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Systematic review with a meta-analysis: clinical effects of statins on the reduction of portal hypertension and variceal haemorrhage in cirrhotic patients
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ABSTRACT

Background Statins may improve outcomes in patients with cirrhosis. We performed a systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the effect of statins on patients with cirrhosis and related complications, especially portal hypertension and variceal haemorrhage.

Methods Studies were searched in the PubMed, Embase and Cochrane library databases up to February 2019. The outcomes of interest were associations between statin use and improvement in portal hypertension (reduction >20% of baseline or <12 mm Hg) and the risk of variceal haemorrhage. The relative risk (RR) with a 95% CI was pooled and calculated using a random effects model. Subgroup analyses were performed based on the characteristics of the studies.

Results Eight studies (seven randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and one observational study) with 3195 patients were included. The pooled RR for reduction in portal hypertension was 1.91 (95% CI, 1.04 to 3.52; I²=63%) in six RCTs. On subgroup analysis of studies that used statin for 1 month, the RR was 2.01 (95% CI, 1.31 to 3.10; I²=0%); the pooled RR for studies that used statins for 3 months was 3.76 (95% CI, 0.36 to 39.77; I²=75%); the pooled RR for studies that used non-selective beta-blockers in the control group was 1.42 (95% CI, 0.82 to 2.45; I²=64%); the pooled RR for studies that used a drug that was not reported in the control group was 4.21 (95% CI, 1.52 to 11.70; I²=0%); the pooled RR for studies that used simvastatin was 2.20 (95% CI, 0.92 to 5.29; I²=69%); RR for study using atorvastatin was 1.82 (95% CI, 1.00 to 3.30). For the risk of a variceal haemorrhage, the RR based on an observational study was 0.47 (95% CI, 0.23 to 0.94); in two RCTs, the pooled RR was 0.88 (95% CI, 0.52 to 1.50; I²=0%). Overall, the summed RR was 0.64 (95% CI, 0.42 to 0.99; I²=6%).

Conclusion Statins may improve hypertension and decrease the risk of variceal haemorrhage according to our assessment. However, further and larger RCTs are needed to confirm this conclusion.

INTRODUCTION

Cirrhosis, including compensate and decompensate, was in the top eight causes of death in the USA in 2010 and led to more than 49,500 deaths. The median survival of patients with compensated cirrhosis is >12 years, and patients with decompensated cirrhosis exhibit a median survival of <2 years. Portal hypertension and oesophageal varices are common complications of cirrhosis, and these conditions develop into variceal haemorrhage, which produces a mortality of 10%–15% per episode. The hepatic venous pressure gradient (HVPG) is a significant indicator of portal hypertension and varices bleeding. Reduction in HVPG indicates an improvement in portal hypertension and a decline in bleeding risk.

Statins are widely used in clinical practice because of their exact and effective lipid-lowering effects. The use of statins in patients with liver disease has long been limited by concerns of their potential hepatotoxicity, which have been raised by anecdotal
evidence of increased liver enzymes following statin use or the possible trapping of lipids in the liver. Some residual concern remains among primary care physicians in prescribing statins to patients with underlying liver disease because some of doctors still believe that these patients are at increased risk for hepatotoxicity. However, a growing interest in the potential benefits of statins in patients with liver diseases has recently emerged. Recent in vivo and in vitro experiments have gradually demonstrated that statins also exhibit anti-inflammatory, immune-modulating, antiproliferative and antioxidant effects as well as improved endothelial function and inhibit platelet aggregation and certain Gram-negative bacteria. These findings led to the development of statins in basic research of liver disease and laid a solid foundation for clinical practice.

We performed a systematic review and meta-analysis based on the most recent studies (randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and a cohort study) to evaluate the effects of statins in patients with cirrhosis and related complications, especially portal hypertension and variceal haemorrhage.

**METHODS**

**Search strategy**

PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Controlled Trial Registry and The Cochrane Library were searched up to February 2019 to identify all relevant articles on the effect of statins in liver cirrhosis and retrieve pertinent studies (online supplementary method). No language restrictions were imposed. An experienced medical librarian designed and implemented the search strategy. Electronic databases were searched using the following search terms: liver cirrhosis, ascites, portal hypertension, statin, hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA reductase inhibitors. The detailed search strategy is available in the ‘online supplementary method’. Two reviewers (SW and CH) independently assessed the titles and abstracts of the studies that met the eligibility criteria for inclusion.

