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Abstract:
Following the approach of Gromov and Witten [4, 22], we define invariants under deformation of strongly semipositive real symplectic six-manifolds. These invariants provide lower bounds in real enumerative geometry, namely for the number of real rational $J$-holomorphic curves which realize a given homology class and pass through a given real configuration of points.

Introduction

A smooth compact symplectic manifold $(X, \omega)$ of dimension $2n$ is said to be semipositive as soon as for every spherical class $d \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $|\omega|d > 0$, the implication $c_1(X)d \geq 3 - n \implies c_1(X)d \geq 0$ holds. These manifolds provide a favourable framework to define genus zero Gromov-Witten invariants (see [11]) and in particular these invariants are enumerative. We will assume throughout the paper that the manifold $(X, \omega)$ is strongly semipositive, by which we mean that for every spherical class $d \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $|\omega|d > 0$, the implication $c_1(X)d \geq 2 - n \implies c_1(X)d \geq 1$ holds (see Remark 4.4). An important source of examples are smooth projective Fano manifolds. The manifold is said to be real when it is equipped with an antisymplectic involution, that is an involution $c_X$ such that $c_X^* \omega = -\omega$. We denote by $\mathbb{R}X$ the fixed locus of this involution and by $\mathbb{R}J_\omega$ the set of almost complex structures of $X$ of finite regularity $C^l$, $l \gg 1$, which are tamed by $\omega$ and for which $c_X$ is $J$-antiholomorphic. In this context, one can count the number of real rational $J$-holomorphic curves which realize a given homology class $d \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ and pass through some given real configuration of points, under the assumptions that $J$ is generic and this number finite. However, this number does depend in general on the choice of the almost complex structure $J$ or the configuration of points, basically because the field of real numbers is not algebraically closed. In [18], [19], a way of counting these real rational $J$-holomorphic curves with respect to some sign $\pm 1$ has been introduced in order to define an integer which neither depends on the choice of $J \in \mathbb{R}J_\omega$ nor on the choice of the points. This integer is invariant under deformation of the real symplectic manifold, but has been defined only in dimension four. The integer $\pm 1$ depended on the parity of the number of real isolated double points of the real rational $J$-holomorphic curves which were counted. These curves are indeed singular in general in this dimension. The question appeared then whether it was possible to obtain similar results in higher dimensional real
symplectic manifolds. A partial answer to this question was obtained in [20] where a way to
count such real rational curves in real algebraic convex 3-manifolds has been introduced. The
sign $\pm 1$ depended then on some spinor state of the real rational curve which was first defined
and which required the choice of a $Pin_3$ structure on the real locus $\mathbb{R}X$. The integers thus
defined were invariants under isomorphism of real algebraic convex 3-manifolds. However, as
was pointed out in [20], very few such convex 3-manifolds indeed have non trivial genus zero
Gromov-Witten invariants, namely $\mathbb{C}P^3$, $\mathbb{C}P^2 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$, $(\mathbb{C}P^1)^3$, $Fl(\mathbb{C}^3)$ and the quadric in $\mathbb{C}P^4$
for the ones I am aware of. The aim of this work is to build such integer valued invariants
for any strongly semipositive real symplectic six-manifolds, see Theorem 4.1. These integers
are obtained by counting the number of real rational $J$-holomorphic curves which realize a
given homology class and pass through a given real configuration of points with respect to
some spinor state in $\{\pm 1\}$ that we first define, see §§1.2.2 and 3.2 They are invariant under
strongly semipositive deformation of the real symplectic six-manifold. This means that if $\omega_t$
is a continuous family of strongly semipositive symplectic forms on $X$ for which $c_X^* \omega_t = -\omega_t$,
then these invariants are the same for all triples $(X, \omega_t, c_X)$. Moreover, they provide lower
bounds in real enumerative geometry, namely for the number of real rational $J$-holomorphic
curves which realize a given homology class and pass through a given real configuration
of points, see Corollary 4.3. In a first part of this paper, we define spinor states for real
rational curves in real algebraic convex manifolds of any dimension. In order to define the
spinor states of such real rational $J$-holomorphic curves, it is necessary here to make some
topological assumption on the real locus of the manifolds, basically that its second Stiefel-
Whitney class either vanishes or equals the square of the first Stiefel-Whitney class, see §1.2.1
for the exact hypothesis and Remark 4.4 for a comment on these hypothesis. We then extend
this definition to real rational curves of strongly semipositive real symplectic manifolds and
define the invariants in dimension six.
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1 Preliminaries

1.1 Moduli space of genus zero stable maps

Let \((X, c_X)\) be a smooth real algebraic convex manifold of complex dimension \(n \geq 3\), that is a smooth real projective manifold such that for every morphism \(u : \mathbb{C}P^1 \to X\), the vanishing \(H^1(\mathbb{C}P^1; u^*TX) = 0\) occurs. Denote by \(\mathbb{R}X = \text{fix}(c_X)\) its real locus, which we assume to be nonempty. Let \(d \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})\) be such that \((c_X)_*d = -d\) and \(1/(c_X(d-2))\). We set \(k_d = \frac{1}{n-1}(c_X(d-2)) + 1\). Note that \(k_d \in \mathbb{N}^*\) as soon as \(d\) is realized by some rational curve, see Lemma 11 of [3]. Denote by \(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^d_{k_d}(X)\) the space of genus zero stable maps of \(X\) which realize \(d\) and have \(k_d\) marked points. Let \(\text{ev}^d : \overline{\mathcal{M}}^d_{k_d}(X) \to X^{k_d}\) be the evaluation map.

Note that \(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}^d_{k_d}(X) = c_1(X)d + n - 3 + k_d = nk_d\) so that \(\text{ev}^d\) is a morphism between projective manifolds of the same dimension. Let \(\tau \in \sigma_{k_d}\) be such that \(\tau^2 = id\). Following §1.1 of [20], we denote by \(\tau : (x_1, \ldots, x_{k_d}) \in X^{k_d} \mapsto (c_X(x_{\tau(1)}), \ldots, c_X(x_{\tau(k_d)}))\) the associated real structure on \(X^{k_d}\). In the same way, denote by \(\tau_{\mathcal{M}_{k_d}}\) the real structure of \(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^d_{k_d}(X)\) induced by \(\tau_{\mathcal{M}_{k_d}} : (u, z_1, \ldots, z_{k_d}) \in \text{Mor}_d(X) \times (\mathbb{C}P^1)^{k_d} \mapsto (c_X \circ u \circ \text{conj}, \text{conj}(z_{\tau(1)}), \ldots, \text{conj}(z_{\tau(k_d)})) \in \text{Mor}_d(X) \times (\mathbb{C}P^1)^{k_d}\), where conj is the standard complex conjugation of \(\mathbb{C}P^1\) and \(\text{Mor}_d(X) = \{ u : \mathbb{C}P^1 \to X | u_*[\mathbb{C}P^1] = d \}\) (see Theorem 1.1 of [20]). Denote by \(\mathbb{R}X^{k_d} = \text{fix}(\tau)\) and \(\mathbb{R}_{\tau_{\mathcal{M}_{k_d}}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}^d_{k_d}(X) = \text{fix}(\tau_{\mathcal{M}_{k_d}})\) the real loci of these spaces. The evaluation morphism restricts to \(\mathbb{R}_{\tau_{\mathcal{M}_{k_d}}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}^d_{k_d}(X) \to \mathbb{R}X^{k_d}\). Finally, denote by \(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^d_{k_d}(X)^*\) (resp. \(\mathbb{R}_{\tau_{\mathcal{M}_{k_d}}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}^d_{k_d}(X)^*\) the subspace of simple stable maps of \(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^d_{k_d}(X)\) (resp. \(\mathbb{R}_{\tau_{\mathcal{M}_{k_d}}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}^d_{k_d}(X)\)), it is contained in the smooth locus of \(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^d_{k_d}(X)\), see [3]. Note that the singular locus of \(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^d_{k_d}(X)\) is of codimension greater that one and will play no rôle in this paper.

**Theorem 1.1** Let \((X, c_X)\) be a smooth real algebraic convex manifold of complex dimension \(n \geq 3\).

1. The divisor \(\text{Red} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}^d_{k_d}(X)^* \setminus \mathcal{M}^d_{k_d}(X)^*\) has normal crossings.

2. Let \((u, C, z) \in \mathcal{M}^d_{k_d}(X)^*\) and \(N_u\) be its normal sheaf. Then the isomorphisms \(\ker d|_{(u, C, z)} \text{ev}^d \cong H^0(C; N_u \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C}(-z)) = H^0(C; N_u \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C}(-z)) + H^0(C; N_u^{\text{sing}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C}(-z))\) and \(\text{coker} d|_{(u, C, z)} \text{ev}^d \cong H^1(C; N_u \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C}(-z))\) hold.

3. As soon as \((n, c_1(X)) \neq (3, 4)\), the locus of stable maps \((u, C, z) \in \mathcal{M}^d_{k_d}(X)^*\) for which \(u\) is not an immersion is mapped onto some submanifold of \(X^{k_d}\) having codimension greater than one.

**Remark 1.2** In the third part of this theorem, the condition \(n \geq 3\) is crucial. For a discussion on the condition \((n, c_1(X)) \neq (3, 4)\), see Remark 3.2 of [20].

