Neddf4 Family Interacting Protein 1 (Ndfip1) Is Required for Ubiquitination and Nuclear Trafficking of BRCA1-associated ATM Activator 1 (BRAT1) during the DNA Damage Response*

Ley-Hian Low1, Yuh-Lit, Chow2, Yijia Li2, Choo-Peng Goh2, Ulrich Putz4, John Silke9, Toru Ouchi9, Jason Howitt11, and Seong-Seng Tan12

From the 1Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, University of Melbourne, Parkville, 3010 Victoria, Australia, the 2Walter & Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Parkville, 3010 Victoria, Australia, and the Department of Cancer Genetics, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Elm & Carlton Streets, Buffalo, New York 14263

Background: The function of Ndfip1 in DNA repair is unknown.

Results: Ndfip1 is required during stress for ubiquitinating and trafficking BRAT1 into the nucleus.

Conclusion: Ndfip1 is required for activating the ATM (ataxia telangiectasia mutated) pathway for DNA repair during stress injury.

Significance: We describe a new mechanism for ubiquitinating and trafficking of BRAT1 into the nucleus for DNA repair.

During injury, cells are vulnerable to apoptosis from a variety of stress conditions including DNA damage causing double-stranded breaks. Without repair, these breaks lead to aberrations in DNA replication and transcription, leading to apoptosis. A major response to DNA damage is provided by the protein kinase ATM (ataxia telangiectasia mutated) that is capable of commanding a plethora of signaling networks for DNA repair, cell cycle arrest, and even apoptosis. A key element in the DNA damage response is the mobilization of activating proteins into the cell nucleus to repair damaged DNA. BRAT1 is one of these proteins, and it functions as an activator of ATM by maintaining its phosphorylated status while also keeping other phosphatases at bay. However, it is unknown how BRAT1 is trafficked into the cell nucleus to maintain ATM phosphorylation. Here we demonstrate that Ndfip1-mediated ubiquitination of BRAT1 leads to BRAT1 trafficking into the cell nucleus. Without Ndfip1, BRAT1 failed to translocate to the nucleus. Under genotoxic stress, cells showed increased expression of both Ndfip1 and phosphorylated ATM. Following brain injury, neurons show increased expression of Ndfip1 and nuclear translocation of BRAT1. These results point to Ndfip1 as a sensor protein during cell injury and Ndfip1 up-regulation as a cue for BRAT1 ubiquitination by Nedd4 E3 ligases, followed by nuclear translocation of BRAT1.

Following traumatic injury or stroke, neurons in affected brain tissues undergo apoptosis, whereas others adopt defense strategies to stay alive (1). Neuronal apoptosis occurs mostly in tissues surrounding the damaged core and can continue for days during the secondary phase of injury. During this phase, cytotoxic factors are released in response to glutamate excitotoxicity, inflammation, and hypoxia. The injury process also dramatically increases DNA damage and production of reactive oxygen species, resulting in excess redox activity and oxidative stress (2). The resulting free radicals inflict damage to cell membranes and produce detrimental reactions with proteins, lipids, and DNA, resulting in cell death (3).

A central line of defense to sudden DNA damage and oxidative stress is activation of the ATM (ataxia telangiectasia mutated) protein for DNA repair. This kinase is a member of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-like kinase family of Ser/Thr-protein kinases and a key player in redox homeostasis and the DNA damage response (4). Following double-strand DNA breaks and oxidation, ATM is recruited and activated by cell sensor proteins, including the MRE11-RAD50-NBS1 complex for DNA repair by homologous end joining (5). Aside from promoting genome stability to assist cell survival, ATM also activates cell survival pathways linked to PI3K and mammalian target of rapamycin complex, as well as checkpoint proteins p53 and checkpoint kinase 2 to modulate the cell cycle (6).

ATM mobilization and activation at sites of broken DNA requires the participation of multiple sensor proteins. Aside from the MRE11-RAD50-NBS1 complex, a number of other sensor proteins have been identified including TP53BP1 (p53-binding protein 1), BRCA1 (breast cancer type 1), and MDC1 (mediator of DNA damage checkpoint protein 1) (6). In addition, BRAT1 (BRCA1-associated ATM activator 1) has been identified to be important for ATM phosphorylation at Ser1981 and complex assembly (7).

