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ABSTRACT

In this work, carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) were utilized as efficient adsorbents for pantoprazole (PP) removal. We used MWCNTs that were synthesized using the chemical vapor deposition process. The physical characteristics of MWCNTs were described by Bruinauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) contact area, surface functional group analysis by the point of zero charge (pH_{PZC}), Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) analysis, Scanning electron microscope (SEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), and Transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The single-point BET surface area of the MWCNTs was found to be 98.7 m² g⁻¹, with the median pores' diameter of 30.9 nm and an average pore(s) volume of 0.764 cm³ g⁻¹. Effective parameters on the PP removal including, pH, contact time and initial amount of adsorbents were optimized, revealing maximum PP removal at pH=6.0 after 25.0 min when 0.026 g MWCNTs. The pseudo second-order kinetic model for adsorption of PP on the surface of both adsorbents revealed the high value of correlation coefficient, indicating the high ability of the pseudo second-order model for representation of experimental results. Adsorption equilibrium studies indicated that the Freundlich isotherm efficiently represented MWCNTs adsorption data. The thermodynamic parameters (Gibbs free energy, enthalpy, and entropy) of adsorption process were calculated. Results had shown that adsorption of PP on the MWCNTs is feasible, spontaneous, and exothermic process in the temperature range of 25-76 °C.
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1. INTRODUCTION

These days, water waste of medicinal residues is actually a significant problem. Drug metabolites in water join human organisms and interact with many bio-activities. Hormone, enzyme, and hereditary are the most significant biological results [1]. Industrial wastewaters usually contain some non-biodegradable water-soluble toxic wastes for instance, toxic dyes such as congo red [2] and methylene blue [3] and pharmaceutical active compounds (PhACs), for example, drug residues such as pantoprazole [4] and omeprazole [5]. MWCNTs with minor defects and positive traits may be available. Gained by laser ablation and, arc discharge but only in limited amounts. Even so, MWCNTs can be produced in large amounts Chemical vapor deposition (CVD) and the diameter, number of layers and growth MWCNTs are often easy to control in such a manner that MWCNTs are normally prepared by CVD, this method has become increasingly popular because of its high performance, high yield and pure MWCNTs [6]. Due to rapid advancement of MWCNTs on the catalytic converter (usually Co, Ni, Fe, etc.) and the transformation of the metal-assisted catalyst Transformation of hydrocarbons (such as ethylene, methane, CO, propylene, benzene, etc.). MWCNTs processed by CVD would include metal catalysts at one extreme and carbonaceous contaminants, such as amorphous carbon, polyhedral carbon, and aromatic carbon. PhACs can play several biological roles in our body and therefore can affect our biological activities, for instance, enzymatic and hormonal, as well as genetic [7]. These wastes can make serious environmental damages [8,9]. The first water-soluble benzimidazole, 5-(difluoromethoxy)-2-[[3-(3,4-dimethoxy-2-pyrindinyl) methyl] sulfanyl]-benzimidazole. applied was pantoprazole (PP) which is one of the most widely used medicines in the world [10]. Roughly 70 to 95 percent of the PP usage is excreted into the urine and feces as metabolites that are inert or pharmaceutically active [11]. PP residues occur at levels up to 0.18 mg L⁻¹ [12,13] in household wastewaters. In this case, it is almost impossible to remove PP drug residues from water. It is thus necessary to stress that the removal of pantoprazole from water is not a finished available method [1].

A wide variety of experimental protocols, for example, photocatalytic degradation [14–16], filtration [17,18], reverse osmosis [19,20], biological treatment [21,22], and adsorption [23,24] process have been utilized for water treatment. From many different methods of removal, adsorption is deemed to be the best one. This is the result of its being simple and cost-effective, non-toxic as well as easy to operation [2,25–27]. Recently, a wide variety of adsorbents such as modified activated carbons [28], metal organic [29] as well as magnetite/graphene oxide nano composite [30] have been utilized for drugs removal from waste water samples. MWCNTs are generally conceded to be an exceptionally suitable choice for the adsorption mechanism; this is in fact both the result of price reduction and a consequence of high-quality characteristics of MWCNTs [31].

