Grapevine VlbZIP30 improves drought resistance by directly activating VvNAC17 and promoting lignin biosynthesis through the regulation of three peroxidase genes
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Abstract
Drought stress severely affects grapevine quality and yield, and recent reports have revealed that lignin plays an important role in protection from drought stress. Since little is known about lignin-mediated drought resistance in grapevine, we investigated its significance. Herein, we show that VlbZIP30 mediates drought resistance by activating the expression of lignin biosynthetic genes and increasing lignin deposition. Transgenic grapevine plants overexpressing VlbZIP30 exhibited lignin deposition (mainly G and S monomers) in the stem secondary xylem under control conditions, which resulted from the upregulated expression of VvPRX4 and VvPRX72. Overexpression of VlbZIP30 improves drought tolerance, characterized by a reduction in the water loss rate, maintenance of an effective photosynthesis rate, and increased lignin content (mainly G monomer) in leaves under drought conditions. Electrophoretic mobility shift assay, luciferase reporter assays, and chromatin immunoprecipitation-qPCR assays indicated that VlbZIP30 directly binds to the G-box cis-element in the promoters of lignin biosynthetic (VvPRX N1) and drought-responsive (VvNAC17) genes to regulate their expression. In summary, we report a novel VlbZIP30-mediated mechanism linking lignification and drought tolerance in grapevine. The results of this study may be of value for the development of molecular breeding strategies to produce drought-resistant fruit crops.

Introduction
Drought is one of the most serious factors limiting agricultural productivity, and the development of drought-tolerant plants is an important objective worldwide1–3. Grapevine is a perennial fruit crop that is cultivated globally; however, drought stress severely affects the yield and quality of grapevine, and improvement of its drought tolerance is a high priority for the related horticultural industry.

Many studies have shown that transcription factors (TFs) are important regulators of drought stress signaling, and increasing their activity can provide plants with the ability to survive under drought conditions4. For example, the basic region/leucine zipper (bZIP) family has been characterized in a range of plant species, such as Arabidopsis thaliana4,5, rice (Oryza sativa)6,7, tomato (Solanum lycopersicum)8, maize (Zea mays)9, and grapevine (Vitis vinifera)10,11. Some of its members have been shown to enhance drought tolerance following overexpression in transgenic plants5–11.

A potentially important factor in drought tolerance in perennial woody plants is lignin, which is one of the main...
components of wood\textsuperscript{12} and contributes to many biological processes, including water conduction and mechanical support\textsuperscript{13}. Lignin is a complex phenolic polymer derived from the phenylpropanoid pathway and is formed by the oxidative polymerization of three monolignols: coniferyl, sinapyl, and \textit{p}-coumaryl alcohols\textsuperscript{14}. In dicots, such as grapevine\textsuperscript{15}, lignin polymers are composed of guaiacyl (G) units, syringyl (S) units, and low levels of \textit{p}-hydroxyphenyl (H) units, which are synthesized from the three monolignols (coniferyl, sinapyl, and \textit{p}-coumaryl alcohol, respectively)\textsuperscript{14}. The key genes involved in lignin biosynthesis are \textit{phenylalanine ammonia lyase}, \textit{cinnamate 4-hydroxylase}, \textit{4-coumarate-CoA ligase}, \textit{caffeoyl CoA-methyltransferase}, \textit{cinnamyl-CoA reductase}, caffeic acid \textit{O-methyltransferase}, \textit{cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase}, and \textit{peroxidase (PRX)}\textsuperscript{16–19}. However, many details of the associated upstream regulatory mechanisms have yet to be elucidated.

TFs have been identified as important regulators of lignin biosynthesis in many species, such as \textit{AtMYB46} in \textit{A. thaliana}\textsuperscript{20}, \textit{PtMYB4} in switchgrass (\textit{Panicum virgatum})\textsuperscript{14}, \textit{PtMYB4} in pine (\textit{Pinus taeda})\textsuperscript{21}, \textit{EgMYB1} and \textit{EgMYB2} in eucalyptus (\textit{Eucalyptus grandis})\textsuperscript{22,23}, \textit{PtrMYB003} and \textit{PtMYB021} in poplar (\textit{Populus trichocarpa})\textsuperscript{24}, \textit{ZmMYB11} in maize\textsuperscript{25}, \textit{OsTF1L} in rice\textsuperscript{1}, \textit{MdMYB124} and \textit{MdMYB124} in apple (\textit{Malus domestica Borkh.})\textsuperscript{3}, and \textit{CmMYB15} in chrysanthemum (\textit{Chrysanthemum morifolium})\textsuperscript{26}. However, only a few grapevine TFs (e.g., \textit{VvWRKY2}) regulating lignin biosynthesis have been characterized\textsuperscript{27}, and the regulatory mechanisms are not well understood in grapevine.

The development of transcriptome sequencing and bioinformatic pipelines has facilitated the use of largescale data mining to predict TFs involved in lignin biosynthesis. For example, based on a biclustering algorithm, Rao et al.\textsuperscript{28}, using a comparative coexpression network analysis, predicted that seven TF families (MYB, bHLH, NAC, ERF, WRKY, C2H2, and bZIP) coordinate their activity with lignin biosynthesis genes in \textit{A. thaliana} and switchgrass. Similarly, Quan et al.\textsuperscript{19} used a \textit{cis}-regulatory motif analysis and speculated that eight TF families (MYB/SANT, bHLH, AT-Hook, TCR, TBP, HD-ZIP, C2H2, and bZIP) interact with lignin biosynthesis genes in poplar. Among these families, the regulation of lignin biosynthesis by MYB and NAC TFs has been thoroughly analyzed\textsuperscript{29–31}, and recently, the involvement of bHLH, WRKY, ERF, and HD-ZIP family genes in wood formation was also investigated\textsuperscript{1,28,32,33}. However, to date, little is known about how bZIP TFs are involved in lignin biosynthesis.

