NGTS-11 b / TIC-54002556 b: A transiting warm Saturn recovered from a TESS single-transit event
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ABSTRACT

We report the discovery of NGTS-11 b (=TIC-54002556 b), a transiting Saturn in a 35.46-day orbit around a mid K-type star ($T_{\text{eff}}=5050 \pm 80$ K). The system was initially identified from a single-transit event in our TESS full-frame image light-curves. Following seventy-nine nights of photometric monitoring with an NGTS telescope, we observed a second full transit of NGTS-11 b approximately one year after the TESS single-transit event. The NGTS transit confirmed the parameters of the transit signal and restricted the orbital period to a set of 13 discrete periods. We combined our transit detections with precise radial velocity measurements to determine the true orbital period and measure the mass of NGTS-11 b.

Corresponding author: Samuel Gill
samuel.gill@warwick.ac.uk
the planet. We find NGTS-11 b has a radius of $0.823 \pm 0.035 R_{\text{Jup}}$, a mass of $0.37 \pm 0.14 M_{\text{Jup}}$, and an equilibrium temperature of just $440 \pm 40 \text{K}$, making it one of the coolest known transiting gas giants. NGTS-11 b is the first exoplanet to be discovered after being initially identified as a TESS single-transit event, and its discovery highlights the power of intense photometric monitoring in recovering longer-period transiting exoplanets from single-transit events.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Wide-field photometric surveys have uncovered a large population of transiting exoplanets around bright stars (e.g. Bakos et al. 2004; Pollacco et al. 2006; Borucki et al. 2010), providing key opportunities to measure precise planetary radii and densities, constrain bulk composition, and to characterise planetary atmospheres (e.g. Seager & Mallén-Ornelas 2003; Fortney et al. 2007; Sing et al. 2016). However, the transit geometry imposes a strong selection bias for close-in orbits, and only a handful of well-characterised transiting exoplanets are known with orbital periods longer than about 30 days. This limits our characterisation of the wider exoplanet population, as well as its evolution through planetary migration (e.g. Nottale et al. 2004; Jones et al. 2004; Alibert et al. 2013). It also prevents us from using transits to characterise exoplanets in the habitable zone (Kasting et al. 1993; Kopparapu et al. 2013), except around very late-type host stars (e.g. Gill et al. 2017).

The extremely wide field of the TESS survey (Ricker et al. 2015) is providing many new exoplanet candidates around bright stars. However, with an observing baseline of only 27 days across most of the sky, TESS typically only detects a single transit for longer-period planets (Cooke et al. 2018; Villanueva et al. 2019). A single-transit event provides only a weak constraint on the orbital period of the planet (Osborn et al. 2016; Sandford et al. 2019), and so follow-up observations designed to confirm the exoplanet are expensive: whether aiming to detect additional transits, or to detect the radial velocity variations of the host star.

The NGTS project (Wheatley et al. 2018) has commenced a program to follow-up TESS single-transit event candidates, and has already discovered three longer-period, low-mass eclipsing binary systems: TIC-238855958 (Gill et al. 2020a), TOI-222 (Lendl et al. 2020), and TIC-231005575 (Gill et al. 2020b).

In this letter we present the first discovery of an exoplanet that was initially identified as a TESS single-transit event. We show how an observing strategy focusing on detecting a second transit, in this case with NGTS, enables longer-period planets to be confirmed and characterised using a very modest number of radial velocity measurements.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

2.1. TESS single transit detection

We conducted a systematic search for single-transit events in 30-minute cadence light curves produced from the TESS calibrated full-frame images (Jenkins et al. 2016) as described by Gill et al. (2020a). The K-dwarf TIC-54002556 (hereafter NGTS-11, $T = 11.62$, properties in Table 1) was observed at 30-minute cadence with TESS Camera 1 during Sector 3 of the mission (2018 September 20 – 2018 October 18). We identified a promising single-transit event from NGTS-11 approximately nine days into Sector 3, at JD 2458390.7, with a transit width of 4h and depth of 1 percent (shown in top panel of Fig. 1). We inspected the individual TESS calibrated full-frame images for asteroids and any other anomalies. We also searched for blended objects in Gaia DR2 (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018) and checked for known nearby exoplanets or eclipsing binaries that might be the source of the transit event. We found no reason to believe this single-transit candidate was a false-positive.

