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Abstract: In this paper, an analytical method of computing the admittance matrix is introduced that facilitates the stability analysis of DC micro-grid systems, in presence of constant power loads (CPLs). Due to their nonlinear behaviour, CPLs can yield instability in DC micro-grids; an effect referred to as ‘negative impedance instability’. The proposed method is particularly useful when a typical controller (droop control, voltage regulation) is designed to control the DC bus voltage of the micro-grid, as it allows the factorisation of the admittance matrix separating singular matrices. In doing so, the closed-loop stability proof can be more easily approached by isolating the singularities and, then employing straightforward linear algebra tools to arrive at the stability conditions. In order to validate the proposed approach, compute the admittance matrix and test the stability conditions, a DC micro-grid with \( n \) DC/DC power converters connected to a CPL is considered. Simulation results are also displayed to demonstrate the desired operation of the DC micro-grid control and design framework.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Seen as a capable technology to provide an efficient coupling between renewable energy sources (RES), energy storage systems and DC loads, DC micro-grids have recorded a significant increase in focus and interest. Compared to conventional AC frameworks, DC systems provide a higher efficiency and reliability, a simpler control structure and expandability, as well as a natural interface to the increased numbers of DC renewable generations, storage systems and electronic loads (Rashad et al., 2018).

In recent years, due to the widespread use of renewables as distributed generation units, the motivation for design and operation of DC micro-grids has significantly increased. Various applications (e.g. electronic devices, batteries or photovoltaic panels) can be directly connected to the DC network, mitigating energy conversion losses by reducing the multi AC/DC conversion stages and instability issues raised by the frequency and reactive power control. Their upsides have been confirmed in a wide range of industry applications, i.e. electric ships (Cairoli and Dougal, 2013), vehicles (Bosich et al., 2014), trains (Yoshida et al., 2017), and aircrafts (Magne et al., 2013). Apart from the development of industrial, commercial or residential DC distribution networks, several different promising DC framework applications can be found in EV charging stations or smart buildings (Zhang et al., 2015).

Control schemes in DC micro-grids are mainly designed to guarantee voltage regulation and accurate load power distribution, while maintaining system stability. However, the challenge of ensuring the stability of the system remains, as it is not always a straightforward problem. Therefore, most times stability is not rigorously addressed, mainly due to the complex dynamics that the system components and the nonlinear loads introduce. Constant power loads (CPLs), for instance, exhibit a negative impedance behaviour which makes the stabilisation problem much more complex.

The remaining of this section includes a brief literature review on CPLs previous studies, some state-of-the-art methods of computing admittance matrices and their present impact on the stability analysis in presence of nonlinear loads, followed by a list of the main contributions of this work and revisiting some common notations and preliminaries used throughout the paper. In Section 2, a standard configuration of a DC micro-grid is proposed for investigation, along with its corresponding admittance matrix in Section 3. Stability analysis is conducted in Section 4, for the closed-loop system with a droop controller, while simulations are displayed in Section 5. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Section 6.

1.1 Literature review

A widely employed strategy to guarantee voltage regulation and effective current (or power) sharing, without using communication, is to introduce a virtual resistance at the output of each converter, as the droop control method, as in Karlsson and Svensson (2003); Mahmoodi et al. (2006); Huang et al. (2015). To address its shortcomings in terms of voltage regulation and power sharing accuracy trade-off, the droop control has been proposed in many forms, i.e. nonlinear droop control schemes in DC micro-grids.

* This work was supported by EPSRC under Grants No. EP/S001107/1 and EP/S031863/1.
(Cingož et al., 2017), quadratic droop (Simpson-Porco et al., 2017), robust droop (Shuai et al., 2016). However, in order to ensure system stability with CPLs, in small-signal analysis, the impedance inequality criteria must be satisfied.

