Electrochemical energy conversion realized through Ag@Pt/rGO nano-catalyst enhancing activity of the ORR process in a PEMFC
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Abstract. In this study, core-shell structures of Ag@Pt nanoparticles (NPs) were dispersed upon reduced Graphene Oxide (rGO) support that contains different Ag:Pt mass ratios synthesized through the ultrasonic treatment method. These were applied to an Oxygen Reduction Reaction (ORR) process in a Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell (PEMFC). The morphology of as-prepared catalysts was characterized through High-Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM), X-Ray Diffraction (XRD), and Induced Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-AES) analyses. The ORR activities and stabilities of catalysts were studied through electrochemical measurements of Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) and single cell tests, respectively. Results revealed that the prepared Ag@Pt/rGO catalysts possessed a core-shell nanostructure, and the one with an Ag:Pt mass ratio of 1:3 displayed the largest electrochemical surface area of 77.6 m² g⁻¹. Moreover, this material provided the highest stability among other synthesized electrodes, containing different Ag:Pt mass ratios, and the obtained commercial Pt/C electrode. The maximum power density for the MEA prepared with this electrocatalyst was determined to be 55% higher than that of the commercial Pt/C, evaluated through single cell techniques. Thus, the understudied material seems to be a very promising cathode for use in PEM fuel cells.

© 2019 Sharif University of Technology. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In order to obtain a clean and environmentally-friendly power source, PEMFCs are considered to more mature and developed than other green devices due to their possessing advantages: i) a low working temperature of 80°C, ii) good energy efficiency, iii) high power density per unit volume, iv) immobilized electrolyte, and v) near-zero emission [1-5]. In light of growing demand for the commercialization of PEM fuel cells, considerable attention has been given to cost reduction while increasing the durability of such systems [5]. Platinum supported on electrically conductive carbon is the most widespread electrocatalyst used in such devices. Nevertheless, to reach sufficient current densities, particularly for sluggish reaction kinetics of Oxygen Reduction Reaction (ORR) on the cathode side, high loadings of Pt were utilized to reach appropriate levels of performance [6-9]. Meanwhile, Pt’s high cost has led to many efforts for using it more effectively, e.g., alloying platinum with transition metals [10-14] or employing its synergetic chemical coupling with co-catalysts or support [15]. Nonetheless, the catalytic activities and long-term stabilities of these materials used for the ORR taking place through the PEM fuel cells are still insufficient [16,17]. On the other hand, core-shell nanoparticles are considered to be innovative struc-
tures, in which the Pt species (i.e., as the shell part) represents a more efficient material (compared to that of the core one) for the PEM fuel cells. Such electrode structures provide remarkable properties when utilized in the aforementioned fuel cells. These properties include: i) enhanced catalytic activities attributed to the ligand performances, leading to changes in the d-band position and, hence, improving the charge transfer, ii) providing higher activation energies, iii) possessing better adsorption behaviors in particular for the oxygen reduction reaction, and iv) consuming lower amounts of the Pt, leading to the overall cost reduction [18-28]. It is noteworthy to mention that, in such oxygen reduction reactions, the catalysis occurred as a surface phenomenon [29]. Furthermore, the Pt might have interacted electronically with the metal core, increasing the activity and durability of this material for the ORR. This would have taken place through the reduction of the Pt-Pt bond distance at the interface and near-interface layers of the core, as well as the Pt shell. This is attributed to the enhancement of the Pt’s 3d-orbital vacancies [30,31]. In this venue, therefore, many research pieces are involved with the preparation of the core-shell structured catalysts, some of which include Co@Pt structure prepared by the reduction method and the subsequent electroless deposition [32], Ru@Pt structure [33], and Pd@Pt electrocatalysts prepared by the replacement and electroless deposition [34].

On the other hand, durability of synthesized electrocatalyst is considered to be one of the principal factors in deciding upon an appropriate metal, playing the role of the core in this structure. Therefore, based upon possessing a similar crystal structure and a lattice parameter as the Pt species, silver was decided to be a promising metal for platinum core-shell structure. In other words, Ag is selected since it is a transition metal that possesses face-centered cubic (fcc) crystals and has lattice spacing being a little bit larger than that of the platinum [35]. Furthermore, these similarities in the lattice spacing facilitate the growth of Pt (shell) upon Ag (core) [36,37]. In the past few years, Ag@Pt core-shell structures have been investigated in PEMFCs [38-40]. Yu et al. [38] applied Ag@Pt core-shell structure with different Ag:Pt mass ratios and a total metal loading of 40% supported on multi-wall carbon nanotubes (MWCNT). Their results demonstrated that the ECSA of Ag@Pt at the optimum mass ratio was 70.63 m² g⁻¹. Then, their group upgraded the carbon-based MWNT by adding MnO₂ to Ag@Pt/MWCNT electrocatalysts such that their findings ended up in an optimum activity with an electrochemical area of 85.83 m² g⁻¹. This material possessed a composition of Ag10%@Pt10%/MWCNTs-α-MnO₂ 20% and was applied to the ORR [38]. In another research, Koh et al. [40] applied dilute aqueous solution of PtCl₄ (0.1 g L⁻¹) blended with a commercial Ag nanocatalyst with no extra chemical agents added and set the mass ratio of Pt:Ag to about 1:19 in order to create a thin monolayer of Pt-shell over the silver nanoparticles followed by sonication. Their results demonstrated a good progress in the durability and activity of the electrocatalyst [40].

In addition, one way to enhance the electrochemical (active) surface area (ECSA) per unit mass of an electrode often involves reducing the size of particles [9] and constructing core-shell or hollow structures [10-12]. Other routes include modifying the surface electronic states of the catalysts to tune materials’ catalytic properties and seek enhancement in both activity and durability. Examples of these include alloying with another metal [13,14].

