Spiral Exchange Interaction in Diluted Magnetic Semiconductor Junction
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We studied the exchange interactions mediated by polarized itinerant carriers in diluted magnetic semiconductor (DMS) junction. In contrast to the ordinary RKKY oscillations, the induced moment possesses an interesting spiral motion, accompanied with angular oscillations. The spiral motion remains robust in the entire $T < T_c$ regime while the oscillatory motion gets enhanced as $T \to T_c$. To explore the novel phenomena, we propose a ferromagnet/DMS/ferromagnet junction would bring out interesting spin-dependent transport properties and possible applications in spintronics.

One of the key elements in spintronics is the diluted magnetic semiconductor (DMS), which incorporate both charge and spin phenomena together in one material. With rapid and intensive research attentions, robust ferromagnetic order was demonstrated in (Ga$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$)As with Currie temperature around 150 K. It is generally believed that the ferromagnetism in DMS is mediated by the itinerant carriers in the host semiconductor. For the unpolarized itinerant carriers, the mediated exchange coupling among magnetic moments is the well-known RKKY interaction. However, since itinerant carriers in DMS is polarized with sensitive temperature dependence, it is interesting to study what kind of exchange coupling gets generated.

In this Letter, we employ the self-consistent Green’s function approach to address this issue. Note that, while the RKKY exchange interaction is known for a long time, its direct experimental evidence is only detected recently. An easier way is through the spin-dependent scattering in the ferromagnet/nonmagnetic metallic junction. Here we proposed a similar experimental setup, as shown in Fig. 1, to explore the effective exchange interaction in DMS. One might expect the effective exchange interaction mediated by itinerant carriers in DMS also exhibits similar RKKY-type behavior. However, we found the presence of finite polarization gives rise to qualitatively different behavior. In the ferromagnet/DMS/ferromagnet junction, the induced polarization is spiral with angle $\theta_s(d)$ depending on the width of the junction. The spatial dependence of the spiral angle at different temperatures will be studied in details later.

The DMS is modeled by the Hamiltonian, containing the kinetic energy of the itinerant carriers and the exchange interaction between itinerant carriers and the localized moments doped into the host semiconductor,

$$H = H_0 + J \int d^3r \mathbf{s}(r) \cdot \mathbf{s}(r),$$

where the spin density of the localized moments is $S(r) \sum_i \delta^3(r - R_i)\mathbf{s}_i$ and the itinerant spin density is $s(r) = \psi^\dagger(r)\sigma/2\psi(r)$. The band structure of the itinerant carriers is described by the host semiconductor. Since our emphasis here is to demonstrate the qualitative difference from the usual RKKY exchange coupling, the dispersion is taken to be the simplest parabolic band $H_0 = p^2/2m^*$. Generalization to more realistic but complex band structures, such as the six-band Luttinger model, can be achieved straightforwardly. Throughout the Letter, the parameters would be set to the typical values in (Ga$_{1-x}$, Mn$_x$)As: $J = 0.15$ eV nm$^3$ and $m^* = 0.5m_e$. Besides, the densities of itinerant carriers and localized moments are $c' = 0.1$ nm$^{-3}$ and $c = 1$ nm$^{-3}$ respectively.

The induced exchange interaction $J_{ij}(r)$ mediated by polarized itinerant carriers is directly proportional to the
static spin susceptibility, \( J_{ij}(r) = J^2 \chi_{ij}(r, \omega = 0) \), within
the linear-response regime. The spin susceptibility is
\[
\chi_{ij}(r,t) = -i \Theta(t) \langle \langle [s_i(r,t), s_j(0,0)] \rangle \rangle,
\]
where the double brackets implies both thermal and
quantum averages. To compute the susceptibility
at finite temperature, we employed the self-consistent
quantum averages. To compute the susceptibility
where the double brackets implies both thermal and
quantum averages. To compute the susceptibility
from symmetry arguments. The only non-vanishing off-
diagonal exchange interaction is
\[
J_{xy}(r) = \frac{J^2 m}{4 \pi^2 \hbar^2 r^2} \int_{k_\Delta}^\infty k \sin(kr) \sin(\sqrt{k^2 - k_\Delta^2} r) f_\uparrow dk
- \int_{k_\Delta}^\infty k \sin(kr) \sin(\sqrt{k^2 + k_\Delta^2} r) f_\downarrow dk.
\]
It is clear that the first and second integrals cancel when
the polarization vanishes \( k_\Delta = 0 \) and \( f_\uparrow = f_\downarrow \). The
spatial dependence of \( J_{xy}(r) \) is also oscillatory as the di-
gonal parts. However, the existence of the off-diagonal
exchange interaction gives rise an interesting phenomena
which is absent for usual RKKY interaction.

