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Abstract: Service nets distribute goods and services that is why their improvement is one of the important tasks of any production chain. There is many models related to the sphere however, in many of them it is possible to see some weaknesses. At the same time since the task mentioned is complicated and large scaled some systematical approach should be applied that needs to be modified taking into consideration presence in the systems objects, processes, events and phenomena of various nature and origin. As possible approach one considers so called cause-and-effect one that provides a universal description of complex systems and possibility of decision making in undefined or under-defined situations. In the article below this approach is considered and informational logic diagrams and algorithms to increase service net efficiency are presented. Gas stations were taken as examples and sphere of practical application, that results are discussed.
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1. Introduction

Service nets are important parts of present economy [1]. The statement is true for petroleum supply also [2, 3] that may be considered as a good example. To develop it there should be improvements of the existing models and optimization methods [4-6] to make management and control more efficient considering last as one of the main tasks of the present century [7].

A Service net (company, structure, etc.) as any complex system works under requirements of the upper, goal-orienting and parents systems, follows demands of consumers, takes into consideration possibilities of competitors and suppliers, fulfills laws, makes innovations and so on. These demands, influences and restrictions (further-factors) on/to/from a system and its surroundings may be formalized as elements of mathematical sets that permit to create in a parameter/factor space some feasible regions, goal areas and so on. Under the process approach if one considers gas stations as an example of service nets it is usually determined the station themselves (that serve consumers), station complexes or gas station nets (that provide work of the stations in a region) and companies as legal entities.

The investigation object is a large scale complex territorially distributed hierarchical human-machine system [8] tasks to increase efficiency of which are the ones of many criteria optimization [9]. Existing models and methods to resolve modern practical tasks are frequently not enough since they are not systemized. In addition, there are mainly considered state-level or object-level structures without due attention to regional service nets (station complexes), transportation (clients) flows are modeled as simplistic that is non-adequate, modern petroleum equipment like OPT (Outdoor Payment Terminal) and so on is not considered, etc.

The task is to bring efficiency or key performance indicator (KPI) K to its maximum at given and perspective factors of the system and surroundings G during ∆t by developing structures S = {X, U, GR} and choosing control actions, {C, A, X, U, R} that is
where $X$ – set of control means, $U$ – relation between them, $GR$ – structure graphs, $C$ – control functions, $A$ – control algorithms, $R$ – variant of a control structure. In given formulation the task is not resolved in general because of large scale, diversity of components and non-linearity of their interactions that demands a new approach.

2. Method: Causal-and-effect Approach to Increase Efficiency of Complex Systems

The most important regularity of complex system behavior is historicity or development in time [10, 11]. These ideas are formulated in all fields of knowledge and practical activity [12]. At the same time relative simplicity and observability of cause-and-effect (causal) interactions is the reason for their particular «refusal», since for example from «the earlier» is not exactly derived «in accordance with/because of» . This situation caused necessity of the following research that is done in some spheres [13].

In general, it is possible to consider that modern scientific knowledge is based on the determination of causal interactions between objects, processes, events and phenomena (further – objects). They unify visions from intuitive through scientific to philosophical permitting formal logical description at deep investigation of the functional spheres, taking into consideration origin of the events, their vicinity and development in time and provide matching of knowledge that comes from various spheres of theory and practical activity.

Every object of a system, a process realized, event as changing of state or phenomena of surroundings has its reason of origin and development that connects them with other objects. Goals as future states of a system are achieved at conditions determined by mentioned factors (condition 1). Results (effects) of causal interaction changes a system and surroundings that performs some new conditions (or condition 2). For analytical description the cause-and-effect cell operation algebra is used similar to finite-state machine one [6]. The model of the cell (general at the first level of decomposition) is as follows:

$$K\left(S, (C,A,X,U,R), \Delta t, G\right) \rightarrow \max$$

between parts of the system with the most trustable data;
Composition (developing of the whole system model by following flowcharts of processes of known systems and models, so-called base or etalon models);
And substitution (synthesis of structures optimal on criteria).

To increase efficiency the model of an elementary causal cell structure mentioned was changed. Achieving of a simple goal by means of elementary control task solution is modeled by the elementary cause-and-effect cell. Part of the system at state $SA$ with KPI $K$ to achieve goals at the factors of surroundings $GA$ under control $CA$ by converting of resources $WA$ is brought by means of functions and algorithms, contained in the kernel of a causal cell, to the state $SB$ with conditions $GB$ and control $CB$ corrected accordingly to the goal achieving degree ($\|GA−GB\|$), new $K^*$ ($\leftrightarrow$ after interaction) and output resources flow $WB$. It is possible to express $K$, $G$, $S$ through each others. For non-elementary cases some cause-and-effect (or reaons-and-consequence, RC) complexes are created for the part or whole of the system. Casual components interact accordingly to the flowcharts of base models using operations of OC set.

