A novel single-domain antibody multimer that potently neutralizes tetanus neurotoxin
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ABSTRACT

Tetanus antitoxin, produced in animals, has been used for the prevention and treatment of tetanus for more than 100 years. The availability of antitoxins, ethical issues around production, and risks involved in the use of animal derived serum products are a concern. We therefore developed a llama derived single-domain antibody (VHH) multimer to potentially replace the conventional veterinary product. In total, 28 different tetanus neurotoxin (TeNT) binding VHHs were isolated, 14 of which were expressed in yeast for further characterization. Four VHH monomers (T2, T6, T15 and T16) binding TeNT with high affinity (Kd < 1 nM), covering different antigenic domains as revealed by epitope binning, and including 3 monomers (T6, T15 and T16) that inhibited TeNT binding to neuron gangliosides, were chosen as building blocks to generate 11 VHH multimers. These multimers contained either 1 or 2 different TeNT binding VHHs fused to 1 VHH binding to either albumin (A12) or immunoglobulin (G13) to extend serum half-life in animals. Multimers consisting of 2 TeNT binding VHHs showed more than a 10-fold increase in affinity (Kd of 4–23 pm) when compared to multimers containing only one TeNT binding VHH. The T6 and T16 VHHs showed synergistic in vivo TeNT neutralization and, when incorporated into a single VHH trimer (T6T16A12), they showed a very high TeNT neutralizing capacity (1,510 IU/mg).

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Tetanus [1] is caused by the potent tetanus neurotoxin (TeNT) produced by Clostridium tetani bacteria. All mammals are sensitive to TeNT and tetanus related death rates in humans are mainly related to neonatal tetanus. Tetanus can be prevented by vaccination, nevertheless tetanus remains a danger for non-immune mammals [2,3,4].

For more than 100 years immunized animals have been bled to provide serum for the treatment of humans against tetanus [5,6]. In veterinary and human medicine, the reliable supply, the potential adverse effects after administration, and the risks of yet unknown contaminants in antitoxin derived from equine serum are a challenge [7,8]. For these and animal welfare reasons an in vitro produced tetanus antitoxin is preferable. In addition, a highly specific, potent and rationally designed antitoxin could improve the clinical outcome of tetanus compared to a serum derived product [1]. The discovery of, and research into, camelid single-domain antibodies (VHHs) in the past 25 years has enabled many medicinal applications [9]. Initiatives to develop novel antibody therapies for tetanus as well as other toxin-mediated diseases started in the 1980s–1990s [10–12] and continued using various innovative recombinant antibody technology approaches [13–17].

The mechanism of TeNT neutralization by antibodies is variable due to its complex and pH-mediated variable structure [18–20]. TeNT (150-kDa) consists of a 50-kDa light chain (LC) and a 100-kDa heavy chain (HC). The HC is composed of a 50-kDa N-terminal domain (HNC) and a 50-kDa C-terminal domain (HCC) that is referred to as tetanus toxin fragment C (TTC). The TTC fragment comprises two subdomains, HNC and HCC. The various domains have specific functions. The TTC fragment enables binding to gangliosides on neurons. The HCC subdomain contains two ganglioside binding sites that are termed the W and R pockets. Both sites can separately bind 2 different types of gangliosides and are essential for toxicity [19,21,22]. The HNC domain is responsible for translocation of the LC into the cytoplasm by undergoing a pH-mediated conformational change [20]. Finally, the LC proteolizes the intracellular synaptic vesicle protein synaptobrevin [23] resulting in the inhibition of neurotransmitter release into the synaptic cleft causing spastic paralysis. Many other toxins are similarly composed of 3 functional domains. Among these, the bacterial
diphtheria toxin, anthrax lethal and edema toxins, C. difficile toxin A and botulinum neurotoxin (BoNT) are targets for recombinant antibody therapies [24].

Most TeNT neutralizing antibodies bind TTC and block entry into neurons [25–29]. Antibodies to other parts of TeNT (e.g. LC) can provide in vivo protection [10,11,30]. In addition to binding at a relevant location, an affinity of less than 10 nM is desirable [27]. In addition, the potency of therapeutic antibodies as determined in a standardized in vivo model using official reference standards [11,17,31] is crucial to correlate results to existing treatments based on international units. Mixtures of monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) can provide a synergistic increase in potency against TeNT [10,11] or other bacterial toxins [32]. This effect has been noted after the genetic fusion of VHHs for several bacterial [14,33,34] and viral [35] targets, and can even support antigen cross-reactivity [36].

To provide an acceptable posology without both daily administration and unnecessary high dosages there is a need to prolong the short in vivo residence time of VHH multimers for example by inclusion of VHH domains binding to long-lived serum proteins [37,38]. This study aimed at developing a VHH multimer that can potently neutralize TeNT. We first isolated a panel of TeNT binding VHVs based on the ability to bind to TeNT and rTTC, and to block TeNT-ganglioside receptor interaction that is mediated by TTC. Here we report the development of a bivalent, bispecific VHH multimer that can potently neutralize TeNT in vivo.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

For immunization, two (animals 9236 and 9237) 2-year-old female llamas (Lama glama) were kept in a meadow and provided with food and water ad libitum. The study was performed under the supervision of the Animal Experimental Committee of Wageningen Bioveterinary Research in accordance with EU Directive 2010/63/EU and the Dutch Law on Animal Experiments. Permission was granted by the Dutch Central Authority for Scientific Procedures on Animals (Permit Number: AVD40100201545).

