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This review provides an extensive summary of the effects of carbohydrate fluorination with regard to changes in physical, chemical and biological properties with respect to regular saccharides. The specific structural, conformational, stability, reactivity and interaction features of fluorinated sugars are described, as well as their applications as probes and in chemical biology.

1 Introduction

Carbohydrates are essential molecules for life. However, in contrast to the other important classes of biomolecules, their broad significance beyond as an energy source has been questioned until recently. This has been due to the difficulties associated with their study, caused both by their structural and chemical complexity as well as their non-template driven biosynthesis. Their structural roles in plants and in bacterial cell walls have been long known, however their key roles in immune regulation processes, cell–cell interactions (e.g., fertilization, inflammation)1,2 or in host–pathogen interactions3 have only become clear more recently. In addition, their relationship
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with different diseases, such as cancer,\textsuperscript{4–7} infection, and autoimmunity,\textsuperscript{8} is nowadays well-established and is a research area under intense development.

The progress made in the last decades in Glycoscience,\textsuperscript{9} advancing our understanding on the functional role of the molecular recognition processes of sugars by lectins and antibodies, as well as on the chemical and structural details of the enzymatic machineries for their processing has brought about the emerging field of glycomimetics.\textsuperscript{10} These synthetic sugar analogues, potential drug candidates, are small molecules designed to interact with glycan-binding or glycan-processing proteins.\textsuperscript{11} They are structurally related to carbohydrates but endowed with adapted...
properties through chemical modifications. One of the most employed alterations consists in introducing fluorine, the less abundant halogen in metabolites in Nature, but most abundant in pharmaceuticals, where its strategic incorporation is part of the drug development process to optimise physicochemical, adsorption, and distribution properties. However, the first examples of the employment of fluorine-containing sugar analogues typically had no drug design ambitions, but were used as probes for studying glycan–protein interactions. In particular, early studies utilized N-fluoroacetylglucosamine derivatives as probes for binding to different proteins, and exploited 19F chemical shift perturbations or line broadening effects upon binding. Several authors proposed to systematically substitute every –OH of the pyranose rings by a fluorine atom as a probe for deducing hydrogen bonding networks in sugar–receptor complexes.

These pioneering works paved the way for further developments, which continue evolving, in which fluorine is introduced in sugar molecules not only for studying glycan binding phenomena, but also for interrogating glycosylation reactions (enzymatic and non-enzymatic), to stabilize glycosides, or as a manner to develop glycosidase inhibitors. This review will focus on the use of fluorinated carbohydrate derivatives in the frontier between chemistry and biology, and includes a brief overview on how fluorination influences the properties of saccharides. The use of fluorinated iminosugars has been reviewed recently and is not included here. The application of 19F NMR in the structural and conformational determination of fluorinated sugar derivatives has also been reviewed and will not be covered here.

2 The different types of sugar fluorination

Sugar fluorination usually refers to the replacement of a hydroxyl group by a fluorine atom, which formally is a ‘deoxy-fluorination’ operation. Perhaps the most famous example is 2-deoxy-2-fluoroglucose (Fig. 1), whose 19F analogue is one of the most used PET imaging agents. However, there are other sugar fluorination options: in gemcitabine (Fig. 2.1), whose 18F analogue is one of the most used PET imaging agents. However, these pioneering works paved the way for further developments, which continue evolving, in which fluorine is introduced in sugar molecules not only for studying glycan binding phenomena, but also for interrogating glycosylation reactions (enzymatic and non-enzymatic), to stabilize glycosides, or as a manner to develop glycosidase inhibitors. This review will focus on the use of fluorinated carbohydrate derivatives in the frontier between chemistry and biology, and includes a brief overview on how fluorination influences the properties of saccharides. The use of fluorinated iminosugars has been reviewed recently and is not included here. The application of 19F NMR in the structural and conformational determination of fluorinated sugar derivatives has also been reviewed and will not be covered here.

2 The different types of sugar fluorination

Sugar fluorination usually refers to the replacement of a hydroxyl group by a fluorine atom, which formally is a ‘deoxy-fluorination’ operation. Perhaps the most famous example is 2-deoxy-2-fluoroglucose (Fig. 1), whose 19F analogue is one of the most used PET imaging agents. However, there are other sugar fluorination options: in gemcitabine (Fig. 2.2), an anti-cancer agent, a secondary alcohol is replaced by a CF2-group, formally a ‘deoxydifluorination’ operation. Sugar fluorination, in which a C–H is replaced by C–F, is also possible, and mono-, di- and trifluorination processes have all been described. The most known examples include 6,6,6-trifluorofucose (Fig. 2.3), and 3-fluorinated sialic acid (Fig. 2.4). Note that in the latter, C3 now becomes stereogenic (see below). Finally, the substitution of the endo or exocyclic (anomeric) oxygen for CHF/CF2 has also been described, generating fluoro-C-glycosyl compounds (O1) or fluoro-carbasugars (O5). An example of the latter is 2.5. There are also other types, such as C–H for C–RF (RF is perfluoroalkyl) modifications leading to branched sugar derivatives, which will not be covered here.

As indicated above, a deoxydifluorination modification of a sugar is indicated by the prefix ‘deoxy’. Equally, if fluorination of a sugar is not accompanied by a deoxygenation reaction, then the position of fluorination is indicated. Hence, 2.6 is 6-fluorofucose (Fig. 2), although 6-deoxy-6-fluorogalactose is also correct. However, 2.1 should not be referred to as ‘2-fluoroglucose’, as this name corresponds to 2.7. Structure 2.7 is unstable and will decompose to the corresponding 2-keto derivative. However, fluorination at the 5-position as in 2.8 is possible. Although from a nomenclature point of view, 2.9 can thus be named ‘2-deoxy-2,2-difluoroglucose’, due to the loss of stereogenicity at C2, convention dictates that deoxysugar nomenclature is followed. Hence, 2.9 is referred to as 2-deoxy-2,2-difluoro-arabinohexopyranose.
Interestingly, 3-fluorinated sialic acid is often named after its C3-deoxyfluorinated nonulosonic acid derivative. Hence, 2.4 is named 5-acetamido-3,5-dideoxy-3-fluoro-D-erythro-L-manno-2-nonulopyranosonic acid. Using sialic acid as a naming base, the configuration at C3 needs to be specified, leading to (3R)-3F-Neu5Ac. However, a much more convenient way has been used for naming 3-fluorinated sialic acids by referring to the orientation of the fluorine substituent on the sialic acid chair conformation. Compound 2.4 is then named 3F(ax)-Neu5Ac. The difluorinated 2.10 is named according to carbasugar nomenclature as indicated.

3 Influence of carbohydrate fluorination on physical properties

3.1 The fluorine atom and the C–F bond

Oxygen and fluoride are the two most electronegative atoms, but their difference in electronegativity results in a number of different properties. While they have a similar size, the higher ionization potential of the fluoride lone pair makes it a worse hydrogen bond acceptor with less polarizable lone pairs than oxygen. While the divalent oxygen allows for the presence of a covalent O–H bond, this is not the case for the monovalent fluoride, so a deoxyfluorination leads to the loss of hydrogen bond donating capacity at that position. Hence, this leads to a C–F group being much more hydrophobic than an alcohol group. A CH–OH is larger than a CH–F substituent, but similar in volume to a CF2-group.

Due to its high electronegativity and absence of any other substituent (the alcohol oxygen also has an O–H bond), the C–F bond is highly polarized, which will affect the electron density of the carbohydrate structure. This is particularly pronounced when a C–H group is changed for C–F. Hence, adjacent functional groups are affected, which has consequences for their interactions with proteins.

3.2 The influence on carbohydrate structure and conformation

The conformation of the ligand is of great importance when considering protein–carbohydrate interactions. The presence of the fluorine may, in principle, affect the conformational properties of the fluorine-containing ligands at different levels, the monosaccharide rings, the pendant groups, the glycosidic linkages; as well as global physicochemical properties.

