INTRODUCTION

Risk stratification helps to find out the risks associated with a specific patient for a particular procedure. Stratifying risk in the preoperative period helps in better prognostication of individual patients thus enabling better counselling. It can also help organisations to plan proper allocation of resources. There is a lot of literature and emphasis on preoperative risk stratification scores in cardiac surgery even in the Indian population. However, risk scoring models in thoracic surgery are relatively new and are less often used. Some available scoring systems for thoracic surgery are Thoracoscore, European Society Objective Score risk model and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons risk model. The new British Thoracic Society guidelines now insist on preoperative risk assessment by incorporation of scoring system for proper patient selection and providing risk adjusted in-hospital
mortality for individual patients undergoing thoracic surgery.\(^5\)

Generally, risk stratification systems are developed in a large cohort of population in one country and then validated in other countries. The ethnicity of population, nature of disease, treatment modalities and surgical skills are varied in different countries. Thus, risk stratification models need validation in a particular country before being put into clinical use. The original Thoracoscore was derived from data of 15183 patients who underwent thoracic surgery in 59 French hospitals.\(^2\) As per our knowledge, there is no literature available on its ability to predict mortality in the Indian population. Thus, we planned the present study with the primary objective of validating Thoracoscore in the Indian population. The secondary objective was to look for additional perioperative variables that may be contributing to mortality in Indian thoracic surgical patients.

**METHODS**

We conducted the present retrospective study after obtaining institutional ethics committee approval (EC/NIMS/2295/2019) and in accordance with the principles of the declaration of Helsinki. All adult patients who had open lung surgery (pneumonectomy, lobectomy, decortications, others (wedge resection, cyst excision, bronchopleural fistula closure)) via thoracotomy between the period of 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2018 were included in the study. Using the electronically stored data from the department of cardiothoracic surgery and anaesthesia department, the case records and intraoperative anaesthesia charts of patients were retrieved. Data was collected as per risk factors identified in Thoracoscore. Thoracoscore has nine variables like age, sex, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) score, performance status, dyspnoea score, priority of surgery (elective/emergency), procedure class (pneumonectomy/other), diagnosis group (benign/malignant) and comorbidity score [Appendix 1]. Data was also collected on additional preoperative variables like presence of moderate to severe pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) [defined as moderate if pulmonary artery systolic pressure was 35-55 mmHg and severe if >55mmHg], any redo surgery and intraoperative variables like duration of anaesthesia, one lung ventilation, and surgery, amount of blood loss and number of blood transfusions. Patients having missing information on any of the above mentioned variables were excluded from the study. In-hospital mortality was also noted. Predicted mortality was calculated from the online Thoracoscore calculator (https://sfar.org/scores2/thoracoscore2.php). Surgical category wise predicted and observed mortality was noted.

All the statistical analysis was done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 20 software (2011, International Business Machines, Armonk, New York, United States of America). The continuous variables in the present study were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and were analysed with unpaired t-test. The categorical variables were stated as frequencies (percentages) and evaluated using Chi-square test. Results were considered statistically significant if \(P\) value was less than 0.05. Thoracoscore is a risk prediction model based on preoperative risk factors. Thus, only the statistically significant preoperative variables were subjected to multiple logistic regression and the independent risk factors for mortality were identified. This was done to enable identification of additional factors not incorporated in Thoracoscore but implicated in mortality. The calibration and discrimination of Thoracoscore was analysed in our sample. Hosmer–Lemeshow test was used for assessment of calibration of Thoracoscore. It compares predicted versus actual mortality. If the test is non-significant then the calibration is good and vice versa. A risk model’s ability to differentiate high risk patients from low-risk ones is termed Discrimination. It is tested by calculating the area under curve (AUC) of the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. AUC value of <0.5 shows a very poor model. A value of 0.5 means that the model is no better than predicting an outcome other than random chance. Values above 0.7 and 0.8 indicate good and strong model, respectively. A value of 1 suggests perfect model.

**RESULTS**

A total of 441 patients were operated during the study period. Out of these, 47 were excluded due to incomplete data [Figure 1]. Thus, the final analysis included 394 patients. Thirteen (3.2%) patients had postoperative mortality while the predicted mortality was 0.44%. Mean age for the study population was 41.63 ± 14.34 years. There were 70% males and 30% females in our population [Table 1]. Lobectomy was the most common type of surgery performed [Table 2]. In the subgroup analysis, mortality was found to be maximum for pneumonectomies followed by
decortications. Predicted and observed mortality in the total population and various surgical subgroups were also noted [Table 2].