**Data abstraction**

Two reviewers (SW and CH) independently extracted the data. The following data were collected from each study: year of publication, study design, inclusion criteria, exclusion criteria, aetiology of cirrhosis, total number of patients in each group, primary outcome reported and Child-Turcotte-Pugh (CTP) class and ascites. Any divergence between the reviewers was discussed with a third reviewer (XZ), and agreement was reached by consensus.

We used the Newcastle–Ottawa scale to determine the quality of the cohort studies, and the Cochrane tool was used to determine the risk of bias for RCTs.

**Outcomes assessed**

Our primary outcome of interest was the association between statin use and the reduction in portal hypertension. The secondary outcomes of interest were the association between statin use and variceal bleeding. Several subgroup analyses were performed based on the quality of the studies, medication time, types of drugs in the control group and types of statins. The adverse effects of statins were not included in the study due to insufficient information.

**Quality of evidence**

We used the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) framework to evaluate the quality of the evidence. The GRADE approach for systematic reviews defines the quality of a body of evidence as close to the quantity of specific interest. The following factors were considered in determining the quality of evidence: risk of bias, directness of evidence, heterogeneity, precision of effect estimates and risk of publication bias.

**Statistical analysis**

The trials and patient characteristics are reported as the number of observations and proportions. The relative risk (RR) and 95% CI that achieved a target haemodynamic response in each group were pooled using the DerSimonian and Laird random effects model. Intritrial heterogeneity was statistically assessed using the chi-square test and is expressed as the $I^2$ value, and $I^2$ values $>$50% were reflective of substantial heterogeneity. A formal
Table 1  Characteristics of the included studies

| Study               | Design          | Inclusion criteria                                                                 | Exclusion criteria                                                                                                           | Aetiology of cirrhosis | Groups | N   | Outcomes of interest | Outcomes                      | Non-users (n) | Statins users (n) | Non-users (n) |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------|-----|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Mohanty et al.²⁴    | Retrospective   | HCV-positive patients defined by ICD-9 codes                                      | Compensated cirrhosis                                                                                                       | HCV                    | Statins Users | 685 | Variceal haemorrhage | Variceal haemorrhage: 9 | 58             |                   |                |
|                     |                 |                                                                                     | HIV or HBV infection                                                                                                       |                        | Nonusers    | 2062 |                      |                             |                |                   |                |
|                     |                 |                                                                                     | Decompensation or HCC before or within 180 days after index date                                                          |                        |             |      |                      |                             |                |                   |                |
|                     |                 |                                                                                     | No laboratory results                                                                                                      |                        |             |      |                      |                             |                |                   |                |
|                     |                 |                                                                                     | No follow-up                                                                                                                |                        |             |      |                      |                             |                |                   |                |
|                     |                 |                                                                                     | Died within 180 days after index date                                                                                      |                        |             |      |                      |                             |                |                   |                |
|                     |                 |                                                                                     | Statin users with only one prescription fill or more than 365 days between first and second fill                          |                        |             |      |                      |                             |                |                   |                |
| Abraldes et al.²⁵   | RCT             | Age between 18 and 75 years, positive diagnosis of cirrhosis                         | Severe liver failure, evaluated by the presence of a serum bilirubin level greater than 5 mg/dL, prothrombin rate less than 40% | Mixed                  | Statins Users | 28  | Reduction in portal hypertension | Reduction in portal hypertension: 9 | 3               |                   |                |
|                     |                 |                                                                                     | Hepatic encephalopathy grades II–IV Child–Pugh score of 12 or greater                                                       |                        | Nonusers    | 27   |                      |                             |                |                   |                |
|                     |                 |                                                                                     | Serum creatinine level greater than 1.5 mg/dL                                                                             |                        |             |      |                      |                             |                |                   |                |
|                     |                 |                                                                                     | Child–Pugh score of 13 points                                                                                               |                        |             |      |                      |                             |                |                   |                |
|                     |                 |                                                                                     | Hepatocellular carcinoma                                                                                                    |                        |             |      |                      |                             |                |                   |                |
|                     |                 |                                                                                     | Portal vein thrombosis                                                                                                      |                        |             |      |                      |                             |                |                   |                |
| Abraldes, et al.⁷⁰  | RCT             | Age between 18 and 80 years, previous diagnosis of liver cirrhosis                   | Pregnancy or lactation multifocal hepatocellular carcinoma or a single nodule >5 cm in diameter, Creatinine >2 mg/dL | Mixed                  | Statins Users | 69  | Variceal haemorrhage | Variceal haemorrhage: 18 | 18              |                   |                |
|                     |                 |                                                                                     | Child–Pugh score >13 points                                                                                                 |                        | Nonusers    | 78   |                      |                             |                |                   |                |
|                     |                 |                                                                                     | Patients with HIV infection on protease inhibitors                                                                          |                        |             |      |                      |                             |                |                   |                |
|                     |                 |                                                                                     | Pretreatment with portosystemic shunt (surgical or percutaneous) Index bleeding due to gastric varices                     |                        |             |      |                      |                             |                |                   |                |
|                     |                 |                                                                                     | Complete portal vein thrombosis or portal vein cavernomatosis                                                               |                        |             |      |                      |                             |                |                   |                |
|                     |                 |                                                                                     | Patients previously treated with the combination of endoscopic banding ligation and NSBB (before the index episode)     |                        |             |      |                      |                             |                |                   |                |
|                     |                 |                                                                                     | Patients previously treated with statins within 1 month of randomization                                                   |                        |             |      |                      |                             |                |                   |                |
| Alvarado-Tapias et al.²⁶ | RCT       | Cirrhosis, CSPH and high-risk oesophageal varices without previous bleeding         | NR                                                                             | Mixed                  | Statins Users | 43  | Reduction in portal hypertension | Reduction in portal hypertension: 8 | 8               |                   |                |
|                     |                 |                                                                                     |                                                                           |                        | Nonusers    | 44   |                      |                             |                |                   |                |

