Role of ivermectin in patients hospitalized with COVID-19: a systematic review of literature

Abstract

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has affected almost every country in the world since December 2019. Despite the efforts of the human race to combat the virus, we are still looking for an evidence-based permanent cure for the disease. Ivermectin has recently emerged as one of the therapies having a beneficial effect on COVID-19. Ivermectin, owing to its properties, continues to be a possible treatment against the COVID-19 disease. Already being a mainstream drug with minimal adverse effects, it garners valid consideration. Its use in hospitalized patients, randomized controlled trials, and observational studies has also supported its implementation. In this article, we have reviewed recent studies and explored the effectiveness of ivermectin in hospitalized COVID-19 patients.
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Introduction

SARS-CoV-2 is a single-stranded RNA virus from the Coronaviridae family. There are 7 known species of the coronavirus that have the ability to infect humans. Its predecessor, SARS-CoV-1, can also cause severe respiratory disease. It comes as no surprise that, with little risk involved, there was not enough research done on SARS viruses which meant that we had to face a pandemic without much information about the causative agent. As of 21 February 2021, over 110 million people have been infected by the virus and about 2.4 million have lost their lives [1]. COVID-19 has also had a significant impact on the economic state of the whole world accounting for huge losses and unemployment. With so much going on, there has been immense pressure to find a suitable treatment for the disease. As such, interferon, hydroxychloroquine, chloroquine, conventional anti-virals, monoclonal antibodies, convalescent plasma therapy, and tocilizumab have been suggested as possible therapeutic options. However, studies have shown non-conclusive or insignificant evidence when it comes to patient mortality and other outcomes like disease progression, time to clinical stability, need of invasive ventilation, and duration of hospital stay [2–7]. This leaves the door open for debates on the efficacy of these drugs and whether clinicians should consider using them. So far, only corticosteroids have shown consistent encouraging signs towards a favorable prognosis of the disease [8].

Ivermectin has recently surfaced as one of the medicines showing promise in the therapy of COVID-19. They belong to the class of anti-parasitics called avermectins. First discovered in the 1970s, it has been recognized as a ‘wonder drug’ and its discovery earned a Nobel Prize for Physiology or Medicine in 2015 [9]. Since then, the drug has been used against a wide range of parasitic diseases like onchocerciasis, strongyloidiasis, and ascariasis both in humans and animals. It is currently FDA-approved and continues to be sold across the globe. It may be used orally or applied topically depending on the infection site.
Mechanism of action

Ivermectin primarily amplifies the activity of GABA receptors or glutamate-gated chloride ion channels which leads to the inhibition of paralysis of somatic muscles via inhibition of myosin chain phosphorylation [10]. It is the blood-brain barrier (BBB) in vertebrates that protects them from the harmful effects of the drug in the central nervous system (CNS). Invertebrates, in contrast, are vulnerable to the actions of the drug due to a lack of the BBB. The fascinating part about ivermectin is its ability to affect a broad-range of diseases — it has shown anti-microbial, anti-cancer [11], and anti-viral properties.

The anti-viral properties of ivermectin mainly stem from its capacity to hinder the transport of viral proteins into the host nucleus via inhibition of the importin (IMP) α/β receptor. This allows it to inhibit the replication processes in various RNA and DNA viruses (e.g. Influenza, Zika Virus, Dengue Virus, Porcine circovirus, and others) [12, 13]. Indeed, in-vitro models support the theory that in SARS-CoV-2 a similar inhibitory effect leading to decreased replication will be seen [14]. Computer simulations claim that ivermectin might also bind to the S protein of the virus or to ACE-2 in humans thereby warding of host cells from COVID-19 [15]. Another possible means by which ivermectin mediates its anti-viral properties is via allosteric modulation of the P2X4 receptor, which leads to the secretion of CCL-5 using ATP [16]. Some studies suggest that ivermectin might have immunosuppressive effects [17, 18]. This is particularly important since it may point to the possibility of ivermectin playing a complementary role of mitigating the inflammatory response during severe acute respiratory syndrome. As a matter of fact, evidence supports the use of ivermectin as an anti-Inflammatory drug in the treatment of existing diseases like Rosacea [19]. The results were quite optimistic in animal models as well [20].

