Acute lesions of primary visual cortical areas in adult cats inactivate responses of neurons in higher visual cortices
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Abstract: Psychophysical studies suggest that lateral extrastriate visual cortical areas in cats may mediate the sparing of vision largely by network reorganization following lesions of early visual cortical areas. To date, however, there is little direct physiological evidence to support this hypothesis. Using in vivo single-unit recording techniques, we examined the response of neurons in areas 19, 21, and 20 to different types of visual stimulation in cats with or without acute bilateral lesions in areas 17 and 18. Our results showed that, relative to the controls, acute lesions inactivated the response of 99.3% of neurons to moving gratings and 93% of neurons to flickering square stimuli in areas 19, 21, and 20. These results indicated that acute lesions of primary visual areas in adult cats may impair most visual abilities. Sparing of vision in cats with neonatal lesions in early visual cortical areas may result largely from a postoperative reorganization of visual pathways from subcortical nucleus to extrastriate visual cortical areas.
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It is widely believed that vision in human and higher-order mammals is mediated through the pathway from retina to lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN) and then to the primary visual cortex (Chino et al, 1992; Girard & Bullier, 1989; Hubel & Wiesel, 1962), and sensory inputs to the higher order cortical areas come from the primary visual cortical areas. However, subjects with complete damage to the primary visual cortical areas still show above chance performance in some visual tasks, though generally lacking conscious perception (Barbur et al, 1980; Cowey & Stoerig, 1991, 1995, 1997; Moore et al, 1995; Stoerig & Cowey, 1997, 2007; Tong, 2003). This post-lesion residual vision, called blindsight, may be mediated by parallel VI bypassing connections between subcortical nucleus and higher visual cortical areas. So far, however, the possible subcortical and
extrastrate locations involved in this parallel visual processing pathways are largely unclear (Chino et al., 1992; Collins et al., 2005; Girard et al., 1992; Goebel et al., 2001; Moore et al., 2001; Rosa et al., 2000; Rossion et al., 2000; Schoenfeld, 2002). Moreover, it is unknown whether the residual vision is a property of the network that already exists but is uncovered only after removal of inputs from the primary visual cortex (Schmid et al., 2009; Smirnakis et al., 2005), or whether it is the result of plastic reorganization that develops over time in specific areas after the lesions of primary visual cortical areas (Baseler et al., 1999; Bridge et al., 2008; Eysel et al., 1999; Huxlin, 2008; Illg et al., 2000; Payne et al., 1996; Poggel et al., 2006; Silvanto et al., 2007; Zepeela et al., 2004).

Some psychophysical experiments show that cats with lesions confined to areas 17, 18, and part of 19 made in infancy show more sparing of function in task performance of visual cliff detection and orienting to targets suddenly appearing in the visual field (Shupert et al., 1993). However, cats with lesions in areas 17, 18, 19 and suprasylvian visual areas were severely impaired at all visual tasks whether the lesions were incurred neonatally or in adulthood (Rushmore & Payne, 2004; Shupert et al., 1993). These findings suggest that the higher visual cortices, including areas 19, 21, and 20, are likely involved in the sparing of vision after lesions of early visual cortical areas, and such sparing of vision is enhanced through plastic reorganization after neonatal lesion (Rushmore & Payne, 2004; Shupert et al., 1993). To further test this hypothesis, we used single-unit extracellular recording techniques to record the neuronal response to visual stimulation in areas 19, 21, and 20 of anesthetized normal adult cats and cats with acute lesions of areas 17 and 18. By an unbiased statistical comparison, we determined to what extent acute lesions of primary visual cortical areas affected the responsiveness of neurons in areas 19, 21, and 20.

1 Materials and Methods

1.1 Subjects

Eight healthy young adult cats (2–3 a) were studied. All cats were examined ophthalmoscopically before the experiment to confirm that no optical or retinal problems impaireed their visual function. All experiment procedures were strictly in accordance with the National Institutes Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

