Women's Contexts and Circumstances of Posttraumatic Growth After Sexual Victimization: A Systematic Review

Marika Guggisberg 1,2*, Simone Bottino 3 and Christopher M. Doran 2

1 Queensland Centre for Domestic and Family Violence Research, School of Nursing Midwifery and Social Sciences, CQUniversity Australia, Perth, WA, Australia, 2 Cluster for Resilience and Wellbeing, Appleton Institute, CQUniversity Australia, Brisbane, QLD, Australia, 3 School of Nursing Midwifery and Social Sciences, CQUniversity Australia, Brisbane, QLD, Australia

Sexual violence is a concerning public health and criminal justice problem. Even though extensive literature has linked sexual victimization to a multitude of mental and physical problems, some victim/survivors recover and are able to lead lives without notable negative impacts. Little is known about women who experienced posttraumatic growth following sexual victimization. This review brings together knowledge accumulated in the academic literature in the past decade. It was informed by the PRISMA-P guidelines. Databases were searched using a combination of keywords to locate original peer-reviewed research articles published between January 2010 and October 2020 focusing on posttraumatic growth following sexual victimization. The initial search identified 6,187 articles with 265 articles being read in full, identifying 41 articles that were included in the analysis. The results suggest that recovery from sexual victimization is possible with the healing process being idiosyncratic. Victim/survivors employed various strategies resulting in higher degrees of functioning, which were termed growth. Following a synthesis of themes that emerged from the thematic analysis, a higher order abstraction, using creative insight through reflexivity, discussions among the research team and consistent interpretation and re-interpretation of the identified themes as a second stage analysis, resulted in the identification of two superordinate topics “relationship to self” and “relationship to others.” Findings indicated that women engaged in deliberate introspection to connect with themselves and utilized altruistic actions and activism in an attempt to prevent further sexual victimization. Helping victim/survivors deal with the sexual violence facilitated growth as a collective. We concluded that helping others may be a therapeutic vehicle for PTG. Given research in this area remains in its infancy, further investigation is urgently needed.
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INTRODUCTION

Sexual violence, defined as unwanted sexual acts against someone (Ulloa et al., 2016), is a global public health and criminal justice problem (Du Mont et al., 2019). Prevalence rates vary considerably across studies with rates ranging from 10.7 to 21.2% for contact-based sexual violence and up to 15.1% for penetrative sexual offenses against children with consistent findings across international studies that girls are at a considerably higher risk of victimization than boys (Tanaka et al., 2017). Adult victimization of unwanted sexual experiences range from 54% among university students (Campbell et al., 2021) to 19% of victimization by rape for women and 2% for men (Breiding et al., 2014). Studies of sexual violence perpetration indicated that between 25 and 30% of male university students admitted to sexually assaulting a female since the age of 14 years with 68% of men indicating repeat sexual offending (Zinzow and Thompson, 2015). Prevalence rates of sexual violence in current intimate relationships ranged from 18 to 66% (Fernet et al., 2019).

It is important to note that because of multiple victimization definitions, participant characteristics (e.g., age cut offs), recruitment sources and settings, measures of sexual violence (e.g., self-report assessment vs. behavioral descriptors of rape, sexual coercion, non-contact sexual violence) used, prevalence rates tend to vary substantially across studies nationally and internationally, which makes comparisons difficult (Vitek and Yeater, 2020). Regardless of these limitations, studies consistently document high prevalence rates of sexual victimization, defined here as being subjected to inappropriate and/or non-consensual sexual acts, particularly against females (Campbell et al., 2021). Keeping these challenges of research in the area of sexual violence in mind, the following section examines the current knowledge to the major field of child sexual abuse (CSA), adult sexual assault (ASA), revictimization, and impact of sexual victimization.

SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION

It is estimated that 1 in 7 girls and 1 in 25 boys will be sexually abused in childhood (Scoglio et al., 2019). Negative outcomes of child sexual abuse have been extensively documented in the literature (Domhardt et al., 2015). These include mental health problems such as symptoms of anxiety, depression, posttraumatic stress disorder, dissociation as well as health risk behaviors including substance use problems as a coping mechanism (Guggisberg, 2012; Walker et al., 2019). Furthermore, CSA victimization increases the risk of repeat sexual victimization later in life (Messman-Moore and Long, 2003; Hawn et al., 2018).

Prevalence rates differ in studies investigating adult sexual victimization but estimates of nearly 30% have been reported (DeCou et al., 2017). Sexual victimization experiences either in childhood and/or in adulthood has been found to negatively impact the victim/survivor’s functioning as well as interpersonal relationships (Vitek and Yeater, 2020).

Lately, researchers have become increasingly interested in understanding sexual revictimization. One consistent finding in the literature is that victim/survivors of sexual abuse tend to be drawn to abusive intimate partners (Vitek and Yeater, 2020). Intimate partner sexual violence (IPSV) has been found to have the most severe and longterm negative effects when compared to other forms such as emotional abuse and/or physical violence (Guggisberg, 2018).

