Has the COVID-19 Pandemic Traumatized Us Collectively? The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Mental Health and Sleep Factors via Traumatization: A Multinational Survey
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Purpose: The COVID-19 pandemic affects mental health and sleep, resulting in frequent nightmares. Therefore, identifying factors associated with nightmare frequency is important, as it can indicate mental health issues. The study aimed to investigate increases in nightmare frequency comparing the pre-pandemic and pandemic period, and identify its risk factors. Further, the mediating role of post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms between the pandemic and nightmares is explored.

Patients and Methods: For this cross-sectional survey data were obtained via self-rating online survey (ICOSS: details in Partinen et al, 2021), which was open to anyone older than 18 years. The final volunteer sample consisted of 15,292 participants, divided according to their nightmare frequency (high: ≥1–2 nights/week; low: <1–2 nights/week). A total of 9100 participants were excluded if answers on variables of interest were missing or receiving rewards for participation. Chi-square tests identified changes of nightmare frequency. Predictors of high nightmare frequency were assessed using logistic regression and presented as Odds Ratios. Post-hoc mediation models were used to investigate the role of post-traumatic stress symptoms (PTSS).

Results: The mean age was 41.63 (SD=16.55) with 64.05% females. High nightmare frequency increased significantly from 13.24% to 22.35% during the pandemic. Factors associated with it included self-reported PTSS (OR=2.11). Other mental disorders and various sleep disorders or problems. Financial burden due to the pandemic, confinement, having had COVID-19, and work situation during the pandemic were associated with nightmare frequency, those relations were partly mediated through PTSS.
**Conclusion:** Our results display the pandemic influence on nightmare frequency, which in turn connects to multiple mental health and sleep factors. These relations were partly mediated through PTSS. The COVID-19 pandemic appears to have caused traumatization of a substantial proportion of society. Health care workers should consider nightmares in their screening routines, as it might indicate PTSS and/or other mental and sleep disorders.
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**Introduction**

The COVID-19 pandemic presents a variety of challenges which burdened almost all aspects of life. This may translate into the potential for increased nightmare frequency (NMF) and a variety of sleep and mental disorders, for which high NMF is a symptom. Notably, nightmares are not only a symptom of wider syndromes but also a separate disorder that should be treated accordingly. Thus, we propose that NMF can be used as an indicator of sleep disorders, psychological problems, and overall well-being.

Dream function is to integrate new experiences in the autobiographical memory. They are reactive to experiences that are highly emotional and personally relevant. Similarly, dreams often involve the most significant stressors of one’s life, and help with emotion regulation. Nightmares can also display an attempt to cope with stress, especially when other stress buffering factors, like social support are missing. During this crisis both stress levels and NMF have been found increased. Generally, an increase in nightmares and bad dreams has been observed in periods of high stress. During the pandemic, the stressful factors are uncertainty, social isolation, and confinement. Social distancing and isolation are very conflictive to our human nature, that is to live in herd- or swarm-like alignments. Additionally, the uncertainty of the current situation evokes feelings of insecurity and powerlessness, as it is unknown when life will get back to normal and the individual influence on the course of the pandemic is limited.

Nightmares are symptoms of, or related to a variety of sleep and mental health-related problems such as insomnia, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and depression. Even more concerning is that insomnia symptoms and nightmares are independently significantly related to suicide risk. Importantly, levels of anxiety and depression improved in PTSD patients receiving lucid dreaming therapy. Nightmares severely impact not only our night but also our day life and can cause mental health problems in the affected person. Lemyre et al (2019) found that, apart from depression and PTSD, nightmares show a positive correlation with bipolar disorders, anxiety disorders, obsessive-compulsive disorders, attention-deficit and hyperactivity disorder, schizophrenia spectrum disorders, substance use disorders, eating disorders, personality disorders, and the general level of psychopathology.

Nightmares may have a diagnostic value, especially during this pandemic. Indeed, several studies reported increasing sleep problems and disorders, as well as mental disorders. Specifically, studies reported high levels of distress during the pandemic, as well as an increase of depressive symptoms, anxiety-related symptoms and PTSD. Moreover, panic attacks, irrational fears, post-traumatic stress, fatigue, reduced sleep quality, and sleep disturbances have been reported more frequently during the pandemic.