In this research, inexpensive MWCNT compounds were synthesized using a standard Chemical vapor deposition (CVD) process. Additionally, our modified MWCNTs have acted as a promising candidate for PP adsorption. Effective parameters on removal efficiency including, pH, contact time and initial amount of adsorbents were optimized. Kinetic models were employed to investigate the data corresponding to the adsorption of PP on the surface of MWCNTs. Adsorption equilibrium studies indicated that the Freundlich isotherm efficiently represented MWCNTs adsorption data. The thermodynamic of adsorption process was investigated.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Materials

All the main chemicals used were of analytical grade. PP, sodium hydroxide (NaOH), nitric acid (HNO₃), sodium chloride (NaCl), Trisodium phosphate (Na₃PO₄), sodium nitrate (NaNO₃), sodium sulfate (Na₂SO₄) and hydrochloric acid (HCl) were purchased from Merck Chemicals (Darmstadt, Germany) and used without any further purification. By dissolving the required amount of PP in a certain volume of distilled water, a stock solution of PP (1000 mg/l) has been made. By diluting the stock PP solution with distilled water, working PP solutions were prepared. To change the pH of operating solutions to the appropriate amount, solutions of NaOH (0.1 M) and HCl (0.1 M) have been used.

2.2. Apparatus

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Perkin-Elmer series 200, column C18, UV detector, λ = 291 nm, USA), was used to PP concentration determination in solutions. Mobile phase consisted of phosphate/acetonitrile buffer, pH 7.4 (65:35 v/v). A Metrohm pH-meter (model: 827) was used for pH measurements. When we went through the examination of our samples' morphology, the methods we used were: Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) (Philips EM 208S, 100 kV, Netherlands), Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-IR) (PerkinElmer, RX1, USA), Field Emission-Scanning Electron Microscopy (FE-SEM) (TESCAN, MIRA3, Czech Republic), Bruauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) (Micromac Bel Corp CO, Japan), and Point of Zero Charge (pH_{PZC}).

2.3. Method

All experiments were conducted in a closed, 50 mL glass, round-bottom flask. The flask, which contained the MWCNTs and 25.0 mL of the PP solution, was placed at 25 °C and mixed at 700 rpm for 25 min. The experiments to determine the effect of contact time were performed at 25 °C for contact periods ranging from 0 to 60 min using 1.0 g L⁻¹ of MWCNTs and an initial PP concentration of 70.0 mg L⁻¹ at pH 6.0. In the experiments to identify the effect of PP
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concentration (MWCNTs = 0.40 g L\(^{-1}\) and T = 25 °C), the dye concentrations were 45.0 to 180.0 mg L\(^{-1}\). In the experiments to determine the effect of the dosage of the MWCNTs (PP concentration = 50.0 mg L\(^{-1}\) and T = 25 °C), the dosages were 0.20 to 1.6 g L\(^{-1}\). In the experiments to establish the effect of temperature (MWCNTs = 1.04 g L\(^{-1}\) and PP concentration = 75.0 mg L\(^{-1}\)), seven different temperatures (25, 35, 43, 52, 66, 70, and 76 °C) were evaluated. To obtain equilibrium data for the isotherm studies, 25 mL volumes of the PP solutions with different initial concentrations, ranging from 20 to 180 mg L\(^{-1}\), were in contact with 1.0 g L\(^{-1}\) of the MWCNTs in a closed, 50 mL glass, round-bottom flask at constant temperature. These experiments were brought at a constant initial pH value of 6.0 for a contact period of 25 min. At the end of equilibrium period, suspensions were centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 3 min, and the PP concentration in the supernatant was determined with HPLC (10.0 mg of PP was first weighed and then stirred with an amount of 40 mL of 0.05 M NaOH for a period of 12 hours. This volume was filled up to exactly 50 mL for the measurement of drug concentration determination (after filtration) by HPLC). The amount of PP adsorbed (mg g\(^{-1}\)) and the removal efficiency (%) were computed as follows:

\[
\%R = \frac{C_0 - C_e}{C_0} \times 100
\]

\[
q_t (mg g^{-1}) = \frac{(C_0 - C_e) \times \frac{V}{m}}{t}
\]

Where, V and M are represented to total volume (L) and adsorbent amount (g), respectively, \(C_0\) is initial concentration of PP (mg L\(^{-1}\)), and \(C_e\) is equilibrium concentration of PP (mg L\(^{-1}\)).

