INTRODUCTION

The diversity of microorganisms on Earth is astonishing. Torsvik et al. (1990) estimated the number of bacterial genomes in a mixed sample using DNA:DNA hybridization. The number of bacterial species in a gram of boreal forest soil was estimated to approximate 10,000. Recently, this number was reevaluated and estimations are that the number of bacterial types per gram of soil varies between 2000 in polluted soil and 8.3 million in pristine soil (Gans et al., 2005; Schloss and Handelsman, 2006). Microorganisms dominate soil communities and have a profound impact on ecosystem functioning, being drivers of key processes in the cycling of energy and nutrients. The environment in which these microorganisms dwell is, however, never constant. Abiotic and biotic conditions often change with time, leading to fluctuations in the soil microbial communities and in the overall functioning of the soil ecosystem. The natural variation of soil processes over time, in response to fluctuations in ecological factors can be depicted as the highs and lows in soil process rates. These high and lows comprise the upper and lower borders of what has been coined the normal operating range (NOR). The NOR thus represents the amplitude of variation of a given process/parameter under natural (field) conditions, over time. The manner in which the NOR is defined will depend on the spatial and temporal scales at which measurements are taken. For instance, the accumulation of organic matter (OM) in a forest is a slow process. Thus, in order to capture the natural amplitude of variation in OM in forest soils, measurement should be taken over a large timespan, on the order of decades. Local conditions are also likely to influence OM deposition, and therefore, measurements may be taken in similar forests across a region of interest. For processes that respond fast to changes caused by weather and/or anthropogenic activities, such as nitrification, a NOR might be defined on the basis of a shorter (one to a few year) study. Thus, due to its sensitivity to external drivers, processes like nitrification are considered to represent good indicators of soil quality (Doran and Zeiss, 2000; Bruinsma et al., 2003).

The NOR of soil functioning is of key relevance when evaluating the impact of disturbances on soil-borne ecosystem services.
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and processes. Examples of such potential soil perturbations are extreme abiotic events (drought, flooding, fire), changes in agricultural management or land use, and/or the planting of genetically modified (GM) crops. Defining a NOR is important, as it provides a background against which to compare the extent of the effects of such, and other, disturbances (van Straalen, 2002; Bruinsma et al., 2003; Kowalchuk et al., 2003). For instance, by determining the fluctuations in the bacterial diversity associated with a suite of potato plants, Inceoglu et al. (2011) showed that the physiological changes associated with a GM potato did not affect the bacterial community in its rhizosphere differently from the effects of five other cultivars. Moreover, by incorporating perturbation-sensitive processes (and their proxies) into a mathematical model, an overall NOR of soil may be determined, which may be of use as a parameter that indicates the overall soil quality (Pereira e Silva et al., submitted). This overall NOR will represent a statistical tool that provides a score for soil functioning. Once the NOR is defined, it can be used to detect statistically significant changes in soil functioning, in response to disturbances at a specific time point.

Agricultural systems annually receive approximately 25% of global nitrogen input, mostly in the form of ammonium (Grunder and Galloway, 2008). The added ammonium can be oxidized to nitrate in a two-step process called nitrification. Besides its ecological relevance, nitrification is considered to represent a perturbation-sensitive process, and as such it has been advocated as a potentially suitable indicator of soil quality, e.g., in the risk assessment of GM plants (Bruinsma et al., 2003; Kowalchuk et al., 2003; Ritz et al., 2009; Wessén and Hallin, 2011). The oxidation of ammonia, the first (and rate-limiting) step in the nitrification process (performed by ammonia monooxygenase, which is encoded by amoA genes), until recently was considered to be largely performed by just two monophyletic groups within the gamma- and beta-proteobacteria (AOB). AOB have been frequently used as indicators of perturbations, to measure the effects of pollution in fish farm sediments (McCaig et al., 1999), contamination of soil with toxic metals (Stephen et al., 1999), effect of effluent irrigation (Oved et al., 2001) and organic waste residues (Horz et al., 2004; Nyberg et al., 2006). However, ammonia oxidizing archaea belonging to the recently described thaumarchaea (AOA; Spang et al., 2010) have been identified several years ago (Schleper et al., 2005; Treusch et al., 2005) and these organisms were found to respond to environmental factors (Ying et al., 2010). They often revealed a remarkable numerical dominance in soils (Leininger et al., 2006).

Both AOA and AOB play roles in nitrification, although the exact contribution of each one of the two communities to the process remains unclear. There is evidence that ammonia oxidation by archaea may exceed that performed by bacteria in some soils (Prosser and Nicol, 2008; Tournu et al., 2008; Offre et al., 2009). In contrast, Jia and Conrad (2009) found that, after ammonium addition, the changes in nitrification activity were paralleled by changes in the abundances of AOB but not of AOA. Thus, the likely involvement of the AOA in the process (Caffrey et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2009; Wessén et al., 2011; Yao et al., 2011) suggested that AOA, in conjunction with AOB, should be used as proxies to monitor nitrification. Accordingly, both AOA and AOB have been recently suggested as good indicators of soil quality (Wessén and Hallin, 2011).

Considering the great importance of nitrification and the usefulness of nitrifiers as bioindicators of soil quality, the aim of this work was to determine the NOR of nitrification across agricultural soils. For that purpose, we assessed nitrification across eight soils over 2 years. The NOR that was thus obtained represents a descriptive measure which illustrates the amplitude of variation of nitrification and/or its proxies under prevailing conditions in the soils, over eight locations and time. In particular, we determined the size, structure and diversity of both AOA and AOB communities across the soils. Community sizes were studied by quantifying the archaeal and bacterial amoA genes, whereas community structures were determined by PCR-DGGE of archaeal amoA and (betaproteo) bacterial 16S rRNA genes. Moreover, we constructed bacterial and archaeal amoA clone libraries to identify the dominant types. Finally, we also measured relevant chemical soil parameters. We hypothesized that the ammonia oxidizing communities would be mainly driven by soil type and pH, suggesting that a NOR should be defined per soil (textural) type.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

EXPERIMENTAL SITES AND SOIL SAMPLING

Eight soils from different sites in the Netherlands were sampled seven times between April 2009 and October 2010, after seedling (April 2009 and 2010), before flowering (June 2009 and 2010), and in senescence stage (September 2009 and October 2010). In November 2009 there were no plants in the fields anymore. The fields are used for potato cropping and were under agricultural rotation regime. Information on land use and location is available (Table 1). The soils were chosen to represent different soil types (clay versus sand) and present different chemical properties (Table A1 in Appendix). Bulk soil samples (4 replicates per soil; 0.5 kg per replicate) were collected in plastic bags and thoroughly homogenized before further processing in the lab. A 100-g subsample was used for measuring ammonia oxidizing enzyme activity, molecular biology and soil chemical properties.

SOIL CHEMICAL ANALYSIS AND AMMONIA OXIDIZING ACTIVITY

Soil pH was defined in 0.01 M CaCl$_2$ (1:4.5). Water content was determined by drying for 48 h at 65°C. O.M content was calculated on dried soil as the difference between the initial and final sample weights measured after 4 h at 550°C. Nitrate (N - NO$_3^-$) and ammonium (N - NH$_4^+$) were determined colorimetrically in a solution of 0.01 M CaCl$_2$ with an Autoanalyzer II (Technicon Instrument Corporation, Tarrytown, New York; samples from 2009) and using the commercial kits Nanocolor Nitrat50 (detection limit, 0.3 mg N kg$^{-1}$ dry weight, Macherey-Nagel, Germany) and Ammonium3 (detection limit, 0.04 mg N kg$^{-1}$ dry weight; Macherey-Nagel, Germany; samples from 2010) according to Töwe et al. (2010). Potential nitrifying enzyme activity (NEA) was measured in soil suspensions in the presence of non-limiting ammonium and ambient atmospheric O$_2$ concentration according to Dassonville et al. (2011), using a modified version of the method of Hart et al. (1994) with an ionic chromatography (DX120, Dionex, Salt Lake City, USA) equipped with a 4-mm × 250-mm column (IonPac AS9 HC).
NUCLEIC ACID EXTRACTION
DNA was extracted from 0.5 g of soil using Power Soil MoBio kit (Mo Bio Laboratories Inc., NY, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions, after the addition of glass beads (diameter 0.1 mm; 0.25 g) to the soil slurries. The cells were disrupted by bead beating (mini-bead beater; BioSpec Products, United States) three times for 60 s. The quantity of extracted DNA was estimated by comparison to a 1-kb DNA ladder (Promega, Leiden, Netherlands) and quality was determined based on the degree of DNA shearing (average molecular size) as well as the amounts of co-extracted compounds.

