Reproduction of Spatio-Temporal Patterns of Major Mediterranean Phytoplankton Groups from Remote Sensing OC-CCI Data
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During the last two decades, several satellite algorithms have been proposed to retrieve information about phytoplankton groups using ocean color data. One of these algorithms, the so-called PHYSAT-Med, was developed specifically for the Mediterranean Sea due to the optical peculiarities of this basin. The method allows the detection from ocean color images of the dominant Mediterranean phytoplankton groups, namely nanoeukaryotes, Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus, diatoms, coccolithophorids, and Phaeocystis-like phytoplankton. Here, we present a new version of PHYSAT-Med applied to the Ocean Colour—Climate Change Initiative (OC-CCI) database. The OC-CCI database consists of a multi-sensor, global ocean-color product that merges observations from four different sensors. This retuned version presents improvements with respect to the previous version, as it increases the temporal range (since 1998), decreases the cloud cover, improves the bias correction and a validation exercise was performed in the NW Mediterranean Sea. In particular, the PHYSAT-Med version has been used here to analyse the annual cycles of the major phytoplankton groups in the Mediterranean Sea. Wavelet analyses were used to explore the spatial variability in dominance both in the time and frequency domains in several Mediterranean sub-regions, such as the Alboran Sea, Ligurian Sea, Northern Adriatic Sea, and Levantine basin. Results extended the interpretation of previously detected patterns, indicating the dominance of Synechococcus-like vs. prochlorophytes throughout the year at the basin level, and the predominance of nanoeukaryotes during the winter months. The method successfully reproduced the diatom blooms normally detected in the basin during the spring season (March to April), especially in the Adriatic Sea. According to our results, the PHYSAT-Med OC-CCI algorithm represents a useful tool for the spatio-temporal monitoring of dominant phytoplankton groups in Mediterranean surface waters. The successful applications of other regional ocean color algorithms to the OC-CCI database will give rise to extended time series of phytoplankton functional types, with promising applications to the study of long-term oceanographic trends in a global change context.
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INTRODUCTION

Since the launch of the Coastal Zone Color Scanner (CZCS) in the late 1970s, ocean color remote sensing has deeply improved our understanding of the ocean system by providing global estimations of the surface chlorophyll concentration (Chl$\alpha$), a parameter known to be a good proxy of phytoplankton biomass (e.g., McClain, 2009). Marine phytoplankton are located at the base of the marine food web (Chassot et al., 2010; and references therein), play a major role in the global biogeochemical cycles (Field et al., 1998) and participate actively in the regulation of the global climate (Sabine et al., 2004). During the last 40 years, observations of regional-to-global Chl$\alpha$ data have been acquired by different ocean color sensors (IOCCG, 2012), such as Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWiFS), Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS), Medium-Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MERIS) and Visible Infrared Imager Radiometer Suite (VIIRS). In order to extend the existing time series beyond that provided by a single satellite sensor, the European Space Agency (ESA) has recently generated the Ocean Colour—Climate Change Initiative (OC-CCI), a multisensor, global, ocean-color product mainly devoted to climate research (Storm et al., 2013) that merges observations from four different sensors: SeaWiFS, MODIS, MERIS, and VIIRS. As an ESA-funded CCI project, the OC-CCI focuses specifically in creating a consistent, error-characterized time-series of ocean-color products, with a strong focus in climate-change studies (Brewin et al., 2015). Remote-sensing reflectance (Rrs) data from MODIS-Aqua and MERIS are then band-shifted to match the wavelengths of SeaWiFS by using an in-water bio-optical model (e.g., see Mélin and Sclep, 2015). The main reason behind this choice is that SeaWiFS is widely considered as the highest quality sensor with the best match to in situ observations, and is commonly used in peer literature (Couto et al., 2016). This dataset improves the bias correction, thus reducing the sensitivity to medium-term changes and extending the method applicability beyond the lifetime of SeaWiFS. As a result, the current OC-CCI database allows for the examination of the spatial and temporal variability of surface Chl$\alpha$ since September 1997 (Couto et al., 2016).

