The ROP16\textsubscript{III}-dependent early immune response determines the subacute CNS immune response and type III \textit{Toxoplasma gondii} survival
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Abstract

\textit{Toxoplasma gondii} is an intracellular parasite that persistently infects the CNS and that has genetically distinct strains which provoke different acute immune responses. How differences in the acute immune response affect the CNS immune response is unknown. To address this question, we used two persistent \textit{Toxoplasma} strains (type II and type III) and examined the CNS immune response at 21 days post infection (dpi). Contrary to acute infection studies, type III-infected mice had higher numbers of total CNS T cells and macrophages/microglia but fewer alternatively activated macrophages (M2s) and regulatory T cells (Tregs) than type II-infected mice. By profiling splenocytes at 5, 10, and 21 dpi, we determined that at 5 dpi type III-infected mice had more M2s while type II-infected mice had more pro-inflammatory macrophages and that these responses flipped over time. To test how these early differences influence the CNS immune response, we engineered the type III strain to lack ROP16 (III\textsubscript{Δ}rop16), the polymorphic effector protein that drives the early type III-associated M2 response. III\textsubscript{Δ}rop16-infected mice showed a type II-like neuroinflammatory response with fewer infiltrating T cells and macrophages/microglia and more M2s and an unexpectedly low CNS parasite burden. At 5 dpi, III\textsubscript{Δ}rop16-infected mice showed a mixed inflammatory response with more pro-inflammatory macrophages, M2s, T effector cells, and Tregs, and decreased rates of infection of peritoneal exudative cells (PECs). These data suggested that type III parasites need the early ROP16-associated M2 response to avoid clearance, possibly by the Immunity-Related GTPases (IRGs), which are IFN-\textgamma-dependent proteins essential for murine defenses against \textit{Toxoplasma}. To test this possibility, we infected IRG-deficient mice and found that III\textsubscript{Δ}rop16 parasites now maintained parental levels of PECs infection. Collectively, these studies suggest that, for the type III strain, \textit{rop16} plays a key role in parasite persistence and influences the subacute CNS immune response.
Author summary

Toxoplasma is a ubiquitous intracellular parasite that establishes an asymptomatic brain infection in immunocompetent individuals. However, in the immunocompromised and the developing fetus, Toxoplasma can cause problems ranging from fever to chorioretinitis to severe toxoplasmic encephalitis. Emerging evidence suggests that the genotype of the infecting Toxoplasma strain may influence these outcomes, possibly through the secretion of Toxoplasma strain-specific polymorphic effector proteins that trigger different host cell signaling pathways. While such strain-specific modulation of host cell signaling has been shown to affect acute immune responses, it is unclear how these differences influence the subacute or chronic responses in the CNS, the major organ affected in symptomatic disease. This study shows that genetically distinct strains of Toxoplasma provoke strain-specific CNS immune responses and that, for one strain (type III), acute and subacute immune responses and parasite survival are heavily influenced by a polymorphic parasite gene (rop16III).

Introduction

Toxoplasma gondii is a ubiquitous obligate intracellular parasite that chronically infects the brain, heart, and skeletal muscle of humans [1,2]. Up to one third of the world’s population is estimated to be chronically infected with Toxoplasma [3]. While most infected people are asymptomatic, in some immunocompromised individuals and developing fetuses Toxoplasma can cause fever, chorioretinitis, toxoplasmic encephalitis, and even death [4]. While the host immune status plays a key role in determining disease outcomes, clinical data suggest that the genotypes of the infecting Toxoplasma strain may also play a role [5–14]. Toxoplasma strains are classified into 15 genetic haplotypes which include the three canonical strains—type I, type II, and type III (now haplotype 1, 2, and 3 and Clade A, D, and C respectively) [15–17]. Of the canonical strains, type I and III are more genetically similar compared to type II.

Our understanding of how different Toxoplasma strains might cause distinct disease outcomes in mice and potentially humans has greatly expanded in the last decade. We now know that Toxoplasma highly manipulates host cells through the injections and secretion of effector proteins that can be polymorphic among strains. In turn these polymorphisms can profoundly affect the host cell response. For example, during acute in vitro infection of fibroblasts or immune cells only the type I/III allele of ROP16 (ROP16I/III), not the type II allele, causes direct and prolonged phosphorylation of the transcription factors STAT3 and STAT6 [18–20]. In macrophages, this prolonged activation of STAT3/6 leads to decreased production of IL-12, a key pro-inflammatory cytokine [19,20]. Conversely, only the type II allele of GRA15 (GRA15II), not the type I/III allele, activates the transcription factor, NFκB, which leads to host cell production of pro-inflammatory cytokines [21,22]. In addition, strains that express Gra15II polarize infected macrophages to a classically activated phenotype whereas, strains that express ROP16III polarize infected macrophages to an alternatively activated phenotype [20,22]. Yet, how these strain-specific modulations of infected cells influence global immune responses, or subacute or chronic immune responses in the central nervous system (CNS), remains unknown. The only studies looking at Toxoplasma strain-specific tissue immune responses during chronic infection were done 20 years ago and used histology to define the CNS immune response. While these studies identified strain-specific neuroinflammatory responses, the strains also produced different CNS parasite burdens making it impossible to...
determine if the immune response differences were simply driven by the differences in parasite burden [23,24].

To address this gap and leverage our increased understanding of strain-specific effects, we infected mice with a representative strain from either of the two canonical, encysting *Toxoplasma* strains (type II or type III) and then defined the neuroinflammatory response using quantitative immunohistochemistry (IHC), multiplex cytokine analysis, and flow cytometry. At 21 days post infection (dpi), compared to type II-infected mice, type III-infected mice showed a higher number of macrophages/microglia, infiltrating T cells, and levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g IFN-γ) in the CNS, even though type II- and type III-infected mice showed the same CNS parasite burden. In addition, our flow cytometry analyses of CNS and splenic mononuclear cells showed that type III-infected mice had fewer alternatively activated macrophages (M2s) and regulatory T cells (Tregs) compared to type II-infected mice, the opposite of what is seen with acute infection *in vivo* and *in vitro* [22,25]. By examining the peripheral macrophage immune response over time, we determined that, early in infection, type III-infected mice have more M2s compared to type II-infected mice and that this response changes over time, leading to fewer M2s in the spleen and brain of type III-infected mice by 21 dpi. To define if the differences in the early macrophage response influenced the subsequent CNS immune response, we engineered the type III strain to lack ROP16 (IIIΔrop16), which, as noted above, is the driver of the early type III-associated M2 response [20,22]. Consistent with our hypothesis, compared to the parental type III strain, IIIΔrop16-infected mice showed a more type II-like CNS immune response with fewer macrophages and infiltrating T cells and an increase in M2s in the CNS. Unexpectedly, IIIΔrop16-infected mice showed a substantial decrease in the CNS parasite burden; a mixed acute inflammatory immune response (i.e. an increase in pro-inflammatory macrophages, M2s, T effector cells, and Tregs); and rapid clearance from the site of inoculation. As the type III strain is sensitive to destruction by Immunity-Related GTPases (IRGs) [26,27], these results suggested that, to persist, the type III strain requires rop16III to dampen the initial immune response, including the IRG response. We tested this possibility by infecting mice that lack the IRG response [28] and found that the IIIΔrop16 strain now maintained parental levels of infection at the site of inoculation and showed parental levels of acute virulence. Collectively these data suggest that *Toxoplasma* strain-specific immune responses persist in the subacute phase of disease and that, for the type III strain, rop16III plays a role in determining acute and subacute systemic and CNS immune responses and is required for parasite persistence.

