Introduction

The development of imaging reporter genes is of great importance to the field of molecular imaging, as their encoded proteins can permit longitudinal non-invasive imaging of biological processes at the cellular and subcellular level.

One of these imaging reporter genes encodes for the well-characterized human sodium iodide symporter (hNIS) [1]. hNIS is situated in the basolateral membrane of thyroid follicular cells, as well as other tissues such as the stomach mucosa, salivary glands and the lactating mammary gland. hNIS is an intrinsic plasma membrane glycoprotein with 13 transmembrane domains that mediates the first step in the formation of thyroid hormones by the transport of iodide into the thyroid follicular cells against a concentration gradient [1]. Furthermore, hNIS is able to transport radioactive forms of iodide, as well as other anions such as technetium pertechnetate ($^{99m}$TcO$_4^−$) [2]. Due to its relatively low endogenous expression in extrathyroidal tissues, the wide availability of FDA-approved radioactive probes and the experience with hNIS imaging, hNIS satisfies most of the criteria to be a suitable reporter gene for imaging purposes [3]. Furthermore, hNIS is a human protein and its use as an imaging reporter gene will therefore not evoke immunological responses [4].

Exogenous expression of hNIS can be applied for non-invasive and nuclear imaging of grafted cells. This can lead to a better understanding of the fate of engrafted cells over time in a non-invasive manner. Here, we optimized multimodal imaging (small-animal PET, Cerenkov luminescence imaging (CLI) and bioluminescence imaging (BLI)) of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), by means of the human sodium iodide symporter (hNIS) and firefly luciferase (Fluc) as reporters.
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**Purpose:** The use of stably integrated reporter gene imaging provides a manner to monitor the in vivo fate of engrafted cells over time in a non-invasive manner. Here, we optimized multimodal imaging (small-animal PET, Cerenkov luminescence imaging (CLI) and bioluminescence imaging (BLI)) of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), by means of the human sodium iodide symporter (hNIS) and firefly luciferase (Fluc) as reporters.

**Methods:** First, two multicistronic lentiviral vectors (LV) were generated for multimodal imaging: BLI, $^{124}$I PET/SPECT and CLI. Expression of the imaging reporter genes was validated in vitro using $^{99m}$TcO$_4^−$ radioligand uptake experiments and BLI. Uptake kinetics, specificity and tracer elution were determined as well as the effect of the transduction process on the cell’s differentiation capacity. MSCs expressing the LV were injected intravenously or subcutaneously and imaged using small-animal PET, CLI and BLI.

**Results:** The expression of both imaging reporter genes was functional and specific. An elution of $^{99m}$TcO$_4^−$ from the cells was observed, with 31% retention after 3 h. After labeling cells with $^{124}$I in vitro, a significantly higher CLI signal was noted in hNIS expressing murine MSCs. Furthermore, it was possible to visualize cells injected intravenously using BLI or subcutaneously in mice, using $^{124}$I small-animal PET, CLI and BLI.

**Conclusions:** This study identifies hNIS as a suitable reporter gene for molecular imaging with PET and CLI, as confirmed with BLI through the expression of Fluc. It supports the potential for a wider application of hNIS reporter gene imaging and future clinical applications.
understanding of stem cell homing, and assist in further applying and developing stem cell-based therapies.

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are non-hematopoietic multipotent stem cells with an innate ability to differentiate towards multiple mesenchymal lineages [5,6]. These cells appear to be good candidates for clinical use; they can be expanded easily in vitro and lack immunogenicity [7]. MSCs also possess immune modulating properties, through the inhibition of immune cell function and proliferation, and their use as immunomodulators is being explored clinically [8]. Besides their role in tissue regeneration, MSCs have significant trophic effects on endogenous (stem) cells [9]. Furthermore, they have also been proven to migrate towards multiple tumors in vivo, which renders them good candidates as delivery vehicles for antitumor therapy [10–12].

Because of these characteristics, the interest in MSCs for clinical applications has increased over the past years. As such, MSCs have been used in several clinical phase I and phase II studies concerning acute myocardial infarction [13], but the most promising results have been obtained in human graft-versus-host disease and allograft rejection studies [14–18].

