Predictors of Cytoreductive Nephrectomy for Metastatic Kidney Cancer in SEER and Metropolitan Detroit Databases
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Abstract

Patients without cytoreductive nephrectomy (CN) are inadequately represented in metastatic renal cell carcinoma (RCC) clinical trials. The characteristics that impact the decision of CN were explored in the SEER database. Data on primary, regional, or distant (metastatic) stage kidney cancer over the period 2000–2013 were extracted from the National Cancer Institute Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program (SEER-18) database. A sub-analysis of Metropolitan Detroit cases, to evaluate the influence of comorbidities, was conducted. Logistic regression was used to calculate the odds ratios, and Cox model was used to calculate hazard ratios; 37% of 21,052 metastatic RCC cases had CN performed. CN demonstrated significant survival advantage (HR = 0.31, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.30–0.33). Comorbidity data were available on 76% of distant RCC cases from the Detroit SEER database. Neither hypertension, diabetes mellitus nor the number of comorbidities (0, 1 or 2) had a statistically significant impact on the likelihood of CN. The majority of patients (63%) with distant-stage RCC do not undergo CN and have a median overall survival (OS) of 3 months as compared to a median OS of 18 months for patients who have undergone CN. Patient demographics and tumor characteristics make a significant impact on the incidence of CN. The impact of comorbidities (number and type) was modest and not statistically significant. The optimal management of patients with synchronous primary and metastatic RCC needs to be prospectively evaluated in the setting of contemporary systemic therapy.
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Introduction

Cytoreductive nephrectomy (CN) in the presence of metastatic disease is a unique feature of the therapeutics of advanced renal cancer (RCC). There are two randomized trials demonstrating the overall survival (OS) benefit favoring CN in metastatic disease compared to systemic interferon therapy alone (1, 2). The only systemic therapies available previously were cytokine-based regimens such as interleukin and interferon during the time period when these CN trials were conducted. Within the last decade, 10 additional therapies have attained Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval in metastatic renal cancer (3). Until recently, no prospective study had been completed evaluating the role of...
CN in the setting of contemporary systemic therapies. The results of two randomized trials comparing CN followed by sunitinib versus systemic therapy with sunitinib followed by CN [SURTIME trial] and nephrectomy followed by sunitinib versus sunitinib alone (CARMENA) were recently reported. Both studies indicated that the clinical outcomes with sunitinib alone were at least similar and possibly slightly improved in the metastatic RCC patients randomized to deferred or no CN (4, 5). The studies showed that CN does not impart additional survival benefit in the setting of effective systemic therapy, and a proportion of patients are deprived of systemic therapy due to complications of CN. In summary, the concept of CN being an essential component of metastatic RCC therapy is being seriously questioned. These data need to be considered in future therapeutic decisions in metastatic RCC.

The National Cancer Database (NCDB), which reflects community-based data from hospitals with Commission on Cancer accreditation, continues to reveal the incidence of CN in distant/advanced-stage RCC is seen in about a third of the patients (6). Unlike clinical trials of systemic therapy, where 80–100% of patients are receiving nephrectomy, the majority of the patients in the real-world treatment of RCC are not receiving CN as primary management. The overall survival outcome has improved in advanced RCC; however, it also appears that the patients with CN received the maximum benefit (7). Despite randomized evidence to the contrary, the OS results in SEER show that CN patients have a large magnitude of benefit as compared to non-CN patients. This finding may be confounded by the fact that the patients undergoing CN are probably younger, healthier, and less likely to have aggressive or poor risk disease, factors that portend an improved prognosis regardless of nephrectomy status. In an attempt to overcome the confounding effect of conflicting prognostic factors, we analyzed and reported on a clinical trial population with metastatic disease and found that CN maintained a significant positive impact on clinical outcomes (8).

In the targeted therapy era, we conducted a SEER data analysis of outcomes in patients who have undergone CN versus those who have not undergone CN. The results revealed that only the post-nephrectomy population appeared to benefit from advances in systemic therapies (8). Clearly, an intervention that would increase the possibility of CN in metastatic RCC would likely improve outcomes. The controversy of whether CN should be standard in metastatic RCC continues, with the recent randomized prospective trials suggesting minimal contribution of CN in improving outcomes in metastatic RCC. In addition, there is an added nuance of analyzing the role of CN in the presence of contemporary immune checkpoint combination therapy. There is preclinical evidence that suggests that the immune checkpoint inhibitors would be primed to demonstrate improved efficacy in the presence of the primary tumor. Randomized trials of systemic therapy with immune checkpoint inhibition with or without CN are being planned in this setting.

