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Abstract: Background: Women need to find out their blood type at the start of their pregnancy. Blood will be taken to find out, and there are four different types: A, B, AB, and O. In addition to blood type, women can have Rh factor (specifically Rhesus D antigen), which is when proteins appear on the surface of the blood. Women who do have Rh factors are classified as Rh positive (A+, B+, AB+, and O+), and women who don't are Rh negative (A-, B-, AB- and O-). OBJECTIVE: Assessment of the frequency of ABO blood group and Rh (D) negative among pregnant women attending at antenatal care clinic of Sodo health center. METHOD: a retrospective study was conducted at the Sodo health center ANC clinic from Jan-April 2019. A total of 270 study participants was used. A systematic random sampling technique was used to select the study participants. Socio-demographic information was collected using pretested questionnaires. The data was edited, cleaned, entered, and analyzed by using SPSS 20. Statistical test between dependent and independent variables has been done by using multivariable logistic registration. Moreover, the table and different forms of the graph was used to present data. RESULT: Among a total of 270 study subjects, O comprised 41.1% ‘A’ (28.5%). ‘B’ and ‘AB’ accounts (24.5%) and (5.9%) respectively. Rh blood group results revealed that 7.0% of the participants were Rh-negative. CONCLUSION: This result showed that the most prevalent blood group is O while the least prevalent is the AB blood group. The frequency of Rh (D) negative pregnant women was 7.0%, among these blood group O Rh (D) negative was the most frequent. Generally, the frequency of Rh (D) negative pregnant women in this study is higher than most studies which were conducted elsewhere at different sites of the world which needs a great concern for appropriate prevention and management of Rhesus incompatibility during the antenatal visit of pregnant women.
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1. Introduction

The membrane of the human red blood cell (RBC) contains a variety of blood group antigens. The most important and best known of these is A and B antigens, which are complex oligosaccharides that differ in their terminal sugar. The antibodies against red cell antigens are called agglutinins and individuals are divided into four major blood groups A, B, AB, and O according to the presence of these antigens and agglutinins [1]. Besides, human red cells that contain antigen -D are known as Rhesus positive while those without antigen -D in their RBC’s are Rhesus negative [2].

All human populations share the same blood group systems; although they differ in the frequencies of specific types. The incidence of ABO and Rh groups varies markedly in different races, ethnic groups in different parts of the world. Identification of the Rh system is important to prevent the erythroblastosis fetalis; which commonly arises when an Rh-negative mother carries an Rh-positive fetus [3].

The clinical relevance of these blood group systems relates to the capacity of alloantibodies (directed against antigens not possessed by the individual) to cause destruction of transfused red cells (ABO antibodies) or to cross the placenta and give rise to hemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn (HDFN) [4]. ABO incompatibility is a common condition in
a newborn baby and causes minimal hemolysis. Rhesus incompatibility can pose a major problem in some pregnancies when the mother is Rh-negative and the fetus is Rh-positive where it can lead to HDN, or erythroblastosis fetalis and it may be fatal to the fetus [5].

ABO incompatibility is a common condition in a newborn baby and causes minimal hemolysis. It may cause elevated levels of bilirubin and anemia but less severe than Rh hemolytic disease. ABO incompatibility occurs when mother blood group O conceives fetus with blood group “A” or “B” is the most common cause for HDN among the ABO blood group system and the IgG antibody is responsible for this condition [6].

HDFN is a significant cause of perinatal mortality and morbidity [7] and, in 50% of the cases, results from the transplacental passage of maternal Rh (D) Ab, followed by their binding to fetal red blood cell Ag and subsequent destruction [8].

Hemolytic disease of the newborn due to blood group incompatibility is an important cause of morbidity and mortality of the fetus by causing hyperbilirubinemia. The degree to which the fetus is affected correlated with the amount of maternal antibody that crosses the placenta. The incidence of Rhesus (D) hemolytic disease resulting from fetomaternal hemorrhage occurring in Rhesus negative women who carry a Rhesus positive fetus continues to be high [9].

The overall incidence HDFN has severally been reported to vary significantly from place to place ranging from as low as 7.2/10,000 births to as high 14.3/10,000 births and various populations and ethnic groups around the world [10].

ABO incompatibility occurs in 20% of pregnancies but only 20% of these develop hemolytic disease. In addition to severe jaundice, these infants may also develop significant anemia over the first several weeks of life [11].