Ultimately, in order to boost the performance and promote the utilization of the Pt in a core-shell catalyst, support materials with particular capabilities were decided to be investigated. These abilities include: i) enhancing availability of the catalyst surface area for electron transfer, ii) providing preferable mass transport to the catalyst, iii) promoting transfer of electrons to the current collector, and ultimately iv) possessing a suitable capability for the water transport property of a core-shell structure towards the cathode side of a PEM fuel cell [41-43]. On the other hand, carbon black is commonly used as a carbon type support for the fuel cell electrocatalysts. Nonetheless, it faced corrosion when coupled in a core-shell structure with Pt as the dissolution-aggregation problems were observed by other researchers [44,45]. To resolve this issue, carbon nanotubes [46,47], mesoporous carbon [48], carbon nanofibers [49], and graphene [50,51] were suggested to replace the carbon black. Moreover, in recent years, graphene has been recognized as a possible catalyst support due to its high electronic and thermal conductivity, stable physical and mechanical properties, and larger surface area compared with other sp² bonded carbon materials [52,53]. In particular, Graphene Oxide (GO) consists of sheet-like graphene frameworks with carbonyl (C=O), hydroxyl (-OH), carboxyl (-COOH), and phenol groups. However, through a chemical or physical reduction of GO, it is transformed into a graphene-like semi-metal [54]. This made it a rather attractive support for the Pt core-shell catalyst utilized in a PEM fuel cell.

To the best of these authors’ knowledge, to date, no such studies that demonstrate the graphene support for Ag@Pt core-shell structure applied to PEM fuel cells exist. In this venue, the current research reported a novel nano-catalyst structure, a silver-platinum core-shell supported upon rGO, to improve the durability, electrical conductivity, and activity of catalyst for the ORR in a PEMFC. For this purpose, a specific Pt:Ag mass ratio was supported upon the rGO by a reducing agent and the ultrasonic treatment.
method. As the silver nanoparticles were loaded onto the graphene oxide surface, the GO substrate reduced while simultaneously Ag nanoparticles grew upon it. Then, Pt nanoparticles loaded upon the surface of the rGO already support the silver species. The configuration of the core-shell structure was verified through the HRTEM, XRD, and electrochemical techniques. Moreover, the best performing material in terms of the Pt:Ag mass ratio was determined. This catalyst system was investigated from both the activity and stability points of view for an ORR medium taking place in a PEMFC while its performance was compared with that of a commercial Pt/C material.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials synthesis

2.1.1. Chemicals and reagents

Graphite flakes were provided by the Sigma Aldrich Co. while concentrated sulfuric acid (H$_2$SO$_4$, 95%), potassium permanganate, hydrochloric acid (HCl), hydrogen peroxide aqueous solution (H$_2$O$_2$, 30%), HNO$_3$, AgNO$_3$, sodium citrate, NaBH$_4$, H$_2$PtCl$_6$·6H$_2$O, NaOH, and 2-propanol were all obtained from the Merck Chemical Inc. (Darmstadt, Germany). These chemicals were utilized without further purification. Naion® 115 membranes from Dupont® were pre-treated with hydrogen peroxide, distilled water, and dilute H$_2$SO$_4$. In all preparation procedures and analyses, deionized (DI) water through a Millipore system (Milli-Q®) was consumed.

2.1.2. Preparation of GO

Graphene Oxide (GO) used in this study was synthesized through Hummers and Offeman’s method [55] in the following manner. Initially, 2 g of graphite flakes and 2 g of NaNO$_3$ were mixed in 50 mL of H$_2$SO$_4$ (98%) in a 1,000 mL volumetric flask. The mixture was kept in ice bath under continuous stirring in the range of 0 to 5°C for 2 h. Then, 6 g of potassium permanganate was added to this mixture very slowly. The addition rate was adjusted to keep the reaction temperature lower than 20°C. Next, the mixture was stirred at 35°C until it became brownish. Then, it was kept under stirring overnight at room temperature. This was followed by the slow addition of 100 mL of DI water under vigorous stirring at 98°C, leading to a change in color to brown. Then, the solution was diluted by adding additional 200 mL of DI water under stirring. Finally, the above resulting mixture treated with 10 mL of H$_2$O$_2$ to end the reaction was shown through the appearance of yellow color. Next, for purification, the mixture was washed through rinsing and centrifugation first with 10% HCl and, then, with DI water several times. Afterwards, filtrations and dryings took place under vacuum, and Graphene Oxide (GO) was ultimately generated.

2.1.3. Preparation of rGO supported Ag nanoparticles

The reduced GO supported Ag nanoparticles were prepared through a colloidal method by NaBH$_4$ as a reducing agent. Analogous to the procedure of Yu et al. [38], the graphene oxide powder was functionalized by introducing surface oxide groups onto it. In a typical one, Ag@Pt/rGO with a nominal Ag:Pt mass ratio of 1:1 (i.e., the quantity of metal accounts for 10% of the total mass of the electrocatalyst) was prepared. The graphene oxide powder was added to a mixture of 370 mL of 1 mM aqueous solution of AgNO$_3$ and 1.6 mL of 38.8 mM aqueous sodium citrate solution (which acted as a stabilizer) under continuous stirring. Then, 37 mL of 22.4 mM aqueous NaBH$_4$ solution was added dropwise under rigorous stirring to the flask containing graphene oxide material until yellow Ag hydroxide was formed. As mentioned earlier, GO was reduced to rGO, while Ag nanoparticles were grown simultaneously upon it [56]. Next, the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature to break down the residual NaBH$_4$ [38]. Due to the presence of oxygen groups on graphene oxide, its surface became active and capable of absorbing Ag$^+$. Therefore, the core-shell structure formation of the Ag@Pt electrocatalyst was achieved more effortlessly.

2.1.4. Preparation of Ag@Pt/rGO electrocatalyst

Ag@Pt electrocatalyst was supported on the synthesized rGO via the ultrasonic method through the following steps. Initially, reduced GO supported Ag nanoparticles were added to DI water and 2-propanol in an ultrasonic bath for 60 min. Then, a 0.03 M solution of H$_2$PtCl$_6$·6H$_2$O was dispersed in this suspension at different mass ratios of Ag:Pt to obtain a total metal loading of 10 wt% under constant stirring and ultrasonic conditions. This was performed for an hour while a few drops of a 1.0 M NaOH solution were used for adjusting the pH at around 10. Finally, the electrocatalyst was filtered and washed with DI water and acetone several times. This material was dried overnight at 80°C.

