Learned end-to-end high-resolution lensless fiber imaging towards real-time cancer diagnosis

Jiachen Wu1,2,*, Tijue Wang1, Ortrud Uckermann3,4,9, Roberta Galli5, Gabriele Schackert3,9, Liangcai Cao2, Juergen Czarske1,6,7,8,9, & Robert Kuschmierz1,6,9*

Recent advances in label-free histology promise a new era for real-time diagnosis in neurosurgery. Deep learning using autofluorescence is promising for tumor classification without histochemical staining process. The high image resolution and minimally invasive diagnostics with negligible tissue damage is of great importance. The state of the art is raster scanning endoscopes, but the distal lens optics limits the size. Lensless fiber bundle endoscopy offers both small diameters of a few 100 microns and the suitability as single-use probes, which is beneficial in sterilization. The problem is the inherent honeycomb artifacts of coherent fiber bundles (CFB). For the first time, we demonstrate an end-to-end lensless fiber imaging with exploiting the near-field. The framework includes resolution enhancement and classification networks that use single-shot CFB images to provide both high-resolution imaging and tumor diagnosis. The well-trained resolution enhancement network not only recovers high-resolution features beyond the physical limitations of CFB, but also helps improving tumor recognition rate. Especially for glioblastoma, the resolution enhancement network helps increasing the classification accuracy from 90.8 to 95.6%. The novel technique enables histological real-time imaging with lensless fiber endoscopy and is promising for a quick and minimally invasive intraoperative treatment and cancer diagnosis in neurosurgery.

Early diagnosis of cancer is the key to improve the survival rate and cure rate of patients. Endoscopy plays an important role in the early stage of cancer diagnosis because there is great benefit in guiding biopsy extraction by histopathological examination. The procedure of biopsy requires sectioning tissues from organs, staining and observation, where pathologists exercise judgment with microscopic images of stained tissue based on their knowledge and experience (Fig. 1a). However, this takes conventionally several hours to a few days, which means, two surgeries are required, the first one for biopsy and the second for tumor resection. This prevents improvement in survival rates, especially for highly aggressive tumors. Moreover, multiple surgical resections lead to increased risk of internal bleeding. To reduce this risk and shorten time for diagnosis, a new approach providing real-time diagnosis is urgently needed. As a key element for implementing multimodal imaging under in vivo conditions, the optical fiber allows endomicroscopy to work at depth in living organisms and give live diagnostic information with minimal invasion.

Label-free nonlinear optical imaging techniques, providing a non-invasive approach for visualization of biomolecules, has proven to be a powerful tool for cancer research. With the help of deep learning, these approaches can create virtual stained image, such as in coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering (CARS), second harmonic generation (SHG), and two-photon excited auto-fluorescence (TPEF) modality, bypassing
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the standard histochemical staining process. To realize label-free imaging, the current microscopes either are coupled with standard-sized optical elements into a rigid needle endoscope with gradient index (GRIN) lenses, or use fiber miniaturized resonant device to achieve fiber-scanning. Both approaches increase equipment complexity and consequently manufacturing cost, and significantly enlarge the endoscope diameter and increase risk of tissue damage during diagnosis, limiting their clinical applications.

An alternative solution is utilizing coherent fiber bundle (CFB). CFB typically consists of thousands of cores, arranged in a honeycomb structure, with a common cladding. Each core acts as a pixel that individually transmits intensity imaged in near-field from the distal fiber end to the observer at the proximal fiber end. Various optical techniques have been successfully integrated with CFB, such as light-field imaging, and holography, micro manipulation, and two-photon imaging. The main challenge of application of CFB in clinics is that the honeycomb structure of CFB results in artifacts and limits the spatial resolution, which interfere with the identification of pathological tissue and hinders diagnosis. Therefore, elimination of honeycomb artifacts and improving resolution of CFB image is an urgent demand for label-free imaging using fiber-based endoscopy.

