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Abstract

The history of coming to grips with what autism is and its etiology has been tortuous — if not tortured. By 1908 the word autism was defined as a schizophrenic who was withdrawn or self-absorbed. Some decades later Leo Kanner [1] decided that autism was based on children with "a powerful desire for aloneness." In the 1960's psychologist Bruno Bettelheim, picking up on another aspect of Kanner's [2] observations, thought autism was simply based upon mothers not loving their children enough. Then came the twin research studies which purported autism to be caused by genetics or biological differences in brain development. Yet the consensus that Autism is from an intrauterine infection had also been growing, bolstered more recently by Patterson's [3] and Fatemi's [4] studies. However, the question would still remain: which infection? This, of course, remains unknown. Until 1980 autism in the US is still called "childhood schizophrenia" and in some parts of the world, it still is. By the same token, there has been, for some time, an extensive body of medical literature which ties schizophrenia to chronic infection —some time before when Rzhetsky [5] in 2007, used a proof-of-concept bio-statistical analysis of 1.5 million patient records, finding significant genetic overlap in humans with autism, schizophrenia and tuberculosis. This lends an explanation as to why anti-tubercular drugs such as Seromycin have been so successful in NIH trials treating autism. Tracing the history of autism from John Langdon Down's children, a subset of which were autistic, to the present, this paper also explains how the stealth pathogen hypothesized to be behind autism has evaded modern day diagnostics.
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California Department of Developmental Services, Sacramento, 1999

California, in 1999, had been on high alert for some time. Level one autism, without any of its "spectrum," went from almost five thousand cases in late summer 1993 to an estimated 20,377 cases by December 2002. As California's Department of Developmental Services stood by incredulously, it witnessed a tripling of California's autism rate, and all but 15 percent of cases were in children.

California wasn't alone. But its autism rates had become the fastest growing group in that state's developmental disability system, and a number of Bay Area school districts were forced to fill entire classes with youths with different forms of autism.

But even in the midst of California's mini-epidemic, its Santa Clara County seemed particularly singled out. The Department of Social Services Aid, brokered by the San Andreas Regional Center, staggered to its breaking point, and its forecast for autism in Santa Clara wasn't good.

What was behind this epidemic? A major clue, overlooked from a critical stand point, was contained in the time line of the department’s own 1999 autism report, which concluded that the disease had increased dramatically between 1987 and 1998.

What had happened in California in and around 1987 that could have sown the predisposition that autism that California now reaped?

Division of Communicable Diseases, Sacramento, California, 1999

While autism exploded in California, there was also, beginning in 1987, a major spike in the number of tuberculosis cases reported by the Tuberculosis Control Branch of California's Division of Communicable Disease. There, division head Dr. Sarah Royce proclaimed a TB epidemic in California. The epidemic peaked in 1992, had the same male preponderance as autism, and took off at precisely the same moment in time.

California's TB epidemic might have already peaked well before 1999, but this didn't stop it from continuing to contribute one of the greatest number of cases to the nation's total tuberculosis morbidity [6]. But, as with autism, the problem was worldwide, and even the World Health Organization, traditionally...
slow to react, had declared a global tuberculosis emergency six years earlier, a warning that has been in existence ever since [7].

Among children, brain-seeking central nervous system tuberculosis is common in a disease that kills more children each year than any other, with the potential to cause in survivors, among other things in its devastating wake, a withdrawal from social interaction [8].

It had to be more than a coincidence, then, that since the 1980s, California experienced a dramatic increase in the number of children diagnosed with autism as well [9].

**Santa Clara County California, March 2006**

If California was experiencing autistic tremors, then surely its Santa Clara County was at the epicenter. By 2006, Santa Clara had some of the highest rates for autism in the entire country. And although this was for unknown reasons, again the question became, why Santa Clara? And the answer pointed in a similar direction. By 2002, it had become apparent that TB was on the rise in Santa Clara, and, by 2006, that county had the highest number of new TB cases in California –more than most US States. At the same time, the immigrant share in Santa Clara County, mostly from countries where TB is endemic, was at its highest point since 1870. Santa Clara’s Health Department sounded the alarm. Santa Clara now knew that it had two problems on its hands. Its medically trained psychiatrists, personnel and statisticians just never stopped to think that the two problems might be related.

**Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, September 2008**

Time passed. More information came in. In September 2008, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention published a study by lead author, pediatrician, and researcher Laura J. Christie of the California Department of Public Health entitled “Diagnostic Challenges of Central Nervous System Tuberculosis.” Christie and colleagues identified twenty cases of unexplained encephalitis referred to the California Encephalitis Project that were indeed tubercular [10]. The team importantly began with the significant statement that “Tuberculosis (TB) of the central nervous system (CNS)” as thought of by physicians, “is classically described as meningitis. However, altered mental status, including encephalitis is within the spectrum of its clinical manifestations.” Indeed, according to Seth and Kabra, central nervous system tuberculosis in children include tuberculous vasculopathy (infection of cerebral blood vessels), small tubercular masses called tuberculomas and TB abscesses [11].

In most of the twenty cases, the California Encephalitis Project cultured out Tuberculous encephalitis, the same tuberculosis considered the least likely cause for encephalitis. Yet there it was. But, as Christie pointed out, as little as 25 percent of patients with a diagnosis of CNS TB actually cultured out TB, which was a criteria for this particular study. That means that only ¼ of possible cases were being diagnosed (Figure 1).

**Figure 1:** One of the Stealth forms of “cell-wall deficient” atypical tuberculosis colonies that grew from the brain of a child who expired from the disease. Such forms of tuberculosis are extremely difficult to detect and require special stains and culture media not used routinely in today’s laboratories.

**Office of the Medical Superintendent, Earlswood Asylum for Idiots, Surrey England, 1887**

It was in the teachings of John Langdon Down, some of whose “mentally retarded” children were autistic, that Leo Kanner really found his autism. Down, one of the outstanding medical scholars of his day, was certain to gain entrance into the prominent London Hospital when he decided instead to pursue an avenue few would entertain, as superintendent of the Earlswood Asylum for Idiots in Surrey. But for Down, it was preordained. At the age of eighteen, he had what might be described as a transformative experience. A heavy summer storm drove his family to take shelter in a cottage. Down wrote: “I was brought into contact with a feeble-minded girl, who waited on our party and for whom the question haunted me –could nothing for her be done? I had then not entered on a medical student’s career but ever and
Down, therefore, became a doctor for reasons that were the purist of them all, and he soon excelled and became the head of his class. His pursuits were brought to a temporary halt when he acquired tuberculosis, which sent him back to his family’s home in Torpoint. Gradually, he recovered. Down then went through an obstetrics residency before obtaining his MD to assume the position of head of the Earlswood Asylum. He was now quite knowledgeable about pregnancy, the complications and diseases of pregnancy, and neonates. In addition, his surgical skills allow him to do autopsies, during which he contributes much to expand knowledge of conditions of the brain such as cerebral palsy as well as probe into what had killed the children in his institution that died from Down syndrome.

In his Lettsonian Lectures, Down follows the psychiatric nomenclature of his time and classifies his most severe cases of mental retardation in the young under the category of “idiocy” [13]. Like Kanner, he specifies that some of his mentally retarded children had exceptional intellect in specific areas, such as memorization, music, or mathematics. In fact, a noticeable subset of the autistic children that Down treated did not appear physically to even have mental retardation.

Gillberg & Coleman [14] relate that quite a number of reports of individuals with Down syndrome also meet the criteria for autism.

By 1867, John Langdon Down [15] had appeared in the Lancet, linking childhood mental illness with tuberculosis. To Down, in fact, children who inherited Down syndrome “for the most part, arose from tuberculosis in the parents” and not genetics [16]. Capone mentions that Down’s original report attributed the condition to maternal tuberculosis [17]. As a result of such tuberculosis from conception or soon thereafter, and nothing else, such children’s life expectancy would be shortened, as the same tuberculosis infection would lead to their early demise. The only thing really wrong with John Langdon Down’s theory was that it was way ahead of its time. He knew that tuberculosis was, as it still is, the most common cause of death from a single infectious agent in children, now killing upwards of 250,000 children each year, yet exceedingly difficult to diagnose [18–20]. He also knew that TB was the single leading cause of death among women of reproductive age, between fifteen and forty-four, one million of whom presently die, according to the World Health Organization, each year [21].

Brain and central nervous system tuberculosis account for 20–45 percent of all types of tuberculosis among children, much higher than its rate of 2.9 to 5.9 percent for all adult tuberculosis [22]. In fact, tuberculosis of the nervous system has consistently been the second most common form of TB outside of the lung in the very young. And of those infants and children who did survive, nearly 20 to 25 percent manifested mental retardation and mental disorders—serious and long-term behavioral disturbances, seizures, and motor (movement) handicaps in addition to the various other anomalies associated with the autistic spectrum and what Down called “neurodevelopmental” behavior problems [23–25].

Figure 3: Dr. Jérome Lejeune found an extra chromosome on Chromosome 21 in Down Syndrome. However, to this day nobody has come up with the actual cause for this genetic abnormality and studies such as Shy-Ching Lo’s 2004 study at the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology have since shown that such karyotypical changes can result from infection itself.