There fore new model of RC-cell on the first level of decomposition at matrix view is presented, where $A$ – before and $B$ – after interaction:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} K,CA \\
A(CA,GA,WA) \\
K^*,CB \\
S,GB,SB \end{array} \right\}$$

The solution of tasks in known situation is achieved by putting general, known or theoretically and experimentally proved RC-cells, its components decomposition till the level understandable by decision-makers using base models, practice performance checking, necessary feedback and correction.

If there are unknown situations or in case when there is no enough information about the system, surroundings and their interaction some parts with the most trustable data are determined. For them procedures mentioned to known situations are realized, general RC-models of the whole system are developed and optimization tasks are resolved considering known models with accuracy of data available. Results are step-by-step improved while the system is developed and/or one gets new data. Information about results put in some Petrol Data-Base (PDB). Since there are no restrictions on types of functions and algorithms of kernels, it is possible to describe interactions of objects of different nature.

3. Results (Algorithms and Diagrams) to Improve Service Station Net

Optimal control parameters task causal formulation is shown as follows:
where $n_{\text{inp}}$ – input transport flow, $n_{\text{out}}$ – output flow, $G_{uv} \in G$ – factors of the system and surroundings ($u=1..U$ – type, $v=1..V$ – kind), int – quasi-stationary time intervals, where linear dependence or constancy are adequate and possible, $\alpha$ – statistical significance, $K_{\text{station}}$ – efficiency indicator to be increased, $K_{\text{station}} \uparrow$.

Generalized algorithm to determine efficient parameters of gas stations at given factors of surroundings is presented on Figure 1, where $R$ – factors/parameter space, $R^*$-feasible region, $X$ and $Y$ – data of comparable objects, $av$ – average, $\Delta K$ – error. «End» operator is dotted since the algorithm is cycled due to necessity of step-by-step improvement of the system.

![Figure 1. Generalized algorithm to determine parameters of efficient service systems.](image)

The task to synthesize the structure of complex multi-circuit systems, optimal on $K_{\text{petrol}}$ at given $G$, where $K_{\text{petrol}}$ is brought to $\text{MAX}$ by development of structures and selecting of control actions at causal formulation is as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{\text{petrol}} \rightarrow \max \quad & X^*, U^*, K^*_{\text{petrol}} \\
G, C, H, P, X, U, w \quad & A(C, H, P, X, U) \\
G^*, w^*, GR, GR_1 & \rightarrow GR_2
\end{align*}
$$

where $w_{1..6}$ – resources (1 – staff, 2 – technology, 3 – energy, 4 – knowledge, 5 – finances, 6 – materials), $P$ – set of processes, $GR, GR_1 \rightarrow GR_4$ – structure graphs of, correspondingly, infra-system (non-active and needed control), control (1), decision making (2), organization-technical (3) and information (4) systems. It is supposed [14] that the models are enough to describe a whole system. A system structure is synthesized accordingly to the following informational logic diagram presented in written form.

On the I-st stage there is an analysis of system at
surrounding conditions.

a) Designation and specification the goals as components of the \( X \) vector given by decision-makers. It depends on factors of surroundings \( G \), flow chart of processes \( S \), control means characteristics \( X \) and relations between them \( U \), i.e. \( X \) (\( G, S, X, U \)). Quantitively they are determined by data of real working objects.

b) Specifying the system in surroundings adding to it some controllable components data of which decision-makers can evaluate goals achievability.

c) Determination of boundaries between controllable and control systems accordingly to activity (deliberate changing of information) of components. Non-active or infra-system does not have the property and needed control.

d) Determination the factors of Consumers, Suppliers, Competitors, Macro-economics, upper- and lower-level systems and so on as \( G \) components.

e) Designation \( K_{Petrol} = \frac{R_{Petrol} - L_{Petrol}}{C_{Petrol}} \) as KPI, where \( R_{Petrol} \) – results, \( C_{Petrol} \) – costs, \( L_{Petrol} \) – loses (outages, untrained staff, etc.), and \( \Delta K_{Petrol} \) possible.

f) Formation of parameter space and efficient working areas \( E_{ef} \) (see Figure 1).

![Figure 2. Typical relations between goals, processes and objects in gas station nets.](image)

On the II-nd stage the structure of control system is formed.

a) Formation of the process flow charts and object structures accordingly to the known models that are accumulated in PDB.

b) Determination of permitted dominant and sequence relations between objects \( N \), processes \( P \) and goals \( G \) using results of the sphere analysis [15] done (Figure 2). On Figure 2 \( P_1 \) – petroleum product supply, \( P_2 \) – operational activity, \( P_3 \) – sales and consumer service, \( P_4 \) – accounting and reporting, \( P_5 \) – maintenance and repairing, \( P_6 \) – staff training, \( P_7 \) – security, \( P_8 \) – energy provision, \( P_9 \) – transport, \( P_{10} \) – information service, \( P_{11} \) – purpose-oriented direction, \( P_{12} \) – procurement, \( P_{13} \) – analysis, \( P_{14} \) – decision making, \( P_{15} \) – control; \( X_{pq} \) – control means (\( p=1.. P \) – type, \( q=1.. Q \) – level); \( N_{i..r} \) – infra-system objects non-active at the view.

c) Formation of controllable system structure as interconnected recource-converting objects accordingly to the processes structure of Figure 2.

