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Abstract
We introduce the Richelot class of superintegrable systems in $N$-dimensions whose $n \leq N$ equations of motion coincide with the Abel equations on the $n - 1$ genus hyperelliptic curve. Corresponding additional integrals of motion are the second-order polynomials of momenta and multiseparability of the Richelot superintegrable systems is related to the classical theory of covers of the hyperelliptic curves.

PACS numbers: 45.10.Na, 45.40.Cc

In the antique rarely-read collections of scientific societies as well as in the comprehensive scientific correspondence of the scientist of the past, an enormous quantity of scientific matter is contained, from which anyone capable can find something motivating to start their own work, as well as simultaneously learn something useful.

K Weierstrass, ‘The speech delivered upon assuming the position of Rector of Berlin University on October 15, 1873’, Phys. Usp. 42 1219 (1999)

1. Introduction

In classical mechanics, superintegrable systems are characterized by the fact that they possess more than $N$ integrals of motion which are functionally independent, globally defined in a $2N$-dimensional phase space. In particular, when the number of integrals is $2N - 1$, the systems are said to be maximally superintegrable. The dynamics of these systems is particularly interesting: all bounded orbits are closed and periodic [5]. The phase space topology is also very rich: it has the structure of a symplectic bifoliation, consisting of the usual Liouville–Arnold invariant fibration by Lagrangian tori, and of a (coisotropic) polar foliation [24].

The notion of superintegrability possesses an interesting analogue in quantum mechanics. Sommerfeld and Bohr were the first to note that systems allowing separation of variables in...
more than one coordinate system may admit additional integrals of motion. Superintegrable systems show accidental degeneracy of the energy levels, which can be removed by taking into account the quantum numbers associated with the additional integrals of motion; some of their bound state energy levels may be calculated algebraically and the corresponding wave functions are expressed in terms of polynomials. One of the best examples of this phenomenon is provided by the harmonic oscillator and the Kepler–Coulomb problem. A large number of papers have been published on superintegrability in recent years, most of them related to the second-order integrals of motion (see [3, 6, 9, 11, 15, 18, 22, 27, 31–33] for some recent results and an extensive list of references).

Systematic investigations of superintegrable systems have a very long history, which began in 1761 when Euler proposed construction of the additional algebraic integral for the differential equation

\[ \frac{dx_1}{\sqrt{f(x_1)}} \pm \frac{dx_2}{\sqrt{f(x_2)}} = 0, \]

where \( f \) is an arbitrary quartic [12]. The corresponding superintegrable Stäckel systems have been classified in [18].

The Abel theorem may be regarded as a generalization of these Euler results. Recall that the Abel equations

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_i(x_j) \frac{dx_j}{\sqrt{f(x_j)}} = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, p, \]

(1.1)

play a pivotal role in classical mechanics and that there are two approaches to the investigation of the Abel equations associated with Jacobi and Richelot, respectively (see the 30th lecture in [13]). In modern mathematics, the first approach or the Abel–Jacobi map is one of the main constructions of algebraic geometry which relates the algebraic curve to its Jacobian variation. The second approach yields the theory of addition theorems, moduli (modular equations), cryptography and so on.

The aim of this paper is to discuss the Richelot construction of addition integrals for the Abel equations and construction of the corresponding \( N \)-dimensional superintegrable systems in classical mechanics. We treat only classical superintegrable systems here, though the corresponding results for the quantum systems follow easily. The discussion of criteria of superintegrability in physical variables goes beyond the scope of this paper.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, the main Richelot results are briefly reviewed. Then we discuss possible application of these results to classification of the superintegrable Stäckel systems. In section 3, the classification of superintegrable systems separable in orthogonal coordinate systems is treated and solved. Some open problems are discussed in the final section.

2. The Richelot superintegrable systems

In this section we use the original Richelot notations [26].

Let \( y \) be the algebraic function of \( x \) defined by an equation of the form

\[ \Phi(x, y) = y^m + f_1(x)y^{m-1} + \cdots + f_m(x) = 0, \]

(2.1)

where \( f_1(x), \ldots, f_m(x) \) are rational polynomials in \( x \). According to the Abel theorem, a system of the \( p \) differential equations

\[ \frac{dt_i}{dx_1} dx_1 + \cdots + \frac{dt_i}{dx_N} dx_N = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, p \]
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have additional algebraic integrals if $N > p$ and if $u_1, \ldots, u_p$ is a set of linearly independent Abelian integrals of the first kind on the algebraic curve (2.1).

There is a generic formal method of finding algebraic integrals of these differential equations [2].

For the particular forms of the curve (2.1) there are some explicit formulae due to Euler [12], Lagrange [23], Jacobi [14], Richelot [26], Weierstrass [34] and others [2, 8, 16].

