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Abstract

Ligand with conjugated \(\pi\) systems presents high planar and delocalized electronic density, which allows it to capture the radiations with an energy interval of wavelengths between 400 and 600 nm. The ligands can be linked to inorganic materials favoring the interchange in the system. Lewis acids improve the electronic distribution between donor and acceptor favoring the optical and electronic properties, yielding superior efficiencies. In this chapter, the evolution of the ligands such as Porphyrins, Metal-free organic dyes, and Ruthenium complexes used, and the construction of solar cells is described. In this context, three different small-molecule acceptors-donors are reported; \(\text{o-PDT}\), \(\text{m-PDT}\) and \(\text{p-PDT}\), based on phenyldiammine (PD) as spacer, and Thiazole (T) were designed and synthesized. There were estimated electronic, optical and photovoltaic parameters for these molecules. The interaction energies of functional groups for PD and T molecules, with DFT/B3LYP method, gas phase with 6-31g (d, 2p) basis sets, were represented and computed. The best photovoltaic parameters were described for \(\text{p-PDT}\) with PCE 26.18%, \(\text{Jsc} = 14.79\ \text{mAcm}^2\) and \(\Delta E = 2.66\ \text{eV}\). The metal ion influences the electronic properties and decreases the \(\Delta E\) GAP. The incorporation of the transition metals into hyperconjugated systems provides rigidity and effects of electronic back donation.
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1. Introduction

The current environmental problems and the energy crisis have led to creating new technologies. The renewable energies such as: biofuels, biomass, wind, geothermal, hydraulic, solar, tidal, among others become the main source of the energy generation. The use of solar cells represents an alternative among renewable energies. The development of new materials goes from inorganic structures until polymers, small molecules as organic photovoltaic (OPV) and photosensitized organic materials [1].

In this context, the discovery of ultrafast charge transfer between the semiconductor polymer and inorganic semiconductors have allowed that the OPV have
passed in a decade of values close to 1% of efficiency until exceeding 10% [2]. This rapid evolution is motivated by its high potential for generating flexible, lightweight and low cost panels changing the classic concept of panels photovoltaic. In the case of the traditional polymers, the electrons are highly localized and require great energy to be excited (>5 eV) converting them into electrical insulators. In contrast, in conjugated polymer and structures the electrons from Z orbitals form π-type bonds that are associated with lower energies, corresponding to the range of ultraviolet and visible radiation. In molecular solids the transition π → π* that occurs between the occupied molecular orbital of higher energy (HOMO) and the lower energy of orbital (LUMO) determine the equivalent to the forbidden band energy of inorganic semiconductors [3, 4]. On the other hand, they had developed sensitized cell from organic dyes. These are also called Grätzel cells. Photoelectrons that introduce into the conduction band of TiO2 that works as a semiconductor, under light illumination [5].

Dye-sensitized cell (DSSC) have been developed as functional biomimetic models of biological process. In the nature exists dyes with electronic properties that allows to purpose design news in solar panel. Chlorophyll, constituted an example in where there is light absorption and charge-carrier transport. The organic molecule is coupled to semiconductor enhancing the Gap band. This electronic transfer promote absorption to the visible region, which increase its applications [6].

The researchers in a world context have designed, developed and synthetized ruthenium complexes, porphyrins, metal-free organic dyes and organic molecules in this field.

1.1 Ruthenium complexes

The efficiency of DSSC depends on different requirements listed below [7, 8]:

i. Broad and strong absorption, preferably extending from energies greater than 900 nm.

ii. The dye needs to be photochemically, thermally, and electrochemically robust within the DSSC in order to withstand the harsh conditions of a practical module.

iii. Firm, irreversible adsorption to the semiconductor’s surface (TiO2) and strong electronic coupling between its excited state and the semiconductor conduction band.

iv. Reduction potential is sufficiently higher than the semiconductor conduction band Edge in order to enable charge injection.

v. Chemical stability in the ground and the excited states for rapid dye regeneration and charge-injection processes.

