Deciphering bacterial and fungal endophyte communities in leaves of two maple trees with green islands
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Green islands (the re-greening of senescent leaf tissues) are particularly evident on leaves infected with fungal pathogens. To date, there is only a limited number of studies investigating foliar endophytic microorganisms in phytopathogen-infected leaves. Here, we analysed bacterial and fungal endophyte communities in leaves without green islands (control leaves; CL), within green island areas (GLA) and the surrounding yellow leaf areas (YLA) of leaves with green islands of Acer campestre and A. platanoides. GLA samples of A. campestre and A. platanoides were dominated by Sawadaea polyfida and S. bicornis, respectively, suggesting that these fungi might be responsible for the green islands. We detected a higher fungal richness and diversity in CL compared to GLA samples of A. campestre. Leaf status (CL, GLA, YLA) significantly altered the composition of fungal communities of A. campestre. This was related to differences in fungal community composition between YLA and GLA samples. Site was the main driver of bacterial communities, suggesting that bacterial and fungal endophytes are shaped by different factors. Overall, we observed Acer species-specific responses of endophyte communities towards the presence of green islands and/or leaf type, which might be attributed to several fungi and bacteria specifically associated with one Acer species.

Endophytic microorganisms, including bacteria and fungi, have been detected in all plant species investigated so far. Over the past years, the definition for endophytes has been controversially used in literature. According to Le Cocq et al. and van Overbeek and Saikkonen, endophytes are those ‘microbes which colonize internal plant tissues for at least parts of their life cycle without causing disease symptoms under any known circumstances’. In contrast, Hardoim et al. suggested that the term “endophyte” should refer to their habitat only but not to their function. Here, we adopt the concept of Hardoim et al., which includes both pathogenic and non-pathogenic endophytes.

Previous investigations on endophyte communities using high-throughput sequencing-based approaches have led to novel insights into phylogenetic and functional responses of these communities to a diverse array of factors, such as plant species and/or plant compartment. Moreover, these techniques significantly contributed to our understanding of plant-microbe interactions and microbial interactions in plants. These interactions can involve not only plant-beneficial microorganisms but also phytopathogens.

A phenomenon, typically referred to as “green islands” of plant tissues, is frequently observed in plant-pathogen interactions, i.e., the sites of pathogen infection remain green whilst the surrounding leaf tissues senesces. Green islands are particularly evident on leaves infected with obligately biotrophic as well as necrotrophic fungal pathogens, such as rusts or powdery mildews. It has been assumed that green islands are beneficial to the fungal pathogen by prolonging the life of host cells and, as a consequence, its period of reproduction. Previous studies reported that cytokinins promote re-greening of senescent leaf tissues. Interestingly, leaf mining

1Department of Crop Sciences, University of Göttingen, Grisebachstr. 6, D-37077, Göttingen, Germany. 2Applied Marine and Estuarine Ecology, Evolution and Ecology Research Centre, School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia. 3Genomic and Applied Microbiology and Göttingen Genomics Laboratory, Institute of Microbiology and Genetics, University of Göttingen, Grisebachstr. 8, D-37077, Göttingen, Germany. 4Centre for Marine Science and Innovation and School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.V. (email: svidal@gwdg.de)
insects can also induce green islands. However, a previous study on green islands and leaf-mining insects suggested that the insect bacterial symbiont *Wolbachia* plays a key role in green-island induction by manipulating the cytokinin levels of plants. According to Tian et al., studies on bacterial endophytes in healthy plants are not sufficient to understand the dynamics of plant-microbiome-pathogen relationships in the absence of plant pathogens. So far, only few studies have investigated endophyte communities in diseased plant tissues. Recently, de Assis Costa et al. analysed the fungal diversity of asymptomatic and symptomatic leaf samples of the oil palm *Elaeis guineensis*. Here, the fungal community composition in asymptomatic leaves was more similar to each other compared to symptomatic leaves. In another study by Bogas et al., a higher bacterial endophyte diversity was observed in *Colletotrichum* spp. infected *Paullinia cupana* trees. The authors suggested that there is a possible interaction between anthracnose and the endophytic bacterial community. Deveau et al. proposed that interactions between bacteria and fungi contribute to both diseases and health of plants. However, our knowledge on bacterial-fungal interactions in diseased plant tissues remains incomplete, as most previous studies have not simultaneously analysed fungal or bacterial endophyte communities.

In the present study, we investigated fungal and bacterial endophytes and their interactions in leaves with and without green islands of field maple (*Acer campestre*) and the temperate Norway maple (*A. platanoides*) using large-scale metabarcoding. For this purpose, leaves of *A. campestre* and *A. platanoides* trees were collected at three sites near Göttingen, Lower Saxony, Germany. Both *Acer* species were chosen because they are broadly distributed in Europe and the green areas on the leaves become very conspicuous in autumn, when the leaves turn to bright yellow. Bacterial and fungal endophyte communities in control leaves (CL) without green islands as well as within green leaf areas (GLA) and in the surrounding yellow leaf areas (YLA) of leaves with green islands were examined using Illumina (MiSeq) sequencing targeting the bacterial 16S rRNA gene and the fungal internal transcribed spacer region 2 (ITS2), respectively. To better understand plant-microbe interactions in leaves with and without green islands of both *Acer* species, correlation-based indicator analysis was performed.

Our major aims were as follows: (i) to examine the assemblage of foliar endophyte bacteria and fungi of *A. campestre* and *A. platanoides*, (ii) to assess the effect of green island presence on microbial diversity and community structures, (iii) to examine whether this effect differs between *A. campestre* and *A. platanoides* and (iv) to determine if fungi and bacteria are affected in the same ways by the presence of green islands. To our knowledge, this is the first report on bacterial and fungal endophyte communities in leaves without and with green islands.

