Preparation of an ultra-cold sample of ammonia molecules for precision measurements
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We present experiments in which an ultra-cold sample of ammonia molecules is released from an electrostatic trap and recaptured after a variable time. It is shown that, by performing adiabatic cooling before releasing the molecules and adiabatic re-compression after they are recaptured, we are able to observe molecules even after more than 10 ms of free expansion. A coherent measurement performed during this time will have a statistical uncertainty that decreases approximately as the inverse of the square root of the expansion time. This offers interesting prospects for high-resolution spectroscopy and precision tests of fundamental physics theories.

I. INTRODUCTION

After eliminating more mundane broadening effects, the resolution of a spectroscopic measurement is ultimately limited by the time an atom or molecule interacts with the radiation field. In typical molecular beam experiments, this interaction time is limited to around 1 ms, resulting in a measured linewidth on the order of a kilohertz. The development of cooling techniques for atoms has led to a dramatic increase in the interaction times and hence the attained accuracy. Currently, atom and ion clocks reach accuracies on the order of $10^{-18}$, allowing for tests of fundamental physics theories such as relativity [1], quantum electrodynamics [2] and the time-invariance of the fine-structure constant [3] at an unprecedented level. It is anticipated that cooling techniques for molecules will lead to a similar increase in accuracy, allowing for more precise tests of time-reversal symmetry [4, 5] and the time-invariance of the proton-to-electron mass ratio [6], observation of parity violation [7] and weak interactions in chiral molecules [8]. Unfortunately, the cooling techniques for molecules demonstrated so far, such as Stark and Zee- man deceleration, buffer gas cooling, photo-association and laser cooling, have a poor efficiency (for recent review papers, see Refs. [9–11]). Therefore, although in proof-of-principle experiments prolonged interaction times have been achieved [12, 13], this was accomplished at the expense of a large decrease in signal. Hence, the Allan deviation – a measure of the statistical uncertainty of the experiment – was increased rather than decreased by using slow molecules. But the statistical uncertainty is not all that matters, usually the accuracy of experiments is limited by systematic effects rather than by the statistical uncertainty. As most systematic effects are proportional to the measured line-width [12, 13], an experiment with a large Allan deviation but a small line-width is in some cases preferable over an experiment with a smaller Allan deviation but a large line-width. If the Allan deviation is too large, however, the required measurement times become unrealistically long.

Recently, our group has decelerated and trapped ammonia molecules using a series of rings to which oscillating voltages are applied [15, 16]. The advantage of such a traveling-wave decelerator [17, 19] is that molecules are confined in a genuine 3D trap throughout the deceleration process, which avoids the losses at low velocity that plague conventional Stark decelerators. The necessary voltages for the decelerator are generated by amplifying the output of an arbitrary wave generator using fast high voltage (HV) amplifiers, giving us great control over the trapping potential. In this paper, we present measurements in which we adiabatically cool ND$_3$ molecules to sub-mK temperatures, release them by switching off the trap voltages completely, recapture them after a variable expansion time, re-compress and finally detect them. We discuss how this method could be used for obtaining high-resolution spectra.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Fig. 1 shows a schematic view of our vertical molecular beam machine. A beam of ammonia molecules seeded in xenon is released into vacuum using a pulsed valve. This beam is decelerated from around 330 m/s to 90 m/s using a Stark decelerator consisting of 101 pairs of electrodes to which voltages of +10 and −10 kV are applied. The voltages are abruptly ( < 100 ns) switched using four independent HV switches (Behlke HTS 151-03-GSM) that are triggered by a programmable delay generator. The traveling-wave decelerator, which is mounted 24 mm above the last electrode pair of the first decelerator, consists of a series of 336 rings, each of which is attached to one of eight stainless steel rods to which voltages of up to ±5 kV are applied. At any moment in time, the voltages applied to successive ring electrodes follow a sinusoidal pattern in z, where z is the position along the beam axis. These voltages create minima of electric field...
FIG. 1: Schematic view of the vertical molecular beam machine. A beam of molecules is decelerated and trapped using a combination of a Stark decelerator and a traveling-wave decelerator. The inset shows the electric field magnitude inside the traveling-wave decelerator (in steps of 2.5 kV/cm) with the voltages as indicated.