Continued
| Study             | Design | Inclusion criteria                                                                 | Exclusion criteria                                                                 | Aetiology of cirrhosis | Groups | N  | Outcomes of interest | Outcomes                  |
|------------------|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------|----|---------------------|---------------------------|
| Bishnu et al.27  | RCT    | Age: 18–60 years<br>Cirrhosis (diagnosed clinically, radiologically or histopathologically)<br>Portal hypertension (history of variceal bleed, ascites, splenomegaly, oesophageal varices on upper GI endoscopy or history of having undergone EVL) | Child–Pugh–TURcott (CPT) class C.<br>Hepatic encephalopathy grades II–IV.<br>Hepatocellular carcinoma<br>Portal vein thrombosis or cavernomatosis.<br>Hepatic venous outflow tract obstruction<br>Previous portosystemic shunt surgery<br>Obstructive airway diseases<br>Cardiac conduction abnormalities<br>Peripheral vascular disease<br>Congestive cardiac failure NYHA class II–IV<br>Renal insufficiency (serum creatinine >2 mg/dL)<br>Previous episodes of rhabdomyolysis<br>Hypersensitivity to HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors<br>Previous treatment with HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor<br>Participation in a concurring clinical trial<br>Pregnancy or plan to conceive during study period | Mixed | Statins<br>Nonusers | 11<br>12 | Reduction in portal hypertension<br>Varietal haemorrhage | Reduction in portal hypertension: 6<br>Varietal haemorrhage: 5 |
| Flores et al.28  | RCT    | Cirrhosis and portal hypertension detected using abdominal ultrasound with colour Doppler flowmetry or upper digestive endoscopy | | | Mixed | Statins<br>Nonusers | 11<br>11 | Reduction in portal hypertension | Reduction in portal hypertension: 4 |
| Pollo-Flores et al.29 | RCT    | Age 18–75 years<br>Diagnosis of cirrhosis with portal hypertension detected using an abdominal ultrasound with colour Doppler and an upper digestive endoscopy showing gastro-oesophageal varices<br>Both procedures were performed within the previous 6 months | Aminotransferases levels >3 times above the upper limit of normal (ULN)<br>Recent (within the last 6 months) or current use of simvastatin<br>Portal vein thrombosis, contrast medium allergy<br>Hepatocellular carcinoma or any other malignancy reducing life expectancy<br>Renal failure (creatinine level >1.5 mg/dL)<br>Bleeding disorder (prothrombin activity test <30% or platelet count <35 × 10^9/L) or decompensated cirrhosis characterised by severe ascites or grade II or overt encephalopathy<br>Patients with alcoholic cirrhosis were abstinent from alcohol consumption for at least 1 year | Mixed | Statins<br>Nonusers | 44<br>46 | Reduction in portal hypertension | Reduction in portal hypertension: 0<br>Varietal haemorrhage: 0 |

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; ICD-9, International Classification of Disease–9; NR, not reported; NSBB, non-selective beta-blockers; CSPH, clinically significant portal hypertension; EVL, endoscopic variceal ligation; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; GI, gastrointestinal; RCTs, randomised controlled trials.
assessment of publication bias using the egger test was performed (online supplementary figure 1).

