1. INTRODUCTION

The idea of a reverse shock that can reheat expanding ejecta in a young supernova remnant (SNR) was introduced in a pair of companion papers by Gull (1973) and Rosenberg & Scheuer (1973), and was further articulated by McKee (1974) and by Gull (1975). It was rapidly incorporated into the interpretation of early X-ray data from young SNRs (e.g., Charles et al. 1975), and has become firmly entrenched into the canonical model of a young SNR. Briefly, the idea is that following a supernova (SN) event, a blast wave moves outward through the surrounding interstellar or circumstellar matter, which becomes swept-up behind the expanding strong shock. Within this shell, a contact discontinuity forms between the expanding SN ejecta and the newly swept-up material, and an internal shock develops that works its way inward through the ejecta, reheating them and giving rise to strong X-ray and optical emission from material that is highly enriched in heavy elements. As the SNR evolves and the reverse shock works its way inward, it should encounter ever-slower ejecta—a proposition that we test through the observations reported here.

Despite this long pedigree, detailed observations of the development of the reverse shock over time in actual young SNRs have been sparse. The most detailed has been for Cas A: the Chandra images clearly showing the reverse shock and its encounter with ejecta of different compositions (Hwang et al. 2000, 2004) and the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) images showing the evolution of individual ejecta knots as they encounter the reverse shock (Morse et al. 2004; Fesen et al. 2011). In this paper, we report the results of an experiment designed to test a definite prediction of the reverse-shock model: that as the reverse shock moves inward through the freely expanding ejecta, the velocity of material entering the reverse shock gradually decreases over time. The freely expanding ejecta are too cold to be readily detected in emission, but the velocity profile can be probed through absorption spectroscopy carried out in the UV, where ions commonly found in ejecta have strong resonance lines connecting to the ground state. One of very few objects where this technique has so far been employed is the remnant of the bright Type Ia supernova SN 1006 (SNR G327.6+14.6), located at a distance of 2.2 kpc and ~500 pc above the Galactic plane (Winkler et al. 2003). Located only 2.8 south of the projected center of the 15′ radius SN 1006 shell, the UV-bright Schweizer & Middleditch (1980, henceforth SM) star enables study of a “core sample” through the remnant.

The SM star is an OB subdwarf, probably not much farther away than SN 1006. It is relatively bright (V = 16.74, B − V = −0.14), with low foreground extinction, E (B − V) = 0.1 (Schweizer & Middleditch 1980; Wu et al. 1993). Its potential as a background “UV lightbulb” for probing the interior of SN 1006 through absorption spectroscopy was first exploited by Wu et al. (1983) using the International Ultraviolet Explorer (IUE), with subsequent studies carried out by Fesen et al. (1988), Wu et al. (1993, 1997), and Blair et al. (1996). These spectra
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show strong Fe\textsc{II} lines at 2383 Å and 2600 Å that are broad and nearly symmetric, and in addition lines from Si\textsc{II}, Si\textsc{III}, and Si\textsc{IV}, all of which are also broad, but with profiles that are entirely at positive (redshifted) velocities (Wu et al. 1997). The most detailed UV spectrum of the SM star was obtained from the \textit{HST} in 1999 with the Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph (STIS) and reported by Hamilton et al. (2007, hereafter HFB07), which also summarizes the previous UV studies.

The strongest feature in the 1150–1700 Å band is broad absorption due to Si\textsc{II} 1260.4 Å, all on the red side of the line, extending from a velocity of $\sim$ +2400 km s$^{-1}$ to a sharp edge at +7026 km s$^{-1}$ (HFB07). Hamilton et al. (1997, 2007) have modeled this profile as a combination of both shocked and unshocked Si\textsc{II} ejecta within SN 1006: the highest redshifts result from ejecta that are still freely expanding on the far side of the shell, with the sharp edge due to material just before it enters the reverse shock. The reverse-shock model predicts that, over time, the shock encounters ever-slower-moving ejecta, and hence the red edge should gradually move to shorter wavelength. We report here a second-epoch spectrum of the SM star obtained with the Cosmic Origins Spectrograph (COS) on \textit{HST} that, when compared with the 1999 STIS spectrum, demonstrates this phenomenon for the first time.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND WAVELENGTH REGISTRATION

For this study, we used as the first-epoch spectrum the far-ultraviolet (FUV) echelle spectrum of the SM star obtained with STIS in 1999 July and described by HFB07. Briefly, the STIS observation used a $2'' \times 2''$ slit, the E140M grating, and the FUV-MAMA detector to cover wavelengths 1150–1700 Å at a scale of 0.0122 Å pixel$^{-1}$. The line-spread function (LSF) is non-Gaussian, with a narrow core and broad wings, and in the crucial region of the spectrum near 1300 Å has an FWHM of 4.6 km s$^{-1}$.

