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Abstract: The protozoan Cryptosporidium parvum is one of the major causative pathogens of diarrhoea in young ruminants; therefore, it causes economic losses and impairs animal welfare. Besides C. parvum, there are many other non-infectious and infectious factors, such as rotavirus, Escherichia coli, and Giardia duodenalis, which may lead to diarrhoeic disease in young livestock. Often, more than one infectious agent is detected in affected animals. Little is known about the interactions between simultaneously occurring pathogens and their potential effects on the course of disease. In this review, a brief overview about pathogens associated with diarrhea in young ruminants is presented. Furthermore, information about coinfections involving Cryptosporidium is provided.
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1. Introduction

In the beef and dairy industries, as well as in sheep enterprises and the goat industry, infectious diarrhoea in young ruminants is one of the biggest challenges facing economic productivity and animal welfare, leading to increased mortality rates [1–3]. First described in mice in 1907 by Ernest Edward Tyzzer [4], the protozoan Cryptosporidium is known as one of the major pathogens causing diarrhoea in young livestock, especially in calves. Formerly classified as a coccidian, this apicomplexan parasite has been transferred to the subclass, Cryptogregaria, within the Gregarinomorphea class [5,6]. After their excystation from orally uptaken oocysts, sporozoites of C. parvum mainly infect intestinal epithelial cells of the ileum; however, they are able to infect the gastrointestinal tract anywhere from the abomasum to the colon [2]. Cryptosporidium is an intracellular but extracytoplasmic parasite (Figure 1), which reproduces asexually through two cycles of merogony and, subsequently, sexually, through gamogony. Thin-walled oocysts excited within the host’s intestine and lead to auto-infection, whereas thick-walled oocysts are excreted to the environment and can induce infection after oral uptake [7]. Four Cryptosporidium spp. are regularly detected in cattle, namely C. parvum, C. bovis, C. ryanae and C. andersoni. The prevalence of these species is age-related [8,9]. Cryptosporidium andersoni can be detected in juvenile and adult cattle, infecting the abomasum, and it has been reported to exert an influence on milk production with no further clinical signs [10]. Cryptosporidium bovis and C. ryanae are mainly identified in post-weaned calves with no signs of clinical disease [8,9,11]. Although C. bovis, C. ryanae and C. andersoni have also been found in pre-weaned calves [12–14], C. parvum is mainly responsible for infection in suckling calves resulting in neonatal diarrhoeal disease [7,9,10,15]. In small ruminants, depending on the geographical region, Cryptosporidium species such as C. andersoni, C. hominis, C. bovis and C. scrofarum, as well as C. suis, have been described; however, C. ubiquitum, C. xiaoi and C. parvum are the most frequently detected species [16–20]. Clinical disease in lambs and goat kids due to Cryptosporidium infection has been mostly associated with C. parvum and sporadically with C. xiaoi and C. ubiquitum [18,21–25]. However, other non-infectious and infectious factors,
including viral, bacterial and other parasitic pathogens, may contribute to *Cryptosporidium*-induced diarrhoea in young ruminants (Figure 2). Furthermore, infection with other pathogens may be modulated by active *Cryptosporidium* infection [26]. In fact, studies conducted on young, diarrhoeic livestock demonstrated that other enteropathogenic agents can be found in affected animals [27–29]. Although coinfections thus seem to be common in diarrhoeic calves, lambs or goat kids, information about interactions between various pathogens and the pathophysiology of coinfection is sparse [30]. This review outlines infectious pathogens that are described as agents responsible for diarrhoea in young livestock and summarizes data about their occurrence in association with *Cryptosporidium* in calves, lambs and goat kids.

**Figure 1.** Scheme of infection localization of *C. parvum* and example pathogens in ruminants.

**Figure 2.** Scheme of factors that may influence a cryptosporidial infection.
2. *Cryptosporidium* and Viruses

2.1. Rotavirus

The rotavirus, belonging to the *Reoviridae* family, contains 11 segment double-stranded RNA and features a triple-layered protein capsid; it is approximately 65–75 nm in diameter [31–33]. In calves, this virus was first described by Mebus et al. (1969) [34]; later on, Flewett et al. (1974) suggested the name “rotavirus” [35]. Based on the genetic and antigenic characteristics of the inner capsid protein VP6, rotaviruses are classified into eight groups or species (A to H) and, in addition, new rotaviruses have recently been described in dogs, cats and bats [36–38]. Because of their high prevalence and pathogenicity, the most important pathogens in humans and animals of many species are the rotaviruses of group A, which are classified into G (glycoprotein) and P (protease-sensitive) types [33,38,39]. The rotavirus strains commonly detected in young diarrheic ruminants belong to group A, but in some settings, groups B and C are also frequently found and implicated in severe diarrhoea, particularly in young lambs and goats [40–42]. The bovine rotavirus is widespread in dairy and beef cattle throughout the world; it is described as one of the major causes of diarrhoea in calves, resulting in high morbidity and mortality as well as economic losses [29,39,43]. Prevalence rates ranging from 7% to 94% appear to depend on spatial distribution [33,39,44,45]. In lambs, the epidemiology of rotaviruses is still largely unknown; however, high morbidity (75–100%) with remarkable mortality related to neonatal diarrhoea has been described [41].

