Generation of twin Fock states via transition from a two-component Mott insulator to a superfluid
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We propose the dynamical creation of twin Fock states, which exhibit Heisenberg limited interferometric phase sensitivities, in an optical lattice. In our scheme a two-component Mott insulator with two bosonic atoms per lattice site is melted into a superfluid. This process transforms local correlations between hyperfine states of atom pairs into multi-particle correlations extending over the whole system. The melting time does not scale with the system size which makes our scheme experimentally feasible.
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Degenerate atomic Bose- and Fermi-gases [1] provide an excellent starting point for engineering almost-pure many-particle quantum states which are an essential resource for novel quantum technologies. This is illustrated by the realization of a Mott insulator (MI) [2] in the lowest Bloch band of an optical lattice which can serve as a quantum memory [3]. However, new methods are necessary for attaining some of the most important N-particle states, in particular those which allow a sensitivity enhancement from the standard quantum limit \( \propto 1/\sqrt{N} \) to the Heisenberg limit \( \propto 1/N \) in quantum metrology [4]. Here we propose a method for engineering twin Fock states [5] starting from a two component MI with two bosonic atoms per site in an optical lattice [3, 6]. Our scheme manipulates the hyperfine states \( a \) and \( b \) of atom pairs pinned to single lattice sites and decoupled from one another in the MI regime. In this limit the dynamics is reduced to a set of identical two particle problems and correlations between states \( a \) and \( b \) are accurately induced using Raman laser pulses or microwaves and collisional interactions controlled via Feshbach resonances [7]. These local two-particle correlations are then transformed into multi-particle correlations extending over the whole system by melting the MI into a superfluid (SF). This quantum melting can be experimentally implemented by adiabatically ramping down the depth of the lattice potential [2] as shown in Fig. 1(a).

By an appropriate choice of correlations created in the MI phase a twin Fock state emerges in the resulting two component superfluid. Using this state in a Mach-Zehnder interferometer (MZI), shown in Fig. 1(b), one can approach sensitivities scaling as \( 1/N \).

Our starting point is a MI with two atoms in each lattice site \( i \) given by \( |\Psi_{ab}\rangle = \prod_i |ab\rangle_i \). [8, 9] This state, with exactly the same number of \( a \) and \( b \) atoms, can be created by collisional interactions involving an auxiliary state \( c \) as experimentally demonstrated in [8]. We analyze the melting of \( |\Psi_{ab}\rangle \), and also of the superposition state \( |\Psi_{aa+bb}\rangle = \prod_i (|aa\rangle_i + |bb\rangle_i)/\sqrt{2} \) obtainable from \( |\Psi_{ab}\rangle \) by applying a \( \pi/2 \)-Raman pulse. For this we use the two component Bose-Hubbard model describing the dynamics of atoms trapped in the lowest Bloch band of a sufficiently deep optical lattice. The corresponding

[FIG. 1: (a) Melting of a two-component two-atom MI into a SF. (b) The internal states \( a \) and \( b \) represent the arms of an interferometer with rotations R1, R2 and phase-shift \( \phi \) induced by a Ramsey pulse sequence; \( \phi \) is measured by \( M \).]
Hamiltonian is \( (\hbar = 1) \) [2]

\[
\hat{H} = -\sum_{(i,j)} (J a_i \dagger a_j + J b_i \dagger b_j) + U \sum_i \hat{n}_i^a \hat{n}_i^b + \frac{V_a}{2} \left( \hat{n}_i^a (\hat{n}_i^a - 1) - \frac{V_b}{2} \right) \hat{n}_i^b (\hat{n}_i^b - 1),
\]

where \( \hat{n}_i^a (\hat{n}_i^b) \) is the bosonic destruction operator for an \( a (b) \)-atom localized in lattice site \( i \), and \( \hat{a}_i \dagger a_i \) and \( \hat{b}_i \dagger b_i \) are in the same delocalized symmetric mode and therefore result in the melted state with zero relative atom number difference.