**Results**

**Type III-infected mice have an increased CNS T cell and macrophage/microglia response compared to type II-infected mice**

To determine if genetically divergent *Toxoplasma* strains cause strain-specific CNS immune responses, we infected mice with either a type II (Prugniaud) or type III (CEP) strain and analyzed the CNS macrophage and T cell immune response at 21 dpi, which we consider a subacute time point of CNS infection. We focused on the macrophage/microglial and T cell responses because prior work has established that these cells are essential for controlling acute and chronic toxoplasmosis [1,29–32]. To quantify macrophages/microglia and T cells in the CNS after *Toxoplasma* infection, we stained brain sections with antibodies against Iba-1, a pan macrophages/microglial marker, or CD3, a pan-T cell surface marker. Stained sections were then analyzed by light microscopy. We found that type III-infected mice had approximately twice the number of CNS macrophages/microglia compared to type II-infected mice (Fig 1A and 1B). Type III-infected mice also had a similar increase in the number of CNS T cells (Fig 1C and 1D).
To determine how the influx of these immune cells changed the global CNS cytokine/chemokine environment, we isolated and analyzed protein from brain homogenates of control (saline inoculated) or infected mice using a 25-plex cytokine and chemokine LUMINEX assay. As expected, compared to control mice, type II and type III-infected mice showed a ≥2-fold increase in most of the pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines in the panel (S1 Table). A subset of these cytokines and chemokines also showed a ≥2-fold increase in type III-infected mice compared to type II-infected mice (Fig 1F).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007856.g001
Together, these data show that, at 21 dpi, type III-infected mice have significantly higher numbers of both macrophages/microglia and T cells in the CNS as compared to type II-infected mice. Consistent with this increase in CNS immune cells, type III-infected mice have a stronger CNS pro-inflammatory cytokine and chemokine milieu compared to type II-infected mice.

**Differences in the CNS immune response between type II and type III-infected mice are not driven by parasite burden**

Given the consistent differences we found in the number of macrophages/microglia and T cells (Fig 1), we sought to determine if these differences simply reflected disparities in parasite burden. To address this question, we analyzed CNS parasite burden by two methods. First, using DNA isolated from brain homogenates, we performed quantitative PCR (qPCR) for the *Toxoplasma*-specific gene B1 [33–36]. Second, we identified and quantified CNS cysts by performing immunofluorescent assays on brain sections using Dolichos biflorous agglutinin (DBA), a lectin that stains the cyst wall [37]. By both measures, we found that type II and type III-infected mice had equivalent CNS parasite burdens at 21 dpi (Fig 2A and 2B).

To ensure that differences in parasite dissemination to the CNS were not driving the immune response differences, we quantified the parasite burden in the spleen, liver, lungs, and CNS at 5, 10, and 21 dpi using B1 qPCR. At these time points, we found that the parasite burden in these different organs did not differ between type II and type III-infected mice (Fig 2C–2F).

These data suggest that the CNS immune response differences we identified in type II and type III infection are not secondary to major differences in parasite dissemination to or persistence in the CNS, at least up to 21 dpi.

**Type III-infected mice have fewer alternatively activated macrophages and regulatory T cells in the CNS and spleen at 21 dpi**

While our immunohistochemistry (IHC) data suggest that type III infection causes a higher number of macrophages and T cells to infiltrate into the CNS compared to type II infection, they do not address whether infection with type II or type III parasites affects the phenotype of these cells. To address this question, we isolated immune cells from the CNS of *Toxoplasma*-infected mice and then used flow cytometry to identify the frequency of different immune cell populations, focusing primarily on macrophages/microglia and T cells. Additionally, we performed the same studies on splenocytes from the infected mice to define if the CNS immune response was tissue-specific or merely reflective of differences in the global immune response.

As these studies represented the first studies to use flow cytometry to compare strain-specific CNS macrophage and T cell responses, we sought to profile major classes of cells by using previously identified markers [38–41]. To this end, we focused on using markers for classically activated macrophages (M1s), alternatively activated macrophages (M2s), effector T cells (Teffs), and regulatory T cells (Tregs). The gating schemes we used are shown in S1 Fig (macrophages) and S2 Fig (T cells). In our analyses, we placed CD80/CD86 (M1s) and MMR/CXCR3 (M2s) in the same channels because transcriptional data have shown that M1s consistently co-express CD80 and CD86 [41] and a prior study that examined CNS macrophages in type II-infected mice showed that macrophages that express MMR also express CXCR3 [42]. To validate this staining protocol, we verified that we obtained the same results regardless of whether CD80 and CD86 or CXCR3 and MMR are placed in individual channels or in the same channels (S3 Fig). To further characterize the macrophage populations, we isolated CD80+/CD86+ or MMR+/CXCR3+ splenocytes and used qPCR to determine the expression of IL-12, iNOS
The population we defined with CD80+/CD86+ expressed IL-12 but not iNOS or Arg-1, while the MMR+/CXCR3+ population expressed Arg-1 but not IL-12 or iNOS (Fig S4A–S4D). As the CD80+/CD86+ macrophage population is pro-inflammatory (IL-12 is expressed) but does not express the classical M1 marker iNOS, for simplicity, from here forward we will refer to the cells identified by CD45+, F4/80+, CD11bhi, CD11clow/int, CD80+/CD86+ staining as “M1-like macrophages.” As the cells identified by CD45+, F4/80+, CD11bhi, CD11clow/int, MMR+/CXCR3+ staining express Arg-1, they are consistent with prior descriptions of M2 macrophages, which are less inflammatory. For simplicity, from here forward we will simply identify this populations as M2s.
Based upon these validations, our flow analyses of the CNS immune cells showed that type III-infected mice had approximately half the number and frequency of M2s compared to type II-infected mice (Fig 3A and 3B). For M1-like macrophages, we observed no significant difference in the absolute number or frequency between the groups (Fig 3C and 3D). As several studies suggest that macrophages can produce IFN-γ [44,45], we also assessed the macrophages for cellular IFN-γ production. For both groups we found that approximately a third of the M1-like macrophages produced IFN-γ (S4F Fig) and the amount of IFN-γ produced per cell, as assessed by mean fluorescence intensity of IFN-γ staining, was equivalent between the two groups (S4G Fig). Finally we found that type III-infected mice had half the number and frequency of Tregs (CD3⁺, CD4⁺, FoxP3⁺) as compared to type II-infected mice (Fig 3E and 3F) and no difference in the number or frequency of Teffs (CD3⁺, CD4⁺ or CD8⁺, CD44⁺) (S2 Table).

Consistent with our findings in the CNS we observed that splenocytes from type III-infected mice had approximately half the absolute number and frequency of M2s as compared to splenocytes from type II-infected mice (Fig 4A and 4B). There was no significant difference
in the absolute number and frequency of M1-like macrophages in the spleen (Fig 4C and 4D). Akin to our CNS data, splenocytes from type III-infected mice had half the number and frequency of Tregs compared to splenocytes from type II-infected mice (Fig 4E and 4F).

