Recombinant Oncolytic Vaccinia Viruses Expressing Human β-Defensin 2 Enhance Anti-tumor Immunity
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Cancer is still a leading cause of death worldwide. Among the bio-therapy strategies for cancer, vaccinia virus (VV) has been widely used as an expression vector because of its potent oncolytic activities in addition to its large capacity for insertion of foreign genes and excellent safety records. In the present study, a novel recombinant VV, VV-HBD2-lacZ, expressing human β-defensin 2 (HBD2), an anti-microbial peptide of the innate immune system, was constructed. First, the chemotaxis characteristics of HBD2 expressed on VV-HBD2-lacZ-infected cells toward dendritic cells (DCs) in vitro and in vivo were demonstrated. The anti-tumor effects of VV-HBD2-lacZ in vitro and in vivo in a mouse melanoma cancer model were then investigated. It was found that VV-HBD2-lacZ was able to inhibit tumor growth and metastasis significantly. It was further demonstrated that VV-HBD2-lacZ induced potent cytotoxic activity by increasing the tumor-infiltrating CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. These results indicate that HBD2-expressing VV recruited plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) to the tumor location, leading to cytotoxic T cell response against the tumor, and thus inhibited tumor growth in vitro and in vivo. In conclusion, oncyltic HBD2-expressing VV provides an effective treatment for tumors by triggering innate and adaptive immunity.

INTRODUCTION

Today, cancer is still a threat to human health, accounting for 8.8 million deaths in 2015.1,2 However, traditional cancer therapies have limitations, such as resistance to chemotherapy and radiotherapy as well as poor tumor targeting. On the other hand, post-operative recurrence in some cancers is still a challenging issue that needs to be addressed. With the continuous progress in medical immunology, genetic engineering, and cell and molecular biology, oncolytic virotherapy is a novel therapy strategy for cancer that has attracted increased interest in both research and clinical settings.3,4

Oncolytic virotherapy offers a novel weapon for fighting cancers.5,6 Among the oncolytic virotherapy, vaccinia viruses (VV) have been widely used to prepare cancer vaccines and cancer gene therapy7-9 because of their unique features.10,11 Compared with other virus vectors, VVs are potent oncolytic agents that can be administered systematically and spread between tumors within a host.12 Western Reserve (WR) strain is a replication-competent VV strain that highly selectively infects and lyases tumor cells.13 Thorne et al.13 compared 10 strains of VV and found that the WR strain displayed greater inherent tumor selectivity in vitro than all other strains, primarily due to its enhanced replication in the tumor cell lines. In current clinical trials, VV treatment has shown significant anti-tumor effects. JX-549, a recombinant VV that actively expresses granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), is undergoing phase III clinical trials.14,15

Defensins are a class of small cationic proteins comprising of six to eight conserved cysteine residues. They are, and function as, host defense peptides. Human defensins can be divided into two categories: alpha (α-) and beta (β-) defensins. Human β-defensins (HBDs) are small host defense peptides that play an important role in the defense against various pathogens, and they are considered as a part of the immune system.16,17 In addition to their anti-microbial activity, the ability of HBDs to chemotact and activate immature dendritic cells (DCs) and memory T cells has been reported.18,19 Furthermore, the recruitment of DCs also facilitates antigen uptake, processing, and presentation, leading to activation of immune responses. Thus, HBDs play roles in both the innate and antigen-specific immunity of the host.20

In the present study, we constructed a recombinant VV-HBD2-lacZ expressing both HBD2 protein and β-galactosidase (lacZ) as a selective marker to test its anti-tumor effects. The novel recombinant VV exhibited effective therapeutic effects and safe in mouse cancer model. It was further shown that the expression of HBD2 in VV vectors...
enhanced the innate immune activation and inhibited immunosuppression, resulting in potent anti-tumor immunity.

RESULTS

Construction and Characterization of Recombinant Viruses

In this study, two recombinant VV vaccines were constructed: VV-HBD2-lacZ, expressing both HBD2 and β-galactosidase (lacZ), and VV-lacZ, expressing β-galactosidase only. Genomic structures of VV-HBD2- lacZ, VV-lacZ, and VV-WR are presented in Figure 1A.

Integration of both HBD2 and lacZ sequences into the desired site in the thymidine kinase (TK) region of the virus was confirmed by PCR and sequencing of viral DNA (data not shown).

