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ABSTRACT

We present a comprehensive two level morphological analysis of contemporary Kazakh with implementation and a disambiguation test data set on the Nuve Framework. Our study differs from the similar studies in a number of ways: (i) Our study covers both derivational and inflectional morphology to a greater extend (ii) Our implementation consisting of orthographic rules, morphotactics, a root lexicon of roughly 24 thousand roots, a lexicon of roughly 150 suffixes is open source which can be downloaded, reviewed and tested. (ii) Roughly 10 thousand manually disambiguated parses are available as a morphological disambiguation data set. (iii) It is easily extensible meaning it can be modified or extended with new rules without any programming. (iv) we are able to tackle emerging problems quickly and easily since Nuve is maintained by our study group. (v) Our implementation can handle separately written morphemes or digraphs etc. directly. (vi) We also have a Turkish morphological parser/generator in Nuve for morphology based machine translation between Turkish and other Turkic languages since these closely related languages have a lot in common from lexical, morphological, and syntactic aspects.
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ÖZ

Bu çalışmada Çağdaş Kazakça’nın iki düzeylisi kapsamlı bir morfolojisini sunulmuştur. Çalışma Nuve Çatısı üzerinde gerçeklenmiş ve belirsizlik giderme veri seti ile test edilmiştir. Çalışmamız benzerlerinden bir kaç yönün farklılık göstermektedir:(i) Çalışmamız hem yapım hem çekim morfolojisini benzerlerinden daha geniş olarak ele almaktadır. (ii) İki düzeyli yazım kuralları, ek düzeyli kuralları, yaklaşık 24 bin kelimelik sözlük ve yaklaşık 150 adetlik ek sözlüğünden oluşan gerçeklememiz açıklı kaynak kodu olarak paylaşılabilir kültür. Üçüncü taraf olduğuna indirilebilir, gözden geçirilebilir ve test edilebilir. (iii) Gerçeklememiz var olan kuralların değiştirilebilmesi veya yenilere eklenmesiyle kolayca genişletilebilir bir yapıdadır. Programlama gerektirmemiz, (iv) Nuve Çatısı çalışma grubumuz tarafından geliştirildiği için ortaya çıkan yeni problemleri kolay ve hızlı bir şekilde çözümlmektedir. (v) Gerçeklememiz ayrı yazılan ekler, iki sembolden meydana gelen harfler gibi durumları kolayca ele alabilmektedir. (vi) Nuve Türkçenin iki düzeyli morfolojisini de içermektedir. Bu sayede kelime hazinesi, kelime yapısı ve cümle yapısı yönlerinde büyük benzerlikler içeren Türk dillerle Türkçe arasında morfoloji tabanlı makina çeviri yapılabilir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Kazak Morfoloji, Doğal Dil İşleme, Hesaplamalı Dibilim
1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, a Kazakh two-level morphological description is given in detail. This description is implemented using an open-source morphological analyzer and machine translation system, Nuve. In this description a root word lexicon consisting of more than twenty thousand is used.

A number of morphophonemic processes take place during derivation or inflection of a word in Kazakh similar to those in Turkish including vowel drops, consonant drops, vowel changes as in vowel harmony rules, consonant changes as in consonant harmony rules, etc. These rules express the conditions in which these modifications occur. 22 two-level orthographic rules are written to describe Kazakh’s morphophonemic processes in the system. Morphotactics is a sequence of rules that orders suffixes in order to generate a logical and meaningful word. For nominal and verbal paradigms, morphotactics is encoded as FSA.

Kazakh, belongs to the Turkic languages family, is spoken mainly in Kazakhstan as official language, and the other neighboring republics of Kyrgyzstan, China, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan, Pakistan, Russia, and Turkey. Also, it is spoken by more than 16 million people. Kazakh is an agglutinative language like other Turkic languages producing affixations of derivational and inflectional morphemes to root words. A number of morphophonemic rules help us to modify the surface realization of morphological constructions. Vowels in the affixed morphemes have to agree with the preceding vowel in certain aspects to achieve vowel harmony. Under certain circumstances, vowels in the root or affixes are deleted. Similar to vowel harmony, consonants in the root words or in the affixed morphemes experience certain modifications, and sometimes may be deleted. For example, the word аталарымыздан (atalarımızdan), can be broken into morphemes as follows:

\[ \text{ата}+\text{лар}+\text{ымыз}+\text{дан} \]

where + indicates morpheme boundaries. This word can be translated into English as “from our ancestors”.

2. BACKGROUND and RELATED WORK

Morphotactics are the rules governing the order of morpheme affixations and are expressed as a finite state machine (FSA) using root words and suffixes. A two-level morphological model has been applied to a number of languages such as those by Oflazer (1994) for Turkish, by Alam (1983) for Japanese, by Antworth (1990) for English, by Kim et al. (1994) for Korean, Turkmen by (Tantuğ et al., 2006; Shylov,2010), by (Gömez et al., 2011; Yiner et al., 2016) for Kyrgyz, by (Orhun et al., 2009; Keskin,2012; Ablimit et al.,2016; Abdukerim,2019), Crimean Tatar by (Altıntaş,2001; Şanlı,2018), Qazan Tatar by (Gökgöz,2011) and so on.

Zafer et al. (2011) gives a brief two-level morphological description for Kazakh. In this description, the Kazakh phonological system is described with 27 two level rules which describe the transformation between the lexical level and the surface level of a word which is written in Latin (not original as in Cyrillic). And finite state machines to define nominal and verbal morphotactics of Kazakh. Both orthographic rules and the finite state morphotactics are implemented on a language independent framework which is Dilmaç (Shylov,2010). Makhambetov et al. (2014) uses a data-driven approach to do morphological analyzing and labels morphemes as transition labels testing on the Kazakh National Corpus (Makhambetov et al., 2013). Their morphological analyzer model has two steps which are segmenting the given word and ranking each candidate segmentation using HMM and Markov chain rules. They do not consider compound words and some phonological rules which are important issues in a language.