There is growing evidence that drought stress tolerance can be affected by lignin formation. For example, overexpression of \textit{OsERF71} and \textit{OsTF1L} in transgenic rice was reported to enhance lignification and drought tolerance via upregulation of lignin biosynthetic genes\textsuperscript{1,3}. In addition, overexpression of \textit{MdMYB88} and \textit{MdMYB124}, two closely related genes, in apple enhanced water deficiency tolerance via the regulation of lignin deposition\textsuperscript{2}. Thus, high levels of lignification can lead to increased drought tolerance in plants, and TFs are central regulators in this network\textsuperscript{1,2}; however, the associated regulatory mechanisms remain largely obscure.

In a previous study, we characterized a bZIP gene from “Kyoho” grapevine (\textit{Vitis labrusca} × \textit{V. vinifera}), \textit{VlbZIP30}, overexpression of which in \textit{A. thaliana} was shown to enhance osmotic stress resistance during the seedling stages\textsuperscript{34}. In this study, we generated \textit{VlbZIP30}-overexpressing transgenic grapevine plants and found that they also exhibited enhanced tolerance to drought. Furthermore, \textit{VlbZIP30} overexpression significantly increased the accumulation of lignin in the grapevine. \textit{VlbZIP30} overexpression led to increased expression of lignin biosynthetic and drought-responsive genes through the binding of the G-box \textit{cis}-element in the promoters of these genes, which, in turn, resulted in increased lignin deposition and improved drought tolerance. The discovery of the involvement of \textit{VlbZIP30} in drought tolerance and a connection between lignification and drought tolerance suggests a strategy to improve grapevine drought resistance by enhancing lignin biosynthesis, which will reduce the impact of drought on fruit quality and yield.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and treatments

Tobacco (\textit{Nicotiana benthamiana}) plants were grown in an illumination incubator at 25 °C under a 16-h photoperiod with a light intensity of 200 μmol m\textsuperscript{–}2 s\textsuperscript{–}1.

\textit{A. thaliana} ecotype Columbia (Col-0) wild-type (WT) plants and T3 homozygous transgenic lines\textsuperscript{34} were grown in a growth chamber at 21 °C under a 16-h photoperiod with a light intensity of 60 μmol m\textsuperscript{–}2 s\textsuperscript{–}1.

Grapevine (\textit{V. vinifera} L. cv. Thompson Seedless) seedlings were grown in the grape germplasm resource orchard of Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi, China. The embryogenic calli of Thompson Seedless were induced from floral explants as previously described\textsuperscript{35}. The embryogenic calli were transferred to X6 medium (Caisson, MSP24-1LT) to form proembryonal masses (PEMs), which were used for grapevine transformation. All cultures described above were maintained in the dark at 26 °C.

Rooting and vegetative propagation of WT and transgenic tissue cultures was carried out on ½MS rooting medium containing 0.2 mg l\textsuperscript{–}1 indole-3-butyric acid and 0.02 mg l\textsuperscript{–}1 1-naphthalacetic acid at 25 °C under a long-day (16 h) photoperiod with a light intensity of 60 μmol m\textsuperscript{–}2 s\textsuperscript{–}1. The apical part (~3 cm long and with a single leaf) of
an in vitro shoot was resected and transferred in a new jar for propagation of replicates. After 3 months, the transgenic and WT plantlets were transferred to plastic pots (10 × 10 × 8 cm) containing a mixture of soil (Pindstrup, Denmark) and vermiculite (1:1, v-v). After 1 month of adaptation in an illumination incubator (25 °C, 16-h photoperiod and a light intensity of 200 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹), healthy and uniformly sized plants were selected and assigned to two treatment groups. Plants in one group were subjected to drought stress by withholding water for 20 days, while plants in the other group were watered (800 mL) every 7 days and used as controls. For the experiment, six plantlets per line (transgenic lines and WT) were used as one independent experiment, and the first to third leaves from the top of the plants were sampled for RNA-seq and quantitative real-time (qRT)-PCR. The first to fourth successive internode stems from the top of the plants were sampled for qRT-PCR analysis. After freezing in liquid nitrogen, samples were stored at −80 °C. All remaining leaves were collected for analysis of relative water content (RWC), electrolyte leakage, and lignin content. All experiments were independently repeated three times.

For drought treatment of older plants, adapted 4-month-old plants were transferred to larger plastic pots (25 × 25 × 15 cm) filled with a mixture of soil and vermiculite (1:1, v-v) and grown in a glasshouse. After 4 months, the 8-month-old plants were subjected to drought stress by withholding water for 40 days, with plants watered every 10 days used as controls. For the experiment, five plants per line were used as one independent experiment, and all of the leaves were sampled for RWC and chlorophyll content analyses. All experiments were independently repeated three times.