**Proof:**

The first part is Theorem 3 of [3]. The proof of the second part goes exactly along the same lines as the one of Lemma 1.3 of [20], it is not reproduced here. Now the locus of stable maps \((u, C, z) \in \mathcal{M}^d_{k_d}(X)^*\) for which \(u\) is not an immersion and for which \(u^*TX \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C}(-1)\) is generated by its global sections is of codimension \(n - 1\) in \(\mathcal{M}^d_{k_d}(X)^*\). This follows from the fact...
that the tautological section \( \sigma : (u, C, z) \in \mathcal{M}_h^d(X) \mapsto d|_z u \in \text{Hom}_C(T_Z C, T_{u(z)} X) \) vanishes transversely at those points, which can be proved as Proposition 3.1 of [20]. From the second part of Theorem 1.1, the locus of stable maps \((u, C, z) \in \mathcal{M}_h^d(X)^*\) for which \(\dim H^1(C; \mathcal{N}_u \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-\underline{z})) > 1\) is mapped onto some submanifold of \(X^{k_d}\) having codimension greater than one. Let then \((u, C, z) \in \mathcal{M}_h^d(X)^*\) be such that \(\dim H^1(C; \mathcal{N}_u \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-\underline{z})) \leq 1\). From a theorem of Grothendieck ([3]), the normal bundle \(\mathcal{N}_u\) of \(u\) is isomorphic to \(\mathcal{O}_C(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_C(a_{n-1})\), where \(a_i \geq k_d - 1\) for \(1 \leq i \leq n-2\) and \(a_{n-1} \geq k_d - 2\). If \(u\) is not an immersion, then \(\text{deg}(\mathcal{N}_u) \leq c_1(X) d - 3 = (n-1)(k_d-1) - 1\), so that \(\mathcal{N}_u\) has to be isomorphic to \(\mathcal{O}_C(k_d-1)^{n-2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_C(k_d-2)\). Remember that the convexity of \(X\) forces \(u^*TX\) to be a direct sum of line bundles of non-negative degrees, see Lemma 10 of [3]. Assume that \(u^*TX \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-1)\) is not generated by its global sections, then \(u^*TX \cong F \oplus \mathcal{O}_C^C\), where \(F\) is a direct sum of line bundles of positive degrees and \(k \geq 1\). Let \(z \in C\) be the point where \(du\) vanishes. The latter maps \(TC \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(zC)\) to \(F\) so that \(\mathcal{N}_u\) is isomorphic to \(\mathcal{O}_C^C \oplus (F/du(TC \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(zC)))\). From what precedes, this forces \(k_d \leq 2\) and the inequality \(\text{deg}(u^*TX) \geq 3\) forces \(k_d \geq 2\). Hence, \(k_d = 2\) and \(k = 1\). Now the composition of the tautological section \(\sigma : (u, C, z) \in \mathcal{M}_h^d(X)^* \mapsto d|_z u \in \text{Hom}_C(T_Z C, T_{u(z)} X)\) with the projection \(\text{Hom}_C(T_Z C, T_{u(z)} X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_C(T_Z C, F)\) vanishes transversely since \(F \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-1)\) is generated by its global sections. Since \(\dim F = n - 2\), the result follows in all the cases but \(n = 3, k_d = 2\).

**Lemma 1.3** Assume that either \(n\) is even, or \(\tau\) has a fixed point in \(\{1, \ldots, k_d\}\). Then, as soon as \(\underline{z} \in \mathbb{R}_{+} X^{k_d}\) is generic enough, the fibre \((\mathbb{R}_{+} ev^d)^{-1}(\underline{z})\) uniquely consists of irreducible real rational curves having non-empty real parts.

Note that when \(k_d\) is odd, \(\tau\) has a fixed point so that the hypothesis of Lemma 1.3 is satisfied.

We will assume throughout the paper that this hypothesis holds.

**Proof:**

To begin with, assume that \(\tau\) has a fixed point, say \(1 \in \{1, \ldots, k_d\}\) and denote \(\underline{z}\) by \((x_1, \ldots, x_{k_d})\). Let \((u, C, z) \in (\mathbb{R}_{+} ev^d)^{-1}(\underline{z})\). From Theorem 1.1 as soon as \(\underline{z}\) is generic enough, \(C\) is irreducible. From the definition of \(c_{M, \tau}\), there exists \(\phi \in \text{Aut}(C)\) such that \(c_X \circ u \circ \text{conj} = u \circ \phi^{-1}\) and \(\text{conj}(z_{\tau(i)}) = \phi(z_i), i \in \{1, \ldots, k_d\}\). Let \(c_C = \phi^{-1} \circ \text{conj}\), then \(c_X \circ u = u \circ c_C\) and \(c_{C}(z_{\tau(i)}) = z_i, i \in \{1, \ldots, k_d\}\). In particular, \(c_C\) is a real structure on \(C\) which has one fixed point at least, namely \(x_1\). Assume now that \(n\) is even. From what has been done, we can also assume that \(k_d\) is even. From the definition of \(k_d\), it implies that \(c_1(X) d\) is odd. Now if \(C\) has an empty real part, then \(c_1(X) d = w_2(u^*TX)[C]\) \(\mod (2) = 2w_2(u^*TX/c_X)[C/c_C] = 0 \mod (2)\), hence the contradiction.

**Lemma 1.4** Let \(\mathbb{R} M^*\) be a connected component of \(\mathbb{R}_+ M_{h_0}^d(X)^*\). Then, the homology class \(u_* [\mathcal{R} C] \in H_1(\mathbb{R} X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\) does not depend on the choice of \((u, C, z) \in \mathbb{R} M^*\).

The homology class given by Lemma 1.4 will be denoted by \(d_{\mathbb{R} M^*} \in H_1(\mathbb{R} X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\).

**Proof:**

Let \(\mathbb{R}_+ U^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ M^*\) be the universal curve. Then, all the fibres of \(\mathbb{R}_+ U^d\) have same homology class in \(H_1(\mathbb{R}_+ U^d; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\). The result is thus obtained after composition with the morphism \(H_1(\mathbb{R}_+ U^d; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbb{R} X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\) induced by the evaluation map \(\mathbb{R}_+ U^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} X\). □
1.2 Spinor states

1.2.1 $\tilde{GL}_m^+(\mathbb{R})$-structures

Denote by $\tilde{GL}_m(\mathbb{R})$ the universal covering of $GL_m(\mathbb{R})$. It can be equipped with two different group structures which turn the covering map into a morphism. Denote by $\tilde{GL}_m^+(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $\tilde{GL}_m^-(\mathbb{R})$) the group structure for which the lift of a reflexion is of order two (resp. of order four). Let $M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a vector bundle of rank $m$ and $R_M$ be the associated $GL_m(\mathbb{R})$-principal bundle of frames. The obstruction to the existence of a $\tilde{GL}_m^+(\mathbb{R})$-principal bundle (resp. $\tilde{GL}_m^-(\mathbb{R})$-principal bundle) $P_M$ over $R_M$ is carried by the characteristic class $w_2(M) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. (resp. $w_2(M) + w_1^2(M) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$), see [8] for example. From now on, we will assume that one of the following holds and will denote by $\text{HYP}$ these hypothesis.

1) If either $k_d$ is odd or $n = 3 \mod (4)$, we assume that $0 \in \{w_2(\mathbb{R}X), w_2(\mathbb{R}X) + w_1^2(\mathbb{R}X)\}$.

We then set $M = T\mathbb{R}X$ and equip this bundle with a $\tilde{GL}_m^+(\mathbb{R})$ or $\tilde{GL}_m^-(\mathbb{R})$-structure depending on whether $w_2(\mathbb{R}X)$ or $w_2(\mathbb{R}X) + w_1^2(\mathbb{R}X)$ vanishes.

2) If $k_d$ is even and $n = 0 \mod (4)$, we assume that $w_2(\mathbb{R}X) = 0$. We then set $M = T\mathbb{R}X \oplus \text{Det}(\mathbb{R}X)^3$, where $\text{Det}(\mathbb{R}X)$ is the determinant line bundle of $\mathbb{R}X$, and equip this bundle with a spin structure, which is possible since $w_1(M) = w_2(M) = 0$.

3) If $k_d$ is even and $n = 2 \mod (4)$, we assume that $w_2(\mathbb{R}X) = w_1^2(\mathbb{R}X)$. We then set $M = T\mathbb{R}X \oplus \text{Det}(\mathbb{R}X)$ and equip this bundle with a spin structure, which is possible since $w_1(M) = w_1(\mathbb{R}X)$ and $w_2(M) = w_2(\mathbb{R}X) + w_2(\mathbb{R}X)$.

4) If $k_d$ is even and $n = 1 \mod (4)$, we assume that there exists $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ such that $w^2 \in \{w_2(\mathbb{R}X), w_2(\mathbb{R}X) + w_1^2(\mathbb{R}X)\}$. We then set $M = T\mathbb{R}X \oplus L_{\mathbb{R}X}(w)^2$, where $L_{\mathbb{R}X}(w)$ is the line bundle over $\mathbb{R}X$ whose Euler class is $w$. Moreover, we equip this bundle with a $\tilde{GL}_m^+(\mathbb{R})$ or $\tilde{GL}_m^-(\mathbb{R})$-structure depending on whether $w^2 = w_2(\mathbb{R}X)$ or $w^2 = w_2(\mathbb{R}X) + w_1^2(\mathbb{R}X)$. Note that $w_1(M) = w_1(\mathbb{R}X)$ and $w_2(M) = w_2(\mathbb{R}X) + w_2(\mathbb{R}X) + w^2$.

Remark 1.5 Under the assumption 4, we will have actually to restrict ourselves to real rational curves $A$ whose real locus $\mathbb{R}A$ satisfy $<w, [\mathbb{R}A]> \neq 0$. Using the terminology of Lemma [13], this means that we will restrict ourselves to connected components $\mathbb{R}M^*$ of $\mathbb{R}_+M^2(k_d)(\mathbb{R})^*$ for which $<w, d_{\mathbb{R}M^*}> \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Examples:

1) If $n = 3$, then from Wu formula, $w_2(\mathbb{R}X) = w_1^2(\mathbb{R}X)$ so that condition 1 is always satisfied, see page 132 of [12] for instance.

