Effects of application parameters on spray characteristics of multi-rotor UAV
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Abstract: As the new favorite of agricultural plant protection operations, the spray characteristics of plant protection unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) are the key standards to measure their quality, and the quality of spray of plant protection UAV is largely determined by the droplet drift behavior. Thus, recently, the droplet drift in the operation of plant protection UAV has been extensively studied and discussed. This paper proposes a method for studying the effects of three variables on the deposition characteristics and droplet size using a spray performance comprehensive experimental platform (developed by Jilin Agricultural Machinery Research Institute). The 12 groups of spray experiments were carried out with different combinations, rotor speed, spray height and nozzle speed and regression analysis was carried out on the obtained 12 sets of sedimentary characteristics and droplet size data to explore its effects on the deposition characteristics and droplet size. The results show that the spray height has a significant effect on the sedimentation amount, but the influence on the droplet size is negligible. The nozzle rotation speed and rotor rotation speed have a notable effect on the droplet size, but the effect on the sedimentation volume is not significant. This paper can provide theoretical basis and data support for the study of pesticide application techniques to reduce the phenomenon of droplet drift.
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1 Introduction

The application of pesticides is an indispensable and crucial measure to prevent agricultural pests, Pesticide diseases, insects and grass damages avoided and recovered by pesticides worldwide account for 1/3 of grain production[1]. As a major producer and consumer of pesticides, China’s production and sales volume ranks the loss rate of pesticide droplets drifts from 50% to 70% [2-5].

Therefore, the drifting behavior of fog droplets has attracted much attention in plant protection operations. Previous studies have shown that there are two internal and external conditions affecting the drift of the droplets: the internal conditions are mainly droplet size, spray device and spray technology[6,7], and the external conditions are mainly meteorological conditions[8,9]. Currently, the methods for studying pesticide drift mainly include field tests, experimental table experiments and simulations. Since there are many environmental variables in the field test and it is difficult to completely describe the influence of air flow on spraying with simulations. Therefore, the experimental table test is widely utilized in the research of pesticide droplet drift into the advantages of high data reliability and high repeatability.

Recently, the drift of droplets in the aerial spraying process has been extensively studied and paid attention to. Many scholars have carried out a large number of experimental studies on the factors affecting drift. In [10], the use of open circuit wind tunnel and Sympatec laser diffraction analyzer proposed for the purpose of testing the droplet size, quantity and range of fan-shaped mist nozzles at different pressures, wind speeds and spray heights. The results show that the increase in the distance between the pressure, the wind speed, and the nozzle and the laser particle size analyzer results in a smaller diameter of the droplet volume of the fan-shaped mist nozzle. Thistle et al.[11] studied the effects of factors such as wake, tip vortex, and rotor downwind on the droplet deposition using a manned fixed-wing aircraft. In [12], Thomson et al. discussed the effect of the wake wind field generated by different directions of rotation of the Air-Tractor 402B manned propulsion propeller on the droplet deposition. In [13], the flow field of multi-rotor UAV through CFD (Computational Fluid Dynamics) simulation software was simulated, and Shen Ao et al. obtained the characteristics of multi-rotor UAV flow field at different speeds through it. Nuytens et al.[14] established a CFD three-dimensional spray drift model, the factors such as droplet characteristics, meteorological conditions, chemical properties, canopy structure and crop characteristics were taken into account, and conducted field tests to verify that the CFD model is an auxiliary effective way to reduce the field spray lose. In [15], four kinds of spray pressure, air-blast velocity, travel speed, and three
kinds of sampling height, were set to spray experiment and regression analysis, results showed that each spray technical parameter had significant coverage of droplet deposition in the canopy, and the degree of influence from strong to weak is sampling height, driving speed, fan outlet wind speed, and spray pressure. Ru et al.\textsuperscript{[16]} utilized the nozzle atomization performance test system to study the influence of nozzle diameter, spray pressure and motor speed on nozzle droplet size, deposition distribution, spray width and power consumption, results showed the motor voltage has a more significant effect on the droplet size than the nozzle diameter and spray pressure parameters. There are many studies on the performance of the nozzle itself, however, few studies on the influence of the spray drift on the rotor wind field of the UAV which is essential to spray drift and influence spray quality deeply has been reported.

