Zinc controls PML nuclear body formation through regulation of a paralog specific auto-inhibition in SUMO1
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ABSTRACT

SUMO proteins are important regulators of many key cellular functions in part through their ability to form interactions with other proteins containing SUMO interacting motifs (SIMs). One characteristic feature of all SUMO proteins is the presence of a highly divergent intrinsically disordered region at their N-terminus. In this study, we examine the role of this N-terminal region of SUMO proteins in SUMO–SIM interactions required for the formation of nuclear bodies by the promyelocytic leukemia (PML) protein (PML-NBs). We demonstrate that the N-terminal region of SUMO1 functions in a paralog specific manner as an auto-inhibition domain by blocking its binding to the phosphorylated SIMs of PML and Daxx. Interestingly, we find that this auto-inhibition in SUMO1 is relieved by zinc, and structurally show that zinc stabilizes the complex between SUMO1 and a phospho-mimetic form of the SIM of PML. In addition, we demonstrate that increasing cellular zinc levels enhances PML-NB formation in senescent cells. Taken together, these results provide important insights into a paralog specific function of SUMO1, and suggest that zinc levels could play a crucial role in regulating SUMO1-SIM interactions required for PML-NB formation and function.

INTRODUCTION

Post-translational modification with small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO) proteins occurs on a vast array of substrates and SUMOylation is a major regulatory mechanism that controls nearly all aspects of cell function (1). Like ubiquitination, SUMOylation involves the covalent attachment of SUMO-family proteins to a substrate through a series of enzymatic reactions that utilize a dimeric E1-activating enzyme, an E2-conjugating enzyme as well as in most cases an E3 ligase (2–5). The SUMOylation machinery in humans is significantly less diverse than the ubiquitination machinery as there is a single E1-activating enzyme (Aos1/Uba2), asingle E2-conjugating enzyme (UBC9) and only a small group of E3-SUMO ligases characterized. SUMO proteins are conjugated to the side chain of Lys residues on substrates through an amide linkage and this
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posttranslational modification can be selectively reversed by SUMO proteases (6,7). Higher vertebrates express at least three different SUMO paralogs (SUMO-1-3) ubiquitously in all cell types. SUMO2 and SUMO3 share 96% sequence identity between them and thus are often referred to as SUMO/2/3, but they share only 53% and 52% sequence identity with SUMO1, respectively (6). In humans, two additional SUMO proteins (SUMO 4–5) have been recently identified (8,9). However, these proteins have been shown to be predominantly expressed in liver cells (9). The primary function of SUMO proteins appears to be their ability to control interactions with other partner proteins, and this can be accomplished either in the conjugated or unconjugated form (5,6). Such interactions occur with SUMO-binding domains (SBD) present within partner proteins, with the most common SBD being the SUMO-interacting motif (SIM) (5,6).

SIMs are characterized by the presence of a short hydrophobic core that contains the consensus sequence [V/I/1-L]-[V/I/1-L]-X-[V/I/1-L], and they are typically found in intrinsically disordered regions of proteins (5,10–12). SIMs bind in a β-strand configuration to a hydrophobic groove on the surface of SUMO proteins, and this binding can occur in either a parallel or an anti-parallel orientation relative to the second β-strand found in the core region of the SUMO protein (11,13). In addition, the hydrophobic core of many characterized SIMs is flanked by acidic residues (Asp/Glu) and/or phosphorylation sites (Ser/Thr) (14–17). These negatively charged residues present adjacent to the SIM typically enhance SUMO–SIM interactions, and it is generally thought that they dictate the binding orientation depending on whether they are located on the N-terminal (anti-parallel) or C-terminal (parallel) side of the hydrophobic core region (5,13,14,18). Some of the most thoroughly characterized examples of SUMO–SIM interactions are those that occur during the formation of nuclear bodies by the promyelocytic leukemia (PML) protein (17,19–21). PML-nuclear bodies (PML-NBs) are heterogeneous, dynamic structures where proteins involved in the regulation of diverse biological functions transit in and out of them (22,23). They are found in inter-chromosomal space and are tightly bound to the nuclear matrix (24), where they associate in a non-random manner with transcriptionally active gene-rich regions of the genome (25). Their number and size can be altered by controlling the transcription level of PML (26,27), and this occurs in response to cellular stresses such as viral infection, heat shock, exposure to heavy metals, transformation, or oxidative stress (22,23). The PML protein is both SUMOylated at multiple sites and contains a SIM (17,21), and these two characteristics play a key role in regulating trafficking in and out of PML-NB through SUMO–SIM interactions with other proteins that share one or both of these same features (15,22,23,26,28–32). In the case of PML, the SIM is flanked by four Ser residues on the C-terminal side of the hydrophobic core, and phosphorylation of these four Ser residues by Casein Kinase II (CKII) has been shown to significantly enhance PML binding to SUMO proteins (17,19). Likewise, the Death-domain associated protein 6 (Daxx) protein is recruited to PML-NBs through interactions with a SIM motif located near the C-terminal end of the protein (20,30). Like the SIM of PML, phosphorylation of two Ser residues on the C-terminal side of the Daxx SIM by CKII enhances its binding to SUMO proteins in PML-NBs (14,20).

Despite a number of studies examining the details of SUMO–SIM interactions, there is still very little evidence of selectivity for the different SUMO paralogs for SIMs at the atomic level. This apparent lack of selectivity for most SUMO–SIM interactions is consistent with the fact that the core regions of the different SUMO paralogs, which contains the SIM-binding site, are highly homologous at both their sequence and structural level (18). The least conserved region between the various SUMO paralogs is found within the first 15–20 residues, and structural studies have shown that this N-terminal region forms an intrinsically disordered region that protrudes from the core ubiquitin-like domain (20,33–35). Although this N-terminal region is clearly a distinguishing feature of the various SUMO paralogs, its precise functional role is still not clearly defined despite the fact that this region appears to be post-translationally modified in a paralog specific fashion (36–38). For example, SUMO1 has been shown to be selectively phosphorylated at Ser2 and Ser9, whereas Lys11 of SUMO2/3 is a canonical site for the formation of poly-SUMO chains (36–38). In yeast, deletion of the N-terminal 17 amino acids of the lone SUMO-family protein (SMT3) has no apparent effect on either growth or response to stress, despite the fact that Lys15 is the major site required for poly-SUMO chain formation (39). In contrast, a similar N-terminal deletion in zebrafish SUMO2 leads to severe developmental defects and this suggests that there are paralog specific roles for this region in higher organisms (40). In addition, it has been shown that the N-terminal regions of both SUMO1 and SUMO2 prevents self-aggregation into amyloid-like fibrils and this anti-aggregation property was postulated to occur through an intra-molecular shielding of hydrophobic residues in the β-strand 2 (β2) of the core domain (41,42).