Kessikbayeva & Cicekli (2014) gives a rule-based morphological analyzer using Xerox tools for the Kazakh language. This study does not work widely due to the lack of tools for analyzing Kazakh. Also, in this study a Latin transcription of Cyril text is used. Another lack of this study is not being able to handle separate written conjugations that is compound verbs. They used 1000 words randomly selected from web for testing and get nearly 96% correct analyses. Then, in the extended version of this study (Kessikbayeva & Cicekli, 2016), they use Foma which is an open source environment to implement a rule based morphological analyzer. They get approximately 99% correct analyses on test corpora which is nearly 15000
words written in Cyrillic. They use over 57 main alternation rules, including exception rules for each case separately, to define language grammar on Foma. This study is very important in terms of scope compared to the previous studies of the Kazakh language.

Bekmanova et al. (2017) proposes a uniform morphological analyzer both Kazakh (in Latin) and Turkish. But they give just morphological features of Kazakh based on (Eryiğit & Adalı, 2004), no more information how their morphological analyzer works. In (Washington, Salimzyanov & Tyers, 2014), an open-source finite-state transducer is proposed to get a morphological analysis of three Turkic languages; Kazakh, Tatar, and Kumyk with a limited root/stem lexicon.

3. TWO LEVEL DESCRIPTION OF KAZAKH ORTHOGRAPHY

Two-level morphology of (Koskenniemi,1983; Karttunen,1983) is one of the practical models in computational linguistics for morphological analysis of languages. In this model, for all languages rules and lexicons are combined with a parser for analyzing any language. Publicly available tools like PC-KIMMO (Antworth,1990) can be used to implement a two-level morphology. In the two-level morphology approach, both orthographic rules are defined using two-level rules and derivational/inflectional morphotactics are defined using FSAs. A word has two different representations or forms in this model: lexical and surface forms. The lexical form is a word structure or the representation of a word-formation, whereas the surface form is the written form of the word in the text generated by affixing suffixes by the morphology as given in the lexical form. The transformations from lexical to surface forms are defined with two-level orthographic rules as follows in (Oflazer, 1994).

The Kazakh alphabet has 23 consonants, 9 vowels (Biray, Ayan & Ercilasun, 2015). Table 1, Table 2, Table 3 shows Kazakh alphabet, consonants and vowels respectively.

| Cyrillic | Latin | Cyrillic | Latin | Cyrillic | Latin | Cyrillic | Latin | Cyrillic | Latin |
|----------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-------|
| а | a | е | е | и | i | о | o | у | u |
| б | b | д | d | ж | j | з | z | ҳ | h |
| в | v | г | g | ғ | f | ғ | f | ы | y |
| г | g | к | k | л | l | м | m | н | n |
| д | d | э | æ | н | n |
| е | е | ж | ж | н | n | ү | ү |
| ё | ё | я | я |

Table 2

Kazakh Vowels

| Back | Front |
|------|-------|
| a(a),o(i) | e,e(e) |
| u(i) | i(i) |
| o(o) | e(e) |

Table 3

Kazakh Consonants

| Consonants | Labial | Labial-Al-Dental | Dental | Palato-Alveolar | Fronto-Palatal | Velar | Glottal Stop |
|------------|--------|------------------|--------|-----------------|----------------|-------|-------------|
| stops      |        |                  |        |                 |                |       |             |
| plosive    |        |                  |        |                 |                |       |             |
| continuant |        |                  |        |                 |                |       |             |
| voiceless  |        |                  |        |                 |                |       |             |
| voiced     |        |                  |        |                 |                |       |             |
| voiceless  |        |                  |        |                 |                |       |             |
| voiceless  |        |                  |        |                 |                |       |             |
| voiceless  |        |                  |        |                 |                |       |             |
In order to specify the two level morphology of Kazakh a subset of letters -called meta-letters – is used when expressing orthographic rules. These meta-letters are given below with their Cyrillic transliterations:

\[
C = \{b,ç,d,f,g,ğ,h,x,j,k,q,l,m,n,ň,p,r,s,ş,t,v,y,z\} = \{б,ч,д,ф,г,һ,х,ж,к,л,м,н,ң,п,р,с,ш,т,в,й,з\}
\]

\[
C_s = \{f,x,h,k,q,p,s,ş,t,ç\} = \{ф,х,к,п,с,ш,т,ч\}
\]

\[
C_t = \{b,d,g,ğ,j,l,m,n,ň,r,v,y,z\} = \{б,д,г,ғ,ж,л,м,н,ң,р,в,й,з\}
\]

\[
V = \{a,e,i,o,ö,u,ü,ä\} = \{а,е,ы,i,о,ө,ұ,ү,ә\}
\]

\[
V_b = \{a,i,o,u\} = \{а,ы,i,о\}
\]

\[
V_f = \{e,i,ö,ü\} = \{е,и,ө,ү\}
\]

\[
I = \{ı,i\} = \{ы,i\}
\]

\[
A = \{a,e\} = \{а,е\}
\]

\[
U = \{u,ü\} = \{ұ,ү\}
\]

\[
K = \{q,k\} = \{қ,к\}
\]

\[
N = \{n,d,t\} = \{н,д,т\}
\]

\[
M = \{m,b,p\} = \{м,б,п\}
\]

\[
G = \{g,k,q,ğ\} = \{г,к,қ,ғ\}
\]

\[
D = \{d,t\} = \{д,т\}
\]

\[
L = \{l,d,t\} = \{л,д,т\}
\]

Above \(C, V, V_s, V_t\) represent the set of consonants, vowels, back and front vowels. We use the following notation in the lexical level of the two-level orthographic rules such as * for zero or more occurrence of the preceding letter, | for or (used to represent alternatives), ? for zero or one occurrence of the preceding letter, 0 for nothing on the surface (represents the dropped symbols), @ for the end of word (no more morpheme) and + for representing morpheme boundary (the beginning or end of a morpheme), not to be confused with regular expression + sign.