2) All projective spaces satisfy these hypothesis. Indeed, if $X = \mathbb{C}P^n$ and $w$ is the generator of $H^1(\mathbb{R}P^n; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, then $w_1(\mathbb{R}X) = (n + 1)w$ and $w_2(\mathbb{R}X) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}w^2$. If $n \neq 1 \mod (4)$ or $k_d$ is odd, one easily check the hypothesis. If $k_d$ is even and $n = 1 \mod (4)$, one has to choose $w$ to be the generator of $H^1(\mathbb{R}P^n; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. It turns out that the definition of $k_d$ forces the degrees of rational curves to be odd in this case, so that their real parts are always non trivial against $w$.

3) If $\mathbb{R}X$ is spin and $n \neq 1 \mod (4)$, $\text{HYP}$ are satisfied.

1.2.2 Spinor states of balanced real rational curves

Let $u : (\mathbb{C}P^1, \text{conj}) \to (X, e_X)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-equivariant immersion and $0 \to T\mathbb{C}P^1 \to u^*TX \to N_u \to 0$ be the associated exact sequence. Let $d = u_*[\mathbb{C}P^1]$ and $k_d$ be the associated integer,
We assume that the hypothesis HYP of §1.2.1 are satisfied and deduce from the previous exact sequence a splitting \( u^* \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R} X = \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R} P^1 \oplus \mathbb{R} N_u \) which is well defined up to homotopy. Assume now that the vanishing \( H^1(\mathbb{C} P^1; N_u \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C} P^1}(-k_d)) = 0 \) occurs, so that \( N_u \) gets isomorphic to \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C} P^1}(k_d - 1)^{n-1} \). Such a curve is said to be balanced, as its normal bundle is a direct sum of isomorphic lines bundles. Let \( \xi \in \mathbb{R} P^1 \) and \( (v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}) \) be a basis of the fibre \( \mathbb{R} N_u \xi \). For \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \), there exists a unique holomorphic line sub bundle of degree \( k_d - 1 \) of \( N_u \) which contains \( v_i \). This immediately follows from the isomorphism \( P(N_u) \cong \mathbb{C} P^1 \times \mathbb{C} P^{n-2} \) and the fact that such line sub bundles are mapped onto constant sections of \( \mathbb{C} P^1 \times \mathbb{C} P^{n-2} \). We deduce a decomposition \( \mathbb{R} N_u = L_{\mathbb{R} P^1}(k_d - 1)^{n-1} \) which is well defined up to homotopy.

Now let \( M \) be the vector bundle defined in §1.2.1. In all cases 1 to 4 considered in §1.2.1 we obtained a decomposition \( u^* \mathbb{M} = L_{\mathbb{R} P^1}(0) \oplus L_{\mathbb{R} P^1}(k_d - 1)^{m-1} \) well defined up to homotopy. That is \( u^* \mathbb{M} \) is given a splitting as the direct sum of \( m \) orientable real line bundles when \( k_d \) is odd and as the direct sum of \( m - 1 \) non orientable real line bundles and one orientable one when \( k_d \) is even. Note that in all the cases \( u^* \mathbb{M} \) is orientable, since \( m = 3 \mod (4) \). When both \( k_d \) and \( n \) are even, \( u^* \mathbb{M} \) is even oriented since by assumption \( M \) is. When \( k_d \) is even and \( n \) is odd, we equip \( u^* \mathbb{M} \) with an orientation. We are then ready to define the spinor state of the balanced real rational curve \( \text{Im}(u) \).

1st case: \( k_d \) is odd. In this case, we choose some trivialization of each factor \( L_{\mathbb{R} P^1}(0) \) of \( u^* \mathbb{M} = L_{\mathbb{R} P^1}(0)^m \) given by some non vanishing section \( v_i \), \( i \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \). We hence get a loop \( (v_1(\xi), \ldots, v_m(\xi)), \xi \in \mathbb{R} P^1 \), of the \( GL_m(\mathbb{R}) \)-bundle \( u^* R_M \) of frames of \( u^* \mathbb{M} \). We define \( sp_p(u) = +1 \) (resp. \( sp_p(u) = -1 \)) if this loop does lift (resp. does not lift) to a loop of the \( GL_m(\mathbb{R}) \)-principal bundle \( u^* P_M \) given in §1.2.1 which defines the \( GL_m(\mathbb{R}) \)-structure \( p \) on \( M \). This integer neither depends on the choice of the decomposition \( u^* \mathbb{M} = L_{\mathbb{R} P^1}(0)^m \) nor on the one of the sections \( v_1(\xi), \ldots, v_m(\xi) \). It also does not depend on the parameterization \( u \) of \( \text{Im}(u) \) and is called the spinor state of \( \text{Im}(u) \).

2nd case: \( k_d \) is even. Then \( m - 1 = 2 \mod (4) \) and \( u^* \mathbb{M} \) is oriented. We choose some trivialization of each factor of the decomposition \( u^* \mathbb{M} = L_{\mathbb{R} P^1}(0) \oplus L_{\mathbb{R} P^1}(k_d - 1)^{m-1} \) over \( [0, \pi] \subset \mathbb{R} P^1 \) given by some non vanishing section \( v_i|_{[0, \pi]}, i \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \). We can assume that \( v_1 \in L_{\mathbb{R} P^1}(0) \) and the basis \( (v_1(\xi), \ldots, v_m(\xi)) \) to be direct. Also, we assume that the orientations of \( \mathbb{R} P^1 \) given by \( v_1 \) and \( [0, \pi] \) are the same. Then we can apply the path \( g(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \text{rot}(\xi) & \cdots & \text{rot}(\xi) \\ \cos(\xi) & -\sin(\xi) & \cdots & \cos(\xi) \\ \sin(\xi) & \cos(\xi) & \cdots & \sin(\xi) \end{bmatrix} \) \in GL_m(\mathbb{R}) \) to this basis, where \( \xi \in [0, \pi] \) and \( \text{rot}(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \text{rot}(\xi) & \cdots & \text{rot}(\xi) \\ \cos(\xi) & -\sin(\xi) & \cdots & \cos(\xi) \\ \sin(\xi) & \cos(\xi) & \cdots & \sin(\xi) \end{bmatrix} \). The number of such rotation blocks is odd. We hence get a new decomposition \( u^* \mathbb{M} = L_{\mathbb{R} P^1}(0)^m \) as a direct sum of orientable real line bundles.

\textbf{Lemma 1.6} The homotopy class of the decomposition \( u^* \mathbb{M} = L_{\mathbb{R} P^1}(0)^m \) hence obtained does not depend on the choice of the base \( (v_1, \ldots, v_m) \).

Note that it however depends on the choice of the orientation on \( u^* \mathbb{M} \).

\textbf{Proof:} When \( v_1 \) is fixed, the orientation of \( u^* \mathbb{M} \) induces an orientation of the factor \( L_{\mathbb{R} P^1}(k_d - 1)^{m-1} \). The result follows from the fact that the set of direct basis of \( L_{\mathbb{R} P^1}(k_d - 1)^{m-1} \) is
connected. Consider now the base \((-v_1, v_3, v_2, \ldots, v_{m-1}, v_m)\). Since \(m = 3 \mod (4)\), it is a direct basis of \(u^*M\). Applying the path \(g(\xi)\) taking into account that the orientation of \(\mathbb{R}P^1\) is reversed amounts the same as applying the path \(g(\pi - \xi)\) with the same orientation. To conclude, one just has to check that applying the rotation block \(\text{rot}(\xi)\) to the basis \((v_i, v_{i+1})\) has the same effect as applying the rotation block \(\text{rot}(\pi - \xi)\) to the basis \((v_{i+1}, v_i)\).

Thanks to Lemma 1.6 we can now define the spinor state \(s_{p,\sigma}(u) \in \{\pm 1\}\) as in the first case, where \(\sigma\) is the chosen orientation of \(u^*M\). This integer neither depends on the choice of the decomposition \(u^*M = L_{\mathbb{R}P^1}(0)^m\) nor on the one of the sections \(v_1(\xi), \ldots, v_m(\xi)\). It also does not depend on the parameterization \(u\) of \(Im(u)\). Note that the definition of spinor state given here extends the one of §2.2 of [20]. Remember that the set of \(GL_m(\mathbb{R})\)-structures of \(M\) is a principal space over \(H^1(\mathbb{R}X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\), see page 184 of [8] for example. We denote this action by \((w, \mathfrak{p}) \mapsto w. \mathfrak{p}\), where \(w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\).

**Lemma 1.7** Let \(u : (\mathbb{CP}^1, \text{conj}) \to (X, c_X)\) be a \(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\)-equivariant balanced immersion and \(w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\). Then, \(s_{p, \sigma}(u) = -s_{p, \sigma}(u)\) and \(s_{p, o\sigma}(u) = (-1)^{<w, u>_{[\mathbb{RP}^1]}}s_{p, \sigma}(u)\).

**Proof:**

The composition of the path \(g(\xi), \xi \in [0, \pi]\), applied to the basis \((v_1, \ldots, v_m)\) and the path \(g(\pi - \xi), \xi \in [0, \pi]\), applied to the basis \((v_1, v_3, v_2, v_4, \ldots, v_{m-1}, v_m)\) has a non-vanishing homotopy class in \(\pi_1(GL_m(\mathbb{R})) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\). The relation \(s_{p, \sigma}(u) = -s_{p, \sigma}(u)\) follows. The relation \(s_{p, o\sigma}(u) = (-1)^{<w, u>_{[\mathbb{RP}^1]}}s_{p, \sigma}(u)\) immediatly follows from the definition of the action of \(H^1(\mathbb{R}X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\) on the set of \(GL_m(\mathbb{R})\)-structures of \(M\).

