**Plasmodium** sporozoite disintegration during skin passage limits malaria parasite transmission
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**Abstract**

During transmission of malaria-causing parasites from mosquitoes to mammals, *Plasmodium* sporozoites migrate rapidly in the skin to search for a blood vessel. The high migratory speed and narrow passages taken by the parasites suggest considerable strain on the sporozoites to maintain their shape. Here, we show that the membrane-associated protein, concavin, is important for the maintenance of the *Plasmodium* sporozoite shape inside salivary glands of mosquitoes and during migration in the skin. Concavin-GFP localizes at the cytoplasmic periphery and concavin(--sporozoites progressively round up upon entry of salivary glands. Rounded concavin(--sporozoites fail to pass through the narrow salivary ducts and are rarely ejected by mosquitoes, while normally shaped concavin(--sporozoites are transmitted. Strikingly, motile concavin(--sporozoites disintegrate while migrating through the skin leading to parasite arrest or death and decreased transmission efficiency. Collectively, we suggest that concavin contributes to cell shape maintenance by riveting the plasma membrane to the subtending inner membrane complex. Interfering with cell shape maintenance pathways might hence provide a new strategy to prevent a malaria infection.
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**Introduction**

Malaria is still prevalent in tropical countries where it infects over 200 million people every year killing over 400,000, mostly young African children (WHO, 2020). While the symptoms of the disease are caused by the parasite stages infecting red blood cells, the only licenced malaria vaccine has been derived from the surface circumsporozoite protein (CSP) of the mosquito-transmitted parasite stage, the *Plasmodium* sporozoite (Clemens & Moorothy, 2016; Cowman et al., 2016). CSP is essential for sporozoite formation and functions at different steps of the sporozoite journey from the mosquito gut to the mammalian liver (Ménard et al., 1997; Thathy et al., 2002; Coppi et al., 2011; Aliprandini et al., 2018; Singer & Frischknecht, 2021). Unfortunately, the CSP-based vaccine has failed to deliver the long-sought efficient protection from malaria infections and new vaccine candidates are urgently needed for exploration (Matuschewski, 2017). *Plasmodium* sporozoites are deposited into the dermis during a mosquito bite and migrate at high speed to enter both blood or lymph vessels (Amino et al., 2006; Hopp et al., 2021). Those entering the blood can ultimately exit the circulation in the liver and infect hepatocytes to further develop into red blood cell infecting merozoites (Prudêncio et al., 2006; Tavares et al., 2013). Anti-CSP antibodies target sporozoites in the skin prior to entering blood vessels (Aliprandini et al., 2018; Flores-Garcia et al., 2018; Hopp et al., 2021). Secreted and/or plasma membrane associated sporozoite proteins might therefore constitute additional good candidates for next generation vaccines.

Similar to other extracellular zoites of *Plasmodium* and related apicomplexan parasites, sporozoites are highly polarized and slender cells with a chiral sub-pellicular cytoskeleton that defines parasite length and curvature linked to the inner membrane complex (IMC) that subtends the plasma membrane (Khater et al., 2004; Gould et al., 2008; Kudryashev et al., 2012; Volkmann et al., 2012; Tremp et al., 2013; Spreng et al., 2019; Harding & Frischknecht, 2020). The IMC is found at a constant distance to and hence likely linked to the plasma membrane as shown for *Toxoplasma* tachyzoites (Frénal et al., 2010). Disruption of the IMC-proteins IMC1a and IMC1h leads to parasite swelling around the nucleus, which impacts motility and infectivity (Khater et al., 2004; Volkmann et al., 2012). However, many proteins of the pellicle of apicomplexan zoites remain to be described and we are still awaiting a complete
understanding of how these complex interactions form and maintain the cellular shape (Harding & Frischknecht, 2020).

Sporozoite surface proteins, similar to CSP, can be essential for the escape of sporozoites from oocysts at the Anopheles midgut wall into the mosquito haemolymph, to enter salivary glands, for migration within the skin, to enter blood vessels and ultimately hepatocytes (Silvie et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2005; Carey et al., 2014; Risco-Castillo et al., 2015; Klug & Frischknecht, 2017; Ishino et al., 2019). Sporozoite migration within the skin provides the first possible target for intervention against an infection with Plasmodium (Aliprandini et al., 2018; Douglas et al., 2018; Murugan et al., 2020; Vaughan, 2021). Antibodies targeting CSP can inhibit sporozoite motility (Vanderberg & Frevert, 2004; Aliprandini et al., 2018) and induce self-killing of sporozoites via secreted pore-forming proteins (e.g., SPECT2), which are essential for sporozoite migration through cells (Bhanot et al., 2003; Amino et al., 2008; Risco-Castillo et al., 2015; Aliprandini et al., 2018). Other secreted proteins include TRP1, LIMP and CeTOS, as well as members of the transmembrane TRAP (thrombospondin related anonymous protein) family. TRP1 (thrombospondin related protein 1) is essential for the release of sporozoites from oocysts and invasion of salivary glands (Klug & Frischknecht, 2017). LIMP (named after a limping phenotype) and TRAP are essential for sporozoite invasion of salivary glands and liver cells (Sultan et al., 1997; Santos et al., 2017) and CeTOS (cell traversal protein for ookinetes and sporozoites) is important for sporozoite motility and migration through cells (Karui et al., 2006; limah et al., 2016; Steel et al., 2018). CeTOS and TRAP are investigated as possible vaccine candidates (Tiono et al., 2018; Pirahmadi et al., 2019).

Proteomic analysis of sporozoites revealed a number of yet uncharacterized proteins including proteins on the parasite surface (Lindner et al., 2013b, 2019; Swearingen et al., 2016). However, the identification of surface proteins has been a challenge due to contamination from cytoplasmic proteins. Here, we selected the PbANKA_1422900 protein that was recently shown to be important for Plasmodium transmission (Ukegbu et al., 2021) for further analysis by gene deletion, GFP-tagging and functional analyses. We found that this protein is localized at the cytosolic side of the plasma membrane. PbANKA_1422900 is important for the maintenance of the sporozoite shape during their salivary gland residency and is essential for efficient transmission to the vertebrate host. While deformed sporozoites could still move, they were not ejected through the narrow salivary ducts and failed to penetrate through skin and skin-like environments showing the importance of the slender shape for parasite transmission. Due to its impact on the convex-concave polarity of sporozoites, we named the protein concavin. Strikingly, during sporozoite migration through narrow constrictions in the skin, we observed concavin(−) parasites to disintegrate by the apparent shedding of large membrane-delimited parts of the parasite.