In the examples below, the first column is written in Cyrillic script and the second column is written in Latin script. In the third column (rightmost), we show the formulation in lexical form and the meanings of the root/words. The first line contains the lexical form of a word, and the second line the intermediate form. We don’t show the surface form since it is almost identical to the intermediate form (the 0 place holders in the intermediate level are automatically deleted on the surface form).

1. These three rules express the vowel harmony in Kazakh. Vowels in the affixed suffixes to a word are resolved with respect to the previous vowel in the word. Back vowels are followed by vowels, and front vowels are followed by front vowels in a word according to the vowel harmony in Kazakh in a similar fashion to Turkish vowel harmony. An A on the lexical level in a suffix appended to a root is realized as a or e on the surface if the previous vowel in the root is (or is realized as) a back or front vowel respectively. In other words, the lexical A occurring after a back vowel in a word turns into the back vowel a on the surface.
A:a => V:V_bC* + C*__
A:e => V:V_fC* + C*__

| Suffix   | Surface Form | Meaning                                      |
|----------|--------------|----------------------------------------------|
| ата+ЛАр  | ata+LAr      | N(ancestor) + NNI_PLU                        |
| ата0лар  | ata0lar      | Ancestors                                    |
| жер+ДА   | jer+DA       | N(ground) + NNI_LOC                          |
| жер0де   | jer0de       | on the ground                                |

In some suffixes like Continuous tense suffix +А, verbal adjective suffix +АтИн or verbal adverb suffix +А, this rule does not provide the right changes for meta-letter +А. Thus, for these special cases, this rule works as below.

A:a => V:V_bC* + C*__
A:e => V:V_fC* + C*__
A:й => V + __

| Suffix   | Surface Form | Meaning                                      |
|----------|--------------|----------------------------------------------|
| тұт+А+Мін | tut+A+MIn    | V(hold)+CONT+1PS                             |
| тұт0а0мын | tut0a0min    | (I will hold)                                |
| оқы+Атін  | oqi+AtIn     | V(read)+JVD_ATIN                             |
| оқы0йтын | oq0ytyn      | reading (smt)                                |
| кел+Атін  | kel+AtIn     | V(come)+JVD_ATIN                             |
| кел0етін | kel0etin     | coming                                       |

2. The lexical І in a suffix appended to a root is realized as ı or i on the surface if the previous vowel in the root is (or is realized as) a back or front vowel respectively:

I:ы => V:V_bC* + C*__
I:i => V:V_fC* + C*__

| Suffix   | Surface Form | Meaning                                      |
|----------|--------------|----------------------------------------------|
| бала+НІч  | bala+NIn     | N(kid) + NNI_OBJ                             |
| бала0ныч | bal0nyn     | kid’s                                        |
| көз+ЛИК   | köz+LIK      | N(eye)+ NND_LIK                              |
| көз0дік | köz0dik      | Eyeglasses                                  |

3. This rule describes how the lexical U is resolved on the surface according to the Kazakh harmony rule. When a root is affixed with a suffix containing lexical U, lexical U is realized as u on the surface if the last vowel in the previous morpheme is a back vowel (V_b), otherwise, it is realized as ü on the surface.

U:ү => V:V_bC* + C*__
U:ы => V:V_fC* + C*__

| Suffix   | Surface Form | Meaning                                      |
|----------|--------------|----------------------------------------------|
| жый+Ув   | jy+Uv        | V(collect)+ JVD_GAN                          |
| жый0uv   | jy0uv        | Collecting                                   |
| жет+Ув   | jet+Uv       | V(reach)+ JVD_GAN                            |
| жет0ув   | jet0uv       | reaching                                     |
4. When a suffix starting with lexical L is affixed to a root, L on the lexical level is realized as l on the surface if the last letter of the root is a vowel. Lexical L is realized as d or t if the last letter of the root is one of C, or C-ts respectively:

**L:l** \(<>\) **V + __**

**L:d** \(<>\) **C, + __**

**L:t** \(<>\) **C, + __**

- акыл+LI
  - акылды
  - пайдада+LI
  - пайдадылы
  - таш+LIK
  - таш0тык

- ақыл+LI
  - ақылды
  - пайда+LI
  - пайда0лы
  - таң+LIK
  - таң0тык

ақыл+LI: Intelligent
пайдада+LI: Beneficial
tаш+LIK: stone-filled

5. When a suffix starting with lexical N is affixed to a root, N on the lexical level is realized as n on the surface if the last letter of the root is a vowel. Lexical N is realized as d or t if the last letter of the root is one of C, or C-ts respectively:

**N:n** \(<>\) **V + __**

**N:d** \(<>\) **C, + __**

**N:t** \(<>\) **C, + __**

- бала+NI
  - бала0ны
  - көз+NI
  - көздү
  - иш+NI
  - иш0тин

- бала+NI: the kid
  - көздү: (was the) eye
  - иш0тин: of work

6. This rule which is quite similar to the previous rule deals with d and t transformations on the surface. The D on the lexical level maps to d on the surface, if a suffix starting with lexical D is affixed to a root ending with a vowel or a C-ts consonant, otherwise D is resolved as a t on the surface:

**D:d** \(<>\) **[V(C)] + __**

**D:t** \(<>\) **C, + __**

- иш+DA
  - иш0те
  - жыл+DA
  - жыл0да

- иш+DA: N(work) + NNI_LOC at work
  - жыл0да: N(year) + NNI_LOC in a year
7. This rule which is quite similar to the previous rule deals with b and p transformations on the surface. The B on the lexical level maps to b on the surface, if a suffix starting with lexical B is affixed to a root ending with a vowel or a C\textsubscript{t} consonant, otherwise B is resolved as a p on the surface:

B\textsubscript{b} \iff [V|C\textsubscript{t}] + __

B\textsubscript{p} \iff C\textsubscript{u} + __

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{kör} + \text{Gan} + \text{Blz} & \implies \text{kör0gen0biz} & \text{V(see) + PAST+IPP} & \text{I saw} \\
\text{kör} + \text{Gan} + \text{Blz} & \implies \text{kör0gen0biz} & \text{V(see) + PAST+IPP} & \text{I saw}
\end{align*}
\]

8. This rule deals with the realization of lexical M on the surface. The lexical M corresponds to the surface p when a suffix starting with lexical M is affixed to a root ending with a voiceless consonant C\textsubscript{u}. M is realized as b, if the root ends with z or c. Otherwise, M is resolved as m on the surface:

M\textsubscript{m} \iff [V|C\textsubscript{t}-\{z,c\}] + __

M\textsubscript{b} \iff \{z,c\} + __

M\textsubscript{p} \iff C\textsubscript{u} + __

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{kör} + \text{MAstAn} & \implies \text{kör0mesten} & \text{V(see)+ AVD_MASTAN} & \text{without seeing} \\
\text{jüz} + \text{MAy} & \implies \text{jüz0bey} & \text{V(swim)+ AVD_MAY} & \text{without swimming} \\
\text{kes} + \text{MAYInşA} & \implies \text{kes0peyinše} & \text{V(cut)+ AVD_MAYINSA} & \text{without cutting}
\end{align*}
\]

This orthographic rule of meta-letter M does not give the correct result on Question suffix +MA. For this suffix, orthographic rule works as below:

M\textsubscript{m} \iff [V|C\textsubscript{t}-\{м,н,ң,з\}] + __

M\textsubscript{b} \iff \{м,н,ң,з\} + __

M\textsubscript{p} \iff C\textsubscript{u} + __

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{бала} + \text{MA} & \implies \text{бала} + \text{MA} & \text{N(kid)+ QUES} & \text{is it kid?} \\
\text{бала} + \text{ма} & \implies \text{бала} + \text{ма} & \text{N(kid)+ QUES} & \text{is it kid?} \\
\text{erteń} + \text{MA} & \implies \text{erteń} + \text{MA} & \text{Adv(tomorrow)+QUES} & \text{is it tomorrow?} \\
\text{erteń0be} & \implies \text{erteń0be} & \text{Adv(tomorrow)+QUES} & \text{is it tomorrow?} \\
\text{jок} + \text{MA} & \implies \text{jok} + \text{MA} & \text{Adj(absent)+QUES} & \text{is it absent?} \\
\text{jок0pa} & \implies \text{jok0pa} & \text{Adj(absent)+QUES} & \text{is it absent?}
\end{align*}
\]
In some suffixes that comes after verbal stem like noun derivation from verb suffix +MA, lexical M is realized as m, b or p again but in different circumstances:

\[ M : m \leftrightarrow \{ l, m, r, v, y, n, n \} + \_ \_ \_ \]

\[ M : b \leftrightarrow [V | C | t \_ - \{ l, m, r, v, y, n, n \}] + \_ \_ \_ \]

\[ M : p \leftrightarrow C ts + \_ \_ \_ \]

\[
\begin{array}{lll}
жаз+МАстАн & \text{jaz+MAstAn} & V(\text{write})+AVD_{\text{MASTAN}} \\
жаз0бастан & jaz0bastan & \text{without writing} \\
кара+МАс & qara+MAS & V(\text{look})+AVD_{\text{MAS}} \\
кара0мас & qara0mas & \text{does not look} \\
бат+МАК & bat+MAK & V(\text{dive})+NVD_{\text{MAK}} \\
бат0 пақ & bat0paq & \text{swamp} \\
\end{array}
\]

9. This rule defines the transformation of lexical G at the beginning of some suffixes to ğ, g, k, q letters on the surface. G at the beginning of a suffix on the lexical level is realized as k and q on the surface when the last vowel of the affixed root is a front vowel Vf or back vowel Vb respectively and the root ends with a voiceless consonant C ts. When the affixed root ends with back Vb or front Vf vowel and an optional voiced consonant C, then lexical G is resolved as ğ or g respectively:

\[ G : \dot{g} \leftrightarrow [V : Vb | V : Vf \text{C} t \_ *] + \_ \_ \_ \]

\[ G : \dot{g} \leftrightarrow [V : Vf | V : Vf \text{C} t \_ *] + \_ \_ \_ \]

\[ G : k \leftrightarrow V : Vf \text{C} ts * + \_ \_ \_ \]

\[ G : k \leftrightarrow V : Vf \text{C} ts * + \_ \_ \_ \]

