High-performance thermochromic VO$_2$-based coatings with a low transition temperature deposited on glass by a scalable technique
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We report on high-performance thermochromic ZrO$_2$/V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$/ZrO$_2$ coatings with a low transition temperature prepared on glass by a low-temperature scalable deposition technique. The V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$ layers were deposited by a controlled high-power impulse magnetron sputtering of V target, combined with a simultaneous pulsed DC magnetron sputtering of W target to reduce the transition temperature to 20–21 °C, at a low substrate surface temperature of 330 °C in an argon–oxygen gas mixture. ZrO$_2$ antireflection layers both below and above the thermochromic V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$ layers were deposited at a low substrate temperature (<100 °C). A coating design utilizing a second-order interference in the ZrO$_2$ layers was applied to increase both the luminous transmittance ($T_{\text{lum}}$) and the modulation of the solar transmittance ($\Delta T_{\text{sol}}$). The ZrO$_2$/V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$/ZrO$_2$ coatings exhibit $T_{\text{lum}}$ up to 60% at $\Delta T_{\text{sol}}$ close to 6% for a V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$ thickness of 45 nm, and $T_{\text{lum}}$ up to 50% at $\Delta T_{\text{sol}}$ above 10% for a V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$ thickness of 69 nm.

Vanadium dioxide (VO$_2$) undergoes a reversible phase transition from a low-temperature monoclinic VO$_2$(M1) semiconductive phase to a high-temperature tetragonal VO$_2$(R) metallic phase at a transition temperature ($T_{\text{tr}}$) of approximately 68 °C for the bulk material. The abrupt decrease of infrared transmittance without attenuation of luminous transmittance in the metallic state makes VO$_2$-based coatings a promising candidate for thermochromic smart windows reducing the energy consumption of buildings. In spite of recent significant progress in fabrication and performance of thermochromic VO$_2$-based materials (see, for example, reviews and the works cited therein), there are still serious drawbacks hindering their application in smart windows. These are: a high temperature needed for fabrication, a high transition temperature, a low luminous transmittance ($T_{\text{lum}}$), and low environmental stability. To meet the requirement for large-scale implementation on building glass, VO$_2$-based coatings should satisfy the following criteria simultaneously: deposition temperature close to 300 °C or lower, $T_{\text{tr}}$ close to 20 °C, $T_{\text{lum}}$ > 60%, $\Delta T_{\text{sol}}$ > 10%, and long-time environmental stability.

Decrease of the deposition temperature of thermochromic VO$_2$-based coatings to 300 °C is of key importance: (1) to facilitate their large-scale production by reducing the energy consumption, simplifying substrate heating and cooling procedures and minimizing problems with a temperature non-uniformity over large substrate surfaces, and (2) to allow deposition of these coatings onto temperature-sensitive flexible substrates.

Magnetron sputter deposition with its versatility and the ease of scaling up to large substrate sizes is probably the most important preparation technique of thermochromic VO$_2$-based coatings. In our recent works, reactive high-power impulse magnetron sputtering (HiPIMS) with an effective pulsed oxygen flow control (applicable to large-area coaters) was used for low-temperature (300 °C) deposition of thermochromic VO$_2$ films onto conventional soda-lime glass without any substrate bias voltage and without any interlayer. Except for the work with the same substrate surface temperature ($T_s = 300$ °C), there is no work in the literature reporting a magnetron sputter deposition of thermochromic VO$_2$ films onto unbiased amorphous substrates at $T_s < 400$ °C. The possibility to prepare thermochromic VO$_2$-based coatings without any substrate bias voltage is of key importance for their deposition on large area non-conductive (glass) substrates (no RF-induced bias needed). Here, it should
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be mentioned that HiPIMS techniques are compatible with existing magnetron sputtering systems utilized in industrial deposition devices.26,27 In this work, we report on high-performance three-layer thermochromic $\text{ZrO}_2/V_{0.982}\text{W}_{0.018}\text{O}_2/\text{ZrO}_2$ coatings with a low transition temperature (20–21 °C) prepared on soda-lime glass using a low-temperature (330 °C) magnetron sputter deposition without any substrate bias voltage. We present basic principles of this new solution for a low-temperature scalable deposition of high-performance durable thermochromic $\text{VO}_2$-based coatings for smart-window applications.

