Mitochondrial DNA duplication, recombination, and introgression during interspecific hybridization
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mtDNA recombination events in yeasts are known, but altered mitochondrial genomes were not completed. Therefore, we analyzed recombined mtDNAs in six *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* × *Saccharomyces paradoxus* hybrids in detail. Assembled molecules contain mostly segments with variable length introgressed to other mtDNA. All recombination sites are in the vicinity of the mobile elements, introns in *cox1*, *cob* genes and free standing *ORF1*, *ORF4*. The transplaced regions involve co-converted proximal exon regions. Thus, these selfish elements are beneficial to the host if the mother molecule is challenged with another molecule for transmission to the progeny. They trigger mtDNA recombination ensuring the transfer of adjacent regions, into the progeny of recombinant molecules. The recombination of the large segments may result in mitotically stable duplication of several genes.

Hybrids between *Saccharomyces* species occur frequently in nature as a number of hybrids have been reported among wine and beer strains1–6. Most lager beer strains are hybrids between *S. cerevisiae* and *S. eubayanus*, combining the ability to produce ethanol with cryotolerance6–8. Some *S. cerevisiae* × *S. kudriavzevii* strains are associated with beer, but most of them are associated with wine, where they provide unique flavor6–9. *S. eubayanus* and *S. uvarum* hybrids have been associated with sparkling wine, cider fermentation, and, in some cases, with the production of off-flavors in breweries8,10,11. Interspecific hybrids among *Saccharomyces* species can also be readily obtained in the laboratory9,12–14. Hybrid species initially contain one nuclear genome from each parent; although, in older hybrids, the two parental genomes often undergo nonreciprocal exchanges accompanied by loss of genes, chromosomal segments, or even complete chromosomes, producing various chimaeras from which novel lineages might emerge5,14–16.

In contrast to the heterozygosity in the nuclear genomes, the mitochondrial genomes were reported in early works to be inherited only from one parent; although, in most cases the inheritance of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) was inferred from restriction patterns or from the sequence of a single mitochondrial gene3,13,17–21. However, it has been known for many years that when two yeast cells containing mtDNAs are mated, the mtDNAs are biparentally inherited and undergo recombination followed by rapid fixation of a single mitochondrial haplotype15,22,23. Therefore, it was surprising that recombinant mtDNA molecules were not observed in early interspecific hybrids.

In *S. cerevisiae*, after mating, two haploid mtDNAs repeatedly recombine, and the cell reaches the homoplasy usually in less than 20 generations. This is apparently related to the bottleneck effect and transmission of only a few concatemers to the nascent bud24,25. Recombination between parental mtDNAs can occur during the heteroplasmic transition, and a complete mitochondrial recombination map has been released from genome-wide sequencing. Recombination hotspots were preferentially localized in intergenic and intronic regions26. mtDNA recombination was also inferred from restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) in *S. cerevisiae* intraspecific crosses27.

Later on, elevated nucleotide diversity was found in recombination hotspots of some genes, most of all *cox2*-ORF1 in other *Saccharomyces* and also in hybrid species28–30. Recently, mtDNA recombination events were also reported in a study generating hybrid *Saccharomyces* allopolyploids of at least six species. Genome-wide sequencing revealed that during interspecific hybridization hybrids inherited mostly one of the two parental mitotypes.
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that was quickly fixed, except for *S. kudriavzevii* × *S. mikatae* and *S. cerevisiae* × *S. uvarum* allotetraploids and the six species hybrids, which were all heteroplasmic. In addition, in the *S. kudriavzevii* × *S. mikatae* hybrid, the portion of the *cox1* gene from *S. mikatae* seemed to have been introduced into the *S. kudriavzevii* mtDNA. Unfortunately, these recombined mitochondrial genomes were not completed9.

In summary, several mtDNA recombination events were reported, but altered mitochondrial genomes were not assembled. Therefore, the aim of this work was to analyze mtDNA transmission and the rate of recombination in *S. cerevisiae* × *S. paradoxus* hybrids in detail.