Given that β2 strand of SUMO-family proteins forms key interactions at the binding interface with SIMs, we were interested to determine whether the N-terminal region of SUMO1 and SUMO2 play an important role in defining paralog specific interactions. Using a combination of biochemical and structural studies, we have examined the role of the N-terminal regions of SUMO1 and SUMO2 in their binding to the SIMs of PML and Daxx. We establish that the N-terminal region of SUMO1 functions as an auto-inhibition domain for the binding to these two SIMs, but this is not the case for SUMO2. We also demonstrate that this auto-inhibition mechanism in SUMO1 was dependent on phosphorylation of serine residues adjacent to the hydrophobic core of the SIM of both Daxx and PML. In addition, biophysical and structural studies demonstrate that zinc can regulate the auto-inhibition by the N-terminal of SUMO1 through its ability to stabilize SUMO1-SIM interactions. The role of zinc in enhancing SUMO1-SIM interactions is supported by functional studies demonstrating that increases in zinc level enhance both the size and number of PML-NBs in cells under stress. Taken together, these results provide important insight into how the N-terminal region of SUMO1 functions in a paralog-specific manner to regulate SUMO1 binding to the SIMs of key components of PML nuclear bodies, and suggest that zinc levels...
could play a crucial role in forming PML-NBs in cells under stress.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Expression vectors**
The sequences encoding for the PML-SIM (residues 547–573 of human PML isoform I-V with a C-terminal Y residue for quantification) and the Daxx-SIM (residues 721–740 of human Daxx-I with Cys to Ala substitution at position 728 and an N-terminal Y residue for quantification) were cloned into a modified pGEX-2T vector (Amersham) where the thrombin cleavage site was replaced with a Tobacco-Etch Virus (TEV) protease cleavage site as previously described (43). These constructs were also used to generate the PML-SIM-4SD (S/D substitutions at positions 560, 561, 562 and 565) and Daxx-SIM-2SD (S/D substitutions at positions 737 and 739) constructs by site directed mutagenesis. SUMO1 (residues 2–97 of human SUMO1 with a C52A substitution), SUMO1- E11Q (residues 2–97 of human SUMO1 with a E11Q and C52A substitution) and SUMO2 (residues 2–93 of human SUMO2 with a C48A substitution) cDNAs were cloned as BamHI/EcoRI fragments into the TEV modified pGEX-2T vector (16). The ΔN-SUMO1 (residues 17–97), ΔN-SUMO2 (residues 14–93) and UBC9 (residues 2–158 of human UBC9) cDNAs were generated through PCR amplification of the SUMO1 C52A and SUMO2 C48A as well as pGEX-2T-UBC9 (44) followed by their insertion as BamH1/EcoRI into a modified pET-11b vector, where the thrombin cleavage site is replaced with a TEV protease cleavage site. The N2-SUMO1 sequence (residues 1–13 of human SUMO2 linked to residues 17–97 of human SUMO1 C52A) and the N1-S1 peptide sequence (residues 1–16 of SUMO1) were purchased as an oligonucleotide (BioBasic) with flanking BamH1/EcoRI restriction enzymes sites which were then 5′ phosphorylated, annealed and cloned into a TEV modified pGEX-2T vector. All constructs were verified by DNA sequencing.

**Expression and protein purification**
The PML and Daxx SIM peptides, the N1-S1 peptide as well as the SUMO1, SUMO1-E11Q, SUMO2 and N2-SUMO1 proteins were expressed as GST-fusion proteins in *E. coli* host strain TOPP2 (Bayou Biolabs) (19). The cells were grown at 37°C in Luria Broth (LB) media, and protein expression was induced for 4h at 30°C with 0.7 mM isopropyl-beta-D-galactopyranoside (IPTG; Inalco). The cells were harvested by centrifugation, suspended in lysis buffer and incubated with nickel-charged chelating-sepharose resin (GE healthcare) for 1h at 4°C. Following incubation, the resin was thoroughly washed with lysis buffer and the proteins were eluted from the resin with elution buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.4, 1 M NaCl and 50 mM imidazole), lysed with a French press and centrifuged at 105 000 × g for 1h at 4°C. Supernatant was collected by centrifugation, suspended in lysis buffer and then with TEV buffer (25 mM Na2HPO4, 125 mM NaCl and 5 mM DTT). Removal of the GST tag was performed by incubating the resin for 2h with 100 units of TEV protease overnight at room temperature. The proteins were eluted with TEV buffer and dialysed into 10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5. The proteins were further purified over C4-reverse phase HPLC column (Vydac) and their identities confirmed by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (Regional Mass Spectrometry Centre of the University of Montreal, Montreal, Canada). Peptides were dialysed overnight into water, flash frozen, lyophilized and frozen at −80°C until being processed for ITC, fluorescence or X-ray crystallography experiments.

ΔN-SUMO1, ΔN-SUMO2 and UBC9 were expressed as His-tagged fusion proteins in *Escherichia coli* strain BL21DE3 (Stratagene). Cells were grown overnight at 37°C and due to leaky expression of these proteins in this construct, induction was not necessary. Cells were harvested by centrifugation, suspended in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.4, 1 M NaCl and 50 mM imidazole), lysed with a French press and centrifuged at 105 000 × g for 1h at 4°C. Supernatant was collected and incubated with nickel-charged chelating-sepharose resin (GE healthcare) for 1h at 4°C. Following incubation, the resin was thoroughly washed with lysis buffer and the proteins were eluted from the resin with elution buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.4, 1 M NaCl and 500 mM imidazole). Eluted proteins were dialyzed against TEV buffer for 3h. Cleavage of the His-tag was performed by incubating the dialyzed protein with 100 units of TEV protease overnight at room temperature. The next day, the sample was dialysed into lysis buffer and incubated with nickel-charged chelating-sepharose resin in order to remove the remaining His-tag and TEV protease. Proteins were further purified over C4-reverse phase HPLC column (Vydac), dialysed overnight in water, flash frozen, lyophilized and stored at −80°C until being processed for ITC, fluorescent or X-ray crystallography experiments. Their identities were confirmed by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry.

**In vitro phosphorylation**

The Daxx-SIM-PO4 peptide was generated from the unphosphorylated Daxx-SIM peptide by incubation with CKII (New England BioLabs) (16,43). The peptides (200–250 nmol) were resuspended in 1 ml of buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl2, 0.1 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, 0.01% Brij 35). 3000 units of CKII (NEB) were added to the solution, which was then incubated for 72 h at room temperature. Every 12h, the reaction was supplemented with fresh enzyme (500 units) and fresh ATP (2 μmol) for the entire reaction period. After 72h, acetic acid was added to a final concentration of 5% (v/v) to quench the reaction. The solution was then centrifuged 2 min at 13 000 rpm, filtered and purified by HPLC over a C4-reverse phase HPLC column (Vydac). The identity was confirmed by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry.