The pandemic is also likely to affect our circadian rhythm. This is because we are forced to work from home, which resulted in waking up later. Additionally, confinements led to restricted daylight exposure affecting our circadian rhythm. But there is still no clear answer to the question whether the pandemic, which clearly changed our sleeping patterns, resulted in better or worsened sleep quality, or if sleep quality changed in different directions for different populations. Merikanto et al found that especially the evening-type population suffered from increasing sleep problems. But COVID-19 is not just influencing our sleep, as sleep could also have an impact on the severity of an infection. There are reports that improving sleeping habits is vital to our immune system. Sleep deprivation is proven to impair the immune systems’ ability to combat infections and inflammations, because cytokine levels, anti-bodies and cells that are fighting infections are decreasing when sleep is missing. Therefore, investigating nightmares, that affect sleep quality, is of high interest.
We hypothesized that NMF increased during the pandemic and that it can be predicted by mental health, sleep, and pandemic related measures. Our main objective is to examine which risk factors contribute to the increase of nightmares during the pandemic.

Materials and Methods
This paper is part of the International COVID-19 Sleep Study (ICOSS), and the research protocol and standardized survey questionnaire are described in detail elsewhere.1 The project is a cross-sectional online survey including 14 countries: Austria, Brazil, Canada, Hong Kong (China), Province Jilin (China), Finland, France, Italy, Japan, Norway, Sweden, Poland, the UK and the USA. Data was obtained from May to August 2020. Participants received a link or QR-code to access the questionnaire. All subjects were at least 18 years old and agrees voluntarily to participate anonymously, which was considered as implied consent, as approved by the local Institutional Review Board in each country. Informed consent was obtained as every subject voluntarily agreed to participate in the survey. Investigators from each country obtained ethical approval from the local ethics committee. For the survey in Austria, the ethics commission of the Medical University of Vienna approved the survey and stated, that no further approval is needed as participation is voluntarily and anonymously and no clinical population is targeted. General data protection regulations were applied and the study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki.

The questionnaire collected data on sociodemographic variables (eg, age, gender, marital status, education, work) and COVID-19 related information (ie, infection, treatment, confinement). To assess psychological variables, standardized and validated measures were included. Depressive symptoms were measured using the Patient Health Questionnaire – 2 (PHQ-2, Kroenke et al, 2009) and the Generalized Anxiety Disorder – 2 (GAD-2, Kroenke et al, 2009) was used to assess symptoms of anxiety. For both scales, sum scores are calculated and the cut-off score is ≥3. The Abbreviated PTSD Checklist (PCL-2, Lang et al, 2012) assessed post-traumatic stress symptoms (PTSS) and consists of two items referring to repeated disturbing thoughts of stressful events in the past and feeling very upset when thinking about stressful events of the past, answered on a five-point scale (1 to 5). If the sum score is ≥4 it indicates PTSS. A single item assessed stress and the WHO-5 measured overall psychological well-being. Quality of life (QoL) and health (QoH) data were measured through linear visual analogue scales.

Questionnaires on sleep problems included items of the Basic Nordic Sleep Questionnaire,41 the STOP Questionnaire (STOP, Chung et al, 2008), the Insomnia Severity Index (ISI; Morin et al, 2011) and a single question on REM sleep behavior disorder (RBD).44 If a person answers yes on two or more items on the STOP questionnaire, an elevated risk for obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA) is indicated. Cutoff scores for the ISI were ≥8 for no insomnia, ≥10 for threshold insomnia and ≥15 for severe insomnia.

Participants reported their NMF and dream recall frequency (DRF) before and during the pandemic on a five-point scale (ie 1=“Never or less frequently than once/month”, 2=“Less frequently than once/week”, 3=“1–2 days/week”, 4=“3–5 days/week”, 5=“Daily or almost daily”). NMF and DRF were categorized as low (NMF: <1–2 nights/week; DRF: <3–5 nights/week) and high (NMF: ≥1–2 nights/week; DRF: ≥3–5 nights/week).13,45

Statistical Analysis
Statistical procedures were carried out using Stata/SE 16.1.46 Data from the USA were excluded, because collection method differed as participants received a monetary reward. Data from Poland was excluded due to too many missing values. Additionally, any participants with missing values on any of the variables were excluded. This led to the exclusion of 9100 respondents.