**Results**

**Interspecific hybrids.** To avoid any alteration due to auxotrophic mutations and to mimic the condition in nature (prototrophy), our first intention was to mate type strains of *S. cerevisiae* CBS 1171 to *S. paradoxus* CBS 432 as well as to *S. paradoxus* CBS 2908. However, CBS 1171 is an extremely poor sporulant; therefore, we used *S. cerevisiae* CCY 21-4-96 instead. *S. cerevisiae* and *S. paradoxus* differ in two basic phenotypic traits. The *S. cerevisiae* CCY 21-4-96 strain grows at 37 °C and produces rough colonies, whereas *S. paradoxus* strains do not grow at 37 °C and produce smooth colonies. Upon spore-to-spore mating, smooth colonies were prepared capable to grow at 37 °C from two independent crosses. The presence of both nuclear genomes in the hybrids was confirmed by the *Alu*I polymorphism of the PCR D1/D2 domain products (Fig. S1). The ability to grow on YPGE plates demonstrated the presence of complete mtDNA. In addition, all hybrids were excellent sporulants, but the viability of their spore was low, from 2 to 14%. Eleven F1 hybrids, six from *S. paradoxus* CBS 432 × *S. cerevisiae* CCY 21-4-96 crosses and five from *S. paradoxus* CBS 2908 × *S. cerevisiae* CCY 21-4-96 crosses, were selected for further analysis. The presence of both parental chromosome sets in all F1 hybrids was confirmed by the karyotype analysis using pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) (Fig. S2).

**Analysis of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA).** Restriction endonuclease analysis of mtDNA is widely used to distinguish between different yeast strains32,33. The *S. cerevisiae* and *S. paradoxus* mitochondrial gene orders differ from each other by two rearrangements, and they can be distinguished by *HinfI* or *EcoRV* digest32,34. mtDNA from 11 hybrids was subjected to *EcoRV* restriction analysis. The obtained patterns were compared for those of the parental strains (Fig. 1). Four hybrids originating from *S. cerevisiae* CCY 21-4-96 and the *S. paradoxus* strain CBS 432 showed mtDNA restriction patterns identical to those exhibited by the parental strains (Fig. 1A). However, two hybrids showed mixed restriction profiles (recombination rate 33.3%, hybrids 3-R1 and 6-R2; Fig. 1A). The 3-R1 mtDNA exhibited the same pattern as *S. paradoxus* CBS 432, but it contained some additional bands from *S. cerevisiae* CCY 21-4-96. The 6-R2 pattern showed a majority of bands identical to those of *S. cerevisiae* CCY 21-4-96, with one or two new larger bands and at least one band absent.

![Figure 1.](https://www.nature.com/scientificreports/)
Four of the S. cerevisiae CCY 21-4-96 × S. paradoxus CBS 2908 hybrids acquired S. cerevisiae CCY 21-4-96 mtDNA, as can be inferred from their electrophoretic profiles (hybrids 1, 2, 3, and 5; Fig. 1B). Only one hybrid, 4-R3 (72 K), exhibited a mixture of bands belonging to both parents, with some parental bands missing (recombination rate 20.0%).

Rearranged mtDNA forms are stable. To exclude a possibility of heteroplasmic mtDNA populations present in zygotes with mixed mtDNA profiles, the hybrids were grown for approximately 20 generations, and mtDNA from 10 single colonies was purified and subjected to Hinfl or EcoRV restriction analysis. All recombined mtDNAs were stable since their unchanged restriction profiles were found in all single colonies (Figs. S3, S4).