Typically, rotavirus infection takes place in calves less than 3 weeks old, but older naïve calves can also become affected in association with clinical signs [2,45,46]. After an incubation period of about 1 day, diarrhoea lasts for 1 to 2 days in an uncomplicated course of disease and much longer in case of coinfections with bacteria [2,31,46]. The diarrhoea is characterized by pasty-to-watery feces, anorexia, dehydration and prostration of the infected animal [42]. Nevertheless, shedding of rotaviruses has also been described in subclinically infected animals [28,45,47]. In recent years, many studies have been conducted to examine the prevalence of infections and coinfections with rotaviruses and *Cryptosporidium* spp. in young ruminants, especially in diarrheic calves. Both pathogens achieve high prevalence rates in calves worldwide, so it may not be surprising that these pathogens often occur as the most common coinfection [27]. Prevalence rates of coinfections have been reported in a wide range, from 2% to 85.2% [27,28,45,47–51]. Different prevalence rates may be explained by study design, the age of the animals and the detection method. Although no difference in the clinical manifestation between lambs infected with *Cryptosporidium* alone or together with rotavirus could be detected by Tzipori et al. (1981) [52], other authors reported the influence of coinfections on the clinical outcome. Cruvinel et al. (2020) described interactions between *Cryptosporidium* spp. and rotavirus from the 7th to 21st day of age in examined calves, leading to peaks of diarrhoea in these animals at the 15th day of life [53]. Furthermore, Göhring et al. (2014) reported that in case of concurrent infection with *Cryptosporidium* and rotavirus in calves, the fecal samples were scored more often as severe diarrhoea (62%) than the samples from singly infected calves [49]. By contrast, Lee et al. (2019) described that the fecal consistency of calves with mixed infections of rotavirus and other pathogens were very similar to those of calves with rotavirus infection only [54]. Further investigations are needed to evaluate whether *Cryptosporidium*-rotavirus coinfection may enhance the course of disease, as indicated by statistical models [51].

2.2. Coronavirus

Coronaviruses are large, enveloped viral particles containing a positive-sense, single-stranded RNA genome that codes for several structural proteins [55] and features a mean diameter of 100 to 120 nm with uniformly spaced, petal-shaped projections [31]. These viruses can infect a wide range of animal hosts and are divided into three antigenic groups: group 1 without hemagglutinin-esterase (HE), group 2 with HE including the bovine coronavirus and group 3 containing avian viruses [30]. The bovine coronavirus belongs to the *Coronaviridae* family within the genus *Betacoronavirus* [55] and was first described by
Stair et al. (1972) and Mebus et al. (1972, 1973) in relation to neonatal calf diarrhoea [56–58]. Furthermore, viral infections are associated with winter dysentery in postweaned cattle and are also found in the bovine respiratory tract [59,60]. The serological prevalence is reported to be higher than 90% worldwide, suggesting that most cattle become exposed to bovine coronavirus in their lifetime [31,61]. However, the detection rate of this pathogen in diarrhoeic calves has been reported to be very low in some studies [50,62,63], while other authors have described high prevalence rates [28,59,64–66]. Only a small number of studies regarding the prevalence rate of bovine coronavirus in goat kids and lambs have been conducted. While the pathogen was detected rarely or not at all in young diarrheic small ruminants [67–69], serological prevalence has been described as ranging between 19 and 25.8% in sheep [70,71] and 41.1 and 43.1% in goats [71,72], suggesting that bovine coronavirus occurs in small ruminants with little importance in lamb and kid neonatal enteritis [69].

Bovine coronavirus can cause profuse watery diarrhea in calves with feces containing blood and may lead to depression, reluctance to nurse, weakness and death [31,60,66,73]. Virus-associated diarrhea occurs from one day to three or even five months of age, but mostly during the first two weeks of life [55,59,61,65]. Although the mortality due to bovine coronavirus has been described as relatively low [74,75], diarrhea may become more severe than that caused by rotavirus, since the pathogen affects the small as well as the large intestine, with the spiral colon as the most significant zone of viral replication [59,61,76]. After an incubation period of 36–60 h, calves can develop clinical signs that usually continue for three to six days, while virus excretion may last for two or three weeks [30,31,77], and viral RNA is detectable for six weeks in the lymph nodes, ileum and colon [78]. However, bovine coronavirus also has been described regularly in asymptomatic calves [28,59]. This complicates the assessment of its role as a primary pathogen [65] and indicates that clinical manifestations are not solely dependent on the virus itself, but also on host and environmental factors such as the immunologic status of the animal, coinfections with other pathogens, and environmental temperature, since the virus is more stable at lower temperatures and reduced ultraviolet light levels [61,77].

The prevalence of coinfection with Cryptosporidium spp. differs between studies of diarrheic calves. In some studies, no coinfection was detected or coinfections were reported only occasionally [47,50,51,54,63], while in other studies, prevalence rates of 11.1% to 36.7% were documented [49,79]. Some authors reported an association between the presence of bovine coronavirus and diarrhoea [28,64], whereas others could not detect such a relationship [51,62]. However, Göhring et al. (2014) reported an increase in the severity of diarrhoea to 53% in the case of Cryptosporidium-coronavirus coinfection in calves [49]. Furthermore, Cruvinel et al. (2020) described a significant positive correlation between the occurrence of Cryptosporidium and coronavirus in diarrheic calves from the 6th to the 10th day of age, concluding that diarrhoea in this time frame was mainly caused by these pathogens [53].

2.3. Other Viruses

In recent years, a couple of new viruses were identified in cattle, and many studies were conducted to examine their influence on diarrhoea in young animals as well as their geographical distribution. Since the identification of a virus in a diarrhoeic calf is not as problematic as the understanding of its potential impact on disease, the possible role of these viruses as primary pathogens or coinfection agents is not fully understood yet [30].