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1. Characterizations of MWCNTs

Measurements related to X-ray diffraction (XRD) were made to precisely investigate the structure and the phase of MWCNTs according to chemical vapor deposition methodology. Fig. 1 shows the product’s XRD pattern obtained at the conclusion of the process. Diffrac plus Assessment Program conducted XRD analysis of the sample, and the tag number of the International Centre for Diffraction Data was 00-058-1638. Such peaks were attributed to the carbon nanotubes in the software, according to this tag number. In the XRD curves of pure MWCNT, the high peak at around 20=26° is clearly visible. This peak is the (0 0 2) peak which has also been found in the structure of hexagonal graphite. The peak at around 42° was, in fact, the (1 0 0) peak.

**Figure 1.** XRD pattern of synthesized carbon nanotubes by the CVD method.

#### 3.2. TEM image

Figures 2 (A) and (B) illustrate the TEM images that had been adapted from the obtained produce. In Fig. 2(A) we can find the image of the MWCNTs synthesized before treating with acid. As for Fig. 2 (B) image, the TEM representation of the specimen after the acid phase was used to remove amorphous carbon structures. Dense MWCNTs were present in the structure, as both images indicate, and the average diameters of the tubes were 20-30 nm according to their TEM images, while their lengths were about 1-2 μm. Observed at the ends of the MWCNTs were certain catalyst particles used during the synthesis process. In comparison, the MWCNTs were package-formed. Fig. 2(A) displays the very transparent sheets. These sheets had been amorphous carbon materials that during the decomposition of C\(_2\)H\(_2\) gas could not be transformed into nanotubes. The bundle of MWCNTs also contained dense, black particles. The nano-iron particles that serve as catalysts are these particles. On these particles, MWCNTs nucleate and expand. Fig. 2(B) indicates that the amorphous carbon in the structure is not essentially present. This condition illustrates the effective removal of amorphous carbon from the powder of acid mixture. Moreover, it was clear that there were greatly decreased nano-dimensional iron particles, but none could have been said to be present. In fact, the acid mixture have no effect on the particles entangled in nanotube walls and which expanded with MWCNTs. In other terms, these particles were produced by the MWCNTs as protective medium.

**Figure 2.** TEM images of the MWCNTs, synthesized (A) before treating with acid (B) after acid treatment.

#### 3.3. BET analyze, average pore diameter and total pore volume of the MWCNT

Based on the nitrogen adsorption process, the single-point BET surface area for the MWCNT was calculated to be 98.7 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\); the average pores diameter was measured with a Belsorp mini II (Microtrac Bel Corp CO. Japan) apparatus to be 30.9 nm. The volume of the pore was measured to be 0.764 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\).

#### 3.4. FTIR analysis for examination of PP adsorption on MWCNTs

FTIR research explored the potential of MWCNTs to remove PP by their adsorption. The functional groups on the MWCNT surface were defined using FTIR. Fig. 3 displays the MWCNTs, FTIR spectrum in optimal conditions both before PP adsorption and after PP adsorption. The absorption peak at 3404 cm\(^{-1}\) can be caused by moisture adsorption or carboxylic acid bonding OH. The bands in the 1550–1750 cm\(^{-1}\) range can indeed be attributed to the aromatic \(\text{C}–\text{H}\) stretching vibration of –OH and –CH, respectively. The wide peak at 3404 cm\(^{-1}\) can be caused by moisture adsorption or carboxylic acid bonding OH. The bands in the 1550–1750 range can indeed be attributed to C=O groups in different conditions (carboxylic acid, ketone/quinone) and to C= C in aromatic rings, while the bands in the range of 1300–950 cm\(^{-1}\) show the existence of C–O bonds in different chemical surroundings. The wavelength band at 1624 cm\(^{-1}\) is most likely attributable to the aromatic and unsaturated configuration of the C–C bond, band around 1385 cm\(^{-1}\) attributed to alternating bands in the C–O moiety region (e.g. C–O–C groups—structural oxides, oxygen bridges, etc.) present in the FTIR propagation spectra of the MWCNTs [32]. The shifts in characteristic wavenumbers in the direction of lower wavenumbers reduce and decrease the intensity some of peaks, indicating the electrostatic interaction between charged MWCNTs surfaces and groups in PP.
The surface of MWCNTs was negative when the pH solution is higher than 9.4, so it has a positive charge at the lower pH value and a negative charge at the higher pH value [34–36]. The influence of pH on the removal of PP by adsorption on MWCNTs was studied by varying the pH values from 3.0 to 11.0, using a contact time of 25 min at each pH value at 25°C. Fig. 4 shows the results. Accordingly, the amount of PP that was adsorbed was found to increase with the increase in pH from 3.0 to 6.0, then decreased, and finally remained constant from pH 6.0 to 9.0. In the end, the adsorption levels of PP decreased sharply as the pH increased from 10.0 to 11.0.