For the second-epoch observation, we used the newly installed COS instrument, since it has a far higher throughput than STIS, though with spectral resolution that is almost four times lower. The observation was carried out on 2010 January 16 using the 2''5 diameter primary science aperture and the G130M grating. A central wavelength setting of 1327 Å and standard subsampling (FP-POS = auto) were used to cover the range $\sim$1170–1470 Å, with a gap extending over 1317–1327 Å, at a dispersion of 0.010 Å pixel$^{-1}$. The COS LSF is also non-Gaussian, with FWHM 18 km s$^{-1}$ near 1300 Å (see Sahnow et al. 2010 for a summary of the COS on-orbit performance). Because of the higher throughput with COS, only a single orbit was required to obtain a spectrum with signal to noise similar to that obtained in eight orbits with STIS. Key aspects of the two observations are summarized in Table 1, and in Figure 1 we plot the spectra from both observations, binned to emphasize the broad features. The time separation between the epochs is 10.48 years.

In order to measure the small shift in the wavelength of the absorption edge, it is crucial that the STIS and COS spectra be precisely registered in wavelength. In order to achieve more precise registration than can be done using the wavelength scale provided with pipeline-processed COS data, we can use the many narrow interstellar and stellar lines in the wavelength region near the edge. We have used a cross-correlation analysis (the IRAF$^6$ task \texttt{fxcorr}), first to cross-correlate each of the four individual (subsampled) frames, made tiny wavelength shifts to best align these, and averaged them to optimize the total COS spectrum. We then used a similar cross-correlation analysis to determine the small offsets between the COS and STIS spectra on both the blue (1245–1264 Å) and red (1292–1301.5 Å) sides of the edge, and found that with the original wavelength scales,

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Observations of the Schweizer–Middleditch Star from \textit{HST}}
\begin{tabular}{llccc}
\hline
Instrument & Obs. Date & Grating & FWHM & Exposure (s) & \textit{HST} Program ID \\
\hline
STIS  & 1999 Jul 24–25 & E140M & 4.6 & 23,186 & 7349 \\
COS & 2010 Jan 16 & G130M & 18 & 1,756 & 11659 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

$^6$ IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatory, which is operated by the AURA, Inc. under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.
of unshocked and shocked Si
in SN 1006 can be understood as arising from a combination
of unabsorbed regions from 1150 to 1700 Å. The analysis is essentially the same as that of HFB07, to which the reader is referred for more detail. Figure 3 shows the result of fitting the 1260 Å feature observed with COS to a combination of unshocked and shocked Si
. The analysis is essentially the same as that of HFB07, to which the reader is referred for more detail. Figure 3 can be compared to Figure 4 of HFB07, which showed a similar fit to the same feature observed with STIS.

In brief, each of the observed spectra were interpolated across narrow interstellar lines, and their continua fitted to a low-order polynomial. In the case of the STIS spectra of the SM star and the comparison star PG 0839+399 analyzed in 2007, the continuum was a quintic polynomial in log flux versus inverse wavelength fitted over unabsorbed regions from 1150 to 1700 Å. The COS and STIS spectra are in generally excellent agreement (see Section 5), but there is a small but significant difference in the overall level of fluxes. To compensate for this difference, we multiplied the COS spectrum by a factor linear in log flux versus inverse wavelength, varying from 1.03 at 1190 Å to 1.08 at 1290 Å.

Figure 3 also shows the ratio of the interstellar-line-excised, continuum-corrected spectrum of the SM star observed with COS to that of the comparison star PG 0839+399 observed with STIS. The comparison star PG 0839+399 (Green et al. 1986) was identified by Blair et al. (1996) as having not only a similar temperature and gravity but also similar photospheric abundances and low extinction. As remarked by HFB07, the spectrum of the comparison star is not as similar in detail to that of the SM star as one might have liked, but dividing the SM spectrum by the comparison one does help to clarify which features in the SM star spectrum are due to SN 1006.