Previous work from our laboratory has identified Ndfip1 as a regulator of neuroprotective mechanisms to improve neuron survival following brain injury or cerebral ischemia (8–11). Ndfip1 is an adaptor and activator for Nedd4 family of ubiquitin ligases, and in the adult brain, Ndfip1 is normally present in cortical neurons at low levels within vesicular membranes (12). Following injury or stress, Ndfip1 is selectively up-regulated in certain neurons, resulting in their survival (8). The precise cir-
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...circumstances favoring up-regulation of Ndfip1 in some neurons but not in others are poorly understood. However, recent work from our group has defined a number of mechanisms through which Ndfip1 can combat apoptosis. These include degradation of DMT1 (divalent metal transporter 1) to prevent metal poisoning of neurons (13) and transient shuttling of the tumor suppressor PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homolog deleted on chromosome 10) into the nucleus to promote pAkt-mediated cell survival (9). Given the importance of the DNA damage response following injury, we set out to investigate whether or not Ndfip1 is a participant in the ATM-mediated DNA repair response.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Animals and Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI)—All procedures were approved by the Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health Animal Ethics Committee and in accordance with the ARRIVE guidelines for reporting in vivo experiments. C57BL/6 mice (Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) were obtained from the Animals Resource Center of Australia. All animals were housed under a 12-h light/dark cycle in temperature-controlled rooms (22 °C) and allowed free access to standard chow and water. The method for TBI induction has been previously described (11).

Cell Culture and Transfection—HEK293T cells and mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs)3 were cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FCS, 2 mM l-glutamate, and 50 g/ml penicillin/streptomycin. SH-SY5Y cells were cultured in RPMI media (Invitrogen) supplemented with 15% FCS, 2 mM l-glutamate, and 50 g/ml penicillin/streptomycin. Cultures were maintained in 37 °C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere. HEK293T cells and MEFs were transfected with the appropriate constructs using Effectene transfection reagent kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The procedures for the production of lentiviral particles containing Ndfip1-Flag and inducible cell lines (HEK293T and SH-SY5Y) sensitive to 4-hydroxytamoxifen for Ndfip1-Flag expression has been described (9).

For production of HEK293T cells capable of responding to doxycycline for expressing Flag-Ndfip1, we used the pF TRE3G PGK puro vector (gift of David Vaux, Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Parkville, Australia). Human Ndfip1 cDNA flanked with BamH1 and Nhel restriction sites was generated by PCR with the forward primer 5′-CGG ATC CAT GGC GTT GGC GTT GGC-3′ and reverse primer 5′-TCT AGC TAG CAT GGA CCC AGA ATG CGC CCA-3′. The purified PCR fragment was digested with EcoRI and BglII restriction enzymes and cloned into Strep-Flag-Strep-Tactin vector (Staphylococcus aureus biotinylated flagellar protein). To create a Strep-Flag-BRAT1 construct, human BRAT1 cDNA flanked with Nhel restriction sites was generated by PCR with the forward primers 5′-TCT AGC TAG CAT GGA CCC AGA ATG CGC CCA-3′ and reverse primer 5′-TCC GCT AGC GCT TGG AGG AGG GAG CTA GCC ACG TTG AAG AGT CCT TGT G-3′. The purified BRAT1 PCR fragment was digested with Nhel restriction enzymes and cloned into Strep-Flag pcDNA3 vector (gift of Christian Gloeckner (Institute of Human Genetics, Munich-Neuherberg, Germany). To map binding of BRAT1 with Ndfip1, BRAT1 deletion mutant constructs were generated using Gibson assembly (New England Biolabs) with the following primers: BRAT1 Δ1–100 forward, 5′-AGT TCT AGC TAG CAT GGA CCC AGA ATG CGC CCA-3′ and reverse primer 5′-TCC GCT AGC GCT TGG AGG AGG GAG CTA GCC ACG TTG AAG AGT CCT TGT G-3′; and BRAT1 reverse, 5′-CGC CAA CGA CGT CAT GGC GCT CAG TAG CAG TCG GCC TGG T-3′.

