Chemometric Optimization of the Methodology for Determination of Molybdenum in Soils and Plants by Square Wave Adsorptive Stripping Voltammetry
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The method is based on the adsorptive accumulation of complex molybdenum(VI) with 8-hydroxyquinoline, using voltammetric square wave cathodic stripping voltammetry. The composition and concentration of the supporting electrolyte, frequency (Hz), amplitude (mV) and deposition time (s), were optimized by factorial design in relation to current reduction of molybdenum(VI). The optimum methodology provided the following values for the process variables: scan increase (0.5 mV), pulse amplitude (127 mV), frequency (96 Hz), adsorption time (80 s) and drop size (0.60 mm²), the concentration of KNO₃ (2.0 mol L⁻¹), acetate buffer (0.5 mol L⁻¹) and 8-hydroxyquinoline (0.01 mol L⁻¹). The results obtained after optimization showed a linear response in the range from 1.0 to 6.0 mg L⁻¹ and limits of detection and quantification, respectively equal to 0.02 and 0.08 mg L⁻¹. The molybdenum contained in the samples were determined using the optimized methodology, with values consistent with the values determined by atomic emission spectrometry with inductively coupled plasma (ICP-AES).
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Introduction

Molybdenum is an essential trace element to living things and can be found practically in every biosphere. In the biological nitrogen fixation process, molybdenum is an essential micronutrient, whose functions in plants are related to the electron transfer system for nitrate reduction.

The lack of this element in the soil, in which the adequate concentration should be between 0.01 and 0.70 mg kg⁻¹, entails less synthesis of the nitrogenase enzyme in the plants, reducing the biological fixation of the nitrogen,¹ consequently, making the soil less productive.

The concentration levels found in several matrices are very low, which makes monitoring and detection difficult. Thus, it is necessary to establish appropriate high sensitivity and selectivity methodologies for the determination of this element in complex matrices such as soils and plants.²,³

Atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) has been one of the most suitable analytical methods in the literature for the determination of molybdenum.⁴,⁵ This technique is recommended for high sensitivity, low detection limit and high analytical quality, but a disadvantage is the high cost. Due to this issue, it is important to develop and improve techniques and methods of analysis that allow the direct quantification of molybdenum(VI) in several types of matrices, such as square wave voltammetry.⁶,⁷

Among the voltammetric methods, voltammetric redissolution techniques can be used, which can be carried out in three ways: anodic, cathodic and adsorptive, being that the adsorptive is the one that best adapts to the determinations for elements that are presented in low levels, in different matrices, being already used for determinations of vitamin K1 in foods,⁸,⁹ fungicides such as fenaxanil¹⁰ and Mo⁶¹ in water samples.¹¹ Adsorptive cathodic stripping voltammetry (AdCSV)¹²,¹³ is a method that detects an electrochemically active complex formed by the reaction of the metal and a binder added to the sample. It consists of the accumulation of the analyte in the form of a suitable complex that is adsorbed on the surface of the electrode.
and subsequent, redissolution of the adsorptive material by cathodic scan of the potential.

The use of chemometric tools, such as factorial design\textsuperscript{16} and response surface methodology,\textsuperscript{17,18} made it possible to develop an optimized analytical methodology for the determination of Mo\textsuperscript{VI} in soils and plants, using the square wave voltammetric technique with adsorptive cathodic stripping.

The optimization of voltammetric parameters\textsuperscript{19,20} for the determination of trace metals in soils and plants by means of redissolution techniques was planned in this work, considering factors such as equipment cost, sensitivity, selectivity and low detection limit.

The method proposed in this work is based on the adsorptive accumulation of molybdenum in the form of the complex with 8-hydroxyquinoline\textsuperscript{21,22} and subsequent electrochemical reduction of the complexed Mo\textsuperscript{VI} in acidic medium. This proposed procedure is very sensitive for the determination in ultra trace levels and free of interferents, therefore presenting advantages in relation to other techniques being suitable for determinations in routine conditions.

**Experimental**

**Voltammetric equipment**

The experiments were conducted on a Metrohm\textsuperscript{®} voltammetric analyzer, model 797 VA Computrace, using square wave voltammetry, operating with three electrode systems: a hanging mercury dropping electrode (HMDE) as the working electrode; an Ag/AgCl electrode (saturated KCl) as the reference electrode and a platinum electrode as the auxiliary electrode. Instrumental control and data acquisition were performed using VA Computrace 1.2 software.

**Reagents and solutions**

All the chemical reagents used were of analytical grade and did not undergo previous purification steps. Ultrapure deionized water was used for the preparation of all solutions.

