Sequential in vivo labeling of insulin secretory granule pools in INS-SNAP transgenic pigs
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β cells produce, store, and secrete insulin upon elevated blood glucose levels. Insulin secretion is a highly regulated process. The probability for insulin secretory granules to undergo fusion with the plasma membrane or being degraded is correlated with their age. However, the molecular features and stimuli connected to this behavior have not yet been fully understood. Furthermore, our understanding of β cell function is mostly derived from studies of ex vivo isolated islets in rodent models. To overcome this translational gap and study insulin secretory granule turnover in vivo, we have generated a transgenic pig model with the SNAP-tag fused to insulin. We demonstrate the correct targeting and processing of the tagged insulin and normal glycemic control of the pig model. Furthermore, we show specific single- and dual-color granular labeling of in vivo–labeled pig pancreas. This model may provide unprecedented insights into the in vivo insulin secretory granule behavior in an animal close to humans.
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 Dysfunction of pancreatic islet β cells is a key contributor to type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) (1, 2), starting in the early onset of the disease (3). Each β cell contains several thousand insulin secretory granules (SGs) (4, 5). However, only a small percentage of insulin SGs undergo exocytosis upon glucose stimulation (6). Insulin is secreted in two phases: a rapid first and a sustained second phase (7–9). On the level of insulin SGs, our understanding of insulin secretion has been shaped by two basic concepts: 1) the recruitment of SG pools defined by their spatial confinement in the cell and 2) the higher probability of young SGs for exocytosis. In model 1), the so-called, readily releasable pool consists of SGs that are already docked with the plasma membrane and are released immediately upon glucose stimulation, thereby creating the first rapid phase of insulin secretion (10). The second prolonged phase is then caused by the recruitment of SGs from the reserve pool, which is located deeper inside the β cell (6). The detailed properties of SGs of the different pools have been debated and refined recently (11). Additionally, data obtained by radio-labeling experiments suggest that young insulin SGs are preferentially secreted (12, 13). A method that allows for the visualization of age-defined pools of the desired protein is to fuse it with the SNAP-tag, a 20-kDa protein tag that reacts covalently in a biorthogonal manner with fluorescent benzylguanine (BG)-fused substrates in living cells and organisms (14). By using a pulse–chase-labeling approach to track SGs containing an insulin-SNAP chimera, we could confirm the preferential exocytosis of young SGs and also show the preferential intracellular degradation of old SGs (15–17).

Furthermore, we found that in insulinoma INS-1 cells a pool of young SGs travels fast on microtubules, while this property is lost for old SGs (18). Young insulin SGs additionally have a more acidic luminal pH compared to old ones (19). Addressing the heterogeneity of insulin SGs and their differential reaction to stimuli and pharmaceutical intervention poses possibilities for the treatment of T2DM. Genetically modified mouse models have been the method of choice to investigate intracellular signaling, as well as metabolism, in diabetes research. Recently, transgenic pigs have been made available that allow for conducting β cell research in a context even closer to humans (20). Here, we describe the generation and characterization of a transgenic pig model with the SNAP-tag fused to insulin, called the Study OF Insulin granule Aging (SOFIA) pig. We demonstrate the correct targeting and processing of insulin-SNAP to insulin SGs. Finally, we show successful in vivo labeling with one and two SNAP-substrates staining pancreatic islets and distinct insulin SG pools. In summary, our pig model is a valuable system

Significance

The failure of β cells to secrete sufficient amounts of insulin is a key feature of diabetes mellitus. Each β cell secures only a small amount of insulin upon stimulation in a highly regulated fashion: young insulin is preferentially released, whereas old insulin is mainly degraded within the β cell. How this process is regulated in vivo and likely altered in diabetes is currently unknown. We present here a transgenic pig model that allows the in vivo fluorescent labeling of age-distinct insulin secretory granule pools, hence providing a close-to-life readout of insulin turnover. This will enable the study of alterations in β cell function in an animal model close to humans.
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enabling the imaging-based investigation of insulin SG turnover in a large living mammal.