Having identified NGTS-11 as hosting a viable exoplanet candidate, we created a higher quality TESS light curve from the TESS calibrated full-frame images by carefully selecting source and background pixel apertures in order to minimise blending with neighbouring objects. These apertures better exclude fainter, nearby companions TIC-54002560 ($48.51^\circ$; $T = 14.28$) and TIC-54002559 ($56.41^\circ$; $T = 14.51$) at position angles 148.87° and 120.81° respectively. Frames with a quality flag larger than 0 were rejected, and the light curve was detrended using a median filter of width 10.5h. The resulting TESS light curve around the time of the transit is shown in Fig. 1, and this was used for the remainder of this work.

2.2. A second transit with NGTS

We used the NGTS facility (Wheatley et al. 2018) located at the ESO Paranal Observatory in Chile to monitor NGTS-11 photometrically, searching for additional transits. NGTS was designed specifically for very high precision photometry of exoplanet transits, and it is thus well suited to photometric follow-up of TESS single-transit candidates (Wheatley et al. 2018). We started
monitoring NGTS-11 with a single NGTS telescope on the night of 2019 August 11. We observed each subsequent night with 10 s exposures whenever the target was above an elevation of 30 deg and weather conditions allowed. The images were reduced to light curves each day using the on-site NGTS real-time aperture photometry pipeline described in Bryant et al. (2020).

We used the template matching algorithm described in Gill et al. (2020a,b) to search the NGTS light curve for additional transit events. This involved using a model fit to the TESS transit as a template, which was placed at each possible transit epoch within the NGTS light curve and the improvement in log-likelihood calculated ($\Delta \log L = -\Delta \chi^2/2$). From empirical injection tests, we conservatively estimated that a significant template match would be consistent with $\Delta \log L > 50$. We observed NGTS-11 for 79 nights (105 642 exposures) before a second transit event was detected ($\Delta \log L = 364$) on the UT night of 24 October 2019. The transit event was centred at JD 2458780.712, which is 390 days after the initial TESS single-transit. The NGTS transit detection is plotted in the top panel of Fig. 1.

2.3. Photometric constraints on orbital period

We measured the precise separation of the TESS and NGTS transit detections using a joint transit fit to both light curves, finding $\Delta T = 390.0082 \pm 0.0032$ days. The orbital period of the companion to NGTS-11 must be an integer fraction of this duration. We label these candidate periods as $P_n = \frac{390.0082}{n}$ where $n$ is an integer. These candidate periods are indicated with vertical lines in Figure 2.

All candidate periods beyond $P_{18}$ were ruled out by the TESS data, since additional transits would have been detected. Similarly, five candidate periods ($P_{10}$, $P_{13}$, $P_{14}$, $P_{15}$ and $P_{17}$) were ruled out by the NGTS photometric monitoring as well as additional observations on 2019 November 22 and 2019 December 1 (red dashed vertical lines in Figure 2). This left just 13 candidate orbital periods (green dashed vertical lines in Figure 2).

