Case Report

Head and Neck Myxoma Presenting as Isolated Laryngeal Polyp
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Myxoma is a benign tumour with a propensity for local infiltration and recurrence. Laryngeal myxoma presents as a submucosal polyp. Being an uncommon tumour and mimicking vocal cord polyp, only anecdotal evidence is available in the literature. The literature was reviewed from 1986 onwards using the keywords “myxoma” and “larynx.” The databases used were PubMed, Google Scholar, Scopus, and Web of Science. Along with this, we also report our case of vocal fold myxoma. We found a total of 19 studies reporting laryngeal myxoma. Laryngeal myxoma typically affects males in the 6th decade with a history of smoking. Unlike myxomas originating outside the larynx, recurrence is not widely described, and microlaryngeal surgery will usually suffice. Laryngeal myxomas should definitely be kept in the list of differential diagnosis when dealing with a benign-looking vocal fold lesion.

1. Introduction

Myxomas are a rare benign myxoid neoplasm of mesenchymal origin. They are a heterogeneous group of soft tissue neoplasms with a variable degree of invasiveness, ranging from benign to highly aggressive forms [1]. Although benign, they are known to be locally infiltrative in nature with a tendency to recur if not excised with margins [2]. Myxomas of head and neck region are rare tumours with the larynx being a less commonly affected site. Clinical presentation of laryngeal myxomas is very much similar to common benign mucosal fold disorders like laryngeal polyp or cyst. Clinically, laryngeal myxomas are indistinguishable from a laryngeal polyp. They are diagnosed on histology supplemented with immunohistochemistry.

2. Case Report

A 53-year-old male presented with hoarseness of 12-year duration. He gave no history of breathing or swallowing difficulty. On enquiring further, he had complaints related to gastric acid reflux. He was a smoker but had quit smoking 6 months back. He is a politician with a history of voice abuse. On flexible fibreoptic evaluation, there was a 0.5 cm polypoidal, cystic mass pedicled on the medial free edge of the middle 1/3 of the right true vocal fold. There was no abnormality of vocal fold mobility. Rest of the ENT examination was normal.

Based on a history of long-standing hoarseness, voice abuse, and presence of a solitary polypoidal lesion over the true vocal fold, a preoperative diagnosis of a laryngeal polyp was made. No preoperative radiology was taken due to the unambiguous nature of the clinical findings. The patient was taken up for microlaryngeal surgery (MLS), and the lesion was excised with cold instruments. Postoperative period was uneventful with patient reporting near-normal voice during first follow-up after one week. Surprisingly, the postoperative histology showed features consistent with laryngeal myxoma.

On histological examination, our case showed a polypoidal tumour lined by hyperplastic stratified squamous epithelium (Figure 1(a)). A subepithelial unencapsulated lesion was noted. The latter was paucicellular formed by small, bland, spindle to stellate cells having indistinct cytoplasmic margins and hyperchromatic nuclei (Figure 1(b)). No significant atypia or mitotic activity or any necrosis was noted (Figure 1(c)). These cells were embedded within an abundant myxoid matrix. Immunohistochemically (IHC),
the cells were negative for CD34, smooth muscle actin (SMA), and S100 (Figures 1(d)–1(f)). A final diagnosis of laryngeal myxoma was rendered. The absence of stromal vasculature, hemorrhage, hemosiderin-laden macrophages, and hyalinization of basement membrane helped to differentiate it from a vocal fold polyp [3].

3. Discussion

We did a comprehensive review of all the anecdotal cases of laryngeal myxoma reported in the literature so far which is summarized in Table 1 [3–20] after searching across PubMed, Google Scholar, Scopus, and Web of Science using the terms “Myxoma” and “laryngeal.” All articles reporting laryngeal myxoma specifying tumour location and histological feature were included.