2.1. The Richelot integrals

Following Richelot [26] we will consider the hyperelliptic curve

$$y^2 = f(x) \equiv A_{2n}x^{2n} + A_{2n-1}x^{2n-1} + \cdots + A_1x + A_0$$

(2.2)

and the following system of $n - 1$ differential equations:

$$\frac{dx_1}{\sqrt{f(x_1)}} + \frac{dx_2}{\sqrt{f(x_2)}} + \cdots + \frac{dx_n}{\sqrt{f(x_n)}} = 0,$$

$$\frac{x_1 dx_1}{\sqrt{f(x_1)}} + \frac{x_2 dx_2}{\sqrt{f(x_2)}} + \cdots + x_n dx_n = 0,$$

(2.3)

$$x_1^{n-2} \frac{dx_1}{\sqrt{f(x_1)}} + x_2^{n-2} \frac{dx_2}{\sqrt{f(x_2)}} + \cdots + x_n^{n-2} \frac{dx_n}{\sqrt{f(x_n)}} = 0.$$

Let $a_k$ be the values of $x$ at the branch points of the curve (2.2) and $F(x) = (x - x_1)(x - x_2)\cdots(x - x_n)$; then in the generic case additional integrals of the Abel equations (2.3) are equal to

$$C_k = \left(\frac{\sqrt{f(x_0)}}{F(x_1)}, \frac{\sqrt{f(x_2)}}{F(x_2)}, \ldots, \frac{\sqrt{f(x_n)}}{F(x_n)}\right)^2 - A_{2n}.$$  

(2.4)

If $A_{2n} = 0$ additional integrals of equations (2.3) look like

$$C_k = \left(\frac{\sqrt{f(x_1)}}{F(x_1)}, \frac{\sqrt{f(x_2)}}{F(x_2)}, \ldots, \frac{\sqrt{f(x_n)}}{F(x_n)}\right)^2 - A_{2n}.$$  

(2.5)

There are $n - 1$ functionally independent integrals of motion $C_k$ and, of course, their combinations are integrals of motion too.

Using special combinations of $C_k$ we can avoid calculations of the values $a_k$ of $x$ at the branch points [14, 26, 34]. As an example, in his paper, Richelot found the following two algebraic integrals:

$$K_1 = \left(\frac{\sqrt{f(x_1)}}{F(x_1)} + \cdots + \frac{\sqrt{f(x_n)}}{F(x_n)}\right)^2 - A_{2n-1}(x_1 + \cdots + x_n) - A_{2n}(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^2$$

(2.6)

and

$$K_2 = \left(\frac{\sqrt{f(x_1)}}{x_1^2 F(x_1)} + \cdots + \frac{\sqrt{f(x_n)}}{x_n^2 F(x_n)}\right)^2 x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_n^2 - A_1 \left(\frac{1}{x_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{x_n}\right) - A_0 \left(\frac{1}{x_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{x_n}\right)^2.$$  

(2.7)

The generating function of additional integrals was proposed by Weierstrass [34], see [2] for details.
2.2. Construction of the Richelot superintegrable systems

Let us apply the Richelot construction to the classification of the superintegrable systems in classical mechanics.

**Definition 1.** An $N$-dimensional integrable system is a superintegrable Richelot system if

\[ n - 1 < n \leq N, \]

equations of motion in some variables coincide with the Abel–Richelot equations (2.3).

In this case there are additional Richelot integrals or constants of motion for any underlying Hamiltonian and Poisson structures because it is the property of the equations of motion only.

It is easy to get a lot of examples of the superintegrable Richelot systems in the framework of the Jacobi separation of variables method, see [18, 31–33].

Let us start with the maximally superintegrable Richelot systems at $N = n$. In this case our construction consists of one hyperelliptic curve (2.2)

\[ \mu^2 = f(\lambda), \quad \text{where} \quad f(\lambda) = A_{2n} \lambda^{2n} + A_{2n-1} \lambda^{2n-1} + \cdots + A_1 \lambda + A_0, \quad (2.8) \]

and $n$ arbitrary substitutions

\[ \lambda_j = u_j(q_j), \quad \mu_j = u_j(q_j) p_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n, \quad (2.9) \]

where $p$ and $q$ are canonical variables $\{p_j, q_j\} = \delta_{ij}$ or variables of separation.

The $n$ copies of this hyperelliptic curve and these substitutions give us $n$ separated relations

\[ p_j^2 u_j^2(q_j) = A_{2n} v_j(q_j)^{2n} + A_{2n-1} v_j(q_j)^{2n-1} + \cdots + A_1 v_j(q_j) + A_0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n, \quad (2.10) \]

where $2n + 1$ coefficients $A_{2n}, \ldots, A_0$ are linear functions of $n$ integrals of motion $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ and $2n + 1$ parameters $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{2n+1}$.

Solving these separated equations with respect to $H_k$, one gets functionally independent integrals of motion in the involution

\[ H_k = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (S^{-1})_{jk} (p_j^2 + U_j(q_j)), \quad k = 1, \ldots, n = N, \quad (2.11) \]

where $U_j(q_j)$ are the so-called Stäckel potentials and $S$ is the Stäckel matrix [28].

If $H_1$ is the Hamilton function, then coordinates $q_j(t, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ are determined from the Jacobi equations

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int \frac{S_{1j}(q_j) \, dq_j}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k S_{1j}(q_j) - U_j(q_j)}} = \tau - t, \quad (2.12) \]

and

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int \frac{S_{ij}(q_j) \, dq_j}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k S_{ij}(q_j) - U_j(q_j)}} = \beta_i, \quad i = 2, \ldots, n, \quad (2.13) \]

where $t$ is the time variable conjugated to the Hamilton function $H_1$. According to Jacobi [13] these equations are another form of the Abel equations (1.1) and describe inversion of the corresponding Abel map.

