Is arthritis associated with cardiovascular diseases in both sexes? An analysis of NHANES data
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Abstract

Background: Among arthritis patients, cardiovascular morbidity is increased, and sex disparities exist in cardiovascular diseases and arthritis. Thus, the hypothesis that the association between cardiovascular diseases and arthritis might vary according to sex seems plausible.

Aim: To examine the relationship of arthritis with the most frequently diagnosed cardiovascular diseases, and to check if sex modifies this association.

Methods: We analyzed data from a large representative sample of the U.S. population: The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2005-2006. Our cross-sectional analyses included 3621 participants aged between 20 and 69 years old. Self-reported diagnoses of arthritis (main independent variable) and cardiovascular diseases (dependent variable) were measured during face-to-face interviews, and different covariates were identified as potential confounders for the association examined. Analyses were stratified by sex.

Results: In multivariate analyses, a significant positive association was found between arthritis and cardiovascular diseases (OR: 2.01; 95% CI: 1.38-2.94; p value=0.0003), but there was no effect modification by sex (men: OR: 1.95; 95% CI: 1.32-2.87 / women: OR: 2.38; 95% CI: 0.96-5.86) (p=0.729).

Conclusions: In this large cross-sectional study, we observed a statistically significant association between self-reported diagnoses of arthritis and cardiovascular diseases in a cohort of non-institutionalized U.S. adults. The association persisted after adjustment for several confounders but was not modified by sex.

Abbreviations: NHANES: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; CVD: Cardiovascular Diseases; BMI: Body Mass Index; SD: Standard Deviation; OR: Odds Ratio; CRP: C - Reactive Protein; MEC: Mobile Examination Centers.

Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases (CVD) are responsible for increasing morbidity and mortality worldwide [1,2]. They are bringing about a heavy burden on the economy, especially in low and middle-income countries [3]. Prevention of CVD relies on lowering modifiable risk factors such as hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia and adverse lifestyle factors [1] (alcohol consumption, cigarette smoking, physical inactivity, etc.) [1,4]. Some biomarkers related to hemostasis and inflammation, such as C-reactive protein (CRP), have a strong predictive value for CVD [2,5-8].

Arthritis includes several rheumatic diseases and disorders that affect the joints and the surrounding connective tissues [9]. Inflammation, pain, redness and stiffness in and around one or more joints are considered the cardinal symptoms of arthritis [10,11]. According to the 2003 U.S. National Health Interview Survey, 25% of adults will have a diagnosis of arthritis by the year 2030, with an estimated 37% of them reporting arthritis-attributable activity limitations.

Among arthritis patients, disease activity and severity are associated to cardiovascular risk through inflammatory biomarkers, especially CRP [12,13]. Interleukin-6 (IL-6) is produced by inflammatory cells [6], and regulates the expression of CRP [5,7]. Evidence suggests that CRP is involved in the initiation and progression of atherosclerotic lesions, contributing to the development of cardiac ischemic syndromes [14]. Cardiovascular morbidity is thus increased in rheumatoid arthritis patients [13], and cardiovascular risk factors such as smoking, hypertension or obesity are overrepresented among patients with chronic rheumatic diseases when compared to the general population [4].

Inflammatory biomarkers could modulate the association between arthritis and CVD, but their physiological relevance remains not totally understood [15]. It is also possible that arthritis constitutes an independent risk factor for CVD by itself. It is thus important to investigate the association between arthritis and CVD to help reduce the CVD-related morbidity and mortality by improving control measures for arthritis.

There exist important sex disparities in CVD [16-19] and arthritis [20,21]. Men develop CVD 7 to 10 years before women in average.
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Sex differences are important in the metabolic syndrome and inflammatory reaction and have a specific role in the manifestation of CVD [16]. Generally, women have worse inflammatory disease activity [21], report more severe symptoms and have higher work disability percentages, while men have higher mortality rates than women [20]. Also, arthritis is more prevalent among women than among men [10]; 60% of people with arthritis are women and most types of arthritis are more common in women, including osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, and fibromyalgia; however, gout is more common in men [22]. Experts don’t know exactly why women are at higher risk of developing most types of arthritis, or why men are at higher risk of developing gout. Though CVD risk factors are shared by men and women, some may be more prevalent and/or more significant for one sex; for example, having diabetes may be a stronger risk for certain types of CVD in women. Also, women tend to develop CVD at an older age than men [23,24]. It thus seems plausible that the association between CVD and arthritis varies according to sex (effect modification by sex).

