Genomic analysis of *Skermanella stibiiresistens* type strain SB22\(^{T}\)
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Members of genus *Skermanella* were described as Gram-negative, motile, aerobic, rod-shaped, obligate-heterotrophic bacteria and unable to fix nitrogen. In this study, the genome sequence of *Skermanella stibiiresistens* SB22\(^{T}\) is reported. Phylogenetic analysis using core proteins confirmed the phylogenetic assignment based on 16S rRNA gene sequences. Strain SB22\(^{T}\) has all the proteins for complete glycolysis, tricarboxylic acid cycle and pentose phosphate pathway. The RuBisCO encoding genes *cbbL1S1* and nitrogenase delta subunit gene *anfG* are absent, consistent with its inability to fix carbon and nitrogen, respectively. In addition, the genome possesses a series of flagellar assembly and chemotaxis genes to ensure its motility.

Introduction

The type species for the genus *Skermanella* is *Skermanella parooensis* ACM 2042\(^{T}\), which was originally proposed as *Conglomeromonas largomobilis subsp. parooensis* by Skerman *et al.* in 1983 [1]. Later, it was transferred to the genus *Skermanella* (family *Rhodospirillaceae*) on the basis of phylogenetic evidence and phenotypic characteristics, especially the inability to fix nitrogen [2,3]. At present, this genus comprises four validly published species, *Skermanella parooensis* [3], *Skermanella aerolata* [4], *Skermanella xinjiangensis* [5] and *Skermanella stibiiresistens* [6], which were isolated from fresh water, air, sandy soil and a coal mine, respectively.

*Skermanella* was characterized as a Gram-negative, non-spore-forming bacterium with unicellular and multicellular phases of growth, an obligate chemoheterotrophic and facultative anaerobe, unable to fix nitrogen, and with a high DNA G+C content. To the best of our knowledge, genome information for *Skermanella* members is still not available. In this study, we present the draft genome sequence of a *Skermanella* type strain *S. stibiiresistens* SB22\(^{T}\) and compare it with the members of the related genera *Azospirillum* and *Rhodospirillum*.

Classification and features

*S. stibiiresistens* SB22\(^{T}\) is Gram-negative, motile, rod-shaped with single polar flagella and non-sporulating (Figure 1). Ten related strains with complete genome sequences belong to *Azospirillum* (3 strains), *Rhodospirillum* (3 strains), *Acidiphilium* (2 strains), *Magnetospirillum* (1 strain) and *Acetobacter* (1 strain). A total of 515 conserved proteins were identified among them using cluster algorithm tool MCL with default values and a neighbor joining (NJ) tree was built based on this set. The phylogenetic tree showed that strain SB22\(^{T}\) was closely related to the genera *Azospirillum* and *Rhodospirillum*, which was consistent with the taxonomy previously determined by 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis [6]. Classification and general features of *S. stibiiresistens* SB22\(^{T}\) are shown in Table 1. Figure 2 shows the phylogenetic neighborhood of *S. stibiiresistens* SB22\(^{T}\) in a core-protein based tree.
Figure 1. A transmission micrograph of *S. stibiiresistens* SB22<sup>T</sup>, made using a Hitachi H-7000FA transmission electron microscope operating at 100 kV. The scale bar represents 2 μm.

Figure 2. A NJ phylogenetic tree highlighting the position of *S. stibiiresistens* SB22<sup>T</sup> with other completely sequenced strains that belong to the same family (*Rhodospirillaceae*). All protein FASTA files were obtained from NCBI. The corresponding GenBank accession numbers are displayed in parentheses. A total of 515 conserved proteins were identified using cluster algorithm tool MCL. The 515 amino acid sequences were aligned using Clustal W [15] and the NJ tree was built using MEGA 5.05 [16] with bootstrap value of 1,000.


