THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF LYMAN BREAK GALAXIES
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ABSTRACT

We perform cosmological simulations of galaxies forming at $z = 3$ using the hydrodynamics grid code, Enzo. By selecting the largest galaxies in the volume to correspond to Lyman break galaxies, we construct observational spectra of the H $\upalpha$ flux distribution around these objects, as well as column densities of C iv and O vi throughout a refined region. We successfully reproduce the most recent observations of the mean H $\upalpha$ flux in the close vicinity of Lyman break galaxies but see no evidence for the proximity effect in earlier observations. While our galaxies do return metals to the intergalactic medium, their quantity and volume appear to be somewhat less than observed. We conclude either that we do not adequately resolve galactic winds or that at least some of the intergalactic metal enrichment is by early epoch objects whose mass is smaller than our minimum resolved halo mass.
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galaxies: formation — intergalactic medium — quasars: absorption lines

Online material: color figure

1. INTRODUCTION

The diverse structure and myriad of elements in the intergalactic medium (IGM) are witness to the strong role of stellar feedback in galaxies. What is not clear is which class of objects is primarily responsible for the enrichment. One set of likely candidates are the early-forming Lyman break galaxies (LBGs). These galaxies are found to be highly clustered, with high star formation rates, and they possess strong winds with velocities up to 775 km s$^{-1}$ (Pettini et al. 2001, 2002).

Whether LBGs are the cause of the intergalactic metals is hotly contested. The issue hangs on whether the metals were produced by massive galaxies, such as the LBGs, or whether they originated in smaller objects at even earlier times, such as dwarf galaxies or Population III stars. There is evidence to support both sides. Results from Adelberger et al. (2003, 2005; hereafter A03 and A05, respectively) find a cross-correlation between C iv systems and galaxies that is very similar to the autocorrelation function between LBGs. In addition, gas that lies within 40 kpc of LBGs contains strong C iv absorption lines, and there is a similar association with the O vi systems. This is evidence that the LBGs might be the source of the metal systems. However, studies of the C iv column density between the redshifts of 1.5 and 5 (Songaila 2001; Schaye et al. 2003) seem to show relatively little variation in the amount of carbon in the IGM over time, suggesting that the IGM metals were already in place at the highest observable redshifts and must therefore stem from the second option of small, early epoch objects.

One query surrounding LBGs is whether they are able to thrust the metals they produce out of their gravitational pull and into the IGM. Observational studies of the LBGs have suggested that outflows from the galaxies are strong enough to bodily displace the gas, and A03 observed a possible void in the absorbing gas at distances out to 1 h$^{-1}$ Mpc from the galaxy. This proximity effect results in an increase in the transmitted flux near the galaxy, where one would intuitively expect to see a decrease as the galaxy is approached. The most likely mechanism proposed for this was strong kinetic winds (Croft et al. 2002), which seems plausible based on the observations of winds by Pettini et al. (2002). However, recent simulation work has shown that these winds have little effect on the absorption at these distances (Kollmeier et al. 2006), and later observational work in this area (A05) has failed to confirm the increase in flux. Whether LBGs are therefore capable of dispersing metals into the IGM remains an open question.

In this Letter we perform cosmological simulations of galaxies forming at $z = 3$. By constructing spectra that would be observed along multiple lines of sight from a distant quasistellar object, we measure the flux close to the galaxies and the column densities of H $\upalpha$, C iv, and O vi in the IGM. By comparing these results with observations, we search for signs of a proximity effect surrounding LBGs and aim to determine the most likely cause of metal enrichment in the IGM.

2. COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

Our simulations were performed using the hydrodynamics adaptive-mesh code, Enzo (Bryan 1999; O’Shea et al. 2004). We used a box with a comoving length of 20 h$^{-1}$ Mpc and a $\Lambda$CDM cosmology with ($\Omega_m$, $\Omega_b$, $\Omega_{\Lambda}$, $h$, $\sigma_8$) = (0.7, 0.26, 0.04, 0.67, 0.9). The simulation was evolved through to $z = 3$, and the location of the halos was found using a halo finder developed by Eisenstein & Hut (1998). Initially, a low-resolution run of the whole box was performed, and the halo positions located. The simulation was then repeated with a section of approximately 5 h$^{-1}$ Mpc resolved to a maximum resolution of 1.8 kpc with a dark matter particle mass of 5 $\times$ 10$^6$ $M_{\odot}$. This translates into a minimum resolved halo mass of approximately ~5 $\times$ 10$^9$ $M_{\odot}$.

The star particles formed in the simulation return thermal energy and metals back to the baryons. The energy is put back into the thermal energy of the gas over a dynamical time and is equal to 10$^{-3}$ of the rest-mass energy of generated stars, equivalent to one supernovae of 10$^{51}$ ergs per 55 $M_{\odot}$ formed. It is known that some of this energy is radiated away, although previous work with this code (Tassis et al. 2003) has shown that it can eject gas from halos. Metals are returned to the gas over the same time period with a yield of 0.02. Radiative cooling is computed by including metal line cooling based on the local metal content. To convert the metallicity into the ionization fractions for C iv and O vi, we used CLOUDY (Ferland et al. 2001).
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et al. 1998), assuming ionization spectrums from Haardt \\& Madau (1996) and, for the softer UV background, Haardt \\& Madau (2001).

