An ensemble of specifically targeted proteins stabilizes cortical microtubules in the human parasite Toxoplasma gondii
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INTRODUCTION

Microtubules are universally found in eukaryotic cells, where they are organized into functionally distinct structures such as the mitotic spindle, tracks for vesicular transport, and the basal body and associated flagellar axoneme (Pollister, 1939; Bernhard and De Harven, 1956; Szollosi, 1964; Inoué and Sato, 1967; Inoué et al., 1975; Salmon, 1975; Gibbons, 1981; Gilbert et al., 1985; Sheetz et al., 1986; Stebbings and Hunt, 1987; Huitorel, 1988; Sawin and Mitchison, 1991; Johnson and Rosenbaum, 1992; Salisbury, 1995; Preble et al., 2000; Dutcher, 2003; Walczak and Heald, 2008; Carvalho-Santos et al., 2011). Because all microtubules are built with essentially the same core α-β tubulin heterodimers, how these distinct structures are established and maintained is an important question in biology. This problem is particularly intriguing considering that many proteins have to be specifically targeted to subsets or subregions of microtubules to generate different types of structures that coexist in the same cell. In the protozoan parasite Toxoplasma gondii, there are at least five discrete tubulin-based structures, including the conoid, cortical microtubules, intraconoid microtubules, and centrioles, as well as a spindle in replicating parasites (Figure 1; Chobotar and Scholtyseck, 1982; Nichols and Chiappino, 1987; Morrissette, 1995; Morrissette and Sibley, 2002a; Hu et al., 2002b; Swedlow et al., 2002). It is therefore an excellent model system for understanding the differential targeting of microtubule-associated proteins.

T. gondii belongs to the phylum Apicomplexa, a group of ~6000 parasites that includes a number of important human pathogens (Levine, 1988). The most notorious members of this phylum are Plasmodium spp., the malarial parasites. More than half a million people, mostly children younger than the age of 5 yr, die from malaria each year (World Health Organization, 2014). In contrast to the malarial parasites, which are restricted by the geographic distribution of competent mosquito vectors, T. gondii is found worldwide.
Approximately one-third of the global population are carriers of this parasite (Luder et al., 2001; Dubey, 2008; Torgerson and Mastroiacovo, 2013). The widespread prevalence of T. gondii is largely due to its ability to invade and proliferate in any warm-blooded animal. Humans can acquire T. gondii infection through contaminated food (mainly undercooked meat), water, and soil (Frenkel, 1973; Beazley and Egerman, 1998; Dubey, 1998, 2008) or through contact with highly infectious sporocysts from the feces of cats, the only definitive host of this parasite (Dubey et al., 1970; Dubey, 1998, 2008). Infection of a pregnant female often leads to fetal infection via placental transfer, with consequent severe congenital neurological defects (Dunn et al., 1999; Montoya and Liesenfeld, 2004). T. gondii infections are asymptomatic in healthy nonpregnant individuals because parasite proliferation is held in check by the immune system, although parasites persist for the life of the host as dormant cysts in the brain, skeletal muscle, and cardiac muscle (Dubey, 2008; Sullivan and Jeffers, 2012). However, infections in immunocompromised individuals have devastating consequences, including the development of life-threatening toxoplastic encephalitis, because latent parasites can reactivate, escape from cysts, proliferate rapidly, and severely damage tissues (Luft and Remington, 1992; Luft et al., 1993).

To successfully infect a host, both malarial parasites and T. gondii need to penetrate multiple layers of tissues, establish vacuoles in host cells, replicate, escape from the resource-depleted host cell, and then disseminate. Subsequently they invade new host cells to initiate additional rounds of invasion (Sibley et al., 1994; Sibley, 2004; Pinder et al., 2000; Hoff and Carruthers, 2002). The cytoskeleton of the parasite is essential to all of these steps. The motility of the parasite is powered by an actomyosin-based apparatus (Dobrowolski and Sibley, 1996; Dobrowolski et al., 1997; Sibley et al., 1998; Meissner et al., 2002; Opitz and Soldati, 2002; Gaskins et al., 2004; Egarter et al., 2014), which is sandwiched between the plasma membrane and the inner membrane complex (IMC), a sheet of flattened vesicles joined by sutures that associates with the plasma membrane to form the parasite pellicle (Sheffield and Melton, 1968; Porchet and Torpier, 1977; Dubremetz and Torpier, 1978; Morrissette et al., 1997). The IMC associates with a large number of cytoskeletal proteins that form the cortical cytoskeleton (Figure 1). These function to provide a rigid framework that the actomyosin machinery works against to power gliding motility and host cell invasion. The cytoskeleton is also essential for parasite replication. Apicomplexan parasites replicate by packaging nascent daughter buds within a mother. Nuclear division is coordinated with the formation of daughter buds, and the nuclear envelope remains intact during chromosome segregation. In T. gondii, the mitotic spindle is inserted into “spindle plaques” embedded in the nuclear envelope (Senaud, 1967; Chobotar and Scholtyseck, 1982) and is sensitive to inhibitors of tubulin polymerization. Little else is known about how the parasite mitotic spindle is assembled, due to the lack of appropriate markers. The emerging IMC and associated cortical cytoskeleton of daughter buds provide an essential framework for housing the de novo–synthesized secretory organelles used in host cell invasion, as well as for partitioning replicated organelles, including the Golgi apparatus, endoplasmic reticulum, apicoplast, mitochondrion, and nucleus (Figure 1; Sheffield and Melton, 1968; Hu et al., 2002a; Morrissette and Sibley, 2002a; Nishi et al., 2008). Assembly of the cortical cytoskeleton in daughter buds is tightly coupled to replication of centrioles: the polarized daughter cytoskeleton is initiated close to newly duplicated centrioles, suggesting a central role for the centrioles in templating the cortical cytoskeleton (Hu et al., 2006; Hu, 2008). This hypothesis is strengthened by the discovery of a striated fiber assembly (SFA) fiber that links the centrioles and emergent buds and is important for initiating assembly of the daughter cytoskeleton (Francia et al., 2012).

One of the central components of the cortical cytoskeleton in apicomplexan parasites is a set of cortical (subpellicular) microtubules, which are built with great specificity. For instance, there are two or three cortical microtubules in erythrocytic-stage Plasmodium falciparum merozoites, 15 or 16 in Plasmodium berghei sporozoites, 22 in the asexual form of T. gondii, and 26 in Eimeria, a close relative of Toxoplasma in the subclass Coccidia (Vanderberg et al., 1967; Dubremetz, 1973; D’Haese et al., 1977; Nichols and Chiappino, 1987; Bannister and Mitchell, 1995; Fowler et al., 1998). The organization of cortical microtubules in T. gondii has been well characterized by light and electron microscopy. They form a left-handed spiral extending from the apical end of the parasite to approximately two-thirds of the cell body length in mature parasites (Figure 1; Nichols and Chiappino, 1987; Morrissette et al., 1997) and closely

FIGURE 1: Diagrams of the T. gondii cytoskeleton. Highlighted in red are several tubulin-containing structures in T. gondii, including 22 cortical microtubules, two intraconoid microtubules, and 14 conoid fibers, which are novel tubulin polymers. Also shown are electron microscopic cross-sections of the cortical and intraconoid microtubules and a conoid fiber (Hu et al., 2002b). IMC, inner membrane complex. Bottom left, diagram of a replicating parasite, with daughter parasites being built inside the mother. Note that the cortical microtubules are present in the mother but not shown to avoid clutter. At this stage, most membrane-bound organelles have been produced or duplicated and partitioned into daughters. For simplicity, only the Golgi stack (dark blue) and apicoplast (a plastid-like organelle, light purple) and rhoptries (one of the specialized organelles for invasion; purple) are shown for daughters. The apical complex is typically retracted in intracellular parasites (bottom). An increase in concentration of cytoplasmic calcium triggers the switch from the retracted to the extended state (top).
associate with the IMC along their length throughout the cell cycle. Coordinated growth of cortical microtubules and the IMC during cell division is essential for packaging daughters inside the mother cell (Figure 1). Inhibition of microtubule growth by the depolymerizing drug oryzalin induces abnormal IMC assembly and aberrant morphology, and the resulting parasites are nonviable (Morejohn et al., 1987; Stokkermans et al., 1996; Shaw et al., 2000; Morrissette and Sibley, 2002b; Morrissette et al., 2004; Hu et al., 2006; Hu, 2008). However, it is not known whether cortical microtubules are essential for maintaining the characteristic “banana” shape of adult parasites because mature cortical microtubules in living parasites are impervious to standard disassembly methods such as cold- or drug-induced depolymerization. For the same reason, the role of cortical microtubules in parasite motility has not been tested, although it was proposed that they might dictate the long-range directionality for the movement of apicomplexan parasites (Sinden, 1985), recently shown for Toxoplasma (Leung et al., 2014) and Plasmodium (Kan et al., 2014), to follow a left-handed helix in a matrix that permits three-dimensional movement.

Unlike the dynamic, labile, and idiosyncratically arranged microtubule cytoskeleton in mammalian cells, T. gondii cortical microtubules are exceptionally stable and have the same distribution in every cell. They do not display dynamic instability and are not depolymerized when the free tubulin concentration is drastically reduced by detergent extraction (Nichols and Chiappino, 1987; Morrissette et al., 1997), which leads to rapid disassembly of vertebrate cell microtubule arrays. These polymers therefore not only are essential to the parasite but also distinguish the parasite from the host. By understanding the construction and function of these microtubules, we may potentially discover new parasite-specific drug targets for treating toxoplasmosis and other apicomplexan diseases. The unusual stability of cortical microtubules is likely due to parasite-specific microtubule-binding or -modifying proteins because the predominant α1- and β1-tubulin subunits that form these polymers are largely conserved relative to human tubulin homologues (>85% identity and 90% similarity). Indeed, earlier ultrastructural studies revealed that cortical microtubules are heavily decorated with associated proteins (Morrissette et al., 1997; Hu et al., 2002b), which we refer to as coating proteins. In parasites lacking SPM1, one of the first characterized microtubule-coating proteins in T. gondii (Tran et al., 2012), cortical microtubules completely depolymerize upon cell lysis by detergent. More recently, we identified another novel protein, thioredoxin-like protein 1 (TrxL1), which is localized to both the cortical and intraconoid microtubule populations (Liu et al., 2013; Supplemental Figure S1). The interaction between TrxL1 and cortical microtubules is dependent on SPM1. We used TrxL1 as the bait for immunoprecipitation and found several proteins in addition to SPM1. These include TrxL2, a homologue of TrxL1, as well as TrxL1-associated proteins 1–4 (TLAPs 1-4; Liu et al., 2013), four proteins unrelated to each other in primary sequence. In our initial analysis, we ectopically expressed tagged TrxL2 and TLAP1 in T. gondii and demonstrated that both localize to the cortical microtubules (Liu et al., 2013).

