Auditory perception of self-similarity in water sounds
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INTRODUCTION

The efficient coding hypothesis postulates that the brain evolved to efficiently process natural inputs by adapting to the statistics of natural scenes (Attneave, 1954; Barlow, 1961), and the organization and functions of sensory pathways in many cases reflect the environmental statistics (Field, 1987; Olshausen and Field, 1996; Simoncelli and Olshausen, 2001; Vinje and Gallant, 2002; Felsen et al., 2005; Woolley et al., 2005; Rodriguez et al., 2010), leading to their efficient representation (Atick, 1992; Rieke et al., 1995; Nelken et al., 1999; Sigman et al., 2001; Escabi et al., 2003; Garcia-Lazaro et al., 2006; Smith and Lewicki, 2006; Butts et al., 2007; Atencio et al., 2008; Lesica and Grothe, 2008; Holmstrom et al., 2010; Rodriguez et al., 2010). Linking the structure of a natural signal to its perceptual correlate is essential for understanding sensory neural processing (Sigman et al., 2001). In any natural visual scene there are many objects of different sizes; furthermore the apparent size of an object, and even whether it is visible in the scene or is occluded by others, changes with distance to the subject (Ruderman and Bialek, 1994; Balboa et al., 2001). Visual scenes thus have scale-invariance induced both by the varying sizes of objects as well as by their varying relationships to the viewer. In contrast, in the auditory world, weaker forms of self-similarity have been identified in natural sounds. Loudness modulations in natural sounds follow 1/f spectrum (Voss and Clarke, 1975; Voss, 1978). More detailed analysis showed that within a single frequency band, the temporal structure of a natural environmental sound obeys scale-invariant statistics (Voss and Clarke, 1975; Voss, 1978; Attias and Schreiner, 1997; Escabi et al., 2003; Singh and Theunissen, 2003). Yet the role of scale-invariance across overall spectro-temporal structure of the sound has not been explored directly in auditory perception. Here, we identify that the acoustic waveform from the recording of running water is a self-similar fractal, exhibiting scale-invariance not only within spectral channels, but also across the full spectral bandwidth. The auditory perception of the water sound did not change with its scale. We tested the role of scale-invariance in perception by using an artificial sound, which could be rendered scale-invariant. We generated a random chirp stimulus: an auditory signal controlled by two parameters, Q, controlling the relative, and r, controlling the absolute, temporal structure of the sound. Imposing scale-invariant statistics on the artificial sound was required for its perception as natural and water-like. Further, Q had to be restricted to a specific range for the sound to be perceived as natural. To detect self-similarity in the water sound, and identify Q, the auditory system needs to process the temporal dynamics of the waveform across spectral bands in terms of the number of cycles, rather than absolute timing. We propose a two-stage neural model implementing this computation. This computation may be carried out by circuits of neurons in the auditory cortex. The set of auditory stimuli developed in this study are particularly suitable for measurements of response properties of neurons in the auditory pathway, allowing for quantification of the effects of varying the statistics of the spectro-temporal statistical structure of the stimulus.
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running water, and found that water sounds exhibit scale-invariance across the full spectro-temporal spectrum. The statistical make-up of the sound of running water was thus characterized by a single parameter, $Q$, reflecting the temporal scaling parameter relative to the center frequency of the specific spectral channel. We postulated that the auditory system may use $Q$ in representing information about natural environmental sounds. Through a psychophysical study, we probed whether imposing the constraint of self-similarity on an otherwise random signal, and changing $Q$, is readily identified perceptually. In fact, $Q$ served as a key parameter in judging a sound as natural. We propose a neural implementation of determination of $Q$ based on the known circuitry of the auditory cortex. Furthermore, the set of the auditory stimuli developed in this study are amenable for measurements of receptive fields of auditory neurons, under varying statistical structure of the auditory stimulus.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**GAMMATONE TRANSFORM**

Gammatone transform was computed by projecting the waveform of the sound onto a gammatone filterbank (Eq. 1). The gammatone transform is widely used to approximate the transformation of a sound into spectral bands at the cochlear stage (Goblick and Pfeiffer, 1969; Depireux et al., 2001):

$$G_n(t) = \sum_{\tau} (t - \tau) e^{-c(t/T)^{0.1}} \sin(2\pi f_\tau y(t - \tau) d\tau$$

(1)

where $y(t)$ is the signal, $G_n$ is the gammatone transform in frequency band $n$, $f_\tau$ is the center frequency, $\tau$ is the delay time and $Q$ is the bandwidth or cycle constant of decay. The center frequency of the gammatone filters, $f_\tau$, was logarithmically distributed between 400 and 22000 Hz over 50 values. The probability distribution of the square of the amplitude of the gammatone transform was computed for the histogram for $f = 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, and 20$ kHz.