The aim of this review is to provide a synopsis of the literature on the interaction between COVID-19 and ivermectin while trying to gauge the potential use of the drug against this devastating disease.

Materials and methods

We searched peer-reviewed databases such as PubMed and reviewed pre-print articles. We chose randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and observational studies in the English language that evaluated the effectiveness of ivermectin on COVID-19 patients compared against standard treatment protocol, placebo, or other prospective medications in their study. Our review includes studies that were done on PCR-confirmed hospitalized COVID-19 patients. Factors such as dosage, timing, frequency, control group exposure, or publication status were not considered as appropriate filters.

The outcomes taken into consideration include:
1. Patient Mortality
2. C-Reactive Protein level
3. Time to discharge from the hospital
4. Viral load/clearance

Data extraction was carried out by the 2 reviewers independently. Articles were excluded if they were commentaries or opinion pieces. Studies examining the prophylactic effects of ivermectin in SARS-CoV-2 were also excluded. Duplicate articles, if any, were removed with the help of Mendeley software. Citations and data were included when considered appropriate. Any disagreement between the two reviewers was settled by a third, independent reviewer.

Results

After going through the databases, 14 studies were included in this review. Out of these, 8 were randomized controlled trials and 6 were observational studies. Of these 14 studies, 6 studies were peer-reviewed while 8 were pre-prints. A cumulative total of 7,744 laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 patients were involved — with 1,330 patients being a part of the ivermectin exposed group.

Patient mortality was reported in 8 studies which included a total of 6,770 patients. Out of these 6,770 patients, mortality was seen in 17.57% (n = 1,190) of patients, of which 82 were from the ivermectin exposed group. Only two studies did not classify patients according to severity of disease (Babalola et al. [21] and Soto-Beccerra et al. [22]). The rest of the trials included mild, moderate, and severely ill COVID-19 patients. A majority of subjects had comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, or various pulmonary diseases.

Table 1 describes the details of these studies in terms of design, dosage, size, and outcomes.

Administration and dosage of ivermectin varied across all studies. The lowest dosage used was 0.2 mg/kg, whereas the highest was 0.4 mg/kg. The most frequent dosage used, however, was 0.2 mg/kg. In some cases, absolute values such as
6, 12, or 18 mg were used. Differences in one day and multi-day dosing were also noted. Just over a third of trials exposed the clinical group to another medicine (excluding those in the standard of care) such as doxycycline, azithromycin, etc. Mortality was noted across eight different reports, and in almost all of them death occurrence was more prominent in the control arm [23–29].

Table 1. Sample size and characteristics of the selected studies

| Study name and design | Size | Intervention (dosage, frequency, duration) | Control | All-cause mortality | Other notable outcomes |
|-----------------------|------|-------------------------------------------|---------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Elgazzar et al. [23]  | n = 400 | 0.4 mg/kg IVM + ST Once daily for 4 days | HCQ + ST | 1% vs 12% | Reduced CRP levels (90% and 56% vs 84% and 14%) and hospital stay |
| Niaee et al. [24]    | n = 180 | 0.2–0.4 mg/kg IVM Once daily or on 3 interval days | ST or ST + Placebo | 3.3% vs 18.3% | Reduced CRP levels, DLO, hospital stay |
| Hashim et al. [25]   | n = 140 (44 HP) | 0.2 mg/kg IVM (once for 2–3 days) + DC (twice for 5 days) + ST | ST | 0% vs 27.3% | Lower rate of progression (9% vs 31.8%) and hospital stay (avg. 7 days) |
| Kirti et al. [26]    | n = 112 | 12 mg IVM (days 1 and 2) + ST (days 3–6) | ST + Placebo 6 days | 0% vs 7% | Did not seem to affect negative RT-PCR (23.6% vs 31.6%) |
| *Spoorthi et al. [31] | n = 100 | 0.2 mg/kg IVM once and/or DC | Placebo | N/A | Shorter clinical recovery (3.7 vs 4.7 days) and stay (6.7 vs 7.9 days) |
| Ahmed et al. [30]    | n = 72 | 12 mg IVM + ST Once daily for 1 or 5 day(s) | Placebo + ST | N/A | Faster viral clearance (11.5 and 9.7 vs 12.7 days) |
| Babalola et al. [21] | n = 62 | 6 mg or 12mg IVM + ST Twice a week for 2 weeks | LPV/r + ST Daily | N/A | Faster viral clearance (4.7 and 6 vs 9 days) |
| Chachar et al. [32]  | n = 50 | 12 mg IVM + ST 3 doses in 2 days | ST | N/A | Difference in clinical recovery did not reach statistically significant levels |
| Soto-Beccerra et al. [22] | n = 5683 | IVM Within 48 hours | ST | 51.4% vs 42.6% | — |
| *Rahman et al. [34]  | n = 400 | 18 mg IVM once + 100 mg DC twice daily for 5 days | HCQ + AZIT | N/A | Faster and better viral clearance (16.5% on day 6 vs 18.5% on day 12) |
| *Rajter et al. [27]  | n = 280 | 0.2 mg/kg IVM + ST Mostly once | ST with or without HCQ/AZIT | 15.0% vs 25.2% (38.8% vs 80.7% in severe patients) | No difference found in the length of stay |
| *Khan et al. [28]    | n = 248 | 12 mg IVM Once | ST | 0.9% vs 6.8% | Shorter recovery time (9 days vs 15 days) and faster viral clearance (4 days vs 15 days) |
| *Gorial et al. [29]  | n = 87 | 0.2 mg/kg IVM + HCQ + AZIT Once | HCQ + AZT | 0% vs 2.8% | Shorter duration of stay (7.6 days vs 13.2 days) and faster viral clearance (7 days vs 12 days) |
| *Camprubi et al. [33] | n = 26 | 0.2 mg/kg IVM + IS Once on the onset of symptoms | IS | N/A | Small differences in discharges, need for ICU |

