Activity monitoring in professional soccer goalkeepers during training and match play
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ABSTRACT
The purpose of the present study was to quantify the external load of professional soccer goalkeepers. Twenty professional goalkeepers participated in the study. Data were classified according to the number of days before or after the match day (MD) as follows: MD-4, MD-3, MD-2, MD-1 for the sessions before the match, and MD+1 for the session after the match. The total running distance covered (TD), the high metabolic load (HMLD), the number of high metabolic load efforts (HMLE) were progressively reduced from MD-4 to MD-1 but the values of these variables were always inferior to MD (ES: -3.79 to -1.11). There was a tendency for a progressive reduction in the number of high-intensity accelerations (ACC) and decelerations (DEC) from MD-4 to MD-1 although the values of ACC/DEC were superior to MD (ES: 0.19 to 2.05). Overall, MD-2 was the day with the lowest external load. During training sessions, starter goalkeepers performed more TD (ES: 0.36) and more HMLE (ES: 0.29) than non-starters. External load was progressively decreased in the days before match play for goalkeepers which is reflective of appropriate recovery and preparation practices within the cohort analysed. However, habitual goalkeepers training has an excess of accelerations/decelerations and a lack of running actions performed at high metabolic loads.

1. Introduction

During soccer match-play, the role of the soccer goalkeeper is not akin to that of other outfield playing positions. As such, the position is often overlooked from an external loading perspective at the elite level and within research (Malone, Jaspers, et al., 2018; White et al., 2018). The role of soccer goalkeepers is such that the position has a central impact on the final match outcome because they perform individual actions that can prevent the opposing team from scoring (Seaton & Campos, 2011). On the other hand, a single mistake made by a soccer goalkeeper can end in a goal of the opposing team which...
represents a unique responsibility for goalkeepers during match play when contrast to other positions of play (Liu, Gómez, & Lago-Peñas, 2015; Seaton & Campos, 2011). The position of goalkeeper can be said to constitute the most specialised role during soccer play with their actions typically demanding high-velocity kicking, jumping, throwing, and a combination of other specific explosive and short duration movements such as diving, catching, accelerating, and decelerating sharply (Russell, Rees, Benton, & Kingsley, 2011; Ziv & Lidor, 2011). Although most of the actions of the soccer goalkeeper are performed within the penalty area, it has been found that their actions have an impact the movements of the opposing team’ players and decisions an example of this is during penalty scenarios (Lopes, Araújo, Duarte, Davids, & Fernandes, 2012). During the past decade, several investigations have focussed on determining the external physical demands of soccer match-play with respect to positional lines (Bangsbo, Mohr, & Krustrup, 2006; Mohr, Krustrup, & Bangsbo, 2003). These studies have observed that outfield players cover an average distance of 10–12 km (Krustrup et al., 2009; Weston, Castagna, Helsen, & Impellizzeri, 2009), and perform about 40 sprints per match (Barberó-Álvarez, Boullosa, Nakamura, Andrin, & Weston, 2014). In contrast, soccer goalkeepers cover an average of 4–6 km in a competition match while they perform only two sprint actions (Di Salvo, Benito, Calderón, Di Salvo, & Pigozzi, 2008; Malone, Jaspers, et al., 2018). However, these previous investigations have overlooked the short and intense actions of goalkeepers that are habitually performed without changes in the position. Therefore, the physical qualities of goalkeepers are significantly different to those of outfield players. As such, for goalkeepers to adequately prepare for competition, they must engage in position-specific training that replicates match-play movements during specific acute and chronic phases (Malone, Jaspers, et al., 2018), not only because of the restriction in the field area that they cover, but also because they utilise mainly the upper body for in-game actions within the goal area.

In soccer, the identification of the external movement demands during the match is important to adequately tailor training towards the competitive profile of specific positions of play (Brink, Nederhof, Visscher, Schmikli, & Lemmink, 2010). Appropriate training planning based on match demands enables players to perform all actions of the game with the greatest efficiency and effectiveness (Iaia, Rampinini, & Bangsbo, 2009). In addition, although it is known that general and position-specific preparation is important, practitioners have to strike a fine balance between the optimal loading players and elevating injury risk (Drew & Finch, 2016; Gabbett et al., 2016; Gabbett & Whiteley, 2017). To the best of our knowledge, few studies have tried to quantify the external load placed on soccer goalkeepers. Therefore, coaches have restricted knowledge as to the external load being place on their players and reduced applicable data available to maximise goalkeepers conditioning practices.

