The Impact of Climate Change on the Surface Albedo over the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau
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Abstract: Albedo is a characterization of the Earth’s surface ability to reflect solar radiation, and control the amount of solar radiation absorbed by the land surface. Within the context of global warming, the temporal and spatial changes of the albedo and its response to climate factors remain unclear. Based on MCD43A3 (V005) albedo and meteorological data (i.e., temperature and precipitation), we analyzed the spatiotemporal variations of albedo (2000–2016) and its responses to climate change during the growing season on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (QTP). The results indicated an overall downward trend in the annual albedo during the growing season, the decrease rate was 0.25%/decade, and the monthly albedo showed a similar trend, especially in May, when the decrease rate was 0.53%/decade. The changes also showed regional variations, such as for the annual albedo, the areas with significant decrease and increase in albedo were 181.52 × 10^3 km^2 (13.10%) and 48.82 × 10^3 km^2 (3.52%), respectively, and the intensity of albedo changes in low-elevation areas was more pronounced than in high-elevation areas. In addition, the annual albedo-temperature/precipitation relationships clearly differed at different elevations. The albedo below 2000 m and at 5000–6000 m was mainly negatively correlated with temperature, while at 2000–4000 m it was mainly negatively correlated with precipitation. The contemporaneous temperature could negatively impact the monthly albedo in significant ways at the beginning of the growing season (May and June), whereas in the middle of the growing season (July and August), the albedo was mainly negatively correlated with precipitation, and at the end of the growing season (September), the albedo showed a weak correlation with temperature/precipitation.
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1. Introduction

Climate change is a global problem, and effectively mitigating and responding to global warming has always been the frontier and mission of global change science. The impacts of terrestrial ecosystems on global temperature mainly include the enhancement/mitigation of greenhouse gases (GHGs) in the atmosphere and changing the Earth’s surface energy budget. Albedo is the ratio of the reflected radiation from a surface to the total incident radiation on the Earth’s surface, and it is an important physical parameter that restricts the surface radiation balance [1–3]. It is also the most essential parameter for reflecting land surface characteristics in land surface process models [4]. In the ecosystem, albedo changes the physical, physiological, and biogeochemical processes (e.g., energy balance, evapotranspiration, photosynthesis, and respiration) of the ecosystem by affecting microclimate conditions and the radiation absorption of the plant canopy while thoroughly determining the soil-atmosphere heat cycle process [5–7].
Moreover, albedo can regulate regional climate events. Charney et al. [8] showed that an increase in albedo would lead to a decrease in radiation flux traveling from the land surface and thus reduce convective clouds and precipitation. Moreover, Knorr et al. [9] found that the increase in surface albedo was the main reason for the decrease in summer rainfall in the Sahel and the southern Sahara margins. In turn, changes in temperature and precipitation also had a profound impact on albedo. Due to the mutual feedback mechanism between the climate system and the surface albedo, the impact of climate change on albedo is of great significance, especially for systems that are highly sensitive to climate change, such as the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (QTP) [10,11]. Previous studies have shown that global warming is more prominent in the QTP, and the warming rate is about twice the global average [12,13]. This rapid climate change, increasing human activities, and special geographical processes have strong impacts on the natural environment, resources, and energy allocation on the plateau and surrounding areas, also affecting the exchange of energy between the atmosphere and the biosphere.

In recent years, many scholars have studied the spatial and temporal distribution, variation, and influencing factors of surface albedo at different scales in view of regional energy balance changes [14–16]. These studies focus on land cover changes (such as snow/ice cover, green vegetation degree, etc.) and other factors (such as aerosol, clouds, etc.). However, temperature and precipitation change the albedo through the properties of the underlying surface (e.g., vegetation dynamics, soil moisture, soil color, etc.). Culf et al. [17] found that albedo decline in forests was due to the action of dark leaves and dark soil under wet conditions. Berbert and Costa [18] found that the albedo of pastures varied with dry and wet conditions throughout the year, but the variation was not as obvious as that of the forest landscape. Therefore, on the QTP, we do not know the effect of significant temperature increase and predicted change on the albedo. What will the synergistic changes between them be? Is there spatiotemporal consistency? It is critical to understand the characteristics of spatiotemporal variation in the albedo over the QTP. Exploring the correlation between surface albedo and climatic factors has important scientific significance for analyzing and predicting the future trend of global climate change.