In this work, we report on several intriguing features of the microtubule cytoskeleton in the asexual form of T. gondii. First, cortical microtubules are differentially decorated with proteins along their length in a complex but defined pattern, which involves specific targeting of coating proteins to the forming cytoskeleton of the daughter. Second, the assembly of cortical microtubules is coordinated with that of the mitotic spindle both in space and time, revealing a cell cycle-dependent regulation of the communication between the nucleus and the cytosol. Finally, as an ensemble, the coating proteins protect the stability of cortical microtubules in a region-dependent manner and with a remarkable level of functional redundancy.

**RESULTS**

**Differential assembly of coating proteins onto cortical microtubules**

Two previously characterized proteins, TrxL1 (Supplemental Figure S1) and SPM1, both coat the entire length of cortical microtubules (Tran et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2013). In contrast, two proteins identified in our previous proteomic screen (Liu et al., 2013), TLAP2 (TGGT1_232130; EuPathDB.org) and TLAP3 (TGGT1_235380), exhibit complex and distinct patterns along the polymers. Figure 2 shows the localization of fluorescently tagged TLAP2 and TLAP3 in knock-in lines created by double-crossover homologous recombination (Heaslip et al., 2010, 2011; Liu et al., 2013) in which the endogenous genes were replaced by the coding sequence for fluorescently tagged TLAP2 or TLAP3. Proceeding from the apical toward the basal end of the parasite, there are three identifiable regions with different TLAP2 occupancies: a ring-like structure marked by TLAP2, with an outer diameter of −0.9 µm; a segment of TLAP2-free region (Figure 2, A and B, and Supplemental Video S1), which partially overlaps with an apical subdomain of the membrane cortex marked by ISP1 (Beck et al., 2010); and the remaining expanse of cortical microtubules (−3–5 µm in length), which is coated with TLAP2. The distribution of TLAP3 is different and resembles an ∼0.8 × 0.4-µm cone close to the apical end of the parasite (Figure 2C). In the intact parasite, it is difficult to resolve structural detail in this small region (Figure 2C), but in detergent-extracted extracellular parasites, in which the microtubules are splayed, TLAP3 is localized at the apical tips of the cortical microtubules (Figure 2D). In addition to the cortical microtubules, TLAP3 is also localized to the intraconoid microtubules (arrowheads, Figure 2D; also see Figure 1).

**Specific targeting to the apical section of cortical microtubules**

Cortical microtubules are generated de novo when daughters form inside the mother during parasite replication (Figure 1). To determine whether subdomains of the protein coating we observed on cortical microtubules are established during or subsequent to daughter construction, we examined TLAP3 localization in parasites at different stages of replication in the mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3 knock-in line (Figure 3). We found that TLAP3 labeling first appears in daughter buds as six or seven spots surrounding a central dot that is likely to be the intraconoid microtubules (Figure 3, insets). The recruitment of TLAP3 to the daughter cytoskeleton occurs early, before detection by immunofluorescence of IMC1 (Figure 3A), a component of the protein network underneath the IMC (Mann and Beckers, 2001; Mann et al., 2002). Consistent with a previous observation (Chen et al., 2015), TLAP3 is also detected in daughters before ISP1 (Figure 3A), a protein localized to the apical subdomain of the IMC (Beck et al., 2010). As the daughters grow, the ISP1 labeling in the daughters lengthens from a narrow band close to the apex to a mantle extending well beyond the TLAP3 apical concentration (Figure 3, B–F). Thus structural differentiation of the coating on cortical microtubules is an integral part of the construction program and is established during the initial assembly of daughter cells.

When expressed from its endogenous promoter, TLAP3 is not detectable on the mitotic spindle, even though the assembly of the cortical microtubules and mitotic spindle occurs contemporaneously. Figure 4, Supplemental Video S2, and Supplemental Figure S1C show a series of images in which the cortical microtubules and
mitotic spindle are both highlighted by another protein found in our previous proteomic screen (Liu et al., 2013), TLAP4 (TGGT1_201760; EuPathDB.org), tagged with a fluorescent protein and ectopically expressed from a T. gondii tubulin promoter (Hu et al., 2002b). In these parasites, a number of other cytoskeletal structures were also highlighted by various fluorescently tagged markers, including TgCentrin1 for highlighting the centrioles (Figure 4D), TLAP3 for highlighting the apical section of cortical microtubules (Figure 4E), and MORN1 for labeling the basal complex, a protein complex located at the extreme basal end of the parasite (Figure 4F; see also Figure 1; Hu et al., 2006; Hu, 2008; Heaslip et al., 2010). The signal of fluorescently tagged TLAP4 in the spindle first appears in a spot adjacent to centrioles (Figure 4, A–D, panel 1). By the time the nascent daughters, shaped like a flattened disk, are built around the centrioles, the bar shape of the spindle in the nucleus has become apparent, with the centrioles close to but distinctly separated from the spindle poles (Figure 4D, panel 2). The daughter cytoskeleton is fully polarized at an early stage, as indicated by the construction of the TLAP3 “cap” and the basal complex, structures corresponding to the apical and basal portions of the future parasites, respectively (Figure 4, E and F, panel 2). Similar to what is shown in Figure 3A, TLAP3 is present in a cluster of intracellular mEmeraldFP-TLAP2 knock-in parasites labeled with a mouse anti-ISP1 antibody (see also Supplemental Video S1). The apical region free of TLAP2 partially coincides with a “cap” marked by ISP1, a protein inserted into the apical portion of the IMC through a lipid anchor (Beck et al., 2010). Green, mEmeraldFP-TLAP2. Red, anti-ISP1. Scale bar, 2 μm. (C) 3D-SIM projections of intracellular mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3 knock-in parasites labeled with a rabbit anti–Tgβ-tubulin antibody, showing that TLAP3 is located to the apical section of the cortical microtubules (arrows), as well as intraconoid microtubules (arrowheads). Green, mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3. Red, anti-Tgβ-tubulin. Scale bar, 2 μm. (D) Wide-field images of mouse anti–α- and β-tubulin antibody labeling of extracellular mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3 knock-in parasites extracted with Triton X-100 (left), followed by fixation with 3.7% (vol/vol) formaldehyde or extracted with 0.5% (wt/vol) sodium deoxycholate, followed by fixation with formaldehyde (middle) or methanol (right), showing that TLAP3 is localized to the apical section of the cortical microtubules (arrows), as well as intraconoid microtubules (arrowheads). Green, mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3. Red, anti-tubulin. Scale bar, 2 μm. Inset, 1.5×.

FIGURE 2: TLAP2 and TLAP3 associate with distinct sections of the cortical microtubules. (A) 3D-SIM projections of intracellular mEmeraldFP-TLAP2 knock-in parasites labeled with a rabbit anti–Tgβ-tubulin antibody (Morissette and Sibley, 2002b), indicating that TLAP2 decorates the microtubules in a segmental manner. TLAP2 is present in a ring-like structure (arrowheads) and absent from an apical portion of the cortical microtubules (arrows) and coats the rest of the polymers distal to the gap. Note that under this condition, the antibody does not label the conoid, likely due to poor antigen accessibility (Supplemental Figure S1A). To correct for lateral chromatic aberration, the image for the anti-tubulin channel was digitally magnified by 1.01× and left-shifted by 1 pixel (~40 nm). Green, mEmeraldFP-TLAP2. Red, anti-Tgβ-tubulin. Scale bar, 2 μm. (B) 3D-SIM projections that give side (top) and top (bottom) views of a pair of
dots in nascent daughter parasites (Figure 4E, panel 2) but not in the mitotic spindle. Of interest, fluorescently tagged TLAP4 labeling in the daughters is similarly arranged but extends more distally, indicating differential decoration of the cortical microtubules in the daughters (Figure 4E, insets). As the daughters grow, the TLAP4 labeling of the spindle further shortens (Figure 4, B and D–F, panel 4), the TLAP3 labeling persists at the apical region (Figure 4E, panel 4), and the ring-shaped basal complex remains abutting the basal end of the daughters (Figure 4F, panel 4).

How is the specific localization of a coating protein achieved? TLAP3 is recruited to the apical region of the cortical microtubules during daughter construction. A simple hypothesis is that this region contains TLAP3-specific binding sites that are not available on the rest of the cortical microtubules or mitotic spindle. However, we found this not to be the case. When fluorescently tagged TLAP3 is ectopically expressed from a T. gondii tubulin promoter, it is localized to the full length of the cortical microtubules and nascent spindle (Figure 5), indicating that TLAP3 binding sites are not limited to the apical region of the microtubule cytoskeleton. An alternative hypothesis is that the native expression of TLAP3 is modulated such that the protein is available only when the apical section of cortical microtubules is forming. To generate specific targeting in this scenario, TLAP3 needs to associate irreversibly with the polymer once bound. Otherwise, protein molecules associated with the sections of microtubules built earlier would be able to dissociate and bind to the newly grown portion and result in coating the entire length of the microtubule. To test the reversibility of TLAP3 binding, we performed fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) experiments. Figure 6A and Supplemental Video S3 show a vacuole containing two mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3 knock-in parasites in which daughter parasites had formed before the photobleaching. The mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3 cap was bleached in one of the mothers (cyan arrow) and one of its nascent daughters (magenta arrow). During the postbleach period, mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3 was incorporated into the cap region of the bleached daughter but not the mother, indicating that newly synthesized mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3 is specifically targeted to growing daughters but not the mother. Of importance, the difference in intensity between the bleached and unbleached daughter parasites (Figure 6A, magenta and red lines in graph) was maintained through daughter construction and budding, indicating that, once bound, TLAP3 indeed does not dissociate from the daughter cytoskeleton. We next tested whether the availability of TLAP3 is coupled with the polymerization of cortical microtubules in the cap region by examining the timing of TLAP3 recruitment with respect to the growth of cortical microtubules in daughters. FRAP and time-lapse imaging in mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3 knock-in parasites expressing mCherryFP-Tgα1-tubulin show that the incorporation of TLAP3 continues after the microtubules grow past the TLAP3 cap (Figure 6B, time points 0:19:47; 0:29:48, and 0:39:47; magenta and green lines in graph). This indicates that specific targeting to the cap region in daughter parasites is not entirely controlled by the time window within which mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3 is available. Thus additional factors besides the TLAP3 protein itself and regulation of protein expression constitute the “code” for specific targeting.

