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**Abstract:** Four experimental rations were formulated from locally available protein and energy source feed to study its effect on the production performance, on some egg quality traits for fayoumi chickens and to know the financially feasibility of such locally formulated rations for fayoumi layer chickens. Ninety six fayoumi chickens of 24 weeks of age were subjected to four formulated rations. Each of the four treatments were replicated three times with 8 birds (1 male: 7 females) per replicate. Each replicate feed was fed in group in feeding pens. Feed intake and egg production data were collected daily for each replicate. Dry matter feed intake was not significantly different (P >0.05) between treatments 1 and 3, and between treatments 2 and 4. Significantly higher (P<0.05) egg production and egg weight were observed in treatment 2 with no significant difference (P>0.05) among the other three treatments. Egg shell weight (g), shell thickness (mm) and Yolk color did not differ (P>0.05) significantly among the treatments. In the current study net profit of 47.2, 39.4, 21.41 and 15.3 Ethiopian Birr were obtained per bird on treatments 2, 3, 4 and 1 respectively within six months of feeding period. Locally available protein and energy source feed can be used for the formulation of nutritionally balanced and economically feasible diet for fayoumi layers chickens. Ration formulated from 0.5% limestone, 0.5% salt, 1% layers premixes, 28% wheat bran, 3.5% fish meal, 11.5% soybean, 32% maize and 23% noug cake was found to be financially feasible and recommendable in areas with similar climatic conditions to Adami Tulu area and in area where these feed ingredients are available.
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### 1. Introduction

Fayoumi chickens are one of the egg producing breeds introduced to Ethiopia. It is originated from Egypt, hardy and very precious in being early maturing [1]. They mature at very young age of 4-5 months, lay good number of white cream eggs, have excellent resistance to viral and bacterial diseases, can cope with hot conditions and are excellent foragers [2]. Considering their production traits, the breed was brought to mid rift valley of Ethiopia to distribute for farmers. However in mid rift valley of Ethiopia both introduced pure exotic chickens breed and commercial breeds did not express their production potential after distribution mainly due to lack quality supplementary feed. In mid rift valley of Ethiopia there is a shortage of commercial poultry feed suppliers and poultry production characterized with inadequate feeding system [3]. The price of commercial feed also raised up and not economical for small holder poultry producer to use it. On the other hand; a newly introduced breed cannot expressed their full production potential and even cannot survive unless the problem of feeding solved. Locally available feed sources have a potential to fill the gap when it mixed with care to formulate economically and nutritionally balanced diet. Identifying some of the protein source and energy source feed and formulating diets with balanced nutrient is the recommended policy for chickens feeding [4, 5].

Many protein and energy source feeds are available in mid rift valley area that can be used as feed ingredients to formulate supplementary poultry feed. Soybean is one of the best protein sources feed for chickens and cultivated in mid rift valley of Ethiopia. It contains 40 to 48 percent crude protein depending on the amount of hulls removed and the oil extraction procedures [6]. It is also possible to use fishmeal in mid rift valley area as layers ration as it is highly available in the area. Nutritionally it has been widely used as a
supplemental protein source for many years primarily for
mono-gastric animals. Fish meal is the most important
animal protein supplement used in poultry ration that
contributed protein especially essential amino acid (aa)
vitamin (B12) and minerals [7, 8]. It produced from clean
dried ground tissue of un-decomposed fish residues
remaining after processing for human food or industrial
purposes. Noug seed cake is one of the oil seed cak e
palatable by-product and highly available on local market of
providing feed for the day. Water was provided
provided for each chicken. Left over feeds of the t reatment
both protein and energy source feed.

2. Material and Methods

The experiment was conducted at Adami Tulu Agricultural
Research Center. Adami Tulu Agricultural research center is
located in the mid rift valley of Ethiopia at latitude of 7°9`N
and 38°7`E. The altitude of the area is 1650 meter above sea
level. The average annual rain fall of the area was 949 mm
with an average minimum and maximum temperatures of
14°C and 29.6°C, respectively and the relative humidity of
mid rift valley of Ethiopia [9].

As energy source maize produced in mid rift valley of
Ethiopia and it is rich in carbohydrate and fat, contains 8%
CP and 14.5 KJ/g (kilojoules per gram) metabolizable energy
[10]. Wheat bran is the outer fibrous layers separated from
wheat grain with 12MJ/kg metabolizable energy, quite
palatable by-product and highly available on local market of
mid rift valley of Ethiopia [9].

Using these locally available protein and energy source
feed; it is possible to formulate economically affordable and
nutritionally balanced diet for the introduced fayoumi
chickens. Therefore, the study was done to formulate
nutritionally and financially feasible ration from locally
available feed source for the introduced fayoumi chickens.