**Patient and public involvement**
This meta-analysis did not involve patients or the public.

**RESULTS**

**Search results**
A total of 2676 potentially eligible references were retrieved in the literature search, and 2624 were excluded based on the titles and abstracts. A further 44 articles, referred to as full articles, were deemed ineligible. Twelve studies were excluded because they did not clearly report the number of patients with improved portal hypertension and variceal haemorrhage. Eight studies with a total of 3195 patients met our inclusion criteria and were included in our meta-analysis (seven RCTs and one observational study). Six studies included patients who exhibited the target reduction in HVPG >20% from baseline or <12 mm Hg in the statins group. Three studies included events of variceal bleeding in patients with cirrhosis. Figure 1 summarises the search strategy.

**Description of included studies**

Table 1 shows the characteristics of these studies. These studies included 3195 liver cirrhosis patients, of whom 902 patients were exposed to statins. One study was performed exclusively in patients with HCV mono-infection, and seven studies included cirrhosis with multiple underlying aetiologies. The medication time of statins was 1 month in three studies. However, statins were used for 3 months in three studies. Six studies provided the desired data as regarded decrease in HVPG (reduction >20% or <12 mm Hg).

The only observational study was of high quality, as exhibited by the high Newcastle–Ottawa quality score. Table 2 summarises the methodological qualities of the observational study and RCTs. Figure 2 shows the methodological qualities of the RCTs.

Table 3 summarises the characteristics of the 3195 patients included in the eight studies. Statin users and nonusers were generally male because the cirrhosis incidence in females was lower than that in males. Patients were mostly categorised as CTP A and B classes, 221 of 255 (87%) in two studies. No appreciable differences in the complications of cirrhosis, such as ascites or previous variceal bleeding, were observed between the two study groups across the eight studies.

**Outcome evaluation**

**Improvement in portal hypertension**
Six studies including 301 patients evaluated the improvement in portal hypertension in cirrhosis. Overall, a decrease in HVPG (>20% from baseline or <12 mm Hg) was achieved with statins in 57 of 135 evaluable patients compared with 36 of 141 patients in the control group (RR, 1.91; 95% CI, 1.04 to 3.52; I²=63%). Three subgroup
analyses were performed, based on the medication time, types of drug used in the control group and types of statins. Subgroup analysis of the medication time of statins included three studies that used statins for 1 month (RR, 2.01; 95% CI, 1.31 to 3.10; I²=0%) and three studies that used statins for 3 months (RR, 3.76; 95% CI, 0.36 to 39.77; I²=75%) (figure 3). The second subgroup analysis was based on the types of drugs used in the control group, including non-selective beta-blockers (NSBB) and not explicitly reported drugs. The pooled RR for NSBB users was 1.42 (95% CI, 0.82 to 2.45; I²=64%), and the pooled RR for the not explicitly reported drugs was 4.21 (95% CI, 1.52 to 11.70; I²=0%) (figure 4). The third subgroup analysis was based on the types of statins. Five studies used simvastatin (RR, 2.20; 95% CI, 0.92 to 5.29; I²=69%), and one study used atorvastatin (RR, 1.82; 95% CI, 1.00 to 3.30) (figure 5).

There was moderate persuasion supporting the use of statins associated with an improvement in portal hypertension based on the RCTs. However, the result was limited by the study size (109 events in 301 patients).

### Risk of variceal haemorrhage

Three studies including 3025 patients evaluated the association between statin use and the occurrence of variceal bleeding. Overall, 27 events occurred in 765 statin users, and 81 events were reported in 2152 nonusers. A subgroup analysis was performed based on the type of trial. Overall, the pooled RR for the risk of variceal haemorrhage was

