Runaway electron synchrotron radiation in a vertically translated plasma
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Abstract

Synchrotron radiation observed from runaway electrons (REs) in tokamaks depends upon the position and size of the RE beam, the RE energy and pitch distributions, as well as the location of the observer. We show experimental synchrotron images of a vertically moving RE beam sweeping past the detector in the Tokamak à Configuration Variable (TCV) tokamak and compare it with predictions from the synthetic synchrotron diagnostic Soft. This experimental validation lends confidence to the theory underlying the synthetic diagnostics which are used for benchmarking theoretical models of and probing runaway dynamics. We present a comparison of synchrotron measurements in TCV with predictions of kinetic theory for runaway dynamics in uniform magnetic fields. We find that to explain the detected synchrotron emission, significant non-collisional pitch angle scattering as well as radial transport of REs would be needed. Such effects could be caused by the presence of magnetic perturbations, which should be further investigated in future TCV experiments.
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1. Introduction

One of the key issues facing future reactor-scale tokamaks, such as ITER, is plasma terminating disruptions. Such events can convert a significant part of the plasma current to relativistic runaway electron (RE) current. Uncontrolled loss of the RE current may then damage the plasma facing components and must be avoided. Therefore, in recent years, much effort has been devoted to developing strategies for preventing or mitigating the effect of REs [1–3]. Evaluating these strategies requires reliable theoretical models for RE generation and their subsequent dynamics. However, most theoretical and numerical models currently available to the community, and used e.g. in predictions for ITER, have yet to be thoroughly benchmarked against experimental data.

A powerful diagnostic method for REs within a plasma is to measure their synchrotron radiation [4]. The radiation spectrum emitted by the runaways, and the associated radiation spot observed in camera images, both depend on the momentum- and real-space distribution of the runaways. Synchrotron radiation measurements therefore provide insight into the pitch angle, energy [5, 6] and spatial distribution [7] of REs.

Measurements of synchrotron radiation emitted by REs have been performed on tokamaks since the early 90s [8, 9],
with both visible-light/infrared spectrometers and cameras. During recent years, more advanced synthetic diagnostic tools have been developed \[10, 11\] that take into account the camera position and magnetic equilibrium. The application of synthetic synchrotron diagnostics to bridge the gap between theory and experiment is still under development, and the applicability of the synthetic diagnostics is not yet fully experimentally validated.

This letter reports a recent RE experiment conducted at the Tokamak à Configuration Variable (TCV), situated at the Swiss Plasma Center in Lausanne, Switzerland. The highly elongated shape of the TCV chamber, combined with its rich set of poloidal field coils and sophisticated control software \[12\], provides a unique opportunity to investigate the vertical dependence of synchrotron radiation emission. In TCV a high current conversion, fully developed RE beam can be reliably displaced vertically over a distance comparable to the minor radius \[12\]. In the present experiment, a RE beam was generated and maintained within an ohmically driven plasma in a non-disruptive phase. The circular, limited plasma is displaced vertically within the vacuum chamber, sweeping across the synchrotron camera’s field-of-view and probing how the synchrotron spot depends upon the relative vertical position of the runaway beam and synchrotron detector. The experimental measurements show good qualitative agreement with the predictions obtained from the synthetic diagnostic tool SOFT \[11\]. This experiment complements previous publications \[6, 7, 13\] by providing proof of the vertical position spot shape predictions from the synthetic synchrotron diagnostic.

2. Synchrotron radiation spot shapes

Synchrotron radiation is emitted primarily along the velocity vector of the emitting particle \[14\]. Synchrotron radiation, thus, is only observed when the emitting particle is moving directly towards the observer. In tokamak plasmas, synchrotron emission appears as asymmetric patterns of emissivity, directly towards the observer. In tokamak plasmas, synchrotron spots resulting from distribution functions of the form $15, 11$. Often, the observed synchrotron spot depends sensitively on a small subset of the RE distribution function $\delta(p, \theta)$, namely where the product $\mathcal{P}(r, p, \theta) = G(r, p, \theta)f_{\text{RE}}(r, p, \theta)$ attains a maximum. Here, $G(r, p, \theta)$ denotes the radiated power recorded by a given detector from an ensemble of electrons located on the same flux-surface labelled by $r$ with the same momentum $p$ and pitch angle $\theta$ in the point of minimum magnetic field along the orbit. If $\mathcal{P}$ is sharply peaked at the point $(p^\ast, \theta^\ast)$, the synchrotron spot will have nearly the same shape as if all particles had the same momentum and pitch angle

$$f_{\text{RE}} \sim \delta(p - p^\ast)\delta(\cos \theta - \cos \theta^\ast). \quad (1)$$