In this study, MODIS albedo gap-filled snow-free products (MCD43A3 (V005)) and a meteorological dataset are used to investigate the spatiotemporal distribution characteristics of the albedo and discuss the correlation between albedo and temperature and precipitation on the QTP during the growing season. This discussion will reveal the response mechanism of the surface albedo to climatic factors and provide a reference for climate models.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area and Data Source

The QTP (26.5–39.5°N, 78.3–103°E) is situated in southwest China and covers approximately $2.57 \times 10^6$ km$^2$ (Figure 1a). The growing season for most of the alpine vegetation is normally from May to September [19]. The total incoming radiation on the TP is between 5850 J/m$^2$ and 7950 J/m$^2$, and the average is higher than 6000 J/m$^2$, which is the highest value in China. The mean annual temperature is 1.7 °C (the coldest month and the warmest month are approximately $-10$ °C and 10 °C, respectively), and the mean annual precipitation is 580 mm, which is mainly concentrated in the growing season. In terms of spatial distribution, temperature and precipitation gradually decrease from southeast to northwest. Our study focuses on the alpine grasslands, which occupy nearly 70% of the QTP [11]. From northwest to southeast, six grassland vegetation types stretch across the plateau: temperate steppe (3.61%), alpine meadow steppe (4.21%), low land meadow (6.74%), alpine desert steppe (7.41%), alpine steppe (30.98%), and alpine meadow (47.5%) [1] (Figure 1b).
A 16-day composite MCD43A3 (V005) albedo product with a 500 m spatial resolution was obtained from the Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center (LPACC), and the time range of the data was the growing season (i.e., 1 May to 30 September) from 2000 to 2016 (http://reverb.echo.nasa.gov/reverb/, accessed on 3 June 2018). MCD43A3 provides black-sky albedo (BSA) (directional-hemispherical reflectance) and white-sky albedo (WSA) (bi-hemispherical reflectance) data at local solar noon for MODIS bands 1 through 7 and the visible, near-infrared (NIR), and shortwave bands [20]. Here, we chose the WSA-shortwave albedo products.

The meteorological dataset, which has a 1 km spatial resolution, was provided by the Resource and Environmental Science Data Center (http://resdc.cn/, accessed on 20 July 2018), and the time range of the data was from 2000 to 2015. This dataset was generated through spatial interpolation based on the daily observation data of weather stations. Here, the meteorological data were examined for the period from February to September. To keep the spatial resolution consistent with the albedo, we re-sampled the data to 500 m resolution.

The digital elevation model (DEM) data, which has a 90 m spatial resolution, was provided by the International Scientific and Technical Data Mirror Site, Computer Network Information Center, Chinese Academy of Sciences (http://www.gs-cloud.cn, accessed on 23 July 2018). With a similar operation process, we re-sampled elevation data to 500 m resolution. To explore the altitude-dependent patterns of the correlation between the albedo with temperature/precipitation, we divided the altitude into 6 gradients (<2000 m, 2000–3000 m, 3000–4000 m, 4000–5000 m, 5000–6000 m, >6000 m).

2.2. Methods

The monthly albedo and the annual mean albedo were calculated using Formulas (1) and (2), respectively:

$$\text{albedo}_{\text{min}} = \text{Min} (\text{albedo}_a, \text{albedo}_b)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$\text{albedo}_{\text{mean}} = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^{5} \text{albedo}_{\text{min},i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \(\text{albedo}_{\text{min}}\) was the minimum albedo value of each month; \(\text{albedo}_a\) and \(\text{albedo}_b\) were the 16-day-based MODIS product of each month, respectively; \(\text{albedo}_{\text{mean}}\) was the annual mean value in the growing season of the albedo; and \(i\) was the months of May, June, July, August, and September, respectively.