Even though remote sensing derived phytoplankton types does not provide a full description of the marine ecosystem, its spatio-temporal distribution (including phenomenology, Kostadinov et al., 2017), and identification of key groups give powerful insights on the dynamics of the marine food web and the ocean’s role in climate regulation in the context of the global change (Bracher et al., 2017). This relevance was early recognized by Platt et al. (2006), who concluded that detection of phytoplankton from remote sensing images was a major challenge in ocean optics. Therefore, over the last decade, several remote sensing algorithms have been developed to characterize the global distribution patterns of phytoplankton functional types (PFT) or size classes (PSC; e.g., Sathyendranath et al., 2004; Alvain et al., 2005, 2008; Ciotti and Bricaud, 2006; Raitos et al., 2008; Aiken et al., 2009; Bracher et al., 2009; Brewin et al., 2010; Kostadinov et al., 2010; Hirata et al., 2011; Uitz et al., 2012; see recent summary in Table 2 in Bracher et al., 2017 and Table 3 in Mouw et al., 2017). A complete guide of the available approaches can be found in Mouw et al. (2017). Some of these algorithms are based on various spectral features, such as backscattering (e.g., Kostadinov et al., 2010), absorption (e.g., Ciotti and Bricaud, 2006; Bracher et al., 2009; Mouw and Yoder, 2010; Roy et al., 2013) or a hybrid between absorption and backscattering (Fuijwara et al., 2011). Other algorithms exploit second-order anomalies of reflectance spectra (Alvain et al., 2005, 2008), which is the case of the so-called PHYSAT that was first developed at a global scale by Alvain et al. (2005, 2008). The PHYSAT approach relies on the identification of specific signatures in the normalized water leaving radiance (nLw) spectra measured by an ocean color sensor (Alvain et al., 2005, 2008), thereby enabling the identification of nanoeukaryotes, haptophytes (a major component of the nanoflagellates), Synechococcus-like cyanobacteria, diatoms, Prochlorococcus, Phaeocystis-like phytoplankton, and coccolithophorids. The PHYSAT method has been successfully validated with phytoplankton in situ data and extensively used by many authors (e.g., Bopp et al., 2005; Arnold et al., 2010; D’Ovidio et al., 2010; Gorgues et al., 2010; Masotti et al., 2010, 2011; Alvain et al., 2012, 2013; Belviso et al., 2012; Demarcq et al., 2012; De Monte et al., 2013; Hashioka et al., 2013; Ben Mustapha et al., 2014; Thyssen et al., 2015).

Navarro et al. (2014) later proposed a regionalized version of the algorithm for the Mediterranean Sea (Figure 1), the PHYSAT-Med, using the MODIS era (2002–2013) for identification of nanoeukaryotes, Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus-like cyanobacteria and diatoms, which was compared with more than 3,000 high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) in situ measurements (see Table 3 in Navarro et al., 2014). The main utility of the PHYSAT-Med is that it allows for the tracking of specific features of phytoplankton community structure occurring in the basin, along with their associated bio-optical relationships that are heavily affected by continental inputs, such as desert dust events and rivers discharge (Bricaud et al., 2002; Claustre et al., 2002; Alvain et al., 2006; Loisel et al., 2011). Volpe et al. (2007) early suggested that the unique phytoplankton assemblages of the basin could alter its spectral signature, therefore being responsible for the peculiar color of the Mediterranean. Due to these characteristics, standard remote sensing approaches tend to either overestimate or underestimate Chl$\alpha$ levels in the Mediterranean. In fact, Volpe et al. (2007) also showed that NASA SeaWiFS standard chlorophyll products are affected by an uncertainty in the order of 100%. Specific algorithms have been thus developed to retrieve Chl$\alpha$ in the region, namely DORMA-SeaWiFS (D’Ortenzio et al., 2002), BRIC-SeaWiFS (Bricaud et al., 2002), MedOC4-SeaWiFS (Volpe et al., 2007), MedOC3-MODIS (Santoleri et al., 2008), and MedOC4ME-MERIS (Santoleri et al., 2008).

