Diversity of longhorned beetles (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae) in the Caribbean region of Colombia: temporal variation between two fragments of tropical dry forest
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Abstract: The tropical dry forest is under constant threat from many anthropic activities which are conducted indiscriminately, modifying the forest, and therefore, affecting species that are closely related to its phenology, such as longhorned beetles (Cerambycidae). The spatio-temporal variation of the cerambycid diversity in two fragments of tropical dry forest (Reserva Campesina La Montaña and La Flecha) in the Caribbean region of Colombia was analyzed. At each locality, four squared plots were delimited, and the beetles were collected with fruit traps, beating sheets and manual capture, and with light traps in the center. Five hundred eighty-seven specimens representing 128 species were collected, of which members of the tribe Ectenessini (Cerambycinae) were the most abundant. At the subfamily level, Cerambycinae was the most abundant (465 specimens) and diverse (73 species), followed by Lamiinae and Prioninae. The highest values of richness (110 species), abundance (428), biomass (21.18 g), and as well as the highest values of true diversity (1D= 73.44, 2D= 34.30) were found during the first precipitations. Regarding beta diversity, temporal variation was determined and mainly explained by a high percentage of turnover (> 70%). Lastly, the high diversity of Cerambycidae was associated with high values of relative humidity and canopy cover during the rainy season. This showed that the structure of the cerambycid community in the tropical dry forest of the Caribbean region of Colombia depends on these variables, which are closely related to precipitation.
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Diversidade de besouros serra-pau (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae) na região caribenha da Colômbia: variação temporal entre dois fragmentos de floresta seca tropical

Resumo: A floresta seca tropical está sob constante ameaça devido às muitas atividades antrópicas que são realizadas indiscriminadamente, modificando a floresta e, portanto, afetando espécies que se encontram muito relacionadas com a sua fenologia, tais como os besouros serra-pau (Cerambycidae). Foi analisada a variação espaço-temporal da diversidade de cerambícidos em dois fragmentos de floresta seca tropical (“Reserva Campesina La Montaña e La Flecha”) na região caribenha da Colômbia. Em cada localidade, quatro quadrantes foram delimitados e os besouros foram coletados usando armadilhas com isca de fruta, guarda-chuva entomológico, captura manual e armadilhas de luz. Quinhentos e oitenta e sete espécimes, de 128 espécies foram coletados, sendo os membros da tribo Ectenesini (Cerambycinae) os mais abundantes. Ao nível de subfamília, Cerambycinæae foi a mais abundante (465 espécimes) e diversa (73 espécies), seguida de Lamiinae e Prioninae. Os valores mais altos de riqueza (110 espécies), abundância (428) e biomassa (21.18 g) foram encontrados durante as primeiras chuvas, assim como os valores mais altos de diversidade verdadeira (1D= 73.44, 2D= 34.30). Em relação à diversidade beta, a variação temporal foi determinada e principalmente explicada por uma alta porcentagem de substituição (> 70%). Por último, uma alta diversidade de Cerambycidae foi associada com altos valores de umidade relativa e cobertura vegetal durante a temporada de chuva, mostrando que a estrutura da comunidade de Cerambycidae na floresta seca tropical da Colômbia depende dessas variáveis, as quais são muito relacionadas com a precipitação.

Palavras-chave: Abundância; biomassa; cerambícidos; Neotropical; riqueza; estrutura.
Introduction

Originally in Colombia, the tropical dry forest (TDF) was very extensive, but today it has been reduced to less than 10% of its original area, of which only about 5% is under protection (Rodriguez et al. 2012, Pizano & García 2014). The departments with the highest TDF coverage in Colombia are in the Caribbean region (Acevedo 2016), where Atlántico and Bolívar stand out with the highest percentages (5.7% and 4.1%, respectively) (Otero et al. 2006, Pizano & García 2014). Tropical dry forest in these departments is represented by small fragments isolated from each other, surrounded by agricultural ecosystems, pastures, living fences, paths, and plantations (Otero et al. 2006, Rangel & Martínez 2017). However, these fragments provide habitat, resources, and specific environmental conditions for native fauna, constituting an important refuge and biodiversity reserve (Kattan & Álvarez-López 1996, Otero et al. 2006, Rangel & Martínez 2017).

