ABSTRACT  In Italy, *Aedes albopictus* Skuse is currently recognized as the most dangerous mosquito, and as currently applied conventional control methods gave unsatisfactory results, we are developing alternative strategies such as the sterile insect technique. To find the optimal sterilizing dose, male pupae were exposed to different doses of γ rays in the range 20–80 Gy, generated by a Cesium-137 source. The effects of male pupal age at irradiation and γ ray dose on adult male emergence, sterility level, longevity, and mating capacity were evaluated, and dose-response curves of residual fertility were calculated. Radiation tests were also performed on female pupae to observe their reproductive capacity in case of accidental release. Results confirmed that the age at which the male pupa is irradiated is an important factor that affects the longevity of the adult, whereas the effect of age on the induced sterility level is less pronounced. When male pupae older than 30 h were irradiated, the longevity of the adults was not affected by doses up to 40 Gy. The 40-Gy dose appeared sufficient to induce high level of sterility (>99%) at any male pupal age for all the strains tested. The duration of coupling and the number of mated females per male appeared to be affected by the radiation received by male pupae only at doses higher than 40 Gy. The female pupae were more sensitive to radiation than male pupae, with strong reduction in fecundity and fertility at 20 Gy and complete suppression of oviposition at higher doses.
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In a few years since its introduction into Italy in 1990 (Sabatini et al. 1990), *Aedes albopictus* has become the most noxious and problematic mosquito species in the country. The colonization process occurred very quickly, mainly though the passive transportation, and this species is currently found in all the Italian territory, including the main islands (ECDC Technical Report 2009). Being an urban, day-biting, exophilic species, its presence strongly limits humans from using outdoor spaces and affects many economic activities. The Chikungunya fever epidemic that occurred in the summer 2007 in the Emilia-Romagna region confirmed its vector competence for arboviruses that cause human disease in temperate regions (Angelini et al. 2007, Bonilhaure et al. 2008). In Italy, current control methods against *Ae. albopictus* (larval control, source removal, community participation) show unsatisfactory results in terms of a sustainable reduction of vector density (data not published). It could be argued that the main reason for the weakness of conventional control practices lies in the eco-ethology of the species that makes it very adaptable to colonize a number of artificial habitats. Larval sites located in private properties make the task of control by public institutions extremely difficult because of limited access to private properties and to the high cost of running such programs. Community participation campaigns to control mosquito breeding sites, even when regularly conducted with professional methods, never achieve a sufficient level of active participation from citizens for tangible results to be seen (unpublished data). For these reasons, in 1999, the Centro Agricoltura Ambiente “G. Nicoli” started to develop a sterile insect technique (SIT) program focused on *Ae. albopictus* to be included in an integrated control program (Medici et al. 2000, Bellini et al. 2004, 2007).

Several characteristics make *Ae. albopictus* a suitable candidate for SIT application, as follows: it is a recently introduced species with populations showing a low genetic variability (Urbanelli et al. 2000), it mainly colonizes urban areas while showing low aptitude to establish in rural and natural areas (unpublished data), it has a low active dispersal ability (Hawley 1988, Takagi 1995, Honório et al. 2003), and it is...
relatively easy to manage under mass rearing and artificial conditions (Bellini et al. 2007). Moreover, in temperate areas like northern Italy, the outdoor reproduction is impossible for 5–6 mo and winter survival relies on the eggs entering a state of diapause (Hawley 1988). The naturally high egg mortality brought on by winter results in a very low vector density at the beginning of the favorable season (Carreri et al. 2008).

The SIT has proven to be a very effective technology and was successful in controlling and/or eradicating important insect pests like the New World screwworm Cochliomyia hominivorax (Coquerel) from the Curacao Island, Venezuela (Baumhover et al. 1955), from the southern United States, and from Central America (Whitten and Foster 1975, Wyss 2000); Ceratitis capitata (Wiedemann) was also eliminated from South America (Barry et al. 2004) and Glossina austeni Newstead from Uguna island, Zanzibar (Vrey-sen et al. 2000). In the 1960s-1970s, SIT was applied against several mosquito species, but many important programs were abandoned before their completion because of political controversies (Anonymous 1975, Asman et al. 1981, Curtis 2006).

Our project aims to develop mass rearing methods and sterilization techniques for the production of sterile males of high quality that perform well in terms of longevity, active dispersal, and mating ability in competition with wild-type males for wild virgin females.

The best stage to irradiate mosquitoes to achieve a good sterilization level while minimizing unavoidable loss of fitness in the adult is a controversial subject. The irradiation of Anopheles albimanus male pupae aged <24 h by Ali and Rozenboom (1972) demonstrated that the males maintained the capacity of inseminating the females at all the x-ray doses tested (20–80 Gy). Sterilization by radiation is known to have less deleterious effects on Anopheles males when applied on adults rather than on pupae (Andreasen and Curtis 2005); however, Helsinki et al. (2006) found no statistically significant differences between Anopheles arabiensis males irradiated as old pupae or adults. It has been demonstrated that the age of the pupae when exposed to γ rays strongly affects their sensitivity to radiation in terms of somatic damages and residual fertility. On different mosquitoes species, the irradiation of old pupae produced sterile adult males with better mating performance compared with males obtained from young irradiated pupae (Wijeratne et al. 1977, Andreasen and Curtis 2005, Helsinki et al. 2006, Helsinki and Knols 2008). To our knowledge, no dosimetry study had been previously performed on Ae. albopictus.

The mating performance of the irradiated male by comparison with the wild fertile male are also essential parameters of fitness that we need to maintain in the sterile males.

