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A B S T R A C T

Laboratory soil column experiments have been frequently performed for investigating various soil-related processes. In recent years, the demand for using biochar as a soil amendment for environmental and agricultural purposes has increased significantly. To assess the beneficial impacts of biochar, laboratory column experiments may be conducted using repacked biochar-amended soil before large-scale biochar application. Biochar is a porous material that might have transient hydrophobicity, and particle density, size, and shape that often differ from native soil. These factors might cause several experimental problems in repacked laboratory columns, including unrealistic hydraulic and solute transport and transformation measurements, spatial variation of biochar content, and error in estimating the repacked biochar-amended soil properties. Therefore, it is necessary to modify standard repacked column packing procedures for biochar-amended soil. In this work, several modifications are described for preparing repacked biochar-amended soils. The modifications are rinsing and oven-drying biochar, determining the optimum moisture content to achieve a homogenous mixture, determining the desired bulk density before column packing, and mixing and packing under wet conditions. In addition, repacked columns should be characterized by their inter, intra, and total porosities and pore volume after column packing.

• Steps are recommended prior to packing the repacked biochar-amended soil columns: rinsing biochar and pre-determining optimum moisture content and bulk density.
• Columns are wet-packed in subsections at the optimum moisture content to the desired bulk density. Following packing, the inter, intra, and total porosities and pore volume should be determined.
• These steps will reduce unrealistic transient results, inhibit nonuniform packing and heterogeneity of biochar content, and provide important information for interpreting the performance of biochar-amended media.
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Method details

Soil columns have been used for over three centuries in the fields of environmental and soil science, engineering, hydrology and hydrogeology, and agriculture to study soil hydraulic and pneumatic properties, solute transport and transformation, plant growth and nutrient uptake, and to evaluate the accuracy of models describing these processes [1]. Depending on the purpose of the experiment, columns are either repacked, and thus filled with disturbed soil, or monolithic and filled with undisturbed, intact soil samples [1]. Laboratory column experiments may be conducted under saturated or unsaturated experimental conditions. Substantial differences exist between the designs of repacked and monolithic columns and columns designed for saturated and unsaturated conditions [1,2]. Although standard methods applicable for all experimental conditions have not yet been produced, guidelines have been developed to construct and operate soil columns to address particular questions [1–3].

Biochar is the product of the thermochemical conversion of biomass in an oxygen-limited environment, a process called pyrolysis or charring [4]. Biochar is being considered for use as a soil amendment for a wide variety of environmental and agronomic purposes, including but not limited to improving soil hydrologic function, soil remediation, improving crop yield, and reducing greenhouse gas emission [4]. Laboratory column experiments have been performed widely to assess the beneficial effects of biochar. Because lab experiments are generally performed before large-scale field applications, biochar-amended soils columns are mostly repacked. The results from the repacked laboratory column experiments should be reproducible and accurate. Often fresh biochars have transient hydrophobicity [5,6] that can result in unrealistic water distributions, transient water retention properties, and preferential water and gas flow. Biochar particles are also generally less dense than soil and might have different particle size and shape compared to the soil they are amended with [7,8]. These property differences might cause heterogeneous distributions of biochar in the column [7], which can result in unrealistic transport and chemical or biological transformations by creating heterogeneous flow regimes. Finally, because biochar particles are porous [4,9,10], modifications are needed to quantify the porosity of the packed column and to quantify the pore volume within biochar particles.

In this paper, we provide modifications to generally followed procedures for repacked laboratory column experiments that are required for biochar-amended soils. The modifications are provided for steps prior to, during, and after column packing and are suggested for both saturated and unsaturated column experiments.
Pre column packing

