LF-rTMS over LF-OFC as an augmentation strategy in ameliorating clinical symptoms among patients with medication-refractory OCD | Localized scalp discomfort, headache |
| Arumugham et al. (2018) | India | A randomized controlled trial | 40 patients with 36 patients in analysis-19 received active rTMS and 17 received sham | Low-frequency rTMS over pre-SMA | Reduction in clinical symptoms, as measured on the Y-BOCS | HAM-D YBOCS CGI-S HAM-A | Three weeks | Fluid cooled figure-of-eight coil (MCF-B70 butterfly coil.1 Hz, 200 stimuli per day at 1 Hz in 4 trains of 300 s, with intertrain interval of 2 min, at 100% MT | First day before the beginning of rTMS session and after the completion of 20th rTMS session | This study provided evidence for overall effectiveness of adjunctive 1-Hz rTMS treatment over either SMA or OFC in patients with medication-refractory OCD | Nil |
| Singh et al. (2019) | India | Retrospective review and analysis of records | 79 patients | Left-OFC and over bilateral SMA | Reduction in clinical symptoms, as measured on the Y-BOCS | YBOCS | Four weeks | 70-mm figure-of-eight air-film coil.1 Hz at 110% RMT, 5-s train duration, intertrain interval of 10 s and 240 trains per session. Each session consisted of 1,200 pulses delivered in 3,590 s. A total of 20 sessions of rTMS 5 days per week for 4 weeks | Significant reduction in the mean YBOCS score after 20 sessions of rTMS, as compared with baseline YBOCS score | First day before the beginning of rTMS session and after the completion of 20th rTMS session | This study provided evidence for overall effectiveness of adjunctive 1-Hz rTMS treatment over either SMA or OFC in patients with medication-refractory OCD | Nil |
| Mansur et al. (2011) | Brazil | Parallel, double-blind randomized trial | 30 patients 18–65 years | R-DLPFC | Scores on the YBOCS and CGI-I scale | HAM-D YBOCS CGI-S HAM-A CGI-I | Six weeks | Figure-of-eight coil 10 Hz and at 110% MT. 30 sessions (1/ d, 54-8, 1,400 pulses – 5 s per train, with a 25-s intertrain interval. Total 60,000 pulses | rTMS, over rDLPFC, was not found to be superior to sham rTMS in relieving OC symptoms, reducing clinical severity or improving treatment response | Baseline, after 2 and 6 wk. treatment; and after 2 and 6 wk. follow-up | This study provided evidence for overall effectiveness of adjunctive 1-Hz rTMS treatment over either SMA or OFC in patients with medication-refractory OCD | Mild headache, scalp discomfort, cervical pain, mood swings |
| Rostami et al. (2020) | Asia | Retrospective study | 65 patients | DUPFC or SMA | Y-BOCS | Three days per week for seven weeks | 70-mm figure-of-eight coil (air film coil).120% of AMF 1 Hz, for 30 min, total of 1,800 pulses per session, once a day, 3 days per week for 7 weeks, in 20 sessions (36,000 pulse) | Significant reduction in OCD symptoms and anxiety/depressive states were observed after 20 sessions of rTMS | Baseline and after the 20th session of rTMS | This study provided evidence for overall effectiveness of adjunctive 1-Hz rTMS treatment over either SMA or OFC in patients with medication-refractory OCD | Headache and dizziness |

