Grafted Pullulan Derivatives for Reducing the Content of Some Pesticides from Simulated Wastewater

Luminita Ghimici *, Marieta Constantin and Maria-Magdalena Nafureanu

“Petru Poni” Institute of Macromolecular Chemistry, Alea Grigore Ghica Voda 41A, 700487 Iasi, Romania; marieta@icmpp.ro (M.C.); nafureanu.magda@icmpp.ro (M.-M.N.)
* Correspondence: lghimici@icmpp.ro; Tel.: +40-332-880256; Fax: +40-232-211299

Abstract: The goal of the current article was to obtain data regarding the application of a series of grafted pullulan derivatives, as flocculating agents, for removal of some pesticide formulations from model wastewater. The pullulan derivatives are cationic polyelectrolytes, with various content and length of grafted poly[3-acrylamidopropyl]-trimethylammonium chloride] chains onto the pullulan (P-g-pAPTAC). The commercial pesticides are either fungicide (Bordeaux Mixture (BM)) or insecticides (Decis (Dc)—active ingredient Deltamethrin, Confidor Oil (CO)—active ingredient Imidacloprid, Confidor Energy (CE)—active ingredients Deltamethrin and Imidacloprid and Novadim Progress (NP)—active ingredient Dimethoate). The removal efficiency has been assessed by UV-Vis spectroscopy measurements as a function of some parameters, namely polymer dose, grafted chains content and length, pesticides concentration. The P-g-pAPTAC samples showed good removal efficacy at doseopt, more than 94% for BM, between 84 and 90% for DC, CO and CE and around 93% for NP. The maximum percentage removal decreased with the pesticides (DC, CO, CE, NP) concentration declining; no effect of BM concentration in suspension on its removal efficiency process has been noted. Differences indicated by zeta potential and particle size distribution measurements regarding the pesticides removal mechanisms by pullulan derivatives (charge neutralization, bridging, etc.) are discussed.
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1. Introduction

Graft copolymers are compounds obtained by one of the widely used chemical modification method of synthetic or natural polymers, namely graft copolymerization one, with the three synthesis strategies (the “grafting onto”, the “grafting from”, and the “grafting through”) [1]. The possibility of combining a large number of monomers and polymers has been materialized in obtaining compounds with tailored compositions (functional groups type, grafting density, side/graft chains lengths, etc.), and hence improved or new properties suitable for a wide range of applications in various industrial, biomedical, pharmaceutical, agricultural, environmental fields, etc. [2–4]. Over time, many researchers have focused on the synthesis and characterization of soluble grafted polysaccharides used in the wastewater treatment processes, the interest in developing these materials being prompted by the possibility to combine the advantages of polysaccharides (cheap, non-toxic, biodegradable and fairly shear stable) [5] and those of synthetic polymers (low dosage). Thus, many grafted copolymers of chitosan, cellulose, starch, konjac glucomannan, gum guar, gum tragacanth, alginate etc. have been synthesized and used for removal of clays, dyes, metal ions, etc. [3,5–10]. Few grafted copolymers have been used for adsorption of some pesticides from aqueous medium [11,12]. It is well known that this type of refractory contaminants used, especially, in the agriculture field to increase world food production have been a worldwide concern as a result of their undesired consequences (toxic effects)
on the environment (soil, air, water) [13] and living organism health [14]. Therefore, the reduction of pesticide level in surface and wastewater resulting from pesticide production plants and agricultural activities by some physical, chemical and biological methods has been given lots of attention [15–19]. In recent years, polysaccharide derivatives-based flocculation method has been used with good results to reduce the content of some fungicides and insecticides commercial formulations from the synthetic wastewater (removal efficiency between 90 and 97%). Polysaccharide derivatives were based on chitosan [20], dextran [21] and pullulan [22]. Regarding pullulan and its derivatives, the literature survey of some valuable reviews [5,22,23] revealed that these compounds, including the grafted ones were less tested as flocculant [24,25], in spite of the high flexibility of the pullulan backbone which afford a suitable arrangement of the polymer chains on the particles surface. In addition, the ionic derivatives have the advantage of charged groups presence, able of electrostatically attracting charges from the surface of contaminant particles. The excellent properties mentioned above have been recently demonstrated by some pullulan derivatives containing either pendent tertiary amine groups or quaternary ammonium salt one (grafted chains onto the pullulan), which have been tested and proved to be very efficient in separation of creuzotnitz particles and their mixture with kaolin, K-feldspar, hematite (95-99% in the optimum dose domain) [26]. Moreover, the flocs resulting from the separation of creuzotnitz particles by the pullulan derivative sample with grafted cationic chain (poly[(3-acrylamidopropyl)-trimethylammonium chloride]) (P-g-pAPTAC) reduced successfully fungicide Bordeaux mixture (BM) from synthetic wastewater (removal efficacy more than 95%). This result led us to question whether the soluble P-g-pAPTAC samples containing various amount and length of grafted cationic chains could be also effective in removing BM, but also other commercial pesticide formulations from simulated dispersions. The answer was found in the present investigation that, mainly, considered the impact of the pullulan derivatives chemical structure (grafted chains content and length) and polymer dose (the flocculant concentration in its mixture with pesticide dispersions) on the removal of some commercial insecticide formulations Decis ($Dc$) (Delthamethrin—active ingredient), Confidor oil ($CO$) (Imidacloprid—active ingredient), Confidor Energy ($CE$) (Deltamethrin and Imidacloprid—active ingredients), Novadim Progress ($NP$) (Dimethoate-active ingredient) and fungicide BM (copper ion as copper sulfate). To the best of our knowledge, there have been no reported data regarding the impact of grafted pullulan derivatives, as purification agents in pesticide-containing wastewater.

