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Abstract

Purpose: The ethanol extract of the leaves of Stachys pseudopinardii R. Bhattacharjee and Hub.–Mor. (Lamiaceae) were investigated for their antimicrobial activities.

Methods: The antimicrobial activity of the leaf extract of the plant was tested against Bacillus subtilis ATCC 6633, Bacillus cereus ATCC 7064, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 6538P, Escherichia coli ATCC 10538, Proteus vulgaris ATCC 6899, Salmonella typhimurium CCM 5445 and Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853, as well as Candida albicans ATCC 10239, Debaryomyces hansenii DSM 70238, Kluyveromyces fragilis ATCC 8608 and Rhodotorula rubra DSM 70403, by disc diffusion and microdilution methods. Selected antibacterial agents (penicillin, tobramycin and ampicillin) and antifungal agents (nystatin, clotrimazole and ketoconazole) antibiotics were used as positive reference standards in the tests.

Results: The extracts showed strong antibacterial activity against Bacillus cereus ATCC 7064, with an inhibition zone of 25.0 mm, and minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of 16 and 32 µg/mL, respectively. Debaryomyces hansenii DSM 70238 was among the most susceptible of the yeast cultures, with an inhibition zone of 17.0 mm and MIC and minimum fungicidal concentration (MFC) of 32 and 32 µg/mL, respectively. The extract exhibited moderate activity against the other test microorganisms.

Conclusion: The results demonstrate that the ethanol extract of the leaves of Stachys pseudopinardii has significant antimicrobial activity and suggest that it may be useful in the treatment of infections.
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INTRODUCTION
Medicinal plants have been known for their healing or disease-curing qualities for centuries. *Stachys* species have been reported in folk medicine to treat genital tumors, sclerosis of the spleen, inflammatory tumors and cancerous ulcers. Whole plant or leaves of this species are used in phytotherapy and said to possess sedative, antispasmodic, diuretic and emmenagogue activities when used as a tea. Some *Stachys* species are used as a tonic and for stomach ailments in Anatolia.

*Stachys pseudopinardii* R. Bhattacharjee & Hub.–Mor. (Lamiaceae) is endemic to Turkey. A bibliographical survey showed that there are no reports on the antimicrobial activity of this plant. Therefore, the aim of this work was to evaluate the antimicrobial activity of *Stachys pseudopinardii* which grows wild in Turkey.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material
The plant material was collected from Icel, Turkey in July and August, 2008. A voucher specimen (voucher number GD56) of the plant was deposited in the Biology Department of Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University following identification by Ersin Karabacak of the same Department.

Preparation of extracts
The leaves of the plant were dried in an oven at 40 °C for 12 h and powdered. Each dry powdered plant material (20 g) was extracted with 150 mL of 95% ethanol (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) for 24 h using a Soxhlet extractor. The extract was filtered with Whatman filter paper no.1, and the filtrate was evaporated under vacuum in a rotary evaporator at 55 °C. The extract yield obtained was 12.4%. The dry extract, which was sticky and black, was stored in labeled sterile screw-capped bottles at -20°C pending use. Prior to testing, 2 g was dissolved in 0.4 L of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (5 mg/mL).

Test microorganisms
*In vitro* antimicrobial studies were carried out on seven bacterial strains (*Bacillus subtilis* ATCC 6633, *Bacillus cereus* ATCC 7064, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6538P, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 10538, *Proteus vulgaris* ATCC 6899, *Salmonella typhimurium* CCM 5445 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853) and four yeast strains (*Candida albicans* ATCC 10239, *Debaryomyces hansenii* DSM 70238, *Kluyveromyces fragilis* ATCC 8608 and *Rhodotorula rubra* DSM 70403). They were all obtained from the Microbiology Research Laboratory, Department of Biology, Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Turkey.

Disc diffusion method
The paper disc diffusion method was employed. Sterile 6 mm disc filter paper disc (Schleicher & Schul, No. 2668, Dassel, Germany) were impregnated with 50 µL of the plant extract. The bacterial cultures were inoculated on Nutrient Broth (Oxoid) and incubated for 24 h at 37±0.1 °C, while the yeast cultures were inoculated on Malt Extract Broth (Oxoid) and incubated for 48 h at 28.0±0.1 °C. Adequate amounts of Mueller Hilton Agar (Oxoid) were dispensed into sterile plates and allowed to solidify under aseptic conditions. The counts of bacterial and yeast cultures were adjusted to yield $10^7$ – $10^8$ mL$^{-1}$ and $10^5$ – $10^6$ mL$^{-1}$, respectively, using the standard McFarland counting method. The test microorganisms (0.1 mL) were inoculated with a sterile swab on the surface of appropriate solid medium in plates. The agar plates inoculated with the test microorganisms were incubated for 1 h before placing the extract impregnated paper disc on the plates. The bacterial plates were incubated at 37±0.1 °C for 24 h while yeast plates were incubated at 28±0.1 °C for 48 h. After incubation, all plates were observed for zones of growth inhibition and the diameter of
these zones was measured in millimetres. All tests were performed under sterile conditions in duplicate and repeated three times. Penicillin (10 µg/disc), tobramycin discs (10 µg/disc), ampicillin (20 µg/disc), nystatin (30 µg/disc), clotrimazole (30 µg/disc) and ketoconazole (20 µg/disc) discs were used as positive controls.