In this paper, the droplet collection experimental table was used to examine the droplet deposition amount and droplet size of the UAV equipped with the centrifugal nozzle at distinct spray heights, nozzle speeds, and rotor speeds. The analysis of the influence of application parameters on the droplet deposition of centrifugal nozzles is expected to provide theoretical basis and data support for the study of pesticide application techniques to reduce droplet drift.

2 The structure and working principle of the comprehensive experimental platform of droplet collection

The comprehensive experimental platform of droplet collection for spray performance used in this paper was developed by Jilin Agricultural Machinery Research Institute. The mechanical structure and working principle are as follows.

2.1 Mechanical structure

As shown in Figure 1, comprehensive experimental platform of droplet collection includes: main frame, U-shaped fog trough, ultrasonic level test vehicle, gantry truss, test tube rack and turnover system. The experimental platform has a total length of 5 m, a width of 2.4 m and a height of 1.2 m. The U-shaped fog trough opening is 50 mm, 100 uniform distribution. The gantry truss is utilized to fix the UAV, and the height of the truss is adjusted by the motor drive chain to control the flying height of the UAV operation\textsuperscript{[17]}.

Since the trough has an inclination of 7°, the liquid collected in each trough will flow into the front of end tube. After the end of the spray, the photoelectric positioning coupling switch coordinated with the ultrasonic level test vehicle moving in the independent frame are used to measure the liquid level height of each test tube. After the measurement, the tube rack turnover system dumps the liquid in each tube to complete the measurement. Change the operating parameters and proceed to the next set of measurements.

2.2 Control system

The control of the ultrasonic liquid level test vehicle, the test tube rack turnover mechanism and the fan start and stop is realized through the programmable logic controller (PLC). The working principle is shown in Figure 2. The PLC communicates with the computer through the RS232 serial port. The host computer is programmed by LabVIEW 2013 (NI, Texas, USA, 253000). The front panel of the program is shown in Figure 3. The ultrasonic liquid level test vehicle, the fixed lifting structure of the UAV, and the turnover mechanism of the test tube rack can all be controlled by the PLC controller.

3 Spray equipment and experiment design

3.1 Spray equipment

As shown in Figure 4, the spraying device consists of a rotor planting UAV (NJY-1206) equipped with a medical kit, a pump and a centrifugal nozzle. The UAV diagonal rotor distance is 1.2 m, the driving mode is electric 24 V, the medical kit capacity is 10 L, and the pump pressure is 0.2 MPa. The rotor plant protection UAV can change the surrounding wind field strength by adjusting the rotor speed, which can be utilized to simulate the wind field during the actual flight of the UAV and the flow deposition characteristics of the UAV at the fixed point can be measured. The spray equipment adopts the centrifugal nozzle PGP-ADG2 (developed by Jilin Agricultural Machinery Research Institute), and the two nozzles are respectively fixed directly below the rotor, the spacing is 120 cm.
3.2 Experiment design

The test was carried out indoors. The room temperature was constant during the experiment. The temperature was 13~21°C, humidity was 18.2%~26.5%, and wind speed was 0 m/s, each experiment was conducted by selecting clean water as the experimental medium. A series of experiments were performed by combining the three variables in Table 1.

Table 1 Parameters of variates

| Rotor speed/r·min⁻¹ | Spray height/m | Nozzle speed/r·min⁻¹ |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| 3060                | 0.8            | 9250                 |
| 4500                | 1.5            | 11750                |
|                     |                | 12500                |

(1) Spray height

The actual spray height was simulated by adjusting the relative distance between the fixed UAV and the U-shaped fog trough, and the experiment was carried out under the spray height of 0.8 m and 1.5 m to analyze the effect of spray height on the droplet deposition characteristics.

