Spasticity and Electrophysiologic Changes after Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy on Gastrocnemius
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Objective  To evaluate the spasticity and electrophysiologic effects of applying extracorporeal shock wave therapy (ESWT) to the gastrocnemius by studying F wave and H-reflex.

Method  Ten healthy adults and 10 hemiplegic stroke patients with ankle plantarflexor spasticity received one session of ESWT on the medial head of the gastrocnemius. The modified Ashworth scale (MAS), tibial nerve conduction, F wave, and H-reflex results were measured before and immediately after the treatment. The Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) was used during ESWT to measure the side effects, such as pain.

Results  There were no significant effects of ESWT on the conduction velocity, distal latency and amplitude of tibial nerve conduction, minimal latency of tibial nerve F wave, latency, or H-M ratio of H-reflex in either the healthy or stroke group. However, the MAS of plantarflexor was significantly reduced from 2.67±1.15 to 1.22±1.03 (p<0.05) after applying ESWT in the stroke group.

Conclusion  After applying ESWT on the gastrocnemius in stroke patients, the spasticity of the ankle plantarflexor was significantly improved, with no changes of F wave or H-reflex parameters. Further studies are needed to evaluate the mechanisms of the antispastic effect of ESWT.
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INTRODUCTION

Spasticity is one of the most important causes of disability in stroke patients; it interferes with the patients’ rehabilitation, especially regarding functional recovery.1

Because the primary lesion causing spasticity is located in the central nervous system, most studies have focused on the neural mechanisms such as excessively increased spinal excitability as the primary cause of spasticity.2,3

In recent years, there have been several reports that chronic spasticity itself plays a role in the increase of joint resistance by structural and mechanical changes in skeletal muscles through fibrosis of connective tissue.4

Recent studies have reported that intramuscular injections of botulinum toxin type A reduces spasticity in hypertonic muscles of the upper limb or equinovarus deformity by lower limb spasticity, and improves functional abilities.5-7 However, the development of neutralizing antibodies can reduce the efficacy of
treatment for some patients. In addition, the dosage of botulinum toxin is not always sufficient to treat extensive and severe hypertonia in the upper and lower limbs. Chronic hypertonic muscles can lead to the fibrosis of connective tissue, and should be considered in the rehabilitation of stiff joints.8

Extracorporeal shock wave therapy (ESWT), defined as a sequence of single sonic pulses characterized by high peak pressure (100 MPa), fast pressure rise (<10 ns), and short duration (10 μs), is conveyed by an appropriate generator to a specific target area with an energy density in the range of 0.003-0.890 mJ/mm². ESWT was first applied to patients in 1980 to break up kidney stones.9 Recent studies have indicated that ESWT is effective for treatment of musculoskeletal diseases such as pseudoarthrosis, calcific tendinitis of shoulder, epicondylitis, plantar fasciitis, and several inflammatory tendon diseases.10-13 Mariotto et al.14 reported that ESWT induced nitric oxide (NO) synthesis, and NO plays a critical role in anti-inflammatory responses.

The amplitude of the F wave and the F-M ratio reflect the excitability of motor neurons and are increased by spasticity.15-17 Many studies have reported that the amplitude of the F wave and the F-M ratio can be used as tools for measuring the spasticity electrophysiologically. Latency of H-reflex and H-M ratio, which reflect the excitability of α motor neurons, can also be used as a tool for measuring spasticity.18,19

Manganotti and Amelio20 reported that ESWT on the flexor hypertonic muscles of the forearm and the interosseus muscles of the hand was effective for the improvement of upper limb spasticity in stroke patients for >12 weeks; 1,500 shots were used to treat flexor muscles of the forearm and 800 shots for each interosseus muscle of the hand with 0.030 mJ/mm² intensity. Yoo et al.21 reported significantly reduced spasticity on the elbow flexor and wrist pronator for 1 to 4 weeks after 1,000 shots of ESWT with 0.069 mJ/mm² intensity; however, there was no report on ESWT’s therapeutic effect on lower limb spasticity for stroke patients, and it’s mechanism is still unknown. The goal of this study was to evaluate the spasticity and electrophysiologic effects by studying F wave and H-reflex after applying ESWT on the gastrocnemius in stroke patients with lower limb spasticity.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