Conventional depixelation methods like Fourier domain filtering and interpolation can remove the honeycomb artifacts, but cannot improve resolution. Optimization methods, such as maximum a posteriori estimation and compressive sensing, could improve the imaging quality by introducing prior information, but involve a time-consuming iterative procedure. With the multi-frame method, a sequence of images is captured with displacement or rotation of the fiber to add information, however, the extra image registration increase complexity to the imaging system. Recently, deep learning has been shown to offer nonlinear fitting abilities in image regression problems. Thus, learning-based methods were applied to CFB imaging for depixelation and resolution enhancement. These works have limited sample types and numbers, however, which constrains the generalization capability to medical diagnosis.

In this paper two questions are to be solved: (1) the possibility of real-time reconstruction and resolution enhancement for fiber endoscopic images, and (2) whether the enhanced resolution helps the discrimination of tumor from healthy tissue.

For the first question, a display-CFB-sensor imaging system is set up to collect labeled CFB images. Then, they are put into a customized reconstruction network, which consists of a U-Net and an enhanced deep super-resolution (EDSR), first. The resolution enhancement network enables removing the honeycomb artifacts and EDSR reconstructs high-resolution features beyond the physical limitations of the CFB. In the second part, enhanced CFB images are fed into a classification neural network based on Visual Geometry Group-19 (VGG-19), which gives a prediction of tissue, whether it is a tumor or not. The binary classification is implemented on 9 kinds of brain tumor, most of which achieve excellent results. For glioblastoma, one kind of highly aggressive brain tumor, the reconstructed high-frequency features help increase its classification accuracy from 90.8 to 95.6%.

Based on the two points above, we proposed an end-to-end tumor diagnosis scheme using artificial intelligence (AI) technology to provide both high-resolution endoscopic imaging and tumor prediction results.
(Fig. 1b). Our approach adopts single-shot manner so that no scanning parts and post-processing algorithms are required, which is an advantage to realize real-time diagnosis. Another unique selling point is that, due to the simple and compact structure, the low cost of our endoscope makes a single-use-probe in clinics very promising, and the risk of post-surgical cross infection is minimized, therefore. The novel fiber-based diagnosis scheme dispenses the cumbersome process of biopsy and mitigates discomfort caused by invasion, and is thus low-cost and friendly to both of patients and physicians. A paradigm shift from the conventional diagnosis based on histochemical staining to a real-time and in situ diagnosis using label-free endomicroscopic imaging is achieved.

Results
Optimal working distance for lensless endoscope. Lensless endoscopes collect light directly from the distal CFB facet, enabling tiny probes to minimize invasion. As a near-field imaging manner, it requires no modulation and scanning, which are common methods of far-field imaging. Working distance is an important parameter for CFB imaging in lensless mode. The optimal working distance is related to the core spacing and numerical aperture (NA) of the fiber. The NA of a fiber is defined as the sine of the largest acceptable angle θ, that an incident ray can have for total internal reflectance in the fiber core (Fig. 2a). The NA can be calculated according to the refractive indices of core and cladding. It determines a cone space in which light can be coupled into fiber. For a CFB with NA = sin θ, and core spacing d, when a sample is very close to the fiber facet, that is z < d / (2tan θ), the regions which can be coupled into fiber cores does not completely cover the sample, which causes loss of information (Fig. 2b). When z = d / (2tan θ), the light from the sample just happens to be coupled into the fiber. This working distance is critical distance z_c without information loss. When a sample is further away from the fiber facet, that is z ≫ d / (2tan θ), a blurred observation results (Fig. 2b). Therefore, an optimal working distance is necessary to high-resolution imaging.

Here we use the parameters of a commercial CFB (Sumita HDIG) to analyze the optimal working distance, where the core spacing d = 3.0 μm and the acceptable angle θ = 8°. The critical distance is z_c = 10.7 μm. A multiphoton microscopic image of mouse cortical vasculature is chosen as true scene. We show the two ends of CFB
and reconstructed images at five different working distances: 1 μm, 10 μm, 20 μm, 40 μm and 80 μm (Fig. 2c). Reconstruction is performed using compressive sensing (CS) with total variation (TV) regularization. When the working distance is 1 μm, the true values of the region between the cores, called dead-space, cannot be recovered. As the working distance increases, the information that was originally in dead-space can be collected by the adjacent cores, so that the recovery become possible. However, as the distance increases, the image at distal facet is blurred; this in turn makes it more difficult to recovery details. To disclose the relationship between optimal working distance and core spacing, we calculate the reconstructed image quality under different working distance and different core spacing to find the optimal working distance. Peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR) and structural similarity index measure (SSIM) are adopted for quantitative evaluation of image quality. The results show reconstruction can achieve optimum quality when the working distance is about \( z_c = 1.5d / \tan \theta_c \) (Fig. 2d). To attain the optimal working distance in practice, a layer of glass or polymer can be attached to the tip of the fiber. Then the object contacts with the cover layer so that the working distance can be fixed at the optimal distance.

