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1 INTRODUCTION

Black hole X-ray transients (BHT) represent the majority of the black hole binary (BHB) population known so far. These systems spend most of their lives in quiescence, displaying luminosities too low to be detected by X-ray all-sky monitors (see e.g. Garcia et al. 2009). However, they also undergo outburst events in which they become as bright as persistent sources, allowing their discovery. During these episodes, both the spectral and the time variability properties of BHTs vary dramatically, yielding the so-called `states’. There is still much discussion about how many different states there are, and their correspondence with different physical conditions (see e.g. Belloni 2010 for a general description), but the presence of a hard state (historically known as low/hard; LHS) at the beginning of the outburst which evolves towards a soft state (high/soft; HSS) is widely accepted. The LHS, also associated with the last part of the outburst, is characterized by a power-law dominated energy spectrum with a power-law index of \( \sim 1.6 \) to \( \sim 2 \) keV band. A high energy cut-off (\( \sim 60–200 \) keV; Wilms et al. 2006; Motta et al. 2009) is observed and aperiodic variability with a fractional root mean square amplitude (rms) above 30% is seen. The energy spectrum is softer during HSS, being dominated by a thermal disc black body component. However, a hard tail up to \( \sim 1 \) MeV is present (Grove et al. 1998). The rms associated with the aperiodic variability drops until 1% or less. These two `canonical’ states were first proposed to describe the behaviour of the prototypical BHB Cyg X-1.

XTE J1752-223 was discovered by the Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer (RXTE) on October 23, 2009 (Markwardt et al. 2009). The source showed a 2–10 keV flux of 30 mCrab. Significant similarities with the typical properties of BHT during the LHS were soon noticed by Markwardt et al. (2009) and Shaposhnikov et al. (2009). A bright optical counterpart was detected (Torres et al. 2009), showing in the optical spectrum a broad Hα emission line (\( \text{FWHM} \sim 750 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) typical of accreting binaries (Torres et al. 2009). A radio counterpart with a spectrum consistent with that of a compact jet, as expected for LHS, was also reported by Brockopp et al. (2009). All these properties triggered a daily monitoring by RXTE in order to follow up the outburst evolution. In this paper we present spectral and time variability analysis of XTE J1752-223 using an unusually long, almost continuous observation (\( \sim 116 \) ks) performed by RXTE during 26th, 27th and 28th October 2009 (MJD 55130-55132). The quality of this data set allows us to perform a detailed study of this source and compare its general behaviour with that shown by the prototypical BHB Cyg X-1 during LHS.

2 OBSERVATIONS

We analyse a \( \sim 116 \) ks observation of XTE J1752-223 interrupted only by satellite-related gaps. This corresponds to RXTE archive observations identifiers 94044–07–01–00, 94044–07–01–01, 94044–07–01–02 and 94331–01–01–00, 94331–01–01–02 that were performed between October 26 (15:03 UT) and 29 (20:50 UT), 2009. A total of 35 one-orbit pointings were used. For comparison we have also analysed a \( \sim 15 \) ks RXTE observation of Cyg X-1 (94121–01–08–00) starting on April 12, 2009 (02:15 UT). This observation was chosen because it is the longest observation of Cyg...
X-1 performed recently with RXTE and the instrument response is expected to be similar to that for the XTE J1752-223 data. The variability study presented in this paper is based on data from the Proportional Counter Array (PCA). For XTE J1752-223 the data are in the mode E,125us,64M,OL,Is which covers the PCA effective energy range (2-60 keV) with 64 bands. However only PCA channels 0–35 (2–15 keV) were used in the analysis. For Cyg X-1 we selected the modes SB,125us,0,13,Is and SB,125us,0,13,Is that cover the 2–15 keV band used for the analysis of XTE J1752-223. For both objects the time resolution is 122µs.