We attempted to evaluate the magnitude of the problem by assessing the incidence of CN in metastatic RCC within the SEER data. We analyzed the factors that are likely to impact the decision of CN in the initial management of advanced RCC. We explored demographic and disease-related factors. In addition, we assessed the impact of comorbidities in receipt of initial CN using additional data from the Metropolitan Detroit Cancer Surveillance System (MDCSS). We conducted an analysis of distant/metastatic cases of RCC within the SEER-18 data between 2000 and 2013. The intention was to evaluate the incidence of CN in the contemporary systemic therapy era and to assess the factors that impacted the decision of CN. We chose to explore the impact of a few key comorbidities such as renal function, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and lung disease.

Methods

The National Cancer Institute Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program (SEER-18) contains regional research data (1973–2011) submitted in November 2013 with the Katrina/Rita population adjustment, collected from 18 American cancer registries, chosen for their data quality and population diversity. The MDCSS is a data contributor to the SEER Program. Data for the primary analyses were extracted using SEER*Stat software (version 8.3.1), for patients diagnosed with renal cancer between the years 2000 and 2013. We restricted the analysis to the first diagnosis of regional or distant stage kidney cancer, overall referred to as “metastatic” kidney cancer. Site-specific surgery codes 30, 40, 50, 70, or 80 were defined as having received nephrectomy. The main focus was advanced or metastatic cases with RCC which are coded as “distant” in SEER. Logistic regression analysis was used to calculate odds ratios (OR) of a patient who has undergone nephrectomy, adjusted for age at diagnosis, race, gender, marital status, insurance and disease histology, and grade. Cox proportional hazards regression analysis was used to calculate adjusted hazard ratios (HR) to estimate the overall risk of death. Hazard ratios were adjusted for nephrectomy as well as for all other variables listed above. Analyses were conducted using SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Patients with OS < 1 month were excluded from the analysis with the assumption that any treatment would not have a chance to impact their outcomes. Figure 1 depicts the flowchart of the patient population considered and selected for analysis.

We also performed a separate analysis using the same criteria for MDCSS data, extracted from the local SEER Database Management System (SEER*DMS) using SAS© v 9.4, to evaluate the influence of comorbidities, as these data are not collected by SEER. Logistic regression was used to
calculate the odds ratios for receiving CN. Hazard ratios were calculated using Cox proportional hazards regression.

Results

Overview and predictors of nephrectomy

After exclusion of renal pelvis cases, final SEER-18 data for 2000–2013 had N = 40,524 patients. Case distribution by stage included regional stage (N = 19,472, 48%, 93% had nephrectomy) and distant stage (N = 21,052, 52%, 37% had nephrectomy). Our analyses were restricted to distant or metastatic stage patients only (Figure 1). The population characteristics of all SEER registry “regional” and “distant cases” are depicted in Table 1.

Adjusted predictors of statistically increased likelihood of receiving nephrectomy were age (≤63 years), male sex (white race), and marital status. An interaction effect was noted between gender and marital status with married males having a significantly higher nephrectomy rate (42%) than divorced, single, and widowed men (35, 38, and 18% respectively). Single males were significantly less likely to receive nephrectomy than females (OR 0.53, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.44–0.64). Single females had the highest (44%) likelihood of nephrectomy. Non-clear cell histology and poorly differentiated grade had a higher possibility of receiving CN as compared to clear cell histology and well-differentiated cancer, respectively (Table 2).

Survival status

Receipt of nephrectomy demonstrated significant survival advantage (HR = 0.31, 95% CI: 0.30–0.33) in the adjusted hazards ratio model. Median OS was 3 months in the non-CN group and 18 months in the CN group (Table 3 and Figure 2A). The demographic predictors of improved survival were age <63, white race, married status, and insured status (Table 3). Clear cell histology (HR = 1.20, 95% CI: 1.16–1.24) and well-differentiated grade are the disease-related characteristics that portend for improved OS (Figure 2B). The latter demonstrated improved OS despite a lower, 43% CN rate as compared to 84% in the undifferentiated histology group. The histology grades in SEER of well, moderate, poor, and undifferentiated RCC are likely to be concordant with the conventional Fuhrman 1–4 grading (Table 3).