The bovine astrovirus belongs to the family Astroviridae, which includes the two genera, Mamastrovirus and Avastrovirus. Astroviruses are small and non-enveloped, with a single-stranded, positive-sense RNA genome [80]. The bovine astrovirus was first isolated 1978, in England, from a diarrhoeic calf; it was initially considered apathogenic [81]. Later on, intestinal lesions were detected histopathologically in infected gnotobiotic calves, while these calves remained clinically normal except for the excretion of yellow and slightly soft feces [82]. In gnotobiotic lambs, astrovirus infection produced mild diarrhoea after an
incubation period of 48 h [83,84]. However, until now, only a small number of studies has been conducted to examine pathogenicity and distribution, as well as coinfection with astrovirus and other pathogens in ruminants in relation to diarrhoea in young animals. A study from China identified a prevalence of 46% of bovine astrovirus in diarrhoeic calves aged between 0 and 6 months; coinfection with other viruses, such as bovine coronavirus and bovine rotavirus, were present in 87.5% of cases [80]. Sharp et al. (2015) detected a high prevalence and diversity of bovine astrovirus in the feces of healthy and diarrhoeic calves (74%) in Scotland, and no association between the presence of the virus and calf diarrhoea was found [85]. Examining fecal samples from diarrhoeic calves, a study from Egypt identified the bovine astrovirus in 32% of samples, while about 37% of positive samples featured two different viruses, including bovine rotavirus and bovine norovirus [86]. Due to a lack of data, it remains unclear whether the bovine astrovirus is a relevant primary pathogen, an important co-pathogen in mixed infections, or clinically irrelevant [30].

The bovine kobuvirus and the bovine enterovirus both belong to the Picornaviridae family, a group of non-enveloped RNA viruses that includes numerous human and animal pathogens [30]. The bovine kobuvirus (or aichivirus B) was first recognized as a cytopathic contaminant in calf sera [87] and there are several studies reporting its distribution in calves worldwide, with a prevalence ranging from 4.9 up to 77.8% [88–93]. The virus was identified in clinically healthy calves [89–91] as well as in diarrhoeic calves [88,93,94], but studies comparing healthy and diarrhoeic calves are limited [30]. In Brazilian diarrhoeic and non-diarrhoeic sheep, kobuvirus was also detected [89]. Although it is suggested that bovine kobuvirus can be a causative agent of diarrhoea [93], this relationship is difficult to deduce, since most studies show a lack of data concerning the incidence of other pathogens, especially bacteria and protozoans. Lee et al. (2019) detected kobuvirus in 3/164 samples from diarrhoeic calves, in which two samples also contained either Eimeria spp. or Giardia spp. [54].

The bovine enteroviruses are classified into two subgroups, E and F, and their pathogenesis and virulence in cattle are largely unknown [30]. In experimentally infected calves, clinical signs are described as varying from respiratory to enteric symptoms to reproductive disease and infertility. Nevertheless, no clinical signs were noted following acute infection, while the virus was detected in the terminal ileum, ileocecal and ceccocolonic junctions, spiral colon and ileocecal lymph nodes [95]. However, some studies identified bovine enterovirus in diarrhoeic calves [94,96] and one study detected the enterovirus in feces from a diarrhoeic goat [97].

Norovirus and Nebovirus are genera of the family Caliciviridae; therefore, they are small, non-enveloped viruses with single-stranded, positive-polarity RNA genomes [98]. The bovine norovirus belongs to the third genogroup of noroviruses and was first described in diarrhoeic calves from England (GIII.2 Newbury-2 strain) and Germany (GIII.1 Jena strain) in 1978 and 1980, respectively [81,99,100]. Under experimental conditions, gnotobiotic calves infected with the GIII.1 Jena strain developed severe diarrhoea at 14–16 h p.i., lasting for about 3 days; severe intestinal lesions were also reported [101]. Another study demonstrated the establishment of acute intermittent, but persistent, diarrhoea accompanied by lethargy in gnotobiotic calves infected by the GIII.2 CV186-OH strain, with a lack of significant intestinal lesions [102]. High seroprevalences of bovine norovirus in cattle from Europe and the US have been reported [103–105]. However, the virus has been detected in diarrhoeic as well as asymptomatic cattle [106–108] and asymptomatic sheep [109], so its relevance in terms of diarrhoea in the field appears unclear [30]. Some studies showed prolonged virus shedding after recovery from the disease [106,107], which may explain the high prevalence rates among clinically healthy animals.

Nebovirus was classified recently as a new genus of Caliciviridae [110], after complete genome sequence analyses of two virus strains found in the 1970s in calves in the US (Bo/Nebraska/80/US) and UK (Bo/Newbury-1/76/UK) [81]. Both were identified as members of the same genus [111,112]. In gnotobiotic calves aged 17 to 60 days, anorexia, diarrhoea, and xylose malabsorption developed after infection with nebovirus [113]. Neboviruses
have been reported to reach prevalence rates ranging from 3 up to 41.8% in the feces of diarrhoeic calves [114–119]. Studies including clinically healthy calves have demonstrated no virus infection or a significantly lower prevalence rate than in diarrhoeic animals [98,119], although the number of these studies is low.