In order to use the Richelot results we have to impose some constraints on the entries of the Stäckel matrix $S_{ij}(q_j)$, which gives rise to some restrictions on the coefficients $A_k$ [18, 31].
Proposition 1. If we compare \( n - 1 \) equations (2.3) and equations (2.13) at \( \lambda = x \), one obtains that for the Richelot systems the Stäckel matrix in \( \lambda \) variables has to be one of the following matrices:

\[
S^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_1^k & \lambda_2^k & \cdots & \lambda_n^k \\
\lambda_1^{k-1} & \lambda_2^{k-1} & \cdots & \lambda_n^{k-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1
\end{pmatrix}, \quad k = n, n + 1, \ldots, 2n, \tag{2.14}
\]

so that

\[
\mu^2 = f(\lambda) = \lambda^k H_1 + \lambda^{n-1} H_{n-1} + \cdots + H_n + \sum_{j=0}^{2n} \alpha_j \lambda^j, \quad \alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.15}
\]

Since \( k \) is an arbitrary number from \( n \) to \( 2n \) we have a family of dual Stäckel systems associated with one hyperelliptic curve (2.8) and different blocks of the corresponding Brill–Noether matrix [29, 30].

Remark 1. For any two dual systems with Hamiltonians \( H_1 \) and \( \tilde{H}_1 \), the corresponding Stäckel matrices \( S^{(k)} \) and \( S^{(\tilde{k})} \) are distinguished on the first row only. These Stäckel systems are related by a canonical transformation of time \( t \to \tilde{t} \)

\[
\tilde{H}_1 = v(q) H_1, \quad d\tilde{t} = v(q) dt, \quad \text{where} \quad v(q) = \frac{\det S^{(k)}}{\det S^{(\tilde{k})}}. \tag{2.16}
\]

Such dual systems have common trajectories with different parametrization by time [30, 22]. The existence of such dual systems is related to the fact that the Abel map is surjective and generically injective.

Remark 2. For dual systems, the corresponding hyperelliptic curves (2.15) are related by permutation of one of \( \alpha \)'s and Hamiltonian \( H_1 \) and, therefore, such transformations are called the coupling constant metamorphoses [7, 19, 30]. Such transformations are related to the reciprocal transformations as well [1].

Now let us briefly consider the construction of the superintegrable Richelot systems for which \( n - 1 \) equations of motion among the \( N \) equations of motion are the Abel–Richelot equations only. In this case \( n \) separated relations (2.10) have to be complemented by \( N - n \) separated relations

\[
\Phi_m(p_m, q_m, H_1, \ldots, H_N) = 0, \quad n < m \leq N.
\]

Solving this complete set of the separated equations with respect to the integrals of motion \( H_k \) we have to get \( N \) functionally independent integrals of motion (2.11). As above, the Abel equations have to coincide with the Richelot equations (2.3); therefore, the \( n \times n \) block of the \( N \times N \) Stäckel matrix has to be a matrix like (2.14). If we take into account all these restrictions, one gets the complete classifications of the superintegrable Stäckel–Richelot systems.

The main problem is that we want to get a special class of Hamiltonians \( H_j \) in physical variables \( x \) instead of the Stäckel integrals (2.11) in terms of the abstract separated variables \( q \), which could be related to physical variables by an arbitrary canonical transformation. According to [18, 31, 33], this problem may be solved if we consider natural Hamiltonians and point transformations. In this case it leads to some additional restrictions on the coefficients \( A_j \) in (2.8) and substitutions (2.9), which allows us to get some generic classification.
It is easy to see that the Stäckel integrals of motion \( H_k \) and the Richelot additional integrals of motion are the second-order polynomials in momenta
\[
K_1 = \left[ \frac{u_1 p_1}{F'(v_1)} + \cdots + \frac{u_n p_n}{F'(v_n)} \right]^2 - A_{2n-1}(v_1 + \cdots + v_n) - A_{2n}(v_1 + \cdots + v_n)^2 \tag{2.17}
\]
and
\[
K_2 = \left[ \frac{u_1 p_1}{v_1^2 F'(v_1)} + \cdots + \frac{u_n p_n}{v_n^2 F'(v_n)} \right]^2 - \frac{1}{v_1^2} \cdots \frac{1}{v_n^2} - A_1 \left( \frac{1}{v_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{v_n} \right) - A_0 \left( \frac{1}{v_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{v_n} \right)^2. \tag{2.18}
\]
Here \( u_j \) and \( v_j \) are functions on coordinates only.

So, in the Stäckel–Richelot case all the integrals of motion are the second-order polynomials in momenta, and this allows us to find natural Hamiltonian superintegrable systems on the Riemannian manifolds using the well-studied theory of the orthogonal coordinate systems and the corresponding Killing tensors [4, 10, 21, 25].

3. The Richelot systems separable in orthogonal coordinate systems

All the orthogonal separable coordinate systems can be viewed as an orthogonal sum of certain basic coordinate systems [4, 10, 21, 25]. Below we consider some of these basic coordinate systems in an \( n \)-dimensional Euclidean space only.