The coating proteins show different microtubule-binding properties

The coating proteins described here are localized to an array of microtubule-containing structures or subregions within these structures. Do they interact with microtubules directly or via adaptor proteins? We reasoned that although some coating proteins might require parasite-specific factors to bind to microtubules in T. gondii, if a coating protein is able to associate with microtubules in a foreign environment devoid of other parasite proteins, then its binding to the polymer is more likely to be direct. We thus first expressed mEmeraldFP-tagged proteins in human foreskin fibroblast (HFF) cells and tested whether they colocalize with the microtubules. Of all the T. gondii microtubule-coating proteins tested, only mEmeraldFP-TLAP2 labels microtubule-like fibers in HFF cells (Figure 7A). Expression of these fluorescently tagged proteins in other mammalian cell lines gave similar results (unpublished data). To further confirm that these fibers are formed of microtubules, we expressed mEmeraldFP-TLAP2 in a transgenic HeLa cell line stably expressing mCherryFP-tubulin and found that the mEmeraldFP-TLAP2-labeled fibers colocalize with a subpopulation of mCherryFP-labeled microtubules in transfected cells (Figure 7B). Moreover, TLAP2 often bundles microtubules, indicated by the higher intensity of mCherryFP-tubulin in the TLAP2-containing fibers (Figure 7B). Of interest, the TLAP2 coating on the microtubules is dense enough to block access to the epitopes recognized by anti α- and β-tubulin monoclonal antibodies used for the immunolabeling (Figure 7C).

To determine whether TLAP2 is capable of bundling microtubules on its own, we purified recombinant FLAG-TLAP2 protein expressed in Escherichia coli (Figure 7D) and incubated the purified protein with in vitro-polymerized microtubules. We analyzed the mixture using dark-field microscopy, in which bundled microtubules generate much brighter signal than single microtubules due to more efficient light scattering. The microtubules were indeed bundled after incubation with recombinant FLAG-TLAP2 compared with the control (Figure 7E). Microtubule bundling occurred within 5 min, that is, the typical time it took to set up the reaction, load the sample into a slide chamber, and bring it to focus on the microscope to begin imaging.

The potent microtubule-bundling activity of TLAP2 in vitro and in mammalian cells predicts that its overexpression in T. gondii should alter the kinetics of microtubule polymerization in the parasite and would therefore be a useful tool to probe microtubule organization and function in T. gondii. Indeed, ectopic expression of TLAP2 driven by a tubulin promoter induces changes in the organization of the parasite microtubule cytoskeleton. There are several informative patterns. Figure 8, A and B, shows single transgenic parasites expressing enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP)-Tgβ2-tubulin (pseudocolored red) in which transiently expressed mAppleFP-TLAP2 (pseudocolored green) appeared to promote elongation of the preexisting cortical microtubules. Many microtubules extended from the apical to the basal end of the parasite instead of terminating at approximately two-thirds of the parasite body. The decoration of mAppleFP-TLAP2 on these microtubules was more prominent on the distal segments (arrows), likely due to exclusion by endogenous coating proteins already associating with cortical microtubules before the ectopic expression of mAppleFP-TLAP2. Consistent with in vitro observations, some TLAP2-decorated microtubules coalesce to form bundles (Figure 8B, insets). A higher level of TLAP2 expression results in grossly misshapen parasites in which the organization of the microtubule cytoskeleton is completely altered (Figure 8C). These parasites can grow to a size comparable to that of a multiparasite vacuole, as shown in Figure 8D, a ∼14 μm × 16 μm parasite mass. In this example, the IMC labeling highlighted irregular extensions close to the periphery of the parasite. The morphology of some of the extensions suggests aberrant daughter formation and budding (Figure 8D, inset). We conclude that a balanced expression of coating proteins is critical to generate and maintain the organization, as well as to control the length, of the cortical microtubules.
FIGURE 3: TLAP3 decoration of the cortical microtubules is established early during daughter construction.

(A–F) mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3 knock-in parasites at different stages of the cell cycle labeled with a mouse anti-ISP1 antibody and a rabbit anti-IMC1 antibody. The mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3 and anti-ISP1 images are projections of 3D-SIM images. The anti-IMC1 images, visualized by a goat anti-rabbit Cy5 antibody, are projections of deconvolved wide-field
Extensive functional redundancy among the coating proteins
TLAP2 is conserved among several distinct groups of apicomplexans, including Plasmodium spp. and close relatives of Toxoplasma in the subclass Coccidia, estimated to have diverged several hundred million years ago (Escalante and Ayala, 1995; Douzery et al., 2004; Kuo et al., 2008). Of interest, a TLAP2 homologue is also found in Chromera velia, a photosynthetic distant relative of the apicomplexans, indicating that TLAP2 was present in the common ancestor of parasitic apicomplexans and free-living C. velia (Figure 9A and Supplemental Figure S2). However, no significant homologue of TLAP2 is found in the predicted protein databases for subclasses cryptosporidia, gregarines, or piroplasms by BLASTP (E-value cut-off 0.005), suggesting that the gene might have been lost in these groups after their common ancestor diverged from that of coccidians and the Plasmodium spp. The conserved portion of TLAP2 homologues lies within the C-terminal ~200 amino acids, which contain an unusually high number of conserved tryptophan residues. Two TLAP2 homologues are found in Plasmodium falciparum, which we named PTLAP2-a (PF3D7_1034300; EuPathDB.org) and PTLAP2-b (PF3D7_0517200), with PTLAP2-a sharing a higher degree of similarity with TgTLAP2 (Figure 9A). Of the 14 tryptophan residues in the C-terminal domain of TgTLAP2, nine are conserved in both PTLAP2-a and CvTLAP2 (Figure 9A). Consistent with the high level of conservation in this region, the C-terminal 276 amino acids (aa) of TgTLAP2 are sufficient to induce the formation of bundles when expressed in T. gondii (Supplemental Figure S2). The N-terminal sequences of TLAP2 in T. gondii and Plasmodium spp. are both of low complexity and not conserved. The N-terminal 2–180 aa of TgTLAP2 are cytosolic when ectopically expressed and tagged with mEmeraldFP (Supplemental Figure S2). To test whether PTLAP2-a potentially associates with microtubules, we transiently expressed mEmeraldFP-PTLAP2-a from a tubulin promoter in T. gondii and often observed that mEmeraldFP-PTLAP2-a concentrated at the apical portion of the cortex in mature parasites (Figure 9B) and two internal clusters with six or seven spots reminiscent of TLAP3 labeling of nascent daughter parasites (Figure 9B, top). In some other cases, surface stripes similar to cortical microtubules were seen (Figure 9B, bottom). These results suggest that PTLAP2-a might also be able to associate with cortical microtubules.

The conservation of TLAP2 among distant apicomplexan lineages, its ability to bind directly to and bundle microtubules, and its dominant effect on the organization of the microtubule cytoskeleton when ectopically expressed all suggest that TLAP2 is functionally important for the parasites. We thus generated a TLAP2-knockout T. gondii (Δtlap2), using an established recombination method based on a Cre-loxP strategy (Heaslip et al., 2010, 2011; Liu et al., 2013). After transiently expressing Cre recombinase in mEmeraldFP-TLAP2 knock-in parasites, we excised the loxP-flanked cassette containing the coding sequence for mEmeraldFP-TLAP2 in transfected parasites (Figure 9C). We then selected Δtlap2 clones from a mixed population by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) based on the loss of mEmeraldFP fluorescence (Figure 9, C and D, and Supplemental Figure S3A). Surprisingly, Δtlap2 parasites did not have an obvious growth defect. After growth in a confluent monolayer of host cells for 7 d at 37°C, Δtlap2 parasites formed a comparable number and size of plaques as the parental RHΔhx and mEmeraldFP-Δtlap2 knockout parasite lines (Supplemental Figure S3B; number of plaques = 103% of RHΔhx, n = 4, SD = 5%). Furthermore, infection of mice showed that Δtlap2 parasites did not exhibit a significant loss of virulence (Figure 9E). Mice infected with the RHΔhx parasites died between days 7 and 8 postinfection, whereas the mice infected with the mEmeraldFP-Δtlap2 knock-in and Δtlap2 parasites died between days 8 and 9 postinfection. This suggests that the loss of tlap2 is compensated for by other factors, such as other microtubule-coating protein(s). Minor fitness defects were also observed in the knockout mutants of two other coating proteins, SPM1 and Tnx1 (Tran et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2013), which again suggests functional redundancy among the coating proteins. Consistent with this idea, we found that these coating proteins associate with the cortical microtubules through independent mechanisms. Fluorescently tagged SPM1, and TLAP1, 3, and 4 were localized to the cortical microtubules when expressed in Δtlap2 parasites (Figure 9F, top, and Supplemental Figure S3C), indicating that the association of these proteins with the polymers is not dependent on TLAP2. Similarly, in the absence of SPM1, TLAP2 and TLAP3 are able to associate with the cortical microtubules (Figure 9F, bottom). To test directly the functional redundancy among the coating proteins, we used the Cre-LoxP strategy to generate a panel of new knockout mutants (Supplemental Figures S4 and S5)—Δtlap3, Δtlap2Δspm1, and Δtlap2Δspm1Δtlap3 parasites—and examined their growth. Δtlap3 parasites did not display a notable growth defect (Supplemental Figure S4). Even the Δtlap2Δspm1 double-knockout parasites grew robustly (number of plaques = 79% of RHΔhx control, n = 4, SD = 4%; Figure 9G). However, the Δtlap2Δspm1Δtlap3 triple-knockout mutant showed a pronounced fitness effect, generating fewer plaques than the parental parasites under the same condition (number of plaques = 24% of RHΔhx control, n = 3, SD = 21%; Figure 9G).

