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Abstract

Background: The aim of the present study was to determine the prevalence of disordered internet use among adolescent university students and its association with various health complaints and behaviours, and most importantly to examine the psychometric properties of 9-item Internet Disorder Scale–Short Form (IDS9-SF) using factor analyses and Rasch analysis.

Methods: A total of 1988 university students aged 18 to 25 years were selected via a multi-stage stratified random sampling technique among university students in Istanbul, Turkey (September 2017 to February 2018). Data collected included socio-demographics, lifestyle and dietary habits, and the 9-item IDS9-SF. Statistical analysis included descriptive statistics, multivariate analyses, factor analyses, path analysis, and Rasch analysis.

Findings: Using confirmatory factor analysis (CFA), the study investigated the latent structure of the IDS9-SF instrument and results supported its reliability and validity. The prevalence of disordered internet use was 18.3% in the sample. There were significant differences between those who had disordered internet use and those who did not in gender, family income, school performance, number of bedrooms at home, and number of people living at home, as well as internet use duration. Using multivariate regression analysis, key predictors of disordered internet use included (among others): gender, body mass index (BMI), household income, number of people living at home, having a computer at home, internet facilities, duration of internet use, sleeping hours, frequency of eating fast food, watching television, headache, hurting eyes, tired eyes, and hearing problems. Rasch analysis demonstrated that four of the nine items (2, 3, 6, and 7) were more difficult for individuals to endorse compared to other items.

Conclusion: Problems arising from excessive internet use were apparent among the study sample and the IDS9-SF is a valid and reliable measure for assessing disordered internet use among Turkish adolescent population.
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**Introduction**

Despite the many potential benefits associated with using the internet, numerous problems such as exposure to inappropriate images and content, absence of privacy, and internet addiction among a small minority have been reported as a result of this increasing usage.\(^1\) Research into internet addiction began in the 1990s by Griffiths in the UK and Young in the US.\(^2,3\) Although internet addiction has been identified as a prevalent problem among a small minority of the population, it has never been classed as a formal disorder most likely because internet addiction is an umbrella term covering more specific online problematic behaviors such as online problematic gaming and problematic social media use.\(^4\) Many psychometric studies have been carried out and there are over 20 different assessment scales that have been developed to assess problematic internet use behavior.\(^5\) The theoretical frameworks underpinning many psychometric instruments are still controversial, and a study has evolved in a divergent manner with little or no consensus.\(^6\)

In 2013, new criteria and definitions of various internet addictions began to develop as a consequence of Internet Gaming Disorder (IGD) being introduced to section 3 of the latest (fifth) edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-5\(^{th}\) Edition (DSM-5), leading to the development of more new instruments.\(^7\) Many of these new instruments also included core criteria of addiction such as those outlined by Griffiths (2005) and also used in the context of problematic internet use.\(^8,9\) For instance, Pontes and Griffiths\(^10\) developed the nine-item IGD Scale-Short Form (IGDS-SF) which was letter adapted to develop the 15-item Internet Disorder Scale (IDS-15)\(^11\) and the 9-item IDS-Short Form (IDS9-SF).\(^12\)

Irrespective of whether disordered internet use is an addiction, research has consistently shown that excessive internet use can lead to psychological and behavioral problems among a small minority of individuals,\(^13\) including physical health issues (e.g., dry eyes, neck, back, and shoulder pain, regular headaches, numbness pain in the thumb, index, and middle fingers).\(^14,15\) Again, irrespective of whether it is a dependency, addiction, and/or disorder, there have been many studies reporting negative impacts of excessive internet use among adolescents including poor dietary habits,\(^16\) physical inactivity, lack of adequate sleep,\(^15,17\) increased depression and loneliness, and social anxiety.\(^15,18\)

The present study aimed to determine the prevalence of disordered internet use among adolescent university students, its association with various health complaints and behaviors, and most importantly to examine the psychometric properties of IDS9-SF using Rasch analysis.

