Comparative transcriptome analysis of R3a and Avr3a-mediated defense responses in transgenic tomato
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ABSTRACT
Late blight caused by Phytophthora infestans is one of the most devastating diseases in potatoes and tomatoes. At present, several late blight resistance genes have been mapped and cloned. To better understand the transcriptome changes during the incompatible interaction process between R3a and Avr3a, in this study, after spraying DEX, the leaves of MM-R3a-Avr3a and MM-Avr3a transgenic plants at different time points were used for comparative transcriptome analysis. A total of 7,324 repeated DEGs were detected in MM-R3a-Avr3a plants at 2-h and 6-h, and 729 genes were differentially expressed at 6-h compared with 2-h. Only 1,319 repeated DEGs were found in MM-Avr3a at 2-h and 6-h, of which 330 genes have the same expression pattern. Based on GO, KEGG and WCGNA analysis of DEGs, the phenylpropanoid biosynthesis, plant-pathogen interaction, and plant hormone signal transduction pathways were significantly up-regulated. Parts of the down-regulated DEGs were enriched in carbon metabolism and the photosynthesis process. Among these DEGs, most of the transcription factors, such as WRKY, MYB, and NAC, related to disease resistance or endogenous hormones SA and ET pathways, as well as PR, CML, SGT1 gene were also significantly induced. Our results provide transcriptome-wide insights into R3a and Avr3a-mediated incompatibility interaction.

INTRODUCTION
Late blight is the most important factor limiting potato production, resulting in lower yield, reduced quality, and tuber rot during storage. P. infestans can infect all the above-ground tissues of tomato and potato plants, as well as tubers of potatoes, especially in the open field and non-heated greenhouses under favorable conditions (10–25 °C, relative humidity >75%) (Fry, 2008). P. infestans has two effective life cycles: asexual and sexual. Its asexual spores (zoospores) and sporangia cannot survive for a long time in soil or dead plant debris. However, when the mating types A1 and A2 co-exist, the sexual recombination leads to the production of oospores that can survive for many years in the soil (Fernandez-Pavia et al., 2004). Recombination and rapid mutation in sexual reproduction enable the emergence of new strains and make them increasingly aggressive (Drentha & Govers, 1995; Gotoh et al., 2004).
New virulent strains often increase the difficulties and challenges in potato and tomato production (Harutyunyan et al., 2008). The safest, most effective, and environmentally friendly strategy to prevent _P. infestans_ from damaging tomatoes and potatoes is to incorporate late blight resistance genes into cultivars. Resistance genes against _P. infestans_ (_Rpi_ genes) are mostly NB-LRR-like _R_ genes, which activate the defense response by recognizing the RXLR effector secreted by _P. infestans_, leading to the hypersensitive response (HR) of cells at the infection site, limiting the expansion and colonization of germs; this process is called effector triggered immunity (ETI) (Jones & Dangl, 2006). Five race-specific tomato _Rpi_ genes, _Ph-1_, _Ph-2_, _Ph-3_, _Ph-4_, and _Ph-5_, have been cloned from the wild species _S. pimpinellifolium_ (Arafa et al., 2017). The mapped or cloned potato _Rpi_ genes mainly include the _R1_, _R2_, _R3a_, _R3b_, _RD_ and _R8_ genes from _S. demissum_; _Rpi-abpt_, _Rpi-blb1_, _Rpi-blb2_, _Rpi-blb3_ and _Rpi-bt1_ from _S. bulbocastanum_; _Rpi-edn1.1_, _Rpi-edn1.2_, _Rpi-edn2_ and _Rpi-edn3_ from _S. edinense_; _Rpi-hjt1.1_, _Rpi-hjt1.2_, and _Rpi-hjt1.3_ from _S. hjertingii_; _Rpi-snkl.1_ and _Rpi-snkl.2_ from _S. schenckii_; _Rpi-sto1_, _Rpi-sto2_, _Rpi-pta1_, _Rpi-pta2_ and _Rpi-plt1_ from _S. stoloniferum_; _Rpi-amr1_ and _Rpi-amr3_ from _S. americanum_; _Rpi-vnt1.1_, _Rpi-vnt1.2_, _Rpi-vnt1.3_, and _Rpi-vnt2_ from _S. venturii_; and _R2_-like gene with unknown original species (Ballvora et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2005; Jiang et al., 2018; Kun et al., 2014; Li et al., 2011; Lokossou et al., 2009; Lokossou et al., 2010; Oosumi et al., 2009; Rodewald & Trognitz, 2013; Song et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2008; Witek et al., 2016; Witek et al., 2021).

