Screening For Pulmonary Hypertension With Multidetector Computed Tomography Among Patients With Severe Aortic Stenosis Undergoing Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation
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Aim: To assess the accuracy of multi-detector computed tomography (MDCT) derived pulmonary vessel measurements in predicting pulmonary hypertension (PH) among patients with severe symptomatic aortic stenosis (AS) undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI).

Background: PH is common among patients with severe AS undergoing TAVI and is associated with adverse outcomes. MDCT is the imaging modality of choice to assess anatomical dimensions among patients selected for TAVI.

Methods: One hundred and thirty-nine patients with severe AS undergoing TAVI with both CT scans and right heart catheterizations (RHC) were included. CT diameters of the main pulmonary artery (MPA), right (RPA) and left (LPA), and ascending aorta (AA) were measured. The relationship between CT measurements and PA pressures assessing using RHC was tested with linear regression.

Results: The CT derived ratio of the diameter of the MPA to the diameter of the AA (PA/AA\(^{\text{ratio}}\)) correlated best with mean PA pressure (\(R^2 = 0.48\)) and PA systolic pressure (\(R^2 = 0.50\)). Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis showed that the PA/AA\(^{\text{ratio}}\) is a moderate predictor of PH (AUC 0.74, 95% CI 0.65–0.83, \(p < 0.0001\)) and that the optimal cut off point is 0.80 (sensitivity 56%, specificity 88%, positive predictive value 95.5%, negative predictive value 30.6% for PH).

Conclusions: Elderly patients with severe AS and PA/AA\(^{\text{ratio}}\) values \(\geq 0.80\) on MDCT are more likely to have PH but PH cannot be reliably excluded among such patients with lower PA/AA\(^{\text{ratio}}\) values.
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INTRODUCTION

Transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) is a less invasive alternative treatment option to surgical aortic valve replacement (SAVR) among patients with symptomatic severe aortic stenosis (AS) (1). Patients selected for TAVI tend to be inoperable or high risk for conventional SAVR and typically have a high prevalence of co-morbidities including coronary artery disease, atrial fibrillation, concomitant valvular heart disease, and chronic renal failure (2). In addition, pulmonary hypertension (PH) is common among patients with severe AS undergoing TAVI and is associated with worse clinical outcomes as compared with patients without PH (3). The identification of PH prior to TAVI is therefore important for appropriate risk stratification and may help in determining which patients should be selected for TAVI vs. SAVR. Right heart catheterization is the gold standard method for diagnosing PH, which is defined as a mean pulmonary artery pressure ≥ 25 mmHg and was subdivide into pre-capillary PH (left-ventricular end-diastolic pressure ≤ 15 mmHg) and post-capillary PH (LVEDP >15 mmHg). Furthermore, post-capillary PH was further subdivided into isolated post-capillary PH (diastolic pulmonary gradient ≤ 7 mmHg) and combined post- and pre-capillary PH (diastolic pulmonary gradient > 7 mmHg).

Right Heart Pressures

(PH) was defined as a mean pulmonary artery pressure ≥ 25 mmHg and was subdivide into pre-capillary PH (left-ventricular end-diastolic pressure ≤ 15 mmHg) and post-capillary PH (LVEDP >15 mmHg). Furthermore, post-capillary PH was further subdivided into isolated post-capillary PH (diastolic pulmonary gradient ≤ 7 mmHg) and combined post- and pre-capillary PH (diastolic pulmonary gradient > 7 mmHg).

Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation

TAVI was performed as previously described (7). Vascular access was transfemoral using the Edwards Sapien Valve XT/S3 (ESV, Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA, USA), the Medtronic CoreValve Revalving System, the Medtronic Evolut R (MCRS; Medtronic Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA), and the Lotus Valve (Boston Scientific) and transapical for the ESV.

MDCT Protocol and Measurements for PH Assessment

All included patients underwent CT for preinterventional assessment of aortic annulus size and evaluation of vascular access using a second-generation, multidetector 128-slice dual source CT (Somatom Definition Flash, Siemens Healthcare, Forchheim, Germany). Images were reviewed on a stationary workstation by an investigator who had no knowledge of any clinical information or the RHC results. Calipers were set for measuring the widest short-axis diameter of the main pulmonary artery within 3 cm of the bifurcation, the right pulmonary artery and left pulmonary artery and the ascending aorta, respectively on axial sections. The diameter of the AA was measured at the level of the MPA.