The coating proteins protect the stability of cortical microtubules as an ensemble
One of the most remarkable features of the cortical microtubules in T. gondii is that they are extraordinarily stable; thus the reduced fitness in Δtlap2Δspm1Δtlap3 parasites could be due to changes in the organization or integrity of the cortical microtubules. To determine whether the loss of coating proteins affects the stability of cortical microtubules, we used cold-temperature treatment, which has been widely used in studies of stability control of the microtubule cytoskeleton in animal and plant cells (Salmon and Begg, 1980; Jasmin et al., 1990; Detrich et al., 2000; Abdurakhmanova et al., 2003; Zhu et al., 2006; De Storme et al., 2012; Oropesa-Avila et al., 2014). We compared microtubule stability in cold conditions (8°C) for the Δtlap2, Δspm1 (Tran et al., 2012), Δtlap3, Δtlap2Δspm1, and Δtlap2Δspm1Δtlap3 parasites, using a rabbit anti–Tgβ-tubulin antibody (Morissette and Sibley, 2002b) to specifically label T. gondii but not host cell microtubules. The cortical microtubules in the parental line (RHΔhx) remained stable after incubation at 8°C for 3.5 h followed by the procedure of immunolabeling (Figure 10A). In Δtlap2, Δspm1, and Δtlap3 single-knockout mutants, the cortical microtubules
FIGURE 4: Assembly of the mitotic spindle during daughter construction as visualized by ectopic expression of mEmeraldFP-TLAP4 driven by a *T. gondii* tubulin promoter. (A) 3D-SIM projections of five different vacuoles containing ptub-mEmeraldFP-TLAP4 transgenic parasites, ordered according to the stage of daughter assembly (arrowheads). In interphase parasites, mEmeraldFP-TLAP4 mostly resides on the cortical microtubules (panel 1). After construction of the daughter cytoskeleton is initiated, the TLAP4 signal in the spindle (arrows) becomes much more intense than that of the cortical microtubules in the mother parasite (panels 2–5). Depending on the orientation of the spindle axis with respect to that of the parasite, the length of the spindle can reach as long as ~2 μm. Scale bar, 2 μm. (B) Selected panels from a
microtubules were also clearly labeled by the tubulin antibody. In contrast, in interphase Δtlap2Δspm1 parasites, the anti-Tgβ-tubulin labeling was reduced to a cap in the apical region (Figure 10A), reminiscent of the region occupied by TLAP3 (Figure 2). Indeed, this apical labeling was further reduced to a small, ring-like structure with the additional loss of TLAP3 (Figure 10A, Δtlap2Δspm1Δtlap3) and could be restored by mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3 expression (Figure 10A, Δtlap2Δspm1Δtlap3-TLAP3 rescue). Even without cold treatment, instability of the cortical microtubules in Δtlap2Δspm1 and Δtlap2Δspm1Δtlap3 parasites was already pronounced, although the extent of destabilization was more variable (Figure 10B). Of interest, we found that in dividing Δtlap2Δspm1 or Δtlap2Δspm1Δtlap3 parasites, whereas cortical microtubules of the mother appeared to be much shorter than those in the parental strain (Figure 10C), the anti-

DISCUSSION

Specific targeting of associated proteins to microtubules

The specific localization of proteins allows for functional differentiation within a cell. It has been established that the specificity in protein targeting to different membrane-bound organelles is mainly achieved by the match between the cargo and receptors that recognize the signal encoded in the cargo and guide it to its destination. In contrast, the mechanics that governs specific targeting to subregions or subsets of cytoskeletal polymers is considerably less well understood. There are many functionally distinct microtubule-based structures in eukaryotic cells, including the interphase microtubule array, centrioles, flagellum/cilium, and mitotic spindle. Each of these structures has its own unique set of associated proteins with distinct localization. For instance, the tumor suppressor Ras association domain–family protein 1A preferentially associates with perinuclear microtubules (Arnette et al., 2014). In neurons, the microtubules in dendrites and axons are differentially decorated with MAP2 and tau (Caceres et al., 1984; Binder et al., 1985; Papasozomenos et al., 1985). Unlike the membrane of organelles, microtubules are formed of large (8 nm), periodically repeating units. Aside from the specific targeting, it is important to understand how these proteins are retained in their specific locations during cell division. In this study, we examined the distribution of proteins during mitosis and how these proteins are affected by the loss of microtubule-binding proteins.

We found that the localization of proteins to microtubules is not only determined by the specificity of the cargo but also by the protein itself. For instance, the tumor suppressor Ras association domain–family protein 1A preferentially associates with perinuclear microtubules (Arnette et al., 2014). In neurons, the microtubules in dendrites and axons are differentially decorated with MAP2 and tau (Caceres et al., 1984; Binder et al., 1985; Papasozomenos et al., 1985). Unlike the membrane of organelles, microtubules are formed of large (8 nm), periodically repeating units. Aside from the specific targeting, it is important to understand how these proteins are retained in their specific locations during cell division. In this study, we examined the distribution of proteins during mitosis and how these proteins are affected by the loss of microtubule-binding proteins.

We found that the localization of proteins to microtubules is not only determined by the specificity of the cargo but also by the protein itself. For instance, the tumor suppressor Ras association domain–family protein 1A preferentially associates with perinuclear microtubules (Arnette et al., 2014). In neurons, the microtubules in dendrites and axons are differentially decorated with MAP2 and tau (Caceres et al., 1984; Binder et al., 1985; Papasozomenos et al., 1985). Unlike the membrane of organelles, microtubules are formed of large (8 nm), periodically repeating units. Aside from the specific targeting, it is important to understand how these proteins are retained in their specific locations during cell division. In this study, we examined the distribution of proteins during mitosis and how these proteins are affected by the loss of microtubule-binding proteins.

We found that the localization of proteins to microtubules is not only determined by the specificity of the cargo but also by the protein itself. For instance, the tumor suppressor Ras association domain–family protein 1A preferentially associates with perinuclear microtubules (Arnette et al., 2014). In neurons, the microtubules in dendrites and axons are differentially decorated with MAP2 and tau (Caceres et al., 1984; Binder et al., 1985; Papasozomenos et al., 1985). Unlike the membrane of organelles, microtubules are formed of large (8 nm), periodically repeating units. Aside from the specific targeting, it is important to understand how these proteins are retained in their specific locations during cell division. In this study, we examined the distribution of proteins during mitosis and how these proteins are affected by the loss of microtubule-binding proteins.

We found that the localization of proteins to microtubules is not only determined by the specificity of the cargo but also by the protein itself. For instance, the tumor suppressor Ras association domain–family protein 1A preferentially associates with perinuclear microtubules (Arnette et al., 2014). In neurons, the microtubules in dendrites and axons are differentially decorated with MAP2 and tau (Caceres et al., 1984; Binder et al., 1985; Papasozomenos et al., 1985). Unlike the membrane of organelles, microtubules are formed of large (8 nm), periodically repeating units. Aside from the specific targeting, it is important to understand how these proteins are retained in their specific locations during cell division. In this study, we examined the distribution of proteins during mitosis and how these proteins are affected by the loss of microtubule-binding proteins.

We found that the localization of proteins to microtubules is not only determined by the specificity of the cargo but also by the protein itself. For instance, the tumor suppressor Ras association domain–family protein 1A preferentially associates with perinuclear microtubules (Arnette et al., 2014). In neurons, the microtubules in dendrites and axons are differentially decorated with MAP2 and tau (Caceres et al., 1984; Binder et al., 1985; Papasozomenos et al., 1985). Unlike the membrane of organelles, microtubules are formed of large (8 nm), periodically repeating units. Aside from the specific targeting, it is important to understand how these proteins are retained in their specific locations during cell division. In this study, we examined the distribution of proteins during mitosis and how these proteins are affected by the loss of microtubule-binding proteins.
show that daughter cortical microtubules are differentially coated with associated proteins from a very early stage (Figure 3). Of interest, the mechanism for specific targeting appears to be complex even for the seemingly simple localization pattern of TLAP3, a protein targeted to a short apical section of the cortical microtubules. Because TLAP3 is capable of associating with the full length of cortical microtubules when ectopically expressed from a constitutive promoter (Figure 5), the binding sites for TLAP3 cannot be limited to the apical section of the cortical microtubules. This also indicates that the localization of a coating protein can be affected by the timing and level of its expression. However, modulation of protein expression alone cannot explain the specific targeting of TLAP3, because in the mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3 knock-in parasites, although mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3 irreversibly “paints” the forming cortical microtubules in daughters from an early stage (Figures 3 and 6), it continues to be incorporated into the apical region after the microtubules have extended beyond the region (Figure 6B). In other words, TLAP3 is somehow prevented from binding to the section distal to the cap region. It is possible that, when expressed from the native promoter, TLAP3 is competed off by other coating proteins associating with the distal section, but this competition can be

T. gondii is a powerful system for understanding how specific targeting to cytoskeletal polymers is achieved, since the pattern of decoration on cortical microtubules is not only defined but also is reproducible from cell to cell and generation to generation (Figures 2 and 3). Furthermore, during replication, daughter microtubules are made de novo, whereas those of the mother remain present. Thus old and new microtubules coexist in the same cell and are readily distinguishable from each other. The tractability of this system makes it possible to delineate biophysical constraints for the biogenesis of a new set of cytoskeletal structures. In this work, we
FIGURE 7: The coating proteins display different microtubule-binding properties. (A) Deconvolved wide-field images of HFF cells expressing mEmeraldFP-tagged TLAP2, TrxL1, TLAP3, or TLAP4, showing that only mEmeraldFP-TLAP2 is localized to microtubule-like fibers. (B) Deconvolved wide-field images of a HeLa cell line stably expressing mCherryFP-tubulin (red) transfected with a plasmid expressing mEmeraldFP-TLAP2. Arrows indicate an mEmeraldFP-TLAP2-containing bundle in which the mCherryFP-tubulin signal is much stronger than that in adjacent, untransfected cells. This cell line also stably expresses eGFP-Histone2B, not seen in the image because the eGFP-Histone2B signal is much weaker than that of mEmeraldFP-TLAP2. The inset (1×) in the middle panel is contrast enhanced to display the mCherryFP-tubulin signal in an adjacent, untransfected cell. Green, mEmeraldFP-TLAP2. Red, mCherryFP-tubulin. (C) Deconvolved wide-field images of mEmeraldFP-TLAP2 (green) expression in Vero cells labeled with mouse anti-α- and β-tubulin antibodies (red), showing that the tubulin antibody labeling in a cell expressing mEmeraldFP-TLAP2 is dramatically attenuated compared with untransfected cells, likely due to the blockage of epitopes in the microtubules by the mEmeraldFP-TLAP2 coating. Green, mEmeraldFP-TLAP2. Red, anti-tubulin. (D) SDS–PAGE analysis of a preparation of recombinant FLAG-TLAP2 protein (asterisk) purified from bacterial lysate. The gel was stained by colloidal Coomassie blue. M, molecular weight marker (kilodaltons). (E) Dark-field images of in vitro–polymerized microtubules equivalent to 0.5 μM total tubulin dimer incubated with 0.25 μM purified FLAG-TLAP2 protein (left) or buffer control (right). The conditions for acquisition and display are identical for the two images. The inset (1×) in the right panel is contrast enhanced to display the weak signal in the control reaction.
overcome by high-level constitutive expression. In the Δtlap2, Δspm1, and Δtlap2Δspm1 mutants, TLAP3 is localized to the cap region, indicating that SPM1 and TLAP2 are not the "masking" proteins, if such exist. It also suggests that if the masking mechanism does exist, it is selective and not simply nonspecific crowding. It will be interesting to know what protein(s) or other mechanism(s) restrict the localization of TLAP3, whether the masking is mutual, and what the role of competitive binding is in determining the localization of other coating proteins. In addition to TLAP3, three other coating proteins also decorate cortical microtubules in a segmental pattern: TLAP2 associates with the polymers in two distinct sections (Figure 2), SPM2 associates with the middle section of the polymers (Tran et al., 2012), and a novel kinesin (TGGT1_273560; ToxoDB.org) coats the distal approximately two-thirds of the cortical microtubules (unpublished data). Together these proteins constitute a rich set of probes for exploring the mechanism of specific targeting to microtubules.