3.1. The basic orthogonal coordinate systems

**Definition 2.** The elliptic coordinate system \( \{q_i\} \) in an \( N \)-dimensional Euclidean space \( \mathbb{E}_N \) with parameters \( e_1 < e_2 < \cdots < e_N \) is defined through the equation
\[
e(\lambda) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{x_k^2}{\lambda - e_k} = \prod_{i=1}^{N} (\lambda - q_i) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} (\lambda - e_i) \right)^{-1}. \tag{3.1}
\]

The defining equation (3.1) should be interpreted as an identity with respect to \( \lambda \).

It is possible to degenerate the elliptic coordinate systems in a proper way by letting two or more of the parameters \( e_i \) coincide. Then the ellipsoid will become a spheroid, or even a sphere, if all parameters coincide. The rotational symmetry of dimension \( m \) is thus introduced if \( m + 1 \) parameters coincide.

**Example 1.** As an example when \( e_1 = e_2, \) we have
\[
e(\lambda) = 1 + \frac{r^2}{\lambda - e_1} + \sum_{i=3}^{N} \frac{x_i^2}{\lambda - e_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (\lambda - q_i), \quad r^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2. \tag{3.2}
\]
It defines the elliptic coordinate system in \( \mathbb{E}_{N-1} = \{r, x_3, \ldots, x_N\} \). In order to get an orthogonal coordinate system \( \{q_1, \ldots, q_N\} \) in \( \mathbb{E}_N \), we could complement \( r \) with the angular coordinate \( q_N \) in the \( \{x_1, x_2\} \)-plane, for instance through
\[
x_1 = r \cos q_N, \quad x_2 = r \sin q_N, \quad \text{where} \quad r = \sqrt{\text{res}_{\lambda=e_1} e(\lambda)}. \tag{3.3}
\]
At \( N = 3 \) these equations define the prolate spherical coordinate system.
When \( e_1 = e_2 = \cdots = e_n \), the only remaining coordinate is \( r = \sqrt{\sum x_i^2} \) and \( N - 1 \) angular coordinates have to be introduced on the unit sphere \( \mathbb{S}_{N-1} \). According to [21] these angular coordinates are also called ignorable coordinates.

**Definition 3.** The parabolic coordinate system \( \{q_i\} \) in \( \mathbb{E}_N \) with parameters \( e_1 < e_2 < \cdots < e_{N-1} \) is defined through the equation

\[
e(\lambda) = \lambda - 2x_N - \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{x_k^2}{\lambda - e_k} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{N-1}(\lambda - q_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{N-1}(\lambda - e_j)},
\]  

(3.4)

This orthogonal coordinate system can, in fact, be derived from the elliptic coordinate system as well. Namely, substitute

\[
x_i = \frac{x_i'}{\sqrt{e_i}}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N - 1, \quad x_N = \frac{x_N' - e_N}{\sqrt{e_N}}
\]

into (3.1) and let \( e_N \) tend to infinity, then drop the primes and one gets the parabolic coordinate system.

The parabolic coordinate system can be degenerated in the same way as the elliptic coordinate system.

**Example 2.** If \( e_1 = e_2 \), we have

\[
e(\lambda) = \lambda - 2x_N - \frac{r^2}{\lambda - e_1} - \sum_{k=3}^{N-1} \frac{x_k^2}{\lambda - e_k} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{N-1}(\lambda - q_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{N-2}(\lambda - e_j)}, \quad r^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2.
\]  

(3.5)

As above, in order to get an orthogonal coordinate system \( \{q_i\} \) in \( \mathbb{E}_N \), we could complement \( r \) with an angular or ignorable coordinate \( q_N \) in the \( \{x_1, x_2\} \)-plane defined by (3.3). At \( N = 3 \) it is the so-called rotational parabolic coordinate system.

**Definition 4.** The elliptic coordinate system \( \{q_i\} \) on the sphere \( \mathbb{S}_N \) with parameters \( e_1 < e_2 < \cdots < e_{N+1} \) is defined through the equation

\[
e(\lambda) = \lambda - 2x_N - \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \frac{x_k^2}{\lambda - e_k} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{N}(\lambda - q_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{N+1}(\lambda - e_j)},
\]  

(3.6)

Note that (3.6) implies \( \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} x_i^2 = 1 \). Similarly, we can define the elliptic coordinate system \( \{q_i\} \) on the hyperboloid \( \mathbb{H}_N \) with \( x_0^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2 = 1 \) [21]. As above, these coordinates can be degenerated by letting some, but not all, parameters \( e_i \) coincide.

**Remark 3.** There are some algorithms [4, 25] and software [17, 20] that for a given natural Hamilton function \( H = T + V \) determine if separation coordinates exist, and in that case, show how to construct them, i.e. how to get the determining function \( e(\lambda) \).

