ROOT SYSTEMS AND DIAGRAM CALCUlUS.
II. QUADRATIC FORMS FOR THE CARTER DIAGRAMS
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ABSTRACT. For any Carter diagram $\Gamma$ containing 4-cycle, we introduce the partial Cartan matrix $B_L$, which is similar to the Cartan matrix associated with a Dynkin diagram. A linkage diagram is obtained from $\Gamma$ by adding one root together with its bonds such that the resulting subset of roots is linearly independent. The linkage diagrams connected under the action of dual partial Weyl group (associated with $B_L$) constitute the linkage system, which is similar to the weight system arising in the representation theory of the semisimple Lie algebras. For Carter diagrams $E_6(a_i)$ and $E_6$ (resp. $E_7(a_i)$ and $E_7$; resp. $D_n(a_i)$ and $D_n$), the linkage system has, respectively, 2, 1, 1 components, each of which contains, respectively, 27, 56, $2^n$ elements. Numbers 27, 56 and $2^n$ are well-known dimensions of the smallest fundamental representations of semisimple Lie algebras, respectively, for $E_6$, $E_7$ and $D_n$. The 8-cell “spindle-like” linkage subsystems called loctets play the essential role in describing the linkage systems. It turns that weight systems also can be described by means of loctets.
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It seemed completely mad. It seemed so mad, in fact, that Killing was rather upset that the exceptional groups existed, and for a time he hoped they were a mistake that he could eradicate. They spoiled the elegance of his classification. But they were there, and we are finally beginning to understand why they are there. In many ways, the five exceptional Lie groups now look much more interesting than the four infinite families. They seem to be important in particle physics, as we will see; they are definitely important in mathematics. And they have a secret unity, not yet fully uncovered...

Ian Stewart, *Why beauty is truth: a history of symmetry*, [S07, p. 170], 2007

1. Introduction

1.1. The linkage diagrams and linkage labels. We consider a class of diagrams called *linkage diagrams* that constitute the subclass of the class of connection diagrams introduced in [St10] and generalize the Carter diagrams (= admissible diagrams) introduced by R. Carter in [Ca72] for the classification of conjugacy classes in a finite Weyl group $W$. The linkage diagram is obtained from a Carter diagram $\Gamma$ by adding one extra root $\gamma$ with its bonds such that the roots corresponding to vertices of $\Gamma$ together with $\gamma$ form some linearly independent root subset. The extra root $\gamma$ added to the Carter diagram $\Gamma$ is called a *linkage*, see Section 1.5.4. Any linkage diagram constructed by this way may be also a Carter diagram but this is not necessarily so. The following inclusions hold:

\[
\text{Dynkin diagrams of CCl} \subset \text{Carter diagrams} \subset \text{Linkage diagrams} \subset \text{Connection diagrams}
\]

With every linkage diagram we associate the *linkage labels vector*, or, for short, *linkage labels*. The linkage labels are similar to the Dynkin labels, see [Sl81], which are the “numerical labels” introduced by Dynkin in [Dy50] for the study of irreducible linear representations of the semisimple Lie algebras, [GOV90], [KOV95], [Ch84].

For any simply-laced Carter diagram $\Gamma$, a linkage label takes one of three values $\{-1, 0, 1\}$. There is one-to-one correspondence between the linkage diagrams obtained from the given simply-laced Carter diagram $\Gamma$ and the linkage label vectors taking coordinates from the set $\{-1, 0, 1\}$. For this reason, we can use terms linkage labels and linkage diagrams as convertible. Some linkage diagrams and their linkage labels for the Carter diagram $E_6(a_1)$ are depicted in Fig. 1.1. The linkage dia-

![Figure 1.1. Examples of linkage diagrams and linkage labels vectors for $E_6(a_1)$.](image)

1The Dynkin diagrams in this article appear in two ways: (1) associated with some Weyl group (customary use); (2) representing some conjugacy class (CCl), i.e, the Carter diagram which looked like a Dynkin diagram. In a few cases Dynkin diagrams represent two (and even three!) conjugacy classes, see Remark 3.3.
for every simply-laced Carter diagram containing 4-cycle or a branch point, i.e., containing $D_4(a_1)$ or $D_4$. By abuse of notation, this description is essentially based on the following issue:

What vectors can be added to the irreducible linearly independent root subset, so that the resulting set would also be some irreducible linearly independent root subset?

It turns out that the answer to this question is very simple within the framework of the quadratic form associated with the Cartan matrix, see Section 1.6.1.

1.2. The Cartan matrix for a conjugacy class. We consider two classes of simply-laced connected Carter diagrams: denote by $C_4$ the class of diagrams containing 4-cycle $D_4(a_1)$, and by $DE_4$ the class of diagrams without cycles and containing $D_4$ as a subdiagram, i.e., $DE_4$ is the class consisting of Dynkin diagrams $E_6$, $E_7$, $E_8$ and $D_l$ for $l \geq 4$. Conjugate elements in the Weyl group $W$ are associated with the same Carter diagram $\Gamma$. The converse is not true, the Carter diagram $\Gamma$ does not determine a single conjugacy class in $W$; [Ca72, Lemma 27]. Nevertheless, the converse statement takes place for $C_4$ and $DE_4$.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $\Gamma$ be the diagram belonging to $C_4$ or to $DE_4$.

Then $\Gamma$ determines only one conjugacy class. Two root subsets $S = \{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_l\}$ and $S' = \{\tau'_1, \ldots, \tau'_l\}$ (1.1) corresponding to the same diagram $\Gamma$ are equivalent, i.e., there exists the element $U \in W$ such that

\[ U\tau_i = \tau'_i, \text{ where } i = 1, \ldots, l, \text{ and } \]

\[ (\tau_i, \tau_j) = (\tau'_i, \tau'_j). \] (1.2)

For $\Gamma \in C_4$ the theorem follows from [St10], Theorem 4.1 and Section 4.1. For $\Gamma \in DE_4$ the theorem follows from Proposition 3.2. For $A_n$ the theorem does not hold, see Remark 3.3.

Let $L$ be the linear space spanned by the roots associated with $\Gamma$, $\gamma_L$ be the projection of the linkage $\gamma$ on $L$. The linkage labels vector is the element of the dual linear space $L^\vee$, see Section 1.5.4. We denote the linkage labels vector by $\gamma^\vee$. For any Carter diagram $\Gamma \in C_4$, and consequently, for the conjugacy class associated with $\Gamma$, we introduce the partial Cartan matrix $B_L$ which is similar to the Cartan matrix $B$ associated with a Dynkin diagram. Thanks to Theorem 1.1 the matrix $B_L$ is well-defined, see Section 2.2. The matrix $B_L$ maps $\gamma_L \in L$ to the linkage labels $\gamma^\vee \in L^\vee$ as follows:

\[ \gamma^\vee = B_L \gamma_L, \quad B_L^{-1} \gamma^\vee = \gamma_L, \]

see Proposition 2.8. In the dual space $L^\vee$ we take the quadratic form $B_L^\vee$ associated with the inverse matrix $B_L^{-1}$. The quadratic form $B_L^\vee$ provides the easily verifiable criterion that the vector $u^\vee$ is the linkage labels vector for a certain linkage $\gamma \notin L$. This criterion (Theorem 2.13) is the following inequality:

\[ B_L^\vee (\gamma^\vee) < 2. \]

1.3. The linkage systems and loctets. A certain group $W_L^\vee$ named the dual partial Weyl group acts in the dual space $L^\vee$. This group acts on the linkage label vectors, i.e., on the set of linkage diagrams:

\[ (w \gamma)^\vee = w^s \gamma^\vee, \]

where $w^s \in W_L^\vee$, see Proposition 2.8. The set of linkage diagrams (=linkage labels) under action of $W_L^\vee$ constitute the diagram called the linkage system similarly to the weight system\(^1\) in the theory of representations of semisimple Lie algebras, [Sl81, p. 30]. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)$ the linkage system associated with the Carter diagram $\Gamma$.

\(^1\)Frequently, in the literature (see, for example, [Va00]), the term weight diagram is used instead of the term weight system. However, the term “diagram” is heavily overloaded in our context.
The linkage systems for the Carter diagrams $E_6(a_1)$ and $E_6(a_2)$ depicted in Fig. 1.2. The 8-cell bold subdiagrams are loctets, see Fig. 1.3.

The knowledge of the structure of linkage systems is the essential part in our proof of the Carter theorem on decomposition of every element in the Weyl group into the product of two involutions, see [St11].

1.4. The numbers 27, 56 and 2l. We observe that numbers of linkage diagrams for Carter diagrams $E_6(a_i)$, $E_7(a_i)$ and $D_l(a_i)$ are, respectively, 27, 56 and 2l that coincide with the dimensions of the smallest fundamental representations of semisimple Lie algebras, respectively, $E_6$, $E_7$, and $D_l$. Moreover, the number of components in the linkage systems $E_6(a_i)$, $E_7(a_i)$ and $D_l(a_i)$ are, respectively, equal to 2, 1 and 1 that coincide with the number of different smallest fundamental representations of semisimple Lie algebras $E_6$, $E_7$ and $D_l$, see [B605, Ch. VIII, Tabl. 2], [Dy52, Tabl. 30]. Of course, these facts require a priori reasoning. It seems that ideas of Section 4 concerning the projection of linkage systems and Theorem 4.5 may help to explain these facts.
1.5. The Carter diagrams, connection diagrams and linkage diagrams. Several types of diagrams are considered in this paper. The Carter diagram introduced by R. Carter [Ca72] describes a bicolored decomposition of some element $w \in W$, see Section 1.5.2. The connection diagrams introduced in [St10] §1.1 generalize the Carter diagram; the connection diagram describes a decomposition of certain element $w \in W$, and this diagram is supplied with an order of reflections $\Omega$, see Section 1.5.3. In both cases all reflections are associated with roots which are not necessary simple. The linkage diagram is a particular case of the connection diagram obtained from a certain Carter diagram by adding one extra vertex with its bonds, see Section 1.5.4. The linkage diagrams are the focus of this paper.

1.5.1. The Dynkin diagrams. Let $\Gamma$ be a certain Dynkin diagram, $\Phi$ be the associated root system, $\Pi$ be the set of all simple roots in $\Phi$, $E$ be the linear space spanned by all roots, $W$ be the finite Weyl group associated with $\Gamma$ and acting in the linear space $E$. Let $B$ be the corresponding Cartan matrix, $(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the corresponding symmetric bilinear form, and $B$ be the quadratic Tits form associated with $B$. [St08, Ch. 2]. We suppose that the diagonal elements of $B$ are 2, see Remark 2.5

The following relation is the well-known property connecting roots and the quadratic Tits forms$^1$: $B(\alpha) = 2 \iff \alpha \in \Phi$. (1.3)

For two non-orthogonal simple roots $\alpha, \beta$, we have

$$ (\alpha, \beta) = \|\alpha\|\|\beta\| \cos(\alpha, \beta) = \sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{2}(-\frac{1}{2}) = -1. \quad (1.4) $$

1.5.2. The Carter diagrams. The Carter diagram (= admissible diagram) [Ca72 §4] is the diagram $\Gamma$ satisfying two conditions:

(a) The nodes of $\Gamma$ correspond to a set of linearly independent roots.

(b) Each subgraph of $\Gamma$ which is a cycle contains even number of vertices.

Let $w = w_1w_2$ be the decomposition of $w$ into the product of two involutions. By [Ca72 Lemma 5] each of $w_1$ and $w_2$ can be expressed as products of reflections corresponding to mutually orthogonal roots as follows:

$$ w = w_1w_2, \quad w_1 = s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2} \cdots s_{\alpha_k}, \quad w_2 = s_{\beta_1}s_{\beta_2} \cdots s_{\beta_h}, \quad \text{where} \quad k + h = l_C(w). \quad (1.5) $$

For details, see [Ca72 §4], [St10] §1.1. We denote by $\alpha$-set (resp. $\beta$-set) the subset of roots corresponding to $w_1$ (resp. $w_2$):

$$ \alpha\text{-set} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k\}, \quad \beta\text{-set} = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_h\}. \quad (1.6) $$

Any coordinate from $\alpha$-set (resp. $\beta$-set) of the linkage labels vector we call $\alpha$-label (resp. $\beta$-label). We call the decomposition (1.3) the bicolored decomposition. Let $L \subseteq E$ be the linear subspace spanned by root subsets (1.3), $L^\perp$ be the dual linear space. The corresponding root basis which vectors are not necessarily simple roots, we denote by $\Pi_w$:

$$ \Pi_w = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_h\}. \quad (1.7) $$

1.5.3. The connection diagrams. Each element $w \in W$ can be expressed in the from

$$ w = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} \cdots s_{\alpha_k}, \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha_i \in \Phi, \quad (1.8) $$

$\Phi$ is the root system associated with the Weyl group $W$, $s_{\alpha}$ are reflections in $W$ corresponding to not necessarily simple roots $\alpha_i \in \Phi$. The connection diagram is the pair $(\Gamma, \Omega)$, where $\Gamma$ is the diagram describing connections between roots as it is described by the Dynkin diagrams or by the Carter diagrams, and $\Omega$ is the order of elements in the (not necessarily bicolored) decomposition (1.3), see [St10].

$^1$In order to obtain the values of the linkage labels (see Section 1.5.4) by integers as in (1.4), we choose the diagonal elements equal 2. Frequently, diagonal elements are chosen equal 1, and (1.3) looks as follows: $B(\alpha) = 1 \iff \alpha \in \Phi$, see [Kac80].
For the Dynkin diagrams, a number of bonds for non-orthogonal roots describes the angle between roots, and the ratio of lengths of two roots. For the Carter diagrams and connection diagrams, we add designation distinguishing acute and obtuse angles between roots. Recall, that for the Dynkin diagrams, all angles between simple roots are obtuse and a special designation is not necessary. A solid edge indicates an obtuse angle between roots exactly as for simple roots in the case of Dynkin diagrams. A dotted edge indicates an acute angle between the roots considered. For details, see [St10].

1.5.4. Linkages and linkage diagrams. Let \( w = w_1w_2 \) be the bicolored decomposition of some element \( w \in W \), where \( w_1, w_2 \) are two involutions associated, respectively, with \( \alpha \)-set \( \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k\} \) and \( \beta \)-set \( \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_h\} \) of roots from the root system \( \Phi \), see (1.5), (1.6), and let \( \Gamma \) be the Carter diagram associated with this bicolored decomposition. We consider the extension of the root basis \( \Pi_w \) by means of the root \( \gamma \in \Phi \), such that the set of roots

\[
\Pi_w(\gamma) = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_h, \gamma\}
\]

is linearly independent. Let us multiply \( w \) on the right by the reflection \( s_{\gamma} \) corresponding to \( \gamma \) and consider the diagram \( \Gamma' = \Gamma \cup \gamma \) together with new edges. By (1.4), these edges are

\[
\begin{cases}
\text{solid, for } (\gamma, \tau) = -1, \\
\text{dotted, for } (\gamma, \tau) = 1,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \tau \) one of elements (1.9). We call the diagram \( \Gamma' \) a linkage diagram, and the root \( \gamma \) we call a linkage or a \( \gamma \)-linkage. The roots \( \tau \) corresponding to the new edges \( ((\gamma, \tau) \neq 0) \) we call endpoints of the linkage diagram. Endpoints lying in \( \alpha \)-set (resp. \( \beta \)-set) we call \( \alpha \)-endpoints (resp. \( \beta \)-endpoints). Consider vectors \( \gamma^\vee \) belonging to the dual space \( L^\vee \) and defined by (1.10).

\[
\gamma^\vee := \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma, \alpha_1) \\ \vdots \\ (\gamma, \alpha_k) \\ (\gamma, \beta_1) \\ \vdots \\ (\gamma, \beta_h) \end{pmatrix}
\]

There is, clearly, the one-to-one correspondence between linkage labels vectors \( \gamma^\vee \) (with labels \( \gamma^\vee_i \in \{0, -1, 1\} \)) and simply-laced linkage diagrams (i.e., such linkage diagrams that \( (\gamma, \tau) \in \{0, -1, 1\} \)).

1.6. The main results.

1.6.1. The partial Cartan matrix and dual partial Weyl group. In Section 2 we introduce the partial Cartan matrix \( B_L \) associated with the linear subspace \( L \subset E \) in such a way that \( B_L \) coincide with the Cartan matrix \( B \) restricted on \( L \). The matrix \( B_L \) is positive definite (Proposition 2.4). We introduce the partial Weyl group \( W_L \) generated by reflections \( \{s_{\tau_1}, \ldots, s_{\tau_l}\} \), where \( l = \dim L \), and the dual partial Weyl group \( W_L^\vee \) generated by dual reflections \( \{s_{\tau_1}^*, \ldots, s_{\tau_l}^*\} \), where \( s_{\tau_i}^* \) are the dual reflections associated with not necessarily simple roots \( \tau_i \). The linkage diagrams \( \gamma^\vee \) and \( (w\gamma)^\vee \) are related as follows: \( (w\gamma)^\vee = w^*\gamma^\vee \), where \( w^* \in W_L^\vee \) (Proposition 2.3). The quadratic Tits form \( \mathcal{B}_L \) takes a certain constant value for all elements \( w\gamma \), where \( w \) runs over \( W_L \) (Proposition 2.10). Let \( \gamma_L \) is the projection of the root \( \gamma \) on \( L \). The main result of Section 2 is the following theorem that verifies whether or not a given vector is a linkage labels vector:

**Theorem** (Theorem 2.13). A vector \( u^\vee \in L^\vee \) is the linkage labels vector corresponding to a certain root \( \gamma \in \Phi \), \( \gamma \notin L \) (i.e., \( u^\vee = B_L\gamma_L \)) if and only if

\[
\mathcal{B}_L(u^\vee) < 2.
\]

1.6.2. Three loctet types. In Section 3 by means of inequality (1.11), we obtain a complete description of linkage diagrams for all linkage systems. We introduce the 8-cell linkage subsystem called loctet (linkage octet) as is depicted in Fig. 1.3. Loctets are the main construction blocks in the structure of the linkage systems. Consider roots \( \gamma_{ij}^\vee(n) \) depicted in Fig. 1.3, where \( \{ij\} \) is associated with type \( E_{ij} \) and \( n \) is the order number of the linkage diagrams in the vertical numbering in Fig.
The octuple of linkages depicted in every connected component in Fig. 1.3 we call the \textit{loctet} of type $L_{12}$ (resp. $L_{13}$, resp. $L_{23}$).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{loctet_types.png}
\caption{The loctet types $L_{12}$, $L_{13}$ and $L_{23}$.}
\end{figure}

\textbf{Corollary} (on the structure of loctets and linkage diagrams (Corollary 2.16)).
1) Any linkage diagram containing non-zero $\alpha$-label belongs to one of the loctets of the linkage system.
2) Any linkage diagram of the loctet uniquely determines the whole loctet.
3) If two loctets have one common linkage diagram they coincide.
4) Every linkage diagram from the linkage system either belongs to one of the loctets or is $\beta$-unicolored.

\textbf{Remark 1.2.}
1) The Carter diagrams $E_8(a_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ and $E_8$ do not represent any conjugacy classes in $W(D_n)$:
   (a) For Carter diagrams from $C_4$, only $D_l(a_k)$ (for some $l, k$) are conjugacy classes in $W(D_n)$, see [Ca72, p. 13], [St10, §3], i.e., $E_8(a_i)$ can not be mapped into $W(D_n)$.
   (b) For Carter diagrams from $DE_4$, only $D_l$ (for some $l$) are conjugacy classes in $W(D_n)$, see Proposition 3.4, i.e., $E_i$ can not be mapped into $W(D_n)$.

Hence, there is no such a Weyl group containing linearly independent 9-element root subset that embraces the root subset associated with $E_8(a_i)$ or $E_8$, i.e., there are no links for any Carter diagram of type $E_8(a_i)$, where $i = 1, \ldots, 4$, and $E_8$, see [St10, §2.3.3 and Table 2.3]. For this reason, among simply-laced Carter diagrams of $E$-type we can consider only diagrams with a number of vertices $l < 8$.

2) For the union of two Carter diagrams $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \amalg \Gamma_2$ we have the direct sums $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$ and $L^\vee = L_1^\vee \oplus L_2^\vee$, and the linkage diagram $\gamma^\vee \in L^\vee$ splits into two summands $\gamma^\vee = \gamma_1^\vee + \gamma_2^\vee$, where $\gamma_i^\vee \in L_i^\vee$. Accordingly, the partial Cartan matrix $B_L$, and its inverse matrix $B_L^{-1}$ are decomposed into a direct sum, and dual partial Weyl group $W_L^\vee = W_{L_1}^\vee \times W_{L_2}^\vee$. Thus, the linkage system of $\Gamma$ is the direct product of linkage systems for $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$.

In Section 3.1 the calculation technique for loctet diagrams $\gamma_i^\vee(8)$ (= 8th linkage diagram of the loctet) is explained. According to Corollary 2.16 the whole loctet is uniquely determined from $\gamma_i^\vee(8)$. By Tables A.5-A.10 one can recover the calculation of $\gamma_i^\vee(8)$ for Carter diagrams $\Gamma \in C_4 \amalg DE_4$. Similarly, in Section 3.2 the calculation technique for $\beta$-unicolored linkage diagrams is explained. By Tables A.11-A.13 one can recover the calculation of $\beta$-unicolored linkage diagrams for Carter diagrams $\Gamma \in C_4 \amalg DE_4$. In Section A.4 the loctets per components for all linkage systems are listed in Table A.14. In Section A.1 the partial Cartan matrix $B_L$ and the matrix $B_L^{-1}$, the inverse of the partial Cartan matrix $B_L$ for all simply-laced Carter diagrams containing 4-cycle and Dynkin diagrams $E_6$, $E_7$ are listed in Tables A.2-A.4. In Section B all linkage systems are depicted in Fig. B.21-B.47. The description of all linkage systems is presented in Theorem 1.4.
1.6.3. Linkage systems and weight systems. The linkage and weight systems for $E_6$ coincide, see Fig. B.31 and Fig. B.32. It becomes obvious after recognizing loctets in both diagrams. The comparative figure containing both the linkage systems and the weight systems together with all their loctets can be seen in Fig. 1.5. Similarly, the linkage and weight systems for $E_7$ coincide, see Fig. B.33 and Fig. B.34.