The _R3a_ gene used in this study is a well characterized _Rpi_ gene, located at the major late blight resistance loci on the short arm of _S. demissum_ chromosome XI (Huang et al., 2005). The _R3a_ locus is highly expanded in _S. demissum_ and harbors 30 to 45 _R3a_ homologs per haplotype (Friedman & Baker, 2007). The _R3a_ gene belongs to the CC-NB-LRR class _Rpi_ gene, containing a single exon and encoding 1,283 aa. The _R3a_ gene homolog (_R3aGH_) _Rpi-sto2_, cloned from _Solanum stoloniferum_, shares the same _P. infestans_ strain resistance as _R3a_. _R3a_ has been widely used in breeding, but many _P. infestans_ strains overcome _R3a_ in potato growing regions (Van Raaij et al., 2007; Rivera-Peña, 1990). The reason for this phenomenon is that the RXLR effector secreted by _P. infestans_ can escape the recognition of _Rpi_ through presence/absence variation (PAV), insertion/deletion (InDel), single nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs), and gene silencing (Raffaele et al., 2010; Veleshounwers & Oliver, 2014). In _P. infestans_, two alleles of _Avr3a_ encode secreted proteins _Avr3a_{K80/1103}^K_ and _Avr3a_{E80/M103}^EM_ (_Avr3a^{KI}_ and _Avr3a^{EM}_). _PiAvr3a^{KI}_ effectors were recognized by _R3a_. With the use of _R3a_ varieties, _Avr3a_{EM}_, which could evade the recognition of _R3a_ and does not trigger HR, completely replaced _Avr3a^{KI}_ and became the dominant genotype in the population (Yoshida et al., 2013). The _Avr2_ fragment of the genome was deleted by the virulent strain, successfully evading the recognition of _R2_ (Gilroy et al., 2011a), and the change in the stop codon position in the _Avr4_ gene makes the _R4_ gene ineffective (Poppel et al., 2008). The expression of _Avrblb1_ does not trigger the disease resistance of RB plants, because the virulent strains express _ipiO4_, which is homologous to _Avrblb1_, the active combination of _ipiO4_ and RB that prevents RB from recognizing _Avrblb1_ (Chen et al., 2012; Halterman et al., 2010). _Avrblb2_, _Avr3b_, _AvrVnt1_, _AvrSmira1_, and _AvrSmira2_ also have
virulence alleles that can successfully escape the recognition of Rpi genes (Vleeshouwers & Oliver, 2014).

The recognition and resistance response of plants to late blight is a complicated dynamic process, which mainly includes two levels: pathogen-associated molecular patterns triggered immunity (PTI) and ETI. In the Rpi-mediated ETI response of P. infestans, 11 Avr genes of P. infestans have been cloned (Elnahal et al., 2020). Rpi genes directly or indirectly recognize the Avr effectors and activate the transmission of immune signals. For instance, Avr2 combines with StBSL1 to form a complex, which is specifically recognized by Rpi-R2 (Saunders et al., 2012). Avr3a binds and modifies the E3 ubiquitin ligase CMPG1, exerts a toxic function, and prevents cell necrosis caused by INF1 (Bos et al., 2010); it also targets the receptor-mediated endocytosis dynamin-related protein 2B (DRP2B) and clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME) (Chaparro-García et al., 2015). Silencing CMPG1 did not affect Rpi-R3a’s recognition of Avr3a and the HR response, but Co-IP confirmed that they did not interact directly (Gilroy et al., 2011b). Rpi-blb2 relies on SGT1 to recognize AVR-blb2 to activate HR response (Oh et al., 2009). In addition, the toxic RXLR effector of P. infestans can also infect host plants and combine target proteins to reduce plant resistance. Effector Pi03192 bound the NAC transcription factors StNTP1 and StNTP2, blocking them from entering the nucleus from the endoplasmic reticulum (Boevink et al., 2016). The combination of Pi04089 and StKRBP1 promoted the accumulation of StKRBP1 protein, promoting the infection of P. infestans (Wang et al., 2015). In PTI and ETI responses, the downstream signal transduction is largely overlapping; it includes reactive oxygen species burst, Ca²⁺ signaling, the MAPK pathway, and plant hormones, suggesting that PTI and ETI may share a common signaling network differently (Tsuda & Katagiri, 2010). However, compared with that during PTI, the immune signal during ETI has the characteristics of high intensity and long duration (Cui, Tsuda & Parker, 2015).

