Annular intubation with pigtail probe for canalicular lacerations
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Purpose: Pigtail probe as a procedure of choice for canalicular laceration. Methods: Retrospective, consecutive interventional case series of patients with eyelid laceration involving the canalculus undergoing repair. All patients were subjected to repair with pigtail probe as first choice and only if this was not possible, they were repaired by other method. Outcome was analyzed in terms of cosmetic, functional, and anatomic success. Results: A total of 35 patients (mean age, 10.88 years) underwent eyelid and canalculus repair by a single surgeon (RM). In all, 32 (91.42%) canalicular lacerations were repaired by annular intubation using a pigtail probe, while the remaining 3 (8.58%) lacerations in which pigtail probe intubation was not possible, were repaired by an alternative method. Upper canalculus was involved in 6 (17.14%) and lower canalculus in 29 (82.86%) eyes. Mean follow-up was 8.2 months (range 3–13 months). Intubation tubes were removed after at least 3 months (range 12–20 weeks). None of the patients had complaints of epiphora. All the patients had good cosmesis, anatomic alignment and functional success as assessed by dye disappearance test in younger children and lacrimal irrigation in older children and adults. Conclusion: Bicanalicular annular repair with pigtail probe achieved excellent functional and cosmetic results. The loop minimizes the chances of extrusion of the tube, maintains natural anatomic alignment of the cut ends of the canalculus and thus retains the integrity of the delicate canalicular system. Pigtail probe intubation can be considered as the first choice in canalicular lacerations.

Key words: Canalculus, laceration, pigtail probe

Canalicular laceration are a common finding in injuries involving the lids and especially so where it is close to the medial canthus and has been reported in all age groups. Repair of eyelid lacerations without attention to the canalculus will invariably always result in occlusion of the canalculus and thus mandates a primary repair.[1,2] One utmost important step in the management of a torn canalculus is placement of a stent to prevent stricture of the canalicular lumen during the healing process.[11,12] Second major success parameter is proper alignment of the torn canalculus such that integrity of the canalicular system is maintained. Management of canalicular laceration varies widely and different surgical techniques have been described by various authors over the years. The use of pigtail probe has not been a very popular method because of poor surgical results, risk of trauma to the uninvolved canalculus, and the technique being more demanding.[2‑5] Silicone has been a preferred material for stenting and good success rate using Crawford intubation system has been reported by earlier authors.[2‑5,10‑12] In this study the author reports success with annular intubation with pigtail probe for consecutive cases of canalicular lacerations performed as procedure of choice.

Methods

This is a retrospective review of consecutive cases of canalicular lacerations repaired by single lacrimal surgeon from September 2015 to September 2019. In total 35 patients underwent canalicular repair of which annular intubation using round tip eyed pigtail probe [Fig. 1] was successful in 32 eyes. In 3 eyes annular intubation was not possible and was repaired by other method. The age ranged from 11 months to 46 years (mean 10.88 years). Bi-canalicular annular intubation was done with a 20G silicone tube threaded by 5–0 prolene suture [Fig. 2]. The annular intubation tubes were removed minimum 3 months after surgery. Anatomical success was defined by irrigation in older children and adults, functional success was determined by dye disappearance test in small children.

Surgical technique

After appropriate anesthesia and localization of the canalicular laceration a round tip, eyed pigtail probe was passed through the normal punctum and rotated to emerge from the medial cut end of the torn canalculus [Fig. 3a]. Eye of the pigtail probe is threaded by 5–0 prolene suture which is passed through 20G silicone tube. Pigtail probe is then rotated back to emerge from the normal punctum [Fig. 3b]. Next the prolene...
Suture is guided through the distal cut end of the canaliculus to emerge from the lacerated punctum [Fig. 3c]. The silicone tube thus forms a loop passing through the entire length of the canalicular system [Fig. 3d]. The eyelid wound is now repaired and peri-canalicular suturing done to ensure approximation of the cut canalicular mucosal tissue with 7-0 Vicryl suture. The 5-0 prolene suture is tied such that the two ends of the silicone tube get approximated, the silicone tube is then rotated to move the cut ends of the tube in the canaliculus.

**Results**

Total 35 consecutive canalicular lacerations were repaired over 4 years duration. Silicone tube intubation with pigtail probe was possible successfully in 32 eyes. In 3 eyes (9.37%) the procedure had to be abandoned as there was resistance to passage of pigtail probe. The lower canaliculus was involved in 29 (82.86%) patients and upper canaliculus in 6 (17.14%) patients. Common etiologies were injury by blouse hook and trauma by sharp object (metal hook). In all patients surgery was performed within 48 h. Surgery was performed under general anaesthesia in 28 patients and local anaesthesia in 7 patients. The silicone tubes were left in place for an average 5.2 months postsurgery. Follow-up ranged from 12 to 21 weeks after tube removal (mean 4.6 months). None of the patients had premature extrusion of the intubation tube. Saline irrigation of the lacrimal passage could be performed in 7 patients and showed patent nasolacrimal passage. Irrigation could not be performed in rest all pediatric patients in whom success was assessed by dye disappearance test. There were no complications and all patients had good functional and cosmetic outcome [Figs. 4-6a and b].