*A Studies with one dose of ivermectin.
AZIT — azithromycin; CRP — C-reactive protein; DC — doxycycline; DLO — duration of low O2 saturation; HCQ — hydroxychloroquine; HP — hospitalized patients; IS — immunosuppressants; IVM — ivermectin; LPV/r — lopinavir/ritonavir; N/A — not applicable; OBS — observational study; RCT — randomized controlled trial; ST — standard therapy
only study whose findings were not consistent with the rest is the retrospective cohort in Peru by Soto-Beccerra et al. [22] which found a higher mortality rate in the interventional group.

Viral clearance was recorded in 5 studies, all of which were able to show a reduced time required for viral clearance or viral load after a set time in the study group [21, 23, 28–30]. Perhaps the most pronounced effects were seen in the retrospective study by Khan et al. [28] in which the median time required for viral clearance decreased from 15 days to just 4 days.

Eight trials measured the duration of hospitalization as an outcome. Six out of these revealed a shorter stay in hospitals in the ivermectin arm compared to the control group [23–25, 28, 29, 31]. In two studies, the results did not reach statistical significance [27, 32].

Out of the studies, two did not show a significant decrease in C-reactive protein (CRP) levels compared to the control group [29, 33], whereas three highlighted a statistically significant decrease [23, 24, 30]. In a randomized multi-center trial conducted by Niaee et al. [24] with \( p < 0.001 \), reduced CRP levels across all arms were observed. Out of all 5, Elgazzar et al. [23] and Niaee et al. [24] were the only two studies with sample sizes of over 100 subjects.

Patterns may also suggest the existence of a dose-response relationship. In one of two studies, Ahmed et al. illustrated that taking ivermectin for 5 days instead of 1 day increased the rate of viral clearance [30]. Similarly, Babalola et al. [21] found that the arm exposed to 12mg ivermectin instead of 6mg had faster clearance by over 1 day. However, in one case, where 3 dosing strategies were utilized against a control of standard therapy and placebo, there were similar mortality rates and length of hospital stays across intervention arms [24].

We also noticed one-dose trials, such as the one conducted by Gorial et al. [29], which saw all members of the study group cured against 97.2% of the control group. Meanwhile, the dichotomy in mortality rates was more pronounced in most multi-day dose trials (as summarized in Table 1), supporting the above hypothesis. Statistically, insignificant findings in length of hospitalization [27, 33] were also noted in one-time exposure studies, whereas only one multi-exposure study yielded similarly insignificant results [32]. However, a few one-dose studies did show major changes in at least one of the 3 categories [27–29, 31, 34], thereby resisting the theory of there being a relationship.

