Research Article

Insulin Receptor Substrate 2 Expression and Involvement in Neuronal Insulin Resistance in Diabetic Neuropathy
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Insulin signaling depends on tyrosine phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrates (IRSs) to mediate downstream effects; however, elevated serine phosphorylation of IRS impairs insulin signaling. Here, we investigated IRS protein expression patterns in dorsal root ganglia (DRG) of mice and whether their signaling was affected by diabetes. Both IRS1 and IRS2 are expressed in DRG; however, IRS2 appears to be the prevalent isoform and is expressed by many DRG neuronal subtypes. Phosphorylation of Ser(731)IRS2 was significantly elevated in DRG neurons from type 1 and type 2 diabetic mice. Additionally, Akt activation and neurite outgrowth in response to insulin were significantly decreased in DRG cultures from diabetic ob/ob mice. These results suggest that DRG neurons express IRS proteins that are altered by diabetes similar to other peripheral tissues, and insulin signaling downstream of the insulin receptor may be impaired in sensory neurons and contribute to the pathogenesis of diabetic neuropathy.

1. Introduction

Diabetic neuropathy is the most common complication of diabetes, affecting 50% of patients [1]. Many patients suffer from decreased proprioception and peripheral insensitivity, causing foot injuries and falls. Alternatively, a subset of patients develop allodynia and chronic nerve pain [2]. DN is caused by a “dying back” of peripheral nerve fibers and is associated with axonal degeneration of the long axons innervating the extremities [2]. The pathology of DN is driven by multiple mechanisms, including decreased neurotrophic support [2–4], increased polyol flux [2, 3, 5], advanced glycation end products [2, 3, 5], mitochondrial dysfunction [6], and oxidative stress [2, 3, 5].

Although insulin signaling does not seem to regulate glucose uptake in neurons as it does in muscle and adipose tissue [7], insulin does appear to be crucial for proper neuron function both in vitro and in vivo. Current views now include insulin as a potent neurotrophic factor that supports neuronal growth, survival, plasticity, and maintenance of differentiated neurons [8–14]. Insulin signaling is propagated by a series of phosphorylation events that rely on a cytoplasmic docking protein known as insulin receptor substrate (IRS). Following insulin binding to the insulin receptor, intrinsic kinase activity phosphorylates tyrosine residues on both the insulin receptor and IRS. Tyrosine phosphorylation allows downstream mediators with src homology 2 (SH2) domains to bind IRS and localize to the plasma membrane. Two key SH2-containing mediators are PI3-kinase, which activates the Akt cascade, and Grb2/Sos, which activates the Ras cascade [15, 16]. These effectors drive increased transcription, translation, and translocation of proteins associated with insulin’s actions.

Four mammalian isoforms of IRS have been described thus far, with IRS1 and IRS2 being the most physiologically relevant [17, 18]. IRS1 appears to be the main isoform expressed in muscle and adipose tissue [17–19], whereas IRS2 seems to be more relevant in liver and brain [17, 18, 20]. Mice with systemic IRS1 knockouts demonstrate growth retardation, insulin resistance, and beta-cell hyperplasia without diabetes [18]. IRS2 knockout mice develop insulin resistance, decreased beta-cell proliferation, overt diabetes, and female sterility [17, 18].

Serine phosphorylation of IRS has emerged as a key regulatory step for insulin signaling in both physiological and pathological situations. A large body of work suggests that elevated IRS serine phosphorylation inhibits insulin signal transduction [15, 16, 19, 21–28]. Under normal conditions,
IRS is tyrosine phosphorylated upon insulin binding, but, following accumulation of activated downstream mediators, IRS is phosphorylated on serine residues, impairing insulin signaling through a negative feedback mechanism. In an insulin-resistant state, agents that promote IRS serine phosphorylation are upregulated, resulting in pathological elevation of IRS serine phosphorylation and impaired insulin signaling [15, 16, 21, 22, 27–30].