Characterization of mtDNA rearrangements. To determine the exact organization of the rearranged mitochondrial molecules, purified mtDNAs were directly sequenced with primers specific to the conserved regions of several mitochondrial genes (cox1, cox2, cox3, cob, rns, rnl, atp9, trnM). However recent advances in the assembly of mtDNA sequenced by Illumina MiSeq34 allowed us to obtain complete sequences of recombined mitochondrial genomes (Table S1) that were confirmed by RFLP. Generally, the rearranged molecules were composed of a major skeleton from one parental molecule with small regions substituted with segments from another parental molecule (Figs. 2, 3). This observation suggested that recombination between two mtDNA molecules had occurred.

Hybrid 3-R1 molecule. The original hybrid 3-R1 and stable subclones contained all genes from S. cerevisiae, except for cox1 (Fig. 2). The cox1 gene was recombined and had a 6600 bp segment spanning S. paradoxus Spcox112–Spcox115y with 5′ and 3′ flanking exon regions.

Hybrid 6-R2 molecule. The recombined 6-R2 mtDNA molecule received a majority of genes from S. paradoxus (Fig. 2), but three genes, atp6, atp8, and trnE, were introgressed from S. cerevisiae. The recombination sites were identified in the cob and cox1 genes. The 5′ junction was located in the last exon of the cox1 gene, and the 3′ junction was located in the cob gene in the Spcob-I3 intron that is homologous to Sceob-I2 intron (Fig. 2).

Hybrid 4-R3 (72 K) molecule. The completed mitochondrial genome of 4-R3 (72 K) confirmed that the mtDNA molecule was recombined and consisted of sequences originating from both parents. Sequences of the genes atp6, atp8, cox1, rns, rnpB, and cox3 were identical to those of S. cerevisiae CCY 21-4-96, and sequences of genes rns, rnpB, cox3, trn, rnl, var1, atp9, and cob were identical to those of S. paradoxus CBS 2908. The consequence of this recombination was duplication of trnE, trnI, trnV, cox3, trnM, rnpB, trnP, rns, and trnW genes. Recombination sites were identified at cox2 (positions 531–570 from ATG) and downstream of ori4 S. paradoxus (positions 204–107) (Fig. 3).

The duplication of rns, cox3, and trnM (adjacent to rnpB) genes was also confirmed by Southern blot analysis (Fig. 4). The Hinfl restriction pattern of the 4-R3 (72 K) mtDNA molecule was hybridized with radioactively labeled probes and compared to those of the parental strains. All three probes were hybridized to combination of parental bands in the restriction pattern of the 4-R3 (72 K) molecule.

How frequent is duplication in mtDNA? We addressed the following question. At what frequency do mtDNA variants with duplicate regions arise? Selection based on colony appearance (smooth colonies) and the ability to grow at 37°C provides only a limited number of hybrids. To analyze multiple recombined mitochondrial genomes, the mtDNA from S. cerevisiae CCY 21-4-96 was transferred via cytoduction to the auxotrophic laboratory strain 3C with geneticin resistance resulting in the strain 3C-CCY. S. cerevisiae CCY 21-4-96 × S. paradoxus 3C-CCY hybrids were then selected on minimal medium with geneticin, and a larger set of sixty hybrids was analyzed. Although some recombined mtDNA were found, none of them contained the restriction profile typical for 4-R3 (72 K) (Fig. S5). Apparently, gene duplication in mtDNA is a rare event. To identify other recombination variants in hybrids, we sequenced three complete recombined mitochondrial genomes of hybrids (ZAN) by Illumina MiSeq. All recombined molecules are the result of transposition from S. cerevisiae to S. paradoxus (Figs. 3, 4). The ZAN15 molecule received about a 23,840 kb segment from the beginning of cox1 up to the end of the cob gene. The precise recombination sites were at the beginning of the first exon of cox1 and the last exon of the cob gene. The ZAN31 molecule contains about 3280 bp long DNA containing ORF4 and atp6 from S. cerevisiae. The recombination sites were mapped in the region 251–332 downstream of the ORF4 start codon. The mtDNA in the ZAN37 hybrid results from two recombination events. The first one was triggered by the homing and co- conversion of flanking exons. The recombination sites were identified in the flanking exons. The second recombination event was also initiated by ORF4, and due to the mobility of cob-12 it is about 14,760 bp long. The recombination sites were mapped downstream of the atp6 start codon and at the beginning of the last cob exon.