Three separate velocity parameters can be measured from the line profile shown in Figure 3: the free-expansion velocity \( r_e / t \) (Shock radius \( r_e \), divided by time \( t \)) of unshocked Si
 at the reverse shock front, and the mean velocity \( \bar{v} \) and one-dimensional velocity dispersion \( \sigma \) of shocked Si
. Not surprisingly, in view of the good agreement between the STIS and COS spectra, and the similar analysis, the parameters measured from COS are quite similar to those measured from STIS (Table 2).

The three velocities are related by shock jump conditions. As noted by HFB07, the measured velocities point to essentially all of the shock energy going into heating ions, with little energy in heating electrons, consistent with other observational evidence (Laming et al. 1996; Ghavamian et al. 2002; Vink et al. 2003; Vink 2005), and also with little energy in accelerating particles (e.g., Kosenko et al. 2011). In the absence of electron heating or particle acceleration, the change \( \Delta \bar{v} \) in the velocity between the unshocked and shocked Si
 should equal the three-dimensional conditions.
velocity dispersion $\sqrt{3}\sigma$ of the shocked Si ii,

$$\Delta v \equiv \frac{v_i}{t} - \bar{v} = \sqrt{3}\sigma. \tag{1}$$

If none of the shock energy went into electron heating or particle acceleration, then the velocity dispersion would be correspondingly smaller. If all three velocities are treated as free parameters, the observations mildly prefer the opposite, an ion velocity dispersion slightly (1σ) larger than predicted by Equation (1). In the present paper, we choose to constrain the fit (Figure 3) such that Equation (1) is satisfied, whereas HFB07 chose to measure all three velocities separately from the STIS spectrum. HFB07 estimated a 3σ upper limit of 0.26 of the shock energy going into forms other than ion heating.

Fits constrained to satisfy Equation (1) prefer slightly less shocked Si ii absorption at its peak near 5000 km s$^{-1}$. A simple way to accommodate the preference is to fit the blue edge of the profile up to 5100 km s$^{-1}$ instead of the 5600 km s$^{-1}$ adopted by HFB07. The values quoted in Table 2 are best fits over the reduced range. The quoted uncertainties are 1σ statistical uncertainties about the best fit, subject to variations not constrained by Equation (1).

The observed column density of shocked Si ii measured from the line profile in Figure 3 is (compare Equation (8) of Hamilton et al. 1997)

$$N_{\text{Si}^\text{ii}}^{\text{shk}} = \frac{m_e c}{\pi e^2 f \lambda} \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma \tau_0 = 7.4 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2} \quad \text{(measured)}, \tag{2}$$

where $\lambda = 1260$ Å is the wavelength of the line, $f = 1.007$ (Morton 1991) is its oscillator strength, $\tau_0$ is the optical depth at line center of the fitted Gaussian profile of shocked Si ii, and the factor $\sqrt{2\pi}$ comes from integrating over the profile. The uncertainty in the estimate (Equation (2)) is the statistical uncertainty arising from photon shot noise, and does not include “systematic” uncertainty arising from modeling choices, which include placement of the continuum, and the range of velocities over which the fitting is done. The systematic uncertainty is somewhat larger. Notably, if we extend the range over which we fit the blue edge of the shocked Si ii profile to 5600 km s$^{-1}$ (as in HFB07) instead of 5100 km s$^{-1}$, then the measured column density increases to $8.0 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$. The measured value (Equation (2)) is listed in Table 2, along with the value that HFB07 would have measured from STIS but did not report.

