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After mixing of the cement with water, most of the anhydride products sustain the hydration process and this leads to the hydrate products, e.g. CSH, Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2}, Afm and Aft. The mentioned hydration process is a highly complex phenomenon involving the chemically based thermo-activation inside the cement mortars during the early age hydration process. The chemo-thermal hydration reactions drastically increase at the early age of hydration after the mixing action and then it becomes less important and turns to be nearly asymptotic. The progress of the hydration phenomenon drives the material properties change during the very early age of cement hydration. Regarding the mortar and concrete, such hydration process would not be homogeneous through the cement matrix due to the aggregates presence. These inclusions will affect the temperature distribution as well as degree of hydration. In the current contribution, the chemical and thermal hydration have been firstly investigated by means of SEM observations using replica method and secondly by the 3D-FEM numerical experiments including two different case studies using glass beads as aggregates. The numerical experiments match fairly good the experimental measurements obtained using a pseudo-adiabatic testing setup for the case studies herein. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images observation demonstrates the gap spaces around the glass beads next to the external surfaces. These gaps can be essentially seen for the multi-glass beads case study. The role of the temperature and degree of hydration gradients are clearly obtained using the numerical samples. Some fresh routes and outlooks have been afterwards discussed.

1. Introduction

1.1. Scientific backbone and problem statement

The scientific goal of the present paper is to model the heat release impact and the related hydration level evolution during the very early age of the cementitious materials which are well known as heterogeneous materials. In fact, at very early-age and early age, the thermal behavior of cement matrices is driven by a thermo-chemical coupling between the progress of cement hydration reactions and the heat release rate associated with the aforementioned reactions. Indeed, the cement hydration process conducts the exothermic and thermo-activated processes. The hydration heat release usually takes the highest values during the setting of cement-based materials and may cause the temperature rise of several tens of degrees of Celsius in the massive concrete structures. In the concrete structure with wall-thickness greater than 40 cm (thick-walled concrete structures), the thermal gradient that appears between the concrete structure body and the outside surfaces, would sustain the superficial cracks [1]. Moreover, at the construction joints, the thermal contraction, caused by the temperature drop to the ambient temperature, is partially hindered by the stiffness increasing at the structural elements. This hindering effect or so-called restrained shrinkage may provide the cracks across the concrete blocks [2–7]. Nowadays, several computer methods allow us assessing the thermal evolution of concrete at early age in a very precise manner, taking advantage of the values of the thermo-chemical coupling and thermo-physical parameters [7–9]. The above-addressed numerical methods handle the macroscopic scale, considering concrete as a homogeneous material. Furthermore, in the hydrating mortar or concrete, two distinct phases go altogether, i.e. the chemically reactive material known as cement paste and the inert material known as aggregates. The presence of aggregates locally disturbs the temperature gradient field induced by the hydration heat release rate. Evidently, this effect is more colorful at the outside surfaces rather than the sample body.
The temperature and hydration degree gradients may have an impact on the fields of thermal strains and stresses either. Consequently, they affect the evolution of the mechanical properties of the material. The influence of aggregates on the early-age mechanical behavior of mortars and concretes has been investigated in the literature [10–17]. However, their effect on the thermo-chemical coupling at the early-age cement-based materials is still remained an open problem.

In this context, the current study proposes to scrutinize the thermo-chemical coupling in the cement matrices at the mortar scale by taking into account the role of aggregates. The forthcoming 3D-FEM modeling will be presented using the modified Arrhenius’ law for the cement-based materials considering a time-dependent chemical affinity function [18,19] and it would be clearly distinguished from the original Arrhenius’ law [20,21] and modified Arrhenius’ law in the literature. Thermo-physical and thermo-chemical parameters of the cement matrix have been extracted by means of the experiments on the hydrating cement paste samples, i.e. CEM I. The numerical modeling have been successfully achieved based on thermo-physical properties of CEM I and the outcomes have been compared to the experimental temperature curves as well.

1.2. Paper highlights

- The heat release rate measurement during the mortar hydration including different volumetric aggregates fraction has been performed for CEM I mortar with one glass bead and several glass beads,
- 3D-FEM hydration numerical experiments involving the heat release rate with the above-mentioned case studies are considered to assess the gradient of temperature distribution as well as the heterogeneous gradient of hydration,
- The modeling results have been compared to the micro-structural observations of the cement paste/aggregates interfacial zone of cement matrices extracted by the scanning electron microscopy images using the replica method [22].

