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Abstract. The article is devoted to the formulation and proof of the theorem on convergence with probability 1 of expansion of iterated Itô stochastic integrals of arbitrary multiplicity based on generalized multiple Fourier series converging in the sense of norm in Hilbert space. The cases of multiple Fourier–Legendre series and multiple trigonometric Fourier series are considered in detail. The proof of the mentioned theorem is based on the general properties of multiple Fourier series as well as on the estimate for the fourth moment of approximation error in the method of expansion of iterated Itô stochastic integrals based on generalized multiple Fourier series.
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1 Introduction

The beginning of an intensive study of the problem of mean-square approximation of iterated Itô and Stratonovich stochastic integrals in the context of the numerical solution of Itô stochastic differential equations dates back to the 1980s–1990s. To date, there are many publications on the mentioned problem [1]-[36] (also see bibliographic references in these works). There are various approaches to solving the problem of the mean-square approximation of iterated stochastic integrals. Among them, we note the approach based on the Karhunen–Loève expansion of the Brownian bridge process [1]-[4], [13], [18], [21], approach based on the expansion of the Wiener process using various basis systems of functions [6], [10], [30], [31], approach based on the conditional joint characteristic function of a stochastic integral of multiplicity 2 [11], [12] as well as an approach based on multiple integral sums [1], [19].

The use of multiple and iterated generalized Fourier series by various complete orthonormal systems of functions in the space $L^2([t, T])$ for the expansion of iterated Itô and Stratonovich stochastic integrals was reflected in a number of author’s works [7]-[9], [14]-[17], [20], [22]-[29], [35]. The mentioned results based on generalized multiple and iterated Fourier series are systematized in the monograph [36] (2022).

The idea of the method of expansion of iterated Itô stochastic integrals based on generalized multiple Fourier series is as follows: the iterated Itô stochastic integral of multiplicity $k$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) is represented as a multiple stochastic integral from the certain discontinuous nonrandom function of $k$ variables defined on the hypercube $[t, T]^k$, where $[t, T]$ is an interval of integration of the iterated Itô stochastic integral. Then, the indicated nonrandom function is expanded into the generalized multiple Fourier series converging in the sense of norm in the space $L^2([t, T]^k)$. After a number of nontrivial transformations we come [14] (2006) to the mean-square converging expansion of the iterated Itô stochastic integral into the multiple series of products of standard Gaussian random variables. The coefficients of this series are the coefficients of generalized multiple Fourier series for the mentioned nonrandom function of $k$ variables, which can be calculated using the explicit formula regardless of multiplicity $k$ of the iterated Itô stochastic integral.

In a lot of author’s publications the convergence of the method of expansion of iterated Itô stochastic integrals based on generalized multiple Fourier series has been considered in different probabilistic meanings. For example, the mean-
square convergence \[14]-[17], [20], [22]-[29], [35], [36] and convergence in the mean of degree \(2n\) \((n \in \mathbb{N})\) \[15]-[17], [20], [22], [23], [36] have been proved. On the examples of specific iterated Itô stochastic integrals of multiplicities 1 and 2 the convergence with probability 1 also has been considered \[15]-[17], [20], [22], [23]. This article is devoted to the development of the method of expansion of iterated Itô stochastic integrals based on generalized multiple Fourier series. Namely, we formulate and prove the theorem on convergence with probability 1 of the mentioned method for an arbitrary multiplicity \(k\) \((k \in \mathbb{N})\) of the iterated Itô stochastic integrals. Moreover, the cases of multiple Fourier–Legendre series and multiple trigonometric Fourier series are considered in detail.

2 Method of Expansion of Iterated Itô Stochastic Integrals of Multiplicity \(k\) \((k \in \mathbb{N})\) Based on Generalized Multiple Fourier Series

Let \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})\) be a complete probability space, let \(\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, T]\}\) be a non-decreasing right-continuous family of \(\sigma\)-algebras of \(\mathcal{F}\), and let \(w_t\) be a standard \(m\)-dimensional Wiener stochastic process, which is \(\mathcal{F}_t\)-measurable for any \(t \in [0, T]\). We assume that the components \(w^{(i)}_t\) \((i = 1, \ldots, m)\) of this process are independent.

Let us consider an efficient method \[14]-[17], [20], [22]-[29], [35], [36] of the expansion and mean-square approximation of iterated Itô stochastic integrals of the form

\[
J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} = \int_t^T \psi_k(t_k) \ldots \int_t^{t_2} \psi_1(t_1) d\mathbf{w}^{(i_1)}_{t_1} \ldots d\mathbf{w}^{(i_k)}_{t_k},
\]

where \(0 \leq t < T < \infty\), \(\psi_l(\tau)\) \((l = 1, \ldots, k)\) are nonrandom functions from the space \(L^2([t, T])\), \(w^{(i)}_\tau\) \((i = 1, \ldots, m)\) are independent standard Wiener processes and \(w^{(0)}_\tau = \tau, i_1, \ldots, i_k = 0, 1, \ldots, m\).

Suppose that \(\{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^\infty\) is a complete orthonormal system of functions in the space \(L^2([t, T])\) and define the following function on the hypercube \([t, T]^k\)

\[
K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) = \begin{cases} 
\psi_1(t_1) \ldots \psi_k(t_k), & t_1 < \ldots < t_k \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}, \tag{2}
\]
where \( t_1, \ldots, t_k \in [t, T] \) \((k \geq 2)\) and \( K(t_1) \equiv \psi_1(t_1) \) for \( t_1 \in [t, T] \).

The function \( K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \) belongs to the space \( L_2([t, T]^k) \). At this situation it is well known that the generalized multiple Fourier series of \( K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \in L_2([t, T]^k) \) converges to \( K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \) on the hypercube \([t, T]^k\) in the mean-square sense, i.e.

\[
\lim_{p_1, \ldots, p_k \to \infty} \left\| K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \ldots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1} \prod_{l=1}^{k} \phi_{j_l}(t_l) \right\|_{L_2([t, T]^k)} = 0, \tag{3}
\]

where

\[
C_{j_k \ldots j_1} = \int_{[t, T]^k} K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \prod_{l=1}^{k} \phi_{j_l}(t_l) dt_1 \ldots dt_k \tag{4}
\]
is the Fourier coefficient and

\[
\| f \|_{L_2([t, T]^k)} = \left( \int_{[t, T]^k} f^2(t_1, \ldots, t_k) dt_1 \ldots dt_k \right)^{1/2}.
\]

Consider the discretization \( \{\tau_j\}_{j=0}^{N} \) of \([t, T]\) such that

\[
t = \tau_0 < \ldots < \tau_N = T, \quad \Delta_N = \max_{0 \leq j \leq N-1} \Delta \tau_j \to 0 \quad \text{if} \quad N \to \infty, \tag{5}
\]
where \( \Delta \tau_j = \tau_{j+1} - \tau_j \).

**Theorem 1** [14] (2006), [15]-[17], [20], [22]-[29], [35], [36]. Suppose that every \( \psi_l(\tau) \) \((l = 1, \ldots, k)\) is a continuous nonrandom function on the interval \([t, T]\) and \( \{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \) is a complete orthonormal system of continuous functions in the space \( L_2([t, T]) \). Then

\[
J[\psi^{(k)}], T, t = \lim_{p_1, \ldots, p_k \to \infty} J[\psi^{(k)}]^{p_1 \ldots p_k},
\]

\[
\mathcal{M} \left\{ \left( J[\psi^{(k)}], T, t - J[\psi^{(k)}]^{p_1 \ldots p_k} \right)^2 \right\} \leq k! \left( \int_{[t, T]^k} K^2(t_1, \ldots, t_k) dt_1 \ldots dt_k - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \ldots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^2 \right), \tag{6}
\]
where

\[
J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} = \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{k} \zeta_{j_l}^{(i_l)} \right) - \text{l.i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \sum_{(l_1, \ldots, l_k) \in G_k} \phi_{j_1}(\tau_{l_1}) \Delta w_{\tau l_1}^{(i_1)} \cdots \phi_{j_k}(\tau_{l_k}) \Delta w_{\tau l_k}^{(i_k)}
\]

and

\[
G_k = H_k \setminus L_k, \quad H_k = \{(l_1, \ldots, l_k) : l_1, \ldots, l_k = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1\},
\]

\[
L_k = \{(l_1, \ldots, l_k) : l_1, \ldots, l_k = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1; l_g \neq l_r (g \neq r); g, r = 1, \ldots, k\},
\]

l.i.m. is a limit in the mean-square sense, \(i_1, \ldots, i_k = 0, 1, \ldots, m\),

\[
\zeta_{j}^{(i)} = \int_{t}^{T} \phi_{j}(s)dw_{s}^{(i)}
\]

are independent standard Gaussian random variables for various \(i\) or \(j\) (if \(i \neq 0\), \(C_{j_k \ldots j_1}\) is the Fourier coefficient \([11]\), \(\Delta w_{\tau j}^{(i)} = w_{\tau j+1}^{(i)} - w_{\tau j}^{(i)}\) (\(i = 0, 1, \ldots, m\), \(\{\tau_j\}_{j=0}^{N}\) is the discretization \([5]\), the estimate \([6]\) is valid for \(T - t \in (0, \infty)\) and \(i_1, \ldots, i_k = 1, \ldots, m\) or \(T - t \in (0, 1)\) and \(i_1, \ldots, i_k = 0, 1, \ldots, m\).