Remark 1.3. 1) Let us $\omega_l$ be highest weight corresponding to the dimension of the smallest fundamental representation of semisimple Lie algebra associated with the Dynkin diagram $\Gamma$, see [Bo05, Ch. 8, Table 2], [Bo02, Ch. 6.1.10] and $\gamma_l$ be the linkage connected only to the endpoint vertex $l$. This is not a surprise that the linkage system for $\Gamma$ and the weight system for $\omega_l$ coincide. The reason for this fact is the following: the dual partial Weyl group $W_L$ for the Dynkin diagram of $CCl$ (see footnote in Section 1.1) coincides with the Weyl group $W$ of this type, the Dynkin labels of $\omega_l$ coincide with linkage labels $\gamma_l^\vee$, so we have that

$$\gamma_l^\vee = B_L \gamma_l = B\omega_l = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.12)

Note that the vector (1.12) is the vector from which the group $W^\vee = W_L^\vee$ starts to act (up to permutations of the top and bottom of the figure and permutations of appropriate coordinates), see Fig. B.31 Fig. B.32 (resp. Fig. B.33 Fig. B.34) for $E_6$ (resp. $E_7$). Further, the orbit of the vector $B\omega_l$ (under action of the dual Weyl group $W^\vee$) is the weight system, and the orbit of $\gamma_l^\vee$ (under action of the partial dual Weyl group $W_L^\vee$, which coincides with $W^\vee$ in this case) is the linkage system.

2) The weight system corresponding to the highest weight $\omega_l$ for type $D_l$ is taken from [PSV98, Fig. 4], see Fig. 1.4. The number of weights is $2^l$. According to heading 3), the linkage systems for $D_l$ can be constructed. According to heading 3), this linkage system coincides with the weight system for $A_l$. Figures of the weight system ($A_l, \omega_1$) can be found in [PSV98, Fig. 1]. The shape of this weight system coincides with the Dynkin diagram $A_l+1$. □

Theorem 1.4. In Table 1.1, the values $B_L^\vee(\gamma_l^\vee)$, the number of components and number of linkage diagrams are collected for Carter diagrams from $C_4$ and $D_4$.

Proof. The number of linkage diagrams is obtained from the enumeration of loctets and $\beta$-unicolored linkage diagrams for every Carter diagram from Table 1.1 see Section 3. The number of components is obtained from the shape of linkage systems. For the Carter diagrams from $C_4$, see Fig. B.22 - B.30 Fig. B.39 - B.42 Fig. B.45. For the Carter diagrams from $D_4$, see Fig. B.31 Fig. B.35 Fig. B.37 Fig. B.43-B.45. For $D_l(a_k)$ and $D_l$, where $l \geq 8$, the statement is proved in Proposition 1.3 □

Figure 1.4. The weight system ($D_l, \omega_l$).
| The Carter diagram | Number of components | $E$-type components $B_L^\gamma(\gamma^\vee)$ | Number of linkage diagrams | $D$-type components $B_L^\gamma(\gamma^\vee)$ | Number of linkage diagrams |
|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| $D_4(a_1)$          | 3                    | 1                                      | 16 ($= 8 \times 2$)       | 1                                      | 8                         |
| $D_4$               | 3                    | 1                                      | 16 ($= 8 \times 2$)       | 1                                      | 8                         |
| $D_5(a_1)$          | 3                    | $\frac{5}{4}$                         | 32 ($= 16 \times 2$)      | 1                                      | 10                        |
| $D_5$               | 3                    | $\frac{5}{4}$                         | 32 ($= 16 \times 2$)      | 1                                      | 10                        |
| $E_6(a_1)$          | 2                    | $\frac{4}{3}$                         | 54 ($= 27 \times 2$)      | -                                      | -                         |
| $E_6(a_2)$          | 2                    | $\frac{4}{3}$                         | 54 ($= 27 \times 2$)      | -                                      | -                         |
| $E_6$               | 2                    | $\frac{4}{3}$                         | 54 ($= 27 \times 2$)      | -                                      | -                         |
| $D_6(a_1)$          | 3                    | $\frac{3}{2}$                         | 64 ($= 32 \times 2$)      | 1                                      | 12                        |
| $D_6(a_2)$          | 3                    | $\frac{3}{2}$                         | 64 ($= 32 \times 2$)      | 1                                      | 12                        |
| $D_6$               | 3                    | $\frac{3}{2}$                         | 64 ($= 32 \times 2$)      | 1                                      | 12                        |
| $E_7(a_1)$          | 1                    | $\frac{3}{2}$                         | 56                        | -                                      | -                         |
| $E_7(a_2)$          | 1                    | $\frac{3}{2}$                         | 56                        | -                                      | -                         |
| $E_7(a_3)$          | 1                    | $\frac{3}{2}$                         | 56                        | -                                      | -                         |
| $E_7(a_4)$          | 1                    | $\frac{3}{2}$                         | 56                        | -                                      | -                         |
| $E_7$               | 1                    | $\frac{3}{2}$                         | 56                        | -                                      | -                         |
| $D_7(a_1)$          | 3                    | $\frac{7}{4}$                         | 128 ($= 64 \times 2$)     | 1                                      | 14                        |
| $D_7(a_2)$          | 3                    | $\frac{7}{4}$                         | 128 ($= 64 \times 2$)     | 1                                      | 14                        |
| $D_7$               | 3                    | $\frac{7}{4}$                         | 128 ($= 64 \times 2$)     | 1                                      | 14                        |
| $D_l(a_k), l > 7$   | 1                    | -                                      | -                         | 1                                      | $2l$                      |
| $D_l, l > 7$        | 1                    | -                                      | -                         | 1                                      | $2l$                      |

Table 1.1. Values of $B_L^\gamma(\gamma^\vee)$ and the number of linkage diagrams for the Carter diagrams.

**Remark 1.5** (Additions to Table 1.1). 1) For $D_4(a_1)$, two $E$-type components of the linkage system are also $D$-type components, see Fig. B.21 components (II) and (III). This is reflected in fact that $B_L^\gamma(\gamma^\vee) = 1$ for all 3 components. The third component is only of the $D$-type, see Fig. B.21 component (I).

2) For $D_4$, there are 3 components in the linkage system, each of which is the $D$-type component and also $E$-type component. To obtain these components one can take only 4 first coordinates for any linkage diagrams in Fig. 1.3 see Fig. B.49 Every component is exactly the locet. These components coincide with 3 weight systems of 3 fundamental representations of semisimple Lie algebra $D_4$: $(D_4, \omega_1)$, $(D_4, \omega_3)$, $(D_4, \omega_4)$, see [PSV98 Fig. 10].
Figure 1.5. Loctets in the weight system and in the linkage system $E_6$
1.6.4. *Projection of linkage diagrams and loctets*. Section 1.6 is devoted to the projection of linkage systems, see Fig. 1.6, Fig. 4.18. Primarily, we consider the simply extendable Carter diagrams in Section 4.1. The Carter diagram $\Gamma$ is called *simply extendable in the vertex* $\tau_p$ if the new diagram obtained by the extra vertex $\tau_{l+1}$ together with the additional connection edge $\{\tau_p, \tau_{l+1}\}$ is also the Carter diagram. In the case, where the Carter diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a simple extension of another Carter diagram $\Gamma$, we construct the projection of the linkage diagrams of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ to the linkage diagrams of $\Gamma$.

The main result of this section is the following theorem:

**Theorem** (Theorem 4.5). Let the Carter diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be the simple extension of the Carter diagram $\Gamma$ in the vertex $\tau_p$, such that the vertex $\tau_p$ is connected to the vertex $\tau_{l+1}$. Let $\tilde{\gamma}^{\vee}$ be a

---

**Figure 1.6.** Projection of linkage systems $E_7(a_1)$, $E_7(a_2)$ onto the linkage system $E_6(a_1)$ (depicted in Fig. B.26, Fig. B.27, Fig. B.28).
certain linkage diagram for \( \tilde{\Gamma} \), and \( \gamma^\vee \) be the vector obtained from \( \tilde{\gamma}^\vee \) by removing the coordinate \( \tau_{l+1} \). If \( \gamma^\vee \neq 0 \) then \( \gamma^\vee \) is the linkage diagram for \( \Gamma \).

This theorem is used for describing linkage systems \( D_l(a_k), D_l \), where \( l > 7 \), in Proposition 4.8. According to Remark 4.6, we obtain the projection of loctets associated with Carter diagrams \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) and \( \Gamma \). This projection is presented by means of dotted lines connecting centers of loctets of \( \Gamma \) and \( \tilde{\Gamma} \), see Fig. 1.6.

**Dedication.** This paper is dedicated to the memory *Gurii Fedorovich Kushner*, [K70, K72, K79], who in the early 80’s first told me about the highest weight representations of semisimple Lie algebras.
2. The Cartan matrix associated with conjugacy class

2.1. More on 4-cycles.

2.1.1. How many endpoints may be in a linkage diagram? In that follows, we show that the number of endpoints in any linkage diagram is not more than 6, and in some cases this number is not more than 4.

Proposition 2.1. Let \( w = w_\alpha w_\beta \) be the bicolored decomposition of \( w \) into the product of two involutions, and \( \Gamma \) be the Carter diagram corresponding to this decomposition. Let \( \gamma^\vee \) be any linkage diagram obtained from \( \Gamma \).

1) The linkage diagram \( \gamma^\vee \) does not have more than 3 \( \alpha \)-endpoints and 3 \( \beta \)-endpoints.

2) If \( \alpha \)-set (resp. \( \beta \)-set) contains 3 points connecting to one branch point \( \beta \) (resp. \( \alpha \)), i.e., \( \{ \beta \cup \alpha \text{-set} \} \) (resp. \( \{ \alpha \cup \beta \text{-set} \} \)) forms the diagram \( D_4 \) then there are no more than two \( \alpha \)-endpoints (resp. \( \beta \)-endpoints) in the given \( \alpha \)-set (resp. \( \beta \)-set). (Corollary 2.4, from \( [S10] \).)

3) Let \( \{ \alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2 \} \) be the square in certain connected diagram. There does not exist a root \( \gamma \) connected to all vertices of the square. (Corollary 2.4, from \( [S10] \).)

Proof. 1) If the linkage \( \gamma^\vee \) has 4 \( \alpha \)-endpoints then the connection diagram contains the diagram \( \hat{D}_4 = \{ \gamma, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \} \), then the vector\(^1 \) \( \varphi = 2\gamma + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_i \) has zero length, since
\[
(\varphi, \varphi) = 4(\gamma, \gamma) + \sum_{i=1}^{4} (\alpha_i, \alpha_i) + 4\sum_{i=1}^{4} (\gamma, \alpha_i) = 4 \cdot 2 + 4 \cdot 2 - 16 \cdot 1 = 0. \tag{2.1}
\]
Hence, \( \varphi = 0 \), contradicting the linear independence of roots \( \{ \gamma, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \} \). \( \square \)

Corollary 2.2. a) For the Carter diagrams \( D_5(\alpha_1), E_6(\alpha_1), E_6(\alpha_2), D_6(\alpha_2) \), any linkage diagram does not contain more than two endpoints in \( \alpha \)-set (resp. \( \beta \)-set).

b) For the Carter diagrams \( D_6(\alpha_1), E_7(\alpha_1), E_7(\alpha_2), E_7(\alpha_3), E_7(\alpha_4) \), any linkage diagram does not contain more than two endpoints in \( \alpha \)-set.

c) For the Carter diagrams \( D_7(\alpha_1), D_7(\alpha_2) \), there are linkage diagrams containing more than two endpoints in \( \alpha \)-set or in \( \beta \)-set.

See \( \alpha \)-set and \( \beta \)-set of Carter diagrams in Tables A.2, A.3 \( \square \).

2.1.2. The diagonal in a square.

Proposition 2.3 (On squares). Let \( \gamma \) form the linkage diagram containing the square \( \{ \alpha_i, \beta_k, \alpha_j, \gamma \} \) without the diagonal \( \{ \alpha_i, \alpha_j \} \), i.e., the roots \( \{ \alpha_i, \beta_k, \alpha_j, \gamma \} \) are linearly independent,
\[
(\alpha_i, \beta_k) \neq 0, \quad (\beta_k, \alpha_j) \neq 0, \quad (\alpha_j, \gamma) \neq 0, \quad (\gamma, \alpha_i) \neq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = 0.
\]
If there is an even number of dotted edges in the square then there exists a diagonal in the square. If there is an odd number of dotted edges in the square then there is no any diagonal in the square. Namely:

(a) If there is no dotted edge in the square then there exists the dotted diagonal \( \{ \gamma, \beta_k \} \), i.e., \( (\gamma, \beta_k) = 1 \), see Fig. 2.7 (a).

(b) If there are two dotted edges \( \{ \gamma, \alpha_i \} \) and \( \{ \gamma, \alpha_j \} \) in the square, i.e., \( (\gamma, \alpha_i) = (\gamma, \alpha_j) = 1 \), and remaining edges are solid then there exists the solid diagonal \( \{ \gamma, \beta_k \} \), i.e., \( (\gamma, \beta_k) = -1 \), see Fig. 2.7 (b).

(c) If there are two dotted edges \( \{ \gamma, \alpha_1 \} \) and \( \{ \beta_k, \alpha_1 \} \), i.e., \( (\gamma, \alpha_j) = (\beta_k, \alpha_1) = 1 \), and remaining edges are solid then there exists the solid diagonal \( \{ \gamma, \beta_k \} \), i.e., \( (\gamma, \beta_k) = -1 \), see Fig. 2.7 (c).

\(^1\)We denote by the same letters vertices and the corresponding roots.
If there are two dotted edges \{γ, α_i\} and \{β_k, α_i\}, i.e., \((γ, α_i) = (β_k, α_i) = 1\), and remaining edges are solid then there exists the dotted diagonal \{γ, β_k\}, i.e., \((γ, β_k) = -1\), see Fig. 2.7 (d).

e) If there is only one dotted edge \{γ, α_j\}, i.e., \((γ, α_j) = 1\), and remaining edges are solid then there is no any diagonal, i.e., \((γ, β_k) = 0\), see Fig. 2.7 (d).

(f) If there are three dotted edges \{γ, α_i\}, \{γ, α_j\}, \{β_k, α_j\}, i.e., \((γ, α_i) = (γ, α_j) = (β_k, α_j) = 1\), and remaining edge is solid then there is no any diagonal, i.e., \((γ, β_k) = 0\), see Fig. 2.7 (e).

Figure 2.7. Linkage diagrams containing a square.

Proof. If there is no diagonal \{γ, β_k\} for one of cases (a), (b), (c) or (d), we get the extended Dynkin diagram \(\tilde{A}_4\) by following changes:

(a) no changes, (b) \(γ \rightarrow -γ\), (c) \(γ \rightarrow -γ, α_j \rightarrow -α_j\), (d) \(α_j \rightarrow -α_j\), contradicting Lemma A.1 from [St10]. If the diagonal (dotted or solid) exists in cases (e) or (f) then one of obtained triangles (if necessary, after the change \(γ \rightarrow -γ\)) is the extended Dynkin diagram \(\tilde{A}_3\), contradicting Lemma A.1 from [St10]. □

2.2. The partial Cartan matrix. Let \(L \subset E\) (resp. \(L' \subset E\)) be the linear subspace spanned by root subset \(^1S\) (resp. \(S'\)), see (1.1). From now on, if the bicolored decomposition does not matter, we use the notation \(τ_i\) for the roots instead of the bicolored notation \(α_i\) and \(β_j\), i.e.,

\[
S = \{τ_1, \ldots, τ_{k+h}\}, \text{ where } τ_i = α_i \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, k \text{ and } τ_{j+k} = β_j \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, h,
\]

\[
S' = \{τ'_1, \ldots, τ'_{k+h}\}, \text{ where } τ'_i = α'_i \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, k \text{ and } τ'_{j+k} = β'_j \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, h.
\]

Similarly to the Cartan matrix associated with Dynkin diagrams, we determine the Cartan matrix for each Carter diagram Γ from \(C_4\) or \(DE4\) as follows

\[
B_L := \begin{pmatrix}
(α_i, α_j) & \ldots & (α_i, β_r) \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
(β_q, α_j) & \ldots & (β_q, β_r)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\(i, j = 1, \ldots, k, \quad q, r = 1, \ldots, h.
\]

(2.3)

We call this matrix the partial Cartan matrix. According to (1.2), \(B_L = B_{L'}\), i.e., the partial Cartan matrix \(B_L\) is well-defined. Thus, for every Carter diagram Γ ∈ \(C_4 \parallel DE4\), we associate the Cartan matrix also with the conjugacy class corresponding to Γ. The symmetric bilinear form associated with the partial Cartan matrix \(B_L\) is denoted by \((\cdot, \cdot)_L\) and the corresponding quadratic form is denoted by \(\mathcal{B}_L\).

Proposition 2.4. 1) The restriction of the bilinear form associated with the Cartan matrix \(B\) on the subspace \(L\) coincide with the bilinear form associated with the partial Cartan matrix \(B_L\), i.e., for any pair of vectors \(v, u \in L\) we have

\[
(v, u)_L = (v, u), \text{ and } \mathcal{B}_L(v) = \mathcal{B}(v).
\]

(2.4)

2) For every Carter diagram, the matrix \(B_L\) is positive definite.

\(^1\)The root subset \(S\) (resp. \(S'\)) is not the root subsystem since roots of \(S\) (resp. \(S'\)) are not necessarily simple.
Proof. 1) From (2.3) we deduce:
\[(v, u)_L = \left(\sum_i t_i \tau_i, \sum_j q_j \tau_j\right)_L = \sum_{i,j} t_i q_j (\tau_i, \tau_j) = (v, u).\]
2) This follows from 1).
\[\square\]

**Remark 2.5** (The classical case). Recall that the $n \times n$ matrix $K$ satisfying the following properties
\[(C1) \quad k_{ii} = 2 \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n,
(C2) \quad -k_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\} \text{ for } i \neq j,
(C3) \quad k_{ij} = 0 \text{ implies } k_{ji} = 0 \text{ for } i, j = 1, \ldots, n\]
is called a generalized Cartan matrix, [Kac80], [St08, §2.1].

If the Carter diagram does not contain any cycle, then the Carter diagram is the Dynkin diagram, the corresponding conjugacy class is the conjugacy class of the Coxeter element, and the partial Cartan matrix is the classical Cartan matrix, which is the subclass of generalized Cartan matrices.

**Remark 2.6** (The predefined numbering). Every Carter diagrams $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_4$ with number of vertices $l \geq 5$ contains the subdiagram $D_5(a_1)$, see Tables [A.2] [A.3]. We predefine the vertex numbering of the subdiagram $D_5(a_1)$ in any encompassing diagram as in Fig 2.8.

![Figure 2.8](image)

**Figure 2.8.** The predefined numbering in two pattern subdiagrams: $D_5(a_1)$ and $D_4$

For Carter diagrams $\Gamma \in \mathcal{D}_4$, i.e., Dynkin diagrams $D_l$, where $l \geq 4$, $E_6$, $E_7$, $E_8$, the diagram $\Gamma$ contains subdiagram $D_4$. We predefine the vertex numbering of the subdiagram $D_4$ in any encompassing diagram as in Fig 2.8. The predefined numbering of vertices Fig. 2.8 is presented, for example, in Fig. 2.10-2.12.

\[\square\]

2.3. **The dual partial Weyl group associated with a conjugacy class.** Let $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_4 \bigcup \mathcal{D}_4$, and $\Pi_w$ be the root basis associated with $\Gamma$. Up to conjugacy, the root basis $\Pi_w$ is well-defined for any $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_4 \bigcup \mathcal{D}_4$. Indeed, let $w$ and $w'$ have the same Carter diagram and be conjugate, i.e., $w' = \tilde{w} w \tilde{w}^{-1}$, for some $\tilde{w} \in W$. For any bicolor decomposition
\[w = s_{\alpha_1} \ldots s_{\alpha_k} s_{\beta_1} \ldots s_{\beta_h},\] (2.5)
the conjugate element $w'$ has also a bicolor decomposition associated with the same diagram $\Gamma$:
\[w' = s_{\tilde{\alpha}_1} \ldots s_{\tilde{\alpha}_k} s_{\tilde{\beta}_1} \ldots s_{\tilde{\beta}_h}.\] (2.6)

Let us define dual vectors $\tau_i^\vee \in L^\vee$ for any $\tau_i \in \Pi_w$:
\[\tau_i^\vee := B_L \tau_i.\] (2.7)
Eq. (2.7) is consistent with (1.10). The mapping $\vee : \tau_i \rightarrow \tau_i^\vee$ is expanded to the linear mapping $L \rightarrow L^\vee$, and
\[u^\vee = B_L u = \begin{pmatrix} (u, \tau_1) \\ \vdots \\ (u, \tau_l) \end{pmatrix} \text{ for any } u \in L.\] (2.8)
Proposition 2.8. 1) For the linkage labels vector \( \gamma \)' in the sense of symmetric bilinear form \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_L \) associated with \( B_L^{-1} \) are equal to 2, since
\[
\langle \gamma_i', \gamma_i' \rangle_L = \langle B_L^{-1}\gamma_i', \gamma_i' \rangle = \langle \gamma_i, B_L\gamma_i \rangle = \langle \gamma_i, \gamma_i \rangle_L = \langle \gamma_i, \gamma_i \rangle = 2.
\]
Let us consider the restriction of the reflection \( s_{\tau_i} \) on the subspace \( L \). For any \( v \in L \), by Proposition 2.4 we have:
\[
s_{\tau_i}v = v - 2\frac{(\tau_i, v)}{(\tau_i, \tau_i)}\tau_i = v - \langle \tau_i, v \rangle_L\tau_i = v - \langle B_L\tau_i, v \rangle\tau_i = v - \langle \tau_i', v \rangle\tau_i.
\]
We define the reflection \( s_{\tau_i}^* \) acting on \( u \in L' \) as follows:
\[
s_{\tau_i}^*u := u - 2\frac{(u, \tau_i')}{(\tau_i', \tau_i')}\tau_i' = u - \langle u, \tau_i' \rangle_L\tau_i' = u - \langle u, B_L^{-1}\tau_i' \rangle\tau_i' = u - \langle u, \tau_i \rangle\tau_i'.
\]
Let \( W_L \) (resp. \( W_L' \)) be the group generated by reflections \( \{s_{\tau_i} \mid \tau_i \in \Pi_w\} \) (resp. \( \{s_{\tau_i}^* \mid \tau_i \in \Pi_w\} \)).