The UV–Vis spectroscopy together with the zeta potential and particle aggregates size measurements were the tools used to determine the separation efficiency and the flocculation mechanism for each pesticide investigated.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Pullulan derivatives samples (P-g-pAPTAC) with various amount and length of grafted cationic chains, were obtained by free–radical grafting of (3-acrylamidopropyl)-trimethylammonium chloride (APTAC) onto pullulan ($M_w = 200 \text{ kg mol}^{-1}$) (Hayashibara Lab. Ltd., Okoyama, Japan), in the presence of initiator potassium peroxydisulfate, as it was described by Constantin et al. [27] (Figure 1).The polymers abbreviations are given in the footnote of Table 1 which collects the synthesis parameters and some characteristics for the pullulan derivatives.

Pesticides: Bordeaux mixture MIF type (IQV, Barcelona, Spain) (BM)—commercially accessible in packs of 50 g. Decis (Bayer CropScience, Leverkusen, Germany) ($Dc$)—commercially accessible in vials with 2 mL solution. Confidor Oilsc$_{0.04}$ (CO) (Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany) and Confidor Energy (CE) (Bayer)—commercially accessible in bottles with 100 mL concentrated suspension. Novadim Progress (NP) (Cheminova A/S, Lemvig, Denmark)—commercially available in vials with 20 mL solution. The chemical structure of active ingredients for each pesticides formulation as well as some other their characteristics and of model pesticides dispersions are shown in Table 2.
Table 1. Synthesis parameters of pullulan derivatives P-g-pAPTAC [25].

| Polymer         | P (g) | APTAC ($10^{-2}$ mol) | KPS ($10^{-2}$ mol) | Product          | pAPTAC (wt %) | Graft Ratio $^1$ (%) | Mw $^2 \times 10^{-3}$ (g mol$^{-1}$) | $[\eta]_Rao^3$ (mL g$^{-1}$) |
|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| P-g-pAPTAC1     | 1.0   | 0.487                 | 0.0369              |                  | 22.53         | 29.09               | 13.81                                 | 67                               |
| P-g-pAPTAC2     | 1.0   | 0.967                 | 0.0369              |                  | 29.05         | 40.94               | 21.13                                 | 500                              |
| P-g-pAPTAC3     | 1.0   | 0.487                 | 0.0924              |                  | 34.51         | 52.69               | 33.28                                 | 77                               |

$P =$ pullulan, $\text{APTAC} =$ (3-acrylamidopropyl)-trimethylammonium chloride, $\text{KPS} =$ potassium peroxysulfate, $\text{pAPTAC} =$ grafted cationic chains, poly[3-(acrylamidopropyl)-trimethylammonium chloride] (pAPTAC). $^1$ Graft ratio is calculated with the equation: (weight of grafted polymer–weight of substrate)/weight of substrate; $^2$ Average molecular weight in 0.5 M NaCl at 25°C; $^3$ $[\eta]_{Rao} =$ the intrinsic viscosity determined by the Rao method (1993) [28] (see [25]).

Table 2. Pesticides and dispersions characteristics.

| Pesticide | Chemical Structure | Chemical Composition (wt %) | Dispersion Concentration ($\%$, $v/v$) | Zeta Potential ($\zeta$, mV) | $\lambda$ (nm) | pH |
|-----------|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----|
| BM        | -                  | (20% copper as copper sulfate) | 0.05 0.025                             | −20                           | 652            | 7  |
| CO        | ![CO structure](image) | Imidacloprid: 4 g L$^{-1}$    | 0.1                                     | −29.3                         | 269            | 5  |
| DC $^1$   | ![DC structure](image) | Deltamethrin: 50 g L$^{-1}$; solvent naphtha (petroleum), heavy arom. | 0.04 0.02 0.01                   | −28.2                         | 267            | 5  |
| CE        | -                  | Imidacloprid: 75 g L$^{-1}$    | 0.03 0.02 0.01                         | −29.9                         | 270            | 5  |
| NP $^1$   | ![NP structure](image) | Dimethoate: 400 g L$^{-1}$; solvent cyclohexanone, xylened | 0.7 0.5                             | −35.3                         | 601            | 4.5|

$^1$ $c$ ($\%$, $v/v$).
2.2. Methods

The stock solutions of the grafted pullulan derivatives were prepared in distilled water (concentration: 1 g L$^{-1}$). They were stabilized at room temperature for one day before use. The pesticide dispersions, with characteristics indicated in Table 2, were also prepared in distilled water and stabilized by sonication for 15 min (ultrasonicator VCX 750 SONICS, Newtown, CT, USA) before starting tests. A Cole Parmer Nine-Position Stirring Hot Plate was used for assessing the polycations based on pullulan, as flocculants, in aqueous pesticide dispersions. The flocculation tests were carried out according to Ghimici and Nichifor [29]. Thus, the addition of pullulan derivatives to simulated dispersions of pesticides (50 mL placed into 100 mL beakers) took place under stirring at a speed of 500 rpm, which was kept constant for another 3 min. Afterwards the speed was decreased to about 200 rpm for 15 min. The flocs were then allowed to settle down. At the end of the optimum settling period fixed for each particle (the period of time after which the pollutants residual absorbance (%) remained almost constant), absorbance measurements (spectrophotometer SPECOL 1300 (Analytik Jena GmbH, Jena, Germany)) at λ values mentioned in Table 2 and zeta potential ones (Zetasizer Nano-ZS, ZEN-3500 model, Malvern Instruments, Malvern, England) were performed on supernatant samples (10 mL). The optimum settling time for each pesticide formulation was established in preliminary experiments, as follows: 60 min for BM, 1200 min for CO, Dc, CE and 120 min for NP. Also, to evaluate the “natural” separation of the dispersions, blank tests were carried out on pesticide dispersions without pullulan derivatives. Thus, the residual absorbance values were 90.16% for BM, 92.5% for CO, 90% for CE, 85% for Dc and 80% for NP after the same settling time as that established in the presence of polymers. The fungicide removal efficacy was expressed as percent of the initial absorbance recorded for the fungicide particles suspensions, at time zero (without polymer).