Statistics

Continuous data are presented as means ± standard deviations (SD), and categorical variables are depicted as percentages and numbers. Categorical variables were compared by means of the χ² test (or Fisher's test for two group comparisons), and continuous variables were compared using the unpaired t-test for two groups or ANOVA for 3 or more groups. ROC analysis were performed to assess the AUC and to compare sensitivity and specificity for different cut-off values using the Youden Index. Sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value (NPV), and positive predictive value (PPV) were calculated and shown in percentages. Time-to-event data are presented using Kaplan-Meier curves, with incidence rates calculated from life-tables at 2-year follow-up. Log-rank test was used to declare significance. A p-value < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed with SPSS 22, Release 22.0.0.1 or STATA (version 12, StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).
TABLE 1 | Baseline characteristics.

| DEMOGRAPHICS          | All patients N = 139 | No PH N = 25 | PH N = 114 | P-value |
|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------|---------|
| Age (years)           | 83.58 ± 4.98         | 81.70 ± 3.97 | 84.0 ± 5.10 | 0.04    |
| Female gender, n (%)  | 59 (42.4)            | 13 (52.0)    | 46 (40.4)  | 0.20    |
| PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS   |                      |              |            |         |
| Height (cm)           | 165.58 ± 7.81        | 167.06 ± 8.16| 165.06 ± 7.67 | 0.09    |
| Weight (kg)           | 71.24 ± 15.76        | 67.40 ± 15.01| 72.09 ± 15.85 | 0.18    |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)| 25.93 ± 5.33         | 23.81 ± 4.72 | 26.39 ± 5.37 | 0.03    |
| BSA (m²)              | 1.81 ± 0.23          | 1.77 ± 0.23  | 1.82 ± 0.23 | 0.30    |
| CARDIAC RISK FACTORS  |                      |              |            |         |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%)| 27 (19.4)           | 4 (16.0)     | 23 (20.2)  | 0.44    |
| Hypercholesterolemia, n (%)| 30 (21.6)          | 8 (32.0)     | 22 (19.3)  | 0.13    |
| Hypertension, n (%)    | 92 (66.2)            | 15 (60.0)    | 77 (67.5)  | 0.31    |
| PAST MEDICAL HISTORY  |                      |              |            |         |
| Coronary artery disease, n (%)| 64 (46.0)         | 12 (48)      | 52 (45.6)  | 0.50    |
| Previous myocardial infarction, n (%)| 11 (7.9)          | 3 (12.0)     | 8 (7.0)    | 0.31    |
| Previous coronary artery bypass graft, n (%)| 12 (8.6)         | 1 (4.0)      | 11 (9.6)   | 0.32    |
| Previous percutaneous coronary intervention, n (%)| 23 (16.5)        | 4 (16.0)     | 19 (16.7)  | 0.60    |
| Previous cerebrovascular event, n (%)| 19 (13.7)         | 6 (24)       | 13 (11.4)  | 0.10    |
| Peripheral vascular disease, n (%)| 32 (23.0)         | 5 (20.0)     | 27 (23.7)  | 0.46    |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, n (%)| 15 (10.8)         | 3 (12.0)     | 12 (10.5)  | 0.53    |
| Previous pacemaker, n (%)| 16 (11.5)          | 2 (8.0)      | 14 (12.3)  | 0.42    |
| Renal failure (GFR < 60 ml/min/1.73 m²) | 99 (71.2)         | 17 (68.0)    | 82 (71.9)  | 0.43    |
| HEART RHYTHM           |                      |              |            |         |
| Atrial fibrillation, n (%)| 34 (24.5)          | 4 (16.0)     | 30 (26.3)  | 0.26    |
| SYMPTOMS               |                      |              |            |         |
| Syncope, n (%)         | 15 (10.9)           | 4 (16.0)     | 11 (9.7)   | 0.28    |
| New york heart association (NYHA) functional class | 114 (82.0)        | 18 (72.0)    | 96 (84.2)  | 0.13    |
| Canadian cardiovascular society (CCS) angina status | 9 (6.5)           | 2 (8.0)      | 7 (6.1)    | 0.51    |
| RISK ASSESSMENT        |                      |              |            |         |
| Logistic EuroScore (%) | 20.71 ± 13.25       | 14.20 ± 10.73| 22.16 ± 13.38 | 0.01    |
| STS Score (%)          | 4.95 ± 2.84         | 3.94 ± 2.13  | 5.17 ± 2.93 | 0.05    |
| LABORATORY VALUES      |                      |              |            |         |
| HS Troponin T (ng/ml)  | 30.72 ± 25.00       | 22.17 ± 21.52| 32.59 ± 25.41 | 0.06    |
| NT-Pro Brain Natriuric Peptide (pg/mL) | 3,358 ± 3,831     | 1,554 ± 1,774| 3,749 ± 4,058 | <0.0001 |

