Abstract

Purpose: This systematic review aimed to describe objective sleep parameters for athletes under different conditions and address potential sleep issues in this specific population.

Methods: PubMed and Scopus were searched from inception to April 2019. Included studies measured sleep only via objective evaluation tools such as polysomnography or actigraphy. The modified version of the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale was used for the quality assessment of the studies.

Results: Eighty-one studies were included, of which 56 were classified as medium quality, 5 studies as low quality, and 20 studies as high quality. A total of 1830 athletes were monitored over 18,958 nights. Average values for sleep-related parameters were calculated for all athletes according to sex, age, athletic expertise level, training season, and type of sport. Athletes slept on average 7.2 ± 1.1 h/night (mean ± SD), with 86.3% ± 6.8% sleep efficiency (SE). In all datasets, the athletes’ mean total sleep time was <8 h. SE was low for young athletes (80.3% ± 8.8%). Reduced SE was attributed to high wake after sleep onset rather than sleep onset latency. During heavy training periods, sleep duration and SE were on average 36 min and 0.8% less compared to pre-season and 42 min and 3.0% less compared to in-season training periods, respectively.

Conclusion: Athletes’ sleep duration was found to be short with low SE, in comparison to the general consensus for non-athlete healthy adults. Notable sleep issues were revealed in young athletes. Sleep quality and architecture tend to change across different training periods.
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1. Introduction

Growing evidence suggests that more than half of active athletes report poor sleep during various occasions. This fact bears high significance not only due to the detrimental effect of poor sleep on physical and mental performance, but also because of its impact on athletes’ health, as sleep is related to vital functions and may be associated with various pathological conditions and health problems.

Sleep is a multidimensional construct that can be measured by both objective and subjective tools; thus, sleep evaluation methods may be crucial when attempting to draw safe conclusions about its impact on athletic performance. Briefly, sleep can be subjectively assessed using specific questionnaires and sleep diaries, whereas validated objective measurements of sleep include polysomnography (PSG) and actigraphic devices.

An objective and detailed sleep measurement should assess the progress from wakefulness to sleep and its intermediate stages. Sleep evolves as follows: wakefulness, light sleep, deep sleep, and rapid eye movement (REM) sleep. These different states of brain activity compose the “sleep architecture”. According to the American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM), there are 4 distinct sleep stages: three are non-REM (N1, N2, and N3); and the last is the REM sleep stage. Usually, the first 2 non-REM stages (N1 and N2) are denominated as
To measure these variations in brain function, sleep electroencephalography (EEG) or PSG is required. Specifically, a typical PSG study includes central, frontal, and occipital EEG, recording of eye movements, chin, and muscle activity, electrocardiography, pulse oximetry, respiratory effort, nasal and oral airflow, and body position sensors. PSG is considered to be the gold standard method for assessing sleep and sleep disorders despite its associated practical difficulties. Another critical measure for sleep is its duration, which is often referred as total sleep time (TST), while the percentage of duration of TST relative to the total time in bed is referred as sleep efficiency (SE). An additional way to estimate TST and SE is via actigraphy, which translates activity/inactivity to wake/sleep cycles, and has been adequately validated against PSG in athletes. Thus, actigraphy and PSG effectively measure sleep-related parameters and they are objective tools of sleep assessment. In addition, subjective methods for assessing sleep duration and quality are very popular and include various self-reported sleep logs and questionnaires. However, their validity is unclear, since it has been shown that many athletes tend to overestimate their self-reported sleep. Subjective sleep monitoring tools may be used as initial screening tools for sleep disorders in athletes, such as obstructive sleep apnea. However, PSG examination should be performed to diagnose relevant disorders, and it is proposed that it could be potentially used as an indicator of poor physical recovery.

Recent reviews that have systematically measured the prevalence of sleep disorders in athletes, as well as the effect of training or competition on sleep, have included studies that both objectively and subjectively measured sleep data. These reviews showed that athletes may experience several sleep issues, and differentiations in sleep characteristics in relation to training, competition, or other related factors, such as chronotype and sports discipline. To address these issues regarding athletes’ sleep, the research community tested acute sleep hygiene strategies in order to improve athletes’ sleep. Nevertheless, sleep guidelines have thus far not differentiated between athletes and non-athletes, making it difficult to design targeted sleep optimizing interventions. Thus, there is a need to gather more information about athletes’ sleep and to develop specific guidelines for this population.

Based on our literature review, quantitative information about sleep quality, sleep quantity, and architecture in athletes, using only objectively measured sleep-related data, is poorly systematized. Thus, the current review aimed to extract from the literature typical sleep characteristics of athletes according to sex, age groups, level of competence, or type of sport and summarize these data. Specifically, this review aims to:

- Address potential sleep issues and sleep fluctuations in athletes in relation to these categories.

2. Methods

2.1. Information sources and search strategy

This review was performed in accordance to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. Two electronic databases (PubMed and Scopus) were systematically searched by two of the authors (AV and CDG) for studies published from inception to April 2, 2019. For both databases, search terms included the following combinations of keywords: (“athlete” OR “competitive” OR “sport” OR “elite” OR “player”) AND (“sleep” OR “electroencephalography” OR “actigraphy” OR “polysomnography”). Retrieved records from PubMed search were limited according to article type (clinical trial, controlled trial, randomized control trial, observational study, comparative studies, and case reports) and language (English). Retrieved records from the Scopus search were limited according to source type (journal), document type (article), and language (English). A supplementary search for relevant studies was conducted from the reference list of the screened manuscripts.

2.2. Eligibility criteria

The eligibility of retrieved records for inclusion in our review was determined by two of the authors (AV and CDG) according to the following criteria: studies were written in English and measured sleep-related parameters objectively via PSG, EEG, or actigraphy, or a combination of these 3 methods. No restrictions in study design were applied. Articles were excluded if (1) participants did not take part systematically in any sport or had a history of major diseases, (2) athletic expertise or sleep-related data were inadequately described, and (3) sleep-related data were assessed after travel or any other manipulated intervention (e.g., dietary intervention, altitude, or light exposure); only the pre-intervention baseline sleep data from these studies were included, where available.

2.3. Study selection and data extraction

The process used for the literature research is depicted in Fig. 1. After removal of duplicated records, titles (and, where required, the abstracts of the remaining studies) were evaluated to select potentially relevant studies for full-text article screening. Reasons for excluding a shortlisted article during full-text screening were recorded (Fig. 1). A standardized data extraction form was employed to obtain the following: (1) publication details—name of first author and year of publication; (2) participant characteristics—sample size and sex; (3) sports-related data—type of sport and training season; (4) sleep-related data—nights recorded, TST, SE, wake after sleep onset (WASO), sleep onset latency (SOL), REM onset latency, and sleep stages (REM, N1, N2, and N3). If data were graphically presented, Plot Digitizer (Version 2.6.8; SourceForge, San Diego, CA, USA) was used to extract data of interest. Where possible,
data were extracted in as many sets as possible, separating datasets for age, sex, or other sports-related parameters. The mean and SD for all data were recorded. Where required, SD was calculated from reported confidence intervals. The assumption that all groups of data were merely sub-samples of a single group was made. Thus, mean values and SDs were combined according to nights recorded.

### 2.4. Evidence quality appraisal

All studies were assessed for their methodological quality using a version of the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) for cross-sectional studies (Supplementary Table 1). This is an established tool, adapted by Gupta and colleagues, and used in other relevant studies. The NOS evaluates evidence through 8 items measuring selection, comparability and outcome criteria. These criteria were modified by 2 reviewers (AV and CDG) to represent adequately the aims of this review. When a criterion was satisfied, a score of 1 (or in case of Criterion 7, a score of 2) was awarded to the corresponding item. Otherwise, a score of 0 was awarded the corresponding item. The sum of the scores was used to categorize overall study evidence quality as low (≤5), moderate (5–7), or high (>7).