The upper panel of Fig. 2 shows the density of ND$_3$ molecules above the decelerator as a function of time when different waveforms are applied to the ring decelerator. In all cases, a packet of molecules is decelerated to 90 m/s using the conventional Stark decelerator and injected into the traveling-wave decelerator at $t = 0$. The time-of-flight (TOF) trace labeled as ‘90’ is recorded when a sinusoidal voltage with an amplitude of 5 kV and a constant frequency of 7.5 kHz is applied to the traveling-wave decelerator. In this case, molecules at a velocity of 90 m/s are guided through the decelerator and arrive at the detection zone after 5.5 ms. The TOF traces that are labeled as ‘85’ to ‘0’ are recorded when the frequency is first linearly decreased to a certain value and subsequently increased to its original value. With these waveforms, molecules are decelerated to the indicated value (in m/s) before being accelerated back to their original velocity. Consequently, the molecules will spend a longer time in the decelerator and will arrive at the detection zone at later times. The TOF trace that is labeled as ‘0’ corresponds to the situation in which molecules come to a complete standstill before being ac-

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The lower graph shows the full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) (black curve, left-hand side axis) and the amplitudes (red curve, right-hand side axis) of Gaussian fits to the TOF profiles.
celerated back to 90 m/s and detected. As expected, the 
time the molecules spend in the decelerator is now ap-
proximately 2 times longer than when the molecules are 
guided. In these experiments the acceleration is changed 
from 0 m/s², for guiding, to 17000 m/s², for deacceleration 
to 0 m/s. Once the molecules come to a standstill, we can 
hold them for arbitrarily long times by keeping the volt-
ages constant. The profiles that are labeled as ’0/20’ and 
’0/50’ correspond to the situations in which molecules are 
trapped for 20 and 50 ms, respectively.

The lower panel of Fig. 2 shows the full-width at half-
maximum (FWHM)(black curve, left-hand side axis) and 
the amplitudes (red curve, right-hand side axis) of Gaus-
sian fits to the TOF profiles. Since we detect molecules 
very close to the decelerator, the width of the TOF pro-
files mainly reflects the position spread of the guided 
molecules, i.e. the size of the trap in the z direction. The 
width of the TOF profile measured when the molecules 
are guided corresponds to a position spread of 3 mm. De-
celeration of the molecules comes at the expense of the 
acceptance of the trap, which explains why the width of 
the TOF profiles decreases when the molecules are deccel-
erated to lower velocities. The amplitudes of the Gauss-
ian fits to the TOFs are normalized to that of the guided 
beam. As observed, the amplitude of the TOF profile 
measured after 50 ms of trapping is about 20% smaller 
than that of the guided beam. Note that in previous ex-
periments [15, 16] the ND₃ signal was reduced by 80%, 
which was attributed to mechanical misalignments in the 
traveling-wave decelerator and a mismatch between the 
conventional Stark decelerator and the traveling-wave de-
celerator. These issues have been resolved by realigning 
the decelerator. In addition, the various improvements 
have resulted in an increase of the signal of the guided 
molecules of a factor of 12.

It is observed in Fig. 2 that the amplitude of the TOF 
profile measured when molecules are decelerated to 5 m/s 
is smaller than expected while its width is larger than 
expected. We have repeated this measurement several 
times to ensure that it is not a statistical fluctuation, but 
this seems not to be the case. We attribute the observed 
heating to parametric amplification of the transverse mo-
tion when the transverse trapping frequency becomes 
similar to the inverse of the time that it takes molecules 
to move from one ring to the next. For ND₃ strong, broad 
resonances are expected at 1.9 and 3 kHz, corresponding 
to a velocity of 2.8 and 4.5 m/s [15]. These resonances 
do not lead to significant losses when the molecules are 
decelerated to a standstill – apparently because the res-
onance is passed sufficiently fast. When molecules are 
decelerated to 5 m/s, however, they spend a longer time 
neart the resonance leading to considerable heating.