The size distribution measurements of the insecticide particles in initial dispersion and of polymer/pesticide aggregates at dose$_{op}$, have been also carried out with Laser Particle Size Analyzer—Partica LA-960V2 (Horiba, Kyoto, Japan) (D(50), µm).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effect of Polymer Dose and Grafted Chain Content and Length

3.1.1. Fungicide Bordeaux Mixture

BM—a combination of copper sulfate, lime, and water is an effective bactericide and fungicide that provides a long-lasting protection to fruit trees, ornamental plants, vine fruits, etc. [30]. However, the excessive use of BM is risky, as it can be toxic to livestock, earthworms, fish, and even humans [31–33]. Hence, the reduction content of copper and even elimination from soil and water is very important. In a comprehensive review, Al-Saydeh et al. (2017) [34] have focused on various treatment methods (physical, chemical and biological) of wastewater contaminated with copper. Also, Oustriere et al. [35] treated BM effluents by rhizofiltration in constructed wetlands (pilot-scale). Recently, the flocculation method was used with very good results for the removal of BM particles from simulated wastewater in the presence of some polysaccharide derivatives, pullulan with pendent tertiary amine groups [36] and chitosan [20].

In the following, the effects of flocculant dose and of the grafted pAPTAC content and length in the pullulan derivatives on the removal efficiency of BM are shown in Figure 2.

A maximum efficacy in removal of BM (around 94% and more) was noticed for the samples investigated at optimum polymer doses (dose$_{op}$—the polymer dose corresponding to the maximum removal efficiency of particles). The explanation for this result can be found below. In order to be a good flocculant, a polymer must be adsorbed on the surface of the particles by means of some forces such as hydrogen bonding and electrostatic attractions and/or hydrophobic ones and ion binding [37]. The conformations of the adsorbed chains (loops, trains, and tails) resulted as a consequence of the polymer/particles interactions mentioned above lead to various flocculation mechanisms, such as: bridging (where tails and loops of a few polymers with high affinity to the particle surface make
bridges between two or more particles), charge neutralization (where the particle surface charges are neutralized by the oppositely charged groups of the macromolecular chain so that the particles attract each other by van der Waals forces), or a charge patch mechanism (when aggregation occurs as a result of the electrostatic attraction between oppositely charged regions on partially covered particles) [37]. Quite often these separation mechanisms act in combination, depending on the properties of particles and polymers. The grafted pullulan derivatives studied herein, contain quaternary ammonium salt groups which can electrostatically attract the $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ anions (the negative species of this fungicide ($\zeta = -20 \text{ mV}$)) inducing, thus, the $\text{BM}$ particles aggregation and settling. On the other hand, the chemical structure (Figure 1) shows that these polymer samples contain amide groups which can bind $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ ions. Consequently, the polyions/$\text{Cu}^{2+}$ ions interactions can have some contribution in the $\text{BM}$ separation process. However, in the case of all $\text{P-}g$-$\text{pAPTAC}$ samples an increase of the residual absorbance at polymer dose higher than $\text{dose}_{\text{op}}$ has been observed; this could happen as at overdose the surface $\text{BM}$ particles is less charged, and hence a high number of charges on the $\text{P-}g$-$\text{pAPTAC}$ chains remain uncompensated leading to restabilization of suspension as an effect of the electrostatic and/or steric chain repulsions.

The results have also indicated that there was a difference between polyelectrolyte amount required for the maximum $\text{BM}$ particles removal (Figure 2a). For the same grafted chain length, the sample with the highest ionic groups content, $\text{P-}g$-$\text{pAPTAC3}$ ($\text{pAPTAC (wt %)} = 34.51$, see Table 1) accomplished the lowest residual $\text{BM}$ absorbance (4.9%) at $\text{dose}_{\text{op}}$ of 8 mg L$^{-1}$, as against $\text{P-}g$-$\text{pAPTAC1}$ ($\text{pAPTAC (wt %)} = 22.53$), where the minimum residual $\text{BM}$ absorbance (6.18%) was noticed at $\text{dose}_{\text{op}}$ of 10 mg L$^{-1}$; the higher $\text{pAPTAC}$ content determined the increase polyions/$\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ anions interactions and hence a lower $\text{dose}_{\text{op}}$ for $\text{P-}g$-$\text{pAPTAC3}$. As regard $\text{P-}g$-$\text{pAPTAC2}$, a percent $\text{BM}$ removal more than 95% has been observed in a large $\text{dose}_{\text{op}}$ interval (between 8 mg L$^{-1}$ and 16 mg L$^{-1}$). This sample contains the longest cationic $\text{pAPTAC}$ chains grafted on pullulan backbone, and hence a larger hydrodynamic coil volume than the other two samples (see the $[\eta]$ values in Table 1). This implies a more facile accessibility, and consequently a higher number of attached fungicide particles to the positive sites of the polymer chain. The binding of more particles by a polymer chain is characteristic, as it is already mentioned, for the bridging mechanism [37] which has to be taken under consideration for this system. On the other hand, the smaller number of cationic positions uninvolved in interactions with the $\text{BM}$ particles, may cause poorer repulsive interactions between the polyion segments, and hence the lagging redispersion.