ELISA analysis showed a high level of HBD2 expression in both human and murine tumor cell lines infected with VV-HBD2-lacZ but not VV-lacZ (Figure 1B). The bioactivity of HBD2 protein was also measured, which included its bacteriostatic activity, based on cells infected with VV-HBD2-lacZ or VV-lacZ. Infected cell lysates were collected and filtered through a 70-µm filter. Bacteriostatic activity of HBD2 protein was determined by the agar plate diffusion method. As shown in Table 1, the VV-HBD2-lacZ group displayed significantly more potent bacteriostatic activity than the other groups.

To confirm if recombinant viruses VV-HBD2-lacZ and VV-lacZ as well as wild-type of VV (VV-WR) had a similar pattern of proliferation in tumor cell lines. A549 cells were infected at an MOI of 0.1 with VV-HBD2-lacZ, VV-lacZ, or VV-WR. As shown in Figure 1C, it was found that the two recombinant viruses had a similar replication rate compared with VV-WR strain.

VV-HBD2-lacZ and VV-lacZ Induce Specific Cancer Cell Death via Apoptosis Pathway

To evaluate the tumor-specific cytotoxicity of VV-HBD2-lacZ and VV-lacZ, several human and mouse tumor cell lines and non-cancerous cell lines were infected with VV-HBD2-lacZ or VV-lacZ for 48 h. VV-WT group was used as a control. Cell viability was measured by cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) assay. The results (Figure 2A) showed that the growth of tumor cells infected with TK-deleted recombinant VV (VV-HBD2-lacZ and VV-lacZ) was inhibited in a dose-dependent manner, while the growth of non-cancerous NIH 3T3 cells infected with either VV was apparently not inhibited. The highest cytotoxicity was found in B16F10 cells, where the cell viability decreased to 12.8% ± 3.74% at an MOI of 10 after 48 h of infection. On the contrary, the cell viability of NIH 3T3 cells slightly changed ranging between an MOI of 0.1 and 10. These findings suggest that the TK-deleted recombinant viruses have a specific suppressive effect on tumor cell proliferation but not normal cells.

To figure out whether the expression of HBD2 increases tumor cell death by activating the apoptosis or necrosis pathway, A549 cells and B16F10 cells were treated with VVs for 24 h. The percentage of apoptotic cells was then determined by annexin V/PI and flow cytometry assays. The results analyzed by flow cytometry showed that the percentage of cell apoptosis in tumor cells infected by VV-HBD2-lacZ was higher (2-fold) than in the VV-lacZ group (Figure 2B).

VV-HBD2-lacZ Attracts Activated DCs In Vitro and In Vivo

To determine whether the enhanced anti-tumor effects were related to the chemotactic character of HBD2, a supernatant was collected from VV-HBD2-lacZ- or VV-lacZ-infected cells and DCs were isolated from murine spleen. The chemotactic index of DCs was measured using the coring transwell chamber (5-µm pore size;
HBD2 may attract mouse DCs into the tumor location\textsuperscript{21} \textit{in vivo}. VV-HBD2-lacZ, VV-lacZ (10\textsuperscript{5} PFU/mouse), and PBS were then injected, respectively, into tumor \textit{in situ} in B16F10 tumor-bearing mice (Figure 5A). The injections were then repeated at day 12 and day 17. It was found that treatments with VV-HBD2-lacZ and VV-lacZ inhibited the growth of established tumors (p < 0.0001; p < 0.0001) (Figures 5B and 5C) and prolonged the survival period of tumor-bearing mice compared with the PBS-treated group (Figure 5D). All mice inoculated with PBS were sacrificed at day 19 when the tumor volume reached 2000 mm\textsuperscript{3}, while the mice inoculated with VV-HBD2-lacZ and VV-lacZ showed 80% and 100% survival, respectively, at day 25.

To test whether VV-HBD2-lacZ could increase systemic anti-tumor response, the VV-treated mice were re-challenged with another dose of 10\textsuperscript{5} B16F10 cells/mouse on the other flank 2 weeks after the first injection. The results suggested that VV-HBD2-lacZ-treated mice had great resistance to B16F10 cell re-challenge compared to VV-lacZ-treated mice (Figure 5E).