Although in the case of TLAP3, the time window of the availability of the coating protein and that for the formation of the region of the polymer to which it binds do not completely overlap, the linear growth of a polymer is still likely to play an important role in establishing differential decoration by the coating proteins, because each section of the polymer can be distinguished from one another simply due to a difference in the timing of construction. This, together with coordinated temporal regulation of the abundance and affinity of coating proteins during polymerization, allows for sophisticated, arrowhead indicates the conoid. Insets: 2x.

(C) Projections of 3D-SIM images of a mass of eGFP-Tgi2-tubulin transgenic parasite transiently expressing mAppleFP-TLAP2, in which the organization of the microtubule cytoskeleton and the morphology of the parasite are dramatically altered. The arrowhead indicates the conoid.

(D) Projections of deconvolved wide-field images of parasites transiently expressing mEmeraldFP-TLAP2 (green) and labeled with a rabbit anti-IMC1 antibody (red), which marks the cortex of the parasite. Multiple fibers containing mEmeraldFP-TLAP2 form in the cytoplasm, and the shape of the parasite mass (~14 μm × 16 μm) is severely distorted. The anti-IMC1 labeling highlights irregular extensions close to the periphery of the parasite. The inset (1x) shows a surface section in the region indicated by the frame.
differential decoration along the polymer, which in turn provides the structural basis for functional differentiation. The same principle applies if posttranslational modifications of tubulins dictate the interaction between coating proteins and microtubules for differential decoration. In this case, the modifying enzymes need to act during but not after polymerization to establish the initial specific pattern of modification.

The function of the coating proteins and functional redundancy among them

*T. gondii* has evolved a complex coating on the cortical microtubules in terms of both the decoration pattern and the protein composition. Most of these proteins are found in both the Coccidia subgroup and *Plasmodium* spp., and several (TLAP2, SPM1, TrxL1) are found in members of the phylum Chromerida, photosynthetic relatives of the apicomplexan parasites (Figure 11). This suggests that these microtubule coatings were present early during the evolution of these ancient parasites, and many continue to perform important functions. Our work demonstrates that three of these proteins together, SPM1, TLAP2, and TLAP3, protect the stability of the cortical microtubules in a region-dependent manner. When SPM1 and TLAP2 are both removed, the region of cortical microtubules distal to the TLAP3 cap becomes less stable. The removal of TLAP3 in the Δtlap2Δspm1 parasite results in destabilization of microtubules in the apical cap and a significant reduction in parasite fitness. However, elimination of TLAP3 alone does not affect the stability of cortical microtubules, perhaps because SPM1 and TLAP2 play a protective role and prevent depolymerization from occurring at distal ends. It is also possible that SPM1, which coats the entire length of the cortical microtubules, has redundant stabilization effects in the cap region. Under the conditions that cause destabilization of the mother cortical microtubules in the Δtlap2Δspm1 and Δtlap2Δspm1Δtlap3 mutant parasites, cortical microtubules are still present in the daughters. This suggests that other coating proteins or protective mechanisms remain functional in the microtubule cytoskeleton of the daughters in these genetic backgrounds. Potential candidates include SPM2 (Tran et al., 2012), TLAP1 (Liu et al., 2013), and TLAP4. Although the function of these other coating proteins needs to be explored, mutants generated in this work have opened up new avenues to explore the biogenesis and function of the cortical microtubules. For example, in combination with live probes for microtubules, these mutants will allow us to define precise conditions that destabilize the cortical microtubules in live parasites and address several long-standing questions. Do cortical microtubules, organized into a left-handed spiral, dictate the left-handed helical movement of apicomplexan parasites? Do they maintain the shape of a mature parasite? Our findings also generate new puzzles. For instance, if these coating proteins are simply used to protect the stability of the cortical microtubules, then why does the parasite go to great lengths to generate such an elaborate pattern of segmental decoration? A set of transmembrane proteins in the IMC forms a two-dimensional lattice with a 32-nm longitudinal repeat that is also present in the underlying cortical microtubules, suggesting that these structures are closely coupled (Morrissette et al., 1997). This raises the interesting possibility that segmentation of the protein coat on cortical microtubules dictates that of the membrane cortex. The segmentation of the IMC was first demonstrated structurally in *Eimeria*, a close relative of *T. gondii* (Dubremetz and Torpier, 1978), and then molecularly with the discovery of TgCentrin2 (Hu et al., 2006) and the ISP proteins in *T. gondii* (Beck et al., 2010; Fung et al., 2012). Consistent with the idea that the segmentation of the IMC and the coating of the cortical microtubules might be coupled, we discovered a novel kinesin (TGGT1_273560; ToxoDB.org) that coats the distal section of the cortical microtubules bordering the basal edge of the ISP1 cap (unpublished data). Although the sections of the cortical microtubules that TLAP2 and TLAP3 highlight do not completely align with markers for known IMC subcompartments, it is possible that there are other, unidentified subregions in the IMC. Future ultrastructural studies of mutants lacking one or more coating proteins will be critical to define the influence of the cortical microtubules on the architecture of the membrane cortex.

The extent of functional redundancy among the coating proteins is remarkable. For instance, both TLAP2 and SPM1 are conserved among apicomplexan parasites that diverged several hundred million years ago (Figure 11; Tran et al., 2012). In addition, TLAP2 has a defined and elaborate pattern of localization on the cortical microtubules, displays potent microtubule-bundling activity in vitro, and alters the organization of the microtubule cytoskeleton when heterologously expressed in mammalian cells and ecologically expressed in *T. gondii*. However, each of the coating proteins by itself is fully dispensable for parasite growth. Even a double-knockout mutant of *tlap2* and *spm1* grows robustly, although the cortical microtubules become more susceptible to depolymerization. It is puzzling why *T. gondii* keeps both SPM1 and TLAP2 or why *Plasmodium* spp. maintain two copies of TLAP2 in addition to SPM1. It is possible that these proteins are required for stages of the parasite other than the asexual form examined in this work. For example, both PFTLAP2-a and PFTLAP2-b are most highly transcribed in the ookinete stage (PlasmoDB.org), during which the microtubule cytoskeleton undergoes dramatic reorganization as the parasite develops from a zygote into an oocyst (*Aikawa et al., 1984; Kumar et al., 1985*). It is also a stage when parasites grow in the mosquito host and might require more stable microtubules, as the environmental temperature is no longer constantly 37°C. Another possibility is that the surface of the microtubule offers considerable structural flexibility to allow multilevel redundancy to evolve. Given the critical role of the cortical microtubules in generating functional daughter cells (Stokkermans et al., 1996; Shaw et al., 2000; Morrissette and Sibley, 2002b; Morrissette et al., 2004; Fichera et al., 2003; Hu et al., 2006; Hu, 2008), it is reasonable for the parasite to have built-in redundancy to ensure that this key structural framework remains functional in case one mechanism fails. This underlies the need for genome-wide searches for genes that act synergistically, which is now a tractable proposal since clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)-Cas9-based screens have been established for *T. gondii* (Sebastien Lourido, personal communication).

Coordination between the assembly of the cortical microtubules and mitotic spindle

During the replication of apicomplexan parasites, the nuclear envelope remains intact. Coordination between the construction of the cortical microtubules in the cytoplasm and the assembly of the mitotic spindle in the nucleus is essential for building a functional daughter.

In this work, we observed that the spindle originates from a spot located at the base of the nucleus, although the later phase of spindle and daughter assembly occurs close to the apical side of the nucleus (Supplemental Video S2). Spindle assembly is contemporaneous with the initiation of the cortical microtubules of the daughters, synchronizing chromosome segregation and daughter formation. It was shown previously that knockdown of SFAs—components of fibers that connect centrioles with the apical end of the
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daughter cytoskeleton—inhibits daughter formation but not nuclear division (Francia et al., 2012). It will be interesting to examine how the spindle behaves in SFA-depleted parasites, which may reveal the influence of daughter formation on the dynamics of spindle assembly and growth.

In \( \text{ptub-mEmeraldFP-TLAP4} \) transgenic parasites, the length of TLAP4 labeling of the spindle shortens poleward at late stages of replication, whereas the cortical microtubules continue to elongate. The shortening of TLAP4 decoration on the spindle may coincide with the poleward depolymerization of the spindle. However, it is also possible that dissociation of TLAP4 from the spindle precedes the depolymerization of the spindle microtubules. In either case, this shows that at this stage, polymerization of tubulin on the cortical microtubules is occurring at the same time as depolymerization of microtubules in the spindle. It will be interesting to determine whether and how cell cycle–dependent flux of materials between the cytoplasm and the nucleus—especially the trafficking of tubulins and microtubule-associated proteins—cooperates the behavior of these two sets of microtubules. The \( \text{ptub-mEmeraldFP-TLAP4} \) transgenic line will be a useful tool for systematically identifying the components of the \( T. gondii \) spindle, which is critical for elucidating biochemical and biophysical differences between the spindle and cortical microtubules.

