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Abstract
Epileptic seizures, sleep, and circadian timing share bilateral interactions, but concerted work to characterize these interactions and to leverage them to the advantage of patients with epilepsy remains in beginning stages. To further the field, a multidisciplinary group of sleep physicians, epileptologists, circadian timing experts, and others met to outline the state of the art, gaps of knowledge, and suggest ways forward in clinical, translational, and basic research. A multidisciplinary panel of experts discussed these interactions, centered on whether improvements in sleep or circadian rhythms improve decrease seizure frequency. In addition, education about sleep was lacking in among patients, their families, and physicians, and that focus on education was an extremely important “low hanging fruit” to harvest. Improvements in monitoring technology, experimental designs sensitive to the rigor required to dissect sleep versus circadian influences, and clinical trials in seizure reduction with sleep improvements were appropriate.
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Introduction to the Sleep and Epilepsy Workshop
Although sometimes both patients and physicians feel that epileptic seizures occur “out of the blue,” the temporal pattern of seizure occurrence is not random. The overall chronobiological timing of seizures is the integrated sum of endogenous physiological cycles that comprise homeostatic regulation as well as perturbations arising from exogenous/environmental influences. When seizure patterns become rhythmic, the periods of
rhythms are traditionally organized into those with periods of less than a day (ultradian rhythms), around a day (circadian), and more than a day (infradian). Although numerous hormonal and physiological processes occur in daily patterns in mammals, the one factor they all obviously share is sleep. Medically intractable seizures occur in long-term temporal patterns that are the combined influences of biological rhythms including sleep. In turn, seizures and the underlying epileptic condition can perturb normal homeostasis and disrupt ongoing rhythms (Figure 1).

This review is the first of 3 brief reviews that tackle each of 3 areas of specific interest that the panel identified as key questions for future research. This review evaluates the interactions between sleep, circadian rhythms, and seizures, and evaluates the hypothesis that improved sleep and circadian function can improve seizure occurrence.

**Effects of Sleep and Circadian Rhythms on Seizure Expression**

Although not a focus area of the workshop, a brief outline of the timing of seizures is necessary to provide context. Indeed, this area has provided the preponderance of studies since the original observation of Gowers in 1885. Reassuringly, advances in monitoring technology, including the most recent studies with the use of chronic implanted electrocorticography monitoring devices, have reinforced early observations. Most of these data can be concisely summarized below:

1. The temporal occurrence of seizures is syndrome-dependent, with different syndromes being more or less susceptible to different endogenous factors.
2. Limbic seizures, represented by mesial temporal lobe epilepsy and its animal models, occur mainly during the day and are less precipitated by sleep than other circadian endogenous precipitants (that remain unknown).
3. Nonlimbic, cortical focal epilepsies, typified by frontal lobe epilepsy, occur mainly at night and are mainly susceptible to precipitation by non-rapid eye movement sleep (NREM) sleep.
4. Generalized epilepsies, represented by juvenile myoclonic epilepsy, tend to occur in sleep–wake transitions, especially during morning awakening.

One of the difficulties that recent studies of ambulatory, long-term, implanted electroencephalogram (EEG) have demonstrated is that the temporal occurrence of seizures is the cumulative summation of different rhythms: ultra (periods of recurrence < 24 hours), infra (>24 hours), and circadian rhythms accumulate to form a polyrhythmic, complex pattern. Teasing apart the separate influences is challenging. For example, since sleep typically occurs during the biological “night” of an individual, it is very difficult to distinguish between the effects of sleep and the circadian system. Experimental designs that can unlink ictogenic influences attributable to sleep–wake state from the biological clock are rarely undertaken with experimental models of epilepsy and are nearly impossible to undertake with humans; in fact, only one report has recorded human epilepsy under rigorous circadian conditions. One conclusion of the workshop members was that elucidation of ictal mechanisms requires studies that can isolate various chronobiological factors.

Although currently the potential rhythmic ictogenic mechanisms remain unclear, some general observations between sleep and epilepsy have been well-described. In general, NREM sleep potentiates both the occurrence and spatial distribution of interictal epileptiform discharges (IEDs) and seizures; REM sleep inhibits both of these. More recent studies with intracranial recordings suggest that high-frequency oscillations, especially those with frequency >200 Hz, also appear more frequently during NREM sleep than other stages. The mechanisms that promote IEDs or seizures during NREM sleep remain under investigation. One prevalent hypothesis is that widespread neuronal synchrony present during NREM sleep may promote entrainment of networks of neurons. A role for circadian hormonal changes, especially in melatonin, and the other rare rhythms that occur in phase among both diurnal and nocturnal mammals, have also been entertained, but evidence is contradictory.

