A Highly Sensitive In-Situ Turbidity Sensor With Low Power Consumption
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Abstract: A highly sensitive in-situ turbidity sensor with the low power consumption was proposed and evaluated in this study. To meet the practical requirements of the in-situ detection, we have designed the light scattering path, watertight mechanical structure, and ultra-weak scattering light detecting method. Experiments showed that the sensor had a sensitivity of 0.0076 FTU with the concentration range of 0 – 25 FTU and the R-square of 0.9999. The sensor could withstand the water pressure in depth of 1000 m and had the low power consumption in the active mode 10.4 mA, sleep mode 65 μA with a supply voltage of 8.4 V. Southern China Sea buoy experiments indicated that the sensor could work well in the actual in-situ environment. In comparison with sensors of other companies, our sensor had relatively more comprehensive performance.
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1. Introduction

Since suspended sediments as ubiquitous water pollutant causes significant environment damage and economic costs, monitoring the sediments concentration in the ocean is attracting increasing attention from the marine ecologist and marine biologist in recent years [1]. Turbidity, a fundamental index of water quality, plays an important role in understanding the physical, geochemical, and biological processes of the marine ecosystem [2–4]. And in-situ turbidity detection with the large temporal and spatial scale is one of the effective techniques to provide high-quality and effective data for scientific research [5, 6]. However, the commercial in-situ turbidity sensors with high performance mostly depend on the import in China, such as the sensors from Seapoint Inc., Wetlabs Inc., and Alec Inc. Their high expense makes large temporal and spatial scale marine survey difficult for China. So a native in-situ turbidity sensor with high performance is critical for the development of domestic marine scientific research. This paper discusses the design and performance of an in-situ turbidity sensor in detail.

The turbidity is the optical property of a water sample which causes light to be scattered and absorbed rather than transmitted [7]. In-situ turbidity detection is mainly based on infra light scattered...
from the suspended sediments in the water. The amount of scattered light has linear correlation with the turbidity of the water when the suspended sediments are at the low concentration [8–10]. As the scattered light is very weak and might be mixed with sunlight interference, detecting the ultra-weak scattering light under sunlight interference becomes the key challenge of the sensor.

Besides, there are some other special requirements for the sensor in the marine in-situ measurement. On one hand, as the in-situ survey might be even hundreds of meters under water, the sensor should have the watertight mechanical structure and could withstand the water pressure of 10 MPa at least. On the other hand, due to the limited power supply in the in-situ survey, the power consumption of the sensor is also very critical [10, 11].

According to these constrains and solutions, a highly sensitive turbidity sensor with the low power consumption meeting the practical requirements was developed in this work.

2. Light scattering path and mechanical structure

The light scattering path is shown in Fig. 1. A high precision light emitting diode (LED) with the central wavelength of 870 nm, spectral bandwidth of 35 nm is selected as the emitting light source [12]. The particles in the water scatter the emitting light, and the scattered light runs into the receiver. The receiver uses a matching photodiode S1336 (Hamamatsu) with the most sensitive band at the infrared spectrum. In front of the photodiode, a light filter is placed to attenuate the interference of the other light spectrum. Meanwhile, diaphragm 1 and diaphragm 2 are used to limit the light emitting and detecting area through its mechanical structure. The intersection of the two parts is the reaction area. Considering only the single scattering, the scattering angle $\theta$ is from $\theta_1$ to $\theta_2$ based on the geometric principle [13, 14]. The light path described above could detect the scattering light and attenuate the interference of other light spectrum without introducing reflectance. However, there should be none obstacle in the reaction area, otherwise they may reflect the excitation light into the receiving tube and destroy the detection.

![Fig. 1 Light scattering path.](image1)

The shape of the sensor is cylindrical as shown in Fig. 2. The scattering light path is in the front part of the sensor, and corundum is selected as the pressure resistant optical window. Stacked circuit boards are installed in the cavity of the watertight structure, and a watertight connector is fixed in the tail of the sensor. The pre-amplification board is placed closely to the photodiode with a shield covering the board to reduce the noise and interference. With the water tight connector, sealing rings, and pressure shell, the sensor could withstand the water pressure of 10 MPa at least.

![Fig. 2 Mechanical structure of the sensor.](image2)
3. Ultra-weak light detecting method

As the sensor has an open light detecting area, sunlight and other background interference could also fall into the light detecting tube. Although the receiving light filter is able to attenuate the interference, it is difficult to extract the scattered light from the rough signal. To overcome this problem, the modulation method is introduced to improve the interference suppression ability of the sensor. This method modulates the emitting light at a high frequency, resulting that the scattered light is at the same frequency band which is different from the interference. Then, the scattered light can be separated from the interference in the frequency spectrum. The schematic diagram is shown in Fig. 3.