Comorbidity analyses in Metro Detroit Registry

The data regarding comorbid conditions were only available for the Metro Detroit (MDCSS) Registry. While not collected as a population-based variable, comorbidity status was coded for 82% of regional and 76% of metastatic kidney cancers seen in Metropolitan Detroit. Nephrectomy was performed in 92% of regional stage and 34% of distant stage patients; our analysis pertains to only the distant-stage patients. Dichotomous comorbidities (yes or no for: hypertension, cardiac disease, diabetes mellitus, kidney disease, and lung disease) and number of comorbid conditions (none, 1, 2, 3+, and missing) are included in the patient characteristics of MDSCC (Table 4). The demographic and tumor characteristics were concordant with the all SEER data; 44.9% of the patients had none of the comorbidities. Adjusted demographic predictors of increased likelihood of receiving nephrectomy for Metropolitan Detroit patients were age < 64 years, non-black race, and married status. Non-clear cell histology (OR = 2.16, 95% CI: 1.42–3.28) and undifferentiated or high also predicted a higher likelihood of CN. Adding comorbidities and number of comorbidities to the model did not impact the likelihood of receiving nephrectomy. All comorbidity types and numbers were not statistically significant in terms of predicting the likelihood of CN and OS (Table 5). Patients with hypertension (OR = 1.75, 95% CI: 1.42–2.14) or diabetes mellitus had greater likelihood of receiving nephrectomy (OR = 1.16, 95% CI: 0.42, 3.21). The group of patients with missing comorbidity data (261/1104, 23.6%) had a significantly shorter OS in both CN and non-CN categories. The actual correlation of comorbidities with likelihood of CN remains elusive due to the group with missing data constituting 24% of the population; 26% of this group had a
Table 1: Population Characteristics and Nephrectomy Rates of All SEER Kidney Cancer, Regional or Distant Stage: 2000–2013.

| Registry                        | Regional 18,837 |          |          | Distant 18,422 |          |          |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------------|----------|----------|
|                                 | N              | Distribution (%) | Nephr Rate (%) | N              | Distribution (%) | Nephr Rate (%) |
| Alaska                          | 22             | 0        | 91       | 28             | 0        | 32       |
| Atlanta                         | 540            | 3        | 92       | 558            | 3        | 41       |
| California (excl SF, SJM, & LA) | 4196           | 22       | 94       | 4195           | 23       | 43       |
| Connecticut                     | 869            | 5        | 93       | 794            | 4        | 37       |
| Detroit                         | 939            | 5        | 93       | 947            | 5        | 39       |
| Georgia (greater)               | 1381           | 7        | 92       | 1307           | 7        | 39       |
| Hawaii                          | 356            | 2        | 98       | 276            | 1        | 45       |
| Iowa                            | 896            | 5        | 96       | 921            | 5        | 43       |
| Kentucky                        | 1234           | 7        | 94       | 1184           | 6        | 43       |
| Los Angeles                     | 1845           | 10       | 95       | 1830           | 10       | 45       |
| Louisiana                       | 1370           | 7        | 91       | 1334           | 7        | 38       |
| New Jersey                      | 1985           | 11       | 94       | 1849           | 10       | 41       |
| New Mexico                      | 538            | 3        | 91       | 522            | 3        | 33       |
| Rural Georgia                   | 32             | 0        | 97       | 37             | 0        | 35       |
| San Francisco                   | 779            | 4        | 93       | 848            | 5        | 45       |
| San Jose                        | 421            | 2        | 95       | 448            | 2        | 43       |
| Seattle                         | 977            | 5        | 94       | 980            | 5        | 42       |
| Utah                            | 457            | 2        | 95       | 364            | 2        | 50       |
| **Age at diagnosis (year)**     |                |          |          |                |          |          |
| Under 20                        | 626            | 3        | 98       | 502            | 3        | 91       |
| 20–39                           | 577            | 3        | 96       | 452            | 2        | 55       |
| 40–49                           | 1937           | 10       | 97       | 1855           | 10       | 56       |
| 50–59                           | 4422           | 23       | 97       | 4511           | 24       | 51       |
| 60–69                           | 5369           | 29       | 95       | 5184           | 28       | 42       |
| 70–79                           | 4122           | 22       | 93       | 3762           | 20       | 31       |
| 80 or older                     | 1784           | 9        | 78       | 2156           | 12       | 12       |
| **Sex**                         |                |          |          |                |          |          |
| Female                          | 6280           | 33       | 92       | 6185           | 34       | 39       |
| Male                            | 12,557         | 67       | 95       | 12,237         | 66       | 43       |
| **Race**                        |                |          |          |                |          |          |
| Black                           | 1612           | 9        | 90       | 1926           | 10       | 35       |
Table 1 (Continued): Population Characteristics and Nephrectomy Rates of All SEER Kidney Cancer, Regional or Distant Stage: 2000–2013.

