Reaction between CH$_3$C(O)OOH (peracetic acid) and OH in the gas phase: a combined experimental and theoretical study of the kinetics and mechanism
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Abstract. Peracetic acid (CH$_3$C(O)OOH) is one of the most abundant organic peroxides in the atmosphere; yet the kinetics of its reaction with OH, believed to be the major sink, have only been studied once experimentally. In this work we combine a pulsed-laser photolysis kinetic study of the title reaction with theoretical calculations of the rate coefficient and mechanism. We demonstrate that the rate coefficient is orders of magnitude lower than previously determined, with an experimentally derived upper limit of 4\times 10^{-14}\, \text{cm}^3\,\text{molec.}^{-1}\,\text{s}^{-1}. The relatively low rate coefficient is in good agreement with the theoretical result of 3\times 10^{-14}\, \text{cm}^3\,\text{molec.}^{-1}\,\text{s}^{-1} at 298\,\text{K}, increasing to \approx 6\times 10^{-14}\, \text{cm}^3\,\text{molec.}^{-1}\,\text{s}^{-1} in the cold upper troposphere but with associated uncertainty of a factor of 2. The reaction proceeds mainly via abstraction of the peroxidic hydrogen via a relatively weakly bonded and short-lived prereaction complex, in which H abstraction occurs only slowly due to a high barrier and low tunnelling probabilities. Our results imply that the lifetime of CH$_3$C(O)OOH with respect to OH-initiated degradation in the atmosphere is of the order of 1 year (not days as previously believed) and that its major sink in the free and upper troposphere is likely to be photolysis, with deposition important in the boundary layer.

1 Introduction

The processes leading to the formation and loss of two classes of atmospheric trace gases, organic acids and organic peroxides, have been the subject of numerous field, labora-
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\[
\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)O}_2 + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH} + \text{O}_2 \quad (\text{R1a}) \\
\rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OH} + \text{O}_3 \quad (\text{R1b}) \\
\rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \quad (\text{R1c})
\]

The CH$_3$C(O)O$_2$ radical is formed in the degradation of acetaldehyde (mainly via reaction with OH), acetone and methylglyoxal (both via photolysis), all of which are common secondary products of the degradation of biogenic and anthropogenic volatile organic compounds (VOCs) including isoprene, monoterpenes, alkenes and alkanes. Globally, biogenic emissions account for > 60% of CH$_3$C(O)O$_2$ formation (Fischer et al., 2014).

The highest production rates of CH$_3$C(O)OOH are thus expected in regions which are impacted by biogenic emissions in which HO$_2$ levels are high enough to compete with NO$_2$ (Reaction R2) and NO (Reaction R3) for reaction with CH$_3$C(O)O$_2$:

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)O}_2 + \text{NO}_2 + M \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OONO}_2 + M \quad (\text{R2}) \\
\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)O}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2 + \text{NO}_2. \quad (\text{R3})
\]

As CH$_3$C(O)OONO$_2$ (peroxycetyl nitric anhydride, PAN) is thermally unstable with respect to re-dissociation to reactants, high temperatures also favour CH$_3$C(O)OOH formation.

Laboratory studies, summarized by IUPAC, indicate that the overall rate coefficient ($k_1$) for Reactions (R1a)–(R1c) at 298 K is $(2 \pm 1) \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3\text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and that CH$_3$C(O)OOH is formed with a branching ratio ($k_{1a}/k_1$) of 0.37 ± 0.09 at this temperature. At lower temperatures, such as those found in the upper troposphere, the rate coefficient increases ($k_1$ at 240 K) = $3.7 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3\text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ while the branching ratio to CH$_3$C(O)OOH decreases: $k_{1a}/k_1$ (240 K) = 0.31 (IUPAC Task Group, 2020).

As for other soluble organic acids and peroxides, deposition will be an important sink for CH$_3$C(O)OOH in the boundary layer, where (in the absence of measurements) an exchange velocity comparable to that of H$_2$O (which has a similar solubility; Crowley et al., 2018) may be assumed and which results in a local lifetime of several hours. Further, wet deposition, either by direct dissolution or through particle formation and subsequent scavenging by clouds and rain, additionally reduces its lifetime. In analogy to other peroxides, reaction with OH is believed to be the dominant gas-phase loss process for CH$_3$C(O)OOH:

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OO} \quad (\text{R4a}) \\
\rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH} \quad (\text{R4b})
\]

The Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM v3.3.1; http://mcm.york.ac.uk/, last access: July 2020) presently uses an overall rate coefficient of $k_4 = 3.6 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3\text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, which is based on reactions of OH with other organic trace gases containing the –OOH group (Orlando and Tyndall, 2003) whereby abstraction of the peroxido H atom (Reaction R4a), is expected to dominate. A single study of the rate coefficient has been published to date (Wu et al., 2017), in which the authors, deriving a rate coefficient of $\approx 1 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3\text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in a relative rate study, confirm the dominance of the OH sink. There are no experimental studies of the branching ratio for the reaction between OH and CH$_3$C(O)OOH, though a theoretical study indicates that $k_{4a}$ and $k_{4b}$ are comparable (Rypkema and Francisco, 2013). Absorption cross-sections of CH$_3$C(O)OOH in the actinic region ($\lambda > 320 \text{ nm}$) are lower than those of, for example, CH$_3$OOH and H$_2$O$_2$ (Burkholder et al., 2015; IUPAC Task Group, 2020; Orlando and Tyndall, 2003), and noontime, mid-latitude photolysis rate coefficients are $\approx 5–7 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Assuming noontime OH radical densities of $2 \times 10^6 \text{ molec. cm}^{-3}$ and $k_4 = 3.6 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3\text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ results in a first-order loss rate coefficient of $\approx 7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$, which implies that the photolysis of CH$_3$C(O)OOH is not a significant atmospheric sink compared to the reaction with OH. The relative importance of the various atmospheric loss processes for CH$_3$C(O)OOH in the light of the present results is discussed in more detail in Sect. 4.6.

Measurements of ambient CH$_3$C(O)OOH mixing ratios have been used to gain insight into peroxy radical chemistry in the boreal forest during summer (Crowley et al., 2018; Phillips et al., 2013) and also to constrain the atmospheric budget of acetaldehyde, which is an important precursor of CH$_3$C(O)OOH (Travis et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2019). Such studies require accurate estimates of the lifetime of atmospheric CH$_3$C(O)OOH and thus the rate coefficient for its reaction with OH. The conclusions reached by Wang et al. (2019) based on aircraft data taken from remote sources of CH$_3$CHO are valid if CH$_3$C(O)OOH is much shorter lived than PAN and has a comparable lifetime to CH$_3$CHO. Likewise, the concentrations of CH$_3$C(O)OOH modelled by Crowley et al. (2018) are partially dependent on the OH rate coefficient for the title reaction. In addition, the degree to which the formation of CH$_3$C(O)OOH from the reaction between HO$_2$ and CH$_3$C(O)O$_2$ represents a permanent sink of peroxy radicals (and thus loss of oxidation capacity) depends on whether the photochemical degradation of CH$_3$C(O)OOH to reform organic radicals can compete with deposition processes.