The most commonly reported external load variable within the literature with respect to goalkeepers is distance covered, in absolute values and across different speed thresholds (Di Salvo et al., 2008; White et al., 2018). Previously, Di Salvo et al. (2008) analysed the distance covered at different speed thresholds across 62 goalkeepers. The authors observed that goalkeepers covered ~5.6 km while 1% of this distance was completed at high-speed with only 0.2% completed above sprint thresholds. However, speed and distance-based analysis neglects the frequent occurrence of sudden transitions between low and high exercise intensities (Osgnach, Poser, Bernardini, Rinaldo, & Di Prampero,
In addition, the load imposed by high-intensity movements that are performed with minimal changes of position (saves, clearances, slide-tackles, etc.) are habitually underestimated. Metabolic power (MP) is a relatively new proposal to measure exercise intensity and represents an approach to estimate the energetic demands of intermittent locomotion typically seen in team sports (Polglaze & Hoppe, 2019). MP is proposed as a more accurate way of calculating exercise intensity in team sport players because it integrates both the speed and acceleration that the player demonstrates at each moment, instead of considering them separately (Osgnachsgnach et al., 2010). However, MP presents some limitations to assess certain physiologically demanding actions such as high-velocity kicking, jumping, throwing and diving, that are likely to increase the overall physical load experienced by goalkeepers (Russell et al., 2011).

To the date, only one recent study has quantified the external load of goalkeepers during training sessions and matches by using a complex analysis that includes distances, speed of movement, accelerations and decelerations (Malone, Jaspers, et al., 2018). However, this analysis only examined one goalkeeper for a complete season; therefore, it is difficult to extrapolate previous findings to a more generalised context. Therefore, the aim of the current study was to quantify the external load of professional soccer goalkeepers during training and match play through the utilisation of global positioning system (GPS) technology.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects

In this prospective observational study, 20 (n = 20) professional soccer goalkeepers (age, 27.6 ± 2.0 years; body mass, 81.8 ± 0.8 kg; height, 186.3 ± 0.2 cm) volunteered to participate in this investigation. All goalkeepers played in the second division of the Spanish football league (BBVA League). The goalkeepers were divided into two groups depending on their role during the match competition: 1) Starting goalkeepers (n = 10, age: 29 ± 4.8 years; body mass: 80.8 ± 3.9 kg; height: 186.2 ± 3.4 cm) and 2) Non-starting goalkeepers (n = 10, age: 26.1 ± 4.2 years; body mass: 81.8 ± 3.6 kg; height: 186.4 ± 4.1 cm). The inclusion criteria for the current study included: a) To be male professional goalkeeper, b) to have the capacity of train without any physical restriction c) to be injury free. The following criteria were considered as grounds for exclusion from the current investigation: a) history of pain or any kind of injury during the observation period; b) non completion of regular training during the observation period. Prior to the observational period and post ethical approval by the Miguel Hernandez University Ethics Committee (2018.65.E.OIR), participants attended to an information talk where they were briefed about the purpose, benefits, and procedures of the study. Written informed consent and medical declaration were obtained from participants while the study fulfilled all procedures set in the newest version of the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2. Data collection

Goalkeepers’ external training load data were collected from two competitive matches and two consecutive training weeks during January of 2017–2018 season. Data were analysed in relation to the typical soccer training load analysis scheme of the number of
days pre or post-match day (match day plus or minus method; MD ±), in line with previously published literature (Malone, Jaspers, et al., 2018). Within this training load analysis method all training sessions 4 days prior to match-play were coded as MD-4, all training sessions 3 days prior to match-play were coded as MD-3, all training sessions 2 days prior to match-play were coded as MD-2, all training sessions 1 day prior to match-play were coded as MD-1, with all training sessions 1 day after match-play coded as MD +1. Although the non-starter goalkeepers never participated during match-play, the training load (MD ±) of their team were used for standardisation purposes.

