A novel circular RNA circ_HN1/miR-628-5p/Ecto-5'-nucleotidase competing endogenous RNA network regulates gastric cancer development

Jianmin Zhang, Fang Wang, Haihui Zhang, and Mingbo Cao

ABSTRACT

The competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) activity of circular RNAs (circRNAs) has been implicated in the development of gastric cancer. Here, we sought to explore the ceRNA function of circRNA Jupiter microtubule associated homolog 1 (circ_HN1) in gastric tumorigenesis. Circ_HN1, microRNA (miR)-628-5p, and NT5E expression levels were quantified by qRT-PCR and western blot. Dual-luciferase reporter assays were used to assess the direct relationship between miR-628-5p and circ_HN1 or NT5E. Our data showed that circ_HN1 expression was enhanced in human gastric cancer. Depletion of circ_HN1 impeded cell proliferation, spheroid formation, invasion, and migration and promoted apoptosis in vitro, as well as diminished tumor growth in vivo. NT5E was a downstream effector of circ_HN1 function. NT5E was targeted and inhibited by miR-628-5p through the perfect complementary site in NT5E 3’UTR, and circ_HN1 affected NT5E expression through miR-628-5p competition. Moreover, depletion of miR-628-5p reversed the effects of circ_HN1 silencing on regulating cell functional behaviors. Our findings identify a novel ceRNA network, the circ_HN1/miR-628-5p/NT5E axis, for the oncogenic activity of circ_HN1 in gastric cancer, highlighting circ_HN1 inhibition as a promising targeted treatment against gastric cancer.

INTRODUCTION

Gastric cancer is one of the most prevalent malignancies and it ranks as the third leading cause of cancer mortality [1,2]. Although surgery, chemoradiotherapy, and immune therapies can control many early-stage tumors effectively, these available treatments have limited in curbing advanced-stage gastric cancer [3]. Pivotal regulators of gastric carcinogenesis, including non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) and proteins, are under intensive exploration at present [4–6]. Identifying the functions of these molecules will be essential in one day developing the molecularly targeted therapies.

In recent years, the importance of the ncRNAs, including microRNAs (miRNAs) and circular RNAs (circRNAs), has become increasingly clear [7,8]. CircRNAs are natural RNA circles that are formed by an out-of-order arrangement of exons known as back-splicing [9]. MiRNAs are small ncRNAs that function as agents of the RNA interference pathway by leading to silencing of their targets [10]. Numerous studies have demonstrated that circRNAs involve the post-transcriptional RNA regulation by working as competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs) via miRNAs [11]. Emerging evidence has also highlighted the implications of the ceRNA activity of circRNAs in human carcinogenesis [7].

In a preliminary survey, when we analyzed the online dataset (GSE83521) of the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database to search the dysregulated circRNAs in gastric cancer, we found that circRNA Jupiter microtubule associated homolog 1 (circ_HN1, also called circ_0045602 based on the circRNA ID of circBase database), generated by non-sequential back-splicing of exons 3–5 of HN1 pre-mRNA with a length of 260 nucleotides, is highly expressed in gastric primary tumors. The finding has been ascertained by a recent report [12], where circ_HN1 promotes the malignant behaviors of gastric cancer cells via the miR-302b-3p/rho-associated coiled-coil containing
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protein kinase 2 (ROCK2) axis. Although the report has revealed a ceRNA determinant underlying the oncogenic activity of circ_HN1 in gastric cancer [12], our understanding for its molecular basis remains incomplete.

Ecto-5′-nucleotidase (NT5E, also called CD73) is a ubiquitous cell-surface protein and it has been identified as a strong oncogene in cancer biology [13–15]. In gastric cancer, NT5E is a potential prognostic biomarker [16,17]; it is implicated in gastric tumorigenesis and gastric cancer metastasis [18]. Nonetheless, it is still unclear whether NT5E is a downstream effector of circ_HN1 function in gastric tumorigenesis.

MiR-628-5p, an underexpressed miRNA in gastric cancer, has been shown to exert tumor-inhibitory activity in this disease [19,20]. When we used the online algorithms to help identify the ceRNA network mediated by circ_HN1, we observed the potential relationship between miR-628-5p and circ_HN1 or NT5E. Thus, we hypothesized that the miR-628-5p/NT5E axis might be responsible for the oncogenic activity of circ_HN1 in gastric cancer. In this study, we set to explore the mechanism of circ_HN1 activity in gastric cancer pathogenesis.