## 2 Moduli space of real rational pseudo-holomorphic curves

We recall here the construction of the universal moduli space \(\mathbb{R}M^d(\mathfrak{z})\) of real rational pseudo-holomorphic curves which realize a given homology class \(d\) and pass through a given configuration of points \(\mathfrak{z}\), see [11] and [19] for the real case in dimension 4.

Let \(d \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})\) be such that \((c_X)_d = -d\) and \((-n - 1)/(c_1(X)d - 2) = \frac{1}{n}\). Let \(k_d = (c_1(X)d - 2) + 1\) and \(\mathfrak{z} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{k_d}) \in X^{k_d}\) be a real configuration of \(k_d\) distinct points. Denote by \(\tau \in \sigma_{k_d}\) the permutation of \(\{1, \ldots, k_d\}\) induced by \(c_X\) and assume that \(\tau(1) = 1\) if \(n\) is odd, see Lemma 1.5. Let \(S\) be an oriented 2-sphere and \(\mathfrak{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_{k_d})\) be a set of \(k_d\) distinct marked points on it. Let \(\mathcal{J}_S\) be the space of complex structures of class \(C^1\) on \(S\) which are compatible with its orientation, where \(l\) is a large enough integer. Likewise, let \(\mathcal{J}_\omega\) be the space of almost complex structures of class \(C^1\) on \(X\), tamed by \(\omega\). Denote by

\[
\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{z}) = \{(u, J_S, J) \in L^{k,p}(S, X) \times \mathcal{J}_S \times \mathcal{J}_\omega | u_\mathfrak{z} = d, u(\mathfrak{z}) = \mathfrak{z} \text{ and } \sigma_{\mathfrak{z}}(u) = 0\},
\]

where \(\sigma_{\mathfrak{z}}(u) = du + J_0 du \circ J_S\) is the Cauchy-Riemann section of the \(C^{l-k}\) Banach bundle \(E\) over \(L^{k,p}(S, X) \times \mathcal{J}_S \times \mathcal{J}_\omega\) whose fibre over \((u, J_S, J)\) is the separable Banach space \(L^{k-1,p}(S, \Lambda^{0,1}S \otimes E_u)\), where \(E_u = u^*TX\). Denote by \(\mathcal{P}(X) \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{z})\) the subspace of non-multiple pseudo-holomorphic maps. We recall the following Proposition (see Proposition 3.2.1 of [11]).

**Proposition 2.1** The space \(\mathcal{P}^*(X)\) is a separable Banach manifold of class \(C^{l-k}\) whose tangent space at \((u, J_S, J) \in \mathcal{P}^*(X)\) is the space \(T_{u, J_S, J} \mathcal{P}^*(X) = \{(v, J', J') \in L^{k,p}(S, E_u) \times \mathcal{J}_S \times \mathcal{J}_\omega | v(\mathfrak{z}) = 0 \text{ and } \nabla_{(v, J_S, J)} \sigma_{\mathfrak{z}} = 0\}\).
Let us fix some \( c_X \)-invariant riemannian metric \( g \) on \( X \) and denote by \( \nabla \) the connection induced on \( TX \) as well as on all the associated vector bundles. Then, \( \nabla_{(u,J_S)} \sigma_\mathcal{O} \) writes \( \nabla_{\sigma_\mathcal{O}}(v,J_S,J) = Dv + J \circ du \circ J_S + J \circ du \circ J_S \), where \( D \) is the Gromov operator defined by \( v \in L^{k,p}(S,E_u) \to D(v) = \nabla v + J \circ \nabla v \circ J_S + \nabla v \circ du \circ J_S \in \mathcal{E}(u,J_S,J) \).

Denote by \( \text{Diff}^+(S,z) \) the group of diffeomorphisms of class \( C^{l+1} \) of \( S \), which either preserve the orientation and fix \( z \) or reverse the orientation and induce the permutation on \( z \) associated to \( \tau \). Let \( \text{Diff}^+(S,z) \) (resp. \( \text{Diff}^-(S,z) \)) be the subgroup of \( \text{Diff}^+(S,z) \) of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms (resp. its complement in \( \text{Diff}^+(S,z) \)) and \( s_* \) be the morphism \( \text{Diff}^+(S,z) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) of kernel \( \text{Diff}^+(S,z) \). The group \( \text{Diff}^+(S,z) \) acts on \( \mathcal{P}(x) \) by \( \phi(x,J_S,J) = \begin{cases} (u \circ \phi^{-1}, (\phi^{-1})^*J_S,J) & \text{if } s_*(\phi) = +1, \\ (c_X \circ u \circ \phi^{-1}, (\phi^{-1})^*J_S, \bar{c}_X^*(J)) & \text{if } s_*(\phi) = -1, \end{cases} \)

where \( (\phi^{-1})^*J_S = s_*(\phi) \circ J_S \circ \phi^{-1} \) and \( \bar{c}_X^*(J) = -dcX \circ J \circ dcX \). The order two elements of \( \text{Diff}^-(S,z) \) are the only ones in \( \text{Diff}^+(S,z) \setminus \{id\} \) which may have a non-empty fixed point set in \( \mathcal{P}(x) \). In particular, two such involutions have disjoint fixed point sets, compare Lemma 1.3 of \cite{19}. Let \( c_S \in \text{Diff}^-(S,z) \) be such an element, we denote by \( \mathbb{R}^\mathcal{P}(x)_{c_S} \) its fixed locus in \( \mathcal{P}(x) \). Denote by \( \mathbb{R}J_S \) (resp. \( \mathbb{R}J_w \)) the fixed locus of \( c_S \) (resp. \( c_X \)) in \( \mathcal{J}_S \) (resp. \( \mathcal{J}_w \)). Likewise, denote by \( L^{k,p}(S,E_u)_{+1} = \{v \in L^{k,p}(S,E_u) \mid dcX \circ v \circ c_S = v \} \) the fixed locus of \( c_S \) in \( L^{k,p}(S,E_u) \). Then, \( T_{(u,J_S)} \mathbb{R}^\mathcal{P}(x)_{c_S} = \{(v,J_S) \in L^{k,p}(S,E_u)_{+1} \times T_J \mathbb{R}J_S \times T_J \mathbb{R}J_w \mid \nabla(v,J_S,J) = 0 \} \), see Proposition 1.4 of \cite{19}. Note that \( \sigma_\mathcal{O} \) and \( D \) are \( \text{Diff}^+(S,z) \)-equivariant so that \( D \) induces an operator \( D_\mathbb{R} : L^{k,p}(S,E_u)_{+1} \to L^{k-1,p}(S,L^{0,1}S \otimes E_u)_{+1} = \{v \in L^{k-1,p}(S,L^{0,1}S \otimes E_u) \mid dcX \circ \alpha \circ c_S = \alpha \} \). Denote by \( L^{k,p}(S,E_u,-1) = \{v \in L^{k,p}(S,E_u) \mid v(\underline{z}) = 0 \} \) and by \( H_0^0(S,E_u,-1) \) (resp. \( H_0^0(S,E_u,-1) \)) the kernel (resp. cokernel) of the operator \( D_\mathbb{R} : L^{k,p}(S,E_u,-1) \to L^{k-1,p}(S,L^{0,1}S \otimes E_u)_{+1} \). Remember that this operator \( D_\mathbb{R} \) induces a quotient operator \( \overline{D_\mathbb{R}} : L^{k,p}(S,E_u,-1)_{+1} / du(L^{k-1,p}(S,L^{0,1}S \otimes C TS, -1)) \to L^{k-1,p}(S,L^{0,1}S \otimes C TS)_{+1} / du(L^{k-1,p}(S,L^{0,1}S \otimes C TS)_{+1} / du(L^{k-1,p}(S,L^{0,1}S \otimes C TS)_{+1} \)). Note that \( H_0^0(S,N_u,-1) \) (resp. \( H_0^0(S,N_u,-1) \)) the kernel (resp. cokernel) of the operator \( D_\mathbb{R} \). Finally, the action of \( \text{Diff}^+(S,z) \) on \( \mathcal{P}(x) \) is proper, fixed point free and with closed complements. Denote by \( M^d(x) \) the quotient of \( \mathcal{P}(x) \) by the action of \( \text{Diff}^+(S,z) \). The projection \( \pi : (u,J_S,J) \in \mathcal{P}(x) \to J \in \mathcal{J}_w \) induces on the quotient a projection \( \pi \) and \( \pi \) still denoted by \( \pi \). The manifold \( M^d(x) \) is equipped with an action of the group \( \text{Diff}^+(S,z) / \text{Diff}^+(S,z) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) which turns \( \pi \) into a \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \)-equivariant map. Let us denote by \( \mathbb{R}M^d(x) \) the fixed locus of this action and by \( \pi_\mathbb{R} \) the induced projection \( \mathbb{R}M^d(x) \to \mathbb{R}J_w \).

**Proposition 2.2** The space \( \mathbb{R}M^d(x) \) is a separable Banach manifold of class \( C^{l-k} \) and \( \pi_\mathbb{R} : \mathbb{R}M^d(x) \to \mathbb{R}J_w \) is Fredholm of vanishing index. Moreover, at \( [u,J_S,J] \in \mathbb{R}M^d(x) \), the kernel of \( \pi_{\mathbb{R}} \) is isomorphic to \( H_0^0(S,N_u,-1_{+1}) \) and its cokernel to \( H_0^0(S,N_u,-1_{+1}) \).