Furthermore, these bio-optical characteristics of the basin described above clearly indicate the necessity to use customized algorithms to detect PFT or PSC in Mediterranean Sea. Recently, Sammartino et al. (2015) described the temporal variability of PSC in Mediterranean Sea using the model proposed by Brewin et al. (2011). Di Cicco et al. (2017) presented a new regional algorithm to identify simultaneously the contribution of each
The Mediterranean (Figure 1) is the largest inland ocean basin on Earth, only connected to the rest of the world's oceans by the Strait of Gibraltar. It exhibits an oligotrophic regime (Krom et al., 1991), notwithstanding relatively external high inputs of essential nutrients (Ludwig et al., 2009; Huertas et al., 2012; Powley et al., 2016). Nevertheless, local physical structures generate convergences zones, which are reflected in the distinct biogeochemical properties of the two Mediterranean sub-regions, the Western and the Levantine. Thus, a notable decreasing gradient in Chla concentration is detected from the west to the east, which causes a significant longitudinal variation in primary production (Turley et al., 2000; Uitz et al., 2012). This gradient in oligotrophy is evidenced both by in situ measurements (Tanhua et al., 2013) and satellite data (D’Ortenzio and Ribera d’Alcalá, 2009). However, the seasonal evolution of Chla distribution still follows the typical succession of temperate regions, characterized by a phytoplankton biomass increase in late winter/early spring, a decrease during the summer season and a second smaller phytoplankton bloom in autumn (Siokou-Frangou et al., 2010; Sammartino et al., 2015).

Phytoplankton community structure in oligotrophic areas throughout the world's ocean is mainly composed by picoplankton and ultraplankton (Li et al., 1983; Brunet et al., 2006; Dandonneau et al., 2006). Nevertheless, the Mediterranean phytoplankton communities structures reveals a considerable variability over both temporal and spatial scales, and large dissimilarities in phytoplankton assemblage composition along with other microorganisms across the basin have been also highlighted (Siokou-Frangou et al., 2010). Many studies have pointed to the dominance of picoplankton as the fingerprint of the Mediterranean Sea and its overriding oligotrophy, but the occurrence of regional phytoplankton blooms cause the coexistence of numerous microalgal groups (Siokou-Frangou et al., 2010).

The satellite empirical model applied by Sammartino et al. (2015) encompassed this unusual and complex community structure in the Mediterranean Sea and allowed assessment of the spatio-temporal variability of the three phytoplankton size classes (micro-, nano-, and pico-plankton) during the entire SeaWiFS era (1998–2010). Previously, Navarro et al. (2014) had redefined the PHYSAT algorithm (Alvain et al., 2005, 2008) to the Mediterranean Sea's bio-optical characteristics to estimate the dominant functional phytoplankton types (Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus, diatoms, nanoeukaryotes, coccolithophorids, and Phaeocystis-like) from the MODIS sensor. More recently, Di Cicco et al. (2017) developed a new regional algorithm for satellite biomass estimates of PSC and PFT in Mediterranean Sea and assessed their accuracy with respect to global models, improving the uncertainty and the spread of about one order of magnitude for all phytoplankton classes.

Regarding the distribution of chlorophyll, low values (less 0.2 mg/m$^3$) are found over vast areas of the basin, with the exception of large blooms observed in late winter and early spring in the North Western Mediterranean (Siokou-Frangou et al., 2010). Mesoscale activity also increases the chlorophyll concentration mainly in the Alboran Sea, Balearic-Catalan Sea, Adriatic Sea and the South Eastern Levantine Sea, by about one order of magnitude for all phytoplankton classes. In other coastal areas close to major rivers, such us the Po in the North Adriatic Sea, the Rhone in Gulf of Lions and the Nile in the Levantine Sea, and river discharge generates a large increase in chlorophyll levels (Siokou-Frangou et al., 2010). In the eastern basin, the Chla rarely exceeds 0.5 mg/m$^3$, with minima as low as 0.003 mg/m$^3$ (Siokou-Frangou et al., 2010). Low biomass values are generally associated with the dominance of cyanobacteria, prochlorophytes and picoplankton-sized flagellates (Siokou-Frangou et al., 2010 and references therein), and represents 59% of the total Chla and 65% of the primary production. However, nanoflagellates are the dominant group in terms of cell numbers throughout most of the year in the Mediterranean Sea. Finally, observed increases in

Figure 1 | Map of the Mediterranean Sea. Gray areas show the sub-regions selected in this study for a regional assessment: Alboran Sea (ALBS), Ligurian Sea (LIGS), Northern Adriatic Sea (NADS), and Levantine basin (LEVB).
Chl correlate with decreases in the contribution of picoplankton and nanoplanckton, and increases in diatom concentration during February and March (Siokou-Frangou et al., 2010).

