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S1. Implementation of QM/MM in GENESIS

QM/MM in GENESIS is invoked by specifying four keywords in a newly set [QMMM] section as shown in Fig. S1 (a). The QM program is specified by a keyword, “qmtyp”. The current version supports Gaussian09/16, Q-Chem 4.x, TeraChem 1.9, and DFTB+ 18.1. “qmcnt” and “qmexe” specify a template to generate an input file and a shell script to execute a QM program, respectively. The template file includes options for QM calculations, but without atomic coordinates and MM charges. As illustrated in Fig. S1 (b), the coordinates and charges are provided by GENESIS at runtime, and supplemented to the template file to generate an input. Then, the shell script is executed by calling a system function in Fortran. Using a shell script enables a flexible control of a QM program; for example, setting a local scratch folder, the number of cores, an archive of molecular orbitals for restarting the self-consistent field (SCF) calculations, etc. Examples are found in our GitHub repository.

Figure S1. (a) Keywords for QM/MM calculations in an input file and (b) the scheme to interface GENESIS and QM programs.
(https://github.com/yagikiyoshi/QMMMscripts). When the quantum chemistry calculation is done and the control returns to GENESIS, the necessary data are retrieved from log files. The last keyword, “qmatm_select_index”, specifies QM atoms using a selector function in GENESIS, which facilitates selection of atoms in terms of atom and residue numbers, segment ID, interatomic distance, etc. Note that link hydrogen atoms are automatically added by the program using the information of bond connectivity provided in a PSF file, thereby requiring no input about the QM and MM boundary.

The QM/MM calculation is often done in a two-step procedure, where the conventional MD simulation is first performed using a force field to sample relevant structures, and then static QM/MM calculations are performed for those structures to obtain accurate molecular properties. For the convenience of such scheme, we have developed a tool to create QM/MM systems from a MD trajectory (qmmm_generator). Given a QM region and snapshot ID in the input, the tool wraps all molecules in a PBC box around the center of mass of QM atoms (or any center specified by user), and optionally truncates the MM region to generate coordinate files and PSF for each snapshot.
S2. QFF coefficients derived from numerical differentiations of gradient

The third- and fourth-order coefficients of QFF is computed by numerical differentiations of the gradient by the following formula.

1MR-QFF terms:

\[ t_{iji} = \frac{\partial^2 g_i}{\partial Q_i^2} \approx \frac{g_i(+\delta_i) + g_i(-\delta_i) - 2g_i}{\delta_i^2}, \quad (S1) \]

\[ u_{iiij} = \frac{\partial^3 g_i}{\partial Q_i^3} \approx \frac{g_i(+3\delta_i) - 3g_i(+\delta_i) + 3g_i(-\delta_i) - g_i(-3\delta_i)}{8\delta_i^3}. \quad (S2) \]

2MR-QFF terms:

\[ t_{lij} = \frac{\partial^2 g_j}{\delta^2} \approx \frac{g_j(+\delta_i) + g_j(-\delta_i) - 2g_j}{\delta_i^2}, \quad (S3) \]

\[ u_{lijj} = \frac{\partial^3 g_j}{\delta^3} \approx \frac{g_j(+3\delta_i) - 3g_j(+\delta_i) + 3g_j(-\delta_i) - g_j(-3\delta_i)}{8\delta_i^3}, \quad (S4) \]

\[ u_{lijj} = \frac{\partial^3 g_j}{\partial Q_i^2 \partial Q_j} \]

\[ \approx \frac{1}{2\delta_i^2 \delta_j} [g_j(+\delta_i, +\delta_j) - g_j(-\delta_i, +\delta_j) + g_j(+\delta_i, -\delta_j) - g_j(-\delta_i, -\delta_j)] \]

\[ - 2g_j(+\delta_j) + 2g_j(-\delta_j). \quad (S5) \]