The proof of this proposition is the same as the one of Proposition 1.9 of \cite{19}. It is not reproduced here.

### 3 Spinor states of generalized real Cauchy-Riemann operators

Let \( (u,J_S,J) \in \mathbb{R}^\mathcal{P}(x)_{c_S} \) be such that \( u \) is an immersion. The normal bundle \( N_u = u^*TX / du(TS) \) is equipped with a \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \)-equivariant operator \( D^N : L^{k,p}(S,N_u,-1_{+1}) = \{v \in L^{k,p}(S,N_u,-1_{+1}) \mid \nabla(v,J_S,J) = 0 \} \)
$L^k\partial(S, N_u) | v(\xi) = 0 \to L^{k-1}\partial(S, A^{0,1} S \otimes N_u)$. Remember that its complex linear part is some Cauchy-Riemann operator denoted by $\partial$ whereas its complex antilinear part is some order zero operator denoted by $R(v) = N_j(v, du(.)$ where $N_j$ is the Nijenhuis tensor of $J$, see Lemma 1.3.1 of [7]. Such an operator is called a generalized real Cauchy-Riemann operator, it is Fredholm of vanishing index. Denote by $c_N$ the complex antilinear involutive morphism induced by $c_X$ on the complex bundle $N_u$. Denote by $\mathbb{R} N_u$ (resp. $\mathbb{R} S$) the fixed locus of $c_N$ (resp. $c_S$). This vector bundle $\mathbb{R} N_u$ over $\mathbb{R} S$ has rank $n-1$ and the riemannian metric $g$ on $\mathbb{R} X$ induces a splitting $u^* TR = TR S \oplus \mathbb{R} N_u$. We assume that the hypothesis HYP of [11.2.1] hold and equip the associated bundle $M \to \mathbb{R} X$ with a $GL_m(\mathbb{R})$-structure. The aim of this paragraph is to define a spinor state for such generalized real Cauchy-Riemann operators when they are isomorphisms. We will begin with standard real Cauchy-Riemann operators in $\mathbb{R}$ and then extend to generalized ones in $\mathbb{R}$.

3.1 Spinor states of real Cauchy-Riemann operators

Denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R} S}(N_u)$ the space of Cauchy-Riemann operators of class $C^{l-1}$ on $N_u$, it is an affine Banach space spanned by $\Gamma^{l-1}(S, A^{0,1} S \otimes End_{\mathbb{C}}(N_u))$, see Appendix C1 of [11]. Denote by $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R} S}(N_u)$ the sub space of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R} S}(N_u)$ made of operators which are $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-equivariant with respect to the actions of $c_N$. For $D \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R} S}$, we denote by $D_\pm$ its restriction to $L^{k,p}(S, N_u, -\xi)$ so that $D_\pm$ is Fredholm with vanishing index.

**Lemma 3.1** The set of operator $D \in \mathbb{R} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R} S}(N_u)$ for which $D_\pm$ is isomorphic to dense open in $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R} S}(N_u)$. The set of these operators for which $D_\pm$ has a one dimensional cokernel is a one dimensional submanifold of $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R} S}(N_u)$. Finally, the set of these operators for which $D_\pm$ has a cokernel of dimension greater than one is included in a countable union of strata of codimensions greater than one in $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R} S}(N_u)$. □

Remember that the space $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R} S}(N_u)$ (resp. $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R} S}(N_u)$) corresponds to the space of holomorphic structure on $N_u$ (resp. for which $c_N$ is antiholomorphic), see [7]. Let $D \in \mathbb{R} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R} S}(N_u)$ such that $D_\pm$ is an isomorphism. Such an operator is said to be balanced, since it defines a holomorphic structure on $N_u$ such that the isomorphism $N_u \cong \mathcal{O}_S(k_d-1)^{n-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_S(k_{d}) \oplus \mathcal{O}_S(k_d-2)$. We can thus define as in [11.2.2] the spinor state $sp_{\mathbb{R}, o}(D) \in \{\pm \}$ of the balanced operator $D$.

**Proposition 3.2** Let $D^1, D^2 \in \mathbb{R} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R} S}(N_u)$ be two operators belonging to two adjacent connected components of balanced operators of $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R} S}(N_u)$. Then, $sp_{\mathbb{R}, o}(D^1) = -sp_{\mathbb{R}, o}(D^2)$.

**Proof:**

Let $D_0 \in \mathbb{R} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R} S}(N_u)$ be an operator in the wall between the two components containing $D_1$ and $D_2$. In particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(S, N_u \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(\xi)) = 1$ when $N_u$ is equipped with the holomorphic structure induced by $D_0$, so that $N_u \cong \mathcal{O}_S(k_d-1)^{n-3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_S(k_d) \oplus \mathcal{O}_S(k_d-2)$. Let $U_0, U_1$ be the standard atlas of $\mathbb{C}P^1 \cong S$. The holomorphic bundle $N_u$ is obtained by gluing the two charts $U_0 \times \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ and $U_1 \times \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ with the help of the gluing map

$$\Phi_0 : (U_0 \cap U_1) \times \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \to (U_1 \cap U_0) \times \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$$

$$(\xi, (v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})) \mapsto \left( \frac{1}{\xi}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\xi^{k_d-1}}I_{k_d-3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\xi^{k_d}} I_{k_d} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\xi^{k_d-2}} \end{pmatrix} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{n-1} \end{array} \right)$$
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The operator $D_0$ writes in these charts $D_0(v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}) = (\overline{\partial}v_1, \ldots, \overline{\partial}v_{n-1})$. Let $f : U_0 \to \mathbb{C}$ be such that $f(\xi) = \frac{1}{\xi}$ if $|\xi| \geq 1$ and $f(\xi) = \xi$ if $|\xi| \leq 1 - \epsilon$. We choose $f$ such that $\xi$ is disjoint from the support of $\overline{\partial}f$ and such that $\int_{U_0} \overline{\partial}f \land d\xi \neq 0$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we set $D_t(v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}) = (\overline{\partial}v_1, \ldots, \overline{\partial}v_{n-2}, \overline{\partial}v_{n-1} + t\overline{\partial}f \otimes v_{n-2})$. Note that $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} D_t : (v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}) \mapsto (0, \ldots, 0, \overline{\partial}f \otimes v_{n-2})$ induces a non-vanishing morphism $H^0(S; N_u \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(\mathcal{P})) \to H^1(S, N_u \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(\mathcal{P}))$, since $\int_{U_0} \overline{\partial}f \land d\xi \neq 0$. Thus, the path $t \mapsto D_t \in \mathbb{R}O\mathcal{P}(N_u)$ is transversal to the wall of non-balanced operators at $t = 0$. Let $A_t : U_0 \times \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \to U_0 \times \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$

$$(\xi, (v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})) \mapsto (\xi, \begin{bmatrix} \text{Id}_{n-3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t\xi(\xi) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}).$$

and $A_t = \text{Id} : (\xi, (v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})) \in U_1 \times \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \mapsto (\xi, (v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})) \in U_1 \times \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$. This 0-cochain provides an isomorphism between $N_u$ equipped with the operator $D_t$ and the holomorphic vector bundle defined by the transition function

$$\Phi_t : (U_0 \cap U_1) \times \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \to (U_1 \cap U_0) \times \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$$

$$(\xi, (v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})) \mapsto \left(\frac{1}{\xi}, \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (\frac{1}{\xi})^{k_d-1}\text{Id}_{n-3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (\frac{1}{\xi})^{k_d} & 0 \\ 0 & t\xi(\xi) & (\frac{1}{\xi})^{k_d-2} \end{array}\right] \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

We have to prove that for $t \neq 0$, $s p_{\theta, \sigma}(D_t) = -s p_{\theta, \sigma}(D_{-t})$. For this purpose, we assume that $k_d$ is odd, the case $k_d$ even can be treated in the same way. Let $P : U_0 \to \mathbb{C}$ be a polynomial of degree $k_d - 1$ with real coefficients and no real roots. From the definition of spinor state, the sections $v_1 = (P, 0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, v_{n-2} = (0, \ldots, 0, \xi P, -tP)$ of $N_u$ restricted to $\mathbb{R}S$ can be used to compute this spinor state. Indeed, they generate $n - 2$ real holomorphic line sub bundles of degree $k_d - 1$ of $N_u$ which are in direct sum and provide a trivialization of the real loci of these bundles. The choice of the $(n - 1)^{th}$ such line sub bundle being unique up to homotopy, it is not necessary to introduce it. Now it turns out that as $t$ crosses 0, the section $v_{n-2}|_{\mathbb{R}S}$ crosses transversely the zero section of $\mathbb{R}N_u$. The loops of the principal bundle of frames of $\mathbb{R}N_u$ defined by $(v_1, \ldots, v_{n-2})$ for $t > 0$ and $t < 0$ are thus obtained one from another by performing a full twist around the axis generated by $v_1, \ldots, v_{n-3}$. The result follows.

3.2 Spinor states of generalized real Cauchy-Riemann operators

Denote now by $O\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}+R}(N_u)$ the space of generalized Cauchy-Riemann operators of class $C^{l-1}$ on $N_u$, it is an affine Banach space spanned by $\Gamma^{l-1}(S, \Lambda^{0,1}S \otimes \text{End}_\mathbb{R}(N_u))$, see Appendix C1 of [11]. Denote by $\mathbb{R}O\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}+R}(N_u)$ the sub space of $O\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}+R}(N_u)$ made of operators which are $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-equivariant with respect to the actions of $c_N$. For $D \in O\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}+R}$, we denote by $D_\mathbb{R}^*$ the operator $L^{k,p}(S, N_u, -\mathcal{P})^{l+1} \to L^{k,p}(S, \Lambda^{0,1}S \otimes N_u)^{l+1}$ so that $D_\mathbb{R}^*$ is Fredholm with vanishing index.