**Methods**

**Coating preparation and elemental composition.** The $\text{V}_{0.982}\text{W}_{0.018}\text{O}_2$ layers were deposited by controlled HiPIMS of V target, combined with a simultaneous pulsed DC magnetron sputtering of W target, at a low substrate surface temperature $T_s = 330$ °C and without any substrate bias voltage in an argon–oxygen gas mixture. The argon flow rate was 60 sccm corresponding to an argon partial pressure of 1 Pa, while the oxygen flow rate ($\Phi_{\text{ox}}$) was not fixed but pulsing between 0.6 and 1.5 sccm (see Fig. 1), and the duration of the $\Phi_{\text{ox}}$ pulses (injecting oxygen in front of the sputtered V target toward the substrate24) was determined during the deposition by a programmable logic controller29 using a pre-selected critical value of the average discharge current on V target in a period of the power supply ($I_d$) during the deposition is shown in the inset. A pre-selected critical value $(I_d)_{\text{cr}} = 0.43$ A determining the switch between the oxygen flow rates $\Phi_{\text{ox}} = 0.6$ sccm and $\Phi_{\text{ox}} = 1.5$ sccm is marked by dots. Reprinted from the work.28

---

**Figure 1.** Waveforms of the magnetron voltage ($U_d$) and the target current density ($J_t$) for preset deposition-averaged target power densities of 12.9 W cm$^{-2}$ and 33 mW cm$^{-2}$ for V and W target, respectively, during a deposition of the $\text{V}_{0.982}\text{W}_{0.018}\text{O}_2$ films (the $J_t$ values for W target are magnified 1,000 times). Time evolution of the average discharge current on V target in a period of the power supply ($I_d$) during the deposition is shown in the inset. A pre-selected critical value $(I_d)_{\text{cr}} = 0.43$ A determining the switch between the oxygen flow rates $\Phi_{\text{ox}} = 0.6$ sccm and $\Phi_{\text{ox}} = 1.5$ sccm is marked by dots. Reprinted from the work.28
low compound fraction in the target surface layer. This is of key importance for reduced arcing, increased sputtering of V atoms, and low production of O− ions at the target.

The depositions were performed in an ultra-high vacuum multi-magnetron sputter device (ATC 2200-V AJA International Inc.) using two unbalanced magnetrons with planar V and W targets (99.9% purity, diameter of 50 mm and thickness of 6 mm in both cases). The magnetron with a V target was driven by a high-power pulsed DC power supply (TruPlasma Highpulse 4002 TRUMPF Huettinger)44. The voltage pulse duration was 50 µs at a repetition frequency of 200 Hz (duty cycle of 1%) and the deposition-averaged target power density was 12.9 W cm−2. The magnetron with a W target was driven by a pulsed DC power supply (IAP-1010 EN Technologies Inc.). The voltage pulse duration was 16 µs at a repetition frequency of 5 kHz (duty cycle of 8%) and the deposition-averaged target power density was 33 mW cm−2. Under these conditions, the W content in the metal sublattice of V1−xWxO2, as measured on a dedicated 285 nm thick film in a scanning electron microscope (SU-70, Hitachi) using wave-dispersive spectroscopy (Magnaray, Thermo Scientific), was 1.8 ± 0.6 at.% (i.e., x = 0.018).

Both bottom and top ZrO2 antireflection layers were deposited by reactive mid-frequency AC magnetron sputtering without ohmic heating (Tsol, < 100 °C) and without any substrate bias voltage in an argon–oxygen gas mixture. The argon partial pressure was 1 Pa and the oxygen partial pressure was 0.35 Pa (oxide mode). The depositions were performed using two strongly unbalanced magnetrons with planar Zr targets (99.9% purity, diameter of 100 mm and thickness of 6 mm) driven by a mid-frequency AC power supply (TruPlasma MF 3010, TRUMPF Huettinger)30. The oscillation frequency was close to 85 kHz and the deposition-averaged target power density was 15.5 W cm−2.

The thickness of individual layers was measured by spectroscopic ellipsometry using the J. A. Woollam Co. Inc. VASE instrument31. The presented deposition technique for preparation of the thermochromic ZrO2/V0.982W0.018O2/ZrO2 coatings is, just like the deposition of low-emissivity coatings, compatible with the existing magnetron sputtering systems in glass production lines.

Coating structure and properties. For structural investigation of the films, X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were carried out using a PANalytical X’Pert PRO diffractometer working with a CuKa (40 kV, 40 mA) radiation at a glancing incidence of 1°. The average size of coherently diffracting regions of the VO2(R)/VO2(M1) diffraction peak, corrected for instrumental broadening, using the Scherrer’s equation.

The surface morphology of the films was determined by atomic force microscopy (AFM) using a SmartSPM Microscope (AIST-NT) with a diamond tip (nominal radius below 10 nm) in a semicontact mode. The root-mean-square roughness of the surface, \( R_{\text{rms}} \), was computed from a randomly selected square area of 1 × 1 μm². The AFM images were processed by Gwyddion 2.41 software32, and an implemented “watershed” method was used for grain analysis. The grains identified were approximated by an equivalent disc diameter with the same area.