Passive damping methods are one type of approach to reshape the output impedance of the input filter by adding an extra physical resistance (Cespedes et al., 2011), but this creates additional power losses. Active damping methods, based on cascaded systems without input filters between two stage converters, were proposed to tackle this issue. Even though this approach is popular in small-scale micro-grids, for instance in electric vehicles (Magne et al., 2011; Sulligoi et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2014), or multiple converter (Liu et al., 2012), it is not applicable in relatively large DC micro-grids. Aside from these strategies, a significant deal of research works are the following (Cingoz et al., 2017), quadratic droop (Shuai et al., 2016). However, it is implemented independently. In Anand and Fernandes (2013) and Tahim et al. (2015), the dynamics of the DC/DC power converters and the architecture feeding constant power loads. Due to the CPL utilization methods of droop-controlled DC micro-grids with a single (Kwasinski and Onwuchekwa, 2011; Marx et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2014), or multiple converter (Liu et al., 2011; Sulligoi et al., 2012; Anand and Fernandes, 2013; Tahim et al., 2015; Cupelli et al., 2015; Su et al., 2018) architecture feeding constant power loads. Due to the CPL negative impedance characteristic, the system tends to be unstable if traditional decentralized or distributed control is implemented independently.

In Anand and Fernandes (2013) and Tahim et al. (2015), the dynamics of the DC/DC power converters and the transient process are ignored, when the reduced order linearised model is derived. In Anand and Fernandes (2013), the stable ranges of the droop coefficients are obtained for the reduced-order model of a low-voltage DC micro-grid. Equivalently in Tahim et al. (2015), the safe operating regions are obtained for the simplified two-order RLC model system. Hence, these research works conclude that only if the droop coefficient is larger than the equivalent negative impedance CPL, the system would be stable. A wider stability region is obtained in (Su et al., 2018) considering a DC micro-grid described by the linear dynamics of the buck converters, but the method is only applicable to the conventional static droop control, which introduces the well-known limitations (inaccurate power sharing, significant load voltage drop).

Despite the many efforts to address this problem, proving closed-loop stability in the presence of CPLs continues to remain a non-trivial challenge, especially when a dynamic droop controller is applied at each unit of the micro-grid.

1.2 Main contributions

The main contributions of this paper are listed below:

1) Admittance matrix: A new analytic method for computing and suitably factorising the admittance matrix of a DC micro-grid consisting of n sources and a CPL is presented, to provide the key tool for proving the system’s stability.

2) Closed-loop system model: Opposed to the typical static droop control concept, here a dynamic droop control architecture is considered for each one of the n units, which also includes the common DC bus voltage measurement that further complicates the analysis.

3) Stability analysis: By employing the quadratic eigenvalue problem (QEP) theory to the closed-loop system and utilising the factorisation of the admittance matrix, analytic stability conditions are obtained to guide the control design.

4) Validation: The proposed approach is then verified through simulation testing for a DC micro-grid with 5 DC/DC buck converters connected to common DC bus and feeding a CPL.

1.3 Notation and preliminaries

1) Vectors and matrices

Let \( \mathbf{1}_n \in \mathbb{R}^n \), \( \mathbf{0}_n \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( \mathbf{1}_{n \times n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \), \( \mathbf{0}_{n \times n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \) be the n-dimensional vectors and square matrices with all elements equal to one and zero, respectively. Given an n-tuple sequence \((x_1, \ldots, x_n)\), let \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) be the associated vector and \([x] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\) the diagonal matrix whose diagonal terms are the elements of the \( x \). The identity matrix of size \( n \) is denoted by \( I_n \).

2) Linear matrix analysis

**Lemma 1.** Let \( \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n \) represent the eigenvalues of a Hermitian matrix \( A \), and \( \beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \leq \cdots \leq \beta_n \) the eigenvalues of a Hermitian matrix \( B \). Then, it holds that

\[
\lambda_i + \beta_1 \leq \eta_i \leq \lambda_i + \beta_n
\]

where \( \eta_1 \leq \eta_2 \leq \cdots \leq \eta_n \) are the eigenvalues of the Hermitian matrix \( A + B \).

