Domain-specific control of germ cell polarity and migration by multifunction Tre1 GPCR
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The migration of primordial germ cells (PGCs) from their place of origin to the embryonic gonad is an essential reproductive feature in many animal species. In Drosophila melanogaster, a single G protein–coupled receptor, Trapped in endoderm 1 (Tre1), mediates germ cell polarization at the onset of active migration and directs subsequent migration of PGCs through the midgut primordium. How these different aspects of cell behavior are coordinated through a single receptor is not known. We demonstrate that two highly conserved domains, the E/N/DRY and NPxxY motifs, have overlapping and unique functions in Tre1. The Tre1-NRY domain via G protein signaling is required for reading and responding to guidance and survival cues controlled by the lipid phosphate phosphatases Wunen and Wunen2. In contrast, the Tre1-NPIIY domain has a separate role in Rho1- and E-cadherin–mediated polarization at the initiation stage independent of G protein signaling. We propose that this bifurcation of the Tre1 G protein–coupled receptor signaling response via G protein–dependent and independent branches enables distinct spatiotemporal regulation of germ cell migration.

Introduction

Germ cell migration is a highly conserved process across species. Given the ease by which germ cells can be uniquely identified, primordial germ cell (PGC) migration has become a powerful model to dissect the process of directed, single-cell migration in multicellular organisms (Barton et al., 2016). In Drosophila melanogaster, germ cells form at the posterior pole of the embryo and are brought into the midgut primordium during gastrulation movements. Here germ cells migrate through the midgut epithelium, exposing them to attractive and repulsive cues that guide them to the somatic gonadal precursors. Genetic screens have identified numerous genes that regulate germ cell migration (reviewed by Richardson and Lehmann, 2010). Among them, the G protein–coupled receptor (GPCR) Trapped in endoderm 1 (Tre1) is required for the initial polarization and individualization of germ cells and their subsequent migration out of the posterior midgut primordium. Germ cells lacking Tre1 fail to initiate migration and remain clustered within the midgut primordium throughout embryogenesis (Kunwar et al., 2003, 2008). A hypomorphic allele of tre1, known as tre1<sup>hmo</sup>, revealed an additional phenotype whereby many cells exit the midgut but fail to migrate to the somatic gonad (Coffman et al., 2002; Kunwar et al., 2003). These data suggest that Tre1 is required not only at the start of migration but also during migration upon midgut exit.

Tre1 is an orphan receptor, and its signaling pathways have not been clearly delineated. Previous studies analyzing the function of Tre1 in neuroblast polarity identified G protein α<sub>o</sub> (Gαo) as a downstream signaling component (Yoshiura et al., 2012). Similarly, G protein α i/o (Gαi/o), mediates signaling by a close homologue of Tre1, Moody, in establishing the blood-brain barrier in Drosophila (Schwabe et al., 2005). Other genes with migratory phenotypes similar to Tre1 have provided valuable insight into the possible mechanisms that may cooperate with Tre1 to provide faithful guidance to germ cells. Indeed, mutations in the lipid phosphate phosphatase (LPP) Wunen (Wun) and its homologue Wunen2 result in migration phenotypes strikingly similar to tre1. (Because of their exchangeable function, we will hereafter refer to Wun and Wun2 collectively as Wunen unless specifically noted.) Wunen LPP activity is required both in the germ cells and in specific somatic tissues for germ cell dispersal, survival, and migration (Zhang et al., 1996; Kunwar et al., 2003; Renault et al., 2004, 2010). In the soma, Wun and Wun2 repel germ cells and thereby guide them toward the gonad. In the absence of both wunen genes in the somatic tissues of the embryo, germ cells fail to migrate toward the somatic gonad and instead scatter throughout the embryo, a phenotype similar to that observed for tre1<sup>hmo</sup> (Starz-Gaiano et al., 2001; Coffman et al., 2002; Kunwar et al., 2003; Renault et al., 2004). Maternally provided Wunen2 is required autonomously...
in germ cells for their survival. Loss of maternal Wun and Wun2 prevents exit from the midgut, a phenotype reminiscent of the strong tre1 mutant phenotype (Hanyu-Nakamura et al., 2004; Renault et al., 2004, 2010). As lipid phosphatases, Wun and Wun 2 have been shown to hydrolyze phospholipids and to promote the uptake of the lipid product into cells (Renault et al., 2004). Taking available genetic data into account, it has been proposed that somatic and germ cells compete for the same phospholipid substrate with alternative outcomes for germ cells (Renault et al., 2004). Wunen-mediated hydrolysis of the phospholipid and uptake of lipid are required in germ cells for their survival and may also facilitate dispersion of germ cells by local germ cell–germ cell competition (Renault et al., 2010). In the soma, depletion of phospholipid by Wunen-expressing cells generates a gradient that guides germ cells toward higher levels of phospholipid (Renault et al., 2004). Local depletion of phospholipid because of high levels of Wunen activity in the soma causes PGC death, consistent with the phospholipid requirement for germ cell survival (Fig. S4 A). Although similarities between tre1 and wun[wun2] mutant migration phenotypes suggest that these genes work in the same pathway, such a connection has not been directly demonstrated and is a focus of this study.

Tre1 belongs to the class A, Rhodopsin family of G protein–coupled, seven transmembrane receptors. GPCRs contain conserved intramolecular switches that transfer receptor activation to downstream signaling pathways. Among these, the E/N/DRY motif at the cytoplasmic border of transmembrane domain (TM) 3 and the NPxxY peptide motif located at the end of TM7 are widely conserved (Probst et al., 1992; Gether, 2000). A recent comparative analysis of crystal structures of 27 class A receptors in either the active or inactive state highlights the importance of these domains and provides a more generalized view of GPCR regulation (Venkatakrishnan et al., 2016). In the inactive state, interactions between sequences in the cytoplasmic side of TM6 and surfaces surrounding the E/N/DRY motif at the end of TM3 or the NPxxY motif of TM7 appear to stabilize the inactive receptor. Upon receptor activation, interhelical interactions within the receptor change, and TM6 moves away from the transmembrane helix bundle, allowing TM3 domains to engage with TM7. This new TM3/TM7 constellation presents the G protein active state (Rasmussen et al., 2011; Schwartz and Sakmar, 2011; Trzaskowski et al., 2012; Venkatakrishnan et al., 2016). In addition to a role in switching between the receptor inactive and activated state, additional roles for the NPxxY domain independently of G protein signaling have been reported. Studies in cell culture show that the NPxxY domain can directly bind to Rho1 independently of the G protein complex (Mitchell et al., 1998). Interestingly, Tre1 function is required for the polarization of Rho1 to the germ cell tail, and normal Rho1 activity is required for germ cell migration (Kunwar et al., 2003, 2008). Furthermore, recent work on the chemokine GPCR, CCR7, required for T cell migration, shows that the NPxxY domain acts as a scaffold to provide an interface for receptor oligomerization and an associated signaling function separable from its role in G protein signaling (Hauser et al., 2016).