**Microdilution method**

Determination of the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was carried out according to the method described by Zgoda and Porter, with some modifications. A dilution series of the extract, ranging from 10 to 0.5 mg/mL, were prepared and then transferred to the broth in 96–well microtitre plates. The final concentrations were in the range 1000 to 50 µg/mL in the medium. Before inoculation of the test organisms, the bacterial and yeast strains were adjusted to 0.5 McFarland and diluted 1:1000 in Mueller Hinton Broth (Oxoid) and Malt Extract Broth (Oxoid), respectively. The plates were incubated at 35 °C for 18 – 24 h for bacteria and 30 °C for 48 h for the yeast cultures. All the tests were performed in broth and repeated twice. While the MIC values of the extracts were defined as the lowest concentration that showed no growth, minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) and minimum fungicidal concentration (MFC) were determined by plating samples from clear wells onto Mueller Hinton Agar and Malt Extract Agar, respectively. MBC and MFC were defined as the lowest concentration yielding negative subculture.

Ampicillin and streptomycin were used as the standard antibacterial agents, while nystatin was used as the standard antifungal agent. Their dilutions ranged from 128.0 to 0.25 µg/mL concentrations in microtitre plates.

**RESULTS**

The antimicrobial activities of *Stachys pseudopinardii* extracts against the test microorganisms examined in this study were qualitatively and quantitatively assessed by inhibition zone, MIC, MBC and MFC. The results are shown in Tables 1 and 2. The extract of *S. pseudopinardii* exhibited strong antimicrobial effects against the test microorganisms, with inhibition zones ranging from 6 to 25 mm. Notably, *B. subtilis* was more susceptible to the extract (inhibition zone: 25.0 mm) compared to the standard antibacterials, ampicillin and tobramycin, and penicillin whose inhibition zones ranged from 13 – 18 mm. Similarly, the extract showed higher antibacterial activity against *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa* and *Proteus vulgaris* than some of the standard antibiotics. The antifungal effect of the extract against *C. albicans* and *K. fragilis* was equivalent to those of the standard antifungal agents, nystatin and ketoconazole, respectively. *D. hansenii* was more susceptible to the extract than the standard antifungals, except clotrimazole.

In the microdilution test, the lowest MICs and MBCs of the extract were 16 and 32 µg/mL, respectively, against *B. cereus*, followed by *D. hansenii* and *K. fragilis*, with MIC/MBC of 32/32 and 64/>128 µg/mL, respectively. The extracts showed weak antimicrobial activity against the other test microorganisms with MIC/MBC ranging from 1000/1000 to 250/500 µg/mL. These values were well below those of the standards.

**DISCUSSION**

Ethanol was observed as the best solvent for extracting antimicrobial substances from some plants in a previous study. It is likely that the concentration of extract used in the test may correlate with the activity of its chemical components.

To the best of our knowledge, there are no reports of the antimicrobial activity of *Stachys pseudopinardii*. Furthermore, investigations of antimicrobial activity of the other *Stachys* species are few. In previous studies, the antimicrobial activity of some endemic *Stachys* species - *S. sivasica*, *S.
Table 1: Antimicrobial activity of the ethanol extract of S. pseudopinardii

| Microorganism                        | Extract (µg/mL) | Standard (µg/mL) | P   | AMP | TOB | NYS | KETO | CLT |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| **Bacillus subtilis**                | 11.0            | 14.0             | 12.0| 24.0| Nt  | Nt  | Nt  |     |
| **Bacillus cereus**                  | 25.0            | 13.0             | 16.0| 18.0| Nt  | Nt  | Nt  |     |
| **Escherichia coli**                 | 6.0             | 16.0             | 14.0| 10.0| Nt  | Nt  | Nt  |     |
| **Staphylococcus aureus**            | 13.0            | 23.0             | 16.0| 8.0 | Nt  | Nt  | Nt  |     |
| **Pseudomonas aeruginosa**           | 11.0            | 8.0              | 10.0| 12.0| Nt  | Nt  | Nt  |     |
| **Proteus vulgaris**                 | 14.0            | 10.0             | 16.0| 13.0| Nt  | Nt  | Nt  |     |
| **Salmonella typhimurium**           | 10.0            | 13.0             | 13.0| 10.0| Nt  | Nt  | Nt  |     |
| **Candida albicans**                 | 15.0            | Nt               | Nt  | Nt  | 20.0| 21.0| 15.0|     |
| **Debaryomyces Hansenii**            | 17.0            | Nt               | Nt  | Nt  | 16.0| 14.0| 20.0|     |
| **Kluyveromyces fragilis**           | 16.0            | Nt               | Nt  | Nt  | 18.0| 16.0| 18.0|     |
| **Rhodotorula rubra**                | 6.0             | Nt               | Nt  | Nt  | 18.0| 22.0| 16.0|     |

