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Abstract: Introduction: Statistic distribution of Kennedy's classifications and tooth loss among general population are important reasons to assess the dentures needs and impact of preventive dentistry in any society. Aim: To determine the frequency and pattern of Kennedys classification among Jazan population in relation to different age group, gender, sites and causes of tooth loss. Material and methods: The data was collected between May 2014 and May 2015 from patients who required removable partial dentures. Clinical intra-oral and radiographic examinations were done, then the data were registered and the Kennedy classes and causes of teeth loss were recorded. The number of subjects participated were 339 male and 240 female. They were divided into five groups, 20-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59 and ≥ 60 years respectively. All relevant data were entered into SPSS program and analyzed accordingly using Fisher exact test. Results: The highest number of patients among males and females were in age group of 50-59 year. Kennedy’s class III was the highest among all age groups. In males, class III Kennedy classification was the highest in both arches, while class I was the highest in female. Periodontal disease was the major cause of tooth loss in both genders, followed by dental caries. A combination of both causes was founded in less than 10% of subjects. There were significant differences between all groups. The obtained P values were statistically significant (p<.000). Conclusion: Kennedys Class III had highest frequency in males in all age groups and in both arches whereas in females, Kennedys class I was the highest. Periodontal disease was the most common cause of tooth loss in all genders followed by dental caries.
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1. Introduction

In any population tooth loss is an overall indicator of the oral health [1]. Dental caries, periodontitis, orthodontics, trauma, prosthetic treatments and combinations of these, have been cited as some of the common reasons for extraction of teeth in the available literatures Various surveys on the causes of tooth loss in different countries have been conducted and have produced some controversy regarding whether periodontal and/or caries diseases are the main reasons for tooth loss [2-4].

Different methods of partial edentulism classification, on the basis of potential combinations of teeth to ridges, have been reported in the textbook [5]. At present, Kennedy’s classification is the most widely accepted and used because of its simplicity, ease of application to all partially dentulous situations, immediate visualization of the type of partially edentulous arch being considered and differentiation between tooth-borne and tooth-tissue-borne partial edentulism [6]. Using this classification a tremendous number of possible semi-edentulous combinations have been reduced to four main and simple groups namely Class I, Class II, Class III and Class IV [7].

Assessment of tooth mortality information in different
areas of the world is essential for evaluating the adequacy of dental care and preventive oral health programs [8]. The pattern of tooth loss has been evaluated in different populations in many parts of the countries [9-10]. Higher prevalence of edentulism was reported in males than in females, in a survey conducted by Hoover and McDermount [11]. While Marcus et al concluded that the prevalence of edentulism had no relationship with gender [12]. Periodic reviews of the trends in the incidence of the various classes of removable partial dentures are necessary to serve as teaching guidelines [13].

For effective allocation of available dental resources, it is necessary to understand the relative contributions of the two major oral diseases (dental caries and periodontal disease) to tooth loss. There is limited published dental literature available on epidemiological surveys investigating the reasons of tooth loss and pattern of edentulism amongst Saudi Arabian population [14-19-20]. So the purpose of this study was to determine the pattern of the partial edentulism (based on Kennedy’s classification) and its relationship with certain variables such as age group, gender, arch and teeth loss causes, amongst patients examined at College of Dentistry, Jazan University, Saudi Arabia.

2. Subject and Methods

This cross-sectional study was approved by the Research Committee at the Faculty of Dentistry in Jazan University. The study was conducted in patient reported to outpatient section of the college. The data collections were carried out during the period of May 2014 – May 2015 for patients requiring removable partial dentures. The subject in this study was consisted of 579 patients (339 male and 240 females). All patients seeking for replacement of missing teeth were included in this study. All the patients attended to the college clinics were surveyed and the cases for this study are selected according to the certain criteria’s. The inclusion criteria consisted of patients from both genders, above the age of 20 years, having partially edentulous areas in either or both arches. The Kennedys modification areas were not included to avoid the complexity. Completely edentulous patients and those with missing third molars in both arches were excluded from the study.

Selected students were involved for data collections after short period training. The examinations included both radiographic and intra-oral examinations by screening the patient in the dental chair using diagnostic kit. The examinations were done to determine the incidence of Kennedy’s classification in relation to area of missing teeth (arch), causes of teeth loss, among different age groups and gender. The radiograph used in this study was a panoramic digital radiography device (Tomography X-ray System Model Pax-Flex 3D).

