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We argue that immature data pipelines are preventing a large portion of industry practitioners from leveraging the latest research on recommender systems. We propose our template data stack for machine learning at “reasonable scale”, and show how many challenges are solved by embracing a serverless paradigm. Leveraging our experience, we detail how modern open source can provide a pipeline processing terabytes of data with limited infrastructure work.¹
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1 INTRODUCTION
With almost 4 trillion dollars spent yearly in online retail [4], research in the eCommerce space gained considerable traction in the last few years, with important insights for recommendation systems [6], IR/NLP [2, 13, 14] and more [16]. A quick look at eCommerce workshops at major ML venues reveals an unsettling pattern²: contributions (and implied benefits) are all but evenly distributed in the market, as the majority of innovation is concentrated in few large players.

The barrier to entry for cutting-edge recommendation systems in eCommerce is indeed high and multi-faceted: lack of open, representative datasets (as highlighted for example in [12]), non-relevant benchmarks in the literature (see for example the arguments in [8] when replicating [15]), expensive computational resources [1, 10]. Even when things are smooth on the modelling side, bringing a recommender into production remains a formidable challenge for shops in the mid-long tail, lacking best practices and a tool-chain that works at “reasonable scale”. In this contribution, we tackle this problem directly; in particular:

• we highlight the peculiar constraints (and the opportunities) that lie at “reasonable scale” – that is, mid-to-large shops, with dozens (not hundreds) of ML engineer, making between 10 and 500 million (not billion) USD / year, and producing terabytes (not petabytes) / year of data in behavioral signals;

¹Our title is obviously a small tribute to Jaws: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Z1TzK8F7KkE.
²See Appendix A for details.
• we show a deep-dive into an end-to-end stack (mostly) built with open-source tools, and show how to productionize a recommender system with (almost) no explicit infrastructure work; we motivate our choices with insights gained by deploying models for dozens of digital shops at all scales.

With the growing number of providers in the MLOps space and an ever-changing landscape, a major obstacle to democratization of machine learning is knowing how the tools in the ecosystem play together: the sheer amount of choices to be made may feel overwhelming and the fear of making mistakes may further slow down the adoption of the most appropriate tools. By providing a worked-out example for a recommender pipeline, we hope to provide both a review of important concepts for “reasonable scale” recommenders, and actionable insights for all the practitioners outside of few retail giants that need to make adoption choices with limited resources.

2 PRINCIPLES FOR MODELS AT REASONABLE SCALE

Practitioners building models for shops in the mid-long tail face many challenges, as companies which are late adopters of machine learning tools tend to be less mature across the entire stack – from data collection to model serving. A guiding principle to produce impact quickly and reliably is *independence*: the more data scientists need to rely on other teams (to get data, provision GPUs, serve models, etc.), the more likely something will get "lost in translation", and time-to-ROI will soar. On the other hand, we should not assume that data scientists come with an unreasonably complete skill-set: if now it is their job to provision GPU, we just shifted the burden, not increased velocity. The following principles condense what we learned by working with dozens of organizations, and provide a framework to make strategic decisions and prioritize resources in a constrained environment:

1. **data is king**: the biggest marginal gain is always in the data – making clean and standardized data accessible is significant more important than small modelling adjustments. Indeed, as the market is quickly recognizing, modelling *per se* is getting increasingly commoditized, making proprietary data flows even more important from a strategic point of view;

2. **ELT is better than ETL**: a clear separation between data ingestion and processing produces reliable, reproducible data pipelines. In particular, great care needs to be taken to ingest and store data as an immutable raw record of the state of the system at a given point in time;

3. **Paas/Faas is better than Iaas**: maintaining and scaling infrastructure with devoted people is costly – and unnecessary. At reasonable scale, many providers offer fully-managed services to run our computation without worrying about downtime [7], replication, auto-scaling. When resources are constrained, we should invest our time and effort in our core problem – providing good recommendations –, and buy everything else: we keep the team small and our costs more predictable. The key observation here is that high-quality engineering is the scarcest resource, so we should do everything in our power to have that resource focused on ML.

4. **distributed computing is the root of all evil**: distributed systems like Spark played a pivotal role in the Big Data revolution. However, even as a managed service, distributed computing is slow, hard to debug, and force programming patterns unfamiliar to many scientists. At reasonable scale there are better tools to do the heavy lifting for our computations, freeing us completely from distributed computing and all its overhead.

---

3We will also provide a full implementation as part of the open source project started with Metaflow: https://github.com/jacopotagliabue/metaflow-intent-prediction.

4As predicting the cost of scaling a Paas service to more users is significantly easier than predict the impact of new hires maintaining a Kubernetes cluster.
The key take-away is that working at “reasonable scale” comes some advantages: the scale make a lot of tools affordable, and streamline a lot of the complexities needed with more sophisticated systems. As we shall see, by selecting the right tools we can empower relatively small teams to produce a great impact.