The point of zero charge (pH_{PZC}) of the MWCNTs must be determined in order to understand the adsorption mechanism. The acid-base titration method was used to determine the pH_{PZC} [37]. By adding either HCl or NaOH, the pH of a 0.01 M NaCl solution was adjusted between 2.0 and 12.0. 0.15 g MWCNTs was added to each 50 mL of the solution when the pH for each solution was constant. The pH_{PZC} value has been determined. The pH_{PZC} for MWCNTs is 9.4. When the solution is lower than pH_{PZC}, the surface of MWCNTs had more positive charges, which enhanced the electrostatic interaction between the negatively charged PP and the positive adsorbent. The enhanced electrostatic attractive forces resulted in increased adsorption of molecules from the water.

The surface of MWCNTs was negative when the pH solution is higher than pH_{PZC} (9.4), thereby increasing the electrostatic repulsion between negatively charged PP and the negative adsorbent [38].

3.7. Effect of the dosage of the adsorbent

The effect of the adsorbent’s dosage on the capacity of the MWCNTs to remove PP from the solution was investigated with different dosages of the adsorbent in the range of 0.2–1.6 g L^{-1} at 25 °C, initial pH of 6.0, and an initial dye concentration of 50 mg L^{-1}. The amount of PP adsorbed increases from 40 % of PP to 94.2 for 1.0 g L^{-1} adsorbent. This is due to the increase in surface area resulting from the increase in adsorbent mass, thus increasing the number of active adsorption sites.

3.8. Adsorption kinetics and Adsorption Equilibrium Studies

The treatment of aqueous sample applied adsorption kinetic studies since these studies may provide useful information about the adsorption process mechanism [39]. In order to determine the rate constants of phenomena for purposes of investigating the pollutant adsorption mechanism on the adsorbent, Elovich [40], intra-particle diffusion [41], pseudo-first-order [42], and pseudo-second-order [43] models were selected to match the sorption kinetic data obtained. The experimental kinetic data were calculated to explain detailed characteristics of the PP adsorption processes of MWCNTs. PP adsorption kinetic parameter on MWCNTs, were presented in Table 1.

3.8.1. Pseudo-first-order model

The Lagergren, pseudo-first-order Equation is the most common kinetic Equation and it has only been used in this quick first stage. The Equation of the pseudo-first-order is given by:

$$\frac{\ln (q_e - q_t)}{q_e - q_t} = k_1t$$

where $q_t$ and $q_e$ are the quantity of species adsorbed at the same equilibrium and at any time (t), respectively, per unit mass of adsorbents (mg g^{-1}) while $k_1$ is the pseudo-first-order sorption rate constant (min^{-1}). Fig. 5 (A) displays the linear diagram of the PP adsorption pseudo-first-order model on MWCNTs.

3.8.2. Pseudo-second-order model

The Equation of the pseudo-second order is given as follows:

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}$$

where $k_2$ is the pseudo-second-order sorption rate constant (g.mg^{-1}.min^{-1}). Fig. 5 (B) displays the results. The estimated $q_e$ values were more close to the experimental $q_e$ values and the correlation coefficient (R) values were relatively higher (R^2 >0.999) than the other kinetic models. Thus the pseudo-second-order kinetic model better suited MWCNTs to PP adsorption, which could be a rate-controlling phase.

3.8.3. Intra-particle diffusion model

Weber and Morris intra-particle diffusion model [41] has been developed, which may be shown as:

$$q_t = k_3t^{1/2} + 1$$

Where the quantity of PP adsorbed at time t (minutes) per unit weight of MWCNTs is $q_t$ (mg g^{-1}), the intra-particle diffusion rate constant is $k_3$ (mg g^{-1} min^{-1/2}), and the intercept is C. For measuring a diffusion-controlled reaction, the linear plot of $q_t$ versus $t^{1/2}$ is used. The rising C values show that there is an improvement in molecule boundary layer thickness, which plays a significant role in the rate-limiting stage of surface adsorption [44]. Fig. 5 (C) shows the diagram of the PP adsorption intra-particle diffusion model on MWCNTs.