**Results**

Leaves with and without green islands (Fig. 1) of *A. platanoides* and *A. campestre* trees were collected at three sites near Göttingen, resulting in a total of 65 leaf samples (Table 1, Supplementary Material Table S1). After removal of low-quality reads, PCR artefacts (chimeras), singletons and plant-derived contaminations, a total of 1,437,845 and 4,337,507 high-quality reads were obtained for bacteria and fungi, respectively (Supplementary Material Tables S2, S3). Sequence numbers per sample varied between 8,061 to 62,942 (average 22,121) for bacteria and 11,932 to 164,642 (average 66,731) for fungi, respectively (Supplementary Material Tables S4, S5).

Obtained sequences were grouped into 1,176 bacterial and 550 fungal zero-radius operational taxonomic units (zOTUs; denoised, chimera-free sequences with 100% similarity; Supplementary Material Tables S2, S3).
Bacterial and fungal endophyte communities in leaves are dominated by few phyla. Fungi were represented by two abundant phyla (>0.1% of all sequences across all samples): Ascomycota (mean abundance across all samples: 95.87%) and Basidiomycota (3.11%) (Fig. 2a). The two fungal classes Dothideomycetes (53.41%) and Leotiomycetes (41.60%) dominated the fungal community. At species level, an unidentified member of the Mycosphaerellaceae (47.88%) was predominant. Other abundant fungal species were S. bicornis (14.63%), S. polyfida (14.34%), one unidentified member of the Helotiales (11.20%), Ramularia vallisumbrosae (1.82%), Vischniacozyma victoriae (1.44%) and Mycosphaerella harthensis (1.08%).

The relative abundances of most fungal species did not differ between both Acer species, with exception of S. bicornis, S. polyfida and S. tulasnei. However, several fungal species differed in their abundance with regard to leaf status. Fungi assigned to the family Mycosphaerellaceae were predominant in YLA samples of A. campestre (68.59%) and A. platanoides (68.56%). In contrast, the fungal species S. polyfida (59.18%) and S. bicornis (61.32%) dominated the GLA samples of A. campestre and A. platanoides, respectively. The fungal species M. harthensis (4.94%) and Helicogloea farinacea (3.01%) were found in YLA of A. platanoides and CL of A. campestre, respectively, but were detected in very low abundance (<0.1%) in YLA samples of A. campestre and in CL of A. platanoides, respectively.

Bacterial communities were dominated by Proteobacteria (mean abundance across all samples: 69.34%), Bacteroidetes (14.78%), Deinococcus-Thermus (8.39%), Actinobacteria (4.85%) and Patescibacteria (0.52%) (Fig. 2b). Within the Proteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria were predominant (37.64%), followed by Alphaproteobacteria (28.13%) and Deltaproteobacteria (3.56%). One unidentified member of the genus Rastronia (10.38%), Hymenobacter sp. UV11 (9.10%) and an unidentified member of Deinococcus (5.93%) were predominant in the bacterial community. Most bacterial genera, such as Streptococcus or Rastronia, did not differ in their relative abundance between both Acer species. In contrast, several bacterial genera including Variovorax, Methylocella or Methylobacterium were more abundant in A. campestre leaves. The opposite was detected for the genera Massilia and Friedmanniella. Differences in the relative abundances with respect to Acer species and leaf status were also recorded. Methylobacterium (18.4%) and Hymenobacter (24.11%) were predominant in CL of A. campestre, while those of A. platanoides were dominated by Deinococcus (12.55%) and Pseudomonas (13.15%).

Leaf status significantly affected fungal endophyte diversity in A. campestre but not in A. platanoides leaves. Changes in alpha diversity values were evaluated by linear mixed effects models with site as random factor and Acer species as well as leaf status (model 1) or presence of green islands (model 2). Site significantly affected bacterial alpha diversity values (Supplementary Material Table S6). Acer species did not influence bacterial richness and phylogenetic diversity (Table 2, Supplementary Material Table S6), but we detected a significantly higher bacterial diversity in A. platanoides than in A. campestre (model 1: F_{1,4,4} = 5.43, P = 0.04; model 2: F_{5,5} = 5.53, P = 0.02). Neither the presence of green islands nor the leaf status influenced bacterial alpha diversity values.

In contrast, fungal richness (F_{1,6,2} = 8.71, P = 0.004) and diversity (F_{1,6,2} = 7.37, P = 0.01) were significantly higher in control leaves compared to leaves with green islands (Table 2, Supplementary Material Table S6). Similar results were obtained when including the leaf status in the model (richness: F_{2,6,0} = 5.06, P = 0.01; diversity: F_{2,6,0} = 3.99, P = 0.02). Multiple comparison using Dunn's test with Benjamini-Hochberg corrected P-values revealed a significantly higher fungal richness (P_{adj} = 0.04) in CL compared to YLA samples. In addition, we observed a significantly lower fungal diversity in GLA (P_{adj} = 0.048) and YLA (P_{adj} = 0.03) than in CL samples. Site and Acer species did not influence fungal richness or diversity.

|          | Site 1 (Molkengrund) | Site 2 (Am Kehr) | Site 3 (Friedland) | Leaf number | Sample number |
|----------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|---------------|
| A. platanoides |                      |                   |                    |             |               |
| Control leaves (CL) | 4                    | 4                 | 8                  | 20          | 32            |
| Leaves with green islands* | 6                    | 3                 | 12                 | 24          |               |
| A. campestre |                      |                   |                    |             |               |
| Control leaves (CL) | 1                    | 8                 | 9                  | 21          | 33            |
| Leaves with green islands* | 4                    | 3                 | 5                  | 12          | 24            |
| Total | 14                   | 11                | 13                 | 41          | 65            |

