Genome-Wide Identification of ARF Gene Family Suggests a Functional Expression Pattern during Fruitlet Abscission in *Prunus avium* L.
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Abstract: Auxin response factors (ARFs) play a vital role in plant growth and development. In the current study, 16 ARF members have been identified in the sweet cherry (*Prunus avium* L.) genome. These genes are all located in the nucleus. Sequence analysis showed that genes in the same sub-group have similar exon-intron structures. A phylogenetic tree has been divided into five groups. The promoter sequence includes six kinds of plant hormone-related elements, as well as abiotic stress response elements such as low temperature or drought. The expression patterns of *PavARF* in different tissues, fruitlet abscission, cold and drought treatment were comprehensively analyzed. *PavARF*10/13 was up-regulated and *PavARF*4/7/11/12/15 was down-regulated in fruitlet abscising. These genes may be involved in the regulation of fruit drop in sweet cherry fruits. This study comprehensively analyzed the bioinformatics and expression pattern of *PavARF*, which can lay the foundation for further understanding the *PavARF* family in plant growth development and fruit abscission.
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1. Introduction

Auxins are a kind of phytohormone widely found in plants, which are influential for plant growth and development phases, including seed germination, inflorescence, fruit development, leaflet formation and blade growth, root architecture and differentiation, etc. [1]. Through binding to receptor cell membranes or intracellular components and interacting with other signal transduction pathways, auxins can directly regulate the processes of cell division, differentiation and elongation, and regulate development [2]. Auxin signal transduction entails transcriptional activation of auxin-regulated genes and degradation of transcription repressor protein, this process show variability and complexity [3]. There are many gene families involved in auxin signal transduction, including auxin response factors (ARFs), auxin/indole-3-acetic acid (AUX/IAA), small auxin-up RNAs (SAURs), Gretchen Hagen3 (GH3), etc [3]. In plants, auxin levels are not immutable, and changes in auxin level frequently trigger transient changes in these gene families to regulate plant growth and development as well as the impact of variations in the external environment [4]. There are two types of transcription factor families that play a crucial role in the expression of auxin-responsive genes. One is ARF, which can activate or inhibit
the expression of the target gene by combining with the auxin response element (AuxRE) with a special sequence TGTCTC on the promoter of the target gene; the other is AUX/IAA, is homologous to ARF, functions by binding to ARF and degradation of it [5,6].

One integral ARF generally consists of three conservative domains. The amino-terminal has a B3-type DNA-binding domain (DBD), and the middle region (MR) is partitioned according to the amino acid type into an activation domain or an inhibition domain and also has a carboxy-terminal dimerization (CTD) domain [7]. Crystal structures of the DBD demonstrated three different subunits, one which allows ARF to be assembled a biologically active dimerization domain; a B3 type domain that was highly similar to the DNA binding domain in prokaryotic endonucleases and was plant-specific; and a Tudor-like ancillary domain whose function is not yet clear [8]. DBD binds to AuxRE by the B3 on the auxin-responsive gene promoter that contains TGTCTC motif. According to the specific amino acids contained in MR, ARF proteins classified into three subgroups, class A was considered to be a transcriptional activator and was rich in glutamine (Q) and a small amount of leucine (L) and serine (S) residues; the remaining ARF is rich in serine, threonine (T) and proline (P) as transcriptional repressors, and perchance branched into the mir160–targeted ARFs (class C) and the rest of ARFs (class B) [9]. The carboxy-terminal domain does not include all ARFs and plays an important role in mediating the interactions between ARF and AUX/IAA [10].

By and large, when auxin concentrations are low, AUX/IAA will combine directly with ARFs. AUX/IAA will recruit a TOPLESS (TPL) or TOPLESS related (TRR) co-repressor to promote the deacetylation of histones, making the chromosomes compressed to reduce the accessibility of DNA, and achieve the purpose of inhibiting gene expression [4]. As the concentration of auxin increases, AUX/IAA binds to the TRANSPORT INHIBITOR RESISTANT1/AUXIN SIGNALING F-BOX (TIR1/AFB) protein family by the induction of auxin. These TIR1/AFB proteins have one F-box E3 ubiquitin type ligase that is recognized by SCF-type ubiquitin protein ligase complexes, which triggers the polyubiquitination and degradation of AUX/IAA, and ARFs are released. ARFs will recruit SWITCH/SUCROSE NONFERMENTING (SWI/SNF) to change the chromatin state, and then combine with the AuxRE element on the promoter of the target gene to start the expression of the auxin-responsive [10]. Thus far, in the Arabidopsis genome, 23 AtARF members have been listed [11]. All of these AtARF3/13/17/23 proteins are incomplete and lack the CTD domain [5]. In response to some internal and external environmental changes, the above variations in diverse genetic domains may have distinct functions in the auxin signaling pathway and play a non-negligible role. After more than two decades of research, the signaling pathway induced by auxin mechanism has been largely elucidated.

