Examination of Endogenous Rotund Expression and Function in Developing Drosophila Olfactory System Using CRISPR-Cas9–Mediated Protein Tagging
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ABSTRACT The zinc-finger protein Rotund (Rn) plays a critical role in controlling the development of the fly olfactory system. However, little is known about its molecular function in vivo. Here, we added protein tags to the rn locus using CRISPR-Cas9 technology in Drosophila to investigate its subcellular localization and the genes that it regulates. We previously used a reporter construct to show that rn is expressed in a subset of olfactory receptor neuron (ORN) precursors and it is required for the diversification of ORN fates. Here, we show that tagged endogenous Rn protein is functional based on the analysis of ORN phenotypes. Using this method, we also mapped the expression pattern of the endogenous isoform-specific tags in vivo with increased precision. Comparison of the Rn expression pattern from this study with previously published results using GAL4 reporters showed that Rn is mainly present in early steps in antennal disc patterning, but not in pupal stages when ORNs are born. Finally, using chromatin immunoprecipitation, we showed a direct binding of Rotund to a previously identified regulatory element upstream of the bric-a-brac gene locus in the developing antennal disc.

The rotund (rn) gene is a critical component of developmental programs in the fly. It has been shown to function in the development of the eye, leg, and antenna (Baanannou et al. 2013; Li et al. 2013; Pueyo and Couso 2008; St Pierre et al. 2002; Terriente Félix et al. 2007). We have previously reported that Rn functions in the developing antenna to increase the amount of neuronal diversity in the olfactory system by a factor of two (Li et al. 2013). The timing of rn expression slightly overlaps with that of olfactory receptor (OR) genes, suggesting that Rn may bind directly to OR promoters. Although we demonstrated that Rn is a regulator of OR expression, we were not able to detect binding to OR promoters with in vitro assays (Li et al. 2013). Based on sequence analyses, Rn is predicted to be a transcription factor, and other groups have shown that Rn binds to a T-rich motif in vitro (Baanannou et al. 2013). Investigations of rn expression have, up to this point, relied on RNA in situ and reporters (Li et al. 2013; St Pierre et al. 2002), and no visualization of Rn protein has been made on its expression in the developing olfactory system. The subcellular localization of Rn protein also remains unknown. Understanding the molecular function of Rn may yield critical insights into the processes of olfactory receptor neuron (ORN) differentiation and diversity.

Attempts to raise antibodies against Rn have thus far been unsuccessful, and we therefore chose to tag Rn in its endogenous locus. Recent advances in CRISPR-Cas9 technology have made it an attractive method for genome editing due to its speed, ease of use, and high success rate. Here, we report the incorporation of a protein tag to the rn gene locus without disrupting its function. Using this tagged protein, we were able to examine the expression pattern of the functionally relevant isoforms of the endogenous Rn protein, and we detected temporal differences in the duration of gene expression compared to previously published results. In addition, we found that Rn is localized to the nucleus, where it is excluded from the DAPI-dense heterochromatic region. Finally, we showed that bric-a-brac (bab) locus is a direct target of Rn in vivo using chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP). These results provide new clues about Rn molecular functions and the mechanisms of action during ORN diversification.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

The \( m^n \) gene locus encodes three isoforms, but only the E/F isoforms are relevant to ORN diversification (Li et al. 2013; St Pierre et al. 2002). Because all three isoforms share the same 3' end region, we decided to add an EGFP or 3XFLAG tag to this end, which would label all three transcripts potentially without disrupting any isoform function by the insertion of the tag (Figure 1). Two CRISPR cutting sites were induced by the germline-specific Cas9 transgene under the guidance of two separate chimeric RNAs (chiRNAs). The chiRNAs were transcribed from the injected plasmid constructs. Once the cuts were made, the sequence between the cutting sites containing the last common exon and the 3' UTR region was replaced with an exogenously engineered sequence supplied as a double-stranded DNA repair template via homologous recombination (HR) (Figure 1). One-kb homology arms flank each cutting site. The newly incorporated sequence contains the same exon–stop codon removed–connected to a tag via a flexible linker. In addition, it contains a DsRed selectable marker flanked by loxP sites, which facilitates genetic screening (Figure 1) (Gratz et al. 2014; Horn et al. 2000). Through this manipulation, we were able to obtain EGFP or 3XFLAG tagged Rn stocks, which we named Rn-EGFP and Rn-3XFLAG, respectively (Figure 2A).