Table 1. Study design and sample numbers investigated in this study. Leaves of A. platanoides and A. campestre with and without green islands were collected at three sites (Molkengrund, Am Kehr and Friedland) in Germany at 1st November 2015. *Note that leaves with green islands contained green (GLA) and yellow leaf area (YLA) samples.
When investigating the endophyte diversity of the two *Acer* species separately, we detected no effect of leaf status or presence of green islands on fungal richness or diversity in leaves of *A. platanoides* and on bacterial alpha diversity measures in leaves of both *Acer* species. However, we detected a significantly lower fungal richness ($F_{1,28} = 5.87, P = 0.02$) and diversity ($F_{1,30} = 5.11, P = 0.03$) in green island compared to control leaves of *A. campestre*. The impact of leaf status on fungal richness ($F_{2,29} = 3.28, P = 0.052$) and diversity ($F_{2,29} = 3.11, P = 0.06$) of this *Acer* tree species was only marginal. Multiple comparison using Benjamini-Hochberg corrected $P$-values revealed a significantly higher fungal richness ($P_{adj} = 0.02$) and diversity ($P_{adj} = 0.03$) in CL compared to GLA samples of *A. campestre*. Moreover, fungal endophyte richness differed marginally ($P = 0.053$) between CL and YLA samples of this *Acer* tree species.

The effect of leaf status on fungal community composition differs between the two *Acer* species. As we observed differences in the relative abundances of the predominant fungal species and bacterial genera with regard to *Acer* species and leaf status, we performed non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (nMDS) analyses based on Bray-Curtis and weighted UniFrac dissimilarities for bacteria and Bray-Curtis dissimilarities for fungi (Supplementary Material Fig. S3). We observed that the majority of control leaf samples grouped together in the fungal but not in the bacterial dataset. The effect of site, leaf status and *Acer* species...
Table 2. Alpha diversity measures (mean ± standard deviation) for bacterial and fungal endophytes in leaves with and without green islands of *A. campestre* and *A. platanoides* collected at three sites (Molkengrund, Am Kehr and Friedland) in Germany. Abbreviations: CL, control leaves without green islands; GIL, leaves with green islands; YLA, yellow leaf area; GLA, green island area; Ac, *Acer campestre*; Ap, *Acer platanoides*. Alpha diversity values are represented by richness (number of observed 2OTUs), diversity (Shannon diversity index H′) and phylogenetic diversity (Faith’s PD). Note that the Faith’s PD was not calculated for fungi due to the high variability in the fungal ITS region. Abbreviation: NA, not available (standard deviation not available due to the low number of replicates). *Leaves with green islands (GIL) contained green (GLA) and yellow leaf area (YLA) samples. Different superscript letters indicate significantly different values (P < 0.05) between the two *Acer* species (bacterial data) or between leaves with and without green islands (fungal data). Different superscript letters indicate significant differences (P ≤ 0.05) between the three leaf states CL, YLA and GLA (fungal data). There was a marginally significant difference in fungal richness between CL and YLA samples of *A. campestre* (P = 0.053) and between CL and YLA samples of the entire dataset (P = 0.054). For further details, see Supplementary Table S6.