**Table 1. Bacteriostatic Activity of HBD2**

| Groups                  | Diameter of Inhibition Zone (mm) |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                         | \textit{E. coli DH5}\textalpha{}  | \textit{E. coli BL21} | ZH111 | \textit{S. aureus} |
| Amp                     | 24.32 ± 1.04                     | 24.10 ± 1.38          | 26.43 ± 0.41 | 29.66 ± 0.67 |
| VV-HBD2-lacZ (0.2 µg/mL)| 17.11 ± 0.80                     | 15.24 ± 0.87          | 11.06 ± 0.22 | 19.26 ± 0.53 |
| VV-HBD2-lacZ (0.1µg/mL) | 11.63 ± 1.31                     | 11.45 ± 0.54          | 7.94 ± 0.11  | 14.86 ± 0.43 |
| VV-lacZ                 | 0                                | 0                    | 0       | 0                |
| PBS                     | 0                                | 0                    | 0       | 0                |

*Dates are means ± SD; n = 3 independent experiments. For each experimental repeat, each condition is tested with three plates. Amp, ampicillin.

To figure out whether VV-HBD2-lacZ attracts DCs into tumors \textit{in vivo}, C57BL/6 mice received intraperitoneal injection of 10\textsuperscript{8} plaque-forming units (PFU)/mouse VV-HBD2-lacZ or VV-lacZ, and they were sacrificed after the second dose. The results revealed a higher level of CD11c\textsuperscript{+} CD45RA\textsuperscript{+}CD8\textsuperscript{+} plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) in the tumor of VV-HBD2-lacZ-treated mice (Figure 3B). In summary, VV-HBD2-lacZ have the ability to attract DCs \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}.

**Recombinant VVs Show Potent Tumor Therapeutic Potential in Mouse Melanoma Models**

Vector safety is a crucial parameter for the therapeutic use of oncolytic viruses. To determine the safety of recombinant mutant VVs, C57BL/6 mice were injected intraperitoneally with a dose of 10\textsuperscript{5} PFU/mouse recombinant VVs, while the control group was injected with 10\textsuperscript{5} PFU/mouse of VV-WR. The results showed that 10\textsuperscript{5} PFU/mouse VV-WR was lethal to the control mice, whereas mice receiving recombinant VVs showed a slight body weight loss that increased again at day 6 (Figure 4A). In addition, VV-WR-treated mice were all sacrificed at day 10 due to being moribund, while 100% of the mice treated with TK-deleted viruses survived for at least 30 days (Figure 4B).

Biodistribution studies of VV-HBD2-lacZ or VV-lacZ showed that the biodistribution pattern of VV-HBD2-lacZ and VV-lacZ were similar. As demonstrated, there was no significant difference in viral titers among the tissues at day 3 when comparing VV-HBD2-lacZ with VV-lacZ. The viral titer in organs other than the tumor began to decrease sharply at day 6; on the contrary, it was found that almost all recombinant VVs accumulated in the tumor tissue after day 9 in contrast with normal organs, where only few traces were detected (Figure 4C).

A mice model was used to evaluate the anti-tumor effects of VV-HBD2-lacZ and VV-lacZ based on the hypothesis that VV-expressed
in increased tumor growth rate (Figure 6D) and shortened the survival (Figure 6E) compared with the non-depletion group. It was also observed that the CD8+ T cells were more potent than CD4+ T cells in the suppression of melanoma tumor (Figure 6D).

DISCUSSION

Oncolytic virotherapy is a novel and promising treatment approach that has shown great efficacy in anti-tumor clinical trials.7,8,22 Oncolytic virotherapy uses replication-competent oncolytic viruses that selectively target, infect, and lyse tumor cells to treat cancers. Thus, compared with traditional treatments, such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy, oncolytic virotherapy is superior in cancer therapy.

VV is an attractive vector for cancer therapy due to its ability to accept large exogenous genes, high immunogenicity, safety, and tumor targeting.23,24 Compared with other oncolytic viruses, it also has a rapid replication cycle and high replication efficiency.25

It is well known that HBD2 protein has the ability to chemotact and activate immune cells to induce systemic immunity, which then enhance immunogenicity of the tumor antigens.19,20 Huang et al.21 reported that HBD2 was chemotactic for both murine immune cells and human immune cells and presented antigens into the immune system and activated immune response in mouse model. Lapteva et al.26 constructed a recombinant adenovirus encoding β-defensin 2 (BD2) then assessed the chemotactic properties of BD2 in mouse model. They demonstrated that the attraction and activation of DCs elicits potent anti-tumor immunity. However, ELISA results showed that adenovirus vector expresses a low level of BD2 proteins.