(2) Nozzle speed

In the actual spraying process, assuming that the droplet of the large particle size, it has large kinetic energy, and hard to drift with the wind and evaporate, but it is prone to bounce and roll loss (called loss in the field), causing the loss of liquid medicine, poor spray effect, and the environment pollution meanwhile, the droplet of the small particle size can be well settled and covered on the surface of the crop, and the adhesion performance is favorable, the loss is hard to occur, and the utilization rate of the pesticide is high, in addition, the fine mist droplet has excellent penetrating ability and the control effect is remarkable, yet, too tiny droplets are greatly affected by the airflow, are prone to drift, pollute the environment, and may cause serious phytotoxicity to crops in adjacent areas[18]. Consequently, the particle size of the pesticide droplets is too large or too small to obtain a satisfactory spray effect. It has been shown that the centrifugal nozzle speed directly affects the particle size of the droplets, and the droplet diameter of the centrifugal nozzle is between 100~150 μm at 9000-12000 r/min, which achieves the best spray quality[19]. In this experiment, the speed of the centrifugal nozzle was controlled by PWM (Pulse Width Modulation) signal controller. The influence of nozzle rotation speed on droplet deposition characteristics was analyzed by the experiment conducted under the conditions of nozzle rotation speed of 9250 r/min, 11750 r/min and 12500 r/min.

(3) Rotor speed

The blade pitch of the rotorcraft is fixed so it balances the gravity by changing the rotor speed to reach the hovering state. The rotor UAV applied in this experiment has a take-off weight of 20 kg at full load, the corresponding rotor speed is 4500 r/min, the take-off weight is 10 kg at no load, and the corresponding rotor speed is 3060 r/min. To simulate the two hovering states of the UAV, the influence of rotor speed on droplet deposition characteristics was analyzed by experiment conducted under the condition of rotor speed of 3060 r/min and 4500 r/min.

Under the influence of the above three factors, the specific test parameters are determined as shown in Table 2.

3.2.1 Measurement of droplet deposition

Run the experimental platform, adjust the spray device to the optimal position: directly over the platform, and start the 5min simulation spray. After the spray, the test tube deposition amount is measured by the ultrasonic liquid level test vehicle, repeat the above steps until the actual deposition amount of all 12 groups of experiments was obtained, and repeat each experiment three times. Since the spray apply double nozzle, so theoretical deposition amount is calculated as
To measure the uniformity of droplet distribution, which is given by amount. The coefficient of variation and analyze the diameter of the droplet and the uniformity of the droplet size, the width of the fog droplet spectrum can be used to measure and analyze the effects of spray height, motor speed and rotor speed on the droplet size. The laser particle size analyzer can directly measure the droplet size, applied in plant protection spray experiment [20,21]. Results show solution is given by the equation

\[ D = 2q't \]  

where, \( D \) is theoretical deposition; \( q \) is nozzle flow and \( q = 0.31 \) L/min, \( t = 5 \) min. The theoretical deposition amount was calculated to be 3.1 L. The effective deposition rate of the drug solution is given by the equation

\[ E = D_\text{e} / (100\%) \]  

where, \( E \) is effective deposition rate; \( D_\text{e} \) is effective deposition amount. The coefficient of variation \( CV \) is a crucial indicator to measure the uniformity of droplet distribution which is given by

\[ CV = SD / \bar{X} \times 100\% \]  

where, \( SD \) is standard deviation; \( \bar{X} \) is average.

3.2.2.2 The measurement of droplet particle size

With the development of electronic and optical technology, the laser particle size analyzer can directly measure the droplet size, and the computer image analysis system can be used to measure and analyze the diameter of the droplet and the uniformity of the spray distribution. Laser particle size analyzer has been widely applied in plant protection spray experiments [20,21]. Results show that the laser particle size analyzer has significant advantages and excellent effects in the application of plant protection spray experiment. In this test, the DP-02 laser particle size analyzer was utilized to measure and analyze the effects of spray height, motor speed and rotor speed on the droplet size. The laser particle size analyzer was mounted directly below the nozzle, and after the optical components were properly focused, the sample was measured against the background of measuring particle-free dispersion media. Make sure that there is enough measurement time to gather sufficient signals to guarantee that results are statistically representative [22]. The particle size of the droplet refers to the size of the space occupied by the droplet, generally, the parameter applied to analyze the droplet is: the droplet diameter \( D_{v10} \) whose droplets cumulative distribution is 10%, that is, the volume of the droplet is smaller than the parameter occupy 10% of the total volume of the droplets; the droplet diameter \( D_{v50} \) whose droplets cumulative distribution is 50%, that is, the volume of the droplets smaller than the diameter of the droplets accounts for 50% of the total volume of the droplets, also known as volume median diameter (VMD); the droplet diameter \( D_{v90} \) whose droplets cumulative distribution is 90%, that is, the volume of the droplets smaller than the diameter of the droplets accounts for 90% of the total volume of the droplets [23,24]. As an index for evaluating the uniformity of the droplet size, the width of the fog droplet spectrum can directly reflect the distribution of the diameter of the droplet whose calculation formula is:

