A general relativistic signature in the galaxy bispectrum: the local effects of observing on the lightcone
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Abstract. Next-generation galaxy surveys will increasingly rely on the galaxy bispectrum to improve cosmological constraints, especially on primordial non-Gaussianity. A key theoretical requirement that remains to be developed is the analysis of general relativistic effects on the bispectrum, which arise from observing galaxies on the past lightcone, as well as from relativistic corrections to the dynamics. As an initial step towards a fully relativistic analysis of the galaxy bispectrum, we compute for the first time the local relativistic lightcone effects on the bispectrum, which come from Doppler and gravitational potential contributions. For the galaxy bispectrum, the problem is much more complex than for the power spectrum, since we need the lightcone corrections at second order. Mode-coupling contributions at second order mean that relativistic corrections can be non-negligible at smaller scales than in the case of the power spectrum. In a primordial Gaussian universe, we show that the local lightcone projection effects for squeezed shapes at $z \sim 1$ mean that the bispectrum can differ from the Newtonian prediction by $\gtrsim 10\%$ when the short modes are $k \lesssim (50 \text{ Mpc})^{-1}$. These relativistic projection effects, if ignored in the analysis of observations, could be mistaken for primordial non-Gaussianity. For upcoming surveys which probe equality scales and beyond, all relativistic lightcone effects and relativistic dynamical corrections should be included for an accurate measurement of primordial non-Gaussianity.
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1 Introduction

With the coming generation of large-scale surveys, the galaxy bispectrum will play an increasingly important role, supplementing the power spectrum. In particular, the bispectrum will be crucial in discriminating inflationary models via constraints on primordial non-Gaussianity [1].

It is well known that the primordial signal is contaminated by nonlinearity in the matter overdensity and in the galaxy bias, which requires accurate modelling for cosmological constraints and forecasts. Here we focus on a lesser known source of non-Gaussianity, i.e. the ‘projection’ effects that arise from observing on the past lightcone. These general relativistic effects are inescapably present in the measurements and lead to a contamination of the primordial signal. The standard Newtonian analysis of the bispectrum incorporates the projection effect due to redshift-space distortions, but omits all other projection effects, as well as the relativistic corrections to nonlinear dynamics. For next-generation surveys, the Newtonian approximation cannot be expected to deliver the necessary theoretical accuracy.

Recently, [2] computed the effects of lensing on the angular bispectrum, showing that this relativistic correction to the Newtonian analysis is relevant at higher redshifts and on intermediate scales. They neglected all other relativistic effects: local projection effects, further integrated projection effects (from integrated Sachs-Wolfe and time delay effects and their couplings), and relativistic dynamical corrections. Our work is complementary to theirs, in the sense that we include all local relativistic projection effects, while neglecting the remaining effects. This allows us to use the standard Fourier space approach to the bispectrum (see e.g. [1, 3–5]). A consequence of the standard Fourier space analysis is that the plane-parallel approximation is adopted, which means that the contribution of wide-angle correlations is missed. Some of our results on ultra-large scales will be affected by this.

Even without nonlocal projection effects and dynamical corrections, the computation is complicated, and the details of the derivation are given in an accompanying paper [6]. We use the expression up to second order for relativistic effects on the observed galaxy number counts, given in [7] (see also [8–11]). Our results hold for arbitrary triangle shapes in Fourier space, and generalize the partial result of [12], which applied a separate universe analysis to compute the relativistic effects in the special case of a squeezed bispectrum.
2 Galaxy bispectrum in general relativity

The observed galaxy number density contrast at redshift $z$ and in direction $\mathbf{n}$ is denoted by $\Delta_g(z, \mathbf{n})$. Since it is observable, $\Delta_g$ is a gauge-independent quantity, and any gauge can be used to compute it. We only consider correlations at the same redshift. At fixed redshift $z$, the galaxy 3-point correlation function depends on $\mathbf{n}_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and can be computed as the bispectrum in Fourier space at fixed conformal time $\eta(z)$, with $\mathbf{x}_i = (\eta_0 - \eta(z)) \mathbf{n}_i$. The galaxy bispectrum $B_g$ is defined by (suppressing the redshift dependence)

$$\langle \Delta_g(k_1) \Delta_g(k_2) \Delta_g(k_3) \rangle = (2\pi)^3 B_g(k_1, k_2, k_3) \delta^D(k_1 + k_2 + k_3).$$

