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Abstract

Background: Infective endocarditis is a disease that carries high morbidity and mortality. The primary endpoint of this study is to assess factors associated with in-hospital mortality in patients undergoing valvular surgery for infective endocarditis. The secondary endpoint of this study is to assess the incidence of post-operative stroke, renal failure, complete heart block and recurrence.

Methods: Between the years of 2015 to 2019, a total of 89 patients underwent surgery for infective endocarditis at Fiona Stanley Hospital, Western Australia. Data was collected from the Australia and New Zealand Cardiac Surgery Database from 2015 to 2019 as well as patients electronic medical record. A number of preoperative and perioperative factors were assessed in relation to patient mortality and morbidity. Univariate and multivariate logistical regression analysis was done to assess for the association between factors and in-hospital morbidity and mortality.

Results: A total of 89 patients underwent surgery for infective endocarditis from 2015 to 2019, affecting a total of 101 valves. The mean age of patients was 53.7 ± 16.5. A total of 79 patients had a positive blood culture pre-operatively, with Staphylococcus Aureus being the most frequently cultured organism (39%). Fourteen patients (16%) were deemed emergent and underwent surgery within 24 h of review. A total of five patients died within their hospital stay postoperatively. Variables significantly associated with mortality on univariate analysis were intravenous drug use, emergent surgery, perioperative dialysis, perioperative inotropes, cardiopulmonary bypass time and cross clamp time. Only CBP time was significantly associated with mortality on multivariate analysis. A total of 19 patients (21%) required hemodialysis after surgery, 10 patients sustained a postoperative stroke (11%), 11 patients developed a complete heart block post operatively (12%) and endocarditis recurred in 10 patients (11%).

Conclusion: Prolonged cardiopulmonary bypass times were significantly associated with mortality. This study is novel to report a lower mortality rate than previously quoted in the literature. We also report our findings of organisms, preoperative embolic phenomena and surgery in a Western Australian population. We recommend that all patients with endocarditis are discussed in multidisciplinary forum.
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with cardiogenic shock, high-risk vegetations and locally uncontrolled infection being the primary factors considered [2]. Grey areas exist with regards to timing of surgery in the setting of a pre-operative embolic stroke [2]. The concept of a ‘Heart Team’ comprising of various specialties to create management plans for individual cases has been shown to decrease mortality in these cases [2]. In this report, we describe our experience of surgically treated endocarditis in a single Australian institution with a comprehensive overview of organisms, sites of embolization, operation performed and surgical outcomes. The primary outcome of this study is to assess factors associated with in-hospital mortality in patients with IE undergoing surgery. The secondary outcome of this study is to assess the factors associated with morbidity; namely post-operative stroke, renal failure and dialysis, complete heart block and recurrence.

Methods
Data was retrospectively collected from the Australian and New Zealand Cardiac Surgery database (ANZSCTS). Between the years of 2015 to 2019, a total of 89 patients underwent surgery for IE at Fiona Stanley Hospital. Cases of IE related to cardiovascular devices were excluded. Furthermore, cases of infective endocarditis were retrospectively confirmed using the modified Dukes Criteria and patients that did not meet these criteria were excluded [15]. A total of 9 patients were excluded. Preoperative and operative factors were identified and recorded through a combination of the ANZSCTS database and patient electronic medical record (EMR). These factors were selected as they are assumed to be associated with an increased rate of morbidity and mortality post-surgery. The definitions of these factors are in accordance with those set by the ANZSCTS database. The valvular procedure was documented from a combination of the ANZSCTS database and the patient electronic medical record. This includes whether the patient had an aortic procedure, aortic valve, mitral valve, tricuspid valve or pulmonary valve procedure. The number of valves affected was documented, as well as whether the index operation was a valvar replacement or repair. In valve replacements, the prosthesis type was recorded (mechanical or bioprosthetic). In patients who underwent valvar repair, details of the repair were recorded.

Results
Demographic data
A total of 89 patients underwent surgery for IE, affecting 101 valves. The mean age of patients was 53.7, with a minimum age of 16 and a maximum age of 83. Most of the patients were male (n=67). A large portion of patients (n=28) had a history of IVDU. Seventeen patients had a history of Diabetes. Fifteen patients identified as ATSI.