Repair template design

To make the repair template plasmid, we created ~1-kb homology arms for both cutting sites. Specifically, the fragment that includes the homology arm upstream of the “intron” cutting site is named 5HDR (5' homology-directed repair). It contains a 1041-bp homology arm and the following 333 bp right before the stop codon. The fragment was
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To tag all three \( m^n \) isoforms (C, E, and F) with EGFP or 3XFLAG at the common 3' end, two cutting sites were selected, one in the last intron (referred to as “intron”) and the other in the intergenic region downstream of \( m^n \) coding region (referred to as “inter”) (Figure 1). Twenty base-pair long target sites were selected using the flyCRISPR Optimal Target Finder tool on the flyCRISPR website (Gratz et al. 2014). We set the parameter for initial nucleotide selection as “All CRISPR targets” and would simply add a G at the beginning, if it does not include one, to facilitate its transcription by the U6 promoter (as seen by the addition of g/c for the intergenic target we used in Table 1, ID: 3, 4). We then evaluated potential off-targets for all the candidates by setting “Maximum” for “stringency” and “NGG and NAG” for “PAM.” The sites chosen as candidates with minimal potential off-targets were confirmed to have no mutations in the fly stocks in which we would inject (Table 2). Such a site from each of the “intron” and “inter” regions was cloned into the pU6-BbsI-chiRNA plasmid following the U6-gRNA (chiRNA) cloning protocol on the same website. The oligos used to generate the chimeric guide RNAs can be found in Table 1 (ID: 1–4). The final constructs are named rn-chiRNA-intron and rn-chiRNA-inter.
amplified using primers listed in Table 1 (ID: 5, 6) as a single piece of DNA from fly stocks used for injections (Table 2). KpnI and XhoI cutting sites were added to allow for cloning into the pBluescript II SK vector.

Importantly, to protect this repair fragment from being cut by rncRNA-intron, we mutated three nucleotides in the CRISPR recognition site using a Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies) so that it changed from gttgagaatagaga | agaCGG to gttgagaatagaga | agaCGG (PAM is capitalized; the presumable cutting site is denoted as "|"; mutated nucleotides are underlined). This mutation should not affect homologous recombination because they were not part of the homology arm and would only replace the sequence that we intended to remove. In addition, because these mutations are immediate to the cutting site, they should effectively block rncRNA recognition, thereby protecting the template. We also made sure the changes would not affect splicing signals due to its proximity to the acceptor site.

To make the EGFP tag, the coding region of EGFP with the start and stop codons was amplified from the pTGW vector flanked by XhoI and SalI sites (primers are in Table 1, ID: 7, 8). A flexible (GGGGS)3 linker was incorporated between the 5HDR fragment and EGFP to facilitate protein folding. To do this, 5' phosphorylated oligos (Table 1, ID: 9, 10) with XhoI overhangs were synthesized and annealed. The same linker...
was added between the 3XFLAG tag and 5HDR, except that the 3XFLAG coding sequence and the linker were synthesized as a single fragment flanked by XhoI overhangs. These two 5′ phosphorylated oligos were annealed. The 3XFLAG coding region was optimized for fly codon usage (see Table 1, ID: 11, 12, for sequences). For the homology arm downstream of the intergenic cutting site, a 1388-bp fragment, named 3HDR, immediately starting from the cutting site was amplified (primers are in Table 1, ID: 13, 14). It was flanked by NotI and SacI sites. The leftover sequence between the 5HDR and 3HDR fragments (mainly 3′ UTR of \(\text{rn}^{\text{C}}\)) was cloned as it is with \\text{NotI dIII} overhangs. These two 5′ phosphorylated fragments for Rn-3XFLAG) were sequentially inserted into the pHD-DsRed-attP vector (Figure 1). As expected, we obtained the Rn-E/F isoform-specific 3XFLAG tagging line from the screening, which was named RnE/F-3XFLAG (Figure 2B). However, the EGFP tagging yielded no correct targeting event, presumably due to the heterozygous background, a larger tag compared to 3XFLAG, and very low survival/fertility rates.

