Effect of Moisture Content on High Strain Rate Compressibility and Particle Breakage in Loose Sand
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The split Hopkinson pressure bar (SHPB) has been used by a number of authors to investigate the effect of moisture content on the high-strain-rate behaviour of soils. Felice et al. [1], Veyera [2] and Luo et al. [3] performed experiments on dense sandy soils at strain rates between 600 s⁻¹ and 2000 s⁻¹ over a wide range of moisture contents. As the soils were all dense, little variation in pre-saturation behaviour was observed, although the soils stiffened rapidly on reaching full saturation. Luo et al. [3] additionally observed that particle breakage decreased as moisture content increased in specimens reaching saturation. In experiments on a loose sand by Martin et al. [4] all partially-saturated specimens behaved less stiffly than dry specimens, although there seemed to be no significant difference between the partially-saturated specimens, with considerable overlap between test series. These tests were conducted at relatively low axial stresses (15 MPa), so full saturation did not occur. Barr et al. [5] tested a loose sand at moisture contents between 0.0% and 5.0%. None of the specimens reached full saturation during loading to 400 MPa, and no significant variation in stiffness was observed, although the authors also noted that the behaviour of the specimens was dominated by inertial effects. Modelling of soil-filled gabions in blast or impact events requires an understanding of the dynamic behaviour of relatively loose soils at high stresses, where the early compressibility of the soil can significantly affect both the stability and ballistic resistance of the structure [6, 7]. However, the majority of the existing work is concerned with either dense soils [1–3] or loose soils at low stresses [4]. This paper considers the behaviour of loose quartz sand loaded to 200 MPa at moisture contents between 0.0% and 15.0%, providing information on the effects of moisture content on both pre- and post-saturation stiffness.

The soil tested was a uniform fine and medium quartz sand (EN ISO 14688-1:2002), with a mean particle size of 250 μm and a coefficient of uniformity of 2.2 [5]. The initial particle size distribution (PSD) shown in Fig. 4 was assessed using a Malvern Mastersizer 3000 particle size analyser with a wet dispersion unit: all PSDs in this
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Table 1. Initial saturation ratios and dry density required to reach full saturation for moisture contents between 0.0% and 15.0%.

| Moisture content (%) | 0.0 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 7.5 | 10.0 | 12.5 | 15.0 |
|----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| Saturation ratio at $\rho_d = 1.5 \text{ Mg/m}^3$ (%) | 0.0 | 8.6 | 17.3 | 25.9 | 34.6 | 43.2 | 51.8 |
| Dry density at full saturation (Mg/m$^3$) | –  | 2.49 | 2.34 | 2.21 | 2.09 | 1.99 | 1.90 |

Paper are represented by a curve which encloses the PSD histogram, which is formed of 50 logarithmically-spaced bins. The sand has minimum and maximum dry densities of $\rho_{d,\text{min}} = 1.35 \text{ Mg/m}^3$ and $\rho_{d,\text{max}} = 1.87 \text{ Mg/m}^3$, and was prepared at a loose dry density of $\rho_d = 1.5 \text{ Mg/m}^3$ (density index, $I_D = 0.35$). Specimens were prepared at moisture contents of 0.0% to 15.0% in 2.5% increments as listed in Table 1, which also shows the initial saturation ratios. Three specimens were tested at each moisture content using a constant dry mass of 3.50 g, and with a nominal diameter of 25 mm and length of 4.8 mm.

The SHPB used by Barr et al. [5] was modified to provide a single specimen loading by using a transmitter bar less than half the length of the incident bar, so that the transmitter bar acted as a momentum trap [8]. The dimensions of the 440C stainless steel bars are shown in Fig. 1a. Specimens were loaded in uniaxial strain using a steel confining ring [5]: this limited radial strains to 0.05% during experiments with axial strains exceeding 30%. A lidded polypropylene box was fitted around the confining ring assembly to ensure that the sand specimens were retained for further study (Fig. 1b). Strain data was recorded using semiconductor strain gauges and a TiePie Handyscope oscilloscope as described by Barr et al. [5]. Axial stresses were calculated using a frequency-domain dispersion correction algorithm using the stresses from both pressure bars, and so represent the mean axial stress in the specimen [9, 10].

