Distribution of Woody Plant Species Among Different Disturbance Regimes of Forests in a Temperate Deciduous Broad-Leaved Forest
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Forests in different disturbance regimes provide diverse microhabitats for species growth. However, whether the species distribution of woody plant is random or follows ecological specialization among forests in different disturbance regimes remains to be elucidated. In this study, four 1 hm² (100 m × 100 m) forest dynamic monitoring plots in different disturbance regimes of forests were randomly selected in a temperate deciduous broad-leaved forest. We examined the specificity of woody plants to forests through network analysis. Torus-translation test was used to analyze the species distribution preference of woody plants to forests in different disturbance regimes. The specialization index of woody plants was 0.3126, and that of shrubs (51.01%) was higher than that of trees (25.16%). Moreover, 66.67% (38/57) of woody plants were associated with different forests. More shrub species (70.00%) had specific preferences than tree species (45.95%) with respect to forests in different disturbance regimes. Our findings suggest that the distribution of woody plants among forests with different disturbance regimes is not random but is specialized. Different woody plants show different community preferences in different disturbance regimes of forests. Shrubs show higher specialization than trees in different disturbance regimes of forests.
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INTRODUCTION

Disturbance is an important factor that affects spatial heterogeneity and community succession in forest ecosystem (Zhang and Shangguan, 2006). This factor plays an important role in changes in species composition during plant community renewal and reconstruction. In addition to transformations of ecosystems caused by natural disasters, forest communities worldwide are being increasingly altered by human interference (Dong et al., 2005). Human disturbance can have an impact on the biodiversity of forest communities (Singh, 1998; Laurance and Peres, 2006; Laurance et al., 2014). With the intensification of human activities, the influence of human disturbance on ecosystem has gained increasing attention (Ye, 2000; Liu et al., 2002). Studying the effects of human disturbance on species diversity is of great significance for renewal and development of forest communities (Fang et al., 2019).
Forest cutting is a common type of human disturbance. Different cutting methods, intensities, and intervals have different impacts on the structure, function, and biodiversity of forest ecosystem (Chazdon, 2003). Under different human disturbances, environmental differences exist among communities in different succession stages. Different communities differ greatly in biological environments (e.g., community structure, species composition) and abiotic environments (e.g., soil and light) (Yuan et al., 2012; Song et al., 2015; Han et al., 2017; Gallé et al., 2018; Jia et al., 2019). In recent years, many works have reported on the impact of human disturbance and community succession on species diversity (Lu et al., 2008; Lü et al., 2017; Backer et al., 2014; Fang et al., 2019). However, the distribution of woody plants among forests in different disturbance regimes remains unclear.

Ecological specialization is the process by which a species adapts to its living environment and persists in that environment (Devictor et al., 2010; Poisot et al., 2011). In forest community, most species have good environmental adaptability and stable growth and reproduction (Bevill and Louda, 1999). Some species can survive and reproduce under very strict environmental conditions (Barlow et al., 2010). Some species may be able to live in more than one forest but may be better able to live and reproduce in a particular forest. Whether the distribution of species is random or follows ecological specialization among forests of different disturbance regimes remains to be elucidated.

Trees and shrubs belong to two life forms of woody plants (Chen et al., 2014). Many studies have confirmed that their species diversity maintenance mechanisms are different (Debeka et al., 2002; Potts et al., 2002; Hao et al., 2014; Chen, 2015). Shrubs are not only affected by topography, light, and soil but also by tree layers to a large extent (Lhotka and Loewenstein, 2008; Parke and Det, 2008; Hu et al., 2019). However, whether ecological specialization differs for trees and shrubs is not well-understood.

