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Engineering of Human Corneal Endothelial Cells In Vitro
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Abstract

Human corneal endothelial cells are responsible for controlling corneal transparency, however they are notorious for their limited proliferative capability. Thus, damage to these cells may cause irreversible blindness. Currently, the only way to cure blindness caused by corneal endothelial dysfunction is via corneal transplantation of a cadaver donor cornea with healthy corneal endothelium. Due to severe shortage of donor corneas worldwide, it has become paramount to develop human corneal endothelial grafts in vitro that can subsequently be transplanted in humans. Recently, we have reported effective expansion of human corneal endothelial cells by reprogramming the cells into progenitor status through use of p120-Kaiso siRNA knockdown. This new reprogramming approach circumvents the need of using induced pluripotent stem cells or embryonic stem cells. Successful promotion of this technology will encourage scientists to re-think how “contact inhibition” can safely be perturbed to our benefit, i.e., effective engineering of an in vivo-like tissue while successful maintaining the normal phenotype. In this review, we present current advances in reprogramming corneal endothelial cells in vitro, detail the methods to successful engineer human corneal endothelial grafts, and discuss their future clinical applications to cure corneal blindness.
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Introduction

Human corneal endothelial cells (HCECs) are embryologically derived from cranial neural crest progenitor cells (reviewed in [1]) and form a single monolayer of hexagonal cells lining the posterior cornea [2]. Since the cornea is avascular, nutrition must be obtained from the aqueous humor through the endothelium. That is, HCECs allow fluid leak with solutes and nutrients into the corneal stroma and then transport water from the stroma to the aqueous portion [3]. Through this dual function, HCECs play an important role in controlling corneal transparency by exerting pump function mediated via Na-K-ATPase [4-6], and barrier function facilitated through peri-junctional actomyosin ring (PAMR) and ZO-1 [3, 7-9].

Unlike other species such as bovine and rabbit, HCECs are notorious for their limited proliferative capacity in vivo [10] due to “contact-inhibition” at the G1 phase in the cell cycle [11]. This explains why HCECs fail to regenerate after diseases, injury, aging and surgery. As a result, bullous keratopathy due to either an insufficient cell density caused by HCEC dysfunction or a retro-corneal membrane elicited by endothelial mesenchymal transition (EMT) may occur resulting in a cloudy cornea and potential corneal blindness [12, 13]. The World Health Organization (WHO) reported the worldwide blind population was 39 million in 2012 [14], and this problem is getting worse due to aging population and donor cornea shortage. Because corneal transplantation is the only...
effective treatment to cure this kind of disease (reviewed in [15]), it is therefore paramount to develop HCEC grafts in vitro which may be used to transplant into people suffering from corneal blindness caused by corneal endothelial dysfunction (reviewed in [16, 17]).

Human Corneal Endothelium

The human corneal endothelium is formed by a single monolayer of hexagonal cells lining the posterior cornea [2]. HCECs play an important role in mediating vision function by maintaining corneal transparency and mediating hydration and permeability of important materials passing through the aqueous humor (reviewed in [4, 7]). This “pump” and “barrier” function are regulated through the HCEC expression of Na-K-ATPase and tight junction component ZO-1 [4, 7]. Unlike other species, such as bovine and rabbit, HCECs are notorious for their limited proliferative capacity in vivo [10] due to “contact-inhibition” at the G1 phase in the cell cycle [11]. Hence, these cells are not able to replicate after injury or disease resulting in a low density of cells and loss of pump and barrier function. (reviewed in [12]) Alternatively various pathological causes of HCECs may induce a change in cell phenotype termed fibroblast metaplasia caused by EMT which also results in loss of pump and barrier function [18]. Experiments have shown this EMT is caused by disruption of the cell-cell junction when cultured in vitro. Recently, we have been able to proliferate HCECs without EMT and disruption of cell-cell junctions through use of p120 and Kaiso siRNAs [19] which leads to activation of RhoA-ROCK-canonical BMP signaling [20]. More recently, additional details of effective culture and expansion of corneal endothelial cells in vitro have been summarized (reviewed in [21]).