Resolution enhancement model based on U-Net + EDSR. A simple way to generate datasets is to synthesize CFB images from GT images. Here we adopt label-free multiphoton images as GT to synthesize the CFB images. The images were obtained using a multi-modal microscope, image modalities are CARS, TPEF, and SHG. The three modalities are combined into single RGB image. The lateral resolution is 1 μm. Image size is 208 × 104 pixels. A CFB imaging model is applied to generate pixelated images⁴⁹. The optic fiber model of Sumita HDIG is simulated for dataset generation, where the core diameter is 2.0 μm and core spacing is 3.0 μm. The well-trained network was applied to the test set, which contains 200 RGB images of 9 tumor and 2 non-tumor types. We compare the results for the methods of point interpolation, area interpolation, CS with TV regularization. The instance shows that the learning-based methods are superior to all others (Fig. 3a). Moreover, the U-Net + EDSR configuration shows edges more clearly than U-Net-only configuration. The U-Net architecture could learn the features at different resolution scales, but U-Net lacks deeper layers to learn complex and variable features in each scale. EDSR consists of deep residual blocks, so connecting EDSR with U-Net can make up for the limited ability of network characterization at high resolution scale. The enhanced image by U-Net + EDSR has prominent target features, which can help a doctor discriminate tissue type intraoperatively. By quantitatively analyzing the quality of reconstruction on 200 images in test set, U-Net + EDSR has the highest average PSNR and SSIM, and has more centralized distributions than U-Net (Fig. 3b). The computing time is much faster than interpolation and CS methods (Fig. 3c).

Resolution enhancement for experimentally acquired images. Defects in real CFBs make the actual images deviate from simulations. For example, irregular core shape and nonuniform refractive index may cause the inner-core coupling or excite cladding modes. These factors can lead to failure of network prediction for real CFB images and GT images directly (Fig. 4a). DLP LightCrafter Display 4710 is adopted as the display and the Thorlabs Quantalux is adopted as the image sensor. A neutral density filter is used to reduce the light intensity to that comparable to the fluorescence. However, the light intensity is moderately increased to improve the image signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) for training set collection. Then the network can better learn the mapping between the fiber image and the original image without noise interference. The screen is projected onto the CFB (Sumita HDIG) facet using a 40 × objective and tube lens. The GT images are projected 30 μm away from the facet in accordance with the results in Fig. 2d. The distal CFB facet is then imaged onto a camera with 2.2 μm pixel pitch. The magnification is adjusted to ×2.7 so that the CFB occupies the same pixels number with GT image. We train the network for 10³ iterations, and the validation loss always remains the same level with training loss (Fig. 4b), which shows the network has good generalization performance.

A customized resolution chart is displayed on the screen for experimentally test the imaging resolution and contrast. The group number indicates the line width in pixels. According to the pixel pitch of display and objective magnification, the minimum line width in the projected image is 1.15 μm. For all the reconstruction methods, Group-1 works can be resolved (Fig. 4c), thus an upper bound for the resolution is 2.3 μm or 217 lp/mm. This is better than the core-to-core distance of 3 μm and achieved by the increased working distance. While the increased working distance decreases the contrast initially, the EDSR enables contrast enhancement again. The cross sections of Group-2 show the imaging contrast (Fig. 4d). Defining the contrast as \( \left( \frac{I_{\text{max}} - I_{\text{min}}}{I_{\text{max}} + I_{\text{min}}} \right) \times 100\% \), where the \( I_{\text{max}} \) and \( I_{\text{min}} \) represent the average intensity of the white and black regions respectively. Then the contrast of the CFB image, interpolation, CS and DNN methods are 65.6%, 72.1%, 75.2%, and 86.3% respectively.