Materials and methods

Bioinformatics

To search the dysregulated circRNAs in gastric cancer, we used the GSE85321 dataset at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/search/all/?term=GSE85321. To analyze the up-regulated genes in gastric cancer, we used the GSE112369 dataset at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/search/all/?term=GSE112369. To predict miRNA-binding sites to circ_HN1 and human 3′UTRs, we utilized the computational program starBase at http://starbase.sysu.edu.cn/.

Cell lines

Human HGC27 (gastric cancer), MKN74 (gastric cancer) and GES-1 (nontumor gastric epithelial) cells were purchased from RIKEN Cell Bank (Tsukuba, Japan). All cells were propagated in RPMI-1640 medium (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) containing 10% newborn calf serum (HyClone, Cramlington, UK) at 37°C at 5% CO₂.

Human specimens

Human specimens, including 47 gastric primary tumors and 47 adjacent normal gastric tissues, were collected at the time of the clinically indicated surgical procedures from previously untreated patients (n = 47) at the Henan Provincial People’s Hospital with informed consent. The clinical characteristics of these patients were shown in Table 1. These specimens were used to measure the expression levels of circ_HN1, NT5E and miR-628-5p. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Henan Provincial People’s Hospital.

Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT–PCR)

Total RNA was prepared from cultured cells and collected tissues using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit as per the manufacturing instructions (Qiagen, Tokyo, Japan). Actinomycin D treatments were performed by incubating HGC27 and MKN74 cells with 2 mg/mL of actinomycin D (Hanhui Pharmaceuticals CO., LTD. Xian, China) for 8, 16 and 24 h at 37°C. Nuclear and cytoplasmic RNA were isolated from HGC27 and MKN74 cells using the Cytoplasmic & Nuclear RNA

| Table 1. The clinical characteristics of gastric cancer patients (n = 47). |
|-----------------------------|-------------|
| Gender                      | 31          |
| Male                        | 31          |
| Female                      | 16          |
| Age (years)                 | 30          |
| ≥60                         | 17          |
| <60                         | 17          |
| TNM stage                   | 22          |
| I+ II                       | 22          |
| III                         | 25          |
| Lymphatic metastasis        | 27          |
| N0-N1                       | 27          |
| N2-N3                       | 20          |
| Diameter (cm)               | 23          |
| ≥5                          | 24          |
| <5                          | 24          |
| Differentiation             | 28          |
| Well-Moderate               | 28          |
| Poor                        | 19          |
Purification Kit as recommended by the manufacturers (NORGEN, Thorold, ON, Canada). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized from RNA samples using random hexamers with QuantiTect reverse transcription (RT) Kit (Qiagen) or stem-loop RT primers with miRNA 1st-strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Vazyme, Nanjing, China). qRT-PCR was performed using the MyiQ Single Color PCR System (Bio-Rad, Hemel Hemstead, UK) with the SYBR qPCR Mix (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan) and specific primers (Supplement Table 1) designed by Primer v3.0 software (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Paisley, UK). U6 and β-actin levels were tested for normalization. For data analysis, we used the MiniOpticon System (Bio-Rad) based on the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method [21].

**Construction of stable cell line**

Short hairpin RNAs (shRNA) targeting circ_HN1 (sh-circ_HN1, CGCAAAACUCAUGAAUAUCAC) and the scrambled control (sh-NC, CCCUAUAGUGA AGUAAACU) were cloned into the lentiviral vector (GeneSeed, Guangzhou, China). Lentivirus was produced by transfecting 293 FT packaging cells (Cyagen Biosciences, Jiangsu, China) with shRNA constructs, pMDL/pRRE and pRSV-Rev (Addgene, Teddington, UK). Virus suspension was harvested after 48 h and used to infect HGC27 and MKN74 cells. Virus-infected cell lines were selected in the growth media supplemented with 2 μg/mL puromycin (Yesen, Shanghai, China).