In this study, four 1 hm² (100 m × 100 m) forest dynamic monitoring plots in different disturbance regimes were randomly selected in a temperate deciduous broad-leaved forest. We examined the specificity of woody plants to forests in different disturbance regimes at community and species levels through network analysis and torus-translation, respectively. This study aims to: (1) identify whether the species distribution of woody plants is random or follows ecological specialization among forests of different disturbance regimes; and (2) assess differences in the ecological specialization between trees and shrubs in the examined temperate mountain forest.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Site and Sampling

The Baiyunshan Nature Reserve, which is about 168 km², is located in the south of Henan Province, China (111°48’-112°16’ E, 33°33’-33°56’ N) (Wang et al., 2018) and 1,500–2,216 m above sea level. The slope of the mountain is mostly 40°-80°. The annual precipitation is 1,200 mm, the average annual relative humidity is 70–78%, and the annual average temperature is 18°C. The average temperature is 13.5°C (Bi et al., 2014).

The Baiyunshan Nature Reserve has a transitional climate between subtropical and warm temperate zones and has deciduous broad-leaved forests. The forest coverage in the reserve reaches 98.5%, and it consists of 1,991 species of plants, such as Quercus aliena var. acutiserrata, Carpinus turczaninowii, Betula platyphylla, Pinus armandii Franch, and Toxicodendron vernicifluum (Li et al., 2017).

Forest monitoring plots were randomly selected and stratified by disturbance regimes in the Baiyunshan Nature Reserve. Four disturbance regimes of the forest were estimated based on knowledge of local logging events and forest physiognomy. Four 1 hm² plots (100 m × 100 m), namely, plantation forests, twice-cut forests, once-cut forests, and old-growth forests, were randomly selected within each disturbance regime in the reserve (Figure 1). Four 1 hm² plots were divided into 100 grids (10 m × 10 m). All trees with diameter at breast height (DBH) of ≥1 cm in the plot were tagged, mapped, and measured (Condit, 1995). Topographic variables (elevation, convex concave, slope, and aspect) were measured using the method in the study of Harms et al. (2001) for each 10 m × 10 m grid in the plot.

(I) Plantation forest: It is a Larix kaempferi forest planted after logging and clearing and is about 20 years old (high disturbance). The sample plot has 42 species of woody plants, with 31 trees and 11 shrubs. Quercus aliena var. acutiserrata and Larix gmelinii are the dominant species in the field (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1).

(II) Twice-cut forest: In this forest, natural regeneration occurred after once-cutting. Twice-cutting and breeding were carried out when the natural recovery was about 30 years old, and natural recovery was carried out with a stand age of about 50 years (moderate disturbance). The sample plot has 46 species of woody plants, with 31 trees and 15 shrubs. Quercus aliena var. acutiserrata, Pinus armandii Franch, and Corylus heterophylla are the main species in the sample community (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 2).

(III) Once-cut forest: The forest was restored after comprehensive once-cutting, with a stand age of about 50 years (slight disturbance). The sample plot has 57 species of woody plants, with 43 trees and 14 shrubs. Quercus aliena var. acutiserrata, Pinus armandii Franch, and Forsythia suspensa are the main species of the site (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 3).

(IV) Old-growth forest: In this forest, the individual density, mean DBH, and aboveground biomass of the woody plants were higher than those in the above three plant community types (Burrascano et al., 2013). The forest has been a natural forest for more than 100 years without human disturbance (undisturbance). The sample plot has 52 species of woody plants, with 31 trees and 21 shrubs. Quercus aliena var. acutiserrata, Sorbus hupehensis, and Litsea tsinlingensis are the main species in the sample land (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 4).

Data Analysis

The dominant species in the plots were statistically analyzed based on importance values (IV) to understand the woody plant...
community structure of the four forests of different disturbance regimes. Important value was calculated as follows: \( IV = (\text{relative abundance} \%) + (\text{relative frequency} \%) + (\text{relative breast height sectional area} \%) / 3 \) (Chen et al., 2020). Species accumulation curves of woody plants were drawn using “specaccum” function in vegan package of R to detect diversity difference among the four forests. Differences in the richness and abundance of woody plants in the four communities were statistically analyzed using Kruskal–Wallis method. Betadisper test was conducted with the “vegan” package of R (Oksanen et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2020).

