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The Extracellular Matrix Component Psl Provides Fast-Acting Antibiotic Defense in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Biofilms
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Abstract

Bacteria within biofilms secrete and surround themselves with an extracellular matrix, which serves as a first line of defense against antibiotic attack. Polysaccharides constitute major elements of the biofilm matrix and are implied in surface adhesion and biofilm organization, but their contributions to the resistance properties of biofilms remain largely elusive. Using a combination of static and continuous-flow biofilm experiments we show that Psl, one major polysaccharide in the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm matrix, provides a generic first line of defense toward antibiotics with diverse biochemical properties during the initial stages of biofilm development. Furthermore, we show with mixed-strain experiments that antibiotic-sensitive "non-producing" cells lacking Psl can gain tolerance by integrating into Psl-containing biofilms. However, non-producers dilute the protective capacity of the matrix and hence, excessive incorporation can result in the collapse of resistance of the entire community. Our data also reveal that Psl mediated protection is extendible to *E. coli* and *S. aureus* in co-culture biofilms. Together, our study shows that Psl represents a critical first bottleneck to the antibiotic attack of a biofilm community early in biofilm development.

Introduction

Hydrogels have broad applications in nature and form the basis of vital selective barriers such as mucus, the tissue extracellular matrix, and nuclear pores [1]. One important hydrogel barrier is found in the extracellular matrix of bacterial biofilms [2–4]. The biofilm matrix is secreted by, and surrounds, bacteria within a biofilm. It confers adhesion to substrates and between the cells [5,6], but it also serves as a selective filter, allowing the entry of nutrients [2,7] while delaying passage of certain antimicrobials [8–10]. The biofilm matrix is essential for bacterial defense against environmental insults, yet the components and mechanisms that govern its selectivity for small molecules, such as nutrients, toxins, or antimicrobials, are still largely unknown.

The biofilm matrix is composed of diverse macromolecules including proteins, extracellular DNA, and lipids. In addition, like many other hydrogel barriers [11–15], the biofilm matrix contains different types of polysaccharides. The biological function of sugars outside metabolism is poorly understood: controlling the filtration properties of hydrogels may be one of their central functions. Indeed, alterations in polysaccharide composition and concentration correlate with biofilm development. During initial stages of biofilm formation, exopolysaccharides facilitate surface and cell-to-cell attachment. As the biofilm matures, exopolysaccharide production increases and diversifies, and contributes to the generation of microcolony formation and more complex architecture [16]. Alterations in polysaccharide composition also contribute to changes in biofilm antibiotic resistance [17,18]. Overall, the presence of a biofilm matrix, can lead to increased resistance to antimicrobials and the host immune system simply not observed in their free-swimming counterparts [2]. As a result, biofilms can cause particularly devastating chronic infections or facilitate life-threatening nosocomial infections in short time courses [19–24]. A biofilm’s resilience to eradication can also cause significant damage in environmental and industrial settings, such as on ship hulls [23] and water pipeline systems [26].

Here, we investigate the role of individual polysaccharides on the permeability of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm matrix to antibiotics. The gram-negative bacterium *P. aeruginosa* is an avid biofilm former that is implicated in both chronic and acute infections [27]. It represents an ideal model system to unravel the barrier function of the biofilm matrix, because several components of its matrix have been identified and partly characterized [18,28–33]. In addition, clinical and environmental isolates with varying compositions of exopolysaccharides are available, allowing a direct comparison between extracellular defenses evolved in nature and those formed by synthetically derived laboratory strains [34–38]. *P. aeruginosa* produces three major exopolysaccharides found within the matrix: alginate, Pel, and Psl. In the laboratory strains WT PAO1 and WT PA14, alginate is not a critical matrix component...
Author Summary

Many bacteria have the ability to form multicellular communities, termed biofilms. An important characteristic of a biofilm is the ability of cells to synthesize and secrete an extracellular matrix. This matrix offers structural support, community organization, and added protection, often making the cells impervious to desiccation, predation, and antimicrobials. In this study, we investigate the contributions of polysaccharide components found in the extracellular matrix of Pseudomonas aeruginosa at progressive stages in biofilm development. We first show that one specific polysaccharide, Psl, provides an added defense for P. aeruginosa biofilms against antimicrobials of different properties for young biofilms. Then, by cultivating biofilms that contain both Psl producing and Psl non-producing strains, we find that P. aeruginosa, E. coli, and S. aureus species that lack Psl take advantage of the protection offered by cells producing Psl. Collectively, the data indicate that Psl is likely to play a key protective role in early development of P. aeruginosa biofilm associated infections.

[28]. However, alginate overproduction is a characteristic of mucoid clinical isolates found in the cystic fibrosis lung [39,40]. Alginate is comprised of blocks of β-1,4-linked d-mannuronic acid residues and its 5-epimer l-guluronic acid [41,42]. Pel, a glucose rich exopolysaccharide, is important for air-liquid interface pellicle formation [31,32] and provides a structural scaffold during micro- and macro-colony formation in WT PAO1 biofilms [18,43]. The charge-neutral exopolysaccharide Psl is comprised of D-mannose, D-glucose, and L-rhamnose arranged in pentasaccharide repeats and provides structural support during biofilm formation, playing a role in both cell to cell and cell to substrate attachment [29,30,43].