To add with the genetic screening process, we added a 3XP3 DsRed selectable marker, which can eventually be excised from the genome by the expression of Cre protein (Graz et al. 2014; Horn et al. 2000).

This cassette was obtained by cutting the pH-DsRed-attP vector with SpeI and NotI restriction enzymes. All six fragments for the Rn-EGFP injection (or five fragments for Rn-3XFLAG) were sequentially inserted into the pBluescript II SK vector (Figure 1). The final constructs are named pBS-RnEGFP-rHDR-Cas9 (rnCR-EGFP for short) and pBS-Rn3XFLAG-rHDR-Cas9 (rnCR-FLAG for short). They were fully sequenced before being injected into the vas-Cas9.RFP(\(\text{hs}\)-Cre) or Rn3XFLAG-crHDR_Cas9 (rnCR-FLAG_Cre) larvae) mixture into the Rn-chiRNA-intron-sense and Rn-chiRNA-inter-antisense tagging designs, and the oligos were annealed and cloned as described above. The oligos used can be found in Table 1 (ID: 17-20). These constructs are named rnC-chiRNA-5′- and rnC-chiRNA-3′.

We used ~1-kb homology arms, named Rn-c5HDR and Rn-c3HDR, flanking the cutting sites. For amplifying the rn-c5HDR fragment, a 10-bp sequence between the SpeI cloning site and 5′ end of the cut leftover was included in the reverse primer. Along with SpeI site, this 16-bp fragment encodes four stop codons in all three frames.

### Table 1 CRISPR oligos

| Primer ID | Name                        | Sequence                                      |
|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| 1         | m-chiRNA-intron-sense       | tcgTGTGAAGAATCGAAGAGAGA                      |
| 2         | m-chiRNA-intron-antisense   | aaattcTCTTCTGATTTCTTTACAAC                  |
| 3         | m-chiRNA-inter-sense        | ctctCTATCCGGAGACACAGGGGA                    |
| 4         | m-chiRNA-inter-antisense    | aaattcTCTTCTGATTTCTTTACAAC                  |
| 5         | 5HDR-mcR-F                 | ggtaccCATCAGCGGACAACTCGGG                   |
| 6         | 5HDR-mcR-R                 | ggtaccATAATCCGGAGACACAGGGGA                 |
| 7         | EGFP-dDNA-N                | ggtaccATAATCCGGAGACACAGGGGA                 |
| 8         | EGFP-dDNA-C                | ggtaccATAATCCGGAGACACAGGGGA                 |
| 9         | 3G4S-XhoI-F                | tcagGGAAGGGGCGCTCAGCGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAG |
| 10        | 3G4S-XhoI-R                | tcagGGAAGGGGCGCTCAGCGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAG |
| 11        | 3G4S-3XFLAG-F              | tcagGGAAGGGGCGCTCAGCGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAG |
| 12        | 3G4S-3XFLAG-R              | tcagGGAAGGGGCGCTCAGCGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAG |

All restriction enzyme sites for cloning are underlined. The nucleotide labeled in red from ID 3 or 4 is the addition of g/c to aid transcription by the U6 promoter. The four triplets labeled in red from ID 22 are the added stop codons.
including two stop codons in the frame that we intended to manipulate (Table 1, ID: 21, 22). This homology arm is flanked by KpnI and SpeI. To make the rnc-3HDR, a 1148-bp fragment flanked by NotI and SacI was amplified using primers from Table 1 (ID: 23, 24).