The numbers of total CD3⁺ T cells, CD4 T cells (CD3⁺, CD4⁺), CD8 T cells (CD3⁺, CD8⁺), exhausted T cells (CD3⁺, CD8⁺, PD-1⁺), or macrophages (CD45⁺, F4/80⁺, CD11bhi, CD11clow/int) in either the CNS or the spleen (S2 Table) were not statistically different. In addition, to allow us to track infected cells and/or cells injected with parasite effector proteins [46], we infected Cre reporter mice that express GFP only after Cre-mediated recombination [47] with mCherry⁺ parasite strains that trigger Cre-mediated recombination [46,48]. At 21 dpi, we identified no mCherry⁺ and/or GFP⁺ cells in the T cell or macrophage populations isolated from the CNS or spleen. The lack of GFP⁺ immune cells in the CNS at 21 dpi is consistent with our prior work using the same system [49].

These data strongly suggest that in addition to quantitative differences in the CNS immune response, type II- and III-infected mice show differences in the phenotype of immune cells infiltrating into the CNS. Type III infection provokes a more pro-inflammatory subacute CNS immune response with a relative decrease in the macrophages (M2s) and T cells (Tregs) that suppress the pro-inflammatory response. In addition, as these differences are also seen in
splenocytes, these data suggest that, at 21 dpi, the CNS immune response is reflective of the systemic immune response. Finally, the lack of mCherry\(^+\) and/or GFP\(^+\) immune cells suggests that our findings are not driven by a small population of immune cells that are actively infected or directly manipulated by parasites.

**The macrophage phenotype switches over time during type II and type III infection**

Our finding that type III infection provokes a stronger pro-inflammatory response at 21 dpi was unexpected because of the prior work showing that macrophages infected with type III parasites are polarized to M2s while macrophages infected with type II parasites are polarized to M1s [22,50]. As our work was done *in vivo* at 21 dpi and the prior work was done *in vitro* or very early *in vivo* (1–3 dpi), one explanation for these discrepancies is that the *in vivo* immune response evolves over time. To test this possibility and as we had found that splenocytes were accurate predictors of the CNS immune response, we phenotyped splenocytes from infected mice at 5, 10, and 21 dpi.

At 5 dpi, we observed that type III-infected mice had an approximately 3-fold higher frequency and 2-fold higher number of splenic M2s as compared to type II-infected mice (Fig 5A). Conversely, at this time point, type II-infected mice showed an increased frequency and 1.5-fold higher number of splenic M1-like macrophages as compared to type III-infected mice (Fig 5B). By 10 dpi, the macrophage compartment from both type II- and type III-infected mice had expanded and no difference in macrophage polarization state was seen (Fig 5C–5F). By 21 dpi, the splenic macrophage compartment was contracting and now type III-infected mice had fewer splenic M2s both by absolute number and frequency compared to type II-infected mice (Fig 5C and 5D). At 5 and 10 dpi, for macrophages from type II- or type III-infected mice, we found 1% or less of the macrophage population was infected or injected with parasite protein (i.e. \(\leq 1\%\) of the macrophage population was mCherry\(^+\) and/or GFP\(^+\)). We found no strain-specific differences in the Treg response at 5 or 10 dpi (Fig 5G and 5H).

These data show that early in infection type III-infected mice show a stronger M2 macrophage response than type II-infected mice. However, as the infection progresses, the immune response evolves such that by 21 dpi type III-infected mice now have a significant decrease in these anti-inflammatory macrophages compared to type II-infected mice, even though parasite dissemination to the CNS is equivalent (Fig 2). Unlike the macrophage response, we did not observe strain-specific differences in Tregs until 21 dpi. Our data also show that these strain-specific differences are primarily found in uninfected macrophages.

**ROP16\(_{III}\) affects the type III CNS immune response and parasite persistence**

Given the evolution of these strain-specific differences from 5 to 21 dpi, we hypothesized that the early macrophage immune response might heavily influence the ensuing subacute immune response. We focused on the early macrophage response for several reasons. Tissue resident macrophages are some of the first cells to interface with and respond to infecting microbes [51]. Consistent with this concept, at 5 dpi, we found strain-specific differences in the macrophage compartment but not the T cell compartment (Fig 5A–5H). Furthermore, a growing body of literature suggests that this early response influences the ensuing T cell response, possibly through the secretion of cytokines [52]. Finally, as noted above, prior *in vitro* and *in vivo* work has already established that macrophages infected with type II parasites polarize to M1s while macrophage infected with type III parasites polarize to M2s. Importantly, these studies also determined that specific alleles of *Toxoplasma* effector proteins (GRA15\(_{II}\) for M1s and
ROP16<sub>III</sub> for M2s) drive these macrophage phenotypes [20,22], giving us a mechanism for altering these responses. Thus, to determine if early macrophage responses influence the subsequent CNS immune response, we used CRISPR/Cas9 [53–56] to engineer a type III strain that lacked rop16 (S5A Fig). We validated the deletion of rop16 (IIIΔrop16) using locus-specific PCR (S5B Fig) and a functional assay to show that these parasites no longer induced host cell phosphorylation of STAT6 (S5C Fig), the transcription factor linked to the rop16<sub>III</sub>-associated M2 phenotype [20]. Given that this strain should lack the early type III-associated M2 response, we predicted that it would provoke a more type II-like subacute CNS immune response.
response. To test this prediction, we infected mice with type II, type III, or IIIΔrop16 parasites and, at 21 dpi, analyzed the CNS immune response by IHC and flow cytometry. Consistent with our hypothesis, by quantitative IHC, we found that the CNS immune response in IIIΔrop16-infected mice looked akin to type II-infected mice with fewer infiltrating macrophages/microglia and T cells compared to type III-infected mice (Fig 6A–6E). By flow cytometry, IIIΔrop16-infected mice again looked similar to type II-infected mice in terms of M2s frequency and absolute number (Fig 7A and 7B). The frequency and the absolute number of M1-like macrophages were not statistically different between type II-, type III-, or IIIΔrop16-infected mice (Fig 7C and 7D). Unexpectedly, by both Toxoplasma-specific qPCR and cyst count, the IIIΔrop16-infected mice showed a substantial decrease in the CNS parasite burden compared to type II or type III-infected mice (Fig 8A and 8B).

To verify that the lack of rop16III drove the immune response and parasite burden changes we identified, we generated a IIIΔrop16::ROP16III strain that ectopically expresses rop16III. We validated the integration of ROP16III using gene-specific PCR and a functional assay to confirm parasite-induced host cell phosphorylation of STAT6 (S5A–S5C Fig). We then infected mice with type III, IIIΔrop16, or IIIΔrop16::ROP16III parasites and analyzed the CNS immune response by quantitative IHC and flow cytometry. The IIIΔrop16::ROP16III strain produced a CNS immune response akin to the parental type III strain, and distinct from the IIIΔrop16, in
of macrophage and T cell numbers (Fig 9A and 9B), parasite burden (Fig 9C and 9D), and M2 number and frequency (Fig 9E). The M1-like macrophage number and frequency were not different between the three strains (Fig 9F).

In summary, these data highly suggest that, in the context of a type III infection, *rop16* influences the CNS immune response and enables parasite persistence.
A lack of *rop16*III induces a mixed inflammatory response during acute infection

To confirm that the changes in the CNS were downstream of a change in the acute inflammatory response, at 5 dpi we phenotyped splenocytes from mice infected with type II, type III, or *IIIΔrop16* parasites. Unexpectedly, mice infected with the *IIIΔrop16* strain showed a mixed immune phenotype with an increase in both M2s and M1-like macrophages (Fig 10A and 10B). In addition, and unlike either type II- or type III-infected mice, *IIIΔrop16*-infected mice showed an increase in the number and frequency of Tregs (Fig 10C) as well as a 3-fold increase in the number of IFN-γ+ CD4 and CD8 T cells (Fig 10D and 10E). Using the mean fluorescence intensity of IFN-γ staining to assess IFN-γ production per cell, we found that T cells from *IIIΔrop16* - and type II-infected mice produced similar amounts of IFN-γ, which was 1.5-fold higher than T cells from type III-infected mice (Fig 10D and 10E).