In order to conserve this ecosystem it is critical to know the temporal dynamics of the diversity of groups of great importance such as the longhorned beetles (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae), due to their high sensitivity to the changes in the floristic composition caused by the alteration of environmental variables, such as temperature, thermal radiation, and relative humidity (Bouget 2005, Baselga 2008), from one season to another. This sensitivity results from a decrease in the availability of host plants for cerambycid species, as well as a reduction in resources and reproduction sites (Hjältén et al. 2012) negatively altering the distribution, taxonomic composition, and diversity of the family (Maeto et al. 2002). Lastly, factors such as climate change, which is constantly affecting the TDF in the Caribbean region of Colombia (Miles et al. 2006), produces high mortality of cerambycid larvae and decreases species diversity (Haack et al. 2017). Also, it is important to know the dynamics of these beetles as they perform ecological functions such as secondary pollination (Maeto et al. 2002) and decomposition of senescent and dead trees, contributing to the cycle of minerals and nutrients into the soil (Martínez 2000, Noguera 2014).

The structure and spatial variation of the cerambycid community is not expected to change because tropical dry forest in most of the Caribbean region of Colombia are very similar in their general characteristics (Otero et al. 2006), and offer a vegetal diversity favorable to the development of these beetles, which are closely related to the type of the forest and the size, development, and composition of the vegetation in a delimited area (Meng et al. 2013, Sataral et al. 2015, Sugiarito et al. 2016).

Accordingly, our hypothesis for this study was that the community structure should present a marked seasonality due to the close relationship between Cerambycidae and the phenology of TDF in the Caribbean region of Colombia. To test our hypothesis we analyzed the temporal variation of the community of longhorned beetles in two fragments of TDF (Reserva Campesina La Montaña and Reserva La Flecha) in the Caribbean region of Colombia.

Materials and Methods

1. Study area

Two fragments of TDF in the Caribbean of Colombia were chosen: Reserva Campesina la Montaña (RCM), located in the department of Atlántico, and Reserva La Flecha (RLF), in the department of Bolivar (Figure 1).

The RCM is located around the coordinates 10° 46' 2.6" N, 75° 0.2’ 34” W, at an altitude between 150 and 260 m (Vargas et al. 2015). The average temperature is 27°C and the relative humidity around 62% (García-Atencia & Martínez-Hernández 2015). The rainy season runs from April to November and the dry season from December to March (Rangel-Ch & Carvajal-Cogollo 2012). This fragment comprises 47 ha (Figure 1a) that are surrounded by farms, felling activities and controlled burning, which have disturbed the original forest cover in recent years (García-Atencia & Martínez-Hernández 2015). According to Holdridge’s (1978) classification, the vegetation is hygrotrophophytic, losing most of the canopy layer during the dry season.
The RLF is located at the median part of the Caribe plain, around the coordinates 09° 51’ 12.4” N, 75° 10’ 41.4” W, at an altitude between 324 and 500 m. The average temperature is 25°C and the relative humidity 75%. The rainfall regime is bimodal, with a period of intense drought between December and March, and the rainy season from April to June and from August to November (approx. 25 mm/month) (Rangel-Ch & Carvajal-Cogollo 2012). This fragment comprises 149 ha (Figure 1b) and is also surrounded by pastures, annual and perennial crops, and plant succession areas. These activities, such as burning, cutting trees and livestock grazing have caused a decrease of this forest, making this region a priority to become a protected area (Pizano & García 2012). According to Holdridge’s (1978) classification, the vegetation is subbigrrophic, whereby the evergreen vegetation is present (Villareal et al. 2019).

2. Sampling design

At each fragment, we performed four samplings: two during the dry season (0 mm/month), one in February and the second one in March, and two in the rainy season (150-280 mm/month), one in April/May and the second one in June. Each sampling lasted eight days, four days in one locality, and the next four days in the other. At each locality, four squared plots of 50x50 m were delimited, 350 m from each other (Figure 2). A point was placed on each vertex of the square plot (four points/plot), where the Cerambycidae were collected with fruit-baited traps (F.T), beating sheet (B.S) and manual capture (M.C). In the center, a fifth point was marked, where a light trap (L.T) was installed.

The F.T consisted of a cylindrical receptacle with two plastic funnels (diameter: 6 cm) positioned on the lateral margin of the trap, projected inward (Supplementary Material 1A). Inside the receptacle, an attractant (fermenting fruit) was included, which contained ripe banana (from the plant Musa paradisiaca L.), red wine, vanilla essence, and raw cane sugar. The F.T were placed at an elevation between 2-4 m, remained in the field for 48 hours, and were checked every 24 hours. The L.T consisted of a rectangular acrylic sheet (30x50 cm), with a lamp on each side (two plots with white lights, and two with UV lights). A collection receptacle was placed under the acrylic sheet with a solution of alcohol (70%), salt and detergent (Supplementary Material 1D). The L.T were turned on at 6 pm, only on new moon nights.