As the waveforms applied to the decelerator are gen-
erated by a computer code and loaded into an arbitrary 
wave generator, we have complete control over the shape 
depth of the trap. This is illustrated by the measure-
ments presented in Fig. 3. In these measurements ND₃ 
molecules are again decelerated, trapped for a period of 
over 50 ms, and subsequently accelerated and detected. 
In this case, however, while the molecules are trapped, 
the voltages applied to the decelerator are ramped down 
in 10 ms, kept at a lower value for another 10 ms and then 
ramped back up to 5 kV over 10 ms (blue data points). 
Lowering the voltages of the trap has two effects: (i) 
the trap frequency is lowered, adiabatically cooling the 
molecules; (ii) the trap depth is reduced, allowing the 
hottest molecules to escape the trap. The bottom axis 
of Fig. 3 shows the value to which the amplitude of the 
waveform is reduced, while the top axis shows the result-
ing trap depth in mK. For comparison, the signal is also 
shown when the trap voltages are abruptly (10 µs) low-
ered (red data points). In this case, no adiabatic cooling 
occurs and the signal decreases rapidly when the voltages 
are ramped down. The data presented in Fig. 3 is similar 
to that already presented in earlier publications [15, 16]. 
However, as our signal is now much better than before, 
we can observe molecules even if the amplitude of the 
waveform is reduced below 0.5 kV, as shown in the inset 
of the figure. It is seen that by reducing the trap 
depth to 200 µK we still have more than 5% of our signal 
left.

As discussed, the main motivation for our research on 
cold molecules is their potential for high-resolution spe-
troscopy. Ideally, one would like to perform spectroscopy 
on trapped molecules, for instance, by driving a transition 
between two states that have a similar Stark shift. 
However, a trap depth as low as 200 µK already corre-
sponds to a Stark shift of 4 MHz. Thus, in order to 
measure this transition with high accuracy, the fractional 
difference between the Stark shifts of the levels involved 
should be very small. A more straight-forward approach 
is to switch off the trapping voltages completely.
In Fig. 4, we show the results of an experiment in which the trap is switched off for a variable period of time. The waveforms used in this experiment, shown in the inset of the figure, are similar to the ones used for obtaining the data of Fig. 3. In this case, however, after the voltages have been reduced to a certain value, \( V_{\text{red}} \), we abruptly switch off the voltages for a variable period of time, \( \Delta t_{\text{exp}} \). The \( y \)-axis shows the ion-current converted into the number of ions per shot on a logarithmic scale while the \( x \)-axis shows the expansion time in ms. The red, green and blue curves show the signal when the voltages are reduced to 2, 0.5 and 0.1 kV, respectively. The black curve is recorded when no adiabatically cooling has been performed. As observed, without adiabatically cooling, the signal is initially large, but it drops rapidly as the expansion time is increased. When the molecules are adiabatically cooled before they are released and adiabatically recompressed after they are recaptured, the initial signal is smaller but it decays more slowly as \( \Delta t_{\text{exp}} \) is increased. Consequently, molecules are detected even for expansion times over 10 ms. To understand the observations it is important to realize that our signal is proportional to the number of molecules in the laser focus and \textit{not} to the total number of molecules that are recaptured.