Table 1. Classification and general features of *S. stibiiresistens* SB22\(^T\) according to the MIGS recommendations [7].

| MIGS ID | Property                          | Term                                                                 | Evidence code |
|---------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
|         | Current classification            | Domain *Bacteria*                                                     | TAS [8]       |
|         |                                   | Phylum *Proteobacteria*                                               | TAS [9]       |
|         |                                   | Class *Alphaproteobacteria*                                            | TAS [10,11]   |
|         |                                   | Order *Rhodospirillales*                                              | TAS [12,13]   |
|         |                                   | Family *Rhodospirillaceae*                                             | TAS [12,13]   |
|         |                                   | Genus *Skermanella*                                                   | TAS [3,4,6]   |
|         |                                   | Species *Skermanella stibiiresistens*                                  | TAS [6]       |
|         | Type strain SB22\(^T\)            |                                                                     | TAS [6]       |
|         | Gram stain                        | Negative                                                             | TAS [6]       |
|         | Cell shape                        | Rod-shaped                                                           | TAS [6]       |
|         | Motility                          | Motile                                                              | TAS [6]       |
|         | Sporulation                       | Non-sporulating                                                      | TAS [6]       |
|         | Optimum temperature               | 4-37°C                                                               | TAS [6]       |
|         | Carbon source                     | D-glucose, D-ribose, rhamnose, L-proline, salicin, inositol, DL-lactate, L-alanine, malic acid, potassium 2-ketogluconate and 3-hydroxybutyric acid | TAS [6]       |
|         | Energy source                     | Chemoorganotroph                                                    | TAS [6]       |
|         | Terminal electron receptor        | Molecular oxygen                                                    | TAS [6]       |
|         | MIGS-6.2 pH                        | 5-9                                                                 | TAS [6]       |
|         | MIGS-22 Oxygen                     | Aerobic                                                             | TAS [6]       |
|         | MIGS-15 Biotic relationship        | Free-living                                                         | NAS           |
|         | MIGS-14 Pathogenicity             | Non-pathogenic                                                      | NAS           |
|         | MIGS-4 Geographic location        | Jixi coal mine of Jixi City, Heilongjiang Province, northeast China | TAS [6]       |
|         | MIGS-5 Sample collection time     | 2011                                                                | TAS [6]       |
|         | MIGS-4.1 Latitude                 | N45°18'                                                             | TAS [6]       |
|         | MIGS-4.2 Longitude                | E130°57'                                                            | TAS [6]       |
|         | MIGS-4.3 Depth                    | Surface sandy soil                                                  | TAS [6]       |
|         | MIGS-4.4 Altitude                 | Not reported                                                        |               |

Evidence codes - IDA: Inferred from Direct Assay; TAS: Traceable Author Statement; NAS: Non-traceable Author Statement. These evidence codes are from the Gene Ontology project [14]. If the evidence is IDA, then the property was directly observed for a live isolate by one of the authors or an expert mentioned in the acknowledgements.

**Genome sequencing information**

**Genome project history**

*S. stibiiresistens* SB22\(^T\) was sequenced by Majorbio Bio-pharm Technology Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China. The draft genome sequence was deposited in NCBI with contigs less than 200 bp cut off. The GenBank accession number is AVFL00000000. A summary of the genome sequencing project information is shown in Table 2.
**Table 2.** Genome sequencing project information of *S. stibiirestens* SB22<sup>T</sup>

| MIGS ID | Property                      | Term                                           |
|---------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| MIGS-31 | Finishing quality             | High-quality draft                             |
| MIGS-28 | Libraries used                | Illumina Paired-End library (300 bp insert size) |
| MIGS-29 | Sequencing platform           | Illumina Hiseq2000                             |
| MIGS-31.2| Sequencing coverage          | 184.5 x                                       |
| MIGS-30 | Assemblers                    | SOAPdenovo v1.05                               |
| MIGS-32 | Gene calling method           | GeneMarkS<sup>S</sup>                          |
|         | GenBank date of release       | February 23, 2014                              |
|         | NCBI project ID               | AVFL00000000                                   |
| MIGS-13 | Source material identifier    | SB22<sup>T</sup>                               |
|         | Project relevance             | Genome comparison                              |

**Growth condition and DNA isolation**

*S. stibiirestens* SB22<sup>T</sup> was grown aerobically in R2A medium at 28°C for 2 days. It can also grow on LB medium under the same conditions. The strain was apricot-colored after incubated 72 h at 28°C on R2A agar. The DNA was isolated using the QiAamp kit according to the manufacturer’s instruction (Qiagen, German).