(continued)
Table 2

| Author (year)          | Country of origin | Study design         | No. of participants | Targeted brain region | Targeted symptom(s)                  | Measurement | Duration of treatment | Coil/ rTMS parameters/ stimulation method | Outcome/significant improvements                                                                 | Assessment and follow-up | Conclusion | Side effects |
|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------|
| Rufin et al. (2009)    | Italy             | A randomized controlled investigation | 23 patients 18–75 years | Left OFC | OCD symptoms, mood and anxiety | YBOCS, HDRS, HARS | Five sessions per week for 3 weeks | 70-mm 8-shaped coil, 10 min 1 Hz left-sided subdural rTMS at 80% MT, 15 s sessions (1 per day, 5 per week for 3 weeks) | Significant improvement in OCD symptoms in OCD patients with benefits lasting up to 10 weeks after the end of rTMS treatment | Baseline and after 15 rTMS sessions and every 2 weeks after the end of rTMS | Low-frequency rTMS of the left OFC produced significant but time-limited improvement in OCD patients compared to sham treatment | Nil |
| Mantovani et al. (2006) | USA               | Open-label pilot study | 10 right handed outpatients | SMA | YBOCS, CGI | YBOCS, YGTSS, CGI, HARS HDRS, SAD, SBI YCL-90 | 10 days | 70-mm figure-of-eight coil, SMA for 10 daily sessions at 1 Hz, 100% MT, 1,200 stimuli/day | Significant improvement in OCD and TS symptoms with benefits lasting up to three months. Improvements in depression and anxiety were also seen | Baseline and after 1 and 2 wk. of stimulation and 1 and 3 months follow up on CGI | Week 0, week 2 and week 4 | Slow rTMS to SMA resulted in a significant clinical improvement and a normalization of the right hemisphere hyperactivity, thereby restoring hemispheric symmetry in motor threshold | Nil |
| Prako et al. (2006)    | Czech Republic    | A randomized, double blind, sham controlled study | 33 right-handed patients | Left DPLFC | General psychopathology | CGI, HAMA, Y-BOCS | Two weeks | Air cooled, figure-of-eight 70-mm coil, 1 Hz at 110% MT. 10 sessions. 30 min 5 per week for 2 weeks, 1,800 pulses per session | Low frequency rTMS of left prefrontal cortex had no impact on the symptomatology in the patients suffering with SSRIs resistant OCD | Before and after the last treatment session and three months later | 1 Hz rTMS administered over the left DLPFC during 10 daily sessions did not differ from sham rTMS in facilitating the effect of serotonin reuptake inhibitors in OCD patients. There was a significantly larger percentage change in CGI-S in the 1 Hz group versus the sham group. We conclude that 1 Hz rTMS targeting right DLPFC is a promising tool for treatment of OCD | Nil |
| Ebbeh et al. (2016)    | Egypt             | Double blind randomized clinical trial | 45 patients | Right DLPFC | Effects of 1 Hz and 10 Hz on scales | Y-BOCS, HAMA, CGI-S | Two weeks | 70 mm figure-of-eight coil, 1 Hz rTMS at 100% RMT, 4 trains, each of 500 pulses with a 40 and 10 Hz rTMS at 100% RMT applied in 10 trains of 200 pulses, with 20 s intervals of 1,000 pulses (5 days/week) 2 weeks | 1 Hz rTMS over the right DLPFC has medium term effect on obsessive-compulsive symptoms and anxiety | Before and after the last treatment session and three months later | Low frequency rTMS delivered to pre-SMA during four weeks had no better effects on drug refractory OCD patients than sham stimulation | Transient headache |
| Pelissolo et al. (2016) | France            | Sham-controlled trial | 40 patients | Pre-SMA | Efficacy of 1 Hz rTMS over pre-SMA | Y-BOCS, CGI-S | Four weeks | 70 mm figure-of-eight coil, 1 Hz, 26-min sessions (four 5-min trains interval of 2 min, 1,500 pulses/e/d), at 100% of RMT | Low-frequency rTMS administered over the left DLPFC during 10 daily sessions did not differ from sham rTMS in facilitating the effect of serotonin reuptake inhibitors in OCD patients. There was a significantly larger percentage change in CGI-S in the 1 Hz group versus the sham group. We conclude that 1 Hz rTMS targeting right DLPFC is a promising tool for treatment of OCD | Baseline and four weeks (week 12) | Low-frequency rTMS applied to the pre-SMA seems ineffective for the treatment of OCD patients at least in severe and drug refractory cases such as those included in this study | nil |
| Seo et al. (2016)      | Korea             | A randomized controlled trial | 27 patients | Right DLPFC | OCD symptoms, mood and anxiety symptoms | YBOCS, CGI-S HAMD | Three weeks | TAMAS stimulator with a figure-eight coil, 1 Hz, 20-min trains (1,200 pulses/day) at 100% MT per once per day 5 days per week. for 3 weeks | Low frequency rTMS delivered to pre-SMA during four weeks had no better effects on drug refractory OCD patients than sham stimulation LF rTMS over the right DLPFC appeared to be superior to sham rTMS for relieving OCD symptoms and depression in patients with treatment resistant OCD | Baseline and every week during the treatment period | LF rTMS over the right DLPFC appeared to be superior to sham rTMS for relieving OCD symptoms and depression in patients with treatment resistant OCD | Localized scalp pain, headache |
| Talaei et al. (2009)   | Iran              | A case report        | 40-year-old female | SMA | OCD symptoms, mood and anxiety symptoms | Y-BOCS | 10 sessions | 10 sessions with 110%, 1 Hz and 30 min per day (a total of 1,200 pulses per day) | Significant decrease in obsessive-compulsive behaviors | Before the first rTMS session and after every session | Significant decrease in compulsive behaviors and obsessive thoughts | Nil |
| Badawy et al. (2010)   | Egypt             | Randomized control trial | 60 patients | LDLPFC | Mixed OCD symptoms and compulsive symptoms only | Y-BOCS | 15 sessions | High frequency rTMS (20 Hz) 5 sessions per week for 3 weeks. high frequency rTMS (20 Hz) | While r-TMS was not effective as a single treatment for OCD patients, it was effective as add on treatment for OCD patients | Before the first rTMS session and after completion of the 15 sessions | While r-TMS was not effective as a single treatment for OCD patients, it was effective as add on treatment for OCD patients | Nil |
| Elmedany et al. (2014) | Egypt             | Randomized control trial | 20 patients (9 men and 1 female) | Left prefrontal area of the brain | OCD symptoms | Y-BOCS CGI | 20 Hz 2 s for 20 min in 8 sessions every 48h | OCD patients have better response to rTMS for obsessive symptoms than compulsions | Before the first rTMS session and after | OCD patients after r-TMS has better response especially those accompanied with pharmacological treatment | Nil | (continued)
| Author (year) | Country of origin | Study design | No. of participants | Targeted brain region | Targeted symptom | Measurement | Duration of treatment | CoIl/ rTMS parameters/ stimulation method | Outcome/significant improvements | Assessment and follow-up | Conclusion | Side effects |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|-------------|
| Greenberg et al. (1997) | USA | Brief report | 12 patients | Right lateral prefrontal, a left lateral prefrontal and midoccipital site on separate days, randomized Pre-SMA | Obsessive compulsive symptoms | Y-BOCS | 20 Hz/2 s per min for 20 min | Cadwell High Speed Magnetic Stimulator and a figure-eight-shaped coil; 80% MT, 20 Hz/ 2 s per min for 20 min | especially those on pharmacological treatment | completion of the last session | Baseline and post-stimulation | Nil |
| Hegde et al. (2016) | India | Retrospective analysis study | 17 patients | Obscurred region/ randomized Pre-SMA | OCD symptoms | Y-BOCS | Three weeks | 70-mm figure-of-eight coil 1- Hz at 100% MT over the pre-SMA 20 min, in 4 trains of 300 s (1,200 pulses per sitting H-shaped coil design, 100% RMT, 20 Hz dtMS 2-s pulse trains and 20-s intervals, for a total of 50 trains and 2,000 pulses per session | Only 1 patient met the criteria for response after one month of treatment initiation | Baseline and one month follow-up | Low-frequency rTMS over the pre-SMA may not be effective in treatment refractory OCD | Mild headache |
| Carmi et al. (2019) | Israel | Prospective multi-center randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial | 100 patients | Dorsal mPFC | Safety, tolerability and efficacy of dtMS in OCD | Y-BOCS, CGI-S, HAMD CGI-I | Six weeks | 70-mm air filled coil. 100% RMT at 20 Hz, in 750 total pulse. 2.5 min per cortex site, totaling 50 min for a session | Significant differences between the groups were maintained at follow-up | Baseline and I month follow-up | High-frequency dtMS over the mPFC and anterior cingulate cortex significantly improved OCD symptoms and may be considered as a potential intervention for patients who do not respond adequately to pharmacological and psychological interventions The pattern of results from this single-blind, sham- and cross-over design suggests that rTMS is a successful intervention for patients suffering from treatment-resistant OCD | One patient had suicidal thoughts |
| Haghighi et al. (2015) | Iran | Randomized, single-blind, sham, controlled clinical trial with cross-over design | 21 patients | L-DLPC | OCD symptoms | Y-BOCS, CGI | Four weeks | 70-mm double air filled coil. 100% RMT at 20 Hz, in 750 total pulse. 2.5 min per cortex site, totaling 50 min for a session | Both self- and expert reported symptom severity reduced in the rTMS condition as compared to the sham condition. Full- and partial responses were observed in the rTMS-condition, but not in the sham-condition | Baseline, after two and after four weeks of treatment | Baseline, after 10 sessions same day as last rTMS treatment, I month after last session | Results suggest the use of low frequency deep rTMS as a promising and robust intervention in OCD symptom reduction | Nil |
| Modirrousta et al. (2015) | Canada | Open-label study | 10 patients | mPFC | Effect of low-frequency deep rTMS over the mPFC of patients with OCD | Y-BOCS | Two weeks | Double-cone coil at 110% RMT 1 Hz, 150 pulses (overall 1,200 pulses in one session) for 10 sessions | Significant reduction in OCD symptoms | Baseline, after 10 sessions same day as last rTMS treatment, I month after last session | Results suggest the use of low frequency deep rTMS as a promising and robust intervention in OCD symptom reduction | Electric shock sensation and insomnia |