Here we explore the roles of the conserved NRY and NPIY domains of Tre1 GPCR in germ cell migration. For this in vivo structure-function analysis, we developed assays that allowed us to dissect the downstream response to receptor activation at the cellular level. We found that both domains are required for proper germ cell migration to the gonad, though neither domain is sufficient. Interestingly, we identified functional differences between the domains. The NRY domain mediates Wunen-specific directional migration and survival cues, while the NPIY domain is required for germ cell polarization. The fact that we can identify functionally distinct domains mediated by the same GPCR strongly suggests that separate signaling pathways control the cellular response to Tre1 activation. Given the conserved nature and abundance of these motifs in a wide variety of GPCRs, our analysis provides new insight into the functional compartmentalization of receptor response at a cellular level.

Results

Conserved domains in Tre1 GPCR mediate germ cell migration

Tre1 shares the conserved NRY and NPIY domains with other Rhodopsin family GPCRs. To address context-specific roles in Tre1-mediated germ cell migration, we generated transgenes carrying mutations in either or both domains (Fig. 1 A). To disrupt the NRY domain, the arginine in the NRY domain was mutated to alanine. This arginine is the most highly conserved amino acid of the NRY domain and has previously been shown to be essential for germ cell migration and is the causative mutation in the tre1<sup>−/−</sup> allele (Kamps et al., 2010). To disrupt the NPIY motif, we mutated the asparagine and proline to alanines, as these residues had been previously implicated in Rho1 binding (Mitchell et al., 1998; Borroto-Escuela et al., 2011). Molecular dynamics simulations using the Tre1 receptor predicted that a salt bridge between TM6 and the NRY motif in TM3 potentially stabilized the inactive state (Pruitt et al., 2013). We therefore mutated the aspartic acid residue (D266A) implicated as critical for this interaction to test this prediction. Finally, we generated a tre1 construct in which both motifs were disrupted, termed double mutant or NRY<sup>−/−</sup>NPIY<sup>−/−</sup>. Flag, Myc doubly tagged and untagged versions of each construct were driven by the nanos promoter, regulated under nanos 5′UTR and 3′UTR, and inserted into the Drosophila genome using site-specific integration to allow comparable, germ line–specific gene expression among constructs. Protein expression levels were similar between mutant and wild-type tre1 constructs (Fig. S1 A), and all transgenic TRE1 proteins localized to the germ cell membrane (Fig. S1 B). Germ cell migration was not altered by transgene expression in a tre1<sup>−/−</sup> background, suggesting that none of these constructs dominantly interferes with Tre1 wild-type function (Fig. S1 C).

To assess the impact of mutations in an individual domain on germ cell migration, transgenes were placed in a tre1-null mutant background. (For exact crossing schemes, refer to Table S1 and “Materials and methods”). The tre1<sup>ΔEP5</sup> allele removes the first exon of tre1 and has been shown to be void of tre1 RNA in germ cells (Kunwar et al., 2003). Embryos derived from mutant tre1<sup>ΔEP5</sup> mothers (hereafter referred to as mutant embryos) show striking defects in germ cell migration; most germ cells remain in the midgut and fail to migrate to the somatic gonad (Kunwar et al., 2003). Stage 13–15 embryos were stained for Vasa (germ cells) and Eya (somatic gonad precursors) and imaged using confocal microscopy, and the number of germ cells that reached the gonad was quantified as a percentage of the total. A tagged wild-type tre1 control transgene fully restored normal germ cell migration, with more than 95% of germ cells per embryo reaching the gonad (95.2 ± 1.3% SEM, n = 14;
Germ cell migration was significantly disrupted in both NRY and NPIIY mutant embryos (42.8 ± 2.3%, n = 20, and 33.8 ± 2.4%, n = 40, respectively), though not as severely as in tre1 loss-of-function mutants (8.6 ± 0.9%, n = 26; Fig. 1, B, C, E, and F). Interestingly, mutating the aspartic acid residue (D266A) that was predicted to stabilize the NRY/TM6 interaction in the inactive state had no effect on germ cell migration in a wild-type or mutant tre1 background (98.8 ± 0.5%, n = 47, and 98.2 ± 1%, n = 13, respectively; Fig. 1, A and B; and Fig. S1 C). When placed in trans, the NRY and NPIIY domain mutants failed to restore normal migration (51.6 ± 2.3%, n = 16; Fig. 1 B). The phenotype was similar to the NRY single-domain mutants (Fig. 1 B), implying that the two domains share functions and that both are required to be active in the same molecule. The NRY-NPIIY double mutant showed a stronger phenotype and recapitulated the tre1-null phenotype (12.6 ± 1.7%, n = 24; Fig. 1, B, C, and G). These results suggest that the NRY and NPIIY domains must also have separate roles that synergize to generate full Tre1 function and that these distinct roles are complemented in the single-domain mutants. To determine how individual Tre1 domains contribute to germ cell behavior at the cellular level, we used a set of assays to evaluate (a) the timing of germ cell exit from the midgut, (b) germ cell polarization at the onset of migration, (c) directional migration and midline avoidance during the migration to the somatic gonad, and (d) germ cell survival during migration.

Tre1 NRY and NPIIY domains control the timing of germ cell exit from the midgut primordium

To determine how the NRY and NPIIY domain mutants interfere with normal germ cell migration, we used two-photon live imaging to assess the early stages of active migration. By stage 10 in wild-type embryos, cells of the midgut primordium undergo...
dynamic changes and begin losing their apical-basal polarity. Their subsequent delamination creates openings through which germ cells cross the primordium (Fig. 2, A and A'; Campos-Ortega and Hartenstein, 1985; Seifert and Lehmann, 2012; Parés and Ricardo, 2016). In both mutants, germ cells exit the midgut primordium later than their wild-type counterpart and follow abnormal migration patterns (Fig. 2, B, B', C, and C'; Videos 1, 2, and 3; and Fig. S2, A–C). This effect is germ cell–dependent, as midgut polarity and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition are not affected in tre1 mutants (Seifert and Lehmann, 2012). Previous studies indicated that late exit from the midgut primordium could cause cells to miss time-sensitive cues (Broihier et al., 1998). In NRY or NPIIY mutants, germ cells remained associated within the midgut primordium even after the midgut has undergone epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and moved out of the midgut pocket only in late stage 10 (Fig. 2, B' and C'). By stage 13, when wild-type germ cells have reached the gonad, many germ cells in either tre1 mutant remained in the midgut or outside the midgut, failing to reach the gonad (Fig. 1, D–F; and Fig. 2 E).