### 2.5. Definitions of sleep-related parameters and terminology

The extracted sleep parameters are defined in Table 1. These parameters were selected for the integrative view of sleep quantity (TST), quality (WASO, SE, and SOL), and architecture (REM onset latency, REM, N1, N2, and N3). All TST values were transformed into hours for purposes of uniformity. Data in regards to sleep stages were presented in min, as measured in the majority of the studies in literature. In case of merged N1 and N2 stages of sleep (as light sleep), the results are presented but not included in the calculation of the general or subgroup mean. In the case of sleep scoring with 5 stages (REM, N1, N2, N3, and N4), the N3 and N4 stages were combined and noted accordingly.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Studies selection

During the literature research, 3992 studies were identified (Fig. 1). After duplicate removal, 3683 records were screened by title and, where required, by abstract. A total of 159 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility. Through full-text screening, 96 articles were excluded and 18 additional records were identified, resulting in a total of 81 studies that were included in the qualitative synthesis.

#### 3.2. Studies’ characteristics

Included studies were published from 1978 to 2019, with 55.6% of the studies being published between 2016 and 2019. The sample included 1830 participants, with 230 females, 1040 males, and 560 combined (without clear sex segregation), of which 177 were children and adolescents, 1080 were young adults, and 410 were middle-aged adults; the age of 163 participants was not reported. The age of the participants was 22.2 ± 5.5 years (mean ± SD). Overall, 12 of the studies evaluated sleep-related parameters using PSG, 4 studies used EEG, 61 studies used actigraphy, and 4 studies performed PSG or EEG.
in conjunction with actigraphy, resulting in 206 datasets (PSG/EEG, \( n = 37 \); actigraphy, \( n = 169 \)). A total of 18,958 recorded nights were extracted, of which 4.2% were obtained from PSG/EEG measurements and 95.8% from actigraphy. A total of 555 nights were recorded in children and adolescents, 6298 in young adults, and 2330 in middle-aged adults; 9775 nights of the 163 participants of undefined age were excluded in this specific analysis.

### 3.3. Evidence quality appraisal

According to the NOS, evidence quality of 5 studies was classified as low, 56 as medium, and 20 as high (Supplementary Table 1). The first NOS evaluation component, sample selection, had a median score of 2 (0–4). The second component, comparability of studies, received a median score of 2 (0–2), and the third component, outcome measurement, had a median score of 3 (2–3).

### 3.4. Athletes expertise appraisal

Using the modified version of the model for classifying the validity of expert samples in sport psychology, the participants were categorized as elite (44 studies; females \( n = 117 \), males \( n = 716 \), combined males and females \( n = 470 \)) or as semi-elite (37 studies; females \( n = 113 \), males \( n = 324 \), combined males and females \( n = 90 \)) (Supplementary Table 2). Elite athletes were represented in 113 datasets of 13,262 nights recorded and semi-elite athletes in 93 datasets of 5696 nights recorded.

### 3.5. Overall sleep data (quality, quantity, and architecture)

Analytical sleep-related data obtained from PSG/EEG and actigraphy measures are presented in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. Datasets that included daytime naps, \( ^{25} \) or assessed sleep during ultra-endurance competition (duration of \( \geq 6 \) h), in single \( ^{26} \) or multiday races, \( ^{27}–^{29} \) and individual \( ^{26,28} \) or team ultra-endurance events \( ^{27,29} \) are presented separately and only their baseline data were used for the analysis. Overall results are showed in Table 3. Athletes slept approximately 7.2 ± 1.1 h/night. SE was 86.3% ± 6.8%, with mean SOL time of 14.8 ± 17.0 min and WASO of 52.7 ± 32.0 min. According to PSG/EEG measures, REM stage occupied 114.1 ± 35.4 min of sleep, N1 stage occupied 47.9 ± 31.0 min, N2 occupied 240.5 ± 53.6 min, and N3 occupied 91.5 ± 35.8 min (Table 4).

### 3.6. Sleep quantity and quality according to sex

Discriminating datasets for sex showed that male athletes slept a total of 7.2 ± 1.1 h with 15.7 ± 17.0 min SOL, and female athletes slept 7.5 ± 1.2 h, with 9.7 ± 11.2 min SOL. SE was 85.2% ± 7.2% and 89.1% ± 4.8% for males and females, respectively. Sleep architecture data were available for male athletes only, as none of the included studies reported sleep architecture specific to female participants. Therefore, available data show that male athletes’ sleep stages comprised REM: 111.3 ± 26.0 min, N1: 55.8 ± 29.0 min, N2: 246.7 ± 53.3 min, and N3: 82.9 ± 42.0 min (Table 4).

### 3.7. Sleep quantity and quality according to athletic expertise

Classification based on athletes’ level showed no differences in TST between elite and semi-elite participants. SOL was lower in semi-elite athletes than in elite athletes (9.5 ± 10.5 min vs. 18.4 ± 19.4 min). SE was 1.5% less in elite athletes compared with semi-elite athletes. It was found that elite athletes’ sleep was composed of less N1 and N2 sleep stages and more of N3 and REM sleep stages compared with semi-
Table 2
Sleep-related data measured with either polysomnography or electroencephalography (mean ± SD).