The standard stock solution of 1.0 g L\textsuperscript{−1} Mo\textsuperscript{VI} was prepared from Na\textsubscript{2}MoO\textsubscript{4} ∙2H\textsubscript{2}O in 0.1 mol L\textsuperscript{−1} HNO\textsubscript{3}. Diluted standards were prepared from the stock solution.

The stock solution of 0.1 mol L\textsuperscript{−1} 8-hydroxyquinoline (Vetec) was prepared in 0.5 mol L\textsuperscript{−1} HCl (Merck). By dilution, a 0.01 mol L\textsuperscript{−1} solution of 8-hydroxyquinoline was obtained, while the 3.0 mol L\textsuperscript{−1} solution of KNO\textsubscript{3} (Vetec) was prepared in deionized water. The 2.0 mol L\textsuperscript{−1} acetate buffer solution was prepared with CH\textsubscript{3}COOH (Merck) and CH\textsubscript{3}COONa (Vetec) and had the pH set at 4.65.

**Preparation of the sample**

To validate the developed voltammetric methodology, soil and plant material samples were obtained from the sample bank of Soils Department of the Federal University of Viçosa (MG-Brazil). Mass of 0.25 g of dry soil samples were digested with total attack of four acids (HNO\textsubscript{3}, HClO\textsubscript{4}, H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} and HF) and of vegetal material with HNO\textsubscript{3} and H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} in a digester block. All procedures were performed in triplicate.

The digested was diluted to 50 mL in volumetric flask and 100 μL aliquots were used in the Mo\textsuperscript{VI} determination procedure.

**Optimization procedures**

**Initial tests of all mixing and process variables**

In order to identify the really significant mixtures (acetate buffer, KNO\textsubscript{3}, 8-hydroxyquinoline) and process variables (scan increment, voltage amplitude, frequency, adsorption time and mercury drop size) univariate preliminary tests were performed.

It was added 10 mL of deionized water in the voltammetric cell, 1.3 mL of acetate buffer solution, 2.0 mL of KNO\textsubscript{3} and 70 μL of the 8-hydroxyquinoline. The solution was purged with ultrapure N\textsubscript{2} for 600 s. The concentration of Mo\textsuperscript{VI} was maintained at 2.95 μg L\textsuperscript{−1}, by the addition of 200 μL of Mo\textsuperscript{VI} at 200 μg L\textsuperscript{−1}.

After 10 s of equilibrium a voltammetric scan was made using the square wave voltammetric technique with adsorptive cathodic stripping, in the potential range of −0.25 to −0.75 V. The current peak of the Mo\textsuperscript{VI} complex with 8-hydroxyquinoline occurs at approximately −0.54 V. The effects of the variables were obtained using the Statistica 7.0 software.\textsuperscript{23}

**Factorial design for the mixing variables (supporting electrolyte and complexant)**

A factorial design\textsuperscript{2} was performed using the mixing variables (Table 1), keeping fixed the values of the process variables obtained from univariate preliminary tests.

**Factorial design for process variables (voltammetric parameters)**

A factorial design with five process variables was performed (Table 2), keeping fixed the values of the mixture variables obtained in the factorial\textsuperscript{2}.

The variables chosen as a function of the t-test and the respective levels are shown in Table 3 in a central composite design (CCD)\textsuperscript{2}.

The study of the variables of the technique provided by VA Computrace 1.2 software, such as amplitude (mV),...
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Table 1. Mixing variables and levels chosen for factorial design 2^3

| Symbol | Variable            | Level |
|--------|---------------------|-------|
| CK     | KNO₃ / (mol L⁻¹)   | 0.5   |
|        |                     | 3.0   |
| ST     | acetate buffer / (mol L⁻¹) | 0.05 |
|        |                     | 1.0   |
| CO     | 8-hydroxyquinoline / (mol L⁻¹) | 0.005 |
|        |                     | 0.050 |

Table 2. Process variables and levels chosen for factorial design 2^5

| Symbol | Variable            | Level |
|--------|---------------------|-------|
| SI     | scan increment / mV | 0.5   |
|        |                     | 5     |
| AM     | amplitude / mV      | 75    |
|        |                     | 150   |
| FR     | frequency / Hz      | 50    |
|        |                     | 125   |
| AT     | adsorption time / s | 30    |
|        |                     | 180   |
| DS     | drop size / mm²     | 0.2   |
|        |                     | 0.6   |

Table 3. Process variables and levels chosen for CCD design

| Variable | Level |
|----------|-------|
| AM / mV  | −1.682 |
|          | −1    |
|          | 0     |
|          | +1    |
|          | +1.682 |
| FR / Hz  | 76    |
|          | 90    |
|          | 110   |
|          | 130   |
|          | 144   |
| AT / s   | 63    |
|          | 70    |
|          | 80    |
|          | 90    |
|          | 97    |

AM: amplitude; FR: frequency; AT: adsorption time.