It is therefore often possible to characterise synchrotron spots by the pair $(p^\ast, \theta^\ast)$ of parameters corresponding to the maximum of $\mathcal{P}$, i.e. the subset of REs that dominate synchrotron emission \[18\]. While this is most likely far from the true distribution of REs, this approximation matches experimental synchrotron measurements sufficiently well for the TCV discharge analysed in this letter. Other, physically motivated models for the distribution function were also considered for the TCV discharge, but for reasons to be discussed in section 3, these failed to predict the observed synchrotron radiation pattern. Therefore, in what follows, we will only consider synchrotron spots resulting from distribution functions of the form in equation (1).

To simulate the detected synchrotron radiation, we use the open source synthetic synchrotron diagnostic SOFT \[11\]. SOFT calculates the intensity of bremsstrahlung and/or synchrotron radiation reaching a given detector, in an arbitrary, axisymmetric magnetic geometry. The guiding-center formulation used in SOFT ensures short simulation times, while accurately accounting for the magnetic geometry, orbit drifts and radiation spectrum dependence on geometrical and particle parameters.

The position of the synchrotron detector relative to the plasma strongly affects the observed radiation spectrum and spot shape and, in particular, the vertical detector placement can change the observed part of the distribution function, i.e. the values of $p^\ast$ and $\theta^\ast$. If the vertical offset $\Delta Z$ of the detector relative to the plasma is non-zero, then electrons located within a radius

$$r \lesssim \frac{\Delta Z - D \tan \theta}{1 + (D + \Delta Z \tan \theta)/2\pi R_m}, \quad (2)$$

are not observed, where $\nu$ is the rotational transform, $R_m$ the tokamak major radius and $D$ the distance between the detector and runaway beam, since the electron velocity vectors never intersect the detector aperture. This may alter the observed part of the distribution function, possibly favouring the observation of runaway particles with larger pitch angles. In practice, however, a vertical detector offset most likely always has a limited effect on the observed part of the distribution, since the total synchrotron radiation emitted by a single particle scales as $\sim \sin^2 \theta$, inherently favouring the detection of large pitch-angle particles. For TCV discharge #64614, equation (2) yields a threshold pitch angle $\tan \theta \lesssim \Delta Z/D \sim 0.1$, meaning that particles with smaller pitch angles could be out of the camera view. Hence, if the pitch angle $\theta^\ast$ of the dominant particles is close to or smaller than $\theta_m$, one could see a significant dependence on $\Delta Z$ in the dominant parameters $p^\ast$ and $\theta^\ast$. Conversely, if $\theta^\ast > \theta_m$, the dependence on $\Delta Z$ is weak for both $p^\ast$ and $\theta^\ast$.

A potential benefit of multiple camera views at different vertical positions was pointed out in reference \[15\]. There, it was shown, albeit in a simplified geometry, that it is not possible to differentiate between a synchrotron spot resulting from runaway particles with an intermediate pitch angle $\sin \theta \sim \nu_{\text{beam}}/D$ against a large pitch angle $\sin \theta \sim \nu_{\text{beam}}/D$ using a single synchrotron camera. Using two cameras situated at different vertical positions, this degeneracy can be broken, and a wider range of pitch angles may be differentiated.
This conclusion holds for a more advanced treatment, such as the SOFT simulations, although the reasons differ. With SOFT, it is still possible to differentiate between pitch angles due to the pitch angle dependence of the toroidal origin of the radiation. Synchrotron spots corresponding to smaller pitch angles, however, tend to have distinct bright areas, running along the upper and lower edges of the spot [18]. These disappear for sufficiently high pitch angles, but by using two cameras at different vertical positions, it should be possible to extend the range of pitch angles for which the bright areas can be observed. This would, in particular, be useful when studying the (horizontal) polarization of synchrotron radiation that further emphasises the bright areas of the synchrotron spot [13, 19]. The additional information gained from having two vertically offset cameras is in most cases limited, compared to other possible system upgrades (e.g. observing the radiation in multiple wavelength ranges, combining camera data with spectral measurements, or measuring the polarisation).