Late blight has a high evolutionary potential, and the new Rpi genes were quickly overcome by new P. infestans physiological races. Therefore, it is particularly important to explore the resistance mechanism of the Rpi genes and understand the signal transduction pathway of P. infestans resistance. The discovery of key genes in the signaling pathway will provide new ideas for the prevention of late blight. However, the potato is an autotetraploid, and the genome is highly heterozygous, which severely limits further research of the Rpi gene. Tomato is a model plant in pathological studies, and its genome is highly conserved. Therefore, tomato can be used to study potato Rpi resistance genes. In this study, to discover the changes in gene transcription levels involved in the interaction of R3a and Avr3a, we constructed transgenic plants of MM-R3a-Avr3a and MM-Avr3a, analyzed the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in the metabolic pathway of disease resistance, explored the mechanisms of disease resistance. The results lay the foundation for further understanding the resistance regulatory network of Rpi genes. Additionally, it also provides plant resources for the application of the potato Rpi gene in the control of tomato late blight.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant material and treatments
The transgenic tomato lines MM-R3a-Avr3a, MM-Avr3a, and S.lycopersicum L. cv. Moneymaker (MM) were provided by Professor Jia (Henan Agricultural University, China). For detailed information and the construction method of transgenic plants, please refer to the article published by Professor Jia in 2010 (Jia et al., 2010). Avr3a gene expression in transgenic plants was induced by glucocorticoid dexamethasone (DEX; Sigma, St.Louis, MO, USA). Transgenic and wild-type tomatoes were grown in a climate chamber at 21 °C with 16-h light and 8-h darkness with an ambient humidity of 95%. At the four-five leaf stage, a 0.03 mM DEX aqueous solution, containing 0.01% (w/v) Tween 20, was sprayed on the abaxial side of tomato leaves. Leaf samples were collected at 0-h, 2-h and 6-h, and three bio-replicates were employed for RNA-seq and qRT-PCR.

Plant phenotype, Relative electric conductivity (REC), and Chlorophyll fluorescence imaging analysis
The MM- R3a-Avr3a and MM-Avr3a plants at the 0-h, 2-h, 4-h, 6-h, 8-h, and 12-h time points after spraying DEX were used for phenotypic observation, and the chlorophyll fluorescence content was quantified by FluorCam 800MF (Photon Systems Instruments, spol. s ro District Brno-City, Czech Republic). The maximum quantum yield of photosystem II photochemistry (Fv/Fm) can display a strong contrast between infected and healthy tissues (Rousseau et al., 2013). We measured the Fv/Fm values of tomato transgenic plants according to Murchie’s method to quantify the severity of the HR (Murchie & Lawson, 2013). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed by SPSS 17.0 (SPSS Corp., Chicago, USA) and followed up with a least-significant difference post hoc test (α = 0.05). REC is the major indicator of membrane damage, and the REC of the tomato leaf was calculated according to Cottee’s protocol (Cottee et al., 2007).

