EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF FLEXURAL STRENGTH OF REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAM INCORPORATING ULTRAFINE SLAG
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Abstract: There have been enormous researches going on the use and utilization of industrial, agricultural and thermoelectric plant residues in the production of concrete. Production of high performance concrete (HPC) plays an important role with different pozzolanic materials like fly ash, condensed silica fume, blastfurnaceslag, rise husk ash etc. There has been increase in the consumption of mineral admixture by cement and concrete industries. This rate is expected to increase day by day. The presence of mineral admixture in concrete is known to impart significant improvement in workability and durability in concrete. The present paper involves the use of mineral admixture “ultrafine slag” as a cementitious material for cement and to evaluate the threshold limit of replacement of cement. Main aim of this work is to evaluate the flexural strength of High strength concrete by partial replacement of cement (0, 8, 10, 12, and 14%) with ultra-fine slag (Alccofine 1203) for M60 grade of concrete.OPC of 43grade from single source is used in this investigation. The properties of cement tested as per IS4031:1988 and found to conform various specifications of IS12269:1987. Locally available river sand is used as fine aggregate and also its specific gravity and fineness is determined. The addition of alccofine shows an early strength gaining properties with increase flexural strength of concrete.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Definition of concrete has been changed with passage of time and with new researches. Concrete is no longer made of cement aggregate and water only. It has to incorporate at least one of the addition ingredients such as admixtures supplementary cementitious material or fibers to enhance its strength and durability. There is great demand of high performance and highly durable concrete during recent past. The use of mineral admixture in combination with chemical admixture has allowed the concrete technologists to tailor the concrete for many specific requirements. The use of supplementary cementitious material (SCM) for cement replacement has dramatically increased along with the development of concrete industry, due to the consideration of cost saving, energy saving, environmental protection & conservation of resources. The large scale production of cement is adding to environmental problems in one hand & depleting the natural resources on the other. This threat to the ecology has lead researchers to utilize the industrial bi-product such as SCM in making concrete eco-friendly. Mineral admixtures generally used are fly ash, rice husk ash, metakoline, silica fume etc. Addition of such materials improves the strength and durability of concrete. Amongst the different mineral admixtures silica fume has proved to be most useful for high strength and high performance concrete due to its availability in finely divided state and very high percentage of amorphous silica. Only major disadvantage is that it imported therefore very costly. In this work an attempt has been made to find a suitable alternate of Ultra fine slag.

II. ULTRAFINE SLAG (ALCCOFINE 1203)

Slag is a byproduct of steel industries. It is a nonmetallic product consisting essentially of silicates and aluminates of calcium and other bases. The molten slag is rapidly cooled down by quenching water and as a result glassy sand like granulated material is formed. The granulated material is further ground to less than 45 micron to have will have specific surface area of about 400 to 600 m²/kg (Blaine).This slag after grinding is termed as ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) The performance of slag largely depends on the chemical composition, glass content and fineness of grinding. The quality of slag is governed by IS 12089 of 1987.Ultra fine slag is more advanced form of GGBS in which slag is further ground to less than 20 micron. As a result its specific surface area is increased dramatically to 3000-5000m²/kg (Bet Analysis). Particle shape of ultrafine slag is spherical (Scanning electron microscope) which due to ball bearing effect gives increased workability at much reduced water content.
A joint venture with Ambuja cement Ltd and Alcon developers produces ultrafine slag with a brand name Alccofine. It is manufactured in the controlled conditions with special equipments to produce optimized particle size distribution which is its unique property. Alccofine 1203 and Alccofine 1101 are two types of Alccofine with low calcium silicate and high calcium silicate respectively. Alccofine 1200 series is of 1201, 1202, 1203 which represents fine, micro fine, ultrafine particle size respectively. Alccofine 1203 is slag based SCM having ultra fineness with optimized particle size distribution whereas Alccofine 1101 is a micro finer cementitious grouting material for soil stabilization and rock anchoring. The performance of Alccofine is superior to all the other admixtures used in India.

| Specific gravity | Bulk Density (kg/m³) | Particle size distribution in micron |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 2.9             | 600-700             | d₁₀  d₅₀  d₉₀                       |
|                 |                     | 1-2  4-5  8-9                       |

### III. SCOPE OF THE WORK

The main and foremost objective of the present investigation is to evaluate the threshold limit of replacement of cement. Flexural strength of Reinforced cement concrete beam is carried out with partial replacement of cement by alccofine 1203. In this paper RCC beam of 500mm×100mm×100mm is used and investigation targets to determine the optimum percentage (0, 8, 10, 12, and 14%) of alccofine as a partial replacement of cement for M60 grade of concrete.