Other constructs used were SF-Ndfip1 (Strep-Flag-Ndfip1 in pcDNA3), Ndfip1 in pBiFC-VN155, His-Ubiquitin in pcDNA3, Flag-Nedd4-1 in pcDNA3, Flag-Nedd4-2 in pcDNA3, Flag-Itch in pcDNA3, Ub in pBiFC-VN173, F-BimL in pBiFC-VN173, Flag-BRAT1 and HA-BRAT1 in pcDNA3 (14, 15), and BRAT1 shRNA plasmid (16).

Protein Lysate Preparation and Immunoprecipitation Assay—HEK293T and SH-SY5Y cells were lysed in ice-cold radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (50 mM, pH 7.2, 0.15 M NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, and 0.1% SDS) with protease inhibitor mixture (Complete Mini; Roche) for 20 min at 4 °C. Cell lysates were cleared of insoluble debris by centrifugation at 21,500 × g for 15 min at 4 °C. Protein concentration of lysate was measured using detergent-compatible protein assay according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Bio-Rad). For immunopre-

3 The abbreviations used are: MEF, mouse embryonic fibroblast; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; BIFC, bimolecular fluorescent complementation; VN, plasmid created by BIFC of Venus using N-terminal reporter fragment; VC, plasmid created by BIFC of Venus using C-terminal reporter fragment; PB, phosphate buffer; IP, immunoprecipitation.
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RESULTS

BRAT1 Is a Novel Binding Partner of Ndfip1—BRAT1 was initially identified in a screen of interacting proteins for Ndfip1 in HEK293T cells using tandem affinity purification of proteins bound to Strep-Flag-Ndfip1 (SF-Ndfip1), followed by mass spectrometry analysis. To confirm the interaction between BRAT1 and Ndfip1, co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) was performed following overexpression of HA-BRAT1 and SF-Ndfip1 in HEK293T cells. The results showed that co-IP with Strep-Tactin-coated beads produced the correctly sized band for HA-BRAT1 (Fig. 1A), whereas the reverse pulldown with Strep-Flag-BRAT1 (SF-BRAT1) also revealed a specific band for Ndfip1-mCherry (Fig. 1B).

Previous reports had shown that Ndfip1 is a cytoplasmic protein (8, 17), whereas BRAT1 can shuttle between the cytoplasm and nucleus (7, 18). Thus, we investigated the whereabouts of the interaction between Ndfip1 and BRAT1 using BioFC with the Venus reporter protein.

Ndfip1 was fused with the N-terminal fragment of Venus protein (Ndfip1-VN1–173) and BRAT1 fused with a complementary C-terminal fragment of Venus (BRAT1-VC155–238) (Fig. 1C). Following co-expression of these plasmids in MEFs, the BioFC fluorescent signal was observed in the cytoplasm, confirming their interaction in this cellular location (Fig. 1D, top panels). A control experiment using BimL, a protein that is not known to interact with BRAT1, did not yield a BioFC signal (BimL-VN1–173 and BRAT1-VC155–238) (Fig. 1D, bottom panels).

4 L.-H. Low, J. Chow, Y. Li, C.-P. Goh, U. Putz, J. Silke, T. Ouchi, J. Howitt, and S.-S. Tan, unpublished data.
In aggregate, these experiments suggest that Ndfip1 and BRAT1 interact in the cytoplasm.

Mapping the Interaction of BRAT1 with Ndfip1—To further identify the responsible domain in BRAT1, we performed a series of co-IP experiments using deletion mutants. Initially, we performed a pulldown assay with a mutant form of BRAT1 (c.638_639insA) (BRAT1 IMut) that previously was reported to be associated with lethal neonatal rigidity and seizure syndrome (18). The protein sequence of BRAT1 c.638_639insA produced a frameshift from amino acid 231 resulting in premature termination at amino acid 401 and a reduced molecular mass of 42 kDa (Fig. 2A). The co-IP was performed by overexpression of SF-BRAT1 IMut and Ndfip1-mCherry in HEK293T cells. As controls, wild-type SF-BRAT1 and an empty vector were used. The results showed binding of Ndfip1-mCherry with both wild-type SF-BRAT1 and SF-BRAT1 IMut (Fig. 2B). This would suggest that the binding site for BRAT1 might lie upstream of amino acid 231.