Generation of transgenic grapevine plants overexpressing VlbZIP30

The VlbZIP30 open reading frame (ORF) was amplified and fused in frame downstream of the 3 × FLAG tag under the control of the CaMV35S constitutive promoter in the pCAMBIA2300 expression vector using the primers presented in Table S1. The recombinant construct was verified by sequencing and renamed CaMV35S-3×Flag-VlbZIP30. A schematic diagram of the recombinant construct is shown in Fig. 1c. CaMV35S-3×Flag-VlbZIP30 was introduced into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain EHA105 using the freeze-thaw method for transformation of Thompson Seedless as previously described. Vector-specific primers (F: 5’-CATTTCATTTGGAGAGAACACG-3’; R: 5’-TTTGAACGATCGGGAAAT-3’) were used to identify stable transgenic lines.

qRT-PCR analysis

For further identification of transgenic plants, total RNA was extracted from grapevine leaves using the E.Z.N. A. Plant RNA Kit (#R6827-01, Omega Bio-tek, USA)
according to the manufacturer’s protocol. For gene expression analysis, RNA was extracted from grapevine leaves and stems under both control and drought conditions using the E.Z.N.A. Plant RNA Kit. First-strand cDNA was synthesized using PrimerScript™ Reverse Transcriptase (#6110A, TaKaRa) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. qRT-PCR was performed with SYBR qPCR Master Mix (#Q311-02, Vazyme) using a StepOnePlus™ RT-PCR instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. *VvActin1* was used as an internal control. The gene-specific primers used for qRT-PCR are listed in Table S2. All experiments were repeated three times independently.

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and histochemical analyses**

Successive internodes (I1–3) were collected from the stems of 2-month-old WT and transgenic plants for SEM (Hitachi JSM-6360LV, Japan) and histochemical analyses, respectively. For each assay, five plants per line (transgenic lines and WT) were used as one independent experiment. Ten stem cross sections (from five plants) per line were used for measuring the stem diameters and xylem lengths. Internode cross sections were stained with phloroglucinol–HCl as previously described. The micrographs were acquired by a Nikon DS-U3 system with a Nikon Eclipse E100 optical microscope (Nikon, Japan). Each experiment was independently repeated three times.

**Lignin extraction and quantification**

4-month-old WT and transgenic plant leaves grown under both control and drought conditions and 2-month-old whole stems were collected to prepare cell wall extracts. The acetyl bromide method was used to determine total lignin content, and the thioacidolysis method was used to detect lignin composition. The H, S, and G monomers were identified and quantified by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. All procedures were performed as previously described. For each experiment, ~5 g samples (collected from ten plants) per line were considered one independent experiment, and three independent experiments were performed.

**RNA-seq and data analyses**

Total RNA was extracted from 2-month-old transgenic (#25) and WT stem samples using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, USA), and RNA library preparation and sequencing were performed by Wuhan SeqHealth Technology Corporation (Wuhan, China). RNA-seq libraries were prepared using the KC™ Stranded mRNA Library Prep Kit (#DR08402, Seqhealth, China) following the manufacturer’s instructions and sequenced using the HiSeq X10 sequencing platform (Illumina). All mapped reads were counted by featureCounts (Subread-1.5.1; Bioconductor) using annotated genes and sequences from the *V. vinifera* reference genome (http://plantsensembl.org/index.html), and then, reads per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (RPKM values) were calculated. The differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between transgenic (#25) and WT plants were identified using the edgeR package (version 3.12.1), with thresholds of false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05 and absolute log2FC (fold change) > 1. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) enrichment analysis for DEGs was implemented using KOBAS software (version: 2.1.1) with a threshold of *P* value < 0.05.

For the samples of 4-month-old transgenic (#25) and WT leaves under control and drought treatments, total RNA samples were extracted using the E.Z.N.A. Plant RNA Kit (#R6827-01, Omega Bio-Tek, USA) and RNA library preparation and sequencing were performed by Biomarker (BMK) Biotechnology Corporation (Beijing, China) as previously described. The DEGs between groups were identified using the edgeR package with thresholds of FDR < 0.05 and absolute log2FC > 1. The Venn diagrams were made using the BMK Cloud platform (www.biocloud.net). Motif predictions were performed using the promoter region 1500 bp upstream of the start codons of DEGs using DREME software (http://meme-suite.org/tools/dreme).

All sequence data in this study have been submitted to the NCBI Short Read Archive under the accession number SUB6172844.

**Evaluation of stress tolerance**

Evaluation of stress tolerance Photosynthetic parameters was monitored using an LI-COR 6400 portable photosynthesis system (LI-6400XT, Huntington Beach, CA) as previously described. Measurements were performed on the sixth to tenth leaves from the base on sunny days between 10:00 and 12:00 a.m. The transpiration rate, stomatal conductance, and intercellular CO2 concentration were analyzed using five plants per line, and three independent experiments were performed. After drought treatment, all of the leaves were collected for electrolyte leakage and chlorophyll content analyses as previously described, as well as for determination of RWC. The data are presented as the mean ± SD of three biological replicates.

**Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) and dual-luciferase (LUC) reporter assay**

The VilbZIP30 coding region was amplified and cloned into the BamHI and XhoI sites of the pGEX-6P-1 vector containing a GST tag using the primers shown in Table S1. The GST-VlbZIP30 fusion protein was expressed in *Escherichia coli* strain BL21 (DE3) (Invitrogen) and...
purified using Glutathione Sepharose 4B beads (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK). EMSAs were performed using the Light Shift Chemiluminescent EMSA Kit (Thermo Scientific) as previously described.