Different types of dyes have been tested in the DSSC setting, including: transition-metal complexes, organic dyes, porphyrins and phthalocyanines [9–12]; however, in terms of photovoltaic performance and long-term stability, Ru(II) complexes comprise the most successful family of DSSCs sensitizers, shown in Table 1. A study on these champion dyes reveals that majority are derivate of N3. The N3 dye represents the first high-performance Ru(II) sensitizer reported in 1993 by Grätzel and co-workers [13], affording power conversion efficiencies of 10.3%. The chemical modification of N3 and N719 is made possible because only two
anchoring groups are necessary for electron injection [14, 15] thus various functional groups can be installed to block the electrolyte from interacting with the surface or absorb more light. Then, the performance of these successful sensitizers encompasses ligands that combine extended \( \pi \)-conjugated systems, aspiring to enhance the optical absorptivity of the semiconductor’s surface, along with long hydrophobic alkyl chains, aiming an increase of tolerance against water attack (Table 1). Equally importantly N719, which essentially differ only in the protonation state of tetra-protonated parent dye N3, afford a nearly quantitative conversion of incident photons into electric current over a large spectral range. The improved efficiency of N719, was mainly attributed to the increased cell voltage. Since 1993, chemical modifications of these early Ru(II) complexes have led to researchers achieving power conversion efficiencies up to 11.7% (C106 dye) [16–24], where one of the DCBP ligands has been replaced with an extended conjugation using thiophenes and long alkyl chains, lastly, these prevents interfacial recombination [25].

1.2 Porphyrins

The so-called solar cells sensitized by a dye are a type of hybrid devices that have reached a higher degree of development so far. Within his field, porphyrins represent a very interesting alternative because there is efficient model harnessing sunlight. These systems can be synthetized in bulk heterojunction (BHJ) organic solar cells. The interaction of macrocycles with metal ions such as: Fe\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{3+}\), Co\(^{2+}\), Co\(^{3+}\), Ni\(^{2+}\), Zn\(^{2+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), Ru\(^{2+}\), Pd\(^{2+}\) and Pt\(^{2+}\) and hydrogen, alkyl, cycloalkyl, cyclohexyl, cycloheptyl, cyclooctyl, haloalkyl, perhaloalkyl, ether chains have permitted the stabilizations of promising new collections. In dye-sensitized solar porphyrin-based push-pull.

photosensitizers have demonstrated their potential as large and rigid planar conjugated structures, which enhance p-electron delocalization and promote intermolecular \( \pi \)-\( \pi \) interaction, as well charge transport in devices. A problem that they can presents is the effect by lack of light-harvesting beyond 850 nm, thus limiting their cell performance. In the papers, it has been reported that 50% of the total solar phonon flux is located in the red and near-infrared spectra. Zhu and colleagues had reported in 2016 that is quite urgent to develop efficient NIR absorbing molecules for high performance organic solar cells. In the next table, the authors show different publications about the development of new bioinspired porphyrin materials (Table 2).

1.3 Metal-free organic dyes

The DSSC free organic dyes are sensitized molecules whose perspective are aimed at staking, on top of one other in order to obtain panchromatic absorption. Table 3 shows azo, cyano, thiophene, and carbonyl with highly conjugated. A PCE value at 14.7 has been reported by Kakiag et al. [49]. The PCE increase with \( V_{oc} \) and \( J_{sc} \) and the best properties were associated with carboxylic group and highly polarizability in the presence of nitrile group.

The extension of the conjugated chain and the substitution of the thiophene groups do not represent a marked difference that allows concluding a relationship between the photovoltaic properties and the structure.