Linear regression was used to analyze the changing trends of the albedo. We calculated the average annual and monthly albedo to estimate the rate of change (i.e., the slope of a linear trend) for each pixel and tested the statistical significance of the slope of the regression equation with the t-test. The 99% \((p < 0.01)\) and 95% \((p < 0.05)\) confidence
intervals were used as thresholds to classify the significance and intermediates of positive and negative trends, respectively, and when \( p > 0.05 \), there was "no apparent trend" [10]. The formula used was:

\[
\text{slope} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i Y_i - \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i^2 - \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i \right)^2}
\]

where \( n \) was the total number of years (17: 2000–2016); \( Y_i \) was the different years \( (i = 1, 2, 3 \ldots 17) \), and; \( X_i \) was the albedo in \( i \) year. Slope > 0 indicated that the albedo on the QTP was increasing trend; otherwise, it was decreasing trend.

Then, we used Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient to examine the relationship between annual albedo and temperature/precipitation on the QTP [21]. We calculated the correlation coefficient between the average annual albedo and temperature/precipitation. For the hysteresis of environmental impacts, we calculated the correlation coefficient between the monthly albedo and the temperature/precipitation in the current month (CM), the preceding month (PM), the month before last (MBL), and three previous months (TPM) (e.g., the albedo in May and the temperature in February, March, April, May). We also used the t-test for the statistical significance of the correlation coefficient. The equation is as follows:

\[
r_{xy} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2}}
\]

where \( n \) was the total number of years (16: 2000–2015); \( r_{xy} \) was the correlation coefficient between \( x_i \) and \( y_i \) \( (i = 1, 2, 3 \ldots 16) \), and; \( x_i \) and \( y_i \) were the values of the two variables in \( i \) year, respectively.

Finally, for monthly albedo and temperature/precipitation that had a significant correlation, we used linear regression to examine the relationship between monthly albedo and monthly temperature/precipitation on the QTP to test our hypothesis. Then we used residual error (RE) and root-mean-square-error (RMSE) to measure the deviation between the observed albedo values (MODIS-based albedo) and the predicted albedo values. The lower the RE and RMSE obtained, the smaller the error between the observed albedo values and the predicted albedo values. The RE and RMSE were calculated using Equations (5) and (6), respectively:

\[
RE = y_i - \hat{y}_i
\]

\[
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}
\]

where \( y_i \) and \( \hat{y}_i \) \( (i = 1, 2, 3 \ldots 16) \) were the observed albedo values and the predicted albedo values, respectively.

3. Results
3.1. The Spatial Pattern of Albedo

The annual albedo ranged from 0.03 to 0.42, and the average value was 0.19 over the 17-year study period on the QTP (Figure 2a). The albedo values gradually increased from southeast to northwest. In the southeast, the low-elevation areas were the low-value center of albedo. Such as the Eastern Qinghai-Qilian mountains region and the Western-Sichuan and Eastern-Tibet mountains and valleys region in the eastern part of the study area, with their good hydrothermal conditions and high vegetation coverage, the albedo was mostly <0.15. In the Guoluo-Naqu Alpine region where the middle of the QTP is relatively low, the values were mostly distributed between 0.15 and 0.20. In the northwestern areas, the albedo values were >0.20 in most regions, especially in the Qiangtang plateau lake basin.
region, which reached 0.42, the high-value center of albedo on the QTP. Similar spatial distribution patterns were found for monthly albedo (Figure 2b–f). The highest monthly albedo was in May, when the values ranged from 0.08 to 0.53, with an average of 0.21 (Figure 2b); this was early in the growing season when the vegetation was not yet green in parts of the QTP. With the rapid growth of vegetation and the increase of soil moisture in June, the albedo decreased significantly, and the values were 0.12-0.38, with an average value of 0.19 (Figure 2c). In July and August, the albedo continued to decrease to 0.18 and 0.17, respectively (Figure 2d,e). In September, when vegetation began to wither in parts of the QTP, the albedo was slightly higher than in August, and the range was 0.13-0.34, with an average of 0.18 (Figure 2f).

For the elevation gradient, the annual albedo also showed spatial dependence (Figure 3). Below 2000 m, in over 85.89% of the area, the average albedo value was <0.15, and the lowest was 0.13. Between 3000–5000 m, the albedo was about 0.15–0.20. Between 5000–6000 m, the albedo increased rapidly, with an average value of 0.21, and with values between 0.20

![Figure 2. Spatial distributions of albedo during 2000–2016 in the growing season (annual, May, June, July, August, and September) on the QTP.](image-url)
and 0.25 in about 50.86% of the area. Over 6000 m, the albedo value showed large spatial variability, and the average was 0.31.

