Optogenetic control of the guard cell membrane potential and stomatal movement by the light-gated anion channel GtACR1
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Guard cells control the aperture of plant stomata, which are crucial for global fluxes of CO2 and water. In turn, guard cell anion channels are seen as key players for stomatal closure, but is activation of these channels sufficient to limit plant water loss? To answer this open question, we used an optogenetic approach based on the light-gated anion channel rhodopsin 1 (GtACR1). In tobacco guard cells that express GtACR1, blue- and green-light pulses elicit Cl− and NO3− currents of −1 to −2 nA. The anion currents depolarize the plasma membrane by 60 to 80 mV, which causes opening of voltage-gated K+ channels and the extrusion of K+. As a result, continuous stimulation with green light leads to loss of guard cell turgor and closure of stomata at conditions that provoke stomatal opening in wild type. GtACR1 optogenetics thus provides unequivocal evidence that opening of anion channels is sufficient to close stomata.

INTRODUCTION

Approximately half of the terrestrial rainfall is returned by plants to the atmosphere via small pores in the leaf surface (1). These stomatal pores act as valves that open to enable uptake of CO2 for photosynthesis and close to protect plants from uncontrolled water loss (2, 3). Consequently, stomata have an enormous impact on global fluxes of CO2 and water. Understanding the mechanisms that regulate stomatal movements, therefore, is pivotal to improve climate models and develop strategies to reduce water consumption in agriculture (4, 5).

Stomata are formed by pairs of guard cells that can sense the intercellular CO2 concentration of leaves and the stress hormone ABA, which is a proxy for a low water status in plants. Both high CO2 and ABA levels cause stomatal closure by inducing the efflux of ions from guard cells. Because of the loss of osmolytes, the guard cells shrink and cause closure of the stomatal pore (6, 7). This impact of CO2 and ABA on stomata has been linked to their ability to control the activity of several ion transport proteins in guard cells, including K+ channels, anion channels, and H+-dependent adenosine triphosphatases (H+-ATPases) (2, 8, 9). However, the relative contribution of each of these transporters to CO2- and ABA-induced stomatal closure has remained unresolved.

The discovery of light-gated ion channels has revolutionized neurobiological research (10–12), and three such tools were recently introduced in plant science (13–15). Two rhodopsin-based channels were shown to facilitate light-induced depolarizations in plant cells (14, 15), while the light-activated K+ channel BLINK1 (blue light-induced K+ channel 1) accelerated stomatal closure and opening. As a result, BLINK1 enhanced the water usage efficiency of plants that were exposed to rapidly changing environmental conditions (13). However, light activation of BLINK1 did not necessarily cause stomatal closure, which suggests that opening of K+ channels is not the key that initiates this process.

On the basis of the phenotypes of loss-of-function mutants, the slow anion channel-associated 1 (SLAC1)–type channels seem to be major players in ABA- and CO2-induced stomatal closure (16–19). However, it is not known whether activation of these channels is sufficient to provoke the efflux of anions and K+ from guard cells, which is supposed to close stomatal pores. We therefore selected GtACR1, a light-gated anion channel rhodopsin of the algae Guillardia theta (20, 21) that was recently shown to provoke large membrane depolarizations in plants (15). Here, we show that the light-dependent activation of GtACR1 in tobacco guard cells evoked anion currents of −1 to −2 nA, depolarized the plasma membrane, and also provoked a release of K+. As a consequence of the combined anion and cation release, stomata closed and the transpiration of leaves declined. Our GtACR1-based optogenetic approach thus documents that the activation of plasma membrane anion channels is sufficient to trigger rapid stomatal closure. These results point to the endogenous anion channels in guard cells as prime targets for breeding of water saving plants.

RESULTS

Light pulses rapidly depolarize guard cells that express GtACR1

Guard cells use plasma membrane anion channels to provoke prolonged membrane depolarizations (18, 22, 23). The light-activated anion channel from the cryptophyte alga Guillardia theta (GtACR1) may mimic this function because GtACR1 strictly conducts anions, just like plant S-type anion channels (20, 23). Recently, tobacco plants were transformed with an improved version of GtACR1 and the marine bacterial β-carotene 15, 15′-dioxigenase (MbDio) (15). The expression of both genes was controlled by a single UBQ10 promoter, and the proteins were posttranscriptionally separated by a P2A self-cleavage site. While GtACR1 was targeted to the plasma...
membrane, MbDio entered the chloroplast and produced all-trans retinal from β-carotene, which is an essential cofactor for rhodopsins. The in planta biosynthesis of retinal facilitates the use of rhodopsin-based optogenetics in intact plants (15).