Analytical procedure

In all subsequent analyzes the solutions in the voltammetric cell were prepared by adding the same volumes of the solutions of the initial design with the optimized concentrations and with the optimized process variables. To construct an analytical curve to verify the linearity of the responses, MoVI⁺ was maintained in concentrations between 1.0 and 6.0 μg L⁻¹.

The voltammetric analyzer was programmed to perform three scans in each experiment recording the average of the maximum peak currents in the molybdenum determination potential. Each assay was performed in triplicate.

Sampling analysis

With the optimized variables, MoVI⁺ determinations were made in each sample, using 100 μL of the sample solution and adding two aliquots of 100 μL of the standard solution of MoVI⁺ in the concentration of 100 μg L⁻¹ containing the pre-established volumes of the other solutions. To validate the methodology, MoVI⁺ determinations were done in triplicate by atomic emission spectrometry with inductively coupled plasma (ICP-AES).

Results and Discussion

Optimization

MoVI⁺ was maintained at the concentration of 2.95 μg L⁻¹ by the addition of 200 μL of MoVI⁺ at the concentration of 200 μg L⁻¹ in the voltammetric cell, according to initial design conditions.

Sequentially, to execute the design of the mixture variables (Table 1), according to the univariate preliminary tests, the droplet size (DS) was set at its mean value 0.4 mm²; the frequency (FR) at 50 Hz, the amplitude (AM) at 100 mV, the scan increment (SI) at 3 s and the adsorption time (AT) at 80 s.

Using KNO₃, acetate buffer, and 8-hydroxyquinoline complexing as mixing variables and levels chosen with Table 1, and according to the results presented in Table 4, the following optimized values were obtained for the variables: KNO₃ (CK) 2.0 mol L⁻¹, acetate buffer (ST) at 0.5 mol L⁻¹ (pH 4.65) and 8-hydroxyquinoline (CO) 0.01 mol L⁻¹.

It proceeded in sequence with the design experiments with the process variables (Table 2). According to the results presented in Table 5, all the main effects were significant showing that the chosen variables interfered positively in the voltammetric response at the levels studied.

Figure 1 shows the graphical representation of the significant second order interaction effects highlighted in Table 5. The interaction (SI × AM) indicates that by...
varying the levels of the voltage increase variable (SI) to the highest value within the negative level of the amplitude variable (AM), the mean response is minimal and that the same variation of scan increment variable (SI) levels within the positive amplitude variable (AM) level causes a significant increase in response producing a synergistic interaction effect SI × AM. This increase in response probably occurs due to the increase in the value of the applied pulse; consequently contributes to the abrupt electrode polarization and an effective exchange of electrons in the MoVI complex with 8-hydroxyquinoline. While the interaction (SI × FR) indicates that by varying the levels of the voltage increase variables (SI) to the highest value within the negative level of the frequency variable (FR) a positive change in the current response occurs, greater than the variation within the positive level of the frequency variable (FR), producing an antagonistic effect. The negative interaction SI × FR shows that increasing the frequency decreases the pulse time causing greater contribution of capacitive current.

The same rationale can be applied for the AM × FR, AM × AT, AM × DS and FR × AT interactions, always observing synergistic effects. In an adsorption process the adsorption time plays an important role due to adsorption kinetics.

Amplitude (AM) was the statistically most important variable, both in the main effect, where it presented the highest value, and in the second order interactions (Table 5). The droplet size variable (DS) was set at its maximum value (0.6 mm²) and the scan increment variable (SI) at its lowest level (0.5 mV).

In this way a central composite design (CCD) 2³ was done, with the intention of investigating the more detailed influence of the amplitude (AM), frequency (FR) and adsorption time (AT) variables in the study method (Table 3). The results obtained from analysis of variance (ANOVA), showed that regression was significant, while the non-adjustment was not, which guarantees a second order model well adjusted for the proposed design.