Today, Down is considered wrong for saying that Down syndrome was caused by parental tuberculosis and rather that it is a “genetic” abnormality, an extra chromosome some on Chromosome 21 called trisomy. But was Down really wrong? To this day, no one has come up with the actual cause for this genetic abnormality. This was probably why the discoverer of the extra chromosome, Frenchman Jérome Lejeune [Figure 3], hesitated to publish results that were otherwise clear-cut. And when Lejeune faced McGill geneticists at a Montreal Congress of Genetics, announcing that he had located an extra chromosome in the karyotype of Down syndrome patients and showed it to them, he was received with interest but skepticism—considerable skepticism. Since, Warthin, Rao, Lakimenko, and Golubchick have all revealed how tuberculosis itself can cause chromosomal change reminiscent of those found in Lejeune’s trisomy [26–29]. Warthin showed tuberculosis’s early penetration right into the corpus luteum itself, in which 90 percent of Down syndrome’s abnormal meiotic chromosomal splitting occurs. Rao also found that the tubercle bacillus is capable of inducing such chromosomal changes as result in Down syndrome’s nondisjunction of the human egg. And Lakimenko et al. [29] independently proved just how devastating TB could be to the chromosomal apparatus of cell cultures of the human amnion, in not one, but two independent studies. Each showed an increase in pathological mitoses, arrest of cell division in metaphase,
and the actual appearance of chromosomal adhesions absent in control cultures. Indeed, Lakimenko et al. demonstrated that early tubercular involvement was not only destructive against chromosomes but the very spindles that separated them. Total ovarian destruction occurs in 3 percent of women with pelvic tuberculosis, again the site where Down syndrome’s and autism’s chromosomal abnormalities usually occur [30].

For his Lancet study, Down submits one hundred postmortem records of children who had passed away at his institution. He had found no fewer than 62 percent of these children to have tubercular deposits in their bodies. For some unknown reason, boys had more than twice the incidence of tuberces in their organs as did girls, a finding that concurred with the male predominance he later notes in childhood mental disease in general. Such male preponderance is today not only documented in Down syndrome but in autism as well. Tuberculosis might be more frequently transmitted by the mother than the father, but it was the male offspring who were more tubercular. Caldecott, in a 1909 British Medical Journal article, noted that Down showed that the children in his study rarely lived beyond twenty years as a consequence of brain and nervous system disease –and that they died of –tuberculosis [31].

Department of Psychiatry, Burgholzli Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland, 1930

Figure 4 The word autism first appeared in English in the April 1913 issue of the American Journal of Insanity, heralded at an address that Swiss psychiatrist Paul Eugen Bleuler delivered for the opening of Johns Hopkins University’s Henry Phipps Psychiatric Clinic [32].

Bleuler also uses autistic to describe doctors who are not attached to scientific reality, wont to build on what Bleuler calls “autistic ways” –that is, through methods in no way supported by scientific evidence, an event more and more in evidence as psychiatrists moved away from tissue-based outcomes into the realm of subjective behavioral labeling. The history of autism would see many such individuals. Bleuler used the word autism, Greek for “self,” to describe the difficulty that people with schizophrenia experience reconnecting with other people, and, in certain cases, withdrawing into their own world and showing self-centered thought.

Child Psychiatry Service, Johns Hopkins University Hospital, Pediatric Division, Baltimore, 1933

Internal-medicine trained Leo Kanner [1] teaches himself the basics of child psychiatry and, at the instigation of Adolph Meyer, joins the Henry Phipps Psychiatric Clinic at John Hopkins Hospital in Baltimore.

By 1903, Henry Phipps, wealthy partner of Andrew Carnegie, sought charitable outlets for his wealth. He then joined Lawrence F. Flick, a doctor with a vision, to open a center solely dedicated to the study, treatment, and prevention of tuberculosis, hands down the number one infectious killer in the United States.

Not until May 1908 did Philadelphia steel magnate Phipps get around to visiting Johns Hopkins’s tuberculosis division, which he had funded. At that point Phipps turns to ask John Hopkins’s dean and legendary pathologist William Henry Welch if he needed help sponsoring other projects at the Hospital. Welch answers Phipps by handing him a copy of A Mind That Found Itself, an agonizing assessment of mental asylums written by Clifford W Beers and published with the help of Swiss born pathologistAdolph Meyer [33] (Figure 5). Within a month, Phipps agrees to donate $1.5 million to fund a psychiatric clinic for the Johns Hopkins Department of Psychiatry. By 1912, the Henry Phipps Psychiatric Service at Johns Hopkins Hospital provides the first in-patient psychiatric facility in the United States for the mentally ill. Welch likes Meyer. Meyer, although unable to secure an appointment from his alma mater; the University of Zurich, is, like Welch, a pathologist —a neuropathologist to be exact. Also Welch takes to him because Meyer initially seems to reject Freud as the be-all and end-all for psychiatry. And there is another level of understanding: Meyer and Welch share the rapport of two superb medical networkers and politicians. Welch sees to it that
Meyer becomes the head of psychiatry at Johns Hopkins.

**Figure 5:** Dr. Adolph Meyer born September 13, 1866 Niederwenigen-Died March 17, 1950 (age 83) Baltimore. Head of Johns Hopkin’s psychiatry. One of the most influential figures in American psychiatry in the first half of the Twentieth Century. Under Meyer’s auspices and direction the first academic Department of Child Psychiatry in the world was founded by Leo Kanner in 1930.

But it is the very same second-rate, vague, “psychobiological” views that characterize Meyer’s psychiatric approach that will prove in the end to be disappointing. Designed to be all things to all people, Meyer’s psychobiology assesses mental patients’ physical and psychosocial problems concomitantly, but turns out to be all things to no one. Meyer is much more oriented towards taking extensive histories of his patients; getting all the “facts”, then in rooting out the pathology behind mental illness on the autopsy table. Besides, the positions of Meyer and Freud closely resemble one another in that each insists heavily on the study of psychogenic factors in neurotic disorders. Welch, on the other hand, was committed to bringing the German model, which relied heavily on the lab, to US medicine. So with Meyer, Welch didn’t precisely get what he thought he was getting.

Nevertheless, thanks to neurologist and pathologist Adolph Meyer, Leo Kanner becomes the first “child psychiatrist” at Johns Hopkins and, by default, in the United States. Meyer is bent on changing American psychiatry, and will dominate psychiatry from his Johns Hopkins chair during the first half of the twentieth century. Meyer has long been interested in the psychiatric treatment of children, so he arranges with Johns Hopkins pediatrician Edwards Park for Kanner to become a liaison between pediatrics and psychiatry at the institution. This gives Kanner enhanced influence in reaching an audience of pediatricians who otherwise would have found little value in the psychiatric evaluation of children. Meyer has already decided that the psychosocial aspects of mental disease are more important than tissue diagnosis of brain pathology. He closes his laboratory, and instead prefers talking to his patients, taking extensive histories in the manner of Kraepelin and Sigmund Freud.

**Figure 6:** Dr. Leo Kanner (1955), born June 13, 1894; Died April 3, 1981 (aged 86). By 1943 Kanner published a study of 11 children identified with early infantile autism which became known as Kanner Syndrome. This seminal paper, entitled “Autistic Disturbances of Affective Contact”, together with the work of Hans Asperger, formed the basis of the modern study of autism. However neither Kanner nor Asperger came down strongly in favor of an organic or infectious cause.

Kanner (Figure 6), with little use for medical diagnostics himself, seems made to order for Meyer. Kanner will laud Meyer for shifting the emphasis of psychiatry “from organs and their diseases to patients as improperly functioning persons [34].” But diseased organs can themselves lead to improperly functioning persons. Kanner never really seemed that interested in “organs and their diseases”. While still in Berlin finishing his medical education, his lowest grade on his finals is as the result of being unable to diagnosis a then premier infectious brain disorder leading to mental symptoms. Neurologist Karl Bonhoeffer documents that Kanner misinterpreted the symptoms of tabes dorsalis, a neurologic end-stage syphilis of the brain and nervous system [1]. Not really attracted to being a general internist, and still in Berlin, Kanner gravitates into the then new and relatively limited field of electrocardiography, or EKG tracings of the heart’s rhythms. Once at Johns Hopkins, Kanner writes his first edition of *Child Psychiatry* in 1935, borrowing the name from the German term *Kinderpsychiatrie*. And by 1943, bent upon making his mark, he discovers a “new” syndrome. Without mention of Bleuler, who originated the word “autism”, Kanner uses it to describe what he feels to be a novel psychiatric illness in children, emphasizing an “autistic aloneness” and “insistence on sameness.” Ironically, Kanner, known to rant and rage over mere psychiatric labels without treatment, creates another one: autism.
Leo Kanner & Franz J Kallmann (Figure 7) had a couple of things in common. Both had connections with the University of Berlin. Kallmann worked for four years at Berlin’s psychiatric institute under the same Karl Friedrich Bonhoeffer who graded a portion of Kanner’s final exams. Although Kanner is only three years older than Kallmann, and Kanner is trained in internal medicine, both would move quickly upon their arrival to the United States to make their impact on psychiatry. Landing in New York, Kallmann establishes the Medical Genetics Department of the New York State Psychiatric Institute. From then on, one thing is certain: With Franz J Kallmann, American psychiatry got much more of the hereditary patterns in mental disease than it was willing to accept or pursue. Prominent British geneticist Penrose judged Kallmann’s work unconvincing. A year after Kanner writes Child Psychiatry, Kallmann becomes interested in twins and their genetic disposition. But there arises an inconvenient truth: Identical twins, who have virtually the same DNA, do not always develop the same mental disorders. Kallmann focuses on what he calls the “genetics of schizophrenia.” In a lecture, he finds it desirable to prevent the reproduction of relatives of patients with schizophrenia. He defines them as undesirable from a eugenic point of view, especially at the beginning of their reproductive years. By 1938, Kallmann, who escaped Nazi Germany because he was half Jewish, has doubled down, calling for the “legal power” to sterilize “tainted children and siblings of schizophrenics” and to prevent marriages involving “schizoid eccentrics and border line cases.” In his mind, Kallmann feels the need to stamp out every recessive gene behind schizophrenia [35]. It was a thought that began incubating in him while he was still in Germany. Leo Kanner is appalled by Kallmann’s thoughts and words. He sees dangerous implications. This time he is correct. Kallmann is a zealot in every sense of the world. He finds a genetic basis for just about everything. He proclaims that human tuberculosis is genetically based. His agenda for doing so is quite transparent. Proponents like Kallmann for a “genetic” or “hereditary” view of mental illness have always relied on identical twin studies. In these, if there is a heavy degree of “concordance”—meaning that if both identical twins come down with the illness –it is supposed that “genetic” influences are involved. This is so, especially if at the same time fraternal twins show a much lower rate in being “concordant for” –or contracting –the same disease. But it was also known that an infectious disease like tuberculosis brought in the same numbers in identical twin studies as did schizophrenia or autism, putting the accuracy of such twin studies deeply in question. In fact, it was Kallmann himself who found that approximately 85 percent of identical (homozygous) twins had the same disease (were concordant) if their co-twin had either tuberculosis or schizophrenia [36,37]. Kallmann’s study for the hereditary basis of schizophrenia is published in 1938. It acknowledges his long-time boss and Nazi mentor Ernst Rudin [38]. While still in Germany, Kallmann saw Rudin catapulated to director of the Kaiser Wilhelm Institute for Psychiatry and it’s eugenics division through Rockefeller Foundation money, creating the medical specialty known as psychiatric genetics. Rudin was not only assisted by Kallmann but another protégé named Otmar Verschuer. Back in Germany, Rudin, a year later, sees to it that the German version of Kallmann’s book is used by the Nazi T4 Unit as a blue print for the murder of mental patients and “defectives,” many of them children. 250,000 are killed under this program, by gas and lethal injection. The Rockefeller-Rudin operation had become a section of the Nazi state. Rudin was now head of its Racial Hygiene Society. Meanwhile in the United States, geneticist Franz Kallmann becomes an early leader of the American Society of Human Genetics, a true pioneer in the study of the genetic basis of psychiatric disorders. Kallmann’s American Society of Human Genetics organizes the Human Genome Project – the most ambitious project ever dealing with basic genetics. In 1988, Congress provides funds for the National Institutes of Health and other groups to begin mapping out human DNA. The project began officially on October 1, 1990, with a projected budget of $3 billion over the next fifteen years. As BW Richards points out, advances regarding the discovery of genetic markers for diseases such as autism, Down syndrome, and schizophrenia, although good for diagnostics, have done little to get at the actual cause of such chromosomal aberrations. Richards: “Despite dramatic advances in the fields of biochemistry and cytogenetics, revealing many new causes of mental retardation, a large proportion of mentally retarded patients are still undiagnosable in respect of etiology (cause)”[39]. What did result, thanks to such take-no prisoners actions like Kallmann’s, was that bacteriology was
To make certain that his theory sticks, Kanner [Figure 8] cherry-picks eleven children, leaving out those presently with seizures or mental retardation even though these are very much in today’s autistic spectrum. Some studies have mental retardation occurring in approximately two-thirds of individuals with autism and seizures in approximately one-third.