According to Jones and Wheeler (1965) and Jones (1968), a fertile Aedes aegypti male is able to inseminate five to six females in a short time and is capable of up to 30 matings even when its supply of spermatic fluids is depleted. After the fifth-sixth mating, approximately 2 d are needed to produce new sperm and to regenerate the substances produced by the accessory glands.

To set up an efficient sexing method based on the difference in size between male and female pupae, the rearing protocol was carefully defined and standardized to reduce the size variability as a result of environmental conditions (Bellini et al. 2007). But, because of the overlap in size between sexes, the smallest female pupae remain in the male fraction and are therefore irradiated and released together with the males. It being understood that the elimination of the females remains a fundamental objective, the effects of γ ray treatment on female pupae have been estimated to evaluate the possible impact of female impurity on the current pilot sterile mosquito release program.

**Materials and Methods**

**Mosquito Stocks and Rearing Methods.** Three strains of Ae. albopictus collected in three localities in northern Italy (Rimini, Cesena, and Pinerolo) were reared under standard laboratory conditions (27 ± 1°C, 85% RH, 12 h scotophase) for several generations. The adults were kept in Plexiglas cages (50 × 50 × 50 cm) at density of ≈1,500–2,000 individuals per cage. Cages were supplied with 10% sugar solution on which mosquitoes fed ad libitum. Bovine blood in sheep intestine was offered weekly using an electrically heated aluminum chamber thermostatically controlled. Females laid eggs in plastic beakers containing dechlorinated water and a strip of white filter paper. After oviposition, the filter paper was removed from the cage and left to dry in a closed plastic container with a saturated solution of potassium sulfate. One week later, the eggs were counted and placed in a 1.0-liter closed bottle with 0.75 liters dechlorinated water, 0.25 g of Bacto nutrient broth, and 0.05 g of yeast to stimulate hatching. Larvae were reared at fixed density (1,333 larvae/L) in white plastic trays (41 × 31 × 11 cm) containing 3 liters of dechlorinated water provided with aerators and were fed with a diet consisting of 2.1 mg/larva Friskies dry cat food + 0.38 mg/larva brewer yeast + 0.15 mg/larva Tetramin (10% was given on day 1; 20% on day 2; 30% on day 3; and 40% on day 5) (Bellini et al. 2007). To separate males from females at the pupal stage, a mechanical separation method exploiting pupal size dimorphism was employed using a metal sieve with a 1,400-μm square hole mesh (Medici et al. 2000).

**Irradiation Procedure.** An IBL 437 irradiator (CIS Bio International, Bagnols-sur-Cèze, France) was used to conduct experiments. This apparatus, normally used for blood irradiation at the Medical Physics Department of St. Anna Hospital (Ferrara, Italy), includes a 50.9 TBq Cs-137 linear source.

A cylindrical unit, consisting of a lead-lined steel casing, includes an irradiation plate positioned at its periphery, on which the basket (diameter 130 mm; height 290 mm; volume 3.8 liters) containing the products to be irradiated is inserted.
The sample basket is placed in face of the source and is uniformly exposed by means of continuous rotation during irradiation at a central dose rate of 2.2 Gy/min ± 3.5%. The dose distribution inside the basket was determined using GAFCHROMIC EBT dosimetric films (International Specialty Products, Wayne, NJ). A dose equal to 4 Gy was delivered, and films, previously calibrated with increasing doses, were digitized to determine the dose distribution. On the digitized images of radiographic films, a profile through the diameter was chosen to obtain dose distribution normalized on the value measured at the center of the image.

Transportation of the male pupae from the rearing facility to the irradiator (1 h each way) was conducted in petri dishes (diameter 12 cm) containing water.

Preliminary Trials

Effect of Male Pupal Age and Radiation Doses on Male Longevity and Female Residual Fertility. Two *Ae. albopictus* strains (Pinerolo and Rimini), maintained for 21 generations in laboratory conditions, were used in these trials. Pupae from both strains were selected according to the time from pupation and assigned to three age groups: 16–24 h, 24–40 h, and 40–48 h. Male pupae were exposed to 40, 60, and 80 Gy. A total of 600 male pupae was irradiated, and 200 male pupae were used as control. After irradiation, samples of 50 male pupae for each radiation dose and age were placed in cages with 50 virgin females (3 d old) of the same strain. At least three replications were carried out for each dose and male pupal age group tested.

Fertility of the females mated with the different irradiated male groups was evaluated in comparison with the control. Longevity of the males was recorded by daily counting and removing the deads in the adult cages.

Dosimetry Tests 1

Effect of Strain and Radiation Doses on Male Longevity, Female Fecundity, and Residual Fertility. Pinerolo (P<sub>P22</sub>), Rimini (R<sub>P23</sub>), and Cesena (C<sub>F20</sub>) strains were used in this experiment. Male pupae all aged 16–30 h were treated with γ rays at doses of 20, 30, 40, and 50 Gy. After irradiation, samples of 50 irradiated male pupae were placed in plastic cages together with 50 virgin, nonirradiated females of the same strain. Each radiation dose was replicated twice for each strain used. On day 5 after caging, all females were offered a bovine blood meal. The eclosion rate of the eggs produced was evaluated after the hatching procedure described above. Male longevity was evaluated by recording daily mortality up to day 30.

As the mechanical sex separation method at the pupal stage led to a residual percentage of females among the male pupae, we also investigated the residual fertility and fecundity of irradiated females. Because mosquito females are known to be more sensitive to radiation than costrain males (Bakri et al. 2005), female pupae aged 16–40 h were exposed to γ rays at 20, 30, and 40 Gy. Samples of 50 irradiated female pupae were placed in cages together with 50 nonirradiated males of the same strain with a continuous supply of 10% sugar solution. The strain used in this trial came from Rimini (R<sub>F23</sub>). Four replications were carried out for this test.