The ARF gene family plays an important role in plant resistance. AtARF7 have different expression patterns in dry and humid environments, which determines the plant’s construction of a root branching model in response to water availability [12]. In tomato, SIARF1, SIARF4, SIARF6B, SIARF10A and SIARF18 were significantly up-regulated in response to drought stress, while SIARF3, SIARF5, SIARF6A, SIARF7A and SIARF19 were significantly decreased [13]. When mulberry was treated in desiccation conditions, 13 ARFs have shown differential expression, suggesting that ARF can be widely involved in regulating drought stress [14]. The expressions of nine SbARF genes (SbARF4/7/9/15/17/19/21/22/24) increased after cold treatment in Sorghum bicolor, while SbARF13 remained decreased during the whole treatment [15]. Most of the 25 OsARF members in rice can be induced by drought or low temperature, and OsARF4/11/13/14/16/18 can be induced in at least one condition [16].

So far, the ARF family have been identified from many species such as Oryza sativa, Zea mays, Prunus persica, Brassica napus, soybean and so on and their functions have also been researched in depth [17–21]. ARFs regulate a diverse range of plant behaviours as transcription factors, including development processes and abiotic stress response. In wheat, TaARF8, TaARF9, and TaARF2 expression were significantly altered by low
temperature in genic male-sterile, and these genes were involved in the cold-induced male sterility pathway [22]. IbARF5 in sweet potato participates in the synthesis of carotenoids and plays an important regulatory role in salt and drought stress [23]. The expression level of LcARF2D/2E, 7A/7B, 9A/9B, 16A/16B in litchi changes significantly during fruit abscising, and LcARF5A/B has a positive regulatory effect during fruit abscising. [24].

Sweet cherry (Prunus avium L.) is extensively cultivated as an economic and horticul-
tural fruit tree in southwestern China. Throughout flower development, they are suscep-
tible to spring frost and prone to abnormal fruit abscission during fruit development. The sweet cherry PavARF gene family members have not been reported previously, and their functions remain to be elucidated under cold and drought stress conditions. The completion of the whole genome sequencing of sweet cherries makes it possible to study ARF gene family [25]. Genome-wide identification of gene families and analysis of their functions have been studied in other species. For example, the abiotic stress function of LncRNAs in Capsicum annuum [26], the AHL gene family in soybean [27], and the expres-
sion characteristics of KCS gene family in barley development [28], and so on. Therefore, this study identified and analyzed ARF gene family by searching the whole genome of sweet cherry and using bioinformatics methods and included tissue-specific expression profile and dynamic expression patterns in response to cold and drought stress, which can provide a meaningful reference for further functional investigations of the PavARF gene family in fruit abscission and abiotic stress.

2. Results
2.1. Identification of ARF in Sweet Cherry

An HMM profile of the ARF was employed as a query to identify the ARF genes in sweet cherry. Finally, a total of 16 PavARF genes were identified in the sweet cherry ge-
nome. Following the nomenclature for members ARF, these genes were successively named based on chromosomal position. The remaining genes that cannot be located on the chromosome and located on random scaffolds of the 'chr 0' reference sequence are named sequentially according to their gene ID. These members of the PavARF gene family are summarized in Table 1. The length of PavARFs aa (amino acids) ranged from 384 (PavARF3) to 1187 aa (PavARF1), and the molecular weight ranged from 42.8 to 119.6 KDa. Besides, the isoelectric points (pl) of the 16 ARF proteins were also predicted to range from 5.20 to 6.44. The GRAVY showed that all ARF are hydrophilic proteins. The prediction of subcellular localization showed that 16 PavARF were localized in the nucleus. The 11 PavARF members have complete domains. Interestingly, two ARF genes related to fru-
t development were found in the ‘Tieton’ genome, among which FUN_011630-T1 and PavARF4 sequence are identical, while FUN_032112-T1 and PavARF7 sequence are highly similar [29].

Table 1. Basic information of the ARFs gene family in sweet cherry.