To our knowledge, no study has yet tested this hypothesis. Shedding light on a possible effect modification of the association between arthritis and CVD by sex would provide better understanding of the frequency and risk of CVD among persons with arthritis and would thus help healthcare professionals better adapt CVD prevention and treatment to individual characteristics.

The objectives of this study were to examine the relationship of arthritis with the most frequently diagnosed CVD, and to verify if sex modifies this association.

**Methods**

**Source of data**

Data came from a large representative sample of the U.S. population: The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2005-2006. The National Center for Health Statistics of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention of the United States [25] is conducting the NHANES surveys each year. They consist in national cross-sectional health surveys designed to obtain information on the health and nutritional status of the non-institutionalized U.S. population. Aiming to provide estimates that could be generalized to the entire U.S. population, NHANES uses a complex, stratified, multistage probability cluster design. Every participant is considered to represent 50,000 U.S. residents. All participants provided written informed consent, and data collection was based on standardized health questionnaires administered during respondents’ home interview, with detailed physical examination and blood collection performed in well-equipped mobile examination centers (MEC), that travelled to different sites all across the country, or at the participant’s home [25].

**Sample selection**

The inclusion criteria for this study were to have participated to NHANES 2005-2006 and to be aged between 20 and 69 years. Exclusion criteria were mainly linked to the blood samples: 1) having hemophilia, 2) having received chemotherapy in the last 4 weeks, 3) presence of the following on two arms: rashes, gauze dressings, casts, edema, paralysis, open wounds, missing limbs, sclerotic veins, allergies to cleansing agents, burns or scar tissues. Pregnant women were also excluded to eliminate hypertension or other CVD induced by pregnancy [25].

Figure 1 shows the detail of participant selection for this study. Overall, 10,348 individuals participated to NHANES 2005-2006. Of these, 382 were pregnant women, 5,323 were younger than 20 years, 882 were aged 70 years or more, and 140 did not complete the physical examination. The final sample size of the current study was 3,621 (96.3% of those eligible).

**Main independent and dependent variables:** The NHANES 2005-2006 survey included a “Medical Conditions Questionnaire” in which participants were asked to answer questions about several health conditions. Our main independent variable was the presence of arthritis (yes/no) as reported in the question “Has a doctor or another health professional ever told you that you had arthritis?” Participants were also asked “Has a doctor or another health professional ever told you that you had congestive heart failure?”, “- coronary heart disease?”, “- angina pectoris?”, “- a heart attack?”, “- a stroke?” The dependent variable was defined as a history of CVD (yes/no) if they answered “Yes” to at least one of these questions. These measures have been used successfully before to estimate the prevalence of CVD [26] in the United States.

**Other independent variables:** Several variables were used to describe the participants’ sociodemographic, lifestyle and physical
characteristics. Some of these were identified as potential confounders: age, sex, ethnicity, body mass index (BMI), smoking status, alcohol consumption, kidney and liver diseases, cholesterol and triglyceride blood levels, diabetes mellitus and hypertension. The choice of these covariates was based on the literature [13,27-29].