Discussion

In Saccharomyces yeasts, the diverse and highly reticulated mtDNAs show signatures of recombination and horizontal gene transfer within and between species. To understand mtDNA transmission and the rate of recombination in interspecific Saccharomyces hybrids, we studied the progeny from the mating of two very closely related species: S. cerevisiae and S. paradoxus. The gene order of the S. paradoxus mitochondrial genome (European lineage) differs from that of S. cerevisiae by only two rearrangements and is well preserved within the species. In addition, there is wide variability in intron content and intergenic sequences, even among isolates.
To avoid any modification due to auxotrophic mutations, hybrids were selected according to the ability to grow at 37 °C (\textit{S. cerevisiae}) and the production of rough colonies (\textit{S. paradoxus}). This selection should favor the transmission of \textit{S. cerevisiae} mtDNA because mitochondria-encoded genes from other \textit{Saccharomyces} contribute to the cold tolerance in yeast hybrids\textsuperscript{41–43}. However from 11 \textit{S. cerevisiae} × \textit{S. paradoxus} hybrids, only one showed cold tolerance and was able to grow at 37 °C. The genetic organization of recombined mtDNA in hybrids is shown in Figure 2. For simpler comparison, the circular genomes, exported from Vector NTI, were aligned at the beginning of the large rRNA subunit (rnl). Genes are marked with colored rectangles with arrows or lines, and origins of replication are marked with black circles. The RNA of the rnpB gene and tRNA genes are indicated with thin lines. Introns are marked with white rectangles. Gene nomenclature follows the rules described in GOBASE (atp for ATP synthetase subunits; cox for cytochrome oxidase subunits; cob for cytochrome b; rns for small rRNA ribosomal subunit; rnl for large rRNA ribosomal subunit; T2, C, H, etc. for particular tRNA coding genes; rps3 for ribosomal protein; and rnpB for the RNA subunit of RNase P). Sections originating from \textit{S. cerevisiae} are marked in red, and those originating from \textit{S. paradoxus} are in blue. Introns and free standing ORFs known as mobile, in \textit{S. cerevisiae} are marked in black\textsuperscript{35}. Their homologs in \textit{S. paradoxus} are marked in yellow. Sizes are given on the bottom line in kbp. GenBank accession numbers MW367979, JQ862335, MW367976, MW367977.
paradoxus hybrids, two inherited mtDNA from S. paradoxus and six inherited mtDNA from S. cerevisiae, and in three hybrids we found mixed mtDNA restriction patterns, indicating recombination events. These hybrids were homoplasmic as the single colonies, and after approximately 20 generations, they provide the same restriction profiles. The frequency of recombination inferred from the restriction analysis of 60 S. cerevisiae 3C-CCY/S. paradoxus CBS 2908 hybrids was 15% (Fig. S5, Table S2).

The exact organization of the rearranged mitochondrial molecules was determined by genome-wide sequencing, and the recombination sites were confirmed by primer walking sequencing. All rearranged molecules were composed of a major skeleton from one parental molecule, with small regions introgressed from the second parental mtDNA. Sequence differences between interspecific mtDNA molecules allowed us to precisely map the recombination spot within the 16–200 bp region (Fig. S6).