IMPACT

Sexual violence has been described as a significant traumatic experience due to the perceived and loss of control over the victimized person’s body. This can significantly impact the person’s worldview and increase a sense of vulnerability (Ullman and Peter-Hagene, 2014). Strong evidence indicates an association between mental health problems including low self-esteem, anxiety and depression, Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (Scott et al., 2018) and maladaptive coping such as alcohol and/or other drug use (Scoglio et al., 2019; Culatta et al., 2020) and physical health impacts (Guggisberg, 2018). Compared to other forms of interpersonal violence, sexual violence has unique negative impacts including the risk of developing sexually transmitted infections, unwanted pregnancies and other reproductive consequences (Guggisberg, 2021). It is important to note that sexual violence victimization is an idiosyncratic experience and generalization of impacts should be conducted with caution (McFerran et al., 2020), particularly against the background of recent research indicating that posttraumatic growth, defined by Ulloa and colleagues (2016) as “personal transformation that improves quality of life” (p. 293), is possible.

Posttraumatic Growth

Recent literature indicates that some victim/survivors of sexual violence are able to recover (Domhardt et al., 2015). Research found that psychological and emotional growth is related to positive behavior change (Tedeschi et al., 2018). Several concepts have been used in the literature to describe positive adaptation to CSA victimization including “resilience” (Domhardt et al., 2015) and “posttraumatic growth” (PTG) (Tedeschi et al., 2018). PTG has been conceptualized as a person’s positive responses to traumatic events (Muldoon et al., 2020). Typically associated with a person’s reconstruction of self and reorientation of priorities and/or values (Tedeschi et al., 2018), with experiences of social support (Muldoon et al., 2020).

Consequently, PTG emphasizes longterm changes following a traumatic event. Tedeschi et al. and associates 2018 distinguished between resilience (i.e., a person’s intrapersonal attributes) and PTG, which is the result of permanent change in the aftermath of one or several traumatic events. The vast majority of research to date has focused on medical illness such as cancer (Ochoa Arnedo et al., 2019), natural disasters (e.g., hurricanes) (Hafstad et al., 2011), terror (Eisenberg and Silver, 2011) and war-related violence among affected individuals such as military personnel (Nordstrand et al., 2020). More recently, PTG research included domestic and family violence (DFV) including sexual violence (D’Amore et al., 2018). However, often no distinction was made between the types of violence experiences. Studies fail to distinguish between different forms of intimate partner violence and whether the women had a history of prior victimization.
One review of the literature on sexual victimization and PTG by Ulloa et al. (2016) was identified. Even though the review omitted to use a systematic method and used a gender-neutral approach, findings indicated that victim/survivors reported positive change characterized by engaging in advocacy and activism as a concern for others and factors such as disclosure, social support and spirituality being influencing factors. However, Ulloa et al. (2016) acknowledged the paucity of knowledge on PTG specifically in relation to sexual victimization, which is conceptually different from other forms of trauma and called for further research to inform clinical practice. This systematic review of the literature addresses the gap in the literature on PTG among women who experienced sexual violence.

THE CURRENT STUDY

Theoretical Underpinnings

Systematic reviews, like other studies, are underpinned by the researchers’ perspectives about the topic under investigation (Alexander, 2020). The authors were cognisant of, and sensitive to, the theoretical context, which is outlined below, that inevitably affected the review process at every stage. Sexual violence is inherently gendered (Guggisberg, 2018). In this regard (Brison, 2020) argued that sexual violence is to be understood as “group-based gender-motivated violence against women” (p. 308). Feminist perspectives draw attention to the gendered nature of violence against women and children particularly in relation to harm sustained from sexual victimization (Alcoff, 2018).

Rationale

The rationale for this study was that research in the area of sexual victimization predominantly focusses on negative impacts and the long-lasting negative debilitating effects for victim/survivors. While it is justified to continuously highlight the often devastating effects of sexual victimization, it is important to draw attention to the issue of PTG to balance the research and emphasize that some women recover from the trauma and are able to lead satisfactory lives. Given the recent focus on wellbeing and resiliency against the background of adversity, investigating contexts and circumstances of sexual victimization and common factors and behaviors that may be associated with PTG appears particularly relevant. This review’s aim was to systematically review the current knowledge on PTG in women who experienced sexual violence in the past. The specific research question guiding this review was: “What are common signs of posttraumatic growth for adult women who experienced sexual violence?” As outlined above this question warrants a review of current knowledge to fill the gap in the literature.

Methods

The review followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) framework (Moher et al., 2015) to identify and analyze published articles specific to sexual victimization and PTG. This review identified qualitative, quantitative and mixed-method studies on sexual violence and PTG.

