Physical attributes and organic matter in soils with different use/management cultivated with the main crops in the Cerrado of western Bahia, Brazil
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Abstract
Given the importance of agriculture in western Bahia and the conserving natural resources, this study evaluates soil aggregation, soil organic matter, and soil density in the most important crops in that region. Seventeen areas with different management were sampled: five areas cultivated with soybean (Glycine max L.), two cultivated with maize (Zea mays L.), two cultivated with cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.), two cultivated with eucalyptus (Eucalyptus spp.), four with pasture, one with crop-livestock integration, two cultivated with coffee (Coffea arabica L.), and two areas of preserved Cerrado. Cotton cultivation was the one that most affected soil aggregation, even under no-tillage. For soybean, no-tillage improved soil aggregation in comparison to conventional tillage. Soil density increased significantly in cultivated areas in comparison to areas in equilibrium. The no-tillage system proved to be efficient in maintaining soil organic matter in soybean growing areas.

Additional keywords: carbon; no-tillage; soil aggregates; soil conservation; soybean.

Resumo
Dada a importância da agricultura na Região Oeste da Bahia e da conservação dos recursos naturais, objetivou-se avaliar a agregação, matéria orgânica e densidade do solo nas culturas de maior expressão dessa Região. Foram amostradas 17 áreas com diferentes manejo, sendo cinco áreas cultivadas com soja (Glycine max L.), duas cultivadas com milho (Zea mays L.), duas cultivadas com algodão (Gossypium hirsutum L.), duas cultivadas com eucalipto (Eucalyptus spp.), quatro com pasto, uma com integração lavoura pecuária, duas cultivadas com café (Coffea arabica L.) e duas áreas de cerrado preservado. O cultivo do algodoeiro foi o que mais impactou a agregação do solo, mesmo em sistema plântio direto. O cultivo da soja em sistema plântio direto proporcionou maior agregação quando comparado com o plântio convencional. A densidade do solo aumentou significativamente nas áreas cultivadas quando comparadas com as áreas em equilibrio. O sistema plântio direto se mostrou eficiente em manter a matéria orgânica do solo nas áreas cultivadas com soja.

Palavras-chave adicionais: agregados do solo; carbono; conservação do solo; plântio direto; soja.

Introduction
Western Bahia stands out nationally as an agricultural hub. Among the crops with higher economic value, total area, and planted area are soybean (Glycine max L.), cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.), maize (Zea mays L.), eucalyptus (Eucalyptus spp.), coffee (Coffea arabica L.), and pastures. Of these, soybean occupies the largest area, with 1.6 million hectares in the 2017/2018 harvest (AIBA, 2018).

This region shows a predominance of sandy soils with peculiar characteristics that must be considered for proper management aiming at greater longevity, stability, and safety in production (Donagemma et al., 2016). In view of the fragility of these soils, it is important to assess their quality using conservation indicators (Obade & Lal, 2016). Aggregation, density, and organic matter are sensitive indicators that are practical in assessing the impact of crops and cultivation systems on soil quality.

Practices that preserve soil aggregation are fundamental for the functioning and balance of the production system (Bronick & Lal, 2005). Soil density and organic matter content are also essential to achieve an economically and environmentally viable production (Hamza & Anderson, 2005; Shi & Schulin, 2018).

Poor management of agricultural soils can alter their physical and chemical attributes, increasing...
soil density and/or degrading organic matter. Hamza 
and Anderson (2005) pointed out that soil compaction in 
agricultural ecosystems is due either to machinery traffic 
in the various cultural treatments or to animal trampling. The authors also highlight that the quantity and quality of organic matter is an important factor in soil compaction.

Given the relevance of the crops listed above and the importance of maintaining soil physical and chemical properties, this study evaluates soil density, aggregation, and organic matter in the most important crops in western Bahia, Brazil.