3MR-QFF terms:

\[ t_{ijk} = \frac{\partial^2 g_i}{\partial Q_j \partial Q_k} \approx \frac{g_i(+\delta_j, +\delta_k) - g_i(-\delta_j, +\delta_k) - g_i(+\delta_j, -\delta_k) + g_i(-\delta_j, -\delta_k)}{4\delta_j \delta_k}, \quad (S6) \]

\[ u_{ijjk} = \frac{\partial^3 g_k}{\partial Q_i^2 \partial Q_j} \]

\[ \approx \frac{1}{2\delta_i^2 \delta_j} [g_k(+\delta_i, +\delta_j) - g_k(-\delta_i, +\delta_j) + g_k(+\delta_i, -\delta_j) - g_k(-\delta_i, -\delta_j)] \]

\[ - 2g_k(+\delta_j) + 2g_k(-\delta_j). \quad (S7) \]
4MR-QFF terms:

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_{ijkl} &= \frac{\partial^3 g_i}{\partial Q_j \partial Q_k \partial Q_l} \\
    &\approx \frac{1}{8 \delta_j \delta_k \delta_l} [g_i(+\delta_j, +\delta_k, +\delta_l) - g_i(-\delta_j, +\delta_k, +\delta_l) - g_i(+\delta_j, -\delta_k, +\delta_l) ] \\
    &+ g_i(-\delta_j, -\delta_k, +\delta_l) - g_i(+\delta_j, +\delta_k, -\delta_l) + g_i(-\delta_j, +\delta_k, -\delta_l) \\
    &+ g_i(+\delta_j, -\delta_k, -\delta_l) \\
    &- g_i(-\delta_j, -\delta_k, -\delta_l)].
\end{align*}
\]  

(S8)

Here, \( g_i \) and \( g_i(+\delta_i) \) are the i-th component of the gradient at the equilibrium geometry and at the grid point deviated by \( \delta_i \) to the i-th direction, respectively. The number of grid points for calculating the 3MR and 4MR-QFF is,

\[
N^{3MR-QFF} = 1 + 4f + 4 \left( \frac{f}{2} \right) = 1 + 2f + 2f^2. \quad \text{(S9)}
\]

\[
N^{4MR-QFF} = N^{3MR-QFF} + 8 \left( \frac{f}{3} \right) = 1 + \frac{14f - 6f^2 + 4f^3}{3}. \quad \text{(S10)}
\]
S3. VSCF and VQDPT2 methods

In this study, the vibrational Schrödinger equation is solved based on nMR-PES derived from QM/MM calculations. The VSCF equation is first solved for the vibrational ground state,

\[
\left[ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Q_i^2} + \sum_{j \neq i} \left( \prod_{j \neq l} \phi_0^{(j)} \right ) V \left( \prod_{j \neq l} \phi_0^{(j)} \right ) \right ] \phi_0^{(i)} = \epsilon_0^{(i)} \phi_0^{(i)},
\]

which yields a set of one-mode function and energy, \( \{ \phi_{n_i}^{(i)} \} \) and \( \{ \epsilon_{n_i}^{(i)} \} \), respectively. In VQDPT2, the VSCF solution is improved by the second-order perturbation theory. Let us define VSCF configuration functions and the zero-th order energy as,

\[
| n \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^{f} \phi_{n_i}^{(i)} , \quad (S12)
\]

\[
E_n^{(0)} = \sum_{i=1}^{f} \epsilon_{n_i}^{(i)} . \quad (S13)
\]

We divide the VSCF configuration functions to those that are nearly degenerate to the target state, \( \{ | p \rangle \} \), and others that are non-resonant, \( \{ | q \rangle \} \). Then, the effective Hamiltonian matrix reads,

\[
H_{pp'}^{\text{eff}} = \left( p | \hat{H}_{\text{vib}} | p' \right ) + \sum_{q} \left( p | \hat{H}_{\text{vib}} | q \right ) \left( q | \hat{H}_{\text{vib}} | p' \right ) \left\{ \frac{1}{E_p^{(0)} - E_q^{(0)}} + \frac{1}{E_p'^{(0)} - E_q^{(0)}} \right\} . \quad (S14)
\]

The diagonalization of this matrix yields the VQDPT2 energy and wavefunction.