To further improve stem cell-based treatments, cellular homing as well as survival after engraftment needs to be studied in greater detail. Imaging reporter genes can play an important role in the non-invasive longitudinal follow-up of grafted cells. In the present work, we optimized lentiviral vector (LV) transduction of murine MSCs, inducing the expression of the imaging reporter genes firefly luciferase (Fluc) for bioluminescence imaging and hNIS for emission tomography (PET/SPECT) and Cerenkov luminescence imaging (CLI).

CLI uses Cerenkov radiation for molecular imaging. Cerenkov radiation is an electromagnetic radiation emitted when a charged particle travels at a speed beyond the speed of light in a dielectric medium. The upper limit on speed (c) is the speed of light in a vacuum, but in a particular medium the speed of light is a fraction of c (in water \( \approx 0.75 \)), and positrons from PET isotopes can be emitted at speeds higher than these values. The charged particle travels through the medium and thereby temporarily displaces the electrons in the medium. While returning to their ground state, the electrons will emit visible light photons that can be detected using a BLI system [19,20]. It is thus possible to image certain PET

Table 1. Vector constructs used for gene transfer.

| Vector type | Expression cassette | Cell type |
|-------------|---------------------|-----------|
| LV          | LV_hNIS             | HEK293T   |
| LV_eGFP     |                     |           |
| Bicistronic LV | LV_hNIS-T2A-Fluc   | HEK293T   |
| LV_hNIS-T2A-eGFP |                |           |
| LV_Fluc-T2A-eGFP |                    |           |
| Multicistronic LV | LV_hEF1α-3flagFluc-T2A-hNIS-IRES-PuroR | MSCs |
| LV_EF1α-3flagFluc-IRES-PuroR |              |           |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0094833.t001

Figure 1. Diagram illustrating the steps involved in this study. Overview of the lentiviral vector constructs used to induce reporter gene expression and the experiments performed with these respective vectors. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0094833.g001
radiouclide via an optical system with high sensitivity and short scanning times.

Here, the longitudinal expression of these imaging reporter genes was evaluated both in vitro and in vivo.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell culture**

HEK293T cells were used for testing the functionality of the different vectors described below. Cells were cultured under normal oxygen conditions in a 5% CO₂ humidified incubator at 37°C. Growth medium consisted of Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium (DMEM, Gibco, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Lonza BioWhittaker, Basel, Switzerland) and 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin (Gibco).

Murine MSCs from C57Bl/6 mice were obtained from the lab of Prof. dr. D Prockop, Tulane University, USA [21]. Cells were cultured in a 5% CO₂ humidified incubator under normoxic conditions at 37°C in growth medium containing Iscove’s Modified Dulbecco’s Medium (IMDM, Gibco), 10% FBS, 10% horse serum (Biochrom, Berlin, Germany), 1% L-glutamine (Gibco) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco).

**Lentiviral vector optimization and transduction**

For the optimization of the final multicistronic LV construct, different vector types and constructs were generated and tested. (for an overview see Table 1 and Fig. 1). LV constructs carrying a cytomegalovirus immediate early (CMVie) promoter driving hNIS or enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) as a control (LV_hNIS and LV_eGFP, respectively) were used at first in HEK293T cells as a proof-of-principle to demonstrate hNIS functionality. Subsequently, bicistronic LV were generated, combining hNIS with alternative imaging reporter modules where a CMVie promoter drives hNIS and Firefly luciferase (Fluc, for bioluminescence imaging) or hNIS and eGFP (fluorescence) coupled by a T2A-hNIS and LV_eGFP (Gibco). These LV were referred to as Fluc expressing MSCs.

Finally, a multicistronic LV carrying the hEF1α promoter to drive triple flag tagged firefly luciferase (3flagFluc), a T2A sequence, the human sodium iodide symporter (hNIS), an internal ribosomal entry site (IRES), and a puromycin resistance gene (PuroR), LV_hEF1α-3flagFluc-T2A-hNIS-IRES-PuroR was tested. As a control, the same vector lacking hNIS was used, LV_hEF1α-3flagFluc-T2A-IRES-PuroR. For the sake of clarity, MSCs transduced with the LV_hEF1α-3flagFluc-T2A-hNIS-IRES-PuroR will be referred to as Fluc-hNIS expressing MSCs. MSCs transduced with the EF1α-3flagFluc-IRES-Puro LV will be referred to as Fluc expressing MSCs.

To create stable cell lines, cells were seeded into medium containing serial dilutions of LV and cells were incubated for 48 hours. For the selection of transduced cells, 2 μg/mL puromycin (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) was added to the growth medium, and cells were maintained under this condition.