|                          | Regional 18,837 |                  | Distant 18,422 |                  |
|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
|                          | N              | Distribution (%)| Neph Rate (%)  | N              | Distribution (%)| Neph Rate (%)  |
| White                    | 16,020         | 85              | 94             | 15,241         | 83              | 42             |
| Other                    | 1102           | 6               | 96             | 1208           | 7               | 44             |
| Unknown                  | 103            | 1               | 98             | 47             | 0               | 40             |
| **Marital status**       |                |                  |                |                |                  |                |
| Married                  | 11,556         | 61              | 96             | 10,482         | 57              | 46             |
| Divorced                 | 1700           | 9               | 92             | 1994           | 11              | 39             |
| Single                   | 2959           | 16              | 95             | 3077           | 17              | 45             |
| Widowed                  | 1890           | 10              | 85             | 2230           | 12              | 22             |
| Unknown                  | 732            | 4               | 85             | 639            | 3               | 33             |
| **Insurance**            |                |                  |                |                |                  |                |
| Insured                  | 8449           | 45              | 95             | 7573           | 41              | 43             |
| Uninsured/medicaid       | 1530           | 8               | 93             | 1887           | 10              | 36             |
| Unknown/missing          | 8858           | 47              | 93             | 8962           | 49              | 41             |
| **Histology**            |                |                  |                |                |                  |                |
| Clear cell               | 14,287         | 76              | 94             | 13,952         | 76              | 39             |
| Renal cell carcinoma, Chromophobe | 662       | 4               | 98             | 125            | 1               | 76             |
| Renal cell carcinoma, Sarcomatoid | 488       | 3               | 95             | 878            | 5               | 62             |
| Collecting duct carcinoma| 90             | 0               | 94             | 98             | 1               | 67             |
| Papillary carcinoma, Oxyphilic cell | 0           | 0               | -              | 1              | 0               | 100            |
| Other non-clear cell     | 3310           | 18              | 93             | 3368           | 18              | 46             |
| **Grade**                |                |                  |                |                |                  |                |
| Well differentiated      | 859            | 5               | 97             | 318            | 2               | 45             |
| Moderately differentiated| 5513           | 29              | 99             | 1750           | 9               | 71             |
| Poorly differentiated    | 5902           | 31              | 98             | 3653           | 20              | 73             |
| Undifferentiated         | 2319           | 12              | 98             | 2233           | 12              | 86             |
| Unknown                  | 4244           | 23              | 78             | 10,468         | 57              | 16             |
| **Surgery**              |                |                  |                |                |                  |                |
| Nephrectomy              | 17,665         | 94              | 7660           | 42             |
| Other surgery            | 142            | 1               | 179            | 1              |
| No surgery               | 1016           | 5               | 10,500         | 57             |
| Unknown if Surgery done  | 14             | 0               | 83             | 0              |

Nephr Rate, nephrectomy rate.
Table 2: All SEER Distant Stage Kidney Cancer: 2000–2013; Odd Ratios for Likelihood of Nephrectomy.

