Comprehensive analysis and discovery of drought-related NAC transcription factors in common bean
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Abstract

Background: Common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) is an important warm-season food legume. Drought is the most important environmental stress factor affecting large areas of common bean via plant death or reduced global production. The NAM, ATAF1/2 and CUC2 (NAC) domain protein family are classic transcription factors (TFs) involved in a variety of abiotic stresses, particularly drought stress. However, the NAC TFs in common bean have not been characterized.

Results: In the present study, 86 putative NAC TF proteins were identified from the common bean genome database and located on 11 common bean chromosomes. The proteins were phylogenetically clustered into 8 distinct subfamilies. The gene structure and motif composition of common bean NACs were similar in each subfamily. These results suggest that NACs in the same subfamily may possess conserved functions. The expression patterns of common bean NAC genes were also characterized. The majority of NACs exhibited specific temporal and spatial expression patterns. We identified 22 drought-related NAC TFs based on transcriptome data for drought-tolerant and drought-sensitive genotypes. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed to confirm the expression patterns of the 20 drought-related NAC genes.

Conclusions: Based on the common bean genome sequence, we analyzed the structural characteristics, genome distribution, and expression profiles of NAC gene family members and analyzed drought-responsive NAC genes. Our results provide useful information for the functional characterization of common bean NAC genes and rich resources and opportunities for understanding common bean drought stress tolerance mechanisms.
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Background

Common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) is one of the most important crops worldwide and plays important roles in resolving food shortages in Africa and adjusting diet structure in developed countries. However, the growth and productivity of common bean are severely affected by abiotic stress, particularly drought stress. Drought affects large areas of common bean in China by causing plant death or reducing production. Preventing loss over the next few decades is already a challenge in China, particularly in the provinces of Xinjiang and Shanxi. Thus, it is very important to identify drought-associated genes in the common bean germplasm.

Transcription factors (TFs) are pivotal regulators involved in the response to abiotic stresses such as drought, salt, and cold [1–5]. A total of 129,288 TFs belonging to 58 different families from 83 species have been identified in the plant TF database (PlantTFDB, version 3.0) [6]. The TF family includes AP2 (1,776), ARF (1,914), and C3H (4,019), among others. The largest TF family is the bHLH family, which comprises 11,428 TFs, followed by MYB (8,746) and ERF (8,688). The species in this database represent Chlorophyta, Bryophyta, Lycopodiophyta, Coniferopsida, basai Magnoliophyta, Monocot and Eudicot. The genome of the monocot maize has the largest number of TFs, 3,316 (2,231 loci), which are classified into 55 families. Approximately 10.9% of the genome of the eudicot *Glycine max* encodes more than 5,069 TFs (3,714 loci) classified into 57 families [7].

