Mortality factors acting on field populations of *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) SSA1 on cassava in Uganda
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**Abstract.** Natural death is a key determinant of a species population dynamics. Thus, a clear understanding of natural mortality factors aids the development of appropriate management strategies for insect pests. Cohort-based life tables were constructed to determine the sources and rates of mortality of field populations of the pest, *Bemisia tabaci* Sub-Saharan Africa 1 (SSA1) on cassava in Uganda. Monthly cohorts (10 in total) were established separately for eggs and nymphs on two cassava genotypes with known levels of resistance to *B. tabaci* infestation (Alado alado and NAROCASS 1). Mortality was recorded using daily observations for the eggs and different nymphal instars. The recorded mortality sources were disappearance (total removal of egg or nymph from the leaf), predation, parasitism (nymphs only), unknown death and inviability (eggs only). Median marginal mortality rate was highest for disappearance (0.355) followed by parasitism (0.058). The highest level of mortality occurred during the third nymph stage (55% on Alado alado) and only 12% of nymphs reached the adult stage. Irreplaceable mortality (lc) was highest for disappearance followed by third instar parasitism. Key-factor analysis revealed a close resemblance of the curve for disappearance to that of total mortality coupled with the highest regression slopes: 0.896 for eggs and 0.725 for nymphs on NAROCASS 1. From these results, we conclude that disappearance and parasitism are the major mortality factors controlling *B. tabaci* SSA1 populations. Therefore, the development of interventions that focus on enhancing the levels of disappearance and third instar parasitism may lead to population-level reductions in *B. tabaci* SSA1. Further studies need to be conducted to understand the factors that contribute to the high mortality associated with disappearance.

**INTRODUCTION**

Several abiotic and biotic mortality forces including predators, parasitoids, pathogens, and weather have been reported to act on *Bemisia tabaci* Gennadius (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) pest complex populations (Naranjo, 2001; Naranjo & Ellsworth, 2005). The timing and relative importance of these mortality factors is a key determinant of the population dynamics (Naranjo & Ellsworth, 2005), especially for insects that cause economic damage to crop plants (Baskauf, 2003). There are currently over 40 cryptic species in the *Bemisia tabaci* complex (Hu et al., 2017; Jiu et al., 2017; Dinsdale et al., 2010) and they are all polyphagous phloem-feeders (Polston et al., 2014). Their populations have reportedly increased in abundance throughout the cassava-growing regions of East and Central Africa over the past two decades (Colvin et al., 2004; Legg et al., 2014a; Ally et al., 2019). The current outbreaks of *B. tabaci* populations heighten its importance as a direct pest of cassava and also intensify its role as a vector of two damaging viral diseases of cassava: Cassava Mosaic Disease (CMD) and Cassava Brown Streak Disease (CBSD) (Legg et al., 2014b; Maruthi et al., 2017), thus undermining food security and livelihood benefits of cassava production. In Sub-Saharan Africa, cassava is considered a staple crop that is highly resilient to climate change (Nweke et al., 2002; Jarvis et al., 2012; Maruthi et al., 2017).

Though many mortality factors are known to impact *B. tabaci* field populations (Gerling et al., 2001; Naranjo & Ellsworth, 2005), there is limited information on the rates of mortality associated with specific causes of death, especially in light of the different *B. tabaci* species currently described. Age-specific life tables for insects under field conditions provide critical information for a given cohort of individuals thus helps to identify mortality factors responsible for population regulation (Choudhury et al., 2013). Life tables have previously been used to study the
population dynamics of B. tabaci on cotton (Naranjo & Ellsworth, 2005). Asiimwe et al. (2007) using cohort-based life tables determined that fourth instar parasitism and disappearance were the key nymph and egg mortality factors for B. tabaci on cassava in Uganda. However, CMD was the key production constraint for cassava in Uganda at that time, in 2003. With the current CBSD epidemic, most of the CMD resistant cassava genotypes have been replaced with CBSD tolerant genotypes (Alciati et al., 2007; Kawuki et al., 2016), which unfortunately support very high populations of B. tabaci (Otini et al., 2006; Omongo et al., 2012). Also, the B. tabaci species complex was unknown at the time of the Asiimwe et al. (2007) study. Currently, cassava in Uganda is largely infested by B. tabaci Sub-Saharan Africa 1 (SSA1) species (Mugerwa et al., 2012; Legg et al., 2014a; Kalyebi et al., 2018; Ally et al., 2019).