|                          | Total | N 18422 | Nephr. Rate 42 (%) | Unadjusted OR | Adjusted OR | 95% CI          | p-value |
|--------------------------|-------|---------|---------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|---------|
| **Age at Diagnosis Diagnosis (median 63)** |       |         |                     |               |             |                 |         |
| (ref) Under 63           |       | 8982    | 53                  | 1.00          | 1.00        |                 |         |
| 63 or Older              |       | 9440    | 31                  | 0.39          | 0.41        | (0.38–0.44)     | <0.001  |
| **Race (47 missing)**    |       |         |                     |               |             |                 |         |
| (ref) Black              |       | 1926    | 35                  | 1.00          | 1.00        |                 |         |
| White                    |       | 15241   | 42                  | 1.34          | 1.51        | (1.33–1.72)     | <0.001  |
| Other                    |       | 1208    | 44                  | 1.43          | 1.52        | (1.25–1.84)     | <0.001  |
| Unknown                  |       | 47      | 40                  |               |             |                 |         |
| **Insurance**            |       |         |                     |               |             |                 |         |
| (ref) Insured            |       | 7573    | 43                  | 1.00          | 1.00        |                 |         |
| Medicaid or Uninsured    |       | 1887    | 36                  | 0.75          | 0.65        | (0.57–0.75)     | <0.001  |
| Unknown or Missing       |       | 8962    | 41                  | 0.93          | 1.17        | (1.08–1.27)     | <0.001  |
| **Histology**            |       |         |                     |               |             |                 |         |
| (ref) Clear Cell         |       | 13952   | 39                  | 1.00          | 1.00        |                 |         |
| Non-Clear Cell           |       | 4470    | 50                  | 1.59          | 1.58        | (1.45–1.73)     | <0.001  |
| **Grade**                |       |         |                     |               |             |                 |         |
| (ref) Well differentiated |       | 318     | 45                  | 1.00          | 1.00        |                 |         |
| Moderately differentiated |       | 1750    | 71                  | 3.06          | 3.35        | (2.59–4.33)     | <0.001  |
| Poorly differentiated    |       | 3653    | 73                  | 3.32          | 3.24        | (2.53–4.13)     | <0.001  |
| Undifferentiated         |       | 2233    | 86                  | 7.54          | 7.15        | (5.49–9.31)     | <0.001  |
| Unknown                  |       | 10468   | 16                  | 0.24          | 0.23        | (0.18–0.29)     | <0.001  |
| **Sex**                  |       |         |                     |               |             |                 |         |
| (ref) Female             |       | 6185    | 39                  | 1.00          |             |                 |         |
| Male                     |       | 12237   | 43                  | 1.16          |             |                 |         |
| **Marital Status**       |       |         |                     |               |             |                 |         |
| (ref) Married            |       | 10482   | 46                  | 1.00          |             |                 |         |
| Divorced                 |       | 1994    | 39                  | 0.75          |             |                 |         |
| Single                   |       | 3077    | 45                  | 0.96          |             |                 |         |
| Widowed                  |       | 2230    | 22                  | 0.34          |             |                 |         |
| Unknown                  |       | 639     | 33                  | 0.58          |             |                 |         |
| **Sex by Marital Status**|       |         |                     |               |             |                 |         |
| Married                  |       |         |                     |               |             |                 |         |
| (ref) Female             |       | 2715    | 46                  | 1.00          | 1.00        |                 |         |
nephrectomy and demonstrated a median OS of 8 months as compared to the 76% with a median OS of 2 months (Tables 4 and 5; Figure 3A and 3B).

Discussion

Our analysis reveals that CN is not being conducted in the majority of patients that present with synchronous metastatic RCC. There is a tremendous discordance between the population of advanced RCC enrolled in prospective interventional clinical trials in which 80–90% of the patients have had nephrectomy, and the application of these reported efficacy data to clinical practice in which the majority of patients do not undergo CN. There is a discrepancy between the results of retrospective population-based data such as the SEER cancer registry outcomes data and the IMDC registry data in which OS is significantly better with CN, and those seen in prospective randomized trial results such as the CARMENA and SURTIME studies that show that CN is unlikely to make an impact on improving outcomes in the setting of contemporary anti-VEGF therapy such as sunitinib (9). SEER data consistently demonstrate that lack of CN is the largest contributor of shorter OS in RCC patients with distant-stage RCC. The factors included within the IMDC are not captured within the SEER database, and hence, comparison of patients within these different registries is not possible. However, it is highly likely that the extent of the impact of each factor on OS may not be equal, and interaction between the factors is likely. In addition, it is possible that synchronous presentation of primary kidney tumor and metastases represents a distinct disease entity than the presentation with metastatic disease following a remote history of nephrectomy (>12 months) for localized renal cancer.