The zeta potential measurements have provided information regarding the separation mechanism (Figure 2b). Kleimann et al. [38] have found that $\zeta$ value near zero at $\text{dose}_{\text{op}}$ cor-

---

**Figure 2.** The residual $\text{BM}$ absorbance (%) (a) and zeta potential ($\zeta$) (b) dependence on the polycation dose: $\text{P-}g$-$\text{pAPTAC1}$ (inverted triangle), $\text{P-}g$-$\text{pAPTAC2}$ (star), $\text{P-}g$-$\text{pAPTAC3}$ (circle); $\text{BM}$ (%), $w/w$—0.05, settling time 60 min.
responds to the charge neutralization mechanism. Accordingly, the value of $\zeta = -3.69$ mV (at $c_{\text{opt}}$) pleads for the mechanism mentioned above, as the predominant one involved in the separation of BM particles by $P_{-g}$-pAPTAC3. In case of $P_{-g}$-pAPTAC2, the $\zeta$ measurements recorded values between $-10.5$ mV and $2.9$ mV in the optimum dose interval; this confirms the UV-Vis measurements data, namely that alongside charge neutralization mechanism and the polyions/Cu$^{2+}$ ions interactions, the bridging mechanism could have a noteworthy implication in the BM removal process. This was also checked by evaluation of the BM particle separation by a solution of $P_{-g}$-pAPTAC2 prepared in 0.1 M NaCl (Figure 3).

It is well known that the addition of an excess of salt in a polyelectrolyte solution leads to a significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt A significant decline of the residual fungicide particle (%) in the presence of salt. This finding sustains the assumption above related to the predominant involvement of the bridging mechanism in the removal of BM particles by the pullulan derivative with the longest grafted chains. The implication of this type of flocculation mechanism in case of neutral grafted polymers was previously reported [37].

One has also to stress that NaCl had no influence on the separation of this fungicide; the suspension of BM particles prepared in 0.1 M NaCl solution was stable, a residual BM absorbance of 87% after 60 min of settling time being observed.

In closing this discussion, one may remark that the flocculation performance of the grafted pullulan derivatives with strong basic quaternary ammonium salt groups is quite close (removal efficiency around 94% and more in the $c_{\text{opt}}$ interval between 8 mg L$^{-1}$ and 16 mg L$^{-1}$) to that recorded in case of the pullulan derivatives containing pendant tertiary amine groups, (separation efficacy of around 98% in the $c_{\text{opt}}$ interval between

Figure 3. The residual BM absorbance (%) dependence on the polymer dose (salt solution of $P_{-g}$-pAPTAC2 in 0.1 M NaCl); $c_{\text{BM}}$ (% w/w) 0.05, settling time 60 min. The inset: the reduced viscosity dependence on polymer concentration, $c$.
3 mg L\(^{-1}\) and 20 mg L\(^{-1}\)) [36]. The difference lies in the settling time after which these results were obtained, namely 60 min for the former type of pullulan derivatives and 1200 min for the latter one. We assume that both the quaternary ammonium salt groups and grafted chains presence in the chemical structure of P-g-pAPTAC samples could determine an intensification of the polycation/BM particles interactions, and hence a more rapid separation of fungicide. Thus, the grafted pullulan samples could be used in the separation processes where a shorter settling time is preferred.

3.1.2. Insecticides Decis, Confidor Oil, Confidor Energy

The CO and DC formulations are systemic insecticides employed for the control of sucking insects (termites, thrips, aphids, etc) in crops of rice, cereal, vegetables, fruits, cotton, etc [41]. The active ingredients of these pesticides are Imidacloprid (1-(6-chloro-3-pyridyemethyl)-N-nitroimidazolidine-2-ylideneamine) (neonicotinoids chemical family [42]) for CO and Deltamethrin ([S]-Cyano-(3-phenoxypnhenyl)-methyl] (1R,3R)-3-(2,2-dibromoethenyl)-2,2-dimethyl-cyclopropane-1-carboxylate) (pyrethroid chemical family) for DC. These insecticides can be applied as single substance but also as mixture, for example in CE formulation which contains different amounts of both Imidacloprid and Deltamethrin (see Table 2).

The data showing the effect of grafted pullulan derivatives dose on the percent removal of the insecticides mentioned above are represented in Figure 4a,b and Figure 5.

Figure 4. The residual pesticides absorbance (%) dependence on the polycation dose: P-g-pAPTAC1 (inverted triangle), P-g-pAPTAC2 (star), P-g-pAPTAC3 (circle) for CO (a) and DC (b); settling time 1200 min; \(c_{CO} (\%, v/v)=0.1; c_{DC} (\%, v/v)=0.04\), settling time 1200 min.

In case of the pesticide formulations containing a single active ingredient, the following aspects can be highlighted: (i) a rise of the insecticides removal efficiency with increasing grafted pullulan derivatives dose, achieving the maximum at dose\(_{opt}\) which depended on the ionic groups content; the higher the pAPTAC content, the lower dose\(_{opt}\), as follows: dose\(_{opt}\) (mg L\(^{-1}\)): 0.6 (P-g-pAPTAC3) against 1 (P-g-pAPTAC1) for CO and 1 (P-g-pAPTAC3) against 1.4 (P-g-pAPTAC1) for DC; (ii) for both insecticides, no effect of the grafted chain length in P-g-pAPTAC2 on the dose\(_{opt}\) was observed, the values being located in the same interval as the other two polymers, namely 1 mg L\(^{-1}\) for CO and 1.4 mg L\(^{-1}\) for DC. The findings above lead to the assumption that the electrostatic attractive interactions between the cationic sites on the polymer chains and the negative charged insecticide particles (\(\zeta (CO) = -29.3 \text{ mV}; \zeta (DC) = -28.2 \text{ mV}\)), which are an indication for the charge neutralization or charge patch mechanisms, play the dominant role in the removal process. This assumption was checked by the zeta potential measurements, as in the case of BM. Looking at the experimental data in Table 3, one observes that for each pullulan derivative/insecticide system, the \(\zeta\) values corresponding to dose\(_{opt}\) are located around to zero.
Polymers Sample | CO | Dc |
|----------------|-----------------|
| P-g-pAPTAC1    | dose_{opt}, mg L^{-1} | Zeta Potential (ζ, mV) | Removal Efficiency (%) | dose_{opt}, mg L^{-1} | Zeta Potential (ζ, mV) | Removal Efficiency (%) |
| P-g-pAPTAC2    | 1.0             | -4.8                  | 84.5                  | 1.4                     | -5.2                  | 85.5                  |
| P-g-pAPTAC3    | 0.6             | -0.88                 | 89                    | 1                       | +0.2                  | 89.5                  |