1.2 Preparation for electrophysiology recording

All cats were prepared for extracellular single-unit or multi-unit recording as previously described (Hua et al., 2006, 2009, 1010; Peng et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2011). Briefly, anesthesia was induced by injection (i.m.) of ketamine HCl (40 mg/kg body weight, i.m.) and xylazine (2 mg/kg body weight, i.m.). After intubation of intravenous and tracheal cannulae, the cats were immobilized in stereotaxic apparatus with ear bars, eye bars, and a bite bar. Pupils were maximally dilated with atropine (1%), and appropriate contact lenses were used after optical refraction correction for both eyes. Neosynephrine (5%) was administered to retract the nictitating membranes. Glucose (5%)-saline (0.9%) solution containing a mixture of urethane (20 mg/h/kg body weight) and gallamine triethiodide (10 mg/h/kg body weight) was infused intravenously to keep the animal anesthetized and paralyzed. Expired pCO2 was maintained at approximately 3.8%. Heart rate (180–220 pulses/min) and EKG were monitored throughout the experiment to assess the level of anesthesia and ensure the subjects were not responsive to any pain. The skull and dura over unilateral areas 19, 21, and 20 were removed with a fine surgery under light microscope. Single- or multi-unit recordings were performed using a glass microelectrode (with an impedance of 3–5 MΩ) positioned vertically to the cortical surface and driven by a hydraulic micro manipulator (NARISHIGE, Japan). After the preparation was complete, the optic discs of the two eyes were reflected onto a moveable transparent tangent screen that was positioned 57 cm from the retina and overlapped with the CRT monitor used for stimulus presentation. Area centralis of each eye was located prior to physiological recording based on the position of the optic discs reflected onto the tangent screen (Bishop et al., 1962).

1.3 Visual stimuli

To maximally evoke visual response in areas 19, 21, and 20, we used two types of visual stimuli, including flickering squares and moving sinusoidal gratings with optimal orientation as well as temporal and spatial frequencies. Stimuli were shown on a CRT monitor (resolution 1024×768, refresh rate 85) positioned 57 cm from the animal’s eyes. The program to generate the stimuli was written in MATLAB, using extensions provided by the high-level Psychophysics Toolbox (Brainard, 1997) and low-level VideoToolbox (Pelli, 1997). Once spontaneous activity was detected, the cell’s receptive field center was carefully located by consecutively presenting a series of computer-generated
flickering light squares on the CRT. An ophthalmoscope and a hand held projector were also used to assist in mapping the receptive field center. The cell’s response to different types of visual stimuli (last for less than 5 s) was systematically recorded. Each stimulus was presented to both eyes and repeated 4−6 times with a 1-min interval between each trial for functional recovery of the recorded cell. Before each stimulus was presented, spontaneous activity was acquired during a 1 s period while no stimulus was shown on the screen.

The contrast of each stimulus used was set at 100%. The mean luminance of the display was 19 cd/m² and the environmental ambient luminance on the cornea was 0.1 lux.

1.4  Lesion surgery

Four randomly selected cats received acute bilateral lesions in areas 17 and 18 on marginal and posterolateral gyri before electrophysiological recording in areas 19, 21, and 20 (Fig.1A). All surgical procedures were similar to those described previously (Hua et al, 2009; Mao et al, 2011; Zhou et al, 2011). Briefly, cats were adequately anesthetized prior to preparation for single- or multi-unit recording, and the skull over the marginal and posterolateral gyri were maximally exposed and removed with a scalpel. Bilateral areas 17 and 18 on marginal and posterolateral gyri were then completely lesioned with an aspirating needle attached to a vacuum pump. The operation was carefully performed under light microscopy to ensure that the middle and post suprasylvian gyri as well as the white matter under marginal and posterolateral gyri were not damaged.

1.5  Histology

After electrophysiological recording, animals were anesthetized deeply with ketamine HCl (80 mg/kg body weight) and xylazine (6 mg/kg body weight) and then perfused through the heart with 1 000 mL of saline solution, followed by 500 mL of fixative solution containing 2% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 mol/L phosphate buffer (PB). Brains were extracted, photographed with a high resolution camera, post-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 mol/L PBS for 24 h, and stored in 30% sucrose in 0.1 mol/L PBS at 4 °C. After the tissue was infiltrated by the sucrose solution, it was sectioned frozen at 40 μm in the coronal plane. A series of sections at 200 μm intervals were stained for Nissl substance, which were used to examine if the lesions were successfully performed.
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**Fig. 1** Overview of the main gyri and sulcus in the visual cortex of cats and the cortical lesion and recording areas

A) Demonstration of the lesion surgery location (solid black areas, including chiefly areas 17 and 18) and electrophysiological recording areas within areas 19, 21, and 20 (Fig.1A). All surgical procedures were similar to those described previously (Hua et al, 2009; Mao et al, 2011; Zhou et al, 2011). Briefly, cats were adequately anesthetized prior to preparation for single- or multi-unit recording, and the skull over the marginal and posterolateral gyri were maximally exposed and removed with a scalpel. Bilateral areas 17 and 18 on marginal and posterolateral gyri were then completely lesioned with an aspirating needle attached to a vacuum pump. The operation was carefully performed under light microscopy to ensure that the middle and post suprasylvian gyri as well as the white matter under marginal and posterolateral gyri were not damaged.