Furthermore, the frequency domain characteristics of reconstructed images are analyzed. The validation on mouse vasculature image shows that the DNN method can recover the most high-frequency components (Fig. 4e). To explicitly compare the frequency component that can be recovered by various methods, the amplitude spectra are averaged along the radial coordinate first and normalized to the spectral amplitude of the GT, for ten different images. The results are plotted in frequency-amplitude-curves (Fig. 4f). Note that the curve peak of the CFB image indicates the sampling frequency of the fiber core pitch at 0.33 μm⁻¹, which is illustrated by the dashed line. According to Nyquist-Shannon sampling theorem, spectrum aliasing occurs when the signal frequencies exceed half of the sampling frequency. Interpolation methods only flatten the frequency curve but do not introduce high frequency components. The CS method introduces prior information through TV regularization, and high frequency components are slightly improved. However, TV regularization with a scalar weight is based on spatially invariant assumptions, which make it difficult to handle both homogeneous features and regions with rich details. In contrast, the DNN method can learn various features from the dataset, so that it can adaptively restore variable image features.
Influence of image resolution on tumor classification results. Fluorescence imaging for tissue provides rich information for tumor diagnosis and indicate tumor margin, degree of tumor progression and other pathological features for fine-grained analysis. However, all these diagnostic techniques rely on high-resolution fluorescence images, which are often difficult to obtain in medical practice.

In this work the dependence of tumor recognition on image resolution will be investigated. For the tumor delineation, only binary discrimination of tumor and non-tumor is necessary. If the classification results are not sensitive to resolution, a low resolution and large field of view endoscope can be adopted for rapid tumor screening. Otherwise, high resolution imaging technology is required. We applied Gaussian filters with different kernel sizes to reduce the resolution for the TPEF images of biopsies of human brain towards malignant and benign tumors. The full width at half maximum of Gaussian filter is used to represent the resolution of degraded images. A VGG-19 classification network is trained on the resolution of 1 μm (original resolution), 2 μm, 3 μm, 4 μm, 5 μm and 10 μm (Fig. 5a). The area under receiver operator characteristic curve (AUROC) is used for each test dataset as the performance metric. For each case, the training process is repeated for 5 times with different patients randomly chosen for training, in order to reduce the error caused by the randomness during data preparation and training. The upper and lower limits of error bar indicate the maximum and minimum achieved values.

For most tumors except glioblastoma (GBM), the AUROCs show low correlation with resolution. The problem of overfitting appeared in the training process of astrocytoma WHO I + II and III (Supplementary Fig. 1). For anaplastic oligodendroglioma WHO III, only at the 1 μm resolution, AUROC is stable and has a high level.
This tumor type may have more high-frequency features (Supplementary Fig. 2) so that the diagnosis strongly depends on high resolution images.

For GBM, the AUROCs show a nearly linear decrease with resolution. Since GBM is one of the most aggressive and lethal brain tumors, this highlights the necessity for high resolution imaging systems. Furthermore, GBM can be used to verify if the U-Net + EDSR network was truly able to retrieve high spatial frequency information by comparing classification results for original CFB images, enhanced CFB images and microscope images with different resolutions.

Efficient improving GBM classification performance using resolution enhancement network. GBM is a highly aggressive type of brain tumor, so early diagnosis and treatment are of great significance for prolonging the life span of patients. Here we use six metrics of AUROC, probability, accuracy, precision, sensitivity, and specificity to evaluate the effect of using resolution enhancement network on classification performance. We individually trained networks on microscopic images, CFB images and resolution enhanced images, and the classification results on different resolution are shown for comparison (Fig. 5b). In all metrics, the resolution enhanced images have better performance compared to the CFB images. This verifies the proposed resolution enhancement network can efficiently improving GBM classification performance. The average accuracy of microscopic images, CFB image and enhanced image are 96.2%, 90.8%, 95.6%, respectively. In this case, the honeycomb artifacts of CFB images deteriorate some characteristics of tumor morphochemistry and
reduce the classification performance slightly. Then the resolution enhancement process can reconstruct features and improve classification accuracy to the same level as the microscopic image.

