Phenomenological test of the large $N_c$ ChPT predictions for the pseudoscalar mixing parameters
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A phenomenological analysis of various decay processes is performed in order to test the large $N_c$ Chiral Perturbation Theory predictions for the octet and singlet pseudoscalar decay constants and mixing angles. The results obtained hint at a disagreement with the expectations of this theoretical framework although the statistical significance is still limited.
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1. Introduction

The mixing pattern of the pseudoscalar decay constants associated to the $\eta$-$\eta'$ system is usually described in terms of a single mixing angle [1]. However, this is not the most general mixing scheme since two axial currents, the eight component of the octet and the singlet, can couple to the two physical states, the $\eta$ and $\eta'$. Therefore, a mixing scheme consisting of two mixing angles should be used instead of the simplest one mixing angle description. The reason for not using the two mixing angle scheme from the beginning has been the absence of a well established framework able to give some insight on the values of the two different angles and their related decay constants. Now with the advent of large $N_c$ Chiral Perturbation Theory (ChPT) [2], where the effects of the pseudoscalar singlet are treated in a perturbative way, the new two mixing angle scheme receives theoretical support. The aim of this work is to perform an updated phenomenological analysis of various decay processes using the two mixing angle description
of the $\eta$-$\eta'$ system. The analysis will serve us to check the predictions of the large $N_c$ ChPT for the pseudoscalar mixing parameters (decay constants and mixing angles).

2. Large $N_c$ ChPT predictions for the mixing parameters

The decay constants of the $\eta$-$\eta'$ system in the octet-singlet basis $f^a_P$ ($a = 8, 0; P = \eta, \eta'$) are defined as

$$\langle 0 | A^a_\mu | P(p) \rangle = i f^a_P p_\mu ,$$

where $A^{8,0}_\mu$ are the octet and singlet axial-vector currents whose divergences are

$$\partial^\mu A^8_\mu = \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}(m_u \bar{u} i\gamma_5 u + m_d \bar{d} i\gamma_5 d - 2m_s \bar{s} i\gamma_5 s) ,$$

$$\partial^\mu A^0_\mu = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(m_u \bar{u} i\gamma_5 u + m_d \bar{d} i\gamma_5 d + m_s \bar{s} i\gamma_5 s) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{3a_s}{4\pi} G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} ,$$

where $G^a_{\mu\nu}$ is the gluonic field-strength tensor and $\tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} G^a_{\alpha\beta}$ its dual. The divergence of the matrix elements (1) are then written as

$$\langle 0 | \partial^\mu A^a_\mu | P \rangle = f^a_P m^2_P ,$$

where $m_P$ is the mass of the pseudoscalar meson.

Each of the two mesons $P = \eta, \eta'$ has both octet and singlet components, $a = 8, 0$. Consequently, Eq. (1) defines four independent decay constants. Following the convention of Refs. [3, 4] the decay constants are parameterized in terms of two basic decay constants $f_8, f_0$ and two angles $\theta_8, \theta_0$

$$\begin{pmatrix} f^8_\eta \\ f^0_\eta \\ f^8_\eta' \\ f^0_\eta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_8 \cos \theta_8 & -f_0 \sin \theta_0 \\ f_8 \sin \theta_8 & f_0 \cos \theta_0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Large $N_c$ ChPT is the low energy effective theory of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) based on an extended chiral symmetry group including the effects of the $U(1)_A$ anomaly perturbatively and consisting of a simultaneous expansion in powers of momenta, quark masses and $1/N_c$ of the most general Lagrangian involving the nonet of pseudoscalar bosons (the pseudoscalar singlet is considered as the ninth Goldstone boson).

In the octet-singlet basis this theory predicts [3, 4]:

$$f^2_8 = \frac{4f^2_\pi - f^2_K}{3} , \quad f^2_0 = \frac{2f^2_\pi + f^2_K}{3} + f^2_\pi A_1 ,$$

$$f_8 f_0 \sin(\theta_8 - \theta_0) = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}(f^2_K - f^2_\pi) .$$

These expressions are valid at next-to-leading order in the large $N_c$ ChPT expansion where the octet-singlet pseudoscalar decay constants can be written in terms of the known $f_\pi$ and $f_K$ decay constants and the unknown OZI-rule violating parameter $A_1$. 
3. Experimental input

3.1. \(\eta, \eta' \to \gamma\gamma\)

We will use as constraints the experimental decay widths of \((\eta, \eta') \to \gamma\gamma\)

\[
\Gamma(\eta \to \gamma\gamma) = (0.510 \pm 0.026) \text{ keV} ,
\]
\[
\Gamma(\eta' \to \gamma\gamma) = (4.29 \pm 0.15) \text{ keV} .
\]

