Mitotic phosphorylation of Pex14p regulates peroxisomal import machinery

Koichiro Yamashita1*, Shigehiko Tamura2*, Masanori Honsho3,4, Hiroto Yada1, Yuichi Yagita3, Hitodaka Kosako5, and Yukio Fujiki1,4*

Peroxisomal matrix proteins are imported into peroxisomes via membrane-bound docking/translocation machinery. One central component of this machinery is Pex14p, a peroxisomal membrane protein involved in the docking of Pex5p, the receptor for peroxisome targeting signal type 1 (PTS1). Studies in several yeast species have shown that Pex14p is phosphorylated in vivo, whereas no function has been assigned to Pex14p phosphorylation in yeast and mammalian cells. Here, we investigated peroxisomal protein import and its dynamics in mitotic mammalian cells. In mitotically arrested cells, Pex14p is phosphorylated at Ser-232, resulting in a lower import efficiency of catalase, but not the majority of proteins including canonical PTS1 proteins. Conformational change induced by the mitotic phosphorylation of Pex14p more likely increases homomeric interacting affinity and suppresses topological change of its N-terminal part, thereby giving rise to the retardation of Pex5p export in mitotic cells. Taken together, these data show that mitotic phosphorylation of Pex14p and consequent suppression of catalase import are a mechanism of protecting DNA upon nuclear envelope breakdown at mitosis.

Introduction

Peroxisomes are ubiquitous, single-membrane-bounded organelles with a large variety of metabolic functions, such as β-oxidation of very-long-chain fatty acids and biosynthesis of plasmalogens (Fujiki, 1997; Lazarow and Moser, 1995). The peroxisome’s metabolism depends on the import of nuclear gene-encoded proteins from the cytosol into each peroxisome (Lazarow and Fujiki, 1985). The majority of matrix proteins are destined for import into the peroxisomal matrix by a distinct dynamic system involving peroxins such as Pex1p, Pex2p, Pex5p, Pex6p, Pex7p, Pex10p, Pex12p, Pex13p, Pex14p, and Pex26p (Fujiki et al., 2006; Platta and Erdmann, 2007). The matrix proteins harbor the peroxisomal targeting tripeptide signal 1 (PTS1) at the C terminus or cleavable nonapeptide presequence PTS2 at the N terminus (Fujiki, 1997). These targeting signals are specifically recognized by the PTS1 receptor, Pex5p, and the PTS2 receptor, Pex7p (Fodor et al., 2015; Gatto et al., 2000, 2002). The soluble receptor–cargo protein complexes dock with Pex14p, the membrane peroxin of peroxisomal matrix protein importomer (Dias et al., 2017). After releasing the cargo into the peroxisomal matrix, Pex5p recycles to the cytosol through a process requiring monoubiquitination of a conserved, cytosolically exposed cysteine residue at the N-terminal region (Platta et al., 2016). The Pex5p recycling step requires ATP hydrolysis catalyzed by the AAA ATPases, Pex1p and Pex6p, and their membrane-anchoring peroxin, Pex26p (Matsumoto et al., 2003; Miyata and Fujiki, 2005; Platta et al., 2005; Tamura et al., 1998). Pex26p interacts with Pex1p to form a recycling complex with Pex5p, Pex6p, and Pex1p (Tamura et al., 2014). The Pex1p–Pex6p complex interacts with mono-ubiquitinated Pex5p to unfold the Pex5p polypeptide chain during the ATP-dependent extraction step from the translocation machinery (Pedrosa et al., 2018; Schwerter et al., 2018).

The membrane-anchored peroxin Pex14p has been described as a central component of the translocation machinery for peroxisomal matrix proteins (Dias et al., 2017). A conserved domain of Pex14p comprising residues 21–70 interacts with Pex5p, Pex13p, and Pex19p (Neufeld et al., 2009; Su et al., 2009). Pex14p forms a homodimer by the coiled-coil domain or a larger oligomer by GXXXG and AXXXA motifs in the transmembrane domain (Itoh and Fujiki, 2006).

Pex14p and Pex11p are a target for phosphorylation in yeast. In regard to Pex11p, cyclin-dependent protein kinase Pho85 is involved in the phosphorylation of Pex11p, negatively regulating the transfer of metabolites across peroxisomal membrane in...
yeast, Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Knoblach and Rachubinski, 2010; Mindthoff et al., 2016). Moreover, in Hansenula polymorpha (Tanaka et al., 2012), Pichia pastoris (Johnson et al., 2001), and S. cerevisiae (Oeljeklaus et al., 2016), Pex14p was detected in a phosphorylated and an unphosphorylated state. Additionally, although a lot of data on proteomics analysis show the phosphorylation sites of mammalian Pex14p, the role of Pex14p phosphorylation remains obscure.

On the other hand, the functions of protein import/trafficking in other organelles such as mitochondria or ER are regulated by the phosphorylation of these organelle assembly proteins in mitosis in many species, including yeast and mammalian cells (Harbauer et al., 2014; Olsen et al., 2010; Salazar-Roa and Malmberg, 2017; Shiota et al., 2015; Taguchi et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2014; Yeong, 2013). Protein trafficking from ER to Golgi apparatus is down-regulated by the phosphorylation of p47 with cyclin-dependent kinase 1 (CDK1) in mitosis in mammalian cells (Yeong, 2013). In S. cerevisiae, Tom6, a member of the translocase of the outer membrane (TOM) family, is phosphorylated in mitosis, resulting in up-regulation of the respiratory activity in mitochondria (Harbauer et al., 2014). Until now, the regulation of peroxisomal matrix protein import in mitosis has not been elucidated. Here we show that mammalian Pex14p is phosphorylated in mitosis, resulting in down-regulation of peroxisomal matrix protein import.