The candidate gene promoter sequences containing the ACGTG cis-element recognized by VlbZIP30 were amplified by PCR from Thompson Seedless genomic DNA (all the amplification sequences are listed in Data S1). The PCR products were ligated into the reporter vector pGreen II 0800-LUC. The full-length VlbZIP30 ORF was amplified and cloned into the effector vector pGreen II 62-SK under the control of the CaMV35S promoter. The primers used for vector construction are listed in Table S1. The effector and reporter constructs were transformed into A. tumefaciens strain GV3101 (#AC1003, Weidi, Shanghai, China) containing the pSoup helper plasmid. One-month-old tobacco leaves were coinfiltrated with A. tumefaciens harboring the effector and different reporters as previously described.

Promoter activities were determined based on firefly LUC/Renilla luciferase (REN) activities using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) with an Infinite M200 Pro microplate reader (Tecan) as previously described.

All experiments were independently repeated three times with similar results. For each assay, three technical replicates were performed.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-qPCR assay

For ChIP analysis, 12 g of young leaves obtained from CaMV35S-3×Flag-VlbZIP30 transgenic plants grown for 4 months at 25°C under a 16-h photoperiod and then dehydrated for 20 days were cross-linked for 15 min in 1% formaldehyde under vacuum. The ChIP assay was performed as previously described with minor modifications. The anti-FLAG M2 antibody (#F1804, Sigma-Aldrich) and the IgG (Sigma-Aldrich) control were used for immunoprecipitation. The independent transgenic line #25 and the input sample were used in the ChIP-qPCR assay. The candidate target gene primers used for ChIP-qPCR are listed in Table S2. Two independent experiments were performed with similar results. The data represent the means of three replicates ± SDs from one experiment.

Accession numbers

Genes from this article can be found in the Ensembl Plants database (http://plants.ensembl.org/index.html) under the following accession numbers: VIT_13s0175g00120 (VlbZIP30), VIT_08s0058g00970 (VvPRX1), VIT_06s0004g07770 (VvPRX4), VIT_04s0023g02570 (VvPRX2), VIT_01s0026g02710 (VvNAC26), VIT_19s0014g03290 (VvNAC17), VIT_14s0068g00300, VIT_12s0055g01010 (VvPR X N1), VIT_13s0067g02360 (VvPRX4-like), VIT_07s0130g00220 (VvPRX47), VIT_04s0044g00580 (VvActin1). The names of these genes were obtained from the KEGG database (http://www.kegg.jp/kegg/) or published studies.

Results

Identification of transgenic grapevine lines overexpressing VlbZIP30

Previously, we characterized a bZIP gene from “Kyoho” grapevine, VlbZIP30, overexpression of which in A. thaliana was shown to enhance dehydration tolerance under mannitol treatment during the seedling stage.

In this study, to examine the function of VlbZIP30 in a homologous system, the VlbZIP30 overexpression construct was transformed into PEs derived from Thompson Seedless (Fig. 1a). Genomic DNA was extracted from leaves of putative transgenic lines and nontransgenic control plants (WT), and 30 independent transgenic plants were confirmed by PCR (Fig. 1b). qRT-PCR was used to further assess the expression of VlbZIP30 in transgenic lines that showed a lignified stem phenotype (Fig. 1d and Fig. S1). This phenotype was more pronounced in six lines (#20, #24, #25, #26, #27, and #28) with high expression levels of VlbZIP30 (10- to 30-fold) than in the WT (Fig. 1). The three lines with the highest expression levels (#24, #25, and #27) were selected for further analysis.

Overexpression of VlbZIP30 in grapevine significantly increases lignin accumulation

To investigate a potential relationship between VlbZIP30 expression and lignin biosynthesis, we examined lignin accumulation in 2-month-old transgenic plants. Morphological characterization of the transgenic lines revealed significant lignification in the stems of all the transgenic lines, especially in the basal internodes (I1–3) (Fig. 2a, b). Through SEM and phloroglucinol–HCl staining, we observed that the transgenic lines had a thicker secondary xylem than the WT in the same internodes (I1–3) of the stem cross sections (Fig. 2c, d). We also measured the stem diameter, xylem length, and percentage of xylem in the stems of the different internodes (I1–3). Statistical analyses showed that the xylem length and the percentage of xylem in the stem correlated with this phenotype (Fig. 2f, g). We found that the stems of transgenic lines increased in diameter as the degree of lignification increased (Fig. 2e).

To confirm the apparently enhanced lignin biosynthesis in the stems of the transgenic lines, we measured the amount of extractable lignin and characterized the lignin composition. We observed a 1.3- to 1.6-fold increase in total lignin in the transgenic lines (#25 and #27) compared to the WT (Fig. 2h), and the abundance of G and S
units in the transgenic lines showed an increase of 1.3- to 1.5-fold and 1.2- to 1.6-fold, respectively, compared to the WT (Fig. 2i). We also imaged phloroglucinol–HCl staining in inflorescence stem cross sections of VlbZIP30-overexpressing A. thaliana lines (OE1, OE6, and OE23). However, no significant difference in staining intensity...
was observed compared to the WT control (Fig. S2). These results indicated that VlbZIP30 significantly affects the accumulation of lignin in grapevine stems by increasing the synthesis of both G and S lignin monomers.