3.8.4. Elovich model

Another kinetic Equation for chemical sorption is defined by Zeldowitch. This is the linear shape of Elovich’s Equation:

$$q_t = 1/\beta \ln(t) + 1/\beta \ln(\alpha b)$$

where a is the Elovich initial adsorption rate constant (mg g^{-1} min^{-1}) and b is the desorption constant (g mg^{-1}).

---

**Figure 3.** FT-IR spectra of (A) MWCNTs and (B) the adsorbed PP on the surface of MWCNTs.

**Figure 4.** Effect of pH on PP removal, conc. PP 50 mg L^{-1}, dosage adsorbent 0.4 g L^{-1}, volume 25 mL, contact time 25 min, and temperature 25 °C.
Important parameters, such as Elovich maximum adsorption capacity and Elovich constant could be calculated from the slope and intercept of the Equation (6) and reported in Table 1 and result display in fig. 5 (D). The slope and intercept for Equation (6) can be determined using significant parameters, such as the Elovich maximum adsorption capacity and the Elovich constant. These have been laid out in Table 1. Table 1 also presents the coefficient of correlation ($R^2$) for PP and kinetic parameters. These data demonstrate clearly that the pseudo-second-order coefficients are nearer to 1.00 in comparison with pseudo-first-order ($R^2$= 0.753), intra-particle diffusion ($R^2$= 0.605), and Elovich ($R^2$= 0.755) models.

### 3.9. Adsorption isotherms

Freundlich [45], Langmuir[46], Temkin [40], and Dubinin–Radushkevich isotherm models were used to research the relationship between the adsorbate and adsorbent concentration at equilibrium and the maximum adsorptive capacity at constant temperature [59]. The absorption function, surface properties, adsorbent inclination, and explanations of absorption experimental data were interpreted in the isotherm models. Fig. 6 shows the linear plots of isotherm models of Freundlich, Langmuir, Temkin, and Dubinin–Radushkevich adapted to the adsorption of PP on MWCNTs. All Freundlich, Langmuir, Temkin, and Dubinin–Radushkevich parameters derived from the plots are presented in Table 2.

#### 3.9.1. Freundlich isotherm model

The Freundlich isothermal model is based on the premise that on a heterogeneous surface, with contact between the adsorbed molecules, the adsorption of metal ions takes place by multilayer adsorption. This isotherm is derived from an empirical Equation that describes an adsorbent's exponential distribution of the adsorption nucleus [47]. While Langmuir isotherm was originally developed to demonstrate the adsorption of gas molecules on homogeneous surfaces, the action of solutions on solid surfaces was later further studied [48].

The Freundlich model's linear shape employing logarithms is defined by the following Equation:

$$\ln q_e = \ln K_f + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e$$

(7)

In Equation 7, $q_e$ is the equilibrium adsorption capacity (mg g$^{-1}$), $C_e$ is the equilibrium concentration of PP in solution (mg L$^{-1}$), $n$ and $K_f$ (mg g$^{-1}$ (mg L$^{-1}$)$^n$) are the isothermal adsorption constants of Freundlich acquired by Equation 7 from the intercept and slope.

An example of the adsorption variance from linearity is the value of $n$ (g L$^{-1}$) and the heterogeneity factor is the value of $1/n$. An $n$ value between 1 and 10 (i.e. $1/n < 1$) implies a desirable adsorption value. For $n=1$, there is linear adsorption; but for $n=1$, adsorption will become a chemical process; for $n=1$, adsorption then becomes physical process. $n$ above 1 (n=3.891) was observed in this analysis, demonstrating that the adsorption of PP on MWCNTs is a physical process [49], and if $1/n$ below 1 a typical Langmuir isotherm is observed, cooperative adsorption occurs for $1/n$ above 1 [50]. Therefore, a typical Langmuir isotherm is the adsorption of PP on MWCNTs.