Bracher et al. (2017) recently highlighted the limited applicability of global satellite algorithms to determine the composition of phytoplankton at a regional scale as one of the major gaps in satellite research. Accordingly, these authors suggest a roadmap for future developments in regionally adapted algorithms. The main goal of this paper is thus to bridge the gap diagnosed by Bracher et al. (2017) by updating and improving the original version of the PHYSAT-Med method (Navarro et al., 2014) with the new OC-CCI database. Firstly, the advantages of this new version are: (a) an increase in the temporal range (1997–2015; (b) a decrease of the cloud cover due to the use of several ocean color sensors; (c) an improvement in the bias correction, thus reducing sensitivity to medium-term changes; and (d) the validation of the temporal range in the NW Mediterranean Sea using diagnostic pigments analysis (DPA, Vidussi et al., 2001). Secondly, wavelet analysis is applied to the retuned version in order to analyse the contributions of different temporal cycles of dominance variability of the major phytoplankton groups in the Mediterranean Sea.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

PHYSAT-Med OC-CCI Algorithm

The OC-CCI is a long-term, consistent and error-characterized dataset generated from merged normalized remote-sensing reflectance derived from four satellite sensors: SeaWiFS, MODIS, MERIS, and VIIRS (Storm et al., 2013; Jackson et al., in press). In this work, we have used OC-CCI v3.0, where more data have been included (VIIRS and SeaWiFS LAC). In addition, the bias correction has been improved, reducing sensitivity to medium-term changes and extending the method to work beyond the lifetime of SeaWiFS. Daily level 3 remote sensing reflectance data (Rrs) at 412, 443, 490, 510, 555, and 670 nm and diffuse attenuation coefficient (Kd_490) were downloaded from the OC-CCI website covering the period from January 1998 to December 2015 (Figure 2, step 1). These products were displayed on a regular 4 km grid, with an equi-rectangular projection with constant longitude and latitude steps. Error specification (RMSE and bias) is based on comparison with match-up in situ data and extrapolation to global scale ocean.

In a second step (Figure 2, step 2), the Chla concentration in the Mediterranean Sea was calculated using a regional algorithm (MedOC4, Mediterranean ocean color four-bands, Volpe et al., 2007) developed for the basin for SeaWiFS bands (or CCI),

\[
MedOC4 - Chla = 10^{(0.4424 - 3.686R + 1.076R^2 + 1.684R^3 - 1.437R^4)} \tag{1}
\]

where

\[
R = \log_{10} \left[ \max \left( R_{445}^d, R_{490}^d, R_{510}^d \right) \right] \tag{2}
\]

This bio-optical algorithm is based on a fourth-power polynomial regression between log-transformed Chla and log-transformed maximum band ratio (MBR). It is known that using multiple Rrs ratios decreases the noise-to-signal ratio, thereby enhancing the algorithm’s performance (O’Reilly et al., 1998). The MedOC4 algorithm was calibrated on a representative open-water bio-optical dataset collected in the Mediterranean Sea, and is the best algorithm matching the requirement of unbiased satellite chlorophyll estimates (Volpe et al., 2007; Santoleri et al., 2008). At a global scale, the SeaWiFS algorithms have shown errors in the range of <5% for radiances and <35% for chlorophyll (Mueller and Austin, 1995; Gregg and Casey, 2004). The accuracy limit for chlorophyll using these standard algorithms has been shown to be unrealistic in Mediterranean Sea, yielding a severe overestimation (>70% for chlorophyll <0.2 mg/m³; Volpe et al., 2007, 2012).

At the third step (Figure 2), the Rrs was converted to nLw using the nominal band solar irradiance (Fo, in mW cm⁻² µm⁻¹) for any specific spectral band (λ) of the SeaWiFS sensor (Gregg et al., 1993; Thuillier et al., 2003).

\[
nLw(\lambda) = Rrs(\lambda) \times F_o(\lambda) \tag{3}
\]