Specimens achieved peak axial stresses of approximately 200 MPa and peak strain rates of 3000 s$^{-1}$, as shown in Fig. 2. The application of pulse shaping to experiments on soils has not yet been successfully demonstrated at these stresses and strain rates, and so has not been applied here. As a result, the stress on the front face of the specimen is considerably higher than on the back face for the first 70 $\mu$s in Fig. 2a, indicating that inertial forces dominate in this region and the material behaviour may not be accurately represented. The first 70 $\mu$s corresponds to compression up to approximately 1.8 Mg/m$^3$ in Fig. 3, and so the comments below relate to the portion of the loading above 1.8 Mg/m$^3$, where dynamic equilibrium has been achieved and meaningful comparisons can be made.

The mean axial stress—dry density behaviour in Fig. 3a shows that for moisture contents between 0.0% and 7.5% the stiffness of the soil specimen decreased as the moisture content increased. These specimens remained partially-saturated throughout the experiment (Table 1), and so the reduction in stiffness appears to indicate a reduction in inter-particle friction: this behaviour is similar to that observed in SHPB experiments by Martin et al. [4], but to axial stresses of 200 MPa. Figure 4a shows the mean pre- and post-test PSDs of the 0.0% to 7.5% moisture content specimens, where the particle breakage increased slightly with moisture content. This appears to be related to the larger strains obtained at higher moisture contents, where the reduction in inter-particle friction would increase the energy available for further breakage and compaction.

For moisture contents of 10.0% to 15.0% the sand reached full saturation during loading (Fig. 3b). Prior to full saturation, the behaviour at 10.0% to 15.0% moisture content is very similar to the 7.5% specimens, showing no additional reduction in stiffness with increased moisture content. On reaching saturation the increase in stiffness corresponded closely to the EOS of water in each case (dashed lines in Fig. 3b), indicating that subsequent volume changes were dominated by the compression of the pore water. Figure 4b shows the mean pre- and post-test PSDs of the 10.0% to 15.0% moisture content specimens, where particle breakage decreased significantly as the moisture content increased. This result agrees with the experiments on dense soils carried out by Luo et al. [3].

Fig. 1  
(a) Dimensions of modified split Hopkinson pressure bar for single specimen loading, and (b) section detail of specimen confinement.
reaching full saturation the sand particles moved from being loaded mainly via particle-to-particle contact to include a significant hydrostatic component from the surrounding pore water. The resulting decrease in deviatoric stress would make particle breakage less likely, and so the majority of breakage should occur before the specimen reaches full saturation. This is confirmed through comparison of the 7.5% and 10.0% specimens, as the 7.5% specimens achieve a dry density of approximately 2.10 Mg/m³, which is the point at which the 10.0% specimens reach full saturation. Through compression of the pore water the 10.0% specimens continue to a dry density of nearly 2.15 Mg/m³, but have a post-test PSD which is very similar to the 7.5% specimens. Full saturation occurred at lower stresses as moisture content increased, resulting in a reduction in particle breakage.

Fig. 3 Mean axial stress—dry density results for moisture contents of a 0.0% to 7.5%, remaining partially-saturated throughout, and b 10.0% to 15.0%, reaching full saturation during loading (7.5% results repeated for reference). Error bars indicate full range of results. EOS of water from Shin et al. [11]
Figure 5 Mean axial stress—dry density results from SHPB experiments at moisture contents of 0.0% to 5.0%, compared with quasi-static experiments from Barr et al. [5]

Figure 5 shows the results of the 0.0% to 5.0% moisture content SHPB experiments compared with quasi-static experiments by Barr et al. [5] which used the same soil conditions. In this previous work inertial effects dominated the dynamic behaviour throughout SHPB loading, leading to an apparent strain-rate effect in measurements of axial stress. The more stable strain rate history in the current experiments reduced the inertial effects sufficiently to show that there is no significant strain-rate effect on stiffness between $10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ and $10^3$ s$^{-1}$.

In summary, this investigation used a SHPB to investigate the behaviour of loose quartz sand at moisture contents between 0.0% and 15.0%, providing data for the modelling and development of effective soil-filled protective structures. The pre-saturation stiffness of the sand decreased as moisture content increased from 0.0% to 7.5%, and then remained constant at higher moisture contents. The 10.0% to 15.0% specimens became fully saturated during the experiment, resulting in pore water loading and a significant reduction in particle breakage. Comparison with quasi-static experiments showed that there was no significant strain-rate effect on stiffness at these strain rates.
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