Proposition 2.7. 1) For any \( \tau_i \in \Pi_w \), we have
\[
s_{\tau_i}^* = {^{t}s_{\tau_i} = {^t}s_{\tau_i}^{-1}.
\]
2) The mapping
\[
w \rightarrow {^t}w^{-1}
\]
determines an isomorphism of \( W_L \) onto \( W_L' \).

Proof. 1) By (2.10) and (2.11), for any \( v \in L, u \in L' \) we have:
\[
\langle s_{\tau_i}^*u, v \rangle = \langle u - \langle u, \tau_i \rangle\tau_i', v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle - \langle u, \tau_i \rangle\langle v, \tau_i' \rangle,
\]
\[
\langle u, s_{\tau_i}v \rangle = \langle u, v - \langle \tau_i', v \rangle\tau_i \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle - \langle \tau_i', v \rangle\langle u, \tau_i \rangle.
\]
Thus,
\[
\langle s_{\tau_i}^*u, v \rangle = \langle u, s_{\tau_i}v \rangle, \quad \text{for any } v \in L, u \in L',
\]
and (2.12) holds.

One should note that \( W_L \) (and, therefore, \( W_L' \)) is not necessarily Weyl group, since the roots \( \tau_i \in \Pi_w \) are not necessarily simple and they do not constitute a root subsystem. We call \( W_L \) the partial Weyl group, and \( W_L' \) the dual partial Weyl group associated with a conjugacy class, or, for short, the dual partial Weyl group. Then
\[
\langle s_{\tau_i}^*\gamma', \gamma' \rangle_{\tau_k} = \begin{cases} -\gamma_i', & \text{for } k = i, \\ \gamma_k' + \gamma_i', & \text{if } \{\tau_k, \tau_i\} \text{ is the solid edge, i.e., } (\gamma_k, \gamma_i) = -1, \\ \gamma_k' - \gamma_i', & \text{if } \{\tau_k, \tau_i\} \text{ is the dotted edge, i.e., } (\gamma_k, \gamma_i) = 1, \\ \gamma_i', & \text{if } \tau_k \text{ and } \tau_i \text{ are not connected, i.e. } (\gamma_k, \gamma_i) = 0. 
\end{cases}
\]

Let \( \Pi \) be the set of the simple roots in the root system \( \Phi \) associated with the Weyl group \( W \), let \( E \) be the linear space spanned by simple roots from \( \Pi \). Let \( M \) be the orthogonal complement of \( L \) in \( E \) in the sense of the bilinear form \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \):
\[
E = L \oplus M, \quad M \perp L,
\]
Any root \( \gamma \in \Phi \) is uniquely decomposed into the following sum:
\[
\gamma = \gamma_L + \mu,
\]
where \( \gamma_L \in L, \mu \in M \).

Proposition 2.8. 1) For the linkage labels vector \( \gamma' \) and the vector \( \gamma_L \) from (2.18), we have
\[
\gamma' = B_L\gamma_L.
\]
2) For \( s_{\tau_i}^* \), the dual reflection (2.11), the following relations hold
\[
B_Ls_{\tau_i} = s_{\tau_i}^*B_L,
\]
(s_\tau \gamma)^\vee = s_\tau^* B_L \gamma_L = s_\tau^* \gamma^\vee. \quad (2.21)

3) For w^* \in W_L^\vee (w^* := t^{w^{-1}}), the dual element of w \in W, we have

(w\gamma)^\vee = w^* \gamma^\vee (= t^{w^{-1}} \gamma^\vee). \quad (2.22)

4) The following relations hold

\mathcal{B}_L(s_\tau v) = \mathcal{B}_L(v), \text{ for any } v \in L,
\mathcal{B}_L^\vee(s_\tau^* u) = \mathcal{B}_L^\vee(u), \text{ for any } u \in L^\vee. \quad (2.23)

Proof.
1) Since (\tau_i, \mu) = 0 for any \tau_i \in S, by (2.8) we have

\gamma^\vee = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \gamma, \alpha_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \gamma, \beta_h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \gamma_L + \mu, \alpha_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \gamma_L + \mu, \beta_h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \gamma_L^\vee = B_L \gamma_L.

2) The equality (2.20) holds since the following is true for any u, v \in L:

\langle s_\tau u, v \rangle_L = (u, s_\tau v)_L, \text{ i.e., } \langle B_L s_\tau u, v \rangle = \langle B_L u, s_\tau v \rangle = \langle s_\tau^* B_L u, v \rangle, \text{ and }

\langle (B_L s_\tau - s_\tau^* B_L) u, v \rangle = 0.

Let us consider eq. (2.21). Since (\tau_i, \mu) = 0 for any \tau_i \in S, and s_\tau \mu = \mu, we have

(s_\tau^* \gamma)^\vee = \begin{pmatrix} \langle s_\tau^* \gamma, \alpha_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle s_\tau^* \gamma, \beta_h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle s_\tau \gamma_L + \mu, \alpha_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle s_\tau \gamma_L + \mu, \beta_h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \gamma_L, \alpha_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \gamma_L, \beta_h \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \gamma_L^\vee = B_L s_\tau \gamma_L = s_\tau^* B_L \gamma_L = s_\tau^* \gamma^\vee.

3) Let w = s_{\tau_1} s_{\tau_2} \ldots s_{\tau_m} be the decomposition of w \in W. Since s_\tau^* = t s_{\tau^{-1}} = t s_{\tau}, we deduce from (2.21) the following:

\langle w\gamma \rangle^\vee = \langle s_{\tau_1} s_{\tau_2} \ldots s_{\tau_m} \gamma \rangle^\vee = s_{\tau_1}^* s_{\tau_2}^* \ldots s_{\tau_m}^* (s_{\tau_1} s_{\tau_2} \ldots s_{\tau_m} \gamma)^\vee = \ldots =

s_{\tau_1}^* s_{\tau_2}^* \ldots s_{\tau_m}^* \gamma^\vee = t (s_{\tau_m} \ldots s_{\tau_2} s_{\tau_1}) \gamma^\vee = t (s_{\tau_1}^{-1} \ldots s_{\tau_m}^{-1}) \gamma^\vee = w^* \gamma^\vee.

4) Further, (2.23) holds since

\mathcal{B}_L(s_\tau v) = (B_L s_\tau v, s_\tau v) = \langle s_\tau^* B_L v, s_\tau v \rangle = \langle B_L v, s_\tau v \rangle = \mathcal{B}_L(v),
\mathcal{B}_L^\vee(s_\tau^* u) = (B_L^\vee s_\tau^* u, s_\tau^* u) = \langle s_\tau B_L^\vee u, s_\tau^* u \rangle = \langle B_L^\vee u, u \rangle = \mathcal{B}_L^\vee(u).

\square

Remark 2.9. 1) The mapping $^\vee$ defined on $L$ preserves dimensions and coincides with $B_L$, $^\vee : L \rightarrow L^\vee$, see (2.8). From Proposition 2.8 (heading 1) we see that the mapping $^\vee$ is also defined on $E$:

$^\vee : E = L \oplus M \rightarrow L \rightarrow L^\vee,$
$^\vee : M \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.24)$

2) Note that $M$ can be the zero space. For example, for the Carter diagram $E_6(a_1)$ corresponding to the conjugacy class lying in the Weyl group $E_6$, we have $L = E$, $M = 0$, see [Ca72, Tab.9, p.50]. In this case, $\gamma = \gamma_L$ in (2.15). From now on, we consider the case dim $M = 1$, i.e., one-dimensional extension of the root subset corresponding to $\Gamma$, see Section 2.4.1

2.4. The inverse quadratic form $\mathcal{B}_L^\vee$. 


2.4.1. The length of the projection of the root. Let \( \Pi_w(\theta) \) be the root subset obtained as the extension of \( \Pi_w \) by some root \( \theta \) linearly independent of \( \Pi_w \) (i.e., \( \theta \not\in L \)), let \( W_L(\theta) \) be the subgroup generated by \( W_L \) and reflection \( s_\theta \), and \( \Phi_w(\theta) \) be the root subset that is the orbit of the action of \( W_L(\theta) \) on \( \Pi_w(\theta) \). Let \( \gamma \) be any root from \( \Phi_w(\theta) \), \( \gamma \not\in L \), \( \gamma = \tilde{w}\theta \) for some \( \tilde{w} \in W_L(\theta) \), i.e., \( s_\gamma = \tilde{w}s_\theta\tilde{w}^{-1} \in W_L(\theta) \). It is clear that

\[
W_L(\theta) = W_L(\gamma), \quad \Phi_w(\theta) = \Phi_w(\gamma) \text{ for any } \gamma \in \Phi_w(\theta).
\]  

(2.25)

We will show that the length of the projection \( \gamma_L \) of \( \gamma \) (given by \( \gamma = \tilde{w}\theta \)) is independent of \( \gamma \). We will see that this length essentially depends on the root system \( \Phi \) encompassing \( \Phi_w(\gamma) \), see Section 2.4.3.

Since \( B_L \) is positive definite, eigenvalues of \( B_L^{-1} \) are positive. Then also eigenvalues of \( B_L^{-1} \) are positive, and the matrix \( B_L^{-1} \) is positive definite as well. The quadratic form corresponding to inverse matrix \( B_L^{-1} \) we call the inverse quadratic form \( B^\lor_L \). The form \( B^\lor_L \) is positive definite. We will consider subgroup \( W_L \) generated by reflections \( \{ s_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, s_{\alpha_k}, s_{\beta_1}, \ldots, s_{\beta_h} \} \) and its dual partial Weyl group \( W^\lor_L \) generated by reflections \( \{ s^*_\alpha, \ldots, s^*_\alpha, s^*_\beta, \ldots, s^*_\beta \} \).

\[
\text{Figure 2.9. The roots } \gamma = \gamma_L + \mu \text{ and } \overline{\gamma} = \gamma_L - \mu.
\]

Proposition 2.10. Let \( \gamma \) be any root belonging to the root subset \( \Phi_w(\theta) \) and \( \gamma \not\in L \). Let us consider the decomposition

\[
\gamma = \gamma_L + \mu, \text{ where } \gamma_L \in L, \mu \in M \text{ and } \mu \perp L,
\]

(2.26)

see Fig. 2.9.

1) The component \( \mu \), up to sign, is a fixed vector for any root \( \gamma \in \Phi_w(\theta) \).
2) The value \( B_L(\gamma_L) \) is constant for any root \( \gamma \in \Phi_w(\theta) \).
3) The vector \( \gamma = \gamma_L + \mu \) is a root in \( \Phi \) if and only if \( \overline{\gamma} = \gamma_L - \mu \) is a root in \( \Phi \) (not necessarily both vectors belong to \( \Phi_w(\theta) \)).
4) If \( \delta \) is the root form \( \Phi_w(\theta) \) such that \( \delta^\lor = \gamma^\lor \) then \( \delta = \gamma_L + \mu \) or \( \gamma_L - \mu \).

Proof. 1) Let \( \delta \not\in L \) be another root from the root subset \( \Phi_w(\theta) = \Phi_w(\gamma) \), i.e., \( \delta = w\gamma \) for some \( w \) from \( W_L(\gamma) \). We have \( \delta = w\gamma + w\mu \). On the other hand, \( \delta = \delta_L + t\mu \) for some \( \delta_L \in L \) and some rational \( t \), i.e., \( w\mu = t\mu \). Since \( w \) preserves the length of \( \mu \), we have \( t = \pm 1 \), and

\[
\delta = \delta_L + \mu \text{ or } \delta = \delta_L - \mu \text{ for some } \delta_L \in L.
\]

(2.27)
2) By (2.26) we have $\gamma_L \perp \mu$, and $B(\gamma) = B(\gamma_L) + B(\mu)$. Here, $B(\gamma) = 2$ since $\gamma$ is the root, and by 1), $B(\mu)$ takes constant values. Therefore, $B(\gamma_L)$ is constant. By (2.4) $B_L(\gamma_L) = B(\gamma_L)$, i.e., $B_L(\gamma_L)$ is also constant for all $\gamma \in \Phi_w(\theta)$.

3) Let $\gamma$ be a root, i.e., $B(\gamma) = B(\gamma_L) + B(\mu) = 2$. Then given $\gamma = \gamma_L - \mu$, we have $B(\gamma) = B(\gamma_L) + B(\mu) = 2$, and $\gamma$ is a root.

4) From $\delta^v = \gamma^v$ and (2.19) we have $B_L\delta_L = B_L\gamma_L$, i.e., $\delta_L = \gamma_L$. From (2.26) and heading 1), we have $\delta = \gamma_L + \mu$ or $\gamma_L - \mu$. □

The group $W_L(\theta)$ acts on the linkages (= roots of $\Phi_w(\theta)$) from the space $L(\theta)$, where $\dim L(\theta) = l + 1$. The group $W_L^v$ acts on the linkage diagrams, i.e., linkage labels vectors from $L^v$, where $\dim L^v = l$.

Corollary 2.11. Let $\gamma, \delta \in \Phi_w(\theta)$, $w \in W_L(\theta)$, $w^* \in W_L^v(\theta)$. Then

$$\gamma = w\gamma \ (\in \mathbb{R}^{l+1}) \implies \gamma^v = w^*\gamma^v \ (\in \mathbb{R}^l),$$

$$\gamma^v = w^*\gamma^v \ (\in \mathbb{R}^l) \implies w\gamma = \delta_L + \mu \text{ or } w\gamma = \delta_L - \mu \ (\in \mathbb{R}^{l+1}).$$

(2.28) □

2.4.2. The length of the linkage labels vector. The following proposition checks whether or not the given vector $u^v \in L^v$ is the linkage labels vector for a certain root $\gamma \in \Phi_w(\theta)$.

Proposition 2.12. 1) For any root $\gamma \in \Phi_w(\theta)$, we have

$$B_L^v(\gamma^v) = B_L(\gamma_L),$$

(2.29)

and, $B_L^v(\gamma^v)$ takes constant values for all roots $\gamma \in \Phi_w(\theta)$.

2) Let

$$B_L^v(u^v) = B_L^v(\gamma^v),$$

(2.30)

where $\gamma^v$ is the linkage labels vector for some root $\gamma \in \Phi_w(\theta)$, and $u^v$ is a vector from $L^v$. Then there exists the root $\delta \in \Phi_w(\theta)$ such that $u^v$ coincides with $\delta^v$.

Proof. 1) We have

$$B_L^v(\gamma^v) = \langle B_L^{-1}\gamma^v, \gamma^v \rangle = \langle \gamma_L, B_L\gamma_L \rangle = B_L(\gamma_L).$$

(2.31)

By (2.22), for any $w^* \in W_L^v$, we have $w^*\gamma^v = (w\gamma)^v$, and by (2.20):

$$B_L^v(w^*\gamma^v) = \langle B_L^{-1}w^*\gamma^v, w^*\gamma^v \rangle = \langle wB_L^{-1}\gamma^v, w^*\gamma^v \rangle = \langle w^{-1}wB_L^{-1}\gamma^v, \gamma^v \rangle = B_L(\gamma_L).$$

2) Set $\delta = B_L^{-1}u^v + \mu$, where $\mu$ is the fixed vector from (2.20). Then,

$$B(\delta) = B(B_L^{-1}u^v) + B(\mu) = B_L(B_L^{-1}u^v) + B(\mu) = B_L(\mu) + B(\gamma_L),$$

by (2.29) $B_L^v(\gamma^v) = B_L^v(u^v) + B(\mu)$ by (2.20) $B_L^v(\gamma^v) + B(\mu) = 2$.

(2.32)

So $B(\delta) = 2$, therefore $\delta$ is a root, see Section 1.5.1. Since $\delta = B_L^{-1}u^v + \mu$, we get

$$\delta^v = B_L(B_L^{-1}u^v + \mu) = u^v.$$

\[\square\]

Let us summarize:
Theorem 2.13. Let $\gamma^\vee \in L^\vee$ be the linkage labels vector corresponding to a certain root $\gamma \in \Phi_w(\theta)$, i.e., $\gamma^\vee = B_L\gamma_L$.

1) The root $\gamma \in \Phi_w(\theta)$ is linearly independent of roots of $\Phi_w$ if and only if
\[ B_L(\gamma^\vee) < 2. \quad (2.33) \]

2) We have $B_L(\delta^\vee) = B_L(\gamma^\vee)$ if and only if $\delta^\vee = B_L\delta_L$, where $\delta_L$ is the projection on $L$ of some root $\delta$ belonging $\Phi_w(\theta)$.

Values $B_L(\gamma^\vee)$ are constant for any $\gamma \in \Phi_w(\theta)$.

(In a few cases $\Phi_w$ can be extended to two different root subsets $\Phi_w(\theta)$, see Section 2.4.3.)

Proof. 1) Let $B_L(\gamma^\vee) = 2$. By Proposition 2.12 we have $B_L(\gamma_L) = 2$, and by Proposition 2.4 we have also $B_\gamma(\gamma_L) = 2$. Since $\gamma \in \Phi_w(\theta)$ is a root in $\Phi$, then $B_\gamma(\gamma) = 2$ and $B_\gamma(\mu) = B_\gamma(\gamma) - B_\gamma(\gamma_L) = 0$. Therefore, $\mu = 0$, and by (2.20) $\gamma$ coincides with its projections on $\Phi_w$, i.e., $\gamma$ is linearly depends on vectors of $\Phi_w$. Conversely, let $B_L(\gamma^\vee) < 2$, i.e., $B_L(\gamma_L) < 2$. As above, we have $B_L(\gamma_L) < 2$ and $B_\gamma(\mu) = B_\gamma(\gamma) - B_\gamma(\gamma_L) \neq 0$, i.e., $\mu \neq 0$ and $\gamma$ is linearly independent of roots of $\Phi_w$.

2) If $\delta$ and $\gamma$ belong to the same root system $\Phi_w(\theta)$ then $w_\delta \gamma = \delta$ for some $w \in W_L(\theta)$, and $\delta^\vee = (w\gamma)^\vee = w^\vee \gamma^\vee$. Thus, $B_L(\gamma^\vee)$ takes the same values on $\gamma$ and $\delta$. The converse statement follows from Proposition 2.12 (heading 2). $\square$

2.4.3. The rational number $p = B_L(\theta^\vee)$. The rational number $p = B_L(\theta^\vee)$ is the invariant characterizing the pair $\{\Gamma, \Phi_w(\theta)\}$, where $\Gamma$ is the Carter diagram and $\Phi_w(\theta)$ is the root system extending the root subset $\Phi_w$.

By Theorem 1.1 the conjugacy class with the Carter diagram $\Gamma$ belonging $\mathcal{C}4$ or $\mathcal{DE}4$ is uniquely characterized by the root subset $\Phi_w$. Let us consider the set of linkage diagrams $S^\vee = \{\gamma^\vee\}$ obtained from $\Phi_w$ in such a way that every $\gamma^\vee \in S^\vee$ is the linkage diagram associated with a certain root $\gamma \in \Phi_w(\theta)$, i.e., $\gamma^\vee = B_L\gamma_L$, and the inverse quadratic form $B_L^\vee$ takes the same rational value $p < 2$. We call this set the extension set of the conjugacy class by $p$, or equivalently, the extension set of the Carter diagram $\Gamma$ by $p$. According to Proposition 2.12, this set is well-defined and it is independent of the concrete root $\gamma \in \Phi_w(\theta)$. We denote this extension by $\{\Gamma, p\}$. Note that the extension $\{\Gamma, p\}$ does depend on the choice of the root subsystem $\Phi_w(\theta)$. Namely, we will see, that the Carter diagrams $D_5(a_1), D_6(a_1), D_6(a_2), D_7(a_1), D_7(a_2), D_5, D_6, D_7$ have two extensions: any such extension can be either of type $D$ or of type $E$. Respectively, we call this extension either the $D$-type extension or the $E$-type extension. For example, $D_5(a_1)$ has two extension sets: the $D$-type extension set $\{D_5(a_1), 1\}$ contains 10 linkages, the $E$-type extension set $\{D_5(a_1), \frac{5}{2}\}$ contains 32 linkages, see Fig. B.22 and Table 1.1.