In summary, precise spatial and temporal regulation of proteins that associate with microtubules is critical for the biogenesis and function of microtubule-containing apparatuses. The regularity of the \( T. gondii \) cortical microtubule array and the tractability of its protein coat confer unique advantages for gaining mechanistic insights and developing a more detailed understanding of this process. In addition, simultaneous visualization of mitotic spindle and cortical microtubules in live cells opens the door to understanding how the assembly of cytoskeletal structures in the nucleus and the cytoplasm are coordinated and independently regulated.

---

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**T. gondii cultures and transfection**

The maintenance of \( T. gondii \) cultures and transfections were carried out as previously described (Liu et al., 2013).

**Mammalian cell cultures and transfection**

The maintenance of mammalian cell cultures was carried out as previously described (Liu et al., 2013). The \( mCherryFP-Tubulin:eGFP-Histone2B \) HeLa cell line was a kind gift from Claire Walczak (Indiana University, Bloomington, IN). For transfection of HFF, HeLa, or vero cells, JetPEI transfection reagent (101-05; PolyPlus Transfection, Illkirch, France) was used with the conditions recommended by the manufacturer and described in Liu et al. (2013).

**Mouse infection experiments**

The 4- to 6-wk-old female BALB/c mice were purchased from Harlan Laboratories (Indianapolis, IN) and housed at the Indiana University School of Medicine animal facility in accordance with Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines for animal care. Intracellular parasites were harvested from an HFF monolayer using syringe passage, filtered, and resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Mice were infected via intraperitoneal injection with 500 tachyzoites in a volume of 100 \( \mu \)l of PBS. A portion of the inoculum was used in a plaque assay and confirmed that equal numbers of parasites were injected into mice. Infected mice were monitored twice daily, and the percentage surviving was recorded.

**Generating the list of TLAP2 homologues and phylogenetic analysis**

The C-terminal region of TgTLAP2 (181–456 aa in TGGT1_232130) was used to query the annotated protein databases for apicomplexan parasites and chromerids at EuPathDB.org (Release 25, July 23, 2015) using the BLASTP program and expected (E) value 2 as a cut-off.
FIGURE 10: The coating proteins protect the stability of cortical microtubules as an ensemble. (A) Deconvolved wide-field images of cold-treated parental RHΔhx parasites and various coating protein–knockout mutants labeled with a rabbit anti–Tgβ-tubulin antibody (Morrissette and Sibley, 2002b). Intracellular parasites were incubated at 8°C for 3.5 h before processing for immunofluorescence. In the Δtlap2Δspm1Δtlap3-TLAP3 rescue parasites, mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3 expression was driven by the 2-kb genomic region immediately upstream of tlap3 in the plasmid pTKO2_II_mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3. Insets, 2×. Arrows indicate residual cortical labeling by the anti–Tgβ-tubulin antibody in the
The parasites were cultured under standard culture conditions (i.e., with no cold treatment) before processing for immunofluorescence. (B) Interphase parasites. (C) Parasites with forming daughters (arrows, mitotic spindle; green, anti-ISP1; red, anti-Tgβ-tubulin).

**FIGURE 11:** Distribution of microtubule-coating proteins in apicomplexan parasites and their photosynthetic relatives. The presence (filled circle) or absence (open circle) of predicted homologues for proteins associated with the cortical microtubules in *T. gondii* in representative members of the phylum Apicomplexa with available genome sequences and its two photosynthetic relatives, *C. velia* and *Vitrella brassicaformis*. Gray circles, predicted proteins whose sequence appears truncated or duplicated due to incomplete assembly. Full-length *T. gondii* homologues were used for the initial BLAST search against the predicted protein databases (eupathdb.org/eupathdb/). E-value cutoff, 0.005. For TLAP3 and SPM2, several divergent Plasmodium homologues were not captured in the initial BLAST search but were confirmed by using the sequences for more conserved Plasmodium homologues as the query. For TrxL1 and TrxL2, due to the conserved nature of the thioredoxin-like fold, only hits with E-value <1e-18 were considered, and hits with E-value between 1e-30 and 1e-18 were manually curated to classify as TrxL1 or TrxL2 homologues.

---

**Plasmid construction**

All PCR primers, synthesized fragments, and restriction enzymes used for subcloning are listed in Supplemental Table S1, parts 1 and 2. All PCR-generated fragments used for subcloning were verified by sequencing.

**T. gondii** expression plasmids

ptub-mEmeraldFP-TLAP2, ptub-mEmeraldFP-TLAP3, and ptub-mEmeraldFP-TLAP4: The coding sequences (CDSs) of TLAP2, TLAP3, or TLAP4 without the start codon were individually amplified from a cDNA library isolated from RH parasites by PCR to generate products with appropriate restriction sites at each end. Amplified TLAP2 CDS was digested with BgIII-AfIII, and TLAP3 and TLAP4 CDSs were digested with BgIII-RsrII and subcloned into the corresponding sites on the ptub-mEmeraldFP-TgTrxL1_50-178aa backbone (Liu et al., 2013).

ptub-mEmeraldFP-PTLAP2-a: The CDS of PTTLAP2-a gene, which has no introns, was amplified from 3D7A *P. falciparum* genomic DNA (MRA-151G; MR4, Manassas, VA) by PCR to generate a product without the start codon and with BgIII-AfIII sites at the 5′ and 3′ ends, respectively. The amplified CDS was digested with BgIII-AfIII and subcloned into the same sites on the ptub-mEmeraldFP-TgTrxL1_50-178aa backbone (Liu et al., 2013).

ptub-mAppleFP-TLAP2: mAppleFP CDS was amplified using the mAppleFP-C1 plasmid (Kremers et al., 2009) as the template by PCR to generate a product with mAppleFP CDS followed by the coding sequence for mEmeraldFP. PCR to generate a product with mAppleFP CDS followed by the coding sequence for a GHGTG linker and Nhel and BgIII sites at the 5′ and 3′ ends, respectively. The PCR product was digested with Nhel-BgIII and subcloned into the same sites on ptub-mEmeraldFP-TLAP2 to replace the coding sequence for mEmeraldFP.

ptub-mAppleFP-TLAP4: A DNA fragment encoding mAppleFP and a GHGTG-STGTSRSSS linker was released from plasmid ptub-mAppleFP-TLAP2 using Nhel-BgIII digestion and subcloned into the Nhel-BgIII sites on ptub-mEmeraldFP-TLAP4 to replace the coding sequence for mEmeraldFP.

pTKO2-II-mEmeraldFP-TLAP2: The ∼2-kb fragments immediately upstream or downstream of the tlap2 locus were amplified by PCR using genomic DNA isolated from RH parasites as the template, and the mEmeraldFP-TLAP2 fusion gene was amplified by PCR using ptub-mEmeraldFP-TLAP2 as the template while adding the cutoff. Thirty-three TLAP2 homologues were identified. They were aligned using the T-Coffee-WS program accessed through Jalview (version 2.8.1; www.jalview.org) with default parameters to delineate the homologous regions. Multiple alignment of the identified homologous domains was reiterated using T-Coffee WS with default parameters. The phylogenetic tree was then calculated using a neighbor-joining algorithm based on percentage identity by Jalview and displayed using the FigTree program (tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree).
the tlap2 Kozak sequence at the 5′ end. The upstream and downstream genomic sequences and Kozak-mEmeraldFP-TLAP2 fusion gene were successively subcloned into the NotI-XhoI, HindIII-Nhel, and AsIIS-RsrII sites on pTKO2-Il-mCherryFP (Liu et al., 2013) plasmid backbone, respectively.

pTKO2-II-mEmeraldFP-TLAP3: The ∼2-kb fragments immediately upstream or downstream of the tlap3 locus were amplified by PCR using genomic DNA isolated from RH parasites as the template, and the mEmeraldFP-TLAP3 fusion gene was amplified by PCR using ptub-mEmeraldFP-TLAP3 as the template to generate a product with the Kozak sequence of tlap3 at the 5′ end. The fragments for the upstream and downstream genomic sequences, and Kozak-mEmeraldFP-TLAP3 fusion gene were successively subcloned into the NotI-XhoI, Hpal-PspOMI, and Pmel-RsrII sites on the pTKO2-II-mCherryFP plasmid backbone, respectively.

pTKO2-II-mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3: A DNA fragment coding for mNeonGreenFP, SGL5S linker, and the N-terminal part of TLP3 up to a SgrDI site was synthesized (GenScript, Piscataway, NJ; Supplementary Table S1), digested with Pmel and SgrDI, and subcloned into the Pmel-SgrDI sites on pTKO2-II-mEmeraldFP-TLAP3 to replace the coding sequence for mEmeraldFP with that for mNeonGreenFP.

pTKO2-II-mEmeraldFP-SPM1: The ∼2-kb fragments immediately upstream or downstream of the spm1 locus were amplified by PCR using genomic DNA isolated from RH parasites as the template, and SPM1 CDS was amplified by PCR using a RNA parasite cDNA library to generate a product with the Kozak sequence of spm1 at the 5′ end. The downstream and upstream genomic sequences and Kozak-SPM1 fragments were successively subcloned into the Apal-Nhel, NotI-EcoRV, and BglII-RsrII sites on the pTKO2-II-mCherryFP plasmid backbone, respectively. This produced an intermediate plasmid pTKO2-II-SPM1. Subsequently a DNA fragment containing the coding sequences for mEmeraldFP, SGL5S linker, and the N-terminus region of SPM1 was synthesized (GenScript; Supplementary Table S1), digested with BglII and Xmal, and subcloned into the BglII-Xmal sites on pTKO2-II-SPM1 to produce pTKO2-II-mEmeraldFP-SPM1 in which the coding sequence for mEmeraldFP was placed N-terminal to the SPM1 CDS.

pmin-Cre-eGFP_Gra-mCherry: A Cre-eGFP expression cassette including a dhr promoter and the dhr 3′ untranslated region was released from the plasmid pmin-Cre-eGFP (Heaslip et al., 2010) by Apal-NotI digestion and subcloned into the Apal-NotI site on pTKO2-II-mCherryFP to replace the DHFR expression cassette.

pmin-mEmeraldFP-TgCentrin1: The CDS of TgCentrin1 (TGGT1_247230; EuPathDB.org) was amplified by PCR using a cDNA library isolated from RH parasites as the template. The product was digested with BglII-AflII and subcloned into the BglII-AflII sites on a pmin-mEmeraldFP plasmid backbone, which was first produced by using the coding sequence for mEmeraldFP to replace that for mCherryFP in pmin-mCherryFP-TgMORN1 (Hu, 2008).

