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Abstract. There is a concept in linear algebra called a tridiagonal pair. The concept was motivated by the theory of $Q$-polynomial distance-regular graphs. We give a tutorial introduction to tridiagonal pairs, working with a special case as a concrete example. The special case is called totally bipartite, or totally bipartite (TB). Starting from first principles, we give an elementary but comprehensive account of TB tridiagonal pairs. The following topics are discussed: (i) the notion of a TB tridiagonal system; (ii) the eigenvalue array; (iii) the standard basis and matrix representations; (iv) the intersection numbers; (v) the Askey–Wilson relations; (vi) a recurrence involving the eigenvalue array; (vii) the classification of TB tridiagonal systems; (viii) self-dual TB tridiagonal pairs and systems; (ix) the $Z_3$-symmetric Askey–Wilson relations; (x) some automorphisms and antiautomorphisms associated with a TB tridiagonal pair; and (xi) an action of the modular group $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ associated with a TB tridiagonal pair.
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1. Introduction. This paper is about a linear algebraic object called a tridiagonal pair [71, Definition 1.1]. Before describing our purpose in detail, we give the definition of a tridiagonal pair. Let $F$ denote a field and let $V$ denote a vector space over $F$ with finite positive dimension. A tridiagonal pair on $V$ is an ordered pair of $F$-linear maps $A : V \to V$ and $A^* : V \to V$ that satisfy the following conditions (i)–(iv).

(i) Each of $A$, $A^*$ is diagonalizable.

(ii) There exists an ordering $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^{d}$ of the eigenspaces of $A$ such that

\begin{equation}
A^*V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d),
\end{equation}

where $V_{-1} = 0$ and $V_{d+1} = 0$.

(iii) There exists an ordering $\{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^{\delta}$ of the eigenspaces of $A^*$ such that

\begin{equation}
AV_i^* \subseteq V_{i-1}^* + V_i^* + V_{i+1}^* \quad (0 \leq i \leq \delta),
\end{equation}

where $V_{-1}^* = 0$ and $V_{\delta+1}^* = 0$.

(iv) There does not exist a subspace $W \subseteq V$ such that $AW \subseteq W$, $A^*W \subseteq W$, $W \neq 0$, $W \neq V$.

We summarize the history behind the tridiagonal pair concept. There is a type of finite undirected graph said to be distance-regular (see [31]). In [39], Delsarte investigated a class of distance-regular graphs said to be $Q$-polynomial. Given a $Q$-polynomial distance-regular graph, Delsarte obtained two sequences of orthogonal polynomials that are related by what is now called Askey–Wilson duality. In [93], Leonard classified the pairs of orthogonal polynomial sequences that obey this duality. He found that all the solutions come from the terminating branch of the Askey scheme, which consists of the $q$-Racah polynomials and their
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limits (see [13]). In [15], Bannai and Ito gave a thorough study of $Q$-polynomial distance-regular graphs, including a detailed version of Leonard’s theorem (see [15, Theorem 5.1]). In [132–134], the second author introduced an algebra $T = T(x)$, called the subconstituent algebra (or Terwilliger algebra), to analyze the subconstituents of a $Q$-polynomial distance-regular graph $\Gamma$ with respect to a fixed vertex $x$. The algebra $T$ is generated by the adjacency matrix $A$ of $\Gamma$ and a certain diagonal matrix $A^*$, called the dual adjacency matrix of $\Gamma$ with respect to $x$. In [132, Lemmas 3.9, 3.12], it was observed that each of $A, A^*$ acts on the eigenspaces of the other one in a block-tridiagonal fashion. This observation motivated the definition of a tridiagonal pair (see [71, Definition 1.1]).

This paper is meant for graduate students and researchers who seek an introduction to tridiagonal pairs. The theory of tridiagonal pairs is extensive, and some proofs are rather intricate. So for the beginner, it is best to start with a special case. In this paper, we consider a special case said to be totally bipartite (TB). A pair of $F$-linear maps $A : V \to V$ and $A^* : V \to V$ is called a TB tridiagonal pair whenever it satisfies the above conditions (i)–(iv), with (1.1) replaced by

\begin{equation}
A^* V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} + V_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d),
\end{equation}

and (1.2) replaced by

\begin{equation}
A V_i^* \subseteq V_{i-1}^* + V_{i+1}^* \quad (0 \leq i \leq \delta).
\end{equation}

We are not the first authors to consider the TB tridiagonal pairs. A number of previous articles are effectively about this topic, although they may not use the term. We now summarize these articles. In [32], Brown classified up to isomorphism a certain class of TB tridiagonal pairs, said to have Bannai/Ito type. Later in [64], Gao, Hou, and Wang classified up to isomorphism all the TB tridiagonal pairs. This classification separates the TB tridiagonal pairs into three infinite families, called Krawtchouk, Bannai/Ito, and $q$-Racah. It has been shown that a TB tridiagonal pair can be extended to a Leonard triple in the sense of Curtin [35]. This result is due to Balmaceda and Maralit for Krawtchouk type (see [14]), Brown for Bannai/Ito type (see [32]), and Gao, Hou, Wang for $q$-Racah type (see [64]). In [138], the second author showed that a finite-dimensional irreducible module for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ gives a TB tridiagonal pair of Krawtchouk type. In [7], Alnajjar and Curtin obtained a similar result using the equitable basis for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. The anticommutator spin algebra was introduced by Arik and Kayserilioglu [12]. In [32], Brown showed that certain irreducible modules for this algebra give TB tridiagonal pairs of Bannai/Ito type. In [59, 68], Havlíček, Pošta and Huang showed that certain irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$-modules give TB tridiagonal pairs of $q$-Racah type. We remark that in all the above articles except [7,138] the field $F$ is assumed to be algebraically closed.

We just summarized the previous articles that are effectively about TB tridiagonal pairs. Among these, the articles [7,14,32,35,63,64,68] explicitly refer to the concept of a tridiagonal pair. What these cited papers have in common is that they invoke results about general tridiagonal pairs and then specialize to the TB case. In our view, this makes the theory more complicated than necessary. In our approach, we examine TB tridiagonal pairs from first principles and do not invoke any results from the literature about general tridiagonal pairs. For most of our results, we do not assume that $F$ is algebraically closed.

In this paper, we discuss the following topics: (i) the notion of a TB tridiagonal system; (ii) the eigenvalue array; (iii) the standard basis and matrix representations; (iv) the intersection numbers; (v) the Askey–Wilson relations; (vi) a recurrence involving the eigenvalue array; (vii) the classification of TB tridiagonal systems; (viii) self-dual TB tridiagonal pairs and systems; (ix) the $\mathbb{Z}_3$-symmetric Askey–Wilson relations;
(x) some automorphisms and antiautomorphisms associated with a TB tridiagonal pair; and (xi) an action of the modular group $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ associated with a TB tridiagonal pair.

We now summarize our results in detail. Let $V$ denote a vector space over $\mathbb{F}$ with finite positive dimension. Let $\text{End}(V)$ denote the $\mathbb{F}$-algebra consisting of the $\mathbb{F}$-linear maps from $V$ to $V$. Let $A,A^*$ denote a TB tridiagonal pair on $V$. Fix an ordering $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^\delta$) of the eigenspaces of $A$ (resp. $A^*$) that satisfies (1.3) (resp. (1.4)). For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let $E_i \in \text{End}(V)$ denote the projection onto $V_i$. The elements $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$ are called the primitive idempotents of $A$. The primitive idempotents $\{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^\delta$ of $A^*$ are similarly defined. We call the sequence $\Phi = (A;\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d;A^*;\{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^\delta)$ a TB tridiagonal system. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let $\theta_i$ denote the eigenvalue of $A$ for the eigenspace $E_iV$, and for $0 \leq i \leq \delta$ let $\theta_i^*$ denote the eigenvalue of $A^*$ for the eigenspace $E_i^*V$. We call the sequence $((\theta_i)_{i=0}^d;\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^\delta)$ the eigenvalue array of $\Phi$. We show that $d = \delta$ (see Corollary 4.11). We show that the eigenspaces $E_iV$, $E_i^*V$ have dimension 1 for $0 \leq i \leq d$ (see Corollary 4.11). Fix a nonzero $v \in E_0V$ and define $v_i = E_i^*v$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$). We show that $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$ form a basis for $V$ (see Lemma 4.10). With respect to this basis, the matrix representing $A^*$ is diagonal and the matrix representing $A$ is tridiagonal with diagonal entries all zero. Let $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^d$ (resp. $\{b_i\}_{i=0}^{d-1}$) denote the subdiagonal entries (resp. superdiagonal entries) of this tridiagonal matrix. The scalars $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^d$ and $\{b_i\}_{i=0}^{d-1}$ are called the intersection numbers of $\Phi$. We represent these intersection numbers in terms of the eigenvalue array (see Lemma 5.4). Using this we show that a TB tridiagonal system is uniquely determined up to isomorphism by its eigenvalue array (see Corollary 5.5). We show that $A,A^*$ satisfy a pair of equations called the Askey–Wilson relations (see lines (8.42), (8.43)). We show that there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$\theta_{i-1} - \beta \theta_i + \theta_{i+1} = 0, \quad \theta_{i-1}^* - \beta \theta_i^* + \theta_{i+1}^* = 0,$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ (see Proposition 9.10). We classify the TB tridiagonal systems up to isomorphism in terms of the eigenvalue array (see Theorem 11.1). We represent the eigenvalue array in closed form (see Examples 13.3–13.6). The TB tridiagonal pair $A,A^*$ is said to be self-dual whenever it is isomorphic to $A^*,A$. We show that there exists $0 \neq \zeta \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\zeta A,A^*$ is self-dual (see Lemma 14.8). In Theorem 14.9, we show that if $A,A^*$ is self-dual, then the following TB tridiagonal pairs are mutually isomorphic:

$$(1.5) \quad A,A^*, \quad A,-A, \quad -A,A^*, \quad -A,-A^*,$$

$$(A^*,A), \quad A^*,-A, \quad -A^*,A, \quad -A^*,-A.$$
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to isomorphism. In Sections 12 and 13, we examine in detail the eigenvalue array of a TB tridiagonal system. In Section 14, we show that in the self-dual case the TB tridiagonal pairs (1.5) are mutually isomorphic. In Section 15, we put the Askey–Wilson relations in $\mathbb{Z}_2$-symmetric form. In Sections 16 and 17, we obtain some automorphisms and anti-automorphisms of $\text{End}(V)$ that act on a given TB tridiagonal pair in an attractive manner. In Section 18, we discuss an action of $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. In Section 19, we describe the general tridiagonal pairs.

2. Preliminaries. In this section, we recall some materials from linear algebra. Throughout the paper, we use the following notation. Let $F$ denote a field and let $\overline{F}$ denote the algebraic closure of $F$. For an integer $n \geq 0$, let $\text{Mat}_{n+1}(\overline{F})$ denote the $\overline{F}$-algebra consisting of the $n+1$ by $n+1$ matrices that have all entries in $F$. We index the rows and columns by $0, 1, \ldots, n$. Let $F^{n+1}$ denote the vector space over $\overline{F}$ consisting of the column vectors of length $n+1$ that have all entries in $F$. We index the rows by $0, 1, \ldots, n$. Let $V$ denote a vector space over $F$ with finite positive dimension. Let $\text{End}(V)$ denote the $\overline{F}$-algebra consisting of the $\overline{F}$-linear maps from $V$ to $V$. For the $\overline{F}$-algebras $\text{Mat}_{n+1}(\overline{F})$ and $\text{End}(V)$, the identity element is denoted by $I$. For $X \in \text{Mat}_{n+1}(\overline{F})$ let $X^t$ denote the transpose of $X$.

Let $A$ denote an element of $\text{End}(V)$. For $\theta \in F$ define $V(\theta) = \{ v \in V \mid Av = \theta v \}$. Observe that $V(\theta)$ is a subspace of $V$. The scalar $\theta$ is called an eigenvalue of $A$ whenever $V(\theta) \neq \emptyset$. In this case, $V(\theta)$ is called the eigenspace of $A$ corresponding to $\theta$. We say that $A$ is diagonalizable whenever $V$ is spanned by the eigenspaces of $A$. Assume that $A$ is diagonalizable. Let $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ denote an ordering of the eigenspaces of $A$. So $V = \sum_{i=0}^d V_i$ (direct sum). For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let $\theta_i$ denote the eigenvalue of $A$ corresponding to $V_i$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ define $E_i \in \text{End}(V)$ such that $(E_i - I)V_i = 0$ and $E_iV_j = 0$ if $j \neq i$ ($0 \leq j \leq d$). Thus, $E_i$ is the projection onto $V_i$. Observe that (i) $V_i = E_iV$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$); (ii) $E_iE_j = \delta_{i,j}E_i$ ($0 \leq i, j \leq d$); (iii) $I = \sum_{i=0}^d E_i$; (iv) $A = \sum_{i=0}^d \theta_iE_i$. Also

\begin{equation}
E_i = \prod_{0 \leq j \leq d \atop j \neq i} \frac{A - \theta_j I}{\theta_i - \theta_j} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).
\end{equation}

We call $E_i$ the primitive idempotent of $A$ for $\theta_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$). Let $M$ denote the subalgebra of $\text{End}(V)$ generated by $A$. Observe that $\{A^j\}_{j=0}^d$ is a basis for the $\overline{F}$-vector space $M$, and $\prod_{i=0}^d (A - \theta_i I) = 0$. Also observe that $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a basis for the $\overline{F}$-vector space $M$.

Let $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^n$ denote a basis for $V$. For $A \in \text{End}(V)$ and $X \in \text{Mat}_{n+1}(\overline{F})$, we say that $X$ represents $A$ with respect to $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^n$ whenever $AV_j = \sum_{i=0}^n X_{i,j}v_i$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$. For $A \in \text{End}(V)$ let $A^t$ denote the matrix in $\text{Mat}_{n+1}(\overline{F})$ that represents $A$ with respect to $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^n$. Then the map $\text{End}(V) \to \text{Mat}_{n+1}(\overline{F})$, $A \mapsto A^t$ is an isomorphism of $\overline{F}$-algebras.

For an $\overline{F}$-algebra $A$, by an automorphism of $A$ we mean an isomorphism of $\overline{F}$-algebras from $A$ to $A$. For an invertible $T \in A$, the map $X \mapsto T^{-1}XT$ is an automorphism of $A$, said to be inner. By the Skolem–Noether theorem [126, Corollary 7.125], every automorphism of $\text{End}(V)$ is inner.

At several places in the paper, we will discuss polynomials. Let $x$ denote an indeterminate. Let $F[x]$ denote the $F$-algebra consisting of the polynomials in $x$ that have all coefficients in $F$.

3. Totally bipartite tridiagonal pairs. In this section, we define a totally bipartite tridiagonal pair and obtain some basic facts about this object.
Definition 3.1 (See [32, Definition 1.2]). Let $V$ denote a vector space over $\mathbb{F}$ with finite positive dimension. A totally bipartite tridiagonal pair (or TB tridiagonal pair) on $V$ is an ordered pair $A, A^*$ of elements in $\text{End}(V)$ that satisfy the following (i)–(iv).

(i) Each of $A$, $A^*$ is diagonalizable.

(ii) There exists an ordering $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ of the eigenspaces of $A$ such that

\begin{equation}
A^* V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} + V_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d),
\end{equation}

where $V_{-1} = 0$ and $V_{d+1} = 0$.

(iii) There exists an ordering $\{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^\delta$ of the eigenspaces of $A^*$ such that

\begin{equation}
A V_i^* \subseteq V_{i-1}^* + V_{i+1}^* \quad (0 \leq i \leq \delta),
\end{equation}

where $V_{-1}^* = 0$ and $V_{\delta+1}^* = 0$.

(iv) There does not exist a subspace $W \subseteq V$ such that $A W \subseteq W$, $A^* W \subseteq W$, $W \neq 0$, $W \neq V$.

We say that $A, A^*$ is over $\mathbb{F}$. We call $V$ the underlying vector space.

Note 3.2. According to a common notational convention, $A^*$ denotes the conjugate-transpose of $A$. We are not using this convention. In a TB tridiagonal pair, the elements $A$ and $A^*$ are arbitrary subject to (i)–(iv) above.

Note 3.3. If $A, A^*$ is a TB tridiagonal pair on $V$, then so is $A^*, A$.

Note 3.4. Let $A, A^*$ denote a TB tridiagonal pair on $V$. Pick nonzero $\zeta, \zeta^* \in \mathbb{F}$. Then $\zeta A, \zeta^* A^*$ is a TB tridiagonal pair on $V$.

We mention a special case of a TB tridiagonal pair.

Example 3.5. Referring to Definition 3.1, assume that $\dim V = 1$ and $A = 0$, $A^* = 0$. Then, $A, A^*$ is a TB tridiagonal pair on $V$, said to be trivial.

Lemma 3.6. With reference to Definition 3.1, assume that $A, A^*$ is a TB tridiagonal pair on $V$. Then the following (i)–(vi) are equivalent: (i) $A, A^*$ is trivial; (ii) $d = 0$; (iii) $\delta = 0$; (iv) $A = 0$; (v) $A^* = 0$; (vi) $\dim V = 1$.

Proof. Routine.

For the rest of this section, let $V$ denote a vector space over $\mathbb{F}$ with finite positive dimension.

Let $A, A^*$ denote a TB tridiagonal pair on $V$. Let $V'$ denote a vector space over $\mathbb{F}$ with finite positive dimension, and let $A', A'^*$ denote a TB tridiagonal pair on $V'$. By an isomorphism of TB tridiagonal pairs from $A, A^*$ to $A', A'^*$, we mean an $\mathbb{F}$-linear bijection $\psi : V \rightarrow V'$ such that $\psi A = A' \psi$ and $\psi A^* = A'^* \psi$.

We say that $A, A^*$ and $A', A'^*$ are isomorphic whenever there exists an isomorphism of TB tridiagonal pairs from $A, A^*$ to $A', A'^*$.

Let $A, A^*$ denote a TB tridiagonal pair on $V$. An ordering $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ of the eigenspaces of $A$ is said to be standard whenever it satisfies (3.7). Let $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ denote a standard ordering of the eigenspaces of $A$. Then the ordering $\{V_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d$ is also standard and no further ordering is standard. An ordering of the eigenvalues or primitive idempotents for $A$ is said to be standard whenever the corresponding ordering of the eigenspaces is standard. Similar comments apply to $A^*$. 
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DEFINITION 3.7. By a TB tridiagonal system on $V$, we mean a sequence

$$\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^\delta),$$

of elements in $\text{End}(V)$ that satisfy the following (i)–(iii):

(i) $A, A^*$ is a TB tridiagonal pair on $V$;
(ii) $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a standard ordering of the primitive idempotents of $A$;
(iii) $\{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^\delta$ is a standard ordering of the primitive idempotents of $A^*$.

We say that $\Phi$ is over $\mathbb{F}$. We call $V$ the underlying vector space.

DEFINITION 3.8. Referring to Definition 3.7, for notational convenience define $E_{-1} = 0, E_{d+1} = 0,$ $E_{-1}^* = 0, E_{d+1}^* = 0$.

DEFINITION 3.9. Referring to Definition 3.7, we say that the TB tridiagonal pair $A, A^*$ and the TB tridiagonal system $\Phi$ are associated.

DEFINITION 3.10. A TB tridiagonal system is said to be trivial whenever the associated TB tridiagonal pair is trivial.

DEFINITION 3.11. Consider the TB tridiagonal system $\Phi$ from (3.9). For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let $\theta_i$ denote the eigenvalue of $A$ corresponding to $E_i$, and for $0 \leq i \leq \delta$ let $\theta_i^{\ast}$ denote the eigenvalue of $A^*$ corresponding to $E_i^*$. We call $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{\theta_i^{\ast}\}_{i=0}^\delta$) the eigenvalue sequence (resp. dual eigenvalue sequence) of $\Phi$. We call $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^{\ast}\}_{i=0}^\delta)$ the eigenvalue array of $\Phi$.

NOTE 3.12. Referring to Definition 3.11, the scalars $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ are mutually distinct and contained in $\mathbb{F}$. Moreover, the scalars $\{\theta_i^{\ast}\}_{i=0}^\delta$ are mutually distinct and contained in $\mathbb{F}$.

NOTE 3.13. Referring to Definition 3.11, assume that $\Phi$ is trivial. Then $\theta_0 = 0$ and $\theta_0^{\ast} = 0$.

LEMMA 3.14. Pick nonzero $\zeta, \zeta^* \in \mathbb{F}$. Let $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^\delta)$ denote a TB tridiagonal system on $V$, with eigenvalue array $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^{\ast}\}_{i=0}^\delta)$. Then

$$\zeta A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \zeta A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^\delta),$$

is a TB tridiagonal system on $V$, with eigenvalue array $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\zeta \theta_i^{\ast}\}_{i=0}^\delta)$.

Proof. By Definitions 3.7, 3.11 and linear algebra. \qed

Consider the TB tridiagonal system $\Phi$ from (3.9). Let $V'$ denote a vector space over $\mathbb{F}$ with finite positive dimension, and let

$$\Phi' = (A'; \{E_i'\}_{i=0}^d; A'^*; \{E_i'^*\}_{i=0}^\delta),$$

denote a TB tridiagonal system on $V'$. By an isomorphism of TB tridiagonal systems from $\Phi$ to $\Phi'$, we mean an $\mathbb{F}$-linear bijection $\psi : V \to V'$ such that $\psi A = A' \psi, \psi A^* = A'^* \psi, \psi E_i = E_i' \psi (0 \leq i \leq d), \psi E_i^* = E_i'^* \psi (0 \leq i \leq \delta)$. We say that $\Phi$ and $\Phi'$ are isomorphic whenever there exists an isomorphism of TB tridiagonal systems from $\Phi$ to $\Phi'$. Note that isomorphic TB tridiagonal systems have the same eigenvalue array.

Given a TB tridiagonal system $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^\delta)$ on $V$, each of the following is a TB tridiagonal system on $V$:

$$\Phi^* = (A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^\delta; A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d),$$
$$\Phi' = (A; \{E_i'\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i'^*\}_{i=0}^\delta),$$
$$\Phi^j = (A; \{E_{d-j}\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^\delta).$$
Viewing $*$, $\downarrow$, $\downarrow\downarrow$ as permutations on the set of all TB tridiagonal systems,

\begin{align}
(3.10) & \quad *^2 = \downarrow^2 = \downarrow\downarrow^2 = 1, \\
(3.11) & \quad \downarrow * = * \downarrow, \quad \downarrow * = * \downarrow\downarrow, \quad \downarrow\downarrow = \downarrow\downarrow.
\end{align}

The group generated by the symbols $*$, $\downarrow$, $\downarrow\downarrow$ subject to the relations (3.10), (3.11) is the dihedral group $D_4$. Recall that $D_4$ is the group of symmetries of a square and has 8 elements. The elements $*$, $\downarrow$, $\downarrow\downarrow$ induce an action of $D_4$ on the set of all TB tridiagonal systems over $F$. Two TB tridiagonal systems will be called relatives whenever they are in the same orbit of this $D_4$ action.