REAL TIME QUANTITATIVE PCR
The abundance of archaeal and bacterial ammonia oxidizers was quantified by quantitative PCR (qPCR) targeting the amoA gene. For AOA primers amo23F (Tourn et al., 2008) and crenamo616r (Nicol et al., 2008) were used obtaining fragments of 624 bp. AOB amoA quantification was performed using primers amoA-1F (Stephen et al., 1999) and amoA-2R (Rotthauwe et al., 1997), according to Nicol et al. (2008), generating fragments of 491 bp. Cycling programs and primer sequences are detailed in Table A2 (in Appendix). Quantification was carried out twice from each of the four soil replicates on the ABI Prism 7300 Cycler (Applied Biosystems, Germany). The specificity of the amplification products was checked by spiking standard concentrations with samples. Possible inhibitory effects of co-extracted humid compounds were checked by spiking standard concentrations with samples. No apparent inhibition was observed.

STANDARD PCR AMPLIFICATION AND DGGE ANALYSIS
PCR was performed targeting 16S rRNA and amoA genes of ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB) or AOA, respectively. Amplification of 16S rRNA gene fragments from extracted soil DNA was achieved by primary amplification with CTO189f and CTO654r primers (Kowelchuk et al., 1997) and with a secondary nested amplification using bacterial 357f-GC and 518r primers (Muyzer et al., 1993). CTO and bacterial primers amplified 465 and 161 bp fragments, respectively. A detailed protocol is described in Freitag et al. (2006). AOA amoA was amplified using primers crenA23f/crenamoA616r (Tourn et al., 2008). Cycling conditions are described in Table A2 (in Appendix). DGGE profiles were generated with the Ingeny Phor-U system (Ingeny International, Goes, The Netherlands). The PCR products (120 ng/ lane) were loaded onto 6% (w/v) polyacrylamide gels, with a 15–55 and 35–70% denaturant gradient (100% denaturant corresponded to 7 M urea and 40% (v/v) deionized formamide) for archaeal amoA and 16S rRNA gene, respectively, as described previously by Nicol et al. (2008). Electrophoresis was performed at a constant voltage of 100 V for 16 h at 60°C. The gels were stained for 60 min in 0.5 x TAE buffer with SYBR Gold (final concentration 0.5 μg/l; Invitrogen, Breda, The Netherlands). Images of the gels were obtained with Imagemaster VDS (Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom) and normalized in the GelCompar II software (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens Latem, Belgium), using the unweighted-pair group method with arithmetic mean, rolling-disk background subtraction, and no optimization (Kropf, 2004; Rademaker and de Bruijn, 2004). Patterns were compared by clustering the different lanes by Pearson’s correlation coefficient implemented in GelCompar.

CONSTRUCTION OF AOA AND AOB LIBRARIES AND PHYLGENETIC ANALYSIS
Clones libraries of archaeal and bacterial amoA genes for the eight soils were constructed using DNA extracted from soil collected in June of 2010. Primers Crenam23f/Crenamo616r for archaeal amoA, and primers amoA-1R/amoA-2R for bacterial amoA were used, as described for real time PCR. The products from replicates were pooled per soil, ligated into PGEM-T-Easy vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA, EUA) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions and white colonies were subject to a colony PCR with vector specific primers M13-F and M13-R to check for the presence of amoA inserts. DNA sequencing was performed using an Applied Biosystems 3730 XL DNA Analyzer at LGC Genomics GmbH (Berlin, Germany). Short sequences or sequences of chimeric origin were checked by analyzing alignments using Bellerophon (Huber et al., 2004) and excluded from the analysis. Sequences obtained were processed in Mega (version 5, Mega, Biodesign Institute), translated, and the deduced amino acid sequences were aligned using Clustal W (Jeanmougin, 1998). Sequences at 1% cut-off were used to construct phylogenetic trees in which representative sequences from GenBank were included. Distance analysis of derived archaeal and bacterial amoA protein sequences and bootstrap support (neighbor-joining and parsimony analyses; 1000 replicates each) were constructed in Mega, using Jones-Taylor-Thornton (JTT) substitution model with site

Table 1 | Specific data for each soil concerning soil type, land use as well as GPS coordinates.

| Sampling site | Sand:silt:clay (%) | Soil type | Land use | North coordinate | East coordinate |
|---------------|--------------------|-----------|----------|------------------|---------------|
| Buinen (B)    | 50:20:30           | Sandy loam| Agricultural | 52°55′386″ | 006°49′217″ |
| Valthermond (V)| 55:40:5            | Sandy loam| Agricultural | 52°50′535″ | 006°55′239″ |
| Droevendaal (D)| 55:20:25          | Sandy loam| Agricultural | 51°59′551″ | 005°39′608″ |
| Wildekamp (K)  | 50:25:25           | Sandy loam| Natural grassland | 51°59′771″ | 005°40′157″ |
| Kolkmanswaard (K)| 20:50:30       | Clayey    | Agricultural | 53°19′507″ | 006°16′351″ |
| Steenharst (S) | 30:20:50           | Silty soil| Agricultural | 53°15′428″ | 006°10′189″ |
| Grebbedijk (G) | 8:12:80            | Clayey    | Agricultural | 51°57′349″ | 005°38′086″ |
| Lelystad (L)   | 8:12:80            | Clayey    | Agricultural | 52°32′349″ | 005°33′601″ |
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variation (invariable sites and eight variable gamma rates; Olsen et al., 1994). Differences in the community structures of AOA and AOB clone libraries were analyzed with UniFrac (Lozupone et al., 2006), and the program DOTUR (Distance-based OTU and richness; Schloss and Handelsman, 2006) was used to create rarefaction curves and to determine the Shannon diversity index.

DATA ANALYSIS
Physico-chemical variables were checked for normality and were log-transformed, except for soil pH. Differences in these variables between sandy and clay soils, among all eight soils, and over time were assessed with Student’s t-tests.

To test the influence of soil physico-chemical parameters (environmental factors) on community structure, forward selection was used on CCA, to select a combination of environmental variables that explained most of the variation observed in the AOA and AOB species matrix. For that, a series of constrained CCA permutations was performed in Canoco (version 4.0 for Windows, PRI Wageningen, The Netherlands,) to determine which variables best explained the assemblage variation, using automatic forward selection and Monte Carlo permutations tests (permutations = 999). The length of the corresponding arrows indicated the relative importance of the chemical factor explaining variation in the two microbial communities.

To study the dynamics of AOA and AOB communities over time, a matrix of similarities based on Pearson’s correlation was used to perform moving window analysis – MWA (Marzorati et al., 2008), by calculating the rate of change in community structure, as dissimilarity = 100 − similarity % (represented by Δt). The rate of change parameter (Δt) averages the degree of change between consecutive DGGE profiles of the same community over a fixed time interval (Marzorati et al., 2008), giving an indication of community turnover for that time period.

Correlations between NEA and community structure were tested using the RELATE analysis, a non-parametric form of Mantel test, implemented in PRIMER-E software package (version 6, PRIMER-E Ltd., Plymouth, UK; Clarke and Gorley, 2006). More specifically, for each functional group (AOA or AOB), a rank correlation coefficient (here Spearman coefficient) and significance level (obtained by a permutation test using 5000 permutations) were computed to quantify the correlation between the rank similarity matrices obtained for activity and genetic structure (Clarke and Ainsworth, 1993). For each functional group, ANOSIM statistics (Primer-E software) was performed to test for an effect of soil type on AOA and AOB community structure.

