In Vitro Corrosion of Quaternary Magnesium Alloy Foam by Addition of Zinc
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Abstract
Magnesium alloys have been intensively studied as possible resorbable material with adequate mechanical properties similar to those of natural bones but with very poor corrosion properties. In this study, the addition of Zn element to a quaternary Mg-Ca-Zn alloy foam was evaluated with TiH2 as a foaming agent to form a porous material with high-purity raw materials. In Hank's solution, the rate of corrosion of the specimens was determined by direct observations with Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), Electron Dispersion Spectrometry (EDS), static immersion studies, potentiodynamic analysis, and X-Ray Diffraction (XRD). The specimen's immersion characteristics and the corresponding Hank's solutions were examined at 2, 4, 6, 24, 48, and 72 hours of immersion. The findings showed that the microstructure of the alloy morphology, such as pores, pitting corrosion, needle shapes, and galvanic corrosion has a significant role in corrosion products Mg(OH)2 and Ca10(PO4)6(OH)2. The addition of less than 6 percent wt Zn will minimize the corrosion rate but increase with 10 percent wt Zn. From this study, Mg-Zn-Ca alloy at 6 percent wt Zn has the lowest corrosion rate with slow pH changes in the solution.
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I. INTRODUCTION
Mg alloys have strong biodegradability, but their rapid degradation is the most formidable obstacle to their successful use. Low corrosion and high strength are the main requirements for the selection of biodegradable and biocompatible materials. [1] Their laxity due to stress-shielded bone resorption, weak interfacial bonding between the implant and the bone, and lack of biological anchorage for forming tissue have been the biggest problem with solid-structure metal implants [2]. Much effort has been made to create and classify metal implants with microstructures and properties similar to trabecular bones: to resolve these issues, such as metal foams, metallic scaffolds, porous metals, or cellular metals. In this research, we used TiH2 as a foaming agent to form pores in Mg alloy. TiH2 is regarded as the strongest foaming hydride and is also used to foaming low melting point metals such as magnesium. A significant amount of hydrogen in TiH2 is produced during heating, resulting in pores in Mg alloy [3]. Porous metal is expected to provide better interaction with bones and good osteointegration with bone host tissue. This is mostly attributed to a high rate of growth of bone on porous surfaces and transport through the pores of body fluids, resulting in stronger interlocking or fixation between implants and bone. In regards to corrosion and biodegradability, once the bone has healed without reoperation, the presence of pores contributes to smooth implant deterioration. However, the mechanical stability of the pore Mg alloy at the time of implantation is limited. A very critical stabilizing biodegradable magnesium clip should be positioned around the biological graft before the latter achieves adequate equilibrium to prevent premature dissolution or aneurysm formation [4].

The weak corrosion resistance of Mg alloys is the most troublesome of alloys [5][6][7]. It should think back that corrosion on the surface affects Mg alloys, particularly for orthopedic implants [8]. However, the rapid rate of degradation of magnesium alloy is a biomedical material. This
results in high levels of ion and hydrogen gas release and subcutaneous emphysema [9]. Not only can the alloy portion improve the mechanical properties of the magnesium alloy, but it can also increase the corrosion resistance. Added Mn has been reported to reduce the adverse effects of Fe impurity on the corrosion properties of magnesium alloys. [10]. Aluminum-containing magnesium alloy can form a thick passivation film that protects the alloy from corrosion rapidly, but aluminum can cause Alzheimer's in the long term [11][12]. A combination of improved strength and ductility comes from alloying Mg with Zn [5]. In addition, Zn is a microelement and part of a variety of proteins that our body requires. Zn can also improve cell metabolism. In the previous study, the elements Zn and Mn were chosen to create a biomedical magnesium alloy. The findings have shown that Zn can significantly increase the mechanical properties of as-cast Mg-Mn alloy and its corrosion resistance [13]. The primary research findings in this study focused on the impact of Zn on the corrosion resistance of Mg-Ca-Zn metal foam and the use of TiH2 as a foaming agent, and the prospect of the use of Mg alloy as a bone implant. The mechanical properties of Zn porous magnesium and the inclusion of TiH2 as an extensively researched foaming agent revealed that this substance retained a low compressive strength with improved porosity in order to prevent stress shielding [14].