| First author (publication year) | n  | Sex | Age (year) | Type of sport | Training season | Nights recorded | TST (h) | SOL (min) | ROL (min) | SE (%) | WASO (min) | REM (min) | N1 (min) | N2 (min) | N3 (min) |
|--------------------------------|----|-----|------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------|------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|
| Walker (1978) | 10 | M   | 19.3 ± NR | Distance running | Competition phase | 10 | 7.6 ± 0.2 | 12.1 ± 6.2 | 78.4 ± 21.9 | – | 6.6 ± 11.3 | 117.0 ± 15.2 | – | – | – |
| Shapiro (1981) | 6  | M   | 21.7 ± NR | Running | Competition phase | 6 | 7.1 ± NR | 15.2 ± 6.3 | 90.8 ± 26.2 | – | 4.0 ± 2.9 | 113.7 ± 17.8 | – | – | – |
| Trinder (1982) | 10 | M   | 22.3 ± 2.3 | Distance running | NR | 20 | 9.2 ± 1.1 | 17.0 ± 8.1 | 88.0 ± 46.2 | – | 121.0 ± 27.1 | 30.5 ± 10.5 | 31.0 ± 90.5 | 199.9 ± 24.9 | 90.5 ± NR² |
| Driver (1994) | 8  | M   | 30.0 ± NR | Ultra-triathlon | Competition phase | 20 | 8.9 ± 0.8 | 26.0 ± 25.5 | 73.0 ± 19.7 | – | 125.0 ± 28.0 | 61.0 ± 15.4 | 280.0 ± 43.8 | 69.0 ± 21.7 |
| Taylor (1997) | 7  | F   | 19.0 ± 2.0 | Swimming | Competition phase | 7 | 7.5 ± 0.5 | 19.3 ± 3.5 | 73.3 ± 37.6 | – | 4.4 ± 6.4 | – | – | – |
| George (2003) | 52 | M   | 25.5 ± 2.4 | Football | Pre-season | 52 | 6.0 ± 0.5 | 21.7 ± 20.3 | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Hoshikawa (2007) | 8  | M   | 21.9 ± 1.6 | Distance running | Heavy training phase | 8 | 7.6 ± 0.3 | 9.7 ± 3.4 | 86.6 ± 26.3 | 94.6 ± 3.7 | 22.6 ± 15.4 | 97.8 ± 22.3 | 61.3 ± 14.0 | 209.3 ± 34.1 | 86.1 ± 22.3 |
| Gosselin (2009) | 7/4 | M/F | 22.6 ± 2.4 | Multi-sports | NR | 11 | 7.6 ± 0.3 | 8.6 ± 7.3 | 65.6 ± 13.8 | 94.8 ± 4.3 | 17.0 ± 7.6 | – | – | – |
| Hoshikawa (2010) | 7  | M   | 21.7 ± 1.6 | Distance running | Heavy training phase | 7 | 7.6 ± 0.3 | 9.3 ± 3.4 | 91.2 ± 24.6 | 94.4 ± 3.9 | 23.5 ± 16.4 | 97.6 ± 24.1 | 60.9 ± 15.1 | 208.9 ± 36.8 | 86.0 ± 24.1 |
| Hoshikawa (2013) | 7  | M   | – | NR | Heavy training phase | 7 | 7.6 ± 0.1 | 8.6 ± 3.3 | – | 94.5 ± 17 | 22.2 ± 8.5 | 103.1 ± 13.0 | 52.2 ± 25.0 | 236.9 ± 27.7 | 58.3 ± 22.7 |
| Sargent (2013) | 10 | M   | 15.6 ± 0.5 | Soccer | Pre-season | 10 | 8.0 ± 0.7 | 18.0 ± 8.0 | – | 86.0 ± 50 | 64.0 ± 39.0 | 107.0 ± 25.0 | 26.0 ± 13.0 | 216.0 ± 28.0 | 130.0 ± 19.0 |
| Sargent (2016) | 16 | M   | 19.3 ± 1.5 | Cycling | Pre-season | 122 | 8.5 ± 0.4 | 18.3 ± 12.6 | 89.6 ± 4.7 | 41.0 ± 22 | – | – | – | – |
| Suppiah (2015) | 4  | M   | – | Bowling | NA | 8 | 6.5 ± 0.3 | – | – | – | 4.4 ± 1.5 | 106.4 ± 16.5 | 191.0 ± 7.4³ | 93.1 ± 7.0 |
| Suppiah (2016) | 6  | M   | 14.7 ± 1.3 | Shooting | NA | 6 | 6.4 ± 0.1 | – | – | 2.2 ± 1.7 | 100.8 ± 38.1 | 43.7 ± 6.8⁴ | 29.0 ± 11.5 | – | – |
| Fuller (2017) | 21 | M   | 22.5 ± 2.7 | ARF and rugby | Pre-season | 67 | 7.6 ± 0.8 | 16.0 ± 15.5 | – | 88.7 ± 4.9 | 41.0 ± 21.6 | – | – | – |
| Duncan (2017) | 25 | M   | 25.0 ± 4.0 | Rugby | Heavy training phase | 25 | 6.6 ± 0.6 | 13.0 ± 11.0 | 89.0 ± 7.0 | 37.0 ± 30.0 | – | – | – | – |
| Estvill-Domenech (2018) | 9  | F   | 16.0 ± 0.8 | Basketball | In season | 9 | 6.7 ± 2.0 | 19.0 ± 13.6 | – | 95.2 ± 25.7 | – | – | – | – |
| Gerber (2018) | 25 | M/F | 17.2 ± 1.6 | NR | NR | 26 | 7.0 ± 0.7 | 17.0 ± 18.0 | 91.3 ± 7.2 | 94.0 ± 21.0 | 14.0 ± 8.0 | 214.0 ± 47.0 | 95.0 ± 26.0 | – | – |
| Knulitke (2018) | 98 | M/F | 18.8 ± 3.0 | Multi-sports | In season | 206 | – | – | – | – | 119.9 ± 42.0 | 234.0 ± 50.0² | 97.0 ± 31.0 | – | – |
| Romy (2018) | 12 | M   | 18.3 ± 1.0 | Soccer | NR | 12 | 8.1 ± 0.7 | – | 89.7 ± 7.2 | 22.9 ± 11.5 | 110.2 ± 15.9 | 28.4 ± 12.8 | 198.2 ± 38.1 | 147.6 ± 38.9 |
| Suppiah (2019) | 12 | M   | 8.0 ± 0.9⁴ | – | – | – | 89.4 ± 10.6 | 34.5 ± 37.1 | 90.3 ± 42.7 | 29.5 ± 12.4 | 204.5 ± 42.4 | 158.5 ± 36.5 |

Note: When available, the exact number of participants per sex was extracted.

* N3 sleep stage as deep sleep.
+ Combination of N1 and N2 sleep stages as light sleep.
+ Included daytime naps in the results.

Abbreviations: ARF = Australian Rules Football; F = female; M = male; NR = not reported; REM = rapid eye movement; ROL = REM onset latency; SD = standard deviation; SE = sleep efficiency; SOL = sleep onset latency; TST = total sleep time; WASO = wake after sleep onset.
| First author (publication year) | n | Sex | Age (year) | Type of sport | Training season | Nights recorded | TST (h) | SOL (min) | SE (%) | WASO (min) |
|-------------------------------|---|-----|------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|
| Wall (2003)44                  | 53| M/F | –          | Swimming      | Heavy training phase | 8              | 81.6 ± 4.4 | –       | –       |
| Richmond (2004)44              | 10| M   | 23.0 ± 2.0 | ARF           | In season       | 50             | 88.0 ± 3.8 | 57.0 ± 18.0 | –       | –         |
| Richmond (2007)44              | 19| M   | 24.1 ± 3.3 | ARF           | In season       | 76             | 93.1 ± 1.0 | –       | –       |
| Fietze (2009)45                | 9/15| M/F | 27.0 ± 5.0 | Dance         | NR             | 168            | 81.1 ± 4.4 | 72.1 ± 27.7 | –       | –         |
| Leeder (2012)46                | 11| M   | –          | Canoeing      | Competition phase | 44             | 81.8 ± 4.3 | 66.0 ± 17.0 | –       | –         |
| Sargent (2014)47               | 6/1| M/F | 22.5 ± 1.7 | Swimming      | Heavy training phase | 84             | 70.7 ± 15.1 | 17.6 ± 8.8 | –       | –         |
| Hoshikawa (2013)48             | 7 | F   | 19.6 ± 0.8 | Distance running | Heavy training phase | 49             | 93.6 ± 5.6 | 24.9 ± 20.4 | –       | –         |
| Lahart (2013)49                | 3 | M   | 37.5 ± 4.1 | Cycling       | Competition phase | 3              | 84.0 ± 2.0 | –       | –       |
| Fowler (2014)50                | 6 | M   | 23.4 ± NR  | ARF           | In season       | 6              | 82.3 ± 9.0 | –       | –       |
| Hauswirth (2014)51             | 9 | M   | 37.0 ± 6.0 | Triathlon     | Tapering        | 63             | 83.8 ± 6.3 | –       | –       |
| Lastella (2015)52              | 57/9| M/F | 21.8 ± 3.4 | Multi-sports (individual) | Competition phase | 754            | 859.5 ± 6.1 | –       | –       |
| Lastella (2015)52              | 104/20| M/F | 22.2 ± 3.0 | Multi-sports (team) | Competition phase | 613            | 864.4 ± 4.8 | –       | –       |
| Lastella (2014)53              | 16| M   | 18.8 ± 0.9 | Football      | Pre-season      | 16             | 81.2 ± 6.7 | –       | –       |
| Fowler (2015)54                | 16| M   | 27.0 ± NR  | ARF           | Pre-season      | 16             | 83.3 ± 6.7 | –       | –       |
| Killer (2017)55                | 10| M   | 25.0 ± 6.0 | Cycling       | NR             | 80             | 80.9 ± NR | –       | –       |
| Lastella (2015)56              | 21| M   | 22.2 ± 2.7 | Cycling       | Tapering       | 124            | 84.4 ± 5.3 | –       | –       |