**Discussion**

Laceration of the canaliculus is commonly an associated finding in injuries involving the eyelids. Any injury close to the lid margin and medial to the punctum, a canalicular injury should be suspected. Canalicular lacerations usually result either from blunt indirect trauma or by avulsing or shearing forces.[2,12,13] Repair of the torn canaliculus is aimed at restoring the patency of the system such that it retains its functional property. This requires placement of a stent to prevent stricture of the cut ends of the canaliculus.

Methods available include monocanalicular stenting[1,2,14-18], bi-canalicular stenting with nasal fixation[1,2,19,20], and bi-canalicular annular stenting using a pigtail probe.[1-3,8,9,16]

The author reports repair by bi-canalicular annular intubation by pigtail probe as the procedure of choice for all cases.
lacerations and only when this was not possible were repaired by other technique. The main advantage of annular intubation is it keeps the cut ends of the canalculus approximated in its normal anatomical alignment because both the upper and lower canalculus are held in a continuous loop and also it minimizes the chances of extrusion. As an early example of bicanalicular-annular stent, Stallard cited Greaves technique of bicanalicular annular stent placement with nylon suture. Bicanalicular stent placement using a pigtail probe was described by Worst in 1962. The Worst pigtail probe had a sharp crochet hook at the tip and was not popular because of the risk of damaging the canalicular system and creating a false passage. An important modification to the Worst probe later was replacement of the sharp barbed tip with round eyed probe through which a stent or suture guide could be threaded.

The pigtail probe however, has not been very popular with lacrimal surgeons over the years because the passage of probe through the canalicular system is technically difficult and requires expertise, also there is a potential risk of damaging the common canalculus or the normal canalculus. One genuine limitation of the technique is the canalicular system where there is no common canalculus, reported in 10% of canalculus.

In a series of 222 canalicular laceration repairs by Kennedy et al., a higher incidence of epiphora was noted in cases where pigtail probes was used. Saunders et al. also reported a 10% incidence of trauma to the normal canalculus using pigtail probe repair. None report specifically as to the Worst probe (which has a sharp tip) or the round tip probe was used. More recent studies where round tip pigtail probe were used have reported good success rate with bicanalicular annular intubation. Jordan et al. described the use of a more atraumatic round-tipped, eyed pigtail probe in 33 of their patients with a 97% success rate. McLeish et al. used the round tipped pigtail probe and they suggested further reducing the risk of damage with simultaneous use of the Crawford intubation stent as a guide to direct the passage of the probe through the normal canalculus. Forbes et al. described a hybrid technique using a eyed round tipped pigtail probe and monocanalicular stent and reported an excellent success rate.

It is advised to maneuver the pigtail probe very gently without any force to prevent any false passage. One should be aware that 10% of the canalicular systems do not have a common canalculus, hence should not forcibly overcome an obstruction, rather abandon the procedure in favor of alternative method of repair.

Various different materials have been historically used as canalicular stents. Silicone has gained popularity as the ideal stent as it is inert, soft and pliable, thus avoiding trauma to the healing tissues also its stability and inert nature allows it to remain in situ for a longer period. Crawford or Ritleng stents have been commonly used for canalicular intubation. Both these stents are expensive and availability a major issue in developing nations. Jordan, Nerad and Tse have described use of silicone stent passed over suture for bicanalicular annular intubation with good success rate. We used the same technique described by Jordan et al. for bi-canalicular annular intubation. The main advantage with our technique is an indigenously prepared intubation system which is cost effective and can be readily prepared from locally available lacrimal intubation tube. This is especially useful in large part of the developing world where standard healthcare is not available to large segment of the population because of economic constraints. The main limitation of this technique as discussed above is in cases where common canalculus is absent and as such the maneuvering of the probe should be very gentle and should one encounter resistance this should be abandoned in favor of some alternative technique.

The timing of stent removal has been a matter of personal choice and varied in different earlier studies from 3 to 12 months. Conlon et al. studied the histology of canalicular lacerations involving intubation on animal model and showed that the optimum time for removal of tube was 12 weeks in an animal model. Kersten and Kulvin left silicone stents in place for three months in their patients with 100% patency. Jordan et al. left the silicone stent in place for 12 months with good results. There is evidence to support that stent should be left in place for at least 3 months for mucosal anastomosis to take place thus minimizing the risk of canalculus stricture. Naik et al. have emphasized the importance of stent retention by stating that failure to achieve canalicular patency correlated with premature stent loss. In our study annular intubation tube were removed a minimum after 3 months. There were no cases of tube extrusion. There were no complications, none of the patient complained of epiphora and all had good cosmetic and functional outcome.

**Conclusion**

To summarize, canalicular laceration should be suspected in all cases involving injury to the eyelids. Bicanalicular annular repair with round tipped, eyed pigtail gives excellent success rate and can be the first choice for canalicular repair. Another advantage of the annular intubation as described earlier is maintaining the canalculus in its normal anatomical alignment.
because of the continuous silicone loop and has good stent retention which is an important criteria for anatomical and functional success of the procedure. As the length of the silicone tube is kept in compliance with the canalicular anatomy it does not cause any injury to the ocular tissues other than the added advantage of not being inadvertently pre-removed by patients accidentally or otherwise.
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