Results

Concavin is a conserved Apicomplexan protein important for sporozoite shape maintenance

PBANKA_1422900 is expressed with high abundance in ookinetes and sporozoites according to RNA seq data obtained from Plasmodb.org (Appendix Fig S1A). It is a 393 amino acid long protein in Plasmodium berghei and conserved among Apicomplexa (Appendix Fig S1B). Concavin shares 96% amino acid residue identity with P. yoelli, 80% identity with P. vivax, 76% with Plasmodium falciparum (Appendix Fig S2A) and 36% identity with the orthologue from T. gondii (Appendix Fig S2B). The only recognizable feature in this protein was a potential palmitoylation site at the N-terminus, which suggests that it could be associated to the IMC or plasma membrane (Appendix Fig S2B). To test for a function of concavin, we disrupted the gene through double homologous recombination in P. berghei (Appendix Fig S3A–C) and T. gondii (Appendix Fig S4A–D). The deletion of concavin readily yielded clonal P. berghei parasite lines, which grew at similar multiplication rates per 24 h as wild-type parasites in the blood stage (Fig 1A). Similarly, we could not detect a phenotypic difference in a plaque assay between wild-type and transgenic T. gondii (Appendix Fig S4E and F). Gametocyte to ookinite conversion as well as ookinite motility and cell shape of P. berghei concavin(−) ookinetes did not show any difference to wild type (Fig 1B–D) as was also shown in a recent study (Ukegbu et al., 2021). Yet, the transmission of the parasites to Anopheles stephensi mosquitoes showed slightly reduced numbers of oocysts in infected mosquitoes (Fig 1E). We regularly found large numbers of concavin(−) sporozoites within the salivary glands. However, a large proportion of sporozoites showed an abnormal shape. While wild-type sporozoites usually keep the typical curved and slender shape at any time post salivary gland entry, concavin(−) sporozoites rounded up over time. The rounding up of concavin(−) sporozoites was initiated at the posterior end of the cell (Fig 1F) and hence appeared different to the rounding observed after liver cell entry (Jayabalasingham et al., 2010). This loss of curvature led us to name PbANKA_1422900 concavin. Curiously, over 90% of oocyst-derived concavin(−) sporozoites and almost 80% of sporozoites in the haemolymph, the circulatory fluid of the mosquito, were normally formed. Yet with prolonged residency in salivary glands more sporozoites became deformed or rounded up completely (Fig 1G). The apparent asynchrony of deformation hints towards an effect of sporozoite maturatation on concavin function that affects individual sporozoites to different degrees. Similar to a recent study (Ukegbu et al., 2021), infection of A. gambiae mosquitoes with concavin(−) parasites revealed a more drastic reduction in oocyst numbers compared to A. stephensi infections (Fig EV1A). The few sporozoites able to reach the A. gambiae salivary gland also showed the same deformation phenotype (Fig EV1A and B). In contrast, we never observed deformed wild-type sporozoites, neither in the midgut nor in the salivary gland. Curiously, both deformed and normally shaped sporozoites were still able to move (Fig 1H). While normally shaped concavin(−) sporozoites displayed circular movement in a wild-type manner with nearly wild-type speed, deformed sporozoites progressed with significantly slower speed (Fig 1H) and completely round sporozoites did not move at all. Deformed sporozoites also moved on less curved paths as did wild-type or normally formed concavin(−) parasites (Fig II).

Concavin localizes at the cytosolic side of the periphery

To localize concavin, we generated two parasite lines expressing a GFP-tagged version of the protein. To this end, we recycled the resistance cassette in concavin(−) parasites using negative selection.
Appendix Fig S3) (Braks et al., 2006) and reintroduced a P. berghei concavin-gfp sequence (Appendix Fig S5A and B) as well as a gene encoding for P. falciparum concavin-gfp (Appendix Fig S5A and C). The resulting parasite lines were named concavin(C0)Pbconcavin-gfp and concavin(C0)Pf3D7concavin-gfp. Furthermore, we tagged the ortholog from Toxoplasma gondii in that parasite (Appendix Fig S4A–D). Both Plasmodium lines were able to establish mosquito infections comparable to wild-type levels including the colonization of salivary glands by highly motile sporozoites suggesting that both proteins are fully functional (Fig 2A–C). Concavin-GFP could be detected in gametocytes, ookinetes, sporozoites and liver stages and was absent in blood stage parasites (Fig 2D and E). In non-activated as well as activated male and female gametocytes the protein localized diffusely (Appendix Fig S6), while in ookinetes and salivary gland derived sporozoites, concavin-GFP localized at the periphery suggesting an association with the plasma membrane. In T. gondii tachyzoites, we also found a peripheral signal (Appendix Fig S4B and C). A peripheral localization could derive from a protein resident in the sub-pellicular network, IMC or supra-alveolar space, the narrow space between IMC and the plasma membrane (Khater et al., 2004; Bane et al., 2016). To specify concavin-GFP localization, we next

Figure 1. Deletion of concavin leads to rounding of sporozoites.
A Blood-stage growth rate of concavin(−) parasites in comparison to wild-type. Data points represent parasites growing in individual mice, and n indicates total number of mice. P-value calculated using the Mann–Whitney test. Shown is the mean ± SEM.
B Gametocyte to ookinete conversion of three biological replicates. P-value calculated using the Mann–Whitney test. Shown is the mean ± SEM.
C Average speed of moving ookinetes. Data points represent individual ookinetes, and n indicates total number of cells from three biological replicates. P-value calculated using the Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunns multiple comparison.
D Ookinete images of wild-type and concavin(−) parasites revealing similar cell shapes. Scale bar 5 µm.
E Oocyst development of concavin(−) parasites compared to wild-type. Data points represent individual midguts observed between d12-17 post infection from 3 independent cage feeds. Shown is the mean ± SEM. P-value calculated using the Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunns multiple comparison.
F Mosquito infections resulted in deformed sporozoites in the salivary gland. Shown are example images of different sporozoites arranged to illustrate their rounding up over time.
G Quantification of sporozoite rounding up over time in the midgut (MG) the haemolymph (HL) and salivary gland (SG) at the indicated days post infection from three biological replicates. Sporozoites were classified as either normal, deformed or round as illustrated in F.
H Average speed of salivary gland sporozoites. Data points represent individual sporozoites, and n indicates total number from three biological replicates. P-values calculated using the Kruskal–Wallis test followed by the Dunns multiple comparison test.
I Selected trajectories of manually tracked sporozoites.

Source data are available online for this figure.
fixed concavin(−)Pb concavin-GFP expressing sporozoites and labelled them with anti-GFP antibodies with or without membrane permeabilization. Antibodies only detected concavin-GFP after permeabilization, suggesting an internal localization of the protein (Fig 2F).

Concavin-GFP is highly mobile and does not localize to the cytoskeleton

To test if concavin-GFP is associated with a cytoskeletal structure, we employed fluorescence bleaching and monitored the recovery of

---

**Source data are available online for this figure.**
the signal (FRAP). As a control, we generated a PHIL1-GFP line (Appendix Fig S7A and B) as PHIL1 is a constituent of the sub-pellicular network. This line recapitulated the published localization of the protein at the periphery of merozoites, ookinetes and sporozoites (Appendix Fig S7B, Saini et al., 2017). Concavin-GFP expressing sporozoites showed a rapid recovery of the fluorescence signal after a bleached spot was introduced by a high energy laser, suggesting high lateral diffusion of concavin-GFP (Fig 3A, Movie EV1). In contrast, in bleached PHIL1-GFP sporozoites, there was no detectable recovery as expected from a protein anchored in the sub-pellicular network (Fig 3B, Movie EV2). These data suggest that concavin-GFP is not associated to the sub-pellicular network or another stable cytoskeletal structure.