The hardness of VO2 (without the ZrO2 overlayer) was measured using a Hysitron TI 950 triboindenter with a cube corner tip at a maximum load of 100 μN.

The normal-incidence coating transmittance was measured by spectrophotometry using the Agilent CARY 7000 instrument equipped with an in-house made heat/cold cell. Spectroscopic measurements were performed in the wavelength range \( \lambda \) = 300 to 2,500 nm at the temperatures \( T_m = -5 °C \) (semiconducting state below \( T_m \)) and \( T_m = 60 °C \) (metallic state above \( T_m \)). Hysteresis curves were measured at \( \lambda = 2,500 \) nm in the temperature range \( T_m = -10 \) to 60 °C. The luminous transmittance \( T_{\text{lum}}(T_m) \) and the solar transmittance \( T_{\text{sol}}(T_m) \) are defined as follows

\[
T_{\text{lum}}(T_m) = \frac{\int_{380}^{780} \varphi_{\text{lum}}(\lambda) T(\lambda, T_m) d\lambda}{\int_{380}^{780} \varphi_{\text{lum}}(\lambda) d\lambda}, \quad (1)
\]

\[
T_{\text{sol}}(T_m) = \frac{\int_{300}^{2500} \varphi_{\text{sol}}(\lambda) T(\lambda, T_m) d\lambda}{\int_{300}^{2500} \varphi_{\text{sol}}(\lambda) d\lambda}, \quad (2)
\]

where \( \varphi_{\text{lum}} \) is the luminous sensitivity of the human eye and \( \varphi_{\text{sol}} \) is the sea-level solar irradiance spectrum33 at an air mass of 1.5. The modulation of the luminous transmittance (\( \Delta T_{\text{lum}} \)) and of the solar transmittance (\( \Delta T_{\text{sol}} \)) are defined as

\[
\Delta T_{\text{lum}} = T_{\text{lum}}(T_{ms}) - T_{\text{lum}}(T_{mm}), \quad (3)
\]

\[
\Delta T_{\text{sol}} = T_{\text{sol}}(T_{ms}) - T_{\text{sol}}(T_{mm}). \quad (4)
\]

Using relation (2) it can be written
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Figure 2. The three-layer thermochromic VO$_2$-based coating on a soda-lime glass substrate investigated in this paper. Here, $h_b$, $h$, and $h_t$ represent the thickness of the bottom ZrO$_2$ layer, the thickness of the active $V_{0.982}W_{0.018}O_2$ layer, and the thickness of the top ZrO$_2$ layer, respectively. Below, individual coatings are referred to as ($h_b$, $h$, $h_t$). The refractive index ($n_{550}$) at the wavelength of 550 nm of all layers is also given. $T_{\text{ms}}$ and $T_{\text{mm}}$ denote the temperatures when the $V_{0.982}W_{0.018}O_2$ layer is in the semiconductive (below $T_b$) and metallic (above $T_b$) state, respectively. Reprinted from the work$^{28}$. 

$$
\Delta T_{\text{sol}} = \frac{2500}{300} \int_{300}^{2500} \psi_{\text{sol}}(h) \Delta T(h) d\lambda, 
$$

where $\Delta T(h) = T(h, T_{\text{ms}}) - T(h, T_{\text{mm}})$ is the modulation of the transmittance at the wavelength $\lambda$. The average luminous transmittance ($T_{\text{lum}}$) is defined as $T_{\text{lum}} = (T_{\text{lum}}(T_{\text{ms}}) + T_{\text{lum}}(T_{\text{mm}}))/2$.

Results and discussion

Design and transition temperature of ZrO$_2$/$V_{0.982}W_{0.018}O_2$/ZrO$_2$ coatings. The three-layer structure of ZrO$_2$/$V_{0.982}W_{0.018}O_2$/ZrO$_2$ coatings, formed by an active layer in the middle and two antireflection (AR) layers, is shown in Fig. 2. Let us emphasize the combination of properties which makes ZrO$_2$ a proper candidate for the AR-layers. First, ZrO$_2$ has a refractive index ($n$) close to the required geometric mean of refractive indices of $V_{0.982}W_{0.018}O_2$ and glass (bottom AR-layer) or $V_{0.982}W_{0.018}O_2$ and air (top AR-layer). Second, ZrO$_2$ has almost zero extinction coefficient ($k$) for visible and infrared wavelengths ($\lambda$), allowing one to utilize higher-order AR-layers without concessions in terms of absorption. Third, crystalline structure of the bottom ZrO$_2$ layer can be achieved even at a low deposition temperature, which in turn improves the $V_{0.982}W_{0.018}O_2$ crystallinity and the process reproducibility. Fourth, ZrO$_2$ is a hard (for an oxide) and stable material, which allows the top AR-layer of ZrO$_2$ prepared by the present technique is 15–17 GPa$^{30}$, compared to the hardness of VO$_2$ of only 12 GPa.