Some studies demonstrated coinfections of bovine norovirus and nebovirus in calves with a prevalence ranging from 0.6 up to 10.1% [114,115,117,120]. So far, only a small number of studies have examined the presence of coinfections of bovine norovirus or nebovirus with other diarrhoea-causing agents in diarrhoeic or healthy calves. Screening fecal samples of diarrhoeic calves for bovine noroviruses and neboviruses, Karayel-Hacioglu and Alkan (2019) stated that of the infections concurrent with other pathogens, *C. parvum* spp. was the most commonly detected (46.5%) [120]. Lee et al. (2019) examined bovine norovirus in diarrhoeic calves along with 13 other causative agents of diarrhoea and detected a prevalence rate of 4.9%. The virus was found more often in calves with mixed pathogen infections than alone and was accompanied by *Escherichia coli* only or simultaneously by *E. coli*, *Eimeria* spp., *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *Giardia* spp. [54]. Fecal samples from diarrhoeic and healthy calves were examined for 11 enteric pathogens by Cho et al. (2013). The bovine norovirus and nebovirus were detected in 44.7% and 21.6% of the feces of diarrhoeic calves and in 16.3% and 1.6% of healthy calves, respectively. Bovine norovirus and nebovirus were significantly associated with diarrhoea. While nebovirus was frequently detected in feces that was also positive for bovine coronavirus, *C. parvum* or bovine torovirus, the presence of bovine norovirus was significantly correlated with the occurrence of *C. parvum* in addition to bovine rotavirus [28]. Although there was no observation of statistically synergistic interaction between pathogens regarding the severity of diarrhoea or illness in general, the authors hinted at the possibility that immunosuppressive viruses may predispose animals or humans to *C. parvum* [28].

The bovine torovirus, formerly known as Breda virus, was first detected in the USA in 1979 in diarrhoeic calves [121]. The virus is part of the genus *Torovirus* within the order *Nidovirales* [122]. Containing an elongated tubular nucleocapsid, this single-stranded RNA pleomorphic virus measures 100–140 nm × 12–40 nm in size, is kidney-shaped and features a spike-bearing envelope [121–124]. Under experimental conditions 24–72 h p.i., moderate-to-watery diarrhoea lasting three to five days is the cardinal sign of clinical infection in calves, along with a moderate increase in body temperature, depression, weakness and anorexia [124]. Diarrhoea resulting from bovine torovirus infection was also described under field conditions in calves [121,125–127], and in adult cattle [122,128,129]. Furthermore, the virus may also influence bovine respiratory disease [130,131]. The bovine torovirus has been found in cattle worldwide, according to epidemiological studies [125,127,132–135] and high seroprevalence was described [136–139]. Although it is also found in healthy calves, the incidence of the bovine torovirus has been found to be higher in animals with diarrhoea [64,127,133]. In one study, 56% of diarrhoeic bovine fecal samples positive for bovine torovirus were found to be subject to mixed infections with one or more enteric pathogens. This was observed more often in calves less than 6 months old than in those older than 6 months [122]. However, virus shedding was not consistently associated with any other agent observed in that study. Another study detected the bovine torovirus in 5.2% of examined fecal samples from diarrhoeic and nondiarrhoeic calves; most of the virus-positive samples contained one or more additional pathogens, including bovine coronavirus, *E. coli*, *Giardia* spp., cryptosporidia, *Eimeria zuernii/bovis*, *Klebsiella* spp., rotavirus and *Clostridium perfringens* were detected [64]. In a study of diarrhoeic Korean native calves, torovirus was detected in 6.7% of fecal samples and was mostly found in calves also infected with other pathogens, such as *Cryptosporidium* spp. or *E. coli* [54]. Nogueira et al. (2013) found bovine torovirus in 6.25% of diarrhoeic fecal samples. One sample contained bovine torovirus alone; the others were also positive for *Cryptosporidium* spp. or bovine coronavirus. These findings led to the suggestion that this virus is potentially a primary enteric pathogen in cattle and may also play a synergistic role in mixed infections [129].
3. *Cryptosporidium* and Bacterial Infection

3.1. *Escherichia coli*

First isolated in 1885 from a child [140], *E. coli* is known to commonly inhabit the gastrointestinal tract of humans and animals, with some strains being harmless commensals and others acting as major pathogens [141]. Belonging to the family Enterobacteriaceae, the gram-negative, flagellated and facultative anaerobic bacterium is classified into six diarrhoeagenic pathotypes, as follows: enterotoxigenic *E. coli* (ETEC), enteropathogenic *E. coli* (EPEC), Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* STEC (i.e., enterohaemorrhagic *E. coli* [EHEC]), Shigella/enteroinvasive *E. coli* (IEC) enteroaggregative *E. coli* (EAEC) and diffusely adherent *E. coli* (DAEC) [142–144]. *E. coli* pathotypes are characterized by O (lipopolysaccharide, LPS) and H (flagellar) antigens defining serogroups or serotypes [143]. STEC is determined by the presence of Shiga toxin 1 or 2 gen, while EHEC is a subset of STEC [144]. EPEC and EHEC are both able to induce characteristic intestinal histopathology, known as attaching and effacing lesions [143]. Attaching and effacing *E. coli* (AEEC) strains have been reported in diarrhoeic calves, lambs and goats [145–151], as well as in healthy animals [152,153]. Although the prevalence of STEC, EPEC and EHEC in diarrhoeic and healthy calves has been found to be high, no association with diarrhoea has been described [154]. It has been suggested that calves and small ruminants may play an important role as zoonotic reservoirs for these human pathogenic *E. coli* [150,154,155]. La Ragione et al. (2006) demonstrated that experimentally infected lambs shed higher amounts of EHEC after pre-inoculation with *C. parvum*, suggesting that a better understanding of their relationship would lead to more effective intervention strategies in the field [156]. While EAEC, DAEC and EIEC are less frequently reported in cattle [154], another pathotype, necrotoxic *E. coli* (NTEC), which produces either cytotoxic necrotizing factor 1 (CNF1) or CNF2, has been associated with disease in animals and humans [143]. NTEC has been isolated from diarrhoeic [157,158] and healthy cattle [153,159–161]. Thus, its major role as an enteropathogen in young ruminants is questionable. Nevertheless, NTEC may be an opportunistic pathogen waiting for suitable circumstances [158].