**Definition 3.15.** Let $\Phi$ denote a TB tridiagonal system, and let $g \in D_4$. For any object $f$ attached to $\Phi$, let $f^g$ denote the corresponding object attached to $\Phi^g$.

**Lemma 3.16.** Let $\Phi$ and $\Phi'$ denote TB tridiagonal systems over $F$. Assume that $\Phi$ and $\Phi'$ are isomorphic, and let $\psi$ denote an isomorphism of TB tridiagonal systems from $\Phi$ to $\Phi'$. Then for $g \in D_4$ the map $\psi$ is an isomorphism of TB tridiagonal systems from $\Phi^g$ to $\Phi'^g$.

**Proof.** By the construction.

**Lemma 3.17.** Let $A, A^*$ denote a TB tridiagonal pair over $F$, and let $\Phi$ denote an associated TB tridiagonal system. Then the TB tridiagonal systems associated with $A, A^*$ are $\Phi, \Phi^\downarrow, \Phi^\downarrow\downarrow$.

**Proof.** By the comments above Definition 3.7.

**Definition 3.18.** Let $A, A^*$ denote a TB tridiagonal pair over $F$. By an eigenvalue sequence (resp. dual eigenvalue sequence) (resp. eigenvalue array) of $A, A^*$ we mean the eigenvalue sequence (resp. dual eigenvalue sequence) (resp. eigenvalue array) of a TB tridiagonal system associated with $A, A^*$.

**Lemma 3.19.** Let $\Phi$ denote a TB tridiagonal system over $F$ with eigenvalue array $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^\delta)$. Then for $g \in \{*, \downarrow, \downarrow\downarrow\}$ the eigenvalue array of $\Phi^g$ is as follows:

| $g$ | Eigenvalue array of $\Phi^g$ |
|-----|-----------------------------|
| $*$ | $(\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^\delta)$ |
| $\downarrow$ | $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^\delta)$ |
| $\downarrow\downarrow$ | $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^\delta; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^\delta)$ |

**Proof.** By the construction.

**Lemma 3.20.** For the TB tridiagonal system in (3.9), we have

\[
A E_i^* V \subseteq E_{i-1}^* V + E_{i+1}^* V \quad (0 \leq i \leq \delta), \\
A^* E_i V \subseteq E_{i-1} V + E_{i+1} V \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).
\]

**Proof.** By Definition 3.1(ii), (iii).

**Lemma 3.21.** For the TB tridiagonal system in (3.9), we have

\begin{align}
(3.12) & \quad E_i^* A E_j = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } |i-j| \neq 1, \\
\neq 0 & \text{if } |i-j| = 1 
\end{cases} \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq \delta), \\
(3.13) & \quad E_i A^* E_j = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } |i-j| \neq 1, \\
\neq 0 & \text{if } |i-j| = 1 
\end{cases} \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq d).
\end{align}
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**Proof.** We first show that

\[(3.14)\quad E_i^* A E_j^* = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |i - j| \neq 1 \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq \delta).\]

By Lemma 3.20,

\[(3.15)\quad A E_j^* V \subseteq E_{j-1}^* V + E_{j+1}^* V.\]

In the above line, apply \(E_i^*\) to each side. The right-hand side becomes 0 since \(i \neq j - 1\) and \(i \neq j + 1\). Thus, \(E_i^* A E_j^* V = 0\) and so (3.14) holds. Next we show that

\[(3.16)\quad E_i^* A E_j^* \neq 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |i - j| = 1 \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq \delta).\]

Consider the case \(i = j - 1\). Assume by way of contradiction that \(E_i^* A E_j^* = 0\). For \(v \in A E_j^* V\) we have \(E_{j-1}^* v = 0\). By this and (3.15) we find \(v \in E_j^* V\). By these comments

\[(3.17)\quad A E_j^* V \subseteq E_{j+1}^* V.\]

Define \(W = E_j^* V + \cdots + E_{d-1}^* V\). We have \(AW \subseteq W\) by Lemma 3.20 and (3.17). By construction \(A^* W \subseteq W\), \(W \neq 0\), \(W \neq V\). This contradicts Definition 3.1(iv), and therefore (3.16) holds for \(i = j - 1\). A similar argument shows that (3.16) holds for \(j = i - 1\). We have shown (3.12). To get (3.13), apply (3.12) to \(\Phi^*\).

**4. The raising and lowering maps.** Throughout this section, let \(V\) denote a vector space over \(F\) with finite positive dimension, and let

\[\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^\delta),\]

denote a TB tridiagonal system on \(V\). Our next general goal is to show that \(d = \delta\), and that each of \(E_i V\) and \(E_i^* V\) has dimension one for \(0 \leq i \leq d\). To this end, it is convenient to introduce two maps \(R, L\) called the raising and lowering maps. Let \((\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^\delta)\) denote the eigenvalue array of \(\Phi\).

**Definition 4.1.** Define \(R, L \in \text{End}(V)\) by

\[(R = \sum_{i=1}^\delta E_i^* A E_{i-1}^*), \quad (L = \sum_{i=1}^\delta E_{i-1}^* A E_i^*).\]

We call \(R\) (resp. \(L\)) the raising map (resp. lowering map) for \(\Phi\).

**Lemma 4.2.** We have \(A = R + L\).

**Proof.** Evaluate \(A = I A I\) using \(I = \sum_{i=0}^\delta E_i^*\) to get \(A = \sum_{i=0}^\delta \sum_{j=0}^\delta E_i^* A E_j^*\). In this equation evaluate the right-hand side using Lemma 3.21 and Definition 4.1.

**Lemma 4.3.** The following (i)–(iv) hold:

(i) \(E_i^* R = E_i^* A E_{i-1}^* = R E_{i-1}^*\) \((1 \leq i \leq \delta)\);
(ii) \(E_0^* R = 0, \quad R E_0^* = 0;\)
(iii) \(E_{i-1}^* L = E_{i-1}^* A E_i^* = L E_i^*\) \((1 \leq i \leq \delta)\);
(iv) \(E_0^* L = 0, \quad L E_0^* = 0.\)

**Proof.** Recall that \(E_r^* E_s^* = \delta_{r,s} E_r^*\) for \(0 \leq r, s \leq \delta\). Using this and Definition 4.1, we routinely obtain the results.
Lemma 4.4. The following hold:

(i) \( RE_i^* V \subseteq E_{i+1}^* V \) (0 \( \leq i \leq \delta \));

(ii) \( LE_i^* V \subseteq E_{i-1}^* V \) (0 \( \leq i \leq \delta \)).

Proof. Use Lemma 4.3. \( \square \)

Definition 4.5. Fix 0 \( \neq v \in E_0 V \). For 0 \( \leq i \leq \delta \) define \( v_i = E_i^* v \). For notational convenience, define \( v_{-1} = 0 \) and \( v_{\delta+1} = 0 \).

Lemma 4.6. With reference to Definition 4.5, the following hold:

(i) \( v_i \in E_i^* V \) (0 \( \leq i \leq \delta \));

(ii) \( v = \sum_{i=0}^{\delta} v_i \).

Proof. (i) By Definition 4.5.

(ii) Use \( I = \sum_{i=0}^{\delta} E_i^* \).

We now describe the action of \( R \) and \( L \) on \( \{v_i\}_{i=0}^{\delta} \). Note by Lemma 4.4 that \( Rv_{\delta} = 0 \) and \( Lv_0 = 0 \).

Lemma 4.7. With reference to Definition 4.5,

\[ \theta_1 v_i = Rv_{i-1} + L v_{i+1} \] (0 \( \leq i \leq \delta \)).

Proof. We have \( v \in E_0 V \) so \( Av = \theta_0 v \). Using Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3,

\[
0 = E_i^* (A - \theta_0 I) v \\
= E_i^* (R + L - \theta_0 I) v \\
= (RE_{i-1}^* + LE_{i+1}^* - \theta_0 E_i^*) v \\
= Rv_{i-1} + L v_{i+1} - \theta_0 v_i.
\]

The result follows. \( \square \)

Lemma 4.8. Assume that \( \Phi \) is nontrivial. Then with reference to Definition 4.5, the following (i)–(iii) hold:

(i) \( \theta_1 \theta_0^* v_0 = \theta_1^* L v_1 \);

(ii) \( \theta_1 \theta_0^* v_i = \theta_{i-1}^* R v_{i-1} + \theta_{i+1}^* L v_{i+1} \) (1 \( \leq i \leq \delta - 1 \));

(iii) \( \theta_1 \theta_0^* v_{\delta} = \theta_{\delta-1}^* R v_{\delta-1} \).

Proof. (ii) We have \( v \in E_0 V \) and \( A^* E_0 V \subseteq E_1 V \), so \( A^* v \in E_1 V \). Therefore \( AA^* v = \theta_1 A^* v \). Using Lemmas 4.2, 4.3 and \( E_i^* A^* = \theta_r^* E_r^* \) (0 \( \leq r \leq d \)),

\[
0 = E_i^* (A - \theta_1 I) A^* v \\
= E_i^* (R + L - \theta_1 I) A^* v \\
= (RE_{i-1}^* + LE_{i+1}^* - \theta_1 E_i^*) A^* v \\
= \theta_{i-1}^* R v_{i-1} + \theta_{i+1}^* L v_{i+1} - \theta_1 \theta_i^* v_i.
\]

The result follows. \( \square \)

(i), (iii) Similar to the proof of (ii) above.
LEMMA 4.9. Assume that \( \Phi \) is nontrivial. Then with reference to Definition 4.5,

\[
Rv_{i-1} = \frac{\theta_i \theta_i^* - \theta_0 \theta_{i+1}^*}{\theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_{i+1}^*} v_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq \delta - 1), \\
L v_{i+1} = \frac{\theta_i \theta_i^* - \theta_0 \theta_{i-1}^*}{\theta_{i+1}^* - \theta_{i-1}^*} v_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq \delta - 1),
\]

Also

\[
(\theta_0 \theta_1^* - \theta_1 \theta_0^*) v_0 = 0, \quad (\theta_0 \theta_{\delta-1}^* - \theta_{\delta-1} \theta_0^*) v_\delta = 0.
\]

Proof. Solve the linear equations in Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8. □

LEMMA 4.10. With reference to Definition 4.5, the following hold:

(i) \( v_i \) is a basis for \( E_i^* V \) \( (0 \leq i \leq \delta) \);
(ii) \( \{ v_i \}_{i=0}^\delta \) is a basis for \( V \).

Proof. Assume that \( \Phi \) is nontrivial; otherwise, the result holds by Lemma 3.6. The sum \( V = \sum_{i=0}^\delta E_i^* V \) is direct. For \( 0 \leq i \leq \delta \), the subspace \( E_i^* V \) is nonzero and contains \( v_i \). So it suffices to show that the vectors \( \{ v_i \}_{i=0}^\delta \) span \( V \). Let \( W \) denote the subspace of \( V \) spanned by \( \{ v_i \}_{i=0}^\delta \). Note that \( W \neq 0 \) since \( 0 \neq v \in W \). We have \( A^* W \subseteq W \) by construction. Using Lemma 4.9 we find that \( RW \subseteq W \) and \( LW \subseteq W \). So \( AW \subseteq W \) in view of Lemma 4.2. Now \( W = V \) by Definition 3.1(iv). Therefore, \( \{ v_i \}_{i=0}^\delta \) span \( V \). The result follows. □

COROLLARY 4.11. We have \( d = \delta \) and

\[
\dim E_i V = 1, \quad \dim E_i^* V = 1 \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).
\]

Moreover \( \dim V = d + 1 \).

Proof. By Lemma 4.10, \( \dim E_i^* V = 1 \) \( (0 \leq i \leq \delta) \) and \( \dim V = \delta + 1 \). Applying Lemma 4.10 to \( \Phi^* \), we obtain \( \dim E_i V = 1 \) \( (0 \leq i \leq d) \) and \( \dim V = d + 1 \). The result follows. □

Note 4.12. A tridiagonal pair \( A, A^* \) is often called a Leonard pair if all the eigenspaces of \( A \) and \( A^* \) have dimension one.

DEFINITION 4.13. Recall from Corollary 4.11 that \( d = \delta \). We call this common value the diameter of \( \Phi \).

DEFINITION 4.14. A basis \( \{ v_i \}_{i=0}^d \) for \( V \) is said to be \( \Phi \)-standard whenever there exists a nonzero \( v \in E_0 V \) such that \( v_i = E_i^* v \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq d \).

A \( \Phi \)-standard basis is characterized as follows.

LEMMA 4.15. Given vectors \( \{ v_i \}_{i=0}^d \) in \( V \), not all zero. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) \( v_i \in E_i^* V \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq d \), and \( \sum_{i=0}^d v_i \in E_0 V \);
(ii) \( \{ v_i \}_{i=0}^d \) is a \( \Phi \)-standard basis for \( V \).

Proof. (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii) Define \( v = \sum_{j=0}^d v_j \). By construction \( v \in E_0 V \). For \( 0 \leq i \leq d \), in the equation \( v = \sum_{j=0}^d v_j \) apply \( E_j^* \) to each side to obtain \( E_j^* v = v_i \). Note that \( v \neq 0 \) since the vectors \( \{ v_i \}_{i=0}^d \) are not all zero. The vectors \( \{ v_i \}_{i=0}^d \) form a basis for \( V \) by Lemma 4.10. This basis is \( \Phi \)-standard by Definition 4.14.

(ii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i) By Definition 4.14 there exists \( 0 \neq v \in E_0 V \) such that \( v_i = E_i^* v \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq d \). By construction \( v_i \in E_i^* V \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq d \). Also \( \sum_{i=0}^d v_i = v \in E_0 V \). □
We mention a lemma for later use.

**Lemma 4.16.** Assume that $\Phi$ is nontrivial. Then the following (i)–(iii) hold.

(i) Each of $\theta_0, \theta_d, \theta_0^*, \theta_d^*$ is nonzero.

(ii) $\theta_1/\theta_0 = \theta_{d-1}/\theta_d = \theta_1^*/\theta_0^* = \theta_{d-1}^*/\theta_d^*$.

(iii) $\theta_0 \theta_{d-1} = \theta_1 \theta_d$ and $\theta_0^* \theta_{d-1}^* = \theta_1^* \theta_d^*$.

**Proof.** By the last assertion of Lemma 4.9 and since $d = \delta$, $v_0 \neq 0$, $v_d \neq 0$,

\begin{align}
\theta_0 \theta_1^* &= \theta_1 \theta_0^*, \\
\theta_0 \theta_{d-1}^* &= \theta_1 \theta_d^*.
\end{align}

Applying (4.19) to $\Phi^*$,

\begin{equation}
\theta_{d-1} \theta_0^* = \theta_d \theta_1^*.
\end{equation}

Suppose that $\theta_0 = 0$. Then $\theta_1 \neq 0$ since $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ are mutually distinct. Now $\theta_0^* = 0$ by (4.18) and $\theta_d^* = 0$ by (4.19). This is a contradiction since $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ are mutually distinct and $d \geq 1$. Therefore, $\theta_0 \neq 0$. Applying this to $\Phi^*, \Phi^*, \Phi^*\Phi^*$, we obtain $\theta_d \neq 0, \theta_0^* \neq 0, \theta_1^* \neq 0$. We have obtained assertion (i). Assertion (ii) follows in view of (4.18)–(4.20). Assertion (iii) follows from assertion (ii). 

**5. The intersection numbers.** In this section, we introduce the intersection numbers of a TB tridiagonal system and express these intersection numbers in terms of the eigenvalue array. We use this result to show that a TB tridiagonal system is uniquely determined up to isomorphism by its eigenvalue array. Let $V$ denote a vector space over $F$ with finite positive dimension, and let

$$
\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d),
$$

denote a TB tridiagonal system on $V$. Let $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ denote the eigenvalue array of $\Phi$. Let $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$ denote a $\Phi$-standard basis for $V$. For $X \in \text{End}(V)$ let $X^\sharp$ denote the matrix in $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(F)$ that represents $X$ with respect to the basis $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$. By construction,

\begin{align}
(E_i^*)^\sharp &= \text{diag}(0, \ldots, 0, i, 0, \ldots, 0) & (0 \leq i \leq d), \\
(A^*)^\sharp &= \text{diag}(\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \ldots, \theta_d^*).
\end{align}

Also by construction there exist scalars $\{c_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{b_i\}_{i=0}^{d-1}$ in $F$ such that

\begin{equation}
A^\sharp = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & b_0 \\
c_1 & 0 & b_1 \\
& c_2 & \ddots & \ddots \\
& & \ddots & b_{d-1} \\
& & & c_d & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\end{equation}

By Definition 4.1,

\begin{equation}
R^\sharp = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \cdots & 0 \\
c_1 & 0 & \cdots \\
& c_2 & \ddots & \ddots \\
& & \ddots & c_{d-1} \\
0 & \cdots & c_d & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad L^\sharp = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & b_0 \\
& 0 & b_1 \\
& & \ddots & \ddots \\
& & & b_{d-1} & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\end{equation}
The scalars \( \{c_i\}_{i=1}^d \), \( \{b_i\}_{i=0}^{d-1} \) are called the intersection numbers of \( \Phi \). The intersection numbers \( \{c_i^*\}_{i=1}^d \), \( \{b_i^*\}_{i=0}^{d-1} \) of \( \Phi^* \) are called the dual intersection numbers of \( \Phi \).

**Lemma 5.1.** Assume that \( \Phi \) is nontrivial. Then

\[
AV_i = c_i v_i, \\
AV_{i-1} = b_{i-1} v_{i-1} + c_{i+1} v_{i+1} \\
(1 \leq i \leq d - 1),
\]

Proof. By (5.23).

**Lemma 5.2.** We have

\[
RV_i = c_i v_{i+1} \\
RV_{i-1} = b_{i-1} v_{i-1} + c_{i+1} v_{i+1} \\
(0 \leq i \leq d - 1), \\
RV_d = 0.
\]

Proof. By (5.24).

**Lemma 5.3.** The scalars \( \{b_i\}_{i=0}^{d-1} \), \( \{c_i\}_{i=1}^d \) are all nonzero.

Proof. We first show that \( c_i \neq 0 \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq d \). Let \( i \) be given. We have \( E_i^* A E_{i-1}^* \neq 0 \) by Lemma 3.21. By (5.21) and (5.23), the matrix \( (E_i^* A E_{i-1}^*)^3 \) has \( (i, i-1) \)-entry \( c_i \) and all other entries 0. By these comments \( c_i \neq 0 \). One similarly shows that \( b_i \neq 0 \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq d - 1 \).

**Lemma 5.4.** Assume that \( \Phi \) is nontrivial. Then the following hold.

(i) The intersection numbers of \( \Phi \) satisfy

\[
c_i = \frac{\theta_i \theta_i^* - \theta_0 \theta_{i+1}^*}{\theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_{i+1}^*} \\
(1 \leq i \leq d - 1), \\
c_d = \theta_0,
\]

\[
b_i = \frac{\theta_i \theta_i^* - \theta_0 \theta_{i-1}^*}{\theta_{i+1}^* - \theta_{i-1}^*} \\
(1 \leq i \leq d - 1), \\
b_0 = \theta_0.
\]

(ii) The dual intersection numbers of \( \Phi \) satisfy

\[
c_i^* = \frac{\theta_i^* \theta_i - \theta_0^* \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_{i+1}} \\
(1 \leq i \leq d - 1), \\
c_d^* = \theta_0^*,
\]

\[
b_i^* = \frac{\theta_i^* \theta_i - \theta_0^* \theta_{i-1}}{\theta_{i+1} - \theta_{i-1}} \\
(1 \leq i \leq d - 1), \\
b_0^* = \theta_0^*.
\]

Proof. (i) By Lemmas 4.9 and 5.2.

(ii) Apply (i) above to \( \Phi^* \).

**Corollary 5.5.** A TB tridiagonal system is uniquely determined up to isomorphism by its eigenvalue array.

Proof. By (5.22), (5.23) and Lemma 5.4(i), the entries of \( A^2 \) and \( (A^*)^2 \) are determined by the eigenvalue array.

**Lemma 5.6.** For nonzero \( \zeta, \zeta^* \in \mathbb{F} \), consider the TB tridiagonal system

\[
\tilde{\Phi} = (\zeta A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \zeta^* A^*; \{E_{i}^*\}_{i=0}^d).
\]

Then the (dual) intersection numbers of \( \tilde{\Phi} \) are

\[
\tilde{c}_i = \zeta c_i, \quad \tilde{c}_i^* = \zeta^* c_i^* \\
(1 \leq i \leq d), \\
\tilde{b}_i = \zeta b_i, \quad \tilde{b}_i^* = \zeta^* b_i^* \\
(0 \leq i \leq d - 1).
\]
Proof. Use Lemmas 3.14 and 5.4.

6. Tridiagonal matrices. In this section, we collect some results about tridiagonal matrices that will be used later in the paper. Consider a tridiagonal matrix in Mat\(_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})\):

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
a_0 & b_0 & 0 \\
0 & a_1 & b_1 \\
& 0 & a_2 & b_2 \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
& & & 0 & a_{d-1} & b_{d-1} \\
& & & & 0 & a_d
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(6.29)

We say that \(A\) is irreducible whenever \(c_ib_{i-1} \neq 0\) for \(1 \leq i \leq d\). For the rest of this section, assume that \(A\) is irreducible.

We have some remarks about the minimal polynomial of \(A\). For \(0 \leq r \leq d\) consider the matrix \(A^rE^*_0\). For \(0 \leq i, j \leq d\), the \((i, j)\)-entry of \(A^r\) satisfies

\[
\left(A^r\right)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |i - j| > r, \\ \neq 0 & \text{if } |i - j| = r. \end{cases}
\]

(6.30)

Consequently, the matrices \(\{A^r\}_{r=0}^d\) are linearly independent. Therefore, the minimal polynomial of \(A\) coincides with the characteristic polynomial of \(A\). So each eigenspace of \(A\) has dimension one. We remark that \(A\) might not be diagonalizable.

For \(0 \leq i \leq d\) define \(E^*_i \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})\) by

\[
E^*_i = \text{diag}(0, \ldots, 0, i, 0, \ldots, 0).
\]

(6.31)

Observe that \(E^*_i E^*_j = \delta_{i,j} E^*_i\) (\(0 \leq i, j \leq d\)) and \(I = \sum_{i=0}^d E^*_i\).