Preoperative patient factors
A total of 15 patients (17%) were in cardiogenic shock perioperatively. Eleven patients (12%) required perioperative hemodialysis, 20 patients (22%) were in perioperative respiratory failure and 17 patients (19%) had perioperative inotrope requirements. Twenty-seven patients had vegetations greater than 20 mm on echocardiography, 32 patients had vegetations between 10 and 20 mm and 30 were less than 10 mm. Most patients who underwent surgery had left-sided disease (82 patients) with 7 patients undergoing surgery for right-sided disease (see Table 1). A total of 79 patients had a positive blood culture preoperatively, with Staphylococcus Aureus being the most cultured organism (39%). Ten patients (11%) had culture negative endocarditis. These results are summarized in Table 2. Embolic phenomena were present in 39 patients (44%). The most common site of embolization noted was...
Table 1 Preoperative and operative variables

| Preoperative variable | Preoperative variables | Operative variables |
|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Age                   | Preoperative cardiogenic shock | Cardiopulmonary bypass time |
| 53.7 years            | Yes 15                 | 137 min             |
|                       | No 74                  |                     |
| Gender                | Preoperative dialysis  | Cross clamp time    |
| Male 67               | Yes 11                 | 102 min             |
| Female 22             | No 78                  |                     |
| IVDU history          | Preoperative respiratory failure | Aortic procedure |
| Yes 28                | Yes 20                 | Yes 13              |
| No 61                 | No 69                  | No 76               |
| Location              | Preoperative inotropic requirement | Double valve replacement |
| Rural 33              | Yes 17                 | Yes 8               |
| Metropolitan S6       | No 72                  | No 81               |
| ATS I                 | Urgency                |                     |
| Yes 15                | Elective 13            | Mitral valve repair |
| No 74                 | Urgent 62              | Yes 15              |
|                       | Emergent 14            | No 28 (replacement) |
| Diabetes              |                        |                     |
| Yes 17                |                        |                     |
| No 72                 |                        |                     |
| BMI                   | 27.8                   |                     |
| NYHA status           |                        |                     |
| NYHA 1 52             |                        |                     |
| NYHA 2 11             |                        |                     |
| NYHA 3 13             |                        |                     |
| NYHA 4 13             |                        |                     |
| Positive blood culture|                        |                     |
| Yes 79                |                        |                     |
| No 10                 |                        |                     |
| Organism              |                        |                     |
| Staph A: 35           |                        |                     |
| Other: 54             |                        |                     |
| Vegetation size (mm)  |                        |                     |
| 0–10: 30              |                        |                     |
| 10–20: 32             |                        |                     |
| > 20: 27              |                        |                     |
| Native or prosthetic valve infection |             |                     |
| Native valve 68       |                        |                     |
| Prosthetic valve 21   |                        |                     |
| Left sided disease    |                        |                     |
| Yes 82                |                        |                     |
| No 7                  |                        |                     |
| Preoperative embolic event |                 |                     |
| Yes 39                |                        |                     |
| No 50                 |                        |                     |
| Cerebral Emboli       |                        |                     |
| Yes 23                |                        |                     |
| No 66                 |                        |                     |
| Preoperative creatinine|                       |                     |
the brain, noted in 23 patients (26%). Other common sites were skin (6 patients), lungs (5 patients) and spine (4 patients). Ten patients had multiple sites of embolization. These results are summarized in Table 3.

Operative data
Fourteen patients (16%) were deemed emergent and underwent surgery within 24 h of diagnosis, whereas the majority of patients (62) underwent urgent surgery (within 72 h). The mean cardiopulmonary bypass time was 137 min, and the mean cross clamp time was 102 min. The aortic valve was most affected, with 23 patients undergoing a mechanical aortic valve replacement (AVR) and 29 undergoing a tissue AVR. The mitral valve was also frequently involved, with 18 patients receiving a mechanical mitral valve replacement (MVR) and 10 receiving a tissue MVR. We opted to repair the mitral valve in 15 patients (35%). One patient underwent a mechanical tricuspid valve replacement (TVR) and one patient underwent a bioprosthetic pulmonic valve replacement. Not uncommonly, infection involved the aortic root, with 11 patients undergoing a Bentalls procedure (12%). Eight underwent double valve replacement, seven of which received an AVR and MVR and one patient receiving an AVR and pulmonic valve replacement (see Table 4).