To verify that these acute peripheral immune response changes were driven by *rop16*III, we infected mice with the type III, *IIIΔrop16*, or *IIIΔrop16::ROP16*III parasites and phenotyped the splenocytes at 5 dpi. As expected the *IIIΔrop16::ROP16*III strain produced a splenocyte immune response akin to the parental type III strain in terms of M2s and M1-like macrophages, and Treg frequency and absolute number (Fig 11A–11C). In the IFN-γ+ CD4 and CD8 compartment, the *IIIΔrop16::ROP16*III strain also produced a parental type III response in terms of absolute numbers of CD4 and CD8 IFN-γ+ cells and the level of IFN-γ produced per cell (Fig 11D and 11E).

In summary, these data show that type III parasites lacking *rop16* produce a mixed inflammatory phenotype at 5 dpi, suggesting that *rop16*III is an important determinant of the acute immune response to type III parasites.

Type III parasites depend upon *rop16* to avoid early clearance by Immunity-Related GTPases (IRGs)

Given this unanticipated acute inflammatory response including the elevated level of T cell IFN-γ production and decreased CNS parasite burden at 21 dpi, we hypothesized that the
Fig 9. The infection with IIIΔrop16:ROP16₆₆₆ parasites restores type III-like CNS response with higher CNS macrophages/microglia and T cells. Mice were inoculated with type III, IIIΔrop16, or IIIΔrop16:ROP16 parasites. Brains were harvested at 21 dpi and analyzed as in Figs 1(A), 1(B), 2(C), 2(D) or 3(E) and 3(F). A. Quantification of the number of Iba-1⁺ cells (macrophages/microglia). B. Quantification of the number of CD3⁺ T cells. Bars, mean ± SEM. N = 12 fields of view/section, 3 sections/mouse, 4–5 mice/injected group. For each mouse, the number of cells/section was averaged to create a single point. C. Quantification of CNS Toxoplasma burden by qPCR for the Toxoplasma-specific B1 gene. D. Quantification of Toxoplasma cyst burden in brain sections stained with DBA. E. CNS mononuclear cells evaluated for the presence of M2 macrophages. F. CNS mononuclear cells evaluated for the presence of M1-like macrophages. Bars, mean ± SEM. N = 12 fields of view/section, 3 sections/mouse, 4–5 mice/
IIIΔrop16 parasites were undergoing an increased level of early clearance. This heightened early inflammatory response and the rapid clearance of parasites would then provoke a strong counterbalancing anti-inflammatory response, causing an increase in both M2s and Tregs early in infection. This hypothesis is particularly appealing because type III strains have a very low expression of the virulent allele of rop18, a parasite virulence gene that disables the interferon-γ-inducible Immunity-Related GTPase system (IRGs) which is a major mechanism by which murine host cells kill intracellular parasites in an IFN-γ dependent manner [26,27,57].

To test this possibility, we quantified the frequency of infected peritoneal exudate cells (PECs) at 1, 3, and 5 dpi from mice infected with type II, type III, or IIIΔrop16 parasites. At 1 and 3 dpi, all 3 strains showed the same frequency of infected PECs. But, by 5 dpi, IIIΔrop16-infected mice showed a 4-6-fold lower rate of infected PECs compared to type II or parental type III-infected mice (Fig 12A–12C). To confirm that this increase in parasite clearance was secondary to a lack of rop16III, we infected mice with type III, IIIΔrop16, or IIIΔrop16::ROP16III parasites and assayed the frequency of infected PECs at 3 and 5 dpi. As expected, the IIIΔrop16::ROP16III strain maintained parental levels of infected PECs at both time points (Fig 12D). To directly test if the IRGs were the mechanism by which the IIIΔrop16 parasites were being cleared, we assayed the frequency of infected PECs at 3 and 5 dpi in mice that lack both Irgm1 and Irgm3, key mediators of IRG pathway [28,58–61]. We reasoned that if the IRGs mediated the rop16III-dependent increase in clearance, then in Irgm1/3−/− mice, the IIIΔrop16 strain should now maintain parental levels of PECs infection at 5dpi, which is what we found (Fig 12E).

While these data suggest that the IRGs are playing an important role in the in vivo clearance of the IIIΔrop16 parasites, we also assessed the IIIΔrop16 parasites in vitro for attachment, invasion, and growth (as defined by parasites per vacuole at 24 hours and by plaque assay). The IIIΔrop16 parasites were equivalent to the parental strain in attachment and invasion but showed a growth defect (S6A–S6C Fig). Interestingly, a type I strain that has been engineered to lack rop16 (IΔrop16) but which naturally has high levels of rop18 expression [18,62,63], also has in vitro growth defect (S6D Fig) but shows no deficit in vivo [20]. These data suggested that in vitro growth assays might not predict in vivo phenotypes. Consistent with a lack of concordance between in vitro and in vivo phenotypes, Irgm1/3−/− mice infected with either type III or IIIΔrop16 parasites show the same death kinetics (S6E Fig).

Collectively, these data suggest that, in the context of an in vivo infection, type III parasites require rop16III to avoid rapid clearance by the IRGs.

Discussion

The results presented here show that genetically distinct Toxoplasma gondii strains provoke strain-specific CNS immune responses and that these subacute immune responses are likely influenced by the initial systemic immune response. We have shown that, compared to infection with a type II strain, infection with a type III strain induces a more pro-inflammatory, subacute CNS immune response in both quality and quantity at the level of infiltrating T cells and macrophages/microglia, and that these strain-specific immune responses are not simply driven by differences in parasite burden. In addition, we have shown that, for the parameters monitored at 21 dpi, the CNS immune response mirrors the systemic immune response as gauged by splenocytes. By temporally profiling the systemic macrophage response, we have shown that this response evolves over time, leading us to hypothesize that the early
Fig 10. IIIΔrop16 infected mice showed a mixed immune response in the periphery. At 5 dpi, immune cells were isolated from the spleen of infected mice and then stained and analyzed as in Fig 3. 

A. Quantification of the frequency and number of splenic M2 macrophages. 
B. Quantification of the frequency and number of splenic M1-like macrophages. 
C. Quantification of the frequency and number of Tregs. 
D. Quantification of the number and mean fluorescence intensity of splenic IFN-γ producing CD4+ T cells. 
E. Quantification of the number and mean fluorescence intensity of splenic IFN-γ producing CD8+ T cells. Bars, mean ± SEM N = 5 mice/infected group.