**Lemma 6.1.** For \(0 \leq i, j, r \leq d\),

\[
E^*_i A^r E^*_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |i - j| > r, \\ \neq 0 & \text{if } |i - j| = r. \end{cases}
\]

(6.32)

*Proof.* This is a reformulation of (6.30). \(\square\)

**Lemma 6.2.** The elements

\[
\{A^r E^*_0 A^s | 0 \leq r, s \leq d\}
\]

form a basis for the \(\mathbb{F}\)-vector space \(\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})\).

*Proof.* For \(0 \leq r, s \leq d\) consider the matrix \(A^r E^*_0 A^s\). For \(0 \leq i, j \leq d\), we compute its \((i, j)\)-entry using (6.31) and evaluate the result using (6.30):

\[
(A^r E^*_0 A^s)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i > r \text{ or } j > s, \\ \neq 0 & \text{if } i = r \text{ and } j = s. \end{cases}
\]

From the pattern of zero/nonzero entries, we see that the elements (6.33) are linearly independent. The set (6.33) contains \((d + 1)^2\) elements, and this is the dimension of \(\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})\). The result follows. \(\square\)
COROLLARY 6.3. The elements $A, E_0^*$ generate the $\mathbb{F}$-algebra $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$.

Proof. By Lemma 6.2. 

DEFINITION 6.4. Let $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ denote scalars in $\mathbb{F}$. Define $A^* \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ by

(6.34) $A^* = \text{diag}(\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \ldots, \theta_d^*)$.

Observe that

(6.35) $A^* = \sum_{i=0}^d \theta_i^* E_i^*$.

LEMMA 6.5. Assume that $\theta_0^* \neq \theta_i^*$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Then the following (i)–(iii) hold.

(i) The element $E_0^*$ is a polynomial in $A^*$:

$$E_0^* = \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{A^* - \theta_i^* I}{\theta_0^* - \theta_i^*}.$$

(ii) The elements $A, A^*$ generate the $\mathbb{F}$-algebra $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$.

(iii) There does not exist a subspace $W \subseteq \mathbb{F}^{d+1}$ such that $W \neq 0, W \neq \mathbb{F}^{d+1}, AW \subseteq W, A^* W \subseteq W$.

Proof. (i) By matrix multiplication.

(ii) By (i) and Corollary 6.3.

(iii) By (ii) above and since $\mathbb{F}^{d+1}$ is an irreducible $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$-module.

DEFINITION 6.6. Define scalars $\{k_i\}_{i=0}^d$ by

(6.36) $k_i = \frac{b_0 b_1 \cdots b_{i-1}}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i}$ \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).

Observe that $k_0 = 1$, and $k_i \neq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Moreover,

(6.37) $k_{i-1} b_{i-1} = k_i c_i$ \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).

DEFINITION 6.7. Define $K \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ by

(6.38) $K = \text{diag}(k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_d)$.

LEMMA 6.8. We have $A^t K = K A$.

Proof. Use (6.29) and (6.37).

For an $\mathbb{F}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, by an antiautomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$, we mean an $\mathbb{F}$-linear bijection $\xi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $(xy)^\xi = y^\xi x^\xi$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$.

DEFINITION 6.9. Define the map

$\dagger : \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F}) \to \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$, \quad $X \mapsto K^{-1} X^t K$.

LEMMA 6.10. The map $\dagger$ is an antiautomorphism of $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$. Moreover, $(X^t)^\dagger = X$ for all $X \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$. 

Consequently, we show that \( \xi \) is bijective. For \( F \in \mathbb{F} \), we have

\[
(XY)^\dagger = K^{-1}(XY)^tK = K^{-1}Y^tK = K^{-1}Y^tKK^{-1}K = Y^tX^\dagger.
\]

Thus \( \dagger \) is an antiautomorphism.

The map \( \dagger \) is characterized as follows.

**Lemma 6.11.** The map \( \dagger \) is the unique antiautomorphism of \( \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F}) \) that fixes each of \( A, E_0^*, E_1^*, \ldots, E_d^* \).

**Proof.** Using Lemma 6.8 and Definition 6.9,

\[
A^\dagger = K^{-1}A^tK = K^{-1}KA = A,
\]

so \( \dagger \) fixes \( A \). For \( 0 \leq i \leq d \) the map \( \dagger \) fixes \( E_i^* \) by Definition 6.9 and since \( E_i^* \), \( K \) are diagonal. Concerning the uniqueness, let \( \xi \) denote an antiautomorphism of \( \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F}) \) that fixes each of \( A, E_0^*, E_1^*, \ldots, E_d^* \). We show that \( \xi = \dagger \). The composition \( \xi^\dagger \) is an automorphism of \( \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F}) \) that fixes \( A, E_0^*, E_1^*, \ldots, E_d^* \). Consequently \( \xi^\dagger = 1 \) in view of Corollary 6.3. So \( \xi = \dagger \).

**7. Recurrent sequences.** Let \( \{\theta_i^d\}_{i=0}^d \) denote the eigenvalue array of a TB tridiagonal system over \( \mathbb{F} \). Later in the paper we will show that there exists \( \beta \in \mathbb{F} \) such that

\[
\theta_{i-1} - \beta \theta_i + \theta_{i+1} = 0, \quad \theta_{i-1}^* - \beta \theta_i^* + \theta_{i+1}^* = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq d-1).
\]

In this section, we have some comments about the above recurrence. For the rest of this section, fix an integer \( d \geq 0 \) and let \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) denote a sequence of scalars taken from \( \mathbb{F} \).

**Definition 7.1.** For \( \beta \in \mathbb{F} \), the sequence \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) is said to be \( \beta \)-recurrent whenever

\[
\sigma_{i-1} - \beta \sigma_i + \sigma_{i+1} = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq d-1).
\]

We say that \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) is recurrent whenever it is \( \beta \)-recurrent for some \( \beta \in \mathbb{F} \).

**Lemma 7.2.** For \( \beta \in \mathbb{F} \) the following hold.

(i) Assume that \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) is \( \beta \)-recurrent. Then there exists \( g \in \mathbb{F} \) such that

\[
g = \sigma_{i-1}^2 - \beta \sigma_{i-1} \sigma_i + \sigma_i^2 \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).
\]

(ii) Assume that there exists \( g \in \mathbb{F} \) that satisfies (7.40). Also assume that \( \sigma_{i-1} \neq \sigma_{i+1} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq d-1 \). Then \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) is \( \beta \)-recurrent.

**Proof.** For \( 1 \leq i \leq d \) define

\[
S_i = \sigma_{i-1}^2 - \beta \sigma_{i-1} \sigma_i + \sigma_i^2.
\]

Observe that for \( 1 \leq i \leq d-1 \),

\[
S_i - S_{i+1} = (\sigma_{i-1} - \sigma_{i+1})(\sigma_{i-1} - \beta \sigma_i + \sigma_{i+1}).
\]
(i) In (7.41), the right-hand side is zero by (7.39). So $S_i - S_{i+1} = 0$. Thus, $S_i$ is independent of $i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$.

(ii) In (7.41), the left-hand side is zero. In the right-hand side, the first factor is nonzero so the second factor is zero. Consequently $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is $\beta$-recurrent.

8. The Askey–Wilson relations. Let $A, A^*$ denote a TB tridiagonal pair over $\mathbb{F}$. In this section, we show that there exist scalars $\beta, \varrho, \varrho^*$ in $\mathbb{F}$ such that both

\begin{align}
(A_22 & A^* - \beta AA^* A + A^* A^2 = \varrho A^*, \\
(A_33 & A^2 - \beta A^* A A^* + A A^* A^2 = \varrho^* A^*).
\end{align}

The equations (8.42), (8.43) are special cases of the Askey–Wilson relations [154, 166].

For the rest of this section, fix an integer $d \geq 0$, let $V$ denote a vector space over $\mathbb{F}$ with dimension $d + 1$, and let $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$ denote a TB tridiagonal system on $V$ with eigenvalue array $((\theta_i)_{i=0}^d; (\theta_i^*)_{i=0}^d)$.

First, we explain how (8.42), (8.43) are related to the recurrence discussed in Lemma 7.2.

**Lemma 8.1.** For $\beta, \varrho \in \mathbb{F}$ the following are equivalent:

(i) $\theta_{i-1}^2 - \beta \theta_i \theta_{i-1} + \theta_i^2 = \varrho \ (1 \leq i \leq d)$;

(ii) $A^2 A^* - \beta AA^* A + A^* A^2 = \varrho A^*$.

**Proof.** Define $D = A^2 A^* - \beta AA^* A + A^* A^2 - \varrho A^*$. Using $I = \sum_{i=0}^d E_i$, we obtain

$$D = IDI = \sum_{0 \leq i,j \leq d} E_i D E_j.$$

Thus $D = 0$ if and only if $E_i D E_j = 0 \ (0 \leq i,j \leq d)$. Pick integers $i, j$ such that $0 \leq i, j \leq d$. Using $E_i A = \theta_i E_i$ and $AE_j = \theta_j E_j$, we find that

$$E_i D E_j = E_i A^* E_j (\theta_i^2 - \beta \theta_i \theta_j + \theta_j^2 - \varrho).$$

By (3.13), $E_i A^* E_j \neq 0$ if and only if $|i - j| = 1$. The result follows from these comments.

Our next goal is to prove (8.42), (8.43); this is accomplished in Proposition 8.23.

**Lemma 8.2.** The following hold.

(i) The elements $A, A^*$ generate $\text{End}(V)$.

(ii) For $0 \leq i, j, r \leq d$,

$$E_i^* A^* E_j^* = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |i - j| > r, \\ \neq 0 & \text{if } |i - j| = r. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Let $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$ denote a $\Phi$-standard basis for $V$. For $X \in \text{End}(V)$ let $X^\otimes$ denote the matrix in $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ that represents $X$ with respect to $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$. The matrices $(E_i^*)^\otimes$, $(A^*)^\otimes$, $A^2$ are given in (5.21)–(5.23). Now (i) follows from Lemma 6.5(ii) and (ii) follows from Lemma 6.1.
LEMMA 8.3. For $0 \leq i, j, k, r, s \leq d$ consider the expression
\begin{equation}
E_i^* A^r A^s E_j^*.
\end{equation}

(i) Assume that $|i - j| > r + s$. Then \((8.44)\) is zero.
(ii) Assume that $i - j = r + s$. Then \((8.44)\) is nonzero if and only if $k = j + s$.
(iii) Assume that $j - i = r + s$. Then \((8.44)\) is nonzero if and only if $k = i + r$.

Proof. Routine verification using Lemma 8.2(ii).

LEMMA 8.4. For $0 \leq i, j, r, s \leq d$,
\begin{equation}
E_i^* A^r A^s E_j^* = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |i - j| > r + s, \\ \theta_{j+s+r}^* E_i^* A^{r+s} E_j^* & \text{if } i - j = r + s, \\ \theta_{i+r}^* E_i^* A^{r+s} E_j^* & \text{if } j - i = r + s. \end{cases}
\end{equation}

Proof. Using $A^* = \sum_{k=0}^d \theta_k^* E_k$, we have
\begin{equation}
E_i^* A^r A^s E_j^* = \sum_{k=0}^d \theta_k^* E_i^* A^r E_k^* A^s E_j^*.
\end{equation}
Using $I = \sum_{k=0}^d E_k^*$, we obtain
\begin{equation}
E_i^* A^{r+s} E_j^* = E_i^* A^r I A^s E_j^* = \sum_{k=0}^d E_i^* A^r E_k^* A^s E_j^*.
\end{equation}

By these comments and Lemma 8.3, we obtain the result.

Let $M$ (resp. $M^*$) denote the subalgebra of $\text{End}(V)$ generated by $A$ (resp. $A^*$). Recall that each of $\{A^i\}_{i=0}^d$ and $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{A^*\}_{i=0}^d$ and $\{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) is a basis for the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $M$ (resp. $M^*$).

LEMMA 8.5. There exists a unique antiautomorphism $\dagger$ of $\text{End}(V)$ that fixes each of $A$ and $A^*$. The map $\dagger$ fixes each element of $M$ and each element of $M^*$. In particular, $\dagger$ fixes $E_i$ and $E_i^*$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Moreover, $\dagger(X) = X$ for all $X \in \text{End}(V)$.

Proof. Follows from Lemmas 6.10 and 6.11.

DEFINITION 8.6. Define a subspace $MA^* M$ of $\text{End}(V)$ by
\begin{equation}
MA^* M = \text{Span}\{X A^* Y \mid X, Y \in M\}.
\end{equation}

LEMMA 8.7. The following elements form a basis for the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $MA^* M$:
\begin{equation}
\{E_{i-1} A^* E_i, E_i A^* E_{i-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d\}.
\end{equation}

Moreover $\dim(MA^* M) = 2d$.

Proof. We assume $d \geq 1$; otherwise the result follows from Lemma 3.6. The $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $MA^* M$ is spanned by $\{E_i A^* E_j \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq d\}$. By \((3.13)\) we have $E_i A^* E_j = 0$ if $|i - j| \neq 1$ ($0 \leq i, j \leq d$). By these comments, the elements \((8.46)\) span $MA^* M$. We show that the elements \((8.46)\) are linearly independent. Suppose that there exist scalars $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^d$, $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^d$ in $\mathbb{F}$ such that
\begin{equation}
0 = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i E_{i-1} A^* E_i + \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i E_i A^* E_{i-1}.
\end{equation}
Totally bipartite tridiagonal pairs

Pick any integer \( j (1 \leq j \leq d) \). We show that \( \alpha_j = 0 \). In (8.47), multiply each side on the left by \( E_{j-1} \) and right by \( E_j \). This gives \( \alpha_j E_{j-1} A^* E_j = 0 \). This forces \( \alpha_j = 0 \) since \( E_{j-1} A^* E_j \neq 0 \) by (3.13). A similar argument shows that \( \beta_j = 0 \). We have shown that the elements (8.46) are linearly independent. By the above comments, the elements (8.46) form a basis for the \( \mathbb{F} \)-vector space \( MA^* M \). The set (8.46) has cardinality \( 2d \) so \( \dim (MA^* M) = 2d \). □

**Lemma 8.8.** For \( 0 \leq i \leq d \),

\[
E_i A^* = E_i A^* E_{i-1} + E_i A^* E_{i+1}.
\]  

*Proof.* Using \( I = \sum_{j=0}^{d} E_j \),

\[
E_i A^* = E_i A^* I = \sum_{j=0}^{d} E_i A^* E_j.
\]

By (3.13), \( E_i A^* E_j = 0 \) if \( |i - j| \neq 1 \) \((0 \leq j \leq d)\). The result follows. □

**Lemma 8.9.** For \( 0 \leq i \leq d \),

\[
A^* E_i = E_{i-1} A^* E_i + E_{i+1} A^* E_i.
\]

*Proof.* Apply the antiautomorphism \( \dagger \) to each side of (8.48). □

**Lemma 8.10.** For \( 1 \leq i \leq d \),

\[
E_{i-1} A^* E_i = E_{i-1} A^* - A^* E_{i-2} + E_{i-3} A^* - A^* E_{i-4} + \cdots
\]

\[
E_i A^* E_{i-1} = A^* E_{i-1} - E_{i-2} A^* + A^* E_{i-3} - E_{i-4} A^* + \cdots
\]

Moreover

\[
\sum_{0 \leq i \leq d} E_i A^* = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq d} A^* E_i, \quad \sum_{0 \leq i \leq d} E_i A^* = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq d} A^* E_i.
\]

*Proof.* Solve the equations in Lemmas 8.8 and 8.9. □

**Lemma 8.11.** We have \( \sum_{i=0}^{d} (-1)^i (E_i A^* + A^* E_i) = 0 \).

*Proof.* Follows from (8.49). □

**Lemma 8.12.** The element \( A^* \) is equal to each of the following sums:

\[
\sum_{0 \leq i \leq d} (E_i A^* + A^* E_i), \quad \sum_{0 \leq i \leq d} (E_i A^* + A^* E_i).
\]

*Proof.* We have \( A^* = \sum_{i=0}^{d} E_i A^* \). Evaluate this sum using (8.49). □

**Lemma 8.13.** The following elements form a basis for the \( \mathbb{F} \)-vector space \( MA^* M \):

\[
\{E_i A^*, A^* E_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq d - 1\}.
\]

*Proof.* Let \( X \) denote the subspace of \( \text{End}(V) \) spanned by (8.50). By construction \( X \subseteq \text{End}(V) \). By Lemmas 8.7 and 8.10, \( \text{End}(V) \subseteq X \). So \( MA^* M = X \). By Lemma 8.7, the dimension of \( MA^* M \) is \( 2d \). The set (8.50) has cardinality \( 2d \). Therefore, the elements (8.50) form a basis for the \( \mathbb{F} \)-vector space \( MA^* M \). □

**Corollary 8.14.** We have \( MA^* M = MA^* + A^* M \).
Proof. By construction $MA^* + A^* M \subseteq MA^* M$. By Lemma 8.13, $MA^* M \subseteq MA^* + A^* M$.

**Definition 8.15.** Define a subspace $(MA^* M)^{\text{sym}}$ of $\text{End}(V)$ by

$$(MA^* M)^{\text{sym}} = \{Z \in MA^* M \mid Z^\dagger = Z\}.$$  

We call $(MA^* M)^{\text{sym}}$ the symmetric part of $MA^* M$.

**Lemma 8.16.** The subspace $(MA^* M)^{\text{sym}}$ contains $XA^* X$ for all $X$ in $M$. Moreover, $(MA^* M)^{\text{sym}}$ contains $XA^* Y + YA^* X$ for all $X, Y$ in $M$.

**Proof.** Observe that $(XA^* Y)^\dagger = YA^* X$ for all $X, Y \in M$.  

**Lemma 8.17.** The following elements form a basis for the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $(MA^* M)^{\text{sym}}$:

$$(8.51) \quad \{E_{i-1} A^* E_i + E_i A^* E_{i-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d\}.$$  

Moreover, $\dim(MA^* M)^{\text{sym}} = d$.

**Proof.** Let $Z$ denote the subspace of $\text{End}(V)$ spanned by (8.51). We show that $Z = (MA^* M)^{\text{sym}}$. By Lemma 8.16, we have $Z \subseteq (MA^* M)^{\text{sym}}$. To show the reverse inclusion, pick any $Z \in (MA^* M)^{\text{sym}}$. By construction $Z^\dagger = Z$. By Lemma 8.7, there exist scalars $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^d$, $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^d$ in $\mathbb{F}$ such that

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i E_{i-1} A^* E_i + \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i E_i A^* E_{i-1}.$$  

We have

$$Z^\dagger = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i E_i A^* E_{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i E_{i-1} A^* E_i.$$  

By $Z^\dagger = Z$ and the above two equations, we find that $\alpha_i = \beta_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Thus,

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i (E_{i-1} A^* E_i + E_i A^* E_{i-1}),$$  

and so $Z \in Z$. We have shown that $Z = (MA^* M)^{\text{sym}}$. Therefore, the elements (8.51) span $(MA^* M)^{\text{sym}}$. Using Lemma 8.7 one checks that the elements (8.51) are linearly independent. The result follows.

**Lemma 8.18.** The following elements form a basis for the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $(MA^* M)^{\text{sym}}$:

$$(8.52) \quad \{E_i A^* + A^* E_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq d - 1\}.$$  

**Proof.** Each of the elements in (8.52) is fixed by $\dagger$, and therefore contained in $(MA^* M)^{\text{sym}}$. The elements (8.52) are linearly independent by Lemma 8.13. The set (8.52) has cardinality $d$. The subspace $(MA^* M)^{\text{sym}}$ has dimension $d$ by Lemma 8.17. The result follows from these comments.

**Lemma 8.19.** We have

$$(8.53) \quad (MA^* M)^{\text{sym}} = \{XA^* + A^* X \mid X \in M\}.$$  

**Proof.** The inclusion $\subseteq$ follows from Lemma 8.18. The inclusion $\supseteq$ follows from Lemma 8.16.

**Lemma 8.20.** The $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $(MA^* M)^{\text{sym}}$ is spanned by

$$(8.54) \quad \{A^*, AA^* + A^* A, A^2 A^* + A^* A^2, \ldots, A^d A^* + A^* A^d\}.$$
Proof. Let $Z$ denote the subspace of $\text{End}(V)$ spanned by $(8.54)$. We show that $Z = (MA^*M)^\text{sym}$. We have $Z \subseteq (MA^*M)^\text{sym}$ by Lemma 8.16. By Lemma 8.19,

$$(MA^*M)^\text{sym} = \text{Span}\{A^iA^* + A^*A^i \mid 0 \leq i \leq d\} \subseteq Z.$$ 

The result follows. 

By Lemma 8.17 the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $(MA^*M)^\text{sym}$ has dimension $d$. The set $(8.54)$ contains $d+1$ elements. So the vectors $(8.54)$ are linearly dependent. We now find the dependency. To avoid trivialities we assume that $d \geq 1$.

**LEMMA 8.21.** Assume that $d \geq 1$. Then the following (i)–(iii) hold.

(i) $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$.

(ii) There exists a unique integer $n$ ($1 \leq n \leq d$) such that $\theta_n^* = -\theta_0^*$. 

(iii) The element $A^nA^* + A^*A^n$ is contained in the span of

$$(8.55) \quad \{A^*, AA^* + A^*A, A^2A^* + A^*A^2, \ldots, A^{n-1}A^* + A^*A^{n-1}\}.$$ 

Proof. Since the vectors $(8.54)$ are linearly dependent, there exist scalars $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=0}^d$ in $\mathbb{F}$, not all zero, such that

$$\alpha_0 A^* + \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i(A^iA^* + A^*A^i) = 0.$$ 

Define $n = \max \{i \mid 0 \leq i \leq d, \alpha_i \neq 0\}$. Note that $n \geq 1$, since $A^* \neq 0$ by Lemma 3.6. So

$$(8.56) \quad \alpha_0 A^* + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(A^iA^* + A^*A^i) = 0, \quad \alpha_n \neq 0.$$ 

By $(8.56)$ the element $A^nA^* + A^*A^n$ is contained in the span of $(8.55)$. In $(8.56)$, multiply each side on the left by $E_0^*$ and right by $E_n^*$. Simplify the result using $E_0^*A^* = \theta_0^*E_0^*$, $A^*E_n^* = \theta_n^*E_n^*$, $E_0^*E_n^* = 0$ to get

$$(\theta_0^* + \theta_n^*) \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_0^*A^i E_n^* = 0.$$ 

By Lemma 8.2(ii), $E_n^*A^i E_n^* = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. So the above line becomes

$$\alpha_n(\theta_0^* + \theta_n^*) E_0^*A^n E_n^* = 0.$$ 

We have $\alpha_n \neq 0$, and $E_0^*A^n E_n^* \neq 0$ by Lemma 8.2(ii). Thus, $\theta_0^* + \theta_n^* = 0$, and so $\theta_n^* = -\theta_0^*$. The $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ are mutually distinct, so no dual eigenvalue other than $\theta_n^*$ is equal to $-\theta_0^*$. We have $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$; otherwise $\theta_n^* = \theta_0^*$. The result follows. 

