Robust Photon Entanglement via Quantum Interference in Optomechanical Interfaces
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Entanglement is a key element in quantum information processing. Here, we present schemes to generate robust photon entanglement via optomechanical quantum interfaces in the strong coupling regime. The schemes explore the excitation of the Bogoliubov dark mode and the destructive quantum interference between the bright modes of the interface, similar to electromagnetically induced transparency, to eliminate leading-order effects of the mechanical noise. Both continuous-variable and discrete-state entanglements that are robust against the mechanical noise can be achieved. The schemes can be used to generate entanglement in hybrid quantum systems between e.g. microwave photon and optical photon.

PACS numbers: 42.50.Wk, 03.67.Bg, 07.10.Cm

The mechanical modes in optomechanical systems can couple with cavity photons of wide range of frequencies as was demonstrated in recent experiments. Such systems can hence serve as an interface in hybrid quantum networks to connect optical and microwave photons. Quantum state transfer via such interfaces has been intensively studied. The optomechanical interfaces have also been studied for entanglement generation between e.g. two cavity modes, one cavity mode and one mechanical mode, or two mechanical modes. The entanglement generated in those schemes is often limited by various factors such as the stability conditions that place constrains on the magnitude of the effective optomechanical couplings and the amplification effect in the unstable regime. In particular, the thermal noise of the mechanical modes can strongly impair the entanglement.

The strong coupling regime where the effective optomechanical coupling exceeds the cavity bandwidth has recently been demonstrated in both microwave and optical cavities. It hence becomes a practical objective to generate strong continuous-variable entanglement that can realize quantum teleportation with a fidelity exceeding the no-cloning boundary. Here, stimulated by the experimental results, we present schemes to generate strong entanglement between photon modes that is robust against the mechanical noise.

For photon modes that interact via a parametric Hamiltonian \( H_s = -g_s(a_1a_2 + a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger) \) with the coupling \( g_s \), where \( a_i \) (i = 1, 2) is the annihilation operator for mode \( i \), continuous-variable entanglement can be generated. When applied to the vacuum state \( |0,0\rangle \), this Hamiltonian generates a two-mode squeezed vacuum state with entanglement \( E_N = 2r \log_2(e) \) quantified by the logarithmic negativity. Under this interaction, the cavity operators at time \( t \) can be written as \( a_i(t) = \beta_i = \cosh(r)a_i + i \sinh(r)a_i^\dagger \), where \( r = g_s t \). In our system, the cavity modes only couple with the mechanical mode and do not couple with each other. Entanglement between the photons is generated via their coupling with the mechanical mode which can induce strong mixing between the cavity and the mechanical components and expose the entanglement to the mechanical noise. In this work, exploring the excitation of the Bogoliubov dark mode and the quantum interference between the bright modes in an optomechanical interface, similar to electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT), we show that the cavity modes at selected time or cavity outputs at selected frequency can recover the Bogoliubov-like form defined in Eqs. (1a,1b) with the leading-order mechanical components eliminated. The entanglement generated in these schemes is hence robust against the mechanical noise. In addition, we show that robust entanglement can also be achieved in discrete photon states via this interface. Compared with several previous works, this work studied the effect of the mechanical noise systematically and presented the conditions for robust entanglement generation in both cavity states and cavity outputs in the strong coupling regime.

Our results show that the optomechanical interfaces can act as a noise-resilient hub in hybrid quantum networks to perform quantum state transfer and entanglement generation, which can facilitate the implementation of scalable hybrid systems. The schemes can be extended to similar systems such as two cavity modes coupling with a noisy qubit to implement high-fidelity quantum operations.