Although the implementation of a program of routine antenatal anti-D prophylaxis has led to a significant decline in the numbers of women becoming sensitized in most developed countries, a significant number of women who are not fortunate enough to have access in sub-Saharan Africa continue to be affected. In these countries, there are challenges associated with the management of sensitizing events (increasing incidence and trends of induced abortion, ectopic pregnancy, and miscarriage) associated with Rh-negative women [9].

Therefore this study was undertaken to fill the information gaps through providing recent information about the incidence of ABO and Rh negativity. This will also help health workers and stack holders especially obstetricians for the effective management and prevention of complications associated with blood group incompatibilities.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Study Area

Sodo or Wolaita Sodo is a town and separate woreda in south-central Ethiopia. The administrative center of the Wolaita Zone of the Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples Region, it has a latitude and longitude of 6°54′N 37°45′E with an elevation between 1,600 and 2,100 meters (5,200 and 6,900 feet) above sea level. It was part of the former Sodo woreda which included Sodo Zuria which surrounds it. Currently, Sodo is known for being the center of major health and education institutions in Ethiopia. Sodo Christian Hospital has one of the 10 surgical training centers in Africa. The hospital provides a full range of medical, and surgical services, including Orthopedic and General, Maternity, and Pediatrics. Wolaita Sodo University Teaching Referral Hospital is also located in this town and it serves around two million people. The total number of beds in the hospital was 200; out of which, 60 beds were in the Obstetrics and Gynecology department.

2.2. Population

2.2.1. Source Population

1. All pregnant women who visited the antenatal clinic of Sodo health center were taken as a source population.

2.2.2. Study Population

1. All pregnant women who visited the antenatal clinic of Sodo health center during the study period and those included in this study were the study population.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria

All pregnant women who have been a volunteer to be included in the study.

Exclusion Criteria

All pregnant women who had no complete laboratory data (sex, year, ABO blood group, Rh blood group) on the ANC logbook were excluded from this study.

2.3. Sample Size and Sampling Technique

The sample size is determined by using a single population proportion formula by considering the following assumptions. $z \frac{a}{2} = 1.96$, for the standard scale of 95% level of confidence, level of precision (d)=5%, and “p” was considered as 80%.

$$n = \frac{z^2 \times p \times (1-p)}{d^2}$$

$$n = 1.96^2 \times \frac{0.8 \times (1-0.8)}{0.05^2}$$

$$n = 246$$

By taking 10% non-response rate

$$n = 246 + (246 \times 10\%)$$

$$246+24.6=270$$
The final sample size will be 270
A systematic random sampling technique has been used to select the study participants. A complete list of ANC attending mothers was obtained from the Sodo health center ANC clinic.

2.4. Measurement
Socio-demographic, ABO group and Rh type related information were collected using structured and pretested questionnaires using face to face interviews. The questionnaire was customized to this study from a previous study questionnaire. Data and our independent variables were collected from volunteer mothers by using questionnaires.

2.4.1. Data Collection Process
Four experienced female data collectors have collected the data after thorough training on the objective of the study and the questionnaire. Two-degree holder health professionals supervised the data collectors. Data collectors and supervisors were trained for 5 days by using a training manual prepared for this purpose.

2.4.2. Data Analysis
The data has been edited, cleaned, entered, and analyzed by using SPSS 20. The statistical test between dependent and independent variables was done by using multivariable logistic regression. A p-value ≤ 0.05 will be considered as indicative of statically significant. Moreover, the table and different forms of graph were used to present data. Data were checked for values that are inconsistent with other information gathered in the study. The data were also checked for missing item and decisions was made as to simply disregard missing data items during analysis.

2.4.3. Data Quality Control
The questionnaires were prepared by the English language and later were translated to the local language (Amharic) and again it has been re-translated to English to check the consistency. The questionnaire was pre-tested before actual work. The training was given to data collectors and supervisors. Observation and supervision were done throughout the fieldwork, training, and data collection process. Also meeting with each member of the team daily to discuss performance and give out future work assignments was performed.

2.5. Ethical Considerations
The study was conducted after ethical approval obtained from the Laboratory Science Research and Ethics Committee. Data was collected after the permission of the head of the Sodo health center before starting the actual work. Informed consent was obtained from each study participant before collecting socio-demographic data and each study participant has the right to withdraw from the study any time. To ensure confidentiality of data, study subjects were identified using codes and unauthorized persons would not have access to the collected data.