**NOTE 8.22.** Referring to Lemma 8.21(ii), it turns out that $n = d$; this will be established in Lemma 11.3.

**PROPOSITION 8.23.** There exist $\beta$, $\varrho$, $\varrho^*$ in $\mathbb{F}$ that satisfy both $(8.42)$, $(8.43)$.

Proof. We first show that

$$(8.57) \quad AA^*A \in \text{Span}\{A^*, AA^* + A^*A, A^2A^* + A^*A^2\}.$$ 

We assume that $d \geq 3$; otherwise $(8.57)$ holds by Lemma 8.20. Recall the integer $n$ from Lemma 8.21. By Lemmas 8.20 and 8.21(iii), there exist scalars $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=0}^d$ in $\mathbb{F}$ with $\alpha_n = 0$ such that

$$AA^*A = \alpha_0 A^* + \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i(A^i A^* + A^* A^i).$$
We show that $\alpha_i = 0$ for $3 \leq i \leq d$. Suppose not. Then there exists an integer $t$ ($3 \leq t \leq d$) such that $\alpha_t \neq 0$. We choose $t$ to be maximal. Then $t \neq n$ and

$$AA^*A = \alpha_0 A^* + \sum_{i=1}^{t} \alpha_i (A^i A^* + A^* A^{i-1}).$$

In the above line, multiply each side on the left by $E^*_0$ and right by $E^*_t$. Simplify the result to get

$$E^*_0 AA^* AE^*_t = (\theta_0^* + \theta_t^*) \sum_{i=1}^{t} \alpha_i E^*_0 A^i E^*_t.$$  

In the above line the left-hand side is zero by Lemma 8.4, and $E^*_0 A^i E^*_t = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq t - 1$) by Lemma 8.2(ii). Thus,

$$0 = \alpha_t (\theta_0^* + \theta_t^*) E^*_0 A^t E^*_t. \tag{8.58}$$

We examine the factors in (8.58). By construction $\alpha_t \neq 0$. We have $\theta_0^* + \theta_t^* \neq 0$ by Lemma 8.21(ii) and $t \neq n$. Also $E^*_0 A^i E^*_t \neq 0$ by Lemma 8.2(ii). Therefore, the right-hand side of (8.58) is nonzero, for a contradiction. We have shown (8.57).

Next we show that there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$\theta_{i-1}^* - \beta \theta_i^* + \theta_{i+1}^* = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq d - 1). \tag{8.59}$$

We assume that $d \geq 2$; otherwise the assertion is vacuous. By (8.57) there exist scalars $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$0 = \alpha_0 A^* + \alpha_1 (AA^* + A^* A + AA^*) + \alpha_2 (A^2 A^* + A^* A^2 - AA^*) A.$$  

For $1 \leq i \leq d - 1$, in the above line multiply each side on the left by $E^*_{i-1}$ and right by $E^*_{i+1}$. Simplify the result using Lemmas 8.2(ii) and 8.4 to get

$$0 = E^*_{i-1} A^2 E^*_{i+1} (\alpha_2 \theta_{i+1}^* + \alpha_2 \theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_i^*). \quad (1 \leq i \leq d - 1).$$

We have $E^*_{i-1} A^2 E^*_{i+1} \neq 0$ by Lemma 8.2(ii). Thus,

$$\alpha_2 (\theta_{i-1}^* + \theta_{i+1}^*) = \theta_i^* \quad (1 \leq i \leq d - 1).$$

Assume for the moment that $\alpha_2 \neq 0$. Then (8.59) holds for $\beta = \alpha_2^{-1}$. Next assume that $\alpha_2 = 0$. Then $\theta_i^* = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d - 1$. This forces $d = 2$ and $\theta_2^* = 0$. In this case $\theta_2^* = -\theta_0^*$ by Lemma 8.21(ii) and (8.59) holds for any $\beta \in \mathbb{F}$. We have shown that there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{F}$ that satisfies (8.59).

By (8.59) and Lemma 7.2(i) there exists $g^* \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$\theta_{i-1}^* - \beta \theta_i^* \theta_{i-1}^* + \theta_i^* = g^* \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

By this and Lemma 8.1 (applied to $\Phi^*$) we obtain (8.43). For $1 \leq i \leq d - 1$, multiply each side of (8.43) on the left by $E_{i-1}$ and right by $E_{i+1}$. Simplify the result using Lemmas 8.2(ii) and 8.4 (applied to $\Phi^*$) to get

$$0 = E_{i-1} A^2 E_{i+1} (\theta_{i-1} - \beta \theta_i + \theta_{i+1}) \quad (1 \leq i \leq d - 1).$$
Applying Lemma 8.2(ii) to $\Phi^*$, we obtain $E_{i-1}A^*E_{i+1} \neq 0$. Thus,

$$\theta_{i-1} - \beta \theta_i + \theta_{i+1} = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq d - 1).$$

By this and Lemma 7.2(i), there exists $\varrho \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$\theta_{i-1}^2 - \beta \theta_{i-1} \theta_i + \theta_i^2 = \varrho \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

By this and Lemma 8.1 we obtain (8.42).

We mention some results for later use.

**Lemma 8.24.** For $X \in \text{End}(V)$ the following are equivalent:

(i) $X$ commutes with each of $A$, $A^*$;

(ii) there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $X = \lambda I$.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) By Lemma 8.2(i) the element $X$ is contained in the center of $\text{End}(V)$. The center of $\text{End}(V)$ is spanned by $I$. The result follows.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Clear.

The next two results follow from Lemma 8.24.

**Corollary 8.25.** For $\psi \in \text{End}(V)$ the following are equivalent:

(i) $\psi$ is an isomorphism of TB tridiagonal pairs from $A, A^*$ to $A, A^*$;

(ii) there exists nonzero $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\psi = \lambda I$.

**Corollary 8.26.** For $\psi \in \text{End}(V)$ the following are equivalent:

(i) $\psi$ is an isomorphism of TB tridiagonal systems from $\Phi$ to $\Phi$;

(ii) there exists nonzero $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\psi = \lambda I$.

### 9. The Askey–Wilson sequence and the fundamental parameter.

In this section, we introduce the notion of an Askey–Wilson sequence and fundamental parameter for TB tridiagonal pairs and systems.

Throughout this section let $A, A^*$ denote a TB tridiagonal pair over $\mathbb{F}$. Let $\Phi$ denote an associated TB tridiagonal system.

**Definition 9.1.** By an Askey–Wilson sequence for $A, A^*$, we mean a sequence $\beta, \varrho, \varrho^*$ of scalars in $\mathbb{F}$ that satisfy (8.42) and (8.43).

**Definition 9.2.** By an Askey–Wilson sequence for $\Phi$, we mean an Askey–Wilson sequence for $A, A^*$.

**Lemma 9.3.** The following are the same:

(i) an Askey–Wilson sequence for $\Phi$;

(ii) an Askey–Wilson sequence for $\Phi^\downarrow$;

(iii) an Askey–Wilson sequence for $\Phi^\uparrow$.

*Proof.* The TB tridiagonal systems $\Phi, \Phi^\downarrow, \Phi^\uparrow$ have the same associated TB tridiagonal pair $A, A^*$. The result follows.

Let $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$ denote the eigenvalue array of $\Phi$. 

Proposition 9.4. Let $\beta, \varrho, \varrho^*$ denote a sequence of scalars taken from $F$. This sequence is an Askey–Wilson sequence for $\Phi$ if and only if both
\begin{align}
\theta_{i-1}^2 - \beta \theta_{i-1} \theta_i + \theta_i^2 &= \varrho \quad (1 \leq i \leq d), \\
\theta_{i-1}^{*2} - \beta \theta_{i-1}^{*} \theta_i^{*} + \theta_i^{*2} &= \varrho^{*} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).
\end{align}

Proof. Apply Lemma 8.1 to $\Phi$ and $\Phi^*$. \hfill \square

Lemma 9.5. Let $\beta, \varrho, \varrho^*$ denote an Askey–Wilson sequence for $A, A^*$. Then $\beta, \varrho^*, \varrho$ is an Askey–Wilson sequence for $A^*, A$. 

Proof. By (8.42) and (8.43). \hfill \square

Lemma 9.6. Let $\beta, \varrho, \varrho^*$ denote an Askey–Wilson sequence for $A, A^*$. Then for nonzero $\zeta, \zeta^* \in F$ the TB tridiagonal pair $\zeta A, \zeta^* A^*$ has an Askey–Wilson sequence $\beta, \zeta^2 \varrho, \zeta^{*2} \varrho^*$. 

Definition 9.7. A scalar $\beta \in F$ is called a fundamental parameter for $A, A^*$ whenever there exist $\varrho, \varrho^* \in F$ such that $\beta, \varrho, \varrho^*$ is an Askey–Wilson sequence for $A, A^*$. 

Definition 9.8. By a fundamental parameter for $\Phi$, we mean a fundamental parameter for $A, A^*$. 

Lemma 9.9. Let $\beta$ denote a fundamental parameter for $\Phi$. Then $\beta$ is a fundamental parameter for each of $\Phi, \Phi^+, \Phi^-, \Phi^*$. 

Proof. By Lemmas 9.3 and 9.5. \hfill \square

Proposition 9.10. A scalar $\beta \in F$ is a fundamental parameter for $\Phi$ if and only if both
\begin{align}
\theta_{i-1} - \beta \theta_i + \theta_{i+1} &= 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq d - 1), \\
\theta_{i-1}^* - \beta \theta_i^* + \theta_{i+1}^* &= 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq d - 1).
\end{align}

Proof. By Lemma 7.2 and Proposition 9.4. \hfill \square

Lemma 9.11. Let $\beta$ denote a fundamental parameter for $A, A^*$. Then $\beta$ is a fundamental parameter for $A^*, A$. 

Proof. By Lemma 9.5 or Lemma 9.9. \hfill \square

Lemma 9.12. Let $\beta$ denote a fundamental parameter for $A, A^*$. Then $\beta$ is a fundamental parameter for $\zeta A, \zeta^* A^*$ for all nonzero $\zeta, \zeta^* \in F$. 

Proof. By Lemma 9.6. \hfill \square

The uniqueness of the Askey–Wilson sequence and fundamental parameter will be discussed in Lemmas 12.6, 12.7.

10. More on recurrent sequences. Recall the recurrent sequences from Definition 7.1. In this section, we obtain a detailed description of these sequences. In our description, two special cases come up, said to be symmetric and antisymmetric. Throughout this section fix an integer $d \geq 1$.

Definition 10.1. Let $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d$ denote a sequence of scalars taken from $F$. This sequence is said to be over $F$ and have diameter $d$. The sequence $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is said to be symmetric whenever $\sigma_i = \sigma_{d-i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. The sequence $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is said to be antisymmetric whenever $\sigma_i + \sigma_{d-i} = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$.

For the rest of this section fix $\beta \in F$. 
Totally bipartite tridiagonal pairs

Definition 10.2. Let $\mathcal{R}$ denote the set of $\beta$-recurrent sequences over $\mathbb{F}$ that have diameter $d$. Let $\mathcal{R}^{\text{sym}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}^{\text{asym}}$) denote the set of symmetric (resp. antisymmetric) elements in $\mathcal{R}$. Note that $\mathcal{R}$ is a subspace of the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $\mathbb{F}^{d+1}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{R}^{\text{sym}}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\text{asym}}$ are subspaces of $\mathcal{R}$.

Lemma 10.3. If $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ then $\mathcal{R}^{\text{sym}} = \mathcal{R}^{\text{asym}}$. If $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ then $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^{\text{sym}} + \mathcal{R}^{\text{asym}}$ (direct sum).

Proof. The first assertion is clear. Concerning the second assertion, assume that $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$. For a sequence $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d$ in $\mathcal{R}$ define

$$
\sigma_i^+ = \frac{\sigma_i + \sigma_{d-i}}{2}, \quad \sigma_i^- = \frac{\sigma_i - \sigma_{d-i}}{2} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).
$$

We have $\sigma_i = \sigma_i^+ + \sigma_i^-$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. The sequence $\{\sigma_i^+\}_{i=0}^d$ is $\beta$-recurrent and symmetric. The sequence $\{\sigma_i^-\}_{i=0}^d$ is $\beta$-recurrent and antisymmetric. By these comments $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^{\text{sym}} + \mathcal{R}^{\text{asym}}$. We show that this sum is direct. Pick an element $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d$ of $\mathcal{R}^{\text{sym}} \cap \mathcal{R}^{\text{asym}}$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ we have both $\sigma_{d-i} = \sigma_i$ and $\sigma_{d-i} = -\sigma_i$, and so $\sigma_i = 0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{R}^{\text{sym}} \cap \mathcal{R}^{\text{asym}} = 0$ and consequently the sum $\mathcal{R}^{\text{sym}} + \mathcal{R}^{\text{asym}}$ is direct. \qed

Our next goal is to display a basis for $\mathcal{R}^{\text{sym}}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\text{asym}}$, under the assumption that $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$. Our strategy is to first display some nonzero elements in $\mathcal{R}^{\text{sym}}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\text{asym}}$, and a bit later show that these elements form a basis. The cases $\beta = 2$, $\beta = -2$ will be handled separately.

Lemma 10.4. Assume that $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ and $\beta = \pm 2$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ define $\sigma_i$ as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{c|c}
\text{Case} & \sigma_i \\
\hline
\beta = 2 & 1 \\
\beta = -2, \ d \ \text{even} & (-1)^i \\
\beta = -2, \ d \ \text{odd} & (d - 2i)(-1)^i \\
\end{array}
\]

Then $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is nonzero and contained in $\mathcal{R}^{\text{sym}}$.

Proof. One routinely checks that $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is nonzero, $\beta$-recurrent, and symmetric. The result follows. \qed

Lemma 10.5. Assume that $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ and $\beta = \pm 2$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ define $\sigma_i$ as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{c|c}
\text{Case} & \sigma_i \\
\hline
\beta = 2 & d - 2i \\
\beta = -2, \ d \ \text{even} & (d - 2i)(-1)^i \\
\beta = -2, \ d \ \text{odd} & (-1)^i \\
\end{array}
\]

Then $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is nonzero and contained in $\mathcal{R}^{\text{asym}}$.

Proof. One routinely checks that $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is nonzero, $\beta$-recurrent, and antisymmetric. The result follows. \qed

Lemma 10.6. Assume that $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ and $\beta \neq \pm 2$. Let $q \in \mathbb{F}$ be such that $\beta = q^2 + q^{-2}$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ define $\sigma_i$ by

$$
\sigma_i = \begin{cases} 
q^{d-2i} + q^{2i-d} & \text{if } d \text{ is even}, \\
q^{d-2i} + q^{2i-d} & \text{if } d \text{ is odd}, \\
q + q^{-1} & 
\end{cases}
$$

Then $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is nonzero and contained in $\mathcal{R}^{\text{sym}}$. 

Proof. Clearly \( \{\sigma_i\}^d_{i=0} \) is nonzero. We verify that \( \{\sigma_i\}^d_{i=0} \) is contained in \( R_{\text{sym}} \). One routinely checks that \( \{\sigma_i\}^d_{i=0} \) is \( \beta \)-recurrent and symmetric. We show that \( \sigma_i \in F \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq d \). First assume that \( d \) is even. Observe that \( \sigma_{d/2} = 2 \) and \( \sigma_{d/2-1} = q^2 + q^{-2} = \beta \). Therefore, each of \( \sigma_{d/2}, \sigma_{d/2-1} \) is contained in \( F \). By this and since \( \{\sigma_i\}^d_{i=0} \) is \( \beta \)-recurrent, we obtain \( \sigma_i \in F \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq d \). Next assume that \( d \) is odd. Observe that \( \sigma_{(d-1)/2} = 1 \) and \( \sigma_{(d+1)/2} = 1 \). Therefore, each of \( \sigma_{(d-1)/2}, \sigma_{(d+1)/2} \) is contained in \( F \). By this and since \( \{\sigma_i\}^d_{i=0} \) is \( \beta \)-recurrent, we obtain \( \sigma_i \in F \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq d \). Thus, \( \{\sigma_i\}^d_{i=0} \) is contained in \( R_{\text{sym}} \). The result follows.

**Lemma 10.7.** Assume that \( \text{Char}(F) \neq 2 \) and \( \beta \neq \pm 2 \). Let \( q \in F \) be such that \( \beta = q^2 + q^{-2} \). For \( 0 \leq i \leq d \) define \( \sigma_i \) by

\[
\sigma_i = \begin{cases} 
\frac{q^{d-2i} - q^{2i-d}}{q^2 - q^{-2}} & \text{if } d \text{ is even}, \\
\frac{q^{d-2i} - q^{2i-d}}{q - q^{-1}} & \text{if } d \text{ is odd}.
\end{cases}
\]

Then \( \{\sigma_i\}^d_{i=0} \) is nonzero and contained in \( R_{\text{sym}} \).

Proof. Clearly \( \{\sigma_i\}^d_{i=0} \) is nonzero. We verify that \( \{\sigma_i\}^d_{i=0} \) is contained in \( R_{\text{sym}} \). One routinely checks that \( \{\sigma_i\}^d_{i=0} \) is \( \beta \)-recurrent and antisymmetric. We show that \( \sigma_i \in F \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq d \). First assume that \( d \) is even. Observe that \( \sigma_{d/2} = 0 \) and \( \sigma_{d/2-1} = 1 \). Therefore, each of \( \sigma_{d/2}, \sigma_{d/2-1} \) is contained in \( F \). By this and since \( \{\sigma_i\}^d_{i=0} \) is \( \beta \)-recurrent, we obtain \( \sigma_i \in F \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq d \). Next assume that \( d \) is odd. Observe that \( \sigma_{(d-1)/2} = 1 \) and \( \sigma_{(d+1)/2} = -1 \). Therefore, each of \( \sigma_{(d-1)/2}, \sigma_{(d+1)/2} \) is contained in \( F \). By this and since \( \{\sigma_i\}^d_{i=0} \) is \( \beta \)-recurrent, we obtain \( \sigma_i \in F \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq d \). Thus, \( \{\sigma_i\}^d_{i=0} \) is contained in \( R_{\text{sym}} \). The result follows.

**Note 10.8.** The parameter \( q \) in Lemmas 10.6, 10.7 is not uniquely determined by \( \{\sigma_i\}^d_{i=0} \). To clarify the situation, let \( y \) denote an indeterminate, and consider the equation

\[
(10.66) \quad \beta = y^2 + y^{-2}.
\]

Let \( 0 \neq q \in F \) denote a solution of (10.66). Then the solutions of (10.66) are \( q, -q, q^{-1}, -q^{-1} \). In Lemmas 10.6 and 10.7, replacing \( q \) by \( -q, q^{-1}, -q^{-1} \) does not change \( \sigma_i \). Thus, the given sequence \( \{\sigma_i\}^d_{i=0} \) depends only on \( d \) and \( \beta \) and not on the choice of \( q \).

In Lemmas 10.6 and 10.7, each scalar \( \sigma_i \) can be represented as a polynomial in \( \beta \). The polynomial has Chebychev type, as we now explain. Assume that \( \text{Char}(F) \neq 2 \). For scalars \( a, b, c \) in \( F \) define polynomials \( T_0(x), T_1(x), T_2(x), \ldots \) by

\[
T_0(x) = a,
T_1(x) = bx + c,
T_i(x) = 2xT_{i-1}(x) - T_{i-2}(x) \quad i = 2, 3, \ldots.
\]

Then \( T_i(x) \) is the \( i \)th Chebychev polynomial with parameters \( a, b, c \) [11, Remark 2.5.3]. Now consider the sequence \( \{\sigma_i\}^d_{i=0} \) from Lemma 10.6.

(i) Assume that \( d \) is even. Then for \( n = d/2 \) and \( a = 2, b = 2, c = 0 \),

\[
\sigma_i = T_{n-i}(\beta/2) \quad (0 \leq i \leq n).
\]
(ii) Assume that \( d \) is odd. Then for \( n = (d - 1)/2 \) and \( a = 1, b = 2, c = -1 \),
\[
\sigma_i = T_{n-i}(\beta/2) \quad (0 \leq i \leq n).
\]

Next consider the sequence \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) from Lemma 10.7.

(i) Assume that \( d \) is even. Then for \( n = d/2 \) and \( a = 1, b = 2, c = 0 \),
\[
\sigma_i = T_{n-1-i}(\beta/2) \quad (0 \leq i \leq n - 1), \quad \sigma_n = 0.
\]
(ii) Assume that \( d \) is odd. Then for \( n = (d - 1)/2 \) and \( a = 1, b = 2, c = 1 \),
\[
\sigma_i = T_{n-i}(\beta/2) \quad (0 \leq i \leq n).
\]

**Lemma 10.9.** Assume that \( \text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2 \). Then
\[
\dim \mathcal{R} = 2, \quad \dim \mathcal{R}^\text{sym} = 1, \quad \dim \mathcal{R}^\text{asym} = 1.
\]

**Proof.** Pick a sequence \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) in \( \mathcal{R} \). By the \( \beta \)-recurrence, the scalars \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) are uniquely determined by \( \sigma_0, \sigma_1 \). Thus, \( \dim \mathcal{R} \leq 2 \). The subspace \( \mathcal{R}^\text{sym} \) is nonzero by Lemmas 10.4 and 10.6. The subspace \( \mathcal{R}^\text{asym} \) is nonzero by Lemmas 10.5 and 10.7. By these comments and Lemma 10.3, we obtain the results. □

**Corollary 10.10.** The following hold.

(i) Assume that \( \beta = \pm 2 \). Then the sequence \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) from Lemma 10.4 (resp. Lemma 10.5) is a basis for \( \mathcal{R}^\text{sym} \) (resp. \( \mathcal{R}^\text{asym} \)).

(ii) Assume that \( \beta \neq \pm 2 \). Then the sequence \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) from Lemma 10.6 (resp. Lemma 10.7) is a basis for \( \mathcal{R}^\text{sym} \) (resp. \( \mathcal{R}^\text{asym} \)).

**Proof.** By Lemma 10.9. □

For a sequence \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) in \( \mathcal{R} \), we sometimes consider the case in which \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) are mutually distinct. Of course this does not happen if \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) is symmetric. But it could happen if \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) is antisymmetric. We now give the details.