To dissect the contributions of individual polysaccharides to the matrix barrier at selected time points, we use antibiotic tolerance as a reporter. Clinically relevant antibiotics with different charges and mechanisms of action were selected for this study. By comparing the efficacy of antibiotics against biofilms formed by strains that lack different matrix components, we can assess the importance of each polysaccharide in providing tolerance to a specific antibiotic. We found in both static and continuous-flow biofilm experiments, that genetic depletion of Psl result in sensitization toward a range of antibiotics for young biofilms, suggesting that Psl is a critical determinant for the resistance properties of the biofilm matrix at initial developmental stages. We also show that cells devoid of Psl (P. aeruginosa Δpsl, S. aureus, and E. coli) can co-exist with Psl-containing biofilms and effectively increase their tolerance. We speculate that Psl can inhibit the function of a range of charged antibiotics by sequestering them, and that removal of Psl in a clinical setting inhibit the function of a range of charged antibiotics by effectively increase their tolerance. We speculate that Psl can inhibit the function of a range of charged antibiotics by effectively increase their tolerance.

Results and Discussion

To dissect the contribution of individual polysaccharides to the matrix barrier function we first tested their role in tolerance toward the antibiotic colistin, a critical last-resort antibiotic for multidrug resistant P. aeruginosa [44,45]. Colistin belongs to the family of polymyxin cationic antimicrobial peptides, which acts by disrupting the cell membrane [44]. Since it is critical to address infections at initial onset, particularly in burn and wound cases, we examined the contribution of polysaccharide components at early stages of biofilm development. [10,18]. One important part of our protocol is to examine the killing effect of colistin upon short exposure (2-hour). This exposure period is significantly shorter than standard over-night and 24-hour treatments [18,46,47] and approximates the time an antibiotic is available during a one-time treatment before it is metabolized or digested [40]. This is in contrast to other studies that analyze the roles of P. aeruginosa exopolysaccharides toward antibiotic tolerance over longer exposure times in more mature biofilms [10,18].

Using a microtiter plate assay [31,49], we determined the minimal colistin concentration required to kill biofilms (the minimal bactericidal concentration for biofilms, MBC-B) formed by wild type PAO1 (WT). Experiments were repeated for strains lacking expression of either of the three identified P. aeruginosa exopolysaccharides, alginate (ΔalgD), pel (ΔpelA), and psl (ΔpslAB). Fig. 1A shows that 63 μg/ml colistin were needed to eradicate WT PAO1 biofilms, whereas only 15 μg/ml were required to eradicate biofilms lacking Psl, which was more than a four-fold decrease in MBC-B in the absence of Psl. In contrast, the MBC-B for alginate-free biofilms (ΔalgD) and Pel-free biofilms (Δpel) were not significantly different from the MBC-B for the wild type. This suggests that Psl, but not Pel or alginate, can form a first line of defense against colistin for short-term antibiotic for 24-hour biofilms.

Colistin sensitivity was not altered for cells lacking a functional algD gene product. This result is somewhat expected because alginate is not abundantly expressed in WT PAO1 in vitro laboratory models early in biofilm development [28]. We are therefore cautious in the interpretation of this result. The lack of Pel was previously shown to sensitize 24 to 48-hour biofilms to aminoglycosides in the laboratory strain PA14, but not for WT PAO1, consistent with the results presented here [18]. In parallel to the MBC-B assay, which reveals the concentration required to eradicate all cells in biofilm, we also determined the reduction in viable colony forming units (CFUs) before and after exposure to a fixed concentration of antibiotic. Biofilms were exposed to 32 μg/ml colistin for two hours (Figure S1A) and viable CFUs were quantified on agar plates. At this concentration of colistin, ΔpslAB cells were eradicated, whereas WT PAO1, ΔalgD, and ΔpelA biofilms were able to persist. This line of experiments confirmed our conclusion that Psl can mediate protection against colistin for 24-hour biofilms.

To examine the contribution of each polysaccharide also in more mature biofilms, we assessed the sensitivity to colistin of biofilms that had grown for 48 and 72 hours. These results show that Psl exerts a protective effect for 24-hour old biofilms, but did not greatly influence biofilm susceptibility after 48 and 72 hours of maturation (Figure S1A). Additionally, these data show that neither alg nor pel are critical for biofilm tolerance toward colistin at any time point of development tested here (Figure S1A).

ΔpslAB cells form biofilms more slowly than wild type cells [30,50] and have a reduced total biomass compared to WT PAO1 (Figure 2A, S3). Hence, to address the possibility that increased colistin sensitivity for ΔpslAB biofilms was caused by lower cell numbers, rather than an altered matrix composition, we determined the MBC-B for WT and ΔpslAB biofilms at multiple time points during early biofilm development. Figure 2B illustrates that the MBC-Bs after 2-hour colistin exposure were independent of biofilm age and, for WT, remained constant for 6, 12, 18 and 24-hour biofilms. Together these results suggest that the increased sensitivity to colistin of the ΔpslAB biofilms was not due to fewer cells present in the biofilm, but, rather, to the lack of Psl in the biofilm matrix, which in turn appears to affect the interaction of the antibiotic with the cells.
**Figure 1.** The exopolysaccharide Psl promotes *P. aeruginosa* biofilm tolerance to both cationic and anionic antibiotics. Results of the MBC-B assay reveal that removal of Psl increases sensitivity to positively charged colistin (A) and tobramycin (B) and polymyxin B (C). In addition, removal of Psl sensitizes biofilms to negatively charged ciprofloxacin (D). (*) indicates statistical significance from WT PAO1 as determined by a student’s t-test (P<0.05). Error bars represent SEM (n=3).