The NAM, ATAF1/2 and CUC2 (NAC) genes are plant-specific TFs that constitute one of the largest families of plant transcription factors. NAC family genes are characterized by a conserved NAC domain at the N-terminus consisting of nearly 160 amino acid residues. The NAC domain is divided into five subdomains (A-E), and the C-terminal regions of NAC proteins are not conserved [8–15]. PlantTFDB (V3.0) contains 8,133 NAC genes from 74 species. The plant species with the most NAC genes are *Populus trichocarpa* (289), *Gossypium raimondii* (266), *Malus domestica* (253), *Glycine max* (247), and *Eucalyptus grandis* (202). By contrast, 15 plant species, including *Vigna unguiculata* (20), *Brassica oleracea* (39), and *Helianthus annuus* (21), have fewer than 50 reported NAC loci in PlantTFDB. Interestingly, there are few TFs from food legumes in PlantTFDB. Furthermore, NAC proteins have recently been reported in algae, where they may play a role in the stress response [16]. In recent years, the whole genome sequences of several food legumes have been completed, including those of pigeonpea [17], chickpea [18, 19], common bean [20, 21], mung bean [22], and adzuki bean [23]. These genome sequences provide a wonderful opportunity for a comparative genome survey of new TFs from food legumes. In plants, NAC genes regulate a variety of plant developmental processes, including floral morphogenesis [24], root development [25], leaf senescence [26, 27], stress-inducible flowering induction [28], seed development [29] and fiber development [30]. NAC domain proteins have also been implicated in plant abiotic stresses and defense responses, such as salt [31, 32], wounding [33], cold [34], and particularly drought [31, 32, 35]. For example, ANAC019, ANAC055, ANAC072 and ATAF1 regulate the expression of stress-responsive genes under drought stress in Arabidopsis [36, 37]. The wheat TaNAC29, TaNAC47, TaNAC67 and TaNAC2 genes respond to drought stress [1, 38–40]. Similarly, transgenic rice overexpressing OsNAC045, OsNAC6, and OsNAC10 exhibits enhanced resistance to drought stress [41–43]. Recently, the roles of a stress-related NAC transcription factor (MINAC9) were reported in *Mischanthus lutariariparius* and in improved drought-tolerant transgenic cultivars [32]. Although a large number of NAC TFs have been functionally characterized in Arabidopsis, wheat, rice, and other plants, the functions of the majority of NAC members remain unknown in legumes. For common bean, a model legume species, there are very limited reports on the functional characterization of NAC TFs. Recently, chickpea CarNAC3 and CarNAC5 were reported as transcriptional activators involved in the drought stress response [44, 45]. Tran et al. analyzed 31 full-length NAC genes from soybean and determined that nine were induced by drought [46]. GmNAC043, GmNAC085 and GmNAC101 were identified in drought-tolerant soybean cultivars by genetic engineering [47]. However, there have been no reports about drought-tolerant related NAC TFs from common bean.

In our study, we performed genome-wide identification of NAC domain TFs in common bean and detailed analyses of the genome distribution, gene structure, conserved motifs and expression patterns under drought stress. Our results provide a subset of potential candidate drought-tolerant related NAC genes for future analyses of gene function in common bean.

Results

Identification of NAC transcription factors in common bean

In this study, the Hidden Markov Model (HMM) profile of the Pfam NAC domain (PF02365) was used as a query to identify NAC genes in the common bean genome (release 1.0, https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html#!info?alias=Org_Pvulgaris). A total of 106 non-redundant putative NAC genes were obtained, of which 86 full-length protein sequences were used for further analyses, such as gene structure and phylogenetic tree analyses. First, we analyzed the genome, CDS and protein lengths; MW; pI; and subcellular
localization of these NAC genes (Additional file 1: Table S1). The genome length (from the start to stop codons) of these NAC genes ranged from 741 bp (Phvul.007G140300) to 5,751 bp (Phvul.001G161700). The CDS length ranged from 537 bp (Phvul.007G140300) to 2,016 bp (Phvul.006G087000), protein length from 179 AA (Phvul.007G140300) to 672 AA (Phvul.006G087000), MW from 20.20 kDa (Phvul.007G140300) to 76.38 kDa (Phvul.006G087000) and pI from 4.59 (Phvul.007G140500) to 9.81 (Phvul.007G140300). Subcellular localization prediction indicated that 74 genes were located in the nucleus and 12 genes were potentially extracellular.

**Genome distribution of common bean genes**

Figure 1 shows that the 84 common bean NAC genes are distributed across all 11 chromosomes (Ch1-Ch11); however, in the most recently released sequences, Phvul.L010000 remained on as-of-yet unmapped scaffolds. The distributions of common bean NAC genes across the chromosome appeared to be non-random (Fig. 1). Only two NAC genes are distributed on Ch10, the lowest number of genes on a chromosome; on Ch2, 14 NAC genes were identified, the highest number of genes. A number of clusters of NAC genes are evident on the chromosomes, particularly on those with high densities of NAC genes. For example, NAC-Ch9.6 and NAC-Ch9.7 were clustered localized on a 14-kb segment on Ch9, and NAC-Ch5.10 and NAC-Ch5.11, NAC-Ch5.7 and NAC-Ch5.8 are in a cluster on 50-kb and 54-kb fragments of Ch5, respectively. However, NAC-Ch7.3 and NAC-Ch7.4 are arranged in a cluster localized to a 67-kb segment on Ch7 (Fig. 1). In addition, NAC-Ch2.8 and NAC-Ch2.9 are organized in another cluster within a 103-kb fragment on Ch2, whereas NAC-Ch1.5 and