Next, we performed super-resolution (STED) co-localization experiments with antibodies against concavin-GFP, CSP and PHIL1-GFP. STED imaging showed that the signals of anti-GFP antibodies detecting PHIL1-GFP and antibodies against CSP were spatially separated (Fig 3C; Appendix Fig S8). In contrast, anti-GFP antibodies detecting concavin-GFP co-localized with anti-CSP antibodies. Similarly, antibodies recognizing CSP but stained with two different...
colours also co-localized (Fig 3C). This suggests that concavin-GFP is localized closer to the plasma membrane than PHIL1, probably within the alveolar space between IMC and plasma membrane or at the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane.

We next generated a non-clonal parasite line via single homologous recombination that expresses PHIL1-GFP in the concavin(−) parasite to investigate the sub-pellicular network in these parasites (Appendix Fig S7C). PHIL1-GFP localization of this parasite was similar to that of PHIL1-GFP in wild-type parasites in blood stages (Appendix Fig S7B). However, in sporozoites, PHIL1-GFP appeared in an aberrantly localized manner, as would be expected from the changed shape of the sporozoite (Figs 3D and EV2). We compared this staining to concavin(−) parasites, where the microtubules were labelled with SiR tubulin or with anti-CSP antibodies. This showed that microtubules appeared largely intact (Figs 3E and EV2) and that CSP was found on the surface of the deformed sporozoites (Figs 3F and EV2). Curiously, however, close inspection of the PHIL1-GFP labelling showed unexpected accumulations of signal. To investigate the deformed sporozoites at higher resolution, sporozoite containing salivary glands were examined by transmission and scanning electron microscopy. This showed the IMC subtending the plasma membrane in both wild-type (Fig 4A) and concavin(−) sporozoites (Fig 4B and C). In rounded concavin(−) sporozoites, the IMC was in addition partially not associated with the plasma membrane.
membrane anymore, but extended deep into the sporozoite cytoplasm (Figs 4B and C, and EV3A and B). Using array tomography (Horstmann et al., 2012; Araki et al., 2020), we next reconstructed serial sections of a complete rounded concavin(−) sporozoite. This clearly revealed an intact tube-like “rolled up” structure separated by the IMC from the remaining plasma membrane (Fig 4C). This suggests that the IMC is still intact but detached from the subtending plasma membrane.

Complementation with a palmitoylation site mutant partially restores sporozoite form

We next complemented concavin(−) parasites with a concavin version that expresses an alanine instead of the cysteine of the likely palmitoylation site fused to GFP (Fig 5A, Appendix Fig S5A and D). In blood stage parasites, concavinC7A-GFP displayed a cytoplasmic signal in gametocytes but was absent in asexual stages, identical to what we observed in wild-type concavin-GFP parasites (Fig 5B). This concavinC7A-GFP parasite readily yielded sporozoites in oocysts (Fig 5C) and salivary glands. Quantitation of the sporozoite shape from both locations showed that at any time point assessed, over 80% of sporozoites showed a normal shape, even as late as 22 days after infection, when the vast majority of concavin(−) sporozoites showed aberrant shapes (Fig 5D, compare to Fig 1D). Only very few sporozoites were completely rounded, while 5–20% of sporozoites showed a rounded proximal end or rounded off around the nucleus. Similar to concavin(−), normal shaped concavinC7A-GFP sporozoites were able to move in a wild-type manner, while deformed sporozoites were only able to move at reduced speed (Fig 5E). This suggests that palmitoylation alone is not essential, but does contribute to concavin function. Furthermore, concavinC7A-GFP showed a peripheral localization in both normally shaped and also slightly rounded sporozoites, suggesting that localization was also not impaired by the mutation (Fig 5F). The GFP signal in this line appeared similar to the signal obtained by anti-CSP antibodies in concavin(−) sporozoites. This suggests that concavinC7A-GFP localizes to the plasma membrane and not the IMC.

Concavin is essential for efficient transmission

To test if the rounded parasites could still transmit to mice, sets of ten infected A. stephensi mosquitoes were allowed to bite C57BL/6 mice, which are highly sensitive to P. berghei infections. All three mice that were bitten by mosquitoes infected with wild-type parasites showed the typical blood stage infection in these experiments starting 3 days after the bites (Fig 6A and B).
Figure 6. Concavin is essential for efficient transmission by mosquitoes.

A  Growth curve of blood stage parasites in C57BL/6 mice infected by the bite of 10 mosquitoes (left) or 10,000 sporozoites intra venously (right) at two different times post mosquito infection as indicated. Shown is the mean ± SEM. Mice infected with concavin(−) parasites by bite represent two independent biological replicates with three mice each. Wild-type bite back and concavin(−) intra venous injections represent one biological replicate using 3 or 4 mice respectively.

B  Sum table of infected mice from A with pre-patency period (time to detect a blood stage infection) and parasitemia on day 6. Note that in concavin(−) by bite infected mice not all develop a blood stage infection.

C  Liver cell invasion assay of concavin-gfp (WT) and concavin(−) parasites. Parasites are positively stained for CSP in case they remain extracellular. Both, normal and deformed parasites were detected intracellularly. Small graph shows the percentage of deformed and normally shaped intracellular concavin(−) sporozoites. Data points represent the 4 individual biological replicates with n indicating the numbers of sporozoites observed. Shown is the mean ± SEM. P-values are calculated using the Mann-Whitney test. Scale bar: 5 µm.

D  Liver-stage development of concavin(−) parasites compared to wild-type, both expressing cytoplasmic GFP (white). Parasite size was measured 24 and 48 h post infection. Data points represent individual parasites from four independent biological replicates. Shown is the mean ± SEM. P-values calculated using the Mann Whitney test. Scale bar: 5 µm.

E  Sporozoite ejection of immobilized concavin(−) infected mosquitoes on glass slides and quantification of ejected sporozoites from 20 mosquitoes. * indicates individual sporozoites in the ejected saliva. Scale bar: 10 µm.

F, G  Only normally shaped concavin(−) sporozoites released by salivary glands move on helical paths (arrows) through polyacrylamide gels that mimic the skin (F). Scale bar: 10 µm. (G): Quantification of two individual experiments; only normal shaped sporozoites were able to migrate through the gel.

Source data are available online for this figure.
contrast, only 8 of 12 mice that were bitten by concavin(−) infected mosquitoes ever became infected. In these 8 mice, the development of the blood stage infection was delayed by over 1 day compared to the wild-type controls, in itself a loss of infectivity by 90% (Fig 6A and B). In contrast, mice infected with 10,000 concavin(−) sporozoites by intravenous injection did not show any difference in time to blood stage appearance compared to wild-type (Fig 6A and B). To test if deformed sporozoites could enter into cells, we performed an infection experiment, where sporozoites were added to cultured HeLa cells, which are as susceptible to P. berghei infection as hepatocytes (Kaiser et al., 2016). After incubation of 1 h, cells were fixed and labelled with anti-CSP antibodies, without permeabilization, to distinguish sporozoites within and outside of cells. While the first three biological replicates showed a higher percentage of cell invasion for wild-type than concavin(−) parasites, a fourth experiment lowered the level of statistical confidence. Importantly, however, the deformed parasites could enter into host cells, albeit concavin(−) parasites probably entered at an overall lower rate as wild-type parasites (Fig 6C). Liver-stage development of concavin(−) parasites did not show any difference to wild-type parasites (Fig 6D).

