Intramuscular depot formulations of leuprolide acetate suppress testosterone levels below a 20 ng/dL threshold: a retrospective analysis of two Phase III studies
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Introduction: Androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) with gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) analogs is a standard treatment for advanced prostate cancer. GnRH analog therapy can reduce testosterone to “castrate” levels, historically defined as <50 ng/dL. With the advent of newer assays, a lower threshold of <20 ng/dL has recently been proposed. We report the results of a retrospective analysis of two Phase III trials of 4- and 6-month depot microsphere formulations of leuprolide acetate (LA), a GnRH agonist that has previously demonstrated efficacy in testosterone suppression to <50 ng/dL in patients on ADT. This analysis investigates the ability of these LA formulations to suppress to ≤20 ng/dL levels.

Methods: In two of five AbbVie/Abbott clinical trials of microsphere formulations of LA for ADT, analytic technology permitting testosterone detection as low as 3 ng/dL was used and thus was selected for this analysis. Both trials were open-label, fixed-dose studies in prostate cancer patients, naïve to ADT. Patients received either 30 mg (4-month formulation; n=49) or 45 mg (6-month formulation; n=151) depot injections of LA microspheres. Treatment duration was up to 32 weeks for the 4-month formulation and 48 weeks for the 6-month formulation. The proportion of patients achieving the 20 ng/dL threshold was determined every 4 weeks.

Results: Pooled analysis showed that 152 of 193 (79%) of patients achieved serum testosterone levels of ≤20 ng/dL at 4 weeks, and sustained the improvement at week 24 (169/189, 89%). Additionally, in the 6-month study, 127/135 (94.1%) patients were suppressed to ≤20 ng/dL at 48 weeks.

Conclusion: Both 4- and 6-month intramuscular depot formulations of LA achieved and maintained mean serum testosterone levels ≤20 ng/dL in the vast majority of patients as early as 4 weeks following treatment initiation. Additional research on the clinical relevance of this lower testosterone threshold is warranted.
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Excluding nonmelanoma skin cancer, prostate cancer is the most prevalent malignancy and is the second leading cause of cancer death among males in the US today. After local therapy, most commonly surgery or radiation, androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) is used for biochemical or prostate-specific antigen relapse and is standard treatment for progressive disease. ADT is also the standard of care for metastatic
prostate cancer.\textsuperscript{3–5} ADT may be achieved via medical treatment with gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonists, GnRH antagonists, or surgical castration (orchiectomy).\textsuperscript{3–5} ADT using GnRH agonists is the most common and well-established treatment for prostate cancer and avoids the stigma associated with orchiectomy.\textsuperscript{4–6} The first administration of a GnRH agonist produces an initial transient increase in testosterone and its metabolite 5α-dihydrotestosterone ("testosterone surge" or "flare"). Continuous regular dose administration, however, results in suppression of luteinizing hormone and follicle-stimulating hormone. The net effect is a decrease in testosterone concentrations to castrate levels.\textsuperscript{5} This decrease in serum testosterone levels inhibits androgen receptor signaling pathways within the tumor cells, resulting in tumor cell death or apoptosis, thereby limiting the cancer’s growth.\textsuperscript{7}

GnRH agonists have been shown to suppress testosterone levels to $<50$ ng/dL ($1.7$ nmol/L), which has been the standard endpoint for treating prostate cancer with ADT for many years.\textsuperscript{4–6,8} Recent studies have shown that testosterone levels closer to a $20$ ng/dL ($0.7$ nmol/L) threshold may improve survival in patients with prostate cancer when compared to those closer to $50$ ng/dL.\textsuperscript{9–13} The mean value of serum testosterone after surgical castration, as determined by current analytical methods, is $15$ ng/dL ($0.5$ nmol/L),\textsuperscript{14} and the most recent 2015 European Association of Urology guidelines now suggest $<20$ ng/dL is a more appropriate target castrate level rather than the original $<50$ ng/dL threshold.\textsuperscript{5}

Leuprolide acetate (LA) is the most widely used GnRH agonist\textsuperscript{15} and is available in depot formulations allowing for administration every 1, 3, 4, or 6 months, depending on the formulation and/or dose.\textsuperscript{10,15} These depot formulations are of two types: intramuscularly-administered LA-containing microspheres\textsuperscript{16} and a subcutaneously-administered biodegradable LA-containing polymer solid.\textsuperscript{17} Both microsphere\textsuperscript{18–21} and solid polymer-based LA\textsuperscript{22–24} have been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for treating prostate cancer based on successful suppression of testosterone levels in randomized clinical trials to the $<50$ ng/dL castrate threshold. At the end of a 12-month clinical study in prostate cancer patients, 88% of patients were shown to have serum testosterone levels $<20$ ng/dL when treated with a 6-month subcutaneous solid depot LA formulation.\textsuperscript{25}

Given the current interest in testosterone suppression to levels $\leq 20$ ng/dL rather than the $50$ ng/dL threshold during ADT,\textsuperscript{19} we carried out a retrospective analysis of two Phase III trials to examine the ability of 4- and 6-month LA intramuscular, microsphere depot formulations (Lupron®; AbbVie, North Chicago, IL, USA) to achieve testosterone castrate levels $\leq 20$ ng/dL.