The NOR of NEA and the abundance of ammonia oxidizers were determined by subtracting lower values from higher values (also represented by Δt) of activity or amoA gene copy numbers, respectively. The influence of soil parameters or abundance of ammonia oxidizers on NEA was determined by using Pearson’s linear correlation coefficient implemented in SPSS 16.0 (SPSS, Inc., IL, USA).

We also conducted multiple regression analyses on log-normalized data (SAS® system for Windows version 8.02, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA, 2001) to identify the main drivers of nitrification. The following parameters were included in the analysis: diversity (Shannon diversity index H′ based on DGGE profiles) and abundance (A) of archaeal (AOA) and bacterial (AOB) ammonia oxidizers, potential NEA, nitrate (NO3), ammonium (NH4), pH, OM in %, clay content in % (clay) and soil moisture in % (humidity).

DATA ACCESSIBILITY
The amoA sequences for the AOA and AOB have been deposited in the GenBank under accession number JF935450 – JF936076 and JF936077 – JF936667 for AOA and AOB, respectively.

RESULTS
SEASONAL VARIATIONS OF SOIL CHEMICAL PROPERTIES
Soil pH, nitrate, ammonium and OM levels and water content were determined in triplicate across all soil samples. Overall, considering all soils, soil pH was significantly higher (P < 0.05) in soils K, G and L (7.32 ± 0.06, n = 57) than in soils B, V, D, W, and S (4.88 ± 0.04, n = 99) during the whole experimental period and no significant variation over time was observed. Significant changes were observed in levels of nitrate at all times, with lower values in the end of the season (September 2009: 32.8 mg kg−1 ± 7.08; October 2010: 24.2 mg kg−1 ± 2.98) and higher at the beginning (April 2009: 75.6 mg kg−1 ± 12.5; April 2010: 56.4 mg kg−1 ± 5.63). Levels of ammonium also varied over the whole period, lower values being observed at the end of the season (September 2009: 1.92 mg kg−1 ± 0.16; October 2010: 5.86 mg kg−1 ± 0.63), and higher ones at the start (April 2009: 13.3 mg kg−1 ± 1.13; April 2010: 15.3 mg kg−1 ± 0.01). Significant fluctuations (P < 0.05) in water contents in the soils were detected at all times in 2009, but not 2010. The most humid sampling time was November (23.64 ± 2.15%), and the driest was September (12.25 ± 1.68%). Variations in OM content were observed from September (5.63 ± 1.20%) to November (7.34 ± 1.45%) 2009, and from April (6.28 ± 0.85%) to June (5.04 ± 0.89%) 2010. Individual values for each soil at each sampling time can be found in Table A1 (in Appendix).

Concerning differences between sandy and clayey soils, soil pH was significantly higher (P < 0.05) in the clayey (6.9 ± 0.17, n = 78) than in the sandy soils (4.71 ± 0.06, n = 78). Levels of ammonium and nitrate were significantly higher (P < 0.05) in the sandy soils in June and September of 2009 (N - NH4+: 13.6 ± 0.97 and 2.3 ± 0.15 mg kg−1, respectively; N - NO3−: 103.3 ± 11.20 and 52.4 ± 8.41 mg kg−1, respectively), and no significant difference in the OM content was found between sandy and clayey soils at any of the sampling times.

SEASONAL VARIATION OF NITRIFYING ENZYME ACTIVITY IN RELATION TO SOIL PARAMETERS
Variations in NEA over time were observed in all soils. On average per time, lower rates were observed in November 2009 (0.59 μgN h−1 gdw−1 ± 0.09) and June 2010 (0.59 μgN h−1 gdw−1 ± 0.07), whereas higher rates were detected in April 2010 (0.76 μgN h−1 gdw−1 ± 0.10) and October 2010 (0.79 μgN h−1 gdw−1 ± 0.11). More specifically, significantly higher values were observed for soils V, K, S, G, and L (on average 1.00 μgN h−1 gdw−1 ± 0.04, n = 100) compared to soils B, D, and W (on average 0.15 μgN h−1 gdw−1 ± 0.00, n = 60; Figure 1A). The former ones also showed higher variability across the sampling times. Higher rates were observed in soil
G (1.68 μgN h⁻¹ gdw⁻¹ ± 0.12), and lower ones in soil W (0.11 μgN h⁻¹ gdw⁻¹ ± 0.03). On average per soil type, clayey soils had significantly higher values than sandy ones (1.055 μgN h⁻¹ gdw⁻¹ and 0.261 μgN h⁻¹ gdw⁻¹, respectively; \( P < 0.05; \text{Figure 1B} \)).

Pearson’s correlation analysis between NEA and soil physicochemical parameters over time revealed that the enzyme activities correlated positively only with soil pH (\( r = 0.70, P \leq 0.05; \text{Table 2} \)), but a small yet significant effect of clay content was also identified by multiple regression analysis (Table 3). When the same analysis was repeated for sandy and clayey soils separately, we observed that nitrate and OM were also important explanatory variables (Table 3).

**SEASONAL VARIATION IN THE ABUNDANCE OF AOA AND AOB COMMUNITIES IN RELATION TO SOIL PARAMETERS**

Considering all eight soils, both the AOA and AOB abundances varied within 1–2 orders of magnitude across the sampling times. The numbers of archaeal *amoA* genes were in the range of \( 5.94 \times 10^5 \)–\( 2.53 \times 10^7 \) gene copies per gram of dry soil, whereas the bacterial *amoA* gene numbers varied between \( 2.95 \times 10^5 \) and \( 8.32 \times 10^7 \) gene copies per gram of dry soil. The AOA abundance was significantly higher in June 2010 and lower in April 2009 (\( P < 0.05 \)), whereas the AOB abundance was the highest in October 2010, with comparable numbers at the other sampling times (Figures 2A–C). In general, AOA and AOB population sizes tended to increase from April to June in both years, decreasing...
in November (Figure 2A). Abundances of archaeal amoA genes varied from $4.76 \times 10^6$ to $3.58 \times 10^7$ gene copies gdw$^{-1}$ in the sandy soils and from $1.40 \times 10^6$ to $1.54 \times 10^7$ gene copies gdw$^{-1}$ in the clayey soils (Figure 2B). The bacterial amoA gene numbers varied from $1.74 \times 10^6$ to $2.30 \times 10^7$ gene copies gdw$^{-1}$ in the sandy soils and from $1.60 \times 10^6$ to $4.53 \times 10^7$ gene copies gdw$^{-1}$ in the clayey soils (Figure 2C). The observed amplitude of variation in community size between AOA and AOB was significantly different in April and June 2009 and in October 2010 and was larger for AOB ($\Delta t_{\text{clay}} = 1.45 \pm 0.3$ and $\Delta t_{\text{sandy}} = 1.26 \pm 0.08$), than for AOA ($\Delta t_{\text{AOA}} = 1.21 \pm 0.08$) abundances, which was higher in the clayey soils ($\Delta t_{\text{clay}} = 1.25 \pm 0.02$ and $\Delta t_{\text{sandy}} = 1.18 \pm 0.02$), especially in the clayey soils (Figures 2B,C).

Pearson's correlations between the archaeal and bacterial amoA gene copy numbers and the soil chemical parameters measured revealed that only soil pH significantly affected the abundance of AOA ($r = 0.73$, $P \leq 0.05$), but showed no influence on the abundance of AOB (Table 2).