**Definition 10.11.** A sequence \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) in \( \mathcal{R} \) is said to be MutDist whenever \( \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_d \) are mutually distinct.

**Definition 10.12.** A subspace of \( \mathcal{R} \) is said to be MutDist whenever the subspace is nonzero and every nonzero element of the subspace is MutDist.

**Lemma 10.13.** The following (i), (ii) are equivalent:

(i) \( \mathcal{R}^\text{asym} \) is MutDist;
(ii) \( \mathcal{R}^\text{asym} \) contains a MutDist element.

Assume that (i), (ii) hold. Then \( \text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2 \).

**Proof.** First assume that (i) holds. Then (ii) follows from Definition 10.12. Next assume that (ii) holds. Let \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) denote a MutDist element in \( \mathcal{R}^\text{asym} \). Then \( \sigma_d = -\sigma_0 \) and \( \sigma_d \neq \sigma_0 \). Thus, \( \text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2 \). By this and Lemma 10.9, the space \( \mathcal{R}^\text{asym} \) has dimension 1. Now (i) follows. Next assume that (i), (ii) hold. We mentioned in the proof of (ii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i) that \( \text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2 \).

**Lemma 10.14.** Assume that \( d \geq 2 \) and \( \beta = -2 \). Assume that \( \mathcal{R}^\text{asym} \) is MutDist. Then \( d \) is even.
Proof. By Lemma 10.13, \( \text{Char}(F) \neq 2 \). Let \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) be from Lemma 10.5. Then \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) is MutDist. If \( d \) is odd, then \( \sigma_0 = \sigma_2 \), for a contradiction. Thus, \( d \) is even.

**Lemma 10.15.** Let the sequence \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) be from Lemmas 10.5 and 10.7. Then for \( 0 \leq i, j \leq d \) the scalar \( \sigma_i - \sigma_j \) is given as follows:

| Case          | \( \sigma_i - \sigma_j \)                      |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| \( \beta = 2 \)       | \( 2(j - i) \)                                      |
| \( \beta = -2, \ d \even \) | \( \begin{array}{l|l} 
  j \even & 2(j - i) \\
  j \odd & 2(i + j - d) \\
\end{array} \) |
| \( \beta \neq \pm 2, \ d \even \) | \( \frac{(q^{2j} - q^{2i})(1 + q^{2d-2i-2j})}{q^d(q^2 - q^{-2})} \) |
| \( \beta \neq \pm 2, \ d \odd \) | \( \frac{(q^{2j} - q^{2i})(1 + q^{2d-2i-2j})}{q^d(q - q^{-1})} \) |

In the above table the scalar \( q \) is from Lemma 10.7.

Proof. Routine.

**Lemma 10.16.** For \( d = 1 \), \( R^{\text{asym}} \) is MutDist if and only if \( \text{Char}(F) \neq 2 \). For \( d \geq 2 \), \( R^{\text{asym}} \) is MutDist if and only if the following conditions hold:

| Case | Conditions                                                                                     |
|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| \( \beta = 2 \) | \( \text{Char}(F) \) is 0 or greater than \( d \)                                               |
| \( \beta = -2 \) | \( d \) is even, \( \text{Char}(F) \) is 0 or greater than \( d \)                           |
| \( \beta \neq \pm 2 \) | \( \text{Char}(F) \neq 2 \), \( q^{2i} \neq 1 \) \( (1 \leq i \leq d) \), \( q^{2i} \neq -1 \) \( (1 \leq i \leq d-1) \) |

In the above table the scalar \( q \) is from Lemma 10.7.

Proof. Use Lemmas 10.13–10.15.

We mention a lemma for later use.

**Lemma 10.17.** Let \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) denote a MutDist element in \( R^{\text{asym}} \). Then \( \sigma_0, \sigma_d \) are nonzero and

\[ \sigma_1 \sigma_i \neq \sigma_0 \sigma_{i-1}, \quad \sigma_1 \sigma_i \neq \sigma_0 \sigma_{i+1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d-1). \]

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) is the sequence from Lemmas 10.5, 10.7. One routinely verifies the results using Lemma 10.16.

Motivated by Lemma 4.16(iii) we consider the relation \( \sigma_1 \sigma_d = \sigma_0 \sigma_{d-1} \). We will need the following result.

**Lemma 10.18.** For sequences \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) and \( \{\tau_i\}_{i=0}^d \) in \( R \) the following are equivalent:

(i) \( \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \neq \sigma_1 \tau_0 \);

(ii) \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d \) and \( \{\tau_i\}_{i=0}^d \) are linearly independent.
Proof. (i)⇒(ii) Clear.

(ii)⇒(i) Consider the $d+1$ by 2 matrix $H$ with row $i$ equal to $\sigma_i, \tau_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. The rank of $H$ is 2. By the $\beta$-reccurence, each row of $H$ is a linear combination of rows 0 and 1. Therefore, rows 0 and 1 are linearly independent. Now take the determinant of the submatrix of $H$ consisting of rows 0 and 1.

\begin{proposition}
Assume that $\text{Char}(F) \neq 2$. Then for a sequence $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d$ in $R$ the following are equivalent:
\begin{enumerate}[label=(\roman*)]
  \item $\sigma_0\sigma_d = \sigma_0\sigma_{d-1}$;
  \item the sequence $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is symmetric or antisymmetric.
\end{enumerate}
\end{proposition}

Proof. By Lemma 10.3 there exist $\{\tau_i\}_{i=0}^d$ in $R^{\text{sym}}$ and $\{\mu_i\}_{i=0}^d$ in $R^{\text{asym}}$ such that $\sigma_i = \tau_i + \mu_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. We have

$$\sigma_0\sigma_{d-1} - \sigma_1\sigma_d = (\tau_0 + \mu_0)(\tau_{d-1} + \mu_{d-1}) - (\tau_1 + \mu_1)(\tau_d + \mu_d)$$

$$= (\tau_0 + \mu_0)(\tau_1 - \mu_1) - (\tau_1 + \mu_1)(\tau_0 - \mu_0)$$

$$= 2(\tau_0\mu_1 - \tau_1\mu_0).$$

By this and Lemma 10.18, $\sigma_0\sigma_{d-1} = \sigma_1\sigma_d$ if and only if $\{\tau_i\}_{i=0}^d$ and $\{\mu_i\}_{i=0}^d$ are linearly dependent. Since the sum $R^{\text{sym}} + R^{\text{asym}}$ is direct, the sequences $\{\tau_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{\mu_i\}_{i=0}^d$ are linearly dependent if and only if at least one of $\{\tau_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{\mu_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is zero. The result follows.

\begin{corollary}
Assume that $\text{Char}(F) \neq 2$. Let $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d$ denote a MutDist element in $R$. Then the following are equivalent:
\begin{enumerate}[label=(\roman*)]
  \item $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is antisymmetric;
  \item $\sigma_1\sigma_d = \sigma_0\sigma_{d-1}$.
\end{enumerate}
\end{corollary}

Proof. By Proposition 10.19 and since no element of $R^{\text{sym}}$ is MutDist.

11. The classification of TB tridiagonal systems. In this section, we classify up to isomorphism the TB tridiagonal systems. Fix an integer $d \geq 1$ and consider a sequence of scalars taken from $F$:

$$\{\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d\}. \quad (11.68)$$

We now state the classification.

\begin{theorem}
There exists a TB tridiagonal system $\Phi$ over $F$ that has eigenvalue array (11.68) if and only if the following (i)–(iii) hold:
\begin{enumerate}[label=(\roman*)]
  \item $\theta_i \neq \theta_j$, $\theta_i^* \neq \theta_j^*$ if $i \neq j$ (0 $\leq i, j \leq d$);
  \item there exists $\beta \in F$ such that both
    $$\theta_{i-1} - \beta \theta_i + \theta_{i+1} = 0, \quad \theta_{i-1}^* - \beta \theta_i^* + \theta_{i+1}^* = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq d - 1);$$
  \item $\theta_1 + \theta_{d-1} = 0$, $\theta_i^* + \theta_{d-i}^* = 0 \quad (0 \leq i \leq d)$.
\end{enumerate}

In this case $\Phi$ is unique up to isomorphism of TB tridiagonal systems.

In this case $\Phi$ is unique up to isomorphism of TB tridiagonal systems.
Note 11.2. For $d \leq 2$, Theorem 11.1 remains valid if condition (ii) is deleted. Here is the reason. First assume that $d = 1$. Then (11.69) is vacuous and so holds for any $\beta \in F$. Next assume that $d = 2$. Then condition (ii) follows from conditions (i), (iii). Indeed by condition (iii) we have $\theta_0 + \theta_2 = 0$ and $2\theta_1 = 0$. By this and condition (i) we have $\text{Char}(F) \neq 2$ and $\theta_1 = 0$. Similarly $\theta_0^* + \theta_2^* = 0$ and $\theta_1^* = 0$. Therefore, (11.69) holds for any $\beta \in F$.

The proof of Theorem 11.1 takes up most of this section.

Lemma 11.3. Assume that there exists a TB tridiagonal system $\Phi$ over $F$ that has eigenvalue array (11.68). Then this eigenvalue array satisfies conditions (i)–(iii) in Theorem 11.1. Moreover, $\Phi$ is unique up to isomorphism.

Proof. Condition (i) holds by construction. Condition (ii) holds by Proposition 9.10. Condition (iii) holds by Lemmas 4.16(iii), 8.21(i), and Corollary 10.20. The uniqueness follows from Corollary 5.5.

For the rest of this section, we assume that the sequence (11.68) satisfies Conditions (i)–(iii) in Theorem 11.1. We will construct a TB tridiagonal system $\Phi$ over $F$ that has eigenvalue array (11.68). Fix $\beta \in F$ that satisfies (11.69). We will refer to Section 10 with this $\beta$. Observe that each of the sequences $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ is MutDist and contained in $R^{\text{sym}}$. Note that $\text{Char}(F) \neq 2$ by Lemma 10.13.

Lemma 11.4. There exists $0 \neq \zeta \in F$ such that $\theta_i^* = \zeta \theta_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$.

Proof. By Lemma 10.9 the subspace $R^{\text{sym}}$ has dimension one. Each of $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ is a nonzero element of $R^{\text{sym}}$. The result follows.

Lemma 11.5. The following hold.

(i) Each of $\theta_0$, $\theta_d$, $\theta_0^*$, $\theta_d^*$ is nonzero.
(ii) $\theta_i/\theta_0 = \theta_{d-1}/\theta_d = \theta_i^*/\theta_0^* = \theta_{d-1}^*/\theta_d^*$.

Proof. (i) By Lemmas 10.17 and 11.4.
(ii) We have $\theta_i \theta_d = \theta_0 \theta_{d-1}$ by Corollary 10.20. The result follows from this and Lemma 11.4.

The following definition is motivated by Lemma 5.4.

Definition 11.6. Define scalars $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^d$, $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^{d-1}$ by

\begin{align}
(11.70) & \quad c_i = \frac{\theta_i \theta_i^* - \theta_0 \theta_{i+1}^*}{\theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_{i+1}^*} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d-1), \quad c_d = \theta_0, \\
(11.71) & \quad b_i = \frac{\theta_i \theta_i^* - \theta_0 \theta_{i+1}^*}{\theta_{i+1}^* - \theta_{i-1}^*} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d-1), \quad b_0 = \theta_0.
\end{align}

Lemma 11.7. We have $c_i = b_{d-i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Proof. Compare (11.70), (11.71) using the fact that the sequence $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ is antisymmetric.

Lemma 11.8. The scalars $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^d$, $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^{d-1}$ are all nonzero.

Proof. By Lemma 11.4, $c_i$ is a nonzero scalar multiple of $\theta_i \theta_i - \theta_0 \theta_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ and $c_d = \theta_0$. By this and Lemma 10.17, $c_i \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. By this and Lemma 11.7, $b_i \neq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. 

LEMMA 11.9. The following hold:
(i) \( c_d = \theta_0, \quad b_0 = \theta_0 \) and
\[
(11.72) \quad c_i + b_i = \theta_0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq d - 1);
\]
(ii) \( c_d\theta_1^* = \theta_1 \theta_1^* \), \( b_0 \theta_1^* = \theta_1 \theta_0^* \) and
\[
(11.73) \quad c_i\theta_{i-1}^* + b_i\theta_{i+1}^* = \theta_1 \theta_i^* \quad (1 \leq i \leq d - 1).
\]
Proof. (i) Use Definition 11.6.
(ii) To get (11.73) use Definition 11.6. Concerning the first two equations, use \( c_d = \theta_0, \quad b_0 = \theta_0 \), and Lemma 11.5.

DEFINITION 11.10. Define matrices \( A, A^* \) in \( \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F}) \) by
\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & b_0 & 0 \\
c_1 & 0 & b_1 \\
& \ddots & \ddots \\
& & \ddots & \ddots \\
& & & c_{d-1} & b_d \\
0 & & & & c_d & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad A^* = \text{diag}(\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \ldots, \theta_d^*).
\]

Recall the vector space \( V = \mathbb{F}^{d+1} \). We are going to show that \( A, A^* \) is a TB tridiagonal pair on \( V \) and \( \{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d \) (resp. \( \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d \) ) is a standard ordering of the eigenvalues of \( A \) (resp. \( A^* \)).

We first consider the eigenspaces of \( A^* \), and the action of \( A \) on these eigenspaces. Define matrices \( \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d \) in \( \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F}) \) by
\[
E_i^* = \text{diag}(0, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots, 0) \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).
\]
For \( 0 \leq i \leq d \) define \( V_i^* = E_i^*V \). Observe that \( V_i^* \) is a subspace of \( V \) with dimension 1.

LEMMA 11.11. For \( 0 \leq i \leq d \), \( V_i^* \) is the eigenspace of \( A^* \) with eigenvalue \( \theta_i^* \). Moreover
\[
AV_i^* \subseteq V_{i-1}^* + V_{i+1}^* \quad (0 \leq i \leq d),
\]
where \( V_{-1}^* = 0 \), \( V_{d+1}^* = 0 \).

Proof. By the form of the matrices \( A, A^* \) in Definition 11.10.

Next we consider the eigenspaces of \( A \), and the action of \( A^* \) on these eigenspaces. Each eigenspace of \( A \) has dimension 1, as we saw below (6.30). But conceivably \( A \) is not diagonalizable. For \( 0 \leq i \leq d \) define
\[
V_i = \{ v \in V \mid Av = \theta_i v \}.
\]
The subspace \( V_i \) is nonzero if and only if \( \theta_i \) is an eigenvalue of \( A \), and in this case \( V_i \) has dimension 1. Our next goal is to show that each of \( \{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d \) is an eigenvalue of \( A \), and \( A^*V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} + V_{i+1} \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq d \), where \( V_{-1} = 0 \) and \( V_{d+1} = 0 \).

By Lemma 7.2 there exists \( \varrho^* \in \mathbb{F} \) such that
\[
(11.74) \quad \varrho^* = \theta_{i-1}^* + \beta \theta_i^* \theta_i^* + \theta_i^* \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).
\]
Lemma 11.12. We have

\[ A^2 A - \beta A^* A A^* + A A^* A = \varrho A. \]  

Proof. Let \( Z \) denote the left-hand side of (11.75) minus the right-hand side of (11.75). We show that \( Z = 0 \). To do this, we use Definition 11.10 and matrix multiplication to show that each entry of \( Z \) is zero. For \( 0 \leq i, j \leq d \) the \((i,j)\)-entry of \( Z \) is

\[ Z_{i,j} = (\theta_i^2 - \beta \theta_i^* \theta_j^* + \theta_j^2 - \varrho^* \theta_i^* \theta_j^* A_{i,j}. \]

First assume that \(|i - j| \neq 1\). Then \( A_{i,j} = 0 \) so \( Z_{i,j} = 0 \). Next assume that \(|i - j| = 1\). Then in (11.76) the first factor on the right is zero so \( Z_{i,j} = 0 \). We have shown \( Z = 0 \), and the result follows. \( \square \)

Lemma 11.13. For \( 0 \leq i \leq d \), the scalar \( \theta_i \) is an eigenvalue of \( A \). Moreover,

\[ A^* V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} + V_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d), \]

where \( V_{-1} = 0 \) and \( V_{d+1} = 0 \).

Proof. We first show that for \( 0 \leq i \leq d - 1 \),

\[ A^* V_i \subseteq V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_{i-1} + V_{i+1}, \]
\[ A^* V_i \subseteq V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_{i-1}. \]

We prove this using induction on \( i \). Define vectors \( v_0, v_1 \) in \( V \) by

\[ v_0 = (1, 1, \ldots, 1)^t, \quad v_1 = (\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \ldots, \theta_d^*)^t. \]

The vectors \( v_0, v_1 \) are nonzero. Using Lemma 11.9 one finds that \( A v_0 = \theta_0 v_0 \) and \( A v_1 = \theta_1 v_1 \). So \( v_0 \in V_0 \) and \( v_1 \in V_1 \). Using the form of \( A^* \) in Definition 11.10, we find \( A^* v_0 = v_1 \). So (11.78), (11.79) hold for \( i = 0 \). Assume that \( 1 \leq i \leq d - 1 \). By induction,

\[ A^* V_{i-1} \subseteq V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_{i-2} + V_i, \]
\[ A^* V_{i-1} \subseteq V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_{i-2}. \]

By (11.82), \( V_{i-1} \neq 0 \). Pick \( 0 \neq v \in V_{i-1} \), and note that \( v \) is a basis for \( V_{i-1} \). By (11.81) there exist \( w \in V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_{i-2} \) and \( v' \in V_i \) such that \( A^* v = w + v' \). By (11.82) the vector \( v' \) is nonzero and hence a basis for \( V_i \). We apply each side of (11.75) to \( v \). Evaluate each term using \( A^* v = w + v' \) and simplify the result using \( \theta_{i-1} - \beta \theta_i = -\theta_{i+1} \) to get

\[ (A - \theta_{i+1} I) A^* v' = -\theta_{i-1} A^* w + \beta A^* A w - AA^* w + \varrho^* \theta_{i-1} v. \]

By construction \( A w \in V_0 + \cdots + V_{i-2} \). By induction \( A^* (V_0 + \cdots + V_{i-2}) \subseteq V_0 + \cdots + V_{i-1} \). By these comments, the right-hand side of (11.83) is contained in \( V_0 + \cdots + V_{i-1} \). So (11.83) yields

\[ (A - \theta_{i+1} I) A^* v' \in V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_{i-1}. \]

Thus, there exist vectors \( \{v_r\}_{r=0}^{i-1} \) in \( V \) such that \( v_r \in V_r \) \((0 \leq r \leq i - 1)\) and \( (A - \theta_{i+1} I) A^* v' = \sum_{r=0}^{i-1} v_r \). Define \( v'' \in V \) by

\[ v'' = A^* v' + \sum_{r=0}^{i-1} \frac{v_r}{\theta_{i+1} - \theta_r}. \]
Totally bipartite tridiagonal pairs

One routinely checks \( Av'' = \theta_{i+1} v'' \). So \( v'' \in V_{i+1} \). Therefore, (11.78) holds. We show (11.79). Assume by way of contradiction that \( A^*V \subseteq V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_i \). Define \( W = V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_i \). Then \( A^*W \subseteq W \). By construction \( AW \subseteq W \). We have \( W \neq 0 \) since \( i \geq 0 \), and \( W \neq V \) since \( i \leq d - 1 \). These comments contradict Lemma 6.5(iii). Thus, (11.79) holds. We have now shown that (11.78) and (11.79) hold for \( 0 \leq i \leq d - 1 \).

Next we show that for \( 1 \leq i \leq d \),

\[
A^*V_i \subseteq V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_i + 1 + V_{i-1}, \tag{11.84} \\
A^*V_i \not\subseteq V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_i + 1 + V_{i+1}. \tag{11.85}
\]

We prove this using induction on \( i = d, d - 1, \ldots, 1 \). Define vectors \( v_d, v_{d-1} \) in \( V \) by

\[
v_d = (1, -1, 1, -1, \ldots)^t, \quad v_{d-1} = (\theta_0^*, \ldots, -\theta_1^*, \ldots, -\theta_d^*, \ldots)^t. \tag{11.86}
\]

Each of \( v_d, v_{d-1} \) is nonzero. Using Lemma 11.9 and the fact that \( \{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d \) is antisymmetric, we obtain \( A^*V = \theta_d v_d \) and \( A^*V_d = v_{d-1} - v_{d-1} \). So \( v_d \in V_d \) and \( v_{d-1} \in V_{d-1} \). Using the form of \( A^* \) in Definition 11.10 and (11.86), we obtain \( A^*v_d = v_{d-1} \). So (11.84) and (11.85) hold for \( i = d \). Assume that \( 1 \leq i \leq d - 1 \). Adjusting the proof of (11.78) and (11.79), we obtain (11.84) and (11.85). We have now shown that (11.84) and (11.85) hold for \( 1 \leq i \leq d \).

By (11.79) or (11.85) we see that \( V_i \) is nonzero for \( 0 \leq i \leq d \). Consequently, \( \theta_i \) is an eigenvalue of \( A \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq d \). Comparing (11.78) and (11.84), we obtain (11.77).

Lemma 11.14. The pair \( A, A^* \) is a TB tridiagonal pair on \( V \). Moreover, \( \{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d \) (resp. \( \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d \) ) is a standard ordering of the eigenvalues of \( A \) (resp. \( A^* \)).

Proof. We verify the conditions (i)–(iv) in Definition 3.1. By construction \( A^* \) is diagonalizable. By Lemma 11.13, \( A \) is diagonalizable. Thus, condition (i) holds. Conditions (ii) and (iii) hold by Lemmas 11.13 and 11.11, respectively. Condition (iv) holds by Lemma 6.5(iii). Thus, \( A, A^* \) is a TB tridiagonal pair on \( V \). We have shown that \( \{V_i\}_{i=0}^d \) (resp. \( \{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^d \) ) is a standard ordering of the eigenvalues of \( A \) (resp. \( A^* \) ). The result follows.

For \( 0 \leq i \leq d \) let \( E_i \in \text{End}(V) \) denote the projection onto \( V_i \). Define

\[
\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d).
\]

Lemma 11.15. The above \( \Phi \) is a TB tridiagonal system on \( V \) with eigenvalue array (11.68).

Proof. By Lemma 11.14 the pair \( A, A^* \) is a TB tridiagonal pair on \( V \). By Lemma 11.14 and the construction, \( \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d \) (resp. \( \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d \) ) is a standard ordering of the primitive idempotents of \( A \) (resp. \( A^* \) ). The result follows.

By Lemmas 11.13, 11.15 we have now proved Theorem 11.1.

