Relationship between coronary artery disease and C-reactive protein levels in NSTEMI patients with renal dysfunction: a retrospective study

Maria Udeanu1, Giordano Guizzardi1, Giuseppe Di Pasquale2, Antonio Marchetti3, Francesca Romani4, Vittorio Dalmastri4, Irene Capelli5, Lucia Stalteri4, Giuseppe Cianciolo5, Paola Rucci3 and Gaetano La Manna5*

Abstract

Background: While chronic renal damage is a condition with low-grade inflammation, the potential role of inflammation in kidney disease as a marker of cardiovascular damage is of current interest. This study analyzed the relationship between renal dysfunction, chronic inflammation, and extension of coronary atherosclerosis in patients with non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI).

Methods: This retrospective study was carried out on consecutive patients presenting with NSTEMI to Maggiore Hospital’s emergency department between January 1, 2010 and December 31, 2011. Patients’ electronic charts were reviewed to gather information on patients’ history, clinical and biochemical variables, with a special focus on inflammatory markers, coronary vessel damage, and drug treatments.

Results: Of the 320 individuals in the study population, 138 (43.1%) had an admission GFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m2. Kidney dysfunction was significantly associated with age (OR = 1.09, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.12), history of heart failure (OR = 2.13, 95% CI 1.08 to 4.17), and hypertension (OR = 2.31, 95% CI 1.12 to 4.74). C-reactive protein (CRP) and uric acid levels were significantly increased in patients with severe renal dysfunction (SRD) by bivariate and multivariate analyses, adjusted for gender, age and comorbidities at admission. The extent of coronary artery disease (CAD) was significantly higher in the SRD group (p < 0.001). Individuals with SRD were less likely to receive immediate evidence-based therapies (62.9% vs. 76.7% and 82.0% in those with intermediate and no/mild renal dysfunction, p < 0.001). Hospital stay was significantly longer in individuals with a greater extent of CAD, diabetes, and a history of heart failure, and was borderline significantly associated with renal dysfunction (p = 0.08). Older age, CAD severity, and renal function were associated with worsening GFR during hospitalization, whereas immediate evidence-based treatment was unrelated to a GFR change.

Conclusions: Among individuals hospitalized for NSTEMI, those with SRD had a more extensive CAD and a higher prevalence of pre-existing cardiovascular disease. CRP was positively correlated with renal dysfunction and the number of involved coronary vessels, confirming its potential as a biomarker. Uric acid was associated with renal dysfunction but not with the number of diseased coronary vessels.
Background
Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a worldwide public health problem, which is becoming increasingly relevant as life expectancy of the world’s population has increased. Patients with CKD have an increased risk of end-stage renal failure and cardiovascular disease (CVD). There is a graded and independent correlation between the glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and CVD outcomes [1].

CKD is characterized by the presence of systemic, low-grade inflammation, and researchers have recently focused on the role of inflammatory markers as links between cardiovascular and kidney disease [2,3]. The principal aim of this study was to analyze the relationship between renal function at admission, coronary artery disease (CAD) severity, and inflammation markers in patients hospitalized for non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI). The secondary aims were to evaluate the in-hospital outcomes and 1-year and 2-year mortality rates of these patients.

Methods
Study population
The study included consecutive patients presenting to the Emergency Department of Maggiore Hospital from January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2011, with a NSTEMI. NSTEMI diagnosis was based on electrocardiographic (ECG) ST-segment depression or prominent T-wave inversion and/or positive biomarkers of necrosis (e.g., troponin) in the absence of ST-segment elevation and in an appropriate clinical setting (chest discomfort or anginal equivalent) as defined in American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association guidelines [4]. The diagnostic cut-off for myocardial infarction was defined as a cardiac troponin measurement exceeding the 99th percentile of a normal reference population (upper reference limit) using an assay with an imprecision (coefficient of variation) of ≤10% at the upper reference limit. Our Laboratory uses the SIEMENS Dimension EXL TM integrated chemistry system. The reference interval for Troponin I was 0.000-0.056 ng/mL. According to the ACC/AHA and ESC guidelines for NSTEMI management, an early invasive strategy (diagnostic angiography with intent to perform revascularization) is indicated in initially stabilized NSTEMI patients (without serious comorbidities or contraindications to such procedures) who have an elevated risk for clinical events. For patients not at high risk, a delayed invasive approach is also reasonable. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the S.Orsola University Hospital of Bologna. Data were extracted from the patients’ electronic medical records and all patient-identifying information was removed before analysis, in compliance with the Italian privacy law (Act No. 67, December 31, 1996). Patients with severe infections (sepsis, lung infections, and urinary sepsis) were excluded. No lower limit for the value of GFR was used as an exclusion criterion.