![Fig. 1 Chromosomal location of common bean NAC genes. A total of 85 NAC genes were mapped to the 11 chromosomes (Ch1-Ch11), whereas the NAC-sc gene was located on unassembled scaffold_229. The arrows represent the direction of transcription. The position of each gene can be estimated using the scale on the left.](image)
NAC-ChI.6 are arranged in a cluster localized to a 110-kb segment on Ch1 (Fig. 1).

Putative promoter region analysis of the NAC gene family TFs bind to the DNA on specific cis-acting regulatory elements (CAREs), which determine the initiation of transcription and are among the most important gene structures [48]. CAREs are short conserved motifs of 5 to 20 nucleotides usually found within the 1500 bp upstream of genes, known as the promoter region [48]. To further investigate transcriptional regulation and the potential functions of NAC subfamily genes in common bean, the promoter regions of the NAC genes (1500-bp sequences upstream of the translational start site) were analyzed using the PlantCARE database to identify putative CAREs. A total of 83 similar CAREs associated with abiotic stress resistance. For instance, Phvul.004G029900 had up to five types of abiotic stress CAREs.

Phylogenetic relationships, conserved motifs and gene structure analysis of the NAC gene
To determine the phylogenetic relationships between NAC genes in common bean, an unrooted phylogenetic tree with 86 complete NAC protein sequences was constructed (Fig. 2a). The phylogenetic tree revealed that NAC family proteins can be classified into eight major groups: I, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII and VIII (Fig. 2a), consistent with previous reports [8, 49]. Group I is the largest clade, with 29 members, and accounts for 33.7 % of all NAC TFs, and groups II and IV contain the same number of members (17). Group VII contains only one member, Phvul.001G023400, and groups I, II, III and IV each contain two subgroups.

The N-termini of NAC TFs contain five subdomains (A-E) [8]. Thus, we analyzed the conserved motifs of NAC TFs from common bean using the MEME program [50] (Figs. 2b, and 3 and Additional file 3: Table S3). The motif distribution analyses of the NAC proteins revealed that 56 of 86 (65.1 %) common bean NAC proteins contain all five domains, domains A, B, C, D and E (Fig. 2b and Additional file 3: Table S3). Nine (10.5 %) NAC proteins lack one domain (A, B or C); nine (10.5 %) NAC proteins lack domains B and C; eleven (12.8 %) NAC proteins lack B and D; and only one protein, Phvul.008G159200, lacks three domains (A, B and C). All common bean NAC domains (86) contain motif E, the most highly conserved motif in common bean NACs. Domain A is also relatively highly conserved; only Phvul.002G085700 and Phvul.008G159200 lack motif A. However, motif B is the least conserved motif in common bean NACs. For instance, all members of groups I and III contain all five motifs (A-E), whereas the members of group VIII (expect for Phvul.008G159200) contain motifs A, D and E. By contrast, the conserved motif appears to be more variable in groups II, IV, V and VI.

To analyze the structural diversity of NAC genes, we compared the exon/intron organization in the coding sequences of individual NAC genes in common bean using GSDS 2.0. The detailed gene structures are shown in Fig. 2c. Based on the results of gene structure prediction, the number of introns ranges from one to five in the common bean NAC gene family. Among these NAC genes, most NAC genes have two introns, whereas two members have one intron. Overall, genes with highly similar gene structures were clustered in the same phylogenetic group of common bean NAC genes.