12. The eigenvalue array. In this section, we introduce the notion of an eigenvalue array over \( \mathbb{F} \). Fix an integer \( d \geq 1 \) and consider a sequence of scalars taken from \( \mathbb{F} \):

\[
\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d. \tag{12.87}
\]

Definition 12.1. The sequence (12.87) is called an eigenvalue array over \( \mathbb{F} \) whenever it satisfies conditions (i)–(iii) in Theorem 11.1. We call \( d \) the diameter of the eigenvalue array.
Definition 12.2. Assume that (12.87) is an eigenvalue array over $F$. By the corresponding TB tridiagonal system, we mean the one from Theorem 11.1.

Definition 12.3. Assume that (12.87) is an eigenvalue array over $F$. By an Askey–Wilson sequence for (12.87), we mean an Askey–Wilson sequence for the corresponding TB tridiagonal system.

Definition 12.4. Assume that (12.87) is an eigenvalue array over $F$. By a fundamental parameter for (12.87), we mean a fundamental parameter for the corresponding TB tridiagonal system.

We have some comments.

Lemma 12.5. Assume that $\text{Char}(F) = 2$. Then there does not exist an eigenvalue array over $F$ with diameter $d$.

Proof. Combine conditions (i), (iii) in Theorem 11.1.

Lemma 12.6. Assume that (12.87) is an eigenvalue array over $F$.

(i) Assume that $d \leq 2$. Then any scalar $\beta \in F$ is a fundamental parameter for (12.87).

(ii) Assume that $d \geq 3$. Then there exists a unique fundamental parameter $\beta$ for (12.87).

(iii) Assume that $d \geq 3$ and $d$ is odd. Then $\beta \neq -2$.

Proof. (i) By Note 11.2.

(ii) By Definition 12.4 there exists at least one fundamental parameter $\beta$. This parameter satisfies $\theta_0 - \beta \theta_1 + \theta_2 = 0$ and $\theta_1 - \beta \theta_2 + \theta_3 = 0$. At least one of $\theta_1, \theta_2$ is nonzero since $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$. By these comments, $\beta$ is uniquely determined by $\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$. The result follows.

(iii) By Lemma 10.14.

Lemma 12.7. Assume that (12.87) is an eigenvalue array over $F$. Let $\beta$ denote a fundamental parameter for (12.87). Then there exists a unique ordered pair $\varrho, \varrho^*$ such that $\beta, \varrho, \varrho^*$ is an Askey–Wilson sequence for (12.87).

Proof. The scalars $\varrho, \varrho^*$ exist by Proposition 8.23 and Definition 9.1. They are unique by (9.60), (9.61).

Lemma 12.8. Assume that (12.87) is an eigenvalue array over $F$. Let $\beta, \varrho, \varrho^*$ denote an Askey–Wilson sequence for (12.87). Then the following hold.

(i) Assume that $d$ is even. Then

$$\varrho = \theta_r^2, \quad \varrho^* = \theta_r'^2,$$

where $r = d/2 - 1$.

(ii) Assume that $d$ is odd. Then

$$\varrho = (\beta + 2)\theta_r^2, \quad \varrho^* = (\beta + 2)\theta_r'^2,$$

where $r = (d - 1)/2$.

Proof. (i) By Theorem 11.1(iii), $\theta_{r+1} = 0$. By this and (9.60) at $i = r + 1$, we get $\varrho = \theta_r^2$. Similar for $\varrho^*$.

(ii) By Theorem 11.1(iii), $\theta_r + \theta_{r+1} = 0$. By this and (9.60) at $i = r + 1$, we get $\varrho = (\beta + 2)\theta_r^2$. Similar for $\varrho^*$. 


Lemma 12.9. Assume that \((12.87)\) is an eigenvalue array over \(\mathbb{F}\). Then the following hold.

(i) Assume that \(d\) is even. Then
\[
\theta_i = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad i = d/2 \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).
\]

(ii) Assume that \(d\) is odd. Then
\[
\theta_i \neq 0 \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).
\]

Proof. We have \(\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2\) by Lemma 12.5. The result follows in view of Theorem 11.1(i), (iii).

Lemma 12.10. Assume that \((12.87)\) is an eigenvalue array over \(\mathbb{F}\). Let \(\beta, \varrho, \varrho^*\) denote an Askey–Wilson sequence for \((12.87)\). Then the following are equivalent:

(i) \(\varrho = 0\);
(ii) \(\varrho^* = 0\);
(iii) \(d = 1\) and \(\beta = -2\).

Proof. First assume that \(d\) is even. Then each of \(\varrho\), \(\varrho^*\) is nonzero by Lemmas 12.8(i) and 12.9(i). Next assume that \(d\) is odd. Then (i)–(iii) are equivalent by Lemmas 12.6(iii), 12.8(ii), 12.9(ii).

Lemma 12.11. Assume that \((12.87)\) is an eigenvalue array over \(\mathbb{F}\). Then \((12.87)\) has an Askey–Wilson sequence \(\beta, \varrho, \varrho^*\) such that \(\varrho, \varrho^*\) are nonzero.

Proof. By Lemma 12.10 and since the choice of \(\beta\) is arbitrary for \(d = 1\).

Definition 12.12. Assume that \((12.87)\) is an eigenvalue array over \(\mathbb{F}\). By the corresponding intersection numbers \((\text{resp. dual intersection numbers})\), we mean the intersection numbers \((\text{resp. dual intersection numbers})\) of the corresponding TB tridiagonal system.

13. The TB tridiagonal systems in closed form. In this section, we give in closed form the eigenvalue array and the (dual) intersection numbers of a TB tridiagonal system. We also display the Askey–Wilson relations for the associated TB tridiagonal pair. Fix an integer \(d \geq 1\) and consider a sequence of scalars taken from \(\mathbb{F}\):

\[
(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d).
\]

As a warmup, we will handle the cases \(d = 1, d = 2\) separately.

Lemma 13.1. For \(d = 1\) the following (i), (ii) are equivalent:

(i) the sequence \((13.88)\) is an eigenvalue array over \(\mathbb{F}\);
(ii) \(\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2\), the scalars \(\theta_0, \theta_0^*\) are nonzero, and \(\theta_1 = -\theta_0, \theta_1^* = -\theta_0^*\).

Assume that (i), (ii) hold. Then the corresponding (dual) intersection numbers are
\[
c_1 = \theta_0, \quad b_0 = \theta_0, \quad c_1^* = \theta_0^*, \quad b_0^* = \theta_0^*.
\]

Moreover, the Askey–Wilson sequences for \((13.88)\) are
\[
\beta, \quad (\beta + 2)\theta_0^2, \quad (\beta + 2)\theta_0^{*2} \quad (\beta \in \mathbb{F}).
\]

In addition,
\[
AA^* = -A^*A, \quad A^2 = \theta_0^2 I, \quad A^{*2} = \theta_0^{*2} I.
\]
Proof. (i) ⇒ (ii) By Theorem 11.1(iii), $\theta_0 = -\theta_0$ and $\theta_1 = -\theta_0$. By this and Theorem 11.1(i), $\text{Char}(F) \neq 2$ and $\theta_0 \neq 0$, $\theta_0^* \neq 0$.

(ii) ⇒ (i) One verifies the conditions (i)–(iii) in Theorem 11.1. Therefore, (13.88) is an eigenvalue array over $F$.

Assume that (i), (ii) hold. Then the intersection numbers and dual intersection numbers are obtained using Lemma 5.4. The Askey–Wilson sequences are obtained by Lemmas 12.6(i) and 12.8(ii). By (ii) above, $A$ has eigenvalues $\theta_0, -\theta_0$. So $A^2 = \theta_0^2 I$. Similarly, $A^* A^2 = \theta_0^2 I$. By (3.7), $A^*$ swaps the eigenspaces of $A$. By this we find that $AA^* = -A^* A$.

Lemma 13.2. For $d = 2$ the following (i), (ii) are equivalent:

(i) the sequence (13.88) is an eigenvalue array over $F$;
(ii) $\text{Char}(F) \neq 2$, the scalars $\theta_0, \theta_0^*$ are nonzero, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta_1 &= 0, & \theta_2 &= -\theta_0, & \theta_1^* &= 0, & \theta_2^* &= -\theta_0^*.
\end{align*}
$$

Assume that (i), (ii) hold. Then the corresponding (dual) intersection numbers are

$$
\begin{align*}
c_1 &= \theta_0 / 2, & c_2 &= \theta_0, & c_1^* &= \theta_0^* / 2, & c_2^* &= \theta_0^*, \\
\theta_0 &= \theta_0, & \theta_0 &= \theta_0, & \theta_0^* &= \theta_0^*, & \theta_0^* &= \theta_0^* / 2.
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, the Askey–Wilson sequences for (13.88) are

$$
(\beta, \theta_0^2, \theta_0^* 2) \quad (\beta \in F).
$$

In addition,

$$
\begin{align*}
(13.89) & \quad AA^* A = 0, & A^2 A^* + A A^* A^2 = \theta_0^2 A^*, \\
(13.90) & \quad A^* A A^* = 0, & A^* A^2 + AA^* A^2 = \theta_0^* A^2.
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. For the assertions above (13.89), the proof is similar to Lemma 13.1. To obtain (13.89), set $\varrho = \theta_0^2$ in (8.42) and use the fact that $\beta$ is arbitrary. Equation (13.90) is similarly obtained.

For the rest of this section, assume that $d \geq 3$. In Examples 13.3–13.6 below, we display all the parameter arrays over $F$ with diameter $d$. In each case, we display the (dual) intersection numbers from Definition 11.6, as well as the corresponding Askey–Wilson sequence $\beta, \varrho, \varrho^*$.

Example 13.3. Assume that $\text{Char}(F)$ is 0 or greater than $d$. Assume that there exist nonzero $h, h^* \in F$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta_i &= h(d - 2i), & \theta_i^* &= h^*(d - 2i) \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).
\end{align*}
$$

Then (13.88) is an eigenvalue array over $F$ with fundamental parameter $\beta = 2$. The corresponding (dual) intersection numbers and the scalars $\varrho, \varrho^*$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
c_i &= hi, & c_i^* &= h^* i \quad (1 \leq i \leq d), \\
b_i &= h(d - i), & b_i^* &= h^* (d - i) \quad (0 \leq i \leq d - 1), \\
\varrho &= 4h^2, & \varrho^* &= 4h^* 2.
\end{align*}
$$
Proof. Define $\beta = 2$. One routinely verifies that each of $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ is $\beta$-recurrent and antisymmetric, so contained in $R^{\text{asym}}$. By Lemma 10.16, the subspace $R^{\text{asym}}$ is MutDist, so each of $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ is MutDist. By these comments (13.88) satisfies conditions (i)–(iii) in Theorem 11.1. Thus, (13.88) is an eigenvalue array over $F$ with fundamental parameter $\beta$. To get the (dual) intersection numbers and the scalars $\varrho, \varrho^*$, use Lemma 5.4 and (9.60), (9.61).

The following Examples can be verified in a similar way.

**Example 13.4.** Assume that $d$ is even. Assume that $\text{Char}(F)$ is 0 or greater than $d$. Assume that there exist nonzero $h$, $h^* \in F$ such that

$$\theta_i = h(d - 2i)(-1)^i, \quad \theta_i^* = h^*(d - 2i)(-1)^i \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

Then (13.88) is an eigenvalue array over $F$ with fundamental parameter $\beta = -2$. The corresponding (dual) intersection numbers and the scalars $\varrho, \varrho^*$ are

$$c_i = hi, \quad c_i^* = h^*i \quad (1 \leq i \leq d),$$
$$b_i = h(d - i), \quad b_i^* = h^*(d - i) \quad (0 \leq i \leq d - 1),$$
$$\varrho = 4h^2, \quad \varrho^* = 4h^*2.$$

**Example 13.5.** Assume that $d$ is even and $\text{Char}(F) \neq 2$. Let $q$ denote a nonzero scalar in $\bar{F}$ such that

$$q^2 + q^{-2} \in F, \quad q^{2i} \neq 1 \quad (1 \leq i \leq d), \quad q^{2i} \neq -1 \quad (1 \leq i \leq d - 1).$$

Assume that there exist nonzero $h, h^* \in F$ such that

$$\theta_i = \frac{h(q^{d-2i} - q^{2i-d})}{q^2 - q^{-2}}, \quad \theta_i^* = \frac{h^*(q^{d-2i} - q^{2i-d})}{q^2 - q^{-2}} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

Then (13.88) is an eigenvalue array over $F$ with fundamental parameter $\beta = q^2 + q^{-2}$. Moreover, $\beta \neq \pm 2$. The corresponding (dual) intersection numbers and the scalars $\varrho, \varrho^*$ are

$$c_i = \frac{h(q^{2i} - q^{-2i})}{(q^2 - q^{-2})(q^{d-2i} + q^{2i-d})} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d - 1), \quad c_d = \frac{h(q^d - q^{-d})}{q^2 - q^{-2}},$$
$$b_i = \frac{h(q^{2d-2i} - q^{2i-d})}{(q^2 - q^{-2})(q^{d-2i} + q^{2i-d})} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d - 1), \quad b_0 = \frac{h(q^d - q^{-d})}{q^2 - q^{-2}},$$
$$\varrho = h^2.$$

To get $\{c_i^*\}_{i=1}^d, \{b_i^*\}_{i=0}^{d-1}, \varrho^*$, replace $h$ with $h^*$ in the above.
Example 13.6. Assume that \( d \) is odd and \( \text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2 \). Let \( q \) denote a nonzero scalar in \( \overline{\mathbb{F}} \) such that

\[
q^2 + q^{-2} \in \mathbb{F}, \quad q^{2i} \neq 1 \quad (1 \leq i \leq d), \quad q^{2i} \neq -1 \quad (1 \leq i \leq d-1).
\]

Assume that there exist nonzero \( h, h^* \in \mathbb{F} \) such that

\[
\theta_i = \frac{h(q^{d-2i} - q^{2i-d})}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad \theta_i^* = \frac{h^*(q^{d-2i} - q^{2i-d})}{q - q^{-1}} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).
\]

Then (13.88) is an eigenvalue array over \( \mathbb{F} \) with fundamental parameter \( \beta = q^2 + q^{-2} \). Moreover, \( \beta \neq \pm 2 \).

The corresponding (dual) intersection numbers and the scalars \( \varrho, \varrho^* \) are

\[
c_i = \frac{h(q^{2i} - q^{-2i})}{(q - q^{-1})(q^{d-2i} + q^{2i-d})}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq d-1),
\]

\[
b_i = \frac{h(q^{2d-2i} - q^{2i-2d})}{(q - q^{-1})(q^{d-2i} + q^{2i-d})}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq d-1),
\]

\[
\varrho = h^2(q + q^{-1})^2.
\]

To get \( \{c_i^*\}_{i=1}^{d}, \{b_i^*\}_{i=0}^{d-1}, \varrho^* \), replace \( h \) with \( h^* \) in the above.

Theorem 13.7. Every eigenvalue array over \( \mathbb{F} \) with diameter \( d \geq 3 \) is listed in exactly one of the Examples 13.3–13.6.

Proof. Assume that (13.88) is an eigenvalue array over \( \mathbb{F} \), and let \( \beta \) denote its fundamental parameter. Observe that each of \( \{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^{d}, \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^{d} \) is contained in \( \mathcal{R}^\text{asym} \). By this and Lemma 10.13, \( \mathcal{R}^\text{asym} \) is MutDist. By this and Lemma 10.16 the conditions (10.67) hold. Let the sequence \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^{d} \) be from Lemmas 10.5, 10.7. By Corollary 10.10, \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^{d} \) is a basis for \( \mathcal{R}^\text{asym} \). Therefore, there exist \( h, h^* \in \mathbb{F} \) such that \( \theta_i = h\sigma_i, \theta_i^* = h^*\sigma_i \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq d \). Now (13.88) is listed in the following Examples:

| Case          | Listed in          |
|---------------|--------------------|
| \( \beta = 2 \) | Example 13.3       |
| \( \beta = -2 \) | Example 13.4       |
| \( \beta \neq \pm 2, d \text{ is even} \) | Example 13.5       |
| \( \beta \neq \pm 2, d \text{ is odd} \) | Example 13.6       |

The result follows.

Note 13.8. For the eigenvalue array in Example 13.3 (resp. 13.4) (resp. 13.5, 13.6), the corresponding TB tridiagonal system is said to have Krawtchouk type (resp. Bannai/Ito type) (resp. \( q \)-Racah type).

We now display the Askey–Wilson relations. Let \( \Phi \) denote a TB tridiagonal system over \( \mathbb{F} \) with eigenvalue array (13.88). Let \( A, A^* \) denote the TB tridiagonal pair associated with \( \Phi \).
Lemma 13.9. Assume that $d \geq 3$. Then with the notation in Examples 13.3–13.6, the Askey–Wilson relations for $A, A^*$ are given as follows:

| Case            | Askey–Wilson relations                                                                 |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $\beta = 2$     | $A^2 A^* - 2 A A^* A + A^* A^2 = 4 h^2 A^*$                                           |
|                 | $A^* A - 2 A^* A A^* + A A^* = 4 h^2 A$                                                |
| $\beta = -2$    | $A^2 A^* + 2 A A^* A + A^* A^2 = 4 h^2 A^*$                                           |
| $\beta \neq \pm 2, d$ even | $A^* A - (q^2 + q^{-2}) A A^* A + A^* A^2 = h^2 A^*$                             |
| $\beta \neq \pm 2, d$ odd   | $A^* A - (q^2 + q^{-2}) A A^* A + A A^* = h^2 A$                                        |

Proof. Evaluate (8.42), (8.43) using the data in Examples 13.3–13.6. □

We will return to the Askey–Wilson relations in Section 15.

14. The relatives of a TB tridiagonal system. Let $\Phi$ denote a TB tridiagonal system over $\mathbb{F}$. Recall from Section 3 the relatives $\Phi^*, \Phi^\dagger, \Phi^\circ$. In this section, we discuss how these relatives are related to $\Phi$ at an algebraic level.

Lemma 14.1. Consider a TB tridiagonal system over $\mathbb{F}$:

$\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$

Then for $g \in \{\downarrow, \downarrow, \downarrow\}$ the TB tridiagonal system $\Phi^g$ is isomorphic to the TB tridiagonal system shown in the table below:

| $g$   | $\Phi^g$ is isomorphic to                                                                 |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $\downarrow$ | $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; -A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$                                    |
| $\downarrow$ | $(-A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$                                    |
| $\downarrow\downarrow$ | $(-A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; -A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$                                  |

Proof. Use Lemmas 3.14, 3.19 and Corollary 5.5, together with Theorem 11.1(iii). □

Referring to Lemma 14.1, the explicit isomorphisms will be displayed later in this section.

Lemma 14.2. Let $A, A^*$ denote a TB tridiagonal pair over $\mathbb{F}$. Then the following TB tridiagonal pairs are mutually isomorphic:

$A, A^*$, $A, -A^*$, $-A, A^*$, $-A, -A^*$.

Proof. By Lemma 14.1. □

Definition 14.3. Let $A, A^*$ denote a TB tridiagonal pair over $\mathbb{F}$. Then $A, A^*$ is said to be self-dual whenever $A, A^*$ is isomorphic to $A^*, A$.

Definition 14.4. Let $\Phi$ denote a TB tridiagonal system over $\mathbb{F}$. Then $\Phi$ is said to be self-dual whenever $\Phi$ is isomorphic to $\Phi^*$. 

Lemma 14.5. Let \( \Phi \) denote a TB tridiagonal system over \( \mathbb{F} \) with eigenvalue array \( (\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d) \). Then the following are equivalent:

(i) \( \Phi \) is self-dual;
(ii) \( \theta_i = \theta_i^* \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq d \).

Proof. By Lemma 3.19, \( \Phi^* \) has eigenvalue array \( (\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d) \). By Corollary 5.5, \( \Phi \) and \( \Phi^* \) are isomorphic if and only if they have the same eigenvalue array. The result follows.

Lemma 14.6. Assume that \( d \geq 3 \). Let \( \Phi \) denote a TB tridiagonal system over \( \mathbb{F} \) with eigenvalue array \( (\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d) \). Let the scalars \( h, h^* \) be from Examples 13.3–13.6. Then \( \Phi \) is self-dual if and only if \( h = h^* \).

Proof. By Lemma 14.5.

Lemma 14.7. Consider a TB tridiagonal system over \( \mathbb{F} \):

\[
(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d).
\]

Then there exists \( 0 \neq \zeta \in \mathbb{F} \) such that the TB tridiagonal system

\[
(\zeta A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)
\]

is self-dual.

Proof. By Lemmas 11.4 and 14.5.

Lemma 14.8. Let \( A, A^* \) denote a TB tridiagonal pair over \( \mathbb{F} \). Then there exists \( 0 \neq \zeta \in \mathbb{F} \) such that the TB tridiagonal pair \( \zeta A, A^* \) is self-dual.

Proof. By Lemma 14.7.

Theorem 14.9. Let \( A, A^* \) denote a self-dual TB tridiagonal pair over \( \mathbb{F} \). Then the following TB tridiagonal pairs are mutually isomorphic:

\[
A, A^*, \quad A, -A^*, \quad -A, A^*, \quad -A, -A^*, \quad A^*, A, \quad A^*, -A, \quad -A^*, A, \quad -A^*, -A.
\]

Proof. By Lemma 14.2 and Definition 14.3.

Our next goal is to display the isomorphisms in Lemma 14.1. For the rest of this section, fix a TB tridiagonal system over \( \mathbb{F} \):

\[
\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d).
\]

Let \( (\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d) \) denote the eigenvalue array of \( \Phi \).

Definition 14.10. Define

\[
S = \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i E_i, \quad S^* = \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i E_i^*.
\]

Lemma 14.11. We have \( S^2 = I \) and \( S^{*2} = I \). Moreover, \( S \) and \( S^* \) are invertible.

Proof. Concerning \( S \), use \( E_i E_j = \delta_{i,j} E_i \) (\( 0 \leq i, j \leq d \)) and \( I = \sum_{i=0}^d E_i \). The case of \( S^* \) is similar.

Lemma 14.12. The following (i)–(iv) hold:

(i) $SA = AS$;
(ii) $SE_i = E_i S$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$;
(iii) $SA^* = -A^* S$;
(iv) $SE^*_i = E^*_{d-i} S$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$.

Proof. (i), (ii) By construction.

(iii) By Lemma 8.11 and Definition 14.10.

(iv) Using (2.6) we obtain

$$SE^*_i S^{-1} = \prod_{0 \leq j \leq d} \frac{SA^* S^{-1} - \theta^*_j I}{\theta^*_i - \theta^*_j}. $$

Evaluate the above equation using $SA^* S^{-1} = -A^*$ and Theorem 11.1(iii) to get $SE^*_i S^{-1} = E^*_{d-i}$. The result follows.