Procedure

A systematic search of research studies was undertaken after scoping searches focused on PTG following sexual victimization. The research team, consisting of two experienced senior researchers who previously conducted systematic reviews and a student researcher developed a research protocol. All decisions including aim, criteria for inclusion, search strategies, key words and concepts, methods of review, quality assessment and data analysis, were made in collaboration, which included reviews and revisions until agreement was reached.

Literature Search Strategy

The second author, under close supervision, searched the following databases: Ebscohost, Google Scholar, PsycINFO, Medline, OVID, and Web of Science to identify relevant records. Only peer-reviewed articles published in English were included using multiple keywords sets and synonyms (singular and plural forms and different spelling) such as child sexual abuse, adult survivors, healing, recovery, posttraumatic growth, sexual assault, sexual violence, and rape. Various search operators including truncation and wildcard symbols were used to identify studies until no new articles were found.

Inclusion Criteria

The following inclusion criteria were used to identify relevant articles on PTG following sexual victimization: (a) and peer reviewed academic articles of original research in English, (b) published between January 2010 and October 2020, (c) female victim/survivors of sexual violence who reported PTG. To be included, studies had to be conducted on sexual victimization and PTG with female participants.

Exclusion Criteria

When studies included other forms of DFV (e.g., emotional abuse, physical violence), only information about sexual violence was considered. Studies were excluded on child abuse and/or intimate partner violence if no sexual violence measure was reported. Studies with samples of only male victims of sexual violence and participants where results were not distinguishable by gender were also excluded from this review. Furthermore, commentaries, government reports, newspaper and web-based articles, brochures, newsletters, conference papers and student theses were excluded along with research articles published in a language other than English.

Nearly 9,200 records were identified with 2,987 duplicates leading to 6,187 records. As suggested by Belur et al. (2021), we attempted to reduce errors due to fatigue effects by undertaking the initial identification process in “small batches.” Titles and abstracts were screened for eligibility following the removal of duplicates. Furthermore, a cautious approach was taken to reduce the potential of excluding a possible relevant article. If the decision for exclusion at this stage was unclear, the record was included. The interpretation of this bivariant screening process (i.e., decision whether to include or exclude a study) was closely observed by the first and second author using a constant comparison approach. The number of studies identified as being included varied slightly when results were compared. Consistent reflections and discussions about how to understand the task of
applying the criteria enhanced the confidence in this process and reduced the risk of bias.

Two hundred and sixty-five full text articles were read and discussed by the first and second author to confirm inclusion in the review. Results were compared, reasoning for decisions included individual reflection on decisions and disagreements reconciled. Clarity on inclusion and exclusion criteria was enhanced and carefully recorded using an audit trail. Full text reviews resulted in the exclusion of 218 articles for various reasons including unclear information on sexual violence and/or female victim/survivors. Decisions were made in collaboration and discrepancies during this process were resolved through discussions using individual reflection and interpretation of the specific inclusion criteria. At this stage, the researchers applied their clinical knowledge of the research topic and well developed reflective practice on the final decisions for inclusion of articles, which further enhanced reliability. This was important as accuracy and consistency of inclusion decisions are influenced by the researchers’ knowledge and understanding of the subject matter (Belur et al., 2021). Before articles were included in the final review, an independent quality appraisal by the first and second author was conducted utilizing the standardized quality assessment Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) for systematic reviews (Hong et al., 2018), which has showed content validity and reliability (Hong et al., 2019).

Each study received a specific quality rating score, which ranged from 0 to 6. Studies with total scores between 4 and 6 were considered high quality with low risk of bias. Those with a score of 3 were considered borderline and discussed by the researchers to arrive at an agreement of inclusion or exclusion. Studies given a score of 2 or less were automatically excluded for lack of methodological confidence (e.g., no identified research question, low quality of methods and/or measurement, and inappropriate source population). Given the potential risk of bias, it was mutually agreed to exclude 6 studies from the review. Meticulous record keeping ensured inter-rater agreement during the data extraction and assessment process, as illustrated in Figure 1.

**Data Analysis**

Data were contextualized and analyzed using a thematic analysis approach (Braun and Clarke, 2006). After the development of initial themes, we discussed the themes on an iterative basis before interpreting our finding in an attempt to understand PTG conceptualizations at a more abstract level that enables generalization of our findings (Eakin and Gladstone, 2020). Generating generalizable concepts, according to Eakin and Gladstone (2020) is a key objective of quality analysis. This creative insight is a result of reflexivity, discussion among the researchers and consistent interpretation and re-interpretation of the identified themes.