The transcript dosage of GtACR1, tagged with enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (eYFP), was studied for guard cells in two tobacco lines (Ret-ACR1 2.0 lines #1 and #2) and compared to control plants that only expressed eYFP and MbDio. The analysis of mechanically isolated guard cells (24) confirmed that the stomatal development transcription factor NtFAMA1 was preferentially expressed in these motor cells (fig. S1A) (25). A high guard cell expression level was also found for the K⁺ solanum transporter (K⁺ channel) NtKST1 (fig. S1B) (26, 27), the NtSLAC1 (fig. S1C) (16, 17), and the guard cell outward rectifying K⁺ channel NtGORK (fig. S1D) (28). Transcripts of the GtACR1-eYFP were not detected in control plants but were detected in guard cells of lines #1 and #2, with an approximately twofold higher expression in line #1, as in line #2 (fig. 1A). In agreement with these data, strong yellow fluorescent signals were recognized in the periphery of guard cells of lines #1 and #2 (fig. 1B), while a control line with untagged eYFP showed no fluorescent signals. This poststimulation effect indicates that a guard cell endogenous current (studied below) counteracts the depolarization triggered by the activation of GtACR1 (fig. 1D, right).

Guard cells are naturally disconnected from their neighbors (29), and because of this unique property, the activity of their ion channels can be monitored in intact plants (30, 31). Microelectrodes were impaled into guard cells to monitor light-induced changes in transmembrane potential and current.

Blue-light (BL) pulses were applied in addition to a continuous red-light background illumination (Fig. 1, C and D). Pulses with a duration of 0.1 s did not affect the control cells but triggered a rapid and strong depolarization of GtACR1-expressing guard cells, which was reversed after termination of BL illumination (Fig. 1C). Guard cells could be repetitively stimulated with BL pulses with a duration of 0.1, 1, or 10 s (Fig. 1D). These trains of BL pulses provoked a series of depolarizations with a constant magnitude of approximately 70 mV in line #1 (Fig. 1, D and E) and 50 mV in line #2 (Fig. 1E and fig. S2). Note that, during the longest BL pulses of 10 s, a partial repolarization of the free running membrane potential (Eₘ) occurred to approximately −80 mV. This poststimulation effect indicates that a guard cell endogenous current (studied below) counteracts the depolarization triggered by the activation of GtACR1 (Fig. 1D, right).
Light-activated GtACR1 channels conduct monovalent anions

The ability of GtACR1 to depolarize guard cells suggests that it can be used as a light-controlled stand-in for the guard cell intrinsic anion channels. Given that the stress hormone ABA triggers plasma membrane anion currents of approximately −200 pA in guard cells of Vicia faba (32) and tobacco (31), it is likely that currents of this magnitude are required for rapid stomatal closure. We therefore tested whether the conductance of GtACR1 fulfills this requirement. Guard cells were impaled with double-barreled microelectrodes, of which one barrel monitored the membrane potential, whereas the second was used to inject ion currents and clamp the plasma membrane to −100 mV. In this configuration, repetitive BL pulses with durations of 0.1, 1, or 10 s provoked sequential current spikes with a peak amplitude of approximately −2 nA in line #1 and −1 nA in line #2, while control cells were electrically silent (Fig. 2 and fig. S3). During 10-s BL pulses, GtACR1 inactivated to 19 and 29% of the peak activity in line #1 (SD = 8, n = 11) and line #2 (SD = 14, n = 10), respectively (Fig. 2 and fig. S3). Apparently, GtACR1 functions as a bona fide light-gated ion channel that can conduct inward currents with peak amplitudes as high as −1 to −2 nA at −100 mV in tobacco guard cells.