**Genome sequencing and assembly**

The Illumina Hiseq2000 technology with Paired-End (PE) library strategy was used to determine the sequence of *S. stibiirestens* SB22<sup>T</sup>. A total of 7,588,874 x 2 high quality reads totaling 1,454,191,294 bp data with an average coverage 184.5 x was generated. Illumina sequencing data was assembled with SOAPdenovo, version 1.05. The initial draft assembly contained 7,879,677 bp in 257 contigs. Then the draft genome sequence was deposited to the NCBI for annotation with contigs less than 200 bp nucleotides cut off.

**Genome annotation**

The draft genome sequence was deposited to NCBI and was annotated though the Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP), using the Best-placed reference protein set and the gene caller GeneMarkS<sup>S</sup>. Signal peptides and transmembrane helices were predicted by SignalP [17] and SOSUI [18], respectively. The WebMGA-server [19] was used to identify the Clusters of Orthologs Groups (COG).

**Genome properties**

The final whole genome of *S. stibiirestens* SB22<sup>T</sup> was 7,868,338 bp long in 190 contigs (with PEGs) with an average GC content of 65.88%. Of the total 7,378 predicted genes, 7,269 were protein-coding genes and 63 were RNA genes. A total of 5,176 protein-coding genes (71%) were assigned with putative functions with the remaining was annotated as hypothetical proteins. The property and the statistics of this genome are summarized in Table 3. We reordered the contigs using MAUVE, version 2.3 [20] with the complete genome sequence of *Rhodospirillum rubrum* ATCC11170 (NC_007643) as a reference and a graphical circular map of *S. stibiirestens* SB22<sup>T</sup> is shown in Figure 3. The distribution of genes into COGs functional categories is shown in Table 4.
| Attribute                                      | Value              | % of Total\(^a\) |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Genome size (bp)                               | 7,868,388 bp       | 100              |
| Number of contigs                              | 190                |                  |
| Contig N50                                      | 214,710 bp         |                  |
| Total genes                                    | 7,378              | 100              |
| Protein-coding genes                           | 7,269              | 98.52            |
| Pseudo genes                                   | 46                 | 0.62             |
| RNA genes                                      | 63                 | 0.86             |
| Frame shifted genes                            | 13                 |                  |
| DNA coding region (bp)                         | 6,849,861          | 87.06            |
| Protein-coding genes with function prediction  | 5,176              | 71.21            |
| Protein-coding genes assigned to COGs          | 5,877              | 80.85            |
| Protein-coding genes with transmembrane helices| 1,673              | 23.02            |
| Protein-coding genes with signal peptides      | 523                | 7.19             |
| CRISPR repeats                                 | 2                  |                  |

\(^a\) The total is based on either the size of the genome in base pairs or the total number of protein coding genes in the annotated genome.

**Figure 3.** A graphical circular map of *S. stibiresistens* SB22\(^T\). From outside to the center: Genes on forward strand, Genes on reverse strand, tRNA genes, GC content and GC skew.
Table 4. Number of protein-coding genes associated with the 25 general COG functional categories in *S. stibiiresistens* SB22T genome.