This study sought to develop comprehensive life tables for B. tabaci SSA1 on cassava and estimate the impact of specific mortality factors affecting each immature growth stage of B. tabaci SSA1 on cassava. We used a controlled field experiment to standardize the number of eggs and nymphs observed in each cohort to more accurately contrast the effect on B. tabaci mortality of two cassava genotypes that reportedly have different levels of resistance to whitefly infestation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site, genotypes used, and experimental layout

This study was conducted in Wakiso district (0.518991°N, 32.636165°E) in Uganda in 2016 and 2017 using two cassava populations respectively (Omongo et al., 2012; Kawuki et al., 2016; Katono et al., unpubl.). The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with three replicates. The plots measured 9 m by 9 m with plants spaced at 1 m by 1 m. Two-meter alleys, without any plants, were left between blocks. Monthly, egg and nymph cohorts were established on 10 randomly selected plants per plot. The cohorts, selected from naturally occurring populations, were established between 08:00 and 11:00 h, on different plants. Five cohorts were established at 3–7 months after planting (MAP) in both 2016 and 2017. Hence, we observed mortality on 10 cohorts in total on each cassava genotype.

Egg cohorts

Ten freshly laid eggs were identified on the underside of the top-most expanded plant leaf using a 10× hand lens on each genotype per replicate plot. For each replicate plot, 10 plants were selected thus 100 eggs were marked per replicate plot per cassava genotype. A total of 300 eggs were marked for each cassava genotype per cohort. All other eggs on the leaf were removed by gently brushing them off the leaf using the edge of soft tissue paper. The eggs were marked by drawing a small circle around each egg using a nontoxic pen. A red tag was then tied around the petiole of the leaf bearing the marked eggs so they could be easily found again.

Nymph cohorts

For each replicate plot, 10 plants were selected, and on each plant, 30 first-instar nymphs were identified and marked on a single leaf. Thus a total of 300 nymphs per replicate plot per cassava genotype were marked. Each nymph cohort consisted of 900 nymphs per cassava genotype. The nymphs were marked using the same procedure described for eggs. A green tag was used to mark the leaves having the marked nymphs. Marked nymphs were checked after one hour to ascertain that they had settled and any nymph that had crawled out of the circle was replaced by marking another one.

Determination of mortality factors and recording of egg eclosion and nymph development

Each marked egg was observed daily using a 10× hand lens for 15 days, which is more than the 11-day egg hatching period on cassava (Legg, 1995). Marked nymphs were observed for 30 days, a period sufficient for both B. tabaci and parasitoid adult emergence (Asiimwe et al., 2007). Each day, the stage and state of each egg and nymph were recorded and categorized as healthy or dead. Death was then characterized as disappearance, predation, parasitism, inviability, and unknown death (Table 1; Naranjo & Ellsworth, 2005, 2017; Asiimwe et al., 2007; Banjo, 2010). Mortality in the crawler stage was missed and thus not accounted for in this study. However, Naranjo (2007) and Karut & Naranjo (2009) indicated that B. tabaci crawler mortality on cotton was negligible.

Data analysis

Multiple decrement life table

The raw data were summarized to generate a table showing the total number of insects at the beginning of each stage (N₀) and the

Table 1. Classification and description of mortality components* acting on B. tabaci SSA1 egg and nymph populations on cassava in the field.

| Cause of death | Description |
|----------------|-------------|
| Disappearance  | Egg or nymph goes missing due to total removal by a chewing predator, or dislodgement from the leaf due to wind or rain. |
| Predation       | Sucking predators evacuate the contents of the prey and leave behind an empty egg chorion or nymph cuticle. |
| Parasitism**    | The paired yellowish mycetomes are displaced by the developing parasitoid larva, and in the case of parasitism by Encarsia sophia, the nymph turns black. |
| Inviability     | Eggs fail to hatch by the fourteenth day and are dark tan in colour. |
| Unknown death   | A death that is attributed to any cause excluding those described above. There is evidence of the carcass on the leaf (i.e., the individual has not disappeared). |

* Mortality components are herein also referred to as “cause of death”.