| Table 3 | Characteristics of participants in the included studies |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| **Treatment group** | **Patients,N** | **Age,years** | **Males,N** | **Viral/alcoholic aetiology, N** | **Child–Pugh class A/B/C, N** | **Ascites, N** | **Previous variceal bleeding, N** |
| Mohanty et al<sup>24</sup> | Statins 685 | 56 | 671 | 685/0 | NR | NR | NR |
| Nonusers 2062 | 56 | 2021 | 2062/0 | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Abraldes et al<sup>25</sup> | Statins 28 | 58 | 17 | NR | 18/10/0 | 14 | 6 |
| Nonusers 27 | 56 | 21 | NR | 16/8/3 | 16 | 9 |
| Abraldes et al<sup>10</sup> | Statins 69 | 57 | 45 | 20/49 | 15/68/17 | 15 | NR |
| Nonusers 78 | 57 | 53 | 19/55 | 24/62/14 | 16 | NR |
| Alvarado-Tapias et al<sup>26</sup> | Statins 43 | 56 | 31 | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Nonusers 44 | 54 | 35 | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Bishnu et al<sup>27</sup> | Statins 11 | 44 | 9 | 0/4 | NR | 5 | 6 |
| Nonusers 12 | 47 | 12 | 1/6 | NR | 6 | 5 |
| Flores et al<sup>28</sup> | Statins 11 | 46 | 23 | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Nonusers 11 | 43 | 30 | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Pollo-Flores et al<sup>29</sup> | Statins 11 | 57 | 6 | NR | NR | 2 | 5 |
| Nonusers 13 | 59 | 7 | NR | NR | 3 | 3 |
| Rajan et al<sup>30</sup> | Statins 44 | 51 | 30 | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Nonusers 46 | 53 | 35 | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |

NR, not reported.
The RR for the only one observational study was 0.47 (95% CI, 0.23 to 0.94). The pooled RR for the two RCTs studies was 0.88 (95% CI, 0.52 to 1.50; I²=0%). (figure 6).

**DISCUSSION**

This meta-analysis demonstrated the possible roles of statin use in patients with cirrhosis against the development of portal hypertension and the occurrence of variceal haemorrhage in eight studies (seven RCTs and one cohort study). The availability of statins was proven to lead to the decrease in portal hypertension and variceal bleeding across all trials. The summary RR between the numbers of HVPG reductions achieved in statin users and nonusers was 1.91 (95% CI, 1.04 to 3.52; I²=63%) in favour of statins. We performed three subgroup analyses because of the substantial heterogeneity. The subgroup analysis based on the medication time of statin use supported the improvement in portal pressure at the 1-month assessment (RR, 2.01; 95% CI, 1.31 to 3.10; I²=0%). However, this effect was not statistically significant at the 3-month assessment (RR, 3.76; 95% CI, 0.36 to 39.77; I²=75%). These results suggest that the effects of statins are not dose-dependent and lead to strong curative effects in patients who used statins for 1 month compared with patients with a longer duration of use. Several possible mechanisms may explain the biological plausibility of our findings. The hepatotoxicity of statins occurs via regulation of the P450 cytochrome in immune-mediated liver damage, which activates apoptosis and T cell-induced liver injury.31 32 Previous clinical research33–36 confirmed these observations, which offset the benefits of statins over a longer treatment period. No considerable differences were observed in subgroup analyses for the use of non-selective beta-blockers.
NSBB in the control group (RR, 1.42; 95% CI, 0.82 to 2.45; I²=64%). We presume that improvements of portal hypertension by NSBB is the underlying mechanism. NSBB is clinically used to treat portal hypertension because of its efficacy in decreasing HVPG and variceal haemorrhage.37–40 Therefore, the use of NSBB in the control group may lead to no significant difference between the statin user and nonuser groups. Different types of statins exhibit inconsistent pharmacological actions. Therefore, patients were stratified by the statin varieties. The pooled RR in a subset of patients who received simvastatin was 2.20 (95% CI, 0.92 to 5.29; I²=69%), which indicates no improvement. Atorvastatin users exhibited a decrease in portal pressure (RR, 1.82; 95% CI, 1.00 to 3.30). High-quality evidence was included, but discrepancies, such as the medication time, may have led to imprecision.

Events of variceal haemorrhage were satisfactorily reported in three studies. The effect of statins on variceal bleeding as a common cause of death in patients with portal hypertension was also investigated. The pooled
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For est plot using the Mantel-Haenszel (M-H) analysis method to evaluate the role of statins in the reduction of portal hypertension using subgroup analysis based on types of statins.
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For est plot using the Mantel-Haenszel (M-H) analysis method to evaluate the role of statins in the reduction of the risk of variceal haemorrhage using subgroup analysis based on types of statins.
RR was 0.64 (95% CI, 0.42 to 0.99; I²=6%). However, the reduction in the pooled RR of the risk of variceal haemorrhage failed to reach statistical significance with statin use in two RCTs (RR, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.52 to 1.50; I²=0%). Notably, the only observational study confirmed the superiority of statins in lowering the risk of variceal bleeding (RR, 0.47; 95% CI, 0.23 to 0.94). The characteristics of different types of experiments may be responsible for the inconsistency.