The three-layer structure of the thermochromic coating is shown in Fig. 2. Let us emphasize the combination of properties which makes ZrO$_2$ a proper candidate for the AR-layers. First, ZrO$_2$ has a refractive index ($n$) close to the required geometric mean of refractive indices of $V_{0.982}W_{0.018}O_2$ and glass (bottom AR-layer) or $V_{0.982}W_{0.018}O_2$ and air (top AR-layer). Second, ZrO$_2$ has almost zero extinction coefficient ($k$) for visible and infrared wavelengths ($\lambda$), allowing one to utilize higher-order AR-layers without concessions in terms of absorption. Third, crystalline structure of the bottom ZrO$_2$ layer can be achieved even at a low deposition temperature, which in turn improves the $V_{0.982}W_{0.018}O_2$ crystallinity and the process reproducibility. Fourth, ZrO$_2$ is a hard (for an oxide) and stable material, which allows the top AR-layer to provide a mechanical protection and environmental stability for the active $V_{0.982}W_{0.018}O_2$ layer. The hardness of ZrO$_2$ prepared by the present technique is 15–17 GPa$^{30}$, compared to the hardness of VO$_2$ of only 12 GPa. Note that ZrO$_2$ layers are being increasingly applied in architectural glass as a protective overcoat for advanced low-emissivity stacks$^{34}$. These properties cannot be matched by many other potential or occasionally used AR-layer materials due to their, e.g., non-zero $k$ (Cr$_2$O$_3$), lower hardness (SiO$_2$, Ta$_2$O$_5$), high deposition temperature of the hardest phase ($\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$), too low $n$ for the bottom AR-layer (SiO$_2$) or usable but too high $n$ (rutile TiO$_2$).

We examined the effect of smoothly varied $h_b$ and $h_t$ in our recent work$^{31}$ and thereby identified the optimum value $h_b = h_t = 180$ nm leading to a second-order interference maximum of $T_{\text{lum}}$ (consistently with the optimization of $h_b$ in the work$^{35}$). This choice constitutes a crucial part of the efforts to maximize $T_{\text{lum}}$ and $\Delta T_{\text{sol}}$ (at a given $h$) in parallel: while the frequently used first-order AR-layers ($\lambda/4$-layers; see e.g. the work$^{36}$ for a first-order ZrO$_2$ AR-layer) lead to a high transmittance modulation mainly in the far infrared (where it is weighted by weak solar irradiance when calculating $\Delta T_{\text{sol}}$), second-order AR-layers ($3\lambda/4$-layers) lead to a high transmittance modulation mainly in the near infrared (where it is weighted by much higher solar irradiance; see below for a graphical example). Furthermore, we use two different $h$ values of 45 nm or 48 nm (leading to higher $T_{\text{lum}}$ and 69 nm (leading to higher $\Delta T_{\text{sol}}$) in order to demonstrate the corresponding tradeoff. Here, it should be mentioned that the thickness of the $V_{0.982}W_{0.018}O_2$ layer deposited onto amorphous soda-lime glass was 48 nm while it was 45 nm for the same layer deposited using the same discharge conditions (Fig. 1) and deposition time onto the crystalline ZrO$_2$ layer. To avoid any changes in the composition of the $V_{0.982}W_{0.018}O_2$ layer, which would be caused mainly by a larger erosion of the V target (see the much higher power compared with the W target) in additional (much later) depositions, we used the original configurations of the thermochromic VO$_2$-based coatings with the slightly different $h = 45$ nm and 48 nm in this work. The aforementioned effect of the thicker $V_{0.982}W_{0.018}O_2$ layer with $h = 69$ nm is presented for two important configurations, denoted as (180, 69, 0) and (180, 69, 180).
Figure 3 shows the dependence of the transmittance at $\lambda = 2,500$ nm ($T_{2500}$) on the measurement temperature ($T_m$) for two of the coatings prepared. The evaluated transition temperature (center of the hysteresis curves) was reduced by the aforementioned W doping to $T_{tr} = 20–21$ °C (ZrO$_2$/V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$/ZrO$_2$ coatings in Fig. 2) or 23 °C (V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$ without AR-layers in Table 1). The $T_{tr}$ value is reproducible (almost the same for two different $h$ values) and in agreement with the requirement for smart-window applications$^{14}$. It is very important that using the present deposition technique, we did not experience any tradeoff (indicated in the literature$^{19,37,38}$) between lowering $T_{tr}$ by W doping and optimizing the other optical properties: the differences in the V(W)O$_2$ optical constants at $\lambda = 550$ nm were within the measurement error and reproducibility noise and did not exhibit any systematic dependence on the W content. The present W content of 1.8 ± 0.6 at.% and the transition temperature $T_{tr} = 57$ °C achieved for undoped VO$_2$ prepared by the same technique$^{23}$ collectively lead to a gradient of approximately $(23–57)/1.8 = − 19$ K/at.% of W in the metal sublattice, consistent with those (−13 to −22 K/at.%) reported in the literature$^{18,31,39}$.