For the tetanus antitoxin potency assays, female NIH mice (NIH/OlaHsd) at 14–20 g were obtained from Envigo. Mouse studies were performed under a Project Licence granted by the UK Home Office (80/2634 or P6014F8B4) and reviewed/approved by the NIBSC Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body. The procedure used in the mouse studies is regulated by the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 in accordance with EU Directive 2010/63/EU. Mice were housed in groups of 4 under enriched environmental conditions, were given a pelleted diet (‘RM1’. LBS) and water ad libitum. Animals were health and behaviour checked at least once per day during the acclimatisation period, and up to 4 times daily whilst on test by trained and competent technical staff, with attention to the degree of paralysis of the injected hind limb.

2.2. Antigens and antibodies

TeNT was obtained from List Biological Laboratories (USA). Recombinant TTC (rTTC) was obtained from Reagent Proteins (USA). Seven TeNT mouse mAbs with TeNT neutralizing properties were obtained from commercial suppliers (Supplemental Table S1). GT1b ganglioside from bovine brain was obtained from Sigma Aldrich (USA). For use in biosensors, TeNT was biotinylated at a 1:1 weight ratio with the EZ-Link™ NHS-PEG4-Biotinylation Kit (Pierce Biotechnology, USA).

The VHH cAb-TT2 was raised against tetanus toxoid and does not recognize the TTC fragment of TeNT [12]. It was produced in yeast strain SU51 using plasmid pRL188 in a format suitable for VHH immobilization to solid surfaces [40], without myc tag. cAb-TT2 was purified by immobilized-metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) and biotinylated as reported [40], and was used to present TeNT in an indirect format in ELISA.

2.3. Phage display selection

Llamas were injected intramuscularly simultaneously with both a ready to use tetanus toxoid vaccine intended for use in horses (1 ml, MSD Animal Health) and rTTC at day 0, 21 and 42. The rTTC (8 μg per injection) was emulsified with either Stimune adjuvant (Thermofisher Scientific, the Netherlands) for day 0 and 21 or with IMS1312 adjuvant (Seppic, France) for day 42. Serum samples were taken at day 0, 28 and 49. Heparinized blood samples were taken at day 28 and 49 for subsequent generation of phage display immune libraries in phagemid vector pRL144 [37,41]. The libraries were rescued using VCSM13 helper phage. Phage display selections were performed by biopanning [42] with TeNT or rTTC presented by passive adsorption. Alternatively, plates were coated with cAb-TT2 or mAb TT10 [28,39] in 50 mM NaHCO3 buffer (pH 9.6) for presentation of captured of TeNT. Bound phages were eluted by 30 min incubation at 37 °C with 1 mg/ml trypsin in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and transduced to Escherichia coli (E. coli) TG1 [(F traD36 proAB lacIqZ ΔM15) supE thi-1 Δ(lac-proAB) Δ(mcrB-lhsdS518trk mK+)] cells. In each selection round, a phage enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) was performed simultaneously with the phage display selection for evaluation of the phage display. After panning individual colonies were picked and the VHH genes were induced with 1 mM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside.

2.4. ELISA

The procedures for ELISAs as well as a phage ELISA have been described [43]. Unless otherwise stated, high binding 96-well poly-styrene plates were coated with 100 μl/well of antigen overnight at 4 °C. Plates were incubated at room temperature (RT) with 100 μl/well of suitable antibodies or VHVs which were then detected with 100 μl/well of horse radish peroxidase (HRP) conjugate. Bound HRP was detected by staining with 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine. The color reaction was stopped by addition of 0.5 M sulfuric acid (50 μl per well) the absorbance at 450 nm (A450) was measured using a Multiskan Ascent spectrophotometer (Thermo Labsystems, Finland).

2.4.1. Analysis of E. coli-produced VHVs

Individual E. coli-produced VHVs clones were screened in an ELISA with coated TeNT or rTTC (at 1 μg/ml and 4 μg/ml respectively), and with cAb-TT2 or mAb TT10 (both were coated at 1 μg/ml in 50 mM NaHCO3 buffer (pH 9.6)) immobilised TeNT (at 1 μg/ml). Plates were incubated with VHVs present in ten-fold diluted E. coli culture supernatants. Bound VHH was detected using 0.5 μg/ml of anti-myc clone 9E10 mAb HRP conjugate (Roche Applied Science, Germany).