(continued on next page)
Table 3 (Continued)

| First author (publication year) | n  | Sex | Age (year) | Type of sport | Training season | Nights recorded | TST (h) | SOL (min) | SE (%) | WASO (min) |
|--------------------------------|----|-----|------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|---------|--------|--------|------------|
| R honesty (2015)              | 40 | F   | 19.6 ± 1.5 | Netball        | Pre-season      | 7.1 ± 1.0       | –       | 85.1 ± 5.9 | –      | –          |
| Sargent (2014)                | 24 | M/F | 20.3 ± 2.9 | Multi-sports   | Pre-season      | 209             | 7.1 ± 0.9 | 85.7 ± 5.4 | –      | –          |
| Sargent (2016)                | 16 | M   | 19.3 ± 1.5 | Cycling        | Pre-season      | 157             | 8.2 ± 0.5 | 28.2 ± 26.2 | 85.2 ± 3.5 | 35.4 ± 7.6 |
| Schaal (2015)                 | 10 | F   | 20.4 ± 0.4 | Synchronized  | Heavy training phase | 70 | 7.2 ± 0.2 | 7.0 ± 0.2 | 84.7 ± 1.3 | –          |
| Shearer (2015)                | 28 | M   | 24.4 ± 2.9 | Rugby          | In season       | 112             | 7.1 ± 1.0 | 34.0 ± 4.0 | 79.0 ± 9.0 | –          |
| Suppiah (2015)                | 6  | M   | 18.1 ± 0.2 | Bowling        | NA              | 30              | 6.0 ± 0.1 | 6.7 ± 10.8 | 38.7 ± 18.3 | –          |
| van Maanen (2015)             | 5  | F   | 42.9 ± 8.0 | Reoparum (8 runners and 2 cyclists) | Ultra-endurance competition phase | 20 | 7.7 ± 2.0 | –       | –      | –          |
| Eagles (2014)                 | 10 | M   | 24.3 ± 2.6 | Rugby          | In season       | 120             | 7.7 ± 0.1 | –       | 87.0 ± 2.0 | –          |
| Fullagar (2016)               | 14 | M   | –          | Soccer         | Pre-season      | 14              | 6.9 ± 1.1 | 12.6 ± 11.6 | 89.2 ± 4.0 | 29.6 ± 17.0 |
| Louis (2016)                  | 10 | M   | 31.0 ± 4.7 | Triathlon      | Pre-season      | 14              | 6.2 ± 0.7 | 21.1 ± 16.9 | 84.6 ± 9.0 | 38.9 ± 27.5 |
| Pitchford (2017)              | 19 | M   | 22.1 ± 3.5 | ARF            | Pre-season      | 14              | 7.0 ± 1.1 | 9.8 ± 15.3 | 91.2 ± 4.1 | 25.2 ± 14.7 |
| Sargent (2017)                | 22 | M   | 22.1 ± 2.7 | ARF            | Pre-season      | 210             | 6.5 ± 0.1 | 10.0 ± 7.0 | 82.5 ± 6.8 | –          |
| Suppiah (2016)                | 26 | M   | 14.7 ± 1.3 | 15 Shooting/14 track and field | Pre-season | 308 | 8.1 ± 0.8 | 17.9 ± 11.1 | 82.2 ± 3.8 | –          |
| Thomson (2017)                | 31 | M   | 24.5 ± 3.9 | Rugby          | Pre-season      | 217             | 7.3 ± 0.1 | 21.0 ± 19.0 | 88.1 ± 4.2 | 42.2 ± 17.5 |
| Van Ryswyk (2017)             | 25 | M   | 23.7 ± 2.0 | ARF            | Pre-season      | 403             | 5.9 ± 0.1 | 9.0 ± 7.0 | 79.6 ± 8.3 | 81.6 ± 39.9 |
| Vitale (2017)                 | 12 | M   | 21.1 ± 2.3 | Soccer (M-Type) | NR          | 100             | 7.1 ± 0.9 | –       | 82.3 ± 6.5 | –          |
|                                 |    | M   | 22.9 ± 2.9 | Soccer (E-Type) | –           | 11              | –       | 10.6 ± 11.1 | 85.1 ± 5.7 | –          |
|                                 |    | M   | 24.3 ± 2.1 | Rugby          | Pre-season      | 49              | 6.9 ± 0.4 | 20.1 ± 14.0 | 87.3 ± 3.0 | –          |
|                                 |    | M   | 25.5 ± 3.7 | Rugby          | In season       | 49              | 6.9 ± 0.4 | 17.0 ± 7.5 | 85.9 ± 1.8 | –          |
|                                 |    | M   | 22.4 ± 2.4 | Rugby          | In season       | 49              | 7.5 ± 0.1 | 16.0 ± 18.0 | 87.8 ± 3.8 | –          |
|                                 |    | M   | 18.8 ± 0.9 | Rugby          | Pre-season      | 49              | 6.8 ± 0.1 | 20.0 ± 18.0 | 84.5 ± 5.8 | –          |
|                                 |    | M   | 24.3 ± 2.1 | Rugby          | Pre-season      | 264             | 6.9 ± 0.1 | –       | –       | –          |
|                                 |    | M   | 25.5 ± 3.7 | Rugby          | In season       | 42              | 6.9 ± 0.2 | 13.0 ± 1.0 | 80.0 ± 2.9 | 77.0 ± 9.0 |
|                                 |    | M   | 22.4 ± 2.4 | Rugby          | In season       | 49              | 6.0 ± 0.1 | 18.0 ± 1.0 | 67.0 ± 2.0 | 142.0 ± 8.0 |
|                                 |    | M   | 18.8 ± 0.9 | Rugby          | Pre-season      | 133             | 7.6 ± 0.8 | –       | 92.3 ± 1.4 | 42.0 ± 29.0 |
|                                 |    | M   | 17.2 ± 5.1 | Judo           | Pre-season      | 18              | 6.7 ± 0.8 | 29.5 ± 27.2 | 84.0 ± 9.3 | 7.5 ± 5.0 |
|                                 |    | M   | 18.9 ± 2.9 | Netball        | In season       | 12              | 7.3 ± 0.8 | 46.0 ± 44.6 | 85.5 ± 5.6 | 6.5 ± 5.0 |
|                                 |    | F   | 19.2 ± 0.9 | Netball        | In season       | 12              | 7.3 ± 0.8 | 24.4 ± 27.4 | 88.3 ± 3.5 | –          |
|                                 |    | F   | 19.2 ± 1.0 | Netball        | In season       | 504             | 7.3 ± 1.0 | 7.6 ± 3.8 | 88.3 ± 3.5 | –          |