Notes: MT = motor threshold, SMA = supplementary motor area Y-BOCS = Yale–Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale; Ham-D–24 = Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression–24-item; BDI–II, DLPFC = dorsal lateral prefrontal cortex, OFC = orbitofrontal cortex, RMT = resting motor threshold, CGH = clinical global impression. HAMA = Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale, HRSD = Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression, YMRS = Young Mania Rating Scale, GAF = global assessment of functioning, MCCB = MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery. QIDS = quick inventory of depressive symptomatology, CAPS = clinician administered PTSD scale, BNCE = brief neurobehavioral cognitive examination, STAI = state trait anxiety inventory, SC-Q = self-administered comorbidity questionnaire, SCID = structured clinical interview for DSM-IV, IPF = inventory of psychosocial functioning, BRMAS = Bech-Rafaelsen mania scale, CRSD = circadian rhythm sleep disorder, SCL-90-R = Symptom Checklist-90-Revised, mPFC = medial prefrontal cortex.
variously used the 9 cm circular coil, DB-80 butterfly double-cone coil and the H-shaped coil design. Duration of treatment varied across studies, from two weeks to seven weeks. In total, 19 studies applied rTMS with a low frequency and eight applied HF ranging from 10 Hz to 20 Hz, the one remaining study of the 28 compared effects of low and HF treatment protocols.

In total, 19 studies (68%) reported significant positive outcomes and the other 9 studies reported no significant symptom improvement. In each of the included studies, rTMS application was reported as well-tolerated with no significant side-effects, although there were a few reports of mild side-effects such as mild headache, dizziness and scalp pain, across the studies.

Discussion

The 28 studies under review suggest that rTMS has potential as a safe and clinically efficacious treatment intervention for OCD. Despite the diverse outcome measures included in this selection of studies, there were some consistent significant OCD symptom improvements.

Many factors may have accounted for the varying effectiveness of the application of rTMS across the studies and major domains of outcomes. For instance, rTMS treatment protocols and stimulation parameters vary greatly across studies, with poorly defined intervention protocols. Another factor is that different measuring tools are used to evaluate similar outcomes across studies, making a comparative evaluation of results difficult. It also makes it difficult to understand which rTMS parameters lead to the most significant outcomes and treatment response.

However, due to the diverse nature and presentation of mental conditions, it may seem unrealistic to think uniquely of an optimal or even a standardized rTMS protocol that will work across studies of the different conditions even if they target similar symptoms. One important aspect of rTMS, as identified in this review is its versatility, which allows for the development and adaption of protocols addressing similar symptoms from different conditions with potentially positive outcomes.

Targeted brain regions of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation

The pathophysiology of OCD according to structural and functional neuroimaging studies is linked with the dysfunction of the orbitofronto-striato-pallido-thalamic circuitry, which includes the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) and the medial PFC, as well as the thalamus (Saxena and Rauch, 2000; Van Den Heuvel et al., 2005). Modulation of this circuitry by neurosurgical mechanisms and by means of deep brain stimulation has proven to be effective in reducing symptoms of OCD (Mallet et al., 2008). Bearing in mind the possibility of rTMS in modulating cortical and subcortical structures of the brain, the possible therapeutic effects of rTMS have been extensively studied and evaluated in literature in the quest to normalizing hyper- or hypoactive brain regions by targeting dysfunctional cortico- subcortical circuits in people with OCD.

For many of the studies extracted, the locus of rTMS stimulation was at either the left-DLPFC or the right-DLPFC and with high or low frequency rTMS. The overall accepted rationale is that the DLPFC could be a possible starting point for the induction of remote stimulation in the cortico-subcortical circuits connected. For most of the trials, the left-dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (LdLPFC) and right-dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (RdLPFC) were stimulated with the “5 cm method” where the figure-of-eight coil was centered on a point at 5 cm rostral to and in the same sagittal line as the optimal area for activating the right or left abductor pollicis brevis muscles during motor threshold (MT) assessment (Sachdev et al., 2007; Práško et al., 2006; Greenberg et al., 1997). As prefrontal mechanisms are implicated in OCD, Greenberg et al. (1997) undertook a non-sham-controlled, single-blind rTMS study on the evidence of PFC hypermetabolism and hyperperfusion in untreated OCD patients. The preliminary results suggest that DLFPc rTMS had modest, lateralized effects on compulsions but not obsessions.

From the data extracted, another brain region studied for the administration of rTMS is the OFC. As indicated earlier, the OFC performs a very important function in the pathophysiology of OCD and because obsessions and compulsions are deemed to be mediated at least in part by the hyperactivity in the orbitofrontal-subcortical circuits and the increase in functional activity in the OFC. Inspired by the fact that OFC rTMS may seem OCD-specific, a randomized, single blind sham-controlled study was conducted by Ruffini et al. (2009). The researchers evaluated the efficacy of LF-rTMS over the left OFC with a low frequency (1 Hz) rTMS at 80% RMT for 3 weeks. There was a significant reduction in Yele-Brown obsessive compulsive scale (YBOCS) scores for the active group after the 3rd and 10th weeks compared to sham treatment.