Expression pattern of NAC TFs in common bean
The coding sequences of all NAC domains of common bean were used to search the expression database using
Phytozome. Expression data are not available for Phvul.L010000.1, and the expression profiles of 85 NAC genes in 9 common bean tissues, including young trifoliates, leaves, flower buds, flowers, green mature pods, young pods, roots, stems, and nodules, were obtained. No tissue expressed all 85 NAC genes (Additional file 4: Table S4), but the majority of the TFs coexisted in all tissues (62 genes, 72.94%). NAC TFs were expressed in some tissues but not others. NAC TFs were most abundant in nodules (84 genes, 98.82%), followed by young pods and roots (80 genes, 94.12%), flowers (79 genes, 92.94%), and stems (78 genes, 91.67%). Few NAC TFs were expressed in the leaves (71 genes, 93.53%). We constructed an expression profile heat map based on expression data in different organs of NAC TFs (Fig. 4). All NAC TFs with expression profiles were clustered into 6 groups based on their expression patterns. Moreover, five NAC TFs (Phvul.002G271700, Phvul.007G140500, Phvul.007G085600, Phvul.007G140300 and Phvul.008G001000) were highly expressed in all common bean organs. No gene was specifically expressed in only one tissue. Phvul.002G085700 was specifically expressed in nodules and roots, whereas Phvul.005G122500 was specifically expressed in nodules and green mature pods.
pods. The other NAC genes were expressed in at least three tissues.

Expression profiles of NAC TFs under drought stresses
Numerous NAC domain proteins have been implicated in plant drought stress [1–3]. To determine the expression profiles of NAC TFs under drought stress, 86 NAC genes were analyzed using transcriptome and qRT-PCR data. The transcriptome data obtained from our previous report described the expression profiling of the genotypes Long 22-0579 (drought tolerant) and Naihua (drought sensitive) in response to drought stress [51].

We detected 13 differentially expressed NAC genes (DENs) between samples LOI and LTD and 18 genes between NOI and NTD. In this study, ‘up-regulated’ and ‘down-regulated’ were denoted in accordance with the results from a previous study (Table 1). Between samples LOI and LTD, more DENs were up-regulated (9) than down-regulated (4). Similarly, more DENs were up-regulated (10) than down-regulated (8) between NOI and NTD. Among these DENs, eleven NAC genes shared a common expression pattern in Long 22-0579 or Naihua under drought stress. Two genes (Phvul.004G028300 and Phvul.009G163200) were up- or down-regulated under drought stress only in the drought-tolerant genotype, whereas five genes were differentially expressed under drought stress only in the drought-sensitive genotype. In addition, four genes (Phvul.002G3616500, Phvul.004G028300, Phvul.005G05900 and Phvul.005G084600) exhibited differential expression under drought stress between different cultivars (Long 22-0579 or Naihua). However, Phvul.002G3616500 and Phvul.004G028300 were also differentially expressed under drought stress in the drought-sensitive and drought-tolerant genotypes, respectively. All candidate DENs obtained by RNA-seq analysis were further validated by RT-PCR (Fig. 5 and Additional file 5: Table S5). The expression profiles of 20 candidates, excluding Phvul.008G159200 and Phvul.009G008000, were generally in agreement with the predictions from the RNA-seq results (Additional file 6: Table S6). These results suggest that these DENs are related to drought stress.

In general, orthologous genes of different plants usually have similar functions [52]. Thus, common bean NAC genes may have functions similar to those of genes in the same subgroup with known functions. We built a phylogenetic tree based on the amino acid sequences of NAC proteins from common bean and known drought-related NAC proteins from other species, including rice, Arabidopsis, soybean, chickpea, and wheat (Additional file 7: Figure S1). A total of 20 DENs belonged to different subgroups including drought-related NAC genes. These results indicate that orthologs such as Phvul.009G15280, Phvul.005G084500 and other DENs may have similar functions and that these DENs may be associated with
Fig. 4 Heat map of expression profiles for NAC genes across different tissues. The expression data were generated from the Phytozome database and viewed in MeV software. Hierarchical clustering was performed for the transcript ratios from all conditions. The color scale shown below represents expression values, with green indicating low levels and red indicating high levels of transcript abundance.
### Table 1: Selected differentially expressed NAC proteins between different treatment and cultivars