Bacterial expression plasmids

pET22b(+)-FLAG-TLP2 and pET22b(+)-FLAG-TLP2_181-456aa: DNA fragments encoding full-length TLP2 minus the start codon or TLP2_181-456aa were amplified by PCR using ptub-mEmeraldFP-TLAP2 as the template, digested with Nhel and NotI, and subcloned into the Nhel-NotI sites on a pET22b(+)-FLAG plasmid backbone as described previously (Liu et al., 2013).

Mammalian cell expression plasmids

pC22-mEmeraldFP-TLAP2: A DNA fragment encoding mEmeraldFP-TLAP2 was released from ptub-mEmeraldFP-TLAP2 by Nhel-AflII digestion and subcloned into the Nhel-AflII sites on pC22-EGFP-TrxL1 (Liu et al., 2013) to replace eGFP-TrxL1.

pC22-mEmeraldFP-TLAP3: A DNA fragment encoding mEmeraldFP-TLAP3 was released from ptub-mEmeraldFP-TLAP3 by Nhel-AflII digestion and subcloned into the Nhel-AflII sites on pC22-eGFP-TrxL1 (Liu et al., 2013) to replace eGFP-TrxL1.

pC22-mEmeraldFP-TLAP4: The TLAP4 CDS without the start codon was amplified by PCR using ptub-mEmeraldFP-TLAP4 as the template to generate a product with BglII and Apal sites at the 5′ and 3′ ends, respectively. The PCR product was digested with BglII and Apal and subcloned in the BglII-Apal sites flanking the TLAP2 CDS fragment on pC22-mEmeraldFP-TLAP2 to replace the TLAP2 CDS fragment.

Generation of transgenic, knock-in, and knockout parasites

mEmeraldFP-TLP2 knock-in parasite: RHΔhx parasites, ∼1×10⁷, were electroporated with 30 μg of pTKO2-II-mEmeraldFP-TLP2 plasmid linearized with NotI, selected with 25 μg/ml mycophenolic acid and 50 μg/ml xanthine for two passages, and cloned by FAC5 for mEmeraldFP(+)/mCherryFP(−) parasites. All clones were confirmed with diagnostic genomic PCRs as previously described (Liu et al., 2013), and three of them were further verified by Southern blotting. One of the verified clones was used in imaging and the subsequent generation of Δtlap3 parasites.

mNeonGreenFP-TLP3 knock-in parasite: RHΔkuΔhx parasites, ∼1×10⁷ (Fox et al., 2009; Huyhn and Carruthers, 2009; a kind gift from Vern Carruthers, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI), were electroporated with 40 μg of pTKO2-II-mNeonGreenFP-TLP3 plasmid linearized with SapI, selected with 25 μg/ml mycophenolic acid and 50 μg/ml xanthine, and cloned by FAC5 for mNeonGreenFP(+)/mCherryFP(−) parasites. Three clones were confirmed with diagnostic genomic PCRs as previously described (Liu et al., 2013), and one of them was further verified by Southern blotting. One of the verified clones was used in imaging and the subsequent generation of Δtlap3 parasites.

ptub-mEmeraldFP-TLP4 transgenic parasite: RHΔhx parasites, ∼1×10⁷, were electroporated with 30 μg of ptub-mEmeraldFP-TLP4 plasmid, selected with 20 μM chloramphenicol, and cloned by FAC5. One clone was used exclusively in this study.

ptub-mEmeraldFP-TLP4:pmin-mTagRFP-TgMORN1 transgenic parasite: ptub-mEmeraldFP-TLP4 parasites, ∼1×10⁷, were electroporated with a mixture of 20 μg of pmin-mTagRFP-TgMORN1 and 20 μg of pC3 (for expressing a pyrimethamine-resistant DHFR allele; Donald and Roos, 1993) plasmids together and selected with 1 μM pyrimethamine.

Δtlap2 parasite: mEmeraldFP-TLP2 knock-in parasites, ∼1×10⁷, were electroporated with 20 μg of pmin-Cre-eGFP_Gra-mCherry, selected with 80 μg/ml 6-thioxanthine for two passages, and cloned by FAC5 for mEmeraldFP(+)/mCherryFP(−) parasites in which the mEmeraldFP-TLP2 coding region and the HXGPRT cassette were excised. The transient mCherryFP expression from pmin-Cre-eGFP_Gra-mCherry was used to distinguish live parasites from cell debris. All clones were confirmed with diagnostic genomic PCRs as previously described (Liu et al., 2013), and two of them were further verified by Southern blotting. One of the verified clones was used in analysis and the subsequent generation of Δtlap2ΔSpn1 parasites.

Δtlap3 parasite: mNeonGreenFP-FP-TLP3 knock-in parasites, ∼1×10⁷, were electroporated with 20 μg of pmin-Cre-eGFP_Gra-mCherry, selected with 80 μg/ml 6-thioxanthine, and cloned by FAC5 as described. One clone was confirmed with diagnostic genomic PCRs as previously described (Liu et al., 2013) and further verified by Southern blotting.
Δtlap2:mEmeraldFP-SPM1 knock-in parasite: Δtlap2 parasites, ∼1 x 10^7, were electroporated with 20 μg of pTKO2-II-mEmeraldFP-SPM1 plasmid linearized with NotI, selected with 25 μg/ml mycophenolic acid and 50 μg/ml xanthine until parasites were resistant to the drug, and cloned by FACS for mEmeraldFP(+)/mCherryFP(-) parasites. Two clones were confirmed with diagnostic genomic PCRs as previously described (Liu et al., 2013). One of them was further verified by Southern blotting and used in analysis and the subsequent generation of Δtlap2Δspm1 parasites.

Δtlap2Δspm1 parasite: Δtlap2:mEmeraldFP-SPM1 knock-in parasites, ∼1 x 10^7, were electroporated with 20 μg of pmin-Cre-eGFP_Gra-mCherry, selected with 80 μg/ml 6-thioxanthine for two passages until parasites were resistant to the drug, and cloned by limited dilution in 96-well plates. Four clones were confirmed with diagnostic genomic PCRs as previously described (Liu et al., 2013). One of them was further verified by Southern blotting and used in subsequent analysis and the generation of Δtlap2Δspm1Δtlap3 parasites.

Δtlap2Δspm1Δtlap3 parasite: Δtlap2Δspm1:mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3 knock-in parasites: ∼1 x 10^7, were electroporated with 20 μg of pTKO2-II-mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3 plasmid, selected with 25 μg/ml mycophenolic acid and 50 μg/ml xanthine for three passages, and cloned by FACS for mNeonGreenFP(+)/mCherryFP(-) parasites. Two clones were confirmed with diagnostic genomic PCRs as previously described (Liu et al., 2013). One of them was further verified by Southern blotting and used in analysis and the subsequent generation of Δtlap2Δspm1Δtlap3 parasites. For the Δtlap2Δspm1Δtlap3 parasites, genomic DNA was digested with SaeI (from plasmid pmin-Cre-eGFP_Gra-mCherry, selected with 20 μg/ml 6-thioxanthine for two passages, and cloned by limited dilution in 96-well plates. Three clones were confirmed with diagnostic genomic PCRs as previously described (Liu et al., 2013). One of them was further verified by Southern blotting and used in subsequent analysis in analysis and the subsequent generation of Δtlap2Δspm1Δtlap3 parasites.

Southern blotting
Southern blotting was conducted as previously described (Liu et al., 2013) and detected using the Photostar-Top detection kit (N7020; New England BioLabs). All probes were synthesized using the NEBlot Phototop kit (N7550; New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA). PCR primers and templates, and restriction fragments used for generating probes for Southern blotting analyses are listed in Supplemental Table S1, parts 3 and 4.

FACS and parasite cloning
FACS was carried out using an Ariall flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) at the flow cytometry core facility at Indiana University (Bloomington, IN). For cloning, three parasites with desired fluorescence profile were sorted per well of a 96-well plate containing a monolayer of HFF host cells. Wells containing single plaques were screened 7 days after sorting.

Immunofluorescence
All immunofluorescence labeling steps were performed at room temperature unless otherwise indicated.

Cold treatment of T. gondii for testing microtubule stability
Cultures of intracellular parasites growing in HFF cells in 3.5-cm glass-bottom dishes (P35G-1.5-20-C; MatTek, Ashland, MA) were incubated at 8°C for 3.5 h in CO2-independent medium (Hu et al., 2006; RR060058; Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 1% (vol/vol) heat-inactivated cosmic calf serum (CCS; SH30087.3; Hyclone, Logan, UT), GlutaMAX (35050-061; Life Technologies), sodium pyruvate (11360; Life Technologies), and antibiotics (30-004-CI; Corning, Oneonta, NY). The samples were then processed for immunofluorescence as described next.

For intracellular parasites, T. gondii-infected HFF monolayers growing in a 3.5-cm glass-bottom dish were fixed in 3.7% (vol/vol) formaldehyde in PBS for 15 min, permeabilized in 0.5% (vol/vol) Triton X-100 (TX-100) in PBS for 15 min, and blocked in 1% (wt/vol) bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS for ∼30 min, followed by antibody labeling (see later description). Dishes were incubated in primary antibodies for ∼0.5–1 h and then in secondary antibodies for ∼0.5–1 h unless otherwise noted. Primary antibodies and dilutions used were as follows: rabbit anti-IMC1, 1:1000 (a kind gift from Con Beckers, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC; Mann and Beckers, 2001); mouse anti-ISP1 1:1000 (a kind gift from Peter Bradley, University of California, Los Angeles, CA; Beck et al., 2010); rat anti-TLAP2 1:10 (purified using anti-serum produced by Cocalico Biologicals [Reamstown, PA]); and rabbit anti-Tgβ1-tubulin (Morrisette and Sibley, 2002b), 1:1000 (incubation for 2 h at room temperature or overnight at 8°C). Secondary antibodies and dilutions used were goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG) Cy5, 1:1000 (111-175-144; Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA); goat anti-rabbit IgG Cy3, 1:1000 (111-165-144; Jackson ImmunoResearch); goat anti-mouse IgG Cy3 1:1000 (115-165-166; Jackson ImmunoResearch); and goat anti-rat IgG Alexa 568, 1:1000 (A11077, Life Technologies-Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). The nucleic acid counterstain Hoechst 33342 (H-1399; Life Technologies-Molecular Probes) was used at 4 μg/ml.