ал+ГАн & al+GAN & V(\text{take})+JVD_{\text{GAN}} \\
al0ган & al0gan & \text{Taker} \\
 kinky+ГАн & kör+GAN & V(\text{see})+JVD_{\text{GAN}} \\
köр0ген & kör0gen & \text{Sighted} \\
от+ГАн+МИ & öt+GAN+MIn & V(\text{pass})+VVI_{\text{TPASTGAN}}+VVI_{\text{PERS1s1}} \\
от0кен0мин & öt0ken0min & I passed \\
шап+ГАлI & şap+GAll & V(\text{run})+AVD_{\text{GALI}} \\
шап0калы & şap0qali & \text{running} \\
\]

10. When a root ending with the k consonant is affixed a suffix starting with a vowel, k at the lexical level is realized as g on the surface.

\[ k : g \Rightarrow \_ \_ + VC? \]

\[
\begin{array}{lll}
ек+Ил+ГАн & ek+Il+GAN & V(\text{sow})+VVI_{\text{PASSIL}}+JVD_{\text{GAN}} \\
ер0кыл0ген & eg0l0gen & \text{sown} \\
\end{array}
\]

11. The p sound at the end of a root is realized as b on the surface when a suffix starting with a vowel is affixed to it.

\[ n : b \Rightarrow \_ \_ + VC? \]

\[
\begin{array}{lll}
калып+сI & qalip+sI & N(\text{cast})+NNI_{\text{POSS3s}} \\
калыбы00ы & qalib00i & \text{cast of} \\
\end{array}
\]
12. When a root ending with the q consonant is affixed a suffix starting with a vowel, q at the lexical level is realized as ğ on the surface.

\[ \text{q:}f \Rightarrow \__ + \text{VC} \]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{сок} + \text{Ip} & \Rightarrow \text{соq} + \text{Ip} \\
\text{соқ0ип} & \Rightarrow \text{соğ0ип}
\end{align*}
\]

V(hit) + AVD_IP
by hitting

13. A syllable contains exactly one vowel in Kazakh and two consecutive vowels can’t occur in a Kazakh word. This rule is based on this fact- states that when two consecutive vowels occur during affixation of suffixes, the one at the beginning of suffix is dropped. More precisely, when a root ending with a vowel takes a suffix starting with a vowel, then that vowel in the suffix is deleted on the surface.

V:0 \Rightarrow V + \__

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{арба} + \text{Im} & \Rightarrow \text{арба} + \text{Im} \\
\text{арба00м} & \Rightarrow \text{арба00м}
\end{align*}
\]

N(car) + NNI_POSS1s
my car

14. As an exception to the previous rule, in certain stems especially in the names of organs in the head such as (ауыз /mouth), (мойын /neck), (мұрын/nose), the last vowel in the stem is dropped instead of the vowel in the suffix. So when such a root takes a suffix starting with a vowel, then the last vowel in the stem is deleted on the surface.

V:0 \Rightarrow \__C* + V

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{мойын} + \text{Im} & \Rightarrow \text{мойн} + \text{Im} \\
\text{мойн0ым} & \Rightarrow \text{мойн0ым}
\end{align*}
\]

N(neck) + NNI_POSS1s
My neck

15. When a suffix starting with a с (s) is affixed to a root ending with a consonant, the с at the lexical level in the beginning of the suffix drops on the surface.

\[ \text{с:0} \Rightarrow \__ + \__I \]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{үй} + \text{сI} & \Rightarrow \text{үй} + \text{сI} \\
\text{үй00ү} & \Rightarrow \text{үй00ү}
\end{align*}
\]

N(home) + NNI_POSS3s
it’s home

16. When a stem takes a suffix containing K meta-letter, K meta-letter seems as k or q in surface form according to the last vowels of a stem.

\[ \text{K:}k \Rightarrow \text{V+V} + \__C* \__ + \__ \]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{жыл} + \text{LIK} & \Rightarrow \text{жил} + \text{LIK} \\
\text{жыл0дык} & \Rightarrow \text{жил0дык}
\end{align*}
\]

N(year) + NND_LIK
Annual

17. This rule is written for ablative case suffix +DAн when coming after 1PS, 2PS, 3PS, and 3PP possessive suffixes. Under this circumstance, lexical D is realized as н on surface form.

\[ \text{D:}n \Rightarrow \text{Im} + \text{cl} + \text{LArI} + \__ \]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{коз} + \text{сI} + \text{DAн} & \Rightarrow \text{kоз} + \text{сI} + \text{DAн} \\
\text{коз00и0мен} & \Rightarrow \text{kоз00и0мен}
\end{align*}
\]

N(eye)+3PS-POSS+ABL
From his/her eye
18. Aorist tense suffix +Ар is realized as +с when it comes after negative suffix.

Арс ⇔ mA + __

ber+мА+Ар
берме00с
ber0me00c
V(give)+AORST+3PS
It does not give

19. One type of Optative Moods in Kazakh is done with +GI suffix followed by a person suffix and auxiliary word _келеді. If this auxiliary word _келеді followed by past tense suffix _еді, _келеді will be realized as _келетін in surface form.

ал+GI+им+_келеді+_еді
al+GI+Im+_keledi+_edi
al0ғы00м00келетін00еді
al0g00m00keletin00edi
V(take)+OPT+1PS+AUX+NARR
I felt like taking

20. The lexical G in dative case suffix +GA is deleted on the surface if it comes after a personal suffix other than 3PS and 3PP. After 3PS and 3PP possessive suffixes, the lexical G in +GA is realized as н on the surface form.