RESULTS

Patient Characteristics

Baseline characteristics are given in Table 1. A total of 139 patients with symptomatic severe AS undergoing TAVI had complete MDCT and RHC data and were included in the present analysis. Eighty-Two percentage (n = 114) patients had PH defined as a mean PA pressure ≥ 25 mmHg. PH patients comprised 12 patients with precapillary PH, 86 patients with isolated post-capillary PH and 16 patients with combined precapillary and post-capillary PH (Figure 1). Patients with PH were significantly older, had a higher body mass index and had significantly higher surgical risk scores at baseline. In addition, NT-pro-BNP values at baseline were significantly higher among PH patients as compared with no PH patients (Table 1) (p < 0.0001).

Echocardiographic and Invasive Haemodynamic Characteristics

Baseline echocardiographic and invasive haemodynamic characteristics are shown in Tables 2, 3, respectively. As compared with no PH, patients with PH had significantly larger left ventricular end-diastolic diameters (p = 0.026), higher non-invasive right ventricular/right atrial gradients (p = 0.026), higher left ventricular end-diastolic pressures (p = 0.005), higher pulmonary and right ventricular pressures (p < 0.0001) and lower pulmonary artery saturation measurements (p = 0.026).
Procedural Characteristics

Procedural characteristics are shown in Table 4. The majority of patients underwent TAVI via the transfemoral route (78%) under general anesthesia (91%) with the Edwards SAPIEN 3 valve (35%). Balloon predilatation was performed in most cases (86%) and only a minority of patients underwent concomitant revascularization.

MDCT Measurements Referring to PH

MDCT measurements of the pulmonary and aortic vasculature are shown in Tables 5, 6. As compared with no PH, patients with PH had significantly larger diameters of MPA \((p = 0.001)\), RPA \((p = 0.004)\), LPA \((p = 0.029)\), and PA/AA\textsubscript{ratio} \((p < 0.0001)\). No significant differences in ascending aorta or aortic annular measurements were observed between groups. As compared with no PH, patients with combined post-capillary PH had significantly larger MPA \((p = 0.006)\), RPA \((p = 0.011)\) diameters and PA/AA\textsubscript{ratio} \((p < 0.0001)\) (Supplementary Table 1). In addition, patients with precapillary PH had significantly larger LPA and RPA diameters as compared with patients without PH (supplementary Table 1).

The PA/AA\textsubscript{ratio} exhibited the best correlation with PA pressures (Figure 2) \((r^2 0.48\) for mean PA, \(p < 0.0001; r^2 0.50\) for PA systolic pressure, \(p < 0.0001; r^2 0.41\) for diastolic PA pressure,