**In vitro radiotracers uptake experiments**

Cells were plated in triplicate in 24-well plates at a density of 10⁵ cells per well in normal growth medium, and kept under standard incubation conditions. After 24 hours, cells were washed with PBS and incubated with 250 μl of pertechnetate (99mTcO₄⁻) tracer solution (0.74 Mbq/mL in DMEM; Gibco) for different periods (n = 3). All in vitro data are shown as decay-corrected values.

After incubation, cells were washed 3 times with phosphate buffered saline (PBS; Gibco), and tracer concentration in the cell fraction was measured using a gamma counter (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Uptake values were corrected for the cell number in the according samples, as measured using a nucleocounter system (Chemometec, Allerod, Denmark).

The elution of 99mTcO₄⁻ initially taken up by the cells was measured by incubating the cells for one hour with 99mTcO₄⁻ (0.74 Mbq/mL), washing the cells and incubating them on tracer-free DMEM for varying periods. The activity in the cells, the supernatant and the elution medium was measured and elution rates were calculated (n = 3).
A blocking experiment was performed with sodium perchlorate (NaClO₄) using three different concentrations solved in DMEM: 10, 25 and 50 μM. The cells were incubated with the blocking solutions containing the tracer (0.74 MBq/mL ⁹⁹mTcO₄⁻ in DMEM + NaClO₄) and activity in supernatant and cells was measured using a gamma counter (n = 3).

**Immunocytochemistry**

To further confirm hNIS and 3flag expression in MSCs transduced with the LV_EF1α-3flagFluc-T2A-hNIS-IRES-PuroR and the LV_EF1α-3flagFluc-IRES-PuroR, immunofluorescent stainings were performed. Cells were fixed using unifix for 20 min at 4°C, permeabilized with 0.05% Triton for 30 min at room temperature (only in case of 3flag staining) and blocked with 10% normal donkey serum (Millipore) for 20 min at room temperature. Cells were then incubated for two hours at room temperature with the primary antibody diluted in PBS (hNIS 1/20 and flag 1/1000). Fluorescently labeled secondary antibody (1:500, Alexa Fluor donkey anti-rabbit 488 or donkey anti-mouse 555, Invitrogen) was incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Nuclei were counterstained using DAPI and sections were mounted using anti-fade mounting medium (Dako). Images were acquired using a Nikon Eclipse 80 i Fluorescence microscope equipped with a Nikon DS-2 MB Wc digital camera (Nikon Tokyo, Japan). Stainings in which primary antibodies were omitted, were used as a negative control.
MSC differentiations

For adipogenic differentiations, cells were plated at a density of 10400 cells/cm² in differentiation medium, containing MEM (Gibco), 10% FBS, 100 units of penicillin and 1000 units of streptomycin, 1 μM dexamethasone, 10 μg/mL human insulin, 100 μM indomethacin and 25 μM methyl-isobutylxanthine (all from Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). Medium was changed twice a week for 14 days (n = 3).

After 14 days, cells were rinsed with PBS, fixed using unifix (Klinipath, Duiven, The Netherlands) for 20 minutes and stained with fresh Oil red O solution (Sigma-Aldrich) for 10 minutes. Images were taken using an inverted Zeiss axiovert microscope (Hertfordshire, UK). For quantification of the lipid droplet staining, the dye was extracted from the cells using 100% ethanol and aliquots of 200 μL were transferred to a 96-well plate in triplicate. Absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a Victor 1420 plate reader (Perkin Elmer).

To assess the effect on osteogenic differentiation capacity, MSCs were seeded at 8400 cells/cm² in normal growth medium and allowed to become confluent for 48 h before adding osteogenic differentiation medium. Differentiation medium contained DMEM with sodium pyruvate, 10% FBS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 100 nM dexamethasone, 10 mM glycerol-2-phosphate disodium salt hydrate (bGP). Medium was changed twice a week for 3 weeks (n = 3).

At day 21, cells were rinsed with PBS, fixed with ice-cold 70% ethanol for 1 h and stained with Alizarin red S solution (Sigma) for 30 minutes. Images were taken using an inverted Zeiss axiovert microscope. Afterwards, the dye was extracted from the cells using a 10% cetylpiridinium chloride solution for 1 h. Aliquots of 200 μL were transferred to a 96-well plate in triplicate, and absorbance was measured at 560 nm.