**FINDINGS**

**General Study Characteristics**

A summary of general study characteristics is presented in Table 1. All 41 articles reported on PTG following female sexual victimization. The sample sizes of studies ranged from 2 to 39,703 with 19 (48.8%) studies having used qualitative, 19 (46.3%) quantitative, and 3 (7.3%) mixed-methods. The vast majority (63.4%) of studies were conducted in the USA (Cole and Lynn, 2010; Singh et al., 2010; Drauker et al., 2011; Warner Stidham et al., 2012; Williams and Nelson-Gardell, 2012; Wilson et al., 2012; Arias and Johnson, 2013; Davidson et al., 2013; McClain and Frederick Amar, 2013; Foster and Hagedorn, 2014; Ullman, 2014; Bryant-Davis et al., 2015; Kelley and Gidycz, 2015; Simon et al., 2015; Crews et al., 2016; Hartley et al., 2016; Hitter et al., 2017; Kerlin and Sosin, 2017; Smigelsky et al., 2017; Barnett and Maciel, 2019; Catabay et al., 2019; Kirkner and Ullman, 2019; Lahav et al., 2019; Nelson et al., 2019; Saint Arnault and Sinko, 2019; Strauss Swanson and Szymanski, 2020) followed by European studies with 9.8% (Phanichrat and Townshend, 2010; Whitelock et al., 2013; Perez-Gonzalez et al., 2017; Anderson et al., 2019), and two in South Africa (Phasha, 2010; Walker-Williams et al., 2012). One study each was from Australia (Valencia et al., 2013), Bangladesh (Kaiser and Sinanan, 2020), Brazil (Pessoa et al., 2017), India (George and Bancel, 2019), Israel (Kaye-Tzadok and Davidson-Ard, 2016), Korea (Ha et al., 2019), Nepal (Volgin et al., 2019), Rwanda (Zraly et al., 2013), and Taiwan (Wang and Heppner, 2011). Twenty-two studies (53.7%) placed specific focus on CSA while six studies (14.6%) examined lifetime sexual victimization and some used specific participants such as sexual trafficking and wartime victim/survivors. The vast majority of studies were cross-sectional (85.4%). Six studies (14.6%) used a longitudinal design (see Table 1).

The thematic analysis found that all studies reported some form of PTG occurring with variations according to study focus and revictimization experiences. Within the studies, noteworthy inconsistencies in conceptualizations of PTG as well as varying methods utilized to describe and assess PTG were observed. Researchers conceptualized PTG by obtaining an overall rating using specific measures such as the PTG Inventory (Tedeschi and Calhoun, 1996), its short form (Cann et al., 2010), whereas others assessed PTG through women's subjectively held attitudes, actions, and evaluations of their recovery. Participants agreed that their sexual victimization experiences should not be forgotten. The studies indicated a willingness to not let the past control their present or future and the decision to actively engage in different ways in an attempt to get beyond the traumatic experiences, often coupled with faith, positive rather than negative thinking, and deliberate actions such as help seeking, activism and advocacy (Figure 2).

**Figure 2** illustrates the words/phrases used by the studies to describe PTG. Numerous words pointed to introspection and efforts to reaching out. Further interpretation and reflective discussions resulted in two abstract overarching themes “relationship to self” and “relationship to others” (see Table 2), which will be discussed below.

**Relationship to Self**

The majority of studies revealed that women learned to connect with themselves in new ways upon engaging in deliberate introspection. Many studies reported how participants utilized trauma-related affective and cognitive coping mechanisms including searching for meaning, taking control, and engaging
Numerous studies reported that after becoming aware of their emotions and thoughts, women changed effortful cognitive and behavioral avoidance behaviors and engaged in mobilizing effortful strategies that resulted in a reduction of negative symptoms and increased their functioning ability and quality of life. Participants focusing on themselves, engaging in cognitive and behavioral techniques have been found to experience empowerment and recovery from sexual victimization. These insights developed in the context of informal social support and therapy after professional help seeking efforts.

**Relationship to Others**

Most studies indicated that women sought relationships with others. Engaging with others after sexual victimization can be particularly challenging, which is why some women prefer a coping strategy that does not involve relationship with others.
### TABLE 1 | Study characteristics.