### Table 2 | Baseline echocardiography characteristics.

|                        | All patients \(N = 139\) | No PH \(N = 25\) | PH \(N = 114\) | \(P\)-value |
|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------|
| **AORTIC STENOSIS SEVERITY** |                          |                  |                |             |
| Aortic valve area (cm\(^2\)) | 0.71 ± 0.20              | 0.75 ± 0.22      | 0.70 ± 0.19    | 0.43        |
| Aortic maximal velocity, cm/s   | 4.3 ± 0.69               | 4.0 ± 0.4        | 4.3 ± 0.7      | 0.16        |
| Mean gradient (mmHg)            | 46.6 ± 14.0              | 47.3 ± 12.5      | 46.5 ± 14.4    | 0.83        |
| Peak gradient (mmHg)            | 72.0 ± 21.1              | 70.1 ± 17.4      | 72.2 ± 21.9    | 0.75        |
| **LV GEOMETRY AND 2D MEASUREMENTS** |                          |                  |                |             |
| LV end-systolic diameter, mm    | 32.5 ± 11.1              | 26.3 ± 12.3      | 34.0 ± 10.5    | 0.06        |
| LV end-diastolic diameter, mm   | 45.3 ± 10.4              | 40.0 ± 12.4      | 46.6 ± 9.4     | 0.026       |
| LV mass index, g/m\(^2\)        | 131.69 ± 33.0            | 121.7 ± 52.1     | 133.7 ± 27.7   | 0.49        |
| **LV SYSTOLIC FUNCTION**        |                          |                  |                |             |
| LV ejection fraction, %         | 56.1 ± 12.9              | 58.8 ± 10.0      | 55.4 ± 13.5    | 0.35        |
| **ASSOCIATED VALVULAR ABNORMALITY** |                          |                  |                |             |
| Aortic regurgitation            |                          |                  |                | 0.74        |
| None                               | 58 (41.7)                | 11 (44.4)        | 47 (41.2)      |             |
| Mild                               | 58 (41.7)                | 8 (32.0)         | 50 (43.9)      |             |
| Moderate                           | 5 (3.8)                  | 1 (4.0)          | 4 (3.5)        |             |
| Severe                             | 3 (2.2)                  | 1 (4.0)          | 2 (1.8)        |             |
| Mitral regurgitation              |                          |                  |                | 0.30        |
| None                               | 33 (23.7)                | 7 (28.0)         | 26 (22.8)      |             |
| Mild                               | 62 (44.6)                | 14 (56.0)        | 48 (42.1)      |             |
| Moderate                           | 32 (23.0)                | 2 (8.0)          | 30 (26.3)      |             |
| Severe                             | 3 (2.2)                  | 0 (0)            | 3 (2.6)        |             |
| Tricuspid regurgitation           |                          |                  |                | 0.86        |
| None                               | 48 (34.5)                | 9 (36.0)         | 39 (34.2)      |             |
| Mild                               | 63 (45.3)                | 10 (40.0)        | 53 (46.5)      |             |
| Moderate                           | 15 (10.8)                | 3 (12.0)         | 12 (10.5)      |             |
| Severe                             | 2 (1.4)                  | 0 (0)            | 2 (1.8)        |             |
| **RIGHT SIDED HEMODYNAMICS**      |                          |                  |                | 0.026       |
| RV-RA gradient, mmHg              | 38.2 ± 13.5              | 28.0 ± 8.4       | 40.4 ± 13.7    |             |
TABLE 3 | Invasive haemodynamic characteristics.