GtACR1 thus can be used as a substitute for endogenous anion channels in guard cells, but the expression of these algal channels may feedback on the activity of the endogenous channels. To test this possibility, we used short (4 s) hyperpolarizing voltage pulses from −100 to −260 mV, which cause a temporal elevation of the cytosolic-free Ca²⁺ concentration that, in turn, activates S-type anion channels (33–35). No difference was found with respect to the average endogenous currents evoked by these voltage pulses between GtACR1-expressing guard cells (line #1; Fig. 3, A and C) and the control (Fig. 3, B and C). BL pulses of 0.1 s evoked additional anion currents in guard cells that expressed GtACR1 (line #1), with the same magnitude before, during, and after the period in which S-type anion channels were activated (Fig. 3D). These guard cell responses strongly suggest that GtACR1 and S-type anion channels do not mutually influence each other’s activity. This conclusion is also supported by our observation that the expression of GtACR1 altered neither the activation of S-type anion channels by ABA (fig. S4) nor the velocity by which stomata opened in red light and closed in darkness (fig. S5). Apparently, the expression of GtACR1 channels does not disrupt the ability of cytosolic Ca²⁺ signals, ABA, and light to regulate the guard cell endogenous SLAC1-type anion channels (23).

During stomatal opening, guard cells can accumulate chloride, nitrate, and malate (36, 37). Are these anions released by guard cells following GtACR1 activation? To answer this question, guard cells in isolated epidermal peels were stimulated with BL pulses (470 nm, 0.1 s, and 17 mW mm⁻²), which were applied during a voltage ramp
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**Fig. 2. GtACR1 photocurrents elicited by BL pulses in tobacco guard cells.** (A and B) Current traces of guard cells in intact GtACR1 transformed plants (line #1) (A) and control plants (B) clamped to a V_m of −100 mV. The cells were stimulated with a train of BL pulses (blue bars above the traces, λ = 470 nm, 17 mW mm⁻²), with a duration of 0.1 s (left), 1 s (middle), and 10 s (right). (A) Bottom: Expanded traces of single photocurrents triggered by BL pulses of 0.1, 1, or 10 s. (C) Mean amplitudes of peak photocurrents in guard cells of line #1, line #2, and control plants induced by BL pulses, with a duration as indicated below the graphs. (D) Plateau level of photocurrents determined at the end of the 10-s BL pulses [as shown in (A), bottom left] for guard cells of line #1, line #2, and control plants. In (C) and (D), the P values were determined with a Student’s t test using values of GtACR1 line #1 or #2 and control plants; the number of experiments is given in the respective bars, and error bars represent SE.
from −100 to 65 mV (Fig. 4A). At an extracellular Cl− concentration of 99 mM, the reversal potential of $\text{GtACR1}$ was −2 mV (SE = 8, $n = 5$), while it shifted to 55 mV when guard cells were transferred to 3 mM Cl− (Fig. 4, B and C). As with Cl−, a change of the NO3− concentration from 99 to 3 mM caused a shift of the reversal potential by 50 mV (Fig. 4, D and E). The reversal potential of $\text{GtACR1}$ thus displays a similar sensitivity to changes in the Cl− and NO3− concentration, indicating that the light-activated channel is permeable to both monovalent anions same as the guard cell intrinsic ABA-activated anion channel SLAC1 (38).

The divalent organic anion malate$^{2−}$ and SO4$^{2−}$ represent major substrates of QUAC1 (quick anion channel 1)/R-type guard cell anion channels (36, 39–41). However, neither the application of malate$^{2−}$ nor that of SO4$^{2−}$ altered the $\text{GtACR1}$ reversal potential (fig. S6). We thus conclude that $\text{GtACR1}$ only conducts monovalent anions (20), which is a key feature of SLAC1 in guard cells.

**Guard cell $\text{GtACR1}$ activity depends on light intensity and quality**

It is possible that the properties of light-gated channels are affected by the cells that express the optogenetic tool in question. We thus tested the dependency of $\text{GtACR1}$ on the light intensity and wavelength in tobacco guard cells. The light intensity of three sequential 0.1-s BL pulses (470 nm) was stepwise increased from 0.06 to 17 mW mm$^{−2}$ (Fig. 5A and fig. S7A). The membrane current increased with a rise in light intensity and saturated (>0.95 of maximal value) at 7.8 mW mm$^{−2}$ in line #1 (Fig. 5, A and B). On average, the currents in line #1 reached their half-maximum value at 0.41 mW mm$^{−2}$ (SE = 0.16, $n = 6$), a value that is two to five times higher than that determined for $\text{GtACR1}$ in human embryonic kidney cells (20). We also searched for light qualities, other than BL, which can activate $\text{GtACR1}$ in tobacco. Guard cells were stimulated with three sequential high-intensity (equivalent photon flux density as BL at 17 mW mm$^{−2}$) light flashes of 0.1 s, of which the wavelength was varied from violet (405 nm) to red (660 nm) (Fig. 5C and fig. S7B). Light pulses with wavelengths ranging from 405 to 580 nm evoked large plasma membrane currents, but the response decreased at wavelengths above 595 nm, and only minor currents were detected at 660 nm (Fig. 5, C and D). These data thus show that $\text{GtACR1}$ is also highly sensitive to green light, which is hardly absorbed by chloroplasts and therefore does not interfere with photosynthesis.