**PHASE-SURROGATE SIGNAL**

Phase-surrogate signal was computed in Matlab by taking the Fast Fourier transform of the signal, multiplying the phases of all datapoints by random numbers, and taking a reverse Fast Fourier transform. Under this transformation, the resulting signal has the exact same power spectrum as the original, but the temporal relations between the components are randomized.

**POWER SPECTRUM**

The power spectrum of water and surrogate water sounds was computed in Matlab using the pwelch function, based on Welch’s averaged, modified periodogram method for sound sampled at 192 kHz, with 1024 datapoints window.

**GENERATIVE MODEL OF RANDOM CHIRP STIMULI**

A random chirp stimulus, $y(t)$, was generated as a sum of gammatone chirps, which were uniformly distributed in time with a specific rate $r$ (Eq. 2):

$$y(t) = \sum_i x_i (a_i, f_\tau, Q_i, \tau_i; t)$$

$$= \sum_i a_i \frac{f_i}{Q_i} (t - \tau_i) e^{-f(t-\tau_i)/Q} \sin(2\pi f_i(t - \tau_i))$$

(2)

where each chirp $x_i$, modeled as a gammatone function, with parameters amplitude $a_i$, frequency $f_i$, onset time $\tau_i$, and cycle constant of decay $Q_i$ drawn at random from distinct probability distributions.

Amplitude, $a_i$, was determined as $1/x + h$ where $h = 0.01$ and $x$ is drawn from a uniform distribution between 0 and $f_i/Q_i$; frequency, $f_i$, was randomly uniformly distributed in log-frequency space, between 400 and 20000 Hz; time, $\tau_i$, was uniform random with mean rate $r$ that varied over four values: 53, 534, 5340, and 5300 chirps/Octave/second; and cycle constant of decay, $Q_i$, was identical for all chirps, and was varied from 0.2 to 8 (0.2, 0.5, 0.8, 1, 1.5, 2, 3.1, 4, 8) across experimental conditions. The resulting waveform was normalized to give the same loudness (measured by computing the SD of the signal) across all values of $r$ and $Q$.

**CONTROL STIMULI**

For control experiment 1, sounds were generated in which the cycle constant of decay of the chirp scaled proportionally to the frequency of the chirp for three conditions: $Q = 0.1f_i$; $Q = 0.01f_i$; $Q = 0.001f_i$. For control experiment 2, sounds were generated in which the frequency of the chirp was drawn from a uniform linear distribution. For control experiment 3, sounds were generated in which the frequency range was restricted between 1 and 10 kHz, or 3 and 7 kHz.

**RECORDING**

Sound of a tropical brook was recorded at El Yunque tropical forest in Puerto Rico and the sound of a stream was recorded in Tulsa, OK using a calibrated B&K quarter-inch freefield microphone and TASCAM portable recorder at a sampling rate 192 kHz for over 10–20 min each.

**PSYCHOPHYSICS**

The following test sounds were presented in experiment 1 and experiment 2: in experiment 1, the original recording of a brook and 4 modified versions with different playback rates, and in experiment 2, random chirp stimuli (Eq. 2), 4 values of $r$ and 10 values of $Q$, a recording of a stream, and the 6 control sounds. These sounds were cut to 7 s, and presented in inter-leaved order to 30 adult human subjects (26 females, 4 males, mean age: 24.7 years, range: 20–36 years). The order of presentation was counterbalanced across participants. The subjects were instructed to rate the sounds as unnatural or natural on a scale from 1 (most unnatural) to 7 (most natural). If their rating was above 4, they were asked for a verbal, qualitative description of the sound. Participants were tested individually in a quiet room. A computer displayed the instructions, delivered the sounds and recorded participants’ responses. Participants listened to the sounds through high-quality Sennheiser HD 515 or HD 555 headphones.

**STATISTICS**

A one-way ANOVA test with Dunnett’s post hoc correction for 47 comparisons was used for pair-wise statistical comparisons between participants’ responses to synthetic sound and the average rating of the original brook and stream recordings. A one-way,
RESULTS
THE SOUND OF RUNNING WATER IS PERCEIVED AS NATURAL WHEN PLAYED BACK AT DIFFERENT SPEEDS

Here, we report a novel form of acoustic self-similarity, which is directly related to the perception of an artificially generated acoustic waveform as a natural sound. We first show that an ethologically relevant sound, the sound of running water, does not change its perceptual quality at a range of scales. This means that the sound waveform is scale-invariant in its temporal structure not only within distinct spectral channels (as shown previously), but also across spectral channels.