RNA extraction, library construction, and sequencing
Total RNA from foliage samples of MM, MM- R3a-Avr3a, and MM-Avr3a plants after spraying DEX, with three bio-replicates, were extracted as described in the literature (Yang et al., 2020). High integrity RNA was used to construct the sequencing library. Libraries were generated using NEB Next® Ultra™ RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina® (NEB, USA). The library quality was assessed using the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system and RNA-sequencing was performed using the Illumina Hiseq2500 platform hosted by Biomarker Technologies CO., LTD (BTC, Beijing, China; http://www.biomarker.com.cn/); and 150-bp paired-end reads were generated.

Quality control, mapping, and functional annotation
Raw reads were first processed using in-house Perl scripts developed by BTC. Next, clean reads were obtained by removing adapter fragments, ploy-N and low quality reads, and Q20, Q30, and GC content were calculated. Clean reads were mapped to the tomato reference genome database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/?assembly_accession_id=393272) by using HISAT2 software (https://github.com/daehwankimlab/hisat2/).
Gene function was annotated based on Nr, Nt, Pfam, KOG/COG, and the Swiss-Port bioinformatics database according to Zhu’s method (Zhu et al., 2014).

Quantification and analysis of DEGs
The quantification of gene expression levels was estimated by fragments per kilobase of transcript per million fragments mapped (FPKM) and normalized using HTseq v0.9.1 (Anders, Pyl & Huber, 2015; Trapnell et al., 2010). Differential expression analysis of two samples was performed using the DESeq2 package (Love, Huber & Anders, 2014). The \( P \) values were adjusted by using Benjamini–Hochberg’s method to control the false discovery rate (FDR) (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995), the genes with a fold change \( \geq 2 \) or \( \leq -2 \) and an adjusted \( P \) value \( (padj) < 0.01 \) were designated as DEGs.

GO and KEGG enrichment analysis
GO enrichment analysis of DEGs was conducted by using the GO-seq R package. This analysis was based on Wallenius noncentral hypergeometric distribution (Young et al., 2010), and the GO terms with an adjusted \( P \) value and \( FDR < 0.01 \) were considered as indicating significant enrichment. KEGG Orthology Based Annotation System (KOBAS) 3.0 software was used to analyze the significant enrichment KEGG pathways of DEGs (Xie et al., 2011).

Weighted gene Co-expression network analysis (WGCNA)
WGCNA can be used to analyze the expression patterns of genes between multiple samples, cluster genes with similar expression patterns, and analyze the correlation between modules and specific traits or phenotypes. Therefore, we used WGCNA to identify the specific modules of co-expressed genes associated with incompatible interactions between \( R3a \) and \( Avr3a \) genes. WGCNA was performed according to Langfelder and Horvath (Langfelder & Horvath, 2008). WGCNA adopts the dynamic hybrid tree cut algorithm. Parameters settings are FPKM \( \geq 1 \), minimum module size is 30, and Module similarity threshold is 0.25. For modules screened by WGCNA, module eigengene was calculated via PCA, GO, and KEGG pathway enrichment was performed to analyze the biological functions of DEGs in the modules.

Real-time quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis
Twenty DEGs involved in plant-pathogen interaction and plant hormone signal transduction pathways were selected for qRT-PCR, and primers were designed using Premier 5 (Table S1). qRT-PCR was performed using ChamQ Universal SYBR qPCR Master Mix (Vazyme, Nanjing, China) on a CFX96™ Real-Time System (Bio-Rad, USA) with the cycle steps of pre-degeneration at 95 °C, 3min, and 1 cycle; followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 10s, and 60 °C for 40s; and melting curve analysis at 95 °C for 10s, 65 °C for 5s, and 95 °C for 5s. The relative expression level of each gene was calculated by the \( 2^{\Delta\Delta Ct} \) method (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001), and the correlation coefficients between RNA-seq data and qRT-PCR were evaluated using GraphPad Prism 9 (San Diego, CA, USA).
RESULTS