3.2.1 The pyranose chair conformation.

The conformation of fluorinated carbohydrate derivatives has been extensively studied in solution and in the solid state. In general, fluorination does not lead to significant distortion of the pyranose conformation, even when multifluorinated, such as in 3.3 (Fig. 3). Crystal structures of free trideoxytrifluorinated sugars such as the glucose 3.2,46 the corresponding altrose34 and galactose34 (all as β-anomers), as well as in derivatives of the trifluorinated allo-, manno-, and talopyranoses35 show C1-,C4-conformations. However, slight distortions are possible with 1,3-coxial C–O and C–F bonds, which was shown for 3.3.36 Many other crystal structures of such heavily fluorinated derivatives, including that of a hexafluorinated pyranose.
3.4 have been reported, again all in $^1$C$_1$-conformations. In pentopyranosyl fluorides, the strong anomeric effect involving the anomeric C–F bond has been shown to lead to a chair inversion, even in the triacetylated β-D-xylopyranosyl fluoride 3.5 where the ring inversion leads to three axial ester groups.38

Only a few conformational studies have been performed for fluorocarbapyanoses. Nevertheless, conformational studies of α- and β-gem-difluorocarbagalose, galactose and mannose derivatives using NMR, molecular modeling and, when possible, X-ray crystallography indicated that the presence of the CF$_2$ moiety in the ring does not significantly affect the $^1$C$_1$ chair conformation compared to the regular sugar.39 Furthermore, a study on 5α-gem-difluorocarbaidoses showed that it retained the conformational plasticity of its oxygenated counterpart.40

In the case of fluoro-C-glycosides, the situation is more diverse, as $^1$C$_1$ but also other conformations ($^1$C$_4$ and $^1$S$_1$) were observed in solution with galactose analogues.41

3.2.2 The exocyclic hydroxymethyl group. The C4-configuration strongly determines the exocyclic C5–C6 conformational profile, with the conformation featuring aligned C–O bonds generally being disfavoured,42 a situation which is expected to be similar upon deoxyfluorination. The vicinal $^3$I$_{H5-F6}$ coupling constant is particularly diagnostic for this exocyclic C5–C6 bond conformation. The preferred conformation of the exocyclic fluoromethyl group in 6-deoxy-6-fluoroglucofuranose 3.6, as shown in Fig. 4, had already been determined in the late 1960s,43 as this was possible with the spectrometers at the time. For the galactose derivative 2.6, the $gt$ conformation was established to be the most abundant, with a lesser contribution from the $tg$-conformer (not shown).44 For the 4,6-dideoxy-4,6-difluorinated GalNAc derivative 3.7, the $gt$ conformation was also proposed as the major species in solution,45 and the preferred $gg$ conformation in 4,6-difluorinated glucose derivatives was also demonstrated.46 Interestingly, the crystal structure of a glycoside of the 2,3,4,6-tetrafluorinated galactose derivative 3.8 was shown to feature a $gg$ conformation, but a $gt$ conformation was proposed in the solution phase.36

3.2.3 Fluorinated C-glycosides and carbasugars: glycosidic bond conformation. In O-glycosides, the stereoelectronic stabilization caused by the exo-anomeric effect, characterized by an overlap between an anomeric oxygen lone pair and the σ*$_{C1-O1}$ orbital, as well as a steric repulsion, leads to a specific “exo” conformation of the glycosidic bond (Fig. 5(a) illustrates a β-glycoside). The deletion of one oxygen atom from the acetal of the glycoside removes the anomeric effects leading to a change in conformational behavior.47 For CH$_2$-glycosides (and for carbasugars), there are no noteworthy stereoelectronic effects, and the conformational distribution is only ruled by the minimization of steric repulsions. Consequently, they display more flexibility than regular oligosaccharides, resulting in a concomitant entropy penalty in molecular recognition events.48 However, replacing the oxygen atom by a fluorine-containing methylene group in C-glycosides introduces the possibility of fluorine gauche effects. This stereoelectronic effect is based on a stabilising σ$_{C-H/σ^*_{C-F}}$ and, to a lesser extent, a σ$_{C-C/σ^*_{C-F}}$ hyperconjugation, and is much related to the anomeric effect itself.49 Indeed, it has been shown to play a role in the conformation of fluorinated molecules.50 This effect is illustrated in Fig. 5(b) for a CF$_2$-glycoside, showing the two conformations that allow for the most stabilising hyperconjugation situations.

Hence, the maximisation of σ*$^*_{C-H}$/σ*$^*_{C-F}$ and σ$_{C-C}$/σ*$^*_{C-F}$ interactions might therefore stabilize “non-natural” conformations that would be high in energy for the parent O-glycoside, which has been demonstrated for a number of fluorinated C-glycosides including 3.9 (Fig. 6) and CHF and CF$_2$ C-glycoside analogues 3.12–3.14 of β-Gal-(1,1)-α-Man 3.10.50

In the exo-anomeric effect, hyperconjugation occurs between a lone pair orbital of the anomeric oxygen with the σ*$^*_{C1-O5}$ bond, which is more favourable than with the σ*$^*_{C1-C2}$ bond. This can be attributed to the distinct polarization of the C1–O5 bond, which results in a larger σ* orbital centered on the less electronegative atom of the polarized bond (3.15, Fig. 7a). This distinction disappears with carbasugars in which the C1–C2 and C1–C5a have the same polarity, therefore no preferential conformation is observed (3.16, Fig. 7b). In order to restore the conformational restriction caused by the exo-anomeric effect with carbasugars, a CF$_2$-carbasugar as a “stereoelectronic” mimic for O5 was investigated. Indeed, it was demonstrated

---

**Fig. 4** Preferred conformations of the exocyclic fluoromethyl group.

**Fig. 5** The anomeric and fluorine gauche effects determining anomeric conformation.
that the replacement of the endocyclic oxygen atom by a CF$_2$ group in maltose induced a polarization of the C1–CF$_2$ bond, which was shown to restore the exo-anomeric effect, and the preferential exo conformation (3.17, Fig. 7c). 51

### 3.2.4 Anomeric and ring equilibria

A systematic study involving the determination of the anomeric ratios of glucose, galactose and their monodeoxyfluorinated analogues (Table 1) showed that there was no significant variation upon deoxyfluorination. 52 However, in all cases, deoxyfluorination lead to an increased preference for the axial anomer, which was explained by the larger electron withdrawing effect of fluorine compared to that of OH. This results in an increased deshielding of the nearby axial C–H bonds, resulting in a reduced 1,3-diaxial repulsion (or increased intramolecular electrostatic interaction). There was also a correlation between the combined increase in chemical shift of H3 and H5 (as calculated from the ratio of anomers) and the free energy difference between the anomers (as calculated from the ratio of anomers).

The solution-phase composition of galactose and its deoxy-fluorinated analogues, in particular the equilibrium between the pyranose and furanose forms, has been extensively studied in the context of investigations of the enzyme galactose mutase (Table 2). In addition to the minor differences discussed above, galactose deoxyfluorination at the 2 or 3-position decreases the amount of furanose, 53 an effect also observed for talose. 54 However, galactose deoxyfluorination at the 6-position (to give 6-fluorofucose) results in an increase of furanose content, 55 an effect that is further magnified upon trifluorination at this position. 56 The same can be seen when the C6-hydroxymethyl group in altrose is replaced by a trifluoromethyl group. 56 It is likely that fluorination at the 6-position reduces the nucleophilicity of the 5-OH group, favouring involvement of the 4-OH group in the hemiacetal ring formation.