Therefore, this article reports the bibliographic revision for these compounds, specifying the following parameters: Chemical name, abbreviation, structure, power conversion efficiencies (PCE), \( J_{sc} \) (short-circuit-current), \( V_{oc} \) (open circuit voltage) electrolyte used and authors.
| Chemical name | Author’s designation | Structure | PCE (%) | J<sub>sc</sub> (mA/cm<sup>2</sup>) | V<sub>oc</sub> (mV) | Electrolyte |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------|---------|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| cis-Bis(2,2′-bipyridyl-4,4′-dicarboxylato)ruthenium(II) | N3 | ![Structure N3](image) | 10.3 | 7.9 | 660 | I<sub>3</sub>/I<sub>1</sub>^- |
| Di-tetrabutylammonium(N719) cis-bis(2,2′-bipyridyl-4,4′-dicarboxylato)ruthenium(II) | ![Structure N719](image) | 11.18 | 17.73 | 846 | I<sub>3</sub>/I<sub>1</sub>^- |
| [(C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>)<sub>4</sub>N]<sub>3</sub> [Ru(terceterpy)(NCS)<sub>3</sub>] (terceterpy) 4,4′,4″-tricarboxy-2,2′,2″-terpyridine | ![Structure Black dye](image) | 10.4 | 20 | 720 | I<sub>3</sub>/I<sub>1</sub>^- |
| cis-Ru(4,4′-dicarboxylate-2,2′-bipyridine)(4,4′-dimethyl-2,2′bipyridine)(NCS)<sub>2</sub> | Z907 | ![Structure Z907](image) | 9.5 | 12.5 | 730 |
| cis-Bis(2,2′-bipyridyl-4,4′-dicarboxylato)(5-hexylthiophen-2-yl)-2,2′-bipyridyl)ruthenium(II), Ruthenate(2-), [[2,2′-bipyridine]-4,4′-dicarboxylato (2--N1,N1′)][4,4′-bis(5-hexyl-2-thienyl)-2,2′-bipyridine-N1, N1′]bis(thiocyanato-N) -, hydrogen (1:2) | C101 | ![Structure C101](image) | 11 | 17.9 | 778 | I<sub>3</sub>/I<sub>1</sub>^- |
| Chemical name | Author’s designation | Structure | PCE (%) | $J_{sc}$ (mA/cm²) | $V_{oc}$ (mV) | Electrolyte |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------|---------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| TBA[Ru](4-carboxylic acid-4' carboxylate-2,2'-bipyridine)(Ligand-11)(NCS)$_2$ | CYC-B11 | ![Structure CYC-B11](image) | 11.5 | 20.05 | 743 | $I_3/I^-$ |
| — | G106 | ![Structure G106](image) | 11.7% | 19.8 | 758 | $I_3/I^-$ |
| — | GS3 | ![Structure GS3](image) | 2.79 | 9.78 | 435 | $I_3/I^-$ |
| — | NCSU-10 | ![Structure NCSU-10](image) | 8.34 | 18.2 | 703 | $I_3/I^-$ |
| — | Complex 16 | ![Structure Complex 16](image) | 1.26 | 4.53 | 496 | $I_3/I^-$ |
| — | [Ru]2 | ![Structure [Ru]2](image) | 6.45 | 13.48 | 650 | — |
| — | [Ru]3 | ![Structure [Ru]3](image) | 5.23 | 11.77 | 598 | — |

—, it is not mentioned in the article.

Table 1.
Ruthenium complexes for DSSC [26–33].
On the other hand, in this research also have been reported the theoretical studies towards the effect the spacer molecule in macrocycles. The linear molecule
| Chemical name     | Author’s designation | Structure | PCE (%) | J_{sc} (mA/cm²) | V_{oc} (mV) |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------|---------|-----------------|-------------|
| —                 | SM 315               |           | 13      | 18.1            | 910         |
| —                 | A4                   |           | 0.05    | 0.09            | 330         |
| —                 | A6                   |           | 0.28    | 0.83            | 480         |
| —                 | A7                   |           | 0.38    | 1.33            | 450         |
| —                 | A8                   |           | 0.05    | 0.26            | 370         |
| —                 | ZnT(Mes) P(CN-COOH)  |           | 3.15    | 7.8             | 575         |
designed was a benzothiophene derivate (T) and the spacer selected were o-, m- or p-
diphenyldiamine. The spacer represented the communication channel between linear
chains, denominated T. The stabilization of the macrocycles depends of the
good assembly. The authors reported a study relationship with the photovoltaic
properties for three macrocycles in function of isomeric effect in the spacer. The
calculations were performed using Gaussian 09 16-18, program with B3LYP func-
tional [58–61] and 6-31-6 (d, 2p) as basis set [64] in order to investigate the
molecular geometry, electronic structures, and optical properties of o-PDT, m-PDT
and p-PDT (Figure 1).

The stationary point was estimated with level of theory reported previously for
the authors [64]. Finally, the authors through the Lewis acid incorporation showed
an electronic improvement mechanism. The acid Lewis effect, as evaluated consid-
ering the tetracoordinated mode around metal center (Figure 2).