ETEC is able to produce either heat-labile (LT) or heat-stable (ST) enterotoxin and possesses several colonization factors for adherence to the intestinal epithelium [144]. F4, F5, F6, F17 and F41 are fimbrial adhesins responsible for adherence, while F5 (K99) and F41 have been reported to be highly related to the presence of diarrhoea in calves [154]. In beef and dairy calves, ETEC has been identified as the major cause of neonatal diarrhoea during their first 4 days of life, whereas diarrhoea is rare in older calves and adult animals [2]. Nevertheless, in some studies that examined the concurrent occurrence of several pathogens in young diarrhoeic ruminants, only small numbers of *E. coli* (K99) in terms of single or coinfection with *C. parvum* were detected [28,49,50,62,162,163]. An explanation for this could be the short period of time in which *E. coli* is shed or the use of vaccination, resulting in a low prevalence, although its incidence might be relatively high [62]. However, other authors discovered a higher prevalence of ETEC and coinfection with *Cryptosporidium* spp. ranging from 12% to 27.8% [45,54,79,164]. Tzipori et al. (1981) examined the coinfection of *C. parvum* and ETEC in experimentally infected lambs. Although ETEC was found in the feces of these animals, no mucosal colonization by the organisms was detected in any part of the intestine and bacterial infection did not aggravate the clinical response to *Cryptosporidium* [52]. Since experimental studies considering coinfection with *E. coli* and *Cryptosporidium* related to the clinical outcome of the disease are rare, the relevance of *E. coli* during the exposure of calves to cryptosporidia is difficult to assess. Nevertheless, the synergistic effects of coinfection were shown for concurrent infection with *E. coli* and rotavirus in experimentally infected calves [165–169]; this may also apply to *E. coli* and particularly ETEC in mixed infection with cryptosporidiosis under certain conditions. However, sufficient data to prove this assumption are lacking.
3.2. Clostridium

Clostridia are anaerobic, gram-positive, spore-forming, rod-shaped bacteria [170]. This ubiquitous and soil-borne bacterium is part of the gastrointestinal microbiota of healthy and diseased animals and humans [170,171]. Nevertheless, members of this genus are widely recognized as enteric pathogens in humans and animals [172]. In ruminant livestock gastrointestinal diseases caused by clostridia are common and have been described as hemorrhagic enterocolitis, enterotoxemia, pulpy kidney disease, overeating disease, braxy (bradsot), struck, lamb dysentery, enterotoxemic jaundice, yellow lamb disease, clostridial abomasitis and clostridial enteritis [173]. Except for braxy (caused by Clostridium septicum), all of these disease conditions are caused by subtypes of Clostridium perfringens [173], which was first isolated by William H. Welch from the autopsy of a 38 year-old man in 1891 and originally named Bacillus aerogenes [170,174]. Five defined types, or genotypes, of C. perfringens exist: A, B, C, D and E. They are identified by their production of lethal toxins, namely C. perfringens alpha, C. perfringens beta, epsilon and C. perfringens iota [173]. Recently, the addition of two new toxinotypes, namely F and G, has been suggested, based on the ability to produce C. perfringens enterotoxin, or NetB toxin [175]. Most common in warm-blooded animals are the strains of type A, which are able to cause wound contamination, anaerobic cellulitis, gas gangrene and enteric diseases [172]. In calves, lambs and kids, enteric disease caused by the type A strain can manifest in the form of enterotoxemia, necrotizing enterocolitis, haemorrhagic enteritis, abomasal necrosis and sudden death [176–181]. In neonatal ruminants, C. perfringens type B causes acute hemorrhagic enterocolitis, generally known as lamb dysentery in lambs; it is restricted to lambs less than 21 days of age, or calves younger than 10 days, respectively [173]. While the primary sign is death in peracute cases, acute cases are characterized by reduced feed uptake and severe abdominal pain accompanied by bloody diarrhoea, coma and death less than 24 h after onset [172,182,183]. The clinical symptoms of infection with C. perfringens type C are similar to those of type B strains, occurring in lambs and calves and, rarely, in goats [173,182,184–186]. Both types are able to express beta toxin, which is susceptible to proteolytic destruction by trypsin; therefore, neonatal ruminants are generally at higher risk of disease [172,173,185]. While C. perfringens type D infection causes enterotoxemia in small ruminants of all ages, clinical enteritis is rare in calves and lambs, but consistently found in goats [173,187,188]. The occurrence of C. perfringens type E is rarely described in neonatal calves, but the infection seems not to be uncommon and is able to cause hemorrhagic enteritis and sudden death [185,189,190]. Infection has also been described in kids with severe diarrhoea and sudden death [191].

Whether C. difficile is also a pathogen associated with diarrhoea in calves, or whether calves rather act as reservoirs for human disease, is not completely understood yet, since this agent has been detected in diarrhoeic as well as in healthy calves [192–196]. However, although clostridia are known to be causative agents of diarrhoeic disease in young ruminants, information about their influence on coinfections is limited. Only a small number of studies that examine the occurrence of Clostridium spp. or its toxins in combination with other viral or parasitic pathogens have been conducted. Singh et al. (2018) investigated clostridia infection mixed with EPEC, bovine rotavirus and bovine coronavirus in diarrhoeic kids and reported prevalences of 11.8%, 3.8% and 2.1%. The authors detected an incidence of 75% type A and 25% type D in C. perfringens-positive samples [188]. While examining a broad range of pathogens in samples from diarrhoeic and asymptomatic calves, Cho et al. (2013) did not find a Clostridium perfringens toxin β gene, indicating that neither C. perfringens type B nor type C was present [28]. By contrast, two other studies demonstrated a high prevalence of C. perfringens and high numbers of coinfection with Cryptosporidium spp. in calves [62,164]. Unfortunately, no further investigations regarding the types of C. perfringens or the presence of toxins were undertaken, limiting conclusions as to the respective participation in the development and course of diarrhoea in these studies. Lee et al. (2019) detected C. difficile in 9.8% of diarrhoeic singly or coinfected calves by
confirming the presence of the \textit{tdcB} toxin gene, concluding that clostridia was one of the main causative agents [54].