**ITC experiments**

Proteins and peptides were dialysed overnight at 4°C against the experimental buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4) and filtered before use. For experiments with zinc and calcium, the proteins and peptides were dialyzed into buffer containing zinc (20 mM Tris–HCl pH7.4, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM ZnSO4) or calcium (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.4, 50
mM NaCl, 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> Titrations consisted of 25 injections of 12μL each with a delay time of 280 s and measurements were performed at 25°C using a VP-ITC calorimeter (GE Healthcare). All titration experiments were performed at least in duplicates and fit to a single-site interaction with 1:1 stoichiometry. The data were baseline-corrected and fit with Origin 7 software.

**Fluorescence binding and competition assays**

Binding of 4,4′-bis(1-anilinonaphthalene 8-sulfonate) dye (bis-ANS) to SUMO1 or ΔN-SUMO1 were measured at room temperature on a Cary Eclipse Fluorescence Spectrophotometer (Agilent). The fluorescence of bis-ANS was measured from 400–600 nm following excitation at 370 nm and all experiments were run in 20 mM Tris–HCl at pH 7.5. In standard binding studies, samples contained 25 μM of bis-ANS and 50 μM of either SUMO1 or ΔN-SUMO1. For the competition assay, fluorescence was measured at 487 nm following the addition of varying concentrations of either the PML-SIM-4SD or N1-S1 peptide as a lyophilized powder into a sample containing a mixture of 25 μM bis-ANS with 50 μM of ΔN-SUMO1.

**Crystallization and data collection**

SUMO1 was suspended in water at a final concentration of 1 mM. PML-SIM or PML-SIM-4SD peptides were added to the SUMO1 sample in order to obtain a molar ratio of 1:1.2 (SUMO1:SIM-peptide). Crystals were obtained by the hanging drop vapor diffusion method using a precipitant solution containing 100 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 19 to 31% (w/v) PEG 3350, and 1–5 mM zine chloride. Crystals were cryo-protected by increasing the PEG 3350 to a final concentration of 35%, mounted on a loop and flash-cooled in a stream of nitrogen gas at 100 K. Diffraction data were collected using either the F1 beamline at MacCHESS (Cornell University, Ithaca, NY) or the X-ray home source at the University of Victoria (UVic Facility-Victoria, BC Canada). For the X-ray structures, the model building was performed with Coot (45,46) and structural refinement with PHENIX (47). Statistics for the final models (Table 1), were obtained with PHENIX (47) and Molprobity (48). The structure figures were prepared with PyMOL.

**Analysis of PML-NBs in senescent cells**

Normal human diploid fibroblasts IMR90 cells were purchased from Coriell Institute for Medical Research (Camden, NJ) and Phoenix Ampho packaging cells were a gift from Dr Scott W Lowe (Sloan Kettering Memorial). Cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM; Wisent) without pyruvate, supplemented with 10% high grade fetal bovine serum (FBS; Wisent) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Wisent). Retroviral control vector pBABE and Ras-activating vector pBABE-RAS-V12 were used as previously described to generate control and senescent IMR90 cells (27,49). Six days after introduction of the retroviral vectors, when the senescent phenotype is established, IMR90 cells were plated on coverslips to be treated the following day with either 5 μM pyrithione (PT; Toku-E) or 1 μM tetrakis(2-pyridinylmethyl)-1,2-ethanediamine (TPEN; Cayman), and fixed 24h later with 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). For immuno-fluorescent analysis, the cells were washed with PBS containing 0.1 M glycine (2 × 5 min) and permeabilized using PBS containing 0.4% Triton X-100 and 0.1 M Glycine for 5 min at 4°C. Following permeabilization, the cells were blocked using PBS with 3% bovine serum albumin (3 × 10 min) and treated overnight at 4°C with a primary PML antibody (Bethyl; 301-167A) diluted 1/250 in blocking buffer. The next day, cells were washed and incubated with a secondary antibody (goat anti-rabbit Alex Fluor 568 diluted 1/1500) for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. The cells were then washed with PBS (3 × 10 min) and mounted on a coverslip using Vectashield containing Dapi (Vector Laboratories H1200). All images were acquired sequentially on a Zeiss LSM 800 confocal microscope equipped with a spectral analysis detector. The data was acquired with a maximal of 2 Airy units. Data analysis were performed using the Image J data analysis software. An image with several regions of interest (ROIs; minimum of 50 cells) was taken with the intensity set between 10 000 and 65 550 for PML intensity. Images were converted into binary images and analyzed using the analyze particle function in Image J with the parameter of 0.1 area to 5 and 0.3 to 1 circularity for PML bodies. To identify the number of PML per nucleus, images containing the nucleus were used to generate ROI and each ROI was counted individually with the aforementioned parameters. For nucleus, settings were set 20-infinity in area. For analysis of PML bodies larger than 1 mm<sup>2</sup> analysis setting was set to 1 area to 5 and 0.3 to 1 circularity for PML bodies. For each condition, 50 cells were counted and statistics were performed using the GraphPad PRISM9 software. The experiments to characterize PML-NBs present in senescent cells were performed in duplicates and data points were shown as mean ± standard deviations.

For immunofluorescence of SUMO1 and SUMO2, cells were generated as described above until fixation. Prior to fixation cells were treated for 10 min with Cytoskeleton buffer (CSK-Buffer; 25 mM HEPES pH 7.8, 50 mM NaCl, 300 mM Sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 0.5% Triton in distilled H<sub>2</sub>O with complete protease inhibitor), to permeabilize and remove non-bound cytosolic proteins. Cells were washed once with PBS followed by fixation for 10 min with 4% PFA in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). For immuno-fluorescent analysis, the cells were washed with PBS containing 0.1M Glycine (2 × 5 min) and blocked using PBS with 3% bovine serum albumin and 1% goat serum (3 × 10 min). For SUMO1, cells were then treated overnight at 4°C with primary PML antibody (Bethyl; 301–167A, diluted 1/250 in blocking buffer) and primary SUMO1 (In-vitrogen; 332400, diluted 1/100). The next day, cells were washed with PBS (3 × 10 min) and incubated with a secondary antibody (goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 568 diluted 1/1000 for PML and goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 diluted 1/1000 for SUMO1 in PBS with 3% bovine serum albumin) for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. For SUMO2, cells were then treated overnight at 4°C with primary PML antibody (Santa Cruz; Sc-966, diluted 1/200 in blocking buffer) and primary SUMO2/3 (Abcam; AB3742, diluted 1/100). The next day, cells were washed with PBS.
Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics

| Dataset                 | SUMO1:PML-SIM:Zn                      | SUMO1:PML-SIM-4SD:Zn                  |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| **Data collection**    | F1, macChess                         | UVic Facility                        |
| Beamline               | 0.977                                | 1.542                                |
| Wavelength (Å)         |                                      |                                      |
| Space group            |                                      |                                      |
| Unit cell parameters   |                                      |                                      |
| Resolution range (Å)   | 29.11–1.97 (2.04–1.97)               | 31.82–1.772 (1.835–1.772)            |
| No. of unique reflections | 8027 (637)                           | 11 313 (972)                         |
| Multiplicity           | 5.5 (3.2)                            | 3.0 (2.1)                            |
| Complement (%)         | 96.58 (74.91)                        | 97.23 (85.41)                        |
| Rmerge                 | 0.039 (0.338)                        | 0.079 (0.43)                         |
| CC1/2                  | 0.982 (0.263)                        | 0.996 (0.75)                         |
| I/σ(I)                 | 5.34 (0.68)                          | 12.02 (1.98)                         |
| **Refinement statistics** |                                     |                                      |
| Reflections (total/test) | 43 817/2030                          | 34 004/2052                          |
| Rwork/Rfree (%)        | 23.44/25.51                          | 21.71/24.88                          |
| CCwork                 | 0.903 (0.532)                        | 0.930 (0.827)                        |
| CCfree                 | 0.913 (0.556)                        | 0.909 (0.768)                        |
| No. atoms (excluding hydrogens) |                       |                                      |
| Protein                | 734                                  | 854                                  |
| Water                  | 60                                   | 128                                  |
| Zn                     | 3                                    | 4                                    |
| B-factors              |                                      |                                      |
| Protein                | 31.57                                | 17.61                                |
| Water                  | 38.04                                | 26.35                                |
| Zn                     | 44.50                                | 29.82                                |
| Rmsd                   | 0.006                                | 0.014                                |
| Bond angle (°)         | 0.84                                 | 1.52                                 |
| Ramachandranab         | Favored (%)                          | 98.99                                |
|                       | Outliers (%)                         | 0                                    |
|                       | 1.01                                 |                                      |

Values in parentheses are for highest resolution shell. $R_{sym} = \sum hkl \sum i |I_{hkl,i} - \langle Ihkl\rangle|$, where $I_{hkl,i}$ is the intensity of an individual measurement of the reflection with Miller indices $hkl$ and $\langle Ihkl\rangle$ is the mean intensity of the reflection. $R_{work} = \sum hkl||F_o| - |F_c|\| / \sum hkl |F_o|$, where $|F_o|$ is the observed structure-factor amplitude and $|F_c|$ is the calculated structure-factor amplitude. $R_{free}$ is the $R$ factor based on at least 500 test reflections that were excluded from the refinement.

(3 × 10 min) and incubated with a secondary antibody (goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 568 diluted 1/1000 for PML and goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 diluted 1/1000 for SUMO2 in PBS with 3% bovine serum albumin) for 1h at room temperature in the dark. The cells were then washed with PBS (3 × 10 min) and mounted on a coverslip using Vectashield containing Dapi (Vector Laboratories H1200). Representative cells are shown and analysed for fluorescent intensity. To perform fluorescence intensity measurements of SUMO1 and PML or SUMO2/3, and PML, Image J with linear histogram intensity plugin was used as previously described (50). B Briefly, a line was drawn through the image containing several PML/SUMO foci and then the intensity of fluorescent was extracted. Dotted lines in image show the intensity areas which were extracted for plot.

RESULTS

The N-terminal region of SUMO1 inhibits the binding of the phospho-mimetic form of the PML SIM

The exact functional role of the N-terminal region of SUMO1 (residues 1–18 in human SUMO1) remains ambiguous despite the fact that its sequence is significantly different from the one found in SUMO2/3 (Supplemental Figure S1). Given its potential role in regulating protein–protein interactions, we were interested to determine whether the intrinsically disordered N-terminal region of SUMO1 has any influence on SUMO–SIM interactions. To investigate this possibility, we initially examined the binding of SUMO1 to the SIM of PML using isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) studies (Figure 1 and Supplemental Table S1). For these experiments, we prepared SUMO1 and ΔN-SUMO1 (residues 17–97 of human SUMO1) as well as two peptides corresponding to the SIM of PML (residues 547–573 of human PML isoforms I–V). The two peptides contain the hydrophobic core region (V556, V557, V558, I559) of the SIM of PML as well as the four adjacent serine residues (560, 561, 562 and 565) that have been shown to be phosphorylated by CKII (Figure 1A). One peptide encompasses the native sequence (PML-SIM), whereas in the other peptide, S560, S561, S562 and S565 were substituted with Asp residues (PML-SIM-4SD) as a mimic of the tetra-phosphorylated form of the PML-SIM. The ITC experiments show that the PML-SIM-4SD peptide binds full-length SUMO1 and the ΔN-SUMO1 with dissociation constants values ($K_d$’s) of 11 ± 2 µM and 0.52 ± 0.08 µM, respectively, under the experimental conditions (Figure 1B-C). In contrast, the PML-SIM pep-
Figure 1. The N-terminal region of SUMO1 inhibits binding of phosphorylated SIMs. (A) Amino-acid sequence of SIMs from PML and Daxx used for ITC studies. Underlined residues represent the hydrophobic core region of the SIM and those in bold represent either the phospho-mimetic or phosphorylated serine residues. (B) Representative ITC thermograms for the interaction between PML-SIM-4SD and either SUMO1 (left panel) or ΔN-SUMO1 (right panel). (C) Comparison of the $K_D$ values (in μM) obtained in ITC studies for the binding of either SUMO1 or ΔN-SUMO1 to the different SIM peptides and UBC9. All experiments were conducted in 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4.
tide binds full-length SUMO1 and the ΔN-SUMO1 with $K_D$'s of 44 ± 5 μM and 58 ± 2 μM, respectively under identical experimental conditions (Figure 1C). These ITC results demonstrate that ΔN-SUMO1 binds with roughly 20-fold higher affinity to the phospho-mimetic PML-SIM-4SD peptide in comparison to SUMO1, whereas the PML-SIM peptide binds SUMO1 and ΔN-SUMO1 with similar affinities. Based on these results, it appears that the N-terminal region of SUMO1 can function as an auto-inhibition domain for regulating the binding of the phospho-mimetic form of the SIM of PML to SUMO1.