**Proof.** Presented in Chapter 7, in Meyer (2000).

**Lemma 2.** Let \( A \) be a positive-semidefinite Hermitian matrix, and \( D \) a positive-definite Hermitian matrix. Then

(a) Matrix product \( AD \) (or \( DA \)) is diagonalizable.
(b) If \( A, D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \), the eigenvalues of \( AD \) (or \( DA \)) have only real part, and the product \( AD \) (or \( DA \)) has the same number of negative (zero, or positive) eigenvalues as matrix \( A \).

**Proof.** By polar decomposition \( AD \) (or \( DA \)) is of the form \( AD = UP \), where \( U \) is unitary and \( P = \sqrt{(AD)^* AD} \) is a positive-semidefinite Hermitian matrix. Define \( Q \) unitary to meet \( Q^2 = U \). Note that \( M = Q^{-1} (AD) Q = QPQ \) is Hermitian, and by spectral decomposition \( M = V \Lambda V^{-1} \), with \( V \) unitary and \( \Lambda \) diagonal with the eigenvalues of \( M \) (and same index of inertia as \( AD \)) on the main diagonal. It can be inferred that \((Q V)^{-1} AD (Q V) = \Lambda \), with \( Q V \) unitary. Statement (a) is proved.

The similarity transformation \( D^{\frac{1}{2}} (AD) D^{\frac{1}{2}} = D^{\frac{1}{2}} AD D^{\frac{1}{2}} \) congruent to \( A \); thus, according to Sylvester’s law of inertia, \( AD \) has the same index of inertia as matrix \( A \). The proof of conclusion (b) is presented in Chapter 7, in Meyer (2000).

2. DC MICRO-GRID MODEL

The system under consideration is a typical DC micro-grid, depicted in Figure 1, consisting of \( n \) power converters connected in parallel to a common DC bus that feeds an equivalent constant power load.

By applying Kirchhoff’s laws, the governing dynamic equations of the of the capacitor voltages, in matrix form, are the following.
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![Diagram of a DC micro-grid](image)

**Fig. 1. Typical architecture of a DC micro-grid**

\[
CV = i_{in} - i
\]

where \( C = \text{diag}\{C_i\} \), \( V = [V_1 \ldots V_n]^T \) represents the state vector capacitor voltages, \( i = [i_1 \ldots i_n]^T \) is the output current vector, and \( i_{in} = [i_{in1} \ldots i_{inn}]^T \) is the control input vector representing the current of each converter.

For constant power loads, the power balance equation should be satisfied, i.e.

\[
V_o \sum_{i=1}^n i_i = P
\]

(2)

where \( V_o \) represents the voltage of the DC bus, \( P \) is the power of the load, and \( i_i \) is defined as

\[
i_i = \frac{V_i - V_o}{R_i}
\]

(3)

with \( R_i \) being the resistance of the line/cable. Now, consider the following assumption:

**Assumption 1.** Let the following inequality hold

\[
\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{V_i}{R_i} \right)^2 > 4P \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{R_i}
\]

(4)

with \( P > 0 \) and \( V_i > 0, \forall i = 1, 2 \ldots n \).

Thus, substituting the output current \( i_i \) from equation (3) into equation (2), one can obtain the following expression for the load voltage given by the real solutions of the second order polynomial

\[
V_o = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{V_i}{R_i} \pm \sqrt{\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{V_i}{R_i} \right)^2 - 4P \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{R_i}}
\]

(5)

The load voltage has two solutions, a high voltage and a low voltage, with the high voltage representing the feasible solution. This fact is also reported in Simpson-Porco et al. (2017) and Su et al. (2018). Therefore, the voltage of the load can be described as

\[
V_o = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{V_i}{R_i} + \sqrt{\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{V_i}{R_i} \right)^2 - 4P \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{R_i}}
\]

(6)

**Remark 1.** System (1) represents a generic model of n-sourced units that could be integrated with the microgrid via different power converter configurations (buck, boost, buck-boost, AC/DC) in the given DC micro-grid framework.