| COMPONENTS OF FICK EQUATION | All patients $N = 139$ | No PH $N = 25$ | PH $N = 114$ | $P$-value |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|
| Aortic valve area (cm$^2$)   | 0.64 ± 0.30            | 0.72 ± 0.36    | 0.63 ± 0.29  | 0.19      |
| Peak-to-peak gradient (mmHg)| 54.95 ± 26.03          | 50.48 ± 23.96  | 55.88 ± 36.45| 0.37      |
| Mean gradient (mmHg)        | 44.74 ± 18.24          | 41.42 ± 17.25  | 45.44 ± 18.44| 0.34      |
| **SYSTEMIC VASCULAR LOAD**  |                        |                |              |           |
| Systolic arterial pressure (mmHg) | 138.34 ± 28.65               | 132.32 ± 26.04                | 139.66 ± 29.14       | 0.25      |
| Diastolic arterial pressure (mmHg) | 66.10 ± 14.39                  | 66.04 ± 11.71                | 66.11 ± 14.96        | 0.98      |
| Mean arterial pressure       | 95.09 ± 17.31           | 92.88 ± 14.11  | 95.58 ± 17.95| 0.48      |
| Systemic vascular resistance (mmHg.min.L$^{-1}$) | 1.839 ± 761                  | 1.729 ± 578                 | 1.861 ± 792         | 0.47      |
| **LV SYSTOLIC FUNCTION**    |                        |                |              |           |
| Ejection fraction (%)        | 53.80 ± 14.30           | 56.87 ± 13.21  | 53.15 ± 14.50| 0.26      |
| LV systolic pressure (mmHg)  | 197.10 ± 38.37          | 186.87 ± 37.30 | 199.22 ± 38.41| 0.16      |
| LV end diastolic pressure (mmHg) | 26.13 ± 9.57                  | 21.13 ± 7.23                 | 27.17 ± 9.69        | 0.005     |
| Stroke volume (ml)           | 57.36 ± 23.61           | 63.43 ± 21.25  | 56.17 ± 23.96| 0.20      |
| Stroke volume index (m$^3$/m$^2$) | 32.01 ± 12.16             | 35.51 ± 10.82             | 31.32 ± 12.33       | 0.15      |
| Cardiac output (L/min)       | 4.12 ± 1.35             | 4.47 ± 1.25     | 4.05 ± 1.36  | 0.19      |
| Cardiac index (l/min.m$^2$)  | 2.30 ± 0.68             | 2.50 ± 0.59     | 2.26 ± 0.69  | 0.14      |
| Heart rate (beats/min)       | 75.82 ± 16.97           | 71.08 ± 14.71  | 76.86 ± 17.31| 0.12      |
| **RIGHT SIDED HEMODYNAMICS**|                        |                |              |           |
| PA systolic pressure (mmHg)  | 53.38 ± 16.72           | 33.72 ± 5.09    | 57.69 ± 15.22| <0.0001   |
| PA diastolic pressure (mmHg) | 22.37 ± 8.53            | 12.72 ± 3.42    | 24.49 ± 7.83 | <0.0001   |
| Mean PA pressure (mmHg)      | 35.76 ± 11.57           | 21.28 ± 2.59    | 38.94 ± 10.27| <0.0001   |
| RV systolic pressure, mmHg   | 53.82 ± 15.19           | 36.64 ± 6.67    | 57.62 ± 13.86| <0.0001   |
| RA mean pressure (mmHg)      | 9.38 ± 4.89             | 5.68 ± 2.72     | 10.17 ± 4.90 | <0.0001   |
| Diastolic pressure gradient ≥ 7 mmHg, n (%) | 26 (18.8)                  | 1 (0.7)            | 25 (21.9)      | 0.031     |

$p < 0.0001$). Using Receiver Operating Characteristic Curves, the PA/AA$\text{ratio}$ correlated best with PH (AUC 0.74), whereas MPA (AUC 0.65), RPA (AUC 0.67), and LPA (AUC 0.64) exhibited lower sensitivity and specificity (Figure 3). The optimal cut-off point of the PA/AA$\text{ratio}$ in predicting the presence of PH defined as an invasive mean PA pressure is 0.80 with a sensitivity of 56%, specificity of 88%, negative predictive value of 30.6%, and positive predictive value of 95.5% (Figure 4).

**Clinical Outcomes at 30-Days and 2-Years**

Clinical outcomes at 30-days and 2-years are shown in Table 7. As compared with no PH, no significant differences in all-cause mortality (Hazard Ratio 0.80, 95% confidence interval 0.18–3.60, $p = 0.77$) or cardiovascular mortality (HR 4.29, 95% CI 0.60–30.45, $p = 0.11$) were observed at 2 years among patients with PH (Figure 5). In addition, no significant differences in other VARY-2 endpoints (cerebrovascular accidents, major bleeding, vascular complications, acute renal failure, and permanent pacemaker implantation) were observed between groups at 30-days or 2 years (Table 7).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study we sought to assess the reliability of screening for PH using MDCT derived measurements of the pulmonary arteries as compared with gold standard pulmonary artery pressure measurements derived from right heart catheterization among patients with severe AS undergoing TAVI. The key finding was that PA/AA$\text{ratio}$ was the most useful parameter to use to screen for PH among patients with severe AS undergoing TAVI with moderate to high specificity but relatively low sensitivity. We found that the best PA/AA$\text{ratio}$ cutoff of screening for PH is 0.80. Therefore, patients with a larger PA/AA$\text{ratio}$ on CT are more likely to have PH (high positive predictive value) but PH cannot be reliably ruled out among patients with smaller PA/AA$\text{ratio}$ Values (low negative predictive value). PH is common among patients with severe AS selected for TAVI and is associated with worse clinical outcomes as compared with patients without PH (3, 8–10). Right heart catheterization is the gold standard method for diagnosing PH but is not routinely performed prior to TAVI. Conversely, MDCT is almost always performed...
prior to TAVI in order to assess aortic annular and vascular dimensions for procedural planning (6). Consequently, MDCT may serve as a useful screening tool for the presence of PH among patients selected to undergo TAVI and help with risk stratification.