Finally, the sequences on both sides of 3XP3 DsRed from the rnt-EGFP construct (described above) were replaced by mC-5HDR and mC-3HDR using the KpnI/SpeI and NotI/SacI sites, respectively. This yields the mC-STOP construct, which was used as the repair template to be injected into the vas-Cas9.RFP(−) line. Because the 3XP3 DsRed cassette was excised from the Rn-EGFP fly after initial screening, it was possible to reuse 3XP3 DsRed cassette as a selectable marker for efficient genetic screening.

Embryo injection
The injection mixtures were prepared as described on the flyCRISPR website. Specifically, 100 ng/μL each of pU6-BbsI-chiRNA and 500 ng/μL repair template were mixed and injected into ~200 embryos for each manipulation following a standard protocol (Table 2).

Targeted event screening
Single G0 founders were crossed to balancer flies, and G1 flies were screened for the presence of 3XP3 DsRed. For each founder line that yielded DsRed (+) progeny, ~10 individual G1 flies were crossed to the balancer stock, unless fewer flies were produced. DNA from G2 larvae or individual G1 adult flies was extracted and PCR was screened for the presence of EGFP/3XFLAG. G2 larvae were dissected to check for live GFP (stained with FLAG antibody) in the eye-antennal discs. The targeted area was sequenced for the G1 lineages that show positive PCR results and EGFP/FLAG signals to confirm the targeted events. A couple of healthy G2 lines that passed all these tests were crossed to the hs-Cre line to excise the 3XP3 DsRed cassette, from which we obtained the lines with Rn tagged by EGFP or 3XFLAG in the endogenous locus (Figure 2A).

Consistent with previous reports (Bassett and Liu 2014), from CRISPR screenings we did here, founder lines have relatively low survival (55.6% on average) and fertility (34.5% of survived on average) rates. Nonetheless, within the lines that are fertile, the overall germline transmission rate is 18.5% on average, which is expected for a common sized screen (Table 3).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation
ChIP procedure is modified from a previous protocol (Slattery et al. 2011). For each genotype, approximately 800 eye-antennal discs were dissected. The samples were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde in dissection buffer for 10 min at room temperature. To quench cross-linking, glycine was added to 125 mM final concentration, and the samples were incubated for 5 min. The discs were homogenized and sonicated in a Bioruptor machine for 13 min (high frequency; 30 sec on/30 sec off). The chromatin was preclarified with prewashed Dynabeads Protein G (Life Technologies) for 1 hr at 4°C on a nutator. The preclarified chromatin was split into two tubes (1 ml/tube), and another 20 μl (2%) was saved as input and stored at −20°C; 5 μg anti-GFP antibody (Ab290) or an equal amount of normal rabbit IgG were added to either tube, followed by overnight incubation at 4°C. Beads were added to both tubes, and the samples were incubated for 2 hr at 4°C on a nutator. Beads were briefly rinsed with wash buffer I (50 mM K-HEPES, pH 7.8, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% Na-deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS) and washed once with wash buffer I, then with wash buffer II (the same as buffer I, except that NaCl is 500 mM), once with wash buffer III (250 mM LiCl, 0.5% Igepal CA-630, 0.5% Na-deoxycholate, 1×TE), and twice with the TE buffer at 4°C for 5 min each wash. The chromatin was eluted twice with prewarmed elution buffer (1% SDS, 100 mM NaHCO3). For each elution, beads were incubated in 100 μl solution for 10 min at 65°C, with gentle vortexing every 2–3 min. To reverse cross-link, 5 M NaCl was added to each tube, followed by overnight incubation at 65°C. The ChIP-ed DNA was treated with RNase and proteinase K, and extracted by PCR purification columns (Qiagen). The purified DNA was tested for enrichment of DNA fragments by qPCR. To test direct binding of Rn to the published 13-bp T13 motif within the bab2 lae (leg and antennal enhancer) in vivo, a primer pair covering this region was designed and used in ChIP-qPCR analysis (Baananou et al., 2013). In the olfactory system, mutations in Rn cause the loss of function in olfactory receptor neuron precursors (Li et al., 2013). In the olfactory system, mutations in Rn cause the loss of function in olfactory receptor neuron precursors (Li et al., 2013). To confirm that Rn does not bind to the M1 motif upstream of rnt-positive OR promoters (Li et al., 2013), a primer set covering the motif of the Or82a promoter was designed and used in ChIP-qPCR analysis (Table 4).