Experiment 1
Testing the perception of a sound at different scales was achieved by modifying the playback speed of the waveform, without introducing any other transformations, except for loudness normalization (Figure 1A). Listeners rated a high-resolution recording of a tropical brook as natural or unnatural on a scale from 1 (most unnatural) to 7 (most natural; Figure 1B). The naturalness rating of the recording did not change significantly with playback speed over a 16-fold range (from four times attenuated to four times accelerated), although there was a small trend toward unnaturalness when the sound was played back at four times the speed. The subjects were also asked to describe the sounds verbally/qualitatively if they rated them as four or higher, and their descriptions corresponded to water-like sounds (see Materials and Methods).

CYCLIC TEMPLATE MODEL
We modeled stage 1 of the model, deconvolution of the incoming signal from a single channel input for \( f = 1000 \) Hz and \( Q = 2 \) (rate 1). The deconvolving filter was computed as a two-sided time derivative of the envelope of the gammatone function (Eq. 1), for \( Q = 0.5, 2, \) and 8. The signal was 70 s long. The information rate between the original and the deconvolved signal was calculated as the lower bound following the standard methods (Borst and Theunissen, 1999; Geffen et al., 2009):

\[
I(f) = \log_2 \frac{P(f)}{\hat{P}(f)}
\]

where \( P(f) \) is the power of the input signal \( y(t) \) and \( \hat{P}(f) \) is the power of the normalized prediction error between the convolved signal, \( \tilde{z}(t) \) and \( y(t) \).

Figure 1 | Perception of the sound of running water as natural does not change at varied playback speed. (A) Diagram of the change of a simple sound, when its playback speed is slowed down twofold. Top: frequency–temporal envelope of a sample chirp. Bottom: chirp sound waveform. Left: Original sound. Right: Sound slowed down by a factor of 2. Note that the sound becomes longer and its center frequency is decreased by a factor of 2. (B) Experiment 1. Mean ratings by 30 adult human subjects of the recording of a natural brook, played back at five different speeds \( \times \frac{1}{4} \) slowed down by a factor of 4; \( \times \frac{1}{2} \) slowed down by a factor of 2; Original; \( \times 2 \) accelerated by a factor of 2; \( \times 4 \) accelerated by a factor or 4, on the scale from 1 (most unnatural) to 7 (most natural). Error bars = SEM. There was no significant effect of speed on the rating in a one-way ANOVA \([F(4, 26) = 1.030, n.s.])\). and the pairwise comparison with Dunnett correction for multiple comparisons did not reveal any significant differences between mean ratings of sounds with varying speed of playback and the original recording.
When a sound is played back at a different speed, its spectro-temporal structure is modified dramatically: for example, when a sound is decelerated twofold, its frequency content shifts down by an octave, and the amplitude modulations are decelerated within each channel by a factor of two (Figure 1A, example for a chirp with one central frequency). If, as observed (Figure 1B), such a transformation is perceptually invariant, it means that the relative time course of the modulations within each spectral channel of the original signal must scale relative to the frequency. The statistical dependence of such a signal at some frequency, ω, in time, t, denoted by the function $Z(\omega, t)$, has to obey a simple relationship (Eq. 4):

$$Z\left(\frac{\lambda \omega, \tau}{\lambda}\right) = \lambda^\alpha Z(\omega, \tau)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\lambda$ is the scaling factor and $\alpha$ is the scaling exponent. We postulated that the perceptually relevant features in the structure of the water sound obey Eq. 4.

**THE STRUCTURE OF THE SOUND OF RUNNING WATER IS SELF-SIMILAR**

First, we verified that, for a wide range of frequencies, the power spectrum of the signal obeyed a power law statistic, as expected for a self-similar signal. The spectral power of the natural brook in fact scaled inversely with the frequency (Figure 2).

We next examined whether the secondary statistical structure of the signal also obeyed scale-invariant relation. Comparing the signal to its phase-randomized surrogate revealed sharp peaks in the original signal, which were absent in the surrogate (Figure 3A). This showed that the original signal contained a secondary statistical structure, which differed from a random distribution with the same power spectrum (provided by the phase-surrogate signal). We transformed the sound into a spectro-temporal representation by using a gammatone transform, used to approximate the transformation of a sound into spectral bands at the cochlear stage (Goblick and Pfeiffer, 1969; Depireux et al., 2001; Eq. 1). This transformation also demonstrated that the sharp peaks present in the original could be attributed to its higher order statistical structure (Figure 3B).