3.2. The Spatiotemporal Variation of Albedo

The changes in annual and monthly albedo showed great spatial variation during 2000–2016 (Figure 4). For the annual albedo, decreases were mainly found in the northeastern QTP (e.g., the Eastern Qinghai-Qilian mountains region), and increases were mainly found in the western QTP (e.g., the Qiangtang plateau lake basin region) (Figure 4a). On the QTP, the significantly decreased areas were about $181.52 \times 10^3$ km$^2$ (13.10% of the grassland-covered pixels), including $94.93 \times 10^3$ km$^2$ (6.85%) and $86.59 \times 10^3$ km$^2$ (6.25%) with extreme and intermediate decreases, respectively. The significantly increased areas were about $48.82 \times 10^3$ km$^2$ (3.52%), including $33.85 \times 10^3$ km$^2$ (2.44%) and $14.97 \times 10^3$ km$^2$ (1.08%) with intermediate and extreme increases, respectively (Table 1). Interestingly, the changes in monthly albedo unevenly contributed to the long-term changes in annual albedo (Figure 4b–f, Table 1). The decreased albedo in May ($145.28 \times 10^3$ km$^2$, 10.94%) was more noticeable than in other months ($74.44 \times 10^3$ km$^2$ in June, $87.44 \times 10^3$ km$^2$ in July, $69.82 \times 10^3$ km$^2$ in August, $98.62 \times 10^3$ km$^2$ in September), whereas the increased trend seemed more obvious in August ($44.62 \times 10^3$ km$^2$, 7.30%) and September ($40.38 \times 10^3$ km$^2$, 5.41%) than in May ($14.77 \times 10^3$ km$^2$, 1.11%), June ($36.60 \times 10^3$ km$^2$, 3.39%), and July ($25.99 \times 10^3$ km$^2$, 2.37%) (Table 1).
The significant changes include five categories: extreme decrease (slope < 0, \( p < 0.01 \)); intermediate decrease (slope < 0, 0.01 < \( p < 0.05 \)); failure to reach a statistically significant level, i.e., unchanged (\( p > 0.05 \)); intermediate increase (slope > 0, 0.01 < \( p < 0.05 \)); extreme decrease (slope > 0, \( p < 0.01 \)).

The statistical analysis results showed that the annual albedo trend was significantly downward, with a slope of 0.25%/decade (\( p < 0.01 \)) during the study period (Figure 5a, Table 2). The monthly albedo showed the largest decrease in May at the rate of 0.53%/decade (\( p < 0.05 \)). For other months it also showed a downward tendency: the slope was 0.16%/decade in June, 0.23%/decade in July, 0.21%/decade in August, and 0.20%/decade in September (Figure 5a, Table 2). In the elevation gradient, the albedo also showed a decreasing trend, and the intensity of that decrease was altitude-dependent (Figure 5b). The results showed the decreased slope was 0.41%/decade, 0.80%/decade, 0.35%/decade, and 3.2%/decade in the areas <2000 m, 2000–3000 m, 3000–4000 m, and >6000 m, respectively, and all the results reached the statistical significance level (\( p < 0.01 \)). At the 4000–5000 m and 5000–6000 m altitude ranges, the rates of albedo decrease were 0.20%/decade and 0.36%/decade, respectively, but these failed to reach the significance level.

Figure 4. The spatial distribution of albedo change trends in the growing season during 2000–2016. The significant changes include five categories: extreme decrease (slope < 0, \( p < 0.01 \)); intermediate decrease (slope < 0, 0.01 < \( p < 0.05 \)); failure to reach a statistically significant level, i.e., unchanged (\( p > 0.05 \)); intermediate increase (slope > 0, 0.01 < \( p < 0.05 \)); extreme decrease (slope > 0, \( p < 0.01 \)).
Table 1. The significant change areas in annual and monthly albedo during 2000–2016 on the QTP. The changes include four categories: extreme decrease (slope < 0, p < 0.01), intermediate decrease (slope < 0, 0.01 < p < 0.05), intermediate increase (slope > 0, 0.01 < p < 0.05), and extreme decrease (slope > 0, p < 0.01).