(continued on next page)
| First author (publication year) | n  | Sex | Age (year) | Type of sport | Training season | Nights recorded | TST (h)  | SOL (min) | SE (%) | WASO (min) |
|--------------------------------|----|-----|------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------|--------|------------|
| Miller (2017)                    | 16 | M   | 27.3 ± 3.4 | ARF           | NR             | 252            | 8.0 ± 0.6| 12.1 ± 6.2| 89.3 ± 2.9| --         |
|                                | 7  | M   | 27.0 ± 0.5 | Soccer        |                | 504            | 7.3 ± 0.9| 5.9 ± 5.5 | 87.3 ± 4.3| --         |
|                                | 28 | M   | Rugby union| Pre-season    |                | 252            | 7.0 ± 0.5| 9.3 ± 9.0 | 88.0 ± 5.7| --         |
| O’Donnell (2017)                 | 26 | F   | 23.0 ± 6.0 | Nethball      | Pre-season     | 196            | 7.2 ± 1.6| 9.0 ± 11.0| 88.5 ± 4.2| 57.0 ± NR  |
| Staunton (2017)                  | 17 | F   | --         | Basketball    | In season      | 182            | 7.3 ± 0.1| 28.6 ± 15.6| 80.6 ± 6.5| --         |
| Whitworth-Turner (2017)          | 11 | M   | 18.0 ± 1.0 | Soccer        | In season      | 54             | 8.1 ± 1.1| 24.0 ± 15.0| 94.0 ± 3.0| 6.0 ± 9.0  |
| Blanchfield (2018)               | 11 | M   | 35.0 ± 12.0| Running       | Heavy training | 44             | 7.0 ± 0.7| --         | --     | --         |
| Caia (2018)                      | 24 | M   | 25.4 ± 3.3 | Rugby         | In season      | 182            | 7.3 ± 0.8| 9.3 ± 9.0 | 89.6 ± 2.9| --         |
| Carrico (2018)                   | 25 | M   | 26.3 ± 4.7 | Soccer        | In season      | 368            | 7.3 ± 0.8| 6.0 ± 4.0 | 88.0 ± 5.0| 56.0 ± 30  |
| Costa (2019)                     | 16 | F   | 20.4 ± 2.1 | Soccer        | In season      | 368            | 7.9 ± 0.7| 4.0 ± 4.0 | 89.0 ± 4.0| 55.0 ± 24  |
| Costa (2019)                     | 17 | F   | 21.6 ± 2.3 | Soccer        | In season      | 306            | 7.2 ± 0.5| 8.0 ± 2.0 | 90.0 ± 4.0| 37.0 ± 12  |
| Dunican (2019)                   | 20 | M   | 26.0 ± 3.0 | Rugby         | In season      | 20             | 6.4 ± 0.9| 55.4 ± 4.4| 78.7 ± 9.8| 10.1 ± 8.0 |
| Knufinke (2018)                  | 42/56 | M/F | 18.8 ± 3.0 | Multi-sports  | In season      | 282            | 7.5 ± 1.1| 14.0 ± 7.0| 88.7 ± 5.6| 31.0 ± 16.0 |
| Lalor (2018)                     | 45 | M   | 22.1 ± 3.3 | ARF           | Pre-season     | 450            | 9.2 ± 0.1| 12.0 ± 0.9| 81.4 ± 0.4| 79.0 ± 1.7 |
| Nedelec (2019)                   | 20 | M   | 26.0 ± 4.6 | Soccer        | In season      | 73             | 6.4 ± 0.9| 38.0 ± 35.0 | 79.1 ± 10.5| --         |
| O’Donnell (2018)                 | 10 | F   | 23.0 ± 6.0 | Nethball      | In season      | 10             | 8.8 ± 0.1| 27.5 ± 34.7| 85.3 ± 7.2| --         |
| O’Donnell (2018)                 | 11 | F   | 23.0 ± 4.0 | Nethball      | In season      | 11             | 8.5 ± 1.0| 23.4 ± 14.7| 82.4 ± 6.4| --         |
| Rosa (2018)                      | 11/11 | M/F | 24.8 ± 3.4 | Swimming      | Pre-season     | 44             | 7.6 ± 0.6| 21.0 ± 6.0| 84.7 ± 0.7| 49.0 ± 5.0 |
| Thornton (2018)                  | 14 | M   | 26.1 ± 2.9 | Rugby         | Pre-season     | 585            | 6.9 ± 1.2| --         | 92.3 ± 3.0| 35.0 ± 15.6 |
| Vitale (2019)                    | 12/12 | M/F | 26.0 ± 3.4 | Volleyball    | In season      | 24             | --       | 9.0 ± 5.1 | 81.1 ± 4.3| 12.2 ± 2.7 |
| Lastella (2019)                  | 7  | M   | 25.2 ± 3.2 | Soccer        | In season      | 63             | 7.0 ± 1.6| 8.5 ± 11.1| 87.5 ± 4.0| --         |
| Ramos-Campo (2019)               | 14 | M   | 27.6 ± 7.4 | Distance running| Heavy training phase| 14             | 6.8 ± 1.9| --         | 93.5 ± 4.0| --         |
|                                 |     |     |            |               |               | 14             | 6.8 ± 1.1| --         | 88.2 ± 3.5| --         |
|                                 |     |     |            |               |               | 14             | 6.2 ± 1.5| --         | 91.2 ± 5.2| --         |

(continued on next page)
Deconstructing athletes' sleep

Table 3 (Continued)

| First author (publication year) | Sex | Age (year) | Type of sport | TST (h) | SOL (min) | WASO (min) | SE (%) |
|--------------------------------|-----|------------|---------------|---------|-----------|------------|-------|
| Sekiguchi (2019) | 14 | 6.1 | Female | 18.3 ± 3.6 | 84.3 ± 5.6 | 5.6 ± 1.1 | 84.3 ± 5.6 |
| | 70 | 0.2 | | | | | |
| Suppiah (2018) | 64 | 12 | Male | 13.8 ± 1.0 | 90.0 ± 10 | 7.0 ± 0.2 | 89.0 ± 10 |
| | 19 | 5.4 | | | | | |
| Walsh (2019) | 93 | 13.8 ± 10 | Female | 14.8 ± 10 | 87.5 ± 0.2 | 6.7 ± 0.2 | 89.0 ± 10 |
| | 95 | 2.0 | | | | | |

Note: When available, the exact number of participants per sex was extracted.
Abbreviations: ARF = Australian Rules Football; F = female; M = male; NA = non-applicable; NR = not reported; SD = standard deviation; SE = sleep efficiency; SOL = sleep onset latency; TST = total sleep time; WASO = wake after sleep onset.

3.8. Sleep quantity and quality according to age

As shown in Table 4, TST varied significantly throughout age groups. Children and adolescent athletes slept on average 60 min less than the young adults group, and 36 min less than middle-aged adults. No significant difference in SOL was found among age groups. SE was 5.6% and 6.6% less in children and adolescents, compared to young and middle-aged adults, respectively. The same trend was present for WASO. According to sleep architecture, data were lacking for middle-aged adults. REM sleep stage was 15% less in children/adolescents than in young adults, whilst there were no available data for middle-aged adults. Athletic expertise classification between age groups showed that SOL was longer in each elite age group, as compared to the semi-elite corresponding group.

3.9. Sleep quantity and quality in relation to training season

For both sleep quality and sleep quantity, moderate fluctuations were present among training seasons. TST was less during heavy training phases than in pre-season/tapering phases or competition phases (6.7 h vs. 7.3 h vs. 7.4 h, respectively). SE was found to be less during heavy training phases and greater during in-season/competition phases (84.5% vs. 87.5%). According to sleep architecture, even if data are elusive, N3 sleep during the pre-season/tapering phase was reported to be longer than during the in-season/competition and heavy training phases (130.0 min vs. 97.0 min vs. 74.6 min, respectively) (Table 4).