| Code | Value | %age | COG Category |
|------|-------|------|--------------|
| J    | 188   | 2.59 | Translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis |
| A    | 1     | 0.01 | RNA processing and modification |
| K    | 478   | 6.58 | Transcription |
| L    | 240   | 3.30 | Replication, recombination and repair |
| B    | 6     | 0.08 | Chromatin structure and dynamics |
| D    | 50    | 0.69 | Cell cycle control, cell division, chromosome partitioning |
| Y    | 0     | 0.00 | Nuclear structure |
| V    | 73    | 1.00 | Defense mechanisms |
| T    | 651   | 8.96 | Signal transduction mechanisms |
| M    | 389   | 5.35 | Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis |
| N    | 147   | 2.02 | Cell motility |
| Z    | 0     | 0.00 | Cytoskeleton |
| W    | 0     | 0.00 | Extracellular structures |
| U    | 76    | 1.05 | Intracellular trafficking, secretion, and vesicular transport |
| O    | 195   | 2.68 | Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones |
| C    | 405   | 5.57 | Energy production and conversion |
| G    | 508   | 6.99 | Carbohydrate transport and metabolism |
| E    | 678   | 9.33 | Amino acid transport and metabolism |
| F    | 101   | 1.39 | Nucleotide transport and metabolism |
| H    | 230   | 3.16 | Coenzyme transport and metabolism |
| I    | 267   | 3.67 | Lipid transport and metabolism |
| P    | 383   | 5.27 | Inorganic ion transport and metabolism |
| Q    | 226   | 3.11 | Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism |
| R    | 787   | 10.83 | General function prediction only |
| S    | 573   | 7.88 | Function unknown |
| -    | 617   | 8.49 | Not in COGS |

*The total is based on the total number of protein coding genes in the annotated genome.*

**Insights from the genome sequence**

**Profiles of metabolic networks and pathways**

The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) [21] was used to reconstruct the pathways of *S. stibiiresistens* SB22T [Figure 4]. The metabolic pathways suggest that strain SB22T possess necessary encoding genes for central carbohydrate metabolism, such as glycolysis, the TCA cycle and the pentose phosphate pathway, to support basic growth. But it has relatively few lipid metabolism pathways. Only one integrated pathway that could synthesize tetracosanoyl-CoA from acyl-CoA is found in cytoplasm. This result indicates that strain SB22T might have certain limitations in some lipid utilization.
Genes involved in carbon fixation
Genus *Skermanella* belongs to family *Rhodospirillaceae*, but *Skermanella* species cannot fix carbon as *Rhodospirillum centenum* [22] and *Azospirillum amazonense* [23] do. Genomic analysis of *S. stibiiresistens* SB22\(^T\), shows that the RuBisCO encoding genes *cbbL1S1* [24,25] are not present, which is in agreement with the strain’s inability to fix carbon.

Genes involved in nitrogen metabolism
Strain *S. stibiiresistens* SB22\(^T\) is closely related to some species of genera *Azospirillum* and *Rhodospirillum* [6]. Genus *Rhodospirillum* is described as a photosynthetic non-sulfur purple bacterium that favors growth in an anoxygenic, photosynthetic, nitrogen-fixing environment [26]. Some aerobic nitrogen fixing strains of *Azospirillum* have significant effects on crop plants [27]. But genus *Skermanella* is unable to fix nitrogen under microaerophilic conditions [3,4,6]. Even though nitrogenase genes *nifDKH* are present in the genome of *S. stibiiresistens* SB22\(^T\), we found that the nitrogenase delta subunit gene *anfG* is absent.

Flagella and chemotaxis
Many flagella genes were identified in *S. stibiiresistens* SB22\(^T\) genome. A KEGG map demonstrates that this strain possesses a series of genes belonging to the families *flg*, *fli* and *flh* in flagellar assembly (Figure 5). These genes enable strain SB22\(^T\) to move to a more suitable environment. In the same region of the genome as the flagella genes, we also identified genes encoding the central signal transduction pathway for chemotaxis (*che*), such as the conserved *cheAWYBR* genes and a group of transmembrane chemoreceptors (known as MCPs or methyl-accepting proteins), which are present in nearly all motile bacteria [23]. The MCPs may sense environment signals and transfer them to the signal transduction histidine kinase CheA, whose activity is positively regulated by CheW. CheA in turn phosphorylates a response regulator CheYVB, which controls the rotational direction of the flagella motor [28].
Figure 5. The KEGG flagellar assembly map. Green labels represent the flagella proteins that are encoded on the *S. stibiiresistens* SB22\textsuperscript{T} genome.
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