Analogously to the \(\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma\) case, one assumes that the interpolating fields \(\eta\) and \(\eta'\) can be related with the axial-vector currents in the following way:

\[
\eta(x) = \frac{1}{m_\rho^2} \left( f_{\rho, A}^0 A_0^\mu(x) - f_{\rho, A}^0 A_0^\mu(x) \right), \quad \eta'(x) = \frac{1}{m_{\rho'}^2} \left( f_{\rho', A'}^0 A_0^\mu(x) - f_{\rho', A'}^0 A_0^\mu(x) \right).
\]

This leads to

\[
\Gamma(\eta \to \gamma\gamma) = \frac{\alpha^2 m_\rho^2}{96\pi^3} \left( f_{\rho, A}^0 - 2\sqrt{2} f_{\rho, A}^0 \right)^2 = \frac{\alpha^2 m_\rho^2}{96\pi^3} \left( \frac{c\theta_0/f_0 - 2\sqrt{2}c\theta_0/f_0}{c\theta_0/c\theta_0 + s\theta_0/s\theta_0} \right)^2 ,
\]
\[
\Gamma(\eta' \to \gamma\gamma) = \frac{\alpha^2 m_{\rho'}^2}{96\pi^3} \left( f_{\rho', A'}^0 - 2\sqrt{2} f_{\rho', A'}^0 \right)^2 = \frac{\alpha^2 m_{\rho'}^2}{96\pi^3} \left( \frac{s\theta_0/f_0 + 2\sqrt{2}s\theta_0/f_0}{c\theta_0/c\theta_0 + s\theta_0/s\theta_0} \right)^2 .
\]

3.2. \(VP\gamma\) form factors

We will also use as constraints the radiative decays of lowest-lying vector mesons, \(V \to (\eta, \eta')\gamma\), and of the radiative decays \(\eta' \to V\gamma\), with \(V = \rho, \omega, \phi\). In order to predict their couplings we follow closely the method presented in Ref. 11 where the description of the light vector meson decays is based on their relation with the \(AVV\) triangle anomaly, \(A\) and \(V\) being an axial-vector and a vector current respectively. The approach both includes \(SU_f(3)\) breaking effects and fixes the vertex couplings \(g_{VP\gamma}\) as explained below.

In that framework, one starts considering the correlation function

\[
i \int d^4x e^{i q_1 x} \langle P(q_1 + q_2) | T J_\mu^{EM}(x) J_\nu^{VP\gamma}(0) | 0 \rangle = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} q_1^\alpha q_2^\beta F_{VP\gamma}(q_1^2, q_2^2) ,
\]

where the currents are defined as

\[
J_\mu^{EM} = \frac{2}{3} \bar{u} \gamma_\mu u - \frac{1}{3} \bar{d} \gamma_\mu d - \frac{1}{3} \bar{s} \gamma_\mu s ,
J_\mu^{\rho,\omega} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{u} \gamma_\mu u + \bar{d} \gamma_\mu d) , \quad J_\mu^{\phi} = -\bar{s} \gamma_\mu s .
\]

The form factors values \(F_{VP\gamma}(0, 0)\) are fixed by the \(AVV\) triangle anomaly (one \(V\) being an electromagnetic current), and are written in terms of the
pseudoscalar decay constants and the $\phi$-$\omega$ mixing angle $\theta_V$ as

$$F_{\rho\eta\gamma}(0,0) = \sqrt{3} \frac{f_\rho^0 - \sqrt{2} f_\eta^8}{4\pi^2 f_\eta^0 f_\eta^8 - f_\eta^8 f_\eta^8}, \quad F_{\rho\eta'\gamma}(0,0) = \sqrt{3} \frac{f_\rho^0 - \sqrt{2} f_\eta'^8}{4\pi^2 f_\eta'^0 f_\eta'^8 - f_\eta'^8 f_\eta'^8},$$

$$F_{\omega\eta\gamma}(0,0) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi^2}} \frac{(c\theta_V - s\theta_V/\sqrt{2}) f_\omega^0 - s\theta_V f_\omega^8}{f_\omega^0 f_\omega^0 f_\omega^0 f_\omega^0},$$

$$F_{\omega\eta'\gamma}(0,0) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi^2}} \frac{(c\theta_V - s\theta_V/\sqrt{2}) f_\omega^0 - s\theta_V f_\omega^8}{f_\omega^0 f_\omega^0 f_\omega^0 f_\omega^0},$$

$$F_{\phi\eta\gamma}(0,0) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi^2}} \frac{(s\theta_V + c\theta_V/\sqrt{2}) f_\phi^0 - c\theta_V f_\phi^8}{f_\phi^0 f_\phi^0 f_\phi^0 f_\phi^0},$$

$$F_{\phi\eta'\gamma}(0,0) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi^2}} \frac{(s\theta_V + c\theta_V/\sqrt{2}) f_\phi^0 - c\theta_V f_\phi^8}{f_\phi^0 f_\phi^0 f_\phi^0 f_\phi^0}.$$  (10)