Tre1 NPIIY is required for germ cell polarization
Closer examination of migratory behavior revealed long and persistent extensions at the lagging edge of tre1 NPIIY mutant germ cells, which were not observed in wild-type controls or NRY mutants (Videos 1, 2, and 3; and Fig. S2, C and D). Because previous studies had shown that before migration, wild-type germ cells polarize by redistributing Rho1, Gβ, and E-cadherin from a uniform distribution along the cell cortex to an enriched concentration at the lagging tail (Kunwar et al., 2008), we wondered whether these abnormal extensions could correlate with alterations in this early polarization step. We confirmed previous immunohistochemical analysis of fixed germ cells containing the tre1 wild-type transgene, revealing a tre1-dependent Rho1 and E-cadherin relocalization (Fig. 3, A and B; and Fig. S3 A). To quantify this redistribution, we compared the concentration of Rho1 on the germ cell cortex normalized to the intensity of Rho1 at the midgut epithelium, as previously described (Kunwar et al., 2008; Fig. 3, E and F). Germ cells with mutations in the NRY domain polarized Rho1 similarly to wild type (Fig. 3, C and F). However, mutating the NPIIY domain caused germ cells to retain higher levels of Rho1 along the germ cell cortices, similar to the phenotype of tre1-null mutants (Fig. 3, B, F, and D). In a second quantification method, we measured polarization directly by integrating Rho1 signal intensity across the germ cell cluster averaged among multiple embryos of the same genotype (Fig. 3 G). Both quantification methods demonstrated robust changes in Rho1 cortical distribution and polarization when we compared wild-type germ cells with tre1-null mutants (Fig. 3, F and H). They also revealed a wild-type pattern of Rho1 polarization in NRY domain mutant germ cells, whereas Tre1 NPIIY domain mutants failed to localize Rho1, akin to tre1-null mutants (Fig. 3, F and H). Previous studies showed that Rho1, E-cadherin, and Gβ localize coordinately to the tail in the wild type (Kunwar et al.,...
In agreement, we observed E-cadherin localization affected by NPIIY mutants but not NRY mutants (Fig. S3 A). Thus, the NPIIY domain is specifically required for the initial germ cell polarization. We propose that loss of polarity may cause prolonged adhesion and a failure of germ cells to properly extend and retract filopodia. These defects may account for the delayed exit from the midgut observed during live imaging of NPIIY mutants.

**Tre1 NRY domain is required for recognition of midline cues**

We next asked whether Tre1 signaling via the NRY or NPIIY domain affected the germ cell guidance function. Wild-type germ cells sort bilaterally after exiting the midgut to evenly occupy the laterally positioned gonads (Sano et al., 2005; Renault et al., 2010). Bilateral sorting is achieved through Wunen LPP-dependent repulsion. Because of high somatic expression of Wunen, germ cells do not cross the ventral midline and avoid the ectoderm (Sano et al., 2005). We noted that in *tre1* mutants, which carry a deletion of the NRY domain, germ cells migrated into the epithelium, which they normally avoid. The same aberrant migration phenotype was also observed in the NRY domain mutant, but not the NPIIY domain mutant (Video 2 and Fig. S2, B–D). This phenotype has previously been seen only in embryos that lack *wunen* in the soma (Mukherjee et al., 2013). To address a potential relationship between Tre1 and Wunen, we focused on the hallmark feature displayed by mutants that lack Wunen guidance cues in the soma: bilateral sorting. Whereas wild-type germ cells sort bilaterally after exiting the midgut and avoid the ventral midline, germ cells in *wun*/*wun* zygotic mutant embryos, which lack Wunen in all somatic tissues, cross the midline (Sano et al., 2005). To better quantify this step in germ cell migration and circumvent the need for live tracing and reconstruction of individual germ cell trajectories within wild-type and mutant embryos, we adapted a recently developed photoactivation assay (Slaidina and Lehmann, 2017). We expressed a photoactivatable GFP (PAGFP) throughout the early embryo (Patterson and Lippincott-Schwartz, 2002; Murry and Saint, 2007). GFP was photoactivated in one to three germ cells in stage 5 embryos, just after germ cell formation.
Germ cells were visualized by Vasa fused to mCherry (Lerit and Gavis, 2011). Embryos were mounted on their ventral surface, and germ cells on the lateral most edge (left or right) were photostimulated (Fig. 4 A). We determined which side of the embryo the photostimulated germ cells occupied at stage 12 of embryogenesis, when germ cells normally have associated with the somatic gonad, and correlated this with the site of photostimulation at stage 5. Wild-type germ cells robustly retained their lateral position (left or right) relative to the developing embryo, with <10% of germ cells crossing the midline between the time of formation and their homing to the gonad (97.4% of photoactivated cells avoided the midline, \( n = 39 \); Fig. 4, B–D).

To further evaluate our method, we asked whether we could recapitulate previous results demonstrating defects in bilateral sorting in wun/wun2 mutant embryos (Sano et al., 2005). Indeed, photoactivated germ cells in the zygotic wun/wun2 mutant background failed to sort along the midline, only 60% of germ cells remained on the same side of the embryo when photostimulated at stage 5 and scored at stage 12, suggesting random migration (60.6% avoided the midline, \( n = 33 \); Fig. 4, B, E, and F). These findings establish photostimulation as a reliable and efficient method to observe midline sorting and confirm previous observations that Wunen LPPs are required for producing guidance cues that repel germ cells. Further, this assay...
revealed that the position of germ cells at the time of specification is retained through internalization and transepithelial movements of germ cells.

To test whether tre1 domain mutants were able to recognize and respond to Wunen guidance cues, we photoactivated individual germ cells and assessed midline repulsion. The tre1+ transgene fully rescued the tre1 mutant phenotype, and germ cells followed midline guidance similar to the wild-type control (97% of photoactivated cells avoided the midline, n = 33; Fig. 4, B, G, and H). Mutations in the NRY domain resulted in germ cells migrating at random, regardless of the original position of the germ cells, similar to wun/wun2 zygotic mutants (55% avoided the midline, n = 20; Fig. 4, B, I, and J). Germ cells that inherited a mutant NPIIY domain protein, however, migrated toward the gonad according to their position at germ cell formation (89.3% avoided the midline, n = 28; Fig. 4, B, K, and L). This suggests that the NRY domain but not the NPIIY domain of Tre1 is required for receiving and/or responding to Wunen migration cues.

Studies in other systems suggest the NRY domain functions as a scaffold for the G protein complex. In neuroblasts, Tre1 was shown to signal through Gαo to orient their division axis in relation to the embryonic epithelium (Yoshiura et al., 2012). To deplete Gαo activity in germ cells while avoiding patterning defects during oogenesis and embryogenesis, we expressed a dominant-negative GDP-bound form of Gαo (GαoGDP) specifically in PGCs using the germline-localized nosGal4 driver (see Table S1). Overexpression of this construct caused strong migration defects, with only 48% of germ cells reaching the gonad (48.3 ± 2.1%, n = 39; Fig. S3 C), and affected lateral sorting (47.1% avoiding the midline, n = 34; Fig. 4, B, M, and N), similar to the NRY mutants. These experiments suggest that Tre1 NRY domain acts via Gαo and that this interaction is necessary for proper recognition of midline cues provided by Wunen.