**Methods**

Turkish adolescent university students aged 18 to 25 years who studied in five Istanbul government and private trust universities completed the current cross-sectional survey. The Institutional Review Board (IRB) (Istanbul Medipol University) gave ethical clearance for the study. A multi-stage stratified random sampling method was performed from September 2017 to February 2018. A total of 2500 students were approached and 1988 (79.5\%) students completed the measures. Content validity, face validity, and reliability of the whole questionnaire obtained high kappa = 0.86.

In addition to sociodemographic information (age, gender, income, academic performance), the survey included the IDS9-SF as well.\(^12\) The IDS9-SF is a unidimensional standardized psychometric scale that assesses internet use disorder (IUD). The IDS9-SF uses 5-point Likert scales and total scores can range from 9 to 45, with higher scores being indicative of a higher degree of IUD.

**Statistical analysis and Rasch measurement:** The Rasch method is used to examine a participant’s response to an item that is a function of the difference between an individual’s ability and the characteristics of the item. Rasch measurement determines the relationship between the difficulty of an item and the ability of an individual. It is expected that there will be a higher probability in answering easier items correctly and a lower probability in answering more difficult items incorrectly.\(^19,20\) According to the model, the probability of an individual (n) responding in category x to item i, is given by:

\[
p_{xni} = \frac{\exp \sum_{j=0}^{m} \exp \tau_{j} \prod_{k=0}^{j} \left[ \beta_i^n - (\beta_i + \tau_j) \right]}{\sum_{k=0}^{m} \exp \sum_{j=0}^{k} \left[ \beta_i^n - (\beta_i + \tau_j) \right]} \quad x = 0, 1, ..., m
\]

Where \(\tau_0 = 0\), so that
\[
\exp \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [\beta_n - (\delta_i + \tau_j)] = 1
\]

\(\beta_n\) is the individual’s position on the variable, \(\delta_i\) is the scale value (difficulty to endorse) estimated for each item \(i\), and \(\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_3\) are the \(m\) response thresholds estimated for the \(m + 1\) rating categories.\(^{19,20}\)

The statistical analysis included student’s t-test, chi-square test, and Fisher’s exact test (two-tailed). The Rasch Rating Scale Model (RSM) was used for the analyses of the data collected. Multiple regression analysis using the forward inclusion and backward deletion method was performed to determine the importance of risk factors for internet addiction. Statistical significance was assessed at the \(P < 0.01\) level.

**Results**

Table 1 shows the socio-demographic characteristics of internet disordered participants compared to those not disordered. The prevalence of IUD in the present Turkish sample was 18.3%. There were significant differences between age, gender, family income, and rank in school exams \((P < 0.001)\). Predictably, significantly more daily hours were spent on the internet among internet disordered participants compared to non-internet disordered participants \((P = 0.001)\). Table 2 shows the differences between internet disordered and non-internet disordered participants with respect to diet and co-morbid factors. Those with internet disorder were significantly more likely to have headache, blurred vision, double vision, hurting eyes, and hearing problems, and to eat fast food frequently \((P < 0.001)\). Significantly, fewer participants with internet disorder reported engaging in vigorous and moderate physical activity compared to non-internet disordered participants \((P < 0.001)\).

Table 3 shows the multiple linear regression analysis to determine the potential predictors as risk factors for internet disorder. This analysis demonstrated that gender, body mass index (BMI), household income, number of people living at home, having a computer at home, having internet facilities, duration of internet use, sleeping hours, frequency of eating fast food, watching television, headache, hurting eyes, tired eyes, and hearing problems were significantly associated with (and key predictors of) internet disorder.

In table 4, the individual and item reliability indexes were calculated as 0.28 and 0.95, respectively, by Rasch analysis. Reliability ranged from 0 to 1.0 (where a coefficient of 0 means no reliability while 1.0 means perfect reliability).