In addition to disturbance factors, environmental factors, and interspecific relationships affect species distribution. Therefore, the effects of topographic factors on species distribution as well as interspecific relationships were considered. Redundancy analysis (RDA) was used to evaluate the difference in topographic factors among different communities. Topographic factors include slope, aspect, elevation, and convex concave (Chen et al., 2020). RDA was completed with the “ggord” package of R (Pierre, 2007). Point pattern method was used to analyze the interspecific relations of different species, and the selected function was g(r) function. The 99% confidence interval was simulated by Monte-Carlo (Fan and Yu, 2016). Point pattern was calculated using the “spatstat” package of R.

The relationship between woody plants and the community in the plot was visualized based on network approach to detect the specificity of woody plants to different forests at the community level. The “bipartite” package of R was used to construct a network structure of woody plants and different disturbance
TABLE 1 Dominant species in the four communities.

| Species                     | Life form | Abundance | Mean DBH | Basal area at breast heigh t (cm²) | Importance value |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| **Plantation forest**       |           |           |          |                                    |                  |
| Quercus aliena var. acutiserrata | Tree      | 540.00    | 13.09    | 11.30                              | 34.13            |
| Larix gmelinii              | Tree      | 125.00    | 24.26    | 6.53                               | 14.52            |
| Pinus tabuliformis Carrière | Tree      | 88.00     | 14.05    | 1.76                               | 7.16             |
| Toxicodendron vernicifluum  | Tree      | 76.00     | 12.81    | 1.29                               | 6.83             |
| Juglans cathayensis         | Tree      | 45.00     | 21.55    | 2.02                               | 6.17             |
| Cerasus clarofolia          | Tree      | 28.00     | 6.75     | 0.15                               | 2.13             |
| **Twice-cut forest**        |           |           |          |                                    |                  |
| Quercus aliena var. acutiserrata | Tree      | 1002.00   | 10.02    | 16.30                              | 34.07            |
| Pinus armandii Franch      | Tree      | 203.00    | 14.46    | 4.01                               | 9.21             |
| Corylus heterophylla       | Tree      | 456.00    | 3.027    | 0.46                               | 7.66             |
| Toxicodendron vernicifluum | Tree      | 159.00    | 9.50     | 1.68                               | 6.27             |
| Lindera obtusiloba Blume   | Tree      | 170.00    | 6.07     | 0.59                               | 5.03             |
| Betula platyphylla         | Tree      | 54.00     | 11.22    | 0.86                               | 3.96             |
| **Once-cut forest**         |           |           |          |                                    |                  |
| Quercus aliena var. acutiserrata | Tree      | 752.00    | 14.30    | 14.90                              | 21.49            |
| Pinus armandii Franch      | Tree      | 574.00    | 11.35    | 6.83                               | 12.54            |
| Forsythia suspensa         | Shrub     | 986.00    | 2.19     | 0.69                               | 10.29            |
| Pinus tabuliformis Carrière | Tree      | 343.00    | 12.57    | 5.12                               | 9.29             |
| Quercus serrata var. brevipetiolata | Tree     | 379.00    | 8.59     | 3.67                               | 7.59             |
| Lindera obtusiloba Blume   | Tree      | 246.00    | 4.55     | 0.55                               | 4.35             |
| **Old-growth forest**       |           |           |          |                                    |                  |
| Quercus aliena var. acutiserrata | Tree      | 938.00    | 16.32    | 26.39                              | 42.35            |
| Sorbus hupehensis          | Shrub     | 382.00    | 2.71     | 0.36                               | 6.59             |
| Litsea taiwensis           | Tree      | 183.00    | 4.68     | 0.50                               | 5.06             |
| Pinus armandii Franch      | Tree      | 76.00     | 10.04    | 1.00                               | 3.88             |
| Malus honanensis Rehder    | Shrub     | 104.00    | 5.09     | 0.42                               | 3.42             |
| Salix chaenomeloides Kimura | Tree      | 84.00     | 10.56    | 0.94                               | 2.94             |

Important value was calculated as follows: importance value = (relative abundance (%)) + relative frequency (%)) + relative breast height sectional area (%)/3.

regimes of forests (Dormann et al., 2009). We used the H2’ metric of specialization and connectance index to evaluate the relationship between woody plants and different disturbance regimes of forests (Blüthgen et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2020).