Quantitative RT-PCR
qRT-PCR was performed using the FastStart Universal SYBR Green Master Mix (Roche) or the FastStart Essential DNA Green Master Mix using standard protocol. Enrichment for each fragment was analyzed in triplicate.

Data availability
All mentioned tagged lines are available upon request.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Tagging of Rn protein
The zinc-finger protein Rotund (Rn) was previously shown to be expressed in a subset of olfactory receptor neuron precursors in the third instar antennal discs, where it plays a critical role in neuronal diversification (Li et al. 2013). In the olfactory system, mutations in rnt are associated with a loss of ORN classes originating from rnt-positive precursors and an expansion of some default rnt-negative identities. To identify the role of Rn in ORN precursor diversification, we wanted to identify tissue and subcellular localization of Rn in the developing olfactory system, in addition to identifying direct target genes regulated by Rn using chromatin immunoprecipitation. However, our attempt to
raise antibodies against Rn in rabbits was unsuccessful. In addition, GAL4/UAS-mediated expression of m-E cDNA failed to rescue the mutant phenotype and caused a dominant effect in the olfactory system (Li et al. 2013), which eliminated the option of tagging Rn in such a transgenic construct. We decided to use the CRISPR-Cas9 technology, which has been shown to work in flies as a simple way of editing the genome (Gratz et al. 2013; Yu et al. 2013; Yu et al. 2014; Kondo and Ueda 2013; Ren et al. 2013; Gratz et al. 2014), to insert an epitope tag fused with the Rn reading frame. The tagged Rn would likely behave more similarly to the wild-type protein under the control of endogenous gene regulatory apparatus, and this line can be subjected to biochemical analysis using antibodies against the tag.

The rt1 gene locus encodes three isoforms, C, E, and F, all of which share a common last exon that contains zinc-finger domains, and we therefore chose to tag this exon with either EGFP or 3XFLAG. However, only the E and F isoforms are relevant to olfactory system development. To tag the E and F isoforms specifically, we created mutations that affect only the C isoform in our CRISPR lines (for a detailed description of tagging procedure see Materials and Methods).

### Isoform-specific tagging of Rn
To obtain the EGFP-tagged Rn specific to the E/F isoforms, we decided to conduct a second round of CRISPR-mediated editing in the Rn-EGFP line to insert stop codons at the beginning of the first C isoform-specific exon. This way, only the E/F isoforms, and not the C isoform, would be fully translated with the tag. Because the Rn-EGFP line is healthy when homozygous, and because a targeting event occurring to either chromosome is sufficient for our purpose, we expect higher chances of obtaining the target line. This second trial yielded ~50% germline transmission efficiency, giving rise to the RnE/F-EGFP line (Figure 2B, Table 3, also see Materials and Methods).