**Methods**

To date, AbbVie/Abbott has conducted five clinical trials that examined the impact of microsphere formulations of LA therapy on testosterone levels among prostate cancer patients. Among the five trials, two trials used analytic technology (radioimmunoassay or liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry) that allowed testosterone detection to $<20$ ng/dL levels and thus were selected as data sources for the current paper (M93-013\textsuperscript{25} and L-PC07-169 [NCT00626431]\textsuperscript{21}).

Study M93-013 (4-month depot formulation) was an unblinded, multicenter study conducted at 17 centers that enrolled 49 patients with metastatic prostate cancer for a total treatment period of 32 weeks.\textsuperscript{25}

Study L-PC07-169 (6-month depot formulation) was an open-label, noncomparative, multicenter study in 151 males with prostate cancer that included a screening period, a 12-month (48-week) treatment period, and a 30-day follow-up period. This study evaluated the efficacy and safety of two formulations 6-month depot LA (A and B). Only formulation A, however, met prespecified criteria for efficacy, and only the results from formulation A were reported originally\textsuperscript{21} and are reported here.

**Patients**

For the 4-month depot LA formulation study, patients with histologically confirmed prostatic adenocarcinoma and two or more clinically evaluable lesions with prestudy serum testosterone levels of 150 ng/dL (5.2 nmol/L) or higher and a performance status grade $\leq 2$ as defined by the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group scale were enrolled.\textsuperscript{26} None of the patients had previously received a GnRH analog, other hormonal therapy, or chemotherapy within 4 weeks preceding the initial depot injection. Ongoing radiation therapy precluded entry into the study.\textsuperscript{25}

For the 6-month depot LA formulation study, patients were required to have histologically confirmed prostate cancer, TNM staging cT1b-4NanyMany, or rising prostate-specific antigen following radical prostatectomy ($\geq 0.2$ ng/dL increase from previous test on two consecutive assessments) or prostate irradiation ($\geq 2.0$ ng/dL increase above the nadir). Patients required a prestudy serum testosterone level of $>150$ ng/dL, an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance score of $\leq 2$, and at least 32 weeks to have passed since prior hormone therapy.\textsuperscript{21}
These studies were approved by institutional review boards for each site and all patients provided written informed consent before screening or any study-related procedures.

Dosing and testosterone assessments
In the 4-month depot LA formulation study, patients received 30 mg intramuscular depot injections of LA microspheres every 16 weeks (112 days) until there was lack of clinical benefit (at the discretion of the investigator). Testosterone levels were assessed by standard radioimmunoassay. The lower limit of quantitation for the assay was 3.0 ng/dL. Sharifi et al. previously reported the outcomes of the first 32 weeks of treatment using the 50 ng/dL cutoff.

In the 6-month formulation study, patients received two 45 mg intramuscular depot injections of LA microspheres 6 months (24 weeks) apart for a 48-week treatment period. Testosterone levels were assessed by liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry. The lower limit of quantitation for the assay was 2.5–3.0 ng/dL. Outcomes based on a threshold of 50 ng/dL and safety are reported elsewhere.

In the original studies, the suppression rate was calculated using the testosterone castration level of ≤50 ng/dL and this is still the castrate level considered by the regulatory authorities. For this analysis, we used the more stringent cutoff level of 20 ng/dL. The number of patients who had testosterone levels ≤20 ng/dL at each assessment timepoint was determined and the proportion of the total calculated as a percentage. The patients from both studies were pooled at each common timepoint, so that the resulting numbers and percentages represent the total from both studies combined. Analysis of testosterone data was based on observed data. Data were summarized using SAS® Version 9.1 or higher (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results
Four patients were excluded from the 4-month depot LA formulation’s efficacy analysis because no pretreatment testosterone levels were available (two patients) or there was uncertainty of disease stage (two patients). A total of 29 out of 45 patients (64%) had had no previous treatment for prostate cancer. The remaining subjects had undergone transurethral prostatic resection, radical prostatectomy, radiation therapy, or a combination of these therapies.

Demographic details and baseline characteristics of the 45 and 148 patients evaluated for efficacy in the 4- and 6-month depot LA formulations, respectively, are shown in Table 1. These demographic and baseline details are taken from the original publications.

| Characteristic                   | Intramuscular depot formulation |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Race, n (%)                      | 4-month (n=45)                   | 6-month (n=148)                  |
| White                            | 23 (51)                          | 116 (78.4)                       |
| Black                            | 21 (47)                          | 30 (20.3)                        |
| Asian                            | NA                               | 1 (0.7)                          |
| Multi-race                       | NA                               | 1 (0.7)                          |
| Ethnicity, n (%)                 |                                  |                                 |
| Hispanic or Latino               | 1 (2)                            | 7 (4.7)                          |
| Not Hispanic or Latino           | 44 (98)                          | 141 (95.3)                       |
| Age, years                       |                                  |                                 |
| Mean (range)                     | 69.7 (54.0–84.0)                 | 74.9 (48.0–92.0)                 |
| Weight, kg                       | 78.0 (45.4–122.5)                | 85.0 (49.0–129.4)                |
| Height, cm                       | 175.3 (162.6–188.0)              | 175.3 (149.9–193.0)              |
| Time since histopathological diagnosis of prostate cancer, years | 0.6 (1.2) | 4.6 (4.7) |
| Testosterone, ng/dL              | 423.7 (152.9)                    | 433.0 (175.1)                    |
| Prostate-specific antigen, ng/mL |                                  |                                 |
| Mean (range)                     | 11.0 (0.02–125.1)                | 35.7 (0.2–1517.3)                |

Abbreviations: NA, not available; SD, standard deviation.

