Malnutrition is Associated with Delayed Sputum Culture Conversion Among Patients Treated for MDR-TB
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Background: Clinicians use sputum culture conversion as an interim indicator of the efficacy of multi-drug resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) treatment and to determine treatment duration. Yet, limited studies have been published in Ethiopia.

Objective: The objective of this study was to determine the predictors of delayed culture conversion among patients receiving MDR-TB treatment at selected treatment centers in Ethiopia.

Patients and Methods: A multi-center observation study was conducted among MDR-TB patients in South and Southwestern Ethiopia from April 14 to May 14, 2019. The data of patients treated from January 2013 to July 2019 were reviewed using a data abstraction tool. The data were analyzed. Descriptive statistics was computed using SPSS version 21 software program. Cox regression was used to identify predictors of delayed culture conversion. Hazard ratios with a two-sided p-value <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results: Of 200 included MDR-TB patients, 108 (54%) were males. Majority, 159 (79.5%) of the patients had a culture conversion time of less than two months, while 15 (7.5%) had delayed culture conversion (greater than 120 days). Patient’s registration group (after loss to follow-up (adjusted hazard ratio (AHR)=16.215, 95% CI [3.839, 68.498]), after treatment failure (AHR=12.161, 95% CI [2.516, 58.793]), history of previous TB treatment (AHR=4.007, 95% CI [3.115, 62.990]) and low BMI (AHR= 1.257; 95% CI [0.725,1.547] were identified as a risk factors for delayed culture conversion.

Conclusion: Our finding showed that nearly 80% of the patients achieve sputum culture conversion by the second month of treatment. Delayed culture conversion was more likely among patients with malnutrition (BMI<18.5kg/m^2), after treatment failure, previous TB treatment, and after lost to follow-up.
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Introduction
Tuberculosis (TB) is the commonest infectious disease that is responsible for the death of approximately 2 million people every year, globally.1 Although tremendous efforts have been made to control it, an alarmingly increasing Multi-drug resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) hampers the prevention and control of TB.2 The global TB report showed that in 2018, there were 3.4% new cases and 18% previously treated cases of MDR-TB. Countries with the largest share of the global TB burden include the Republic of India, China, and the Russian Federation. In Africa, Nigeria and South Africa harbour the largest number of MDR-TB cases.
With 2700 MDR-TB cases each year, Ethiopia is among the top 30 MDR-TB burden countries. A recent data in the country reported the prevalence of MDR-TB to be 0.71% and 16% among newly diagnosed and under retreatment, respectively.3

The status of mycobacterial cultures plays an important role in guiding the treatment of MDR-TB and is an interim indicator of the efficacy of the treatment.4,5 Delayed/non-conversion of sputum culture after the end of 4 months of intensive phase treatment often suggests unfavorable outcomes, ie, treatment failure and death.6,7 Furthermore, prolonged sputum culture conversion has a negative impact on the economy, mainly through extending the period of therapy, sub-optimal adherence to treatment with consequent treatment failure.6 Baseline radiological findings (lung cavitation), high bacillary load, resistance to ofloxacin (Ofx) or streptomycin, malnutrition, HIV co-infection, and therapeutic delay of greater than one month have been linked to a delay in sputum culture conversion in prior studies.6,8,9

Despite the substantial use of sputum culture conversion as an interim indicator of treatment efficacy, limited studies have been conducted in Ethiopia. Hence, this multicenter observational study was designed to determine predictors of delayed sputum culture conversion among patients treated for MDR-TB at three treatment centers in Ethiopia.

**Patients and Methods**

**Study Design and Study Area**

A multi-center observational study was done among patients treated for MDR-TB at three treatment centers (Arbaminch, Butajira, and Shenengibe General Hospitals) located in South and Southwestern Ethiopia. The study was conducted from April 14 to May 14, 2019.

Arbaminch and Butajira General Hospitals are located in Southern Ethiopia, and they are currently serving around 11 million population. The former started its MDR-TB treatment service in 2014, while the latter started in 2015. Currently, there are 110 MDR-TB patients registered in both hospitals. Shenengibe Hospital is found in Southwestern Ethiopia, Jimma zone, and it’s 329Km away from the capital, Addis Ababa. It’s currently serving about 5 million population. The hospital started it’s service in 2013. So far, 98 MDR-TB patients were enrolled in the center.

**Study Participants**

We included all adult patients with confirmed diagnosis of MDR-TB (those with isoniazid and rifampicin resistance, but with or without resistance to other first-line drugs) based on Xpert MTB/RIF® assay whose charts fulfilled the eligibility criteria (containing complete baseline and follow-up data) in the aforementioned treatment centers.10 There is no special sampling technique employed. We excluded charts of patients with incomplete data on the outcome variables, and patients transferred to other treatment centers. Finally, 200 patients were included in the final analysis.