The advent of immune therapy with checkpoint inhibitors has introduced a new perspective to the sequencing of CN and systemic therapy in metastatic RCC. Preclinical data continue to emerge supporting the optimal use of immune checkpoint inhibition with the primary tumor in place, due to higher mutation load, increased heterogeneity of mutations and greater potential for anti-tumor CD8 T cells expansion and cytokine release (10, 11). These findings demand a deeper evaluation of the established paradigm of initial CN in metastatic RCC followed by systemic therapy. In fact, this standard is already being questioned in localized RCC by the current cooperative group trial EA8143/PROSPER, which randomizes patients to standard therapy of CN or neoadjuvant PD-1 inhibitor therapy with nivolumab followed by CN. In the metastatic disease also, deferral of nephrectomy as a potential method of enhancing the efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibition needs to be prospectively evaluated. SEER-18 limited use data do not include systemic agents, and this was a limitation of our analysis. A Southwest Oncology Group trial, S1931, is proposing to evaluate immune checkpoint inhibitor-based regimen combination with or without the addition of CN.

The timing of surgery in the nephrectomy arm will be at the end of 12 weeks of immune therapy to optimize the impact of systemic therapy. The study design is that of a randomized phase III with overall survival as the primary endpoint.
Table 3: All SEER Distant Stage Kidney Cancer (2000–2013) Survival Analysis (Median Survival, Adjusted Hazard Ratio, 95% Confidence Interval, and P-value).

| Total | N 18422 | Median Survival (months) | HR | 95% CI | p-value |
|-------|---------|--------------------------|----|--------|---------|
|       |         | Nephr. 18 | No Nephr. 5 |       |         |
| Age at Diagnosis (median 63) | | | | | |
| (ref) Under 63 | 8982 | 20 | 25 | 1.00 | | |
| 63 or Older | 9440 | 16 | 4 | 1.16 | (1.12–1.20) | <0.001 |
| Sex | | | | | |
| (ref) Female | 6185 | 18 | 4 | 1.00 | | |
| Male | 12237 | 19 | 5 | 0.99 | (0.96–1.03) | 0.702 |
| Race (47 missing) | | | | | |
| (ref) Black | 1926 | 16 | 4 | 1.00 | | |
| White | 15241 | 25 | 5 | 0.98 | (0.93–1.02) | 0.342 |
| Other | 1208 | 18 | 5 | 0.88 | (0.81–0.95) | 0.002 |
| Marital Status | | | | | |
| (ref) Married | 10482 | 17 | 5 | 1.00 | | |
| Divorced | 1994 | 16 | 5 | 1.05 | (1.00–1.11) | 0.051 |
| Single | 3077 | 29 | 5 | 0.86 | (0.82–0.90) | <0.001 |
| Widowed | 2230 | 14 | 4 | 1.09 | (1.04–1.15) | 0.001 |
| Unknown | 639 | 20 | 5 | 0.86 | (0.79–0.94) | 0.001 |
| Insurance | | | | | |
| (ref) Insured | 7573 | 20 | 5 | 1.00 | | |
| Medicaid or Uninsured | 1887 | 21 | 5 | 1.03 | (0.97–1.09) | 0.427 |
| Unknown or Missing | 8962 | 16 | 4 | 1.16 | (1.12–1.20) | 0.001 |
| Histology | | | | | |
| (ref) Clear Cell | 13952 | 20 | 5 | 1.00 | | |
| Non-Clear Cell | 4470 | 13 | 4 | 1.16 | (1.12–1.21) | <0.001 |
| Grade | | | | | |
| (ref) Well differentiated | 318 | 35 | 9 | 1.00 | | |
| Moderately differentiated | 1750 | 30 | 6 | 1.30 | (1.13–1.49) | <0.001 |
| Poorly differentiated | 3653 | 18 | 4 | 1.86 | (1.63–2.13) | <0.001 |
| Undifferentiated | 2233 | 11 | 3 | 2.47 | (2.16–2.83) | <0.001 |
| Unknown | 10468 | 26 | 5 | 1.48 | (1.30–1.69) | <0.001 |
| Surgery | | | | | |
| No Nephrectomy | 10762 | - | 5 | 1.00 | | |
| Nephrectomy | 7660 | 18 | - | 0.34 | (0.32–0.35) | <0.001 |

95% CI, 95% confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; Nephr, nephrectomy.
Figure 2: (A) Overall survival data for nephrectomy or non-nephrectomy patients with advanced kidney cancer in SEER database. (B) Overall survival outcomes in metastatic RCC by clear and non-clear histology with or without nephrectomy.