Note that in \([14]-[17], [20], [22], [23], [36]\) the version of Theorem 1 for systems of Haar and Rademacher–Walsh functions has been considered. Some modifications of Theorem 1 for another types of iterated stochastic integrals as well as for complete orthonormal with weight \(r(t_1) \ldots r(t_k) \geq 0\) systems of functions in the space \(L_2([t, T]^k)\) can be found in \([14]-[17], [20], [22], [23], [36]\). Application of Theorem 1 and Theorem 4 (see below) to the mean-square approximation of iterated stochastic integrals with respect to the infinite-dimensional \(Q\)-Wiener process is presented in \([29], [36]\) (Chapter 7), \([38], [39]\).

Obtain transformed particular cases of Theorem 1 for \(k = 1, \ldots, 5\) \([14]-[17], [20], [22]-[29], [35], [36]\)

\[
J[\psi^{(1)}]_{T,t} = \text{l.i.m.}_{p_1 \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} C_{j_1} \zeta_{j_1}^{(i_1)}
\]

\[
J[\psi^{(2)}]_{T,t} = \text{l.i.m.}_{p_1, p_2 \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p_2} C_{j_2 j_1} \left( \zeta_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i_2)} - 1_{\{i_1=0\}} 1_{\{j_1=j_2\}} \right)
\]
\[ J[\psi^{(3)}]_{T,t} = \text{l.i.m.} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p_2} \sum_{j_3=0}^{p_3} C_{j_3,j_2,j_1} \left( \zeta_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \zeta_{j_3}^{(i_3)} - \right. \\
- \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_1=j_2\}} \zeta_{j_3}^{(i_3)} - \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_2=j_3\}} \zeta_{j_1}^{(i_1)} - \left. \mathbf{1}_{\{i_3\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_1=j_3\}} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \right), \quad (11) \]

\[ J[\psi^{(4)}]_{T,t} = \text{l.i.m.} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_4=0}^{p_4} C_{j_4\ldots j_1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{4} \zeta_{j_l}^{(i_l)} - \right. \\
- \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_1=j_2\}} \zeta_{j_3}^{(i_3)} \zeta_{j_4}^{(i_4)} - \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_1=j_3\}} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \zeta_{j_4}^{(i_4)} - \right. \\
- \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_1=j_4\}} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \zeta_{j_3}^{(i_3)} - \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_2=j_3\}} \zeta_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{j_4}^{(i_4)} - \right. \\
- \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_2=j_4\}} \zeta_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{j_3}^{(i_3)} - \mathbf{1}_{\{i_3\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_3=j_4\}} \zeta_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i_2)} - \left. \mathbf{1}_{\{i_4\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_4=j_1\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_2=j_3\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_2=j_4\}} \right), \quad (12) \]

\[ J[\psi^{(5)}]_{T,t} = \text{l.i.m.} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_5=0}^{p_5} C_{j_5\ldots j_1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{5} \zeta_{j_l}^{(i_l)} - \right. \\
- \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_1=j_2\}} \zeta_{j_3}^{(i_3)} \zeta_{j_4}^{(i_4)} \zeta_{j_5}^{(i_5)} - \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_1=j_3\}} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \zeta_{j_4}^{(i_4)} \zeta_{j_5}^{(i_5)} - \right. \\
- \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_1=j_4\}} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \zeta_{j_3}^{(i_3)} \zeta_{j_5}^{(i_5)} - \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_1=j_5\}} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \zeta_{j_3}^{(i_3)} \zeta_{j_4}^{(i_4)} - \right. \\
- \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_2=j_3\}} \zeta_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{j_4}^{(i_4)} \zeta_{j_5}^{(i_5)} - \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_2=j_4\}} \zeta_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{j_3}^{(i_3)} \zeta_{j_4}^{(i_4)} - \right. \\
- \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_2=j_5\}} \zeta_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{j_3}^{(i_3)} \zeta_{j_4}^{(i_4)} - \mathbf{1}_{\{i_3\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_3=j_4\}} \zeta_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \zeta_{j_5}^{(i_5)} - \right. \\
- \mathbf{1}_{\{i_3\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_3=j_5\}} \zeta_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \zeta_{j_4}^{(i_4)} - \mathbf{1}_{\{i_4\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_4=j_5\}} \zeta_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \zeta_{j_3}^{(i_3)} + \\
+ \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_1=j_2\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{i_3\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_3=j_4\}} \zeta_{j_5}^{(i_5)} + \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_1=j_2\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{i_3\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_3=j_5\}} \zeta_{j_4}^{(i_4)} + \right. \\
+ \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_1=j_2\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{i_4\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_4=j_5\}} \zeta_{j_3}^{(i_3)} + \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_1=j_3\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_2=j_4\}} \zeta_{j_5}^{(i_5)} + \right. \\
+ \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_1=j_3\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_2=j_5\}} \zeta_{j_4}^{(i_4)} + \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_1=j_4\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_2=j_5\}} \zeta_{j_3}^{(i_3)} + \right. \\
+ \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_1=j_4\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_2=j_3\}} \zeta_{j_5}^{(i_5)} + \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_1=j_5\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_2=j_3\}} \zeta_{j_4}^{(i_4)} + \right. \\
+ \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_1=j_5\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2\neq0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j_2=j_4\}} \zeta_{j_3}^{(i_3)} +
where $1_A$ is the indicator of the set $A$.

Let us consider the generalization of the formulas (9)–(13) for the case of an arbitrary $k$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$).

**Theorem 2** [16] (2009), [17], [20], [22], [23], [29], [36]. Under the conditions of Theorem 1 the following expansion

$$
J[\psi^{(k)}_{i_1 \ldots i_k}]_{T,t} = \lim_{p_1, \ldots, p_k \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{k} \zeta_{j_l}^{(i_l)} + \sum_{r=1}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (-1)^r \times \right.
$$

$$
\times \sum_{(\{g_1, g_2\}, \ldots, \{g_{2r-1}, g_{2r}\}, \{q_1, \ldots, q_{k-2r}\}) \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}} \prod_{s=1}^{r} \left( \sum_{j_{g_{2s-1}} = i_{g_{2s}} \neq 0} 1_{j_{g_{2s}} = j_{g_{2s}}} \prod_{l=1}^{k-2r} \zeta_{j_l}^{(i_{2q})} \right) \left. \right)
$$

converging in the mean-square sense is valid, where $[\cdot]$ is an integer part of a real number;

$$
\sum_{(\{g_1, g_2\}, \ldots, \{g_{2r-1}, g_{2r}\}, \{q_1, \ldots, q_{k-2r}\}) \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}}
$$

means the sum with respect to all possible permutations of the set

$$
(\{\{g_1, g_2\}, \ldots, \{g_{2r-1}, g_{2r}\}\}, \{q_1, \ldots, q_{k-2r}\}),
$$

where $\{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{2r-1}, g_{2r}, q_1, \ldots, q_{k-2r}\} = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$, braces mean an unordered set, and parentheses mean an ordered set; another notations are the same as in Theorem 1.

For further consideration, we need the following statement.

**Theorem 3** [15] (2007), [16], [17], [20], [22], [23], [36]. Under the conditions of Theorem 1 the following estimate

$$
\[
M \left\{ \left( J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} - J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t}^{p_1,...,p_k} \right)^{2n} \right\} \leq \\
\leq (k!)^{2n} (n(2n-1))^{n(k-1)} (2n-1)!! \times \\
\times \left( \int_{[t,T]^k} K^2(t_1,\ldots,t_k)dt_1 \cdots dt_k - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C^2_{j_k...j_1} \right)^n \tag{15}
\]

is valid, where \( n \in \mathbb{N} \); another notations are the same as in Theorem 1.

Since according to the Parseval’s equality

\[
\int_{[t,T]^k} K^2(t_1,\ldots,t_k)dt_1 \cdots dt_k - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C^2_{j_k...j_1} \to 0
\]

if \( p_1, \ldots, p_k \to \infty \), then the inequality (15) means that the expansions of iterated Itô stochastic integrals in Theorem 1 converge in the mean of degree \( 2n \) \((n \in \mathbb{N})\).

Let us consider the generalization of Theorems 1–3 for the case of an arbitrary complete orthonormal systems of functions in the space \( L_2([t,T]) \) and \( \psi_1(\tau), \ldots, \psi_k(\tau) \in L_2([t,T]) \).