![Fig. 3 Single frequency modulation model.](image)

Fig. 4 Mathematical model of the turbidity detection.

The mathematical model of the turbidity detection is shown in Fig. 4, with symbols and significations illustrated in Table 1. In this model, the LED emits the modulated light signal $M(t)$, and particles in the water scatter it. The scattered light signal $k* M(t)$ runs through the light filter and reaches the photodiode; where $k$ is the scattering coefficient which has the linear correlation with the turbidity of the water. Meanwhile, the interference $I(t)$ could also run into the photodiode. The output signal from the photodiode is $P(t)$ as shown in (1):

$$ P(t) = k \times M(t) + I(t) $$

(1)

$$ Re(t) = A \times k \times M(t+\tau) + B \times I(t+\tau) $$

(2)

where $A$ is the gain for the useful signal, and $B$ is the gain for the interference.

$$ D(t) = [A \times k \times M(t+\tau) + B \times I(t+\tau)] \times M(t+\tau) $$

(3)

$$ D(t) = A \times k \times \sin(2\pi ft + \tau) \times \sin(2\pi ft + \tau) + B \times I(t+\tau) \times \sin(2\pi ft + \tau) $$

(4)

To avoid the saturation caused by the sunlight interference, a narrow band-pass filter is introduced to attenuate it in the analog front end as shown in Fig. 5. After amplification and filtering, the signal gain $A$, interference gain $B$, phase shift $\tau$ are
generated to get $Re(t)$ in (2). Then, $Re(t)$ is multiplied with the synchronous signal $M(t+\tau)$ in the demodulation part to generate $D(t)$ in (3).

Accordingly, we can conclude that this light detecting method could get the scattering coefficient $k$, avoid the saturation caused by the sunlight interference and attenuate it significantly.

4. Electronics

Based on the detecting method, the electronics diagram of the system is shown in Fig. 6. The system could be divided into the emitting module and detecting module. The micro controller unit (MCU) generates the 2-kHz square wave as the modulating signal to control the constant current LED driver. L870–40M32 (Epitex) of the narrow light spectrum and small half viewing angle is selected as the LED. All components in the emitting module are designed to improve the stability and purity of the light source [15].
interface (SPI) and calibrates the turbidity value. Then, the value could be stored in an internal flash chip, which has a capacity of 1000,000 data points, or sent out through RS-232 communication port. Meanwhile, an internal real-time clock (RTC) with the back-up battery is installed to decrease the power consumption in the sleep mode of the sensor.

5. Experiments

5.1 Real-time response in the detecting module

The real-time response in the detecting module is shown in Fig. 7. Waveform A is the sync signal $M(t+\tau)$. Waveform B is the amplified signal of the scattering light, and its amplitude $A*K$ has the linear correlation with the turbidity. Due to the parasitic capacitance in the LED and photodiode, the scattered light signal driven by the 2-kHz square wave looks like a sine wave. After the synchronous demodulation, waveform C, the demodulated signal, is generated. The DC value of the waveform indicates the turbidity of the water. After running through the low-pass filter, the signal is sent into the AD converter.

5.2 Sunlight interference suppression experiment

In this design, the sunlight interference was suppressed both in the optical part and the electrical part. In the optical part, the scattering light path avoided the direct sunlight radiation, and the receiving light filter attenuated it. In the electrical part, the interference suppression was based on the ultra-weak light detecting method. As constructing stable and quantitative light source is very difficult, we only evaluated the interference suppression ability of the electrical part in this test. The single frequency interference and internal sync signal were injected into the resistors and capacitors (RC) network in Fig. 8, and the output current signal of the network calculated as (5) was used to simulate the output of the photodiode. Then, we recorded the output of the sensor and used different system gains for different inputs to evaluate the sunlight interference suppression ability of the sensor.

$$I_{out} = \frac{(V_{inf} + V_{sync}) \times R_3}{R_1 + R_2} + R_3$$ (5)

$I_{out}$: Output current from the RC network;
$V_{inf}$: Input voltage of the interference;
$V_{sync}$: Input voltage of the internal sync signal;
$R_1, R_2, R_3$: Resistors in the network.

The single frequency sine wave ranging from 0.5Hz to 20Hz with a step of 0.5Hz was selected to simulate the low frequency sunlight signal. The internal sync signal was used to simulate the scattered light. We respectively recorded their outputs from the sensor as $Re_{inf}$ and $Re_{sync}$, using the signal to interference ratio (STIR) in (6) to
assess system’s interference suppression ability for certain input. As they had the same input amplitude of 3.3 V, we could get (7).

\[
\text{STIR} = -20 \times \log \left( \frac{\text{Gain for sync signal}}{\text{Gain for interference}} \right) 
\]

\[
= -20 \times \log \left( \frac{R_{\text{sync}}}{R_{\text{inf}}} \right). 
\]  \tag{7}

In Fig. 9, the \text{STIR} values of 0 – 20 Hz interference signal were plotted. They were almost all above 60 dB, this means that the gain for the scattered light was 1000 times higher than the gain for the sunlight interference.