**CT Derived Anatomical Indicators of PH**

Truong et al. determined the age and sex specific distribution and normal reference values for main pulmonary artery diameter and the PA/AA<sub>ratio</sub> by CT in an asymptomatic community-based population (n = 3,171, mean age 51 ± 10 years) (11). The investigators observed the 90th percentile cutoff value for PA/AA<sub>ratio</sub> was 0.90 for both males and females but that the PA/AA<sub>ratio</sub> was inversely proportional to age (11). The authors observed that that the PA/AA<sub>ratio</sub> was smaller in older participants owing to progressive aortic enlargement with increasing age (11). In the present study, the mean age of the study sample was 84 ± 5 years and this may account for the fact that we observed a smaller PA/AA<sub>ratio</sub> of 0.80 to be the optimal predictor of PH. Prior studies in younger patients with more heterogeneous diseases have suggested that a PA/AA<sub>ratio</sub> ≥ 1.0 is the optimal cutoff point to diagnose PH on CT. A small retrospective study (n = 50, median age 47.5 years) found that a PA/AA<sub>ratio</sub> > 1.0 was the best predictor of chronic pulmonary arterial hypertension with a sensitivity, specificity and positive and negative predictive values for PH of 70, 92, 96, and 52% (12). Similary, Sanal et al. observed in a retrospective study among patients with pulmonary embolism (mean age 59 ± 15 years) that a PA/AA<sub>ratio</sub> ≥ 1.0 had a 59% sensitivity, 82% specificity, a 55% positive predictive value, and a 84% negative predictive value for diagnosing moderate to severe PH defined as a pulmonary

---

**TABLE 4 | Procedural characteristics.**

|                          | All patients | No PH | PH | P-value |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------|----|---------|
| **N = 139**              |              |       |    |         |
| **N = 25**               |              |       |    |         |
| **N = 114**              |              |       |    |         |
| **Access route**         |              |       |    |         |
| Femoral, n (%)           | 109 (78.4)   | 22 (88.0) | 87 (76.3) | 0.42 |
| Apical, n (%)            | 29 (20.9)    | 3 (12.0)   | 26 (22.8)   |       |
| Direct aortic, n (%)     | 1 (0.7)      | 0 (0)     | 1 (0.9)     |       |
| **Valve type**           |              |       |    |         |
| Edwards Sapien 3, n (%)  | 49 (35.3)    | 8 (32.0)   | 41 (36.0)   | 0.83 |
| Edwards Sapien valve XT, n (%) | 31 (22.3) | 5 (20.0)   | 26 (22.8)   |       |
| Medtronic CoreValve, n (%) | 42 (30.2) | 9 (36.0)   | 33 (28.9)   |       |
| Medtronic Evolut R, n (%) | 10 (7.2)   | 1 (4.0)    | 9 (7.9)     |       |
| Boston Scientific Lotus, n (%) | 7 (5.0)   | 2 (8.0)    | 5 (4.4)     |       |
| **Anesthesia**           |              |       |    |         |
| General, n (%)           | 127 (91.4)   | 21 (84.0)  | 106 (93.0)  | 0.15 |
| Local, n (%)             | 12 (8.6)     | 4 (16.0)   | 8 (7.0)     |       |
| **Balloond predilation** |              |       |    |         |
| Baloon predilation       | 119 (85.6)   | 21 (84.0)  | 98 (66.0)   | 0.76 |
| **Revascularisation**    |              |       |    |         |
| Revascularisation, n (%) | 5 (3.6)      | 0 (0)     | 5 (4.4)     | 0.37 |
| **Procedural Specifics** |              |       |    |         |
| Post-procedure           | 17 (12.2)    | 2 (8.0)    | 15 (13.2)   | 0.74 |