Using their analytic properties, we can express these form factors by dispersion relations in the momentum of the vector current, which are then saturated with the lowest-lying resonances:

$$F_{V\rho\gamma}(0,0) = \frac{f_V}{m_V} g_{V\rho\gamma} + \cdots,$$  (11)

where the dots stand for higher resonances and multiparticle contributions to the correlation function. In the following we assume vector meson dominance (VMD) and thus neglect these contributions. The $f_V$ are the leptonic decay constants of the vector mesons and can be determined from the experimental decay rates of $V \to e^+e^-$ [5]. Finally, we introduce the vertex couplings $g_{V\rho\gamma}$, which are just the on-shell $V\cdot P$ electromagnetic form factors:

$$\langle P(p_P)|J_{\mu}^{\text{EM}}|V(p_V, \lambda)\rangle|_{(p_V-p_P)^2=0} = -g_{V\rho\gamma} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} p_P^\mu p_V^\nu \epsilon_V^{\alpha\beta}(\lambda),$$  (12)

which are measured from the decay widths of $P \to V\gamma$ and $V \to P\gamma$ [5].

Eq. (11) allows us to identify the $g_{V\rho\gamma}$ couplings defined in (12) with the form factors $F_{V\rho\gamma}(0,0)$ listed in (10). The couplings are expressed in terms of the octet and singlet mixing angles $\theta_8$ and $\theta_0$, the pseudoscalar decay constants $f_8$ and $f_0$, the $\phi$-$\omega$ mixing angle $\theta_V$, and the corresponding vector decay constants $f_V$.

4. Results

In order to test the large $N_c$ ChPT predictions for the pseudoscalar mixing parameters we must first know the values of the decay constants and mixing angles preferred by the experimental data. We have performed various fits to this set of experimental data assuming the two mixing angle...
scheme of the $\eta$-$\eta'$ system. The theoretical constraint $f_8 = 1.28f_\pi$ or $1.34f_\pi$\(^1\) is relaxed in order to test the dependence of the result on the value of this parameter. The experimental constraint $\theta_V = (38.7 \pm 0.2)^\circ$ is also relaxed to test the stability of the fit. The results are presented in Table 1.

As seen from Table 1, the $\theta_8$ and $\theta_0$ mixing angle values are different at the 3$\sigma$ level. The fit with $1.34f_\pi$ is slightly better than that with $f_8 = 1.28f_\pi$. When $f_8$ is left free the experimental data seem to prefer a value higher than the one predicted by ChPT ($f_8 = 1.28f_\pi$), while for the parameters $\theta_8, \theta_0$ and $f_0$ our values are in agreement with those of Refs. 3, 6. This increase is translated into a considerably better fit. However, the analysis of the parameter correlation coefficients reveals a strong positive correlation between $f_8$ and $\theta_0$ ($+0.547$)—the latter quantity being

\(^1\) A value of $f_8 = 1.34f_\pi$ is obtained if chiral logs and higher order contributions are also taken into account.

---

| Assumptions         | Results         | $\chi^2$/d.o.f. |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| $\theta_8$ and $\theta_0$ free | $\theta_8 = (-22.8 \pm 1.4)^\circ$ | 40.5/5          |
| $f_8 = 1.28f_\pi$   | $\theta_0 = (-5.5 \pm 2.3)^\circ$ |                |
| $\theta_V = (38.7 \pm 0.2)^\circ$ | $f_0 = (1.25 \pm 0.04)f_\pi$ |                |
| $\theta_8$ and $\theta_0$ free | $\theta_8 = (-22.8 \pm 1.4)^\circ$ | 30.0/5          |
| $f_8 = 1.34f_\pi$   | $\theta_0 = (-4.7 \pm 2.2)^\circ$ |                |
| $\theta_V = (38.7 \pm 0.2)^\circ$ | $f_0 = (1.26 \pm 0.04)f_\pi$ |                |
| $\theta_8$ and $\theta_0$ free | $\theta_8 = (-23.8 \pm 1.4)^\circ$ | 17.9/4          |
| $f_8$ free          | $\theta_0 = (-1.1 \pm 2.3)^\circ$ |                |
| $\theta_V = (38.7 \pm 0.2)^\circ$ | $f_8 = (1.51 \pm 0.05)f_\pi$ |                |
|                    | $f_0 = (1.32 \pm 0.05)f_\pi$ |                |
| $\theta_8$ and $\theta_0$ free | $\theta_8 = (-23.7 \pm 1.6)^\circ$ | 17.9/3          |
| $f_8$ free          | $\theta_0 = (-1.0 \pm 2.5)^\circ$ |                |
| $\theta_V$ free     | $f_8 = (1.51 \pm 0.05)f_\pi$ |                |
|                    | $f_0 = (1.32 \pm 0.05)f_\pi$ |                |
|                    | $\theta_V = (38.5 \pm 2.4)^\circ$ |                |