To address whether these same regulatory mechanisms affect sensory neurons, we examined IRS expression and signaling in vivo and in vitro in DRG neurons from streptozotocin- (STZ−) induced type 1 and ob/ob type 2 diabetic mice. Our results suggest that IRS proteins are expressed by sensory DRG neurons and undergo elevated serine phosphorylation in diabetic mice. Cultured DRG neurons from ob/ob animals had blunted responses to insulin as indicated by decreased Akt activation and neurite outgrowth. These findings support the hypothesis that insulin resistance due to increased serine phosphorylation of IRS2 could contribute to alterations in neuronal insulin support and promote peripheral nerve dysfunction.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals. All experiments were approved by The University of Kansas Medical Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Mice were subjected to a 12-hour light/dark cycle and had ad libitum access to food and water. For determination of IRS expression, 8-week-old male C57Bl/6 mice were purchased from Charles River (Wilmington, Mass, USA). DRG were harvested for reverse transcriptase-PCR, Western blot analysis, and immunohistochemistry. To determine the effects of diabetes on insulin signaling in peripheral neurons, male ob/ob mice and colony controls were ordered at 8 weeks of age from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, Maine). The ob/ob leptin knockout animals have been previously characterized as a model of insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes, and DN [31].

In addition, diabetes was induced in 8-week-old C57BL/6 male mice using a single intraperitoneal injection of streptozotocin (STZ), a pancreatic beta-cell toxin (Sigma, St. Louis, Mo), at 180 mg/kg body weight. The STZ was dissolved in 10 mM sodium citrate buffer (pH 4.5) and the nondiabetic mice were injected with 400 μL of the vehicle buffer. Mice were fasted for 3 hours before and after injection to improve STZ uptake in pancreatic β cells.

Body weights and blood glucose levels via tail clip were checked weekly to monitor diabetes progression. For both type 1 and type 2 models, hyperglycemia and diabetes was defined as a blood glucose level greater than 16 mM (∼288 mg/dL). All STZ-injected mice with blood glucose levels below 288 mg/dL were removed from the study.

2.2. Adult DRG Culture. Mice were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of cold avertin (2-2-2 Tribromomethanol) 20 μL g−1 and transcardially perfused with ice-cold HBSS without Ca++/Mg++. Adult mouse DRG were cultured according to a protocol published by Malin et al. [32]. All procedures were performed in a tissue hood with appropriate sterile technique. DRG were partially digested with 2 separate enzyme solutions, one containing papain (Worthington, Lakewood, NJ, USA) and another containing collagenase type II (Worthington) and disperse type II (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Neurons were then triturated with a fire-polished glass Pasteur pipette to dissociate neuronal cell bodies. Neurons were grown on Laminin/poly-D-lysine-coated coverslips (BD Biosciences, Bedford, MA) placed in 24-well culture plates (Sigma-Aldrich). The media consisted of insulin-free B27 supplement (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Calif., USA), penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen), and F12 culture medium (Invitrogen). Neurons were then allowed to adhere to coverslips for 2 hours. After this time, either 1 mL fresh insulin-free media or media containing 100 nM insulin (Sigma-Aldrich), depending on the experimental group and assay were added. For hyperglycemia experiments, DRG neuronal cultures from wild-type C57Bl/6 mice were grown in 25 mM glucose and control cultures were maintained at 10 mM glucose based [33, 34].

2.3. Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction. RT-PCR was performed to determine mRNA levels of different IRS isoforms. Total RNA was isolated from DRG tissue using TRI Reagent (Ambion, Foster City, CA) as indicated in the manufacturer’s protocol. The RNA concentration was determined using a Bio-Rad spectrophotometer, and the quality of RNA was tested with an electrophoretic separation technique (Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer tracer with the Eukaryote Total RNA Nano Assay). RNA was then reverse transcribed to cDNA using iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad). The thermal cycler conditions were as follows: 25°C for 5 minutes, 42°C for 30 minutes, and 85°C for 5 minutes. Real-time PCR amplification of IRS1, IRS2, IRS3, and IRS4 was performed using 2.0 μg of cDNA and SYBR green master mix (Bio-Rad). Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as a reference gene, and all reactions were run in triplicate. The thermal cycler conditions for PCR were 95°C and 60°C for 40 cycles. The primer sequences used for real-time PCR were as follows:

| IRS forward | IRS reverse |
|-------------|-------------|
| 5′-CTCTACACCCCGAGACCAACCAC-3′ | 5′-TGCGGTCCCTTTCAAGATGTTG-3′ |
| 5′-CTGGGCTCTTCCCGCCAAGATGTTG-3′ | 5′-GGGGCTCAGTGGCAGATGTTG-3′ |
| 5′-GGGGCTCAGTGGCAGATGTTG-3′ | 5′-CTGGGCTCTTCCCGCCAAGATGTTG-3′ |
| 5′-CTGGGCTCTTCCCGCCAAGATGTTG-3′ | 5′-GGGGCTCAGTGGCAGATGTTG-3′ |
| 5′-CTGGGCTCTTCCCGCCAAGATGTTG-3′ | 5′-GGGGCTCAGTGGCAGATGTTG-3′ |
| 5′-CTGGGCTCTTCCCGCCAAGATGTTG-3′ | 5′-GGGGCTCAGTGGCAGATGTTG-3′ |
| 5′-CTGGGCTCTTCCCGCCAAGATGTTG-3′ | 5′-GGGGCTCAGTGGCAGATGTTG-3′ |

GAPDH forward: 5′-AGTGCTGTTGAAGCCGAGAT-3′
GAPDH reverse: 5′-TGTAGACCATGTAGTTGAGG-3′

IRS isoform mRNA levels were normalized to GAPDH, and the ΔΔCt method was used for relative expression analysis.
2.4. Western Blots. DRG were harvested and frozen at −80°C until further use. Tissue was sonicated in Cell Extraction Buffer (CEB) (Invitrogen) containing 55.55 μL/mL protease inhibitor cocktail, 200 mM Na3VO4, and 200 mM NaF. Protein from primary DRG cultures was harvested after 3 days in insulin-free media by removing growth media, adding CEB (Invitrogen), and then scraping the coverslips. Three coverslips per treatment group were combined into a single sample. The samples were incubated on ice for 1 hour with light vortexing every 10 minutes and then centrifuged at 7000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C. Protein concentration of the supernatant was measured with a Bradford assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Samples were then boiled with Lane Marker Reducing Sample Buffer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) for 3 minutes. For normalization purposes, equal protein for each sample were loaded for each sample. The samples were separated on a 4–15% gradient tris-glycine gel (Bio-Rad) and then transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. After transfer, the membrane was stained with Ponceau S and cut so that 2 membranes that could be probed independently. Primary antibodies were used at the following dilutions and incubations: total IRS1 (Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA) 1:1000 overnight at 4°C, total IRS2 (Millipore) 1:500 overnight at 4°C, pSer(731)IRS2 (Abcam, Cambridge, MA) 1:1000 overnight at 4°C, insulin receptor β subunit (Santa Cruz) 1:500 overnight at 4°C, pSer(473)Akt (Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA) 1:500 overnight at 4°C, total Akt (Cell Signaling) 1:500 overnight at 4°C, and actin (Millipore) 1:100,000 at room temperature for 1 hour. Antimouse and antirabbit secondary antibodies conjugated to HRP (Santa Cruz) were diluted 1:10,000 and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. Band density was analyzed with ImageJ (NIH).

2.5. Immunohistochemistry. DRG were harvested and immediately frozen in Tissue Tek (Sakura Finetek, Torrance, CA). The tissue was sectioned at 10 μm with a cryostat and placed in serial order on glass slides. Slides were blocked at room temperature for 1 hour with preincubation solution (1.5% Normal Goat or Donkey Serum, 0.5% Porcine Gelatin, 0.5% Triton X-100, and 450 μL Superblock (Thermo Scientific)). Primary antibodies were used at the following dilutions and incubations: total IRS2 (Millipore) 1:400 overnight at 4°C, mouse monoclonal Peripherin (Millipore) 1:2000 overnight at 4°C, and mouse monoclonal Neurofilament 200 (Sigma) 1:2000 overnight at 4°C. Donkey antirabbit Alexa-488 and donkey antimouse Alexa-555 conjugated secondary antibodies (Invitrogen) were diluted 1:2000 and incubated for 1 hour at 4°C. Images were photographed using a Nikon Eclipse E800 microscope and analyzed with ImageJ (NIH).

