Measuring and Modeling Multipath TCP
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Abstract. Multipath TCP, a major extension to regular TCP, allows TCP clients to utilize multiple paths to improve the transfer rate and connection robustness. Providing these benefits without requiring to upgrade network infrastructure nor applications, Multipath TCP is becoming more popular. Notably Apple iOS 7 now supports it for Siri. However, there is still lack of a complete understanding of Multipath TCP in practice. How much can a user benefit from Multipath TCP in different scenarios? Which factors affect the performance of Multipath TCP? How well can we predict the behavior of Multipath TCP in a specific environment? Our research aims to answer these questions by large-scale measurements and model-based analysis. The answers will be an important input for designers and developers to further improve Multipath TCP.
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1 Background

To be able to use several network paths for a single connection is a long-desired feature, since it would bring several benefits including higher transfer rate and better robustness. However, this is not possible with TCP – the dominating reliable protocol in today’s Internet. As an extension for TCP, Multipath TCP (MPTCP) is rapidly adopted by both academia and industry. At the time of writing, MPTCP implementations exist on several operating systems, including Linux [10], Apple iOS and MacOS [1], FreeBSD [14], Solaris [5] and Citrix. A notable use case of MPTCP is to enable WiFi/3G offload on mobile devices [11,3,4]. On recent iPhones and iPads, Apple has deployed and enabled MPTCP by default for its voice recognition application (SIRI) [1] in order to reduce end-to-end delays. It could also be used in datacenters to exploit multiple paths between hosts [13]. Before MPTCP, Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) [6] was designed with multi-homing in mind and supports concurrent multipath extensions [9]. However, SCTP is still not widely used since it requires developers to change their applications and many networking devices like NATs/Firewalls do not understand SCTP and block its traffic. Instead, Multipath TCP was designed with backward-compatible goals in mind: it provides network applications the same API as regular TCP, for that TCP applications can use MPTCP without any modification. Behind the scene, it uses several subflows which appear to network infrastructure as separated regular TCP connections. Detailed explanations of MPTCP can be found in [7].
2 Motivation and Research Problems

Given the quick adoption and the potential applications of MPTCP, it is important to know how reliable MPTCP is and how much performance we can gain from using it in practice. A thorough understanding of MPTCP behavior and performance now becomes critical. We have identified three major questions that need to be answered:

1. **How is MPTCP currently used?**
   In MPTCP, so-called schedulers and path managers control how subflows are established and how packets are distributed over them. Different implementations for scheduling and path managing are available. In order to further develop MPTCP, the designers of MPTCP need to know what users are currently using. Which configurations are used in practice? Can we find that from passive measurements? For what applications is MPTCP used?

2. **How does MPTCP behave in practice?**
   This can be split into more specific questions: whether MPTCP always behaves correctly, and how well the performance of MPTCP is. SCTP has been implemented in many operating systems, but its usage is very limited since it is incompatible with conventional TCP. Learned from the painfully slow adoption/deployment of SCTP, MPTCP was designed to work with conventional applications and network infrastructure. For example, MPTCP should fall back to regular TCP when proper operation over multiple paths is not possible. Until now, there is little knowledge about the correct behavior of MPTCP in such situations over the global Internet. In terms of performance, the fact that MPTCP uses several paths does not mean it will automatically gain the sum of goodput over all paths. Moreover, the perceived delay to the user is also important to investigate.

3. **How to predict the behavior of MPTCP before deploying it in a specific environment?**
   While MPTCP has potential to bring several benefits to a wide range of devices, network operators or service providers need to anticipate the benefits and the risks from deploying MPTCP on a large scale.

   Generally, in order to answer the above questions, we can evaluate MPTCP through analysis, simulation, emulation [12], or real-world measurement. There are several existing efforts. Passive measurement is done by collecting the available information from the network, for example in [8], while active measurement is done by sending particular packets through networks and getting the necessary metrics [3,4]. There are also works toward modeling and analysis. For example, Arzani et al. [2] present a simple model for MPTCP behavior for a simple 2-path topology. However, to predict MPTCP behavior in a real, heterogeneous environment is still a big challenge.

3 Research Directions

We plan to answer research questions 1 and 2 by performing measurements. A first step was done in [8] where we collected and analyzed the MPTCP network