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003526.g001

To determine if Psl tolerance against colistin is effective only if cells are within a biofilm, we examined the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of colistin for *WT* and ΔpslAB stationary phase planktonic cells normalized to the same cell density. Even in the planktonic state, Psl is constitutively expressed and localizes to the cell surface in WT PAO1 [51]. We found a 4-fold reduction in tolerance to colistin for planktonic ΔpslAB (Table 1). Although this shift in sensitivity is not as pronounced as in the biofilm state, this data suggests that Psl may contribute to protection for planktonic cells, even in the absence of any protective structure and changes in cellular physiology that arise from the biofilm. Differences in MIC relative to WT PAO1 were not observed for planktonic ΔalgD or ΔpelA (Table 1).

Is the barrier effect of Psl specific to colistin or does it extend to other clinically relevant antibiotics? To address this question we tested if the loss of Psl would also affect sensitivity toward another cationic antimicrobial peptide, polymyxin B. We also compared the tolerance of the synthetically derived ΔpslAB to a strain that naturally lacks Psl (PA14). PA14 does not produce Psl owing to a 3-gene deletion in the *psl* operon [32].

If a deletion of Psl renders biofilms more sensitive to the antibiotics tested here, then we would expect that elevated levels of Psl have the opposite effect and increase antibiotic tolerance. To test this we used a strain derived from WT PAO1 where the native *psl* promoter was replaced with an arabinose-inducible promoter (*BAD*) [54]. 24-hour PA14 biofilms were with a MBC-B of 24 μg/ml in dependence of the level of Psl overexpression (Figure 3A, B). This result was confirmed for colistin, polymyxin B, and tobramycin with antibiotic sensitivity assays (S1A, B; S2A) at 24 hours.

We also compared the tolerance of the synthetically derived ΔpslAB to a strain that naturally lacks Psl (PA14). PA14 does not produce Psl owing to a 3-gene deletion in the *psl* operon [32]. The lack of Psl in the PA14 matrix was confirmed by staining of the biofilms with fluorescently labeled HHA lectin, which binds to Psl [54] (Figure S4). 24-hour PA14 biofilms were with a MBC-B of 24 μg/ml similarly sensitive to colistin as ΔpslAB (Figure 3A). This result was supported with viability counts for cells exposed to colistin and polymyxin B (Figure S1A, B). Notably, more mature PA14 biofilms at 48 and 72 hours had developed an increased tolerance to colistin and polymyxin B, similar to ΔpslAB at these later time points (Figure S1A, B). However, in contrast to ΔpslAB biofilms, PA14 biofilms at 24 hours were more tolerant to the aminoglycoside tobramycin. This is in agreement with a previous report, which demonstrated that the Pel rich matrix of PA14 provides protection against aminoglycoside antibiotics [18].

In the converse experiment we measured colistin tolerance of CF127, a natural isolate that secretes increased levels of Psl.

48 hours when treated with tobramycin or colistin, and also at 72 hours when treated with tobramycin. Together, these results suggest that Psl not only protects cells from colistin, but also can suppress the function of additional antibiotics at initial stages of biofilm development.
compared to WT PAO1 [38]. The CF127 biofilm grows in distinct microcolonies (Figure S4), and staining with HHA lectin [54] showed that Psl localizes to the CF127 microcolonies (Figure S4). The MBC-B of CF127 toward colistin was 125 µg/ml and hence, comparable to that of the overproducing PBAD- psl strain (Figure S3A). Interestingly, the increased colistin tolerance of CF127 compared to WT PAO1 was not apparent in viability counts (Figure S1A,B; S2A,B). We speculate that structural differences of CF127 biofilms may result in antibiotic tolerance to a sub-population of cells within these structures, which are not resolved in the viability assay.

To obtain mechanistic insight into Psl mediated protection, we considered the possibility that Psl may directly sequester antibiotics to the matrix and thereby limit its access to the cell surface. We compared WT PAO1, PA14, ΔpslAB, PBAD- psl, and CF127 biofilms subjected to 5 µg/ml fluorescent polymyxin B after 2 hours of exposure. In the presence of PBAD- psl cells the antibiotic distributed along a fibrous matrix heterogeneously throughout the biofilm matrix, and also associated with matrix material in planktonic culture (Figure 4 and S5). The fibrous material was less pronounced for WT PAO1, where the localization of polymyxin B was distributed diffusely within the biofilm (Figure 4). This distribution of matrix associated polymyxin B was not observed with the Psl deficient ΔpslAB strain or PA14. (Figure 4 and S5). Here, fluorescence was detected in close vicinity of the cell periphery, suggesting that polymyxin B may be interacting with the cell membrane. Of note, polymyxin B localized to the periphery of CF127 microcolonies, but was not observed within the structure.