**Lemma 3.3** The set of operator $D \in \mathbb{R}O\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}+R}(N_u)$ for which $D_\mathbb{R}^*$ is an isomorphism is dense open in $\mathbb{R}O\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}+R}(N_u)$. The set of these operators for which $D_\mathbb{R}^*$ has a one dimensional cokernel is a one dimensional submanifold of $\mathbb{R}O\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}+R}(N_u)$. Finally, the set of these operators for which $D_\mathbb{R}^*$ has a cokernel of dimension greater than one is included in a countable union of strata of codimensions greater than one in $\mathbb{R}O\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}+R}(N_u)$. □
Let $D \in \mathbb{R}O_{\mathbb{R}^d+R}(N_a)$ be a balanced operator, that is an operator such that $D^2_R$ is an isomorphism. Let $\overline{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}O_{\mathbb{R}^d}(N_a)$ be a balanced operator and $\delta : t \in [0, 1] \mapsto D_t \in \mathbb{R}O_{\mathbb{R}^d+R}(N_a)$ be a generic path joining $\overline{\theta}$ to $D$. Denote by $n_\delta$ the number of times this path crosses the wall of non balanced operators given by Lemma \ref{lemma:3.3} each crossing being transversal since $\delta$ is generic. Since the determinant line bundle $\text{Det}(D_R^2) = \Lambda^{\text{max}} \ker(D_R^2) \otimes \Lambda^{\text{max}} \text{coker}(D_R^2)$ is trivial over the affine space $\mathbb{R}O_{\mathbb{R}^d+R}(N_a)$, the parity of $n_\delta$ does not depend on $\delta$, see Proposition A.2.4 of \cite{11}. We can then define the spinor state of the balanced operator $D$ to be $\text{sp}_{p, \sigma}(D) = (-1)^{n_\delta} \text{sp}_{p, \sigma}(\overline{\theta}) \in \{\pm 1\}$, where $\text{sp}_{p, \sigma}(\overline{\theta})$ has been defined in \cite{3.1}. It follows from Proposition \ref{proposition:3.2} that this spinor state $\text{sp}_{p, \sigma}(D)$ does not depend on the choice of $\overline{\theta}$ we made and hence is well defined.

4 Statement of the results

4.1 Statements

Let $(X, \omega, c_X)$ be a strongly semipositive real symplectic manifold of dimension six. Let $d \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ be such that $(c_X)_d = -d, 2/(c_1(X)d - 2)$ and $c_1(X)d > 2$. Let $k_d = \frac{1}{2}(c_1(X)d - 2) + 1$ and $\underline{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{k_d}) \in X^{k_d}$ be a real configuration of $k_d$ distinct points. We assume that $\underline{x}$ has at least one real point, see Lemma \ref{lemma:1.3} We label the connected components of

$X = \{x \in X \mid c_X(x) > 2\}$,

by $(x_1, \ldots, x_{k_d}) \in X^{k_d}$, see Remark 4.4 below for a comment on the hypothesis of Theorem 4.1. It follows from this theorem that the integer $\chi^{d, h, p, \sigma}_r(\underline{x}, J)$ can be denoted by $\chi^{d, h, p, \sigma}_r(\underline{x})$ without ambiguity. We can even get rid of the orientation $\sigma$ from the following.
Corollary 4.2 Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.1, assume that \( k_d \) is even. If the restriction of \( M \) over the connected component of \( \mathbb{R} \times X \) in \( \mathbb{R}X \) is not orientable, then \( \chi^{d,h,p,\omega}_x = 0 \). In particular, the genus zero Gromov-Witten invariant \( GW_0(X,d,pt,\ldots,pt) \) is even. □

Note that if all the points of \( \mathbb{R} \times X \) are not in the same connected component of \( \mathbb{R}X \), then \( \chi^{d,h,p,\omega}_x \) vanishes since the real locus of rational curves is connected and thus cannot interpolate points in different connected components. The generating function for this invariant is then some polynomial \( \chi^{d,h,p}(T) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \chi^{d,h,p}_r N \in \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \ldots, T_N] \), where \( T^r = T_1^{r_1} \ldots T_N^{r_N} \) and we have set \( \chi^{d,h,p}_0 = 0 \) when it is not well defined. This polynomial has the same parity as \( k_d \) and each of its monomials \( \chi^{d,h,p}_r N \) only depends on one indeterminate as we just saw. Theorem 4.1 means that the function

\[
\chi^p : (d,h) \in H^2(X;\mathbb{Z}) \times H_1(\mathbb{R}X;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \mapsto \chi^{d,h,p}(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]
\]

only depends on the real symplectic six-manifold \((X,\omega,c_X)\) and is invariant under strongly semipositive deformation of this real symplectic six-manifold. This means that if \( \omega_t \) is a continuous family of strongly semipositive symplectic forms for which \( c_X^* \omega_t = -\omega_t \), then this function is the same for all triples \((X,\omega_t,c_X)\). This invariant immediately provides the following lower bounds in real enumerative geometry.

Corollary 4.3 Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.1,

\[
|\chi^{d,h,p}_r| \leq \# \mathcal{R}_d(x,J,h) \leq N_d = GW_0(X,d,pt,\ldots,pt),
\]

for every generic \( J \in \mathbb{R}J_\omega \) and every \( x \in X^{k_d} \) such that \( x \cap \mathbb{R}X = r \).

Remark 4.4 Let us end this paragraph with some comments on the hypothesis of Theorem 4.1

1) The condition \( 2/(c_1(X)d-2) \) is a necessary condition for the genus zero Gromov-Witten invariant \( GW_0(X,d,pt,\ldots,pt) \) to be non-trivial. This just comes from dimensional reasons since we do consider only point conditions throughout this paper.

2) The strongly semipositive condition as well as the condition \( c_1(X)d > 2 \) is made to prevent the appearance of non simple real stable maps in the Gromov compactification of the moduli space of real rational pseudo-holomorphic curves over a generic path in \( \mathbb{R}J_\omega \). The treatment of such non simple stable maps requires more involved techniques, see [10] for example. Note that the theory of polyfolds under construction might provide helpful techniques to remove these assumptions, see [6].

3) The hypothesis HYP are topological conditions on degree two characteristic classes of the real locus \( \mathbb{R}X \). They are used to define the spinor state of real rational curves which are crucial in the definition of the invariant \( \chi^p \). Can similar invariants be obtained without these topological conditions? I don’t know. Note that similar issues appeared in [2] in order to prove the orientability of the moduli space of pseudo-holomorphic discs having boundary in some Lagrangian submanifold \( L \). There, \( L \) was assumed to be relatively spin.

4) The existence of at least one real point in the configuration is to prevent the appearance of real rational curves with empty real locus in \( \mathcal{R}_d(x,J,h) \), see Lemma 1.3. For such real rational curves with empty real locus, spinor states are not defined and I cannot obtain similar invariants yet. This subtle problem appears in the important case of Calabi-Yau threefolds, where \( x = \emptyset \). I believe however that there should be a way to overcome sometimes this difficulty, but cannot do it yet.
4.2 Topological interpretation

Note that the singularities of $\mathbb{R}_rM_{k_d}(X)$ are of codimension two at least since it is normal, see Theorem 2 of [3]. This space thus carries a first Stiefel-Whitney class. For $D \in H_{3k_d-1}(\mathbb{R}_rM_{k_d}(X); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, denote by $D'$ its image under the morphism $H_{3k_d-1}(\mathbb{R}_rM_{k_d}(X); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(\mathbb{R}_rM_{k_d}(X); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

**Proposition 4.5** The first Stiefel-Whitney class of every component $\mathbb{R}_rM^*$ of $\mathbb{R}_rM_{k_d}(X)$ which contains a balanced curve writes

$$w_1(\mathbb{R}_rM^*) = (\mathbb{R}_rX^{k_d}) w_1(\mathbb{R}_rX^{k_d}) + \sum_{D \in \text{Red'}} \epsilon(D) D' \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_rM^*; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $\epsilon(D) \in \{0, 1\}$ and if $\epsilon(D) = 1$, the irreducible component $D$ of $\text{Red}$ gets contracted by the evaluation map $\mathbb{R}_rX^{k_d}$. □

Here Red' denotes the union of the divisor Red introduced in Theorem 1.1 and the divisors of non-balanced curves $(u, C, \bar{z})$ such that $\dim H^1(C; N_u \otimes O_C(\bar{z})) \geq 2$, in case such divisors exist. Denote by Red the union of the irreducible components $D$ of Red' for which $\epsilon(D) = 1$. Equip $\mathbb{R}_rX^{k_d}$ with the system of twisted integer coefficients $Z$ and denote by $[\mathbb{R}_rX^{k_d}] \in H_{3k_d}(\mathbb{R}_rX^{k_d}; Z)$ one associated fundamental class. Denote by $Z^*$ the local system of coefficients of $\mathbb{R}_rM^*$ pulled back from $Z$ by $\mathbb{R}_rX^{k_d}$, see [17].

