Draft Genome Sequence of the Nonmotile Tremellomycetes Yeast Naganishia albida, Isolated from Aircraft
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ABSTRACT The Basidiomycota yeast Naganishia albida strain 5307AI was isolated from an aircraft polymer-coated surface. The genome size is 20,642,279 bp, with a G+C content of 53.99%. The genome contains fatty acid transporters, cutinases, hydroxylases, and lipases that are likely used for survival on polymer coatings on aircraft.

Here, we describe the draft genome sequence of the yeast Naganishia albida strain 5307AI, which was isolated from the polymer-coated surface of an aircraft. This microorganism was sequenced to understand the taxonomy and enzymatic functions underlying biodegradation and bioremediation on polymer coatings (1).

Naganishia albida strain 5307AI cells were isolated from samples collected inside an aircraft (2). Cultures were recovered from glycerol freezer stocks by streaking on potato dextrose agar (PDA). An isolated colony was resuspended in tryptic soy broth (TSB) and grown overnight at 27°C on a rotary wheel. A 1-mL aliquot of overnight culture was harvested, and the pellet was used for DNA extractions. Genomic DNA was extracted using the Qiagen PowerMicrobiome kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and the DNA was quantified using the Qubit double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) assay (Life Technologies). Sequencing libraries were prepared using the Illumina Nextera DNA Flex library preparation kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) following the manufacturer’s instructions and sequenced using 150-bp paired-end sequencing on an Illumina NextSeq 550 system.

Sequence data processing steps used default parameters unless otherwise specified. Sequencing yielded 46,840,215 raw paired-end reads, which were trimmed with Trimmomatic v.0.39 (3) and quality filtered using FastQC v.0.11.9 (4). The 45,580,726 trimmed and filtered paired-end reads were assembled using SPAdes v.3.15.2 (5), yielding an average coverage of 340.4×, 176 contigs, a G+C content of 53.99%, an N50 value of 491,455 bp, an L50 value of 15, and a genome size of 20,642,279 bp calculated by QUAST v.5.o.2 (6). Genome completeness was estimated as 85.8% using BUSCO v.5.2.1 (7) with the Basidiomycota reference database (basidiomycota_odb10) and 51.8% with the Tremellomycetes database (tremellomycetes_odb10). A related species, Naganishia liquefaciens (8), had an estimated completeness of 51.2% with the same Tremellomycetes database. We masked the assembled genome using RepeatMasker with the Dfam database v.3.3 (9). Gene prediction was completed using BRAKER v.2.1.6 (10) with Naganishia albida JCM2334 as a reference. BRAKER predicted 6,830 putative genes. Functional annotation was completed using InterProScan v.5.51-85.0 (11, 12), using the InterPro database v.86.0. We compared the 18S rRNA gene, internal transcribed spacer (ITS), 28S rRNA gene, actin, and β-tubulin sequences to those from Naganishia albida JCM2334. Whereas the 18S rRNA and ITS1 sequences were 100% identical, Naganishia albida JCM2334 did not contain complete sequences for the 28S rRNA gene, actin, or β-tubulin. We
found that the ITS2 and 28S rRNA sequences were predicted to be chimeric using ITSx v.1.1.3 (13), but chimeric ribosomal regions have been observed in other fungi (14, 15).

Based on KEGG analyses using GhostKOALA v.2.2 (16) with default settings, we identified enzymes and pathways putatively involved in polymer degradation. We identified two putative cutinases, enzymes known to biodegrade polymers (17, 18). This genome should contribute to understanding how polymers are potentially degraded by microbial communities for bioremediation applications.

**Data availability.** The raw sequencing reads and draft genome with annotation have been deposited in GenBank under BioProject accession number PRJNA811524. The Sequence Read Archive (SRA) accession number for the raw sequencing reads is SRR18183339. The draft annotated genome assembly accession number is JAMKMX000000000.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The research reported in this publication has been cleared for public release under reference number AFRL-2021-3368 and was supported by funding from the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFRL grant 12RX14COR and NRL grant F4FGA0533BG001) and the Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP) (grant WP-2745).

We thank Bradley Stevenson for his contribution to this work.