| Gene Name | Gene ID                  | CDS  | Protein | Mw  | pI   | GRAVY | Subcellular Localization | Domain                      |
|-----------|-------------------------|------|---------|-----|------|-------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| PavARF1   | Pav_sc0001248.1_g270.1.mk | 3264 | 1187    | 119627.80 | 5.86 | −0.608 | Nucleus                  | B3,Auxin_resp,CTD            |
| PavARF2   | Pav_sc000030.1_g880.1.mk | 2547 | 848     | 93909.05   | 6.96 | −0.449 | Nucleus                  | B3,Auxin_resp,CTD            |
| PavARF3   | Pav_sc0001196.1_g1840.1.mk | 1155 | 384     | 42848.91   | 5.20 | −0.662 | Nucleus                  | Auxin_resp,CTD              |
| PavARF4   | Pav_sc0000586.1_g230.1.mk | 2097 | 698     | 77581.78   | 6.44 | −0.430 | Nucleus                  | B3,Auxin_resp,CTD            |
| PavARF5   | Pav_sc0000084.1_g430.1.mk | 2151 | 716     | 79165.21   | 6.59 | −0.408 | Nucleus                  | B3,Auxin_resp               |
| PavARF6   | Pav_sc0001345.1_g010.1.mk | 2256 | 751     | 82327.61   | 5.90 | −0.335 | Nucleus                  | B3,Auxin_resp,CTD            |
To know more about the conserved domains in PavARF, the PavARFs were aligned (Figure S1). The results show that these sequences have a certain degree of similarity, and two highly conserved domains (DBD and ARF Domain) were identified. However, not all the PavARFs contain a CTD domain, such as PavARF5/7/12/13, while the DBD domain was not found in PavARF3. Phylogenetic analysis involving the deduced protein sequences of the 16 PavARF, 17 PpARF of the *Prunus persica* (peach) [17] and 31 MdARF of *Malus domestica* (apple) [30] was performed. The phylogenetic tree of ARF proteins was divided into four classes (clade A1, clade A2, clade A3 and clade A4) (Figure 1). Most of the members of PavARF were distributed in the cladeA1 subgroup, and the four members that activate transcriptional activation belong to the cladeA1 subgroup. Among the 16 PavARF proteins, 5 belong to clade A1, 4 to clade A2, 2 to clade A3, and clade A4 have 5. Genes in the same subfamily may have evolved from the same gene duplication event, and their functions may also be similar.

| PavARF    | Accession Number | Length | Start | End   | Score | Strain          | Subgroup                     |
|-----------|------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| PavARF7   | Pav_sc0001900.1_g | 1920   | 639   | 69349.71 | 5.92  | −0.530          | Nucleus                      | B3,Auxin_resp               |
| PavARF8   | Pav_sc0003135.1_g | 2670   | 889   | 98481.69 | 6.08  | −0.431          | Nucleus                      | B3,Auxin_resp,CTD           |
| PavARF9   | Pav_sc0002250.1_g | 2046   | 681   | 75315.71 | 6.20  | −0.476          | Nucleus                      | B3,Auxin_resp,CTD           |
| PavARF10  | Pav_sc0000042.1_g | 2316   | 771   | 86014.22 | 5.85  | −0.694          | Nucleus                      | B3,Auxin_resp,CTD           |
| PavARF11  | Pav_sc0000094.1_g | 2061   | 686   | 75602.80 | 5.35  | −0.499          | Nucleus                      | B3,Auxin_resp,CTD           |
| PavARF12  | Pav_sc0002446.1_g | 2160   | 719   | 79093.28 | 7.88  | −0.410          | Nucleus                      | B3,Auxin_resp               |
| PavARF13  | Pav_sc0000711.1_g | 2172   | 723   | 79392.80 | 6.09  | −0.413          | Nucleus                      | B3,Auxin_resp               |
| PavARF14  | Pav_sc0001291.1_g | 2130   | 709   | 78539.30 | 5.34  | −0.444          | Nucleus                      | B3,Auxin_resp,CTD           |
| PavARF15  | Pav_sc0008481.1_g | 2652   | 883   | 97861.21 | 5.92  | −0.444          | Nucleus                      | B3,Auxin_resp,CTD           |
| PavARF16  | Pav_sc0001314.1_g | 2751   | 916   | 101887.61 | 5.76  | −0.410          | Nucleus                      | B3,Auxin_resp,CTD           |

2.2. Phylogenetic Analysis of PavARF

To know more about the conserved domains in PavARF, the PavARFs were aligned (Figure S1). The results show that these sequences have a certain degree of similarity, and two highly conserved domains (DBD and ARF Domain) were identified. However, not all the PavARFs contain a CTD domain, such as PavARF5/7/12/13, while the DBD domain was not found in PavARF3. Phylogenetic analysis involving the deduced protein sequences of the 16 PavARF, 17 PpARF of the *Prunus persica* (peach) [17] and 31 MdARF of *Malus domestica* (apple) [30] was performed. The phylogenetic tree of ARF proteins was divided into four classes (clade A1, clade A2, clade A3 and clade A4) (Figure 1). Most of the members of PavARF were distributed in the cladeA1 subgroup, and the four members that activate transcriptional activation belong to the cladeA1 subgroup. Among the 16 PavARF proteins, 5 belong to clade A1, 4 to clade A2, 2 to clade A3, and clade A4 have 5. Genes in the same subfamily may have evolved from the same gene duplication event, and their functions may also be similar.
Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree of ARF proteins from sweet cherry, *Malus domestica*, and *Prunus persica*. All ARFs are divided into five clades, each signed by a different color. The PavARFs of the sweet cherry was marked red star.