The selected subjects were divided into five groups, according to the age, 20-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59 and equal or above 60 years respectively. The clinical examination of both dental arches of each patient was carried out after written consent signatures by every patient. All relevant data related to partial edentulism was recorded in a self-designed proforma.

A tooth was classified as requiring extraction due to dental caries when caries had destroyed the crown so that it cannot be restored, if there were septic roots, or carious exposure of the pulp. A tooth was regarded as requiring extraction due to periodontal disease if it tended to satisfy the score eight criteria of Russell’s PI index (Russell, 1956), namely the presence of considerable mobility according to the Miller Mobility Index Miller, 195) [21].

The clinical data were summarized, frequencies and percentages as appropriate. Association with age, gender and tooth loss causes was tested with Chi-Square (Fisher exact test). The values of P of < 0.05 were considered as significant. Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS).

3. Results

It is obvious from table 1 & 2 that the highest number of patients was in group 50-59 years in both arches. Class III Kennedy classification was the most prevalent among all age groups; 35.1% of Class III were in 30-39 age group regarding maxillary arch and 43.1% in 50-59 age group regarding mandibular arch (table 1 and 2). In the maxillary arch, 23.8% of Class I cases were in age group 20-29 and it was 28.6% in > 60 age group. While in mandibular arch 43.3% of Class I cases were in age group 20-29 and 30.0% in 40-49 years age group. Class II cases were highest in age groups 20-29 regarding maxillary arch and in 50-59 age group regarding mandibular arch. Class IV was the highest in group 50-59 in both arches. These results were statistically significant at P > 0.001.

The highest frequency and percentage of Kennedy class III was in males for both arches (maxillary 80.5% and mandibular 75.2%). While among females, the most frequent was Kennedy’s class I for both arches. Kennedy class IV was the lowest for both arches in both genders (Table 3). These results were statistically significant at P > 0.001.

Periodontal disease was the most common cause of tooth loss in both arches; it was the most frequent cause for Class III in maxillary arch (65.9%) and in mandibular arch (74.3%), as shown in table 4 & 5. While dental caries was responsible for 80% of class I in mandibular arch and 57% in maxillary arch. The most frequent cause of tooth loss in Class VI was periodontal diseases in maxilla while most cases of Class IV in the mandibular arch had unknown causes of tooth loss. A combination of causes of teeth loss was founded in less than 13% of the subjects for each arch as shown in table 4 & 5. This result was statistically significant at P > 0.001.
Table 1. Fisher exact test; Number (n) and percentage (%) distribution of Kennedy’s classes of maxillary arch in relation to age groups.

| Age Groups | Class I | Class II | Class III | Class IV | Total | P-Value |
|------------|---------|----------|-----------|----------|-------|---------|
|            | N %     | N %      | N %       | N %      | N %   |         |
| 20-29      | 25 23.8 | 24 27.0  | 45 22.0   | 0.0 0.0  | 94 16.2 |         |
| 30-39      | 20 19.0 | 0.0 0.0  | 72 35.1   | 0.0 0.0  | 92 15.9 | 0.000*  |
| 40-49      | 15 14.3 | 45 5.6  | 48 23.4   | 14 23.7  | 122 21.1 |         |
| 50-59      | 15 14.3 | 5 5.6  | 40 19.5   | 45 76.3  | 163 28.2 |         |
| ≥ 60       | 30 28.6 | 15 13.9 | 0.0 0.0   | 0.0 0.0  | 108 18.7 |         |

*Significant value

Table 2. Fisher exact test; Number (n) and percentage (%) distribution of Kennedy’s classes of mandibular arch in relation to age groups.

| Age Groups | Class I | Class II | Class III | Class IV | Total | P-Value |
|------------|---------|----------|-----------|----------|-------|---------|
|            | N %     | N %      | N %       | N %      | N %   |         |
| 20-29      | 65 43.3 | 29 28.2  | 0.0 0.0   | 0.0 0.0  | 94 16.2 |         |
| 30-39      | 20 13.3 | 19 18.4  | 53 26.2   | 0.0 0.0  | 92 15.9 | 0.000*  |
| 40-49      | 45 30.0 | 15 14.6  | 62 30.7   | 0.0 0.0  | 122 21.1 |         |
| 50-59      | 0.0 0.0 | 40 38.8  | 87 43.1   | 26 51.0  | 163 28.2 |         |
| ≥ 60       | 20 13.3 | 0.0 0.0  | 0.0 0.0   | 25 49.0  | 108 18.7 |         |