** Although parasitoids attack all nymph stages of B. tabaci (Fotyn & Gerling, 1985; Liu & Stanly, 1996), E. sophia and E. mundus prefer to parasitise the third (Binu et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2012) and second (Urbaneja & Stanly, 2004) B. tabaci nymph stages. Nonetheless outward visible signs of parasitism are detected in the third and fourth nymph stages (Asiimwe et al., 2007; Karut & Naranjo, 2009).
Determining mortality rates

Age-specific marginal mortality rates (MMR) for each factor were estimated based on apparent (observed) mortality rates (AMR) according to the methods of Buonaccorsi & Elkinton (1990) and Naranjo & Ellsworth (2005). Marginal mortality rate estimates the level of death arising from a single factor assuming that it was the only one operating at the time (Naranjo & Ellsworth, 2005). However, a mortality factor may be masked by another factor since they operate concurrently with no clear order of events (Naranjo & Ellsworth, 2005; Asiimwe et al., 2007). Disappearance is the only factor for which the AMR is equal to the MMR because it cannot be concealed by any other factor (Naranjo & Ellsworth, 2005; Asiimwe et al., 2007). The general equation for determining MMR was derived from Naranjo & Ellsworth (2005) as below:

\[ M_{xj} = \frac{d_{xj}}{1-d_{xj}} \]

where \( M_{xj} \) is the MMR due to factor A in stage x, \( d_{xj} \) is the AMR due to factor A in stage x and \( d_{xj}^{\text{ap}} \) is the sum of apparent mortalities from all other relevant contemporaneous factors. MMR were calculated separately for each development stage and for each mortality factor. Due to the concurrent nature of the mortality from other factors that act on the immature stages of \( B. \ tabaci \), the AMRs needed to estimate MMR for each mortality factor with each growth stage is given in Table 2 (Naranjo & Ellsworth, 2005; Asiimwe et al., 2007).

Estimation of irreplaceable mortality (\( I_{c} \))

Irreplaceable mortality is that portion of total cohort mortality that would not occur if a given mortality factor was eliminated (Carey, 1989; Naranjo & Ellsworth, 2005). Irreplaceable mortality (\( I_{c} \)) was calculated for each mortality factor within each growth stage according to Carey (1989) and Naranjo & Ellsworth (2005) using the equation below:

\[ I_{c} = \left(1 - \prod_{k=1}^{j} \left[ 1 - M_{k} \right]\right) - \left(1 - \prod_{k=1}^{j} \left[ 1 - M_{k} \right]\right) \]

where \( M_{k} \) is the marginal mortality rate for factor \( i \), and \( j \) is the total number of all mortality factors acting in a given stage. The first product includes all mortality factors, while the second product includes all mortality factors except the factor of interest.

Analysis of variance

All data on MMR, \( I_{c} \), and \( k \)-values were tested for normality, and where skewness occurred, data were subjected to the Box-Cox procedure (Venables & Ripley, 2002) to determine the most appropriate transformation based on the lambda value. The data for eggs and nymphs were then subjected to a nested model ANOVA (Bates et al., 2015) to check the strength of the variability due to cassava genotype, cause of death and stage of growth on marginal mortality rate, irreplaceable mortality and mean levels of death (\( k \) value). Cause of death and stage of growth were nested within genotype. Data on eggs, early nymphs (first and second instar stages), and late nymphs (third and fourth instar) were separated because different mortality factors acted in the different stages.

Key-factor analysis

The graphical method of (Varley & Gradwell, 1960) which compares patterns of total mortality (total \( K = \sum k \)) for the cohort to that of individual \( k \)-values \( [k = -\ln(1-M)] \) for each mortality factor was used to determine the relative contribution of individual causes of death to total mortality. The factor whose graph most closely resembles that of total \( K \) is the key factor (Naranjo & Ellsworth, 2017). To further quantify the key factors, individual \( k \)-values were regressed against total \( K \), and the factor whose individual \( k \)-values had the largest slope was denoted as the key factor (Podoler & Rogers, 1975).