Since P-g-pAPTAC1 was slightly less efficient in removal of both insecticides, than P-g-pAPTAC2 and P-g-pAPTAC3 (see Table 3), the tests for CE removal have been accomplished using the last two pullulan derivatives (Figure 5).

As in the case of insecticides containing a single active ingredient, both polymers proved to be efficacy in reduction of CE content in emulsion, the maximum removal efficiency of 90% for P-g-pAPTAC3 and 87.5% for P-g-pAPTAC2 being noticed at dose_{opt} values of 2 mg L^{-1} (P-g-pAPTAC3) and 2.2 mg L^{-1} (P-g-pAPTAC2).

3.1.3. Insecticide Novadim Progress

Novadim Progress is an organophosphorous insecticide - acaricide formulation with systemic action that acts on contact and ingestion [43], used in agricultural area to protect a wide range of crops (tomatoes, cabbage, cereals, fruits), tree and ornamentals from insect attacks [44]. Its active ingredient is Dimethoate ([O,O-Dimethyl S-(N-methylcarbamoylmethyl) phosphorodithioate]) which can undergo hydrolysis at the amide group [45], the insecticide particles gaining negative charges (ζ_{water} = -35.3 mV). Thus, they could be able to interact electrostatically with the positive charges on the P-g-pAPTAC chains, the consequence being their aggregation and separation from the model emulsion, as illustrated in Figure 6a.

In addition, the hydrogen bonds formed between the amide groups of Dimethoate and of the pullulan derivatives could participate to the NP removal process.

A pronounced decrease of the NP content in the synthetic emulsion with the pullulan derivatives dose increase, up to 18 mg L^{-1} (P-g-pAPTAC3), 22 mg L^{-1} (P-g-pAPTAC1) and 30 mg L^{-1} (P-g-pAPTAC2), when a high removal efficiency (between 90–93%) has been noted. On the other hand, the low residual NP absorbance (%) values, below 10 were observed on a larger flocculation interval for P-g-pAPTAC2 (20 mg L^{-1}–40 mg L^{-1}) against one dose_{opt} for P-g-pAPTAC3. The fastest separation of NP and, also, its rapid redispersion can be attributed to the enhanced content of cationic groups on the P-g-pAPTAC3 chain, as
in case of the other pesticides already presented here. Both the high residual NP absorbance (48%) found when 0.1 M NaCl solution of P-g-pAPTAC3 was used as flocculant (the polymer becomes neutral as P-g-pAPTAC2 does—data not shown) and zeta potential measurements of NP emulsion as a function of polymer dose indicated that the separation process took place mainly by charge neutralization process (ζ value at dose\(_{op} = -2.3\) mV) (Figure 6).

![Figure 6](image_url)

**Figure 6.** The residual NP absorbance (%) (a) and zeta potential (ζ) (b) dependence on the polycation dose: P-g-pAPTAC1 (inverted triangle), P-g-pAPTAC2 (star), P-g-pAPTAC3 (circle); 0.1 M NaCl P-g-pAPTAC3 (square); \(c_{NP} (\%, w/w) = 0.7;\) settling time 120 min.

The monotonous increase of ζ with polymer dose and the negative values in the optimum dose intervals obtained in the presence of P-g-pAPTAC1 (between -15 mV and -9.5 mV) and P-g-pAPTAC2 (between -14.7 mV and -8.6 mV) suggested us that the bridging mechanism and the hydrogen bonds established between the amide groups of both Dimethoate and the pullulan derivatives could become dominant in the separation process of NP.

From the data presented above, one may emphasize that the grafted pullulan derivatives are as good flocculants as other polysaccharides (chitosan [20] and dextran derivatives [21]) for NP particles (removal efficacy more than 90%). However, they are more suitable, especially P-g-pAPTAC2, in the flocculation processes where large dose\(_{op}\) intervals are required.

### 3.2. Effect of Pesticide Concentration

Another important parameter which can have an impact on the removal efficiency of grafted pullulan derivatives is the amount of pesticides from wastewater. Hence, it is useful to perform experiments with dispersions containing different concentrations of pesticides (see Table 2). The results are plotted in Figure 7. P-g-pAPTAC2 and/or P-g-pAPTAC3 have been chosen in these experiments as they provided the best results in flocculation process, in terms of dose\(_{op}\) or percent of pesticides removal.