**Theorem 4** \([36]\) (Sect. 1.11), \([37]\) (Sect. 15). Suppose that \( \psi_1(\tau), \ldots, \psi_k(\tau) \in L_2([t,T]) \) and \( \{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \) is an arbitrary complete orthonormal system of functions in the space \( L_2([t,T]) \). Then

\[
J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} = \lim_{p_1,...,p_k \to \infty} J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t}^{p_1,...,p_k}, \tag{16}
\]

\[
M \left\{ \left( J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} - J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t}^{p_1,...,p_k} \right)^2 \right\} \leq \\
\leq k! \left( \int_{[t,T]^k} K^2(t_1,\ldots,t_k)dt_1 \cdots dt_k - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C^2_{j_k...j_1} \right),
\]
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\[
M \left\{ \left( J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} - J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t}^{p_1,\ldots,p_k} \right)^{2n} \right\} \leq (k!)^{2n}(n(2n - 1))^{n(k-1)}(2n - 1)!! \times \\
\times \left( \int_{[t,T]^k} K^2(t_1, \ldots, t_k) dt_1 \ldots dt_k - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \ldots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^{2} \right)^n,
\]

where \( n \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[
J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t}^{p_1,\ldots,p_k} = \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \ldots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{k} \left( \zeta_{j_l}^{(i_l)} + \sum_{r=1}^{[k/2]} (-1)^r \times \right. \right. \\
\left. \left. \sum_{s=1}^{r} \prod_{i=1}^{r} 1_{i_{g_{2s-1}} = i_{g_{2s}} \neq 0} 1_{j_{g_{2s-1}} = j_{g_{2s}}} \right) \prod_{l=1}^{k-2r} \zeta_{j_{i_l}}^{(i_l)} \right), \quad (17)
\]

where \([x]\) is an integer part of a real number \( x \); another notations are the same as in Theorems 1–3.

It should be noted that an analogue of the expansion (16) under the conditions of Theorem 4 was considered in [40]. Note that we use another notations [36] (Sect. 1.11), [37] (Sect. 15) in comparison with [40]. Moreover, the proof of an analogue of (16) from [40] is somewhat different from the proof given in [36] (Sect. 1.11), [37] (Sect. 15).

Also note the following theorem.

**Theorem 5** [36] (Sect. 1.12), [41] (Sect. 6). Suppose that \( \{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \) is an arbitrary complete orthonormal system of functions in the space \( L_2([t,T]) \) and \( \psi_1(\tau), \ldots, \psi_k(\tau) \in L_2([t,T]), i_1, \ldots, i_k = 1, \ldots, m. \) Then

\[
M \left\{ \left( J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} - J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t}^{p_1,\ldots,p_k} \right)^2 \right\} = \int_{[t,T]^k} K^2(t_1, \ldots, t_k) dt_1 \ldots dt_k -
\]
where \( i_1, \ldots, i_k = 1, \ldots, m \); the value \( J[y^{(k)}]_{T,t} \) is defined by (17) \((p_1 = \ldots = p_k = p)\); the expression

\[
\sum_{(j_1, \ldots, j_k)}
\]

means the sum with respect to all possible permutations \((j_1, \ldots, j_k)\). At the same time if \( j_r \) swapped with \( j_q \) in the permutation \((j_1, \ldots, j_k)\), then \( i_r \) swapped with \( i_q \) in the permutation \((i_1, \ldots, i_k)\); another notations are the same as in Theorems 1, 2.

Let us consider the following iterated Itô stochastic integrals from the Taylor–Itô expansion [3]

\[
J_{(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)T,t}^{(i_1 \ldots i_k)} = \int_t^T \int_t^t \cdots \int_t^t d\mathbf{w}^{(i_1)}_{t_1} \cdots d\mathbf{w}^{(i_k)}_{t_k},
\]

(18)

where \( i_1, \ldots, i_k = 0, 1, \ldots, m \), \( \lambda_l = 1 \) if \( i_l = 1, \ldots, m \) and \( \lambda_l = 0 \) if \( i_l = 0 \) \((l = 1, \ldots, k)\). Remind that \( \mathbf{w}^{(i)}_{\tau} \), \( i = 1, \ldots, m \) are independent standard Wiener processes and \( \mathbf{w}^{(0)}_{\tau} = \tau \).

For example, using Theorems 1, 4 (see (9)-(11)) and complete orthonormal system of Legendre polynomials in the space \( L_2([t, T]) \) we obtain the following approximations of the iterated Itô stochastic integrals (18) [14]-[17], [20], [22]-[29], [35], [36] (also see early publications [8], [9])

\[
J_{(1)T,t}^{(i_1)} = \sqrt{T - t} s_{0}^{(i_1)},
\]

(19)

\[
J_{(01)T,t}^{(0i_1)} = \frac{(T - t)^{3/2}}{2} \left( s_{0}^{(i_1)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} s_{1}^{(i_1)} \right),
\]

(20)

\[
J_{(10)T,t}^{(i_10)} = \frac{(T - t)^{3/2}}{2} \left( s_{0}^{(i_1)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} s_{1}^{(i_1)} \right),
\]

(21)

\[
J_{(11)T,t}^{(i_1i_2)} = \frac{T - t}{2} \left( s_{0}^{(i_1)} s_{0}^{(i_2)} + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4i^2 - 1}} \left( \zeta_{i-1}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{i}^{(i_2)} - \zeta_{i}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{i-1}^{(i_2)} \right) - 1_{\{i_1=i_2\}} \right),
\]

(22)
\[ J^{(i_1i_1)}_{(11)T,t} = \frac{1}{2}(T - t)\left( (\zeta_0^{(i_1)})^2 - 1 \right). \]

\[ J^{(i_1i_2i_3)}_{(111)T,t} = \sum_{j_1,j_2,j_3=0}^p C_{j_3j_2j_1} \left( \zeta_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \zeta_{j_3}^{(i_3)} - 1 \{ i_1 = i_2 \} \{ j_1 = j_2 \} \zeta_{j_3}^{(i_3)} - 1 \{ i_2 = i_3 \} \{ j_2 = j_3 \} \zeta_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \right), \quad (23) \]

\[ J^{(i_1i_2i_1)}_{(111)T,t} = \frac{1}{6}(T - t)^{3/2} \left( (\zeta_0^{(i_1)})^3 - 3\zeta_0^{(i_1)} \right), \]

where

\[ C_{j_3j_2j_1} = \frac{\sqrt{(2j_1 + 1)(2j_2 + 1)(2j_3 + 1)}(T - t)^{3/2}}{8} \tilde{C}_{j_3j_2j_1}, \]

\[ \tilde{C}_{j_3j_2j_1} = \int_{-1}^{1} P_{j_3}(z) \int_{-1}^{1} P_{j_2}(y) \int_{-1}^{1} P_{j_1}(x) dx dy dz, \]

where the Gaussian random variable \( \zeta_j^{(i)} \) (if \( i \neq 0 \)) is defined by (8) and \( P_j(x) \) \( (j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots) \) is the Legendre polynomial [12].

Note that formula (22) has been obtained for the first time in [8] (1997). For pairwise different \( i_1, i_2, i_3 = 1, \ldots, m \) we have [8, 9, 14-17, 20, 22-29, 35]

\[ M \left\{ \left( J^{(i_1i_2)}_{(11)T,t} - J^{(i_1i_2q)}_{(11)T,t} \right)^2 \right\} = \frac{(T - t)^2}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{1}{4i^2 - 1} \right), \quad (24) \]

\[ M \left\{ \left( J^{(i_1i_2i_3)}_{(111)T,t} - J^{(i_1i_2i_3)}_{(111)T,t} \right)^2 \right\} = \frac{(T - t)^3}{6} - \sum_{j_1,j_2,j_3=0}^p C_{j_3j_2j_1}^2, \quad (25) \]

The problem of the exact calculation of the mean-square error of approximation in Theorems 1, 4 is solved completely for an arbitrary \( k \) \( (k \in \mathbb{N}) \) and any possible combinations of the numbers \( i_1, \ldots, i_k = 1, \ldots, m \) in Theorem 5 (also see [23, 36, 41]).
3 Convergence With Probability 1 of Expansions of Iterated Itô Stochastic Integrals of Multiplicity $k$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) in Theorems 1, 2

Let us address now to the convergence with probability 1 (w. p. 1) in Theorem 1. As we mentioned above this question has been studied for simplest iterated Itô stochastic integrals of multiplicities 1 and 2 in [15]-[17], [20], [22], [23], [36].

In this section, we formulate and prove the general result on convergence w. p. 1 of expansions of iterated Itô stochastic integrals in Theorems 1, 2 for the case of multiplicity $k$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) for these integrals.