Conclusions

National population registry represented by the SEER database shows that the majority of patients (63%) with metastatic RCC do not undergo CN. Nephrectomy still represents a significant factor that predicts for improved OS outcome in advanced RCC within population databases such as SEER and NCDB. Median OS of 3 months in the non-CN group and that of 18 months for cases with CN suggests the large magnitude of the disparity. Patient demographics and tumor characteristics make a significant impact on the incidence of CN. The impact of comorbidities (number and type) appeared to be modest within the population represented in the Metropolitan Detroit SEER data and was not statistically significant. The optimal management strategy of patients with advanced RCC unable to undergo nephrectomy needs to be prospectively
Table 4: Metropolitan Detroit Distant Stage Kidney Cancer: 2000–2013 Odd Ratios for Likelihood of Nephrectomy.

| Total          | N 1008 | Distribution | Nephr. Rate 37 (%) | Adjusted OR | 95% CI       | p-value |
|----------------|--------|--------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| Age at Diagnosis (median 64) |        |              |                    |             |              |         |
| (ref) Under 64   | 498    | 49           | 51                 | 1.00        |              |         |
| 64 or Older      | 510    | 51           | 23                 | 0.29        | (0.21–0.39)  | <0.001  |
| Sex             |        |              |                    |             |              |         |
| (ref) Female     | 338    | 34           | 36                 | 1.00        |              |         |
| Male             | 670    | 66           | 37                 | 0.87        | (0.64–1.19)  | 0.389   |
| Race            |        |              |                    |             |              |         |
| (ref) Black      | 206    | 20           | 34                 | 1.00        |              |         |
| White            | 788    | 78           | 38                 | 1.48        | (1.03–2.13)  | 0.035   |
| Other            | 14     | 1            | 36                 | -           |              |         |
| Marital Status  |        |              |                    |             |              |         |
| (ref) Married    | 507    | 50           | 40                 | 1.00        |              |         |
| Divorced         | 118    | 12           | 31                 | 0.60        | (0.38–0.95)  | 0.031   |
| Single           | 202    | 20           | 43                 | 0.81        | (0.56–1.19)  | 0.285   |
| Widowed          | 146    | 14           | 21                 | 0.51        | (0.31–0.83)  | 0.006   |
| Unknown          | 35     | 3            | 37                 | 1.13        | (0.52–2.44)  | 0.757   |
| Insurance       |        |              |                    |             |              |         |
| (ref) Insured    | 663    | 66           | 38                 | 1.00        |              |         |
| Medicaid or Uninsured | 277 | 27          | 40                 | 1.12        | (0.79–1.59)  | 0.524   |
| Unknown or Missing | 67    | 7            | 18                 | 0.41        | (0.21–0.81)  | 0.010   |
| Histology       |        |              |                    |             |              |         |
| (ref) Clear Cell | 695    | 69           | 34                 | 1.00        |              |         |
| Non-Clear Cell   | 313    | 31           | 45                 | 1.68        | (1.25–2.27)  | 0.001   |
| Grade           |        |              |                    |             |              |         |
| (ref) Well or Mod Diff | 75 | 7          | 44                 | left out of model because of the large proportion of unknowns | | |
| Poorly Diff      | 168    | 17           | 70                 |             |              |         |
| Undifferentiated | 152    | 15           | 81                 |             |              |         |
| Unknown          | 613    | 61           | 16                 |             |              |         |
| Number of Comorbid conditions |      |              |                    |             |              |         |
| (ref) None of the above | 465 | 46          | 36                 | 1.00        |              |         |
| One              | 165    | 16           | 41                 | 1.25        | (0.84–1.87)  | 0.269   |
| Two or more      | 156    | 15           | 46                 | 1.76        | (1.17–2.66)  | 0.007   |
| Missing data     | 222    | 22           | 31                 | 0.80        | (0.54–1.18)  | 0.249   |

95% CI, 95% confidence interval; Mod Diff, moderately differentiated.
Table 5: Metropolitan Detroit Distant Stage Kidney Cancer: 2000–2013 Survival Analysis by Nephrectomy Status for Demographic Characteristics and Comorbidities.