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001, two-way ANOVA with Fisher’s protected LSD. Data representative of 3 independent experiments with two different, independently engineered IIIΔrop16 clones.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007856.g010
Fig 11. IIIΔrop16::ROP16III infected mice showed a type III-like immune response in the periphery. At 5 dpi, immune cells were isolated from the spleen of infected mice and then stained and analyzed as in Fig 3. A. Quantification of the frequency and number of splenic M2 macrophages. B. Quantification of the frequency and number of splenic M1-like macrophages. C. Quantification of the frequency and number of splenic Tregs. D. Quantification of the number and mean fluorescence intensity of splenic IFN-γ producing CD4+ T cells. E. Quantification of the number and mean fluorescence intensity of splenic IFN-γ producing CD8+ T cells. Bars, mean ± SEM N = 5 mice/injected group. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, two-way ANOVA with
Fig 12. IIIΔrop16 parasites are cleared early in vivo in an IRG-dependent manner. Mice (WT or Irgm1/3−/−) were infected with the listed strains. Peritoneal exudate cells (PECs) were isolated from infected mice, stained for CD45, and then screened by flow cytometry to determine the frequency of infected PECs (CD45+, mCherry+). A,B. Representative plots of infected PECs at (A) 1 dpi and (B) 5 dpi. C. Quantification of the number of infected PECs over time. Bars, mean ± SEM. N = 5 mice/infected group. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, two-way ANOVA with Fisher’s protected LSD. Data representative of 2 independent experiments with two different, independently engineered IIIΔrop16 clones. D. Quantification of the number of infected PECs over time, now including the IIIΔrop16:ROP16Δ clone. E. Quantification of the number of infected PECs over time in Irgm1/3−/− mice. (D,E) Bars, mean ± SEM. N = 3–4 mice/infected group. *p<0.01, one-way ANOVA with Fisher’s protected LSD. A single IIIΔrop16 clone and IIIΔrop16:ROP16Δ clone were used.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007856.g012
The macrophage response affects the subsequent subacute response. This hypothesis is partially supported by our finding that a IIIΔrop16 strain, which induces an early immune response distinct from the parental type III strain, produces a type II-like CNS immune response in quantity and quality, despite having a much lower CNS parasite burden than either the type II or parental type III strain.

Based upon these data and prior work, we propose the following model: early in infection, type II-infected macrophages are polarized to M1s which secrete high levels of IL-12 [22,25]. This secreted IL-12 then influences the uninfected macrophages to polarize to M1-like macrophages, resulting in a systemic, highly pro-inflammatory early M1 response (5 dpi), with high levels of IL-12 (S4 Fig) and T cell IFN-γ (Fig 10D and 10E). This early pro-inflammatory response then provokes a counter-balancing anti-inflammatory response that leads to rising levels of M2 as parasites proliferate and ultimately disseminate to the brain. As parasites enter the brain, the immune cells that are present in the periphery also infiltrate into the brain. Conversely, for type III parasites, during acute infection type III-infected macrophages are polarized to M2s which secrete little IL-12 [22]. This decreased secretion of IL-12 promotes a mixed systemic inflammatory response with more early M2s, resulting in decreased levels of IL-12 (Fig 4) and T cell IFN-γ (Fig 10D and 10E). This less pro-inflammatory early response enables type III parasites to avoid early IRG-dependent clearance as well as an early compensatory anti-inflammatory immune response. As type III parasites proliferate and disseminate, a highly inflammatory response with decreasing levels of M2s ensues, which then infiltrates into the brain.
cells and cytokines (e.g. IL-4) are also involved. In addition, though we have documented that the strain-specific immune responses evolve over time, the mechanisms by which these changes occur remain unknown. On the parasite side, we addressed how rop16 III influences an acute and subacute type III infection, but we have not addressed what parasite genes influence the type II-associated immune response, though gra15 II, which drives the M1 phenotype of type II-infected macrophages [22], is an obvious candidate. Similarly, while our data suggest that these strain-specific immune responses are not driven by gross differences in systemic dissemination or CNS parasite burden, our work does not address how strain-specific rates of switching from tachyzoites to bradyzoites influence immune responses, an issue that is especially relevant in the CNS, the primary organ for encystment in humans and mice. Though this work and our models leave many unanswered questions, we believe we have established a system in which these highly complex host-parasite interactions can systematically be dissected using engineered parasites and mice.

In addition to establishing a tractable model for understanding the evolution of immune responses, several other important points arise from our data. We potentially identified a reason for the retention of the type I/III rop16 allele (rop16I/III). In the original type II x III cross, rop16 was not identified as a virulence gene but rather its strain specificity was detected through strain-specific differences in host cell signaling in human fibroblasts [19]. In fact, in a highly lethal type I strain, at 72 hours post infection, the loss of rop16 increased the PECs infection rate, systemic dissemination, and parasite burden in distal organs while also increasing IL-12 production by PECs. These data suggest that the increase in IL-12, which should result in higher IFN-γ production, does not adversely affect the Δrop16 parasites [20]. Conversely, we used a type III strain, which is genetically similar to the type I strain but avirulent in mice because of its’ low expression of rop18, a key protein for blocking murine IFN-γ-dependent cell intrinsic defenses [26,27,57]. In the context of low rop18 expression and therefore high susceptibility to the IRGs, the ability of rop16I/III to decrease the early IFN-γ response (Fig 10E) by decreasing IL-12 and increasing the M2 response, appears crucial for type III strains to avoid rapid clearance during the very earliest part of infection. This proposed mechanism is supported by the data in the Irgm1/3−/− mice (Fig 12 and S6E Fig) as well as prior work showing that IRG-mediated clearance of intracellular parasites is a major murine IFN-γ-dependent mechanism for controlling Toxoplasma [26,27,57]. Collectively, these data suggest that, in vivo, rop16I/III is dispensable for type I strains but essential for type III strains, a discrepancy potentially explained by differences in rop18 expression. Finally, we have shown that the IIIΔrop16 strain is able to elicit a strong brain immune response, including infiltration of T cells and likely monocytes, despite having a very low CNS parasite burden (Figs 6–8). The finding of a much stronger CNS immune response than parasite burden is consistent with prior work showing that immune cells can and do infiltrate into the CNS in the setting of a strong systemic immune response without brain infection or pathology [64]. We suggest that our data add to the growing body of literature that the “immune privileged” status of the CNS is less absolute than previously thought and that we still have much to learn about what governs when and if immune cells infiltrate into the CNS.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

All mouse studies and breeding were carried out in strict accordance with the Public Health Service Policy on Human Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The protocol was approved by the University of Arizona Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (#A-3248-01, protocol #12–391).
Parasite maintenance

All parasite strains were maintained through serial passage in human foreskin fibroblast (gift of John Boothroyd, Stanford University, Stanford, CA) using DMEM, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2mM glutagro, and 100 IU/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. Unless otherwise mentioned, previously described type II (Pruginauld) and type III (CEP) parasites expressing Cre recombinase and mCherry were used [46,49]. For experiments with IIIΔrop16 strains, depending upon the IIIΔrop16 clone, we used either the previously mentioned strains or PruΔhpt and CEPΔhpt strains in which the endogenous gene for hypoxanthine xanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase (HPT) (gift of John Boothroyd) has been deleted. The type IΔrop16 and type IΔrop16::ROP16 strains were also obtained from John Boothroyd.

Mice

Unless otherwise specified, the mice used in this study are Cre-reporter mice that express a green fluorescent protein (GFP) only after the cells have undergone Cre-mediated recombination [47]. Mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories (stock # 007906) and bred in the University of Arizona Animal Center. We used these mice in combination with our Cre-secreting parasites as a way to identify the immune cells that had been injected with parasite rhoptry proteins [46]. In addition, breeding pairs of mice lacking Irgm1 and Irgm3 were provided to us courtesy of Greg Taylor (Duke University, Durham, NC) and subsequently bred in the University of Arizona Animal Center. For all studies, mice were inoculated intraperitoneally with 10,000 freshly syringe-lysed parasites diluted in 200 μl of UPS grade PBS.