As a linear operator, the gammatone transform preserves scale-invariance in the transformed signal. We next analyzed the distribution of the amplitude fluctuations within spectral bands of this transform. For any given frequency band, the signal had a punctate distribution in time, exhibiting a high number of high-amplitude events (Figures 3B,C), and resulting in a powerlaw relation in the histogram of the amplitudes (Figure 3C, inset). The scaling exponent was preserved across a range of frequencies ($-2.41 \pm 0.09$ SEM between 1 and 20 kHz; Figure 3C). If the phase relation in the running water signal were removed in the surrogate version (as the resulting sound resulted in Gaussian noise), the sharp peaks disappeared (Figure 3B, red line), and the amplitude histogram took a log-linear shape, as expected of a Gaussian signal (Figure 3C, dotted lines). The comparison to the phase-surrogate signal demonstrates that it is the cross-spectral structure of the recorded sound that results in the punctate distribution. We next compared this distribution normalized not by the SD within each channel, but by the center frequency of the transform (Figure 3D). We observed that the histogram probability distribution, when scaled by the center frequency of the transform, overlapped almost exactly at large values for a range of center frequencies of the gammatone transform (from 1 to 20 kHz; Figure 3D, inset). This meant that the structure of the signal within each spectral band matched, further obeying Eq. 4, and establishing the fractal character of this sound waveform.

**AN ARTIFICIAL SOUND IS PERCEIVED AS NATURAL AND WATER-LIKE IF CONSTRUCTED AS A SELF-SIMILAR SIGNAL**

**Experiment 2**

To analyze which aspects of the statistics of the recorded water sound corresponded to perceptual changes, we next created a library of synthetic sounds, whose parameters could be varied systematically and tested psychophysically. The basic structure of the synthetic sound, which we call the “random chirp stimulus,” $y(t)$, consisted of a superposition of gammatone enveloped chirps (Eq. 2; Figure 4A, inset depicts two chirps with different center frequency).

The values for these parameters for each chirp were drawn from random distributions. To make the resulting signal $y(t)$ obey Eq. 4, three conditions were imposed on the distribution of the parameters: The timing of occurrence of the chirps was taken as a Poisson process with a varying rate, $\tau$; the frequency of the chirps was drawn from a uniform log-frequency distribution; the cycle constant of decay, $Q$, was the same for all chirps (such that the chirps each had the same number of cycles). Further, to make the signal punctate within each spectral band, the amplitude distribution of the chirps was drawn from an inverse square distribution (see Materials and Methods). Such dependence corresponds to the attenuation of sound from uniformly distributed point sources across a two-dimensional space with distance to the listener. The
showed a trend toward significance, $F(3,27) = 2.746, p = 0.062$, and it didn’t interact with $Q [F(27,3) = 3.380, \text{n.s.}]$. Subjects were also asked to describe the sound source of the synthetic sounds if they rated the sounds at a naturalness value 4 or greater. Their descriptions ranged from a dripping tap to a roaring stream, confirming that our generative model yields a superordinate category of sounds that are perceived as “water.”

Our generative sound model had two major assumptions: the chirp frequency was drawn from a broad logarithmically uniform distribution; and the cycle constant of decay for each chirp was held constant. Both of these assumptions were required for the scale-invariance the sound structure. We tested whether these assumptions contributed to the perception of sound as natural with three control experiments (Figure 5E).

**Control experiment 1**

To make the signal self-similar, the length of each chirp was originally scaled inversely with the frequency, keeping the number of cycles in each chirp constant, independent of the center frequency. In Control experiment 1, we tested perception of sounds, which were composed of chirps, whose time length was kept the same regardless of frequency (their cycle constant scaled proportionally to the frequency). These stimuli are similar to the random pip stimuli developed in measurement of auditory receptive fields. For all values of the time constant of decay tested, these sounds were revealed for the original recording. The histogram for the surrogate signal follows a log-linear relation, which is expected of a Gaussian signal. (Inset depicts the same data on log–log scale).
perceived as significantly less natural than the self-similar synthetic sounds, almost reaching the lowest rating possible (Figure 5E). This result shows that the perception of “naturalness” in this synthetic sound stems from the comparison of the temporal structure of the stimulus across spectral bands in terms of the number of cycles, rather than absolute timing, and that structure, here characterized by Q, must be the same across spectral channels.

Control experiments 2 and 3
In Control experiments 2 and 3, we tested whether changing the power spectrum of the sound from 1/f to a linear or log-linear distribution affected the perception of the sound as natural. We found that the shape of the power spectrum had little effect on the perception of the sound as natural. In Control experiment 2, sounds generated with chirps whose frequency was drawn from a linear frequency distribution were perceived slightly less natural than the recording or the corresponding logarithmic frequency sound, but not significantly so. In Control experiment 3, restricting the range of the frequencies to a narrow band (3–7 kHz), but not to a wider band (1–10 kHz), had only a trend toward reducing the naturalness rating of the sounds (Figure 5E; Table 1). Thus, the relative power across spectral bands is less important for perceiving this sound as natural than the relative temporal structure of the chirps across spectral channels.