**Plasmid and oligonucleotide transfection**

Human NT5E (Accession: NM_001204813.2) coding sequence and a non-target sequence control, obtained from Abiocenter (Beijing, China), were inserted into pcDNA3.1 plasmid (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to generate appropriate expressing plasmid. MiR-628-5p mimic (5’-AUGCUGA CAUAUUACUAGGG-3’), inhibitor (anti-miR-628-5p, 5’-CCUCUAUAAUAUGUCAG CAU-3’), and matched controls described by Gu et al. [22] were provided by Ribobio (Guangzhou, China).

For transient transfection, we seeded $5 \times 10^4$ cells per well in 12-well dishes. Next day, cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 3000 with 200 ng of plasmid or 30 nM of oligonucleotide. We harvested the cells after 48 h transfection for further assays.

**Cell colony formation, proliferation, and apoptosis assays**

Colonies formation experiments were carried out by plating ~100 cells per well into 6-well dishes. Following a 10-day incubation at 37°C, the number of colonies (> 50 cells) was scored using standard methods [23]. Cell proliferation was gauged by the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) and 5-Ethynyl-2'-Deoxyuridine (EdU) assays using the MTT Cell Proliferation Assay Kit (Biovision, Wehrheim, Germany) and Cell-Light EdU Apollo488 In Vitro Kit (Ribobio), respectively, as per the accompanying protocols and standard methods [24,25]. Cell apoptosis was determined using the Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)/propidium iodide (PI) Apoptosis Kit (BD Biosciences, Cowley, UK) as described elsewhere [12].

**Spheroid formation assay**

This assay was carried out as previously described [24]. Briefly, transfected HGC27 and MKN74 cells (1,000 cells/well) were plated in low adhesion 6-well dishes in complete growth media containing matrigel growth factor reduced basement membrane matrix and incubated for 14 days before quantification. Spheroids were monitored every three days and images at day 14 were taken using a microscope (Olympus, Mishama, Japan) at ×100 magnification.

**In vitro migration and invasion assays**

Transwell assays were performed to evaluate cell migration and invasion under standard protocols [26]. For these assays, cells were resuspended in non-serum media and seeded in inserts (24-transwell, MERK Millpore, Tokyo, Japan) containing 8-μm pores with (invasion assay, $1 \times 10^5$ cells/well) or without (migration assay, $5 \times 10^4$ cells/well) matrigel (BD Biosciences). The inserts were then placed in wells containing 10% serum RPMI-
1640 medium. Twenty-four hours post-seeding, the invaded or migrated cells were determined with ×100 magnification under the Olympus microscope after crystal violet (0.5%) staining.

**Western blot**

Protein samples were prepared using the cold RIPA buffer (Biovision) containing Protease Inhibitor Cocktails (Roche, Tokyo, Japan). Western blot analysis was performed under standard methods [27], using antibodies against B cell lymphoma-2 (Bcl-2, ab692), proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA, ab92552), NT5E (ab202122), Bcl-2 associated X, apoptosis regulator (Bax, ab182733) and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH, ab9485) from Abcam (Cambridge, UK).

**Dual-luciferase reporter assay**

Dual-luciferase reporter assays were performed as previously described [26]. Human circ_HN1 sequence and NT5E 3’UTR, synthesized by Abiocenter, were inserted into the available sites of the psiCHECK-2 vector (Promega, Paris, France) to generate circ_HN1-WT and NT5E-WT, respectively. Mutations (circ_HN1-MUT and NT5E-MUT) in the binding region were done using the TaKaRa MutanBEST Kit based on the recommendations of manufacturers (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). The appropriate construct (200 ng) was co-transfected into HGC27 and MKN74 cells with miRNA mimic (30 nM). Luciferase activity was gauged after 48 h transfection using the Promega Dual-luciferase Assay System with a luminometer (Berthold, Tokyo, Japan).

**RNA pull-down assay**

This assay was carried out using standard methods as reported by Zhao et al. [28]. Cell lysates prepared with RIPA buffer were incubated with biotinylated miR-628-5p mimic (Bio-miR-628-5p WT), mutation of biotinylated miR-628-5p in the seed region (Bio-miR-628-5p MUT), or negative control Bio-miR-NC (all from Ribobio) for 3 h at 4°C before adding streptavidin beads (Roche) for additional 3 h. Beads were harvested, and RNA was isolated from the beads to measure circ_HN1 enrichment level by qRT-PCR.