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

High purity magnesium (Merck KGaA, 98.5 percent pure, D 0.06-0.3 mm), zinc (Merck KGaA, D < 45 μm), and Ca granule (Merck KGaA, > 98.5 percent pure, D < 2.6 mm) as starting materials and TiH2 fine powder as a foaming agent (98 percent pure) were used for the preparation of foaming precursors. Mg – Zn – Ca alloys have been prepared using a powder metallurgy process. TiH2 was heat-treated at 450 °C for 120 min in argon to move the hydrogen release range to higher temperatures necessary for a reasonable foam structure. [3]. In this study, the design compositions were Mg-Zn-1Ca0.3 wt. with 3, 6, and 10% Zn (%wt) and 3, 5, and 10% TiH2 (%wt). Subsequently, the milled powders were compacted using a hydraulic press at room temperature in a cylindrical die with a 10 mm diameter under 200 psi pressure for 5 min. In argon condition, the green compact was sintered at 600 °C with a holding time of 5 hours and then cooled naturally to reach ambient temperature, and the heating rate was 5 °C / minute. The degradation behavior of these Mg-based materials is then explored through the implementation of electrochemical and immersion research techniques, the surface characteristics of SEM and EDS, and XRD has described the phases developed during the corrosion process.

1) Electrochemical Test

Electrochemical techniques, such as potentiodynamic polarization (PDP) experiments, provide a fast comparison of different Mg alloys degradation profile. As the first test of degradation characterization, this method is commonly used [15]. In this research, in vitro corrosion was performed in electrochemical studies using the G750 potentiostat Gamry instrument. For electrochemical measurements, a three-electrode cell was used. The carbon electrode and the calomel electrode (SCE) were used as a counter electrode and as a reference electrode. Mg alloy foam was used as a working electrode with an exposure area of 1 cm². The simulated body liquid electrolyte Hank’s solution was used as a medium at 37 °C and a pH value of 7.4. The electrolyte volume used for each experiment was 200 ml. The theoretical range of scanning was -200 mV to +200 mV with a scanning rate of 0.5 mV / s. The temperature of the electrolyte was held at 37±2 °C. The rate of corrosion was calculated using the Tafel extrapolation process.

2) Immersion Test

The immersion test was carried out in compliance with ASTM G 31-72. Hank’s solution was used and performed at 37 °C and 7.4 pH for 72 hours in a water bath [16]. Table 1 shows the composition of Hank’s solution. After air drying, the specimens were weighed. The immersion test was conducted by observing the normal amount of human blood plasma excretion in which approximately 14 mL of body fluids out of 30 mL were replaced with fresh fluids each day [17].

| TABLE 1. COMPOSITION OF HANK’S SOLUTION [18] |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Component          | Concentration (g/L) |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| NaCl              | 8.0                 |
| KCl               | 4.0                 |
| CaCl₂             | 0.14                |
| MgSO₄.7H₂O        | 0.1                 |
| NaHPO₄            | 0.048               |
| NaHCO₃            | 0.35                |
| Glucose           | 0.35                |
| KH₂PO₄            | 0.6                 |
| MgCl₂.6H₂O        | 0.1                 |

3) SEM, EDS, and XRD

To describe the morphology and composition of the sample, the scanning electron microscope (SEM), JEOL, JSM-6390A, fitted with an energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analyzer, was used. The phase composition of the Mg alloys after immersion was investigated by X-ray diffractometry (XRD: D / MAX-255) with Cu-Kα1 radiation (wavelength λ=1.5406 Å). The voltage of the tube and the XRD electric tube current were 40 kW and 250 mA, respectively.