During step 4 (Figure 2), a new Look-Up-Table (LUT, Figure 3 and Table 1 in Supplementary Material) of nLw_ref (λ, Chla) was empirically generated for the Mediterranean Sea from a large dataset of OC-CCI Chla and nLw pixels for all daily images contained within the study period (January 1998 to December 2015). Turbid pixels (defined as nLw_ref > 1.3 mW cm⁻² mm⁻¹ sr⁻¹, Nezlin and DiGiacomo, 2005) were excluded in order to minimize the impact of high-suspended matter loads. Briefly, nLw_ref is calculated from nLw data, and the associated Chla computed from the MedOC4 algorithm within the concentration

\[
Chla = \frac{Rrs_{555} \times \frac{443}{555} \times \frac{490}{555} - Rrs_{555}}{1 - \frac{443}{555} \times \frac{490}{555} + \frac{490}{555} \times \frac{510}{555} - \frac{510}{555}} \tag{4}
\]

\[
Chla = \frac{Rrs_{555} \times \frac{443}{555} \times \frac{490}{555} - Rrs_{555}}{1 - \frac{443}{555} \times \frac{490}{555} + \frac{490}{555} \times \frac{510}{555} - \frac{510}{555}} \tag{4}
\]
range between 0.01 and 10 mg/m³ (41 narrow intervals). This figure is similar to the one used in the development of the PHYSAT-Med algorithm (Navarro et al., 2014).

Once the new LUT (Figure 3, Table 1 in Supplementary Material) for the Mediterranean Sea was calculated using the regional MedOC4-Chla algorithm, the radiance anomalies [Ra(λ), see Figure 3, step 5] were computed for all daily OC-CCI wavelengths analyzed using Equation (4) for all available wavelengths (412, 443, 490, 510, 555, and 670 nm). Ra(λ) is an adimensional parameter independent of the Chla level, and hence also independent of the biomass. Ra(λ) thus represents the second order variation in nLw(λ) after removal of the first order effect of the Chla variation (Alvain et al., 2005):

$$Ra(\lambda) = \frac{nLw(\lambda)}{nLw_{ref}(\lambda)}$$ (4)

The analyses by Alvain et al. (2005) showed that for a given Chla concentration, the particle scattering variability explains the largest fraction of the remotely sensed Ra spectral variability, especially when focusing on Ra magnitude changes. The labellization step was performed using the thresholds of Ra for each of the six phytoplankton groups examined in PHYSAT-Medv2008 (see Table 5 in Alvain et al., 2008), which is specifically set up for SeaWiFS channels. These thresholds were used to process daily images to calculate daily PFTs map (Figure 3, step 6). For a spectrum to be associated with one group, all criteria must be fulfilled. Thresholds (Table 5 in Alvain et al., 2008) were fixed in order to avoid any overlapping. Pixels with nLw values that were not classified for any phytoplankton groups were cataloged as “unidentified (unid.),” and this can sum up a significant fraction (Navarro et al., 2014). PHYSAT-Med retrieves the dominant group for a given satellite image pixel (4 km) for Mediterranean Sea, where a given phytoplankton group is the major contributor to the radiance anomaly. From this database (near to 6,600 daily images), 10-day and monthly maps of dominant phytoplankton groups were obtained by calculating the phytoplankton group that was present more days during the integration period (10-day or monthly, respectively) at each geographical pixel, not including “unidentified” pixels.

To estimate the proportion of each phytoplankton group in the entire basin and several Mediterranean sub-regions (Alboran Sea, Ligurian Sea, Northern Adriatic Sea, and Levantine basin in Figure 1, Bri cata et al., 2002), the number of the pixels of each PFT during 10-day or 1 month was calculated for each area in proportion to all the identified pixels, excluding the unidentified pixels. The box plot figures were created using Matlab® software (boxplot.m function), where the central mark corresponds to the median, the edges of the box are the 25th and 75th percentiles, the whiskers extend to the most extreme data points not considered outliers, and outliers are plotted individually. These statistics were calculated for each climatological month by considering all the values obtained during a particular month for the whole data series (from 1998 to 2015).

**In situ Validation**

In order to validate the PHYSAT-Med output, we have compared the temporal variability of PFT obtained from remote sensing algorithm with diagnostic pigments analysis (DPA, Vidussi et al., 2001) obtained from HPLC in North-Western Mediterranean Sea. A total of 5,400 samples were collected from the basin and analyzed by HPLC (Figure 1). This dataset consisted of samples from the DYFAMED (Dynamics of Atmospheric Fluxes in the Mediterranean Sea) time series included in the MAREDAT global database of HPLC (Peloquin et al., 2013) and the BOUSSOLE (Buoy for the acquisition of a Long-Term Optical Time Series) program (i.e., Antoine et al., 2006). Details of HPLC methods used can be found in the aforementioned references. Here, we only considered samples limited to the first optical depth (Z90), which reduces the number of available pigment inventories to 1,615 samples and comprising the temporal range analyzed (1998–2015). The first optical depth was calculated using daily Kd90 images from OC-CCI data [Z90 = 1/Kd90], which is about 15–35 m on average in the Mediterranean Sea (D’Ortenzio and Riber a d’Alcalá, 2009). The OC-CCI Kd90 product is computed from the inherent optical properties (IOPs) at 490 nm (Lee et al., 2005; Grant et al., 2016).