The N-terminal region of SUMO1 also inhibits the binding of the phosphoSIM of Daxx

Given that the auto-inhibition by the N-terminal region of SUMO1 appears to be targeting the SIM-binding region, we were interested in determining whether the phosphorylated SIM of other proteins that transit to PML-NB are subject to a similar type of regulation. In the case of Daxx, it has been shown that its C-terminal SIM is also phosphorylated by CKII at two serine residues (S737 and S739) and that phosphorylation is important for the regulation of a number of its biological functions, including its transit into PML-NBs (20). Given the similarities between the phosphoSIMs of Daxx and PML, ITC experiments were conducted in order to determine if the N-terminal region of SUMO1 also inhibits binding to the phosphoSIM of Daxx. For these experiments, two peptides corresponding to the phosphoSIM of Daxx (residues 721–740 of human Daxx) were prepared: a phospho-mimetic SIM (Daxx-SIM-2SD; S737D and S739D) and a di-phosphorylated SIM (Daxx-SIM-PO4; pS737 and pS739) (Figure 1C and Supplemental Table S1). The ITC experiments show that the Daxx-SIM-PO4 peptide binds 8.8-fold stronger ($K_D$ 0.88 ± 0.12 μM versus 0.10 ± 0.02 μM) and the Daxx-SIM-2SD binds 8.2-fold stronger ($K_D$ 2.8 ± 0.6 μM versus 0.34 ± 0.05 μM) to ΔN-SUMO1 (Figure 1C). Consistent with the previous results with PML-SIM-4SD peptide, there is a significant increase in the binding of the phosphorylated and phospho-mimetic Daxx-SIM peptides to ΔN-SUMO1 in comparison to SUMO1 (Figure 1C). Taken together, these ITC results indicate that the auto-inhibition by the N-terminus of SUMO1 may be a general mechanism for regulating interactions with SIMs that contain phosphorylation sites adjacent to their hydrophobic core.

The N-terminal auto-inhibitory region does not alter UBC9 binding to SUMO1

In addition to the SIM-binding interface, SUMO-family proteins contain a second distinct binding surface for interaction with the E2 SUMO conjugating enzyme UBC9, which is located on the opposite face of the SUMO core domain with respect to the SIM-binding interface (51). This second interface is formed by the β1, β4 and β5 strands of SUMO1 and UBC9 forms a specific non-covalent interaction with this region. To verify that auto-inhibition by the N-terminal region of SUMO1 is specific to interactions with the SIM-binding interface, the binding affinity of UBC9 to SUMO1 and ΔN-SUMO1 were compared using ITC experiments (Figure 1C and Supplemental Table S1). In the ITC experiments, UBC9 binds to SUMO1 and ΔN-SUMO1 with $K_D$ values of 29 ± 11 nM and 73 ± 15 nM, respectively. These $K_D$ values are similar to the 82 nM value that was previously reported for SUMO1 binding to UBC9 by ITC under slightly different conditions (52), and UBC9 binds roughly 2.5-fold stronger to SUMO1 in comparison to ΔN-SUMO1. Based on these results, the deletion of the N-terminal region does not significantly alter UBC9 binding to SUMO1, which strongly suggests that the auto-inhibition effect of the N-terminal region of SUMO1 specifically regulates interactions involving its SIM-binding interface.

The N-terminal region interacts with the SIM-binding interface of SUMO1

Auto-inhibition by an intrinsically disordered region in a protein has been reported for several other proteins and in some cases, this has been shown to be controlled by the phosphorylation status of the protein (53–55). In the case of the SUMO-family proteins, previous studies with SUMO2 have shown that the N-terminal region protects the protein from self-aggregation and it was suggested that this occurs via an unspecified intra-molecular interaction (41). To determine if the N-terminal region of SUMO1 behaves as an auto-inhibitory domain by forming an intra-molecular interaction, fluorescence spectroscopy studies were performed to compare the binding of SUMO1 and ΔN-SUMO1 to 4,4′-bis(1-anilinonaphthalene 8-sulfonate) (bis-ANS). Similar to what was previously observed with SUMO2 (41), bis-ANS gives a stronger fluorescence signal in the presence of ΔN-SUMO1 than in the presence of SUMO1 (Figure 2A). These results suggest that there are additional hydrophobic regions present in ΔN-SUMO1 that are accessible for binding to bis-ANS and implies that the N-terminal region of SUMO1 shields hydrophobic regions present on the surface of SUMO1.

In order to determine whether the hydrophobic surface of SUMO1 shielded by its N-terminal region is involved in binding to the phosphorylated form of the SIM of PML, a competition assay was performed between bis-ANS and the PML-SIM-4SD peptide. In this assay, the change in fluorescence intensity was measured following the addition of increasing concentrations of the PML-SIM-4SD peptide to a sample containing bis-ANS ΔN-SUMO1 at a 1:2 molar ratio. In this experiment, the addition of the PML-SIM-4SD peptide significantly diminishes the bis-ANS fluorescent signal in a concentration dependent manner (Figure 2B). In addition, we performed a similar competition assay between bis-ANS and a peptide corresponding to the first 16 amino acids of SUMO1 (N1-S1 peptide) with bis-ANS and ΔN-SUMO1 at a 1:2 molar ratio. As seen with the PML-SIM-4SD peptide, the addition of the N1-S1 peptide significantly diminishes the bis-ANS fluorescent signal in a concentration dependent manner (Supplemental Figure S2), although less efficiently than the PML-SIM-4SD as expected given that it is now detached from ΔN-SUMO1. Based on these results, it appears that the PML-SIM-4SD peptide and bis-ANS compete for a common binding region present in SUMO1. Overall, these results support the no-
Figure 2. The N-terminal of SUMO1 inhibits interactions with the SIM-binding interface. (A) Fluorescence spectra of bis-ANS binding to either SUMO1 (full line) or ΔN-SUMO1 (dashed line). (B) Graphical plot of the bis-ANS fluorescence signal recorded at 487 nm in the presence of ΔN-SUMO1 following addition of various amounts (0–4 molar equivalence) of the PML-SIM-4SD peptide.

The N-terminal region of SUMO2 does not function as an auto-inhibitory domain

In some cases, SIMs have been reported to bind in a paralog specific manner to SUMO proteins (18,56), but the molecular determinants that underlie the ability of a SIM to recognize a specific SUMO paralog are still not well-defined. However, the high sequence divergence at the N-terminal regions suggests that this region could be important for defining paralog specificity in certain cases. Therefore, we attempted to determine whether the auto-inhibition by the N-terminal region of SUMO1 is also present in the N-terminal region of SUMO2. To test this, ITC experiments with the phospho-mimetic forms of the SIMs of PML and Daxx were performed with SUMO2 and ΔN-SUMO2 (residues 14–93). The ITC experiments show that the PML-SIM-4SD peptide binds with only 1.8-fold stronger ($K_D$ of 2.9 ± 0.8 μM versus 5.3 ± 1.6 μM) to ΔN-SUMO2 in comparison to SUMO2, and the Daxx-SIM-2SD binds only 1.9-fold stronger ($K_D$ of 0.51 ± 0.11 μM versus 1.0 ± 0.1 μM) (Figure 3 and Supplemental Table S1). These results show that the increase in binding observed with ΔN-SUMO2 is significantly less dramatic than the differences obtained with the ΔN-SUMO1 (over 20-fold with PML-SIM-4SD and over 8-fold with Daxx-SIM-2SD), which suggests that the auto-inhibition by the N-terminal region is specific to SUMO1 binding to phosphorylated SIMs.