The patients were classified into three groups: no/mild renal dysfunction (≥60 mL/min/1.73 m2) intermediate renal dysfunction (31–59 mL/min/1.73 m2), and severe renal dysfunction (<30 mL/min/1.73 m2) according to their renal function at admission (aGFR). aGFR was estimated using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) formula, to characterize serum creatinine levels in relation to age and gender.

CAD was assessed in those patients who underwent coronary angiography. CAD severity was defined as the number of vessels with ≥1 obstructive atherosclerotic lesions, defined as lesions producing ≥50% reduction in diameter of the left main coronary artery or ≥70% reduction in diameter of the major epicardial vessels. Immediate evidence-based treatment was defined as the use of aspirin, clopidogrel, low-molecular-weight-heparin (LMWH), beta-blockers, angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, and statins. Mortality rates at 1 and 2 years after discharge were obtained by linking patient data with the Sistema Informativo Politiche per la Salute e Politiche Sociali (SISEPS) database of Emilia-Romagna region.

Statistical analysis
Continuous variables were compared using analysis of variance (ANOVA) F, Kruskal-Wallis, or median tests, followed by post-hoc pairwise tests. Categorical variables were compared using the χ² test. Bonferroni correction was applied to the probability level to control for possible type-I error. Multiple logistic regression was used to predict aGFR as a function of gender, age and comorbidities at admission. Multiple linear regression analysis was used to predict the number of vessels with CAD, C-reactive protein (CRP) levels, and troponin levels as a function of aGFR, gender, age, and comorbidities at admission. The change in GFR from admission to discharge was analyzed as a function of renal function, comorbidities, severity of CAD, age, gender, and left ventricular dysfunction (defined as ejection fraction [EF] ≤40%) at admission, using multiple linear regression.

The GFR change was determined by subtracting aGFR from the discharge GFR. Therefore, a positive change indicates improvement from baseline and a negative change indicates worsening. Patients undergoing dialysis were excluded from the GFR change analysis.

Multiple linear regression analysis was also used to evaluate the relationship between the length of hospital stay and renal function, comorbidities, CAD severity, age, gender, and left ventricular dysfunction at admission. Length of hospital stay was normalized by square root transformation. All analyses were performed using SPSS, version 20.0.
Results

Patient admission characteristics

During the study period, 334 patients presented with NSTEMI. Fourteen were excluded from analysis: one for generalized sepsis, nine for lung infections, and four for urinary sepsis. The study population included 320 patients: 182 had no/mild, 103 had intermediate, and 35 had severe renal dysfunction. Among these 35 patients, 10 were CKD stage 5D patients on chronic hemodialysis treatment. Compared with patients with no/mild dysfunction, those with intermediate or severe dysfunction were significantly older and more likely to be female and have left ventricular dysfunction and a history of heart failure, diabetes, hypertension, peripheral artery disease, or previous MI (Table 1).

Patients with mild and moderate renal dysfunction had a significantly higher diastolic arterial pressure (DAP) and mean arterial pressure (MAP) than patients with severe renal dysfunction (DAP: 80 ± 12 mm Hg and 79 ± 14 mm Hg vs. 72 ± 12 mm Hg, respectively; ANOVA F = 6.3, p = 0.002; MAP: 100 ± 17 mm Hg and 100 ± 14 mm Hg vs. 92 ± 17 mm Hg, respectively, ANOVA F = 4.1, p = 0.018). Systolic blood pressure did not differ significantly among the three aGFR groups (ANOVA F = 1.781, p = 0.0170).

During multiple logistic regression including age, gender, and comorbidities at admission, kidney dysfunction was significantly associated with age (odds ratio [OR] = 1.09, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.06 to 1.12), heart failure history (OR = 2.13, 95% CI = 1.08 to 4.17), and hypertension (OR = 2.31, 95% CI = 1.12 to 4.74).

Regarding evidence-based drug treatment, patients with severe renal dysfunction were less likely to receive ACE inhibitors, statins, and aspirin than patients with no/mild dysfunction; those with intermediate renal dysfunction were less likely to receive beta-blockers and LMWH (Table 1).

