Supersymmetrical bounding of asymmetric states and quantum phase transitions by anti-crossing of symmetric states
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Von Neumann and Wigner theorized the bounding and anti-crossing of eigenstates. Experiments have demonstrated that owing to anti-crossing and similar radiation rates, the graphene-like resonance of inhomogeneously strained photonic eigenstates can generate a pseudomagnetic field, bandgaps and Landau levels, whereas exponential or dissimilar rates induce non-Hermiticity. Here, we experimentally demonstrate higher-order supersymmetry and quantum phase transitions by resonance between similar one-dimensional lattices. The lattices consisted of inhomogeneous strain-like phases of triangular solitons. The resonance created two-dimensional, inhomogeneously deformed photonic graphene. All parent eigenstates were annihilated. Eigenstates of mildly strained solitons were annihilated at similar rates through one tail and generated Hermitian bounded eigenstates. The strongly strained solitons with positive phase defects were annihilated at exponential rates through one tail, which bounded eigenstates through non-Hermitianally generated exceptional points. Supersymmetry was evident, with preservation of the shapes and relative phase differences of the parent solitons. Localizations of energies generated from annihilations of mildly and strongly strained soliton eigenstates were responsible for geometrical (Berry) and topological phase transitions, respectively. Both contributed to generating a quantum Zeno phase, whereas only strong twists generated topological (Anderson) localization. Anti-bunching-like condensation was also observed.

The theory of supersymmetry (SUSY) describes the duality between bosons and fermions. Because our present living environment does not permit SUSY phenomena, according to the original theory, if SUSY exists, it must have broken symmetry1,2. Confirmation of the original SUSY is still lacking after the initial rounds of Large Hadron Collider experiments. However, SUSY techniques are helpful for identifying powerful methods for approximation and shape invariance1. One of the most important applications is quantum information processing or quantum simulation because of the robustness against decoherence and dissipation. It was believed that SUSY can only be observed as broken SUSY, which requires very large and complex experimental setups such as Large Hadron Collider. However, with the advent of Witten-index’s non-zero value (i.e., all parent eigenstates must be annihilated)4, unbroken SUSY may be observable in table-top quantum and dissipative systems5, e.g., optics, semiconductors and condensed-matter systems.

Dirac cones are pure Hermitian systems that govern the intriguing properties of graphene6, topological insulators7 and configured condensed matter systems8. In optics, electronic graphene-like resonant Dirac cones9,10 can be realized owing to the resonance of degenerate photonic states at the Brillouin zone. The resonance leads to a linear Dirac dispersion owing to the anti-crossing11 of symmetric photonic states12, which is clearly observable in the case of topological insulators such as bulk-state bandgaps13. In the case of inhomogeneous, strained waveguide arrays, the resonance leads to a pseudomagnetic field and isolated Landau levels14, where level spacing is unique to the corresponding lattices.

Non-Hermitian systems are distinguished from Hermitian systems by exhibiting Exceptional Points (EPs), which abruptly coalesce the eigenmodes without any threshold barrier15. The EP is a point of confusion in Hermitian systems because sudden changes can produce an imaginary potential. For example, sudden loss
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induces counterintuitive observations at exponential transition rates, such as lasing\(^{16-18}\) and unidirectional-\(^{19-25}\)ity. Switching between different EPs in the laser system could be used to control the direction of light\(^{26}\). The topologies of EPs have been investigated for acoustic\(^{27}\) and microwave systems\(^{28-30}\). The connections between Dirac cones and EJs and their dynamics have been theoretically investigated in photonic honeycomb lattices\(^{31-34}\). However, a recent experiment demonstrated EJs in a honeycomb. The EJs deformed the Dirac cones into lantern-like shapes\(^{35}\). Crossing, anticrossing and Berry phase around EJs, all have been demonstrated in a system of exciton-polariton billiard\(^{36}\).