III. RESULTS

4) Electrochemical Test Result

The potentiodynamic polarization tests identify the alloy’s corrosion behavior and based on short testing time, estimate their corrosion rates. Fig. 1 shows the Tafel curves of the foam of all Mg alloys. Table 2. lists the corrosion potential (Ecorr), current density, and corrosion rates (icorr). The lowest corrosion potential and low current density were shown by the Mg-1Ca alloy of 6 wt.% Zn and 10 wt% TiH₂.
The addition of Zn to 6 wt.% substantially changed the corrosion capacity to a nobler. Low corrosion rates were obtained when 10 wt.% Zn and 3 wt.% TiH₂ were added in Mg-1Ca alloy.
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Table 2. Corrosion Rate During the Potentiodynamic Test

| Composition | Potentiodynamic Polarization | Corrosion Rate (mmppy) |
|-------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| Mg-Ca-3Zn-3TiH₂ |                             | 0.00078                | 19.286                |
| Mg-Ca-6Zn-3TiH₂ |                             | 0.00067                | 26.615                |
| Mg-Ca-10Zn-3TiH₂ |                            | 0.00031                | 43.066                |
| Mg-Ca-3Zn-5TiH₂ |                             | 0.00108                | 1.499                 |
| Mg-Ca-6Zn-5TiH₂ |                             | 0.000189               | 4.071                 |
| Mg-Ca-10Zn-5TiH₂ |                            | 0.00091                | 7.525                 |
| Mg-Ca-3Zn-10TiH₂ |                            | 0.000491               | 0.731                 |
| Mg-Ca-6Zn-10TiH₂ |                            | 0.0000057              | 0.385                 |
| Mg-Ca-10Zn-10TiH₂ |                           | 0.0000184              | 1.499                 |

5) Immersion Test Result

In the static immersion test, the impact of exposure time was well established. The variation of pH during 72 hours of the process of corrosion as the function of immersion time is shown in Fig.2. The Mg alloys’s pH changed slowly in the whole process, and the highest pH occurred at 24 hours immersion with 10 wt.% Zn addition. The pH of Mg alloy with 6 wt.% Zn changed relatively slowly and reached the highest pH at 72 hours.

Table 3. The Change of Corrosion Rate and pH During Immersion Test

| Composition | Corr Rate (mmppy) | pH (2 hrs) | pH (4 hrs) | pH (6 hrs) | pH (24 hrs) | pH (48 hrs) | pH (72 hrs) |
|-------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Mg-Ca-3Zn-TiH₂ | 27.54             | 9.07      | 10.03     | 10.37     | 11.50     | 11.57     | 11.00     |
| Mg-Ca-6Zn-3TiH₂ | 14.80             | 8.10      | 9.45      | 9.70      | 11.25     | 10.85     | 11.30     |
| Mg-Ca-10Zn-3TiH₂ | 34.63             | 8.17      | 11.17     | 11.50     | 11.70     | 11.37     | 11.03     |
| Mg-Ca-3Zn-5TiH₂ | 28.43             | 9.75      | 10.95     | 11.45     | 11.85     | 11.85     | 11.50     |
| Mg-Ca-6Zn-5TiH₂ | 28.08             | 9.33      | 10.43     | 11.03     | 11.93     | 11.67     | 11.53     |
| Mg-Ca-10Zn-5TiH₂ | 34.70             | 9.90      | 11.10     | 11.70     | 11.95     | 12.00     | 11.55     |
| Mg-Ca-3Zn-10TiH₂ | 30.51             | 9.20      | 10.30     | 10.60     | 11.90     | 11.70     | 11.20     |
| Mg-Ca-6Zn-10TiH₂ | 24.09             | 8.60      | 10.50     | 11.05     | 11.70     | 11.60     | 11.60     |
| Mg-Ca-10Zn-10TiH₂ | 39.47             | 8.40      | 10.90     | 11.05     | 11.30     | 11.25     | 11.23     |

Fig. 1. Potentiodynamic polarization curve magnesium alloy with the variation of A. 3 wt.%; B. 5 wt.%; and C. 10 wt.% of TiH₂.
Fig. 2. Change of pH value during the immersion test