**Identification of VlbZIP30-regulated lignin biosynthetic genes using RNA-seq analysis**

To provide further evidence supporting the connection between VlbZIP30 and lignin biosynthesis, we performed an RNA-seq analysis of 2-month-old stems from the transgenic line (#25) and WT control plants grown under normal conditions. Two plants per line (#25 and WT) were used as one independent sample, and three independent samples were used for RNA-seq analysis. A total of 591 DEGs (241 upregulated and 350 downregulated) were expressed with at least a twofold change (FDR < 0.05) in line #25 compared to the WT, and enrichment analysis was performed for biological pathways. We identified three KEGG pathways, namely, phenylpropanoid biosynthesis (which is the primary determinant of lignin content)\(^3\), monoterpenoid biosynthesis, and biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, enriched among the 241 upregulated genes (Fig. 2j), while there were nine KEGG pathways enriched among the 350 downregulated genes. The nine pathways did not include the phenylpropanoid biosynthesis pathway (Fig. 2j). We identified five lignin biosynthetic genes from the phenylpropanoid biosynthesis pathway in the 241 upregulated DEGs, namely, VvPRX1 (VIT_08s0058g00970), VvPRX4 (VIT_06s0004g07770), VvPRX72 (VIT_04s0023g02570), VvCCoAOMT (VIT_11s0016g02610), and VIT_13s0064g01720, and found that three of these genes were upregulated in the public GeneChips\(^®\) database of drought response genes\(^4\). These were VvPRX1, VvPRX4, and VvPRX72, which encode PRX genes involved in the final stages of lignin biosynthesis (Fig. 2k). These results suggest that VlbZIP30 is likely to increase the accumulation of lignin by regulating the expression of these three lignin biosynthetic genes in grapevine stems.

**Overexpression of VlbZIP30 enhances drought tolerance in grapevines**

As we previously found that VlbZIP30 overexpression in *A. thaliana* confers drought tolerance, we tested this possibility in transgenic grapevine lines (#24, #25, and #27). Four-month-old plants were selected and exposed to drought conditions for 20 days by withholding irrigation. The transgenic plants showed much less leaf wilting and yellowing than the WT plants (Fig. 3a). The degree of drought damage was assessed by standard parameters, such as RWC and electrolyte leakage\(^1,10,38\). Consistent with the visible phenotypes, after drought treatment, although the RWCs of all the plants decreased, they were significantly higher in the transgenic lines than in the WT (Fig. 3b). Furthermore, electrolyte leakage was significantly lower in the transgenic plants than in the WT after drought treatment (Fig. 3c). These data suggested that overexpression of VlbZIP30 resulted in less physiological damage under drought stress in the transgenic lines than in WT plants.

Since overexpression of VlbZIP30 in grapevine enhanced stem lignification, we investigated whether there was also increased lignification in the leaves. Under control conditions, there were no significant differences in lignin content and lignin monomer composition between WT and transgenic (#25, #27) leaves, while after drought treatment, the total lignin content of the transgenic leaves was significantly higher than that of the WT leaves (Fig. 3d). Moreover, only the lignin G monomer was significantly more abundant in transgenic leaves than in WT leaves (Fig. 3e). These results indicated that under drought stress, overexpression of VlbZIP30 promoted the accumulation of lignin, mainly the G monomer, in grapevine leaves and enhanced the resistance to drought stress.

To determine if the transgenic grapevines have a drought-resistant phenotype under simulated field conditions, we selected three lines (#14, #36, and #46) with VlbZIP30 expression levels fivefold to tenfold higher than those of the WT control (Fig. 1d) for drought treatment. Eight-month-old plants were selected and exposed to drought conditions for 40 days by withholding irrigation. After the treatment, the transgenic plants showed slight leaf wilting and yellowing, while almost all the leaves of the WT were yellow and withered (Fig. 4a). Consistent with the visible phenotypes, after drought treatment, the chlorophyll content and RWC of the transgenic lines were significantly higher than those of the WT (Fig. 4b, c). The efficiency of photosynthesis is known to be directly inhibited when plants are subjected to drought stress\(^38\), so we evaluated photosynthesis-associated parameters in the WT and transgenic plants during drought treatment. The transpiration rates decreased sharply after 10 days from the beginning of the treatment, with no significant difference between WT and transgenic plants (Fig. 4d). However, after 20–40 days of drought treatment, transpiration rates in the transgenic lines were significantly higher than those in the WT (Fig. 4d). The stomatal conductance showed the same trend as the transpiration rate (Fig. 4e). The intercellular CO\(_2\) concentrations gradually increased during the drought treatment period, but with lower increments in the transgenic lines than in the WT (Fig. 4f). These data indicated that the VlbZIP30-overexpressing transgenic lines maintained a relatively stable photosynthetic efficiency compared to the WT control under drought conditions.
Identification of a potential G-box motif in VlbZIP30-regulated drought-inducible genes using RNA-seq analysis

