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Abstract

Background: Subcortical vascular cognitive impairment (SVCI) is a subtype of vascular cognitive impairment associated with extensive cerebral small vessel diseases (CSVDs) imaging biomarkers. The objectives of this work were to study the existence and patterns of gait and balance impairments in patients with SVCI due to CSVDs.

Methods: The study was conducted on 28 newly diagnosed SVCI patients and 22 healthy control subjects (HCS) submitted to the advanced activity of daily living scale (AADLs), Berg balance test (BBT), Montreal Cognitive Assessment Scale (MoCA), computerized dynamic posturography (CDP), vision-based 3-D skeletal data gait analysis, and brain MRI volumetric assessment.

Results: SVCI patients showed a significant decrease in AADLs as well as total cerebral white matter volume, total cerebral cortical volume, and mean cortical thickness which were proportional to the degree of cognitive impairment as measured by the MoCA score. Regarding CDP analysis, patients with SVCI revealed prolongation of cancelation time and spectral power for mid- and high frequencies in dynamic positions. In respect to gait analysis, there were significant decreases in mean stride length and mean cadence as well as increases in mean step width and left to right step length difference in the SVCI group compared to HCS while doing a single task. These variables get highly significant during the dual-task performance with a $p$ value < 0.001 for each one.

Conclusion: Patients with SVCI suffer from gait and balance impairments that are proportional to the severity of their cognitive decline and greatly impair their ADLs.

Keywords: Subcortical vascular cognitive impairment, Posturography, Gait analysis, Brain MRI volumetry

Introduction

Vascular cognitive impairment (VCI) is an umbrella term that describes a group of disorders in which the vascular component is either a cause or a contributor to cognitive decline. It is the second most common cause of dementia after Alzheimer’s disease with a prevalence of 1-4% in those > 65 years [1]. VCI may evolve rapidly after a clinically evident stroke (strategic single lacunar infarct or intracerebral hemorrhage) or progresses insidiously due to occult subclinical vascular brain injury [2]. The latter is termed subcortical vascular cognitive impairment (SVCI) or subcortical ischemic vascular dementia and is characterized by cognitive impairment associated with extensive cerebral small vessel disease (CSVD) markers, including lacunar brain infarcts (LBIs) as well as white matter hyperintensities (WMHs) [3]. Cognitive impairment due to SVCI is location dependent, but the most affected domains include complex attention and frontal executive functions with relative sparing of episodic memory and delayed recall [4].

Gait initiation starts in the frontal lobe while effortlessly integrated gait requires intact brain networks...
ensuring fast impulses transmission. The tinniest CSVDs lesions gradually disrupt brain circuits with consecutive reduction of their functional and effective connectivity progressing to disconnection syndrome [5]. Many studies were directed to the correlation between cognitive impairment and imaging changes in SVCI patients with little attention to gait and balance impairments [6]. However, computerized motion analysis of SCVI patients revealed many abnormalities during the precognitive as well as the early phases of the disease which differ from physiological aging. These gait biomechanical changes could be used as objective diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers of SVCI as well as better understanding the disrupted circuits involved in gait abnormalities [7].

**Aim of the work**

Aim of the work was to study the existence and patterns of gait and balance impairments in patients with newly diagnosed SVCI due to CSVDs.

**Methods**

This work was a randomized cross-sectional study conducted on 28 newly diagnosed SVCI patients recruited from the neurology outpatient clinic in the period from the 1st of December 2017 till the end of December 2019 diagnosed according to The Vascular Behavioral and Cognitive Disorders (VASCOG) criteria [8]. The initial studied sample was 64 SVCI patients while 36 patients were uninvolved due to either the presence of exclusion criteria or refusal of enrollment in the study. Twenty-two age- and sex-matched healthy control subjects (HCS) with normal cognitive performance were also included in the study.

The study protocol was approved by the Research Ethics Committee and Quality Assurance Unit (approval code: 31869/11/17), participation in the study was voluntary, and written informed consents were approved by all participants’ or their guardians for any possible risks before engagement in the study.