| Variables                        | Internet disorder (n = 364) | Non-internet disorder (n = 1624) | P    |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|------|
| Gender                           |                             |                                  |      |
| Male                             | 201 (55.2)                  | 686 (42.2)                       | < 0.001 |
| Female                           | 163 (44.8)                  | 938 (57.8)                       |      |
| Age group (year)                 |                             |                                  |      |
| ≤ 20                             | 122 (33.5)                  | 623 (38.4)                       | < 0.001 |
| > 20                             | 242 (66.5)                  | 1001 (61.6)                      |      |
| Family income ($)                |                             |                                  |      |
| < 1000                           | 139 (38.2)                  | 875 (53.9)                       | < 0.001 |
| 1000-1500                        | 106 (29.1)                  | 478 (29.4)                       |      |
| > 1500                           | 119 (32.7)                  | 271 (16.7)                       |      |
| Academic performance             |                             |                                  |      |
| Very good                        | 98 (27.0)                   | 375 (23.1)                       | < 0.001 |
| Good                             | 148 (40.6)                  | 763 (47.0)                       |      |
| Average                          | 78 (21.4)                   | 420 (25.9)                       |      |
| Poor                             | 40 (11.0)                   | 66 (4.0)                         |      |
| Mean ± SD                        |                             |                                  |      |
| Number of bedrooms at home       | 3.42 ± 0.9                  | 3.57 ± 0.99                      | < 0.001 |
| Number of people living at home  | 5.5 ± 2.01                  | 4.8 ± 1.85                      | < 0.001 |
| Number of sleeping hours         | 6.05 ± 1.09                 | 6.8 ± 1.31                      | < 0.001 |
| Hours of internet use/day         | 5.78 ± 2.67                 | 5.58 ± 1.98                      | < 0.001 |

SD: Standard deviation
Table 2. The characteristics of dietary and co-morbid factors (n = 1988)

| Variables                  | Internet disorder (n = 364) | Non-internet disorder (n = 1624) | P* |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|----|
| Medical co-morbid factors*| n (%)                       | n (%)                            |    |
| Headache                  | 208 (10.5)                  | 1020 (51.3)                      | 0.044 |
| Blurred vision            | 95 (4.8)                    | 578 (29.1)                       | 0.001 |
| Double vision             | 70 (3.5)                    | 162 (8.1)                        | < 0.001 |
| Hurting eyes              | 96 (4.8)                    | 624 (31.4)                       | < 0.001 |
| Tired eyes                | 101 (5.1)                   | 379 (19.1)                       | 0.076 |
| Dizziness                 | 138 (6.9)                   | 582 (29.3)                       | 0.457 |
| Any hearing problem       | 107 (5.4)                   | 272 (13.7)                       | < 0.001 |
| Physical activity         |                             |                                  |    |
| Vigorous                  | 158 (7.9)                   | 840 (42.3)                       | 0.004 |
| Moderate                  | 181 (9.1)                   | 1035 (52.1)                      | < 0.001 |
| Frequency of eating fast food* |                     |                                  |    |
| Daily                     | 97 (26.6)                   | 317 (19.5)                       |    |
| Weekly                    | 120 (33.0)                  | 627 (38.6)                       | < 0.001 |
| Monthly                   | 88 (24.2)                   | 274 (16.9)                       |    |
| Occasionally              | 59 (16.2)                   | 406 (25.0)                       |    |

Moreover, the individual and item separation indexes were 0.62 and 4.32, respectively. Table 4 demonstrates that the ID59-SF has acceptable psychometric characteristics because the model fit mean-square (MNSQ) values range from 0.79 to 1.28, outfit MNSQ is 1.00, and infit MNSQ is 1.00. The values of infit and outfit MNSQs are in the acceptable range of 0.5-1.5 for these statistics.²⁹

Winsteps 4.0.1 was used to conduct the Rasch analysis for the present study. As seen in figure 1, in the left-hand column, each “#” symbol represents 15 people and each “.” represents 1 to 14 people. In the right-hand column, each entry represents a scale item. The person-item map compared the range and position of the item measure distribution (left-hand side of figure 1) to the range and position of the person measure distribution (right-hand side of figure 1). The individuals at the top of figure 1 had the highest scores, while the items at the top of figure 1 were the most difficult. Individuals at the bottom of figure 1 had the lowest scores, and the items at the bottom of figure 1 were the easiest. Several items are situated high above the mean value (0.0 logit) with high logit measures. This means that these items such as item 2 (‘feel anxiety when trying to reduce and/or stop using internet’), item 3 (‘need to spend more time to achieve satisfaction’), item 6 (‘continue to be online when it leads to a problem’), and item 7 (‘deceive people about the time of being online’) are difficult for individuals to endorse.