|                | Bacterial endophytes | Fungal endophytes |
|----------------|----------------------|-------------------|
|                | Richness  | Diversity | Faith’s PD | Richness | Diversity |
| Ac             | 244 ± 70  | 3.15 ± 0.79abc | 5.56 ± 1.31 | 77 ± 65  | 1.38 ± 0.83 |
| Ap             | 242 ± 88  | 3.38 ± 0.66abc | 5.65 ± 1.71 | 77 ± 66  | 1.31 ± 0.77 |
| *Acer campestre* |          |           |            |          |            |
| Leaves with green islands (GIL)* | 229 ± 75  | 3.03 ± 0.89 | 5.32 ± 1.44 | 59 ± 46c | 1.16 ± 0.66c |
| - Green leaf area (GLA) | 228 ± 78  | 3.07 ± 0.94 | 5.39 ± 1.48 | 49 ± 24a | 1.01 ± 0.45a |
| - Yellow leaf area (YLA) | 229 ± 77  | 2.99 ± 0.87 | 5.25 ± 1.45 | 70 ± 61ab | 1.32 ± 0.81ab |
| Control leaves (CL) | 285 ± 25  | 3.45 ± 0.28 | 6.22 ± 0.49 | 123 ± 86bc | 1.98 ± 0.98bc |
| *Acer platanoides* |          |           |            |          |            |
| Leaves with green islands (GIL)* | 238 ± 87  | 3.35 ± 0.66 | 5.57 ± 1.67 | 66 ± 53  | 1.20 ± 0.67 |
| - Green leaf area (GLA) | 230 ± 93  | 3.25 ± 0.72 | 5.36 ± 1.64 | 56 ± 18  | 1.19 ± 0.31 |
| - Yellow leaf area (YLA) | 246 ± 85  | 3.46 ± 0.61 | 5.78 ± 1.75 | 75 ± 73  | 1.21 ± 0.92 |
| Control leaves (CL) | 252 ± 94  | 3.46 ± 0.71 | 5.89 ± 1.92 | 112 ± 92 | 1.67 ± 0.97 |
| Am Kehr        |          |           |            |          |            |
| Ac · CL            | 280 ± NA  | 3.15 ± NA   | 6.09 ± NA  | 54 ± NA  | 1.24 ± NA  |
| Ac · GIL           | 165 ± 57  | 2.65 ± 1.28 | 4.21 ± 1.48 | 43 ± 21  | 0.99 ± 0.3  |
| Ac · GLA          | 163 ± 84  | 2.73 ± 1.72 | 4.43 ± 2.18 | 37 ± 7   | 0.83 ± 0.2  |
| Ac · YLA          | 168 ± 32  | 2.57 ± 1.05 | 3.98 ± 0.78 | 50 ± 31  | 1.14 ± 0.35 |
| Ap · CL           | 209 ± 89  | 3.15 ± 0.89 | 4.94 ± 1.59 | 89 ± 95  | 1.29 ± 0.99 |
| Ap · GIL         | 180 ± 61  | 3.02 ± 0.68 | 4.62 ± 1.45 | 40 ± 16  | 0.94 ± 0.34 |
| Ap · GLA         | 157 ± 57  | 2.84 ± 0.73 | 4.19 ± 1.2  | 41 ± 10  | 1.13 ± 0.24 |
| Ap · YLA         | 203 ± 60  | 3.2 ± 0.64  | 5.04 ± 1.67 | 39 ± 21  | 0.75 ± 0.34 |
| Molkengrund      |          |           |            |          |            |
| Ac · GIL            | 210 ± 59  | 2.89 ± 0.96 | 4.98 ± 1.2  | 49 ± 35  | 0.93 ± 0.61 |
| Ac · GLA          | 217 ± 66  | 2.94 ± 0.91 | 5.15 ± 1.42 | 38 ± 7   | 0.88 ± 0.65 |
| Ac · YLA          | 202 ± 60  | 2.84 ± 1.15 | 4.81 ± 1.12 | 60 ± 50  | 0.98 ± 0.68 |
| Ap · CL           | 296 ± 89  | 3.77 ± 0.36 | 6.84 ± 1.91 | 135 ± 96 | 2.04 ± 0.91 |
| Ap · GIL         | 296 ± 70  | 3.68 ± 0.46 | 6.53 ± 1.32 | 91 ± 65  | 1.45 ± 0.82 |
| Ap · GLA         | 304 ± 52  | 3.66 ± 0.45 | 6.53 ± 1.11 | 71 ± 10  | 1.25 ± 0.38 |
| Ap · YLA         | 289 ± 88  | 3.71 ± 0.51 | 6.53 ± 1.62 | 111 ± 91 | 1.66 ± 1.12 |
| Friedland        |          |           |            |          |            |
| Ac · CL           | 286 ± 26  | 3.49 ± 0.27 | 6.24 ± 0.52 | 131 ± 88 | 2.07 ± 1.01 |
| Ac · GIL          | 282 ± 62  | 3.57 ± 0.39 | 6.26 ± 1.01 | 77 ± 61  | 1.45 ± 0.78 |
| Ac · GLA         | 277 ± 59  | 3.37 ± 0.36 | 6.16 ± 0.8  | 65 ± 30  | 1.21 ± 0.38 |
| Ac · YLA         | 287 ± 72  | 3.36 ± 0.46 | 6.36 ± 1.28 | 89 ± 84  | 1.7 ± 1.03  |
We were also interested in a separate analysis of endophyte community composition in leaves of each *Acer* species. Similar to the results for fungal diversity and richness, we found *Acer* species-specific responses of the fungal community composition towards leaf status (Fig. 3). GLA and CL samples of *A. campestre* grouped together with exception of one sample (Fig. 3a,b). On the other hand, no distinct clustering was observed for bacterial communities of *A. campestre* and *A. platanoides* (Fig. 3c,d) and for fungal communities of *A. platanoides* (Fig. 3a,b). These findings were supported by the results of the statistical analysis (PERMANOVA; Table 3). Leaf status had no effect on the community composition of bacterial endophytes in *A. campestre* and *A. platanoides* and fungal endophytes in *A. platanoides*, but significantly affected those of fungal endophytes in *A. campestre* ($P_{\text{pseudo-F}} = 6.83$, $P = 0.02$). Multiple comparison based on Bray-Curtis distances revealed significant differences in fungal community composition between leaf states YLA and GLA of *A. campestre* ($t = 4.1$, $P = 0.048$, permutations = 192).

Overall, we found different responses of bacterial and fungal endophytes towards site, presence of green islands, leaf status and *Acer* species (Table 4). In addition, the observed effects were not consistent among the *Acer* tree species, as fungal richness, diversity and community structure of *A. campestre* but not of *A. platanoides* differed among the leaf types and/or between leaves with and without green islands.

**Bacterial and fungal taxa associated with leaf status and *Acer* species.** To identify bacterial and fungal taxa responsible for the observed differences among leaf status and *Acer* species, we performed an
indicator species analysis (Fig. 4, Supplementary Material Table S8). We detected a lower number of significantly associated bacterial (n = 72) than fungal (n = 106) zOTUs. In general, the number of significantly associated fungal and bacterial zOTUs was lower for *A. platanoides* than for *A. campestre*. The highest number of associated bacterial (n = 53) and fungal (n = 48) zOTUs was observed for CL samples of *A. campestre*.

Several zOTUs belonging to the Mycosphaerellaceae were significantly associated with CL samples of both *Acer* species. Four fungal zOTU of this family were significantly affiliated with leaf status YLA of *A. campestre*, while no fungal zOTU was associated with YLA samples of *A. platanoides*.