G:0 ⇔ Им|Iң|LAрIң|LAрIңIз + __A
G:n ⇔ cI|LAрI + __A

жол+LAр+Iң+GA
jol+Lar+Iñ+GA
жол0дар0ың00а
jol0dar0ıñ00a
N(path)+PLU+2PS+DAT
To your paths (singular)

бала+сI+GA
bala+sI+GA
бала0сы0на
bala0sı0na
N(child)+3PS-POSS+DAT
To his/her child

This rule works differently while the dative case suffix +GA appended to 1st, 2nd and 3rd singular person pronouns, +GA is seen as +GАн (+GAn). This rule works as below:

мәn+GA
men+GA
май0ган
ma00ган
P(i)+DAT
To me

21. Person pronouns can take case suffixes like nouns. This rule is written for transformation of 1 and 2 singular person pronouns мен (men), сен (sen) when take case suffixes such locative, genitive, ablative and the others. If 1 and 2 singular person pronouns take the Dative case suffix +GA, they are realized as маған and саған in surface form respectively (this transformation includes an orthographic rule for +GA suffix.). If they take the instrumental case suffix +мен (+men), i vowel epenthesis will occur between pronouns and suffix and they will be realized as менімен (menimen), сенімен (senimen).

сен+GA
sen+GA
са00ған
sa00ғan
P(you)+DAT
To you

сен+мен
sen+men
сен0імен
sen0i0men
P(you)+INS
with you

22. The last sound of оl 3rd singular person pronoun drops whenever it takes one of genitive, accusative, dative, locative, ablative case suffixes. If this person pronoun takes instrumental case suffix, н at the end of pronoun is realized as ны in surface form.

ол+NI
ol+NI
о00ны
o00ны
P(it)+ACC
it (object pronoun)

ол+мен
o1+men
оны0мен
onı0men
P(it)+INS
with it

4. KAZAKH MORPHOTACTICS

Morphotactics in computational linguistics is a term for describing how the words in a natural language can be generated or parsed as a sequence of morphemes by affixing suffixes to roots in certain orders as defined by the morphology of the
language itself. The ordering of morphemes a nominal or verbal root takes in Kazakh is well defined and is strictly obeyed during word-formation.

The complete description of morphotactics of a language is usually given as a finite state machine (FSA). The states and the directed edges in an FSA represent the (subtypes of) Part-Of-Speech (POS or word class) of words and the affixed morphemes respectively. The two initial states are usually labelled as nominal root and verbal root since these are basic word classes. Nominal root includes nouns, adjectives, adverbs, etc. in our model. Final states represent the classes of words that take no more morphemes. The other states are intermediate states where generation/parsing can either continue or stop. Parsing or generation stops at the final states. The directed edges in an FSA are labelled with the lexical forms of morphemes (or sometimes with 0 meaning a transfer without a morpheme) a word takes while going from the source to the target state.

Such an FSA is used in creating morphological parsers or generators. Parsers usually have four main components:

1. Orthographic rules for modelling the phonological processes during affixation
2. An FSA for representing the ordering of morphemes during word formations
3. A root lexicon of nouns, verbs, adjectives, adverbs, etc.
4. A suffix lexicon for nominal/verbal derivations and conjugations

We used the Nuve Framework to implement the Kazakh morphology. Our implementation consists of the above components each specified in a separate file in the system. Thus we are able to parse (i.e. get the lexical form of a word from the surface form) and to generate (i.e. get the surface form of a word from its lexical form). In this study our aim was to generate all the words to avoid under generation at the expense over generation (generating invalid words).

4.1. Nominal Morphotactics

In this section, we present nominal morphotactics in the form of an FSA. Nominal morphotactics consist of nominal derivations and nominal conjugations. Nominal conjugation can be given as a simple formula called basic nominal model:

Nominal + Plural + Possessive + Case + Relative

The basic nominal model above represents the ordering of morphemes for nominal conjugation in the general case which is approximate and used for discussing morphology only. Comprehensive and precise nominal models are specified using FSAs given in Fig. 1. The parsing of an ordinary nominal word starts at Nominal root state and follows through Plural state with +LAG suffix, Possessive state with one of +Iм, +Iң, +Cl, +LAGIм, +LAGIң, +LAG suffixes, Case state with one of +NIм, +NI, +GA, +DA, +DAN, +Men, +Men suffixes, Relative state with +GI suffix. Since it is possible to treat a nominal as nominal verb (as in the case of a simple sentence with the nominal as the subject and “to be” as the verb), some nominal words will continue through the nominal verb (past and past perfect tense, conditional and imperfect moods), negative and question states shown in the lower part of the nominal FSA. The lexical form of кітаптарымдағы (one which is in my books) is given below as an example basic nominal model:

| Kітап | +LAG | +Iм | +DA | +GI |
|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Book  | Plural | Poss.ISP | Case | Relative |

Nominal derivation which creates new stems is provided through following suffixes in Kazakh shown in Fig. 1: noun to noun (+Аw, +DАc, +LIk, +LІр, +АK, +Cl, +wLIk, +wIL, +wAк, +wA, +wI, +tAI, +wI, гылт, noun to adjective (+LI, +лыт, +лыт, +DAGAн, +ныI, +нAн, adjective to noun (+wIк), noun to verb (+сIн, +сI, +PA, +GАp, +LАt, +LАc, +LAn, +LA, +IK, +I, +DA, +АI, +Аp, +АI, +А), verb to noun (+MA, +Iм, +Iс, +GI, +IK, +АK, +IндI, +GИш, +Iш, +И, +МАK, +ГИ, +МАл, +wАк)verb to adverb (+Iп, +МАI, +GАнА, +GАнIа, +GАл, +МАстАн, +МАIншА, +А), adjective to adverb (+DAGAн).
4.2. Verbal Morphotactics