The supplementary motor area (SMA) is one of the most recent brain targets used for the application of rTMS and evidence suggests that the motor and premotor cortex are hyperexcitable in OCD. An open-label trial conducted by
Mantovani et al. (2006) sought to evaluate whether low-frequency rTMS to the SMA could normalize overactive motor cortical regions and thereby improve symptoms of patients with OCD. There was clinical improvement at the end of the first week of the treatment with rTMS and by the second week, there was a statistically significant improvement in the reductions seen in Yele-Brown obsessive compulsive scale (YBOCS), Clinical Global Impression, Beck depression inventory (BDI), Hamilton depression rating scale (HDRS), Hamilton anxiety rating scale (HARS) and Symptom Checklist-90. Following the publication of this study, many of the most recent trials on rTMS application for the treatment of drug resistant OCD focused on the SMA (Lee et al., 2017; Arumugham et al., 2018; Singh et al., 2019; Pelissolo et al., 2016; Talaei et al., 2009; Hegde et al., 2016; Mantovani et al., 2010). Results suggest that 1 Hz rTMS over the SMA could be an efficient and safe add-on therapeutic method in treatment-resistant patients with OCD.

### Treatment modality and stimulation frequencies

In regard to differences in low and HF of rTMS, results from the extracted studies suggest that, administration of HF (10 Hz) rTMS at either 100% or 110% MT over the RDLPFC did not differ from sham rTMS in terms of efficacy in relieving symptoms, reducing clinical severity or improving responses in treatment-resistant OCD (Mansur et al., 2011; Elbeh et al., 2016). By contrast, another study indicated that low frequency (1 HZ) rTMS delivered to the RDLPFC appeared to be superior to sham rTMS for relieving OCD symptoms and depression, in patients with treatment-resistant OCD. Based on the results from the selected studies in this review, there is no evidence for a statistically significant difference between low or HF rTMS over RDLPFC and LDLPFC for the treatment of OCD.

The different study designs did not contribute to any differences in the outcomes for treatment between the sham and active subjects. A study conducted (Sachdev et al., 2007; Prasko et al., 2006) using the double-blind, randomized, sham-controlled trial with the application of low or HF rTMS over the left or right PFC presented with a significant reduction in YBOCS scores in both sham and active subjects with no significant statistical difference in the two groups at the end of the treatment intervention. The results also failed to depict any meaningful therapeutic efficacy in treatment non-responder OCD patients from either of the groups (Kang et al., 2009).

Sachdev et al. (2001) compared effects of active HF-RDLPFC rTMS to active HF-LDLPFC rTMS. The evaluation yielded notable improvement in the symptoms of the OCD in study subjects. Notwithstanding the significant improvement in YBOCS scores for the two arms of the study, it is possible that the positive results were because of the smaller sample size (N = 12) and also the absence of a control group. These same researchers six years later conducted a similar study that confirmed the assertion of a smaller sample size and the lack of a sham control. Sachdev et al. (2007) in their study with a larger sample size (N = 18) revealed that the active and sham arms of the study did not show any difference in the reduction in OCD symptoms after the treatment. These conflicting results indicate that preferential high or low frequency rTMS may probably not be effective in the treatment of OCD symptoms.

In contrast to the contradictory results from other studies, most of the trials that presented with major clinically insignificant improvements in OCD symptoms were the studies with the targeted brain regions over the SMA with low frequency rTMS (Donse et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2017; Arumugham et al., 2018; Singh et al., 2019; Pelissolo et al., 2016; Talaei et al., 2009; Hegde et al., 2016; Mantovani et al., 2010; Gomes et al., 2012; Rostami et al., 2020) and also the left OFC with LF-rTMS (Kumar et al., 2018; Singh et al., 2019; Ruffini et al., 2009). These studies suggest that rTMS had a specific and significant clinically effective influence on OCD symptoms: specifically in relation to the SMA stimulation site.

Poor study outcomes as witnessed in most of the studies could be partly attributed to differences in stimulation parameters, shorter treatment durations (as many used two weeks), the levels of frequencies used and, in some cases, the use of the circular coil, which typically induces less focal current compared to the figure-of-eight shape coil. Differences may also be attributed to the choice of whether left or right prefrontal cortices of targets for stimulations and the severity of the drug resistance of the subjects used for the purposes of the studies.

### Other factors affecting therapeutic outcomes

Many factors may have accounted for the varied effectiveness of the application of rTMS across the studies and major domains of outcomes. For instance, rTMS treatment protocols and stimulation parameters vary greatly across studies, with poorly defined intervention protocols. Another factor is the different measurement tools used for the evaluation of similar outcomes across studies, and therefore, making comparison and evaluation of results difficult. These inconsistencies also make it difficult to understand which rTMS parameters lead to the most significant outcomes and treatment responses. It remains possible that positive outcomes may also be attributed partially to the therapeutic contributions of concurrent medications taken by the subjects although most of the subjects have been on these medications for a long time without yielding improvements in their OCD symptoms.

Additionally, the varied clinical significance and effectiveness of rTMS across studies can also be partly attributed to factors such as, variations in coil type and coil positions, the different cortical targets and the variations in motor thresholds. In the case of the application of rTMS for the treatment of OCD, a majority of the studies applied rTMS to normalize frontal dysfunction associated with OCD symptoms, choosing to stimulate the SMA or the left DLPFC. For example, in the case of the cortical target, the SMA was consistently used to relieve subjects of their OCD symptoms with consistent and clinically significant treatment responses noted. Thus, from the data gathered with respect to rTMS in OCD, it seems that the SMA may be a promising target region for the application of rTMS to treat the symptoms of OCD in contrast to either left or right DLPFC.