2.2. Physical characterization

Powder X-Ray Diffraction measurements (XRD) were performed using an X’Pert MPD Phillips diffractometer with a Co X-ray radiation source and Ni filter in a continuous mode at 40 kV and 30 mA. The XRD patterns were obtained with a scanning rate of 1°C min$^{-1}$ and a step size at the 2θ scan of 0.02° in the range of 10-100°.

To evaluate the bulk Pt and Ag contents of the synthesized electrocatalysts, Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP-OES, Varian Vista-Pro, Australia) analysis of the dissolved materials was carried out. In this
venue, 5 mg of each synthesized material initially was dissolved in a mixture of HCl and HNO₃ at a 3:1 mass ratio and, then, refluxed at 120°C for 8 h. Next, the Pt and Ag atomic ratios were determined through the ICP technique using respective standard solutions with an error of around 2-4%.

The morphologies of Ag@Pt/rGO electrocatalyst were further investigated via High-Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM) using a Philips Model CM200. Samples for these evaluations were prepared by dispersing 1.0 g of nanoparticles in 30.0 mL of ethanol using a sonication bath. Then, a few drops of it were placed upon a copper grid. Finally, this grid was dried at 80°C for 12 h under vacuum to get it ready for the HRTEM observations.

2.3. Electrochemical characterization
Cyclic Voltammetry (CVs) measurements required for determining the electrocatalytic activity of the Ag@Pt/rGO material with different mass ratios (of the Ag to Pt) were performed with an EG&G Princeton Applied Research Model 273A instrument. All electrochemical experiments were carried out in a well-established three-electrode cell assembled with: i) the catalyst-ink coated Glassy Carbon (GC) disk (of 0.0314 cm² area) as the working electrode, ii) platinum wire (of 1.0 cm² area) as the counter electrode, and iii) Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode. As the first step in electrode drawing up, the glassy carbon electrode was polished with 0.1 μm diamond solution until obtaining a mirror finish. The surfaces of all electrodes were cleaned by potential cycling in N₂ and purged for 30 min while immersed in de-aerated 0.5 M H₂SO₄ electrolyte solution. Next, a 5 mg sample of the Ag@Pt/rGO electrocatalyst was added to a 3 ml mixture of 2-propanol and DI water. Then, it was placed in a sonication bath medium for 20 min. Next, 8 μL of this catalyst suspension was transferred dropwise onto a GC substrate with 0.1 μg Pt cm⁻²-loading and was dried at room temperature overnight. Then, 3 μL of 0.05 wt.% Nafion® solution was introduced on the Ag@Pt/rGO electrocatalyst layer as an ionomer solution. Cyclic voltamograms were procured in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ with a scan rate of 50 mV/s under N₂ atmosphere at 25°C. In order to rest assured about the quality and durability of the synthesized materials, Accelerated Durability Tests (ADT) were performed. This occurred by applying CV between −0.25 and 1.2 V versus the Ag/AgCl reference electrode at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹ for a particular number of cycles. This simulated the environment of the membrane interface on the cathode side.

Next, Linear Sweep Voltammograms (LSV) and Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy measurement (EIS) were acquired. These were performed through the electrochemical cell, connected to a potentioctstat-galvanostat (EG&G Model 273A) for the I-V polar-
ization measurements and to a frequency response detector (Model 1025) for the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. LSV was performed at a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹ in the potential range of 1 to -0.2V versus the Ag/AgCl reference electrode being cahotic to the equilibrium potential of the oxygen electrode for the ORR measurements. To obtain charge transfer resistance (Rct), the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy measurement was developed at 0.5 V as opposed to the Ag/AgCl potential. The AC potential amplitude was 5 mV, while the frequency range was set at 10 kHz-0.01 Hz.

2.4. Fuel cell tests
PEM fuel cell performance tests were carried out in a single fuel cell. Membrane Electrode Assembly (MEA) was prepared initially by the spraying method. The prepared catalyst ink contained 2-propanol and distilled water (1:1 volume ratio) and a 15 wt% Nafion solution (made of the 5% Aldrich’s stock solution). Then, the mixture was treated for 20 min under ultrasonication to achieve a uniform dispersion. The resulting material was sprayed directly onto the Gas Diffusion Layer (GDL) according to the following procedure. First, the GDL was prepared from a suspension consisting of 30 wt% PTFE (which was prepared from a 6% solution by weight) and 70 wt% carbon Vulcan. Hence, the loading in the carbon and PTFE composite was 1 mg cm⁻². Next, proper amounts of the PTFE and Vulcan were added to the medium of isopropyl alcohol (IPA) - distilled water solution (in a 2:1 volume ratio) - and sonicated for 30 min. The suspension was painted onto a porous carbon paper and, then, dried at 80°C for 30 min. The electrodes were heated at 280°C for 30 min to remove the dispersing agent (from the PTFE) and, then, were sintered at 330°C for 30 min.

The DuPont’s Nafion-115 was utilized as a proton electrolyte membrane. It was boiled up in a 5 vol% H₂O₂ solution for 1.5 h followed by rinsing and washing in DI water. The resulting material was further boiled in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ for 1.5 h followed by washing with several portions of DI water. The synthesized catalyst was mixed into the Nafion solution and a solution of IPA: water (of 2:1 volume ratio) while it was sonicated for 30 min at room temperature in order to create a uniform reaction layer. The mixture was spread onto the Nafion-115 membrane and sintered in a furnace at 350°C for 30 min. Ultimately, the MEA was assembled with the GDL for the electrochemical tests in a 6.25 cm² Paci-tech single fuel cell [57]. Accordingly, both anode and cathode contained platinum loadings of 0.5 mg cm⁻² (MEA-1) and a 1:3 mass ratio of Ag@Pt/rGO (MEA-2) all with a Nafion loading of 0.9 mg cm⁻².

