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ABSTRACT

Objective: To examine the effectiveness of educational intervention on rehabilitation knowledge and practice among patients after hip replacement surgery at Thanh Hoa General Hospital. Method: An educational intervention study with comparison of rehabilitation knowledge and practice at baseline and post intervention among 55 patients after hip replacement surgery. Results: The mean score of rehabilitation knowledge at immediately after the intervention increased to 13.18 ± 2.74 compared to 7.96 ± 1.97 the baseline (p<0.001). The proportion of study participants who achieved rehabilitation knowledge increased to 87.3% after the intervention. The mean score of practice at immediately after the intervention increased significantly, reaching 13.60±2.37 compared to 6.22±2.37 at the baseline (p<0.001). The proportion of study participants who achieved the rehabilitation practice increased to 90.9% after the intervention. Conclusion: The rehabilitation knowledge and practice among patients after hip replacement surgery were still limited before intervention. Educational interventions significantly improved rehabilitation knowledge and practice among patients after hip replacement surgery. The nurses and healthcare providers should consider to integrate the educational intervention in their usual care practice for the patients with hip replacement surgery.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Currently, along with the development of medicine, the aging of the global population is increasing. Diseases in the hip joint are more common, in which femoral neck fractures and hip osteoarthritis are the most common diseases. According to statistics of the World Health Organization, one in eight people had a disease in the hip joints and hip replacement surgery was the optimal indication when all treatments fail. Worldwide, more than 1 million hip replacements were performed each year. In 2015, the number of hip replacement surgeries per 100,000 population was 308 in Sweden, 299 in Germany, 241 in France, and 204 in the US [1]. In Vietnam, there are currently no specific statistics on the number of hip replacements, but research showed that hip replacement surgery become popular in Vietnam and become a routine surgery in hospitals.

The financial burden of hip replacement in health care was high, with in the United
States alone it was estimated that more than $15 billion a year was spent on hip replacement surgery [2]. In Vietnam, the cost for a hip replacement surgery ranged from 45-80 VND million excluding hospital fees and other costs, although the cost came from a flexible health insurance policy, the financial burden for people with health insurance was reduced. This payment level was still relatively high compared to the income of patients who mainly live in rural areas, accounting for 67% [3].

The fact that taking care of hip replacement patients before and after surgery indicated that there were challenges. Before surgery due to pain, legs are sedentary for many months and years, causing muscle atrophy in buttocks, thighs, making muscles weaker than usual. After surgery, the pain of the incision was the most affected in the first three days [4], in addition, we also found that the patient’s movement depended mostly on the patient’s own efforts, the patients were afraid of pain, fatigue, therefore, they were scare of rehabilitation, along with the lack of knowledge about rehabilitation after surgery, affecting the recovery process after surgery. Stemming from the fact of care, the question is whether hip replacement patients have a full awareness of rehabilitation and the need for action to improve for the purpose of improving rehabilitation knowledge and practice for patients with hip replacement surgery. Rehabilitation for patients with hip replacement, we conducted a study on the topic “the effectiveness of educational intervention on rehabilitation knowledge and practice among patients after hip replacement surgery at Thanh Hoa General Hospital in 2021” with the objectives: To examine the effectiveness of educational intervention on rehabilitation knowledge and practice among patients after hip replacement surgery at Thanh Hoa General Hospital.

2. PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS

2.1. Research setting and duration
Research setting: Department of Trauma Surgery, Thanh Hoa General Hospital.
Research period: from January 2021 to May 2021

2.2. The participants of the study:
Patients after hip replacement surgery.

2.2.1. Inclusion criteria
- Have completed medical records,
- Be followed up after surgery.
- Patient can communicate in Vietnamese

2.2.2. Exclusion criteria
- The patient had previous hip replacement surgery on the other side.
- The patient did not fully participate in the intervention.

2.3. Research design
Using the one-group educational intervention method with comparison baseline and post intervention data.

2.4. Sample size and sampling method
Sample size
During the period from January to May 2021, 55 patients who met the inclusion criteria for participating in the study were selected.

Sampling
Convenience sampling technique was used to collect the patients with hip
replacement surgery. They were being treated at the Department of Trauma Surgery, Thanh Hoa General Hospital during the data collection period from January 2021 to May 2021.

