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Abstract

The increase in food needs due to high population growth in Niger has led to the intensification of urban agriculture and increased use of pesticides. The objective of this study is to assess the polar pesticide contamination of the Niger River and its tributary, the Mekrou River, in Niger, using both grab sampling and POCIS (Polar Organic Chemical Integrative Samplers) and evaluate their ecological risks in the waters. Two water sampling campaigns were carried out during the rainy and dry seasons. The samples were analyzed by liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (HPLC/MS) and allowed the detection of compounds with concentrations above the WHO guide values and the EU directive: diuron with 3281 ng/L (EU quality guideline: 200 ng/L), atrazine with 1476 ng/L (EU quality guideline: 600 ng/L) and acetochlor with 255 ng/L (EU quality guideline: 100 ng/L). Diuron and Atrazine show a high ecological risk for aquatic environment. The main source of this water contamination is the intensive use of pesticides in urban agriculture near the city of Niamey and in an intensive cotton farming in the Benin. The results of surveys showed the influence of poor pesticide application practices and seventy percent (70%) of the pesticides used are not approved by the Interstate Committee for Drought Control in the Sahel (CILSS) and some are prohibited in Niger. These contaminations may raise concerns about the health of farmers along the Niger River and the Mekrou River.

Highlights

- The main areas of water contamination are downstream from the city of Niamey and the confluence of the river with the Mekrou River
- First state of the art of pesticides contamination of the Niger River valley
- The river Mekrou shared by Niger, Burkina and Mali is more polluted by pesticide than the Niger River due to its use in cotton production
- The high concentrations diuron and atrazine constitute a high ecological risk for aquatic environment

1. Introduction

The development of agricultural activities in Africa, occupies an important place for human nutrition and contributes to the national gross domestic product (GDP) of some countries. The growing demand for agricultural products, associated with yields improving involves the systematic use of chemical pesticides to control crop pests (Ahouangninou et al. 2011; Andres and Lebailly, 2011; Illyassou et al. 2015; Kanda et al., 2013), which consist mainly of tropical insects and parasites (Bruinsma, 2003). For African countries in the Sahelian zone that are part of the Interstate Committee for Drought Control in the Sahel (CILSS), the use of these pesticides is regulated by the Sahelian Pesticides Committee (CSP). Several studies have shown some high concentrations of pesticides with a frequency of pyrethroids, dieldrin and organophosphate pesticides (Donald et al., 2016) in water, sediment, soil, food, air and aquatic organisms in countries concerned with the Niger River Basin including Guinea (Traoré and Haggblade, 2017), Mali (Berthe Dem et al., 2007; Le Bars et al., 2020), Burkina (Gnankané et al., 2013; Lehmann et al., 2017, 2018; Son et al., 2017; Tamagda et al., 2017), Benin (Okoumassoun et al., 2002; Agbohessi et al., 2011, 2012; Adechian et al., 2015; Agboyi et al., 2016, Gouda et al., 2018), Nigeria (Adyemini et al., 2011; Ogbeide et al., 2015; Isogi et al., 2016, Ojo 2016; Gushit et al., 2017), Niger (Mamadou et al, 2005, 2008; Mamane et al., 2016; Zabeirou et al. 2018), Cameroon (Ahmadou et al., 2016; Norbet et al., 2017; Branchet et al., 2018), Côte d’Ivoire (Traoré et al., 2006; Doumbia and Kwadjo 2009; Coulibaly et al., 2012; Traoré A. et al., 2015) and Chad (Sougnabe et al. 2010). On the Mékrou River, studies have only involved Benin part and the work has shown alarming concentrations of endosulfan (746 µg/l), DDT (100 µg/l), dieldrin (48 µg/l), and heptachlor in some of the waters of the W Park and the river, as well as endosulfan and lindane in fish and sediment (Elisabeth et al, 2006; Agbohessi et al. 2011, 2012, 2015; Ahouangninou et al. 2011,2012; Adechian et
al., 2015). The W Park is a large transboundary biosphere reserve straddling Benin, Burkina, and Niger and has been listed as a Unesco World Heritage Site since 1996 and protected since 2007 by the Ramsar Convention.

In Niger, the annual population growth rate is 3.9%, one of the highest in the world, and agriculture is the country’s third largest source of income, after uranium mining and livestock. Agriculture is mainly practiced on small family farms used for food crops (millet, sorghum, cowpea and cassava), rice cultivation, and some cash crops such as corn and peanuts, without recourse to mechanization (MHELD, 2005). It is mainly practiced in the southern part of the country and along the Niger River, and corresponds to about 13% of the national territory (Guengant and Banoin, 2003). Market garden crops such as tomatoes and onions are grown in the depressions created by ancient or recent rivers.

The Mékrou River is a temporary tributary of the right bank of the Niger River that originates in Benin in the plain west of the Atakora Mountains (Le Barbe et al., 1993; Robert Vernet, 1994). This river is heavily involved in cotton production, which began in 1965 and was encouraged by the Compagnie française pour le développement des textiles (CFDT). This production was greatly expanded in the 1980s with the large-scale application of plow-down cultivation (Leo J. De Haan, 1992). Benin is the leading cotton-producing country in Africa, ahead of Mali, Côte d’Ivoire and Burkina Faso, with production of 712,000 tons in 2020 (Benin Ministry of Agriculture), accounting for 80% of the country’s export earnings (AIC, 2019).

The use of pesticides allows a good crop yield, but several works have highlighted environmental and health risks including reproductive function expectations, neurological disorders and cancerous pathologies (Multigner 2005; Ahouangninou et al. 2011; Mamane et al., 2015; Gouda et al., 2018; Le Bars et al., 2020). According to the WHO, there are approximately one million severe pesticide poisonings worldwide with some 200,000 deaths (WHO, 2006 and WHO, 2008).

Several studies in Niger have highlighted the use of pesticides and human and food exposures. The application of organophosphates, pyrethroids, and avermectins was evidenced in market garden crops of onion, cabbage, and tomato in Madaoua Department and 25 pesticide formulations, all of which were not registered by the Sahelian Pesticides Committee (Zabeirou et al. 2018). The harmful effects of chlorpyrifos ethyl and fenitrothion used against the desert locust on the two species of pimelia (Coleoptera, tenebrionidae) in the Tafidet valley (Agadez region) and occupational exposure to organophosphates and carbamates in rural Niger were highlighted in the work of Mamadou et al., 2005, 2008. On the Niger River, the exposure of the diet of the city of Niamey to residues of phytopharmaceutical products during the hot and cold dry season was highlighted and showed that the risk of exposure was higher in children than in adults for all the residues detected and whatever the product (Massalatchi et al. 2018). These exposure risks also concern small farmers with an exposure level above the acceptable exposure level for all active substances and vary from 0.0013 mg / kg bw / day to 0.4125 mg / kg bw / day (Massalatchi et al. 2017). The result of surveys conducted on the risk of respiratory disorders in adults and children related to cultivation in Niger showed that people living in agricultural areas have an increased risk of respiratory symptoms in adults (wheezing, dyspnea, sudden shortness of breath, and cough without fever) and in children (cough without fever) compared to those in pastoral areas (Mamane et al., 2014, 2016).