Species composition and species distribution preference in the four forests were analyzed by indicator species analysis and torus-translation test, respectively, to detect specificity of woody plants to different disturbance regimes of forests at the species level. “Indicspecies” package of R was used for indicator species analysis (De Cáceres, 2013). The dependent variable in the indicator species analysis was the species abundance matrix of woody plants. Torus-translation test is currently the most commonly used method for determining the association between species and habitat (Harms et al., 2001; Debski et al., 2002; DeWalt et al., 2006; Gunatilleke et al., 2006; Yamada et al., 2006; Comita et al., 2007). In the present study, four 1 hm² plots with different disturbance regimes of forests were selected as four microhabitats. Based on the 10 m × 10 m subplot in the four 1 hm² plots, the torus-translation test provided 1 real and 1,599 translated maps. Community associations were only tested for species with more than four individuals in the 4 hm² plot. A total of 57 species were used for torus-translation analysis. Further details on this method are provided by Harms et al. (2001). All data were computed in R 3.5.3.

RESULTS

Species Composition of Different Disturbance Regimes of Forests
The four communities consisted of 89 species (58 trees and 31 shrubs) of woody plants. The spatial distribution of species among the four communities was highly asymmetric in terms of species density (Figure 2). Once-cut forests had the highest species density, with 4,302 woody plants, followed by twice-cut forests (3,065 woody plants) and old-growth forests (2,490 woody plants). Plantation forests had the lowest species density, with only 1,165 woody plants. The species accumulation curves of the four communities showed that the species number varied with the sampling area (Figure 3).

The Kruskal–Wallis test results showed significant differences in species abundance and species richness among the four
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FIGURE 2 | Spatial scatter plots and DBH plots of woody plants in different communities.
communities (Figures 4A–F). The betadisper analysis followed by ANOVA indicated significant differences in the distribution of woody plants among the four communities (Figures 5D–F).

In RDA, topographic factors, namely, elevation, slope, aspect, and convex concave, explained 18.72, 15.45, 11.83, and 9.10% of the variation in the species distribution of the four communities, respectively. The significance of each environmental factor is shown in Supplementary Figure 1. According to the interspecific correlation analysis, most of the dominant species had a significant negative correlation in the four communities at the whole research scale (Supplementary Figures 2–5).

Species Specialization Characteristics at Community Level

The Venn diagram showed significant differences in species composition among the different disturbance regimes of the forests. Four, 5, 11, and 15 species of woody plants were found in only one community in plantation forests, twice-cut forests, once-cut forests, and old-growth forests, respectively. The four communities shared 20 species. A total of 60.67% (54/89) of species occurred in two or more communities (Figure 5A). In the network analysis, the specialization index was 0.3126. The connectance index showed that the association between woody plants and different disturbance regimes forests was 53.23% (Figure 6).

Species Specialization Characteristics at Species Level

The indicator species analysis showed that the indicator species of the four communities were different. The plantation forests were mainly composed of Cerasus serrulata, Malus hupehensis, and Larix gmelinii. The twice-cut forests were mainly composed of Cornus kousa subsp. Chinensis, Rhododendron micranthum, and Corylus heterophylla. The once-cut forests were mainly composed of Betula platyphylla, Symlocos paniculata, and Quercus serrata var. brevipetiata. The old-growth forests were mainly composed of Fraxinus chinensis, Ailanthus altissima, and Alangium platanifolium (Table 2).

Based on the torus-translation test, 66.67% (38/57) species showed significant correlations ($P < 0.05$); among which 26 were positively correlated and 12 were negatively correlated (Table 3). In the 38 significant correlations, 31 species were associated with different communities. Seven species showed significant positive or negative correlations with different
FIGURE 4 | Species richness and abundance of woody plants in the four communities. Black lines indicate significant differences, as obtained using Kruskal–Wallis method \((p \leq 0.05)\) level of significance. \((A,B)\) are the abundance and richness of overall species, respectively. \((C,D)\) are the abundance and richness of trees, respectively. \((E,F)\) are the abundance and richness of shrubs, respectively.