2.4. Radial velocity measurements

We initially made three 600 s observations of NGTS-11 over 54 days using the CORALIE fiber-fed Échelle spectrograph installed on the 1.2-m Leonard Euler telescope at the ESO La Silla Observatory (Queloz et al. 2001). The spectra were reduced using the CORALIE standard reduction pipeline, with radial velocity measurements derived using the cross-correlation technique and a numerical G2 mask. The radial velocities had no variation above 80 m s$^{-1}$ showing that the transiting companion has a mass consistent with a planet and not a low-mass star.
Table 1. Catalogued, measured and derived properties of NGTS-11 and NGTS-11b.

| Catalogue data | Model parameters |
|----------------|------------------|
| TIC ID         | T₀ (BJD-TDB)     |
| R.A.           | 2458390.7042 ± 0.0020 |
| decl.          | P (d)            |
| G              | 35.455285 ± 0.00020 |
| BP             | 0.0185±0.0140    |
| RP             | Rₚ/ Rₚ           |
| pmRA (mas yr⁻¹) | 0.1005 ± 0.0033  |
| pmDec (mas yr⁻¹) | h₁,TESS         |
| Parallax (mas) | h₂,TESS         |
| TESS (T)       | h₁,NGTS         |
| APASS9 (B)     | h₂,NGTS         |
| APASS9 (V)     | l₃,TESS         |
| APASS9 (g')    | K₁ (km s⁻¹)     |
| APASS9 (r')    | 0.023±0.006     |
| APASS9 (i')    | √e sin ω       |
| 2MASS (J)      | 0.24 ± 0.43     |
| 2MASS (H)      | √e cos ω       |
| 2MASS (Kₗ)     | 0.22±0.12       |
| Stellar parameters | γ (km s⁻¹)   |
| Tₑff (K)       | 21.408±0.003    |
| [Fe/H] (dex)   | Derived planet properties |
| log gₑ (dex)   | Mₚ (Mₖₘ)       |
| v sin i (km s⁻¹) | 0.37±0.14     |
| Mₑ (Mₖₘ)       | Rₚ (Rₖₘ)       |
| Rₑ (Rₖₘ)       | 0.823±0.035    |
| ρₑ (ρₖₘ)       | a (au)         |
| Age (Gyr)      | 0.201±0.002    |
| sin i (km s⁻¹) | e               |
| ∆γFEROS (km s⁻¹) | 0.11±0.15    |
|                | ω (deg)        |
|                | 47±37          |
|                | i (deg)        |
|                | 89.12±0.22     |
|                | Tₚₜ (hr)       |
|                | 4.51±0.09      |
|                | gᵦ (m s⁻²)     |
|                | 13.7±6.4       |
|                | Tₑq (K)        |
|                | 440±40         |
|                | ρₑ (g cm⁻³)    |
|                | 0.82±0.33      |
|                | Hₑ (km)        |
|                | 125±70         |

This motivated an additional nine 1800 s radial velocity measurements spanning 63 days with the HARPS spectrograph (R = 115000) on the 3.6-m ESO telescope (Pepe et al. 2002) and six measurements spanning 11 days with the FEROS spectrograph (R = 48000) on the MPG/ESO 2.2-m Telescope (Kaufer et al. 1999). The FEROS data were reduced with the CERES pipeline (Brahm et al. 2017). These data are plotted in the lower panels of Figure 1.

2.5. Stellar properties

We used our HARPS spectra to determine the properties of the host star NGTS-11. The individual spectra were shifted to the laboratory frame of reference and co-added to produce a combined spectrum with a signal-to-noise ratio of 44. We used ispec (Blanco-Cuaresma et al. 2014) to synthesise models using the radiative transfer code spectrum (Gray 1999), MARCS model atmospheres (Gustafsson et al. 2008), and version 5 of the GAIA ESO survey (GES) atomic line list within ispec with solar abundances from Asplund et al. (2009).

We used the Hα, NaI D, and MgI b lines to determine the stellar effective temperature, Tₑff, and surface gravity, log g. We used individual FeI and FeII lines to determine metallicity, [Fe/H], and the rotational broadening projected into the line of sight, v sin i. Values of macro-turbulence and microturbulence were calculated using equations 5.10 and 3.1 respectively from Doyle (2015).