Kanner produces a thirty-three-page medically sketchy paper [2]. He outlines eleven case histories, all the while convincing himself that, despite findings such as a history of seizures, which could point to a brush with serious disease, his subjects’ problems were purely psychiatric or behavioral. At the same time he says that, unlike childhood schizophrenia, autism is the result of “inborn autistic disturbances of affective contact” – a kind of congenital lack of interest in other people. Yet most of his children are thought to be deaf, neither talking nor responding if questioned, and could have severe cranial nerve disruption from a previous or present serious central nervous system infection. “Physically,” Kanner insists, despite findings that suggest otherwise, “the children were essentially normal.” But five out of his eleven subjects, through measurement “had relatively large heads,” which could indicate possible degrees of hydrocephalous. Hydrocephalous, also known as “water on the brain,” is a medical condition in which there is an abnormal accumulation of cerebrospinal fluid in the ventricles, or deep cavities in the brain. This may cause increased intracranial pressure inside the skull and progressive enlargement of the head, seizures, and mental disability. Not uncommon, one of its causes in infants is perinatal infection affecting the brain and nervous system. At one time, the diagnosis of acute hydrocephalus was so commonly associated with tuberculosis meningitis that the terms were used interchangeably. But apparently of more concern to Kanner were the children’s parents: “In the whole group, there are very few really warmhearted fathers or mothers.” Kanner in general felt that disturbed children often were the product of parents who were highly organized, rational, and cold, “just happening to defrost enough to have a child” [40]. When, in his first case, Kanner finds out through Donald T.’s mother that the child had been placed in a “tuberculosis preventorium” for “a change of environment,” Kanner never questions her as to why, but notes that while in the tuberculosis preventorium, he exhibited a “disinclination to play with children.” Kanner will later relate that “the mother gave Donald little attention because “she feared he would give her tuberculosis” and casually dismisses this by adding, “which he did not have” [41]. But in order to be sent to a preventorium, Donald T. must have had a positive TB skin test, which was not mentioned; nor was it mentioned what other tests were performed to rule out that the child did indeed not have tuberculosis.
by 1900, had killed at least 15 percent of urban populations, with no treatment in sight. By 1907, von Pirquet came up with a children’s tuberculin skin test with all the flaws of our present adult tuberculin skin test. Not only were false negative tests done on seriously infected children whose immune systems could simply not muster a positive skin reaction, but even when the test proved positive, it was often impossible to distinguish mere previous exposure from active disease. Nevertheless, the imprecise designation “pretubercular” was used to designate children with positive skin tests who didn’t seem to have active disease. These were the children targeted for preventoriums. Kanner knows from the onset that his definition of “autism” would be challenged, on many levels. Even among psychiatrists presented with these same children, responses would include mentally retarded or schizophrenic. The fact was that, psychiatrically, all would be considered by many as having a form of childhood schizophrenia. To make the differentiation stick, Kanner emphasizes “extreme solitude from the very beginning of life” and a preserved intelligence. But many of the developmentally disabled children that Down had studied had normal intelligence also and certainly did not appear to have mental retardation. Kanner argued that the children in his study, unlike schizophrenics, did not seem to have delusions or hallucinations. In addition, he said, schizophrenia doesn’t emerge in as early as the thirty months after birth that autism seemed to. But more tellingly, in 1949, Kanner vacillates, admitting that he sees no need for his “infantile autism” to be separated from schizophrenia. The American Psychiatric Association (APA) balls in accommodation and decades later still won’t acknowledge autism as anything other than just that: “schizophrenia, childhood type.”

By then, Kanner deprecates the APA’s decision. Yet despite this, until 1980, Kanner’s autism is not autism; it is childhood schizophrenia. One year after the APA’s 1968 decision, prominent Bellevue child psychiatrist Lauretta Bender argues that children with autism generally grow up to have schizophrenia any way. And on top of that, despite the ever-increasing rallying cry by American psychiatric guru’s as to childhood schizophrenia’s extreme rarity, Bender documents hundreds of cases of it while at Bellevue. German psychiatry, which long maintained its influence over Europe, and the Soviet and Eastern Bloc countries also insisted that childhood autism is the initial form of schizophrenia, with development into schizophrenia more or less inevitable. Moreover, some in the field understood that clear and unmistakable evidence of the autistic disorder could be found in J. Langdon Down’s 1887 “developmental” form of mental retardation, whichDown attributed mostly to tuberculosis in the child’s parents. The stage was set for a battle royal.

**Johns Hopkins Department of Pathology, Baltimore, 1946**

Though his office was but a short distance away from Leo Kanner’s, Johns Hopkins TB pathologist Arnold Rich lived in a completely different world. In Rich’s world, there were no psychiatric hypotheticals, no diagnoses not verifiable by laboratory reagents and microscopic findings.

Although it appeared that Rich (Figure 10) and Kanner worked in completely different arenas, at times they unknowingly touched directly on one another’s work, but never more closely than when Rich began to focus on perinatal infectious disease. Rich was a teaching dynamo at Johns Hopkins, completing his authoritative *Pathogenesis of Tuberculosis* in 1944, with a second edition in 1951. It took him nine years to compile and still remains a model of what a scientific monograph should be.

By virtue of his astute powers of observation, Rich had always stood out from the rest, even at Johns Hopkins. His name remains on the lung condition called Hamman-Rich syndrome, and the small tuberculous masses (tuberculomas) that metastasized, not infrequently, to, among other areas, the human brain, and became immortalized as “Rich’s foci”. He was also the first to describe the high prevalence of occult prostate cancer in elderly men as well as the first to describe widespread vascular obstruction in the lungs in children with the hereditary heart condition called Tetralogy of Fallot.

During Rich’s tenure, much as in the past, the prevailing emphasis at Johns Hopkins laboratory research was either with the living or recently deceased, but the way in which Phipps psychiatry under Meyer neglected it’s bench work research gave it a somewhat remote character to the rest of Johns Hopkins, preventing closer association. In addition, it seemed that Meyer’s protégé, Leo Kanner was looking only at the very tip of the same iceberg that John Langdon Down had come to grips with so long ago. When Kanner spoke of an “inborn” condition affecting mentation, Rich, as well as Down previously, had a fairly good...
idea of what he was speaking about, and to Rich it was no more a condition caused by heredity than the nonsensical documents that crossed his desk weekly claiming human TB to be hereditary or caused by the wrong genes. Rich, like Down, knew that TB was the most common cause of death from a single infectious agent in young children and neonates, commonly attacking their central nervous system [18, 48]. The Germans had their own name for childhood tuberculosis, kindertuberkulose, and in the many children who survived, besides leaving their tiny bodies gnarled, nearly 20 to 25 percent manifested mental retardation and psychiatric disorders [23]. And for various reasons, many did survive –leaving in its wake, among other conditions –Down syndrome, the autistic and the ‘mentally disabled’. So until this significant pool of infected neonates, infants, and toddlers was fully evaluated for such protean mental complications, Arnold Rich truly couldn’t understand psychiatrists fussing over “inborn” features of a “psychiatric” disease, whether labeled autism or anything else that very possibly was caused by organic infection. It just didn’t make sense. A neurologist friend had confided in Rich that Kanner’s autism seemed more like a disease caused by post-encephalitic phenomena than anything else. Rich knew that tuberculosis was fully capable of causing such an encephalitis, described by one pediatric infectious disease specialist as painlessly as any other central nervous infection around [49].