To further verify that this auto-inhibition is specifically associated with the presence of the N-terminal region of SUMO1, a chimeric protein (N2-SUMO1) containing the N-terminal region of SUMO2 (residues 1–13) linked to the core region of SUMO1 (residues 17–97) was evaluated for binding to the PML-SIM-4SD by ITC. In the ITC experiments (Supplemental Figure S3 and Table S1), N2-SUMO-1 binds to the PML-SIM-4SD peptide with a $K_D$ of 1.4 ± 0.1 μM. This is a 7.9-fold higher affinity than PML-SIM-4SD binding to SUMO1, but only 2.7-fold weaker affinity than its binding to ΔN-SUMO1.

Crystal structure of full length SUMO1 with PML-SIM-4SD and PML-SIM peptides

In previous structural studies with SUMO-family proteins, the N-terminal region of the protein has either been deleted (ΔN-SUMO1) or determined to exist in an intrinsically disordered conformation (5). Given that our ITC results indicate that the N-terminal can function as an auto-inhibitory domain for the interaction between SUMO1 and the PML-SIM-4SD peptide, we attempted to crystallize the full-length SUMO1 protein alone or in the presence of either the PML-SIM-4SD or the PML-SIM peptides. Following an extensive screening of conditions, we were able to obtain crystals of SUMO1 in complex with both peptides, but not in its free form. The crystals with both peptides were obtained in similar conditions and in both cases, zinc was present in the buffer solution. The crystals obtained in the presence of zinc with the PML-SIM-4SD and the PML-SIM peptide are both of the $P2_12_12_1$ space group and they diffract to a resolution of 1.77 and 1.97 Å, respectively (Table 1). In the crystals of the SUMO1:PML-SIM-4SD complex obtained in the presence of zinc (Figure 4), there is electron density for residues 9–93 of SUMO1 as well as for residues 552–564 of PML-SIM-4SD and the complex contains four bound zinc atoms. Two of the bound zinc atoms participate in the formation of the SUMO1:PML-SIM-4SD complex and the other two are associated with crystal packing. In the case of the SUMO1:PML-SIM complex in the presence of zinc (Figure 5), there is electron density for residues 18–97 of SUMO1 as well as for residues 552–560 of PML-SIM and the complex contains 3 bound zinc atoms. In this case, a single zinc atom is associated with the formation of the SUMO1:PML-SIM complex and again, the other two zinc atoms are associated with crystal packing.
Figure 3. The N-terminal of SUMO2 does not inhibit binding of phosphorylated SIMs. (A) ITC thermograms of the titration of PML-SIM-4SD with either SUMO2 (left panel) and ΔN-SUMO2 (right panel). (B) Comparison of $K_D$ values (in μM) obtained in ITC studies for the binding of either SUMO2 or ΔN-SUMO2 to the different SIM peptides. All experiments were conducted in 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4.

Like the previously reported crystal structures of complexes between ΔN-SUMO1 and the SIM of PML in either the tetra-phosphorylated or un-phosphorylated states, the core region of SUMO1 adopts a canonical ubiquitin-like fold whereas the PML-SIM-4SD and PML-SIM peptides adopt a parallel β-strand conformation with respect to the β2-strand of SUMO1. More specifically, the binding interface is stabilized by a network of hydrogen bonds between PML (residues 555–559) and SUMO1 (residues 35–37), and the side chains of V557 and I559 from the PML-SIM are buried in the hydrophobic groove formed between the α1-helix and the β2 strand of SUMO1. The most striking difference between these two new structures and all other structures of SUMO family proteins reported in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) occurs at the N-terminal region of SUMO1 and this occurs only in the SUMO1:PML-SIM-4SD complex. In this complex, SUMO1 adopts a 9-residue α-helix between residues 9–17 (Figure 4A), and this helix has not been previously observed in any other structure containing a SUMO-family protein including our current structure of SUMO1 in complex with the PML-SIM peptide in the presence of zinc.

The electron density map shows that this α-helix between residues 9–17 of SUMO1 is stabilized by a bound zinc atom (Zn1), which forms a bridge between the N-terminal region SUMO1 and the negatively charged D562 adjacent to the hydrophobic core in the PML-SIM-4SD peptide (Figure 4B and Supplemental Figure S4A). In the refined structure, Zn1 is coordinated to an oxygen atom from the side chains of E11 of SUMO1 (2.2 Å), an oxygen from the side chain of D15 of SUMO1 (2.1 Å), an oxygen atom from the side chain of D562 of PML-SIM-4SD (2.3 Å) as well as a bound water molecule (2.8 Å) (Figure 4B and Supplemental Figure S4A). In addition, a second zinc atom (Zn2) involved in complex formation is coordinated to an oxygen from the side chain of E33 (2.3 Å) of SUMO1, a nitrogen atom from the side chain of H35 (2.1 Å) of SUMO1, an oxygen atom from the side chain of E554 of PML-SIM-4SD (2.4 Å) and an oxygen from a bound water molecule (2.1 Å) (Figure 4C and Supplemental Figure S4B).

In contrast to what is observed in the complex with the phospho-mimetic PML-SIM-4SD, no electron density is observed for the N-terminal region (residues 1–17) of SUMO1 in the complex with the unphosphorylated
Figure 4. Structural characterization of a SUMO1:PML-SIM-4SD:Zn complex. (A) Cartoon representation of the structure of the SUMO1:PML-SIM-4SD complex in the presence of zinc highlighting the presence of an α-helix in the N-terminal region of SUMO1. In the representation, SUMO1 is colored in gray and the PML-SIM-4SD peptide in lime green. The bound zinc atoms (Zn1 and Zn2) are represented as grey spheres and the side chains of the residues from SUMO1 and PML-SIM-4SD involved in chelating the zinc atoms are highlighted in stick representation. (B, C) Close-up and metrics (in Å) of Zn1 bound to the N-terminal region (B) and Zn2 bound to the core region (C) of SUMO1 and the PML-SIM-4SD peptide. The residues involved in coordinating the zinc atoms are in stick representation with their respective electron density contoured at 1.5σ cut-off from the 2FoFc map generated from the crystal diffraction data. The red spheres represent water molecules that are coordinated by the zinc atoms.