Lemma 14.13. The following (i)–(iv) hold:

(i) $S^* A^* = A^* S^*$;
(ii) $S^* E^*_i = E^*_i S^*$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$;
(iii) $S^* A = -A S^*$;
(iv) $S^* E_i = E_{d-i} S^*$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$.

Proof. Apply Lemma 14.12 to $\Phi^*$.

Lemma 14.14. The following hold:

(i) $S$ is an isomorphism of TB tridiagonal systems from $\Phi^\downarrow$ to

$$(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; -A^*; \{E^*_i\}_{i=0}^d).$$

(ii) $S$ is an isomorphism of TB tridiagonal pairs from $A, A^*$ to $-A, -A^*$.

Proof. Use Lemma 14.12.

Lemma 14.15. The following hold:

(i) $S^*$ is an isomorphism of TB tridiagonal systems from $\Phi^\uparrow$ to

$$(-A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E^*_i\}_{i=0}^d).$$

(ii) $S^*$ is an isomorphism of TB tridiagonal pairs from $A, A^*$ to $-A, A^*$.

Proof. Use Lemma 14.13.

Lemma 14.16. We have $SS^* = (-1)^d S^* S$.

Proof. Using Definition 14.10 and Lemma 14.12(iv),

$$SS^* = \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i SE^*_i = \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i E^*_i S = \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^{d-i} E^*_i S = (-1)^d S^* S.$$
Lemma 14.17. The following hold:

(i) $SS^*$ is an isomorphism of TB tridiagonal systems from $\Phi^{\downarrow \uparrow}$ to

$$(-A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; -A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d).$$

(ii) $SS^*$ is an isomorphism of TB tridiagonal pairs from $A, A^*$ to $-A, -A^*$.

Proof. Follows from Lemmas 14.14 and 14.15. □

For $0 \leq i \leq d$ we define some polynomials in $F[x]$:

$$\tau_i(x) = (x - \theta_0)(x - \theta_1) \cdots (x - \theta_{i-1}),$$
$$\eta_i(x) = (x - \theta_d)(x - \theta_{d-1}) \cdots (x - \theta_{d-i+1}),$$
$$\tau_i^*(x) = (x - \theta_0^*)(x - \theta_1^*) \cdots (x - \theta_{i-1}^*),$$
$$\eta_i^*(x) = (x - \theta_d^*)(x - \theta_{d-1}^*) \cdots (x - \theta_{d-i+1}^*).$$

Theorem 14.18 (See [122]). Assume that $\Phi$ is self-dual. Then the following four elements are equal, and this common element is an isomorphism of TB tridiagonal systems from $\Phi$ to $\Phi^*$.

$$\sum_{i=0}^d \eta_{d-i}(A)E_0^*E_d\tau_i^*(A^*),$$
$$\sum_{i=0}^d \tau_i^*(A^*)E_d^*E_0\eta_{d-i}(A),$$
$$\sum_{i=0}^d \eta_{d-i}^*(A^*)E_0E_d^*\tau_i(A),$$
$$\sum_{i=0}^d \tau_i(A)E_dE_0^*\eta_{d-i}^*(A^*).$$

Moreover, the above common element is an isomorphism of TB tridiagonal pairs from $A, A^*$ to $A^*, A$.

15. The $\mathbb{Z}_3$-symmetric Askey–Wilson relations. For convenience, we adjust our notation as follows.

From now on we abbreviate $B = A^*$.

Let $A, B$ denote a TB tridiagonal pair over $F$. We saw in Section 8 that $A, B$ satisfy the Askey–Wilson relations

\begin{align*}
A^2B - \beta ABA + BA^2 &= \varrho B, \\
B^2A - \beta BAB + AB^2 &= \varrho^* A.
\end{align*}

A more detailed version of these relations is given in Lemma 13.9. In this section we put the Askey–Wilson relations in a form said to be $\mathbb{Z}_3$-symmetric. This is done by introducing a third element $C$.

For the rest of this section, the following notation is in effect. Assume that $F$ is algebraically closed. Fix an integer $d \geq 1$, and let $V$ denote a vector space over $F$ with dimension $d + 1$. Let $A, B$ denote a TB tridiagonal pair on $V$. By Lemma 12.11, there exists an Askey–Wilson sequence $\beta, \varrho, \varrho^*$ for $A, B$ such that $\varrho, \varrho^*$ are nonzero.
Definition 15.1. Let $z, z', z''$ denote scalars in $\mathbb{F}$ that satisfy

\[
\begin{array}{c|cc}
\text{Case} & z'z'' & z''z \\
\hline
\beta = 2 & -\rho & -\rho^* \\
\beta = -2 & \rho & \rho^* \\
\beta \neq \pm 2 & \rho (4 - \beta^2)^{-1} & \rho^* (4 - \beta^2)^{-1}
\end{array}
\]

Note that $zz'z'' \neq 0$.

Proposition 15.2. Assume that $\beta = 2$. Then there exists $C \in \text{End}(V)$ such that

\[
\begin{align*}
BC - CB &= zA, \\
CA - AC &= z'B, \\
AB - BA &= z''C.
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. Define $C$ by (15.99). One verifies (15.97) and (15.98) using (15.94), (15.95), (15.96).

Proposition 15.3. Assume that $\beta = -2$. Then there exists $C \in \text{End}(V)$ such that

\[
\begin{align*}
BC + CB &= zA, \\
CA + AC &= z'B, \\
AB + BA &= z''C.
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. Similar to the proof of Proposition 15.2.

Proposition 15.4. Assume that $\beta \neq \pm 2$. Let $0 \neq q \in \mathbb{F}$ be such that $\beta = q^2 + q^{-2}$. Then there exists $C \in \text{End}(V)$ such that

\[
\begin{align*}
qBC - q^{-1}CB &= zA, \\
qCA - q^{-1}AC &= z'B, \\
qAB - q^{-1}BA &= z''C.
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. Similar to the proof of Proposition 15.2.

Lemma 15.5. Assume that $A, B$ is self-dual. Then in Definition 15.1, the scalars $z, z', z''$ can be chosen such that $z = z' = z''$.

Proof. Use the fact that $\rho = \rho^*$ and $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed.

The next three results follow from Propositions 15.2–15.4.

Corollary 15.6. Assume that $\beta = 2$. Then for

\[\rho = \rho^* = 4, \quad z = z' = z'' = 2\sqrt{-1},\]

the equations (15.97)–(15.99) become

\[
\begin{align*}
BC - CB &= 2\sqrt{-1}A, \\
CA - AC &= 2\sqrt{-1}B, \\
AB - BA &= 2\sqrt{-1}C.
\end{align*}
\]
Corollary 15.7. Assume that $\beta = -2$. Then for
\[ \rho = \rho^* = 4, \quad z = z' = z'' = 2, \]
the equations (15.100)–(15.102) become
\begin{align*}
(15.109) & \quad BC + CB = 2A, \\
(15.110) & \quad CA + AC = 2B, \\
(15.111) & \quad AB + BA = 2C.
\end{align*}

Corollary 15.8. Assume that $\beta \neq \pm 2$. Then for
\[ \rho = \rho^* = 4 - \beta^2, \quad z = z' = z'' = 1, \]
the equations (15.103)–(15.105) become
\begin{align*}
(15.112) & \quad \frac{qBC - q^{-1}CB}{q^2 - q^{-2}} = A, \\
(15.113) & \quad \frac{qCA - q^{-1}AC}{q^2 - q^{-2}} = B, \\
(15.114) & \quad \frac{qAB - q^{-1}BA}{q^2 - q^{-2}} = C.
\end{align*}

Note 15.9. The equations (15.106)–(15.108) are the defining relations for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ in the Pauli presentation. Here are some details. Assume that $\text{Char}(F) \neq 2$. Let $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ denote the Lie algebra over $F$ consisting of the 2 by 2 matrices that have entries in $F$ and trace 0. The Lie bracket is $[r, s] = rs - sr$. Consider the Pauli matrices (see [124]):
\[ S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sqrt{-1} \\ \sqrt{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \]
These matrices form a basis for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, and satisfy the relations
\[ [S_1, S_2] = 2\sqrt{-1} S_3, \quad [S_2, S_3] = 2\sqrt{-1} S_1, \quad [S_3, S_1] = 2\sqrt{-1} S_2. \]

Note 15.10. The equations (15.109)–(15.111) are essentially the defining relations for the anticommutator spin algebra (see [12]). Here are some details. In [12] Arik and Kayserilioglu introduced an $F$-algebra by generators $J_1, J_2, J_3$ and relations
\[ J_2 J_3 + J_3 J_2 = J_1, \quad J_3 J_1 + J_1 J_3 = J_2, \quad J_1 J_2 + J_2 J_1 = J_3. \]
This algebra is called the anticommutator spin algebra. Observe that the above relations coincide with (15.109)–(15.111) by setting $J_1 = A/2$, $J_2 = B/2$, $J_3 = C/2$.

Note 15.11. The equations (15.112)–(15.114) are essentially the defining relations for the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$. Here are some details. The algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$ has a presentation by generators $I_1, I_2, I_3$ and relations
\begin{align*}
(15.115) & \quad q^{1/2} I_2 I_3 - q^{-1/2} I_3 I_2 = I_1, \\
(15.116) & \quad q^{1/2} I_3 I_1 - q^{-1/2} I_1 I_3 = I_2, \\
(15.117) & \quad q^{1/2} I_1 I_2 - q^{-1/2} I_2 I_1 = I_3.
\end{align*}
As far as we know, the equations (15.115)–(15.117) were first considered by Santilli [128]. Later in [40], Fairlie discovered that (15.115)–(15.117) show up in $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$. The fact that (15.115)–(15.117) give a presentation for $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3)$ was proved by Odesski in [123]. See [58] for a more precise history. The relations (15.115)–(15.117) become (15.112)–(15.114) by first setting $I_1 = A/(q - q^{-1})$, $I_2 = B/(q - q^{-1})$, $I_3 = C/(q - q^{-1})$, and then replacing $q$ with $q^2$.

16. The elements $W, W', W''$ and the automorphism $\rho$. Throughout this section, the following notation is in effect. Assume that $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed. Fix an integer $d \geq 1$, and let $V$ denote a vector space over $\mathbb{F}$ with dimension $d + 1$. Let $A,B$ denote a TB tridiagonal pair on $V$. In view of Lemma 14.8, assume that $A,B$ is self-dual. Let $\beta, \varrho, \varrho^*$ denote the Askey–Wilson sequence for $A,B$ from above Definition 15.1 and note that $\varrho = \varrho^*$. For the case $\beta \neq \pm 2$, fix $0 \neq q \in \mathbb{F}$ as in Proposition 15.4.

**Lemma 16.1.** Let $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ denote an eigenvalue sequence of $A,B$. Then there exists $0 \neq h \in \mathbb{F}$ such that the following holds:

\[
\begin{array}{c|c}
\beta & \theta_i \\
\hline
2 & h(d - 2i) \\
-2 & h(d - 2i)(-1)^i \\
\neq \pm 2 & h(q^{d - 2i} - q^{2i - d})
\end{array}
\]

**Proof.** By Lemmas 13.1, 13.2 and Examples 13.3–13.6, together with the assumption that $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed.

**Lemma 16.2.** Referring to Lemma 16.1,

\[
\begin{array}{c|c}
\beta & \varrho \\
\hline
2 & 4h^2 \\
-2 & 4h^2 \\
\neq \pm 2 & h^2(q^2 - q^{-2})^2
\end{array}
\]

**Proof.** Use Proposition 9.4 and Lemma 16.1.

In view of Lemma 15.5, we choose the scalars $z, z', z''$ in Definition 15.1 such that $z = z' = z''$. Let $C \in \text{End}(V)$ be from Propositions 15.2–15.4. Next, we define some invertible elements $W, W'$ in $\text{End}(V)$, and use them to construct an automorphism $\rho$ of $\text{End}(V)$ that sends

\[
A \mapsto B, \quad B \mapsto C, \quad C \mapsto A.
\]

For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let $E_i$ (resp. $E'_i$) denote the primitive idempotent of $A$ (resp. $B$) for the eigenvalue $\theta_i$, where $\theta_i$ is from Lemma 16.1.

**Definition 16.3.** Define $W, W' \in \text{End}(V)$ by

\[
W = \sum_{i=0}^d t_i E_i, \quad W' = \sum_{i=0}^d t_i E'_i,
\]

where

\[
\begin{array}{c|c}
\beta & t_i \\
\hline
2 & 2^i h^i z^{-i} \\
-2 & (-1)^{i/2} 2^i h^i z^{-i} \\
\neq \pm 2 & h^i z^{-i} q^{i(d - i)}
\end{array}
\]
Lemma 16.4. The elements $W$, $W'$ are invertible, with inverse

$$W^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{d} t_i^{-1} E_i, \quad (W')^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{d} t_i^{-1} E'_i.$$ 

Proof. By construction. \hfill \Box

Recall the antiautomorphism $\dagger$ of $\text{End}(V)$, from Lemma 8.5.

Lemma 16.5. The antiautomorphism $\dagger$ fixes each of $W$, $W'$.

Proof. By (16.120) and since $\dagger$ fixes $E_i$ and $E'_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. \hfill \Box

Lemma 16.6. We have

$$\begin{align*}
AW &= WA, \\
BW' &= W'B.
\end{align*}$$

(16.122)

Proof. The element $A$ commutes with $W$ by Definition 16.3 and since $A$ commutes with $E_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Similarly, $B$ commutes with $W'$.

Lemma 16.7. We have

$$\begin{align*}
BW &= WC, \\
CW' &= W'A.
\end{align*}$$

(16.123)

Proof. We first obtain $BW = WC$. By (15.99), (15.102), (15.105), we have

$$C = eAB + e'BA,$$

where

$$\begin{array}{c|cc}
\text{Case} & e & e' \\
\hline
\beta = 2 & z^{-1} & -z^{-1} \\
\beta = -2 & z^{-1} & z^{-1} \\
\beta \neq \pm 2 & \frac{qz^{-1}}{q^2 - q^{-2}} & \frac{q^{-1}z^{-1}}{q^2 - q^{-2}}
\end{array}$$

It suffices to show that

$$eAB + e'BA - W^{-1}BW = 0.$$ 

(16.125)

To obtain (16.125), we show that

$$E_i(eAB + e'BA - W^{-1}BW)E_j = 0,$$

for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$. Let $i, j$ be given. Using $E_iA = \theta_i E_i$, $AE_j = \theta_j E_j$, $E_iW^{-1} = t_i^{-1}E_i$, $WE_j = t_jE_j$, we find that the left-hand side of (16.126) is equal to

$$\begin{align*}
(e\theta_i + e'\theta_j - t_i^{-1}t_j)E_iBE_j.
\end{align*}$$

(16.127)

First, assume that $|i - j| \neq 1$. Then $E_iBE_j = 0$ by Lemma 3.21, so (16.127) is zero. Next assume that $|i - j| = 1$. Using (15.96), (16.118), (16.119), (16.121), (16.124), one routinely finds that in (16.127) the coefficient of $E_iBE_j$ is zero. Therefore (16.127) is zero as desired. We have obtained $BW = WC$. The equation $CW' = W'A$ is similarly obtained. \hfill \Box
Totally bipartite tridiagonal pairs

**Definition 16.8.** Define $P = W' W$. Note that $P^\dagger = W W'$.

**Lemma 16.9.** We have

\[ AP = PB, \quad BP = PC, \quad CP = PA. \]

**Proof.** In the equation on the left in (16.123), multiply each side on the left by $W'$ and use (16.122) to get $BP = PC$. In the equation on the right in (16.123), multiply each side on the right by $W$ and use (16.122) to get $CP = PA$. Combining the equations in (16.123) we obtain $B W W' = W W' A$. By these comments and Definition 16.8, we obtain $BP^\dagger = P^\dagger A$. Applying $\dagger$ we get $AP = PB$. □

**Definition 16.10.** Define the map $\rho : \text{End}(V) \to \text{End}(V)$, $X \mapsto P^{-1} X P$. Note that $\rho$ is an automorphism of $\text{End}(V)$ that fixes $P$.

**Corollary 16.11.** The automorphism $\rho$ sends $A \mapsto B$, $B \mapsto C$, $C \mapsto A$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 16.9. □

**Corollary 16.12.** We have $\rho^3 = 1$. Moreover, there exists $0 \neq \kappa \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $P^3 = \kappa I$.

**Proof.** By Corollary 16.11, the element $P^3$ commutes with both $A$, $B$. By this and Lemma 8.24, there exists $\kappa \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $P^3 = \kappa I$. We have $\kappa \neq 0$ since $P$ is invertible. Now $\rho^3 = 1$ follows by Definition 16.10. □

**Note 16.13 (See [150, Corollary 14.11]).** Referring to Corollary 16.12, the scalar $\kappa$ is given as follows:

| Case $\kappa$ | $\beta = 2$ | $\beta = -2$ | $\beta \neq \pm 2$ |
|---------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|
| $\kappa$      | $(-1)^d 2^{-d} h^{-d} z^d$ | $1$ | $(-1)^d h^{-d} z^d q^{d(d-1)}$ |

By construction and Corollary 16.11, the element $C$ is diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let $E''_i$ denote the primitive idempotent of $C$ for the eigenvalue $\theta_i$.

**Lemma 16.14.** For $0 \leq i \leq d$ the automorphism $\rho$ sends

\[ E_i \mapsto E'_i, \quad E'_i \mapsto E''_i, \quad E''_i \mapsto E_i. \]

**Proof.** We first show that $\rho$ sends $E_i \mapsto E'_i$. In (2.6) the element $E_i$ is expressed as a polynomial in $A$; let $f(x)$ denote the polynomial. Using the equation on the left in (16.128),

\[ P^{-1} E_i P = P^{-1} f(A) P = f(P^{-1} A P) = f(B) = E'_i. \]

Thus $\rho$ sends $E_i \mapsto E'_i$. Similarly, $\rho$ sends $E'_i \mapsto E''_i$ and $E''_i \mapsto E_i$. □

**Definition 16.15.** Define $W'' \in \text{End}(V)$ by

\[ W'' = \sum_{i=0}^d t_i E''_i, \]

where $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^d$ are from (16.121).
Lemma 16.16. The automorphism $\rho$ sends

$$W \mapsto W', \quad W' \mapsto W'', \quad W'' \mapsto W.$$ 

In other words

$$(16.129) \quad WP = PW', \quad W'P = PW'', \quad W''P = PW.$$ 

Proof. The first assertion is by Definitions 16.3, 16.15 and Lemma 16.14. The second assertion follows in view of Definition 16.10. 

Lemma 16.17. The element $P$ is equal to each of $W'W$, $W''W$, $WW''$.

Proof. By Definition 16.8, $P = W'W$. Apply $\rho$ to this and use Lemma 16.16 to get $P = W''W'$. Similarly $P = WW''$.

Definition 16.18. Elements $X$, $Y$ in $\text{End}(V)$ are said to satisfy the braid relation whenever $XYX = YXY$.

Lemma 16.19. Any two of $W$, $W'$, $W''$ satisfy the braid relation.

Proof. By (16.129) and Lemma 16.17.

Corollary 16.11 and Lemma 16.14 show that $A, B, C$ is a Leonard triple in the sense of Curtin [35]. We will say more about Leonard triples in Section 19.

17. Some antiautomorphisms associated with a TB tridiagonal pair. Throughout this section, the following notation is in effect. Assume that $F$ is algebraically closed. Fix an integer $d \geq 1$, and let $V$ denote a vector space over $F$ with dimension $d+1$. Let $A, B$ denote a self-dual TB tridiagonal pair on $V$. Let $\beta, \varphi, \varphi^*$ denote the Askey–Wilson sequence for $A, B$ from above Definition 15.1. Choose the scalars $z, z', z''$ in Definition 15.1 such that $z = z' = z''$ and let $C \in \text{End}(V)$ be from Propositions 15.2–15.4. In this section, we obtain some antiautomorphisms of $\text{End}(V)$ that act on $A, B, C$ in an attractive manner. Recall the antiautomorphism $\dagger$ of $\text{End}(V)$, from Lemma 8.5. By construction $\dagger$ fixes $A, B$.

Lemma 17.1. For a map $\xi : \text{End}(V) \to \text{End}(V)$ the following are equivalent:

(i) $\xi$ is an antiautomorphism of $\text{End}(V)$;

(ii) there exists an invertible $T \in \text{End}(V)$ such that $X^\xi = T^{-1}X^\dagger T$ for all $X \in \text{End}(V)$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Consider the composition

$$\omega : \text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{T^{-1}} \text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{\xi} \text{End}(V).$$

The map $\omega$ is an automorphism of $\text{End}(V)$. By the Skolem–Noether theorem, there exists an invertible $T \in \text{End}(V)$ such that $X^\omega = T^{-1}XT$ for all $X \in \text{End}(V)$. Thus, $X^\xi = (X^\dagger)^\omega = T^{-1}X^\dagger T$ for all $X \in \text{End}(V)$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Clear.

Let $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ denote the eigenvalue sequence of $A, B$ from Lemma 16.1, and let $E_i, E'_i$ be from above Definition 16.3. Let $W, W'$ be from Definition 16.3, and let $P$ be from Definition 16.8.
Definition 17.2. Define the maps \( \uparrow', \uparrow'' : \text{End}(V) \rightarrow \text{End}(V) \), \( X \mapsto T^{-1}X^\dagger T \), where \( T \) is from the table below.

\[
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
T & \uparrow' & \uparrow'' \\
\hline
P^1P & (PP^1)^{-1} \\
\end{array}
\]

Note that \( \uparrow', \uparrow'' \) are antiautomorphisms of \( \text{End}(V) \).

Recall the automorphism \( \rho \) of \( \text{End}(V) \), from Definition 16.10.

Lemma 17.3. The map \( \uparrow' \) is equal to the composition

\[
\text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{\rho^{-1}} \text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{\uparrow} \text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{-\rho} \text{End}(V).
\]

The map \( \uparrow'' \) is equal to the composition

\[
\text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{\uparrow} \text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{-\rho^{-1}} \text{End}(V).
\]

Proof. Use Definitions 16.10 and 17.2.

Our next goal is to describe how \( \uparrow, \uparrow', \uparrow'' \) act on \( A, B, C \). We will treat separately the cases \( \beta = 2, \beta = -2, \beta \neq \pm 2 \).

Proposition 17.4. Assume that \( \beta = 2 \). Then the antiautomorphisms \( \uparrow, \uparrow', \uparrow'' \) act on \( A, B, C \) as follows:

(i) \( \uparrow \) fixes \( A, B \) and sends \( C \mapsto -C \);
(ii) \( \uparrow' \) fixes \( B, C \) and sends \( A \mapsto -A \);
(iii) \( \uparrow'' \) fixes \( A, C \) and sends \( B \mapsto -B \).