2. Chemo-physical hydration analytical modeling

The cement hydration is a thermo-activated process. To pursue the chemo-physical investigation of the cement-based materials, it is necessary to take into account the main parameters herein. These parameters can be defined as the energy of activation $E_a$, chemical affinity $\tilde{A}$ and degree of hydration $\xi$. The determination of the temperature distribution would be estimated using the classical heat-transfer equation including the heat source, which should entail the chemo-physical hydration processes through the cement paste. Based upon the aforementioned parameters $E_a$, $\tilde{A}$ and $\xi$ related to the hydration process progress, the temperature distribution can be extracted as following:

$$\rho C_p \frac{\partial T(x,t)}{\partial t} = -\text{Div}(\lambda \nabla T(x,t)) + Q_\infty \frac{\partial \xi(x,t)}{\partial t} \quad \text{on} \quad \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$$

where $\rho C_p$, $\lambda$, $Q_\infty$, and $\xi$ are the volumetric heat capacity, thermal conductivity coefficient, total heat release and degree of hydration, respectively. Several experimental methods in determining the degree of hydration have been proposed in the literature, e.g. Brunauer and Copeland in [23], Taylor in [24,25], Papadakis et al. in [26] and Fagerlund in [27]. In the present study, we take into account the linear relation assumption between the hydration and chemical reaction like those done earlier by Mounanga in [28,29] and Mounanga et al. [30]. The above-mentioned assumption leads

---

**Nomenclature**

**Constants**

- $E_a$ activation energy in [J/mol]
- $A$ chemical affinity coefficient in [1/s]
- $B$ chemical affinity first exponent coefficient
- $C$ chemical affinity second exponent coefficient
- $\lambda_{CP}$ thermal conductivity of cement paste in [W/m K]
- $h_{CP}$ thermal convection coefficient of cement paste in [W/m K]
- $Q_\infty$ total body hydration heat in [J/m$^3$]
- $C_P$ specific heat capacity in [J/kg K]
- $\rho$ density in [kg/m$^3$]
- $h_{GL}$ thermal convection coefficient of glass beads in [W/m K]
- $\lambda_{GL}$ thermal conductivity of glass beads in [W/m K]
- $h_{IN}$ thermal convection coefficient of Polyurethane in [W/m K]
- $\lambda_{IN}$ thermal conductivity of Polyurethane in [W/m K]
- $\xi_0$ initial degree of hydration
- $T_0$ initial temperature in [K]
- $T_{amb}$ ambient temperature in [K]
- $h$ thermal convection coefficient in [W/m K]

**Domains**

- $\Omega(t) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]$ experimental setup domain
- $\Omega_{CP}(t) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]$ cement domain
- $\Omega_{GL}(t) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]$ glass domain
- $\Omega_{IN}(t) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]$ insulation domain
- $\partial \Omega^{y0} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ thermal isolation plane as symmetric z-plane subdomain
- $\partial \Omega^{yx} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ thermal isolation plane as symmetric y-plane subdomain
- $\partial \Omega^{zx} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ thermal isolation plane as symmetric x-plane subdomain
- $\partial \Omega^{xyo} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ thermal convective z-plane subdomain
- $\partial \Omega^{xyo} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ thermal convective y-plane subdomain
- $\partial \Omega^{xyz} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ thermal convective x-plane subdomain

**Sets**

- $\mathbb{R}$ real set
- $\mathbb{R}^2$ 2D Euclidean space
- $\mathbb{R}^3$ 3D Euclidean space

**Scalar quantities**

- $\Delta V_{chemo}(t)$ time-dependent volumetric chemical shrinkage of the material in [m$^3$]
- $\Delta V_{chemo}(x)$ final volumetric chemical shrinkage of the material in [m$^3$]
- $\tilde{A}(\xi)$ chemical affinity in [1/s]
- $||\nabla T||_{L^2}$ or $||\nabla \xi||_{L^2}$ norm of temperature gradient vector in [K/m]
- $||\nabla \xi||_{L^2}$ or $||\nabla \xi||$ norm of degree of hydration gradient vector in [1/m]

**Vector quantities**

- $\nabla T$ temperature gradient in [K/m]
- $\nabla \xi$ degree of hydration gradient in [1/m]
- $x$ position vector in [m]
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where \(\xi(t)\) signifies the time-dependent volumetric chemi-
ical shrinkage of the material and \(\Delta V_{\text{chemo}}(t)\) deals with
the final volumetric chemical shrinkage value.\footnote{2} Based on (2), the time
dependent hydration progress could be rewritten using the modified Arrhenius's law as below [18]:

\[
\frac{\partial \xi(x, t)}{\partial t} = \tilde{A}(\xi(x, t)) \exp \left( - \frac{E_a}{R T(x, t)} \right) \quad \text{on} \quad \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3
\]  