Specific mtDNA regions play a crucial role in recombination, namely mobile introns44–46 and GC clusters34,47, where the insertion sites act as recombination "hot spots". Generally, the mobilization of group I (GI) introns coding open reading frame (ORFs) and free-standing ORFs are initiated by double-strand break formation in an intronless gene or ORF-free allele15,45,48. The intron or ORF-coded endonuclease (HOE) cleaves DNA, generating DNA ends that invade the homologous exon or ORF-lacking sequences of an element-containing allele. The process is called homing and is accompanied by the co-conversion of flanking sequences. Group II (GII) introns also possess a reverse-transcription-independent homing pathway that is initiated by the intron-encoded
endonuclease (cleaves the antisense strand) and completed by the double-strand break repair (DSBR) recom-
combination system of yeast mitochondria. In addition, there is wide variability in intron content and inter-
genic sequences, even among isolates of the same species. Therefore, if two isolates having different
intron composition should mate, the homing/transposition pathway of numerous introns is potentially initiated.
It was believed that a consequence of this process should be strong activation of the recombination system,
associated with replication and repair machinery. However, the role of repair machinery is questionable
because the absence of certain genes from this group does not affect the frequency of mtDNA
recombination.

In \textit{S. cerevisiae} mtDNA three genes (\textit{rnl}, \textit{cox1},\textit{cob}) are interrupted by introns. Among these (GI) I1 and I2
and group I introns (GI) I3α, I4α and I5α in \textit{cox1} are known to be mobile. In many strains they are inactive
due to the interruption with GC clusters or mutations introducing premature stop codons. In \textit{cob} gene GI I2, I3 and I4 introns code for maturases and only in some strains I2 might be mobile, owing to only 2 amino
acid substitutions. GI intron known as \(\omega\) interrupts \textit{rnl} gene and often contains “freestanding” open reading
frame coding for I-SceI endonuclease necessary for its mobility. Free standing ORFs were found during early
sequencing experiments according to LAGLI-DADG motif reminiscent to the intron coded ORFs. HOE-like
reading frames were found downstream \textit{cox2} gene continuous open reading \textit{ORF1}, downstream \textit{cox3} \textit{ORF2}
and \textit{ORF4}, residing at the 3′ end of the \textit{atp6} gene (Fig. 5). Product of \textit{ORF4} (also referred to as ENS2), is the subu-
nit of Endo.SceI endonuclease, whereas second subunit is coded in nucleus and imported to the mitochondria.

The occurrence of mobile elements near many recombination junctions suggests causal relationship. Five out
of 14 junctions are located in the \textit{cox1} gene (Figs. 5, 6).

Three 5′ recombination junctions are in the sequence of exon 1 of the \textit{cox1} gene and are apparently the result
of homing of mobile introns \textit{cox1}-I1 and \textit{cox1}-I2. Two 5′ recombination sites are in the same position between
\textit{atp8} and \textit{atp6} genes, resulting from the transplacement of the \textit{ORF4} element. Most of the 3′ ends of novel
recombination sites were found in the \textit{cob} gene and are seemingly the result of the homing/transposition of the
\textit{cob}-I2. In summary, from seven identified recombination events in six different hybrid mtDNAs, three can be
considered as the synergic result of the \textit{ORF4} and \textit{cob}-I2 transplacement. One can be attributed to cooperative
transposition of \textit{ORF4} with \textit{cox1}-I, and the largest segment was mediated by their cooperative transfer with the
\textit{ORF1} element. The most plausible explanation of all recombination events is homing/transposition of mobile
elements accompanied by the co-conversion of flanking sequences, which can be several kbp long. Apparently,
\textit{ORF4} is the strongest element that triggers the recombination. \textit{ORF4} (ENS2) codes for Endo.SceI endonuclease
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**Figure 4.** Southern blot analysis of mtDNA molecules from the parental strains \textit{S. cerevisiae} CCY 21-4-96 and
\textit{S. paradoxus} CBS 2908 and hybrid 4-R3 (72 K). \textit{HindIII} restriction analysis of mtDNAs is at the left, while the
 autoradiograms showing hybridizations with the \textit{cox3}, \textit{rns}, and \textit{trnM} probes are to the right. The \textit{cox3} and \textit{rns}
probes were \(^{32}\text{P}\)-labeled PCR products of the corresponding genes. The \textit{trnM} probe was an oligonucleotide of
which the 5′ end was labeled with \(^{32}\text{P}\)-ATP. The arrows on the \textit{trnM} picture show the \textit{S. cerevisiae} band that is
present in the 4-R3 (72 K) hybrid.
that cleaves mtDNA every 2–3 kb, but the main cleavage site is at the end of the \( \text{atp6} \) gene, allowing homing into the vacant site\(^5\). The main recombination site was in the region that was 700–900 bp upstream of the recognition site, seemingly due to co-conversion of flanking sequences. In addition, the recombination strength is elevated by the absence of \( \text{ORF}4 \) in both \( \text{S. paradoxus} \) strains used for hybrid construction\(^34,39\). Evidence of \( \text{ORF}4 \) involvement in the introgression of \( \text{atp6} \) has been observed in the study of complex \( \text{atp6} \) phylogeny\(^59\).