**Figure 3.** Temperature ($T_m$) dependence of the transmittance ($T_{2500}$) at 2,500 nm for the ZrO$_2$/V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$/ZrO$_2$ coatings with $h_b = 180$ nm, $h = 45$ nm or 69 nm, and $h_t = 180$ nm deposited onto 1 mm thick glass substrates (Fig. 2). The transition temperatures ($T_{tr}$) are also given. Adapted from the work$^{28}$.

| $h$ (nm) | $h_b$ (nm) | $h_t$ (nm) | $T_m$ (°C) | $T_{2500}$ (%$T_{2500}$) | $\Delta T_{2500}$ (%) | $T_{sol}$ (%$T_{sol}$) | $\Delta T_{sol}$ (%) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 48 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 33.5 | −2.0 | 34.6 | 31.7 | 2.9 |
| 45 | 180 | 0 | 20 | 41.8 | −1.3 | 40.4 | 36.1 | 4.3 |
| 69 | 180 | 0 | 19 | 38.6 | 2.1 | 34.4 | 26.3 | 8.1 |
| 48 | 180 | 25 | 54.3 | 53.5 | 0.8 | 46.3 | 41.8 | 4.5 |
| 45 | 180 | 180 | 21 | 59.7 | 0.6 | 49.9 | 44.4 | 5.5 |
| 69 | 180 | 180 | 20 | 49.9 | 3.9 | 42.4 | 32.0 | 10.4 |

Table 1. Thermochromic properties of different configurations of the VO$_2$-based coatings on 1 mm thick glass substrates. Here, $h$ is the thickness of the V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$ layer, and $h_b$ and $h_t$ are thicknesses of the bottom and top ZrO$_2$ layers, respectively. Adapted from the work$^{28}$.

Figure 3 shows the dependence of the transmittance at $\lambda = 2,500$ nm ($T_{2500}$) on the measurement temperature ($T_m$) for two of the coatings prepared. The evaluated transition temperature (center of the hysteresis curves) was reduced by the aforementioned W doping to $T_m = 20–21$ °C (ZrO$_2$/V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$/ZrO$_2$ coatings in Fig. 2) or 23 °C (V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$ without AR-layers in Table 1). The $T_m$ value is reproducible (almost the same for two different $h$ values) and in agreement with the requirement for smart-window applications$^{14}$. It is very important that using the present deposition technique, we did not experience any tradeoff (indicated in the literature$^{19,37,38}$) between lowering $T_m$ by W doping and optimizing the other optical properties: the differences in the V(W)O$_2$ optical constants at $\lambda = 550$ nm were within the measurement error and reproducibility noise and did not exhibit any systematic dependence on the W content. The present W content of 1.8 ± 0.6 at.% and the transition temperature $T_m = 57$ °C achieved for undoped VO$_2$ prepared by the same technique$^{23}$ collectively lead to a gradient of approximately $(23–57)/1.8 = − 19$ K/at.% of W in the metal sublattice, consistent with those (−13 to −22 K/at.%) reported in the literature$^{10,31,39}$.