To identify this, deletion mutants of BRAT1 with varying lengths of N-terminal truncations were produced, and co-IP experiments with Ndfip1-mCherry were performed as before (Fig. 2C). The results showed that SF-BRAT1 Δ1–100 (B1) was able to bind to Ndfip1-mCherry, but SF-BRAT1 Δ1–200 (B2)
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A

| Lysate input | IP: Strep (BRAT1) |
|--------------|------------------|
| 1            | -                |
| 2            | +                |
| 3            | +                |
| 4            | +                |

B

| Lysate input | IP: Strep (BRAT1) |
|--------------|------------------|
| 5            | -                |
| 6            | WT               |
| 7            | IMut             |
| 8            | +                |

C

| Lysate input | IP: Strep (BRAT1) |
|--------------|------------------|
| 5            | -                |
| 6            | WT               |
| 7            | B1               |
| 8            | B2               |

FIGURE 2. Mapping the site of Ndfip1-BRAT1 interaction. A, a schematic diagram showing the various constructs used for binding assays with Ndfip1-mCherry. In addition to wild-type BRAT1, three deletion constructs were employed: IMut, BRAT1 c.638_639insA; BRAT1Δ1–100 (B1); and BRAT1Δ1–200 (B2). B, Ndfip1-mCherry co-precipitated with both wild-type Strep-Flag-BRAT1 and SF-BRAT1 IMut, suggesting that the binding site might lie upstream of amino acid 213. The empty vector served as a negative control. IB, immunoblotting. C, immunoprecipitation experiments revealed binding of BRAT1Δ1–100 but not BRAT1Δ1–200 with Ndfip1-mCherry, suggesting that the binding site lies between amino acids 100 and 200.

Ndfip1 Enhanced Ubiquitination of BRAT1 by Nedd4 Family Ubiquitin Ligases—BRAT1 has been shown to be an important mediator of the DNA damage response (7, 19). However, it is unclear whether BRAT1 was post-translationally modified by addition of ubiquitin in this pathological process. Given the role of Ndfip1 as an adaptor and activator for Nedd4 family E3 ligases during injury in brain cells (9, 10, 13), we explored a role for Ndfip1/Nedd4 family proteins in the ubiquitination of BRAT1. A ubiquitination assay was performed by overexpression of His-ubiquitin and HA-BRAT1 in HEK293T cells, with and without Ndfip1, and Nedd4 family E3 ligases. Ubiquitinated proteins were precipitated from lysates under denaturing conditions to prevent nonspecific binding. The immunoprecipitate of ubiquitinated proteins was probed using anti-BRAT1 antibodies to assess BRAT1 ubiquitination (Fig. 3). The results showed that expression of BRAT1 alone was not sufficient for ubiquitination of the protein (Fig. 3, lane 1). When co-expressed with E3 ubiquitin ligases, but in the absence of Ndfip1, BRAT1 showed only a small degree of ubiquitination by members of the Nedd4 E3 ligases (Nedd4-1, Nedd4-2, and Itch; lanes 2, 3, and 4, respectively). Interestingly, Itch was more effective (com-
pared with Nedd4-1 and Nedd4-2) in promoting the ubiquitination of BRAT1 (Fig. 3, lane 4). With the addition of Ndfip1 in the assay (lanes 5–8), the ubiquitination of BRAT1 was dramatically enhanced by all three E3 ligases, particularly more so by Itch (lane 8). Indeed, Ndfip1 alone (lane 5) demonstrated increased ubiquitination of BRAT1, presumably by recruiting endogenous E3 ligases present in HEK293T cells (9). The pattern of ubiquitination suggests both mono- and polyubiquitination of BRAT1, with the monoubiquitinated band showing strong intensity at ~96 kDa. These experiments indicate that BRAT1 is subject to post-translational modification by the Ndfip1/Nedd4 ubiquitination system.