Chi-square tests were calculated to detect differences in NMF between the pre-pandemic and pandemic period, and to investigate differences between individuals high and low in NMF on pandemic related and sociodemographic characteristics. The participants characteristics were described using frequencies (percentages).

Logistic regressions were conducted to examine associations between high NMF during the pandemic (dependent variable) and PTSS, insomnia, sleep quality, stress, anxiety, RBD, OSA, depressive symptoms, sleep talking, sleep maintenance problems, early morning awakenings, sleep onset problems, use of sleep medicine/hypnotics, daytime sleepiness, fatigue, financial burden due to COVID-19, confinements, having had COVID-19, work situation during the
pandemic that impacts how much everyday life changed, living alone, QoL, QoH and wellbeing (independent variables). Adjustments were made stepwise for DRF to see whether NMF would just be a by-product, for age and gender, as these are known to impact NMF and for pre-pandemic NMF, because NMF is considered relatively time-stable. \( P \)-values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Variable Inflation Factors (VIF) of the independent variables were calculated to check for multicollinearity before running the regression. All VIFs were <5 indicating no critical collinearity.

**Results**

The final sample consisted of 15,292 participants (the initial sample size was 24,392, 9100 participants have been excluded), with 64.05% females. The mean age was 41.63 (SD = 16.55) years. 63.23% reported no confinements, whereas 16.97% were confined more than eight weeks. Further, 2.21% reported having had COVID-19.

NMF had indeed increased during the pandemic. Before the pandemic, 86.76% reported low and 13.24% high NMF. During the pandemic, these percentages changed significantly (\( p < 0.001 \)) to 77.65% and 22.35%, respectively. During the pandemic, NMF decreased for 4.80% of participants, did not change for 75.37%, and increased for 19.83%.

The results comparing pandemic related variables and sociodemographic characteristics of participants by low and high NMF are shown in Table 1. Participants who were female, younger, unemployed, students, had COVID-19 were confined for a longer period and experienced higher financial burden due to the pandemic showed higher NMF.

**Predictors of High NMF**

Predictors for high NMF during the pandemic are shown in Table 2 as odds ratios (OR) with the 95% confidence intervals. Unadjusted and adjusted results are displayed in Table 2, results for every adjustment step can be found in eTable 1 in the supplements. The fully adjusted model explained 45.29% of NMF variance. All models were significant at \( p < 0.001 \).

In the adjusted model, PTSS, threshold insomnia, poor sleep quality, anxiety, RBD, depression, and OSA significantly predicted high NMF. Further, sleep talking, sleep onset problems, early morning awakenings, fatigue, poor wellbeing, high DRF, being a woman, younger age and high pre-pandemic NMF were significantly associated with pandemic NMF. Concerning pandemic-related variables, suffering financially, being confined for more than 8 weeks, being a student and having had COVID-19 were significantly associated with higher NMF.

**Post-Hoc Mediation Analysis**

When looking at the predictors for high NMF, PTSS was highly significant and the OR was especially high (OR=2.11, \( p<0.001 \)). This finding is unsurprising as nightmares are a common symptom of PTSD. But additionally, other significant predictors seem coherent with the diagnostic criteria of PTSD. Further, 46.69% of participants reported PTSS. Therefore, it seems possible, that effects of pandemic related variables on NMF might be mediated through PTSS.

To explore this possibility a mediation analysis was conducted. Pandemic related variables significantly predicting NMF were used as independent variables, to see whether the effect these pandemic factors had on NMF were a manifestation of post-traumatic stress. These pandemic factors were financial burden, confinement, having had COVID-19, and work situation. The mediator was PTSS and the dependent variable was NMF in all models. We conducted mediation analysis for each independent variable, thus resulting in four models, that are displayed in Figure 1.

The analysis of Model 1 yielded a significant total effect of financial burden on NMF, \( B=0.36, p<0.001 \). Further, financial burden predicted PTSS, \( B=0.067, p<0.001 \), and PTSS predicted NMF, \( B=0.27, p<0.001 \). The relation between financial burden and NMF was still significant after including the mediator in the model, \( B=0.018, p<0.001 \). Therefore, PTSS only partially mediated the effect of financial burden on NMF, indirect effect=0.018, 95% CI [0.016; 0.020].