**Results**

**Pex14p is phosphorylated in mitosis**

It has been reported that Pex14p is phosphorylated in P. pastoris, H. polymorpha, and S. cerevisiae (Johnson et al., 2001; Oeljeklaus et al., 2016; Tanaka et al., 2012). According to at least two databases (PhosphoGRID, PhosphoSitePlus), yeast and mammalian Pex14p is also phosphorylated, whereas details of physiological functions of the phosphorylation are not yet known. Cell cycle-dependent regulation of mitochondrial components is observed in a few cases, including activation of the fission protein Drp1 and respiratory complex I (Harbauer et al., 2014; Taguchi et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2014).

In this study, we investigated whether Pex14p is phosphorylated in the mammalian cells HeLa and CHO-K1. One distinct slow-migrating band of Pex14p was detected in HeLa and CHO-K1 cells by Phos-tag PAGE, suggesting that Pex14p is partially phosphorylated in vivo (Fig. 1 A, lane 1). The results raised the possibility that Pex14p is phosphorylated in any specific cell events, including the cell cycle. HeLa and CHO-K1 cells were synchronized in mitosis with nocodazole, a microtubule-disrupting agent, and separated from adherent interphase cells by mitotic shake-off. In Phos-tag PAGE analysis, the slow-migrating Pex14p band was significantly increased in mitotic-phase cells compared with interphase cells (Fig. 1 A, lanes 2 and 3). An elevated level of phosphorylated histone (p-histone) indicated adequate separation of mitotic-phase cells. Upon treatment with λ protein phosphatase, the slow-migrating band was diminished in unsynchronized and mitotic cells (Fig. 1 A, lanes 4–6), suggesting that Pex14p is phosphorylated specifically in mitotic but not interphase cells. Various signal transduction pathways might be involved in the Pex14p phosphorylation. Next, we examined whether any other peroxins required for peroxisomal protein import are phosphorylated in mitosis. In mitotically arrested and interphase HeLa cells, no slow-migrating Pex13p was detected in Phos-tag PAGE analysis, while a part of Pex5p was likely to be phosphorylated, but independently of cell cycle progression. In mitotically arrested HeLa cells, most of the Pex14p was phosphorylated (Fig. 1 B), suggesting that the phosphorylation of Pex14p in the convergent import machinery is more likely involved in the regulation of peroxisomal protein translocation in mitotic cells.

**Serine 232 of human Pex14p is phosphorylated in mitotic cells**

To identify mitotic phosphorylation sites in human Pex14p, lysates from nocodazole-arrested and nontreated HeLa cells were subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-Pex14p antibody followed by tryptic digestion and phosphopeptide enrichment by TiO₂. Liquid chromatography (LC)-tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) analysis showed that Pex14p phosphorylation at Ser232 was detected only in mitotic phase, suggesting that Ser232 is a phosphorylation site of endogenous human Pex14p in nocodazole-arrested, but not in nontreated, HeLa cells (Fig. 2, A and B). On the other hand, not only Ser232 but also Ser334 of Flag-tagged rat Pex14p transiently expressed in pheK4 HeLa cells were also identified as mitotic phosphorylation sites (Fig. 2, A and C). A mitotic phosphorylation site of human Pex14p, Ser232, was highly conserved between vertebrates, while the phosphorylation site of rat Pex14p, Ser334, was partially conserved (Fig. 2 D). These two serine residues are not conserved in yeast. These results suggest that Ser232 can be more important for mitotic phosphorylation and the functional regulation of Pex14p.

To confirm whether Ser232 and Ser334 of rat Pex14p are phosphorylated, these two sites were substituted for alanine, termed S232A and S334A, and the mitotic Pex14p was analyzed by Phos-tag PAGE analysis (Fig. 2 E). Pex14p-S232A was strongly suppressed in phosphorylation of Pex14p in mitotic HeLa cells, whereas Pex14p-S334A showed little effect on the mitotic phosphorylation of Pex14p. In addition, mutation of Ser232 to Ala appeared to induce mitotic phosphorylation of rat Pex14p at Ser247 (Fig. S1, lanes 4, 6, and 16). This residue of endogenous Pex14p was not phosphorylated in the mitotic HeLa cells, as assessed by LC-MS analysis. Ectopic transient expression of rat Pex14p harboring Ser232Ala appeared to cause an artifactual phosphorylation of Ser247 in mitotic cells. Ser232 residue of Pex14p comprises the Ser-Pro consensus sequence for phosphorylation by CDKs (Errico et al., 2010). Therefore, we verified which kinase is involved in the mitotic phosphorylation of Pex14p.

In mitosis, CDK1 phosphorylates various downstream proteins, thereby regulating mitosis-specific events. However, CDK1 should be inactivated to exit from mitosis, which could be achieved by protein phosphatases (Hunt, 2013). It is reasonable to suspect that mitosis-specific Pex14p phosphorylation is regulated by CDK1, because Pex14p is phosphorylated remarkably in mitosis rather than interphase. Thus, we investigated whether CDK1 is involved in Pex14p phosphorylation by making use of a CDK1 inhibitor, RO-3306. Mitotic HeLa cells were
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Phosphorylated (p) histone H3, mitotic marker. (B) Lysates of HeLa cells at I and M were analyzed by Phos-tag PAGE (upper panels) or SDS-PAGE (lower panels) followed by immunoblotting with indicated antibodies. Open arrowheads indicate respective proteins; dots indicate a slow-migrating band.

We next evaluated the knockdown effect of CDK1 on mitotic phosphorylation of Pex14p. Introduction of CDK1-specific siRNA knocked down >90% of CDK1 (Fig. 2 G). CDK1 down-regulation clearly decreased to a barely detectable level of the slow-migrating Pex14p band in Phos-tag PAGE analysis, in contrast to the mock-treated cells (Fig. 2 G, top panel). Taken together, these findings suggested that CDK1 is responsible for the mitotic phosphorylation of Pex14p.