#### 3.9.2. Langmuir isotherm

The Langmuir model suggests that adsorbate adsorption takes place on a homogeneous surface by monolayer adsorption, without any contact between the adsorbate at neighboring site [51]. The linear form of the isothermal model of Langmuir may be defined as:

$$\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{K_L q_m} + \frac{C_e}{q_m}$$

(8)

$q_e$ in the Equation 8 presents the adsorption capacity at equilibrium (mg g$^{-1}$), and $q_{max}$ is the maximum adsorption capacity, $K_L$ is the Langmuir isotherm

| Model                      | Equation                                      | Parameters | Quantity |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------|----------|
| Pseudo-first order kinetic | $\ln(q_e - q_i) = \ln q_{ie} - K_1 t$         | $q_{ie}$, $K_1$ | 64.1     |
|                           |                                               |            | 0.114    |
|                           |                                               | $q_{ie}$   | 20.41    |
|                           |                                               | $R^2$      | 0.753    |
| Pseudo-second order kinetic | $\frac{t}{q_i} = \frac{1}{K_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_{e0}}$ | $K_2$, $q_{e0}$ | 0.205    |
|                           |                                               | $q_{e0}$   | 4.93     |
|                           |                                               | $R^2$      | 1.000    |
| Intra-particle diffusion   | $q_i = K_3 q_e^{1/2} + C$                      | $K_3$, $C$ | 2.139    |
|                           |                                               | $q_{e}$    | 50.24    |
|                           |                                               | $R^2$      | 0.605    |
| Elovich model              | $q_i = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln(t) + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln(\alpha)$ | $\alpha$, $\beta$ | 0.183    |
|                           |                                               | $\alpha$   | 14862.6  |
|                           |                                               | $R^2$      | 0.755    |

**Table 1.** PP adsorption kinetic parameter on MWCNTs (pH=6.0, conc. PP 70 mg L$^{-1}$, dosage adsorbent 1.0 g L$^{-1}$, volume 25 mL, and temperature 25 °C).
constant (L mg⁻¹), while Ce is the equilibrium concentration of PP in solution (mg L⁻¹). 1/qₑₑₚₑₑ and Kₑₑ are constants which are obtained from regression Equation where 1/qₑₑₑₑ is intercept and Kₑₑ is slope. The validity of the method of adsorption is defined in terms of the dimensionless ratio of separation (Rₑₑ), which is an integral function of the Langmuir model. The separation factor (Rₑₑ) will have then been calculated with the following Equation (8):

$$R_{L} = \frac{1}{1 + K_{L}C_{e}}$$

where Cₑₑ is the initial concentration of PP. The RL value is an instance of the unfavorable (Rₑₑ > 1), linear (Rₑₑ = 1), desirable (0 < Rₑₑ < 1) or irreversible (Rₑₑ = 0) isothermal and adsorption processes. For MWCNTs, the value was 0.24 (Rₑₑ < 1), suggesting that the adsorption of PP on this substance was beneficial.

### 3.9.3. The Temkin Isotherm

This isotherm requires a factor that takes adsorbent-adsorbate interactions directly into account. The model assumes that heat adsorption (temperature function) of all molecules in the layer will decrease linearly rather than logarithmically with coverage by overlooking the exceedingly low and broad value of concentrations [52,53]. Its derivation, as indicated in the Equation (10), is defined by a uniform distribution of binding energies (up to some limit binding energy) by plotting the product of sorbed qₑₑ against lnCₑₑ and calculating the constants from the slope and intercept. The following Equation (10) provides the model [54]:

$$q_{e} = \frac{RT}{b} \ln(aC_{e})$$

This isotherm is simplified as follows [54,55]:

$$q_{e} = \beta \ln \alpha + \beta \ln C_{e}$$

$$\beta = \frac{R}{b}$$

α = Temkin isotherm equilibrium binding constant (L g⁻¹)

βₑₑ = Temkin isotherm constant

R = universal gas constant (8.314 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹)

T = Temperature at 298 K.

β = Constant related to heat of sorption (J mol⁻¹)

### 3.9.4. Dubinin–Radushkevich isotherm model

The Dubinin-Radushkevich isotherm is often used to demonstrate the adsorption mechanism on a heterogeneous surface with a Gaussian energy distribution. High-solute operations and the intermediate spectrum of solute operations and the intermediate spectrum of adsorbate interactions and qₑₑₑₑₑₑ are the amount of adsorbate in the adsorbent (mg g⁻¹), respectively, at equilibrium. K is the Dubinin–Radushkevich isotherm constant (mol² k⁻¹ J⁻²) and ε is the Dubinin–Radushkevich isotherm constant. The process was commonly used to discriminate between the physical and chemical adsorption of metal ions with its mean free energy, E mean adsorption energy, which can be determined by the relationships [57,58]:

$$E = 1/\sqrt{2K}$$

$$\varepsilon = RT ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{Ce} \right)$$