Goalkeepers’ external load during training movements and actions during all training and match-play sessions were monitored using a portable non differential 10-Hz GPS integrated with a 100-Hz, 3-dimensional accelerometer, 3-dimensional gyroscope, and a 3-dimensional digital compass (STATSports Viper Pod 2, Northern Ireland) similar to GPS units used in previous investigations (Martín-García, Casamichana, Díaz, Cos, & Gabbett, 2018). These GPS devices have shown a good level of accuracy for assessing the distance covered (2.53 ± 6.03% of error) with accuracy improving as the distance covered increases and the speed of movements decreases (Beato, Bartolini, Ghia, & Zamparo, 2016). In accordance with the manufacturer’s recommendations, all devices were activated 15 min before data collection to allow acquisition of satellite signals and synchronisation of the GPS clock with the satellite’s atomic clock. In order to avoid inter-unit error, each goalkeeper was assigned an individual-specific GPS device to wear during the whole observational period (Castellano, Casamichana, Calleja-González, Román, & Ostojic, 2011). Following each training and match-play session, data were downloaded to a personal computer and analysed using a customised software package (Viper PSA software, STATSports, Belfast, Northern Ireland). External training load was assessed during training and match-play events across the following specific loading variables: Total distance covered (TD); High metabolic load distance (HMLD; distance covered when metabolic power showed a value >25.5 W·kg⁻¹) (Malone et al., 2018); Total high metabolic load efforts (HMLE; total count of events in which metabolic power showed a value above 25.5 W·kg⁻¹ with at least 1 s of duration); Total high intensity (>3 m·s⁻²) accelerations (ACC) and Total high intensity (<−3 m·s⁻²) decelerations (DEC); the number of impacts (>5G); Dynamic stress load (DSL: total of the weighted impacts using a convex-shape function) (Malone, Mendes et al., 2018). One week before the beginning of the observational period, participants wore the GPS units within a training session in order to familiarise themselves with the research protocols. During the observational period all training and match-play sessions were completed on a natural grass surface within a pitch dimension of ~100 x 70 m.

2.3. Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using the SPSS package (v25, SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA) and data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Initially, a Shapiro–Wilk test was used to test the normality of the data (p > 0.05) and all variables presented a normal distribution. To analyse the differences among the different training sessions and the match day, a one-way repeated measures analyses of variance (ANOVA) was used. In the event of a difference identified in the ANOVA, Bonferroni post hoc tests were used to identify the pairwise comparison associated to such differences. To simplify the analysis of
data, an average of all the training sessions was used to compare the external load between starter and non-starter goalkeepers. To analyse the differences between the starter with non-starter goalkeepers, a t-test for independent samples was used. The effect size (ES) has been calculated for each pairwise comparison to the data obtained in the MD (Martín-García, Gómez Díaz, Bradley, Morera, & Casamichana, 2018). The absolute ES value was evaluated according to the following thresholds: trivial (ES: <0.2), small (ES: 0.2–0.6), moderate (ES: 0.6–1.2), large (ES: 1.2–2.0), very large (ES: 2.0–4.0) and extremely large (ES: >4.0) (Hopkins, Marshall, Batterham, & Hanin, 2009).

3. Results

The mean ± standard deviation of official soccer match-play duration was 97.5 ± 6.7 min with training sessions being 85.4 ± 14.5 min in duration during the observational periods. Table 1 presents the specific external load data obtained for starting goalkeepers from each training and match-play session. When the external load data were analysed it was observed that TD progressively reduced from 3638 ± 698-m during MD-4 to 2960 ± 516-m during MD-1 and it remained low during MD+1 (2821 ± 376-m). It was noted that TD values during training sessions were always observed to be lower when compared to MD values (5768 ± 776-m; p < 0.05, ES: −2.74 to −3.80; very large). A similar trend was observed for HMLD (246 ± 66-m to 182 ± 46-m for MD-4 to MD-1) although only during MD-3, MD-2 and MD-1 were HMLD values lower than those reported for MD (346 ± 51 m; p < 0.05, ES: −2.72 to −3.53; very large). When HMLE were considered these values were lower during MD-3, MD-2 and MD-1 when compared to MD values (p < 0.05, ES: −1.15 to −1.34; ES: moderate to large). There were no significant differences in the number of ACC and DEC although there was a tendency for a progressive reduction in these measures of the external load from MD-4 through to MD-1. In comparison to MD values, ACC and DEC were always higher in training sessions; however, this was non-significant in nature (ES: 0.19 to 2.05; small to very large) (Table 1 and Figure 1). It was observed that both impacts and DSL showed a tendency to progressive reduce from MD-4 through to MD-1 (ES: −0.69 to 0.30; small to moderate). Overall, MD-2 had the lowest external load consistently across the observational period.