Relationship between environmental parameters and the different mortality factors

Air temperature and relative humidity (RH) were recorded using a data logger placed at the trial site. Sunshine hours, wind speed, and rainfall data were accessed from the weather station at the National Crops Resources Research Institute. The daily readings recorded at 15:00 h for rainfall, air temperature, RH, sunshine hours, and wind speed were used to calculate the total monthly rainfall, average monthly air temperature, RH, sunshine hours, and wind speed values (i.e. a month was from the date of cohort establishment to the date the last nymph dies or emerges into an adult, and the date the last egg hatches into a first-instar nymph or 15th day after cohort establishment).

Correlation analysis was then performed to determine the strength and the direction of the relationship between weather parameters with \( B. \ tabaci \) egg and nymph mortality rates (Revelle, 2017).

RESULTS

Sources and levels of mortality

From the ANOVA result, the variation in MMR, \( I_{c} \) and \( k \)-value was due to cause of death nested within genotype (Table 3). There was considerable variation in MMRs for each cause of death when pooled over all immature stages; the highest median rates of death were associated with disappearance in both genotypes in all cohorts; median MMR was 0.355 in Alado alado (Fig. 1A). Parasitism caused the second highest MMR; 0.084 in NAROCASS 1 and 0.058 in Alado alado (Fig. 1A). Also, when pooled over all mortality factors, sizeable variation in MMR was observed in each development stage and the highest median rate of
mortality was associated with the third instar stage for both genotypes; 0.092 in Alado alado and 0.073 in NAROCASS 1 (Fig. 1B). The highest cohort mortality was observed in the 8th and 10th cohorts in the egg stage for NAROCASS 1 (median MMR was 0.2) and Alado alado (median MMR of 0.25) respectively (Fig. 1C).

In all growth stages for both genotypes, the highest mortality was associated with disappearance followed by parasitism in the third and fourth nymph stages (Fig. 2A). Similarly, when all the growth stages were pooled together, disappearance was associated with the highest levels of death across all the 10 cohorts in both genotypes (Fig. 2B). Across all the 10 cohorts in both genotypes, the third instar stage displayed consistently high levels of death compared with the fourth instar stage where mortality was highest from cohort one (1) to six (6) and minimum from cohort seven (7) to 10 (Fig. 2C).

At all growth stages, disappearance has the highest irreplaceable mortality followed by parasitism in the 3rd instar stage in both genotypes (Fig. 3).

**Relationship and dynamics of B. tabaci adult and nymph occurrence with environmental factors**

Correlation analysis was focused on the strength and direction of the relationship between average monthly air temperature, relative humidity (RH), sunshine hours and wind speed, and total monthly rainfall with monthly mean levels of mortality (k values) of the different mortality factors per cohort (cohorts 1 to 5; 2016 and 6 to 10; 2017). In both years, the effects of the different weather parameters on the various mortality factors were inconsistent, e.g. the effect of rainfall on nymph disappearance in 2016 was positive ($r = 0.65$) while a negative relationship ($r = -0.56$) was observed in 2017 (Table 4).

For nymph populations, strong positive and negative relationships of average monthly air temperature, RH, and total rainfall with all nymph mortality factors in 2016; $r = -0.87, 0.75, 0.51$ for the effect of air temperature on disappearance, parasitism, and predation, resp. (Table 4). Sunshine hours had strong effects on predation ($r = 0.54$) and unknown death ($r = 0.77$) (Table 4). In 2017, RH

---

**Table 3. Variance associated with genotype, cause of death, and stage of growth on mortality of immature stages of B. tabaci SSA1 on cassava in Uganda.**