The electrochemical performance of the MEAs was determined by obtaining polarization curves. The
current voltage characteristics of different MEAs were acquired with feeding H₂ and O₂ onto the anode and cathode electrodes, respectively. Measurements were performed at 80°C and 1.5 bar with 90% Relative Humidity (RH).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Physical measurements

The composition of the synthesized catalysts and the actual Pt and Ag loadings were determined through the ICP-OES of Ag@Pt supported on rGO nanocomposite, as tabulated in Table 1. These results indicated that the actual and nominal atomic ratios of Ag and Pt in the synthesized electrocatalysts were of the same order. This means that Pt and Ag were completely deposited upon rGO.

The HRTEM images of the synthesized electrocatalyst of (1:3) Ag@Pt/rGO are displayed in Figure 1(a) and (b) at low and high magnifications, respectively. The particle size, morphology, and dispersion status of this material were further analyzed in these images. It was revealed that the uniform distribution of high amounts of Ag@Pt nanoparticles upon rGO possessed rather spherical or elliptical shapes. According to this figure, Ag@Pt nanoparticles for 1:3 ratios formed with particle size diameters in the range of 7.5 to 16 nm at an average diameter of 9.2 nm. The electrical conductivity of the rGO was determined to be 3.8 E⁺¹⁰ [S m⁻¹]. This was found to be in agreement with works of other researchers [56,58] for such materials. Due to these small sizes and well distribution of nanoparticles on the graphene surfaces and good electrical conductivity of the reduced graphene oxide support, the synthesized electrocatalysts were expected to demonstrate good catalytic activities and stabilities. Moreover, Figure 1(b), a high-resolution view of an HRTEM micrograph, demonstrates the core-shell structure of the Ag@Pt nanoparticles synthesized.

The XRD patterns of the prepared core-shell catalytic samples and the Ag/rGO material (for which the preparation procedure is provided in Section 2.1.3 above) are demonstrated in Figure 2. According to

![Figure 1](image-url)

**Figure 1.** HRTEM micrographs of Ag@Pt/rGO (1:3) prepared in this research: (a) Low and (b) high magnifications.

| Electro-catalyst | Nominal atomic ratio | Atomic ratio, ICP | Particle size (nm), XRD | Particle size (nm), Lattice parameter (nm) |
|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Pt/C (electrochem) | 100:0 | —:— | 2.9:— | 0.3940 |
|                  | 90:10 | 86:14 | 10:— | 0.3938 |
| Ag@Pt/rGO        | 75:25 | 73:27 | 10.3:9.2 | 0.3958 |
|                  | 50:50 | 47:53 | 10.7:— | 0.3993 |

Table 1. Structural characteristics of electrocatalysts with different Ag:Pt mass ratios supported on reduced graphene oxide and the commercial Pt/C determined in this work.
these patterns, the synthesized Ag@Pt/rGO catalysts represent polycrystalline Pt diffractions. The most intense peak of GO was displayed at around 2θ of 11° corresponding to the water-bound intercalated oxidized graphite and oxygen functionality groups that made GO disappear. Moreover, in this venue, a new peak rose at around 2θ = 26°, which was observed in the as-prepared graphene supporting NPs. This was associated with carbon material corresponding to the (002), indicating that GO was reduced successfully to the rGO. For the Pt supported upon carbon materials, the four diffraction peaks at 2θ values of 38.9°, 46.5°, 67.5°, and 81.4° were observed. These are the characteristics of the face-centered cubic (fcc) lattice of the Pt, which are attributed to (111), (200), (220), and (311), respectively. In addition, the Ag peaks were displayed at 2θ = 32.2°, 46.2°, 67.4°, and 76.7°. These are attributed to (111), (200), (220), and (311), respectively. These emphasized the existence of the fcc cubic crystalline planes of the Ag species. Such peaks are displayed (be it) rather weakly on the Ag/rGO spectrum in Figure 2. Hence, the Ag/rGO spectra indicated that Ag was indeed present in the current electrocatalytic system. Nonetheless, the provided XRD spectra of the Ag@Pt/rGO did not reveal the existence of the lone Ag species. These are attributed to a couple of factors as follows: i) Ag surface was covered up with the Pt species in the core-shell structure and ii) Ag’s amount (as depicted in the Ag/rGO spectra) was comparatively minute to that of the Pt material (even at a 1:1 ratio of the Ag@Pt catalyst). Thus, the 2θ angles were significantly shifted to lower and smaller negative values, and crystallinity of Ag was not distinct through the Ag@Pt supported upon the rGO spectra. In other words, the Ag surface in synthesized materials was mostly wrapped up by the Pt species, while the core-shell structure of nanoparticles was formed. The average crystallite size was estimated by Scherrer’s equation [59] for the diffraction peak due to (111) and was determined through the following relationship:

\[ d = 0.9 \lambda / (B_{2θ} \cos θ)^{-1}, \]  

(1)

where \( d \) is the average particle size (nm), \( B_{2θ} \) is the full width of the diffraction peak at half maximum in radians of the plane reflection calculated by a Lorentzian function, \( λ \) is the X-ray wavelength (1.54 Å for Cu Kα radiation), and \( θ \) is the angle stand at the position of the peak’s maximum. The average crystallite size of the Ag@Pt catalyst was estimated, and the results are provided in Table 1. According to this table, the mean crystallite size of the Ag@Pt raised following the enhancement of its mass ratio of Pt:Ag.

The lattice parameter (a(\text{cc})) values were calculated according to Vegard’s law [60] for the synthesized electrocatalysts, and the outcomes are tabulated in Table 1. These results demonstrated that the lattice parameter of the synthesized electrocatalysts was larger than that of a lattice constant of 3.92 Å of the Pt alone. Moreover, the insertion of Ag with a lattice constant of 4.08 Å caused the Pt’s lattice to expand. These results emphasized that Ag surface was mostly intricate due to the Pt; hence, a core-shell structure of the synthesized electrocatalyst was created. These outcomes superlatively confirmed the previously discussed XRD and HRTEM results in this paper.