The enzyme mutarotase catalyses the equilibrium between sugar anomers. Using two-dimensional exchange spectroscopy (2D-EXSY) at equilibrium, it was shown that mutarotase catalyses the rapid (time scale of a few seconds) exchange between the anomers of 4-deoxy-4-fluoro-D-glucose, but not that of glucose analogues with fluorination at the 2- and/or 3-position. 58 This was explained by the electron withdrawing effect destabilizing the required free aldehyde group of the open form. Nevertheless, dissolution of a pure crystal of the β-anomer of 6-deoxy-6,6,6-trifluoroaltrose was reported to ‘soon’ give the equilibrium mixture. 54

### 3.3 The stability of the glycosidic bond

The stronger inductive effect of fluorine compared to OH renders oxocarbenium formation more difficult for fluorinated carbohydrate derivatives compared to the native parents. 59 This was realised very early on through hydrolysis studies of glycosyl phosphates and dinitrophenylglycosides. 60 In general, hydrolysis rates decrease in the following order (Table 3): parent > 6-deoxyfluoro > 3-deoxyfluoro > 4-deoxyfluoro > 2-deoxyfluoro (with an inverse order for the deoxygenated analogues). Through a detailed study of dinitrophenylglycoside hydrolysis, it was established that the hydrolysis rates are largely dictated by the field effect on the oxocarbenium transition state, with significant electron deficient character on O5. Interestingly, deideoxyfluorination at the 3 or 4 and 6-positions leads to a hydrolysis rate equivalent to that of deoxyfluorination at 2-position, and deideoxymonofluorination actually leads to a higher rate constant than that of the parent derivative.

The destabilizing effect on oxocarbenium transition state formation has been successfully exploited for ‘mechanism-based’ enzyme inhibition (first reports, 61 reviews 62). Deoxyfluorinated carbohydrates which display an excellent leaving group at the anomeric position can still undergo reaction with nucleophiles, including the catalytic nucleophile of retaining glycosidase enzymes, in contrast to the thus formed glycosyl enzyme intermediate, which is formally a – less reactive – anomeric ester derivative. Hence, for glycosidases for which the hydrolysis of the glycosyl enzyme intermediate with the
natural substrate is rate-determining, inactivation is possible by employing a fluorinated analogue, typically using fluorination adjacent to the anomeric center but also at the 5-position. In this regard, an interesting illustration of the effects of fluorination on anomeric reactivity is shown with the fluorinated maltoses 3.18 and 3.19 (Fig. 8), which were investigated as mechanism-based enzyme inhibitors for the maltosyl transferase GlgE1 from *Streptomyces coelicor*. While 3.18 was shown to form the covalent intermediate by reaction with the relevant nucleophilic Asp residue, the enzyme was able to subsequently effect hydrolysis. This could only be prevented with a E423A point mutation. However, with the trifluorinated maltose 3.19, the increased deactivation resulting from C2-difluorination rendered it too unreactive to react with the enzyme. Interestingly, this allowed crystallization of 3.19 in complex with GlgE1 (see below).

Hence, disaccharides with a 2′-deoxyfluorination modification can still react with glycosidases, causing mechanism-based inhibition. Indeed, if the binding energy component provided by the natural aglycon (or the reducing sugar moiety) is large enough, then the rate of the initial reaction of the catalytic nucleophile with the fluorinated glycoside derivative can be increased. This fact has been exploited for the design of selective mechanism-based glycosidase inhibitors.

The same deactivating effect on oxonium formation would result in saccharides modified by deoxyfluorination at the non-reducing 2-position(s) being stabilized against both acid-catalysed and enzymatic hydrolysis. Recent examples of this strategy are shown in Fig. 9.

Chen and coworkers showed that deoxyfluorination of the 5-OH of KDN in sialosides 3.20 and 3.21 leading to 3.22 and 3.23 did not significantly change the activity of the multifunctional *Pasteurella multocida* sialyltransferase (PmST1).

### Table 2: Anomeric composition

| Mono-saccharide | Modification | α-Pyranose | β-Pyranose | α-Furanose | β-Furanose | Total furanose | Ref. |
|-----------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|------|
| Gal             | —            | 31.8       | 60.5       | 3.1        | 4.6        | 7.7            | 53   |
| 2F              | 41.0         | 35.7       | 1.0        | 2.2        | 3.2        | 53             |
| 3F              | 40           | 58         | 0.7        | 1.6        | 2.3        | 53             |
| 6F              | 30           | 56         | 5          | 8          | 13         | 55             |
| 6-Decoxy<sup>a</sup> | 28         | 67         | 5          | 11         | 17         | 56 and 57      |
| 6,6,6-Tri-F<sup>a</sup> | 29        | 43         | 11         | 17         | 28         | 56             |
| Alt             | —            | 30         | 41         | 18         | 11         | 29             | 56   |
| 6,6,6-Tri-F     | 14           | 20         | 33         | 33         | 66         |                |
| Tal             | —            | 42.0       | 29.0       | 16.0       | 13.0       | 29             | 57   |
| 2F              | 50           | 37         | 8.0        | 5.0        | 13         | 54             |
<sup>a</sup> Fucose.

### Table 3: Observed first-order rate constants for acid-catalysed hydrolysis (1 M HClO<sub>4</sub>) of selected α-D-glucopyranosyl phosphates<sup>60</sup>

| Compound         | 10<sup>5</sup> k<sub>obs</sub> [s<sup>-1</sup>] | 25 °C | 45 °C |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Not substituted  | 4.10                                          |       |       |
| 2-Decoxy         | 11.10                                         |       |       |
| 2-Deoxy-2-fluoro | 0.068                                         |       |       |
| 4-Decoxy         | 111                                           |       |       |
| 4-Decoxy-4-fluoro| 0.270                                         | 0.19  |       |
| 2,6-Dideoxy-2,6-difluoro | 0.0075<sup>a</sup> | 1.4   |       |
| 3,6-Dideoxy-3,6-difluoro | 0.080<sup>a</sup> | 0.79  |       |
| 3,6-Dideoxy-6-fluoro | 6.1                                          |       |       |
| 4,6-Dideoxy-4,6-difluoro | 0.032                                         |       |       |
| 4,6-Dideoxy-6,6-difluoro | 26                                           |       |       |
<sup>a</sup> Extrapolated value.
which also possesses sialidase activity. In contrast, PmST1 displayed a significantly increased sialidase activity towards the 5-deoxygenated disaccharide 3.24, but not towards 3.25. It was concluded that the hydrogen bonding properties of the 5-OH group were not important for PmST1 activity. Hoffmann-Röder and coworkers investigated the enzymatic stability of the Thomson–Friedenreich antigen derivatives 3.26 and 3.27. A β-galactosidase catalyzed the hydrolysis of 3.16 with a half-life of approximately 3 h, while the fluorinated 3.27 remained intact over 7 h of incubation. Wong and coworkers showed that in contrast to 3.28, sialidases from C. perfringens and V. cholerae were inactive towards 3.29. Also, 3.29 did not significantly inhibit the hydrolysis of 3.28.

3.4 Lipophilicity

The lipophilicity of a compound is measured experimentally by determining its partition coefficient $P$ between 1-octanol and water, and expressed as log $P$. It reflects a balance of the hydrophobic and hydrophilic features of the compound. This value is widely used in medicinal chemistry as a measure for membrane permeability, with optimum values between +1 and +3 (for orally available drugs). It also impacts affinity to proteins as it roughly relates to the extent of hydrophobic desolvation energy involved in the binding process. Hence, changing a compound’s lipophilicity will affect affinity.

Carbohydrates are very hydrophilic, resulting in low log $P$ values. However, there are very few experimental data available, in part reflecting the difficulty in measuring accurate sugar concentrations. The log $P$ of Glc is reported to be $-2.82$ to $-3.24$. The replacement of hydroxyl groups by fluorine is expected to increase a compound’s lipophilicity. Using a $^{19}$F NMR based method, the log $P$ value has been experimentally quantified for a range of deoxyfluorinated carbohydrate derivatives (Fig. 10).