Figure 5. Structural characterization of a SUMO1:PML-SIM:Zn complex. (A) Overall structure of the SUMO1: PML-SIM complex in the presence of zinc highlighting the zinc bound between the core region of SUMO1 and the PML-SIM peptide. The proteins are in cartoon representation with SUMO1 in gray and PML-SIM peptide in green. The bound zinc atom is represented as a grey sphere and the side chains of the residues from SUMO1 and PML-SIM peptide involved in chelating the zinc atom is highlighted in stick representation. (B) Close-up and metrics (in Å) of the zinc atom bound to the core region of SUMO1 and the PML-SIM peptide. The residues involved in coordinating the zinc atom are in stick representation with their respective electron density contoured at 1.5σ cut-off from the 2FoFc map generated from the crystal diffraction data. The red sphere represents a water molecule that is coordinated by the zinc atom.

Zinc regulates the auto-inhibitory activity of the SUMO1 N-terminal region

Given the presence of two bound zinc atoms binding between SUMO1 the PML-SIM-4SD peptide in the structure of the complex and the fact that one of these zinc atoms was bound to two residues in the helix in the N-terminal region of SUMO1, we were interested to determine whether or not zinc plays a role in the auto-inhibition mechanism observed in SUMO1. To test this, ITC experiments were performed to determine the affinity of the PML-SIM and the PML-SIM-4SD peptides for SUMO1 in the presence of 1mM zinc sulfate (ZnSO$_4$). Under the experi-
mental conditions for these studies, PML-SIM-4SD binds to SUMO1 with a $K_D = 0.57 \pm 0.08 \, \mu M$ in the presence of zinc, but with a $K_D = 22 \pm 1 \, \mu M$ in the absence of zinc (Figure 6 and Supplemental Table S2), and the PML-SIM binds to SUMO1 with a $K_D = 42 \pm 8.0 \, \mu M$ in the presence of zinc, but with a $K_D = 160 \pm 10 \, \mu M$ in the absence of zinc. Thus, the binding of the phospho-mimetic PML-SIM-4SD to SUMO1 increases by 38-fold in the presence of zinc, whereas the binding of the unphosphorylated PML-SIM to SUMO1 increases by only 4-fold in the presence of zinc. To verify that this increase in binding is specific to zinc, additional ITC experiments were performed under identical conditions, but in the presence of 1 mM calcium chloride (CaCl$_2$). In contrast to what was observed in the presence of zinc, there is essentially no difference observed in SUMO1 binding to the PML-SIM-4SD peptide in the presence of calcium ($K_D = 22 \pm 3 \, \mu M$) compared to its binding in the absence of calcium ($K_D = 22 \pm 1 \, \mu M$) (Figure 6B and Supplemental Figure S5). In addition, we tested whether or not the increased binding in the presence of zinc is specific to SUMO1. In these ITC experiments, we compared the binding of SUMO2 to the PML-SIM-4SD peptide in the presence and absence of zinc. Under our experimental conditions, PML-SIM-4SD binds to SUMO2 with a $K_D = 6.5 \pm 1.2 \, \mu M$ in the presence of zinc, but with a $K_D = 12 \pm 1 \, \mu M$ in the absence of zinc (Figure 6C). Thus, the binding of the PML-SIM-4SD to SUMO2 increases by only 2-fold in the presence of zinc as compared to the 38-fold difference seen with SUMO1. To verify the key role of E11 of SUMO1 in bridging the binding to zinc, we examined the binding of SUMO1 containing a Gln substitution for E11 (SUMO1-E11Q) to the PML-SIM-4SD peptide in the presence and absence of zinc. Under our ITC experimental conditions, PML-SIM-4SD binds to SUMO1-E11Q with a $K_D = 4.2 \pm 1.3 \, \mu M$ in the presence of zinc, but with a $K_D = 8.7 \pm 1.4 \, \mu M$ in the absence of zinc (Figure 6B). Thus, the binding of the PML-SIM-4SD to SUMO1-E11Q increases by only 2-fold in the presence of zinc, which is similar to what was observed with SUMO2, but significantly less than the 38-fold difference seen with SUMO1. Taken together, the results demonstrate that zinc ions have the ability to enhance binding to SUMO1 to the PML-SIM-4SD in a paralog specific manner. In addition, these results suggest that both bound zinc atoms in the structure of the complex help enhance the binding of SUMO1 to the SIM of PML, but the zinc atom that bridges between the N-terminal of SUMO1 and the phospho-mimetic PML-SIM-4SD plays a more significant role in enhancing the affinity.

Changes in cellular zinc levels modulate PML-NB formation in senescent cells

Given that the results from the biophysical and structural studies demonstrate that zinc has the capacity to enhance the interaction of SUMO1 with the phospho-mimetic SIM of PML, we were interested to determine whether increases in cellular zinc levels alter PML-NB formation which are formed in part by SUMO–SIM interaction (11,22,23). Cellular zinc levels are tightly regulated (57), but several small molecules known as zinc ionophores have the capacity to bind zinc and enhance its passage into cells leading to increases in cellular zinc levels (58,59). To test whether PML-NB formation is altered by varying cellular zinc levels, we selected the model of oncogene-induced senescence of IMR90 normal human fibroblasts expressing the activated Ras oncogene, where an increase in PML-NB formation occurs (27,60,61). Next, the cells were treated for 24 h with either the zinc ionophore pyrithione (5 μM) to increase cellular zinc levels or with the zinc specific chelator tetrakis(2-pyridinylmethyl)-1,2-ethanediamine (TPEN; 1 μM) to decrease cellular zinc levels. Immunofluorescence analysis using an antibody specific for PML demonstrates that the pyrithione treatment induces significantly the formation of PML-NBs in the Ras-expressing cells, but only a marginal increase in the vector-control cells (Figure 7). Actually, in the Ras-expressing cells, there is an increase in both the total number of PML-NBs formed (Figure 8A) as well as the size of the PML-NBs (Figure 8B) with pyrithione treatment. In contrast, treatment of TPEN results in a decrease is both the total number and size of the PML-NBs in the Ras-senescent cells, but these decreases are less significant than the increases associated with the pyrithione treatment. In addition, immunofluorescence analysis using specific antibodies for either SUMO1 or SUMO2/3 demonstrates that their levels in PML-NBs increase significantly in senescent cells treated with pyrithione, but not with TPEN (Supplemental Figure S6). The increase in SUMO proteins in parallel to the increase in PML-NBs we observe in response to zinc could be explained by an increase in SUMO–SIM interactions in response to zinc as suggested by our structural model. Taken together, the results demonstrate that increases in cellular zinc levels can significantly increase PML-NB formation as well as the levels of SUMO proteins in PML-NBs in Ras-induced senescence cells.