**Prolonged $\text{GtACR1}$ stimulation activates guard cell K$^{+}$ efflux channels and H$^{+}$ pumps**

The anion currents through $\text{GtACR1}$ trigger a depolarization, which is likely to provoke the activation of K$^{+}$ efflux channels in the guard cell plasma membrane (28, 42) and/or activation of voltage-dependent H$^{+}$-pumps (14). We tested the potential contribution of K$^{+}$ channels and H$^{+}$ pumps to the $\text{GtACR1}$-evoked $E_m$ response by iontophoretic loading of inhibitors via intracellular double-barreled microelectrodes. K$^{+}$ efflux channels in tobacco guard cells are most likely encoded by $\text{NtGORK}$ (fig. S1) and can be blocked with intracellular Cs$^{+}$ (43). This prevented repolarization of $E_m$ after termination of the light stimulus (Fig. 6, A and B, and fig. S8) and strongly delayed $E_m$ recovery (Fig. 6, B and D). The voltage-dependent activation of $\text{NtGORK}$ thus appears to counteract the depolarization evoked by $\text{GtACR1}$ in guard cells.

Guard cells are energized by H$^{+}$-ATPases that generate a proton motive force and cause hyperpolarization of $E_m$ (44, 45). The activity of these pumps was inhibited by iontophoretic loading of orthovanadate. The initial BL response, marked by a fast depolarization and repolarization, was not affected by vanadate (Fig. 6, A and C). However, instead of a slow recovery of $E_m$ after this initial response, a second depolarization phase was observed for vanadate-treated cells (Fig. 6, A and C), which was followed by a very slow recovery of $E_m$ after termination of the BL pulse (Fig. 6, A, C, and D). The second depolarization was observed in 9 of 14 cells of line #1 and 4 of 5 cells of line #2 and may be linked to a cytosolic acidification of vanadate-loaded guard cells, which leads to inhibition of GORK-like channels (28, 46, 47). In control cells, cytosolic acidification will be counteracted by the active H$^{+}$-ATPases, and this will prevent a prolonged depolarization during BL stimulation of $\text{GtACR1}$.

The impact of the successive activation of K$^{+}$ efflux channels and the H$^{+}$-ATPase on the $\text{GtACR1}$-induced depolarization was studied with a mathematical model. Models for guard cells responses have been published earlier (48, 49), but these models were based on holistic approaches and therefore not suitable for our purpose. We developed the minimal GuardIon model (Fig. 6E and fig. S9), in which the ensemble of conductance changes simulated the recorded membrane potential changes with high accuracy (Fig. 6, A and E). Starting from the resting state, the BL activation of $\text{GtACR1}$ resulted in a depolarization that caused mainly the activation of GORK, which, in turn, provoked a first repolarization. After the light pulse,
the membrane voltage returned quickly to the resting state. Thus, the main actors during the light pulse were GtACR1 and the K⁺ efflux channel, while the other transporters only had a minor impact (Fig. 6, E and F).

**Long-term activation of GtACR1 triggers K⁺ efflux and stomatal closure**

GtACR1-mediated anion currents might be sufficient to trigger stomatal closure, given that this response would enable a simultaneous efflux of K⁺ (6, 7, 9). During a strong depolarization caused by the activation of GtACR1 (Fig. 1), guard cells should activate NtGORK (Fig. 6, E and F) and thus may provide for long-term extrusion of K⁺. We tested this hypothesis with the scanning ion-selective electrode (SISE) technique (50). Activation of GtACR1 with BL caused the efflux of K⁺ from line #1 (Fig. 7A) and line #2 (fig. S10), while no K⁺ extrusion was found with control stomata (Fig. 7A).