### 3. Admittance Matrix

By taking the partial derivative of the output current \( i_i \) from (3) with respect to the capacitor voltage \( V_i \), we obtain the admitance matrix

\[
Y = \frac{\partial i_i}{\partial V_i} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & \frac{1}{R_1} & \ldots & \frac{1}{R_1} \\
\frac{1}{R_1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{R_1} & \frac{1}{R_1} & \ldots & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(7)

where \( R = \text{diag}\{R_i\} \) and \( D = \text{diag}\{\frac{\partial V_i}{\partial V_i}\} \) with the following expression

\[
D = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial V_o}{\partial V_1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & \frac{\partial V_o}{\partial V_n} \\
0 & \ldots & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(7)

\[
= R^{-1}(I_n - i_{in}D)
\]

where \( R = \text{diag}\{R_i\} \) and \( D = \text{diag}\{\frac{\partial V_i}{\partial V_i}\} \) with the following expression

\[
D = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial V_o}{\partial V_1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & \frac{\partial V_o}{\partial V_n} \\
0 & \ldots & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(7)

\[
= R^{-1}(I_n - i_{in}D)
\]

(7)

where \( R = \text{diag}\{R_i\} \) and \( D = \text{diag}\{\frac{\partial V_i}{\partial V_i}\} \) with the following expression

\[
\lambda_{Di} = \frac{1}{2\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{R_i}} \left( \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{V_i}{R_i}}{\sqrt{\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{V_i}{R_i} \right)^2 - 4P \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{R_i}}} \right)
\]

(7)

with \( i = 1, \ldots, n \).
Remark 2. In Su et al. (2018) and Liu et al. (2018), the power balance equation is linearized and then an expression for \( V_o \) is obtained with respect to its steady-state equilibrium, \( V_{oc} \). This new expression of \( V_o \) is substituted in equation (3) and used to, finally, compute the admittance matrix as a function of the equilibrium point, \( V_{oc} \), of the load voltage. On the contrary, here the proposed method considers the instantaneous nonlinear expressions of the output currents, \( i_i \) from (3), and the load voltage, \( V_o \) from (6), to compute the admittance matrix for every \( V_i \). When the admittance matrix is required at a particular equilibrium point, then it can be calculated with \( V_i = V_{oc} \), where \( V_{oc} \) is the value of the capacitor voltage at each node, \( i \), at the equilibrium point.

4. STABILITY OF DROOP CONTROLLED MICRO-GRIDS

In the DC micro-grid under consideration, the main task is to achieve load voltage regulation close to a desired reference value and share the load proportionally to the sources capacities. This can be achieved through droop control operation as explained below.

4.1 Droop control design

Conventional droop controllers introduce a static structure and regulate the sources output voltage, which leads to significant load voltage drop and inaccurate power sharing. To improve the load voltage regulation and power sharing, a dynamic droop controller is introduced with the following expression

\[
\dot{V} = V^∗1_n - V_o - m_i,
\]

(8)

with \( V^∗ \) being the reference voltage, and \( m = \text{diag}(m_i) \) the droop coefficients. At the steady-state there is

\[
V_o = V^∗ - m_i i_i, \tag{9}
\]

which ensures accurate power sharing

\[
m_i i_i = m_i 2i_i = \cdots = m_n i_n \tag{10}
\]

proportionally to the sources capacities with suitable choice of \( m_i \). The droop controller is implemented using a proportional integral (PI) controller, in matrix form, as follows,

\[
\dot{m}_i = -k_p V + \sigma \tag{11}
\]

\[
\dot{\sigma} = k_l (V^∗1_n - V_o - m_i), \tag{12}
\]

where \( k_p = \text{diag}(k_{pi}) \) and \( k_l = \text{diag}(k_{li}) \) are the proportional and integral gains of the PI controller, respectively, and for which

\[
m_i < R_i, \forall i \in \{1 \ldots n\} \tag{13}
\]

By replacing the controller dynamics (11)-(12) into the open-loop system (1), the closed-loop system becomes

\[
\dot{C}V = -k_p V + \sigma - i \tag{14}
\]

\[
\dot{\sigma} = k_l (V^∗1_n - V_o - m_i) \tag{15}
\]

where \( i \) is linked to \( V \) through the impedance matrix.