In one event, the recombination of two large segments—\( \text{trnE-cox2} \) from \( \text{S. paradoxus} \) and \( \text{ORF1-atp8} \) from \( \text{S. cerevisiae} \)—yields duplication of the genes for \( \text{cox3}, \text{rnpB} \), and \( \text{rns} \) and six tRNAs. Duplications in the mitochondrial genome are relatively rare and have been observed predominantly in plants\(^60–62\). Large-scale duplications of human mitochondrial DNA were found associated with Kearns-Sayre syndrome\(^63\). Data concerning duplication in yeast are scarce. To our knowledge there is only one paper describing the duplication from Clark-Walker laboratory\(^64\). The reported strain with duplication did not exhibit any growth phenotype. The same we observed in the case of our hybrid with duplication.

A characteristic feature of duplicate sections in animal mtDNA is tandemly arranged repetitive sequences resulting from slipping of the synthesized slipped strand mispairing fiber\(^65–67\). Major events in the evolution of animals, such as multicellularity and the emergence of symmetry, are accompanied by changes in the organization of mtDNA\(^68\). The most profound feature of \( \text{Saccharomyces} \) species is the conservative and species-specific gene order in their mtDNAs\(^34\). In addition, they offer a great opportunity to study evolutionary processes experimentally. The process called “hybrid speciation” implies that hybridization has been involved in the origin of new species\(^69,70\). All models of gene reordering in mtDNA consider the formation of duplicate regions\(^66,67\). A tandem duplication followed by random gene loss (TDRL model) is the most important mechanism of gene order rearrangements in mitochondrial genomes\(^68,71,72\). Consequently, the duplication of mtDNA may lead to changes in the gene order in the hybrid progeny and accompany the speciation process. Groth et al.\(^73\) already proposed that a change in the mitochondrial gene order may be a step in sexual isolation and the generation of new species. If two isolates having different gene orders should mate, homologous recombination in the zygote would create a number of mtDNA molecules that would lack a complete set of mitochondrial genes or contain a gross duplication. Indeed, in our study when \( \text{S. cerevisiae} \) and \( \text{S. paradoxus} \), having a different mitochondrial gene order, were crossed, a rare genome with the duplication of several genes arose, which can be used to test this hypothesis.

Duplication and recombination are mediated by the translocation of selfish mobile elements. Their extent of benefit and harmfulness for the host is still discussed\(^64\). Selfish mitochondrial introns, as well as free-standing ORFs, are beneficial to the host if the mother molecule is challenged with another molecule for transmission to...
the progeny. Mobile elements trigger mtDNA recombination in this situation, ensuring the transfer of adjacent exons, often large regions, into the progeny of recombinant molecules.