where \(\tilde{A}\) represents the driven-mechanism of hydration known as
chemical affinity. \(E_a\) is an energy barrier that quantifies the influence of temperature on cement hydration kinetics. \(E_a\) is also
well-known as the apparent activation energy which is a critical
parameter for the calculation of maturity and equivalent age
characteristics of concrete (see [31,19] for more detail about the
apparent activation energy concept and its determination). Most
of the well-accepted standards, e.g. ASTM C1074 take advantage of
the monitoring of temperature-time history and the compres-
sive strength experiments. In fact, during the hydration of various
ce>ment phases, the cementitious material exhibits a transforma-
tion of its micro-structure and of its chemical composition, inducing
an evolution of the thermo-chemical parameters describing the
hydration reactions. The mentioned hydration progress relation
has been used to calculate the temperature distribution in the
cement paste in the present paper. The computation of the pro-
posed analytical formula involves the following assumptions and
considerations:

- Identical degree of hydration for all compound anhydrous,\footnote{2}
- Constant values assumption for \(E_a, \lambda, \rho C_p\) during the hydration
process.
- Non-radiative heat transfer phenomenon assumption.\footnote{4}

3. Experimental study

3.1. Materials

The cement used in this study is a Portland cement known as
CEM I 52.5 N CE CP2 NF from Couvrot factory in France. The den-
sity and Blaine fineness of the applied cement are 3090 kg/m\(^3\) and
400 m\(^2\)/kg, respectively. The chemical composition of the cement
is given in Table 1.

The application of Bogue’s formulas to the chemical composi-
tion of the cement leads to the following mass distribution for the
main phases: 66% of C\(_3\)S, 13% of C\(_2\)S, 11% of C\(_3\)A and 7% of
C\(_4\)AF. The applied aggregates for the mortars preparation are arti-
cial and non-porous. The dry glass beads with a diameter of 8 mm
have been chosen to fulfill this assignment. The choice of the glass
beads as aggregates is of great importance in the sense that we
willingly wipe out the possible impact of locally induced dehy-
dration around the sand grains to better understand the chemical
affinity perturbation process alone. The impact of the sand grains
and their interactions within the cement paste is out of scope of
the present paper. We achieve two case studies in Table 2. The first
case study focuses on the cement and the second study case
deals with the mortar. The mortar contains the following proper-
ties, water–cement ratio (W/C) of 0.30 and glass beads–cement ratio
(A/C) of 1 as highlighted in Fig. 1a. The cement paste has the forth-
coming property, water–cement ratio (W/C) of 0.30 including a
central glass bead as displayed in Fig. 1b.

The mortar and cement paste has been prepared by mixing the
solid components within water in accordance with the European
standards (EN 196-1) and mixing time is shown in Fig. 2.

After mixing, the cement paste (single glass bead case) and mor-
tar (multi-glass bead case) have been held in the Polyurethane
cube with the following dimension 50 mm \(\times\) 50 mm \(\times\) 50 mm. The
outside surfaces of the Polyurethane cube are covered with the
Polyurethane plates to prevent the evaporation. During the hydra-
tion process, the thermocouples are used to measure up the
temperature variations.

3.2. Heat release rate measurements

By taking advantage of the above-described testing setup, one
can neatly measure up the heat release rate during the hydration
process of our mentioned case studies. The temperature variation
through the case studies, i.e. single glass bead and multi-glass beads
cases are displayed in Fig. 3.

Once the temperature graph extracted, one can readily observe
that the temperature of the single glass bead case increases up to
more than 90\(\,^{\circ}\)C around 5 h, while the multi-glass beads case attains
nearly 65\(\,^{\circ}\)C around 6 h after mixing. Evidently, such effect lies in

shown in the current study. Anyway, the radiative heat transfer is out of scope of
the present contribution.

| Case study name | Water-to-cement ratio (W/C) | Aggregate-to-cement ratio (A/C) |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Single glass bead called cement paste | 0.30 | One glass bead |
| Multi-glass beads called mortar | 0.30 | 1 |

| Chemical composition of CEM I 52.5 N CE CP2 NF used for the experiments. |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Weight content in [%] | SiO\(_2\) | Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) | Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) | CaO | MgO | SO\(_3\) | K\(_2\)O | Na\(_2\)O | Cl\(^-\) |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----|-----|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| 19.50 | 5.20 | 2.30 | 64.20 | 0.90 | 3.50 | 1.07 | 0.07 | 0.01 |
the fact that the glass beads substantially affect the heat release rate of cement matrix by thermal gradient among cement matrix and glass beads and decrease of cement matrix volume comparing to the single glass bead case.