2.1. Feed Management

Fish meal was processed to separate the solids (fat-free dry
matter), oil and water. It was made by cooking in barrel, then
pressing and drying on sun and finally by grinding the dried
fish meal. The processed fish meal was brought to Adami
tulu agricultural research center from Batu aquatic and
fishery resource research center, and further dried and ground
to be used as poultry feed. Soybean cultivated in Adami Tulu
farm and toasted before grinding and added into the rations.
Wheat bran, maize, noug cake, Limestone, layers premix,
and salt were purchased from local market and added in to
the rations. Four poultry rations were formulated using these
both protein and energy source feed.

From each of the formulated diet 120 gram feed was daily
provided for each chicken. Left over feeds of the treatment
diets were collected every next day in the morning before
providing feed for the day. Water was provided ad libitum.
Eight chickens (7 females and 1 male) were randomly
allotted to four dietary treatments each of which were
replicated three times.

| Feed ingredients | TR1 | TR2 | TR3 | TR4 |
|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| limestone        | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| salt             | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| premixes         | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| Wheat bran       | 46  | 28  | 41  | 40  |
| Fish meal        | 0   | 3.5 | 7.5 | 11.25 |
| soybean          | 15  | 11.5| 7.5 | 3.75 |
| maize            | 24  | 32  | 28  | 26  |
| Noug cake        | 13  | 23  | 14  | 17  |
| Total            | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

TR= treatment

2.2. Animal Management

A total of 96 Fayoumi chickens of 24 weeks of age were
selected from chickens reared in Adami Tulu research center.
Litter housing system that was partitioned in to 12 equal
sized pens (4 m²) was used. Before placing the experimental
chickens in to the experimental pens the whole units were
cleaned and disinfected with Dizimon disinfectant and littered
with properly dried tef (Ergrostic tef) straw. The experimental house was electrically heated during night.

2.3. Data Collected and Performance Parameters

Considered

Eggs intake per bird, vaccine, medicine and disinfectant
were weighed using an electronic digital balance. Egg shell
thickness was measured using electronic digital caliper and
yolk color was determined by adjusting the score of yolk
color on color fan from Roche.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Analysis of variance of feed intake, egg production and
egg quality parameters were done according to the general
linear model (GLM) procedure of the Statistical Analysis
System [12] software. Mean comparisons were made using
Duncan Multiple Range Test procedure of the SAS package.

2.5. Economic Analysis

Variable costs collected from the prices of dry matter feed
intake per bird, vaccine, medicine and disinfectant used. Net
return was obtained from egg produced and sold during six
months experimental period. The economic benefit was
estimated by considering partial budget analysis, according to
the formula developed by CIMMT [13, 14].

\[ NI = TR – TVC \]

Where, NI = Net income, TR = Total return, TVC = Total
variable cost.
3. Results

3.1. Chemical Composition of the Rations

The chemical compositions of the experimental rations used were analyzed at Adami Tulu animal nutrition laboratory and at National veterinary institute. The dry matter (DM%), mineral matter (MM%), crude fiber (CF%), crude fat (%), calcium (Ca%), Phosphorus (P%) and crude protein (CP%) composition of the formulated treatment rations were analyzed using the method of AOAC [15] proximate principle and given in (Table 2).

| Nutrient (%) | TR | DM % | MM % | CF % | Fat | Ca % | P % | CP % | ME (Kcal/DM) |
|--------------|----|------|------|------|-----|------|-----|------|--------------|
| 1            | 89.6 | 7.2  | 4.6  | 4.4  | 0.84 | 0.84 | 16.36 | 2870 |
| 2            | 86.4 | 8.6  | 5.1  | 3.2  | 0.92 | 0.96 | 16.50 | 2870 |
| 3            | 90.8 | 8.2  | 5.8  | 4.2  | 0.98 | 0.88 | 16.78 | 2870 |
| 4            | 86.9 | 8.0  | 5.4  | 2.8  | 0.85 | 0.82 | 16.24 | 2870 |

TR = treatment, DM% = dry matter percentage, MM% = mineral matter percentage, CF% = crude fiber percentage, Ca% = calcium percentage, P% = phosphorus percentage, ME= metabolizable energy, Kcal= kilocalorie

Feed intake, egg production performance and egg quality parameters of fayoumi chickens fed the rations formulated from protein and energy source local feed are given in table 3 below.

| TR | Feed intake/day (g) | Egg production Within six months | Egg weight (g) | Shell weight (g) | Shell thickness (mm) | Yolk color |
|----|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------|
| 1  | 86.4±8.2b           | 52±4.6b                      | 39.8±2.3b     | 4.4±0.54        | 0.32±0.03          | 2.4±0.69   |
| 2  | 94.6±10.4a          | 64±5.6b                      | 42.6±3.1b     | 4.6±0.51        | 0.34±0.04          | 2.6±0.78   |
| 3  | 82.2±6.5b           | 56±4.8b                      | 39.2±2.4b     | 4.3±0.53        | 0.36±0.05          | 2.5±0.73   |
| 4  | 93.4±4.2a           | 54.4±2.8b                    | 38.4±4.2b     | 4.2±0.50        | 0.37±0.02          | 2.6±0.76   |

SD = standard deviation, TR= treatment, g = gram, mm =millimeter. superscripts in the same column with different letters are significantly different (P<0.05).