The binding of fluorescent polymyxin B to the biofilm matrix may, in part, result from electrostatic interactions with the matrix components. To probe for such interactions, we performed antibiotic sensitivity assays at varying ionic strengths through the addition of NaCl to the challenge medium (Figure 5). In growth medium or buffer, charged polymers interact with dissolved ions, which to some extent, form a shell of opposite charges around the molecules. This screening of electrostatic interactions becomes more pronounced with increasing salt concentrations and as a result, the ionic strength in the system will influence the interaction between matrix polymers and diffusing molecules. Specifically, if electrostatic interactions occur between the Psl matrix and the antibiotic molecules, an increase in NaCl concentration may affect these interactions. The challenge medium with 32 µg/ml colistin and no further addition of NaCl reduced the amount of viable cells in a 24-hour WT PAO1 biofilm by nearly one half of the total population. However, in the presence of a challenge medium that contained 32 µg/ml colistin and 50 mM NaCl, the total biofilm population was eradicated (Figure 5A). Similar effects were observed for positively charged antibiotics polymyxin B, and tobramycin with a higher concentration of NaCl (250 mM; Figure 5B–C), but not for the negatively charged ciprofloxacin (Figure 5D). We conclude that electrostatic interactions may partly contribute to the sequestration of the antibiotics by the Psl matrix, and that high ionic strength can suppress these interactions, potentially leading to an increased efficacy of the antibiotics. We note that Psl itself is neutrally charged [29], hence, it is conceivable that Psl functions when complexed to other matrix components that could provide the negative charge.

In many environments biofilms grow under flow conditions and these may affect the biofilms’ barrier properties. To address the role of flow on our findings, we assessed antibiotic susceptibility to colistin in a flow-through microfluidic device. The killing dynamics were examined as biofilms were exposed to 20 µg/ml colistin in a flow-through microfluidic device. The killing dynamics were examined as biofilms were exposed to 20 µg/ml colistin in a flow-through microfluidic device. The killing dynamics were examined as biofilms were exposed to 20 µg/ml colistin in a flow-through microfluidic device. The killing dynamics were examined as biofilms were exposed to 20 µg/ml colistin in a flow-through microfluidic device.

Table 1. The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of colistin for stationary phase cells normalized to equal cell density, and the minimum bactericidal concentration of colistin for biofilms (MBC-B) after a 2 hour exposure.

| Strain  | MIC (colistin µg/ml) | MBC-B (colistin µg/ml) |
|---------|---------------------|------------------------|
| PAO1    | 12                  | 63                     |
| PA14    | 12                  | 24                     |
| ΔpslAB  | 3                   | 15                     |
| ΔalgD   | 12                  | 63                     |
| ΔpelA   | 12                  | 78                     |
| PBAD- psl | 12                  | 125                    |
| PBAD- psl (un-induced) | 6           | 24                     |
| CF127   | 12                  | 125                    |

Figure 2. Psl protection is independent of biofilm age up to 24 hours. (A) Cell number count for WT PAO1 and ΔpslAB at 6, 12, 18, and 24 hours in biofilm development (B) The MBC-B of WT PAO1 and ΔpslAB at 6, 12, 18, and 24 hours suggest that sensitivity to antibiotics was the result of modulating the matrix and not a consequence of cell number in immature biofilms. Error bars represent SEM (n = 3). doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003526.g002
shows increased tolerance against colistin compared to a WT biofilm (Figure 6B).

PsI is an extracellular product potentially accessible to foreign cells that are natively devoid of this polymer and hence are, by themselves, more sensitive to antibiotic attack. If non-producing cells are able to coexist with the PsI producers they may be able to exploit the protection by PsI and gain tolerance. This scenario could be relevant in natural settings, where biofilms are often not limited to a single strain or species [55,56]. We first determined whether ΔpsIAB cells and the PsI overproducing pBAD-psl cells could form co-strain biofilms. For this experiment we expressed the fluorescent protein mCherry in ΔpsIAB cells, mixed them with pBAD-psl cells to form a co-strain biofilm. Figure 7A shows that ΔpsIAB cells (red) can indeed grow inside a “PsI donor” biofilm, even if they were incorporated less effectively than the pBAD-psl cells and therefore represent a smaller proportion of the biofilm.

One reason for this is the delay of the ΔpsIAB cells to attach and mature into biofilms due to their lack of PsI [30] (Figure S3).

The presence of non-producers was not without effect for the entire biofilm, as it weakened the biofilm’s tolerance capacity (Figure 7B). We inoculated biofilms with different ratios of ΔpsIAB and pBAD-psl cells, and measured the MBC-B for each emerging biofilm. Figure 7B shows that the sensitivity of the composite biofilm toward colistin increased in proportion to the amount of ΔpsIAB cells present in the initial inoculum. This result suggests that the inclusion of non-producers can reduce the tolerance of the entire biofilm, and that a critical amount of exopolysaccharides per cell is needed for effective protection.

While compromising the overall protective effect from PsI over-producers by becoming part of their biofilm, ΔpsIAB cells could benefit from the access to the protective exopolysaccharides. We tested if ΔpsIAB cells within a pBAD-psl biofilm would survive higher concentrations of colistin than their counterparts growing in a monoculture. Within a monoculture, ΔpsIAB biofilms could survive colistin concentrations at 4 μg/ml (Figure 7C). In contrast, as part of a joint biofilm with PsI donors, ΔpsIAB cells were able to survive colistin concentrations up to 32 μg/ml, which would normally kill them (Figure 7C). How many ΔpsIAB cells the biofilm was able to host without reducing the effective PsI-mediated protection depended on the density of the antibiotic attack. By scanning a range of antibiotic concentrations and counting the number of ΔpsIAB cells that survived treatment, we found that at an antibiotic concentration of 8 μg/ml the biofilm contained 13% ΔpsIAB cells, while at 32 μg/ml concentration this fraction dropped to 3% (Figure 7C). Thus, ΔpsIAB cells can benefit from interacting with pBAD-psl cells, even if at the expense of the performance of the PsI-donors. This implies that certain species that lack protective capacity may become more tolerant to therapy as part of mixed-species biofilms.