Dosimetry Test 2

Effect of Male Pupal Age and Radiation Doses on Male Longevity, Female Fecundity, and Residual Fertility. Strain R<sub>P23</sub> male pupae aged 30–40 h were exposed to 20, 30, 40, and 50 Gy.

After irradiation, three pools of 50 male pupae for each dose were placed in cages with 50 virgin females of the same strain. Nontreated pupae from the same strain were used as controls. The residual fertility was checked by counting the percentage of hatched eggs after the first blood meal. The number of engorged females was recorded to determine the fecundity rate. Male longevity was recorded, as above. Because experimental conditions and procedures were identical, the data obtained from this test were compared with those from the first trial on male pupae (R<sub>P23</sub>) aged 16–30 h to ascertain the relationship between male pupal age and the effects of radiation dose on biological parameters.

Dosimetry Test 3

Effect of Radiation Dose on Mating Performances. Strain R<sub>P23</sub> 30- to 40-h male pupae were treated with 30, 40, and 50 Gy. For each radiation dose and for the control, two cages (30 × 30 × 30 cm) were prepared with four adult males aged >24 h and 20 virgin females aged >48 h. Direct observations were conducted for 4 h, during which the number of coupling observed was registered, as well as the duration of the first four couplings. After the 4-h period, all the females were anesthetized and their spermathecae checked microscopically for the presence of sperm: a female was considered mated when at least one spermatheca tested positive for the presence of sperm. Different parameters were considered in males, as follows: insemination capacity (number of mated females/number of males), mating efficiency (number of mated females/number of observed coupling), and mean duration of the first four couplings.

Statistical Analysis

Male Longevity. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis was used to determine the survival curves of the males from all radiation treatments and strain groups: data sets were compared using the Mantel-Cox log-rank test.

Fecundity and Residual Fertility. Fecundity and residual fertility were investigated and statistically analyzed by means of two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) on angular transformed frequency data and by the Newman-Keuls test for means separation,
considering the strain and the radiation level as the main factors. Residual fertility rates were corrected with Abbott’s correction formula (Abbott 1925).

Dose-Response Curve of Residual Fertility. To determine the dose-response curve, the irradiated male residual fertility was corrected with the fertility registered in the nonirradiated control using Abbott’s formula. To obtain a linear relationship between the radiation dose and the residual fertility, a normal equivalent deviates (N.E.D.) transformation of the percentage of hatched eggs (residual fertility) was calculated, whereas the radiation doses were transformed on a log10 base. Regression analyses were run for each of the two male pupal age groups, and the regression lines were compared by means of the parallelism test.

Mating Performances. Data were statistically analyzed through one-way ANOVA, followed by the Newman-Keuls test for mean separation.

Results

Irradiator Performances. Tests conducted to evaluate the γ ray dose distribution inside the exposition canister have demonstrated a central dose rate and a level of dose homogeneity in the irradiation unit compatible with expected values.

Preliminary Trials

Residual Fertility. Immediate mortality was negligible in all radiation doses tested, and males from all treatment groups emerged normally (data not shown).

All radiation doses resulted in high levels of male sterilization: the residual fertility of females mated with treated males was significantly lower than in females from the control cages ($F_{11.36} = 26.63$, sum of squares (SS) = 1.37, mean of squares (MS) = 1.02, $P < 0.0001$). In particular, the residual fertility of males irradiated at the highest doses of 60 and 80 Gy was near or reached 0% for all the three age groups (Table 1). In general, the residual fertility in the case of males irradiated as pupae aged >24 h, compared to those irradiated at 16–24 h, showed a trend to decrease, although the differences among the groups were not statistically significant (block ANOVA $F_{2.4} = 0.59$ and $P = 0.59$).

The lowest dose used in the test (40 Gy) appeared sufficient to strongly compromise male fertility at any pupal age, with residual fertility ranging from 2.2 ± 5.5% for pupae aged 16–24 h to 0.4 ± 0.8% for pupae aged 40–48 h.

Male Longevity. The age at which the pupae were irradiated affected the longevity of the derived adults. According to the log-rank test, male pupae treated at 16–24 h at all three doses (Table 2; Fig. 1A) showed a significantly lower longevity than the control group ($\chi^2 = 77.63$, df = 3, $P = 0.00000$), whereas male pupae treated with 40 Gy at 24–40 h and 40–48 h (Fig. 1, B and C) showed no significant difference when compared with the control. At the highest dose (80 Gy), we registered a notable reduction also in the longevity of males irradiated as older pupae (24–40 h, $\chi^2 = 55.45$, df = 3, $P = 0.00000$; 40–48 h, $\chi^2 = 33.55$, df = 3, $P = 0.00000$).