Biochar rinsing

Fresh biochar can be coated by semivolatile organic compounds condensed onto the biochar surface during pyrolysis [5]. These compounds may make the biochar hydrophobic; however, the magnitude of the hydrophobicity varies with feedstock and pyrolysis temperature [5,6]. Often, this hydrophobicity is transient and may be removed by rinsing with water or heating at drying temperature (105 °C) [5]. Biochars with transient hydrophobicity may cause several experimental problems: difficulty in fully saturating the biochar-amended soil, if desired; preferential water flow; and biochar surfaces inaccessible to solutes in flowing water resulting in unrealistic sorption, transformation by biochar, and solute transport, especially in short-term column experiments. Such transient hydrophobicity would be removed naturally by infiltrating rainwater over several rainfall events after biochar amendment to the soil in the field. Therefore, any temporary biochar hydrophobicity should be removed such that wettability is stable and reproducible results can be obtained from biochar-amended soil columns. The recommended procedure is as follows:

1. Pour the required amount of biochar into an inert clean container. Note that a portion of the biochar might be leached or lost during these steps. Prepare 10–20% extra biochar.
2. Add deionized or distilled water to reach 1:50 (w biochar/w water) ratio, and seal.
3. Shake the container for 24 h on a mechanical shaker at 150 rpm.
4. Separate the supernatant from biochar by either centrifuging, sieving, or filtering, depend on the size of the container and biochar particles.
5. Measure the electrical conductivity (EC) of supernatant.
6. Repeat steps 2–5 until EC of supernatant stabilizes.
7. Oven-dry the biochar at 105 °C for 24 h, which will allow accurate measurement of dry biochar mass later. Heating also helps to stabilize biochar wettability [5].
8. Weigh oven-dried biochar and store it in a sealed dark container until column packing.

Fig. 1 shows the EC of the supernatant from successive rinsing of wood pellet-derived (WD) and poultry litter (PL) biochars pyrolyzed at 400 and 500 °C. The EC of the supernatant after the first rinsing was significantly higher for PL than WD biochars. For all biochars, the supernatant EC stabilized after about four rinses, resulting in EC of <25 and <200 µS/cm for WD and PL biochars, respectively. The measurement of the water drop penetration time or contact angle for biochar, which indicates biochar hydrophobicity [11], can be used as an alternative method to the supernatant EC measurements to verify stable biochar wettability.

Determining optimum moisture content

Biochar segregation is a naturally occurring discriminatory motion that separates soil and biochar in their mixture when the mixture is poured, shaken, rotated, or stirred during packing. Segregation can occur because of differences in the physical properties of the soil and the biochar: particle size, density, and shape. However, segregation can be prevented by mixing moist soil and biochar since inter-particle adhesion forces associated with water reduce the flowability of particles [7]. On the other hand, adding too much water might make a slurry, which is also challenging to pack. Therefore, the required moisture content of the mixture to obtain a homogenous mixture should be determined prior to column packing:

1. Prepare sufficient (e.g., 1 kg) biochar-amended soil mixture according to the desired biochar content. The biochar content of biochar-amended soil is often reported as either mass-based ($BC_{mass} = \text{biochar mass/(soil mass + biochar mass), [g/g]}$) or volume-based ($BC_{volume} = \text{biochar volume/(soil volume + biochar volume), [cm}^3\text{/cm}^3]$). The reported volume-based biochar content has been based on either soil and biochar particle volume (either envelope or skeletal) or bulk volume. The skeletal particle volume is the volume occupied by the solid and any inaccessible pores within the solid, while the envelope particle volume is the sum of the solid volume and volume of
Fig. 1. The electrical conductivity of supernatant after rinsing of wood pellet-derived (WD) and poultry litter (PL) biochars produced by pyrolysis at 400 and 500°C.

pores that are accessible (intra pore) and inaccessible within the solid [10,12,13]. The bulk volume is the envelope volume of all particles plus the volume of pores between particles (inter pores). To obtain a particular mass-based or volume-based biochar content, the corresponding mass or volume of soil and biochar are often measured separately, then they are mixed. The mass measurements can be easily and accurately performed using a laboratory scale. On the other hand, particular volumes of biochar and soil particles are usually not easily and accurately measurable in the lab: particle volume (skeletal or envelope) measurement required specific laboratory apparatuses [10,12,13], while bulk volume measurement depends on the packing intensity of bulk materials. Therefore, even when a particular volume-based biochar content is desired or reported, we recommend it be converted to a mass-based biochar content. Then, an experimentalist may accurately reach the targeted volume-based biochar content by easily weighing and combining the oven-dried masses of soil and biochar. The expression for converting volume-based to mass-based biochar content is:

\[
BC_{mass} = \frac{V_{biochar} + V_{soil}}{\rho_{biochar} + V_{soil} + V_{biochar}} BC_{volume}
\]