(2.1)

We assume Gaussian initial conditions and use a local univariate model of galaxy bias [4]:

$$\delta_g(x) = b_1 \delta_m(x) + \frac{1}{2} b_2 [\delta_m(x)^2 - \langle \delta_m(x)^2 \rangle].$$

(2.2)

We use Poisson gauge, but $\delta_g, \delta_m$ are the number and density contrasts in total matter gauge, in order to define bias correctly [6, 13]. Here we assume scale-independent bias, but we allow for redshift dependence. We require $\Delta_g$ in order to define bias correctly [6, 13]. Here we assume scale-independent bias, but we allow for redshift dependence. We require $\Delta_g = \Delta^{(1)}_g + \Delta^{(2)}_g/2$ at second order if we want to compute $B_g$ to leading order:

$$2 \langle \Delta_g(k_1) \Delta_g(k_2) \Delta_g(k_3) \rangle = \langle \Delta^{(1)}_g(k_1) \Delta^{(1)}_g(k_2) \Delta^{(2)}_g(k_3) \rangle + 2 \text{ cyclic permutations}. \quad \quad (2.3)$$

The expression that we use for $\Delta_g(z, \mathbf{n})$, including all general relativistic (GR) effects up to second order, is given in [7]. We neglect the integrated terms, and also the effects on $\Delta_g$ of source evolution bias and magnification bias.

At first order

$$\Delta^{(1)}_g(k) = K^{(1)}(k, \mu) \delta^{(1)}_m(k), \quad \quad (2.4)$$

where the observed direction $\mathbf{n}$ appears through $\mu = \hat{k} \cdot \mathbf{n}$. The kernel $K^{(1)}(k, \mu)$ maps the dark matter density contrast to the observed galaxy number density contrast. We split it into a Newtonian part $K^{(1)}_N$ and a GR correction $K^{(1)}_{GR}$. In the Newtonian part we include the redshift-space distortions:

$$K^{(1)}_N(k, \mu) = b_1 + f \mu^2, \quad \quad (2.5)$$

where $f = -d \ln D / d \ln(1 + z)$ is the linear growth rate. The correction from GR local lightcone effects is [13, 14]

$$K^{(1)}_{GR}(k, \mu) = -i f \left[ \frac{2}{\chi \mathcal{H}} + \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} \right] \frac{\mathcal{H}}{k} + \left[ 3 f + \frac{3}{2} \Omega_m \left( 2 - f - \frac{2}{\chi \mathcal{H}} - \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} \right) \right] \frac{\mathcal{H}^2}{k^2} \equiv i \gamma_1 \frac{\mu}{k} + \frac{\gamma_2}{k^2}, \quad \quad (2.6)$$

where $\chi = \eta_0 - \eta$. The $\mathcal{H}/k$ term arises from Doppler effects, and the $(\mathcal{H}/k)^2$ term is due to gravitational potentials (we have used the GR Poisson and Euler equations).

At second order

$$\Delta^{(2)}_g(k) = \int \frac{d^3k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3k_2 K^{(2)}(k_1, k_2, k) \delta^{(1)}_m(k_1) \delta^{(1)}_m(k_2) \delta^D(k_1 + k_2 - k). \quad \quad (2.7)$$

We again split the kernel into Newtonian and GR correction terms, each of which consists of evolution terms and projection effects. We neglect the GR corrections to the evolution of the
Figure 1. **Left:** monopole of the bispectrum including all GR local lightcone corrections (GR, solid) compared to its Newtonian approximation (N, dashed), for equilateral (eq., red) and squeezed (sq., blue) triangles. **Right:** fractional difference due to GR corrections. (Note: the Hubble constant is fixed at $h = 0.678$.)