FIGURE 5 | Degree of overlap of the species composition in the four communities \((A):\) Overall; \((B):\) Tree; \((C):\) Shurb). Effect of community types on beta diversity of the woody plant by running the betadisper function. ANOVA was conducted to test how these distances differ among community \((D):\) Overall; \((E):\) Tree; \((F):\) Shurb). PCoA1 and PCoA2 are the first and second sort axes in the “betadisper” analysis, respectively.
TABLE 2 | Indicator species analysis in the four communities (p ≤ 0.05 level of significance).

| Species                        | Life form | Community | p-value |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Acer davidii subsp. grosseri   | Tree      | A         | 0.05    |
| Malus hupehensis              | Tree      | A         | 0.01    |
| Larix gmelinii                | Tree      | A         | 0.01    |
| Cerasus sempervirens          | Tree      | A         | 0.01    |
| Juglans cathayensis           | Tree      | A         | 0.01    |
| Styrax obassii                | Tree      | A         | 0.01    |
| Cornus kousa subsp. chinensis | Shrub     | B         | 0.01    |
| Rhododendron micranthum       | Shrub     | B         | 0.01    |
| Corylus heterophylla          | Tree      | B         | 0.01    |
| Betula platyphylla            | Tree      | C         | 0.01    |
| Symposcos paniculata          | Shrub     | C         | 0.01    |
| Quercus serrata var. brevipetiolata | Tree | C         | 0.01    |
| Carpinus polyneura            | Tree      | C         | 0.04    |
| Carpinus turczaninowii        | Tree      | C         | 0.01    |
| Tilia japonica                | Tree      | C         | 0.01    |
| Pinus armandii Franch         | Tree      | C         | 0.01    |
| Fonythia suspensa             | Shrub     | C         | 0.01    |
| Toxicodendron verniciflum     | Tree      | C         | 0.01    |
| Carpinus cordata              | Tree      | C         | 0.05    |
| Acer davidii                  | Tree      | C         | 0.01    |
| Lindera obtusiloba Blume      | Tree      | C         | 0.01    |
| Tilia paucicostata            | Tree      | C         | 0.01    |
| Sorbus aplinifolia            | Tree      | C         | 0.01    |
| Pinus tabuliformis            | Tree      | C         | 0.01    |
| Fraxinus chinensis            | Tree      | D         | 0.01    |
| Alantthus altissima           | Tree      | D         | 0.01    |
| Alangium plataniifolium       | Shrub     | D         | 0.01    |
| Malus honanensis Rehder       | Shrub     | D         | 0.01    |
| Sorbus hupehensis             | Shrub     | D         | 0.01    |
| Cotoneaster acutifolius       | Shrub     | D         | 0.06    |
| Sambucus williamsii           | Shrub     | D         | 0.01    |
| Abelia biflora                | Shrub     | D         | 0.01    |
| Litsea tsinlingensis          | Tree      | D         | 0.01    |
| Quercus aliena var. acutiserrata | Tree  | D         | 0.01    |
| Populus davidiana             | Tree      | D         | 0.01    |
| Salix shihtsuanensis          | Shrub     | D         | 0.01    |
| Eucyrum phelomanus            | Shrub     | D         | 0.01    |
| Acer pictum subsp. mono       | Tree      | D         | 0.01    |
| Salix chaenomeloides Kimura   | Tree      | D         | 0.01    |

A, B, C, and D represent the plantation forest, twice-cut forest, once-cut forest, and old-growth forest, respectively.

communities simultaneously. Twenty-six species showed no significant correlation with any habitat.