---

**TABLE 5 | Computer Tomography characteristics.**

|                          | All patients | No PH | PH | P-Value |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------|----|---------|
| **N = 139**              |              |       |    |         |
| **N = 25**               |              |       |    |         |
| **N = 114**              |              |       |    |         |
| **PULMONARY VASCULAR DIAMETERS** |           |       |    |         |
| Main pulmonary artery, mm | 28.01 ± 4.33 | 26.03 ± 2.92 | 28.44 ± 4.48 | 0.001 |
| Right pulmonary artery, mm | 27.25 ± 4.08 | 25.13 ± 4.47 | 27.71 ± 3.86 | 0.004 |
| Left pulmonary artery, mm | 25.70 ± 3.07 | 24.49 ± 3.24 | 25.96 ± 2.98 | 0.029 |
| Main pulmonary artery/ascending aorta ratio | 0.80 ± 0.12 | 0.73 ± 0.08 | 0.82 ± 0.12 | <0.0001 |
| **AORTIC ANNULUS MEASUREMENTS** |           |       |    |         |
| Ascending aorta, mm      | 35.11 ± 4.21 | 36.06 ± 4.17 | 34.91 ± 4.21 | 0.21 |
| Annulus perimeter, mm    | 89.22 ± 14.81 | 91.03 ± 14.87 | 88.82 ± 14.90 | 0.63 |
| Annulus area, mm<sup>3</sup> | 483 ± 115 | 529 ± 138 | 472 ± 107 | 0.11 |
artery systolic pressure $\geq 50$ mmHg on Doppler Echo (13). Mohamed Hoesein et al. (14) assessed the accuracy of CT PA diameter and PA/AA-ratio for PH in end-stage COPD among 92 patients (mean age 55 years) and found that a PA/AA-ratio $>1$ had a negative predictive value of 77.9% and a positive predictive value of 63.1%. However, the results of the present study would suggest that in an elderly patient population, such as those selected to undergo TAVI, a PA/AA-ratio $\geq 1.0$ would not be sensitive enough as we observed a sensitivity of just 4.4% when PA/AA-ratio $\geq 1.0$ was used to predict the presence of PH. Therefore, the key observation of this study is that a lower PA/AA-ratio value is required to screen for PH among TAVI patients. To date only one other study assessed the value of CT pulmonary vascular measurements as a predictor of PH and mortality in symptomatic severe AS (15). In contrast to the present study, the authors did not observe that PA/AA-ratio was any better at predicting PH as compared with MPA. The reasons for this are unclear but may relate to the fact that the pulmonary artery measurements may have been made during end-systole rather than end-diastole. The investigators also did not find any significant differences between MPA diameters of patients with combined post-and pre-capillary PH and no PH, whereas we observed significant differences between these groups (15).

### Table 6 | Diagnostic accuracy of computed tomography for detecting pulmonary hypertension.

| MPA $\geq$ | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | NPV (%) | PPV (%) |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|---------|---------|
| 29 mm     | 39.5           | 84.0           | 23.3    | 91.8    |
| 30 mm     | 28.9           | 84.0           | 20.6    | 89.2    |
| 31 mm     | 24.6           | 100.0          | 22.5    | 100.0   |
| 32 mm     | 19.3           | 100.0          | 21.4    | 100.0   |
| 29 mm (only men) | 47.6 | 76.9 | 29.4 | 88.0 |
| 31 mm (only men) | 30.4 | 100.0 | 28.9 | 100.0 |
| 27 mm (only females) | 52.9 | 83.3 | 23.8 | 94.7 |
| 29 mm (only females) | 33.8 | 91.7 | 19.6 | 95.8 |
| 31 mm (only females) | 20.6 | 100.0 | 18.2 | 100.0 |
| PA/AA ratio $\geq 0.75$ | 69.3 | 56.0 | 28.6 | 87.8 |
| PA/AA ratio $\geq 0.80$ | 56.1 | 88.0 | 30.6 | 95.5 |
| PA/AA ratio $\geq 0.85$ | 36.8 | 96.0 | 25.0 | 97.7 |
| PA/AA ratio $\geq 0.90$ | 28.1 | 100.0 | 23.4 | 100.0 |
| PA/AA ratio $\geq 0.95$ | 14.9 | 100.0 | 20.5 | 100.0 |
| PA/AA ratio $\geq 1.0$ | 4.4 | 100.0 | 18.7 | 100.0 |

AA, ascending aorta; MPA, main pulmonary artery.
CONCLUSIONS

In the present study we found that PA/AA ratio demonstrates the strongest correlation with mean PA and PA systolic pressures and that the optimal cutoff is 0.80 in predicting the presence of PH with high specificity but moderate to low sensitivity.
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