2.6. Neurite Outgrowth. To assess potential changes in the functional neurotrophic effect of insulin on DRG neurons, neurite outgrowth was quantified in dissociated cultures of ob/ob mice and controls. After 5 days in culture with either insulin-free media or media containing 100 nM insulin the neurons were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 minutes. Immunohistochemistry was performed with SMI-312 (Covance, Emeryville, CA), a pan-axonal marker, to visualize neurites and counterstained with nuclear marker, Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen). Coverslips were mounted on slides and imaged. Neurite outgrowth area was quantified using Image J. A stereological grid was superimposed on images of the cultures, and the number of neurites crossing exactly through intersections of the grid was counted, as was the number of neuronal cell bodies producing neurites. Six coverslips per group were counted, and the neurite area per neuron was calculated according to the following equation [35]:

\[
\frac{\text{neurite intersections}}{\text{total grid intersections}} \times \frac{\text{total grid area}}{\text{neurons extending neurites}} \times \frac{1}{\text{total grid area}} = \text{neurite area (μm}^2\text{) per neuron.}
\]

2.7. Statistical Analysis. All data were expressed as means ± standard error of the mean. Data were analyzed using a Student’s t-test or 2-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA). ANOVAs were followed up with post hoc comparisons using Fisher’s least significance difference where appropriate. A P value < .05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. IRS2 Is the Predominant IRS Isoform in the DRG. RT-PCR was used to determine which mRNAs encoding IRS isoforms were expressed in lumbar DRG from young adult C57Bl/6 mice. Comparisons of mRNA levels for the 4 different IRS isoforms in the DRG of nondiabetic C57Bl/6 mice revealed that IRS2 mRNA is abundantly expressed within the lumbar DRG (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)). In fact, IRS2 mRNA was expressed nearly 27-fold higher relative to IRS1. In comparison, both IRS3 and IRS4 mRNAs were barely detectable in relation to IRS1 (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)). Western blots of DRG from nondiabetic C57Bl/6 mice showed that both IRS1 and IRS2 proteins were detectable in the DRG (Figures 1(c) and 1(d)). Together, these results suggest that insulin signals may be mediated through IRS substrates in the DRG and IRS2 is expressed at much higher levels similar to other neural tissues [20, 36–38].

Because IRS2 was expressed at higher levels than other IRS isoforms, we focused on IRS2 expression and signaling in DRG neurons. Characterization of IRS2 expression patterns in the lumbar DRG revealed widespread IRS2 immunoreactivity throughout the ganglia (Figures 2(a) and 2(d)). IRS2-immunoreactivity was predominantly observed in the cytoplasm of neurons and not in satellite cells or Schwann cells (Figures 2(a) and 2(d)). Accordingly, identification of sensory neuron populations using antibodies to neurofilament heavy chain (NF-200, myelinated neurons, Figure 2(b)) and peripherin (unmyelinated neurons, Figure 2(e)) revealed that IRS2 was expressed by a majority of DRG neurons, and these IRS2-positive neurons included both unmyelinated and myelinated neuronal populations (Figures 2(b), 2(c), 2(e), and 2(f)).

3.2. Diabetes Elevates IRS2 Serine Phosphorylation in Mouse DRG. To determine whether IRS2 in the DRG is prone
Figure 1: IRS isoform expression in murine lumbar DRG. IRS isoforms were examined using RT-PCR ((a) and (b)) and Western blot ((c) and (d)). (a) RT-PCR was performed on adult C57Bl/6 mouse lumbar DRG (n = 3 mice) and comparisons were made among IRS1, IRS2, IRS3, and IRS4. GAPDH was used as the housekeeping gene. ΔCt values for IRS2 were significantly lower than any other isoform. (b) Analysis of IRS mRNA levels that were normalized to IRS1 mRNA levels revealed that IRS2 mRNA expression was 27-fold higher than IRS1 in the DRG. * denotes P < .05 n = 3 mice. ((c) and (d)) Representative Western blots of IRS1 and IRS2 protein in mouse lumbar DRG. Equal amounts of protein (20 μg) were loaded for each lane and samples were separated on 4–15% tris-glycine gel. Both IRS1 (c) and IRS2 (d) proteins were readily detectable. All mice were 8-week-old nondiabetic C57Bl/6 males.