The measured column density (Equation (2)) of shocked Si ii is consistent with that predicted by steady ionization of Si ii (compare with Equation (13) of Hamilton et al. 1997),

$$N_{\text{Si}^\text{ii}}^{\text{shk}} = \frac{n_{\text{Si}^\text{ii}} v_i}{4n_e (\sigma v)_{\text{Si}^\text{ii}}} = 6 \pm 2 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2} \times \left( \frac{n_e/n_{\text{Si}^\text{ii}}}{2.5} \right)^{-1} \quad \text{(predicted)}, \tag{3}$$

where $(\sigma v)_{\text{Si}^\text{ii}}$ is the collisional ionization rate (see below), and the quoted uncertainty is a nominal estimate of the uncertainty in that rate. The electron to Si ii ratio of $n_e/n_{\text{Si}^\text{ii}} = 1.5/0.6 = 2.5$ in Equation (3) is a fiducial value that assumes that each Si ion contributes on average 1.5 electrons during its steady state ionization, and that the Si is in a silicon-rich region where it comprises 60% of the ion abundance by number, the remaining 40% being mostly S, consistent with SN Ia nucleosynthesis models (Höflich et al. 1998).$^7$

As discussed by Hamilton et al. (1997), it is easy to reduce the theoretical value (Equation (3)) of shocked Si ii, by diluting the Si with other elements (i.e., by increasing $n_{\text{Si}^\text{ii}}/n_{\text{Si}^\text{ii}}$), or by truncating the Si downstream, but it is harder to increase the theoretical value, to approach the slightly greater observed value (Equation (2)). Thus, agreement between the measured and predicted column densities of shocked Si ii appears to require that the absorbing shocked Si arises from silicon-rich ejecta. This conclusion is consistent with the absence of Fe ii absorption with the same profile as the shocked Si ii. Although Fe ii absorption is observed, its profile is dramatically different from that of the shocked Si ii, indicating that little iron is mixed in with the shocked silicon (Hamilton et al. 1997).

In Hamilton et al. (1997) there seemed to be a possible discrepancy between the observed and predicted values of the column density of shocked Si ii. That discrepancy has now disappeared, in part thanks to a reduction in the collisional ionization cross-section. The collisional ionization rate used in Equation (3) is $(\sigma v)_{\text{Si}^\text{ii}} = 4.4 \times 10^{-8}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$, obtained by integrating cross-sections from Clark & Abdallah (2003) over a Maxwellian distribution of colliding electrons at temperature 95 eV, the temperature reached by electrons as a result of Coulomb collisions with Si ii ions over the collisional ionization timescale of Si ii. The collisional ionization rate from Clark & Abdallah (2003) is smaller than the rate $6.1 \times 10^{-8}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ from Lennon et al. (1988) used by Hamilton et al. (1997). The newer rate, based on theoretical computations, should be more reliable than the older rate, based on isoelectronic scaling.

A concern is that the collisional ionization time $t_{\text{Si}^\text{ii}}$ of Si ii is comparable to the age $t$ of the remnant (specifically, $t_{\text{Si}^\text{ii}}/t \approx 0.8$, given the pre-shock Si ii density from the observed optical depth of freely expanding unshocked Si ii at the shock front, an estimated electron-to-Si ii density $n_{\text{Si}^\text{ii}}/n_{\text{Si}^\text{ii}} \approx 2.5$, and the theoretical collisional ionization rate (cf. Hamilton et al. 1997)). The collisional ionization time is long enough that the shocked Si ii absorption should be a superposition of components shocked at various times with various velocities. It is somewhat surprising that the profile should fit well to a single component satisfying the shock jump conditions. A possible explanation of the coincidence is that one-dimensional hydrodynamic models predict rather flat velocity and temperature profiles of shocked ejecta (e.g., Dwarkadas & Chevalier 1998; Kosenko et al. 2011). Another possible explanation, suggested by the faster-than-expected change in the optical depth of shocked Si ii, Section 5, is that the absorption is being produced by a lump of silicon-rich ejecta whose average density was higher than that observed today, and which has been shocked over a timescale shorter than the age of the remnant.

$^7$ Many lines of evidence suggest that SN 1006 was a Type Ia event (e.g., Winkler et al. 2003).
Figure 4. Comparison of COS (blue) and STIS (red) spectra of the SM star behind SN 1006. To permit direct comparison, each spectrum has been convolved with the line-spread function of the other. Also shown is the best-fit adjusted COS spectrum (black), in which the COS flux has been attenuated by a factor of 0.4 over a slice of velocity 44 km s\(^{-1}\) wide starting at the 7026 km s\(^{-1}\) velocity of the shock front observed with STIS. The dotted line shows the factor by which the COS spectrum has been multiplied, an inverted top hat convolved with the overall LSF. The residual difference between the COS and STIS spectra is shown.