**ANALYSIS OF AOA AND AOB COMMUNITY STRUCTURE AND DIVERSITY IN RELATION TO SOIL PARAMETERS**

Two-way analysis of similarities (ANOSIM) showed an overall effect of soil type on AOA and AOB community structures at all times, but to a lesser extent on AOB (Table A3 in Appendix). Based on $R$ values, the greatest community differentiations became measurable during early fall for AOA, but during spring and summer for AOB. The dynamics of the AOA and AOB communities were addressed by moving window analysis (MWA), whose concept can be interpreted as the number of species that on average come to significant dominance at a given habitat, during a defined time interval. Our results showed that AOA and AOB had different patterns of variation. On average, the variability of AOB was higher than that of AOA populations ($\Delta t_{\text{AOB}} = 62.76 \pm 2.10\%$ and $\Delta t_{\text{AOA}} = 53.65 \pm 3.37\%$; Figure 3A). Whereas for AOA higher variations were detected in the sandy soils ($\Delta t_{\text{sandy}} = 60.27 \pm 2.97\%$) compared to the clayey ones ($\Delta t_{\text{clay}} = 41.09 \pm 3.92\%$; Figure 3B), for AOB communities the amplitude of variation was higher in the clayey soils ($\Delta t_{\text{clay}} = 66.55 \pm 1.18\%$) compared to the sandy ones ($\Delta t_{\text{sandy}} = 58.98 \pm 3.01\%$; Figure 3C).

Canonical correspondence analysis was used to investigate possible trends in the temporal changes in the community structures of AOA and AOB, and to test the significance of the influence of soil parameters on those changes. Although seasonality seemed to play a role in the distribution of both communities, no clear trend could be observed. In general, communities at the start of the growth season tended to cluster together (Figures A1 and A2 in Appendix). This was true especially for the AOB, in both sandy soils.

**Table 2 | Pearson’s correlations ($r$) between community size (qAOA, qAOB, and AOA/ AOB), nitrifying activity (NEA), and soil properties (OM, N - NO$_3^-$, N - NH$_4^+$, pH, moisture and clay), calculated as average values per soil between September 2009 and October 2010.**

| Soil          | Model                          | $P$-value | $R^2$ |
|---------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Overall       | $^{a}$NEA = $-2.35^{**}(\pm0.33)+2.02^{**}(\pm0.43) \times \text{pH}+0.01^{**}(\pm0.001) \times \text{clay}$ | <0.0001 | 0.53  |
| Sandy soils   | $^{b}$NEA = $-1.15^{**}(\pm0.48)+0.36^{**}(\pm0.07) \times q\text{AOA}+0.19^{*}(\pm0.07) \times q\text{AOB}$ | <0.0001 | 0.17  |
| Clayey soils  | $^{c}$NEA = $0.67(\pm0.41)+0.21^{**}(\pm0.06) \times N\text{O}_3+0.35^{**}(\pm0.08) \times OM$ | 0.0003 | 0.56  |

$^{a}$Regression model using abiotic parameters; $^{b}$regression model using biotic parameters; AOA; ammonia oxidizing archaeal; AOB, ammonia oxidizing bacteria; H, Shannon diversity index; A, gene abundance; NEA, potential nitrifying enzyme activity; NO$_3$, nitrate in mg kg$^{-1}$ dw$^{-1}$; NH$_4$, ammonium in mg kg$^{-1}$; pH, soil pH; OM, organic matter in %; clay, clay content in % and humidity, soil moisture in %. Models were restricted to a maximum of two parameters. $^{*}P < 0.1$, $^{**}P < 0.05$. Values in bold are significant.
and clayey soils. The community structures of the AOA seemed to be more variable across the sampling times. Moreover, all soil variables measured apparently exerted significant effects on the AOA and AOB community structures. In order to determine the relative contribution of each soil parameter, we used variance partitioning to control for the effect of each individual parameter, when all others are defined as covariables in the constrained analyses (Leps and Smilauer, 2003). Considering the whole data set, soil parameters explained 33.4 and 49% of the variability in AOA and AOB community structures, respectively. In both cases, the percentage of clay, OM and soil pH were the most important parameters, explaining 18% (AOA) and 5.9% (AOB) of these variation.

Separating the soil in two groups, i.e., sandy and clayey ones, led to an increase in the overall percentage of variation explained.
by soil parameters for the AOA communities (76.6 and 79.4% for clayey and sandy soils, respectively). In clayey soils, the percentage of clay, OM, and soil pH explained 45.5%, whereas for sandy soils, these three parameters explained 44.9% of the variation in community structure. Although the separation per soil type diminished the total percentage of variation explained by the soil parameters for AOB communities (15.8 and 17.1% for clayey and sand soil, respectively), it increased the percentage of variation explained by the percentage of clay, OM and soil pH to 10.7–10.8%.

**FIGURE 3** | Amplitude of variation in the community structure of AOA and AOB. The fluctuations were determined by moving window analysis (MWA; Marzorati et al., 2008) based on DGGE profiles as a mean of all soils [(A); Δt_{AOA} = 53.65 ± 3.37%; Δt_{AOB} = 62.76 ± 2.10%], and separated in sandy and clayey soil [(B); Δt_{sandy} = 60.27 ± 2.97%; Δt_{clayey} = 41.09 ± 3.92%] and AOB [(C); Δt_{sandy} = 58.98 ± 3.01%; Δt_{clayey} = 66.55 ± 1.18%]. Bars are SE. In the MWA each data point is in itself a comparison between two consecutive sampling times. Bars are SE.
To gain larger fine-scale taxonomic resolution of the archaeal and bacterial communities, we constructed 16 clone libraries based on the *amoA* gene, from the eight soils. Phylogenetic analyses of the archaeal *amoA* fragments revealed that all clones were related to sequences of uncultured crenarchaeota obtained in earlier environmental studies. All sequences were found to cluster in a few groups denoted soil/sediment, sediment/soil and, to a lesser percentage, marine lineages (Figure 4A), with some site-dependent variability. Sequences from sandy soil sites were dispersed among sequences from soil/sediment, sediment/soil and marine clusters, whereas sequences from the clayey sites were mainly related to the soil/sediment and marine clusters (Figure A3 in Appendix). The soil type effect detected by multivariate analyses on DGGE data was also observed for clone libraries, as determined by UniFrac analysis of the archaeal *amoA* sequences (Figure 5A).

Regarding the analysis of bacterial *amoA* gene fragments, almost all bacterial clone sequences represented *amoA*-like sequences that grouped with *Nitrosospira* clusters, one grouping with *Nitrosomonas*, although some of them showed no similarity with any known cluster (Figure A4 in Appendix). Most of the bacterial sequences were found spread over eight clusters and a high site-dependent variability was observed (Figure 4B). For instance, *Nitrosospira* cluster 3b was predominant in soils K and L, whereas cluster 11/12 comprised 40 and 90% of the sequences in soils B and V, respectively. We further found

![FIGURE 4 | Diversity of archaeal (A) and bacterial (B) amoA sequences retrieved in this study from the eight soils. Archaeal and bacterial amoA clusters were classified according to Nicol et al. (2008) and Zhang et al. (2009), respectively. See Figure 1 for soil names.](image-url)
that the sequences tended to cluster according to soil type, being *Nitrosospira* clusters 1 and 2 mainly represented in the sandy soils and *Nitrosospira* cluster 0 mostly in the clayey soils. This was also confirmed by UniFrac analysis of the sequences (Figure 5B).

### SEASONAL VARIATION OF NEA IN RELATION TO BIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS

Nitrifying enzyme activity was positively correlated with the abundance of AOA (r = 0.74, P ≤ 0.05), but not with that of AOB (Table 2). Changes in nitrification rates were also significantly correlated with changes in the community structures of the AOA and AOB, which were observed to vary with season and soil type (Table 4; Table A3 in Appendix). The analyses over time revealed high correlation values between NEA and AOA community structures at all times (except June 2010). These were season dependent, as correlations with the AOA communities were higher at the end of the season (September and November) and lower at the start (April and June). Correlations between activity and AOB community were higher at the start (June 2010) and lower at the end (September 2009 and October 2010).

Overall, NEA was affect mainly by the abundance and diversity (Shannon index from DGGE profiles) of AOA, which together explained 17% of the variation in nitrifying activities (Table 3). The results observed were similar for the sandy soils; however in the clayey soils only diversity, but not abundance, of AOB seemed to play a significant – although small – role (Table 3).