But could deformed concavin(−) parasites at all reach the liver in vivo? Two obstacles might block the progression of the deformed or rounded parasites from the salivary gland to the liver: the narrow salivary ducts through which the parasites are ejected and the dermis in the skin, through which the parasites need to pass prior to entering the blood stream. To test transmission efficiency, we first immobilized concavin(−) infected mosquitoes on glass slides and observed the ejection of sporozoites (Fig 6E). As expected (Frischknecht et al., 2004; Aleshnick et al., 2020), the ejection of sporozoites was highly irregular (Fig 6E). Yet, at days 17 and 21, in four different experimental sessions, we always observed at least one mosquito salivating many dozens of sporozoites while most ejected just a few or none. While not all ejected sporozoites could be readily classified according to their shape, the vast majority of sporozoites showed a normal crescent shaped (Movie EV3). Some appeared rounded but often revealed themselves as being normally shaped once they reoriented in the focal plane after ejection into the droplet of saliva (Movie EV3). We could not confidently see ejected sporozoites that were deformed or rounded suggesting that these cannot enter the salivary canal, albeit we cannot exclude that about 10–20% of ejected sporozoites were deformed. Yet, when investigating the sporozoites resident in the salivary gland of the mosquitoes that ejected many sporozoites, over 80% of concavin(−) sporozoites were deformed. This suggested that rounded sporozoites could not efficiently enter into and pass through the salivary canals. To test if sporozoites fail to migrate through confined spaces, we squeezed a salivary gland between a glass slide and a polyacrylamide gel such that sporozoites were liberated and able to enter into the gel (Fig 6F) (Ripp et al., 2021). On the surface of the gel, both deformed and normally shaped parasites are readily visible, while at the bottom end of the gel, only normally shaped sporozoites were found (Fig 6F and G), indicating that deformed sporozoites could not cross the dense matrix of the gel. These data suggest that deformed sporozoites cannot enter and move through confined spaces such as the salivary canals and probably also not in the skin.

**Disintegration of sporozoites migrating in the skin**

To investigate if concavin(−) sporozoites could progress through the skin, we let mosquitoes infected with wild-type or concavin(−) sporozoites expressing a cytosolic fluorescent protein bite the ear pinnae of mice and imaged with a spinning disk confocal microscope. This showed that mosquitoes were less successful in transmitting concavin(−) than wild-type sporozoites. Fewer mosquitoes transmitted concavin(−) sporozoites and at lower numbers (Fig 7A), although the lower level of fluorescence in the concavin(−) sporozoites might have impeded precise quantification. Intriguingly, of 90 concavin(−) sporozoites observed over 13 different bite sites, 72 (80%) appeared to have a normal morphology, suggesting that indeed fewer abnormal sporozoites pass through the mosquito proboscis during a bite (Appendix Fig S9A and B). The remaining 18 (20%) concavin(−) sporozoites were abnormally shaped from the beginning of the recording, some being only half as long as normal sporozoites, appearing completely roundish or exhibiting a rounded posterior end (Appendix Fig S9B). Analysis of time-lapse series showed fewer migrating mutant sporozoites, which however progressed with the same speed as wild-type parasites (Fig 7B; Movie EV4). In addition, fewer blood vessel invasion events were observed in motile micro-syringe inoculated concavin(−) sporozoites (Fig 7C). Strikingly, some sporozoites formed a bulky dot at their rear (deformation) during migration, mostly associated with passing through a narrow opening as indicated by their body constriction and decrease in speed (Fig 7D; Movie EV5). This round posterior structure sometimes detached as the parasites kept moving forward and this loss of body integrity was defined as disintegration (Fig 7E; Movie EV5). Of 54 wild-type sporozoites, only 2 (4%) showed disintegration, while 32% of 57 migrating concavin(−) sporozoites disintegrated and 7% exhibited posterior deformation only (Fig 7F). This suggests that in their effort to migrate in the skin, around 30–40% of concavin(−) sporozoites lose their shape or cellular integrity. Most of those sporozoites stop migrating while some appear to suffer no impairment for the duration of imaging, and around 10% die as evidenced by the loss of GFP fluorescence (Fig 7G). Disintegration most frequently occurred when sporozoites were gliding inside hair follicles, in the upper part of the dermis or after sustained circular gliding, which is usually associated with cell traversal (Formaglio et al., 2014). This suggests that sporozoite disintegration is a consequence of tight interactions between migrating parasites and host cells either because the sporozoites squeeze themselves between or through cells.

Together these data strongly suggest that concavin(−) sporozoites fail to maintain their shape, which blocks migration into the salivary ducts and leads to lower levels of transmission. Of the transmitted sporozoites, a large proportion loses their cellular integrity and cannot migrate efficiently in the skin explaining the reduced transmission to the mammalian host.

**Discussion**

Here, we show that a protein named concavin contributes to cell shape maintenance in Plasmodium berghei sporozoites and is essential for efficient transmission of malaria parasites from mosquitoes to the mammalian host. Although already affecting colonization of
the midgut and being expressed before sporozoites enter salivary glands, the major phenotypic difference of concavin(-) parasites only becomes apparent upon prolonged residency within this organ (Figs 1 and 2). The parasites appear to rely on concavin to keep the IMC closely subtending the plasma membrane as loss of the protein leads to blebbing of plasma membrane and invaginations of the IMC.
The rounding of the sporozoite inhibits ejection from the narrow salivary canals and leads to less efficient deposition of parasites in the skin (Figs 6E and 7A). Transmitted concavin(−) parasites are not yet rounded and can move in the skin as wild-type parasites do, but upon squeezing through narrow spaces they lose their cellular integrity much more frequently than wild-type parasites (Fig 7B–G). It appears that restraining forces from the environment act upon the motile parasites, which necessitated the evolution of a machinery that keeps the parasites from disintegration. Likely the skin provides a formidable challenge for the parasite as they move for tens of minutes past collagen fibres as well as through and between cells. We only observed a portion of concavin (−) sporozoites disintegrating, which might be due to the limited observation time and volume during in vivo imaging or reflect a heterologous population of sporozoites, some of which are not impacted as strongly by the lack of concavin. Indeed, sporozoites exhibit a distribution of shapes with curvatures ranging from 0.13 to 0.35/μm (Muthinja et al., 2017), which might indicate different strengths in the shape maintenance of the individual parasites and hence a different impact of the gene deletion. Based on the number of newly transcribed genes upon salivary gland entry (Matuschewski et al., 2002), the parasite clearly prepares actively for its journey through the skin to the liver. Hence, it might be possible that other proteins also contribute to one or multiple complexes that involve concavin and gliding associated proteins for riveting the plasma membrane and IMC.