Six zOTUs belonging to *S. polyfida* and three zOTUs of *S. tuliasnei* were significantly linked to leaf status GLA of *A. campestre*. Six zOTUs of *S. bicornis*, five zOTUs of *S. polyfida* and one zOTU belonging to *S. tuliasnei* were significantly associated with leaf status GLA of *A. platanoides*. Three of these zOTUs were linked to leaf status GLA in both *Acer* species. In addition, we identified two bacterial zOTUs (*Pseudomonas lutea* and *Acidovorax valerianellae*), which were significantly associated with YLA samples of *A. campestre*. The three bacterial zOTUs assigned to *Paracoccus* sp. K3B-8, *Sphingomonas rubra* and *Escherichia-Shigella* were significantly affiliated with YLA samples of *A. platanoides*. Only two bacterial zOTUs were significantly associated with GLA samples of *A. campestre* (one unidentified *Sphingomonas* and one unidentified bacterium of Acetobacteraceae) and *A. platanoides* (one *Sphingomonas* sp. Leaf339 and one unidentified bacterium belonging to P3OB-42 of the Myxococcales).

**Figure 3.** Response of fungal (a) and bacterial (b) endophyte communities in leaves of *A. campestre* and *A. platanoides* towards green island presence and leaf status. Ordination is based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities between samples. NMDS ordination of bacterial and fungal communities is color-coded by leaf status. Note that the nMDS axes have different scales for each ordination. NMDS ordination plots for weighted UniFrac dissimilarities can be found in the Supplementary Material as Figure S4. Abbreviations: CL, control leaves; YLA, yellow leaf area; GLA, green island area.
Table 4. Summary of findings. Effect of site, Acer species, presence of green islands or leaf status on bacterial and fungal richness, alpha diversity values and community composition. +, significant (≤0.05); −, not significant (>0.05); o, marginally significant (≤0.1). Alpha diversity values are represented by richness (number of observed zOTUs), diversity (Shannon diversity index H') and phylogenetic diversity (Faith’s PD). Results for community composition are based on model 1 (model with leaf status) and Bray-Curtis dissimilarities. Abbreviations: NA, not available (not calculated as there was no effect of green island presence on endophyte community composition when testing the entire dataset).

Figure 4. Bacterial and fungal zOTUs significantly associated with yellow (YLA) and green leaf areas (GLA) of A. campestre (Ac) and A. plantanoides (Ap) leaves with green islands.
Discussion

Studies on fungal and bacterial endophytes and their interactions in non-healthy plant tissues are still lacking. In the current study, we assessed fungal and bacterial endophyte communities in leaves with and without green islands of *A. campestris* and *A. platanoides*. Consistent with previous research, bacterial communities of both *Acer* species were dominated by Gammaproteobacteria. The predominant fungal classes in our study were Dothideomycetes and Leotiomycetes. Our results are only partly in line with previous studies on foliar fungal endophytes of trees. We assume that the contrasting findings in endophyte communities observed in our and other studies are related to differences in sampling site and plant species investigated. Previous studies showed that sampling site and plant species are main drivers of foliar endophyte communities. This is only partly supported by our study. 

In the current study, we did not detect other symptoms or signs of fungal infections such as mildew patches. We hypothesize that such symptoms would be more prominent in senescent leaves.

We found significant differences in the fungal community composition between YLA and GLA samples of *A. campestris*. In addition, we observed a lower fungal richness and diversity in GLA samples of *A. campestris* but not of *A. platanoides*. Interestingly, alpha diversity values were not affected by both presence of green islands and leaf status. Previous studies investigating bacterial and fungal endophyte diversity in diseased and apparently healthy plant tissues found similar contrasting results. For instance, no significant differences in fungal richness and diversity between healthy and dieback-diseased plants of five invasive species across Northern Australia were detected by Raghavendra et al. Contrarily to our results for bacterial alpha diversity values, Bogas et al. observed a higher bacterial diversity in *Paullina cupana* plants associated with symptomatic anthracnose (caused by *Colletotrichum spp.*). Compared to asymptomatic plants, indicating interactions between anthracnose and the bacterial endophytic communities investigated.

We speculate that interactions between fungal endophytes are responsible for the observed differences in fungal community composition and lower alpha diversity values in GLA samples. As endophytes are supposed to “guard their turf” from potential usurpers (see), fungal species occupying plant tissues should block other species from invading these areas. Yan et al. have elegantly shown that fungal endophytes boost their diverse arsenal of secondary compounds aiming to fence their tissues from invasion by other colonizing species. On the other hand, as endophytic taxa have quite different history traits resulting in functional complementarity, they may occupy even closely related niches within plant tissues.

Another possible explanation for the observed differences between fungal communities in GLA and YLA samples of *A. campestris* is that the fungal endophytes differ in their niche preferences, as *Mycosphaerellaceae* and *S. polyfida* dominated YLA and GLA samples, respectively. This supports a previous study of Ernst et al. on fungal endophytes of *Phragmites australis*. The authors observed that three unrelated species of the Ascomycota differed in their niche preferences (i.e., host organ, host habitat, and time of season) and concluded that spatio-temporal niche partitioning allowed for differential colonization of common reed by the fungal species investigated.

The high abundance of powdery mildew genus *Sawadaea* in GLA samples and the results of the indicator species analysis suggests that these fungi could be responsible for the green islands in the *Acer* leaves. Members belonging to this genus are obligate parasitic fungi of several maple species and have a limited host range. However, other symptoms or signs of fungal infections supporting this conclusion were not detected. As a consequence, future studies over longer time periods are needed to identify the cause of the green islands observed in the current study.