2.4.2. GT1b-TeNT inhibition ELISA

A GT1b-TeNT inhibition ELISA was performed as reported [15]. Briefly, plates were coated with 10 μg/ml GT1b ganglioside in methanol at 100 μl/well by overnight incubation at RT. All subsequent incubations were performed in PBS containing 0.5% BSA and 0.05% Tween-20 for 1 h at RT. TeNT (1 μg/ml) was preincubated with VHVs, E. coli culture supernatants or mAbs in 100 μl/well in a plate for 1 h at RT. Next, 90 μl was transferred to the GT1b-coated plates and incubated for 1 h at RT. Plates were then incubated with 100 μl/well of 1000-fold diluted llama 9237 serum
of day 49. Bound llama IgG was detected with 10,000-fold diluted goat anti-lama IgG-HRP conjugate (G2L-HRP, Bethyl Laboratories, USA). Control incubations of TeNT without VHVs or mAbs in wells coated with GT1b (100% binding) or in wells without GT1b coating (0% binding) were included. The average A450 value of these two control incubations represents 50% inhibition (50%-A450). A four-parameter logistic curve was fitted to absorbance and antibody concentrations using the SOFTmax Pro 2.2.1 program (Molecular Devices). The antibody concentration resulting in 50% inhibition of TeNT-GT1b interaction (IC50) was then determined by interpolating the antibody concentration resulting in an A450 value equivalent to 50%-A450.

2.4.3. Analysis of yeast-produced VHVs

Various ELISA setups were done to evaluate yeast-produced monomers and multimers. We first describe the general procedure. Antigens were coated on plates as described above for E. coli-produced VHVs. Plates were then incubated with two-fold diluted VHH or mAb dilution series over 12 wells starting at a 1 µg/ml. Biotinylated VHVs or mAbs were detected with 0.5 µg/ml of a streptavidin-HRP conjugate (strept-HRP, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories Inc., USA), unlabeled VHVs were detected using 9E10-HRP or G2L-HRP, and unlabeled mAbs were detected with 2000-fold diluted rabbit anti-mouse immunoglobulin HRP conjugate (R2L-HRP). A four-parameter logistic curve was fitted to absorbance and antibody concentrations with software as described under 2.4.2 and was used to interpolate the Effective Concentration (EC) resulting in a specific A450 value for each antibody.

The EC value was interpolated at a relatively low A450 value in order to prevent that antibodies with a relatively low positive ELISA signal are scored as non-binding. The A450 values used for calculation of the EC values are indicated in the figure legends. They varied from 0.15 to 0.4 between the different ELISA setups dependent on the background and maximal A450 values observed. As compared to unlabeled antibodies, biotinylated antibodies generally gave higher maximal A450 and therefore a higher EC value was chosen for calculation of EC values. Antibodies that do not reach the A450 value defining the EC value are given an EC value of the highest antibody concentration used (1 µg/ml). The reciprocal EC values are reported since a high 1/EC corresponds to efficient antibody binding.

Analysis of VHH monomers or mAbs binding to TeNT was done in four ELISA setups. Plates coated with TeNT and plates with TeNT immobilised using cAb-TT2 were both incubated with dilution series of unlabeled VHVs or mAbs that were subsequently detected with 9E10-HRP or R2L-HRP. Similarly, coated plates were also incubated with biotinylated VHVs or mAbs that were subsequently detected with strep-HRP. Binding to coated rTTC was analysed by titration of biotinylated VHH or mAb without competition. A biotinylated VHH or mAb for competition was first determined using 0.5 µg/ml streptavidin-HRP conjugate. The % inhibition of antigen binding due to a competing VHH was calculated as 100–100* ([A450 with competing VHH or mAb] - [A450 without Ag coating]) / ([A450 without competing VHH or mAb] - [A450 without Ag coating]).

2.5. VHVs sequences

The VHH encoding regions of selected clones (coded T#) were sequenced as reported [37] and aligned according to the IMGT numbering system [44] of the mature VHH encoding region, ending at sequence VTSS. VHVs were classified into CDR3 groups based on having identical CDR3 length and at least 65% sequence identity in CDR3.

2.6. Production of VHVs

2.6.1. Production of monomers

TeNT binding monomers as well as control VHVs - A12L binding to animal serum albumin and G13L binding to animal immunoglobulin [45] - were produced by secretory yeast expression using plasmid pUR4585 [37]. The produced VHVs have C-terminal c-myc and H6-tags and are indicated by the suffix “L”. VHH T15L-3FW4M is derived from T15L containing mutations K120Q, I122T and L123Q in framework region 4 to increase the yeast production level [49]. To produce T15L-3FW4M, a synthetic PstI-BstEII fragment encoding T15L plus mutations was inserted into pUR4585. The BstEII site required for subcloning was lacking in T16, T20, T25 and T34. Therefore, this site was silently introduced by producing synthetic PstI-BstEII fragments and inserted into pUR4585. pUR4585-derived plasmids were introduced into Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain W303-1a (ATCC number 208352) by selection for the auxotrophic leu2 marker.

VHH production and purification was performed as reported [37]. Purified VHVs were concentrated and buffer exchanged to PBS by use of Amicon Ultra 3-kDa molecular weight cut off centrifugal concentration devices (Millipore, USA). The concentration was determined using the Biorad (USA) protein assay and a bovine IgG standard.

