INTRODUCTION

Malignant fibrous histiocytoma (MFH) is the most common soft-tissue sarcoma that occurs in mainly limbs, retroperitoneal and peritoneal space, and occurrence in kidneys or the ureter is very rare. *Dioctophyma renale* (*D. renale*) since first discovered in dog’s kidney was found in the kidneys of animals such as mink, coyote and weasel, and human infection has only been reported in only approximately 20 cases worldwide. MFH of the ureter and *D. renale* infection very rarely occur in humans, and has not been reported in our country. Here, we described the case of an adult man in whom MFH of the ureter simultaneously occurred with *D. renale* infection. An initial CT scan showed a well-defined, persistent, enhancing polypoid mass-like lesion in the upper ureter. After 10 months, *D. renale* was excreted in the urine and a follow-up CT scan showed an increase in the size of that lesion and irregular thickening of the ureter wall. The diagnosis of MFH was pathologically verified.

**Index terms**
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CASE REPORT

A 57-year-old man presented with pain in the left lower quadrant that persisted for 10 days. His medical history and the results of his physical examination were unremarkable. Results of laboratory tests showed microscopic hematuria (5–9 red blood cells per high-power field). Abdominal radiograph was unremarkable. Intravenous urography showed complete obstruction of the upper left ureter at the level of the upper endplate of the L3 vertebral body (Fig. 1A). Retrograde pyelography (RGP) re-
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...an intraluminal filling defect indicating an irregularly shaped polypoid lesion at the level of the upper endplate of the L4 vertebral body (Fig. 1B). A gap of 1 vertebral height was observed between the obstruction level identified by intravenous pyelogram and the filling defect level identified by RGP. CT scan showed a persistent, enhancing well-defined polypoid mass-like lesion of size approximately 1.0 × 1.3 × 1.7 cm, in the upper left ureter. Periureteral infiltration was not evident (Fig. 1C). The

Fig. 1. A 57-year-old man with malignant fibrous histiocytoma of the ureter and Dioctophyma renale (D. renale) infection.

A. Intravenous urography shows complete obstruction of left upper ureter at the level of L3 vertebral body upper endplate (arrow).
B. Retrograde pyeloureterography shows a polypoid intraluminal filling defect in the left upper ureter (arrow).
C. CT scan shows a persistent, enhancing well-defined polypoid mass-like lesion in the left upper ureter and mild hydronephrosis (arrow).
D. The worm-like foreign material is excreted in urine. The foreign material is confirmed to be D. renale.
E. Follow-up CT scan shows increase in the size of the mass in the upper left ureter; it also shows that the mass extended to the renal pelvis and to the more distal part of the ureter (arrow). Irregular wall thickening and enhancement of the left ureter with periureteral and peripelvic fat infiltration can be seen (arrowhead).
F. The sectioned specimen shows a protruding, solid tan mass in the pelvis, measuring 6 × 4 cm. The tumor extends into the major calyx (arrowhead), pelvis, and proximal ureter (arrows) without invading the renal parenchyma.
G. Sheets of bizarre cells with abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm and lymphoplasmacytoma cells are noted at microscopic view. The tumor cells are positive for CD68 on immunostaining (× 200).
CT scan also revealed mild hydronephrosis and hydroureterosis. Diagnosis of a benign lesion, such as fibroepithelial polyp or papilloma, was suspected on the basis of this finding, which correlated with the RGP finding. However, we also suggested urothelial carcinoma as a second diagnosis. Despite our recommendation, the patient refused to undergo an operation.

Approximately 10 months after the first presentation, gross hematuria and a worm-like foreign body (approximately 12 × 0.5 cm) in the urine (Fig. 1D) were observed. A follow-up CT scan showed that the size of the lesion in the upper left ureter increased (approximately 2.3 × 2.2 × 4 cm) and that the lesion extended to the renal pelvis and to the more distal part of the ureter. The mass demonstrated a progressive enhancement pattern. Irregular wall thickening and enhancement of the left ureter were observed around the mass lesion along with periureteral and peripelvic fat infiltration. Compared to the previous CT scan, the follow-up CT scan showed a few conglomerate left paraaortic lymph nodes that had increased in size and number (Fig. 1E). In addition, a decrease in the size of the left kidney, deterioration of excretory function, and progression of hydronephrosis were observed. These findings were highly suggestive of a malignant lesion such as urothelial carcinoma.

The worm-like foreign body in the urine was confirmed to be a parasite. After 1 month, we performed a left radical nephroureterectomy. The tumor extended into the major calyx, pelvis, and proximal ureter. The kidney parenchyma was grossly unremarkable (Fig. 1F). Microscopic examination showed that the tumor was mostly composed of sheets of bizarre cells with abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm and lymphoplasmacytoid cells. Numerous epithelial-lined cysts and entrapped tubules were scattered throughout the tumor, which was lined by simple or stratified cuboidal to columnar cells with eosinophilic, granular cytoplasm. Immunohistochemical staining showed that the tumor cells were positively stained for CD68 (Fig. 1G). Ultrastructural examination showed that the tumor contained highly cellular spindle cell areas and pleomorphic cells with oval and irregular nuclei. The cytoplasm of the tumor cells consisted of rough endoplasmic reticulum, mitochondria, and some nuclei having prominent nucleoli. The three regional lymph nodes were also dissected and there was no evidence of metastasis.