| Sig. Area (10^3 km^2) | Annual | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. |
|-----------------------|--------|-----|------|------|------|-------|
| Decreasing (%)        | 181.52 | 145.28 | 74.44 | 87.44 | 69.82 | 98.62 |
| Extreme (%)           | (13.10%) | (10.94%) | (6.89%) | (7.97%) | (11.43%) | (13.21%) |
| Intermediate (%)      | 94.93 | 58.54 | 34.75 | 39.28 | 33.80 | 42.92 |
| (6.85%)               | (4.41%) | (3.22%) | (3.58%) | (5.53%) | (5.75%) |
| Intermediate (%)      | 86.59 | 86.74 | 39.69 | 48.16 | 36.02 | 55.70 |
| (6.25%)               | (6.53%) | (3.67%) | (4.39%) | (5.90%) | (7.46%) |
| Increasing (%)        | 48.82 | 14.77 | 36.60 | 25.99 | 44.62 | 40.38 |
| Extreme (%)           | (3.52%) | (1.11%) | (3.39%) | (2.37%) | (7.30%) | (5.41%) |
| Intermediate (%)      | 14.97 | 3.42 | 8.73 | 5.98 | 11.01 | 11.10 |
| (1.08%)               | (0.26%) | (0.81%) | (0.55%) | (1.80%) | (1.49%) |
| Intermediate (%)      | 33.85 | 11.35 | 27.87 | 20.01 | 33.61 | 29.28 |
| (2.44%)               | (0.85%) | (2.58%) | (1.82%) | (5.50%) | (3.92%) |

Figure 5. (a) The trends of the average annual and monthly albedo and (b) the interannual change rates of the average annual albedo for each 1000 m elevation bin on the grassland over the QTP (statistically significant results are indicated for a 99% confidence level (*)).

Table 2. The regression trends in average annual and monthly albedo on the grasslands over the QTP during 2000–2016.

|          | Annual | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. |
|----------|--------|-----|------|------|------|-------|
| Slope(%/decade) | −0.25  | −0.53 | −0.16 | −0.23 | −0.21 | −0.20 |
| p        | 0.01   | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.39 | 0.05 |
| R^2      | 0.39   | 0.22 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.06 |

3.3. The Response of Albedo to Climate Change

3.3.1. Spatial Correlation Analysis

The relationships between albedo and temperature/precipitation from 2000 to 2015 had strong spatial heterogeneities (Figure 6). For albedo and temperature, the significant positive correlation areas were 99.99 × 10^3 km^2 (7.21% of the grassland-covered pixels on the QTP), including 30.27 × 10^3 km^2 (2.18%) extremely positive correlation and 69.72 × 10^3 km^2 (5.03%) intermediate positive correlations (Table 3). The spatial distribution was mainly concentrated in the Eastern Qinghai-Qilian mountains region and the Qiangtang plateau lake basin region (Figure 6a). The significant negative correlations between albedo and temperature were 33.24 × 10^3 km^2 (2.40%), and only a few
patches showed extremely negative correlations ($9.22 \times 10^3 \text{ km}^2$, or 0.66%) or intermediate negative correlations ($24.02 \times 10^3 \text{ km}^2$, or 1.73%) (Table 3). These patches were mainly distributed in the Southern-Qinghai plateau valley region and scattered in the Southern-Tibet mountains region (Figure 6a). For albedo and precipitation, there were mainly negative correlations (Figure 6b, Table 3), and the significant negative correlations areas were $158.37 \times 10^3 \text{ km}^2$ (11.62%), including $60.36 \times 10^3 \text{ km}^2$ (4.35%) extremely negative correlations and $98.01 \times 10^3 \text{ km}^2$ (7.27%) intermediate negative correlations. While significantly positively affected areas were $19.69 \times 10^3 \text{ km}^2$ (1.42%), only a few patches showed extremely positive correlations ($4.49 \times 10^3 \text{ km}^2$, or 0.32%) or intermediate positive correlations ($15.20 \times 10^3 \text{ km}^2$, or 1.10%).