density contrast since our focus is on projection effects (see [15–17] for the GR dynamical corrections). The Newtonian part is

$$K_N^{(2)}(k_1, k_2, k_3) = b_1 F_2(k_1, k_2) + b_2 + f G_2(k_1, k_2) \mu^2 + Z_2(k_1, k_2), \quad (2.8)$$

where $\mu_i = \hat{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{n}$. The first 3 terms on the right are dynamical and the last term is projection. The kernels in (2.8) are given by [3, 18]

$$F_2(k_1, k_2) = \frac{10}{7} + \frac{k_1 \cdot k_2}{k_1 k_2} \left( \frac{k_1}{k_2} + \frac{k_2}{k_1} \right) + \frac{4}{7} \left( \frac{k_1 \cdot k_2}{k_1 k_2} \right)^2, \quad (2.9)$$

$$G_2(k_1, k_2) = \frac{6}{7} + \frac{k_1 \cdot k_2}{k_1 k_2} \left( \frac{k_1}{k_2} + \frac{k_2}{k_1} \right) + \frac{8}{7} \left( \frac{k_1 \cdot k_2}{k_1 k_2} \right)^2, \quad (2.10)$$

$$Z_2(k_1, k_2) = f^2 \frac{\mu_1 \mu_2}{k_1 k_2} (\mu_1 k_1 + \mu_2 k_2)^2 + b_1 \frac{f}{k_1 k_2} \left[ (\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2) k_1 k_2 + \mu_1 \mu_2 (k_1^2 + k_2^2) \right]. \quad (2.11)$$

These kernels describe respectively the nonlinear effects from the density contrast, peculiar velocities and redshift-space distortions. Equations (2.9) and (2.10) apply to a matter-dominated cosmology, but the corrections induced by a cosmological constant are small [19]. Note that the overall scaling with $k$ for $K_N^{(2)}$ is $O(k^0)$: only the angles between the observed direction $\mathbf{n}$ and $\mathbf{k}_i$ appear.

The computation of the kernel for GR local lightcone effects is a key result of this work (see [6] for further details). We start with $\Delta_g^{(2)}$, as given in eq. (19) of [7]. We neglect the integrated terms and set the source evolution bias $b_e$ to zero, and then transform this expression into Fourier space. We also transform $\delta_g^{(2)}$ from Poisson to total matter gauge, in order to apply the bias relation (2.2). Then we express each term as proportional to
leads to (see [20] for further details):

\[ \delta^{(1)}_m(k_1) \delta^{(1)}_m(k_2) \], using the Einstein and conservation equations. The result is:

\[
\mathcal{K}^{(2)}_{GR}(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{1}{k_1^2 k_2^2} \left\{ \Gamma_1 + i (\mu_1 k_1 + \mu_2 k_2) \Gamma_2 + \left( \frac{k_1 k_2}{k_3} \right)^2 \left[ F_2(k_1, k_2) \Gamma_3 + G_2(k_1, k_2) \Gamma_4 \right] \right. \\
+ \mu_1 \mu_2 k_1 k_2 \Gamma_5 + (k_1 \cdot k_2) \Gamma_6 + (k_1^2 + k_2^2) \Gamma_7 + (\mu_1^2 k_1^2 + \mu_2^2 k_2^2) \Gamma_8 \\
+ i \left[ (\mu_1 k_1^3 + \mu_2 k_2^3) \Gamma_9 + (\mu_1 k_1 + \mu_2 k_2) (k_1 \cdot k_2) \Gamma_{10} + k_1 k_2 (\mu_1 k_2 + \mu_2 k_1) \Gamma_{11} \\
+ (\mu_1^3 k_1^3 + \mu_2^3 k_2^3) \Gamma_{12} + \mu_1 \mu_2 k_1 k_2 (\mu_1 k_1 + \mu_2 k_2) \Gamma_{13} + \mu_3 \frac{k_1^2 k_2^2}{k_3} G_2(k_1, k_2) \Gamma_{14} \right\},
\]

where \( \Gamma_I(z) \) are given in the appendix. In contrast to the Newtonian kernel, the GR correction terms have scalings from \( \mathcal{O}(k^{-4}) \) to \( \mathcal{O}(k^{-1}) \), so that they are suppressed on small scales. The leading order GR local lightcone corrections (2.6) to the power spectrum are linear and are confined to ultra-large scales. By contrast, the leading order GR local lightcone corrections to the bispectrum are nonlinear — and mode coupling between linear GR terms and Newtonian terms means that the GR corrections in the bispectrum are present on smaller scales than in the power spectrum.