| Group                  | Early nymphal stages | Late nymphal stages | Egg stage      |
|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|
|                        | MMR   | lc   | k-value | MMR   | lc   | k-value | MMR   | lc   | k-value |
| Genotype               | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Cause of death: Genotype | 0.0179 | 0.0168 | 0.0277 | 0.0453 | 0.0401 | 0.0994 | 0.0453 | 0.0401 | 0.0994 |
| Growth stage: Genotype  | 0.0004 | 0.0001 | 0.0006 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Residual               | 0.0046 | 0.0037 | 0.0090 | 0.0135 | 0.0085 | 0.0484 | 0.0135 | 0.0085 | 0.0484 |
Fig. 2. Mean levels of mortality expressed as K values within each stage of growth of *Bemisia tabaci* SSA1 species across all cohorts (A), for each cohort pooled over all stages of growth (B) for the different mortality factors, and for each cohort for the five stages of growth pooled over all mortality factors (C) on two cassava genotypes. N1 – 1st, N2 – 2nd, N3 – 3rd, N4 – 4th instar nymph; Dis – disappearance, Invi – inviability, Pred – predation, Para – parasitism and Unkn – unknown death.

Fig. 3. Irreplaceable mortality within each stage of growth of *Bemisia tabaci* SSA1 for the different mortality factors on two cassava genotypes across all cohorts. N1 – 1st, N2 – 2nd, N3 – 3rd, N4 – 4th instar nymph; Dis – disappearance, Invi – inviability, Pred – predation, Para – parasitism and Unkn – unknown death.
had strong effects on parasitism \((r = 0.69)\) and unknown death \((r = -0.77)\) while rainfall affected disappearance \((r = -0.56)\) and predation \((r = 0.75)\).

In eggs, a strong negative effect of air temperature on disappearance \((r = -0.64)\) and a positive effect of sunshine hours on predation \((r = 0.59)\) was observed in 2016. In 2017, only sunshine hours and wind speed had strong positive effects on disappearance and predation (Table 4).

### Key factor analysis

The comparison of individual k-values of the different mortality factors to total mortality showed that the curve for disappearance was most similar to that of total mortality, and disappearance resulted in the largest regression slope for both eggs and nymphs in both genotypes (Fig. 4 and 5).

### DISCUSSION

This study identified and quantified the impact of different mortality factors acting on immature populations of *B. tabaci* SSA1 species in a controlled field experiment on cassava. A three-part investigative approach (marginal mortality, irreplaceable and key factor analysis) was used
to understand and assess the relative importance of each mortality factor. The cohort-based system, coupled with the daily observations of each individual in the cohort was able to give us comprehensive data on the rates of mortality affecting this pest.

Based on marginal mortality rates and the life table results, disappearance followed by third and fourth instar parasitism were found to be the largest components of overall B. tabaci SSA1 species mortality, followed by unknown factors (nymphs only), inviability (eggs only), and predation. The results show that disappearance was the most important factor driving both egg and nymph mortality. Disappearance can be attributed to abiotic factors like wind and rain, and also predators that remove the entire individual from the leaf, like ants and spiders. Parasitism in the third instar was the second factor driving nymph mortality. The high levels of parasitism were attributed to the high numbers of Aphelinidae parasitoids (Eretmocerus mundus Mercet and Encarsia sophia Girault and Dodd), which are abundant in our system (Otim et al., 2005).

The high irreplaceable mortality from disappearance, coupled with its high regression coefficient from key factor analysis points to its importance in reducing both egg and nymph populations of B. tabaci SSA1. This result is in agreement with the findings of Horowitz (1984), who reported disappearance as the key mortality factor in B. tabaci in cotton. However, these results are in partial agreement with findings of Asiimwe et al. (2007), who reported that disappearance was the key factor driving egg mortality whereas parasitism in the fourth instar was the key factor driving nymph mortality. In contrast, key factor analysis revealed disappearance followed by third instar parasitism.
as the key mortality factor in our study. The subtle differences between our findings and those of Asiimwe et al. (2007) could be due to differences in cassava genotypes used in each study. Cassava genotype NASE 4 was used by Asiimwe et al. (2007). Furthermore, the identity of whiteflies colonizing cassava during the 2003 study was not known, yet it may be responsible for the observed variations in the key mortality factors reported. Until recently, species diversity among the members of *B. tabaci* has been vague due to inconsistent global phylogenies (Boykin et al., 2007; De Barro et al., 2011), hence the generic use of *B. tabaci* with no concern for the specific species that colonized cassava at that time. However, *Bemisia tabaci* SSA1 species is currently the most common species colonizing cassava in Uganda (Mugerwa et al., 2012; Legg et al., 2014; Ally et al., 2019) particularly in Wakiso (Kalyebi et al., 2018).