Larynx as a site for head and neck myxoma is extremely rare. Our comprehensive literature search revealed 19 cases of laryngeal myxoma till date (Table 1). Male preponderance (M:F of 5:1) with a history of smoking is seen in a majority of cases reported. Our case also had both of these characteristics. Mean age at presentation was 52.16 years (range 36 to 77 years). Hoarseness was the most common presenting symptom signifying a predilection for the glottis, as seen in our case also. Dysphagia was reported in the studies by Baruah et al. and Chen et al. due to the involvement of the epiglottis [15, 20]. All authors documented the presence of a submucosal polypoidal mass ranging in size from 0.4 cm to 6.5 cm. Microlaryngeal surgery
| Author (year) | Number of cases | Age/sex | Presentation | Addiction | Association | Site | Size | Approach | Follow-up | Histology | Need for tracheostomy |
|--------------|----------------|---------|--------------|-----------|-------------|------|------|----------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|
| Tang et al. (2015) [4] | 1 | ND | Dysphonia | ND | — | Glottis | ND | Two approaches | ?Recurrence | — | — |
| Ritchie et al. (2015) [3] | 1 | 77/M | Hoarseness 6 months | Smoker | Reinke’s edema | Glottis | <1 cm | MLS | 3 months, NED | Stellate cell, spindle cell, and mucinous matrix. CD 34−, S100+, and SMA+ | — |
| Singh et al. (2014) [5] | 1 | 65/M | Hoarseness 4 months and dyspnea 1 week | ND | — | Glottis | 17 × 12 mm | MLS | 8 months, NED | — | — |
| Shah et al. (2014) [6] | 1 | 50/M | Hoarseness 2 months | ND | — | Glottis | — | MLS | No f/u | — | — |
| Garca et al. (2013) [7] | 1 | 61/M | Hoarseness 3 months | Smoker | — | Glottis | 0.5 × 0.5 cm | MLS | No f/u | CD 34−, S100−, desmin−, and SMA− | — |
| Kanliada et al. (2012) [8] | 1 | 42/f | Hoarseness 3 months | — | — | Glottis | 4 mm | MLS | 12 months, NED | — | — |
| Nakamura et al. (2008) [9] | 1 | 74/f | Alcohol | — | — | Glottis | 4 mm | MLS | NED | — | — |
| Song et al. (2008) [10] | 1 | 36/M | Hoarseness 2 months | — | — | Glottis | 7 mm × 5 mm | MLS | 4 months, NED | — | — |
| Ali et al. (2008) [11] | 1 | 48/F | Hoarseness childhood | Smoker | Reinke’s edema | Glottis | — | MLS | — | CD34+, S100−, and SMA−, Ki-67+, SMA− | — |
| Leu et al. (2007) [12] | 1 | 53/m | Hoarseness 2 years | — | — | Glottis | 5 mm | MLS | — | — | — |
| Kim et al. (2007) [13] | 2 | 62/m | Hoarseness 5 years | Smoker | — | Glottis | 1.5 × 0.8 × 0.4 cm | MLS | 8 years, NED | — | — |
| Idrees et al. (2005) [14] | 1 | 46/M | — | Smoker | — | AEF/glottis | 8 mm | MLS | — | — | — |
| Baruah et al. (2000) [15] | 1 | 57/M | Hoarseness | — | — | AEF/epiglottis | 6.5 × 5.0 × 1 cm | MLS | ND | — | — |
| Kim et al. (1997) [16] | 1 | 62/M | Dyspnoea 3 days | Smoker, alcoholic | Reinke’s edema | Glottis, obstructing airway | 2.5 × 2.5 × 1.5 cm | MLS | — | — | — |
| Tsunoda et al. (1997) [17] | 1 | 57/m | Hoarseness | Alcoholic | — | Glottis | 7 × 7 × 7 mm | MLS | NED | No IHC | — |
| Hadley et al. (1994) [18] | 1 | 64/M | Hoarseness 4 years | Alcoholic, smoker | — | Glottis | 1 × 0.6 × 0.2 cm | MLS | 18 months, NED | — | — |
| Sena et al. (1991) [19] | 1 | 70/M | Hoarseness | Smoker | — | AEF | 0.5 × 0.5 × 2.5 cm | Transcervical | — | — | — |
| Chen and Ballecer (1986) [20] | 1 | 37/M | Dysphonia and dysphagia | — | — | Epiglottis | 5.6 × 4.3 × 2.4 cm | MLS | 12 months, NED | No IHC | — |
| Present study (2016) | 1 | 53/M | Hoarseness 12 years | Smoker | — | Glottis | 0.5 cm | MLS | 4 months, NED | Immunonegative for CD34, SMA, and S100 | — |
and excision by cold instruments was the preferred approach with one reported recurrence which responded to subtotal excision [4]. Tracheostomy was required preoperatively in two glottic myxomas due to airway compromise which was sorted after complete excision [5, 16]. Sena et al. utilized a transcervical approach for laryngeal myxomas due to airway compromise which was sorted after complete excision [4]. Tracheostomy was required preoperatively in two cases with one reported recurrence which responded to subtotal excision by cold instruments was the preferred approach with one reported recurrence which responded to subtotal excision [4].

Various theories for its etiopathogenesis have been put forth. Due to the abundance of mucinous matrix and glycosaminoglycans, fibroblast immaturity has been cited as a factor [21]. Another theory traces its origin to odontogenic primordial mesenchyme [3]. The latter probably explains the most common location for a myxoma in head and neck, that is, maxilla. Immature fibroblasts could be responsible for the occurrence in the larynx and also the association seen with Reinke’s edema. Myxomas have been reported in mucopolysaccharidosis, Carney complex, and Mazabraud syndrome [3]. Mazabraud syndrome belongs to the spectrum of fibrous dysplasias where intramuscular myxomas have been described [22]. Carney complex is an autosomal dominant disorder characterized by cardiac myxomas, cutaneous hyperpigmentation, and multiple endocrinopathies in the form of raised ACTH and growth hormone. PRKAR1A alpha is the tumour suppressor gene implicated in this syndrome.

Histopathology forms the mainstay for diagnosing this entity. The usual clinical appearance is that of a benign vocal fold lesion like an intracordal cyst, polyp, or a nodule. It is the presence of myxoid stroma and abundance of stellate cells and spindle cells that help in clinching the diagnosis. There is no general consensus regarding myxoma-specific immunohistochemistry markers. All studies (Table 1) have reported submucosal involvement, a predominance of stellate cells and spindle cells against the background of a mucinous matrix. Immunohistochemistry markers were available in three studies with no consensus regarding the significance of positivity of markers like CD34, SMA, S100, desmin, and Ki-67.

Head and neck myxomas are known for locally infiltrative nature and tendency to recur if not excised radically [2]. However, literature review suggested that microlaryngeal surgery performed from the point of view of a vocal fold polyp or cyst will generally suffice as it is evident by only one recurrence reported so far and long disease free intervals in other patients.

4. Conclusion

Laryngeal myxomas should definitely be kept in the list of differential diagnosis when dealing with a benign looking vocal fold lesion. Patients need to be kept on close follow-up if excision has been suboptimal due to the absence of capsule and locally infiltrative nature.
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