The PCA Standard 2 mode (STD2) was used for spectral analysis. It covers the 2–60 keV energy range with 129 channels. From the data, we extracted hardness (h), defined as the ratio of counts in STD2 channels 11–20 (6.1–10.2 keV) and 4–10 (3.3–6.1 keV). Using this definition we find similar average values of h = 0.887 ± 0.002 for XTE J1752-223 and h = 0.821 ± 0.003 for Cyg X-1, both in the range expected for LHS. Energy spectra from the PCA and High Energy X-ray Timing Experiment (HEXTE) instruments (background and dead-time corrected) were extracted for each observation using the standard RXTE software within HEASOFT V. 6.7. For our spectral fitting, only Proportional Counter Unit 2 from the PCA and Cluster B from HEXTE were used. In order to account for residual uncertainties in the instrument calibration a systematic error of 0.6% and 1% was added to the PCA and HEXTE spectra, respectively. All the observations were averaged in a single spectrum after a preliminary spectral analysis where no significant spectral variability across the pointings was found.

To extend our energy coverage down to 0.5 keV we also made use of snapshot Swift X-ray Telescope (XRT) observations collected within 26-28 Oct 2009. In particular, we use observation numbers 00031532001, 00031532002 and 00031532003 (3.2 ks of data). They were carried out in Windowed Timing (WT) mode, due to the brightness of the source. In WT mode a 1D image is obtained by reading data compressed along the central 200 pixels in a single row. The XRT data were reprocessed with standard procedures (xrtpipeline V. 0.12.3 within HEASOFT V. 6.7). We extracted source events in a circular region with radius 20 pixels centred on source.

Ancillary response files were generated with the XRTMKARF task, accounting for CCD defects, vignetting, and point-spread function corrections. Given the relatively high interstellar absorption and source count rate, we prefer also to select photons based on their grade, allowing only for single-pixel events. This provides a clearer spectrum and a higher spectral resolution. The source is very bright: since within the energy range considered the background contributes less than 1%, we did not correct for the background. After verification of comparable spectra in the three observations, we summed the data into a single spectrum, creating the corresponding arf file. During the fit we used the response file swxt06s_20070901v011.rmf appropriate for single pixel events and for the new XRT substrate voltage (6 V).

### Table 1. Spectral parameters for XRT+PCA+HEXTE spectra

| Spectral parameter | Value |
|--------------------|-------|
| Absorption (10^{22} atoms cm^{-2}) | 0.72^{+0.01}_{-0.04} |
| T_{in} (keV) | 0.313 ± 0.007 |
| Diskbb norm. | (1.027 ± 0.001) \times 10^6 |
| Γ_1 | 1.471 ± 0.008 |
| E_{break} (keV) | 10.2 ± 0.4 |
| Γ_2 | 1.24 ± 0.01 |
| PL. norm. (photons keV^{-1}cm^{-2}s^{-1}) | 44.7^{+0.5}_{-0.6} |
| High energy cut-off (keV) | 133^{+6}_{-5} |

3 DATA ANALYSIS

The analysis of the energy spectrum, power density spectrum (PDS), rms spectrum and time-lags is presented here. They have been performed making use of XSPEC and custom timing software running under IDL.

3.1 Spectral analysis

In order to perform a broad band spectral analysis, Swift/XRT (0.5-7.0 keV), PCA (4-20 keV) and HEXTE (20-200 keV) spectra were combined. XSPEC V. 12.5.1 was used to fit the spectra. We started by trying a one-component model, either a cut-off-power-law (cutoffpl in XSPEC) or a black body disc (diskbb in XSPEC), without success. In the same way, a clear residual in the soft part of the spectrum is observed when using a simple combination of these two models (i.e. cutoffpl + diskbb).