2.3. Gene Structure and Motif Analysis

In order to understand the gene structure and conserved motifs of ARF, the intron-exon structure of these members was obtained through the genomic DNA sequence. The phylogenetic tree was constructed with the NJ method and 1000 bootstraps for the full length of the 16-member protein in MEGA7.0. The results showed that 16 motifs were found in these members, but there were differences in the number of motifs between genes. (Figure 2b). There are only five motifs to the PavARF3, which may be the reason for the lack of the B3 domain. None of the four genes lacking the CTD domain have motif 14. Motif 16 was a special conserved motif, which only existed in PavARF6/8/16. The interesting creature was that they are clustered into one category in an evolutionary relationship. PavARF3 lacks the N-terminal B3 domain, and the DBD domains of the other 15 members are highly conserved. The Auxin_resp domains of all members are quite different, which is also the area where ARF functions. The number of exons differed from three to 14 in PavARF genes, thus, the general gene structure of this family is complex and diverse (Figure 2c). Like ARF of other species, PavARF can be divided into three groups: PavARF1/6/8/16 constituted group A, PavARF2/5/12/13 belong to group C, A and C were clustered in CladeA1; group B contained CladeA2-5, including PavARF3/4/7/9/10/11/15. Furthermore, the same clade for PavARF members usually displayed similar exon-intron arrangements. The middle region of PavARF is counted (Figure 2d). PavARF1/6/8/16 has potential transcriptional activation activity, and their middle region was Q-rich; members with transcriptional repressive activity were PavARF4/9/10/11/12/13/15, in the middle was PST-rich. Based on the types of amino acids in the middle region of ARF, their characteristics can be predicted to a certain extent, but the real situation needs to be further verified by experiments such as transient expression of protoplasts. PavARF12/13 has lacked the CTD domain.
Figure 2. The structure distribution patterns of 16 *PavARF* genes. (a). *PavARF* phylogenetic tree, clustered into three Group (Group A, B and C). (b). The discovered conserved motifs of *PavARF* proteins. 16 Motifs were found and illustrated by a different color. (c). Exon-intron structures of *PavARF* genes. Exons and introns are shown as yellow color and black lines. The left and right end were untranslated regions and illustrated by green color. (d). The transcriptional activator or transcriptional inhibitor of *PavARF* predicted by DNAMAN.

2.4. Analysis of Hormone-Related Cis-Elements

The promoter region upstream of the gene is very vital during gene transcription, and generally has distinct functions, including abiotic stress and response to phytohormone. To better understand the *cis*-acting elements of *PavARF* gene promoters, the upstream sequences of all identified *PavARF* were submitted and calculated by Plant-CARE. The prediction results showed that in the upstream region of *PavARF*, in addition to some core promoter regions such as TATA-box and CAAT, it also includes elements such as light response and abiotic stress (Table S2).
Because auxin response factors are mainly related to phytohormone, mainly select elements related to phytohormone and respond to low temperature for statistics (Figure 3). Interestingly, the elements that respond to drought are concentrated within 1000 bp downstream, and this distribution may be more conducive to gene response to drought stress.

![Figure 3](image)

**Figure 3.** Analysis of specific cis-elements in PavARF promoters. The 2000 bp upstream sequences were used to analyze six specific phytohormone-related cis-elements (auxin, gibberellin, MeJA, ethylene, abscisic acid and salicylic acid), two stress-responsive regulatory elements including drought and low-temperature. They are illustrated by the different color boxes.

According to predictions, a total of six hormonal response-related elements were found, which were auxin responsive (TGA-element, AACGAC and AuxRR-core, GGTCCAT, gibberellin responsive (CCTTTTG), abscisic acid responsive (ACGTG), MeJA (methyl jasmonate, CGTCA) responsive, ethylene responsive (ATTTTAAA) and salicylic acid responsive (TCAGAGGAGG/CCATCTTTTT). Additionally, two stress-responsive regulatory elements, CCGAAA-motif associated with low-temperature responses (LTR) and CAACTG associated with drought-inducibility was identified in the PavARF promoter regions. These elements were unevenly distributed upstream of 16 PavARF genes. PavARF11 had only one ethylene response element; PavARF2 had 17 response elements, including five different response elements. PavARF9 contained the most ACGTG motifs related to abscisic acid response, suggesting that might be played a role in the abscisic acid signaling pathway. The selected seven response elements were included in PavARF4, implying it could engage throughout the response to different stress and hormone treatments via the various regulatory mechanisms.
2.5. Genomic Distribution and Gene Duplication

Based on the genes coordinate annotation data, we mapped identified sweet cherry ARF on chromosomal. Most genes can be located on chromosomes and their distribution is uneven (Figure S2). Due to the so-called “0” chromosome in the sweet cherry genome [25], the level of genome assembly is limited, and PavARF16 cannot be located on the chromosome. Chromosome 1 and chromosome 6, which were the two chromosomes with the most PavARF genes, each contained three members, while chromosomes 2, 4 and 5 each contained two genes, and chromosomes 3, 7 and 8 had the lowest number of members (only one PavARF gene). PavARF1/2/3/4/5/9/10/13/14 was located on the positive strand of the chromosome, while PavARF6/7/8/11/12/15 was located on the reverse strand of the chromosome.