The log-rank test demonstrated that at any radiation dose, the longevity of the males increased with the age of the pupae at the time of irradiation (Table 2). At 40 and 60 Gy, there was no statistically significant difference between the longevity of males obtained from pupae irradiated at 24–40 h or 40–48 h. Younger

### Table 1. Female residual fertility as function of the male pupal age and male radiation dose

| Male pupa age at irradiation (h) | Dose (Gy) | N | No. total eggs | No. larvae | Hatching rate (%) | Corrected hatching rate (%) |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---|----------------|------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| 16–24                           | 0         | 3 | 1,771          | 1,230      | 74.2 ± 23.9      | 2.23 ± 5.47                |
|                                 | 40        | 6 | 1,636          | 22         | 1.15 ± 2.86      | 0.00 ± 0.00                |
|                                 | 60        | 3 | 790            | 0          | 0.00 ± 0.00      | 0.00 ± 0.00                |
|                                 | 80        | 3 | 233            | 0          | 0.00 ± 0.00      | 0.00 ± 0.00                |
| 24–40                           | 0         | 6 | 2,732          | 1,773      | 0.41 ± 0.70      | 0.69 ± 1.08                |
|                                 | 40        | 6 | 2,472          | 6          | 0.00 ± 0.00      | 0.00 ± 0.00                |
|                                 | 60        | 3 | 1,629          | 0          | 0.00 ± 0.00      | 0.00 ± 0.00                |
|                                 | 80        | 3 | 1,113          | 0          | 0.00 ± 0.00      | 0.00 ± 0.00                |
| 40–48                           | 0         | 3 | 922            | 577        | 75.4 ± 21.6      | 0.41 ± 0.77                |
|                                 | 40        | 6 | 4,899          | 15         | 0.38 ± 0.77      | 0.41 ± 0.77                |
|                                 | 60        | 3 | 1,023          | 1          | 0.23 ± 0.39      | 0.27 ± 0.47                |
|                                 | 80        | 3 | 1,286          | 0          | 0.00 ± 0.00      | 0.00 ± 0.00                |

### Table 2. Longevity (days) of the males irradiated as pupae at increasing radiation doses

| Dose (Gy) | Male pupal age (h) | Longevity | Log-rank test |
|-----------|--------------------|-----------|---------------|
| 16–24     | 0                  | 24.98 ± 6.90 | 0.96 | 0.08          |
| 24–40     | 24.29 ± 6.86       | 0.04      | 1.04          |
| 40–48     | 23.40 ± 6.19       | 0.07      | 0.39          |
| 40        | 19.97 ± 8.27       | 2.81**    | 3.27**        |
| 40–48     | 22.16 ± 7.62       | 0.09      | 0.39          |
| 60        | 22.81 ± 7.08       | 2.93**    | 3.28**        |
| 40–48     | 20.92 ± 7.30       | 0.50      | 0.50          |
| 80        | 21.8 ± 7.56        | 0.71      | 0.47          |
| 40–48     | 8.64 ± 7.36        | 2.62**    | 3.64**        |
| 80–48     | 12.62 ± 7.86       | 2.05*     | 2.05*         |

Statistical data refer to the comparison among the pupae within each of the four radiation levels, and are to be read along the rows.

*, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$.
pupae (16–24 h) were more susceptible to radiation treatments. The sensitivity to irradiation as a factor of male pupal age became more pronounced at 50 Gy. There was no difference in longevity among the non-treated groups, showing that the protocol and handling procedures had no measurable effect.

Dosimetry Test 1

Effect of Strain and Radiation Dose on Male Longevity. Survival analyses (Mantel-Cox log-rank tests) are summarized in Tables 3 and 4.

Among the three tested strains (RF23, PF22, CF20), Cesena (CF20) showed a significantly lower survival rate in the untreated control group as well as in the treated groups (Table 3). When comparing Pinerolo (PF22) and Rimini (RF23) strains, statistical differences in longevity were registered only at 20 Gy (Table 3). When cumulating data from all the tested strains, differences were observed between the untreated control and the 16- to 30-h males irradiated at 30, 40, and 50 Gy, whereas no differences were observed between the control and 20 Gy and between 30 Gy and 40 Gy (Table 4).

Table 3. Longevity (days) of adult males of the strains RF23, PF22, and CF20 treated as pupae aged 16–30 h with increasing radiation doses

| Dose (Gy) | Strain  | Median | Mean | SD  | Log-rank test |
|-----------|---------|--------|------|-----|---------------|
|           |         |        |      |     | PF22 | R23 | C20 |
| 0         | RF23    | 29.00  | 26.93| 5.66| 9.07 | 2.40** |
| 0         | PF22    | 28.00  | 27.79| 4.98| 3.46**|
| 0         | CF20    | 26.00  | 23.40| 7.79| 1.33**|
| 20        | RF23    | 31.00  | 25.84| 8.84| 2.03* | 3.13** |
| 20        | PF22    | 31.00  | 23.33| 7.80| 1.13  |
| 20        | CF20    | 31.00  | 23.87| 10.34| 5.57**|
| 30        | RF23    | 29.00  | 25.56| 7.67| 1.62  | 3.31** |
| 30        | PF22    | 29.00  | 23.56| 8.57| 1.36  |
| 30        | CF20    | 29.00  | 23.56| 8.48| 2.25* |
| 40        | RF23    | 28.00  | 23.29| 6.60| 0.28  | 2.40* |
| 40        | PF22    | 28.00  | 23.56| 8.48| 2.25* |
| 40        | CF20    | 28.00  | 20.37| 6.84| 5.57**|
| 50        | RF23    | 24.00  | 22.28| 8.18| 0.84  | 3.26** |
| 50        | PF22    | 22.00  | 21.41| 7.67| 2.20* |
| 50        | CF20    | 19.00  | 17.23| 8.28| 5.57**|

| Dose (Gy) | Median | Mean | SD  | Log-rank test |
|-----------|--------|------|-----|---------------|
| 0         | 28     | 25.66| 6.78| 0.40 | 3.48** | 4.56** | 9.29** |
| 20        | 30     | 25.81| 6.89| 3.09**| 4.07**| 8.42**|
| 30        | 27     | 23.33| 7.60| 1.1  | 5.57**|
| 40        | 27     | 22.41| 8.84| 4.37**|
| 50        | 22     | 20.31| 8.32| 5.57**|

The data on male residual fertility for the three strains are reported in Table 5 for all the tested doses. The hatch rate of the eggs laid by females mated with irradiated males at the different doses. In particular, consistent increase (although not significant) in the sterility was observed as the dose received by the male increased from 20 to 50 Gy.