Fig. 3. The corrosion rate of Mg alloys in immersion test

6) Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) Result

The surface morphologies of specimens of Mg alloys after static immersion test in Hank’s solution after 72 hours are shown in Fig. 4a, b and c. Some corrosion product formed on the surface of the Mg alloy, especially on the corroded region, and as immersion time increased, some detached from the surface. Consequently, the surface of Mg is filled with several pits of varying depths and sizes.
Fig. 4. SEM and EDS of Mg alloy after immersion test (a) Mg-1Ca-3Zn-10TiH₂, (b) Mg-1Ca-6Zn-10TiH₂, and (c) Mg-1Ca-10Zn-10TiH₂

7) **XRD (X-Ray Diffraction) Result**

Products of corrosion on Mg alloys foam after the immersion test were identified using XRD analysis. MgZn, TiH, MgO, Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆(OH)₂ and Mg(OH)₂ peaks were found after the immersion test for 72 hours as shown in Fig. 5.

Fig. 5. XRD analysis after immersion test with different TiH₂ contents

### III. DISCUSSION

Corrosion, as a product of a surface impact and the substrate, entails material deterioration. It affects the parameters and the degree of corrosion greatly. The following reactions may include the overall degradation phase of magnesium and its alloys:

**anodic reaction**

\[
\text{Mg (s)} \rightarrow \text{Mg}^{2+} \text{(aq)} + 2e^- 
\]

**cathodic reaction**

\[
2\text{H}_2\text{O (aq)} \rightarrow 2e^- + \text{H}_2 \text{(g)} + 2\text{OH}^- \text{(aq)} 
\]

**product formation**

\[
\text{Mg}^{2+} \text{(aq)} + 2\text{OH}^- \text{(aq)} \rightarrow \text{Mg(OH)}_2 \text{(s)} 
\]
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Magnesium hydroxide or Mg(OH)$_2$ is concentrated on the underlying Mg as an anticorrosion agent which becomes a protective layer against water corrosion. The chloride Cl$^-$ concentration of chloride (Cl$^-$) increase above 30 mmol/liter in the corrosive environment, it begins to turn into highly soluble MgCl$_2$ [19]. In Hank’s solution, Cl$^-$ ions (Cl$^-$) can move through the layer and react easily together Mg(OH)$_2$ to initiate the MgCl$_2$ that more soluble and then dissociate become Mg$^{2+}$ and 2Cl$^-$ ions, allowing OH$^-$ near the Mg alloys surface. The layer of Mg(OH)$_2$ is detached and allows its more active at the surface. The area that protected has also begun to decrease. In addition, increasing the solution’s pH, stabilized Mg(OH)$_2$, which was obtained with the OH$^-$ released from the reaction of cathode [20]. The rise in interfacial pH was caused by targeting the Cl$^-$ on Mg(OH)$_2$ [21]. However, the alkalinization levels of the solutions declined with the deposition of Mg(OH)$_2$ and precipitation of other compounds on immersion samples[20]. It may be seen that the solution’s alkalinization rates are directly linear to the immersed samples corrosion rates and lower rates of pH factor rise exist as a result of lower corrosion rates. According to Fig. 1, samples with 6 wt. %, Zn had a lower curve slope of pH in relation to the other alloys, because of their lower corrosion rates.

As shown in Fig. 3, based on the immersion test, the addition of 3% and 6 wt. Zn percent lowered the corrosion risk of the Mg alloy. The formation of active cathodic protection produces two ion concentration surfaces that transfer away from the aqueous solution layer to the magnesium surface (anodic site). Galvanic corrosion on the magnesium surfaces can be facilitated by the reaction between the various metals. Owing to the inhomogeneous composition of the surface and by the electrolyte medium, it promotes the motion of ions. The galvanic corrosion in Mg with Zn alloy is due to the formation of ($\alpha$-Mg + Zn) phase, containing ($\alpha$-Mg + Ca$_2$Mg$_2$Zn) on its surface [22]. The Mg-Zn-Ca corrosion rate can also decrease because Zn has a higher electronegativity. When Zn was added at more than 7 wt%, it will accelerate the corrosion rate because the maximum limit solubility of Zn in Mg alloy is 7%. If Zn is added more than 7%, it will reduce corrosion resistance [23]. The electrochemical behavior of the $\alpha$-Mg matrix has a lower potential than intermetallic MgZn potential so that it acts as a cathode and causes corrosion of galvanic. Therefore, the rate of corrosion in Mg alloy is decreased.