to diabetes-induced elevations in serine phosphorylation similar to other peripheral tissues, DRG neurons from type 2 diabetic and nondiabetic mice were cultured without insulin for 3 days and then harvested for Western blot analysis. Membranes were probed with antibodies selective for pSer(731) IRS2 and then stripped and probed for total IRS2 (Figure 3(a)). Quantification of pSer(731) IRS2 normalized to total IRS2 revealed that IRS2 serine phosphorylation was significantly increased in neurons from diabetic ob/ob mice compared to their nondiabetic controls (P < .05, Figure 3(a)). Moreover, similar elevations in pSer(731) IRS2 were observed in freshly harvested DRG neurons after 6 weeks of STZ-induced type 1 diabetes in C57Bl/6 mice (P < .05, Figure 3(b)). These results reveal that IRS2 phosphorylation of serine residues is elevated in multiple models of diabetes and, like other peripheral tissues, this serine phosphorylation could lead to suppressed insulin signaling in DRG neurons.

To investigate whether the elevated serine phosphorylation of IRS2 observed in DRG neurons harvested from diabetic ob/ob mice was possibly caused by the elevated glucose levels, cultures from nondiabetic control animals were grown in hyperglycemic conditions (25 mM glucose) for 3 days and pSer(731) IRS2 levels were quantified. Results from these experiments revealed that pSer(731) IRS2 was not elevated in hyperglycemic cultures as compared to control cultures grown in 10 mM glucose (P > .05 Figure 3(c)). Thus, short-term elevations in glucose levels do not appear to modify serine phosphorylation of IRS2, suggesting that the mechanisms responsible for this effect are not simply due to elevated glucose.

It has been proposed that elevated serine phosphorylation of IRS proteins can lead to increased protein degradation of IRS. Thus, degradation of IRS proteins may also contribute to insulin resistance [39]. To address this possibility, we quantified total IRS2 expression in neuronal cultures from ob/ob diabetic mice and normalized them to the housekeeping protein actin. This analysis revealed that total IRS2 expression appeared to be decreased in DRG cultures from diabetic ob/ob mice although this decrease was not statistically significant (P > .05, Figure 4(a)). However, this finding is consistent with the view that elevated IRS2 degradation may play a role in diminished insulin signaling.

One alternative possibility for decreased insulin signaling is a downregulation of the insulin receptor (IR) in DRG of diabetic ob/ob mice. To examine this possibility, we measured total IR protein levels in DRG cultures from diabetic ob/ob mice. There were no significant differences in IR levels between DRG cultures from diabetic ob/ob mice and cultures from nondiabetic mice (P > .05, Figure 4(b)).
3.3. Insulin-Stimulated Akt Activation Is Blunted in DRG Neurons from Diabetic Mice. Akt is a serine kinase that is one of the major downstream mediators activated in insulin signaling in both peripheral tissues and neurons [16, 24]. In neurons, neurotrophic factor activation of the Akt pathway has been shown to promote survival and growth of neurons [40, 41]. In its activated form, Akt is phosphorylated (pAkt) on serine residue 473, and pAkt is decreased in settings of insulin resistance [42]. Here, DRG from diabetic ob/ob and control mice were grown in insulin-free media for 3 days and then stimulated with 100 nM insulin for 15 minutes. Cultures were then harvested for Western blot analysis to determine Akt activation in response to insulin. No significant differences were observed in baseline levels of Akt activation between diabetic and nondiabetic mice. Insulin significantly elevated activated pSer(473)Akt in cultures from both nondiabetic and diabetic mice (P < .05, Figure 5). However, Akt activation in response to insulin was significantly lower in cultures from diabetic ob/ob mice as compared to nondiabetic controls (P < .05, Figure 5), suggesting that the insulin signaling pathway is not being activated appropriately in the DRG of diabetic ob/ob mice.