The dose-response curve of residual fertility estimated for the three strains tested is shown in Fig. 2.

The regression lines calculated for the N.E.D. residual fertility in the three strains tested as a function of the dose received by male pupae aged 16–30 h were depicted by parallel (F = 2.05 and P = 0.17), but not coincident curves (F = 4.47 and P = 0.033) (Fig. 1). This means that the strains showed similar trends in the function doses received/reduction in fertility, with a slightly different sensitivity. The equations related to the three regression lines were as follows:

Table 4. Longevity (days) of adult males treated as pupae aged 16–30 h with increasing doses

| Dose (Gy) | Median | Mean | SD  | Log-rank test |
|-----------|--------|------|-----|---------------|
| 0         | 25     | 25.66| 6.78| 0.40 | 3.48** | 4.56** | 9.29** |
| 20        | 30     | 25.81| 6.89| 3.09**| 4.07**| 8.42**|
| 30        | 27     | 23.33| 7.60| 1.1  | 5.57**|
| 40        | 27     | 22.41| 8.84| 4.37**|
| 50        | 22     | 20.31| 8.32| 5.57**|

*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01.
3. N.E.D. CF20 16–30 h (residual fertility) significantly reduced with respect to the nontreated number of eggs laid per female (1.09 ± 0.001). At the lowest dose tested (20 Gy), the mean expression was registered at 30 and 40 Gy. The fertility reduction of the fecundity (Table 6) (log10 \[dose/Gy\]) of estimate: 0.15.

Table 5. Fecundity and residual fertility measured in females of RF23, PF22, and CF20 strains mated with costrain males treated as pupae aged 16–30 h with 0, 20, 30, 40, and 50 Gy (mean ± SD)

| Strain | Gy  | N   | No. eggs | No. eggs/female | No. hatched larvae | Hatching rate (%) |
|--------|-----|-----|----------|----------------|--------------------|------------------|
| RF23   | 0   | 2   | 955.0 ± 606.7 | 46.3 ± 34.60 | 800.0 ± 486.5 | 84.7 ± 2.8 A |
|       | 20  | 2   | 1,766.0 ± 26.9 | 91.2 ± 11.3  | 97.5 ± 0.75  | 5.5 ± 0.4 B   |
|       | 30  | 2   | 1,692.0 ± 1,301.0 | 74.3 ± 36.9  | 14.0 ± 0.0  | 1.2 ± 0.9 B   |
|       | 40  | 2   | 1,843.5 ± 823.3 | 73.3 ± 18.8  | 3.5 ± 2.1   | 0.2 ± 0.0 B   |
|       | 50  | 2   | 1,176.0 ± 79.2 | 57.4 ± 2.1   | 0.0 ± 0.0   | 0.0 ± 0.0 B   |
| PF22   | 0   | 2   | 1,648.0 ± 321.0 | 65.3 ± 18.0  | 1,320.6 ± 228.2 | 80.3 ± 1.8 A |
|       | 20  | 2   | 1,046.5 ± 296.3 | 61.5 ± 17.4  | 44.0 ± 11.3  | 4.2 ± 0.1 B   |
|       | 30  | 2   | 1,130.5 ± 531.0 | 49.1 ± 6.7   | 27.8 ± 18.1  | 2.3 ± 0.5 B   |
|       | 40  | 2   | 1,077.5 ± 276.5 | 64.2 ± 5.1   | 4.9 ± 0.9    | 0.4 ± 0.5 B   |
|       | 50  | 2   | 1,208.0 ± 555.5 | 59.5 ± 4.0   | 1.4 ± 2.0    | 0.1 ± 0.1 B   |
| CF20   | 0   | 2   | 1,155.3 ± 430.0 | 64.8 ± 10.6  | 948.7 ± 405.1 | 81.0 ± 4.8 A |
|       | 20  | 2   | 1,519.5 ± 111.0 | 82.0 ± 2.9   | 68.5 ± 0.7   | 4.5 ± 0.3 B   |
|       | 30  | 2   | 1,290.5 ± 57.3  | 75.8 ± 28.8  | 5.6 ± 4.0    | 0.4 ± 0.3 B   |
|       | 40  | 2   | 1,357.5 ± 607.4 | 61.6 ± 10.0  | 0.0 ± 0.0    | 0.0 ± 0.0 B   |
|       | 50  | 2   | 1,685.0 ± 468.1 | 66.9 ± 14.9  | 0.7 ± 1.0    | 0.0 ± 0.0 B   |

A and B indicate statistically significant differences within each strain at \( P < 0.05 \).

1. N.E.D. RF23 16–30 h (residual fertility) = 4.35–4.49 (log10 \[dose/Gy\])
\( R^2 = 0.94, F_{1,6} = 67.99, P < 0.001 \), standard error of estimate: 0.16.
2. N.E.D. PF22 16–30 h (residual fertility) = 2.28–2.92 (log10 \[dose/Gy\])
\( R^2 = 0.92, F_{1,6} = 45.20, P < 0.003 \), standard error of estimate: 0.15.
3. N.E.D. CF20 16–30 h (residual fertility) = 3.48–3.98 (log10 \[dose/Gy\])
\( R^2 = 0.91, F_{1,6} = 29.10, P < 0.01 \), standard error of estimate: 0.24.