Discussion

Fast, precise, and minimally invasive tissue classification are crucial in cancer treatment. The current approach based on biopsies and histopathological analyses has some major drawbacks. It requires an additional intervention, which can cause trauma such as internal bleeding. It consists of a labor-intensive process chain lasting several hours to days. In addition, it exists the possibility to have recovered ill-suited tissue resulting in an inconclusive histopathological analysis. Recently fast and all optical, virtual staining and tissue classification has been demonstrated using DNNs. Translation into clinical practice requires minimally invasive approaches.

Here we discussed the usability of commercial coherent fiber bundles for direct imaging in conjunction with DNN-based super-resolution to enable a simple, robust, and cheap endoscopic system enabling single-use-probes. The method is based on applying the CFB in a defocused manner, to capture information from dead spaces and allow for compressive reconstruction. The enhancement in resolution beyond the fiber core spacing is demonstrated in simulation as well as on real images through a CFB, resulting in higher contrast and generally more recovered features high spatial frequency. We ensured generalization by training on random images from the ImageNet database unrelated to the later application.

Furthermore, we have shown that two-photon exited fluorescence microscopy in conjunction with a standard VGG-19 network is well suited for binary tissue classification over a variety of different tumor types and that classification results for glioblastoma greatly depends on optical resolution. Thus, we used GBM as a proxy to investigate if clinically relevant data can be recovered via the U-Net & EDSR network. We found an increased performance over several different metrics which was similar to ex vivo microscope images with 1 μm resolution. This highlights the potential of this approach towards in vivo diagnostics.

In conclusion, an end-to-end tumor diagnosis scheme is proposed by combining the reconstruction network and the classification network, to provide both high-resolution endoscopic imaging and tumor recognition. Our approach adopts single-shot manner so that no scanning parts and post-processing algorithms are required, which is an advantage to realize real-time imaging and makes intraoperative diagnosis possible. Another unique selling point is that, due to the simple and compact structure, the low cost of our endoscope makes a single-use-probe in clinics promising, and the risk of post-surgical cross infection is minimized, therefore. The novel fiber-based diagnosis scheme dispenses the cumbersome process of biopsy and mitigates discomfort caused by invasion, and is thus friendly to both of patients and physicians. A paradigm shift from the conventional...
diagnosis based on histochemical staining to a real-time and in-situ diagnosis using label-free endomicroscopic imaging is achieved.

Additionally, it should be noted that the approach for enhancing CFB images is not limited towards brain cancer discrimination, to non-linear microscopy nor to lens-less CFB schemes. For example, the approach can easily be translated to holographic imaging. By selecting optimal object-image distance in both distal end and optimal end, a CFB can be used for cell size measurement. The approach can also combine with optical coherence tomography (OCT), virtual staining and other medical imaging techniques with high requirements for abundant feature information. In order to advance the translation to the clinic, further experimental analysis on bulk tissue will be investigated next as well as the use on linear auto-fluorescence for an even simpler setup.

Lastly, since the explainable AI has made some progress in real-world applications, the future research will focus on the mechanisms of tumor classification by DNN, and improve the network to provide more robust, reliable and abundant information, such as the degree of the lesion and lesion area, so that deep learning methods can provide trusty means of medical diagnosis.

**Methods**

**CFB-based imaging model.** The CFB translates the spatial intensity distribution on the distal facet to the proximal facet in a degraded, pixelated manner. Considering a sample placed at a distance $z$ from the distal facet, each fiber core couples the light within its acceptance angle, implementing a weighted sum of the original image. The image degradation can be modeled as convolution with a point spread function (PSF), consisting of three parts: distance attenuation term, source divergence angle and facet coupling efficiency. The distance attenuation term follows inverse-square law, and considering the fiber critical angle, rays with an incident angle greater than the critical angle $\theta_c$ cannot be coupled into the fiber. The distance attenuation term could be formalized as:

$$I_{\text{dist}}(\vec{r}; z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z^2}{\sigma^2 + |\vec{r}|^2}, & \theta \leq \theta_c, \\ 0, & \theta > \theta_c \end{cases},$$