Furthermore, an important factor noticed is the evaluation of the longevity and time course effects of rTMS. The majority of studies reviewed evaluated the treatment outcomes of the various interventions immediately after the last session of rTMS with a few months of follow-up. Considering the chronic, debilitating and high prevalent nature of mental conditions, evaluating the long-term therapeutic effects of rTMS intervention is of great importance. Therefore, it would be of high clinical significance and research value to estimate
the sustainability of treatment effects, and specifically, maintenance strategies following response or remission with rTMS.

Limitations
The authors of this scoping review acknowledge several limitations. First, our search strategy considered only studies published in English and the results are up to date as the last day of the electronic data search of December 10, 2020. Though every effort was made to identify all relevant studies for the purposes of this review per our eligibility criteria, we still may have missed some relevant studies, especially those published in other languages.

Conclusion
Many of the studies included in this scoping review presented with conflicting and inconsistent outcomes on the efficacy and utilization of rTMS as a treatment intervention for OCD. This makes it difficult to make definitive conclusions on the clinical usefulness and the appropriate technique for rTMS treatment interventions for OCD. Larger sample sizes for sufficiently powered and preferably multi-centered sham-controlled trials with the appropriate coil and stimulation parameters, well-defined stimulation targets and a longer treatment duration would be required to bring clarity to the therapeutic effect of rTMS in the treatment of resistant OCD.

Despite the inconsistencies in the published literature, the application of rTMS over the SMA and the OFC has proven to be promising in efficacy and tolerability compared with other target regions such as PFC for the treatment of OCD. Despite the diversity in terms of the outcomes and clinical variability of the studies under review, rTMS appears to be a promising treatment intervention for OCD.

References
Arunugham, Shyam Sundar MD, VS, Subhasini DN, HN, Madhuri DPM, B, Vinay MD, Ravi, Malvika MD, Sharma, Eesha MD, Thirthalli, Jagadisha MD, Reddy and YC Janardhan MD (2018), “Augmentation effect of Low-Frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation over presupplementary motor area in Obsessive-Compulsive disorder: a randomized controlled trial”, The Journal of Ect, Vol. 34 No. 4, pp. 253-257.

Badawy, A.A., Sawy, H.E. and Hay, M.E. (2010), “Efficacy of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in the management of obsessive compulsive disorder”, Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery, Vol. 47, pp. 393-397.

Barker, A.T., Jalious, R. and Freeston, I.L. (1985), “Non-invasive magnetic stimulation of human motor cortex”, The Lancet, Vol. 325 No. 8437, pp. 1106-1107.

Blumberger, Daniel M., Mulsant, Benoit H., Fitzgerald, Paul B., Rajji, Tarek K., Ravindran, Arun V., Young, Trevor L., Levinson, Andrea J. and Daskalakis Zafiris J. (2012), “A randomized double-blind sham-controlled comparison of unilateral and bilateral repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for treatment-resistant major depression”, The World Journal of Biological Psychiatry, Vol. 13 No. 6, pp. 423-435.

Burt, T., Lisanby, S.H. and Sackeim, H.A. (2002), “Neuropsychiatric applications of transcranial magnetic stimulation: a Meta analysis”, International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 73-103.

Carmi, L., Tendler, A., Bystritsky, A., Hollandier, E., Blumberger, D.M., Daskalakis, J. and Zohar, J. (2019), “Efficacy and safety of deep transcranial magnetic stimulation for Obsessive-Compulsive disorder: a prospective multicenter randomized Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled trial”, American Journal of Psychiatry, Vol. 176 No. 11, pp. 931-938.

Coles, A.S., Kozak, K. and George, T.P. (2018), “A review of brain stimulation methods to treat substance use disorders”, The American Journal on Addictions, Vol. 27 No. 2, pp. 71-91.

Couturier, J.L. (2005), “Efficacy of rapid-rate repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in the treatment of depression: a systematic review and Meta-analysis”, Journal of Psychiatry & Neuroscience: Jpn, Vol. 30 No. 2, p. 83.

Cristancho, M.A., Cristancho, P. and O’rourke, J.P. (2013a), Brain Stimulation: Chapter 34. Other Therapeutic Psychiatric Uses of Superficial Brain Stimulation, Vol. 116. Elsevier Inc. Chapters.

Cristancho, M.A., Cristancho, P. and O’rourke, J.P. (2013b), “Other therapeutic psychiatric uses of superficial brain stimulation”, in Handbook of Clinical Neurology, Elsevier. pp. 415-422.

Daskalakis, Z.J., Levinson, A.J. and Fitzgerald, P.B. (2008), “Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for major depressive disorder: a review”, The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry, Vol. 53 No. 9, pp. 555-566.

Dhalwal, S.K., Meek, B.P. and Modirrousta, M.M. (2015), “Non-invasive brain stimulation for the treatment of symptoms following traumatic brain injury”, Frontiers in Psychiatry, Vol. 6, p. 119.

Done, L., Sack, A.T., Fitzgerald, P.B. and Arn, M. (2017), “Sleep disturbances in obsessive-compulsive disorder: Association with non-response to repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS)”, Journal of Anxiety Disorders, Vol. 49, pp. 31-39.

Elbeh, K.A., Elserogy, Y.M., Khalifa, H.E., Ahmed, M.A., Hafez, M.H. and Khedr, E.M. (2016), “Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in the treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorders: Double blind randomized clinical trial”, Psychiatry Research, Vol. 238, pp. 264-269.

Elmedany, A.M., et al. (2014), “Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) in obsessive compulsive disorder”, Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry & Neurosurgery, Vol. 51 No. 3.

Fitzgerald, P.B., Hoy, K., McQueen, S., Maller, J.J., Herring, S., Segrave, R. and Daskalakis, Z.J. (2009), “A randomized trial of rTMS targeted with MRI based neuro-navigation in treatment-resistant depression”, Neuropsychopharmacology, Vol. 34 No. 5, pp. 1255-1262.

Fregni, F., Boggio, P.S., Nitsche, M., Bermpohl, F., Antal, A., Feredoes, E. and Pascual-Leone, A. (2005), “Anodal transcranial direct current stimulation of prefrontal cortex enhances working memory”, Experimental Brain Research, Vol. 166 No. 1, pp. 23-30.