**Tumor xenografts**

Animal experiments were performed following a protocol approved by the Ethics Committee on Animal Care of Henan Provincial People’s Hospital and the National Animal Experiment Guidelines. For xenograft assays [28], Balb/c female nude mice age-matched between 5–7 weeks (Shanghai Model Organisms, Shanghai, China) were used and divided into four groups (n = 6 per group): sh-NC, sh-circ_HN1, sh-circ_HN1+ anti-miR-NC, sh-circ_HN1+ anti-miR-628-5p. About 5 × 10^6 virus-infected HGC27 cells were subcutaneously injected into the right flanks of the nude mice to form xenograft mice. 10 days later, intratumor injection of PBS, anti-miR-NC or anti-miR-628-5p was performed every 5 days. Tumor growth was evaluated 10 days after cell implantation by calculating tumor volume with the (length × width^2)/2 formula. All mice were requested to be euthanized on day 30 after cell implantation. The xenograft tumors were excised, weighed and subjected to expression analysis. Sections of paraffin-embedded tumors were used to measure the expression of NT5E and proliferating maker Ki67 by immunohistochemistry using NT5E (ab202122, Abcam) and Ki67 (ab15580, Abcam) antibodies, as reported elsewhere [29].

**Data analysis**

All assays were repeated three times and performed in quintuplicate each time. Data sets were compared using Dunnett’s multiple comparisons after analysis of variance (ANOVA), Student’s t-test, or Mann-Whitney U test, where appropriate. Pearson’s correlation coefficients were used to analysis the expression correlations among circ_HN1, NT5E and miR-628-5p in gastric primary tumors. Significance was set at P < 0.05.

**Results**

**Circ_HN1 is overexpressed in gastric cancer**

In the search of circRNAs potentially involved in gastric tumorigenesis, we analyzed the online data-set (GSE83521) of the GEO database. When we set
the $|\log_{2} FC| > 4$, $P_{adj} < 0.05$, we found 3 differently expressed circRNAs (circ_HN1, circ_102614, and circ_103122) in gastric primary tumors compared with the adjacent nontumor gastric tissues from the same patients (Figure 1a). Analysis of the expression levels of the 3 circRNAs in gastric cancer cells showed that circ_HN1 was the most significantly up-regulated circRNA in HGC27 and MKN74 gastric cancer cells compared with the normal GES-1 cells (Figure 1b). In line with the data of GSE83521 dataset, we found a striking elevation in the levels of circ_HN1 in gastric primary tumors compared with the normal controls (Figure 1c). To examine the stability of circ_HN1, we adopted actinomycin D assays. Incubation of actinomycin D led to reduced levels of HN1 linear mRNA, and circ_HN1 expression did not decrease in the assayed time period (Figure 1c and 1e). Furthermore, subcellular localization assay revealed that circ_HN1 predominantly localized to the cytoplasm of HGC27 and MKN74 cells (Figure 1f and 1g). These results together indicate that circ_HN1 is up-regulated in human gastric cancer.
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**Figure 1.** Overexpression of circ_HN1 in gastric cancer. (a) Left: Volcano plot showing the differently expressed circRNAs in gastric cancer tissues. Right: 3 up-regulated circRNAs (circ_HN1, circ_102614, and circ_103122) in gastric cancer tissues when the $|\log_{2} FC| > 4$, $P < 0.05$. (b) qRT-PCR showing the overexpression of circ_HN1, circ_102614 and circ_103122 in HGC27 and MKN74 gastric cancer cells compared to the nontumor GES-1 cells. (c) Upregulation of circ_HN1 in 47 gastric primary tumors compared with the normal gastric tissues. (d and e) The stability of circ_HN1 analyzed by actinomycin D assay in HGC27 and MKN74 cells. (f and g) Subcellular localization assay showing the cytoplasmic localization of circ_HN1 in HGC27 and MKN74 cells. *$P < 0.05$. 
Silencing endogenous circ_HN1 hinders cell proliferation, invasion, migration, and spheroid formation and promotes apoptosis in vitro