4.2 Stability analysis

Consider an equilibrium point \((V_e, \sigma_e)\) of the closed-loop system (14)-(15), (3) and (6), satisfying Assumption 1. Then the following Theorem can be formulated that guarantees stability of the entire droop-controlled DC micro-grid with a CPL.

**Theorem 1.** The equilibrium point \((V_e, \sigma_e)\) is asymptotically stable if the following conditions holds

\[
k_{pi} > \frac{nA_{pi} - 1}{R_i}, \forall i \in \{1 \ldots n\}. \tag{16}
\]

**Proof.** The Jacobian matrix corresponding to system (14)-(15) takes the following form

\[
J = \begin{bmatrix}
-C^{-1}k_p - C^{-1}Y & C^{-1} \\
-k_l 1_{n \times n} & -k_l m R^{-1} \end{bmatrix}_{0 \times n}. \tag{7}
\]

Replacing the admittance matrix with its expression from (7), it yields

\[
J = \begin{bmatrix}
-C^{-1}k_p - C^{-1}R^{-1} (I_n - 1_{n \times n}D) & C^{-1} \\
-k_l 1_{n \times n} & -k_l m R^{-1} (I_n - 1_{n \times n}D) \end{bmatrix}_{0 \times n}. \tag{17}
\]

The characteristic polynomial of the system can be written as

\[
|\lambda I_{2n} - J| = |\lambda^2 I_n + C\lambda + K| = 0 \tag{17}
\]

with

\[
C = C^{-1}k_p + C^{-1}R^{-1} (I_n - 1_{n \times n}D) \tag{18}
\]

\[
K = C^{-1} (k_l m R^{-1} + k_l (I_n - m R^{-1}) 1_{n \times n}D) \tag{19}
\]

By right multiplying (17) with \(|D|^{-1} > 0\), the obtained determinant is

\[
|\lambda^2 R CD^{-1} + \tilde{C}\lambda + \tilde{K}| = 0 \tag{20}
\]

with

\[
\tilde{C} = R k_p D^{-1} + D^{-1} - 1_{n \times n} \tag{21}
\]

being a symmetrical matrix and, following factorization,

\[
\tilde{K} = R k_l (I_n - m R^{-1}) (I_n - m R^{-1})^{-1} R^{-1} m D^{-1} + 1_{n \times n} \tag{22}
\]

being a diagonalizable matrix whose eigenvalues are all real, according to Lemma 2. Hence, as \( \tilde{K} \) is represented by a product between a positive-definite diagonal and a symmetric matrix, there is \( \tilde{K} = P A P^{-1} \), where \( P \) is unitary and \( A \) is diagonal. The characteristic polynomial becomes

\[
|\lambda^2 R C D^{-1} + \tilde{C}\lambda + \tilde{P} A P^{-1}| = 0 \tag{23}
\]

and, by left and right multiplication with \(|P^{-1}| \) and \(|P| \), respectively, it becomes

\[
|\lambda^2 P^{-1} R C D^{-1} P + P^{-1} \tilde{C} P A + \Lambda| = 0 \tag{24}
\]

Note that \( \Lambda \) is a diagonal matrix having the same index of inertia as \( \tilde{K} \) and the similarity transformations \( P^{-1} R C D^{-1} P \) and \( P^{-1} \tilde{C} P \) are symmetrical, as \( P \) is unitary (orthogonal in \( \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \), \( P^{-1} = P^T \)), and they share the same eigenvalues as \( R C D^{-1} \), and \( \tilde{C} \), respectively. If \( R C D^{-1} \), \( \tilde{C} \) and \( \Lambda \) are positive-definite, then \( \text{Re}(\lambda) < 0 \), which means that matrix \( J \) is Hurwitz.