Nowak et al. (2005) showed that the energy spectra of BHB can be empirically described by an absorbed broken power-law with an exponential cut-off. Following this, we substituted the power-law component by a broken power-law component (bintpow in XSPEC) without success. We also found that a high energy cut-off component (highecut in XSPEC) with a typical folding energy of ~ 130 keV clearly improves the fit and better describes the spectrum. A broad (FWMH ~ 2.8 keV) iron emission line with centroid energy fixed at 6.4 keV was also needed in order to obtain acceptable fits.
The iron line has an equivalent width of 53.5 eV. Finally, the addition of a narrow Gaussian component at $\sim 2$ keV was also required in order to account for unphysical residuals in the XRT spectrum. To account for cross-calibration problems, a variable multiplicative constant for the PCA and HEXTE spectra (as compared to XRT) was added to the fits. $\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 1.13$ for 653 degrees of freedom (dof) was finally obtained. No additional reflection component is required to obtain a good fit. We derive an equivalent Hydrogen column value of $N_{\text{H}} = (0.72^{+0.03}_{-0.04}) \times 10^{22}$ atoms cm$^{-2}$. The fit for the XRT+PCA+HEXTE spectrum is shown in Fig. 1. The spectral parameters obtained are listed in Table 1. We also tried to fit the spectra using more sophisticated Comptonization models ($\text{comptt, pexrav}$) but the result was statistically worse than the obtained by using the model described above. $\text{comptt}$ and $\text{pexrav}$+reflection do not provide a valid fit and for $\text{pexrav}$ we obtain $\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 1.26.$ for 650 dof.

The broad band spectrum of XTE J1752-223 is consistent with the source being a BHT in LHS. Its energy spectrum is dominated by a broken power-law component with photon indexes $\sim 1.2$ and $\sim 1.5$ and break energy $\sim 10$ keV. This is in agreement with the analysis performed by Wilms et al. (2006) on Cyg X-1 (see section 3). We also note that, in contrast to Shaposhnikov et al. (2009), we do not need a disc component to fit the RXTE spectra. Only the addition of the XRT spectrum evidences that a disc component is necessary to achieve a good fit.

### 3.2 Power density spectrum

Power density spectra (PDS) for each one-orbit pointing were computed using the same procedure outlined in Belloni et al. (2006). We used stretches 512 s long and energy channels STD2 0–31 (2–15 keV). PDS were fitted with XSPEC V.11. As for energy spectra we find that the shape of PDSs is almost constant during the whole observation, with it being possible to fit them by using 4 broad Lorentzians. Following Belloni et al. (2002), one of this components is centred at zero frequency and it is kept frozen. To illustrate this, three one-orbit PDS (orbits 2, 16, 33) are shown in the upper panel of Fig. 2. In these fits we notice the presence of residuals in the $\sim 0.02$–$0.1$ Hz band. This extra component appears to vary between different orbits and can not be fitted by adding an extra narrow Lorentzian. In a second step, an average PDS was created (see lower panel in Fig. 2). This PDS has a S/N ratio much higher than the previous ones, allowing a more accurate fit. We initially excluded the region 0.02–0.1 Hz and fitted with four Lorentzians. We find that these four broad components describe well the continuum of the average PDS. We obtain $\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 1.26.$ for 265 dof. Given the high signal-to-noise of the PDS it was not possible to fit all its wiggles and get a lower value for $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$. In order to properly fit the 0.02–0.1 Hz region two extra components are required (dotted-dashed lines in Fig. 2). Moreover a weak, high frequency ($\sim 21$ Hz) component not visible in the one-orbit PDSs appears in the average spectra. In conclusion, seven Lorentzians are used, yielding $\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 1.28$ for 281 dof (see lower panel in Fig. 2). Table 2 shows the parameters obtained from the fit. $eL_1$ and $eL_2$ with $Q > 2$ are probably related with weak quasi periodic oscillations (QPOs; see Belloni et al. 2002). The total rms in the 0.002–128 Hz band is $48.2 \pm 0.1\%$.