**Phosphomimetic mutation of Pex14p suppresses peroxisomal matrix protein import**

Subcellular organelles receive mitosis-specific regulation. CDK1-mediated phosphorylation of mitochondrial proteins promotes their efficient protein import and thereby up-regulation of respiration, while the secretory pathway, including that from ER to Golgi apparatus, is down-regulated by CDK1 (Wang et al., 2014; Yeong, 2013). However, the regulation of peroxisomes in mitosis remains unknown. We next investigated mitosis-specific regulation on peroxisomal function by generating rat Pex14p mutants in which S232 and S334 were substituted by Asp to mimic phosphorylation, termed S232D and S334D. Peroxisome-restoring activity of S232D and a nonphosphorylation form, S232A, was verified by transient expression in the pex4 HeLa cell line. In cells expressing WT PEX14 or S232A, numerous

PTSI-positive punctate structures, peroxisomes, were detected, indicating restoration of the impaired PTS1 protein import (Fig. 3 A, two-row upper panels). By contrast, Pex14p-S232D showed ~70% activity in the PTS1 protein import assay (Fig. 3, A [upper panel] and Fig. 3 B). Moreover, catalase-positive peroxisomes were very weakly detected in the cells transfected with S232D, compared with those with PEX14 and S232A (Fig. 3 A [two-row lower panels] and Fig. 3 B). The efficiency of catalase import was much lower than that of PTS1 import in the S232D-expressing cells. The difference in the import efficiency likely reflects the fact that Pex5p-catalase interaction is weaker than Pex5p binding to PTS1 (Maynard et al., 2004). With respect to Pex14pS334D, peroxisomal protein import was not suppressed (Fig. 3, A and B). Moreover, the import efficiency of a dual mutant Pex14pS232D/S334D was similar to that of S232D (Fig. S2), suggesting that S232 is an important phosphorylation site in the regulation of peroxisomal protein import during mitosis. Taken together, these data show that phosphomimetic mutation of Pex14p, S232D, suppresses peroxisomal protein import, i.e., down-regulation in mitosis.

To confirm this finding, we performed EGFP-PTS1 targeting assay using semi-intact HeLa cells that had been permeabilized with digitonin (Mukai et al., 2019). Cell staining at different stages of the cell cycle, prometaphase and interphase, represented a known pattern of mitotic spindle and DNA (Fig. 3 C, right panels). The shorter isoform of PTS1-receptor Pex5p, designated Pex5pS (Otera et al., 2002), was used in semi-intact cell import assays. After incubation of the semi-intact cells with the cytosol fraction containing recombinant EGFP-PTS1 and Pex5pS, EGFP-PTS1 was detected in a manner superimposable on Pex14p in interface cells (Fig. 3 C, right top panels, asterisks). By contrast, EGFP-PTS1 was not transported to peroxisomes in mitotic cells (Fig. 3 C, right top and middle panels, arrowheads). However, peroxisomal targeting of EGFP-PTS1 was restored in mitotic cells by treatment with λ phosphatase before the incubation of EGFP-PTS1 and Pex5pS-containing solution (Fig. 3 C, right middle and bottom panels). Similar observations were obtained with most other cells (not depicted). Therefore, it is
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Figure 2. **Mitotic phosphorylation of Pex14p.** (A) Schematic representation of full-length human Pex14p with mitotic phosphorylation sites, S232 and S335. Light gray box, Pex5p-binding domain; black box, transmembrane domain; dark gray box, coiled-coil domain. (B) MS analysis of phosphorylated Pex14p in *Yamashita et al. Journal of Cell Biology* 4 of 5. Pex14p phosphorylation regulates peroxisome import https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.202001003
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mitotic HeLa cells. HeLa cells were treated for 12–18 h with 50 ng/ml nocodazole and collected by mitotic shake-off. Adherent cells after shake-off were collected as interphase cells. Endogenous Pex14p in mitotic and interphase HeLa cells was immunoprecipitated with anti-Pex14p antibody followed by tryp tic digestion and phosphopeptide enrichment by TiO2. Phosphorylation of Ser232 was demonstrated by the MS/MS spectrum of the 568.2641 ion from mitotic cells. (C) FLAG-tagged rat Pex14p in mitotic and interphase pex24H HeLa cells was immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG antibody followed by tryp tic digestion. Phosphorylation of Ser334 was demonstrated by the MS/MS spectrum of the 1075.42861 ion from mitotic cells. (D) Multiple alignments of the region encompassing mitotic phosphorylation sites in Pex14p are shown. Yellow box indicates conserved Ser/Thr. Rn, Rattus norvegicus (rat); Mm, Mus musculus (mouse); Hs, Homo sapiens (human); Gg, Gallus gallus (chicken); Xt, Xenopus tropicalis (frog); Dr, Danio rerio (zebrafish). (E) WT Pex14p and its mutants each harboring S232A, S233A, and S232A plus S334A were separately expressed in pex14H HeLa cells. Mitotic-phase and interphase cells were separated and analyzed by Phos-tag PAGE or SDS-PAGE, followed by immunoblotting with anti-Pex14p antibody. GAPDH, loading control. (F) Mitotic HeLa cells arrested with nocodazole were treated for 5–60 min with CDK1 inhibitor RO-3306 or DMSO. Respective cell lysates were analyzed by Phos-tag PAGE (top panel) or SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting with indicated antibodies. I, cell lysate from interphase cells. (G) HeLa cells were treated for 72 h with control siRNA (–) or CDK1 siRNA (+). Lysates of nocodazole-treated HeLa cells were analyzed by Phos-tag PAGE (top panel) or SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting with antibodies indicated on the right. B-Tubulin, loading control.

likely that the mitotic phosphorylation of Pex14p is responsible for the suppression of peroxisomal protein import.

