Enantioselective Synthesis of α-Quaternary Mannich Adducts by Palladium-Catalyzed Allylic Alkylation: Total Synthesis of (+)-Sibirinine
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ABSTRACT: A catalytic enantioselective method for the synthesis of α-quaternary Mannich-type products is reported. The two-step sequence of (1) Mannich reaction followed by (2) decarboxylative enantioselective allylic alkylation serves as a novel strategy to effect access to asymmetric Mannich-type products of “thermodynamic” enolates of substrates possessing additional enolizable positions and acidic protons. Palladium-catalyzed decarboxylative allylic alkylation enables the enantioselective synthesis of five-, six-, and seven-membered ketone, lactam, and other heterocyclic systems. The mild reaction conditions are notable given the acidic free N−H groups and high functional group tolerance in each of the substrates. The utility of this method is highlighted in the first total synthesis of (+)-sibirinine.

The Mannich reaction, first discovered in the early 20th century, is among the most robust reactions known to produce nitrogen-containing compounds. In a classic intermolecular Mannich reaction, an aldehyde, an amine, and an α-acidic carbonyl compound react to form a β-amino carbonyl compound.1 Recent progress in this area, including modified imine donors and well-explored catalyst systems, has made available a wide variety of asymmetric α-functionalizations of carbonyl compounds.2 To date, asymmetric Mannich-type reactions to establish α-quaternary carbonyl compounds have been limited to stabilized enolates3 (e.g., 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds). To our knowledge, the lone exception is a proline-catalyzed Mannich reaction with branched aldehydes.4 Despite the importance of the Mannich reaction, only a handful of asymmetric α-aminomethylation reactions have been reported.5 Enders et al.5a employed enantiomerically pure α-silyl ketones for regio- and diastereoselective syntheses of Mannich bases. Córdova et al.5b developed a proline-catalyzed asymmetric Mannich reaction that provides α-aminomethylated α-tertiary ketones in excellent enantioselectivities.6 Despite the importance of the Mannich reaction, only a handful of asymmetric α-aminomethylation reactions have been reported.5 Enders et al.5a employed enantiomerically pure α-silyl ketones for regio- and diastereoselective syntheses of Mannich bases. Córdova et al.5b developed a proline-catalyzed asymmetric Mannich reaction that provides α-aminomethylated α-tertiary ketones in excellent enantioselectivities. The only example of enantioselective all-carbon quaternary center formation in this area is the palladium(II)-catalyzed aminomethylation of β-keto esters (i.e., II, R = CO₂t-Bu) performed by Sodeoka et al.5d however, the reported enantioselectivities are only moderate (up to 68% ee). To date, there are no reports of enantioselective catalysis leading to α-quaternary aminomethyl “Mannich” adducts bearing only a single carbonyl moiety (i.e., II, R = alkyl).

The regioselectivity of enolate formation, potential subsequent enolization, and difficulty in controlling enantioselectivity under conditions that proceed through the thermodynamically favorable enolate all pose significant challenges to those trying to access enantioenriched α-quaternary Mannich adducts7 of α-alkyl-substituted ketones (Figure 1A). To overcome these challenges, we envisioned a strategy wherein the alkylation, not the aminomethylation, would be performed last (Figure 1B).

The extensive substrate scope and broad functional group compatibility of this transformation8,9 encouraged further exploration of palladium catalysts in the synthesis of amine-containing substrates, thereby facilitating access to enantioenriched bioactive alkaloids or pharmaceutical candidates. We therefore sought to implement our well-studied, reliable
alkylation chemistry in a simple yet powerful strategy for the synthesis of α-quaternary Mannich products in an enantioselective fashion. Our plan is outlined in Figure 1B. Introduction of an aminomethyl group to β-keto ester V using classical Mannich chemistry (V to VI), followed by an asymmetric allylic alkylation reaction, would provide the enantioenriched α-quaternary ketone product VII. Compound VII can be thought of as an α-aminoallylation product of the so-called “thermodynamic” enolate of compound I. We imagined that successful exploration of this inverted strategy would enable rapid, stereocontrolled total syntheses of (−)-isomitranine and (+)-sibirinine.10–12