3 DESIDERATA FOR IN-SESSION RECOMMENDATIONS

We use in-session recommendation as an example, and outline what is needed at a functional level for a system to work, from data ingestion to model serving; we then dive deep on how to build a tool chain satisfying these desiderata. We chose in-session recommendation as it is a well-studied research topic and a prominent use case for digital shops [3]:

- **raw data ingestion**, which includes getting shopper’s data in a scalable way, storing them safely, guaranteeing re-playability from raw events;
- **data preparation**, which includes data visualizations and BI dashboard, data quality checks, data wrangling and feature preparation;
- **model training**, which includes model training, hyperparameter search, behavioral checklists;
- **model serving**, which includes serving predictions at scale;
- **orchestration**: which includes a monitoring UI, automated retries, and a notification system.

A useful exercise is to visualize the process, and see the journey of a shopping event from the browser (collected with a standard Javascript SDK), up to the training loop in our machine learning model (see Fig. 1).

4 AN END-TO-END STACK

Fig. 2 depicts a modern data stack that combines the principles at “reasonable scale” (Section 2) with the functional components from Section 3:

- **raw data ingestion** is achieved in PaaS (with auto-scaling) through AWS Lambda [11]; storage is again achieved in a PaaS-like manner through Snowflake [5];

---

5 For example, Google Analytics: https://analytics.google.com/analytics/web/

6 Please note that the above example feature AWS components, but equivalent modules are available in all major cloud providers.
Fig. 2. An end-to-end data stack for companies at “reasonable scale”, from data ingestion and storage, to visualize, QA, training and serving.

- **data preparation** starts with `dbt`, which builds a SQL-based DAG of transformations to prepare normalized tables for data visualization and QA;
- **model training** happens with `Metaflow`, which allows the definition of ML tasks as a DAG, and abstracts away cloud execution (including GPU provisioning) through simple decorators;
- **model serving** happens through `AWS Sagemaker`, which is our hosted tool of choice for serving models in auto-scaling and with a variety of hardware options: please note that since `Metaflow` comes with artefacts and versioning, deployment options are plenty and easy to change;
- **orchestration** happens with `Prefect`, which also offers a hosted version for job monitoring and admin purposes.

There are three crucial observations of how it all fits together: first, there are no resources directly maintained by ML engineers as all tools are maintained and scaled automatically; second, the distributed nature of “reasonable size” computing is abstracted away in `Snowflake` through plain SQL: everything downstream of data aggregation/preparation can happen comfortably locally; third, warehouse aside, most tools are either already open source, or substitutable with open ones.

5 CONCLUSION

We argued that infrastructure and architectural barriers preventing practitioners from leveraging the latest ML research can be surmounted by embracing a serverless paradigm. We know from experience that the stack we proposed (or a similar one) can process terabytes of data (from raw events to GPU-powered recommendations), with limited-to-none devOps work, and mostly relying on a thriving community of open-source solutions. Of course, data and model work still needs to happen, but that is why we built everything in the first place: we should be happy that catching our prey in this growing ecosystem won’t require a bigger boat.

---

7 Open sourced at https://www.getdbt.com/.
8 `Preset` is a PaaS version of the open-source `Superset`, https://superset.apache.org/.
9 `Great Expectations` is an open-source tool for data validation, available at https://greatexpectations.io/.
10 Open sourced at https://metaflow.org/.
11 https://aws.amazon.com/it/sagemaker/.
12 Open sourced at https://www.prefect.io/.
13 A Prefect agent would be the exception, but that could also be avoided by running on AWS step functions directly).
14 Models inside Metaflow may need to still be manually updated, of course, but that is core ML engineering.
15 Serverless computing for example is available as open-source as well: https://openwhisk.apache.org/.
REFERENCES

[1] Emily M. Bender, Timnit Gebru, Angelina McMillan-Major, and Shmargaret Shmitchell. 2021. On the Dangers of Stochastic Parrots: Can Language Models Be Too Big? In Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency (Virtual Event, Canada) (FAccT ’21). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 610–623. https://doi.org/10.1145/3442188.3445922

[2] Keping Bi, Qingyao Ai, and W. Croft. 2020. A Transformer-based Embedding Model for Personalized Product Search. Proceedings of the 43rd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval (2020).