**Structure and morphology of V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$ layers.** Figure 4 shows the coating structure at the temperature $T_m = 25$ °C, i.e., essentially during the thermochromic transition. The bottom ZrO$_2$ AR-layer [denoted as (180,0,0)] consists of a mixture of m-ZrO$_2$ (PDF#04-013-687540) and t-ZrO$_2$ (PDF#01-081-1544 valid for ZrO$_1.95$). The strongest peaks around $2\Theta = 28.0°$ and 29.5° correspond well to the peaks of m-ZrO$_2$ [(−111) planes diffracting at $2\Theta = 27.95°$] and t-ZrO$_2$ [(101) planes diffracting at $2\Theta = 29.81°$]. The V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$ layer with $T_m = 20$ °C (Table 1) deposited onto glass [denoted as (0,48,0)] and the V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$ layer with $T_m = 20$ °C deposited onto the crystalline ZrO$_2$ layer [denoted as (180,45,0)] consist of a mixture of the high- and low-temperature thermochromic phase, VO$_2(R)$ (PDF#01-073-2362) and VO$_2(M1)$ (PDF#04-003-2035), respectively, which are hard to distinguish. The strongest and sharp peak around $2\Theta = 27.8°$ corresponds well to the peaks
of the VO$_2$(R), (110) planes diffracting at 2θ = 27.91°, and the VO$_2$(M1), (011) planes diffracting at 2θ = 27.80°. In spite of a small content of the non-thermochromic VO$_2$(B) phase (PDF#01-084-3056), the V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$ layer on the crystalline ZrO$_2$ layer exhibits a better crystallinity of the thermochromic VO$_2$(R)/VO$_2$(M1) phase. This is quantified in terms of larger size of the coherently diffracting regions, obtained using the peak around 27.8°. The size is 47 nm along the scattering vector (that is, for the 1° glancing incidence used, about 47·cos[1° + 27.8°/2] = 45 nm vertically, which is equal to the layer thickness) for the V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$ layer on ZrO$_2$, compared to 23 nm for the V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$ layer on glass.

The surface morphology of V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$ layers (without the top AR-layer) is shown in Fig. 5. The figure constitutes an independent confirmation of the fact that while the present deposition technique allows a low-temperature crystallization of VO$_2$-based layers on amorphous glass, their crystallinity on crystalline ZrO$_2$ is even better. Most importantly, the grains identified by the “watershed” method make up 80% of the projected surface area for the V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$ layer (R$_{rms}$ = 1.1 nm) deposited onto the bare soda-lime glass (Fig. 5a), while they make up 94% of the projected surface area for the V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$ layer (R$_{rms}$ = 1.2 nm) deposited onto the crystalline ZrO$_2$ AR-layer (Fig. 5b). Furthermore, the latter V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$ layer exhibits also a narrower distribution of the horizontal grain sizes (Fig. 5c).

Thermochromic properties of ZrO$_2$/V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$/ZrO$_2$ coatings. Figure 6 shows in detail the aforementioned role of second-order AR-layers in optimizing $T_{\text{lum}}$ and $\Delta T_{\text{sol}}$, given by Eqs. (1) and (5), respectively, in parallel. On the one hand, Fig. 6a,b show that $T_{\text{lum}}$ depends only on a narrow range of wavelengths: the transmittance $T(\lambda, T_m)$ is weighted by a narrow function $\varphi_{\text{lum}}(\lambda)$. There is an easily explainable increase of $T(\lambda, T_m)$ in the corresponding narrow visible $\lambda$ range, resulting from using only the bottom AR-layer, only the top AR-layer (stronger increase than the previous one) and both AR-layers (the strongest increase). This phenomenon is almost independent of $T_m$, which means that the low $\Delta T_{\text{lum}}$ (Table 1) is almost independent of the coating design. Furthermore, Fig. 6a,b confirm that owing to the absorption in V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$, $T(\lambda, T_m)$ is generally higher at $h = 45$ nm and 48 nm than at $h = 69$ nm.

On the other hand, Fig. 6c shows that the dependence of $\Delta T_{\text{sol}}$ on the coating configuration is much more difficult to explain, because the transmittance modulation $\Delta T(\lambda)$ is weighted by a wide and complicated function $\varphi_{\text{sol}}(\lambda)$ and there is no coating configuration leading to the highest $\Delta T(\lambda)$ in the whole $\lambda$ range shown. Indeed, while $\Delta T(\lambda)$ in the far infrared above $\approx 1,600$ nm (weighted by relatively low $\varphi_{\text{sol}}(\lambda)$) is actually the highest without any AR-layer, $\Delta T(\lambda)$ in the near infrared below $\approx 1,600$ nm (weighted by relatively high $\varphi_{\text{sol}}(\lambda)$) is the highest when using both second-order AR-layers or at least the top one. The reason is that the second-order interference maxima on both AR-layers in the visible are followed by lower-order interference minima and maxima in the