Symptoms of MM-\textit{R3a-Avr3a} and MM-\textit{Avr3a} after DEX treatment

The DEX induced \textit{Avr3a} expression in transgenic MM-\textit{R3a-Avr3a} tomatoes, and \textit{Avr3a} can trigger R3a-mediated HR. To identify the different stages of the development of HR symptoms, we investigated the phenotype and chlorophyll fluorescence of the transgenic plants after spraying DEX. The phenotypic observation revealed no significant difference in MM-\textit{R3a-Avr3a} tomato between 2-h and 4-h, but the leaves began to wilt at 6-h. The symptoms of wilting of the whole plant were obvious at 8-h, and all leaves including the growth point were severely crinkled at 12-h and the petiole become soft. A stronger and more rapid systemic HR was induced in the MM-\textit{R3a-Avr3a} line, whereas no symptoms were observed in the MM-\textit{Avr3a} line (Fig. 1A).

Notably, the chlorophyll fluorescence imaging directly shows the region where the symptoms of leaf wilting occur. MM- \textit{Avr3a} plants had a higher level of Fv/Fm than MM-\textit{R3a-Avr3a} plants, and no difference in Fv/Fm values was observed in MM-\textit{Avr3a} plants from 0 h to 8 h (Fig. 1B). For MM-\textit{R3a-Avr3a} plants, the Fv/Fm value showed a significant downward trend after spraying of DEX; no significant difference was observed between 2-h and 0-h. However, a significant difference between 4-h and 0-h, and an extremely significant difference between 6-h, 8-h, and other time points \((P < 0.01, \text{Fig. 2A})\) were observed. The REC values of MM-\textit{Avr3a} plants at different time points were low, but they significantly differed from each other. However, MM-\textit{R3a-Avr3a} plants showed an obvious increase in REC after spraying DEX, indicating impairment of growth processes (Fig. 2B). The results of REC were consistent with those of chlorophyll fluorescence.

Transcriptome sequencing and mapping

According to the preliminary identification results of plant phenotypes, the tomato leaves of MM- \textit{R3a-Avr3a} and MM-\textit{Avr3a} at 0-h, 2-h, and 6-h after spraying DEX were used for transcriptome sequencing to parse the reaction process of \textit{R3a} and \textit{Avr3a} incompatible interactions. In addition, 0-h MM was sequenced and compared with the transcripts of 0-h MM-\textit{R3a-Avr3a} and 0-h MM-\textit{Avr3a} 0 h, to analyze the transcriptional differences caused by the transfer of \textit{R3a} and \textit{Avr3a} genes. After sequencing quality control, 145.26 Gb clean reads were obtained. The percentage of Q30 bases in each sample was more than 93.56%, and the average GC content was 43.24%. The alignment result with the reference genome shows that 94.68%–97.67% clean reads per sample aligned with the reference genome, and 91.29%–94.18% reads were uniquely mapped (Table S2). Additionally, the Pearson correlation coefficient \((R^2)\) of different biological replicates was between 0.9436 and 0.9818, revealing a high level of reproducibility of RNA expression patterns (Fig. S1).

Identification and annotation of DEGs

A total of 16,336 DEGs were detected, and the FPKM values of gene expression are listed in Table S3. A total of 396 DEGs were detected in MM-\textit{Avr3a} vs MM, and 2,416 DEGs were enriched in MM-\textit{R3a-Avr3a} vs MM (Table S4, Fig. 3A). Compared with MM-\textit{Avr3a} 0-h, the total DEGs decreased from 4,217 to 3,124 at 2-h and 4-h, especially down-regulated genes greatly reduced. We detected 1,319 overlapping DEGs were at 2-h and 6-h compared...
with 0-h in MM-Avr3a, of which 330 genes showed the same expression pattern at 2-h and 6-h (Table S4, Fig. 3B). In MM-R3a-Avr3a plants, the DEGs at 2-h were slightly more reduced than those at 6-h, but the total DEGs were much higher than those in MM-Avr3a. A total of 7,324 repeated DEGs were detected in MMR3a-Avr3a plants at 2-h and 6-h, and 729 genes were differentially expressed at 6-h compared to those at 2-h (Table S4, Fig. 3C). The statistical results of DEGs at the same time point between MM-R3a-Avr3a and MM-Avr3a showed that 1,506, 8,470, and 11,379 DEGs were detected at 0-h, 2-h, and 6-h, respectively, showing a significant and rapid increasing trend. Notably, 5,106 genes were specifically expressed at 2-h and 6-h in MM-R3a-Avr3a tomatoes (Table S4, Fig. 3D). Functional annotation of DEGs was conducted, and the number of genes annotated for each differentially expressed gene set is shown in Table S5.
GO and KEGG pathways functional enrichment analysis of DEGs