3.4. Insulin-Stimulated Neurite Outgrowth Is Diminished in DRG Neurons from Diabetic Mice. One common feature of neurotrophic factors is their ability to stimulate neurite outgrowth in culture. Insulin increases the percentage of neurons that produce neurites and promotes overall growth of neurites in culture [43–45]. Here, neurite outgrowth in response to insulin supplementation was used to determine whether suppressed insulin signaling correlated with alterations in neurite outgrowth. DRG cultures from diabetic ob/ob mice and nondiabetic controls were grown in insulin-free media or media supplemented with 100 nM insulin. After five days, the cultures were fixed and stained with SMI-312, a pan-axonal marker (Figures 6(a)–6(d)). Quantification of cultures harvested from nondiabetic and diabetic ob/ob mice revealed that neurite outgrowth was significantly elevated in nondiabetic cultures following insulin supplementation (P < .05, Figures 6(a) and 6(c)). In contrast, insulin supplementation did not affect neurite outgrowth.
**Figure 3**: pSer(731)IRS2 is elevated in DRG neurons from type 1 and type 2 diabetic mice. Protein was harvested from adult mouse DRG culture from diabetic *ob/ob* and nondiabetic mice (a), freshly isolated DRG from STZ-injected diabetic and nondiabetic C57Bl/6 mice (b), and from DRG neurons grown in hyperglycemic and control conditions (c). Western blots were performed using antibodies that recognized phosphorylated ser731 resides on IRS2, and levels of Ser(731)IRS2 were normalized to total IRS2. (a) Comparisons of pSer(731)IRS2 levels in nondiabetic and diabetic *ob/ob* mice revealed a significant increase in pSer(731)IRS2 levels in diabetic mice. * denotes $P < .05$ versus nondiabetics. $n = 6$ for nondiabetic mice and $n = 7$ for diabetic mice. (b) Diabetes was induced in 8-week-old C57Bl/6 male mice with STZ, and diabetes was allowed to progress for 6 weeks. Similar to *ob/ob* diabetic mice, pSer(731)IRS2 levels were significantly elevated in STZ-injected diabetic mice. * denotes $P < .05$ versus nondiabetics. $n = 5$ for nondiabetic mice and $n = 8$ for diabetic mice. (c) DRG neurons from nondiabetic animals were grown in 10 mM (control) and 25 mM (hyperglycemic) glucose concentrations. There was no significant change in IRS2 serine phosphorylation levels between groups. $n = 6$ for 10 mM glucose and $n = 7$ for 25 mM glucose.
in cultures from diabetic ob/ob mice. These differences suggest that cultures from diabetic ob/ob mice have impaired responses to insulin related to neurite outgrowth, a finding that is consistent with the hypothesis that insulin-signaling pathways in DRG neurons may be impaired by diabetes.

4. Discussion

The current study demonstrates that, similar to other neural tissues, IRS2 appears to be the predominant isoform of IRS in DRG neurons. Furthermore, insulin signaling in DRG neurons from diabetic mice undergoes similar modifications that have been proposed to underlie insulin resistance in adipose and muscle. These signaling alterations are consistent with blunted responses of sensory neurons to insulin stimulation, including diminished activation of downstream molecules and morphological changes. Collectively, these results provide an important step towards understanding how abnormalities in insulin signaling may impact sensory neurons and may also contribute to the development and/or progression of diabetic neuropathy.

Although insulin resistance is a major focus in type 2 diabetes associated with adipose and muscle tissue, our understanding of the effects of reduced insulin support to sensory neurons is surprisingly lacking. A number of studies have reported expression patterns of the insulin receptor and IGF-1 receptor in DRG, but little is known about the expression of IRS isoforms in DRG. Previous studies reported that the insulin receptor is expressed primarily by small nociceptive unmyelinated neurons [46–48]. Similar expression patterns were described for the IGF-1 receptor [49], suggesting that insulin and IGF-1 may preferentially modulate small nociceptive neurons in the DRG [47]. In the current study, IRS2 protein appears to be expressed by both small and large DRG neurons, suggesting that insulin and/or IGF-1 may support a broader scope of neuronal subtypes than previously thought. Additionally, several reports have documented IRS as key docking proteins in many signaling cascades other than insulin, including neurotrophins such as brain-derived neurotrophic factor [4, 50, 51].