### Testing the expression pattern of the tagged Rn protein
GAL4-dependent expression analysis in developing larval antennal disc and pupal antenna showed that Rn is expressed in a subset of ORN precursors in early pupal stages. Rn expression ceases after 40–50 hr after puparium formation (APF), which coincides with the onset of olfactory receptor expression, yet Rn does not bind to OR promoters (Li et al. 2013). Thus, endogenous Rn function and expression might be restricted to precursor specification rather than later stages where it functions to directly regulate OR genes. Many developmental expression analyses involve utilization of reporter expression assays, where the perdurance of an exogenous reporter gene can mask the normal expression dynamics of endogenous proteins. To test whether endogenous Rn expression and function are restricted to precursor stages prior to the onset of OR expression, we compared the expression pattern of tagged Rn protein in the developing olfactory system to previous studies on GALA-mediated reporter expression. It has been shown that rmp1 enhancer trap driven GFP expression recapitulates the endogenous RNA expression pattern in situ in third instar larval antennal discs (Li et al. 2013; St Pierre et al. 2002). These studies showed that Rn is expressed in the antennal disc in a ring pattern, and it persists in this ring through morphogenetic events that generate the antenna and is turned off approximately 50 hr after puparium formation (APF). It is important to note that in situ studies have not been conducted at pupal stages. Thus, the rmp1 enhancer reporter has not been validated at these stages in the antenna. During these processes, Rn is required to specify a subset of OR genes (Figure 2, A and B) and that it overlaps with the reporter expression (Figure 2C). However, unlike previous GALA-based detection methods,
which showed a downregulation of \( rn \) by 50 hr APF, tagged Rn expression is nearly absent by 20 hr APF (Figure 2D). These results suggest that tagged versions of Rn are more precise in reporting protein dynamics and the GAL4-driven GFP perdurance masks the temporal expression pattern of endogenous Rn protein. More importantly, this information acquired from the newly generated lines points to an earlier and narrower critical window requiring Rn function for ORN fate specification than expected before. Considering ORN precursor divisions are prominent during 16–22 hr APF (Sen et al. 2003) when the Rn protein level is already dramatically reduced, it is possible that the major function of Rn is only required during or prior to the precursor stage. In addition, because the OR expression occurs even later (around the mid-pupal stages), a direct regulation of OR genes by Rn becomes highly unlikely, even though it was suggested by the overlap of the two events from the previous expression analysis. The results from this study suggest that the ORN precursor specification step, which correlates with larval and early pupal stages, is essential for ORN diversity in the adults.

In the past, we were able to detect Rn-C isoform in the developing antenna by RT-PCR (Li et al. 2013). Mutagenesis of the Rn-C isoform using CRISPR-Cas9 leads to a visible loss of this expression in the eye portion as expected, but also reveals the true patterns of E and F isoform (Figure 2, A and B). This new tool would allow us to pinpoint the exact function of Rn protein and provide a handle for uncovering the molecular mechanism of ORN diversification.

Table 4 ChIP-qPCR primers

| Primer Name       | Sequence             |
|-------------------|----------------------|
| Bab2_ChIP_T13_F   | TATTTGCGTGAGCCTTC    |
| Bab2_ChIP_T13_R   | TAACGTGCGGCGATT     |
| Or82a_ChIP_M1_F   | CACAGTACATACAGCCATACAG |
| Or82a_ChIP_M1_R   | CGCTTCCTCTGCTGTT    |

Figure 3 Functional analysis of tagged Rn protein. (A) Flies homozygous for Rn-EGFP and Rn-3X FLAG constructs do not exhibit \( rn \) mutant phenotypes in the antenna. \( rn^{tot} \) is a previously described allele of \( rn \), which is used here as the mutant control. Genotypes from left to right and top to bottom: UAS-mCD8GFP; Or67d\(^{GAL4}\), UAS-mCD8GFP; Or67d\(^{GAL4}\) Rn-EGFP/Rn-EGFP, UAS-mCD8GFP; Or67d\(^{GAL4}\) Rn-3XFLAG/Rn-3XFLAG, UAS-mCD8GFP; Or67d\(^{GAL4}\) FRT \( m^{359}/m^{359} \). UAS-mCD8 GFP Or47b-GAL4, UAS-mCD8 GFP Or47b-GAL4; Rn-EGFP, UAS-mCD8 GFP Or47b-GAL4; Rn-3XFLAG, UAS-mCD8 GFP Or47b-GAL4; FRT \( m^{359}/m^{359} \). (B) and (C) Quantification of numbers of neurons from (A). (D) and (E) The in vivo ChIP-qPCR analysis shows enrichment for Rn E/F/C isoforms as well as E/F isoforms (E) binding to the previously identified T13 motif upstream of \( biric-a-brac2 \), but not the M1 motif upstream of Or82a. ** \( p < 0.01 \) *** \( p < 0.001 \)
Subnuclear localization of Rn