In the following, we describe the results of laboratory experiments and theoretical calculations that show that CH$_3$C(O)OOH is much less reactive towards OH than presently believed. We also report rate coefficients ($k_5$) for the reaction of OD + CH$_3$C(O)OOH (Reaction R5), which was required to examine the potential role of OH reformation, and rate coefficients ($k_6$, $k_7$) for the reaction between both OH and OD with CH$_3$C(O)OH (Reactions R6, R7), which were required to apply corrections for the presence of CH$_3$C(O)OH in the CH$_3$C(O)OOH samples:
Figure 1. PLP-LIF experimental set-up. PMT is the photomultiplier, JM the joule meter and FC the flow controller. The IR and UV absorption cells are at room temperature. The photolysis laser is an excimer laser (Compex 205 F, 248 nm) and the probe laser a YAG-pumped dye laser (Quantel Brilliant B and Lambda Physik Scanmate, 281.99 and 287.68 nm). The arrows indicate the direction of gas flow.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH} + \text{OD} & \rightarrow \text{products} \quad \text{(R5)} \\
\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OH} + \text{OH} & \rightarrow \text{products} \quad \text{(R6)} \\
\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OH} + \text{OD} & \rightarrow \text{products}. \quad \text{(R7)}
\end{align*}
\]

Finally, we examine the site specificity of the H abstraction reaction (Reactions R4a versus R4b).

2 Experimental methods

The laboratory kinetic studies of the title reactions used the method of pulsed laser photolytic (PLP) generation of OH combined with real time detection based on pulsed laser induced fluorescence (LIF). The concentrations of CH$_3$C(O)OOH and CH$_3$C(O)OH were measured online using infrared absorption spectroscopy. The set-up is illustrated in Fig. 1.

2.1 PLP-LIF

The details of the experimental set-up have been described in detail previously (Wollenhaupt et al., 2000), and only a brief description of the central features and modifications is given here. The experiments were carried out in a double-jacketed quartz reactor of volume \( \sim 500 \text{ cm}^3 \), which was held at the desired temperature by circulating a 60 : 40 mixture of ethylene glycol / water through the outer jacket. The pressure in the reactor, generally between \( \sim 50 \) and 100 Torr N$_2$, was monitored with 100 and 1000 Torr capacitance manometers (1 Torr = 1.333 HPa). For all experiments, the axial flow velocity in the reactor was kept roughly constant at \( \sim 10 \text{ cm} \text{s}^{-1} \) by adjusting the flow rate. As the \( \sim 8 \text{ mm} \) wide laser beam propagated orthogonally to the direction of flow, this ensured that a fresh gas sample was available for photolysis at each laser pulse. Pulses of 248 nm laser light (\( \sim 20 \text{ ns} \)) for OH generation from H$_2$O$_2$ and CH$_3$C(O)OOH or OD generation from DONO$_2$ were provided at 10 Hz by an excimer laser (Compex 205 F, Coherent) operated with KrF.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + h\nu & \rightarrow 2\text{OH} \quad \text{(R8)} \\
\text{DONO}_2 + h\nu & \rightarrow \text{OD} + \text{NO}_2 \quad \text{(R9)} \\
\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH} + h\nu & \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)O} + \text{OH} \quad \text{(R10)}
\end{align*}
\]

The laser fluence (typically \( \sim 15 \text{ mJ cm}^{-2} \) per pulse) was measured using a calibrated joule meter located behind the exit window of the reactor. Concentrations of the OH precursors, H$_2$O$_2$, DONO$_2$ and CH$_3$C(O)OOH, were in the range \( \sim 2–20 \times 10^{13}, 4–8 \times 10^{14} \text{ molec. cm}^{-3} \) and \( \sim 6–60 \times 10^{14} \text{ molec. cm}^{-3} \), respectively (see Tables S1 and S2 in the Supplement). The initial OH concentrations were calculated using 248 nm cross-sections (units of cm$^2$ molec.$^{-1}$) from Vaghjiani and Ravishankara (1989a) (\( \sigma_{248 \text{nm}}(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2) = 9.3 \times 10^{-20} \)), Burkholder et al. (1993) (\( \sigma_{248 \text{nm}}(\text{HNO}_3) = 2.0 \times 10^{-20} \)) and Orlando and Tyn dall (2003) (\( \sigma_{248 \text{nm}}(\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH}) = 3.41 \times 10^{-20} \)), and were \( \sim 2–20 \times 10^{14} \text{ molec. cm}^{-3} \).

Radiation for excitation of the OH \( A^2 \Sigma (v' = 1) \leftarrow X^2 \Pi (v'' = 0) \) transition (\( Q_{11}(1) \)) at 281.99 nm and OD \( A^2 \Sigma (v' = 1) \leftarrow X^2 \Pi (v'' = 0) \) transition at 287.68 nm was generated by a tuneable (YAG-pumped) dye laser (Quantel Brilliant B and Lambda Physik Scanmate). The fluorescence of OH and OD was detected using a photomultiplier tube screened by a 309 nm interference filter and a BG 26 glass cut-off filter. The fluorescence signal of OH was accumulated using a boxcar integrator triggered at different delay times prior to and after the 248 nm laser to build up a time-dependent concentration profile.

2.2 Online optical absorption measurements

The experiments to determine the rate coefficient of the title reaction were performed under pseudo-first-order conditions (i.e. [acid]$_0 \gg$ [OH]$_0$), and the overall uncertainty in the rate coefficients was determined largely by the accuracy with which the concentration of the excess reagent was measured. The concentrations of CH$_3$C(O)OH and CH$_3$C(O)OOH were therefore continuously measured upstream of the reactor by flowing the sample through an absorption cell (\( l = 45 \text{ cm} \)) made of glass, which was equipped with silicon windows for transmission of infrared (IR) light and a port for pressure measurement (using the same pressure gauges mentioned in Sect. 2.1). With this set-up, absorption features in the range 600–4000 cm$^{-1}$ were constantly monitored (2 cm$^{-1}$ resolution, 16 co-added interferograms with 128 scans for the background) using a Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer (Bruker Vector 22) with an external, liquid N$_2$
positions. All geometries were subsequently re-optimized to characterize first at the M06-2X/cc-pVDZ level of theory (Dunning, 1989; Goerigk et al., 2017; Grimme et al., 2011), improving the description of the long-distance interactions by including diffuse orbitals and dispersion corrections. The energy of the transition state for the abstraction of the peracidic H atom proved to be more dependent on the basis set than expected, changing by ∼4.3 kcal mol$^{-1}$ as opposed to ∼2 kcal mol$^{-1}$ for the methyl H abstraction, so to ensure full convergence with respect to the basis set, we re-optimized all structures again at the M06-2X-D3/aug-cc-pVQZ level of theory, confirming basis set convergence at the DFT (density functional theory) level within a few tenths of a kilocalorie per mole (kcal mol$^{-1}$) and no significant change in the geometries. Zero-point energy (ZPE) corrections are done at this level, with vibrational wavenumbers scaled by 0.971 (Alecu et al., 2010; Bao et al., 2018). Finally, the relative energies were refined using CCSD(T) single-point calculations (Purvis and Bartlett, 1982), extrapolated to the complete basis set using the aug-Schwartz6(DTQ) method by Martin (1996), based on calculations using the aug-cc-pVXZ ($x = D, T, Q$) basis sets. The $T_1$ diagnostics do not suggest multi-reference character in any of the structures. The strong dependence on the basis set size is assumed to be the main reason for the difference between our barrier heights and those predicted by Rypkema and Francisco (2013) who found submerged transition states incompatible with the experimental data.