Having demonstrated the overexpression of circ_HN1 in gastric cancer, we then evaluated the functional role of circ_HN1. To do this, we generated circ_HN1-silenced cells with lentivirus expressing shRNA-circ_HN1 (sh-circ_HN1). The effectiveness of sh-circ_HN1 in knocking down circ_HN1 was verified by qRT-PCR (Figure 2a and 2b). Although sh-circ_HN1 transduction led to a significant down-regulation of circ_HN1 expression, the level of HN1 linear mRNA was not reduced by sh-circ_HN1 (Figure 2a and 2b). Remarkably, circ_HN1 loss of function impeded cell colony formation (Figure 2c) and suppressed spheroid formation (Figure 2d), as well as reduced proliferation (Figure 2e-g) in HGC27 and MKN74 cells. The reduction of the proliferating maker PCNA expression in cells transduced by sh-circ_HN1 also supported the proliferation repression caused by circ_HN1 silencing (Figure 2h). Knocking down circ_HN1 markedly repressed cell apoptosis compared to the control group.

Figure 2. Effects of circ_HN1 depletion on cell migration, proliferation, invasion, spheroid formation and apoptosis in vitro. MKN74 and HGC27 cells were transduced by lentiviruses expressing sh-circ_HN1 or the scrambled control (sh-NC). (a) and (b) qRT-PCR showing the reduction of circ_HN1 and unvaried expression of HN1 mRNA in transduced cells. (c) Representative pictures depicting a cell colony formation assay and showing the suppressed colony formation by circ_HN1 depletion. (d) Spheroid formation. Phase contrast micrographs of day 14 in ultra-low attachment plate culture. (e) Representative images presenting a cell proliferation assay and cell proliferation by EdU assay. (f) and (g) MTT assay revealing cell proliferation repression caused by circ_HN1 loss of function. (h) The repression of PCNA expression in transduced cells determined by western blot. (i) Representative images showing a cell apoptosis assay performed by flow cytometry. (j) and (k) Western blot showing the alteration of Bcl-2 and Bax levels in circ_HN1-silencing cells. (l) and (m) Representative images depicting cell migration and invasion assays performed by transwell assay. *P < 0.05.
Moreover, circ_HN1 depletion resulted in increased protein expression of Bax and decreased levels of Bcl-2 in the two cancer cell lines (Figure 2j and 2k), validating that circ_HN1 silencing promoted cell apoptosis. Furthermore, circ_HN1-silenced HGC27 and MKN74 cells exhibited suppressed migration (Figure 2l) and invasion (Figure 2m) rates compared to the control group. All these findings demonstrate that circ_HN1 affects cell proliferation, invasion, migration, spheroid formation and apoptosis in vitro.

**NT5E is a downstream effector of circ_HN1 function**

Based on the ceRNA hypothesis, to understand how circ_HN1 influenced the functional properties of gastric cancer cells, we considered the most up-regulated genes in gastric cancer to be potential effectors of circ_HN1. Using the GEO database (GSE112369), we found 9 up-regulated genes in gastric primary tumors when we set the \(|\log_2 \text{FC}| > 3.5, \text{Padj} < 0.05\) (Figure 3a). Intriguingly, in circ_HN1-silenced HGC27 and MKN74 cells, NT5E mRNA level was the most significantly underexpressed (Figure 3b and 3c). We thus selected NT5E for further investigation. In
agreement with the mRNA expression, NT5E protein level was down-regulated as a result of circ_HN1 depletion in the two gastric cancer cell lines (Figure 3d). We also used qRT-PCR to validate the overexpression of NT5E mRNA in 47 gastric primary tumors compared to the adjacent nontumor gastric tissues (Figure 3e). Having established that circ_HN1 affected NT5E expression, we then elucidated if there was a positive correlation between NT5E and circ_HN1 levels in the gastric primary tumors. Notably, NT5E mRNA levels positively correlated with circ_HN1 expression (Figure 3f). Additionally, gastric primary tumors exhibited higher protein levels of NT5E compared with the controls (Figure 3g).