The environmental parameters were measured with an Extech (RHT10) datalogger placed at the center of each square plot (to record ambient temperature and relative humidity), and a Hellman rain meter at each sampling site to register daily precipitation (mm³) at each season. The canopy cover was measured with a spherical densitometer, according to Valdez et al. (2006).

The specimens are deposited in the entomological collection of the Universidad del Atlántico, Colombia (UARC), of the Pontificia Universidad Javeriana (MPUJ) and the Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil (MZSP).

3. Data analysis

Cerambycid richness was calculated as the number of species captured by season and fragment. Abundance was determined as the number of individuals of each species. For biomass analysis, 10 random individuals of each species were selected. These were dried for 20 days under an incandescent lamp (Cancino et al. 2014). Later, we calculated the average weight of one individual with a portable electronic scale (Model Ohaus Adventurer of 220 g ± 0.00001) and multiplied by the total number of specimens per species to obtain biomass data.

These variables were compared, between localities and seasons, with the non-parametric analysis Kruskal-Wallis and a Mann-Whitney pairwise comparison to calculate the statistical significance a posteriori. This analysis was conducted with the software PAST version 2.17 b (Hammer et al. 2001). To represent richness, equitability, and relative abundance by season and fragment, a range-abundance curve was developed (Whittaker 1965). The biomass was also included in this curve (Trapero & Reyes 2017). These analyses were performed with the software PRIMER 6.0 (Clarke & Gorley 2006) and MS Excel.

Alfa diversity was estimated as “true diversity” using the effective numbers of species, according to the diversity orders sensu Jost (2006) (α′, α′′ and α′D). This analysis was performed with the software iNEXT version 1.3.0 (Chao et al. 2014). Following Baselga (2010), the proportion of the components of beta diversity (B_α): nestedness (B_α_nes) and turnover (B_α_turnover), between season-fragments, were calculated with the software Betapart package (Baselga & Orme 2012).

We also conducted a non-metric multidimensional scaling analysis (nMDS) to explore spatial and temporal patterns of the community (Bray & Curtis 1957, Meng et al. 2013). Previously, data were transformed into log(x+1) and a Bray-Curtis similarity matrix was produced. To establish if the patterns obtained in the nMDS were significant, an ANOSIM test (p < 0.05) was performed (Meng et al. 2013). These statistics were generated with the software PRIMER 6.0 (Clarke & Gorley 2006). Lastly, a Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) (Ter-Braak 1986) was performed to determine the relation between the relative abundance of each species and the environmental variables. The
singleton specimens were eliminated to increase precision. This analysis was performed with the software R and the packages MASS (Venables & Ripley 2002) and VEGAN (Oksanen et al. 2017).

**Results**

1. **Composition of Cerambycidae species**

A total of 587 specimens from three subfamilies, 30 tribes and 128 morpho-species were collected, of which 115 were identified to species, eight to generic level, and 5 to tribal level (Supplementary Material 2). At the RCM we collected 81 species from 22 tribes, and at the RLF we collected 78 species from 23 tribes. The subfamily Cerambycinae was the most abundant and rich, representing 79.22% of the total number of specimens and 57.03% of the species, followed by Lamiinae with 19.6% of the abundance and 39.85% of the richness, and lastly the subfamily Prioninae, with just 1.19% of the abundance and 3.12% of the total amount of species.

In Cerambycinae, the highest number of species and specimens were registered for Elaphidiini, followed by Hexoplonini, Eburini and Neoibidionini. In Lamiinae, the most represented tribes were Acanthoderini and Acanthocinini. In the subfamily Prioninae, all four species belong to Macrotomini (Supplementary Material 2).