3.3. \textit{Salmonella}

The gram-negative and facultative anaerobe bacterium \textit{Salmonella} was first isolated from the intestines of pigs by Theobald Smith in 1855. It is classified into two species, \textit{Salmonella enterica} and \textit{Salmonella bongori}, based on differences in their 16S rRNA sequence analysis [197]. \textit{Salmonella enterica} can be further divided into six subtypes. \textit{S. enterica} subspecies \textit{enterica} is the most relevant in dairy cattle [198], as well as in other mammals. Around 99% of \textit{Salmonella} infections in humans and warm-blooded animals are caused by this subtype [197]. Furthermore, more than 2500 serovars based on somatic (O), flagellar (H) and capsular (Vi) antigens have been identified; however, only a small number are of clinical relevance in cattle [198].

In calves, mostly subgroups of \textit{S. enterica} serovars such as \textit{S. Typhimurium}, \textit{S. Newport} and \textit{S. Dublin} (a specific bovine-adapted serotype) are important causes of diarrhoea [199,200]. The diarrhoea can appear watery-to mucoid-with fibrin and blood and is often accompanied by elevated temperature and refusal to eat or drink [201–203]. Infected calves are often septicemic, in addition to suffering from enteric disease, resulting in severe clinical signs [199]. A wide range of \textit{S. enterica} serovars is able to infect sheep [204,205]. Among others, \textit{S. Typhimurium} and \textit{S. Dublin} have been implicated as etiologic agents of diarrhoea in lambs [206]. While the host-specific \textit{S. Abortusovis} leads to abortion and mortality in lambs, \textit{S. enterica} ssp. \textit{diarizonae} is also considered to be host-specific and is able to produce intestinal and extra-intestinal infections, mostly without clinical disease [204,205,207,208]. Field studies reported infection with \textit{S. Typhimurium}, \textit{S. Chester}, \textit{S. Saintpaul}, \textit{S. Muenchen} and \textit{S. Singapore} in goats [209–211]. Experimental infection with \textit{S. Typhimurium} in goats resulted in mild-to-severe clinical symptoms, including diarrhoea, anaemia, pyrexia, progressive weakness and loss of body weight [212,213].

Coinfection with \textit{Salmonella} spp. and cryptosporidia or rotavirus has been reported in calves; however, the prevalence of salmonellae was low, ranging from 0.5% to 1.9% [45,48,51,54,214]. By contrast, Cho et al. (2013) described a prevalence of 9.0% of \textit{Salmonella} spp. in diarrhoeic calves, commonly detected in fecal samples that were also positive for rotavirus [28]. In another study, it was reported that 40% of \textit{C. parvum}-positive fecal samples were also positive for \textit{Salmonella} spp. [164]. Muñoz et al. (1996) examined fecal samples from diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids. \textit{Salmonella enterica} ssp. \textit{arizonae} was isolated from only one goat kid that was also infected with cryptosporidia [68]. In 3 of 21 examined diarrhoeic calves, \textit{S. Typhimurium} was diagnosed together with either coronavirus or cryptosporidia and adherent bacteria or \textit{E. coli} in fecal or intestinal tissue samples. In two of these cases, \textit{S. Typhimurium} was assumed to be the principal cause of diarrhoea [215]. Examining the efficacy of halofuginon for the prevention of natural cryptosporidiosis in calves coinfected with rotavirus and \textit{S. Typhimurium}, Almawly et al. (2013) concluded that the use of this drug did not improve the clinical outcome and anti-\textit{Cryptosporidium} activity was not fully preserved in the presence of coinfection. The authors hint at the possibility that there could be a dilution or a reduced transit time of halofuginon because of the presence of the other pathogens and their impact on increased fluid content and intestinal motility [216].

4. \textit{Cryptosporidium} and Other Parasites

4.1. Protozoa

4.1.1. \textit{Giardia}

\textit{Giardia duodenalis}, a protozoan flagellate parasite, features a direct life cycle and its cysts, which are excreted in the host’s feces, are immediately infectious [217]. This parasite features a global distribution and a broad range of potential mammalian hosts. Because of the varying clinical outcomes of \textit{G. duodenalis} infection in several studies, the pathogenicity of \textit{Giardia} has been debated for quite some time. It has been suggested that the clinical outcomes of giardiasis are related to strain variability, host nutritional
status and mucosal immune response, as well as the composition of microbiota, immune modulation by *Giardia* and the presence of co-infecting enteropathogens [218]. *Giardia* is highly prevalent in livestock worldwide, with an individual prevalence ranging from 9 to 73% and a farm prevalence varying between 45 to 100% in cattle [219]. The parasite is able to cause diarrhoea, reduced weight gain and the impairment of feed efficiency in calves, goat kids and lambs and can also lead to reduced lamb carcass quality and livestock productivity [219–223]. Within the *G. duodenalis* complex, eight groups of genetically related strains (assemblages A to H) are strongly supported, of which two (A and B) are zoonotic [224]. In addition to both human relevant assemblages A and B, assemblage E (hoofed livestock assemblage) has also been described in ruminant livestock [219,225–227].