Pex5p binding to Pex14p is not altered in mitosis
As described above, we showed that phosphorylation of Pex14p suppressed catalase and PTS1 import in mitotic cells (Fig. 3, A and B). Pex14p acts as an initial docking factor of Pex5p, the cytosolic receptor of PTS1 proteins. Next, we examined whether such phosphorylation influences the interaction of Pex14p with Pex5p. FLAG-Pex14p, S232A, or S232D was expressed in pex4 HeLa cells. Cell lysates of these transformants were analyzed by immunoprecipitation assay with anti-FLAG antibody. Approximately the same level of endogenous Pex5p was coimmunoprecipitated with FLAG-Pex14p, S232A, and S232D (Fig. 4 A). Furthermore, we performed immunoprecipitation studies using the lysates of HeLa cells at mitosis and interphase. Pex5p was almost equally coimmunoprecipitated with Pex14p from the mitotic-phase and interphase cells with the antibody specific for the N-terminal part of Pex14p, Pex14pN, indicating that both phosphorylated and unphosphorylated Pex14p are equally competent to interact with Pex5p (Fig. 4 B).

Pex5p is imported into peroxisomes in interphase and mitotic cells
To assess whether Pex5p import into peroxisomes is altered in mitotic cells, we performed in vitro Pex5p import assays using 35S-labeled Pex5pL, a longer isoform of Pex5p. With postnuclear supernatant (PNS) fractions each from interphase and mitotic HeLa cells, [35S]Pex5pL was detected in both organelle fractions, suggesting that [35S]Pex5pL was imported into peroxisomes (Miyata and Fujiki, 2005) in mitosis as in interphase as assessed by proteinase K resistance (Fig. 5 A, top panel, lanes 2 and 5, solid arrowhead). A partially cleaved form of protease-resistant [35S]Pex5pL was also detected in the organelle fraction from interphase cells (Fig. 5 A, top panel, lane 2, open arrowhead), likely representing [35S]Pex5pL located in the peroxisomal membrane and partly accessible to the protease (Miyata and Fujiki, 2005). This result suggests that a part of Pex5p in peroxisomes is exposed to cytosol. The N-terminal region of Pex5p, which is accessible to monoubiquitination leading to Pex5p release to the cytosol by Pex1p and Pex6p, is exposed to the cytosol, consistent with the finding that the N-terminal region of Pex5p is partially digested with proteinase K (Dias et al., 2017; Gouveia et al., 2003; Pedrosa et al., 2018). In the organelle fraction from mitotic cells, however, the partially cleaved [35S]Pex5pL was not detectable, suggesting that a part of [35S]Pex5pL was not exposed to the cytosol (Fig. 5 A, top panel, lane 5, solid arrowhead). Imported Pex5p was not cleaved with proteinase K in mitosis, suggesting that Pex5p is imported into and retained in peroxisomes in the mitotic phase of the cell cycle. These results raise the question of whether the step of Pex5p recycling is suppressed in mitosis.

Pex5p export is suppressed in mitotic cells
Next, we investigated whether Pex5p is exported from peroxisomes in mitotic cells. The export reaction mixtures containing [35S]Pex5pL-imported organelle fraction (Fig. 5 A, lanes 1 and 4) were incubated at 26°C for 30 min and separated into organelle pellet (P) and cytosolic supernatant (S) fractions. [35S]Pex5pL was detected in the S fraction with concomitant decrease of [35S]Pex5pL in the P fraction from interphase cells, suggesting that Pex5p was exported from peroxisomes, whereas [35S]Pex5pL export was barely detected in the case of mitotic cells (Fig. 5 B, top panel, lanes 2 and 4). [35S]Pex5pL in S and P fractions was quantified to verify the Pex5p export (Fig. 5 C). The organelle and cytosolic fractions were separated and verified for phos- toxisomal import of matrix proteins. The efficiency of homo- meric interaction was evaluated with FLAG- or His-tagged full-length Pex14p and respective variants harboring S232A
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Figure 3. Peroxisomal protein import in mitotic HeLa cells. (A) Morphological analysis of phosphomimetic mutants of Pex14p. pex14 HeLa cells each expressing mock (a–d), FLAG-Pex14p WT (e–h), S232A (i–l), S232D (m–p), and S334D (q–t) were fixed and visualized by staining with indicated antibodies 24 h after transfection. Scale bar, 5 µm. (B) Import efficiency is represented as percentages of PTS1- or catalase-discernible cells in Pex14p-positive cells. \( n = 6 \). Statistical significance was verified by ANOVA and post hoc Dunnett’s test, and the significance is presented by asterisk (*, \( P < 0.001 \)). Error bars show SEM.
PAGE (BN-PAGE) analysis. FLAG-Pex14p(156–376) is likely restricted to the region proximal to S232 of Pex14p. Taken together, these data show that the effect of phosphorylation on homo-oligomerization of Pex14p (Fig. 6D; Itoh and Fujiki, 2006; Fransen et al., 2002).

Coimmunoprecipitation studies and our earlier report detected as a homo-oligomer, consistent with the results in the interaction between HA- and FLAG-tagged Pex14p(156–376) (Fig. 6D; Itoh and Fujiki, 2006; Fransen et al., 2002).