To examine the VlbZIP30 regulatory network during drought stress, we performed RNA-seq analysis to identify DEGs between a transgenic line (#25) and WT plants. Four-month-old plants were exposed to drought conditions for 20 days by withholding irrigation (Fig. 3a), and leaves were sampled for RNA-seq analysis. DEGs were defined based on a threshold of twofold difference in transcript abundance (FDR < 0.05). We identified 1796 and 2245 genes that were upregulated in line #25 compared with WT plants under control (#25C/WTC) and drought (#25D/WTD) conditions, respectively (Fig. 5a). A total of 2039 and 340 upregulated genes were identified in WT (WTD/WTC) and #25 (#25D/#25C) subjected to drought stress, respectively (Fig. 5a). Subsequently, we
performed KEGG enrichment analysis on the DEGs identified in the Venn diagrams (Fig. 5a) and found that the phenylpropanoid biosynthesis pathway was significantly enriched in 1796 (#25C/WTC), 340 (#25D/#25C), and 2245 (#25D/WTD) genes but not in 2039 (WTD/WTC) genes (Data S2). These results suggest that the phenylpropanoid biosynthesis pathway was significantly enhanced in transgenic lines (#25) compared to the WT under both control and drought conditions.

To better understand the role of VlbZIP30 in drought stress signaling, the upregulated drought response genes or genes that were upregulated by overexpression of VlbZIP30 were selected for further analyses (Fig. 5a; a total of 680 DEGs, shown in red circles). Promoter analyses were performed on these DEGs to identify candidate VlbZIP30 target genes (removed 23 genes without a grapevine ID) using the DREAME motif tool, and a potential G-box motif (Fig. 5b; ACGYGKC, E-value: 1.2e-034) was found to be significantly enriched. These 657 promoter sequences are listed in Data S3. Previous studies have shown that some bZIP proteins function as regulators of signaling networks by specifically binding the “ACGTG” G-box sequence in the promoters of their target genes and regulating their expression9,44. In addition, in a previous study, we hypothesized that VlbZIP30 might participate in stress signaling in grapevine by regulating the expression of 21 grapevine genes via a putative G-box motif (MCAGTGK) in their promoters34. Five genes were found to be shared by the 657 candidate genes and 21 previously predicted genes (Fig. 5c). The expression of these five genes was confirmed by qRT-PCR in 4-month-old WT and transgenic plants dehydrated for 20 days, and based on these results (Figs. 5d and S3), we selected three drought-responsive genes of interest,
namely, VvNAC26 (VIT_01s0026g02710), VvNAC17 (VIT_19s0014g03290), and VIT_14s0068g00300, for further analyses.

VlbZIP30 activates the transcription of drought-responsive and lignin biosynthetic genes by binding to the G-box motif in their promoters

Given that the lignin content in the leaves of the transgenic lines was higher than that in the WT under drought stress (Fig. 3d, e), we further investigated the link between lignin formation and drought stress. Among the 657 candidate genes, we identified three PRX-type lignin biosynthesis-related genes: VvPRX N1 (VIT_12s0055g01010), VvPRX4-like (VIT_13s0067g02360), and VvPRX47 (VIT_07s0130g00220). Compared with the expression in WT plants, the expression of VvPRX4-like and VvPRX47 was upregulated in transgenic lines under drought stress; however, the expression of VvPRX N1 was downregulated in transgenic lines compared with the WT under drought stress (Fig. 5e). We also examined the expression of three other lignin biosynthetic genes (VvPRX1, VvPRX4, and VvPRX72) selected from the stem RNA-seq data (Fig. 2, k) and found that their expression levels were significantly higher in transgenic plants than in WT plants under control conditions (Fig. 5f), which was consistent with the RNA-seq data. Furthermore, we found that the expression of VvPRX1 and VvPRX4 was induced by drought stress in the WT and that, compared with the expression in WT, the expression of VvPRX1 and VvPRX4 was downregulated and upregulated in the transgenic plants, respectively, while the expression of VvPRX72 did not change significantly under drought stress (Fig. 5f).

Each of the three targeted drought-responsive genes and six lignin biosynthetic genes was found to contain the G-box (ACGTG) motif in its promoter (Data S3 and S4). Based on the promoter sequences of the nine candidate target genes, we synthesized two probes containing the
core binding sequence (ACGTG) and two corresponding mutant probes for EMSA (Fig. 6a) and found that the GST-VlbZIP30 fusion protein bound to both probe 1 and probe 2 (Fig. 6b) in vitro. However, the probes with the mutated G-box were not bound by this protein (Fig. 6b), further confirming the specific binding of VlbZIP30 to the G-box motif in vitro.

To further determine whether the nine candidate target genes were directly regulated by VlbZIP30 in vivo, we performed a LUC reporter and ChIP-qPCR assay. The promoters of the nine genes were separately fused to a mock LUC reporter sequence and cotransfected into tobacco leaves with either the 35S::VlbZIP30 effector construct or an empty vector before the relative LUC activity was determined. A fourfold higher LUC activity was observed with the VvNAC17 reporter, and 2- to 23-fold higher LUC activities were detected for the lignin biosynthetic gene reporters (VvPRX N1, VvPRX4, and VvPRX72) compared to the negative control (Fig. 6d–f).

To further verify whether VlbZIP30 can directly bind to the promoters of these four target genes, we performed a ChIP-qPCR assay with the young leaves of transgenic grapevines. As a result, the ChIP-qPCR assay using an anti-FLAG M2 antibody and a control IgG antibody showed that a significantly higher number of fragments containing the G-box of VvNAC17 and VvPRX N1 promoters were detected in the ChIP products than in the negative control (Fig. 7); however, no significant enrichment was detected in the ChIP products containing the VvPRX4 and VvPRX72 promoters than in the negative control (Fig. 7). Taken together, these results indicate that VlbZIP30 can regulate the expression of VvPRX4 and VvPRX72 and that VlbZIP30 directly binds to the VvNAC17 and VvPRX N1 promoters and activates their expression.