**Results**

**Generation of a Transgenic Pig Model (SOFIA Pig) Expressing Insulin-SNAP.**

To monitor the intracellular trafficking and turnover of the insulin SGs, we designed an expression vector of porcine insulin containing the porcine INS core promoter and genomic fragments from the porcine INS gene for proper splicing and polyadenylation of the transgene (Fig. 1A). The SNAP-tag was cloned in frame at the 3’-end of the INS coding sequence. After the transfection of the vector into porcine kidney cells, their positive selection and depletion of the floxed neomycin resistance (neo) cassette, we used a mixed population of genetically modified cell clones in somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT) experiments and transferred cloned embryos to estrus-synchronized gilts. In total, 11 INS-SNAP transgenic (SOFIA) founder piglets with 10 different integration patterns were obtained (Fig. 1B). The highest transgene expression was detected specifically in β cells of founder 1,817 (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). This founder pig had two segregating transgene integration sites: one with full Cre-mediated deletion of the floxed neo cassette exhibiting medium transgene expression levels and another with two INS-SNAP copies, one with and the other without neo deletion. The latter integration site resulted in the highest INS-SNAP expression (Fig. 1B and SI Appendix, Fig. SL4) and was used to set up a pig line for further experiments. Immunostaining and confocal microscopy for the SNAP-tag and insulin showed the colocalization of SNAP with insulin in the islets of Langerhans of transgenic offspring, whereas wild-type (WT) pig pancreas was negative for SNAP (Fig. 1C and SI Appendix, Fig. S2).

To determine the transgene integration site(s), we performed targeted locus amplification (TLA) with subsequent next-generation sequencing (NGS). The results demonstrate that the transgene is integrated at a single locus on chromosome 11 in a noncoding, genomic region with a nearest distance of >0.4 Mb to the next coding gene (SI Appendix, Fig. S1B). In detail, integration of the transgene resulted in the duplication of the genomic locus chr11: 56,928,515 to 56,937,394. These duplicated regions flank the transgene, which is present at either of two possible orientations (SI Appendix, Fig. S1C). As observed by Southern blot (SI Appendix, Fig. SL4), the sequencing confirmed the presence of one INS-SNAP cassette with, and another without, the floxed neo cassette.
cassette (SI Appendix, Fig. S1C). Taken together, these data show the successful integration of the INS-SNAP transgene in the porcine genome in a single locus on chromosome 11.

Characterization of Insulin-SNAP Expression and Targeting. Next, we characterized the expression levels and localization of INS-SNAP at the cellular level by real-time qPCR (RT-qPCR), immunoblotting, and microscopy. To this aim, we isolated messenger RNA (mRNA) from total pancreas for RT-qPCR. As expected, the transgene was not detected in WT animals (Fig. 2A) but at comparable expression levels to γ-tubulin in SOFDA pig pancreata. However, in both SOFDA and WT pigs, endogenous insulin was ~600-fold higher than the transgene or γ-tubulin. Furthermore, we crossed SOFDA pigs with INS-eGFP animals expressing GFP under the porcine insulin promoter (21) to allow for fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) of β cells from pancreas. Extracts of the sorted cells were then used for immunoblotting. Both SOFDA/INS-eGFP and INS-eGFP animals showed comparable levels of 9 to 12 kDa proinsulin and 6 kDa insulin in FACS-sorted β cells (Fig. 2B). When probing for SNAP, however, only β cells of the SOFDA pig showed a robust signal, shifted about ~20 kDa, resulting from the additional 19.4 kDa SNAP-tag. In addition, higher–molecular weight bands were detectable in cells of SOFDA pigs. Since they may result from the nonreducing conditions used in tricine gels, we ran the samples also under reducing conditions. In this case, the higher–molecular weight bands disappeared together with endogenous insulin because of the disruption of the intramolecular disulfide bridges within insulin (SI Appendix, Fig. S3).