3.2. Production Cost and Return of Fayoumi Chickens Reared Using Formulated Feed

Variable costs were collected from the prices of dry matter feed intake per bird, from vaccine, medicine and disinfectant used per bird based up on price of data set collected for respective treatments. Money obtained from sale of total egg laid during experimental period was the source of income. Fixed costs (feeders and waters) were taken from the previously purchased and used for flock and returned as soon as the trial finished and feeding pens were constructed previously by the farm and its cost were not included in calculation. The financial analysis of the experiment was computed and described for each treatment in the table 4 given below.

| Partial budget cost | Treatments (TR) |
|---------------------|-----------------|
|                     | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   |
| Total feed consumed in six months, kg/bird | 15.48 | 17.03 | 15.00 | 16.81 |
| Feed cost (ETB) | 7.54 | 7.80 | 7.24 | 7.15 |
| Total feed cost (ETB) | 116.7 | 132.80 | 108.6 | 120.19 |
| Cost of Vaccine, Medicine and Disinfectant (ETB) | 21.00 | 21.00 | 21.00 | 21.00 |
| Cost of feeding pen construction (Mish wire, poles and Bedding Material/teff straw/) (ETB) | 42.00 | 42.00 | 42.00 | 42.00 |
| Labor cost (ETB) | 13.00 | 13.00 | 13.00 | 13.00 |
| Total variable cost (TVC) (ETB) | 192.70 | 208.80 | 184.60 | 196.19 |
| Price of total eggs laid (GR)(ETB) | 208.00 | 256.00 | 224.00 | 217.60 |
| NR (GR-TVC) | 15.30 | 47.20 | 39.40 | 21.41 |

TR = treatment, ETB = Ethiopian Birr, TVC= Total variable cost, NR = Net return, GR= Gross return

4. Discussions

There is no significant difference in feed intake between treatment one and treatment three, and between treatment two and treatment four most probably due to the similar fat and dry mater content of the corresponding treatment diets. Significantly (P<0.05) higher dry mater feed intake was observed in treatments two and treatment four compared to treatment one and three. This could be due to the lower fat content of treatment two and four diets compared to the rest diets that agree with Valkonen et al. [16]. Dry mater feed intake in the current study is generally lower than the study done by Tesfa et al., khan et al. due to higher energy content.
of the current feed used. Higher energy content of the current feed caused lower feed intake that agree with Valkonen et al [17, 18], [16] and Asghedom et al. [19] who reported higher feed intake from using higher energy feed compared to using lower energy feed.

Significantly higher (P<0.05) egg production and egg weight were observed in treatment two compared to the rest treatments due to the higher dry matter feed intake and this agree with the report of Valkonen et al. [16] and Asghedom et al. [20].

Egg production performance of Fayoumi chickens in the current study is higher than the study done on the same breed by Tesfà et al. [21] but lower than the study done using commercial layer diet [17]. Egg production performances of Fayoumi chickens in the current study are lower than their egg production performance in middle Egypt as reported by Ann [2] and by Khan et al. [18]. This is due to the lower dry matter feed intake compared to the findings of others.

Egg weight in the current experiment was also similar with the egg weight reported by Tesfà et al. [21] but lower than the egg weight observed from using commercial layer diet [17] and than the report of Khan et al. [18]. This is most probably due to the quality of protein in the diet and due to different dry matter intake.

Shell weight and shell thickness did not differ (P>0.05) significantly among treatments. This could be due to similar calcium and phosphors contents of the formulated rations as egg shell is the function of minerals in the diet. Yolk color of the eggs also did not differ (P>0.05) significantly among treatments because chicken were not subjected to green feeding that influence yolk color.

In the current study, 47.2, 39.4, 21.41 and 15.3 net Ethiopian Birr from the sale of eggs was obtained per bird from T2, T3, T4 and T1 respectively. The net return did not considered the value of poultry litter that can be used as a bio fertilizer and animal feed. Compared to the other rations higher net return was obtained from using treatment two (T2). Compared to the previous work done using commercial diet by Tesfà et al. [17] higher net return was obtained in the current study.

5. Conclusion

Locally available protein and energy resource feed can be used for the formulation of nutritionally balanced and economically feasible diet for fayoumi layers chickens. Livestock experts and researchers should have to assist poultry producers who are willing to introduce Fayoumi chickens and while they are formulating diet for them from the available feed resources.

6. Recommendation

Locally formulated ration from 0.5% limestone, 0.5% salt, 1% layers premixes, 28% wheat bran, 3.5% fish meal, 11.5% soybean, 32% maize and 23% noug cake was found to be nutritionally and financially feasible and recommendable in areas with similar climatic conditions to Adami Tulu area and in area where these feed ingredients are available.
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