Rich looked up at the picture of William Henry Welch (1850-1934) The first dean of the Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine. Often referred to as “the Dean of American Medicine”. He was convinced that the maternal to fetal transmission of tuberculosis was common.

Rich was proud both of the association and to have personally known the physician considered both the father of American medicine and one of its most influential members. Welch had studied in Germany under the great masters, including stints with Koch, Cohnheim, and psychiatrist and neurologist Meynert. Welch therefore well realized the importance of seeking out diseased tissue in the mentally ill. Meynert decreed those like Kraepelin and Meyer, who seemed preoccupied with labeling symptoms instead of going after the real tissue cause of brain or central nervous system illness [50]. And having also worked with Koch, Welch held a keen appreciation for the destruction, both inside and outside of the mind, that tuberculosis could cause. With regard to the immediate problem in front of him, Rich had read Knoph’s review in which he said of Welch that “He too was of the opinion that a direct bacillary transmission, that is to say, prenatal infection (with tuberculosis), takes place much more frequently than believed”[51].

Like Rich, Knoph also knew that few fetal autopsies and exhaustive studies were done to prove fatal tuberculosis on dead fetuses. And those studies had to contend with the fact that tuberculosis, a microbe that grew only with sufficient oxygen, was most often impossible to isolate in the low-oxygen content of fetal blood or tissue. It’s not that TB had any trouble surviving under low-oxygen conditions; it just did so in undetectable dormant forms, causing a diagnostic nightmare. Rich questioned the wisdom of Welch in choosing someone like Adolph Meyer to run Hopkins’s psychiatry. Meyer seemed such a far cry from Johns Hopkins neurologist D.J. McCarthy, previously on staff at Phipps Tuberculosis and an authority on tuberculosis of the nervous system in infants and children [52]. McCarthy knew not only that cerebral tuberculosis occurred with much greater frequency in infancy and childhood than most realized, but reported a distinct and causative relationship between tuberculosis and adolescent schizophrenia itself. In fact, McCarthy’s investigation at Johns Hopkins Phipps Tuberculous Pavilion for the mentally ill revealed that practically all of the patients isolated there had schizophrenia. This seemed particularly relevant when taken in light of Lauretta Bender’s argument that children with autism generally grow up to have schizophrenia anyway [46]. McCarthy was far from the first investigator to link schizophrenia with TB. Although eventually the term childhood schizophrenia was displaced altogether regarding autism, there remained those children who displayed both the early-appearing social and communicative characteristic of autism and the emotional instability and disordered thought processes that resembled schizophrenia. Rich wondered if either Kanner or Meyer had as extensive a knowledge of the infectious orientation of German psychiatry as did pathologist William Henry Welch, who once
Psychiatric Asylums on the European and American Continents, Late Nineteenth Century

When Johns Hopkins pathologist William Henry Welch studied under psychiatrist Meynert, it was in the late nineteenth century, a time of fear that tuberculosis would destroy the entire civilization of Europe. It was also when the first massive increase in psychiatric illness and confinement to mental asylums occurred [53]. And although there was a sociological shift of patients going from family care and poorhouses to asylums, this in itself could not account for the inexorable increase in asylum census. To distinguished psychiatrist and writer E. Fuller Torrey, severe psychiatric illnesses such as schizophrenia were comparatively new diseases, less than 250 years old, the confinement for which, even as a college student, reminded Torrey of the tuberculosis sanitariums of a slightly earlier era [54]. During this time frame, there was no autism as understood by Kanner, just the autism Bleuler used to describe schizophrenia. Nor was there the capacity to do a proof-of-concept bio-statistical analysis showing significant genetic overlap in humans with autism, schizophrenia and tuberculosis. Rather autism and schizophrenia were simply considered as one with infectious concepts brought forward and still being revisited by various author’s today [5,55]. In nineteenth-century asylums, the upward spiral became obvious. By 1884, in Germany, Karl Kahlbaum, perhaps the most underrated psychiatrist in history and the true originator of US outcome-based psychiatric classification, first described schizophrenia as a separate entity. Kahlbaum: “It must be the experience of all psychiatric institutions that the number of youthful patients has recently undergone a considerable increase” [56]. It was between 1700 and 1900, that tuberculosis was responsible for the deaths of approximately one billion (one thousand million) human beings. The annual death rate from TB when Koch discovered its cause was an incredible seven million people per year. There were others who also saw this nineteenth-century groundswell of mental illness as representing something new, including auditory hallucinations, as never witnessed before. Historians like Hare and Wilkins, among others, point out that it was only then that schizophrenia, with its hallucinations and delusions, was really even mentioned, representing no small part of the late-nineteenth-century psychiatric flare ups [57,58].

Almost unheard of in the medical literature before this, chronic delusions and hallucinations –such as hearing voices – became common in asylum admissions at the same time Clouston, by 1892, was documenting them in mental illness as a result of a killer pandemic of tuberculosis [59]. Max Jacobi, the originator of the school of thought that held that infectious illness led to mental illness, was the first to ascribe characteristic symptoms for this associated with tuberculosis [60]. Just as autism was thought to be a disease of “affect” or emotion by Kanner, Jacobi in particular considered an unpredictable, emotional (affective) changeability as characteristic of, and at times even diagnostic for, latent, undiagnosed TB. Incredibly, Greding found pulmonary tuberculosis during autopsy in 70 percent of mental defectives and in 50 percent of the mentally affected with seizure disorders [61]. Seizures, not uncommon in autism, occur in 20 to 30 percent of its patients based on the majority of studies [62]. Barr spoke about the relationship between tuberculosis and mental defectiveness at the Sixth International Tuberculosis Congress held in Washington, DC, in 1908 [63]. There, Jacques Moreau expressed his belief that epilepsy and the convulsive disorders were derived from tuberculosis. A year previously, Anglade spoke not only on how tuberculosis caused epilepsy in infants and the young, but how such epileptics eventually became mentally defective through sclerotic brain changes caused by the disease [64]. Subsequently, Baruk discovered that when either proteins extracted from tuberculosis or the spinal fluid taken from people with schizophrenia were introduced into healthy animals, a condition called catalepsy occurred, in which the body and its functions seemed frozen in time. Catalepsy is associated not only with one form of schizophrenia but with epilepsy itself [65]. Patients with catatonia, an extreme form of withdrawal in which the individual retreats into a completely immobile state, can also exhibit catalepsy. Wing related in 2000 that the incidence of catatonia could be as high as 17 percent in adolescents with autism [66]. Historically prominent Viennese pathologist Ernst Löwenstein decided to take things a step beyond. Having developed a potato flour-and egg-based tuberculosis growth media, still in use today, he set about to prove that TB could be cultured from the blood of patients with schizophrenia [67]. Yet despite nine independent confirmative studies finding either the tuberculosis bacillus itself or it’s much harder to stain yet more common viral forms, other studies couldn’t confirm these results. Whether this was from defective laboratory procedure or from the difficulty in staining and culturing viral (or cell-wall-deficient forms) of tuberculosis remains, to this day, unknown. What is known is that undeterred and in answer to these negative studies, Weeber, Melgar, and Löwenstein again found tuberculosis in the blood of schizophrenic patients –findings which, to this day, remain unaddressed [68-70]. As far back as 1769, Scotsman Robert Whytt, reporting on approximately twenty cases, described the localization of tuberculosis in the meninges, membranes that cover the brain and spinal cord [71].

Realizing that the localization of tuberculosis there was often associated with mental disturbances, Whytt gave us the first description of tuberculous meningitis, at that time called morbus cerebralis Whyttii. In describing the disease, Whytt noticed not only small masses called “tubercles” in the brain tissue but hydrocephalus, an excess of “water in the brain.” A duct system circulates fluid in the brain and spinal cord. The meninges that cover the brain manufacture and contain a cerebrospinal fluid that circulates through channels of deep cisterns in the brain and then down the spinal cord and back up to the brain. A block in this circulation, whether from a congenital condition or disease, can lead to an increase of cerebrospinal fluid around the brain.
In infants and young children, because the bones of their skulls are still unfused, this can result in an enlargement of the head. No matter the age, mental disturbances and even retardation can result as complications of such hydrocephalus. So intertwinable was hydrocephalus with tuberculosis that medical experts by the end of the nineteenth century considered acute hydrocephalus as just another name for tuberculous meningitis [72]. Since 1854, Wunderlich recognized that psychotic episodes, including schizophrenia, could be caused by small masses of tuberculosis (tubercles) in the brain [73]. But only as time passed, did it become more obvious just how commonly this occurs. The tubercles of tuberculosis, which often form masses called tuberculomas, are launched through the blood stream to the brain and are often found in infants and adults with no neurologic symptoms. But Marie documented symptomatic cases of tubercles as a cause of psychosis such as schizophrenia [74]. TB meningitis was just the tip of the iceberg, and other investigators, as early as 1908, uncovered a more generalized inflammation of the brain matter, tuberculous encephalitis, “as also being behind specific psychosis” [75]. So the term tuberculous meningoencephalitis was considered more accurate than just tuberculous meningitis.

