Quantifying galactic morphological transformations in the cluster environment
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

We study the effects of the cluster environment on galactic morphology by defining a dimensionless angular momentum parameter $\lambda_d$, to obtain a quantitative and objective measure of galaxy type. The use of this physical parameter allows us to take the study of morphological transformations in clusters beyond the measurements of merely qualitative parameters, e.g. S/E ratios, to a more physical footing. To this end, we employ an extensive Sloan Digital Sky Survey sample (Data Release 7), with galaxies associated with Abell galaxy clusters. The sample contains 121 relaxed Abell clusters and over 51,000 individual galaxies, which guarantees a thorough statistical coverage over a wide range of physical parameters. We find that the median $\lambda_d$ value tends to decrease as we approach the cluster center, with different dependences according to the mass of the galaxies and the hosting cluster; low and intermediate mass galaxies showing a strong dependence, while massive galaxies seems to show, at all radii, low $\lambda_d$ values. By analysing trends in $\lambda_d$ as functions of the nearest neighbour environment, clustercentric radius and velocity dispersion of clusters, we can identify clearly the leading physical processes at work. We find that in massive clusters ($\sigma > 700$ km/s), the interaction with the cluster central region dominates, whilst in smaller clusters galaxy-galaxy interactions are chiefly responsible for driving galactic morphological transformations.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Galaxy evolution and the effects of environment upon it, is one of the major areas of modern research in extragalactic astronomy, being the cluster environment of great interest given the variety of processes present there.

Most galaxies reside in galaxy clusters, where the highest volume densities of galaxies are found, offering a unique laboratory to study the effects of the environment on different galactic properties. Given the high density of galaxies in these systems, any environmental dependence should be more pronounced, in contrast with the field. One such dependency is the morphology-density relation (Dressler 1980; Treu et al. 2003), in which the average morphology of galaxies changes as a function of the local environment. Subsequent studies (e.g., Whitmore & Gilmore 1991; Whitmore, Gilmore & Jones 1993) have shown that the morphology-clustercentric radius relation seems tighter than the morphology-local density relation, and explained the origin of this relation as a result of galaxy interactions with the mean tidal field of the clusters, but the exact nature of the processes responsible for establishing these relations are still open to debate.

In galaxy clusters, a possible mechanism for the morphology transformation is ram pressure stripping, proposed by Gunn & Gott (1972). According to this model, the ram pressure of the intracluster medium sweeps away gas from the galactic disks as they fall at high speed into the cluster, making the remaining galaxy take an early type appearance. However, the entire structure transformation, such as the thickening of the discs or the large bulge-to-disc ratios,
can not be explained by this process alone (for a discussion see, e. g., Dressler 1984).

Interactions between galaxies are another agent of morphological transformations acting through different mechanisms, such as galaxy harassment via high-speed encounters, specially effective in transforming faint Sc-Sd galaxies into dSphs and even LSBs (Moore et al. 1996); galaxy mergers that exhaust a large amount of the interstellar gas of interacting gas-rich spirals (Fujita 1998), or dramatically destroy galactic discs and thereby convert spiral galaxies into ellipticals and lenticulars (Toomre & Toomre 1972).

Finally, tidal interactions with the cluster as a whole are also a source of morphological transformations. As galaxies fall into the cluster, the outer part of their haloes will be stripped by the tides produced by the cluster potential (White & Rees 1978; Mamon 1992), and, as soon as they enter the cluster, will experience interactions with the substructure within the cluster. The tidal field interaction with the cluster can also induce collisions of disc gas clouds that trigger enhanced nuclear and disc activity (Byrd & Valtonen 1990), while the compressive effect of the interaction within the core of the cluster increases the random velocities of the components of the disc with a corresponding thickening of the disc (Valiur 1993).

The complete explanation probably includes the joint participation of several of these processes for transforming the overall structure of galaxies in the cluster environment.