DISCUSSION
GENERATIVE MODEL OF SCALE-INVARINATE SOUNDS
We found that an artificial sound composed of randomly spaced chirps spanning a wide range of frequencies resulted in a perception of a natural water-like sound, if the temporal structure of each chirp scaled relative to its center frequency, for a restricted range of Q values. Our generative model of water sounds provides a considerable, yet constructive simplification as compared to the previous generative models of water sounds. The previous models had been based on constructing an approximation to the sound produced by the physical effect of the impact of the air bubbles in water (Leighton and Walton, 1987; van Den Doel, 2004). The sound produced by individual gas bubbles in water had been modeled as a damped oscillation, followed by an effect of the impact of the cavity in the water formed by rising bubble (Minnaert, 1933; Leighton et al., 1990), resulting in
The gammatone chirp that we used as the basic unit in the synthetic sound represents an approximation of the sound produced by a single bubble. However, as the synthetic sounds generated using simple gammatone chirps fully replicate the naturalness perception of a complex waveform for a single bubble sound. Our model differs from the previous models because identifying an overarching statistical principle of scale-invariance allows us to dramatically reduce the number of parameters that describe the full structure of the generated synthetic sounds, where the frequency of the chirps was drawn from a uniform linear distribution (“Linear Frequency”). Control experiment 3: Rating of two control synthetic sounds, where the frequency of the chirps was restricted to a subband of frequencies, either 1–10 kHz (“Log 1–10 kHz”) or 3–7 kHz (“Log 3–7 kHz”). Error bars = SEM. To evaluate the contributions of chirp rate and Q to the perception of naturalness, a two-way, repeated measures ANOVA was performed on data in (A–D), revealing the significant main effect of Q \[ F(9, 21) = 4.958, p < 0.0001 \]. There was no significant effect of rate, or interactions between the rate and Q parameters. Stars indicate significance of the pair-wise comparisons between rating of each synthetic sound and the original sound, multiple comparisons (n = 47) corrected using one-sided (less than control) Dunnett’s adjustment (** \( p < 0.001 \); * \( p < 0.01 \); * \( p < 0.05 \)). See “Table S2 in Supplementary Material” for a table of significant p-values.
Table 1 | Table of significant p-values for pair-wise comparison with one-sided multiple comparison Dunnett correction for data in Figure 4 after one-way ANOVA.

| Test file        | p-Value of significance of difference from control |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Rate 1, Q = 0.2  | <0.0001                                          |
| Rate 1, Q = 0.5  | <0.0001                                          |
| Rate 1, Q = 0.8  | 0.009                                            |
| Rate 1, Q = 8    | <0.0001                                          |
| Rate 2, Q = 0.2  | <0.0001                                          |
| Rate 2, Q = 0.5  | 0.031                                            |
| Rate 2, Q = 8    | <0.0001                                          |
| Rate 3, Q = 0.2  | <0.0001                                          |
| Rate 3, Q = 4    | 0.012                                            |
| Rate 3, Q = 8    | <0.0001                                          |
| Rate 4, Q = 0.2  | 0.007                                            |
| Rate 4, Q = 4    | <0.0001                                          |
| Rate 4, Q = 8    | <0.0001                                          |
| Control 1, Q = 0.001* f | 0.003 |
| Control 1, Q = 0.01* f | <0.0001 |
| Control 1, Q = 0.1* f | <0.0001 |
| Control 3, Log 3–7 kHz | 0.059 |

of the original, the specifics of the shape of the chirp produced by the kernel appear to be less important than their distribution. Our study shows that the perception of the overall sound is dominated by the temporal statistics within and across spectral bands, which follow a temporally based scale-invariant relation.

MODEL OF CYCLO-SPECTRAL TEMPLATE MATCHING

How does the auditory system integrate information about the chirps into a percept of water? Our study suggests that the water sound may be identified by two computations: (1) activation of the channels encoding the dominant Q value of the sound for each spectral band, and (2) template representation of the characteristic Q value across spectral bands, averaged over time (Figure 6A).