The Hosmer–Lemeshow Chi-square goodness of fit test showed a ($\chi^2$) value of 13.49 and corresponding significance of 0.03, thus revealing a poor calibration of the model. The AUC of ROC was 0.698 with confidence interval of 0.50-0.88 indicating a fair but not good discrimination ability of the model [Figure 2].

In the univariate analysis, presence of moderate to severe PAH and redo surgery were the significant preoperative variables implicated in mortality along with Thoracoscore. Duration of anaesthesia, duration of surgery, duration of one lung ventilation, blood loss, and number of transfusions were found to be significant intraoperative factors associated with mortality [Table 3].

Preoperative presence of PAH, redo surgery and Thoracoscore emerged as the independent risk factors of mortality in logistic regression analysis [Table 4].

**DISCUSSION**

Risk prediction scores are increasingly used across all surgical subspecialities to predict mortality in the preoperative period. As per current British guidelines, use of risk model system is mandatory for lung surgery.\(^{[5]}\) The notable risk models available for lung resection are Thoracoscore, European society objective score (ESOS.01), National lung cancer audit score (NLCA), and SABCIP score \([\text{S, A, clinical stage, Interstitial lung disease and P rocedure type}] from Japanese database.\(^{[3,6,7]}\) However, apart from Thoracoscore, all other scoring models were built to predict death, predominantly in lung cancer patients. Thus, we chose to validate Thoracoscore in our study sample which has a greater number of non-malignant cases as compared to malignant ones.

In the present study, Thoracoscore was found to underestimate mortality. The scoring system was

---

**Table 1: Comparison of Thoracoscore variables in the present study population and in the original Thoracoscore dataset**

| Original Thoracoscore dataset | Our set of population |
|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Number of patients | 10122 | 394 |
| In-hospital death (%) | 218 (2.1%) | 13 (3.2%) |
| Mean age in years | 54.6 | 41.6±13.74 |
| Male | 6932 (68.5%) | 276 (70%) |
| American Society of Anesthesiologists physical class ≥III | 2738 (28.5%) | 7 (1.8%) |
| Performance status ≥3 | 1722 (18.1%) | 14 (1%) |
| Dyspnoea score ≥3 | 1068 (10.5%) | 17 (4.3%) |
| Urgent surgery | 1582 (15.6%) | 5 (1.3%) |
| Pneumonectomy | 607 (6%) | 10.2% |
| Malignancy | 5783 (57.1%) | 66 (16.8%) |
| Number of comorbidities ≤2 | 8185 (80.8%) | 332 (84.3%) |
| ≥3 | 1937 (19.2%) | 62 (15.7%) |

Data presented as number (percentage)

**Table 2: Observed and predicted mortality in various surgical subgroups**

| Surgical subgroups | Number of patients (% of total) | In-hospital death (number) | Predicted mortality (%) | Observed mortality (%) |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Lobectomy | 213 (54.1) | 6 | 0.43 | 2.8 |
| Pneumonectomy | 38 (9.6) | 3 | 0.77 | 7.8 |
| Decortication | 95 (24.1) | 3 | 0.38 | 3.15 |
| Others | 48 (12.2) | 1 | 0.41 | 2.08 |
| Total | 394 (100) | 13 | 0.44 | 3.29 |

**Figure 1:** Flow chart of patient recruitment. PAH: Pulmonary arterial hypertension

**Figure 2:** Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve with area under curve (AUC) showing discrimination of Thoracoscore
found to have poor calibration and fair discrimination ability.

The mortality after thoracic surgery ranges between 1.38 and 5.2% in various studies and was 3.2% in the present study. Although the Thoracoscore values were statistically higher in patients who died (1.39 ± 1.52) as compared to those alive (0.41 ± 0.47), the overall score values were very low. The Thoracoscore also underestimated mortality in all surgical categories.