The results of Model 2 indicated a significant total effect of confinement on NMF, \( B=0.33, p<0.001 \). Confinement predicted PTSS, \( B=0.41, p<0.001 \) and PTSS predicted NMF, \( B=0.26, p<0.001 \). The significant effect of confinement on NMF persisted after including the mediator, \( B=0.022, p<0.001 \). Thus, the effect of confinement on NMF was partially mediated by PTSS, indirect effect=0.01, 95% CI [0.009; 0.012].
|                  | Low NMF (n=11,875) | High NMF (n=3417) | p    |
|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------|
| Gender           |                     |                   | <0.001|
| Male             | 4546 (82.68)        | 952 (17.32)       |      |
| Female           | 7329 (74.83)        | 2465 (25.17)      |      |
| Age              |                     |                   | <0.001|
| <25              | 1822 (69.92)        | 784 (30.08)       |      |
| 25–34            | 2742 (71.59)        | 1088 (28.41)      |      |
| 35–44            | 2056 (79.57)        | 528 (20.43)       |      |
| 45–54            | 2003 (82.12)        | 436 (17.88)       |      |
| 55–64            | 1677 (84.44)        | 309 (15.56)       |      |
| 65+              | 1575 (85.27)        | 272 (14.73)       |      |
| Living Alone     |                     |                   | 0.217|
| Yes              | 260 (74.93)         | 87 (25.07)        |      |
| No               | 11,615 (77.72)      | 3330 (22.28)      |      |
| Work             |                     |                   | <0.001|
| Regular day work | 5701 (80.26)        | 1402 (19.74)      |      |
| Irregular day work| 1586 (77.75)     | 454 (22.25)       |      |
| Student          | 1577 (70.06)        | 674 (29.94)       |      |
| At home, no salary| 1257 (80.17)      | 311 (19.83)       |      |
| Unemployed       | 699 (65.51)         | 368 (34.49)       |      |
| Retired          | 1055 (83.53)        | 208 (16.47)       |      |
| Covid-19         |                     |                   | <0.001|
| No               | 11,660 (77.97)      | 3294 (22.03)      |      |
| Yes              | 215 (63.61)         | 123 (36.39)       |      |
| Confinement      |                     |                   | <0.001|
| No               | 7879 (81.49)        | 1790 (18.51)      |      |
| ≤2 weeks         | 1056 (81.36)        | 242 (18.64)       |      |
| 3–4 weeks        | 508 (77.91)         | 144 (22.09)       |      |
| 5–6 weeks        | 300 (69.77)         | 130 (30.23)       |      |
| 7–8 weeks        | 462 (71.30)         | 186 (28.70)       |      |
| >8 weeks         | 1670 (64.35)        | 925 (35.65)       |      |
| Financial burden |                     |                   | <0.001|
| Not at all       | 5032 (81.46)        | 1145 (18.54)      |      |
| A little/somewhat| 5454 (76.77)        | 1650 (23.23)      |      |
| Much/very much   | 1389 (69.07)        | 622 (30.93)       |      |

Notes: Differences between participants low and high in NMF were assessed with chi-square tests. Results are shown as frequencies (percentages).
Table 2 Unadjusted and Adjusted Results of Predictors for High NMF During the Pandemic