### Material and methods

#### Characterization of the study site and soil use/management

The soils were sampled from farms in western Bahia, at the 0-0.20 m layer, with four replications. This layer was selected for sampling because it is the area of greatest influence of cultural treatments, thus with a greater probability of occurring changes due to use/management. Seventeen areas were sampled having different uses and managements, with native Cerrado (NC) as a reference, as shown in Table 1.

| Area/code | Use/management |
|-----------|----------------|
| NC        | Native Cerrado |
| DPS (S)   | Direct seeding with soybean monoculture in the last eight years |
| DPS (S/M) | Direct seeding with soybean-maize rotation in the last five years |
| CPS (S/M/C) | Conventional system with soybean-maize-cotton rotation in the last five years |
| CPS (S/M) | Conventional system with soybean-maize rotation in the last five years |
| CPS (S3/M2) | Conventional system with soybean for three years and maize in the last two years |
| CPS (M/S) | Conventional system with maize-soybean rotation in the last five years |
| DPS (C5) | Direct seeding with cotton monoculture in the last five years |
| E3        | Eucalyptus with three years of implantation, after removal from the Cerrado |
| E5        | Eucalyptus with five years of implantation, after removal from the Cerrado |
| P1Bb      | Pasture of *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. Marandu implanted one year after removal from the Cerrado, with acidity correction and phosphate fertilization |
| P5Bb      | Pasture of *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. Marandu implanted five years after removal from the Cerrado, with acidity correction and phosphate fertilization |
| P15Bb     | Pasture of *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. Marandu implanted 15 years ago and renovated 1 year ago with acidity correction and phosphate fertilization |
| P5Ag      | Pasture of *Andropogon gayanus* implanted five years ago, with acidity correction and without phosphate fertilization |
| ILP       | Soybean-maize rotation for three years and pasture of *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. Marandu in the last two years |
| Cof 3     | Three-year-old coffee implanted after removal from the Cerrado, with soil acidity correction and recommended fertilization for the crop |
| Cof 20    | Twenty-year-old coffee implanted after removal from the Cerrado, with soil acidity correction and recommended fertilization for the crop |

The soil of the area under no-tillage with grain crops was classified as a dystrophic Red-Yellow Latosol, and the soil of eucalyptus plots was classified as an orthic Quartzarenic Neosol, both in flat relief. The results of the chemical analysis are shown in Table 2.

According to the Köppen classification, the climate of the study area is type Aw (tropical rainy), with a rainy season from October to April and a dry season from May to September.

#### Soil sampling

The samples with preserved structure were collected using a volumetric ring (Kemper & Rosenau, 1986) with a capacity of 1000 cm$^3$ (12.74 cm in height and 10 cm in diameter). For this purpose, the soil was moistened and, after the water was drained, the cylinders were placed to remove samples in the form of monoliths. Then, the cylinders were removed by digging around them and cutting the soil just below them. After removal, the cylinders were wrapped in plastic film, packed in plastic buckets, and taken to the Soil Physics Laboratory of the State University of Bahia for processing the samples. In the laboratory, the soil was removed from the cylinders, being then ground while considering fragile points and allowed to air dry for forty-eight hours.
Granulometry, total organic carbon, soil density, and aggregate stability

Granulometry was determined using the pipette method with sodium hydroxide (1N NaOH) as dispersant. Total organic carbon (TOC) was determined by hot oxidation with potassium dichromate in a sulfuric medium, as recommended by Embrapa (2017). Undisturbed samples, collected in cylinders of known volume and in the form of monoliths, served to determine soil density (SD) according to Embrapa (2017). For determination of aggregates, the samples were passed through 10- and 8-mm sieves, separating the aggregates that were retained in the 8-mm sieve to perform the analyses. A mass of 50 g of aggregates of the aforementioned size class was transferred to a set of sieves of the Yoder apparatus, being slowly saturated for 10 minutes with the aid of a deionized water atomizer; and a mass of 20 g was separated for moisture determination according to Embrapa (2017). After saturation, samples on the 2 mm sieve over 1.000, 0.500, 0.250, and 0.1060-mm sieves were submerged in a 0.03 m high water slide and sieved for 15 minutes to separate the aggregate classes. The device used oscillated at the frequency of 30 cycles per minute with a 0.03 m stroke. After 15 minutes, the aggregates retained in each sieve were transferred to an aluminum capsule with the aid of a jet of water delivered with a pippette. These were taken to an air circulation oven at 105 °C for 24h for later weighing to determine the dry matter of each class of aggregates.