In the opposite case \( U > V \) the system exhibits spatial separation of the \( a \) and \( b \) components and has a large number of degenerate ground states. For an even number \( N_a \) of \( a \)-atoms this degeneracy is lifted by completely connected hopping [14] and the state \( |\Psi_{\text{sep}}\rangle \) is adiabatically connected to the nondegenerate SF ground state \( |N_a\rangle_{A_0} \) using lattice site configurations. Our second initial state \( |\Psi_{\text{sep}}\rangle \) is a binomial superposition of ground states \( |\Psi_{\text{sep}}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N/2}} \sum_{m=0}^{N/2} \left( \begin{array}{c} N/2 \\ m \end{array} \right) ^{1/2} |2m\rangle_{A_0} |N - 2m\rangle_{B_0} \). After rotation, the overlap of this state with the macroscopic superposition state \( |\Psi_{\text{mac}}\rangle = \left( |N\rangle_{A_0} |0\rangle_{B_0} + |0\rangle_{A_0} |N\rangle_{B_0} \right)/\sqrt{2} \) is found to be \( \mathcal{O} = \left| \langle \Psi_{\text{mac}} | \exp(i\pi J_x/2) |\Psi_{\text{mac}}\rangle \right| = \sqrt{N/9} \) for \( N \to 0 \) and this limit is monotonically attained with \( \mathcal{O} > 0.97 \) for \( N > 20 \).

We can use either of the final states obtained by adiabatic melting to realize sensitivities \( \propto 1/N \) in the MI setup shown in Fig. 2(b). For the twin Fock state \( |\Psi_{\text{mac}}\rangle \) R1 implements the conventional beam-splitter operation \( \exp(i\pi J_x/2) \). In the case of \( |\Psi_{\text{mac}}\rangle \) R1 rotates the state according to \( \exp(i\pi J_x/2) \) as discussed above. Then a relative phase \( \phi \) is induced in one of the arms of the MI. The operation R1 can be implemented by rapid resonant \( \pi/2 \)-Raman pulses, while the relative phase \( \phi \) could be induced by an appropriate off-resonant pulse. The achievable phase sensitivity inside the interferometer (after R1) can be computed from the relative phase probability distribution \( P(\phi) \).

![FIG. 2: The amplitudes \( |C_m|^2 \) (left-bottom axes) and corresponding relative phase probability distribution \( P(\theta) \) (right-top axes) after the rotation R1 of (a) \(|\Psi_{\text{af}}\rangle\) and (b) \(|\Psi_{\text{mac}}\rangle\) with \( N = 20 \).](image-url)
considerations to the measurement of $\hat{J}_z^2$ and to the twin Fock state due to its greater experimental feasibility. We study these effects in terms of the noise $\Delta \phi$ on the $\phi$ dependent observable $\hat{J}_z^2$. Error propagation theory gives $\Delta \phi = \Delta \hat{J}_z^2/|\partial\langle \hat{J}_z^2 \rangle/\partial \phi|$ where $\langle \hat{J}_z^2 \rangle$ and $\Delta \hat{J}_z^2$ are the average and the spread respectively. For states which are zero eigenvectors of $\hat{J}_z$, such as $|\Psi_{\phi}\rangle$, this gives

$$\Delta \phi^2 = \frac{\sin^2 \phi(\langle \hat{J}_z^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{J}_z^2 \rangle^2) + \cos^2 \phi \langle \hat{J}_z \hat{J}_z^2 \rangle}{4 \cos^2 \phi \langle \hat{J}_z^2 \rangle^2}. \quad (2)$$

At $\phi = 0$ the sensitivity reduces to $\Delta \phi(0) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \hat{J}_z^2 \rangle^{-1/2}$ which can be expressed entirely in terms of the one-particle density matrices $\rho_{ij}^a = \langle \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_j \rangle$ and $\rho_{ij}^b = \langle \hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_j \rangle$ using $(\hat{J}_z^2) = (\sum_i (\rho_{ij}^a + \rho_{ij}^b) + \sum_{ij} (\rho_{ij}^a \rho_{ji}^b + \text{h.c.}))/4$. The initial MI state $|\Psi_{\phi}\rangle$ with no off-diagonal correlations $\rho_{ij}^a = \rho_{ij}^b = \delta_{ij}$ yields the standard quantum limit $\Delta \phi = 1/\sqrt{2N}$ (cf. Fig. 3(a) at $V/J \gg 1$). The final SF $|\Psi_{\phi}\rangle$ with long-range correlations $\rho_{ij}^a = \rho_{ij}^b = 1$ asymptotically recovers the Heisenberg limit $\Delta \phi = 1/\sqrt{N^2/2 + N}$ (cf. Fig. 3(a) at $V/J = 0$). Thus the scaling $\Delta \phi \propto N^{-\alpha}$ changes from $\alpha = 1/2$ to $\alpha = 1$ during the melting.