The comparison between external load data of starting with non-starting goalkeepers are presented within Table 2. During training sessions, starting goalkeepers performed more TD (p < 0.001, ES: 0.36; moderate) and more HMLE (p = 0.002, ES: 0.29; small) when compared to their non-starting counterparts. Across the remaining external load variables, non-significant small differences were observed between starters vs non-starters.

4. Discussion

The aims of the current investigation were to quantify the external training load profile of professional soccer goalkeepers during training and match-play situations through the utilisation of GPS technology, while differentiating the external loading patterns with respect to starting and non-starting goalkeepers. The main findings of the current study indicate that during match play, goalkeepers covered more total distance (TD), high metabolic load distance (HMLD) and perform more high metabolic load efforts (HMLE)
Table 1. External load values obtained in starter goalkeepers during training sessions and during an official match (MD).

| Variables        | MD-4      | MD-3      | MD-2      | MD-1      | MD        | MD+1      | p value          |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------|
| Total Distance (m) | 3638 ± 698* | 3353 ± 431* | 2827 ± 465† | 2960 ± 516* | 5768 ± 776 | 2821 ± 376* | <0.001           |
| HMLD (m)         | 246 ± 66  | 208 ± 41* | 166 ± 39* | 182 ± 46* | 346 ± 51  | 219 ± 106  | <0.001           |
| HMLE (n)         | 22 ± 8    | 20 ± 5*   | 15 ± 6*   | 18 ± 7*   | 56 ± 31   | 18 ± 10*   | <0.001           |
| Accelerations (n)| 60 ± 19   | 53 ± 29   | 40 ± 14   | 47 ± 12   | 37 ± 13   | 44 ± 9     | 0.145            |
| Decelerations (n)| 53 ± 15   | 49 ± 25   | 37 ± 10   | 41 ± 12   | 36 ± 9    | 43 ± 11    | 0.202            |
| Impacts (n)      | 2.05 [0.57, 3.18] | 1.52 [0.31, 2.47] | 0.19 [−0.52, 0.89] | 0.74 [−0.12, 1.48] | 0.49 [−0.29, 1.20] | 0.87 [−0.04, 1.65] | 0.336            |
| DSL (AU)         | 130 ± 39  | 104 ± 48  | 82 ± 26   | 100 ± 42  | 109 ± 66  | 90 ± 35    | 0.098            |

Data are mean ± SD with effect sizes and 95% confidence intervals calculated for each pairwise comparison with the data obtained in the MD. Abbreviations: HMLD = high metabolic load distance; HMLE = high metabolic load efforts; DSL = dynamic stress load; AU = arbitrary units. * Significantly different from MD (p < 0.05). † Significantly different from MD-4 (p < 0.05).
when compared to weekly training sessions (Figure 1). In contrast, the number of accelerations (ACC) and decelerations (DEC) during weekly training sessions were higher than those experienced during match-play. Although these differences were not significant in nature, overall it appears from the analysis that all external load variables were progressively reduced from MD-4 to MD-1 to accommodate improved physical condition and recovery to ensure maximal performance by players during competition. Furthermore, we observed that starting goalkeepers showed increased external loads for TD and HMLE values compared to non-starting goalkeepers. The information presented within the current investigation might be valuable to coaches in order to improve the prescription of training loads in order to best replicate the competition demands of soccer goalkeepers. The data suggest the need to develop training drills that increase the volume of running distance and high metabolic load efforts while reducing in the number and frequency of accelerations and decelerations.