(1)

where \( V \) [cm\(^3\)] is the particle (skeletal or envelope) or bulk volume, and \( \rho \) [g/cm\(^3\)] is the corresponding particle (skeletal or envelope) or bulk density. Hereafter, all the laboratory steps are explained based on the mass-based biochar content.

2. Add 2–5% gravimetric water content (\( g_{water} / g_{mixture} \)) to the mixture and carefully and thoroughly mix. The lower range of the water content is suggested for cases with small biochar mass fraction, small biochar intra porosity, and coarse-textured soil. For fine-textured soils, higher biochar contents, and biochars with large intra pore volume, the upper range of water content is suggested.

3. Visually examine the homogeneity of the mixture. If a homogeneous mixture is not achieved, repeat step 2 and add another 2–5% water content. Repeat as necessary until homogeneity is achieved.

For most soil/biochar mixtures, the optimum gravimetric water content is \( \sim 10–20\% \). Nakhli et al. used 14–18% gravimetric water content to mix a sand with different sizes of biochar before packing.
Column packing

Although the steps followed in column packing may seem trivial, they can significantly influence the results of the experiments. For example, the packing of the column is done to make the column uniform throughout. Several packed columns have been used to prepare repeated laboratory columns. The most common approaches are slurry and dry or damp packing [1]. Slurry packing involves saturating the soil/biochar with an excess of water, then slowly pouring or sprinkling dry packing [1].

For all the steps above, use the same column that will be used in the experiment for column packing. The selected packing intensity and bulk density of soils without and with biochar will be used during the column packing described below.

- Applying the packing intensity determined from Method 1 or 2 to pack the biochar-amended soil.
- Mixing and packing must be performed at the packing intensity and bulk density similar to the intact soil. The forces applied during packing must be relatively low in order to prevent crushing the soil/biochar particles compared to soils.
soil/biochar into the column \([1]\). Slurry packing should not be used for packing biochar-amended soils, though, because differences in soil and biochar particle size, shape, and especially density results in different settling velocities between biochar and soil and, consequently, particle segregation. Dry or damp packing involves loading small discrete amounts of soil/biochar into the column, and mechanically compacting it with a ram or pestle \([1]\). As explained above and shown by Nakhli et al. the dry packing procedure can cause segregation of biochar and is not recommended \([7]\). While the detailed experimental procedure for damp packing repacked soil columns is provided elsewhere \([1]\), the following modifications are suggested for biochar-amended soil columns to achieve columns with uniform bulk density and biochar content:

- For long columns \((> \sim 20 \text{ cm})\), to have a uniform bulk density and compaction, divide the column into shorter subsections \((\text{e.g.}, \sim 5 \text{ cm})\) and mark each subsection.
- Use the column or subsection volume \((V, \text{cm}^3)\), the desired dry bulk density determined in pre column tests \((\rho_{\text{bulk}}, \text{g/cm}^3)\), and desired biochar content to calculate the mass of biochar and soil \((M, \text{g})\):

\[
M_{\text{biochar}} = V \rho_{\text{bulk}} B C_{\text{mass}}
\]

\[
M_{\text{soil}} = V \rho_{\text{bulk}} (1 - BC_{\text{mass}})
\]

- Pour the soil and biochar into a mixing container, add water (= optimum moisture content \(\times (M_{\text{biochar}} + M_{\text{soil}})\)), then mix them to achieve a homogenous mixture.
- If applicable, pack each subsection separately to the desired bulk density.
- While pouring and compacting the wetted homogenous mixture, avoid vibration. Even in the wet-packing method, excess vibration may segregate biochar and soil \([14]\).
- Due to the lower mechanical strength of biochar particles than soil, use a plastic pestle (not metal) and do not exert excessive pressure to avoid breaking biochar particles.
- If possible, use a clear plastic or glass column to examine the homogeneity of the mixture during packing visually.