A strikingly similar loss of cellular integrity during migration of sporozoites is observed in the presence of antibodies targeting CSP (Aliprandini et al., 2018). This surprising similarity shows that the skin is a harmful environment for the moving sporozoite and indicates that the lack of concavin and the croslinking of CSP by antibodies can make the parasite fragile. Could these processes be linked? Antibodies crosslink CSP into large aggregates, which are shed at the rear of the parasite and can literally strip a migrating sporozoite. The force acting upon the parasite by these large aggregates being stuck within the tissue as the parasite pushes forward might well lead to a rupture of the plasma membrane-IMC link and hence blebbing. Detailed electron microscopy images of disintegrating parasites would be needed to address if the processes are similar. We postulate that concavin is anchored in the plasma membrane by palmitoylation at its N-terminus, possibly by the palmitoyl-S-acyl transferase DHHC3 (Hopp et al., 2016) or DHHC2, which is located at the sporozoite periphery with a bias towards the rear end (Santos et al., 2015). It might hence interact within the membrane with the GPI-anchor of CSP, which could influence the mobility within the membrane of both proteins.

Interestingly, disrupting a predicted palmitoylation site in concavin led to only a very mild phenotypic difference from the wild-type with less than 20% of the salivary gland derived concavinCTA-GFP parasites showing an aberrant shape (Fig 5). Importantly, the localization of the protein was not changed suggesting that palmitoylation alone is not responsible for localization and hence also only partially contributes to concavin function. This hints towards the presence of other protein-protein interactions that are essential for concavin localization and functionality as well as sporozoite shape maintenance. This finding of partial impact of palmitoylation is reminiscent of the recent work on palmitoylation of the myosin light chain in Toxoplasma gondii (Rompikuntal et al., 2021), where disruption of palmitoylation of myosin light chain 1 led to the partial disassembly of the gliding motor complex but had little impact on motility itself. Considering the EM images showing large invaginations of the IMC away from the plasma membrane in concavin(−) sporozoites, we postulate that concavin might contribute towards riveting the plasma membrane to the subtending IMC, which are a constant distance of 25 nm apart. In T. gondii, the gliding associated protein 45 (GAP45) was shown to bridge the gap between IMC and plasma membrane (Frénal et al., 2010) and fulfils a similar function. GAP45 is associated with the PM through N-terminal palmitoylation and myristoylation and interacts with the IMC at the C-terminus. Intriguingly, gap45(−) T. gondii parasites develop normally but show aberrant IMC invaginations upon host cell invasion, reminiscent of the deformed concavin(−) Plasmodium sporozoites (Frénal et al., 2010; Egarter et al., 2014). Strikingly, concavin is the first protein essential for sporozoite shape maintenance that is not fixed to or appears as part of a cytoskeletal structure. Compared to Plasmodium berghei GAP45, which consists of 184 aa, concavin is about twice as large (393 aa) and hence might well span the distance between plasma membrane and IMC.

In ookinetes, the deletion of the phosphodiesterase PDE6, which breaks down cyclic nucleotides such as cGMP, revealed a remarkably similar phenotype to deletion of concavin in sporozoites. The pde6(−) parasites formed normally shaped ookinetes, which then progressively rounded up, where not capable of migrating across the midgut epithelium and largely failed to establish a mosquito infection (Moon et al., 2009). Single section transmission electron microscopy showed the partial absence of the IMC. In contrast, concavin(−) ookinetes showed no morphological difference. To investigate why concavin(−) ookinetes establish fewer oocysts (see also: Ukegbu et al., 2021), and in analogy to the disruption of normally shaped migrating concavin(−) sporozoites, it would be interesting to image migrating ookinetes as they pass from the blood meal to the epithelium. How either PDE6 or concavin contribute to keeping the IMC in place remains elusive. Understanding how concavin remains mobile while contributing to cellular shape maintenance clearly needs further work. The presence of a potential palmitoylation site at the N-terminus of concavin, in combination with the ability of the protein to recover after FRAP suggest an incorporation into the PM rather than the IMC. The ability of normal and deformed sporozoites to remain motile excludes most likely a function of concavin in glideosome formation. We speculate that concavin is either directly involved in PM and IMC organisation or through transient interactions with GAP45. Open questions include: does concavin interact with other proteins and if yes, what are the binding partners? Does it interact with proteins linked to the IMC or the actin-myosin motor machinery that drives the parasite? Likely more proteins are important in keeping the plasma membrane at a constant distance from the IMC and maintaining the shape of these parasites. Finding concavin interacting proteins could also reveal why the change in shape becomes more dramatic with prolonged salivary gland residency.

Sporozoites are not born with a final shape, but mature from long and slender ones in the oocysts to crescent-shaped slightly thicker ones in the salivary glands (Kudryashev et al., 2012; Muthinja et al., 2017). Investigations by cryogenic electron tomography revealed that the sub-pellicular network (SPN) is only robustly detectable in sporozoites isolated from the salivary glands.
(Kudryashev et al., 2012) and the tagging of SPN proteins revealed their peripheral localization only in salivary gland derived sporozoites (Khater et al., 2004). This suggests that the SPN and possibly its linkage to microtubules plays a key role in the generation of the crescent shape observed for transmission ready sporozoites. In turn, this suggests that the shape has a key function for sporozoite infectivity. Indeed, mutants where the shape of sporozoites is altered have been shown to transmit less efficiently or not at all to rodent hosts (Montagna et al., 2012; Volkmann et al., 2012; Tremp et al., 2013; Spreng et al., 2019). Yet, concavin(−) sporozoites reveal for the first time a loss of cellular integrity as a phenotypic consequence of deleting a Plasmodium gene. The movies of sporozoites migrating in the mouse skin in vivo suggest that plasma membrane, containing considerable amounts of cytosol, is lost as the parasites migrate through tight obstacles.

Upon liver cell invasion, the sporozoite naturally changes its shape, a likely active process depending on newly translated proteins from stored transcripts (Gomes-Santos et al., 2011). The deletion of the RNA binding protein pumilio-2 led to a progressive rounding of sporozoite already in the salivary glands and premature expression of liver stage specific genes (Gomes-Santos et al., 2011; Lindner et al., 2013a). In contrast to pumilio-2 mutants, which only round up after several days of salivary gland residence, the lack of concavin led to early rounding after salivary gland invasion (Fig 1C–E). This, and the different localization of the two proteins, suggests a completely different function of the two proteins. Also, pumilio-2(−) sporozoites “round up” in a way reminiscent of the shape changes after liver cell invasion: the sporozoites bleb in the center with their ends initially keeping their sporozoite shape (Gomes-Santos et al., 2011). This is similar to the rounding of mutants lacking the IMC-1 and IMC-1h proteins (Khater et al., 2004; Volkmann et al., 2012). In contrast, concavin(−) sporozoites round up from their proximal ends (Figs 1B, 5C–E and EV2).