Ten healthy adults (4 males and 6 females, mean age of 25.8±3.1 years) and 10 hemiplegic stroke patients with ankle plantarflexor spasticity (6 males and 4 females, mean age of 44.9±11.3 years) were enrolled for this study. Two patients had ischemic stroke, and 8 patients had hemorrhagic stroke. Two patients had cortical lesions, 3 patients had thalamic lesions, and 5 patients had basal ganglia lesions. All patients had a spasticity greater than grade I, by modified Ashworth scale (MAS) and were in a chronic stage for over 15 months. Patients with previous or planned treatment of the limb with botulinum toxin, phenol, or intrathecal baclofen pump were excluded. All patients were receiving antispastic pharmacological therapy and did not change the dose of antispastic medication during the study (Table 1).

**Extracorporeal shock wave therapy**

Evotron® (SwiTech, Kreuzlingen, Switzerland) was used for ESWT. The pressure pulses were focused at the medial head of the gastrocnemius of the spastic side. Shots (1,500) were given in the middle of the belly and the intensity was 0.1 mJ/mm². In the healthy control group, the same methods of ESWT were applied at the right side medial head of the gastrocnemius. R20 with the focusing treatment for some patients. In addition, the dosage of botulinum toxin is not always sufficient to treat extensive and severe hypertonia in the upper and lower limbs. Chronic hypertonic muscles can lead to the fibrosis of connective tissue, and should be considered in the rehabilitation of stiff joints.8

Table 1. General Characteristics of Subjects

| Characteristics | Healthy controls (n=10) | Stroke patients (n=10) |
|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Age (years)     | 25.8 ± 3.1             | 44.9 ± 11.3           |
| Gender, male/female | 4/6                  | 6/4                   |
| Diagnosis       |                        |                       |
| Infarction      | 2                      |                       |
| Hemorrhage      | 8                      |                       |
| Site of lesion  |                        |                       |
| Cortex          | 2                      |                       |
| Thalamus        | 3                      |                       |
| Basal ganglia   | 5                      |                       |
| Time since onset (months) | 53.4±23.9 | 53.4±23.9          |
| MAS             | 2.67±1.15              |                       |

Values are means±standard deviation

MAS: Modified Ashworth scale
depth of 20-30 mm was used for the head of ESWT to minimize or avoid stimulation of the soleus.

**Clinical and electrophysiologic evaluation**

F wave and H-reflexes of the tibial nerve were recorded before and immediately after the treatment to assess the electrophysiologic effects of ESWT on spasticity. Medelec Synergy® (Viasys healthcare, San Diego, USA) was used for electrophysiologic assessment and all studies were performed by one rehabilitation physiatrist. In the F wave study, an active recording electrode was placed in the abductor hallucis muscle and the reference electrode was at the proximal interphalangeal joint of the great toe. Supramaximal stimulation of the tibial nerve was done 20 times on the posterior area of the ankle medial malleolus using antidromic conduction, and the F wave’s minimal latency was recorded. The sweep speed was 5-10 ms/div, sensitivity was 200-500 μV/div, and the filter range was 20-10,000 Hz. In the H-reflex study, the active recording electrode was placed in the soleus muscle and the reference electrode in the Achilles tendon. To evoke H-reflex, the posterior tibial nerve was stimulated in popliteal fossa with 0.2 Hz frequency and 0.5 ms duration. We gradually increased stimulation intensity and recorded H-reflex latency and H-M ratio using the maximal amplitude of H-reflex and M wave. Distal latency, amplitude of compound muscle action potential, and conduction velocity of the tibial nerve were recorded to assess the peripheral nerve injury by ESWT.

Modified Ashworth Scale (MAS) was used to assess the spasticity of an ankle plantarflexor. MAS is a velocity-independent method which manually assesses the joint range of motion. For convenience of statistical analysis, MAS grade 1+ was matched to point 2; grades 2, 3 and 4 were matched to 3, 4 and 5, respectively. To avoid inter-rater bias, all studies were performed by one rehabilitation physiatrist.

The Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) was used to estimate the severity of pain produced by ESWT. A vertical line with 10 cm length on paper was presented to the subjects and the subjects were told that the lower end of the line indicated “pain-free state” and the upper end indicated “can not endure because of too severe pain.” After ESWT, all subjects were drawing the perpendicular line on the vertical line based on their pain experienced during treatment. Vertical lines were divided by 10 grades with 1 cm intervals, and this indicated the degree of pain.