To elucidate whether NT5E was a downstream effector of circ_HN1 in the context of regulating gastric tumorigenesis, a NT5E expressing plasmid lacking the 3'UTR region was co-transfected and assayed for cell proliferation, spheroid formation, migration, invasion, and apoptosis. By contrast, transfection of the NT5E expressing plasmid markedly rescued sh-circ_HN1-driven NT5E expression reduction in both cell lines (Figure 4a and 4b). Strikingly, restoration of NT5E reversed circ_HN1 depletion-mediated cell colony formation repression (Figure 4c), spheroid formation reduction (Figure 4d), proliferation suppression (Figure 4e-h), apoptosis enhancement (Figure 4i-k), migration inhibition (Figure 4l), and invasion reduction (Figure 4m). These findings together strongly establish the notion that the effects of circ_HN1 depletion are at least in part due to the down-regulation of NT5E.

**Circ_HN1 regulates NT5E expression by competitively binding to miR-628-5p**

Having established that circ_HN1 regulated NT5E expression, we then undertook to identify their shared miRNAs based on the shared binding sites. Using the computational program starBase,
there were 4 shared miRNAs (miR-628-5p, miR-942-5p, miR-1323, and miR-548o-3p) (Figure 5a). Among the 4 miRNAs, miR-628-5p was the only down-regulated miRNA in HGC27 and MKN74 gastric cancer cells compared to the nontumor GES-1 cells (Figure 5b). Moreover, we observed a clear elevation in the level of endogenous miR-628-5p in circ_HN1-silenced HGC27 and MKN74 cells (Figure 5c). The predicted data by the starBase web showed a putative binding sequence for miR-628-5p within circ_HN1 (Figure 5d). To validate the direct relationship between circ_HN1 and miR-628-5p, we constructed circ_HN1 luciferase reporter and transfected it into the two cancer cell lines together with miR-628-5p mimic. With this reporter and miR-628-5p mimic led to a clear down-regulation in luciferase activity (Figure 5e and 5f). We then generated a mutation in the binding region and tested it by luciferase activity. However, the mutation was refractory to inhibition by miR-628-5p mimic (Figure 5e and 5f). Moreover, RNA pull-down assay showed that incubation of Bio-miR-628-5p WT led to increased enrichment level of circ_HN1, and this effect was abolished by the mutation (Bio-miR-628-5p MUT) (Figure 5g), reinforcing the direct relationship between circ_HN1 and miR-628-5p. Using the starBase web, we also found that there existed seven nucleotides within NT5E 3′UTR complementarity to the seed sequence of miR-628-5p (Figure 5h). Using 3′UTR luciferase assays, we confirmed NT5E was targeted by miR-628-5p (Figure 5i and 5j). Contrary to the levels of circ_HN1 and NT5E, miR-628-5p expression was
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Figure 5. Circ_HN1 directly binds to miR-628-5p to up-regulate NT5E. (a) Venn diagram showing the 4 shared miRNAs of circ_HN1 and NT5E predicted by the computational program starBase. (b) qRT-PCR of the 4 shared miRNAs in HGC27, MKN74, and GES-1 cells. (c) Relative miR-628-5p expression in sh-NC- or sh-circ_HN1-transduced HGC27 and MKN74 cells. (d) Predicted miR-628-5p pairing sites within circ_HN1, the mutant in the target sequence, and sequence of miR-628-5p. (e and f) Dual-luciferase reporter assays showing the validity of the miR-628-5p binding sites. (g) RNA pull-down assay performed with HGC27 and MKN74 cells using Bio-miR-NC, Bio-miR-628-5p WT or Bio-miR-628-5p MUT. (h) Predicted miR-628-5p binding sites within NT5E 3′UTR, the mutated seed sequence, and sequence of miR-628-5p. (i and j) Dual-luciferase reporter assays of NT5E 3′UTR predicted to be regulated by miR-628-5p. (k) qRT-PCR of miR-628-5p in 47 gastric cancer tissues and 47 matched normal gastric tissues. (l and m) Correlation between miR-628-5p and circ_HN1 or NT5E miRNA expression in 47 gastric primary tumors. qRT-PCR of miR-628-5p (n) and NT5E mRNA (o), and western blot of NT5E protein (p) in HGC27 and MKN74 cells transfected by anti-miR-628-5p, anti-miR-NC, miR-628-5p mimic, or miR-NC mimic. *P < 0.05.
strikingly reduced in gastric primary tumors compared to the normal controls (Figure 5k). Moreover, in gastric primary tumor tissues, miR-628-5p expression negatively correlated with circ_HN1 and NT5E levels (Figure 5l and 5m). In support of these results, miR-628-5p elevation upon miR-628-5p mimic introduction, confirmed by qRT-PCR (Figure 5n), caused a distinct suppression in the levels of NT5E mRNA and protein (Figure 5o and 5p). Conversely, knocking down miR-628-5p with anti-miR-628-5p transfection (Figure 5n) resulted in increased expression of NT5E mRNA and protein (Figure 5o and 5p). Taken together, these observations suggest that NT5E is a target of miR-628-5p and circ_HN1 acts as a ceRNA for miR-628-5p to regulate NT5E expression.

