Practitioners across the United States Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) enterprise routinely engage in tackling complex organizational problems and operational deficiencies daily. Although not part of any formal creed or special operations ethos, the old saying of "if you want it done right, may as well do it yourself" resonates across the cultural mindset of the special operations enterprise. Being prepared to engage, observe, anticipate, and respond to operational challenges swiftly can put into motion successful interventions to address both short- and long-term problems. Action Research is a practitioner-driven research approach that is problem-centric and action-oriented. It simultaneously engages scientific research, problem-solving, and active learning to foster innovation and change into organizations. The task of designing and executing any research project can be quite daunting to practitioners with little or no academic research acumen. These concerns led to researching and creating a practitioner-driven framework grounded in Action Research to assist practitioners in approaching immediate problem-solving more as “practitioner-researchers.” This framework is called the Practitioner Driven Action Research (PDAR) and was designed, field-tested, and then validated during a yearlong USSOCOM Action Research project to improve an operational deficiency related to military cyberspace operations. The creation of PDAR focused on addressing two questions; RQ1: What practitioner developed artifact can be constructed to help USSOCOM approach immediate problem solving more as practitioner-researchers? RQ2: How can the artifact help bridge academia and practice within USSOCOM?
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Findings
The research from the first data phase consisted of qualitative in vivo data coding and indicated that military practitioners are most motivated to address organizational problems collectively with peers and placed a significantly high value on opportunities for professional development in developing their research skills towards operational problem-solving. The data also indicated the most limiting factor among military practitioners in supporting Action Research initiatives within their organizations is their lack of research skills for conducting basic research. Data also showed that field practitioners—when asked about a framework to assist them in the field—significantly favored an operationally-focused artifact using practitioner language and utilizing a step-by-step format. Interviewed practitioners also preferred an artifact with the flexibility to address a wide range of organizational problem sets. Findings from the second data collection phase—the tabletop exercise—sought to validate phase one findings and measure the utility of the refined PDAR framework (see Figure 1). Practitioners applied the PDAR framework to a real-world operational problem. Direct observations indicated the six-phase framework provided practitioners much-needed structure in helping develop an initial Action Research plan. The inclusion of three Academic Advisory Group sessions during the PDAR phases offered practitioners direct access to academic expertise. The post-exercise worksheet consisted of six semi-structured questions asking participants to rank order the five most common motivational and limiting factors in supporting Action Research measured during the first phase. The elements were in random order as to not induce any bias into their ranking. The aggregated findings indicated these practitioners also ranked problem-solving collectively with peers and lack of research skills as the most motivational and limiting factors, respectively.

Figure 1: PDAR Framework (Version 6)
Conclusions
PDAR was initially intended to assist USSOCOM practitioners in adopting Action Research to address immediate problem-solving in their organizations. Since then, the framework endured six iterative design cycles over 18 months, culminating into a viable research framework to support organizational problem-solving across any public and private industry discipline.

Data analysis from a mixed methodology approach during two collection phases yields measurable insight into better understanding the motivational and limiting factors among field practitioners in adopting Action Research to address immediate problem-solving. Practitioners appear to have higher confidence in approaching organizational problem-solving more scientifically when given a practitioner-focused research tool to assist them. Also, built-in Academic Advisory Group sessions instill practitioner confidence in developing research skills, strengthen research rigor, and mutually beneficial to both academia and practice. Building a culture of belief among field practitioners in conducting meaningful research to address everyday problem-solving and adopting Action Research can enrich an organization's ability to tackle organizational issues and think about “how” to address them rather than merely identifying them.

Where to Find Out More
The PDAR framework presented in this research summary is part of a portfolio of work to satisfy the author's dissertation requirements for the Doctor of Business Administration, Muma College of Business, the University of South Florida. A part of the final portfolio is the publication of a practitioner smart book intended for field use in aiding practitioners in designing and implementing Action Research within their organizations. For a copy of this smart book, please contact the author at mjdonahue@outlook.com
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