| Expression pattern | Genes                  | Fold change (LOI to LTD) | Fold change (NOI to NTD) | Fold change (LOI to NOI) |
|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Up-regulated       | Phvul.004G028300        | 3.41                     | 3.80                     |                          |
|                    | Phvul.003G045600        | 2.78                     | 2.74                     |                          |
|                    | Phvul.009G152900        | 3.16                     | 3.04                     |                          |
|                    | Phvul.009G152800        | 3.53                     | 3.13                     |                          |
|                    | Phvul.011G147800        | 4.28                     | 4.46                     |                          |
|                    | Phvul.009G156300        | 5.03                     | 5.11                     |                          |
|                    | Phvul.005G084500        | 5.56                     | 5.52                     |                          |
|                    | Phvul.002G170200        | 5.13                     | 5.58                     |                          |
|                    | Phvul.006G188900        | 5.44                     | 5.86                     |                          |
|                    | Phvul.001G072200        | 2.37                     |                          |                          |
|                    | Phvul.004G077400        | 3.31                     |                          |                          |
| Down-regulated     | Phvul.009G163200        | −3.69                    |                          |                          |
|                    | Phvul.007G089600        | −2.72                    | −5.45                    |                          |
|                    | Phvul.008G159200        | −2.67                    | −4.86                    |                          |
|                    | Phvul.010G118700        | −2.38                    | −2.98                    |                          |
|                    | Phvul.002G316500        | −4.18                    | 3.14                     |                          |
|                    | Phvul.002G206300        | −4.11                    |                          |                          |
|                    | Phvul.005G079000        | −3.74                    |                          |                          |
|                    | Phvul.009G008000        | −3.39                    |                          |                          |
|                    | Phvul.008G241200        | −2.38                    |                          |                          |
|                    | Phvul.005G059000        |                          | −3.52                    |                          |
|                    | Phvul.005G084600        |                          | −2.15                    |                          |

**Fig. 5** qRT-PCR validation of drought-related NAC proteins from common bean
drought stress. However, we also observed that Phvul.005G059000 and Phvul.004G028300 belonged to the same subgroup without any known-function NAC genes. Furthermore, MBS is a cis-acting regulatory element that is predicted to serve as an MYB binding site involved in drought inducibility. TsAxph6 (Thellungiella salsuginea) is involved in the response to drought stress and contains an MBS element in its promoter [53]. Among these related NAC genes of common bean, 16 genes contain MBS cis-elements (e.g., Phvul.003G045600, Phvul.011G147800 and Phvul.009G156300). These results support the involvement of these NAC genes in drought resistance. We also compared the cis-acting regulatory elements and the promoters of DENS and orthologues from different plants (soybean, rice, and Arabidopsis) (Additional file 8: Table S7). Among these CAREs, in addition to essential elements and enhancers, we found 18 conservative CAREs (more than half of the genes) in drought-responsive genes (e.g., ARE, ccdian, HSE, MBS, Skn-1_motif, CGTCA, and TGACG). Among these CAREs, MBS involves in drought inducibility, and CGTCA and TGACG involve in MeJA responsiveness. These conservative CAREs maybe play an important role in regulating drought resistance.

**Discussion**

Common bean is a food legume. The seeds of common bean are an important food source, and common bean plants also contribute to soil fertility. Whole-genome sequences of many food legumes, including pigeonpea [17], chickpea [18, 19], mung bean [22], and adzuki bean [23], have recently been released. The genome of common bean was completed with two P. vulgaris accessions: an Andean genotype (Phaseolus vulgaris L., G19833) and a Mesoamerican genotype (Phaseolus vulgaris L., BAT93) [20, 21]. These sequence data provide rich resources for comparative genomic analyses and genome and gene evolution studies. The NAC protein family is one of the largest families of TFs and is involved in plant development and response to abiotic and biotic stresses. NAC proteins have been studied in many plants, including maize, soybean, *Oryza sativa*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, and *Opulus trichocarpa* [8, 54–56], but this study is the first to identify and characterize NAC proteins encoded in the common bean genome.