The temperature-dependent rate coefficient of the reaction was calculated using multi-conformer canonical transition state theory (Truhrlar et al., 1996; Vereecken and Peeters, 2003), including all conformers of reactants and transition states characterized at our highest level of theory. The spin-orbit splitting for OH of 27.95 cm$^{-1}$ was taken into account (Huber and Herzberg, 1979). Tunnelling was accounted for by asymmetric Eckart barrier corrections based on the reactant, the TS and product energy and the TS imaginary frequency (Eckart, 1930; Johnston and Heicklen, 1962). The rate coefficient is calculated in the high-pressure limit; i.e. the prereaction complex is assumed to be in thermal equilibrium with the reactants. The main impact of the prereaction complex on the kinetics is then to allow additional tunnelling through a wider energy range of the TS barrier for H abstraction. This is discussed in more detail below.

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Infrared absorption cross-sections

Accurate IR absorption cross-sections of CH$_3$C(O)OH, its dimer and CH$_3$C(O)OOH are required to derive accurate concentrations of reactants during kinetic experiments on OH + CH$_3$C(O)OOH in which both acids are unavoidably present because the commercially available sample of CH$_3$C(O)OOH is a ∼32% solution in CH$_3$C(O)OH. Note that all IR cross-sections we quote are “base e”.

---
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4.1.1 CH₃C(O)OH and CH₃C(O)OH dimer

In order to obtain the cross-sections of the CH₃C(O)OH monomer, the long-path cell was used in conjunction with low pressures of CH₃C(O)OH to avoid the formation of the dimer. A known pressure of the CH₃C(O)OH sample (in total typically 3–18 Torr) was first measured in a section of the vacuum line (volume 126.6 cm³) and then flushed into the long-path cell in a flow of N₂ until 700 Torr of total pressure was reached. The pressure of CH₃C(O)OH in the long-path cell (volume 44.390 cm³) was calculated from the sum of monomer pressure plus twice the dimer pressure in the vacuum line (both calculated from the total pressure using the 298 K equilibrium coefficient Kₑq = 2.5±0.3 Torr⁻¹; Crawford et al., 1999) and the dilution factor, which is related to the relative volumes of the mixing line and the long-path cell. The concentration of CH₃C(O)OH in the long-path cell was (1–10) × 10¹⁴ molec. cm⁻³, where the equilibrium dimer concentration can be considered negligible. The CH₃C(O)OH dimer spectrum was measured in the small optical absorption cell (l = 15 cm) using up to 1.36 Torr (dosed directly into the cell) of the CH₃C(O)OH/CH₃C(O)OH dimer mixture to favour dimer formation. At the highest total pressure, the ratio of dimer to monomer (calculated using the equilibrium constant listed above) was 1.4.

The CH₃C(O)OH spectrum (Fig. 2) reveals features at 991, 1185, 1279, 1385, 1790 and 3583 cm⁻¹, with only the band at 3583 cm⁻¹ free of overlap with any of the CH₃C(O)OOH or CH₃C(O)OH dimer bands. The spectra obtained for the CH₃C(O)OH and CH₃C(O)OH dimer are in excellent agreement with those available in the literature: at 1117 cm⁻¹ we derive σ(CH₃C(O)OH) = 6.0 × 10⁻¹⁹ cm² molec.⁻¹, which can be compared to σ(CH₃C(O)OH) = 5.9 × 10⁻¹⁹ cm² molec.⁻¹ reported by Crawford et al. (1999). Our value of σ(CH₃C(O)OH dimer) = 1.8 × 10⁻¹⁸ cm² molec.⁻¹ at 1295 cm⁻¹ is identical to that reported by Crawford et al. (1999).

Beer–Lambert plots for the 3583 cm⁻¹ band of CH₃C(O)OH and the 1734 cm⁻¹ band of CH₃C(O)OH dimer were constructed by expanding different pressures of CH₃C(O)OH into the long-path cell at room temperature and 700 Torr of total pressure. The results, displayed in Fig. S1 in the Supplement, indicate a strictly linear relationship between band intensity and concentration over the range of concentrations investigated.

4.1.2 CH₃C(O)OOH

The liquid sample (32 % (wt) CH₃C(O)OOH in CH₃C(O)OH) is prepared commercially by the oxidation of CH₃C(O)OH using H₂O₂ and is a four-component equilibrium mixture:

\[
\text{CH₃C(O)OH(l) + H₂O₂(l) \rightleftharpoons H₂O(l) + CH₃C(O)OOH(l).}
\]

In order to obtain a quantitative IR spectrum of CH₃C(O)OOH, headspace mixtures were dosed into the mixing line, where the total pressure (CH₃C(O)OOH + CH₃C(O)OH + CH₃C(O)OH-dimer + H₂O + H₂O₂) was recorded before the mixture was flushed into the long-path cell. At the low concentrations of CH₃C(O)OH in the long-path cell, there is no evidence for the CH₃C(O)OH dimer. In the absence of any absorption features of H₂O₂, the IR absorption due to CH₃C(O)OH and H₂O was converted to a vacuum-line pressure of CH₃C(O)OH + CH₃C(O)OH dimer + H₂O and the residual pressure assigned to CH₃C(O)OOH, enabling quantification of the CH₃C(O)OOH spectrum (Fig. 2). As noted by Crawford et al. (1999), there was no evidence for dimerization of CH₃C(O)OOH. These experiments were repeated using the 45 cm path-length absorption cell, which has the disadvantage that significantly higher concentrations of CH₃C(O)OOH are needed to obtain good quality spectra, and the CH₃C(O)OH dimer is therefore also present. However, it provides the advantage of eliminating uncertainty related to the optical path length. Additionally, using this set-up we obtained an accurate IR absorption spectra of H₂O relative to its VUV absorption 185 nm using a cross-section of 7.1 × 10⁻²⁰ cm² molec.⁻¹ (Cantrrell et al., 1997).