Studied subjects were submitted to the advanced activities of daily living scale (AADLs) [9], the Montreal Cognitive Assessment Scale (MoCA) Arabic Version [10] to estimate global cognitive functions.

Exclusion criteria encompassed patients with histories of clinically manifest strokes, definite lateralizing signs, severe cognitive impairment (MoCA < 18 points), manifest spinal or orthopedic problems, clinically evident peripheral neuropathy, preexisting chronic medical problems, MRI contraindications, and long-term use or abuse of medications affecting cognition and/or balance.

Regarding balance examination, the Berg Balance Test (BBT) [11] was used for its clinical evaluation while computerized dynamic posturography (CDP) was performed for digital balance assessment. A wavelet analysis was applied to study the frequency of the CoM displacements used the Balance Quest, Micromedical Technologies, Multitest Equilibre 6.1.37.0, provided by Framiral, Cannes, France with static/dynamic platform. Both cancelation time (CT) and spectral power (SP) were assessed for low, mid-, and high frequency in the 3 dynamic positions (D: eyes open sway-referenced platform, E: eyes closed, sway-referenced platform, F: eyes open sway referenced surround and platform) [12] (Additional file 1).

Gait analysis was performed using the vision-based three-dimensional skeletal data method [13]. The walking trajectory was 3-rounds walking with comfortable speed, each were 3 meters in length (the camera shooting range). The subject was asked to turn in the right direction between the 1st and 2nd trips and to the left side between the 2nd and 3rd ones. After a latent period of 10 min, the trial was repeated but at that time, the subject was asked to carry a tray with 2 glasses of water to examine dual-task performance. Walking was analyzed regarding the mean stride length, cadence (number of steps/min), mean step width, and mean right to left step length difference.

Brain MRI images were performed using 1.5–Tesla, General Electric Scanner with quadrature 8 channels head coil, GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA. White matter hyperintensities were graded regarding the visually graded age-related white matter changes (ARWMC) scale [14]. Studied subjects also underwent cortical reconstruction/automatic volumetric segmentation for estimation of total cerebral white matter volume (TCWMV), total cerebral cortical volume (TCCCV), and mean cortical thickness (MCT) with the free surfer image analysis suite, used both intensity and continuity information from the entire 3-dimensional MR volumes in segmentation and deformation procedures to produce representations of cortical thickness [15, 16].

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Prism, version 20, 2013 created by IBM, Illinois, Chicago, USA. P value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Categorical variable differences were analyzed using Chi-square while numerical ones were analyzed by Student t test. Pearson’s test was used for correlation analysis.

**Results**

The study included 28 SVCI patients aged 64.35±3.91, 15 (53.6%) were males and their MoCA score was 21.39±1.75. The results showed a significant increase in the incidence of hypertension in the SVCI group compared to HCS with a p value of 0.006. On the other hand, other studied vascular risks including diabetes, smoking, dyslipidemia, ischemic heart diseases, and obesity revealed a non-significant increase in their...
incidence in SVCI compared to HCS groups with $p$ value $>0.05$ (Table 1).

The main self-reported complaints and indications of brain MRI in the SVCI group were subjective cognitive decline, dizziness, tinnitus, decreased usual ADLs, slowed gait, repeated unintentional falls, fatigue, and depressive symptoms. In respect to MRI results of the SVCI patients’ group, the ARWMC score was $10.07\pm 3.46$ points and the mean number of occults brain lacunes was $1.07\pm 0.72$. In respect to ADLs, there was a significant reduction in AADLs in the SVCI group compared to HCS with a $p$ value of 0.025. Regarding the volumetric brain MRI studies, there were significant decreases in each of TCCV, TCWMV, and MCT in the SVCI group relative to the HCS ($p$ values $< 0.001$ for each) (Table 2, Fig. 1).