As \( R C D^{-1} \) is already positive-definite, it would suffice to show that \( \tilde{C} > 0 \), and \( \Lambda > 0 \), or equivalently that \( \tilde{K} \) has positive eigenvalues.

(1) Condition \( \tilde{C} > 0 \):

\[
\tilde{C} = R k_p D^{-1} + D^{-1} - 1_{n \times n} > 0 \tag{25}
\]
Since \( \hat{C} \) is a sum of symmetric matrices, then according to Lemma 1, condition (22) can be rewritten in scalar form as

\[
\frac{R_i k_{pi} + 1}{\lambda_{Di}} - n > 0, \quad \forall \ i \in \{1 \ldots n\}
\]

which is always true, provided that (16) is satisfied.

(2) Condition \( \Lambda > 0 \), or \( \hat{K} \) has positive eigenvalues: According to (13), the first matrix in the multiplication inside \( \hat{K} \) is positive-definite, i.e.

\[
Rk_1(I_n - mR^{-1}) > 0.
\]

Hence, according to Lemma 2, one can investigate only the remaining (symmetrical) matrix in the product. This matrix

\[
R^{-1}(I_n - mR^{-1})^{-1} mD^{-1} + 1_{n \times n} > 0 \quad (23)
\]

is positive-definite since it is a sum between a positive-definite diagonal matrix and a positive semi-definite symmetrical matrix.

Hence, when (16) is satisfied, \( J \) is Hurwitz, and the equilibrium point \((V_0, \sigma_e)\) is asymptotically stable. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \( \square \)

5. SIMULATION RESULTS

A DC micro-grid consisting of 5 parallel-operated buck converters, as depicted in Figure 2, with the parameters given in Table 1, and connected to a common DC bus with a CPL, has been simulated in Matlab/Simulink for 2 s.

Each converter is equipped with the droop controller considered in Section 4, and the task is to regulate the load voltage to the rated value, \( V^* = 100 \, \text{V} \), and share their output power in a \( 1 : 2 : 3 : 4 : 5 \) ratio.

At \( t = 0 \, \text{s} \), the load power is \( P = 500 \, \text{W} \), and as one can see in Figure 3a, the load voltage is accurately fixed at \( V_0 = 99.9 \, \text{V} \). The output currents satisfy their control imposed ratios, having \( i = [1.67 \ 1.34 \ 0.99 \ 0.67 \ 0.34] \, \text{A} \) (Figure 3b).

After one second, at \( t = 1 \, \text{s} \), the load power changes to \( 2P = 16 \, \text{KW} \). The load voltage regulation is still fairly accurate, \( V_0 = 99.7 \, \text{V} \) (Figure 3a), and, according to Figure 3b, the output currents respect their assigned proportions, having \( i = [3.34 \ 2.67 \ 2.02 \ 1.34 \ 0.66] \, \text{A} \).

6. CONCLUSION

A novel approach to compute the admittance matrix has been proposed that facilitates the stability analysis, especially in the case where the controller aims to regulate the converter output voltage. Following the proposed strategy, after several factorisations, the isolation of singularities is possible, and henceforth, by employing straightforward linear algebra tools, the stability conditions can be comfortably acquired. A DC micro-grid consisting of 5 parallel-operated DC/DC buck converters feeding a common CPL has been used for testing and validating the proposed analysis. The results confirm that the presented strategy ensures normal operation of the DC network and guarantees an elementary path in ensuring closed-loop stability.

![Fig. 2. DC micro-grid considered for testing](image)

![Fig. 3. Simulation results of the DC micro-grid system with PI controller](image)

![Table 1. System and control parameters](image)
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