| Predictors | OR Unadjusted (95% CI) | OR Adjusted for DRF, Gender, Age and NMF Before (95% CI) |
|------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| **PTSD**   |                        |                                                    |
| No         | 1                      | 1                                                  |
| Yes        | 2.52** (2.28–2.79)     | 2.11** (1.86–2.40)                                  |
| **Insomnia** |                    |                                                    |
| No insomnia | 1                      | 1                                                  |
| Threshold insomnia | 1.49** (1.32–1.71) | 1.32** (1.14–1.53)                                  |
| Clinical insomnia | 1.58** (1.25–1.82) | 1.12 (0.90–1.38)                                   |
| Severe insomnia | 1.24 (0.87–1.54)  | 0.86 (0.62–1.20)                                   |
| **Sleep quality** |                |                                                    |
| Bad        | 1.45** (1.30–1.62)     | 1.91** (1.67–2.20)                                  |
| Good       | 1                      | 1                                                  |
| **Stress** |                        |                                                    |
| Mild       | 1                      | 1                                                  |
| Severe     | 1.14* (1.01–1.29)      | 1.13 (0.98–1.32)                                   |
| **Anxiety** |                    |                                                    |
| No         | 1                      | 1                                                  |
| Yes        | 1.35** (1.18–1.55)     | 1.47** (1.24–1.73)                                  |
| **RBD**    |                        |                                                    |
| No         | 1                      | 1                                                  |
| Yes        | 1.59** (1.44–1.76)     | 1.25** (1.11–1.42)                                  |
| **OSA**    |                        |                                                    |
| Low risk   | 1                      | 1                                                  |
| Elevated risk | 1.15* (1.03–1.28) | 1.20* (1.11–1.42)                                  |
| **Depression** |                    |                                                    |
| No         | 1                      | 1                                                  |
| Yes        | 1.18* (1.03–1.36)      | 1.21* (1.02–1.44)                                  |
| **Sleep talking** |                |                                                    |
| Rare       | 1                      | 1                                                  |
| Frequent   | 2.49** (2.11–2.93)     | 1.69** (1.38–2.06)                                  |
| **Sleep onset problems** |             |                                                    |
| Rare       | 1                      | 1                                                  |
| Frequent   | 1.14* (1.01–1.28)      | 1.23* (1.07–1.42)                                  |

(Continued)
Table 2 (Continued).

|                               | OR Unadjusted (95% CI) | OR Adjusted for DRF, Gender, Age and NMF Before (95% CI) |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| **Problems staying asleep**   |                        |                                                          |
| Rare                          | 1                      | 1                                                        |
| Frequent                      | 1.34*** (1.19–1.51)    | 1.14 (0.98–1.32)                                         |
| **Early morning awakening**   |                        |                                                          |
| Rare                          | 1                      | 1                                                        |
| Frequent                      | 1.04 (0.92–1.19)       | 1.24* (1.06–1.44)                                        |
| **Sleep medicine/hypnotics**  |                        |                                                          |
| Rare                          | 1                      | 1                                                        |
| Frequent                      | 1.24* (1.05–1.45)      | 1.07 (0.87–1.32)                                         |
| **Daytime sleepiness**        |                        |                                                          |
| Rare                          | 1                      | 1                                                        |
| Frequent                      | 1.06 (0.95–1.19)       | 0.97 (0.84–1.12)                                         |
| **Fatigue**                   |                        |                                                          |
| Rare                          | 1                      | 1                                                        |
| Frequent                      | 1.29*** (1.15–1.45)    | 1.21* (1.05–1.40)                                        |
| **Financial burden**          |                        |                                                          |
| Not at all                    | 1                      | 1                                                        |
| A little/somewhat             | 1.15* (1.04–1.27)      | 1.16* (1.02–1.31)                                        |
| Much/severely                 | 1.24* (1.08–1.43)      | 1.23* (1.03–1.46)                                        |
| **Confinement**               |                        |                                                          |
| No                            | 1                      | 1                                                        |
| Two weeks or less             | 0.84 (0.71–1.00)       | 0.88 (0.72–1.10)                                         |
| 3 to 4 weeks                  | 0.90 (0.72–1.13)       | 1.03 (0.79–1.36)                                         |
| 5 to 6 weeks                  | 1.18 (0.92–1.51)       | 1.30 (0.96–1.77)                                         |
| 7 to 8 weeks                  | 1.21 (0.98–1.50)       | 1.23 (0.95–1.59)                                         |
| More than 8 weeks             | 1.18* (1.05–1.34)      | 1.42*** (1.23–1.65)                                       |
| **COVID-19**                  |                        |                                                          |
| No                            | 1                      | 1                                                        |
| Yes                           | 1.33* (1.03–1.74)      | 1.48*** (1.07–2.04)                                       |
| **Work situation**            |                        |                                                          |
| Student                       | 1.39*** (1.23–1.58)    | 0.83* (0.70–0.99)                                        |
| Reg. Daywork                  | 1                      | 1                                                        |

(Continued)
|                          | OR Unadjusted (95% CI) | OR Adjusted for DRF, Gender, Age and NMF Before (95% CI) |
|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Irreg. Daywork           | 1.04 (0.90–1.19)       | 1.02 (0.86–1.21)                                         |
| Unemployed               | 1.18 (1.00–1.39)       | 0.99 (0.81–1.22)                                         |
| At home/no salary        | 1.03 (0.88–1.21)       | 1.00 (0.81–1.24)                                         |
| Retired                  | 0.83* (0.69–1.00)      | 1.12 (0.87–1.45)                                         |