Biofilms associated with infections are frequently co-populated by multiple species [57–60]. Hence, one important question is if PsI can affect the viability of species that coexist within Pseudomonas biofilms. Both gram-negative E. coli and gram-positive Staphylococcus aureus colonize wounds [61–64] and are hence good candidates to address this question. First, we tested if E. coli and S. aureus form mixed species biofilms when co-cultured with pBAD-psl and ΔpsIAB, respectively (Figure 8A, B, E, F). E. coli readily formed biofilms at the air-liquid interface (Figure 8E) as a monoculture and when co-cultured with P. aeruginosa. S. aureus formed biofilms at the bottom of a 96 well plate in the absence of P. aeruginosa. However, when co-cultured with P. aeruginosa, S. aureus was incorporated into the air-liquid interface biofilm.

To determine if PsI could provide any advantage for E. coli, we quantified E. coli sensitivity to 32 μg/ml colistin in the presence and absence of PsI-producing cells. As a monospecies biofilm or when incorporated in a ΔpsIAB biofilm, E. coli was eradicated by this concentration of colistin (Figure 8C). However, when grown together with the pBAD-psl, E. coli viability was only mildly compromised by the same treatment (Figure 8C), suggesting that E. coli can benefit from the protective effects of Pseudomonas-derived PsI. Supporting this result was the MBC-B assay, which shows that the presence of pBAD-psl enhanced tolerance of E. coli to 104 μg/ml of colistin (Figure S8A). A similar conclusion might be drawn for S. aureus: the monoculture was eradicated with 1 μg/ml of tobramycin and substantially decreased with 0.5 μg/ml, but the cells survived even 1 μg/ml of tobramycin when co-cultured with Pseudomonas (Figures 8D). When assessing viable CFUs (Fig. 8D), the protection from the PsI overproducing pBAD-psl strain was only slightly higher compared to the protection from the ΔpsIAB strain. However, the difference becomes clearer in the MBC-B data.
Figure 4. Polymyxin B interacts with the Psl extracellular matrix. Images of WT PAO1, PA14, ΔpslAB, P_{BAD}psl, and CF127 biofilms subjected to 5 μg/ml fluorescent polymyxin B after 2 hours of exposure. Polymyxin B accumulates in the extracellular material of P_{BAD}psl and is less pronounced in WT PAO1 biofilms. Fluorescent Polymyxin B did not localize to the matrix in PA14 or ΔpslAB biofilms, but instead closely associated with the cell surface. For CF127, Polymyxin B was distributed around the periphery of microcolonies within the biofilm. Scale bars represent 10 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003526.g004

Figure 5. Ionic strength of the challenge medium influences biofilm susceptibility to positively charged antibiotics. Bacterial survival was assessed for WT PAO1, PA14, ΔpslAB, and P_{BAD}psl after exposure to colistin (A), polymyxin B (B), tobramycin (C), and ciprofloxacin (D) in the presence or without NaCl. By increasing the ionic strength of the challenge medium with NaCl, electrostatic interactions are predicted to be reduced, leading to an increased efficacy of the positively charged antibiotics colistin, polymyxin B, and tobramycin, but not of ciprofloxacin. Error bars represent SD (n = 3).

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003526.g005
which shows that *S. aureus* can tolerate a higher concentration of tobramycin in *P*<sub>BAD</sub>-*psl* biofilms than in *D*<sub>pslAB</sub> biofilms, or as monoculture (Figure S8B).

The extracellular matrix in biofilms has long been implicated as a barrier for protection [65], but its exact contribution to resistance is not clear. One reason for this is that the bulk of methods to measure resistance are based on the exposure of cells to antibiotics over long time scales (over-night to 48 hours) [10,18,46]. This allows for many cell divisions to occur, giving the cells time to build adaptive mechanisms at the cellular or genetic level. However, these studies may mask any contribution from a physical barrier, which should be apparent at much shorter time scales; if the matrix acts as a true physical shield then matrix-embedded bacteria should show immediate tolerance on exposure to antibiotics.

To focus on the physical barrier effects of the matrix we tested the short-term tolerance response of bacteria, and the contribution of the known matrix polysaccharides within. We found that Psl can provide instant defense and contributes to protecting cells from the action of a broad spectrum of antibiotics with diverse biochemical properties. Psl provides a measure of protection from cationic antimicrobial peptides (colistin, polymyxin B), tobramycin, and to some extent ciprofloxacin. Importantly, this protection is observed in early stages of biofilm development but does not have a profound effect at later time points (48, 72 hour biofilms). As the biofilm continues to develop into the characteristic mushroom shaped microcolonies [18,30,43] resulting in spatio-temporal changes in the matrix [43,51], we conclude that different barrier properties arise from the biofilm structure and other polymers which may be redundant to, or dominate over, Psl function.