Table 3. Multivariable stepwise regression analysis predictors for determinants of Internet disorder affect (n = 1988)

| Independent variables          | B     | SE   | β   | t    | P     |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|-----|------|-------|
| Constant                      | 41.553| 3.814| -   | 10.895| < 0.001 |
| Gender                        | -1.615| 0.596| -0.050| -2.709| 0.007 |
| BMI                           | 0.438 | 0.054| 0.016| 8.106 | < 0.001 |
| Household income              | 2.199 | 0.333| 0.140| 6.604 | < 0.001 |
| Number of people living at home | 1.057 | 0.158| 0.128| 6.674 | < 0.001 |
| Computer at home              | 3.208 | 0.945| 0.074| 3.395 | 0.001 |
| Internet facilities           | -4.346| 1.071| -0.087| -4.057| < 0.001 |
| Internet use in hours         | 0.255 | 0.118| 0.041| 2.165 | 0.031 |
| Sleeping hours                | -2.307| 0.245| -0.189| -9.413| < 0.001 |
| Frequency of eating fast food | -1.053| 0.283| -0.068| -3.723| < 0.001 |
| Frequency of watching television | 3.641  | 0.333| 0.216| 10.945| < 0.001 |
| Headache                      | 1.579 | 0.636| 0.048| 2.485 | 0.013 |
| Hurting eyes                  | 2.813 | 0.674| 0.085| 4.172 | < 0.001 |
| Tired eyes                    | -3.012 | 0.716| -0.082| -4.208| < 0.001 |
| Hearing problems              | -3.542| 0.742| -0.088| -4.770| < 0.001 |

BMI: Body mass index; SE: Standard error
The prevalence of IUD in the present study’s Turkish sample (18.3%) was comparable to 26.3% of United States (US) university students.\(^2\) However, it must be noted that comparing these (or any other) studies is difficult because of differences in study populations, assessment tools applied, and differences in social and cultural contexts. For example, a meta-analysis by Cheng and Li\(^2\) comprising 80 studies (n = 89281 participants) reported an estimated global prevalence rate of internet addiction of 6.0%. The highest prevalence was in the Middle East (including Turkey, Iran, Israel, Lebanon) with 10.9%, followed by 8.0% for North America (US), 7.1% for Asia (China, Hong Kong, India, South
Korea, Taiwan), 6.1% for South and East Europe (Bulgaria, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Greece, Hungary, Italy, Poland, Romania, Serbia, Slovenia, Spain), 4.3% for Oceania (Australia), and the lowest was in Northern and Western Europe with 2.6% (Austria, Estonia, France, Germany, Ireland, Norway, Sweden, United Kingdom). In this meta-analytic study, internet addiction prevalence was higher for nations with greater traffic time consumption, pollution, and dissatisfaction with life in general and was found to be inversely associated with the quality of life. In a recent study, problematic internet use was studied in seven European countries and prevalence estimates ranged between 14.3% and 54.9% and many cross-cultural and gender differences have been observed in terms of relationship with psychopathology and online activities. The students use of the internet is more than the general population; surveying students also led to an inflated prevalence rate relative to the general population in both general and problematic use.

The IDS9-SF was also developed using online users and the present study used the scale in a student sample. For that reason, the prevalence of IUD was likely to be much higher compared to European countries more generally.

The IDS9-SF was developed by adapting from IGDS-SF, that uses common criteria in which both reliability and validity can be better ascertained across studies. The psychometric properties of the IDS9-SF in the present study were comparable to original scale development study of Pontes and Griffiths. The Cronbach’s alpha reliability coefficient was high (α = 0.85) and the factor determinacy was 0.89, which is well above the desired threshold of 0.80, supporting reliability and validity of the instrument. Additionally, Rash analysis was carried out in order to detect the level of difficulty of each question and demonstrated that item 2 (‘feel anxiety when trying to reduce and or stop using internet’), item 3 (‘need to spend more time to achieve satisfaction’), item 6 (‘continue to be online when it leads to a problem’), and item 7 (‘deceive people about the time of being online’) were more difficult for individuals to endorse compared to other items.