2.5. Loctets and unicolored linkage diagrams. In this section we give the complete description of linkage diagrams for every linkage system. It turns out that each linkage diagram containing at least one non-zero $\alpha$-label belongs to a certain 8-cell linkage subsystem which we call loctet, see Fig. 1.13. Every linkage system is the union of several loctets and several $\beta$-unicolored linkage diagrams. For the exact description, see Tables A.12, Fig. B.21, B.47, Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 2.14. Let $\Gamma$ be the Carter diagram from $\mathcal{C}4$, and $\gamma^\vee \in L^\vee(\Gamma)$,

\[
\gamma^\vee = \begin{pmatrix}
a_1 \\
a_2 \\
a_3 \\
\ldots \\
b_1 \\
\ldots \\
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
(a_1, \gamma) \\
(a_2, \gamma) \\
(a_3, \gamma) \\
\ldots \\
(\beta_1, \gamma) \\
\ldots \\
\end{pmatrix}
\quad (2.34)
\]

Among labels $a_i$ of the linkage diagram $\gamma^\vee$ at least one label $a_i$ is equal 0.

Proof. In the diagram $D_5(a_1)$, which is the part of every simply-laced connected Carter diagram containing 4-cycle, the vertices $\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ connected to $\beta_1$, see Fig. 2.8. Thus, any root $\gamma$ can not be connected to all $a_i$, where $i = 1, 2, 3$, otherwise we get the contradiction with the case of Corollary 2.4 from [St10], see Proposition 2.1 (heading 2). $\square$
The following proposition explains relations between linkage diagrams depicted in Fig. 1.3 and shows that every linkage diagram containing at least one non-zero $\alpha$-label belongs to one of the loclets $L_{12}, L_{13}, L_{23}$.

**Proposition 2.15.** 1) The linkage labels $\gamma^\vee_{ij}(n)$ depicted in Fig. 1.3 are connected by means of dual reflections $s^*_{\alpha_i}$, where $i = 1, 2, 3$, and reflection $s^*_{\beta_1}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
    s^*_{\alpha_k} \gamma^\vee_{ij}(8) &= \gamma^\vee_{ij}(7), & s^*_{\alpha_k} \gamma^\vee_{ij}(1) &= \gamma^\vee_{ij}(2), \\
    s^*_{\beta_1} \gamma^\vee_{ij}(7) &= \gamma^\vee_{ij}(6), & s^*_{\beta_1} \gamma^\vee_{ij}(2) &= \gamma^\vee_{ij}(3), \\
    s^*_{\alpha_i} \gamma^\vee_{ij}(6) &= s^*_{\alpha_j} \gamma^\vee_{ij}(3) = \gamma^\vee_{ij}(4), & s^*_{\alpha_i} \gamma^\vee_{ij}(6) &= s^*_{\alpha_j} \gamma^\vee_{ij}(3) = \gamma^\vee_{ij}(5),
\end{align*}
$$

(2.35)

where $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Relations of the last line in (2.35) hold up to permutation of indices $n = 4$ and $n = 5$ in $\gamma^\vee_{ij}(n)$.

2) If $\gamma^\vee$ contains exactly two non-zero labels $a_i, a_j$ (corresponding to coordinates $\alpha_i, \alpha_j$), then $\gamma^\vee$ is one of the following linkage diagrams:

$$
\gamma^\vee_{ij}(3), \quad \gamma^\vee_{ij}(4), \quad \gamma^\vee_{ij}(5), \quad \gamma^\vee_{ij}(6).
$$

3) If $\gamma^\vee$ contains exactly one non-zero labels $a_i$ (corresponding to $\alpha_i$), then $\gamma^\vee$ is one of the following linkage diagrams:

$$
\gamma^\vee_{ij}(1), \quad \gamma^\vee_{ij}(2), \quad \gamma^\vee_{ij}(7), \quad \gamma^\vee_{ij}(8).
$$

**Proof.** 1) By (2.10) we have

$$
(s^*_{\alpha_i} \gamma^\vee)_{\alpha_k} = \begin{cases} 
-\gamma^\vee_{\alpha_i}, & \text{for } k = i, \\
\gamma^\vee_{\alpha_k}, & \text{for } k \neq i,
\end{cases}
$$

$$
(s^*_{\alpha_i} \gamma^\vee)_{\beta_k} = \begin{cases} 
\gamma^\vee_{\beta_k} + \gamma^\vee_{\alpha_i}, & \text{for } (\beta_k, \alpha_i) = -1, \\
\gamma^\vee_{\beta_k} - \gamma^\vee_{\alpha_i}, & \text{for } (\beta_k, \alpha_i) = 1, \\
\gamma^\vee_{\beta_k}, & \text{for } (\beta_k, \alpha_i) = 0.
\end{cases}
$$

(2.36)

We show (2.35) only for $\gamma^\vee_{ij}(n)$, where $n = 6, 7, 8$. One can get the remaining cases $n = 1, 2, 3$ only by changing the sign of $\gamma^\vee_{ij}(n)$, see Fig. 1.3. Applying $s^*_{\alpha_i}$ to $\gamma^\vee_{ij}(8)$, we have the first line of (2.35) as follows:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
0 \\
-1 \\
0 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
-1 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Applying $s^*_{\alpha_3}$ to $\gamma^\vee_{ij}(7)$, we have the second line of (2.35):

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
-1 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Applying $s^*_{\beta_1}$, $s^*_{\beta_2}$ to $\gamma^\vee_{ij}(6)$ we have the last line of (2.35):

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
-1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
-1 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
-1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
-1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
\ldots
\end{pmatrix}.
Here, it suffices to prove that the label \( b_1 \) corresponding to the coordinate \( \beta_1 \) is uniquely determined by \( \alpha_i, \alpha_j \). For the linkage diagram \( \gamma_{ij}^\nu(3) \) the statement follows from Proposition 2.3 (a), see Fig. 2.7 (a). For \( E_7(a_1) \), the linkage diagrams \( \gamma_{ij}^\nu(3) \), where \( \{ij\} \in \{\{12\}, \{13\}, \{23\}\} \), depicted in Fig. 2.10.

![Figure 2.10](image)

The linkage diagrams \( \gamma_{ij}^\nu(3) \) for \( E_7(a_1) \), loctets \( L_{ij}^b \).

By Proposition 2.3 (b), see Fig. 2.7 (b). For \( E_7(a_2) \), the linkage diagrams \( \gamma_{ij}^\nu(6) \), where \( \{ij\} \in \{\{12\}, \{13\}, \{23\}\} \), depicted in Fig. 2.11.

![Figure 2.11](image)

The linkage diagrams \( \gamma_{ij}^\nu(6) \) for \( E_7(a_2) \), loctets \( L_{ij}^b \).

For \( E_7(a_3) \), the linkage diagrams \( \gamma_{ij}^\nu(4) \), where \( \{ij\} \in \{\{12\}, \{13\}, \{23\}\} \), depicted in Fig. 2.12.

![Figure 2.12](image)

The linkage diagrams \( \gamma_{ij}^\nu(4) \) for \( E_7(a_3) \), loctets \( L_{ij}^b \).

3) The label \( b_1 \) corresponding to the coordinate \( \beta_1 \) takes two values from \( \{-1, 0, 1\} \) depending on the value of \( a_i \), see Fig. 1.3. Indeed, if \( a_i = 1 \) then \( b_1 = (\gamma, \beta_1) \neq 1 \), otherwise the triangle \( \{\alpha_i, \beta_1, \gamma\} \) contains exactly two dotted edges, i.e., contains \( \tilde{A}_3 \), contradicting Lemma A.1 from [St10]. Thus, \( b_1 = -1 \) or \( b_1 = 0 \). Respectively, we have linkage diagrams \( \gamma_{ij}^\nu(2) \) or \( \gamma_{ij}^\nu(8) \). If \( a_i = -1 \) then \( b_1 = (\gamma, \beta_1) \neq -1 \), otherwise the triangle \( \{\alpha_i, \beta_1, \gamma\} \) does not contain any dotted edges. Thus, \( b_1 = 1 \) or \( b_1 = 0 \). Respectively, we have linkage diagrams \( \gamma_{ij}^\nu(7) \) or \( \gamma_{ij}^\nu(1) \).

\[\square\]

**Corollary 2.16.** 1) Any linkage diagram containing non-zero \( \alpha \)-label belongs to one of the loctets of the linkage system.

2) Any linkage diagram of the loctet uniquely determines whole loctet.

3) If two loctets have one common linkage diagram they coincide.

4) Every linkage diagram from the linkage system either belongs to one of the loctets or is \( \beta \)-unicolored.
We calculate the case $E_6$ diagrams $\Gamma$ the free term $f$ diagram of a loctet since 8th linkage diagram contains 3 zeroes among coordinates (we calculate it for every Carter diagram loctet) rather than to calculate any other linkage system, see all figures in Fig. B.21 - Fig. B.47.

According to Proposition 2.15, any linkage diagram of a loctet gives rise to the whole loctet. By Theorem 2.19 to obtain all loctets associated with the given Carter diagram it suffices to find linkage diagrams $\gamma_{ij}(n)$ for a certain fixed $n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 8\}$ satisfying the inequality:

$$\mathcal{B}_L^\gamma(\gamma_{ij}(n)) < 2.$$ 

The number of different loctets is defined by a number of different linkage diagrams $\gamma^\gamma(n)$ for given fixed $n$, where $1 \leq n \leq 8$. In that follows, we enumerate loctets by linkage diagrams $\gamma^\gamma(8)$. In Section 3.1, as an example, we show how to calculate linkage diagrams $\gamma^\gamma(8)$ of all loctets of $E_6(a_1)$. By Tables A.5-A.10 one can recover the complete calculation of all linkage diagrams $\gamma^\gamma(8)$ of all loctets for Carter diagrams $\Gamma \in C4 \cup DE4$. The linkage diagrams $\gamma^\gamma_{ij}(6)$ per every component and every loctet are listed in Table A.14 for all linkage systems.

The partial Cartan matrices $B_L$ and the inverse matrices $B_L^{-1}$ for all Carter diagrams are presented in Tables A.2 - A.3.

3. Enumeration of linkage diagrams, loctets and linkage systems

In this section we demonstrate some calculation examples of linkage diagrams, loctets and linkage systems. These calculations are based on findings of Section 2.5.

3.1. Calculation of linkage diagrams $\gamma^\gamma(8)$. It seems a little easier to calculate the 8th linkage diagram (we calculate it for every Carter diagram loctet) rather than to calculate any other linkage diagram of a loctet since 8th linkage diagram contains 3 zeroes among coordinates $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1\}$. We have

$$\gamma^\gamma(8) = \begin{cases} 
\{a_1, a_2, a_3, 0, b_2\} & \text{for } D_5(a_1), \\
\{a_1, a_2, a_3, 0, b_2, b_3\} & \text{for } D_6(a_1), E_6(a_1), E_6(a_2), \\
\{a_1, a_2, a_3, 0, b_2\} & \text{for } D_6(a_2), \\
\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, 0, b_2, b_3\} & \text{for } D_7(a_1), D_7(a_2), \\
\{a_1, a_2, a_3, 0, b_2, b_3, b_4\} & \text{for } E_7(a_1), E_7(a_2), E_7(a_3), E_7(a_4), 
\end{cases}$$

(3.1)

where $a_i = 0, a_j = 0, a_k = 1, b_1 = 0$ and $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ for type $L_{ij}$. For types $L_{12}, L_{13}, L_{23}$, there is the same quadratic form $q(\gamma^\gamma(8))$ as the part of the inequality $\mathcal{B}_L^\gamma(\gamma^\gamma(8)) < 2$. The quadratic form $q(\gamma^\gamma(8))$ is determined by principal submatrix associated with coordinates $\beta_2, \beta_3$, namely,

$$q(\gamma^\gamma(8)) = d_{\beta_2\beta_2} b_2^2 + 2d_{\beta_2\beta_3} b_2 b_3 + d_{\beta_3\beta_3} b_3^2,$$

(3.2)

where $d_{ij}$ is the $\{i, j\}$ slot of the inverse matrix $B_L^{-1}$. The quadratic terms related to coordinates $\alpha_4$ or $\beta_4$ should be supplemented in the respective cases, see (3.1). The linear part $l(\gamma^\gamma(8))$ and the free term $f(\gamma^\gamma(8))$ of the inequality $\mathcal{B}_L^\gamma(\gamma^\gamma(8)) < 2$ are as follows:

$$l(\gamma^\gamma(8)) = \begin{cases} 
2(d_{\alpha_1\beta_2} b_2 + d_{\alpha_1\beta_3} b_3) & \text{for } L_{23}, \\
2(d_{\alpha_2\beta_2} b_2 + d_{\alpha_2\beta_3} b_3) & \text{for } L_{13}, \\
2(d_{\alpha_3\beta_2} b_2 + d_{\alpha_3\beta_3} b_3) & \text{for } L_{12}, 
\end{cases}$$

$$f(\gamma^\gamma(8)) = \begin{cases} 
da_{\alpha_1\alpha_1} & \text{for } L_{23}, \\
da_{\alpha_2\alpha_2} & \text{for } L_{13}, \\
da_{\alpha_2\alpha_3} & \text{for } L_{12}, 
\end{cases}$$

We calculate the case $E_6(a_1)$. By Tables A.5-A.8 one can recover calculation for the remaining diagrams $\Gamma \in C4$.  

Proof. Statements 1) and 4) follows from headings 2), 3) of Proposition 2.15 statements 2) and 3) follow from heading 1) of Proposition 2.15. 

The loctets of types $L_{12}, L_{13}, L_{23}$ are the main construction blocks used for every linkage system, see all figures in Fig. B.21 - Fig. B.47.

According to Proposition 2.15 any linkage diagram of a loctet gives rise to the whole loctet. By Theorem 2.19 to obtain all loctets associated with the given Carter diagram it suffices to find linkage diagrams $\gamma_{ij}(n)$ for a certain fixed $n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 8\}$ satisfying the inequality:

$$\mathcal{B}_L^\gamma(\gamma_{ij}(n)) < 2.$$
3.1.1. Calculation example for diagram $E_6(a_1)$. Here, $q = 4(b_2^2 + b_2b_3 + b_3^2)$, see (3.2).

a) Loctets $L_{12}$, $\gamma_{12}^\gamma(8) = \{0, 0, 1, 0, b_2, b_3\}$.

$$
B_L^\gamma(\gamma_{12}^\gamma(8)) = \frac{1}{3} (10 + 2 (4b_2 + 5b_3) + 4 (b_2^2 + b_2b_3 + b_3^2)) < 2, \quad \text{i.e.,}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{3} (2(b_2 + b_3)^2 + 2(b_2 + 2)^2 + 2(b_3 + \frac{5}{2})^2 - \frac{21}{2}) < 2,
$$

$$
\frac{2}{3} ((b_2 + b_3)^2 + (b_2 + 2)^2 + (b_3 + \frac{5}{2})^2) < 2 + \frac{7}{2} = \frac{11}{2},
$$

$$(b_2 + b_3)^2 + (b_2 + 2)^2 + (b_3 + \frac{5}{2})^2 < \frac{33}{4}.
$$

We get $\gamma_{12}^\gamma(8) = \{0, 0, 1, 0, 0, -1\}$ or $\gamma_{12}^\gamma(8) = \{0, 0, 1, 0, -1, -1\}$.

b) Loctets $L_{13}$, $\gamma_{13}^\gamma(8) = \{0, 1, 0, 0, b_2, b_3\}$.

$$
B_L^\gamma(\gamma_{13}^\gamma(8)) = \frac{1}{3} (4 + 2(-b_2 + b_3) + 4(b_2^2 + b_2b_3 + b_3^2)) < 2, \quad \text{i.e.,}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{3} (2(b_2 + b_3) + 2(b_2 - \frac{1}{2})^2 + 2(b_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + 4 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}) < 2,
$$

$$(b_2 + b_3)^2 + (b_2 - \frac{1}{2})^2 + (b_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2 < \frac{3}{2}.
$$

We get $\gamma_{13}^\gamma(8) = \{0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0\}$ or $\gamma_{13}^\gamma(8) = \{0, 1, 0, 0, 1, -1\}$. All loctets of the linkage system $E_6(a_1)$ are depicted in Fig. 3.25.

c) Loctets $L_{23}$, $\gamma_{23}^\gamma(8) = \{0, 0, 0, 0, b_2, b_3\}$.

$$
B_L^\gamma(\gamma_{23}^\gamma(8)) = \frac{1}{3} (4 + 2(b_2 + 2b_3) + 4(b_2^2 + b_2b_3 + b_3^2)) < 2, \quad \text{i.e.,}
$$

$$(b_2 + b_3)^2 + (b_2 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + (b_3 + 1)^2 < \frac{9}{4}.
$$

Here, $\gamma_{23}^\gamma(8) = \{0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0\}$ or $\gamma_{23}^\gamma(8) = \{0, 1, 0, 0, 0, -1\}$. All loctets of the linkage system $E_6(a_1)$ are depicted in Fig. 3.25.

3.2. Calculation of the $\beta$-unicolored linkage diagrams. Now we consider $\beta$-unicolored linkage diagrams. Let $a_i = (\alpha_i, \gamma), b_i = (\beta_i, \gamma)$, where $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, be linkage labels of the linkage $\gamma$. Since $\gamma$ is $\beta$-unicolored linkage, we have $a_i = 0$ for every $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. In addition, we note that

$$
b_1 = (\gamma, \beta_1) = 0. \quad \text{(3.3)}
$$

Eq. (3.3) holds for Carter diagrams containing a $D_5(a_1)$ or $D_4$ with predefined numbering of vertices $\{a_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1\}$ as in Fig. 3.28 since otherwise the linkage diagram contains 5-vertex subdiagram $\{a_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \gamma\}$ that is the extended Dynkin diagram $D_4$. In other words, (3.3) holds for all Carter diagrams from Tables A.2 and A.3 except for $D_4(a_1)$, and (3.3) also holds for Dynkin diagrams $E_n$, $D_n$. Note that

$$
B^\gamma(\gamma) = B^\gamma(-\gamma),
$$

and solving the inequality $B^\gamma(\gamma) < 2$ we can assume that $b_2 > 0$, or $b_2 = 0, b_3 > 0$, etc. We present here calculations only for cases $E_6(a_1)$, $E_6(a_2)$ and $E_7(a_1)$. By Tables A.11 and A.13 one can recover the remaining cases.

3.2.1. $\beta$-unicolored linkage diagrams for $E_6(a_1)$ and $E_6(a_2)$. For these cases, the $\beta$-unicolored linkage diagrams coincide since the principal submatrices associated with coordinates $\beta_2, \beta_3$ for cases $E_6(a_1)$ and $E_6(a_2)$ coincide. This submatrix is the following $2 \times 2$ submatrix of $B_L^{-1}$:

$$
\frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix}
4 & 2 \\
2 & 4
\end{bmatrix},
$$
see Table A.2 By (2.33) from Theorem 2.13 we have

$$\mathcal{B}^\vee(\gamma^\vee) = \frac{2}{3}(2b_2^2 + 2b_3^2 + 2b_2b_3) < 2, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad b_2^2 + b_3^2 + (b_2 + b_3)^2 < 3. \quad (3.4)$$

There are exactly 6 solutions of the inequality (3.4), the corresponding linkage diagrams are:

$$\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1\}, \quad \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1\},$$
$$\{0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0\}, \quad \{0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 0\},$$
$$\{0, 0, 0, 0, 1, -1\}, \quad \{0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 1\}. \quad (3.5)$$

see linkage diagrams located outside of the loctets in linkage systems $E_6(a_1), \ E_6(a_2)$, Fig. B.25.

3.2.2. $\beta$-unicolored linkage diagrams for $E_7(a_1)$. The principal 3 $\times$ 3 submatrices of $B_L^{-1}$ associated with coordinates $\beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4$ is as follows:

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix},$$

see Table A.3 Then we have

$$\mathcal{B}^\vee(\gamma^\vee) = \frac{1}{2}(3b_2^2 + 4b_3^2 + 3b_2b_3 + 2b_2b_4 + 4b_3b_4) < 2, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad 2(b_2 + b_3)^2 + 2(b_2 + b_4)^2 + (b_2 + b_3)^2 < 4. \quad (3.6)$$

There are exactly 8 solutions of the inequality (3.6), the corresponding linkage diagrams are:

$$\{0, 0, 0, 0, 1, -1, 0\}, \quad \{0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 1, 0\},$$
$$\{0, 0, 0, 0, 1, -1\}, \quad \{0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 1\},$$
$$\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1\}, \quad \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1\},$$
$$\{0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0\}, \quad \{0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 0\},$$

see linkage diagrams located outside of the loctets in linkage systems $E_7(a_1)$, Fig. B.26.

3.3. Linkage systems for simply-laced Dynkin diagrams. In this section we extend the previous results to simply-laced Dynkin diagrams. First, we find such simply-laced Dynkin diagrams that each of them determines only one conjugacy class.