Table 2 - Result of chemical analyzes of soils in the studied areas according to described in table 1, in the Western Region of Bahia, Brazil.

| Area     | pH (H₂O) | Al³⁺ | Ca²⁺ + Mg²⁺ | Ca²⁺ | P     | K⁺    |
|----------|----------|------|-------------|------|-------|-------|
| NC       | 4.67     | 1.10 | 0.79        | 0.25 | 2.00  | 60.47 |
| DPS (S)  | 5.60     | 0.00 | 3.30        | 2.39 | 72.31 | 70.54 |
| DPS (S/M)| 4.91     | 0.20 | 2.96        | 2.11 | 53.95 | 70.54 |
| CPS (S/M/C)| 6.65    | 0.00 | 3.21        | 2.30 | 16.20 | 80.62 |
| CPS (S/M)| 5.65     | 0.00 | 1.99        | 1.38 | 74.12 | 60.47 |
| CPS (S3/M2)| 4.55   | 0.40 | 1.92        | 1.34 | 51.30 | 35.27 |
| CPS (M/S)| 5.60     | 0.00 | 2.50        | 1.80 | 23.80 | 65.90 |
| DPS (C5)| 5.96     | 0.00 | 2.66        | 2.36 | 18.86 | 151.16|
| E3       | 4.60     | 0.40 | 1.08        | 0.54 | 2.85  | 15.12 |
| E5       | 4.50     | 0.20 | 0.50        | 0.17 | 1.96  | 5.04  |
| P1Bb     | 4.88     | 0.20 | 1.89        | 1.14 | 16.01 | 15.12 |
| P5Bb     | 5.30     | 0.10 | 3.06        | 2.07 | 17.20 | 20.16 |
| P15Bb    | 4.75     | 0.30 | 1.76        | 1.01 | 13.35 | 15.12 |
| P5Ag     | 5.69     | 0.00 | 2.90        | 2.13 | 3.69  | 50.39 |
| ILP      | 5.96     | 0.00 | 3.07        | 2.24 | 47.45 | 55.43 |
| Cof 3    | 5.00     | 0.60 | 1.87        | 1.45 | 24.51 | 131.01|
| Cof 20   | 5.27     | 0.00 | 2.44        | 1.71 | 54.80 | 55.43 |

pH = Hydrogen potential; Ca²⁺ = Calcium; Mg²⁺ = Magnesium; Al³⁺ = Aluminum; K⁺ = Potassium; P = Phosphorus; C = Carbon.

Statistical analysis

The data were subjected to descriptive statistics and analysis of variance, with comparison of means by the Scott-Knott at 5% probability, using the ASSISTAT software.

Results and discussion

Granulometry

Figure 1 shows the distribution of sand, silt, and clay for the areas under study (histogram and probability density function (PDF)). According to the Shapiro-Wilk test, none of the particle size fractions followed a Gaussian distribution. In addition, only the sand fraction showed negative asymmetry, indicating that sandy soils predominate among the soils under study. On the other hand, silt and clay showed positive asymmetry, determining that most soils have low content of these fractions.
Figure 1 - General distribution of the contents of sand (a), silt (b) and clay (c) of the evaluated soils.

The sand fraction was dominant in the series of soils under study (Table 3), a fact that is justified by the coarse nature of the source material, which originates from sandstones of the Urucaia Formation (Santos et al., 2016). Negative asymmetry indicates that the mean is less than the median, suggesting a higher frequency of values above this parameter. The opposite occurred with the variables silt and clay, in which asymmetry was positive and the mean was greater than the median.

Table 3 also shows that kurtosis values were all positive, indicating leptokurtic curves.