When applying long-lasting light pulses in computational simulations, the GuardIon model predicted that simultaneous long-term extrusion of anions through GtACR1 and K⁺ via GORK provoked a reduction in guard cell osmotic pressure (Fig. 7B; see fig. S9 for details), which is likely to close the stomatal pore. The speed by which guard cells lose turgor depends on the conductance of GtACR1. While an effective steady-state conductance, i.e., the current that remains after inactivation (30% of the peak value), of 1500 pS provoked a complete loss of guard cell turgor in approximately 15 min, lowering the conductance extended this period (Fig. 7B).

We provoked stomatal closure in tobacco leaves with continuous green light (λ = 525 nm, 0.57 mW/mm²), as this light quality activates GtACR1 but hardly affects photosynthesis. This light stimulus was projected via the objective on a small leaf area (2 × 10⁵ μm²) and induced an average steady-state current of −75 pA in line #1 (fig. S11), which is equivalent to a plasma membrane conductance of around 500 pS. Stomata in intact leaves started to close soon after onset of green light, and the speed of stomatal closure accelerated thereafter (Fig. 7C). The slight differences between the predicted changes in turgor pressure (Fig. 7B) and stomatal aperture (Fig. 7C) are probably due to a nonlinear relation between these two parameters (51).

The optogenetic experiments with individual stomata (Fig. 7, C and D) showed that activation of GtACR1 by green light provoked stomatal closure. However, can we also control transpiration if an entire population of GtACR1-expressing stomata is stimulated with green light? To answer this question, intact tobacco leaves were studied with a custom-made gas exchange system (52), in which the stomata of all three lines opened upon illumination with red light (650 nm) (Fig. 7E). Stimulation of the whole leaf with green light (520 nm) caused a reduction of the transpiration in lines #1 and #2, while the stomata of control leaves opened further (Fig. 7E). The expression of GtACR1 thus provides a noninvasive tool, which can reverse the direction of stomatal movements in intact plants.

**DISCUSSION**

Activation of the light-gated anion channel GtACR1, in the plasma membrane of tobacco guard cells, is sufficient to provoke rapid stomatal closure (Fig. 7, C to E). This shows that GtACR1 functions as...
a stand-in for endogenous guard cell anion channels. While GtACR1 is activated by simple light signals, the natural guard cell anion channels are regulated by complex pathways that are activated by ABA and CO₂, as well as microbial factors (43, 53–57). The stress hormone ABA was also shown to regulate the activity of plasma membrane K⁺ channels (46, 58), Ca²⁺-permeable channels (59, 60), and the H⁺-ATPase (61, 62). Our data now indicate that the latter responses are not strictly required to provoke stomatal closure.

Our data obtained with GtACR1 and the GuardIon model indicate that closure of the stomatal pore primarily depends on the activity of anion channels. These channels provoke the depolarization of guard cells, which activates GORK-like K⁺ efflux channels and leads to a simultaneous efflux of anions and K⁺ (2, 23). How can we get further insights into the molecular mechanisms of this early stomatal closure phase?

Previous studies have suggested that ABA, CO₂, and microbial factors address anion and K⁺ channels through signaling pathways that involve Ca²⁺ and pH signals (8, 9, 18, 63, 64). Are these second messengers required for the coordination of ion transport in guard cells to speed up stomatal closure (35)? With the light-activated, proton-permeable channel ChR2-XXL (14) and the calcium-permeable channel ChR2-XXM (65), new tools have become available to address these long-standing questions. This toolbox—which also includes BLINK1 (13), GtACR1 (15), and other anion channelrhodopsins (66)—will be very valuable in assigning the relative functions of voltage, pH, and Ca²⁺ signals in the regulation of stomatal movements.

The occurrence of patchy stomatal conductance is a poorly understood and mostly neglected phenomenon (67, 68). The difficulty of studying this phenomenon is its rather unpredictable appearance. The use of channelrhodopsins, in combination with structured illumination of leaves, may change this situation, as we can now provoke stomatal patchiness and analyze its impact on water use efficiency.