Rho1 localization is independent of G protein signaling and Wunen LPP

Our results suggest that the NRY domain of Tre1 mediates Wunen-dependent germ cell repulsion through G protein signaling. This function is specific to the NRY domain and does not require the NPIIY domain of Tre1. We therefore asked...
whether the initial polarization event, which seems specifically mediated by the NPI\(\text{IY}\) domain, required G proteins or Wunen. As described above, we disrupted G\(\alpha\)\(\text{o}\) signaling by expressing G\(\alpha\)\(\text{o}\)GDP specifically in PGCs. Analysis of Rho1 and E-cadherin distribution revealed that G\(\alpha\)\(\text{o}\) signaling is apparently dispensable for redistribution and thus PGC polarization (Fig. 5, A, B, F, and G). Next we disrupted G\(\gamma\) by generating G\(\gamma\)1 mutant germline clones and restoring embryonic patterning by expressing G\(\gamma\)1 specifically in somatic cells (described by Kunwar et al., 2008). As previously shown, G\(\gamma\)1 acts downstream of Tre1 GPCR and produces a strong germ cell migration defect, with the majority of germ cells failing to exit the midgut. Like G\(\alpha\)oGDP, Rho1 polarized normally in G\(\gamma\)1 mutant germ cells (Fig. 5, C, F, and G). Collectively, these results strongly suggest that the NPI\(\text{IY}\) domain of Tre1 promotes germ cell polarization independently of G protein signaling. We next asked whether Wunen activity played a role in PGC polarization. Rho1 and E-cadherin were properly redistributed to the germ cell tail in wun\(\text{M}^{\text{−}}\)ZO\(\text{−}\)mutants (Fig. 5, D, F, and G). However, mutating \(\text{tre1}\) in wun\(\text{M}^{\text{−}}\)ZO\(\text{−}\)mutant background affected Rho1 polarization similar to loss of Tre1 alone (Fig. 5 B and Fig. 5, E–G). These results argue that the function of Tre1 in PGC polarization is independent of G protein and Wunen activity.

**NRY domain responds to Wunen-dependent survival cues**

Germ cell survival is intricately linked to Wunen function. Loss of wun\(\text{2}\) in germ cells or overexpression of wun/wun2 in somatic tissues, leads to extensive germ cell death (Fig. S4 A). This death is observed only after germ cells have traversed the midgut primordium (Starz-Gaiano et al., 2001; Hanyu-Nakamura et al., 2004; Renault et al., 2004, 2010). Because Wunen functions as an LPP, these results have been interpreted as an indication for a competition between Wunen expressed in somatic tissues, which depletes the lipid phosphate pool from the environment, and germ cell–expressed Wun2, which uses hydrolysis of the lipid phosphate for germ cell survival (Renault et al., 2004). This model suggests that normally germ cells and soma strike a balance of phospholipid hydrolysis and lipid uptake. This balance is shifted by removing wun2 from germ cells or by overexpressing wunen in somatic tissues, resulting in extensive germ cell death (Fig. 6, A and B; Renault et al., 2004). Previously it had been shown using a tre1-null mutation that germ cell death upon wunen overexpression was blocked (Renault et al., 2010). However, because midgut exit is blocked in tre1-null mutants, the relationship between Tre1 and Wunen could not be assessed in this experimental setting (Renault et al., 2010). Therefore, we took advantage of the tre1\(^{\text{550}}\) hypomorphic allele and the
NRY and NPIIY domain mutants, in which some germ cells migrate out of the midgut and thus should become sensitive to lipid-phosphate survival signals. Surprisingly, tre1<sup>−/−</sup> mutant germ cells survive even after removing wun2 from germ cells or after overexpression of Wunen in somatic tissues, genetic backgrounds that result in germ cell death in a tre1 wild-type background (Fig. S4 B; Hanyu-Nakamura et al., 2004; Renault et al., 2004). To assess the relative contributions of the NRY and NPIIY domains, we increased somatic Wunen levels in either NRY or NPIIY mutants. Because germ cells respond to Wunen-mediated death only when they exit the protective midgut environment, we compared the number of germ cells outside the midgut as well as the total number of germ cells (Fig. 6 I and Fig. S4, B and C). In wild-type control, tre1<sup>+</sup> embryos, overexpressing Wun in the soma results in germ cell death upon midgut exit, leaving one sixth of the normal number of germ cells in the gonad (22.8 ± 3.1 germ cells, n = 23 normally, and 3.1 ± 1.7 germ cells, n = 21 upon Wun overexpression; Fig. 6, A, B, and I). In contrast, we found Wun overexpression in embryos carrying the tre1<sup>−/−</sup> mutant caused germ cells to survive outside the midgut similar to sibling control in which Wun is not overexpressed (13.8 ± 2.4 germ cells, n = 18, and 11.7 ± 2.8 germ cells, n = 18, respectively; Fig. 5, C, D, and I). Germ cells in a tre1 NPIIY mutant background, however, displayed wunen-dependent cell death, with few to no germ cells surviving outside the midgut (1.9 ± 1.5 germ cells, n = 17, and 11.3 ± 2.8 germ cells, n = 15, in control siblings) and significantly fewer germ cells surviving overall (Fig. 5, E, F, and I; and Fig. S4 C). These results suggest that the NRY but not the NPIIY domain of Tre1 interferes with Wunen-dependent germ cell survival cues. Germ cells expressing dominant-negative Gαo were susceptible to Wunen-mediated death (1.9 ± 1.5 germ cells, n = 36, vs. 18.7 ± 3.2 germ cells, n = 21, in sibling control), suggesting that this function of the NRY domain is not mediated by G protein signaling (Fig. 6, G–I; and Fig. S4 C).

**Discussion**

Here we provide genetic and functional evidence that the Tre1 GPCR engages separate signaling pathways to mediate distinct migratory behaviors. We show that two highly conserved protein domains within the Tre1 GPCR, the NPIIY and the NRY motifs, cooperate in the overall ability of germ cells to engage in migration but also mediate distinct and separable roles in germ cell migration and survival. The NPIIY motif in TM7 polarizes germ cells at the onset of migration, while the NRY motif in TM3 plays a role in responding to directional migration and survival cues (Fig. 7). Our findings support a direct link between Tre1 GPCR function and lipid phosphate signaling.