**Proposition 4.6** Under the hypothesis of Proposition 4.5, there exists a unique fundamental class $[\mathbb{R}_rM^*] \in H_{3k_d}(\mathbb{R}_rM^*, \text{Red}; Z^*)$ such that for every balanced curve $(u, C, \bar{z}) \in \mathbb{R}_rM^*$, the morphism $(\mathbb{R}_rX^{k_d})_*: H_{3k_d}(\mathbb{R}_rM^*, \mathbb{R}_rM^* \setminus \{(u, C, \bar{z}); Z^*\}) \to H_{3k_d}(\mathbb{R}_rX^{k_d}, \mathbb{R}_rX^{k_d} \setminus \{u(\bar{z})\}; Z)$ sends $[\mathbb{R}_rM^*]$ onto $sp_{\text{Red}}(u, C, \bar{z})[\mathbb{R}_rX^{k_d}]$. □

Since $\mathbb{R}_rX^{k_d}(\text{Red})$ is of codimension two, the group $H_{3k_d}(\mathbb{R}_rX^{k_d}, \mathbb{R}_rX^{k_d}(\text{Red}); Z)$ is cyclic, generated by $[\mathbb{R}_rX^{k_d}]$. The integer $\chi^{d,h, p, s}_{r, h}(\bar{z}, J_0)$ is nothing but the one defined by the relation $(\mathbb{R}_rX^{k_d})_*[\mathbb{R}_rM_{k_d}(X)] = \chi^{d,h, p, s}_{r, h}[\mathbb{R}_rX^{k_d}]$, where the fundamental class $[\mathbb{R}_rM_{k_d}(X)]$ is given by Proposition 4.6 and restricted to connected components $\mathbb{R}_rM^*$ for which $d_{\mathbb{R}_rM^*} = h$, see Lemma 4.3.

5 Proof of Theorem 4.1

Let $J_0, J_1 \in \mathcal{R}_p$ be two generic real almost complex structures, so that the integers $\chi^{d,h, p, s}_{r, h}(\bar{z}, J_0)$ and $\chi^{d,h, p, s}_{r, h}(\bar{z}, J_1)$ are well defined. We have to prove that these integers are the same. Let $\gamma : t \in [0, 1] \mapsto J_t \in \mathcal{R}_p$ be a generic path joining $J_0$ to $J_1$, transversal to $\pi_\gamma$. Let $\mathcal{R}_\gamma = \mathcal{R}_d(\mathbb{R}_rM_{\gamma})$, $\pi_\gamma : \mathcal{R}_\gamma \to [0, 1]$ be the associated projection. Then $\mathcal{R}_\gamma$ provides a cobordism between $\mathcal{R}_d(\bar{z}, J_0, h)$ and $\mathcal{R}_d(\bar{z}, J_1, h)$. On each connected component of the complement of both the critical values of $\pi_\gamma$ and the elements of $\pi_\gamma(\mathbb{R}_rM_{\gamma} \setminus \mathbb{R}_s)$, the integer $\chi^{d,h, p, s}_{r, h}(\bar{z}, J_1)$ is constant. We thus just have to prove that this integer also does not change while crossing one of these values. Theorem 4.1 hence follows from Theorems 5.2 and 5.5 □
5.1 Generic critical points of $\pi_\mathcal{R}$

Lemma 5.1 The space of elements $[u, J_S, J] \in \mathbb{R}M^d(\mathcal{Z})^*$ for which $u$ is not an immersion is a sub stratum of codimension $n-1$ of $\mathbb{R}M^d(\mathcal{Z})^*$.

Proof:
This fact was proved in the first part of Proposition 2.7 of [19] when $n = 2$ and mainly follows from the results of §3 of [15]. The proof being readily the same in higher dimensions, it is not reproduced here. □

Let $\gamma : t \in [0, 1] \mapsto J_t \in \mathbb{R}J_\mathcal{R}$ be a generic path transversal to $\pi_\mathcal{R}$. Let $\mathbb{R}M_\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{R}M^d(\mathcal{Z})^* \times_\gamma [0, 1]$ and $\pi_\gamma : \mathbb{R}M_\mathcal{R} \to [0, 1]$ be the associated projection. From Lemma 5.1 follows that all the elements of $\mathbb{R}M_\mathcal{R}$ are immersions.

Theorem 5.2 Let $[u_{t_0}, J_S^{t_0}, J_{t_0}] \in \mathbb{R}M_\mathcal{R}$ be a critical point of $\pi_\mathcal{R}$. Then $\mu : \lambda \in [- \epsilon, \epsilon] \mapsto \mu(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}M_\mathcal{R}$ be a local parameterization such that $\mu(0) = [u_{t_0}, J_S^{t_0}, J_{t_0}]$. Then, as soon as $\epsilon$ is small enough, the following alternative holds. Either $\pi_\gamma \circ \mu$ crosses $t_0$ as $\lambda$ crosses $0$ and then $\text{sp}_{\mathbb{R}, \lambda}(\mu(\lambda))$ does not depend on $\lambda \in [- \epsilon, \epsilon]\setminus\{0\}$, or $\pi_\gamma \circ \mu$ does not cross $t_0$ as $\lambda$ crosses $0$ and then $\text{sp}_{\mathbb{R}, \lambda}(\mu(\lambda)) = -\text{sp}_{\mathbb{R}, \lambda}(\mu(-\lambda))$ for every $\lambda \in [- \epsilon, \epsilon]\setminus\{0\}$.

Proof:
Denote by $\mu(\lambda) = [u_{t_0}, J_S^{t_0}, J_{t_0}]$ and fix a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-equivariant trivialization $N \to S$ of the complex normal bundles $N_{u_{t_0}} \to S$. We deduce a family of generalized real Cauchy-Riemann operators $D_\lambda : L^{k,p}(S, N_{-\lambda})_{+1} \to L^{k-1,p}(S, \Lambda^{0,1}S \otimes N)_{+1}$ parameterized by $\lambda \in [- \epsilon, \epsilon]$. Let $E_0$ be a closed complement to the one dimensional kernel of $D_0$ and for $\lambda \in [- \epsilon, \epsilon]$, $F_\lambda = D_\lambda(E_0)$. Then, $D_\lambda$ induces a family of morphisms $\tilde{D}_\lambda : H^0 = L^{k,p}(S, N_{-\lambda})_{+1}/E_0 \to H^1_{\lambda} = L^{k-1,p}(S, \Lambda^{0,1}S \otimes N)_{+1}/F_\lambda$. Note that the one dimensional vector space $H^1_{\lambda}$ is trivialized by $v_\lambda = \frac{d}{dt}u_\lambda$ (resp. $\frac{d}{dt}(\gamma_{\lambda})|_{=\pi_\gamma \circ \mu(\lambda)}$), so that the linear maps $\tilde{D}_\lambda$ and $\frac{d}{dt}(\pi_\gamma \circ \mu)$ get conjugated. More generally, for every operator $D \in \mathbb{R}Op_{\mathcal{P}+\mathcal{R}}(N)$, denote by $\tilde{D}$ the induced morphism $L^{k,p}(S, N_{-\lambda})_{+1}/E_0 \to L^{k-1,p}(S, \Lambda^{0,1}S \otimes N)_{+1}/D(E_0)$. The hypersurface $H^1$ of $\mathbb{R}Op_{\mathcal{P}+\mathcal{R}}(N)$ made of operators having a one dimensional cokernel is defined in a neighbourhood of $D_0$ as $H^1 = \{ D \in \mathbb{R}Op_{\mathcal{P}+\mathcal{R}}(N) | \tilde{D} = 0 \}$, see Lemma 3.3. Thus, the curve $\lambda \in [- \epsilon, \epsilon] \mapsto \tilde{D}_\lambda \in \mathbb{R}Op_{\mathcal{P}+\mathcal{R}}(N)$ crosses $H^1$ at $\lambda = 0$ if and only if $\frac{d}{dt}(\pi_\gamma \circ \mu)(v_\lambda)$ crosses $t_0$ as $\lambda$ crosses $0$ if and only if $\pi_\gamma$ has a local extremum at $\lambda = 0$. Now from Proposition 3.2 if $D_\lambda$ crosses $H^1$ at $\lambda = 0$, then $\text{sp}_{\mathbb{R}, \lambda}(D_\lambda) = -\text{sp}_{\mathbb{R}, \lambda}(D_{-\lambda})$, $\lambda \in [- \epsilon, \epsilon]\setminus\{0\}$, whereas $\text{sp}_{\mathbb{R}, \lambda}(D_\lambda) = \text{sp}_{\mathbb{R}, \lambda}(D_{-\lambda})$ otherwise. □

5.2 Passing through real reducible curves

Let $\gamma : t \in [0, 1] \mapsto J_t \in \mathbb{R}J_\mathcal{R}$ be a generic path transversal to $\pi_\mathcal{R}$. Let $\mathbb{R}M_\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{R}M^d(\mathcal{Z})^* \times_\gamma [0, 1]$ and $\pi_\mathcal{R} : \mathbb{R}M_\mathcal{R} \to [0, 1]$ be the associated projection.

Lemma 5.3 Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.1, as soon as $\gamma$ is generic enough, $\mathbb{R}M_\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathbb{R}M_\mathcal{R}$ consists of finitely many reducible curves having two irreducible components, both real and embedded, intersecting in a unique real ordinary double point. Moreover, if $d_1, d_2$ (resp. $k_1, k_2$) denote the homology classes of these components (resp. the number of marked points on them), so that $d_1 + d_2 = d$ and $k_1 + k_2 = k_d$, then either $c_1(X)d_1 - 1 = 2k_1$
and \( c_1(X)d_2 - 1 = 2(k_2 - 1) \), or \( k_i = E\left(\frac{1}{2}(c_1(X)d_i - 2)\right) + 1 \), where \( E() \) denotes the integer part.