In addition, optogenetic tools can be key to tackle other long-standing questions in plant biology. One of these outstanding questions is the nature and role of long-distance signals in plants, which are evoked by abiotic and biotic stress and travel along the phloem and/or xylem parenchyma (69, 70). The rhodopsin-based toolbox is likely to bring new insights in the functions of these propagating voltage, pH, and Ca²⁺ signals. Moreover, the expression of light-gated Ca²⁺ permeable channels opens the unique possibility to impose Ca²⁺ signatures, with unique amplitude or frequency, and test how these Ca²⁺ signals trigger specific responses in plant cells (71).
traces) was used to stimulate the cells. The gray dotted area indicates the difference in responses between the blank (A) and Cs+-treated (B) or VO$_4^{3−}$ (C) cells. A BL pulse of 10 s (as indicated by the bars below the traces) was used to stimulate the cells. The gray dotted area indicates the difference in responses between the blank (A) and Cs+-treated (B) or VO$_4^{3−}$-treated (C) cells. (D) Average lag time between termination of the BL pulse and full recovery of $E_m$ in guard cells of line #1, line #2, and control plants in experiments with KCl-containing electrodes or after treatment with Cs$^+$ and VO$_4^{3−}$. P values were determined with a Student's t test using values of $GtACR1$ line #1 or #2 and control plants. The number of experiments is given in the respective bars, and error bars represent SE. (E and F) Computational simulation with the GuardIon model. Initially, the model guard cell is at rest, and after 0.5 s, a light pulse activates $GtACR1$ [left panel in (F)], which induces a depolarization (E) and, in response, activates the pump [second panel in (F)] and GORK [right panel in (F)] while it deactivates KST1 [third panel in (F)]. Because of the activation of GORK, the cell rapidly repolarizes during stimulation with BL (E), while a full recovery occurs when $GtACR1$ is no longer activated with BL.

**Fig. 6. Impact of K$^+$ efflux channels and H$^+$-ATPases on $GtACR1$-induced responses of guard cells.** (A and C) Membrane potential traces of tobacco guard cells of line #1. The cells were studied with electrodes that contained only KCl (A) and were loaded with Cs$^+$ (B) or VO$_4^{3−}$ (C). A BL pulse of 10 s (as indicated by the bars below the traces) was used to stimulate the cells. The gray dotted area indicates the difference in responses between the blank (A) and Cs$^+$-treated (B) or VO$_4^{3−}$-treated (C) cells. (D) Average lag time between termination of the BL pulse and full recovery of $E_m$ in guard cells of line #1, line #2, and control plants in experiments with KCl-containing electrodes or after treatment with Cs$^+$ and VO$_4^{3−}$. P values were determined with a Student's t test using values of $GtACR1$ line #1 or #2 and control plants. The number of experiments is given in the respective bars, and error bars represent SE. (E and F) Computational simulation with the GuardIon model. Initially, the model guard cell is at rest, and after 0.5 s, a light pulse activates $GtACR1$ [left panel in (F)], which induces a depolarization (E) and, in response, activates the pump [second panel in (F)] and GORK [right panel in (F)] while it deactivates KST1 [third panel in (F)]. Because of the activation of GORK, the cell rapidly repolarizes during stimulation with BL (E), while a full recovery occurs when $GtACR1$ is no longer activated with BL.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant growth conditions**

The UBQ10::Ret-$ACR1$ 2.0 and UBQ10::Ret-eYFP transgenic tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) plants used in this study were in the SR1 background and described earlier (15). Seeds were sown on sterilized soil, and plants were grown in a climate cabinet, illuminated with red light-emitting diodes (LEDs; peak intensity at 650 nm and photon flux density of 100 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$), at a day/night photoperiod of 12/12 hours, with the temperature cycling between 22° and 18°C and a relative air humidity of 60%. After 2 weeks, the seedlings were carefully transferred to new pots (diameter of 6 cm) and grown for another 2 to 3 weeks at the same conditions. Because of activation of $GtACR1$ at wavelengths of ≤620 nM (Fig. 5D), cultivation of $GtACR1$-expressing plants and the controls occurred with red light as the sole source of photosynthetic active radiation (15).