4. EVOLUTION OF THE SHOCK FRONT FROM STIS TO COS

As the reverse shock propagates into the Si II ejecta, the free-expansion velocity \(v_e\) of unshocked gas entering the shock at radius \(r_s\) should decrease with time \(t\) as

\[
\frac{d(r_s/t)}{dt} = -\frac{v_e}{t}, \tag{4}
\]

where \(v_e\) is the velocity of the reverse shock relative to the ejecta. The shock velocity \(v_s\) is related to the change \(\Delta v\) in the velocity between unshocked and shocked Si II by \(v_s = (4/3)\Delta v\) (regardless of electron heating). The deceleration \(\Delta v\) measured from the COS spectrum shown in Figure 3 yields a shock velocity of \(v_s = 2630 \pm 120\) km s\(^{-1}\), in good agreement with the STIS measurement of \(v_s = 2680 \pm 120\) km s\(^{-1}\). As described by HFB07, Equation (4) coupled with the measured shock velocity predicts that the free-expansion velocity at the shock should be decreasing at the rate of \(-2.7 \pm 0.1\) km s\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\). The predicted change \(\Delta(r_s/t)\) in the free-expansion velocity of the shock over the 10.5 year interval between the STIS and COS observations is then

\[
\Delta(r_s/t) = -28 \pm 1\text{ km s}^{-1}\quad \text{(predicted).} \tag{5}
\]

Figure 4 compares the COS and STIS spectra in the vicinity of the putative reverse shock front near 7000 km s\(^{-1}\). To permit direct comparison of the spectra, the COS spectrum has been convolved with the STIS LSF, while the STIS spectrum has been convolved with the COS LSF. The net resolution is essentially the same. The predicted velocity of unshocked gas entering the shock at radius \(r_s\) is then

\[
\Delta v = \frac{\Delta(r_s/t)}{r_s/t} = -2.7 \pm 0.1\text{ km s}^{-1}\yr^{-1}.
\]

The spectra in Figure 4 show that the shock front near 7000 km s\(^{-1}\) has indeed moved during the interval between the STIS and COS observations. One way to measure the velocity change would be to shift the two spectra horizontally, but the plethora of stellar lines precludes a precise measurement by this naive approach.

We follow instead a more rigorous approach that models the physics of the situation and that is unbiased by the presence of stellar lines. Figure 3 shows that, at the shock front, unshocked gas is attenuating the stellar flux by a factor of 0.4. If this is correct, and the shock front has shifted by \(\Delta(r_s/t)\) from STIS to COS, then the STIS spectrum should equal the COS spectrum multiplied by an inverted top hat 1 deep
and $\Delta(r_s/t)$ wide. We can think of the top hat as representing material that was absorbing in 1999 that has been “eaten away” by the reverse shock since. Observationally, this absorbing top hat will be convolved with the overall LSF of the spectrum.

Figure 4 shows the best-fit COS spectrum adjusted by this procedure, with the convolved, absorbing top hat shown as a dotted line. The fit was obtained by minimizing the $\chi^2$ between the STIS and adjusted COS spectra, the noise being taken to be photon shot noise. The correlations between spectral bins introduced by convolving the spectra were taken into account.

The best-fitting velocity shift between STIS (1999) and COS (2010) spectra is

$$\Delta(r_s/t) = -44 \pm 11 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ or } -4.2 \pm 1.0 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ (measured).} \quad (6)$$

The measured $1\sigma$ uncertainty of $11 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ is about 60% of a COS resolution element. There can be little doubt that the velocity shift is real, as the measured value differs from zero by 4$\sigma$. The measured velocity shift (Equation (6)) is reasonably consistent with the predicted shift (Equation (5)), differing by $16 \pm 11 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, or 1.5$s$. The value of 6982 km s$^{-1}$ for $r_s/t$ given in Table 2 is just the STIS value (which is more precisely measured) reduced by 44 km s$^{-1}$.