We found that, in T. gondii, disrupting the concavin orthologue has no visible impact on in vitro life in cultured fibroblasts. This however does not rule out that during other parts of the life cycle of this parasite more constraining barriers encountered by the parasite might impact on cellular integrity too. We observed a slight reduction in oocyst numbers, which hints at a possible function of concavin also for ookinetes. Ookinetes need to pass the peritrophic matrix that forms around the ingested blood meal and through one layer of epithelial cells. Ookinetes move much slower than sporozoites and hence concavin might not be as important during their short life as it is for the much longer living and faster migrating sporozoites facing many more constrictions on their journey from oocyst to liver.

Lastly, as malaria parasites can be targeted within mosquitoes, e.g., through mosquito uptake of antiparasitic drugs (Paton et al., 2019), it might be feasible to target the parasite cell shape maintenance pathways with small molecules within the insect to prevent the transmission of the parasite. While concavin might not directly be targetable, enzymes involved in overall cell shape maintenance such as palmitoyl transferases (Wang et al., 2005; Santos et al., 2015; Hopp et al., 2021; Schlott et al., 2021) could be good candidate targets.

In conclusion, we showed here the disintegration of migrating Plasmodium sporozoites due to the lack of a novel protein, concavin. Functional analyses through GFP-tagging, FRAP, gene deletion and in vivo imaging showed the importance of concavin for the maintenance and integrity of Plasmodium sporozoite shape and hence efficient transmission from mosquitoes to mammals.

Materials and Methods

Generation of parasite lines

**Concavin(−)**
The 3’UTR (779 bp) of PbANKA_1422900 was amplified from wild-type gDNA using primers JK57 and JK58 and inserted into a plasmid (pL22) containing the recyclable yFCU/ hDHFR selection cassette and gfp expressed under the hsp70 promoter digested with NotI and SacII. The 5’UTR (554 bp) was amplified using primers JK55 and JK56 and inserted into the plasmid using KpnI and HindIII. The resulting plasmid pL24 was linearized with KpnI and SacII prior transfection for double crossover integration (Appendix Fig S3; Appendix Table S1).

**Marker free concavin(−) NS**
The drinking water of mice infected with concavin(−) parasites was supplemented with 2 mg/ml 5-FC (5-fluorocytosine). Clonal parasites which looped out the selection cassette were obtained by limiting dilution. (Appendix Fig S3; Appendix Table S1).

**Plasmodium berghei complementation**
The 5’UTR together with the entire ORF of PbANKA_1422900 was amplified from wild-type gDNA using primers JK55 and JK176 and inserted into a plasmid (pL59) containing gfp and the TgDHFR selection cassette using KpnI and NdeI. Resulting in plasmid pL79. For transfection of concavin(−) NS parasites via double crossover, the plasmid was digested with KpnI and SacII. (Appendix Fig S5; Appendix Table S1).

**PF3D7 complementation**
The P. berghei 5’UTR was amplified using primers JK55 and JK179 and inserted into pL59 using KpnI and BstBI, followed by the insertion of PF3D7_0814600 amplified with primers JK177 and JK178 from P. falciparum gDNA and digested with BstB1 and NdeI. For the transfection of concavin(−) NS parasites via double crossover, the plasmid was digested with KpnI and SacII (Appendix Fig S5; Appendix Table S1).

**Concavin<sup>C7A</sup> complementation**
Concavin<sup>C7A</sup> together with gfp was amplified from pl79 using primers JK236 and JK237. The resulting PCR product was digested with BamHI and ligated into pL82 digested with BamHI and BstBI with filled in overhangs, leading to the final plasmid pL20. For transfection of concavin(−) NS parasites via double crossover, the plasmid was digested with KpnI and SacII (Appendix Fig S5; Appendix Table S1).

**Phl1-GFP**
Phl1-GFP (PBANKA_020460) parasites with GFP at the C-terminus were generated via single homologous recombination. A region of the Phl1 gene 54 bp downstream of the ATG start codon and lacking the stop codon was amplified using primers P969 and P970 (Appendix Fig S7; Appendix Table S1). The PCR product was digested using EcoRI and BamHI enzymes and ligated into a vector containing the
**TgDHFR** selection cassette as a positive selection marker. For transfection, the final vector was linearized using BsaB1.

Transfection of linearized plasmids was performed as previously described (Janse et al., 2006). After electroporation of schizonts, positive selection was performed using pyrimethamine. All resulting monoclonal lines were obtained through limiting dilution using NMRI or CD1 mice. Briefly, 0.9 parasites were injected into 10 naïve mice followed by genotyping of positive animals on day 9 post infection.

**Mosquito infections**

All experiments were performed with *Anopheles stephensi* mosquitoes, fed with 1% salt/water and 10% sucrose/water solution containing 0.05% para-aminobenzoic acid (PABA) and pads containing alcohol free Paulaner. Naïve mosquitoes were kept at 28°C and 70% humidity and subsequently transferred to 21°C after infection. Infection of mosquitoes was done with mice infected with 20 million blood stage parasites, 4 days post infection. Gametocyte formation was monitored by counting exflagellation events in peripheral blood. Mice were anesthetized with a combination of ketamin/ xylazin and mosquitoes were allowed to bite for 20 min.

**Gametocyte activation and Ter-119 staining**

Six million blood stage parasites were injected i.v. into a naïve Swiss CD1 mouse. 4 days post infection, the blood was harvested by cardiac puncture: 100 µl of blood together with 500 µl of ookinete medium (see below) was incubated for 15 min. After fixation of the parasites with 4% PFA at 4°C for at least overnight, staining of the red blood cell membrane was performed with the anti-Ter119::Alexa647 antibody (Biolegend, 0.5 mg/ml; 1/1,000) for 1 h. Cells were washed twice with PBS and resuspended in PBS containing Hoechst for observation under the microscope.

**In vitro ookinete cultures**

6 million blood stage parasites were injected i.v. into a naïve Swiss CD1 mouse. 4 days post infection the blood was harvested by cardiac puncture to set up ookinete cultures. The blood of one mouse was added to 10 ml of ookinete medium (RPMI containing 25 mM Hepes and 300 mg/l L-glutamine, 10 mg/l hypoxanthine, 50,000 units/l penicillin, 50 mg/l streptomycin, 2 g/l NaHCO3, 20.48 mg/l xanthurenic acid, 20% FCS; pH 7.8) at 21°C and incubated for 21 h. Nearly all parasites were permeabilized with 0.5% TritonX for 15 min. Primary antibodies were incubated for 1 h and washed twice with PBS. Secondary antibodies together with Hoechst were incubated for 1 h. Cells were washed twice with PBS and observed under the microscope. Images were either taken on a Zeiss CellObserver widefield (63×) or Nikon/PerkinElmer spinning disc (100×) microscope. Image processing was performed with ImageJ. Antibodies: rabbit anti-GFP for IFA 1/40 (abintity 0.4 µg/µl), mouse anti CSP (mAb 3D11, 1/100, Yoshida et al., 1980), goat anti-mouse Alexa 594 1/1,000 (Invitrogen 2 mg/ml), goat anti-rabbit Alexa 594 1/1,000 (Invitrogen 2 mg/ml). For STED imaging, anti-mouse Atto 594 1/300 (Sigma) and anti-rabbit Atto 647N 1/300 (Sigma) was used. The staining of sporozoites with antibodies or SiR-tubulin was performed as described previously (Spreng et al., 2019).