Remark 3.1 (on isolated roots). 1) Let $\alpha, \beta$ be two roots from $\Phi(\Gamma)$, $\alpha \perp \beta$. The root $\beta$ is said to be isolated root in sense of orthogonality to $\alpha$ or, for brevity, isolated root for $\alpha$ if any root $\gamma$ connected to $\beta$ (i.e., $(\gamma, \beta) \neq 0$) is non-orthogonal to $\alpha$. For example, $\alpha_{\text{max}}(D_6)$, the maximal root from $\Phi(D_6)$ is orthogonal to $\Phi(D_4) \oplus \{\tau_1\}$, where $\tau_1$ is the single root in $\Phi(A_1)$, see Fig. 3.13 The root $\tau_1$ is isolated for $\alpha_{\text{max}}(D_6)$.

2) Let $\Phi(\Gamma), \Phi(\Gamma') \subset W$, where $W$ is the Weyl group, let $U \in W$ be the map $U : \Phi(\Gamma) \rightarrow \Phi(\Gamma')$. The root $\beta$ is isolated for $\alpha$ if and only if $U\beta$ is isolated for $U\alpha$. Indeed, since $U$ preserves orthogonality, we have:

$$\{\beta \perp \alpha, \quad \beta \text{ is connected to } \gamma, \quad \gamma \not\perp \alpha\} \iff \{U\beta \perp U\alpha, \quad U\beta \text{ is connected to } U\gamma, \quad U\gamma \not\perp U\alpha\}. \quad \Box$$

Proposition 3.2. Let $\{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_l\}, \ \{\tau'_1, \ldots, \tau'_l\}$ be two root subsets (with not necessarily simple roots) corresponding to one of the Dynkin diagram $\Gamma = E_6, E_7, E_8, D_l$ (considered as Carter diagrams). There is $U \in W$ such that

$$U\tau_i = \tau'_i, \quad \text{where } i = 1, \ldots, l. \quad (3.7)$$

(That means that every Dynkin diagram $E_6, E_7, E_8, D_l$ determines only one conjugacy class.)
Proof.
1) Case \( \Gamma = E_6, E_7, E_8 \). First, we will show that two triples of orthogonal roots
\[
S = \{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3\}, \quad S' = \{\tau'_1, \tau'_2, \tau'_3\}
\]
(3.8)
forming the root system \( D_4 \) (i.e., such that any \( \tau_i \) (resp. \( \tau'_i \)) is adjacent to the branch point of the diagram \( \Gamma \)) are equivalent under the Weyl group \( W(\Gamma) \). By [Ca72, Lemma 11], [St10, Corollary A.6] any two sets of 3 orthogonal roots in \( \Phi(E_6) \) (resp. \( \Phi(E_8) \)) are equivalent under \( W(E_6) \) (resp. \( W(E_8) \)). For \( \Phi(E_7) \), this property is not correct, there are two sets of 3 orthogonal roots in \( \Phi(E_7) \), which are not equivalent under \( W(E_7) \), see [St10, Corollary A.6]. The reason of this obstacle in the case \( E_7 \) is the “unlucky” location of the maximal root in the root system of \( D_6 \), see Fig. 3.13. To solve this we use Corollary A.5 from [St10]. According to this corollary any two sets of 2 orthogonal roots in \( \Phi(E_7) \) are equivalent. Let \( U \in W(E_7) \) map \( \tau_1 \) to \( \alpha_{max}(E_7) \), the maximal root in \( E_7 \), and \( \tau_2 \) to \( \alpha_{max}(D_6) \), see Fig. 3.13. Then \( \tau_3 \) is mapped into a root of \( \Phi(D_4) \oplus \{\tau_1\} \), \( \alpha_1 \in \Phi(A_1) \). By Remark 3.1 since \( \alpha_1 \in \Phi(A_1) \) is isolated for \( \alpha_{max}(D_6) \), and \( \tau_3 \) is not isolated for \( \tau_2 \) then \( \tau_3 \) is mapped into a root of \( \Phi(D_4) \). We can choose \( U \) in such a way that \( U\tau_3 \) is mapped to any root in \( \Phi(D_4) \), for example, to \( \alpha_{max}(D_4) \).

The same is true for the set \( S' \) in (3.8). Therefore, sets \( S \) and \( S' \) are equivalent. If sets \( S \) and \( S' \) from (3.8) are equivalent the branch points which bind the roots of each of these sets are mapped by the mapping \( U \) from (3.7), see Section 4.6 from [St10]. By Lemma 4.7 from [St10], the map \( U \) can be extended to the map (3.7) for every pair \( \{\tau_i, \tau'_i\} \).
2) Case $\Gamma = D_4$. Let us show that two roots subsets $\Phi(D_1)$ and $\Phi'(D_1)$ lying in the Weyl group $W$ are equivalent. In the case $\Phi(D_1), \Phi'(D_1) \subset \Phi(E_n)$, where $n \leq 8$, we repeat the same arguments as in heading 1). Let now $\Phi(D_1), \Phi'(D_1) \subset \Phi(D_n)$, where $l \leq n$. Let us take such $U \in W$ that $U : \alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_{\text{max}}$, see Fig. 8.32. Then $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ are mapped by $U$ into \( \Phi(D_{n-2}) \oplus \{\tau_1\} \), see [St10 §A.4]. We have $U\alpha_1 \perp \alpha_{\text{max}}, U\alpha_2 \perp \alpha_{\text{max}}$. By Remark 3.1 since $\alpha_1$ is isolated for $\alpha_3$ then $\alpha_1$ is mapped into $\tau_1$, and $U\alpha_2 \in \Phi(D_{n-2})$.

Applying a certain mapping $U' \in W(D_{n-2})$ to the mapping $U$, we change $U$ in such a way that $U\alpha_2 = \tau_2$ and $U\alpha_1, U\alpha_3$ are not changed. Therefore, $S = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\} \subset \Phi(D_1)$ is mapped into $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \alpha_{\text{max}}\}$. Similarly, there exists $U'$ mapping $S' = \{\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2, \alpha'_3\} \subset \Phi'(D_1)$ into $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \alpha_{\text{max}}\}$. Then $U^{-1}U'$ maps $S$ into $S'$. Further, applying Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7 from [St10], we get the extension $\hat{U}$ of $U^{-1}U'$, so that $\hat{U} : \Phi(D_1) \rightarrow \Phi'(D_1)$. □

Remark 3.3. Note that Proposition 3.2 does not hold for $A_2$. There are two conjugacy classes of type $A_5$ in $W(E_7)$ and in $W(D_8)$, two conjugacy classes of type $A_7$ in $W(E_8)$, see [Ca72 p. 31] and [Ca72] Lemma 27. Moreover, for the Carter diagram $A_3$, there exist three conjugacy classes in $W(D_4)$.

In order to build the linkage systems for Dynkin diagrams $E_6, D_8$, by Proposition 3.2, we can use the technique of the partial Cartan matrix, linkage diagrams and loctets from Section 2. Note that the partial Cartan matrix for Dynkin diagrams coincides with the usual Cartan matrix $B$ associated with the given Dynkin diagram. Since $E_8$ does not have linkage diagrams, see Remark 1.2 heading 1), we are interested only in $E_6, E_7, D_8$. In cases $E_6, E_7, D_5, D_6, D_7$, for the Cartan matrix $B$ and its inverse $B^{-1}$, see Table 4.4, one can recover the complete calculation of linkage diagrams $\gamma'$ (8) of all loctets of $E_6, E_7, D_5, D_6, D_7$ by means of Tables 4.9, 4.10. The $\beta$-unicolored linkage diagrams look as follows:

$$
\gamma' = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\{0, 0, 0, 0, b_2, b_3\} & \text{for } E_6, \\
\{0, 0, 0, a_1, 0, b_2, b_3\} & \text{for } E_7, \\
\{0, 0, 0, 0, b_2\} & \text{for } D_5, \\
\{0, 0, 0, a_4, 0, b_2\} & \text{for } D_6, \\
\{0, 0, 0, a_4, 0, b_2, b_3\} & \text{for } D_7,
\end{array} \right.
$$

see Tables 4.11, 4.13. The $\beta$-unicolored linkage diagrams are located outside of all loctets, see Fig. 4.31, Fig. 4.33, Fig. 4.35, Fig. 4.37.

Note that for $E_6$, the principal matrix associated with coordinates $\beta_2, \beta_3$ coincide with the principal matrix for the Carter diagrams $E_6(a_1), E_6(a_2)$, see Section 3.2.1 and, consequently, $\beta$-unicolored linkage diagrams coincide with these diagrams for $E_6(a_1), E_6(a_2)$, see 6 solutions (3.1) of the inequality (3.3).

3.3.1. The Dynkin diagrams of $E$-type and $D$-type. The relation between Dynkin diagrams of $E$-type and $D$-type is asymmetrical in the following sense: conjugacy classes $D_i$ are contained in $W(E_{i+1})$ for $i = 5, 6, 7$; the reverse inclusions, however, are not true, as we see from the following:

Proposition 3.4. The conjugacy classes $E_i$, where $i = 6, 7, 8$, are not contained in any $W(D_n)$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the statement for $E_6$. Suppose, $E_6$ is contained in $W(D_n)$. Then subset $S = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ from $E_6$ also belongs to $W(D_n)$, see Fig. 8.15. Let us choose the map $U \in W(D_n)$ in such a way that $U$ maps $\alpha_3 \in \Phi(E_6)$ into $\alpha_{\text{max}} \in \Phi(D_n)$. Then $\alpha_1, \alpha_3$ are mapped into $\Phi(D_{n-2}) \oplus \{\tau_1\}$, where $\tau_1$ is a single root from $A_1$, see Fig. 8.15. By Remark 3.1 the isolated root $\alpha_3$ is mapped into the isolated root $\tau_1$. Further, $U$ can be selected in such a way that $U$ maps $\alpha_1$ into $\tau_2$, and $U\alpha_2$, $U\alpha_3$ are not changed. According to [St10 §4.6], $U$ maps $\beta_1$ into $\delta_1$.\]
Then the edge \( \{\beta_1, \alpha_2\} \in E_6 \) is mapped into the edge \( \{\delta_1, \alpha_{\text{max}}\} \in D_n \). One can see that the image \( U\beta_3 \neq U\delta_1 = \delta_1 \) is connected to \( U\alpha_2 = \alpha_{\text{max}}, \) and, consequently, the root \( \delta_1 \) is contained in the support of \( U\beta_3, \) i.e., \( U\beta_3 \not\perp \tau_1. \) This contradicts the orthogonality of their preimages: \( \beta_3 \perp \alpha_3. \) \( \square \)

4. Projection of linkage systems

4.1. Simply extendable Carter diagrams. We say that the Carter diagram \( \Gamma \) is simply extendable in the vertex \( \tau_p \) if the new diagram obtained by the extra vertex \( \tau_{p+1} \) together with the additional connection edge \( \{\tau_p, \tau_{p+1}\} \) is also the Carter diagram. The extra vertex with given property is called simply extendable. We will show that extensibility in the vertex is closely associated with the value the diagonal element \( b_{\tau_p, \tau_p}^\vee \) of the matrix \( B_L^{-1} \), the inverse of the partial Cartan matrix \( B_L \).

**Proposition 4.1.**

1) The determinant of partial Cartan matrix \( B_L \) is as follows:

\[
\det B_L = \begin{cases} 
  l + 1 & \text{for } A_l, \text{ where } l \geq 2; \text{ here, } B_L = B, \\
  4 & \text{for } D_l, \text{ where } l \geq 4, \\
  4 & \text{for } D_l(a_k), \text{ where } l \geq 4.
\end{cases}
\]

2) Let \( b_{\eta, \eta}^\vee \) be diagonal elements of the inverse matrix \( B_L^{-1} \), where

\[
\eta \in \{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{k-1}, \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_{l-k-3}, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2\}, \text{ for } \Gamma = D_l(a_k), \\
\eta \in \{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{l-3}, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2\}, \text{ for } \Gamma = D_l,
\]

see Fig. 4.10 For \( \Gamma = D_l(a_k) \) or \( \Gamma = D_l, \)

\[
b_{\eta, \eta}^\vee = \begin{cases} 
  l/4 & \text{for } \eta = \alpha_2, \text{ or } \eta = \alpha_3, \\
  d & \text{for } \eta \text{ given in (4.1)}.
\end{cases}
\]

Here, \( d - 1 \) is the number of vertices remaining in the chain \( A_{d-1} \) after removing the vertex \( \eta. \)

**Proof.**

1) This statement is easily verified for \( A_1, A_2, D_4 \) and \( D_5. \) By induction,

\[
\det B(A_{l+1}) = 2 \det B(A_l) - \det B(A_{l-1}) = 2(l+1) - l = l + 2, \\
\det B(D_{l+1}) = 2 \det B(D_l) - \det B(D_{l-1}) = 4,
\]

where \( B(A_l) \) (resp. \( B(D_l) \)) is the Cartan matrix for \( A_l \) (resp. \( D_l \)).
The decomposition of \( \det B_L(D_{l+1}(a_k)) \) leads to the matrix \( l \times l \) and another matrix \((l-1) \times (l-1)\) obtained by removing the \( i \)-th line and \( i \)-th column for some \( i \). The matrix \((l-1) \times (l-1)\) corresponds to the Carter diagram either \( D_{l-1}(a_k) \) or \( D_{l-1} \). In both cases the determinant is 4. By induction, we have

\[
\det B_L(D_{l+1}(a_k)) = 2 \det B_L(D_l(a_k)) - \det B_L(D_{l-1}(a_k)) = 4, \quad \text{for } k > 2 \text{ or } (l+1) - k - 2 > 2,
\]

\[
\det B_L(D_{l+1}(a_k)) = 2 \det B_L(D_l(a_k)) - \det B(D_{l-1}) = 4, \quad \text{for } k \leq 2, \text{ and } (l+1) - k - 2 \leq 2.
\]

2) For \( \Gamma = D_l(a_k) \), we have

\[
b^\vee_{\eta, \eta} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\det B_L(D_l(a_k))}{\det B_L(D_{l+1}(a_k))} = \frac{4}{4} = 1, & \text{for } d = 1, \\
\frac{\det B(A_{d-1}) \det B_L(D_{l-d}(a_k))}{\det B_L(D_{l+1}(a_k))} = \frac{d \cdot 4}{4} = d, & \text{for } d > 1,
\end{cases}
\]

(4.3)

For \( \Gamma = D_t \), we have

\[
b^\vee_{\eta, \eta} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\det B(D_t)}{\det B(D_{t+1})} = \frac{4}{4} = 1, & \text{for } d = 1, \\
\frac{\det B(A_{d-1}) \det B(D_{t-d})}{\det B(D_{t+1})} = \frac{d \cdot 4}{4} = d, & \text{for } d > 1,
\end{cases}
\]

(4.4)

\[\Box\]

**Remark 4.2.** For \( D_t(a_k) \), where \( l \geq 8 \), we have \( b^\vee_{\eta, \eta} < 2 \) only for endpoints \( \tau_{k-1} \) and \( \varphi_{l-k-3} \), see Fig. 4.16. For \( D_l \), where \( l \geq 8 \), we have \( b^\vee_{\eta, \eta} < 2 \) only for endpoint \( \tau_{l-3} \), see Fig. 4.16. For \( D_4(a_k) \), \( D_5(a_k) \), \( D_6(a_k) \), \( D_7(a_k) \) and \( D_4 \), \( D_5 \), \( D_6 \), \( D_7 \), we have \( b^\vee_{\eta, \eta} < 2 \) also for \( \eta = \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \).

**Proposition 4.3.** The Carter diagram \( \Gamma \) is simply extendable in the vertex \( \tau_{p} \) if and only if

\[
b^\vee_{\tau_{p}, \tau_{p}} < 2,
\]

(4.5)

where \( b^\vee_{\tau_{p}, \tau_{p}} \) is the diagonal element (corresponding to the vertex \( \tau_{p} \)) of the matrix \( B_L^{1} \).
Proof. This is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.13. Indeed, the linkage labels vector $\gamma^\vee$ corresponding to the simply extendable vertex $\tau_p$ is the vector with the unit in the place $\tau_p$ and zeros in remaining places. Then $B^\vee_L(\gamma^\vee) = b_{\tau_p \tau_p}^\vee$.

$\square$

Figure 4.17. Simply extendable vertices are marked by numerical values, which are the diagonal elements of $B^{-1}_L$. The vertices marked in bold are not simply extendable.

Remark 4.4. 1) Not every Carter diagram is an simple extension of any other Carter diagram. For example, $E_6(a_2)$ and $E_7(a_4)$ are not extension of any Carter diagram.

2) For $l < 8$, Proposition 4.3 can be also checked by means of Tables A.2–A.4. For $l \geq 8$, Proposition 4.3 can be also derived from Proposition 4.1 and Remark 4.2.

4.2. Theorem on projection of linkage systems. Let $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)$ the linkage system associated with the Carter diagram $\Gamma$. In the case, where the Carter diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is an simple extension of other Carter diagram $\Gamma$, we construct the projection of the linkage diagrams $\tilde{\gamma}^\vee \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\Gamma})$ to the linkage diagrams $\gamma^\vee \in \Gamma$.

Theorem 4.5. Let the Carter diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be the simple extension of the Carter diagram $\Gamma$ in the vertex $\tau_p$, such that the vertex $\tau_p$ is connected to the vertex $\tau_{l+1}$. Let $\tilde{\gamma}^\vee$ be a certain linkage diagram for $\tilde{\Gamma}$, and $\gamma^\vee$ be the vector obtained from $\tilde{\gamma}^\vee$ by removing the coordinate $\tau_{l+1}$. If $\gamma^\vee \neq 0$ then $\gamma^\vee$ is the linkage diagram for $\Gamma$.

Proof. Step 1. According to Theorem 2.13 it suffices to prove that

$$\tilde{B}^\vee_L(\tilde{\gamma}^\vee) < 2 \implies B^\vee_L(\gamma^\vee) < 2,$$

where $\tilde{B}^\vee_L$ the inverse quadratic form associated with $\tilde{\Gamma}$. The connection between partial Cartan matrices for $\Gamma$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is as follows:

$$\tilde{B}_L = \begin{pmatrix} B_L & \tilde{v} \\ \tau_p & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \tilde{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \tau_p.$$
According to (2.19), let
\[ \tilde{B}_L^{-1} \gamma = \tilde{\gamma}_L = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi} \\ u \end{pmatrix}, \]
where \( \tilde{\varphi} \) a certain vector of the dimension \( l \), and \( u \) is a rational number. Then
\[ \gamma = B_L \tilde{\gamma}_L = \tilde{B}_L \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B_L \tilde{\varphi} + u \tilde{v} \\ -\varphi_{\tau_p} + 2u \end{pmatrix}, \]
where \( \varphi_{\tau_p} \) is the coordinate \( \tau_p \) of \( \varphi \). From (4.8) and (4.9) we have
\[ \tilde{B}_L(\tilde{\gamma}_L) = \langle B_L^{-1} \gamma, \tilde{\gamma} \rangle = \langle \tilde{\gamma}_L, \tilde{B}_L \tilde{\gamma}_L \rangle = \langle B_L \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi} \rangle - 2\varphi_{\tau_p} u + 2u^2. \]
Thus the property \( \tilde{B}_L(\tilde{\gamma}_L) < 2 \) from (4.6) is equivalent to
\[ \langle B_L \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi} \rangle - 2\varphi_{\tau_p} u + 2u^2 < 2. \]

Step 2. Now, let \( \gamma \) be the vector obtained from \( \tilde{\gamma} \) by removing \( \tau_{l_1+1} \), i.e. \( \tau_{l_1+1} = 0 \) in \( \gamma \). By (4.9) we have
\[ \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = B_L \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{B}_L \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B_L \tilde{\varphi} + u \tilde{v} \\ -\varphi_{\tau_p} + 2u \end{pmatrix}, \]
where \( \tilde{\delta} \) is a ceratin vector of the dimension \( l \).
\[ \tilde{\delta} = B_L^{-1}(B_L \tilde{\varphi} + u \tilde{v}) = \varphi + uB_L^{-1} \tilde{v}. \]
By (4.7), \( B_L^{-1} \tilde{v} = -\tilde{b}_{\tau_p} \), where \( \tilde{b}_{\tau_p} \) is the \( \tau_p \)-th column of \( B_L^{-1} \). Since \( \langle u \tilde{v}, \tilde{\varphi} \rangle = -u \varphi_{\tau_p} \), we have
\[ \begin{align*}
\tilde{B}_L(\gamma) &= \langle \gamma, B_L^{-1} \gamma \rangle = \langle B_L \tilde{\varphi} + u \tilde{v}, \tilde{\varphi} - u \tilde{b}_{\tau_p} \rangle = \\
&= \langle B_L \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi} \rangle - u \varphi_{\tau_p} - \langle B_L \tilde{\varphi}, u \tilde{b}_{\tau_p} \rangle + u^2 \langle \tilde{b}_{\tau_p}, \tilde{\varphi} \rangle_{\tau_p} = \\
&= \langle B_L \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi} \rangle - u \varphi_{\tau_p} - \langle \tilde{\varphi}, B_L \tilde{b}_{\tau_p} \rangle + u^2 \langle \tilde{b}_{\tau_p}, \tilde{\varphi} \rangle_{\tau_p},
\end{align*} \]
where \( \langle \tilde{b}_{\tau_p}, \tilde{\varphi} \rangle_{\tau_p} \) is the \( (\tau_p, \tau_p) \) slot of the matrix \( B_L^{-1} \), i.e.,
\[ \langle B_L \tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\delta} \rangle = \langle B_L \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi} \rangle - u \varphi_{\tau_p} - \langle \tilde{\varphi}, B_L \tilde{b}_{\tau_p} \rangle + u^2 \langle B_L^{-1} \rangle_{\tau_p, \tau_p} = \\
\langle B_L \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi} \rangle - u \varphi_{\tau_p} - \langle \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi} \rangle + u^2 \langle B_L^{-1} \rangle_{\tau_p, \tau_p} = \\
\langle B_L \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi} \rangle - 2u \varphi_{\tau_p} + u^2 \langle B_L^{-1} \rangle_{\tau_p, \tau_p}. \]
Thus the property \( \tilde{B}_L(\gamma) < 2 \) from (4.6) is equivalent to
\[ \langle B_L \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi} \rangle - 2u \varphi_{\tau_p} + u^2 \langle B_L^{-1} \rangle_{\tau_p, \tau_p} < 2. \]
Since \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) is simply extendable in the vertex \( \tau_p \), then by Proposition 4.3 we get \( \langle B_L^{-1} \rangle_{\tau_p, \tau_p} < 2 \). Then inequality (4.16) follows from inequality (4.11) and (4.6) is proven.