3.3. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) observations using replica technique

To pursue the development of the interfacial zone between cement matrix and granular inclusions (in our case glass beads) during the hydration process, the “simple replica” method has been utilized. The single replica technique was firstly developed by Ollivier in [22] and followed by a series of papers, Ringot et al. [34,35], Ringot [36], Berthaud et al. [37] and Leklou et al. in [38] for the visualization aspects devoted to the cement-based materials. After the preparation stage, the SEM observation can be carried out on whole sample. The processes of the single replica can be summarized as below:

1. Demoldation of the specimens,
2. Swanning and polishing of the specimen along 6 h–12 h–24 h–48 h–96 h–168 h,
3. Holding all specimens in the adiabatic conditions during the experiments,
4. Pulverization on the specimen samples by the methyl acetate,
5. Application of film of bioden (celluloseacetyl) on the polished surface,
6. Removal of bioden film and putting the brass support after the solvent evaporation,
7. SEM observation by means of the metallised replica.

For scanning electron microscopy (SEM) observations, a EVO40 (Carl Zeiss®) is used to perform the observations done in the current study. According to the SEM observations of whole sample of the above-mentioned case studies (Table 2), several distinct phenomena are found out for the chosen case studies.

We get started with the multi-glass beads in where totally distinguishable phenomena can be seen in the central part of specimen and in the parts next to the external surfaces of specimen. Fig. 4 shows SEM observation of replicas next to the external surfaces of specimen with the same polished face including various maturities, i.e. 6 h, 12 h, 24 h, 48 h, 96 h and 168 h. We clearly observe a broken film of Bioden around the inclusion indicating a separation between the inclusion and the cement matrix. The width of the “interface” corresponding to the thickness of the tear film, increases over time in Table 3. This gap among the glass beads and cement matrix would be sustained via two major reasons:

1. Autogenous shrinkage deformations during the hydration process of cement [39–41,12,42,43,15], i.e. mechanically activated actions,
2. High hydration gradient surrounding the glass beads at the interfacial zone within the cement matrix, i.e. locally activated hydration gradients.

It is well worth noting that no micro-cracking would be visible through the cement matrix. As a matter of fact, the deformations of
Fig. 4. SEM images extracted by the replicas method using the same polished face for the multi-glass beads case study next to the external surfaces of specimen (aggregate-to-cement ratio (A/C) = 1) at various maturities, (a) 6 h, (b) 12 h, (c) 24 h, (d) 48 h, (e) 96 h and (f) 168 h (×190 - high-vacuum mode).

The aforementioned gap distance among the glass beads and cement matrix cannot be captured at the central part of the specimens. Fig. 5 shows the SEM observations of replicas in the central part of specimen with the same polished face and various maturities, i.e. 6 h, 12 h, 24 h, 48 h, 96 h and 168 h. As shown in Fig. 5, no gap space can be seen by means of SEM. The lack of gap spaces in the central part of specimens arises from weak autogenous shrinkage deformations and degree of hydration gradient. We remind again that the local water adsorption around the aggregates assumption is absolutely withdrawn because of the use of the glass beads as aggregates in the present work.

Based upon the experimental outcomes, the gap space cannot be seen neither in the central part of specimen nor near to their external surfaces for the first case study, i.e. single glass bead case. This stems from the fact that one glass bead (with 8 mm of diameter) is not big enough to drive the gap spaces at the interfacial zones among aggregates and cement matrix. Consequently, so far arrive at this fact that its impact on the cement matrix can be totally neglected. To fully investigate the aforementioned phenomena between central part of specimen and the regions which are very close to the external surfaces, the numerical modeling based on the 3D-FEM has been performed to determine whether the temperature gradient, degree of hydration evolution and its gradient are enable to make these gap spaces happen.
4. 3D-FEM numerical modeling of chemo-physical hydration process

In this section, we proceed two numerical experiments using direct chemo-thermal coupling scheme. The first numerical experiment deals with a central glass bead inside the cubic cement paste specimen (50 mm × 50 mm × 50 mm) and second numerical experiment concentrate on the multi-glass beads case. Both case studies are identically treated using the three dimensional finite element analyses. To pursue this goal, the insulation material and their relevant thermal properties are also taken into account. This issue enables us to be as close as possible to the realistic test conditions. More detail about the geometrical configurations, material properties, chemo-thermal coefficients and modeling issue are provided in the forthcoming sub-sections for the above-mentioned cases.

4.1. Physical properties and geometrical configurations

The proposed analytical formulas for the chemical and thermal hydration phenomena have been taken into account and put into practice for the following numerical experiments herein. The above-described chemo-thermal modeling is used to mimic the hydration process of the mortar at the very early age and early age. We focus on a cubic specimen, i.e. 50 mm × 50 mm × 50 mm containing a central glass bead and several glass beads (multi-glass
beads case) instead of the sand grains for the usual cement mortars. The physico-chemical properties of the cement paste, glass beads and insulation material known as Polyurethane for all computations are given in Table 4.