There could be many possible reasons for the failure of Thoracoscore to predict mortality accurately. Of notable importance is the fact that the patient characteristics of our study population were different from the original dataset. The mean age of the population in the study was 41.6 years which is almost a decade younger than the original dataset. Longer life expectancy in the western population may be the reason behind the higher age in the original Thoracoscore study derived from the French population. As compared to Thoracoscore original dataset, there were lesser number of patients in ASA class ≥3 (28.5% versus 1.8%), Performance status ≥3 (18.1% versus 1%) and dyspnoea grade ≥3 (10.5% versus 4.3%) in our study population. Our study population had more pneumonectomy patients as compared to the original database. Earlier studies by Qadri et al. concluded that Thoracoscore overpredicted mortality in patients undergoing pneumonectomy. However, the present study is not in agreement with these results. Most of the previous studies including the original Thoracoscore validated the score in study set predominantly consisting of patients with malignancy. Our study population had only 16.8% (57.1% in original Thoracoscore data) patients with cancer, which could be a possible explanation for disagreement of predictive ability of the scoring system. However, against the general perception that malignancy leans towards increased mortality and non-malignant patients are likely to have a better outcome, Thoracoscore underestimated mortality in our population. Thus, it is explicit that other factors, important in causation of mortality in the Indian setting, are missing in Thoracoscore. Various other factors could possibly be contributing to the disparity in outcome and these key factors deserve mention. India is a country where tuberculosis is endemic. Tuberculous lung disease when untreated can complicate and present in the form of pyothorax, pleural thickening, bronchopleural fistula, cavitary lesions with or without fungal balls, fibrosis and/or destruction of one lobe or the whole lung. Most of the thoracic surgeries in India are done to ameliorate the complications of tuberculosis and can range from simple window thoracotomy to decortication, lobe resections or pneumonectomy. In a study by Lee et al., tuberculosis patients were found to have a higher involvement of non-bronchial systemic arteries (NBSAs) and a significantly greater number of feeding vessels. It is possible that these patients have a higher amount of surgical bleeding during dissection of the affected lobe due to greater number of NBSAs and collateral feeding vessels. In the present study, amount of blood loss intraoperatively was a significant factor associated with mortality in univariate analysis. Further, higher bleeding might also have resulted in longer duration of surgery eventually leading to longer one lung ventilation and anesthesia duration. As we did not collect data on history of tuberculosis, we cannot establish a definite association. Further studies are warranted to explore this relation. Western literature rarely describes pulmonary tuberculosis (PTB) as a cause for development of PAH. PTB can lead to development of PAH due to damage caused to vasculature, endarteritis and parenchymal architectural changes. Thus, in a country like India, with a high burden of PTB, it is not unusual to find PAH in patients coming for surgery of PTB sequelae.

| Table 3: Comparison of variables between those who survived and those who did not |
| Variables | Alive (n = 381) | Death (n = 13) | P |
|-----------|----------------|---------------|---|
| Moderate to severe PAH (n) | 24 | 5 | 0.001 |
| Redo surgery (n) | 19 | 4 | 0.004 |
| Thoracoscore | 0.41±0.47 | 1.39±1.52 | 0.03 |
| Blood loss (ml) | 404.15±201.25 | 700±254.95 | 0.001 |
| Transfusion (units) | 0.44±0.75 | 1.69±1.3 | 0.005 |
| Duration of anaesthesia (minutes) | 204.04±96.83 | 284.31±12.36 | 0.02 |
| Duration of surgery (minutes) | 167.22±64.62 | 245.77±96.97 | 0.013 |
| Duration of OLV (minutes) | 132.76±57.86 | 197.38±107.11 | 0.05 |

Table 4: Logistic regression analysis showing independent risk factors for mortality following thoracotomy

| Variables | B | SE | Wald | Odds ratio | Confidence interval | P |
|-----------|---|----|------|-----------|---------------------|---|
| Moderate to severe pulmonary arterial hypertension preoperatively | 1.82 | 0.74 | 6.06 | 6.2 | 1.4-26.65 | 0.014 |
| Redo surgery | 2.8 | 0.77 | 13.9 | 17.9 | 3.94-81.59 | <0.001 |
| Thoracoscore | 1.41 | 0.42 | 11.25 | 4.1 | 1.79-9.35 | <0.001 |

B – Unstandardised β. SE – standard error of unstandardised β
PAH is a known risk factor associated with postoperative mortality in non-cardiac surgery. In a study by Ramakrishna et al.,[20] early mortality following non-cardiac surgery in patients with PAH was as high as 7%. Another retrospective study by Minai and colleagues revealed a high death rate of 18% in patients with moderate to severe PAH following major surgery.[21] The present study also found moderate to severe PAH to be an independent predictor of mortality. Non-inclusion of PAH as a variable in Thoracoscore could well be a reason for underprediction of mortality in the Indian scenario.