Among galaxy morphology, many physical parameters have been reported to depend on the environment (see Blanton & Moustakas 2009 for a review). Using a sample of galaxies with visually classified morphologies, Skibba et al. (2009) found that much of the morphology-density relation is due to the relation between colour and density. As for the star formation rate, Kauffmann et al. (2004) found that it presents the most sensitive dependence on environment between the properties analyzed on their study and, Deng (2010) showed that this dependence prevails even at fixed morphology, being the dependence for late-type galaxies stronger than for early-types. The case of AGN activity seems to follow two different behaviours in low and high density environments, in low density environments the fraction of AGN-host galaxies tends to increase as the local density increases, but it decreases in high density environments (Lee et al. 2010), specially in the inner regions of clusters where the high velocity dispersion of galaxies prevents long term interactions and mergers which are the principal driving mechanisms in triggering AGN activity (Best 2004). The decrease in luminosity for high density environments (Park, Gott & Choi 2008; Robotham, Phillipps & de Propris 2010), and the dependence of the petrosian radius and central surface brightness on the clustercentric distance (Coenda & Muriel 2009) have also been studied, as well as relations between different galaxy properties such as the size-luminosity relation that appears to be independent of the local environment, sensitive mostly to galactic morphology (Coenda & Muriel 2009; Nair, van den Bergh & Abraham 2010), although if morphological transformations are taking place in high density environments, the issue could be more complicated; the colour magnitude relation being an other empirical relation that shows a trend for systematically bluer galaxy colours with increasing projected radius from the centre of the cluster, accompanied by a decreased on the internal scatter (Terlevich, Caldwell & Bower 2001).

However, there is a debate about the role played by the large-scale background density, in comparison to the local density, in determining galaxy morphology. Recently, Park, Gott & Choi (2008) and Park & Choi (2009), found that some galaxy properties, like morphology and luminosity, strongly depend on the distance and morphology of the nearest neighbour galaxy, even at fixed large-scale background density, with important effects at a characteristic scale given by the virial radius of the nearest neighbour (see also Hwang & Park 2009). Outside this scale, galaxy morphology still depends on the distance to the nearest galaxy, but showing no dependence on the morphology of the companion. This indicates that galaxies interact hydrodynamically when they are close enough, though the interaction is purely gravitational at larger separation distances. Given the statistical correlation of galaxy-galaxy interactions with the large-scale background density, plus the additional influence of the gravitational interaction, galaxy-cluster potential, an underlying relation between structural parameters of cluster galaxies and clustercentric radius is expected.

Park & Hwang (2009; henceforth PH09) studied galaxy properties as a function of both; clustercentric radius and nearest neighbour galaxy distance, and found that the structural and kinematic parameters of late-type galaxies such as the concentration index and the central velocity dispersion depend mainly on the clustercentric radius, but the star formation activity indicators like the u-r colour and the equivalent width of Hα line, depend predominantly on the nearest neighbour distance. The former finding may indicate important effects, or repeated interactions with neighbouring galaxies, or galaxy-cluster potential interactions on the internal structure of intermediate mass galaxies, in contradiction with the predictions of the ram pressure stripping scenario.

Intimately linked with the morphology and present day structure of galaxies is the galactic spin. Theoretical studies of galaxy formation and evolution, show the major role played by this physical parameter in determining properties such as the star formation efficiency of disc galaxies (Dalcanton, Spergel & Summers 1997; van den Bosch 1998), the bulge to disc ratio (van den Bosch 1998), color gradients (Prantzos & Boissier 2000), and gas content (Churches, Nelson & Edmunds 2001, Boissier et al 2001), as well as playing a major role in explaining the dispersion about many empirical relations such as the Tully-Fisher relation (Koda, Sofue & Wada 2000) and specially the size-dependent relations such as the size-luminosity relation (Courteau et al. 2007), to mention just a few. All these parameters, related with the overall morphology of the galaxies, show, to a certain degree, dependences with the environment, specially for cluster systems.

Motivated by theoretical studies (e.g. Fall & Efstathiou 1980; van der Kruit 1987; Flores et al. 1993; Firmani et al. 1996; Mo, Mao & White 1998; Prantzos & Boissier 2000), Hernandez & Cervantes-Sodi (2006) and later Cervantes-Sodi & Hernandez (2009), showed, with different samples of well studied galaxies, how the spin parameter correlates with several galactic properties, like the bulge-to-disc ratio, disc thickness, colours, metallicity and gas abundance. The above, using a simple estimate of the actual galactic $\lambda$ pa-
racter, based on easily available observational quantities. The galaxies analysed showed a systematic increase of the spin when moving from early to late type spiral galaxies, and in Hernandez et al. (2007), a match is found for the Hubble type with the angular momentum $\lambda$ parameter when comparing against a colour versus colour gradient criteria (Park & Choi 2005), where the segregation by spin coincides with the segregation by Hubble type, as visually assigned for a large sample of spiral galaxies from the SDSS. This demonstrated the plausibility of the identification of the $\lambda$ galactic spin parameter as the principal physical parameter driving galactic morphology, and has recently been used as such by e.g. Berta et al. (2008) and Gogarten et al. (2010). The above, under the approximate estimate for $\lambda$ proposed in Hernandez & Cervantes-Sodi (2006), and shown to be an unbiased estimator for the true $\lambda$ parameter through extensive comparisons with simulated galaxies in Cervantes-Sodi et al. (2008).