We proceed the numerical simulations in two stages. In the first stage, a cubic specimen including a central glass grain with 8 mm of diameter is considered. In the second stage, a multi-glass beads case will be studied with the same specimen dimension; i.e. 50 mm × 50 mm × 50 mm including nearly 400 glass beads. Due to the symmetrical considerations, only one-eight of the cubic specimen is considered for the finite element analyses herein. This matter significantly diminishes the number of DOFs and consequently, one can find out very dense mesh density and more accurate numerical outcomes. This gets more important when we handle the multi-glass beads case in where the number of elements ought to be drastically greater than the first case study (one glass bead case). The geometrical configurations indicating symmetry planes, grain beads domain, cement paste domain and insulation material domain are highlighted in Fig. 6 (see Figs. 10 and 11 in Appendix A).

The degree of hydration distribution around this simple case study is thereafter studied. We are after the degree of hydration variations and its gradient. In the second stage, we take advantage of our lately applied algorithm in [17] inspired by the Jeffer et al. [44–46] for the creation of a quasi-stochastic glass grains distribution based on the geometrical spherical packing issue [47–49] (see Appendix B for more detail). Some relevant studies pertaining to the spherical packing for the cement-based materials can be also addressed in [50–54]. In Appendix C, the basic equations including the appropriate initial conditions and boundary conditions are described.

4.2. Numerical hydration process simulation: role of aggregates

4.2.1. Description of model discretization assumptions

The aforementioned equations in the previous subsection including the initial conditions and boundary conditions have been directly solved by means of the finite element method using the quadratic isoparametric Lagrange shape function for both

---

### Table 4

Chemo-thermal properties of cement paste as matrix, glass beads as aggregates and Polyurethane as insulation materials applied for the numerical experiments.

| Material parameters name | Cement paste | Glass beads | Polyurethane |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Apparent activation energy, $E_a$ (kJ/mol) | 33.5 | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| Chemical affinity, $\tilde{A} (1/s)$ | $\tilde{A}(t) := A_0 t^{(1 - \theta)^2}$ | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| Total body hydration heat, $Q_\infty$ in J/m$^3$ | $7.28 \times 10^8$ | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| Thermal conductivity, $\lambda$ (W/m K) | 0.87 | 1.00 | 0.03 |
| Specific heat capacity, $\mu C_p$ (J/m$^3$ K) | $2.56 \times 10^6$ | $2.20 \times 10^6$ | $6.75 \times 10^6$ |
| Thermal convection coefficient, $h_{CP}$ (J/m$^2$ K) | Not applicable | Not applicable | 30 |

Fig. 6. Geometrical configuration of chosen model, (a) including three planes of symmetry with cement paste (pink-colored domain), glass bead (blue-colored domain) and Polyurethane as insulation material (green-colored domain), and (b) excluding symmetry planes.

Fig. 7. Mesh density of the 3D-FEM models, (a) Single glass bead model with 1.59 Mi DOFs including Lagrange multipliers DOFs and (b) Multi-glass beads model with 1.03 Mi DOFs including Lagrange multipliers DOFs.
temperature and degree of hydration. The tetrahedral elements are applied to achieve the discretization issue. This matter yields to the 16-node solid elements and fairly computationally affordable outcomes, i.e. the same order of exactitude for temperature as well as degree of hydration like those done before by the first and second authors in [55–59,15,60–63,17] for the generalized mechanics and multi-disciplinary computations. The mesh density issue has been also verified to reach the suitable accuracy. The last issue gets essential for the multi-glass beads case in which from very fine to extremely fine mesh density is required to obtain the perfect numerical results. The use of suitable mesh density yields the huge DOFs for the direct chemo-thermal problem. In Fig. 7, the mesh density for the first and second case studies are illustrated. To solve this transient chemo-thermal coupling, the parallel geometrical multi-grid iterative solver is used including the generalized-α as the time-dependent time stepping algorithm.

4.2.2. 3D-FEM experiments

In this subsection, the numerical result of the multi-disciplinary chemo-thermal analyses including the thermal distribution and degree of hydration after mixing is presented and described. The 3D-FEM results of the chemo-thermal analyses are also compared to the experimental measurements of both the single glass bead case and multi-glass beads case. According to the numerical experiments, we get fairly good agreement between the experimental measurement and numerical outcomes (Fig. 8a and c).