Using Wick’s theorem, the bispectrum is given in terms of the kernels by

\[
B_g(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \mathcal{K}^{(1)}(k_1) \mathcal{K}^{(1)}(k_2) \mathcal{K}^{(2)}(k_1, k_2, k_3) P(k_1) P(k_2) + 2 \text{ cyclic permutations},
\]

where \( P(k) \) is the linear matter power spectrum.

In the squeezed limit, we have

\[
k_1 \approx k_2 = k_S \gg k_L = k_3, \quad \mu_2 \approx -\mu_1, \quad \mu_3 \approx \sqrt{1 - \mu_2^2} \cos \phi,
\]

where \( \phi \) is the azimuthal angle. We average (2.13) over \( \phi \), to get \( \langle B^g_{sq} \rangle_\phi = (1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi B^g_{sq} \). Then we average \( \langle B^g_{sq} \rangle_\phi \) over \( \mu_1 \) to give the monopole, \( B^g_{sq0} = (1/2) \int_{-1}^1 d\mu_1 \langle B^g_{sq} \rangle_\phi \). This leads to (see [20] for further details):

\[
B^g_{sq0} = \left( \frac{B_2}{k_1^4} + \frac{B_4}{k_1^6} \right) P(k_S) P(k_L),
\]

\[
B_2 = \frac{2}{105} \left\{ \gamma_2 \left[ 80b_1 f + 21b_1 f^2 + 150b_1^2 f + 35b_1^2 f + 105b_1 b_2 + 35b_2 f + 18f^2 \right] \\
+ 7b_1 \left[ 5(3b_1 + f) \Gamma_7 + (5b_1 + 3f) \Gamma_8 \right] \\
+ \gamma_2 \left[ 35b_1^2 f + 21b_1 f^2 + 6f^2 \right] - \gamma_1 \left[ (35b_1 + 7f) \Gamma_{11} + (7b_1 + 3f) \Gamma_{13} \right] \\
+ f \left[ (35b_1 + 7f) \Gamma_7 + (7b_1 + 3f) \Gamma_8 \right] \\
- \gamma_1 \left[ (7b_1 + 3f) f \gamma_1 + 7(5b_1 + f) \Gamma_9 + 3(7b_1 + f) \Gamma_{12} \right] \right\},
\]

\[
B_4 = \frac{2}{15} \gamma^2_2 \left[ 5(3b_1 + f) \Gamma_7 + (5b_1 + 3f) \Gamma_8 - \gamma_1 (5 \Gamma_9 + 3 \Gamma_{12}) \right],
\]

where \( \gamma_2 \) is defined by (2.6).
In order to illustrate the nature and magnitude of the GR local lightcone corrections to the bispectrum, we choose an isosceles configuration, with \( k_1 = k_2 \equiv k \) and \( k_3 = k \sqrt{2(1 - \cos \theta_{12})} \). We consider the cases of equilateral (\( \theta_{12} = \pi/3 \)) and squeezed (\( \theta_{12} \ll 1 \)) triangles, and we set the redshift to \( z = 1 \), for which the comoving distance from the observer to the galaxies is \( \chi_g \approx 3.4 \text{ Gpc} \). For the galaxy bias, we follow [21] and take \( b_1 = \sqrt{1 + z} \) and \( b_2 = -0.3 \sqrt{1 + z} \). The cosmological parameters are those from Planck 2015 [22]. In figure 1, the monopole of the bispectrum with GR local lightcone corrections is compared to the Newtonian approximation. Note that the results on ultra-large transverse scales, \( k_\perp \lesssim \chi_g^{-1}/10 \sim (340 \text{ Mpc})^{-1} \), will be modified by wide-angle correlations, which have been neglected in the plane-parallel approximation.

**Squeezed shapes:** we choose \( \cos \theta_{12} = 0.998 \) so that \( k_3 \approx k/16 \). Even for this moderately squeezed case we see a significant departure from the Newtonian prediction when the short-wavelength sides \( k \) (long sides in Fourier space) approach the equality scale: the difference is \( \gtrsim 10\% \) for \( k \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \). Because of mode coupling, the GR correction reaches percent-level at surprisingly small scales, below the equality scale. If we take the current Hubble scale as an observable upper limit for the triangle sides, the long-wavelength side is a maximum when \( k_3 = H_0 \approx 2.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \), corresponding to \( k \approx 16 k_3 \approx (270 \text{ Mpc})^{-1} \). At this maximum, the GR bispectrum is \( \sim 3.4 \) times the Newtonian prediction.