Tissue preparation for histology and protein/DNA extraction

At appropriate times post infection, mice were anesthetized with a ketamine (24 mg/ml) and xylazine (4.8 mg/ml) cocktail and transcardially perfused with ice cold phosphate buffered saline. As previously described, after harvesting organs, the left half of the mouse brain was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffer, kept at 4˚C overnight, rinsed in PBS, and then was embedded in 30% sucrose [46,49]. Post fixation and sucrose embedding, the brain was sectioned into 40 μm thick sagittal sections using a freezing sliding microtome (Microm HM 430). Sections were stored as free-floating sections in cryoprotective media (0.05 M sodium phosphate buffer containing 30% glycerol and 30% ethylene glycol) until stained and mounted on slides. The right half of the brain was sectioned coronally into 2 halves and stored in separate tubes. These tubes were flash frozen and stored at -80˚C until used for protein or DNA extraction.

Immunohistochemistry

As described previously, free-floating tissue sections were stained using a standard protocol [65]. Brain sections were stained using the following primary antibodies: polyclonal rabbit anti-Iba-1 (019–19741, Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., (1:3000); monoclonal hamster anti-mouse CD3ε 500A2 (550277, BD Pharmingen, (1:300). Following incubation with primary antibody, sections were incubated in appropriate secondary antibodies; biotinylated goat anti-rabbit (BA-1000, Vector Laboratories (1:500) and biotinylated goat anti-hamster (BA-9100, Vector Laboratories, (1:500). Next, sections were incubated in ABC (32020, Thermo Fisher) for 1 hr followed by 3,3’-Diaminobenzidine (DAB) (SK-4100, Vector Laboratories) detection of biotinylated antibodies.
Immune cell quantification

Brain sections stained for anti-CD3 or anti-Iba-1 antibody and detected using DAB were analyzed using light microscopy. As previously described, each brain section was sampled in a stereotyped way by imaging and analyzing twelve fields of view (FOV) throughout the cortex, beginning rostrally and moving caudally [36,65]. The number of CD3ε cells/FOV was quantified using SimplePCI software (Hamamatsu, Sewickley, PA) on an Olympus IMT-2 inverted light microscope (36). The number of Iba-1+ cells/FOV was quantified by manually counting cells with FIJI software [66]. These analyses were performed on 3 brain sections per mouse, after which the resulting numbers were then averaged to obtain the average number of Iba1+ or CD3ε immune cells/brain section/mouse. Investigators quantifying CD3ε and Iba-1+ cells were blinded to the infection status of the mouse until after the data were collected.

Protein extraction, quantification and multiplex LUMINEX assay

The caudal quarter of the flash frozen brain tissue was homogenized in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (1% Triton-X, 0.1% SDS, 1X PBS) and 1% phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (P5726-1ML, Sigma-Aldrich) and 1% protease inhibitor cocktail (P8340-1ML, Sigma-Aldrich). As previously described, the samples were sonicated on ice in 3s bursts until homogenized and then were centrifuged at 4°C [65]. The protein concentration of each sample was measured using Direct Detect Infrared Spectrometer. Each sample was stored at -80°C until the LUMINEX assay was performed. Cytokines and chemokines were assessed using the MILLIPLEX-MAP-Mouse-Cytokine/Chemokine-Magnetic-Bead-Panel (MCYTOMAG-70K, EMD Millipore). This multiplex panel allows the detection of 25 different cytokines/chemokines and includes individual quality controls for each cytokine/chemokine. The samples were plated as duplicates and the plate was analyzed using a LUMINEX MAGPIX xPONENT 1.2 System which uses Milliplex Analyst software and Luminex technology to detect individual cytokine/chemokine quantities.

Quantitative real time PCR

For quantification of parasite burden, genomic DNA from the rostral quarter of the frozen brain was isolated using DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (69504, Qiagen) and following the manufacturer’s protocol. The Toxoplasma specific, highly conserved 35-repeat B1 gene was amplified using SYBR Green fluorescence detection with the Eppendorf Mastercycler ep realplex 2.2 system using primers listed in S3 Table. GAPDH was used as house-keeping gene to normalize parasite DNA levels. Results were calculated as previously described [36,65]. For quantification of iNOS IL-12, and Arg-1, total RNA from sorted M1 and M2 cells was extracted with TRIzol (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) and according to the manufacturer’s instructions. First strand cDNA synthesis was performed using a High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kit (4368814, ThermoFisher) and following the manufacturer’s instructions. For iNOS amplification, as a positive control, we used RNA obtained from IC-21 cells (a macrophage cell line, gift of Janko Nikolich-Zugich, Professor, University of Arizona) stimulated with 100U/ml IFN-γ and 1ng/ml of LPS [67]. The IC-21 cell RNA was converted to cDNA as described above. iNOS, IL-12 and Arg-1 were amplified using SYBR Green fluorescence detection with the Eppendorf Mastercycler ep realplex 2.2 system using the primers listed in S3 Table [22,25,42]. GAPDH was used as the house-keeping gene for normalization. The reaction condition were as follows: 2 min at 50°C, 2 min at 90°C, followed by 40 cycles of 15 sec at 95°C, 15 sec at 58°C, and 1 min at 72°C, followed by a melting curve analysis.
Cyst counts

Sagittal brain sections were washed and blocked in 3% Goat Serum in 0.3% TritonX-100/TBS for 1 hr. Sections were then incubated with biotinylated Dolichos biflorus agglutinin (DBA) (Vector Laboratories 1031, 1:500), which binds to the cyst wall [68–70]. The following secondary was used: 405 Streptavidin (Invitrogen, 1:2000). Sections were mounted as previously described [36]. The number of cysts were enumerated using a standard epifluorescent microscope (EVOS microscope). Only objects that expressed mCherry and stained for DBA were quantified as cysts.