To introduce the aminomethyl moiety, we employed sulfonylmethyl carbamates (e.g., 2a) as versatile and readily available imine precursors.13 In the presence of Cs2CO3, the Boc-protected imine generated from 2 reacted with β-keto ester 114 to smoothly afford β-amino ketone 3a, quantitatively, at ambient temperature (Scheme 1). In a similar manner, we obtained other protected aminoketones 3b–g in good to excellent yields.15

Scheme 1. Synthesis of β-Keto Esters 3a

With β-keto esters 3a–g in hand, our investigation into this substrate class commenced in the context of palladium-catalyzed allylic alkylation as shown in Table 1. We found that exposure of Boc-protected substrate 3a to a catalytic phosphinooxazoline16—palladium(0) complex in toluene at ambient temperature afforded the desired product 4a in 94% yield and 86% ee (entry 1). Cbz-protected 3b also gave an excellent yield and ee (entry 3). It is important to note that we did not detect any N-alkylated side products, a result that highlights the mild nature of our reaction conditions.17 Arylcarbamates 3c–e gave slightly decreased enantioselectivities in the products (entries 4–6). Changing from carbamate to benzyol or tosyl protecting groups resulted in poor ee (entries 7, 8) presumably due to their ability to coordinate to the catalyst and/or the enhanced acidity of the N–H proton. We found that the more electron-withdrawn ligand, L1, gave higher enantioselectivity. In the case of (S)-BuPHOX L2 (Table 1, entry 2), we observed diminished ee.

As outlined in Table 2, we have found that a broad range of ketones and amides (e.g., 5a–g) can easily be converted into enantioenriched tetrasubstituted Mannich-type products (e.g., 7a–i) with this two-step strategy. For all substrates, the first step proceeded in good to excellent yields (72–99%). In the allylic alkylation, 2-phenyl-2-propenyl-substituted 6a was obtained in high yield (91%) and excellent enantioselectivity (90% ee). Cycloheptanone 6b proved to be a good substrate and the corresponding α-quaternary cycloheptanone 7b was isolated in 93% yield and 87% ee, while cyclopentanone 6c gave a slightly lower enantioselectivity (82% ee). Vinylogous ester 6d and tetralone 6e afforded α-quaternary vinylogous ester 7d and tetralone 7e in 70% yield and 92% ee, and 74% yield and 93% ee, respectively. Heterocyclic ketone scaffolds were found to be competent substrates for this transformation, as 4-

| Table 1. Optimization of the Amine Protecting Group |
| --- |
| entry | R (3 → 4) | ligand yield (%) | ee (%) |
| 1 | Boc (3a → 4a) | L1 | 94 | 86 |
| 2 | Boc (3a → 4a) | L2 | ND | 80 |
| 3 | Cbz (3b → 4b) | L1 | 96 | 86 |
| 4 | X = OMe (3c → 4c) | L1 | 91 | 83 |
| 5 | X = H (3d → 4d) | L1 | 90 | 77 |
| 6 | X = F (3e → 4e) | L1 | 84 | 77 |
| 7 | Bz (3f → 4f) | L1 | ND | 56 |
| 8 | Ts (3g → 4g) | L1 | 54 | 24 |

“Reaction performed with 0.2 mmol of 3, 5 mol % of Pd(dba)2 (dba = dibenzylideneacetone), 12.5 mol % of ligand at 0.033 M in toluene at 23 °C. Determined by chiral SFC analysis. Absolute stereochemistry has been assigned by analogy, except in entry 3, which was assigned by conversion into (−)-isomitranine. A yield was not determined.”