where $\theta = \arctan(|\vec{r}|/z)$. The facet coupling efficiency depends on the collection aperture of the fiber. An approximation model for the facet coupling efficiency is a Gaussian distribution, which can be parameterized as follow:

$$I_{\sigma}(\vec{r}; \sigma) = \exp \left[-|\vec{r}|^2/2\sigma^2\right],$$

where $\sigma$ denotes the width of the Gaussian function. When the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the Gaussian distribution is equal to the fiber core diameter $d$, $\sigma$ has the value $d/2\sqrt{2\ln(2)}$. Assuming the light source has uniform distribution in all angle, the total PSF could be modelled as:

$$\text{PSF}(\vec{r}; z, \sigma) = I_{\sigma}(\vec{r}; \sigma) * I_{\text{dist}}(\vec{r}; z),$$

where “*” denote convolution sign. Then each fiber cores samples the intensity at distal facet:

$$Y_i = [\text{PSF}(\vec{r}; z, \sigma) * X(\vec{r})] \cdot \delta(\vec{r} - r_i),$$

where $r_i$ is the position vector of the core centers. $Y_i$ represents the $i$th downsampling measurement for the high-resolution image $X$. Then the fiber bundle conveys the sampled intensities to the proximal end. For single mode cores, only the LP01 mode can be transmitted over optical fibers so that all the cores have the same relative intensity distribution at the proximal facet. The LP01 mode of optical fiber is often expressed approximately by the Gaussian field.

Then applying the convolution again to form the observed honeycomb-like image:

$$I_{\text{honeycomb}}(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2\pi \omega^2} \exp \left[-|\vec{r}|^2/2\omega^2\right] * \sum_{i} Y_i(\vec{r}),$$

where deviation $\omega$ is the equivalent mode field radius, which is related to the fiber parameters.

**Compressive reconstruction.** The true resolution of the honeycomb-like image is the number of fiber cores, which is usually much less than the number of sensor pixels. To reconstruct a high-resolution image from the CFB image is an ill-posed problem. Compressive sensing (CS) is a typical method to deal with such problems. Compressive sensing is a powerful signal reconstruction framework and provides complete theoretical support for image reconstruction. It states a given signal can be reconstructed accurately with fewer samples or measurements, which is not necessary to satisfy the Nyquist’s sampling theorem. CS theory indicates the conditions for accurate reconstruction are sparsity and incoherence. Sparsity means there are many zero-valued elements in the signal itself or in some transform domain. Incoherence means that sensing matrix and representation matrix are uncorrelated. Natural images are sufficiently sparse with its representation in the gradient domain or wavelet domain. In CFB imaging, the general image degradation can be expressed as convolution form. CFB imaging can approximately satisfy the compressed sensing condition and achieve high-quality reconstruction.

Since the valid measurements for CFB imaging is the core intensities, the CFB image can be represented by integrating each intensity in the core and rearrange them into a 1-dimensional (1D) vector $\vec{Y}$. If representing the original image as a 1D vector $\vec{X}$ in lexicographical order, then Eq. (4) can be rewritten in matrix–vector form:
\[ \hat{Y} = D \hat{X} + \tilde{E} \]  

(6)

where \( \tilde{E} \) is the additive noise, \( C \) is convolution operation, and \( D \) is the downsampling operation. All linear manipulations can be simplified into CS literature form to:

\[ \hat{Y} = W \hat{X} + \tilde{E} \]  

(7)

where \( W \) operation combines the convolution and down-sampling operation into a single operation. Assuming the fiber bundle has \( M \) cores, and the camera has \( N \) pixels, where \( M < N \), then \( \hat{Y} \) is the \( M \times 1 \) vectorized observation, \( \hat{X} \) is the \( N \times 1 \) vectorized object, and \( W \) is a \( M \times N \) matrix. Obviously, this is an underdetermined system. The traditional solution for this problem is the least squares method, which is to solve the following optimization problem:

\[ \hat{X} = \arg \min_{\hat{X}} \| W \hat{X} - \hat{Y} \|_2^2 \]  

(8)

However, additive noise in measurements greatly affects the accuracy of the results. Thus, it is necessary to introduce a regularization term to stabilize the solution. Then the optimization problem becomes:

\[ \hat{X} = \arg \min_{\hat{X}} \left\{ \| W \hat{X} - \hat{Y} \|_2^2 + \tau \Phi (\hat{X}) \right\} \]  

(9)

where \( \tau \) is a coefficient that balances the regularization term and the data fitting term and \( \Phi \) is the regularization term representing the prior. Reconstructions can be performed using the two-step iterative shrinkage/threshold (TwIST) algorithm\(^{64} \) with total variation (TV) regularization.