Figure 12: Dr Aldred Scott Warthin, MD Head of Pathology at the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor. Warthin saw that fetal tissues were relatively immune to the action of the tubercle bacillus. Yet such infection was transmitted through the placenta, even in mothers without signs of infection, to her fetus. This created a situation where a truly latent tuberculosis was both possible and probable, with tissue changes developing sometime after birth, and at a rate “much more frequently than is generally supposed.”

Arnold Rich was working on a problem that might have major implications toward Kanner’s child psychiatry, but he was having a problem with regard to the frequency of maternal-to-fetal transfer of tuberculosis [47]. It was also an issue with seminal significance in addressing Down’s developmental disorders, of which autism was a division. In fact, it was a topic that had been addressed by some of the greatest minds in medicine. On the one hand, Rich knew that “It is now well established that tuberculous infection can be transmitted from mother to fetus through the placenta.” He references Warthin (Figure 12), who in an article in the Journal of Infectious Diseases, said it was common, and Siegel’s study in the American Review of Tuberculosis [26,76]. Siegel documented infants that had died from the disease, one or two days after birth. Husted’s study even included tubercular stillbirths [77].

But to further establish the importance of a link between maternal and perinatal tuberculosis, Arnold Rich felt the need to go into the numbers involved in the general population. Of all the infectious diseases, TB was and always had been a disease of alarmingly large numbers. Ever since Norris’s original review, it had been known that, for some reason, pregnancy, especially late pregnancy, and child bearing itself dangerously reanimated any form of tuberculosis in a woman’s body, no matter how silent [78]. Even latent TB with no symptoms, Norris mentions, could reanimate, percolating TB bacilli into the maternal blood stream for transfer into the fetus. Thus, in the first half of the twentieth century, the method of choice for an expectant mother with proven TB was early termination of pregnancy [79]. Menstruation itself had a similar deleterious effect, causing its own flare up of tuberculosis in the body. The numbers in front of Rich were incredible.

In a disease that, according to the World Health Organization, consistently kills more women of child bearing age than any other, the age at which female tuberculosis mortality began to rise above male mortality coincided with the average age of the onset of menstruation. But the age at which the rate of tuberculosis mortality really surpassed that of males coincided with the period during which over two-thirds of all pregnancies occurred. Rich conservatively estimated that a little over two million women between the ages of eighteen and thirty were pregnant in 1940. And since the total US population for women of this age was approximately 17.7 million, it followed that one out of every eight women in the United States was pregnant in this age range, and one in ten bore living children. This not only produced a pool of 200,000 opportunities to reanimate dormant and often undiagnosed maternal tuberculosis, with its drastically increased female mortality rate, but, with such reactivation, the possibility for the transmission of that disease to the fetus and newborn. In such a reanimation of latent tuberculosis, it was also striking that TB meningitis—which is infrequent in adults but frequent in infants and toddlers—seemed to also noticeably increase in childbearing women from the reactivation of old deposits of cerebral tuberculosis [80]. Rich already realized that regarding TB’s fatality in neonates, infants, and young children, there was a definite pattern. Tuberculosis was most fatal during the first year or two of life. After the second year, the death rate for infected toddlers fell markedly, probably through a greater ability to form protective antibodies between the ages of two and five than during infancy [81]. Though the disease was still deadly
required no TB bacilli, just the sustained diffusion of the protein meninges in such instances [84]. The inflammation that resulted damage and death of cells as well as acute inflammation in the protein while in their mother’s womb. Burn and Finley showed the brain, in infants already hypersensitized to tuberculous infection could also occur in any tissue in the body, including the tuberculoprotein thrown off in even a dormant tubercular harmlessness. Yet also, a severe hypersensitivity reaction to just lodged in a silent area of the brain that were seemingly entirely whatsoever. Rich himself had seen one-inch tuberculous masses though relatively common, often gave rise to no symptoms development of small tubercles deeper in the brain substance, in the wrong place, near the meninges. On the other hand, the development of small tubercles deeper in the brain substance, though relatively common, often gave rise to no symptoms whatsoever. Rich himself had seen one-inch tuberculous masses lodged in a silent area of the brain that were seemingly entirely harmless. Yet also, a severe hypersensitivity reaction to just the tuberculoprotein thrown off in even a dormant tubercular infection could also occur in any tissue in the body, including the brain, in infants already hypersensitized to tuberculous protein while in their mother’s womb. Burn and Finley showed damage and death of cells as well as acute inflammation in the meninges in such instances [84]. The inflammation that resulted required no TB bacilli, just the sustained diffusion of the protein of the tuberculosis bacilli or its active split products through the placenta into a previously sensitized infant.

Through it all, one thing was certain: Tuberculosis did not always kill. That infants could survive even a massive dose of tuberculosis was amply demonstrated in the tragedy called the Lübeck episode [85]. In the German city of Lübeck, of 251 infants mistakenly injected with large numbers of virulent human tubercular bacilli, incorrectly thought to be the TB vaccination called BCG, 71.3 percent survived.

But the number of possible complications in those infants and children who survived TB’s onslaught, including those to the brain and nervous system, Rich knew, would be enough to keep symptom-based psychiatry perturbed and under siege for some time to come.

**University of Pennsylvania Department Of Psychology, 1949**

But psychiatry was already under siege. In 1949, psychologist Philip Ash, in a University of Pennsylvania postdoctoral dissertation, proved that three psychiatrists faced with a single patient and given identical information at the same moment in time were able to reach the same diagnostic conclusion only about 20 percent of the time [86]. Subsequently, Aaron T. Beck, one of the founders of cognitive-behavioral therapy, published a similar study in 1962, which, although it found psychiatric agreement a bit higher, at between 32 percent and 42 percent, still left doubts regarding the reliability of a psychiatric diagnosis in general [87].

![Figure 13: Dr. David L Rosenhan (1929-February 6, 2012)](image)

was an American psychologist best known for the Rosenhan experiment, a study challenging the validity of psychiatric diagnosis.

Added to this came the Rosenhan experiment, a well-known probe into the validity of psychiatric diagnosis conducted...
by Stanford University psychologist Rosenhan [88]. (Figure 13) Published in Science and entitled "On Being Sane in Insane Places," Rosenhan's study consisted of two parts. The first involved the use of mentally healthy associates or fake patients, who briefly pretended auditory hallucinations in an attempt to gain admission to twelve different psychiatric hospitals in five different US states. All of these mentally healthy persons were admitted and diagnosed with psychiatric disorders. All were also forced to admit they had mental illness and to take antipsychotic drugs as a condition for their release.

The second part of Rosenhan's experiment involved asking staff at a psychiatric hospital to detect fake patients in a group of people who were all mentally ill. No fake patients were sent to various psychiatric institutions in this phase of the Rosenhan experiment, yet staff at these institutions falsely identified large numbers of actual mental patients as pretenders.

The study was considered an important and influential criticism of psychiatric diagnosis. Rosenhan concluded, "It is clear that we cannot distinguish the sane from the insane in psychiatric hospitals." The study also illustrated the dangers of depersonalization and the mere slapping on of a label that goes on in these institutions.

As a result of such intrusions, the American Psychiatric Association (APA) in 1973 asked psychiatrist Robert Spitzer to chair a classification task force to establish more precise medically oriented parameters. The problem was that such a classification would still be symptom or syndrome focused. The end result was a classification manual, along the lines of Emil Kraepelin's rejuvenated categorizing, entitled the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM), Third Edition, or DSM-III [89]. Though DSM-III was indeed more reliable than its predecessors, it still offered no clear definition of the cause of the many different "mental illnesses" it defined [90]. Without causes, the mere categorizing of psychiatric diseases did not mean that they were valid to begin with and not the result of direct physical illness. While the APA admitted it had no idea of what caused its manual's supposed "mental" illnesses; at the same time, it felt completely confident in its ability to diagnose and "treat" them.

Paul McHugh, former chair of psychiatry at Johns Hopkins, noticed that the DSM has "permitted groups of "experts" with a bias to propose the existence of conditions without anything more than a definition and a check list of symptoms. "This is just how witches used to be identified," [91] he noted. 

Johns Hopkins Department of Pathology, 1949

Rich knew of numerous cases in which the human placenta was infected in tuberculous mothers and readily admitted that infection could easily pass from mother to fetus. But it was in the frequency that he could find the disease reaching fetal tissue, limited by the diagnostic capabilities of his time that Rich would have to speak of TB's transfer from the placenta to the fetus as "rare." William Henry Welch, who besides being a pathologist like Rich was also a bacteriologist, never would have agreed.

Welch was already on record that the mere in ability to pick up TB in the fetus or newborn wasn't an argument against frequent transmission to them [92]. There were just too many factors involved, such as the hostile, low-oxygen environment of fetal blood, which could tame even the most virulent TB bacilli into dormant forms for some time, making diagnosis difficult to impossible.

It wasn't only Welch who Rich put himself at odds with. German investigator Baumgarten saw infection of the fetus by the spores of TB coming from the maternal placenta as a common occurrence [93]. In fact, to Baumgarten, who held sway over European thinking for some time, all tuberculosis, including neurontuberculosis was most commonly acquired in the womb, in utero, in most cases—though there remained the possibility that it could occur through infected sperm—albeit a much less significant possibility.

Ophuls mentioned that it was a well-established fact that the semen of tuberculous men contains tubercle bacilli, even in the absence of genital TB [94]. It was obvious, then, that the ovum from which the fetus will develop could also become infected. Kobrinsky cites Sitzenfrey as having "demonstrated the presence of bacilli in the interior of the ovum while still within the Graafian follicle." [79] Friedmann, carefully studying the possibility in rabbits, concluded: "It should be regarded as proved that tubercle bacilli can enter the fertilized egg-cell, that the latter does not perish as a result of the invasion, but may develop into a well-formed animal. In addition, the bacilli transmitted in this way may still be present in certain organs of the newborn" [95] and among these organs were obviously the brain and the central nervous system.