The binding of endogenous Pex14p to Pex5p in mitosis. Lysates from mitotic (M) and interphase (I) HeLa cells were subjected to IP with anti-Pex14p antibody. Input, 5% input used for IP. Immunoprecipitated proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting using antibodies to Pex5p, Pex14p, p-histone H3, and GAPDH. Arrowhead indicates Pex5p in SDS-PAGE and Pex14p in Phos-tag PAGE; asterisk indicates a nonspecific band.

Figure 4. Pex5p binding to Pex14p is not altered in mitosis. (A) Coimmunoprecipitation assay using FLAG-Pex14p variants with Pex5p. WT FLAG-Pex14p and its mutants each harboring S232A and S232D were separately expressed in pex14 HeLa cells, and cell lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation (IP) with anti-FLAG antibody. Input, 10% input used for IP. Immunoprecipitated proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting using antibodies to Pex5p (top), Pex14p (middle), and GAPDH (bottom). Arrowhead indicates Pex5p; asterisk indicates a nonspecific band. (B) The binding of endogenous Pex14p to Pex5p in mitosis. Lysates from mitotic (M) and interphase (I) HeLa cells were subjected to IP with anti-Pex14p antibody. Input, 5% input used for immunoprecipitation. Immunoprecipitates were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Phos-tag PAGE followed by immunoblotting with antibodies to Pex5p, Pex14p, p-histone H3, and GAPDH. Arrowhead indicates Pex5p in SDS-PAGE and Pex14p in Phos-tag PAGE; asterisk indicates a nonspecific band.
fragments compared with the SD mutant, suggesting that the N-terminal region of the SD mutant was more resistant to the proteinase K digestion. We interpreted the results to mean that this region containing the coiled-coil domain shifts to a more compact and protease-resistant configuration upon phosphorylation at S232 (Fig. 6 F). Accordingly, it is conceivable that phosphorylation at S232 induces a conformational change and stabilization of Pex14p homo-oligomer via the coiled-coil domain (Fig. 6 G).

Mitotic phosphorylation protects the N-terminal region of Pex14p from protease digestion

We likewise performed limited proteolysis analysis as in Fig. 6 F to verify the conformational change of Pex14p in mitotic cells. PNS fractions each from interphase and mitotic HeLa cells were treated with proteinase K and analyzed by immunoblotting with antibody to Pex14p N-terminal region. N-terminal region of Pex14p from interphase cells resulted in several lower-molecular-mass fragments, whereas that from metaphase cells was more resistant to the partial digestion, implying that the N-terminal region of Pex14p was converted to a more protease-resistant conformation (Fig. 7 A, left panel). Moreover, Pex14p fragments with lower molecular masses were not detected with antiserum specific for the C-terminal region (Fig. 7 A, right panel, and Fig. S3). Taken together, these results suggest that mitotic phosphorylation of Pex14p leads to a conformational change of its C-terminal part containing the coiled-coil domain. Moreover, it is likely that structural changes in the C-terminal part of Pex14p translate to changes in the N-terminal region of Pex14p, which is the part of the Pex5p-binding domain, leading to reduced Pex5 export from the peroxisomal matrix to the cytosol, and thereby overall suppression of peroxisomal matrix protein import.

Discussion

Peroxisomal matrix proteins are posttranslationally imported into peroxisomes by a membrane-bound translocation complex...
Figure 6. Dimerization of Pex14p C-terminal domain. (A) Schematic representation of rat Pex14p variants. Numbers, amino acid residue positions of Pex14p; light gray box, Pex5p binding domain; solid box, transmembrane domain; dark gray box, putative coiled-coil domain. S232 was substituted by A or D in Pex14p phosphorylation regulates peroxisome import. 
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comprising membrane peroxin Pex14p as a major component (Barros-Barbosa et al., 2019; Itoh and Fujiki, 2006; Oliveira et al., 2002; Otera et al., 2002; Reguenga et al., 2001). We earlier reported that Pex14p forms a homodimer via the coiled-coil domain and interacts with Pex5p, Pex13p, and Pex19p (Itoh and Fujiki, 2006; Tamura et al., 2014). Pex14p homo-oligomer is likely to be involved in the assembly of pore-like structures at the peroxisomal membrane (Meinecke et al., 2016). Here we investigated peroxisomal protein import and its dynamics in mitotic HeLa cells. In mitosis, Pex14p is specifically phosphorylated at Ser-232, resulting in down-regulation of peroxisomal protein import. The mitotic phosphorylation site of human Pex14p, S232, fits to a consensus motif, P-X-S-P, for CDK1 and is highly conserved among vertebrates (Fig. 2 D), but not in fly, worm, or yeast. This is the first demonstration for the regulation of peroxisome matrix protein translocation via signal transduction pathways responding to intra- or extracellular signals. In the present study, we show that N-terminal deletion variants, FLAG-Pex14p(156–376) and FLAG-Pex14p(200–376), are sufficient for homointeraction to assemble a homo-oligomer.