Our results confirm findings from previous studies showing that disordered internet use can have negative impacts on individuals’ abilities, physical inactivity, adequate sleep, and irregular dietary habits. The relationship between excessive internet use and sleep problems has become well established in the literature. Furthermore, disordered internet use can also play a contributory role in daytime sleepiness, sleeping disorders, sleep deprivation, and fatigue.

The present study has several limitations. First, although it examined the associations between disordered internet use and many other behaviors and health issues, the data were cross-sectional and therefore, no conclusions can be made concerning issues of causality. Second, there may be social desirability and memory recall biases concerning the duration of internet use in self-report data. Thirdly, factors relating to family members, the social environment, and disordered internet use were not assessed in the present study. However, despite these limitations, the present study confirmed that disordered internet use was associated with many detrimental health issues using a relatively large-scale sample.
**Conclusion**

The results of the present study supported the internal consistency of the IDS9-SF which is based on adapted criteria for IGD in the DSM-5. Analysis demonstrated good Cronbach’s alpha and composite reliability. Therefore, the IDS9-SF appears to be a valid and reliable measure for assessing IUD among Turkish population. However, Rasch analysis demonstrated that some items in the IDS9-SF were more difficult for individuals to endorse than others.
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انتقاد به اینترنت و ویژگی‌های روان‌سنجی نسخه کوتاه ۹ آیتمی مقیاس اختلال اینترنت: یک کاربرد تحلیل Rasch

عبدالباری بنر، مارک د. گریفیتس، نوکت گولر، فوندا کاتان، ارای یورتس

چکیده
مقدمه: هدف از انجام مطالعه حاضر، تعیین شیوع استفاده اختلال آمیز از اینترنت بین دانشجویان جوان دانشگاه استانبول، مردان و زنان است. مقایسه اختلال اینترنت بین دانشجویان دانشگاه استانبول با دانشجویان دانشگاه استانسbur (ستامبر ۱۹۸۸) با استفاده از روشان داده‌ها یک تی از اینترنت را به روش نمونه‌گیری طبقه‌بندی تصادفی جند مرحله‌ای، از دانشجویان دانشگاه استانبول (سپتامبر ۲۰۱۷ تا فوریه سال ۲۰۱۸) انتخاب شدند. اطلاعات جمعیت‌سنجایی، سیک تشکیل، عادات غذایی و مقیاس IDS-SF گروه فردی را به روشانی دانشجویان دانشگاه استانبول را با استفاده از روشانی دانشجوین دانشگاه استانبول را با استفاده از تحلیل عاملی و تحلیل Rasch بدست آورد. نتایج و نتایج روایی و پایایی این مقیاس را نشان داد که با توجه به جهانیت ۲۰۱۷ و ۲۰۱۸، از مقیاس به سایر آنها برای افراد دسترسی بود. روش‌ها: مطالعه حاضر با استفاده از تحلیل عاملی تأییدی، با استفاده از مقیاس IDS-SF یک نسخه کوتاه مقیاس اختلال اینترنت (Rasch) را بررسی نمود. شیوع استفاده اختلال آمیز از اینترنت در نمونه‌ها ۱۸۲ درصد بود. با استفاده از نظر جنسیت، دانشگاه خانواده، عادات غذایی و مقیاس IDS-SF-9 یکی از گروه‌های ویژگی‌های روان‌سنجی نسخه کوتاه ۹ آیتمی مقیاس اختلال اینترنت (Rasch) را بررسی نمود. شیوع استفاده اختلال آمیز از اینترنت در نمونه‌ها ۳/۱۱ درصد بود. تفاوت معنی‌داری از نظر جنسیت، درآمد خانوادگی، تعداد اتاق‌های خانواده، تعداد افراد ساکن در خانواده، داشتن کامپیوتر در خانه، تجهیزات اینترنت، مدت زمان استفاده از اینترنت، سعای خواب، خوراکی‌های خودخوردنی، نمایش تلویزیون، سردرد، درد چشم‌ها، خستگی چشم‌ها و مشکلات شنوایی بود. تجربه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. نتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد. Nتیجه‌گیری: مشکلات ناشی از استفاده بیش از حد از اینترنت در مشارکت‌کنندگان مشاهده شد.