Using both set-ups, we derived a CH₃C(O)OOH cross-section at 1251 cm⁻¹ of 3.8 × 10⁻¹⁹ cm² molec.⁻¹, with an uncertainty of 15 %. This analysis neglects the contribution of H₂O₂ to the total headspace pressure. In offline experiments, whereby the headspace was sampled into an enzyme/fluorescence-based instrument for determination of
H$_2$O$_2$ and organic peroxides (Fischer et al., 2015), we found that H$_2$O$_2$ was present at about 1 % of the CH$_3$C(O)OOH concentration, consistent with the low vapour pressure of H$_2$O$_2$ compared to CH$_3$C(O)OOH.

Our CH$_3$C(O)OOH absorption cross-sections are much larger (factor 2.1) than those reported by Crawford et al. (1999) whose spectrum has $\sigma$(CH$_3$C(O)OOH) = 1.81 × 10$^{-19}$ cm$^2$ molec.$^{-1}$ at 1251.5 cm$^{-1}$. The only other published IR cross-sections of CH$_3$C(O)OOH of which we are aware were reported by Orlando et al. (2000b) in which a value of 5.3 × 10$^{-19}$ cm$^2$ molec.$^{-1}$ at 1251 cm$^{-1}$ is reported (≈ 40 % larger than our value), which was subsequently confirmed by the same group by comparison with high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) measurements (Hasson et al., 2004). Note that both Orlando et al. (2000b) and Hasson et al. (2004) mistakenly listed this cross-section as being at 1295 cm$^{-1}$ instead of 1251 cm$^{-1}$, which was confirmed in private communication with the authors (John Orlando and Geoff Tyndall, personal communication, 2020), who kindly provided their spectrum. Our spectrum, that of Orlando et al. (2000b) and one obtained by digitizing Fig. 2 of Crawford et al. (1999) are displayed in Fig. S3.

We do not have an explanation for the divergent values of the IR absorption spectrum of CH$_3$C(O)OOH but note that this will, in part, be related to working with a multi-component mixture that requires accurate determination of the contributions of the H$_2$O, CH$_3$C(O)OH and CH$_3$C(O)OH dimer. As our experimental result could be reproduced in a series of experiments in two different experimental set-ups, we use our own cross-sections to calculate CH$_3$C(O)OOH concentrations and consider the use of the larger value when estimating potential uncertainty in our rate coefficients. A Beer–Lambert plot for the 3306 cm$^{-1}$ band of CH$_3$C(O)OH (which we used to determine its concentration in kinetic experiments) is displayed in Fig. S1. As for the CH$_3$C(O)OH and CH$_3$C(O)OH dimer, the integrated band intensity was strictly proportional to concentration.

4.2 OH/OD + CH$_3$C(O)OH: determination of $k_6$ and $k_7$ at 298 K

We show later (Sect. 4.3) that the reaction of OH with CH$_3$C(O)OH (Reaction R6) contributes to OH losses in the experiments designed to derive the rate coefficient for the title reaction, and accurate rate coefficients under our experimental conditions are necessary to account for this. We therefore carried out a set of experiments to measure the rate coefficients for the reactions of OH and OD with CH$_3$C(O)OH.

$k_6$ was determined at a total pressure (N$_2$) of 57 and 102 Torr; $k_7$ was examined at 66 Torr (N$_2$).

In these experiments, OH was generated by the photolysis of H$_2$O$_2$ ((0.3–1.8) × 10$^{14}$ molec. cm$^{-3}$), and OD was generated by the photolysis of DONO$_2$ (5 × 10$^{14}$ molec. cm$^{-3}$), both at 248 nm. Initial hydroxyl radical concentrations were [OH]$_0$ = (1–6) × 10$^{11}$ and [OD]$_0$ = 2 × 10$^{11}$ molec. cm$^{-3}$.

The PLP-LIF studies were thus carried out under pseudo-first-order conditions with [CH$_3$C(O)OH] $\gg$ [OH], so that the OH profiles are described by

$$[\text{OH}]_t = [\text{OH}]_0 e^{-k'_t t}.$$  

where [OH]$_t$ is the concentration (molec. cm$^{-3}$) at time $t$ after the laser pulse, and $k'$ is the pseudo-first-order rate coefficient and is defined as

$$k^' = k_6[\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OH}] + k_d,$$  

where $k_6$ is the bimolecular rate coefficient (cm$^3$ molec.$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) for the reaction between OH and CH$_3$C(O)OH, $k_d$ (s$^{-1}$) accounts for OH loss due to transport out of the reaction zone and reaction with H$_2$O$_2$ or DONO$_2$. Similar expressions (switch OD for OH and $k_7$ for $k_6$) apply to the OD experiments.

Figure 3 displays representative data obtained at 295 K in N$_2$ bath gas for reaction of OH and OD with CH$_3$C(O)OH. The OH (OD) decays are strictly exponential, and the plots of $k'$ versus [CH$_3$C(O)OH] (Fig. 4) are straight lines as expected from Eq. (2). This analysis assumes that reaction of OH or OD with the CH$_3$C(O)OH dimer does not contribute significantly to its loss. In our experiments, the CH$_3$C(O)OH dimer / CH$_3$C(O)OH ratio in the reactor varied between 0.04 and 0.32. The strict dead time observed when plotting the first-order loss constant of OH or OD versus [CH$_3$C(O)OH] is consistent with an insignificant contribution of the CH$_3$C(O)OH dimer to loss of OH, as also concluded by Singleton et al. (1989).

The values of $k_6$ and $k_7$ derived from these datasets typically have a statistical uncertainty (2$\sigma$) of less than 5 %, so that the overall uncertainty is dominated by a potential systematic error in the determination of CH$_3$C(O)OH concentration, i.e. in the in situ measurement of IR absorption by CH$_3$C(O)OH and its absorption cross-sections. During measurement of OH / OD decay, the CH$_3$C(O)OH concentration was measured between five and eight times using the 45 cm IR cell located upstream of the reactor and a small correction ($\sim$ 3 %) for pressure differences between the IR absorption cell and the reactor applied. Typically, [CH$_3$C(O)OH] varied by < 3 % during the time required to measure the OH or OD decay and therefore did not contribute significantly to overall uncertainty.

