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Diffusion mediated reaction models are particularly ubiquitous in the description of physical, chemical or biological processes. The random walk schema is a useful tool for formulating these models. Recently, evanescent random walk models have received attention in order to include finite lifetime processes. For instance, activated chemical reactions, such as laser photolysis, exhibit a different asymptotic limit when compared with immortal walker models. A diffusion limited reaction model based on a one dimensional continuous time random walk on a lattice with evanescent walkers is presented here. The absorption probability density and the reaction rate are analytically calculated in the Laplace domain. A finite absorption rate is considered, a model usually referred to as imperfect trapping. Short and long time behaviors are analyzed.

I. Introduction

The dynamics of the diffusion mediated reaction process has been extensively studied for many years due to its relevance in the description of diverse phenomena in physics, chemistry or biology [1–4]. A particularly interesting problem is the calculation of the probability density for the time at which a reaction $A + B \rightarrow C$ takes place (Absorption Probability Density - APD) when the displacement of species $A$ or $B$ (or both) is diffusive. Other magnitudes, such as time dependent reaction rates or survival probabilities of the reactives, can be derived from the APD. Dielectric relaxation [5], capture of ligands after surface diffusion [6] or proteins with active sites deep inside the protein matrix [7] are examples of the application of the diffusion mediated reactions schema.

A random walk schema provides an excellent tool to model diffusion and has been studied for a long time with different alternatives to include the reaction process. Recently, a new kind of random walk models has been addressed in [8,9] to include evanescent or mortal random walkers. In these models, the diffusing particles (reactives) may disappear during their displacement. Their disappearance may represent, for example, the decay of a laser activated reactive as in studies of fluorescence quenching by laser photolysis [10]. The calculation of chemical reaction rates from a model of immortal diffusing particles in the presence of a trap may be traced to the original contribution of Smoluchowski [11]: a single absorbing sphere surrounded by diffusing particles with an initial uniform concentration. Smoluchowski’s model assumes immediate trapping (reaction) upon encounter of the reactives, a great dilution of one of the species (the minority species represented by the sphere) and normal diffusion of the particles with diffusion coefficient $D = D_A + D_B$, being $D_A$
and $D_B$ the diffusion coefficients of species $A$ and $B$, respectively. Diverse extensions have been proposed to include diffusion in disordered media \cite{12.13} or to improve the description of short time behavior by considering a finite reaction rate \cite{14,15}. Extensions of Smoluchowski's model to random walks on lattices have been proposed to consider a finite reaction rate \cite{14,15} or the modulation of reaction through gating controlled by an independent dynamics \cite{16}. In these models, the reactives may separate without reaction in each encounter.

We consider here an evanescent Continuous Time Random Walk (CTRW) on a one dimensional lattice with transitions to the nearest neighbors. We assume a time independent transitions rate $\lambda$ for diffusion and $\eta$ for evanescence. The reaction is included in the model by considering a trap at a fixed position in the lattice. When a walker arrives at this position, it may be trapped with a rate $\kappa$ or it may escape to a neighbor site with transition rate $\lambda$. The main magnitude to be calculated is the Absorption Probability Density (APD) for a walker starting at an arbitrary position on the lattice: the probability density for the time of reaction. The APD is analytically calculated in the Laplace representation and from this magnitude the Reaction Rate and the Survival Probability are calculated.

II. Continuous time random walk

We include here some general CTRW results. Although most of these results may be found in the literature, we include them here with our particular problem in mind and also to make consistent the notation used in this paper.

Let us consider an infinite one dimensional lattice as shown in Fig. 1. Each position in the lattice is identified by an integer number $x$. We assume that at some instant $t = 0$; present on the lattice, there is a uniform distribution of noninteracting walkers with concentration $c_0$ at every lattice position. Each walker is able to perform a CTRW with probability $\psi_0 (x - x'; t - t') dt$ of making a transition $x' \rightarrow x$ between $t$ and $t + dt$, having arrived at $x'$ at time $t'$. We shall assume here the waiting time probability density

$$\psi_0 (x - x'; t) = [p_d \delta_{x,x' + 1} + p_i \delta_{x,x' - 1}] \lambda e^{-\lambda t}. \tag{1}$$