Galletly, C., Gill, S., Clarke, P., Burton, C. and Fitzgerald, P.B. (2012), “A randomized trial comparing repetitive transcranial
magnetic stimulation given 3 days/week and 5 days/week for the
treatment of major depression: is efficacy related to the duration
of treatment or the number of treatments?”,Psychological
Medicine,Vol.42No.5,pp.981-988.
Gomes, P.V.O., Brasil-Neto, J.P., Allam, N. and Rodrigues de
Souza, E. (2012), “A randomized, double-blind trial of
repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in obsessive-
compulsive disorder with three-month follow-up”, The
Journal ofNeuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences, Vol.24
No.4, pp.437-443.
Grant, M.J. and Booth, A. (2009), “A typology of reviews: an
analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies”, Health
Information &Libraries Journal, Vol.26No.2, pp.91-108.
Greenberg, B.D., George, M.S., Martin, J.D., Benjamin, J.,
Schlaepfer, T.E., Altemus, M. and Murphy, D.L. (1997),
“Effect of prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation
in obsessive-compulsive disorder: a preliminary study”, The
American Journal ofPsychiatry, Vol.154No.6, pp.867-869.
Hadley, D., Anderson, B.S., Borckardt, J.J., Arana, A., Li, X.,
Nahas, Z. and George, M.S. (2011), “Safety, tolerability,
et effectiveness of high doses of adjunctive daily left
prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for
treatment-resistant depression in a clinical setting”, The
Journal ofEct, Vol.27No.1, pp.18-25.
Haghihi, M., Shayanfarid, M., Jahangard, L., Ahmadpanah, M.,
Bajoghli, H., Pirdehghan, A. and Brand, S. (2015), “Repetitive
transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) improves symptoms
and reduces clinical illness in patients suffering from OCD:
Results from a single-blind, randomized clinical trial with sham
cross-over condition”, Journal ofPsychiatric Research, Vol.68,
pp.238-244.
Hallett, M. (2000), “Transcranial magnetic stimulation and the
human brain”, Nature, Vol.406No.6792, pp.147-150.
Hara, T., Abo, M., Kakita, K., Masuda, T. and Yamazaki, R.
(2016), “Does a combined intervention program of repetitive
transcranial magnetic stimulation and intensive occupational
therapy affect cognitive function in patients with post-stroke
upper limb hemiparesis?”,Neural Regeneration Research, Vol.11
No.12, p.1932.
Hegde, A., Ravi, M., Subhasini, V.S., Arumugham, S.S., Thirhalli,
J. and Reddy, Y.J. (2016), “Repetitive transcranial magnetic
stimulation over presupplementary motor area may not be
helpful in treatment-refractory obsessive-compulsive disorder: a
case series”, The Journal ofECT, Vol.32No.2, pp.139-142.
Hersbsman, T., Avery, D., Ramsey, D., Holtzheimer, P., Wadjik,
C., Hardaway, F. and Nahas, Z. (2009), “More lateral and
anterior prefrontal coil location is associated with better
repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation antidepressant
response”, Biological Psychiatry, Vol.66No.5, pp.509-515.
Herwig, U., Baumlnerg, T., Kaffenberger, T., Brühl, A.,
Kottlow, M., Schreiter-Gasser, U. and Rufer, M. (2007),
“Modulation of anticipatory emotion and perception processing
by cognitive control”, NeuroImage, Vol.37No.2, pp.652-662.
Höfflich, G., Kasper, S., Huflagen, A., Ruhmann, S. and Möller,
H.J. (1993), “Application of transcranial magnetic stimulation
in treatment of drug-resistant major depression – a report of two
cases”, Human Psychopharmacology: Clinical and Experimental,
Vol.8No.5, pp.361-365.
Holtzheimer, P.E., III, et al. (2010), “Accelerated repetitive
transcranial magnetic stimulation for treatment-resistant
depression”, Depression and Anxiety, Vol.27No.10, pp.960-963.
Kang, J.I., Kim, C.H., Namkoong, K., Lee, C.I. and Kim, S.J.
(2009), “A randomized controlled study of sequentially applied
repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in obsessive-
compulsive disorder”, The Journal ofClinical Psychiatry, Vol.70
No.12, pp.1645-1651.
Kennedy, S.H., Miley, R., Giacobbe, P., Ramasubbu, R.,
Lam, R.W., Parikh, S.V. and Ravindran, A.V. (2009),
“Canadian network for mood and anxiety treatments
(CANMAT) clinical guidelines for the management of major
depressive disorder in adults.: IV. Neurostimulation
therapies”, Journal ofAffective Disorders, Vol.117,
pp.544-553.
Kumar, S., Singh, S., Chadda, R.K., Verma, R. and Kumar, N.
(2018), “The effect of Low-Frequency repetitive transcranial
magnetic stimulation at orbitofrontal cortex in the treatment
of patients with Medication-Refractory Obsessive-Compulsive
disorder: a retrospective open study”, The Journal of Ect, Vol.34
No.2, pp.e16-e19.
Lee, Y.J., Koo, B.H., Seo, W.S., Kim, H.G.; Kim, J.Y. and
Cheon, E.J. (2017), “Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation
of the supplementary motor area in treatment-resistant obsessive-compulsive disorder: an open-label pilot
study”,Journal ofClinical Neuroscience, Vol.44, pp.264-268.
Lefaucheur, J.P. (2008), “Principles of therapeutic use of
transcranial and epidural cortical stimulation”, Clinical
Neurophysiology, Vol.119No.10, pp.2179-2184.
Lefaucheur, J.P. (2012), “Neurophysiology of cortical
stimulation”, in International Review ofNeurobiology, Elsevier.
pp.57-85.
Lefaucheur, J.P., André-Obadia, N., Antal, A., Ayache, S.S.,
Baeken, C., Benninger, D.H. and García-Larrea, L. (2014),
“Evidence-based guidelines on the therapeutic use of
repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS)”, Clinical
Neurophysiology, Vol.125No.11, pp.2150-2206.
Levkovitz, Y., Harel, E.V., Roth, Y., Braw, Y., Most, D., Katz,
L.N. and Zangen A. (2007), “A randomized controlled feasibility and safety study of deep transcranial magnetic
stimulation”, Clinical Neurophysiology, Vol.118No.12, pp.
2730-2744.
Levkovitz, Y., Shemansky, D.E., Hallett, J.T. and Weaver, H.
A. (2009), “Deep transcranial magnetic stimulation over the
prefrontal cortex: evaluation of antidepressant and cognitive
effects in depressive patients”, Brain Stimulation, Vol.2
No.4, pp.188-200.
Liu, X., Shemansky, D.E., Hallett, J.T. and Weaver H.A.
(2007), “Extreme non-LTE H2 in comets C/2000 WM1
(linear) and C/2001 A2 (linear)”, The Astrophysical Journal
Supplement Series, Vol.169No.2, p.458.
McDonald, W.M., Durkalski, V., Ball, E.R., Holtzheimer, P.
E., Pavlicova, M., Lisanby, S.H. and George, M.S. (2011),
“Improving the antidepressant efficacy of transcranial
magnetic stimulation: maximizing the number of
stimulations and treatment location in treatment-resistant
depression”, Depression and Anxiety, Vol.28No.11, pp.
973-980.
McGirr, A., Van den Eynde, F., Tovar-Perdono, S., Fleck, M.P.
and Berlim, M.T. (2015), “Effectiveness and acceptability of
accelerated repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation

---

**Transcranial magnetic stimulation**

Medard Kofi Adu et al.

---

**Mental Illness**

Volume 13 · Number 1 · 2021 · 1-13
Transcranial magnetic stimulation

Medard Kofi Adu et al.

(rTMS) for treatment-resistant major depressive disorder: an open label trial”, Journal of affective disorders, Vol. 173, pp. 216-220.

Ma, X., Huang, Y., Liao, L. and Jin, Y. (2014), “A randomized double-blinded sham-controlled trial of α electroencephalogram-guided transcranial magnetic stimulation for obsessive-compulsive disorder”, Chinese Medical Journal, Vol. 127 No. 4, pp. 601-606.

Machado, S., Paes, F., Velasques, B., Teixeira, S., Piedade, R., Ribeiro, P. and Arias-Carrión, O. (2012), “Is rTMS an effective therapeutic strategy that can be used to treat anxiety disorders?”, Neuropsychopharmacology, Vol. 62 No. 1, pp. 125-134.

Machii, K., Cohen, D., Ramos-Estebanez, C. and Pascual-Leone, A. (2006), “Safety of rTMS to non-motor cortical areas in healthy participants and patients”, Clinical Neurophysiology, Vol. 117 No. 2, pp. 455-471.

Mallet, L., Polosan, M., Jaafari, N., Baup, N., Welter, M.L., Fontaine, D. and Pelissolo, A. (2008), “Subthalamic nucleus stimulation in severe obsessive-compulsive disorder”, New England Journal of Medicine, Vol. 359 No. 20, pp. 2121-2134.

Mansur, C.G., Myczkowski, M.L., de Barros Cabral, S., Sartorelli, M.D.C.B., Bellini, B.B., Dias, A.M. and Marcolin, M.A. (2011), “Placebo effect after prefrontal magnetic stimulation in the treatment of resistant obsessive-compulsive disorder: a randomized controlled trial”, The International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology, Vol. 14 No. 10, pp. 1389-1397.

Mantovani, A., Westin, G., Hirsch, J. and Lisanby, S.H. (2010), “Functional magnetic resonance imaging guided transcranial magnetic stimulation in obsessive-compulsive disorder”, Biological Psychiatry, Vol. 66 No. 7, pp. e39-e40.

Mantovani, A., Lisanby, S.H., Pieraccini, F., Ulevi, M., Castrogiovanni, P. and Rossi, S. (2006), “Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) in the treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) and tourette’s syndrome (TS)”, The International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology, Vol. 9 No. 1, pp. 95-100.

Mantovani, A., Simpson, H.B., Fallon, B.A., Rossi, S. and Lisanby, S.H. (2010), “Randomized sham-controlled trial of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in treatment-resistant obsessive-compulsive disorder”, The International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology, Vol. 13 No. 2, pp. 217-227.

Modirrousta, M., Shams, E., Katz, C., Mansouri, B., Moussavi, Z., Sareae, J. and Enns, M. (2015), “The efficacy of deep repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation over the medial prefrontal cortex in obsessive compulsive disorder: results from an open-label study”, Depression and Anxiety, Vol. 32 No. 6, pp. 445-450.

Naahas, Z., Lomarev, M., Roberts, D.R., Shastri, A., Lorberbaum, J.P., Teneback, C. and Bohning, D.E. (2001), “Unilateral left prefrontal transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) produces intensity-dependent bilateral effects as measured by interleaved BOLD fMRI”, Biological Psychiatry, Vol. 50 No. 9, pp. 712-720.

Naucyziel, C., Le Jeune, F., Naudet, F., Douabin, S., Esquevin, A., Vérin, M. and Millet, B. (2014), “Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation over the orbitofrontal cortex for obsessive-compulsive disorder: a double-blind, crossover study”, Translational Psychiatry, Vol. 4 No. 9, pp. e436-e436.

O’Reardon, J.P., Solvason, H.B., Janicak, P.G., Sampson, S., Isenberg, K.E., Nahas, Z. and Sackeim, H.A. (2007), “Efficacy and safety of transcranial magnetic stimulation in the acute treatment of major depression: a multisite randomized controlled trial”, Biological Psychiatry, Vol. 62 No. 11, pp. 1208-1216.

Pelissolo, A., Harika-Germaneau, G., Rachid, F., Gaudeau-Bosma, C., Tanguy, M.L., Ben Adhira, R. and Jaafari, N. (2016), “Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation to supplementary motor area in refractory obsessive-compulsive disorder treatment: a sham-controlled trial”, International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology, Vol. 19 No. 8, pp. 1-6.

Perera, T., George, M.S., Grammer, G., Janicak, P.G., Pascual-Leone, A. and Wirecki, T.S. (2016), “The clinical TMS society consensus review and treatment recommendations for TMS therapy for major depressive disorder”, Brain Stimulation, Vol. 9 No. 3, pp. 336-346.