**Statistical analysis**

MAS, F-wave minimal latency, H-reflex latency, H-M ratio, and tibial nerve distal motor conduction study before and immediately after ESWT were analyzed by the Wilcoxon signed rank test using SPSS 17.0, Korean version. A p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Healthy controls**

No significant changes were found in the F wave minimal latency, H-reflex latency, H-M ratio, and tibial nerve distal motor conduction study before and immediately after ESWT were analyzed by the Wilcoxon signed rank test using SPSS 17.0, Korean version. A p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Table 2. Effect of ESWT on Spasticity and Electrophysiologic Findings**

|                  | Healthy controls |           | Stroke patients |           |
|------------------|------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|
|                  | Before           | After     | Before         | After     |
| CMAP latency (ms)| 3.33±0.39        | 3.36±0.32 | 3.80±0.69      | 4.00±0.60 |
| CMAP amplitude (mV)| 15.83±3.4        | 15.91±3.18| 13.02±3.09     | 13.09±3.30|
| Tibial nerve conduction velocity (m/s)| 52.80±2.44       | 53.72±2.95| 50.94±3.95     | 51.12±4.25|
| F wave min. latency (ms)| 37.63±2.82       | 37.23±2.89| 41.82±3.89     | 41.57±2.88|
| H-reflex latency (ms)| 28.27±1.66       | 28.00±1.62| 30.77±1.17     | 30.94±1.43|
| H-M ratio      | 3.74±1.64        | 3.62±1.89 | 5.63±2.98      | 5.76±3.12 |
| MAS             | 2.67±1.15        |           | 1.22±1.03*     |           |

Values are mean±standard deviation

ESWT: Extracorporeal shock wave therapy, CMAP: Compound muscle action potential of tibial nerve, F wave min. latency: F wave minimal latency of tibial nerve

*p<0.05 with Wilcoxon signed rank test
and conduction velocity of the tibial nerve were not significant (Table 2). Mild pain was experienced during ESWT, VAS 2.87±1.49.

**Change of ankle plantarflexor spasticity in stroke patients**

In stroke patients, MAS of ankle plantarflexor before treatment was 2.67±1.15, and was significantly reduced to 1.22±1.03 immediately after ESWT (p<0.05) (Table 2). Also, mild pain (VAS 3.23±1.28) was produced by ESWT.

**Electrophysiologic changes in stroke patients**

In stroke patients, F wave minimal latency, H-reflex latency, and H-M ratio before treatment were 41.82±3.89 ms, 30.77±1.17 ms, and 5.63±2.98, respectively. Immediately after treatment, they were 41.57±2.88 ms, 30.94±1.44 ms, and 5.76±3.12 ms, respectively. There were no significant changes after treatment (Table 2).

In stroke patients, the latency and amplitude of tibial nerve compound muscle action potential before treatment were each 3.80±0.69 ms and 13.02±3.10 mA. Immediately after treatment, these values were 4.00±0.60 ms and 13.09±3.30 mA, respectively, and no significant changes were noted after treatment (Table 2). Also, there was no significant change of tibial nerve conduction velocity from 50.94±3.95 m/s before treatment to 51.12±4.25 m/s after treatment (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

ESWT is known to be effective in musculoskeletal diseases for treating pain, inflammation, or injury of a ligament. ESWT produces mechanical effects such as regeneration of degenerated tissue, neovascularization, and resorption of calcium deposit, and also physiological responses such as changes of epithelial cell permeability, free radical formation, change of cell membrane permeability, NO formation, and variable growth factor formation.

The mechanisms of ESWT on spasticity due to central nervous system injury are still unknown. Mariotto et al. stated that ESWT can induce NO synthesis and NO is involved in improvement of variable tendon disease. NO is involved in neuromuscular junction formation in the peripheral nervous system and in physiological functions of the central nervous system, including neurotransmission, and synaptic plasticity.