The presence of a residual intra-species interaction $V$ results in quantum depletion of the populations in the $A_0$ and $B_0$ modes which reduces the attainable sensitivity. We consider this effect for $U = 0$, achieved for example by fully separating the sites of a spin-dependent lattice. In the SF regime $J \gg V$ a translationally invariant system is well described by the $N$-conserving Bogoliubov wavefunction

$$|\psi_{\text{bog}}\rangle \sim (\hat{A}_a)^{N/2}(\hat{A}_b)^{N/2}|\text{vac}\rangle, \quad (3)$$

where $\hat{A}_a = (\hat{A}_a^2 - \sum_{q \neq 0} c_q \hat{A}_a^\dagger \hat{A}_a^q)$ with $\hat{A}_a$ the q quasi-momentum modes for $a$, and similarly $\hat{A}_b$ is defined in terms of $\hat{B}_q$ for component $b$. Within this ansatz the depletion is identical for both components and is given by $\zeta = \sum_{q \neq 0} n_q c_q^2/(1 - c_q)$ where $n_q = (\langle \hat{A}_a^q \hat{A}_a^{\dagger q} \rangle - \langle \hat{B}_q \hat{B}_q^\dagger \rangle) and the amplitudes $c_q \ll 1$ can be solved in terms of $V/J$ and are given in [18]. The sensitivity is then $\Delta \phi(0) = 1/\sqrt{N^2(1 - \zeta)/2 + N + 2 \sum_{q \neq 0} n_q^{1/2}}$. For a three dimensional (3D) optical lattice the depletion $\zeta = (V/J)^{3/2}/3\pi^{1/2}$ and the sum $\sum_{q \neq 0} n_q^2 = (V/J)^{3/2}(3\pi - 8)/12\sqrt{2}$ are constant in $N$. Within the region of validity of the Bogoliubov ansatz there will thus be no degradation of the sensitivity scaling $\alpha$. Similarly we find no decrease of $\alpha$ in 2D within the Bogoliubov ansatz. In contrast, for a 1D system the depletion increases with $N$ since there is no true condensate for non-zero $V$ and long-range correlations decay algebraically. Consequently the scaling $\alpha$ decreases with increasing $V/J$ as shown in Fig. 3(a) for a finite number of particles.

We explore the sensitivity scaling between the SF and MI limit in 1D numerically using the Time-Evolving-Block-Decimation algorithm [19]. For specific values of $N$ we compute the ground states of the Hamiltonian Eq. 1(b) over a range of $V/J$ and $U = 0$ and calculate their sensitivities. The result for $N = 60$ in Fig. 3(b) shows a smooth transition between the ideal SF and MI results and agrees with the Bogoliubov result for $V/J \leq 2$. By repeating this calculation for different $N$ we extract the sensitivity scaling $\alpha$. For all values of $V/J$ the numerical results are well approximated by a power-law $\Delta \phi \sim N^{-\alpha}$ as shown for the extreme cases in Fig. 3(c). We combine these results in Fig. 3(a) which displays the scaling $\alpha$ as a function of $V/J$. The value of $\alpha$ decreases smoothly from the Heisenberg limit in the SF regime to the standard quantum limit in the MI regime. As also shown in Fig. 3(a) the 1D-Bogoliubov ansatz Eq. 3(b) is consistent with the numerics for $V/J \leq 2$ and the values of $N$ used here. In the MI regime a number conserving particle-hole ansatz [20] underestimates long-range correlations and therefore does not predict an increase of $\alpha$ from $1/2$ at finite $V/J$. This emphasizes the importance of the growth of long range correlations for increasing $\alpha$ above the standard quantum limit. While our numerical calculations are performed for sizes of 1D optical lattices currently used in experiments [2] we expect the scaling $\alpha$ to drop from $\alpha = 1$ to $\alpha = 1/2$ at vanishingly small $V/J$ when $N \to \infty$ because of the lack of true long range correlations. In 2D and 3D this reduction is instead expected to occur at finite $V/J$ close to the phase transition point $(V/J)_{\text{crit}}$ below which long range correlations exist.
FIG. 4: (a) Energy difference per atom \((E - E_0)/NJ\) between \(|\Psi(t_r)\rangle\) and the final SF ground state \(|\Psi_0\rangle\) (left axis, dashed lines) and overlap \(|\langle\Psi(t_r)|\Psi_0\rangle|\) (right axis, solid line) as a function of the ramping time \(t_r\). Starting from the MI ground state at \(V_0/J = 20\) we ramped \(V(t)\) down to a final \(V_{\text{ramp}}/J = 1/2\) using different ramping times \(t_r\) and \(U = 0\), \(N = 50\) and \(M = 25\). (b) Scaling \(\alpha\) of \(\delta\phi\) with \(N\) for \(|\Psi(t_r)\rangle\) under the presence of atom loss at a rate \(\kappa\) obtained from results for \(N = 20\) up to \(N = 60\). Note that \(\alpha\) does not reach unity because of the finite \(N\) used.