**MiR-628-5p reduction reverses the impact of circ_HN1 silencing on cell functional behaviors**

In order to further validate the effects of circ_HN1 depletion were mediated by miR-628-5p, we reduced miR-628-5p expression with anti-miR-628-5p introduction in circ_HN1-silenced HGC27 and MKN74 cells (Figure 6a). By contrast, reduced level of miR-628-5p reversed circ_HN1 depletion-mediated NT5E expression inhibition (Figure 6b and 6c), reinforcing the role of circ_HN1 as a regulator of NT5E expression via miR-628-5p. Indeed, down-regulation of miR-628-5p strongly counteracted circ_HN1 depletion-mediated anti-colony formation (Figure 6d), anti-spheroid formation (Figure 6e), anti-proliferation (Figure 6f-i), pro-apoptosis (Figure 6j-l), anti-migration (Figure 6m), as well as anti-invasion.

*Figure 6. Circ_HN1 depletion exerts regulatory effects by up-regulating miR-628-5p. Stable sh-NC- or sh-circ_HN1-infected HGC27 and MKN74 cells were transfected with anti-miR-628-5p or anti-miR-NC. (a) MiR-628-5p expression in transfected cells. (b) Relative NT5E mRNA level in transfected cells gauged by qRT-PCR. (c) Western blot showing the level of NT5E protein. (d) Colony formation using a standard colony formation assay. (e) Spheroid formation. Phase contrast micrographs of day 14 in ultra-low attachment plate culture. Proliferation capacity of transfected cells examined by EdU (f) and MTT (g and h) assays. (i) Western blot of PCNA protein level in transfected HGC27 and MKN74 cells. (j) Apoptosis of transfected HGC27 and MKN74 cells by flow cytometry. (k and l) Western blot showing Bcl-2 and Bax levels in transfected HGC27 and MKN74 cells. (m and n) Representative images showing cell migration and invasion assays performed by transwell assay. *P < 0.05.*
(Figure 6n) effects in HGC27 and MKN74 cells. In summary, these data indicate that miR-628-5p is a downstream mediator of circ_HN1 function.

**Depletion of circ_HN1 reduces tumor growth by up-regulating miR-628-5p in vivo**

We further explored whether miR-628-5p was responsible for the effect of circ_HN1 on tumor growth in vivo. HGC27 cells transduced with sh-circ_HN1 formed markedly smaller xenograft tumors than the same cells transduced by the sh-NC control (Figure 7a and 7b). Moreover, the introduction of anti-miR-628-5p significantly reversed the sh-circ_HN1-imposed tumor growth suppression in vivo (Figure 7a and 7b). Sh-circ_HN1-transduced HGC27 tumors exhibited lower levels of circ_HN1 and NT5E and higher expression of miR-628-5p compared with the sh-NC group (Figure 7c-g). However, the introduction of anti-miR-628-5p strongly reversed sh-circ_HN1-mediated miR-628-5p elevation and NT5E down-regulation (Figure 7d-7g). Additionally, circ_HN1-silenced tumors had remarkably fewer...
cells stained for Ki67 staining than the controls, and anti-miR-628-5p introduction reversed this impact of circ_HN1 depletion (Figure 7g). All these findings demonstrate that depletion of circ_HN1 diminishes tumor growth by up-regulating miR-628-5p in vivo.