Ethnicity was considered into five categories: Mexican American, other Hispanic, non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, and other (including multi-racial). BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters and classified into 4 categories: underweight (BMI <18.5), normal (18.5 ≤BMI <24.9), overweight (24.9 ≤BMI <30), and obese (BMI ≥30). Smoking status was reflected by serum concentrations of cotinine provided from the laboratory data file. Serum cotinine was measured by an isotope dilution-high performance liquid chromatography/atmospheric pressure chemical ionization tandem mass spectroscopy (ID HPLC-APCI MS/MS) [30]. For analyses, cotinine serum levels were classified to create the following smoking groups: non-smokers/non exposed (0.011 ≤cotinine ≤1), non-smokers/exposed (1 <cotinine ≤15) and smokers/ exposed (cotinine >15) [31]. Alcohol consumption was calculated as the average number of weekly drinks self-reported by the participants. Light consumption was defined as less than 3 drinks per week for both men and women, moderate consumption as between 3 and 14 drinks per week for men and between 3 and 7 drinks per week for women, and vigorous consumption as more than 14 drinks per week for men and more than 7 drinks per week for women. Self-reported data on chronic diseases were available in the questionnaire data file. Diabetes mellitus, kidney and liver diseases were assessed by asking participants whether a doctor or another healthcare professional had ever told them they had these conditions. Serum total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol and triglycerides were measured enzymatically using the Roche Hitachi 717 in 2005 and the Roche Hitachi 717 and 912 in 2006, but no adjustment was necessary for the instrumentation change [32,33]. They were considered as continuous variables in analyses. Hypertension assessment was based on blood pressure readings performed during the survey examination process. Up to four readings of systolic and diastolic blood pressure were obtained from participants in the MEC. We calculated the mean of the first 3 measurements of systolic and diastolic blood pressure to generate participant’s blood pressure, and categorized them as follows: normal (systolic blood pressure <120 mm Hg and diastolic blood pressure <80 mm Hg), pre-hypertension (systolic blood pressure ≥120 mm Hg and <140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure ≥80 mm Hg and <90 mm Hg), hypertension stage 1 (systolic blood pressure ≥140 mm Hg and <160 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure ≥90mm Hg and <100 mm Hg), and hypertension stage 2 (systolic blood pressure ≥160 or diastolic blood pressure ≥100 mm Hg). Physical activity was self-reported and categorized into 3 groups: light activity (when, over the last 30 days, participant walked or bicycled as part of getting to and from work, or school, or to do errands), moderate activity (when over the last 30 days, participant practiced an activity for at least 10 minutes that causes only light sweating or a slight to moderate increase in breathing or heart rate) and vigorous activity (when, over the last 30 days, participant practiced an activity that causes heavy sweating or large increases in breathing or heart rate).

Statistical analyses

NHANES recommends weighting the sample data to get better representation of the civilian noninstitutionalized U.S. population by producing estimates of statistics that would have been obtained if the entire population was surveyed [34]. Weighting measures were applied for all the survey analysis procedures. Values are expressed as weighted mean ± standard deviation (SD) for normally distributed variables. Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics were compared between arthritis and non-arthritis groups using chi-square weighted test. Bivariate logistic regression models included only the main independent variable (arthritis) and the dependent variable (CVD). In multivariate logistic regression, we then adjusted for confounders. Weighted odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals were calculated. A p value <0.05 was considered significant. A full regression model included all potential risk factors for CVD. The backward method was used to evaluate the potential confounding effect of age, sex, body mass index, cholesterol (total, HDL and LDL), triglycerides, CRP and medical conditions. A variable was considered a confounder if it brought about a change in the OR of ≥ 10% compared with that for the full model. The final model included age, BMI, alcohol consumption and total cholesterol concentration as confounding variables. Finally, to test the modifying effect of sex on the relationship between arthritis and CVD, an interaction term between arthritis and sex was created and introduced in the final model. The SAS software (version 9.3) was used for statistical analyses.

Results

Descriptive analyses

Among the 3,621 subjects of the current study, 757 (20.9%) reported having been diagnosed with arthritis. Selected subjects’ characteristics according to arthritis status are shown in table 1, with p values of unadjusted comparisons between both groups for each characteristic. Study participants had a mean age of 42.7 years (SE=0.4), they were 51.3% male and 48.7% female, and Non-Hispanics Whites were the most represented (45.2%). The majority of participants were nonsmokers (65.3%), had light alcohol consumption (74.6%) and said they had practiced vigorous physical activity in the past 30 days (55.5%). Kidney (2.4%) and liver (2.3%) problems were rare; diabetes was more frequent (9.2%). A diagnosis of CVD was reported by 7.2%. Compared to subjects without arthritis, subjects with arthritis were significantly older, more often non-Hispanic White, female, and obese. They reported less vigorous physical activity but were also less inactive than those without arthritis. Subjects with arthritis showed higher proportions of clinically raised CRP (CRP≥1 mg/dL), total cholesterol and triglycerides concentrations, diabetes mellitus and stages 1 and 2 hypertensions, than those without arthritis. Among subjects with arthritis, 18.1% reported a diagnosis of CVD compared to 4.4% of those without arthritis.