In this study, we analyzed 86 non-redundant NAC genes from common bean, fewer NAC genes than in other grasses, for example, 163 in *Populus* [54], 105 in *Arabidopsis* [8], 140 in rice [55], and 101 in soybean [56]. We also analyzed the gene structures and conserved motifs of the NAC TFs. The common bean NAC genes contained one to five introns. The exon/intron numbers of common bean NAC genes differ from those of other plants, such as *Populus*, which has a range of zero to eight. However, the number of conserved motifs in common bean NAC genes was similar to that of other species, including *Populus*, rice, soybean and *Arabidopsis*. However, the diversity of gene structures and conserved motifs may also indicate that common bean NACs are functionally diversified, with roles in shoot apical meristem development, floral morphogenesis, lateral root development, leaf senescence, embryo development, cell cycle control, hormone signaling, abiotic stresses and defense responses. In general, proteins with similar sequences have similar functions, and we therefore analyzed the functions of common bean NAC TFs based on the phylogenetic tree of NAC proteins. Phvul.005G074500 and Phvul.011G160400 may be involved in shoot apical meristem formation and development because they clustered into one subgroup with CUC1 and CUC2 [57, 58]. Moreover, ATAF1, ATAF2, Phvul.009G125900, Phvul.001G072200, Phvul.002G275000, Phvul.009G152800 and Phvul.009G152900 clustered into one group and may be involved in wound [59, 60]. Phvul.007G089600 and VND 7 clustered into one subgroup and have been proposed as regulators of vascular vessel formation [14]. Some genes may participate in responses to abiotic stress, such as Phvul.011G147800, GmNAC3, GmNAC4, ANA C019, ANAC055 and ANAC072 under salt stress [61, 62]. Some genes (ANAC053 and Phvul.007G145000) have been reported to be mostly involved in heat response [63] but may have more functions; for example, Phvul.001G072200, Phvul.009G125900 and OsNAC6 are involved in the response to abiotic stresses, such as high salinity, ABA treatment and cold [64]. The functions of many NAC family genes remain unknown. Future studies will focus on discovering novel functions of NAC genes, particularly of genes specific to common bean.

In this paper, we focused on the function of NAC genes under drought stress. In the present study, we identified 22 common bean NAC TFs that were induced by drought stresses based on transcriptome data; these genes were of two types: differentially expressed between drought-tolerant/sensitive genotypes and differentially expressed between treatment/control. Furthermore, quantitative real-time PCR demonstrated that the expression profiles of the 20 candidates were generally in agreement with the predictions from the RNA-seq results, indicating that these genes are functionally associated with the drought-stress response. In addition, the phylogenetic tree of common bean NAC genes and known-function NAC genes from other species also suggested that these 22 NAC genes may be related to drought stress. For example, one group included five common bean NAC genes and 14 known-function NAC genes that are all induced by drought stress [1, 39, 40, 42, 44, 65–70]. The members of this subfamily are also the most widely studied and play important roles in the NAC family. Another group included five common bean NAC genes and CarNAC3 from
chickpea [44], MsNAC from Medicago sativa [71], StNAC2 from potato [72], ZMNAC111 from maize [73], ANAC002 and ANAC047 from Arabidopsis [31, 74] and OsNAC10 from rice [43], all of which are induced by drought. Phvul.005G059000 and Phvul.004G028300 belong to a group without any drought-related NAC proteins. These results suggest that Phvul.005G059000 and Phvul.004G028300 may be a new class of NAC TFs that are not involved in drought resistance.