### IV. EXPERIMENTAL WORK

**Materials**

Ordinary Portland cement (OPC) of 43 Grade from single source was used in this investigation. The properties of cement tested as per IS: 8112:1989

| Property                   | Result |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Specific gravity           | 3.15   |
| Normal consistency (P):    | 32%    |
| Setting times              |        |
| Initial setting times      | 62mins |
| Final setting times        | 260mins|
| Fineness of cement (By 90 micron sieve) | 5% retained |
| Soundness of cement        | 2mm    |
| Compressive Strength       |        |
| 7 Days                     | 29N/mm²|
| 28 Days                    | 47N/mm²|

The locally available river sand with specific gravity 2.66 and fineness modulus 2.5 was used as a fine aggregate. The compacted bulk density value is 1620Kg/m³. The crushed stone aggregate with maximum size 20mm having specific gravity and fineness modulus 2.68 and 6.7 respectively was used. Steel reinforcement used were 8mm dia bars as main reinforcement and 6mm dia bars as stirrups.

**Mix proportion**

The mix proportion of M60 Grade concrete was designed based on the recommendation of IS10262: 1982 without alccofine. The identification of mix proportions and quantity of materials taken for 1m³ of concrete mixes are given in table 3 and table 4. Concrete mixes were prepared with cement replacement of 0, 8, 10, 12, and 14% by alccofine.

**Preparation of Test Specimen**

The ingredients for various mixes were weighed and mixes prepared by using tilting drum type concrete mixes machine. Precautions were taken to ensure uniform mixing of ingredients. The specimen were cast in steel moulds of size 500mm×100mm×100mm. 2 bars of 8 mm dia as main reinforcement was used as main reinforcement shown in the Fig1 along with vertical stirrups of 6mm dia @150mm c/c.
TABLE III. Beam nomenclature

| Plain concrete beams | R/F concrete beams |
|----------------------|-------------------|
| C0 - 0%              | R0 - 0%           |
| C8 - 08%             | R8 - 08%          |
| C10 - 10%            | R10 - 10%         |
| C12 - 12%            | R12 - 12%         |
| C14 - 14%            | R14 - 14%         |

![Fig. 1. Size of Mould for beam – 500 mm x 100 mm x 100 mm R/F – 8 mm (Longitudinal) at effective cover of 20 mm and 6 mm (Stirrups) @ 150 mm c/c](image)

TABLE IV. Mix proportion for M60 grade mixture

| Mix Designation | C0 | C8 | C10 | C12 | C14 |
|-----------------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| Alccofine %     | 0  | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| Free w/c Ratio  | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| Cement(Kg/m³)   | 480 | 456 | 432 | 422.4 | 412.8 |
| Alccofine(Kg/m³) | 0  | 24 | 48  | 57.6 | 67.2 |
| Sand(Kg/m³)     | 644 | 592.5 | 542.71 | 506.59 | 472.13 |
| Coarse Aggregate(Kg/m³) | 1127 | 1127 | 1127 | 1127 | 1127 |
| Water(lit/m³)   | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 |

V. TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Flexural Strength Test**

The beam flexural strength was made as per the IS: 516-1959 specification by flexural machine for different proportion of concrete mix. For this study the concrete beams of size 500 mm x 100 mm x 100 mm were prepared. Total 45 Nos.of beams were casted for each grade and each type i.e. Plain concrete beam and reinforced concrete beam to be tested at different ages of curing. Reinforcement used was 2 bars of 8 mm dia as main reinforcement and vertical stirrups using 6 mm dia @ 150 mm c/c was used. Beams were cured for 7, 14 & 28 days time age. The beams were placed normal to the casting and symmetrical two point system was adopted for the flexural tensile strength test. The deflection of the beams was measured by the dial gauge of least count of 0.01 mm, which was placed in the middle third portion of the beam. Average flexural strength plain and reinforced concrete showed an improved flexural strength up to 12% replacement. The resulting values of both Plain and reinforced concrete beams are tabulated below

TABLE V. Flexural strength of Plain concrete mixes incorporating Alccofine at 7, 14, 28 Days

| MIX | Flexural strength (N/mm²) | Average Flexural strength (N/mm²) |
|-----|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
|     | 7 days | 14 days | 28 days | 7 days | 14 days | 28 days |
| C0  | 1.32  | 3.01   | 5.79    | 1.27   | 2.69    | 5.59    |
|     | 1.22  | 2.09   | 5.32    |        |         |         |
|     | 1.28  | 2.98   | 5.66    |        |         |         |
| C8  | 1.51  | 3.10   | 6.23    | 1.34   | 2.93    | 6.26    |
|     | 1.45  | 2.75   | 6.43    |        |         |         |
### Table VI. Flexural strength of Reinforced concrete mixes incorporating Alcointe at 7, 14, 28 days