2.6.2. Production of multimers

VHH multimers were produced by MIRY integrants using plasmid pRL44 in yeast strain S5U0 [46]. In total 11 plasmids (Table 1) were generated that encode multimers composed of genetic fusions of TeNT binding T-VHVs with either the albumin binding VHH A12 or the IgG binding VHH G13 [45]. A mutant of T16 with the additional mutation L123Q (T16-L123Q) was used for multimer construction. Bispecific VHH dimers were linked with a (G4S)2
linker (GS2) derived from pRL144 [37]. In the case of a bivalent bispecific VHH trimer, the N-terminal VHH was linked to the middle VHH via a (G4S)3 linker (GS3) as reported [47] and the middle and C-terminal VHVs were linked with a GS2 linker. The C-terminal VHH of each multimer was given an H6-tag followed by a double stop codon and HindIII restriction site.

Synthetic SacI-HindIII fragments were subcloned into pRL44 [46] using SacI and HindIII sites. Strain SU50 (MATa; cirA double stop codon and HindIII restriction site) was transformed with the 11 Hpal-linearized plasmids (Table 1) by electroporation and leu + auxotrophs were selected. A single colony-purified transformant was used for expression (0.5 L shaker flask) and VHH was purified from supernatant by IMAC. VHH multimers were further purified by cation exchange chromatography on an SP sepharose column and used as a first reference (no ligand, no analyte). The standard protocols were followed. In short, the polycarboxylate chip (WAVEchip 4PCP-S) was conditioned using running buffer. Biotinylated TeNT or VHHs were immobilized as ligand by streptavidin coupling (10 μg/ml) for 11–17 min followed by incubation with blocking VHH multimer. The concentration was determined using the bicinchoninic acid assay (BCA, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and a bovine serum albumin standard (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The concentration was determined using the bicinchoninic acid assay (BCA, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and a bovine serum albumin standard (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

| Yeast expression plasmid | Multimer VHH description | VHH name | Yeast VHH production level (mg/L) | Predicted MW (dalton) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| pRL489                   | SVT6-GS2-SVA12M2-H6       | T6A12    | 11                              | 30,180               |
| pRL490                   | SVT6-GS3-SVT16-L123Q-GS2-SVA12M2-H6 | T6T16A12 | 10                              | 44,990               |
| pRL493                   | SVT2-SG2-SVA12M2-H6       | T2A12    | 1                               | 29,065               |
| pRL494                   | SVT15-3FW4M-GS2-SVA12M2-H6 | T15A12   | 34                              | 28,739               |
| pRL495                   | SVT16-L123Q-GS2-SVA12M2-H6 | T16A12   | 99                              | 29,192               |
| pRL496                   | SVT2-GS2-SVG13M4-H6       | T2G13    | 17                              | 27,908               |
| pRL497                   | SVT6-GS2-SVG13M4-H6       | T6G13    | 1                               | 29,083               |
| pRL498                   | SVT15-3FW4M-GS2-SVG13M4-H6 | T15G13   | 41                              | 27,643               |
| pRL499                   | SVT16-L123Q-GS2-SVG13M4-H6 | T16G13   | 97                              | 28,095               |
| pRL505                   | SVT6-GS3-SVT15-3FW4M-GS2-SVA12M2-H6 | T6T15A12 | 3                              | 44,537               |
| pRL506                   | SVT15-3FW4M-GS2-SVT6-GS2-SVG13M5-H6 | T15T6G13 | 5                              | 43,411               |

* Nomenclature earlier used in patent application [45]. The name reflects the order of the protein domains present in the multimers from N- to C-terminus, where L123Q represents a mutation in (SV)T16 and 3FW4M represents 3 mutations in FW4 region of (SV)T15.

† The production level in baker's yeast was calculated from the yield of purified VHH from 0.5 L shaker flask cultures.

2.8. Affinity measurements

The Octet RED96 and WAVEdelta technology (Creoptix AG, Switzerland) were used. For both systems we used biotinylated TeNT as ligand and VHVs as analyte, and the reverse setup. The on-rate (ka) and off-rate (kd) were determined by global fitting of the association and dissociation phases of a series of analyte concentrations. The mathematical model used assumes a 1:1 stoichiometry, fitting only one analyte in solution binding to one binding site on the surface. The equilibrium dissociation constant (Kd), a measure for affinity, was then calculated as the ratio of kd and ka.

2.8.1. Octet RED96 experiments

Here we used the same (SA) sensors, assay buffer and assay temperature (30 °C) as described above and, in addition, a kinetics buffer (PBS, Fischer Scientific), with 0.02% Tween 20 (Acros Organics) and 0.2% casein (Thermo Scientific) in all experiments. The concentrations of TeNT and VHVs were optimized for affinity measurements prior to the experiments. The typical assay protocol was as follows: baseline for 60–300 sec, loading for 600–2300 sec, wash for 30 sec, association of serial dilutions of TeNT or VHVs for 120–600 sec and finally dissociation for 400–1800 sec. For data Analysis the v10.0 software (FortéBio) was used.