Figure 7. Conformational changes of Pex14p in cell cycle progression. (A) PNS fractions from interphase (I) and mitotic phase (M) HeLa cells were treated for 30 min on ice with proteinase K at indicated concentrations. The reaction mixtures were analyzed by SDSPAGE and immunoblotting with antibodies to Pex14pN (left) and Pex14pC (right). Open and solid arrowheads indicate the endogenous Pex14p and major proteinase K-digested fragments, respectively. The dot designates a cleaved product of Pex14p; *, nonspecific bands. Right, solid arrowhead indicates an unknown band. (B) A model for the Pex14p conformations regulated by phosphorylation at S232. Pex5p-cargo complexes in interphase and mitotic-phase cells are competent for targeting to peroxisomes. Phosphorylated Pex14p at S232 in mitotic cells shows relatively compact and less flexibility. This conformational change potentially suppresses the mobility of Pex5p-binding domain at N-terminal region of Pex14p, thereby giving rise to the retardation of Pex5p recycling in mitotic cells.
It is more likely that the C-terminal part of Pex14p possesses several homomerically interacting domains as well as the coiled-coil domain and undergoes conformational change by mitotic phosphorylation, resulting in an increase of homomic-interacting affinity. Recently, Pex14p was suggested to expose its N-terminal domain into the organelle matrix (Barros-Barbosa et al., 2019). Accordingly, it is possible that the protease-sensitive form exposing its Pex5p binding domain to cytosol is responsible for the step of Pex5p recycling in interphase cells. In this study, we verified the involvement of mitotic Pex14p phosphorylation in the export step of Pex5p. Intriguingly, we revealed that peroxisomes from interphase cells are competent to export Pex5p into the cytosol, whereas mitotic peroxisomes barely export Pex5p. These findings suggest that mitotic phosphorylation of Pex14p suppresses the topological change of the N-terminal part, resulting in the down-regulation of Pex5p export. The question to be addressed is how the phosphorylation of the Pex14p C-terminal part leads to a stabilization of homo-oligomer and suppression of the Pex5p export step. The mechanistic roles of phosphorylation in the peroxin-peroxin interaction and Pex5p export reaction remain to be defined.

Synchronization of cytoplasmic and nuclear events is temporally and spatially regulated by CDK1 during G2/M progression (Barnes et al., 2001). The nuclear envelope and Golgi apparatus are disassembled in early mitotic phase and then re-constructed at a late stage of mitosis (Smoyer and Jaspersen, 2014). Soluble factors including p97 and p47 involved in Golgi assembly are phosphorylated during mitosis and promote mitotic Golgi disassembly. Furthermore, dynamin-related GTPase, Drp1, is phosphorylated by CDK1, which stimulates mitochondrial fission in mitosis (Taguchi et al., 2007). Several assembly factors of Golgi apparatus and mitochondria such as p47 and Tom6, respectively, are phosphorylated and regulated in mitosis for cell cycle progression (Uchiyama et al., 2003; Harbauer et al., 2014). It is reasonable to speculate that mitosis-specific functions such as chromosome separation and cytokinesis are selected and prioritized, whereas peroxosomal protein transport and metabolic functions are suppressed by the phosphorylation of Pex14p. An elevated level of Pex5p associated with Pex14p is detectable under ATP-limiting conditions, and ATP depletion fails to export Pex5p from peroxisomes (Miyata and Fujiki, 2005; Platta et al., 2005). In the present study, Pex5p export was indeed significantly lowered in mitotic peroxisomes, suggesting that peroxosomal protein import is down-regulated and suppressed in order not to consume ATP (Fig. 5B).

We recently reported that a portion of catalase is localized to the cytosol at steady state in WT CHO-K1 cells, suggesting that cytosolic catalase could more efficiently eliminate oxidative stress than peroxisomal catalase (Hosoi et al., 2017). The mitotic phosphorylation of Pex14p suppresses peroxisomal import, resulting in a lower efficiency of catalase import to peroxisomes compared with that of the other matrix proteins, including PTS1 proteins (Fig. 3, A and B). In mitotic cells, the inefficient import of catalase to peroxisomes would increase cytosolic catalase, which eliminates the cytosolic reactive oxygen species including H2O2. DNA is thought to be fragile and sensitive to oxidative stress, such as hydrogen peroxide, especially in mitosis, in which the nuclear envelope disappears. A reduced level of cytosolic catalase in mitosis might increase the risk of DNA damage from hydrogen peroxide. Dubreuil et al. (2020) recently reported that cytosolically localized catalase is the most protective in cells against the treatment with H2O2, implying that the localization of catalase in the cells determines its efficiency in ameliorating oxidative stress. Moreover, cell cycle-dependent phosphorylation of Pex14p may also be involved in the regulation of peroxisomal assembly and metabolic function in embryonic development with rapid and intense cell division.

Here we propose a model for the role of mitotic phosphorylation of Pex14p in peroxisomal matrix protein import. The Pex5p-cargo protein complex targets to peroxisomes through its binding to the docking complex containing Pex14p. Pex5p is competent to interact with unphosphorylated and phosphorylated Pex14p. In nonmitotic cells, cargo proteins are unloaded from Pex5p inside or at the surface of peroxisomes, and conformational change of Pex14p more likely leads to Pex5p release from Pex14p and its export from peroxisomes. On the other hand, in mitotic cells, S232 phosphorylation of Pex14p prevents topological change of the N-terminal part of Pex14p, resulting in the suppression of Pex5p export and peroxisomal matrix protein import.

Materials and methods

Antibodies

We used guinea pig antisera to C-terminal region of rat Pex14p, termed Pex14pC (Mukai et al., 2002), and rabbit antisera to N-terminal part of rat Pex14p, named Pex14pN (Shimizu et al., 1999), as well as Pex14pC (Shimizu et al., 1999), PTS1 peptide (Otera et al., 2002), human catalase (Matsumoto et al., 2003), Pex13pPH3 (Mukai and Fujiki, 2006), and Pex5p (Okumoto et al., 2011). Rabbit antibodies to FLAG (F7425) and β-tubulin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich; rabbit anti-GFP was from BD Biosciences). Mouse antibody to phospho-histone H3 (Ser10; D2C8) was from Cell Signaling Technology; mouse antibody to GAPDH (3E12) was from MBL; rabbit monoclonal antibody to CDK1 was from Abcam; mouse antibody to phospho-histone H3 (Ser10; D2C8) was from Cell Signaling Technology; mouse antibody to GAPDH (3E12) was from Bioss; mouse antibody to HA (16B12) was from Covance; and mouse antibody to His6 (34660) was from Qiagen. For immunoprecipitation, we used mouse anti-Flag M2 agarose (Sigma-Aldrich).