Ndfip1 Does Not Promote Degradation of BRAT1 but It Is Required for Its Nuclear Trafficking—Previous experiments from our laboratory have shown that, depending on the physiological context, protein targets that bind to Ndfip1 have different fates following ubiquitination. In the presence of excess transition metals such as Co²⁺ and Fe²⁺, Ndfip1 in neurons targets DMT1 for polyubiquitination and degradation to prevent metal poisoning (13). On the other hand, during brain ischemia, Ndfip1 mediates the monoubiquitination of the tumor suppressor PTEN, causing PTEN to traffic into the nucleus and allowing the up-regulation of PI3K activity to promote neuron survival (9). The pattern of ubiquitination suggests both mono- and polyubiquitination of BRAT1, with the monoubiquitinated band showing strong intensity at ~96 kDa. These experiments indicate that BRAT1 is subject to post-translational modification by the Ndfip1/Nedd4 ubiquitination system.

Ndfip1-mediated Ubiquitination of BRAT1 for Nuclear Trafficking

**FIGURE 4.** BRAT1 abundance in cells is unaffected by overexpression of Ndfip1. A–D, overexpression of Ndfip1 by 4-hydroxytamoxifen-induction in two different cell lines (HEK293T and SH-SY5Y) showed no difference in BRAT1 abundance, suggesting that Ndfip1-mediated ubiquitination of BRAT1 does not result in degradation. E and F, treatment of SH-SY5Y with Ndfip1 shRNA did not result in changes to BRAT1 abundance. The values are means ± S.E., n = 3 experiments. IB, immunoblotting.
significant increase of BiFC signal intensity in Ndfip1<sup>+/+</sup> MEFs, when compared with Ndfip1<sup>−/−</sup> MEFs (p < 0.001) (Fig. 5D), suggesting that nuclear trafficking of ubiquitinated BRAT1 is enhanced by Ndfip1.

**Ndfip1 Is Required for Nuclear Distribution of BRAT1 under Stress Conditions of Cells in Vitro**—The requirement for Ndfip1 to facilitate nuclear localization of ubiquitinated BRAT1 was also tested under stress conditions. In vitro, Flag-BRAT1 was transfected into MEFs, and its cellular distribution was examined following treatment with different concentrations of the chromosomal mutagen etoposide (Fig. 5C) (20). Immunostaining with anti-Flag antibodies revealed that the Flag-BRAT1 fusion protein was distributed in both nucleus and cytoplasm in wild-type MEFs regardless of etoposide concentration (Fig. 5C, Ndfip1<sup>+/+</sup> panels). In contrast, the BRAT1 fusion protein was restricted only to the cytoplasm of Ndfip1<sup>−/−</sup> MEFs at all concentrations of etoposide used (Fig. 5C, Ndfip1<sup>−/−</sup> panels). Thus, during genotoxic stress, BRAT1 translocation to the nucleus appeared to require Ndfip1. Following on from this, we hypothesized that any reduction in nuclear translocation of BRAT1 during genotoxic stress would impact upon cell survival. To test this, we
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**FIGURE 6.** Ndfip1 is required for nuclear distribution of endogenous BRAT1 in brain neurons following traumatic injury. A, low power micrograph of a coronal section of a mouse brain showing TBI in the ipsilateral hemisphere and uninjured contralateral hemisphere. Boxed areas depict locations of higher power figures in B. B, confocal microscopy of cortex sections following immunocytochemical staining for Ndfip1 and BRAT1 24 h following TBI (ipsilateral hemisphere). The uninjured contralateral hemisphere provides an internal control, which shows cytoplasmic BRAT1 and a low abundance of Ndfip1 in neurons. High power views (insets) show cytoplasmic distribution of Ndfip1 and BRAT1. In the ipsilateral cortex, injured neurons (arrows) show increased Ndfip1 in the cytoplasm, and these neurons demonstrate increased abundance of BRAT1 in their nuclei (insets show high power views of one neuron). Scale bars, 1 mm (A) and 50 μm (B).

performed MTT cell survival assays following treatment of wildtype and Ndfip KO MEFs with 2 μM etoposide for 24 h. This showed a small but significant reduction (p < 0.001) in the survival of Ndfip1 KO cells following etoposide treatment (Fig. 5E).