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the possible dependence of the galactic angular momentum on the cluster environment, given the tight link between $\lambda$ and morphology, and the morphology-clustercentric radius relation. In going to a physically well defined and objective parameter to measure 'type', we can complement existing qualitative studies working on more subjectively assigned 'type' parameters and perform for example, meaningful comparisons with models and physical identification of the main causes of the evolution seen in clusters.

The outline of this paper is as follows. Section 2 presents the derivation of the dimensionless angular momentum parameter used to characterize the morphology of the galaxies in our sample, in Section 3 we introduce the sample used in the study, followed by the results and a discussion in Section 4 and our general conclusions in Section 5.

## 2 ESTIMATION OF THE SPIN FROM OBSERVABLE PARAMETERS

Traditionally, the galactic angular momentum is characterized by the $\lambda$ parameter as introduced by Peebles (1969);

$$\lambda = \frac{L}{GM^{3/2}}$$  

where $E$, $M$ and $L$ are the total energy, mass and angular momentum of the configuration, respectively. In Hernandez & Cervantes-Sodi (2006) we derived a simple estimate of total $\lambda$ for dark halos hosting disc galaxies in terms of observational parameters, based on two simple hypothesis: that the specific angular momentum of dark matter and baryons are equal, and a constant small baryonic fraction, for systems where the total energy and angular momentum are dominated by the dark matter component. The problem with galaxies residing in clusters is that the diversity of the interactions taking place in that environment can drastically change the baryonic fraction and disturb the baryonic and dark matter angular momentum profiles in different ways, invalidating these two previous hypothesis. For example, tidal forces can shear off most of the original dark halo of a given galaxy. We must therefore use an angular momentum parameter which focuses on the dynamics of the baryonic galaxy. We retain the quantitative and objective nature of the study, and account for the angular momentum focusing only on the baryonic component to define a baryonic dimensionless angular momentum parameter $\lambda_d$.

In our model, we consider a disc for the baryonic component of the galaxy with an exponential surface mass density $\Sigma(r)$,

$$\Sigma(r) = \Sigma_0 e^{-r/R_d},$$

where $r$ is a radial coordinate and $\Sigma_0$ and $R_d$ are two constants which are allowed to vary from galaxy to galaxy, and assume the presence of a dark matter halo responsible for establishing a rigorously flat rotation curve $V_d$ throughout the disc.

From equation 2 the total disc mass is

$$M_d = 2\pi \Sigma_0 R_d^2,$$

that combined with our flat rotation curve leads to an angular momentum of $L_d = 2\pi V_d R_d M_d$. Assuming the disk to be a virialized dynamical system, the total energy can be obtained from the total kinetic energy, estimated as arising merely from the dominant rotation. In this case, the kinetic energy of the disc is $T_d = M_d V_d^2 / 2$.

These assumptions allow us to express $\lambda_d$ as

$$\lambda_d = \frac{L_d}{GM_d^{5/2}} = \frac{2^{1/2} V_d^5 R_d}{GM_d^2}. (4)$$

Finally, we introduce a baryonic disc Tully-Fisher (TF) (e.g. Gurovich et al. 2004, McGaugh et al. 2005) relation: $M_d = A_{TF} V_d^{3.5}$, to replace the dependence on mass for a dependence on $V_d$, to obtain:

$$\lambda_d = \frac{2^{1/2} R_d}{G A_{TF} V_d^{3/2}}. (5)$$

The presence of an intrinsic dispersion in the Tully-Fisher relation dominates the budget of our internal errors, and results in a 25% uncertainty associated to each individual $\lambda_d$ estimate. Basically, equation 5 is the same expression we derived in Hernandez & Cervantes-Sodi (2006) to estimate the traditional $\lambda$ spin parameter for dark matter haloes hosting disc galaxies, divided by the baryonic fraction of the galaxy; this because to obtain the total spin parameter we were assuming angular momentum conservation for both components, and a constant baryonic fraction, linking the specific angular momentum and mass of the dark matter to those quantities of the baryonic component, while in our current work, we do not attempt to constrain the physical characteristics of the halo, but just consider its participation in establishing the flat rotation curve throughout the disc. The parameter $\lambda_d$ is hence not a $\lambda$ parameter in the sense of the definition of equation 1, but merely an estimate of a dimensionless angular momentum for a galactic disk, expected to correlate tightly with all type defining properties, as already pointed out in the introduction.