![Figure 4. X-ray diffraction patterns taken at $T_m = 25$ °C from the V$_{0.982}$W$_{0.018}$O$_2$ layers with the thickness $h = 48$ nm deposited onto glass [denoted as (0,48,0)] and with the thickness $h = 45$ nm deposited under the same discharge conditions (Fig. 1) onto the ZrO$_2$ layer with the thickness $h_b = 180$ nm on glass [denoted as (180,45,0)]. For comparison, the X-ray diffraction pattern from the ZrO$_2$ layer with the thickness $h_b = 180$ nm on glass [denoted as (180,0,0)] is given. The main diffraction peaks of VO$_2$(R), VO$_2$(M1), VO$_2$(B), ZrO$_2$(m) and ZrO$_2$(t) are marked. Reprinted from the work28.](image-url)
infrared, and that the overall improvement of the near infrared transmittance by this interference is more significant below than above $T_c$. The fact that this kind of effect cannot be achieved by thinner first-order AR-layers.
is discussed in more detail in our recent work. Furthermore, Fig. 6c confirms that $\Delta T(\lambda)$ is generally higher at $h = 69 \text{ nm}$ than at $h = 45 \text{ nm}$ or $48 \text{ nm}$.

The transmittance-based integral quantities, given by Eqs. (1)–(5), are summarized in Fig. 7 and Table 1. In agreement with the discussion of the transmittance in itself (Fig. 6), it can be seen that the transition from (1) bare $V_{0.982}W_{0.018}O_2$ through coatings with (2) only the bottom AR-layer and (3) only the top AR-layer to the coating with (4) both AR-layers, at almost the same thickness ($45 \text{ nm}$ and $48 \text{ nm}$) of the $V_{0.982}W_{0.018}O_2$ layer,

Figure 6. Spectral transmittance $T(\lambda, T_m)$ of different configurations (Table 1) of the thermochromic VO$_2$-based coatings on 1 mm thick glass substrates measured at $T_m = -5 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ (panel a) and $T_m = 60 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ (panel b), together with the corresponding modulation of the transmittance $\Delta T(\lambda)$; panel c. The coatings are denoted as $(h_b, h, h_t)$, where $h_b$ and $h_t$ are the thicknesses of the bottom and top ZrO$_2$ layers, respectively. The thickness of the $V_{0.982}W_{0.018}O_2$ layer is $h = 45 \text{ nm}$ or $48 \text{ nm}$ (solid lines) and $h = 69 \text{ nm}$ (dashed lines). The luminous sensitivity of the human eye ($\varphi_{\text{lum}}$) normalized to a maximum of 100%, and the sea-level solar irradiance spectrum ($\varphi_{\text{sol}}$) at an air mass of 1.5 are also given. Adapted from the work.28
leads to a gradual improvement of the optical performance (average $T_{\text{lum}}$ and $\Delta T_{\text{sol}}$). The performance of the best coating configuration ($h_b = h_t = 180$ nm) is characterized by $T_{\text{lum}} = 59.4\%$ and $\Delta T_{\text{sol}} = 5.5\%$ (at $h = 45$ nm) and by $T_{\text{lum}} = 48.0\%$ and $\Delta T_{\text{sol}} = 10.4\%$ (at $h = 69$ nm), in both cases accompanied by low $\Delta T_{\text{lum}}$ and the aforementioned $T_{\text{tr}} = 20–21$ °C. It is possible to state that our results are close to the requirements (see the introductory part and the gray area in Fig. 7) for smart-window applications.

In addition to comparing the thermochromic properties of the present coatings with the industrial requirements, it is worth comparing them with the properties of coatings reported in the literature (Table 2). We focus on coatings on glass substrates and on plastic foils\(^42,43\), which can be pasted on the glass, with an at least somewhat lowered $T_{\text{tr}} \leq 40$ °C.

The $\text{ZrO}_2/\text{V}_{0.982}\text{W}_{0.018}\text{O}_2/\text{ZrO}_2$ coating\(^31\) was deposited using the same method as in the present work. The $\text{V}_{0.958}\text{Tb}_{0.031}\text{W}_{0.011}\text{O}_2$ coating\(^17\) was fabricated on a glass substrate from Tb- and W-codoped VO$_2$ nanopowders...
prepared using hydrothermal synthesis. An additional annealing at 400 °C for 60 min in argon atmosphere was ultimately applied to increase the adhesion and the coating crystallinity. The V_{0.97}Fe_{0.02}O_{2} layer, forming a basis for the V_{0.97}Fe_{0.02}O_{2}/SnO_{2} coating\textsuperscript{42}, was deposited by RF magnetron co-sputtering of V, Fe and SnO\textsubscript{2} from a single composed V-Sn-W target in an argon–oxygen gas mixture at a substrate temperature of 450 °C. The V_{0.97}Fe_{0.02}O_{2} coating\textsuperscript{19} and Fe_{0.97}Sn_{0.03}O_{2} coating\textsuperscript{41} were prepared by DC magnetron co-sputtering of V and Fe, and V and Mg, respectively, from a single composed V–Fe, and V–Fe–Mg target in an argon–oxygen gas mixture at a substrate temperature of 60 °C, with an additional in-situ annealing at 450 °C for 30 min in oxygen. The V_{0.98}W_{0.02}O_{2} coating\textsuperscript{41} was prepared on silica substrate by spin coating via a sol–gel process and annealing at 500 °C for 30 min in ammonia atmosphere. The V_{0.98}W_{0.02}O_{2} coating\textsuperscript{41} was prepared on fused quartz using a sol–gel method and annealing at 600 °C for 30 min in argon gas.