Finally, we showed that fungal and bacterial communities responded in different ways towards the factors investigated, supporting the need for synergy between bacterial and fungal endophyte research efforts. Similar different responses of fungal and bacterial endophytes towards abiotic and biotic factors have been observed in two previous studies. The observed different responses in our and the above-mentioned studies might be related to differences in the lifestyle strategies of fungi and bacteria, which have been described previously. Another possible explanation for the more pronounced response of fungal endophytes towards the presence of green islands is that the fungal pathogen competes with other fungi for the same ecological niche. Negative associations between co-occurrence of fungal endophyte species were observed for *Cirsium arvense* by Gange et al. The authors suggested that these findings are related to the sequence of endophyte colonization. As a consequence,
future studies should investigate endophyte communities and their interactions spanning the whole lifetime of leaves (see\textsuperscript{29}.

A few technical and biological limitations of this study must be considered. We cannot exclude the possibility that at least part of the bacteria and fungi detected in our study are not actual endophytes but saprotrophs originating from leaf surfaces or the soil, although we collected freshly fallen leaves only. In addition, more leaf samples collected from different sites over a longer sampling period should be analyzed to better understand the causal agents for the green islands and the multiple interactions of endophyte communities. Future studies should also perform epidemiological analyses to support the conclusion that the Sawadaea spp. are responsible for the green islands observed in the Acer leaves.

Conclusion

Studies on fungal and bacterial endophytes and their interactions in non-hosty plant tissues are still scarce. The current study provides first insights into the diversity and community composition of fungal and bacterial endophytes in leaves with and without green islands of two maple tree species. The high abundance of S. polyfida and S. bicornis in GLA samples of A. campestre and A. platanoides, respectively, suggests that these fungi could be responsible for the green islands in the Acer leaves. We cannot, however, exclude that other microorganisms or the host species are responsible for the formation of green islands. Fungal endophyte communities appeared to be more affected by leaf status and/or presence of green islands than bacterial endophytes. However, the effects of green island presence or leaf status on fungal endophytes were Acer species-dependent: only fungal endophytes in leaves of A. campestre were affected by these factors. As a consequence, more research on endophyte communities in leaves with and without green islands of Acer trees collected at different sites over a longer time period as well as competition experiments with S. polyfida and S. bicornis are needed to unravel the diverse plant-microbiome-pathogen interactions in leaves with green islands.

Materials and Methods

Study site and sampling. Leaves with and without green islands (Fig. 1) of at least four A. platanoides and A. campestre trees per site and Acer tree species were collected at two sites in Göttingen (Molkengrund, E 9°57′59″/N 51°32′15″; Am Kehr, E 9°58′46″/N 51°31′36″) at 1st November 2015 (Table 1). Every single leaf was collected directly under a single tree canopy (number of trees per site and Acer species: ≥4; Table 1). Only those leaves were sampled, which were clearly fallen from the tree in the last hours (i.e., only those leaves, which lay loosely on top of the litter layer). As there were only few A. campestre leaves without green islands, control leaves of approximately ten A. campestre trees were also collected in a forest next to Friedland (E 9°56′17″/N 51°25′13″). Each leaf was separately placed in a sterile bag and transported to the laboratory on ice within a few hours. The leaves were stored at −20°C.

Surface sterilization of plant material and DNA extraction. Leaves were surface-sterilized by serial washing in 70% ethanol for 2 min, 2% sodium hypochlorite for 3 min and 70% ethanol for 1 min, followed by two times immersion in sterile, distilled water, and diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC)-treated water. Surface-sterilized leaves were subsequently dried on sterile tissue paper. Fresh solutions and separate, sterile collection tubes were used for each leaf to avoid cross-contaminations. The effectiveness of the applied sterilization process was controlled as described previously\textsuperscript{31}. Briefly, aliquots of the water used in the final wash step were subjected to PCR targeting the bacterial 16S rRNA gene and the fungal ITS2 region. No PCR products were detected. In addition, water from the same aliquots was plated on common laboratory media plates. No growth of fungi or bacteria was observed after incubation in the dark at 25°C for at least one week. These results confirmed that the surface sterilization of all Acer leaves was successful in eliminating cultivable as well as non-cultivable epiphytic fung and bacteria as well as potential DNA traces from the leaf surfaces.

Five to ten fragments of approximately 10 mm diameter were cut from eight (A. platanoides) and nine (A. campestre) leaves without green islands (control, CL) using sterile scalpels. In addition, five to ten leaf fragments of around 10 mm diameter were cut from green islands as well as from the surrounding yellow tissues from twelve A. platanoides and twelve A. campestre leaves. We chose only leaves without any other symptoms of diseases, such as lesions. All fragments of the same leaf were pooled based on their leaf status (CL, YLA and GLA) and stored at −20°C until DNA extraction. Total DNA of pooled leaf fragments was extracted employing the pegGOLD Plant DNA Mini kit (Peqlab, Erlangen, Germany; now VWR) according to the manufacturer’s instructions with two modifications described previously\textsuperscript{32}. In addition, all leaf fragments were incubated in lysis buffer at 32°C for 12 hours and subsequently homogenized using ethanol-sterilized pestles. Concentration of DNA extracts was quantified using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, USA). In total, DNA of 65 Acer leaf samples was subjected to PCR targeting the bacterial 16S rRNA gene and the fungal ITS region (Supplementary Material Table S1).