**Theorem 6.** Let $\psi_l(\tau)$ ($l = 1, \ldots, k$) are continuously differentiable non-random functions on the interval $[t,T]$ and $\{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ is a complete orthonormal system of Legendre polynomials or trigonometric functions in the space $L_2([t,T])$. Then $J[\psi^{(k)}_{T,t}]_{p_1,\ldots,p_k} \rightarrow J[\psi^{(k)}_{T,t}]_{T,t}$ if $p \rightarrow \infty$ w. p. 1, where $J[\psi^{(k)}_{T,t}]_{p_1,\ldots,p_k}$ is defined as the right-hand side of (14) before passing to the limit for the case $p_1 = \ldots = p_k = p$, i.e. (see Theorem 2)

$$J[\psi^{(k)}_{T,t}]_{p_1,\ldots,p_k} = \sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_k=0}^{p} C_{j_k \ldots j_1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{k} \zeta^{(i_l)}_{j_l} \right) + \sum_{r=1}^{[k/2]} (-1)^{r} \times$$

$$\times \sum_{\{(g_1, g_2), \ldots, (g_{2r-1}, g_{2r})\}, \{(i_1, \ldots, i_{k-2r})\}} \prod_{s=1}^{r} 1_{i_{g_{2s-1}} = i_{g_{2s}} \neq 0} 1_{i_{g_{2s-1}} = i_{g_{2s}}} \prod_{l=1}^{k-2r} \zeta^{(i_l)}_{j_{g_l}},$$

where $i_1, \ldots, i_k = 1, \ldots, m$, another notations are the same as in Theorems 1, 2.

**Proof.** Let us consider the Parseval equality

$$\int_{[t,T]^k} K^2(t_1, \ldots, t_k) dt_1 \ldots dt_k = \lim_{p_1, \ldots, p_k \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \ldots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^2, \quad (26)$$

where

$$K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) = \begin{cases} \psi_1(t_1) \ldots \psi_k(t_k), & t_1 < \ldots < t_k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
where $t_1, \ldots, t_k \in [t, T]$ ($k \geq 2$) and $K(t_1) \equiv \psi_1(t_1)$ for $t_1 \in [t, T]$.

$$C_{j_k \ldots j_1} = \int_{[t,T]^k} K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \prod_{l=1}^{k} \phi_{j_l}(t_l) dt_1 \ldots dt_k$$

is the Fourier coefficient.

Taking into account the definitions of $K(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$ and $C_{j_k \ldots j_1}$, we obtain

$$C_{j_k \ldots j_1} = \int_{t}^{T} \phi_{j_k}(t_k) \psi_k(t_k) \ldots \int_{t}^{t_2} \phi_{j_1}(t_1) \psi_1(t_1) dt_1 \ldots dt_k. \quad (27)$$

Further, we denote

$$\lim_{p_1, \ldots, p_k \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^2 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_k=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^2.$$  

If $p_1 = \ldots = p_k = p$, then we also write

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^2 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_k=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^2.$$  

From the other hand, for iterated limits we write

$$\lim_{p_1 \to \infty} \ldots \lim_{p_k \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^2 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^2;$$

$$\lim_{p_1 \to \infty} \lim_{p_2, \ldots, p_k \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^2 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^2$$

and so on.

Using the Parseval equality and Lemma 2 (see Appendix) we obtain

$$\int_{[t,T]^k} K^2(t_1, \ldots, t_k) dt_1 \ldots dt_k - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^2 =$$

$$= \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_k=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^2 - \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^2 =$$
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Note that deriving (28) we use the following

\[
\begin{align*}
= & \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} C^2_{j_k\ldots j_1} - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} \ldots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p} C^2_{j_k\ldots j_1} = \\
= & \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} \sum_{j_2=0}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} C^2_{j_k\ldots j_1} + \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} \sum_{j_2=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_3=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} C^2_{j_k\ldots j_1} - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} \sum_{j_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} C^2_{j_k\ldots j_1} = \\
= & \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p} \sum_{j_3=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} C^2_{j_k\ldots j_1} + \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} \sum_{j_2=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_3=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} C^2_{j_k\ldots j_1} + \\
& \sum_{j_1=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p} \sum_{j_3=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} C^2_{j_k\ldots j_1} - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} \sum_{j_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} C^2_{j_k\ldots j_1} = \\
= & \ldots = \\
= & \sum_{j_1=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p} \sum_{j_3=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} C^2_{j_k\ldots j_1} + \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} \sum_{j_2=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_3=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} C^2_{j_k\ldots j_1} + \\
& \sum_{j_1=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p} \sum_{j_3=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} C^2_{j_k\ldots j_1} - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} \sum_{j_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} C^2_{j_k\ldots j_1} = \\
= & \sum_{s=1}^{k} \left( \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_s=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s+1}=0}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} C^2_{j_k\ldots j_1} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

(28)

Note that deriving (28) we use the following

\[
\sum_{j_1=0}^{p} \ldots \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{p} \sum_{j_s=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s+1}=0}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} C^2_{j_k\ldots j_1} \leq \\
\leq \sum_{j_1=0}^{m_1} \ldots \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{m_{s-1}} \sum_{j_s=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s+1}=0}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} C^2_{j_k\ldots j_1} \leq \\
\leq \lim_{m_{s-1} \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{m_1} \ldots \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{m_{s-1}} \sum_{j_s=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s+1}=0}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} C^2_{j_k\ldots j_1} =
\]
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\[
\sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s+1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{k+1}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{k+j_1}=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k \cdots j_1}^2 \leq \cdots \leq \\
\sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s+1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{k+1}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{k+j_1}=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k \cdots j_1}^2,
\]

where \(m_1, \ldots, m_{s-1} > p\).

Denote
\[
C_{j_s \cdots j_1}(\tau) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \phi_{j_s}(t_s) \psi_{s}(t_s) \cdots \int_{t}^{t_2} \phi_{j_1}(t_1) \psi_{1}(t_1) dt_1 \cdots dt_s,
\]

where \(s = 1, \ldots, k - 1\).

For \(s < k\) due to Lemma 3, Dini Theorem (see Appendix) and Parseval equality we obtain
\[
\sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_s=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s+1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{k+1}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{k+j_1}=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k \cdots j_1}^2 = \\
= \sum_{j_{s+1}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{k+1}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{k+j_1}=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k \cdots j_1}^2 = \\
= \sum_{j_{s+1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{k+1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{k+2}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{k+j_1}=0}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{t_1} \psi_{k}^2(t_k) (C_{j_{k-1} \cdots j_1}(t_k))^2 dt_k = \\
= M \sum_{j_{s+1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{k+1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{k+2}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{k+j_1}=0}^{\infty} (C_{j_{k-2} \cdots j_1}(\tau))^2 d\tau dt_k \leq \\
= M \sum_{j_{s+1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{k+1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{k+2}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{k+j_1}=0}^{\infty} (C_{j_{k-2} \cdots j_1}(\tau))^2 d\tau = 
\]
= M \sum_{j_s=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{k-3}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{k-3}=0}^{\infty} T \int_{t}^{\tau} \int_{t}^{\tau} \psi^{2}_{k-2}(\theta) \left( C_{j_{k-3}\ldots j_{1}}(\theta) \right)^{2} d\theta d\tau \leq \\
leq M' \sum_{j_s=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{k-3}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{k-3}=0}^{\infty} T \int_{t}^{\tau} (C_{j_{k-3}\ldots j_{1}}(\tau))^{2} d\tau \leq \ldots \leq \\
leq M_k \sum_{j_s=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=0}^{\infty} T \int_{t}^{\tau} \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} (C_{j_{s}\ldots j_{1}}(\tau))^{2} d\tau = \\
= M_k \sum_{j_s=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{j_2=0}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{\tau} \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} (C_{j_{s}\ldots j_{1}}(\tau))^{2} d\tau, \quad (29)

where constants \( M, M' \) depend on \( T - t \) and constant \( M_k \) depends on \( T - t \) and \( k \).

Let us explain more precisely how we obtain (29). For any function \( g(s) \in L_{2}([t, T]) \) we have the following Parseval equality

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{t}^{\tau} \phi_{j}(s)g(s)ds \right)^{2} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{t}^{\tau} \int_{t}^{\tau} 1_{\{s<\tau\}} \phi_{j}(s)g(s)ds \right)^{2} = \\
= \int_{t}^{\tau} \left( 1_{\{s<\tau\}} \right)^{2} g^{2}(s)ds = \int_{t}^{\tau} g^{2}(s)ds. \quad (30)
\]

Equality (30) has been applied repeatedly when we obtaining (29).