| Authors (Year)             | Location/Country | Study design  | Sample                        | Comments                                           |
|----------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Anderson et al. (2019)     | Europe           | Mixed-methods | 104 women                     | War rape                                          |
| Arias and Johnson (2013)   | USA              | Qualitative   | 10 women                      | History of CSA                                    |
| Barnett and Maciel (2019)  | USA              | Quantitative  | 199 women                     | Lifetime victimization                            |
| Bryant-Davis et al. (2015) | USA              | Quantitative  | 252 women                     | African-American participants                     |
| Catabay et al. (2019)      | USA              | Quantitative  | 94 women                      | Adult victimization                               |
| Cole and Lynn (2010)       | USA              | Quantitative  | 105 women                     | Unwanted sex experiences                          |
| Crews et al. (2016)        | USA              | Quantitative  | 8 females                     | Lifetime victimization                            |
| Davidson et al. (2013)     | USA              | Quantitative  | 503 women                     | lifetime victimization                            |
| Drauken et al. (2011)      | USA              | Quantitative  | 95 participants               | History of CSA; 48 women 47 men                   |
| Foster and Hagedom (2014)  | USA              | Qualitative   | 21 participants               | Adolescents, 18 female 3 male                     |
| George and Bance (2019)    | India            | Quantitative  | 132 females                   | History of CSA, 15–24 years                       |
| Ha et al. (2019)           | Korea            | Quantitative  | 32 participants               | Child and adult victimization                     |
| Hartley et al. (2016)      | USA              | Qualitative   | 6 women                       | History of CSA (family member)                    |
| Hitter et al. (2017)       | USA              | Qualitative   | 8 women                       | History of CSA                                    |
| Kaiser and Sinanan (2020)  | Bangladesh       | Qualitative   | 12 girls                      | History of CSA (13 years old)                     |
| Kaye-Tzadok and Davidson-Ard (2016) | Israel | Quantitative  | 100 women                     | History of CSA                                    |
| Kelley and Gidycz (2015)   | USA              | Quantitative  | 135 women                     | Adolescent/adult victimization                    |
| Kerlin and Sosin (2017)    | USA              | Quantitative  | 10 women                      | History of CSA                                    |
| Kirkner and Ullman (2019)  | USA              | Quantitative  | 983 women                     | Lifetime victimization                            |
| Lahav et al. (2019)        | USA              | Quantitative  | 95 women                      | History of CSA (revictimization)                   |
| McClain and Frederick Amar (2013) | USA | Quantitative  | 12 women                      | History of CSA                                    |
| Nelson et al. (2019)       | USA              | Quantitative  | 292 participants              | History of CSA                                    |
| Perez-Gonzalez et al. (2017)| Spain          | Quantitative  | 97 adolescents                | History of CSA (revictimization)                   |
| Pessoa et al. (2017)       | Brazil           | Qualitative   | 7 participants                | History of CSA                                    |
| Phanichrat and Townshend (2010) | UK           | Qualitative   | 7 participants                | 3 girls, 24 boys (12–17 years)                     |
| Phasha (2013)              | South Africa     | Qualitative   | 4 women                       | History of CSA (revictimization)                   |
| Saint Arnault and Sinko (2019) | USA          | Mixed-methods | 206 women                     | History of CSA                                    |
| Simon et al. (2015)        | USA              | Mixed-methods | 118 participants              | History of CSA (revictimization)                   |
| Singh et al. (2010)        | USA              | Qualitative   | 13 women                      | History of CSA                                    |
| Smigelsky et al. (2017)    | USA              | Qualitative   | 9 women                       | Refugees                                          |
| Strauss Swanson and Szymanski (2020) | USA | Qualitative  | 16 participants               | 13 females 3 genderqueer                           |
| Ullman (2014)              | USA              | Quantitative  | 1,863 women                   | Lifetime victimization                            |
| Vielma et al. (2013)       | Australia        | Qualitative   | 2 women                       | Rape (revictimisation)                            |
| Volgin et al. (2019)       | Nepal            | Qualitative   | 26 adolescent girls           | Sex trafficking, revictimation                     |
| Walker-Williams et al. (2012)| South Africa  | Quantitative  | 60 women                      | History of CSA                                    |
| Wang and Heppner (2011)    | Taiwan           | Qualitative   | 10 women                      | History of CSA                                    |
| Warner Stidham et al. (2012)| USA            | Quantitative  | 121 participants              | Lifetime, 64 women 57 men                         |
| Whitelock et al. (2013)    | UK               | Quantitative  | 47,869 participants           | History of CSA                                    |
| Williams and Nelson-Gardell (2012) | USA | Quantitative  | 237 participants              | History of CSA                                    |
| Wilson et al. (2012)       | USA              | Quantitative  | 32 women                      | Lifetime victimization                            |
| Zraly et al. (2013)        | Rwanda           | Qualitative   | 4 women                       | CSA rape (revictimization)                         |
| Authors (Year)                  | Superordinate | Subthemes                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Anderson et al. (2019)        | Self          | Cognitive restructuring (positive reinterpretation), positive future orientation |
| Arias and Johnson (2013)      | Self          | Acceptance, compassion, forgiveness, future orientation, cognition, control |
| Barnett and Maciel (2019)     | Self          | Cognitive restructuring, optimism                                          |
| Bryant-Davis et al. (2015)    | Self          | Religious coping                                                           |
| Others                        | Disclosure    | confronting the abuser, formal help-seeking, altruism (helping others)     |
| Catabay et al. (2019)         | Other         | Social support (partner, family, friends, current romantic partners)       |
| Cole and Lynn (2010)          | Self          | Acceptance                                                                |
| Others                        | Disclosure    |                                                                                |
| Crews et al. (2016)           | Self          | Acceptance, self-compassion, self-kindness, yoga, mindfulness, spirituality |
| Davidson et al. (2013)        | Self          | Forgiveness, perceived control                                             |
| Drauker et al. (2011)         | Self          | Meaning making, religious coping                                           |
| Others                        | Disclosure    | informal and formal help-seeking, altruism                                 |
| Foster and Hagedorn (2014)    | Self          | Self-perception, hope, positive future orientation                         |
| Others                        | Formal help-seeking, altruism, enter helping profession                  |
| George and Bance (2019)       | Self          | Cognitive reconstruction                                                  |
| Ha et al. (2019)              | Self          | Forgiveness                                                                |
| Hartley et al. (2016)         | Self          | Religious coping, meaning making, self-perception, empowerment, future orientation |
| Hitter et al. (2017)          | Self          | Self-understanding (identity)                                              |
| Others                        | Disclosure    | interpersonal relationships (children)                                    |
| Kaiser and Sinanan (2020)     | Self          | Hope, future orientation, motherhood (healing)                             |
| Others                        | Protect children (educate about sexual violence)                         |
| Kaye-Tzadok and Davidson-Ard (2016) | Self       | Hope, cognitive restructuring, meaning making                              |
| Kelley and Gidycz (2015)      | Self          | Self-perception, meaning making                                            |
| Kerlin and Sosin (2017)       | Self          | Self-image, religious coping                                               |
| Others                        | Formal help-seeking (recovery group), altruism (helping others)          |
| Kirkner and Ullman (2019)     | Self          | Perceived control, religious coping                                        |
| Lahav et al. (2019)           | Self          | Hope, optimism, positive future orientation                               |
| Others                        | Formal help seeking                                                    |
| McClain and Frederick Amar (2013) | Self      | Hope, positive future orientation                                          |
| Others                        | Disclosure (breaking the silence), motherhood, altruism (helping others) |
| Nelson et al. (2019)          | Self          | Self-understanding                                                         |
| Others                        | Disclosure    |                                                                                |
| Perez-Gonzalez et al. (2017)  | Self          | Self-compassion, positive future orientation                              |
| Others                        | Formal help-seeking (therapy)                                          |
| Pessoa et al. (2017)          | Self          | Self-concept, empowerment, positive future orientation                    |
| Others                        | Altruism (providing social support)                                     |
| Phanichrat and Townshend (2010) | Self        | Acceptance, hope, cognitive restructuring, meaning making, religious coping |
| Others                        | Join support group, disclosure (internet forum)                         |
| Phasha (2010)                 | Self          | Hope, empowerment, positive future orientation, religious coping, meaning making |
| Others                        | Disclosure, informal and formal help-seeking                            |
| Saint Arnault and Sinko (2019) | Self         | Acceptance, hope, cognitive restructuring, empowerment, future orientation |
| Simon et al. (2015)           | Self          | Self-concept, cognitive restructuring, empowerment                         |
| Others                        | Disclosure, informal social support (partner, family)                   |
| Singh et al. (2010)           | Self          | Meaning-making, hope, perceived control, self-confidence, empowerment     |
| Others                        | Disclosure (breaking the silence), social support (family, friends), yoga, meditation |
| Smigelsky et al. (2017)       | Self          | Perceived control, meaning making, religious coping                        |
| Others                        | Motherhood     |                                                                                |
| Strauss Swanson and Szymanski (2020) | Self      | Meaning-making, self-concept, empowerment, perceived control              |
| Others                        | Disclosure, altruism (helping others), activism (speaking out), helping profession |
TABLE 2 | Continued