Live imaging suggests that NPIIY-dependent Tre1 signaling modulates the actin cytoskeleton at the onset of their migration. We show that the NPIIY domain is specifically required for the reorganization of Rho1 and E-cadherin in germ cells to allow individualization of germ cells and distinct leading edge–lagging edge polarity. In the absence of NPIIY function, germ cells form long extensions, and migration is delayed. Previous studies have shown that the NPxxY domain can mediate ligand-independent receptor internalization (Kalatskaya et al., 2004), and recent molecular dynamics experiments on the adenosine A2A receptor, the β2-adrenergic receptor, and rhodopsin have suggested that the NPxxY motif mediates formation of a
continuous water channel in the active state (Yuan et al., 2014). This active confirmation is stabilized by an interaction between the tyrosine residue in the NPxxY motif and the DRY domain (Yuan et al., 2014). Although we did not directly test for the function of the tyrosine residue in the NPIY motif of Tre1, our finding that mutations in the NRY and NPIY domains result in different phenotypes suggests independent pathways. Our findings are more consistent with studies in mammalian tissue culture in which RhoA (Rho1 in Drosophila) was shown to form a functional complex with GPCRs that contain an NPxxY but not a DPxxY motif. The authors observed that RhoA-GPCR interaction elicited an increase in phospholipase D activation independent of the “classical” heterotrimeric G protein signaling pathway (Mitchell et al., 1998; Borroto-Escuela et al., 2011). Accordingly, we propose that upon receptor activation, Rho1 activation and binding to Tre1 GPCR through the NPIY motif recruits E-cadherin. Subsequent relocalization of Rho1 and E-cadherin would result in individualization of germ cells and cell polarization. A mechanism by which a single GPCR can activate separate signaling pathways has been recently proposed for the chemokine receptor CCR7. Hauser et al. (2016) showed that inflammatory signals induce dimerization of CCR7 in migratory T and dendritic cells. CCR7 elicits the classical heterotrimeric G protein signaling pathway and, upon receptor oligomerization, a second pathway dependent on Src binding and phosphorylation. Intriguingly, receptor oligomerization requires a hydrophobic interaction surface near the NPxxY domain of CCR7. Parallel signaling in CCR7 makes immune cell migration more efficient (Hauser et al., 2016). Facultative receptor dimerization creating an interaction surface for Rho1 is one mechanism that could explain how in germ cells the single Tre1 receptor can provoke distinct migratory responses (Fig. 7). We do not know how the NPIY domain is regulated. One intriguing possibility is that reorganization of E-cadherin in the underlying midgut epithelium may provide a cue for germ cell polarization (Parés and Ricardo, 2016).

In contrast to the NPIY domain, we show that the NRY domain of Tre1 is dispensable for germ cell polarization but instead is required for dispersal, directional migration, and germ cell survival. In many Rhodopsin-like receptors, E/N/DRY domains play important roles in heterotrimeric G protein activation upon ligand binding (Zhu et al., 1994; Acharya and Karnik, 1996; Scheer et al., 1996; Rasmussen et al., 2011). As previously shown for neuroblast polarization, we find Gao is also required downstream of Tre1 for germ cell migration (Yoshiura et al., 2012). Combined, these data imply that the Tre1 NRY domain is required for responding to migration cues that induce G protein activation and result in proper migration (Fig. 7).

Our results suggest a connection between the Tre1 NRY domain and Wunen-dependent lipid phosphate signaling (Fig. 7). One possibility is that a Wunen-regulated phospholipid is the still evasive Tre1 ligand. Alternatively, phospholipids could allosterically regulate Tre1 activity, as has been shown for β2-adrenergic receptor in regulating GPCR activity (Dawaliby et al., 2016). In support of a phospholipid being the Tre1 ligand, the human Tre1 homologue, GPR84, binds medium chain fatty acids, and D-Cerk and D-Mulk, two Drosophila ceramide kinase homologues, have been shown to mediate PGC migration (Wang et al., 2006; McElwan et al., 2011). The mammalian homologue of Wunen, LPP3, regulates sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) levels and controls the circulation of T cells in the mouse and allows for their thymic egress (Bréart et al., 2011). In vertebrates, S1P and lysophosphatidic acid are ligands for dedicated S1P and lysophosphatidic acid GPCRs, and several of these have been implicated in regulating migratory behavior in immune cells, cardiomyocytes, and metastatic carcinoma cells (Mendelson et al., 2014; Baeyens et al., 2015). Germ cell migration in vertebrates, however, is largely governed by the chemokine CXCL12/SDF1 and its receptor CXCR4 (Doitsidou et al., 2002; Ara et al., 2003; Knaut et al., 2003; Molyneaux et al., 2003). Recent data in zebrafish suggest that in addition, repulsive cues are mediated by zebrafish LPPs/Wunens and that these cues are needed for germ cells to settle in the gonad region (Paksa et al., 2016). In the ascidian Botryllus schlosseri, S1P signaling has been implicated in germ cell guidance, and Botryllus germ cells express S1P receptors (Kassmer et al., 2015). In vertebrate germ cells and immune cells, the CXCR4 chemokine and S1P phospholipid receptors appear to mediate distinct behaviors of migratory cells. Our data suggest that in Drosophila, a single GPCR mediates two distinct downstream migratory behaviors, suggesting a functional connection between chemokine and phospholipid recognition.

Our results also show that mutations in the DRY domain protect germ cells from death. This surprising role for Tre1 is apparent only when germ cell survival is challenged, either by lack of Wunen in germ cells or overexpression of Wunen in the soma. It is possible that phospholipid binding to the receptor somehow deprives germ cells of a lipid source and that in the DRY mutant, this source is now available for PGC survival. Although we do not know how Tre1 affects PGC survival, our data strongly suggest a primary role for germ cell–expressed Wunen in survival rather than migration. Indeed, a role for Tre1 as a dedicated phospholipid receptor in germ cells can explain why PGCs that lack Wun2, but have normal Wunen expression in the soma, die in large numbers but can still follow migratory cues and properly reach the gonad (Hanyu-Nakamura et al., 2004).

Collectively, our results reveal two genetically separable downstream pathways of a single GPCR in germ cell migration. Our data suggest that Tre1 via G protein signaling is responsible for directed germ cell migration by reading a phospholipid gradient generated by Wunen lipase activity, while PGC polarization occurs separate from ligand-dependent G protein activation, either by a second ligand or by ligand-independent mechanisms. This type of dual signaling could be akin to Src activation upon CCR7 dimerization, which is independent of the classical G protein signaling pathway but requires receptor conformation changes because of receptor dimerization (Hauser et al., 2016). Combining separate controls for directed migration and polarity in a single receptor not only makes the process more robust but also provides opportunity for distinct spatial and temporal regulation to the migration process.

Materials and methods

Fly stocks

Animals were raised in polystyrene vials containing a medium of yeast, molasses, and cornmeal and kept at 25°C, unless otherwise indicated. Stocks used in this study include the following: w1118 as a wild-type control, tre1LADP is a deletion of the first exon of CG3171 and behaves as a null mutation for Tre1 (Ueno et al., 2001; Kunwar et al., 2003), and tre1w is a single-nucleotide mutation in tre1w, which changes the splice acceptor site of the fourth intron. This causes a 24-bp deletion from exon 5, resulting in a seven amino acid deletion, including the “KY” of the “NRY”
motif. Further analysis revealed that an alanine, “AY” substitution recapitulates the tre1<sup>Δn</sup> phenotype (Coffman et al., 2002; Kamps et al., 2010), nosmoesin::GFP (Sano et al., 2005), nosGal4::VP16 (Van Doren et al., 1998), tub<sub>j</sub>-wun2::myc (Renault et al., 2004), UASp-atub::PAGFP (Murray and Saint, 2007), mCherry-vasa (Lerit and Gavis, 2011), wun<sup>2004</sup> (Hanyu-Nakamura et al., 2004), Df(2R)w45-19g (Hanyu-Nakamura et al., 2004), wun<sup>Δn</sup>::wun<sup>2004</sup> (Renault et al., 2010), Gf<sub>1998</sub> (Izumi et al., 2004), UAS-G Fab (UAS-G Fab) (both Gao stocks were gifts from A. Tomlinson, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY; Kanae et al., 2005), and nulloGal4 (Kunwar et al., 2003), w<sup>1118</sup> and ovoD. All lines containing the tre1<sup>Δn</sup>-null allele are written as tre1<sup>-</sup>. Throughout the text, we refer to embryos derived from mutant females as “mutant embryos,” referring to the maternal genotype.