That tuberculosis is a sexually transmitted disease is a certainty. By 1972, Rolland wrote Genital Tuberculosis: A Forgotten Disease? [96] And in 1979, Gondzik and Jasiewicz showed that, even in the laboratory, genitally infected tubercular male guinea pigs could infect healthy females through their semen by a ratio of one in six or 17 percent [97]. This prompted Gondzik to warn his patients that not only was tuberculosis a sexually transmitted disease but also the necessity of the application of suitable contraceptives, such as condoms, to avoid it. Gondzik and Jasiewicz's statistics are chilling, their findings significant. Even at syphilis's most infectious stage, successful transmission in humans was possible in only 30 percent of contacts. Since Gondzik, many other investigators have confirmed the potential for TB's sexual genitourinary transmission.

On the other hand, Schnorl's work supported Baumgarten's and Welch's contention of routine tubercular transmission to the fetus through the placenta. Schnorl's work again showed that, indeed, tuberculous infection of the placenta in tuberculous mothers was much more common than formerly believed [98].

But perhaps all of this work was upstaged by Leon Charles
Albert Calmette at the Institut Pasteur.

Institute Pasteur, Paris, France, February 1933

Calmette (Figure 14) was on to something. He had confirmed that TB’s attack form going through the virtual filters of the placenta into fetal blood were viral-like, filter-passing forms of tuberculosis. Such forms were not being picked up by Rich’s traditional TB stains or cultures. Nevertheless, they were responsible for wasting and death, even while traversing a perfectly normal placenta [99,100].

Figure 14: Léon Charles Albert Calmette, born July 12, 1863 Nice, France –Died October 29, 1933 (aged 70) Paris. Served as Director of the Pasteur Institute. Together with Valtis and Lacomme, concluded the frequent transmission of tuberculosis, in its stealth filterable forms from mother to fetus. Calmette also was of the belief that even just an acute febrile epiphenomenon from reactivated TB in an expectant mother was capable of breaking down the effective barrier of an otherwise normal placenta. In JAMA, Myers supports Calmette, saying “it is possible that a greater number of children are infected before birth than we had ever suspected”, and furthermore that “in fact, a considerable number (of such infants) make good recoveries”.

In going against the grain of scientific research such as that done by Pasteur’s Leon Charles Albert Calmette, Johns Hopkins Rich, for all his authority and stature regarding the pathogenesis of tuberculosis, was skating on thin ice. Since its founding on June 4, 1887, the Institute Pasteur, for over a century, was a beacon for research. HIV, tuberculosis, polio, and the plague had all been probed. In addition, since 1908, eight Pasteur scientists had received the Nobel Prize for medicine. It was while working at Pasteur that Calmette developed the world’s first –and, to this day, only –recognized vaccine for tuberculosis, the BCG. He was a force to be reckoned with [101].

Calmette was fully aware of the void that Robert Koch, the discoverer of tuberculosis, had left for future scientists such as Arnold Rich. Koch had done it on purpose. A confirmed monomorphist, Koch insisted that the TB bacilli had only one form that caused disease. Extremely influential, Koch moved to make certain that his operatives kept this view as the one most scientists, to this day, have adapted.

Koch (Figure 15) knew better. Bacteria and mycobacteria certainly could have more than one form. With Almquist, Koch had observed different forms of typhoid in the blood of its victims. Nevertheless, Koch would now begin an intensive campaign to seize and rule the scientific and lay mind that “legitimate” tuberculosis only assumed one form. Thus, Brock points out that, despite the fact that Koch was a first-rate researcher, a keen observer, and an ingenious technical innovator, he went from an “eager amateur” country doctor to “an imperious and authoritarian father figure whose influence on bacteriology and medicine was so strong as to be downright dangerous” [102]. And nowhere, according to Brock, was Koch a more dangerous and “opinionated tyrant” than in his rigid insistence on monomorphism, the idea that microbes could assume one truly infectious form and one form only. Yet Klebs, who personally examined Koch’s own tubercular cultures, wrote otherwise [103]. In addition to the traditional rods of TB in Koch’s culture plates, spherical forms were regularly found, as well as branching, slender filamentous, and granular forms. Many of these could pass a filter and therefore could be interpreted as being “filterable viruses’. But all of them could revert back and become classical virulent tuberculosis.

Figure 15: During the original presentation of his discovery, Koch isolated TB from a total of 33 cases, including patients with blood-bourne tuberculosis, as well as tuberculosis of the brain. In Berlin, towards the end of the 19th century, tuberculosis was the number one killer. Of all deaths, the death of every third newborn and of almost every second adult aged 25-40 were caused by tuberculosis. In collaboration with Dr. Max Birnbaum, nine years after discovering the tubercle bacilli, Koch writes a little known treatise describing, among other things, forms of social withdrawal that could occur in tuberculous children with central nervous system involvement. [Birnbaum M. Koch R, Professor Koch’s Method to Cure Tuberculosis Popularly Treated HE. Haferkorn Publ 1981. Digital edition Princeton University Jan 27, 2009 78 pgs; p. 33].

Koch’s one-form rigidity wasn’t making him friends. There
was widespread opposition from those who sensed his lack of evidence. They gravitated toward the more realistic, better-documented theories of Nageli and Max von Pettenkofer, which showed that bacteria change forms as they evolve. Nageli and von Pettenkofer’s views retained wide support almost to the turn of the twentieth century. Koch reflexively opposed Nageli’s ideas as soon as he heard them. Much of Koch’s clash with Louis Pasteur was also based on Pasteur’s discovery of variability among microbes. In that scuffle, mentions Brock, Koch could at times be so personally vicious as to be shocking.

Vicious or not, by 1939, bacteriologists Vera and Rettger of Yale openly contradicted Koch. Vera: “The single point on which all investigators have agreed is that the Koch bacillus does not always manifest itself in the classical rod shape. While at times and most commonly the organism appears as a granular rod, coccoid bodies, filaments and clubs are not rare” [104].

To marginalize such thought, Koch and his followers, to this day, have banished all forms, except one, into the wastebasket hinterland of “involutional” or “degenerative” forms of tuberculosis and the mycobacteria. Forms other than TB’s classic rod shape didn’t count — no matter how many studies showed that all of these forms could regenerate to the classical TB rod. Koch and his minions thus somehow prevailed. To Brock, Koch and his cohorts, up to today, represent a prime instance of the excessive influence of a “cult of personality.” The problem was that someone somewhere down the line would have to pay for such cult-generated ignorance.

Let it be said to their credit that, from the onset, the French saw right through Koch. Tuberculosis had many forms, including a filterable viral-like stage in its growth cycle. Although Fontes was the first to document these, Macfunkin, Calmette, and others soon followed [105,106]. Again and again, either cultures or extracts of organs from tuberculous victims, after thorough filtration through Chamberlain L2 filters, produced tuberculosis when injected into experimental animals. And, importantly, such forms passed right through the placenta from mother to fetus. In Calmette’s eyes, Koch’s postulates were proving him wrong.

Some animals injected with viral, filter-passing TB appeared normal during the time of observation, but when tested with tuberculin showed positive tuberculin skin tests beginning approximately twenty-five days after being injected with tubercular tissue or microbes. Other animals lost weight rapidly. And some died of a rapid progressive infection. It all depended upon the virulence of the strain of filterable TB being used [107]. In a series of twenty-one infants born to tuberculous women, Calmette, along with Vallis and Lacomme, concluded that their observations proved the frequent transmission of tuberculosis from the mother to the fetus by means of filterable forms of tuberculosis. At the same time, Calmette established that such viral forms of tuberculosis were in the spinal fluid in perinatal meningitis [99].

It would take time until mainstream microbiology would be forced to even acknowledge such viral forms. It would take a Nobel nominee by the name of Lida Holmes Mattman.

Pathology Lab of Arnold Rich, Johns Hopkins, Baltimore, 1950

A struggle was going inside the mind of Arnold Rich, and its implications would affect Western medicine for decades to come. Under variations in the forms TB can assume, Rich’s words don’t always match his conclusions. He conceded that depending upon the type of culture plate that tuberculosis is incubated on, the shape of the organism changes, partly because of the culture medium and partly because of the age of the culture itself. Even the conditions under which this growth occurred, such as temperature and amount of oxygen, figured in. He emphasized that non-acid fast staining rods may be present, especially in young cultures, whereas in older cultures and infected tissues, “beaded forms” were common. Koch also had noticed these beaded forms. Somewhat granular and protruding from stalks, Koch thought they were potential “spores” through which infection could be propagated. But Koch was unable to observe the granules break off into separate segments.

Hans Much, on the other hand, for decades, not only watched the granules break off (Much’s granules) but regenerate into classical TB bacilli [108]. Much was also able to document that the granules weren’t always “acid-fast” when stained, a hallmark for classical TB, which resisted de-colorization by acids during staining. Perhaps this was why Much’s granules were not recognized as the spores of tuberculosis that would, with time, again become the acid-fast staining TB bacilli microbiologists looked for.

Then there was M.C. Kahn’s work. Kahn, using ideal technique, described, in the most precise manner, his direct observations of the growth of minute filter-passing granular forms of TB into fully developed and virulent bacilli, capable of independent proliferation and producing progressive tuberculosis [109].

Whether granular or otherwise, such viral-like or cell-wall-deficient (CWD) forms of tuberculosis, often mistaken for mycoplasma, are today widely known as “L-forms,” named after the Lister Institute by one of its scientists, Emmy Klieneberger [110]. L-forms are cell-wall-deficient by virtue of a breach in their cell wall that allows them the plasticity to assume other forms, including granular forms. Little recognized in Rich’s time, L-forms of tuberculosis have since even been found in breast milk [111].