As can be seen from equation 5, our estimate of $\lambda_d$ is a measure of disk scale length and rotational velocity or total luminosity by introducing a Tully-Fisher relation (TF), and therefore, equivalent to a measure of the size-luminosity relation. However, we prefer to present our results in terms of a dimensionless disk angular momentum, given the clear interpretation and well established theoretical expectations from galactic structure models in terms of this parameter.
(Dalcanton, Spergel & Summers 1997; Prantzos & Boissier 2000; Hernandez & Cervantes-Sodi 2006), of which our estimate will be an accurate approximation, for exponential disks having flat rotation curves, as late type galaxies ubiquitously present.

3 THE SDSS SAMPLE

The sample of cluster galaxies used in this work is an updated version of the PH09 sample (Hwang et al. 2010), using data from the SDSS, Data Release 7 (Abazajian et al. 2009). To identify the cluster galaxies, we used the Abell cluster catalogue (Abell et al. 1989), with complementary photometric parameters taken from Korea Institute for Advanced Study (KIAS) DR7 value-added galaxy catalogues (Park & Choi 2005; Choi et al. 2007; Choi et al. 2010), and spectroscopic parameters from MPA/JHU and NYU DR7 value-added galaxy catalogues (Tremonti et al. 2004; Blanton et al. 2005). The sample contains 730 clusters located within the SDSS survey region and having known spectroscopic redshifts taken from NED. The position of the cluster center is adopted from NED, but replaced with the X-ray determined position, if available from the literature.

To establish the membership of galaxies in a cluster, we employed the "shifting gapper" method of Fadda et al. (1996), where the radial velocity versus the clustercentric distance space, and those dynamically young with the brightest cluster galaxy at large velocity versus clustercentric radius, where the mean mass density within the sphere of 950 km s$^{-1}$ the Hubble parameter given by equation 5 requires the rotational velocity to calculate $\lambda_d$, which is inferred from the absolute magnitude in the r band using the TF relation log $V_d = -0.135(M_r + 21.107) + 2.210$ by Pizagno et al. (2007). To avoid the problem of internal absorption in edge-on galaxies (Unterborn & Ryden 2008; Cho & Park 2009), and consequently underestimating rotational velocities, we limit the sample to spiral galaxies having seeing-corrected isophotal axis ratios $b/a > 0.6$.

When studying the influence of a nearby galaxy on the value of the spin, the distance between galaxies is normalized to the virial radius of the nearest neighbour. The nearest neighbour galaxy of a target galaxy with absolute magnitude $M_r$ is the one with the smallest projected separation distance on the sky to the galaxy, and is brighter than $M_r + \Delta M_r$ among those in the cluster galaxy sample, with $\Delta M_r = 0.5$. This particular value is chosen to assure a large enough sample, so as to get statistically significant results.

We define the virial radius of a galaxy as the projected radius where the mean mass density within the sphere of radius $r_{\text{vir}}$ is 200 times the critical density or 740 times the mean density of the universe;

$$r_{\text{vir}}^3 = \frac{3}{4\pi} \left( \frac{\gamma L}{200\rho_c} \right)^2,$$

with the relative mass-to-light ratios for early type galaxies (ellipticals and lenticulars) twice the ratio for the late types (spirals), $\gamma(early) = 2\gamma(late)$, following Choi et al. (2007), who report that the central velocity dispersion of early type galaxies brighter than $M_r = -19.5$, is about $\sqrt{2}$ times that of late types. Since we adopt $\Omega_M = 0.27$, we have $200\rho_c = 200\rho_c/\Omega_M = 740\rho_c$, which is almost equal to the virialized density $\rho_{\text{vir}} = 18\pi^2/\Omega_M (H_0\sigma_0)^2 \rho = 766\rho_c$ in the case of a $\Lambda$CDM universe (Gott & Rees 1975). Finally, we introduced the mean value for the density of the Universe, $\bar{\rho} = (0.0223 \pm 0.0005) (\gamma L - 20 \text{h}^{-1} \text{Mpc})^{-3}$, where $(\gamma L - 20)$ is the mass of a late type galaxy with $M_r = -20$ (Park et al. 2008). In this way, the virial radii of galaxies with $M_r = -19.5$, $-20.0$ and $-20.5$ are 260, 300 and 350h$^{-1}$ kpc for early types and 210, 240 and 280h$^{-1}$ kpc for late types, respectively. For more details on the sample, consult PH09 and Hwang et al. (2010).