Association of arthritis with CVD

Table 2 shows the bivariate and the final multivariate logistic regression models. In bivariate analyses, a significant positive association was found between arthritis and CVD (OR: 4.81; 95% CI: 3.66-6.31).

The final multivariate regression model included age, sex, BMI, alcohol consumption and total cholesterol concentrations as confounding factors. The association remained positive and statistically significant after adjustment (OR: 2.01; 95% CI: 1.38-2.94).

The association between arthritis and CVD was statistically significant in men. The odds of CVD in men with arthritis was 1.95 times the odds of CVD in those without diagnosed arthritis. The 95% confidence interval (1.32 - 2.87) excluded the null value. The odds of CVD in women with arthritis was 2.38 times the odds of CVD in those
Table 1. Selected subjects’ characteristics*.

| Age (years) | Study sample n=3621 [missing values] | Without arthritis n=2864 [missing values] | With arthritis n=757 [missing values] | P value ** |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| 42.7 ± 0.4  | 40.2 ± 0.4                           | 52.24 ± 0.6                               | <.0001                               |
| Ethnicity   |                                      |                                           |                                      |           |
| Mexico-Americans | 781 (21.6)  | 690 (24.1)                             | 91 (12)                             | <.0001    |
| Other Hispanics | 125 (3.5)  | 109 (3.8)                              | 16 (2.1)                            |           |
| Non-Hispanics whites | 1638 (45.2)  | 1228 (42.9)                           | 410 (54.2)                          |           |
| Non-Hispanics blacks | 917 (25.3)  | 711 (24.8)                             | 206 (27.2)                          |           |
| Other race (including multi-racial) | 160 (4.4)  | 126 (4.3)                              | 34 (4.5)                            |           |
| Sex         |                                      |                                           |                                      | <.0001    |
| Male | 1856 (51.3)                          | 1538 (53.7)                             | 318 (42.0)                           |           |
| Female | 1765 (48.7)                          | 1326 (46.3)                             | 439 (58.0)                           |           |
| Body Mass Index (BMI) | 3572 (49)  | 2826 (38)                             | 746 (11)                            | <.0001    |
| Underweight (BMI<18.5) | 60 (1.7)  | 50 (1.8)                              | 10 (1.3)                            |           |
| Normal (18.5<=BMI<24.9) | 976 (27.3)  | 842 (29.8)                             | 134 (18.0)                          |           |
| Overweight (24.9<=BMI<30) | 1214 (34.0)  | 1007 (35.6)                           | 207 (27.8)                          |           |
| Obese (BMI >=30) | 1322 (37.0)  | 927 (32.8)                             | 395 (52.9)                          |           |
| Smoking     |                                      |                                           |                                      | 0.4951    |
| Non-smokers/non-exposed (0.011<=cotinine<=1) | 2221 (65.3)  | 1758 (65.7)                           | 463 (64.1)                          |           |
| Non-smokers/exposed (1<cotinine<=15) | 184 (5.4)  | 149 (5.6)                             | 35 (4.9)                            |           |
| Smokers/exposed (cotinine>15) | 994 (29.3)  | 770 (28.7)                             | 224 (31.0)                          |           |
| Alcohol consumption | 3300 (321)  | 2587 (277)                             | 713 (44)                            | 0.0042    |
| Light consumption | 2460 (74.6)  | 1894 (73.2)                           | 566 (79.4)                          |           |
| Moderate consumption | 516 (15.6)  | 421 (16.3)                             | 95 (13.3)                           |           |
| Vigorous consumption | 324 (9.8)  | 272 (10.5)                             | 52 (7.3)                            |           |
| Physical activity | 3621 [0] | 2864 [0] | 757 [0] | <.0001 |
| Inactive | 1058 (29.2)                          | 774 (27.0)                             | 284 (37.5)                           |           |
| Light activity | 245 (6.8)  | 200 (7.0)                              | 45 (6.0)                            |           |