Conclusions

We comprehensively identified NAC genes in common bean based on the genome sequence. This study identified a non-redundant set of 86 NAC genes in common bean. Detailed analyses identified phylogenetic relationships, conserved motifs, gene structure and expression profiles of common bean NAC genes. Our research provides useful information for further research on the function of NAC in common bean and will accelerate functional genomics studies and molecular breeding programs. Moreover, the candidate drought-responsive NAC genes identified in common bean will provide a new resource for molecular breeding in food legumes and other crops.

Methods

Searching for NAC family members in common bean
Whole-genome sequences of common bean were downloaded from the Phytozome genome database [19]. The hidden Markov model (HMM) profile of the NAC family (PF02365) was extracted from the Pfam database [75], and the NAC HMM profile was used to search the common bean whole-genome protein database for target hits with the NAC domain by HMMER3.0 [76]. Based on the sequence ID of the NAC protein, the coding sequences and genome sequences were extracted from the common bean whole genome sequence database. Transcriptome data of the genotypes Long 22-0579 (drought tolerant) and Naihua (drought sensitive) were downloaded from NCBI (GenBank accession no.: bean LTD SAMN03223377, bean NOI SAMN03223381, bean NTD SAMN03223380, and bean LOI SAMN03223378).

Data analyses

ExPASy was used to determine the number of amino acids in the open reading frame (ORF), molecular weight (MW), isoelectric point (pI) and length of the open reading frame (length) of each gene (http://www.expasy.ch/tools/pi_tool.html). Subcellular localization was predicted using Softberry (http://linux1.softberry.com/). MEGA4.0 was also used to generate neighbor-joining (N) trees with bootstrap values. The exon/intron organization of each NAC gene was visualized in the Gene Structure Display Server program [77]. Motifs of the NAC proteins were displayed using MEME [50]. The upstream promoter sequences of NAC genes were identified using the PlantCARE database [78]. The heat map was viewed in the MeV tool (http://www.tm4.org/mev.html). The upstream promoter sequences of NAC genes from rice, soybean and Arabidopsis were downloaded from the Phytozone database.

Expression pattern analysis and qRT-PCR analysis

Transcript data were obtained from the Phytozone database for young trifoliates, leaves, flower buds, flowers, green mature pods, young pods, roots, stems, and nodules (https://phytozone.jgi.doe.gov/phytomine/template.do?name=One_Gene_Expression&scope=global).

Total RNA was extracted from leaves using TRIzol reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Tiangen, Beijing, China), and first-strand cDNA was synthesized using the SuperScript II reverse transcriptase kit (Invitrogen). Real-time PCR was performed on an ABI PRISM 7300 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems) using SYBR Premix Ex Taq (TAKARA). Relative expression levels were calculated using the 2^{-△△CT} method. qRT-PCR was conducted using the common bean actin gene (GenBank accession no.: EU369188.1) as the control. Specific primers for qRT-PCR were designed using primer 5.0 (http://www.premierbiosoft.com/primerdesign/).

The common bean cultivars Long 22-0579 (drought-tolerant genotype) and Naihua (drought-sensitive genotype) were employed to identify genes involved in drought stress using RNA-seq. Seedlings of the cultivars were grown in plastic pots (23 cm × 18 cm × 18 cm) under a 14/10 h photoperiod at 25 °C (day) and 20 °C (night) in a greenhouse (China, Beijing, 116°46′E, 39°92′N). The water content was measured three times a week, and any water lost was replaced in the pots to maintain equivalent levels according to the treatment requirements. Twenty-five plants were used in each treatment. All plants were irrigated to field capacity until 4 weeks after seeding. For the terminal drought treatment, watering was restricted to 25 % of the field capacity following 5 weeks after seeding. For optimal irrigation, the pots were maintained at the field capacity throughout the experiment [49].

The method employed for the identification of differentially expressed NAC genes (DENs) from transcriptome data involved tests implemented using DEGseq, and the corresponding significance thresholds applied were determined using the likelihood ratio test, Fisher’s exact test, the MA-plot-based method with a random sampling model (p-value ≤ 0.001) and the fold-change threshold of MA-plot log_2 normalized fold changes ≥2 [49].
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