In experiments the ramping time \(t_r\) will be finite and so the melting is never perfectly adiabatic. We numerically compute the dynamical ramping of \(V/J\) for the Hamiltonian Eq. (1) with \(U = 0\) from the MI ground state. In Fig. (1a) the energy difference per atom between the final ramped state \(|\Psi(t_r)\rangle\) and the SF ground state \(|\Psi_0\rangle\) is shown as a function of the ramping time \(t_r\), along with the corresponding many-body overlap \(|\langle\Psi(t_r)|\Psi_0\rangle|\). For the infinite Bose-Hubbard-model it has been shown \(8\) that \(t_r \gg V_{\text{max}}/J^2\) guarantees adiabatic evolution. Our numerics of a finite-sized system agree with this result giving a near-unit overlap and small energy differences for ramping times of \(t_r \sim 3V_0/J^2\) with \(V_0\) the initial interaction strength in the MI.

Given that the ramping time \(t_r\) is much longer than the time required to implement the MZI in Fig. (1b) we consider the influence of atom loss over a time \(t_r\). The buildup of long range correlations during the melting process has been found to be robust to atom loss processes \(2\). However, atom loss will cause uncertainty in the relative number of \(a\) and \(b\) atoms in the final state \(|\Psi_{\text{MI}}\rangle\). We use the model introduced in \(11\) to calculate the sensitivity scaling \(\alpha\) assuming the state \(|\Psi_{\text{MI}}\rangle\) is subject to atom loss at a rate \(\kappa\) for time \(t_r\). As shown in Fig. (1b) we find that \(\alpha \approx 1\) for \(\kappa t_r \ll 0.1\). In combination with the adiabaticity condition on \(t_r\) Heisenberg limited sensitivities can thus be achieved for \(\kappa \ll J^2/3V_0\). This condition is within the reach of current optical lattice technology where tunneling amplitudes \(J\) of a few hundred Hz and loss rates on the order of Hz can be achieved \(2\). Furthermore, additional fluctuations in the overall particle number \(N\) which occur from shot to shot do not affect the scaling \(\alpha\) \(11\). In the experiment a harmonic trapping potential is likely to be present and causes an outer shell of singly occupied lattice sites with atoms in the auxiliary state \(c\). Additionally, an imperfect Raman transition during the creation of the initial MI state may leave a \(c\) atom pair within the \(|\Psi_{\text{MI}}\rangle\) state. In both cases the presence of a small number of \(c\)-atom impurities will not destroy the coherence of the final SF state, as seen in recent experiments \(21\). Also these atoms will remain in state \(c\) throughout the whole process and thus not contribute to the particle number fluctuations \(3\).

In summary we have shown that quantum melting of a two-component MI in an optical lattice provides a viable route to engineer twin Fock on timescales \(t_r\) smaller than current experimental atom-loss times. In particular the creation time \(t_r\) does not scale with \(N\). Our scheme also exploits the accurate controllability of two-atoms in a single lattice sites to minimize fluctuations in the relative numbers of \(a\) and \(b\) atoms which is crucial for achieving Heisenberg limited sensitivities \(\alpha 1/N\).
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