| Moderate activity | 309 (8.5)  | 268 (9.4)                              | 41 (5.4)                            |           |
| Vigorous activity | 2009 (55.5)  | 1622 (56.6)                           | 387 (51.1)                          |           |
| Kidney problems | 3621 [0] | 2864 [0] | 757 [0] | 0.093 |
| Yes | 87 (2.4)                              | 55 (1.9)                               | 32 (4.2)                            |           |
| No | 3534 (97.6)                            | 2809 (98.1)                           | 725 (95.8)                           |           |
| Liver problems | 3621 [0] | 2864 [0] | 757 [0] | 0.012 |
| Yes | 84 (2.3)                              | 53 (1.9)                               | 31 (4.1)                            |           |
| No | 3537 (97.7)                            | 2811 (98.1)                           | 726 (95.9)                           |           |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL) | 199.1 ± 0.96  | 198.0 ± 1.03                          | 202.9 ± 1.65                        | 0.0165    |
| Direct HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) | 53.9 ± 0.32  | 53.6 ± 0.31                           | 54.8 ± 0.61                         | 0.0535    |
| LDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) | 115.9 ± 1.63  | 116.1 ± 1.90                           | 115.1 ± 2.31                        | 0.9266    |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL) | 141.4 ± 3.60  | 133.9 ± 3.27                          | 169.5 ± 12.51                       | 0.0174    |
| Serum C-reactive protein (CRP) (mg/dL) | 3621 [0] | 2864 [0] | 757 [0] | <.0001 |
| Undetectable (CRP<0.01) | 300 (8.3)  | 261 (9.1)                              | 39 (2.5)                            |           |
| Elevated (0.01<CRP<1) | 2941 (81.2)  | 2352 (82.1)                           | 589 (77.8)                          |           |
| Clinically raised (CRP>1) | 380 (10.5)  | 251 (8.8)                              | 129 (17.0)                          |           |
| Diabetes mellitus | 3621 [0] | 2864 [0] | 757 [0] | <.0001 |
| Yes | 334 (9.2)                              | 191 (6.7)                              | 143 (18.9)                          |           |
| No | 3287 (90.7)                            | 2673 (93.3)                           | 614 (81.1)                           |           |
| Hypertension | 3418 [203]  | 2792 [162]                            | 716 [41]                            | <.0001    |
| Normal | 1603 (46.9)                            | 1339 (49.6)                           | 264 (36.9)                          |           |
| Pre-hypertension | 1465 (42.9)  | 1127 (41.7)                           | 338 (47.2)                          |           |
| Hypertension stage 1 | 283 (8.3)  | 194 (7.2)                              | 89 (12.4)                           |           |
| Hypertension stage 2 | 67 (1.9)  | 42 (1.5)                               | 25 (3.5)                            |           |
| Cardiovascular disease | 3621 [0] | 2864 [0] | 757 [0] | <.0001 |
| Yes | 262 (7.2)                              | 125 (4.4)                              | 137 (18.1)                          |           |
| No | 3359 (92.8)                            | 2739 (95.6)                           | 620 (81.9)                           |           |

*All values are weighted according to NHANES recommendations. Values correspond to mean ± standard deviation or n (%).
**Statistical significance level: 0.05
Light activity: over the last 30 days, walked or bicycled as part of getting to and from work, or school, or to do errands.
Moderate activity: over the last 30 days, activity for at least 10 minutes that causes only light sweating or a slight to moderate increase in breathing or heart rate.
Vigorous activity: over the last 30 days, activity that causes heavy sweating or large increases in breathing or heart rate.
Vigorous alcohol consumption: M: more than 14 drinks per week- F: more than 7 drinks per week.
Normal: systolic blood pressure <120 mm Hg and diastolic blood pressure <80 mm Hg.
Pre-hypertension (at risk): 120 mm Hg ≤ systolic blood pressure<140 mm Hg or 80 mm Hg≤ diastolic blood pressure<90 mm Hg.
Hypertension stage 1: 140 mm Hg≤ systolic blood pressure<160 mm Hg or 90mm Hg≤ diastolic blood pressure<100 mm Hg.
Hypertension stage 2: systolic blood pressure≥160 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure≥100 mm Hg.
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Table 2. Associations of arthritis with cardiovascular disease by sex** (n=3621)