Ideally, the LBGs in our simulation box would be chosen based on a calculation of their spectrum in the $U$ band, as with observations. However, for simplicity, we selected the halos whose mass was the same order as the observed halo masses of LBGs, $10^{11.5} M_\odot$ (A05). With this lower limit, we get a space density over the simulation box of $6.75 \times 10^{-3} h^3$ Mpc$^{-3}$, in good agreement with the observed value of $8 \times 10^{-3} h^3$ Mpc$^{-3}$ (Adelberger et al. 1998). Within our refined region (which is actually overdense with respect to the rest of the box), we get six halos that are above the cutoff mass. These are circled in white in the left-hand panel of Figure 1.

3. RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the baryon density (left panel) and metallicity of the refined region containing six halos that we classify as LBGs. We can see that the LBGs are situated at the intersection of multiple gas filaments and therefore mark out the densest areas of the simulation box in agreement with the observed, highly biased distribution (Adelberger et al. 1998). They are also a source of metals, with each region round the LBG being surrounded by a high-metallicity bubble, as shown in the right-hand panel of Figure 1.

3.1. Flux Properties

To analyze the distribution of the $\text{H} \,^1$ near to the LBGs in our simulation, we computed the optical depth along 2000 randomly chosen lines of sight. By calculating the observed distance to each galaxy along the lines of sight, the average flux, $\langle F \rangle = e^{-\tau}$, as a function of distance from the galaxy, $q$, was measured. The flux was divided and averaged into just under 50 bins, and these results are presented in Figure 2. Since the exact amplitude of the background ionizing radiation is uncertain, the results are scaled to match A05’s results at $q = 3.25 h^{-1}$ Mpc.

From Figure 2, we see a clear difference in the trends of the observational results at low $q$. The earlier observations of A03 (open circles) show a definite increase in the transmitted flux, which is missing in the later results. In their paper, A05 note that the most likely reasons for this are the increase in sample size between A03 and A05, or an evolution in the LBGs between the epochs of the two data sets, taken at $z \sim 3$ and $z \sim 2$. Our simulation results (solid line) show no proximity effect like that in A03, but they agree closely with A05, indicating that sample size was the cause of the discrepancy, but not evolution, since our data are at the earlier epoch of $z \sim 3$.

The distribution in absorbing gas can be further studied by looking at how it varies within $1 h^{-1}$ Mpc of the LBGs. Figure 3 shows the distribution of the flux decrement, $1 - \langle F \rangle$, within this volume for the simulation results from Enzo, the recent observations from A05, and the simulation results performed by Kollmeier et al. (2006, taken from A05). Of the 2000 lines of sight that we passed through the simulation refined region, 884 passed within $1 h^{-1}$ Mpc of an LBG. The average flux decrement within this area was calculated for each of these lines and scaled as for Figure 2. A normalized scale, with the total number of measurements the same as A05’s observations, is marked on the left-hand axis, while the actual numbers are shown on the right.

The observations indicate a bimodal distribution, with gas either very dense near the galaxy or largely absent. This, A05 argues, is consistent with the idea that anisotropic winds are present in these systems and that these winds are clearing a path in the gas that roughly half the lines of sight are passing through. If true, this could explain the discrepancy between the results, with the earlier 2003 observations picking out voids on one side of the galaxy that the larger sample set in 2005 averages out. The Enzo simulation shows some indication of a bimodal distribution, but with very few transparent lines of sight. In addition, there are a larger number of lines of sight with very high decrements. The results of Kollmeier et al. (2006) are similar in that they are also seeing a lack of voids in the gas, but with no indication of a bimodal distribution at all. Therefore, the simulations are not seeing as strong a population of galaxies with the anisotropic voids.
3.2. Intergalactic Metals

To measure the quantity of metals being ejected into the IGM, we calculate the column density of H I, C IV, and O VI along 500 lines of sight. These are plotted in Figure 4 along with observational data. We note that we do not attempt to model observational effects such as the confusion between H I and O VI lines, which will lead to systematic uncertainties at the low column density end.

The top panel of Figure 4 shows the column density of H I in our simulation (solid line) alongside the observational results from Petitjean et al. (1993) and Hu et al. (1995). Our results seem to be systematically slightly higher at medium to high column densities and curve away at lower values. The former is due to our choice of a high-density area of the simulation box, where a number of LBG candidates were placed. The falloff at low densities is most likely due to a limitation in the resolution of the simulation (Bryan et al. 1999), and low column density absorbers (which correspond to small filaments in underdense regions) are underestimated.