Because there is a paternal contribution to the tre1 phenotype due to early zygotic germ cell expression of the tre1 gene (Coffman et al., 2002), we depleted tre1 maternal and zygotic sources as indicated in Table S1. tre1 transgenic constructs use the nanos promoter, which is not zygotically active until late stages of embryogenesis. We did not observe any paternal rescue with the tre1<sup>−</sup> transgene and therefore did not account for the zygotic transmission of the respective tre1 transgenes. Crossing schemes for each figure are outlined in Table S1.

To generate embryos that lacked maternal wun and wun<sup>2</sup>, we used females carrying the dominant-negative wun<sup>2004</sup> allele (Hanyu-Nakamura et al., 2004; Renault et al., 2010) in trans to the wun, wun<sup>2</sup> deficiency Df(2R)w45-19g. This genetic combination recapitulates the phenotype of germ line clones homozygous for wun and wun<sup>2</sup> null mutations (Hanyu-Nakamura et al., 2004; Renault et al., 2010). To identify wun<sup>2</sup> zygotic (somatic) loss-of-function conditions in Fig. 4 and Fig. S4, genotypically mutant embryos were identified by lack of LacZ, expressed by balancer chromosome, with genotypes as shown in Table S1.

Gly1 germline clones were generated using the ovoD Flp-FRT technique. Gastrulation defects were rescued by expression of the Gly1 gene (UAS-Gly1) in somatic tissue using the nulloGal4 driver (Kunwar et al., 2003).

**Generation of mutant transgenes**

NRY and NPYIY domain mutations were generated in tre1 cDNA and inserted into vectors with and without a C-Terminal flag and Myc peptide. Germ cell–specific expression was driven by the nanos promoter, and translation was restricted to the germ plasm via the nosos 3′ UTR (Gavis and Lehmann, 1992, 1994; Van Doren et al., 1998). After manipulation, the tre1-nos3′UTR cDNA remained unchanged, with the exception of point mutations indicated in Fig. 1 A. The constructs were placed in the Valium 22 Drosophila vector for P2 site-specific integration using NdeI and EcoRI digest sites and Gibson cloning technique (Groth et al., 2004; Gibson et al., 2009; Ni et al., 2011). Primer sequences are described in Table S2.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Embryos were kept at 25°C and collected for 2 h and allowed to age to the appropriate stage. Visual landmarks, such as midgut morphology and degree of head involutions, were used to compare embryos at same stage (Seifert and Lehmann, 2012). The chorion was removed by incubation in 50% bleach for 3 min. Embryos were fixed in 4% methanol-free formaldehyde and heptane and hand devitellinized with 28-gauge 1/2 needles (BD) in PBS with 0.1% Trion X-100 and 1% BSA. Embryos were incubated overnight with primary antibody and then for 2 h with secondary antibody and mounted in VECTASHIELD (H1000; Vector Laboratories) for imaging. To visualize tre1 transgene protein distribution, stage 5 embryos were cut at the posterior and mounted such that the germ cells were all visible in one focal plane (Slaidina and Lehmann, 2017).

Gy1 germ line clones required fixation in methanol because of decreased embryo production. Embryos were collected and fixed in 4% formaldehyde and devitellinized with heptane and methanol (Sano et al., 2005). Embryos were then shaken with methanol, rinsed, and kept at –20°C in methanol until enough embryos were pooled for further processing. Embryos from the wunen misexpression experiments were also fixed using methanol. Subsequent staining procedure and antibodies were as described above.

The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-Vasa (1:5,000; Lehmann laboratory), mouse anti-Hindsight (1G9; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank; 1:50), mouse anti-Eya (eya10H6; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank; 1:20), mouse anti-Rho1 (p1D9; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank; 1:100), rat anti-DE-cadherin (DCAD2; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank; 1:50), mouse anti-Flag (F1804; Sigma-Aldrich; 1:200), and mouse anti-Sxl (M18; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank; 1:200). Secondary antibodies used included phallolidin–Alexa Fluor 633 (A22284; Invitrogen; 1:500), Alexa Fluor 555 anti–mouse (A21424; Invitrogen; 1:500), CY3 anti–mouse (715-165-151; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.; 1:500), CY3 anti–rat (712-165-150; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.; 1:500), Alexa Fluor 488 anti–rabbit (A11039; Thermo Fisher Scientific; 1:500), and anti-myc Alexa Fluor 555 conjugate (16225; EMD Millipore; 1:500).

**Image acquisition**

Embryos were mounted in VECTASHIELD, and all imaging was conducted at 25°C. Fixed fluorescent images were acquired using an LSM 780 confocal microscope (ZEISS) with an AxioCam camera (MRm Rev. 3 FireWire; ZEISS) using 20× (Plan Apochromat, air NA 0.8; ZEISS), 40× (Plan Apochromat, oil immersion, NA 1.4; ZEISS), and 63× (Plan Neofluor, oil immersion, NA 1.4; ZEISS) objectives, acquired using Zen black software (2012 version; ZEISS). Images were processed using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health).

**Localization assessment**

Stage 9 embryos, ~3.5 h after egg laying (2-h collection) at 25°C, were collected, fixed, and stained with Rh1 or E-cadherin antibody. Images were acquired at 63× magnification using the LSM 780 confocal microscope as described above. For cortical intensity measurements, mean intensities of five 72-pixel regions of the midgut and germ cell cortices were taken using ImageJ. The midgut signal intensity was used for normalizing the Rh1 or E-cadherin staining within each embryo. At least six embryos were analyzed per genotype. The mean wild-type cortical intensity was set to 1, and the rest of the intensities were normalized to the wild type mean (Kunwar et al., 2008).

Polarization localization measurements were done in ImageJ. A 10-µm-wide (approximately one cell diameter) region encompassing the full width of the germ cell cluster (35–50 µm) was measured (Fig. 3 G). Fluorescent intensity measures across the full region were measured. The fluorescent intensities were normalized to the mean intensity per embryo. The centers of individual plots of five embryos were aligned and histogram plotted (Fig. 3 G). Representative images are of a single Z plane. Heat maps of the Rh1 fluorescent intensity were generated using the ImageJ “Fire” lookup table.