Experimental details (e.g. identity and concentration of OH precursor and pressure) as well as the values of the rate coefficients $k_6$ and $k_7$ at 298 K are presented in Table S1. We obtained values of $k_6$ = (6.95 ± 0.08) × 10$^{-13}$ cm$^3$ molec.$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ at 100 Torr total pressure and $k_6$ = (7.04 ± 0.28) × 10$^{-13}$ cm$^3$ molec.$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ at 250 Torr. The result for OH is thus in excellent agreement (2 %) with the 298 K value of 6.9 × 10$^{-13}$ cm$^3$ molec.$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ presently recommended by the IUPAC panel (IUPAC Task Group, 2020). The IUPAC panel recommendation carries an uncertainty of ±25 % ($\Delta$log $k$ = 0.1) at 298 K. The very close agreement
with our data may indicate that an uncertainty of ±12% (Δ log k = 0.05) is more reasonable, and in the calculations below, we use the IUPAC-recommended expression \( k_4 = 8.40 \times 10^{-20} \frac{T^2}{R} \) cm\(^3\) molec.\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\).

For the reaction between OD and CH\(_3\)C(O)OH, we obtain \( k_7 = (7.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-13} \) cm\(^3\) molec.\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) at 298 K and a pressure of 125 Torr N\(_2\), i.e. within 5% of the values obtained for OH. We are unaware of other measurements of this parameter with which to compare our value.

4.3 OH + CH\(_3\)C(O)OOH: determination of \( k_4 \) (298–353 K)

The experiments to measure \( k_4 \) were performed as described in Sect. 4.2 for CH\(_3\)C(O)OH with the difference that it was not necessary to add H\(_2\)O\(_2\) as an OH precursor, as the photolysis of CH\(_3\)C(O)OOH itself provided sufficient OH. The experiments were conducted at a total pressure of ~100 Torr N\(_2\).

Taking a 248 nm laser fluence of ~12 mJ cm\(^{-2}\) per pulse and a 248 nm cross-section of \( \sigma(CH_3C(O)OOH) = 3.4 \times 10^{-20} \) cm\(^2\) molec.\(^{-1}\) (Orlando and Tyndall, 2003), and assuming unity quantum yield, we calculate that [OH]\(_0\) varied in the range ~(3–20) \times 10\(^{11}\) molec. cm\(^{-3}\) when the CH\(_3\)C(O)OOH concentration was varied within the range (6.17–38.5) \times 10\(^{14}\) molec. cm\(^{-3}\).

IR absorption due to the CH\(_3\)C(O)OOH, CH\(_3\)C(O)OH and CH\(_3\)C(O)OH dimer was monitored online in the 45 cm absorption cell (at 298 K). The concentrations of the CH\(_3\)C(O)OOH, CH\(_3\)C(O)OH and CH\(_3\)C(O)OH dimer were quantified by the scaling reference spectrum (Sect. 4.1.1 and 4.1.2) of each component to the measured composite spectrum as illustrated in Fig. S3. Typically, the concentrations of CH\(_3\)C(O)OOH vary between 3 \times 10\(^{14}\) and 2 \times 10\(^{15}\) molec. cm\(^{-3}\) and those for CH\(_3\)C(O)OH between 6 \times 10\(^{14}\) and 6 \times 10\(^{15}\) molec. cm\(^{-3}\). When the reactor was operated at high temperatures, some of the CH\(_3\)C(O)OH dimer present in the IR absorption cell was converted to CH\(_3\)C(O)OH in the reactor, and correction was done to account for this using the temperature-dependent equilibrium constant.

The pseudo-first-order conditions for both [CH\(_3\)C(O)OOH] \(\gg\) [OH] and [CH\(_3\)C(O)OH] \(\gg\) [OH] are thus guaranteed, and the decay of OH is described by

\[
[OH]_t = [OH]_0 e^{-k'_4 t},
\]

where \( k'_4 \) and \( k'_4 \) are the pseudo-first-order rate coefficients for loss of OH via Reactions (R6) and (R4), respectively.
Typical OH decays (at 298 and 353 K) in the presence of CH$_3$C(O)OH and CH$_3$C(O)OHO are displayed in Fig. 5a. As expected, the initial OH concentration varies with [CH$_3$C(O)OOH], and OH decays are strictly exponential. The contribution of CH$_3$C(O)OH to the decay of OH can be calculated as $k_6[CH_3C(O)OH]$. For this purpose, we use the IUPAC-recommended parameterization of $k_6$, the accuracy of which we have validated at 298 K as described above.

When $k_6[CH_3C(O)OH]$ is subtracted from the total first-order loss rate coefficient, we obtain $k_4[CH_3C(O)OOH] + k_d$. The rate coefficient $k_4$ can thus be derived from the slope of plots of $k_4[CH_3C(O)OOH] + k_d$ versus [CH$_3$C(O)OOH] as illustrated in Fig. 6, which contains the data obtained at all temperatures. A least-squares fit to the entire dataset yields $k_4 = (3.25 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-14}$ cm$^3$ molec.$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, independent of temperature. The complete dataset, listing the experimental conditions and the contribution of CH$_3$C(O)OH to the total OH decay constant, is found in Table S2. The uncertainty associated with the rate coefficient $k_4$ (listed in Table S2 and plotted in Fig. 6) considers the statistical error in deriving $k_6'$ and $k_4'$ as well as the uncertainty in the concentration of CH$_3$C(O)OH (10%–15%) (which is larger at high [CH$_3$C(O)OH] owing to uncertainty in the dimer:monomer ratio, i.e. in $K_{eq}$) and in the rate coefficient $k_6$ (12%; see above). It does not consider systematic error [CH$_3$C(O)OOH], which is discussed below in deriving the final value for $k_4$ and its total uncertainty.

### 4.4 Potential for systematic error in determining $k_4$ (298–353 K)

The values we obtain for $k_4$ are clearly much lower than the one previous relative rate determination of Wu et al. (2017), who report a room temperature rate coefficient of $\sim 1 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molec.$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. Below, we examine potential sources of systematic bias in our experiments and analysis.

#### 4.4.1 Uncertainty in the IR cross-sections of CH$_3$C(O)OOH

The accuracy of rate coefficients measured using the PLP-LIF method under pseudo-first-order conditions depends predominantly on the accuracy of the measurement of the excess reagent, in this case CH$_3$C(O)OOH. Any systematic error in the IR cross-sections used to calculate [CH$_3$C(O)OOH] propagates directly into a systematic error in $k_4$. Although our measurements of the IR cross-sections of CH$_3$C(O)OOH were in good agreement, irrespective of the absorption cell used, we noted divergence between our value and the values published previously (see Sect. 4.1.2). For this reason, we expand the uncertainty on our cross-sections to ±25% so that the results agree (within combined experimental uncertainty).
with those reported by Orlando et al. (2000a). This implies an additional uncertainty of 25% for $k_4$.