| Table 2. Two-Step Synthesis of α-Aminomethyl Carbonyl Compounds from β-Oxo Esters |
| --- |
| 1. 2a, Cs2CO3, CHCl3, 23 °C | 2. Pd(dba)2 (5 mol %), L1 (12.5 mol %) | n |
| 6a 79% yield | 6b 72% yield | 6c 66% yield |
| 7a 91% yield, 90% ee | 7b 93% yield, 87% ee | 7c 98% yield, 82% ee |
| 6d 83% yield | 6e 99% yield, 93% ee | 6f 80% yield, 90% ee |
| 7d 70% yield, 92% ee | 7e 74% yield, 93% ee | 7f 78% yield, 90% ee |
| 6g 74% yield | 6h 80% yield | 6i 80% yield |
| 7g 94% yield, 90% ee | 7h 92% yield, 99% ee | 7i 71% yield, 92% ee |

“Reaction conditions for step 2: 6 (1 equiv), Pd(dba)2 (5 mol %), and L1 (12.5 mol %) in toluene (0.033 M) at 23 °C for 12–48 h. Pd2(pmdba)3 (pmdba = bis(4-methoxybenzylidene)acetone) was used instead of Pd(dba)2. ‘Enantiomeric excesses were determined by chiral SFC analysis. Reactions were performed on 6g, 6h, and 6i at 40 °C. ‘For individual reaction times, see Supporting Information.”
piperidinone 7f was isolated in 78% yield and 90% ee. Lastly, we were pleased to find that, under slightly elevated reaction temperatures (40 °C), the desired lactam 7g, morpholinone 7h, and carbazolone 7i were obtained in moderate to excellent yields (51–94%) and excellent enantioselectivities (90–99% ee).

In order to exhibit the utility of our method for generating interesting and useful chiral building blocks, the first total synthesis of (+)-sibirinine (12) was carried out (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Natural Product Synthesis

(+)-Sibirinine is a tricyclic alkaloid featuring an N,O-acetal, a tertiary amine N-oxide, and two pairs of vicinal stereocenters, including an all-carbon quaternary center. Asymmetric allylic alkylation using 1 g of 3b proceeded with one-half of the typical catalyst loading without any loss of enantioselectivity. Reduction of β-amino ketone 4b with disobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL) followed by acetylation of the resulting alcohol, yielded carbamate 8 as a single diastereomer. Hydroboration of the terminal alkene in carbamate 8 provided primary alcohol 9 in 86% yield over three steps. Cyclization of the mesylate derived from primary alcohol 9 smoothly delivered spirocycle 10. Removal of the acetyl and Cbz groups using potassium hydroxide furnished (−)-isonitramine (11) in 77% yield. Treatment of (−)-isonitramine (11) with excess acetaldehyde yielded the desired hemiaminal, which was smoothly oxidized by m-CPBA to give (+)-sibirinine (12) in 92% yield over two steps. Notably, conversion of (−)-isonitramine to (+)-sibirinine can be accomplished in one pot by forming the hemiaminal intermediate under an oxygen atmosphere, albeit in diminished yield. Spectral data of 11 and 12 were identical to those previously reported.\(^\text{11,12}\)

Our synthesis of (−)-isonitramine confirms the absolute stereochemistry of 4b.\(^\text{11}\)

In summary, we have developed an inverted approach to the synthesis of α-quaternary and tetrasubstituted tertiary Mannich-type products by strategic enolate formation to give products in moderate to excellent yields and good to excellent ee. This chemistry tolerates a variety of ketone, amide, and vinylogous ester functionalities even in the presence of basic tertiary amines and relatively acidic N–H moieties. Multiple ring sizes as well as aromatic and heteroaromatic scaffolds are also accessible via this strategy. Furthermore, this method enables the efficient construction of spirocyclic amine-containing scaffolds, as illustrated in our synthesis of the alkaloids (−)-isonitramine and (+)-sibirinine. The first total synthesis of (+)-sibirinine was accomplished in 11 steps and 36% overall yield from commercially available diallyl pimelate. Further studies expanding the scope and applications of this two-step Mannich-like methodology are ongoing in our laboratory.
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