For Glc derivatives, monodeoxyfluorination leads to a log $P$ increase of almost an order of magnitude ($-2.21$ for 2.1), raising by another order of magnitude for a dideoxyfluorination (−1.11 for 3.30). Dideoxytetrafluorination leads to yet another order of magnitude increase (−0.32 for 3.31), as does trideoxytrifluorination in 3.2. The position of the fluorination (e.g. 6-deoxy-6-fluoroglucose, log $P = -2.36$) and the carbohydrate stereochemistry (e.g. 2-deoxy-2-fluorogalactose, log $P = -2.37$) also impact on the log $P$ value (not shown). The lipophilicity of 2,3,4-trideoxy-2,3,4-trifluorinated monosaccharide derivatives can vary considerably, with the talose derivative 3.33 being much more polar compared to the Glc analogue 3.32.

4 Molecular interactions influenced by fluorination

4.1 CH–π interactions

Hydrogen bonding and CH–π interactions are the two key direct protein–sugar interactions, and both are influenced by fluorination. CH–π interactions are of paramount importance to stabilize the corresponding complexes and their importance is also substantiated by the relative abundance of aromatic amino acids in the binding pocket of lectins. CH–π interactions are usually described as weak polar interactions in which the delocalized electron density of sp²-hybridized aromatic moieties acts as an acceptor, while the hydrogen atom in the polarized C–H moiety acts as donor.

In sugars, the CH donor groups are polarized by the geminal hydrogen atoms, making the CH-vector a suitable moiety for establishing polar interactions. Although oxygen and fluorine are the two most electronegative atoms, their intrinsic differences could influence their relative ability to participate in CH–π interactions with proteins, as demonstrated for hydrogen-bonded interactions. Moreover, in hydroxyl groups, the oxygen is already attached to a hydrogen atom, which reduces the electron withdrawing nature of the C–O bond. Thus, the key question here is how fluorine influences the capacity of deoxyfluorosugars to participate in CH–π interactions. A simple NMR-based experimental approach has been used to evidence the preferred orientation of aromatic rings when interacting with saccharides in water solution.

A dynamic combinatorial approach for the study of carbohydrate/aromatic interactions has been presented. The experimental results from the analysis of a large data set of chemically diverse carbohydrate–aromatic complexes allowed the accurate determination of the structure–stability relationship that governs these weak interactions. The influence of the equatorial or axial orientation of the sugar polar groups on the
strength of the carbohydrate–aromatic complex was also determined by using punctual OH → F substitutions. In particular, 2-deoxy-2-fluoro mannoside derivative showed enhanced stabilization properties with respect to the gluco-configurated analogue, suggesting that equatorial polar moieties might be involved in repulsive interactions with the aromatic units. Moreover, the introduction of a fluorine substituent at the anomeric center modulates the electron density at the endocyclic oxygen, thus fine-tuning the stability of the complexes and the orientation of the pyranose ring with respect to the aromatic moiety. These fundamental studies demonstrated the influence of fluorine substitution on CH/π interactions and provided the impetus to further investigate the role of ligand fluorination in carbohydrate–lectin interactions.

Additional evidences regarding the role of fluorine substitution in enhancing methyl–π interactions have been presented, along with an overall study that correlated the enthalpy contribution of these weak interactions as function of the hydrogen polarizability by none, one, or two fluorine substituents. The contribution from these studies lies in the elucidation of the polar character in methyl–π interactions in sugar/receptor recognition, thus complementing the advances in the study of the direct pyranose/aromatic stacking. The authors studied the interaction of wheat-germ agglutinin (WGA), a model lectin, with acetylated amino sugars by NMR and molecular modeling. DFT-based theoretical calculations suggested that the presence of fluorine atoms at the acetamide group should enhance the interaction between the fluorinated analogues and the aromatic residues of the protein, given the polarization of the C–H bond at the CHF2CONH– and CH2FCONH– functions by the electron-withdrawing fluorine atoms. On the contrary, the absence of any CH–π donor group in CF3CONH– derivatives should significantly reduce the binding energy due to the unfavorable contacts of the electron-rich fluorine atoms and the aromatic residues. To experimentally prove this hypothesis, the authors used an NMR-based strategy and demonstrated that the strength of the CH–π interaction significantly depends on the polarization degree of the CH–π hydrogen donor. The values for the binding constants \( k_b \), derived by STD-NMR competition experiments, agreed with those derived by quantum mechanics calculations, revealing a difference of one order of magnitude between the monofluorinated and the trifluorinated analogues, as schematized in Fig. 11. The results from these studies offer the opportunity to exploit sugar fluorination as a strategy to enhance fundamental intermolecular interactions, with the consequent implications in drug design.

![Fig. 11](image-url) Modulation of the CH–π stacking interactions by diverse fluorination patterns. Free energy differences among the different fluorinated and not fluorinated derivatives in the WGA complexes were determined by STD-NMR competition experiments.

However, the high ionisation potential of organofluorine lone pairs makes fluorine only a weak hydrogen bond acceptor. In general, the stronger hydrogen-bond (HB) acceptor groups present in carbohydrates, as well as in water solvent, will be preferentially involved in intra- or intermolecular HB formation over C–F groups, whether in solution or in the solid phase. In crystal structures, where the resulting structure is a balance of many types of strong and weak interactions, it is not uncommon to see C–F bonds ‘ignored’ in hydrogen bonding networks, even for sugars with multiple C–F bonds.

This has been applied for the partial disruption of the dense hydrogen bonding network of polysaccharides such as cellulose, which is responsible for its mechanical and structural properties. Incorporation of two 3-deoxy-3-fluorinated glucose residues in a hexamer has led to more soluble analogues.

In the absence of stronger hydrogen bond acceptors, intramolecular hydrogen bonding to fluorine in fluorinated carbohydrate derivatives has been demonstrated. In the solution phase, this is often apparent by the presence of a coupling constant between the alcohol hydrogen and the fluorine group.
deoxyfluorination can also lead to incorporation of extra water molecules in the binding site. This has been illustrated by crystal structure analysis of the 6-deoxy-6-fluoro-D-galactose 2.6 complex with the arabinose binding protein (Fig. 14b), compared to that of the natural ligand (Fig. 14a). It was proposed that the repulsion between the fluorine atom and the carboxylate group caused a reorganisation, creating space for a water molecule which, in turn, enables hydrogen bond donation to the carboxylate group (which was lost upon 6-deoxyfluorination). In such cases, the energetics of binding are complicated, which has repercussions for ‘epitope mapping’ experiments.

An interesting case has also been reported when replacing CHOH groups in UDP-galactopyranose 4.10 by CF₂ moieties leading to 4.11 (Fig. 15). This substitution has also been shown to lead to additional intermolecular hydrogen bonding interactions involving the fluorine atom that replaced the hydrogen at C3 of 4.10 which may have contributed to the increased affinity of this analogue compared to the native ligand.

A similar case can be observed for the fluorinated maltose 3.19, already mentioned in Fig. 9, in complex with GlgE1 (Fig. 16). The guanidinium group of Arg392 forms a bidentate hydrogen bonding interaction with the CF₂ group in 3.19, while for 3.18 (as covalent complex with GlgE1) this residue forms a bidentate hydrogen bond with the fluorine and the anomeric oxygen.

In a very original application, fluorine introduction has been successfully used to promote water binding in protein–carbohydrate complexes. Bridging water between the GlcNAc and threonine residues of Thr-containing glycopeptides was suspected to play an important role in binding to the anti-MUC1 antibodies (SM3), but never observed in crystal structures of 4.12 (Fig. 17) with SM3. It was hypothesised that GlcNAc residues featuring fluorinated amides may provide a more hydrophilic environment leading to stabilisation of water molecules. Calculations supported the presence of a hydrogen bond between the water and the fluorine. Indeed, crystal structures involving such fluorinated glycopeptides 4.13 and 4.14 did show bridging water molecules although the weak electron density of the fluorine atoms did suggest free rotation and hence did not support the presence of the F⋯HO hydrogen bond.