**Equilateral shapes:** we see a similar behaviour, with a smaller difference of \( \sim 0.1\% \) at equality scales and \( \gtrsim 23\% \) when \( k = k_3 \lesssim 1 \text{ Gpc}^{-1} \). The GR correction reaches percent-level at \( k \sim (225 \text{ Mpc})^{-1} \). The equal wavelengths of the triangle are at the observable maximum when \( k_3 = H_0 \). At this maximum, the GR bispectrum is \( \sim 33 \) times the Newtonian prediction.

We define the reduced monopole of the bispectrum (including GR local lightcone corrections) as

\[
Q(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{B^0_g(k_1, k_2, k_3)}{\left[ P^0_g(k_1) P^0_g(k_2) + 2 \text{ cyclic permutations} \right]},
\]

where \( P^0_g \) is the monopole of the linear galaxy power spectrum including GR corrections at first order. In the Newtonian approximation, \( B^0_g \) in (2.18) is replaced by \( B^0_{g,N} \) and \( P^0_g \) is replaced by the monopole of the Newtonian galaxy power spectrum (including redshift-space distortions).

The dimensionless reduced monopole of the bispectrum, as a function of the angle \( \theta_{12} \), is shown in figure 2, for scales \( k \approx k_{eq} \) and \( k = 1 \text{ Gpc}^{-1} \). In the equality-scale case, the fractional GR correction is \( > 1\% \) for \( \theta_{12}/\pi \lesssim 0.1 \). For the gigaparsec scale, the fractional GR correction is \( \gtrsim 10\% \) for all \( \theta_{12} \), reaching a maximum of \( \sim 60\% \).

### 3 Misinterpreting the primordial universe

We see from figures 1 and 2 how GR local projection effects, in a Gaussian primordial universe, boost the large and ultra-large scale power in the bispectrum. This behaviour is qualitatively similar to the effect of primordial non-Gaussianity on the Newtonian bispectrum. In a Newtonian analysis, one needs to subtract off the nonlinear effects from evolution and from redshift-space distortions in order to isolate the primordial non-Gaussian signal. Our results show that GR local projection effects contribute a significant contamination — a
Newtonian analysis of the bispectrum, in the case where equality scales and larger are being probed, could be seriously misleading by ignoring these GR projection effects.

For a simple illustration, we consider the effect of local primordial non-Gaussianity on the Newtonian galaxy bispectrum, but we neglect the scale-dependence in the galaxy bias. The primordial gravitational potential is

$$\Phi_{nl}^G(x) = \varphi(x) + f_{NL}(\varphi(x)^2 - \langle \varphi(x)^2 \rangle),$$

(3.1)

where $\varphi$ is a first-order Gaussian potential. As a consequence, the matter density contrast receives a correction:

$$\delta_m^G(z,k) = \alpha(z,k) \left[ \varphi(k) + f_{NL}(\varphi(x)^2 - \langle \varphi(x)^2 \rangle) \right],$$

(3.2)

where $T$ is the transfer function ($T \rightarrow 1$ for $k \rightarrow 0$), and $g = (1+z)D$ is the growth suppression factor. Then a Newtonian analysis of the bispectrum, neglecting scale-dependence in the galaxy bias, leads to

$$B_{g,N}^G(k_1,k_2,k_3) = \mathcal{K}_N^{(1)}(k_1)\mathcal{K}_N^{(1)}(k_2) \left[ \mathcal{K}_N^{(2)}(k_1,k_2,k_3) + 2f_{NL}\mathcal{K}_N^{(1)}(k_3) \frac{\alpha(k_3)}{\alpha(k_1)\alpha(k_2)} \right] P(k_1)P(k_2)$$

$$+ 2 \text{ cyclic permutations}.$$  

(3.3)