Overall, a majority of patients with no/mild and intermediate renal dysfunction received immediate evidence-based treatment, but only 62.9% of those with severe renal dysfunction received this treatment.

Table 1 Baseline and in-hospital medication characteristics of the study sample by level of kidney function

| Variables                        | Group A: GFR ≥60 (n = 182) | Group B: GFR 59-30 (n = 103) | Group C: GFR <30 (n = 35) | P-value Post-hoc Significant Comparisons* |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Age, mean (SD) (years)           | 68.7 (12.5)                 | 81.2 (8.8)                  | 79.5 (11.0)               | <.0001 A < B,C                             |
| Female, n (%)                    | 49 (26.9)                   | 46 (44.7)                   | 23 (65.7)                 | <.0001 A < B < C                           |
| History of lipid disorder, n (%) | 106 (58.2)                  | 59 (57.3)                   | 15 (42.9)                 | 0.236 -                                    |
| History of diabetes, n (%)       | 45 (24.9)                   | 37 (35.9)                   | 18 (51.4)                 | 0.004 A < B,C                              |
| History of hypertension, n (%)   | 130 (71.8)                  | 87 (84.5)                   | 29 (82.9)                 | 0.035 A < B                               |
| History of PAD, n (%)            | 11 (6.1)                    | 14 (13.6)                   | 7 (20.0)                  | 0.015 A < B,C                              |
| Prior myocardial infarction, n (%)| 68 (37.6)                 | 49 (48.0)                   | 24 (70.6)                 | 0.001 A,B < C                             |
| Prior stroke or TIA, n (%)       | 16 (8.8)                    | 17 (16.5)                   | 7 (20.0)                  | 0.061 A < B,C                             |
| Left ventricular dysfunction (EF ≤ 40), n (%) | 26 (14.3)                 | 27 (26.2)                   | 11 (31.4)                 | 0.011 A < B,C                              |
| History of heart failure, n (%)  | 24 (13.2)                   | 38 (36.9)                   | 14 (40.0)                 | <.0001 A < B,C                             |
| Renal function characteristics   |                             |                             |                           |                                           |
| GFR at admission, mean (SD) (mL/min/1.73 m²) | 81.1 (13.6) | 45.5 (8.8) | 18.1 (7.7) | <.0001 A > B > C |
| GFR at peak, mean (SD) (mL/min/1.73 m²) | 73.7 (16.4) | 41.8 (10.1) | 16.0 (9.3) | <.0001 A > B > C |
| GFR at discharge, mean (SD) (mL/min/1.73 m²) | 80.9 (15.8) | 51.4 (15.4) | 22.9 (16.9) | <.0001 A > B > C |
| In-hospital medications, n (%)   |                             |                             |                           |                                           |
| Aspirin                          | 180 (98.9)                  | 99 (96.1)                   | 27 (77.1)                 | <.0001 A,B > C                            |
| Clopidogrel                      | 170 (93.4)                  | 97 (94.2)                   | 31 (88.6)                 | 0.513 -                                   |
| LMWH                             | 179 (98.4)                  | 95 (92.2)                   | 33 (94.3)                 | 0.037 A > B                               |
| Beta-blockers                    | 177 (97.3)                  | 93 (90.3)                   | 32 (91.4)                 | 0.036 A > B                               |
| ACE inhibitors                   | 163 (91.1)                  | 91 (88.3)                   | 22 (62.9)                 | <.0001 A,B > C                            |
| Statins                          | 152 (84.4)                  | 79 (77.5)                   | 19 (54.3)                 | <.0001 A,B > C                            |
| Immediate evidence-based treatment, n (%) | 162 (89.0)     | 79 (76.7)                   | 22 (62.9)                 | <.0001 A > B,C                            |

*Bonferroni-corrected p value was used for Post-hoc analysis.

Abbreviations: GFR glomerular filtration rate, SD standard deviation, PAD peripheral arterial disease, TIA transient ischemic attack, EF ejection fraction; LMWH low-molecular-weight-heparin, ACE angiotensin-converting enzyme.
Coronary artery disease severity, inflammatory markers, and renal dysfunction

Three-vessel lesions were more common in patients with severe (83.3%) and intermediate (50%) renal dysfunction than in patients with no/mild dysfunction (32.3%) (Table 2).