In respect to balance assessment, the study showed a non-significant difference between both studied groups regarding BBT. Regarding the static CDP, there were significant decreases of VIS and VEST ratios in the SVCI group compared to HCS with $p$ values $< 0.001$ while the SOM ratio showed a non-significant difference ($p$ value $= 0.105$). At the same time, CDP frequency (temporal) analysis identified a significant increase in each of CT and SP for mid- and high frequency in the 3-studied dynamic positions (D, E, and F) as well as mean step width in dual-task performance but a significant negative correlation with TCWMV and MCT in brain volumetric studies as well as the mean cadence in dual-task gait analysis. On the other hand, the MoCA scale showed negative correlations with CT and SP for mid- and high frequency in the 3-studied dynamic positions (D, E, and F) as well as mean step width in dual-task performance (Fig. 2). The MoCA scale also showed significant positive correlations with the TCCV, TCWMV, and MCT as well as the mean cadence in dual-task gait analysis (Table 5, Fig. 3).

### Discussion

Vascular cognitive impairment (VCI) is the second most common cause of acquired cognitive impairment, after Alzheimer’s disease [17]. This study revealed a significantly higher incidence of hypertension in SVCI while other studied vascular risk factors (diabetes, dyslipidemia, obesity, smoking, and ischemic heart diseases) showed a non-significant increase in their incidence in SV CI patients compared to age- and sex-matched HCS. These results are passing with that of Wardlaw and colleagues [18] as well as Croall and colleagues [19] who stated that hypertension is a major risk of CSVDs and their associated VCI. On the other hand, Yu and colleagues [20] as well as Walsh and colleagues [21] found significant associations between the existence of type 2 DM as well as obesity and SVCI possibly due to the inclusion of metabolic syndrome and post-stroke cognitive impairments patients who were beyond the scope of this study. Power and colleagues [22] found a significant correlation between smoking and WMHs and VCI progression but this discrepancies in results are possibly due to different studies designs as well as smoking habits, racial, and social discrepancies.

The results of this work identified a noticeable reduction of ADLs in SVCI subjects compared to age- and sex-matched healthy control. At the same time, the study showed that some patients did not seek medical advice until the existence of a profound cognitive impairment, manifest gait impairment, and repeated unintentional fall due to the slow evolution of these disturbances and their assumption that these manifestations were due to the inevitable effect of physiological aging. These results are in harmony with the works of Cornelis and colleagues [23] as well as Madden and colleagues [24] who stated that CSVDs are neither silent nor innocent, but they are associated with a profound

### Table 1 Demographic data and vascular risks among subcortical vascular cognitive impairment (group I) and healthy control subjects (group II)

| Variable          | Group I (n=28) | Group II (n=22) | $p$ value |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|
| Age (years)       | 64.35±3.91    | 61.80±6.61    | 0.096     |
| Male sex (%)      | 15 (53.6%)    | 13 (59.1%)    | 0.696     |
| BMI (kg/m²)       | 34.2 ± 5.6    | 32.9 ± 6.3    | 0.444     |
| Hypertension (%)  | 21 (75%)      | 8 (36.4%)     | 0.006*    |
| Diabetes (%)      | 14 (50%)      | 6 (27.3%)     | 0.103     |
| Smokers (%)       | 12 (42.9%)    | 5 (22.7%)     | 0.136     |
| IHD (%)           | 9 (32.1%)     | 5 (22.7%)     | 0.462     |

IHD: Ischemic heart diseases
*Significant
reduction in brain network strength ending in disconnection syndrome which will be complicated by cognitive impairment. They also stated that the decreased ADLs in patients with VCI are proportional to the degree of cognitive impairment especially executive dysfunctions. Moreover, they attributed the reduced insights of the patients concerning their gait and cognitive disturbances to their slow evolution as a result of brain resilience to network changes by undergoing several neuro-modulatory processes which reduce the costs of wiring including reorganization of the resting-state and default mode networks as well as paradoxical networks functional hyper-connectivity.

The present study identified a significant reduction in TCCV and TCWMV as well as MCT in SVCI patients which were proportional with the decline in their cognitive abilities as well as markers of CSVDs as measured by the ARWMC scale and occult LBIs number. These results are in accordance with the works of Frey and colleagues [25] as well as Loos and colleagues [26] who identified brain atrophic changes in patients with VCI due to CSVDs. They attributed these changes to grey and white matter volume loss due to white matter shrinkage by neuronal loss and gliosis as well as cortical thinning owing to disruption of axons traversing the WMHs lesions with resultant dieback of their neuronal cell bodies. They also found that the severities of white matter volumetric and cortical thickness reductions were proportional to the severity of CSVDs and WMHs lesions burden.