2. **Richness, abundance and biomass of Cerambycidae**

During the rainy season, the highest value of richness ($S= 110$) was registered, very distinct from the dry season ($S= 30$). The Kruskal-Wallis test determined that there is statistical significance in the richness between seasons, and the Mann-Whitney test allowed us to establish that these differences are mainly between the rainy season in the RCM and the dry season in both fragments (Supplementary Material 2). Regarding the abundance, in the RCM we collected 328 specimens ($114$ during the dry season and $214$ during the rainy season), while in the RLF we registered 259 specimens ($45$ in dry season and $214$ during the rainy season). Lastly, the highest biomass value was found in the RLF during the rainy season, and the lowest value during the dry season in both fragments. According to the Kruskal-Wallis test and the Mann-Whitney test, there is no statistical difference ($p>0.05$) in the abundance nor in biomass by fragment and season (Supplementary Material 2).

3. **Dominance, evenness, and relative abundance of Cerambycidae species**

The highest dominance was registered during the dry season in the RCM, where Ectenessiini sp. was the most dominant ($N= 89$), and the common species were *Lissonotus corallinus* Dupont, 1836 ($N= 6$), *Ysachron pilosus* (N= 4), and *Diploschenevis howdeni* (Martins & Monné, 1980) (N= 3) (Figure 3a). Additionally, we found nine more species represented by one or two specimens. Regarding the rainy season of this particular fragment, *Sphaerion costae* Garcia & Nascimento, 2020 was the dominant species (N= 41), *Psylobidion botori* Garcia, 2019, and *Neocompsa glaphyra* Martins, 1970 were registered as common species with 21 and 18 specimens respectively (Figure 3a). In addition, in this sampling we found 69 species with less than 10 specimens.

The highest evenness was registered in the RLF during the rainy season (Figure 3a), where the abundance was distributed in five species: *Diasporidion duplicatum* (Gounelle, 1909) (N= 17), *Tropidion litigiosum* Martins, 1968 (N= 15), *Sphaerion costae* (N= 15), *Limernaea ochracea* (Fisher, 1927) (N= 14), and *Psiloibidion colombica* Martins & Galileo, 1999 (N= 12). In addition to these species, 56 rare species were added, in which 64.3% were registered with only one or two specimens. Lastly, during the dry season in this fragment, we found the lowest richness and abundance of species overall (Figure 3a). Moreover, the most abundant species had less than 10 individuals *Ysachron pilosus* Garcia, Botero & Santos-Silva, 2021, and the common species (*Ecternessa wappesi* Galileo & Santos-Silva, 2016, *Beraba marica* Galileo & Martins, 1999, *Ceragenia insulana* Fisher, 1943, and *Aegomorphus circumflexus* (Jacquelin Duval, 1857)) less than four. Eighteen rare species were registered in this sampling.

![Figure 3. Whittaker plot of abundance (a) and biomass (b) of Cerambycidae species per season on each fragment.](image)

Regarding the biomass, the biggest contribution was during the rainy season (Figure 3b). The highest biomass was registered in the RLF, and *Criodion cinereum* (Olivier, 1795) stood out as the dominant species (N= 3, B= 3.4 g). During the dry season, *Strongylaspis corticarius* (Erichson, 1848) (N= 2, B= 0.53 g), *Jupoata rufipennis* (Gory, 1831) (N= 1, B= 0.35 g), and *Ceragenia insulana* (N= 3, B= 0.31 g) were dominant. As for the RCM, *Malgodon spinibarbis* (Linnaeus, 1758) was the dominant species during the rainy season (N= 2, B= 2.1 g). Other species such as *Sphaerion costae* (N= 41, 1.35 g) and *Psiloibidion botori* (N= 21, B= 1.13 g) stand out for having a medium size and high abundance making a relevant contribution to biomass. The dry season in general presented low values of biomass; *Jupoata robusta* Martins & Monné, 2002 (N= 1, B= 0.44 g) and *Lissonotus corallinus* (N= 6, B= 0.30 g) were dominant species.

4. **α diversity**

A total of 128 species were collected, of which a significant amount was registered during the rainy season (Supplementary Material 2).
The lowest value of the effective number of species (0D, 1D, 2D) was registered in the RCM during the dry season. Seventy-two species were registered in the RCM, and 61 in the RLF. Regarding the diversity order 1D, 47 species were registered, mainly during the rainy season, 39 in the RLF and 35 in the RCM. Lastly, after diversity of abundant species (2D) was higher in the RLF during the rainy season; for the dry season in the RLF and rainy season in the RCM, the same values were registered (S= 16).