Statins have received increasing attention in clinical research in the field of various liver diseases including liver cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma, fatty liver disease, viral hepatitis and other related liver diseases, in recent years. Studies have confirmed that statins are safe and effective for some patients with these liver diseases. A population-based study evaluated the effects of statins on reducing decompensation, mortality and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in HBV, HCV and alcohol-related cirrhosis. This study demonstrated that statins reduced decompensation (p<0.0001), mortality (p<0.0001) and the risk of HCC (p=0.009) in patients with cirrhosis, and this correlation was dose-dependent. The risk of decompensation in patients with cirrhosis caused by chronic HBV (RR, 0.39; 95% CI, 0.25 to 0.62) or HCV infection (RR, 0.51; 95% CI, 0.29 to 0.93) was lower in patients taking statins. The effect of statins on reducing the risk of cirrhosis decompensation was statistically significant in alcohol cirrhotic patients (RR, 0.69; 95% CI, 0.45 to 1.07). In general, the use of statins reduced the decomposition rate of HBV, HCV and alcohol-related cirrhosis. Two recent studies demonstrated that statins were safe in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and exhibited beneficial effects decreasing steatosis and fibrosis and preventing disease progression. Multiple previous studies demonstrated the benefit of statins on liver systems. A randomised trial of patients with cirrhosis and significant portal hypertension observed that the nitric oxide levels in hepatic venous blood, as a key vasodilator mediating the hepatic vascular resistance, were increased in the statin group compared with those in the control group. A decrease in portal hypertension was also observed in patients who received statins. The study by Marrone et al has also confirmed that the use of statins in cirrhotic animals can reduce liver fibrosis and prevent further deterioration of cirrhosis by inhibiting the activation of hepatic stellate cell. This may also be a potential mechanism for the efficacy of statins.

More research groups have begun to support the use of statin in some patients with chronic liver disease or cirrhosis based on these studies. Some researchers believe that statins may also be able to be used as an adjuvant therapy in any chronic liver disease patients with indications for statin use to prevent decompensation or delay the progression of patients with decompensated cirrhosis. However, this information was derived from retrospective cohort studies, and prospective studies are needed to confirm these beneficial effects.

This meta-analysis evaluated the role of statins in patients with cirrhosis as a decline in portal pressure and risk of variceal haemorrhage. We performed a comprehensive literature search that met the well-defined inclusion criteria. Eight studies were included, primarily consisting of RCTs. These studies were high-quality studies as graded by the Cochrane tool for assessing risk of bias or the Newcastle–Ottawa scale. Several subgroup analyses were completed based on the characteristics of the studies to further ascertain the precision of results. However, several limitations exist in our meta-analysis. In some of the results, we have a large heterogeneity, which may be due to the inconsistency of the inclusion and exclusion criteria we included in the study. In addition, patients with various aetiologies of cirrhosis were not researched separately because of insufficient information, which may explain the substantial heterogeneity. So we performed a subgroup analysis to try to eliminate this difference, significantly reducing heterogeneity in some subgroup analyses. Seven RCTs were included, but the number of patients enrolled was relatively fewer in the RCTs (471 patients). Although individual studies adjusted for various confounders (e.g., age, sex, CTP score and model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score), some confounding factors cannot be fully adjusted. These situations may have affected the precision and credibility of our estimates. In two studies, the event counts in the control group may be due to the fact that the placebo used in the control group is not a drug such as NSBB that has been proven to have a reduced portal pressure, leading to a wide 95% CI. The quality assessment of the RCT suggests that the quality of the two studies is acceptable, so we have no good reason to exclude these studies. The number of patients included in some studies is insufficient, so duration corrections are not used, which may increase the risk of bias. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, as a metabolic disease, may exhibit close relevance with lipid-lowering drug statins. Unfortunately, no eligible NAFLD research was included.

In conclusion, our analyses based on RCTs and an observational study indicated a beneficial effect of statins on reducing portal hypertension and variceal haemorrhage. However, the assessment cannot serve as clinical guideline for the wide use of statins in cirrhosis with portal hypertension because of the limited quantity and quality of the included studies. Previous research reported the potential protective effects of statins against cirrhosis and HCC progression, and the potential benefits of statins may outweigh the theoretical risks. Notably, adverse events related to statins were rarely reported in studies. Large RCTs are required before statins are clinically used to treat patients with cirrhosis and complications.
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