The average monthly air temperature (26–31.5°C), RH (50–75%), wind speed (3–5.5 km/h) and total monthly rainfall (3–140 mm) conditions in the two years of our study were within the optimum range for *B. tabaci* reproduction and growth. The narrow and consistent range of these parameters could not give conclusive evidence of the impact of weather parameters on *B. tabaci* mortality. However, it is possible that rainfall indirectly influenced disappearance through its effects on host-plant quality (Guz et al., 2016). Indeed in 2016, where drought conditions were experienced, cassava grew poorly into short plants with small leaves (Katono et al., unpubl.), which increased the exposure of *B. tabaci* individuals to death from wind and rainfall. The high levels of disappearance in both years may highlight the importance of chewing predators and ants and spiders were frequently observed in our trial plots. The positive impact of air temperature on mortality due to natural enemies may be because of their enhanced ability to suppress pest populations under the temperature ranges observed (Huang et al., 2008). Under warmer temperatures, higher parasitoid reproductive success (Zilahibalogh et al., 2009; Romo & Tylianakis, 2013; Kambrekar et al., 2015) and greater survival of coccinellids (Simmons & Legaspi, 2004; Huang et al., 2008; Yao et al., 2019) have been reported.

Though we anticipated higher mortality rates on Alado alado, a genotype that supports low field *B. tabaci* adult populations (C.A. Omono, pers. commun.; Katono et al., unpubl.), there were no significant differences in mortality of *B. tabaci* SSA1 between Alado alado and NAROCASS 1. We used a controlled field experiment and therefore we standardised the numbers of eggs and nymphs entering the data set on each cassava genotype (which is impossible in uncontrolled field studies and surveys). Our findings suggest that genotype differences (if they exist) may only affect *B. tabaci* adult populations (as reported by Katono et al., unpubl.; Omono et al., 2012) with no impact on mortality of immature *B. tabaci* SSA1 stages (at least for the two genotypes included here). Similar results were reported on cotton by Karut & Naranjo (2009). These results suggest the presence of an antixenosis resistance mechanism in Alado alado. Previous studies also reported high levels of antixenosis resistance to *B. tabaci* in soybean and *Amrasca devastans* (Distant) in cotton due to low trichome density (Murugesan & Kavitha, 2010; da Silva et al., 2012), and *A. devastans* due to high plant height in cotton (Murugesan & Kavitha, 2010). Legg (1995), reported higher numbers of *B. tabaci* parasitoids in improved cassava genotypes as opposed to the low numbers in local genotypes, however, parasitism rates between different cassava genotypes were similar in uncontrolled field surveys (Macfadyen et al., 2020).

We showed that disappearance is the key mortality factor acting on all the immature stages of *B. tabaci* SSA1 on cassava, followed by third instar parasitism. Previous studies suggest that adding mortality to a factor that is already occurring at high levels has a greater consequence on cohort survival than a diversity of mortality factors (Morris, 1957; Asiimwe et al., 2007; Séverine et al., 2015). Therefore practices which enhance the disappearance and parasitism would offer the most effective way of increasing overall mortality of *B. tabaci* SSA1. There is a range of interventions that may achieve this. Early planting of cassava, at the beginning of the rainy season, would intensify the effect of disappearance on all immature stages of *B. tabaci*, since disappearance is associated with abiotic factors, such as rain and wind (Naranjo & Ellsworth, 2005). Natural enemy augmentation could also enhance parasitism where low natural enemy populations occur (Perez-Alvarez et al., 2019). Therefore, augmentative release of *E. mundus* and *E. sophia*, especially in the second and third instar stage to supplement the naturally occurring parasitoid populations, may help to enhance parasitism.