Studies are currently underway to determine if changes in IRS serine phosphorylation also lead to altered IGF1 signaling. IRS proteins are a major component of both insulin and IGF1 pathways, so it is possible that the reductions in insulin signaling through IRS serine phosphorylation may also affect proper IGF1 function. It will be important to identify these relationships, as a better understanding of how IRS proteins integrate trophic signals may shed light on mechanisms associated with neurotrophin deficiency in diabetic neuropathy [52]. Finally, although the current study focused primarily on IRS2, IRS1 was clearly detectable in the DRG. It is plausible that DRG neurons can utilize multiple IRS proteins to signal, and compensation and cooperation between IRS proteins likely exist in the DRG and should be considered in future investigations.

Schwann cell dysfunction leading to demyelination, decreased neurotrophic support, and altered protection of neurons is a known factor in the pathogenesis of DN [53].

Figure 4: Total IRS2 and IR protein levels in mouse lumbar DRG. Protein was harvested from adult mouse DRG culture from diabetic ob/ob and nondiabetic mice. Western blots were performed using antibodies that recognized total IRS2 (a) or IR β subunit levels (b). In both cases protein levels were normalized to actin. (a) Total IRS2 levels were slightly decreased in diabetic ob/ob mice although this trend was not statistically significant (P > .05). n = 7 for nondiabetic mice and n = 7 for diabetic mice. (b) IR β subunit protein levels were not statistically different between diabetic and nondiabetic mice (P > .05). n = 5 for nondiabetic mice and n = 6 for diabetic mice.
Figure 5: Diabetes decreases insulin-stimulated Akt activation in DRG neurons. Primary cultures of lumbar DRG neurons from ob/ob diabetic mice and nondiabetic controls were stimulated with 100 nM insulin for 15 minutes and harvested for Western blot. Membranes were probed for activated Akt (pSer(473)Akt) and normalized to total Akt levels. Insulin significantly increased Akt activation in both nondiabetic and diabetic cultures (*P < .05). In contrast, insulin-stimulated Akt activation in cultures from diabetic ob/ob mice was significantly suppressed in comparison to nondiabetic controls (†P < .05). There were no significant differences in baseline pAkt levels between nondiabetic and diabetic mice.

Schwann cells express insulin receptors [54]; however, the docking protein profile has not been completely characterized. In this study, we did not observe IRS2 expression in Schwann cells, raising the possibility that insulin signaling in Schwann cells may be mediated through another IRS isoform or an additional docking protein such as, growth factor receptor-bound protein 2 (Grb2), Grb2-associated binding protein 1 (GAB1), or src homology 2 domain-containing transforming protein 1 (SHC1).

One interesting finding from this study was that IRS2 serine phosphorylation was increased in DRG from both type 1 and type 2 diabetic animals. This result was not entirely surprising as a growing body of literature has documented altered insulin responses in type 1 diabetic patients [55–58]. Most recently, Schauer et al. demonstrated that type 1 patients are insulin resistant compared to nondiabetic subjects and that the degree of insulin resistance correlated with cardiovascular disease risk [56]. This suggests that mechanisms associated with insulin resistance thought to be exclusive to type 2 diabetes may also be at work in type 1 diabetes.

In the current study, experiments were carried out in vitro to determine whether elevated glucose may underline the increases in serine phosphorylation of IRS2. At this point, our results do not support this view, as we saw no change in serine phosphorylation of IRS2 in neurons grown in high glucose. A failure to see insulin signaling changes in cultures exposed to abnormally high glucose levels could be a result of the short time frame, such that agents associated with hyperglycemia and increased IRS serine phosphorylation, including advanced glycation end products and reactive oxygen species, did not sufficiently alter the stress kinase activity level. Further research is required to determine the interplay of DRG IRS serine phosphorylation, hyperglycemia, and type 1 diabetes.