Amplification of the 16S rRNA gene. Bacterial endophyte communities in A. platanoides and A. campestre leaves were assessed by a nested PCR approach targeting the 16S rRNA gene. For details of the first PCR reaction mixture and the thermal cycling scheme see Wemheuer et al\textsuperscript{33}. In brief, the primers 799f (5′-AACMGGAATTGATACCCCGG-3′)\textsuperscript{9} and 1492R (5′-GYTACCTTGTCTGACGTTT-3′)\textsuperscript{10} were used in the first PCR to suppress co-amplification of chloroplast-derived 16S rRNA genes. Bacteria-specific bands were purified and quantified as described in Wemheuer and Wemheuer\textsuperscript{34}. Purified PCR products were subjected to nested PCR.

The V6–V8 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified with primers 968F and 1401R\textsuperscript{35} containing MiSeq adaptors (underlined) (MiSeq-968F 5′-TGTCGCGAGCCGCCTAGATGTTAATAGAGACAGAA CGCGAAAGAACCCTAC-3′; MiSeq– 1401R 5′-GTCGCTGGGCTTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGAA CGCGAAAGAACCCTAC-3′; MiSeq–).
leaves were assessed by a nested PCR approach targeting the ITS region as described previously\(^1\). In the first PCR, the primers ITS1\(_F\)_KY02 (5′-TAGAGGAAGTAAAAAGTCGTA-3′)\(^2\) and ITS4 (5′-TCCTCCGCTATTGATATGC-3′)\(^3\) were used to suppress co-amplification of plant-derived ITS regions. Obtained PCR products were purified and quantified as described for the bacterial PCR products. The ITS2 region was subsequently amplified using approximately 50 ng of the first PCR and the primers ITS3\(_F\)_KY02\(^7\) and ITS4\(^8\) containing the MiSeq adaptors (underlined): MiSeq-ITS3\(_F\)_KY02 (5′-TCGTCCGACGGCTCAGATGTTGTAATAGCAGGATGGAAGAACGAGYAGRTAAA-3′) and MiSeq-ITS4 (5′-GTCTCCGGCCTGGCAGAGTTTGAGTAAAGAGCAAGACTCCTCCGCTATTGATATGC-3′) as described previously\(^9\). Three independent PCRs were performed per sample. Obtained PCR products were pooled in equal amounts, purified and quantified as described for bacterial PCR products. Purified PCR products were barcoded using the Nextera XT-Index kit (Illumina, San Diego, USA) and the Kapa HI-FI Hot Start polymerase (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, USA). The Göttgen Genomics Laboratory determined the sequences of the individual rRNA genes employing the MiSeq Sequencing platform and the MiSeq Reagent Kit v3 (2 × 300 cycles) as recommended by the manufacturer (Illumina).

**Amplification of the ITS region.** Fungal endophyte communities in leaves of *A. platanoides* and *A. campestre* leaves were assessed by a nested PCR approach targeting the ITS region as described previously\(^1\). In the first PCR, the primers ITS1\(_F\)_KY02 (5′-TAGAGGAAGTAAAAAGTCGTA-3′)\(^2\) and ITS4 (5′-TCCTCCGCTATTGATATGC-3′)\(^3\) were used to suppress co-amplification of plant-derived ITS regions. Obtained PCR products were purified and quantified as described for the bacterial PCR products. The ITS2 region was subsequently amplified using approximately 50 ng of the first PCR and the primers ITS3\(_F\)_KY02\(^7\) and ITS4\(^8\) containing the MiSeq adaptors (underlined): MiSeq-ITS3\(_F\)_KY02 (5′-TCGTCCGACGGCTCAGATGTTGTAATAGCAGGATGGAAGAACGAGYAGRTAAA-3′) and MiSeq-ITS4 (5′-GTCTCCGGCCTGGCAGAGTTTGAGTAAAGAGCAAGACTCCTCCGCTATTGATATGC-3′) as described previously\(^9\). Three independent PCRs were performed per sample. Obtained PCR products were pooled in equal amounts, purified and quantified as described for bacterial PCR products. Purified PCR products were barcoded using the Nextera XT-Index kit (Illumina) and the Kapa HI-FI Hot Start polymerase (Kapa Biosystems). The Göttgen Genomics Laboratory determined the sequences of the ITS2 region employing the MiSeq Sequencing platform and the MiSeq Reagent Kit v3 (2 × 300 cycles) as recommended by the manufacturer (Illumina).

**Processing of bacterial and fungal datasets.** Obtained sequencing data were initially quality filtered with the Trimmmomatic tool version 0.36\(^5\). Low quality reads were truncated if the quality dropped below 15 in a sliding window of 4 bp. Subsequently, all reads shorter than 100 bp and orphan reads were removed. Remaining sequences were merged, quality-filtered and further processed with USEARCH version 10.0.240\(^9\). Filtering included the removal of reads shorter than 400 bp or longer than 470 bp (bacteria) or shorter than 220 bp and longer than 460 bp (fungi) as well as the removal of low-quality reads (expected error >1) and reads with more than one ambiguous base. Processed sequences of all samples were combined, and redundant sequences were subsequently removed. Obtained unique sequences were denoised and clustered in zOTUs (i.e., sequences with 100% similarity) with the unoino3 algorithm\(^6\) implemented in USEARCH\(^4\). Chimeric sequences were removed denovo using the UCHIME algorithm during clustering\(^5\). Subsequently, remaining chimeric sequences were removed using UCHIME\(^6\) in reference mode with the SILVA SSU Ref NR 99 132 database\(^8\) as reference data set for bacteria and the QIME release of the UNITE database version 7.2.246 for fungi. To assign taxonomy of bacteria and fungi, unique and chimera-free sequences were classified by BLAST alignment 65 against the SILVA\(^8\) and the UNITE\(^4\) database, respectively. Taxonomy was assigned by stand-alone BLAST using the best-hit approach with an e-value cutoff of 1e-20. The taxonomy of the best hit identified during BLAST search is affiliated to the respective zOTU. Combined sequences of all samples were mapped on the final set of unique sequences to calculate the evenness and abundance of each zOTU in all samples. All non-bacterial or non-fungal zOTUs were removed based on their taxonomic classification in the respective database. In addition, all zOTUs consisting of one single sequence (singletons) were removed prior to statistical analysis. Final zOTUs tables for bacteria and fungi are provided as Supplementary Material Tables S2, S3, respectively. Sequence characteristics for bacterial and fungal dataset are provided as Supplementary Material Tables S4, S5, respectively.