**Differences in Species Specialization Characteristics Between Trees and Shrubs**

The results of Kruskal–Wallis test showed significant differences in the species abundance and richness of trees and shrubs in the four communities (Figures 4C–F). The betadisper analysis
TABLE 3 | Significant associations of woody plant species with the four communities (\(P \leq 0.05\) level of significance for torus-translation test).

| Species                        | Life form | Community | Species                        | Life form | Community |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Betula platyphylla           | Tree      | B(+) D(−) | Celastrus orbiculatus         | Shrub     | NA        |
| Fraxinus chinensis           | Tree      | D(+)      | Meliosma veitchiorum          | Tree      | D(−)      |
| Symlocos paniculata          | Shrub     | B(+) D(−) | Toxicodendron verniciflum     | Tree      | A(+) D(−) |
| Alantianus altissima         | Tree      | D(+)      | Carpinus cordata              | Tree      | D(−)      |
| Cornus controversa           | Tree      | NA        | Litsea tainlingensis          | Tree      | D(+)      |
| Quercus serrata var. brevipetiolata | Tree      | NA        | Berberis circumcissata        | Shrub     | D(+)      |
| Carpinus turczaninowii      | Tree      | C(+) D(−) | Acer davidii                  | Tree      | NA        |
| Acer davidii subsp. grosseri | Tree      | NA        | Quercus aliena var. acutiserrata | Tree      | C(−)      |
| Ailanthus altissima          | Tree      | NA        | Lindera obtusiloba            | Tree      | NA        |
| Malus honanensis             | Shrub     | C(−) D(+) | Philadelphus incanus          | Shrub     | D(+)      |
| Malus hupehensis             | Tree      | NA        | Populus davidiana             | Tree      | D(+)      |
| Sorbus hupehensis            | Shrub     | D(+)      | Carasus serrulata             | Tree      | NA        |
| Lonicera tatarinowii        | Shrub     | NA        | Tilia paucicostata            | Tree      | C(+)      |
| Tilia japonica              | Tree      | NA        | Salix shihshuanensis          | Shrub     | NA        |
| Pinus armandii               | Tree      | C(+)      | Euonymus phellomanus          | Shrub     | D(+)      |
| Corylus chinensis            | Tree      | NA        | Quercus variabilis            | Tree      | NA        |
| Crataegus wilsonii           | Shrub     | NA        | Sorbus aitifolia              | Tree      | NA        |
| Viburnum betulifolium       | Shrub     | B(+)      | Cornus kousa subsp. chinensis | Shrub     | B(+) D(−) |
| Cotoneaster acutifolius      | Shrub     | D(+)      | Cornus claroifolus            | Shrub     | B(−)      |
| Viburnum opulus var. sargentii | Shrub     | NA        | Acer pictum subsp. mono       | Tree      | B(−) D(+) |
| Betula chinensis             | Tree      | NA        | Salix chaenomeloides          | Tree      | D(+)      |
| Sambucus williamsii         | Shrub     | D(+)      | Juglans cathayensis           | Tree      | NA        |
| Cornus macrophylia           | Tree      | NA        | Pinus tabuliformis            | Tree      | B(−)      |
| Forsythia suspensa           | Shrub     | C(+)      | Yulania denuida               | Tree      | NA        |
| Betula luminifera            | Tree      | NA        | Styrax obassis                | Tree      | NA        |
| Euptelea pleiosperma         | Tree      | NA        | Acer truncatum                | Tree      | NA        |
| Abelia biflora              | Shrub     | D(+)      | Rhododendron micranthum       | Shrub     | NA        |
| Larix gmelinii               | Tree      | NA        | Corylus heterophylla          | Tree      | B(+)      |
| Cornus Walteri              | Tree      | A(−)      |                             |           |           |

A, B, C, and D represent the plantation forest, twice-cut forest, once-cut forest, and old-growth forest, respectively. NA represents no significant correlation. (+) indicates positive correlation, and (−) indicates negative correlation.

followed by ANOVA test showed significant differences in the distribution of trees and shrubs in the four communities (Figures 5E,F). A total of 63.79% (37/58) of tree species were recorded in two or more communities (Figure 5B). A total of 54.84% (17/31) of shrub species were recorded in two or more communities (Figure 5C).