Flow cytometry

At appropriate times post infection, mice were euthanized by CO2 and intracardially perfused with 20 mL ice-cold PBS, after which spleens and brains were harvested. These tissues were then made into single cell suspensions. For brains, mononuclear cells were isolated by mincing the tissue and then passing it serially through an 18-gauge needle and then a 22-gauge needle in complete RPMI (86% RPMI, 10% FBS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 1% L-glutamine, 1% NEAA, 1% sodium pyruvate and <0.01% β-mercaptoethanol) as described previously [71]. After syringe passage, the cell suspension was passed through a 70 μm strainer and mononuclear cells were isolated using a density gradient that consisted of a 60% Percoll solution in cRPMI overlayed with a 30% Percoll solution in PBS. Brain mononuclear cells were isolated from the interphase. Spleens were made into single cell suspension and passed through a 40 μm strainer [72]. Red blood cells were lysed by using ammonium chloride-potassium carbonate (ACK) lysis buffer. The total numbers of viable cells from brain and spleen suspensions were determined by trypan blue exclusion and counting on a hemocytometer. Brain and spleen samples were split in order to stain either the T cell panel or the macrophage panel. Brain and spleen single cell suspension had Fc receptors blocked with 2.4G2 to prevent non-specific staining. The following directly conjugated antibodies were utilized for flow cytometry analysis of T cells: CD3 APC eFluor 780 (clone 17A2; eBioscience, 47-0032-80), CD8a PerCP-Cy 5.5 (clone 53–6.7; eBioscience, 45-0081-82), CD4 PE/Cy7 (clone GK1.5; BioLegend, 100422), CD44 Alexa Fluor 700 (clone IM7; eBioscience, 12-0441-82), CD279 (PD-1) eFluor 450 (clone J43; eBioscience, 48-9985-82) were used to incubate cells for 30 min protected from light. The following directly conjugated antibodies were utilized for flow cytometry analysis of macrophages/microglia: CD45 PerCP-Cy5.5 (clone 30-F11; eBioscience, 45–0451), F4/80 Alexa Fluor 700 (clone BM8; BioLegend, 123130), CD11b Pacific Blue (clone M1/70; BioLegend, 101224), CD11c PE/Cy7 (clone N418; BioLegend, 117318), CD11c FITC (clone N418; eBioScience, 11-0114-85), CD183 (CXCR3) phycoerythrin (PE) (clone CXCR3-173; BioLegend, 126505), CD183 (CXCR3) PE/Cy7 (clone CXCR3-173; BioLegend, 126516), CD206 (MMR) phycoerythrin (PE) (clone C068C2; BioLegend, 141706), CD80 APC (clone 16-10A1; eBioscience 17-0801-82), CD80 PE/Cy5 (clone 16-10A1; BioLegend, 104712), CD86 APC (clone GL-1; BioLegend, 105012), CD86 PE/Cy5 (clone GL-1; BioLegend, 105016), Ly-6G/Ly-6C (Gr-1) PE/Cy5 (clone RB6-8C5; BioLegend, 108410). Cells were incubated with appropriate antibodies for 30 min, while being protected from light. After surface staining, cells were then stained with live/dead Fixable Yellow Dead Cell Stain Kit (Life Technologies, L34959) for 30 min to distinguish between live and dead cells. For intracellular cytokine staining, cells were then stained with live/dead Fixable Yellow Dead Cell Stain Kit (Life Technologies, L34959) for 30 min to distinguish between live and dead cells. For intracellular cytokine staining, while protected from light, samples were washed, permeabilized, and fixed using a permeabilization and fixation kit (eBioscience, 00-5223-56; 00-5123-43; 00-8333-56). An intracellular staining protocol was used to stain for FoxP3 PE (clone FJK-16s; eBioscience, 12-5773-82), and IFN-γ APC (clone XMG1.2; eBioscience 17-7311-82) for 30 min. Samples were not re-stimulated prior to surface staining or intracellular cytokine detection. Samples were
washed after each staining step to remove residual unbound antibody. A BD LSR II (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA; University of Arizona Cancer Center) was used to run the samples and FlowJo (Treestar) was used for all flow cytometry analysis.

For sorting, splenocytes were isolated as described above and stained with the macrophage panel followed by the live/dead staining as denoted above. Samples were washed and resuspended in PBS until sorted, which was done on the same day as isolation. A FACS Aria III (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA; University of Arizona Cancer Center) was used to sort M1 and M2 populations. After sorting, samples were resuspended in TRIzol (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) and stored at -80˚C until RNA extraction.

**Plasmid construction**

All the plasmids and primers used to make and validate the IIIΔrop16 strain are listed in S3 Table. The rop16-targeting CRISPR plasmids (sg rop16Up and sg rop16Down) were constructed from sgUPRT using a previously described Q5 mutagenesis protocol [55,56,73]. To generate a plasmid for inserting hxgprt into the rop16 locus, upstream (500-bp) and downstream (500-bp) regions directly adjacent to the sgROP16Up and sg rop16Down target sequence were used to flank hxgprt via sequential restriction cloning.

**Generation of IIIΔrop16 knockout and IIIΔrop16::ROP16III**

To disrupt rop16 in type IIIΔhpt, we transfected parasites with 3 plasmids: the sg rop16Up CRISPR and sg rop16Down CRISPR plasmids and the pTKO [74] plasmid containing rop16 homology regions surrounding a selectable marker (hxgprt) with or without the toxofilin-Cre cassette [48] (S5 Fig). Selection by growth for 4 to 8 days in 25 mg/ml mycophenolic acid and 50 mg/ml xanthine [75] was used to obtain stably resistant clones with hxgprt integration. These clones were subsequently screened by PCR to confirm disruption of the rop16 locus (S5 Fig). Clones negative for rop16 and positive for integration of hxgprt were confirmed by western blot to have lost the rop16-dependent phosphorylation of STAT6 [19]. In addition, clones with the toxofilin-Cre cassette were confirmed to trigger Cre-mediated recombination as previously described [48].

To complement rop16, IIIΔrop16 parasites were transfected with 50 μg of linearized plasmid DNA harboring a FLAG-tagged ROP16III gene and a bleomycin resistance marker. Post transfection freshly egressed parasites were resuspended in DMEM supplemented with 50 μg/mL of Zeocin (InvivoGEN, 11006-33-0) for 4 hour and then added to HFF monolayers supplemented with 5μg/mL Zeocin to select for integrants. This process was repeated 3 times prior to plating by limiting dilution to isolate single clones. Single clones were subsequently screened by PCR for ROP16 integration (S5 Fig). The IIIΔrop16::ROP16III clones were all derived from the IIIΔrop16 strain that expresses toxofilin-Cre.

**Attachment, invasion, and growth assays**

Attachment/invasion assays were performed akin to prior protocols [76,77]. In brief, for 6 hours, freshly syringe-lysed parasites were allowed to invade confluent HFF monolayers grown on glass coverslips. At 6 hpi, cultures were fixed with formaldehyde followed by staining with mouse monoclonal anti-SAG1 antibody (DG52, [78] (1:1000) (stains extracellular parasites). After washing with PBS, the monolayers were then permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 followed by staining with polyclonal rabbit anti-SAG2A antibody (provided by C. Lekutis and J. Boothroyd) (1:1000) (stains intra- and extracellular parasites). After washing with PBS, monolayers were labeled with appropriate goat Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies (anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 488 and anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor 647). The stained coverslips
were then analyzed by epifluorescence microscopy for parasites that stained for both antibodies (attached) or only anti-SAG2A (invaded). The average percentage of attached versus invaded parasites were generated by counting 100 parasites/coverlip.

For the growth assay, freshly syringe-lysed parasites were added to confluent HFF monolayers grown on glass coverslips. After 1 hour, the cultures were then washed to remove noninvaded parasites. At 24 h post-infection, infected monolayers were fixed, permeabilized, stained with anti-SAG1 antibodies (as above), and then analyzed by fluorescent microscopy to identify the number of parasites/vacuole. 100 vacuoles per coverslip were analyzed.

For the plaque assay, freshly syringe-lysed parasites were counted, and 100 (type I strains) or 250 (type III strains) parasites were added to six-well plates of confluent HFF monolayers. At 10 days post-infection, the cultures were fixed with methanol and then stained with Crystal Violet. Stained monolayers were then analyzed by light microscopy for the number of zones of clearance (plaques) in the HFF monolayers.

**Peritoneal exudate cells isolation**

Cre-reporter mice or Irgm1/3−/− mice were infected with type II, type III, IIIΔrop16, or IIIΔrop16::ROP16III. At appropriate times, peritoneal exudate cells (PECs) were collected by peritoneal lavage with 10 ml of cold 1 x PBS. PECs were incubated in FcBlock for 10 min as described above. PECs were subsequently stained with anti-CD45 antibodies, followed by live/dead staining as described above, and then analyzed using a BD LSR II (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA; University of Arizona Cancer Center).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using Prism 7.0 software. To improve distributional characteristics, total numbers of CD3 and Iba-1 cells were log transformed prior to analysis. Unless otherwise specified, two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Fisher’s protected LSD was used, with the cohort as the block factor and parasite strain as the experimental factor. For cytokine levels, the data were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post-hoc test.