The degenerate \( P \) space, \( \{ | p \rangle \} \), and the complementary \( Q \) space, \( \{ | q \rangle \} \), are specified by parameters, \( k \) and \( N_{\text{gen}} \). For each target state (e.g., fundamentals), VSCF configurations, in which
the difference in quantum number is equal to or less than $k$, are selected for $Q$ space. If a configuration of the $Q$ space has the zero-th order energy closely degenerate to that of the target configuration, it is selected as a $P$ space configuration. The same procedure is repeated setting the newly selected $P$ space configurations to target state for $N_{\text{gen}}$ times. See Ref.$^1$ for further details.
S4. On the width of Lorentz functions to construct the IR spectrum of a phosphate ion

The IR spectrum of a phosphate ion in solution shown in Fig. 4 was constructed using a Lorentzian line shape function,

\[ \Gamma(\nu - \nu_i) = \frac{\gamma}{\pi \left((\nu - \nu_i)^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4}\right)}, \]

where \( \nu_i \) and \( \gamma \) are the vibrational excitation energy of a state \( i \) and a broadening factor, respectively. The weight averaged IR spectra obtained with different \( \gamma \) is shown in Fig. S2.

Figure S2. The weight averaged IR spectrum obtained with \( \gamma = 20, 30, 40, 50, \) and \( 60 \text{ cm}^{-1} \).
**S5. On the electronic structure of Fe\textsuperscript{III}(NO)**

From the result in Fig. 6, the electronic structure of Fe\textsuperscript{III}(NO) can be understood in terms of two types of orbital interaction diagrams. Fig. S3 (a) illustrates a case where an axial ligand (L) weakly interacts with Fe such that the energy levels of \(d\) orbitals (\(d_{xz}\) and \(d_{yz}\)) are separated from \(\pi^*\) orbitals of NO. In this case, the character of the orbital is kept unchanged, and the radical electron of NO in the \(\pi^*\) orbital is transferred to one of the \(d\) orbitals of Fe, literally forming a complex, Fe\textsuperscript{II}(NO\textsuperscript+). Consequently, the two \(\pi^*\) orbitals of NO are both unoccupied, thereby yielding a linear Fe-NO perpendicular to the heme plane. A typical such ligand is histidine, which we confirmed a formation of linear Fe-NO by a QM cluster calculation using imidazole in place of thiolate (data not shown). On the other hand, Fig. S3 (b) illustrates the other extreme, where the axial ligand (e.g. the thiolate of cysteine) strongly interacts with Fe, so that the energy levels of \(d\) and \(\pi^*\) orbitals are sufficiently close to form strongly mixed, hybrid orbitals. In this case, the unpaired electrons of Fe and NO occupy the hybrid orbital, resulting in a partial occupation of \(\pi^*\) orbitals. The partial occupation of \(\pi^*\) orbitals not only breaks the symmetry to make the NO molecule tilted, but also weakens the N-O bond resulting in a lowering of the NO stretching frequency.

Figure S3. Schematic diagram of orbital interaction giving rise to (a) linear NO and (b) tilted NO.
An alternative configuration, an open-shell singlet (os-let) state, has one of the unpaired electrons in $\pi^*$ orbitals of NO, and the other electron with opposite spin in $d$ orbitals of Fe$^{III}$. In other words, the radical electrons remain in unperturbed orbitals.
**Table S1.** The type of simulation, simulation time (in ns), time step (in fs), and force constants (in kcal mol\(^{-1}\) Å\(^{-2}\)) of harmonic positional restraints for heavy atoms employed in MD simulations for P450nor.