CRP and uric acid (UA) were significantly higher in patients with severe renal dysfunction (Table 2). Distinguishing ESRD patients on dialysis treatment and patients with GFR < 30 ml/min/1.73m2 on conservative therapy, we compared the groups in terms of inflammatory markers: differences previously identified remained significant (CRP median [IQR]: 1.3 (0.48-5.50) vs 2.9 (1.14-10.89). When the relationships between inflammatory markers and renal dysfunction (as a continuous variable) were analyzed using multiple linear regression and adjusted for comorbidities, age, and gender, CRP and UA remained significantly associated with the degree of renal dysfunction.

Figure 1 shows the relationship between troponin I, CRP, UA, renal dysfunction severity, and number of vessels with lesions in the 249 patients who underwent coronary angiography. Only CRP was significantly associated with CKD severity in patients with three-vessel CAD. CRP levels were significantly higher in patients with three-vessel lesions than in those without three-vessel lesions (CRP mean ± standard deviation [SD]: 1.07 ± 2.26 vs. 1.79 ± 2.75, p = 0.03). UA levels were significantly higher in patients with severe and intermediate renal dysfunction compared to those with no/mild renal dysfunction (Table 2), but were unrelated to the number of vessels involved (UA mean ± SD (3vessels CAD vs. <3vessels CAD): 6.46 ± 2.07 vs. 6.79 ± 1.81, p = 0.204).

In-hospital renal and other outcomes

The length of hospital stay increased linearly with the level of renal dysfunction, from a mean of 5.6 days to 8.2 days (Table 3). During multiple linear regression analysis of the 249 patients with angiography results, the length of hospital stay was significantly associated with the extent of CAD, presence of diabetes, and history of heart failure, and borderline significantly associated with renal dysfunction (p = 0.08) (Table 4).

The mean GFR of all patients (excluding the 10 receiving dialysis) improved from admission to discharge by 2.3 mL/min/1.73 m2 (SD = 12.5). The percentage change in GFR was 0.4%, 13.2%, and 32.3% in patients with no/mild, moderate, and severe admission renal dysfunction, respectively. Older age, CAD severity, and renal function were associated with worsening CAD during hospitalization, whereas immediate evidence-based treatment was unrelated to a change in GFR (Table 5).

In-hospital mortality was low, with only six deaths (1.8%) total (Table 1). Patients who died during the hospitalization had variable admission renal function: their aGFR ranged from 20.9 to 79.6 mL/min/1.73 m2. Among the 317 patients with a positive link to the SISEPS register, the 1–year mortality rate was 15.3% and the 2-year mortality rate was 21.3%.

Discussion

Our results indicate that 43.1% of patients hospitalized for NSTEMI had renal dysfunction at admission. This percentage is considerably higher than in the general population, confirming the relationship between CVD and renal dysfunction [7-10]. CAD was more severe in patients with more severe kidney damage, and the number of patients with three-vessel CAD increased with increasing renal dysfunction. Our study showed this relationship, which had previously been reported only for registry studies [11]. We also found that CRP increased with increasing renal dysfunction and that its levels were associated with the extent of CAD, suggesting that this proteinas inflammation

Table 2 Relationship between myocardial damage, inflammation, and severity of coronary artery disease by level of kidney function

| Variables                  | Group A: GFR ≥60 (n = 182) | Group B: GFR 59-30 (n = 103) | Group C: GFR <30 (n = 35) | P value | Post-hoc Significant Comparisons* |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|
| **In hospital markers**    |                             |                               |                           |         |                                  |
| Troponin I, median (IQR), ng/mL | 1.2 (0.33-6.46)          | 2.3 (0.48-6.51)               | 2.9 (0.61-6.27)           | 0.052   | A < C                            |
| CRP, median (IQR), mg/mL  | 0.3 (0.17-1.13)           | 0.69 (0.23-2.65)              | 1.9 (0.73-7.67)           | <0.001  | A,B < C                          |
| Uric acid, median (IQR), mg/mL | 5.9 (4.95-7.2)            | 7.5 (6.1-9.4)                 | 7.2 (5.8-8.3)             | <0.001  | A < B,C                          |
| **Angiographic findings, n (%)** |                            |                               |                           |         |                                  |
| No CAD                    | 14/167 (8.3)              | 4/70 (5.7)                    | 0                         |         |                                  |
| 1- and 2-vessel CAD       | 99/167 (59.3)             | 31/70 (44.3)                  | 2/12 (16.7)               | A > C   |                                  |
| 3-vessel CAD              | 54/167 (32.3)             | 35/70 (50)                    | 10/12 (83.3)              | A < B,C |                                  |

*Bonferroni-corrected p value was used for Post-hoc analysis.
Abbreviations: GFR glomerular filtration rate, IQR interquartile range, CRP cross-reactive protein, CAD coronary artery disease.
† In the 249 patients who underwent coronary angiography.
mediator represents a link between renal dysfunction and CAD.