[3] Federico Bianchi, Jacopo Tagliabue, Bingqing Yu, Luca Bigon, and Ciro Greco. 2020. Fantastic Embeddings and How to Align Them: Zero-Shot Inference in a Multi-Shop Scenario. In Proceedings of the SIGIR 2020 eCom workshop. https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.14906

[4] Ethan Cramer-Flood. 2020. Global Ecommerce 2020. Ecommerce Decelerates amid Global Retail Contraction but Remains a Bright Spot. https://www.emarketer.com/content/global-ecommerce-2020

[5] Benoit Dageville, Thierry Cruanes, Marcin Zukowski, Vadim Antonov, Artin Avanes, Jon Bock, Jonathan Claybaugh, Daniel Engovatov, Martin Hentschel, Jianzheng Huang, Allison W. Lee, Ashish Motivala, Abdul Q. Munir, Steven Pelley, Peter Povinec, Greg Rahn, Spyridon Triantafyllis, and Philipp Unterbrunner. 2016. The Snowflake Elastic Data Warehouse. In Proceedings of the 2016 International Conference on Management of Data (San Francisco, California, USA) (SIGMOD ’16). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 215–226. https://doi.org/10.1145/2882903.2903741

[6] Casper Hansen, Christian Hansen, Lucas Maystrø, Rishabh Mehrotra, Brian Brost, Federico Tomasi, and Mounia Lalmas. 2020. Contextual and Sequential User Embeddings for Large-Scale Music Recommendation. In Fourteenth ACM Conference on Recommender Systems (Virtual Event, Brazil) (RecSys ’20). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 53–62. https://doi.org/10.1145/3383313.3412248

[7] Jiawei Jiang, Shaoduo Gan, Yue Liu, Fanlin Wang, Gustavo Alonso, Ana Klimovic, Ankit Singla, Wentao Wu, and Ce Zhang. 2021. Towards Demystifying Serverless Machine Learning Training. In ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data (SIGMOD 2021). https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/publication/towards-demystifying-serverless-machine-learning-training/

[8] Borja Requena, Giovanni Cassani, Jacopo Tagliabue, Ciro Greco, and Lucas Lacasa. 2020. Shopper intent prediction from clickstream e-commerce data with minimal browsing information. Scientific Reports 10 (2020), 2045–2322. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-75622-y

[9] Nithya Sambasivan, Shivani Kapania, Hannah Highfill, Diana Akrong, Praveen Paritosh, and Lora M Aroyo. 2021. "Everyone Wants to Do the Model Work, Not the Data Work": Data Cascades in High-Stakes AI. In Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (Yokohama, Japan) (CHI ’21). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 39, 15 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3441176.3445518

[10] Emma Strubell, Ananya Ganesh, and Andrew McCallum. 2019. Energy and Policy Considerations for Deep Learning in NLP. In Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Association for Computational Linguistics, Florence, Italy, 3645–3650. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/P19-1355

[11] Jacopo Tagliabue. [n.d.]. Serving 1x1 pixels from AWS Lambda endpoints. https://medium.com/tooso/serving-1x1-pixels-from-aws-lambda-endpoints-9eff73fe7631. Accessed: 2021-06-01.

[12] Jacopo Tagliabue, Ciro Greco, Jean-Francis Roy, Federico Bianchi, Giovanni Cassani, Bingqing Yu, and Patrick John Chia. 2021. SIGIR 2021 E-Commerce Workshop Data Challenge. In SIGIR eCom 2021.

[13] Jacopo Tagliabue and Bingqing Yu. 2020. Shopping in the Multiverse: A Counterfactual Approach to In-Session Attribution. In Proceedings of the SIGIR 2020 Workshop on eCommerce (ECOM 20).

[14] Jacopo Tagliabue, Bingqing Yu, and Marie Beaulieu. 2020. How to Grow a (Product) Tree: Personalized Category Suggestions for eCommerce. In Proceedings of The 3rd Workshop on e-Commerce and NLP. Association for Computational Linguistics, Seattle, WA, USA, 7–18. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.ecnlp-1.2

[15] Toth, A., Tan, L., Di Fabrizio, G. and Datta, A. 2017. Predicting shopping behavior with mixture of RNNs. In Proceedings of the SIGIR 2017 Workshop on e-commerce (ECOM 17).

[16] Manos Tzagkias, Tracy Holloway King, Surya Kallumadi, Vanessa Murdock, and Maarten de Rijke. 2020. Challenges and Research Opportunities in e-Commerce Search and Recommendations. In SIGIR Forum, Vol. 54.

A APPENDIX: RESEARCH DISTRIBUTION

Figure 3 shows the number of paper per company at eCommerce-themed events at major conferences in 2020 (KDD, SIGIR, ACL, WWW, RecSys). A total of 28 industry players took part in those events; out of 28, only 2 companies are not large public companies, and only one contributed multiple times (Coveo, with 6 research papers).
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Fig. 3. Number of research papers in eCommerce events at top tier conferences in 2020: almost all contributions are from public companies with a B2C business model.

and millions of shoppers. When not busy building A.I. products, he is exploring research topics at the intersection of language, reasoning and learning: he is a committee member for international NLP/IR workshops, and his work is often featured in the general press and A.I. venues (including SIGIR, RecSys, ACL and best industry paper at NAACL). In previous lives, he managed to get a Ph.D., do science-y things for a pro basketball team, and simulate a pre-Columbian civilization.