Supporting our data on the protective effect of Psl is a recent report that shows that strains producing Psl are capable of growth and biofilm formation in the presence of the anti-biofilm agent Polysorbate 80, a non-ionic surfactant [50]. Psl is found in two forms in the matrix, where large molecular weight oligosaccharide repeats localize around the cell surface [30] and smaller, soluble fractions are distributed throughout the matrix [29]. Based on the localization results of fluorescent polymyxin B, it is possible that the polymer attracts the small antibiotic molecules by direct interaction, as has been proposed for alginate [66,67] and *ndvB*.
encoded periplasmic glucans \[10,68\] or reduces affinity of antibiotics to the cell surface. In support of an interaction mechanism, we also show that this attraction may be attributed to, in part, by electrostatic interactions between the antibiotics and the biofilm matrix since the addition of NaCl sensitizes cells with a Psl rich matrix to positively charged antibiotics. Further, the presence of Psl could contribute to indirect effects on antibiotic tolerance such as limiting the diffusion of oxygen or other nutrients, contributing to a more dormant cellular state. However, it is important to note that we did not detect a difference in growth rate for any of the strains. Nevertheless, deciphering the barrier mechanism of Psl may inspire solutions to some vexing treatment challenges in medicine at the initial stages of biofilm associated infections in burns and wounds, where early treatment for bacterial eradication is imperative.

An external barrier as the sole defense mechanism is probably risky, as its capacity to sequester molecules is likely limited. However, such a fast-acting physical barrier may offer cells enough time to build up synergistic and longer-term defense systems. The presence of a physical barrier also implies that it is potentially accessible to more sensitive bacterial species that would otherwise succumb to antibiotic exposure. Our \textit{in vitro} system highlights the possibility that interaction with a protective matrix can render a sensitive strain resistant. Importantly, we observed that Psl mediated protection is extendable to \textit{E. coli} biofilms co-cultured with \textit{P. aeruginosa} \textit{D}_{pslAB}. The addition of 32 \(\mu\)g/ml of colistin eradicated monospecies and \textit{D}_{pslAB} \textit{E. coli} mixed species biofilms; however, \textit{E. coli} cells co-cultured with \textit{P}_{BAD-psl} were protected (C). Similar effects were observed for \textit{S. aureus}, although a protective effect was also observed with \textit{D}_{pslAB} cells (D). \textit{E. coli} cells were identified via expression of GFP while \textit{P. aeruginosa} cells were non-fluorescent (E). \textit{S. aureus} cells were identified with hehidium iodide (red) whereas \textit{P. aeruginosa} cells were stained with Syto 9 (green) (F). Scale bars represent 5 \(\mu\)m (E) and 10 \(\mu\)m (F).

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003526.g008

\textbf{Figure 8.} Psl provides a protective advantage for \textit{E. coli} and \textit{S. aureus}. Both \textit{E. coli} (A, E) and \textit{S. aureus} (B, F) form mixed species biofilms with \textit{D}_{pslAB} and \textit{P}_{BAD-psl}. \textit{E. coli} did not require the presence of \textit{P. aeruginosa} to form a biofilm at the liquid-air interface on polystyrene (E); however, \textit{S. aureus} formed a biofilm at the liquid-air interface only in the presence of \textit{P. aeruginosa} (F). Psl-mediated protection was extendable to \textit{E. coli} biofilms co-cultured with \textit{P}_{BAD-psl}. The addition of 32 \(\mu\)g/ml of colistin eradicated monospecies and \textit{D}_{pslAB} \textit{E. coli} mixed species biofilms; however, \textit{E. coli} cells co-cultured with \textit{P}_{BAD-psl} were protected (C). Similar effects were observed for \textit{S. aureus}, although a protective effect was also observed with \textit{D}_{pslAB} cells (D). \textit{E. coli} cells were identified via expression of GFP while \textit{P. aeruginosa} cells were non-fluorescent (E). \textit{S. aureus} cells were identified with hexidium iodide (red) whereas \textit{P. aeruginosa} cells were stained with Syto 9 (green) (F). Scale bars represent 5 \(\mu\)m (E) and 10 \(\mu\)m (F).

\textbf{Materials and Methods}

\textbf{Strains, culture conditions, and antibiotics}

The \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} strains used in this study are as follows: laboratory wild type \textit{PAO1}, laboratory wild type strain \textit{PA14}, \textit{PAO1}_{A\delta lAB} (Psl deficient), and \textit{PAO1-}_{P_{BAD-psl}} (over-producing Psl), \textit{PAO1}_{A\delta lD}, \textit{PAO1}_{A\delta lI}, and cystic fibrosis isolate CF127. The mutant strains \textit{PAO1}_{A\delta lAB}, \textit{PAO1-}_{P_{BAD-psl}}, and \textit{PAO1}_{A\delta lD} were a generous gift of Daniel J. Wozniak. \textit{PAO1}_{A\delta lI} and cystic fibrosis isolate CF127 were a generous gift of Matthew R. Parsek. Other strains include \textit{E. coli} EMG2 constitutively expressing GFP from pBBR1(MCS5)-\textit{P}_{lac-gfp} and \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} UAMS-1 and were used for co-culture experiments. Details and references for all strains can be found in Text S1. All of the \textit{P.}}
*P. aeruginosa* strains and *E. coli* EMG2 were cultured in 1% Tryptone Broth (TB). *S. aureus* was cultured in LB broth for both monoculture and co-culture experiments. Selective agar plates were used to evaluate CFU counts for *P. aeruginosa* (Cetrimide Agar; Sigma-Aldrich 70887) and *S. aureus* (Manitol Salt Phenol Red Agar; Sigma-Aldrich 63567) co-culture biofilms. Arabinose was maintained culture medium of PAO1-Δpsl and in all co-
strain/species biofilm experiments at a final concentration of 2% unless otherwise noted. As a control, arabinose was added to the culture medium of *P. aeruginosa* (Δpsl) to confirm that arabinose did not influence biomass or antibiotic resistance for each. The strain PAO1Δpsl-1B (Psl deficient) was transformed with pMP605-
mCherry [73] (a single plasmid construct pMP605-mCherry was kindly provided by Ellen L. Lagendijk, Institute of Biology, Leiden University, The Netherlands) via standard methods in bacterial conjugation [74]. For *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli* strains cultures, an OD$_{600}$ of 0.0025 represents a culture density of ~5.0 x 10$^5$ and for *S. aureus* an OD$_{600}$ of 0.0025 represents a culture density of ~5.0 x 10$^5$.