For long expansion times, the number of molecules that are recaptured drops as \( 1/\Delta t_{\text{exp}}^3 \); their density after recompression, on the other hand, will stay approximately constant. As phase space density is conserved, this implies that the size of the trapped cloud shrinks. As our laser beam is tightly focused along the \( y \) and \( z \)-direction, but elongated along the \( x \)-direction, expected to be proportional to \( 1/\Delta t_{\text{exp}} \), as is indeed observed. The dashed black curve in Fig. 3 shows a \( 1/\Delta t_{\text{exp}} \) function to guide the eye.

For the sake of simplicity, we have chosen the voltage used for adiabatic cooling to be the same as the one used to recapture the molecules. However, it is probably better to optimise these separately – the voltage for adiabatic cooling should be chosen such that it satisfies the compromise between maximum cooling with a minimal loss of molecules, whereas the voltage applied to the trap when the molecules are recaptured should be chosen such that the phase space volume of the molecules after expansion is matched to the acceptance of the trap [10]. This implies that the optimal voltage used for recapturing the molecules actually depends on the expansion time.

The ability to observe molecules after switching off the trap voltages for times >10 ms is a promising prospect for future high-resolution experiments on molecules. The statistical uncertainty achieved in a spectroscopic experiment after a certain measurement time, \( \tau \), is given by the Allan deviation

\[
\sigma_y(\tau) = \frac{1}{Q} \frac{\tau_c}{\sqrt{\tau N_c}}, \quad (1)
\]

where \( Q = f/\Delta f \) is the quality factor of the resonance, \( \tau_c \) is the duration of one cycle, i.e., the inverse of the repetition frequency and \( N_c \) is the number of molecules that are detected per cycle. If the width of the transition is limited by the finite interaction time, \( \Delta t \) (i.e., \( \Delta f \propto 1/\Delta t \)), then the Allan deviation is proportional to \( (\Delta t\sqrt{N_c})^{-1} \). If we performed a coherent measurement during the time that the molecules are freely expanding, we would expect from the data presented in Fig. 4 that the Allan deviation would decrease as \( 1/\sqrt{\Delta t_{\text{exp}}} \). We can check this conclusion by explicitly computing some numbers; after an expansion time of 0.1, 1, 10 and 30 ms, we detected (at the optimal voltage settings and corrected for background signal) 117, 18, 1, and 0.2 ions per shot, respectively. This implies that the Allan deviation at 1, 10 and 30 ms is decreased by a factor of 4, 9 and 12, respectively, compared to the value at 0.1 ms. Thus, the increased interaction time indeed compensates the loss in signal.

IV. CONCLUSION

As shown in previous publications [15, 16], traveling-wave decelerators are more efficient at low velocity and obviate the problem of loading molecules into a trap, that has led to large losses in earlier studies with Stark decelerators [20, 21]. Compared to the setup used in our previous experiments, we have made a number of improvements that have further reduced losses in the deceleration process. In the current experiments, the signal of molecules that are trapped for 50 ms is only 20% smaller than that of molecules that are guided by the
traveling-wave decelerator. Furthermore the guided signal was 12 times larger than that in our previous experiments. These improvements have allowed us to lower the voltages of the trap by a factor of 50, which corresponds to lowering the trap depth from 160 mK to 0.2 mK, while still having 5% of our original signal left. We have also performed experiments in which we switch off the trap completely and recapture the molecules more than 10 ms later – much longer than possible in a molecular beam machine of realistic size. We show that by performing adiabatic cooling before the molecules are released and adiabatic re-compression after the molecules are recaptured, the signal drops only as $1/\Delta t_{\text{exp}}$. This implies that the statistical error of a spectroscopic experiment performed during that time will decrease as $1/\sqrt{\Delta t_{\text{exp}}}$. Furthermore, the systematic errors are easier to control by the localised and well-controlled sample of cold molecules. The signal can be further increased by using larger rings, which will also improve the optical access. We are planning to perform precision measurements on the fundamental vibrations in ammonia using infrared lasers. As a first step, we plan to measure transitions in the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band of NH$_3$ near 1.5 $\mu$m [22].
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