Regarding balance and equilibrium clinical assessment using the BBT, the study showed statistically non-significant differences between SVCI patients and HCS. This result points to the limitations of visual balance analysis in identification of subtle balance disturbances. These results are in harmony with the work of Shen and colleagues [27] who concluded that the visually rated clinical balance evaluation is an insensitive biomarker.

### Table 2
Comparison between subcortical vascular cognitive impairment (group I) and healthy control subjects (group II) regarding MoCA, AADLs, and brain MRI findings

|                     | Group-I (n: 28) | Group-II (n: 22) | t test | t value | p value |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|---------|---------|
| MoCA                | 21.39 ± 1.75   | 26.41 ± 0.73    | 12.582 | < 0.001*|
| AADLs               | 25.96 ± 4.25   | 28.50 ± 3.29    | 2.307  | 0.025*  |
| BBT                 | 45.11 ± 2.01   | 44.50 ± 2.06    | 1.049  | 0.300   |
| ARWMC               | 10.07 ± 3.46   | 2.82 ± 1.22     | 9.650  | <0.001*|
| Occult LBIs number  | 1.07 ± 0.72    | 0.45 ± 0.60     | 3.250  | 0.002*  |
| Total CCV (mm³)     | 531981.8 ± 10304.6 | 590647.7 ± 26713.4 | 10.677 | <0.001* |
| Total CWMV (mm³)    | 526508.7 ± 12502.8 | 587565.1 ± 10051.4 | 18.644 | <0.001* |
| Mean cortical thickness | 2.32 ± 0.39  | 2.80 ± 0.25     | 5.067  | <0.001* |

*Significant

**Fig. 1** Brain volumetric MRI study in a subcortical vascular cognitive impairment subject with diminished total cerebral cortical and white matter volumes as well as mean cortical thickness.
for increased fall risks due to subclinical balance impairments. They explained this result by the inability of observer’s eyes to identify high speed events, failure to observe the force of foot pressure and its dependence on the skills of the operator with frequent inter-rater variabilities.

Regarding digital balance assessment, the results showed impaired static balance control in SVCI patients due to impaired use of their sensory input. The main brunt was in the visual and vestibular inputs while somatosensory one was little affected. At the same time, CDP temporal analysis identified impaired dynamic balance in SVCI as evidenced by prolongation of the CT and SP for mid- and high frequencies in dynamic positions. At the same time, these dynamic balance impairments were proportional to the degree of cognitive impairment as well as the severity of CSVDs. These results are in harmony with that of Delmas and colleagues [28] as well as Tag Eldin and colleagues [29] who concluded that patients with SVCI suffer from impaired

Table 3 Comparison between subcortical vascular cognitive impairment (group I) and healthy control subjects (group II) regarding computerized dynamic posturography findings