**Data analysis.** All data analyses were conducted in R version 3.5.0\(^8\) or the PRIMER 6.0 software with the PERMANOVA + add-on (PRIMER-E, Plymouth Marine Laboratory, UK). Differences were considered as statistically significant with \(P \leq 0.05\) and as marginally significant with \(P \leq 0.1\). Bacterial and fungal communities were analysed separately. Alpha diversity indices (richness, Shannon index of diversity, Faith’s phylogenetic diversity, Chao1 and Michaelis-Menten Fit) were calculated using the R packages vegan 2.5-2\(^*\), picante version 1.7\(^8\) and drc version 3.0-1\(^8\). The zOTU tables were rarefied to 8,061 (bacteria) or 11,932 (fungi) sequences per sample prior to alpha diversity analysis using the rarefy function in vegan\(^2\).

Diversity was calculated using diversity function in vegan\(^2\). Sample coverage was estimated using the Michaelis-Menten Fit calculated in R. For this purpose, richness and rarefaction curves were calculated using the specnumber and the rarecurve function, respectively, in the picante package\(^6\). The Michaelis-Menten Fit was subsequently calculated from generated rarefaction curves within the drc package\(^8\). The alignment and the phylogenetic tree necessary for the calculation of bacterial Faith’s phylogenetic diversity were constructed in MUSCLE v3.8.425\(^6\) using the neighbour-joining algorithm. All alpha diversity indices were calculated 10 times. The average of all iterations was used for further statistical analyses. Final tables containing bacterial and fungal alpha diversity values are provided as Supplementary Material Tables S4, S5, respectively.

Alpha diversity data were tested for normal distribution with shapiro function and for homogeneity of variance with leveneTest function using the R package car version 3.0-3\(^7\). Because the distribution of microbial diversity, phylogenetic diversity and richness significantly differed from a normal distribution, changes in alpha diversity values were evaluated by linear mixed effects models using the R packages lme4 version 3.0-1\(^7\) and MuMIn version 1.42.1\(^7\). As fixed effects, we entered Acer species and leaf status or presence of green islands. We also tested for significant interactions between site and Acer species and between leaf status and Acer species.
The random factor considered in each model was site. The best model was chosen according to lowest Akaike information criterion (AIC). The sample size was relatively small in comparison to the number of estimated parameters. As a consequence, AICc was used for model selection in the R package MuMIn. Visual inspection of residual plots did not reveal any obvious deviations from homoscedasticity or normality.

The final model was calculated using the function lmer provided within lme4 with restricted maximum likelihood. P-values were obtained by likelihood ratio tests of the full model with the effect in question against the model without the effect in question. Significance levels for fixed factors and their interaction are based on F-values, calculated by a type III analysis of variance with Satterthwaite approximation for degrees of freedom within the R package lme4. Statistically and marginally significant results of fixed factors were followed up with Dunn’s test for multiple comparisons with Benjamini-Hochberg correction using the R-package FSA. For the random factor site, an ANOVA-like table with likelihood ratio test statistics was generated using the ranova function in the R package lme4.

Overall patterns of the entire bacterial and fungal community structure were analysed by permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA; Typ III) with 999 random permutations using the PRIMER 6.0 software with the PERMANOVA + add-on (PRIMER-E, Plymouth Marine Laboratory, UK). The same factors as described for alpha diversity analysis were included in the design. Four different dissimilarity measures were tested for the bacterial dataset: Bray-Curtis, Jaccard, and weighted as well as unweighted UniFrac. For the fungal dataset, only Bray-Curtis and Jaccard were tested due to the high variability of the ITS region which is inappropriate for phylogenetic analyses. The matrices were calculated in R using the vestigial and GUniFrac functions within the R packages vegan and GUniFrac, respectively. The same bacterial tree used for Faith’s phylogenetic diversity was used for the calculation of UniFrac distances. Pre-analyses revealed that Bray-Curtis and/or weighted UniFrac dissimilarities displayed a higher environmental sensitivity than the higher coefficients of determination (data not shown). As a consequence, only results for these distance measures are shown here. Differences in community structure between the three leaf states (CL, YLA and GLA) were tested using the PRIMER 6.0 software. Differences in community structure were visualized using the metaMDS function in the vegan package.

To identify potential indicators as well as highly associated zOTUs for each Acer species with regard to leaf status, multivariate analyses were applied. For this purpose, the multitapp function from the indicpecies package was used. The biserial coefficients (R) with a particular leaf status or Acer species were corrected for unequal sample size using the function r2g2. The analysis was performed for each Acer species individually (Supplementary Material Table S8). To enhance reliability of the indicator analysis, only fungal and bacterial zOTUs found in at least five samples of either A. campestre or A. plantanoides were considered. Associated fungal and bacterial zOTUS of leaf states GLA and YLA were visualized using Cytoscape version 3.6.1.

Data Availability
Sequence data were deposited in the sequence read archive (SRA) of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) under accession number SUB4399606 (bacteria) and SUB4399627 (fungi).
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