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the interest of establishing the dependence of the angular momentum on the cluster environment, we first calculate the mean value of $\lambda_d$ as a function of the clustercentric radius
normalised to the virial radius of the cluster $d/r_{200,\text{cl}}$. Once having segregated the galaxies into early and late types, we employed equation 5.1 to obtain the spin for the disc galaxies in the sample. Figure 1 presents the median spin value for disc galaxies as a function of the clustercentric radius for all clusters in the sample taken together, where error bars denote the estimated 1σ confidence intervals based on the bootstraping re-sampling method. When approaching the center of the cluster, we note a slight increase of $\lambda_d$ and then a systematic drop for $d \lesssim 2 \times r_{200,\text{cl}}$, with the trend becoming more pronounced on crossing the virial radius of the clusters, as defined through equation 6. To assess the statistical significance of the trend, we performed a χ² test taking as null hypothesis that the trend is consistent with a flat line given by the weighted mean of the data points. By computing the sum of χ²’s for the data points of Figure 1 weighted by their corresponding associated errors, we rule out the null hypothesis at a 99.1% level.

The only possible exception to this trend is in the innermost bin, with the highest formal uncertainty on the median $\lambda_d$ value, and where the proximity between galaxies could make the identification of individual systems difficult. Because, in our sample, the typical offset of the brightest cluster galaxy from the cluster center is about $0.1r_{200,\text{cl}}$, the uncertainty in determining the cluster center can be as large as the offset. We will present our results below only for clustercentric radii larger than $0.1r_{200,\text{cl}}$. In spite of a close to 25% uncertainty in each individual estimate of $\lambda_d$, the very large numbers in our sample result in this slight trend being larger than our internal uncertainties, it is hence a real detection.

### 4.1 Dependence on galaxy mass.

It has been reported (Cervantes-Sodi et al. 2008; Berta et al. 2008) that the galactic spin has a strong dependence on halo mass; typically, massive galaxies conform a tight population with low $\lambda$ values, while the $\lambda$ distribution of less massive galaxies presents a higher value with large dispersion. Given that massive galaxies present low dispersion around their average (low) spin, we expected that their spin would not show variations with clustercentric radii, but the case of intermediate and low mass galaxies can be different. We split the sample of late-type galaxies according to the total stellar galactic mass (SDSS-DR7 estimates) into three bins, to search if the trend shown by the whole sample is followed regardless of the mass of the galaxies. Figure 2 presents the spin as a function of the clustercentric distance for three stellar mass ranges. Low mass galaxies show monotonically decreasing $\lambda_d$ as the clustercentric radius decreases while intermediate mass galaxies show a peak near $2r_{200,\text{cl}}$. Massive galaxies also show a peak but at much smaller radius of about $0.3r_{200,\text{cl}}$. We perform χ² tests for these three cases, to evaluate departure from a constant value. The probabilities that each case is consistent with a constant value are 82.6%, 99.2% and 97.7%, for the cases of low, intermediate and massive galaxies respectively. Therefore, the trend seen for the galaxies in the lowest mass bin is marginal, while those for more massive galaxies are statistical significant.

Given that the galaxies are immersed in high-density environments, it is likely that they have undergone high angular momentum removal by tidal or ram stripping, with a more drastic effect on galaxies residing close to the cluster core, due to the increasing density towards the center. The effect of these processes would naturally have a larger influence on low mass galaxies than in massive ones with deep enough potential wells to retain their material and preserve their structure.

The possible scenarios describing the evolution of galaxies residing in clusters are numerous and diverse. Close interactions, collisions and mergers of galaxies are assisted by the dense environment of galaxy clusters. This kind of processes drastically rearrange the angular momentum of the galaxies involved (Vitvitska et al. 2002; D’Onghia & Navarro 2007), although it is still not clear if the net result leads always to an enhancement of the angular momentum of the resultant system (Hiotelis 2008), a systematic decrease, or if the final result depends on the particular details of the configuration such as the mass ratio between the galaxies involved (Hetznecker & Burkert 2006), their morphology and gas content, or the orbital parameters of the interaction (Qu et al., 2010). Specially for the case of central cD galaxies, such dramatic events are often invoked to explain their structure and formation. But galaxy-galaxy interactions are not the only processes taking place in the cluster environment; the interaction with the cluster itself can play a major role in galaxy evolution. The truncation of dark galactic haloes by the tidal field of the cluster, a process widely studied (Merritt 1983; Binney & Tremaine 1988), can be a source of angular momentum removal, as it stripes material from the outskirts of the galaxies, leading to a well-defined trend; the closer the galaxies are to the center of the cluster, the smaller the size of the galaxy (Limousin et al. 2007; Limousin et al. 2009). Particularly, PH09 showed that the size of late-type galaxies starts to decrease at a clustercentric radius of about $r_{200,\text{cl}}$.