**Maximum projections**

With the exception of localization figures, images are 3D projections of multiple Z stacks. Reconstructions were composed using the maxi-
mum-intensity 3D reconstruction function in ImageJ. Outlines of midgut (Fig. 6) and gonad were made using Illustrator (Adobe).

Overlay projections
The outlining mask of the midgut (Fig. 2) was made using Hindsight staining and phalloidin to determine cell outlines. This image outline was projected over the confocal image to indicate which germ cells were within the midgut confines. All processes were completed using ImageJ.

PGC labeling by PAGFP
Stage 5 embryos of wild type, wunen mutants, tre1sctt mutants with tre1w, NRY, and NPIw transgenes were analyzed. These also expressed mCherry::Vasa to visualize all germ cells and nanoGal4::VP16 driving UASp-PAGFP-aTub84B for photoactivation (for crosses, see Table S1). Embryos were dechorionated in 50% bleach for 2 min and mounted on a glass coverslip, dorsal side toward the coverslip, with heptane glue and covered with halocarbon oil (HC-700) to avoid desiccation. Photoactivation was performed on ZEISS LSM 780 confocal microscope using a 20x objective, NA 0.8, with a 512 x 512 scan area and 3x zoom. We photoactivated a region of interest (ROI) that encompasses a single cell using a 405-nm, 25-mW laser at ~75% power, pixel dwell of 1.6 μs, and 160 iterations. A range of one to four lateral-most cells were activated per embryo (Slaidina and Lehmann, 2017). After photoactivation, embryos were allowed to develop at 25°C in halocarbon oil on the coverslip and imaged at stage 12+. Activated cells in each embryo were scored as left or right side. For each genotype, at least 20 activated cells were scored. Embryos that were in the incorrect orientation, died, or did not contain at least one photoactivated cell were removed from analysis. Activated cells that remained in the center of the embryo were also not included in final analysis (see Table S3). Images were taken using minimal laser power to prevent photobleaching and cellular damage. For better visualization of germ cells, brightness was increased using ImageJ.

Two-photon live imaging
Embryos were collected at 25°C and dechorionated with 50% bleach for 3 min. Embryos were then mounted in halocarbon oil on an oxygen-permeable membrane (YSI Inc.) and covered with a 1.5-μm coverslip. Live imaging of germ cell migration was performed on an Ultima multiphoton system (Prairie Technologies) with an Olympus BX-51WI microscope equipped with a pulsed 4-W Ti:Sapphire Chameleon laser (Coherent) with a custom Olympus BX2 filter cube (bandpass emission filter ET510/50m-2p, bandpass emission filter ET575/50m-2p, dichroic T550LPXS) controlled by PrairieView software (Prairie Technologies). The objective used was 40x oil (U Plan Fluorite, oil, 1.3 NA; Olympus).

An ROI was used that encompassed the region of germ cell migration. Stacks were 3 μm apart and taken every 60 or 90 s if longer samples were imaged. Movies were composed using Imaris software (Imaris x64 version 7.7.2) with a frame rate of 10 frames/s. Stills were processed using the same Imaris software.

Protein expression analysis
Embryos were collected within 2 h of egg laying and allowed to age an additional 2 h, so that germ cells are fully formed. Embryos were dechorionated with 50% bleach, frozen in liquid nitrogen, manually homogenized in 1% SDS buffer with β-mercaptoethanol, and boiled for 20 min. Following separation of protein extracts on 4%–12% Bis-Tris gradient gel (NuPAGE NP0321; Thermo Fisher Scientific), proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (162-0174; Bio-Rad Laboratories). Membranes were probed with the following antisera: mouse anti-Flag (F1804; Sigma-Aldrich; 1:10,000) and mouse anti α-Tubulin (T5168; Sigma-Aldrich; 1:10,000). Membranes were developed with an HRP-conjugated secondary antibody and enhanced chemiluminescence detection system (34080; Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Statistical analysis
P-values were calculated using ANOVA with Tukey’s test for multiple comparisons. P-values and sample size are indicated on each figure. Each dot on the scatterplots represents an individual value, and all graphs display the mean and standard deviation. All graphs and statistical analyses were generated using Prism software (GraphPad Software).

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 illustrates expression levels and localization of tre1 wild-type and mutant transgenes. Fig. S2 depicts still images from Videos 1, 2, and 3 during transepithelial migration of wild type and mutants and quantification of migration defects apparent in live observation. Fig. S3 shows polarization measurements for E-cadherin in wild-type and domain mutant backgrounds as well as quantification of migration defects after GearGFP overexpression in germ cells. Fig. S4 summarizes a model for Wunen function in germ cell migration and death as well as quantification of Wunen-mediated germ cell death phenotypes in wild-type and tre1 domain mutant backgrounds. Table S1 summarizes genotypes of all crosses performed for each figure. Table S2 shows primers used to generate each construct. Table S3 provides complete analysis of in vivo photoactivation experiments. Videos 1, 2, and 3 are live imaging of germ cell migration in wild-type, tre1mut, and NPIw embryos, respectively.

Acknowledgments
We would like to thank Dr. Andrew Tomlinson, Dr. Clark Coffman, and the Bloomberg Drosophila Stock Center (National Institutes of Health grant P40OD018537) for fly stocks. The anti-Eye antibody (eyu10H6) developed by Dr. Benzer and Dr. Bonini, the anti- rho1 (p1D9) antibody developed by Dr. Parkhurst, anti-DE-cadherin (DCAD2) developed by Dr. Uemura, anti-Sxl (M18) developed by Dr. Schedl, and anti-Hindsight (1G9) developed by Dr. Lipshitz were obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, created by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development of the National Institutes of Health and maintained at the University of Iowa, Department of Biology. We would like to thank Michael Cammer from the New York University Medical Center microscopy core for imaging input, Alexey Soshnev for assistance with figures, Lionel Cristiaen for input, and Lehmann laboratory members for critical comments on the manuscript.

M.G. LeBlanc was a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellow. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (grant R37 HD49100 to R. Lehmann). R. Lehmann is a Howard Hughes Medical Institute Investigator.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Author contributions: M.G. LeBlanc and R. Lehmann designed the experiments. M.G. LeBlanc performed the experiments. M.G. LeBlanc and R. Lehmann wrote the manuscript.