Table 3. Fisher exact test; Gender number (n) and percentage (%) distribution of the presence of Kennedy’s classes of both arches.

| Maxillary Arch | Gender | 00 | Class I | Class II | Class III | Class IV | P-Value |
|----------------|--------|----|---------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|
|                | N %    | N % | N %     | N %      | N %       | N %      |         |
| Males          | 121 100 | 0.0 0.0 | 24 27.0 | 165 80.5 | 29 49.2  | 0.000*  |
| Female         | 0.0 0.0 | 105 65  | 73.0 40 | 19.5 30  | 50.8     |         |
| Mandibular Arch |       |    |         |          |           |          |         |
| Male           | 73 100 | 40 26.7 | 48 46.6 | 152 75.2 | 26 51.0  | 0.000*  |
| Female         | 0.0 0.0 | 110 73.3 | 55 53.4 | 50 24.8  | 25 49.0  |         |

Table 4. Fisher exact test; Number (n) and percentage (%) of tooth loss causes with Kennedy’s classes of maxillary arch.

| Causes       | Class I | Class II | Class III | Class IV | Total | P-Value |
|--------------|---------|----------|-----------|----------|-------|---------|
|              | N %     | N %      | N %       | N %      | N %   |         |
| Caries       | 60 57.1 | 44 49.4  | 70 34.1   | 0.0 0.0  | 174 30.1 |         |
| PD           | 5 4.8   | 30 33.7  | 135 65.9  | 45 76.3  | 215 37.1 |         |
| Trauma       | 15 14.3 | 0.0 0.0  | 0.0 0.0   | 14 23.7  | 70 12.1 | 0.000*  |
| Unknown      | 25 23.8 | 0.0 0.0  | 0.0 0.0   | 0.0 0.0  | 46 7.9 |         |
| C+PD         | 0.0 0.0 | 15 16.9  | 0.0 0.0   | 0.0 0.0  | 50 8.6 |         |
| C+T          | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0  | 0.0 0.0   | 0.0 0.0  | 6 1.0 |         |
| PD+T         | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0  | 0.0 0.0   | 0.0 0.0  | 18 3.1 |         |

PD: Periodontal disease, C: Caries, T: Trauma

Table 5. Fisher exact test; Number (n) and percentage (%) percentage of tooth loss causes with Kennedy’s classes of mandibular arch.

| Causes       | Class I | Class II | Class III | Class IV | Total | P-Value |
|--------------|---------|----------|-----------|----------|-------|---------|
|              | N %     | N %      | N %       | N %      | N %   |         |
| Caries       | 120 80.8 | 48 46.6  | 6 3.0     | 0.0 0.0  | 174 30.1 |         |
| PD           | 10 6.7   | 55 53.4  | 150 74.3  | 0.0 0.0  | 215 37.1 |         |
| Trauma       | 0.0 0.0  | 0.0 0.0  | 46 22.8   | 24 47.1  | 70 12.1 | 0.000*  |
| Unknown      | 5 3.3    | 0.0 0.0  | 0.0 0.0   | 27 52.9  | 46 7.9 |         |
| C+PD         | 15 10.0  | 0.0 0.0  | 0.0 0.0   | 0.0 0.0  | 50 8.6 |         |
| C+T          | 0.0 0.0  | 0.0 0.0  | 0.0 0.0   | 0.0 0.0  | 6 1.0 |         |
| PD+T         | 0.0 0.0  | 0.0 0.0  | 0.0 0.0   | 0.0 0.0  | 18 3.1 |         |
4. Discussion

The determination of percentage and distribution of partial edentulism and tooth loss among general population are important reasons to assess the impact of preventive dentistry in any society. Furthermore, these data provide information about the prosthetics treatment needs among the population. This study was carried out among patients attended to outpatient clinic, faculty of dentistry in XYZ requiring prosthetic treatment according to their Kennedy classification.