But the influence of the cluster tidal field does not affect instantly the structure of the orbiting galaxies. According to the strength of the cluster force field and the mass of the galaxy in question, the tidal field will disturb the system pulling the material, stretching the galaxy and finally

---

1. [http://www.mpa-garching.mpg.de/SDSS/DR7/Data/stellar mass.html](http://www.mpa-garching.mpg.de/SDSS/DR7/Data/stellar mass.html)
stripping unbound material (Miller 1986), producing the behaviour noticed in Figure 2 an initial increase of the angular momentum given by the gravitational pull, and then the decrease from the subsequent evolution from non-spherical stretching and compressions, and finally the more external material being material stripped away.

Repeated interactions with cluster member galaxies can also produce similar effects on the galaxies orbiting inside the cluster, particularly when they pass through the central region swarmed with massive galaxies. It is expected that, among the galaxies gravitationally bound to clusters, the more massive ones have smaller orbital radii. If the decrease in $\lambda_d$ is caused by interactions of galaxies with the cluster center or with nearby galaxies, the effects will appear at a smaller clustercentric radius for more massive cluster galaxies. This is actually what we observe in Figure 2. Our analysis in sections 4.2 and 4.3 seems to indicate that the effects of interactions with the cluster center is more important than the cumulative effects of galaxy-galaxy interactions in changing $\lambda_d$, at least in the case of massive clusters.

Gunn & Gott (1972) proposed that the ram pressure of the intracluster medium might sweep away gas from the galaxies if the force of the ram-pressure stripping exceeds the restoring gravitational force of the galaxy, implying the presence of a stripping radius. In this sense, small galaxies will not be able to retain their gas beyond this stripping radius, specially at the center of the clusters where the ram pressure is larger, losing material with high angular momentum, while galaxies large enough will not present this truncation. This mechanism of material removal explains satisfactorily many features observed in cluster galaxies, such as the morphology-density relation (van der Wel et al. 2010), the star formation (Kronberger, Kapferer, Ferrari, Unterguggenberger & Schindler 2008; Weinmann et al. 2009), or the Butcher-Oemler effect (Abadi, Moore & Bower 2001), or the Butcher-Oemler effect (Abadi, Moore & Bower 1999). This mechanism of material removal will specially affect galaxies rich in gas, truncating the gas disc, and in consequence no stars will be formed beyond this radius.

Although ram-pressure stripping may account for many features of cluster galaxies, tidal interaction between galaxies and substructure within the cluster are often invoked to explain the same observed features, being able to significantly change the structure and activity of galaxies (Moss & Whittle 2000; Gnedin 2003).

Whether the galaxy-galaxy interactions or the cluster-galaxy interaction dominate in the picture of galaxy transformations, is still not clear. Given that the local galaxy density and the cluster mass density are correlated for cluster galaxies (Domínguez, Muriel & Lambas 2001), it is difficult to distinguish the effect of local and large scale density on observed galaxies, besides, the frequency of galaxy-galaxy interactions depends on the clustercentric radius, increasing the uncertainty on which interactions are responsible for the galaxy transformations.

As mentioned before in the introduction, Park, Gott & Choi (2008) and Park & Choi (2009) have found that galaxy properties like morphology and luminosity depend on the distance to the nearest neighbour galaxy, even at fixed large-scale density, specially when the galaxy is located within the virial radius of its nearest neighbour, with a major role played by the morphology of the neighbour. Regarding the spin parameter, in Cervantes-Sodi, Hernandez & Park (2010), we showed that the angular momentum is also sensitive to galaxy-galaxy interactions, leading to a gradual decrease in the values of $\lambda$, as soon as the galaxies cross into their virial radii. This being so, the trends exhibited by our present sample could arise simply from ongoing galaxy-galaxy interactions, and not directly due to the interaction with the cluster itself, or could be a joint effect form the local galaxy-galaxy interactions, and not directly due to the interaction with the cluster itself, or could be a joint effect from the cluster density plus the neighbour environment, as proposed by PH09. PH09 found that the structural and kinematic parameters of late-type galaxies such as the concentration index and the central velocity dispersion depend mainly on the clustercentric radius, but the star formation activity indicators like the u-r colour and the equivalent width of H$\alpha$ line, depend predominantly on the neighbour environment, where the neighbour environment means both neighbour morphology and the mass density attributed to the neighbour. They concluded that transformations of late-type galaxies in the clusters are not due to a single mechanism, but due to both; hydrodynamic and gravitational processes.