We observed that TD covered for professional soccer goalkeepers ranges between 2821 ± 376-m to 3638 ± 698-m during the week of competition. Interestingly, these distances covered during training are well below those obtained during the match

**Table 2.** Comparison of external load values between starter vs non-starter goalkeepers. Data reported as mean ± SD.

| Variables       | Starters       | Non-starters   | p     | ES [95% CI]   |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-------|---------------|
| Total Distance (m) | 3634.39 ± 1251.28 | 3221.44 ± 879.97* | 0.001 | 0.365 [0.099 to 0.631]* |
| HMLD (m)       | 231.52 ± 102.26 | 206.14 ± 110.63 | 0.515 | 0.238 [−0.008 to 0.486] |
| Accelerations (n) | 46.48 ± 24.26  | 44.51 ± 19.93  | 0.253 | 0.087 [−0.159 to 0.334] |
| Decelerations (n) | 42.46 ± 20.21  | 40.02 ± 18.47  | 0.919 | 0.124 [−0.122 to 0.371] |
| Impacts (n)    | 239.25 ± 158.99 | 201.8 ± 137.99 | 0.446 | 0.248 [0.00 to 0.496]  |
| HMLE (number)  | 36.84 ± 60.53  | 22.35 ± 26.45* | 0.002 | 0.294 [0.046 to 0.542]* |
| DSL (AU)       | 101.97 ± 56.41 | 104.85 ± 51.81 | 0.961 | −0.052 [−0.912 to 0.627] |

Abbreviations: HMLD = high metabolic load distance; HMLE = high metabolic load efforts; DSL = dynamic stress load; AU = arbitrary units. ES = Effect size mean [95% confidence limits]. (*) Between-group difference (p < 0.05).
These results are in agreement with the findings of previous studies that analysed the TD covered by goalkeepers during training (Malone, Jaspers et al., 2018) and match-play (Di Salvo et al., 2008). A similar trend was observed across the distance and actions performed above 25 W·kg\(^{-1}\), a threshold previously suggested to discriminate those actions that require high values of metabolic power (Malone, Mendes, et al., 2018). By using a within-subjects analysis, it can be suggested that, during training sessions, the total distance covered, distance and number of efforts performed at high metabolic power were not in-line with the typical external load experienced by soccer goalkeepers during official soccer match-play. Indeed, the observed elevated external load in MD session for the above variables may be related to the high-intensity actions performed at high displacement and duration during match-play actions (Martín-García et al., 2018) that are not regularly replicated during training sessions. Thus, the development and utilisation of goalkeeping drills that increase total running volume while also maintaining the technical and tactical elements of goalkeeping might be recommended to reduce the apparent observed disparity between training and competition demands within the current investigation.

During the investigation, we observed that the external load from MD-4 training sessions were progressively reduced through to MD-1 sessions (Martín-García, Gómez Díaz, et al., 2018), although, overall, MD-2 had the lowest external load values (Table 1). According to Martín-García, Gómez Díaz, et al., 2018, soccer coaches are agile with respect to their training periodisation and have been noted to consistently adjust planned external load in accordance with the physical status of players across playing minutes, recovery status, wellness status, and conditioning requirements. Within our investigation, we show support for this narrative by reporting a progressive pattern of load reduction during the microcycle prior to match-play. Despite, the differences in the competitive standards of players and the training methodologies, analysis of the loading patterns imposed during training session indicated that MD-4 represents the highest external load during the weekly training period and an apparent aim by coaches to evoke training adaptations through intense actions during MD-4. The remaining training sessions appear to be focused towards balancing the fitness-fatigue paradigm with steady reductions in external load towards match-play while MD+1 was consistently shown to be focused towards active recovery.

Another interesting finding within the investigation was that the number of ACC and DEC during the training sessions prior to match-play tended to be higher than the number of ACC and DEC efforts produced by soccer goalkeepers during match-play. These findings may suggest an increased mechanical workload for goalkeepers during training situations when compared to match-play. The findings may be explained by a position-specific focus of the goalkeeper training within the teams analysed, where goalkeepers completed exercises that typically involved ACC and DEC actions such as dives, saves, clearances, slide-tackles in addition to acceleration efforts to close down oncoming opposition players. In fact, our data indicate that the number of these high-accelerative actions exceeds the values found typically during official competition. This difference may be interpreted as positive as exposure to these movements may improve the quality of these actions. However, practitioners must also consider the increased metabolic and mechanical loading that ACC and
DEC efforts impose on the physiological systems of players, additionally increased high loading exposure to these efforts may represent an injury risk if not managed appropriately over time (Figure 1).