The final diagnosis was that of MFH. The worm-like foreign body in the urine was confirmed to be D. renale (giant kidney worm). Distant metastasis was not evident on positron emission tomography/CT scan, and the patient was in a disease-free state in 7 months. Moreover, the paraaortic lymph nodes were decreased in size on the follow-up CT.

**DISCUSSION**

In 1963, MFH was first described as malignant histiocytoma and fibrous xanthoma by Ozellos et al., and in 1964, O’Brien and Stout described it as soft-tissue sarcoma arising from fibroblasts and histiocytes. MFH accounts for 10% to 22% of all soft-tissue sarcomas occurring in late adulthood. The common primary site of the tumor is an extremity in 71% of MFH cases, with the less common primary sites being the retroperitoneum, trunk, bone, head, and neck (5, 6); infrequent primary sites of MFH include the urinary bladder, prostate, spermatic cords, and kidneys.

Most urinary tract tumors are urothelial carcinomas (97%); inverted papillomas, squamous cell carcinomas, and adenocarcinomas rarely occur in the upper urinary tract. Nonurothelial tumors of the upper urinary tract, including fibroepithelial polyps, leiomyomas, angiomas, and leiomyosarcomas, are quite rare. Primary MFH of the urinary tract is extremely rare, and to our knowledge, only 1 case has been reported to date (7).

The characteristic CT findings of renal MFH have been reported. CT scans showed a large, lobulated, rather well-defined, soft-tissue mass that often consisted of low-attenuation central areas. Solid components of the mass were enhanced and approximately 20% calcification was also detected. In most cases, primary renal MFH shows less parenchymal involvement than renal cell carcinoma does, as observed by imaging studies (8). The characteristic CT findings of MFH of the ureter are not established yet. However, in our case the mass was rather well defined with a smooth margin and showed persistent enhancement, unlike the characteristic of urothelial carcinoma. However, preoperative imaging cannot distinguish MFH from urothelial carcinoma. A definitive diagnosis of MFH depends on the findings of pathological, ultrastructural, and immunohistochemical studies.

*D. renale* (giant kidney worm) is one of the largest parasitic roundworms. Adult worms are blood red, have a round body and are covered by a thin striated cuticle. Both ends of the body are narrow. *D. renale* is approximately 20-50 cm long and 4-12 mm wide. This roundworm infects carnivorous mammals such as...
minks, canids, dogs, and cats. *D. renale* infection is very rare in humans, and seems to occur accidentally. Only 20 confirmed cases have been reported worldwide, in which worms were found in various body parts such as the kidneys and peritoneal cavity. The eggs of *D. renale* are voided in urine, and embryonated eggs are ingested by aquatic oligochaetes (intermediate hosts). Within the intermediate host, the larvae molted twice and became infectious. The hosts come into contact with the parasite through ingestion of encysted larvae in raw fish or frogs. The larvae penetrate the bowel wall and migrate first to the liver, and finally to the kidneys. The worm causes obstruction, hydronephrosis, and destruction of the renal parenchyma (3, 4).

Clinical findings of patients with *D. renale* infection are gross hematuria, flank pain, and low-grade fever. However, diagnostic findings are unspecific. In our case, RGP revealed an extensive filling defect in the renal pelvis and ureter. CT revealed destruction of the renal parenchyma, parenchymal calcification, avascular irregularly shaped neoplasm, and a complicated cyst. In our patient, the filling defect was noted on RGP and atrophy of the kidney in CT. Renal mass or cysts were not observed (9).

This is the first case regarding the simultaneous occurrence of MFH of the ureter and *D. renale* infection. A relationship between the 2 diseases has not been reported. Pathogenesis of MFH has not been clarified to date. However, it has been acknowledged to be resulting from radiation complications, chronic postoperative repairs, traumas, surgical incisions, or burn scars in the gastrointestinal tract (10). Moreover, the relationship between MFH of the ureter and infection with *D. renale* has not been revealed. We suggest that MFH of the ureter and infection with *D. renale* in our patient happened by accident.

In conclusion, we present the first case of primary MFH arising from the upper ureter in a man infected with *D. renale*. The imaging results did not distinguish MFH from urothelial carcinoma.
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함께 발생한 요관의 악성섬유성조직구종과 거대신충 감염의 증례 보고
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악성섬유성조직구종은 흔한 연부조직종양으로 주로 사지, 후복막강과 복강 내에서 발생하고, 신장이나 요관에서는 매우 드물다. 거대신충은 개의 신장에서 처음 발견한 이래 주로 맹그, 코요테, 족제비 등 동물의 신장에서 발견되었고, 인간 감염은 전 세계적으로 20건 정도만 보고되었다. 요관의 악성섬유성조직구종과 거대신충의 감염은 인간에서 매우 드물게 발생하며 우리나라에서는 거대신충 감염이 보고된 적이 없다. 저자들은 요관의 악성섬유성조직구종과 거대신충의 감염이 함께 발생한 성인 남성을 보고하고자 한다. 내원하여 처음 시행한 컴퓨터단층촬영상 상위 요관 내에 경계가 명확하고, 그리고로 조영증강되는 폴립 양상의 병변이 있었다. 10개월 후 소변으로 거대신충이 배설된 후 다시 시행한 컴퓨터단층촬영상 병변의 크기는 증가하였고 요관이 불규칙하게 두꺼워져 있었다. 병변은 수술을 통하여 악성섬유성조직구종으로 진단되었다.
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