2.5. Data collection procedure

Data collection was performed at two time points using the same measurements. The knowledge and practice of the study population were measured at baseline post intervention health education (T1 - day 1 after surgery) and the knowledge and practice of the after the health education intervention (T2 - before being discharged from the hospital). The selected eligible patients were explained about the purpose of the study and invited to participate in the study. The patient agrees to participate in the study, he/she signed the consent form and participate completely the intervention program.

2.6. Educational intervention

The participants were the patient after the 1st day of hip replacement surgery. They were being treated at Department of Trauma Surgery, Thanh Hoa General Hospital.

The researcher was facilitators to perform education intervention program for patients in all sessions ensuring consistency in the contents and methods of educational intervention on mobility rehabilitation after hip replacement surgery. The collaborators supported and performed data collection.

Duration of the intervention program: Daily in the morning from 7:00 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. was the time for usual care and treatment activities. The patients were provided the bedside examination, infusions, bandage change. The rest of the time was spent on instructing the patients in exercise and rehabilitation after surgery. Therefore, the research process was mainly conducted from 9:30 a.m. to 10:30 a.m. in the mornings from Monday to Friday. The duration of a educational intervention sessions lasted 30 to 40 minutes each day.

The content of educational intervention was based on the guidance document of the Ministry of Health No. 3109/QD-BYT dated 19/08/2014 and the contents of the hip rehabilitation program after hip replacement surgery by two authors Ha Hoang Kiem and Luong Anh Tho Research at hospital 175 and Institute of Trauma and Orthopedics in Ho Chi Minh City.

Interventional program was conducted through handouts, presentation. The interventionist explained to provide knowledge for the patient to understand when to start rehabilitation, purpose of rehabilitation, the procedures with explanations and illustrations.

2.7. Measurements

The questionnaire and checklists were developed based on the guidance of the Ministry of Health No. 3109/QD-BYT [5]. The content of hip rehabilitation program after hip replacement surgery was authored by two authors, Ha Hoang Kiem and Luong Anh Tho studied at the 175 hospital and the Ho Chi Minh City Institute of Trauma and Orthopedics [6].

The questionnaire includes:

Part A: Demographic characteristics of the study participants with questions from A1 to A6.

Part B: Clinical characteristics of the participants with questions from B1 to B4.

Part C: Questions of participants’ knowledge of rehabilitation after hip
Part D: Checklist to evaluate the participants' practice of rehabilitation after hip replacement surgery with questions from D1 to D5.

The measurement was used uniformly for time points of pre-intervention (T1) and post-intervention (T2).

Evaluation criteria: Calculating the total knowledge score and take the cut-off point of 50% to classify the knowledge of the participants. The total scores of knowledge were 19, in which, the participants with a total score higher than 9 points were classified in the group with sufficient knowledge, and conversely, those with a knowledge score less than 9 points were grouped with insufficient knowledge. The total score of practice were 19. Calculating the practice total score and take the 50% cut-off to classify the practice. Research participants with a total score of practice higher than 9 were classified into the group of sufficient practice, and conversely, those with a knowledge score of less than 9 points were grouped with insufficient practice.

2.8. Data analysis

The data were collected and processed using SPSS 20.0 software. General information about the participants was analyzed by descriptive statistics including frequency, percentage.

The knowledge and practice improvement after the intervention compared to the baseline was based on comparing the difference in mean scores \( \overline{X} \pm SD \) of knowledge and practice. Percentage of participants according to the classification of knowledge and practice levels, percentage of patients with sufficient knowledge and practice by each content were conducted. The t-test was used to compare two mean values.

2.9. Ethical consideration

The study was approved by the Scientific Council and the Institutional Review Board of Nam Dinh University of Nursing, Decision No. 12/QD-DDN. The approval was conducted from Thanh Hoa Provincial General Hospital. All research participants were explained specifically about the purpose and content of the research and voluntarily agree to participate and cooperate in the research process. The participants had the right to refuse to participate in the study or to terminate the study at any stage of the study. All participant information was kept confidential and used for research purposes only.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Participant characteristics

The mean age of the study participants was 55.31 ± 10.31 with the oldest being 73 and the lowest being 23. In which, the highest proportion with 81.8% were patients in the age group from 40 to 69. Patients in the age group higher than 70 accounted for the lowest rate of 7.3%. Most of them were male (80.0%), living in rural areas (85.5%).