Table 2.
Porphyrs for DSSC [34–40].
| Chemical name                                      | Author’s designation | Structure | PCE (%) | J<sub>sc</sub> (mA/cm²) | V<sub>oc</sub> (mV) | Electrolyte |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| 2-Cyano-7-(1,1,6,6-tetramethyl-10-oxo-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-1H,4H-11-oxa-3a-aza-benzo[de]anthracen-9-yl)-hepta-2,4,6-trienoic acid | NKX-2586 | ![Structure](image1.png) | 3.5 | 15.1 | 470 | — |
| 2-Cyano-5-(1,1,6,6-tetramethyl-10-oxo-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-1H,4H,10H-oxa-3a-aza-benzo[de]anthracen-9-yl)-penta-2,4-dienoic acid | NKX-2311 | ![Structure](image2.png) | 6.0 | 14.0 | 600 | — |
| 2-Cyano-3-[5'-bithiophenyl-5-yl]acrylic acid | NKX-2677 | ![Structure](image3.png) | 7.7 | 14.3 | 730 | — |
| — | D5 | ![Structure](image4.png) | 5.1 | 11.9 | 660 | — |
| 2-cyano-3-{5'-[1-cyano-2-(1,1,6,6-tetramethyl-10-oxo-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-1H,4H,10H-11-oxa-3a-aza-benzo[de]anthracen-9-yl)-vinyl]-[2,2']bithiophenyl-5-yl}-acrylic acid | NKX-2883 | ![Structure](image5.png) | 7.3 | 16.90 | 580 | — |
| 5-[[4-[(2,2-Diphenylethenyl)phenyl]-1,2,3,3a,4,8b-hexahydrocyclopent[b]indol-7-yl]methylene]-2-(3-octyl-4-oxo-2-thioxo-5-thiazolidinylidene)-4-oxo-3-thiazolidineacetic acid | D205 | ![Structure](image6.png) | 9.52 | 18.7 | 710 | Ionic-liquid |
| 2-Cyano-3-[5'-[2-[(N,N-bis(4-2-ethylhexyloxy)phenyl)amino)phenyl]-3,4-ethylenedi-oxathiophene-5-yl]-3,3'-dihexylthiophene-2,2'-bithiophene-5-yl]acrylic acid | C219 | ![Structure](image7.png) | 8.9 | 17.94 | 770 | I<sub>3/I</sub>C<sub>0</sub> |
| — | C218 | ![Structure](image8.png) | 8.95 | 15.8 | 768 | I<sub>3/I</sub>C<sub>0</sub> |
| Chemical name | Author’s designation | Structure | PCE (%) | Jsc (mA/cm²) | Voc (mV) | Electrolyte |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|-------------|
| 2-cyano-3-{5′-(1,1,6,6-tetramethyl-10-oxo-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-1H,4H,10H-11-oxa-3a-aza-benzo[de]anthracen-9-yl)-[2,1,3-benzothiadiazole]-4-thiophen-2-yl]-acrylic acid | HKK-CM4 | ![Structure HKK-CM4](image) | 5.97 | 14.3 | 580 | — |
| 2-cyano-3-{5′-(1,1,6,6-tetramethyl-10-oxo-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-1H,4H,10H-11-oxa-3a-aza-benzo[de]anthracen-9-yl)-[2,1,3-benzothiadiazole]-4-(3,4-ethylene dioxythiopheneyl-5-yl)]-acrylic acid | HKK-CM5 | ![Structure HKK-CM5](image) | 5.03 | 13.3 | 560 | — |
| — | C228 | ![Structure C228](image) | 4.7 | 7.6 | 830 | Co²⁺/Co³⁺ |
| — | C228 | ![Structure C228](image) | 4.4 | 7.78 | 760 | I₃⁻/I⁻ |
| — | C229 | ![Structure C229](image) | 9.4 | 15.3 | 850 | Co²⁺/Co³⁺ |
| — | C229 | ![Structure C229](image) | 6.7 | 15.20 | 680 | I₃⁻/I⁻ |
| 3-(6-{4-[(bis (2,4′-dihexyloxybiphenyl-4-yl)amino)-phenyl]-4,4-dihexyl-cyclopenta-[2,1-b,3,4-b′]-dithiophene-2-yl]-2-cyanoacrylic acid | Y123 | ![Structure Y123](image) | 12.3 | 17.7 | 935 | Co²⁺/Co³⁺ |
| — | ADEKA-1 | ![Structure ADEKA-1](image) | 11.2 | 19.11 | 783 | I₃⁻/I⁻ |
| — | LEG 4 | ![Structure LEG 4](image) | 14.7 | 9.55 | 776 | I₃⁻/I⁻ |
| Chemical name | Author’s designation | Structure | PCE (%) | $J_{sc}$ (mA/cm²) | $V_{oc}$ (mV) | Electrolyte |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------|---------|------------------|--------------|-------------|