This comparison is based on many pigments specific to individual phytoplankton taxa or groups (i.e., Gieskes et al., 1988; Goericke and Repeta, 1993; Claustre and Marty, 1995; Jeffrey and Vesk, 1997). For instance, Divinyl Chlorophyll-a (dChla) is a typical marker of prochlorophytes (Goericke and Repeta, 1992; Claustre and Marty, 1995; Vidussi et al., 2001), whereas zeaxanthin (Zeax) is associated with cyanobacteria (Guillard et al., 1985). Fucoxanthin (Fuc) pigment is the principal marker of diatoms (Jeffrey, 1980). For nanoplanckton quantification, Vidussi et al. (2001) used three diagnostic pigments: alloxanthin (Allox), that is a pigment typical of the cryptomonads (Gieskes and Kraay, 1983); 19′-hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin (HexFuc), whose concentration is related to prymnesiophytes and...
Wavelet Analysis

Wavelet analysis has emerged as a tool for characterizing periodicities in non-stationary time series, as it decomposes a time series both in the frequency and time domains (Percival and Walden, 2000). In this study, wavelet analysis has been used to characterize the different periodic components of the variability in dominance of the major phytoplankton groups in the Mediterranean Sea across time. Wavelet analysis performs a time-scale decomposition of the signal by estimating its frequency characteristics as a function of time (Torrence and Compo, 1998; Grinsted et al., 2004; Winder and Cloern, 2010). In order to normalize time series data and obtain the wavelet power spectrum of the different phytoplankton groups, the continuous Morlet wavelet transform was applied by using the Matlab® toolbox provided by Torrence and Compo (1998) and Grinsted et al. (2004) (http://atoc.colorado.edu/research/wavelets/). The wavelet power spectrum identifies the periods that are the most important sources of variability across time. Additionally, it is possible to define a global wavelet spectrum, which identifies the variance associated to each period for a given time series, and is similar to Fourier spectra (Percival and Walden, 2000). Wavelet analysis was performed over the 10-day times series of nanoeukaryotes, Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus-like cyanobacteria and diatoms for the entire Mediterranean Sea and for four selected sub-regions (Alboran Sea, Ligurian Sea, Northern Adriatic Sea and Levantine sea).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Validation

Figure 4 shows the temporal variability of several diagnostic pigments and PFT in the Ligurian Sea, where DYFAMED and BOUSSOLE sampling stations are located. The comparison exercise covers all range of the PFT analyzed, except for zeaxanthin pigment for which there were no values during 1998. Prochlorococcus showed maximum values in autumn over several years, at the end of the stratification period (Figure 4A). The maxima found by the PHYSAT-Med OC-CCI algorithm were in close agreement with the maxima in the concentrations of the pigment ratio for dChl measured by HPLC method, which is indicative of prochlorophytes (Goericke and Repeta, 1992; Claustre and Marty, 1995; Vidussi et al., 2001). These results agree with the pattern reported by Vaulot et al. (1990) and Marty et al. (2002) estimated by flow cytometry and HPLC analysis, respectively. During summer, also coinciding with the spring bloom period, when the mixed layer depth is at its maximum (Marty et al., 2002), the diatom group and diatom Chla concentration also reached its highest value (Figure 4C). Finally, the nanoeukaryotes distribution presented maxima during winter, normally around January, coinciding with the maximum of nanoplankton chlorophyll concentration estimated
using Equations 6 and 7 ([Figure 4D]; Di Cicco et al., 2017). Overall, the broad coincidence between PHYSAT-Med outputs and HPLC pigments in the temporal pattern suggests that the new version of PHYSAT-Med algorithm using OC-CCI v3.0 database is in agreement with the results obtained through long-term monitoring programs for phytoplankton distribution, at least in the Ligurian Sea area.