2.8.2. WAVEdelta experiments

The analyses were performed in running buffer (PBS with 0.02% Tween 20) with a flowrate between 10 and 60 μl/min, an injection duration between 60 and 300 sec and dissociation duration between 45 and 6000 sec on the relevant channels, at 30 °C. In most cases, double referencing was used (control without ligand and control without analyte). The standard protocols were followed. In short, the polycarboxylate chip (WAVEchip 4PCP-S) was conditioned using running buffer. Biotinylated TeNT or VHVs were immobilized as ligand by streptavidin coupling (10 μl/min). One flow cell was treated the same way with only running buffer and used as a first reference (no ligand, no analyte). Before capturing the analyte, running buffer was passed over the flow cells as a second reference (ligand, no analyte) using the same protocol as the analyte. Subsequently, several serial dilutions of VHH or TeNT as analyte were sequentially passed over ligand-bound surface...
(60 µl/min). Finally, running buffer was passed over the flow cells to wash off analyte bound to the ligand, thus allowing measurement of $k_a$. For data analysis the WAVE control software was used.

2.9. TeNT neutralization test

The test was based on the European Pharmacopoeia (Ph Eur) monograph 0091 for tetanus antitoxin. The toxin dose level in the assays performed was lowered to Lp/200 per mouse to allow a higher sensitivity of the assay. The samples were diluted such that the final starting concentration in the assay mixture (i.e. including toxin) was at a predefined concentration. Each dilution was mixed with a fixed amount of TeNT (toxin batch AWX4664, 1/100 dilution) and left to stand for 30 min prior to injection (0.5 ml s.c., left thigh). Each dilution group consisted of 4 mice, two-fold or four-fold serial dilutions were used. Animals were observed for 96 h for signs of tetanus paresis. In each of 3 assays (Study 1–3) a reference antitoxin (1st International Standard, Human Tetanus Immunoglobulin, TE3 / 26/488) was included in parallel to allow for calculation of the potency (IU/mg) of the VHH monomer or multimer (mixture) tested.

3. Results

3.1. Characterization of TeNT binding monomers

TeNT binding VHHs were selected from phage display libraries of at least 2 × 10⁸ independent clones using both rTTC and TeNT that was either directly coated or immobilized with cAb-TT2 to permit the presentation of TeNT in multiple tertiary structure formats. After two rounds of panning individual VHHs clones were subjected to sequence analysis, resulting in a panel of 28 unique VHHs comprising 9 different CDR3 groups. In total 15 VHHs representing 7 different CDR3 groups were selected for production in yeast and further characterization (Fig. 1). VHHs were primarily selected based on the results in the ELISAs, a low predicted isoelectric point that correlates with high solubility and absence of deletions in conserved VHH framework regions. Furthermore, VHHs of two CDR3 groups were not selected because they contained 1 or 2 potential N-glycosylation sites close to the predicted antigen binding site which could thus potentially abrogate antigen binding. The ELISAs with biotinylated VHHs or mAbs on directly coated TeNT or rTTC (Fig. 1C) were overall consistent with ELISAs with biotinylated VHHs or mAbs on directly coated TeNT or ing site which could thus potentially abrogate antigen binding. The potential N-glycosylation sites close to the predicted antigen binding site V is detected by four VHHs from 2 CDR3 groups. The VHH T2L, mAb 14F5 and 6F55 recognize antigenic site I. Antigenic site IV (T6L and T8L) could represent a linear epitope as demonstrated by Western blot (Fig. S1).

3.2. Epitope binning with TeNT binding monomers

To enable the design of multimers that bind to different epitopes on antigenic subdomains of a single TeNT molecule, epitope binning was performed.

In total 10 VHHs and 6 mAbs were selected for epitope binning by ELISA (Table 2). We used at most 2 VHH representatives from each CDR3 group since VHHs from the same CDR3 group most likely originate from the same B-cell clone and therefore recognize the same antigenic site. We omitted T5L since it was poorly produced. All VHHs and mAbs blocked the binding of their biontinylated counterpart by at least 75%, indicating that the assay was valid. The VHHs fall into five independent antigenic sites that we indicated by roman numerals I to V. As expected, VHHs from the same CDR3 group are always part of the same antigenic site. Antigenic site IV is detected by four VHHs from 2 CDR3 groups. The VHH T2L, mAb 14F5 and 6F55 recognize antigenic site I. Antigenic site IV (T6L and T8L) could represent a linear epitope as demonstrated by Western blot (Fig. S1).

3.3. Production and characterization of bispecific VHH multimers

Multimers were designed that combined two characteristics - they had to possess high affinity to TeNT and promote serum longevity.

The details of 11 VHH multimers (8 VHH dimers (VHH2s) and 3 VHH trimers (VHH3s)) are provided in Table 1. Four TeNT-binding VHHs (T2, T6, T15-3FW4M, T16) were selected because they recognize four separate antigenic sites and either blocked GT1b receptor interaction (T6, T15-3FW4M and T16) or competed in ELISA with TeNT neutralizing mAbs (T2 and T6; Table 2).

Production of these VHH multimers without a myc tag yielded single molecules of the expected molecular weight, approximately 30 kDa for a VHH2 and 45 kDa for a VHH3 (Fig. 2). The VHH monomers which contained a myc tag yielded an additional molecule with an approximately 2-kDa higher molecular mass which presumably represents partial O-glycosylation related to the presence of the tag as reported [48].