Living alone

|                          |                         |                                           |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Yes                      |                         |                                           |
| No                       | 1.02 (0.76–1.35)        | 1.07 (0.75–1.52)                          |

Quality of life (0–100)

|                          |                         |                                           |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| 0–29                     | 1.02 (0.84–1.24)        | 0.92 (0.72–1.18)                          |
| 30–49                    | 0.95 (0.80–1.12)        | 1.00 (0.81–1.24)                          |
| 50–79                    | 1.05 (0.93–1.19)        | 1.07 (0.92–1.24)                          |
| 80–100                   |                         |                                           |

Quality of health

|                          |                         |                                           |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| 0–29                     | 1.27* (1.03–1.56)       | 1.19 (0.91–1.55)                          |
| 30–49                    | 1.12 (0.95–1.32)        | 1.06 (0.86–1.31)                          |
| 50–79                    | 1.04 (0.93–1.15)        | 1.05 (0.92–1.20)                          |
| 80–100                   |                         |                                           |

Wellbeing

|                          |                         |                                           |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| 0–24                     | 1.46** (1.21–1.78)      | 1.49** (1.17–1.90)                        |
| 25–49                    | 1.46** (1.24–1.72)      | 1.35* (1.10–1.66)                         |
| 50–7                     | 1.47** (1.27–1.70)      | 1.36** (1.14–1.63)                        |
| 75–100                   |                         |                                           |

DRF

|                          |                         |                                           |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Low                      |                         |                                           |
| High                     | 4.03** (3.61–4.50)      |                                           |

Gender

|                          |                         |                                           |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Female                   |                         | 1.26** (1.11–1.43)                        |
| Male                     |                         |                                           |

Age

|                          |                         |                                           |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| 0.99** (0.98–0.99)       |                         |                                           |

NMF before

|                          |                         |                                           |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Low                      |                         |                                           |
| High                     | 32.11** (27.47–37.52)   |                                           |

Notes: *p≤0.05; **p≤0.001.
Model 3 showed a significant total effect of COVID-19 on NMF, $B=0.14$, $p<0.001$. Specifically, COVID-19 significantly predicted PTSS, $B=0.18$, $p<0.001$, and PTSS significantly predicted NMF, $B=0.27$, $p<0.001$. The direct effect of COVID-19 on NMF remained highly significant after including the mediator, $B=0.09$, $p<0.001$. It was found that the effect of COVID-19 on NMF was partially mediated by PTSS, indirect effect=0.05, 95% CI [0.035; 0.065].

Model 4 resulted in a significant total effect of work situation on NMF, $B=-0.01$, $p<0.001$. Work situation significantly predicted PTSS, $B=-0.01$, $p<0.001$, and PTSS significantly predicted NMF, $B=0.27$, $p<0.001$. The direct effect of work situation on NMF remained significant after including the mediator, $B=-0.01$, $p=0.003$. The indirect effect was significant, indicating a partial mediation, indirect effect=-0.003, 95% CI [−0.005; −0.002]. The results of all four mediation models are displayed in Figure 2.
Discussion

Did COVID-19 Traumatize Us Collectively?

The logistic regression yielded that insomnia symptoms, anxiety, depression, OSA and RBD symptoms, sleep quality, sleep talking, sleep onset problems, early morning awakenings and fatigue significantly predicted high NMF and are associated with PTSS.

Hoffman and Kruczek developed a Bioecological Model of Mass Trauma. It involves nested systems ordered hierarchically and the so-called chronosystem referring to time and developmental processes. The hierarchical systems are the biophysical (dispositions, fear conditioning, temperament), the microsystem (family, peers, work), the exosystem (school system, mass media, community system, health care system) and the macrosystem (societal norms, economic factors, governmental systems, socio-economic factors). The pandemic influenced all of these systems, and therefore has the potential to lead to a mass trauma.