Figure 4. Collinearity analyses of ARF genes between *Oryza sativa*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Glycine max*, *Solanum lycopersicum*, *Citrus sinensis*, *Malus domestica* and *Prunus persica*. Gray lines in the background indicate the collinear blocks within the *Prunus avium* and other plant genomes, while the red lines highlight the syntenic ARF gene pairs. Different species are marked with different colors, and sweet cherry is marked with light blue.

To further explore the phylogenetic relationship between sweet cherry and other plants, we analyzed the synteny relationships between six plants and sweet cherry (Figure 4). The six plants include the dicotyledonous plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* TAIR10, soybean (*Glycine max*, v2.1), tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*, SL3.0), sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*,
v2.0), apple (*Malus domestica*, ASM211411v1.48) and peach (*Prunus persica*, NCBIv2), and the monocotyledonous plant rice (*Oryza sativa*, IRGSP-1.0.47). In these six species (rice, *Arabidopsis*, soybean, tomato, sweet orange, apple and peach), the number of gene pairs with orthologous pairing is 8, 13, 44, 23, 17, 34 and 20, respectively. The collinearity genes between rice and sweet cherries are the least, indicating that the evolutionary relationship between rice as a monocot and sweet cherries was relatively distant. Some *PavARF* genes have more than one syntenic gene pair. For example, there are more than three pairs of collinearity genes between sweet cherry and soybean, and such as *PavARF13* up to five pairs (between apples and sweet cherry). It is speculated that these genes are highly conserved in evolution, and more replication events have occurred. Throughout, rice and sweet cherry genomes, few genes lacking collinearity gene pairs, for instance, *PavARF1/2/3*, and these gene pairs were found in other six dicotyledonous plants to sweet cherry, indicating that these orthologous pairs may be emerged after the separation of monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants. Also, there are some collinear gene pairs in all seven selected species, such as *PavARF7*. These gene pairs may come from the same ancestor and may already exist before monocotyledon and dicotyledon differentiation.

### 2.6. Expression Analysis of *PavARF* Genes in Stage-Specific and Tissue/organ-Specific

Different tissues of the gene expression profile can let us to understanding and predicting biological functions. To characterize the expression pattern of the different members of the *PavARF* family, analyzed qRT-PCR data from 17 different samples: leaf, flower, fruit, stem, etc, and represented the expression levels using one heatmap (Figure 5a). The findings revealed that the expression level of each gene was divergent in different tissues, which further indicated that the ARF gene played a pivotal role in sweet cherry growth and development. Most of the members are highly expressed in fruit development and stems. The expression of *PavARF7/8/9/14* showed an up-regulated pattern as in collected flowers from four different developmental stages, while *PavARF4/15* was significantly down-regulated. In old leaves, *PavARF1/2/3/9/10/13* is highly expressed, which may be related to the aging and shedding of leaves. These genes may be involved in regulating leaf growth and development. *PavARF8* has the highest expression during the coloring period of fruit and may be involved in the regulation of fruit pigments. During the four stages from fruitlet to mature fruit, *PavARF5* showed up-regulated expression and reached the highest level in mature fruit. The expression of *PavARF6/7/12* gradually decreased. The expression level of *PavARF10* was highest in the second stage (FR2) of the fruit and then began to decrease. Compared with one-year-old stems (AS), *PavARF7/8/9/10* was up-regulated in two years old stems (BS), and *PavARF3/4/6/14* was down-regulated. In leaves and flower buds, most *PavARF* members maintain a low expression level.

As an auxin-responsive transcription factor, ARF usually interacts extensively with other transcription factors or proteins to regulate the growth and development of plants. Based on the studied *Arabidopsis thaliana* homologous *AtARF* protein, the ARF interaction mode of sweet cherry is predicted in the current study (Figure 5b). The results showed that there are interactions between ARFs and extensive interactions with the growth hormone response inhibitor IAA, which is consistent with previous studies. In addition, *PavARF* interacts with auxin-related genes such as TIR1, NPH4, AXR3 and ETT, indicating that *PavARF* has multiple functions in the auxin signal transduction pathway [31–33].
The physiological abscising of plant organs was a normal phenomenon. In order to explore the physiological abscising of sweet cherry fruitlet, we analyzed the differences in the expression of PavARF in the physiological fruit shedding at the fruitlet stage and carpodomi. Compared with the non-abscission carpododium, the expression level of PavARF2/3/6/8/9/10/12/13/16 in the abscising carpododium increased significantly, and PavARF8/10 showed a very high expression level (Figure 5c). It indicates that these genes may be more sensitive to auxin and may also play an important role in the formation of the abscission zone.