\[ R.S. = (D_{v90} - D_{v10}) / D_{v50} \]  

where, \( R.S. \) is the width of the fog droplet spectrum; \( D_{v90} \) is 90% by volume medium diameter; \( D_{v10} \) is 10% volume medium diameter, and \( D_{v50} \) is 50% volume medium diameter.

4 Results and analysis

4.1 Effect of dissimilar spray parameters on droplet deposition

The theoretical deposition amount, effective deposition amount and effective utilization rate of different groups are shown in Table 3. All the theoretical deposition amount is 3100 mL, the effective deposition amount is between 1174.9 and 2303.3 mL, effective deposition rate is between 37.9% and 74.3%, it can be seen that the overall effective deposition rate of the spray is less than 75%.

| Group | Theoretical deposition amount/mL | Effective deposition amount/mL | Effective deposition rate/% | Coefficient of variation/% |
|-------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| E11   | 3100                             | 1174.9±551.6                   | 37.9±30.3                 | 52.4±15.0                 |
| E12   | 3100                             | 1745.0±165.4                   | 56.3±8.3                  | 46.7±6.1                  |
| E13   | 3100                             | 2139.9±173.0                   | 69.0±2.3                  | 43.4±2.6                  |
| E11   | 3100                             | 2303.3±232.8                   | 74.3±8.2                  | 42.6±8.2                  |
| E12   | 3100                             | 1894.0±226.0                   | 61.1±6.5                  | 42.1±4.9                  |
| E13   | 3100                             | 2147.0±208.9                   | 69.3±10.5                 | 41.6±1.2                  |
| F11   | 3100                             | 1624.3±348.4                   | 52.4±17.1                 | 52.4±12.2                 |
| F12   | 3100                             | 1888.7±188.3                   | 60.9±9.1                  | 50.7±6.7                  |
| F13   | 3100                             | 1595.3±333.6                   | 51.5±16.7                 | 50.7±5.3                  |
| F21   | 3100                             | 1820.2±247.6                   | 58.7±8.8                  | 49.3±2.4                  |
| F22   | 3100                             | 1959.0±255.9                   | 63.2±11.1                 | 49.4±2.3                  |
| F23   | 3100                             | 1895.5±513.3                   | 61.1±12.1                 | 49.8±6.0                  |

4.1.1 Rotor speed effect

Explore the deposition characteristics of the rotor speeds of 3060 r/min and 4500 r/min in the conditions that the nozzle speed (12500 r/min ) and spray height (1.5 m) were set to constant. The droplet deposition of two groups of F13 and F23 under the influence of rotor speed is shown in Figure 5.

As the rotor speed increases, the uniformity of the droplet coverage is improved, but the droplet deposition rate is lower. The amount of droplet deposition is between 0 and 70 mL, and the sedimentation line is roughly in the shape of a quadratic parabola [25-27]. The two peaks are directly below the two nozzles, and the valley is just below the midpoint of the two nozzles. As can be seen from Table 3 above, the effective deposition rate of E13 is 69.0%, the effective deposition rate of E23 is 69.3%, the deposition rate increases when the rotation speed increases at 0.8 m height, and the effective deposition rate of F13 is 51.5%. The effective deposition rate of F23 is 61.1%, the deposition rate increases when the rotation speed increases at 1.5 m height, and it can be seen that the rotor speed and the effective deposition rate are not related.

4.1.2 Nozzle speed effect

Investigated the deposition characteristics of the nozzle speeds of 9250 r/min, 11750 r/min and 12500 r/min under the rotor speed (3060 r/min) and spray height (1.5 m) were set to constant. The droplet deposition of F13, F12 and F11 under the influence of rotor speed is shown in Figure 6.