In the following section we will investigate if the trends found in this section are due to the proximity of a nearby companion or if it is a compound effect of long term galaxy-galaxy interactions plus the influence of the cluster as a whole.

4.2 Interacting and non-interacting galaxies

We have shown how the median value of $\lambda_d$ tends to decrease as we approach the center of the cluster. Simultaneously, both the cluster density and the galaxy-galaxy interactions, that tend to reduce the spin of the involved systems, increase.

To clarify if the behaviour found is coming from the increase of galaxy-galaxy interactions toward the center of the clusters, we study separately those galaxies in interaction, i. e. with a separation distance within one virial radius of the neighbour galaxy, $1.0R_{vir}$, and those relatively isolated, with a separation distance to the nearest neighbour, $d > 1.0R_{vir}$. The comparison between the two groups is presented in Figure 3 top panel. If the spin dependence on the clustercentric radius comes form ongoing galaxy encounters in the inner regions, we would expect that galaxies cur-

![Figure 2. Median $\lambda_d$ value as a function of clustercentric radius for late-type galaxies in three different stellar mass ($M_*$) ranges.](image)
nearby companion. In this case we performed \(\chi^2\)-tests, follow the same trend irrespectively of the presence of a case; both classes, the interacting and non-interacting galaxies, follow the same trend regardless of the small scale environment. The \(\chi^2\) test for the two samples to follow one common trend derived as the mean of both, accepts the null hypothesis for a common trend with a level of significance > 91.1% for both sub-samples. This result implies that even when galaxy-galaxy interactions play an important role on modifying the galactic spin, the larger scale environment of the cluster plays also an important systematic role in changing the structure of the systems, as early suggested by PH09.

As a final test on the joint role played by galaxy-galaxy interactions and galaxy-cluster interactions, we contrast the spin-clustercentric radius relation according to the morphology of the nearest neighbour. In Cervantes-Sodi et al. (2010), we noticed that the response to galaxy-galaxy interactions depends on the morphology of the nearest neighbour, the case of an interaction with a late-type galaxy showing much more significant disturbances in the spin value, probably due to the strong hydrodynamical effects of the interactions of two gaseous discs, specially in very close encounters, with \(d_{\text{pair}} < 0.1R_{\text{vir}}\). We plot the median \(\lambda_d\) value as a function of \(d/r_{200,cl}\) for galaxies segregated according to the morphological type of their nearest neighbour (Figure 4). The trend for both cases is similar showing a gradual decrease of \(\lambda_d\) as the galaxies approach the cluster centre and cross the virial radius. A \(\chi^2\) test comparing the reported trends with an average weighted one derived from the two originals, assigns a 60.9% confidence level for the null hypothesis of both samples following the same trend. Examining the galaxies entailed in obtaining the median value of those bins, we notice that all of them are located within 0.5\(R_{\text{vir}}\) of the nearest neighbour, reinforcing our previous conclusion, suggesting galaxy-cluster interactions as driving the observed trend.
where the decrease of velocity dispersion of the hosting cluster, is shown in Figure 5, dividing our sample into three cases, according to the velocity dispersion of the hosting cluster. The result of this trend, drawn as the average weighted one of the two original data, with a level of significance $\chi^2 > 67.2\%$ for the two classes to follow the same trend, drawn as the average weighted one derived from the two originals, with a level of significance $> 86.9\%$. The case of low mass clusters is completely different, where the distance to the nearest neighbour. For the case of massive clusters, the trend with the cluster-centric radius is clear for all the galaxies, regardless of the presence of a nearby companion, indicating an origin for the trend independent of recent galaxy interactions, rather grounded on galaxy-cluster interactions. We confirm this conclusion by a $\chi^2$ test for the two sub-samples of Figure 5 lower panel, following the same trend, as can be seen in Figure 6 upper panel, with a level of significance $> 74.2\%$ for the two classes to follow the same trend, derived as the average weighted one of the two originals. Clearly, in these systems the gravitational interaction with the cluster potential is diminished due to its low mass, and the effect of ongoing interactions with close neighbours dominates.