Fluorine introduction will also influence hydrogen bonding capacities of the adjacent hydroxyl groups. So far, this has only been studied in non-carbohydrate model compounds, using alcohols and a standard acceptor (N-methyl pyrrolidinone). While, as expected, the electron withdrawing character of fluorine enhanced the hydrogen bond donating ability (also termed hydrogen bond acidity), the intramolecular hydrogen bonding to the fluorine atom actually leads to a reduced hydrogen bond acidity.

### 4.3 C–F⋯C═O and related interactions

The occurrence of orthogonal C–F⋯C═O arrangements was discovered during seminal studies involving a fluorine scan of thrombin inhibitors. The subsequent crystallographic
database analysis pointed out the wider importance of this type of interaction, which was also identified for C–O(H) · · · C–O. The shorter the C–F · · · C–O contact, the more the C–F bond preferred to be positioned directly over the carbonyl carbon close to the carbonyl pseudotrigonal axis. Originally suggested to be a dipole interaction, other types such as n → π* and π–hole interactions have also been proposed, but will herein be referred to as a dipolar interaction. The C–F · · · C–O interaction is weakly stabilizing and amounts to ca. 1 kJ mol⁻¹. While C–H for C–F substitution in small bioactive molecules usually leads to affinity increases, it must be emphasized that other factors (for example, increase in lipophilicity) may also contribute as well.

To the best of our knowledge, a direct comparison of C–O(H) · · · C–O and C–F · · · C–O interactions is not available yet. However, examples of the latter contacts in protein X-ray structures bound to fluorinated sugar derivatives have been described. For example (Fig. 18), the crystal structure of the bacterial heptosyltransferase Waac in complex with a competitive inhibitor, ADP-2F-heptose, displays a clear C–F · · · C–O interaction involving the Gly263/Thr262 linkage (d = 2.95 Å, F · · · C–O 100.6°).

![Fig. 14](image1)
Sugar deoxyfluorination causing perturbation of glycan–lectin interactions: replacing a C–OH by a C–F bond allows incorporation of a new water molecule and formation of a new water-mediated hydrogen bond.

![Fig. 15](image2)
UDP-galactopyranose and its tetrafluorinated analogue in complex with UDP-galactopyranose mutase: replacing CHOH by CF₂ allows formation of new water-mediated hydrogen bonds.

![Fig. 16](image3)
Comparison of CHF and CF₂-motifs present in maltose fluorides (left) and (right) in complex with GlgE1 (PDB codes 4CN4 and 4U22).
An interesting case arises for CHOH to CF₂ replacement, which formally includes a C–H to C–F modification. This substitution was shown to allow for the establishment of an extra dipolar interaction. Fittingly, this feature has been depicted (Fig. 19) in the crystal structures of the mycobacterial galactose mutase UGM with Galp-UDP 4.10 and its tetrafluorinated derivative (4.11),89 which are very similarly positioned within the UGM binding site.89a The axial C–H group at the 2-position of galactose is replaced by a C–F bond, which is engaged in such an interaction with the carbonyl group of the FAD cofactor.

### 4.4 Polar hydrophobicity

The term ‘polar hydrophobicity’ was coined by DiMagno to capture the hydrophobic character of fluorine while possessing a large (C–F) dipole moment.57,101 In essence, while fluorine introduction can cause an increase in lipophilicity, it also allows for possible attractive dipole-mediated interactions, and the directional nature of the C–F dipole combined with the presence of multiple chiral centres make ‘polar hydrophobicity’ a useful concept. A compelling example is provided by the glycogen phosphorylase fluorinated inhibitors shown in Fig. 20.22

β-glucosyl fluoride 4.17 displayed a lower affinity, while the α-anomer 4.18 showed a higher affinity. Given that deoxyfluorination at the 2-position has little effect, similar low inhibition constants for 4.19 and 4.20 were expected. However, in each case, a significantly reduced value was found. Strikingly, the stereochemistry of the dideoxydifluorination proved to be important since the corresponding 1,2-dideoxy-1,2-difluromannoses 3.1 and 4.22 exhibited a much decreased inhibition potency (more than two orders of magnitude), and merely deoxygenation (as in 4.21) led to lower binding. Therefore, according to the ‘polar hydrophobicity’ concept, the increased lipophilicity of the dideoxy-difluorinated glucoses may indeed increase affinity, but only when the C–F dipoles are correctly oriented (as in 4.19 and 4.20).
5 Fluorinated sugar derivatives as probes

5.1 Introduction

In this section, the focus is set on the use of $^{18}$F and $^{19}$F isotopes as bioanalytical probes for the study of kinetic transport phenomena, lectin–carbohydrate interactions or for in vivo imaging of tumors and drug distribution to name a few examples. The analytical methods employed to detect fluorinated carbohydrates cover $^{19}$F-NMR, $^{19}$F magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and $^{18}$F positron emission tomography (PET). With 100% natural isotopic abundance, but low natural presence in biomolecules, its small size and large spectral range of ca. 200 ppm, $^{19}$F is an attractive probe for studying metabolism and transport of biomolecules, including carbohydrates, by NMR spectroscopy. $^{102}$ The fluorine atom has been used to substitute hydroxyl groups, protons or aldehydes giving rise to a C–F functional group that lies between the C–H and the C–OH in size, is chemically inert, retains the electronegativity of a hydroxyl group but loses its hydrogen donor capacity. This hydroxylic/flourine exchange allows an evaluation of the contribution of individual hydrogen bonds in carbohydrate–protein binding events.$^{21–25,102}$

5.2 Membrane transport studies

Due to its excellent spectral range and the absence of background peaks in biological matrices, high resolution $^{19}$F-NMR is an ideal technique for studying kinetics and mechanism of carbohydrate metabolism and sugar–protein binding in vitro. This is the case for the glucose (Glu1) transporter mediated transport of $\beta$-glucose over the erythrocyte membrane, which has been studied by $^{19}$F-NMR and $^{19}$F-2D-EXSY-NMR by various groups over the years as a model for the development of glucose analogues for imaging and tumor targeting applications.$^{104–106}$ Both techniques take advantage of the shift to higher frequencies of fluorine resonances when moving from an extracellular to an intracellular environment.$^{103}$ Where signal overlap between intra- and extracellular signals hinders peak integration, $^{19}$F-2D-EXSY-NMR can provide the required resolving power, with the intensity of cross peaks directly proportional to the flux.$^{103,105}$

Initial investigations with 2- and 3-deoxy-3-fluoro-$\beta$-glucose (2.1, 5.1, Fig. 21) showed uptake rates similar to glucose and a generally enhanced transport of the $\alpha$-anomer,$^{105}$ while the transport of the 4- and 6-deoxyfluoro analogues (5.2, 5.3) was only half of that of glucose.$^{104}$ In contrast, higher fluorinated compounds like the hexafluoroglucose racemic analogue 5.4 were shuttled over the erythrocyte membrane with an order of magnitude higher transport efficiency compared to glucose.$^{34,101}$

However, a later synthesized trifluorodervative of glucose 5.2 showed an overall decrease efflux efficiency to about 70% compared to 5.1, used as a reference.$^{103}$

Fluorinated fructose derivatives have been studied in GLUT2/GLUT5 mediated membrane transport, which is of relevance in breast cancer cell lines (2.1 is not transported by GLUT3). Clear dose-dependent inhibition of [14C]-$\alpha$-fructose and -glucose by 6-deoxy-6-$\alpha$-fluorofructose 5.5 (Fig. 22) was shown, with very low $K_i$ values, indicating 5.5 binds to both transporters.$^{106}$ Furthermore, by using [14C]-5.5, it could be demonstrated that actual transport into the cells is occurring. Similar results were found for the 3-deoxy-3-fluorodervative 5.6 and for the fluorinated 2,5-anhydrmannitol derivative 5.7.$^{107}$