| Covariates                  | Odds Ratio | 95% CI    | P value*** |
|-----------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Age                         | 1.08       | 1.06 - 1.1| <.0001     |
| Body Mass Index             |            |           |            |
| Underweight (BMI<18.5)      | 2.59       | 0.51 - 13.34| 0.2538     |
| Normal (18.5<=BMI<24.9)     | Reference  | Reference | Reference  |
| Overweight (BMI>=25)        | 1.7        | 1.17 - 2.44| 0.0052     |
| Obese (BMI >=30)            | 2.43       | 1.64 - 3.60| <.0001     |
| Alcohol consumption         |            |           |            |
| Light consumption           | Reference  | Reference | Reference  |
| Moderate consumption        | 0.7        | 0.37 - 1.31| 0.2709     |
| Vigorous consumption        | 0.66       | 0.42 - 1.06| 0.0853     |
| Total Cholesterol           | 0.99       | 0.98 - 1.00| 0.0087     |
| Arthritis                   |            |           |            |
| All participants (n=3621)   | 2.01       | 1.38 - 2.94| 0.0003     |
| Males (n=1856)              | 1.94       | 1.32 - 2.87| 0.0007     |
| Females (n=1765)            | 2.38       | 0.96 - 5.86| 0.0589     |

*All values are weighted according to NHANES recommendations.
**p value of the interaction between arthritis and sex is 0.729, not statistically significant
*** The level of significance is set below 0.05
**** Multivariate model adjusted for age, sex, total cholesterol level, body mass index and alcohol consumption

Discussion

In this large cross-sectional study, we observed a two-fold statistically significant increased association between self-reported diagnoses of arthritis and CVD in a cohort of non-institutionalized U.S. adults. This association was not modified by sex.

Our results are consistent with those of previous studies on the association between arthritis and CVD [12,13,27,28]. For instance, Soubrier et al. have reported an adjusted OR of 1.7 (95% CI: 1.5-6.9) [13]. Inflammation has been long recognized as a common component of both inflammatory arthritis and CVD, especially those related to atherosclerosis [12,35]. According to a meta-analysis of 15 studies that included 358,944 people, the risk of CVD was significantly increased by 24% in patients with osteoarthritis (OA) compared with the general population [36]. In a longitudinal study of 4,265 persons without CVD followed over 8 years, participants with OA at baseline had a significantly increased risk of CVD during follow-up of about 27% [37]. The authors mentioned that shared conditions between OA and CVD such as hypertension, obesity, high cholesterol and diabetes, along with inactivity and the use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), can explain the increased risk [36,37]. Scheir et al. [38] reported consistent increased risk of MI across multiple common arthritis disease types. In studies adjusted for age and sex, risk of incident MI was significantly increased in participants with rheumatoid arthritis, gout, psoriatic arthritis, and OA; there was also a trend towards increased risk in ankylosing spondylitis. Like us, Meek et al. also found hypertension and obesity to be overrepresented among patients with chronic rheumatic diseases. However, they further reported an association of cigarette smoking with arthritis that we have not seen [4].

As in other studies [4,12,13,27], we found that age, total cholesterol concentrations, obesity and alcohol consumption were actual confounding factors on the studied association. For example, untreated rheumatoid arthritis patients have dyslipidemia, and total cholesterol concentrations usually decreases with decreasing disease activity [4]. In addition, decreased cholesterol concentrations lead to a decrease in the atherogenic index, lowering the atherosclerotic risk development [13]. As for obesity, it is a well-known risk factor for CVD [28], and overweight is almost uniformly present among patients with rheumatic cardiovascular diseases [4].