**Data Collection**

A structured checklist prepared from different literatures, WHO guidelines, and national MDR-TB treatment follow-up charts was used to collect the necessary data. The checklist contains several variables.9–12 The variables include Patient-related variables (gender, age, residence, pregnancy, marital status, smoking status, educational level, and body mass index (BMI)); Disease-related variables (category of MDR-TB, drug resistance status, and comorbidities); Drug-related data (type of medication, and drug regimen). The dependent variable was time to sputum culture conversion.

**Definition of Terms**

Sputum culture conversion was defined as a two sets of negative consecutive cultures taken at least 30 days apart after initiation of treatment. Delayed culture conversion was defined as a culture conversion time greater than 120 days. Previously treated: A patient who has a history of previous treatment for TB (four weeks or more), and is now diagnosed with recurrent TB. Treatment after failure: A patient whose treatment was failed at the end of the most recent treatment episode. Treatment after loss to follow up: A patient who has previous history of treatment for >1 month and returns to treatment following interruption of treatment for ≥2 consecutive months with positive test by GeneXpert MTB/RIF TB/RIF, culture or sputum smear microscopy. New/prevously not treated for TB was defined as a patient who had no prior anti-TB treatment. Adherence was explained as the extent to which a patient continues the agreed upon medication as prescribed (Good Adherence: for adherence rate of >95%, Fair Adherence:
adherence rate of 85–94% and Poor Adherence for <85% adherence level).

Data Quality
The questionnaire was carefully prepared to collect all important data in order to achieve the aim of the study. The appropriateness of each patient chart was reviewed for inclusion before data collection. Two pharmacists and physicians were recruited for data collection. The pharmacists were responsible for collecting drug-related data, while the patient-related clinical variables were collected by the physicians. At each treatment center, an infectious disease specialist supervised the data collection process. Supervisors followed the data collection process and helped by correlating diagnostic, and laboratory findings with the main outcome. Furthermore, a pretest was done on 5% (10) of patients’ charts to detect the effectiveness of the data collection tool. Based on the pretest finding, all necessary adjustment was made.

Data Analysis
Data were entered and cleaned in EpiData (v.4.2) and exported to SPSS software package (v.21) for statistical analysis. For categorical variables, proportions were computed and summarized as counts, graphs, and percentages. Pearson’s chi-square ($\chi^2$) was used to compare the baseline characteristics of the patients. For normally distributed variables, mean and standard deviation (SD) were used to summarize, while median and interquartile range (IQR) was utilized to report non-normally distributed variables. The Kaplan–Meier estimation was performed to compute the baseline survival experience of the patients. A bivariate Cox proportional hazard model was first fitted, and variables with p-value <0.25 in the bivariate analysis were further regressed using multivariable Cox proportional-hazard model. On multivariable Cox proportional-hazard regression model, variables with a p-value <0.05 were considered to declare statistical significance.

Results
Overview of the Included Patients
During the study period, 213 patient charts were reviewed for eligibility and 13 records were excluded. Finally, a total of 200 MDR-TB patients were included in the analysis (Figure 1).

Socio-Demographic Characteristics
The mean ± SD age of the patients was 32.9±9.5 years. More than half, 108 (54%) of the patients were male and 111 (55.5%) were rural dwellers, and 99 (49.5%) of the...
patients were married. The highest proportion, 37%, have a secondary level of education (Table 1).

Clinical Characteristics
Of the 200 patients, 156 (78%) were previously treated, 22 (11%) were new MDR-TB cases, 9 (4.5%) were lost to follow-up, 13 (6.5%) had treatment after failure. About 56 (28%) of the study participants were living with various comorbidities. The commonest comorbidities diagnosed were diabetes mellitus (DM), 9(4.5%), and renal problem, 7(3.5%), respectively. Most, 196 (98%) of the patients received vitamin B6 supplementation, and 173 (86.5%) had good adherence (Table 2).

Time to Sputum Culture Conversion
Majority,159 (79.5%) of the patients had a culture conversion time of less than two months, while 15 (7.5%) had delayed culture conversion (greater than 120 days). All patients had converted before 6-months. The median time to culture conversion was 31 (IQR: 30–61) days. There was no statistically significant difference in terms of culture conversion among the regimens (Log rank p=0.53) (Figure 2).