Because HCECs do not proliferate in vivo [7, 10], the loss of HCECs caused by surgery, disease, or aging needs to be replenished by cell migration or enlargement of nearby cells from the surrounding intact area [22]. Persistent corneal endothelial dysfunction leads to sight-threatening bullous keratopathy (reviewed in [12], for details of corneal endothelial dysfunction, see [23]). At the present time, no medical treatment is available to stimulate HCEC proliferation in vivo. The only way to restore vision in eyes inflicted with bullous keratopathy relies upon the transplantation of a cadaver donor cornea containing healthy corneal endothelia. In fact, more than 30% of all corneal transplantsations are specifically performed for bullous keratopathy. Recently, corneal transplantation for treating bullous keratopathy has rapidly evolved into several new surgical procedures [e.g. DLEK, DSEK, DASEK, DMEK, termed “Endothelial Keratoplasty (EK)” where the patient’s Descemet membrane is substituted with a donor corneal lamellar graft and the Descemet membrane of the posterior corneal stroma depending on the surgical technique (reviewed in [24, 25]). Thus, engineering HCECs in vitro, if successful, may alleviate the severe global shortage of human donor corneas and meet the ever-growing demand of EKs.

Expansion of HCECs with EMT

Although a number of methods have been attempted to expand HCECs in culture [26-31], none of them have consistently produced functional HCEC monolayers suitable for transplantation using a donor source that is practical and available. These methods often use EDTA with or without trypsin to obtain single cells and bFGF-containing media. Unfortunately, addition of bFGF can potentially cause retro-corneal membrane formation (fibrous metaplasia) due to EMT (reviewed in [13]). In addition, use of EDTA-bFGF on contact-inhibited HCEC monolayers also triggers EMT with the loss of normal HCEC phenotype. [32] In contrast, our new engineering method not only eliminates the extra step of rendering HCECs into single cells, but will also maintains the normal HCEC phenotype during effective expansion. We envision that such a novel strategy can also be deployed to engineer other similar tissue. For example, we have gathered similar results showing post-confluent contact-inhibited retinal epithelial ARPE-19 cells underwent EMT by EGTA-EGF-bFGF, yet not with our method [33].

Our Approach for Engineering HCECs without EMT

To avoid EMT, we have adopted a unique engineering method in which we have preserved cell-cell junctions and cell-matrix interaction during isolation and subsequent expansion to establish an in vitro model system of HCEC monolayers that exhibit mitotic block mediated by contact inhibition [30, 31]. Using this model system, we have discovered that contact inhibition of HCEC monolayers can be safely perturbed by transient knockdown with p120 catenin (hereafter p120) ± Kaiso siRNAs to activate p120-Kaiso signaling, i.e., eliciting nuclear translocation of membranous p120 and nuclear release of the transcription repressor Kaiso. This then leads to activation of p120-Kaiso-RhoA-ROCK-canonical BMP signaling that links to the activation of the mir302b-Oct4-Sox2-Nanog network [20] when cultured in MESCM but non-canonical BMP-NFkB signaling when cultured in SHEM [34]. The former but not the
latter also results in significant expansion of HCEC monolayers due to reprogramming into neural crest (NC) progenitors. Using this optimized knockdown with p120-Kaiso siRNAs, we have achieved such a success that HCEC monolayers can be expanded in MESCM on plastic to a transplantable size of 11.0 ± 0.6 mm from Descemet’s membrane stripped from one eighth of the corneoscleral rim without change of cell phenotype [20]. If we can use this technology to produce functional HCEC grafts, it might be used to generate other similar functional tissues to treat diseases such as “dry type” age-related macular degeneration that inflicts approximately 27 million people worldwide [35].