Step 1: Cyclic deconvolution of the auditory spectrogram

At the first stage, the envelope of the fluctuations of the sound waveform within each spectral band is convolved with a bank of triphasic filters of varying Q values, implemented as a two-sided derivative of the gammatone function, $X^Q(t)$. This computation may be achieved through the successive stages of integration and local inhibition within the primary auditory cortex. The output, $y(f,t,Q)$ reproduces the original signal from a signal contaminated with broadband noise if the mean Q statistics of the input matches that of the filter. We modeled this processes for a single channel with $f = 1000$ Hz and $Q = 2$ ($r = 0.07$) (Figures 6B,C). The input signal, with added Gaussian broadband noise (10% maximum amplitude of signal), was then passed through three deconvolving filters, $X^Q(t)$, for $f = 1000$ Hz and $Q = 0.5, 2$, and 8. The resulting output most accurately captured the peaks in the input and captured most information in the relevant frequency bands for $X^{0.5}(t)$. The output $X^{0.5}(t)$ was dominated by noise, whereas using a wider filter, $X^{2.0}(t)$, merged neighboring peaks (Figure 5B). The information rate of the output with respect to the input (Eq. 3, Geffen et al., 2009) was greatest for $X^{2.0}(t)$ (Figure 6C, red trace). $X^{2.0}(t)$ exhibited the greatest amount of information in the noise band (around 100 Hz), whereas $X^{0.5}(t)$ exhibited information in the broad band, but less information than $X^{2.0}(t)$. The resulting output of $X^{2.0}(t)$ representation provides a sparse representation of the auditory waveform (Smith and Lewicki, 2006; Hromadka et al., 2008). The deconvolving filter performs essentially the sparsening computation, which was previously implemented through a matching pursuit algorithm (Smith and Lewicki, 2006).

Step 2: Template matching

To create a template of representation of the sound statistics in the cyclo-spectral space, a sharpening stage is introduced (Figure 6A). Through lateral inhibition between neighboring Q-channels, the responses of the maximally activated Q channel are enhanced, while the activity of the network is averaged over time. The resulting $\langle Z(f, Q) \rangle$, representation is similar to the computation of an auto-correlation width for each spectral channel, in units of cycles. In this representation, the water sound corresponds to a “line”: units encoding the same Q value across frequencies should be activated the strongest (Figure 6D). On the other hand, the control sounds 1, which are composed of chirps of constant duration across frequencies, will correspond to a diagonal line. To detect a vertical column activated in $\langle Z(f, Q) \rangle$, a downstream unit or network of units, which receive inputs from channels with the same Q, is required. The potential stage for this computation may be localized to the association auditory cortices, in which average neuronal activity is correlated with the spectro-temporal coherence in the stimulus (Overath et al., 2008). For natural sounds, which contain large noise components, this computation should occur after a de-noising stage, in which the sound object is separated from other sound sources and background noise (Asari et al., 2006; Hromadka and Zador, 2009).

AUDITORY CORTEX RESPONDS STRONGEST TO STIMULI WITH NATURAL STATISTICS

Several recent works show that as a population, neurons in the ascending auditory pathway should be activated strongest by sounds identified as “most natural” here. The spectro-temporal receptive field (STRFs) of a neuron in the ascending auditory system may be characterized by the preferred spectral and temporal modulation frequency, as well as the bandwidth of the spectral and temporal modulation frequency. In the temporal domain, imposing $f/T$ temporal statistics over the stimulus evoked an increase in discharge of neurons in the primary auditory cortex (O’Connor et al., 2005; Garcia-Lazaro et al., 2006). Further, neural tuning properties of neurons in the IC adapt to match the statistics of the stimulus (Lesica and Grothe, 2008). For a representative large population of neurons in the IC, most of the neurons’ spectro-temporal bandwidth matched the predicted Q range (Rodriguez et al., 2010), and thus, a larger proportion of neurons in the IC is expected to fire in response to these sounds. Finally, sounds whose temporal scale was proportional to the frequency, in the range that we identified, evoked the highest firing rate in neurons in the primary auditory cortex (O’Connor et al., 2005). A
stream of chirps of a particular frequency and Q value will predominantly activate the central auditory neurons whose receptive fields exhibit the corresponding relationship between the spectral and temporal modulation frequency bandwidth. Thus, stage 1 fields exhibit the corresponding relationship between the spectral dominantly activate the central auditory neurons whose receptive stream of chirps of a particular frequency and broadly tuned neurons. The identified pattern of neuronal connectivity would lead to a representation of bandwidth-limited, yet spectrally broadly tuned neurons.