3. Observation of a vertically translated plasma

The elongated vacuum chamber cross-section of TCV, illustrated in figure 1, combined with excellent plasma control capabilities [12, 13], makes TCV an ideal tokamak for studying the dependence of the RE synchrotron spot on the vertical distance between the camera and runaway beam, which has not been studied experimentally before. In the approximately 1 s long, quiescent flat-top of TCV discharge #64 614 (a type of plasma which references [20, 21] describe the general characteristics of), summarised in figure 2, a population of runaway electrons was generated and subsequently translated vertically from \( Z = 10.7 \text{ cm} \) to \( Z = -2.0 \text{ cm} \) (~60% of the plasma minor radius). Before displacing the plasma, the synchrotron spot was allowed to develop and reach an asymptotic shape. The ohmically heated discharge, \( I_p = 200 \text{ kA} \), circular, limited plasma had a toroidal magnetic field \( B_T = 1.43 \text{ T} \), major radius \( R_p = 0.86 \text{ m} \) and minor radius \( a_p = 0.21 \text{ m} \). The core electron density was held constant at approximately \( n_e = 0.8 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3} \), and the core electron temperature at about \( T_e = 1 \text{ keV} \). A constant edge loop voltage was applied, resulting in an estimated electric field of \( E \approx 0.25 \text{ Vm}^{-1} \) at the plasma center (\( E/E_c \approx 30 \), \( E/E_D \approx 6\% \), where \( E_c \) is the Connor–Hastie field [22] and \( E_D \) the Dreicer field [23]), enabling runaway generation. Visible images were recorded using the multispectral imaging system MultiCam that distributes incoming beamsplitters so channels have a nearly identical observation geometry. Camera and data processing specifications are the same as those for the MANTIS system [24]. Figure 3 shows a selection of undistorted MultiCam images of the evolution of the synchrotron spot through a narrowband filter centered at \( 640.6 \text{ nm} \) with full-width at half maximum of \( 1.73 \text{ nm} \) (this range is selected for the lack of strong line emission). The detector parameters used for the simulations are listed in table 1.

The synchrotron spot in discharge #64 614 consists of two separate, oval parts—one large, vertically elongated and a smaller, horizontally elongated spot. As the plasma is translated vertically downwards, these two components correspondingly move downwards in the image, but at different rates. The smaller spot component (corresponding to runaways far from the detector) moves only slightly downwards, whereas the larger spot translates significantly during the scan.

The appearance of two distinct spots suggests that the dominant pitch angle is relatively large [11]. Using SOFT simulations, we compare the contours of the simulated and experimental synchrotron images, and estimate the dominant particle parameters to be \( \theta^* \approx 0.40 \text{ rad} \) and \( p^* \approx 50 m_e c \), where \( m_e \) is the electron rest mass and \( c \) the speed of light, so that \( m_e c \approx 0.511 \text{ MeV}c^{-1} \). We let the radial density profile of the REs take the form \( n_{RE}(r) \propto J_0(x_1 r/a_p) \), where \( J_0(x) \) is a zeroth-order Bessel function of the first kind, and \( x_1 \) its first zero, which is the steady-state solution assuming a strong, diffusive radial transport with a uniform diffusion coefficient. The synchrotron patterns are most sensitive to variations in \( \theta^* \), and to support our estimate we present synthetic synchrotron images in the \( t = 0.7344 \text{ s} \) magnetic equilibrium for a few different values of \( \theta^* \) in figure 4. Comparing the images in figure 4 to figure 3(a), we conclude that \( \theta^* = 0.4 \text{ rad} \) is close to the lower limit for \( \theta^* \). At \( \theta^* = 0.6 \text{ rad} \), the small synchrotron spot has begun to disappear behind the tokamak central column, allowing us to conclude that \( \theta^* = 0.6 \text{ rad} \) is close to an upper limit for \( \theta^* \), as no part of the synchrotron pattern in figure 3(a) is obscured by the tokamak wall. The synchrotron pattern is somewhat less sensitive to \( p^* \), but the RE