Antibiotics from three classes that target *P. aeruginosa* were chosen for investigation (Text S1): polymyxins (colistin sulfate salt Sigma-Aldrich #C4461; polymyxin B sulfate Sigma-Aldrich #P0972), aminoglycosides (Tobramycin Sigma-Aldrich #T4014), fluoroquinolones (Ciprofloxacin Sigma-Aldrich #Z722642) to prevent any cross-contamination between wells. The plate was then vortexed for 5 minutes to remove adherent cells from the polystyrene well. To quantify cell viability, 35 μl per well was plated on LB agar without antibiotics. The lowest antibiotic concentration that inhibited growth was considered to be the minimal bactericidal concentration for the biofilm (MBC-B). For mixed culture MBC-B analysis, mid-exponential phase cultures were inoculated at different ratios, but the total cell number in solution remained constant when added to each well. To quantify the percentage of Psl deficient survivors after antibiotic challenge, ΔpslAP expressing fluorescent mCherry were quantified with phase contrast and fluorescence microscopy using a Zeiss Observer Z.1 epifluorescent microscope with a 40×/0.75 NA dry objective. The percent survival of Psl deficient cells was calculated by determining the number of fluorescent cells relative to the total cell population.

**Microtiter biomass assay**

The total biofilm biomass for each of the *P. aeruginosa* strains used in this study was quantified with crystal violet staining as previously described [75]. Briefly, biofilms were grown in 96 well polystyrene microtiter plates in 1% TB medium at room temperature for 24, 48 and 72 hours (150 μl of culture diluted to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.0025 per well). For 48- and 72-hour biofilms, the medium was aspirated and replaced with fresh 1% TB each day (supplemented with 2% arabinose). At the end of each time point, the medium was aspirated and the plates were washed twice with tap water to remove any planktonic cells. 175 μl of 0.1% crystal violet was added to each well and remained for 10 minutes at room temperature. After staining, the crystal violet solution was aspirated and the plates were washed twice with tap water to remove any residual stain. The plates were allowed to dry for at least 30 minutes, followed by solubilization of the stained biofilm with 175 μl of 33% acetic acid. The resulting absorbance was recorded at 550 nm.

**Lectin staining**

HHA-TRITC (EY Labs) was used at a final concentration of 200 μg/ml as previously described [34]. Biofilms were grown at the air-liquid interface on UV sterilized polystyrene surfaces for 24 hours. The biofilms were submerged in the lectin solution for 30 minutes and imaged with a Zeiss LSM 510 Meta Confocal using a 100×/1.4 NA oil immersion objective.

**Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) assay for stationary phase cells**

The MIC was determined by a standard micro-dilution protocol with modifications. Cells grown to stationary phase were normalized to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.3 and were exposed to 2-fold series of colistin dilutions in order to determine the minimal concentration of colistin that reduced cell viability within two hours. After the challenge, the planktonic cells were centrifuged at 6000 rpm and washed with PBS to remove residual antibiotic. The cultures for each dilution were plated on LB agar plates without antibiotics to determine the minimum concentration of colistin required to inhibit growth within the two hour time frame.

**Minimal Bactericidal Concentration for Biofilms (MBC-B)**

This assay was performed as described previously [49] with modifications. Briefly, mid-exponential phase cultures were normalized to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.0025 in 1% TB. 150 μl of diluted culture was added to each well of a polystyrene 96-well microtiter plate and incubated for 24 hours at room temperature. The medium in each well was aspirated to remove planktonic cells. The resulting biofilms were carefully washed with PBS (pH 7.4) to remove any remaining unattached cells. Two-fold dilutions of antibiotics tested were prepared in appropriate solvents and 150 μl of the antibiotic dilutions were added to the biofilm plate (0–1 mg/ml for colistin, 0–1 mg/ml for polymyxin B, 0–10 mg/ml for tobramycin, and 0–1 mg/ml ciprofloxacin). After 2 hours, the antibiotic was removed and the biofilms were carefully rinsed with PBS. 150 μl of PBS was added to each well along with 150 μl of sterile glass beads (Sigma-Aldrich #G8772; 425–600 μm). The plate was covered with sterile aluminum sealing film (Sigma-Aldrich #Z722642) to prevent any cross-contamination between wells. The plate was then vortexed for 5 minutes to remove adherent cells from the polystyrene well. To quantify cell viability, 35 μl per well was plated on LB agar without antibiotics. The lowest antibiotic concentration that inhibited growth was considered to be the minimal bactericidal concentration for the biofilm (MBC-B).