**Supporting information**

**S1 Fig. Gating scheme for macrophage markers.** Immune cells were isolated from the brain and stained for macrophage markers. Single cells were discriminated from doublets by plotting side scatter height (SSC-H) versus side scatter area (SSC-A). Cells were selected by plotting SSC-A versus forward scatter area (FSC-A). Live cells were gated on live/dead Yellow. CD45+ CD3− cells were gated by plotting CD3 versus CD45. From the CD45+ gate, F4/80+ and F4/80− cells were gated by plotting FSC-A versus F4/80. From the F4/80+ gate, macrophages (Macs) were gated by plotting CD11c versus CD11b. From the Macs gate, (CD80+/CD86+) M1-like macrophages were gated by plotting CD80/CD86 versus CD11b. From the Macs gate, (MMR+/CxCR3+) M2 macrophages were gated by plotting MMR/CXCR3 versus CD11b. Uninfected controls and isotype controls were used to establish the gating scheme. The last image shows CD80/CD86 versus MMR/CxCR3. The gates for the M1-like and M2 populations were determined by the values for CD80+/CD86− cells and MMR+/CxCR3+ cells in the preceding analysis. (TIF)

**S2 Fig. Gating scheme for T cell markers.** Immune cells were isolated from the brain and stained for T cell markers. Single cells were discriminated from doublets by plotting side
scatter height (SSC-H) versus side scatter area (SSC-A). Cells were selected by plotting SSC-A versus forward scatter area (FSC-A). Live cells were gated on live/dead Yellow. CD3⁺ cells were gated by plotting SSC-A versus CD3. From the CD3⁺ gate, CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ cells were gated by plotting CD4 versus CD8. From the CD4⁺ gate, FoxP3⁺ Tregs were gated by plotting FoxP3 versus CD4. Uninfected controls and isotype controls were used to establish the gating scheme.

(TIFF)

S3 Fig. Placing CD80/CD86 or MMR/CXCR3 in the same or individual channels results in similar findings in type II- or type III-infected mice. At 21 dpi, immune cells were isolated from the CNS of either type II- or type III-infected mice, split, stained for macrophage markers, and then analyzed by flow cytometry. A,B. For type II-infected mice, the percentage and number of M2 macrophages identified by placing MMR and CXCR3 in the same channel or separate channels. C,D. For type II infected mice, the percentage and number of M1-like macrophages identified by placing CD80 and CD86 in the same channel or separate channels. E,F. As in (A,B) except for type III-infected mice. G,H. As in (C,D) except for type III-infected mice. Bars, mean ± SEM. N = 5 mice/infected group. ns = not significant, non-parametric t-test.

(TIFF)

S4 Fig. IL-12 expression and IFN-γ production are higher in M1-like macrophages whereas Arg-1 expression is higher in M2s. Mice were inoculated with type II or type III parasites. A, B. At 5dpi, splenocytes were isolated, stained, and sorted into M1-like macrophages and M2s. Q-PCR was performed on RNA isolated from these cells. Graphs show Q-PCR quantification of IL-12, Arg-1 expression from M1-like macrophages and M2s from type II-infected mice. C, D. As in (A,B) except from M1-like macrophages and M2s from type III-infected mice. E. As in (A,B) except for iNOS and using IFN-γ and LPS-stimulated IC-21 cells (macrophage cell line) as a positive control. iNOS is listed as nd (not detected) in the samples from infected mice because melting curve analysis and gel electrophoresis showed no product in these reactions. N = 5 Mice/infected group. F,G. M1-like macrophages and M2s isolated from the brain of 3 wpi mice were analyzed for cellular IFN-γ production by flow cytometry. F. Frequency of IFN-γ producing M1-like macrophages or IFN-γ producing M2s. G. Quantification of the mean fluorescent intensity of IFN-γ in M1-like macrophages and M2s. N = 6 mice/infected group. A-G, bars = mean ± SEM.

(TIF)

S5 Fig. Generation and confirmation of IIIΔrop16 and IIIΔrop16::ROP16III. A. Schematic representation of the approach used to create the IIIΔrop16 and IIIΔrop16::ROP16III complemented strains. Type IIIΔhpt parasites were transfection with CRISPR/CAS9 vectors targeting 500bp upstream (gRNA Up) and downstream (gRNA Down) of the rop16 coding sequence and a linearized vector with 500bp regions of homology (HR) to the 5’ and 3’UTRs of rop16 surrounding either the selectable marked HXGPRT alone (not shown) or the selectable marked HXGPRT and the toxofilin-Cre coding sequence (shown). Complementation was achieved using a linearized vector encoding a FLAG-tagged ROP16 and a selectable bleomycin-resistance marker. B. PCR of the entire rop16 locus for the IIIΔrop16 and IIIΔrop16::ROP16III strains. PCR analysis of SAG1 was used as a DNA control. C. Western blots from HFFs stimulated with IL-4 or infected with parental (Type III), IIIΔrop16, or IIIΔrop16::ROP16III parasites. Protein isolation was done at 18 hours post-infection or stimulation. HFFs were infected at a MOI of 5.

(TIFF)
S6 Fig. IIIΔrop16 attachment, invasion, and growth in vitro and virulence in Irgm1/3−/− mice in vivo. A. Quantification of the percentage of parasites attached versus invaded into human foreskin fibroblasts (HFFs) for Type III (parental), IIIΔrop16, or IIIΔrop16::ROP16III parasites. HFFs were infected at a MOI of 1 with the listed strains and then at 6 hours post infection (hpi) the cultures were stained and assayed for attachment and invasion. Bars = mean ± STD (N = 2 independent experiments, 3 coverslips/experiment, 100 parasites counted/cover slip). B. Quantification of plaques formed on HFF monolayers infected with Type III, IIIΔrop16, or IIIΔrop16::ROP16III parasites. HFF cultures were infected with 250 syringe-lysed parasites of the listed strains and then allowed to grow undisturbed for 10 days. At 10 dpi, the cultures were fixed, stained with Crystal Violet, and then analyzed by light microscopy. Bars = mean number of plaques ± SEM, N = 3 independent experiments, 3 replicates/experiment. C. Quantification of the number of parasites/parasitophorous vacuole at 24 hpi for Type III, IIIΔrop16, or IIIΔrop16::ROP16III parasites. Bars = Mean percentages of parasites per vacuoles ± SEM, N = 3 independent experiments, 3 coverslips/experiment, 100 vacuoles assayed/cover slip. D. Quantification of plaques formed on HFF monolayers infected with Type I, IΔrop16, or IΔrop16::ROP16I. Methodology as in (B) except only 100 syringe-lysed parasites were used per strain. E. Survival curve of Irgm1/3−/− mice intraperitoneally infected with the indicated strains. B, D. """"p < 0.0001, ns: not significant, Dunnet’s multiple comparisons test with each parasite line was analyzed against Type III or Type I, as appropriate. (TIF)

S1 Table. List of cytokines and chemokines from the 25-plex LUMINEX assay. The table shows the mean concentration (pg/ml) ± SEM of cytokines and chemokines. Blue represents those cytokines or chemokines with a ≥2-fold change over saline treated controls. P-values are based on one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc test. (DOCX)

S2 Table. List of cells types characterized between type II and type III-infected mice. (DOCX)

S3 Table. List of primers used throughout the paper. (DOCX)
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