Both polymers showed the same behavior for all pesticides, at the new concentrations investigated, as that noticed for the already discussed concentrations, namely the lowest dose\(_{op}\) values were found for the P-g-pAPTAC3 sample and the largest dose\(_{op}\) intervals for P-g-pAPTAC2. However, there are some differences indicating the impact of the initial emulsions concentration on the removal pesticides efficiency. Thus, for the same pesticide, the dose\(_{op}\) values decreased with the decline of pesticide concentration (Table 4).
This likely occurred since a lower insecticide particles content in dispersion required less ionic polymer chains amount for the neutralization, hence the abatement of dose\textsubscript{op}. Another aspect which has to be underlined is the slightly decrease of the pesticide removal efficiency with reduction its concentration in dispersion from about 88\% (\(c\%\), \(v/v = 0.04\)) to 75\% (\(c\%\), \(v/v = 0.01\)) in case of Dc (see Figures 4b and 7b), from 90\% (\(c\%\), \(w/w = 0.03\)) to 82\% (\(c\%\), \(w/w = 0.01\)) in case of CE (see Figures 5 and 7c) and from about 93\% (\(c\%\), \(v/v = 0.7\)) to 86\% (\(c\%\), \(v/v = 0.5\)) for NP (see Figures 6 and 7d). One may assume that a large distance between contaminant particles, at lower concentration, leads to a lower collision frequency and, thus, decreases the probability of their aggregation. A decrease of dose\textsubscript{op} (mg L\textsuperscript{−1}) with the decrease of Dc and NP concentration (\%, \(v/v\)) has been noticed in the presence of a dextran derivative sample (D40-Et94), too: (dose\textsubscript{op} = 1.4 (\(\text{Dc} = 0.04\)) to dose\textsubscript{op} = 1.2 (\(\text{Dc} = 0.02\)) and dose\textsubscript{op} = 6 (\(\text{NP} = 0.7\)) to dose\textsubscript{op} = 4 (\(\text{NP} = 0.35\)) [21]. As regard BM, the decrease of its concentration in suspension had an insignificant influence.
on the flocculation efficiency, a removal percent of around 95% being recorded at both concentrations investigated (Figures 3 and 7a).

3.3. Particle Size Measurements

Both the Uv-Vis spectroscopy and zeta potential measurements have emphasized that the mechanisms of pesticide removal processes depend on the content and length of grafted cationic chains (pAPTAC). Thus, the pullulan sample with the highest charged groups content (P-g-pAPTAC3) accomplishes pesticides removal, mainly, through the neutralization mechanism while that with the longest grafted chains (P-g-pAPTAC2) through the bridging one. This finding has been enforced by the particle size measurements (D(50), µm) performed on the initial pesticide particles (before treatment with polymers) and aggregates obtained at the optimum polycation doses. As the curves describing the aggregate size distribution (volume fraction versus particle diameter), have not shown significant difference in shape, those revealing the results obtained in the removal of NP particles by P-g-pAPTAC3 and BM ones by P-g-pAPTAC2 are presented (Figure 8).

![Figure 8](image-url)

**Figure 8.** Particle size distribution for NP particles (a) and BM particles (b); initial pesticide particles (empty symbols); aggregates obtained in the presence of P-g-pAPTAC3, dose\textsubscript{op} = 18 mg L\textsuperscript{-1}, (c%, v/v = 0.7) and in the presence of P-g-pAPTAC2, dose\textsubscript{op} = 10 mg L\textsuperscript{-1}, (c%, w/w = 0.05) (solid symbols).

The untreated NP particles have a unimodal distribution (Figure 8a), with D(50) of 0.132 µm. This type of distribution was also maintained in case of the NP aggregates obtained in the presence of P-g-pAPTAC3; their narrow size distribution along with the small size (1.169 µm) strengthen that the charge neutralization prevails in the NP particles removal process. In case of fungicide dispersion, a bimodal size distribution of the BM particles has been recorded both in the absence and presence of P-g-pAPTAC2; the D(50) values were 0.308 µm and 5.697 µm for BM particles in the initial suspension and BM/P-g-pAPTAC2 aggregates, respectively. The high-volume percentage of the peak corresponding to particles of larger size confirms the assumption that the bridging mechanism could have the most important role in the BM particles separation process.

4. Conclusions

The commercial formulations of fungicide Bordeaux mixture (BM) and insecticides Decis (Dc), Confidor Oil (CO), Confidor Energy (CE) and Novadim Progress (NP) have been separated from the synthetic wastewater by aqueous solutions of grafted pullulan derivatives (P-g-pAPTAC) and the results can be resumed as follows:

- The polymer doses required for maximum removal efficiency of the pesticides investigated shifted to lower values with augmentation of ionic groups content and abatement of pesticide concentration;
- The longer the grafted chains, the larger the optimum dose interval, irrespective of the pesticide type;
- Zeta potential data showed that (i) the neutralization mechanism prevails in case of Dc and CO particles removal by all of the pullulan derivatives as well as in case of BM and NP.
separation by the highest charged sample (P-g-pAPTAC3); (ii) the bridging mechanism has a noteworthy contribution in the BM and NP particles removal by the sample containing the longest grafted chain (P-g-pAPTAC2); (iii) the interactions of amide groups of the pullulan derivatives with (i) Cu$$^{2+}$$ ions (of BM) and (ii) the hydrogen bonds formed with those of Dimethoate (NP) could come into play in the separation process of these pesticides.