Although the work carried out has highlighted the risks of exposure of certain producers through the use of pesticides, there is currently no work assessing the quality of water to pesticides along the Niger River and on the Mekrou River. Chemical pesticides are used by farmers to protect their crops from pests and are mostly exported from neighboring countries. The use of these mainly unregistered pesticides for agricultural purposes could be a source of environmental and health problems in the Niger River watershed in Niger. The objective of this study is to study the occurrences of polar pesticides contamination in the Niger River valley and its tributary, the Mekrou River, and to evaluate the inputs of pesticides from the river and its tributaries in the Niger portion of the watershed.
The passive sampling technique will be used to detect and quantify polar compounds in the water. It has advantages in terms of the preconcentration of compounds in water and increase the possibility of detecting or even quantifying compounds present at very low concentrations in water and improving the diagnosis of contamination (Di Carro et al., 2018). Samplers will be placed along the Niger River and downstream of tributaries to accumulate molecules on a receptor phase by diffusion (mass transfer) of compounds. This technique will be used for the first time in the assessment in pesticide contamination of the Niger River and its tributaries.

2. Materials And Methods

2.1 Presentation of the study area and sampling sites

The study area is located in the Niger River watershed, in Niger, on the 550 m, from the border with Mali in the North to the border with Benin and Nigeria in the South, and crosses the region of Tillabéry on 420 km and that of Dosso on 130 km (Figure 1). Its hydrological regime is highly variable in time and depends on the amount of rainfall in Upper Guinea and Northern Côte d’Ivoire, constituting the Guinean flood in December-January and the local flood between August and September, fed by its tributaries. It is the main watercourse in Niger and its irrigable area is estimated at 142,500 ha (SOGREAH/BRGM, 1981). Several rice schemes are located along the Niger River in both regions, covering an area of about 8,500 ha and are developed by more than 2,000 beneficiaries (Ehnrooth et al., 2011). Several hydro-agricultural developments (AHA) have been carried out by the State of Niger over an area of 13,000 ha, the management of which was entrusted to the Office National des Aménagements Hydro-Agricoles (ONAH), then transferred to farmers' organizations grouped into agricultural cooperatives with the objective of growing twice as much rice per year through the support of ONAH in the framework of advice and provision of services (Baron et al., 2010).

Niger's climate is Sahelian, characterized by two seasons: a nine-month dry season (October to June) and a three-month rainy season (July to September). Niger's agro-climatic zones are made up of the Sahelo-Sudanian zone, the Sahelian zone, the Sahelo-Saharan zone and the Saharan zone. The Niger River is located in the Sahelian zone with a rainfall of between 350 and 600 mm per year, where agriculture is predominantly rainfed and irrigated. The Mékrou River is located in the most watered part of Niger and crosses Park W, at the common border between Niger, Benin and Burkina. It is covered by the Sahelo-Sudanese zone, which receives 600 to 800 mm of rainfall per year with conditions that are very favorable for rain-fed and irrigated agriculture. The latter receives about 80% of its water from Benin, which is one of the country's main cotton producing areas. The cultivable area of the zone is small so there is no agricultural activity in Park W.

2.2 Methodology for the survey of agricultural practices and pesticide use

Information on farming practices and pesticides used by farmers was collected from questionnaires. The representative sample of the surveyed farmer population is composed of 30 producers, mostly men, on the Liboré and Saga hydro-agricultural development sites (AHA) for rice production, corresponding to sampling point F4, and the market garden sites located downstream from the city of Niamey along the Niger River. The main agricultural activities practiced along the Niger River are urban agriculture practiced by about 600 market gardeners at the Gamkalle site and the two irrigated perimeters for rice cultivation used by about 1,800 allottees in the surrounding villages. These agricultural activities are practiced for two harvests per year.

The survey questionnaire included general data on the farmer, such as level of education, age, and agricultural training received; data on the characteristics of the farm, such as the area cultivated for market gardening or irrigation, agricultural practices, and the origin of water used; and the type, frequency, and technique of chemical fertilizer and
pesticide use. The last part of the questionnaire concerned the integration of producers in professional networks and their knowledge of the environmental issues related to the use of pesticides, as well as their opinion on the prospects for improving their activity.

The objectives of the survey were first explained to the members of the cooperative and to the producers present on the sites in order to have their involvement; and the survey was carried out with the perfect collaboration of the producers with a response rate of 100%. The survey involved twenty (20) producers from the irrigated sites and ten (10) from the market gardening sites.

2.4 Materials and method of chemical analysis of pesticides

2.4.1 Choice of sampling sites

The preliminary study of the study area according to its size, the types of agricultural activities carried out along the Niger River, in Niger and near its tributaries made it possible to select six (6) sampling sites, five (5) of which are on the Niger River and one (1) at its confluence with the Mekrou River, in order to investigate the pesticides used and cover the entire watershed (Figure 1). Site F1 is located at the entrance of the Niger River from Mali, where there is no intense agricultural activity on the Niger side and no input from its tributaries into Niger. Point F2 is located at the confluence of the Niger River with its right bank tributary, the Sirba, where there are agricultural plots for market gardening; and upstream from the pumping stations for the water supply of the city of Niamey. Point F3 is located upstream of the city of Niamey and before the large market gardening sites, and point F4 is positioned downstream of Niamey with several human activities and after the market gardening sites and the irrigated areas of Saga and Liboré. Point F6 is located at the confluence of the Mékrou River with the Niger River, and allows for the evaluation of pesticide contamination from this river; and finally, point F7, located in Gaya, which is a Sahelo-Sudanese area and at the exit of the Niger River from Niger territory, to evaluate pesticide inputs from the Niger side.

2.4.2 Materials and sampling method

Materials

The sampling materials used consisted of a pH meter and a conductivity meter for in situ measurements of physical parameters and 1L and 500 mL glass vials. Filtration was performed using a Nalgene filtration unit (for GL45 flask), a hand pump and GF/F disposable filters. The Oasis HLB cartridges were conditioned at the IMT Mines Alès with 5 mL of acetonitrile under vacuum, followed by 5 mL of methanol (MeOH) and 5 mL of ultrapure water (Ibrahim et al., 2013b) and transported in a cooler to Niger.