Mg acts as an anode and the cathode reaction, such as grain boundary and secondary phases, occurs in the most peculiar location of the substrates. Mg particles were released into the electrolyte at the start of the electrochemical test to strike the region around the grain boundary. Processes of degradation prefer to focus on grain boundaries so they can finally be weakened. Mechanisms of corrosion tend to depend on grain limits until they can eventually be damaged. For defects such as grain boundary, Mg is more vulnerable to corrosion attacks. The secondary phase presence plays the same function as raising the area of the grain boundary. If the secondary process is concentrated on several sides only, the galvanic local corrosion attack can increase [22]. Based on SEM-EDS analysis, the alloy tends to experience damage in the form of pitting corrosion that forms small holes on the alloy and there are needle shapes. Fig. 4 describes the results of the microstructure analysis of Mg-Zn-Ca alloy using SEM-EDS. The phenomenon is similar to other studies. The picture shows that the metal surface is damaged, including cracks in the grain boundary and many holes generated around them. It indicates pitting corrosion. According to the findings of the EDS study, the corrosion products produced on the samples were essentially in the $\alpha$, P, Mg, Ca, Zn, and Ti. The holes formed in the shapes of small holes and needles are indicated to contain Mg, Cl, and O, which are interpreted as MgCl$_2$ and Mg (OH)$_2$ [1]. As can be seen in Fig. 4b, the EDS data of Mg alloy with 6 wt.% Zn and 10 wt.% TiH$_2$. This figure revealed lowest corrosion product of Cl$^-$, probably due to zinc ions (Zn$^{2+}$) reacting with hydrochloric acid (HCl) and forming ZnCl$_2$. Due to the attack of Cl$^-$, the damage of (MgOH) film is reduced.

A white powder was found after 72 hours and it coated the surface of all the samples. Corrosion of magnesium alloys is primarily caused by the response of Mg + 2H$_2$O $\rightarrow$ Mg(OH)$_2$+H$_2$. As the primary corrosion element, the solid substance of Mg(OH)$_2$ is thus produced. Subsequently, the pH value of Hank’s solution also increases when the rise in immersion time induces the deposition of Mg(OH)$_2$ and the accumulation of calcium phosphate on the surface of the alloy [24]. On the other hand, Fig. 5 shown, the increase of Zn in alloy at 10 wt.% produces the highest peak of Ca$_2$MgZn$_3$ and $\alpha$-Mg. This phenomenon can increase the risk corrosion of galvanic because of potential differences between $\alpha$-Mg and the (Ca$_2$Mg$_2$Zn$_3$ + $\alpha$-Mg) as secondary phase [25].

**IV. CONCLUSION**

The Mg-Ca-Zn-TiH$_2$ alloy corrosion rate with the variation of Zn and TiH$_2$ in Hank’s solution at 37 °C was increased by the addition of 6 wt.% Zn with 10 wt.% TiH$_2$. The corrosion resistance increased with addition of Zn content between 3 and 6 wt.%. The presence of intermetallic MgZn, which is potentially dangerous, is proved through corrosion morphologies, immersion testing and electrochemical measurements. Excessive Zn element of up to 10 wt. % resulted in an intermetallic MgZn structure as a cathode and in acceleration of microgalvanic corrosion. Besides, based on immersion test, lower corrosion rates led to lower pH changes. The holes had shapes of small holes and needles. It indicated that the alloy contained Mg, Cl, and O, as was apparent in the existence of Mg (OH)$_2$ and MgCl$_2$. MgZn, TiH$_2$, Mg(OH)$_2$, MgO, and Ca$_3$(PO$_4$)$_3$(OH)$_2$ peaks found after 72-hour immersion test.
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