The production level of the VHH multimers ranged from 1 to 99 mg/l (Table 1). TeNT binding of VHH multimers was analyzed by three different ELISAs (Fig. 3). The binding of multimers to directly coated TeNT, except for T2- containing VHH2s, confirmed the properties found for the respective monomers. The 1/1EC values are often slightly higher as compared to the VHH monomers, presumably due to better recognition of multimers by the anti-llama polyclonal used. In an ELISA using coated IgG or Alb, and biontinylated TeNT for detection, all multimers showed bispecific binding to both TeNT and IgG or Alb. All monomers were negative in this ELISA. The VHH multimers demonstrated IC50 values in the GT1b-TeNT inhibition ELISA that were comparable to their monomer counterparts.

The in-tandem blocking assays (Fig. 4) demonstrated that the VHH3 could fully block the binding of the same VHH3 as well as the 2 VHH monomers that are also represented in the VHH3s, confirming the correct composition of the VHH3s. All three VHH3s also block binding of a third TTC-binding VHH monomer that is not represented in the VHH3. Blocking is partial in the case of T16L that is not represented in T6T15A12 (40%) nor in T15T6G13 (30%), whereas 100% blocking of T15L-3FW4M occurs by T6T16A12 which lacks T15 (Fig. 4).

3.4. Monomer and multimer VHHs affinity for TeNT

The results are shown in Table 3 and supplemental Fig. S2. The monomers T2L, T6L, T15L and T16L all had affinities in the range of 0.2–0.9 nM. The VHH T3L had a very high affinity of 1.4 pM. The VHH T3L had a very high affinity of 1.4 pM. The VHH T3L multimers T6T16A12, T6T15A12 and T6T15G13 had a $k_a$ of 4–23 pM. Affinity measurements varied between the different ligand-analyte setups by a factor of, at most, five-fold. This could be due to variabilities in biontinylation of the ligand interfering with binding of the analyte or variability in VHH or TeNT concentration.
Fig. 1. TeNT binding of VHHs and mAbs in different ELISA setups. Binding of unlabeled or biotinylated VHH or mAb to either cAb-TT2 immobilized TeNT (A) or coated TeNT (B) is shown. Panel C shows the absorbance value of a single VHH or mAb at a single concentration when bound to coated rTTC or the corresponding negative control (no antigen). Panel D shows inhibition of TeNT-ganglioside interaction by VHHs or mAbs in ELISA. In panels A, B and D dilution series of VHHs or mAbs were incubated. The reciprocal of the EC value (A and B) or the IC50 value (D) is presented. The EC values were interpolated at the A450 values indicated in the legends of panels A and B. VHHs belonging to the same CDR3 group are boxed while mAbs are not boxed. The letters to identify a CDR3 group (A-G) or independent antigenic site (I-V) are indicated at the bottom.
determination. It is not unusual to observe differences in affinities between different measurement techniques [15].

3.5. TeNT neutralizing in vivo potency

Three consecutive studies were performed (Table 4). The International Standard for Tetanus Antitoxin (TE-3) performed as expected in all 3 studies with similar end points of 0.0325 IU, 0.0325 IU and 0.034 IU, respectively.

We preferentially used VHH multimers for this assay, since these have the potential to provide in vivo serum half-life extension. However, monomer T3L was also included since this VHH covers a separate antigenic site and possesses very high affinity. Study 1 showed that two out of four VHH2s did not neutralize TeNT at a concentration of 1000 nM. The two VHH2s with either T6 or T15-3FW4M had a potency between 1.0 and 1.2 IU per mg. The VHH3 T6T16A12 showed complete protection at the lowest concentration analyzed (3.1 nM). In study 2 T6T16A12 again showed complete protection at the lowest concentration analyzed (0.2 nM). The combinations T6G13 + T16G13 or T6G13 + T15G13 showed full protection at the lowest concentration analyzed (3.91 nM). An additional synergistic effect of T2G13 or T3L present in the mixtures of four VHHs could thus not be demonstrated. The combination of T15G13 with T16G13 (total concentration 125 nM) was not protective. In study 3, T6T16A12 was further titrated which finally resulted in an endpoint of neutralization that allowed estimation of its potency to be 1510 IU/mg. The two VHHs T6G13 and T16G13 when tested at lower dilutions let to an endpoint estimate of full protection between 0.4 and 3.91 nM. Since the individual T6G13 VHH showed full protection at 1000 nM and T16G13 did