### 3.2. The maximally superintegrable Richelot systems

The basic orthogonal coordinate systems are defined by the function

\[
e(\lambda) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{N}(\lambda - q_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{M}(\lambda - e_j)} = \frac{\phi(\lambda)}{u(\lambda)}, \quad M = N, N \pm 1,
\]  

(3.7)
which is the ratio of the following polynomials:

\[ \phi(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{N}(\lambda - q_j) \quad \text{and} \quad u(\lambda) = \prod_{j=1}^{M}(\lambda - e_j). \quad (3.8) \]

We can describe the maximally superintegrable Richelot systems separable in these coordinate systems using the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.** If \( n = N \) separated relations have the following form

\[ p_i^2 u(q_i)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ u(\lambda) \cdot \left( H_1 \lambda^k + \sum_{i=2}^{N} H_i \lambda^{n-i} \right) \right]_{\lambda = q_i} - \alpha(\lambda), \quad \alpha(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{2N} \alpha_j \lambda^j, \quad (3.9) \]

where \( \alpha(\lambda) \) is an arbitrary polynomial, then equations of motion (2.13) are the Abel–Richelot equations (2.3).

If \( k = n \), the corresponding maximally superintegrable Hamiltonian \( H_1 \)

\[ H_1 = T + V = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \text{res}_{\lambda = q_i} \frac{1}{e(\lambda)} \cdot p_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \text{res}_{\lambda = q_i} \frac{\alpha(\lambda)}{u^2(\lambda)e(\lambda)}. \quad (3.10) \]

Here we introduce an additional parameter \( e_0 = \infty \).

If \( k > n \) then \( H_1^{(k>n)} = v(x) H_1 \), where function \( v(x) \) is defined by (2.16).

It is easy to prove that these maximally superintegrable Richelot systems coincide with the well-known superintegrable systems [3, 9, 11, 15, 22, 27].

For an elliptic coordinate system in \( \mathbb{E}_N \), equation (3.10) yields the following potential:

\[ V = \alpha_{2N} \left( x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_i x_i^2, \quad \gamma_i = \frac{\alpha(e_i)}{\prod_{j \neq i} (e_i - e_j)^2}. \]

For a parabolic coordinate system in \( \mathbb{E}_N \) one gets

\[ V = \alpha_{2N} \left( x_1^2 + \cdots + 4x_n^2 \right) + y_N x_N + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \gamma_i x_i, \quad y_N = 4\alpha_{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i + 2\alpha_{2N-1}. \]

For an elliptic coordinate system on the sphere \( S_N \) or on the hyperboloid \( \mathbb{H}_N \) we obtain

\[ V = \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \gamma_i x_i^2, \quad \gamma_i = \frac{\alpha(e_i)}{\prod_{j \neq i} (e_i - e_j)^2}. \]

**Example 3.** Let us consider parabolic coordinates \((q_1, q_2, q_3)\) defined by

\[ e(\lambda) = \lambda - 2x_3 - \frac{x_1^2}{\lambda - e_1} = \frac{x_2^2}{\lambda - e_2} = \frac{(\lambda - q_1)(\lambda - q_2)(\lambda - q_3)}{(\lambda - e_1)(\lambda - e_2)}, \]

whereas the corresponding momenta are equal to

\[ p_i = \frac{x_1 p_{x_1}}{2(q_i - e_1)} + \frac{x_2 p_{x_2}}{2(q_i - e_2)} + \frac{p_{x_3}}{2}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, 3. \]
In this case the separated relations (3.9)–(3.15) look like
\[ p_i^2(q_i - e_i)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left( H_1 \lambda^2 + H_2 \lambda + H_3 \right) (\lambda - e_i)(\lambda - e_2) = \alpha(\lambda), \quad i = 1, \ldots, 3. \] (3.11)

On solving these equations with respect to \( H_k \) one gets integral of motion and the following Hamilton function:
\[ H_1 = \frac{p_1 + p_2 + p_3}{2} + \alpha_6 \left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 4x_3^2 \right) + \gamma_3 x_3 + \frac{y_1}{x_1} + \frac{y_2}{x_2} + \text{const}. \] (3.12)

It is the maximally superintegrable Hamiltonian with the Stäckel integrals of motion \( H_2, H_3 \) and two additional Richelot integral of motion \( K_{1,2} \) (2.17)–(2.18):
\[ K_1 = \left( \frac{(q_1 - e_1)(q_1 - e_2)p_1}{(q_1 - q_2)(q_1 - q_3)} + \frac{(q_2 - e_1)(q_2 - e_2)p_2}{(q_2 - q_1)(q_2 - q_3)} + \frac{(q_3 - e_1)(q_3 - e_2)p_3}{(q_3 - q_1)(q_3 - q_2)} \right)^2 \]
\[ + \frac{\alpha_5}{2} (q_1 + q_2 + q_3) + \frac{\alpha_6}{2} (q_1 + q_2 + q_3)^2, \]
\[ K_2 = \left( \frac{(q_1 - e_1)(q_1 - e_2)p_1}{(q_1 - q_2)(q_1 - q_3)q_1^2} + \frac{(q_2 - e_1)(q_2 - e_2)p_2}{(q_2 - q_1)(q_2 - q_3)q_2^2} + \frac{(q_3 - e_1)(q_3 - e_2)p_3}{(q_3 - q_1)(q_3 - q_2)q_3^2} \right)^2 \]
\[ + \frac{H_3}{2}(1 + H_1 - H_3) e_1 e_2 \left( \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} + \frac{1}{q_3} \right) - \frac{e_1 e_2 H_3}{2} \left( \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} + \frac{1}{q_3} \right)^2. \] (3.13)

In physical variables \((x, p_x)\) these integrals have a more complicated structure.