Figure 6. The spatial distribution of annual albedo with (a) temperature and (b) precipitation and the vertical response model of average annual albedo to average annual (c) temperature and (d) precipitation for each 1000 m elevation bin during 2000–2015 for the grassland over the QTP (statistically significant results were indicated as for a 95% confidence level (*) and 99% confidence level (**)).

Table 3. The areas that showed significant correlations between annual albedo and temperature/precipitation during 2000–2015; the significant correlations include four categories: extreme negative correlation ($r < 0$, $p < 0.01$), intermediate negative correlation ($r < 0$, $0.01 < p < 0.05$), intermediate positive correlation ($r > 0$, $0.01 < p < 0.05$) and extreme positive correlation ($r > 0$, $p < 0.01$).

| Sig. Area (10^3 km^2) (%) | Negative Correlation | Extreme Negative Correlation | Intermediate Negative Correlation | Positive Correlation | Extreme Positive Correlation | Intermediate Positive Correlation |
|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Albedo                   | 33.24                | 9.22                         | 24.02                            | 99.99                | 30.27                        | 69.72                            |
| Temperature              | (2.40%)              | (0.66%)                      | (1.73%)                          | (7.21%)              | (2.18%)                      | (5.03%)                          |
| Albedo                   | 158.37               | 60.36                        | 98.01                            | 19.69                | 4.49                         | 15.2                             |
| Precipitation            | (11.62%)             | (4.35%)                      | (7.27%)                          | (1.42%)              | (0.32%)                      | (1.10%)                          |
In the altitude gradient, the correlations between albedo and temperature were significantly negative at 2000 m and at 5000–6000 m, and the correlation coefficients were $-0.53 (p < 0.05)$ and $-0.48 (p < 0.05)$, respectively (Figure 6c). The correlations between albedo and precipitation were also negative at elevations of 2000–3000 m and 3000–4000 m, and the values were $-0.65 (p < 0.01)$ and $-0.59 (p < 0.05)$, respectively (Figure 6d). The other altitudes all failed to reach a statistically significant level (Figure 6c,d).

3.3.2. Temporal Correlation Analysis

For the monthly values, in May, the albedo was mainly affected by the temperature in CM; the correlation coefficient was $-0.710 (p < 0.01)$, but had no significant correlations with the temperature in other months (i.e., PM, MBL, and TPM) or the precipitation (i.e., CM, PM, MBL, and TPM) (Table 4). Interestingly, in July, the albedo was mainly influenced by the precipitation in CM, while in August, the albedo was mainly influenced by the precipitation in PM; the correlation coefficients were $-0.690 (p < 0.01)$ and $-0.413 (p < 0.05)$, respectively (Table 4). In addition, in June and September, the correlations between albedo and temperature/precipitation failed to reach a statistically significant level (Table 4). Overall, in the early growing season (i.e., May and June), the albedo was mainly negatively affected by the temperature in the same period, in the mid-growing season (i.e., July, August), the albedo was mainly negatively affected by the precipitation, whereas at the end of the growing season (i.e., September), the albedo was affected by both temperature and precipitation.

Table 4. The correlation coefficient between the monthly albedo and the temperature/precipitation in the current month (CM), the preceding month (PM), the month before last (MBL), and three previous months (TPM) (statistically significant results are indicated as for a 95% confidence level (*) and 99% confidence level (**)).

| Month | CM | PM | MBL | TPM |
|-------|----|----|-----|-----|
|       | Temp. | Precip. | Temp. | Precip. | Temp. | Precip. | Temp. | Precip. |
| May   | $-0.710^{**}$ | 0.089 | $-0.094$ | 0.077 | $-0.157$ | 0.217 | 0.103 | 0.353 |
| June  | $-0.423$ | 0.038 | $-0.030$ | $-0.350$ | 0.078 | $-0.005$ | 0.102 | $-0.073$ |
| July  | 0.113 | $-0.690^{**}$ | $-0.174$ | 0.303 | $-0.089$ | 0.173 | $-0.150$ | 0.363 |
| Aug.  | $-0.163$ | $-0.295$ | 0.044 | $-0.413^{*}$ | 0.156 | 0.413 | $-0.128$ | 0.293 |
| Sept. | $-0.190$ | $-0.106$ | $-0.212$ | $-0.205$ | 0.288 | 0.055 | 0.240 | $-0.097$ |