**Electrophysiological recording in intact plants**

For electrophysiological recordings on guard cells, the adaxial side of the second leaf of intact plants was gently fixed to a plexiglass holder using a double-sided adhesive tape. The plexiglass holder with leaf was then placed in the focal plane of an upright microscope (Akioskop 2FS, Zeiss, Germany). The leaves were illuminated with red light (0.018 mW/mm$^2$), which was provided by a halogen bulb in the microscope lamp, and filtered through a red-light glass filter (cutoff wavelength of 635 nm). A drop of bath solution [1 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl$_2$, and 10 mM MES (2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid)/BTP (Bis-tris propane) (pH 6)] was placed between the objective (W Plan-Apochromat, 63×/1.0, Zeiss) and the leaf surface. A capillary filled with 300 mM KCl and sealed with 2% agarose in 300 mM KCl served as a reference electrode and was placed with one side in the bath solution, while the other end was connected to the ground via an AgCl/Cl half-cell. In this experimental configuration, guard cells in the abaxial side of the leaf were accessible for impalement with double-barreled microelectrodes. All microelectrodes were fabricated from borosilicate glass capillaries (inner/outer diameter = 0.56/1.0 mm; Hilgenberg, Germany), which were aligned, heated, twisted 360°, prepulled by a vertical puller (L/M-3P-A, Heka, Germany), and subsequently pulled on a horizontal laser puller (P2000, Sutter Instruments, CA, USA). The double-barreled microelectrodes were filled with 300 mM KCl and had a resistance ranging between...
Guard cells were impaled with a piezo-driven micromanipulator (MM3A, Kleindiek, Reutlingen, Germany). The microelectrodes were connected to headstages with an input impedance of 100 gigohm via Ag/AgCl half-cells. A custom-made amplifier (Ulliclamp01) was connected to the headstages, and electrical signals were low pass–filtered at 0.5 kHz with a dual low-pass Bessel filter (LPF 202A; Warner Instruments Corp., USA) and recorded at 1 kHz with an interface (USB-6002, NI, USA) that was controlled by WinWCP software.

**Light stimulation and monitoring of stomatal movements**

Guard cells were stimulated with light pulses guided through the W Plan-Apochromat objective (63×/1.0, Zeiss). The light pulses were provided by an LED illumination system (pE-4000, CoolLED, UK), in which most of the visible spectrum is covered by 16 LEDs. The illumination system was connected to a CARV II confocal spinning disc unit (Crest Optics, Rome, Italy) that was mounted on the microscope. Light was reflected by either a gray mirror or dichroic mirrors in the CARV unit through the objective at the specimen.

**Fig. 7.** *GtACR1* enables light-controlled stomatal closure. (A) Average values of K⁺ flux of tobacco guard cells in epidermal peels, which were stimulated with a 10-min BL pulse (0.15 mW/mm²). The SISE technique was used to determine K⁺ efflux from guard cells of line #1 (solid circle) and control plants (open triangle). Error bars represent SE. (B) Osmotic pressure difference across the plasma membrane predicted by the Guardion model. (C and D) Light-controlled stomatal closure in *GtACR1*-expressing lines (#1 and #2) and control plants. (C) The aperture of stomata in intact plants was first monitored in red light only (λ = 630 nm, 0.018 mW/mm²), and thereafter, additional green light was provided (λ = 525 nm, 0.57 mW/mm²), as indicated by the bars below the graph. (D) Representative images of stomata before stimulation with green light (8 min) and during green light stimulation (33 and 58 min) are shown of lines #1, #2, and control plants. Scale bars, 15 μm. See also movie S1. (E) Transpiration of tobacco leaves, as measured in gas exchange setup, in darkness, during illumination with red light only (λ = 650 nm, 0.067 mW/mm²), and after addition of green light (λ = 520 nm, 0.017 mW/mm²), as indicated by the bars below the traces. Error bars represent SE (n = 7).
The duration, time interval, and intensity of light pulses were controlled by the VisiView software (Visitron, Puchheim, Germany), and the same software was used to follow stomatal movements using a charge multiplying charge-coupled device camera (QuantEM, Photometrics, USA). Images were processed with ImageJ in the Fiji software package (73). The light intensity applied via a 63× objective was determined with a quantum sensor (Q13627, LI-COR, USA) connected to a light meter (LI-COR, Model LI-189). The measured quantum flux data were corrected for the illuminated area and converted into light intensity values.

### Ion selectivity measurements

The ion selectivity measurements were carried out with guard cells in isolated epidermal peels, which were obtained from the second leaf of 4-week-old plants, and gently fixed on microscope slides with Medical Adhesive B (Aromando, Düsseldorf, Germany). The strips were incubated in a bath solution [0.1 mM CaCl2 and 0.1 mM MES/BTP (pH 6)] supplemented with 3 mM KCl, 3 mM KNO3, 1.5 mM K2SO4, or 1.5 mM K2malate (pH 6) for at least 2 hours before the start of measurements. Thereafter, the microscope slides with epidermal peels were transferred to a measuring chamber with 500 μl of bath solution. Guard cells were impaled with double-barreled electrodes filled with 300 mM CsCl and clamped at −100 mV. During a voltage ramp protocol from −140 to +60 mV (3 mV s−1), a series of light pulses (0.1-s BL of 470 nm at 5-s intervals) were applied. After the first measurement, the bath solution was exchanged with one that was supplemented with 99 mM KCl, 99 mM KNO3, 50 mM K2SO4, or 50 mM K2malate (pH 6), respectively, and the voltage-clamp measurement was repeated.