According to the criteria proposed by Warrick & Nielsen (1980), who classify data variability as low (CV<12%), medium (12<CV<60%), and high (CV>60%), the variability of textural attributes ranged between low and medium, in which sand had the lowest value, and silt and clay had the highest values. Therefore, the sand fraction, with CV below 12%, was less heterogeneous in the study area; the other variables, silt and clay, showed a CV above 50%.
Table 3 - Descriptive statistics of the granulometry of the evaluated soils.

| Parameter          | Sand (%) | Silt (%) | Clay (%) |
|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Minimum            | 56.95    | 1.03     | 3.89     |
| Maximum            | 92.16    | 12.79    | 38.76    |
| Average            | 82.80    | 3.71     | 13.49    |
| Standard deviation | 6.88     | 1.87     | 6.81     |
| Median             | 84.11    | 3.27     | 12.49    |
| Asymmetry          | -2.34    | 2.34     | 2.17     |
| Kurtosis           | 6.27     | 8.15     | 5.99     |
| Coefficient of variation | 8.31 | 50.41 | 50.44 |

Total organic carbon (TOC) and soil density (SD)

Figure 2 shows the frequency distributions of the TOC and SD values, with all data referring to the 0.00-0.20 m depth. The TOC variable had a normal distribution (p=0.1229) according to the Shapiro-Wilk test, with p < 0.05 (Figure 1a). Santos et al. (2013) found a similar result for various soils in five Brazilian biomes. On the other hand, SD did not present a normal distribution (p<0.01), probably due to the greater sensitivity of soil density to use and management.

Figure 2 - General distribution of total carbon content (TOC) and soil density (SD).

The distribution of TOC data ranged from 0.58 to 16.51 g kg⁻¹, confirming the wide representativeness of the uses/managements used in the database, which is also corroborated by the coefficient of variation (Table 4). Soil density (SD) presented a small amplitude between the maximum and the minimum value of the series, thus having low relative variation.

Table 4 - Descriptive statistics of total organic carbon (TOC) and soil density (SD) in the evaluated areas.

| Parameter          | TOC (g kg⁻¹) | SD (Mg m⁻³) |
|--------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Minimum            | 0.58         | 1.20        |
| Maximum            | 16.51        | 1.69        |
| Average            | 9.15         | 1.53        |
| Standard deviation | 4.05         | 0.12        |
| Median             | 8.81         | 1.55        |
| Asymmetry          | -0.20        | -0.96       |
| Kurtosis           | -0.81        | 0.68        |
| Coefficient of variation (%) | 44.24 | 7.67 |
Asymmetry demonstrates how far the distribution deviates from the normal curve. Table 4 shows negative values for the two variables (TOC and SD), thus indicating asymmetric distributions on the left. Total organic carbon (TOC) values were close to zero, thus confirming its normality. Soil revolving managements probably skewed the asymmetry to the left due to the low values of TOC (Novelli et al., 2011) and SD. In turn, DPS, ILP, and areas with perennial crops presented higher TOC content (Zhang et al., 2017) and higher SD values. For kurtosis, TOC showed a flatter curve (platykurtic), indicating greater data dispersion, while SD presented itself as a series of data with less dispersion around the mean (leptokurtic curve).

Regarding soil density, the native Cerrado (NC) area had the lowest average, while the CPS (S/M) area had the highest average (Figure 3a). Among the annual crops, DPS (C5) had the lowest average. However, even with the lowest average, the values for this system are considered high due to the clay content (Luciano et al., 2012).

Figure 3 – Soil density (SD) and organic matter (OM) in relation to use/management and SD as function of total organic carbon (TOC).
The areas with the lowest organic matter content were CPS (S/M/C) and CPS (S/M) (Figure 3b). Novelli et al. (2011) also reported a reduction in organic carbon in soils cultivated with soybean under conventional tillage. However, DPS (S/M), DPS (S), and Cof 20 areas showed organic matter levels similar to those of NC. This fact may be due to the management without soil revolving, since this type of cultivation favors the accumulation of organic matter (Zhang et al., 2017). The area cultivated with cotton (CPS (S/M/C)) showed low organic matter content (Figure 3b). If one considers the high clay content of this soil, it appears that a high revolving intensity accelerates the decomposition of organic matter, canceling the protection of clay. These results disagree with those of Sollins et al. (1996) and Kučerík et al. (2018), who state that clay and oxides are the main minerals responsible for soil carbon interaction and protection.