4. β diversity

A total of 31 species were registered as shared between fragments, 50 exclusive for the RLF and 47 for the RCM. Regarding the seasons, a low number of shared species were registered (S= 12), and the rainy season had the highest value of exclusive species (S= 98), very different from the dry season (S= 18). The beta diversity values were high and explained mainly by turnover. According to the estimation (Jaccard dissimilarity), turnover exceeds 70% in all the cases, hitting 87% when comparing the rainy season in the RCM with the dry season in the RLF (Figure 4). Percentages of nestedness are under 14% in all the cases, and the highest value (13.2%) was obtained when comparing the dry with the rainy season in the RCM (Figure 4).

4. Spatio-temporal variation of the Cerambycidae community

The non-metric multidimensional scaling analysis (nMDS) showed that the composition and structure of cerambycid species between fragments form two separate groups, and it can be said that the groups are mainly for the composition and structure of cerambycid species between fragments (R= 0.345, p< 0.001). In this case, the differences were registered in different seasons between the same or different fragments, and when both fragments were compared at the same season there were no differences (RCM-Dry vs RLF-Dry 0.065 and RCM-Rain vs RLF-Rain 0.054) (Table 1).

5. Relation of environmental variables and canopy cover with variation of the Cerambycidae community

Regarding the environmental variables, we measured ambient temperature (AT), relative humidity (RH), canopy cover (CC) and precipitation (Prec), as seen in Supplementary Material 3.

The first two axes of the CCA explained 71% of the variation of the data and was shown to be statistically significant (p < 0.05) (Table 2), registering the highest value (0.91) on the first axis. The variables that contributed the most to this variation were canopy cover (CC), precipitation (Prec) and relative humidity (RH) on the first axis, and ambient temperature (AT) on the second. Also, we observed there is a higher concentration of species when the CC and RH increases, which occurred when the highest precipitation was registered, however then the AT increases, and we observed a lower quantity of species (Figure 6). The abundance of species such as Diploschemopsis howdeni, Ectenesini sp., Compisbideon paradoxum Martins, 1971, Yaschron pilosus and Protumida insularis Monné & Wappes, 2014, exclusive for dry season, presented a directly proportional relation to AT and inversely proportional to RH and CC. Furthermore, other species were associated with high values of RH and CC, and inversely proportional to AT, such as Sitzocera geniculata (Pascoe, 1866), Gnomidolon bellus Martins & Galileo, 2002, Lepturges (Lepturges) elegantulus Bates, 1863, Coleoxestia rubromaculata (Gounelle, 1909), Mimasyngenes icuapara Galileo & Martins, 1996, Beraba piriana Martins, 1997, and B. anae García, Botero & Martinez, 2019.

Discussion

On account of the constant threat from many anthropic activities TDF fragments face in the Caribbean of Colombia and the lack of information on the diversity of Cerambycidae, this research represents the first ecological study of the family in Colombia, serving as a pioneer
Table 2. Percentage of explained variation for each axis in the canonical correspondence analysis (CCA). AT: Ambient temperature; RH: Relative humidity; Prec: Precipitation; CC: canopy cover. Variables that contribute the most to the diversity variation in bold.

| Variables/ Axes | CCA1 | CCA2 | CCA3 | CCA4 |
|-----------------|------|------|------|------|
| Eigenvalue      | 0,9122 | 0,514 | 0,3303 | 0,2518 |
| Explained variation (%) | (F=3,1568; p= 0,001) | (F=1,7787; p= 0,014) | (F=1,143; p= 0,523) | (F=0,8714; p= 0,657) |
| Accumulated variation (%) | 45,42 | 25,59 | 16,45 | 12,5 |

Figure 6. Graphic representation of the canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) based on the interaction of environmental variables (AT: Ambient temperature; RH: Relative humidity; Prec: Precipitation) and canopy cover (CC) with Cerambycidae diversity.

The highest diversity and abundance of Cerambycinae coincides with the research of Noguera et al. (2002, 2007, 2009, 2012, 2017), who reported this subfamily as dominant in Mexican TDF. In addition, Gutiérrez et al. (2014) mentioned that Cerambycinae is the most common subfamily in dry forest, even though Lamiinae is the most abundant in most of the world and in rain forests. It is not clear what factors act on the differences registered in the pattern of richness of Cerambycinae and Lamiinae between rain and dry forest. Gutiérrez et al. (2014) suggested that these differences could be due to a sampling effect, because most of the Cerambycinae species exhibit diurnal activity and frequent flowers, making their capture easy during the flowering season, especially in dry forests where the trees have an average height of 10 m. However, the rain forest has taller trees, making capture more difficult. Lastly, Prioninae was the least common subfamily in this research, coinciding with the findings of Martínez (2000) and Botero (2018), who mentioned it as the least diverse of the subfamilies found in our study.