From this finding it is clear that class III has the highest percentage and frequency in maxillary and mandibular arches in all age groups comparing to other classes. This is in agreements with Sadig and Idowa, [22], AL-Dwairi ZN, [10], NAVEED et al [7], Shinawi LA [23], Eachempati et al [24] and Abdel-Rahman et al [25]. On other hand AL Moaleem et al [26], Niarchou AP et al [27] Pournasrollah et al [28], in their studies they found that class I was the most commonly encountered one in both arches. This could be explained by treatment cost covered by insurance companies for tooth bounded class III, furthermore the preventive program produced by the governments as well as the eating habits.

The results of this study is in agreement with studies conducted by Pellizzer et al [29], ZAIGHAM and MUNEER [30], Shaarra and Stoute [31] and Sapkota et al [32], they concluded in their results that incidences of class III Kennedy classification for maxilla was more frequent.

Anterior teeth are the most retained teeth among general population and the posterior tooth bounded edentulous areas are treated with fixed partial denture. The results of the present study showed that class IV Kennedy classification is the least among all age groups, this is in agreement with the findings of AL Moaleem et al [26], Cha et al [33], ZAIGHAM and MUNEER [30] and AL Judy HJ [34].

In comparison between males and females as in Table 3, males showed more of class III Kennedy classification for both maxillary and mandibular arches. This is in agreement with, AL-Dwairi [10]. While in females, class I Kennedys classification was the highest for both arches, this is in agreement with AL Moaleem et al [26]. But Sapkota [32] showed class III (tooth bounded) is higher among unemployed female group this could be explained by low economic status of the patients, who cannot afford for fixed partial denture treatments. While In comparison between male and females, class IV Kennedy’s classification was the least frequent compared to other classes in both arches, this could be explained, by the fact that, patients generally get anterior teeth replaced immediately due to aesthetic reasons.

Retention of the complete dentition throughout life is the main goal of dental health provider. In areas with similarity of geographical, eating habits, social and cultural as well as provided governmental health service. Even though there was no studies conducted to investigate the relationship between Kennedy classification and teeth loss causes. So one of the aimed of this study was to determine the pattern of Kennedy classification and its relationship to teeth loss causes among patients attended to the outpatient’s clinics. Table 4 & 5 in our results showed that periodontal disease were the most common causes of tooth loss in Kennedy class III followed by class I in maxillary and mandibular arch. This results agreed with some studies conducted in the Saudi Arabia Al Zahrani MS9 [17], Al Suwayyan and Khan [18] and Gossadi et al [19] (Jazan, Saudi Arabia), those concluded that periodontal disease is the most common cause of tooth loss. In a single study conducted by Gossadi et al [19], they concluded a periodontal disease is the major cause of teeth extraction, but caries was found higher in younger age group. Another similar result was founded by ZAIGHAM and MUNEER [30], and Abdel-Rahman et al [33] concluded that direct relation between the age and the tooth loss causes, since the main cause among younger group’s patients was dental caries. Then a sudden increase was noticed toward periodontal diseases causes from age 50 years and above. For combined causes of teeth extraction, only few studies were carried out in different regions of Saudi Arabia. They concluded that combined causes of caries and periodontal disease were found in previous studies in private clinics in the capital of kingdom at Riyadh [17-18]. In few cases the cause of tooth loss was trauma, this is in agreement with Abdel-Rahman et al, [25].

The limitation of this study is that, the driven forces of the patient to the clinics is unknown, but most likely the patient relative have connection with the university and the treatment is provided free as compared to dental treatments services is paid treatments in other countries. We recommended for further study with bigger sample size to involve all dental centers in the region. All the obtained results from the present and futures studies will help in the planning of the need of the community regarding prosthesis. Also help in developing preventive programs to avoid losing their teeth.

5. Conclusions

Within the limitation of this cross sectional study the following conclusions can be drawn; the highest number of patients was in age group (49-50) in both arches .Class III was the highest among all different age groups followed by class I in group (20-29) and ≥ 60 of the maxillary arch. While class IV was the highest in group (50-59) in both arches. Class I was the most frequent in female, while class III was in males groups in both arches. Class IV was the lowest in both arches and gender. Periodontal disease was the most common cause of tooth loss in class III in both arches and genders, followed by dental caries. Combinations of causes were founded in less than 13% of all subjects in each arch.
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