In the meanwhile, the ε parameter can be computed as:

$$R$$ is gas constant (8.314 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹), T represents temperature (K), and Cₑₑ is adsorbate equilibrium concentration (mg L⁻¹). A chemical reaction happens in the case of E<8 kJ mol⁻¹, where E>8 kJ mol⁻¹ is a physical adsorption [59]. The effects of the isothermal adsorption parameters are presented in Table 2. The findings explicitly show that the R² values were far higher than those found for the Freundlich model, the adsorption equilibrium value matches well with the Langmuir model.

![Figure 6. Adsorption isotherms. (A) Freundlich, (B) Langmuir, (C) Temkin, and (D) Dubinin–Radushkevich plots for adsorption of PP onto MWCNTs, pH=6.0, dosage adsorbent 1.0 g L⁻¹, volume 25 mL, contact time 25 min, and temperature 25 °C.](image)

Table 2. Isotherm model parameters for the adsorption of PP on MWCNTs (pH=6.0, contact time 25 min, dosage adsorbent 1.0 g L⁻¹, volume 25 mL, and temperature 25 °C).

| Model                  | Equation                          | Parameters                        | Quantity |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|
| Freundlich isotherm    | Ln qₑₑ = ln Kₑₑₑₑ + (1/n) ln Cₑₑ | Kₑₑₑₑ (L mg⁻¹)                   | 34.6     |
|                        |                                    | R²                                | 0.998    |
| Langmuir isotherm      | Cₑₑ/qₑₑ = 1/Kₑₑₑₑₑₑ + Cₑₑ/qₑₑₑₑₑₑ | qₑₑₑₑ (mg g⁻¹)                   | 111.1    |
|                        |                                    | Kₑₑₑₑ (L mg⁻¹)                   | 0.143    |
|                        |                                    | R²                                | 0.987    |
| Temkin isotherm        | qₑₑ = βₑₑ ln Kₑₑₑₑ + βₑₑ ln Cₑₑ | βₑₑ (L mg⁻¹)                     | 2.41     |
|                        |                                    | R²                                | 0.951    |
| Dubinin–Radushkevich  | Ln qₑₑ = Ln qₑₑₑₑₑₑ - ε²          | qₑₑₑₑ (mg g⁻¹)                   | 84.5     |
| isotherm model         |                                    | K                               | 1×10⁻⁶  |
|                        |                                    | E (kJ mol⁻¹)                     | 707.1    |
|                        |                                    | R²                                | 0.834    |
3.5. Adsorption 3.10. thermodynamic studies

The temperature effect of different temperatures from 298 to 349 K was studied. All other parameters were kept stable, with an initial PP concentration of 75.0 mg L\(^{-1}\), 1.0 g L\(^{-1}\) MWCNT at pH 6.0, the result indicates that with the increase in temperature, the adsorption potential of MWCNTs decreases from 68.22 mg g\(^{-1}\) (89 percent removal) to 55.13 mg g\(^{-1}\) (71.9 percent), suggesting that the PP is exothermic by nature. With the increase in temperature, the decline in adsorption may be largely attributable to the weakening of the adsorptive forces between the active sites of the adsorbent and adsorbent species and even between the adsorbed adsorbent molecules. Related findings were obtained with phenol adsorption by organo transformed Tirebolu bentonite by senturk et al. [60]. Thermodynamic factors, including Gibbs free energy (\(\Delta G^o\)), enthalpy (\(\Delta H^o\)), and entropy (\(\Delta S^o\)), assessed the viability of the adsorption operation. From the following Equation (17), \(\Delta G^o\) was determined:

\[
\Delta G^o = -RT \ln K_d
\]

(17)

where \(R\) is the universal gas constant (8.314 J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)), \(T\) is the temperature (K), and \(K_d\) is the distribution coefficient. The following Equation (18) was used to determine the \(K_d\) value:

\[
K_d = \frac{q_e}{C_e}
\]