![Figure 1. TCV plasma cross-section at approximately the four times considered in this letter. The plasma downward motion is indicated with the green dashed lines. The vertical magnetic axis location Z in these plasma is, in order from earlier to later: Z = 0.107 m, Z = 0.957 m, Z = −0.003 m, and Z = −0.020 m.](image)

| Parameter      | Value       |
|----------------|-------------|
| Position (x, y, z) | (1.082, −0.346, 0.014) m |
| Viewing direction | (−0.794, −0.482, 0.054) |
| Vision angle     | 0.608 rad   |
| Camera roll      | 0.023 rad (CCW) |
| Wavelength       | 640.6 nm    |
Figure 2. Time evolution of plasma parameters in TCV #64614. (a) Plasma current, (b) loop voltage at plasma edge, (c) core electron density (from Thomson scattering), (d) core electron temperature (from Thomson scattering), (e) hard x-ray signal, (f) Mirnov coil signal. The timespan during which the plasma was vertically translated is indicated by the red shaded region.

Figure 3. Synchrotron radiation images from the MultiCam camera system during TCV discharge #64614. The plasma is translated vertically downwards, past the camera, and the synchrotron spot changes accordingly. A CAD drawing of the camera view has been overlaid the image in (d). The two surfaces which make up the synchrotron spot can be distinguished and appear to be moving downwards at different rates. Note that in these images, the high-field side is to the right. Each pixel value indicates the received photon flux (photons/s), but due to that the camera was not absolutely calibrated, and in order to emphasize the radiation pattern, we normalize each frame to the value of its brightest pixel.

energy influences the relative intensities of high-field side and low-field side emission [18], as well as the shift of the pattern due to orbit drifts. Based on these observations, we conclude that the dominant RE momentum should be near $p = 50m_e c$.

Soft simulations for the vertical scan in TCV discharge #64614 are presented in figure 5. The synthetic images are generated for approximately the same time as figure 3. Soft requires magnetic equilibria at the desired times, and these were obtained from experimental measurements using the Grad–Shafranov magnetic reconstruction code Lituq [25]. From the reconstructed equilibria, the relative distance between the plasma and camera port was calculated as (a) $\Delta Z = 9.3$ cm, (b) $\Delta Z = 4.3$ cm, (c) $\Delta Z = -1.7$ cm and (d) $\Delta Z = -3.4$ cm, respectively, in figures 3 and 5.

Figure 4. Synchrotron radiation images generated with Soft for a few different values of the dominant particle pitch angle $\theta^*$ in the magnetic equilibrium at $t = 0.7344$ s. The dominant particle momentum is $p^* = 50m_e c$.

Figure 5. Synthetic synchrotron images generated with Soft with reconstructed plasma equilibria from TCV discharge #61614. In all simulations, $p^* = 50m_e c$ and $\theta^* = 0.4$ rad.

The simulations in figure 5 exhibit the same dependence on $\Delta Z$ as the measurements in figure 3. As the plasma displaces down, the two parts of the synchrotron spot also move down. The smaller spot also appears to move more slowly than the larger spot, as described above. The underlying reason is the spatial origin of the radiation: the smaller spot originates from a position far from the detector, whereas the larger spot is
located just in front of the detector, i.e. the different rates are due to the observer’s perspective.

The runaway parameters inferred from synchrotron imaging can also be compared with predictions from conventional kinetic theory in axisymmetric magnetic fields. The superthermal infinite-aspect ratio electron kinetic equation is given by

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu_t} + \frac{E_\parallel}{E^-} \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = \frac{1}{q^2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q^2} + 1 + \frac{Z_{\text{eff}}}{2} \gamma \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} \left[ (1 - \xi^2) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} \right],$$