Predictors of Delayed Sputum Culture Conversion
A Cox proportional-hazard regression analysis was performed to identify predictors of delayed culture conversion. On bivariate analysis, the presence of comorbidity, adherence status, HIV status, BMI, and patient’s registration group were significantly associated with delayed culture conversion. On multivariate cox-regression, patient’s registration group and BMI remained independent predictors of delayed culture conversion. Consequently, patients registered after loss to follow-up had 16 times higher hazard of delayed culture conversion (AHR=16.215, 95% CI [3.839, 68.498]). Similarly, patients who were registered after treatment failure had 12 times higher hazard of delayed culture conversion (AHR=12.161, 95% CI [2.516, 58.793]). Patients who had history of previous treatment also had 4 times higher hazard of delayed culture

| Variables          | Number of Patients (n) | Delayed Culture Conversion | P-value |
|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Sex                |                        |                             |         |
| Male               | 108 (54%)              | 8 (53.3%)                   | 0.957   |
| Female             | 92 (46%)               | 7 (46.7%)                   |         |
| Educational level  |                        |                             |         |
| Primary            | 59 (29.5%)             | 5 (33.3%)                   | 0.179   |
| Secondary          | 74 (37%)               | 7 (46.7%)                   |         |
| College            | 67 (33.5%)             | 3 (20%)                     |         |
| Residence          |                        |                             |         |
| Urban              | 89 (44.5)              | 7 (46.7)                    | 0.861   |
| Rural              | 111 (55.5%)            | 8 (53.3%)                   |         |
| Smoking status     |                        |                             |         |
| Yes                | 10 (5%)                | 2 (13.3%)                   | 0.124   |
| No                 | 190 (95%)              | 13 (86.7%)                  |         |
| Alcoholic status   |                        |                             |         |
| Yes                | 28 (14%)               | 1 (6.7%)                    | 0.395   |
| No                 | 172 (86%)              | 14 (93.3%)                  |         |
| Marital status     |                        |                             |         |
| Single             | 88 (44%)               | 5 (33.3%)                   | 0.791   |
| Married            | 99 (49.5%)             | 9 (60%)                     |         |
| Divorce            | 10 (5%)                | 1 (6.7%)                    |         |
| Widowed            | 3 (1.5%)               | 0 (0%)                      |         |

Table 1 Socio-Demographic Characteristics of the Patients Stratified by the Status of Delayed Culture Conversion Time from April 14 to May 14, 2019
Moreover, the hazard of delayed culture conversion among underweight (BMI <18.5kg/m²) patients was 1.26 (AHR=1.257, 95% CI [1.125, 1.547]) (Table 3).

### Discussion

In this study, time to sputum culture conversion and predictors of delayed sputum culture conversion were examined. Majority, 159 (79.5%) of the patients had a culture conversion time of less than two months, while 15 (7.5%) had delayed culture conversion (>120 days). Patient’s registration group and BMI were independently associated with delayed culture conversion.

In the present study, 79.5% of patients had sputum culture converted at 2 months. This finding highlights an effective treatment of the patients with adequate regimens at the right dose, making the patient noninfectious rapidly, which ultimately results in favourable outcomes.

#### Table 2 Clinical Characteristics of the Patients Stratified by Delayed Culture Conversion Time from April 14 to May 14, 2019

| Variables          | Number of Patients (n=200) | Delayed Culture Conversion | P-value |
|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
|                    |                           | Yes (n=15)                  | No (n=185) |       |
| Site of disease    |                           | 13 (86.7%)                 | 174 (94%) | 0.264 |
| Pulmonary          |                           | 11 (73.3%)                 | 44 (23.8%) | P<0.01|
| Extra-pulmonary    |                           | 2 (3.3%)                   | 141 (76.2%) |       |
| BMI                |                           | 5 (33.3%)                  | 8 (4.3%) |       |
| <18.5              |                           | 11 (73.3%)                 | 44 (23.8%) | P<0.01|
| >18.5              |                           | 4 (26.7%)                  | 141 (76.2%) |       |
| Registration group |                           | 0 (0%)                     | 22 (11.9%) | P<0.01|
| New                |                           | 2 (13.3%)                  | 154 (83%) |       |
| Previously treated |                           | 8 (53.3%)                  | 8 (4.3%) |       |
| After loss to follow-up |               | 8 (53.3%)                  | 8 (4.3%) |       |
| After treatment failure |                 | 174 (94%)                  | 11 (6%) |       |
| Comorbidity        |                           | 56 (28%)                   | 9 (60%) | 0.004 |
| Yes                |                           | 9 (60%)                    | 47 (25.4%) |       |
| No                 |                           | 6 (40%)                    | 138 (74.6%) |       |
| HIV                |                           | 44 (22%)                   | 7 (46.7%) | 0.016 |
| Yes                |                           | 7 (46.7%)                  | 37 (20%) |       |
| No                 |                           | 8 (53.3%)                  | 148 (80%) |       |
| Renal problem      |                           | 7 (3.5%)                   | 14 (93.3%) | 0.488|
| Yes                |                           | 1 (6.7%)                   | 6 (3.2%) |       |
| No                 |                           | 14 (93.3%)                 | 179 (96.8%) |       |
| Diabetes           |                           | 9 (4.5%)                   | 1 (6.7%) | 0.674 |
| Yes                |                           | 1 (6.7%)                   | 8 (4.3%) |       |
| No                 |                           | 14 (93.3%)                 | 177 (95.7%) |       |
| Adherence status   |                           | 173 (86.5%)                | 5 (33.3%) | P<0.01|
| Good               |                           | 20 (10%)                   | 8 (53.3%) |       |
| Fair               |                           | 2 (13.4%)                  | 12 (6.5%) |       |
| Poor               |                           | 7 (3.5%)                   | 2 (13.4%) |       |
| Taking Vit B6      |                           | 196 (98%)                  | 14 (93.3%) | 0.179|
| Yes                |                           | 14 (93.3%)                 | 182 (98.4%) |       |
| No                 |                           | 4 (2%)                     | 1 (6.7%) |       |
| 184 (92%) |       | 2 (13.3%)                  | 3 (1.6%) |       |
| Regimen modified   |                           | 16 (8%)                    | 2 (13.3%) | 0.429 |
| Yes                |                           | 14 (7.5%)                  | 171 (92.5%) |       |
| No                 |                           | 184 (92%)                  |       |       |