### DISCUSSION

**TEMPORAL AND SPATIAL VARIATION IN POTENTIAL NITRIFICATION RATES IN RELATION TO CHEMICAL PARAMETERS**

In general, the rates of potential nitrification in soil have been found to vary greatly, whether in an agricultural field, natural grassland or a forest soil, with observed amplitudes of variation being soil-dependent. Rates of 40–132 μg NO$_3^-$ - N h$^{-1}$ have been observed in acid agricultural soils in China (Yao et al., 2011) and of 20–120 μg NO$_3^-$ - N kg$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ in a forest soil in the UK (Wheatley et al., 2003). In a field under intensive cultivation in a wheat–barley–potato rotation, rates were found to vary from around 5 to 127 μg NO$_3^-$ - N kg$^{-1}$ soil h$^{-1}$ (March to August 1998), and from 120 and 180 to 20 μg NO$_3^-$ - N kg$^{-1}$ soil h$^{-1}$ (June to January 2000). In the soils analyzed by us, the nitrification rates varied significantly over time, although the values were much lower than the abovementioned ones, from 0.59 to 0.79 μgN h$^{-1}$. This variation could be mainly attributed to two soil parameters, soil pH and soil texture. Interestingly, positive correlations between pH and NEA were consistently found, which might be explained by the fact that at lower pH values an increasingly higher number of ammonia oxidizers is inhibited (Webster et al., 2002).

This indicates that patterns of NEA become even more complex when including the perspective of time. Nevertheless, such overall process parameters are important, as they constitute the “normal” amplitude of variation found across soil systems. Regarding the influence of soil parameters, several soil factors are known to influence the potential nitrification rates. For instance, the rates of potential nitrification were found to increase with decreasing salinity (Caffrey et al., 2007) and with increasing temperature up to 30°C (Tourn et al., 2008). The rates are known to be significantly reduced in acid soils (de Boer and Kowalchuk, 2001), although only a slightly significant negative relationship between nitrification and pH was observed in organic soils (Booth et al., 2005).

**ABUNDANCE AND STRUCTURE OF AMMONIA OXIDIZING COMMUNITIES AS AFFECTED BY SOIL CHEMICAL PARAMETERS**

It is important to understand how the nitrification process is impacted by soil conditions, and also how and to what extent the structure, composition and abundance of the ammonia oxidizing communities are affected, as the latter may coincide with altered rates. The population sizes of the AOA and AOB across soils and times were found to be within the range observed in other soil systems (Shen et al., 2008; Hallin et al., 2009; Wessén et al., 2011), although AOA numbers observed for the AOA and AOB in agricultural soils (Gubry-Rangin et al., 2010; Wessén et al., 2011), although AOA numbers of up to 10$^8$ and AOB numbers of 10$^7$ have been observed in different agricultural soils (Leininger et al., 2006). Moreover, *amoA* gene numbers as low as 10$^4$ have been found for AOB in flooded paddy soils (Chen et al., 2010) and non-fertilized agricultural soil (Leininger et al., 2006). We found significant seasonal variation in the abundances of AOA and AOB. Temporal variations in the abundances of AOB and AOB were also observed in a 2-year study of the influence of different soil management techniques (Le Roux et al., 2005).
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Table 4 | Correlations between the community structure AOA and AOB, soil chemical parameters (pH, N - \( \text{NH}_4^+ \), N - \( \text{NO}_3^- \), OM %, clay content % and water content %) and NEA (Relate Analysis) obtained with Primer-E (BEST test), for all sampling times per soil and per soil type.

|                     | NEA* (µgN h\(^{-1}\) gdw\(^{-1}\)) | pH (CaCl\(_2\)) | N - \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) (mg\(^{-1}\) kg) | N - \( \text{NO}_3^- \) (mg\(^{-1}\) kg) | OM (%) | Humidity (%) |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|-------------|
| **AOA STRUCTURE ACROSS SOILS (I)** |                                     |                 |                                        |                                        |        |             |
| Buinen              | NS                                  | NS              | NS                                    | 0.49***                               | NS     | NS          |
| Valthermond         | NS                                  | 0.48**          | 0.22**                                | 0.12*                                 | NS     | 0.19**      |
| Droevendaal         | 0.19*                               | 0.59***         | 0.16*                                 | 0.36***                               | 0.24** | NS          |
| Wildekamp           | 0.73***                             | 0.58***         | 0.28**                                | 0.44***                               | NS     | NS          |
| Kollumerwaard       | NS                                  | NS              | 0.15*                                 | NS                                    | 0.33** | NS          |
| Steenharst          | NS                                  | 0.58***         | NS                                    | 0.26**                                | 0.22** | 0.16*       |
| Grebbedijk          | 0.56***                             | 0.28**          | 0.15*                                 | 0.39***                               | 0.15*  | NS          |
| Lelystad            | NS                                  | 0.28**          | NS                                    | NS                                    | 0.24** | NS          |
| **PER SOIL TYPE (III)** |                                     |                 |                                        |                                        |        |             |
| Sandy               | 0.26**                             | 0.39***         | 0.53***                               | 0.49***                               | 0.43** | 0.46***     |
| Clayey              | 0.07*                              |                 | 0.05*                                 |                                        | NS     | NS          |
| **AOB STRUCTURE ACROSS SOILS (I)** |                                     |                 |                                        |                                        |        |             |
| Buinen              | NS                                  | 0.12*           | 0.36***                               | 0.31***                               | NS     | NS          |
| Valthermond         | 0.40***                             | 0.37***         | NS                                    | 0.29**                                | 0.29** | NS          |
| Droevendaal         | 0.42***                             | 0.46***         | 0.22**                                | 0.29**                                | 0.40** | NS          |
| Wildekamp           | 0.57***                             | 0.24**          | 0.18*                                 | 0.19*                                 | NS     | NS          |
| Kollumerwaard       | NS                                  | 0.22*           | 0.27***                               | 0.19*                                 | NS     | 0.32**      |
| Steenharst          | 0.58***                             | 0.44***         | NS                                    | 0.12*                                 | 0.16*  | 0.15*       |
| Grebbedijk          | NS                                  | NS              | 0.32**                                |                                        | NS     | NS          |
| Lelystad            | NS                                  | 0.21**          | 0.25***                               |                                        | NS     | 0.18*       |
| **PER SOIL TYPE (III)** |                                     |                 |                                        |                                        |        |             |
| Sandy               | 0.26***                             | 0.09***         | 0.08***                               |                                        | NS     | NS          |
| Clayey              | 0.19***                             | 0.09***         |                                        |                                        | NS     | 0.08*       |
| **NEA ACROSS SOILS (I)** |                                     |                 |                                        |                                        |        |             |
| Buinen              | 0.19*                               | 0.16*           | NS                                    |                                        | 0.19   | 0.19*       |
| Valthermond         | NS                                  | 0.26*           | NS                                    |                                        | 0.24   | NS          |
| Droevendaal         | 0.29**                              | 0.30**          | NS                                    |                                        | 0.29   | NS          |
| Wildekamp           | 0.19*                               | NS              | NS                                    |                                        | 0.19   | NS          |
| Kollumerwaard       | NS                                  | 0.21*           | NS                                    |                                        | NS     | NS          |
| Steenharst          | NS                                  | NS              | 0.37**                                |                                        | NS     | NS          |
| Grebbedijk          | NS                                  | 0.31**          | NS                                    |                                        | NS     | NS          |
| Lelystad            | NS                                  | 0.20*           | NS                                    |                                        | NS     | NS          |
| **PER SOIL TYPE (III)** |                                     |                 |                                        |                                        |        |             |
| Sandy               | 0.48**                             | S               | NS                                    |                                        | NS     | NS          |
| Clayey              | NS                                  | 0.05*           | NS                                    |                                        | NS     | NS          |

**NEA**, nitrifying enzyme activity; AOA, ammonia oxidizing archaeal; AOB, ammonia oxidizing bacteria; NS, not significant; ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.01; *0.01 < P < 0.05.

et al., 2008). Moreover, such variations were already hypothesized to occur in grassland and cropping systems (Berg and Rosswall, 1987).