In the middle panel of Figure 4, the column densities for C IV and O VI are plotted with the observational data for C IV from Scannapieco et al. (2006) at \( z = 2.7 \) and \( z = 1.9 \). The match between our results and the observations at first seems extremely good, pointing toward the objects in the region producing the required metal enrichment of the IGM. However, as we have seen from the hydrogen distribution above, we have picked an overdense region to study, and therefore, on average, we would expect the metal content to be lower. This implies that either the metals are more clustered around the galaxies than observed or not enough metals are being ejected into the IGM.

Softening the UV background, as shown in the bottom panel of Figure 4, improves things slightly, but not enough to match the H I overdensity. Further softening, or a supersolar yield, could resolve the difference but appears to be unlikely (Aguirre et al. 2005). Therefore, we conclude that either our galaxies do not have powerful enough outflows to drive the metals into the IGM or they are not the main source of IGM enrichment. If they are not the source, it begs the question as to what is causing the metal enrichment. As can be seen from Figure 1, contributions to our measured metal density come not just from the six LBG halos discussed in the previous section but from all resolved galaxies. Therefore, if these are not enough, then smaller objects, below our minimum resolved halo mass of approximately \( 5 \times 10^9 M_\odot \), must be responsible. This minimum lies in the dwarf galaxy mass scale, so possible objects have to be dwarf-sized or smaller.

If this result is correct and LBGs are not the source of IGM enrichment, then the question is left as to why there seems to be a correlation between LBGs and C IV systems (A03, A05). A solution to this was suggested by Porciani & Madau (2005), who propose early-forming dwarf galaxies, with the same biased distribution as LBGs, as the metal source. The LBGs are gravitationally drawn to the same overdense sites and form in bubbles of old metals. This also agrees with simulations per-
formed by Scannapieco et al. (2006), who tried multiple schemes of metal injection but found that only when the metals were placed in bubbles round the galaxies were the observations replicated well. They point out that outflows from the smaller gravitational potentials from dwarves are a more likely candidate for the bubbles than the LBGs.

An alternative explanation for the LBGs weak effect on the IGM is that our feedback prescription is not able to correctly reproduce outflows of gas and metals. It is clear that we cannot resolve the full multiphase nature of the interstellar medium, although we are currently working to understand and improve our treatment of feedback (Tasker & Bryan 2006). A visual inspection of our galaxies reveals several outflows, with the maximum velocity in the region being 750 km s\(^{-1}\), encouragingly close to the observed outflows of 775 km s\(^{-1}\) by Pettini et al. (2002). However, only two of our six halos showed strong outflows, and only in one direction, indicating that our outflows are neither homogeneous nor ubiquitous. Whether this is a problem is hard to determine; work performed by Kollmeier et al. (2006) and Theuns et al. (2002) on the effect of winds on absorption indicates that the lack of strong winds is probably not the cause of the decreased flux seen in the Figure 2. Kollmeier et al. (2006) found that the gas in the IGM is unaffected by the presence of winds and has only a small impact on the optical depth close to LBGs. Theuns et al. (2002) and Bruscoli et al. (2003) likewise found that the winds preferentially expanded into the voids, leaving the hydrogen filaments intact. It therefore seems likely that we are correctly modeling the H\(\text{I}\) around the LBGs.

The lack of metal production is more difficult to judge. From Figure 1, the metals appear closely centered around their source, which might be changed with stronger feedback. By using an extremely soft UV background and preventing heated gas from cooling for 10\(^7\) yr, Theuns et al. (2002) is able to reproduce the observed C\(\text{IV}\) density well, implying that galactic outflows at z = 3 can enrich the IGM if feedback is more efficient than presented here. However, Aguirre et al. (2005) find that the metals are too inhomogeneously distributed compared to observations, a symptom that would not change in the presence of strong winds. They therefore argue that a second, high-z, enrichment mechanism is still needed.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We performed detailed simulations of galaxies formed at z = 3 using an adaptive-mesh code that included recipes for star formation, metal production, and supernova feedback. From these data, we looked at the transmitted H\(\text{I}\) flux in simulated QSO spectra near large galaxies and the production of metals that are returned to the IGM.

Our calculated H\(\text{I}\) flux in the neighborhood of the galaxies agrees well with the most recent observational results (A05) in which the mean transmitted flux decreases near Lyman break galaxies. While the mean properties agree well, there is an indication that the distribution of transmitted fluxes does not show the strong bimodality seen in the observations.

We also generate simulated C\(\text{IV}\) and O\(\text{I}\) absorption lines and find that, in our simulation box, the column density distributions for these tracers are somewhat lower than observed. A visual inspection of the simulations shows the metals to be quite concentrated around galaxies (both large and small). We conclude that while we do find a significant amount of the IGM polluted with metals, the amount falls short of observations, implying either that our treatment of feedback does not generate sufficiently strong winds or that objects smaller than our minimum resolution of about 5 \times 10\(^8\) \(M_{\odot}\) are responsible for the remaining IGM enrichment.
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