Let $G_0 (x; t | x_0)$ denote the conditional probability density for the arrival time at position $x$ of a walker that started its journey at $x_0$. This probability density is the Green's function for the problem and satisfies the recursive relation

$$G_0 (x; t | x_0) = \delta_{x,x_0} \delta \left( t - 0^+ \right) + \sum_{x'} \psi_0 (x - x'; t) \ast G_0 (x'; t | x_0), \tag{2}$$

where $\ast$ stands for the time convolution product

$$f (t) \ast g (t) = \int_0^t dt' f (t - t') g (t').$$

Equation (2) may be solved by taking Laplace transform in the time variable and Fourier transform in the lattice coordinate. By means of this procedure, we get the solution in the Laplace representation

$$G_0^L (x; u | x_0) = \frac{1}{2 R_p \psi_0^L (u) R (u)} \left[ \xi (u) \right]_0^{x-x_0} \tag{3}$$

where the super index $L$ indicates the Laplace transform of a function

$$f^L (u) = \int_0^\infty dt e^{-ut} f (t),$$

and we have defined the auxiliary symbols

$$R_p = \sqrt{p_d p_i}, \quad R_c = \sqrt{p_i / p_d},$$

$$\psi_0^L (u) = \frac{\lambda}{u + \lambda}, \quad R (u) = \sqrt{\left( \frac{1}{2 R_p \psi_0^L (u)} \right)^2 - 1},$$

$$\xi (u) = \frac{1}{2 R_p \psi_0^L (u)} - R (u). \tag{4}$$

The conditional probability $P_0 (x; t | x_0)$ of finding the walker at position $x$ at time $t$ given that it started at $x_0$ can be obtained from the Green’s function by a convolution product

$$P_0 (x; t | x_0) = \Phi_0 (t) \ast G_0 (x; t | x_0), \tag{5}$$

with

$$\Phi_0 (t) = e^{-\lambda t}, \tag{6}$$
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The sojourn probability at any site in the lattice.

The conditional probability density for the first passage time, in turn, can be expressed in terms of the Green’s function in the Laplace representation by Siegert’s formula

\[ F_{L}^{0}(x; u | x_{0}) = \frac{G_{L}^{0}(x; u | x_{0})}{G_{L}^{0}(x; u | x)}. \] (7)

### i. Evanescent continuous time random walk

We include now the possibility of evanescence of a walker at any site in the lattice. We assume that evanescence is a process statistically independent of displacement with a time independent rate \( \eta \). In the evanescent case, the waiting time density (WTD) modifies to

\[ \psi_{e}(x - x'; t) = [p_{d} \delta_{x,x' + 1} + p_{i} \delta_{x,x' - 1}] \times \lambda e^{-(\lambda + \eta)t}. \] (8)

The Green’s function for the evanescent CTRW (ECTRW) satisfies a recursive relation similar to Eq. \([2]\), where \( \psi_{0} \) must be replaced by \( \psi_{e} \). The solution is directly obtained in the Laplace representation in terms of \( G_{0}^{L} \)

\[ G_{e}^{L}(x; u | x_{0}) = G_{0}^{L}(x; u + \eta | x_{0}), \] (9)

i.e., in the standard CTRW Green’s function in Eq. \([4]\); the variable \( u \) must be substituted by \( u + \eta \).

If we assume an initially uniform concentration in the ECTRW, \( c_{0} \), the concentration at time \( t \) is

\[ c(t) = c_{0}e^{-\eta t}, \] (10)

i.e., it remains uniform but decays exponentially.

### III. Local trap in an ECTRW

We represent the reaction process as the trapping of a walker by a trap at a particular position in the lattice, denoted here as \( x_{1} \). In Fig. 1, we represent the trapping as a transition of the walker to a limbo state from which it cannot return to the lattice. When a walker arrives at \( x_{1} \), it may be trapped with a time independent rate \( \kappa \) or it may make a transition to a neighbor site with a transition rate \( \lambda \) continuing with its walk or it may even evanesc with rate \( \eta \). We assume the three processes to be statistically independent from each other. To take into account the trapping possibility at \( x_{1} \), the WTD at the trap position is modified to

\[ \psi_{1}(x - x_{1}; t) = [p_{d} \delta_{x,x_{1} + 1} + p_{i} \delta_{x,x_{1} - 1}] \times \lambda e^{-(\lambda + \eta + \kappa)t}. \] (11)

For the remaining sites in the lattice, the WTD is that of Eq. \([8]\). Therefore, the Green’s function for the trapping problem, \( G_{t}(x; t | x_{0}) \), satisfies the recursive relation

\[ G_{t}(x; t | x_{0}) = \delta_{x,x_{0}} \delta(t - 0^{+}) \] (12)

\[ + \sum_{x'} \psi_{1}(x - x'; t) G_{t}(x'; t | x_{0}), \]

with

\[ \psi_{1}(x - x'; t) = \begin{cases} \psi_{e}(x - x'; t) & x' \neq x_{1} \\ \psi_{1}(x - x_{1}; t) & x' = x_{1} \end{cases} \] (13)