As the nozzle speed increases, the variation of the droplets uniformity and the drift phenomenon is not obvious. The droplet deposition amount is between 0 and 80mL, and the sedimentation fold line is roughly in the shape of a quadratic parabola. The two peaks are directly below the two nozzles, and the trough is just
below the midpoint of the two nozzles. As can be seen from Table 3 above, the effective deposition rate of F11 is 52.4%, the effective deposition rate of F12 is 60.9%, and the effective deposition rate of F13 is 51.5%. The results above showed that the nozzle rotation speed is not related to the effective deposition rate which was associate with the conclusion illustrated in [28]: when the horizontal wind speed constant, the theoretical drift rate and actual drift rate were as the rotational speed increases.

Figure 5  Line chart of droplet deposition in different rotor speed

Figure 6  Line chart of droplet deposition in different nozzle speed
4.1.3 Spray height effect

The rotor speed (4500 r/min) and the nozzle speed (12500 r/min) were set to constant, and the deposition characteristics were investigated at a spray height of 0.8 m and 1.5 m. The droplet deposition of E23 and F23 under the influence of rotor speed is shown in Figure 7.

Figure 7  Line chart of droplet deposition in different spray height

As the spray height increases, the uniformity of the droplets improves, but the drift phenomenon is more evident. The amount of droplet deposition is between 0 and 85 mL, and the sedimentation line is roughly in the shape of a quadratic parabola. The two peaks are directly below the two nozzles, and the trough is near the midpoint of the two nozzles. As can be seen from Table 3, the effective deposition rate of E23 is 69.3%, and the effective deposition rate of F23 is 61.1%. The increase of spray height leads to a decrease in effective deposition rate, clearly, negative correlation between the two.

4.2 Effect of distinct spray parameters on droplet size

The particle size of each group is shown in Table 4. The droplet diameter D V50 ranges from 0.82 to 0.89 μm, and R.S., the width of the fog droplet spectrum ranges from 0.8 to 0.89.

4.2.1 Rotor speed effect

Set the nozzle speed (12500 r/min) and spray height (1.5 m) to constant, and explore the particle size when the rotor speed is 3060 r/min and 4500 r/min respectively. As shown in Table 4, the F13 group had a D V10 of 75.75 μm, a D V50 of 127.72 μm, a D V90 of 183.70 μm, the F23 group of D V10 of 72.21 μm, a D V50 of 119.55 μm, and a D V90 of 174.94 μm. Comparing the particle size of the two groups, it is known that the particle size of the F23 group is significantly smaller than that of the F13 group, that is, as the rotation speed of the rotor increases, the droplet size decreases, so the two are negatively correlated.

Table 4  Droplet diameter of each group

| Group | D V10/μm | D V50/μm | D V90/μm | R.S. |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|------|
| E11   | 87.75    | 128.92   | 199.58   | 0.87 |
| E12   | 78.32    | 127.95   | 190.34   | 0.88 |
| E13   | 78.91    | 130.74   | 189.06   | 0.84 |
| E21   | 78.29    | 132.92   | 193.11   | 0.86 |
| E22   | 79.63    | 131.68   | 196.85   | 0.89 |
| E23   | 70.81    | 120.36   | 178.08   | 0.89 |
| F11   | 79.04    | 131.59   | 188.90   | 0.82 |
| F12   | 78.16    | 130.45   | 186.93   | 0.83 |
| F13   | 75.75    | 127.72   | 183.70   | 0.84 |
| F21   | 72.75    | 122.85   | 178.39   | 0.86 |
| F22   | 70.97    | 119.39   | 171.96   | 0.85 |
| F23   | 72.21    | 119.55   | 174.94   | 0.86 |

4.2.2 Nozzle speed effect

Set the rotor speed (3060 r/min) and spray height (1.5 m) as constants to investigate the particle size under the conditions that the nozzle speeds is 9250 r/min, 11750 r/min and 12500 r/min respectively. F11 group D V10 is 79.04 μm, D V50 is 131.59 μm, D V90 is 186.93 μm, F12 group D V10 is 78.16 μm, D V50 is 130.45 μm, D V90 is 186.93 μm, F13 group D V10 is 75.75 μm, D V50 is 127.72 μm, and D V90 is 183.70 μm. Comparing the particle size of the three groups, the particle size of F11, F12 and F13 is gradually reduced, that is, the droplet size decreases with the increase of the nozzle speed, and the two are negatively correlated.