The optomechanical interface in our schemes is composed of two cavity modes coupling with a mechanical mode via the interaction \( \sum bG_i a_i^\dagger a_i(b_m + b_m^\dagger) \), where \( b_m \) is the annihilation operator of the mechanical mode. One cavity is driven by red-detuned source with cavity detuning \( \Delta_1 \) to generate anti-Stokes processes and the other cavity is driven by blue-detuned source with cavity detuning \( \Delta_2 \) to generate Stokes processes, as is illustrated in Fig. (a,b). Let \( \omega_m \) be the mechanical frequency and \( -\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = \omega_m \). With standard linearization, the effective Hamiltonian in the interaction picture...
of $H_0 = \sum (-\hbar \Delta_i a_i^\dagger a_i + \hbar \omega_m b_m^\dagger b_m)$ can be written as

$$H_1 = \hbar g_1 a_1^\dagger b_m + b_m^\dagger a_1 + i \hbar g_2 (a_2^\dagger b_m^\dagger - a_2 b_m)$$  \(2\)

where $g_i$'s ($i = 1, 2$) are the effective optomechanical couplings \[53\]. The environmental fluctuations can be represented by the cavity input operators $a_i^\dagger(t)$ and the mechanical input operator $b_m(t)$. The correlation functions of the input operators are $\langle a_i^\dagger(t) a_j^\dagger(t') \rangle = \delta(t-t')$ and $\langle b_m(t) b_m^\dagger(t') \rangle = (\nu t + 1) \delta(t-t')$ in the high temperature limit with a thermal phonon number $\nu t$. \[32\]

The Langevin equation for this system is

$$i \hbar \dot{\vec{v}}(t)/dt = M \vec{v}(t) + i \sqrt{\hbar K} \vec{v}_m(t)$$  \(3\)

with $\vec{v}(t) = [a_1(t), b_m(t), a_2^\dagger(t)]^T$ for the system operators, $\vec{v}_m(t) = [a_1^\dagger(t), b_m(t), a_2^\dagger(t)]^T$ for the input operators, the diagonal matrix $K = \text{Diag}[\kappa_1, \gamma_m, \kappa_2]$, and

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} -i \omega_m & g_1 & 0 \\ g_1 & -i \omega_m^2 & ig_2 \\ 0 & ig_2 & -i \omega_m \end{bmatrix}.$$  \(4\)

where $\kappa_i$'s and $\gamma_m$ are the cavity and the mechanical damping rates respectively. With $\omega_m \gg g_i, \kappa_i, \gamma_m \nu t$, the rotating wave approximation has been applied above.

This model can be realized in many systems, e.g. the hybrid system of a microwave and an optical cavity coupling with a mechanical membrane as is shown in Fig.1(c). We consider the system in the strong coupling regime with $g_i > \kappa_i, \gamma_m$. The ratio $\kappa_i/g_i$ can reach 0.1 for microwave cavities and 0.5 for optical cavities \[3, 4\]. Given the wide spectrum of possible systems, we will use arbitrary units for the model parameters but choose realistic ratios between these parameters in our discussion. The blue-detuned drive can induce instability in the interface which affects the entanglement generation. Using the Routh-Hurwitz criterion \[54\], we derive the stability conditions for this model which can be approximated as $g_1^2/g_2^2 > \max\{\kappa_2/\kappa_1, \kappa_1/\kappa_2\}$ in the strong coupling regime. This requires $g_1 > g_2$ for the model to be stable.

We can then write $g_1 = g_0 \cosh(r)$ and $g_2 = g_0 \sinh(r)$ with the squeezing parameter $r = \tanh^{-1}(g_2/g_1)$.

The Bogoliubov dark mode. At zero damping, the eigenmodes $\alpha_i$ of the interface can be derived as

$$\alpha_1 = \beta_1^t, \alpha_2 = (\beta_1 \pm b_m)/\sqrt{2}$$  \(5\)

with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $\lambda_2 = \pm g_0$ respectively. The mode $\alpha_1$ is related to the Bogoliubov mode defined in Eq.(11). This mode, which is called the Bogoliubov dark mode, is composed of the cavity modes only and is independent of the mechanical mode. Hence, the excitation of this mode is intrinsically exempted from the mechanical noise. The modes $\alpha_2$ are composed of both the cavity and the mechanical modes. These modes are hence subject to the mechanical noise and we call them the bright modes. An interesting feature of the bright modes is their symmetry. The superposition of the two bright modes yields the Bogoliubov mode defined in Eq.(11) with $(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)/\sqrt{2} = \beta_1$, where the mechanical mode is eliminated. This superposition is then exempted from the mechanical noise as well. At finite damping, we treat the damping terms in the matrix $M$ as perturbations which modify the eigenmodes. We have