In order to understand the expression of PavARF in abscising fruitlets, this study collected two physiological drops (0.5–0.7 cm, 1.3–1.6 cm), and collected normal fruits that did not fall off at the same time as a comparison to explore the expression of these genes. In the first physiological abscising fruitlet (FAB 1), compared with normal fruitlet, the expression of PavARF10/13 was up-regulated significantly in the abscising fruit, while the expression of 11 members decreased (Figure 6). In the second physiological fruit abscission (FAB 2), the expression of PavARF6/8/9/10/13/14/16 up-regulated expression, while PavARF4/7/12/15 showed down-regulation. Compared with normal fruits, in the two
physiological fruit drops, the gene whose expression level was up-regulated was *PavARF10/13*, and the gene whose expression was both down-regulated was *PavARF4/7/11/12/15*.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** Expression levels of PavARFs at the sweet cherry fruitlet and abscising fruitlet. * represents $p < 0.05$ in the variance analysis and ** represents $p < 0.01$ in the variance analysis.

2.7. Expression of Low-Temperature and Drought Stress

The upstream promoter sequences of some members of *PavARF* contain cis-sequences associated with abiotic stress. *PavARF2/5/8/12/13/16* is an example that contained a low-temperature response component, while *PavARF1/5/10/11/16* was related to the induction of drought. To verify the changes of these genes under the stress of cold and drought, an analysis performed regarding their expression levels in these conditions. Under low temperature (Figure 7a), the expression level of *PavARF2/5/8/12/13/16* will increase within 1 h and was significantly higher than the control (25 °C) without *PavARF12*, but it had a downward trend thereafter. The expression level inclined again at 24 h and then declined gradually. In addition, *PavARF8/12* has two low temperature response elements, and both genes have higher expression levels under low temperature. These two genes may be similar or complementary in function (overlapping functions), and they play an important regulatory role in the corresponding low temperature treatment [34].
Figure 7. Expression profiling of PavARF at the low-temperature stress (a) and drought (b). * represents $p < 0.05$ in the variance analysis and ** represents $p < 0.01$ in the variance analysis.

For drought stress, 6 PavARF genes were selected for verification, and their upstream promoters have drought-responsive elements. The study found that under the drought treatment simulated by PEG, all 6 genes all demonstrated different degrees of response (Figure 7b). The expression level of PavARF1 at 4 h was significantly higher compared to the control. PavARF5/7/11 was down-regulated at 4–6 h after treatment, and the expression level was significantly lower than that of the control at the same time duration. Compared with the control, the expression level of PavARF16 had no obvious change within 0–6 h after treatment, but it was significantly up-regulated at 8 h. The expression level of PavARF10 was down-regulated at 2 h, and then tended to be up-regulated. There are two drought-responsive elements upstream of PavARF7/10, which may enhance their promoter activity.

3. Discussion

Auxins are vital regulators in plants. As a kind of transcription factor, ARFs participate in the signal pathways related to auxin response and regulate the growth and development of plants [35]. Our research has identified 16 ARF members in the sweet cherry
genome. They are unevenly distributed on eight chromosomes and have highly similar domains. Compared with Arabidopsis 135 Mbp [36], rice 500 Mbp [37], tomato 828 Mbp [38], soybean 1115 Mbp [39], sweet orange 367 Mbp [40], apple 193 Mbp [41] and peach 265 Mbp [42], 260 Mbp sweet cherry genome is similar to Rosaceae peach (Prunus persica) [25]. The PavARF number is lower than 23 for Arabidopsis, 25 in rice [19], 21 for tomato [43], 51 in soybean [44], 19 in sweet orange [45] and 31 apples [30], but close to 17 for peach [17]. Through statistical analysis of the amino acids in the middle region of PavARF, the current study predicted that four PavARF genes have potential transcriptional activation activities and seven have potential transcriptional repressive activities. In Arabidopsis, the gene with transcriptional activation activity is AtARF5/6/7/8/19, and the others are transcription repressors [5]. Through domain analysis, we have found that most PavARF had B3 and auxin-resp domains, but it was found that PavARF3 lacked the B3 domain. Such genes also have been founded in other species, such as StARF18 in Solanum tuberosum [46]. The lack of the B3 domain meant that this gene could not recognize and bind to the auxin response element on the promoter of the target gene [16], but its CTD domain may combined with AUX/IAA or ARF [47]. As a transcription factor, ARF generally plays a role in the nucleus. Consistent with the subcellular location of ARF in other species, all PavARF members are predicted to be in the nucleus, proving that they function as transcription factors [17,48].