| —             | KNS-1                | [Image]   | 2.01    | 4.93             | 600          | Co²⁺/Co³⁺   |
| —             | KNS-2                | [Image]   | 2.95    | 6.92             | 650          | Co²⁺/Co³⁺   |
| —             | JM-2                 | [Image]   | 6.5     | 14.4             | 620          | $I_3/I^-_3$ |
| —             | TK-4                 | [Image]   | 5.9     | 13.29            | 667          | —           |
| 3-((5-(3,6-bis(bis(4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-9H-fluoren-9-ylidene)methyl)thiophen-2-yl)-2-cyanoacrylic acid | TK-5 | [Image] | 7.5 | 17.85 | 653 | — |
| 3-((5-(3,6-bis(bis(4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-9H-fluoren-9-ylidene)methyl)-[2,2’-bithiophen]-5-yl)-2-cyanoacrylic acid | TK-6 | [Image] | 7.8 | 17.19 | 663 | — |
| —             | O4T                  | [Image]   | 5.07    | 10.7             | 630          | —           |
| —             | ST4                  | [Image]   | 6.73    | 14.4             | 640          | —           |
| —             | P2                   | [Image]   | 2.18    | 4.85             | 680          | —           |
| —             | LS-385               | [Image]   | 2.68    | 6.33             | 582          | $I_3/I^-_3$ |
| —             | LS-386               | [Image]   | 2.69    | 6.53             | 561          | $I_3/I^-_3$ |
| Chemical name | Author’s designation | Structure | PCE (%) | $J_{sc}$ (mA/cm²) | $V_{oc}$ (mV) | Electrolyte |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-------------|
| —             | LS-387               | ![Structure LS-387](image) | 5.61     | 13.26             | 595           | $I_3/I^- $  |
| —             | TA1                  | ![Structure TA1](image)  | 2.56     | 5.40              | 662           | —           |
| —             | TA2                  | ![Structure TA2](image)  | 3.45     | 6.83              | 704           | —           |
| —             | TA3                  | ![Structure TA3](image)  | 3.69     | 7.81              | 654           | —           |
| —             | TA4                  | ![Structure TA4](image)  | 4.78     | 9.92              | 662           | —           |
| —             | MR-5                 | ![Structure MR-5](image) | 6.03     | 15.27             | 610           | —           |
| —             | MR-6                 | ![Structure MR-6](image) | 3.2      | 8.7               | 560           | —           |
| —             | D6                   | ![Structure D6](image)   | 4.7      | 8.6               | 793           | $Co^{2+}/Co^{3+}$ |
| —             | D7                   | ![Structure D7](image)   | 4.0      | 8.2               | 768           | $Co^{2+}/Co^{3+}$ |
| —             | SP1                  | ![Structure SP1](image)  | 0.86     | 2.59              | 625           | —           |
| —             | SP3                  | ![Structure SP3](image)  | 0.43     | 2.31              | 532           | —           |
| —             | SP4                  | ![Structure SP4](image)  | 0.58     | 0.78              | 290           | —           |
| —             | BD-3                 | ![Structure BD-3](image) | 5.46     | 12.21             | 680           | $I_3/I^- $  |
2. Material and methods