$$\alpha_1 = \beta_1^t + \gamma_1 b_m, (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)/\sqrt{2} = \beta_1 - \sqrt{2} \gamma_3 b_m$$  \(6\)

which contain first-order corrections $O(x_j b_m)$ from the mechanical mode with $x_j = O(\kappa_i/g_0, \gamma_m/g_0)$. The eigenvalues are also modified by first-order imaginary parts as $\lambda_1 = i \delta \lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2 = \pm g_0 + i \delta \lambda_2$, which strongly affect the entanglement in the cavity outputs.

The behavior of the optomechanical interface in both the time and the frequency domains is determined by the properties of these eigenmodes. Using the relations between the eigenmodes and the Bogoliubov modes, we will show below that cavity operators at selected time or cavity outputs at selected frequency can be exempted from the mechanical noise to the leading order.

Robust entanglement in cavity photons. The time dependence of the cavity modes can be derived from the evolution of the eigenmodes. At zero damping, $\alpha_i(t) = \alpha_i(t_0)$ and $\alpha_2(t) = \exp(\mp i \varphi(t)) \alpha_2(t_0)$ with the phase factor $\varphi(t) = \int_{t_0}^t dt' g_0(t')$. Applying Eq.(5) to $\alpha_1(t)$, we derive $\beta_2(t) = \beta_2(0)$, which only contains the cavity modes, and

$$\beta_1(t) = \beta_1(0) \cos \varphi(t) - i b_m(0) \sin \varphi(t)$$  \(7\)

which mixes the cavity and the mechanical modes. However, at time $t_n$ with $\varphi(t_n) = n \pi$ for integer $n$, we have $\beta_1(t_n) = (-1)^n \beta_1(0)$. Both Bogoliubov operators at time $t_n$ hence only contain the cavity components and are exempted from the mechanical component $b_m(0)$ due to the destructive quantum interference between the mechanical components in $\alpha_2(t_n)$ \[55\]. The cavity operators at time $t_n$ can then be derived from the Bogoliubov operators $\beta_i(t_n)$ using Eqs.(1a,1b).
For constant couplings at \( t_n = n\pi/\gamma_0 \) for odd number \( n \), we have \( \beta(t_n) = -\beta_1(0) \), and the cavity operators are
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    a_1(t_n) \\
    a_2^\dagger(t_n)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
    \cosh(2r) & -i\sinh(2r) \\
    i\sinh(2r) & \cosh(2r)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
    -a_1(0) \\
    a_2^\dagger(0)
\end{bmatrix}
\] (8)
which generate a two-mode squeezed vacuum state with squeezing parameter \( 2r \) and entanglement \( 4r \log_2 e \) when started from the vacuum state. Note for even number \( n \), \( a_1(t_n) = a_1(0) \), and the cavities return to their initial states at \( t_n \). For an adiabatic scheme with the couplings \( g_1(t) = g_0\cosh(\lambda t) \) and \( g_2(t) = g_0\sinh(\lambda t) \) under the condition \( \lambda \ll g_0 \), at \( t_n = n\pi/\gamma_0 \) for integer \( n \),
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    a_1(t_n) \\
    a_2^\dagger(t_n)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
    \cosh(r) & -i\sinh(r) \\
    i\sinh(r) & \cosh(r)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
    -a_1(0) \\
    a_2^\dagger(0)
\end{bmatrix}
\] (9)
which generate a two-mode squeezed vacuum state with \( r = \lambda t_n \). As the cavity operators at time \( t_n \) do not contain the mechanical mode, the photon entanglement at \( t_n \) is not subject to the influence of the thermal fluctuations in the initial mechanical state.