The good performance of the grafted pullulan derivatives in reducing the content of pesticides in wastewater is a reason for us to consider other parameters in future investigations (medium pH, mixture of pesticides as well as pesticides combined with other pollutants (salts, clays, etc.).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, L.G.; methodology, L.G., M.C. and M.-M.N.; software, L.G., M.C. and M.-M.N.; validation, L.G. and M.C.; formal analysis, L.G.; investigation, L.G., M.C. and M.-M.N.; resources, L.G. and M.C.; data curation, L.G.; writing—original draft preparation, L.G.; writing—review and editing, L.G.; visualization, L.G.; supervision, L.G. and M.C.; project administration, L.G.; funding acquisition, L.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by Ministry of Research, Innovation and Digitization, grant number CNCS/CCCDI—UEFISCDI, project number PN-III-P4-ID-PCE-2020-0296, within PNCDI III.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References
1. Hadjichristidis, N.; Pitsikalis, M.; Iatrou, H.; Driva, P.; Chatzichristidi, M.; Sakellariou, G.; Lohse, D. Graft Copolymers. Enc. Polym. Sci. Techol. 2010, 1–38. [CrossRef]
2. Nasef, M.M.; Güvenc, O. Radiation-grafted copolymers for separation and purification purposes: Status, challenges and future directions. Prog. Polym. Sci. 2012, 37, 1597–1656. [CrossRef]
3. Kumar, D.; Pandey, J.; Raj, V.; Kumar, P. A Review on the Modification of Polysaccharide Through Graft Copolymerization for Various Potential Applications. Open Med. Chem. J. 2017, 11, 109–126. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Liu, J.; Wang, X.; Yong, H.; Kan, J.; Jin, C. Recent advances in flavonoid-grafted polysaccharides: Synthesis, structural characterization, bioactivities and potential applications. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 2018, 116, 1011–1025. [CrossRef]
5. Singh, R.P.; Tripathy, T.; Karmakar, G.P.; Rath, S.K.; Karmakar, N.C.; Pandey, S.R.; Kannan, K.; Jain, S.K.; Lan, N.T. Novel biodegradable flocculants based on polysaccharides. Curr. Sci. 2000, 78, 798–803.
6. Al Sharabati, M.; Abokwiek, R.; Al-Othman, A.; Tawalbeh, M.; Karaman, C.; Orooji, Y.; Karimi, F. Biodegradable polymers and their nano-composites for the removal of endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs) from wastewater: A review. Environ. Res. 2021, 202, 111694. [CrossRef]
7. Pal, P.; Pandey, J.P.; Sen, G. Synthesis, characterization and flocculation studies of a novel graft copolymer towards destabilization of carbon nano-tubes from effluent. Polymer 2017, 112, 159–168. [CrossRef]
8. Hermosillo-Ochoa, E.; Picos-Corrales, L.A.; Licea-Claverie, A. Eco-friendly flocculants from chitosan grafted with PNVCL and PAAc: Hybrid materials with enhanced removal properties for water remediation. Sep. Purif. Technol. 2021, 258, 118052. [CrossRef]
9. Zhao, N.; Al Bitar, H.; Zhu, Y.; Xu, Y.; Shi, Z. Synthesis of Polymer Grafted Starches and Their Flocculation Properties in Clay Suspension. Minerals 2020, 10, 1054. [CrossRef]
10. Mondal, H.; Karmakar, M.; Nath Ghosh, N.; Maiti, D.K.; Chattopadhyay, P.K.; Singha, N.R. One-pot synthesis of sodium alginate-grafted-terpolymer hydrogel for As(III) and V(V) removal: In situ anchored comonomer and DFT studies on structures. J. Environ. Manag. 2021, 294, 112932. [CrossRef]
11. Ray, J.; Kumar Samanta, S.; Tripathy, T. Adsorption of toxic organophosphorus pesticides from aqueous medium using dextrin-graft-poly (2-acrylamido-2-methyl propane sulfonic acid-co-acrylic acid) copolymer: Studies on equilibrium kinetics, isotherms, and thermodynamics of interactions. Polym. Eng. Sci. 2021, 61, 2861–2881. [CrossRef]
12. Yang, C.; Zeng, Q.; Yang, Y.; Xiao, R.; Wang, Y.; Shi, H. The synthesis of hemic acids graft copolymer and its adsorption for organic pesticides. J. Ind. Eng. Chem. 2014, 20, 1133–1139. [CrossRef]
13. Abhilash, P.C.; Singh, N. Pesticide use and application: An Indian scenario. J. Hazard. Mater. 2009, 165, 1–12. [CrossRef]
14. Rekha, S.N.; Prasad, R. Pesticide residue in organic and conventional food-risk analysis. J. Chem. Health Saf. 2006, 13, 12–19. [CrossRef]
15. Xiong, S.; Deng, Y.; Tang, R.; Zhang, C.; Zheng, J.; Zhang, Y.; Su, L.; Yang, L.; Liao, C.; Gong, D. Factors study for the removal of epoxiconazole in water by common biochars. Biochem. Eng. J. 2020, 161, 107690. [CrossRef]
16. Latkowska, B.; Figa, J. Cyanide removal from industrial wastewaters. J. Environ. Stud. 2007, 16, 748–752.
17. Jatoi, A.S.; Hashmi, Z.; Adriyani, R.; Yuniarto, A.; Mazzari, S.A.; Akhter, F.; Mubarak, N.M. Recent trends and future challenges of pesticide removal techniques—A comprehensive review. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 2021, 9, 105571. [CrossRef]

18. Thuy, P.T.; Moons, K.; van Dijk, J.C.; Viet Anh, N.; Van der Bruggen, B. To what extent are pesticides removed from surface water during coagulation-flocculation? Water Environ. J. 2008, 22, 217–223. [CrossRef]

19. Saleh, I.A.; Zouari, N.; Al-Ghouti, M.A. Removal of pesticides from water and wastewater: Chemical, physical and biological treatment approaches. Environ. Technol. Innov. 2020, 19, 101026. [CrossRef]

20. Ghimici, L.; Dinu, I.A. Removal of some commercial pesticides from aqueous dispersions using as flocculant a thymine-containing chitosan derivative. Sep. Purif. Technol. 2019, 209, 698–706. [CrossRef]