### 3.3 The spectrum of the fractional rms

In order to study the energy dependence of the rms we calculated it for seven energy bands within the range 2–20 keV. Average PDS corresponding to the STD2 channels 0–6 (2–4.5 keV), 7–9 (4.5–5.7 keV), 10–13 (5.7–7.3 keV), 14–17 (7.3–9 keV), 18–23 (9–11.4 keV), 24–31 (11.4–14.8 keV) and 32–45 (14.8–20.6 keV) were used to compute the rms spectra (i.e. fractional rms vs. energy; see e.g. Vaughan et al. 2003, Gierliński & Zdziarski 2005). In Fig. 3 we show our results for both XTE J1752-223 and Cyg X-1 within the 0.002–128 Hz band. We find that in both sources the fractional rms is almost independent of energy. We measure a rms of $\sim 48\%$ for XTE J1752-223 and $\sim 35\%$ for Cyg X-1. This difference is consistent with the hardness-rms correlation generally observed in BHT (e.g. Belloni 2010). We have also tried other frequency bands (e.g. 0.04–5, 10–128 Hz) always obtaining flat rms spectra.

### 3.4 Time-lags

We have computed time-lags between soft and hard variability (see e.g. Casella et al. 2004). Following Pottschmidt et al. (2000), we have used the energy ranges $\sim 2–4$ keV and $\sim 8–13$ keV for the soft and hard bands, corresponding to the STD2 channels 0–5 and

---

**Table 2.** Fit parameters for the broad Lorentzian, the high frequency Lorentzian ($L_{0,1,2,3,4}$; dashed line in Fig. 2) and the extra ones added in the 0.02–0.1 Hz region ($eL_{1,2}$; dotted-dashed line in Fig. 2).

| Component | Frequency (Hz) | Q | rms (%) |
|-----------|---------------|---|---------|
| $L_0$     | $0^{+0.003}_{-0.001}$ | $0^{+0.28}_{-0.008}$ | 27.3 ± 0.7 |
| $L_1$     | $0.014 \pm 0.002$ | $0.114 \pm 0.012$ | 36.5 ± 0.3 |
| $L_2$     | $0.07 \pm 0.01$ | $0.04 \pm 0.01$ | 34.7 ± 0.2 |
| $L_3$     | $1.57 \pm 0.02$ | $0.291 \pm 0.005$ | 26.3 ± 0.1 |
| $L_4$     | $21.1 \pm 1.9$ | $1.7 \pm 0.5$ | 2.3 ± 0.2 |

| Extra Component | Frequency (Hz) | Q | rms (%) |
|-----------------|---------------|---|---------|
| $eL_1$          | $0.0256^{+0.0002}_{-0.0006}$ | unconstrained | 3.7 ± 0.8 |
| $eL_2$          | $0.051 \pm 0.002$ | $2.7 \pm 0.6$ | 8.9 ± 0.6 |
of the system is power-law dominated, the best fits being obtained over the broad energy range 0.5–20 keV. The RXTE spectrum data have allowed us to fit the energy distribution of XTE J1752-223 by using a broken power-law model with photon indices $\Gamma_1 \sim 1.5$ and $\Gamma_2 \sim 1.2$. It is remarkable that this is also the model which best reproduce the energy spectra of the canonical BHB Cyg X-1 (Wilms et al. 2006). These authors monitored the source during five years finding interesting correlations between $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ but also between ($\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2$) and $\Gamma_1$. The values we found for XTE J1752-223 lie on both correlations but correspond to a state slightly harder than the hardest reported by Wilms et al. (2006). On the other hand, the difference between spectral indices ($\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2 \sim 0.23$) and high energy cut-off ($\sim 133$ keV) are in agreement with those measured for Cyg X-1 during LHS. The latter is also consistent with values found in classical BHT like GX 339-4 (Motta et al. 2009) or GRO J1655-40 (Jonet et al. 2008) during LHS.

The RXTE spectrum of XTE J1752-223 does not require of a disc component to get a good fit, but the disc becomes evident when adding the Swift data. Our analysis reveals the presence of a cold disc with an inner radius temperature of $\sim 0.3$ keV. From the disc black-body normalization component (see Mitsuda et al. 1984) it is possible to derive the value of the inner radius of the accretion disc. In particular, assuming a distance in the range 2-8 kpc, a 10 $M_\odot$ BH and an inclination $\leq 70^\circ$ (eclipses have not been observed) we find an inner disc radius in the range 9–43 gravitational radii.