Cell culture, DNA transfection, and cell synchronization

HeLa cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS under 5% CO2 at 37°C as described (Otera et al., 2002). CHO cells were cultured in Ham’s F-12 medium supplemented with 10% FCS under 5% CO2 at 37°C as described (Tsukamoto et al., 1990). DNA transfection was performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) and by electroporation (NEPA21 Super Electroporator; Neppa Gene) as recommended by the manufacturer. Mitotic arrest was performed with 50 ng/ml nocodazole for 12–18 h, and mitotic cells were collected by mitotic shake-off. Adherent cells after shake-off were collected as interphase cells.

LC-MS/MS–based identification of Pex14p phosphorylation sites

Mitotic and interphase HeLa cells were collected by centrifugation at 750 g for 5 min. Radioimmunoprecipitation assay
buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.1% wt/vol SDS, 0.5% wt/vol sodium deoxycholate, and 1% wt/vol Nonidet P-40) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail complete EDTA-free (Roche) was added to the pellet. After pipetting several times, the cell pellets were lysed on ice for 10 min. After centrifugation at 12,000 g for 10 min, the collected supernatants were incubated with antibody to Pex14p that was immobilized on SureBeads Protein G magnetic beads (Bio-Rad) for 3 h at 4°C with rotation. The beads were washed four times with radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer and then twice with 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate. Proteins on the beads were digested with 400 ng of trypsin/Lys-C mix (Promega) for 16 h at 37°C. The digests were acidified and desalted using GL-Tip SDS (GL Sciences). The eluates were evaporated and dissolved in 3% acetonitrile (ACN) and 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid.

LC-MS/MS analysis of the resultant peptides was performed on an EASY-nLC 1200 UHPLC connected to a Q Exactive Plus mass spectrometer equipped with a nanoelectrospray ion source (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The peptides were separated on a 75-µm inner diameter × 150 mm C18 reverse-phase column (Nikkyo Technos) with a liner 4-28% ACN gradient for 0–100 min follow by an increase to 80% ACN for 10 min. The mass spectrometer was operated in data-dependent acquisition mode with a top 10 MS/MS method. MS1 spectra were measured with a mass spectrometer equipped with a nanoelectrospray ion source (Shimizu et al., 1999) was inserted into pcDNA3.1/Zeo (Invitrogen). By using an inverse PCR method (Dominy and Andrews, 2003), the last 45 bp of cytomegalovirus promoter/enhancer region of pcDNA3.1/Zeo was deleted to suppress the overexpression of Pex14p. PEX14 mutants harboring point mutations were also generated by inverse PCR. To construct plasmids, PEX14(156–376) and PEX14(200–376), BglII and XbaI fragments each encoding Pex14p(156–376) and Pex14p(200–376) that were N-terminally fused with FLAG or HA were PCR amplified and ligated into the BamHI/XbaI sites of pcDNA3.1/Zeo.

**DNA construction**

A plasmid encoding N-terminally FLAG-tagged rat Pex14p cDNA (Shimizu et al., 1999) was inserted into pcDNA3.1/Zeo (Invitrogen). The search parameters were as follows: (a) trypsin as an enzyme with up to two missed cleavages; (b) precursor mass tolerance of 0.02 Da; (c) acetylation of the protein N-terminus, oxidation of methionine, and phosphorylation of serine, threonine, and tyrosine as variable modifications. Peptides were filtered at a false discovery rate of 1% using the percolate node. Normalization was performed such that the total sum of abundance values for each sample over all peptides was the same.

**Treatment with λ protein phosphatase and CDK1 inhibitor**

Cell lysates and semi-intact cells were treated at 30°C for 30 min with λ protein phosphatase (8 units/µl; New England Biolabs) in phosphatase treatment buffer containing 1 mM MnCl2. For treatment with CDK1 inhibitor, synchronized mitotic HeLa cells were collected, washed with PBS, and cultured for 0–60 min in the presence of 9 µM RO-3306 (Vassilev et al., 2006), CDK1 inhibitor.

**siRNA transfection**

Knockdown of CDK1 in HeLa cells was performed by transfection of MISSION siRNA (Sigma-Aldrich) with Lipofectamine 2000, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The sequence of siRNA for human CDK1 was 5′-GGCUUGGAAUUUGCUUCUGA(dt)(dt)-3′. MISSION siRNA Universal Negative Control (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as a control.

**Generation of pex14 HeLa cell line using the CRISPR/Cas-9 system**

To generate pex14 HeLa cell line, we used gRNA specific for human PEX14 (Ran et al., 2013). The target sequence of gRNA was selected using an online CRISPR design tool (MIT, Cambridge, MA). To construct the plasmid coexpressing Cas9 and PEX14gRNA, a pair of oligonucleotides containing the gRNA target sequence was annealed and ligated into the BbsI-linearized pX330 vector (Addgene; Yagita et al., 2017). The pair of oligonucleotides used were 5′-CACCGGCTGTAGAAACTTCACTGCCG-3′ and 5′-AAA CCGGCAGTGAACTCTCATCAGC-3′ for PEX14 gRNA. The resultant plasmid, pX330/PEX14gRNA, was transfected to HeLa cells. Transfected cells were diluted and screened for Pex14p deficiency by immunofluorescence microscopy and immunoblotting. One clonal pex14 HeLa cell line was used in this study.