Recent research has focused on the role of inflammatory markers as a link between CVD and kidney disease. CKD is characterized by chronic inflammation, and inflammation also plays an integral role in accelerated atherosclerosis. Systemic microinflammation determines the extent of vascular endothelium damage, which is a key risk factor for both acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and CKD progression [12,13]. Patients with ACS and increased CRP have a worse outcome than those with normal levels of inflammatory markers [14]. Moreover, CRP is an important predictor of ACS. Inflammatory activation may also play a role in heart failure by contributing to vascular dysfunction and fluid overload [15], thereby leading to inadequate renal perfusion pressure, peritubular edema, pathological reduction of glomerular filtration, and mixed inflammatory and ischemic tubular damage [16]. These effects may explain why heart failure on admission was more frequent in our patients with CKD and was directly related to the extent of renal damage. Our finding of an association between UA levels and renal dysfunction is consistent with previously reported associations between UA and obesity, hypertension, metabolic syndrome, and glucose intolerance, all of which contribute to the pathogenesis of CVD [17,18]. Although some epidemiological, experimental, and clinical data have implicated UA in the pathogenesis of kidney injury [19], other studies have not demonstrated a clear effect of UA in the pathogenesis of endothelial damage [20]. Conversely, UA levels were unrelated to the number of diseased coronary arteries. These results suggest that increased UA may be the consequence of various factors, such as reduced urinary excretion due to renal dysfunction, cardiac disease, hypertension, or metabolic syndrome [21]. Alternately, they might be involved in the early phases of vascular damage [22].

Our observation that patients with renal dysfunction at admission were older than those without renal dysfunction is consistent with previous findings [23]. Furthermore, older patients had worsening of their GFR during hospitalization. Patients with severe admission renal dysfunction had a lower diastolic and mean arterial pressure, which concurs with a recent report that diastolic hypotension is more

Table 3 In-hospital outcomes

| Variables                          | Group A: GFR >60 (n = 182) | Group B: GFR 59-30 (n = 103) | Group C: GFR <30 (n = 35) | P value | Post-hoc Significant Comparisons* |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|
| In hospital outcomes              |                             |                             |                           |         |                                  |
| Length of hospital stay, mean (SD) (days) | 5.6 (4.9)                  | 7.9 (6.3)                   | 8.2 (4.8)                 | 0.001   | A < B < C                        |
| In-hospital death, n (%)          | 3 (1.6)                     | 1 (1.0)                     | 2 (5.7)                   | 0.193   |                                  |

*Bonferroni-corrected p value was used for Post-hoc analysis. Abbreviations: SD standard deviation.
frequent in patients with stage 3 and 4 CKD [24]. These observations may be partially explained by age-related decreases in GFR, due to reduced arterial compliance, changes in afterload, and diastolic dysfunction [25,26].

Moreover, excluding from the study population patients in chronic dialysis, patients with severe renal dysfunction at admission also presented a more substantial improvement in GFR at discharge: these data may reflect the effect on renal function of the patient’s clinical condition stabilization. Other events may also have contributed, such as the discontinuation of ACE inhibitors, but we are not able to verify this hypothesis because we did not analyze patients’ medications before hospital admission but during the first 24 hours. In these period, ACE inhibitors were administered to all patients in the absence of the contraindications (hyperkalemia, hypotension), independently of the presence or absence of renal dysfunction.