At this point, one needs to distinguish between core-shell structures and alloy formations with regard to the prepared electrocatalyst in this research. This is considered as a two-fold concept. First, a number of evidence pieces are required for verifying a core-shell structure. Then, the discussion of the obtained results should be followed in order to distinguish between the alloy and core-shell structures. To begin with, through the open literature, it is well accepted that three pieces of evidence including the XRD, HRTEM, and lattice parameter evaluations may sufficiently verify the existence of core-shell structures [32, 39, 61, 62]. In addition, the most important one of such evaluations is known to be the HRTEM analysis [40]. In this venue, the conclusion that is drawn through the current research regarding the formation of the core-shell structure clearly fulfills all the three aforementioned criteria. Then, to discuss the observed behavior of the prepared material, one should exercise care to make sure no alloy is formed instead of a core-shell structure. In this research, this scientific curiosity was overcome through the following arguments. If an alloyed structure (being an intertwined mixture of the two metals) of Ag and Pt was formed in the current research, its corresponding XRD peaks characterized through the 2θ angles for the synthesized electrocatalyst would have provided two distinct groups of peaks relating to both Pt and Ag.
elements or peaks located between those of alloying species. However, this is not the case in this study. Here, no Ag peak was observed through the XRD spectrum since this species acted as the core part being placed within the Pt shell. Furthermore, the 2θ peak corresponding to the Pt species slightly shifted to a lower value near the one relating to that of the Pt element. Moreover, in the case of the second rationale concerning not having an alloy of Pt-Ag, one’s attention is called to the obtained HRTEM image of the present research. Here, a clear display of two contrasting darker and lighter regions corresponding to Ag and Pt species is observed, respectively. Once again, if the synthesized material is an alloy of Pt and Ag, all active site spots displayed in the obtained HRTEM micrograph are dark due to the presence of both metallic elements. All these rationales, provided and emphasized upon elsewhere in the open literature, further support the conclusion of the formation of core-shell [63,64] structure reached in the present work.

Moreover, carbonyl and carboxylic as oxygenated groups upon the GO surface were in charge of adsorption of Ag ions from the solution through electrostatic interactions, and the addition of the reducing agent caused the reduction of such ions [65,66]. Moreover, the stronger interaction between Ag and Pt in comparison to the interaction between metal and the carbon-based surface of the support (i.e., rGO), as well as the face-centered cubic structure of both Pt and Ag crystallites, are all in favor of the growth of Pt on Ag surface rather than the reverse of it. All these rationales were sufficient to justify the coverage of the Ag nano-particles by Pt, hence the formation of a core-shell structure in synthesized electrocatalysts [67]. Ultimately, the aforementioned form of the structure reasoned-out is mainly responsible for the behaviors displayed in the present research.

3.2. Electrochemical measurements

The electrochemical surface area (ECSA) provides an estimate of the number of electrochemically active sites accessible per unit mass of the electrocatalyst [68]. The ECSA is calculated by Eq. (2) [19], essentially attributed to the charges of H₂ adsorption/desorption peaks after deducting the charge from the double layer area in Cyclic Voltammograms (CVs) [69]. In other words:

$$\text{ECSA}_\text{H}(\text{cm}^2\text{gPt}^{-1}) = \frac{Q_\text{H}(\mu\text{C cm}^{-2})}{(210(\mu\text{C cm}^{-2})L_\text{Pt}(\text{gPt cm}^{-2}))^{-1}}$$

where $Q_\text{H}$ is the average integrated area, depicting the total charge with regard to hydrogen adsorption/desorption on the Pt surface obtained by the CV method. Moreover, $L_\text{Pt}$ represents platinum loading on the electrode, and a constant value of 210 $\mu$C cm⁻² is determined by the charge required to oxidize a monolayer of H₂ on a smooth platinum surface [70].

The ECSA is considered as a significantly important parameter to compare activities of different electrocatalysts. Furthermore, a fuel cell catalyst that possesses high activities is believed to have a high ECSA value. It seems worthy of mentioning that the ECSA is calculated according to the charges of H₂ adsorption/desorption peaks. This parameter evaluation is also based on CO₅₉ oxidation charges determined in a previously published work of these authors [71]. The results of the ECSA determinations of Ag@Pt materials understudied in this research are summarized in Table 2. These indicate that the ECSA of (1:3) Ag@Pt/rGO catalyst is 77.6 (m² gPt⁻¹) and is taken to be the best Ag@Pt mass ratio prepared in this work. In other words, it is much larger than that of the commercial Pt/C and noticeably bigger than those of other synthesized electrocatalysts of different Pt:Ag mass ratios prepared in this research. In addition, as expected, the catalytic activity of the core-shell structure catalysts prepared is indeed higher than that of the commercial one. This is attributed to the comparatively extended bonding distances and the resulting modified charge transfer behavior from Ag to Pt and more uniformly dispersed particles, formed upon the rGO supports.

The cyclic voltammetry analyses of the prepared electrocatalysts with different mass ratios (of Pt:Ag) and a commercial Pt/C (of 10 wt.% metal content purchased from the Electrochem Inc.) and the synthesized Ag/rGO (with 10 wt.% Ag content) were performed in

| Catalysts       | Metal loading (Wt%) | ECSA (m²gPt⁻¹) | Onset potential (mv) vs. Ag/AgCl | $R_{ct}$ (Ω cm⁻²) |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| Pt              | 9                   | 68.3           | 695                              | 92 |
| Ag@Pt/rGO      | 3                   | 77.6           | 754                              | 61 |
|                 | 1                   | 47.2           | 608                              | 234 |
| Pt/C (electrochem) | 10                  | 39.2           | 629                              | 185 |

Table 2. Performance data of electrocatalysts with different Ag:Pt mass ratios and commercial Pt/C determined in this research.
0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution under N₂ atmosphere at 25°C. Results are shown in Figure 3. In the potential range of -0.2 to 1.1 V, hydrogen adsorption/desorption peaks of the Pt species are acquired at -0.2 to 0 V, while the oxidation and reduction of the Pt metal are done at 0.5 to 1.1 V. In addition, Figure 3 includes a voltammogram of the Ag/Pt rGO material, providing a better background comparison for the materials under study. Obviously, the insertion of Ag onto the Pt lattice enhanced the extent of the Pt’s active sites, exposed to the hydrogen stream (in the ORR) at the shell part of this structure. Thus, the characteristic hydrogen adsorption/desorption peaks of the electrocatalyst’s core-shell structure were pronounced. On the other hand, increasing the incorporated amounts of Ag onto the Pt lattice from 2.5 to 5% transformed the crystalline structure of the Pt and reduced availability of the Pt active sites. Consequently, when Ag content grew, the characteristic hydrogen adsorption/desorption peaks became ill explained. Nonetheless, well-established features of the double-layer charging and the Pt oxidation/reduction peaks were demonstrated through the obtained voltammograms along with the prevalent characteristics of the Pt nanoparticles observed in this research, which well emphasized the results previously revealed by other researchers [72].