Table 1. Results for the $\eta$-$\eta'$ mixing angles and decay constants in the octet-singlet basis of the two mixing angle scheme. The fitted experimental data includes the decay widths of $(\eta, \eta') \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $V \rightarrow P\gamma$ and $P \rightarrow V\gamma$.
taken as a negative number in the present convention; in other terms, the correlation to the absolute value of \( \theta_0 \) is negative. The correlations between \( f_8 \) and \( \theta_8 \) or \( \theta_0 \) are negative and much weaker (\(-0.159\) and \(-0.100\), respectively). It is also observed that when \( f_8 \) is fixed, the remaining correlation coefficients are smaller. Finally, it is seen in Table 1 that relaxing the experimental constraint \( \theta_V = (38.7 \pm 0.2)^\circ \) does not produce any effect on the fit. A numerical prediction for all the observables used in the fits can be found in Ref. [7]. These predictions show a remarkable agreement with the experimental values.

5. Discussion about the mixing parameters

In this Section, we compare our best results for the pseudoscalar decay constants and mixing angles in the octet-singlet basis (third fit of Table 1) with the theoretical expectations of large \( N_c \) ChPT. These values are extracted from a comparison with experimental data only assuming that the pseudoscalar decay constants involved in the corresponding processes follow the parametrization given in Eq. (3). Using the experimental constrain \( f_K = 1.22 f_\pi \), one obtains [3]: \( f_8 = 1.28 f_\pi \), \( \theta_8 = -20.5^\circ \), \( f_0 \simeq 1.25 \), and \( \theta_0 \simeq -4^\circ \). As stated, our best fitted results are quite in agreement with the former values except for the case of \( f_8 \). Note however that in Ref. [3] the value of \( f_8 \) is fixed from theory while in our analysis it is fitted from a direct comparison with experimental data where a positive correlation between \( f_8 \) and \( \theta_0 \) appears. For \( f_8 = 1.34 f_\pi \) the results of the fit are in clear agreement with the predictions from large \( N_c \) ChPT even though the quality of the fit is slightly reduced. As seen from Table 1, if the constrain \( f_8 = 1.28 f_\pi \) is imposed one gets a worse fit.

Our best results for the mixing parameters can be used to check the consistency of the set of equations (4), and therefore to test the reliability on the large \( N_c \) ChPT framework. Accordingly, our fitted values for \( f_8 \) and \( f_0 \) together with the third equation in (4) can be used to get \( \theta_8 - \theta_0 = (-13.4 \pm 0.7)^\circ \) as a prediction for the difference of the two mixing angles in the octet-singlet basis. If one compares this prediction with our result \( \theta_8 - \theta_0 = (-22.7 \pm 2.6)^\circ \) a disagreement is again obtained. Using \( f_8 = 1.34 f_\pi \) and our fitted value for \( f_0 \) (second fit of Table 1) one gets \( \theta_8 - \theta_0 = (-15.8 \pm 0.5)^\circ \) to compare with our result \( \theta_8 - \theta_0 = (-18.1 \pm 2.6)^\circ \). The second equation in (4) may be used to get a prediction for the OZI-rule violating parameter \( \Lambda_1 \) once \( f_0 \) is provided. Using our value for \( f_0 \) one gets \( \Lambda_1 = 0.42 \pm 0.13 \).

The numbers obtained in the former discussion hint at a disagreement with large \( N_c \) ChPT. Some care should be taken however to qualify this statement. On the one hand, the statistical significance is still limited, but
will obviously improve as critical channels are measured more accurately. On the other hand, it is plainly clear that the critical information comes here from radiative decays of vector mesons, where we use vector meson dominance. Questions have been raised as to the consistency of vector resonances and VMD with ChPT and short distance QCD cf. e.g. [8]. This latter remark should however be moderated by the fact that our approach was carefully tested in the charged mesons sector (away from the $\eta-\eta'$ mixing problems) [1].

6. Summary and conclusions

We have performed a phenomenological analysis on various decay processes in order to test the large $N_c$ Chiral Perturbation Theory predictions for the octet and singlet pseudoscalar decay constants and mixing angles. First we have derived theoretical expressions for the radiative decays ($\eta, \eta'$) $\rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and for the $VP\gamma$ form factors using a two mixing angle scheme for the $\eta-\eta'$ system. Second we have used experimental data on these decays and couplings to fit the values of the mixing parameters in the octet-singlet basis. Finally, we have compared our best fitted mixing parameters with the expectations from large $N_c$ ChPT showing a possible discrepancy with this framework. Higher accuracy data and more refined theoretical analyses would contribute to clarify the preceding issue.
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