Effect of Radiation Dose on Female Fecundity and Fertility. The irradiation of 16- to 30-h-old RF23 strain female pupae showed a strong effect in terms of reduction of the fecundity (Table 6) (\( F_{3,12} = 106.47, P < 0.001 \)). At the lowest dose tested (20 Gy), the mean number of eggs laid per female (1.09 ± 0.96) was significantly reduced with respect to the nontreated control (60.74 ± 11.67), and complete oviposition suppression was registered at 30 and 40 Gy. The fertility of the eggs laid by females irradiated at 20 Gy (17.91% ± 18.83) was significant lower (\( F_{1,6} = 12.65 \) and \( P < 0.02 \)) relative to the control (76.27% ± 18.34), and 100% inhibition of egg hatch was observed at 30 and 40 Gy.

Dosimetry Test 2

Effect of Male Pupal Age and Radiation Doses on Male Longevity. The analysis of the longevity of males irradiated as pupae aged 16–30 h and 30–40 h spotlighted a relation between the male pupal age at irradiation and the survival of the produced adults. Adult males irradiated as pupae aged 16–30 h showed a statistically significant lower longevity than nonirradiated males at the radiation doses higher than 20 Gy (Table 7). In addition, the longevity of 16- to 30-h males treated with 50 Gy was markedly reduced compared with the other dose groups tested (Table 7).

The irradiation of 30- to 40-h-old pupae significantly reduced the longevity with respect to the nontreated control adults at the 50 Gy dose only, whereas no difference was detected between the males irradiated with 20, 30, and 40 Gy, and the nonirradiated control (Table 7).

Effect of Male Pupal Age and Radiation Doses on Male Residual Fertility. No differences (ANOVA; \( F_{1,11} = 0.87 \) and \( P = 0.51 \)) in fecundity were noted in females mated with males irradiated as pupae (30–40 h) at different doses. No differences (block ANOVA; \( F_{1,4} = 0.41 \) and \( P = 0.56 \)) were also observed comparing the fecundity of the Rimini strain (RF23) as a function of the male pupal age at irradiation (30–40 h versus 16–30 h).

No difference (ANOVA; \( F_{1,24} = 0.11 \) and \( P = 0.75 \)) in the residual fertility of females mated with males irradiated at 16–30 h versus 30–40 h was found. The dose-response curves as a function of male pupa age are shown in Fig. 3. The relative regression lines calculated for the N.E.D. residual fertility of the two irradiated male pupae age groups RF23 (16–30 h) and
were checked following international standard procedures (IAEA 2004), obtaining consistent results in terms of dose precision and homogeneity in the radiation cylinder unit.

The dosimetry studies performed allowed the production of dose-residual fertility curves for *Ae. albopictus* male pupae in the range of interest for future evaluation of the optimal radiation dose. This is defined as the best balance between sterility level and sterile male performance (Parker and Mehta 2007). We have no evidence of previous dosimetry studies conducted on *Ae. albopictus*.

The dose-sterility curves displayed an asymptote, as expected according to the findings reported for other insect species (LaChance and Graham 1984), and the slopes of the regression lines were similar to the one described by Helinski et al. (2006) for *An. arabiensis* (b = −3.95; see equations 2–4). According to Curtis (1971), the slope described by the dose-sterility curve can be considered a measure of the potential of repairing the damage induced from the γ rays. Helinski et al. (2006) stated that plotting fertility on a logarithmic scale against dose provides insight in the nature of dominant lethal mutations. A linear response indicates a “one-hit” relationship (i.e., a large proportion of dominant lethal mutations results from single events in the gametes), whereas departures from linearity indicate a “multi-hit” relationship (gametes carry more than one dominant lethal mutation).

What seems to be peculiar of *Ae. albopictus* is the low effective sterilizing dose in comparison with other mosquito species. If we consider as convenient the 1.0% residual fertility level, in the case of *Ae. albopictus* we calculated a γ ray dose in the range 30–35 Gy (depending from the age of the irradiated pupae), whereas 100% sterility was obtained using >60 Gy. In the case of *An. albimanus*, Ali and Rozenboom (1972) using x-rays on 24-h-old pupae obtained 88.7% sterility with 70 Gy and 100% sterility with 80 Gy. Considering *An. arabiensis* exposed as pupae aged 22–26 h to γ rays,

### Dosimetry Test 3

**Effect of Radiation Intensity on Male Mating Efficiency**

The average values of the parameters selected for the assessment of the mating capacity of males treated as pupae aged 30–40 h with 30, 40, and 50 Gy are reported in Table 8 together with the statistical analyses.

No statistically significant difference emerged for any of the parameters considered. The data reported in Table 8 indicate that the duration of coupling was shorter in the nontreated control males and increases with the irradiation dose. The total number of mated females per male and the total number of filled spermatoceae per male were also influenced by the irradiation dose, being lower for the males treated with 50 Gy with respect to the other groups.

The mean number of matings performed by a male did not show substantial differences at any tested dose compared with the control. The analysis of the mating efficiency (number of mated female/number of coupling) suggests the males irradiated at 50 Gy to be less efficient relative to the male irradiated at lower doses and the control (Table 8).

### Discussion

The performances of the irradiator used in the study were checked following international standard procedures (IAEA 2004), obtaining consistent results in terms of dose precision and homogeneity in the radiation cylinder unit.