**Proof:**
From Gromov compactness theorem, elements of \( \mathbb{R}M_{\gamma} \setminus \mathbb{R}M_{\gamma} \) correspond to reducible curves parameterized by a tree of complex spheres. Since the manifold is semipositive, the moduli space of such curves comes with a Fredholm projection onto \( J_{\omega} \) whose Fredholm index is one minus the number of spheres in this tree, see Theorem 6.2.6 of [11]. Note that since marked points are not at singular points of the parameterizing tree and the configuration of points \( \mathcal{X} \) contains at least one real point, both irreducible components of the reducible curve should be real. The first part of the lemma follows. Now the numerical conditions on \( k_1, k_2 \) are obtained exactly in the same way as in Proposition 3.3 of [20], it is not reproduced here. □

Denote by \( \mathbb{R}M_{d_1,d_2}\big|_R \) the universal moduli space of simple real stable maps having two irreducible components \( C_1, C_2 \), both real with \( k_1, k_2 \) marked points on them respectively and which realize the homology classes \( d_1, d_2 \). Denote by \( \pi_{d_1,d_2}^R \) the index \(-1\) Fredholm projection \( \mathbb{R}M_{d_1,d_2}\big|_R \to \mathbb{R}J_{\omega} \).

**Proposition 5.4** Let \((u, J_S, J) \in \mathbb{R}M_{d_1,d_2}\big|_R \) be given by Lemma 5.3. Then, there exists a path \((J_\lambda)_{\lambda \in [0,1]} \) in \( \mathbb{R}J_{\omega} \) such that \( J_0 = J \), \((u, J_S, J_\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}M_{d_1,d_2}\big|_R \) for every \( \lambda \in [0,1] \) and \( J_1 \) is integrable in a neighbourhood of \( u(C_1 \cup C_2) \). Moreover, \( J_1 \) can be chosen in the form given by Propositions 1.4 or 1.6 of [20] depending on whether \( k_1 = E\left(\frac{1}{2}(c_1(X)d - 2)\right) \) or \( k_1 = E\left(\frac{1}{2}(c_1(X)d - 2)\right) + 1 \).

**Proof:**
Such an homotopy \((J_\lambda)_{\lambda \in [0,1]} \) can be obtained in the following way. We first stretch the almost complex structure \( J \) in a neighbourhood of the double point using a one parameter family of \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \)-equivariant diffeomorphisms of \( X \) which read as a family of homotheties in a local chart mapping the curve onto two coordinate axis of \( \mathbb{C}^3 \) and mapping \( J \) at the singular point onto the complex structure of \( \mathbb{C}^3 \). Having the scale of the homothety converging to \(+\infty\), we deduce a homotopy \((J_\lambda)_{\lambda \in [0,1]} \) such that \( J_1 \) is integrable in a neighbourhood of the singular point. For every \( i \in \{1, 2\} \), fix a \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \)-equivariant identification of a tubular neighbourhood of \( u(C_i) \) in \( X \) with a neighbourhood of the zero section in its normal bundle \( N_i = u^*TX/u^*TC_i \). The latter is equipped with the almost complex structure \( J_\frac{1}{2} \). We then stretch \( J_\frac{1}{2} \) using a one parameter family of homotheties in the fibres of \( N_i \) whose scale converge to \(+\infty\). The path of almost complex structures we obtain does converge since the zero section is pseudo-holomorphic and we get a homotopy \((J_\lambda)_{\lambda \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1]} \) such that \( J_\frac{3}{4} \) is integrable in a neighbourhood of the singular point and equip \( N_i \) with the structure of a complex vector bundle. Now, let \( J_1 \) be a holomorphic structure on this complex vector bundle and \( \nabla_1 \) be an associated complex connection. Then, for every \( y \in N_i \), \( J_\frac{1}{4}(y) \) writes \( J_1(y) + A(y) \) where \( A(y) \in \Lambda^{0,1}C_i \otimes N_i \). We thus set for \( \lambda \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1] \) and \( y \in N_i \), \( J_\lambda(y) = J_1(y) + 4(1 - \lambda)A(y) \). The result follows from the fact that \( J_1 \) can be chosen in the form given by Propositions 1.4 or 1.6 of [20] depending on whether \( k_1 = E\left(\frac{1}{2}(c_1(X)d - 2)\right) \) or \( k_1 = E\left(\frac{1}{2}(c_1(X)d - 2)\right) + 1 \). □

**Theorem 5.5** Let \( \gamma : t \in [0, 1] \mapsto J_t \in \mathbb{R}J_{\omega} \) be a generic path transversal to \( \pi_R \). Let \( \mathbb{R}M_{\gamma} = \mathbb{R}M^{d}\big|_R \times_{\gamma} [0, 1], \mathbb{R}M_{\gamma} \) be its Gromov compactification and \( \pi_{\gamma} : \mathbb{R}M_{\gamma} \to [0, 1] \) be the associated projection. Let \((u, J_S, J) \in \mathbb{R}M_{\gamma} \setminus \mathbb{R}M_{\gamma} \) be given by Lemma 5.3 and \( t_0 = \ldots \)
πγ(u, JS, J) ∈ [0, 1]. Then, there exist a neighbourhood W of (u, JS, J) in \(\mathbb{R}Mγ\) and \(\epsilon > 0\) such that \(\sum_{C ∈ π_{γ−1}[(t)\cap W]} sp_{p, o}(C)\) does not depend on \(t \in \{t_0 − \epsilon, t_0 + \epsilon\} \setminus \{t_0\}\).

**Proof:**

From Lemma 5.3, \((u, JS, J) ∈ \mathbb{R}M_{k_1, k_2}^{d_1, d_2}(x)\) with either \(k_1 = E\left(\frac{1}{2}(c_1(X)d - 2)\right)\) or \(k_1 = E\left(\frac{1}{2}(c_1(X)d - 2)\right) + 1\). From Proposition 5.4, we can assume that \(J\) is integrable in a neighbourhood of \(u(C_1 ∪ C_2)\) and of the form given by Propositions 1.4 or 1.6 of [20] depending on whether \(k_1 = E\left(\frac{1}{2}(c_1(X)d - 2)\right)\) or \(k_1 = E\left(\frac{1}{2}(c_1(X)d - 2)\right) + 1\). Indeed, let \(μ : λ ∈ [0, 1] → (u, JS, J_λ) ∈ \mathbb{R}M_{k_1, k_2}^{d_1, d_2}(x)\) be the path given by this Proposition 5.4. Then, after perturbing \(μ\) if necessary, we can assume that it crosses transversely the critical locus of \(π_{R}^{d_1, d_2} : \mathbb{R}M_{k_1, k_2}^{d_1, d_2}(x) → \mathbb{R}J_ω\) at finitely many points, where the cokernel of \(π_{R}^{d_1, d_2}\) is two dimensional. Outside of these points, \(π_{R}^{d_1, d_2}|_{\text{Im}(μ)}\) is an immersion and thus \(\text{Im}(π_{R}^{d_1, d_2})\) is locally a wall which divides \(RJ_ω\) in two connected components. Let \(W\) be a neighbourhood of \(\text{Im}(μ)\) in \(\mathbb{R}M^{d}(x)\). Then, from Theorem 5.2 as soon as \(W\) is small enough, \(\sum_{C ∈ π_{R}^{d_1, d_2}(J) ∩ W} sp_{p, o}(C)\) takes one value on each side of the wall of \(π_{R}^{d_1, d_2}\). Now let \((u, JS, J_2) ∈ \mathbb{R}M_{k_1, k_2}^{d_1, d_2}(x)\) be a critical point of \(π_{R}^{d_1, d_2}\) and \(J_2 ∈ D ∈ \mathbb{R}J_ω\) be a closed disc transversal to \(π_{R}^{d_1, d_2}\) at \(J_2\). Then, \((π_{R}^{d_1, d_2})^{-1}(D)\) is a smooth curve of \(\mathbb{R}M_{k_1, k_2}^{d_1, d_2}(x)\) which projects onto a curve of \(D\) which is cuspidal at \(J_2\). The connected component of this curve which contains \((u, JS, J_2)\) is homeomorphic to an interval whose image curve \(B\) intersects \(\partial D\) at two points. The complement of these two points in \(\partial D\) consists of two intervals \(\partial D_1\) and \(\partial D_2\) and once more, from Theorem 5.2 as soon as \(W\) is small enough, the value \(\sum_{C ∈ π_{R}^{d_1, d_2}(J) ∩ W} sp_{p, o}(C)\) is the same on each of these intervals.

Thus, the value \(\sum_{C ∈ π_{R}^{d_1, d_2}(J) ∩ W} sp_{p, o}(C)\) on each side of the wall \(\text{Im}(π_{R}^{d_1, d_2})\) is the same at \(J_0\) and \(J_1\). To prove Theorem 5.5 we then just have to prove that these values are the same on both sides of the wall at \(J_1\). Now, to cross this wall, we can fix \(J\) and have one real point of the configuration \(x\) vary since this can be performed equivalently by fixing \(x\) and producing some Hamiltonian deformation of \(J\). The end of the proof thus goes now along the same lines as the one of [20] since all the arguments used there where local. □

**Remark 5.6**
The difference between this new version and the original version of our preprint is that the main result Theorem 4.1 is restricted to dimension six. This is because our Theorem 3.4 of the original version does not seem correct in general in dimension greater than six, as pointed out by a referee. Note that in the meanwhile, these invariants have been interpreted using the work [2] by Cheol-Hyun Cho in [1] and Jake Solomon in [16]. In [17], these invariants are extended to Calabi-Yau six-manifolds through a treatment of multiple curves than can appear (see Remark 4.4) and computed in [13] for real quintic three-folds. Also, the exact value of \(C(D)\) in Proposition 1.5 has been computed by Nicolas Puignau in [14] in the case of the projective plane or quadric hyperboloid. Finally, sharpness of the lower bounds in
Corollary 4.3 as well as some computations have been obtained when \( r = 1 \) for the quadric ellipsoid three-fold in \([21]\).
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