| Authors (Year)                     | Superordinate | Subthemes                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ullman (2014)                     | Self          | Perceived control, adaptive individual coping, attitudes and perception  |
|                                   | Others        | Disclosure, formal help-seeking                                           |
| Vilencia et al. (2013)            | Self          | Meaning-making, self-compassion, self-perception, hope, cognitive restructuring |
|                                   | Others        | Disclosure, formal help-seeking                                           |
| Volgin et al. (2019)              | Self          | Cognitive restructuring, self-compassion, hope, empowerment               |
|                                   | Others        | Social support, altruism (helping others)                               |
| Walker-Williams et al. (2012)     | Self          | Self-views, spirituality                                                 |
|                                   | Others        | Disclosure, informal and formal help-seeking                             |
| Wang and Heppner (2011)           | Self          | Self-acceptance, religious coping, positive future orientation, self-education |
|                                   | Others        | Disclosure, help-seeking (family), altruism (helping others)             |
| Warner Stidham et al. (2012)      | Self          | Meaning-making, cognitive restructuring, empowerment, self-education      |
|                                   | Others        | Motherhood (protecting children), disclosure, informal and formal help-seeking, advocacy (speaking out) altruism (helping others), activism (public engagement) |
| Whitelock et al. (2013)           | Self          | Self-views, reorientation (values, priorities),                          |
|                                   | Others        | Informal social support, formal help-seeking                             |
| Williams and Nelson-Gardell (2012)| Self          | Expectancies, hope, education                                            |
|                                   | Others        | Disclosure, social support (family), activism                             |
| Wilson et al. (2012)              | Self          | Emotion regulation, cognitive restructuring, self-awareness, meditation   |
|                                   | Others        | Disclosure, social support, therapy                                       |
| Zraly et al. (2013)               | Self          | Self-understanding, reorientation (values – hope, gratitude), religious coping |
|                                   | Others        | Mothering (relationship with children, living for children, protecting children |