The enrichment of GO and KEGG pathways of DEGs can help us understand in detail the mechanism that R3a specifically recognizes Avr3a and triggers HR response. For MM-R3a-Avr3a tomatoes, we observed the significantly enriched jasmonic acid biosynthetic process (JA) and regulation of the JA-mediated signaling pathway, photosynthesis, thylakoid membrane organization and intracellular signal transduction biological process at 2-h and 6-h. In the molecular function category, oxidoreductase activity, phenylalanine ammonia-lyase activity, and calcium ion binding were all enriched (Figs. S2A and S2B). This finding is consistent with the pathways in which DEGs were significantly enriched in the KEGG (Figs. S2C and S2D). For the MM-Avr3a tomato, at 2-h and 6-h, we observed significantly enhanced oxidation–reduction and photosynthesis, and the molecular function of oxidoreductase activity (Figs. S3A and S3B). Additionally, the predominant pathways identified were those of carbon metabolism (78 genes) and photosynthesis (29 genes). In addition, the plant hormone signal transduction pathway and photosynthesis were predominant at 6-h, evidenced 47 and 22 DEGs, respectively (Figs. S3C and S3D).
Validation of RNA-seq data by real-time quantitative PCR

To validate the results of RNA-seq data, we randomly selected 20 DEGs in tomato MM-R3a-Avr3a plants at 6-h point after spraying DEX (Table S1). These genes were significantly enriched in pathways closely related to plant disease resistance, such as the JA biosynthetic process, phenylalanine metabolism, plant-pathogen interaction, and plant hormone signal transduction. The correlation analysis of expression levels of 20 DEGs in RNA-seq and qRT-PCR showed that the expression patterns of these genes were consistent and had a strong positive correlation ($R^2 = 0.9235$; Fig. S4), confirming the reliability of the RNA-seq data.

Construction of gene Co-expression networks

After filtering the low-quality DEGs (FPKM < 1), 9,228 genes were generated from WGCNA analysis. The WGCNA results showed that DEGs can be subdivided into seven modules (marked with different colors; Fig. 4A). Especially, the genes in the same module have a high correlation coefficient. Three of the seven co-expression modules were selected that have the highest correlation degree with one of the samples. In Fig. 4B, the three modules are indicated with red underlines. The blue module comprised 1,743 genes specific to the MM-R3a-Avr3a_2-h group. The GO and KEGG enrichment results of genes in blue modules are shown in Table S6. The tan module, with 1,605 identified genes, was highly associated with the MM-R3a-Avr3a_6-h group, and the annotation information of genes is shown in Table S7. The dark grey module, representing 438 genes, was highly associated with MM-Avr3a_2-h. Details of gene annotation are shown in Table S8.

Hub gene, an important node in the gene network constructed by WGCNA, has high connectivity. We enriched 406, 435, and 80 hub genes in three modules of blue, tan, and dark grey, respectively. The KEGG pathway enrichment analysis results of the hub genes in the three modules showed that phenylpropanoid biosynthesis (ko00940), plant-pathogen interaction (ko04626), carbon metabolism (ko01200), and plant hormone signal transduction pathways (ko04075) were significantly enriched in MM-R3a-Avr3a at 2-h and 6-h. Additionally, the genes enriched in the peroxisome (ko04146) and glutathione metabolism (ko00480) pathways at 6-h were significantly higher than those at 2-h (Fig. S5). The GO analysis results of the hub gene in the blue and tan modules of the MM-R3a-Avr3a material showed that cell killing (GO: 0031640) in the biological process, metallochaperone activity (GO: 0004222), and guanyl-nucleotide exchange factor activity (GO: 0008928) in the molecular function were also significantly annotated at 6-h, but not detected at 2-h (Fig. S6). Enrichment results of the WGCNA module were consistent with the prior GO and KEGG analysis results of MM-R3a-Avr3a tomato at 2-h and 6-h. Although the aforementioned six KEGG pathways mentioned were also enriched in the dark grey module of MM-Avr3a 2-h tomato, the number of DEGs in the pathways was extremely small.