The greater use of cassava genotypes that are less attractive to adult *B. tabaci* SSA1 such as Alado alado (Katono et al., unpubl.) will reduce the numbers of eggs entering fields planted with such genotypes and assist the mortality agents identified here to reduce *B. tabaci* SSA1 abundance at the field-level. However, for landscape-scale *B. tabaci* population reductions, cassava genotypes that have increased mortality in the immature *B. tabaci* stages (antibiosis resistance mechanisms) are desperately needed for East African cassava systems. For example, the South American genotype MEcu 72 displays nymphal mortality of 73% (Bellotti & Arias, 2001). Our study has shown that for the two cassava genotypes used, there is no increase in mortality of immature stages on Alado alado (the reportedly more tolerant genotype). This means that Alado alado does not have any of the antibiosis mechanisms that would be expected in genotypes displaying host plant resistance, in addition to being susceptible to CBSD and CMD. Breeders should, therefore, focus on developing *B. tabaci* resistant/tolerant cassava genotypes with traits that may increase immature *B. tabaci* mortality and adult non-preference. Low leaf area is associated with an increased likelihood of disappearance of eggs and nymphs due to increased exposure (Sippell et al., 1987; Katono et al., unpubl.) while relatively tall plants are not preferred by adults (Murugesan & Kavitha, 2010; Khalil et al., 2015; Katono et al., 2020).
et al., unpubl.), thus reducing *B. tabaci* numbers that farmers desire. Since cassava in Uganda is grown under natural conditions, deployment of a pest management strategy for *B. tabaci* SSA1 which focuses on enhancing the levels of third instar parasitism will provide for more sustainable management of *B. tabaci* SSA1. There is a need to further investigate and understand the possible factors associated with disappearance which can be exploited in the management of *B. tabaci*.
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SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Life table of *B. tabaci* SSA1 immature on two cassava genotypes

The summary of deaths from all the different causes is presented in Table S1. The highest death rates in both genotypes for all stages of growth were as a result of disappearance followed by third instar parasitism. Death rate due to disappearance ranged from 30% in the second instar to 44% in the egg stage and was 14% for third instar parasitism on Alado alado (Table S2). The highest stage-specific mortality for both genotypes was observed in the third instar stage; 55% for Alado alado and 49% for NAROCASS 1. Only about 12% of the nymphs survived to adult emergence in both genotypes (Table S3). For both the egg and nymph stages, disappearance was associated with the highest mortality of 73% and 69% of nymph deaths in Alado alado and NAROCASS 1, respectively (Table S3).

**Table S1.** Deaths from five causes of mortality of *B. tabaci* SSA1 immature field populations on cassava in Uganda in 2016 and 2017 in Wakiso.

| Genotype   | Stage of growth | No. beginning \( N_0 \) | No. healthy \( N_0 \) | Total death \( D \) | Inviability \( D_{ia} \) | Predation \( D_{ia} \) | Parasitism \( D_{ia} \) | Disappearance \( D_{ia} \) | Unknown death \( D_{ia} \) |
|------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Alado alado| Egg             | 2855                     | 1476                  | 1379                   | 62                    | 52                    | –                     | 1265                   | –                     |
|            | 1st instar      | 7341                     | 4953                  | 2388                   | –                     | 98                    | –                     | 2228                   | 62                    |
|            | 2nd instar      | 4953                     | 3225                  | 1728                   | –                     | 146                   | –                     | 1476                   | 106                   |
|            | 3rd instar      | 3225                     | 1440                  | 1785                   | –                     | 54                    | 438                   | 1183                   | 110                   |
|            | 4th instar      | 1440                     | 870                   | 570                    | –                     | 6                     | 30                    | 496                    | 38                    |
|            | Adult           | 870                      | 870                   | 870                    | –                     | –                     | –                     | –                     | –                     |
| NAROCASS 1 | Egg             | 2915                     | 1518                  | 1397                   | 55                    | 20                    | –                     | 1322                   | –                     |
|            | 1st instar      | 7415                     | 5454                  | 1961                   | –                     | 158                   | –                     | 1726                   | 77                    |
|            | 2nd instar      | 5454                     | 3540                  | 1914                   | –                     | 245                   | –                     | 1525                   | 144                   |
|            | 3rd instar      | 3540                     | 1787                  | 1753                   | –                     | 49                    | 418                   | 1181                   | 105                   |
|            | 4th instar      | 1787                     | 960                   | 827                    | –                     | 13                    | 80                    | 714                    | 20                    |
|            | Adult           | 960                      | 960                   | 960                    | –                     | –                     | –                     | –                     | –                     |