The significant negative relationships illustrated in Table 4 between albedo and temperature/precipitation measures were modeled reasonably with linear equations (Figure 7). In May, as the temperature increased, the albedo decreased significantly; the errors between the predicted values and the observed values were small (RMSE = 0.003) and the REs presented random distribution (Figure 7a,b). In July, the albedo also decreased with the increase in precipitation, there was also a good consistency between the predicted values and observed values (RMSE = 0.002), and the REs presented random distribution (Figure 7c,d). More interesting, although the albedo in August decreased with the increase in precipitation in July, and the REs was randomly distributed, there were a few outliers (Figure 7e,f).
albedo and temperature/precipitation failed to reach a statistically significant level (Table 4). Overall, in the early growing season (i.e., May and June), the albedo was mainly negatively affected by the temperature in the same period, in the mid-growing season (i.e., July, August), the albedo was mainly negatively affected by the precipitation, whereas at the end of the growing season (i.e., September), the albedo was affected by both temperature and precipitation.

Table 4. The correlation coefficient between the monthly albedo and the temperature/precipitation in the current month (CM), the preceding month (PM), the month before last (MBL), and three previous months (TPM) (statistically significant results are indicated as for a 95% confidence level (*) and 99% confidence level (**)).

| Month | CM     | PM     | MBL    | TPM                |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------------------|
| May   | -0.710 ** | 0.089  | -0.094 | 0.077              |
| June  | -0.423  | 0.038  | -0.030 | -0.350             |
| July  | 0.113   | -0.690 ** | -0.174 | 0.303              |
| Aug.  | -0.163  | -0.293 | -0.413 * | 0.156             |
| Sept. | -0.190  | -0.106 | -0.212 | 0.288              |

The significant negative relationships illustrated in Table 4 between albedo and temperature/precipitation measures were modeled reasonably with linear equations (Figure 7). In May, as the temperature increased, the albedo decreased significantly, the errors between the predicted values and the observed values were small (RMSE = 0.003) and the REs presented random distribution (Figure 7a,b). In July, the albedo also decreased with the increase in precipitation, there was also a good consistency between the predicted values and observed values (RMSE = 0.002), and the REs presented random distribution (Figure 7c,d). More interesting, although the albedo in August decreased with the increase in precipitation in July, and the REs was randomly distributed, there were a few outliers (Figure 7e,f).

Figure 7. The linear regressions of average monthly albedo and temperature/precipitation: (a) albedo and temperature in May (c) albedo in July and precipitation in June (e) albedo in August and precipitation in July; and the REs distribution with (b) temperature in May (d) precipitation in July and (f) precipitation in July.

4. Discussion

The QTP has changed the climate pattern of Eurasia, and its surface changes have a significant impact on the Asian atmosphere and even global climate changes [22]. Albedo is a key variable of the Earth’s surface radiation budget [23]. A slight change in albedo over the QTP can result in significant changes in energy fluxes (e.g., latent heat, sensible heat, and soil heat fluxes) [10]. For example, the summer solar radiation on the plateau reaches 1000 W/m², which means that the 1% decrease in albedo there that would intercept energy was 10 W/m² [24]. Our results showed that the annual mean albedo decreased from 0.20 (2002) to 0.19 (2012) during the past 17 years on the QTP, and it had a markedly decreasing trend, with a slope of −0.25%/decade (p < 0.01) (Figure 5a, Table 2). Decreasing trends are also occurring in other places, such as the northern high latitude regions [25], France [26], the Arctic [27], the Swiss Alps in Europe [28], and Greenland [29]. The reduced albedo means more irradiance absorbed, which in turn leads to amplified warming. Sciusco et al. [30] argued that the global warming potential of a 2% albedo change could be equivalent to 15–25% of the carbon sink’s function in the Kalamazoo River watershed.

The monthly albedo also showed an obvious decreasing trend, especially in May, when the decrease rate of albedo was as high as 0.53%/decade (p < 0.05) (Figure 5a, Table 2). This phenomenon showed that albedo was more sensitive to climate change in the early growing season, which may be attributable to advancing spring phenology and increasing vegetation greenness in the early growing season [31–33]. Due to the significant decrease in albedo in May, the temperature in the early period of the growing season increased...
sharply, which in turn caused earlier vegetation greening and ultimately intensified summer drought, amplifying the frequency and intensity of summer heatwaves [34–36].