It should be emphasized that the FEM-based temperature curve has been obtained at a point next to the central glass bead for two case studies while the temperature measurements has been overall extracted in the body specimen. The current chemo-thermal numerical modeling not only covers the lack of temperature distribution across the specimens but also it provides the temperature gradient, i.e. $\nabla T \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and degree of hydration gradient $\nabla /CAN \in \mathbb{R}^3$ through the cement matrix. According to the numerical experiments, the temperature field varies slightly from the central part to the regions close and very close to the external surfaces in where we put an insulation material, i.e. Polyurethane. This nearly conducts us to the adiabatic conditions. As far as the authors’ knowledge, the perfect adiabatic conditions for cement based materials is extremely hard to achieve. This matter leads to the pseudo-adiabatic conditions. Due to the imperfections in holding the perfect adiabatic conditions during the experiments, the numerical and experimental outcomes do not perfectly match in the negative slope part of the temperature-time plot in Fig. 8. The single glass bead case shows very high temperature increase up to more than 95°C around 5 h while the multi-glass beads case temperature is less than the above-mentioned case and it gets 65°C around 6 h after mixing. As illustrated in Fig. 8b and d, the degree of hydration computation of both two mentioned cases have been presented at 5 h when the high temperature values is observed for two cases. Based upon the computations, the single glass bead case exhibits the hydration degree value...
equal to 0.2877 at the border and 0.3235 at the central part of specimen. The existence of the gradient of degree of hydration through the cement matrix is due to the fact that the temperature gradient and hydration levels generally take place at the border near the insulation in spite of the fact that we attempt to retain the full adiabatic circumstances as far as possible. However, the less important hydration level at 5 h has been observed for the multi glass bead case. The hydration degree is between 0.187 at the border and 0.197 at the central part of the numerical specimen. As the heat release rate at the multi-glass beads case is lower than the single one’s, the corresponding hydration degree is lower than the first case either. To understand the impact of the aggregates on the temperature distribution and the degree of hydration, the cutting planes of two case studies have been displayed in Fig. 9.

For single glass bead study case, the temperature gradient as well as the degree of hydration result in the smooth gradient distribution in the specimen in Fig. 9a and c. It signifies that the single glass bead case does not too much affect the temperature field trend across the cement paste of the numerical specimen. For the second
case study different trends have been extracted by means of the numerical experiments. The temperature gradient and the degree of hydration gradient are slightly but clearly disturbed by the glass beads (Fig. 9b and d). It can be observed that the hydration level is slightly greater around the glass beads rather than its neighbored through the cement matrix. The observation of the second numerical sample, i.e. multi-glass bead case demonstrates that the regions where close to the glass beads get more hardened comparing to those located in the inter-glass beads space like that reported earlier by Yang in [64]. In the Yang’s study, the Young’s modulus at Interfacial Transition Zone (ITZ) is extracted based on double-inclusion method [65] and Mori-Tanaka homogenization technique [66]. This issue within the possible autogenous deformations during the early age hydration stage sustain the “glass bead” and “cement matrix” debonding phenomenon which are observed by SEM in Fig. 4.

5. Conclusions and future works

In the present paper, two different kinds of mortars have been taken into account to understand the role of the aggregate on the cement paste matrix especially during the hydration process after mixing. The first mortar is the cement paste including only one glass bead in the center of specimen (single glass bead case). Second one is a mortar in which the glass beads-to-cement ratio A/C (weight%) is equal to one. That nearly corresponds to 400 glass beads. The experimental measurements of the temperature of both case studies have been performed in pseudo-adiabatic conditions. According to the results, the mortar including the multi-glass beads shows less important temperature, 62 $^\circ$C than the cement paste with only one glass bead which increased up to around 92 $^\circ$C. The replica SEM observations substantiates that the mortar of multi-glass beads presents some constantly growing gap spaces toward the external surfaces of the samples during the hydration procedure, whereas the cement paste with only one glass bead (single bead case) does not provide any debonding or co-called gaps spaces around the glass bead. To profoundly understand the mentioned phenomenon, the chemo-thermal analyses calculating the degree of hydration and temperature distribution as a multi-disciplinary computation have been utilized herein. According to the numerical simulations, we can conclude the following conclusions and outlooks:

- The heat release during the hydration process depends on the volume of cement paste.
- The degree of hydration strongly depends on the released heat temperature as well.
- The degree of hydration gradient can be disturbed by the aggregate positions.
- The degree of hydration gradient is always higher around the aggregates than the cement paste matrix. This confirms the locally activated gap spaces around the glass beads.
- The mechanical deformations action, e.g. autogenous deformation, cement matrix hardening during the hydration stage and thermo-mechanical strains are of great interest.
- The chemo-thermo-mechanical analyses of these samples will help us understanding more about the gaps spaces by using damage theory [67–70] incorporating nonlocal effects and multi-scale modeling via the generalized continuum mechanics [71–79,15] in the next investigations.