Our data confirm the use of evidence-based therapy in a high percentage of patients with NSTEMI and a careful use of multiple drugs in patients with severe renal dysfunction. Interestingly, use of clopidogrel did not differ among the study groups, but patients with severe renal dysfunction were less likely to receive immediate

Table 4 Predictors of length of hospital stay: multiple linear regression analysis results

| Independent variables                  | b     | P value | 95% Confidence interval |
|----------------------------------------|-------|---------|-------------------------|
| Gender (male)                          | 0.02  | 0.87    | –0.173 to 0.204         |
| Age (years)                            | 0.00  | 0.74    | –0.007 to 0.009         |
| Immediate evidence-based treatment     | –0.19 | 0.18    | –0.464 to –0.000        |
| GFR at admission (mL/min/1.73 m²)      | 0.00  | 0.08    | –0.008 to –0.001        |
| Number of vessels with CAD             | 0.12  | 0.01    | 0.029 to 0.217          |
| Left ventricular dysfunction (EF ≤40)  | 0.11  | 0.38    | –0.133 to 0.349         |
| History of heart failure               | 0.45  | <0.001  | 0.211 to 0.681          |
| History of PAD                         | 0.12  | 0.44    | –0.184 to 0.420         |
| History of diabetes                    | 0.26  | 0.01    | 0.072 to 0.446          |
| History of lipid disorders             | –0.13 | 0.13    | –0.307 to 0.040         |
| History of hypertension                | 0.00  | 0.99    | –0.196 to 0.194         |
| Prior myocardial infarction            | –0.12 | 0.20    | –0.299 to 0.064         |
| Prior stroke or TIA                    | 0.16  | 0.27    | –0.123 to 0.445         |

Values in bold are statistically significant.

Abbreviations: GFR, glomerular filtration rate; CAD, coronary artery disease; EF, ejection fraction; PAD, peripheral arterial disease; TIA, transient ischemic attack.

Table 5 Predictors of change in glomerular filtration rate from admission to discharge: multiple linear regression analysis results

| Independent variables                  | b     | P value | CI 95%        |
|----------------------------------------|-------|---------|---------------|
| Gender (M)                             | 1.92  | 0.26    | –1.418 to 5.262 |
| Age (years)                            | –0.40 | <0.001  | –0.549 to –0.243 |
| Immediate evidence based treatment     | –0.84 | 0.74    | –5.803 to 4.116  |
| GFR at admission (mL/min/1.73 m²)      | –0.34 | <0.001  | –0.423 to 0.253  |
| History of heart failure               | –2.60 | 0.21    | –6.704 to 1.513  |
| History of PAD                         | 4.04  | 0.16    | –1.589 to 9.663  |
| History of diabetes                    | 1.00  | 0.55    | –2.309 to 4.307  |
| History of hypertension                | –2.15 | 0.17    | –5.212 to 0.915  |
| History of lipid disorders             | 0.48  | 0.78    | –2.908 to 3.862  |
| Prior myocardial infarction            | –0.36 | 0.83    | –3.544 to 2.833  |
| Prior stroke or TIA                    | –2.38 | 0.35    | –7.436 to 2.675  |
| Number of vessels with CAD             | 1.77  | 0.03    | 0.138 to 3.407  |
| Ejection fraction (≤40)                | –3.29 | 0.13    | –7.497 to 0.924  |

Values in bold are statistically significant.

Abbreviations: GFR, glomerular filtration rate; CAD, coronary artery disease; EF, ejection fraction; PAD, peripheral arterial disease; TIA, transient ischemic attack.

Dialysis patients (n = 10) where excluded from the analysis.
evidence-based treatment in the first 24 hours after admission. Patients with renal dysfunction had a longer hospitalization, although this association became weaker after adjusting for gender, age, immediate treatment, and comorbidities. Our in-hospital mortality rate was low (1.8%), which may reflect the quality of care received and/or exclusion of patients with severe infection. Our small number of deaths prohibited determining whether mortality was associated with aGFR or immediate evidence-based treatment, as suggested by other authors [27-30]. Nevertheless, 1-year and 2-year mortality rates were 15.3% and 21.3%, confirming NSTEMI as a life-threatening condition.

The study is limited by its retrospective-observational nature and the relatively small sample size. Data regarding renal function before admission was frequently not available in the patients’ charts.

Thus, we cannot confirm that the aGFR values represent the patients’ baseline value. Nevertheless, because the time from NSTEMI onset to admission was minimal and creatinine is a late marker of renal function changes, aGFR probably at least reflected the renal function associated with the hemodynamic changes related to NSTEMI. Moreover, only a single CRP value was available for all patients.

Conclusions

Our results indicate that, among patients hospitalized for NSTEMI, those with a severe degree of renal dysfunction had more diffuse CAD. CRP was also positively correlated with renal dysfunction, confirming its possible use as a biomarker of the extent of coronary atherosclerosis in patients with CKD. The role of UA is unclear, as there was no association between UA and the number of diseased coronary arteries.
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