Methods

Yeast strains and media. The following *Saccharomyces* strains were used in our studies: *S. cerevisiae* CBS 1171, *S. cerevisiae* CCY 21-4-96, *S. paradoxus* CBS 432, and *S. paradoxus* CBS 2908. CBS isolates were from the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, Delft, the Netherlands. The CCY isolate was from Culture Collection of Yeasts, Institute of Chemistry, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, Slovakia. Strain 3C-CCY (MATa, leu2Δ0, his3Δ1, ura3Δ0, ma15Δ0, Δaac1; kanMXΔ; mtDNA from CCY 21-4-96) was prepared by cytoduction according to other work32. Yeasts were grown overnight on YPD medium (1% yeast extract, 2% bacto peptone, and 2% citrate) for 2 h by vacuum blotting (VacuGeneTMXL). Finally, the membrane was washed in ddH2O, and DNA was fixed by UV light.

Hybrid preparation. *Saccharomyces paradoxus* CBS 432 and CBS 2908 strains do not grow at 37 °C and produce smooth colonies. The *S. cerevisiae* CCY 21-4-96 strain grows at 37 °C and produces rough colonies. Homothallic diploid *S. cerevisiae* CCY 21-4-96, *S. paradoxus* CBS 432, and CBS 2908 strains were starved for 3–5 days in 1% potassium acetate media at room temperature to induce sporulation. The asci were digested by Zymolase (0.5 mg/ml) for 30 min at 30 °C, and individual spores were crossed by micromanipulation. Smooth colonies, which were able to grow at 37 °C, were isolated. *S. cerevisiae* W303 MAT alpha ade2 ura3 leu2 trp1 his3 haploid strain was crossed with *S. paradoxus* CBS 2908 spores and prototrophic colonies able to grow at 37 °C were isolated.

Tetrad dissection. Sporulation was induced for 3–5 days at room temperature on solid 1% potassium acetate media, and spores were dissected by micromanipulation.

Chromosome separation. Chromosomes of putative hybrids and parental strains were isolated and separated by PFGE employing a CHEF Mapper XA (Bio-Rad) as previously described13.

Restriction analysis of 26S rRNA. Total DNA was extracted from each hybrid and parental strain75. The D1/D2 domain of the 26S rRNA gene was amplified by PCR using primers NL1 and NL476. The PCR products were digested to completion with AluI restriction enzyme. The restriction fragments were resolved on 1% agarose gel at 2.0 V/cm in 1% TBE buffer. The DNA in the gel was denatured (1.5 M NaCl, 0.5 M NaOH) for 30 min, neutralized (1.5 M NaCl, 1 M Tris–HCl pH 7.5) for 15 min, and transferred to a Hybond™-N+ membrane (GE Healthcare) in 20 × SSC (1.5 M NaCl, 0.15 M sodium citrate) for 2 h by vacuum blotting (VacuGeneTMXL). Finally, the membrane was washed in ddH2O, and DNA was fixed by UV light. Cox3 and rns genes were detected by Southern blot analysis using 32P-labeled PCR products as probes (GE Healthcare). PCR products were purified with the QIAquick PCR extraction kit (Qiagen, Dorking, UK). Unincorporated nucleotides were removed by gel filtration through G-25 columns (GE Healthcare). After prehybridization, the membrane was hybridized (0.25 M NaHPO4, 7% SDS, 1 mM EDTA) at 60 °C for 12 h. The membrane was washed twice at room temperature for 5 min and once at 60 °C for 20 min with 2% SDS and 100 mM NaHPO4. The membrane was stripped (0.4 M NaOH) for 2 h at 40 °C and re-hybridized more than once. The *trnM* gene adjacent to the *rnpB* gene was detected using the Fmet oligonucleotide probe, of which the 5’ end was labeled with γ32P-ATP by a T4 polynucleotide kinase (ABgene). The membrane was pre-hybridized and hybridized as described above at 40 °C and washed twice at room temperature for 10 min. Signals were detected using Phospho-Screen (imaging Screen-K, 32×43 cm, catalog #170-7841, Bio-Rad) and Personal Imager Fx (Bio-Rad).
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