where $\xi = \cos \theta$, $q = p/m_ee$, $\gamma = \sqrt{1 + q^2}$, $Z_{\text{eff}}$ the ion effective charge and $\nu_t = 4\pi \ln \Lambda n_{e,0} r_0^2$ with $r_0$ the Coulomb logarithm. This kinetic equation makes three predictions that are contradicted by the synchrotron observations: (1) the primary (Dreicer) RE generation rate [22] at $E/E_D = 6\%$ and $Z_{\text{eff}} = 1$ (assumed as no impurities were injected in this flat-top runaway scenario) corresponds to a RE current-generation rate $e c \dot{m}_{\text{RE}}/\dot{t} \approx 1\, \text{GA m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$; (2) runaways with momentum $p \gg m_ee/E_e/\approx 0.18 m_ee$ will be accelerated at a rate $\dot{p}/\dot{t} \approx e E_z$ corresponding to a gain of 150 $m_ee$ per second—which due to significant Dreicer generation would lead to a corresponding increase of $p^*$; (3) at relativistic speeds and small pitch angles, the runaway distribution takes the form [26] $f(t,p,\xi) = F(t,p)g(p,\xi)$ where the pitch-angle distribution $g$ is independent of the evolving energy distribution, and is given by $F_\xi \approx \exp[-\Lambda(1-\xi)]$ where $\Lambda = q(E/E_e)/(1 + Z_{\text{eff}})$. The dominant emitting pitch can be estimated by weighting this pitch-angle distribution with the asymptotic synchrotron-emission formula in the high-frequency limit [27].

The result yields a maximum at $\theta \approx \left( E/E_e \right)/(1 + Z_{\text{eff}})^{1/3} m_ee/p \approx 8m_ee/p$ which would correspond to $\theta_\ast \approx 0.16$ at $p_\ast = 50m_ee$. These considerations together indicate that the axissymmetric kinetic description is inadequate for describing the RE dynamics in TCV discharges #64614, a conclusion that holds true also if measurement errors are taken into account. A likely explanation is that magnetic perturbations play a significant role in the dynamics. Low-frequency perturbations can transport REs out of the plasma, which would suppress RE generation and maximum energies, whereas high-frequency (kinetic) instabilities may cause pitch-angle scattering [3, 28, 29] which could explain the anomalously high pitch angles. High-frequency kinetic instabilities have previously been invoked to explain discrepancies between idealized kinetic theory and experimental results under comparable plasma conditions in DIII-D [30].

Deviations in the appearance of the simulated synchrotron spots compared to the experimentally measured spots should mainly be due to (i) the particular choice (1) for the distribution function, (ii) errors in the estimated dominant particle $(p^*, \theta^*)$, (iii) errors in the calibrated detector and optical distortion model, and (iv) errors in the magnetic measurements used for reconstructing the plasma equilibrium. Error source (i) would primarily affect the distribution of intensity across the synchrotron spot, and if a full distribution function was used, it would give a more evenly distributed and smooth radiation pattern. Errors in the dominant particle (ii) primarily affect the size and overall (contour) shape of the spot, while an error in detector position (iii) is expected to only have a slight effect on the overall shape. Finally, errors in the plasma equilibrium (iv) may affect both the observed synchrotron spot position, its shape and the estimated dominant particle $(p^*, \theta^*)$. For (i) and (ii), a sensitivity scan has been conducted, concluding that the results presented here are robust, while for (iii) and (iv), estimates suggests that errors are negligibly small.

4. Conclusions

For the first time, the RE synchrotron spot shape dependence on the vertical distance $\Delta Z$ between the runaway beam and the camera has been studied experimentally. It is found that the experimentally observed dependence qualitatively matches simulations conducted with the synthetic synchrotron diagnostic SOFT well. These experiments, therefore, validate an important geometrical aspect of the theory underlying the synthetic diagnostic, and lend confidence to its capability of describing RE radiation in tokamaks. The multiple vertical views did however not provide sufficient additional information to more accurately constrain the RE distribution function. This is primarily due to the large dominant pitch angle of the observed synchrotron spot, which allows the full vertical extent of the runaway beam to be observed regardless of the vertical position of the detector.

When comparing observations to predictions of conventional kinetic runaway theory, we find that kinetic theory significantly underestimate the observed dominant pitch angle $\theta^*$ of the particles, while simultaneously greatly overestimating their energies. We hypothesise that the anomalously large dominant pitch angle observed could be due to the presence of kinetic instabilities, although no diagnostic was installed during the experiment to confirm this hypothesis. The runaway energies are most likely overestimated due to significant radial transport.

The ability of the MultiCam system to simultaneously obtain several images at different wavelengths was not utilised in this work, as it was not needed for the synthetic diagnostic validation. However, synchrotron radiation images at multiple wavelengths are expected to provide complementary information that can be used to further constrain the distribution function, and provide additional data points for validation of kinetic theory. This possibility will be explored in a future publication.