![Fig. 9 Signal to interference ratio for 0 – 20 Hz input sine wave.](image)

5.3 Pressure resistant experiment

The sensor was put into a high pressure kettle as shown in Fig. 10. The kettle could generate 0.1 – 60 MPa water pressure, and the pressure was added with a step of 0.1 MPa. At the pressure of 14 MPa, the sensor cracked. So this sensor could work well in depth of 1400 m. We recommended that the sensor should work in depth of 1000 m.

5.4 Power consumption experiment

The system could work in two modes, active mode and sleep mode. In the active mode, with a supply voltage of 8.4 V, the sensor consumed 10.6 mA. In the sleep mode, with a supply voltage of 8.4 V, the sensor consumed 65 μA.

5.5 Baseline noise analyses

The sensor was put into the pure water and opened until it was stabilized. Then, we recorded 100 data points continuously as shown in Fig. 11 and calculated the root mean square (RMS) value to indicate the baseline noise of the sensor. The experiment was carried out in an open environment in the lab with both 50 Hz interference from the mains and sunlight interference existing. The baseline noise in Fig. 11 was 44.55.

![Fig. 11 Baseline noise analysis.](image)

5.6 Formazine calibration

We used the standard formazine solution with the concentration of 10000 FTU/L to calibrate the system in 15 L pure water and added 1 mL for eight times, 3 mL for two times, and 5 mL for five times. Figure 12 shows the output of the sensor and the
concentration of Formazine.

\[ y = 17606x - 45194 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9999 \]

Fig. 12 Standard Formazine calibration for the sensor.

As shown in the figure, the sensor exhibits good linearity in the concentration of 0 – 25 FTU with R-square of 0.9999. Assuming that the minimum signal to noise ratio is 3:1, we can get the sensitivity (S) with the baseline noise of 44.55, as calculated in (8):

\[ S = \frac{44.55 \times 3}{17606} \times 1\text{FTU} = 0.0076\text{FTU}. \] (8)

And the current resolution (CR) of the amplification system for the photodiode is

\[ CR = \frac{\text{Baseline noise of AD}}{\text{AD range}} \times \frac{\text{Reference volatge of AD}}{\text{Trans - impedence of the amplification system}} \] (9)

\[ = \frac{44.55 \times 3}{2^{24}} \times \frac{2.5\text{V}}{50\text{M} \Omega} = 398.3\text{fA}. \]

Table 2 shows the comparison with sensors of other companies, and it indicates that our sensor had relatively more comprehensive performance.

| Company | Seapoint | Wetlabs | ALEC | Our sensor |
|---------|----------|---------|------|------------|
| Sensitivity(FTU) | 0.005 | 0.01 | 0.0002 | 0.0076 |
| Supply current(mA) | 6mA(peak); 3.5mA(avg) | 60mA (with chlorophyll detection) | 60mA | 10.6mA |
| Sleep mode | No | Yes(150µA) | No | Yes(65µA) |
| Data log | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |

6. Applications

The sensor was fixed in the buoy located in the Southern China Sea to monitor the in-situ turbidity. The buoy powered the sensor from the solar panels, and the sensor sent the collected data to the central processing unit through RS-232 communication port. Then, the buoy transferred the data to the satellite through the radio signal, and the satellite sent the data back to the receiving antenna on the earth. Finally, the personal computer could get the data through Internet in the form of E-mail. During the monitoring process, the sensor waked up once an hour and got one sample a time. Figure 13 shows the buoy system diagram. Continuous in-situ turbidity data in one week is shown in Fig. 14. From the figure, we can get that although there are some glitches in the curve, the data changes cyclically every day within 0.15 FTU.

Fig. 13 Buoy System Diagram.

Fig. 14 Southern China Sea one week turbidity data.
7. Conclusions

In this study, a highly sensitive in-situ turbidity sensor with the low power consumption was developed and evaluated. We have designed the light scattering path, the watertight mechanical structure, and the ultra-weak light detecting method to meet the practical requirements of in-situ detecting. The experiments indicated that the sensor had a sensitivity of 0.0076 FTU with the concentration range of 0 – 25 FTU and the R-square of 0.9999 in the open environment in the lab. The sensor had the low power consumption with the supply current of 10.4 mA in the active mode and 65 μA in the sleep mode. The pressure resistant experiment showed that the sensor could withstand the water pressure in depth of 1000 meters. The long time in-situ experiment indicated that the sensor could meet the practical in-situ detection requirements well in the wild environment. Besides, in comparison with sensors of other companies, our sensor had relatively more comprehensive performance.
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