Figure 1. Supersymmetry, bounding of eigenstates and phase transitions through anti-crossing of nine mildly and strongly twisted parent eigenstates. (a) Graphene-like resonance of parent eigenstates. The orange, green and red lines are eigenstates of the boundary and mildly and strongly twisted positive defect soliton eigenstates, respectively. (b) All parent eigenstates \(\phi_i\) are annihilated, and new (supersymmetric) eigenstates \(\phi_i'\) are confined between the parent regions of eigenstates \(\phi_i\) and \(\phi_i+\). Owing to anti-crossing between symmetric eigenstates (two cones), bandgaps and supersymmetric bounding are generated. Mildly twisted solitons decay via radiation at similar and non-exponential rates, and are bounded Hermitianally (vertical black lines in (b) and (d), whereas strongly twisted of positive defect solitons vanish completely by decaying at exponential rates. Which generates EJs (vertical bold red lines) and bounded neighbouring eigenstates. Owing to EP-induced bounding, the bounded eigenstates become boundary eigenstates, indicated by dark orange lines. The energies released from the annihilations localize within the respective lattices as continuums, which are symbolically represented by rectangular boxes filled with a light blue colour. The continuums control quantum-phase transitions (see text for details) (c) and (d) are magnified presentations of (a and b). In (d) the red and black dotted rectangular boxes explicitly show the non-Hermicity- and Hermicity-induced bound states.

Here, we experimentally demonstrate that anti-crossing in photonic graphene-like resonance leads to Hermitian and non-Hermitian bounding of eigenstates. Hermitian and non-Hermitian bounding are due to similar and non-exponential, and exponential radiation-loss rates from the resonant cones, respectively. In these transformations, the SUSY, i.e., the relative gaps of eigenstates and shapes of parent solitons, remain intact; therefore, we refer to this phenomenon as supersymmetric bounding of states. These observations have no exact experimental analogue.

We consider a soliton with phase \(\phi_i\) as mildly twisted when \(\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i > 0\) and \(\phi_i - \phi_{i-1} > 0\), while \(|\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i| = |\phi_i - \phi_{i-1}|\). A soliton with phase \(\phi_i\) is treated as strongly twisted when \(\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i < 0\) and \(\phi_i - \phi_{i-1} < 0\) or \(\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i > 0\) and \(\phi_i - \phi_{i-1} < 0\). Strongly twisted solitons are composed of positive and negative phase defects. This description is represented schematically in Figs 1(a) and 2(a). Because of graphene-like resonance, mildly inhomogeneous strained-like modulation of phases \(\phi_i\) of the soliton lattice generate similar pseudomagnetic flux \(\Phi\) owing to similar radiation rates without undergoing interband photonic transitions (evanescent tunnelling). The direction of the flux is opposite to that of the \(y\)-axis. Owing to similar radiation rate losses, all eigenstates are annihilated with similar rates in the same direction. In our experiment, the vector potential under the strain\(^{14}\) can be described as \(A(r) = [A_\phi]\), and the pseudomagnetic field can be described as \(B(r) = \nabla \times A(r)\). However, strong twists with positive defects generate abruptly strong fluxes, i.e., much greater than the mildly twist-induced pseudomagnetic field, owing to exponential radiation rates. The exponential radiation rates induce EJs. Thus, at EJs, the pseudomagnetic field increases exponentially. In this case, the direction of the flux or radiation is orthogonal (parallel to the \(x\)-axis) to the magnetic flux \(\Phi\) of mildly twisted solitons. This type of strong or non-Hermitian twists has not been studied before. Hermitian and non-Hermitian bounds are depicted in Figs 1(b) and 2(b) by vertical black and red lines. The radiated energy from mildly and strongly twisted positive defect solitons localizes as a continuum without leaving its lattice, which is responsible for quantum-phase transitions, i.e., Berry,
quantum Zeno and topological phases. Two localizations of radiated energies, i.e., one part of the continuum along the x-axis owing to Hermitian and the other part along the y-axis owing to EPs, are Zeno phase, whereas localized energies along the x-axis owing to only EPs yield topological localization. In Figs 1 and 2, the continuums are symbolically represented by blue filled rectangular boxes. (for details, see the text). However, we can imagine that in the presence of only mildly twisted solitons, i.e., absence of non-Hermicity, only the Berry (geometrical) phase34–38 will be observed.