*Zone of inhibition, including the diameter of the filter disc (6.0 mm); mean value of three independent experiments; Nt = not tested; P = penicillin (10 µg/disc); TOB = tobramycin discs (10 µg/disc); AMP = ampicillin (20 µg/disc); NYS = nystatin discs (30 µg/disc); KETO = ketoconazole (20 µg/disc); CLO = clotrimazole (30 µg/disc).*

Table 2: Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the ethanol extract of S. pseudopinardii

| Microorganism                        | Extract (µg/mL) | Standard (µg/mL) | ST   | AMP  | NYS  |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------|------|------|
| **Bacillus subtilis**                | 500 (>1000)     | 0.5 (0.5)        | 0.5  | (2.0)| Nt   |
| **Bacillus cereus**                  | 16 (32)         | 4.0 (4.0)        | 8.0  | (8.0)| Nt   |
| **Escherichia coli**                 | 1000 (1000)     | 4.0 (4.0)        | 64   | (128)| Nt   |
| **Staphylococcus aureus**            | 250 (500)       | 2.0 (4.0)        | <0.25| (0.35)| Nt   |
| **Pseudomonas aeruginosa**           | 1000 (1000)     | 1.0 (1.0)        | 16   | (32)| Nt   |
| **Proteus vulgaris**                 | 250 (500)       | 8.0 (8.0)        | 0.5  | (0.5)| Nt   |
| **Salmonella typhimurium**           | 1000 (1000)     | 16 (32)          | 1.0  | (4.0)| Nt   |
| **Candida albicans**                 | 250 (500)       | Nt               | Nt   | 8.0  | (16)|    |
| **Debaryomyces Hansenii**            | 32 (32)         | Nt               | Nt   | 16   | (32)|    |
| **Kluyveromyces fragilis**           | 64 (>128)       | Nt               | Nt   | 16   | (16)|    |
| **Rhodotorula rubra**                | 1000 (1000)     | Nt               | Nt   | 16   | (16)|    |

Nt = not tested; ST = streptomycin; AMP = mpcillin; NYS = nystatin

anumurensis, S. cydnia, S. aleurites and S. pinardii - was reported. The methanol extracts of Stachys species were effective only against bacteria. In another study, the ethanol extract of S. byzantina was found not to be effective against C. albicans strains. However, the essential oil of this plant showed anti-Candida activity. The antimicrobial activity of the methanol extracts of Stachys byzantina, S. inflata, S. lavandulifolia and S. laxa were studied against some bacteria and C. albicans by Saeedi et al. The extracts were more active against Gram-positive bacteria. The extracts, however, did not show any antifungal activity. In contrast, the essential oil of S. plumosa exhibited antimicrobial activity against bacteria and two C. albicans strains. In another work, the essential oils of eight Stachys species (S. alopecuros, S. scardia,
S. cretica subsp. cretica, S. germanica subsp. heidrichii, S. recta, S. euboica and S. menthifolia were tested for their antimicrobial activity. The essential oil of S. scardia was shown to be the most active against both bacteria and fungi. As can be seen from these literature data, the essential oils of Stachys species have antifungal activity against the yeast cultures, especially C. albicans, but the antifungal activity was not observed for the leaf extracts. Notably, in this study, the extract of S. pseudopinardii demonstrated antimicrobial activity against both bacteria and yeast cultures. The difference between our results and those of other workers may be due to several factors, for example, the intra-specific variability in the production of secondary metabolites. In addition, there may be differences in the extraction protocols used to recover the active metabolites as well as differences in the assay methods.

Phytochemical analyses of Stachys species have confirmed the occurrence of diterpenes, phenyl ethanoid glycosides, flavanoids and saponines. Flavonoids may be responsible for their antibacterial activity. The results indicate that S. pseudopinardii possessed significant activity against both bacteria and yeast cultures. This activity may be indicative of the presence of metabolic toxins or the compounds stated above. Therefore, this plant extract should be analyzed further, as it might contain a yet unknown compound that is effective against pathogens.

**CONCLUSION**

This preliminary evaluation indicated that the ethanol leaf extract of Stachys pseudopinardii has significant activity against the test bacterial and fungal strains used. Further studies are necessary to identify the main active constituents.