At finite damping, the photon entanglement generated at time \( t_n \) is affected by thermal fluctuations in both the initial mechanical state and the bath modes. Solving the Langevin equation \([53]\), we find that the cavity operators at \( t_n \) include a term \( O(x_i)b_m(0) \) related to thermal fluctuations in the initial mechanical state and a term \( O(\int dt'\sqrt{n_m b_m(t')}\) related to thermal fluctuations in the bath modes. Let \( n_0 \) be the thermal phonon number of the initial state (bath). These terms affect the covariance matrix of the cavity modes as \( O(\kappa_i^2/g_0^2)n_0 \) and \( O(\gamma_m/\gamma_0)n_{th} \) respectively. While at \( t \neq t_n \), the cavity operators contain the mechanical mode as \( O(1)b_m(0) \) which affects the covariance matrix as \( O(1)n_0 \). The destructive quantum interference between the eigenmodes at \( t_n \) suppresses the thermal effects significantly by eliminating the leading order terms \( O(1)b_m(0) \) from the cavity operators. The entanglement at \( t_n \) is hence robust against the thermal noise. Note that the operators \( a_i(t_n) \) also include terms \( O(x_i)a_i(0) \) due to the decay of the eigenmodes and \( O(\int dt'\sqrt{n_i a_i(t')}\) due to coupling to cavity bath, both of which affect the covariance matrix as \( O(\kappa_i/g_0) \).

The entanglement is plotted in Fig.2(a, b, c) for \( n_0 = n_{th} \) using numerical simulation \([54]\). The parameters we use are \( g_0 = 3, (\kappa_1, \kappa_2) = (0.3, 0.2), \) and \( \gamma_m = 0.001 \), all in arbitrary units and their ratios are within reach of current technology. Resonance peaks appear at \( t_n \) and the peak values decrease slowly with \( n_{th} \). As is shown Fig.2(c), the entanglement at \( t_n \) remains strong even for \( n_{th} \approx 10^4 \), in sharp contrast to the stationary-state entanglement which quickly decreases to zero. In Fig.2(d), we plot the entanglement for \( n_0 \neq n_{th} \) to distinguish the effects of the initial state noise and bath noise. It is shown that while the peak values decrease with the bath noise, the peak widths decrease with the initial state noise quickly. This is because the operators \( a_i(t_n \pm \delta t) \) at a small deviation \( \delta t \) from \( t_n \) contain the mechanical mode as \( O(\gamma_0^2\delta t)b_m(0) \), as can be derived from Eq. (7). This term affects the covariance matrix as \( O(\gamma_0^2\delta t^2)n_0 \) which can significantly narrow the peak widths for large \( n_0 \). The numerical results confirm our analytical results and show that robust photon entanglement can be generated at time \( t_n \).

![Figure 2: (Color online) \( E_N \) versus time for (a) constant couplings with \( r = 1 \) and (b) adiabatic scheme with \( t(2) = 1 \). \( n_{th} = 0, 10, 10^4, 10^7 \) from top to bottom. (c) \( E_N \) versus \( n_{th} \) for constant scheme at \( t_1 \) (solid), adiabatic scheme at \( t_2 \) (dashed), and stationary state scheme (dash-dotted). Above: \( n_0 = n_{th} \). (d) \( E_N \) for adiabatic scheme. Thick: \( n_0 = 0, 10, 10^2, 10^4 \) and \( n_{th} = 10^4 \) from top to bottom. Thin: \( n_0 = n_{th} = 0 \).](image-url)
of the eigenvalues. As is illustrated in Fig. 3(c), the entanglement at $\omega_n = 0$ decreases slowly with $n_{th}$ and is robust against the thermal noise; while the entanglement at $\omega_n = \pm g_0$ decreases quickly with $n_{th}$ to zero.

The excitations of the eigenmodes strongly depend on the frequency of the input modes. At $\omega_n = 0$, the Bogoliubov dark mode is strongly excited with

$$\alpha_1 = [\sinh(r) \ i \ x_1 \ i \ \cosh(r)] \cdot \sqrt{K} \tilde{v}_i(0)/\delta \lambda_1$$

and the bright modes are weakly excited with

$$\langle \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \rangle / \sqrt{2} = -\gamma_m b_i(0)/g_0$$