Several soil factors, such as water content, seasonality and fertilizer type, are thought to affect the population sizes and community structures of ammonia oxidizers in soil (Nugroho et al., 2006; Schmidt et al., 2007; Hansel et al., 2008). In this study, a highly positive correlation of soil pH with the abundance of AOA, but not with the abundance of AOB, was observed. Although soil pH is known to drive changes in the AOA and AOB communities (Nicol et al., 2008; Erguder et al., 2009), its effects are still controversial, as decreases in AOA abundances have been observed both with decreasing (Hallin et al., 2009), and increasing soil pH (Nicol et al., 2008). Other factors, such as soil moisture and nitrogen availability, are also known to influence the ammonia oxidizing communities (He et al., 2007; Hallin et al., 2009). It has previously been shown that AOA are more abundant in soils with lower levels of available nitrogen, whereas AOB become more abundant in soils under higher levels (Jia and Conrad, 2009). However, in the current study, none of these factors (moisture and N availability) determined the AOA/AOB community sizes. This may indicate either that the abundances are not affected by these drivers or, most probably, that the drivers are not the same across soils. Several previous studies proposed soil type to be the primary determinant of the bacterial composition in arable soils (Gelsomino et al., 1999; Girvan et al., 2003), but only few studies have addressed the effect
of soil type on AOA and AOB abundance. Wessén et al. (2011) found that the abundance of AOA was negatively affected by clay content, which could be indicative of the AOA being less abundant in the supposedly nutrient-rich environments. However, in our study we did not find significant correlations between AOA abundance and clay content.

The community structure analyses by MWA indicated higher changes for the AOB, whereas the observed changes were lower for AOA. MWA describes the stability and species turnover over time; hence, a 65% change in AOB community structure between April and June means that from April to June the AOB community was very dynamic. In fact, the two communities shared only 35% of phylotypes and 65% changed over this time period. The AOA community was less dynamic than AOB over this time period, as shown by the lower percentage of change. Multivariate analysis revealed that the variables that contributed the most to changes in the system were soil clay content, OM, and pH. In fact, taking the differences in soil texture into account, we increased the resolving power of the method, allowing the detection of significant differences in the pattern.

An effect of soil texture on the phylogenetic make-up of the AOA was also observed, as sequences from the sandy soils formed a cluster that was separate from those from the clayey ones (supported by UniFrac). Analyses of the bacterial amoA genes showed a dominance of Nitrosospira clusters 3a.1 and 3b, which was mainly due to their dominance in the clayey soils. Conversely, in the sandy soils, Nitrosospira clusters 1 and 2 were dominant. This is consistent with findings by Stephen et al. (1996), who detected a dominance of Nitrosospira cluster 3 in pH-neutral agricultural soils versus Nitrosospira cluster 2 in more acidic soils; this followed a classification of AOB clusters defined in other studies (Avrahami and Conrad, 2003).

**EXPLORING THE EFFECT OF DIFFERENT ASPECTS OF AMMONIA OXIDIZING COMMUNITIES ON NITRIFICATION RATES**

Strong correlations were found between NEA and AOA abundances (Table 2). Moreover, when studying AOA and AOB community structures, higher correlations of NEA were found with AOA than with AOB at all times (Table A3 in Appendix). In contrast, Morimoto et al. (2011) found that nitrification rates in a low-humic Andosol soil correlated more with the abundance of AOB, suggesting that the relative importance of AOA or AOB to nitrification is site-dependent. The composition of the AOA and AOB communities, and their potential niches, also play roles in soil nitrification rates. Phylogenetic analyses of archaeal amoA genes showed that the sequences retrieved were quite similar to sequences found in previous studies (Figures A3 and A4 in Appendix). Moreover, the diversity was low, as indicated from rarefaction analysis. Although no study has been able to clearly link the rate of nitrification with the presence of distinct AOA or AOB groups, it was recently reported that higher nitrification rates were observed in sediments dominated by phylogenetically more diverse archaeal amoA sequences (Wänkel et al., 2010). This goes against our findings, which revealed that higher nitrification rates occurred in less diverse soil assemblages, indicating that just a few dominant types may be responsible for the nitrification process in these soils.

**ESTABLISHING THE NOR OF NITRIFICATION IN AGRICULTURAL SOILS**

In the past decades, a lot of attention has been given to the effect of external disturbances on soil microbial communities (Me- dum, 2002; Wertz et al., 2007; Bardgett et al., 2008; Drenovsky et al., 2010; Pereira e Silva et al., 2011). For instance, the effects of alien plant species or GM plants on the sizes, structures and compositions of microbial communities (Inceoglu et al., 2011) have been addressed. This applies also to the introduction of new plant cultivars (GM or non GM), and any concomitant changes in agricultural practices, such as mechanization, different plowing regimens, planting times and pest controls, which might cause disturbances in soil microbial processes. An elegant approach to determine the effects of such factors on field soil is to evaluate whether their strength is enough to affect processes in such a way that these fall outside of what would be considered normal. In order to do so, we need to know the NOR of the process under “normal” or natural conditions. In the context of our work, we propose the definition of a NOR for agricultural soil by determining the highs and lows in selected processes relevant for ecosystem functioning. Thus, a range of soil attributes or properties (indicators) are selected, which are representative of a process that is sensitive to external drivers and easily measured and whose changes can be monitored through time, as previously suggested (Bruinsma et al., 2003; Kowalchuk et al., 2003). Second, it is crucial to take measurements from long-term datasets, possibly across several sites, to enable the capturing of environmental fluctuations that are independent of spatial and temporal scales. Third, only after implementing the appropriate NOR in a model can the concept of an overall soil NOR be fully operational.

We considered the oxidation of ammonia as such a sensitive process, and established the natural fluctuations by analyzing community structure, abundance and activity of ammonia oxidizers in eight soils over 2 year. We took into account seasonal influences, management practices, addition of fertilizers and crop rotation, representing the “normal” conditions. We observed that the drivers of the changes in structure, abundance and activity were mainly clay content and soil pH, although other soil parameters were also found to affect the structures of these communities, e.g., nitrate and ammonium. All analyses performed indicated a strong effect of soil type, roughly defined in sandy and clayey. Although this division is somewhat loose, and other factors such as pH co- vary with soil type, it enabled us to detect significant differences in the NOR. The relevance of soil type has also been found in studies focusing on macroorganisms, in which gene expression of the soil-dwelling collembolan Folsomia candida was differentially regulated in clayey versus sandy soil (de Boer et al., 2011). These results suggest that differences in chemical composition observed between sandy and clay soils are of great relevance when studying soil organisms in general, suggesting that a soil type-dependent NOR should be envisaged. One can argue that the timeframe used in our study is relatively short for definitive conclusions. However, the soils used in this study are subjected to the same agricultural practice for many years already, and thus a 2-year study was considered a sufficiently sound first step allowing the definition of the variation that might be considered normal for these sites. Moreover, from the data we may already glean a basis for a possible NOR.
Overall, the NOR of potential nitrification was also different between sandy and clayey soils, being lower and less variable over time in the sandy than in the clayey soils. Moreover, the biological and chemical parameters measured were better able to predict nitrification rates in sandy soils. Both ammonia oxidizing communities were sensitive to the parameters associated with soil type, and fluctuated differently among each other, as well as within soils with contrasting texture and pH. MWA showed the AOB communities to fluctuate more, indicating a more dynamic community with higher species turnover than AOA. Furthermore, the diversity of both communities differed greatly between sandy and clayey soils. This soil type-specific response indicated that different aspects of the bacterial and archaeal ammonia oxidizers should be taken into account when evaluating the effect of external disturbances on nitrification. Understanding the normal fluctuations of these soil communities and determining how environmental variations structure them will allow the provision of a key monitoring tool (Magurran et al., 2010). In this context, the NOR of soil functioning will allow us to define normality and to grasp the mechanisms responsible for variation, enabling us to describe the impact of perturbations on the process measured.