Submitted: 9 December 2016
Revised: 28 April 2017
Accepted: 8 June 2017

References
Acharya, S., and S.S. Karnik. 1996. Modulation of GDP release from transducin by the conserved Gla134-Arg135 sequence in rhodopsin. J. Biol. Chem. 271:25406–25411. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.41.25406
Ara, T., Y. Nakamura, T. Egawa, T. Sugiyama, K. Abe, T. Kishimoto, Y. Matsui, and T. Nagasawa. 2003. Impaired colonization of the gonads by...
primordial germ cells in mice lacking a chemokine, stromal cell-derived factor-1 (SDF-1). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 100:5319–5323. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0730191100

Baeyens, A., V. Fang, C. Chen, and S.R. Schwab. 2015. Exit strategies: S1P signaling and T cell migration. Trends Immunol. 36:778–787. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ti.2015.10.005

Barton, L.J., M.G. LeBlanc, and R. Lehmann. 2016. Finding their way: themes in germ cell migration. Cell. 164:729–738. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2016.10.011

Borrot,Escuela, D.O., W. Romero-Fernandez, G. Garcia-Negredo, P.A. Correia, Kamps, A.R., M.M. Pruitt, J.C. Herriges, and C.R. Coffman. 2010. An X-linked gene required for migration of germline progenitor cells in mice lacking a chemokine, stromal cell-derived factor-1 (SDF-1). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 107:17657–17662. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1007387107

Bréart, B., W.D. Ramossquez, A. Mendosa, A.K. Salous, M. Gobert, Y. Huang, R.H. Adams, J.J. Lafaille, D. Escalante-Alcalde, A.J. Morris, and S.R. Schwab. 2011. Lipid phosphate phosphatase 3 enables efficient thymic egress. J. Exp. Med. 208:1267–1278. http://dx.doi.org/10.1084/jem.20102551

Broihier, H.T., L.A. Moore, M. Van Doren, S. Newman, and R. Lehmann. 1998. Zfh-1 is required for germ cell migration and gonadal mesoderm development in Drosophila. Development. 125:655–666.

Campos-Ortega, J.A., and V. Hartenstein. 1985. The Embryonic Development of Drosophila melanogaster. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, Germany.

Gibson, D.G., L. Young, R.-Y. Chuang, J.C. Venter, C.A. Hutchison III, and G. Fink, W. Zhou, and S.C. Sealfon. 1998. Rhodopsin-family receptors associate with small G proteins to activate phospholipase D. Nature. 392:411–418. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/367953a0

Molyneaux, K.A., H. Zinzen, P. Kunwar, K. Schaible, J. Stelber, M.J. Sunshine, W. O’Brien, E. Raz, D. Littman, C. Wylie, and R. Lehmann. 2003. The chemokine SDF1/CXCL12 and its receptor CXCR4 regulate mouse germ cell migration and survival. Development. 130:4279–4286. http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.061040

Mukherjee, A., R.A. Neher, and A.D. Renaut. 2013. Quantifying the range of a lipid phosphatase signal in vivo. J. Cell Sci. 126:5435–5446. http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jcs.136176

Murray, M.J., and R. Saint. 2007. Photoactivatable GFP resolves Drosophila mesoderm migration behaviour. Development. 134:3975–3983. http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.005389

Ni, J.-Q., R. Zhou, B. Czech, L.-F. Liu, L. Holderbaum, D. Yang-Zhou, H.-S. Shim, R. Tao, D. Handler, P. Karpowicz, et al. 2011. A genome-scale shRNA resource for transgenic RNAi in Drosophila. Nat. Methods. 8:405–407. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1592

Paksa, A., J. Bandemer, B. Hocekendorf, N. Razin, K. Tarbashevich, S. Minina, D. Meyen, A. Biundo, S.A. Leidel, N. Peyrieras, et al. 2016. Repulsive cues combined with physical barriers and cell-cell adhesion determine progenitor cell positioning during organogenesis. Nat. Commun. 7:11288. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11288

Patterson, G.H., and J. Lippincott-Schwartz. 2002. A photoactivatable GFP for selective photobleaching of cells and proteins. Science. 297:1873–1877. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1074952

Probst, W.C., L.A. Snyder, D.I. Schuster, J. Brosius, and S.C. Sealfon. 1992. Sequence alignment of the G-protein coupled receptor superfamily. DNA 11:1–20. http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/dna.1992.11.1

Pruitt, M.M., M.H. Lamm, and C.R. Coffman. 2013. Molecular dynamics simulations on the Tre1 G-protein coupled receptor: exploring the role of the arginine of the NRY motif in Tre1 structure. J. Mol. Biol. 435:623–630. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2012.11.022

Rasmussen, S.G., B.T. DeVree, Y. Zou, A.C. Kruse, K.Y. Chang, T.S. Kobikila, P.S. Thian, P.S. Chae, E. Hart, D. Calinski, et al. 2011. Crystal structure of the β2 adrenergic receptor-Gs protein complex. Nature. 477:549–555. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10361

Renault, A.D., Y.J. Sigal, A.J. Morris, and R. Lehmann. 2004. Soma-germ line competition for lipid phosphate uptake regulates germ cell migration and survival. Science. 305:1963–1966. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1042421

Renaut, A.D., P.S. Kunwar, and R. Lehmann. 2010. Lipid phosphate phosphatase activity regulates dispersal and bilateral positioning of embryonic germ cells in Drosophila. Development. 137:1815–1823. http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.046110

LeBlanc, M.G. 2016. GPCR signaling in migration: an in vivo perspective from Drosophila germ cells. PhD thesis. New York University, New York.
Richardson, B.E., and R. Lehmann. 2010. Mechanisms guiding primordial germ cell migration: strategies from different organisms. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 11:37–49. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2815

Sano, H., A.D. Renault, and R. Lehmann. 2005. Control of lateral migration and germ cell elimination by the *Drosophila melanogaster* lipid phosphate phosphatases Wunen and Wunen 2. *J. Cell Biol.* 171:675–683. http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200506038

Schne, A., F. Fanelli, T. Costa, P.G. De Benedetti, and S. Coteccia. 1996. Constitutively active mutants of the α1B-adrenergic receptor: role of highly conserved polar amino acids in receptor activation. *EMBO J.* 15:3566–3578.

Schwabe, T., R.J. Bainton, R.D. Fetter, U. Heberlein, and U. Gaul. 2005. GPCR signaling is required for blood-brain barrier formation in *Drosophila*. *Cell.* 123:133–144. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.08.037

Schwartz, T.W., and T.P. Sakmar. 2011. Structural biology: snapshot of a signalling complex. *Nature.* 477:540–541. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10107

Yoshiura, S., N. Ohta, and F. Matsuzaki. 2012. Tre1 GPCR signaling orients stem cell divisions in the *Drosophila* central nervous system. *Dev. Cell.* 22:79–91. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2011.10.027

Yuan, S., S. Filipek, K. Palczewski, and H. Vogel. 2014. Activation of G-protein-coupled receptors correlates with the formation of a continuous internal water pathway. *Nat. Commun.* 5:4733. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5733

Zhang, N., J. Zhang, Y. Cheng, and K. Howard. 1996. Identification and genetic analysis of wunen, a gene guiding *Drosophila melanogaster* germ cell migration. *Genetics.* 143:1231–1241.

Zhu, S.Z., S.Z. Wang, J. Hu, and E.E. el-Fakahany. 1994. An arginine residue conserved in most G protein-coupled receptors is essential for the function of the m1 muscarinic receptor. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 45:517–523.