Figure 4 depicts the Oxygen Reduction Reaction (ORR) results in O₂ saturated solution by Linear Sweep Voltammetric (LSV) scans at a rotation rate of 1,600 rpm and a sweep rate of 5 mV s⁻¹ in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution. This indeed provides Ag/Pt rGO as a contrast material, better reflecting the performance improvement of the ORR due to the utilization of the Ag/Pt rGO nano-catalyst synthesized in this research. This took place in the potential range of 1 to -0.2 V versus the Ag/AgCl reference electrode for different Ag/Pt ratios and the commercial Pt/C electrocatalysts. As shown in this figure, the electrochemical reaction seems to be under both kinetic and diffusion control regimes in the range of 0.3-0.7 V, compared to Ag/AgCl. Moreover, the diffusion-limiting currents were obtained in the potential region below 0.3 V. One notices that, in comparison to the Pt/C electrocatalyst, the ORR polarization curve of (1:3) Ag/Pt rGO material exhibited a higher onset of the reduction potential. This behavior indicated that the core-shell structure in synthesized electrocatalysts affected the activity of the ORR reaction. On the other hand, the limiting current due to the Pt/C electrocatalyst was revealed to be 3.84 mA cm⁻² (based upon the geometric area) in the ORR. This parameter was enhanced to 5 mA cm⁻² for the (1:3) Ag/Pt rGO material. These values indicated that the diffusion-limiting currents were strongly affected by the core-shell structure and the supporting materials for the Pt catalyst. Moreover, the information provided in Table 2 further emphasizes the onset of the reduction potential value for the synthesized catalyst of the (1:3) Ag/Pt rGO shifted 125 mV compared to that of the virgin Pt/C material. This occurred due to the surface oxide formed followed by its reduction in the aforementioned potential range.

In addition, the enhancement of the open circuit potential of the (1:3) Ag/Pt rGO catalyst under oxygen-saturated conditions, compared to that of the virgin commercial Pt/C material, was observed. This is attributed to the improved oxygen adsorption upon the synthesized electrocatalyst, which was also seen by other researchers, too [73]. However, the stronger adsorption energy of the oxygenated Pt species upon the surface of the pure Pt (i.e., the Pt/C), compared to that of the Ag/Pt rGO catalyst, may have led to the desorption of the oxygenated Pt species more readily occurring from the Ag/Pt rGO material [74]. According to the study of Yu et al. [39] on the Ag/Pt
core-shell structured surface for oxygen electro-reduction catalysts, the formed surface complexes were observed to catalyze the reaction through a dominant 4e\(^-\) reduction pathway from O\(_2\) to water or the 2e\(^-\) pathway when it was reduced to H\(_2\)O\(_2\). Fortunately, the reduction pathway of 4e\(^-\) is favored for the ORR because of its high catalytic efficiency for the PEMFC and the onset values of the electrode potentials for catalyzing the oxygen reduction, as demonstrated in this study. This is attributed to such surfaces being much more positive when the core-shell materials are utilized versus alone Pt species. Hence, the incorporation of such positive catalytic surfaces as fuel cell cathodes is indeed recommended.

For a quantitative analysis of the catalyzed ORR by the synthesized electrocatalysts developed in this work, the kinetic current \((J_k)\) was calculated by the measured current \((J)\) and diffusion limited current \((J_d)\) on a Rotating Disc Electrode (RDE). For this purpose, the Koutecky-Levich (K-L) equation \([73]\) was utilized:

\[
J^{-1} = J_k^{-1} + J_d^{-1},
\]

where diffusion limited current, \(J_d\), might be expressed through the following relationship:

\[
J_d = 0.62 nFD_0^{0.66}C\mu^{-0.16}v^{0.5},
\]

where \(n\) represents the overall transferred electron number, \(F\) is Faraday’s constant \((96,500 \text{ C mol}^{-1})\), \(C\) is the saturated concentration of oxygen in 0.5 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) solution, \(\mu\) is the electrocatalysts viscosity of saturated O\(_2\) electrolyte, and \(v\) is the rotation rate. If one utilized Eqs. (3) and (4) to Plot \(j^{-1}\) (mA\(^-\)cm\(^{-2}\)) versus \(v^{-0.5}\) (rpm\(^{-0.5}\)), the overall electron transfer number \((n)\) for the synthesized materials in the ORR might be determined.

The resulting Koutecky-Levich (K-L) behaviors of all the prepared electrocatalysts at 0.3 V versus Ag/AgCl potential and the electron transfer number \((n)\) in the overall reduction process are tabulated in Table 3. The \(n\) value for the (1:3) Ag@Pt/rGO electrocatalyst was higher than other synthesized mass ratios of the Ag@Pt catalysts and the commercial Pt/C material. The \(n\) value of about 4 indicates oxygen reduction on the surface of the core-shell structure nanoparticles, derived from the water direct formation via the 4e\(^-\) pathway of Eq. (5) \([76]\):

\[
O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^- \rightarrow 2H_2O.
\]

Moreover, this pathway for the (1:3) Ag@Pt/rGO provided the most efficiently promoted catalytic activity in the ORR process. Nonetheless, it did not affect the typical ORR mechanism.