The experimental setup for generation of soliton lattices is shown in Fig. 3., while the experimental setup for generation of SUSY transformations and phase transitions is shown in Fig. 4. The two soliton lattices occupying a wavelength range from 999.5 to 1,009.5 nm enter the 2-m loop of optical fibre. After meeting with the first coupler, two similar copies are generated owing to power splitting. The optical spectrum of the lattices detected at the output of the second coupler is captured using an optical spectrum analyser (OSA). The lattices are detected

---

**Figure 2. Supersymmetry, bounding of eigenstates and phase transitions through anti-crossing of five strongly twisted parent eigenstates.** (a) Anti-crossing between five asymmetric parent eigenstates. The orange, purple and red lines are eigenstates of the boundary and two types of strongly twisted, i.e., negative defect and positive defect, soliton eigenstates. (b) All parent eigenstates \( \psi_i \) are annihilated. Most of the spectrum of supersymmetric eigenstates \( \psi'_i \) is confined between the parent regions of eigenstates \( \psi_2 \) and \( \psi_5 \); however, the supersymmetric eigenstate \( \psi'_3 \) is beyond the region of the parent spectrum. Owing to anti-crossing between symmetric eigenstates (two cones), bandgaps and supersymmetric bounding are generated in which the negative defect, strongly twisted soliton retains its parent eigenstate. By contrast, the second type of strongly twisted solitons, i.e., positive defects, vanishes completely by decaying at exponential rates. This decay generates EPs (vertical bold red lines) and bounded neighbouring eigenstates. Owing to EP-induced bounding, the bounded eigenstates become boundary eigenstates, which are indicated by dark orange lines. The energies released from the annihilations localize within the respective lattices as a continuum, as indicated by the rectangular box filled with a light blue colour. Here, the continuum is responsible for the topological quantum phase transition. Owing to the absence of mildly twisted solitons, no berry phase can be observed (see text for details) (c) shows magnified presentations of (a and b).

---

**Figure 3. Experimental setup for soliton lattices generation.** The 604 cm long fibres loop consisted of four segments of fibres: Hi 1060 (black 273 cm), SM600 (red 108 cm), HI 980 (blue 104 cm) and SMF-28e (green 119 cm). PC: Polarization Controller.
without any change in structure owing to the absence of interference/resonance at the second coupler, as shown in Fig. 5(a,c). As shown, the detected peak powers of the lattices are 3.38 and 1.15, respectively. The first lattice consists of nine solitons, where two are strongly twisted. Graphene-like resonance between the split lattices is achieved by resonances of the lattices at the second coupler by exactly matching the length of the two arms of the loop, as shown in the figure. The inset is drawn to show the graphene-like resonance.