**Morphological analysis**

Cells were fixed with 4% PFA, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 containing 1% BSA (Otera et al., 2002), and incubated with antibodies at RT for 1 h. Rabbit antibodies against PTS1 peptide (Otera et al., 2002), human catalase (Matsumoto et al., 2003), and GFP (Mukai et al., 2019) and guinea pig antibody against Pex14p (Mukai et al., 2002) were used as primary antibodies. Indirect immunofluorescent staining using secondary antibodies labeled with Alexa Fluor 488 and 568 (Invitrogen) was performed as described (Tamura et al., 2014). Cells were observed on a Zeiss Axioskop with a Plan Achromat 63× 1.4-NA oil objective lens. In Fig. 3 C, confocal fluorescence microscopy was performed on a Zeiss LSM510 with Axio Observer.Z1 equipped with a Plan Achromat 100× 1.4-NA oil objective lens and argon plus dual HeNe lasers at RT. Images were acquired with Zen software (Zeiss) and prepared using Photoshop (CS4; Adobe). Peroxisome-restoring activity of the S232 and S334 mutants of PEX14 was verified by counting PTS1- or catalase-positive cells among 100 cells expressing Pex14p and its variants in pex14 HeLa cells. The import efficiency of WT Pex14p was taken as 100%.

**Comunoprecipitation assay**

HeLa cells were lysed with lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 10% glycerol, 0.15 M NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM
In vitro peroxisomal Pex5p import and export assays

Assays for import of Pex5p was performed as follows. A PNS fraction was prepared from mitotic and interphase HeLa cells. These cells (5 × 10^6 each) were homogenized with import buffer containing 0.25 M sucrose, 5 mM Hepes-KOH, pH 7.4, 3 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, and 1 mM DTT. The PNS fraction was obtained by centrifuging the homogenate at 800 g for 5 min. Pex5pL was used in peroxisomal import and export assays. Pex5pL cDNA was transcribed and translated using TNT Quick Coupled transcription/translation systems (Promega) with [35S]methionine and [35S]cysteine (Amersham Biosciences) to generate [35S]-labeled Pex5pL (Miyata et al., 2009). The import reaction mixture (100 µl) containing [35S]Pex5pL and 5 mg/ml PNS was incubated at 26°C for 1 h. Import of [35S]Pex5pL was verified by its resistance to the treatment with externally added protease in the absence or presence of 1% Triton X-100 as follows. The import reaction mixture was incubated on ice for 30 min with 40 µg/ml proteinase K. After terminating the protease digestion with 1 mM PMSF, the assay mixture was centrifuged at 20,000 g for 20 min to separate organelle and cytosolic fractions and analyzed by SDS-PAGE, autoradiography, and immunoblotting. [35S]Pex5pL was detected by a Typhoon FLA 9500 autoimaging analyzer (GE Healthcare).

For Pex5p export reaction, the PNS fraction incubated with [35S]Pex5pL in the import buffer was centrifuged at 20,000 g for 20 min to isolate the organelle fraction containing the [35S]Pex5pL-imported peroxisomes. The organelle fraction was resuspended with the cytosolic fraction (1 mg/ml) from mitotic or interphase HeLa cells with export buffer of reticulocyte lysate, and 3 mM ATP (Miyata et al., 2009). After the export reaction at 26°C for 1 h, the assay mixture was separated into organelle and cytosolic fractions by centrifugation at 20,000 g for 20 min. [35S]Pex5pL was detected as above.

Protease digestion assay

PNS fractions were prepared from mitotic and interphase HeLa cells or pex4 HeLa cells transfected with PEX4, PEX4S232A, or PEX4S232D. Partial protease-treatment experiments using 0.5 mg/ml of PNS fraction from HeLa cells with 2.5–30 µg/ml proteinase K were performed on ice for 30 min. The digestion was terminated by the addition of 1 mM PMSF. The digests were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting with rabbit antibodies to Pex14pN and Pex14pC.

Other methods

Immunoblotting was performed using electrophoretically transferred samples on polyvinylidene membranes (Bio-Rad) with primary antibodies and secondary antibodies conjugated to HRP (GE Healthsciences; Otera et al., 2002). Antigen–antibody complexes were visualized with an ECL Western blotting detection reagent (GE Healthsciences). BN-PAGE analysis was done using 4–16% Bis-Tris Gel (Invitrogen) as described (Tamura et al., 2014). For Phos-tag PAGE analysis, 50 µM MnCl₂ and 25 µM Phos-tag acrylamide (Wako) were added to acrylamide gel before making gel of SDS-PAGE (Kinoshita et al., 2006).

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows that mutation of Ser232 to Ala appeared to induce additional mitotic phosphorylation of rat Pex14p at Ser247. Fig. S2 shows that the import efficiency of a dual mutant Pex14pS232D/S334D was similar to that of S232D, suggesting that S232 is an important phosphorylation site in the regulation of peroxisomal protein import during mitosis. Fig. S3 shows that the specificities of antibodies to Pex14p N- or C-terminal region.
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Figure S1. **Phos-tag PAGE analysis of WT Pex14p and its mutants.** Four potential phosphorylation sites of Pex14p were substituted to Ala, two of which are shown in Fig. 2E (upper panels). Pex14p mutants with two-site mutations were likewise analyzed (lower panels). M, mitotic-phase cells; I, interphase cells.
Figure S2. **Phosphomimetic Pex14p suppresses peroxisomal import of matrix proteins.** Pex14p variants mutated at both S232 and S334 were assessed for peroxisomal protein import in pex14 HeLa cells as in Fig. 3 (A and B). Error bars show SEM. Statistical significance was verified by ANOVA and post hoc Dunnett’s test, and the significance is indicated with asterisk (*, P < 0.001, n = 4).

Figure S3. **PNS fractions from control HeLa (-) and pex14 HeLa cells were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting with antibodies to Pex14pN (left) and Pex14pC (right).** Open arrowheads indicate the endogenous Pex14p. The dot designates a cleaved product of Pex14p; *, nonspecific bands.