5.3 Lectin–carbohydrate interactions

$^{19}$F-NMR spectroscopy has been extensively used for studying lectin–sugar interactions$^{19–21}$ either employing $^{19}$F as a mere analytical probe or as an obvious method of choice for the analysis of fluoroglycomimetics designed for an enhanced interaction with the target protein. Allman et al. claimed that until 2009 most fluorinated carbohydrates showed lower affinity to lectins than their natural ligands.$^{87b}$ However, they completed the synthesis of a series of fluorinated sialylactosamine analogues and found similar or slightly higher binding affinity to the receptor TgMIC1 of the pathogen Toxoplasma gondii.$^{87b}$ The structural analysis of interaction of the fluorinated derivatives 5.9 and 4.9 (Fig. 23) with the TgMIC1 receptor showed a F-mediated hydrogen bond (see Section 4.2) that was also corroborated by $^{19}$F NMR. In fact, a shift to lower field of the $^{19}$F resonance was observed upon binding. Since monovalent carbohydrate–protein interactions usually do not lead to a measurable change in chemical shifts or line widths, the observed shift for fluorinated sialyl-lactosamine analogue 4.9 is remarkable.$^{87b}$

Diercks et al. have developed an innovative $^{19}$F-STD NMR technique with greatly improved sensitivity for detecting binding events over the conventional $^{19}$F-NMR methods based changes of chemical shift.$^{108}$ The interaction between Con A and 2-deoxy-2-fluoro-$\alpha$-glucose 2.1 was used as model to develop a $^{19}$F-STD NMR
methodology that avoids the quantification problems that existed with standard NMR methods\textsuperscript{19} and with previous versions of the \textsuperscript{19}F-STD NMR experiment,\textsuperscript{106} due to the requirement of $J$-coupling between the STD accepting hydrogen and the fluorine nucleus.

Alternatively, a $T_2$-relaxation \textsuperscript{19}F-NMR-based method\textsuperscript{109} has been used to evaluate the interaction features and molecular insights of a small library of monofluorinated monosaccharides towards DC-SIGN, a calcium-dependent C-type lectin of biomedical interest, involved in viral infections. The method allowed the robust screening of a library of compounds, also permitted obtaining key information on the specific sugar–protein interactions, including the sugar hydroxyls involved in the coordination to the calcium atom. Moreover, a new binding mode of DC-SIGN to mannose through hydroxyl groups OH2 and OH3 was detected, along with the nonambiguous demonstration of the direct interaction of the lectin with Gal moieties, with implications for recognition of larger oligosaccharides, such as the histo blood type antigens.\textsuperscript{109}

Also in the C-type lectin field, \textsuperscript{19}F $R_2$-filtered NMR experiments have been employed within a fragment-based drug discovery program to determine novel Langerin ligands.\textsuperscript{110} In particular, the ManNAc analogue \textsuperscript{5.10} (Fig. 24) was used as spy molecule in competitive binding assays versus a library of 2-deoxy-2-carboxamido-$\alpha$-mannoside analogs \textsuperscript{5.11}, in which different molecular fragments were placed instead of the CF$_3$ moiety. The analogues were initially chosen by virtual screening and the best hits were subsequently synthetized and submitted to the \textsuperscript{19}F $R_2$-filtered NMR assay, which afforded the corresponding $K_I$ values in a fairly straightforward manner. Small, negatively charged substituents were found to substantially increase the affinities towards Langerin.\textsuperscript{110}

\textsuperscript{19}F-NMR experiments have also been used to analyse the recognition of fluorinated mannose-containing oligosaccharides by cyanovirin-N, a mannose binding anti-HIV lectin.

In particular, the Man(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)Man and Man(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)Man(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)Man oligosaccharides showing a 2-deoxy-2-fluoro-$\alpha$-$\alpha$-mannopyranosyl unit at the non-reducing end (\textsuperscript{5.12} and \textsuperscript{5.13}, respectively, Fig. 25) were employed to evaluate the binding mode and to determine the kinetic and thermodynamic parameters of the interaction, which were further assessed through $^1$H-$^1$N HSQC-based chemical shift perturbation analysis and ITC measurements. 2D \textsuperscript{19}F-$^1$H exchange experiments also allowed detecting an additional binding mode for the trisaccharide, which could not be detected using regular $^1$H-based NMR experiments.\textsuperscript{111}

\subsection{5.4 $^{18}$F labeled sugars for PET imaging}

\subsubsection{5.4.1 Introduction to PET}

PET imaging has important applications in cancer diagnostics, neuroimaging, cardiology, biodistribution studies and for establishing pharmacokinetics of novel compounds during drug development.\textsuperscript{112} 2-Deoxy-2-fluoro-$\alpha$-$\alpha$-glucose \textsuperscript{2.1} (Fig. 26) is the most common PET tracer in clinical use for neuroimaging and tumor diagnostics, taking advantage of the high glucose metabolism in both brain and cancer cells. It is broadly used for the staging of tumors, localization of metastasis, and as a monitoring method for treatment and the identification of reoccurring tumors after treatment.\textsuperscript{112} In cardiology, \textsuperscript{2.1} is helpful for the stratification of patients that would benefit from a bypass surgery.

The wide availability of \textsuperscript{2.1} has prompted its use for the synthesis of related compounds, as ($^{18}$F) labeled lactose,\textsuperscript{113} UDP($^{18}$F)-2-deoxy-2-fluoro-$\alpha$-$\alpha$-glucose\textsuperscript{114} or tags to facilitate tracking of other biomolecules via PET.\textsuperscript{115,116} Wuest et al. has employed maleimidehexyloxime \textsuperscript{5.7} as a reagent for tagging peptides and proteins after reaction with \textsuperscript{2.1}. As model,
annexin 5, presenting a single cysteine, was labeled with 5.14 in 43–58% decay-corrected yield and its biodistribution and kinetics studied in a small animal xenograft tumour model. In a similar fashion, Boutureira et al. tagged proteins presenting accessible cysteine residues with \((^{18}F)\text{-}2\text{-deoxy-2-fluoro-}\nu\text{-thioglycose} \) 5.15 via disulfide or thioether linkages, while Maschner et al. reported a slightly different approach for labeling two short peptides employing the \([^{18}F]\text{-}2\text{-FGD-azide} \) 5.16.\(^{118}\) Uptake and activity of the novel probe 5.17 and other derivatives was demonstrated in vitro on neurotensin receptor (NT-receptor) expressing cells and by autoradiography of rat brain slices, which showed an accumulation of the \((^{18}F)\) labeled probe in NT-receptor rich areas. Biodistribution studies in a nude xenograft mouse model with 5.17 showed rapid blood clearance, specific uptake by the kidneys with low uptake by other organs, showing sufficient signal to noise ratios for PET-scanning shortly after injection. Biodistribution of the glycosylated RGD peptide \((^{18}F)\text{-}2\text{-deoxy-2-fluoro-}\nu\text{-glucose-RGD} \) 5.18 showed a similar blood clearance but 3 times higher uptake by liver and kidney compared to the galactose analogue. With a good tumor/blood ratio of 2.4 and excellent metabolic stability 5.18 seems as a promising tool for future \(\alpha\beta\) integrin imaging by PET.