In recent years, many studies have been conducted to evaluate the epidemic situation of *G. duodenalis* in co-existence with *Cryptosporidium* spp. in ruminant livestock all over the world, demonstrating that the prevalence of both parasites varies between regions and animal species [11,17,225,226,228–230]. Although several studies indicate that *Giardia* mainly occurs in younger ruminants and can be detected as early as in the first week of life [228,231–233], there are also reports about giardiasis in adult animals that are mostly considered to be asymptomatic [234,235]. The peak of *Giardia* prevalence and/or cyst excretion differs between the studies conducted in calves, ranging between an age of 12 weeks to 20 weeks [236,237], 4 to 12 weeks [50,229,238,239], or even in animals at 2 weeks of age [240]. Although several studies on cattle, sheep and goats demonstrated the co-existence of *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* in farms or herds, many of these studies did not detect coinfection in animals [17,225,226,235,241]. Nevertheless, several authors reported coinfection in ruminant livestock [216,228,230,239,240,242–246], even though coinfection was not as frequent as *Giardia* mono-infection, a fact leading to the suggestion that coinfection with *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in cattle is uncommon [232]. In cattle, *Cryptosporidium* causes disease in calves younger than 1 month [220]. Different age preferences of *Giardia* and *C. parvum* might explain why the co-existence of both pathogens in a single animal is only seen in exceptional cases. However, it should be kept in mind that the cited studies differ regarding the age of the animals and the method of parasite detection, e.g., immunofluorescence and/or PCR and, in the case of PCR, the chosen target genes. Considering that fecal sampling was performed only once in many studies and that the fecal shedding of *Giardia* often occurs sporadically and in low numbers, the prevalence of both parasites and coinfection might be underestimated [229]. Although both parasites can be present in healthy, asymptomatic animals [229,235], *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* are often associated with diarrhoea in young ruminants [220,231,234,246]. Unfortunately, most studies do not provide information about the severity of clinical signs animals infected with single or coinfections. Thus, it is difficult to say whether there is a relation between clinical outcome and the presence of *Giardia-Cryptosporidium* coinfection or not. However, in a study examining diarrhoea in 20 calves from birth until 4 months of age, an association between giardiasis and diarrhoea was shown. *Giardia* cysts were first detected at a mean age of 31.5 days, while *Cryptosporidium* oocysts were identified at a mean age of 16.3 days. All the calves were infected with both pathogens at some point during the study. *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection was cleared within 2 weeks in these animals, whereas *G. duodenalis* infection became chronic. The fact that these calves were diagnosed as *Cryptosporidium*-positive at an earlier time point in that study hints at the possibility that previous infection by *C. parvum* may promote later infection with *Giardia* [50,220].

### 4.1.2. Eimeria

*Eimeria*, an Apicomplexa protozoan, is distributed all over the world and features high prevalence in ruminant livestock depending on the geographic area and host species. The parasite reproduces through a monoxenous life cycle and oocysts are excreted directly in the feces, with a patency of several days that may continue 2 weeks or more in calves [247,248]. *Eimeria* spp. are host-specific [249] and in cattle, 12 *Eimeria* species are assumed to be valid; the status of a further eight or more species is uncertain [248]. Fifteen *Eimeria* species in
sheep and sixteen *Eimeria* species in goats have been described worldwide [250]. Only a few of these are considered to be pathogenic. In cattle, *E. bovis* and *E. zuernii* are the most important species and commonly associated with clinical coccidiosis, particularly in indoor housing systems [248,251–253], while *E. alabamensis* is identified as the predominant species on pasture [254]. *E. ovinoidalis* and *E. crandallis* are described as the most pathogenic species in sheep [255–257], whereas *E. ninakohlyakimovae*, *E. arloingi* and *E. caprina* regularly cause clinical coccidiosis in goats [258,259]. Depending on the *Eimeria* species as well as the host age and species, clinical coccidiosis results in moderate-to-severe diarrhoea with feces containing blood, fibrin and intestinal tissue, abdominal pain, fever, loss of appetite, weakness, dehydration, weight loss or even death [247,260]. The highest prevalence of *Eimeria* sp. shedding in cattle occurs in calves from 3 weeks up to 6 months of age [53,247,253] and clinical disease is mostly seen in young naïve animals, while adult animals are usually protected by immunity [247,258,260,261]. However, even if pathogenic species are present in farms and herds, coccidiosis might be subclinical [257,262]. Susceptibility to clinical disease is not only dependent on the *Eimeria* sp. involved, but possibly also due to infection pressure, virulence and the parasite’s replication potential. Immune response and the corresponding tissue inflammation, as well as additional factors such as stress, inadequate feeding and concurrent infections with other enteropathogens contribute to the severity of eimeriosis [248].