Rich, working in the 1940s, wanted to believe very much in these viral forms of tuberculosis. They explained the many times that he knew he was dealing with tuberculosis but could not, even as a pathologist, see the germ. Nevertheless, this knowledge, relatively new at the time, was not substantiated enough. After all, Kahn had observed the transformation of granular forms to mature bacilli in vitro in a culture plate. This did not mean to
Rich that every TB bacilli once in humans had to go through this same cycle in its reproduction. So, despite Kahn directly assuring him by personal communiqué that he had solidified his findings in vivo in laboratory animals, Rich was not ready to acknowledge granular viral cell-deficient forms of tuberculosis, which were key to the mystery of how certain forms of TB sieved through the placenta’s chorionic villi into the fetus, escaping detection.

Rich’s statement that, in certain cases, even when the maternal placenta was laced with TB bacilli, “acid-fast stains of a large number of sections of the fetal tissues failed to disclose a single bacillus” was correct. Welch had predicted it. The viral cell-wall-deficient (CWD) forms could only be picked up by special stains, cultures, and techniques that Rich had no access to.

In the meantime, Rich’s hypothetical statement of “rare” transmission was having difficulty. Many infants were reacting to the TB skin test weeks to months after birth, even without known exposure after birth.

Department of Biological Sciences, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, 1982

Figure 16: Lida Holmes Mattman (1912–2008). Once the director of laboratories for the United Nations, Mattman joined the faculty at Wayne State University in 1949. She also taught at Harvard University, Howard Hughes Institute and Oakland University. Mattman taught and used a new method to diagnose tuberculosis in 72 hours. The conventional method takes three to four weeks. Her book, Cell-Wall-Deficient Forms: Stealth Pathogens, Third Edition describes these techniques, using methods widely used abroad. By 2001 Mattman concluded that “there is little doubt that the minute filterable forms of bacteria move from the mother’s capillaries to those of the fetus.” But what really troubled her, in the case of tuberculosis were those cases, established in the 1970’s which reaffirmed that even healthy mothers, with no symptoms were able to pass to their infants cell-wall-deficient forms of TB which nevertheless could kill or injure them. So “stealth” were these particular forms of TB that it once took Mattman eight animal passages before isolating the tubercular pathogen from the menstrual blood of a mother whose infant had become ill a few days after birth.

Lida Holmes Mattman, PhD, had an impressive and well-rounded back ground. Having earned an MS in virology from the University of Kansas and a PhD in immunology from Yale University, Mattman taught immunology, microbiology, bacteriology, virology, and pathology. She worked for thirty-five years at institutions such as Harvard, the Howard Hughes Institute, Oakland University, and Wayne State University. As both a virologist and a bacteriologist, Mattman was equally at home developing the first complement fixation with bacteria-free virus and doing extensive work on various bacteria. And the fact that she was a virologist added all the more credence to her in-depth studies related to viral-like bacterial L-forms (also called Cell-Wall-Deficient or CWD forms). So unique were her findings that in 1998 Mattman was nominated for the Nobel Prize in medicine. In 2005 she was inducted into the Michigan Women’s Hall of Fame.

Mattman [Figure 16] authored the book Cell-Wall-Deficient Forms: Stealth Pathogens now in its third edition. The book is described as follows: Numerous infectious diseases are described as idiopathic, meaning that “the cause is a complete mystery.” For many idiopathic diseases, the causes become clear when certain techniques are applied to the patient’s blood or other tissues. Her Third Edition describes these techniques. In the case of tuberculosis, a disease that has recently regained importance because the strains have acquired antibiotic resistance, the book describes a method that is widely used abroad. This method typically renders the diagnosis within 72 hours.

If the answer to unraveling the mechanism behind autism was an infectious one, Lida Holmes Mattman was who you would want to have investigating it.

Microbiologist and virologist Mattman knew something that few scientists on the planet still truly understand. Bacteria have a lifecycle and can assume many forms. She also knew which special stains, cultures, and techniques would have to be used for the best chance to detect them. Mattman, of course, had access to modern techniques that her predecessors didn’t, including electron microscopy, immunofluorescence, polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and other molecular assessment techniques. These helped, but in the case of tuberculosis, especially cell-wall-deficient tuberculosis, they did not always work. She first wrote Cell Wall Deficient Forms in 1974 [112]. Instantly, it was held in high regard. The problem was that, at that point, not all microbiologists were accepting it. By 2001, Mattman had concluded, regarding the human placenta, that: “There is little doubt that the minute filterable form of bacteria move from the mother’s capillaries to those of the fetus.” She was referring, of course, to tiny filterable bacteria either without a cell wall or those having a breach in that cell wall. Mattman was an expert on such forms, and as her book went into its third edition in 2001, she also referred to them as “stealth pathogens,” which went beneath the radar of modern laboratory diagnostics [113]. Once a pathogen like tuberculosis had its cell wall disrupted, it...
became plastic, having the ability to assume many forms. Some were so tiny that they passed through a 22μm filter, the so-called viral stage of a bacteria. Cell wall disruption also changed the way these microbes stained. Cell-wall-deficient tuberculosis did not always stain with the same acid-fast stain that classical TB bacilli did.

Actually tuberculosis was one of the first placental infections to be accurately described. Since Lehmann’s first report, the subject had come under intense scrutiny. Mattman spent considerable time talking about the transplacental passage of cell-wall-deficient forms of TB to the fetus. She knew that all of the pathogens, tuberculosis and its related mycobacteria relied on their stealth, cell-wall-deficient forms, many of them both dormant and resistant, for their singular survival record inside humans. Thus, she was drawn, early on, to the subject. Her 1970 article remains a classic on such tubercular forms [114]. Calmette, said Mattman, knew that TB must traverse the placenta in its viral stage since the placenta, in most cases, remained intact with no obvious damage. Calmette noted that after such infection with cell-wall-deficient tuberculosis, quick fetal death might occur. Even if the child were born alive, it could result in death through emaciation within one month. Yet there were cases in which the infant suffered no ill effects [115]. These were the infants who were infected but nevertheless vigorous for the time being—until the stealth forms of their dormant tuberculosis could spring back to their classical virulent forms. This dovetails with Kanner’s thirty-months-after-birth allowance for the onset of autism (Figure 17).

What really troubled Lida Mattman were those cases, established in the early 1970s, in which seemingly healthy mothers, with no symptoms, were able to pass to their infants cell-wall-deficient forms of TB which nevertheless killed or infected their offspring. So stealth was cell-wall-deficient tuberculosis in the menstrual blood from one mother whose off spring became ill a few days after birth that it took Mattman eight animal passages to finally yield the tuberculuar pathogen [116].

Mattman warned that tuberculosis mainly grew as pleomorphic (many-formed) stealth pathogens [117]. In one long series, US labs were only picking up 50 percent of tubercular sputum samples by not using special cultures for its cell-wall-deficient forms [118]. Mattman didn’t assume that these labs’ ability to pick it up in the blood or cerebrospinal fluid would be much better.

Brieger, working at Cambridge University, demonstrated mainly pleomorphic stealth growth when he inoculated tuberculosis directly into the amniotic sac of lab animals [118]. The outer layer of the amniotic sac is part of the placenta. Rapidly, cell-wall-deficient granules formed that did not stain with traditional acid-fast stain. Within three days, other cell-wall-deficient forms such as the long branching fungal filaments of TB appeared. TB is a mycobacteria with both bacterial and fungal (“myco” means fungal) forms. Brieger repeated the study using fowl tuberculosis in chicken embryos. His results were similar [120]. Cell-wall-deficient forms formed, again not identifiable by traditional stains or cultures.

Dorozhkova spoke about congenital transplacental tuberculosis from mother to fetus [121]. (Figure 18) And by 1996, Insanov warned that an infection transmitted this way with cell-wall-deficient forms of TB to children not only made standard treatment less effective but created a disease with a gradual, insidious onset and a slow accumulation of cerebral damage in children [122]. This made the disease more difficult to diagnose, because its slow burn into young nervous systems allowed months to years before its full spectrum of damage was obvious. Insanov showed that cell-wall-deficient forms in tuberculosis meningitis accounted for an incredible 87.6 percent of the tuberculosis found in children with TB meningitis and 87.3 percent of those in adults. How could such statistics be ignored?
It's not that Americans hesitated to acknowledge the importance of latent or dormant tuberculosis and how it could persist within a child or adult for years without causing disease [123]. They just couldn't seem to correlate the phenomena with Mattman's stealth pathogens.

To Lida Holmes Mattman, it was bad enough that most twenty-first-century bacteriologists still ignored those cell-wall-deficient bacterial forms responsible for much adult illness of “unknown” cause. But with such stubborness, perhaps, there was a just retribution involved in that the same researchers who ignored her findings were suffering along with the general population. But when it came to innocent children and newborns not being diagnosed or treated properly for disease because of such stubborn recalcitrance—she could simply not accept this.

Conclusion

The consensus that autism is caused by intrauterine infection has been growing. In a 2007 issue of Science, Patterson hypothesized that by far the most important environmental risks for autism and schizophrenia consist of intrauterine infection before birth [3]. Fatemi, in 2009, mentions the same: that based on major agreement and several decades of studies, maternal infection is responsible, leading toward autism and schizophrenia [4]. The evidence for mental illness, including autism and schizophrenia, being the result of an infectious disease is quite extensive.

Medical residents are often told, “Don’t look for zebras. Don’t look for the exotic or the esoteric cause of a disease.” And the best diagnosticians follow this advice. For the purposes of this document, part of not looking for zebras includes asking what infectious process statistically most affects not only women of childbearing age but their children—and at the same time is nerve-seeking (neurotropic) and fully capable of delivering the myriad symptoms now acknowledged to be the autistic spectrum. According to statistics such as those that can be obtained from the World Health Organization and a host of other sources, that disease, hands down, is tuberculosis and its related mycobacteria.