If the efficacy of insulin signaling does indeed play an important role in DRG function, it is reasonable to propose that factors common to both diabetes models could play an important role in modulating IRS2 signaling regardless of available insulin levels. In that vein, chronic inflammation, increased free fatty acids, and elevated oxidative stress associated with diabetes inhibit insulin signaling by increasing serine phosphorylation of IRS1 in muscle and adipose tissue [15, 16, 21, 22, 24, 27–30, 59–61]. These various cellular stressors drive IRS serine phosphorylation.
Figure 6: Diabetes decreases insulin-stimulated neurite outgrowth in DRG neurons. Primary cultures of lumbar DRG neurons from diabetic ob/ob and nondiabetic mice were grown in either insulin-free media or media containing 100 nM insulin for 5 days. Neurites were then stained with SMI 312, a pan-axonal marker. Neurite area was quantified using a stereological grid applied overtop photomicrographs of cultured wells. Photographs of representative wells are shown on the top panel: (a) nondiabetics without insulin ($n=5$), (b) diabetics without insulin ($n=6$), (c) nondiabetics with insulin ($n=6$), and (d) diabetics with insulin ($n=5$). (e) Quantification of neurite outgrowth in the different treatment groups. Insulin significantly increased neurite outgrowth in nondiabetic animals, whereas there was no effect of insulin on neurite outgrowth in neurons from diabetic mice. * and † denote $P < .05$. Scale bar = 100 μm.

Neurite area ($\mu m^2$)/neuron

| Treatments     | Neurite Area (μm²)/neuron |
|----------------|----------------------------|
| Nondiabetic    | 1000 ± 50                  |
| Nondiabetic +  | 1500 ± 50                  |
| Diabetic       | 900 ± 40                   |
| Diabetic + 100 | 1400 ± 50                  |

Without insulin

With insulin
by activating serine/threonine kinases. Evidence has targeted several kinases involved in this pathway, including inhibitor of kappa B kinase b (IKKb), c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), and glycogen synthase kinase-3β (GSK-3β) [16, 17, 27]. Further reports have shown that both IRS1 and IRS2 have a JNK-binding motif [16, 25] and that JNK and GSK-3β knockout mice display increased insulin sensitivity [60, 62]. In addition, rapamycin, an mTOR inhibitor, has been shown to improve insulin sensitivity in an in vivo human study and a neuronal cell line [24, 63]. Moreover, antioxidant or anti-inflammatory approaches can decrease stress kinase activity, leading to improved insulin action in muscle [42, 64]. These studies provide important links between inflammation, oxidative stress and insulin signaling, and it will be important to determine if these relationships exist and are relevant to sensory nerve dysfunction.

Although it is likely that the inhibitory effect of IRS serine phosphorylation may be more dependent on the number of residues involved than a specific phosphorylation site [27, 65, 66], the question of how IRS serine phosphorylation may be affecting insulin signaling is not clear. Several mechanisms have been proposed, including dissociation from either the insulin receptor or plasma membrane, increased IRS proteosome degradation, interference with binding of downstream mediators, and finally, decreased tyrosine phosphorylation of the IRS protein [27]. Our finding that IRS2 appears to be slightly decreased in neuronal cultures from diabetic ob/ob mice is consistent with the idea that elevated serine phosphorylation can lead to IRS degradation, thus limiting the ability of insulin to stimulate downstream effectors.

In addition to effects of insulin in the peripheral nervous system, insulin signaling in the central nervous system (CNS) is gaining considerable attention. Insulin is known to promote learning and memory [8], metabolic homeostasis [14], and have effects on aging [67]. Consequently, CNS insulin resistance has been shown to play a critical role in the development of Alzheimer’s disease [68], Parkinson’s disease [69], and metabolic syndrome [70]. It is now becoming evident that insulin resistance is not restricted to muscle and adipose tissue but that it also occurs in nervous tissue and can potentially be detrimental to proper neuron function.

5. Conclusion

The current study provides evidence that IRS proteins are expressed in the DRG and could play an important role in the ability of insulin to support peripheral neurons. Elevated serine phosphorylation of IRS proteins is a major contributing mechanism underlying insulin resistance in muscle and adipose tissue. Our results support a similar mechanism of insulin-signaling disruption within DRG neurons, and this modulatory step should be considered as an additional component contributing to diabetic neuropathy. Future studies should address mechanisms that can promote insulin sensitivity in sensory neurons, as these may be an avenue to develop therapeutic interventions that could improve sensory nerve function in both type 1 and type 2 diabetic patients.
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