3. Result
A total of two hundred seventy laboratory results of pregnant women were included in this study. The distribution of the ABO blood group shows that ‘O’ group blood comprised 41.1% (111/270) among the participants and ‘A’ being the second leading blood group with 28.5% (77/270). Blood group ‘B’ and ‘AB’ consisted of 24.5% (66/270) and 5.9% (16/270) of the participants respectively (table 1). The frequency of Rh (D) results revealed that 7.0% (19/270) of the participants were Rh (D) negative (table 1). All ABO blood group results were not statistically associated with Rh (D) blood group results.

Table 1. Relationship between ABO and R (D) blood groupin Sodo health center, April-June 2019.

| ABO blood group | Rh (D) positive (N=251) | Rh (D) negative (N=19) | Total |
|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| A               | 71 (26.3%)             | 6 (2.2%)               | 77    |
| B               | 62 (23%)               | 4 (1.4%)               | 66    |
| AB              | 15 (5.5%)              | 1 (0.3%)               | 16    |
| O               | 103 (38.3%)            | 8 (2.9%)               | 111   |

4. Discussion
The present study has been carried out to determine the distribution pattern of the ABO blood group and Rh negativity among pregnant women who attended the Sodo health center. From this study, the distribution of blood group O was the highest with percentage frequency of 41.1%, followed by blood group A and B with percentage frequency of 28.5% and 24.5% respectively and the least percentage frequency is that of blood group AB which is 5.9% and Rh-result revealed that 7.0% of the participants were Rh (D) negative. All of the ABO blood groups had no statistically significant association with Rh (D) negative blood group. Although the distribution of ABO blood groups varies from one population to the other, in most studies blood group O has been reported as the predominant group. This study was in line with a study conducted in Saudi Arabia King Abdul Aziz university hospital with 7.5% of the pregnant women being Rh (D) negative [13].

On the other hand, the result of this study (70%) was a little bit higher than a study conducted in Nigeria where an overall Rh (D) negative prevalence among pregnant women was 4.5% [14]. This study is higher than a similar study conducted in Thailand and Nigeria with 0.31% and 2.9% of pregnant women being Rh (D) negative [12, 15].

The Rh (D) negativity among each blood groups analyzed in this study showed that Rh (D) negative blood group O was the most predominant (42.2%) and Rh (D) negative blood group A, B and AB accounted 32.3%, 20.5%, and 5% respectively and this finding is in line with a study conducted in Saudi Arabia King Abdul Aziz
university hospital with Rh (D) negative blood group O, A, Band AB accounted 46.7%, 30%, 18%, 5% respectively [13]. The possible explanation for these similar findings may be the population of these two countries might have similar genetic derivation.

The frequency of Rh (D) negative O blood group among Rh (D) negatives in this study is lower than a similar study conducted in Nigeria which is 42% whereas the rest blood groups are compared to be higher [14] on the other hand incidence rate of blood group O pregnant women 41.1% identified in this study was found to be lower than a study conducted in Nigeria where blood group O accounted 59.1%, but the prevalence of blood groups A, B, and AB in the current study is higher than a study conducted Nigeria [15]. Since the blood group is not affected by socioeconomic demographic variables, this difference could be generally attributed to genetic differences. Generally, in this study, the frequency of Rh (D) negative pregnant women is higher than most of those studies which were conducted at different sites of the world which needs a great concern for appropriate prevention and management of alloimmunization during antenatal care.

5. Limitation of the Study

a) Because of the lack of appropriate handling some pages of ANC loge books were lost.
b) Certain figures of ANC loge book were difficult to read.
c) Inconsistent supply of blood grouping reagents resulted in pregnant women to visit other health care institutions.
d) Some women did not volunteer to be included in the study.
e) Lack of financial capacity of group members concerned with the fees expected for a different purpose.

6. Conclusion

This result showed that the most prevalent blood group is O while the list prevalent is the AB blood group. The frequency of Rh (D) negative pregnant women was 7.0%, among these blood group O Rh (D) negative was the most frequent. Generally, the frequency of Rh. (D) negative pregnant women in this study are higher than most studies that were conducted elsewhere at different sites of the world which needs a great concern for appropriate prevention and management of Rhesus incompatibility during the antenatal visit of pregnant women.

7. Recomendation

Health education has to be given for the population to have birth in health centers since it can aid in the prevention and management of hemolytic disease of new born.

Therefore, all pregnant women earn health facility delivery habit to have safe delivery service, rather it may cause fatality concerned with Rh incompatibility.

Additionally it is better to know his/her own blood group before marriage since it reduces hemolytic disease of fetus and newborns of couples who have no easy transportation access to attend antenatal care and delivery service in the health facility.
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