1.6  Data acquisition and analysis

After the response of isolated units was amplified with a microelectrode amplifier (NIHON KOHDEN, Japan) and differential amplifier (Dagan 2400A, USA), action potentials were fed into a window discriminator with an audio monitor. The original voltage traces were digitized using an acquisition board (National Instruments, USA) controlled by IGOR (WaveMetrics, USA), and saved for later analysis. Cell response to each visual stimulus type was defined as the mean firing rate (spontaneous activity subtracted) corresponding to the time of stimulus modulation. An ANOVA was used to determine whether the firing rates during the stimulus presentation exceeded the firing rates during the pre-
stimulus period. The proportion of cells with significant visually-evoked responses in cats with or without lesions was counted and calculated as cells per penetration of electrode.

To examine if the electrode penetration distribution patterns were similar in cats with or without primary visual cortical area lesions, we photographed the whole visual cortex and marked in the picture each electrode penetration site using blood vessels on the cortical surface as a reference. After recording, we set in the picture a planar reference axis between two parallel lines that were perpendicular to the midline and passed by the rear tip of visuo-parietal cortex and middle suprasylvian sulcus, respectively (Fig.1A). The electrode penetration locations in areas 19, 21 and 20 were then normalized to a standard circle with a radius of 1. Each penetration site was assigned a value of distance from point 0 and an angle on a 360° scale. Data from different groups of cats were pooled into one polar plot (Fig. 1B,C).

All values were expressed as Mean±SD. Differences in cell sample distribution patterns and the proportion of cells with visually-evoked responses between different groups of cats were assessed using Chi-square test and Mann-Whitney U nonparametric test.

2 Results

2.1 Electrode penetrations in cats with and without lesions

We recorded the visually-evoked response of 499 cells from 149 electrode penetrations within areas 19, 21 and 20 in cats with no cortical lesions, and 30 cells from 120 electrode penetrations in cats with acute lesions in areas 17 and 18 (Tab. 1−3). The Chi-square test indicated that electrode penetration distribution patterns in cats with primary visual cortex lesions did not differ significantly from that in cats without lesions (P>0.05).

Based on the measurements from the reference axis (see Materials and methods), the electrode penetration distribution patterns in different quadrants showed no significant differences between cats with primary visual cortex lesions and control cats (Fig. 1B,C).

| Tab. 1 Electrode penetration distribution in different quadrants (on a 0-360° scale) of the reference axis constructed at the recording areas 19, 21 and 20 in cats with and without lesions of primary visual cortical areas |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Quadrants       | Electrode penetrations | Electrode penetrations |
| Degree range    | (No lesion)       | (Lesion)        |
| 0−45°           | 25               | 13              |
| 45−90°          | 7                | 11              |
| 90−135°         | 11               | 7               |
| 135−180°        | 22               | 24              |
| 180−225°        | 15               | 13              |
| 225−270°        | 15               | 13              |
| 270−315°        | 17               | 14              |
| 315−360°        | 37               | 25              |
| Total           | 149              | 120             |

Electrode penetration distribution in different quadrants in cats with lesions showed no significant differences with control cats (P>0.05).

| Tab. 2 Electrode penetration distribution in different ranges of radius from 0 point of the reference axis constructed at the recording areas 19, 21 and 20 in cats with and without lesions of primary visual cortex |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Radius range    | Electrode penetrations | Electrode penetrations |
|                 | (No lesion)       | (Lesion)        |
| 0−0.2           | 24               | 17              |
| 0.2−0.4         | 53               | 45              |
| 0.4−0.6         | 48               | 40              |
| 0.6−0.8         | 23               | 18              |
| 0.8−1           | 1                | 0               |
| Total           | 149              | 120             |

Electrode penetration distribution in different ranges of radius to point 0 at each quadrant in cats with lesions was significantly smaller than in control cats (P<0.05).

| Tab. 3 Penetrations with cells responding to visual stimulation (PTV) and the number of cells responding respectively to flickering squares (RTF) and moving gratings (RTG) in cats without (Cat1, Cat2, Cat3, and Cat4) or with (Cat5, Cat6, Cat7, and Cat8) lesions of primary visual cortical areas |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Subjects        | PTV  | RTF  | RTG  | Subjects        | PTV  | RTF  | RTG  |
| Cat1            | 21   | 61   | 62   | Cat5            | 3    | 11   | 1    |
| Cat2            | 28   | 75   | 28   | Cat6            | 5    | 6    | 2    |
| Cat3            | 30   | 201  | 178  | Cat7            | 4    | 6    | 0    |
| Cat4            | 29   | 145  | 231  | Cat8            | 3    | 4    | 0    |
| Total           | 108  | 482  | 499  | Total           | 15   | 27   | 3    |