**FRAP**

FRAP experiments were performed using a PerkinElmer Nikon spinning disc microscope with FRAP module. Images were taken with a 100× objective (NA 1.4) every 0.5 s. Selected regions were bleached using a 405 nm laser at 100% laser power.

**STED imaging**

Super-resolution imaging was performed on a STED microscope from Abberior Instruments GmbH (Göttingen) using a 100× objective. STED-illumination was done using a 594 or 640 nm excitation laser in combination with 775 nm depletion. Images were taken with 15 nm pixel size and a line accumulation of 2. Deconvolution was done using the Inspector software provided by Abberior using the Richardson-Lucy algorithm with 50 iterations. Further image processing was done using Fiji.

**Electron microscopy**

Isolated salivary glands from infected *Anopheles stephensi* mosquitoes were directly dissected into 2% glutaraldehyde and 2% paraformaldehyde in 100 mM cacodylate buffer and fixed at 4°C overnight. After rinsing in buffer, the samples were further fixed in 1% osmium in 100 mM cacodylate buffer for 1 h, washed in water and incubated in 1% uranylacetate in water overnight at 4°C. Dehydration was done in 10 min steps in an acetone gradient followed by stepwise Spurr resin infiltration at room temperature and polymerization at 60°C.

The blocks were trimmed to get cross-sections of the salivary glands and sectioned using a Leica UC6 ultramicrotome (Leica Microsystems Vienna) in 70 nm thin sections. The sections were placed on formvar coated slot grids, post-stained in uranyl acetate and Reynold’s lead citrate and imaged on a JEOL JEM-1400 electron microscope (JEOL, Tokyo) operating at 80 kV and equipped with a 4K TemCam F416 camera (Tietz Video and Image Processing Systems GmbH, Gautig).

**Array tomography**

3D reconstruction of the round sporozoites was done using array tomography. Ultrathin serial sections (70 nm) were cut on an UC7 ultramicrotome (Leica Microsystems, Vienna, Austria) equipped...
with a section receiver (cd-flh, Heidelberg) enabling a smooth pick up of serial sections on wafers (Si-Mat SiliconMaterials) treated by glow discharge. The sections were post-stained by placing the wafers pieces in uranyl acetate for 20 min and lead citrate for 10 min, each in a closed tube. The wafers with sections were washed repeatedly in water after each step. Serial sections through one sporozoite (around 40 sections) were imaged by scanning electron microscopy with a LEO Gemini 1530 equipped with a field emission gun and an ATLAS scanning generator (Zeiss). Images of 10,000 × 10,000 pixels and 2 nm resolution were taken at the same area in consecutive sections using the in-lens detector. Imaging parameters used: 3 mm working distance, 30 µm aperture and 2 kV acceleration voltage. The images through each parasite were aligned and the membranes segmented manually using the IMOD software package (Kremer et al, 1996). In total, 6 sporozoites were reconstructed.

Sporozoite invasion into cells and liver-stage development

Hela cells were seeded into 8-well Labtek chamber slides with glass bottom. Once the cells reached about 95% confluency, sporozoites were added on top of the cells. The entire slide was centrifuged for 5 min at 800 rpm to make the sporozoites adhere to the cells and incubated at 37°C. After 1 h, the cells were fixed with 4% PFA/ PBS for 30 min, followed by staining of the cells against CSP (mouse anti CSP mAb 3D11, 1/ 100, Yoshida et al, 1980). The primary antibody was incubated for 1 h and washed twice with PBS. The secondary antibody (goat anti-mouse Alexa 594 1/1,000 (Invitrogen 2 mg/ml)) together with Hoechst were incubated for 1 h. Cells were washed twice with PBS and observed under the microscope. Images were either taken on a Zeiss CellObserver widefield (63×) or Nikon/PerkinElmer spinning disc (100×) microscope. Image processing was performed with Fiji.

For liver-stage development, cells were split into 2 wells 1 h post infection and fixed with 4% PFA/ PBS after 24 and 48 h. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst and images taken on a Zeiss Axio-Observer widefield (63×) microscope. Image processing and quantification of parasite size was done with Fiji. Cell lines were authenticated and tested for mycoplasma contamination.

Live imaging of Mosquito sporozoite ejection

For imaging of ejected sporozoites, mosquitoes were immobilized with small drops of superglue on the wings and thorax on a 24 × 60 mm cover glass. The labrum was carefully removed using 2 needles to liberate the stylets of the mosquito which were ideally pressed flat onto the cover glass. Imaging was done on a Zeiss Axio-Observer with 25× objective at a frame rate of 3 frames per second.

3D imaging in polyacrylamide gels

Polyacrylamide hydrogels were prepared as described before (Pelham & Wang, 1997). Briefly, APS and TEMED were added to a prepolymer solution containing 3% acrylamide and 0.03% bis-acrylamide in PBS. The solution was pipetted onto a silanized glass coverslip immediately and covered with a second glass coverslip. After polymerization, the top coverslip was removed in PBS. Whole salivary glands were dissected into 30 µl 3% BSA/RPMI and covered with the hydrogel. Images were recorded on top of the hydrogel as well as at the hydrogel-glass interface using a 25× objective and a frame rate of 3s.

In vivo imaging of sporozoites in the mouse skin

In vivo imaging in the skin was performed on a spinning-disk confocal system (UltraView ERS, Perkin Elmer) controlled by Velocity (Perkin Elmer) and composed of 4 Diode Pumped Solid State Lasers (excitation wavelengths: 405, 488, 561 and 640 nm), a Yokogawa Confocal Scanner Unit CSU22, a Z-axis piezolectric actuator and a Hamamatsu Orca-Flash 4.0 camera mounted on a Axiovert 200 microscope (Zeiss). Z-stacks of 6 plans covering 25–30 µm were acquired using a LCI “Plan-Neofluar” 25×/0.8 Imm Korr DIC objective (Zeiss) at a rate of 2.7 frames per second for up to 80 min following sporozoite transmission.

For bite transmission experiments, infected Anopheles stephensi were selected under an epifluorescence stereomicroscope 14–15 days after the infectious blood meal and used between day 18 to 21 post-infection. To enhance the bite rate, mosquitoes were deprived of sucrose one day before the experiment. For intravitral imaging of syringe-inoculated sporozoites, infected salivary glands were harvested in 1× DPBS 13–21 days following the infectious blood meal and were kept intact on ice. Shortly before the experiment, they were crushed, filtered on a 35-µm strainer and further diluted in 1× DPBS. All experiments were performed using either concavity KO sporozoites or a control P. berghei ANKA strain expressing GFP under the control of the hsp70 promoter (Ishino et al, 2006).