For labeling of microtubules in extracellular parasites, ∼1 x 10^7 parasites were concentrated to ∼10 μl, spotted onto clean Parafilm, and overlaid with a poly-l-lysine (P8920; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO)—coated coverslip for 30 min. The parasites were then extracted with 1% (wt/vol) sodium deoxycholate for 20 min or 0.5% (vol/vol) TX-100 for 40 min and fixed in 3.7% (vol/vol) formaldehyde in PBS.
for 15 min or methanol for 5 min. Coverslips were blocked with 1% (wt/vol) BSA in PBS for 30 min. The samples were then incubated for 60 min first in a mouse anti-α-tubulin (T6074; Sigma-Aldrich) and anti-β-tubulin (T5293; Sigma-Aldrich) mixture at 1:1000 each and subsequently in goat anti-mouse IgG Cy3 (A11031; Molecular Probes) at 1:1000.

Immunofluorescence labeling of the microtubule cytoskeleton in mammalian cells was performed as described previously (Liu et al., 2013). A mixture of mouse anti-α-tubulin (T6074; Sigma-Aldrich) and anti-β-tubulin (T5293; Sigma-Aldrich) diluted at 1:1000 each was used as the primary antibody, and goat anti-mouse IgG Cy3 (A11031; Molecular Probes) at 1:1000 was used as the secondary antibody.

Recombinant FLAG-TLAP2 and FLAG-TLAP2_181-456aa expression and purification

Production of recombinant FLAG-TLAP2 and FLAG-TLAP2_181-456aa was performed using a procedure reported previously (Liu et al., 2013). Briefly, pET22b(+)-FLAG-TLAP2 or pET22b(+)-FLAG-TLAP2_181-456aa was transformed into BL21-CodonPlus(DE3)RP strain E. coli (C30255; Stratagene, Santa Clara, CA). Protein expression was induced with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside for 16 h at 20°C. The induced E. coli culture was pelleted and lysed in lysis buffer (25 mg/ml Celllytic express [C1990; Sigma-Aldrich], 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 2.5 mM dithiothreitol [DTT], 0.5% [vol/vol] TX-100, 1% [vol/vol] glycerol, and protease inhibitors in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8). The recombinant protein was affinity purified from the lysate with M2-FLAG agarose resin (A2220; Sigma-Aldrich), eluted with 100 μg/ml FLAG peptide (F3290; Sigma-Aldrich), and concentrated with an Amicon 10k MWCO centrifugal filter (501096; Millipore, Billerica, MA). The purified protein was used fresh or stored in 40% glycerol with elution buffer at −80 or −20°C.

Antibody production and affinity purification

Polyclonal rat anti-TLAP2 serum was produced by Cocalico Biologicals. A mixture of purified FLAG-TLAP2_181-456aa recombinant protein in solution (see earlier description) and in SDS–PAGE gel slices was used as antigen.

In vitro microtubule-binding assay

Microtubules were polymerized from 16 μM tubulin (MT240; Cytokeleton, Denver, CO) in 1x KACe buffer (10 mM Tris(CH2COO), 50 mM K(CH2COO), 4 mM MgSO4, 1 mM ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid, pH 7.5) supplemented with 20 μM paclitaxel in dimethyl sulfoxide, 0.5 mM GTP, and 2 mM DTT at 37°C for 30 min. Microtubules at an equivalent concentration of 0.5 μM tubulin dimer were mixed with a buffer control or 0.25 μM FLAG-TLAP2-2-456aa recombinant protein at room temperature. The reaction was injected immediately into a micro slide chamber, which was assembled by gluing a #1 coverslip (18 × 18 mm; Matsunami, Tokyo, Japan) to a precleaned microscope slide using strips of Scotch double-sided tape (core series 2-4600; 3M, St. Paul, MN) and imaged using dark-field microscopy (see later description).

Live-cell imaging

Unless otherwise noted, for live-cell imaging, the parasites were kept in CO2-independent medium (Hu et al., 2006) supplemented with 1% (vol/vol) heat-inactivated CCS and GlutaMAX, sodium pyruvate, and antibiotic/antimycotic.

Three-dimensional structured-illumination microscopy

Three-dimensional structured-illumination microscopy (3D-SIM) image stacks were collected at z-increments of 0.125 μm using an OMX imaging station (GE Healthcare-Applied Precision, Seattle, WA). A 100× oil immersion lens (numerical aperture [NA] 1.40) and immersion oil at refractive index 1.516 or 1.518 were used. Deconvolved images were computed using the point-spread functions and software supplied by the manufacturer.

Dark-field microscopy

Dark-field illumination was arranged using the output from a 100-W Hg arc lamp filtered to remove ultraviolet and infrared wavelengths and installed on the transmitted light mount of an inverted microscope (IMT2; Olympus, Shinjuku, Japan), with a Zeiss oil immersion NA 1.2/1.4 cardiod condenser and imaging through an objective lens with NA < 1.2 (typically, a 20×/NA 0.8 Olympus DPlanApo UV). Microtubules in aqueous buffer were adsorbed to the surface of a slide coupled to the condenser with immersion oil, thus taking advantage of the evanescent field generated at the glass/water interface by the high-angle illumination. NA > 1.33, as well as the far-field illumination provided by light at lower angles (1.2 < NA < 1.33). Images were captured using a Qimaging Retiga EX camera.

Wide-field deconvolution microscopy

The 3D image stacks were collected at 35–37°C using a DeltaVision imaging station (GE Healthcare-Applied Precision) constructed on an Olympus IX-70 inverted microscope base. A 100× or 60× oil immersion lens and immersion oil at refractive index 1.518–1.524 or a 60× silicone oil immersion lens (Olympus 60× UApoN NA 1.3) was used for the imaging.

Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching

FRAP experiments were performed on a DeltaVision RT imaging system equipped with an environmental chamber held at 37°C and a 488-nm laser connected to the microscope via a 1-μm-diameter fiber optic. The collimated laser beam was introduced into the back aperture of the imaging lens (Olympus 60× UApoN NA 1.3 silicone immersion), yielding a diffraction-limited spot at the sample of 0.08–0.3 μM. A single 35-ms pulse was used for photobleaching, which was sufficient to reduce the fluorescence by 60–80%. Prebleaching and postbleaching images were collected using the photokinetics module integrated in the Applied Precision Softworx software. Images were collected with a pixel spacing of 0.11 μm. Three images were collected immediately before the bleach pulse and 3–10 at 0.5- to 15-s intervals after the pulse. To monitor longer-term recovery, movement of the parasites made it necessary to collect 3D stacks to ensure an in-focus view. Stacks of six images with a z-spacing of 0.5 μm were collected at 5- to 15-min intervals for 1–8 h after the pulse, depending on the recovery rate. After collection of the images needed to measure fluorescence recovery, the targeted parasites were imaged at infrequent intervals for 6–8 h to ensure that they were capable of proceeding normally through the next round of daughter formation and cell division.

The photobleaching rate of mNeonGreenFP-TLAP3 due entirely to the imaging illumination, without a laser pulse, was measured in independent experiments on our microscope system and found to be accurately modeled by a single-exponential decay process. Under the conditions used here, 101 s of imaging illumination was needed to reduce fluorescence of mNeonGreen-TLAP3 to one-half of its initial value. In the time-lapse experiments, the highest total cumulative imaging exposure was <90 s, and the average cumulative total was ~40 s. Fluorescence decay due to the imaging illumination was corrected in the analyses as described next.

For quantitative analysis of FRAP experiments, regions of interest (ROIs) were manually drawn around each target region (with a small...
extra margin to allow for blurring due to small amounts of defocus), several nontarget control regions, and a nearby extracellular background region, using the image analysis program FIJI (Schindelin et al., 2012; fiji.sc/FIJI). The patches of TLAP3 analyzed by FRAP in these experiments are small enough (<1 μm thick) to be quantitatively represented by measurements on a single plane, provided that the plane is within ~1 μm of optimal focus. Every ROI was manually reassigned, in the plane of optimal focus, at every time point to compensate for cell movement during the experiment.

The gray levels of all pixels within each ROI were summed to give the raw measurements. Corrections were applied to the raw measurements to compensate for variations in lamp output, using the output from the photosensor on the DeltaVision system that monitors and integrates actual illumination intensity during the nominally constant exposure times. The similarly compensated background fluorescence was then subtracted from each ROI. The ROIs were all near the center of the field of view, and variation in illumination intensity across the field of view was small enough that attempted compensation for the spatial variation (“flat-fielding”) actually caused a decrease in signal-to-noise ratio rather than an improvement (i.e., introduced more variance due to noise amplification than was removed by compensating for the small amount of spatial variation). The cumulative exposure time was tracked throughout each experiment, allowing quantitative correction for losses in fluorescence due merely to the imaging illumination, specifically for each optical section in each 3D stack at each time point. Finally, division of the corrected sum of gray levels within each ROI by the actual exposure time for the corresponding image (as monitored by the photosensor) converted these sums into true intensities (i.e., gray levels/s) so that measurements from different experiments using different nominal exposure times can be directly compared. For reference, an increment of 1 in gray level corresponds to approximately six photons captured by the camera on our system.

**Image processing**

The brightness and contrast of images used in the final figures were optimized for color printing. The gamma value for the lookup table was adjusted for images in Figures 4, 5, and 8 and Supplemental Video S2 because they contain features with a wide range of intensities. Adjustments were made such that weak signals can be displayed without saturating the strong signals. These adjustments did not eliminate any signals captured in the original image.

**Plaque assays**

Plaque assays were performed as previously described (Heaslip et al., 2010). A total of 50, 75, 100, 150, or 200 parasites was added to each well of 12-well plates with confluent HFF monolayers and left to adsorb at 37°C in 5% CO2 for 1 hour. After adsorption, the coverslips were removed from the plates, and the wells were washed four times with PBS. The wells were then filled with fresh media, and the monolayers were overlaid with 2 mL of 1% agarose in DMEM. The cultures were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2 for 3 days. The agarose was then removed, and the monolayers were stained with 0.5% trypan blue in PBS for 5 minutes. The monolayers were then washed three times with PBS, and the plaques were counted under a microscope.
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