Tribes with a great diversity (e.g. Acanthoderini, Acanthocinini, Mallodonini) can be explained by the presence of some of their host plants, in and around the sampling plots, plant species that had been registered in TDF fragments of the Caribbean of Colombia according to Mendoza (1999), Rodríguez et al. (2012), Pizano & García (2014), and Herazo-Vitola et al. (2017). For example, the presence of Lepturges Bates, 1863, and Urgleptes Dillon, 1956 species (Acanthocinini) is explained by their association with plant species of the genus Acacia (Martius, 1829) (Romero et al. 2007), present in the study area; Steirastoma histrionicum White, 1855 (Acanthoderini) has been associated with plant species such as Ceiba pentandra (L.) Gaertner, and Sterculia apetala Druce (Vitali et al. 2006), plants characteristic from the sampled fragments; and Mallodon dasystomus dasystomus (Say, 1824), and M. spinibarbis, (Mallodonini) have Bursera simaruba (L.) Sarg., and species of the genus Ficus L. as their host plants (Maes et al. 2010), which are also present in the study area.

Regarding the seasons, during the rainy season we observed the highest values of abundance, richness, and biomass of Cerambycidae in the adult stage due to the changes in the dry forest phenology in the area. Due to their sapro-xylophagous behavior, during the dry season the larvae feed on the great quantity of dead wood available (Noguera et al. 2012) and when the rain comes, the resources increase in the forest and so does the activity of the adults of many cerambycid species to feed and mate (Sugiarto et al. 2016, Noguera et al. 2017). These results coincide with Noriega et al. (2007), who did a research on Coleoptera in the Colombian Amazonian, and found that herbivorous beetles (including Cerambycidae), were more abundant during the rainy season. Also, Noguera et al. (2002, 2012, 2017) found a higher richness and abundance of Cerambycidae during the rainy season on Mexican TDF, where 75% of the registered longhorn beetles were exclusive to this season.

The unevenness registered is mainly because of a high frequency of singletons and doubletons, a characteristic occurrence of arthropods in Neotropical ecosystems (Cava et al. 2015). The high dominance of Ectenesimini sp. during the dry season in the RCM and Ysachron pilosus in the RLF, is due to the small size of these species (< 10 mm), which are characterized for having a high number of individuals, because the
lactate larvae are able to develop in small logs, frequent in TDF forest, unlike bigger species which could have different microhabitat requirements (Sataral et al. 2015). However, there might be a sampling effect, too, because both species were collected with light trap, which is an active collection method. The even dominance of Diasporidion duplicatum, Tropidion litigiosum, Sphaerion costae, Limenaea ochracea and Piola colombica during the rainy season in the RLF, might indicate a preference of these species for the characteristics of this forest during the rain, however, further studies are necessary to clarify this finding.

The highest values of biomass during the rainy season in the RLF can be explained because during the rainy season we registered the lowest temperatures, which allows larger species to thermo-regulate because the surface/volume ratio decreases and consequently, the energy expenditure (Amat 2007). This is very important because thermoregulation in herbivorous insects is essential for controlling the energy expenditure on digestion and absorption of nutrients (Fuentes-Rodriguez et al. 2017). Lastly, a higher biomass during the rainy season is also due to the biggest individuals belonging to the subfamily Prioninae, in which almost all species are nocturnal and crepuscular, and are easily attracted to artificial lights such as the ones we used in our sampling (Švácha & Lawrence 2014).

The lowest biomass during the dry season is mainly because of the high frequency of small cerambycid species (less than 0.5 g each). These values are important because they allowed us to recognize which species contribute the most in the primary production of the ecosystem, participating in the reallocation of nutrients (Noguera et al. 2012), and influencing the TDF services and functionality.

Alfa diversity for all three orders (αD, 1D, 2D) is similar, when comparing the sampling places, probably because both forests have similar vegetation structure, containing host plants of many Cerambycidae species, so it is expected that both places will have a similar diversity of Cerambycidae as well, as the species will have enough resources in either of them. This pattern concurs with the research of Noguera et al. (2017), who showed a close relationship between the richness of plants and the richness of Cerambycidae in the Mexican TDF. Additionally, the highest diversity during the rainy season is because precipitation brings about changes in the foliage, a higher availability of habitats and flowering of many vegetal species (Pizano & García 2014), which are key to developmental activities such as reproduction of these beetles (Švácha & Lawrence 2014), and a greater availability of resources for the posterior development of the larvae. In this case, some species appear to co-evolve with their host plants (Farrell & Mitter 1998), synchronizing the adult stage with the season that offers more and better resources (Noguera et al. 2017).