**Discussion**

A large number of studies have shown that bZIP TFs play an important role in the ability of plants to resist drought stress, including in Arabidopsis, rice, and tomato. Recent reports have revealed that lignin plays an important role in protection from drought stress. For instance, overexpression of OsERF71 led to high lignification levels in roots via participation in cell wall modification, thereby enhancing the drought resistance of rice. Overexpression of OsTF1L in transgenic rice was
reported to enhance drought tolerance by regulating lignin accumulation and reducing the leaf RWC. However, no studies have reported that bZIP TFs function in lignin biosynthesis. In this study, we showed that overexpression of VlbZIP30 improves drought tolerance, characterized by a reduction in the leaf RWC, maintenance of an effective photosynthesis rate and increased lignin content in leaves under drought conditions. In addition, we found that VlbZIP30 regulates the expression of lignin biosynthetic (VvPRX N1, VvPRX4, and VvPRX72) and drought-responsive (VvNAC17) genes through binding of the G-box cis-element in their promoters, thus promoting lignin biosynthesis and improving drought resistance in grapevine.

Previously, we identified a bZIP gene, VlbZIP30, overexpression of which in A. thaliana was shown to enhance osmotic stress resistance. To further test whether VlbZIP30 was involved in the drought stress response in a homologous system, we produced VlbZIP30-overexpressing transgenic grapevine plants. Twelve independent lines had lignin accumulation phenotypes in the stems (Figs. 1 and S1), suggesting that VlbZIP30 regulates the lignin biosynthesis pathway.

To test whether VlbZIP30 was indeed involved in regulating lignin biosynthesis, we performed SEM and phloroglucinol–HCl staining and found more lignin deposition in the secondary xylem of the transgenic lines compared to the WT control (Fig. 2). In addition, we found that overexpressing VlbZIP30 increased the accumulation of lignin in grapevine stems mainly due to an increase in the levels of G and S units (Fig. 2h, i), which are the main components of grapevine lignin. However, this regulation of lignin biosynthesis was not observed when VlbZIP30 was overexpressed in A. thaliana (Fig. S2). This might be because A. thaliana is an herbaceous plant, while grapevines are perennial woody vines, and so these plants may differ in their lignin biosynthesis regulatory mechanisms. Based on these results, we hypothesized that VlbZIP30 induces lignin biosynthesis in grapevine stems.

We performed RNA-seq analysis to test this hypothesis and found that genes in the phenylpropanoid biosynthesis pathway, which is critical for lignin biosynthesis, were significantly enriched among the upregulated DEGs (Fig. 2j, k). We identified three putative PRX-type (VvPRX1, VvPRX4, and VvPRX72) target genes for
VlbZIP30. Since some bZIP proteins modulate gene transcription by binding to a G-box (ACGTG) in the promoters of their target genes\(^9,44\), we performed EMSA and showed that VlbZIP30 binds to the G-box of the VvPRX1, VvPRX4, and VvPRX72 promoters in vitro (Fig. 6b). LUC reporter assays further confirmed that VlbZIP30 can regulate the expression of VvPRX4 and VvPRX72 in vivo (Fig. 6f). Furthermore, we also implemented a ChIP-qPCR assay using grapevine leaves to verify their regulatory relationships, but no significant enrichment was found. Then, we used the RNA-seq data and performed qRT-PCR analysis of leaf samples and found that VvPRX4 and VvPRX72 were not upregulated by VlbZIP30 in the leaves (Fig. S4), which may be the reason for the lack of significant enrichment in the ChIP products (Fig. 7). It is speculated that in grapevine plants, VlbZIP30 regulates the expression of VvPRX4 and VvPRX72 only in the stem. However, the ChIP assay remains difficult to implement in the stem.

PRX genes have been reported to be responsible for the final step in lignin formation\(^1,19\), and knocking out AtPRX52 (an ortholog of VvPRX4) in A. thaliana resulted in a decrease in lignin levels due to a reduction in the expression of lignin biosynthetic genes\(^45\). Furthermore, knocking out AtPRX72 (an ortholog of VvPRX72) in A. thaliana was shown to result in a decrease in lignin abundance\(^46\). These results suggest that VlbZIP30 increases lignin biosynthesis in the stems of transgenic plants by regulating the expression of VvPRX4 and VvPRX72.

In this study, we performed drought treatment on both 4-month-old and 8-month-old transgenic grapevines in an illumination incubator and under glasshouse conditions. Under glasshouse conditions, we found that the transgenic plants (#24, #25, and #27) with the highest expression levels of VlbZIP30 grew slower than WT plants. This may be because these three lines use higher levels of nutrients for lignin biosynthesis in the stems than the WT, resulting in slower growth of the transgenic plants. Therefore, we selected 8-month-old transgenic grapevines (#14, #36, and #46) with VlbZIP30 expression levels fivefold to 10-fold higher than the WT (Fig. 1d) for drought treatment. Compared with the WT, the transgenic plants showed increased leaf RWC and chlorophyll content, reduced electrolyte leakage, and an enhanced photosynthesis rate in response to drought stress (Figs. 3 and 4), factors that are all typically used as indicators of drought resistance\(^38\). These results suggested that VlbZIP30 overexpression in grapevine markedly improved its tolerance to drought stress. RNA-seq analysis identified 657 candidate genes upregulated in the transgenic plants under normal or drought conditions, and a potential G-box motif was significantly enriched in the promoter regions of these genes (Fig. 5b). This result is consistent with a previous transcriptomic analysis of heterologous VlbZIP30 expression in A. thaliana under osmotic stress\(^34\) and suggests that VlbZIP30 participates in the drought stress response by binding to the G-box in the promoters of downstream genes.