Using the replacement of integration order for Riemann integrals, we have

\[
C_{j_{s}\ldots j_{1}}(\tau) = \int_{t}^{\tau} \phi_{j_{s}}(t_{s})\psi_{s}(t_{s}) \ldots \int_{t}^{\tau} \phi_{j_{1}}(t_{1})\psi_{1}(t_{1})dt_{1} \ldots dt_{s} = \\
= \int_{t}^{\tau} \phi_{j_{1}}(t_{1})\psi_{1}(t_{1}) \int_{t_{1}}^{\tau} \phi_{j_{2}}(t_{2})\psi_{2}(t_{2}) \ldots \int_{t_{s-1}}^{\tau} \phi_{j_{s}}(t_{s})\psi_{s}(t_{s})dt_{s} \ldots dt_{2}dt_{1}.
\]

For \( l = 1, \ldots, s \) we will use the following notation
\[ \tilde{C}_{j_s...j_l}(\tau, \theta) = \]
\[ = \int_{\theta}^{\tau} \phi_{j_l}(t_l) \psi_1(t_l) \int_{t_l}^{\tau} \phi_{j_{l+1}}(t_{l+1}) \psi_{l+1}(t_{l+1}) \ldots \int_{t_{s-1}}^{\tau} \phi_{j_s}(t_s) \psi_s(t_s) dt_s \ldots dt_{l+1} dt_l. \]

Using the Parseval equality and Dini Theorem (see Appendix), from (29) we obtain

\[ \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_s=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s+1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k...j_1}^2 \leq \]
\[ \leq M_k \sum_{j_s=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{j_2=0}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{T} \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} (C_{j_s...j_1}(\tau))^2 d\tau = \]
\[ = M_k \sum_{j_s=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{j_3=0}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{\tau} \psi_1^2(t_1) \left( \tilde{C}_{j_s...j_2}(\tau, t_1) \right)^2 dt_1 d\tau = \]
\[ = M_k \sum_{j_s=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{j_3=0}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{\tau} \psi_1^2(t_1) \sum_{j_2=0}^{\infty} \left( \tilde{C}_{j_s...j_2}(\tau, t_1) \right)^2 dt_1 d\tau = \]
\[ \leq M_k \sum_{j_s=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{j_3=0}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{\tau} \psi_1^2(t_1) \int_{t_1}^{\tau} \psi_2^2(t_2) \left( \tilde{C}_{j_s...j_3}(\tau, t_2) \right)^2 dt_2 dt_1 d\tau \leq \]
\[ \leq M_k \sum_{j_s=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{j_3=0}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{\tau} \psi_1^2(t_1) \int_{t_1}^{\tau} \psi_2^2(t_2) \left( \tilde{C}_{j_s...j_3}(\tau, t_2) \right)^2 dt_2 dt_1 d\tau \leq \]
\[ \leq M'_{k} \sum_{j_s=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{j_3=0}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{\tau} \psi_2^2(t_2) \left( \tilde{C}_{j_s...j_3}(\tau, t_2) \right)^2 dt_2 d\tau \leq \ldots \leq \]
\[ \leq M''_{k} \sum_{j_s=p+1}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{\tau} \psi_{s-1}^2(t_{s-1}) \left( \tilde{C}'_{j_s}(\tau, t_{s-1}) \right)^2 dt_{s-1} d\tau \leq \]
\[ \leq \tilde{M}_k \sum_{j_s=p+1}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{\tau} \left( \int_{u}^{\tau} \phi_{j_s}(\theta) \psi_s(\theta) d\theta \right)^2 du d\tau, \] (33)
where constants $M_k'$, $M_k''$, and $\tilde{M}_k$ depend on $k$ and $T - t$.

Let us explain more precisely how we obtain (33). For any function $g(s) \in L_2([t, T])$ we have the following Parseval equality

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\theta}^{\tau} \phi_j(s) g(s) ds \right)^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{t}^{T} \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta < s < \tau\}} \phi_j(s) g(s) ds \right)^2 = \\
= \int_{t}^{T} \left( \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta < s < \tau\}} \right)^2 g^2(s) ds = \int_{\theta}^{\tau} g^2(s) ds. \tag{34}
\]

Equality (34) has been applied repeatedly when we obtain (33).

Let us explain more precisely the passing from (31) to (32) (the same steps have been used when we derived (33)).

We have

\[
\int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{\tau} \psi_1^2(t_1) \sum_{j_2=0}^{\infty} (\tilde{C}_{j_2} \cdots \phi_j(\tau, t_1))^2 dt_1 d\tau - \sum_{j_2=0}^{n} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{\tau} \psi_1^2(t_1) (\tilde{C}_{j_2} \cdots \phi_j(\tau, t_1))^2 dt_1 d\tau = \\
= \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{\tau} \psi_1^2(t_1) \sum_{j_2=n+1}^{\infty} (\tilde{C}_{j_2} \cdots \phi_j(\tau, t_1))^2 dt_1 d\tau = \\
= \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \int_{t}^{\tau_j} \psi_1^2(t_1) \sum_{j_2=n+1}^{\infty} (\tilde{C}_{j_2} \cdots \phi_j(\tau_j, t_1))^2 dt_1 \Delta \tau_j, \tag{35}
\]

where $\{\tau_j\}_{j=0}^{N}$ is the partition of the interval $[t, T]$, which satisfies the condition (5).

Since the non-decreasing functional sequence $u_n(\tau_j, t_1)$ and its limit function $u(\tau_j, t_1)$ are continuous on the interval $[t, \tau_j] \subseteq [t, T]$ with respect to $t_1$, where

\[
u_n(\tau_j, t_1) = \sum_{j_2=0}^{n} (\tilde{C}_{j_2} \cdots \phi_j(\tau_j, t_1))^2, \\
u(\tau_j, t_1) = \sum_{j_2=0}^{\infty} (\tilde{C}_{j_2} \cdots \phi_j(\tau_j, t_1))^2 = \int_{t_1}^{\tau_j} \psi_2^2(t_2) (\tilde{C}_{j_2} \cdots \phi_j(\tau_j, t_2))^2 dt_2,
\]
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then by Dini Theorem we have the uniform convergence of \( u_n(\tau_j, t_1) \) to \( u(\tau_j, t_1) \) at the interval \([t, \tau_j] \subseteq [t, T]\) with respect to \( t_1 \). As a result, we obtain

\[
\sum_{j_2=n+1}^{\infty} \left( \tilde{C}_{j_2}(\tau_j, t_1) \right)^2 < \varepsilon, \quad t_1 \in [t, \tau_j]
\]  

(36)

for \( n > N(\varepsilon) \) (\( N(\varepsilon) \) exists for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and it does not depend on \( t_1 \)).

From (35) and (36) we obtain

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \int_t^{\tau_j} \psi_1^2(t_1) \sum_{j_2=n+1}^{\infty} \left( \tilde{C}_{j_2}(\tau_j, t_1) \right)^2 dt_1 \Delta \tau_j \leq
\]

\[
\leq \varepsilon \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \int_t^{\tau_j} \psi_1^2(t_1) dt_1 \Delta \tau_j =
\]

\[
= \varepsilon \int_t^{T} \int_t^{\tau} \psi_1^2(t_1) dt_1 d\tau.
\]

(37)

From (37) we get

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_t^{T} \int_t^{\tau} \psi_1^2(t_1) \sum_{j_2=n+1}^{\infty} \left( \tilde{C}_{j_2}(\tau, t_1) \right)^2 dt_1 d\tau = 0.
\]

This fact completes the proof of passing from (31) to (32).

Let us estimate the integral

\[
\int_u^{\tau} \phi_{j_2}(\theta) \psi_s(\theta) d\theta
\]

(38)

from (33) for the cases when \( \{\phi_j(s)\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \) is a complete orthonormal system of Legendre polynomials or trigonometric functions in the space \( L_2([t, T]) \).

Note that the estimates for the integral

\[
\int_t^{\tau} \phi_j(\theta) \psi(\theta) d\theta, \quad j \geq p + 1
\]

(39)
have been obtained in [20], [22], [23], [36]. Here $\psi(\theta)$ is a continuously differentiable function on the interval $[t, T]$.

Let us estimate the integral (38) using the approach from [20], [22], [23], [36].

First consider the case of Legendre polynomials. Then $\phi_j(\theta)$ looks as follows

$$
\phi_j(\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{T-t}} P_j \left( \left( \theta - \frac{T+t}{2} \right) \frac{2}{T-t} \right), \quad j \geq 0,
$$

where $P_j(x)$ ($j = 0, 1, 2 \ldots$) is the Legendre polynomial.

Further, we have

$$
\int_x^y \phi_j(\theta) \psi(\theta) \, d\theta = \frac{\sqrt{T-t} \sqrt{2j+1}}{2} \int_z^{z(x)} P_j(y) \psi(u(y)) \, dy =
$$

$$
= \frac{\sqrt{T-t}}{2 \sqrt{2j+1}} \left( (P_{j+1}(z(x)) - P_{j-1}(z(x))) \psi(x) - (P_{j+1}(z(v)) - P_{j-1}(z(v))) \psi(v) -
$$

$$
- \frac{T-t}{2} \int_z^{z(x)} ((P_{j+1}(y) - P_{j-1}(y)) \psi'(u(y)) \, dy \right), \quad (40)
$$

where $x, v \in (t, T)$, $j \geq p + 1$, and $u(y), z(x)$ are defined by the following relations

$$
u(y) = \frac{T-t}{2} y + \frac{T+t}{2}, \quad z(x) = \left( x - \frac{T+t}{2} \right) \frac{2}{T-t},$$

$\psi'$ is a derivative of the function $\psi(\theta)$ with respect to the variable $u(y)$.