Likewise, variable mechanisms have been proposed, including acting on fibrosis of chronic hypertonic muscles, decreasing spinal excitability, and mechanical vibratory stimulation. Considering the therapeutic effects of ESWT on bones and tendons Manganotti and Amelio proposed that reduction of spasticity could be caused by improving the stiffness of connective tissue by directly acting on fibrosis of chronic hypertonic muscles.

In this study, we attempted to evaluate the antispastic mechanism of ESWT by using F waves and H-reflexes that reflect spinal excitability. Results showed no significant changes in F wave minimal latency, H-reflex latency, or H-M ratio after treatment. Therefore, those factors can be eliminated as the mechanism by which ESWT reduces spasticity by decreasing spinal excitability. Manganotti and Amelio also reported that the effect of ESWT on spinal excitability can be excluded as the main mechanism, because no change of F wave latency or amplitude after treatment was demonstrated.

Another possible mechanism was mechanical vibratory stimulation, which reduces excitability of motor neurons and induces the change of F wave. In this study, we can rule out this theory because no significant change of F wave or H-reflex were detected. Considering the clinical anti-spastic effect observed up to 4 weeks after treatment, mechanical vibratory stimulation, which is transitory and short lasting, could also be excluded as a major effect.

Because the latency and amplitude of compound muscle action potential and conduction velocity of tibial nerve were not changed in this study, we can rule out a significant effect of ESWT on peripheral nerves and the effect of botulinum toxin A on neuromuscular blockage.

Results of this study could not reveal the exact mechanism of ESWT for reducing spasticity. However, we can rule out several proposed mechanisms such as decreased spinal excitability, peripheral nerve injury, and mechanical vibratory stimulation by using electrophysiologic studies. It was previously reported that range of motion of the joint increased after ESWT; however, we did not evaluate range of motion of the joint in the study, and therefore the mechanisms proposed by Manganotti and Amelio for reducing spasticity mediated by improving stiffness of connective tissue...
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ting fibrosis of chronic hypertonic muscles were not confirmed in this study. Similar to previous studies performed in stroke patients with upper limb spasticity, an immediate therapeutic effect of ESWT in reducing spasticity was found for stroke patients with ankle spasticity. ESWT can reduce spasticity in stroke patients with lower limb spasticity and prevent complications of spasticity, such as equinovarus deformity. This study only measured the immediate effect of ESWT, but Manganotti and Amelio and Yoo et al. reported that the therapeutic effect of ESWT on upper limb spasticity could last at least 4 weeks, maximally 12 weeks, and that ESWT had a therapeutic effect on reducing spasticity for a considerable time. There were several cases where the patient complained of pain during treatment, but the degree of pain was not severe (< VAS 3), and not all subjects reported pain after treatment; other side effects were not found in this study. ESWT appears to be safe and noninvasive and can reduce financial burden compared to treatment using botulinum toxin A. ESWT can be helpful in treatment of chronic stroke patients with spasticity.

There are several limitations in this study. First, the mechanism of ESWT for reducing spasticity was not fully evaluated in this study. Further studies are needed to elucidate the mechanism of ESWT for reducing spasticity by comparing the anti-spastic effects; for example, stiffness of connective tissue for acute stroke patients compared with chronic stroke patients. Second, this study used F wave minimal latency to assess the spinal excitability instead of F wave amplitude or F-M ratio, which are well known and widely used. Further studies concerning the correlation between F wave minimal latency and spinal excitability are needed. Third, similar to results from previous studies conducted for upper limb spasticity, range of motion of the joint should be measured. Reduced effect of spasticity on functional abilities such as ambulation or activities of daily living should be assessed. Finally, further studies concerning the most effective level of intensity, number of ESWT treatments, and duration of therapeutic effect, need to be conducted in a larger number of patients.

CONCLUSION

In this study, 1 session of ESWT for chronic stroke patients with ankle plantarflexor spasticity produced an immediate effect. There were no significant changes in electrophysiologic studies such as F wave, H-reflex, or tibial nerve conduction studies after treatment. ESWT appears to be safe and noninvasive, and can reduce financial burden compared to treatment with botulinum toxin A. ESWT can be helpful for treatment of stroke patients with spasticity, prevention of complications such as equinovarus deformity, and result in a more stable gait pattern. Further studies in larger numbers of patients on functional changes produced by reduced spasticity, the most effective treatment protocol for ESWT, and duration of therapeutic effect, are warranted.
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