Ndfip1 was first discovered as a neuroprotective protein following traumatic brain injury (8). A number of mechanisms for Ndfip1-mediated neuroprotection have been proposed, including restricting cytoplasmic entry of toxic metals into neurons and promoting nuclear entry of PTEN, which is known for DNA repair (9, 13, 21). To test the hypothesis that Ndfip1-mediated ubiquitination of BRAT1 promotes its entry into the nucleus for initiating the DNA damage response, we examined the cellular distribution of BRAT1 in the cortex following brain injury (Fig. 6A). In the uninjured contralateral cortex, there was only basal level of Ndfip1 expression; this was coincident with mainly cytoplasmic BRAT1 (Fig. 6B, upper panels). In the injured ipsilateral cortex, there was marked Ndfip1 expression, which was accompanied by increased nuclear distribution of BRAT1 (Fig. 6B, bottom panels). Thus, there is a strong relationship between increased Ndfip1 expression in neurons following injury, together with increased nuclear localization of BRAT1.

BRAT1 Is Required for Increasing the Abundance of the DNA Repair Protein pATM by Ndfip1—Previous studies have shown that a number of sensor proteins, including BRAT1, are involved in the activation the DNA repair protein ATM (6, 7). So far, we have demonstrated that driven by Ndfip1, ubiquitinated BRAT1 is trafficked into the cell nucleus. What is missing is a demonstrated link between BRAT1 and increasing abundance of Ndfip1 and pATM under DNA damage conditions. To pursue this, we studied the relative abundance of pATM and Ndfip1, by Western blot analysis, under different concentrations of etoposide treatment of the neuroblastoma cell line SH-SY5Y. The results showed a dose-related increase in the abundance of both Ndfip1 and pATM (Fig. 7A). In contrast, unphosphorylated ATM was unchanged, whereas the DNA damage marker protein pH2AX, which lies downstream of pATM (22), was also increased (Fig. 7A). Parallel analysis of this relationship was also performed in HEK293T cells engineered to express Flag-Ndfip1 by doxycycline ("Experimental Procedures"). Ndfip1 induction in HEK293T cells was performed for 18 h followed by etoposide treatment (0, 2, and 20 μM) for 2 h prior to Western blot analysis. In the absence of Ndfip1 induction, 2 and 20 μM of etoposide treatment elicited a mild increase in pATM (Fig. 7B, lanes 2 and 3). However, induction of Ndfip1 with etoposide treatment in these cells resulted in increased abundance of pATM (Fig. 7B, lanes 5 and 6). To test the requirement for BRAT1, RNA interference was performed by introducing BRAT1 shRNA in the presence of Ndfip1 and examining pATM. The results showed a reduction in pATM abundance (Fig. 7B, lanes 8 and 9), suggesting that BRAT1 is required for the co-up-regulation of pATM with Ndfip1 under DNA damage conditions. To view the above results in cultured cells, immunocytochemical analysis was performed using HEK293T cells with or without Ndfip1 induction (Fig. 7C). With Ndfip1 induction (with doxycycline), there was increased abundance of pATM with 2 μM etoposide treatment (5-fold increase, p < 0.001) (Fig. 7D), and this increase was accentuated with 20 μM etoposide treatment (4-fold difference, p < 0.001) (Fig. 7C, arrow). Importantly, knockdown of BRAT1 by RNA interference reversed the increase in pATM abundance in the presence of 20 μM etoposide (Fig. 7C, arrowhead). In summary, induction of DNA damage in two separate cell lines revealed that Ndfip1 increase was associated with elevation of the DNA repair protein, pATM, and this elevation required the presence of BRAT1.

**DISCUSSION**

Our previous studies have demonstrated a novel mechanism for increasing neuron survival in stress situations such as traumatic brain injury and cerebral ischemia (8, 9, 11). In those experiments, the principal pathway of neuroprotection was mediated by Ndfip1-dependent trafficking of the tumor suppressor PTEN into the nucleus. PTEN is the central inhibitor of the PI3K/pAkt signaling pathway (23), and PTEN loss leads to cancer by increasing cell proliferation and cell survival. During brain injury, nuclear sequestration of PTEN by Ndfip1 from its cytoplasmic location promotes pAkt generation by membrane phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-triphosphate. Because postmitotic neurons do not proliferate, the response to increased pAkt does not lead to tumorigenesis but cell survival (9–11).