The dosimetry studies performed allowed the production of dose-residual fertility curves for *Ae. albopictus* male pupae in the range of interest for future evaluation of the optimal radiation dose. This is defined as the best balance between sterility level and sterile male performance (Parker and Mehta 2007). We have no evidence of previous dosimetry studies conducted on *Ae. albopictus*.

The dose-sterility curves displayed an asymptote, as expected according to the findings reported for other insect species (LaChance and Graham 1984), and the slopes of the regression lines were similar to the one described by Helinski et al. (2006) for *An. arabiensis* (b = −3.95; see equations 2–4). According to Curtis (1971), the slope described by the dose-sterility curve can be considered a measure of the potential of repairing the damage induced from the γ rays. Helinski et al. (2006) stated that plotting fertility on a logarithmic scale against dose provides insight in the nature of dominant lethal mutations. A linear response indicates a “one-hit” relationship (i.e., a large proportion of dominant lethal mutations results from single events in the gametes), whereas departures from linearity indicate a “multi-hit” relationship (gametes carry more than one dominant lethal mutation).

What seems to be peculiar of *Ae. albopictus* is the low effective sterilizing dose in comparison with other mosquito species. If we consider as convenient the 1.0% residual fertility level, in the case of *Ae. albopictus* we calculated a γ ray dose in the range 30–35 Gy (depending from the age of the irradiated pupae), whereas 100% sterility was obtained using >60 Gy. In the case of *An. albimanus*, Ali and Rozenboom (1972) using x-rays on 24-h-old pupae obtained 88.7% sterility with 70 Gy and 100% sterility with 80 Gy. Considering *An. arabiensis* exposed as pupae aged 22–26 h to γ rays,
Helinski et al. (2006) obtained 98.6% sterility at the highest tested dose of 100 Gy. In Anopheles gambiae male pupae of different ages, 99.5% sterility was induced by γ radiation of 120 Gy (Andreasen and Curtis 2005). Anopheles pharoensis male pupae aged 15–20 h exposed to γ rays showed 100% sterility at 116 Gy (Abdel-Malek et al. 1967). Irradiating with γ rays Anopheles stephensi male pupae aged 4–28 h, Sharma et al. (1978) observed a 99.1% sterility when using 120 Gy, whereas Andreasen and Curtis (2005) obtained 98.2–98.5% sterility using the same dose. Two-day-old Culex pipiens molestus male pupae were 99.1% sterilized when irradiated with 97.3 Gy of γ rays (Sonoda 1972). Culex quinquefasciatus males γ radiated as pupae aged 24 h required 100 Gy to achieve 99.6 sterility (Smittle et al. 1971a). In a second experiment on the same species, Smittle et al. (1971b) compared γ rays with fast neutrons in sterilizing male pupae aged <24 h, showing that 73 Gy of γ rays induced 99.8% sterility, and 20 Gy of fast neutrons gave 99.5% sterility. Wijeyaratne et al. (1977) using γ radiation on Cx. quinquefasciatus male pupae of mixed ages obtained 98.5% sterility with the highest tested dose of 97.3 Gy. Culex tarsalis males exposed to γ rays as pupae aged 6–36 h required 150 Gy to achieve 98.3% of sterilization (Darrow 1968). The closest reference data are reported for Ae. aegypti by Hallinan and Rai (1973), in which exposing 1-d-old pupae obtained 100% sterility with 70 Gy, not far from our >60 Gy for the same sterility level. Weidhas and Schmidt (1963), irradiating Ae. aegypti male pupa aged >24 h, obtained 99.9% sterility with the dose of 78 Gy. The role played by the dose rate of γ rays (Gy/min) on the levels of induced sterility and possible somatic damage still needs to be investigated and clarified in the case of mosquitoes. Our reference rate was 2.2 Gy/min ± 3.5%, which may be considered at the lower limit of the range reported in similar studies (1.9–12.0 Gy/min) (Abdel-Malek et al. 1967, Ali and Rozenboom 1972, Darrow 1968, Hallinan and Rai 1973, Helinski et al. 2006, Sharma et al. 1978, Smittle et al. 1971a, Wijeyaratne et al. 1977).

It is well documented that mosquito male pupa age at irradiation (calculated from pupation to irradiation moment) is an important factor, playing a significant role on the sterility level and the quality of produced adults (Abdel-Malek et al. 1967, Dame and Schmidt 1962, Darrow 1968, Wijeyaratne et al. 1977, Andreasen and Curtis 2005, Helinski and Knols 2008).

In our experiment, the statistical comparison between the regression lines calculated for the residual fertility of males treated as pupae of different age found no significant difference between 16- to 30-h and 30- to 40-h aged pupae. While in preliminary trials comparing three pupa age classes, we were able to obtain a slight, but not significant, increase in sterility with the discriminant dose of 40 Gy, from 97.77% in pupae aged 16–24 h, to 99.31% in pupae aged 24–40 h, to 99.59% in pupae aged 40–48 h (Tab. 1).

Adult male longevity determined in laboratory cages was influenced by radiation doses administered to the pupa. In particular, we observed an evident shortening of adult life span at the higher doses tested (60–80 Gy) and a clear influence of the age of the pupae at radiation on the adult longevity up to the dose of 40 Gy (Table 2). It remains doubtful whether the laboratory conditions are stressful enough for the adults to evidence possible negative effect of the lower dose radiation.