Finally, we applied correlative light and electron microscopy (CLEM) to fixed pancreas tissue obtained from adult SOFDA pigs. Immunolabeling with a primary antibody against SNAP followed by a secondary Alexa 488–coupled antibody and protein A–gold 10 nm showed granular subcellular staining (Fig. 2 C, Upper Left and Middle). Overlay with the electron microscopy (EM) image allowed for the identification of the fluorescent signal within insulin SGs in the islets of Langerhans (Fig. 2 C, Upper Right). This fluorescent signal further correlated with protein A–gold labeling. The ultrastructure of insulin-SNAP+ SGs appeared to be normal, with insulin SGs containing one or more insulin crystals surrounded by a translucent halo (Fig. 2 C, Lower).

Overall, the ultrastructure of the β cells of SOFDA pigs was comparable to that of pigs not expressing insulin-SNAP and to the published EM data of WT pigs (22, 23), with the normal appearance of insulin SGs, mitochondria, and endoplasmic reticulum without any signs of stress or structural alterations (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). Furthermore, all insulin SGs in SNAP+ β cells contained insulin-SNAP (Fig. 2 C, Lower Right).

**SOFDA Pigs Have Normal Glucose Tolerance.** To assess metabolic control of insulin secretion on the organism level, we performed intravenous glucose tolerance tests (IVGTTs) in SOFDA and WT pigs (Fig. 3). After administration, glucose was rapidly cleared from the blood of either pig strain without any obvious difference (Fig. 3 A and B). Simultaneously, plasma insulin levels were comparable between the two groups without any significant difference (Fig. 3 C and D). Accordingly, further parameters, such as the quotient of insulin and glucose, the insulin sensitivity index, and the acute insulin response were not significantly altered in SOFDA pigs in comparison to WT pigs (Fig. 3 E–G). In conclusion, these data indicate that the insertion of the INS-SNAP transgene in this SOFDA pig strain does not interfere with glucose homeostasis in vivo.

**SNAP-Labeling of Insulin SGs In Vivo.** Next, we investigated the functionality and suitability of the SNAP-tag for the age-dependent labeling of insulin SGs in living pigs. To this aim, we injected 0.6 to 2 μmol/100 kg body weight (BW) of fluorescent BG SNAP substrates intravenously in SOFDA and WT pigs. Injection of BG-coupled tetramethylrhodamine (BG-TMR), followed by euthanasia of the animal and fixation of the pancreas, resulted in a clearly detectable TMR signal in cryosections of the pancreas (Fig. 4E). The signal was restricted to the pancreatic islets and was colocalized with the insulin antibody staining (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). Although a fluorescent TMR signal could be selectively imaged after in vivo application of 0.6 μmol TMR per 100 kg BW, we decided to apply 1.5 to 2 μmol SNAP substrate per 100 kg BW for in vivo SNAP labeling to obtain a more robust imaging signal. For comparison, SOFDA mice received 15 nmol SNAP substrate per mouse (15 nmol/25 g) (15) (i.e., 60 μmol/100 kg BW). Hence, for the in vivo labeling of SOFDA pigs, we applied 30-fold less SNAP substrate per kilogram of BW. In order to demonstrate the suitability of the approach for labeling distinct insulin SG pools, we performed a dual-color labeling experiment with a first application of BG–TMR followed by BG–silicon rhodamine (SiR) after 15 h and autopsies and fixation of the pancreas 2 h later. Again, we could detect the red and far-red fluorescence signals of both SNAP substrates in the islets and detect granular labeling at high magnification (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, there was only a modest colocalization of TMR+ and SiR+ insulin SGs (Pearson’s coefficient: 0.36 ± 0.11), whereas SGs labeled with only a single substrate were the majority, indicating the segregation of SG pools over time. Taken together, these data show that INS-SNAP can be efficiently labeled in vivo by the injection of fluorescently modified SNAP substrates and further shows suitability for the age-dependent labeling of distinct SG pools.