(18)

where \(q_e\) and \(C_e\) are the equilibrium concentration of PP on MWCNTs (mg L\(^{-1}\)) and in the solution (mg L\(^{-1}\)), respectively. The enthalpy (\(\Delta H^o\)), and entropy (\(\Delta S^o\)) of adsorption were estimated from the Equations below:

\[
\Delta G^o = \Delta H^o - T \Delta S^o
\]

(19)

This Equation can be written as:

\[
\ln K_d = \frac{\Delta S^o}{R} - \frac{\Delta H^o}{RT}
\]

(20)

From the Van’t Hoff plot ln \(K_d\) against 1/T, the entropy (\(\Delta S^o\) J K\(^{-1}\)) and enthalpy (\(\Delta H^o\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) values for the adsorption of PP on MWCNTs at various temperatures were calculated and presented. In the temperature range of 298–349 K, the negative values of \(\Delta G^o\) suggested that the adsorption mechanism was practicable and spontaneous. The negative value of the enthalpy verified the exothermic nature of the adsorption, which was also confirmed by a reduction in the temperature rise of the PP absorption value. Also, during adsorption of PP on MWCNTs, the negative value of the entropy indicated diminished randomness at the solid/liquid interface. Parameters of thermodynamic were presented in Table 5.

| \(T\) (°C) | \(\Delta G\) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) | \(\Delta S\) (J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\))\(^*\) | \(\Delta H\) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\))\(^*\) |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 25 | -12.69 | | |
| 35 | -12.53 | -15.94 | -17.44 |
| 43 | -12.40 | | |
| 52 | -12.26 | | |
| 66 | -11.97 | | |
| 70 | -11.87 | | |

\(^*\) Measured between 298 and 349 K.

3.11. Effect of ionic strength

There are several kinds of electrolytes in industrial waste water and natural waters that have major effects on the adsorption mechanism, so it is necessary to measure the effects of ionic strength on the removal of PP from aqueous solutions. NaCl, NaPO\(_4\), NaSO\(_4\) and NaNO\(_3\), widely found in water, were chosen as model salts in the current study to examine their effect on the adsorption of PP on MWCNTs.

The adsorption experiments were performed by independently applying varying concentration ranging through 0.005–0.3 M of NaCl, NaPO\(_4\), NaSO\(_4\) and NaNO\(_3\) solutions to 75 mg L\(^{-1}\) of PP solutions containing 1.04 g L\(^{-1}\) of MWCNTs. The latest method of adsorption has been extended to these solutions. The increase in the concentration of salt contributed to a decline in the adsorption of PP on MWCNTs.

The sum of PP adsorption declined from 43.3 to 42.2, 38.0, 36.8 and 33.8 mg g\(^{-1}\) as the salt concentration rose from 0 to 0.3 M and the percentage elimination performance decreased from 96.5 percent to 94.1 percent, 84.8 percent, 82.0 percent and 75.5 percent for NaCl, NaPO\(_4\), NaSO\(_4\) and NaNO\(_3\) salts, respectively. These effects may be clarified: in the presence of these salts, the active sites of the adsorbent can be inhibited so that PP molecules are stopped from binding to the adsorbent surface. The removal performance of PP under the opposing salts tested was not substantially affected.

CONCLUSION

This study has shown that MWCNTs particles would remove PP from aqueous solutions efficiently. Efficient removal efficiency parameters including pH, contact time and initial adsorbent amount were optimized, revealing optimum removal of PP at pH=6.0 after 25.0 min when 0.026 g MWCNTs were used. To analyze the data corresponding to the adsorption of PP on the surface of MWCNTs, kinetic models were used. It was found that the pseudo second-order model for adsorption of PP on the surface of MWCNTs suggests the high data representation potential of this model. Adsorption equilibrium experiments found that MWCNTs adsorption data are effectively expressed by the Freundlich isotherm. As a result, a heterogeneous system was given, which was represented by physical adsorption. The thermodynamic mechanism of adsorption has been explored. By raising the temperature, the adsorption of PP on MWCNTs decreased. The exothermic nature of the adsorption was demonstrated by the negative value of \(\Delta H^o\) (-17,44 KJ mol\(^{-1}\)). Also, during adsorption of PP on MWCNTs, the negative value of \(\Delta S^o\) (15.94 J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)) indicated reduced randomness at the solid/liquid interface. The amount of PP absorption decreased as the concentration of NaCl, NaPO\(_4\), NaSO\(_4\) and NaNO\(_3\) salts increased.
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