For chondrogenic differentiation, micromasses were generated by seeding 20 μL droplets of cell suspension, each containing 200,000 cells, into separate wells of a 24-well plate. After attachment for 3 hours expansion medium was added. The next day, chondrogenic differentiation medium was added containing DMEM/F12, 2.5% FBS, 100 nM dexamethasone, 1X ITS+, 50 μg/mL AA-P, 10 ng/mL Transforming Growth Factor-β (TGF-β), 10 μM ROCK inhibitor and 40 μg/mL L-proline. Every 2 days, medium was changed for 21 days (n = 3).

At day 21, cells were fixed using unifix and stained overnight with Alcian Blue. The dye was extracted using 6M guanidine HCl. The optical density of the extracted dye was measured at 595 nm in triplicates.
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Animal preparations

The protocols used in this study were approved by the Ethical Committee of the KU Leuven (Permit Number: P145-2010).

For the intravenous injections, animals were anesthetized with 2% isoflurane (Isolurane ISP®, Rothacher, Basel, Switzerland) in 100% oxygen, at a flow rate of 2 L/minute. Different cell numbers of MSCs expressing Fluc-hNIS ranging from 10,000 to 1,000,000 were injected in the tail vein of healthy C57BL/6 mice, and 30 minutes after cell injections BLI was performed (n = 3).

For xenografts, both Fluc expressing MSCs (as a control), and Fluc-hNIS expressing MSCs were injected subcutaneously in anesthetized nude athymic mice (nu/nu) (n = 3). Fluc expressing MSCs were injected on the left side of the body, whereas Fluc-hNIS expressing cells were injected on the right side of the body. Each mouse was injected with two different cell numbers per cell line: 10,000 and 1,000,000 mixed with matrigel in a 1:1 ratio (BD biosciences, New Jersey, USA).

Small-animal PET

The imaging of xenografts was performed on day 2 after xenograft generation with 11 MBq of 124I (Perkin Elmer) per mouse injected intravenously, with dynamic acquisitions of 90 minutes (n = 3) using a Focus 220 small-animal PET system (Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Knoxville, TN). Images were reconstructed with a maximum a posteriori (MAP) image reconstruction algorithm, and analyzed in PMOD 3.0 (PMOD technologies, Zürich, Switzerland). Images were converted to standardized uptake value (SUV) according to the standard formula: SUV = Activity concentration in organ/[injected activity/animal weight].

A manually delineated volume of interest (VOI) was positioned on the dynamic images over the Fluc-hNIS-expressing xenografts, the control Fluc-expressing xenografts, and muscle tissue and the brain as background tissues to generate time activity curves. Ratios were calculated, comparing the signal in the Fluc-hNIS expressing xenograft with background signals from control Fluc-expressing xenografts, muscle tissue and brain.

Cerenkov luminescence imaging

For the in vitro CL1, cells were plated in triplicate in 24-well plates at a density of 1×10^5 cells per well in normal growth medium, and kept under standard incubation conditions. After 24 hours, cells were washed with PBS and incubated with 250 μL of tracer solution (0.74 MBq/mL; 124I in DMEM; Gibco) for one hour. Cells were washed 3 times with PBS, and placed in the BLI chamber for the acquisition of 1 minute scans.

The in vitro CLI, scans on xenografts were performed daily after performing the 124I small-animal PET scans. CLI protocols were executed as follows: animals were anesthetized using isoflurane in 100% oxygen, at a flow rate of 2 L/minute and positioned in the BLI chamber without prior injection of D-luciferin. Images were acquired using an IVIS 100 system (Perkin Elmer) and CLI acquisition was done by acquiring one minute frames. The data are reported as total photon flux (p/s) from a circular region of interest (ROI). For all animals, first a daily CLI scan was performed to measure the 124I distribution, and thereafter D-luciferin was injected to measure the BLI signal intensity.

Bioluminescence imaging

For the in vitro BLI, cells were plated in triplicate in 24-well plates at a density of 1×10^5 cells per well in normal growth medium, and kept under standard incubation conditions. After 24 hours, cells were washed with PBS and incubated with 250 μL of D-luciferin (0.3 μg/mL; Promega, Benelux, Leiden, The Netherlands). Cells were placed in the BLI chamber immediately for the acquisition of 1 minute scans.