Rn is a C2H2 zinc finger protein thought to function in the regulation of transcription (Baanannou et al. 2013; Li et al. 2013; St Pierre et al. 2002; Terriente Félix et al. 2007). However, its subcellular localization is not known. Subnuclear localization of transcription factors can give clues to the type of gene regulation. For example, some factors might exhibit punctate subnuclear expression, whereas others might exclusively be localized to heterochromatic or euchromatic regions. Given its function in transcriptional regulation, we predicted that Rn is localized to the nucleus. To determine this, we investigated the subnuclear localization of the endogenous tagged Rn protein. These analyses showed that Rn is specifically localized to the nucleus (Figure 2E). According to current knowledge, heterochromatic regions in cells are stained densely with DNA dyes such as DAPI or Hoechst due to an increased AT-rich DNA content and density (Zimmer and Wähner 1986). Interestingly, DAPI staining together with antibodies against the nuclear pore complex also indicated that Rn expression is specifically excluded from DAPI dense regions that are associated with heterochromatin (Figure 2E). These results suggest that Rn is a nuclear protein excluded from heterochromatin.

Testing functionality of the tagged Rn protein

Mutations in \textit{rn} are associated with a loss of ORN classes generated from \textit{rn}-positive precursors, and an expansion of some \textit{rn}-negative ones (Li et al. 2013). For example, in \textit{rn}^{m10} mutants, Or67d ORNs, which arise from \textit{rn}-positive precursor lineages, are lost. At the same time, Or47b ORNs from the \textit{rn}-negative lineage are expanded toward the medial region of the antenna (Figure 3A). Both Rn-EGFP and Rn-3XFLAG alleles, when homozygous, displayed the wild-type pattern of Or67d and Or47b ORNs, suggesting that the introduced tags do not interfere with the function of Rn protein (Figure 3A-C). We also did not detect any mutations in the potential off-target sites by sequencing the relevant genomic loci.

Interestingly, both the Rn-EGFP and the RnE/F-EGFP lines are viable. Previous experiments in our laboratory that attempted to rescue the \textit{rn} mutant phenotype by overexpression failed and were able to induce a mutant phenotype in wild-type flies. This discrepancy would suggest that both isoforms are necessary for olfactory system development. Many transcription factors are known to dimerize to properly function, and it is possible that Rn may function in a similar manner, even possibly heterodimerizing the E and F isoforms.

\textit{In vitro} translated Rn protein was previously shown to interact with synthetic regulatory elements of the \textit{bric-a-brac2} (bab2) gene (Baanannou et al. 2013). Both Rn and bab2 are expressed in the third instar larval leg and antennal discs (Baanannou et al. 2013), and we wanted to test whether this \textit{in vitro} interaction occurs \textit{in vivo}. To test the interaction of the tagged Rn protein with the reported bab2 regulatory element (T13), we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation from third instar antennal discs followed by qRT-PCR using primers spanning the bab2 T13 regulatory element. We were able to detect binding of Rn to T13 in the tagged line, but not in the control chromatin extracted from \textit{w1118} flies (Figure 3D). As discussed, Rn-E/F isoforms are specifically expressed in the antennal disc. To identify whether the E/F isoforms specifically interact with the bab2 enhancer, we performed similar experiments using the isoform-specific Rn/E-EGFP line. These studies showed that E/F isoforms also bind to the T13 element upstream of bab2 (Figure 3E), further supporting the functionality of the tagged protein. As expected, Rn does not bind to the M1 motif in the \textit{rn}-positive Or82a promoter \textit{in vivo} (Figure 3, D and E). Together with the nuclear localization, these data provide \textit{in vivo} evidence for and are in agreement with Rn functioning as a transcription factor. The tagged lines will be useful in the future to determine genome-wide binding sites as well as \textit{in vivo} interactors of Rn in the developing olfactory system.
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