Inspired by the above studies, a novel TK-deletion recombinant VV was generated in this study by inserting the exogenous gene HBD2 into the TK region of the VV-WR strain. It was also shown here that recombinant VVs with the deletion of the TK gene were preferentially toxic to tumor cell lines and were safe in normal cell lines (Figure 2A), because only cancer cells contain high concentrations of functional nucleotides that enable VVs to replicate after the deletion of viral thymidine kinase.27 Thus, the results in this work support the concepts that TK-deleted VVs preferentially replicate in tumor cells but replicate poorly in normal cells.28 This study further explores the tumor targeting of recombinant VVs by assaying the viral titer in different cell lines (Figure 4A).

In addition, the analysis of ELISA results showed that VVs can highly express HBD2 at the tumor site, which may enhance the immunogenicity of tumor antigens released from VV-infected cells and therefore boost immune responses.

This study provides evidences that HBD2 does attract and activate immune cells (pDCs) in vivo and in vitro. It was found that the main phenotype of immune-cell-infiltrating tumor is CD11c+CD45RA−CD8+ (pDCs) (Figure 3) after VV-HBD2-lacZ vaccination, whereas the immune cells in the tumor of VV-lacZ-infected mice exhibited CD11c+CD45RA−CD8− phenotype. pDCs is a type of immune cell that is known to secrete large quantities of type 1 interferon (mainly IFN-α and IFN-β) in response to a viral infection.29 IFN-α and IFN-β, which are two potent anti-tumor cytokines in the immune response, can induce and enhance natural killer cells as well as CTL response.30,31 Other than conducting antiviral mechanisms, pDCs are considered to be key in linking the innate and adaptive immune systems because they are capable of activating other immune cells.32,33 Maturation of pDCs is initiated when the cell comes into contact with a virus, prompting the upregulation of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I and MHC class II. Besides,
MHC class I on pDC surfaces is able to activate CD8+ T cells, while MHC class II has been found to activate CD4+ T cells. In the subsequent study, flow cytometric analysis demonstrated that the percentage of CD4+CD8+ T cells in tumor cells of VV-HBD2-lacZ-treated mice significantly increases. The results of an in vitro cytotoxicity assay demonstrated that the CTL activity was mainly mediated by CD8+ T cells. Further support for this hypothesis was seen in CD4+ or CD8+ antibody-depletion assay in vitro. It was found that the CTL activity reduced in CD4+ or CD8+ block group was reduced. It is therefore clear that the CD4+ and CD8+ T cells play an important role in tumor suppression.

It was also observed that VV-HBD2-lacZ were more potent in inducing cell apoptosis compared with VV-lacZ. In general, VVs induce cancer cells’ programmed necrosis and temporarily inhibit apoptosis for viral replication. However, this work demonstrates that the level of cell apoptosis increased in tumor cells according to flow cytometric analysis. Therefore, it was hypothesized that HBD2 can overbalance necrosis to apoptosis, resulting in achievement of higher tumor specificity and oncolytic activity.

In the B16F10 mouse model, it was observed that VV-HBD2-lacZ and VV-lacZ viruses caused tumor suppression and significantly prolonged survival compared with the control group. VV-HBD2-lacZ caused a more potent and enduring suppression in C57BL/6 mice than VV-lacZ. Thus, it is believed that the VV-HBD2-lacZ is able to attract DC and CTL infiltration into tumors and significantly inhibit tumor growth. In addition, the re-challenging experiments showed that VV-HBD2-lacZ could induce a systemic anti-tumor response to control distant metastatic tumors.

Thus, it was inferred that VV-HBD2-lacZ possibly preferentially infects tumor cells and kills tumor cells by direct oncolysis mechanisms, such as the release of tumor antigens and HBD2 protein, which works as an oncolytic therapy. HBD2 protein then plays a chemotactic role to attract pDCs, which present tumor antigens to the immune system in vivo, thereby inducing the generation of Th1 CD4+ helper T cells and CD8+ cytotoxic T HBD2 protein, which recruits and activates immune cells into the tumor. This finally triggers immune response and suppresses the growth of tumors.

It is now clear that a recombinant oncolytic virus, VV-HBD2-lacZ, causes tumor suppression by activating the immune system. However, the signaling pathway related to immune responses is still largely unknown. Further studies are needed to demonstrate the mechanisms responsible for these immune responses.