4.2.3 Spray height effect

Investigate the particle size of the spray heights of 0.8 m and 1.5 m respectively under the rotor speed (4500 r/min) and the nozzle speed (12500 r/min) were set to constant. The E23 group has a D V10 of 70.81 μm, a D V50 of 128.92 μm, a D V90 of 178.08 μm, a D V10 of 72.21 μm, a D V50 of 119.55 μm, and a D V90 of 174.94 μm. Comparing the particle size of the two groups, it can be seen that there was no significant change in the particle size of the E23 group compared with the F23 group, so the two do not appear relevant.

4.3 Overall regression analysis

IBM SPSS Statistics 24 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) software was applied to regression analysis to obtain significant effects of the three variables on sedimentation and droplet size, the results are shown in Tables 5 and 6.

Table 5  Correlations between different spray height, nozzle speed and rotor speed and droplet deposition (α=0.05)

| Source of difference | Spray height | Nozzles speed | Rotor speed |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|
| Correlation coefficient | 0.540 | 0.304 | 0.181 |
| P-value              | 0.040 | 0.292 | 0.522 |

According to the regression analysis results in the table, the correlation coefficients of spray height, nozzle rotation speed and rotor rotation speed and droplet deposition are 0.540, 0.304 and 0.181 respectively. Among the three factors, the spray height P<0.05, suggesting that spray height has a remarkable effect on the
droplet deposition. During the spraying of the droplets, the increase of the spray height guarantees the droplets a longer free movement time, which creates an opportunity for the drift of the droplets, so the proper spray height is extremely critical.

Table 6 Correlations between different spray height, nozzle speed and rotor speed and droplet size ($\sigma=0.05$)

| Source of difference | Spray height | Nozzles speed | Rotor speed |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|
| Correlation coefficient | 0.002 | 0.292 | 0.309 |
| P-Value | 0.854 | 0.021 | 0.034 |

From the regression analysis results in the table, the correlation coefficients of the three variables of spray height, nozzle rotation speed and rotor speed and droplet diameter are 0.002, 0.292, and 0.309 respectively. The spray height $P=0.05$, the effect on the droplet size is not significant, and the nozzle speed and rotor speed $P<0.05$, the impact on the droplet size and the width of the droplet spectrum is significant. After the droplets are formed, the particle size is not significant, and the nozzle speed and rotor speed $P<0.05$, the nozzle deposition amount and droplet size under different spray heights, nozzle rotation speeds and rotor speeds. The results show that the spray height has a notable effect on the deposition amount, but its influence on the droplet size is negligible. The nozzle rotation speed and rotor rotation speed have an extraordinary effect on the droplet size, but have a not striking effect on the deposition amount.

2) Experiment shows that the phenomenon of droplet drift occurs obviously and all the effective deposition rates below 75% in the case of the above test parameters.

3) The practical spray effect of the plant protection UAV is also affected by environmental factors such as natural wind speed, temperature and humidity. The next step will be to build a UAV flight experiment platform and add environmental factors for related experiment.

5 Conclusions

1) The spray performance comprehensive experimental platform and laser particle size analyzer were applied to explore the droplet deposition amount and droplet size under different spray heights, nozzle rotation speeds and rotor speeds. The results show that the spray height has a notable effect on the deposition amount, but its influence on the droplet size is negligible. The nozzle rotation speed and rotor rotation speed have an extraordinary effect on the droplet size, but have a not striking effect on the deposition amount.

2) Experiment shows that the phenomenon of droplet drift occurs obviously and all the effective deposition rates below 75% in the case of the above test parameters.

3) The practical spray effect of the plant protection UAV is also affected by environmental factors such as natural wind speed, temperature and humidity. The next step will be to build a UAV flight experiment platform and add environmental factors for related experiment.
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