3.10. Sleep quantity and quality in relation to sports type

The majority of the included articles studied participants in purely aerobic or mixed sports, whereas only 2 studies included participants in sports that require mostly power/explosiveness. According to this categorization, SOL was longer in power/explosiveness sports compared to other sports, with a large SD (24.2 ± 31.3 min). According to sleep architecture, athletes in anaerobic sports had slightly less REM sleep compared with athletes in aerobic or mixed sports (103.0 min vs. 111.8 min vs. 107.9 min, respectively). N3 “deep sleep” was longer in athletes in mixed sports than in athletes in aerobic sports, and over 2-fold longer than athletes in power/explosiveness sports (139.5 min vs. 78.2 min vs. 61.0 min, respectively). Comparing individual and team sports, no great differences were present, except for N3 sleep, which was longer in athletes participating in team sports than for athletes participating in individual sports (Table 4).
| Categorization | Subgroup | Group/phase/sports | TST (h) | SOL (min) | SE (%) | WASO (min) | ROL (min) | REM (min) | N1 (min) | N2 (min) | N3 (min) |
|----------------|----------|-------------------|--------|----------|-------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| According to monitoring tool | Overall | | 7.2 ± 1.1 | 14.8 ± 17.0 | 86.3 ± 6.8 | 52.7 ± 32.0 | 81.1 ± 31.2 | 114.1 ± 35.4 | 47.9 ± 31.0 | 240.5 ± 53.6 | 91.5 ± 35.8 |
| | Polysomnography/electroencephalography | | 7.6 ± 1.1 | 17.5 ± 17.6 | 90.2 ± 6.8 | 30.3 ± 25.3 | – | – | – | – | – |
| | Actigraphy | | 7.2 ± 1.1 | 14.7 ± 16.9 | 86.3 ± 6.8 | 54.0 ± 31.9 | – | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| According to sex | Male | | 7.2 ± 1.1 | 15.7 ± 17.0 | 85.2 ± 7.2 | 54.8 ± 32.9 | 84.9 ± 32.3 | 111.3 ± 26.0 | 55.8 ± 29.0 | 246.7 ± 53.3 | 82.9 ± 42.0 |
| | Female | | 7.5 ± 1.2 | 9.7 ± 11.2 | 89.1 ± 4.8 | 46.6 ± 23.3 | 66.1 ± 23.5 | – | – | NA | NA |
| According to sex and monitoring tool | Male | | 7.7 ± 1.1 | 17.7 ± 18.2 | 89.8 ± 5.5 | 32.3 ± 25.5 | 84.9 ± 32.3 | 111.3 ± 26.0 | 55.8 ± 29.0 | 246.7 ± 53.3 | 82.9 ± 42.0 |
| | Female | | 7.4 ± 1.3 | 18.0 ± 8.8 | 95.2 ± 25.7 | 7.5 ± 9.1 | 66.1 ± 23.5 | – | – | NA | NA |
| | Polysomnography/electroencephalography | | 7.2 ± 1.1 | 15.5 ± 16.9 | 85.1 ± 7.2 | 57.0 ± 32.7 | – | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | Actigraphy | | 7.5 ± 1.2 | 9.6 ± 11.2 | 89.1 ± 4.7 | 47.1 ± 23.0 | – | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| According to athletic expertise | Elite | | 7.2 ± 1.2 | 18.4 ± 19.4 | 85.9 ± 7.0 | 53.2 ± 32.2 | – | 116.2 ± 40.9 | 14.0 ± 8.0 | 214.0 ± 47.0 | 96.8 ± 30.4 |
| | Semi-elite | | 7.3 ± 1.0 | 9.5 ± 10.5 | 87.4 ± 6.1 | 52.1 ± 31.8 | 81.1 ± 31.2 | 111.3 ± 26.0 | 55.8 ± 29.0 | 246.7 ± 53.3 | 82.9 ± 42.0 |
| According to sex and athletic expertise | Elite | | 7.3 ± 1.2 | 17.1 ± 17.7 | 84.9 ± 7.2 | 56.0 ± 31.7 | – | – | – | – | – |
| | Semi-elite | | 7.4 ± 1.4 | 27.2 ± 18.1 | 89.5 ± 5.3 | – | – | – | – | – |
| According to age group | Children and adolescents (6–17 years) | | 6.3 ± 0.8 | 14.5 ± 13.2 | 80.3 ± 8.8 | 74.0 ± 40.8 | – | 98.8 ± 26.2 | 17.3 ± 10.9 | 214.6 ± 42.2 | 82.7 ± 43.3 |
| | Young adults (18–24 years) | | 7.3 ± 1.1 | 15.1 ± 17.5 | 85.9 ± 6.4 | 53.7 ± 30.2 | 80.9 ± 32.0 | 116.8 ± 37.1 | 59.5 ± 29.1 | 254.0 ± 56.2 | 93.5 ± 33.9 |
| | Middle-aged adults (25–40 years) | | 6.9 ± 1.0 | 13.8 ± 15.5 | 86.9 ± 7.2 | 40.4 ± 28.0 | 82.7 ± 26.5 | – | – | – | – |
| According to age group and athletic expertise | Children and adolescents (6–17 years) | | 6.4 ± 1.0 | 17.5 ± 16.8 | 82.0 ± 8.7 | 74.0 ± 37.8 | – | 94.0 ± 21.0 | 14.0 ± 8.0 | 214.0 ± 47.0 | 95.0 ± 26.0 |
| | Young adults (18–24 years) | | 6.1 ± 0.7 | 13.9 ± 12.3 | 79.0 ± 8.7 | 74.0 ± 41.3 | – | 104.1 ± 30.5 | 26.0 ± 13.0 | 216.0 ± 28.0 | 69.5 ± 54.0 |
| | Middle-aged adults (25–40 years) | | 7.6 ± 0.6 | 10.0 ± 8.7 | 85.5 ± 6.6 | 73.2 ± 27.3 | 82.7 ± 26.4 | – | – | – | – |
| According to sports season | Heavy training phase | | 6.7 ± 0.8 | 16.0 ± 17.9 | 84.5 ± 8.4 | 50.3 ± 46.4 | 88.7 ± 24.7 | 105.6 ± 25.4 | 57.7 ± 20.2 | 216.2 ± 32.9 | 74.6 ± 41.5 |
| | In-season/Competition phase | | 7.4 ± 1.2 | 14.8 ± 18.3 | 87.5 ± 6.2 | 43.9 ± 25.4 | 81.6 ± 26.8 | 118.5 ± 39.7 | – | – | 97.0 ± 31.0 |
| | Pre-season/tapering | | 7.3 ± 1.1 | 14.6 ± 13.3 | 85.3 ± 6.7 | 59.4 ± 33.2 | 60.7 ± 8.9 | 107.0 ± 25.0 | 26.0 ± 13.0 | 216.0 ± 28.0 | 130.0 ± 19.0 |
| According to sport type | Purely aerobic | | 7.2 ± 1.0 | 16.5 ± 15.6 | 86.4 ± 6.2 | 48.9 ± 36.6 | 82.3 ± 31.8 | 111.8 ± 24.5 | 62.8 ± 24.5 | 248.5 ± 55.3 | 78.2 ± 30.6 |
| | Power/explosiveness | | 8.7 ± 0.9 | 24.2 ± 31.3 | 80.9 ± 5.3 | 77.0 ± 19.0 | 91.0 ± 44.7 | 103.0 ± 34.1 | 17.0 ± 40.5 | 271.0 ± 55.1 | 61.0 ± 33.0 |
| | Mixed sports | | 7.4 ± 1.2 | 13.3 ± 15.5 | 86.6 ± 7.0 | 52.6 ± 30.8 | – | 107.9 ± 19.6 | 27.3 ± 12.6 | 206.3 ± 34.3 | 139.5 ± 28.9 |
| | Individual sports | | 7.0 ± 1.1 | 18.1 ± 20.4 | 86.1 ± 6.5 | 53.8 ± 38.2 | 84.0 ± 34.5 | 109.3 ± 27.5 | 65.8 ± 31.2 | 256.7 ± 55.8 | 66.9 ± 34.0 |
| | Team sports | | 7.4 ± 1.2 | 13.5 ± 16.0 | 86.7 ± 6.8 | 51.6 ± 29.6 | – | 108.7 ± 20.1 | 27.3 ± 12.6 | 206.3 ± 34.3 | 139.6 ± 32.1 |

Note: “–” denotes that there were no available data.