Next, the Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) technique was performed to measure the frequency dependence of the impedance of a PEM fuel cell. To this end, a small sinusoidal AC potential is utilized as a perturbation signal to the cell followed by evaluating the current response. This diagnostic investigation was done in order to obtain a better understanding of the effect of electrochemical behavior of the undertaken system to analyze the electron transfer kinetics, thus accessing the microscopic information about the fuel cell and providing a model for the understudied system with an appropriate Equivalent Circuit (EC). Moreover, this helped determine the electrochemical parameters of the system. The EIS was accomplished for the synthesized electrocatalysts and commercial Pt/C in the frequency range of 10 kHz to 0.01 Hz. The in-situ AC impedance spectra of a PEM fuel cell operated at room temperature and ambient pressure of different synthesized catalysts at 0.5 V, compared to the Ag/AgCl electrode shown in Figure 5. There are two semicircles observed on these spectra: one at a high-frequency domain and the other at a low-frequency domain, similar to what has been previously presented in another research for a PEM fuel cell \([77]\). According to these figures, by employing the Luggin capillary technique, the ohmic resistance of the fuel cell is determined by the intercept of the high-frequency domain on the real axis. Nonetheless, this effect was insignificant compared to that of the charge-transfer \((R_d)\) shown through the arc diameter. Moreover, the first semicircle demonstrates the fuel cell reaction kinetics supplied to the cathodic ORR processes, while the

Table 3. Koutecky-Levich data from \(j^{-1}\) (mA\(^-\)cm\(^{-2}\)) versus \(v^{-1/2}\) (rpm\(^{-1/2}\)) of the electrocatalyst with different Ag:Pt mass ratios and commercial Pt/C.

| Catalysts       | Metal loading (Wt%) | Slope | \(R^2\)   | \(n\) |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
|                 | Pt  | Ag  |       |       |       |
| Ag@Pt/rGO       | 9   | 1   | 2.52   | 0.998 | 3.97  |
|                  | 3   | 1   | 2.43   | 0.9977 | 4     |
|                  | 1   | 1   | 2.66   | 0.999 | 3.91  |
| Pt/C (electrochem) | 10 | 0   | 3.27   | 0.9995 | 3.97  |
second semicircle represents the mass transfer process that contributes to the diffusion of oxygen on the Pt active surfaces within the catalyst layers. Furthermore, all the Nyquist plots of the impedance spectra had nearly similar semicircular shapes with different arc diameters. In addition, the proposed equivalent circuit was utilized to simulate the impedance data, as inserted in Figure 5. In this circuit, $R$ represents the high-frequency ohmic resistance. In addition, due to the porous nature of the utilized electrodes, the double-layer capacitance was distributed between the ohmic and Faradic processes while expressed as the Constant-Phase Elements (CPE) in this equivalent circuit model [78]. $R_{ct}$ is the charge transfer resistance, and the sum of the charge transfer and diffusion resistances provided polarization resistance. The determined charge transfer resistances ($R_{ct}$) were extracted by fitting the EIS plots using the Z-view software, as summarized in Table 2. It was observed that $R_{ct}$ values increased in order of (1:3), (1:9), and (1:1) Ag@Pt/rGO material in good agreement with the CV analysis provided earlier in this paper. As shown in Table 2, the $R_{ct}$ value of the (1:3) Ag@Pt/rGO electrocatalyst was found to be the lowest, and the resistance for the (1:1) Ag@Pt/rGO was too high in comparison with other Ag@Pt mass ratio electrodes synthesized in this work. When the amount of Ag increased from 1 to 2.5%, $R_{ct}$ was enhanced; however, a further increment to about 5% in the catalyst caused $R_{ct}$ to reduce significantly. Moreover, the impedance arc diameter of the (1:3) Ag@Pt/rGO material was smaller than others, indicating a higher electrocatalytic activity for this electrode [3,71]. Thus, the experimentally determined best ratio of the Ag:Pt in this research was 1:3 and, also, confirmed by other analyses such as CV and LSV data, provided earlier above.

On the other hand, the ECSA is considered to be an essential factor in characterizing a PEMFC catalyst undergoing Accelerated Durability Tests (ADT). As previously mentioned, larger ECSA implies the availability of more active sites for catalysis, and the loss of ECSA leads to the performance degradation of the PEMFCs [40]. For this purpose, the cyclic voltammetry was performed in the potential range of $-0.25$ to $1.1\, \text{V}$ at a scan rate of $50\, \text{mVs}^{-1}$ in $\text{N}_{2}$ purged 0.5 $\text{M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ solution at room temperature [79]. The degradations of the ECSA plotted in Figure 6 are normalized with respect to the initial amounts in a manner similar to the previously performed studies [79,80]. Upon the enhancement of the number of cycles, as expected, the ECSA of the Pt/C and Ag@Pt/rGO catalysts was reduced. More importantly, according to this figure, the durability of the commercial Pt/C material was considerably lower than that of the core-shell structure of Ag@Pt/rGO prepared in this work. In other words, after 2,000 cycles, only 12.7% of the initial ECSA of the Pt/C remained, whereas, for the prepared core-shell structured electrocatalysts of (1:3), (1:9), and (1:1) Ag@Pt/rGO, 72, 54, and 32% of the initial ECSA remained after many cycles. It was also evident that the commercial Pt/C electrocatalyst had lower stability than the synthesized core-shell structured materials due to the significant reduction of the Pt’s active surface area by the dissolution, aggregation, and perhaps sintering of the Pt nanoparticles that occurred. The main reason for this higher stability of prepared materials is indeed the core-shell preparation idea of them. Based upon the differences in the lattice parameters of the core and the shell (discussed above), internal stress engendered these materials.
Furthermore, by utilizing the same crystal structure of materials undertaken in this study, if the core lattice parameter is larger than that of the shell one, the chemical potential of the Pt on the shell side becomes smaller than that of the virgin Pt. This means that the propensity for the catalyst degradation reduced. Hence, its stability was enhanced. Thus, a major consequence of these obtained results is that the (1:3) Ag@Pt/rGO catalyst represented a higher activity and durability amongst the understudied materials in this work. It is implied that this catalyst might be considered as a rather auspicious cathode material for the oxygen reduction reaction in PEM fuel cells.