Animals were anesthetized with 2% isoflurane in 100% oxygen, at a flow rate of 2 L/minute, after which D-luciferin, dissolved in PBS (15 mg/mL), was injected intravenously (126 mg/kg body weight). Images were acquired using an IVIS 100 system (Perkin Elmer). Consecutive 1 minute frames were acquired until the maximum signal intensity was reached. Each frame depicts the bioluminescence signal intensity as a pseudocolor image superimposed on the gray-scale photographic image. The data are reported as total photon flux (p/s) from a circular region of interest (ROI). BLI signal intensity was monitored over 8 days after xenograft generation.

For the quantification of BLI data in the mouse xenograft model, values from according ROIs measured with CLI were subtracted from the raw BLI ROI values to obtain specific BLI signal intensities.

Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). For the uptake experiments using the unicistronic, bicistronic and multicistronic vector after puromycin selection, two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) statistical tests were performed with Bonferroni post-hoc tests. P-values<0.05 were considered statistically significant. BLI and CLI data were tested using an unpaired two-sided t-test after log transformation. Differentiation analysis was performed using a one-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc tests. The in vitro BLI data after intravenous
injections were tested for Gaussian distribution using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and the Pearson’s R coefficient was calculated as a measure of linear correlation. The in vivo xenograft BLI data were evaluated using an unpaired t-test.

Data were processed using GraphPad Prism version 5.00 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California, USA).

Results

hNIS is an efficient PET reporter in cell culture

Different LV vector types (Table 1, Fig. 1) were tested in HEK293T cells for the optimization of the imaging reporter gene expression. Stably hNIS expressing cells or control cells were incubated with $^{99m}$TcO$_4^-$. All transfections and transductions (unicistronic LV and bicistronic LV, Fig. 2a,b) resulted in a 100-fold to 300-fold higher uptake of tracer in cells expressing the hNIS gene, compared to the controls (unicistronic LV: p<0.001; bicistronic LV: p<0.0001).

EF1α as most potent promoter in MSC

The optimal promoter allowing the highest expression in MSCs was assessed by comparing transduction of MSCs with LVs encoding eGFP driven by human promoters (EF1α and CypA), and viral promoters (CMVie, SFFV) (Fig. 3a,b). eGFP fluorescence was monitored with FACS, and there was a significantly higher expression level in cells transduced with the EF1α promoter, both without (Fig. 3a) and with sorting (Fig. 3b) of the 5 percent highest expressing cells (p<0.0001).

Puromycin selection increases reporter gene expression

Cells transduced with the multicistronic LV constructs using the EF1α promoter were either kept on normal growth medium or on growth medium with puromycin. To determine the effect of puromycin selection of the expression of the imaging reporter genes, the uptake of $^{99m}$TcO$_4^-$ was assessed in both selected and non-selected cells (Fig. 3c). A significantly higher uptake of the radioligand was observed in Fluc-hNIS expressing MSCs upon selection with puromycin (p<0.0001), compared to nonselected and Fluc expressing MSCs.
Validation of hNIS expression in MSCs

MSCs were transduced with the multicistronic LV, and the uptake kinetics of $^{99m}$TcO$_4^-$ were assessed. Untransduced MSCs and Fluc expressing MSCs were included as a negative control. Decay-corrected uptake data (Fig. 4a) showed a strong increase in uptake within the first 30 minutes of incubation in Fluc-hNIS expressing MSCs, which was followed by a state of equilibrium between the concentration inside and outside of the cells. A significantly higher uptake (75 and 120 fold increase) was observed in Fluc-hNIS expressing MSCs compared to either Fluc expressing MSCs or wild type MSCs ($p < 0.001$).

The elution of the tracer from the cells after initial uptake was assessed in Fluc-hNIS expressing MSCs (Fig. 4b). After labeling, cells were incubated in tracer-free medium and the relative elution was calculated. A two-phased elution was observed, with a substantial tracer elution occurring within 30 minutes, followed by a slow elution of the tracer from the cells ($p < 0.001$). After 3 hours, 31%±1.3% of the initially bound tracer was still present within the cells.