CONCLUSION

To be able to assess the impact of disturbances on soil microbial community structure and function, it is imperative to obtain complete knowledge of the “normal” sources of variation, the extent to which they influence soil microorganisms and the possible outcomes of this interaction. Accordingly, the collection of a large data set, like the current one, should be encouraged for both natural ecosystems and agricultural areas. These types of data will be of key relevance when evaluating the impact of GM plants or global change on soil ecosystem services. We propose here that, to establish the NOR of nitrification in agricultural soils, both the AOA and AOB abundances and community structures should be considered in addition to the nitrifying activities. The conspicuous differences regarding soil type dictate the establishment of NORs per soil type. However, field studies comparable to the current one, performed across time, remain necessary to evaluate the extent and direction of the variations that underpin the NOR of nitrification.
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## APPENDIX

### Table A1 | Soil characteristics measured in this study.

| Soil location | pH | OM (%) | Water content (%) |
|---------------|----|--------|-------------------|
|               | A1 | J1     | S1               | N1 | A2 | J2 | O2 | A1 | J1 | S1 | N1 | A2 | J2 | O2 | A1 | J1 | S1 | N1 | A2 | J2 | O2 |
| Buinen (B)    | ND | 4.4    | 4.3              | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.4 | ND | 3.6 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 11.6 | 9.8 | 4.6 | 16.2 | 13.8 | 7.5 | 12.3 |
| Valthermond (V) | 4.4 | 4.6    | 4.8              | 5.1 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 12.9 | 14.2 | 20.0 | 24.6 | 15.8 | 15.7 | 19.8 | 45.7 | 30.2 | 30.8 | 48.9 | 25.1 | 34.3 | 55.0 |
| Droevenaal (D) | 5.0 | 5.3    | 5.1              | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 2.8  | 3.4  | 2.9  | 3.6  | 2.6  | 3.3  | 2.6  | 15.1 | 14.5 | 7.7  | 17.3 | 9.5  | 16.0 | 9.4  |
| Wildekamp (W) | ND | 4.6    | 4.6              | 5.0 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | ND  | 3.3  | 3.7  | 6.8  | 4.6  | 2.7  | 3.6  | 14.4 | 19.8 | 6.9  | 19.3 | 11.5 | 22.7 | 10.2 |
| Kollumerward (K) | ND | 7.5    | 7.6              | 7.5 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.4 | ND  | 2.7  | 2.6  | 3.3  | 6.6  | 3.3  | 2.7  | 19.3 | 19.6 | 14.6 | 23.6 | 16.7 | 19.7 | 21.5 |
| Steenharst (S) | 5.7 | 5.7    | 5.5              | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.9  | 5.6  | 4.0  | 6.4  | 6.5  | 5.0  | 4.4  | 32.9 | 31.0 | 9.3  | 22.8 | 41.2 | 35.7 | 25.3 |
| Grebbedijk (G) | ND | 7.5    | 7.5              | 7.6 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 7.4 | ND  | 4.8  | 5.4  | 5.6  | 6.4  | 4.3  | 5.5  | 23.6 | 21.9 | 14.1 | 22.0 | 18.8 | 20.4 | 19.6 |
| Lelystad (L)  | 75  | 7.4    | 7.5              | 7.7 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 2.7  | 2.6  | 2.8  | 4.3  | 2.8  | 2.5  | 3.2  | 17.7 | 12.6 | 10.0 | 19.0 | 13.4 | 9.2  | 17.2 |
| Average       | 5.6 | 5.9    | 6.0              | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 6.1  | 5.0  | 5.6  | 73.6 | 6.3  | 5.0  | 5.7  | 22.5 | 19.9 | 12.3 | 23.6 | 18.8 | 20.7 | 21.3 |
| SD            | 1.3 | 1.4    | 1.3              | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2  | 4.8  | 3.9  | 5.9  | 7.1  | 4.2  | 4.4  | 5.8  | 11.5 | 7.7  | 8.2  | 10.5 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 14.7 |
| SE            | 0.4 | 0.28   | 0.3              | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3  | 1.4  | 0.8  | 1.2  | 1.5  | 0.9  | 0.9  | 1.2  | 2.3  | 1.6  | 1.7  | 2.2  | 2.1  | 2.1  | 3.0  |

### Soil characteristics measured in this study.

- **A**: April; **J**: June; **S**: September; **O**: October; and **N**: November.
- **Year 2009 and**: **Year 2010. In April only four soils were analyzed and therefore this time was excluded from further analysis.**
- **OM**: organic matter; **N-NO\(_3^–\)**: nitrate and **N-NH\(_4^+\)**: ammonium. Numbers are average of three replicates. **ND**: not determined.

### N - NO\(_3^–\) (mg kg\(^{-1}\))

| Soil location | A1 | J1 | S1 | N1 | A2 | J2 | O2 |
|---------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Buinen (B)    | ND | 165.1 | 19.0 | 48.7 | 24.5 | 103.6 | 13.4 |
| Valthermond (V) | 86.6 | 119.5 | 99.3 | 34.4 | 79.6 | 99.2 | 45.8 |
| Droevenaal (D) | 672 | 69.8 | 99.8 | 102.0 | 679 | 68.5 | 45.2 |
| Wildekamp (W) | ND | 44.2 | 24.4 | 0.4 | 39.5 | 21.7 | 6.5 |
| Kollumerward (K) | ND | 22.8 | 10.2 | 7.4 | 43.6 | 14.8 | 15.4 |
| Steenharst (S) | 126.5 | 62.4 | 19.7 | 94.4 | 103.4 | 56.5 | 28.1 |
| Grebbedijk (G) | ND | 56.1 | 19.0 | 10.1 | 49.2 | 33.6 | 4.3 |
| Lelystad (L)  | 22.1 | 9.1 | 10.1 | 49.2 | 33.6 | 4.3 | 20.3 |
| Average       | 75.6 | 63.6 | 32.9 | 43.9 | 56.4 | 476 | 24.2 |
| SD            | 43.4 | 575 | 34.7 | 39.9 | 276 | 39.6 | 14.6 |
| SE            | 12.5 | 11.7 | 7.1 | 8.2 | 5.6 | 8.1 | 2.9 |

### N - NH\(_4^+\) (mg kg\(^{-1}\))

| Soil location | A1 | J1 | S1 | N1 | A2 | J2 | O2 |
|---------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Buinen (B)    | ND | 12.1 | 26.7 | 16.7 | 10.4 | 12.8 | 4.5 |
| Valthermond (V) | 175 | 20.2 | 2.3 | 8.9 | 21.6 | 26.3 | 11.2 |
| Droevenaal (D) | 12.7 | 11.3 | 1.3 | 22.1 | 18.4 | 15.3 | 3.2 |
| Wildekamp (W) | ND | 11.1 | 2.9 | 6.9 | 10.0 | 10.9 | 4.2 |
| Kollumerward (K) | ND | 9.7 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 9.8 | 6.6 | 8.8 |
| Steenharst (S) | 14.8 | 11.0 | 2.7 | 25.1 | 17.8 | 13.4 | 5.3 |
| Grebbedijk (G) | ND | 8.8 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 19.8 | 21.2 | 4.4 |
| Lelystad (L)  | 22.1 | 9.4 | 1.4 | 29.5 | 14.9 | 10.1 | 5.3 |
| Average       | 75.6 | 63.6 | 32.9 | 43.9 | 56.4 | 476 | 24.2 |
| SD            | 43.4 | 575 | 34.7 | 39.9 | 276 | 39.6 | 14.6 |
| SE            | 12.5 | 11.7 | 7.1 | 8.2 | 5.6 | 8.1 | 2.9 |
### Table A2 | PCR and cycling conditions for PCR-DGGE analysis and real time quantification of AOA and AOB genes.