| Total | N 1008 | Median Survival (months) | HR | 95% CI | p-value |
|-------|--------|--------------------------|----|--------|---------|
|       |        | Nepr. 19 | No Nepr. 5 |    |        |         |
| Age at Diagnosis (median 64) |        |            |            |    |        |         |
| (ref) Under 64 | 551 | 22 | 5 | 1.00 |        |         |
| 64 or Older | 553 | 15 | 5 | 1.08 | (0.93–1.25) | 0.325 |
| Sex |        |            |            |    |        |         |
| (ref) Female | 370 | 19 | 5 | 1.00 |        |         |
| Male | 734 | 20 | 5 | 1.00 | (0.86–1.16) | 0.990 |
| Race (55 missing) |        |            |            |    |        |         |
| (ref) Black | 232 | 30 | 4 | 1.00 |        |         |
| White | 857 | 18 | 5 | 1.02 | (0.86–1.21) | 0.824 |
| Other | 15 | - | 5 | 0.71 | (0.49–1.02) | 0.064 |
| Marital Status |        |            |            |    |        |         |
| (ref) Married | 552 | 18 | 5 | 1.00 |        |         |
| Divorced | 122 | 12 | 4 | 1.18 | (0.95–1.46) | 0.145 |
| Single | 222 | 43 | 4 | 0.76 | (0.62–0.93) | 0.007 |
| Widowed | 169 | 17 | 4 | 1.02 | (0.82–1.25) | 0.891 |
| Unknown | 39 | 16 | 8 | 0.71 | (0.49–1.02) | 0.064 |
| Insurance |        |            |            |    |        |         |
| (ref) Insured | 720 | 18 | 5 | 1.00 |        |         |
| Medicaid or Uninsured | 309 | 22 | 4 | 1.02 | (0.86–1.22) | 0.784 |
| Unknown or Missing | 75 | 31 | 4 | 1.35 | (1.03–1.78) | 0.032 |
| Histology |        |            |            |    |        |         |
| (ref) Clear Cell | 755 | 20 | 5 | 1.00 |        |         |
| Non-Clear Cell | 349 | 18.5 | 4 | 1.17 | (1.01–1.36) | 0.037 |
| Grade |        |            |            |    |        |         |
| (ref) Well or Mod Diff | 76 | 35 | 9 | 1.17 | (1.01–1.36) | 0.037 |
| Poorly Diff | 178 | 19 | 4 | 1.17 | (1.01–1.36) | 0.037 |
| Undifferentiated | 158 | 12 | 3 | 1.17 | (1.01–1.36) | 0.037 |
| Unknown | 692 | 36 | 5 | 1.17 | (1.01–1.36) | 0.037 |
| Surgery |        |            |            |    |        |         |
| No Nephrectomy | 726 | - | 3 | 1.00 |        |         |
| Nephrectomy | 378 | 19 | - | 0.40 | (0.34–0.46) | <0.001 |

*left out of model because of the large proportion of unknowns*
Table 5 (Continued): Metropolitan Detroit Distant Stage Kidney Cancer: 2000–2013 Survival Analysis by Nephrectomy Status for Demographic Characteristics and Comorbidities.

| Total       | N 1008 | Median Survival (months) | HR | 95% CI      | p-value |
|-------------|--------|--------------------------|----|-------------|---------|
|             |        | Neph. 19 | No Neph. 5 |              |         |
| Number of Comorbid conditions |        |            |           |              |         |
| (ref) None of the above | 496    | 24         | 5          | 1.00        |         |
| One         | 178    | 24         | 4          | 1.12        | (0.92–1.36) | 0.275   |
| Two or more | 169    | 18         | 5          | 1.10        | (0.90–1.35) | 0.370   |
| Missing data| 261    | 8.5        | 4          | 1.68        | (1.39–2.01) | <0.001  |

95% CI, 95% confidence interval; Mod Diff, moderately differentiated; Nephr, nephrectomy.

Figure 3: (A) Overall survival noted in cases with nephrectomy correlated with missing, absence, and presence of comorbidities. (B) Overall survival seen in cases with no nephrectomy correlated with missing, absence, and presence of comorbidities.
evaluated in future clinical trials, in the setting of contemporary systemic therapy.

The subgroup of synchronous primary and metastatic kidney cancer has been underrepresented in clinical trials. Future studies geared toward addressing the issues of optimal efficacy of therapy within this patient population are being planned. Patient and disease characteristics that determine decision of CN warrant further study and may represent a key to the enhancement of outcomes in advanced renal cancer.
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