### 4.4.2 Reformation of OH

A possible cause of the low rate coefficient measured in our direct study is the reformation of OH via decomposition of a reaction product, as has been observed (Vaghjiani and Ravishankara, 1989b) in the reaction of OH with another organic peroxide, CH$_3$OOH (Reactions R12a, R13):

\[
\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{OOH} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CH}_2\text{OOH} \quad (\text{R12a})
\]

\[
\rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CH}_3\text{O}_2 \quad (\text{R12b})
\]

\[
\text{CH}_2\text{OOH} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{HCHO}. \quad (\text{R13})
\]

In analogy, if the decomposition to OH of any reaction product of CH$_3$C(O)OOH + OH were sufficiently rapid, our experiment would underestimate the rate coefficient. In order to rule this out, we conducted experiments in which OH was replaced with OD. In this case, the reformation of OH via dissociation of the O–OH bond would not impact the kinetic measurement.

The results of experiments (at $\sim 57$ Torr N$_2$) in which the 248 nm photolysis of DONO$_2$ was used to generate OD and measure the rate coefficient ($k_3$) are displayed in Fig. 5. Following the same procedure as outlined above to subtract the contribution of CH$_3$C(O)OH from the OH decay constant (but using our value of $k_7$ for reaction between OD and CH$_3$C(O)OH), we derive values of $k_3 [\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}] + k_d$ versus [CH$_3$C(O)OOH]. These are plotted in Fig. 6. From Table S2 we see that, within experimental scatter, the rate coefficients for reaction of OH and OD with CH$_3$C(O)OOH are identical, and we conclude that OH reformation is not responsible for the divergence between our low rate coefficient and the literature value. Theoretical calculations (Sect. 4.5) also indicate that the reformation of OH in this system is energetically disfavoured.

### 4.4.3 Secondary reactions of OH

As the contribution of CH$_3$C(O)OOH to the overall loss rate of OH is small, there is potential for overestimation of the rate coefficient if OH can react with products. In this case, we consider the reaction of OH with CH$_3$, which is formed in the photolysis of CH$_3$C(O)OOH (Reactions R10, R15) and in the dominant loss process for OH, reaction with CH$_3$C(O)OH (Reactions R14, R15), respectively. OH may also react with the CH$_3$C(O)O$_2$ radical (Reaction R17), formed in the title reaction:

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH} + h\nu \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O} + \text{OH} \quad (\text{R10})
\]

\[
\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (\text{R14})
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3 + \text{CO}_2 \quad (\text{R15})
\]

\[
\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3 + M \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + M \quad (\text{R16})
\]

\[
\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O} \quad (\text{R17a})
\]

\[
\rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOO}. \quad (\text{R17b})
\]

The rate coefficient for reaction of OH with CH$_3$ is at the high-pressure limit, with a value close to $1 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^3$ molec.$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (Pereira et al., 1997; Sangwan et al., 2012) under our experimental conditions. There appear not to be any kinetic studies of the reaction between OH and CH$_3$C(O)O$_2$, but, by analogy to OH + CH$_3$O$_2$ and OH + C$_2$H$_5$O$_2$ (Assaf et al., 2018; IUPAC Task Group, 2020), Reactions (R17a) and (R17b) will also have a rate coefficient close to $1 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^3$ molec.$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. In order to assess the role of Reactions (R16) and (R17a), we performed numerical simulations of the chemistry subsequent to the generation of OH (and thus CH$_3$) in the photolysis of CH$_3$C(O)OOH/CH$_3$C(O)OH mixtures. The simulations were initiated with the concentrations of CH$_3$C(O)OOH, CH$_3$C(O)OH and OH listed in Table S2. As the decomposition of CH$_3$C(O)O to CH$_3$ + CO$_2$ is rapid, we set the initial CH$_3$ concentration equal to that of OH. Along with Reactions (R16), (R17a) and (R17b), we considered inter-radical reactions (e.g. self- and cross-reactions of CH$_3$, HO$_2$ and CH$_3$C(O)O$_2$) as listed in Table S3.

For each set of experimental conditions, simulations were carried out in which $k_4$ was varied between zero and $3 \times 10^{-13}$ cm$^3$ molec.$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. The simulated decays of OH thus obtained were fitted to an exponential function to obtain the total decay constant, from which the contribution of CH$_3$C(O)OH was subtracted ($k_4 [\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}]$), as in the experimental data. The results of the simulations are displayed in Fig. 7 along with one set of experimental data obtained at 298 K. Immediately apparent from the simulations is that values of $k_4 \geq 3 \times 10^{-14}$ cm$^3$ molec.$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ overpredict the measured slope. Indeed, setting $k_4$ to zero gives the closest agreement between the simulation and measurement. A better match between the observation and simulation could be obtained by either reducing the initial OH concentration (and thus the concentrations of CH$_3$ and CH$_3$C(O)O$_2$) or lowering the rate coefficients for Reactions (R16), (R17a) and (R17b). The simulated loss of OH was mainly ($\geq 90\%$) via reaction with CH$_3$, which reflects the fact that only a small fraction of OH generated reacts with CH$_3$C(O)OOH to form CH$_3$C(O)O$_2$.

Given the uncertainty associated with the determination of the initial radical concentration (based on laser fluence), and with the rate coefficients of the inter-radical reactions involved, it is not possible to use the simulations to correct the experimental data. Instead, recognizing that a large fraction of the OH decay constant may be due to unwanted secondary processes, we prefer to quote the value of $k_4$ obtained experimentally as an upper limit.
in an overestimation of \( k \) of in Sect. 4.4.2, this leads us to interpret our measurement considerations of secondary, radical chemistry discussed from the PLP-LIF experiments would imply that a 1% concentration of \( \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH} \) for surfaces combined with its desorption from the walls during photolysis was too variable to allow analysis of the data.

In our theoretical study (Sect.4.6), we examine the reaction in detail and show that the low rate coefficient we measured with the PLP-LIF technique is in good agreement with the predictions.

### 4.4.4 Presence of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) impurity

As indicated in Sect. 4.1.2, the \( \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH} / \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OH} \) mixture is actually an equilibrium mixture containing \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) (Reaction R11). Analysis of headspace samples of \( \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH} \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) indicates that \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) is present at \( \approx 1 \% \) the concentration of \( \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH} \) (see Sect. 4.1.2). The IR absorption cross-sections of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) are generally too weak to detect low-level impurities, so we were unable to unambiguously detect and quantify \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) during our kinetic measurements. However, unlike \( \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH} \), \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) reacts rapidly with \( \text{OH} \), with a rate coefficient of \( 1.7 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{molec.}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) at 298 K. Initially assuming that \( k_4 = 3.2 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{molec.}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) as derived above from the PLP-LIF experiments would imply that a 1% \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) “impurity” in our \( \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH} \) sample would result in an overestimation of \( k_4 \) by \( \approx 50 \% \). Together with the considerations of secondary, radical chemistry discussed in Sect. 4.4.2, this leads us to interpret our measurement of \( k_4 \) as an upper limit, and we prefer to quote a value of \( k_4 \leq 4 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{molec.}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \).