During cellular stress, a major cause of apoptosis is oxidative stress-induced DNA damage. Nuclear PTEN offers additional...
benefits against apoptosis by promoting DNA repair (24, 25) and protection against genotoxic stress (21). However, the present study offers further evidence that Ndfip1-mediated ubiquitination and nuclear trafficking of other proteins such as BRAT1 may also be involved in protection against DNA damage. BRAT1 has previously been shown to bind to and is required to maintain the phosphorylation state of ATM, a central participant in the DNA damage response (7). There is accumulating evidence that increasing BRAT1 is beneficial for cell survival, most likely through its regulation of ATM phosphorylation (7). Indeed, reduction of BRAT1 leads to reduced cell proliferation and tumorigenicity (16), mirroring the effects of reduced PI3K signaling and cell survival, although the extent of molecular cross-talk between BRAT1 and PI3K remains elusive. Nonetheless, there is gathering evidence that BRAT1 is a vital part of the armamentarium of the cell against apoptosis during the DNA damage response (19).

If the above hypothesis is correct, there should be a mechanism for BRAT1 protein trafficking into the cell nucleus during cell stress. Our results point to Ndfip1-mediated ubiquitination as the most likely driver of BRAT1 entry into the nucleus. Here, BRAT1 would most likely participate in activating cell survival mechanisms, possibly mediated by pATM and also BRCA1. BRAT1 is known to promote the longevity of pATM by hindering its dephosphorylation by the phosphatase PP2A (7). Thus, Ndfip1 up-regulation followed by ubiquitination of BRAT1 provides the mechanism for BRAT1 trafficking. Our loss of function experiments showed that in the absence of Ndfip1, BRAT1 failed to translocate to the cell nucleus. In summary, BRAT1 ubiquitination by the Ndfip1/Nedd4 system is a necessary prerequisite for combating DNA damage via pATM. Indeed, we showed a strong correlation in the up-regulation of Ndfip1 together with pATM following treatment with the genotoxic agent etoposide in two different cell lines, and in one of these lines, BRAT1 knockdown reversed pATM abundance. This suggests a direct association of Ndfip1 up-regulation via BRAT1 nuclear translocation to activate pATM-mediated DNA repair pathways.

Because we have previously demonstrated that Ndfip1 is pivotal for the nuclear transport of PTEN with survival benefits...
mediated by pAkt, it would suggest that Ndfip1-mediated ubiquitination of different proteins for nuclear transport is a multifaceted cell survival strategy. Ndfip1 acts both as adaptor and activator of Nedd4 family E3 ubiquitin ligases (26). However, not all E3 ligases are uniformly capable of participating in Ndfip1-mediated ubiquitination of target proteins. Instead, which Nedd4 family member is recruited appears to be target-dependent (10). In the case of PTEN during brain injury and stroke, we showed that Nedd4-2 is the preferred E3 ligase (11). To avoid metal poisoning, the E3 ligase for degrading the divalent metal transporter DMT1 has been shown to be Nedd4-2 (13). Under DNA damage conditions performed in the current study, the preferred E3 ligase for BRAT1 ubiquitination in vitro is Itch, although Nedd4-1 and Nedd4-2 can also participate to a certain extent. This diversification of ligase choice adds a further layer of molecular specificity during the recruitment of survival proteins under stress conditions. Additional studies would be required to determine the subcellular localization and cellular conditions that dictate ligase choice for BRAT1.

Finally, it is noteworthy that exome sequencing data from an Amish community have identified a homozygous truncating mutation (c.638_639insA) in BRAT1 as the cause of its failure to localize to the nucleus (18). This condition is associated with lethal neonatal rigidity and seizures, with pathological signs of neuron death and degenerative brain foci. Despite the mutation, we found that this protein was capable of binding to Ndfip1, although its failure to translocate to the nucleus is likely to arise from problems with ubiquitination of a truncated protein. More recent reports consistently associate BRAT1 mutations with neonatal epileptic encephalopathy (27), suggesting additional roles for BRAT1 during brain development.

In conclusion, the present study has identified Ndfip1/Nedd4 system as an important driver of BRAT1 ubiquitination and subsequent nuclear localization. Because the up-regulation of Ndfip1 is a feature of cells undergoing stress situations, including DNA damage, it is likely to function as an early sensor protein for mobilizing DNA repair during brain injury.
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