For both (2) types of sampling, a membrane pump was used for filtration during the extractions work.

Method

The grab sampling and passive sampling campaigns were carried out during the winter season between August and September and the dry season between April and May. They concerned the six (6) sampling sites selected in order to better evaluate the contamination in the same hydrological context of the Niger River. At each site, in situ measurements were made to collect the pH and conductivity of the environment and the POCIS are deployed in triplicate (3 POCIS) for a period of 15 to 20 days. They are placed in a cage, attached to an empty canister that floats and immersed vertically in river water. Upon removal, the POCIS are rinsed with Milli Q water, wrapped in aluminum foil, then in a plastic bag and stored in a cooler before being transported to the laboratory for extraction.
Water samples are collected manually in 1-liter glass bottles (grab sampling) previously rinsed with the water to be sampled, on the days of deployment and withdrawal of POCIS. They are also kept in a cooler during transport before the extraction phase.

2.4.3 Extraction of water grab samples and POCIS

The extraction phase of the water samples was carried out in Niger. The collected water was divided into two 500 mL flasks, and vacuum filtered using a Nalgene filtration unit with a 0.7 µm pore size GF/F filter; in order to remove suspended matter. On each filter, 50 µL of atrazine was added as an extraction yield tracer and the solid phase extraction on Oasis HLB® cartridges. These cartridges were stored in a refrigerator in Niamey before being transported to the laboratory at IMT Mines Alès. Before extraction, the Oasis HLB® cartridges were activated with 5 mL of acetonitrile under vacuum, followed by 5 mL of methanol (MeOH) and 5 mL of ultrapure water (Ibrahim et al. 2013b). The elution phase was performed at IMT Mines Alès after a 1 h vacuum drying. The analytes were then recovered by eluting the cartridges with 8 mL of acetonitrile at a flow rate of 1 mL/min (Mhadhbi et al., 2019). For POCIS, a device was set up in Niamey to transfer the phases into an empty solid-phase tube (SPE) with two polyethylene frits with 20µm porosity at the base and top. A Visiprep solid phase extraction (SPE) collector from Supelco (Bellefonte, USA) was used.

The extracts from the extraction were concentrated under a gentle stream of nitrogen to obtain a final extract of 1.5 mL, which were spiked with simazine d5 before being analyzed by HPLC-MS/MS (Ibrahim 2013).

2.4.4 Liquid chromatography mass spectrometry analysis of polar pesticides (herbicides)

The analysis of the extracts was performed at IMT Mines Alès by HPLC/MS/MS using an Alliance HPLC system (Waters Series 2695). This system is equipped with a quaternary pump, a degasser and a sample changer. Analytical separation was performed with a Kinetex C18 analytical column (100 mm × 4.6 I.D. × 260; Phenomenex) (Mhadhbi et al., 2019). Milli-Q water and acetonitrile, both including 0.05% formic acid, were used as the mobile phase, at a constant flow rate of 0.4 mL/min. The linear gradient started at 60% and reached 100% in 10 min to allow the passage of acetonitrile, followed by stabilization before returning to initial conditions for 2 min. The system was coupled to a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Micromass Quattro micro MT, Waters) equipped with an electrospray ionization (ESI) source, used as a detection device and operated in positive ion mode. Argon was used as collision gas. Ion specific acquisition of each compound was performed in multiple reaction modes (MRN).

Thirty-two target compounds, consisting of twenty (25) pesticides (acetochlor, alachlor, atrazine, azoxystrobin, carbendazim, chlortoluron, dimethomorph, diuron, epoxiconazole, flazasulfuron, imidachloprid, isoproturon, linuron, metalaxy, metholachlor, oxydiazyl, prochloraz, propyzamide, prosulfocarb, pyrimethanil, simazine, tebuconazole, terbuthylazine, tetcacozazole) and seven (7) degradation products (DCPMU, DCPU, DEA, DET, DIA, Simazine hydroxy and terbuthylazine hydroxy) were analyzed (Table 1).

The analytical results were recovered from two transitions, one for the quantification of the target substances and one for the confirmation. For POCIS, the sampling rates (Rs), were determined under laboratory conditions for each compound by dividing the slope of the linear regression curve by the average aqueous concentration of selected compounds over a 15-day period (Ibrahim et al. 2012, 2013). Some of the sample rates were not determined at ITM Mines Alès and were therefore retrieved from the literature (Ahrens et al. 2015; Desgranges 2015; Greenwood et al. 2007; Poulier et al. 2014, Branchet et al. 2018).
Table 1
Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) obtained by HPLC/MS and limit of quantification (LOQ) for water samples and LOQ calculated for POCIS under laboratory conditions.