On III-rd stage control system structure is formed.

a) Specifying the control time periods \( H_k (k=1.. K) \), control functions \( C_i (i=1.. I) \) and control means \( X_{pq} \) accordingly to the models of PDB mentioned.

b) Forming sets of elementary control tasks \( F_{i[jk pq]} \); \( C_i \times P_j \times H_k \times X_{pq} \) and circuits \( FC_{j+k pq} : C_{i.1} \times P_j \times H_k \times X_{pq} \) where \( \times \) means Cartesian products.

c) Control system structure model creation or \( \Omega \)-synthesis and refusal circuits with the low efficiency, w/o necessary automation level or meaningless.

On the IV-th stage there is a synthesis of control system structure by circuits convolutions. Some better \( X_{pq} \) may be added and convolutions are done until limits of their properties, considering efficiency and level of automation.

a) C-convolution (synthesis) as integration of control functions alongside control circuits and designation more \( C_i \) to the smaller number of \( X_{pq} \).

b) P-convolution as an integration of control functions belonging to various control circuits of processes \( P_j \) by designating of more \( C_i \) to be performed by the same \( X_{pq} \).

c) H-convolution as an integration of control functions \( C_i \) on various time periods by lower number of \( X_{pq} \).

On the V-th stage one looks optimal variants of the system structure.

d) Forming of control circuit set (\( \Omega \)-synthesized) and determination of \( K_{Petrol} \).

e) Designation as optimal those structures, KPI of which are closest to \( E_{ef} \). If it is not achieved, one goes to Stage I.

f) Forming of organization-and-technical system structures by bringing new and/or improving existing control means accordingly to the control system structure (see p. 5.2) and requirements to control means \( X_{pq} \).

g) Forming the information system structure by putting data arrays and transmission channels to control and organizational-technical system structures already done (see pp. 5.2 and 5.3).

h) Forming the decision-making structure by designation to the components of organization-and-technical system
structures and the same for information system types of decision-making acts using PDB mentioned [15].

i) Proposal to improve the model and algorithm, transition to Stage II.

The task to form structures and choose optimal control actions for service nets using system cause-and-effect approach is resolved by the following informational logic diagram (Figure 3).

**Figure 3.** Information-logic diagram to form structure and choose control actions for service (gas) nets, optimal on the given criteria with using system reason-and-effect approach.

### 4. Discussion

As a result of the causal approach application proposed it was developed the complex of inter-related informational logic diagrams and algorithms to improve service nets as a part of the methodology of rational development and continuous improvement of service station nets and efficient automatical control of processes and objects in the systems (Methodology). Basic components of the methodology are presented on Figure 4.

On the base of the Methodology there were solved some practically important tasks [15].

In particular, there were found optimal gas station parameters at various types of street-and-road nets. It was shown that as optimal on the criterion of minimum outage of clients and service channels may be considered (if one minds fuel sales only) the structure of two dispensers with all of the fuels being sold on the station. Outdoor payment terminals built in dispensers provide at least 10% higher productivity. During 2000-2014 the model was applied on more than 150
objects.

For gas station nets it was proved that up to 80% of the modern and perspective flows of clients may be served by smaller quantity of stations. For various types of street-and-road nets there were found some characteristics (quantity of cross-roads, distances between neighboring stations and their quantity), providing minimal redistribution of clients between objects of the same net for small (up to 500 thousand residents) and medium (up to 1.5 million residents) cities and towns for non-dominating petroleum supply company, operating smaller that 25% of a region stations, and the same on highways.

Also in some regions of middle Russia there were developed optimal structures to serve card clients that increased sales in volume in 6 times. In these regions and some CIS countries there were changed technical maintenance systems that provided cost reduction in 3-15% at better service. Moreover there were prepared efficient system structures to serve clients near pumps, security, automation, procurement counter-actions, capital construction, etc. Finally, it was done modelling of processes on stations that permitted to increase staff training skills in newly built training centers in Saratov and Volgograd-cities.

5. Conclusion

Service nets are important for an economy and require their continuous improvement.

A causal-and-effect approach was suggested and new informational logic diagrams and algorithms were developed. They are characterized by co-synthesis of controllable and control systems, decision-making in case of not enough trustable data from systems and surroundings, possibility to match objects, processes, events and phenomena of various nature and so on.

Adequateness of the methodology is confirmed by the proximity of the known and developed models on the similar feasible regions, reliability of results by statistical data for more than 15 years of observation, validity of conclusions by results of approbation and successful multiple applications. Said above permits to use it for other service nets and complex system at all.
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