Prior to imaging, 4- to 6-week old female C57BL/6JRj mice (Janvier Labs) were injected intravenously into the tail vein with 10–15 µg of Alexa Fluor™ 647-coupled anti-CD31 antibody (clone 390, Biolegend) to label blood vessels. Animals were then anesthetized with a mixture of ketamine (125 mg/kg body weight, Imalgene 1000, Merial) and xylazine (12.5 mg/kg body weight, Rompun 2%, Bayer), and their ear pinnae were gently epilated with a piece of tape. The dorsal side of their ears was then either exposed to mosquito bites for 2 min or injected with 0.2 µl of sporozoite suspension using a micro syringe (NanoFil 10 µl syringe mounted with a 35G beveled needle, World Precision Instruments), yielding fields of view containing in average 100 parasites. Animals were then immediately transferred onto the microscope stage to localize and image the inoculation sites. During acquisition, mice were kept warm with a heating blanket (Harvard Apparatus) and their anaesthesia status was regularly monitored (Amino et al, 2007).

Image files were processed and quantified using Fiji (Schindelin et al, 2012). Parasite morphology in the skin was determined on the first images obtained immediately after the localization of the bite site. Sporozoite movements were manually tracked over 2-min movies recorded at the indicated time points after inoculation and mean velocity was determined using the MTrackJ plug-in (Meijering et al, 2012). Sporozoites whose speed was inferior to 0.25 µm/s were considered immotile. Blood vessel invasion events were quantified over the first hour following sporozoite micro-injection and normalized to the number of motile sporozoites in the field at 10 min post-infection. To quantify the frequency of parasite disintegration after bite transmission, only sporozoites which could be tracked for at least 10 min were taken into consideration. Following
loss of parasite integrity, gradual disappearance of fluorescence was considered a hallmark of sporozoite death.

**Toxoplasma gondii** parasite culture

Parasites were cultured in human foreskin fibroblasts (HFFs) using Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 2 mM l-glutamine and 10 mg/ml gentamycin. Cell culture was maintained at 37°C and 5% CO₂.

**Toxoplasma gondii** parasite strain generation

**Parasites with TGGT1_216650 endogenously tagged and floxed**

Endogenous tagging was done as described in (Stortz et al., 2019). Briefly, RHΔku80DiCre tachyzoites (created by Dr Moritz Treeck (Hunt et al., 2019) were used for endogenous tagging. Guide RNAs to target both the C-terminal region, and upstream of the predicted 5’UTR of TGGT1_216650 were designed. These guides were cloned into a plasmid which expressed Cas9-YFP. The 5’loxP was introduced into the parasites as an oligo flanked by 33 bp of sequences homologous to the region that the Cas9 targeted. The repair templates used for the introduction of the C-terminal tags (YFP and SNAP) were amplified by PCR (Q5 polymerase, New England Biolabs) from template plasmids. The primers used for the amplification of these tags were designed in such a way that an LIC sequence was used as a linker between the protein and the tags, whereas a loxP sequence was introduced downstream of the tags. Homology sequences 50 bp long were added on either side of the tags to facilitate homologous recombination. The 5’loxP and 3’ tags were introduced into the parasites in two separate successive transfections. 10 μg of vector (encoding the guide RNA and Cas9-YFP) and the respective repair templates were transfected into 1 × 107 newly egressed parasites, using 4D AMAXA electroporation. Following transfection, the parasites were allowed to invade and replicate for approximately 40 h, at which point they were mechanically egressed, filtered and sorted for transient Cas9-YFP expression using a cell sorter (FACSria IIIu, BD Biosciences) into 96-well plates. Successfully genetically modified parasite clones were identified by IFA, PCR and sequencing (using primers designed to bind as indicated by the blue and red arrows in Appendix Fig S4).

**Induction of TGGT1_216650 knock-out**

RHΔku80DiCre tachyzoites with a floxed TGGT1_216650 C-terminally tagged with a SNAP-tag were cultured in the presence of 50 nM rapamycin for 1 week and then cloned out by serial dilution. The cells were then left in eosin for 1 min, followed by 2 min in methylene blue and were finally washed thoroughly with water.

**Toxoplasma gondii** Immunofluorescence assays and microscopy

HFFs were inoculated with parasites for 24 h, after which they were either imaged live or fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 20 min at room temperature. For live imaging, the dyes (SNAP-Cell 647-SiR, and HALO-tag Oregon Green) were used as per the manufacturer’s instructions. For fixed samples, the cells were washed three times with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) following fixation, and then permeabilized and blocked for 45 min at room temperature with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA), 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS. The cells were then labelled with the following primary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature: mouse anti-GFP (1:500, monoclonal Anti-GFP, Roche), rabbit anti-GFP (1:1,000, polyclonal Anti-GFP, abcam), rabbit anti-GAP45 (1:1,000, a generous gift from Dominique Soldati Favre; (Plattner et al., 2008)), mouse anti-IMC1 (1:2,000, a generous gift from Gary Ward; (Tilley et al., 2014)). After incubating with primary antibodies, the cells were washed three times with PBS and then labelled with the following secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature in the dark: STAR 635P anti-rabbit (Abberior), STAR 635P anti-mouse (Abberior), Alexa Fluor488 anti-mouse (Life Technologies), and Alexa Fluor Plus 488 anti-rabbit (Invitrogen). Following the incubation with the secondary antibodies, the cells were incubated with 0.4 μM Hoechst for 5 min, and were finally washed three times with PBS and mounted with ProLongTM Gold antifade mounting medium (ThermoFisher Scientific). Widefield images were taken using a 100× objective on a Leica DMi8 widefield microscope attached to a Leica DFC9000 GTC camera. Z-stacks were taken and then processed with ImageJ.

**Ethics statement**

All animal experiments were performed according to European guidelines and regulations and the German Animal Welfare Act (Tierschutzgesetz) and executed following the guidelines of the Society of Laboratory Animal Science (GV-SOLAS) and of the Federation of European Laboratory Animal Science Associations (FELASA). All experiments were approved by the responsible German authorities (Regierungspräsidium Karlsruhe) or Animal Care and Use committee of Institut Pasteur (CETEA 2013-0093) and the French Ministry of Higher Education and Research (MESR 01324).

**Animal work**

For all experiments, female 4–6-week-old Naval Medical Research Institute (NMRI) mice, Swiss or C57BL/6 mice obtained from Charles River or Janvier laboratories were used. Transgenic parasites were generated in the *Plasmodium berghei* ANKA background (Vincke & Bafort, 1968) either directly in wild-type or from wild-type derived. Parasites were cultivated in NMRI or Swiss CD1 mice while transmission experiments with sporozoites were performed in C57Bl/6 mice only. Animal experiments conducted at the Institut Pasteur were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Institut Pasteur (CETEA 2013-0093) and the French Ministry of Higher Education and Research (MESR 01324) and were performed in accordance with European guidelines and regulations.
Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 8.0 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA). Data sets were either tested with a Mann–Whitney, Fischers Exact or Kruskal–Wallis test. A value of $P < 0.05$ was considered significant. No blinding of experiments has been done.

Data availability

No large primary datasets have been generated and deposited. All new vectors and parasite lines are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Expanded View for this article is available online.
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