Data were available only from 1 study.

Abbreviations: NA = not applicable; REM = rapid eye movement; ROL = REM onset latency; SE = sleep efficiency; SOL = sleep onset latency; TST = total sleep time; WASO = wake after sleep onset.
3.11. Sleep during ultra-endurance competitions

The majority of the studies used actigraphic devices to evaluate sleep after ultra-endurance competitions. During multi-day ultra-endurance competitions, mean TST ranged from 7.0 ± 0.9 h to 7.7 ± 2.0 h, with SE ranging from 83.0 ± 6.0 h to 85.1% ± 5.9%. In case of extreme ultra-endurance team-sport races such as roparun, athletes obtained a mean of 2.2 ± 0.3 h of TST with 83.0% ± 6.0% SE. According to sleep architecture, no longitudinal EEG data were available for multi-day ultra-endurance events. Nevertheless, it was shown that immediately after an ultra-triathlon race, REM sleep decreased, wakefulness increased, and slow wave sleep, corresponding to N3 sleep stage, was not different compared to a no-exercise scenario.

4. Discussion

The current review aimed to summarize objectively measured sleep data from a wide range of athletic populations in order to (1) develop an integrative perspective of athletes’ sleep, (2) identify potential sleep issues or related risk factors, and (3) reveal possible gaps in current knowledge. Since norms and recommendations for athletes’ sleep have not been established yet, this review points toward a general depiction of athletes’ sleep. The current review reveals that sleep quantity of athletes is reduced and potentially insufficient according to the general consensus of the AASM for non-athlete healthy adults.32 Thus, overall sleep quality appears inadequate, which highlights the need for sleep-optimizing interventions, especially for children and adolescent athletes, for which specific guidelines are not available. Furthermore, periods of heavy training load were identified as being more sensitive to potential alterations in sleep quality, while sleep architecture may be modified during pre-season.

4.1. Sleep quantity

Evidence suggests that sleep duration in athletes is limited to 7.2 h/night, across all investigated categories. All studies reported TST mean values shorter than 8 h/night, which is considered to be the lowest values of the cut-off point of 7 h according to the guidelines of AASM for healthy adults.32 Notably, the shortest mean TST was 6.3 h, which was reported in children and adolescents,33 in contrast with the current AASM guidelines for the pediatric population, which suggest 8–12 h/night.21 In early adulthood, average sleep duration was marginally within the suggested >7 h of sleep per night.32 In contrast, in middle-aged athletes, TST was below this recommended cut-off. However, these suggested limits for a healthy population may underestimate sleep needs in athletes, since the recovery demand in sports is increased.34 This fact is supported by studies that found improvements in sports performance when sleep was extended up to approximately 2 h.34

The prevalence of insufficient sleep duration in elite athletes is well documented in the literature.35 In most cases athletes appear to obtain less than 8 h of sleep per night.36 This issue is also highlighted in young athletes.33,37 Student-athletes were found to sleep less than their non-athletic counterpart population.38 Similarly, adolescent athletes had less sleep than senior athletes.39 However, these studies included mainly athletes of Asian origin; hence, these results could have been influenced by the habits of this specific ethnic group.

Several factors, such as training or competition, have been shown to consistently correlate with athletes’ sleep quantity.14 An athlete’s training schedule impairs sleep duration because it reduces the time available for sleep.40,41 For young athletes, their daily schedule, including school attendance, may be a key factor in reducing sleep quantity. Furthermore, reduced sleep duration is often observed during training camps,42,43 where not only training schedules, but also training volume and intensity are increased. It has been previously shown that sleep duration is impaired in endurance athletes who experienced overreaching due to high training volume29,44 and that high-intensity training requires sufficient sleep time since recovery demands are elevated.45 For example, during multiple-day ultra-endurance competitions, such as roparun (a non-stop relay run between Rotterdam and Paris), athletes sleep is considerably reduced to 2.2 h.29

Because sleep is a key restorative factor for daytime-induced fatigue, insufficient sleep duration may be a risk factor for several hormonal imbalances that suppress muscle growth46 and may result in unfavorable body composition.47 Inadequate sleep quantity in athletes extends its consequences to sports performance. In contrast, benefits derived from adequate sleep duration translated into higher team rankings in a netball tournament.48 Overall, variation in sleep quantity affects mostly psychomotor vigilance.49 Because adolescent athletes tend to sleep more over the weekends to counterbalance their weekday sleep debt, their psychomotor vigilance time was found to be shorter on Monday than on Tuesday or Friday.50 Additionally, in a study by Choi and colleagues,33 longer sleep duration correlated with improved shuttle bouncing performance in junior badminton players.

4.2. Sleep quality

A key finding of the present review is that reduced sleep quality is reported among athletes. In the reviewed athletic sample, children and adolescents, independently of athletic expertise, exhibited a notably low SE (80.3%). However, SE alone cannot recognize short episodes of wakefulness; therefore, examination of SOL and WASO is important. In the present review, no significant differences were reported for SOL, but WASO was longer among children and adolescents (74.0 min). This fact may be indicative of long or frequent wake bouts during nocturnal sleep. Furthermore, low SE and elevated WASO was more noticeable in pre-season training camps42,43 compared with in-season and off-season (Table 4).

Overall, there seems to be an interrelation among several sleep quality parameters such as SOL, WASO, and SE.9 As shown in elite young athletes/rowers, falling asleep quickly (reduced SOL) was related to more restful sleep and fewer awakenings.37 However, overall SE was shown to be lower in
As with sleep quantity, sleep quality is affected by training and competition. A short period of intensified training results in progressive decrements in sleep quality among cyclists and triathletes. Extending this period—for example, during training camps or during a cycling grand tour—may also impair SE. Overall, Wall and colleagues suggested that SE may be an objective predictor of overreaching in swimmers.

In regards to other health issues, low SE values are indicative of the development or establishment of health problems such as increases in systolic blood pressure or lower cognitive function. Also, Choi and colleagues showed that higher SE was related with better shuttle bouncing performance in young badminton players.

4.3. Sleep architecture

The data we reviewed on sleep architecture showed that athletes of both sexes spend approximately 114.1 min of their sleep time in the REM stage, 47.9 min in N1 stage, 240.5 min in N2 stage, and 91.5 min in N3 stage. Converting these sleep stages from minutes to percentages of TST, the following distribution is obtained: 23.1% REM, 9.7% N1, 48.7% N2, and 18.5% N3 sleep stage. In comparison, a typical non-athletic adult spends approximately 25% of sleep time in REM stage, 5% in N1 stage, 50% in N2 stage, and 20% in N3 stage. Early studies showed that athletes tended to spend more time in non-REM sleep and less time in REM sleep compared to non-athletic controls. However, ultra-endurance competition changes athletes’ sleep architecture by increasing REM sleep stage, while N3 sleep stage is not affected.