**DISCUSSION**

The interaction of the different SUMO paralogs with proteins containing SIMs are important for the formation of PML-NBs, and these interactions are regulated by a number of different post-translational modifications, including SUMOylation, phosphorylation and acetylation (19,32,62). Although the different SUMO paralogs display many overlapping functions, they also appear to have specific functions and previous studies have shown that they can have varying affinities for select SIMs (18,56,63–65). From a sequence/structure point of view, all SUMO paralogs possess a conserved ubiquitin-like core domain that contains the SIM-binding region. However, there is a much greater variability when comparing the sequences of their intrinsically disordered N-terminal region. This suggests that the N-terminal region of the different SUMO proteins could potentially play an important role in distinguishing paralog specificity in SUMO–SIM interactions. In this work, we investigated the role of the N-terminal region of SUMO1 in its binding to SIMs from proteins that help regulate PML-NB formation. Using a combination of biophysical, structural and functional experiments, we demonstrate that the N-terminal region of SUMO1 functions as an auto-inhibition domain for regulating the binding to the SIMs of PML and Daxx. This auto-inhibition mechanism is specific for SUMO1 binding to the phosphorylated forms of
Figure 6. Zinc ions specifically enhance binding of the phospho-mimetic SIM of PML to SUMO1. (A) ITC thermograms from the titration of the PML-SIM-4SD peptide with SUMO1 either in the absence (left panel) or the presence of zinc sulfate (right panel). (B) Comparison of the \( K_D \) values (in \( \mu M \)) obtained in ITC studies for SUMO1 binding to PML-SIM and PML-SIM-4SD and SUMO1E111Q binding to PML-SIM-4SD in the presence and absence of zinc as well as the binding to PML-SIM-4SD in the presence of calcium. (C) Comparison of the \( K_D \) values (in \( \mu M \)) obtained in ITC studies for PML-SIM-4SD binding to SUMO1 and SUMO2 in the presence and absence of zinc. All experiments were conducted in 20 mM Tris–HCl pH7.4, 50 mM NaCl in the absence or presence of 1 mM ZnSO4.
the SIMs, as we were not able to observe similar effects with either the unphosphorylated SIMs binding to SUMO1 or in experiments with the SIMs binding to SUMO2. Structural characterization of crystals of a SUMO:PML-SIM-4SD complex in the presence of zinc demonstrates that a bound zinc atom bridges the N-terminal of SUMO1 and negatively charged residues from the PML-SIM-4SD. Importantly, this zinc atom stabilizes the formation of a 9-residue helix within the normally disordered N-terminal region of SUMO1. In support of an important role for zinc ions in SUMO1-SIM interactions, ITC studies showed that SUMO1 binding to PML-SIM-4SD is significantly enhanced in the presence of zinc, and enhancing cellular zinc levels with the zinc ionophore pyrithione leads to increases in formation of PML-NBs in senescent cells.

The presence of an auto-inhibition domain within the N-terminal region of SUMO1, but not in SUMO2, is somewhat surprising. It was previously shown that the N-terminal regions of both SUMO1 and SUMO2 possess an anti-aggregation activity, which was postulated to occur through an in trans interaction within the two proteins (41). More specifically, it was suggested that the N-terminal re-

Figure 7. Increasing cellular zinc levels in senescent cells enhances PML-NB formation. Normal human fibroblasts IMR90 cells expressing a control vector or the activated RAS oncogene, to induce senescence were treated for 24h with either control vehicle, 5 μM PT (pyrithione) or 1 μM TPEN prior to fixation and indirect immunofluorescence with a specific anti-PML antibody (Bethyl # A301-167A) and with DAPI to stain DNA in the nucleus.
Figure 8. Increasing cellular zinc levels in senescent cells enhances PML-NB formation. Normal human fibroblasts IMR90 cells expressing a control vector or the activated RAS oncogene, to induce senescence, were treated for 24 h with either control vehicle, 5 μM pyrithione (PT) or 1 μM TPEN prior to fixation and quantitative analysis for the overall number of PML-NBs per cell (A) and the number of PML-NBs greater than 1 μm in size (B). For each condition, 50 cells from two independent experiments were counted and statistics were performed using the PRISM9 software.
different manner as to what is observed following exposure to these non-biological metals. Our results demonstrate that altering zinc levels in cells with the zinc ionophore pyrithione leads to a significant increase both in the size and the number of PML-NBs that are present even 24h after the treatment is initiated and these increases can be blocked by the addition of the zinc specific chelator TPEN. Thus, zinc does not appear to enhance the degradation of PML by RNF4 in these larger bodies, as seen following treatment with arsenic and antimony. This may be due to the fact that increases in zinc levels help stabilize the native fold of PML, which has lower affinity for UBC9 than the partially unfolded form adopted following treatment with arsenic (68). In addition, our results suggest that zinc can also enhance SUMO–SIM interactions with phosphorylated SIMs required for the formation of the higher order structure of PML-NBs (23).

The role of zinc could also be linked to the functions of PML-NBs during the stress response (93,94). PML-NBs share common properties with many other liquid-liquid phase separation processes that play important roles in regulating cellular responses to stress (32,95–98). In particular, PML condenses into droplets using weak interactions between its modular domains, and it has been shown that key factors regulating the biogenesis of these droplets include the affinity, stoichiometry and valency of SUMO–SIM interactions (76,99,100). Therefore, it is possible that zinc plays a key role in regulating the phase separation processes associated with PML-NB by enhancing SUMO1 interaction with multiple different proteins containing phosphorylated SIMs (20,30). Several proteins that locate to PML-NBs contain a SIM with either a negatively charged amino acid residue or a phosphorylation site immediately agent to the hydrophobic core residues in the same position as D562 of PML-SIM-4SD, including Daxx, PIAS1-4, RNF4 and SP100. Therefore, it is possible that zinc could regulate the interaction of SUMO1 with a plethora of SIM-containing proteins that transit within PML-NBs. However, more detailed studies in cells are required to determine the exact mechanism by which increased cellular zinc levels enhance formation of PML-NBs in senescence cells. Still, the effect of increased cellular zinc levels on PML-NB formation is an intriguing observation, since stress granule formation is also enhanced by increasing cellular zinc levels (101) and SUMO proteins also play an important role in stress granule formation (102,103). In future studies, it will be important to determine the cellular details of interactions within PML-NBs regulated by enhanced zinc levels as well as to investigate whether zinc is required for the formation of other membrane-less organelles where SUMO proteins have been shown to play a key role (104), through its ability to regulate SUMO1–SIM interactions.
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