Therefore, we conducted mediation analyses for pandemic related variables, significantly predicting NMF, to see whether their effects are mediated through PTSS. These were financial burden, confinement, having had COVID-19 and work situation. Results supported our hypothesis that pandemic effects on NMF are partly mediated though PTSS, as all models found significant indirect effects. Even though the effects were small, they still support our assumption. Additionally, we found that almost half of the participants (46.69%) scored above the cutoff on the PCL-2. This screening tool was used as it is very brief, and therefore suitable for this survey asking about a broad variety of different variables. The cost of this briefness is that it results in a high proportion of false positives; nevertheless, it indicates PTSS, but the percentage of positives should be interpreted with great caution. Further, we conducted mediation analyses for only four pandemic related variables that represent only a small part of the complex nature of the pandemic. Nevertheless, our findings replicate results on former pandemic or epidemic situations, which left a remarkable proportion of society with symptoms of PTSD. Pagel (2021) suggested that if more than 20% of a society shows PTSS, this indicates societal stress, potentially resulting in insufficient treatment resources. PTSD, in such situations, was more likely to develop and maintain amongst medical staff, individuals that have been isolated and if the traumatic experience persists or is repeated. This fact might gain relevance as many countries have already declared several lockdowns and the pandemic persists longer than people anticipated. Therefore, we suggest healthcare workers to pay additional attention to NMF in combination with identified risk factors as they may point to developing or existing PTSD.

These observations led to the assumption, that the pandemic collectively traumatized us, which helps explain the elevated NMF. A recent review demonstrated that pandemic situations indeed have the power to traumatize a society. Collective traumas can lead to increased dream activity and incorporation of trauma themes. Research results show not only heightened NMF, but also pandemic related nightmare content. A qualitative study analyzing dream content confirmed COVID-19 related nightmare plots in 37% of the sample. The authors interpreted them as related to post-traumatic nightmares. Therefore, it makes sense that in the present study factors connected to PTSS, either symptoms or risk factors, also predict high NMF.

General Discussion

Comparing NMF in subpopulations of our sample via chi-square tests revealed differences between groups. Consistent with former research, that is broadly consistent about the association that women and younger people recall dreams more frequently than men and older people, female and younger participants had higher NMF. Further, students and unemployed had significantly higher NMF. Additionally, people under longer confinement who had COVID-19 or greater financial burden showed higher NMF. Concerning students, lower NMF might merely be due to age effects. In contrast, unemployment, longer confinement, COVID-19 infection, and financial burden could influence NMF because those factors increase anxiety. The regression revealed anxiety is predictive of high NMF. In line with this, it was found that waking day negative emotions were positively related to NMF. Partinen et al found that especially financial burden led to nightmares during the pandemic.
NMF indeed increased during the pandemic, which is consistent with previous studies with different populations.\textsuperscript{13,53,61} Scarpelli et al\textsuperscript{13} found that younger age, female gender, sleep problems, symptoms of anxiety and depression significantly predicted NMF. Those results were fully replicated.

Increased DRF has frequently been reported during the pandemic.\textsuperscript{13,53,61–63} Therefore, we included DRF stepwise in our regression, to see if NMF might only be a byproduct. Results indicated that this was not the case, as all significant associations with NMF in the unadjusted model remained significant after including DRF. Exceptions were being student and stress. Concerning students this might depict that younger individuals generally recall dreams more frequently than older individuals,\textsuperscript{64–66} as aging leads to less REM- and more NREM-sleep.\textsuperscript{67} The non-significance of stress after adjusting for DRF, might be because the effect of stress on DRF\textsuperscript{68} outdid the effect on NMF or because a one-item measure was used.

For insomnia, anxiety, depressive and OSA symptoms, the effects on NMF were even larger after controlling for DRF. The results on insomnia and depression are in line with former research.\textsuperscript{22,24,63,69} The prevalence of nightmares is significantly increased in patients with insomnia with awakenings, daytime anxiety and female gender strongly related to nightmares in insomniacs\textsuperscript{22} and also to NMF in the present study. Scarpelli et al\textsuperscript{2} found people that had COVID-19 differed from people that did not have COVID-19 in NMF but not in DRF. This also supports results of the fully adjusted regression that having been infected with COVID-19 predicts high NMF.

Anxiety is likely to play a major role in the effects that the pandemic has on NMF, and also fits our hypothesis of the mediating role of PTSS as it is one of PTSDs characteristics.\textsuperscript{3} Former results on anxiety and nightmares are contradictory, with some pointing towards a positive relationship\textsuperscript{70} and some indicating no relationship.\textsuperscript{71} Schredl\textsuperscript{72} found state or situational factors, like this pandemic, influencing NMF more strongly than trait factors. This could have an impact on the association between pandemic related variables (having been infected, financial burden and confinement) and NMF.