Pink, A.E., Williams, C., Alderman, N. and Stoffels, M. (2021), “The use of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) following traumatic brain injury (TBI): a scoping review”, Neuropsychological Rehabilitation, Vol. 31 No. 3, pp. 1-27.

Prasko, J., Pašková, B., Zálešký, R., Novák, T., Kopeček, M., Bareš, M. and Horáček, J. (2006), “The effect of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) on symptoms in obsessive compulsive disorder”, Neuroendocrinology Letters, Vol. 27 No. 3.

Ressler, K.J. and Mayberg, H.S. (2007), “Targeting abnormal neural circuits in mood and anxiety disorders: from the laboratory to the clinic”, Nature Neuroscience, Vol. 10 No. 9, pp. 1116-1124.

Rossi, S., Hallett, M., Rossini, P.M., Pascual-Leone, A. (2009), “Safety, ethical considerations, and application guidelines for the use of transcranial magnetic stimulation in clinical practice and research”, Clinical Neurophysiology, Vol. 120 No. 12, pp. 2008-2039.

Rossini, P.M. and Rossi, S. (2007), “Transcranial magnetic stimulation: diagnostic, therapeutic, and research potential”, Neurology, Vol. 68 No. 7, pp. 484-488.

Rostami, R., Kazemi, R., Jabbari, A., Madani, A.S., Rostami, H., Taherpour, M.A. and Salehinejad, M.A. (2020), “Efficacy and clinical predictors of response to rTMS treatment in pharmacoresistant obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD): a retrospective study”, BMC Psychiatry, Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 1-13.

Rostami, R., Kazemi, R., Jabbari, A., Madani, A.S., Rostami, H., Taherpour, M.A. and Salehinejad, M.A. (2020), “Efficacy and clinical predictors of response to rTMS treatment in pharmacoresistant obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD): A retrospective study”.

Ruffini, C., Locatelli, M., Lucca, A., Benedetti, F., Insacco, C. and Smeraldi, E. (2009), “Augmentation effect of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation over the orbitofrontal cortex in drug-resistant obsessive-compulsive disorder patients: a controlled investigation”, The Primary Care Companion to the Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, Vol. 11 No. 5, pp. 226-230.
Sachdev, P.S., McBride, R., Loo, C.K., Mitchell, P.B., Malhi, G.S. and Croker, V.M. (2001), “Right versus left prefrontal transcranial magnetic stimulation for obsessive-compulsive disorder: a preliminary investigation”, The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, Vol. 62 No. 12, pp. 981-984.

Sachdev, P.S., Loo, C.K., Mitchell, P.B., McFarquhar, T.F. and Malhi, G.S. (2007), “Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for the treatment of obsessive compulsive disorder: a double-blind controlled investigation”, Psychological Medicine, Vol. 37 No. 11, pp. 1645-1649.

Sandrini, M., Umiltà, C. and Rusconi, E. (2011), “The use of transcranial magnetic stimulation in cognitive neuroscience: a new synthesis of methodological issues”, Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, Vol. 35 No. 3, pp. 516-536.

Saxena, S. and Rauch, S.L. (2000), “Functional neuroimaging and the neuroanatomy of obsessive-compulsive disorder”, Psychiatric Clinics of North America, Vol. 23 No. 3, pp. 563-586.

Schoenfeldt-Lecuona, C., Lefaucheur, J.P., Cardenas-Morales, L., Wolf, R.C., Kammer, T. and Herwig, U. (2010), “The value of neuronavigated rTMS for the treatment of depression”, Neurophysiologie Clinique/Clinical Neurophysiology, Vol. 40 No. 1, pp. 37-43.

Seo, H.J., Jung, Y.E., Lim, H.K., Um, Y.H., Lee, C.U. and Chae, J.H. (2016), “Adjunctive low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation over the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in patients with treatment-resistant obsessive-compulsive disorder: a randomized controlled trial”, Clinical Psychopharmacology and Neuroscience, Vol. 14 No. 2, pp. 153-160.

Singh, S., Kumar, S., Gupta, A., Verma, R. and Kumar, N. (2019), “Effectiveness and predictors of response to 1-Hz repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in patients with Obsessive-Compulsive disorder”, The Journal of Ect, Vol. 35 No. 1, pp. 61-66.

Speer, A.M., Benson, B.E., Kimbrell, T.K., Wassermann, E.M., Willis, M.W., Herscovitch, P. and Post, R.M. (2009), “Opposite effects of high and low frequency rTMS on mood in depressed patients: relationship to baseline cerebral activity on PET”, Journal of Affective Disorders, Vol. 115 No. 3, pp. 386-394.

Talaei, A., Mortezania, M., Jafarzadeh, F.S., Saghebi, A. and Rezaei, A.A. (2009), “Dramatic response of resistant obsessive compulsive disorder to repeated transcranial magnetic stimulation on right supplementary motor area”, Iranian Journal of Medical Sciences, Vol. 34 No. 4, pp. 295-298.

Tringali, S., Perrot, X., Collet, L. and Moulin, A. (2012), “Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation: hearing safety considerations”, Brain Stimulation, Vol. 5 No. 3, pp. 354-363.

Van Den Heuvel, O.A., Veltman, D.J., Groenewegen, H.J., Cath, D.C., Van Balkom, A.J., Van Harskamp, J. and Van Dyck, R. (2005), “Frontal-striatal dysfunction during planning in obsessive-compulsive disorder”, Archives of General Psychiatry, Vol. 62 No. 3, pp. 301-309.

Wagner, T., Rushmore, J., Eden, U. and Valero-Cabre, A. (2009), “Biophysical foundations underlying TMS: setting the stage for an effective use of neurostimulation in the cognitive neurosciences”, Cortex, Vol. 45 No. 9, pp. 1025-1034.

Wassermann, E.M., Grafman, J., Berry, C., Hollnagel, C., Wild, K., Clark, K. and Hallett, M. (1996), “Use and safety of a new repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulator”, Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology/Electromyography and Motor Control, Vol. 101 No. 5, pp. 412-417.

Corresponding author
Medard Kofi Adu can be contacted at: medard@ualberta.ca

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website: www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm
Or contact us for further details: permissions@emeraldinsight.com