The solitons were generated using a normal dispersion ring cavity fibre laser, using the experimental setup shown Fig. 3, which is identical to that reported in refs 39–41. The ring cavity consisted of four types of fibres having different normal dispersion values, zero dispersion wavelengths and core diameters. The fibre ring cavity had a total length of 604 cm, which was made up by coupling segments of passive fibres, namely, HI1060, HI980, SMF-28e, and SM600, and core diameters were 5.3 μm, 3.5 μm, 8.2 μm and 3.4 μm, respectively. The fibre lengths were precisely measured using optical time domain reflectometry. The dispersion values at 980 nm of ~−63, −63, −63, and −75 ps/nm/km, respectively. The cavity dispersion was 0.18 ps² with a total length of 6.04 m. Note that, the selection of the four fibres was necessary to attain adequate cavity dispersion and nonlinearity to generate the soliton combs. A mechanical fibre stretcher and polarization controller was incorporated to control the birefringence and polarization of the cavity. Control over birefringence and polarization of the cavity allowed us to achieve different phase matching conditions thus enabled us to generate soliton combs with tunable mode spacing. Thus soliton combs with 0.136 nm (~43 GHz) and 0.144 (~45 GHz) spacing were observed. In addition, changes in the comb structure were observed, presenting a different feature compared to previous studies in field of soliton combs. Therefore, in addition to the soliton combs of different spacing, we also observed soliton molecules of different structures and compound (multicomponent) rogue waves39–41. The properties of the solitons are discussed in detail in ref. 41. Using the same experimental setup, however, at different birefringence and polarization conditions, and at pump power of 230 mW, we achieved soliton combs with 0.54 nm (~170 GHz) and 0.63 (~199 GHz) spacing with the particular structures shown in Fig. 5(a) and (c), respectively. The structures of the input soliton combs were very important to achieve the results shown in Fig. 5(b,d). To perform the experiments of SUSY quantum phase transitions, the soliton combs with 0.136 nm, 0.144 nm and (0.54 nm and 0.63 nm) spacing, are used one by one as input to the experimental setup (Fig. 4). The structures of these input solitons are shown in ref. 39–41, and Fig. 5(a,c), respectively. We did not observe SUSY phase transitions for the combs of solitons with 0.136 nm and 0.144 nm spacing. However, SUSY transformation owing to graphene like resonance was observed for two combs with 0.54 nm and 0.63 nm spacing having nine and five solitons with peak powers 3.38 and 1.15, respectively. Therefore, in our experiment along with particular structure of the soliton combs, 0.54 nm and 0.63 nm spacing were also necessary. We named these combs, soliton lattices. The detail of the results of the SUSY quantum phase transitions experiment using the solitons with 0.54 nm and 0.63 nm spacing is discussed in detail in the paper.
Supersymmetrically bounded eigenstates and quantum phase transitions generated from the resonance are shown in Fig. 5(b,d). The mode between the two lattices at ~1006 nm is unaffected by the supersymmetric transformations, indicating isolation between the two lattices. This effect also indicates that the supersymmetric transformation cannot be produced in the case of resonance of the single soliton mode or eigenstate. Therefore, the presence of more than one mode in one wave packet is required to achieve graphene-like resonance. Eigenstates of soliton lattices detected at the output of the experimental setup with and without resonance are shown in Fig. 5(a) and (c).

The first and second parent lattice comprise nine and five eigenstates (\( \phi_i \)), respectively (for details, see Figs 1 and 2). The first and second lattices have 0.54 nm and 0.63 nm mode spacing, respectively. (c) The parent lattice (c) does not contain a Hermitian soliton but does contain two types of strong twists, i.e., both positive and negative defects. (b) Supersymmetric eigenstates (\( \phi_i' \)) and their Hermitian and non-Hermitian bounding. The thin blue arrows indicate the direction of radiation loss of Hermitian solitons. Thick red arrows indicate directions of the radiation owing to non-Hermiticity. All parent eigenstates of Hermitian solitons are annihilated and generate supersymmetric eigenstates (\( \phi_i' \)), which are bounded Hermitianally. However, strongly twisted, positive defect solitons \( \phi_4 \) and \( \phi_8 \) are annihilated at exponential rates and vanish completely, whereas their positions remain localized, i.e., \( \phi_4' \) and \( \phi_8' \). The non-Hermitian annihilation generates EPs, which bound both sides of the eigenstates. The peak power is reduced to 2.04 \( \mu \)W. Instead of escaping, owing to the pseudomagnetic field, the energies released by the annihilations localize to form a continuum and are responsible for quantum-phase transitions. The continuum decreases the slope of the parent spectrum. The new slope is highlighted by the straight red line. This decrease in the slope of the redistributed eigenspectrum is interpreted as the quantum Zeno phase. The topological phase features are due to EPs, whereas the geometrical phase features are due to Hermicity. (d) Only non-Hermitian but very different dynamics are observed for the negative defect soliton (\( \phi_3' \)), which sustains its parent energy at 0.038 while surrounded by positive defect-induced EPs on both sides. The spectrum of the eigenstates overall is topologically localized owing to localization of the continuum along the y-axis, as evident by the increase in the slopes; these slopes are represented by blue (c) and red straight lines, respectively. A mode represented as an ‘unaffected mode’, located at a wavelength of ~1006 nm in (c and d) is unaffected by the transformations, which indicates the isolation of the first and second lattices from each other.

Supersymmetrically bounded eigenstates and quantum phase transitions generated from the resonance are shown in Fig. 5(b,d). The mode between the two lattices at ~1006 is unaffected by the supersymmetric transformations, indicating isolation between the two lattices. This effect also indicates that the supersymmetric transformation cannot be produced in the case of resonance of the single soliton mode or eigenstate. Therefore, the presence of more than one mode in one wave packet is required to achieve graphene-like resonance. Eigenstates of soliton lattices detected at the output of the experimental setup without resonance are shown in Fig. 5(a) and (c).