Remark 4.6. 1) If \( \gamma \) obtained from \( \tilde{\gamma} \) by removing the coordinate \( \tau_{l_1+1} \) is the linkage diagram for \( \Gamma \), we construct the linkage diagram projection from the linkage system of \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) to the linkage system of \( \Gamma \):
\[ f: \tilde{\gamma} \rightarrow \gamma. \]

2) If \( \gamma \) (resp. \( \tilde{\gamma} \)) belongs to any locket \( L \) (resp. \( \tilde{L} \)) of the linkage system \( \mathcal{L}(\Gamma) \) (resp. \( \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\Gamma}) \)) associated with the Carter diagram \( \Gamma \) (resp. \( \tilde{\Gamma} \)) then the projection (4.17) is extended to the projection of the locket \( \tilde{L} \) onto the locket \( L \), see Fig. 1.6 and Fig. 1.18. It follows from (2.16) and the fact that \( s_{\alpha_i} \), where \( i = 1, 2, 3 \), and \( s_{\beta_i} \) act by the same way on all coordinates of \( \gamma \) and \( \tilde{\gamma} \) except the coordinate \( \tau_{l_1+1} \).
3) Two loctets of $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{\Gamma})$ can be mapped by $f$ onto the same loctet of $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)$. For example, the following pairs of loctets of $D_6(a_1)$ are mapped onto the same loctet of $D_5(a_1)$.

$$f : L^d_{13}, L^c_{13} \rightarrow L^b_{13} \quad (L^d_{13}, L^c_{13} \subset D_6(a_1); L^b_{13} \subset D_5(a_1)),$$

$$f : L^d_{12}, L^c_{12} \rightarrow L^b_{12} \quad (L^d_{12}, L^c_{12} \subset D_6(a_1); L^b_{12} \subset D_5(a_1)),$$

$$f : L^a_{13}, L^b_{13} \rightarrow L^a_{13} \quad (L^a_{13}, L^b_{13} \subset D_6(a_1); L^a_{13} \subset D_5(a_1)),$$

$$f : L^a_{12}, L^b_{12} \rightarrow L^a_{12} \quad (L^a_{12}, L^b_{12} \subset D_6(a_1); L^a_{12} \subset D_5(a_1)),$$

(4.18)

see Fig. 4.18, Fig. B.22 and Fig. B.23

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 4.18.** There are pairs of $D_6(a_1)$ loctets, which are mapped (dotted lines) onto the same $D_5(a_1)$ loctet

4) Let $\tilde{\gamma}^\vee_1$ (resp. $\tilde{\gamma}^\vee_2$) be a linkage diagram of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ such that the coordinate $\tau_{l+1} = 1$ (resp. $\tau_{l+1} = -1$), and all remaining coordinates are zero. Vectors $\tilde{\gamma}^\vee_{1,2}$ constitute 2-element kernel of the projection (4.17). That is why the third component of $D_l(a_k)$ contains by 2 linkage diagrams more than $D_{l-1}(a_k)$, see Fig. 4.18 (they are the top and bottom linkage diagrams in the component).

4.3. The linkage systems $D_l(a_k), D_l$ for $l > 7$. We observe that $D$-type components of linkage systems of $D_5(a_1), D_6(a_1), D_6(a_2), D_7(a_1), D_7(a_2)$, see Fig. B.22, Fig. B.23, Fig. B.24, Fig. B.45 have the same shape. We will show that the linkage systems $D_l(a_k)$, where $l > 7$, are of the same shape which we call the wind rose of linkages, see Fig. B.47. Similarly, $D$-type components of linkage systems of $D_5, D_6, D_7$, see Fig. B.33, Fig. B.37, Fig. B.45 have the same shape. We will show that the linkage system $D_l$, where $l > 7$, are of the same shape, see Fig. B.48.

**Lemma 4.7** (on moving triangles). 1) Let the diagram $\Gamma$ contain the chain $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \ldots\}$, ($\Gamma$ may be $D_l(a_k), D_l, \ldots$) and let $\gamma^\vee$ be such a linkage diagram that only 3 coordinates are non-zero, namely $(\gamma^\vee)_{a_1} \neq 0$ and two other non-zero coordinates together with $\gamma$ constitute a triangle as in Fig. 4.19 (a). Let us consider linkage diagrams obtained by means of sequential transformations as
follows:
\[ \gamma_b = s_{\alpha_k}(\gamma) = \gamma - \alpha_k, \]
\[ \gamma_c = s_{\beta_{k-1}}(\gamma_b) = \gamma - \alpha_k - \beta_{k-1}, \]
\[ \ldots, \]
\[ \gamma_d = s_{\alpha_2}(\gamma_c - \cdots - \beta_2) = \gamma_c - \cdots - \beta_2 - \alpha_2, \]
\[ \gamma_e = s_{\beta_1}(\gamma_c - \cdots - \beta_2 - \alpha_2) = \gamma_c - \cdots - \beta_2 - \alpha_2 - \beta_1. \]
(4.19)

Transformations (4.19) preserves the inverse quadratic form \( \mathcal{B}^\vee \) on the corresponding linkage vectors:
\[ \gamma_a^\vee, \gamma_b^\vee, \gamma_c^\vee, \ldots, \gamma_d^\vee, \gamma_e^\vee. \]
(4.20)

2) By transformations (4.19), the triangle (describing the linkage diagram \( \gamma^\vee \)) is shifted to the left: (a) \( \Rightarrow \) (b) \( \Rightarrow \) (c) \( \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow \) (d), see Fig. 4.19(b),(c),(d). In the last step (d) \( \Rightarrow \) (e), the triangle is eliminated, see Fig. 4.19(e).

3) Linkage diagrams depicted in Fig. 4.19 can not occur for \( \Gamma = D_l(a_k), D_l \).

4) Let \( \gamma^\vee \) be such a linkage diagram for \( \Gamma = D_l(a_k) \) (resp. \( \Gamma = D_l \)) that only 4 coordinates are non-zero, namely, \( (\gamma^\vee)_{\alpha_1} \neq 0 \) and three other non-zero coordinates together with \( \gamma_f \) (resp. \( \gamma_h \)) constitute two triangles as in Fig. 4.20(f) (resp. 4.20(h)). Let us consider linkage diagram obtained by means of transformation \( s_{\beta_1} \) as follows:
\[ \gamma_g = s_{\beta_1}(\gamma_f), \quad \text{(resp.} \quad \gamma_i = s_{\beta_1}(\gamma_h) \))
(4.21)
Transformations (4.21) preserves the inverse quadratic form \( \mathcal{B}^\vee \) on the corresponding linkage vectors:
\[ \gamma_f^\vee, \gamma_g^\vee, \quad \text{(resp.} \quad \gamma_h^\vee, \gamma_i^\vee). \]
(4.22)

Linkage diagrams depicted in Fig. 4.20(f),(g) (resp. Fig. 4.20(h),(i)) can not occur for \( \Gamma = D_l(a_k) \) (resp. \( D_l \)).
Proof. 1) It follows from Proposition 2.8.
2) Let us check (b) ⇒ (c), where $\gamma_c = s_{\beta_{k-1}}(\gamma_b) = \gamma_b - \beta_{k-1}$:

$$
\gamma_c, \alpha_k) = -1 + 1 = 0, \quad (\gamma_c, \beta_{k-1}) = 1 - 2 = -1, \quad (\gamma_c, \alpha_{k-1}) = 0 + 1 = 1,
$$

remaining inner products $(\gamma_c, \tau)$ are not changed. In the case (e), we have $s_{\gamma_c}(\gamma_d) = \gamma_d - \beta_1$, and

$$
(\gamma_c, \alpha_2) = 1 - 1 = 0, \quad (\gamma_c, \beta_1) = 1 - 1 = 0, \quad (\gamma_c, \alpha_1) = 1 - 2 = -1.
$$

remaining inner $(\gamma_c, \tau)$ are not changed.

3) Suppose one of linkage diagrams in Fig. 4.19 occurs for $\Gamma = D_l(a_k), D_l$. Then, in particular, $\gamma_c$ from Fig. 4.19 is the valid linkage diagram for $\Gamma$. Hence, $\Gamma$ has the simple extension in $\beta_1$. According to Proposition 4.3, it can be only if $b_{\beta_1, \beta_1} < 2$. However, by Proposition 4.4, we have $b_{\beta_1, \beta_1} = 2$.

4) Let us check (f) ⇒ (g), where $\gamma_g = s_{\beta_1}(\gamma_f) = \gamma_f - \beta_1$:

$$
(\gamma_g, \alpha_2) = 1 - 1 = 0, \quad (\gamma_g, \alpha_3) = 1 - 1 = 0, \quad (\gamma_g, \beta_1) = 1 - 2 = -1, \quad (\gamma_g, \tau_k) = (\gamma_f, \tau_k) = -1,
$$

remaining inner products $(\gamma_g, \tau)$ are not changed. Thus, we obtain the triangle $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_g\}$, i.e., we come to one of cases in Fig. 4.19. As in heading 3) we get simple extension in $\beta_1$, that can not be.

Proposition 4.8. 1) Four vectors $\gamma^\vee_i (4.23)$ (each of them contains the single non-zero integer number in the slot $i$) are the linkage labels vectors for the $D_l(a_k)$.

$$
\gamma^\vee_{\varphi_{k-1}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^\vee_{\varphi_{l-k-2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^\vee_{\varphi_{l-k-2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^\vee_{\varphi_{l-k-2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.23)
$$

2) Linkage diagrams for the general case $D_l(a_k)$, where $l > 7$, are presented in Fig. B.46. The linkage system $D_l(a_k)$, where $l > 7$, is depicted in Fig. B.47.

3) Linkage diagrams and the linkage system $D_l$ for $l > 7$, are presented in Fig. B.48.

Proof.

1) According to Proposition 4.1 heading 2), for $\gamma^\vee_\tau = \gamma^\vee_{\varphi_{k-1}}, \gamma^\vee_{\varphi_{l-k-2}}$, we have

$$
\bar{B}(\gamma^\vee_\tau) = 1. \quad (4.24)
$$

Eq. (4.24) means that $\bar{B}(\gamma^\vee_\tau) < 2$, and by Theorem 2.13 we get that vectors $\gamma^\vee_\tau$ are linkage labels vectors.

2) Further, we apply $s^*$ as depicted in Fig. B.47. This way we obtain all linkage diagrams in Fig. B.46. The linkage diagrams in Fig. B.46 constitute the linkage system depicted in Fig. B.47. We show that there are no other linkage diagrams, except those which are shown in Fig. B.46. Assume, that the statement already shown for $\Gamma = D_l(a_k)$, and we consider $\Gamma = D_{l+1}(a_k)$ (or, similarly, for $D_l(a_{k+1})$). Let $\tilde{\gamma}^\vee$ be the linkage diagram from the linkage system of $\tilde{\Gamma}$, and $\tilde{\gamma}^\vee$ be the coordinate corresponding to the simply extendable vertex $\tau$ of $\tilde{\Gamma}$, see Remark 4.2. Let us consider two cases $a)\gamma^\vee_\tau = 0$ and $b)\gamma^\vee_\tau \neq 0$.

a) Case $\gamma^\vee_\tau = 0$. Suppose, that the linkage diagram $\tilde{\gamma}^\vee$ is outside of the list of Fig. B.46. Removing the connection $\{\gamma, \tau\}$ does not change the geometric form of $\gamma^\vee$ (since $(\gamma, \tau) = 0$). Therefore, $\gamma^\vee$ is also outside of the list of Fig. B.46. According to Theorem 4.3, we have that $\gamma^\vee$ is the linkage diagram for $\Gamma$, that contradicts to the induction hypothesis, that $\gamma^\vee$ is necessarily from the list of Fig. B.46.

b) Case $\gamma^\vee_\tau \neq 0$. Let us remove the connection $\{\gamma, \tau\}$, we get one of the following cases:

b.1) $\gamma = 0$, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ is simple extension in the vertex $\tau$, $\tilde{\gamma} = \tilde{\gamma}^\vee_{\varphi_{k-1}}$ for the case $\Gamma = D_l(a_{k+1})$, or $\tilde{\gamma} = \tilde{\gamma}^\vee_{\varphi_{l-k-1}}$ for the case $\Gamma = D_{l+1}(a_k)$, see Fig. B.46.
b.2) $\gamma$ is one of triangles in Fig. 3.46. Since $(\gamma, \tau) \neq 0$, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ is one of the cases of Lemma 4.7 and in Fig. 4.19 that can not occur.

b.3) $\gamma$ is one linkage diagrams 3, 4, 5, 6 in in Fig. 3.46. Since $(\gamma, \tau) \neq 0$, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ is the linkage diagram as in Lemma 4.7 heading 4) and Fig. 4.20,(f),(g) that can not occur.

3) We repeat here arguments of the heading 2). In the case b.3), $\tilde{\gamma}$ is the linkage diagram as in Lemma 4.7 heading 4) and Fig. 4.20(h),(i) that can not occur. □
### Appendix A. The inverse matrix $B_L^{-1}$, linkage diagrams $\gamma \setminus (8)$ and inequality $\mathcal{B}_L^\gamma \setminus (8) < 2$

#### A.1. The partial Cartan matrix $B_L$ and the inverse matrix $B_L^{-1}$.

| The Carter diagram | The partial Cartan matrix $B_L$ | The inverse matrix $B_L^{-1}$ |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| $\beta_2$ $\sigma_2$ $\beta_1$  
$\alpha_1$ $\beta_1$ $\alpha_1$  
$D_4(a_1)$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| $\beta_3$ $\sigma_2$ $\beta_2$  
$\alpha_1$ $\beta_1$ $\alpha_1$  
$D_5(a_1) = D_5(a_2)$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 & -1 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 & 10 & 8 & 4 & 5 \\ 5 & 4 & 8 & 10 & 2 & 4 \\ 1 & -1 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 & 4 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| $\beta_3$ $\sigma_2$ $\beta_2$  
$\alpha_1$ $\beta_1$ $\alpha_1$  
$E_6(a_1)$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 0 & 3 & -1 & -2 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 & 3 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & 3 & 0 & 4 & 2 \\ -2 & -1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| $\beta_3$ $\sigma_2$ $\beta_2$  
$\alpha_1$ $\beta_1$ $\alpha_1$  
$E_6(a_2)$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 3 & -1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 3 & 3 & 6 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ |

| The partial Cartan matrix $B_L$ and the inverse matrix $B_L^{-1}$ for Carter diagrams with the number of vertices $l < 7$. |  |  |

**Table A.2.**
| The Carter diagram | The partial Cartan matrix $B_L$ | The inverse matrix $B_L^{-1}$ |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| ![Diagram 1](image1) | $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 8 & 4 & 8 & 10 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 6 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 8 & 4 & 12 & 12 & 4 & 6 & 4 \\ 10 & 6 & 12 & 15 & 3 & 6 & 5 \\ 2 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 & 6 & 6 & 2 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| ![Diagram 2](image2) | $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 4 & 6 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 4 & 8 & 4 & 8 & -2 & 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 & 8 & 8 & 2 & 4 & 2 \\ 6 & 8 & 8 & 12 & 0 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 & -1 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| ![Diagram 3](image3) | $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 & -2 & -2 & 2 \\ 4 & 8 & 0 & 6 & -4 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 4 & 6 & 2 & 7 & -2 & -3 & 1 \\ -2 & -2 & 2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 \\ -2 & -2 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 & 3 & -2 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| ![Diagram 4](image4) | $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 2 & -2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & 0 & 3 & -1 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 3 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| ![Diagram 5](image5) | $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 12 & 6 & 6 & 4 & 12 & 0 & 8 \\ 6 & 7 & 3 & 2 & 8 & -2 & 4 \\ 6 & 3 & 7 & 2 & 8 & 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 8 \\ 12 & 8 & 8 & 4 & 16 & 0 & 8 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 8 & 4 & 4 & 8 & 8 & 0 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| ![Diagram 6](image6) | $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 8 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 4 \\ 4 & 7 & 1 & -2 & 6 & -4 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 & 7 & 2 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 8 & 6 & 6 & 0 & 12 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & -4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 4 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ |

Table A.3. (cont.) The partial Cartan matrix $B_L$ and the inverse matrix $B_L^{-1}$ for Carter diagrams with the number of vertices $l = 7$.
| The Carter diagram | The Cartan matrix $B$ | The inverse matrix $B^{-1}$ |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| \[ a_1 \] \[ a_2 \] \[ a_3 \] \[ a_4 \] \[ a_5 \] \[ a_6 \] \[ a_7 \] | \[
\begin{bmatrix}
 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\
 -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] | \[
\begin{bmatrix}
 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\
 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\
 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\
 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] |

| \[ b_1 \] \[ b_2 \] \[ b_3 \] \[ b_4 \] \[ b_5 \] \[ b_6 \] \[ b_7 \] | \[
\begin{bmatrix}
 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
 -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
 -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] | \[
\begin{bmatrix}
 5 & 4 & 3 & 6 & 2 \\
 4 & 8 & 4 & 8 & 4 \\
 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 2 \\
 6 & 8 & 6 & 12 & 4 \\
 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] |

| \[ c_1 \] \[ c_2 \] \[ c_3 \] \[ c_4 \] \[ c_5 \] \[ c_6 \] \[ c_7 \] \[ c_8 \] \[ c_9 \] \[ c_{10} \] \[ c_{11} \] \[ c_{12} \] \[ c_{13} \] | \[
\begin{bmatrix}
 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
 -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
 -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] | \[
\begin{bmatrix}
 10 & 8 & 6 & 12 & 5 & 4 \\
 8 & 10 & 6 & 12 & 4 & 5 \\
 6 & 6 & 6 & 9 & 3 & 3 \\
 12 & 12 & 9 & 18 & 6 & 6 \\
 5 & 4 & 3 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\
 4 & 5 & 3 & 6 & 2 & 4 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] |

| \[ d_1 \] \[ d_2 \] \[ d_3 \] \[ d_4 \] \[ d_5 \] \[ d_6 \] \[ d_7 \] | \[
\begin{bmatrix}
 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
 -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
 -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] | \[
\begin{bmatrix}
 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\
 3 & 6 & 3 & 2 & 6 & 4 \\
 2 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\
 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
 4 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 8 & 4 \\
 2 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] |

| \[ e_1 \] \[ e_2 \] \[ e_3 \] \[ e_4 \] \[ e_5 \] \[ e_6 \] \[ e_7 \] | \[
\begin{bmatrix}
 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
 -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
 -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] | \[
\begin{bmatrix}
 12 & 12 & 8 & 4 & 16 & 6 & 8 \\
 12 & 15 & 9 & 5 & 18 & 6 & 10 \\
 8 & 9 & 7 & 3 & 12 & 4 & 6 \\
 4 & 5 & 3 & 3 & 6 & 2 & 4 \\
 16 & 18 & 12 & 6 & 24 & 8 & 12 \\
 6 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 8 & 4 & 4 \\
 8 & 10 & 6 & 4 & 12 & 4 & 8 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] |

| \[ f_1 \] \[ f_2 \] \[ f_3 \] \[ f_4 \] \[ f_5 \] \[ f_6 \] \[ f_7 \] | \[
\begin{bmatrix}
 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
 -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
 -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] | \[
\begin{bmatrix}
 7 & 8 & 5 & 4 & 10 & 6 & 2 \\
 8 & 16 & 8 & 8 & 16 & 12 & 4 \\
 5 & 8 & 7 & 4 & 10 & 6 & 2 \\
 4 & 8 & 4 & 8 & 8 & 4 & 4 \\
 10 & 16 & 10 & 8 & 20 & 12 & 4 \\
 6 & 12 & 6 & 8 & 12 & 12 & 4 \\
 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] |

**Table A.4.** (cont.) The Cartan matrix $B$ and the inverse matrix $B^{-1}$ for the conjugacy classes $E_6$, $E_7$, $D_4$, $D_5$, $D_6$, $D_7$
A.2. The linkage diagrams $\gamma_{ij}(8)$ and solutions of inequality $\mathcal{B}_L(\gamma_{ij}(8)) < 2$.