DISCUSSION

Late blight has a high evolutionary potential, and new Rpi genes can be quickly overcome by new P. infestans physiological races, which limit the utilization of Rpi genes. Therefore, exploring the disease-resistance mechanism of Rpi genes is particularly important for
understanding the disease resistance signal transduction pathway. In this study, we conducted a comparative transcriptome analysis between MM-R3a-Avr3a and MM-Avr3a at different time points. The results provide comprehensive information on genes involved in the incompatible interaction process of Rpi gene R3a and P. infestans Avr3a.

According to the GO, KEGG pathway and WCGNA analysis, the JA biosynthetic process (GO: 0009695), phenylpropanoid biosynthesis (ko00940), plant-pathogen interaction (ko04626), and plant hormone signal transduction pathways (ko04075) were significantly enriched in MM-R3a-Avr3a lines. This result is similar to the transcriptome enrichment results of the incompatible interaction between RB gene and P. infestans race US940480 (Gao & Bradeen, 2016). Additionally, comparative analysis of defense responses of R1, R3a, and R3b transgenic potato lines with different Rpi genes to P. infestans race CN152 and 89148 indicated that defense pathways of the three R genes were similar. For example, plant-pathogen interaction, and pentose and glucuronate interconversions (ko00040), were specifically enriched but still had minor differences (Yang et al., 2020), and this finding was also proved in our research. Additionally, with the extension of the incompatible interaction time between R3a and Avr3a, the wilting degree of plant leaves intensified, and some down-regulated DEGs were enriched in carbon metabolism and photosynthesis process. This finding indicates that the priority of metabolism shifted from photosynthesis to pathogen defense. Similar metabolic shifts have been found in Arabidopsis thaliana under pathogen attack (Depuydt et al., 2009). However, for MM-Avr3a lines, most of the pathways associated with plant disease resistance have not been detected.

The process of co-evolution between pathogens and plants has formed a complex and effective defense mechanism. When plants are invaded by pathogens, complex defense responses are triggered. Disease resistance signals are transmitted from the infected site to the whole plant by endogenous signal molecules, causing systemic resistance in plants. GO and KEGG analysis of DEGs demonstrated that t phenylalanine metabolism process (GO: 0006559) was significantly up-regulated in MM-R3a-Avr3a lines. Phenylalanine is downstream of the shikimic acid pathway and mediates the biosynthesis of SA. Additionally, the downstream genes of SA, NPR1 (Solyc02g069310.3, Solyc07g040690.3, Solyc07g044980.3, ko04075), and PR-1 (Solyc01g106620.2, ko04626), were significantly up-regulated at 2-h
and returned to normal levels at 6-h; however, they were not differentially expressed in MM-Avr3a. The transcriptome analysis results of the incompatible interactions of three different Rpi genes with P. infestans were also enriched in these DEGs genes (Yang et al., 2020). In addition, several DEGs involved in the ethylene signal transduction pathway were identified. Combined with the measurement results of the ethylene content of MM-R3a-Avr3a plants at different time points after spraying DEX (Fig. S7), we observed that the ethylene content increased rapidly at 2-h, and the expression levels of CTR1 (Solyc09g009090.3, Solyc10g083610.2, ko04075) and ethylene receptor (ETR, Solyc05g055070.4, Solyc06g053710.3, Solyc12g011330.4, ko04075) were up-regulated. Similarly, Flg22 was found to induce ET production at 1-h that peaked at 4-h in Arabidopsis seedlings (Liu & Zhang, 2004). The ethylene molecule binds to ETR or ERS receptors and inactivates CTR1 without phosphorylating EIN2 (Ju et al., 2012; Qiao et al., 2012). The C-terminus of EIN2 was cut off and transported to the nucleus, where the expression levels of EIN3 (Solyc01g006650.2, Solyc01g014480.3, ko04075) and ethylene response factor (Solyc03g005520.1, Solyc09g089930.3, ko04075) were also sharply increased, regulating the rapid expression of ethylene response genes. Additionally, the JA biosynthetic process was up-regulated, and JA production was usually induced by necrotrophic pathogen infections in potato, such as those caused by S. sclerotiorum and Colletotrichum coccodes (Halim et al., 2009).