To investigate the intracellular degradation of age-defined insulin SGs, we performed immunolabeling for lysosome-associated protein 2 (LAMP2). This allowed us to assess the colocalization of insulin SGs with lysosomes, hence, the relationship between SG aging and their intracellular degradation in vivo (Fig. 5). While the colocalization of older TMR+ SGs with LAMP2 was low (Pearson’s coefficient: 0.14 ± 0.08), we could, however, observe TMR+ SGs colocalizing with LAMP2+ compartments (Fig. 5, arrowheads). In contrast, the colocalization of younger SiR+ SGs with lysosomes was close to zero (Pearson’s coefficient: 0.04 ± 0.06), consistent with the preferential degradation of older SGs observed in isolated islets in vitro (16, 24).

**Discussion and Outlook.** In the present study, we have successfully generated and characterized a transgenic pig expressing INS-SNAP and demonstrate its utility for the in vivo imaging of insulin SGs. We provide a strategy to overcome two major limitations of β cell research: the translational gap between rodents and humans and ex vivo experiments for insulin turnover using isolated pancreatic islets. We generated a transgenic pig as a model system, since it closes this translational gap. Pigs are akin to humans in the anatomy of their gastrointestinal tract and the morphology and function of the pancreas (20). Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that the structure and composition of pig pancreatic islets is much closer to human than to rodent islets (25), although some morphological and functional differences in islets between humans and pigs were reported (26, 27). Moreover, the molecular and developmental signatures of pig and human islets and β cells are also more similar to each other than those of human and rodents (28). This makes the pig a compelling model organism not only for the study of systemic metabolism but specifically also for that of islets and β cell function.

The age of insulin SGs has been associated with the likelihood of exocytosis, and recently, a connection of the dysregulation of SG age with metabolic stress has been made (29). We chose the SNAP-tag fused with insulin in our pig model, since it allows for the conditional and flexible labeling of age-defined insulin SG pools with fluorophores of different colors over longer time spans, compared to fluorescent timer proteins (30). We used this technology successfully in isolated mouse islets to map age-distinct SG pools (16). However, this knock-in mouse showed signs of impaired glucose tolerance (15), a problem that did not occur in the
transgenic SOFIA pig. Notably, the SOFIA mouse is a knock-in model with SNAP fused in frame at the C terminus of Ins2, leading to a high expression of insulin2-SNAP. This may delay proinsulin2-SNAP folding and processing and thus could account for the impaired glucose tolerance of these mice. Therefore, we chose to generate the pig as a transgenic model, hoping to

Fig. 2. Expression and targeting insulin-SNAP to insulin SGs in SOFIA pig islets. (A) RT-qPCR of SOFIA pig and WT pig pancreas. (B) Western blots of non-reducing tricine gels against insulin, SNAP, and GFP on FACS sorted GFP-SOFIA or GFP-WT β cells. GFP-WT: β cells from INS-eGFP animal and GFP-SOFIA: β cells from SOFIA/INS-eGFP animal. (C) CLEM of SOFIA pig pancreas. The fluorescence image shows anti-SNAP labeling (green) with SNAP+ β cells and DAPI (blue). Corresponding transmission EM (TEM) image shows the pancreatic islet surrounded by exocrine tissue. CLEM overlay shows the SNAP signal to be within β cells. (Scale bars, 20 μm.) TEM detail shows the SNAP+ β cell, with the inset showing immunogold labeling for SNAP (10 nm gold). (Scale bar, 200 nm.)
overcome the aforementioned problems, which turned out to be successful. Our strategy for the pig model was based on a random integration approach, selection of the most suitable founder animal (based on transgene expression level and absence of side effects), and subsequent characterization of the transgene integration site. For the selected founder animal, TLA sequencing revealed a single-transgene integration site in a noncoding region of chromosome 11 that is unlikely to affect the function of neighboring genes. This locus may turn out to be a safe harbor for the faithful expression of transgenes after targeted integration using CRISPR/Cas9 (31).