Abdel-Malek et al. (1966), working with An. pharoensis pupae (males and females) in the range 4.8–68 Gy, found no reduction in longevity in comparison with nontreated pupae. Abdel-Malek et al. (1967), working with An. pharoensis male pupae aged 15–20 h exposed to γ ray doses in the range 97–126 Gy, found slight effect on adult longevity (males and females). Cx. p. molestus 2-d-old male pupae exposed to γ rays in the range 9.7–117 Gy produced adults showing only slightly reduced longevity (Sonoda 1972). Helinski et al. (2006), exposing An. arabiensis pupae aged 22–26 h to γ-rays in the range 25–100 Gy, found no clear evidence of dose response in adult male longevity.

Table 8. Mating parameters measured for males irradiated as pupae aged 30–40 h with 30, 40, and 50 Gy (4 males/20 females in 30 x 30 x 30-cm cage)

| N = 2 | Dose (Gy) | ANOVA |
|------|-----------|-------|
|      | 0         | 30    | 40    | 50    | F     | P     |
| Mean duration first 4 couplings (s) | 13.5 ± 6.7 | 19.7 ± 6.9 | 20.1 ± 6.2 | 34.1 ± 5.1 | 3.80 | 0.11 |
| No. mated female | 9.0 ± 2.8 | 8.5 ± 0.7 | 11.0 ± 1.4 | 3.5 ± 2.1 | 5.42 | 0.07 |
| No. couplings/male | 3.4 ± 1.6 | 2.6 ± 0.5 | 2.9 ± 0.9 | 2.8 ± 0.2 | 0.22 | 0.88 |
| No. inseminated females/male | 2.2 ± 0.7 | 2.1 ± 0.2 | 2.7 ± 0.3 | 1.1 ± 0.8 | 2.93 | 0.16 |
| No. filled spermathecae/male | 5.1 ± 1.6 | 3.5 ± 0.7 | 5.5 ± 0.7 | 2.0 ± 1.4 | 3.74 | 0.12 |
| No. mated female/no. coupling | 0.7 ± 0.1 | 0.8 ± 0.2 | 1.0 ± 0.2 | 0.4 ± 0.3 | 2.43 | 0.21 |
When *Ae. albopictus* female pupae are irradiated, the adult females show a normal biting behavior, but their fecundity is strongly depressed, resulting in only 1.8% hatching at 20 Gy exposure, and complete sterility at 30–40 Gy. Fertility of the few eggs produced by females radiated as pupae with 20 Gy was also strongly affected, resulting in 23.5% hatching (Table 6). Therefore, the effect of γ rays on fecundity and fertility was higher for *Ae. albopictus* female pupae than for male pupae, as observed in other insect species (Bakry et al. 2005), as well as in other mosquito species (Darrow 1968, Ali and Rozenboom 1972, Sharma et al. 1979). This parameter is of relevance when sexing of male pupae is performed with methods that do not guarantee 100% efficacy and some sterile females are released as “impurity” together with sterile males. As sterile females lay no eggs, we have no risk of bias in terms of overestimation of sterile male efficacy in the field, as it would be in case the sterile females could have laid sterile eggs.

Helinski et al. (2006) found no significant differences in fecundity of *An. arabiensis* females mated with males radiated as pupae in the range 25–100 Gy. This parameter has some relevance in field experiments when evaluation of released sterile male efficacy is conducted by collecting eggs to evaluate their fertility level. If fecundity of wild females mated with sterile males is depressed, then a bias in terms of underestimation of the sterile male efficacy may occur.

In our study, we also tested the hypothesis that different *Ae. albopictus* strains may show a different answer to radiation. Three strains originated from well-separated localities in northern Italy (Pinerolo, Cesena, Rimini) and well adapted to mass rearing artificial condition were considered in the dose-residual fertility study. No difference was found among the tested strains. This is not surprising, as radiosensitivity is considered to show low variation for the same species (Bakry et al. 2005), and previous studies find low genetic variability among *Ae. albopictus* Italian populations collected in geographically separated areas (Urbanelli et al. 2000).

When investigating the mating capacity of irradiated males in laboratory cage trials, our study suggested the negative impact of radiation at doses higher than 40 Gy, whereas no significant differences were obtained at 30–40 Gy, both in terms of number of mated females/male and number of replenished spermathecae/male.

Notwithstanding the lack of significant differences, the trend of the observations that the mating duration was longer for irradiated males and the efficiency (number of mated female/number of coupling) was lower for irradiated males in comparison with the nontreated males produced strong indications of negative impact on the mating behavior by the radiation treatments even at the lowest doses (Table 8). The relevance of this impact on the mating competitiveness of sterile males remains to be evaluated in large field enclosures and possibly in field studies, as the cage test might not be sensitive enough to detect the impact of deleterious effects of the irradiation with the lower doses.

Few studies on mating capacity of γ ray-sterilized mosquitoes pupae are available. Tantawy et al. (1967), working with *An. pharoensis*, showed that male pupae radiated with 116 Gy produced males with equal mating capacity to normal costrain males.

In this work, we were able to demonstrate that the irradiation of *Ae. albopictus* male pupae in water medium is a viable and efficient way for obtaining sterile male to be released in SIT programs.

As Helinski and Knols (2008) found that the management of pupae of the malaria vector *An. arabiensis* for radiating procedures makes no negative impact on adult emergence and behavior, in our study the level of mortality attributable to the transport of the pupae, taken in a film of water for about 3 h, was negligible (data not published).

In the SIT area-wide perspective, the availability of sterile male pupae offers several advantages in comparison with male adults, such as the easier handling of the pupae (small containers with little water), their high resistance to the stress caused by manipulation during the treatment process and to desiccation (up to 2 d at 26°C and 57% RH, Hien 1975), the possibility to release them in natural breeding sites, and/or to provide them with a carbohydrate energy source at the releasing site.
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