Conversely, REM sleep can be considered an antiepileptogenic state. In a meta-analysis of 1990 focal seizures from 42 studies, only 1% of seizures occurred in REM sleep. The
inhibitory effect against seizures is greater than that against interictal activity, as there were 8 to 88 times fewer focal seizures in REM sleep, but only 1.1 to 2.5 times fewer focal IEDs in REM sleep. Rapid eye movement sleep also appears to inhibit seizures and IEDs in epileptic encephalopathies such as hypsarrhythmia, electrical status epilepticus in slow-wave sleep, continuous spike and waves in slow-wave sleep, and Landau-Kleffner syndrome. Rapid eye movement sleep also appears to inhibit high-frequency oscillations, including ripples and fast ripples. Notably, REM sleep has reportedly narrowed the electrical field of intracranial high frequency oscillations to better delineate epileptogenic cortex.

Can Improvements in Sleep in Turn Reduce Seizure Frequency?

One major focus of the workshop was to evaluate current knowledge and raise an important question for patients with epilepsy (PWE): Can improving sleep improve seizure frequency?

Most epileptologists have experience with college-student aged patients who, in the midst of either work or fun (or both), experience breakthrough seizures because of sleep deprivation. Janz observed over 50 years ago that sleep deprivation and alcohol withdrawal were strong seizure precipitants. Studies of military personnel and surveys of epilepsy patients noted similar findings. Obstructive sleep apnea, a known disruptor of sleep, has been associated with worsened seizure control, and treatment with continuous positive airway pressure has shown to improve seizure control. Insomnia, a state of hyper-arousal and chronic insufficient sleep, occurs in higher rates in PWE compared to normal populations with prevalences ranging from 24% to 55%. A survey of PWE shows that insomnia correlates strongly with seizure occurrence regardless of epilepsy syndrome.

On the other hand, only one study has tried to objectively measure the effect of acute sleep deprivation on seizure occurrence in the controlled setting of an epilepsy monitoring unit (EMU); no effect of acute sleep deprivation on seizure frequency was apparent between those patients assigned to consecutive blocks of sleep deprivation and those assigned to the normal sleep. This study demonstrates some of the shortcomings that future work must overcome. The EMU may not be an appropriate setting in which to evaluate the endogenous effects of sleep–wake state on seizure occurrence. Patients in the EMU are separated from their native sleeping environment and daily activities. Delays in epileptic seizure occurrence after EMU admission compared to shorter latencies seen in those with psychogenic nonepileptic seizures attest to the “holiday effect” that some PWE experience upon admission. We have noted that the sleep–wake patterns in the EMU inaccurately reflect what one would expect by time of day (Figure 2). Studies that attempt to define the potential proconvulsant effect of sleep deprivation in the EMU, therefore, need to control better for the artificial conditions of an inpatient hospital environment.

Moving Forward

Therefore, to move forward in the area of seizure reduction, the panel made several recommendations.

Ambulatory Monitoring

The development of popular smart watches and other ambulatory devices with physiological monitoring capabilities may transform the evaluation of sleep. For example, the plethysmographic detection of pulse in the Apple smart watch has already emerged in the lay press as a “life saver” in cases of cardiac arrhythmia. The medical use of wearable technology is a rapidly evolving subject. A recent comparison of a variety of trackers found that there remained a large variability among tracker brands compared to sleep diary notations. Some trackers, however, were more accurate in reflecting sleep diaries than older “medical grade” actigraphs. Similar variability among trackers has been evaluated in comparison with home
polysomnography in normal adults and with standard polysomnography in patients with obstructive sleep apnea.

Electroencephalogram is in a similar race to provide reliable, long-term ambulatory monitoring. Several groups have taken advantage of the detection capabilities of the responsive neural stimulator (RNS) in its role as a long-term recorder of epileptic events and seizure detections. The RNS may serve as a proof of concept for an ambulatory “seizure diary” that improves upon the inaccuracy of the “gold-standard” of the self-reported seizure diary. Several companies are developing subdermal, supracalvarial EEG devices that could serve as a golden compromise between accuracy, tolerance, and invasiveness for long-term monitoring of seizures.