### 4.5 Comparison with the previous determination of \( k_4 \)

Our experimental upper limit of \( 4 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{molec.}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) is a factor \( \approx 300 \) lower than the single, previous experimental determination (Wu et al., 2017). Wu et al. (2017) used a relative rate technique, which, in principle, offers the advantage that absolute concentrations of \( \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH} \) need not be known as long as \( \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH} \) and the reference reactant are removed solely via reaction with \( \text{OH} \), and neither are reformed. However, the relative rate method does not lend itself readily to the study of this reaction, especially when the 254 nm photolysis of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) is used as \( \text{OH} \) source, which results both in the photolysis of \( \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH} \) and in formation of \( \text{HO}_2 \), which via reactions with \( \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)O}_2 \) can result in reformation of \( \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH} \). These issues were recognized by Wu et al. (2017), and corrections were applied to take both into account, which resulted in a slight increase in the rate coefficient. In some initial relative rate experiments in our laboratory, we were unable to derive consistent results as the large affinity of \( \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH} \) for surfaces combined with its desorption from the walls during photolysis was too variable to allow analysis of the data.

The potential energy surface for the \( \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH} + \text{OH} \) reaction is shown in Fig. 8. The addition of \( \text{OH} \) radicals on a carbonyl double bond is known to have a high barrier and a negligible contribution and is ignored in this work (Anglada, 2004; De Smedt et al., 2005; Rypkema and Francisco, 2013; Vandenberk et al., 2002). The H abstraction reactions proceed through a prereaction complex and feature two protruding barriers for H abstraction at energies of 2.99 and 3.91 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) above the free reactants, corresponding to the abstraction of the peracetic H atom and the methyl H atoms, respectively. The products are formed in a post-reaction complex that quickly dissociates to the free products. The rate coefficients calculated are found to be low, with a value of \( k_4 = 3 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{molec.}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) at 298 K. At 298 K, the branching ratio \( k_{4a}/k_4 \) is predicted to be 0.78, and abstraction of the peracetic H atom dominates across the temperature range 200–450 K. Abstraction of the methyl H atoms ranges from 10% at 200 K to 38% at 450 K. The temperature dependence of the overall rate coefficient is given by \( k_4 = 3.16 \times 10^{-46} T^{1.90} \exp(3447 K/T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{molec.}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \), with \( k_{4a}(T) = 1.43 \times 10^{-43} T^{9.87} \exp(3287 K/T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{molec.}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) and \( k_{4b}(T) = 9.65 \times 10^{-47} T^{11.10} \exp(3000 K/T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{molec.}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \). At the level of theory used, the expected uncertainty is a factor of 2 to 3. Given the slow product formation rate, the protruding reaction barriers and the fast formation and decomposition of the complex, \( k_4 \) is not expected to show a pressure dependence and should be at the high-pressure limit under the experimental conditions (50–100 Torr \( \text{N}_2 \)).

The theoretical predictions of \( k_{4a}, k_{4b} \) and \( k_{4b} \) are plotted along with the experimental data from this work and the data
of Wu et al. (2017) in Fig. 9. We also indicate the value of $k_4$ (based on comparison with CH$_3$OOH) that is presently used in the Master Chemical Mechanism. Our theoretical work shows that the Arrhenius plot for this reaction is curved, with a positive temperature dependence above room temperature and a negative $T$ dependence below 280 K. At lower temperatures, abstraction of the peracetic H atom is dominant, but at higher temperatures the abstraction of methyl H atoms through the higher energy transition state rises in importance and is expected to become dominant at even higher temperatures. Similar to the reaction between OH and acetic acid (De Smedt et al., 2005; Khamaganov et al., 2006), the curvature in the Arrhenius plot is due to the formation of the prereaction complex and subsequent tunnelling to the products. With decreasing temperatures, the complex is increasingly populated with a longer lifetime, capturing ever more (per-)acetic acid + OH complexes and allowing them to tunnel through the barriers at energies below the reactant energies, leading to a negative $T$ dependence of the rate coefficient. At high temperatures, the lifetime of the prereaction complex is too short for effective tunnelling, and the reaction proceeds predominantly over the protruding barriers, leading to a traditional positive $T$ dependence.

With acetic and peracetic acids having similar mechanisms, this does not yet explain why the reaction with peracetic acid + OH is so much slower than the reaction of acetic acid + OH, despite the fact that the acidic H abstraction barrier height for CH$_3$C(O)OH, 3.3 kcal mol$^{-1}$ (De Smedt et al., 2005) is comparable within $\sim$ 0.3 kcal mol$^{-1}$ to that for CH$_3$C(O)OOH, 2.99 kcal mol$^{-1}$ (this work). The critical difference lies in the H bonding in the reactant and prereaction complex. In CH$_3$C(O)OH, the H bond between the acidic H atom and the carbonyl oxygen is very long, $\sim$ 2.25 Å, and thus weak. In CH$_3$C(O)OOH acid in contrast, this H bond is very short, 1.88 Å, and strong. Acetic acid can thus act without a penalty as a bidentate ligand for OH, forming two strong H bonds, leading to a planar complex with 7.3 kcal mol$^{-1}$ stability (De Smedt et al., 2005). CH$_3$C(O)OOH, in contrast, can either form a complex with only a single H bond on OH or needs to break the strong peracidic bond to form a geometrically unfavourable, non-planar complex with two OH hydrogen bonds. The peracidic complex is thus significantly less stable, by $\approx$ 4.0 kcal mol$^{-1}$, where the energy of the doubly H-bonded OH radical complex is 0.5 kcal mol$^{-1}$ higher than that of the most stable single-H-bonded complex, retaining the peracidic H bond. At room temperature, this weaker bonding decreases the lifetime of the prereactive complex by over 2 orders of magnitude compared to acetic acid, significantly reducing its equilibrium concentration and its ability to tunnel slowly through the barrier. This leads to a slower product formation rate compared to acetic acid, with a larger fraction of the prereaction complexes simply re-dissociating to the free reactants. Concomitantly, the deeper complex well for acetic acid + OH allows this latter reaction to show a negative $T$-dependent rate coefficient by sustained tunnelling even at higher temperatures, up to $\sim$ 500 K (Khamaganov et al., 2006), well beyond our predictions of a minimum of around 270 K for peracetic acid. Furthermore, we calculate a slightly wider energy barrier for peracetic acid, with a 1700 cm$^{-1}$ imaginary frequency, compared to that reported for acetic acid, 2000 cm$^{-1}$ (De Smedt et al., 2005), which further limits tunnelling for peracetic acid compared to acetic acid.