### Noninvasive ion flux measurements

K+ fluxes across the plasma membrane of guard cells were monitored in isolated epidermal strips with the noninvasive SISEs, as described in (50). Epidermal strips from the abaxial side of tobacco leaves were gently peeled and glued to microscope slides with Medical Adhesive B. The microscope slides were immediately transferred to the measuring chamber and filled with 1.5 ml of bath solution [0.1 mM KCl, 0.1 mM CaCl2, and 0.1 mM MES/BTP (pH 6)]. The strips were kept in the bath solution for 1 to 2 hours in the dark before the SISE recordings were started. The electrodes for ion flux measurements were pulled from borosilicate glass capillaries without filaments (Ø of 1.0 mm; Science Products, Hofheim, Germany) on a vertical puller (Narishige, Tokyo, Japan). The electrodes were baked over night at 220°C, silanized with N,N-dimethyltrimethylsilylamine (Sigma-Aldrich), and kept at 220°C for another hour. The electrodes were first filled with backfill solution (1 mM KCl) and thereafter tip-filled with the potassium ionophore I cocktail B (Sigma-Aldrich). Before the experiments, the electrodes were calibrated in solutions with 0.1, 1, and 10 mM KCl, and only electrodes that showed a shift of 58 mV per pK unit were used for measurements. The electrode was connected to the headstage of the microelectrode amplifier (custom-built) via Ag/AgCl half-cells and positioned at approximately 5-μm distance of a stoma with a micromanipulator (PatchStar, Scientifica, Uckfield, UK). The electrodes were moved over a distance of 50 μm, at an angle of 45° to the epidermal strip, at 10-s intervals. Raw data were acquired with a NI interface (USB 6002) using a custom-built Labview-based software “Ion Flux Monitor.” Raw voltage data were converted offline with the Ion Flux Analyzer program into ion flux data as described (74, 75).

### Confocal microscopy and image processing

The fluorescent signal of GtACR1-eYFP or soluble eYFP was detected with a confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica SP5, Leica Microsystems CMS, Mannheim, Germany). eYFP was excited with light of 496 nm, and the fluorescence was captured between 520 to 580 nm using a dipping 25× HCX IRAP0 925/0.95 objective. The ImageJ software was used for image processing.

### Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction

Quantification of GtACR1, NtFAMA1, NtKST1, NtGORK, and NtSLAC1 transcripts was performed by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) as described elsewhere (76). Leaves of 5-week-old N. tabacum plants were harvested for reverse transcription PCR analysis. Guard cells were mechanically isolated (24); major veins were removed, and leaf blades from two young plants were fractionated in a blender with a mixture of ice and deionized water, twice for 1 to 2 min. Guard cells samples were collected on a 210-nm nylon mesh for subsequent RNA extraction. As a reference, RNA was extracted from leaves of which the abaxial epidermis was removed. The transcript level of each gene was determined relative to that of 10,000 molecules of actin. The primers used to quantify transcript levels are listed in table S1.

### Gas exchange measurements

Leaf transpiration was measured with a custom-made system, which was described previously (52), using 4- to 5-week-old intact tobacco plants, at 20°C, 50% relative humidity, and 400 parts per million of CO2. Evaporation of water from the soil was prevented by sealing the whole pots with plastic wrap. Before the measurement, the plants were kept in darkness until the transpiration rate had stabilized, at least for 1 hour. Subsequently, plants were illuminated with red light (67 μW/mm2, 650 nm), and after 1 hour, additional green light (17 μW/mm2, 520 nm) was applied or the red light was switched off.

### The Guardian model

The essential players for the observed ion fluxes were identified, and their features were described mathematically, and computational cell biological simulations were carried out as outlined in detail in the Supplementary Materials.

### Supplementary Materials

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/7/28/eabg4619/DC1

View/request a protocol for this paper from Bio-protocol.
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