The effective expansion of HCECs in SHEM is closely associated with RhoA signaling to stimulate BrdU labeling, which requires activation of pNFκB signaling (p65, S276) [34]. Inhibition of RhoA through CT-04, ROCK through Y27632, BMP through Noggin, TAK1 through 5Z-oxozeaenol, or Nfkb through CAY10512 nullifies nuclear translocation of pNFkB required for activation of p120 signaling and BrdU labeling [34]. pNFkB signaling is regulated through BMPRI-TAK1-XIAP complex, which is medicated through non-canonical BMP signaling [36, 37], evidently by transcript upregulation of BMP2, BMP4, BMPRIA, and BMPRIB, cytoplasmic pSmad1/5/8, and no activation of ID1-4, which are the targets of nuclear Smads induced through canonical BMP signaling [20]. In contrast, canonical BMP signaling activated by p120-Kaiso siRNAs requires switch of the culture medium from serum-containing SHEM with EGF to serum-free MESCM with LIF [20]. Using p120-Kaiso knockdown in MESCM, we have successfully expanded HCEC monolayers using peripheral but not central corneas, suggesting that the peripheral cornea harbors NC progenitor cells [38]. p120 acts, in part, through mediation of Rho GTPases and their downstream ROCK1/2 [39]. Our results also show that RhoA-GTP is activated by p120 siRNA and further by p120-Kaiso siRNAs [20]. In addition, Rho inhibitor CT-04 and ROCKI/2 siRNAs attenuate p120 nuclear translocation and BrdU labeling [20]. Collectively, our data indicate the effective expansion of HCECs is regulated by p120-Kaiso-RhoA-ROCK signaling following knockdown of p120-Kaiso.

Reprogramming HCEC by p120-Kaiso-RhoA-ROCK Signaling

The p120/Kaiso-RhoA-ROCK pathway, in which nuclear translocated p120 relieves the repressor activity of Kaiso, a member of BTB/POZ-ZF transcription factor family, without activation of canonical Wnt signaling, disruption of cell-cell junctions, and thus without EMT [19, 40-44] (also reviewed in [1, 16, 20, 34, 45]). This effective expansion of HCEC monolayers with normal cell phenotype utilizes collagenase digestion (without interruption of cell-cell junctions) and p120-Kiaso knockdown (effective expansion). However, it is unclear how the canonical Wnt signaling is inhibited and whether such an inhibition is controlled by Rho GTPases, such as RhoA and Rac1. Interestingly, Rac1 is a regulator of the Wnt/Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) pathway [46, 47] and other pathways including mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) [48], phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K), and nuclear factor KB (NF-κB) [49, 50]. Through the activation of signaling cascades and actin cytoskeleton, Rac1 regulates intracellular adhesion, membrane ruffling, cell migration, and proliferation [51]. Rac1 also modulates the Wnt/β-catenin pathway by increasing the nuclear translocation of β-catenin [52, 53]. In mouse models, genetic deletion of Rac1 decreased hyperproliferation and suppressed the expansion of intestinal stem cells in APC-null crypts [54], implying that Rac1 signaling mediates canonical Wnt signaling. In fact, Wnt ligands have been implicated in the activation of Rac1 [46, 55], and Rac1 was reported to be responsive to Wnt3a and canonical Wnt signaling [52, 56, 57]. The activation of Rac1 induces phosphorylation of β-catenin at serines 191 (S191) and 605 (S605) via the action of JNK2 kinase [52]. Given our long-standing interest and contributions to the discovery of β-catenin nuclear transport pathways [19, 20, 33, 58], these reports prompted us to address the mechanism by which Rac1 influences nuclear activity of β-catenin and consequently Wnt/β-catenin signaling. Because activation of RhoA-ROCK signaling is clearly linked to inhibition of canonical Wnt signaling, we deduce that inhibition of RhoA-ROCK signaling may relieve inhibition of canonical Wnt signaling and as a result cause EMT.

Possible Reprogramming of HCECs by Twist-RhoGef2-Rho-GAP Signaling

A key pathway can be initiated by activation of Twist signaling to ultimately activate the RhoA GTPase. Previously, we have reported that the RhoA GTPase is activated by knockdown of p120 or p120-Kaiso to reprogram HCECs into their progenitor status [20]. Both Twist 1 and Twist 2 signalings can be transcriptionally activated by p120 knockdown, indicating that Twist signaling is indeed activated during activation of RhoA-ROCK-canonical BMP signaling, suggesting that Twist-RhoGef2-Rho-GAP signaling may be indeed activated.