**Cyclo-spectral template matching model.** (A) An overview of the model. The incoming sound waveform is transformed into a spectro-temporal representation at the early auditory stages. In stage 1, the signal within each spectral channel is passed through a filterback of deconvolution filters of varying Q, \(X^Q_f(t)\), constructed as two-sides derivatives of the gammatone function. In the last stage, through lateral inhibition, the most active Q-based channel is enhanced and averaged over time. (B) The output of the stage 2 of the model of an input signal (black trace, inverted for clarity of figure) with \(f = 1000\) Hz, \(Q = 2\), rate 1 with 10% Gaussian noise (gray trace, inverted for clarity of figure) for \(X^Q_f(t)\), with \(Q = 0.5\) (green trace), 2 (red trace), and 8 (blue trace). The convolution of the input and \(X^Q_f(t)\) was normalized by the maximum value and half-wave rectified. The output for the filter with \(Q = 2\) captures all the peaks present in the original signal, while the output for the filter with \(Q = 8\) smooths over neighboring peaks, and output for filter with \(Q = 0.5\) misses the peaks because of the noise. (C) The deconvolving filter with matching Q relays the most information about the original signal. The information rate of the output of stage 2 of the model as in (B). Note that the red trace (\(Q = 2\)) depicts a greater information content transmitted by the matching deconvolving filter. The green trace (\(Q = 0.5\)) exhibits most power in the noise band. The blue trace (\(Q = 8\)) exhibits lower information than the red trace over all frequency bands. (D) Examples of cyclo-spectral templates: Predicted mean activation of cyclo-spectral (Q-)channels. Sounds with constant Q across spectral bands, such as the naturally perceived sounds with \(Q = 2\), will activate predominantly the channels of the same Q across spectral channels. Sounds from control 1, composed of chirps whose temporal structure is constant, activate the channels with varying Q across spectral bands: Q will be higher for higher frequencies.

**Cyclical deconvolution**

**Template matching**

**Scale-invariant sound**

**FIGURE 6 | Cyclo-spectral template matching model.** (A) An overview of the model. The incoming sound waveform is transformed into a spectro-temporal representation at the early auditory stages. In stage 1, the signal within each spectral channel is passed through a filterback of deconvolution filters of varying Q, \(X^Q_f(t)\), constructed as two-sides derivatives of the gammatone function. In the last stage, through lateral inhibition, the most active Q-based channel is enhanced and averaged over time. (B) The output of the stage 2 of the model of an input signal (black trace, inverted for clarity of figure) with \(f = 1000\) Hz, \(Q = 2\), rate 1 with 10% Gaussian noise (gray trace, inverted for clarity of figure) for \(X^Q_f(t)\), with \(Q = 0.5\) (green trace), 2 (red trace), and 8 (blue trace). The convolution of the input and \(X^Q_f(t)\) was normalized by the maximum value and half-wave rectified. The output for the filter with \(Q = 2\) captures all the peaks present in the original signal, while the output for the filter with \(Q = 8\) smooths over neighboring peaks, and output for filter with \(Q = 0.5\) misses the peaks because of the noise. (C) The deconvolving filter with matching Q relays the most information about the original signal. The information rate of the output of stage 2 of the model as in (B). Note that the red trace (\(Q = 2\)) depicts a greater information content transmitted by the matching deconvolving filter. The green trace (\(Q = 0.5\)) exhibits most power in the noise band. The blue trace (\(Q = 8\)) exhibits lower information than the red trace over all frequency bands. (D) Examples of cyclo-spectral templates: Predicted mean activation of cyclo-spectral (Q-)channels. Sounds with constant Q across spectral bands, such as the naturally perceived sounds with \(Q = 2\), will activate predominantly the channels of the same Q across spectral channels. Sounds from control 1, composed of chirps whose temporal structure is constant, activate the channels with varying Q across spectral bands: Q will be higher for higher frequencies.

**Cyclic computation across spectral bands**

The resulting computation from the cyclical template matching model may be likened to the global comparison of the properties of the sound on timescales relative to the frequency—a computation in terms of the number of cycles, rather than absolute timing. The stimuli developed for the psychophysical experiments in this study may be used in electrophysiological studies to explore the pattern of activation of neurons in the auditory cortex, whose receptive field is sensitive to different Q values, and to identify downstream targets of neurons with similar Q tuning properties. This computation may further facilitate both the encoding of a natural auditory scene, and the detection of a source against a background, as the droplets with a common Q statistics are pulled together in a coherent stream.

**Generalization to other natural sounds**

Although our analysis is restricted to a subset of natural sounds, namely running water, a mechanism for comparing the structure of the sound across spectral bands in terms of cycles, rather than
absolute timing, may be useful in processing other types of natural sounds. As the second-order statistics and the basis of optimal filters for sparse encoding of environmental sounds match closely those of human speech (Singh and Theunissen, 2003; Smith and Lewicki, 2006), the comparison of the temporal dynamics across frequencies in terms of the relative number of cycles may be relevant for natural sound processing and speech encoding (Turner and Sahani, 2008; McDermott et al., 2009).