Appendix A. Geometrical configuration of chosen specimens

The geometrical configuration of our chosen 3D-FEM models have been provided in Figs. 10 and 11, respectively.

Fig. 10. Geometry of central glass bead 3D-FEM model including one-eight symmetry assumption, (a) glass bead $\Omega^{G}(t) \subset \Omega(t) \subset R^3 \times [0, T]$, (b) cement paste $\Omega^{CP}(t) \subset \Omega(t) \subset R^3 \times [0, T]$ and (c) insulation material known as Polyurethane $\Omega^{IN}(t) \subset \Omega(t) \subset R^3 \times [0, T]$.

Fig. 11. Geometry of multi glass beads 3D-FEM model including one-eight symmetry assumption, (a) glass bead $\Omega^{G}(t) \subset \Omega(t) \subset R^3 \times [0, T]$, (b) cement paste $\Omega^{CP}(t) \subset \Omega(t) \subset R^3 \times [0, T]$ and (c) insulation material known as Polyurethane $\Omega^{IN}(t) \subset \Omega(t) \subset R^3 \times [0, T]$. 
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Appendix B. Brief view of the geometrical spherical grains distribution algorithm

The main steps in extracting the geometrical spherical grains distribution can be summarized as below:

1. Cubic sample creation (for the current case study, distribution geometry can be summarized as below:

2. Mesh generation using a built-in FEM tetrahedral mesh generator,

3. Spherical grains creation at every node of the mesh cube,

4. Distinction of the different domains, i.e. cement matrix and glass grains using the boolean operations,

5. Grain-to-cement ratio assessment,

6. Decision on the grain-to-cement ratio,

(a) If the grain-to-cement ratio is very close to the target, the algorithm goes forward,

\[ \int_{\Omega^{CP}(t)} \frac{\partial \xi(x, t)}{\partial t} dV = \int_{\Omega^{CP}(t)} A(\xi(x, t)) \exp \left( -\frac{E_a}{RT(x, t)} \right) dV \text{ in } \Omega^{CP}(t) \subset \Omega(t) \text{ where } \Omega(t) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T] \]  

(Modified Arrhenius law for cement pastes) \hspace{1cm} (4a)

\[ \int_{\Omega^{CP}(t)} (\rho C_p)_{CP} \frac{\partial T(x, t)}{\partial t} dV = - \int_{\Omega^{CP}(t)} \text{Div} (-\lambda_C \nabla T(x, t)) dV + \int_{\Omega^{CP}(t)} \frac{\partial \xi(x, t)}{\partial t} dV \text{ in } \Omega^{CP}(t) \subset \Omega(t) \]

(First law of thermodynamics for cement pastes) \hspace{1cm} (4b)

\[ T(x, 0) = T_0 \text{ and } \xi(x, 0) = \xi_0 \text{ in } \Omega^{CP}(t) \subset \Omega(t) \text{ (Initial conditions)} \hspace{1cm} (4c) \]

\[ \frac{\partial T(x, t)}{\partial x} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega^{CP} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ where } \partial \Omega^{CP} = \left\{ S \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x = 0, 0 \leq y \leq 75, 0 \leq z \leq 75 \right\} \hspace{1cm} (4d) \]

\[ \frac{\partial T(x, t)}{\partial y} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega^{CP} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ where } \partial \Omega^{CP} = \left\{ S \in \mathbb{R}^2 | y = 0, 0 \leq x \leq 75, 0 \leq z \leq 75 \right\} \hspace{1cm} (4e) \]

\[ \frac{\partial T(x, t)}{\partial z} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega^{CP} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ where } \partial \Omega^{CP} = \left\{ S \in \mathbb{R}^2 | z = 0, 0 \leq x \leq 75, 0 \leq y \leq 75 \right\} \hspace{1cm} (4f) \]

• Symmetry boundary conditions on x=0, y=0 and z=0 planes

7. Removal of the previously generated meshes,

8. Remeshing of the glass and cement paste domains.

Appendix C. Basic field equations description for the 3D-FEM computations

As pointed out earlier, the chemo-thermal analysis of the cement mortar hydration entails two basic field equations with degree of hydration, \( \xi(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, T] \) and temperature, \( T(x, t) \in [273.15, \infty] \times [0, T] \) as the state field variables. These field equations can be written under weak form for discretization purposes as below:

• Cement paste domain

\[ T(x, 0) = T_0 \text{ in } \Omega^{CP}(t) \subset \Omega(t) \text{ (Initial conditions)} \hspace{1cm} (5b) \]

\[ \frac{\partial T(x, t)}{\partial x} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega^{CP} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ where } \partial \Omega^{CP} = \left\{ S \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x = 0, 0 \leq y \leq 75, 0 \leq z \leq 75 \right\} \hspace{1cm} (5c) \]

\[ \frac{\partial T(x, t)}{\partial y} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega^{CP} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ where } \partial \Omega^{CP} = \left\{ S \in \mathbb{R}^2 | y = 0, 0 \leq x \leq 75, 0 \leq z \leq 75 \right\} \hspace{1cm} (5d) \]

\[ \frac{\partial T(x, t)}{\partial z} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega^{CP} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ where } \partial \Omega^{CP} = \left\{ S \in \mathbb{R}^2 | z = 0, 0 \leq x \leq 75, 0 \leq y \leq 75 \right\} \hspace{1cm} (5e) \]

• Glass beads domain

\[ T(x, 0) = T_0 \text{ in } \Omega^{GL}(t) \subset \Omega(t) \text{ (Initial conditions)} \hspace{1cm} (5a) \]

\[ \frac{\partial T(x, t)}{\partial x} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega^{GL} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ where } \partial \Omega^{GL} = \left\{ S \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x = 0, 0 \leq y \leq 75, 0 \leq z \leq 75 \right\} \hspace{1cm} (5f) \]

• Insulation material or Polyurethane

\[ T(x, 0) = T_0 \text{ in } \Omega^{IN}(t) \subset \Omega(t) \text{ (Initial conditions)} \hspace{1cm} (6a) \]

\[ \frac{\partial T(x, t)}{\partial x} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega^{IN} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ where } \partial \Omega^{IN} = \left\{ S \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x = 0, 0 \leq y \leq 75, 0 \leq z \leq 75 \right\} \hspace{1cm} (6b) \]

• Symmetry boundary conditions on x=0, y=0 and z=0 planes

\[ \frac{\partial T(x, t)}{\partial x} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega^{IN} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ where } \partial \Omega^{IN} = \left\{ S \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x = 0, 0 \leq y \leq 75, 0 \leq z \leq 75 \right\} \hspace{1cm} (6c) \]

\[ \frac{\partial T(x, t)}{\partial y} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega^{IN} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ where } \partial \Omega^{IN} = \left\{ S \in \mathbb{R}^2 | y = 0, 0 \leq x \leq 75, 0 \leq z \leq 75 \right\} \hspace{1cm} (6d) \]

\[ \frac{\partial T(x, t)}{\partial z} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega^{IN} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ where } \partial \Omega^{IN} = \left\{ S \in \mathbb{R}^2 | z = 0, 0 \leq x \leq 75, 0 \leq y \leq 75 \right\} \hspace{1cm} (6e) \]
\( T(x, 0) = T_0 \) in \( \Omega^\text{IN}(t) \subset \Omega(t) \) (Initial conditions) \hspace{1cm} (6b)

\[ \frac{\partial T(x, t)}{\partial x} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega^\text{xy} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \text{where} \quad \partial \Omega^\text{xy} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x = 0, 0 \leq y \leq 75, 0 \leq z \leq 75 \} \hspace{1cm} (6c) \]

\[ \frac{\partial T(x, t)}{\partial y} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega^\text{xz} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \text{where} \quad \partial \Omega^\text{xz} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 | y = 0, 0 \leq x < 75, 0 \leq z \leq 75 \} \hspace{1cm} (6d) \]

\[ \frac{\partial T(x, t)}{\partial z} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega^\text{yz} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \text{where} \quad \partial \Omega^\text{yz} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 | z = 0, 0 \leq x < 75, 0 \leq y \leq 75 \} \hspace{1cm} (6e) \]

(Symmetry boundary conditions on \( x=0, y=0 \) and \( z=0 \) planes)

\[ -\lambda_{\text{in}} \frac{\partial T(x, t)}{\partial x} = -h(T - T_{\text{amb}}) \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega^\text{xz} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \text{where} \quad \partial \Omega^\text{xz} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x = 75, 0 \leq y \leq 75, 0 \leq z \leq 75 \} \hspace{1cm} (6f) \]

\[ -\lambda_{\text{in}} \frac{\partial T(x, t)}{\partial y} = -h(T - T_{\text{amb}}) \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega^\text{xz} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \text{where} \quad \partial \Omega^\text{xz} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 | y = 75, 0 \leq x \leq 75, 0 \leq z \leq 75 \} \]

\[ -\lambda_{\text{in}} \frac{\partial T(x, t)}{\partial z} = -h(T - T_{\text{amb}}) \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega^\text{yz} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \text{where} \quad \partial \Omega^\text{yz} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 | z = 75, 0 \leq x < 75, 0 \leq y \leq 75 \} \]

(Free convection on the external surfaces)
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