When we analysed the different external load profiles of starting vs non-starting goalkeepers, it was observed that starting goalkeepers presented greater external loads for TD and HMLE when contrast against non-starting goalkeepers (Table 2). The current data appear to be the first communicated within the literature. However, the present outcomes appear to be in line with previous studies conducted within outfield players (Anderson et al., 2016) and might indicate that starting players are subjected to higher physical demands during training. These increased training demands in conjunction with match-play load may predispose them to increased physical conditioning when compared to non-starters. Reductions in running actions, especially the ones performed at high intensity and metabolic power seem to be related to a lower time participation in drills and match-play during microcycle for non-starting goalkeepers which may reduce their physical, technical and tactical training adaptation in comparison to starting goalkeepers. The above finding is one that should be brought into sharp focus for practitioners and coaches alike, there would appear to be a need to assure that additional workloads be prescribed in the correct manner for non-starting goalkeepers to ensure technical and tactical exposure is prescribed to minimise the reduction in exposure when compared to starting goalkeepers. Therefore, there should be a concerted effort made during the training sessions, to expose both starting and non-starting goalkeepers to similar loads, with additional load prescribed for non-starting keepers to ensure similar levels of physical conditioning for match-play.

While the results of this study provide new information regarding the quantification of external imposed on soccer goalkeepers during a structured microcycle, these findings must be considered with respect to a number of limitations. Firstly, as the current study has been performed in a small sample of professional goalkeeper soccer players (20 players), these findings may not be extended to another populations. Additional potential weakness is that the current information is related to the one moment of the season (microcycle) and do not provide information on how these values may vary during the season (macrocycle). Despite these limitations, the current investigation shows for the first time how goalkeepers external load fluctuates during a microcycle and evidences the differences in the physical challenges imposed by training vs official match competition.

5. Practical applications

Training specificity is important for stimulating training adaptations to improve performance. Understanding the training and competition demands of a sport is therefore of paramount importance for strength and conditioning coaches in order to ensure the appropriate dose is planned to maximise the fitness-fatigue response within athletes. Despite this, few data are currently available on the external training and match-play demands of elite level goalkeepers within soccer. To provide relevant up to date data on training and match-play demands the current study examined the training and match the performance of elite goalkeepers. The main findings of the current study indicate that during match play, goalkeepers covered more total distance (TD), distance at high
metabolic load (HMLD) and perform more efforts at high metabolic load (HMLE) when compared to training sessions. In contrast, the number of accelerations (ACC) and decelerations (DEC) during the training sessions was higher than those experienced during match-play. Overall, all external load variables were progressively reduced from MD-4 to MD-1 representative of appropriate strategies to ensure maximal recovery for the challenges of the competition. Furthermore, starting goalkeepers covered greater TD and HMLE when compared to non-starters goalkeepers. Analysis of the findings highlights the need for position-specific physical conditioning drills that replicate the performance needs of professional goalkeepers, for example, the utilisation of drills that increase running distances and actions at high metabolic loads while reducing the frequency acceleration and deceleration efforts. Overall coaches should consider the positional demands of goalkeepers and the differing performance needs of staters and non-starters to better optimise training outcomes for these players.

6. Conclusions

In conclusion, the results of this study reveal that running actions, especially the ones performed at high metabolic load, were higher during match-play when compared to training sessions. On the contrary, there appears to be an over-exposure to accelerations and decelerations during training sessions when compared to the demands of match-play. Although the external load during the microcycle that precedes an official match is progressively reduced, it might be suggested that the use of training routines that increase running distance and actions at high metabolic loads with a reduction in the exposure to accelerations and decelerations might be more suitable to accommodate the specific demands of professional goalkeepers during the game. We finally suggest that non-starter goalkeepers are exposed to top-up physical, technical and tactical loading order to reduce the disparity between starter and non-starter goalkeepers within the current investigation. All this information could be useful for coaches when trying to systematically manage load in goalkeepers.
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