21. Ghimici, L.; Nichifor, M. Efficacy of quaternary ammonium groups based polyelectrolytes for the reduction of various pesticide formulations content from synthetic wastewater. Sep. Purif. Technol. 2021, 276, 119325. [CrossRef]

22. Ghimici, L.; Constantin, M. A review of the use of pullulan derivatives in wastewater purification. React. Funct. Polym. 2020, 149, 104510. [CrossRef]

23. Salehizadeh, H.; Yan, N.; Farnood, R. Recent advances in polysaccharide bio-based flocculants. Biotechn. Adv. 2018, 36, 92–119. [CrossRef]

24. Yang, K.; Yang, X.J.; Yang, M. Enhanced primary treatment of low-concentration municipal wastewater by means of bio-flocculant pullulan. J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. A 2007, 8, 719–723. [CrossRef]

25. Ghimici, L.; Constantin, M.; Fundueanu, G. Novel biodegradable flocculant agents based on pullulan. J. Hazard. Mater. 2010, 181, 351–358. [CrossRef]

26. Ghimici, L.; Constantin, M. The separation of kreuzenit particles by cationic pullulan derivatives from model suspension. Environ. Chell. 2021, 5, 100352. [CrossRef]

27. Constantin, M.; Mihalcea, I.; Oanea, I.; Harabagiu, V.; Fundueanu, G. Studies on graft copolymerization of 3-acrylamidopropyl trimethylammonium chloride on pullulan. Carbohydr. Polym. 2011, 84, 926–932. [CrossRef]

28. Rao, M.V.S. Viscosity of dilute to moderately concentrated polymer solutions. Eur. Polym. J. 2004, 40, 1317–1326. [CrossRef]

29. Ghimici, L.; Nichifor, M. Ionic dextran derivatives for removal of Fastac 10 EC from its aqueous emulsions. Carbohydr. Polym. 2015, 134, 46–51. [CrossRef]

30. Van Zwieten, L.; Rust, J.; Kingston, T.; Graham, M.; Morris, S. Influence of copper fungicide residues on occurrence of earthworms in avocado orchard soils. Sci. Total Environ. 2004, 329, 29–41. [CrossRef]

31. Huang, C.C.; Su, Y.J. Removal of copper ions from wastewater by adsorption/electrosorption on modified activated carbon cloths. J. Hazard. Mater. 2010, 175, 477–483. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Nassef, E.; El-Taweel, Y.A. Removal of copper from wastewater by cementation from simulated leach liquors. J. Chem. Eng. Process Technol. 2015, 6, 214. [CrossRef]

33. Hao, Y.M.; Man, C.; Hu, Z.B. Effective removal of Cu (II) ions from aqueous solution by amino-functionalized magnetic nanoparticles. J. Hazard. Mater. 2010, 184, 392–399. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

34. Al-Saydeh, S.A.; El-Naas, M.H.; Zaidi, S.J. Copper Removal from Industrial Wastewater: A Comprehensive Review. J. Ind. Eng. Chem. 2017, 56, 35–44. [CrossRef]

35. Oustriere, N.; Marchand, L.; Roulet, E.; Bordas, F.; MENCH. Rhizofiltration of a Bordeaux mixture effluent in pilot-scale constructed wetland using Arundo Dunax, L. coupled with potential Cu-ecocatalyst production. Ecol. Eng. 2017, 105, 296–305. [CrossRef]

36. Ghimici, L.; Constantin, M. Removal of the commercial pesticides Novadim Progress, Bordeaux mixture and Karate Zeon by pullulan derivatives based flocculants. J. Environ. Manag. 2018, 218, 31–38. [CrossRef]

37. Yan, Y.D.; Glover, S.M.; Jameson, G.J.; Biggs, S. The flocculation efficiency of polydisperse polymer flocculants. Int. J. Miner. Process. 2004, 73, 161–175. [CrossRef]

38. Kleimann, J.; Gehin-Delval, C.; Auweter, H.; Borkovec, M. Super-stoichiometric charge-neutralization in particle-polyelectrolyte systems. Langmuir 2005, 21, 3688–3698. [CrossRef]

39. Rosa, F.; Bordado, J.; Casquilho, M. Hydrophilic Copolymers of Acrylamide-(2-acrylamido-2-methylpropanesulfonic acid). Synthesis and Characterization by Spectroscopy and Viscometry. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2003, 87, 192–198. [CrossRef]

40. Van, X.; Li, Y.; Wang, X.; Chen, S.; Gu, X. Synthesis of cationic guar gum-graft-polyacrylamide at low temperature and its flocculating properties. Eur. Polym. J. 2007, 43, 3655–3661. [CrossRef]

41. Quintás, G.; Armenta, S.; Garrigues, S.; de la Guardia, M. Fourier Transform Infrared Determination of Imidacloprid in Pesticide Formulations. J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 2004, 15, 307–312. [CrossRef]

42. Tomlin, C.D.S. The Pesticide Manual: A World Compendium, 12th ed.; The British Crop Protection Council: Surrey, UK, 2000.

43. Sharma, D.; Nagpal, A.; Pakade, Y.B.; Katmorina, J.K. Analytical methods for estimation of organophosphorus pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables: A review. Talanta 2010, 82, 1077–1089. [CrossRef]

44. Momić, T.; Pašti, T.L.; Bogođanović, U.; Vodnik, V.; Mrakovíc, A.; Rakotćević, Z.; Pavlović, V.B.; Vasić, V. Adsorption of Organophosphate Pesticide Dimethoate on Gold Nanospheres and Nanorods. J. Nanomater. 2016, 2016, 8910271. [CrossRef]

45. O’Brien, R.D.; Wolfe, L.S. Radiation, Radioactivity and Insects; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA, 1964. [CrossRef]