Notes: Data from a previous study. Adapted with permission from Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. Spitz et al. Efficacy and safety of leuprolide acetate 6-month depot for suppression of testosterone in patients with prostate cancer. 2012;15(1):93–99.

Both 4- and 6-month intramuscular depot injections suppressed serum testosterone below the 20 ng/dL threshold in the majority of patients. After 4 weeks of treatment, 152 out of 193 patients (78.8%) achieved serum testosterone levels ≤20 ng/dL; at the 24-week timepoint, 169/189 (89.4%) of patients were similarly suppressed. At 48 weeks (6-month formulation only), 127/135 (94.1%) were suppressed ≤20 ng/dL (Figure 1).
Mean testosterone levels for the 45 evaluable patients on the 4-month LA depot formulation decreased from a baseline mean of 423.7 ng/dL (14.7 nmol/L) to 10.7 ng/dL (0.4 nmol/L) by week 6 and then stayed within the range of 9.7–21.0 ng/dL (0.3–0.7 nmol/L) through week 32.25 In the 6-month LA depot formulation, baseline mean testosterone was 434.6 ng/dL (15.1 nmol/L) and testosterone levels reached 15.9 ng/dL (0.6 nmol/L) by week 4 and remained ≤11.0 ng/dL (0.4 nmol/L) at the end of each treatment cycle until the end of the study.21

The safety profile of intramuscular LA depot formulations is well established from previous studies18–21 and from many years of prescription experience.16 The safety profile of intramuscular LA depot formulations has been reported previously.21,25

**Discussion**

Testosterone is known to promote the growth of prostate cancer cells and ADT is the standard treatment for males with advanced prostate cancer.27 Treatment with GnRH analogs results in reduction of serum testosterone levels to those observed after orchiectomy.27 Androgen deprivation can result in decreased mortality and can increase survival rates in males with advanced prostate cancer.3 In the current analysis, the use of the 4- and 6-month intramuscular microsphere depot LA formulations for ADT in patients with prostate cancer produced testosterone levels ≤20 ng/dL in the majority of patients under normal treatment conditions. Across the two studies, 89.4% of patients at 24 weeks on treatment achieved serum testosterone at or below the 20 ng/dL threshold. In the 6-month formulation study, 94.1% of patients were suppressed at week 48.

The standard castrate level has been defined for many years as <50 ng/dL; however, even lower testosterone castrate levels may be beneficial in reducing further the risk of mortality in prostate cancer patients on ADT.5,9,11–13 In a retrospective, hypothesis-generating analysis of 73 males with nonmetastatic prostate cancer on ADT, Morote et al12 demonstrated that survival free of castration-resistant cancer progression was greater in patients who did not have breakthrough testosterone levels >32 ng/dL (1.1 nmol/L). Mean survival-free of androgen independent progression was 137 months for those who had no breakthrough levels >32 ng/dL versus 88 months for those with breakthrough levels >32 ng/dL (P<0.03).

A retrospective analysis by Perachino et al13 of 129 metastatic prostate cancer patients who were treated with goserelin (another GnRH agonist) every 12 weeks suggested that there is a direct correlation between the risk of death and the levels of testosterone in males on ADT. They concluded that the higher the testosterone levels (range 17.5–50.5 ng/dL [0.6–1.8 nmol/L]) in these patients after 6 months of treatment, the greater the risk of death (hazard ratio 1.33, P=0.05). Bertaglia et al9 studied 153 patients receiving ADT and demonstrated that serum testosterone levels <30 ng/dL (1 nmol/L) were associated with a significantly lower risk of death (hazard ratio 0.45, P=0.034). In a secondary analysis of the Canadian PR-7 trial of intermittent versus continuous ADT, Klotz et al11 found that males undergoing continuous ADT whose first year nadir testosterone levels were consistently >0.7 nmol/L (>20 ng/dL) had significantly higher risks of dying from the disease compared with those whose nadir values levels were ≤20 ng/dL. For 0.7–1.7 nmol/L (20–50 ng/dL), the hazard ratio for death was 2.08 versus those whose levels were ≤0.7 nmol/L (≤20 ng/dL), and for those with >1.7 nmol/L (>50 ng/dL), the hazard ratio was 2.93, indicating higher mortality risk for this cohort.

**Conclusion**

In this retrospective pooled analysis of two Phase III trials, intramuscular depot LA achieved and maintained mean serum testosterone levels at the ≤20 ng/dL castrate level in the vast majority (79%–94%) of patients through 48 weeks.
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