Fig. 2 shows a column \((L \times D = 30 \times 5 \text{ cm})\) packed with a uniformly graded sand \((0.5–0.595 \text{ mm})\) and 4% mass-based biochar of the same size under dry and wet \((16.5\% \text{ g/g water content})\) conditions. As can be seen from the side wall of the column, dry-packing resulted in a layered and heterogeneous mixture, while a homogenous mixture was obtained for wet-packing.

**Post column packing**

In most laboratory column experiments, the pore volume \((\text{void volume} = \text{total column volume} - \text{solid volume}, \text{[cm}^3\text{])}\) and porosity \((\bar{\phi} = \text{pore volume/total volume}, \text{[cm}^3\text{/cm}^3\text{])}\) are needed, for example, for analyzing tracer breakthrough curves and calculating the column-average degree of water saturation. The average mixture particle density \((\bar{\rho}_{\text{particle}}, \text{[g/cm}^3\text{])}\) is needed to calculate the porosity of biochar-amended soil. The \(\bar{\rho}_{\text{particle}}\) of a mixture with known \(BC_{\text{mass}}\) is

\[
\bar{\rho}_{\text{particle}} = \frac{1}{BC_{\text{mass}}} \frac{\rho_{\text{biochar}}}{\rho_{\text{particle}}} + \frac{1 - BC_{\text{mass}}}{\rho_{\text{soil}}} \rho_{\text{soil}}
\]

The porosity is calculated from the bulk density and average particle density of the biochar-amended soil

\[
\bar{\phi} = 1 - \frac{\rho_{\text{bulk}}}{\bar{\rho}_{\text{particle}}}
\]

Because biochar is a porous material, two types of particle density are relevant: envelope and skeletal density. The analytical methods for determining the biochar envelope and skeletal densities are found elsewhere \([10,12,13]\). The biochar envelope density \((\rho_{\text{envelope}})\) and skeletal density \((\rho_{\text{skeletal}})\) are the mass of biochar divided by the envelope volume and skeletal volume (defined above), respectively. If \(\rho_{\text{envelope}}\) is used to calculate \(\bar{\rho}_{\text{particle}}\) in Eq. 4 and then \(\phi\) is computed from Eq. 5, the porosity is inter porosity \((\phi_{\text{inter}})\) - the pore volume between particles divided by total volume. On the
other hand, if $\rho_{\text{skeletal}}$ is used, the porosity is total porosity ($\varnothing_{\text{total}}$) - the sum of pore volume between particles and accessible internal biochar pore volume divided by total column volume.

The biochar intra pore volume ($PV_{\text{intra}}$ [cm$^3$/g]) can be calculated from biochar skeletal and envelope densities from

$$PV_{\text{intra}} = \frac{1}{\rho_{\text{envelope}}} - \frac{1}{\rho_{\text{skeletal}}}$$

(6)

The intra porosity ($\varnothing_{\text{intra}}$), which is the biochar internal pore volume divided by total column volume, is calculated by

$$\varnothing_{\text{intra}} = \varnothing_{\text{total}} - \varnothing_{\text{inter}} = \beta C_{\text{mass}} \rho_{\text{bulk}} PV_{\text{intra}}$$

(7)

For each porosity type, the corresponding pore volume is the total column volume multiplied by the porosity. For proper assessment of pore structure, each of these porosities should be computed and reported.

When the column experiment is completed, the homogeneity of biochar content in the column can be checked by a two-temperature loss on ignition method [15] or X-ray computed tomography scanning [7]. The former is an easy, fast, and inexpensive method to quantify biochar content. However, it is a destructive method that requires dismantling the column. X-ray computed tomography is an expensive method and might not be accessible to all scientists, but it is a non-destructive technique to quantify the spatial variability of biochar content.
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