To determine the specificity of the uptake, the hNIS inhibitor NaClO$_4$ was used (Fig. 4c). Cells were incubated with the tracer solved in the blocking solution and the uptake of $^{99m}$TcO$_4^-$ was measured. A significant decrease in the uptake of the tracer could be observed ($p < 0.01$) after the administration of the blocker. Furthermore, the uptake of $^{99m}$TcO$_4^-$ decreased with increasing concentrations of NaClO$_4$ ($p < 0.01$). A decrease of 95%, 97.5% and 98.6% was observed with concentrations of 10, 20 and 50 μM of NaClO$_4$, respectively. Data are represented as relative values compared to incubation without perchlorate.

The expression of the imaging reporter genes was confirmed by fluorescence immunocytochemistry. Fluc-hNIS expressing MSCs and Fluc expressing MSCs were stained with primary antibodies against hNIS and 3flag, and the expression of both reporter genes was observed (Fig. 4d,e,g). Negative controls did not show an aspecific staining or background signal (Fig. 4f,h).

In vitro BLI and CLI

In vitro BLI was performed to determine the expression of Fluc in the cells after transduction with both the Fluc-hNIS LV and the Fluc LV (Fig. 5a). Fluxes (in p/s) of log 5.63±0.42 and 5.49±0.9 were measured for the Fluc-hNIS and Fluc expressing cells, respectively. Hence, there was no significant difference in Fluc expression between both cell lines.

Both cell lines were also incubated with I$^{124}$ and in vitro CLI was performed (Fig. 5b). A significant difference was observed between the fluxes of both cell lines ($p < 0.0001$), with noted log values of 4.05±0.02 for the Fluc-hNIS expressing cells, and 3.15±0.06 for the Fluc expressing cells.

MSC differentiations

Fluc-hNIS expressing MSCs, Fluc expressing MSCs and wild type MSCs were differentiated towards the adipogenic, chondrogenic and osteogenic lineage (Fig. 6).

Quantification of the extracted oil red O dye resulted in following absorbance values (measured at 490 nm): 0.12, 0.17 and 0.19 for the Fluc-hNIS expressing MSCs, Fluc expressing MSCs or wild type MSCs, respectively, resulting in a respective difference of 35.3% and 7.4% compared to wild type MSCs (Fig. 6a,d,g,j). A significant difference between cells transduced with the Fluc-hNIS expressing MSCs and the two other cell lines was observed ($p < 0.01$).

Following osteogenic differentiation, quantification of matrix mineralization resulted in following values after measuring the
absorption of the samples at 560 nm: 2.49, 2.48 and 2.45 for the Fluc-hNIS expressing MSCs, Fluc expressing MSCs or wild type MSCs, respectively (Fig. 6b,e,h,k). Hence, no statistical difference was obtained ($p > 0.05$).

Alcian blue staining following chondrogenic differentiation was quantified by dye extraction and measuring the absorption at 595 nm. The following values were obtained: 0.082, 0.072 and 0.074 for Fluc-hNIS expressing MSCs, Fluc expressing MSCs or wild type MSCs, respectively (Fig. 6c,f,i,l). A statistically significant increase in alcian blue dye incorporation within the chondrogenic micromasses was observed in the Fluc-hNIS expressing MSCs ($p < 0.05$).

**Imaging of intravenously injected MSCs using BLI**

Different numbers of cells, ranging from 10,000 to 1,000,000, were injected in the tail vein of healthy C57BL/6 mice, and 30 minutes after cell injection, either BLI or small-animal PET was performed as a proof-of-principle for cell visualization. Using BLI, a robust signal could be obtained in the lungs. The signal intensity in the lungs increased when increasing cell amounts were injected intravenously. A significant correlation between injected amount of cells and total photon flux was obtained ($p < 0.01$) with an $R^2$ value of 0.97 (Fig. 7 a,b).

**Imaging of MSC xenografts using BLI, $^{124}$I small-animal PET and CLI**

MSCs expressing Fluc-hNIS or Fluc were engrafted subcutaneously in nude mice ($n = 3$), and imaged non-invasively using BLI, Cherenkov imaging and $^{124}$I small-animal PET (Fig. 8). On day 2 after cell injection, a $^{124}$I small-animal PET scan was performed to visualize the engrafted cells expressing Fluc-hNIS. A clear focus of increased tracer concentration was observed at the site where the 1,000,000 MSCs expressing Fluc-hNIS were injected. The xenograft resulting from the 10,000 cells could not be detected on the small-animal PET images (Fig. 8d).