| Active Substances | Chemical Family | Analytical LOD (µg/L) | Analytical LOQ (µg/L) | Water LOD (ng/L) | Water LOQ (ng/L) | Accumulation Rate Rs (L/day) | Reference |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| **Herbicides**    |                |                       |                       |                 |                 |                             |           |
| Acetochlor        | chloroacetamide| 1,3                   | 4,3                   | 3,9             | 13,0            | 0,223                       | Ibrahim et al. 2013a |
| Alachlor          | chloroacetamide| 2,7                   | 9,1                   | 8,3             | 27,5            | 0,256                       | Ibrahim et al. 2013a |
| Atrazine          | Triazine       | 1,7                   | 5,8                   | 5,2             | 17,4            | 0,254                       | Ibrahim et al. 2013a |
| Chlortoluron      | Urea           | 1,7                   | 5,6                   | 5,1             | 17,0            | 0,252                       | Ibrahim et al. 2013a |
| DCPMU             | Urea           | 1,9                   | 6,5                   | 5,9             | 19,6            | 0,285                       | Ibrahim et al. 2013a |
| DCPU              | Urea           | 4,2                   | 14,0                  | 12,8            | 42,5            | 0,333                       | Ibrahim et al. 2013b |
| DET               | Triazine       | 0,6                   | 1,9                   | 1,7             | 5,7             | 0,254                       | Ibrahim et al. 2013b |
| DIA               | Triazine       | 1,2                   | 3,9                   | 3,6             | 12,0            | 0,068                       | Ibrahim et al. 2013a |
| Diuron            | Phenylurea     | 1,7                   | 5,8                   | 5,3             | 17,5            | 0,257                       | Ibrahim et al. 2013a |
| Flazasulfuron     | Sulfonylurea   | 1,8                   | 6,1                   | 5,5             | 18,4            | Indisponible                |           |
| Isoproturon       | Urea           | 3,4                   | 11,3                  | 10,3            | 34,3            | 0,237                       | Ibrahim et al. 2013a |
| Linuron           | Urea           | 2,7                   | 9,1                   | 8,2             | 27,5            | 0,141                       | Ibrahim et al. 2013a |
| Metolachlor       | chloroacetanilide| 4,5               | 14,9                  | 9,8             | 45,0            | 0,268                       | Ibrahim et al. 2013b |
| Oxadixyl          | Phenylamide    | 1,6                   | 5,3                   | 4,8             | 16,1            | 0,263                       | Ibrahim et al. 2013a |
| Propyzamide       | Benzamide      | 3,1                   | 10,2                  | 9,3             | 31,0            | 0,195                       | Ibrahim et al. 2013a |
| Prosulfocarbe     | Thiocarbamate  | 2,7                   | 9,1                   | 8,3             | 27,7            | 0,071                       | Ibrahim et al. 2013a |
| Simazin           | Triazine       | 1,5                   | 5,1                   | 4,5             | 15,5            | 0,218                       | Ibrahim et al. 2013a |
| Simazine hydroxy  | Triazine       | 2,6                   | 8,8                   | 8,0             | 26,7            | Indisponible                |           |
| Terbutylazine     | Triazine       | 1,7                   | 5,6                   | 5,1             | 17,0            | 0,163                       | Ibrahim et al. 2013a |
| Active Substances | Chemical Family | Analytical LOD (µg/L) | Analytical LOQ (µg/L) | Water LOD (ng/L) | Water LOQ (ng/L) | Accumulation Rate Rs (L/day) | Reference |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Terbutylazine hydroxy | Triazine | 2.7 | 9.1 | 8.3 | 27.7 | Indisponible | Ibrahim et al. 2013a |
| **Fungicides** | | | | | | | |
| Azoxystrobin | Strobilurin | 0.9 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 9.0 | 0.154 | Ibrahim et al. 2013a |
| Carbendazime | Bendimidazole | 7.2 | 24.0 | 21.8 | 72.8 | 0.304 | Poulier et al. 2015 |
| Dimethomorphe | Morpholine | 4.9 | 16.4 | 15.0 | 49.8 | 0.395 | Poulier et al. 2016 |
| Epoxiconazole | Triazole | 1.4 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 14.1 | 0.28 | Ahrens et al. 2015 |
| Metalaxyl | Phenylamide | 3.2 | 10.7 | 9.8 | 32.6 | 0.264 | Ibrahim et al. 2013a |
| Penconazole | Triazole | 2.0 | 6.6 | 6.0 | 19.9 | 0.279 | Ibrahim et al. 2013a |
| Prochloraz | Azole | 3.3 | 11.0 | 10.0 | 33.4 | 0.08 | Desgranges 2015 |
| Pyrimethanil | Anilinopyrimidine | 0.6 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 6.1 | 0.231 | Ibrahim et al. 2013a |
| Tebuconazole | Triazole | 1.1 | 3.6 | 9.7 | 10.9 | 0.24 | Greenwood et al. 2007 |
| Tetraconazole | Triazole | 3.2 | 10.6 | 9.7 | 32.2 | Indisponible | |
| **Insecticides** | | | | | | | |
| Imidacloprid | Neonicotinoid | 1.4 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 13.7 | 0.29 | Poulier et al. 2015 |

### 2.4.5 Quality Assurance/Control

The linearity, LOQs and LODs, precision and accuracy of the analytical methods were carefully analyzed. LODs were calculated as $3 \times \text{Sy/x/b}$ and LOQs as $10 \times \text{Sy/x/b}$, where $\text{Sy/x}$ is the residual standard deviation and $b$ is the slope of the matrix calibration curves. The LODs and LOQs obtained by HPLC/MS (analytical LODs and LOQs expressed in µg/L) are listed in Table 1. The LOD and LOQ of all selected pesticides were determined from the calibration lines for each analytical campaign in which $R^2 > 0.98$. For the POCIS, the analytical detection limit ranged from 0.10 to 2.20 µg/L and the limit of quantification from 0.40 to 7.50 µg/L.

The average recovery in the synthetic water solution spiked with the 32 targeted compounds (acetochlor, alachlor, atrazine, azoxystrobin, carbendazim, chlortoluron, dimethomorph, diuron, epoxiconazole, flazasulfuron, imidachloprid, isoproturon, linuron, metalaxyl, metholachlor, oxadixyl, penconazole, prochloraz, propyramide, prosulfocarb, pyrimethamyl, simazine, tebuconazole, terbuthylazine, tetraconazole, DCPMU, DCPU, DEA, DET, DIA, Simazine hydroxyl, terbuthylazine hydroxy) was 63%, with the highest recovery for simazine (101%) and the lowest for DPCU (8%). Flazasulfuron, terbuthylazine hydroxy, and simazine hydroxy were not recovered.
A certified reference material (WaR™ Pollution Nitrogen Pesticides, Lot No. P246-674) from ERA Waters Company (Golden, USA) was used to determine pesticide recoveries in water samples. This reference material is an aqueous solution composed of 24 pesticides including the 6 pesticides selected in our study (alachlor, atrazine, DEA, DIA, metolachlor and simazine). Pesticide concentrations in the reference material ranged from 3.37 to 16.80 µg/L. The recoveries and coefficients of variation were 108 ± 4% (atrazine), 78 ± 4% (alachlor), 61 ± 3% (DEA), 58 ± 3% (DIA), 110 ± 2% (metolachlor), and 79 ± 2 (simazine) after solid-phase extraction (Oasis HLB) and HPLC/MS/MS analysis (n = 9) of the reference material using the same analytical method.

The average recoveries with atrazine-d5 were 98 ± 11% and 90 ± 12% for spot and passive samples respectively (n = 33 samples). The average coefficient of variation with the internal standard simazine-d5 was 17 ± 6% for all HPLC/MS injections (n = 120 injections).

### 2.4.6 Statistical analysis of data

For all analyses, triplicate analytical measurements were performed and data were tabulated as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical treatments of the data was performed using STATISTICA analysis software and statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

### 3. Results And Discussion

#### 3.1 Results of the survey on agricultural practices and phytosanitary products

In Niger, there is no database on pesticide management, particularly export and consumption, and the porous nature of the borders facilitates the sale of fraudulent and toxic products. The results of the surveys carried out showed that 95% of the producers are illiterate men and 60% reported having received training in the use of pesticides. The area cultivated under irrigation in the surveyed zone represents about 390 ha and the area of market gardening sites is about 10 ha. The main crops inventoried in the market gardening site are tomatoes, lettuce, cabbage, peppers, carrots, zucchini, parsley, celery and lettuce, which are grown mainly during the dry season.