Primary endpoint: factors affecting in-hospital mortality
Five patients died within 30 days of their index operation (6%). Variables associated with mortality on univariate analysis were IVDU (OR 10, \(P = 0.032\)), emergent surgery (OR 9.95, \(P = 0.026\)), preoperative dialysis (OR 44, \(P = 0.001\)), preoperative inotropes (OR 21.8, \(P = 0.004\)), CPBT with 250.8 min for non-survivors compared to 130.5 min for survivors (\(P < 0.001\)) and cross-clamp time (CCT) with 175 min for non-survivors compared to 97.8 min for survivors (\(P = 0.006\)). Multivariate analysis revealed that only CBP time was
a significant predictor of operative mortality, with an odds ratio of 1.05 per minute of additional bypass time (95% CI 1.001–1.101, \( P = 0.046 \)). These results are outlined in Table 5. A further subgroup analysis was performed on factors associated with prolonged CPBT, demonstrating that prosthetic valve involvement, \textit{Staphylococcus Aureus} infection and aortic surgery were significantly associated with prolonged CPBT. Aortic surgery was significant on multivariate analysis (OR 27.8, 95% CI 3.94–200). This is outlined in Table 6.

### Secondary endpoint: factors affecting postoperative morbidity

Nineteen patients (21%) required hemodialysis after surgery. Perioperative cardiogenic shock and perioperative dialysis were significantly associated with post-operative dialysis, with an odds ratio of 9.35 (95% CI 1.47–58.8, \( P = 0.018 \)) and 20 (95% CI 2.24–167, \( P = 0.007 \)) respectively. A total of 10 patients sustained a postoperative CVA (11%). A number of factors were significantly associated with postoperative stroke, however, none of these reached significance on multivariate analysis.

| Variable assessed         | Death (N = 5) | Survivors (N = 84) | Odds ratio | P value | Adjusted OR |
|---------------------------|---------------|--------------------|------------|---------|-------------|
| Age                       | 52.4          | 53.8               |            | \( P = 0.852 \) |             |
| Gender (male)             | 4             | 63                 |            | \( P > 0.900 \) |             |
| IVDU                       | 4             | 24                 | 10.0 (1.0–94) | \( P = 0.032 \) |             |
| Rural patient             | 3             | 30                 |            | \( P = 0.355 \) |             |
| ATSI                      | 2             | 13                 |            | \( P = 0.196 \) |             |
| Hx of diabetes            | 1             | 16                 |            | \( P > 0.900 \) |             |
| BMI                       | 28.0          | 27.7               |            | \( P > 0.900 \) |             |
| NYHA 4                    | 2             | 11                 |            | \( P = 0.153 \) |             |
| Positive blood culture    | 4             | 75                 |            | \( P = 0.457 \) |             |
| Staph A                   | 3             | 32                 |            | \( P = 0.378 \) |             |
| Vegetation > 20 mm        | 3             | 24                 |            | \( P = 0.161 \) |             |
| Prosthetic valve involved | 3             | 18                 |            | \( P = 0.083 \) |             |
| Left sided endocarditis   | 5             | 77                 |            | \( P > 0.900 \) |             |
| Embolic phenomenon        | 2             | 37                 |            | \( P > 0.900 \) |             |
| Cerebral emboli           | 1             | 22                 |            | \( P > 0.900 \) |             |
| Preoperative creatinine   | 118           | 135                | 9.95 (1.49–66.4) | \( P = 0.026 \) |             |
| Emergent surgery          | 3             | 11                 |            | \( P = 0.0196 \) |             |
| Preoperative cardiogenic shock | 2         | 13                 |            | \( P = 0.073 \) |             |
| Preoperative respiratory failure | 3        | 17                 |            | \( P = 0.001 \) |             |
| Preoperative dialysis     | 4             | 7                  | 44.0 (4.31–449) | \( P = 0.004 \) |             |
| Preoperative inotropes    | 4             | 13                 | 21.8 (2.26–211) | \( P = 0.0153 \) |             |
| Aortic procedure          | 2             | 11                 |            | \( P = 0.602 \) |             |
| Double valve replacement  | 1             | 10                 |            | \( P = 0.320 \) |             |
| Mitral valve repair       | 0             | 14                 |            | \( P = 0.38 \) |             |
| CPB time                  | 250.8         | 130.5              | 1.02 (1.01–1.03) | \( P < 0.001 \) | OR 1.05 (1.1–1.1) |
| Cross clamp time          | 175           | 97.8               | 1.26 (1.01–1.04) | \( P = 0.006 \) |             |