We used the method described in Gill et al. (2020a) to determine the mass, radius, and age of NGTS-11. This method uses Gaia magnitudes and parallax (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018) along with Tₑff and [Fe/H] from our spectroscopy to determine the best-fitting stellar parameters with respect to MESA models (Dotter 2016; Choi et al. 2016).

The results of our analysis are presented in Table 1. We find that NGTS-11 is a mid K-dwarf star (Tₑff=5050±80 K) with super-Solar metallicity of [Fe/H]=0.22±0.08.

2.6. Orbital period and planet properties
The warm Saturn NGTS-11b

In order to determine the true orbital period of NGTS-11b, as well as its physical properties, we carried out joint fits of our transit light curves (TESS and NGTS) and radial velocity data (HARPS and FEROS) at each of the candidate orbital periods allowed by the photometry (see Section 2.3 and Figure 2).

A perfect minimisation algorithm would explore the whole parameter space in a single fit, finding each of the thirteen orbital periods allowed by the photometry for itself. However, the $\chi^2$ minima are narrow and widely spaced, and this approach is not efficient in practice. Instead, we explored each minimum with a separate MCMC run, using a uniform prior that selected the period alias in question, but did not define the period itself. Figure 2 shows the best $\chi^2$ from each of these 13 runs, mapping the depth of each $\chi^2$ minimum.

Our model has 17 free parameters, with best-fitting values presented in Table 1, and the best-fitting model shown in Figure 1. In addition to the orbital period, $P$, these include $T_0$, the TESS transit epoch, $R_*/a$, $R_p/R_*$, and the transit impact parameter, $b$, where $R_*$ is the radius of the star, $R_p$ is the radius of the planet, and $a$ is the orbital separation. For the TESS and NGTS transit data we also fit for the out-of-transit magnitude offsets, as well as the decorrelated limb-darkening parameters $h_1$ and $h_2$ from Maxted (2018). We employed Gaussian priors on $h_1$ and $h_2$ for each instrument interpolated from Maxted (2018) using stellar atmospheric parameters from Section 2.5. These priors dominate the posterior distributions for $h_1$ and $h_2$. In our initial fits we found that the TESS transit was slightly shallower than the NGTS transit, which we ascribe to residual blending with the neighbouring stars mentioned in Section 2.1. We therefore introduced a parameter to allow for third light in the TESS light curve, $l_{3, TESS}$. Instead of fitting directly for eccentricity, $e$, and the argument of periastron, $\omega$, we use the decorrelated parameters $\sqrt{e}\sin\omega$ and $\sqrt{e}\cos\omega$, which perform better at low eccentricities (Ford 2006). Our model also includes the radial velocity semi-amplitude of the host star, $K_*$, and the system velocity, $\gamma$. To account for different zero-points between HARPS and FEROS we also include a radial velocity offset for the FEROS data with respect to HARPS, $\Delta \gamma_{\text{FEROS}}$. To allow for astrophysical and/or instrumental noise not included in the formal radial velocity uncertainties we add a radial velocity jitter term in quadrature to the formal radial velocity uncertainties. To obtain a reduced spectroscopic $\chi^2$ of unity, jitter terms of 5.5 m s$^{-1}$ for HARPS and 19.0 m s$^{-1}$ for FEROS are required. The bisector span shows no significant correlation with radial velocity.

We used emcee (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013) to explore the parameter space around each candidate orbital period using the likelihood function $L(d|m) = \exp(-\chi^2/2)$. We initiated 36 Markov chains and generated 100000 trial steps. We discarded the first 50000 as burn-in and visually confirmed the sampler had converged. Parameter values in Table 1 are for the best-fitting model at $P_{11} = 35.46$ days, and the uncertainties are estimated using the largest difference between the best-fitting model and the 16th and 84th percentiles of the cumulative posterior distributions.