Pesticides are used in these crop areas by all producers, depending on the time of year and type of crop, to improve yields by controlling pests such as caterpillars, termites, grasshoppers, crickets, locusts, worms and weeds. Seventy percent (70%) of the pesticides used are not approved by the Inter-State Committee for Drought Control in the Sahel (CILSS) and some are banned in Niger. Unfortunately, these products are sold in ¼ liter bottles in the markets of Liboré and Saga, near the selected site of F4. Sixty percent (60%) of producers claim to have received guidance on the dangerousness of certain pesticides on human health, such as the case of daksh which stings the eyes and nose, and some products have reduced their effectiveness in recent years and are used 3 times with an interval of 3 days; thus doses twice (2) higher than those recommended on the labels, with the consequence of weakening the leaves, such as that of melon. Seventy-five percent (75%) of the trained producers pointed out a lack of recycling of the training received, forty (40%) of the respondents reported a total lack of training and only less than 10% expressed satisfaction. The results of the survey made it possible to draw up an inventory of pesticides on sale in the zone and showed that producers use several types of commercial products with 48% of active ingredients for insecticides, 45% for herbicides and 7% for fungicides. The producers do not have appropriate storage facilities for pesticides and in sites F4 et F6, empty packaging is also found discarded in the wild.

The survey revealed that the majority of producers, including those who have already been trained, are not aware of the doses to be applied, the frequency of treatment and the effects on health and the environment. They fail to follow
procedures and some do not use personal protection équipements during treatment (Le Bars et al., 2015; Massalatchi et al., 2017). Several studies in African countries have shown that the use of inappropriate materials for pesticide dosing by mostly illiterate producers is a factor that can increase their exposure (Cissé et al., 2003; Traoré et al., 2006; Kanda et al., 2009; Doumbia and Kwadjo 2009; Ngom et al., 2013, Ahouangninou et al. 2011; Ogbeide et al., 2015; Son et al., 2017; Norbet et al., 2017; Lehmann et al., 2017 and 2018; Gouda et al. 2018; Le Bars et al., 2020).

Table 2 below provides a non-exhaustive list of active ingredients inventoried at some sites F4 and F6 in the study area according to pesticide type, pest type and crop type. Of the thirty-two (32) compounds analyzed at ITM Mines Alès, 28% are registered in Niger and are included in the list of pesticides registered by the Sahelian Pesticides Committee (CSP INSAH 2015; PPAAO, 2016). Of all active ingredients found in the study area, 15% are analyzed at ITM Mines Alès by HPLC/MS/MS, corresponding to 27% of herbicides. These herbicides are used extensively in rice cultivation to control weeds and are applied in double cropping during the year (winter and off-season). Some active ingredients are registered in Niger, others are banned in Niger and the CSP, and some are analyzed at ITM Mines Alès.
Table 2
Inventory of active substances used in agriculture along the Niger River in Niamey

| Active substances | Active ingredient family | Target pests | Crop type |
|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| **Herbicides**    |                          |              |           |
| Acetochlor         | Chloroacetanilide         | Mono and dicotyledonous weeds | Cotton, corn, peanuts |
| Atrazine           | Chlorotriazine            | Annual weeds | Cereal crops |
| Dichloride Paraquat | Bipyridilium            | Mono and dicotyledonous weeds | Vegetable and cereal crops |
| Glyphosate         | Organophosphor            | Mono and dicotyledonous weeds | Vegetable and fruit crops |
| Propanil           | Anilide                  | Annual and perennial weeds | Rice |
| Butachlor          | Chloroacetanilide         | Annual and perennial weeds | Rice and vegetables |
| Bensulfirion-methyl | Pyrimidinylsulfonyleurea | Annual and perennial weeds | Rice |
| Haloxyfop-R Methyl | Organophosphate           | Monocotyledonous weeds | All crops |
| Pendimethalin      | Dinitroaniline            | Annual weeds | Vegetable crops |
| Oxadiazon          | Oxadiazolone              | Annual and perennial weeds | Rice |
| Propanil           | Anilide                  | Annual and perennial weeds | Rice |
| Propanil + 2.4-D   | Phénoxyacetic acid        | Annual and perennial weeds | Rice |
| Pyrazosulfuron-éthyl | Pyrazole                | Mono and dicot weeds | Rice |
| **Fungicides**    |                          |              |           |
| Carbenzadime + mancozeb | Carbamate + Dithiocarbamate | Anthracnose, alternaria, mildew, powdery mildew | Rice, sugar cane |
| Chlorothalonil     | Organochlorine            | Anthracnose, alternaria, mildew | Vegetable crops |
| **Insecticides**  |                          |              |           |
| Abamectin          | Avermectin                | Sucking pests, caterpillars, leaf miners | Vegetable crops |
| Acetamiprid + Indoxacarbe | Neonicotinoid + Oxidazine | Sucking pests, caterpillars | Vegetable and green bean crops |
| Acetamiprid + Lambda-cyhalothrin | Neonicotinoid + pyrethroid | Sucking bugs, caterpillars, | Vegetable crops |
| Carbofuran         | Carbamate                 | Nematodes, caterpillars, sucking bites, termites | Vegetable crops |
| Chlorpyrifos       | Organophosphorus          | Sucking bites, termites, aphids, white flies, caterpillars | Vegetable and cereal crops, cowpea, rice, maize, sorghum, |
### Active substances

| Active substance            | Active ingredient family | Target pests                          | Crop type     |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------|
| Dichlorvos                 | Organophosphate          | Broad spectrum of insects              | All crops     |
| Emamectin benzoate<sup>a</sup> | Avermectin              | Caterpillar                            | Vegetable crops |
| Cyperméthrin<sup>a</sup> + diméthoate | Pyréthroid and organophosphate | Sucking bugs                          | Vegetable crops |
| Deltamethrin<sup>a</sup>   | Pyréthroid                | Caterpillar, aphids, flies, trips, sucking bites | Vegetable crops and rice |
| Fipronil<sup>b</sup>       | Phenopyrazole            | Sucking bites, caterpillars, beetles   | Vegetable crops |
| Imidacloprid<sup>a,c</sup> | Néonicotinoid           | Sucking pests, bugs, caterpillars, termites, beetles | Vegetable crops |
| Lambda-cyhalothrin + Dimethoate<sup>a</sup> | Pyrethroid + organophosphate | Sucking bugs, aphids, caterpillars, grasshoppers, beetles | Vegetable crops and rice |

Additional Sources for information (DPV 2003): Supply center, Rice Cooperatives, POs and Authorized Distributors

- a : pesticides approved by the Sahelian Pesticides Committee (CSP)
- b: product banned in Niger and by the CSP
- c: product analyzed at IMT Mines Alès

### 3.2 Analysis of the results of grab and passive sampling (POCIS)

#### 3.2.1 Frequency of detection and quantification of herbicides and fungicides in the Niger River

For all the sampling campaigns, twelve pesticides, including ten (10) herbicides composed of acetochlor, alachlor, diuron and its metabolites (DCPMU and DCPU), atrazine and its metabolites (DEA and DIA) atrazin-desethyl-DEA and simazin and two fungicide compounds metalaxyl and tebuconazole were detected, representing 38% of the compounds analyzed and 32% were quantified (Figures 2 and 3). For the grab samples, DCPMU and the fungicide tebuconazole were never detected or quantified and the fungicide metalaxyl was detected but never quantified. DCPU was not detected and quantified in POCIS and the herbicide tebuconazole was only detected and quantified at low concentrations in POCIS. Alachlor was detected but not quantified in any of the samples.