So far, our knowledge on insulin SG trafficking is derived exclusively from ex vivo experiments using isolated islets or in vitro work with insulin-producing cell lines. Proteins tagged with self-labeling enzymes have been successfully used for in vivo studies in the mouse brain and in small animals (32–35) by the injection of the substrates directly into the tissue. Administration of a single-color SNAP-tag or Halo-tag substrate via tail–vein injection has resulted in specific labeling in mice (15, 36). This approach is less invasive and overcomes the substantial hurdles and potential severe complications (e.g., pancreatitis) associated with injections into a retroperitoneal and sensitive organ as the pancreas. Nevertheless, the high specificity of the SNAP substrates to their tag and the covalent binding upon contact enabled the specific labeling of insulin SGs, even in adult pigs. We found that a dual-color sequential labeling, with only a relatively short time interval between the labeling steps, results in partially distinct insulin SG pools. Future studies will aim to optimize the delivery of substrate directly to the β cells, as well as to better control the age-defined labeling. Furthermore, the possibility to quantify intracellular degradation of aged SGs by immunolabeling for lysosomal markers provides a further readout to investigate SG turnover. Our preliminary data suggest that the intracellular degradation of insulin occurs already at a relatively young SG age of ~18 h compared to 2.7 d, as estimated in isolated mouse islets (16). Ultimately, the SOFIA pig may be crossed to diabetic pig models (37, 38) to gain insights into the changes in insulin SG turnover in T2DM.

Methods

Generation of SOFIA Pig. All animal procedures in this study were approved by the responsible animal welfare authority (Regierung von Oberbayern) and
were performed according to the German Animal Welfare Act and Directive 2010/63/EU on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes.

The SNAP-tag sequence (New England Biolabs [NEB]) was cloned into a β cell–specific expression vector with a porcine INS gene promoter, exon and intron sequence (39), leading to an SNAP-tagged insulin protein product. The INS-SNAP vector was combined with a floxed neo cassette. Porcine kidney cells were transfected with the linearized and excised expression vector, and pools of stable, transfected male porcine kidney cell clones were generated. For the Cre-mediated removal of floxed neo cassette, kidney cells were lipofected with a CAG-Cre expression vector directly before being used for SCNT (40). Cloned embryos were laparoscopically transferred to recipient gilts. Genotyping of offspring was performed by PCR using the following primers: SNAP_1_for (5′- ACC AGA GCC ACT GAT GCA G -3′), SNAP_3_rev (5′- GGA GTG GCA CCT TCC AG -3′), δ neo_2_for (5′- CCT ACT TTC ACC AGC CTG AG -3′), δ neo_1_rev (5′- AGC TTG ATA TCG AAT TCC TGC AG -3′), neo_1_for (5′- ACA ACA GAC AAT CGG CTG CTC TG -3′), and neo_2_rev (5′- TGC TCT TCG TCC AGA TCA TCC TG -3′).

Transgene integration patterns were analyzed by Southern blot analysis as described previously (41). Genomic DNA was extracted from skin using Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega). A total of 10 μg DNA each were digested with Nde I or PshAI, fractionated on 0.7% Tris-Borate-EDTA agarose gel, and blotted under neutral conditions to Hybond-XL nylon membrane (GE Healthcare). Probes were synthesized by PCR from DNA of a transgenic animal using primers INS (5′- TCG TTA AGA CTC TAA TGA CCT C -3′) and INS-SNAP_5_rev (5′- ATC CCA GTT GCA GTA GTT CTC CAG C -3′) for comprising the 3′-region of the porcine INS promoter and the 5′-region of the INS-SNAP sequence, microdialyzed and 32P-labeled using Prime-a-Gene Labeling System (Promega). Filters were prehybridized for 2 h at 65 °C in Rapid-hyb solution (GE Healthcare); Hybridization was performed overnight in the same buffer containing a 32P-labeled probe. After washing the membranes...
IVGTT. An IVGTT was performed in 15- to 16-wk-old SOFIA and WT control pigs. For stress-free, frequent blood sampling, central venous catheters (Carefiew 3 Fr, 200 mm, Merit Medical Systems) were inserted into the external jugular vein via the vena auricularis under anesthesia, as described previously (46). After an 18-h fasting period, a bolus injection of concentrated 50% glucose solution (0.5 g/kg BW) was administered through the central venous catheter. Blood samples were collected at the indicated time points (Fig. 3). Plasma glucose levels were determined using an AU480 autoanalyzer (Beckman Coulter) and adapted reagent kits from Beckman Coulter. Plasma insulin levels were determined by radioimmunoassay (Millipore).