In conclusion, these results suggest that the recombinant oncolytic vaccinia encoding HBD2 protein might be an efficient strategy for boosting immune system and cancer therapy. In addition, further studies needed to be carried out on humanized mouse models using more immunogenic tumors to evaluate the stability of this vaccine.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Lines and Animals

Murine embryo fibroblast cells (NH 3T3), HEK293 cells, and African green monkey kidney epithelial cells (Vero) were preserved in our laboratory and cultured in DMEM (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS). Human alveolar adenocarcinoma cells (A549), murine breast carcinoma cells (4T1) and murine melanoma cells (B16F10) were preserved in our laboratory and cultured in RPMI 1640 (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% FCS, penicillin (100 U/mL), streptomycin (100 μg/mL). All cells were cultured at 37°C at 80% humidity and 5% CO2.

C57BL/6 male mice (6–8 weeks old) used in this study were purchased from Shanghai SIPPR-BK Lab Animal Co. (Shanghai, China). All animal studies were done under protocols from the Experiment Animal Center, Zhejiang Academy of Medical Sciences, and experiments were approved by the Ethics Committee of Animal Experimentation of Zhejiang Academy of Medical Sciences.
Viruses

The DNA sequences encoding HBD2 (GenBank: AF040153.1) was amplified by PCR using the primer HBD2-Bm (5’-CGG GGA TCC ATG AGA GTA TTA TAT TTA TTT TC-3’) and Spe-HBD2 (5’-CGG ACT AGT ATT ATG GTT TTT TAC AAC ATT TAG-3’) using a homemade plasmid pUC-HBD2 as template (unpublished data). The PCR products were purified by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and inserted into pCBlacZ vector. The sequence was confirmed by PCRs and DNA sequencing. The recombinant VVs were generated by homologous recombination of transfer plasmid pCBlacZ or pCB-HBD2-lacZ with VV-WR strains. Plaque purification and Geneticin (G418) were used to select and isolate the TK deletion virus. Recombinant VVs were amplified in A549 cells and purified by sucrose-gradient ultracentrifugation. The purified viruses were titrated by crystal violet plaque assay and stored at −80°C.

The WR strain wild-type vaccinia was kindly provided by the NIH-AIDS Research & Reference Reagent Program.

ELISA

A549 cells were infected with either VV-HBD2-lacZ or VV-lacZ at an MOI of 0.5 for 48 h. Cell lysates were collected after three freeze-thaw cycles. The expression of HBD2 was measured using the HBD2 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit (Beyotime Biotechnology, GuangZhou, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The absorbance was read on an absorption spectrophotometer (Bio-Tek, Hercules, CA, USA) at 450 nm.

PCR

PCR amplification was performed in a volume of 20 μL containing 1 μg of cDNA, 1 μL of each primer, and 10 μL 2 × Taq master mix (NEB, USA) under the following reaction conditions: 94°C for 90 s, 30 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 35 s. Primer sequences were 5’-GACTATGGACGCATG TAAG-3’ (Spe I-HBD2) and 5’-CGCGGATCTCATGAGATATTTTTT-3’ (HBD2-BmH I) for HBD2. The virus was then titered by crystal violet plaque assay in triplicate.

Bacteriostatic Activity

Bacteriostatic activity of HBD protein was determined by the agar disk-diffusion test.35 A549 cells were infected with VV-HBD2-lacZ or VV-lacZ (negative control) for 48 h. Cell lysates were collected after three freeze-thaw cycles and filtered through a 70-μm filter. Escherichia coli (E. coli) DH5α, E. coli Bl21, ZJ111,36 and Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) were incubated at 37°C to grow to mid-log phase in liquid Luria-Bertani broth (LB) medium and coated on 9 cm² Petri plates. Samples from supernatants of VV-infected cells were loaded into 5-mm wells in the agarose plates and incubated overnight. The inhibition zone around each well was measured to quantify the bacteriostatic activity.

In Vitro Tumor-Cell-Killing Assay

100 μL cell suspension (5 × 10⁴ cells/well) was seeded in quintuplicate into 96-well plates. After 24 h, 100 μL recombinant VVs were added to cells and incubated for 48 h at 37°C, 5% CO₂. 100 μL culture medium was added into five wells of 96-well plates as a blank control. Cell viability was measured using a CCK-8 (Shanghai Sangon Biotech) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The optical density (OD) was measured using a microplate reader (Bio-Tek, Hercules, CA, USA) at 450 nm. Each experiment was repeated at least three times. The percent of cell viability was calculated by (treatment OD − blank control OD)/(negative control OD − blank control OD) × 100%.