In terms of spatial variation, the annual albedo showed a significant decreasing trend that was mainly distributed in the northeastern part of the plateau, as well as a significant increase in areas mainly distributed in the western part of the plateau. This spatial long-term sequence change pattern was mainly caused by vegetation cover change [37–40]. Furthermore, in the past decades, the temperature in the low-elevation areas of the QTP has been rising at a faster rate. This faster increase has promoted the growth of vegetation [11], resulting in a more significant reduction in albedo in there (Figure 5b).

Increases in temperature and precipitation can cause a series of changes on the land surface (e.g., increased evapotranspiration, prolonged growing season, increased vegetation biomass, desertification, and glacial recession), and these changes have been proposed as an essential variable causing the changes in albedo [41–43]. In recent decades, the QTP experienced significant warming and wetting trends [44–46], and this phenomenon is expected to continue until the end of the 21st century [47]. Our results showed a weak correlation in space for temperature/precipitation and annual albedo (Figure 6a,b), but with a different degree of correlation by elevation (Figure 6c,d). Interestingly, in May, the albedo and the temperature had a significant negative correlation, and the correlation coefficient was 0.71 ($p < 0.01$). Accompanied by the temperature increase and the albedo decrease in May, the land absorbed more solar radiation and improved the growing conditions in alpine grasslands. As synergies go, this was positive feedback for the regional warming. Because there might be time lags in the correlation between vegetation and climate [21,48], we considered lags in our analysis of the correlation between albedo and temperature/precipitation, and our results confirmed this hypothesis (Table 4). The negative correlation was strong for albedo (August) and precipitation (July) (i.e., time lags of one month). Our error analysis results further confirmed these negative correlations between albedo and temperature/precipitation, and the random distribution of REs indicated that the regression equations we established were reasonable (Figure 7). However, there were a few outliers between REs of albedo in August and precipitation in July (Figure 7f), suggesting that substantial additional efforts in the form of observational and/or experimental investigations are needed to explore the relationships between albedo and temperature/precipitation.

Our results showed that climate factors (i.e., temperature and precipitation) had a significant impact on albedo, which were similar to the results of Zhang [49] and Guan et al. [50]. Although the MCD43 product had high inversion accuracy and good continuity of temporal and spatial distribution [51–53], the meteorological data was generated through spatial interpolation based on the daily observation data of weather stations, even so, there must be some deviations between MODIS-based albedo/meteorological interpolation data and ground-observed data, and these differences might have some effect on the result of the correlation analysis between albedo and temperature/precipitation. We will accumulate longer-term field albedo data to accurately test our hypothesis in future research.

5. Conclusions
The QTP is experiencing significant climate change and changing the region’s energy balance. Albedo is a primary controlling factor for the surface energy budget [53]. In this study, we analyzed the spatiotemporal changes in albedo and quantified the correlations between albedo and temperature/precipitation. For the total alpine grassland during the study period, the annual albedo in the growing season and the monthly albedo (especially in May) showed a significant decreasing trend. In the spatial distribution, the significantly decreasing areas were mainly found in the Eastern Qinghai-Qilian mountains (northeastern region), and the sparsely distributed increased areas were mainly in the Qiangtang plateau lake basin region (western region). In addition, the decreased rates of annual albedo also showed altitude dependence; the significantly decreased annual albedo regions were found at altitudes <4000 m. The correlation analysis showed a significantly negative correlation
between annual albedo and temperature <2000 m and at 5000–6000 m. At elevations of 2000–3000 m and 3000–4000 m, the annual albedo and precipitation also showed a significant negative correlation. Moreover, at the beginning of the growing season (May and June), the albedo was mainly negatively correlated with the temperature of the same period; in the middle of the growing season (July and August), the albedo was mainly negatively correlated with precipitation, and there was a one-month time lag between albedo in August and precipitation in July; finally, at the end of the growing season (i.e., September), the albedo was affected by both temperature and precipitation.
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