The ARF gene family is widely presented in land plants. From lower plants to higher plants, the ARF members have a clear tendency to enlarge and have relatively conservative homology relationships [49]. There is only one ARF in the bryophyte liverwort [50]. Compared with seven species such as Arabidopsis thaliana, rice has fewer collinearity gene pairs, indicating that ARF has undergone more extensive evolution and replication events after the staging of mono and dicot plants. The core signaling regions related to auxin response is conserved in angiosperms and bryophytes, and the molecular mechanism in these land plants is the same [51]. ARF in plants has been divided into three groups. Based on their transcriptional activity, groups A and B are defined as transcriptional activators and transcriptional repressors, respectively, and group C is recognized as transcriptional repressors based on the specific amino acids in the middle region (MR) [52]. According to evolutionary analysis, there are two ARF precursors in Charophytes, which are similar to A/B and C ARF, respectively. These results prove that the ARF gene may be derived from several common ancestor genes and begin to differentiate in algae plants [53].

The transcript expression analysis can help us understand the potentially distinct functions of PavARF. ARFs exhibit tissue-specific expression. PavARF3/8/10 had a higher expression level in all tissues in sweet cherry. In rice, the 24 OsARFs did not have much difference in transcription level, indicating that ARF is constitutively expressed in rice [19]. During the germination of maize seeds, the expression level of more than half of ZmARF reached its peak after 24 h following imbibition and ZmARF1 was the highest expressed in all tissues. The expression levels of 8 ZmARF in dry mature embryos were higher than immature embryos during seed development [18]. In sweet cherries, PavARF8/10 is highly expressed in stems, while PavARF15 is slightly expressed. Similarly, in soybeans, GmARF12 had the highest expression in stems, while GmARF19 has the lowest expression [44]. In Tartary buckthorn, the expression levels of 4 FiARF (FiARF3/4/8/10) in flowers were higher than in other groups, except for FiARF7/18, the expression levels of other genes in reproductive organs all had a higher level [54]. In the flower development of sweet cherry, PavARF3/4/7/8/10/14 showed greater expression differences. ATARF8 interacted with bHLH (basic helix-loop-helix) to influence petal growth by changed cell expansion or increased cell number [55]. ARF6 and ARF8 can promote inflorescence elongation and gynoecium development in tomato, as well as targeted by miR167a, which can down-regulate ARF6 and ARF8. Furthermore, overexpression of miR167a in Arabidopsis thaliana can cause female infertility [56]. In peach fruit development, the expression of PpARF12 tends to be stable, and the expression level in mature fruits decreases and PpARF10A is gradually up-regulated during the fruit development
period, and the expression level reaches the maximum during maturity [17]. In sweet cherries, PavARF8 was a more stable expression. This study indicated that ARF is widely involved in plant growth and development.

Organ abscission is a part of the dynamic nature of the plant that involves changes in gene and cell function. In this study, PavARF2/3/8/10/13 is up-regulated in the sweet cherry abscission fruitlets, and PavARF6/7/11/12 is down-regulated (Figure 8). The study of ARF in plant organ abscission has been reported in other species. AtARF2 and AtARF can promote the shedding of flowers of Arabidopsis thaliana, and have a higher expression in the base of the flower and the abscission zone and have pleiotropic effects on plant development [57]. In tomato, miR160 was the main target gene of SIARF10A, and miR160 mutation caused abnormal shedding of floral organs, accompanied by increased expression of SIARF10A [58]. Five ARFs expressions were down-regulated at 2 days or 4 days after the GPD (girdling plus defoliation) treatment in litchi fruitlet [59]. LcARF2D/2E, 7A/7B, 9A/9B and 16A/16B likely acted as repressors in litchi fruit abscission, particularly LcARF5A/B, which might be positively involved in this process [24]. The expression of 22 ARF in Citrus did not show much difference in the process of abscission, indicating that ARF may not be involved in Citrus abscising fruits [60]. These studies indicate that there are great differences in ARF among different species.

![Diagram](image.png)

**Figure 8.** The expression patterns of sweet cherry ARF gene family members during fruit shedding, drought and low temperature stress. Under drought, low temperature and shedding, PavARF binds to AuxRE elements under the action of auxin to initiate gene expression. For other genes, when the auxin is low, PavARF binds to AUX/IAA, and the gene expression is inhibited.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Identification of ARF Genes in Cherry