Penetrations with cells responding to visual PTV and the number of cells responding to RTF and RTG in cats with cortical lesions were significantly smaller than in control cats (P<0.05).
Discussion

Psychophysical investigations in human and primates indicate that complete damage of primary visual cortical areas can severely impair visual functions, but the patients still show certain perception when performing such visual tasks as luminance contrast detection and motion direction discrimination (Barbur et al, 1980; Cowey, 1962; Cowey & Stoerig, 1995; Mohler & Wurtz, 1977; Stoerig & Cowey, 1997; Weiskrantz et al, 1974; Weiskrantz, 2004; Zeki & Ffytche, 1998). This residual visual capacity (blindsight) could be caused by: 1) effects of direct projection from subcortical nucleus (such as lateral geniculate nucleus and superior colliculus) to higher visual cortical areas; and or 2) post-lesion reorganization of pathways from subcortical level to visual cortical regions. To clarify these possibilities, we examined the response of neurons in the higher visual cortical areas 19, 21 and 20 after acute bilateral ablation of areas 17 and 18. Our results showed that acute primary visual cortex lesions inactivated the response of most neurons in areas 19, 21 and 20 to visual stimulation of either moving grating or flickering squares. This result is consistent with previous physiology experiments done in monkeys, which reported that acute lesions or cooling of V1 could inactivate about 95% of neurons in V2, V3 and V4 (Girard & Bullier, 1989; Girard et al, 1991a, b; Kaas et al, 1990; Schiller & Malpeli, 1977). Therefore, our results provide physiological evidence that acute damage of primary visual cortical areas in adult cats will severely impair visual functions, as observed in previous psychophysical experiments (Shupert et al, 1993). However, cats with neonatal lesions of primary visual cortices (including chiefly areas 17 and 18) showed more sparing of vision in performance of visual cliff detection, orienting to suddenly appearing targets, and other visual tasks (Rushmore & Payne, 2004; Shupert et al, 1993). These residual visual functions are likely mediated through plastic reorganization of visual pathways after lesions (Payne, 2004; Rushmore and Payne, 2004; Rushmore et al, 2008; Schoenfeld et al, 2002), as demonstrated by previous morphological studies on post-lesion rewiring of visual circuits capable of mediating visual abilities (Kalil et al, 1991; Lomber et al, 1993, 1995; Payne & Lomber, 1998). Previous research found that a significant portion of neurons in postero medial lateral suprasylvian (PMLS) cortex were responsive to moving gratings as well as simple and complex random dot kinematograms (RDKs) following early lesions of primary visual cortex in cats (Ouellette et al, 2007). This is consistent with other observations done in primates, which showed that neurons in the lesion-projection zones of MT (middle
temporal area) and even V2, V3 and V4 can be activated by visual stimulation after damage of primary visual cortex (Azzopardi et al., 2003; Schmid et al., 2009; Schmid et al., 2010) as certain regions in the extrastriate visual cortex may have direct connections with subcortical nucleus and thus form parallel, V1-bypassing pathways contributing to the behavioral phenomenon of blindsight.

A recent fMRI study indicated that direct LGN projections to the extrastriate cortex may play a critical role in mediating post-lesion residual vision (Schmid et al., 2010). However, all experimental evidence supporting their suggestions were collected one year (in cats) or at least one month (in monkeys) after lesion surgery, and therefore could not exclude the possibility that the post-lesion residual vision (blindsight) and neuronal activities they observed in the higher visual cortical areas were created by visual pathways or circuits that reorganized after lesions of primary visual cortex. As demonstrated by a fMRI study in a patient with V1 lesions, neuronal response activation occurred first in the higher-tier visual areas V5 and V4 and subsequently in the lower-tier areas V2 and V3, which supports the notion that post-lesion reorganization of visual pathways may be a main contribution to the blindsight (Schoenfeld et al., 2002).

In summary, our results suggest that sparing of vision following lesions of primary visual cortical areas may be attributed not only to direct connections between subcortical nucleus and higher visual cortical areas, but, more importantly, to visual pathways and networks that reorganize after lesion surgery.
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