The advantages of the host of ambulatory devices are clear. Reliable monitoring can objectively elucidate seizure patterns while confirming the timing and severity of seizures in individual patients monitored for long period of time. Human studies of both pathophysiology and treatment can benefit accordingly by lowering cost, enabling monitoring in both controlled and native environments, and allowing expanded pools of data. And, of course, technological advances in physiological monitoring are not confined to human epilepsy. Experimental animal models can benefit from similar reductions in equipment size, invasiveness, and cost. The group concluded that ambulatory devices that can score sleep and detect and time-stamp seizures would be a fundamental advance in the field.

**Treatment Trials**

The above advances in monitoring can go hand-in-hand with a new emphasis on basic science studies on mechanisms by which sleep dysregulation promotes seizure occurrence. Translational and clinical research should concentrate on treatments to improve sleep, observe resultant changes in seizure control (or a surrogate marker), and measure the mediating effects of improved sleep upon seizure control. Such experiments offer a kind of “two-for-the-price-of-one” bargain. Since improved sleep has salutatory effects on cognition, attention, and mood, patients may benefit not only from any reductions in seizures but in the result of improved sleep as a beneficial “side-effect” of treatment. Such dual benefits are commonly recognized already in the use of topiramate in PWE with comorbidities of chronic headache or lamotrigine in mood disorders. Patients with epilepsy with a comorbid sleep disorder stand to gain doubly.

**Monitoring Environment**

There is a reason only one study to date has monitored PWE under environmental conditions that can rigorously separate circadian from sleep effects on seizure occurrence; it is expensive and difficult. Wever and Aschoff’s landmark studies of circadian timing in humans were performed in underground bunkers for long-term temporal isolation. This isn’t to say that these conditions are required for all potential experiments, but investigators and their readers should keep in mind that when a study purports to be a study of “circadian” phenomena, what the vast majority of designs are measuring are 24-hour distributions of phenomena of both exogenous and endogenous control. Entraining influences that are external to the animal, such as light–dark exposure, mealtimes, stimulation or exercise, anticonvulsant administration, or other habitual, daily activities or stressors could serve to constrain seizure expression in a diurnal, exogenously mediated pattern. On the other hand, endogenous rhythms, such as circadian rhythms of electrical activity originating in the brain’s clock—the suprachiasmatic nucleus—or the circadian fluctuations of hormones may influence endogenous, circadian timing of seizures.

The rigor required to dissect the influences of sleep from those of circadian rhythms is outlined below. Some experiments, as mentioned above, use long-term chronic isolation from external time cues. A more practical protocol is “constant routine.”. In these experiments, the tested individual is kept continuously awake in otherwise constant conditions, and thus any circadian variation observed is due to endogenous circadian effects rather than state of sleep versus wake. However, “constant routine” is not appropriate for evaluating seizures or any other measures in PWE since sleep deprivation may be a key component in seizure exacerbation.

Methods that do not mandate sleep deprivation but still allow separating the effects of circadian rhythms for sleep/wake state in humans include “forced desynchrony.” The best way to describe this is to imagine being on a different planet where the length of the day is different from 24 hours. The tested individual is scheduled to sleep periodically in that planet’s day—periods range 20 minutes to 42 hours. The individual, still “living on Earth” and tied to his internal 24 circadian clock, now can have parameters measured according to the non-24 hour sleep cycle against an independent 24-hour circadian cycle. A protocol of 4 days duration, which was tolerated in a pilot phase without any adverse consequences for the participating individuals with epilepsy, has been successfully used to study circadian distribution of epileptiform discharges. However, the expense and heavy resource utilization of such protocols make them challenging. Furthermore, it requires smooth interaction between the epileptologists and circadian physiologists for implementation. Having a well-established multidisciplinary team may allow a more focused approach in the design and more efficient use of resources. The panel encouraged greater education of basic principles of chronobiology to the epilepsy community. Experiments with controlled monitoring environments could not only aid in evaluating the salutary effects of sleep on seizure control but could evaluate the potential deleterious effects that seizures have on the synchronization between circadian rhythms and sleep. Effects of inappropriate or insufficient sleep will be important in improving overall quality of life in PWE and their caregivers.
Summary

In 2 subsequent articles, we will review the background and recommendations for future development in the workshop’s other major areas of the interactions of sleep, circadian regulation, and epilepsy. Our overall goal is to encourage rigorous research in an area that may profoundly improve the lives of PWE and their families. The Sleep and Epilepsy Workgroup hopes to become a lasting presence to encourage research and education in this exciting area.
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