---
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The strong H bond in peracetic acid also make its H abstraction reactions slower than in alkyl hydroperoxides such as CH$_3$OOH. These ROOH compounds can easily form complexes with OH radicals, and the H abstraction transition states are thus submerged by up to 1 kcal mol$^{-1}$ below the free reactants (Anglada et al., 2017), and even the somewhat less favourable H$_2$O$_2$ + OH reaction has energy barriers only $\sim 1$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ above the reactants (Buszek et al., 2012). This enables the ROOH + OH reactions to proceed substantially faster than CH$_3$C(O)OOH + OH.

The dominant products of the OH-initiated degradation of CH$_3$C(O)OOH in air are the acetylperoxy radical, CH$_3$C(O)OO, the fate of which is described in Sect. 1, and includes formation of PAN or reformation of CH$_3$C(O)OOH. In air, the minor CH$_3$C(O)OOH product of Reaction (R4b) is expected to add O$_2$, forming a peracetic acid peroxy radical, OOCH$_2$C(O)OOH, which will also undergo reactions with NO, RO$_2$ and HO$_2$.

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH} + O_2 + M \rightarrow \text{OOCH}_2\text{C(O)OOH} \quad (R18)
\]

\[
\text{OOCH}_2\text{C(O)OOH} + NO \\
\rightarrow \text{OCH}_2\text{C(O)OOH} + NO_2 \quad (R19)
\]

\[
\text{OCH}_2\text{C(O)OOH} + HO_2 \\
\rightarrow \text{HOOCCH}_2\text{C(O)OOH} + O_2 \quad (R20)
\]

\[
\text{OCH}_2\text{C(O)OOH} + RO_2 \\
\rightarrow \text{OCHC(O)OOH} + RO + O_2 \quad (R21a)
\]

\[
\rightarrow \text{HOCH}_2\text{C(O)OOH} + R = O + O_2 \quad (R21b)
\]

\[
\rightarrow \text{OCHC(O)OOH} + RO + O_2 \quad (R21c)
\]

OCH$_2$C(O)OOH will quickly decompose to HCHO, CO$_2$ and OH (Vereecken and Peeters, 2009).

\[
\text{OCH}_2\text{C(O)OOH} \rightarrow \text{HCHO} + \text{CO}_2 + \text{OH} \quad (R22)
\]

Given that the slow abstraction of the peracetic H atom is a feature of the -C(O)OOH moiety and that the abstraction reaction is not influenced unduly by other functionalities in the molecules, we propose that the site-specific abstraction rate coefficient can be generalized to all peracids and used in group-additive structure–activity relationships. Only for long-chain oxygenated molecules, where an oxygenated group can reach the -C(O)OOH group and influence the H bonding with OH, can one expect a non-negligible deviation in the site-specific rate.

**4.7 Atmospheric implications**

Our experimental and theoretical results indicate that the reaction of CH$_3$C(O)OOH with OH has a rate coefficient of $\sim 3 \times 10^{-14}$ cm$^3$ molec.$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ at temperatures prevalent in the lowermost atmosphere (i.e. in the boundary layer at mid-latitudes), doubling to $\sim 6 \times 10^{-14}$ cm$^3$ molec.$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ at temperatures close to 230 K, as found in, for example, the upper troposphere. Assuming a global averaged OH abundance of $1 \times 10^6$ molec. cm$^{-3}$, these rate coefficients imply a lifetime of CH$_3$C(O)OOH with respect to degradation by OH of between about 6 months and 1 year. Given the low rate coefficient for reaction of CH$_3$C(O)OOH with OH, other loss processes are likely to dominate its atmospheric fate; these are wet and dry deposition, uptake to aerosols and photolysis so that its lifetime will be given by

\[
r(\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH}) = \frac{1}{k_4[\text{OH}]+J+k_{\text{dep}}+k_{\text{het}}} .
\]

where $J$ is the first-order rate coefficient for photolysis by actinic radiation, $k_{\text{dep}}$ is the effective loss rate coefficient for removal by deposition and $k_{\text{het}}$ is the loss rate coefficient for heterogeneous uptake to aerosol particles. The rate at which CH$_3$C(O)OOH will deposit on surfaces in the boundary layer is given by its deposition velocity and the boundary-layer height. Crowley et al. (2018) have assessed the terms $k_{\text{dep}}$ (for dry deposition) and $k_{\text{het}}$ for a summertime, mid-latitude, forested environment. Based on observations of CH$_3$C(O)OOH and H$_2$O$_2$, solubilities of CH$_3$C(O)OOH and H$_2$O$_2$ (Sander, 1999) actinic flux measurements, the UV absorption spectrum of CH$_3$C(O)OOH (Orlando and Tyndall, 2003) aerosol surface areas and an experimental uptake coefficient (Wu et al., 2015), they derived values of $k_{\text{dep}} \sim 3-5 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$, $k_{\text{het}} \sim 5 \times 10^{-6}$ s$^{-1}$ and $J \sim 5 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ at local noon and concluded that, in the absence of rain, dry deposition is the dominant loss process in the boundary layer.

Above the boundary layer the loss of CH$_3$C(O)OOH via deposition and heterogeneous uptake to aerosol is less significant so that reaction with OH and photolysis will define its lifetime. A photolysis rate coefficient ($J$ value) of $5 \pm 1 \times 10^{-7}$ s$^{-1}$ for CH$_3$C(O)OOH in the free and upper troposphere results in a lifetime of $\sim 3-4$ weeks (Orlando and Tyndall, 2003). We note, however, that estimates of the photolysis rate coefficient are based on a single absorption spectrum measured to date (Orlando and Tyndall, 2003) and the assumption of a unity photodissociation quantum yield throughout the UV absorption spectrum, which remains unconfirmed by experiment or theory.

**5 Conclusions**

Both experimental and theoretical studies of the reaction between OH and CH$_3$C(O)OOH firmly establish that this is a slow process. The experimental work shows the rate coefficient is $< 4 \times 10^{-14}$ cm$^3$ molec.$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ at 298 K, consistent with the theory-derived, temperature-dependent rate coefficients between 3 and $6 \times 10^{-14}$ cm$^3$ molec.$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for the entire troposphere. The low rate coefficient is rationalized in terms of a weakly bound (short-lived) prereaction complex combined with a sufficiently broad reaction barrier to reduce product formation by tunnelling. The site-specific rate coefficient for H abstraction from the –C(O)OOH moiety can be generalized to most reactions of OH with peracids, which
will thus also be slow. The rate coefficient for the OH reaction is thus at least 2 orders of magnitude lower than previously reported and implies that the lifetime of CH$_3$C(O)OOH is dominated by deposition processes (notably dry deposition) in the boundary layer and photolysis in the free and upper troposphere, with OH-initiated degradation playing a minor role. The boundary-layer lifetime is expected to be of the order of 1 d, increasing to weeks in the free and upper troposphere. The longer than previously assumed chemical lifetime of CH$_3$C(O)OOH and probably of other peracids increases their potential to contribute to secondary organic aerosol formation.
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