Design and Analysis of Chassis of Electric Solar Vehicle

Sadige Akhil Prasad
Mechanical Engineering
Sreenidhi Institute of Science and Technology
Hyderabad, India

Sai Kiran Maddela
Mechanical Engineering
Sreenidhi Institute of Science and Technology
Hyderabad, India

Abstract—Chassis act as a framework for supporting various parts of a vehicle. It needs to withstand twist, shock, vibration, and other stresses caused due to acceleration, braking, road condition, and shock initiated by other parts of a vehicle. It should carry a maximum load under all operating conditions. This paper depicts the design and scrutiny of the solar-powered car chassis. The investigation has been carried out, considering three different grades of SAE Steel grades (AISI). By utilizing data of all loads on in all possible direction are considered for designing an excellent chassis. The design and analysis are done using Solidworks and ANSYS
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I. INTRODUCTION

A Chassis is an internal component of an automobile that supports almost all parts of an automobile. It is similar to a human skeleton. In recent years the design of chassis is drastically changed. The frame has to withstand shocks, vibrations. The design of the chassis is one of the time-consuming part of designing a vehicle. In today’s world, there is great demand and advancements in the field of the automobile industry. The solar-powered vehicle is alternate for fossil fuel-powered vehicles. In today’s world, renewable energy sources play a more vital role than ever before. In addition to being clean and inexhaustible, they differ from fossil fuels chiefly in their diversity, potential, and abundance for use anywhere on the planet. With increasing awareness of climate change and environmental pollution, big corporations are investing funds for research in making sustainable energies affordable and feasible. In the last decade, significant technological advancements have been made in the same direction. The world is steering toward a sustainable future.

The preliminary design was made with the view of driver ergonomics. The design was made in SOLID WORKS, and analysis is done with the help of ANSYS 16. Based on the analysis, the modification was done, and the design was finalized.

Functions of Chassis

- To withstand the stresses acting on the car due to the bad road condition.
- To carry the load of the goods and passengers in the body of the car.
- To withstand the forces due to the sudden braking or acceleration by the driver.

II. SPECIFICATION OF FRAME

Based on the rule book considerations, inputs from other subsystems, and mechanical properties of the materials were considered. Hence, the design was finalized based on these parameters and made in SOLID WORKS. The analysis is done with the help of ANSYS 16. Based on the analysis, the modification was done, and the design was finalized.

The material chosen for the Chassis frame is AISI 4130 of 1 inch diameter and 1.6 mm thickness based on its tensile strength, cost, density, weldability, bending strength, bending stiffness and

| Specification     | Value(inches) |
|-------------------|---------------|
| Wheel Base        | 58            |
| Track Width       | 44            |
| Height            | 48            |

Table 1: specification of chassis

III. CAD MODELLING

Utilizing SOLIDWORKS software a suitable sustainable chassis model after numerous iteration and corrections.

Figure 1: chassis isometric view

Figure 2: Chassis side view
IV. MATERIALS

The selection of chassis frame material is made based on tensile strength and also other parameters like cost, density, availability, weldability, bending strength, and bending stiffness. The percentage of carbon is more significant than 0.18%.

The following materials are chosen for chassis:

i) AISI 1018 circular cross-section;
ii) AISI 1020 circular cross-section;
iii) AISI 4130 circular cross-section;

The chemical composition of the three materials is outlined in the following table.

| Element        | AISI 1018 | AISI 4130 | AISI 1020 |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Manganese, Mn  | 0.60-0.90 | 0.7 - 0.9 | 0.30-0.60 |
| Sulphur, S     | 0.05 (max)| 0.04 (max)| <0.50     |
| Phosphorous, P  | 0.04 (max)| 0.035 (max)| <0.040 |
| Chromium, Cr   | -         | 0.8 - 1.1 | -         |

Table 2: Chemical composition in three grades of AISI materials:

| Material       | AISI 4130 | AISI 1018 | AISI 1020 |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Yield strength (MPa) | 360       | 350       | 460       |
| Ultimate tensile strength (MPa) | 470       | 420       | 560       |
| Density (g/cm³) | 7.85      | 7.87      | 7.85      |
| Cost/meter     | 500       | 330       | 310       |
| Weight(kg/m)   | 0.93863   | 1.15651   | 0.93863   |

Table 3: Physical properties of materials

V. CALCULATIONS

A. VOLUMETRIC ANALYSIS

Let M/L be the mass per unit length of the cross-section.