It is easy to prove that integrals \( H_1, H_2, H_3 \) and \( K_1, K_2 \) are functionally independent. Of course, all these integrals of motion may be obtained in the framework of the Weierstrass approach [34] as well.

**Example 4.** Now let us consider a dual Stäckel system and put \( k = n + 1 \) in the Stäckel matrix (2.14) from the previous example. It means that we change one of the coefficients in the separated relations (3.11) and consider the following separated relations:
\[ p_i^2(q_i - e_i)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \tilde{H}_1 \lambda^3 + \tilde{H}_2 \lambda + \tilde{H}_3 \right) (\lambda - e_i)(\lambda - e_2) = \alpha(\lambda), \quad i = 1, \ldots, 3. \]

On solving these equations one gets the superintegrable system with the Hamiltonian
\[ \tilde{H}_1 = \nu(q)H_1 = \frac{1}{2x_3 + e_1 + e_2}H_1, \]
where \( H_1 \) is given by (3.12). Of course, this canonical transformation of time changes additional integrals of motion \( K_{1,2} \) (3.12).

3.3. The superintegrable Richelot systems

Now let us consider degenerate coordinate systems for which two or more of the parameters \( e_j \) coincide.

In terms of the separated coordinates, the defining function \( e(\lambda) \) remains a meromorphic function with \( n \) simple roots and \( m = n \pm 1 \) simple poles. For the construction of Richelot systems, we need degenerations such as \( 1 < n < N \).

In this case in order to get superintegrable Richelot systems with \( n - 1 \) additional integrals of motion we have to take \( n \) separated relations (3.9)
\[ p_i^2 u(q_i)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ u(\lambda) \cdot \left( H_1 \lambda^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n H_i \lambda^{n-i} \right) - \alpha(\lambda) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1+1}^N \frac{u(\lambda)}{g_j(\lambda)} H_j \right]_{\lambda=\lambda_0}. \] (3.14)
and \( N - n \) separated relations for ignorable variables
\[
p^2_j = 2(U_j(q_j) - H_j), \quad j = n + 1, \ldots, N. \tag{3.15}
\]
Here polynomials \( g_j(\lambda) \) depend on the degree of degeneracy and the definition of ignorable variables \([4, 21]\), whereas \( U_j(q_j) \) are arbitrary functions on these ignorable (angular) variables \( q_j \).

On solving these equations with respect to the integrals of motion \( H_j \) one gets the Hamilton function in the same form as (3.10) in which, roughly speaking, the trailing coefficient of the polynomial \( \alpha(\lambda) \) depends on the ignorable variables.

**Proposition 3.** For degenerate elliptic or parabolic coordinates, superintegrable potentials have the following form (3.10):
\[
V = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \text{res}_{\lambda=\alpha_i} \left( \frac{\alpha(\lambda) - U_i}{\alpha(\lambda) - e(\lambda)} \right), \quad e_0 = \infty, \tag{3.16}
\]
where \( U_i = 0 \) for single roots \( e_i \) of initial function \( \lambda - e_1 \cdots (\lambda - e_M) \) (3.8) after degeneration \( e_i = e_j \). For degenerate roots \( e_k = e_j \), potential \( U_i \) are arbitrary functions on the corresponding ignorable variables.

This allows us to classify all the superintegrable Richelot systems using the known classification of the orthogonal coordinate systems \([3, 9, 11, 15, 18, 22, 27]\).

**Example 5.** Let us consider the prolate spherical coordinate system \((q_1, q_2, q_3)\) defined by
\[
e(\lambda) = 1 + \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{\lambda - e_1} + \frac{x_3^2}{\lambda - e_3} = \frac{(\lambda - q_1)(\lambda - q_2)}{(\lambda - e_1)(\lambda - e_3)}, \quad q_1 = \arctan \left( \frac{x_1}{x_2} \right).
\]
The corresponding momenta are
\[
p_1 = \frac{x_1 p_{x_1} + x_2 p_{x_2}}{2(q_1 - e_1)} + \frac{x_3 p_{x_3}}{2(q_1 - e_3)}, \quad p_2 = \frac{x_1 p_{x_1} + x_2 p_{x_2}}{2(q_2 - e_1)} + \frac{x_3 p_{x_3}}{2(q_2 - e_3)}, \quad p_3 = x_3 p_{x_3} - x_1 p_{x_1}.
\]
In this case \( g(\lambda) = (e_3 - e_1)^{-1}(\lambda - e_1) \) and the separated relations (3.14)–(3.15) look like
\[
p_j^2(q_j - e_1)^2(q_j - e_3)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( (H_1 \lambda + H_2) (\lambda - e_1)(\lambda - e_2) - \alpha(\lambda) + \frac{(\lambda - e_2)(e_3 - e_1)H_3}{2} \right)_{\lambda = q_j},
\]
\[
p_3 = 2(U(q_3) - H_3),
\]
where \( \alpha(\lambda) = \alpha_2 \lambda^2 + \alpha_3 \lambda^3 + \alpha_2 \lambda^2 + \alpha_3 \lambda + \alpha_0 \).