| Mix | Flexural strength (N/mm²) | Average Flexural strength (N/mm²) |
|-----|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
|     | 7 days | 14 days | 28 days | 7 days | 14 days | 28 days |
| R0  | 3.15   | 8.57    | 18.14   | 3.02   | 8.34    | 18.05   |
|     | 2.89   | 8.42    | 17.92   |        |         |         |
|     | 3.02   | 8.03    | 18.09   |        |         |         |
| R8  | 3.44   | 8.65    | 18.01   | 3.21   | 8.99    | 20.49   |
|     | 3.45   | 9.05    | 21.35   |        |         |         |
|     | 2.74   | 9.27    | 22.11   |        |         |         |
| R10 | 3.78   | 11.12   | 25.65   | 3.55   | 10.10   | 23.95   |
|     | 3.15   | 8.89    | 21.12   |        |         |         |
|     | 3.72   | 10.29   | 25.08   |        |         |         |
| R12 | 4.89   | 12.75   | 28.36   | 4.06   | 11.84   | 29.34   |
|     | 3.15   | 11.06   | 30.15   |        |         |         |
|     | 4.14   | 11.71   | 29.51   |        |         |         |
| R14 | 4.44   | 11.75   | 28.30   | 3.99   | 11.68   | 28.98   |
|     | 4.06   | 10.73   | 30.15   |        |         |         |
|     | 3.47   | 12.56   | 28.49   |        |         |         |

**Fig. 2.** Variation of Flexural strength of plain concrete with different % of alcointe

**TABLE VI.** Flexural strength of Reinforced concrete mixes incorporating alcointe at 7, 14, 28 days

| Mix | Flexural strength in N/mm² |
|-----|----------------------------|
| C0  | 1.06 2.94 6.12             |
| C10 | 1.59 3.48 6.50             |
| C12 | 1.70 3.80 8.56             |
| C14 | 1.53 3.65 8.23             |
| C16 | 1.91 3.71 7.72             |

| Mix | Flexural strength in N/mm² |
|-----|----------------------------|
| C0  | 1.53 3.30 7.52             |
| C8  | 1.48 3.35 8.01             |
| C10 | 1.45 3.25 7.14             |
| C12 | 1.23 2.97 7.40             |
| C14 | 1.58 3.58 8.14             |

Plain Concrete beam with Alcointe

DOI: 10.21817/ijet/2016/v8i6/160806235
TABLE VII. % change in Flexure strength of M60 grade of Plain concrete compared with controlled concrete

| Mix | 7 days | 28 Days |
|-----|--------|---------|
| C0  | -      | -       |
| C8  | 5.5    | 11.9    |
| C10 | 8.2    | 14.05   |
| C12 | 8.9    | 15.96   |
| C14 | -1.9   | -2.70   |
TABLE VIII. % change in Flexure strength of M60 grade of Reinforced concrete compared with controlled concrete

| Mix | 7 days | 28 Days |
|-----|--------|---------|
| R0  | -      | -       |
| R8  | 6.5    | 13.5    |
| R10 | 10.5   | 16.9    |
| R12 | 14.4   | 22.5    |
| R14 | -1.5   | -1.2    |

TABLE IX. Load Vs Deflection values

| Deflection(mm) | Load(kN) |
|----------------|----------|
|                | C0       | C12      | R0       | R12      |
| 0.4            | 14.8     | 21.7     | 15.7     | 16.9     |
| 0.8            | 18.9     | 24.5     | 17.2     | 24.1     |
| 1.2            | 22.2     | 30.4     | 22.3     | 30.3     |
| 1.6            | 24.5     | 31.0     | 29.9     | 39.0     |

Fig. 6. Variation of load vs. deflection for controlled concrete and 12% Alccofine

V. CONCLUSION

The tests performed to check the Flexural performance of Alccofine as a cement replacement can be conclude as follows

1. Alccofine not only improves the compressive strength of concrete but also improves its flexural strength at much lower water cement ratio as compared to Controlled concrete. It also increases the workability of concrete at much lower w/c ratio due to ball bearing effect.
2. There is considerable change in flexural strength of RCC beam as compared to plain concrete beam incorporating same % of Alccofine. At 12% of alccofine there is maximum increase in flexural strength of RCC beam i.e. 22.5%.
3. Alccofine has better performance as compared to other slag materials and micro silica. It is helpful to make concrete workable. By replacing cement with alccofine there is considerable increase in workability of concrete without adding any plasticizers.
4. A control on deflection has been achieved in both the R/F & plain concrete beams when incorporated with alccofine without losing its strength. A higher loading was required to produce same amount of deflection in both cases.
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