The net glucose elimination rate after glucose injection was calculated as the slope for the interval 1 to 30 min after the glucose injection of the logarithmic transformation of the individual plasma glucose values. Insulin sensitivity indices were calculated according to Matsuda (47). Acute insulin responses were calculated as the difference of mean insulin levels at 1, 3, and 5 min following intravenous glucose load and basal insulin levels. Longitudinal data (glucose/insulin values during IVGTT) were statistically evaluated by ANOVA (linear mixed models; PROC MIXED; and SAS 8.2), taking the fixed effects of genotype (GT; transgenic and control), time (relative to glucose application), and the interaction GT×Time, as well as the random effect of the individual animal into account. Area under the curve (AUC) insulin/glucose was calculated using GraphPad Prism software (version 5.02). AUCs and indices were tested for significance by Mann–Whitney U test using SPSS (version 21) software.

In Vivo Labeling of INS-SNAP in Pigs with SNAP Substrates for Imaging Studies. BG-TMR and BG-SiR were synthesized as described previously (33, 48). Central venous catheters (Carefiew 3 Fr, 200 mm, Merit Medical Systems) were surgically inserted into the external jugular vein via the vena auricularis at least 5 d before SNAP substrate application. SNAP substrate injection was resuspended at a concentration of 1 μmol per 50 mL DMSO and diluted directly prior to intravenous injection with a 50-fold volume of 0.9% NaCl.

For single-SNAP substrate in vivo labeling, 0.6 μmol BG-TMR per 100 kg BW or 1.8 to 2.0 μmol TMR-Star per 100 kg BW were intravenously injected in three overnight-fasted SOFIA pigs and one WT pig. Around 5 h after BG-TMR injection, an autopsy took place for the sampling of pancreas for histological analyses. For dual-SNAP substrate in vivo labeling in two C57BL/6J WT pigs, 1.8 to 2.0 μmol per 100 kg BG-TMR, as the first SNAP substrate, were intravenously injected at 5:15 PM during the last meal. Second SNAP substrate intravenous injection using BG-SiR at an amount of 1.8 to 1.9 μmol per 100 kg BW was done in overnight-fasted animals at 8:15 AM, 15 h after first SNAP substrate application. Around 2 h after BG-SiR injection, an autopsy took place. As a negative control to demonstrate the specificity of SNAP substrate labeling of INS-SNAP protein on insulin granules in WT cells, one SOFIA pig received DMSO without substrate and one WT pig received BG-TMR substrate, as performed for the single labels of SOFIA pigs.

Data Availability. All study data are included in the article and/or SI Appendix.
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Transmission EM. Pancreatic tissue was fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde and 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M Sörensen’s phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) at room temperature. After dehydration in a graded series of ethanol, the specimens were embedded in epoxy resin, as described before (4). Ultrathin sections were cut with a Leica EM UC6 μL microtome. After postcontrasting with uranyl-acetate and lead-citrate, the sections were observed with an FEI Morgagni electron microscope running at 80 kV.

CLEM. For CLEM, pancreatic tissue was fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde and 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M Sörensen’s phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The specimens were processed for CLEM, as described in ref. 44. In brief, they were embedded in 12% gelatin and immersed in 2.3 M sucrose at 4 °C overnight. The samples were mounted on metal pins and immersed in liquid nitrogen. Ultrathin Tokuyasu sections were cut with a Leica EM UC6 μL microtome equipped with an FC2 cryostat. Sections were stained with the anti-SNAP antibody, followed by an Alexa Fluor–conjugated secondary antibody. For immunogold-labeling protein A–gold 10 nm was applied, followed by DAPI. Fluorescence imaging was done prior to EM with an Keyence Biozero 8000 fluorescence microscope, as described in ref. 45.
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