By means of the local inhomogeneity method as in \([15, 16]\), we may express \( G_{t}(x; t | x_{0}) \) in terms of \( G_{e}(x; t | x_{0}) \) in the Laplace representation
\[ G^L_t(x; u \mid x_0) = G^L_t(x; u \mid x_0) - \frac{A}{B} C, \] (14)

where

\[ A = G^L_t(x; u \mid x_1) - \delta_{x,x_1} - \sum_{x'} G^L_t(x; u \mid x') \psi_1(x' - x_1), \]
\[ B = G^L_t(x_1; u \mid x_1) - \sum_{x'} G^L_t(x_1; u \mid x') \psi_1(x' - x_1), \]
\[ C = G^L_t(x_1; u \mid x_0). \]

The Green’s function in Eq. (14) at \( x = x_1 \) is of particular interest for the trapping problem. For a walker to be trapped, it must be at \( x_1 \) and it has to make a transition to the limbo state instead of making a transition to a neighbor site or to evanescence. The time of trapping probability density (APD) is given by the convolution product

\[ A(t \mid x_0) = \kappa e^{-(\kappa + \eta + \lambda) t} \ast G^L_t(x_1; t \mid x_0). \] (15)

An explicit expression for the APD is obtained in the Laplace representation by making use of Eqs. (3), (4), (9) and (14)

\[ A^L(u \mid x_0) = \frac{1}{1 + 2Rc \frac{\lambda}{\kappa} R(u + \eta) \times \frac{[\xi(u + \eta)]^{x_1 - x_0}}{Rc^{x_1 - x_0}}}. \] (16)

The same expression for the APD is obtained if we assume that the trap (the minority species) is evanescent instead of the walkers (the majority species).

IV. Survival probability and reaction rate

If we consider a walker that starts its journey at \( x_0 \), the probability that this walker has not been trapped by time \( t \) (one particle survival probability) is

\[ S_1(t) = 1 - \int_0^t dt' A(t' \mid x_0). \] (17)

Following Bendler and Shlesinger [5], we assume a finite lattice of size \( V \) with \( N \) walkers initially uniformly distributed (the probability of finding a walker at a given site is \( 1/V \)), with initial concentration \( c_0 = N/V \). The probability that none of the walkers initially on the lattice has been trapped by time \( t \) is

\[ S_N(t) = \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{V} \int_0^t dt' \sum_{x_0} A(t' \mid x_0) \right]^N. \] (18)

In the thermodynamic limit \( (N, V \rightarrow \infty, N/V \rightarrow c_0) \), the probability of no reaction (survival probability) at time \( t \) is

\[ \Phi(t) = \exp \left[ -c_0 \int_0^t dt' \sum_{x_0} A(t' \mid x_0) \right]. \] (19)

The exponent in Eq. (19) is the integral of the time dependent reaction rate, \( \mathcal{R}(t) = \partial_t \ln(\Phi(t)) \)

\[ \mathcal{R}(t) = c_0 \sum_{x_0} A(t' \mid x_0). \] (20)

In Fig. 3 we present the reaction rate, \( \mathcal{R}(t) \), obtained for the model in Eq. (1). The plots are presented in dimensionless units. As it can be appreciated in plot \( a \), there is a small influence of bias in \( \mathcal{R} \) at intermediate times (in units of mean waiting time for diffusion). In plot \( b \), the effect of evanescence is shown. A faster decline in \( \mathcal{R} \) is observed with increasing evanescence rate, as it should be expected.

In Fig. 3 we present the graph of the function \( F(t) = 1 - \Phi(t) \) vs. \( \lambda t \) (dimensionless units). The function \( F(t) \) introduced in [10], and also considered in [15], may be interpreted as the fraction of the original number of minority species (the trap) that have reacted by time \( t \). At short times, the curves are grouped according to the value of the \( \eta/\lambda \) quotient (we are considering only first order Markovian dynamics here), but at long times, this behavior is not observed due to evanescence.
V. Discussion and conclusions

We have presented a theoretical study of diffusion mediated reactions in an evanescent CTRW on a one dimensional lattice. A finite trapping rate is assumed when a walker reaches the trap position (imperfect trap model in Refs. [12,14,15]). Therefore, in each encounter the reaction will not always occur and the walker may escape from the trap. Exact analytical expressions for the reaction rate and the survival probability (probability of no reaction) are obtained in the Laplace representation. Evanescence modifies the long time behavior of the survival probability: the survival probability does not go to zero at long times as in the usual models. Consequently, the minority species fraction that reacts does not reach the asymptotic value of 1 at long times. In this case, the asymptotic value depends on the initial concentration of the majority species and on the ratio $\eta/\lambda$ between the evanescence rate and the diffusion rate. Finally, we point out that the reaction rate value obtained is not modified if we assume an evanescent trap in the presence of non evanescent walkers.

More work along this line, generalizing the present results, is being developed and will be communicated elsewhere.
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