Discussion

The functional ceRNA crosstalk is crucial for the oncogene regulation during the tumorigenic processes [11,30]. Increasing experimental evidence illuminates the implications of circRNA-mediated ceRNA activity in human cancers, including gastric cancer [31,32]. For instance, Gao et al. uncovered that circ_0000117 contributed to gastric tumorigenesis by working as a ceRNA for miR-337-3p to induce signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) [6]. Yang et al. ascertained that circ_0005654 promoted specificity protein 1 expression to drive gastric cancer development via miR-363 competition [33]. Conversely, circ_LARP4 is a potent tumor-inhibitory circRNA in gastric carcinogenesis depending on its ceRNA activity [34]. The findings described here firstly showed the suppressive effect of circ_HN1 depletion on gastric tumorigenesis, in agreement with a recent report [12]. Based on these observations, we focused on the ceRNA activity of circ_HN1 in gastric cancer.

NT5E is a well-known oncogene in numerous tumors and it is a potential therapeutic target for cancer immunotherapy [13,35,36]. Our data first demonstrated that silencing of circ_HN1 strongly down-regulated NT5E in gastric cancer cells, and suppression of NT5E phenocopied circ_HN1 depletion in gastric tumorigenesis. CircRNAs modulate gene expression through multiple mechanisms, including miRNA sequestration [37]. Considering the positive regulation of circ_HN1 on NT5E expression and the main cytoplasmic localization of circ_HN1, we hypothesized that circ_HN1 may post-transcriptionally regulate NT5E by sponging some miRNAs.

Here, we first ascertained that miR-628-5p was a shared miRNA for circ_HN1 and NT5E. Furthermore, circ_HN1 modulated NT5E expression by competitively pairing to miR-628-5p. Several previous documents have uncovered the conflicting roles of miR-628-5p in tumor progression [38–40]. These contradictory observations may be partially due to the different types of cancers in these studies, where miR-628-5p contributes to osteosarcoma progression [40] and works as a strong anti-cancer agent in prostate cancer [38] and ovarian cancer [39]. Moreover, miR-628-5p can hinder gastric tumorigenesis by inhibiting its target mRNAs [19,20]. Importantly, Deng and colleagues showed that miR-628-5p was involved in a long ncRNA DLG associated protein 1 antisense RNA 1 (DLGAP1-AS1)-mediated ceRNA network in gastric cancer [20]. In this report, miR-628-5p was a functional mediator of circ_HN1 in affecting gastric tumorigenesis. Additionally, the tumor xenograft assays implied the important involvement of the circ_HN1/miR-628-5p/NT5E ceRNA crosstalk in tumor growth in vivo, which should be further studied in future work. Similarly, Wang and colleagues reported that the circ_HN1/miR-302b-3p/ROCK2 ceRNA axis impacted gastric tumorigenesis [12]. Xu et al. showed that NT5E possessed oncogenic activity in gastric cancer by regulating the RICS/RhoA signaling [18]. Future work will build on the findings by identifying how the circ_HN1/miR-628-5p/NT5E ceRNA axis impacts gastric tumorigenesis. Additionally, when we used the online database starBase to observe the correlation between miR-628-5p/NT5E expression and overall survival of gastric cancer patients, we found that the expression of NT5E (p = 0.00019), rather than miR-628-5p (p > 0.05), was strongly correlated with the overall survival of the patients with gastric cancer; the patients with low NT5E levels had significantly longer survival time than those with high NT5E levels (Supplement Figure 1), suggesting that NT5E may be a potential prognostic biomarker of gastric cancer.

With these findings, we envision that circ_HN1 inhibitor (e.g. shRNA or lentivirus expressing shRNA) may be a potential point for the development of circRNA-based targeted therapies against gastric cancer. Based on a previous report [41], intravenous injection of circ_HN1 inhibitor may be a potential method for gastric cancer treatment. Further research is warranted in this field.
Conclusion
In summary, we have defined a novel ceRNA cross-talk, the circ_HN1/miR-628-5p/NT5E axis, for the oncogenic activity of circ_HN1 in gastric cancer. Our findings provide a rationale for developing circ_HN1 as a therapeutic agent against gastric cancer.
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