| Variable                | Odds ratio | P value | Adjusted OR |
|-------------------------|------------|---------|-------------|
| Prosthetic valve endocarditis | N=8   | OR 3.19 (CI 1.07–9.50) | \( P = 0.032 \) |             |
| Staph A                 | N=12       | OR 3.50 (1.22–10.1) | \( P = 0.016 \) |             |
| Aortic procedure        | N=9        | OR 14.9 (3.84–57.4) | \( P < 0.001 \) | 27.8 (3.94–200) |
Eleven patients developed a CHB post-operatively. All these patients required a pacemaker insertion. Factors associated with the development of CHB was cross-clamp time (130 min vs 98 min $P=0.036$) and whether the patient had an aortic procedure (OR 4.38, $P=0.05$). Infective endocarditis recurred in a total of 10 patients. Early recurrence (within a year of the index operation) occurred in four patients. None of these factors were significantly associated with recurrence. These results are outlined in Table 7.

**Discussion**

Surgical treatment for IE is associated with a high mortality rate, quoted between 6 and 25% [3, 4, 7–12]. Risk factors associated with mortality include older age, emergent surgery, septic shock, congestive heart failure, cardiogenic shock, high risk organisms, prosthetic valve infection and stroke [1, 3–12, 16]. The European Society of Cardiology (ESC) provide guidelines for the management of IE [2]. The guidelines advocate for early surgery in patients with heart failure, uncontrolled infection and high-risk lesions to prevent embolization [2]. Of all factors, congestive cardiac failure is the most consistent predictor of mortality [17, 18]. These studies advocate for early surgery in patients presenting in heart failure [17–19]. Early surgery for high-risk lesions is also supported by literature [20–22]. Of these, a randomized control trial by Kang et al. [21] demonstrated that early surgery in patients with large left-sided lesions (>$10$ mm) significantly reduced morbidity and embolic events. The ESC guidelines provide a class 1 indication for early surgery in vegetations greater than $10$ mm with ongoing embolic phenomena. Uncontrolled infection is a further indication for early surgery. This is supported by several retrospective cohort studies.

**Table 7** Outcome 2—univariate analysis of morbidity

| Variable             | Postoperative stroke (N = 10) | Postoperative dialysis (N = 19) | Recurrence (N = 10) | Complete heart block (N = 11) |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|
| NYHA 4               |                                |                                 | N = 7               | OR 6.22 (1.8–22) $P = 0.005$ |
| Preoperative emboli  | N = 8                          | OR 6.19 (1.23–31) $P = 0.019$   |                     |                              |
| Cerebral emboli      | N = 6                          | OR 5.47 (1.38–22) $P = 0.017$   |                     |                              |
| Preoperative creatinine | 207 versus 125 $P = 0.036$    |                                 |                     |                              |
| Preoperative cardiogenic shock | N = 5 | OR 6.90 (1.7–28) $P = 0.011$ | N = 10             | OR 14.4 (4.0–52) $P < 0.001$ |
| Preoperative respiratory failure | N = 5 | OR 4.26 (1.1–16) $P = 0.042$ | N = 10             | OR 6.67 (2.2–20) $P = 0.001$ |
| Preoperative ionotropes | N = 5                        | OR 5.58 (1.4–22) $P = 0.020$   | N = 11             | OR 14.7 (4.3–51) $P < 0.001$ |
| Preoperative dialysis | N = 9                         | OR 30.6 (5.8–162) $P < 0.001$  |                     |                              |
| Emergent procedure   | N = 4                         | OR 4.60 (CI 1.1–19) $P = 0.047$ | N = 8              | OR 7.76 (2.3–27) $P = 0.001$ |
| Cross clamp time (min) | 130 versus 98 $P = 0.036$    |                                 |                     |                              |
| RBC transfusion      | N = 17                        | OR 7.16 (1.5–33) $P = 0.007$   |                     |                              |
| Aortic procedure     | N = 4                         | OR 4.38 (1.1–18) $P = 0.05$    |                     |                              |
studies, demonstrating that locally aggressive infection is associated with a higher mortality rate [10, 23].