| The Carter diagram | $L_{ij}$ | $\mathcal{B}_L(\gamma_{ij}(8)) = p < 2$ | The linkage diagram $\gamma_{ij}(8)$ | $p$ |
|--------------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----|
| $E_6(a_1)$         | $L_{12}$ | $q = 4(2b_2^3 + b_2b_3 + b_3^2)$ | $\frac{1}{4}(10 + 2(4b_2 + 5b_3) + q) < 2$, or $(b_2 + b_3)^2 + (b_2 + 2)^2 + (b_3 + \frac{3}{2})^2 < \frac{33}{4}$ | $0, 0, 1, 0, 0, -1$ |
|                    |         |                                 | $0, 0, 1, 0, -1, -1$ | $\frac{4}{3}$ |
|                    | $L_{13}$ | $\frac{1}{4}(4 + 2(-b_2 + b_4) + q) < 2$, or $(b_2 + b_3)^2 + (b_2 + \frac{3}{2})^2 + (b_3 + 2)^2 < \frac{9}{4}$ | $0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0$ | $\frac{4}{3}$ |
|                    | $L_{23}$ | $\frac{1}{4}(4 + 2(b_2 + b_3) + q) < 2$, or $(b_2 + b_3)^2 + (b_2 + \frac{3}{2})^2 + (b_3 + 1)^2 < \frac{9}{4}$ | $0, 1, 0, 0, 0, -1$ | $\frac{4}{3}$ |
| $E_6(a_2)$         | $L_{12}$ | $q = 4(2b_2^3 + b_2b_3 + b_3^2)$ | $\frac{1}{4}(6 + 2(3b_2 + 3b_3) + q) < 2$, or $(b_2 + b_3)^2 + (b_2 + 2)^2 + (b_3 + \frac{3}{2})^2 < \frac{9}{4}$ | $0, 0, 1, 0, 0, -1$ |
|                    |         |                                 | $0, 0, 1, 0, 0, -1$ | $\frac{4}{3}$ |
|                    | $L_{13}$ | $\frac{1}{4}(4 + 2(-2b_2 - b_3) + q) < 2$, or $(b_2 + b_3)^2 + (b_2 - 1)^2 + (b_3 - \frac{1}{2})^2 < \frac{9}{4}$ | $0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0$ | $\frac{4}{3}$ |
|                    | $L_{23}$ | $\frac{1}{4}(4 + 2(-b_2 - 2b_3) + q) < 2$, or $(b_2 + b_3)^2 + (b_2 - \frac{3}{2})^2 + (b_3 - 1)^2 < \frac{9}{4}$ | $0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1$ | $\frac{4}{3}$ |
| $E_7(a_1)$         | $L_{12}$ | $q = 3b_2^2 + 3b_2b_3 + 2b_2b_4 + 4b_3b_3 + 2b_3b_4 + 4b_3b_4$ | $\frac{1}{4}(12 + 2(4b_2 + 6b_3 + 4b_4) + q) < 2$, or $(b_2 + b_4 + 1)^2 + 2(b_3 + b_4 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + 2(b_2 + b_3 + \frac{3}{2})^2 < 2$ | $0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0$ |
|                    |         |                                 | $0, 0, 1, 0, -1, -1, 0$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ |
|                    | $L_{13}$ | $\frac{1}{4}(8 + 2(2b_2 + 4b_3 + 4b_4) + q) < 2$, or $(b_2 + b_4 + 1)^2 + 2(b_3 + b_4 + 1)^2 + 2(b_2 + b_3 + 1)^2 < 2$ | $0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ |
|                    | $L_{23}$ | $\frac{1}{4}(8 + 2(2b_2 + 6b_3 + 4b_4) + q) < 2$, or $(b_2 + b_4 + 1)^2 + 2(b_3 + b_4 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + 2(b_2 + b_3 + 1)^2 < 2$ | $0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ |
| $E_7(a_2)$         | $L_{12}$ | $q = 3b_2^2 + 3b_2b_3 + 3b_2b_4 + 2b_2b_4 + 2b_3b_4 + 2b_4b_4$ | $\frac{1}{4}(8 + 2(2b_2 + 4b_3 + 4b_4) + q) < 2$, or $(b_2 + b_4)^2 + (b_3 + b_4)^2 + (b_2 - b_4)^2 + (b_2 + 2)^2$ | $0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0$ |
|                    |         |                                 | $0, 0, 1, 0, 0, -1, 0$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ |
|                    | $L_{13}$ | $\frac{1}{4}(8 + 2(-2b_2 - 2b_3 + 4b_4) + q) < 2$, or $(b_2 + b_3)^2 + (b_3 + b_4)^2 + (b_2 - b_4)^2 + (b_2 - 2)^2$ | $0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ |
|                    |         |                                 | $0, 1, 0, 0, 0, -1, -1$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ |
|                    | $L_{23}$ | $\frac{1}{4}(8 + 2(-2b_2 - 2b_3 + 4b_4) + q) < 2$, or $(b_2 + b_3)^2 + (b_3 + b_4)^2 + (b_2 - b_4)^2 + (b_2 - 2)^2$ | $0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ |
|                    |         |                                 | $0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ |

Table A.5. The linkage diagrams $\gamma_{ij}(8)$ obtained as solutions of inequality $\mathcal{B}_L(\gamma_{ij}(8)) < 2$. 
| The Carter diagram | $L_{ij}$ | $\mathcal{B}_L^j(\gamma_{ij}^v(8)) = p < 2$ | The linkage diagram $\gamma_{ij}^v(8)$ | $p$ |
|-------------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----|
| $E_7(a_3)$        | $L_{12}$ | $q = 4b_2^2 + 3b_1^3 + 3b_2^4 + 4b_2b_3 - 4b_2b_4 - 2b_3b_4$ | $\{0, 0, 1, 0, 0, -1, 0\}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ |
|                   |         | $\frac{1}{2}(4 + 2(2b_2 + 2b_3) + q) < 2$, or | | |
|                   |         | $2(b_2 + b_3 + 1)^2 + (b_3 - b_4)^2 + 2(b_4 - b_2)^2 < 2$ | $\{0, 0, 1, 0, -1, 0, -1\}$ | |
|                   | $L_{13}$ | $\frac{3}{5}(8 + 2(-4b_2 - 2b_3 + 4b_4) + q) < 2$, or | $\{0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1\}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ |
|                   |         | $2(b_2 + b_3 - \frac{1}{2})^2 + (b_3 - b_4 - 1)^2 + 2(b_4 - b_2 + \frac{1}{2})^2 < 2$ | | |
|                   | $L_{23}$ | $\frac{1}{2}(4 + 2(-2b_2 - 2b_3 + 2b_4) + q) < 2$, or | $\{1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1\}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ |
|                   |         | $2(b_2 + b_3 - \frac{1}{2})^2 + (b_3 - b_4 - 1)^2 + 2(b_4 - b_2 + \frac{1}{2})^2 < 2$ | | |
| $E_7(a_4)$        | $L_{12}$ | $q = 3b_2^2 + 3b_1^3 + 3b_2^4 - 2b_2b_3 - 2b_2b_4 - 2b_3b_4$ | $\{0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0\}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ |
|                   |         | $\frac{1}{2}(4 + 2(2b_2 - 2b_3) + q) < 2$, or | | |
|                   |         | $(b_2 - b_3)^2 + (b_3 - b_4)^2 + (b_2 - b_4)^2$ | $\{0, 0, 1, 0, -1, 0\}$ | |
|                   |         | $+(b_2 + 2)^2 + (b_3 - 2)^2 + b_4^2 < 2$ | | |
|                   | $L_{13}$ | $\frac{3}{5}(4 + 2(-2b_2 + 2b_4) + q) < 2$, or | $\{0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1\}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ |
|                   |         | $(b_2 - b_3)^2 + (b_3 - b_4)^2 + (b_2 - b_4)^2$ | | |
|                   |         | $+(b_2 - 2)^2 + b_3^2 + (b_4 + 2)^2 < 2$ | | |
|                   | $L_{23}$ | $\frac{1}{2}(4 + 2(2b_3 - 2b_4) + q) < 2$, or | $\{1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1\}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ |
|                   |         | $(b_2 - b_3)^2 + (b_3 - b_4)^2 + (b_2 - b_4)^2$ | | |
|                   |         | $+b_2^2 + (b_3 + 2)^2 + (b_4 - 2)^2 < 2$ | | |
| $D_5(a_1)$        | $L_{12}$ | $\frac{3}{5}(5 + 2(2b_2 + 4b^2) < 2$, or | $\{0, 0, 1, 0, 0\}$ | $\frac{5}{4}$ |
|                   |         | $(b_2 + \frac{1}{2})^2 < 1$ | | |
|                   | $L_{13}$ | $\frac{3}{5}(5 - 2(2b_2 + 4b^2) < 2$, or | $\{0, 1, 0, 0, 0\}$ | $\frac{5}{4}$ |
|                   |         | $(b_2 - \frac{1}{2})^2 < 1$ | | |
|                   | $L_{23}$ | $\frac{3}{5}(4 + 4b^2) < 2$, or $b_2^2 < 1$ | $\{1, 0, 0, 0, 0\}$ | $1$ |
| $D_6(a_1)$        | $L_{12}$ | $q = 2b_2^2 + 2b_3^2$ | $\{0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0\}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ |
|                   |         | $\frac{3}{5}(3 + 2(b_2 + b_3) + q) < 2$, or | | |
|                   |         | $(b_2 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + (b_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2 < 1$ | | |
|                   | $L_{13}$ | $\frac{3}{5}(3 - 2(b_2 + b_3) + q) < 2$, or | $\{0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0\}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ |
|                   |         | $(b_2 - \frac{1}{2})^2 + (b_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2 < 1$ | | |
|                   | $L_{23}$ | $\frac{3}{5}(4 + 2b_3 + q) < 2$, or $b_2^2 + (b_3 + 1)^2 < 1$ | $\{1, 0, 0, 0, 0\}$ | $1$ |

Table A.6. (cont.) The linkage diagrams $\gamma_{ij}^v(8)$ obtained as solutions of inequality $\mathcal{B}_L^j(\gamma_{ij}^v(8)) < 2$.
The linkage diagram

| $L_{ij}$ | \( B_L^\gamma (\gamma_{ij}^\gamma (8)) = p < 2 \) | The linkage diagram | \( p \) |
|----------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------|
| $L_{12}$ | $q = 2a_1^2 + 4b_2^2 + 4a_1b_2$ | $\frac{1}{2}(3 + 2(a_4 + 2b_2) + q) < 2$, or $\frac{1}{2}(a_4 + 2b_2 + 1)^2 + a_3^2 < 2$ | \{0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0\} |
| $L_{13}$ | $q = 2a_1^2 + 4b_2^2 + 4a_1b_2$ | $\frac{1}{2}(3 + 2(-a_4 - 2b_2) + q) < 2$, or $\frac{1}{2}(a_4 + 2b_2 - 1)^2 + a_3^2 < 2$ | \{0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0\} |
| $L_{23}$ | $q = 4a_1^2 + 4b_2^2 + 8a_2b_3 + 8a_3b_3$ | $\frac{1}{2}(2 + q) < 2$, or $(a_4 + 2b_2)^2 + a_3^2 < 1$ | \{1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\} |

| $D_9(a_2)$ | | | |
| $L_{12}$ | $q = 2a_1^2 + 4b_2^2 + 4a_1b_2$ | $\frac{1}{2}(7 + 2(a_4 + 2b_2) + q) < 2$, or $\frac{1}{2}(a_4 + b_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + (b_2 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + (b_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2 < 1$ | \{0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, -1\} |
| $L_{13}$ | $q = 2a_1^2 + 4b_2^2 + 4a_1b_2$ | $\frac{1}{2}(7 + 2(-a_4 - 2b_2) + q) < 2$, or $\frac{1}{2}(a_4 + b_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + (b_2 - \frac{1}{2})^2 + (b_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2 < 1$ | \{0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, -1\} |
| $L_{23}$ | $q = 2a_1^2 + 4b_2^2 + 4a_1b_2$ | $\frac{1}{2}(12 + 2(a_4 + 8b_3) + q) < 2$, or $\frac{1}{2}(a_4 + b_3 + 1)^2 + (b_3 + 1)^2 + b_2^2 < 1$ | \{0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1\} |

| $D_7(a_1)$ | | | |
| $L_{12}$ | | | |
| $L_{13}$ | | | |
| $L_{23}$ | | | |

Table A.7. (cont.) The linkage diagrams \( \gamma_{ij}^\gamma (8) \) obtained as solutions of inequality \( B_L^\gamma (\gamma_{ij}^\gamma (8)) < 2 \)
| The Carter diagram | $L_{ij}$ | $\mathcal{D}_L^j(\gamma_{ij}^\vee(8)) = p < 2$ | The linkage diagram $\gamma_{ij}^\vee(8)$ | $p$ |
|-------------------|-------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----|
|                   | $L_{12}$ | $q = 4a_4^2 + 8b_2^2 + 4b_3^2 + 8a_3b_2$ | $\frac{1}{4}(7 + 2(2a_4 + 4b_2 + 2b_3) + q) < 2$, or $\frac{1}{4}(a_4 + b_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + (b_2 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + (b_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2 < 1$ | {$0, 0, 1, 1, 0, -1, 0$} | {$0, 0, 1, 1, 0, -1, -1$} | {$0, 0, 1, 0, 0, -1, 0$} | {$0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, -1$} | {$0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0$} | {$0, 0, 1, 0, 0, -1, -1$} | {$0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0, 0$} | {$0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0, -1$} |
| $D_7(a_2)$        | $L_{13}$ | $\frac{1}{4}(7 + 2(-2a_4 - 4b_2 + 2b_3) + q) < 2$, or $\frac{1}{4}(a_4 + b_2 - \frac{1}{2})^2 + (b_2 - \frac{1}{2})^2 + (b_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2 < 1$ | | {$0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0$} | {$0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0$} | {$0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0$} | {$0, 1, 0, -1, 0, 1, 0$} | {$0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1$} | {$0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, -1$} | {$0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, -1$} | {$0, 1, 0, -1, 0, 1, -1$} |
|                   | $L_{23}$ | $\frac{1}{4}(8 + 8b_3 + q) < 2$, or $\frac{1}{4}(a_4 + b_2)^2 + (b_3 + 1)^2 + b_3^2 < 1$ | | {$1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1$} | | | | | | | | |

Table A.8. (cont.) The linkage diagrams $\gamma_{ij}^\vee(8)$ obtained as solutions of inequality $\mathcal{D}_L^j(\gamma_{ij}^\vee(8)) < 2$
| The Carter diagram | \( L_{ij} \) | \( \mathcal{B}^\gamma_L(\gamma^\gamma_{ij}(8)) = p < 2 \) | The linkage diagram \( \gamma^\gamma_{ij}(8) \) | \( p \) |
|-------------------|---------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| \( E_6 \) | \( L_{12} \) | \( q = 4b_2^2 + 4b_2b_3 + 4b_3^2 \) | \( (b_2 + b_3)^2 + (b_2 + \frac{q}{2})^2 + (b_3 + \frac{q}{2})^2 < \frac{q}{2} \) | \( \{0, 0, 1, 0, 0, -1\} \) |
| \( E_6 \) | \( L_{13} \) | \( (6 + 2(3b_2 + 3b_3) + q) < 2, \) or \( \frac{1}{3}(10 + 2(4b_2 + 5b_3) + q) < 2, \) or \( \frac{1}{3}(10 + 2(5b_2 + 4b_3) + q) < 2, \) or \( \frac{1}{3}(10 + 2(5b_2 + 4b_3) + q) < 2, \) or \( (b_2 + b_3)^2 + (b_2 + \frac{q}{2})^2 + (b_3 + \frac{q}{2})^2 < \frac{q}{2} \) | \( \{0, 1, 0, 0, 0, -1\} \) |
| \( E_7 \) | \( L_{12} \) | \( q = 3a_2^2 + 4b_2^2 + 8b_3^2 + 4a_4b_2 + 8b_2a_4 + 8b_2b_3 \) | \( (a_4 + 2b_2 + 2b_3 + 2)^2 + (a_4 + 2b_3 + 1)^2 + a_2^2 < 2 \) | \( \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1\} \) |
| \( E_7 \) | \( L_{13} \) | \( (7 + 2(3a_4 + 4b_2 + 6a_1) + q) < 2, \) or \( (a_4 + 2b_2 + 2b_3 + 2)^2 + (a_4 + 2b_3 + 1)^2 + a_2^2 < 2 \) | \( \{0, 0, 1, 0, 0, -1, -1\} \) |
| \( E_7 \) | \( L_{23} \) | \( q = 3a_2^2 + 4b_2^2 + 8b_3^2 + 4a_4b_2 + 8b_2a_4 + 8b_2b_3 \) | \( (a_4 + 2b_2 + 2b_3 + 2)^2 + (a_4 + 2b_3 + 1)^2 + a_2^2 < 2 \) | \( \{1, 0, 1, 0, -1, 0, -1\} \) |
| \( D_5 \) | \( L_{12} \) | \( q = 4b_2^2 \) | \( (2b_2 + 1)^2 < 4 \) | \( \{0, 0, 1, 0, -1\} \) |
| \( D_5 \) | \( L_{13} \) | \( q = 4b_2^2 + 4b_2a_4 + 2b_3b_4 \) | \( (2b_2 + 1)^2 < 4 \) | \( \{0, 1, 0, 0, 0\} \) |
| \( D_6 \) | \( L_{12} \) | \( q = 4b_2^2 + 4b_2a_4 + 2b_3b_4 \) | \( (2b_2 + a_4 + 1)^2 + a_2^2 < 2 \) | \( \{0, 0, 1, 0, -1\} \) |
| \( D_6 \) | \( L_{13} \) | \( \frac{1}{2}(3 + 2(a_4 + 2b_2) + q) < 2, \) or \( \frac{1}{2}(6 + 2(2a_4 + 4b_2) + q) < 2, \) or \( \frac{1}{2}(3 + 2(a_4 + 2b_2) + q) < 2, \) or \( \frac{1}{2}(6 + 2(2a_4 + 4b_2) + q) < 2, \) or \( (2b_2 + a_4 + 1)^2 + a_2^2 < 2 \) | \( \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0\} \) |
| \( D_6 \) | \( L_{23} \) | \( q = 4b_2^2 + 4b_2a_4 + 2b_3b_4 \) | \( (2b_2 + a_4 + 1)^2 + a_2^2 < 2 \) | \( \{1, 0, 0, 0, 0\} \) | 4/3

Table A.9. (cont.) The linkage diagrams \( \gamma^\gamma_{ij}(8) \) obtained as solutions of inequality \( \mathcal{B}^\gamma_L(\gamma^\gamma_{ij}(8)) < 2 \)
\[
q = 8a_4^2 + 12b_2^2 + 4b_3^2 + 16b_2a_4 + 8b_2b_3 + 8b_3a_4
\]
\[
\frac{1}{4}(7 + 4(2a_4 + 3b_2 + b_3) + q) < 2, \text{ or } (a_4 + b_2 + b_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + (a_4 + b_2 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + (b_2 + \frac{1}{2})^2 < 1
\]

\[
D_7
\]
\[
L_{12}
\]
\[
\frac{1}{4}(16 + 2(8a_4 + 12b_2 + 4b_3) + q) < 2, \text{ or } (a_4 + b_2 + b_3 + 1)^2 + (a_4 + b_2 + 1)^2 + (b_2 + 1)^2 < 1
\]

\[
\frac{1}{4}(7 + 4(2a_4 + 3b_2 + b_3) + q) < 2, \text{ or } (a_4 + b_2 + b_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + (a_4 + b_2 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + (b_2 + \frac{1}{2})^2 < 1
\]

\[
D_7
\]
\[
L_{23}
\]
\[
\frac{1}{4}(16 + 2(8a_4 + 12b_2 + 4b_3) + q) < 2, \text{ or } (a_4 + b_2 + b_3 + 1)^2 + (a_4 + b_2 + 1)^2 + (b_2 + 1)^2 < 1
\]

| The Carter diagram | \( L_{ij} \) | \( \mathcal{B}_L^\gamma(\gamma_{ij}^\gamma(8)) = p < 2 \) | The linkage diagram \( \gamma_{ij}^\gamma(8) \) | \( p \) |
|-------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| \( L_{12} \)     | \( \frac{1}{4}(7 + 4(2a_4 + 3b_2 + b_3) + q) < 2, \text{ or } (a_4 + b_2 + b_3 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + (a_4 + b_2 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + (b_2 + \frac{1}{2})^2 < 1 \) | \{0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0\} | \{0, 0, 1, 0, 0, -1, 1\} | \{0, 0, 1, 1, 0, -1, -1\} | \{0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0, 0\} | \{0, 0, 1, 0, -1, 0\} | \{0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0\} | \{0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1\} | \{0, 0, 1, 0, 0, -1\} | \{0, 0, 1, 0, 0, -1\} |
| \( D_7 \)        | \( \frac{1}{4}(16 + 2(8a_4 + 12b_2 + 4b_3) + q) < 2, \text{ or } (a_4 + b_2 + b_3 + 1)^2 + (a_4 + b_2 + 1)^2 + (b_2 + 1)^2 < 1 \) | \{0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\} | \{0, 1, 0, 0, 0, -1, 0\} | \{0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\} | \{1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\} | \{1, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 1\} | \{1, 0, 0, 1, 0, -1, -1\} | \{1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\} | \{1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0\} | \{1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\} |

Table A.10. (cont.) The linkage diagrams \( \gamma_{ij}^\gamma(8) \) obtained as solutions of inequality \( \mathcal{B}_L^\gamma(\gamma_{ij}^\gamma(8)) < 2 \).
A.3. $\beta$-unicolored linkage diagrams. Solutions of inequality $\mathcal{B}_L(\gamma^\vee) < 2$.