Note that in (40) we used the following well-known property of the Legendre polynomials [42]

$$
\frac{dP_{j+1}}{dx}(x) - \frac{dP_{j-1}}{dx}(x) = (2j + 1) P_j(x), \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots
$$

From (40) and the well-known estimate for the Legendre polynomials [46]

$$
|P_j(y)| < \frac{K}{\sqrt{j+1}} (1 - y^2)^{1/4}, \quad y \in (-1, 1), \quad j \in \mathbb{N},
$$
where constant $K$ does not depend on $y$ and $j$, it follows that
\[
\left| \int_x^v \phi_j(\theta) \psi(\theta) d\theta \right| < \frac{C}{j} \left( \frac{1}{(1 - (z(x))^2)^{1/4}} + \frac{1}{(1 - (z(v))^2)^{1/4}} + C_1 \right),
\]  
(41)
where $z(x), z(v) \in (-1, 1)$, $x, v \in (t, T)$ and constants $C, C_1$ does not depend on $j$.

From (41) we obtain
\[
\left( \int_x^v \phi_j(\theta) \psi(\theta) d\theta \right)^2 < \frac{C_2}{j^2} \left( \frac{1}{(1 - (z(x))^2)^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{(1 - (z(v))^2)^{1/2}} + C_3 \right),
\]  
(42)
where constants $C_2, C_3$ does not depend on $j$.

Let us apply (42) for the estimate of the right-hand side of (33). We have
\[
\int_T^T \int_u^u \left( \int_\theta^\theta \phi_j(\theta) \psi_s(\theta) d\theta \right)^2 dud\tau \leq \frac{K_1}{j^2 s} \left( \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dy}{(1 - y^2)^{1/2}} + \int_{-1}^x \frac{dy}{(1 - y^2)^{1/2}} dx + K_2 \right) \leq \frac{K_3}{j^2 s},
\]  
(43)
where constants $K_1, K_2, K_3$ are independent of $j_s$.

Now consider the trigonometric case. The complete orthonormal system of trigonometric functions in the space $L_2([t, T])$ has the following form
\[
\phi_j(\theta) = \begin{cases} 
1, & j = 0 \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{T - t}} \sqrt{2} \sin \left( 2\pi r (\theta - t)/(T - t) \right), & j = 2r - 1, \\
\sqrt{2} \cos \left( 2\pi r (\theta - t)/(T - t) \right), & j = 2r
\end{cases}
\]  
(44)
where $r = 1, 2, \ldots$
Using the system of functions (44), we have

\[ \int_{v}^{x} \phi_{2r-1}(\theta) \psi(\theta) d\theta = \sqrt{\frac{2}{T-t}} \int_{v}^{x} \sin \frac{2\pi r(\theta - t)}{T-t} \psi(\theta) d\theta = \]

\[ \sqrt{\frac{T-t}{2}} \frac{1}{\pi r} \left( \psi(x) \cos \frac{2\pi r(x - t)}{T-t} - \psi(v) \cos \frac{2\pi r(v - t)}{T-t} - \int_{v}^{x} \cos \frac{2\pi r(\theta - t)}{T-t} \psi'(\theta) d\theta \right), \] (45)

\[ \int_{v}^{x} \phi_{2r}(\theta) \psi(\theta) d\theta = \sqrt{\frac{2}{T-t}} \int_{v}^{x} \cos \frac{2\pi r(\theta - t)}{T-t} \psi(\theta) d\theta = \]

\[ \sqrt{\frac{T-t}{2}} \frac{1}{\pi r} \left( \psi(x) \sin \frac{2\pi r(x - t)}{T-t} - \psi(v) \sin \frac{2\pi r(v - t)}{T-t} - \int_{v}^{x} \sin \frac{2\pi r(\theta - t)}{T-t} \psi'(\theta) d\theta \right), \] (46)

where \( \psi'(\theta) \) is a derivative of the function \( \psi(\theta) \) with respect to the variable \( \theta \).

Combining (45) and (46), we obtain for the trigonometric case

\[ \left( \int_{v}^{x} \phi_{j}(\theta) \psi(\theta) d\theta \right)^{2} \leq \frac{C_{4}}{j^{2}}, \] (47)

where constant \( C_{4} \) is independent of \( j \).

From (47) we finally have

\[ \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{\tau} \left( \int_{u}^{\tau} \phi_{j_{s}}(\theta) \psi_{s}(\theta) d\theta \right)^{2} dud\tau \leq \frac{K_{4}}{j_{s}^{2}}, \] (48)

where constant \( K_{4} \) is independent of \( j_{s} \).

Combining (33), (43) and (48), we obtain

\[ \sum_{j_{1}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s}=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s+1}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{k}=0}^{\infty} C_{j_{k}...j_{1}}^{2} \leq \]
\[
\leq L_k \sum_{j_s=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j_s^2} \leq L_k \int_p^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^2} = \frac{L_k}{p},
\] (49)

where constant \( L_k \) depends on \( k \) and \( T - t \).

Obviously, the case \( s = k \) can be considered absolutely analogously to the case \( s < k \). Then from (28) and (49) we obtain

\[
\int_{[t,T]^k} K^2(t_1,\ldots,t_k) dt_1 \ldots dt_k - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p} C^2_{j_k \cdots j_1} \leq \frac{G_k}{p},
\] (50)

where constant \( G_k \) depends on \( k \) and \( T - t \).

For the further consideration we will use estimate (15). Using (50) and the estimate (15) for the case \( p_1 = \ldots = p_k = p \) and \( n = 2 \), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
M & \left\{ \left( J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} - J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t}^{p,p} \right)^4 \right\} \\
& \leq C_{2,k} \left( \int_{[t,T]^k} K^2(t_1,\ldots,t_k) dt_1 \ldots dt_k - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p} C^2_{j_k \cdots j_1} \right)^2 \leq \frac{H_{2,k}}{p^2},
\end{align*}
\] (51)

where

\[
C_{n,k} = (k!)^{2n} (n(2n - 1))^{n(k-1)} (2n - 1)!!
\]

and \( H_{2,k} = G_k^2 C_{2,k} \).

Let us consider Lemma 1 (see Appendix) with

\[
\xi_p = \left| J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} - J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t}^{p,p} \right| \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = 4.
\]

Then from (51) we get

\[
\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} M \left\{ \left( J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} - J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t}^{p,p} \right)^4 \right\} \leq H_{2,k} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^2} < \infty.
\] (52)

Using Lemma 1 (see Appendix) and the estimate (52), we obtain
\[ J[\psi^{(k)}]^{p,...,p}_{T,t} \rightarrow J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} \quad \text{if} \quad p \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{w. p. 1}, \]

where (see Theorem 1)

\[ J[\psi^{(k)}]^{p,...,p}_{T,t} = \sum_{j_1,...,j_k=0}^{p} C_{j_k...j_1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{k} \zeta_{j_l}^{(i_l)} \right) - 1.\text{i.m.} \sum_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{(l_1,...,l_k) \in G_k} \phi_{j_{l_1}}(\tau_{l_1}) \Delta w_{\tau_{l_1}}^{(i_{l_1})} \cdots \phi_{j_{l_k}}(\tau_{l_k}) \Delta w_{\tau_{l_k}}^{(i_{l_k})} \right) \quad (53) \]

or (see Theorem 2)

\[ J[\psi^{(k)}]^{p,...,p}_{T,t} = \sum_{j_1,...,j_k=0}^{p} C_{j_k...j_1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{k} \zeta_{j_l}^{(i_l)} \right) + \sum_{r=1}^{[k/2]} (-1)^r \times \]

\[ \times \sum_{\{(g_1,g_2),...,\{g_{2r-1},g_{2r}\},\{q_1,...,q_{k-2r}\}\}} \prod_{s=1}^{r} 1_{i_{g_{2s-1}} = i_{g_{2s}} \neq 0} \prod_{l=1}^{k-2r} 1_{i_{q_l} = j_{q_l}} \right), \quad (54) \]

where \( i_1, \ldots, i_k = 1, \ldots, m \) in (53) and (54). The proof of Theorem 6 is completed.

4 Appendix

**Lemma 1** [43]. If for the sequence of random variables \( \xi_p \) and for some \( \alpha > 0 \) the number series

\[ \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} M \{ |\xi_p|^\alpha \} \]

converges, then the sequence \( \xi_p \) converges to zero w. p. 1.