In the preceding subsection, we showed the joint effect that galaxy-galaxy and galaxy-cluster interactions have in modifying the spin of disc galaxies. Given that galaxies immersed in massive galaxy clusters present the strongest $\lambda_d$ dependence on the cluster-centric radius, we are interested in pondering the importance of each of these processes for different cases. Figure 6 presents two different cases, in the upper panel galaxies immersed in low mass clusters ($\sigma < 700$ km s$^{-1}$), the lower panel with galaxies of massive clusters ($\sigma > 700$ km s$^{-1}$), all of them segregated according to the distance to the nearest neighbour. For the case of massive clusters, the trend with the cluster-centric radius is clear for all the galaxies, regardless of the presence of a nearby companion, indicating an origin for the trend independent of recent galaxy interactions, rather grounded on galaxy-cluster interactions. We confirm this conclusion by a $\chi^2$ test for the two sub-samples of Figure 5 lower panel, following the same trend, drawn as the average weighted one derived from the two originals, with a level of significance $> 86.9\%$. The case of low mass clusters is completely different, where interacting galaxies depart from the trend of non-interacting galaxies as can be seen in Figure 6 upper panel, with a significance level $< 67.2\%$ for the two classes to follow the same trend, as can be seen in Figure 6 upper panel, with a level of significance $> 74.2\%$ for the two classes to follow the same trend, derived as the average weighted one of the two originals. Clearly, in these systems the gravitational interaction with the cluster potential is diminished due to its low mass, and the effect of ongoing interactions with close neighbours dominates.

For interacting galaxies in low mass clusters, distance to the cluster centre is not a factor in fixing the external dynamics or 'type', as galaxy-galaxy interactions are driving morphological changes, being the overall cluster effects secondary. On the other hand, for massive clusters the trend for diminishing $\lambda_d$ as cluster-centric distances drop, holds
identically both for interacting and non-interacting galaxies, being galaxy-cluster interactions responsible for the leading dynamical evolution of galaxies.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Using a large sample of cluster galaxies, we study the dependence of the galactic angular momentum on the environment, accounted for by the clustercentric radius, and found a slight increase of the median value of our dimensionless angular momentum parameter, as we approach the central region. This \( \lambda_d \)-clustercentric radius relation is not equally followed by all galaxies; only low and intermediate mass galaxies present this dependence on the clustercentric radius, while the spin of massive galaxies is more robust. A possible interpretation for the different response of massive galaxies could be the relative stability of these systems against perturbations, such as galaxy-galaxy or galaxy-cluster interactions, harassment or ram pressure stripping, given the depth of their gravitational potentials, and their different formation time; as recently pointed out by Huertas-Company et al. 2009, it seems that these galaxies experience little evolution in the cluster environment, as they were probably formed at higher redshifts before entering the cluster in infalling groups.

Given the increased proximity of galaxies in the central parts of galaxy clusters, the trend found could arise directly from galaxy-galaxy interactions in this environment. To test if the trend is established only by ongoing interactions with neighbouring galaxies, we tested if the galaxies followed the same trend regardless of the presence of nearby companions. To this end, we split the sample into galaxies having their nearest neighbour galaxy within one pre-establish distance, given in terms of the virial radius of the neighbour (1.0\( R_{\text{vir}} \) or 0.3\( R_{\text{vir}} \)). The result is very similar for both cases, the trend is shown by interacting and non-interacting galaxies, and regardless of the morphology of the nearest neighbour, with minor differences within the statistical errors of reported values.

The trend seems to be followed only in massive enough galaxy clusters, where gravitational interactions of the galaxies with the cluster potential prevail over galaxy-galaxy interactions, which is not the case for low mass clusters. Below \( \sigma = 700 \, \text{km/s} \) for the clusters, one is dealing with an effective large group, in regards to galactic morphology transformations, which are mostly determined by galaxy-galaxy interactions, and only the case of non-interacting galaxies present the trend with the clustercentric radius.

The primary point we want to emphasize is the clear dependence of our \( \lambda_d \) estimate of the galactic spin on the clustercentric radius, even at fixed stellar mass of the galaxies, with typically low \( \lambda_d \) values in the inner regions of galaxy clusters. The trend is dependent on the mass of the galaxies, shown only in low and intermediate mass galaxies, while the \( \lambda_d \) value of massive galaxies show essentially low values when compared with less massive galaxies. The influence of ongoing galaxy-galaxy interactions on the spin is present, but can not account for the trend with the clustercentric radius in massive galaxy clusters with \( \sigma > 700 \, \text{km/s} \), where galaxy-cluster interactions dominate and drive morphological transformations.

Having an objective and quantitative parameter to assess galactic type and its transformations, allows us to complement and better understand qualitative studies based on less objective type assessments, such as measures of E/S fractions. The large and high quality sample of clusters and galaxies used permits to meaningfully dissect the trends observed and hence identify the causes, sorting out galaxy-galaxy interactions from galaxy-cluster ones.
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