Figure 2 shows how the best-fitting $\chi^2$ depends on the orbital period alias. Our best fit is to $P_{11} = 35.46$ days, and the next best fitting candidate period ($P_{12}$) has $\Delta \chi^2 = 11.1$, which makes it more than 250 times less probable. It is important to understand that the fits to the different period aliases in Fig. 2 are effectively a single fit (with identical model and free parameters) exploring a complex $\chi^2$ space with multiple widely-spaced minima (at the periods allowed by the photometry in Sect. 2.3). The $\Delta \chi^2$ plotted is identical to $\Delta \text{BIC}$ (Bayesian information criterion).

Our best fitting model is shown in Figure 1, and the corresponding parameters are listed in Table 1. This shows NGTS-11b to be an exoplanet with mass and radius very similar to Saturn (see Figure 3).

3. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Following-up a single-transit event identified in our TESS light curve of the K-dwarf NGTS-11, we have detected a second transit event with NGTS. Combined with radial velocity follow-up with CORALIE, HARPS,
Figure 3. Upper panel: Radius-period diagram of well-characterised transiting exoplanets (mass to better than 50% precision and radius to better than 10%; exoplanetarchive.ipac.caltech.edu, accessed 04/30/2020). NGTS-11 b is indicated with a red star. Lower panel: Mass-radius diagram for giant exoplanets from the same sample. Planets with orbital periods longer than 30 days are plotted in black, those with periods shorter than 30 days in blue. NGTS-11 b is again represented with a red star and solar system giants are indicated with triangles. The orange line shows a theoretical mass-radius relation for a cold hydrogen/helium exoplanet (Seager et al. 2007).

and FEROS, these transit detections show that the companion object is an exoplanet with mass and radius similar to Saturn. The planet has a 35.46-day orbit that is consistent with being circular. NGTS-11 b is the first exoplanet to be confirmed after initially being identified as a TESS single-transit event.

Figure 3 puts NGTS-11 b into context with the wider population of well-characterised exoplanets. The planet radius is not inflated compared to the solar system giants, as expected for a wide-separation planet (Laughlin et al. 2011; Thorngren & Fortney 2018; Sestovic et al. 2018), and its composition is consistent with that of Saturn. NGTS-11 b has a surface gravity, $g_p$, of $13.7 \pm 6.4 \text{ m s}^{-2}$, calculated using the method of Southworth et al. (2007). Assuming an albedo similar to Saturn (0.342; Hanel et al. 1983), we calculate an equilibrium temperature of only $440 \pm 40 \text{ K}$. Since the host star is a K-dwarf, this equilibrium temperature is lower even than some longer-period planets with F- and G-type hosts. In fact NGTS-11 b is one of just a handful of transiting gas giant planets with an equilibrium temperature $< 500 \text{ K}$. This makes NGTS-11 b an interesting prospect for transmission spectroscopy to study an atmosphere that is much cooler than the typically-studied hot Jupiters, which have equilibrium temperatures of 1000–2500 K (e.g. Sing et al. 2016). Assuming a Saturn-like atmospheric composition ($2.07 \text{ g mole}^{-1}$) we estimate an atmospheric scale height, $H_p$, of $125 \pm 70 \text{ km}$, which may make it a viable target for transmission spectroscopy.

It is important to note that we have been able to determine the mass and radius of this relatively long-period exoplanet with a very modest number of radial velocity measurements (nine with HARPS and six with FEROS). The detection of the second transit with NGTS was crucial in tightly constraining the possible orbital periods, and this serves to demonstrate the value of intense photometric monitoring in following-up single transit events. Without this second transit detection we would have required many more radial velocity measurements in order to confirm the planet, determine its orbital period, and measure its mass (e.g. Díaz et al. 2020). The strategy of large investments of photometric follow-up with instruments such as NGTS thereby allows efficient confirmation of single-transit events without adding to the considerable pressure on high-precision radial velocity instruments. This highlights the power of high-precision ground-based photometric facilities in revealing longer period transiting exoplanets that TESS alone cannot discover.
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