**Lemma 2.** The following equalities are fulfilled

\[ \sum_{j_1,...,j_k=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k...j_1}^2 = \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k...j_1}^2 = \]

\[ = \sum_{j_k=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k...j_1}^2 = \sum_{j_{q_1}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{\infty} C_{j_{q_k}...j_{q_1}}^2 \quad (55) \]
for any permutation \((q_1, \ldots, q_k)\) such that \(\{q_1, \ldots, q_k\} = \{1, \ldots, k\}\), where \(C_{j_k \ldots j_1}\) is defined by (27).

**Proof.** Let us remind the well-known fact from the mathematical analysis, which is connected to existence of iterated limits.

**Proposition 1** [44]. Let \(\{x_{n,m}\}_{n,m=1}^\infty\) be a double sequence and let there exists the limit

\[
\lim_{n,m \to \infty} x_{n,m} = a < \infty.
\]

Moreover, let there exist the limits

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{n,m} < \infty \quad \text{for any } m, \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} x_{n,m} < \infty \quad \text{for any } n.
\]

Then there exist the iterated limits

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{m \to \infty} x_{n,m}, \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{n,m}
\]

and moreover,

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{m \to \infty} x_{n,m} = \lim_{m \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{n,m} = a.
\]

Let us consider the value

\[
\sum_{j_{q_1}=0}^{p} \cdots \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{p} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^2 \quad (56)
\]

for any permutation \((q_1, \ldots, q_k)\), where \(l = 1, 2, \ldots, k, \{q_1, \ldots, q_k\} = \{1, \ldots, k\}\).

Obviously, (56) is the non-decreasing sequence with respect to \(p\). Moreover,

\[
\sum_{j_{q_1}=0}^{p} \cdots \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{p} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^2 \leq \sum_{j_{q_1}=0}^{p} \sum_{j_{q_2}=0}^{p} \cdots \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{p} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^2 \leq \\
\leq \sum_{j_1 \ldots j_k=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^2 < \infty.
\]

Then the following limit

\[
\lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{j_{q_1}=0}^{p} \cdots \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{p} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^2 = \sum_{j_{q_1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^2
\]
exists.

Let \( p_1, \ldots, p_k \) simultaneously tend to infinity. Then \( g, r \to \infty \), where \( g = \min\{p_1, \ldots, p_k\} \) and \( r = \max\{p_1, \ldots, p_k\} \). Moreover,

\[
\sum_{j_q=0}^{g} \cdots \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{g} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^{2} \leq \sum_{j_q=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^{2} \leq \sum_{j_q=0}^{r} \cdots \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{r} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^{2}.
\]

This means that the existence of the limit

\[
\lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{j_q=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^{2}
\] (57)

implies the existence of the limit

\[
\lim_{p_1, \ldots, p_k \to \infty} \sum_{j_q=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^{2}
\] (58)

and equality of the limits (57) and (58).

Consequently,

\[
\lim_{p, q \to \infty} \sum_{j_q=0}^{q} \sum_{j_{q+1}=0}^{p} \cdots \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{p} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^{2} = \lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{j_q=0}^{p} \cdots \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{p} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^{2} = \lim_{q \to \infty} \lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{j_q=0}^{q} \sum_{j_{q+1}=0}^{p} \cdots \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{p} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^{2} = \lim_{p_1, \ldots, p_k \to \infty} \sum_{j_q=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^{2}.
\] (59)

Since the limit

\[
\sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_k=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^{2}
\]

exists (see the Parseval equality (26)), then from Proposition 1 we have

\[
\sum_{j_q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{q+1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^{2} = \lim_{q \to \infty} \lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{j_q=0}^{q} \sum_{j_{q+1}=0}^{p} \cdots \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{p} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^{2} = \lim_{q, p \to \infty} \sum_{j_q=0}^{q} \sum_{j_{q+1}=0}^{p} \cdots \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{p} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^{2} = \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_k=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k \ldots j_1}^{2}.
\] (60)
Using (59) and Proposition 1, we have

\[ \sum_{j_{q_2}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{q_3}=0}^{\infty} C^{2}_{j_{k-1}j_1} = \lim_{q \to \infty} \lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{j_{q_2}=0}^{q} \sum_{j_{q_3}=0}^{p} \cdots \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{p} C^{2}_{j_{k-1}j_1} = \]

\[ = \lim_{q,p \to \infty} \sum_{j_{q_2}=0}^{q} \sum_{j_{q_3}=0}^{p} \cdots \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{p} C^{2}_{j_{k-1}j_1} = \sum_{j_{q_2}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{q_3}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{\infty} C^{2}_{j_{k-1}j_1}. \] (61)

Combining (61) and (60), we obtain

\[ \sum_{j_{q_1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{q_2}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{q_3}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{q_k}=0}^{\infty} C^{2}_{j_{k-1}j_1} = \sum_{j_{k} \cdots j_{1}=0}^{\infty} C^{2}_{j_{k-1}j_1}. \]

Repeating the above steps, we complete the proof of Lemma 2.

**Lemma 3.** The following equality takes place

\[ \sum_{j_{1}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s+1}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{k}=0}^{\infty} C^{2}_{j_{k-1}j_1} = \]

\[ = \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s+1}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{k-1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{k}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{1}=0}^{\infty} C^{2}_{j_{k-1}j_1}, \] (62)

where \( s = 1, \ldots, k \) and \( C_{j_{k-1}j_1} \) is defined by (27).

**Proof.** Applying the arguments that we used in the proof of Lemma 2, we obtain

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j_{1}=0}^{n} \cdots \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{n} \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{n} \sum_{j_{s+1}=0}^{n} \cdots \sum_{j_{k}=0}^{n} C^{2}_{j_{k-1}j_1} = \]

\[ = \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{p} \sum_{j_{s+1}=0}^{p} \cdots \sum_{j_{k-1}=0}^{p} \sum_{j_{k}=0}^{p} C^{2}_{j_{k-1}j_1} = \sum_{j_{k} \cdots j_{1}=0}^{\infty} C^{2}_{j_{k-1}j_1} \] (63)

for any permutation \((q_1, \ldots, q_{k-1})\) such that \( \{q_1, \ldots, q_{k-1}\} = \{1, \ldots, s - 1, s + 1, \ldots, k\} \), where \( p \) is a fixed natural number.

Obviously, we have

\[ \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{p} \sum_{j_{q_1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{q_{k-1}}=0}^{\infty} C^{2}_{j_{k-1}j_1} = \sum_{j_{q_1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{p} \sum_{j_{q_{k-1}}=0}^{\infty} C^{2}_{j_{k-1}j_1} = \cdots = \]
\[
= \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{q-1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{q}=0}^{p} C_{j_k \ldots j_{1}}^2. \quad (64)
\]

Using (63), (64) and Lemma 2, we obtain

\[
\sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s+1}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{s+1}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{k}=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k \ldots j_{1}}^2 =
\]

\[
= \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{k}=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k \ldots j_{1}}^2 - \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{k}=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k \ldots j_{1}}^2 =
\]

\[
= \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{k}=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k \ldots j_{1}}^2 - \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{k}=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k \ldots j_{1}}^2 =
\]

\[
= \sum_{j_{s}=p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_{s-1}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_{k}=0}^{\infty} C_{j_k \ldots j_{1}}^2.
\]

The equality (4) is proved.

**Theorem (Dini) [45].** Let the functional sequence \(u_n(x)\) be non-decreasing at each point of the interval \([a, b]\). In addition, all the functions \(u_n(x)\) of this sequence and the limit function \(u(x)\) are continuous on the interval \([a, b]\). Then the convergence \(u_n(x)\) to \(u(x)\) is uniform on the interval \([a, b]\).

**Bibliography**

[1] Milstein G.N. Numerical Integration of Stochastic Differential Equations. Ural University Press, Sverdlovsk, 1988. 225 pp.

[2] Kloeden P.E., Platen E., Wright I.W. The approximation of multiple stochastic integrals. Stochastic Analysis and Applications, 10, 4 (1992), 431-441.

[3] Kloeden P.E., Platen E. Numerical Solution of Stochastic Differential Equations. Springer, Berlin, 1992. 632 pp.

[4] Kloeden P.E., Platen E., Schurz H. Numerical Solution of SDE Through Computer Experiments. Springer, Berlin, 1994. 292 pp.
[5] Gaines J. G., Lyons, T. J. Random generation of stochastic area integrals. SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics, 54 (1994), 1132-1146.

[6] Averina T.A., Prigarin S.M. Calculation of stochastic integrals of Wiener processes. Preprint 1048. Novosibirsk, Institute of Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Geophysics of Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 1995, 15 pp.

[7] Kuznetsov D.F. Methods of numerical simulation of stochastic differential Ito equations solutions in problems of mechanics. Ph. D., St.-Petersburg, 1996. 260 p.