Based on these RNA-seq data and qRT-PCR assays, we identified three drought-responsive genes (VvNAC26, VvNAC17 and VIT_14s0068g00300) as candidate target genes of VlbZIP30 (Fig. 5d). Furthermore, previous reports revealed that drought tolerance is associated with lignin formation\(^1,2\). To determine whether the accumulation of lignin in transgenic plants changed under drought conditions, we measured leaf lignin content and found that it was higher, mainly the G unit, in transgenic leaves than in WT leaves after drought stress (Fig. 3d, e). Subsequently, from the 657 candidate genes, we selected three lignin biosynthetic genes (VvPRX N1, VvPRX4-like, and VvPRX47) as candidate target genes of VlbZIP30 and found that the expression of VvPRX N1 and VvPRX47 was induced by drought stress in WT plants, and the expression of VvPRX4-like and VvPRX47 was induced by drought stress in the transgenic plants (Fig. 5e). Interestingly, the expression of VvPRX N1 was significantly upregulated in the WT under drought stress, suggesting that VvPRX N1 may play a role in the response to drought stress. Under control conditions, we found that in transgenic lines, the expression level of VvPRX N1 was significantly higher than that in WT (Fig. 5e), suggesting that VlbZIP30 can positively regulate the expression of VvPRX N1, but the lignin content was not different between the transgenic lines and the WT (Fig. 3d). However, after drought stress, the lignin content in the transgenic lines was higher than that in the WT (Fig. 3d), while the expression level of VvPRX N1 in the transgenic lines was lower than that in the WT (Fig. 5e). These results indicate that the VvPRX N1 gene itself cannot play a role in promoting lignin deposition. It needs to cooperate with other genes to promote lignin deposition. In addition, VvPRX N1 may be involved in the feedback regulation mechanism in grapevine. When subjected to drought stress, the transgenic plants detected overfunctioning of the VvPRX N1 gene and started a feedback regulation mechanism to partially suppress the expression of this gene. Interestingly, we found that the expression pattern of VvNAC17 in transgenic plants was the same as that of VvPRX N1 under both control and drought conditions (Fig. 5d, e). This implies that VvNAC17 may have the same regulatory mechanism as VvPRX N1 in transgenic plants.

Subsequently, EMSA, LUC reporter assays, and ChIP-qPCR assays indicated that VlbZIP30 specifically binds to the G-box in the promoters of VvNAC17 and VvPRX N1 to regulate their expression (Figs. 6 and 7). A previous report indicated that AtPRX71 (an ortholog of VvPRX N1) participates in lignification by changing the composition...
of lignin. Furthermore, we found that overexpression of VvABF2/bZIP39 in A. thaliana enhanced drought tolerance and could transiently transactivate the expression of VvNAC17. Recently, it was reported that heterologous overexpression of VvNAC17 enhanced drought tolerance in transgenic A. thaliana. Taken together, these results suggest that VlbZIP30 binds directly to the promoter of VvNAC17 and regulates its expression to increase drought resistance; in addition, VlbZIP30 also promotes lignin biosynthesis by directly activating VvPRX N1 expression to improve the drought resistance of grapevine.

We found that VvPRX N1, which regulates lignin biosynthesis in leaves, is not induced by VlbZIP30 in stems and that the VvPRX4 and VvPRX72 genes, which regulate lignin biosynthesis in stems, are not upregulated by VlbZIP30 in the transgenic leaves, as determined by qRT-PCR (Fig. S4). This suggests that the lignin biosynthesis pathway is differentially regulated in different tissues.

In a previous study, we carried out RNA-seq on VlbZIP30-overexpressing transgenic Arabidopsis lines under both control conditions and mannitol treatment; however, no lignin biosynthetic genes were found among the DEGs, which is consistent with the phenotype in which no lignin deposition was observed in Arabidopsis (Fig. S2). This implies that the improvement of drought resistance in Arabidopsis overexpression lines is independent of lignin-related pathways. We also jointly analyzed the RNA-seq data from Arabidopsis overexpression lines and grapevine overexpression lines (Fig. 5c) and finally confirmed that overexpression of VlbZIP30 can increase plant drought resistance by regulating VvNAC17 (Figs. 6–8).

In conclusion, we discovered that VlbZIP30 overexpression promotes the deposition of lignin in grapevine stems by regulating the expression of VvPRX4 and VvPRX72. In addition, VlbZIP30 directly modulates the expression of downstream genes in leaves, including lignin biosynthetic (VvPRX N1) and drought-responsive (VvNAC17) genes, which together contribute to improved drought resistance (Fig. 8). This is the first report showing that a bZIP TF is directly involved in lignin biosynthesis and enhances drought resistance in plants. The results of this study may be of value for the development of molecular breeding strategies to produce drought-resistant fruit crops.
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