FIGURE 2 | Visualization of findings.

(Ha et al., 2019). The reason for some women’s difficulties establishing relationships with others after sexual victimization is the impact of secrecy and betrayal, particularly if the perpetrator was a biological father (Guggisberg, 2017). It is important to note that the decision not to seek social support should be seen as an expression of perceived control rather than a sign of helplessness (Wasco, 2003).

Findings of this review indicated that the vast majority of women disclosed their sexual victimization and sought informal and/or formal support. Women in several studies indicated that they experienced a sense of belonging and recovery through social engagement such as music, yoga and religious service attendance. The studies reported that the experience of interaction was beneficial in that it was
perceived as empowering and enhanced self-understanding. Social engagement in the aftermath of sexual victimization has been found to assist women in establishing safety and stability followed by reconstructing the trauma narrative through means of music and other forms of creative therapy (Herman, 2015). Herman (2015) advocated for meaning-making and using reflection as an integrated effort to re-establish ownership of self. The process of reconnecting with self, integrating the victimization experiences, is an integral part of the recovery process, which allows victim/survivors to experience self-compassion and grief whereby connecting with the traumatic experiences emotionally to self-sooth and physically reconnect with their body. Religious engagement, music and yoga have been mentioned by several studies, that align with Herman’s (2015) theoretical explanation of integrating the women’s private and public self.

Furthermore, women actively engaged in altruism by helping other victim/survivors Importantly, it has been recognized that victim/survivors themselves are excellently situated to engage in peer support as they understand the importance of choice, which, unsurprisingly, has been associated with experiences of recovery from trauma (Sweeney et al., 2018).

Combining the Relationships
Most studies indicated that engaging with oneself and others was a mutually inclusive process. Experiencing inner healing led to social engagement, which furthered feelings of empowerment and control in a bidirectional fashion. Sweeney et al. and colleagues 2018 explained this observation with what they referred to as the “triangle of wellbeing,” an “interaction between the social, personal and biological realms” (p. 319).

Indeed, sexual victimization has been found to have an impact on neurobiological processes particularly if retraumatization occurs (Guggisberg, 2017; Sweeney et al., 2018). In this regard, Guggisberg (2017) emphasized the importance of therapy particularly in relation to intergenerational healing and recovery.

Taken together, women who experience PTG are generally utilizing a combination of strategies that can be subsumed under relationship to “self” and “others.” Victim/survivors have in common the desire to regain control over their bodies, minds, and regaining a sense of trust in people. This inner struggle and pursuit of integrating their sexual victimization experience into a new sense of self and with others can be explained as PTG with a deliberate choice to take proactive steps rather than escape and avoidance strategies.

Once the realization of choice and decision making is achieved, the individual is free to open their mind and facing the option of choosing to change the status quo (Schick, 1998). Schick (1998) indicated: “we have options… to make a choice… to choose is to come to want to take this or that option we have. To choose is to come to want something that is an option for us… we must try to think of wanting in an anon-hedonic way, in a way that allows for our wanting what we know will be painful” (p. 11). For a victim/survivor of sexual violence this means confronting coping behaviors associated with the sexual trauma, which Schick (1998) conceptualized as an “inner changing” (p. 12) whereby things appear in a new light and understanding reasons behind the actions that are possibilities to be chosen. Similarly, Bermudez (2018) indicated that motivation for a particular change in behavior over another can be explained as exercising self-control. Being aware of various options and the possibility to choose, is engaging in conscious decision making, which entails thinking about a desired outcome and working toward an identified goal (Bermudez, 2018). Several studies indicated the importance of self-control, empowerment and positive future orientation (see Table 2).

Speaking Out
Relationships with self and others were discussed in 30 studies where participants indicated how they were able to engage with others after self-discovery. Interpersonal relationships were described as helpful in the recovery process for the women and many indicated the need for breaking the silence about their sexual victimization as indicated in 18 studies, even specifically confronting their abuser (Arias and Johnson, 2013), or helping others by various means such as creating a specific recovery group (Kerlin and Sosin, 2017) but also prevention group (Hitter et al., 2017) and entering a helping profession (Foster and Hagedorn, 2014). Victim/survivors seem to enact agency by speaking out about their experiences of sexual harm along with the desire to speak for others who share the same experiences. Talking about sexual victimization experiences publicly has become a movement, which creates collectivity (Alcoff, 2018).