Suppose we sandwich DMS with two ferromagnets as
shown in Fig. 1. The perpendicular polarization be-
tween DMS and the left ferromagnet could be made by
utilizing the anisotropy of DMS making the hard axis of
DMS parallel to the left ferromagnet. The moment on
the left ferromagnet would induce magnetization in both
g- and x-axes since the off-diagonal part is not zero. It is
useful to define the spiral angle of the induced magnetic
moment,
\[
\tan \theta_s(r) = \frac{J_{xy}(r)}{J_{yy}(r)}.
\]
The spatial dependences of the spiral angle \( \theta_s(r) \) at
\( T = 10 \) K and \( T = 44 \) K are plotted in Figure 3 and 4. It is
rather interesting that the induced moments through the
DMS junction is characterized by both spiral rotations and
angular oscillations. After some algebra, the corre-
spanding length scales of spiral and oscillatory motions
are approximately
\[
\lambda_s \approx \frac{2 \pi}{\sqrt{k_F^2 + k_\Delta^2} - k_F},
\]
\[
\lambda_o \approx \frac{2 \pi}{\sqrt{k_F^2 + k_\Delta^2} + k_F}.
\]
where $k_F$ is the Fermi momentum of the unpolarized itinerant carriers.

At low temperature $T = 10$ K, the chemical potential only cuts through single band and most of the itinerant carriers have the same spin orientation. The direction of the induced moment points along the direction of the spirals of the induced moment. As is clear from Fig. 3, accompanied with the spiral motion, there is also the oscillatory motion (with shorter period) and creates the wiggles in the trace. A remarkable feature is that the spiral motion does not seem to decay away as quickly as the exchange couplings $J_{xy}(r)$ and $J_{xx}(r)$ themselves. This may imply that the spiral dependence is easier to observe in experiments than the usual RKKY oscillations. Besides, the spiral-angular dependence of the induced moment also makes it charming for spin-dependent transport in tunnelling magnetoresistance (TMR) application and open up a new window for new functionality of device designs.

Close to the Currie temperature $T = 44$ K, both bands of the itinerant carriers are almost degenerate and the polarization is small. From Eq. 4 we expect that the period of spiral motion become large, as shown in Fig. 4. The oscillatory motion becomes transparent within one spiral period and decays away rapidly. While one might naively expect that the spiral motion is weaken by the small polarization, it is actually not the case. The spiral motion persists but with a longer period. As the temperature approaches the critical one, the period of the spiral motion becomes infinitely long while the oscillatory motion gets enhanced. Eventually, the spiral angle only takes on discrete values, either $\theta_s = 0$ or $\theta_s = \pi$, which correspond to the ordinary RKKY oscillations. However, as long as $T < T_c$, the mediated exchange interaction is qualitatively different from that generated by unpolarized itinerant carriers.

In conclusion, we studied the exchange interactions mediated by polarized itinerant carriers in DMS junction. We found, in contrast to the ordinary RKKY oscillations, the induced moment possesses a spiral motion accompanied with oscillatory motions. The spiral motion remains robust in the entire regime below the Curie temperature, while the angular oscillations get enhanced as the polarization becomes small. A ferromagnet/DMS/ferromagnet junction would bring out this novel spiral dependence and leads to interesting spin-dependent transport phenomena.
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