Of these, a retrospective study by Revilla et al. [10] demonstrated that persistent infection is an independent predictor of mortality, where patients who undergo urgent surgery with persistent infection are four-fold as likely to die as patients without persistent infection. At Fiona Stanley hospital, we adopted these guidelines to help with decision making regarding operative timing. In the current study, the in-hospital mortality rate was 5.6% or 5 out of 89 patients. This finding is novel as it is at the lower end of the spectrum of mortality figures quoted by other studies [3, 4, 6, 9, 10]. Rivas de Oliveira assessed 88 surgical patients between 2005 and 2015 and reported an in-hospital mortality rate of 17% [3]. Dunne et al. [11] in a similar Western Australian population with IE reported a mortality rate then of 13%. One major change reported amongst hospitals during the last decade is the establishment of a dedicated “heart team”. This team comprises of Cardiac Surgeons, Cardiologists and Infectious Diseases physicians. A dedicated “heart team” was established at Fiona Stanley Hospital since its initiation in 2015. Studies have reported a decline in mortality as a result of a multidisciplinary team (MDT) approach to endocarditis [24, 25]. A retrospective study by Chirillo et al. [24] demonstrated that after the implementation of an MDT, in-hospital mortality reduced from 28 to 13%, as well as surgical mortality from 47 to 13%. Similarly, a retrospective study conducted by Botelho-Nevers et al. [25] identified that MDT approach to endocarditis yielded a significant decrease in 1-year mortality, from 18.5 to 8.2%. There was also a statistically significant increase in compliance to antimicrobial therapy. The 2015 ESC guidelines (class 2e evidence) recommend the timing of surgical intervention via the consensus of an MDT team [2]. Our practice at Fiona Stanley Hospital is to conduct weekly MDT meetings to discuss cases of endocarditis which has potentially contributed to the low mortality rate.

Our study identified that IVDU, emergent surgery, perioperative dialysis, perioperative inotropes, prolonged cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) time and prolonged CCT were significantly associated with in-hospital mortality on univariate analysis. This finding is consistent with previous studies [1, 3, 6, 11, 26]. CPB time was the only factor to be significantly associated with death on multivariate analysis, with a mean CPB time of 250.8 vs 130.5 min for non-survivors and survivors respectively. A further analysis demonstrated that prosthetic valve involvement, Staphylococcus Aureus infection and aortic surgery was significantly associated with prolonged CPB with aortic surgery reaching significance on multivariate analysis. Prolonged CPB time is a reflection of operative complexity, predisposes patients to end organ dysfunction, coagulation disorders and is therefore understandably associated with mortality.

Embolic phenomena occurred 39 patients (43.8%). The most common site of emboli was the brain (22 patients) followed by skin and lungs. Other studies have also quoted equally high rates of embolic events [10, 27]. Likewise, in these studies, the brain was the most common site of embolism [10, 27]. Pre-operative stroke is a highly relevant complication of IE due to the risk of hemorrhagic transformation and postoperative neurological deterioration. Guidelines provide class 2A evidence to delay surgery by a month in the presence of intracranial hemorrhage [2]. As a result, we adopted a low threshold to conduct a CT brain, explaining the higher rate of cerebral emboli compared to other sites in this study. Embolic phenomena and cerebral emboli were linked to the incidence of preoperative stroke on univariate analysis, however, was not associated with in-hospital mortality.