Suppose that we interpret the observed galaxy bispectrum using the standard Newtonian analysis. In order to fit the observations we would match the theoretical bispectrum (3.3) to the observed bispectrum. However, the observed bispectrum necessarily includes the GR corrections from projection effects. Therefore, we would effectively be matching (3.3)
to (2.13). This would produce an effective $f_{NL}^{\text{eff}}$:

$$f_{NL}^{\text{eff}}(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \left\{ K_{k_1}^{(1)} K_{k_2}^{(2)}(k_1, k_2, k_3) + K_{k_1}^{(1)} K_{k_2}^{(2)}(k_1, k_2, k_3) + K_{k_1}^{(1)} K_{k_2}^{(2)}(k_1, k_2, k_3) P(k_1) P(k_2) + 2 \text{ cyclic permutations} \right\}^{-1} \times \left\{ 2 K_{k_1}^{(1)} K_{k_2}^{(2)}(k_1, k_2, k_3) \frac{\alpha(k_3)}{\alpha(k_1) \alpha(k_2)} P(k_1) P(k_2) + 2 \text{ cyclic permutations} \right\}^{-1}.$$

Figure 3 shows $f_{NL}^{\text{eff}}$. At equality scales, $f_{NL}^{\text{eff}} \sim 1.4$ for the equilateral and $\sim 9.0$ for the squeezed case. On the false basis of a Newtonian interpretation, we would conclude that the primordial universe was significantly non-Gaussian and that the non-Gaussianity was of nonlocal type. Of course, we have neglected the scale-dependent galaxy bias that is induced by local primordial non-Gaussianity, which will reduce $f_{NL}^{\text{eff}}$. This scale-dependent bias produces similar effects to the GR lightcone effects — which reinforces our conclusion that the GR lightcone effects need to be included for a consistent analysis.

4 Conclusions

Non-Gaussianity in the galaxy distribution arises from three effects — primordial, dynamical and projection effects. The standard Newtonian analysis of the bispectrum incorporates the projection effect due to redshift-space distortions, but omits all other projection effects, as well as the relativistic corrections to nonlinear dynamics. This has been extended by [2] to include the GR projection effect of weak lensing, but they neglected all other GR projection and dynamical effects. Our work complements theirs by computing for the first time the galaxy bispectrum in a primordial Gaussian universe, with all local GR projection effects included, up to second order. We also neglect the GR dynamical corrections, and we follow the standard approach of working in Fourier space, that uses the plane-parallel approximation, which affects our results on ultra-large transverse scales, $k_\perp \lesssim (340 \text{ Mpc})^{-1}$. 
Our key results are the nonlinear GR correction kernel (2.12) and the analytical form of the squeezed limit of the galaxy bispectrum (2.15). GR local lightcone effects in the galaxy bispectrum for isosceles shapes are shown in figures 1 and 2.

A major aim of future galaxy surveys is to measure primordial non-Gaussianity with precision down to $|f_{NL}| < 1$, beyond the capabilities of CMB experiments, using both the power spectrum [23, 24] and bispectrum [1]. It is known that neglecting GR projection effects in the galaxy power spectrum can bias the measurement of $f_{NL}$ [25, 26]. We have extended this to the galaxy bispectrum, showing how linear and nonlinear GR local projection effects will contaminate the primordial non-Gaussian signal. The mode coupling present at leading order in the bispectrum means that the GR effects can be non-negligible at smaller scales than for the power spectrum.

The strongest effects arise for squeezed shapes: with moderate squeezing, the GR correction to the bispectrum is $\sim 10\%$ of the Newtonian prediction when the short-wavelength sides are $k \sim (50\text{ Mpc})^{-1}$. At the maximum observable scales, the GR bispectrum is $\sim 3.4$ times the Newtonian prediction. For equilateral shapes, the GR correction to the bispectrum reaches percent-level at $k \sim (225\text{ Mpc})^{-1}$, and is $\sim 23\%$ of the Newtonian bispectrum at gigaparsec scales.