Let A be the cross-sectional area, d_in, d_out be the inner and outer diameter of the cross-section, and ρ be the material density.

\[
\frac{M}{L} = \rho \cdot A \cdot (d_{out}^2 - d_{in}^2)
\]

i) For AISI 1018 (1.6mm thickness)

\[
\rho = 7.85 \text{g/cm}^3
\]

\[
\frac{M}{L} = 7.85 \cdot 71 \cdot (25.4^2 - 22.2^2) = 9.3863 \text{g/m}^2
\]

ii) For AISI 1020 (2mm thickness)

\[
\rho = 7.87 \text{g/cm}^3
\]

\[
\frac{M}{L} = 7.87 \cdot 71 \cdot (25.4^2 - 22.2^2) = 11.5651 \text{g/m}^2
\]

iii) For AISI 4130 (1.6mm thickness)

\[
\rho = 7.85 \text{g/cm}^3
\]

\[
\frac{M}{L} = 7.85 \cdot 71 \cdot (25.4^2 - 22.2^2) = 9.3863 \text{g/m}^2
\]

B. CALCULATION OF BENDING STRENGTH AND BENDING STIFFNESS

According to the bending moment equation, the

\[
\frac{M}{L} = \frac{8}{3} \gamma t E I
\]

Bending stiffness = E*I

Material calculation:

For AISI 1018 (1.6mm thickness)

\[
\text{Bending strength} = \frac{365 \cdot (25.4^2 - 22.2^2)}{\left(\frac{71}{E}\right)^2} = 244420.71 \text{N} - \text{mm}
\]

Bending stiffness = 1743 N - mm²

For AISI 1020 (2mm thickness)

\[
\text{Bending strength} = \frac{420 \cdot (25.4^2 - 22.4^2)}{\left(\frac{71}{E}\right)^2} = 335060.9 \text{N} - \text{mm}
\]
Bending stiffness
\[
= 190 \left( \frac{\pi}{64} (25 \cdot 4^4 - 22 \cdot 4^4) \right) = 1925.98 \text{ N} - \text{mm}^2
\]
For AISI 4130 (1.6mm thickness)
Bending strength
\[
= \frac{460(25(25 \cdot 4^4 - 21 \cdot 4^4))}{2} = 308037 \text{N} - \text{mm}
\]
Bending stiffness
\[
= 210 \left( \frac{\pi}{64} (25 \cdot 4^4 - 22 \cdot 24^4) \right) = 1785 \text{ N} - \text{mm}^2
\]
Table 4: bending strength and bending stiffness of three materials

VI. FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS (FEM)
Meshing has been carried out for several materials in ANSYS software.

| COMPONENTS         | VALUE |
|--------------------|-------|
| MESH SIZE          | 4     |
| MESH METHOD        | Tetrahedron |
| NO. OF ELEMENTS    | 136093 |
| NO. OF NODES       | 773240 |
| IMPACT FORCE       | 4G 2.5G |

Table 5: Summary of mesh data
A frame of the automobile assumes the most crucial role in the wellbeing of a passenger. The frame contains the operator, motor, brake system, steering mechanism, and suspension system. So, the frame must possess adequate strength to protect the passengers in the event of an impact. Static structural analysis is a FEM technique used to examine the response of real structures to internal and external loads. CAE analysis on the frame is performed to evaluate the safety offered by the chassis to drivers in the event of an accident, including rear impact, side-impact, and frontal impact.

A) FRONTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS:
It is the impact wherein there is a possibility of a vehicle crashing into another vehicle head-on during the race.

i. Assumptions and Considerations taken for the frontal impact analysis are:
- The mass of the vehicle with drivers is 154.24 kg.
- Constraints are like the force is applied at the front-most point in a front impact, and wheels are fixed.
- We consider chassis is in static condition

ii. Calculation of Impact Forces:
As mentioned, Front-impact force should be 4G. Where G force is the product of mass and gravity.
The total mass of the vehicle including driver =154.24kg
\[ F=4G=4 \times \text{total mass} \times \text{gravity} \]
\[ F=4 \times 154.24 \times 9.81 = 6052.38 \text{ N} \]

B) REAR IMPACT ANALYSIS

i. Assumptions and Considerations taken for the rear impact analysis are:
- Mass of vehicle with drivers is 154.24kg
- Constraints are like wheels are fixed, and force is applied back most point in a rear impact.