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; Vit, vitamin.
have been reported in Latvia\textsuperscript{13} and India,\textsuperscript{14} in which 79% and 82% of patients had converted at 2 months, respectively. In contrary, our findings revealed a faster culture conversion in comparison with studies conducted by Kurbatova et al\textsuperscript{6}, and Rodriguez et al.\textsuperscript{15} The variation could be attributed to the difference in sample size and the way time to culture conversion was defined. In this study, time to culture conversion was defined as two consecutive negative culture results, while it was defined by five consecutive negative culture results in the study by Kurbatova et al.\textsuperscript{6}

We found that MDR-TB patients with history of previous TB treatment had 4 times higher hazard of delayed culture conversion (AHR=4.007, 95% CI [3.115, 6.299]). This finding was in accordance with a study conducted in India, where patients with history of previous TB treatment had two times higher hazard of delayed culture conversion than new MDR-TB patients.\textsuperscript{16}

Similarly, patients registered after loss to follow-up (AHR=16.215, 95% CI [3.839, 68.498]), and after treatment failure (AHR=12.161, 95% CI [2.516, 58.793]) also had higher hazard of delayed culture conversion. Similar finding was reported from a multicenter study conducted in Peru, Latvia, Estonia, Russia, and Philippines by Kurbatova et al.\textsuperscript{6}

Moreover, MDR-TB patients with low body mass index (BMI <18.5kg/m\textsuperscript{2}) had higher hazard of delayed culture conversion than their counterparts (AHR=1.257, 95% CI [1.125, 1.547]). This finding was in line with several studies conducted elsewhere that reported low BMI as a predictor of delayed culture conversion.\textsuperscript{17–19} Low BMI is an indicator of poor nutritional status; under nutrition. Under nutrition; an indicator of severe disease and poor socio-economic status, impairs host immunity against mycobacteria. The accompanying impairment in host immunity may increase susceptibility to various infectious diseases.\textsuperscript{20} A study by Scrimshaw et al\textsuperscript{21} particularly revealed that under nutrition (BMI <18.5kg/m\textsuperscript{2}) reduced the concentrations of immunoglobulins, interleukin-2 receptors, and T-cell subsets (suppressor-cytotoxic, helper, and natural killer cells) among TB patients. This finding suggests that undernourished MDR-TB patients may remain infectious in the community for a long period.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, our finding shows that nearly 80% of MDR-TB patients achieve sputum culture conversion by the second month of treatment. Delayed culture conversion was more likely in those with malnutrition (BMI <18.5kg/m\textsuperscript{2}), after treatment failure, previous TB treatment, and loss to follow-up.
Limitations of the Study

This study suffers from several limitations. Firstly, the retrospective nature of the data source limited us from capturing full information about the patients. Secondly, some important diagnostic and laboratory data were missed due to lack of sufficient registration. Hence, most of the patients have no data on sputum smear microscopy results. Thirdly, the method of patients’ adherence assessment was also subjective as it is based on patient reports. Lastly, although his was a multi-center survey, the number of hospitals included in the study was not sufficient to fully capture the data in Ethiopia.
Abbreviations
BMI, body mass index; Cm, capreomycin; E, ethambutol; Eto, ethionamide; MDR-TB, multidrug resistance tuberculosis; PZA, pyrazinamide; R, rifampici.
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