2.1 Method theoretical

To explore and understand the electronic and optoelectronic properties of photosensitized materials with application in OPV technology, many theories have emerged. One of the most important and common theories is the theory of functional density (DFT), which is a tool that allowed to establish any property used in photosensitized materials, quantum state of atoms, molecules and solids, making modeling and simulation possible of complex systems with millions of degrees of freedom. At present, DFT has grown tremendously and has become one of the main tools in theoretical physics and molecular chemistry. Modeling in the framework of computational chemistry of photosensitized systems made up of electron donors and electron acceptors ultimately influences photo induced electron transfer and energy reactions. Numerous studies using the Density Functional Theory (DFT) methodology to design, evaluate and predict photovoltaic properties of photoactive materials with application in OPV have been published. The approximation of the theory of functional density (DFT) implemented was Gaussian 09 together with the functional correlation (B3LYP) and the base set 6-31g (d, 2p). This calculation allows optimization of geometry without symmetry restrictions for stationary points. In addition, it provided information on the harmonic frequency analysis, which allows the optimized minimum to be verified. The local minimum is identified when the number of imaginary frequencies is equal to zero.

The analysis of the changes in electron density for a given electronic transition was based on the electron density difference maps (EDDMs) constructed using the GaussSum suite of programs. Gásquez and co-workers had proposed two different electronegativities (X) for the charge transfer process: one that describes fractional

| Chemical name | Author’s designation | Structure | PCE (%) | $J_{sc}$ (mA/cm²) | $V_{oc}$ (mV) | Electrolyte |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------|---------|-------------------|--------------|------------|
| — | BD-5 | ![Structure BD-5](image) | 5.34 | 12.23 | 680 | I$_3$/I$^-$ |
| — | Dendrimer 1 | ![Structure Dendrimer 1](image) | 5.19 | 13.00 | 675 | I$_3$/I$^-$ |
| — | 4a | ![Structure 4a](image) | 0.95 | 2.60 | 500 | — |
| — | 4b | ![Structure 4b](image) | 4.51 | 9.36 | 630 | — |
| — | N, N’- PABA | ![Structure N, N’- PABA](image) | 1.00 | 2.72 | 537 | I$_3$/I$^-$ |

—, it is not mentioned in the article.

Table 3. Structures associated with metal-free organic dyes [41–63].
Figure 1.
Chemical structure for (a) p-PDT, (b) m-PDT and (c) o-PDT.
negative charge donation $X^-$ whereas the other gives the fractional negative charge acceptance $X^+$, Eqs. (1) and (2):

$$X^- = 0.25(3I + A) \quad (1)$$

$$X^+ = 0.25(I + 3A) \quad (2)$$

Thus, the construction of a so-called donor-acceptor map (DAM) has been suggested. A DAM graphic can be constructed by plotting the values of (y-axis) and $X^+$ (x-axis) for each molecule of interest.

The photovoltaic properties are calculated according to the Scharber model, which is an empirical model for predicting the PCE of organic cell solar. HOMO-LUMO as orbital border under solar irradiation with AM 1.5 G (ASTM G173). The PCE was expressed by the following Eqs. (3) and (4), in where $V_{oc}$ is the open circuit voltage, and $J_{sc}$ is short circuit current.

$$PCE = FF \left( J_{sc} \frac{V_{oc}}{P_{inc}} \right) \quad (3)$$

where $FF$ is a fill factor of 0.75, Eq. (4):

$$J_{sc} = q \int_{\lambda_{min}}^{\lambda_{max}} EQE \phi_{AM1.5G}(\lambda) d\lambda \quad (4)$$

(q = elementary charge, EQE = external quantum efficiency, $\phi$ = irradiation flow with AM 1.5 G, and $\lambda$ = wavelength), and $P_{inc}$ (incident light power).

On the other hand, the valor corresponding $\Delta E_{GAP}$ was calculated as, Eq. (5):

$$\Delta E = E (LUMO) - E (HOMO) \quad (5)$$

$LHE$ (light capture efficiency determinate), ($f$ = oscillator strength) and $E_{s1} = \text{Excitation energy for } \lambda_{max}$, Eq. (6).

$$LHE = 1 - 10^{-f} \quad (6)$$

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Optical properties of macrocycle molecules

The excitation energies ($E_{s1}$) presented in Table 4 were relatively small for the PDT molecule ligand, which indicate a shift to visible region in relationship with $\lambda_{max}$. The $p$-PDT showed the lowest value for $E_{s1}$, which is directly correlated with the conversion energy (PCE). On the other hand, the cycle size generated for $o$-PDT.

![Figure 2. Geometry optimization for (a) T and (b) TZn.](image-url)
and \( m \)-PDT systems is smaller, but this does not guarantee a better transfer. On the contrary, there is less efficient in the electronic transport.

The LHE values were 2.0217, 2.8755 and 0.07 for \( o \)-PDT, \( m \)-PDT and \( p \)-PDT, respectively. This indicates that \( o \)-PDT and \( m \)-PDT had a similar sensitivity to sunlight and will reflect higher values of LHE compared to \( p \)-PDT.