**Table S2.** Cause-specific probability of death from specified mortality factors in the presence of all factors acting on immature stages of *B. tabaci* SSA1 species.

| Genotype   | Stage of growth | Probability of death \( q_{ix} \) | Cause of death | Inviability \( q_{ia} \) | Predation \( q_{ia} \) | Parasitism \( q_{ia} \) | Disappearance \( q_{ia} \) | Unknown death \( q_{ia} \) |
|------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Alado alado| Egg             | 0.4830                             |                | 0.0217                    | 0.0182                 | –                      | 0.4431                 | –                      |
|            | 1st instar      | 0.3253                             |                | –                         | 0.0133                 | –                      | 0.3035                 | 0.0084                 |
|            | 2nd instar      | 0.3489                             |                | –                         | 0.0295                 | –                      | 0.2980                 | 0.0214                 |
|            | 3rd instar      | 0.5535                             |                | –                         | 0.0167                 | 0.1358                 | 0.3668                 | 0.0341                 |
|            | 4th instar      | 0.3958                             |                | –                         | 0.0042                 | 0.0208                 | 0.3444                 | 0.0264                 |
| NAROCASS 1 | Egg             | 0.4792                             |                | 0.0189                    | 0.0069                 | –                      | 0.4535                 | –                      |
|            | 1st instar      | 0.2645                             |                | –                         | 0.0213                 | –                      | 0.2328                 | 0.0104                 |
|            | 2nd instar      | 0.3509                             |                | –                         | 0.0449                 | –                      | 0.2796                 | 0.0264                 |
|            | 3rd instar      | 0.4952                             |                | –                         | 0.0138                 | 0.1181                 | 0.3336                 | 0.0297                 |
|            | 4th instar      | 0.4628                             |                | –                         | 0.0073                 | 0.0448                 | 0.3996                 | 0.0112                 |

**Table S3.** Life table of mortality from specified causes at a given stage of *B. tabaci* SSA1 development.

| Genotype   | Stage of growth | Probability of death \( q_{ix} \) | Fraction living at beginning of stage \( a_{ix} \) | Fraction of all deaths \( a_{ix} \) | Fraction of death due to |
|------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Egg mortality | Egg             | 0.4830                             | 0.4830                                            | 0.0217                            | 0.0182                 |
| Nymph mortality | 1st instar      | 0.3253                             | 0.3253                                            | 0.0133                            | –                      |
| Alado alado | 2nd instar      | 0.3489                             | 0.6747                                            | 0.2354                            | –                      |
|            | 3rd instar      | 0.5535                             | 0.4593                                            | 0.2534                            | –                      |
|            | 4th instar      | 0.3958                             | 0.1962                                            | 0.0776                            | –                      |
|            | Adult           | –                                  | 0.1185                                            | –                                 | –                      |
| NAROCASS 1 | Egg mortality   | 0.4792                             | 0.4792                                            | 0.0189                            | 0.0069                 |
| Nymph mortality | 1st instar      | 0.2645                             | 0.2645                                            | 0.0213                            | –                      |
| NAROCASS 1 | 2nd instar      | 0.3509                             | 0.7355                                            | 0.2581                            | –                      |
|            | 3rd instar      | 0.4952                             | 0.4774                                            | 0.2364                            | –                      |
|            | 4th instar      | 0.4628                             | 0.2410                                            | 0.1115                            | –                      |
|            | Adult           | –                                  | 0.1295                                            | –                                 | –                      |

The summary of deaths from all the different causes is presented in Table S1. The highest death rates in both genotypes for all stages of growth were as a result of disappearance followed by third instar parasitism. Death rate due to disappearance ranged from 30% in the second instar to 44% in the egg stage and was 14% for third instar parasitism on Alado alado (Table S2). The highest stage-specific mortality for both genotypes was observed in the third instar stage; 55% for Alado alado and 49% for NAROCASS 1. Only about 12% of the nymphs survived to adult emergence in both genotypes (Table S3). For both the egg and nymph stages, disappearance was associated with the highest mortality of 73% and 69% of nymph deaths in Alado alado and NAROCASS 1, respectively (Table S3).