In contrast, while hypertension is a classical CVD risk factor that is highly prevalent in people with arthritis [4,12,13,27,28] it was not retained in our analyses as a confounding factor. It might be that our hypertensive participants were under hypertensive treatments and thus, hypertension did not influence the association.

This study has several important strengths. It is based on data collected from a large representative sample of the U.S. adult population, providing high statistical power. It explored a new topic and advances knowledge about the association between inflammatory arthritis and CVD. In addition, some variables (such as smoking status and cholesterol levels) were measured physiologically and clinically at the same time, which provided data that are more accurate.

Since we used a cross-sectional design, our first study limitation lies in the fact we could not establish the directionality of the associations. Thus, we cannot know whether the relationship between arthritis and CVD is causal. Also, data were derived from a survey conducted in 2005-2006 and results may be different due to advancements in arthritis treatment.

Another important limitation is linked to some variable’s measurement. Several variables were self-reported by questionnaire, holding some potential for information biases, most likely non-differential; this probably resulted in an underestimation of the strength of the association between arthritis and CVD. Another non-differential information bias is related to the measure of the independent variable. Our interest concerned the inflammatory arthritis diseases only. However, NHANES data provided a global measure for the arthritis population, providing high statistical power. It explored a new topic and advances knowledge about the association between inflammatory arthritis and CVD. In addition, some variables (such as smoking status and cholesterol levels) were measured physiologically and clinically at the same time, which provided data that are more accurate.

We have controlled for several confounders identified in the literature and we believe to have adjusted for the most important ones. However, residual confounding linked to factors such as nutrition and drug used is nevertheless still a possibility. Also, although CRP is mentioned in the literature as a risk factor for CVD [13,27,28], we thought it is likely to be on the pathway between arthritis and CVD, and thus did not adjust for it. To validate this approach, however, we introduced it in our final regression model: it did not bring about a change of >10% in the ORs and would thus not have been retained as a confounder anyway.
As for external validity, participants were from the U.S. only. Given important differences in health care systems between the U.S. and many other countries, this potentially limits the generalizability of our results.

It is important to mention that the OR is not a valid estimate of the relative risk when the prevalence of the disease under scrutiny is high like it is in this study.

We found a difference in ORs between men and women that could have signed a stronger association in women. However, this difference was small in magnitude, confidence intervals of the ORs in men and women overlapped, and the interaction term between arthritis and sex was not statistically significant; thus, we must conclude that sex does not modify the association between arthritis and CVD.

Although the association between arthritis and CVD is well described in the literature, in both Europe and the U.S. there is a deficiency in CVD risk management among individuals with arthritis. Compared to the general population, patients with rheumatoid arthritis are less likely to benefit from CVD preventive measures [28]. Healthcare providers underuse the systematic evaluation tools of CVD risk among patients with rheumatoid arthritis. In fact, the interdisciplinary team managing CVD risk in patients with rheumatoid arthritis usually includes a cardiologist, a rheumatologist and a primary care provider, and confusion does often exist among them about who should evaluate CVD risk factors and provide related lifestyle recommendations and medical interventions [28]. It is important to translate our findings into the daily clinical practices of those who treat patients with inflammatory arthritis to help them provide better patient primary CVD prevention treatment. In addition, healthcare professionals should be more aggressive in addressing CVD risk factors among women who have often atypical presentation of CVD. This is because mainly small vessels are involved in the disease rather than major ones [39,40], leading to different risk profile when diagnosed with CVD [19].

Future research based on longitudinal studies is fundamental to confirm our results. In addition, because the concentrations of several biomarkers, especially vitamins and minerals, differ between men and women, affecting thus the manifestation of both diseases, it would be important to study the effect modification of sex combined to those biomarkers, on the association between arthritis and CVD.

Conclusions

Using data from a large survey conducted in the U.S., we observed a significant association between arthritis and CVD that was not modified by sex. These findings have important clinical implications for the management of patients with arthritis diseases. However, as our study was cross-sectional, further longitudinal studies are required to validate our conclusion.
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