The first and second parent lattice comprise nine and five eigenstates (\( \phi_i \)), respectively. The first lattice contains two strongly twisted solitons with positive phase defects, whereas the second lattice contains one positive and one negative phase defect soliton, as shown in Fig. 5(a) and (c), respectively. After the resonance of each of the lattices with itself, supersymmetrically bounded eigenstates are observed for the first and second lattices, as shown in
Fig. 5(c) and (d), respectively, where supersymmetric eigenstates are denoted as $\varphi'_i$. Upon resonance, mildly twisted solitons radiate through one tail with similar and non-exponential radiation rates, generating Hermitian bounding, whereas a strongly twisted soliton with a positive defect radiates at exponential rate, non-Hermitianally bounding the surrounding eigenstates. However, the negative defect does not radiate, probably because of its existence between two positive defects.

Mainly, Hermitian soliton’s radiated energy accumulates along the x-axis to form a flat-band-like continuum along the y-axis. The former is responsible for the geometrical phase transition, whereas the latter is responsible for the topological phase transition.

The parent solitons, which did not radiate at exponential rates, kept their phase signatures in the SUSY spectrum. The slopes of these survived solitons and their parent counterparts were calculated and symbolically depicted in blue and red straight lines in Fig. 5. The values of the slopes shown in Fig. 5(a) are $-0.03074\text{ nm}^{-1}$ ($\varphi_1$, $\varphi_2$), $-0.02208\text{ nm}^{-1}$ ($\varphi_2$, $\varphi_3$), $-0.05361\text{ nm}^{-1}$ ($\varphi_3$, $\varphi_4$), $-0.02389\text{ nm}^{-1}$ ($\varphi_4$, $\varphi_5$), $-0.12278\text{ nm}^{-1}$ ($\varphi_5$, $\varphi_6$), $0.053148\text{ nm}^{-1}$ ($\varphi_7$, $\varphi_8$).

The values of the slopes of the SUSY components are $-0.0175\text{ nm}^{-1}$ ($\varphi'_1$, $\varphi'_2$), $-0.02607\text{ nm}^{-1}$ ($\varphi'_2$, $\varphi'_3$), $-0.3643\text{ nm}^{-1}$ ($\varphi'_3$, $\varphi'_4$), $-0.00893\text{ nm}^{-1}$ ($\varphi'_4$, $\varphi'_5$), $-0.01095\text{ nm}^{-1}$ ($\varphi'_5$, $\varphi'_6$) and $0.00764\text{ nm}^{-1}$ ($\varphi'_6$, $\varphi'_7$), which are depicted in blue and red straight lines in Fig. 5(b). The total change in the normalized intensity and the wavelength of input and output eigenstates are 0.2331 and 4.68 nm, respectively, while the total change in the slopes and wavelengths of their SUSY counterparts are 0.0555 and 3.36 nm, respectively. Thus the total slope of the SUSY eigenspectrum is decreased to 0.01652 nm$^{-1}$ from its parent slope value of 0.04942 nm$^{-1}$. For the transformation, displayed in Fig. 5(c) to (d), only three solitons survived due to two EPs with slopes $-0.02467\text{ nm}^{-1}$ ($\varphi'_1$, $\varphi'_2$) and $0.018175\text{ nm}^{-1}$ ($\varphi'_2$, $\varphi'_3$). Contrary to Fig. 5(a,b), in this case the slope values are higher than their parent slopes, $-0.01663\text{ nm}^{-1}$ ($\varphi'_1$, $\varphi'_2$) and 0.006262 nm$^{-1}$ ($\varphi'_3$, $\varphi'_4$). Overall the slope of the SUSY spectrum is increased to 0.02159 nm$^{-1}$ from its parent value of 0.01179 nm$^{-1}$. In the transformations, the slopes decrease or increase but without any change in their polarities.