In the network analysis, for trees, the specialization index was 0.2516 and the connectance index was 0.5708. For shrubs, the specialization index was 0.5101 and the connectance index was 0.5708. According to the torus-translation test, a total of 45.95% (17/37) species of tree species were associated with different communities. Fourteen species were positively correlated with communities, and 8 species were negatively correlated with communities (Table 3). A total of 70% (14/20) species of shrubs were associated with different communities. Twelve shrubs were positively correlated with communities, and four shrubs were negatively correlated with communities (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

In the network analysis, the specialization index of woody plants in the four forests of different disturbance regimes was 31.26%, which is higher than the previously reported plant–fungus network (0.265; Toju et al., 2014) and lower than the plant–seed diffusion network (0.354; Dicks et al., 2002). The findings suggest that ecological specialization plays an important role in the distribution of woody plant species. The characteristic network structure of plant communities may be determined by the biological relationships of species and environment (e.g., topography and soil) and interspecific relationship. Topography is an important environmental factor that reflects the soil environment, humidity, and temperature to some extent (Wangda and Ohsawa, 2006; Lan et al., 2011; Lei, 2019). However, in the present study, topographic factors played a small role in determining the distribution of species among forests of different disturbance regimes (Supplementary Figure 1). The interspecific relationship in the forests mainly had a
negative correlation (Supplementary Figures 2–5). Therefore, interspecific relationship may be partly responsible for the observed moderate modularity in plant–community networks. Hence, the distribution of woody plants among forests of different disturbance regimes is not random but is specialized.

The indicator species analysis showed variations in the assemblage characteristics of woody plants in different disturbance regimes of forests (Table 2). The torus-translation test showed that half of the species had significant correlations with different communities (38/57, 66.67%). Therefore, different woody plants show different community preferences in forest of different disturbance regimes. The torus translation showed that 38.60% (22/57) of the species preferred to be distributed in the old-growth forests, while only 3.51% (2/57) of the species were distributed in the plantation forests. This finding is due to the fact that the plantation forest is far more human disturbed than the undisturbed forest, which is undisturbed for a long time, so more habitats will be formed (Gao et al., 2017). Twelve species showed a negative association with the old-growth forests (Table 3), where these species are eliminated from the communities because of long-term environmental filtering (Yan and Bi, 2009). For example, Betula platyphylla is a fast-growing and dominant species in the early stages of community succession. In the late stage of succession, diseases and insect pests often occur due to lack of light and other reasons, and this species is eventually eliminated from the community (Zhu, 1994; Dong et al., 2005; Guo et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2007). Our study demonstrates the importance of forest partitioning with different disturbance regimes in maintaining local diversity in a woody plant community.

Consistent with our hypothesis, shrubs showed higher specialization than trees in forests of different disturbance regimes. The specialization index of shrubs (51.01%) is higher than that of trees (25.16%). In addition, more shrub species (70.00%) had specific preferences than tree species (45.95%) with respect to forests in different disturbance regimes. In addition to topography, soil physical and chemical properties, interspecific relationships, and other environments, shrub species are more affected by forest canopy structure than tree species (Hu et al., 2019). Great differences in canopy structure were found among different disturbance regimes of forests, resulting in differences in light environment, soil physical and chemical properties, and litter under the forest (Song et al., 2015; Gao et al., 2017; Han et al., 2017; Gallé et al., 2018). Diverse habitats under the forest canopy provide suitable environments for the growth of different shrub species (Yuan et al., 2012; Jia et al., 2019). Therefore, more shrub species exhibited distinct community preferences than tree species in forests of different disturbance regimes.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

Our study finds that the distribution of woody plants among forests with different disturbance regimes is not random but specialized. Different woody plants show different community preferences in different disturbance regimes of forests. Shrubs have higher specialization than trees in forests of different disturbance regimes.

In terms of forest sustainable development, woody plant species should not be randomly planted in the forest, but species that prefer to be distributed in forests of different disturbance regimes should be considered. According to our results, for example, Sorbus hupehensis prefers to be distributed in the old-growth forest, Viburnum betulifolium prefers to be distributed in the twice-cut forest, and Malus honanensis does not like to be distributed in the once-cut forest (Table 3). In addition, more attention has been paid to the distribution preference of shrub species to forests in different disturbance regimes than to tree species.
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