The visible light absorption ability may benefit from absorbing more photons and generating high photocurrent, which is a strong advantage of \( T \) derivatives. In the previous reports, PD spacers that cannot absorb visible light were observed. It is necessary that \( T \) derivate linked to the PD fragment enhances the electronic coupling in the excited state, which operates as a gated wire in \( \pi \)-conjugated systems, as is observed for \( o \)-PDT, \( m \)-PDT and \( p \)-PDT (Figure 3). The isomeric effect is greatly correlated to geometric distortion \( o \)-PDT and \( m \)-PDT molecules, which were dramatically affected in relationship to its planarity. The cavity between linear molecules is small, but the torsion affects the electronic properties.

### 3.2 Geometry study for macrocycles with Lewis acid.

The effect of Lewis acid on macrocycle stabilization is shown below. The geometric environment of the metallic center was tetrahedral, considering two positions to the electro donator atoms corresponding to linear and macrocycle molecule; and two water molecules. The incorporation of the metal into the linear chain (ZnT) generates a decrease in the value for GAP around 1.72 eV, a value located in the visible region. However, the effect is more severe when incorporated into the macrocycle, in where; its addition generated a decrease in GAP still 1.55 eV (\( p \)-ZnPDT). The DAM graphic for these systems indicated a significant improvement in donor capacity. These criteria are important to electronically activate the photovoltaic cell (Figure 4).

The spectra in Figure 5 showed a similar profile for TZn, and \( p \)-ZnPDT with the incorporation of Lewis acid in the structure, which have an intense main band to 568 nm, and 516 nm respectively. This band corresponds to the dominant electron transition from HOMO to LUMO, that is, from the \( \pi \) molecular orbital (chromophore fragments-\( \pi \)-linker) to the \( \pi^* \) orbital (acceptor fragment), and this process can be ascribed to the intramolecular charge transfer.

### 3.3 Photovoltaic properties of macrocycle molecules

The results showed in Table 5 suggested decreased the \( \Delta E_{\text{GAP}} \) in relationship with PCE. These results are congruent with the optical, and electronic properties observed previously. The \( p \)-PDT presented the best photovoltaic properties. The metal ion generates a symmetrical tension in the system, and this could explain its behavior. The \( J_{\text{sc}} \) increased in function of decreased the \( \Delta E_{\text{GAP}} \), concluding that the preferential isomer for the construction of this family macrocycles is the \( p \)-PDT, considering theoretical models in the gas phase.

| Molecule | Wavelength \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) | \( E_{\text{st}} \) (eV) | \( F \) | LHE |
|----------|-----------------|----------------|--------|-----|
| \( o \)-PDT | 432.68 | 2.874 | 2.0217 | 0.9905 |
| \( m \)-PDT | 425.37 | 2.923 | 2.8755 | 0.9987 |
| \( p \)-PDT | 465 | 2.67 | 0.07 | 0.14 |

Table 4. Optical properties for (a) \( o \)-PDT, (b) \( m \)-PDT and (c) \( p \)-PDT.
Figure 3. Theoretical spectra electronic for (a) o-PDT m-PDT.

Figure 4. DAM graphic for T, p-PDT, ZnT and p-ZnPDT.
4. Summary and future perspectives

The purpose of this review of DSSC materials was to compile the information reported to: synthetized ruthenium complexes, porphyrins, and metal-free organic dyes. For researchers, it is important to know parameters such as: PCE, $J_{sc}$, and $V_{oc}$, which help you to diffuse between structures, and propose synthesis strategies that make possible new materials in this field application. Principles for the future development of new molecules can be analyzed and likewise it is interesting support to follow up structure families as a function of time. Although many structures are shown here, there is still a need to optimize the chemical, and physical properties to promote improved solar cells. On the other hand, in this work, the best photovoltaic parameters were described for $p$-PDT with PCE 26.18%, $J_{sc} = 14.79$ mA/cm$^2$, and $\Delta E = 2.66$ eV such as macrocycle. The metal ion influences the electronic properties, and decreases the $\Delta E_{GAP}$. The incorporation of Lewis acid in the structure macrocycle to increase of the optical properties, which allows rigidity that can benefit planarity.
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