The negative correlation between TOC and SD was already expected (Figure 3c). The increase in carbon content decreased soil density in the proportion of 0.0203 Mg m\(^{-3}\) for each g kg\(^{-1}\) of TOC. Although the \(R^2\) value was low (0.40), it was similar to that found by Freitas et al. (2018), \(R^2 = 0.54\).

For both the weighted mean diameter (WMD) and the geometric mean diameter (GMD), the annual crops were those that obtained the lowest averages, except for DPS (S/M) (Figure 4). This area was cultivated under no-tillage with rotation of soybean and maize, which may have influenced the greater aggregation. Even so, Novelli et al. (2011) found that successive soybean crops, even in different soil classes, reduced organic carbon and aggregation. On the other hand, Nouwakpo et al. (2018) observed that maize cultivation was more efficient in maintaining aggregate stability than soybean cultivation.

Figure 4 - Weighted mean diameter (WMD) and geometric mean diameter (GMD) of soils as function of use and management according to described in table 1.

The areas cultivated with cotton are among those with the lowest values of WMD and GMD, even though these areas are cultivated under no-tillage systems and have the highest clay contents (Figure 4). The findings disagree with Silva et al. (2014) and Zhang et al. (2017), who state that these factors contribute significantly to soil aggregation. Therefore, it can be inferred that the reduction in aggregation was due to the cultural treatment required by the crop. On the other hand, the clay mineralogy of Oxisols in western Bahia
consists mainly of kaolinite, which makes these soils highly fragile in terms of structure when handled (Freitas et al., 2014), presenting lower values of water-stable aggregates (Ferreira et al., 1999). In addition, a large amount of pesticides are used in cotton cultivation and these can impact the soil microorganism community (Verdenelli et al., 2012; Kumar et al., 2017). This community is fundamental in the formation and stabilization of aggregates (Rashid et al., 2016). Soil aggregation is influenced by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Zhang et al., 2012) and these can be inhibited not only by phytosanitary products but also by the Bt technology (Chen et al., 2016) used in cotton crops in the area under study.

The cultivated areas that obtained the highest WMD and GMD values were eucalyptus E1 and E5, pasture (P1Bb) and (P5Ag), and Cof 3, which did not differ from the native Cerrado area. The greater aggregate stability in the area cultivated with eucalyptus may be due to the hydrophobicity of aggregates correlating with compounds produced by this plant (Ruwanza et al., 2013). When studying different forest covers and pasture, Cunha Neto et al. (2018) observed that the pasture areas were the ones that came closest to the reference native vegetation with regard to aggregate stability.

The WMD and GMD showed a similar trend in the percentage of aggregates larger than 2 mm, with annual crops showing the lowest means in comparison to perennial crops and the native Cerrado vegetation (Figure 5). This class of macroaggregates was more important for detecting differences between the systems than the set of all macroaggregates together (from 0.25 to > 2 mm). It can also in Figure 5 that the macroaggregates were not able to differentiate the DPS (S) and DPS (S/M), areas which are different when considering aggregate variables greater than 2 mm.

The areas with the highest percentages of MAAG, WMD, and GMD were those with the lowest percentages of MIAG (Figure 5). Thus, the areas that had the lowest percentage of microaggregates were perennial crops and pasture areas, possibly due to their low agricultural machinery traffic.
Conclusions

Total organic carbon was distributed according to the normal curve within the samples under study.

Soil density increased significantly in cultivated areas in comparison to the reference area (NC). Perennial crops improved soil aggregation in comparison to annual crops. Cotton cultivation was the one that most affected soil aggregation, even under no-tillage. For soybean, no-tillage improved soil aggregation in comparison to conventional tillage. The no-tillage system proved to be efficient in maintaining soil organic matter in soybean growing areas.
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