### 4 DISCUSSION

We have performed a general analysis of XTE J1752-223 by looking at the spectral and timing properties of this recently discovered source. For a more complete understanding of the overall behaviour of the system we have also made use of rms spectra and time-lags. We have analysed a very long RXTE observation which provides high S/N data and therefore an accurate determination of the different parameters has been possible.

- The combination of Swift (XRT) and RXTE (PCA+HEXTE) data have allowed us to fit the energy distribution of XTE J1752-223 over the broad energy range 0.5–200 keV. The RXTE spectrum of the system is power-law dominated, the best fits being obtained by using a broken power-law model with photon indices $\Gamma_1 \sim 1.5$ and $\Gamma_2 \sim 1.2$. It is remarkable that this is also the model which

![Figure 3. Rms spectra for XTE J1752-223 and Cyg X-1 (0.002–128 Hz).](image1)

![Figure 4. Top panel: time-lag vs. frequency for XTE J1752-223 (black circles). For Cyg X-1 we over-plot the time-lags we find during the LHS observation we have analysed (open diamonds) and those found by Pottschmidt et al. (2000) also during LHS (open triangles). The dashed line shows the relation $\Delta t \propto \nu^{-0.7}$ previously observed in Cyg X-1 (e.g. Nowak et al. 1999). Bottom panel: corresponding phase lags.](image2)
We have tried to fit our average PDS with a power-law, which results very flat, instead of $L_0$. Significant variations on frequency are only observed for $L_1$ (i.e. $\nu_1$). We also note that weak QPOs like the ones we detect in the 0.02–0.1 Hz band (i.e. $\nu_{1,2}$) are also detected by Pottschmidt et al. (2003) in Cyg X-1. Again, their frequencies are slightly higher (0.1–1 Hz) than those we observe in XTE J1752-223.

- Rms spectra tell us how variability depends on the energy band considered. Their shape and comparison with energy spectra also provides clues on the origin of the observed variability (e.g. Wilkinson & Uttley 2009). Previous works have studied the shape of rms spectra during different states in several BHT (see e.g. Gierliński & Zdziarski 2005 for a general description). In particular, two different rms spectral shapes have been observed during LHS: flat (e.g. XTE J1550-564) and smoothly decreasing with energy (e.g. XTE J1650-500). In this paper we show that the 2-20 keV rms spectrum of XTE J1752-223 is flat within 1%. The same behaviour is observed in the LHS observation of Cyg X-1 that we have analysed. This is expected if the variability is produced by changes in the normalization of the entire spectrum, but keeping the spectral shape constant. For the case of XTE J1752-223 (and Cyg X-1), where no disc component is present in the RXTE spectrum, our results are consistent with variability being due by variations in the normalization of the Comptonization (power-law) component. Gierliński & Zdziarski (2003) also discuss possible features caused by reflection components in the rms spectrum. For the case in which reflection and continuum components are not correlated, they predict the presence of $\sim 2 - 5\%$ absorption features in the rms spectra. These features are not detected in our rms spectrum. We note that this is consistent with our spectral fitting (it does not require a reflection component), although the broken power-law shape mimics the effect of reflection (Wilms et al. 2006).

- Time-lags between soft and hard photons are expected in Comptonized spectra as a result of the different number of scatterings that they undergo. In this framework, one expect hard variability delayed relative to soft variability. This is observed in XTE J1752-223, being the time-lag a function of the frequency. We find a behaviour similar to that exhibited by Cyg X-1 during hard state, but XTE J1752-223 happens to be in a slightly harder state. However, we note that there are two important differences between these two systems: Cyg X-1 is so far a persistent black hole binary and it harbours a high-mass companion. XTE J1752-223 is a transient and probably harbours a low-mass donor. Future multi-wavelength campaigns will probably provide new clues to the fundamental properties of this new black hole candidate.
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