Patients with occupations as farmers accounted for the highest rate of 56.4%, the group of patients working as workers accounted for 7.3%, the group of retire accounted for 14.5%, the group of cadres and civil servants accounted for 12.7%, slightly higher than other occupation groups of 9.1%.

Patients with lower secondary education accounted for 43.6%, the lowest in the group with intermediate, college and
university degrees accounted for 16.4%, the group of patients with primary and high school accounted for 20%.

The main cause of hip replacement was aseptic necrosis of the femoral head with 49.1% and fracture of the femoral neck with 36.4%.

Comorbidities included cardiovascular diseases with 4 patients (7.3%), hypertension with 7 patients (12.7%), diabetes with 6 patients (10.9%). Total hip replacement was the most applied in 48 patients, accounting for 87.3%, the remaining 12.7% was partial hip replacement. 100% joints were without cement

3.2. The knowledge and practice improvement in rehabilitation of patients undergoing hip replacement surgery after the educational intervention (n= 55)

![Figure 1. The knowledge improvement on the time of rehabilitation after surgery]

The results indicated that the majority of patients answered the time of rehabilitation was from the 3rd day after surgery, accounting for 49.1%, only 18.2% of patients answered at the right time for rehabilitation at day 1 postoperation. The rate of correct answers increased to 80% after the intervention.

| Movements                  | Done correct and sufficient movements |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                            | Baseline                 | Post intervention |
|                            | n  | %     | n  | %     |
| Ankle flexion and extension| 16 | 29,1  | 45 | 81,8  |
| Quad squeeze               | 8  | 14,5  | 30 | 54,4  |
| Knee flexion and extension | 4  | 7,3   | 21 | 38,2  |
The results showed that after the intervention the percentage of patients who performed correctly and sufficiently for each movement increased. Of which, it was noteworthy that 81.8% of the patients performed correct and sufficient flexion and extension of the ankle joint, however, only 38.2% of the patients performed the knee flexion and extension and 49.1% of patients performed leg lifts.

![Figure 2. The improvement of knowledge classification on rehabilitation (n =55)](image)

Before intervention, the rate of patients with sufficient knowledge reached 20.0%. After the intervention, the percentage of patients with sufficient knowledge increased to 87.3%.

**Table 2. The total score of knowledge improvement on rehabilitation (n=55)**

| Score | (Min) | (Max) | Mean (±SD) | p (t-test) |
|-------|-------|-------|------------|-----------|
| Baseline (T1) | 4 | 13 | 7.96 ± 1.97 | p =0.00 |
| Post intervention (T2) | 8 | 18 | 13.18 ± 2.74 | |

Before the intervention, the mean score of the participants' knowledge was 7.96 ± 1.97. After the intervention, the average knowledge score of the study participants increased to 13.18 ± 2.74, in which, the lowest was 8 and the highest was 18. The difference was statistically significant with p<0.01.
Figure 3. The improvement of practice classification on rehabilitation (n = 55)

21.8% of the patients passed the practice at baseline and increased to 90.9% after the educational intervention.

Table 3. The total score of practice improvement on rehabilitation (n = 55)

| Score                  | (Min) | (Max) | Mean (±SD)    | p (t-test) |
|------------------------|-------|-------|---------------|------------|
| Baseline (T1)          | 3     | 11    | 6.22 ± 2.59   |            |
| Post intervention (T2) | 7     | 17    | 13.36 ± 2.77  | p=0.00     |

Before the intervention, the average score of rehabilitation was 6.22 ± 2.59, increased to 13.36 ± 2.77 after the intervention, in which the lowest score was 7 and the highest score was 17. The difference between post-intervention and baseline was statistically significant with p<0.01.

