I. INTRODUCTION

Concerning the propagation of light, there are two concepts of phase and group velocity. The phase velocity of light in a medium has an inverse relationship with the refractive index \( n \).

\[ v_p = \frac{c}{n} \]

where \( c \) is the speed of light in the vacuum. However, in anomalous dispersion, the group velocity is not equal to the phase velocity and it may exceed \( c \). [1]

Furthermore, one of the simplified models describing the relationship for the refractive index versus the wavelength of light is Cauchy dispersion equation that can be used for various glasses and also a nematic liquid crystal such as cyano-biphenyl in the visible region of the spectrum ([2] and [3]) (See Fig. 1).

![Graph showing Cauchy model](image)

Fig. 1. Cauchy model.

On the other hand, the concept of the fractal inhomogeneous space near the surfaces of the obstacles suggests that the space close to the edges of the apertures is inhomogeneous and maintaining an exponential up-chirp function in accordance with a variable refractive index. Therefore the refractive index near the surface of an object will be higher, and will reduce as the distance from the object is increased [4].

If this concept is correct, then the light should be slowed down when it travels through an aperture as it encounters a medium.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

It is presumable that the eventual time delay of light traveling through an opaque aperture in the diffraction experiment is too insignificant that it is not denoted in any measurement or estimation model. Consequently, using high-precision test instruments will not improve the signal processing to detect this imperceptible time delay from the low dimensional noise either.

Despite of this, a series of lined up apertures may increase the value of the time delay of the light signal. Eventually, this complex of apertures will act equal to a medium with refractive index \( n > 1 \), and therefore, it can be considered as a unit delay block (UDP).

Fig. 2 shows the setup of the refractometer for measuring the time delay caused by a UDP.

Measurements were performed using nanosecond pulsed lasers at 450 nm, 520 nm, and 640 nm triggered externally rep. rate 20 MHz, 20R/80T non-polarizing beam splitter, a couple of Si biased detectors, and a 2CH Oscilloscope 250 MHz.
In the first step, I use a K9 crystal cube (cross-section of 20 × 60 mm, and length of 80 mm) with the refractive index of 1.5248 (450 nm), 1.5197 (520 nm), and 1.5144 (640 nm) as a UDB to double-check the experimental set-up and prevent any error.

Then, I repeated an equivalent experiment by using a series of apertures as a UDB to estimate the time delay of the light signals.

### III. TWO HUNDRED LINED UP SINGLE SLITS WITH METAL BARRIERS

To measure the time delay caused by an opaque aperture, I lined up two hundred single slits with a width of 0.15 mm at a length of 450 mm and later I repeated the equivalent experiment with a width of 0.20 mm.

To produce that complex of the lined up single slits, I used 400 steel die blades in two rows with a gap in between to produce a unit delay block with 200 single slits at a length of 450 mm (UDB-200/450). Table I demonstrates the time delay caused by two hundred single slits, as well as a single slit.

| Wavelength (nm) | Time delay by 200 single slits (ps) | Time delay by every single slit (ps) |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                 | Width of 0.15 mm | Width of 0.20 mm | Width of 0.15 mm | Width of 0.20 mm |
| 635             | 28.94            | 23.29            | 0.1427           | 0.1164           |
| 520             | 34.0             | 26.44            | 0.17             | 0.1322           |
| 450             | 40.35            | 30.97            | 0.2017           | 0.1548           |

Furthermore, Fig. 3 shows the graph of the time delay versus wavelength. Notably, this increase is more pronounced when using a shorter wavelength light source.

As depicted in Fig. 3, the experimental graph satisfies the Cauchy model as well (See Fig. 1).

![Fig. 2. Schematic diagram of the refractometer by using a unit delay block.](image)

Although Fig. 3 shows the relationship for the time delay versus the wavelength of light, however, it may be implemented by other properties including the multiple reflections and also multiple diffractions in the near-field.

To consider the main parameter underlying time delay of the light signals, I produced two other UDBs with 200 lined up single slits.

### IV. TWO HUNDRED LINED UP SINGLE SLITS IN A SHORTER LENGTH WITH METAL BARRIERS

In the experiment of diffraction, the near-field Fresnel approximation at a distance $z$ in the $(x, y)$ plane normal to the rectangular coordinates lying in the $(x, y_0)$ plane is expressed by [5]:

$$U(x, y, z) = \frac{e^{i k z}}{i k z} e^{i \frac{k}{z} (x^2 + y^2)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U(x_0, y_0) e^{i \frac{k}{z} (x_0^2 + y_0^2)} e^{-\frac{k}{z} (x_0 x + y_0 y)} dx_0 dy_0$$

(2)

Subsequently, diffraction of light may cause different optical path lengths with respect to the wavelength. Furthermore, this increase is more pronounced when increasing the number of the lined up apertures and may cause a time delay in the experiment with UDB-200/450.

To prove that the multiple diffractions don’t underlay the time delay or this effect is not significant enough to produce the results shown in Table I, the setup was modified with another complex of two hundred single slits at a shorter length of 280 mm (UDB-200/280) by using 400 razor blades (See also Fig. 4).