Table 5. Principal effects and second order interactions and significant errors by the t-test for the process variables studied in the factorial design 2³

| Effects estimate ± standard errora | Relationship t(16) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| **Main effects**                 |                     |
| SI                              | 161.39 ± 33.89b     | 4.76               |
| AM                              | 358.46 ± 33.89b     | 10.57              |
| FR                              | 134.80 ± 33.89b     | 3.98               |
| AT                              | 185.25 ± 33.89b     | 5.47               |
| DS                              | 123.23 ± 33.89b     | 3.64               |
| **2nd order interaction**       |                     |
| SI × AM                         | 285.34 ± 33.89b     | 8.42               |
| SI × FR                         | –106.31 ± 33.89b    | –3.17              |
| AM × FR                         | 135.69 ± 33.89b     | 4.00               |
| AM × AT                         | 82.42 ± 33.89b      | 2.43               |
| AM × DS                         | 76.56 ± 33.89b      | 2.26               |
| FR × AT                         | 75.88 ± 33.89b      | 2.24               |

aThe estimation of the standard errors was done with the high orders effects; b more significant interactions. SI: scan increment; AM: amplitude; FR: frequency; AT: adsorption time; DS: droplet size.

The same rationale can be applied for the AM × FR, AM × AT, AM × DS and FR × AT interactions, always observing synergistic effects. In an adsorption process the adsorption time plays an important role due to adsorption kinetics.

Amplitude (AM) was the statistically most important variable, both in the main effect, where it presented the highest value, and in the second order interactions (Table 5).

The droplet size variable (DS) was set at its maximum value (0.6 mm²) and the scan increment variable (SI) at its lowest level (0.5 mV).

In this way a central composite design (CCD) 2³ was done, with the intention of investigating the more detailed influence of the amplitude (AM), frequency (FR) and adsorption time (AT) variables in the study method (Table 3). The results obtained from analysis of variance (ANOVA), showed that regression was significant, while the non-adjustment was not, which guarantees a second order model well adjusted for the proposed design.

Figure 1. Graphs of second-order interaction effects of process variables.
A graphical indication of the quality of the model can be visualized in Figures 2a and 2b.

The quadratic model was evaluated by obtaining the adjusted equation for the significant coefficients and their respective errors according to the equation 1 presented.

\[
Z = -8180.43 + 51.07(AM) + 38.12(FR) - 0.16(AM)^2 - 0.09(FR)^2 + 99.48(AT) - 0.39(AT)^2 - 0.13(AM \times TA) - 0.26(FR \times AT) \quad (1)
\]

According to the model obtained for square-wave voltammetry, the amplitude and frequency variables stand out as the two terms of greatest influence on the voltammetric response, being used in the response surface optimization, maintaining the adsorption time variables (AT) in the mean value 80 s.

The optimization results (Figure 3) by response surface showed that the variables used in the design were appropriated by increasing the voltammetric response when they are used at the positive level, within the range under study. For the analyzed models, when adjusted at the highest level of the coded values on the response surface, the experimental current was practically the same predicted, showing that the model was well adjusted and that the variables did not interact at this level of study. The model constructed for the voltammetric determination of Mo\textsuperscript{VI} is represented by the equation 2.

\[
i_p = -2718.03 + 40.67AM - 0.16(AM)^2 + 17.32FR - 0.09(FR)^2 \quad (2)
\]

Table 6 presents the optimized variables, in addition to the initial and final potentials in the working conditions.

The optimized methodology for the determination of molybdenum using square wave voltammetry with

![Figure 2.](image1.png) (a) Graphs of current values observed vs. estimated current values; (b) residual graph.

![Figure 3.](image2.png) Response surface with variable adsorption time (AT) fixed at level (0), 80 s. The legend shows the current values in nA, obtained in the test. Concentration of Mo\textsuperscript{VI} in the voltammetric cell equal to 2.95 μg L\textsuperscript{-1}.

| Variable                        | Optimized value | Estimated current\textsuperscript{a} |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| KNO\textsubscript{3} / (mol L\textsuperscript{-1}) | 2.0             | 523.89 nA                             |
| Acetate buffer / (mol L\textsuperscript{-1})    | 0.5             |                                      |
| 8-Hydroxyquinoline / (mol L\textsuperscript{-1}) | 0.01            |                                      |
| Initial potential / V           | -0.25           |                                      |
| Final potential / V             | -0.75           | observed current                      |
| Scan increment / mV             | 0.5             | 525.72 nA                             |
| Amplitude / mV                  | 127             |                                      |
| Frequency / Hz                  | 96              |                                      |
| Scan speed / (mV s\textsuperscript{-1})      | 48.0            |                                      |
| Drop size / mm\textsuperscript{2}        | 0.60            |                                      |
| Adsorption time / s             | 80              |                                      |

\textsuperscript{a}Relative error: +0.34%.
adsorptive cathodic stripping showed a linear range of 1.0 to 6.0 μg L⁻¹ (Figure 4).