In terms of organisms, Staphylococcus Aureus was most cultured and present in 39% of patients. This was followed by Enterococcus Faecalis and Streptococcus Mitis in 20% and 9% of patients respectively. Eleven percent of patients had culture negative IE. The prevalence of Staphylococcus Aureus is a feature in other studies also [6, 10]. There has been a reported shift in the epidemiology of IE away from Streptococcus and HACEK (Haemophilus species, Aggregibacter species, Cardiobacterium hominis, Eikenella corrodens and Kingella) organisms towards Staphylococcus Aureus [28, 29]. This was also evident in our study, with only 15 patients culturing Viridans Streptococci. There was one case of HACEK endocarditis. Staphylococcus Aureus has been linked to a higher mortality rate in surgically treated endocarditis [30, 31]. It is also linked to locally aggressive infection, higher rates of embolization and septic shock [30, 31]. Our study did not demonstrate a relationship between Staphylococcus Aureus and in-hospital mortality or post-operative complications, however, we did demonstrate that may be linked with prolonged CPBT and operative complexity. At our institution, we favour early surgery for patients with Staphylococcus Aureus endocarditis.

The majority of our patients received a valve replacement. This was especially the case with aortic valve endocarditis, where all patients received a valve replacement. We opted to repair the mitral valve in 14 cases (33%). The rate of repair is consistent with that reported in literature [32]. Mitral valve repair is associated with lower in-hospital mortality and morbidity in literature, however, this was not reported in our study [32]. Twelve patients had endocarditis of the aortic root with periannular abscess formation. In cases such as this, we opted to perform radical debridement of the annulus followed by replacement of the aortic valve and root. In our centre, we opted
to use a valved graft conduit in a Bentalls procedure, however, some studies advocate for allografts as they demonstrate a lower rate of postoperative graft infection [33]. Aortic surgery in endocarditis is associated with a high morbidity and mortality [34]. In our study, it was not significantly associated with mortality, though it was associated with longer CPBT and postoperative CHB. Surgery for right-side endocarditis was uncommon and was performed in 10 patients (11%). Only four patients had isolated tricuspid valve replacements. All other cases of right-side disease were performed in conjunction with left-side valve surgery. One patient underwent a pulmonary valve replacement. Surgery for pulmonic valve endocarditis is rare and is most commonly performed on prosthetic infections of pulmonic valve allografts (Ross procedure) or in conjunction with other valves [35]. It is unusual to be performed in isolation [35]. Studies report excellent short- and long-term outcomes despite being an uncommon pathology [35]. In our case, it was performed with concurrent AVR.

Complications after surgery for IE were not uncommon. Ten patients (11%) had a postoperative stroke. Identifiable risk factors were cerebral emboli, pre-operative creatinine, perioperative cardiogenic shock, perioperative respiratory failure, perioperative ionotropic requirement and emergent procedure. Other studies have demonstrated a similar incidence of post-operative stroke [10, 11, 27]. Only one other study investigated risk factors associated with post-operative stroke [11]. Post-operative stroke is a debilitating issue, and some centers advocate for delaying surgery to minimize the risk of hemorrhagic transformation [22, 31]. Others demonstrate that the overall mortality benefit from early surgery outweighs this risk [36]. The practice at Fiona Stanley Hospital was to delay surgery by a month if feasible if there is a significant risk of hemorrhagic transformation. A total of 19 patients (21%) required dialysis postoperatively. On multivariate analysis, cardiogenic shock and pre-operative dialysis were independently associated with the incidence of post-operative dialysis. Post-operative renal failure is linked to a critical perioperative state and is associated with an increased risk of mortality [6, 10, 37, 38]. Conduction abnormalities are an early indication of an infectious process expanding to involve the membranous interventricular septum, often in cases with aortic valve endocarditis. A total of 11 patients (12%) had complete heart block, all of whom received a pacemaker. The incidence of which is comparable to that published in other studies [6, 39].

This is a retrospective observational study with inherent biases in data collection. A larger prospective study may enable us to explore more factors associated with morbidity and mortality. Our small patient numbers and the small number of in-hospital deaths have limited the use of multivariate analysis to evaluate risk factors for in-hospital mortality. Fiona Stanley Hospital is a new institution, and data is available over a period of 4 years. As a result, long term morbidity and survival data was not explored by this study and therefore Kaplan–Meier survival analysis was not conducted. Long term follow-up of our patients would be beneficial to assess whether the low in-hospital mortality rate is also translates into long term survival.

Conclusion
This study reports the morbidity and in-hospital mortality of 89 patients undergoing valvular surgery for IE at a single institution. Prolonged CPBT is significantly associated with mortality. Our study is novel in its reporting of a low 30-day mortality rate and exemplifies the need for a multidisciplinary approach to the management of endocarditis.
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