The xenograft to background ratio was 8 when comparing the Fluc-hNIS expressing xenograft with muscle tissue. Five times more radiotracer uptake was seen compared to the control xenograft of 1,000,000 cells expressing Fluc. The brain showed 3 times less accumulation of the tracer compared to the Fluc-hNIS expressing xenograft (Fig. 8a).
The BLI signal in xenografts derived from 1,000,000 cells was significantly higher than in xenografts derived from 10,000 cells in both MSC conditions (p<0.001). However, robust and specific BLI signals could be obtained in all conditions over time, with no decrease in signal being perceived in the monitored time span of 8 days (Fig. 8b,c). The BLI signals coming from the Fluc-hNIS expressing MSCs and the Fluc expressing MSCs were within the same range.

Two days after xenograft injection, 124I small-animal PET was performed. In addition, 124I accumulation was monitored over time using CLI for 8 days. (Fig. 8c,f). Accumulation of 124I was detected in the thyroid, in the stomach and in the Flu-hNIS expressing xenograft situated on the right side of the body (red circle). No signal was observed emanating from the bladder.

The Cherenkov signal detected in the xenograft expressing Fluc-hNIS with 1,000,000 cells persisted over the 8 days of measurements, decreasing according to the radioactive decay time of 124I (T1/2: 4.2 days) as shown after an exponential fit with an R² value of 0.63, yielding a half-life of 4.4 days.

Discussion

The emergence of stem cell therapies in regenerative medicine urges the development of methodology for non-invasive tracking of engrafted cells. The ability to image MSCs would be beneficial as they hold clinical promise both due to their differentiation capacity as well as their possibility to exert immune modulation [5–7].

Stem cells expressing PET and SPECT imaging reporter genes can be visualized longitudinally in vivo using nuclear medicine imaging techniques. In this context, hNIS has been used already in several studies both in the context of diagnosis and therapy (for review [24]).

Tracking stem cells using imaging reporter genes requires the insertion of a functionally active transgene into the stem cells to obtain a functionally active reporter protein. LV are good candidates because the genes of interest are introduced into the genomic DNA of the host cells, and both dividing and non-dividing cells can be targeted [25].

For optimal expression of our reporter genes, we first optimized the transduction process of the MSCs as well as the promoter to drive the final construct. Here, the EF1α promoter resulted in the highest expression of eGFP, and was therefore chosen to drive our reporter construct. The optimization of a promoter for transduction has been shown to be of great importance. Other groups have also determined the optimal promoter for several purposes, and in different cell types the EF1α promoter proved to be a potent promoter with long-term expression on a high level outperforming other commonly used promoters [26–31].

The introduction of genes into the host cells’ genetic material can result in adverse effects resulting in the disruption of biological processes. We evaluated the effect of the lentiviral transduction process on MSCs. Although some statistically significant differences were observed, the biological relevance is likely nil. Therefore, we can conclude that lentiviral transduction with the presently used imaging reporter gene constructs does not hamper the differentiation capacity of the MSCs. Terrovitis et al have also shown that ectopic expression of hNIS using lentiviral vectors does not interfere with cell viability and function in cardiac-derived stem cells [32]. This was also shown by Hu et al, who showed that adenoviral vector transduction of the hNIS and rNIS gene had no adverse effects on MSCs [33].

We demonstrated that hNIS and Fluc expression is functional both in vitro and in vivo. In vitro, a robust radiotracer uptake of 99mTcO₄⁻ was obtained with a steady state already at 30 minutes of incubation. The uptake was 75 or 120 times higher compared to control cells. Furthermore, NaClO₄, an inhibitor of hNIS, was able to block the uptake of 99mTcO₄⁻ in a dose-dependent manner.

However, a substantial elution from the cells was observed after labeling, with 31%±1.29% of the tracer being retained within the cells after 3 hours. This might be due to the initial strong concentration gradient that is generated while putting the cells containing the tracer on tracer-free medium, leading to a rapid washout of tracer molecules from the cells back into the medium, until the gradient between the cells and the new supernatant reaches a state of equilibrium. Furthermore, no organification of the 99mTcO₄⁻ occurs after entry into the cells, as is the case in thyroidal tissue, and therefore no trapping of the molecules takes place. Nevertheless, 31% of the initially bound tracer molecules remained trapped within the cells after 3 hours.

As a proof-of-principle, Fluc-hNIS expressing MSCs were injected into the tail vein of healthy mice, and BLI signals in the lungs were measured. Due to the first pass mechanism, cells injected intravenously will first encounter the pulmonary capillary bed and will transiently reside in the lung (pre)capillary bed. The BLI signal correlated with the amount of cells injected, allowing non-invasive detection of the cells.