The thermochromic coatings, which were prepared on a polyethylene terephthalate (PET) substrate, are derived from V_{0.97}Fe_{0.02}O_{2}\textsuperscript{42} or V_{0.98}W_{0.02}O_{2}\textsuperscript{43} nanoparticles dispersed in polyurethane. These nanoparticles were produced by complex hydrothermal reactions. Here, it should be mentioned that the F-doping and W-doping of these nanoparticles resulted in the required reduction in the transition temperature, but it led also to a decrease in the modulation of the solar transmittance. The ΔT_{sol} value decreased from 13.1% for the coating with pure VO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles to 10.7% (see Table 2) for the coating with 2.93 at.% F-doped VO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles\textsuperscript{41}. In case of the coating with 1 at.% W-doped VO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles\textsuperscript{41}, the ΔT_{sol} value decreased to 12.7% (see Table 2) from 22.3% for the coating with pure VO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles. Note that the transition temperature T_{tr} = 36 °C of the high-performance thermochromic coating with the V_{0.98}W_{0.02}O_{2} nanoparticles (see Table 2) was determined as a mean value from the temperature of 46 °C, related to an endothermic peak, and 26 °C, related to an exothermic peak, detected using differential scanning calorimetry during the heating-up and cooling-down period, respectively.

As can be seen in Table 2, we achieved an excellent combination of the required characteristics: the lowest maximum glass transition temperature during the deposition of the coatings (T_{glass,max} = 330 °C), an appropriate transition temperature T_{tr} = 20–21 °C, and T_{um} up to 60% at ΔT_{sol} close to 6% or T_{um} up to 50% at ΔT_{sol} above 10%. These optical properties are comparable with those achieved for the thermochromic VO\textsubscript{2}-based coatings which were prepared using long and too complicated chemical processes on flexible PET foils\textsuperscript{42,43} at a very low (T_{tr})_{max} \leq 100 °C, but with too high transition temperature T_{um} = 35 °C and 36 °C, respectively.

Conclusion

High-performance thermochromic ZrO\textsubscript{2}/V_{0.982}W_{0.018}O_{2}/ZrO\textsubscript{2} coatings with a low transition temperature were prepared on soda-lime glass by a low-temperature scalable deposition technique which is compatible with the existing magnetron sputtering systems in glass production lines. The V_{0.98}W_{0.02}O_{2} layers were deposited by controlled HiPIMS of V target, combined with a simultaneous pulsed DC magnetron sputtering of W target (doping of VO\textsubscript{2} by W to reduce the transition temperature to T_{tr} = 20–21 °C without any degradation of thermochromic properties), at a low substrate surface temperature T_{s} = 330 °C in an argon–oxygen gas mixture. The effective pulsed oxygen flow control of the reactive HiPIMS deposition makes it possible to utilize the enhanced energies of the ions bombarding the growing V_{0.982}W_{0.018}O_{2} layers for the support of the crystallization of the thermochromic VO\textsubscript{2} phase in them at the low T_{s} = 330 °C and without any substrate bias voltage. Our design of the three-layer VO\textsubscript{2}-based coatings utilizes the second-order interference in two antireflection ZrO\textsubscript{2} layers to increase both the luminous transmittance and the modulation of the solar transmittance. The ZrO\textsubscript{2}/V_{0.982}W_{0.018}O_{2}/ZrO\textsubscript{2} coatings exhibit the optical properties which are relatively close to the requirements (T_{um} > 60% and ΔT_{sol} > 10%) for smart-window applications. For applications in large-scale systems, it is important that the presented controlled deposition of the active VO\textsubscript{2}-based layers can be performed also at prolonged duty cycles (up to 5%). This results in up to 5 times lower target power density in a pulse at the same deposition-averaged target power density (approximately 13 W cm\textsuperscript{-2} in this work). Moreover, the deposition rate of these layers is higher.
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