A factor that was identified as being associated with alterations in sleep architecture in athletes was pre-season training period. It was observed that during the pre-season period the amount of sleep in the N3 sleep stage is extended. However, these data were calculated from a limited number of studies, and generalized assumptions should be made with caution.

Findings based on training volume and sleep architecture show that after endurance races, REM proportion is reduced and N3 stage sleep may increase. Driver and colleagues found that the REM stage was a more sensitive indicator of exercise-induced stress than N3, since REM changed only after 15.0 km and 42.2 km runs. Sapiro and colleagues showed that after a 92-km road race, REM proportion was increased and N3 stage sleep was increased. During pre-season and peak training period, N3 sleep has also been found to increase. Recent data show that N3 stage sleep increases in response to higher physiological restorative demand in athletes (as indicated by higher resting heart rate and lower heart rate variability). Trinder et al. found that, according to the type of sport, N3 was higher in athletes practicing an aerobic sport compared to an anaerobic sport.

4.4. Sleep optimization interventions

Several interventions have been implemented in order to improve athletes’ sleep, such as bright-light exposure, cold-water immersion, napping, nutritional interventions, and sleep hygiene education. Some interventions may improve sleep in the short term, but these improvements are not sustained in the long term. Factors that were thought to negatively affect sleep, such as the use of electronic devices in the evening, should perhaps be reconsidered before relevant interventions are established.

Thus, awareness should be raised when investigating easily applicable and targeted sleep optimization interventions. Supplementing nocturnal sleep with daily naps has shown mixed results. In adolescent athletes, daily naps showed varying effects on sports-specific performance. For example, 20-m run performance improved but no effect was observed in shooting performance. Furthermore, after travel, napping showed no significant benefit in Wingate performance. On the other hand, Blanchfield and colleagues reported benefits in endurance performance after nap supplementation of nocturnal sleep among athletes with a TST of <7 h. Similarly, Waterhouse and colleagues showed that post-lunch napping improved alertness and sprint performance in sleep-deprived subjects.

More promising results were found in studies that tried to prolong nocturnal sleep duration. In basketball players, extension of TST correlated with improvements in athletic performance. In a recent study, extension of sleep duration induced by a meal containing high glycemic index carbohydrates was related to faster reaction time the following morning. Notably, sleep quantity and quality were modified by administering a post-exercise meal containing high glycemic index carbohydrates, thus underlining the need for easily applicable sleep-optimizing interventions. For example, tart cherry juice was found to be an effective nutrition intervention for improving sleep duration and quality in healthy individuals. Nutritional interventions should be used with caution, however, as they may result in improved athletic performance but still have a negative effect on sleep. For example, a study by Miller and colleagues showed that caffeine supplementation leads to improvements in endurance sports performance but impaired sleep duration in athletes.

4.5. Other factors that may affect sleep in athletes

Sleep is a multidimensional construct that alters throughout the human life span according to an individual’s habits, such as electronic device use. Due to the nature of both their training and competition, athletes are exposed to several additional risk factors that may alter sleep patterns and induce sleep deprivation. For instance, environmental factors that influence sleep include traveling across different time zones, training in heat, conditions that lead to hypoxia, and psychological issues derived from competition stress.

Practicing a sport since adolescence seems to alter sleep quantity. It has been shown that sleep onset and wake time differ between athletes and non-athletes, with young athletes going to sleep earlier and waking earlier compared to controls who are training recreationally or are sedentary. Because training schedules demand that student athletes wake up early
in the morning, electronic device usage may contribute considerably to the reduced sleep of adolescent athletes. For example, the use of smartphones after lights out may impair athletes’ sleep, and unrestricted Internet access appears to be related to fewer hours of sleep among young athletes. On the other hand, some recent studies have shown that electronic device use does not necessarily affect sleep quantity and quality in young adults.

Recently, Fowler and colleagues showed that professional rugby players who travelled from Australia to the UK needed on average of 5 days to restore their sleep-wake patterns. Waterhouse and colleagues showed that appropriate itinerary arrangements might reduce some of the negative effects of jet lag. In contrast, one study showed that interstate travel did not affect sleep patterns in athletes on the night before a game.

Pedlar and colleagues found that sleep duration was not impaired when training was performed at high altitude and that sleeping in a normobaric hypoxic tent led to impaired duration of stage N1 and N2 sleep but led to no significant changes in deep sleep or REM sleep. Sleeping at high altitude showed that REM sleep initially falls acutely but afterwards rises gradually from Day 1 to Day 15. In contrast, deep sleep was shown to decline gradually during exposure to altitude. Similarly, sleep disturbances as a response to high altitude have also been observed in female middle distance runners and young soccer players of various levels. Ambient temperature is an additional environmental parameter that may impair sleep. As shown by Skein and colleagues, 5 consecutive days of training in the heat can impair sleep quality.

Another factor that has been investigated for its effect on athletes’ sleep is chronotype. It is proposed that chronotype not only affects ratings of perceived exertion and fatigue scores and performance, but it also affects sleep quality following exercise training. In regard to chronobiology and circadian rhythm, it was recently shown that rest–activity circadian rhythms vary among different sport disciplines, and this has an impact on sleep onset and wake times.

4.6. Methodological issues and future research directions

The current study aimed to analyze athletes’ sleep intra-variability using only objectively measured sleep-related data while excluding extreme environmental factors that could affect sleep. Objectively measured data was used because it is well documented that subjective measures, such as sleep logs, often overestimate athlete sleep duration. Likewise, commercially available wearable devices also show poor accuracy and reliability for sleep tracking. In athletic populations, wearable devices may overestimate TST, providing questionable results. Nevertheless, wearables are practical, user-friendly, non-invasive devices that, after adequate validation, could be useful for coaches and sports scientists to use in longitudinally monitoring athletes’ sleep.

Analyzing only objectively measured data revealed gaps in current methodologies and existing literature. When comparing data across studies, differences in the way each sleep variable was calculated may significantly affect the outcomes. For example, in some studies, SE was artificially higher because its calculation did not include the SOL measure. Regarding sleep-related measures, data were scarce for certain categories of participants, and especially for sleep architecture measures. Sleep stages of female athletes, at both the elite and semi-elite levels, were not presented separately. Sleep architecture data were also understudied for elite male athletes and middle-aged adult athletes. No data were retrieved in the literature search for masters athletes or athletes in late adulthood.

Thus, it is difficult to measure sleep architecture in elite athletes across all ranges of the human lifespan. Therefore, additional studies are needed to investigate sleep stages and their relations to various physiological measures in athletes. Future studies should also focus on sleep evaluation of female athletes. An important outcome of this review is that athletes, especially children and adolescents, present overall poor sleep quality and quantity. Thus, easily applicable interventions should be investigated to optimize sleep and sleep-related parameters in junior athletes.

5. Conclusion

The current systematic review showed that athletes slept on average 7.2 h/night with 86.3% SE. Notably, athletes in junior categories demonstrated low SE (80.3%), with no significant increases in SOL, but with long WASO. Several exercise- and sports-related parameters that can potentially influence sleep quantity and architecture were analyzed, such as athletic expertise, training season, and sports type. Data were lacking for certain age- and sex-specific categories, such as females and masters athletes. Therefore, more studies should be conducted to evaluate sleep architecture among these categories of athletes. Further research is needed to establish sleep recommendations for athletes and promote sleep-optimizing interventions, especially for children and adolescent athletes.
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