Just as appropriate, were this the case, might be the admonishment of a resident who brings up the issue of vaccines or their ingredients as a direct (as opposed to an indirect) influence on autism. One must always distinguish primary cause from aggravating circumstance. First, most of the vaccines in today’s infant/maternal schedules have a direct contraindication to such potential chronic, even dormant, tubercular infection. And on top of this, physician and researcher Hartz, after sizable human trials, published an article in the Journal of the American Medical Association insisting that mercury compounds were “positively injurious and detrimental to one afflicted with tuberculosis.” [124]. If this is so, then it easily follows that a mercury-bearing substance like thimerosal would only worsen an existing infection.

In addition, some of the oil adjuvants used to increase a vaccine’s potency are lipids or oils that are cholesterol precursors, becoming cholesterol in the body [125]. Such a cholesterol surge is a big boost for any dormant systemic tuberculosis already in the body, whose very ability to maintain infection is linked to its ability to acquire and utilize cholesterol. So crucial is this unique ability of TB to use cholesterol in the body for both carbon and energy sources, that if it were not for its ability to grow off of cholesterol, tuberculosis, unlike other pathogens, would be unable to resist eradication through cytokine attack and the attempts of certain activated white blood cells called macrophages to starve it of essential nutrients. Cholesterol utilization is one of many true survival mechanisms acquired after eons, which has made TB, from a historic sense, probably the most successful human pathogen on the planet [126].

So in comparative and simpler terms, one might look at an injection of certain vaccine adjuvants, squalene among them, whether inside or outside of a vaccination, as lighting up chronic foci of tuberculosis like a Christmas tree. This does not mean that vaccinations or mercury per se cause autism, although certainly either is capable of precipitating a child’s original autistic event by acting in synergy with the infection behind autism. Thus, they could very well appear to be its cause. J. Langdon Down, who was the first to deal with autism in his own children, thought that autism, “for the most part,” originated from TB in children’s parents. He was also the first to use the term “developmentally disabled” for such cases, a euphemism that the public liked and could much more readily handle than the developmentally disabled from tuberculosis. Was John Langdon Down just pulling from an imaginary short list when he focused on the fact that, in most cases, his developmentally disabled children, including those with autism, resulted from parental tuberculosis? Not at all. Down was a high-end product of English science, and what the British lacked in the well-organized and well-financed state-run laboratories of the Germans, they more than made up for in their astute powers of observation. Down was skilled at autopsy, and his studies’ results came directly with how many times he found the disease in his children. Down also could, even then, draw on an extensive library of research on the subject which pointed toward the same direction as his thoughts. It was only then, and after compiling compelling statistical evidence, that he came to the conclusion he came to and published his thoughts.

With time, more evidence accrued. Antidepressants, hailed as new breakthrough drugs in the mentally ill, were really discovered as a by-product of the tuberculosis research of the 1950s. Having proven anti-tubercular activity, they weren’t used clinically as anti-depressants until the 1960s. The first were antidepressants known as monoamine oxidase (MAO) inhibitors, which had anti-tubercular activity. This was only after it was noted that TB patients given MAO inhibitors experienced a state of elation and euphoria where only depression had existed before. No wonder. MAO inhibitors had activity against their MAO inhibitions had activity against their state of elation and euphoria where only depression had existed before.

In comparative and simpler terms, one might look at an injection of certain vaccine adjuvants, squalene among them, whether inside or outside of a vaccination, as lighting up chronic foci of tuberculosis like a Christmas tree. This does not mean that vaccinations or mercury per se cause autism, although certainly either is capable of precipitating a child’s original autistic event by acting in synergy with the infection behind autism. Thus, they could very well appear to be its cause. J. Langdon Down, who was the first to deal with autism in his own children, thought that autism, “for the most part,” originated from TB in children’s parents. He was also the first to use the term “developmentally disabled” for such cases, a euphemism that the public liked and could much more readily handle than the developmentally disabled from tuberculosis. Was John Langdon Down just pulling from an imaginary short list when he focused on the fact that, in most cases, his developmentally disabled children, including those with autism, resulted from parental tuberculosis? Not at all. Down was a high-end product of English science, and what the British lacked in the well-organized and well-financed state-run laboratories of the Germans, they more than made up for in their astute powers of observation. Down was skilled at autopsy, and his studies’ results came directly with how many times he found the disease in his children. Down also could, even then, draw on an extensive library of research on the subject which pointed toward the same direction as his thoughts. It was only then, and after compiling compelling statistical evidence, that he came to the conclusion he came to and published his thoughts.

With time, more evidence accrued. Antidepressants, hailed as new breakthrough drugs in the mentally ill, were really discovered as a by-product of the tuberculosis research of the 1950s. Having proven anti-tubercular activity, they weren’t used clinically as anti-depressants until the 1960s. The first were antidepressants known as monoamine oxidase (MAO) inhibitors, which had anti-tubercular activity. This was only after it was noted that TB patients given MAO inhibitors experienced a state of elation and euphoria where only depression had existed before. No wonder. MAO inhibitors had activity against their MAO inhibitions had activity against their state of elation and euphoria where only depression had existed before.
matter how much they confirmed TB’s role in mental illness, were tainted by a public that wanted to hear none of them. After all, to be diagnosed insane or developmentally disabled and tubercular at the same time were two of the greatest stigmas that could be thrust upon a patient and his or her immediate family. So insanity or mental illness was either given medical labels that few understood or reduced to a “nervous disorder” with TB always that “not me” illness. Rather it was often referred to as a “chest ailment” during which “nervous disorders” often occurred.

In 1997, Adhikari, Pillay, and Pillay were quite straightforward on a subject traditionalists never have admitted to as other than “a rarity” [127]. They wrote in “Tuberculosis in the Newborn: An Emerging Problem”: Congenital TB can result from hematogenous [blood] dissemination of M. tuberculosis after maternal mycobacteremia, rupture of a placental tubercle into the fetal circulation, or ingestion of infected amniotic fluid or maternal blood at delivery. The mother might not have symptoms of TB disease, and subclinical maternal genital TB also can result in an infected neonate. This seems straightforward enough. The fetus can be infected by the mother with TB while still in her womb. The mother might not have symptoms of TB. And it was an “emerging problem.” But another emerging problem was happening at about the same time. Autism had begun to skyrocket.

The extent of the diagnostic problem involved in finding cerebral tuberculosis in infants was documented by Rock et al. in an April 2008 issue of Clinical Microbiology Reviews. In this Rock mentions that some pediatric experts recommend that all children under 12 months of age should have a lumbar puncture due to both their susceptibility to cerebral tuberculosis and the difficulty in clinically evaluating infants with it [128]. Most will not entertain this, but it does emphasize the extreme difficulty in diagnosing the disease in infants. Similarly, by 1999, Pillet, in an article in the Archives of Pediatrics, went over the difficulties in early diagnosis of neonatal tuberculosis. His conclusion, and the conclusion of so many others before him, was that its frequency was being underestimated and its diagnosis often difficult because its initial manifestations were often delayed [129]. By stating this, Pillet was saying what Insanov, Calmette and Welch had already brought up regarding the distinct delay of disease process as a result of the cell-wall-deficient forms of tuberculosis in the new born, which would take time to revert to classical disease. This is also what Mattman brought attention to. Calmette warned pathologists that CWD forms caused few tissue changes to the placenta, and Mattman warned that even healthy, otherwise asymptomatic pregnant women with a focus of tuberculosis somewhere in their body could generate stealth, cell-wall-deficient forms of tuberculosis into the blood to infect their fetuses. Rich had already pointed out the extreme activation of even silent TB that occurs during pregnancy, a fact he had acquired from Norris before him [78].

In October 2003, Gourion and Pelissolo reported in the Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders on how neonatal cerebral tuberculosis had evolved into a member of the autistic spectrum of disorders, namely Asperger’s syndrome [130].

More importantly, Gourion mentions that a cross-comparison between neuropathology and imaging studies done on TB meningoencephalitis and those from the autistic spectrum, including Asperger’s seemed to match, including a study of the decreased metabolism in the brain’s cingulated gyri [131-135]. This was important. In linking Asperger’s syndrome, a known disease in the autistic spectrum to neonatal TB meningitis gown and his colleagues Péllissolo, Orain-Péllissolo and Lepine joined Schoeman’s previous implication that tuberculosis and its neonatal brain lesions were behind the neurodevelopmental disorders, including the autistic spectrum of infancy, childhood, and beyond the autistic spectrum of infancy, childhood, and beyond [136].

No one who has ever witnessed the pathetic head banging of an autistic child can truly come away unswayed. Many explanations have been offered by workers in the field, some of them unconvincing. That pain relief is a possibility has occurred to a few. They mention a child is more likely, for example, to bang his or her head when the child has an ear infection or is suffering from some other physical discomfort in the head. This makes sense, but some of the other explanations do not. Five out of 11 of Kanner’s initial subjects, through measurement, “had relatively large heads”, which could indicate possible degrees of hydrocephalus. Delacato, evaluating 474 children diagnosed as autistic found 81 percent of these to have enlarged ventricles on computerized tomography, a premier radiologic feature of hydrocephalus [137]. Edwards and many others have documented the chronic headaches that can result from hydrocephalus, one of whose more frequent causes in infants is perinatal infection [138]. In fact hydrocephalus itself is often listed as a possible cause for autism. As previously mentioned, at one time, the diagnosis of acute hydrocephalus was so commonly associated with cerebral tuberculosis that the terms were used interchangeably.

It is for all of these considerations, as well as for the sake of the developmentally disabled and autistic children in our midst today, no less those that will grow to adolescence and adulthood, that we should carefully review the historic and present debate of tuberculosis’s stealth role in the psychiatrically impaired and those children that are developmentally disabled or autistic.
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