and $\alpha_{2,3} \propto 1/g_0$ in terms of $x_1$ and $\delta \lambda_1$. The excitations of the Bogoliubov modes, and hence the cavity modes and cavity outputs, can be derived using Eq. 6, which include the cavity inputs as $O(1/\sqrt{\kappa_1} \alpha_1(0))$ and the mechanical input as $O(\sqrt{\gamma_m/g_0})b_i(0)$. The mechanical input is strongly suppressed due to the destructive quantum interference between $\alpha_2$ and $\alpha_3$. The ratio between the mechanical input and the cavity input contributions in the covariance matrix of the cavity outputs is $O(\kappa_1 \gamma_m/g_0^2)n_{th}$ where the dependence on $n_{th}$ is strongly suppressed. The entanglement in the cavity outputs is hence robust against the mechanical noise. At $\omega_n = g_0$ (and similarly at $\omega_n = -g_0$), the modes $\alpha_{1,3}$ are weakly excited with $\alpha_{1,3} \propto 1/g_0$. While the bright mode $\alpha_2$ is strongly excited with $\alpha_2 \propto 1/\delta \lambda_2$ due to its resonance with the input frequency. The mechanical input terms in $\alpha_2$ and $\alpha_3$ cannot cancel due to their asymmetry at this frequency. The cavity outputs then include strong mechanical input terms which will strongly impair the entanglement as $n_{th}$ increases.

The cavity outputs and entanglement strongly depend on $\delta \lambda_{1,2}$ which vary with the squeezing parameter $r$ and the damping rates $\kappa_{1,2}$. As is shown in Fig. 3(d), for $\kappa_1 > \kappa_2$, $\delta \lambda_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $|\delta \lambda_2|$ becomes larger as $r$ increases towards the unstable regime, generating strong entanglement at $\omega_n = 0$. For $\kappa_2 > \kappa_1$, $\delta \lambda_2 \rightarrow 0$ and $|\delta \lambda_1|$ becomes larger as $r$ increases, generating strong entanglement at $\omega_n = \pm g_0$. Hence, to generate strong and robust entanglement for a hybrid interface with very different damping rates, we can choose the cavity mode with the larger damping rate to be mode $a_1$ by driving this mode with red-detuned source so that $\kappa_1 > \kappa_2$.

**Robust entanglement in discrete states.** Quantum interference can also be used to generate robust discrete-state entanglement [57]. Let the cavities both be driven by red-detuned sources with $-\Delta_i = \omega_m$. In [22, 23], this setup was studied for high-fidelity quantum state transfer. Let $g_1(t) = g_0 \sin(\lambda t)$ and $g_2(t) = -g_0 \cos(\lambda t)$ vary adiabatically with $\lambda \ll g_0$. With $\lambda = g_0/4n$ for integer $n$, the cavity operators at the final time $t_f = \pi/4\lambda$ are

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1(t_f) & a_2(t_f) \end{bmatrix} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \sinh(r) + i \cos(r) \\ -i \sinh(r) + \cosh(r) \end{array} \right] \begin{bmatrix} a_1(0) \\ a_2(0) \end{bmatrix}. $$

(12)

It can be proven that for the initial cavity state $|1,0\rangle$, the final state of the cavities is $|\psi_{en}\rangle = (|1,0\rangle + |0,1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ [52]. Similarly, for the initial state $|0,1\rangle$, the final state is $|\psi_{en}\rangle = (|1,0\rangle - |0,1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$. The effect of the mechanical noise can also be studied by solving the Langevin equation. The cavity operators $a_i(t_f)$ contain the mechanical mode as $O(|\kappa_i/g_0|b_i(0))$ which is suppressed by a factor $\kappa_i/g_0$ due to the destructive quantum interference between the eigenmodes. The discrete-state entanglement is thus robust against the mechanical noise.

To conclude, we study an optomechanical interface for the generation of photon entanglement that is robust against the mechanical noise. Due to the excitation of the Bogoliubov dark mode and the quantum interference between the bright modes, the effect of the mechanical noise is significantly suppressed. Both continuous-variable and discrete-state entanglements can be achieved with realistic experimental parameters. When combined with the quantum state transfer schemes, this quantum interface provides a promising building block for hybrid quantum networks and for quantum state engineering.
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