[8] Kuznetsov D. F. A method of expansion and approximation of repeated stochastic Stratonovich integrals based on multiple Fourier series on full orthonormal systems. Differential Equations and Control Processes, 1 (1997), 18-77. Available at: http://diffjournal.spbu.ru/EN/numbers/1997.1/article.1.2.html

[9] Kuznetsov D.F. Problems of the numerical analysis of Ito stochastic differential equations. Differential Equations and Control Processes, 1 (1998), 66-367. Available at: http://diffjournal.spbu.ru/EN/numbers/1998.1/article.1.3.html

[10] Prigarin S.M., Belov S.M. One application of series expansions of Wiener process. Preprint 1107. Novosibirsk, Institute of Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Geophysics of Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 1998, 16 pp.

[11] Wiktorsson M. Joint characteristic function and simultaneous simulation of iterated Ito integrals for multiple independent Brownian motions. The Annals of Applied Probability, 11, 2 (2001), 470-487,

[12] Ryden T., Wiktorsson M. On the simulation of iterated Ito integrals. Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 91, 1 (2001), 151-168.

[13] Milstein G.N., Tretyakov M.V. Stochastic Numerics for Mathematical Physics. Springer, Berlin, 2004. 616 pp.

[14] Kuznetsov D.F. Numerical Integration of Stochastic Differential Equations. 2. Polytechnical University Publ., St.-Petersburg, 2006, 764 pp. DOI: http://doi.org/10.18720/SPBPU/2/s17-227
[15] Kuznetsov D.F. Stochastic Differential Equations: Theory and Practice of Numerical Solution. With MatLab programs, 2nd Edition. Polytechnical University Publ., St.-Petersburg, 2007, 770 pp. DOI: http://doi.org/10.18720/SPBPU/2/s17-229

[16] Kuznetsov D.F. Stochastic Differential Equations: Theory and Practice of Numerical Solution. With MatLab programs, 3rd Edition. Polytechnical University Publ., St.-Petersburg, 2009, 768 pp. DOI: http://doi.org/10.18720/SPBPU/2/s17-230

[17] Kuznetsov D.F. Multiple stochastic Ito and Stratonovich integrals and multiple Fourier serieses. Differential Equations and Control Processes, 3 (2010), A.1-A.257. Available at: http://diffjournal.spbu.ru/EN/numbers/2010.3/article.2.1.html

[18] Platen E., Bruti-Liberati N. Numerical Solution of Stochastic Differential Equations with Jumps in Finance. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2010, 868 pp.

[19] Allen E. Approximation of triple stochastic integrals through region subdivision. Communications in Applied Analysis (Special Tribute Issue to Professor V. Lakshmikantham), 17 (2013), 355-366.

[20] Kuznetsov D.F. Multiple Ito and Stratonovich stochastic integrals: approximations, properties, formulas. Polytechnical University Publ., St.-Petersburg, 2013, 382 pp. DOI: http://doi.org/10.18720/SPBPU/2/s17-234

[21] Zahri M. Multidimensional Milstein scheme for solving a stochastic model for prebiotic evolution. Journal of Taibah University for Science, 8, 2 (2014), 186-198.

[22] Kuznetsov D.F. Multiple Ito and Stratonovich stochastic integrals: Fourier-Legendre and trigonometric expansions, approximations, formulas. Differential Equations and Control Processes, 1 (2017), A.1–A.385. Available at: http://diffjournal.spbu.ru/EN/numbers/2017.1/article.2.1.html

[23] Kuznetsov D.F. Stochastic differential equations: theory and practice of numerical solution. With MATLAB programs, 6th Edition. Differential Equations and Control Processes, 4 (2018), A.1-A.1073. Available at: http://diffjournal.spbu.ru/EN/numbers/2018.4/article.2.1.html
[24] Kuznetsov D.F. Development and application of the Fourier method for the numerical solution of Ito stochastic differential equations. Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, 58, 7 (2018), 1058-1070. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1134/S0965542518070096

[25] Kuznetsov D.F. On numerical modeling of the multidimensional dynamic systems under random perturbations with the 1.5 and 2.0 orders of strong convergence. Automation and Remote Control, 79, 7 (2018), 1240-1254. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1134/S0965542518070056

[26] Kuznetsov D.F. On Numerical modeling of the multidimensional dynamic systems under random perturbations with the 2.5 order of strong convergence. Automation and Remote Control, 80, 5 (2019), 867-881. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1134/S0965542519050060

[27] Kuznetsov D.F. Comparative analysis of the efficiency of application of Legendre polynomials and trigonometric functions to the numerical integration of Ito stochastic differential equations. Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, 59, 8 (2019), 1236-1250. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1134/S0965542519080116

[28] Kuznetsov D.F. Expansion of iterated Stratonovich stochastic integrals, based on generalized multiple Fourier series. [In English]. Ufa Mathematical Journal, 11, 4 (2019), 49-77. Available at: http://matem.anrb.ru/en/article?art_id=604

[29] Kuznetsov D.F. Application of the method of approximation of iterated stochastic Ito integrals based on generalized multiple Fourier series to the high-order strong numerical methods for non-commutative semilinear stochastic partial differential equations. [In English]. Differential Equations and Control Processes, 3 (2019), 18-62. Available at: http://diffjournal.spbu.ru/EN/numbers/2019.3/article.1.2.html

[30] Rybakov K.A. Applying spectral form of mathematical description for representation of iterated stochastic integrals. Differential Equations and Control Processes, 4 (2019), 1-31. Available at: https://diffjournal.spbu.ru/EN/numbers/2019.4/article.1.1.html

[31] Kuznetsov D.F. Approximation of iterated Ito stochastic integrals of the second multiplicity based on the Wiener process expansion.
using Legendre polynomials and trigonometric functions. Differential Equations and Control Processes, 4 (2019), 32-52. Available at: https://diffjournal.spbu.ru/EN/numbers/2019.4/article.1.2.html

[32] Tang X., Xiao A. Asymptotically optimal approximation of some stochastic integrals and its applications to the strong second-order methods. Advances in Computational Mathematics, 45 (2019), 813-846.

[33] Chugai K.N., Kosachev I.M., Rybakov K.A. Approximate filtering methods in continuous-time stochastic systems. Advances in Theory and Practice of Computational Mechanics, ed. by L.C. Jain, M.N. Favoraskaya, I.S. Nikitin, and D.L. Reviznikov. Springer Publ., 2020. 351-371. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-2600-8_24

[34] Rybakov K.A. Modeling and analysis of output processes of linear continuous stochastic systems based on orthogonal expansions of random functions. Journal of Computer and Systems Sciences International, 59, 3 (2020), 322-337. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1134/S1064230720030156

[35] Kuznetsov D.F. Explicit one-step numerical method with the strong convergence order of 2.5 for Ito stochastic differential equations with a multi-dimensional nonadditive noise based on the Taylor–Stratonovich expansion. Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, 60, 3 (2020), 379-389. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1134/S0965542520030100

[36] Kuznetsov D.F. Strong approximation of iterated Ito and Stratonovich stochastic integrals based on generalized multiple Fourier series. Application to numerical solution of Ito SDEs and semilinear SPDEs. arXiv:2003.14184 [math.PR], 2022, 869 pp. [in English].

[37] Kuznetsov D.F. Expansion of iterated Ito stochastic integrals of arbitrary multiplicity based on generalized multiple Fourier series converging in the mean. arXiv:1712.09746 [math.PR]. 2022, 111 pp. [in English].

[38] Kuznetsov D.F. Application of the method of approximation of iterated Ito stochastic integrals based on generalized multiple Fourier series to the high-order strong numerical methods for non-commutative semilinear stochastic partial differential equations. arXiv:1905.03724 [math.GM], 2019, 41 pp. [In English].

[39] Kuznetsov D.F. Application of multiple Fourier–Legendre series to implementation of strong exponential Milstein and Wagner–Platen methods
for non-commutative semilinear stochastic partial differential equations. 
\texttt{arXiv:1912.02612} [math.PR], 2019, 32 pp. [In English].

[40] Rybakov K.A. Orthogonal expansion of multiple Itô stochastic integrals. 
Differential Equations and Control Processes, 3 (2021), 109-140. Available at: 
\url{http://diffjournal.spbu.ru/EN/numbers/2021.3/article.1.8.html}

[41] Kuznetsov D.F. Exact calculation of the mean-square error in the method 
of approximation of iterated Itô stochastic integrals, based on generalized 
multiple Fourier series. \texttt{arXiv:1801.01079} [math.PR]. 2018, 67 pp. [in En-
glish].

[42] Suetin P.K. Classical orthogonal polynomials. 3rd Edition. Moscow, Fiz-
matlit, 2005. 480 pp.

[43] Shiryaev A.N. Probability. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996. 624 pp.

[44] Il’in V.A., Poznyak E.G. Foundations of mathematical analysis. Part I. 
Moscow, Nauka, 1967. 572 pp.

[45] Il’in V.A., Poznyak E.G. Foundations of mathematical analysis. Part II. 
Moscow, Nauka, 1973. 448 pp.

[46] Hobson E.W. The theory of spherical and ellipsoidal harmonics. Cam-
bidge, Cambridge University Press, 1931. 502 p.