Firstly, the sweet cherry genomic and protein data (Prunus avium Whole Genome Assembly v1.0 & Annotation v1 (v1.0.a1) were downloaded from the Rosaceae genome database (GDR, https://www.rosaceae.org/ (accessed on: 22.02.2020)). Hidden Markov Model (HMM) files of ARF protein in Pfam (http://pfam.xfam.org/ (accessed on: 22.02.2020)) were then obtained. The HMM files were used as queries to search against cherry protein sequences based on hmmsearch which is hmmer-3.2.1 version at the score value of $1 \times 10^{-20}$ [61]. These sequences were used to construct the HMM model file of sweet cherry once the candidate sequences were acquired, and afterward, the model was used to search anew for all its (Prunus avium) proteins sequence. Upon discarded
Fruit samples at different developmental stages were harvested at 11–1.6 cm abscising fruit carpopodium and 1.3–0.5 cm abscising leaf age of the seven years old trees. Seventeen different tissue samples were used for tissue expression pattern analysis. In detail, young leaf (15 d leaf age), mature leaf (45 d leaf age), old leaf (170 d leaf age), one year old stem, two years old stem, 0.5–0.7 cm abscising fruit carpopodium and 1.3–1.6 cm abscising fruit carpopodium of the seven years old tree.

Based on the genomic coordinates of the *Prunus avium* ARF genes retrieved from the GFF file, the MG2C (http://mg2c.iask.in/mg2c_v2.1/ (accessed on: 10.03.2021)) was used to map genes on the chromosomes. MCScanX (Multiple Collinearity Scan toolkit) was adopted to analyze the gene duplication events, with the $1 \times 10^{-10}$ parameters [67]. To exhibit the collinearity relationship of the ARFs obtained from sweet cherry and other selected species, the syntenic analysis maps were constructed using the TBtools.

4.5. Plant Materials

Seven years old “Brooks” sweet cherry trees planted under rain shelter coverings were used in this research. All materials were grown at the experimental orchard in the Key Laboratory of Plant Resource Conservation and Germplasm Innovation in Mountainous Region (Ministry of Education) of GuiZhou University in GuiYang WuDang Area (107°00′ E, 26°82′ N). Seventeen different tissue samples were used for tissue-specific expression pattern analysis. In detail, young leaf (15 d leaf age), mature leaf (45 d leaf age), old leaf (170 d leaf age), one year old stem, two years old stem, 0.5–0.7 cm abscising fruit carpopodium and 1.3–1.6 cm abscising fruit carpopodium of the seven years old tree.

The flower samples were selected from bud dormancy (FL1), bud (FL2), before flowering (FL3) and blooming flowers with opened petals (FL4) of the seven years old trees. Fruit samples at different developmental stages were harvested at 11 (0.5–0.7 cm), 20 (1.3–
1.6 cm), 32 (fruit colorings) and 44 (ripe fruits) days after anthesis of the seven years old trees, the 11, 20, 32 and 48 d were defined as FR 1–4, respectively. The abscission fruit samples involved 0.5–0.7 cm abscission fruits and 1.3–1.6 cm abscission fruits. Collected least 10 for each period fruit sample, and remove the fruit kernel, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C for further experiments.

For cold stress treatments, the sweet cherry twigs were subjected to 4 and 25 °C (control), respectively. The leaves were collected at 0, 1, 3, 6 and 12 h, and 1, 2 and 3 d in cold treatment. While as drought treatments, the sweet cherry twigs were soaked in 20% PEG6000 and dH2O (control). The leaf tissues from twigs were collected at 0, 2, 4, 6 and 8 h after treatment. All treated leaf samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C.

Total RNA from different organs was extracted using a polysaccharide polyphenol plant total RNA Extraction Kit (SENO, www.seno-bio.com, Zhangjiakou, Hebei Province, China). RNA was used for the synthesis of first strand of cDNA via PrimeScript™ RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (TaKaRa, Beijing, China). The qRT-PCR was carried out with the CFX Connect™ Real-Time System instrument (BIO-RAD, Hercules, CA, USA) using PowerUp™ SYBR™ Green Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The sweet cherry PavEF1-a2 and PavRSP3 genes were used as an internal control. The sense and anti-sense primers were designed by Primer 5 and their specificity was detected by NCBI. Sequences of the primers used in this study were shown in detail in the Table S1. The reactions were performed with three biological and technical replicates per sample. The data were analyzed using the 2−ΔCt method [68]. STRING (https://string-db.org/ (accessed on: 10.07.2020)) was used to analyze the interaction of PavARF proteins on the basis of the orthologs in Arabidopsis.

5. Conclusions

In the current study, 16 ARF members were identified from the Prunus avium genome, which were unevenly distributed on eight chromosomes. These gene members can be divided into five clades. Their gene structure is similar, and most members have a conserved ARF domain. These members are highly expressed during fruit development and may play an important role in fruit development. Among them, PavARF10/13 is up-regulated expression in fruit drop, and PavARF4/7/11/12/15 is down-regulated. These genes may be related to fruitlet abscising. Members with low temperature or drought response elements on the promoter can respond to low temperature or drought treatment.
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