3.3. Performance of the catalyst measured in PEM fuel cell tests

The single fuel cell tests were performed upon the prepared (1:3) Ag@Pt/rGO and Pt/C catalysts operated at 80°C and 1.5 bars under 90% Relative Humidity (RH) with feed flow rates of 300 ml min⁻¹ for the hydrogen and 500 ml min⁻¹ for the pure oxygen. To record the polarization curves, the cell was retained at each current density for 5 min [81]. In this venue, performances of the commercial Pt/C (MEA-1) and (1:3) Ag@Pt/rGO (MEA-2) catalysts as cathodes in an actual fuel cell were reported. The polarization and power density curves of MEA-1 and MEA-2 under similar conditions as the V- and P-I plots of PEMFCs are presented in Figure 7. As shown in this figure, due to the mass transport limitations at the high current density area, the fuel cell performance rapidly dropped to 246 and 405 mWcm⁻² for MEA-1 and MEA-2, respectively. These values imply that the mass transport losses of MEA-2 were considerably lower than those of MEA-1. This is attributed to the higher surface area and better electrical and mechanical properties of the graphene, leading to better mass transfer of oxygen and water compared to that of the commercial Pt/C material. A similar conclusion was obtained by other researchers, too [82].

Once more, it is a foregone conclusion that the performance of (1:3) Ag@Pt/rGO is better than that of the commercial Pt/C in the whole range of the current densities investigated. Moreover, the maximum established power density of the core-shell synthesized electrocatalyst was 576 mWcm⁻², which is 55% higher than that of the commercial Pt/C. Furthermore, the Open Circuit Voltage (OCV) of MEA-2 was considerably higher than that of MEA-1. In this regard, since the OCV is associated with the concentration of fuel present on the cathode side, its higher value indicates the occurrence of lower fuel crossover. Fuel crossover corresponds to the diffusion of un-reacted fuel from the anode to the cathode across the Nafion membrane. Such fuel crossover led to major drawbacks in terms of the creation of a mixed potential effect and poisoning of the Pt electrocatalyst at the cathode. This phenomenon remarkably reduced the cell performance and fuel utilization efficiency. Accordingly, MEA-2 substantially reduced the fuel crossover, thus enhancing the efficiency and stability of the PEMFCs. In addition, the reduced graphene oxide enacting as the catalyst support positively affected the reduction of the fuel crossover across the membrane in the single cell tests undertaken. On the other hand, as demonstrated in Figure 7, the fuel cell performance in the ohmic and concentration resistance regions for MEA-2 was superior to that for MEA-1. Ultimately, these outcomes further were confirmed, and emphasized that the respective electrocatalyst of MEA-2 might be a propitious candidate as a cathode for the PEM fuel cell applications.

4. Conclusion

This research investigated a facile synthesis of well dispersed Ag@Pt core-shell NPs supported upon reduced graphene oxide through an ultrasonic treatment method with different Ag/Pt mass ratios. Initially, silver nanoparticles were decorated upon the graphene oxide by utilizing the NaBH₄ as a reducing agent. Then, Pt loading upon the surface of silver nanoparticles was accomplished ultrasonically. Next, physical and electrochemical characterization tests and performance evaluations of Ag@Pt/rGO core-shell electrocatalyst for the ORR in a PEM fuel cell were undertaken. The average size of the Ag@Pt nanoparticles was about 9.2-10.7 nm for different synthesized materials with various mass ratios of Ag/Pt. This size was enhanced by increasing amounts of the Ag species. The HRTEM and XRD results emphasized the core-shell structure of the prepared electrocatalyst. According to the obtained results determined through the CV techniques, the Ag@Pt/rGO material with a Ag/Pt mass ratio of
1:3 possessed the largest electrochemical surface area of 77.6 m² g⁻¹. Moreover, the resulting impedance are diameter for this mass ratio was turned out to be smaller than those of others. This revealed a higher electrocatalytic activity for this electrode determined through the EIS measurements. Furthermore, Koutecky-Levich’s (K-L) analysis revealed that the 4e⁻ transfer pathway for this electrocatalyst provided the most efficiently promoted catalytic activity route towards the ORR process. It is considered noteworthy to mention that the aforementioned mass ratio possessed the highest stability amongst all other synthesized AgPt mass ratios and the commercial Pt/C electrocatalysts.

Ultimately, the performance of the optimal (1:3) Ag@Pt/rGO as a cathode was inquired in a PEM single cell and was compared to that of a commercial Pt/C material. The maximum power density of the MEA based upon the aforementioned electrocatalyst with AgPt mass ratio of 1:3 was revealed to be 55% higher than that of the one prepared with a commercial Pt/C. In addition, the higher OCV value resulting from the MEA evaluations in single cell tests for this synthesized species led to lower fuel crossover compared to a commercial Pt/C. This emphasized that the former material performance was superior. Thus, due to all evidence pieces obtained in this research, it seemed logical to conclude that the (1:3) Ag@Pt/rGO electrocatalyst might be considered a desirable cathode candidate for a PEM fuel cell, producing the sought electrochemical energy.

**Nomenclature**

- $d$: Average particle size (nm)
- $B_{2θ}$: Full width of the diffraction peak at half maximum (rad)
- $λ$: X-ray wavelength (Å)
- $θ$: Angle stand at the position (rad)
- $a_{f.c.c}$: Lattice parameter (Å)
- $Q_{H}$: Average integrated area (µC cm⁻²)
- $L_{Pt}$: Platinum loading on the electrode (g Pt cm⁻²)
- $J_k$: Kinetic current (A)
- $J$: Current (A)
- $J_d$: Diffusion limited current (A)
- $n$: Overall transferred electron number
- $F$: Faraday's constant
- $C$: Saturated concentration of oxygen in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution (mol m⁻³)
- $μ$: Kinetic viscosity of saturated O₂ electrolyte (m² s⁻¹)
- $w$: Rotation rate (rad s⁻¹)
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