The detection and quantification frequencies depend on the types of pesticides and the sampling periods. According to the results of the two sampling campaigns, the POCIS show the highest detection frequencies with 86% for acetochlor, 71% for DIA and 64% for diuron, atrazine and metalaxyl. The highest percentage of quantification frequencies (Figure 3) are for POCIS sampled during the rainy season campaign between June and September 2019 and the lowest percentages for both sampling types are between April and May.

During the rainy season, the pesticides with the highest quantification frequencies in the grab and passive samplings were diuron with 60%, atrazine with 40% and acetochlor with over 20%. No high concentrations were found for fungicides.
3.2.2 Contamination of the waters of the Niger River and the Mekrou River by herbicides and fungicides

3.2.2.1 For grab sampling

The highest concentrations, with quantification frequencies greater than 20%, were found for the grab sampling. The main pesticides encountered were: diuron (3281±222 ng/L) and atrazine (1476±65 ng/L) with its degradation products, followed by acetochlor (255±9.3 ng/L). The two main pesticides (diuron and atrazine) were measured for the site F6 located at the confluence of the Mekrou River and the Niger River in samples collected during the month of August. The highest concentration of acetochlor was measured for the site F4 during September, at the exit of the city of Niamey. Pesticide concentrations in the water sampled at F6 decreased over three weeks (August 09-30) and ranged from 3281±222 to 1057±100 ng/L for diuron and from 1476±65 to 814±42 ng/L for atrazine. Atrazine metabolites showed stable concentrations and no variation during the three (3) weeks (99±3.4 ng/L for DEA and 35.9±3.2 ng/L for DIA). During the same rainy season campaign, the other sampling points, F1, F2, F3, F4 and F7 show low concentrations of diuron with a detection limit of 19.1 ng/L and 18.7 ng/L for atrazine. The other dry season spot sampling campaigns show very low concentrations for all the molecules analyzed. The only acetochlor concentration observed during the rainy season was not observed during the dry season campaign (between April/May). The presence of acetochlor at this site could be related to a one-time contamination.

3.2.2.2 For passive sampling by POCIS

The use of passive sampling (POCIS) is recommended in the European Commission's guidance document (EC Guidance Document No. 19) and in the Directive 2013/39/EU (EU 2013) for priority substances as a complementary method for monitoring surface water contamination. POCIS was used in this study to assess its effectiveness in environmental monitoring along the River Niger.

Determination of pesticide concentrations in POCIS

Contaminant accumulation by passive samplers generally follows first-order kinetics with an initial integration phase followed by curvilinear and equilibrium partitioning phases (Branchet et al. 2018, Mhadhbi et al. 2019). In the linear region of POCIS retention, the amount of a chemical accumulated in the sampler (M) is described by Eq. (1):

\[ M = C_w \cdot R_s \cdot t \]  

Where \( R_s \) is the sampling rate (L/day), \( C_w \) is the concentration of the compound in water (ng/L) and \( t \) is the exposure time (days).

The time-weighted average concentration (TWA) in water is calculated with Eq. (2):

\[ C_{water} = \frac{C_{pocis} \cdot M_{pocis}}{R_s \cdot t} \]

With

\( C_w \) : average concentration of pesticide in water (µg/L)

\( C_{pocis} \) : concentration in POCIS (µg/g)

\( M_{pocis} \) : mass of the absorbing phase in the POCIS (g)

\( R_s \) : sampling rate (L/day)
T : duration of POCIS deployment (days)

The sampling rates (Rs) are involved in the conversion of the quantities of pesticides accumulated in the POCIS into concentration by nanograms per liter. The average Rs of the compounds, used in this study is 0.215 L/day and the lowest values are for DIA (0.068 L/day) and DEA (0.133 L/day) assuming that their concentrations may have been underestimated (Branchet et al. 2018).

The highest concentrations are highlighted in POCIS for site F6 located at the confluence of the Mékrou River and the Niger River and sampled during the month of August. The two main pesticides are diuron with an average concentration of 311 ng/L and 217 ng/L for atrazine. The POCIS for sites F1, F2, F4 and F7 of the two campaigns gave results below the detection limit and therefore not usable.

3.2.2.3 Summary of results obtained

According to Directive 2008/105/EC, the concentration of acetochlor found for site F4 (255±9.3 ng/L) is two point five (2.5) times higher than the value of the environmental limit, that of diuron for site F6 (3281 ± 222 ng/L) is fifteen (15) times higher than the norms and that of atrazine (1476 ± 65 ng/L) is two (2) times higher than the norm. In all CILSS countries, there is a lack of knowledge of the fate and behavior of pesticides in small streams in agricultural watersheds, particularly due to the great variability of the slope inputs and the complexity of the phenomena involved (Rabiet et al. 2008). The intensive use of pesticides along the Niger River and its tributaries is the anthropogenic factor behind the high contamination of diuron, atrazine and acetochlor.

The high concentrations of atrazine and diuron measured in the waters of the Boumba site (F6) merit special attention, given the large quantities of pesticides used in intensive cotton farming, which is vulnerable to insect and other pest attacks. The Mekrou River has its source 80% in Benin and cotton cultivation is practiced on high altitudes and some of the pesticides used can easily run off into the Niger River. These transfers of pollutants from the application site at the level of the Mékrou River to the Niger River via the W Park, a Unesco World Heritage Site located on the borders of Niger, Benin and Burkina Faso, constitute a threat and can generate significant disruptions to the ecosystems. In the Beninese and Burkinabe parts, studies have highlighted the impact of pesticide use by riparian populations on ecosystems (Soclo et al., 2003; Son et al., 2017).