### Table 2

| VHH or mAb | CDR3 Group | Inhibition of binding of biotinylated VHH or mAb (%)a | Antigenic site |
|------------|------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| T2L        | E          | 96 97 94 9 6 12 2 16 8 19 21 3 26 -16 -11 19 1 - | I -            |
| 14F5       | -          | 81 78 54 28 6 16 12 22 -16 9 42 -28 0 20 35 14 I - |
| 6F55       | -          | 72 85 78 10 4 41 16 30 29 -24 39 10 17 12 33 27 I - |
| T3L        | F          | 10 -8 35 97 12 10 10 10 -12 17 23 10 18 -4 0 -26 II - |
| T15L       | C          | 6 7 -2 -5 96 96 1 15 6 4 2 2 5 10 5 0 10 III + |
| T15L-3FW4M | C          | 10 2 -4 -8 96 95 7 6 6 6 -5 4 3 3 -9 -1 III + |
| T6L        | B          | 11 11 4 -3 14 12 96 97 67 53 7 3 -3 11 4 5 IV + |
| T8L        | B          | 7 3 1 0 6 12 95 96 66 50 5 6 8 8 0 4 IV + |
| 6E7        | -          | 12 8 1 4 4 7 18 35 75 64 -3 1 -2 7 0 6 IV + |
| 6F57       | -          | 4 3 -1 -3 -1 10 45 49 88 85 -4 7 0 11 1 -3 IV + |
| T13L       | D          | 7 5 1 1 19 8 1 13 2 0 95 92 91 91 4 2 V + |
| T22L       | D          | 4 0 2 -5 2 11 6 1 8 10 95 94 92 93 -2 3 V + |
| T16L       | A          | 13 6 -3 -1 12 17 -8 2 6 2 96 96 95 95 -1 -2 V + |
| T34L       | A          | 2 4 3 0 3 14 -2 4 10 13 93 94 93 95 -2 2 V + |
| B417M      | <220 <23 44 7 66 33 11 56 66 65 6 66 56 43 79 -146 - - |
| 11n185     | <43 <24 17 19 18 3 5 -4 19 16 1 38 14 -11 15 84 - - |

*aValues above or equal to 50 are indicated in grey background color. mAb names are underlined.

*bBiotinylated VHH or mAb is in rows and unlabeled VHH or mAb in columns.

* - no TTC binding; +, TTC binding. Based on Fig. 1C.

Fig. 2. SDS PAGE analysis of VHH monomers, dimers (VHH2s) and trimers (VHH3s) produced in yeast. Panels (A) and (B) represent two different gels. The position of VHHs, VHH2s or VHH3s is indicated by arrows. The molecular weights of the marker proteins are indicated at the right.
In total, 11 VHHs were isolated that inhibited TeNT-ganglioside interaction, bound TTC in ELISA and covered 3 epitope bins. For in vivo evaluation of TeNT neutralization, we selected 3 VHHs (T6, T15 and T16) covering all 3 bins in TTC and VHHs T2 and T3 addressing two other bins. VHH2s were generated by fusion to an albumin or immunoglobulin binding VHH domain. The VHH2s containing T6 and T15 show in vivo neutralization at a concentration of 1000 nM or 500 nM, respectively, whereas T16 did not. The observation that VHHs that inhibit TeNT-ganglioside interaction, such as T16, do not neutralize TeNT in vivo has been reported [15,50,51]. Mixtures of VHH2s containing T6 and T16 or T6 and

Fig. 3. The binding in ELISA of multimer VHHs to TeNT both monospecific and bispecific in combination with dog IgG or albumin. Monospecific VHH binding to TeNT was analyzed by TeNT-ganglioside inhibition ELISA and on plates coated with TeNT. In addition, bispecific binding requiring VHH binding to coated dog albumin (A12 containing multimeric VHHs) or dog IgG (G13 containing multimeric VHHs) and capture of soluble biotinylated TeNT is shown. The reciprocal of the EC or IC value of each VHH is shown. The EC values were interpolated at the indicated A450 values.

Fig. 4. Blocking by VHH3s of TeNT binding of 3 monomeric VHHs or the same VHH3 that was used for blocking. In biolayer interferometry experiments sensors were loaded with biotinylated TeNT and subsequently with blocking VHH3s. Then sensors were loaded with monomeric analyte VHHs or the same VHH3 as used for blocking. The percentage inhibition of the association signal of analyte VHHs as compared to a control assay without prior blocking by VHH3s is given.

not protect at 1000 nM, the level of synergy obtained with T6T16A12 is at least 250–1000-fold. The mixture of T15G13 and T6T16A12 was almost equally as potent as T6T16A12 alone.
T15, but not T16 and T15, lead to strong (more than 100-fold) synergistic protection in vivo.

Increased potency of multimer VHHs when they recognize independent antigenic sites has been reported \[14,24,33,34,52\]. \* We ergistic protection T15, but not T16 and T15, lead to strong (more than 100-fold) synergistic protection in vivo. \**

We ergistic protection T15, but not T16 and T15, lead to strong (more than 100-fold) synergistic protection in vivo.