We consider chassis is in static condition for rear impact, considering the worst-case collisions. The force of rear impact is less when compared to the front impact.

ii. Calculation of Impact Forces:
For the rear impact, the force is 2.5G.
\[ F=2.5G = 2.5 \times \text{Total mass} \times \text{gravity} \]
\[ F=2.5 \times 154.24 \times 9.81 = 3782.74 \text{ N} \]

VII. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
A) FRONTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS
1. AISI 4130, Circular Cross-section (25.4*22.2*1.6mm)

![Figure 6: Factor of safety](image)

![Figure 7: Equivalent stress](image)
**RESULTS:**

|                  | Value   |
|------------------|---------|
| Factor of safety | 1.5118  |
| Total deformation (T.D) | 1.7731 mm |
| Equivalent stress (Eq. Stress) | 377.03 MPa |

Table 6: Frontal impact results for AISI 4130

2. **AISI 1018; Circular cross section (25.4*22.2*1.6mm)**

**RESULTS:**

|                  | Value   |
|------------------|---------|
| Factor of safety | 0.98134 |
| Total deformation | 1.7731 mm |
| Equivalent stress | 377.03 MPa |

Table 7: Frontal impact results for AISI 1018

3. **AISI 1020; Circular cross-section (25.4*21.4*2mm)**

**RESULTS:**

|                  | Value   |
|------------------|---------|
| Factor of safety | 0.76916 |
| Total deformation | 1.7731 mm |
| Equivalent stress | 377.03 MPa |

Table 8: Frontal impact results for AISI 1020

**B) REAR IMPACT ANALYSIS**

1. **AISI 4130; Circular cross-section (25.4*22.2*1.6mm)**

**RESULTS:**

|                  | Value   |
|------------------|---------|
| Factor of safety | 0.98134 |
| Total deformation | 1.7731 mm |
| Equivalent stress | 377.03 MPa |

Table 7: Frontal impact results for AISI 1018
Figure 16: Total deformation

Figure 17: Equivalent stress

Results:

| Factor of safety | 2.8095 |
|------------------|--------|
| Total deformation| 0.32492mm |
| Equivalent stress| 202.88 MPa |

Table 9: Rear impact results for AISI 4130

2) AISI 1018; Circular cross-section (25.4*22.2*1.6 mm)

Figure 18: Factor of safety

Figure 19: Total deformation

Figure 20: Equivalent stress

Results:

| Factor of safety | 1.8237 |
|------------------|--------|
| Total deformation| 0.32492 mm |
| Equivalent stress| 202.88 MPa |

Table 9: Rear impact results for AISI 1018

3) AISI 1020; Circular cross-section (25.4*21.4*2mm)

Figure 21: Factor of safety

Figure 22: Total deformation

Figure 23: Factor of safety

Results:

| Factor of safety | 1.4294 |
|------------------|--------|
| Total deformation| 0.32492 mm |
| Equivalent stress| 202.88 |

Table 10: Rear impact results for AISI 1020

C) SIDE IMPACT ANALYSIS

1) AISI 4130; Circular cross-section (25.4*22.2 *1.6 mm)
8. CONCLUSIONS

- Static analysis investigation is done effectively utilizing finite element analysis technique to find the maximum deformations and stresses and its position on the chassis. We had effectively analyzed the chassis strength against the collision from the front, side, as well as from rear directions.
The deformation & stresses are under the limit. The factor of safety (FOS) is under the safety limit for all the subsystems.

Based on the above CAE results and other parameters like cost, weight, and availability, we have decided to go with **AISI 4130** upon considering the various factors, including the factor of safety, total deformation, equivalent stress, and also the availability of the materials compared to other metal.

The total deformation is least for AISI 4130 is better when compared to other grades.

The factor of safety is more for AISI 4130 for given loading conditions.

Appreciable ergonomics has been accomplished in the design with a base weight of Solar Vehicle.

Reducing weight improves the fuel efficiency of the solar car.
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