|                          | Group-I (n: 28) | Group-II (n: 22) | t test | t value | p value |
|--------------------------|----------------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|
| CDP—SOM ratio            | 92.30 ± 11.34  | 96.91 ± 2.30     | 1.623  | 0.105   |         |
| CDP—VIS ratio            | 76.27 ± 2.89   | 90.17 ± 2.06     | 13.532 | <0.001* |         |
| CDP—VEST ratio           | 51.65 ± 4.18   | 68.03 ± 2.98     | 20.671 | <0.001* |         |
| CT/D, midfrequency       | 1.42 ± 0.59    | 0.90 ± 0.36      | 3.657  | <0.001* |         |
| CT/D, high frequency     | 0.43 ± 0.16    | 0.26 ± 0.15      | 3.885  | <0.001* |         |
| CT/E, midfrequency       | 1.51 ± 0.56    | 0.53 ± 0.35      | 7.148  | <0.001* |         |
| CT/E, high frequency     | 0.43 ± 0.23    | 0.12 ± 0.07      | 5.910  | <0.001* |         |
| CT/F, midfrequency       | 1.15 ± 0.66    | 0.65 ± 0.27      | 3.314  | 0.002*  |         |
| CT/F, high frequency     | 0.45 ± 0.27    | 0.12 ± 0.09      | 5.357  | <0.001* |         |
| SP/D, midfrequency       | 84.79 ± 5.10   | 65.59 ± 5.80     | 12.440 | <0.001* |         |
| SP/D, high frequency     | 67.41 ± 3.25   | 47.12 ± 5.11     | 17.096 | <0.001* |         |
| SP/E, midfrequency       | 85.84 ± 5.56   | 62.94 ± 3.23     | 17.152 | <0.001* |         |
| SP/E, high frequency     | 74.70 ± 7.54   | 55.61 ± 5.41     | 10.009 | <0.001* |         |
| SP/F, midfrequency       | 84.76 ± 4.97   | 59.61 ± 6.66     | 15.299 | <0.001* |         |
| SP/F, high frequency     | 70.86 ± 6.73   | 56.58 ± 7.39     | 7.135  | <0.001* |         |

CT cancelation time, CDP-SOM, VIS, VEST computerized dynamic posturography somatosensory, D eyes open sway-referenced platform, E eyes closed, sway-referenced platform, F eyes open sway referenced surround and platform, SP spectral power
*Significant

Table 4 Comparison between subcortical vascular cognitive impairment (group I) and healthy control subjects (group II) regarding gait analysis variables in single and dual-task performance

|                          | Group-I (n: 28) | Group-II (n: 22) | t test | t value | p value |
|--------------------------|----------------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|
| Single task              |                |                  |        |         |         |
| Mean stride length (cm)  | 59.51 ± 7.87   | 64.33 ± 6.31     | 2.340  | 0.023*  |         |
| Mean cadence (no. of steps/min) | 51.60 ± 5.07   | 54.40 ± 3.53     | 2.205  | 0.032*  |         |
| Mean step width (cm)     | 17.71±2.72     | 15.95±1.86       | 2.592  | 0.013*  |         |
| Lt to Rt step length difference (%) | 7.27 ± 3.57   | 4.67 ± 1.19      | 3.277  | 0.002*  |         |
| Dual task                |                |                  |        |         |         |
| Mean stride length (cm)  | 50.04 ± 6.73   | 61.19 ± 6.19     | 6.016  | <0.001* |         |
| Mean cadence (no. of steps/min) | 46.93 ± 4.18   | 52.60 ± 3.39     | 5.152  | <0.001* |         |
| Mean step width (cm)     | 20.25±2.80     | 16.55±1.77       | 5.416  | <0.001* |         |
| Lt to Rt step length difference (%) | 7.36 ± 3.36   | 4.87 ± 1.14      | 3.324  | <0.001* |         |

CT cancelation time, D eyes open sway-referenced platform, E eyes closed, sway-referenced platform, F eyes open sway referenced surround and platform, SP spectral power
*Significant
postural control evidenced by decreased visual and vestibular CDP ratios as well as greater variability of center of pressure oscillation during walking. This increased fall risk is proportional to cognitive decline secondary to white matter microstructural abnormalities and reduced brain network strength.

In respect to the 3-D vision-based gait analysis, the results showed mild impairment of the assessed gait variables (mean stride length, cadence, mean step width, and mean right to left step length difference) in SVCI patients and these disturbances markedly increased while doing dual tasks. At the same time, the degree of gait disturbances especially in dual tasks was proportional with the severity of WMHs in MRI brain as well as with the severity of cognitive impairment as measured by the MoCA scale. These results are in accordance with the studies of Rosario and colleagues [30] as well as Verghese and colleagues [31] who identified the poor performance of gait parameters in patients with VCI during the early stages of the disease. They also got to as far as the introduction of the terminology motoric cognitive risk syndrome which describes gait abnormalities preceding the cognitive impairment. At the same time, Montero-Odasso and colleagues [32] studied the relation of dual-task gait and cognitive impairment which involves the performance of two concomitant tasks to assess the interference of one task on the other which may elaborate subtle abnormalities passed undetectable while performing a single task. They concluded that assessment of dual-task gait is an early biomarker for cognitive decline progression and future fall risk in patients with VCI due to CSVDs due to reduction in the attentional resources and brain network strength among such individuals.