**REFERENCES**

1. Shesham V, Kelly AL, Burke W, Crouch A, Drake CA, Varaljay VA, Crookes-Goosdon WJ, Barlow DE, Marshay MB, Bifffinger JC. 2022. Comparison of two diphenyl polymers as acid-sensitive additives during the biodegradation of a thermostet polymer polyurethane coating. J Appl Microbiol 132: 351–364. https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.15228.

2. Hung C-S, Barlow DE, Varaljay VA, Drake CA, Crouch AL, Russell JN, Nadeau LJ, Crookes-Goosdon WJ, Bifffinger JC. 2019. The biodegradation of polyester and polyurethane coatings using Papiliotrema laurentii. Int Biodeterior Biodegradation 139:34–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biold.2019.02.002.

3. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170.

4. Andrews S. 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. https://www.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc.

5. Bankievich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2008. SPAdes: a new genome assembly and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 15:455–477. https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021.

6. Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. 2013. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 29:1072–1074. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086.

7. Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. 2015. BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinformatics 31:3210–3212. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351.

8. Han Y-W, Kajtan R, Morimoto H, Palihati M, Kurokawa Y, Ryusui R, Argunhan B, Tsibouchi H, Abe F, Kajiwara S, Iwasaki H, Itoh T. 2020. Draft genome sequence of Noganasio liquefaciens strain N6, isolated from the Japan Trench. Microbiol Resour Annouc 9:e00027-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00027-20.

9. Storer J, Hubley R, Rosen J, Wheeler TJ, Smit AF. 2021. The Dfam community database resource of transposable element families, sequence models, and genome annotations. Mob DNA 12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13100-020-00230-y.

10. Hoff KJ, Lomsadze A, Borodovsky M, Stanke M. 2019. Whole-genome annotation with BRAKER. Methods Mol Biol 1962:65–95. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-9173-0_5.

11. Zdobnov EM, Apweiler R. 2001. InterProScan: an integration platform for the signature-recognition methods in InterPro. Bioinformatics 17:847–848. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/17.9.847.

12. Jones P, Binns D, Chang H-Y, Fraser M, Li W, McAnulla C, McWilliam H, Maslen J, Mitchell A, Nuka G, Pesseat S, Quinn AF, Sangrador-Vegas A, Scheremetjev M, Yong S-Y, Lopez R, Hunter S. 2014. InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. Bioinformatics 30:1236–1240. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031.

13. Bengtsson-Palme J, Ryberg M, Hartmann M, Branco S, Wang Z, Godde A, De Wit P, Sánchez-García M, Ebenberger I, de Sousa F, Amend AS, Jumpponen A, Unterseher M, Kristiansson E, Abarenkov K, Bertrand YJK, Sanil K, Erikson KM, Vik U, Veldre V, Nilsson RH. 2013. Improved software detection and extraction of ITS1 and ITS2 from ribosomal ITS sequences of fungi and other eukaryotes for analysis of environmental sequencing data. Methods Ecol Evol 4:914–919. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12073.

14. Hughes KW, Morris SD, Rebrodeo Segovia A. 2015. Cloning of ribosomal ITS PCR products creates frequent, non-random chimeric sequences: a test involving heterozygotes between Gymnopus dichrous taxa I and II. Mycologia 105:55–56. https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.10.5126.

15. Nilsson RH, Abarenkov K, Veldre V, Nylander S, De Wit P, Brosché S, Alfredsson JF, Ryberg M, Kristiansson E. 2010. An open source chimera checker for the fungal ITS region. Mol Ecol Resour 10:1076–1081. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02850.x.

16. Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Morishima K. 2016. BlastKOALA and GhostKOALA: KEGG tools for functional characterization of genome and metagenome sequences. J Mol Biol 428:726–731. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2015.11.006.

17. Ueda H, Tabata J, Seshime Y, Masaki K, Sameshima-Yamashita Y, Kitamoto H. 2011. Cutinase-like biodegradable plastic-degrading enzymes from phytoplane yeasts have cutinase activity. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 85: 1890–1896. https://doi.org/10.1093/bb/zbab113.

18. Furukawa M, Kawakami N, Tomizawa A, Miyamoto K. 2019. Efficient degradation of poly(ethylene terephthalate) with Thermobifida fusca cutinase exhibiting improved catalytic activity generated using mutagenesis and additive-based approaches. Sci Rep 9:16038. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-52379-z.