R3a protein specifically recognizes Avr3a and mediates HR response. We identified three pathogenesis-related 1 (PR-1, Solyc01g106620.2, Solyc09g007010.1, Solanum_lycopersicum_newGene_3034, GO:0031640) genes involved in HR that were up-regulated in MM-R3a-Avr3a. PR-1, as a marker gene of systemic acquired resistance (SAR) and downstream defense, is an important part of the plant defense gene against P. infestans (Faino et al., 2010; Melgar, Abney & Vierling, 2006). Additionally, incompatible interaction leads to the production of key transcription factors (TFs), which coordinate the expression of downstream target genes (Orłowska et al., 2012). WRKY TFs bind to the W-box in promoters of pathogen-responsive genes, such as PR-1, PR-2, PR-3, and PR-5, and are often co-expressed during SAR (Eulgem et al., 2000; Orłowska et al., 2012; Van Verk et al., 2008). Six highly expressed WRKY TFs have been screened: Solyc06g066370.4, Solyc09g014990.4, Solyc01g095100.4, Solyc10g011910.4, Solyc01g006620.2, and Solyc07g066220.3 (ko04626). Other TFs, such as NAC (Solyc06g061080.3.1 and Solyc03g115850.3.1, GO:0006355), MYB (Solyc02g067760.3.1, GO:0003677), and ABCG (Solyc05g054890.3.1), were also significantly up-regulated. Potato NAC43 and MYB8-mediated transcriptional regulation of the secondary cell wall biosynthesis inhibit P. infestans infection (Yogendra et al., 2017). TF StWRKY1 regulates the metabolites of phenylpropanoid and makes potatoes resistant to late blight (Yogendra et al., 2015). Some TFs identified in this study may play an important role in the regulation of R3a against P. infestans. In addition, genes related to late blight resistance, such as SGT1 (Solyc03g007670.4, Solyc06g036420.3, ko04626), and cyclic nucleotide-gated cation channel (CNGC, Solyc03g007260.3, Solyc03g098210.4, Solyc05g050350.2, Solyc05g050360.3, Solyc05g050380.4 and Solyc06g051920.4, ko04626), were also significantly up-regulated. Although EDS1, RAR1 and HSP90 were not required...
in \textit{Rpi-blb2}-mediated late blight resistance, these genes were also significantly enriched in MM-R3a-Avr3a plants (Oh, Kwon \& Choi, 2014).

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this study, we performed GO, KEGG, and WGCNA analysis on DEGs of MM-R3a-Avr3a and MM-Avr3a plants at different time points. The transcriptome process of the incompatible interaction between the R3a gene and Avr3a was preliminarily analyzed. When R3a specifically recognized Avr3a, downstream defense signaling transductions were activated, for example, by significantly up-regulating the expression of CNGC, RBOH and calcium-binding protein CML (CaMCML), which led to the rapid transient generation of reactive oxygen species and nitric oxide (NO), as well as the rapid and drastic increase in Ca$^{2+}$. Subsequently, the plant hormone signal transduction pathways, such as SA and ET, were rapidly activated, and defense-related TFs, such as WRKY, MYB, and NAC, were triggered, and the whole-plant HR was observed in MM-R3a-Avr3a.
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