4. DISCUSSION

The results showed that the number of patients who started rehabilitation from day 1 after surgery accounted for a relatively low rate of 18.2% at baseline. This rate was slightly lower than the results of Nguyen Thi Thuy [4] had 24.2% and Topçu SY 30.6% [7] of patients starting to rehabilitation after surgery on the first day. In addition, the research results showed that the majority of patients started rehabilitation from the 3rd day after surgery, accounting for 49.1%. The reason for the differences because the patient's rehabilitation was dependent on the patient's own efforts. Many patients were afraid of pain and fatigue, so they failed to conduct rehabilitation. In addition,
patients had the most pain in the first 3 days after surgery [4], but after intervention, the proportion of patients choosing day 1 after surgery as the time to start rehabilitation increased to 80%.

The hip is a large joint with a wide range of movement that is supported by a system of ligaments, joint capsule and hip musculoskeletal system, which is a strong buttock and thigh muscle. Before surgery due to pain, leg sedentary for many months and years, causing muscle atrophy in the buttocks and thighs, making muscles weaker than usual. In order for the hip joint function to be best restored after surgery, the patient needs to start rehabilitation early and properly. Exercises of ankle, knee flexing, extension, quad squeeze, hip open, close were applied by researchers and brought about positive results such as the study of authors Ha Hoang Kiem and Luong Anh Tho [6], Huynh Thi Truc Lam, Le Huy Hoa, Ann Henderson [8], Nguyen Thanh Dieu et al [9]. In the study, before the intervention, the percentage of patients who performed correctly and sufficiently all movements was quite low: ankle flexing, extension with 29.1%; quadriceps muscle contraction with 14.5%; knee flexion and extension with 7.3%; hip open, close with 9.1% and leg lift with 3.6%. However, after intervention, this rate increased to: 81.8%, 54.4%, 38.2%, 60% and 49.1%, respectively. This was the reality of the patient's rehabilitation process and thereby the healthcare providers should pay more attention to the patient’s condition, explain to the patient the importance of rehabilitation after surgery. Moreover, the nurses who work closely to the patients should supervise the patient’s rehabilitation process to assist the patient and the family during rehabilitation to increase the effectiveness of the intervention. Consequently, these actions may limit complications caused by rehabilitation, and speeding up the recovery process for the patient. After the intervention, the percentage of patients who practiced correctly and sufficiently in each movement increased compared to before the intervention.

Classification of rehabilitation knowledge: before the intervention, 20% of the study participants had sufficient knowledge and 80% of the study participants insufficient knowledge. Measurement on the patients’ knowledge about rehabilitation after hip replacement surgery, the researcher conducted a health education communication intervention by distributing leaflets and consulting directly with patients in the ward. After the intervention, there were 87.3% of the study participants with sufficient knowledge. This rate showed that the intervention improved knowledge for the study participants. The percentage of patient with sufficient knowledge increased from 20% before the intervention to 87.3% after the intervention. At the time before the intervention, the average knowledge score of the participants was 7.96 ± 1.97, after the intervention the mean score of knowledge increased to 13.18 ± 2.74 in which , the lowest score was 8 and the person with the highest score was 18. The difference is statistically significant with p<0.01.

Classification of rehabilitation practice: before the intervention, only 21.8% of the participants achieved right and correct practice and 78.2% of participants failed. After being directly instructed in practical exercises on patients, that ratio changed markedly. At the time after intervention, the practice rate was 90.9%. Before the intervention, the average practice score
of the participants was 6.22±2.59, after the intervention the average practice score increased to 13.60 ± 2.37, of which the lowest score reached 9. points and the person with the highest score was 17. This difference is statistically significant with p<0.01.

5. CONCLUSION

From the results of measuring the knowledge and practice on rehabilitation of patients after hip replacement surgery at Thanh Hoa Provincial General Hospital, we draw the following conclusions:

Health education interventions markedly improved the rehabilitation knowledge and practice of patients after hip replacement surgery, specifically:

The mean score of the participants’ knowledge at the time after the intervention increased to 13.18 ± 2.74 compared to 7.96 ± 1.97 at baseline (p<0.01). The percentage of participants with sufficient knowledge increased to 80.0% after the intervention.

The mean score of practice of the participants at the time of intervention increased significantly, reaching 13.60±2.37 compared to 6.22±2.37 at baseline (p<0.01). The rate of participants with correct and right practice increased with 90.9% after the intervention.
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