Given the risk of non-supportive responses including victim-blaming statements following disclosure of sexual victimization (Bhuptani et al., 2019), many women feel safer to voice their experiences anonymously online (Mendes et al., 2019). Women disclosing their sexual victimization using social media have reported experiencing liberation and feeling empowered in having their voices heard Positive reactions to disclosures of sexual victimization have been related to perceived control and increased feelings of self-efficacy as described by several studies. In this regard, it is important to note that even negative responses to disclosure have resulted in increased perceived control and positive coping efforts (Ullman and Peter-Hagene, 2014). Digital disclosure of sexual victimization has been described as being part of a broader movement of speaking out such as #Me Too and #BeenRapedNeverReported, which has been associated with reduced risk of revictimization and improved psychological health (Moors, 2013). A discussion about the possible challenges of using social media as a means of disclosure is beyond the scope of this paper. However, making allegations of sexual violence against a specific person can be ethically and legally problematic (Salter, 2013).

Six studies specifically mentioned women engaging preventatively to ensure their children were protected from sexual violence. Not only did the women recognize their potential and unique position in protecting their children from child sexual abuse (Guggisberg et al., 2021), their children from child sexual
abuse but their sense of control and personal agency was related to a positive future orientation and increased confidence, as discussed by nearly half of the studies. To take control over their lives in an attempt to overcome the traumatic events tended to lead to experiencing a sense of agency, resulting in altruism and advocacy behaviors. As discussed above, online communities have become increasingly popular among women who discuss their sexual victimization, which have not only been recognized as an avenue for activism but an important support mechanism, especially for women who are geographically isolated as online platforms are easily accessible and contribute to a sense of connectedness (Serisier, 2019).

**Strengths and Limitations**

This review substantially advances knowledge on PTG among female victim/survivors of sexual violence. It not only provides an overview and summary of indicators associated with PTG but goes beyond by theorizing about victim/survivors' relationship to self and others. Regardless, the results of this review should be considered in the light of the following limitations: Firstly, focus was placed on female victim/survivors of sexual violence, which means findings may vary for males. Perhaps a separate study involving males would be helpful in assessing this point. Furthermore, even though a large amount of studies was identified published between 2010 and 2020, it is possible that some information was missed due to the publication date limits set. Additionally, as Belur et al. and colleagues 2021 argued, subjectivity must be acknowledged, which may impact the selection of records included in the review. The studies identified in this paper only represent peer-reviewed original research publications; information presented in theses, government reports and conference papers may have been omitted due to the restrictive inclusion criteria. Consequently, generalizability may be limited by the researchers' interpretations of the subject matter along with varying cultural contexts that were not comprehensively explored in this review. Therefore, further research may benefit from removal of time limits, the inclusion of publications other than peer-reviewed studies only, an examination of specific cultural implications, not only for female but male victim/survivors and those identifying as non-cisgender individuals along with quantitative studies that use a meta-analysis approach. Further research should explore PTG experiences among women who were subjected to multiple types of sexual victimization (i.e., in childhood, adolescence, and/or adulthood) in their lifetime to better understand the specific implications of PTG associated with revictimization. There is a need for further research focusing specifically on different types of sexual victimization in childhood vs. adolescence and adulthood. Age-related research may uncover different coping and help-seeking strategies in relation to PTG.

**CONCLUSION**

This review systematically and methodically examined the literature on the two constructs sexual violence victimization and PTG among female victim/survivors. Findings revealed that recovery from sexual victimization is possible as the 41 analyzed articles indicated. The numerous identified themes suggested that the healing process is idiosyncratic and an individual journey for victim/survivors and many different strategies were employed by the women who participated in the studies that were reviewed. Common themes involved women's self-reflection and meaning making activities, resulting in higher degrees of functioning and positive change, which were termed growth. Cognitive appraisal involved having control and decision making abilities that were experienced as empowering. The articles emphasized the interaction between women’s search for meaning, valuing themselves and finding a new purpose and meaning in life and analysis revealed the importance of the notions of control and decision making. Following a synthesis of themes that emerged, a higher order abstraction resulted in the identification of two superordinate topics, which we categorized as “relationship to self” and “relationship to others,” leading to altruistic actions and activism in an attempt to prevent further sexual victimization. Helping victim/survivors deal with the sexual violence facilitated growth as a group, which points to the conclusion that helping others may be a therapeutic vehicle for PTG individually as well as collectively. We recommend developing interventions that reinforce the themes inherent in this review. Furthermore, given that research in this area remains in its infancy, further investigation is urgently needed.
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