Finally, long-term non-invasive multimodality imaging was performed. For this purpose, 10,000 or 1,000,000 MSCs expressing Fluc or Fluc-hNIS were injected subcutaneously on the left flank and the right flank, respectively. All xenografts could be visualized using BLI, and monitored over time with a robust signal in all conditions. On day 2 after engraftment of the MSCs, 124I small-animal PET was performed, and the xenograft of 1,000,000 Fluc-hNIS expressing MSCs was clearly visible. The injection site of 10,000 cells was not visible because of the partial volume effect and the relatively higher background activity resulting from hNIS expressing organs and circulating tracer.

The accumulation of 124I was also monitored using CLI. These scans confirmed the information that was obtained with small-animal PET, because the Cherenkov signal detected in the xenograft expressing Fluc-hNIS after injection of 1,000,000 cells persisted over the 8 days of measurement, decreasing according to the radioactive decay time of 124I (T1/2: 4.2 days) as shown by an exponential fit with an R² value of 0.63, yielding a half-life of 4.4 days. From these data we can conclude that the 124I is trapped within the cells, and can be visualized using CLI. Besides the xenograft, also the stomach and the thyroid accumulated 124I, due to the endogenous hNIS expression in these tissues [1].

From these data we can conclude that imaging reporter genes are a suitable tool for the non-invasive visualization of stem cells after in vivo administration. Combining multiple imaging reporter genes in the same construct enables us to perform multimodality imaging to confirm the obtained data from one single imaging modality. hNIS was used as an imaging reporter gene together with Fluc. Using Fluc, longitudinal BLI can be performed as a traditional optical imaging modality. BLI features a very high sensitivity, almost no background signal, short scanning time, and thus high throughput imaging.

hNIS is a human reporter gene reducing the chances of immune responses against the reporter gene product. Furthermore, the expression of hNIS is restricted to a limited number of tissues, implying a relatively low background signal. hNIS can be used for both therapeutic and diagnostic purposes. Imaging can be performed with tracers for gamma cameras (99mTcO₄⁻), which is widely available in every nuclear medicine department worldwide. The physical advantages of a PET camera can also...
be used as we have shown by using $^{124}$I. Furthermore, the gene can be used for therapeutic applications through the use of $^{124}$I.

When using multimodality imaging, it is also necessary to consider both the advantages and the disadvantages of each imaging modality. Optical imaging devices can be used for several purposes such as fluorescence, bioluminescence and more recently Cerenkov radiation. Here, CLI and BLI were used for cell tracking, and higher signal intensity could clearly be seen in BLI compared to CLI. Furthermore, BLI results in a very high signal to noise ratio due to the low background signals. In contrast, CLI images are noisy but CLI is a more translational optical imaging system than BLI, because it is using tracer molecules that are often already used in a clinical setting. This is particularly the case in preclinical imaging, as not every institute is equipped with costly dedicated small-animal nuclear imaging instruments.

For the imaging of radioactive tracer molecules, both CLI and small-animal PET can be used. The optical imaging equipment used for CLI allows a quick acquisition of data, and devices are more available compared to small-animal PET devices due to the lower costs of the hardware. However, PET imaging can also be performed in a relatively quick way, and results in the generation of tomographic images that are quantitatively more reliable. Furthermore, despite the efforts that have been taken for 3D optical imaging, there is a major lack of anatomical and tomographic data. Also, PET does not generate anatomical information, but this can be overcome relatively easily by co-registration of PET images to anatomical computed tomography (CT) images or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) data. Indeed, the development of hybrid systems combining PET and either CT or MRI is an effective answer to this matter. Above all, PET is the only modality that can be used in patients, and therefore has the highest translational capacity of all the modalities.

For cell tracking studies, the combination of CLI and nuclear imaging might form a translational bridge between optical imaging and nuclear imaging modalities. Hence, the advantages of both techniques can be combined: quantitative information in a tomographic manner, and the high throughput and sensitivity of the optical imaging. We here show that hNIS is a suitable reporter gene for molecular imaging with PET and CLI. This reporter gene can be used in humans and is therefore a good candidate in translational studies.

Hence, future studies will include further reproducibility tests and the application of this system in disease models.
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