For mixed culture MBC-B analysis, mid-exponential phase cultures were inoculated at different ratios, but the total cell number in solution remained constant when added to each well. To quantify the percentage of Psl deficient survivors after antibiotic challenge, ΔpslAP expressing fluorescent mCherry were quantified with phase contrast and fluorescence microscopy using a Zeiss Observer Z.1 epifluorescent microscope with a 40×/0.75 NA dry objective. The percent survival of Psl deficient cells was calculated by determining the number of fluorescent cells relative to the total cell population.

**Antibiotic sensitivity assays**

The antibiotic sensitivities of air-liquid interface biofilms on polystyrene 96 well microtiter plates were assessed at 24, 48, and 72 hours. The microtiter wells were inoculated with 150 μl of culture at an OD$_{600}$ of 0.0025. For 48- and 72-hour biofilms, the medium was aspirated and replaced with fresh 1% TB each day. For each time point, the medium was aspirated from the well and gently washed with PBS to remove non-adherent cells. Biofilms were exposed to 32 μg/ml colistin, 32 μg/ml polymyxin B, 650 μg/ml tobramycin, or 50 μg/ml ciprofloxacin for 2 hours. Cells were removed by the glass bead method described above for MBC-B assays. Viability was quantified by serial dilutions and CFU counts of the surviving population.

To evaluate the contribution of electrostatic interactions between matrix components and antibiotics, the antibiotic sensitivity was determined for colistin, polymyxin B, tobramycin, and ciprofloxacin with the addition of 50 mM NaCl. 250 mM NaCl was also evaluated for tobramycin. The effect of NaCl on bacterial attachment was quantified by adding the appropriate concentration of NaCl to the challenge medium without antibiotic. Viability was quantified by serial dilutions and CFU counts of the surviving population.

For determining cell viability of the *P. aeruginosa* mixed culture air-liquid interface biofilms with *E. coli* and *S. aureus*, cultures were inoculated at a 1:1 ratio. An independent evaluation (CFU counts)
of the biofilm population was conducted for each mixed species biofilm to quantify the composition of cells inhabiting the biofilm before antibiotic treatment. For *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli* mixed biofilms, the ratio of colonies expressing GFP (*E. coli* strain) compared to non-fluorescent cells (*P. aeruginosa*) was determined after plating CFUs. For *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* mixed biofilms, CFU counts for each species were assessed with selective media for each strain.

**Imaging mixed species biofilms**

*E. coli* expressing GFP and *P. aeruginosa* strains were inoculated at a 1:1 ratio (or as monocultures) and grown at the air-liquid interface on UV sterilized polystyrene surfaces for 24 hours. Fluorescence and phase contrast images were acquired to determine the biofilm forming capabilities of *E. coli* at the air-liquid interface on a polystyrene surface both with and without *P. aeruginosa*. A similar procedure was performed for *S. aureus*. To determine the biofilm forming capabilities of *S. aureus* at the air-liquid interface on a polystyrene surface both with and without *P. aeruginosa*, *S. aureus* was stained with the gram-positive specific dye, hexidium iodide (Molecular Probes). *P. aeruginosa* was identified with Syto 9 staining (Molecular Probes).

**Polymyxin B binding assays**

Fluorescently labeled Polymyxin B (green-fluorescent BODIPY FL-Polymyxin B; Molecular Probes, Invitrogen) was used at a final concentration of 5 μg/ml. Stationary phase cultures were challenged with 5 μg/ml of Bodipy-polymyxin B for 2 hours. An aliquot of each culture was immobilized on a 1% agarose covered glass slide. Air-liquid interface biofilms grown on UV sterilized polystyrene squares were treated with 5 μg/ml Bodipy-polymyxin B for 2 hours. All images for Bodipy-polymyxin B assays were acquired with a Zeiss LSM 510 Meta Confocal using a 100×/1.4 NA oil immersion objective.

**Microfluidic-based time-kill kinetic assay**

A PDMS (Polydimethylsiloxane; Sylgard 184; Dow Corning, MI, USA) microfluidic device was molded from a silicon master yielding a negative imprint of 10 straight microchannels, 100 μm deep/500 μm wide and then bonded to a glass slide. The device was placed on an inverted Nikon TE2000-E (Nikon Instruments, Japan) equipped with an Andor iXon-885 and a 40× long working distance objective for the duration of the experiment. A bacterial suspension (OD600 0.0025) was introduced into the microchannels with fluorescent polymyxin B. Polymyxin B accumulates in the liquid interface on a polystyrene surface both with and without *P. aeruginosa*.
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Figure S7 Biomass before and after treatment with colistin. 3-D projection of confocal images of WT PAO1 and mutant strain Δcol8ΔB were acquired and compared before (at 24 hours) and after treatment (at 26 hours) with 20 μg/ml colistin. Cells were stained with Syto9 (Molecular Probes) and counted in the series of zyx images. Scale bars represent 25 μm. (TIF)

Figure S8 Psl increases MBC-B for E. coli and S. aureus. MBC-B assay reveals an increase in tolerance toward colistin for E. coli and ΔBAD-biofilms (A). Tolerance is also observed toward tobramycin for S. aureus and ΔBAD-biofilms, but to a lesser extent (B). (TIF)

Text S1 Description of the strains and antibiotics used in this study.
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