| Step | Type\(^a\) | Time | Time step | Backbone | Other atoms\(^b\) |
|------|------------|------|-----------|----------|------------------|
| 1\(^c\) | Minimize   | 5000 steps | -         | fixed    | fixed            |
| 2    | NVT        | 3.0   | 2.0       | fixed    | fixed            |
| 3    | NPT        | 3.0   | 2.0       | fixed    | fixed            |
| 4\(^c\) | NVT        | 3.0   | 2.0       | fixed    | fixed            |
| 5    | NVT        | 3.0   | 2.0       | 5.0      | 2.0              |
| 6    | NVT        | 3.0   | 2.0       | 5.0      | 1.0              |
| 7    | NVT        | 3.0   | 2.0       | 2.5      | 1.0              |
| 8    | NVT        | 3.0   | 2.0       | 2.5      | 0.5              |
| 9    | NVT        | 3.0   | 2.0       | 1.0      | 0.5              |
| 10   | NVT        | 3.0   | 2.0       | 1.0      | 0.1              |
| 11   | NVT        | 3.0   | 2.0       | 1.0      | 0.0              |
| 12   | NVT        | 3.0   | 2.0       | 0.5      | 0.0              |
| 13   | NVT        | 3.0   | 2.0       | 0.1      | 0.0              |
| 14   | NVT        | 10.0  | 2.5       | 0.0      | 0.0              |
| 15\(^d\) | NVT      | 70.0  | 2.5       | 0.0      | 0.0              |

\(\text{a. The temperature and pressure were controlled at 300 K and 1 atm, respectively.}\)

\(\text{b. Sidechain, heme NO unit, and crystal water molecules.}\)

\(\text{c. The last snapshot of step 1 and 4 is XtalV and XtalW, respectively.}\)

\(\text{d. Production run. NVT1 to 5 are taken from this trajectory.}\)
**Table S2.** Representative geometric parameters in the active site of P450nor [bond lengths (r in Å), bond angles (θ in degree), and dihedral angles (φ in degree)], and the N-O and Fe-N harmonic stretching vibrations [ω in cm⁻¹] obtained from QM/MM calculations with different sizes of QM region for the NVT4 snapshot, together with the numbers of QM atoms (N_{QM}) and basis functions (N_{bf}).

|                  | QM region A | QM region B | QM region C | QM region D |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| r_{Fe-NO}        | 1.653       | 1.650       | 1.653       | 1.653       |
| r_{N-O}          | 1.137       | 1.136       | 1.136       | 1.137       |
| r_{Fe-S}         | 2.310       | 2.314       | 2.314       | 2.306       |
| θ_{Fe-N-O}       | 165.0       | 168.3       | 168.7       | 165.7       |
| φ_{NA-Fe-N-O}    | 130.8       | 105.9       | 103.3       | 126.3       |
| φ_{CB-S-N-O}     | 125.3       | 103.8       | 103.3       | 123.6       |
| ω_{N-O}          | 2017.4      | 2025.2      | 2026.8      | 2016.3      |
| ω_{Fe-NO}        | 628.4       | 628.7       | 628.1       | 629.9       |
| N_{QM}           | 79          | 88          | 102         | 99          |
| N_{bf}           | 892         | 964         | 1107        | 1129        |

- ^a^ A ferric heme-NO unit and a side chain of Cys352 (-C_βH_2S).
- ^b^ Three water molecules within 3.5 Å of NO ligand in addition to the QM region A.
- ^c^ Two water molecules forming hydrogen bonds with O atom of Cys352, and backbone of Cys352 and Ile353 (C_α, C, O, and H atoms of Cys352 and N, C_α, H atoms of Ile353) in addition to the QM region B.
- ^d^ C_α, C, O, and H atoms of Cys352, backbone atoms of Ile353 and Ala354, and N, C_α, H atoms of Glu355 in addition to the QM region A.
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