**Data acquisition.**  Our analysis of resolution enhancement and tumor classification based on a multiphoton image set, which comes from Uckermann's et al. paper\(^6 \). It includes Coherent anti-Stokes Raman Scattering (CARS), Two-Photon Excited Fluorescence (TPEF), and Second Harmonic Generation (SHG) microscopy images on cryosctions of brain tumors of 382 patients and 28 human non-tumor brain samples. The previous research verified the combined analysis of texture parameters of the CARS and TPEF signal is most suited for the discrimination of non-tumor brain versus brain tumors. The classification includes different tumor types (low- and high-grade astrocytoma, oligodendroglioma, glioblastoma, recurrent glioblastoma, brain metastases of lung, colon, renal, and breast cancer and of malignant melanoma), and demonstrate a correct rate of 96% (sensitivity: 96%, specificity: 100%) by using linear discriminant analysis (LDA) method\(^{46} \).

We reproduce the classification using deep neural network (DNN) in the case of single modality: TPEF, two modalities: CARS & TPEF, and three modalities: CARS & TPEF & SHG. TPEF is chosen in single modality for comparison because of its high classification accuracy\(^{28} \) and straightforward implementation in a fiber probe\(^7 \). In our case, the total number of patients used for training, validation and testing are 311, 33, and 37, respectively (see the patient distributions of each tumor type in Supplementary Table 1). For each type of tumor, we randomly assigned 2 patients with non-tumor to participate in classification training. We use accuracy, sensitivity (correct rate of tumor) and specificity (correct rate of non-tumor) to evaluate the overall classification performance. The results show the single modality has a correct rate of 98.2% (sensitivity: 97.3%, specificity: 100%), which has almost equivalent performance with multi-modalities (Supplementary Table 2). The results verify the feasibility of clinical exploitation using two-photon fluorescence endomicroscopy systems.

**Network architecture and training process.**  The proposed network cascades a U-Net\(^{68} \) and a EDSR\(^{69} \) in sequence (Supplementary Fig. 3). The U-Net part consist of a series of down sampling and up sampling blocks to learn the features at different resolution scales. We remove the batch normalization layers in both networks, since they get rid of range flexibility from networks by normalizing the features. For the EDSR part, the network is mainly composed of residual blocks in series. The additional scaling layer in the residual block of the EDSR helps to stabilize the training progress. A convolution layer is used to extract features at the beginning and the end of all the residual blocks, respectively. A skip connection connects these two convolution layers. Finally, the image is output through a convolution layer. All convolutional layers use filters of size \( 3 \times 3 \). Since there is no need to increase the image size in our case, we remove the upsample layer from the original model. The depth (the number of residual blocks) is 32 and feature number is 256.

The loss function is evaluated on both pixel-wise and feature-wise metrics. The mean absolute error is calculated as pixel-wise metric. The pretrained VGG-16 is used to define the perceptual loss function that measures perceptual differences in output and ground truth (GT) label. The total loss function is the sum of these two terms with an adjustable weighting coefficient.

We trained two networks for synthetic images and real images respectively. For the synthetic images, 10,000 images from multiphoton biopsies images of human brain tumors are randomly selected and then cropped to the size of \( 192 \times 96 \). 9,500 of them are used for the training, 300 for the validation and 200 for the testing. For real images, we adopt 5,500 natural images from ImageNet\(^{48} \) as GT to display on the screen, which are scaled to \( 512 \times 512 \) pixels for display. The minibatch size is 4. The learning rate is initialized to \( 10^{-4} \) for all layers and decreases by a factor of 0.5 for \( 2 \times 10^4 \) iterations. The training was run on a workstation with 32 AMD Ryzen 9 3950X 16-Core Processors and a NVIDIA RTX A6000 GPU.
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