Animal Experiments

For analysis of tumor growth and survival, 1 × 10⁵ B16F10 cells/mouse were subcutaneously injected into the upper right flank of C57BL/6 mice. When the tumor volume increased to 50 mm³, the mice were assigned in a random, blinded manner to divide them...
into three groups and injected intraperitoneally with PBS or $10^9$ PFU/mouse VV-HBD2-lacZ or VV-lacZ, respectively. The injections were repeated every 5 days according to the scheme in Figure 5A. The PBS group was used as a control. Tumor volumes ($0.5 \times \text{length} \times \text{width}^2$) were monitored every other day, and survival was recorded. Mice were euthanized when the tumor volume reached 2000 mm$^3$.

For the in vivo viral bio-distribution experiment, the mice were intraperitoneally injected with $100 \mu$L $10^8$ PFU/mouse VV-HBD2-lacZ or VV-lacZ and sacrificed at 2, 4, 6, 8 or 9 days post-inoculation. Homogenized tissues of VV-treated mice were titrated using a crystal violet plaque assay. For re-challenge experiment, C57BL/6 mice received a second $10^5$ B16F10 cells/mouse in the left flank 2 weeks after the first inoculation.

Cell Isolation and Generation
Three mice from each group were sacrificed at 5 days after the second injection. Tumors as well as spleens were collected and filtered through a 70-μm cell strainer (Falcon; Corning). A single-cell suspension was centrifuged at 1,000 rpm for 5 min and lysed using red blood cell lysis buffer. Spleen-derived DCs were isolated according to the references described. Cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 with 10% FCS, 20 ng/mL murine GM-CSF (PeproTech, Rocky Hill, NJ, USA), and 10 ng/mL mouse interleukin-4 (mIL-4; PeproTech, Rocky Hill, NJ, USA) for 6 days. Half of the medium was changed every 2 days, and sufficient amount of GM-CSF and mIL-4 were added. Suspension cells were collected and cultured for 2–3 days in RPMI 1640 medium with 20 ng/mL GM-CSF, 10 ng/mL mIL-4, and 15 ng/mL TNF-α before the assays.

Flow Cytometry Assay
For detection of apoptosis, infected cells were harvested and resuspended in 500 μL binding buffer and stained with 5 μL annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) and 5 μL propidium iodide (PI) of BD PharMingen apoptosis detection kit (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA) for 15 min in the dark. Annexin V$^+$/PI$^+$ cell populations were defined as living cells, Annexin V$^+$/PI$^-$ cell populations as viable apoptosis cells, and annexin V$^-$/PI$^+$ cell populations as non-viable apoptosis cells.

For analysis of immune cell populations in tumor, tumor cells were prepared as described above. The tumor cells were then pretreated...
with fragment crystallizable region (Fc) blocker and stained with anti-CD11c, anti-CD45RA, B220, anti-CD4, and anti-CD8. All samples were run using BD FACScalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) and analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star, Ashland, OR, USA).

Cytotoxicity T Cell Assay
The splenocytes were prepared as described above. Different numbers of splenocytes were co-cultured with \(1 \times 10^5\) well B16F10 cells for 24 h in 96-well plates. The effector-to-target cell ratios were 12:1, 25:1, 50:1, and 100:1 in quintuplicate. After incubation for 24 h, supernatants were harvested for cytokine-release assay. Cells in 96-well plates were washed twice with PBS, and CCK-8 solution was added to each well according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The OD value was measured using a microplate reader (Bio-Tek, Hercules, CA, USA) at 450 nm after an hour of incubation. The percentage-specific lysis was calculated by \(1 - (\text{OD}_{\text{control}} - \text{OD}_{\text{sample}})/\text{maximum kill group} - \text{OD}_{\text{blank group}}\) \times 100%.

In the effector lymphocyte blocking assay, 5 mg/well anti-mouse CD4 or CD8 antibodies (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA) were added.

Statistical Analysis
All the data were obtained from at least three independent experiments and the data are presented as mean values ± SD. Statistical analyses were carried out using GraphPad Prism (La Jolla, CA, USA). Significance for comparison between groups was determined using one-way ANOVA test with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. Differences in tumor growth were analyzed using two-tailed Student’s t test. Differences were considered statistically significant when *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.005.
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