On solving these equations with respect to \( H_k \) one gets integrals of motion and the following Hamiltonian:
\[
H_1 = \frac{p_{x_1} + p_{x_2} + p_{x_3}}{2} + \alpha_4 \left( \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} + \frac{y_1}{x_1^2} + \frac{y_3}{x_3^2} - 2 \alpha_4 (e_3 + e_1) - \alpha_3, \right)
\]
where
\[
y_{1,3} = \frac{\alpha(e_{1,3})}{(e_1 - e_3)^2}.
\]
This is the superintegrable Hamiltonian with the St"ackel integrals of motion \( H_2, H_3 \) and additional Richelot integral of motion \( K_1 \) (2.17), which is equal to
\[
K_1 = \left( \frac{(q_1 - e_1)(q_1 - e_3)p_1}{q_1 - q_2} + \frac{(q_2 - e_1)(q_2 - e_3)p_2}{q_2 - q_1} \right)^2 - \frac{(H_1 - \alpha_3)(q_1 + q_2)}{2} + \frac{\alpha_4(q_1 + q_2)^2}{2}.
\]
In physical variables \((x, p_x)\) one gets the following expression for this integral of motion:

\[
K_1 = \frac{(x_1 p_{x_1} + x_2 p_{x_2} + x_3 p_{x_3})^2}{4} + \frac{e_1 + e_3 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2}{2} \times (\alpha_3 (e_1 + e_3 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2) + \alpha_3 - H_1).
\]

The second Richelot integral \(K_2 (2.18)\) looks like

\[
K_2 = \left( \frac{(q_1 - e_1)(q_1 - e_3)p_1}{(q_1 - q_2 q_1^2)} + \frac{(q_2 - e_1)(q_2 - e_3)p_2}{(q_2 - q_1 q_2^2)} \right)^2 q_1^2 q_2^2
- A_1 \left( \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} \right) - A_0 \left( \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} \right),
\]

where

\[
A_1 = \frac{1}{2} (e_1 e_3 H_1 - (e_1 + e_3) H_2 + (e_1 - e_3) H_3 - \alpha_1),
A_0 = \frac{1}{2} (e_1 e_3 H_2 - e_3 (e_1 - e_3) H_3 - \alpha_0).
\]

Of course, substituting \(H_1, \ldots, H_3\) into \(K_2\) one gets that \(K_1 = K_2\), because in this case we have only one Abel–Richelot equation, i.e. \(n - 1 = 1\). It means that the Hamiltonian \(H_1\) in \(E_3\) is not maximally superintegrable.

**Example 6.** Let us consider rotational parabolic coordinates \((q_1, q_2, q_3)\) defined by

\[
e(\lambda) = \lambda - 2x_3 - \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{\lambda - e_1} = \frac{(\lambda - q_1)(\lambda - q_2)}{\lambda - e_1}, \quad q_3 = \arctan \left( \frac{x_1}{x_2} \right),
\]

where the corresponding momenta look like

\[
p_1 = \frac{x_1 p_{x_1} + x_2 p_{x_2}}{2(q_1 - e_1)} + \frac{p_{x_3}}{2}, \quad p_2 = \frac{x_1 p_{x_1} + x_2 p_{x_2}}{2(q_2 - e_1)} + \frac{p_{x_3}}{2}, \quad p_3 = x_2 p_{x_1} - x_1 p_{x_2}.
\]

In this case \(g(\lambda) = (\lambda - e_1)\) and the separated relations \((3.14)–(3.15)\) are equal to

\[
p_{1,2}^2(q_1 - e_1)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{H_1 \lambda + H_3 (\lambda - e_1) - \alpha(\lambda) + \frac{H_3}{2}}{\lambda - q_{1,2}} \right),
\]

\[
p_3^2 = 2(U(q_3) - H_3),
\]

where \(\alpha(\lambda) = \alpha_2 \lambda^4 + \alpha_3 \lambda^3 + \alpha_2 \lambda^2 + \alpha_1 \lambda + \alpha_0\).

On solving these equations with respect to \(H_k\) one gets integrals of motion and the following Hamilton function:

\[
H_1 = \frac{p_{x_1} + p_{x_3}}{2} + \alpha_4 (x_1^3 + x_2^3 + 4x_1^2) + 2(2 \alpha_4 e_1 + \alpha_3) x_3 + \frac{\alpha(\epsilon_1) - U(\frac{x_3}{\alpha_4})}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} - 3 \alpha_4 e_1^2 - 2 \alpha_1 e_1 - \alpha_2.
\]

This is the superintegrable Hamiltonian with the Stäckel integrals of motion \(H_2, H_3\) and the additional Richelot integral of motion \(K_1 (2.17)\), which is equal to

\[
K_1 = \left( \frac{(q_1 - e_1)p_1}{q_1 - q_2} + \frac{(q_2 - e_1)p_2}{q_2 - q_1} \right)^2 + \frac{\alpha_3}{2} (q_1 + q_2) + \frac{\alpha_4}{2} (q_1 + q_2)^2
= \frac{p_{1,2}^2}{4} + 2 \alpha_4 x_1^2 + (2 \alpha_4 e_1 + \alpha_3) x_3 + \frac{\epsilon_1(\alpha_4 e_1 + \alpha_3)}{2}.
\]

As above, \(K_1 = K_2 (2.17)–(2.18)\) in this case.
Example 7. Let us consider a degenerate elliptic coordinate system on the sphere \( S_3 \) in \( E_4 \), so that coordinates \((q_1, q_2, q_3)\) are defined by
\[
e(\lambda) = \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{\lambda - e_1} + \frac{x_3^2}{\lambda - e_3} + \frac{x_4^2}{\lambda - e_4} = \frac{(\lambda - q_1)(\lambda - q_2)}{(\lambda - e_1)(\lambda - e_2)(\lambda - e_3)}, \quad q_3 = \arctan \left( \frac{x_1}{x_2} \right).
\]
This means that the radius of the sphere is equal to \( R = \sum_{i=1}^{4} x_i^2 = 1 \).