We have taken the first step towards a complete analysis of ultra-large scale GR effects on the galaxy bispectrum. Our results are incomplete, and further work is needed to incorporate the omitted GR effects — nonlocal projection, wide-angle and dynamical. However our partial results already show that it is essential for an accurate measurement of $f_{NL}$ to incorporate the GR effects in theoretical analysis of the galaxy bispectrum for next-generation surveys.
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A Redshift evolution of the GR correction kernel

The $\Gamma_I(z)$ functions appearing in the GR correction kernel (2.12) are given by [6]:

\[
\frac{\Gamma_1}{\mathcal{H}^4} = \frac{9}{4} \Omega_m^2 \left[ 6f - 5 - 2\frac{f'}{\mathcal{H}} + \frac{2}{\chi \mathcal{H}} \left( 4f - 4 + \frac{1}{\chi \mathcal{H}} + 3\frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} \right) + 4(f - 2)\frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} + 3\frac{\mathcal{H}''}{\mathcal{H}^2} - \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}} \right] \\
+ \frac{9}{2} \Omega_m f \left[ 11 - 2f - 4\frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} \right] + 3f^2 \left( 4 - \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} \right) \\
\frac{\Gamma_2}{\mathcal{H}^3} = \frac{3}{2} \Omega_m f \left[ (f - 1)(2 - f) - \frac{f'}{\mathcal{H}} + \frac{2}{\chi \mathcal{H}} \left( 2f + \frac{1}{\chi \mathcal{H}} + 3\frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} \right) + (2f - 3)\frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} + 3\frac{\mathcal{H}''}{\mathcal{H}^2} - \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^3} \right] \\
- \frac{9}{4} \Omega_m^2 - 3f \left( 1 + \frac{2}{\chi \mathcal{H}} \right) \\
\frac{\Gamma_3}{\mathcal{H}^2} = \frac{3}{2} \Omega_m \left( 1 - 2f + \frac{2}{\chi \mathcal{H}} - 3\frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} \right) - \frac{3\Omega_m'}{2\mathcal{H}} \\
\frac{\Gamma_4}{\mathcal{H}} = 3f
\]
\[ \Gamma_5 \frac{H^2}{\dot{H}^2} = 3 \Omega_m f(2 - f) + f^2 \left[ 4 - \frac{2}{\chi H} \left( 3 + \frac{1}{\chi H} + \frac{2 \dot{H}'}{\dot{H}^2} \right) - \frac{\dot{H}'}{\dot{H}^2} \right] \]

\[ \Gamma_6 \frac{H^2}{\dot{H}^2} = f^2 \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\chi H} + \frac{\dot{H}'}{\dot{H}^2} \right) + 3 \Omega_m f \]

\[ \Gamma_7 \frac{H^2}{\dot{H}^2} = \frac{3}{2} \Omega_m \left[ b_1 \left( 2 - \frac{2}{\chi H} - \frac{\dot{H}'}{\dot{H}^2} \right) + \frac{b_1'}{\dot{H}} \right] + f^2 \left[ b_1(3 - f) - \frac{b_1'}{\dot{H}} \right] \]

\[ \Gamma_8 \frac{H^2}{\dot{H}^2} = \frac{9}{4} \Omega_m^2 + \frac{3}{2} \Omega_m f \left( 3 - 2f - \frac{4}{\chi H} - \frac{\dot{H}'}{\dot{H}^2} \right) + 3 f^2 \]

\[ \Gamma_9 \frac{H}{\dot{H}} = - \frac{3}{2} \Omega_m b_1 \]

\[ \Gamma_{10} \frac{H}{\dot{H}} = f^2 \]

\[ \Gamma_{11} \frac{H}{\dot{H}} = f \left[ b_1 \left( f - \frac{2}{\chi H} - \frac{\dot{H}'}{\dot{H}^2} \right) + \frac{b_1'}{\dot{H}} \right] - f^2 \]

\[ \Gamma_{12} \frac{H}{\dot{H}} = - \frac{3}{2} \Omega_m f \]

\[ \Gamma_{13} \frac{H}{\dot{H}} = \frac{3}{2} \Omega_m f - f^2 \left( 3 + \frac{4}{\chi H} + \frac{3 \dot{H}'}{\dot{H}^2} \right) \]

\[ \Gamma_{14} \frac{H}{\dot{H}} = - f \left( \frac{2}{\chi H} + \frac{\dot{H}'}{\dot{H}^2} \right) \]
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