Acknowledgment

The authors gratefully acknowledge the help of A. Tema Biwole with retrieving plasma parameter signals. This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014–2018 and 2019–2020 under Grant Agreement No. 633053. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation
programme under Grant Agreement No. 647121. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. The work was also supported by the Swedish Research Council (Dnr. 2018-03 911) and the EUROfusion - Theory and Advanced Simulation Coordination (E-TASC).

ORCID iDs

M. Hoppe https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3994-8977
G. Papp https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0694-5446
A. Perek https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4117-0298
O. Embreus https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0175-5996
T. Fülöp https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5898-0393
U.A. Sheikh https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6207-2489

References

[1] Lehnen M. et al 2015 J. Nucl. Mater. 463 39–48
[2] Hollmann E.M. et al 2015 Phys. Plasmas 22 021802
[3] Breizman B.N., Aleynikov P., Hollmann E.M. and Lehnen M. 2019 Nucl. Fusion 59 083001
[4] Jaspers R., Lopes Cardozo N.J., Donné A.J.H., Widdershoven H.L.M. and Finken K.H. 2001 Rev. Sci. Instrum. 72 466–70
[5] Paz-Soldan C. et al 2018 Phys. Plasmas 25 056105
[6] Tinguely R., Granetz R., Hoppe M. and Embrèus O. 2018 Nucl. Fusion 58 076019
[7] Tinguely R.A., Granetz R.S., Hoppe M. and Embrèus O. 2018 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 60 124001
[8] Finken K., Watkins J., Rusbuldt D., Corbett W., Dippel K., Goebel D. and Moyer R. 1990 Nucl. Fusion 30 859
[9] Jaspers R., Grewe T., Finken K., Krämer-Flecken A., Cardozo N.L., Mank G. and Waidmann G. 1995 J. Nucl. Mater. 220–222 682–7 plasma-Surface Interactions in Controlled Fusion Devices
[10] Carbajal L. and del Castillo-Negrete D. 2017 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 59 124001
[11] Hoppe M., Embrèus O., Tinguely R., Granetz R., Stahl A. and Fülöp T. 2018 Nucl. Fusion 58 026032
[12] Carnevale D. et al 2019 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 61 014036
[13] Tinguely R., Hoppe M., Granetz R., Mumgaard R. and Scott S. 2019 Nucl. Fusion 59 096029
[14] Schwinger J. 1949 Phys. Rev. 75 1912–25
[15] Jaspers R. 1995 Relativistic runaway electrons in tokamak plasmas Ph.D. thesis Technische Universität Eindhoven https://inis.iaea.org/search/search.aspx?orig_q=RN:27012481
[16] Pankratov I.M. 1996 Plasma Phys. Rep. 22 535
[17] Zhou R.J., Pankratov I.M., Hu L.Q., Xu M. and Yang J.H. 2014 Phys. Plasmas 21 063302
[18] Hoppe M., Embrèus O., Paz-Soldan C., Moyer R. and Fülöp T. 2018 Nucl. Fusion 58 082001
[19] Hoppe M., Embrèus O., Svensson P., Unnerfelt L. and Fülöp T. 2018 Simulations of bremsstrahlung and synchrotron radiation from runaway electrons 45th EPS Conference on Plasma Physics (Prague, Czech Republic, 2–6 July 2018) O5.J603 (http://ocs.ciemat.es/EPS2018PAP/pdf/O5.J603.pdf)
[20] Paz-Soldan C. et al 2014 Phys. Plasmas 21 022514
[21] Esposito B. et al 2017 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 59 014044
[22] Connor J. and Hastie R. 1975 Nucl. Fusion 15 415–24
[23] Dreicer H. 1959 Phys. Rev. 115 238–49
[24] Perek A. et al 2019 Rev. Sci. Instrum. 90 123514
[25] Moret J.M., Duval B., Le H., Coda S., Felici F. and Reimerdes H. 2015 Fusion Eng. Des. 91 1–15
[26] Fülöp T., Pokol G., Helander P. and Lisak M. 2006 Phys. Plasmas 13 062506
[27] Bekefi G. 1966 Radiation Processes in Plasmas (New York: Wiley)
[28] Aleynikov P. and Breizman B. 2015 Nucl. Fusion 55 043014
[29] Spong D.A. et al 2018 Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 155002
[30] Liu C., Hirvijoki E., Fu G.Y., Brennan D.P., Bhattacharjee A. and Paz-Soldan C. 2018 Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 265001