In this case \( g(\lambda) = (e_3 - e_1)^{-1}(e_1 - e_4)^{-1}(\lambda - e_1) \) and a pair of the separated relations have the common form
\[
p_i^2 (q_i - e_i)^2 (q_i - e_3)^2 (q_i - e_4)^2 = \frac{1}{4} [ (H_1 \lambda + H_2)(\lambda - e_1)(\lambda - e_3)(\lambda - e_4) - \alpha(\lambda) \\
+ (e_3 - e_1)(e_1 - e_4)(\lambda - e_4)H_1]_{\lambda = q_1, 2}, \quad (3.18)
\]
where \( \alpha(\lambda) \) is the fourth-order polynomial with arbitrary coefficients and the third separated relation is equal to
\[
p_i^2 = 2(U(q_3) - H_3).
\]

On solving separated equations with respect to \( H_4 \) one gets integrals of motion and the following Hamiltonian function:
\[
H_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{4} x_i^2 \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^{4} p_i^2 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{4} x_i p_i \right)^2 \right)^2 \right] + \frac{\gamma_1 + U \left( \frac{\lambda}{R} \right)}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} + \frac{\gamma_2}{x_3} + \frac{\gamma_4}{x_4} - \frac{\alpha_4}{R}.
\]
\[
\gamma_i = \frac{\alpha(e_i)}{\prod_{j \neq i}(e_i - e_j)^2}.
\]
This is the superintegrable Hamiltonian and additional Richelot integral of motion looks like
\[
K_1 = \left( \frac{(q_1 - e_1)(q_1 - e_3)(q_1 - e_2)p_1 + (q_2 - e_1)(q_2 - e_3)(q_2 - e_4)p_2}{q_1 - q_2} \right)^2 \\
+ \frac{(e_1 + e_3 + e_4)H_1 + \alpha_3 - H_2}{2}(q_1 + q_2) + \frac{\alpha_4 - H_1}{2}(q_1 + q_2)^2.
\]
(3.19)

In this case \( n = 2 \) and, therefore, \( K_1 = K_2 \) (2.17)–(2.18).

In this case the change of time (2.16) at \( k = n + 1 \) yields the following transformation of the pair of the separated relation (3.14)–(3.18):
\[
p_i^2 u(q_i)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \alpha(\lambda) \cdot (H_1 \lambda^2 + H_2) - \alpha(\lambda) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{u(\lambda)}{g_3(\lambda)} H_3 \right]_{\lambda = q_i} = \frac{H_1}{2}(\lambda^2 + \ldots)_{\lambda = q_i}.
\]

On the right-hand side of this equation we obtain the \((2n + 1)\)-order polynomial in \( \lambda \) and, therefore, the corresponding Abel equations are no longer the Richelot equations (2.3). This change of time preserves integrability, but destroys superintegrability.

4. Conclusion

According to [18, 31, 33] there are two classes of superintegrable systems for which the angle variables are either logarithmic or elliptic functions. In both cases one gets additional single-valued integrals of motion using addition theorems, which are particular cases of the Abel theorem. There is one main difference which says that the addition theorem for logarithms allows us to get the higher order polynomial integrals of motion [31].

The main aim of this paper is to discuss one of the oldest, but almost completely forgotten problems in the modern literature: Richelot’s approach to construction and investigation of superintegrable systems separable in orthogonal coordinate systems. Of course, these
$n$-dimensional superintegrable systems may be obtained using other known methods (see [3, 9, 11, 15, 22, 27] and references within). Nevertheless, we think that the new definition (3.10), (3.16)

$$V = \sum_{\lambda-e_i} \text{res} \left| \frac{\alpha(\lambda)}{\mu^2(\lambda)e(\lambda)} \right|, \quad \mu(\lambda) = \prod_{j=1}^{M}(\lambda - e_j),$$

of the superintegrable potentials through the defining function $e(\lambda)$ of the coordinate system and an arbitrary polynomial $\alpha(\lambda)$ may be useful in applications. In fact using this definition we can take any orthogonal coordinate system from [21] and calculate the corresponding superintegrable Richelot system on the Riemannian manifolds of constant curvature.

It will be interesting to get quantum counterparts of the Richelot integrals of motion and to study the algebra of integrals of motion in the algebro-geometric terms. Another perspective consists of the classification of the Richelot superintegrable systems on the Darboux spaces.

One more important issue concerns the relation of multiseparability of the Richelot superintegrable systems with the classical theory of covers of the hyperelliptic curves.
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