### Discussion

This review suggests that ivermectin reduces mortality, CRP levels, and lengths of stay in the hospital while enhancing viral clearance in SARS-CoV-2 hospitalized patients in different populations around the world.

In the study carried out by Soto-Beccerra et al. [22], which pushes back against the notion of ivermectin decreasing mortality in COVID-19 patients, it should be noted that the same report found increased weighted hazard or unweighted hazard ratios in all interventional groups (others included azithromycin, hydroxychloroquine, or a combination of all 3 drugs). The data could be limited since zero deaths occurred on the second day in the control arm whereas mortality was reported in all other groups (deaths in the first 24 hours were not included for both arms).

These findings are also supported by a systematic review and meta-analysis performed by Padhy et al. [35]. However, it should be noticed that they used ivermectin as an adjuvant, only chose 4 observational studies, and included outpatient data. The studies chosen in this review are specific to hospitalized COVID-19 patients, larger in quantity, and include RCTs. A recent case series also supported these findings as 34 subjects treated with ivermectin all survived and mortality was observed in the other group(s) [36].

This is particularly encouraging keeping in view the current situation of the pandemic. The anti-viral activity of ivermectin seems to make it a very viable option for the treatment of such patients. The safety of this drug is well documented [37, 38]. A study that compiled data from other studies, including 50,000 subjects in Cameroon, found that only 20 faced serious complications [39], Being relatively affordable and readily available makes it economically/logistically feasible.

However, we urge medical professionals to exercise prudence until more high-quality evidence is available. The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel at NIH stated on January 14, 2021 that there is ‘insufficient data to recommend either for or against the use of ivermectin for the treatment of COVID-19’ due to the fact that ‘significant methodological limitations and incomplete information’ were visible across trials [40]. In addition, Merck & Co. (known as MSD outside USA and Canada), which originally marketed the drug, identified a lack of evidence on February 4, 2021 with regards to the use of ivermectin in COVID-19 [41]. In a recent randomized clinical trial in patients with mild COVID-19 treated...
with ivermectin, Lopez-Medina et al. [42] found no significant difference in time to resolution of symptoms in comparison with placebo. We too believe it is early to include ivermectin in existing protocols for similar reasons. There are other issues that may make ivermectin unsuitable. It is poor as far as water solubility is concerned, which is why it is not absorbed well via the oral route [43]. Nevertheless, it should be mentioned that liposomal and inhalational therapy might help tackle this issue. Although ivermectin is not able to cross the BBB, in patients with hyper-inflammation, a docile BBB may permit passage into the CNS. As a matter of fact, we ask that quantitative analyses and better designed high-powered RCTs be run on the efficacy of the drug.

In the case of the dose-response relationship mentioned above, there is insufficient data to conclude anything. The only thing we consistently saw across studies was a decrease in viral load at higher doses when directly compared to lower doses [21, 23]. Although some one-dose studies did show smaller changes when compared to their multi-dosage counterparts, others found significant results in a few outcomes. It should be noted that exclusive administration of ivermectin across most of the aforementioned studies was scarce. It is possible that the significant reduction in viral clearance by the drug does not necessarily translate into early discharge from the hospital or a decrease in all-cause mortality. However, we cannot merely surmise that. We encourage other researchers to investigate this question while exploring the drug and its potential as a therapeutic option for said population.

Limitations

Due to the current COVID-19 pandemic, the reviewers agreed to include pre-print data in the article, which is not peer-reviewed. Standard treatment regimens, dosage, duration, inclusion/exclusion criteria, and definition of severity of disease differed across all studies. Furthermore, in some trials, ivermectin was used in conjunction with either standard treatment or other drugs (azithromycin, doxycycline, etc.). Publication bias might exist in some studies.

Conclusion

Ivermectin, owing to its properties, continues to be a potential therapeutic option against the COVID-19 disease that we are facing. Already being a mainstream drug with minimal adverse effects, it garners valid consideration and attention in these times. In hospitalized patients, RCTs and observational studies have supported its use. Still, there needs to be more high-quality proof and quantitative analysis in order to legitimize its use as part of general protocol. As for now, we shall have to wait for the final verdict on the capabilities of ivermectin.
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