In this section, we describe verbal morphotactics in the form of an FSA. Verbal morphotactics consists of verbal derivations and verbal conjugations similar to nominal expressed as simple formulas called basic verbal model:

Verb + Negative_MA + Tense + Tense | Mood + Person

Verb + Tense + Negative_jok/emes + Person

There are two ways of creating negatives in verbal model one with Negative_MA another with Negative_jok/emes. көрмegenбiз (körmegenbiz, we did not see or we had not seen) and алмақ емеснiң (almaq emesnің, I will not take) are given as verbal model examples:

![Diagram of Verbal Morphotactics](image)

*Figure 1. Nominal morphotactics*
Verbal paradigm is described by using FSA in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3. It is given as two parts for easy reading. Voice suffixes always come before tense suffixes. But it is not obligatory for the verbal root to take voice suffixes, it can take tense suffixes directly. Person suffixes come after simple tense suffixes. If a verbal stem takes a narrative tense suffix, person suffixes will come after narrative suffixes. There is not a specific order for negative verbal inflection. In some tenses, a negative suffix comes before tense suffixes while others are taken as the last suffix before person suffixes. ‘+0’ means transition without a suffix (empty transition).

Figure 2. Verbal morphotactics first part

5. IMPLEMENTATION

An extensive description of Kazakh morphology is given above. We used Nuve for the implementation. Nuve [24] is an open-source project for morphological parsing and machine translation. Nuve was primarily developed for Turkic languages and includes an implementation of two level Turkish morphology.

The implementation in Nuve requires four distinct components each provided as a formatted text or XML file: root lexicon (TXT), suffix lexicon (TXT), orthographic rules (XML), morphotactics (XML).
**Root Lexicon:** There are approximately 24000 entries in the root lexicon. A few entries for the root lexicon are given in Table 5 below. Each root entry is specified using surfaces (different surface forms of the root), lex, active, id (POS: Noun, Adjectives, Verbs, Pronouns, Adverbs, Exclamations, Conjunctions, etc.), flags, rules (specific orthographic rules to apply for this root entry) attributes in this text file in the CSV format. Lex and flags attributes are used for special cases.

| root surfaces | Id   | flags | rules        |
|---------------|------|-------|--------------|
| абажур        | NOUN | noun  |              |
| абайла        | VERB | verb  |              |
| абак          | NOUN | noun  |              |
| бар           | VERB | verb  | cnt          |
| кел           | VERB | verb  | cnt          |

**Suffix lexicon:** Derivational and inflectional suffixes in the suffix lexicon are defined using id (a descriptive label), lexical (lexical form of the entry), type (suffix type: I: inflectional, D: derivational), suffix group (suffix category), rules (orthographic rules specifically applied to this entry), surfaces (distinct surface forms of the entry) attributes in this text file in the CSV format.
Table 5

| id                   | lexical | group            | rules                                      | surfaces           |
|----------------------|---------|------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| ni_plural_LAr        | LAr     | TRANSFORMATION_A, TRANSFORMATION_L | лар,лар,лар,лар,лар,лар |
| ni_possessive_1sg_(I)m | 1m      | noun-posseive    | TRANSFORMATION_L, VOW-EL_DROP               | мЫм,им            |
| vi_tense_fut_GAll_otIr | GAлI_отыр | verb-tense       | TRANSFORMATION_I, REMOVE_UNDERSCORE, TRANSFORMATION_G, TRANSFORMATION_A | галы_отыр, гели_отыр, қалы_отыр |

**Morphotactics file:** This file contains suffix groups and the FSA in XML format as shown in Fig. 4. Suffixes are grouped into suffix groups for ease of use. The nodes and edges of the FSA are specified using source and targetGroup elements in the file.

```
<morphology lang="Kz-KZ">
  <suffixGroup name="NOUN_POSSESSIVE">
    <suffix>N1_POSSESSIVE_1sg_(I)m</suffix>
    <suffix>N1_POSSESSIVE_2sg_(I)b</suffix>
    ...
  </suffixGroup>
  ...
  <graph>
    <source id="NOUN">
      <targetGroup id="NOUN_POSSESSIVE" />
      <targetGroup id="NOUN_CASE" />
      <targetGroup id="ND_NOUN" />
      <targetGroup id="ND_VERB" />
      ...
    </source>
    ...
  </graph>
</morphology>
```

*Figure 4. Morphotactics XML file*

**Orthography file:** Two-level orthographic rules are encoded in XML in this file shown in Fig. 5. The conditions and transformations of the rules are defined using the transformation and conditions elements respectively. Complex logical conditions can be formed using and, or, or operands. Symbols or morphemes in the words can be accessed using previous and next attribute values. Symbols can be deleted, inserted or replaced with action attribute of the transformation element.

```
<rule id="TRANSFORMATION_A" phase="2">
  <description>Rule for meta-letter A changing into (a or e)</description>
  <transformation morpheme="This" action="Replace" operandOne="A" operandTwo="а" flag="all">
    <conditions flag="Or">
      <condition morpheme="Previous" operator="LastVowelEquals" operand="аәёоұыюя" />
    </conditions>
  </transformation>
</rule>
```

*Figure 5. Orthography XML file*
6. DATA SET AND TEST

We tested two level description of Kazakh morphology on data set from Universal Dependency. The text of UD Kazakh treebank (Makazhanov et al., 2015; Tyers et al., 2015) is collected from various sources such as Wikipedia, some folk tales, sentences from the UDHR, news and phrasebook sentences. The tokenization and morphological processing in the Kazakh UD treebank follow the principles of Turkic lexica in Apertium. Originally, the treebank is randomly split into training (80%), testing (10%), and development (10%) sets. We gave data set to Nuve as input for morphological analysis. The data set consists of over 1K sentences and more than 10K tokens. Over 8K tokens have only one morphological analysis. More than 1500 tokens have two or more morphological analyses. While finding more than one morphological analysis for a word is considered an advantage in terms of performance of a parser, it results in the problem of choosing right morphological parsing among many (morphological disambiguation). Morphological disambiguation is important for many NLP applications which depend on the accurate parsing of words, such as syntactic parsing, word sense disambiguation, spelling correction and machine translation and so on. We plan to use this data set for an experimental study on the morphological disambiguation of Kazakh in the future.