Proof. (i) By construction \( A^\dagger = A \) and \( B^\dagger = B \). Applying \( \uparrow \) to each side of (15.99), we obtain \( C^\dagger = -C \).

(ii), (iii) Use Corollary 16.11, Lemma 17.3, and (i) above.

Proposition 17.5. Assume that \( \beta = -2 \). Then the maps \( \uparrow, \uparrow', \uparrow'' \) coincide, and this map fixes each of \( A, B, C \).

Proof. By Definitions 15.1, 16.3 and Lemma 16.2, we obtain \( t_i^2 = 1 \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq d \). So \( W^2 = I \) and \( (W')^2 = I \). By this and Definition 16.8, \( P^1P = I \) and \( PP^1 = I \). By this and Definition 17.2, we obtain \( \uparrow' = \uparrow \) and \( \uparrow'' = \uparrow \). By construction \( \uparrow \) fixes \( A, B \). By this and (15.102), we obtain \( C^\dagger = C \). The result follows.

Proposition 17.6. Assume that \( \beta \neq \pm 2 \). Then the antiautomorphisms \( \uparrow, \uparrow', \uparrow'' \) act on \( A, B, C \) as follows:

(i) \( \uparrow \) fixes \( A, B \) and sends \( C \mapsto C - \frac{AB-BA}{2(q-q^{-1})} \);
(ii) \( \uparrow' \) fixes \( B, C \) and sends \( A \mapsto A - \frac{BC-CA}{2(q-q^{-1})} \);
(iii) \( \uparrow'' \) fixes \( A, C \) and sends \( B \mapsto B - \frac{CA-AC}{2(q-q^{-1})} \).

Proof. Similar to the proof of Proposition 17.4, using (15.105) instead of (15.99).

Definition 17.7. Define maps \( \downarrow, \downarrow', \downarrow'' : \text{End}(V) \rightarrow \text{End}(V), X \mapsto T^{-1}X^\dagger T \), where \( T \) is from the table below.

\[
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
T & \downarrow & \downarrow' & \downarrow'' \\
\hline
W & (W')^{-1} & WWW \\
\end{array}
\]
Note that $\dagger$, $\dagger'$, $\dagger''$ are antiautomorphisms of End$(V)$.

**Lemma 17.8.** The map $\dagger'$ is equal to the composition

$$
(17.130) \quad \text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{\rho^{-1}} \text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{\dagger} \text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{End}(V).
$$

The map $\dagger''$ is equal to the composition

$$
(17.131) \quad \text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{\dagger} \text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{\rho^{-1}} \text{End}(V).
$$

**Proof.** Note by Lemma 16.5 that $\dagger$ fixes each of $W, W'$. We first show that $\dagger'$ is equal to the composition (17.130). Pick any $X \in \text{End}(V)$. By Definition 17.7, $\dagger'$ sends $X \mapsto W'X(W')^{-1}$. By Definitions 16.8, 16.10, 17.7, the composition (17.130) sends $X \mapsto H^{-1}XH$, where $H = WW'WWW$. By Definition 16.8 and Corollary 16.12, we obtain $H = \kappa(W')^{-1}$. By these comments $\dagger'$ is equal to the composition (17.130). One similarly shows that $\dagger''$ is equal to the composition (17.131).

**Proposition 17.9.** The antiautomorphisms $\dagger$, $\dagger'$, $\dagger''$ act on $A, B, C$ as follows:

(i) $\dagger$ fixes $A$ and swaps $B, C$;
(ii) $\dagger'$ fixes $B$ and swaps $C, A$;
(iii) $\dagger''$ fixes $C$ and swaps $A, B$.

**Proof.** Note by Lemma 16.5 that $\dagger$ fixes each of $W, W'$.

(i) By Lemma 16.6 and Definition 17.7, $A^\dagger = A$. By Lemma 16.7, $B^\dagger = C$ and $C^\dagger = W^{-1}C^W = (WCW^{-1})^\dagger = B$.

(ii), (iii) Use Corollary 16.11, Lemma 17.8, and (i) above.

The existence of the antiautomorphisms $\dagger$, $\dagger'$, $\dagger''$ shows that the Leonard triple $A, B, C$ is modular in the sense of Curtin [35].

**Lemma 17.10.** We have $\dagger^2 = 1$, $(\dagger')^2 = 1$, $(\dagger'')^2 = 1$.

**Proof.** Each of the given squares is an automorphism of End$(V)$ that fixes each of $A, B, C$. The result follows in view of Lemma 8.2(i).

Next, we explain how $\dagger$, $\dagger'$, $\dagger''$ are related to the automorphism $\rho$ from Definition 16.10.

**Proposition 17.11.** The automorphism $\rho$ is equal to each of the following compositions:

$$
(17.132) \quad \text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{\dagger'} \text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{\dagger} \text{End}(V),
$$

$$
(17.133) \quad \text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{\dagger''} \text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{\dagger'} \text{End}(V),
$$

$$
(17.134) \quad \text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{\dagger} \text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{\dagger''} \text{End}(V).
$$

**Proof.** We first show that $\rho$ is equal to the composition (17.132). Pick any $X \in \text{End}(V)$. By Definition 16.10, $\rho$ sends $X \mapsto P^{-1}XP$. By Definition 17.7, the composition (17.132) sends $X \mapsto W^{-1}(W')^{-1}XW'W$. By Definition 16.8, $P = WW'$. By these comments, $\rho$ is equal to the composition (17.132). By this and Lemma 17.8, we find that $\rho$ is equal to each of (17.133), (17.134).
18. An action of $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ associated with a TB tridiagonal pair. Throughout this section, the following notation is in effect. Assume that $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed. Fix an integer $d \geq 1$, and let $V$ denote a vector space over $\mathbb{F}$ with dimension $d + 1$. Let $A, B$ denote a self-dual TB tridiagonal pair on $V$. Let $\beta, \varrho, \varrho^*$ denote the Askey–Wilson sequence for $A, B$ from above Definition 15.1. Choose the scalars $z, z', z''$ in Definition 15.1 such that $z = z' = z''$ and let $C \in \text{End}(V)$ be from Propositions 15.2–15.4.

In this section, we display an action of $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $\text{End}(V)$ as a group of automorphisms that act on $A, B, C$ in an attractive manner. Recall from [10] that $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ has a presentation by generators $r, s$ and relations $r^3 = 1, s^2 = 1$. To get the action of $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we need an automorphism of $\text{End}(V)$ that has order 3 and one that has order 2. In Corollary 16.12, we obtained an automorphism $\rho$ of $\text{End}(V)$ that has order 3. Next we obtain an automorphism of $\text{End}(V)$ that has order 2. Recall the elements $W, W'$ from Definition 16.3.

Definition 18.1. Define the map $\sigma : \text{End}(V) \to \text{End}(V), X \mapsto TXT^{-1}$, where $T = WW'W$. Note that $\sigma$ is an automorphism of $\text{End}(V)$.

Recall the antiautomorphism $\dagger$ of $\text{End}(V)$ from Lemma 8.5, and the antiautomorphism $\dagger''$ of $\text{End}(V)$ from Definition 17.7.

Proposition 18.2. The automorphism $\sigma$ is equal to the composition

$$\text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{\dagger''} \text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{\dagger} \text{End}(V).$$

Proof. Routine verification using Definitions 17.7 and 18.1.

Proposition 18.3. The automorphism $\sigma$ swaps $A, B$ and sends $C \mapsto C^\dagger$.

Proof. By Propositions 17.9(iii) and 18.2.

Corollary 18.4. We have $\sigma^2 = 1$.

Proof. By Proposition 18.3 the automorphism $\sigma^2$ fixes both $A, B$. The result follows by Lemma 8.2(i).

Corollary 18.5. The group $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\text{End}(V)$ as a group of automorphisms, such that $r$ acts as $\rho$ and $s$ acts as $\sigma$.

Proof. By Corollaries 16.12 and 18.4.

19. Concluding remarks. In earlier sections, we discussed TB tridiagonal pairs. In this section, we summarize what is known about general tridiagonal pairs, using the TB case as a guide. The definition of a tridiagonal pair is given in the Introduction section. The definition of a tridiagonal system is analogous to Definition 3.7. For the rest of this section, let $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$ denote a tridiagonal system on $V$. It is known that $d = \delta$ (see [71, Lemma 4.5]). By [71, Theorem 10.1], there exists a sequence of scalars $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, s, \varrho, \varrho^*$ in $\mathbb{F}$ such that both

$$0 = [A, A^2A - \beta AA^*A + A^*A^2 - \gamma(AA^* + A^*A) - \varrho A^*],$$

$$0 = [A^*, A^*A - \beta A^* AA^* + AA^*A^2 - \gamma^*(A^*A + AA^*) - s^* A],$$

where $[r, s]$ means $rs - sr$. The above equations are called the tridiagonal relations. We now describe the eigenvalues. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let $\theta_i$ (resp. $\theta_i^*$) denote the eigenvalue of $A$ (resp. $A^*$) associated with $E_i$ (resp. $E_i^*$). By [71, Theorem 11.1], the expressions

$$\frac{\theta_{i-2} - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i}, \quad \frac{\theta_{i-2}^* - \theta_{i+1}^*}{\theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_i^*}$$
are equal and independent of \(i\) for \(2 \leq i \leq d - 1\). Moreover,

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma &= \theta_{i-1} - \beta \theta_i + \theta_{i+1} \\
\gamma^* &= \theta_{i-1}^* - \beta \theta_i^* + \theta_{i+1}^* \\
\varrho &= \theta_{i-1}^2 - \beta \theta_{i-1} \theta_i + \theta_i^2 - \gamma (\theta_{i-1} + \theta_i) \\
\varrho^* &= \theta_{i-1}^{\ast 2} - \beta \theta_{i-1}^\ast \theta_i^\ast + \theta_i^{\ast 2} - \gamma^* (\theta_{i-1}^\ast + \theta_i^\ast)
\end{align*}
\]

Note that \(\gamma, \gamma^*\) are zero if \(\Phi\) is TB. One topic that we did not discuss in earlier sections is the split decomposition. This is defined as follows. For \(0 \leq i \leq d\) define

\[
U_i = (E_0^i V + \cdots + E_d^i V) \cap (E_i V + \cdots + E_d V).
\]

By [71, Theorem 4.6], we have \(V = \sum_{i=0}^d U_i\) (direct sum). This sum is called the \(\Phi\)-split decomposition of \(V\). By [71, Theorem 4.6], the elements \(A, A^\ast\) act on \(\{U_i\}_{i=0}^d\) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
(A - \theta_I) U_i &\subseteq U_{i+1} & (0 \leq i \leq d - 1), \\
(A - \theta_d I) U_d &= 0, \\
(A^\ast - \theta^\ast_I) U_i &\subseteq U_{i-1} & (1 \leq i \leq d), \\
(A^\ast - \theta^\ast_0 I) U_0 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

By [71, Corollary 5.7], for \(0 \leq i \leq d\) the dimensions of \(U_i, E_i V, E_i^\ast V\) are equal; denote this common dimension by \(\rho_i\). By [71, Corollary 5.7], we have \(\rho_i = \rho_{d-i}\). The sequence \((\rho_0, \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_d)\) is called the shape of \(\Phi\). By [118, Theorem 1.3], the shape satisfies \(\rho_i \leq \rho_0(d_i)\) for \(0 \leq i \leq d\). Additional results concerning the split decomposition and the shape can be found in [74, 97, 98, 106, 108, 112, 113, 140, 142, 157]. Some miscellaneous results about tridiagonal pairs and systems can be found in [1, 4, 28, 85, 87].

The tridiagonal system \(\Phi\) is said to be sharp whenever \(\rho_0 = 1\). If \(\mathbb{F}\) is algebraically closed, then \(\Phi\) is sharp [116, Theorem 1.3]. In [72, Theorem 3.1], the sharp tridiagonal systems are classified up to isomorphism. This result makes heavy use of [82, 83, 114–119]. For the moment assume that \(\Phi\) is sharp. By [114, Theorem 11.5] and [72, Theorem 3.1], there exists an antiautomorphism \(\dagger\) of \(\text{End}(V)\) that fixes each of \(A, A^\ast\). By linear algebra, there exists a nondegenerate bilinear form \((\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}\) such that \((Xu, v) = (u, Xu^\ast v)\) for all \(u, v \in V\) and \(X \in \text{End}(V)\). See [6, 114, 130] for results on the bilinear form.

We now assume that \(\rho_i = 1\) for \(0 \leq i \leq d\). In this case, \(A, A^\ast\) is called a Leonard pair, and \(\Phi\) is called a Leonard system. For some surveys on this topic, see [120, 138, 143]. For a Leonard pair, we can improve on the tridiagonal relations (19.135), (19.136) as follows. By [154, Theorem 1.5], there exist scalars \(\omega, \eta, \eta^\ast\) in \(\mathbb{F}\) such that both

\[
\begin{align*}
A^2 A^\ast - \beta AA^\ast A + A^\ast A^2 - \gamma (AA^\ast + A^\ast A) - \varrho A^\ast &= \gamma A^2 + \omega A + \eta I, \\
A^2^\ast A - \beta A^\ast AA^\ast + AA^2 - \gamma^\ast (A^\ast A + AA^\ast) - \varrho^\ast A &= \gamma A^\ast^2 + \omega A^\ast + \eta^\ast I.
\end{align*}
\]

These equations are called the Askey–Wilson relations (see [154, 166]). Observe that in (19.139) the right-hand side is a polynomial in \(A\), and therefore commutes with \(A\). Thus, (19.139) implies (19.135). Similarly, (19.140) implies (19.136). If \(A, A^\ast\) is TB, then the scalars \(\gamma, \gamma^\ast, \omega, \eta, \eta^\ast\) are all zero and (19.139), (19.140) become (8.42), (8.43). In some cases, the Askey–Wilson relations can be put in \(\mathbb{Z}_3\)-symmetric form (see [67, Theorem 10.1]). Additional results concerning the Askey–Wilson relations can be found in [158, 159]. We recall the \(\Phi\)-split basis. Let \(\{U_i\}_{i=0}^d\) denote the \(\Phi\)-split decomposition of \(V\). Pick any nonzero \(v \in E_0^i V\). For \(0 \leq i \leq d\) define \(u_i = \pi_i(A)v\), where \(\pi_i\) is from above Theorem 14.18. By [143, Section 21], we have
0 ≠ u_i ∈ U_i. Consequently, the vectors \( \{u_i\}_{i=0}^d \) form a basis for \( V \), called the \( \Phi \)-split basis for \( V \). With respect to this basis, the matrices representing \( A \) and \( A^* \) take the form

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
\theta_0 & 0 & & \\
1 & \theta_1 & 0 & \\
& 1 & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & \theta_d \\
0 & & & 1
\end{pmatrix}, \quad A^* = \begin{pmatrix}
\theta_0^* & \varphi_1 & \varphi_2 & 0 \\
\theta_1^* & \varphi_1 & \varphi_2 & \ddots \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& & \ddots & \varphi_d \\
0 & & & \theta_d^*
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d \) are nonzero scalars in \( F \). The sequence \( \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d \) is called the first split sequence of \( \Phi \). The first split sequence \( \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d \) of \( \Phi^* \) is called the second split sequence of \( \Phi \). The sequence

\[
(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d)
\]

is called the parameter array of \( \Phi \). By [135, Lemma 3.11] \( \Phi \) is determined up to isomorphism by its parameter array. In [135, Theorem 1.9], the Leonard systems are classified up to isomorphism in terms of the parameter array. In the TB case, the first and second split sequences look as follows. By [105, Theorem 1.5] and [143, Theorem 23.6],

\[
\varphi_i = -\phi_i = (\theta_i^* - \theta_{i-1}^*)(\theta_0 + \theta_1 + \cdots + \theta_{i-1}) \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).
\]

In the table below, for \( 1 \leq i \leq d \) the scalar \( \varphi_i \) is displayed in closed form.

| Case         | \( \varphi_i \)                                           |
|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Example 13.3 | \( 2hh^*i(i - d - 1) \)                                    |
| Example 13.4 | \( hh^*(-1)^i(d + 1)(d - 2i + 1) - hh^*(d - 2i + 1)^2 \)  |
| Example 13.5 | \( hh^*(q^i - q^{-i})(q^{d-i} - q^{d-i+1})(q^{d-2i+1} + q^{2i-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})^2 \) |
| Example 13.6 | \( hh^*(q^i - q^{-i})(q^{d-i} - q^{d-i+1})(q^{d-2i+1} + q^{2i-1})(q - q^{-1})^2 \) |

Another topic not discussed in earlier sections is the connection between Leonard systems and orthogonal polynomials. For \( 0 \leq i \leq d \) define a polynomial \( u_i(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x] \) by

\[
u_i(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^i (x - \theta_0)(x - \theta_1) \cdots (x - \theta_{\ell-1})(\theta_i^* - \theta_0^*)(\theta_i^* - \theta_1^*) \cdots (\theta_i^* - \theta_{\ell-1}^*). 
\]

Define \( U \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F}) \) that has \( (i,j) \)-entry \( u_i(\theta_j) \) for \( 0 \leq i, j \leq d \). By [139, Theorem 15.8], \( U \) is the transition matrix from an \( A^* \)-eigenbasis to an \( A \)-eigenbasis. By [141, Section 5], the polynomials \( \{u_i(x)\}_{i=0}^d \) are from the terminating branch of the Askey scheme, which consists of the following polynomial families: \( q \)-Racah, \( q \)-Hahn, dual \( q \)-Hahn, \( q \)-Krawtchouk, dual \( q \)-Krawtchouk, affine \( q \)-Krawtchouk, quantum \( q \)-Krawtchouk, Racah, Hahn, dual Hahn, Krawtchouk, Bannai/Ito, Orphan. For a discussion of these polynomials, see [15, pp. 260–300]. In the TB case, Example 13.3 corresponds to a special case of Krawtchouk polynomials, Example 13.4 corresponds to a special case of Bannai/Ito polynomials, and Examples 13.5, 13.6 correspond to a special case of \( q \)-Racah polynomials. Some miscellaneous results about Leonard pairs and systems can be found in [36, 52–55, 100, 101, 107, 110, 111, 131, 137, 160, 161].
At the end of Section 16 and below Proposition 17.9, we mentioned the notion of a Leonard triple. This notion was introduced in [35, Definition 1.2]. A Leonard triple on $V$ is a 3-tuple of elements in $\text{End}(V)$ such that for each map, there exists a basis for $V$ with respect to which the matrix representing that map is diagonal and the matrices representing the other two maps are irreducible tridiagonal. To investigate Leonard triples, the following result is useful. For a Leonard pair $A, A^\ast$ on $V$ and for $X \in \text{End}(V)$, consider the matrices that represent $X$ with respect to a standard eigenbasis for $A$ and $A^\ast$. If these matrices are both tridiagonal, then $X$ is a linear combination of $I, A, A^\ast, AA^\ast, A^\ast A$ [109, Theorem 3.2]. Using this result, the Leonard triples have recently been classified up to isomorphism. The classification is summarized as follows. Using the eigenvalues one breaks down the analysis into four cases, called $q$-Racah, Racah, Krawtchouk, and Bannai/Ito [33,61]. The Leonard triples are classified up to isomorphism in [67] (for $q$-Racah type); [45] (for Racah type); [89] (for Krawtchouk type); [65] (for Bannai/Ito type with even diameter); [63] (for Bannai/Ito type with odd diameter). Additional results on Leonard triples can be found in [60, 92, 99, 150, 155] (for Racah type); [89] (for Krawtchouk type); [65] (for Bannai/Ito type with even diameter); [63] (for Bannai/Ito type). We mention some algebras related to tridiagonal pairs and Leonard pairs. The tridiagonal algebra (see [136, Definition 3.9]) is defined by two generators subject to the tridiagonal relations (19.135), (19.136). The Onsager algebra (see [37,38,57,129]) is the tridiagonal algebra for the case $\beta = 2, \gamma = 0, \gamma^\ast = 0, q \neq 0, q^\ast \neq 0$. The $q$-Onsager algebra (see [16,19,23,151,153]) is the tridiagonal algebra for the case $\beta = q^2 - q^{-2}, \gamma = 0, \gamma^\ast = 0, q \neq 0, q^\ast \neq 0$. The Askey–Wilson algebra (see [166]) is defined by two generators subject to the Askey–Wilson relations (19.139), (19.140). This algebra has a central extension (see [144]) that we now describe. Fix nonzero $q \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $q^4 \neq 1$. The universal Askey–Wilson algebra $\Delta_q$ is defined by generators and relations in the following way. The generators are $A, B, C$. The relations assert that each of

$$A + \frac{qBC - q^{-1}CB}{q^2 - q^{-2}}, \quad B + \frac{qCA - q^{-1}AC}{q^2 - q^{-2}}, \quad C + \frac{qAB - q^{-1}BA}{q^2 - q^{-2}},$$

is central in $\Delta_q$. By [144, Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.11] the group $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\Delta_q$ as a group of automorphisms, such that the $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$-generator $r$ (resp. $s$) sends $A \mapsto B \mapsto C \mapsto A$ (resp. $A \leftrightarrow B$). For more information on $\Delta_q$, see [68–70,144,145,155]. The double affine Hecke algebra (DAHA) for a reduced root system was defined by Cherednik (see [34]), and the definition was extended to include nonreduced root systems by Sahi (see [127]). The most general DAHA of rank 1 is said to have type $(\tilde{C}_1^1, \tilde{C}_1)$ and denotes $\tilde{H}_q$. An injective algebra homomorphism $\Delta_q \rightarrow \tilde{H}_q$ is given in [146, Section 4]; see also [86,90,91]. The paper [121] shows how $\tilde{H}_q$ is related to Leonard pairs. Additional results in the literature link tridiagonal pairs and Leonard pairs with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ (see [3,7–9,26,81,120]), the quantum algebras $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ (see [2,29,30,88,147,162]), $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$ (see [5,24,41,75,76,84,152]), the tetrahedron Lie algebra $\mathfrak{X}$ (see [25,56,79,96]), and its $q$-deformation $\mathfrak{X}_q$ (see [42,73,77,78,80,164,165]).

Tridiagonal pairs have been used to investigate the open $XXZ$ spin chain and related models in statistical mechanics (see [16–22]). The study of tridiagonal pairs has lead to some conceptual advances, such as the bidiagonal pairs/triples (see [43,44]), the billiard arrays (see [148,163]), Hessenberg pairs (see [49–51]), and the lowering–raising triples (see [103,104,149]).
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