Re-operative surgery is known to be associated with increased incidence of perioperative mortality and morbidity. There is a lack of literature relating redo thoracotomy to mortality. But in our experience, patients who present for multiple surgeries like decortications followed by lobectomy or pneumonectomy often have dense adhesions leading to major blood loss and prolonged postoperative hospital stay.

There is a lot of emphasis on the volume of a centre and surgeon as it has been shown to directly influence patient outcomes. Birkmeyer and colleagues showed that in-hospital mortality for pneumonectomy and lobectomy was significantly lower in high volume centres (>46 cases/year) as compared to low and moderate volume centres.[22] The same authors also concluded that surgeon volume was inversely proportional to mortality in lung resection.[23] As the present study was conducted in a high volume centre, it is unlikely that hospital or surgeon volume would have influenced the outcomes.

There are certain limitations in the present study. The significance of Thoracoscore’s individual components was not evaluated in the study and Thoracoscore was considered as an independent continuous variable. The present study has the disadvantages of small sample size, single centre data and retrospective nature. As Thoracoscore is a preoperative risk stratification model, many intraoperative variables which were significant in univariate analysis were not included in logistic regression analysis although they may be significantly contributing to the outcome.

CONCLUSION

Thoracoscore does not reliably predict mortality in the Indian scenario. Large multicentric Indian studies are warranted to make modifications in Thoracoscore to improve its mortality prediction ability in the Indian population.
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### Appendix 1: Variables used in Thoracoscore

| Different variables used in thoracoscore | Different groups in calculator | Description |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| **Age**                                 | Three groups                  | For ease of statistical calculation, divided into three age wise groups |
|                                         | <55 years                     |             |
|                                         | 55 to 65 years                |             |
|                                         | >65 years                     |             |
| **Sex**                                 | Male/Female                   |             |
| **ASA physical status**                 | ≤2                            | Healthy patient |
|                                         | or                            | Mild systemic disease |
|                                         | ≥3                            | Severe systemic disease |
|                                         | or                            | Severe systemic disease that is a threat to life. |
|                                         | Moribund patient              | Brain dead |
| **Performance Status**                  | ≤2                            | Zubrod performance index |
|                                         | or                            | Normal activity |
|                                         | ≥3                            | symptomatic but fully ambulatory |
|                                         | In bed <50% time in day       |             |
|                                         | In bed >50% time of day       |             |
|                                         | Unable to get out of bed      |             |
| **Dyspnoea Score**                      | ≤2                            | Medical Research Council Scale |
|                                         | or                            | No dyspnoea |
|                                         | ≥3                            | Slight dyspnoea |
|                                         | Moderate dyspnoea             |             |
|                                         | Moderate to severe dyspnoea (stops in between walking) | |
|                                         | Severe dyspnoea (dyspnoeic while walking few minutes) | |
|                                         | Very severe dyspnoea while normal activity too. | |
| **Priority surgery**                    | Elective                      |             |
|                                         | Or                            |             |
|                                         | Urgent                        |             |
|                                         | or                            |             |
|                                         | Emergency                     |             |
| **Procedure class**                     | Pneumonectomy or other        | Different surgical procedures |
|                                         | Pneumonectomy                 |             |
|                                         | or                            | wedge resection, lobectomy, mediastinoscopy, or other diagnostic procedure. |
| **Diagnosis group**                     | Benign                        |             |
|                                         | Or                            |             |
|                                         | Malignant                     |             |
| **Comorbidities**                       | Grouped into 3 categories:    | Following were considered as comorbidities in the Thoracoscore: |
|                                         | No comorbidities              | Addiction to smoking |
|                                         | ≤2 comorbidities              | History of cancer COPD |
|                                         | ≥3 Comorbid conditions        | Hypertension |
|                                         |                               | Heart disease |
|                                         |                               | Diabetes |
|                                         |                               | Peripheral vascular disease |
|                                         |                               | Obesity |
|                                         |                               | Alcoholism |