Detection (0.02 μg L⁻¹) and quantitation (0.08 μg L⁻¹) limits were obtained using the standard deviation of the average of ten blank voltammograms measured currents at peak potential (–0.54 V).

The limit of detection was found by multiplying the standard deviation by three, dividing it by the angular coefficient of the analytical curve. To calculate the limit of quantification, the standard deviation was multiplied by ten and also divided by the angular coefficient of the analytical curve.

Interfering

Voltammetric scans were performed to better observe the interaction of the ions and their interference in the determination of Mo VI under optimized conditions and described for the method. In order to verify the interferences of the Fe³⁺, Ca²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cd²⁺, Pb²⁺, Cu²⁺, Al³⁺, Ni²⁺, Cr₂O₇²⁻, PO₄³⁻, SO₄²⁻, these species were added in a 500-fold higher concentration of Mo VI equal to 5.0 μg L⁻¹ in the voltammetric cell.

High concentration ratios of these ions against Mo VI do not affect the determination of molybdenum in the scanning range, and it is concluded that the method is resistant to interfering agents.

In this way, it was decided to apply and use the method in molybdenum determinations in soils and plants without the concern of micronutrient and macronutrient interferences and without the need of preconcentration or separation procedure.

Analytical application

The method developed was applied for the analysis of the samples, without any pretreatment or preconcentration, besides the opening by acid digestion, to determine Mo VI in plants by addition of standard, exemplified in Figure 5.

Figure 5. Standard addition analysis of the coffee leaf sample.
The methodology optimized for the determination of Mo\textsuperscript{VI} by square-wave voltammetry with adsorptive cathodic stripping in soils and plants, using the process and mixing variables optimized by the realized schedules, was efficient, fast and simple, presenting high sensitivity, with low limits of detection and quantification, allowing analysis at trace levels.

The comparison of the analytical results of the method described above with the results of the determination of molybdenum by atomic emission spectrometry with induced plasma source (ICP-AES) used as control method (Table 7), and the results reported in the literature,\textsuperscript{24-26} shows good agreement and indicates that the proposed method may be adequate for the determination of molybdenum in soil and plant samples.

**Table 7. Results of the determinations made by ICP-AES and the proposed voltammetric method for Mo\textsuperscript{VI} in dry samples**

| Sample         | Mo\textsuperscript{VI} / (mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}) |
|----------------|------------------------------------------------------|
|                | Present method | ICP-AES     |
| Banana leaf    | 3.067 ± 0.083  | 3.091 ± 0.077 |
| Coffee leaf    | 4.040 ± 0.094  | 4.051 ± 0.101 |
| Chili leaf     | 2.021 ± 0.214  | 2.259 ± 0.205 |
| Sugar cane leaf| ND             | ND          |
| Soil 1         | 0.107 ± 0.008  | 0.120 ± 0.011 |
| Soil 2         | 0.358 ± 0.080  | 0.414 ± 0.083 |
| Soil 3         | ND             | ND          |
| Soil 4         | ND             | ND          |

ICP-AES: atomic emission spectrometry with inductively coupled plasma; ND: not detectable.

**Conclusions**

A methodology for the determination of molybdenum in samples of agronomic interest was developed and optimized.

The technique used was square wave adsorptive voltammetry with cathodic stripping. For this purpose, molybdenum(VI) was adsorbed as a complex with 8-hydroxyquinoline.

The mixture variables, electrolyte concentration, buffer concentration, and complexing agent concentration were studied by a factorial design\textsuperscript{2}. While the process variables, scan increment, pulse amplitude, frequency, adsorption time and drop size were studied by design\textsuperscript{2}. From the results of the factorial design a central composite design was done for the variables pulse amplitude, frequency and adsorption time.

The optimum methodology provided the following values for the process variables: scan increased (0.5 mV), pulse amplitude (127 mV), frequency (96 Hz), adsorption time (80 s) and drop size (0.60 mm\textsuperscript{2}), the concentration of KNO\textsubscript{3} (2.0 mol L\textsuperscript{-1}), acetate buffer (0.5 mol L\textsuperscript{-1}) and 8-hydroxyquinoline (0.01 mol L\textsuperscript{-1}).

The molybdenum contents contained in the banana, chili, sugar cane loafs and soil samples were determined using the optimized methodology, with values consistent with the values determined by ICP-AES.
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