Table 3

| VHH/VHH combination | VHH in 1st dilution (nM) | Lowest VHH concentration giving 100% protection (nM) | Potency (IU/mg) |
|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Study 1              |                          |                                                     |                 |
| T2G13                | 1000                     | >1000                                               | 1.04            |
| T3L                  | 1000                     | >1000                                               | 1.04            |
| T6G13                | 1000                     | 1000                                                | 1.04            |
| T5G13                | 1000                     | 500                                                 | 1.21            |
| T6G13                | 1000                     | >1000                                               | 1.04            |
| T6T16A12              | 100                      | ≤3.1                                                | >93             |
| Study 2              |                          |                                                     |                 |
| T6G13 + T16G13        | 125                      | ≤3.91\textsuperscript{b}                            | ND\textsuperscript{c} |
| T2G13 + T3L + T6G13 + T16G13 | 125                  | ≤3.91\textsuperscript{b}                            | ND\textsuperscript{c} |
| T6G13 + T5G13         | 125                      | ≤3.91\textsuperscript{b}                            | ND\textsuperscript{c} |
| T2G13 + T3L + T6G13 + T5G13 | 125                  | ≤3.91\textsuperscript{b}                            | ND\textsuperscript{c} |
| T5G13 + T6G13         | 125                      | >125                                                | ND\textsuperscript{c} |
| T15G13 + T6T16A12     | 68.75                    | ≤2.15                                               | ND\textsuperscript{c} |
| T6T16A12              | 6.25                     | ≤0.2                                                | >1478           |
| Study 3\textsuperscript{d} |                        |                                                     |                 |
| T6T16A12              | 0.2                      | 0.2\textsuperscript{e}                              | 1510            |
| T6G13 + T16G13        | 0.4                      | 0.4                                                  | ND\textsuperscript{c} |
| T15G13 + T6T16A12     | 0.4                      | 0.4                                                  | ND\textsuperscript{c} |

\textsuperscript{a} For VHH mixtures the total VHH concentration is given; i.e. the individual VHHs are present at equivalent (molar) amounts.
\textsuperscript{b} Full protection at all dilutions.
\textsuperscript{c} ND, not determined since it contains mixture of VHHs.
\textsuperscript{d} Fourfold dilution steps; in other two studies a twofold dilution step was used.
\textsuperscript{e} 50% protection at top dilution only.

or conformational changes \[56\] due to binding \[15,25\]. Elucidation of the exact binding sites of the monomers would support the rational design of additional VHH multimers covering multiple (functional) domains, as recently shown for BoNT \[57\].

A strength of the current approach was the isolation of a set of VHHs that enabled a rational design of multimers. Here, the coated (TeNT and rTTC) or indirect presentation of TeNT in various test setups was important as was noted for selection of diphtheria toxin neutralizing mAbs \[17\], but which was often neglected while isolating TeNT binding (recombinant) antibodies \[10,11,13,25,28,50,51\], although not always \[15\]. In an earlier study, three VHHs were obtained that inhibited rTTC binding to GT1b gangliosides and bound to rTTC with moderate affinity \[10\] and BoNT \[32\]. Multimers composed of 4 VHH domains have been described \[36,58,59\] thus inclusion of a third TeNT binding VHH (e.g. T2 or T3) into T6T16A12 is possible. It would add another functional domain which in a VHH4 format could restrict the necessary conformations that TeNT assumes after entering neurons \[20\]. Especially T3L, with its extreme high affinity could be of interest. Of course searching for novel VHHs that cover more of the 20 competition bins already identified can also lead to potent multimers \[10\]. In a similar approach for ricin toxin, a panel of 68 unique VHHs covering 20 competition bins \[52\] offers multiple design options for multimers.

T6T16A12 neutralized TeNT in a standardized mouse bioassay at 1510 IU/mg, which compares favorably to commercial human immunoglobulin antitoxin preparations which have potencies of 1–2.5 IU/mg, and to a potency of 29.5 IU/mg of the horse immunoglobulin antitoxin TE 6/66/2 \[60\], and mixtures of 2 or more mAbs with potencies of 15–77 IU/mg \[10\]. A human mAb mixture provided protection at an amount of 0.625 μg (equating to 4.2 nM) \[11\] which is less than the VHH2 mixtures evaluated in this study. The administration of the recommended dose of 500–1000 IU/kg equine antitoxin in established human cases of tetanus \[61\] requires a dose of 0.5 mg/kg of T6T16A12. A similar dose regime (100–1000 IU/kg) is recommended for cats and dogs \[62\].

The serum half-life of T6T16A12 was successfully extended in two different species \[45\]. However, the A12 VHH in T6T16A12 does not bind to albumin of mice \[45\], thus it is highly potent without serum half-life extension in mice. This is not surprising considering the experimental setup where toxin is pre-mixed with VHH prior to injection, which can also confer protection with monovalent VHHs \[50\]. The ability of T6T16A12 to bind serum albumin in vivo when administered to the target species (e.g. horse) could
Von Behring E, Kitasato S. Ueber das zustandekommen der Diphtherie.

Halpern JL, Loftus A. Characterization of the receptor-binding domain of Enterotoxigenic Bacteroides fragilis (ET-2): employed by Smivet B.V., the Netherlands. B. Ackerschott and H. de Smit were llama immunization, phage display selections and VHH production (Wageningen Bioveterinary Research) for technical assistance in complexing with IgG has been observed [14,63,64].

For T6T16A12 would occur it is unlikely that the (bivalent) binding of T6T16A12 would be abolished. The favorable characteristics of the novel VHH trimer T6T16A12 warrant further development for medical use [67] such as to provide a better product profile than existing serum derived products [1,27].
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