Adjusting for pre-pandemic NMF revealed very interesting results. Pre-pandemic NMF itself unsurprisingly has an enormous impact on pandemic NMF, as nightmares are generally considered relatively time stable.\textsuperscript{48} Nevertheless, most significant predictors remained significant after adjusting. After the adjustment only threshold but not clinical or severe insomnia was significantly associated with high pandemic NMF. This might be because people with severe insomnia symptoms had high NMF before the pandemic, whereas people on the threshold of insomnia got pushed over the edge by the pandemic, as studies report increasing insomnia rates.\textsuperscript{58} This also corresponds to the ORs of poor sleep quality, early morning awakenings and fatigue increasing by adjusting for pre-pandemic NMF and to frequently reported rise of sleep-related problems during this pandemic.\textsuperscript{1,13,60,62,63,73} QoH, that is associated with NMF,\textsuperscript{74,75} significantly positively predicted pandemic NMF before including pre-pandemic NMF in the model but not after. Poor overall wellbeing, that is also associated with high NMF,\textsuperscript{76} in contrast still significantly predicted NMF in the adjusted model. This might display, that wellbeing was more affected by the pandemic, whereas QoH remained more stable across time, and therefore rather impacts pre-pandemic NMF.

Another unexpected finding was that being retired significantly positively predicted NMF until pre-pandemic NMF was included in the regression model. This might be explained by findings indicating an increase in nightmares after the age of 70,\textsuperscript{77} so NMF might have already been higher for this population in the pre-pandemic period. Students in contrast showed significantly lower NMF after adjustments were made. This can be explained by well-proofed age effects.\textsuperscript{64–66} Additionally, the virus might be less frightening for students, as it is less dangerous for younger people.\textsuperscript{78} According to our findings, anxiety increases the chances for high NMF and people perceiving themselves at greater risk for COVID-19 have higher chances for high NMF.\textsuperscript{79} This might also explain that being confined more than 8 weeks, higher financial burden and having had COVID-19 led to higher NMF. These three factors might have contributed to pandemic anxiety and to how traumatic the pandemic was experienced. Taking a closer look at the results, the higher the financial burden is, the higher the OR for high NMF and the same trend can be seen with confinements.

Even though OSA cannot be diagnosed purely by symptoms, our results imply a predictive value of some symptoms for high NMF and add to an ongoing debate. How OSA influences NMF is still unclear. There are studies stating more nightmares with more severe OSA.\textsuperscript{80,81} Other studies find the opposite.\textsuperscript{82} One explanation could be that it depends on the OSA being REM-stage specific or not.\textsuperscript{83} OSA is relevant during the pandemic as people at high risk for OSA were more likely to contract COVID-19, to be hospitalized or receive intensive unit care treatment.\textsuperscript{73}
To summarize, the adjusted model identified the following predictors for NMF: PTSD, insomnia, poor sleep quality, anxiety, symptoms of RBD, high risk for OSA, depression, sleep talking, sleep onset problems, early morning awakenings, fatigue, financial burden, being confined more than 8 weeks, having had COVID-19, being a student, low wellbeing, high DRF, female sex, and older age.

Limitations
The present study included self-reported measures, which are prone to bias. This is especially true for self-reported sleep measures, as questionnaires are considered the least accurate measure in sleep assessment. Second, with online assessments, no researcher can clarify misunderstandings or help and access was limited to persons with internet connections. Third, sex was unevenly distributed in our sample. Further, European countries with similar economic and societal background and well-developed countries in general are over-represented in our sample. Consequently, one should be careful generalizing our results, as they might hold true only for the studied sample. Finally, our assessments for anxiety, depression, and PTSS were brief on what could reduce variability affecting the strength of relations and the power to detect differences.

Conclusion
The pandemic might have caused collective traumatization. Further research is necessary to clarify this possibility. This would shed light on the collective stress experienced and allow for the development of innovative “treatment options” not only for individual but also for collective suffering.

We found that pandemic NMF was connected to a variety of mental and sleep disorders. It may be useful to implement questions about NMF in screening processes as it can help indicate psychopathology or the presence of trauma.
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