Although there is no data on the intensive use of pesticides in crops in southern Burkina on the Mekrou River, the high concentrations of pesticides found in Benin and Niger in this study show a potential for exposure to environmental and health impacts in this area. The potential impacts of pesticide use can result in decreased soil fertility and release of pollutants; water pollution by nitrate, ammonium \(\text{NH}_4^+\) and heavy metals (Pb, Zn, Mn) and other toxic compounds as well as acute poisoning, poisoning, decreased fertility and even deaths (Lawani et al. 2017). Table 3 below shows the concentrations observed for sites F4 and F6 during the rainy season.
### Table 3
Herbicide and fungicide concentrations of sites F4 and F6 during the rainy season.

| Sampling month | August / September |
|----------------|--------------------|
| **Sampling type** | **Grab sampling** | **Passive sampling** |
| **Pesticides** | **Actives substances** | **F4** | **F6** | **F6** | **LOD (ng/l water)** | **LOD (ng/g of Phase) - POCIS** | **Molecules** |
| **Herbicides** | Acetochlor | 255.4 | <LD | <LD | 3,9 | 7.3 | Acetochlor |
| | Diuron | <LD | 3281 | 355.6 | 5,3 | 9.8 | Diuron |
| | DCPMU | <LD | <LD | 77 | 5,9 | 11 | DCPMU |
| | DCPU | 48.3 | 36.6 | <LD | 12,8 | 23.7 | DCPU |
| | Atrazine | 18.7 | 1476 | 247.7 | 5,2 | 9.7 | Atrazine |
| | DEA | 17.7 | 99.5 | 26.3 | 5,4 | 10.1 | DEA |
| | DIA | 9.6 | 35.9 | 9.4 | 3,6 | 6.7 | DIA |
| | Simazin | <LD | 43.6 | <LD | 4,5 | 17.1 | Simazin |
| **Fungicides** | Metalaxyl | <LD | <LD | <LD | 9,8 | 18.2 | Metalaxyl |
| | Tebuconazole | <LD | <LD | <LD | 3,3 | 6.1 | Tebuconazole |

| DCPMU | N-(3,4 dichlorophenyl)-N-(methyl)-urea | Metabolites du Diuron |
|---|---|---|
| DCPU | N-(3,4 dichlorophényl)- urea |

### 3.2.1 Summary of main results and origin of pesticide contamination

For the two sampling campaigns and the two types of sampling carried out per site, the highest concentrations are observed for diuron and atrazine followed by acetochlor. These concentrations are only observed for sites F4 and F6 sampled during the rainy season campaign. Diuron and atrazine are frequently quantified in streams (Herrero-Hernàandes et al., 2017; Mac Loughlin et al., 2017; Ryberg and Gilliom, 2005; Branchet et al. 2018).

The decrease in diuron and atrazine concentrations observed between the first and second grab sampling over a period of three (3) weeks may be related to the first effect of leaching of pesticides generated by heavy rains and concentrations had to be diluted with the increase in river flow for sites F4 on the Niger River and F6 on the Mekrou River (Palma et al. 2004). Sampling was conducted after the first rains in June and July upstream of the Niger River in Guinea, Mali, and Burkina, where it originates. During this same period, the Niger had recorded a few days of rainfall between July and August, after a long dry season from October to June. These first rains at the beginning of the rainy season may be the cause of the drainage of pollutants from the crops in the form of runoff, especially for the cotton crop in Benin on the Mekrou River and the vegetable crops in Niamey on the Niger River, thus explaining the strong
variation of concentrations over a period of three (3) weeks. This process shows that there is an influence of rainfall and runoff on the concentration of pesticides in the waters of the Niger River and the Mekrou River (Figure 4 and 5).

The water sample during September, at the end of the rainy season, at point F4 showed very low concentrations of diuron and atrazine. This suggests that the pesticides would have been diluted in the August water stream and a seasonal variation in pesticide concentrations.

The presence of diuron and atrazine with highly variable concentrations at site F6, at the confluence of the Mekrou River and the Niger River, suggests a diffuse contamination of these molecules. The samples with the highest concentrations, during the first sampling, may be related to point contamination.

The graph Figure 4 shows the distribution of the average annual rainfall in Niger at stations near Niamey, the water level in the Niger River in 2019 and the distribution of the molecules diuron and atrazines analyzed.

The two (2) graphs show that the high concentrations of diuron and atrazine are located in the intermediate zone between the low water period and the arrival of the first rains in the Niger River and the Mekrou River. In all periods of the year when the water level and flow are very high, the concentrations of pesticides are diluted and become low.

Site F4 has a high concentration of acetochlor and is located downstream from the city of Niamey, where market gardening is practiced on the sites of Gamkalley and Saga with two (2) irrigated perimeters of Saga and Liboré for rice cultivation. Surveys conducted showed that farmers use different types of pesticides, depending on the crop, to control pests, diseases and weeds; and acetochlor is mainly used in vegetable crops. The origin of this contamination seems to be mainly related to urban agriculture and irrigated crops.

Pesticide contamination comes from the upstream part of the Mekrou River in the Beninese part of the border between the two countries. Benin is the leading cotton producing country in Africa and the Mekrou River is mostly affected by this production. This growth in cotton production is accompanied by the fraudulent use of pesticides of varying origin and quality to protect the crop (Agbohessi et al. 2011). The origin of this contamination therefore seems to be linked to intensive cotton farming.

In both types of agriculture, some of the applied pesticides can be adsorbed by soil particles and then transported to the Niger River or the Mekrou River by runoff (Chen et al., 2017; Fairbairn et al. 2016; Jorgenson et al. 2013; Mast et al. 2007; Smernik and Kookana 2015). The persistence of these pesticides in the environment is a function of their susceptibility to degradation and their adsorption to suspended solids. This allows for frequent encounters with pesticides that do not rapidly break down in the water column.

Among the pesticides found in high concentrations in the study area, acetochlor and atrazine are not registered by the Sahelian Pesticide Committee (SPC) and are banned in Niger because of their high toxicity and dangerousness. Their presence can be explained by their application on different crops.

In addition to the use of certain unregistered and banned pesticides, certain poor practices by farmers, particularly during the preparation, handling, spreading and storage of pesticides, can also contribute to environmental contamination.

The low concentrations recorded at site F2 show that the Sirba River, which originates in Burkina Faso, does not contribute pesticide pollution to the Niger River. The results of the analysis of the samples from sites F1 and F7 do not show any molecules with concentrations higher than the WHO and EU water quality standards. This shows that the water of the Niger River coming from Mali are not contaminated as well as its outlet to Nigeria. The absence of contamination upstream of the city of Niamey and its presence downstream and after the market gardening sites
suggests that there is a link between the intensive use of unregistered pesticides and poor practices in the cultivation system.