Frequently, mixed infections of two or more *Eimeria* species in individual animals have been reported [252,253,255,258,263–265], and it has been suggested that the severity of infection with a certain pathogenic *Eimeria* species may be aggravated by the presence of another, even if pathogenic in mono-infection; therefore, these interactions might be advantageous for some species [247,264]. Many studies demonstrated a high prevalence of *Eimeria* infections in ruminants [251,261,263,266] and techniques such as McMaster flotation were commonly used for diagnosis and parasite quantification. Concomitant viral, bacterial and protozoan pathogens were not considered in most studies on ruminant coccidiosis. Only a small number of investigations included the evaluation of coinfection by *Cryptosporidium* spp. [54,163,164,267,268] and a prevalence of coinfection ranging from 4 up to 10% was reported. These studies differed in methodology and species differentiation of *Cryptosporidium* and *Eimeria* was not performed in most of them. The prevalence of both *Eimeria* spp. and *Cryptosporidium* spp. is age-related [7,8,269]. Since *Eimeria* infection generally occurs in calves older than 3 weeks and *C. parvum* typically affects calves in the first two-to-three weeks of their life, simultaneous infection seems not to be a very common scenario and appears to be, most probable in 4 week-old calves if it occurs at all [268]. Coinfection was also reported in 3–12 month-old calves in Estonia [267]; however, only a small number of samples was genotyped, demonstrating that cryptosporidia oocyst excretion was partly due to *C. andersoni*. A clinical relationship between *Eimeria* infection and cryptosporidiosis could not be shown in this study. Gulliksen et al. (2009) reported that in calves, concurrent infection with both pathogens was found more frequently in diarrheic feces than in normal samples. Unfortunately, in that study, no information about species identification was provided [163]. Currently, it can only be assumed that the exposure of calves to both pathogens, *Eimeria* and *Cryptosporidium*, may influence the clinical outcome and, in the case of infection by *C. parvum*, enteritis due to *C. parvum* at an early age may leave these calves more susceptible to clinical eimeriosis afterwards. However, no data are available to evidence this assumption. Considering that both parasites, *Cryptosporidium* and *Eimeria*, are highly prevalent in ruminant livestock, clinical disease caused by (sequential) exposure to both pathogens may be overlooked in field situations and epidemiological surveys.

4.2. Helminths

Depending on the geographical area and the kind of animal husbandry (indoor versus outdoor housing), infection by gastrointestinal helminths is a common threat for young ruminants all over the world. Diarrhoea is a typical clinical implication associated with
nematode infection, especially in young animals, such as lambs [270]. *Strongyloides papillosus*, or species of the genera *Cooperia*, *Chabertia*, *Ostertagia*, *Haemonchus*, *Trichostrongylus*, *Buenostomum*, *Teladorsagia*, *Nematodirus* and *Trichuris* are regularly diagnosed in calves, goat kids and lambs [271–276]. Quite often, more than one type of nematode settles in the abomasum or intestines at the same time; it has been stated that mixed infections result in a greater impact on lamb productivity than single infections [270].

To date, only a small number of studies on gastrointestinal coinfections by protozoan parasites and helminths in ruminants has been published. The prevalence rates of mixed infection in a range from 1.7 to 38.3% in calves and lambs were reported [268,270,277]. Due to the shared resources and location within the host’s gastrointestinal tract, as well as the opposing immune responses protozoan parasites and helminths induce, coinfection with both could result in either facilitation or competition, and it has been assumed that the severity of disease may increase in coinfected animals [278]. Lambs at the age of 2 to 5 months were examined for infection with *Cryptosporidium*, *Giardia* and strongylid nematodes and it was found that coinfections exerted a greater impact on fecal consistency and body condition score than single infections [270]. Until now, investigations considering the interaction between protozoa and helminths have been performed almost exclusively in non-ruminants, showing effects on, for example, egg shedding and parasite abundance [279,280]. Experimental infection in mice demonstrated a higher worm burden and an increased duration of helminth egg shedding in the case of coinfection with coccidia or bacterial pathogens compared to singly infected mice, suggesting the reduced ability of coinfected mice to expel adult worms from the gut [280,281]. This may also apply to ruminants; however, to the best of our current knowledge, relevant studies are currently lacking. Additionally, lower peak oocyst shedding during a secondary coccidia challenge was reported in coinfected mice, leading to the hypothesis that tissue damage caused by helminth infection mimicked the ‘crowding effect’ of *Eimeria* infection [280]. Coinfection with helminths and protozoa seem to be highly common in nature [282]. However, whether early exposure to *C. parvum* or infection by other *Cryptosporidium* species later on favour simultaneous or subsequent nematode infection deserves further investigation. No information has been found in previous research on coinfection in young ruminants by *Cryptosporidium* and trematodes or cestodes; however, more investigations are needed to confirm this finding.

5. Conclusions

Many studies have been conducted on young diarrhoeic ruminants to identify causative pathogens and in many cases, coinfection with several agents has been detected [28,45,47,49,50,53,54,79,164]. However, most epidemiological studies are limited to particular infectious agents, resulting in an incomplete understanding of the epidemiological situations and ignoring the contribution other pathogens may make in coinfections [53]. Not only is there a gap in knowledge from a scientific point of view as a consequence, but there is also a difficulty in deducing optimal treatment and control recommendations for livestock exposed not only to *C. parvum*. Additionally, the effect of antiparasitic compounds against *C. parvum* may be affected by the presence of other enteropathogens, which is often not taken into account in efficacy studies [216]. The impact of coinfection on the severity of diarrhoeic disease has been suggested [30,49,61,283–285] and it has been assumed that the cumulative effects of concurrent pathogens infecting different parts of the alimentary tract may cause the loss of digestive and absorptive functions, resulting in diarrhoea [215]. However, experimental data on the impact of coinfection by *C. parvum* and other enteropathogens in ruminants are scarce. The simultaneous occurrence of different pathogens, including *C. parvum*, may facilitate or antagonise concurrent infections or may exert no respective effect [286]. A better understanding of these aspects would help to improve basic knowledge on host–pathogen interaction and the control of neonatal diarrhoea associated with cryptosporidiosis. This requires extensive research efforts that would not only contribute to improving sustained animal health and welfare but would also be of
relevance in terms of the WHO’s “one health” concept, considering that C. parvum is a ubiquitously distributed zoonotic pathogen.
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