| Diagram | $\mathcal{B}_L(\gamma^\vee) = p < 2$, $\gamma^\vee$ - $\beta$-unicolored linkage diagram | $p$ |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| $E_6(a_1), E_6(a_2)$ | $\frac{1}{4}(4b_2^2 + 4b_3^2 + 4b_2b_3) < 2$, or $(b_2 + b_3)^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2 < 3$ | $\frac{4}{7}$ |
| $\{0,0,0,0,0,1\}$ | $\{0,0,0,0,0,-1\}$ |
| $\{0,0,0,0,1,0\}$ | $\{0,0,0,0,-1,0\}$ |
| $\{0,0,0,0,1,-1\}$ | $\{0,0,0,0,-1,1\}$ |
| $E_7(a_1)$ | $\frac{1}{7}(3b_2^2 + 4b_3^2 + 3b_2b_3 + 2b_2b_4 + 4b_3b_4) < 2$, or $2(b_2 + b_3)^2 + 2(b_3 + b_4)^2 + (b_2 + b_4)^2 < 4$ | $\frac{3}{7}$ |
| $\{0,0,0,0,1,-1,0\}$ | $\{0,0,0,0,-1,1,0\}$ |
| $\{0,0,0,0,1,0\}$ | $\{0,0,0,0,-1,1\}$ |
| $\{0,0,0,0,0,1\}$ | $\{0,0,0,0,0,-1\}$ |
| $\{0,0,0,0,1,0,0\}$ | $\{0,0,0,0,-1,0,0\}$ |
| $E_7(a_2)$ | $\frac{1}{7}(3b_2^2 + 3b_3^2 + 3b_2b_3 + 2b_2b_4 - 2b_3b_4) < 2$, or $(b_2 + b_3)^2 + (b_2 - b_4)^2 + (b_3 + b_4)^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2 + b_4^2 < 4$ | $\frac{3}{7}$ |
| $\{0,0,0,0,0,1,0\}$ | $\{0,0,0,0,-1,0,0\}$ |
| $\{0,0,0,0,0,1,0\}$ | $\{0,0,0,0,0,1\}$ |
| $\{0,0,0,0,0,1,1\}$ | $\{0,0,0,0,0,-1,1\}$ |
| $E_7(a_3)$ | $\frac{1}{7}(4b_2^2 + 3b_3^2 + 3b_2b_3 - 4b_2b_4 - 2b_3b_4) < 2$, or $2(b_2 + b_3)^2 + 2(b_2 - b_4)^2 + (b_3 - b_4)^2 < 4$ | $\frac{3}{7}$ |
| $\{0,0,0,0,0,1,0\}$ | $\{0,0,0,0,0,-1,0\}$ |
| $\{0,0,0,0,0,1,0\}$ | $\{0,0,0,0,0,1\}$ |
| $\{0,0,0,0,1,0,1\}$ | $\{0,0,0,0,-1,0,-1\}$ |
| $\{0,0,0,0,1,0,1\}$ | $\{0,0,0,0,0,-1\}$ |
| $E_7(a_4)$ | $\frac{1}{7}(3b_2^2 + 4b_3^2 + 3b_2b_3 - 2b_2b_4 - 2b_3b_4) < 2$, or $(b_2 - b_3)^2 + (b_2 - b_4)^2 + (b_3 - b_4)^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2 + b_4^2 < 4$ | $\frac{3}{7}$ |
| $\{0,0,0,0,0,1,0\}$ | $\{0,0,0,0,0,-1,0\}$ |
| $\{0,0,0,0,0,1,0\}$ | $\{0,0,0,0,0,-1\}$ |
| $\{0,0,0,0,0,1,1\}$ | $\{0,0,0,0,0,-1,1\}$ |

Table A.11. (cont.) $\beta$-unicolored linkage diagrams obtained as solutions of inequality $\mathcal{B}_L(\gamma^\vee) < 2$
\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Diagram & $\mathscr{B}_L^{\gamma}(\gamma^{\vee}) = p < 2,$ & $p$ & $\gamma^{\vee}$ - $\beta$-unicolored linkage diagram \\
\hline
$D_5(a_1)$ & $\frac{1}{4}(4b_2^2) < 2,$ & 1 & $\text{or } b_2^2 < 2$ \\
& $\{0, 0, 0, 0, 1\}$ & $\{0, 0, 0, 0, -1\}$ & \\
\hline
$D_6(a_1)$ & $\frac{1}{2}(2b_2^2 + 2b_3^2) < 2,$ & 1 & $\text{or } b_2^2 + b_3^2 < 2$ \\
& $\{0, 0, 0, 0, 1\}$ & $\{0, 0, 0, 0, -1\}$ & $\{0, 0, 0, 0, 1\}$ & $\{0, 0, 0, 0, -1\}$ \\
\hline
$D_6(a_2)$ & $\frac{1}{2}(2a_4^2 + 4b_2^2 + 4b_3^2 + 4a_4b_2) < 2,$ & 1 & $\text{or } 2b_2^2 + b_3^2 + (a_4 + b_2)^2 < 2$ \\
& $\{0, 0, 1, 0, 0\}$ & $\{0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0\}$ & $\{0, 0, 1, 0, -1\}$ & $\{0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 1\}$ \\
\hline
$D_7(a_1)$ & $\frac{1}{4}(4a_4^2 + 4b_2^2 + 8b_3^2 + 8a_4b_3) < 2,$ & 1 & $\text{or } b_2^2 + b_3^2 + (a_4 + b_3)^2 < 2$ \\
& $\{0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0\}$ & $\{0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0, 0\}$ & $\{0, 0, 1, 0, 0, -1\}$ & $\{0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0, 1\}$ \\
\hline
$D_7(a_2)$ & $\frac{1}{4}(4a_4^2 + 8b_2^2 + 4b_3^2 + 8a_4b_2) < 2,$ & 1 & $\text{or } b_2^2 + b_3^2 + (a_4 + b_2)^2 < 2$ \\
& $\{0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0\}$ & $\{0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0, 0\}$ & $\{0, 0, 1, 0, 0, -1\}$ & $\{0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0, 1\}$ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{(cont.) $\beta$-unicolored linkage diagrams obtained as solutions of inequality $\mathscr{B}_L^{\gamma}(\gamma^{\vee}) < 2$}
\end{table}
### Table A.13.

(continued) \( \beta \)-unicolored linkage diagrams obtained as solutions of inequality \( \mathcal{B}_L^\gamma(\gamma^\vee) < 2 \)

| Diagram | \( \mathcal{B}_L^\gamma(\gamma^\vee) = p < 2, \) \( \gamma^\vee \) - \( \beta \)-unicolored linkage diagram | \( p \) |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| \( E_6 \) | \( \frac{1}{4}(4b_2^2 + 4b_3^2 + 4b_2b_3) < 2, \) or \( (b_2 + b_3)^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2 < 3 \) (coincide with \( E_6(a_1), E_6(a_2) \)) | \( \frac{3}{4} \) |
| \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1\} | \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1\} |
| \{0, 0, 0, 1, 0\} | \{0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0\} |
| \{0, 0, 0, 1, 0, -1\} | \{0, 0, 0, 1, 0, -1\} |
| \{0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1\} | \{0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1\} |
| \( E_7 \) | \( \frac{1}{4}(3a_2^2 + 4b_2^2 + 8b_2b_3 + 4a_4b_2 + 8a_4b_3) < 2, \) or \( (a_4 + 2b_2 + 2b_3)^2 + (a_4 + 2b_2)^2 + a_4^2 < 4 \) | \( \frac{3}{4} \) |
| \{0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0\} | \{0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0\} |
| \{0, 0, 0, 1, 0, -1\} | \{0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 1\} |
| \{0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1\} | \{0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 1\} |
| \{0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, -1\} | \{0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1\} |
| \( D_5 \) | \( \frac{1}{4}(4b_2^2) < 2, \) or \( b_2^2 < 2 \) | 1 |
| \{0, 0, 0, 0, 1\} | \{0, 0, 0, 0, -1\} |
| \( D_6 \) | \( \frac{1}{4}(2a_2^2 + 4b_2^2 + 4a_4b_2) < 2, \) or \( (2b_2 + a_4)^2 + a_4^2 < 2 \) | 1 |
| \{0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0\} | \{0, 0, 0, 1, 0, -1\} |
| \{0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0\} | \{0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 1\} |
| \( D_7 \) | \( \frac{1}{4}(8a_2^2 + 16a_4b_2 + 8b_3a_4 + 12b_2^2 + 8b_2b_3 + 4b_2^2) < 2, \) or \( (a_4 + b_2 + b_3)^2 + (a_4 + b_2)^2 + b_3^2 < 2 \) | 1 |
| \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1\} | \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1\} |
| \{0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 1\} | \{0, 0, 0, -1, 0, -1\} |
| \{0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0\} | \{0, 0, 0, 1, 0, -1\} |
| \{0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0\} | \{0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0\} |
A.4. Linkage diagrams $\gamma_0^\alpha(6)$ per loctets and components.

| Diagram | Comp. | Linkage diagrams $\gamma_0^\alpha(6)$ for the loctet of type $L_{ij}$ |
|---------|-------|-------------------------------------------------|
|         |       | Type $L_{12} : (1, 1, 0, -1, ...,)$ | Type $L_{13} : (1, 0, 1, -1, ...,)$ | Type $L_{23} : (0, 1, 1, -1, ...)$ |
| $D_5(a_1)$ | 1 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,-1,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^a$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,-1,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^a$ | - |
|          | 2 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,-1,1 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^b$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,-1,-1 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^b$ | - |
|          | 3 | - | - | - |
| $D_6(a_1)$ | 1 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,-1,1,-1 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^a$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,-1,-1,-1 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^a$ | - |
|          | 2 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,-1,0,-1 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^b$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,-1,0,-1 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^b$ | - |
|          | 3 | - | - | - |
| $D_6(a_2)$ | 1 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,0,-1,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^a$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,-1,-1,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^a$ | - |
|          | 2 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,0,-1,1 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^b$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,1,-1,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^b$ | - |
|          | 3 | - | - | - |
| $l(\alpha) = 4$ | 1 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,-1,1,-1 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^a$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,-1,-1,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^a$ | - |
|          | 2 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,-1,0,-1 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^b$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,1,-1,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^b$ | - |
| $E_6(a_1)$ | 1 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,-1,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^a$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,-1,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^a$ | - |
|          | 2 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,-1,1,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^b$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,1,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^b$ | - |
| $E_6(a_2)$ | 1 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,-1,1 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^a$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,-1,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^a$ | - |
|          | 2 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,-1,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^b$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,1 \end{pmatrix} L_{13}^b$ | - |
| $E_7(a_1)$ | 1 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,-1,1,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^a$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,-1,1,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^a$ | - |
|          | 2 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,-1,1,0,1 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^b$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,-1,1,0,1 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^b$ | - |
| $E_7(a_2)$ | 1 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,-1,0,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^a$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,-1,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^a$ | - |
|          | 2 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,-1,0,0,1 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^b$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,-1,0,1 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^b$ | - |
| $E_7(a_3)$ | 1 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,-1,0,0,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^a$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,-1,0,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^a$ | - |
|          | 2 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,-1,0,0,0,1 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^b$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,-1,0,1,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^b$ | - |
| $E_7(a_4)$ | 1 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,-1,0,0,1 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^a$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,-1,0,0,1 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^a$ | - |
|          | 2 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,-1,0,0,1,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^b$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,-1,0,0,1 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^b$ | - |
| $D_7(a_1)$ | 1 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,1,-1,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^a$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,1,-1,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^a$ | - |
|          | 2 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,1,-1,0,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^b$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,1,-1,0,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^b$ | - |
|          | 3 | - | - | - |
| $l(\alpha) = 4$ | 1 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,1,-1,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^a$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,1,-1,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^a$ | - |
|          | 2 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,0,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^b$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,1,0,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^b$ | - |
|          | 3 | - | - | - |
| $D_7(a_2)$ | 1 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,0,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^a$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,1,0,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^a$ | - |
|          | 2 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,1,0,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^b$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,1,1,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^b$ | - |
|          | 3 | - | - | - |
| $l(\alpha) = 4$ | 1 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,0,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^a$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,1,0,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^a$ | - |
|          | 2 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,0,1,0,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{12}^b$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 1,0,1,1,1,0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ $L_{13}^b$ | - |
|          | 3 | - | - | - |

Table A.14. Linkage diagrams $\gamma_0^\alpha(6)$ for the Carter diagrams from the class $C_4$, $n < 8$. For $D_6(a_2), D_7(a_1), D_7(a_2)$, the length of the $\alpha$-set is 4
APPENDIX B. The linkage systems for the Carter diagrams

The linkage systems are similar to the weight systems (= weight diagrams) of the irreducible representations of the semisimple Lie algebras.

B.1. The linkage systems $D_4(a_1), D_5(a_1), D_6(a_1), D_6(a_2)$.

Figure B.21. Three components of the linkage system $D_4(a_1)$. There are 24 linkage diagrams in the case $D_4(a_1)$.
Figure B.22. The linkage system $D_5(a_1)$. There are one component of the $D$-type containing 10 linkage diagrams, and two components of the $E$-type containing $2 \times 16 = 32$ elements.
Figure B.23. The linkage system $D_6(a_1)$. There are 12 linkage diagrams, 1 loctet in the single $D$-type component, and $2 \times 32 = 64$ linkage diagrams, 8 loctets in two $E$-type components.
Figure B.24. The linkage system $D_6(a_2)$. There are 12 linkages, 1 loctet in the single $D$-type component, and $2 \times 32 = 64$ linkages, 8 loctets in two $E$-type components.
B.2. The linkage systems $E_6(a_1)$, $E_6(a_2)$, $E_7(a_1)$, $E_7(a_2)$, $E_7(a_3)$, $E_7(a_4)$.

Figure B.25. The linkage system $E_6(a_1)$, two components, 54 linkage diagrams, 6 loctets
Figure B.26. The linkage system $E_6(a_2)$, two components, 54 linkage diagrams, 6 loctets
Figure B.27. The linkage system $E_7(a_1)$, one component, 56 linkage diagrams, 6 loctets.
Figure B.28. The linkage system $E_7(a_2)$, one component, 56 linkage diagrams, 6 loctets
Figure B.29. The linkage system $E_7(\alpha_3)$, one component, 56 linkage diagrams, 6 loclets
Figure B.30. The linkage system $E_7(a_4)$, one component, 56 linkage diagrams, 6 loctets
B.3. The linkage systems and weight systems for $E_6$, $E_7$, $D_5$, $D_6$.

Figure B.31. The linkage system $E_6$, two components, each of which contains 27 elements

Figure B.32. The weight systems (= weight diagrams) of the fundamental representations $27$ and $\overline{27}$ of the semisimple Lie algebra $E_6$
Figure B.33. The linkage system $E_7$, one component, 56 elements
Figure B.34. Loctets in the weight system of the fundamental representations of $E_7$.
Figure B.35. The linkage system $D_5$. There are one component of the $D$-type containing 10 linkage diagrams and two components of the $E$-type containing $2 \times 16 = 32$ elements.

Figure B.36. Loctets in the weight system for 3 fundamental representations of $D_5$: $(D_5, \mathfrak{w}_1)$, $(D_5, \mathfrak{w}_4)$ and $(D_5, \mathfrak{w}_5)$.
Figure B.37. The linkage system $D_6$, one $D$-type component containing 12 elements and two components of the $E$-type containing $2 \times 32 = 64$ elements

Figure B.38. Loctets in the weight system for 3 fundamental representations of $D_6$: $(D_6, \omega_1)$, $(D_6, \omega_5)$ and $(D_6, \omega_6)$
B.4. The linkage systems $D_7(a_1)$, $D_7(a_2)$, $D_7$.

**Figure B.39.** The linkage system $D_7(a_1)$, 1st $E$-type component, 64 linkage diagrams, 8 loctets
Figure B.40. $D_7(a_1)$, second $E$-type component: 64 linkages, 8 loctets
Figure B.41. The linkage system $D_7(a_2)$, 1st $E$-type component, 64 linkage diagrams, 8 loctets
Figure B.42. The linkage system $D_7(a_2)$, 2nd $E$-type component, 64 linkage diagrams, 8 loctets
Figure B.43. The first component of the linkage system $D_7$, 64 linkages.
Figure B.44. The second component of the linkage system $D_7$, 64 linkages
Figure B.45. The D-type component for linkage systems $D_7, D_7(a_1), D_7(a_2)$
B.5. The linkage systems $D_l(a_k)$, $D_l$, $A_l$.

![Diagram showing linkage systems $D_l(a_k)$ for $l > 7$, 1 loctet, 2$l$ linkage diagrams](image)

Figure B.46. $D_l(a_k)$ for $l > 7$, 1 loctet, 2$l$ linkage diagrams
Figure B.47. The linkage system $D_I(a_k)$ for $l > 7$ (wind rose of linkages)
Figure B.48. The linkage system $D_l$ for $l > 7$, 2l linkages, 1 loctet
Figure B.49. The linkage system $D_4$, 24 linkages, 3 loctets
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B.32 The weight systems of $27$ and $\overline{27}$ of the semisimple Lie algebra $E_6$.  
B.33 The linkage system $E_7$, one component, 56 elements.  
B.34 Loctets in the weight system of the fundamental representations $56$ of $E_7$.  
B.35 Linkage system for $D_5$, 3 components, $10+2x16$ linkages.  
B.36 Loctets in the weight system for representations $(D_5, \overline{1})$, $(D_5, \overline{4})$ and $(D_5, \overline{5})$.  
B.37 Linkage system for $D_6$, 3 components, $12+2x32$ linkages.  
B.38 Loctets in the weight system for representations $(D_6, \overline{1})$, $(D_6, \overline{3})$ and $(D_6, \overline{5})$.  
B.39 The linkage system $D_7(a_1)$, first component of $E$-type.
B.40 $D_7(a_1)$, second $E$-type component: 64 linkages, 8 loctets
B.41 The linkage system $D_7(a_2)$, 1st $E$-type component, 64 linkage diagrams, 8 loctets
B.42 The linkage system $D_7(a_2)$, 2nd $E$-type component, 64 linkage diagrams, 8 loctets
B.43 The first component of the linkage system $D_7$, 64 linkages
B.44 The second component of the linkage system $D_7$, 64 linkages
B.45 The D-type component for linkage systems $D_7$, $D_7(a_1)$, $D_7(a_2)$: every D-type components contains 14 linkages
B.46 $D_l(a_k)$ for $l > 7$, 1 loctet, 2$l$ linkage diagrams
B.47 The linkage system $D_l(a_k)$ for $l > 7$ (wind rose of linkages)
B.48 The linkage system $D_l$ for $l > 7$, 2$l$ linkages, 1 loctet
B.49 The linkage system $D_4$, 24 linkages, 3 loctets
List of Notations

$W$ the Weyl group associated with a Dynkin diagram

$w = w_1 w_2$ the bicolored decomposition of $w \in W; w_1, w_2$ - involutions

$\Gamma$ the Carter diagram associated with the bicolored decomposition of $w$

$\text{DE4}$ the class of simply-laced Dynkin diagrams containing $D_4$ as subdiagram

$\text{C4}$ the class of simply-laced connected Carter diagrams containing $D_4(a_1)$ as subdiagram

$\Phi$ the root system associated with the Weyl group $W$

$\Pi$ the set of all simple roots of the root system $\Phi$

$E$ the linear subspace spanned by the root system $\Phi$

$\Pi_w$ the root subset (containing not necessary simple roots) associated with $w \in W$

$\Pi_w(\theta)$ the root subset obtained from $\Pi_w$ by adding some $\theta \in \Phi$ linearly independent of $\Pi_w$

$L$ the linear subspace spanned by the root subset $\Pi_w$

$B$ the Cartan matrix associated with the Dynkin diagram $\Gamma$

$B_L$ the partial Cartan matrix associated with the Carter diagram $\Gamma$

$(\cdot, \cdot)$ the symmetric bilinear form associated with $B$

$(\cdot, \cdot)_L$ the symmetric bilinear form associated with $B_L$

$\mathcal{B}$ the quadratic Tits form associated with $B$

$\mathcal{B}_L$ the quadratic form associated with $B_L$

$B_L^{-1}$ the inverse of for the partial Cartan matrix $B_L$

$\mathcal{B}_L^{-1}$ the inverse quadratic form associated with $B_L$

$W_L$ the partial Weyl group associated with the Carter diagram $\Gamma$

$W_L(\theta)$ the subgroup of the Weyl group $W$ generated by $W_L$ and $s_\theta$

$\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)$ the linkage system associated with the Carter diagram $\Gamma$

$\Phi_w(\theta)$ the root subset is the orbit of the action of $W_L(\theta)$ on $\Pi_w(\theta)$

$W_L^\vee$ the dual partial Weyl group associated with the Carter diagram $\Gamma$

$\alpha_i, \beta_j$ the roots in the bicolored notation

$\gamma$ the linkage or $\gamma$-linkage

$\gamma^\vee$ the linkage diagram, or the linkage labels

$\gamma^\vee(n)$ the $n$-th linkage diagram of the loctet (in the vertical numbering ($n = 1, \ldots, 8$))

$\gamma^\vee(8)$ the eighth linkage diagram of the loctet

$L_{ij}$ the loctet ($=$ linkage octet) of type $ij \in \{12, 13, 23\}$

$\alpha$-set the subset of roots corresponding to $w_1$ in the bicolored decomposition

$\beta$-set the subset of roots corresponding to $w_2$ in the bicolored decomposition

$\alpha$-label any coordinate from $\alpha$-set of the linkage labels vector

$\beta$-label any coordinate from $\beta$-set of the linkage labels vector

$k$ the number of coordinates in $\alpha$-set ($=$ number of $\alpha$-labels)

$h$ the number of coordinates in $\beta$-set ($=$ number of $\beta$-labels)

$l$ the number of vertices in the Carter diagram $\Gamma$, $l = k + h$
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