It should be remarked that we have assumed in this paper, as previously in Hamilton et al. (1997, 2007), that the shock front is perpendicular to the line of sight, a plausible assumption given that the SNM star is close to the projected center of the SN 1006 remnant. If the shock front normal were inclined by an angle $\theta$ to the line of sight, but the ejecta are moving directly away from us, then the main effect would be to increase the observed rate of change of the free-expansion velocity by a factor $1/cos \theta$. If a future observation should measure a change faster than that predicted by Equation (5) by a statistically significant margin, then one natural explanation would be that the shock front is not perpendicular to the line of sight.

5. APPARENT DECREASE IN SHOCKED Si$\text{II}$ ABSORPTION

An unanticipated change between the two spectra is that the optical depth in shocked Si$\text{II}$ appears to have decreased over the 10.5 year interval between STIS and COS observations. The change is illustrated in Figure 5, which compares the COS (2010) and STIS (1999) spectra over the full range of the broad, redshifted Si$\text{II}$ absorption feature. The ratio of COS to STIS fluxes indicates a small but significant flux excess, with a profile similar to that of the shocked Si$\text{II}$ illustrated in Figure 3. As discussed in Section 3, the COS flux has first been multiplied by a linear function varying from 1.03 at 1190 Å to 1.08 at 1290 Å in order to bring its overall flux to the same level as STIS.

To measure the apparent change in absorption, we first adjusted the COS spectrum for the 44 km s$^{-1}$ shift in the shock front as described in Section 4, so that the two should be comparable. We then assumed that the COS:STIS ratio has the same profile as shocked Si$\text{II}$ but with unknown amplitude, and did a one-parameter least-squares fit to determine that amplitude. This procedure is more precise than simply taking the ratio of shocked column densities in Table 2, whose values are model-dependent. We find that the column density of shocked Si$\text{II}$ measured from the COS spectrum is 0.93 ± 0.02 times that in the STIS spectrum from 10 years earlier. The statistically significant 7% ± 2% change is larger than the ~1% change one might simplistically have expected over 10 years of observation of a 1000 year old supernova remnant. The 2% uncertainty includes only statistical uncertainty, and not any systematic uncertainty associated with our modeling of the continuum. The 7% change is comparable to the ~8% systematic adjustment we have made to the COS flux, and some systematic uncertainty attends our modeling of the relative sensitivities between COS and STIS.

If the unexpectedly rapid decrease in optical depth of shocked Si$\text{II}$ is real, then a possible explanation is that the shock has been plowing through a clump of Si. Numerical simulations of shocks overrunning interstellar clouds (Klein et al. 1994) indicate that clouds of sufficiently high density contrast can undergo significant pressure and density transients as the shock propagates through the cloud. The line profile of unshocked Si$\text{II}$ illustrated in Figure 3 does indicate a density decreasing inward by a factor of two over an interval of about 700 km s$^{-1}$. This change is equivalent to about 2% in 30 km s$^{-1}$, which is several times smaller than required by the observed change in optical depth. The profile of unshocked Si$\text{II}$ shown in Figure 3 does show possible smaller scale structure consistent with more rapid variation, but the reality of such structure is confused by the many stellar lines.

6. SUMMARY

Using UV absorption-line spectra against the SM star taken with STIS in 1999 and with COS in 2010, we have probed changes in the absorbing supernova ejecta seen along a “core sample” through the SN 1006 remnant. At both epochs we see strong absorption due to Si$\text{II}$, all of it redshifted and with a sharp red edge. Following Hamilton et al. (1997, 2007), we have interpreted the profile of the strong 1260 Å absorption feature as resulting from both unshocked and shocked (by the reverse shock) Si$\text{II}$ ejecta, with the sharp red edge to this feature being due to freely expanding ejecta just before being hit by the reverse shock. After carefully taking into account small systematic differences in both wavelength and flux between measurements with the two instruments, we find that the red absorption edge has shifted by $-44 \pm 11 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ over the 10.5 year interval between observations, or $-4.2 \pm 1.0 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. This measurement confirms the long-held expectation that in a young SNR, the reverse shock will encounter ever-slower material as it works its way inward through the supernova ejecta. We also find the unanticipated result that the optical depth of shocked Si$\text{II}$ has decreased by 7% ± 2%, faster than might be expected to result from homologous expansion over 10 years in the SNR’s 1000 year history. Clumped Si ejecta provides a possible explanation both for the decrease in optical depth and for the absence of corresponding blueshifted Si$\text{II}$ absorption.
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