We registered a high beta diversity mainly explained seasonally, which indicates a high environmental heterogeneity (Morrone & Escalante 2016). High levels of environmental heterogeneity are an answer to a well-marked seasonality of TDF in the Caribbean region of Colombia, influencing the recorded beta diversity by three mechanisms. The first mechanism are the ambient conditions which change from dry season to rainy season on each fragment, this implies that there is a separation of species with different physiological characteristics, which allows them to have niche difference between seasons on each place; the second one depends on the configuration of the environment, because the dry forest seasonality causes a low similarity within the same locality because of the environment heterogeneity; the third one depends on the capacity of dispersion of the species, because many species are not able to disperse for the barriers created by the environmental heterogeneity between both localities (Martínez et al. 2010, Pizano & García 2014, Villareal et al. 2019). Also, taking into account the geological history of TDF in Colombia, its original extension was reduced due to geological and climatic processes, and recently for anthropic interference (Kattan et al. 2019, Suarez & Vargas 2019).

The high beta diversity due to turnover can be explained spatially: the deforested areas between the forest fragments, which possibly were a continuum of TDF in the Caribbean of Colombia, represent a geographic barrier for those species closely related to their host plants (Pérez & Zaragoza 2015). In addition, turnover is also a result of the geographic size of forest fragments and habitat restriction (Harrison et al. 1992, Morrone & Escalante 2016), because the species are specific in their requirements, so their distribution is also restricted, forming groups with greater differences between each other (Rodriguez et al. 2003, Morrone & Escalante 2016).

Moreover, the low nestedness registered in this research is due to differentiation on the composition of species by the presence of barriers or patterns of selective differentiation between both localities, so they were not found in a wide range of environmental variation or geographic distribution (Baselga 2010). The above is because the composition of the communities between both localities are not a subgroup of richer communities in terms of species (Calderón-Patrón et al. 2012). Lastly, is important to take into account that the preferences of each species for its habitat or certain environmental conditions are not only for the advantage these factors offers for survival, but it is also probable that is an evolutionary component, to co-exist and decrease competition, throughout the processes of speciation. In this way, it is proposed as hypothesis that those macroecological causes also models the high beta diversity founded in this study (Morrone & Escalante 2016).

The highest diversity of Cerambycidae associated with high values of canopy cover (93.21% ± 2.07) during the rainy season could be explained by the fact that some beetles have adapted to microclimatic changes of vegetation and the availability of resources which proliferate in the canopy and soil, as explained for Onciderini (Cerambycidae, Lamiinae) (Paro et al. 2014) and even for Scarabaeidae (Martínez et al. 2010). This finding concurs with Sugiarto et al. (2016) and Gatti et al. (2018), who reported a higher diversity and dominance of Cerambycidae when canopy cover is higher. Furthermore, the close relation of high relative humidity (79.1% ± 1.92) and high cerambycid diversity, can be explained by how this variable influences wood decomposition, main food source of Cerambycidae larvae (Toledo et al. 2014, Noguera et al. 2017), coinciding with Noguera et al. (2017) study, who reported that Cerambycidae adults use death matter for larvae development, and this resource is derived from branches on the ground which could fall off for the increase of weight caused by high humidity.

The low quantity of cerambycid species related to high temperature is because this variable has a negative effect on certain functions performed by the beetles, such as wood degradation (Noriega et al. 2007). In addition, it also produces dehydration and loss of the forest canopy, decreasing the quantity of available resources for Cerambycidae (Martínez et al. 2010).

These results demonstrate that there is a temporal variation of the structure of the cerambycid community in the study area, in which the abundance, richness, and biomass are higher during the rainy season,
mainly explained by the high turnover of species from one season to another. Therefore, we conclude that TDF represents an ecosystem with a high cerambycid diversity, especially during the rainy season. It is critical to monitor these populations to evaluate the impact of anthropic activities on these remaining fragments in the Caribbean region of Colombia. This study also represents a practical approach to the study of diversity patterns of understudied groups in TDF such as the Cerambycidae in Colombia.
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