**A NOVEL LIBRARY OF STIMULI FOR RECEPTIVE FIELD MAPPING**

The random chirp stimuli, implemented here in psychophysical studies, may be readily used to measure the response properties, such as the STRFs, of neurons in the auditory pathway under varying statistical constraints. The random chirp stimuli, generated using Eq. 1, are composed of chirps that are randomly distributed in log-frequency and time. As such, these sounds are similar to the standard random pip stimuli previously developed for the measurement of STRFs (Blake and Merzenich, 2002; Gourevitch and Eggermont, 2008). The crucial difference is that pips at different frequencies have the same temporal duration, whereas chirps at different frequencies have the same number of cycles, and thus scale in their temporal length relative to their central frequency. Stimuli for control experiment 1 were constructed similar to the random pip stimuli. Random pip stimuli have been particularly useful to identify the effect of auditory density on processing of the local features of the auditory waveform in the inferior colliculus and the primary auditory cortex. To compute the STRFs of an auditory neuron, using the random pip stimuli, one performs reverse-correlation between the firing rate of the neuron and the matrix composed of frequency and timing of each pip. As the number of the pips was increased to change the spectro-temporal density of the signal, STRF of an auditory neuron was computed and compared across different statistical condition (Blake and Merzenich, 2002; Gourevitch and Eggermont, 2008).

Our study, however, (Figure 5), shows that the random chirp stimuli we constructed (experiment 2) are perceived as significantly more natural than the random pip stimuli (control experiment 1). Since the receptive fields of neurons in the ascending auditory pathway change with changing statistical structure of the stimuli (Hsu et al., 2004; Woolley et al., 2005; Atencio et al., 2008; Sharpee et al., 2008), using a set of stimuli which mimic the natural statistics of the environment in computing the receptive fields of these neurons may be advantageous for predicting the response of neurons to natural sounds. Evidence suggests that neurons in the primary auditory cortex are particularly responsive to stimuli with high contrast (Blake and Merzenich, 2002). The sharp onset of the chirp in the random chirp stimulus may thus strongly entrain neurons in the auditory cortex, while remaining within a natural statistical regime. Furthermore, as in this study, during electrophysiological recordings, the Q statistic may be modified for the entire stimulus set, and thus the effect of Q on encoding of local spectro-temporal response properties of neurons may be examined.

To compute the STRFs of an auditory neuron, from its firing rate, \( r(t) \), in response to a random chirp stimulus, \( y(t) \), the following procedure is used. The stimulus is represented as frequency-time matrix, \( S_f \), which is constructed as a sum of the amplitudes of chirps at the specific frequency \( \omega_i \) and with onsets within the timebin \( \tau_j \):

\[
S_f = \sum_{[\omega_i,\tau_j]} a_{\omega_i,\tau_j}
\]

The response is constructed from the firing rate of the neuron binned into defined time bins. The receptive field is computed through reverse-correlation between the response and the signal following standard methods (see, for example Geffen et al., 2007, 2009; Calabrese et al., 2011). Details on the implementation of this algorithm and application to neural data will appear in a forthcoming manuscript.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, we have shown that the natural sound of running water exhibits a temporal self-similarity across spectral channels, and this self-similarity is the key factor, which is used to discriminate natural and unnatural sounds in auditory perception. Our study points to the importance of examining the temporal structure of natural sounds on timescales relative to the spectral frequency. We developed a novel library of auditory stimuli, random chirp stimuli, whose scale-invariant parameters may be directly controlled. These stimuli are perceived as natural for a range of statistical parameters, and unnatural for another range of the parameters. Thus, they may prove useful in studies designed to determine the STRFs properties of neurons in the auditory pathway and the effect of varying the temporal structure of the sound on the way the auditory system represent the environment.
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**SOUND FILES**

These sound files were used in the psychophysical measurements.

- **MOVIE S1** | *Brook*.n.wav – original recording of the brook used in Figures 1B, 3, and 5 as control.
- **MOVIE S2** | *Brook_surr*.wav – phase-randomized surrogate of the original brook recording used in Figure 3B.
- **MOVIE S3** | *X_r2_0.5.n.wav* : random chirp stimulus used in Figure 5B with rate 2 and \( Q = 0.5 \) value.
- **MOVIE S4** | *X_r2_2.5.n.wav* : random chirp stimulus used in Figure 5B with rate 2 and \( Q = 2.5 \) value. \( Q = 2.5 \) corresponds to the most natural rated sound.
- **MOVIE S5** | *X_r2_8.n.wav* : random chirp stimulus used in Figure 5B with rate 2 and \( Q = 8 \) value.
- **MOVIE S6** | *Control1_2.wav* : Control 1 sound used in Figure 5E rate 2, \( Q = 0.01f \).
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