Essentially the same approach for the labeling of proteins with \(2\text{-deoxy-2-(^{18}F)fluoro-}\nu\text{-glucose} \) via a triazole linkage was reported by Boutureira et al.\(^{119}\) Coupling of \([^{18}F]2\text{-FDG azide} \) 5.16 was achieved via copper catalyzed cycloaddition to the alkyne function of recombinant model protein with the non-canonical amino acid propargylglycin selectively incorporated via expanded genetic code methodology.\(^{120}\)

\(2\text{-deoxy-}^{(^{18}F)\text{-fluoro mannose} \) 5.19 (Fig. 27) displays similar uptake profile as glucose and like 2-deoxy-2-fluoro-\(\nu\text{-glucose} \) it is not further metabolized after phosphorylation by hexokinase-1.\(^{121}\) Furumoto et al. synthesized 5.19,\(^{122}\) which showed a rapid cell uptake comparable to that of 2-deoxy-2-fluoro-\(\nu\text{-glucose} \), with formation of a compound likely to be 2-deoxy-2-fluoro-\(\nu\text{-mannose-6-phosphate} \). A biodistribution study in a tumor mouse model showed high uptake in tumor cells, relatively high uptake in brain and lower uptake in other tissues, which might provide an advantage for 2-deoxy-2-fluoro-\(\nu\text{-mannose} \) for imaging of brain tumours.\(^{122}\)

\(6\text{-Deoxy-}^{(^{18}F)\text{-fluorogalactose} \) 2.6 (Fig. 28) has been synthesized as a PET tracer to study galactose metabolism in vivo,\(^{123}\) being incorporated 6 times faster into glycoconjugates than galactose itself.\(^{124,125}\) In contrast, 2-deoxy galactose is, in fact, a inhibitor of N-glycan synthesis with a similar potency as tunicamycin.\(^{124}\) However, it is taken up by the liver at a very high rate where it is phosphorylated and further converted to UDP-2-deoxy-2-(^{18}F)fluoro-\(\nu\text{-galactose} \) 5.20, making it a viable tracer to study galactose metabolism.\(^{126}\)

Based on the attractiveness of trehalose analogues as probes for bacterial infection imaging,\(^{127}\) Swarts demonstrated the selective uptake of 2-deoxy-2-(^{18}F)fluorotrehalose 5.21 (Fig. 29) in Mycobacterium smegmatis, a non-pathogenic model organism for M. tuberculosis.\(^{112d}\)

The importance of sialic acid as a terminal recognition element on larger oligosaccharides and the overexpression of sialylated structures on tumor cells have prompted interest in developing PET tracers to study sialic acid metabolism (Fig. 30). \(N\text{-Acetyl-3-(^{18}F)fluorosialic acid} \) 5.22 showed insufficient uptake to be of any use as PET tracer, probably due to the lack of membrane based sialic acid transporters and charge repulsion between the negatively charged membrane and the sialic acid derivatives.\(^{128,129}\)
The peracetylated 2-deoxy-azido mannosamine 5.23 is a convenient sialic acid precursor widely employed for in vivo imaging of sialic acid integration into cell surface proteins by metabolic glycoengineering. Hartlieb et al. have developed synthetic routes towards non-radioactive 3-deoxy-fluoro-N-acetyl mannosamine 5.24, the precursor for CMP-7-deoxy-fluoro sialic acid 5.25. The synthesis of a 5-deoxy (18F)-fluoro ribose ((18F)FDR) 5.28 has also been achieved (Fig. 31) from S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) 5.26. Subsequent hydrolysis of the nucleoside by a nucleosidase from Trypanosoma vivax (TvNH) produces the free radiolabeled (18F)-fluoro ribose 5.28 with decay correction in approximately 3 h. A biodistribution study has established (18F)FDR 5.27 as a suitable probe for PET imaging.

5.5 19F MRI
Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a radiation-free medical imaging modality that generates three-dimensional body images with anatomical detail for the detection of disease and monitoring treatment outcomes and excellent contrast for soft tissues. The relatively low sensitivity of MRI can be enhanced by the use of contrast agents. Fluorinated compounds as contrast agents, however, has a 1000-fold lower sensitivity than PET and requires millimolar concentrations of fluorinated reporter molecules. Polysaturated sugars have been far less explored as contrast agents. Sufficient contrast can be obtained by employing labeled polysaccharides or functionalized nanoparticles as reporter molecules or also employing high concentrations of mono-fluorinated small molecules. Polymeric carbohydrates show suitable properties as scaffolds for the development of MRI contrast agents. Krawczyk et al. labeled with fluroine a series of natural polysaccharides and evaluated their properties as MRI contrast agents in cell and in vivo. Another strategy to increase fluorine density is the presentation of multiple fluorinated ligands on the surface of nanoparticles. Finally, 3-FDG has been used for in vivo brain imaging. Although 3-FDG is phosphorylated by hexokinase 300 times slower than its isomer 2-FDG, it was found to be a better substrate for the aldose reductase than D-glucose itself. Consequently, it may be employed as functional probe for the non-invasive study of glucose metabolism via the aldose reductase sorbitol (ARS) pathway.

6 Applications
Section 5 has focused on the use of the fluorine-containing compounds as probes to monitor molecular interactions. We herein focus on their applications to monitor, modulate, and interfere with biological activities.

6.1 Fluorine-containing sugars as inhibitors and chemical probes
6.1.1 Fluorosugars as in cellulo glycosyltransferase inhibitors. Glycosyltransferases (GT) catalyze the assembly of oligosaccharides and the glycosylation of aglycone acceptor substrates, such as lipids or proteins. They usually use a nucleotide-sugar as an activated sugar donor substrate. Due to their biological relevance, their inhibition has been the topic of intense research. Fluorinated analogues, (Fig. 32) including 6.1, have played a major role in this field because they provide molecular tools to allow the analysis of the interactions between the activated donor and the enzyme. Fluorinated phosphonate of general structure 6.2 and 6.3 have been also developed either as non-hydrolyzable analogues of glycosyl-1-phosphates or as transition state analogues of GTs or, more generally, enzymes processing carbohydrates phosphorylated at the anomeric position. Nucleotide-sugars...
fluorinated at the 3-, 4-, 5- or 6-position of the sugars have also been synthesized as enzymatic probes, and have been successfully applied as chain terminator agents of polysaccharide biosynthesis.

In molecules 6.1, the electron-withdrawing character of the fluorine atom is believed to destabilize the transition state of the glycosylation reaction, which likely displays a substantial cationic character. Nucleotide-sugars 6.1 are thus donor substrates that are too slow for the enzymatic reaction to be observed, but that maintain the usually high affinity (low μM $K_m$) of the natural substrates. Thanks to this stability, XRD 3D-structures of GTs could be obtained in complex with nucleotide-fluorosugars 6.1, thus revealing the intimate contacts between the donor substrate and the enzyme.

However, the very interesting inhibitory properties of molecules 6.1 could not be translated to in vivo applications or even in cell-based bioassays due to their inability to cross cell membranes. Such a limitation has recently been overcome thanks to a prodrug approach: indeed, it was shown that some precursors of 6.1 can be transported across the cell membrane. Once in the cytoplasm, they are enzymatically transformed into the fluorinated sugar-nucleotide, thus generating a GT inhibitor in situ. For instance, peracetylated 3-fluoro-sialic acid 6.4 crosses the membrane of mammalian cells and is transformed into nucleotide-sugar 6.5 after intracellular enzymatic ester hydrolyses and CMP-transfer (Fig. 33), thus shutting down sialylation in cellulo. The same team demonstrated later on that the administration of 6.4 in mice dramatically decreased sialylated glycans in cells of a large set of tissues and resulted in deleterious effects on liver and kidney functions. The group of Adema then studied the effect of prodrug 6.4 on cancer cell lines, in vitro and in vivo, and showed reduced tumor growth and metastasis. A second generation of fluorinated pro-inhibitors 6.6 were then synthesized with a carbamate at C-5. The SialT inhibitory activities of the latter molecules 6.6 were found to be prolonged and enhanced thanks to a more efficient intracytoplasmic transformation into their nucleotide sugar active form. Interestingly, bacterial SialT can also be inhibited using the same prodrug approach, but this time the deprotected fluorinated pro-inhibitor 2.4 has to be used instead of 6.4. In pathogenic non-typeable Haemophilus influenzae, molecule 2.4 is taken up by the ATP-independent periplasmic transporter system. Its intracellular transformation into 6.5 triggers an inhibition of sialic acid incorporation into the bacterial lipo-oligosaccharide (LOS) resulting in enhanced serum-mediated killing.