| Primers DGGE | Primer sequence (5′-3′) | Thermal conditions |
|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| amoA (AOA)   |                         |                    |
| CrenamoA23f  | ATGGTCTGGCTWAGACG       | 95˚C 5 min, 94˚C 30 s, 55˚C 30 s, 72˚C 1 min, 10 cycles |
| CrenamoA616r | GCCATCCATCTGATGTCCA      | 92˚C 30 s, 55˚C 30 s and 72˚C 1 min, 25 cycles final extension of 72˚C 10 min |
| amoA (AOB)   |                         |                    |
| CTO189f A    | GGAGRAAGGAGGATCG        | 93˚C, 60 s         |
| CTO189f B    | GGAGRAAGGAGGATCG        | 92˚C 30 s, 57˚C 1 min, 68˚C 45 s, 35 cycles final extension of 68˚C 5 min |
| CTO189f C    | GGAGGAAAGTATGGGATCG    | 93˚C, 60 s         |
| CTO654r (Kovalchuk et al., 1997) | CTAGCTTGTAGTTTTAACG | 92˚C 30 s, 57˚C 1 min, 68˚C 45 s, 35 cycles final extension of 68˚C 5 min |
| P3 (357f; Muyzer et al., 1993) | ATTCGGGCAATCGAGGAGGCGCAG | 92˚C 30 s, 57˚C 1 min, 68˚C 45 s, 35 cycles final extension of 68˚C 5 min |

| Primers real time PCR | Primer sequence (5′-3′) | Thermal conditions |
|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| amoA (AOA)           |                         |                    |
| amo23F (Tourna et al., 2008) | ATGGTCTGGCTWAGACG       | 95˚C, 10 min, 1 cycle |
| CrenamoA616r48x (Nicol et al., 2008) | GCCATCCACBRTANGTCCA   | 94˚C for 45 s, 50˚C for 45 s, 72˚C for 45 s, 39 cycles |
| amoA (AOB)           |                         |                    |
| amoA-1F (Stephen et al., 1999) | GGGGTCTTACTGGTGTTG   | 95˚C for 10 min, 1 cycle |
| amoA-2R (Rotthauwe et al., 1997) | CCCTCGGSAAGCCTTCCTTC | 94˚C for 1 min, 60˚C for 1 min, 72˚C for 1 min, 39 cycles |

### Table A3 | Correlations between the similarity matrices of community structure AOA and AOB, soil chemical parameters (pH, N - NH₄⁺, N - NO₃⁻, OM %, clay content % and water content %) and NEA (relate analysis; NEA from September 2009 to October 2010) obtained with Primer-E (BEST test), for all sampling times.

| NEA (μgN h⁻¹ gdw⁻¹) | Soil type (ANOSIM) | pH (CaCl₂) | N - NH₄⁺ (mg⁻¹ kg) | N - NO₃⁻ (mg⁻¹ kg) | OM (%) | Clay (%) | Humidity (%) |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|------|--------|------------|
| AOA DGGE             |                    |           |                    |                    |      |        |            |
| June 2009            | NA                 | 0.74**   | 0.18**             | 0.12**             | 0.31*** | 0.16* | 0.67** | 0.09* |
| Sept 2009            | 0.49***            | 0.63***  | 0.62**             | 0.36**             | 0.20** | 0.19** | 0.37** | 0.15* |
| Nov 2009             | 0.39***            | 0.57***  | 0.54***            | 0.33***            | 0.12*  | 0.12*  | 0.35** | 0.21** |
| April 2010           | 0.28***            | 0.97***  | 0.59***            | 0.13*              | 0.33*** | 0.23*** | 0.23*** | 0.33*** |
| June 2010            | 0.25***            | 0.50***  | 0.46***            | 0.16**             | 0.38*** | NS     | 0.18** | NS    |
| October 2010         | 0.38***            | 0.79***  | 0.30**             | 0.23*              | 0.15** | 0.17** | 0.13*  | 0.22** |

| AOB DGGE             |                    |           |                    |                    |      |        |            |
| June 2009            | NA                 | 0.16**   | 0.24***            | 0.33***            | 0.29*** | 0.23*** | 0.10** | NS     |
| Sept 2009            | 0.19**             | 0.21***  | 0.29***            | 0.30***            | NS    | NS     | 0.25**  | NS    |
| Nov 2009             | 0.25**             | 0.10*    | 0.14**             | 0.09**             | NS    | NS     | NS     | NS    |
| April 2010           | 0.23***            | 0.37***  | 0.31***            | NS                 | NS    | 0.19*  | 0.25**  | NS    |
| June 2010            | 0.37***            | 0.54*    | 0.44***            | 0.23*              | 0.29*** | 0.25**  | 0.42**  | NS    |
| October 2010         | 0.17***            | 0.25**   | 0.41***            | NS                 | 0.15*  | NS     | NS     | NS    |

ANOSIM, analysis of similarities; NEA, ammonia oxidizing enzyme activity; AOA, ammonia oxidizing archaeal; AOB, ammonia oxidizing bacteria; DGGE, denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis; NA, not analyzed; NS, not significant; ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.01; *0.01 < P < 0.05. In April 2009 only four soils were measured and were therefore excluded from further analysis.

The relationship between soil physico-chemical parameters and the community structure of ammonia oxidizers were obtained Global Best test, and the effect of soil type by ANOSIM. Values are Global R values (sample statistic). Correlations between NEA and the community structure of ammonia oxidizers were obtained by RELATE analysis, where values are Spearman’s Rho values (sample statistic).
FIGURE A1 | Biplots of canonical correspondence analysis of archaeal amoA gene (A) and beta-proteobacterial 16S rRNA gene (B) from DGGE data obtained from four sandy soils over 2 years. Physico-chemical data, soil moisture (Humidity), soil nitrate (N-NO₃), soil ammonium (N-NH₄), organic matter (OM), clay content (clay), soil pH (pH) are presented with black arrows.
FIGURE A2 | Biplots of canonical correspondence analysis of archaeal amoA gene (A) and beta-proteobacterial 16S rRNA gene (B) from DGGE data obtained from four clayey soils over 2 years. Physico-chemical data, soil moisture (Humidity), soil nitrate (N-NO₃), soil ammonium (N-NH₄), organic matter (OM), clay content (clay), soil pH (pH) are presented with black arrows.
FIGURE A3 | Phylogenetic analysis of 625 archaeal amoA partial amino acid sequences retrieved in this study from the eight soils. Sequences from sandy soils are represented in black and sequences from clayey soils in gray. Clades were classified according to Nicol et al. (2008). Pie charts represent the percentage of sequences found in sandy and clayey soil and N values are total number of sequences found in the clade. Bootstrap support (>50) represent values from Neighbor-joining, using JTT substitution model (1000 replicates and 8 gamma rates; expressed as percentage). First letters represent the soil of origin followed by the number of clones found in that specific soil. Reference sequences are in bold described as "Name (accession number)." The tree was rooted with two sequences within the deep marine water clade.
FIGURE A4 | Phylogenetic analysis of 583 bacterial amoA partial amino acid sequences retrieved in this from the eight soils. Sequences from sandy soils are represented in black and sequences from clayey soils in gray. Clades were classified according to Zhang et al. (2009). Pie charts represent the percentage of sequences found in sandy and clayey soil and N values are total number of sequences found in the clade. Bootstrap support (>50) represent values from Neighbor-joining, using JTT substitution model (1000 replicates and 8 gamma rates, expressed as percentage). First letters represent the soil of origin followed by the number of clones found in that specific soil. Reference sequences are in bold described as “Name (accession number).” The tree was rooted with four sequences within the Nitrosomonas clade.
FIGURE A5 | Rarefaction curves of observed operational taxonomic units (OTU) based on archaeal (A) and bacterial (B) amoA sequences retrieved from the eight soils, determined by DOTUR (Schloss and Handelsman, 2006). See legend from Figure 1 for soil names.