Recent evidence has suggested that similar to many other members of the Ras superfamily, RhoA can cycle between an active, GTP-bound state and an
inactive, GDP-bound state [59]. Activation of RhoA signaling is mediated through guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs). Accordingly, recent research effort has been devoted to identifying the GEFs that activate RhoA in specific signaling pathways. For the Twist pathway one such GEF is RhoGEF2 [60], which localizes at the medioapical cortex and is necessary for myosin recruitment and apical constriction [61]. Furthermore, Mason et al. (2016) found that RhoGEF2 itself undergoes pulsatile condensations in the medioapical cortex that precede contraction of the actomyosin networks, consistent with its role in activating RhoA and myosin [61]. To further investigate influences of RhoA apical constriction, the authors sought to overdrive the system by expressing a constitutively active form of RhoA (CA-RhoA) that is locked in its GTP-loaded state and therefore unable to cycle. Strikingly, despite this increased myosin, cells expressing CARhoA failed to undergo apical constriction. These findings suggest that myosin pulsation, mediated by cycling of RhoA in active and inactive states, is required for apical constriction. Interestingly, the inactivation of RhoA is as important for constriction as the activation. RhoA has an intrinsic GTPase activity, which may convert GTP-state to GDP-state. RhoA inactivation can be potentiated through GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs). This suggests that a GAP may play an important role in apical constriction. In our research on human corneal endothelium, RhoA is activated by p120 or p120-Kaiso knockdown [19, 20]. However, we do not know whether the switch for Rho GTPases is turned on by p120-Kiaso knockdown. In addition, it is unclear whether other members of Rho family such as cdc42 and Rac1 participate in mediating reprogramming of HCECs into their progenitor status by p120-Kiaso knockdown.

To Develop New Therapeutics by Exploring the Mechanism of Contact Inhibition

Because our technology can be applied to post-confluent contact-inhibited HCEC monolayers, it is plausible that p120 siRNA, may also be used as a small molecule to treat HCEC dysfunction in vivo if effectively delivered to the anterior chamber. Furthermore, our preliminary data have also laid down the ground work for advancing our understanding of the mechanism governing contact inhibition. Contact inhibition is indeed a critical phenomenon in which cell proliferation stops when the cells are in contact with their neighboring cells. Although largely elusive, the mechanism controlling nuclear mitosis should arise from molecules participating in the formation of intercellular junctions. In the case of adherent junction, at least two signaling pathways might be involved in transmitting information to the nucleus upon perturbation of homotypic binding of adherins between neighboring cells. Disruption of adherins (e.g., N-cadherin in the case of HCEC) potentially triggers β-catenin/Wnt signaling, in which liberated β-catenin is further stabilized and translocated into the nucleus where it binds with TCF/LEF1, a transcriptional coactivator, to regulate other genes. Theoretically, perturbation of adherent junction can also liberate p120 catenin, which can release the repressor activity of Kaiso once translocated into the nucleus [20]. Our data showed that EDTA-bFGF selectively activates β-catenin/Wnt signaling to trigger EMT, shedding light on the pathogenesis of retrocorneal membrane. Further exploration of this signaling might unravel new therapies to correct fibrous metaplasia of HCECs. The discovery of p120/Kaiso signaling in mediating contact inhibition of post-confluent HCECs without disrupting cell junction is physiologically relevant and applicable to in vivo homeostasis. More work is needed for further exploration of this strategy to engineer functional HEC grafts and other similar cells by activation of p120-Kaiso-RhoA-ROCK signaling without disruption of cell-cell junctions.

Conclusion

Previously, we have reported that effective expansion of HCECs by reprogramming the cells into neuron crest progenitors. Accordingly, we expect this new tissue engineering technology can be deployed to engineer HCEC grafts to treat human blindness due to failure of HCECs because this new regenerative approach can circumvent the need to reprogramming directly from embryonic stem cells or induced pluripotent stem cells. Successful commercialization of this technology will stimulate the scientific community to re-think how "contact inhibition" can safely be perturbed to our benefit, i.e., effective engineering of an in vivo-like tissue while successful maintaining the normal phenotype.
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