Ultrasonographic assessment of experimentally induced gastric perforation in beagle dogs
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Abstract: The goals of this study were, first, to evaluate the feasibility of inducing gastric perforation with 99% alcohol injection after electrocautery (EA-method), and, second, to observe “enhanced peritoneal stripe sign (EPSS)” and other lesions upon induction of gastric perforation. Six clinically normal beagle dogs were prepared for gastric perforation using endoscopy. After gastric perforation, EPSS and other lesions on ultrasonography were observed eventually (at 0 h, 3 h, day 1, day 2, day 3, day 4, day 5, and day 6). We graded the EPSS depending on its width and number. EPSS was observed until day 4 of the examination in all the 6 dogs. The grades of EPSS were the highest at 3 h and declined gradually. Peritoneal effusion was observed in all dogs at 3 h and on day 1. Regional bright mesenteric fat was confirmed in all dogs on days 3 and 4. In conclusion, gastric perforation can be induced by EA-method. EPSS and peritoneal effusion appear at a very early stage, and regional bright mesenteric fat was identified on days 3 and 4 in almost all dogs with gastric perforation.
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Introduction

Patients with acute abdominal pain are often initially screened with abdominal radiographs [1]. Detection of pneumoperitoneum is of prime importance because it represents an acute abdominal emergency requiring aggressive treatment [2]. In a previous human study, despite careful technical attention, radiologists failed to detect pneumoperitoneum [3]. Computed tomography (CT) has been shown to be more sensitive than abdominal and chest radiography in the detection of free air [4-6]. However, the detection of a small volume of pneumoperitoneum on abdominal CT may be insignificant [7]. Sonography is routinely used to examine patients with undiagnosed abdominal pain. “Dirty shadows” and reverberation artifacts associated with gas in the lumen of the gut occur whenever the ultrasound beam encounters gas in the scanning field, which is considered a normal observation on abdominal sonography. However, the identification of gas in the peritoneal cavity or retroperitoneum is strongly associated with a gastrointestinal (GI) perforation [8].

In previous studies, enhanced peritoneal stripe sign (EPSS) was a reliable and specific ultrasonographic sign for the diagnosis of pneumoperitoneum, and small amounts of peritoneal free gas above 0.4 mL were detected in dogs during ultrasonography [9,10]. However, these studies were based on air injection into the peritoneal cavity. Because the literature has limited information involving dogs with naturally-occurring pneumoperitoneum, we investigated a method of gastric perforation induction similar to clinical practice. The purposes of this study were, first, to evaluate the feasibility of electrocautery (EA-method), and, second, to observe aspects of EPSS and other lesions following gastric perforation.

Materials and Methods

This study includes 6 clinically healthy beagle dogs (4 males and 2 females). Body weight ranged from 8.0 to 13.8 kg (aged between 3 and 5 years). The dogs showed no clinical history or abnormal signs. Animal care
and experiments were carried out in compliance with A Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, published by Gyeongsang National University (GAR-101118-X0010).

All dogs were preanesthetized with glycopyrrolate 0.01 mg/kg (0.2 mg/mL; Myungmoon, Korea) given subcutaneously and general anesthesia (Isoflurane 100% liquid; Kyongbo, Korea) was induced by propofol 6 mg/kg (10 mg/mL, Myungmoon). Using an endoscope (CV-150 GIF XQ240; Olympus, Japan), the stomach was inflated under inhalation anesthesia. Avoiding injury to blood vessels, the wall of greater curvature was damaged with an electrosurgical knife (RH-2000 Plus; Visco, Korea) followed by injection of 99% ethyl alcohol 1.0 mL into the damaged spot using 23 gauge endoscopic injection needle (NM-401L-0423; Olympus). The procedures were carried out with care to ensure that the damage did not directly penetrate the gastric serosa. After the experimental injury, intragastric gas was eliminated.

The ultrasound machine (Xario® SSA-660A; TOSHIBA, Japan) was used with a 12.0 MHz linear transducer. The abdomen was prepared by clipping the hair over the entire ventral aspect of the abdomen. Coupling gel was applied to the skin. The dogs were scanned in dorsal recumbency. All the ultrasonographic procedures were performed by the same examiner who was an expert in sonographic examination. EPSS and other lesions were evaluated depending on the time set (0 h, 3 h, day 1, day 2, day 3, day 4, day 5, and day 6) after EA-method. The “other lesions” were categorized according to a previous study: regional bright mesenteric fat, peritoneal effusion, fluid-filled stomach or intestines, GI wall thickening, loss of GI wall layering, regional lymphadenopathy, reduced GI motility, and pancreatic changes (Table 4).

The grades of EPSS were statistically analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis test at a significance level of 0.05. The Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the EPSS grade at 0 h with grades at other times, and a p value of < 0.00176 was considered significant in Mann-Whitney test. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS software (version 14.0; SPSS Inc., USA).

**Table 2. Grades of EPSS at different time intervals**

|       | 0 h | 3 h | Day 1 | Day 2 | Day 3 | Day 4 | Day 5 | Day 6 |
|-------|-----|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Case 1| I   | IV  | III   | III   | II    | I     | I     | I     |
| Case 2| I   | IV  | IV    | III   | III   | I     | I     | I     |
| Case 3| I   | IV  | IV    | III   | III   | I     | I     | I     |
| Case 4| I   | IV  | III   | III   | II    | I     | I     | I     |
| Case 5| I   | IV  | IV    | III   | III   | I     | I     | I     |
| Case 6| I   | IV  | III   | III   | I     | I     | I     | I     |

EPSS, enhanced peritoneal stripe sign.
Ultrasonographic assessment of gastric perforation

Discussion

Ultrasonography is commonly used for the detection of pneumoperitoneum in clinical practice [11]. A pneumoperitoneum is recognized by the sonographic appearance of EPSS [9,12]. EPSS and other lesions were not observed in case of damaged gastric wall following electrocautery alone or injection of 99% alcohol in pilot studies. EPSS and other lesions, however, were identified for the first time at 3 h after EA-method, which suggests that EA-method failed to result in direct penetration of the gastric wall, but induced a gastric perforation within 3 h.

EPSS is associated with artifacts at the air–soft tissue interface, between the nondependent abdominal wall and intra-abdominal structures such as the liver, stomach, omentum, or intestine [9,12]. Increasing the amount of intraperitoneal gas increased the width of EPSS as well. Under this assumption, we graded EPSS according to its width. In this study, the EPSS grades were the highest at 3 h of examination in all dogs. And the EPSS grades declined gradually with time, no EPSS was observed after day 5. We thought that the EPSS decreased due to spontaneous healing for gastric perforation. We made gastric perforation by experimentally which is very small and no further irritation. Therefore, self-healing may have occurred. In addition, peritoneal effusion was identified at 3 h of examination in all dogs, and the number of dogs with peritoneal effusion decreased after the second day examination. Regional bright mesenteric fat was confirmed in all dogs on days 3 and 4, suggesting that EPSS and peritoneal effusion appeared at the very early stages of gastric perforation, followed by regional bright mesenteric fat.

As described above, the EPSS grade at 0 h was grade 1, which was normal during ultrasonographic examination. The EPSS grade at 0 h was significantly different from those at 3 h and on day 2 \( (p < 0.0017) \). The differentiation in the grade between 0 h and day 1 was nearly significant \( (p = 0.0018) \). We assume that the small number of dogs used in this experiment may contribute to this gap.

Based on our study results, gastric perforation can be induced with EA-method. EPSS and peritoneal effusion appear at very early stages, and regional bright mesenteric fat may be detected on days 3 and 4 in almost all dogs with gastric perforation.
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