The distance between the apertures of UDB-200/450 is 2.25 mm and it is 1.4 mm for UDB-200/280. These two distances will influence the appearance of the diffraction pattern in the near-field differently.

Furthermore, the smaller distance between the apertures reduces the amount of the blocked light. Thus, the UDB-200/280 obtains higher outgoing light intensity. It is interesting to note that the experimental result shows that UDB-200/280 and UDB-200/450 caused equal time delay.

### V. TWO HUNDRED LINED UP SINGLE SLITS WITH PLASTIC BARRIERS

The other assumption for implementing the time delay by the complex of two hundred lined up single slits is the multiple reflections. Therefore, the third UDB with the single slits is designed with black plastic razors at the length of 260 mm (UDB-200/Plastic).

The reflections on smooth facets of the steel razors used for UDB-200/280 and UDB-200/450 are grossly and off-specular. However, the reflections on the rough surfaces of the plastic razors are a combination of both diffuse and off-specular. Notably, in contrast with specular and off-specular reflections, the diffuse reflection is not polarized. [6].

Furthermore, the plastic razors are not as sharp as those steel razors either. Thus, the multiple reflections produced...
by UDB-200/Plastic are totally different than UDB-200/280 and UDB-200/450. Fig. 4 shows the different sections of the steel and plastic razors.

Finally, the equivalent experiments with three UDBs with steel and plastic barriers defined an equal time delay. The results presented herein demonstrate clearly that the width of the apertures is a dominant factor in determining the time delay.

For the ultimate test, I compared the time delays caused by two UDBs as shown by Fig. 5.

In this experiment, a 50R/50T non-polarizing beam splitter is employed to provide an equal intensity of light for both the UDB A and B. Notably, the experimental results demonstrated an equal time delay for both paths A and B regardless of replacing a UDB with another one.

Fig. 6 shows the experiment lab table for estimating the time delays caused by two UDBs. For the experiments performed in this study, UDB-200/450, UDB-200/280, and UDB-200/Plastic were employed (See also Fig. 5).

The experiments with two UDBs demonstrate that the multiple reflections and multiple diffractions are not effective on the time delay caused by the apertures or they considered ignorable. However, there is still a question about the influence of the light intensity detected by the photodetector A as shown by Fig. 2.

The photodetectors A and B in these experiments are Si biased detectors designed for the detection of light signals ranging from 200 nm to 1100 nm. The responsivity of those photodetectors is 0.15 A/W for 450 nm, 0.29 A/W for 520 nm, and 0.39 A/W for 640 nm. The responsivity of a photodiode ($\mathcal{R}$) is defined as a ratio of generated photocurrent $I$ (mA) to the signal optical power $P$ (mW) at a given wavelength [7]:

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{I}{P} \tag{3}$$

Therefore, the responsivity of a photodiode can be considered as a parameter for underlying the time delay due to the rise time of the photodiode with respect to the wavelength.

VI. NARROW LONG TUBES

To prove that the time delay caused by an opaque aperture in the experiments discussed in the previous sections is not replicating the behavior expected for the responsivity of the photodetector, the setup was modified with narrow long tubes (NLTs).

NLT comprises two aluminum square bar in mat black separated by a narrow gap with a width of 0.15 mm and also 0.20 mm at the length of 160 mm, 260 mm, 360 mm, 460 mm, and 560 mm.

In the experiments with NLTs, the detected light intensity by the photodetector A was more than double comparing with those series of single slits. Notably, the time delay caused by the 260 mm NLT was nearly equal to those two hundred lined up single slits.

This result predicts that in comparison with UDB-200/280, a 280 mm NLT causes nearly a couple of picoseconds longer time delay, although UDB-200/280 blocks more light. Therefore, the responsivity of the photodetectors is not considered an effective factor in the previous experiments either.
Fig. 7 shows the time delays caused by a various NLTs with a width of 0.15 mm versus wavelength.

As shown by Figs. 7 and 8, the linear graphs of time delay caused by NLTs satisfy (1). Importantly, the inaccurate dimensions of the two hundred lined up single slits with steel and plastic barriers, as well as the inaccurate dimensions of the narrow long tubes cause inaccurate values. However, the principles of the graphs as shown by Figs. 3, 7 and 8 remain the same.

VII. CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that the main parameters underlying the time delay causes by an aperture are the width of the gap and the thickness of the barriers (the length of hole along the optical axis).

Conversely, the experimental values show that the time delay caused by a complex of apertures and various narrow long tubes is irrespective of multiple reflections and multiple diffractions, or the possible effect is insufficient to influence the results.

In summary, considering the results presented herein, it can be inferred that the origin of the time delay caused by an aperture may lie in the fact that the refractive index of a medium is inhomogeneous and higher close to the surfaces of the obstacles, as well as the edges of the apertures. Therefore, Light propagates slowly as it travels near the surface of the object as well.

Furthermore, the inhomogeneous refractive index inside the aperture results inconsistent light speed. However, the effect of the physical dimensions of the opaque aperture on the time delay is referred to as average speed of light.
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