7. SPECIAL CASES AND EXAMPLES

While defining the morphology of the language, some special cases are encountered. In this section, these special cases are presented.

1. There is a confusion about the noun to adjective +LI suffix and the accusative +NI suffix both applied to nouns. For example, кұрметті адам (kurmet+LI) kurmetti adam, respectful man, and көрсеткен кұрметті (kurmet+NI) жакшы корді(кор+DI) körsetken kurmetti jakşı kördi, s/he liked the respect shown to her/him. Our implementation is able to parse both words.

2. Sometimes the negative +MA suffix and the verb to noun derivation +MA suffix may be confused since both are affixed to verbs and have the same surface. For example, аспа ac+MA, aspa, hanging (nominal) or do not hang (verb). However, this ambiguity can be resolved at the morphological disambiguation phase.

3. After possessive suffixes (except 1st and 2nd plural person), ablative case suffix +DAн (+DAn) becomes +нAн (+nAn). For example:

   ата+(I)м+DAн, атамнан, from my father
   üй+(I)іміз+DAн, üyimizden, from our home

4. +ше is used in the question form of Conditional Mood as a special case, in addition to the usual +MA question suffix. For example, жазсам ше or жазсам ба? jazsam şe/be?, should I write, келсем ше? or келсем бе? kelsem şe/be?, should I come?.

5. In Kazakh some morphemes are written separately such as the question suffix +MA, or the continuous tense suffixes +Ip отыр, +Ip түр, +Ip жүр, +Ip жат. Our implementation is able to parse these separately written suffixes without difficulty as shown below whereas other implementations either parse these suffixes as separate words or can’t parse at all.

   келіп отырың, кел(VERB)+Ip otır+2.PrsnSing
   бар+а жатырсыздар, I am going/arriving.

6. In the continuous tense, жатыр auxiliary verb requires an extra +A suffix only if it is appended to кел- and бар- verbs. This problem is handled with the cnt flag attribute in the root lexicon in the implementation. кел+е жатырмын, I am coming and бар+а жатырсыздар, I am going/arriving.

7. In adjective clauses made with verbal adjective +GAn suffix, the possessive meaning is provided by a pronoun before the clause. For example, мен жазған хат, men jazğan hat, the letter I wrote, сен күткен арба, sen kütken arba, the car you

---

1  https://universaldependencies.org/treebanks/kk_ktb/index.html
waited for. The person suffix normally attached to the verb, in this case, is replaced with a pronoun preceding the verb. These types of adjective clauses are too complex to be properly parsed by any parser.

| барма     | бар+маА | V(бар) +NEG+2PS (Imperative)          |
|------------|---------|--------------------------------------|
|           | бар+маА | V(бар) + VND(МА)                      |
| уйлерің    | уй+ЛАр+Ің | N(уй) + PLU+2PS-POSS your homes       |
|           | уй+ЛАрІң | N(уй) + 2PP-POSS your home (polite)  |
| жылы      | жылы    | Adj(жылы) warm                       |
|           | жылы    | Adv(жылы) warmly                     |
|           | жыл+сІ  | N(жыл) + 3PS-POSS it’s year          |
| жүз       | жүз     | Adj(жүз) hundred                     |
|           | жүз     | N(жүз) face                          |
|           | жүз     | N(жүз) tribe                         |
| басты     | бас+LI  | N(бас) +NND(LI) having (a) head      |
|           | бас+DI  | V(бас)+ PAST+ 3PS (s/he) stepped on  |

8. CONCLUSION

We have provided a comprehensive two level description of Kazakh morphology with an implementation on Nuve Framework consisting of 22 orthographic rules for the definition of morphophonemic processes, the morphotactics for nominal and verbal derivations and inflections using a large root lexicon and a suffix lexicon in which special or exceptional cases are manually annotated for ease of use. Our study covers both derivational and inflectional morphology to a greater extend (ii) Our implementation consisting of orthographic rules, morphotactics, a root lexicon of roughly 24 thousand roots, a lexicon of roughly 150 suffixes is open source and free (https://nuvestudio.com/downloads/kz_KZ/kz_KZ.zip) which can be downloaded, reviewed and tested. (ii) Roughly 10 thousand manually disambiguated word parses are available as a morphological disambiguation data set. (iii) Our implementation is easily extensible meaning it can be modified or extended with new rules without any programming, because all resources are encoded as XML/CSV files and ready to use as soon as they are uploaded into the system. (iv) We are able tackle emerging problems quickly and easily, since Nuve is maintained by our study group. The original version of Nuve was developed in .NET. The current version is in PHP. (v) Our implementation can handle problems such as separately written morphemes or digraphs etc. directly. No indirect methods are necessary to handle these cases. (vi) We also have a Turkish morphological parser/generator in Nuve for morphology based machine translation between Turkish and other Turkic languages (which is the next part of our project) since these closely related languages have a lot in common from lexical, morphological, and syntactic aspects. (vii) Finally, we have Kazakh morphological parser and generator available online to anyone who wishes to use.
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