3.3 Ecological risk assessment

The ecological risk assessment was based on the calculation of ecological risk quotient (ERQ), according to the OECD and European guidelines for diuron and atrazine. The RQ was calculated as a chronic toxicity test on three representative trophic levels which are: fish of species Oncorhynchus mykiss, algae of species Raphidocelis subcapitata and green algae and aquatic invertebrates of species Daphnia magna (Branchet et al. 2018). Daphnia magna species is one of the most commonly used species in ecotoxicological risk assessment. This organism was used in the aquatic ecotoxicology test sheet for the chronic ecotoxicity test (ISO, 10706; OECD, 1998). The Lowest Observed Effect Concentration (LOEC) for each selected pesticide was obtained from the University of Hertfordshire Pesticide Properties Database (https://sitem.herts.ac.uk/aeru/ppdb/en/index.htm). The LOEC corresponds to a 21-day chronic exposure for fish and aquatic invertebrates; and the median effective concentration (EC50) from a 72-h acute exposure was used for algae. The effects studied were growth inhibition for algae, immobilization for aquatic invertebrates and survival for fish (Branchet et al. 2018).

The estimated no effect concentration (PNEC) was calculated according to the formula. PNEC = CMEO / 1000. The value 1000, corresponds to the safety factor applied to take into account the inherent uncertainty of the toxicity data obtained in the laboratory.

The risk quotient value RQ was calculated using the formula RQ = MEC / PNEC with MEC corresponding to the measured environmental concentration of the grab samples (µg/l). The highest concentration in each sample was considered.

The herbicides diuron and atrazine are the two main pesticides identified during the contamination survey. The calculated PNEC values for algae, aquatic invertebrates and fish are shown in Table 4 below.

The ecological risk assessment is presented in Table 4, taking into account the concentrations measured at all sampling sites on the Niger River and the Mekrou River. The measured environmental concentrations (MEC) used are the maximum values in each campaign, representing the worst case.

Table 4: Ecological risk quotient of sampling sites based on atrazine and diuron on three trophic levels

| Sites | Algae | Daphnia | Fish |
|-------|-------|---------|------|
|       | August/| April/May | August/| April/May | August/| April/May | August/| April/May |
|       | November |     | November |     | November |     | November |     | November |     |
| F1    | 0,13   | 7,07   | *       | *     | 0,03   | 0,20   | *       | *     | 0,00   | 0,05   | *     | *     |
| F2    | *      | *      | 0,0      | 0     | *      | *      | 0,0      | 0     | *      | *      | 0     | 0     |
| F4    | 2,15   | 0,31   | 0,0      | 0     | 0,07   | 0,06   | 0,0      | 0     | 0,01   | 0,01   | 0     | 0     |
| F6    | 25,01  | 1,215,30 | *     | *     | 5,90   | 34,18  | *       | *     | 0,74   | 8,00   | *     | *     |
| F7    | *      | *      | 0,0      | 0     | *      | *      | 0,0      | 0     | *      | *      | 0     | 0     |

- QR > 1 (high risk)
- QR entre 0.5 et 1 (medium risk)
- QR < 0.5 (low risk)
According to the result, it can be seen that the ecological risks are only observed for sites F1, F4 and F6 for samples taken during the rainy season. Sites F1 and F4 present low algae risks for diuron, which has low concentrations compared to point F6.

Point F6 has high ecological risks for all three trophic levels during the rainy season, especially in August. Risks are very high for all three model species for diuron with an RQ as high as 1,215 for algae. Preira et al., 2015 denotes that diuron has an anti-androgenic effect in Nile tilapia that impairs its reproductive function; and those of Coquillé et al., 2015 show significant effects in the microalgae Tetraselmis suecica, notably on a 125% (± 2.3%) increase in doubling time and 25% (± 1.8%) increase in fluorescence relative to the presence of reactive oxygen species (ROS, by flow cytometry) and a 25% (± 1.8%) decrease in photosynthetic yield and 38% (± 1.9%) decrease in relative lipid content.

For atrazine, the risk is very high for algae and aquatic invertebrates and medium to low for fish. The work of Maries et al, 2000, shows that the responses of algae vary considerably, depending on the concentrations used, the duration and the species of algae tested and those of Torres and O’Flaherty 1976 highlights a decrease in chlorophyll content (41 to 67%), after 7 days of exposure to 1 g/L of atrazine in the green algae Chloralla vulgaris and Stigeoclonium and in the blue-green algae Oscillatoria lutea. WHO 1990, indicates that its bioaccumulation capacity in fish remains low.

The presence of diuron and atrazine, particularly at F4 and F6, constitutes a major ecological risk for the aquatic environment. No ecological risk was identified during the dry season and only diuron presents high risks for the three trophic levels.

4. Conclusion

The present study confirms the pesticide contamination of the waters of the Niger River and the Mekrou River by diuron, atrazine and acetochlor. The high pesticide concentrations in the study area are highlighted during the rainy season mainly at sampling site F6, at the confluence between the Niger River and the Mekrou River and at site F4 located along the Niger River, downstream of the market gardening sites and irrigated perimeters, near the city of Niamey. These sites are the most exposed to pesticide contamination in the Niger River area, but do not represent the integrity of their environment. The origin of this contamination seems to be linked to the increased use of pesticides in urban agriculture on one hand and in intensive cotton farming in Benin on the other. The pesticide concentrations found could be influenced by the variation in rainfall, the increase in river flow and the availability of unregistered pesticides in Niger. They are higher during the first rains at the beginning of the rainy season and dilute with the increase in flow. Sampling with POCIS provided results similar to those of grab sampling and is therefore an appropriate method for environmental monitoring of pesticide contaminants in space and time along the Niger and Mekrou rivers, due to the lack of specialized laboratories for the analysis of organic pollutants, adequate sampling equipment, and the remoteness of some sites. The concentrations of diuron at more than sixteen (16) times the Environmental Quality Standard, atrazine and acetochlor at more than 2.5 times the standard constitutes a worrying situation on the quality of the waters of the Niger River and the Mekrou River. The ecological risk assessment highlighted a high risk for algae (Raphidocelis subcapitata,) aquatic invertebrates (Daphnia) and fish (Oncorhynchus mykiss) for diuron. That of atrazine is also very high for algae and aquatic invertebrates and medium to low for fish.
Given the results of this initial work on pesticide contamination and the high ecological risks for point F6, it is necessary to consider additional sampling along the Mekrou River in the direction of Benin and to set up environmental monitoring of the waters of the Niger River for a good understanding of the variation of contaminants over time and space.
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Location of the study area and sampling sites
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Detection frequencies
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Quantification frequency of herbicides and fungicides in the study area
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Concentration of diuron in relation to water depth and rainfall
Figure 5

Concentration of Atrazine in Relation to Water Head and Rainfall