Given the biological relevance of fucosyltransferases (FucTs), the in cellulo generation of 2-deoxy-2-fluoro-fucose-GDP has been thoroughly studied by the same prodrug approach. The fluoro-fucoside 6.8 is an orally active inhibitor of protein and cellular fucosylation that was shown to inhibit several biological functions in transgenic sickle mice.
Importantly, it was shown that the accumulation nucleotide-sugar into the cells not only inhibit FucTs, but also shut down the biosynthesis of GDP-fucose, the natural FucT donor.\(^\text{148}\)

A recent application of this fucosylation blockade has focused on the generation of non-fucosylated recombinant antibodies in CHO cells.\(^\text{155}\) Peracetylated fucostatin can be taken up in mammalian cells and enzymatically hydrolyzed to generate fucostatin 2.3\(^\text{156}\) (Fig. 34A) a 6,6,6-trifluorinated analogue of \(\alpha\)-fucose. The latter is then transformed into GDP-fucostatin which blocks protein fucosylation by inhibiting the GDP-fucose de novo biosynthesis. Indeed, a cocrystral structure proved that GDP-fucostatin binds an allosteric site of GDP-mannose 4,6-dehydratase. This result can find important applications in the generation of non-fucosylated therapeutic antibodies. The latter have been shown to display an improved antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity, thus resulting in a better in vivo efficacy, which is a key parameter for instance in oncology clinical trials. The same strategy was later on exploited for the production of low-fucosylated monoclonal antibodies from murine hybridoma cells.\(^\text{157}\) In the galactose series, mono and difluorinated galactosides of general structure 6.10 (Fig. 34B) were shown to inhibit UDP-galactose biosynthesis in epithelial cells and fibroblasts, resulting in a metabolically induced galactosemic phenotype.\(^\text{158}\)

Very recently, a monofluorinated analogue of 2,4-diacetamido-2,4,6-trideoxygalactose, which is exclusively found in bacteria, has been shown to dramatically reduce Helicobacter pylori's ability to synthesize glycoproteins and to diminish growth, motility, and biofilm formation.\(^\text{159}\) The proposed mode of action was here again the in situ generation of a glycosyltransferase inhibitor.

6.1.3 Polyfluorinated sugars in chemical biology: cell uptake and enzyme binding. Synthetic methodologies have also been developed for the introduction of several fluorine atoms onto a carbohydrate scaffolds (Fig. 36) with emphasis in chemical biology.\(^\text{160}\) A key question has been addressed\(^\text{161}\) by Linclau, Turner, and Flitsch: can these heavily fluorinated molecules be substrate of a biologically relevant enzyme? Indeed, the tetrafluorinated galactomimetic 6.15 was a substrate of galactose

![Fig. 34](image_url) **Fig. 34** In cellulo generation of (A) fucosylation inhibitors 6.9 and GDP-fucostatin (B) galactose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase inhibitors.
oxidase, with a loss of affinity compared to D-galactose.\textsuperscript{168} Tetrafluorination of sugars has also been explored as a way to generate competitive enzyme inhibitors. As leading examples, glucose analogues \textsuperscript{6.16} and \textsuperscript{6.17} have been investigated as $\beta$-glucosidase inactivators,\textsuperscript{168} while the nucleotide-sugar analogue \textsuperscript{6.18} strongly inhibited UDP-galactopyranose mutase (UGM) from \textit{Mycobacterium tuberculosis}.\textsuperscript{89} Interestingly, as already mentioned in Section 4.3, molecule \textsuperscript{6.18} displayed a better affinity for UGM than the two monofluorinated analogues at C-2 and C-3. NMR and X-ray diffraction data revealed the conformational features behind this enhanced affinity along with the key polar and non polar interactions that take place between \textsuperscript{6.18} and the FAD cofactor of UGM.\textsuperscript{89} The tetrafluorinated glucose derivative \textsuperscript{3.31} was shown to be an inhibitor for the mutarotase enzyme.\textsuperscript{58}

6.2 Synthetic vaccines and therapeutic antibodies
A particularly exciting application of fluorinated carbohydrates is their incorporation in synthetic antitumour vaccine and therapeutic antibodies.\textsuperscript{169} Synthetic vaccines with natural sugar antigens tend to be sensitive to enzymatic degradation in vivo, which can be overcome with fluorination (see Section 3.3). Maintaining the immunological efficiency requires that the fluorination does not negatively impact on the generation of
the required type of antibodies, and that the thus generated antibodies bind with the tumour cells.

The groups of Hoffmann-Röder and Kunz reported that fluorinated Thomsen–Friedenreich (TF) conjugates such as 6.19 and 6.20 (Fig. 37) generated a very strong immune response in mice, and their antibodies were shown to strongly bind to the epithelial tumour MCF-7 cells.170 Later on, Hoffmann-Röder showed that the antisera derived from the fluorinated antigens.170 These findings support the concept that the differences in the binding to the fluorinated and non-fluorinated at the 6 and the 2-positions showed very little immunizations against a broader range of TF-MUC analogues positive tumor cells.171

and that the resulting antisera strongly reacted with STn 6.21 of using fluorinated analogues of tumour-associated carbohydrate antigen (TACA) for the design of synthetic vaccines. In addition, Yang et al. showed that fluorinated STn (another important TACA) antigens such as 6.22 and 6.23 significantly improved antigenicity compared to the nonfluorinated 6.21, and that the resulting antisera strongly reacted with STn positive tumor cells.171

Interestingly, when 3-fluorinated sialic acids were incorporated in the monoclonal antibody rituximab (6.24), this glycoform showed similar binding and avidity to FcγRIIIa as the nonfluorinated rituximab, further showing the potential of fluorinated carbohydrates in the field of therapeutic antibodies.68b

7 Conclusions and perspectives

Fluorinated carbohydrate derivatives have been used in glycosciences, from glycochemistry to glycobiology, for over 30 years.28 The significant synthetic advances made in their synthesis, and in their derivatization (including glycosylation) have cemented their importance in glycobiology. This review provides an extensive summary of the effects of carbohydrate fluorination both with regard to changes in physical, chemical and biological properties.

The influence of fluorination on carbohydrate conformation, with a focus on ring conformation, glycosidic bond and exocyclic methylene group conformation is described.31 This includes a comparison with C-glycosides and carbasugars.

The influence of fluorination on glycosidic bond stability and how this has been exploited is described.60 Finally, the novel direction of investigating carbohydrate lipophilicity is included.71

The influence of fluorination on intermolecular interactions is described in detail, both involving the C–F bond itself, as well as involving adjacent functional groups and C–H bonds.76 The recent advances in fluoro-containing glycomimetics synthesis,29 especially in terms of regio and stereo selective fluorine substitution, together with the development of novel strategies for biophysical characterization addressed the influence of fluorine in sugar/protein CH–π interactions and offer opportunities to exploit sugar fluorination to modulate inter-molecular interactions.78 The already widespread use of fluorine in pharmaceuticals combined with strategic site-specific fluorine substitution may further boost for a rational drug design that combine the intrinsic advantages of drug fluorination with enhanced binding affinity to the target protein receptors.

With the exception of 2-FDG the development of fluorinated carbohydrate molecular imaging probes of PET112 and MRI137 has been less developed. Further investigation of other fluorinated monosaccharide isomers is likely to provide PET probes with higher organ selectivity. On the other hand the development of carbohydrate contrast agents for F-MRI has been hampered by the difficulty of introducing a sufficient number of chemically identical fluorine atoms in the probes and the associated problems in solubility. Recent efforts employing polysaccharide and oligosaccharide scaffolds might overcome the current technical difficulties and provide carbohydrate based contrast agents with additional targeting function.

From the enzymology viewpoint, fluorinated carbohydrates have long been recognized as valuable tools for probing enzymatic mechanisms at the molecular level, for a wide range of glycosyl-processing biocatalysts.141 During the last decade novel strategies have emerged to generate in cellulo potent fluorinated mechanism-based inhibitors, not only on whole cell assays, but also in vivo. The latter developments open new horizons in the development of drugs, for instance in the field of infectious diseases.
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