Conclusion

Newly diagnosed SVCI patients suffer from gait and balance impairments proportional to the severity of their cognitive impairment, WMHs severities, and brain volume loss in MRI volumetric analysis. These gait and balance disturbances are associated with profound reduction ADLs as well as increased risk of unintentional falls.

Recommendations

Further studies are needed to identify the value of digital gait and balance analysis as biomarkers for cognitive impairment in patients with SVCI and CSVDs. At the same time, management trials including gait and balance rehabilitations are needed to improve these biomechanical changes and reduce risks of unintentional falls in such sector of patients.

Strength

The strength of this work is studying gait and balance performance in SVCI patients which is often under-researched and most attention is paid toward cognitive
impairments peculiarities and progression rather than physical disabilities which may be a major cause of restricted ADLs, reduced QoLs, and unintentional falls with subsequent increased morbidity and mortality.

**Limitations**

Video analysis of turns regarding speed, synchrony, and the number of steps needed for a complete turn was not assessed due to the need for additional cameras and software upgrading. At the same time, the

---

**Table 5** Correlation analysis between each of Montreal cognitive assessment and age-related white matter changes scales with each of balance and gait variables as well as brain MRI volumetry in subcortical vascular cognitive impairment patients

|                     | MoCA r  | MoCA p value | ARWMC r   | ARWMC p value |
|---------------------|---------|--------------|-----------|---------------|
| ARWMC               | −0.659  | 0.001*       | −0.735    | 0.001*        |
| Advanced activities of daily living scale | 0.640   | 0.001*       | −0.735    | 0.001*        |
| Total cortical volume | 0.460   | 0.014*       | −0.296    | 0.126         |
| Total white matter volume | 0.613   | 0.001*       | −0.703    | 0.001*        |
| mean cortical thickness | 0.789   | 0.001*       | −0.742    | 0.001*        |
| CT, D, midfrequency | −0.578  | 0.001*       | 0.769     | 0.001*        |
| CT, D, high frequency | −0.581  | 0.001*       | 0.604     | 0.001*        |
| CT, E, midfrequency | −0.637  | 0.001*       | 0.566     | 0.002*        |
| CT, E, high frequency | −0.658  | 0.001*       | 0.769     | 0.001*        |
| CT, F, midfrequency | −0.681  | 0.001*       | 0.792     | 0.001*        |
| CT, F, high frequency | −0.769  | 0.001*       | 0.853     | 0.001*        |
| SP, D, midfrequency | −0.639  | 0.001*       | 0.691     | 0.001*        |
| SP, D, high frequency | −0.680  | 0.001*       | 0.624     | 0.001*        |
| SP, E, midfrequency | −0.797  | 0.001*       | 0.767     | 0.001*        |
| SP, E, high frequency | −0.826  | 0.001*       | 0.811     | 0.001*        |
| SP, F, midfrequency | −0.786  | 0.001*       | 0.651     | 0.001*        |
| SP, F, high frequency | −0.791  | 0.001*       | 0.753     | 0.001*        |
| Mean stride length (dual task) | −0.219  | 0.086        | 0.201     | 0.304         |
| Mean cadence (dual task) | 0.663   | 0.001*       | −0.588    | 0.001*        |
| Mean step width (dual task) | −0.702  | 0.001*       | 0.614     | 0.001*        |

*Significant

ARWMC age-related white matter changes, CT cancelation time, D eyes open sway-referenced platform, E eyes closed, sway-referenced platform, F eyes open sway-referenced surround and platform, MoCA Montreal Cognitive Assessment scale, SP spectral power

Fig. 3 A negative correlation between the ARWMC scale and each of (a) MoCA scale and (b) mean cadence in dual-task gait analysis
small sample size for both patients and control is another item should be added to the limitations of this study.
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