The combination of geometrical and topological phase transitions enables the observation of the quantum Zeno phase, as shown in Fig. 5(b). However, there is no doubt that following our idea quantum Zeno effect can also be observed in all Hermitian solitonic system. The non-Hermitian annihilations generate EPs, which bound both sides of the eigenstates. The peak power is reduced to 2.04, which can also be observed in all Hermitian solitonic system. The non-Hermitian annihilations generate EPs, which bound both sides of the eigenstates. Therefore, the preservation of the parent shapes and phase difference of the solitons, which did not radiate at exponential rates, is relatively preserved as $\varphi'_i$. Therefore, the bounded states are considered as supersymmetrically bounded states.

Our observed topological localization is different from the conventional Anderson localization in optics; however, the shapes are in agreement with Anderson localization\textsuperscript{44} and the anti-Zeno effect\textsuperscript{45} in condensed matter. A comparison of Fig. 5(c) and (d) in terms of the increase in the intensity of the mode instead of the redistribution of the energies of in the SUSY spectrum demonstrates that the intensity of the mode at 1,007.86 nm is increased from 0.103 to 0.128, whereas the neighboring modes at 1,006.6 nm and 1,009.3 nm, it increases from 0.00789 to 0.0229 and 0.02395 to 0.03454, respectively. The power (0.038) of the mode at 1,007.86 nm is nearly identical to that of the parent and SUSY transformed system, as shown in Fig. 5(c) and (d).

The redistribution of energies in the localized continuums allowed us to observe the reduced intensity fluctuations in the original SUSY systems, as shown in Fig. 5(b) and (d), as well as the ascending power distribution of continuums, as shown in Fig. 6, which is analogous to anti-bunched clustering. In particular, the redistributed energies in the quantum Zeno phase profile (distribution of red circles in Fig. 6(a)) clearly show antibunched photonic bands or clustering of the states. A theoretical study of supersymmetrically generated photonic bands in disordered optical potentials (waveguide arrays) without anti-crossing was recently reported\textsuperscript{46}. However, in principle, the results reported here are different.

**Conclusion and Summary**

We observed supersymmetrically bounded eigenstates through Hermiticity and non-Hermiticity in soliton lattices with weakly and strongly twisted solitons or inhomogeneous eigenstates, achieved by the anti-crossing of asymmetric states. The non-Hermiticity or abrupt annihilations of a few modes in a lattice of a very large number of Hermitian modes may play a crucial role in quantum phase transitions, whereas the Hermitian modes are only responsible for the Berry phase. The preservation of the parent shapes and phase difference of the solitons are clear evidence of SUSY, whereas the large number of annihilations of the parent eigenstates is evidence of higher-order transformations. Different topologies of the EPs are also observed: some are matched with the spawning of EPs in photonic graphene\textsuperscript{35}, whereas others are matched with EPs in acoustics\textsuperscript{27} and microwave...
however, owing to a negative defect, even the presence of two boundings results in the formation of a single blue, whereas the power redistribution in the SUSY lattice is shown in red. Figure 5(d) shows two boundings; shows three bounds. (c), with the continuums of SUSY bounded lattices, Fig. 5(b) and (b). (a) The power for an arbitrary number of photons in the first parent lattice is shown in blue, whereas the power redistribution in the SUSY lattice is shown in red. The red graph shows the formation of three clusters. Interestingly, Fig. 5(b) also shows three bounds in the SUSY lattice is shown in red. Figure 5(d) shows two boundings, however, owing to a negative defect, even the presence of two boundings results in the formation of a single lattice-like structure. The similarity in behaviour between Figs 5 and 6 can be understood by considering the localization of the energies in the continuum, as represented by the lines with slopes in Fig. 5(b,d).

billiards$^{28-30}$. We believe that the results will prove very helpful for envisioning strongly bounded eigenstates via non-Hermicity beyond the Von Neumann and Wigner’s Hermitianally bounded states. Our results may also be visualized as Hermitian$^{14}$ and non-Hermitian bounding of Landau levels. The results may also be important for further study of many-body quantum computation or simulation and its limitations using anti-crossing$^{47}$. For example, Hermitian part in quantum Zeno phase transition may help for understanding many-body-quantum information processing. While our observed topological Anderson localization somehow also supports the idea that Anderson localization cause failure of adiabatic quantum computation$^{48}$.
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