Even though the OC-CCI database provided per-pixel errors (RMSE and bias) for all OC-CCI products, this approach is not so common in retrieving phytoplankton functional types, except for the recent works published by Brewin et al. (2017) and Di Cicco et al. (2017) for the Mediterranean Sea. In fact, Di Cicco et al. (2017) showed the improvements obtained from the use of regional models with respect to the global models, with the reduction of bias being of about one order of magnitude. As we described above, the PHYSAT algorithm allows for the detection of dominant PFT. This approach is based on the analysis of the second order variation in nLw measurements after removal of the impact of Chla variation. Alvain et al. (2012) found acceptable results for diatoms (73%) and nanoeucaryotes (82%), but relatively low for Prochlorococcus and cyanobacteria (61 and 57% of successful identification, respectively). For PHYSAT-Med, Navarro et al. (2014) found similar results for Synechococcus and nanoeucaryotes (61 and 74%, respectively).

Table 1 shows the results of the validation exercise. For both nanoeucaryotes and diatoms the correlation between the PHYSAT-Med OC-CCI and the HPLC data is relatively large, with narrow bootstrapped confidence intervals non-overlapping 0. For Synechococcus the correlation is weaker but, again, the confidence interval does not contain 0. In contrast, for Prochlorococcus the association between PHYSAT-Med and HPLC data is weaker, and now the 0 is included within the confidence interval. In this later case, the sample size is clearly lower. The reason might be that the largest Prochlorococcus abundance is located near the deep chlorophyll maximum, deeper than the first optical depth (Siokou-Frangou et al., 2010).

**Spatio-Temporal Patterns at the Basin Scale**

Figure 5 shows the monthly climatology (1998–2015) of the dominant phytoplankton groups in the Mediterranean Sea.
TABLE 1 Validation results of the PHYSAT-Med dataset with HPLC data from the Ligurian Sea.

| Functional type       | Sample size | $r_s$  | 90% B.C.I. |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------|------------|
| Nanoeucaryotes        | 231         | 0.426  | 0.339 – 0.509 |
| Diatoms               | 228         | 0.387  | 0.290 – 0.491 |
| Synechococcus         | 234         | 0.112  | 0.019 – 0.204 |
| Prochlorococcus       | 115         | –0.133 | –0.272 – 0.008 |

Shown are the sample size of the time series used, the Spearman’s rank order correlation between both time series ($r_s$) and the 90% bootstrapped confidence interval. 10,000 bootstrapped samples of the original series were used to construct the intervals.

obtained with the OC-CCI database. These patterns are similar to those obtained by Navarro et al. (2014) using the PHYSAT-Med and the MODIS imagery for the period comprised from July 2002 to May 2013. In addition, the analysis of the PFT is consistent with the previous knowledge of this area (Siokou-Frangou et al., 2010; Uitz et al., 2012; Sammartino et al., 2015; Di Cicco et al., 2017). It is evident that Synechococcus is the most abundant group detected at the basin scale and particularly during spring-summer months, whereas nanoeukaryotes seem to dominate during autumn-winter months. Prochlorococcus is preferentially distinguished during February and October in offshore waters, in opposition to diatoms that prevail in coastal areas, such as the Gulf of Lions, the Ligurian Sea and the northern Adriatic Sea, and mostly during the spring season. This last finding agrees with the microplanckton distribution provided by Sammartino et al. (2015) and Di Cicco et al. (2017) who concluded that the fraction of microplanckton significantly increases in the Northwestern Mediterranean Sea, reaching values from 30 to 57% (Sammartino et al., 2015). The presence of coccolithophorids in the basin is particularly evident along the Mediterranean coastline and particularly in the surroundings of the large river mouths (Ebro, Rhone and Nile) and in the Adriatic Sea (Figure 5). However, it is worthy to highlight that fluvial inputs of terrestrial matter or suspended solids may slightly mask the signals and affect phytoplankton distribution (Navarro et al., 2014). Even though PHYSAT-Med is also appropriate for detecting Phaeocystis-like phytoplankton, no signal of this group was found in the current study. These spatio-temporal patterns were subsequently corroborated by the time series of monthly climatology (Figures 6B, 7B, 8B, and 9B) although only nanoeukaryotes, Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus-like cyanobacteria and diatoms were considered because these groups were compared with in situ pigment markers (Figure 4).

The abundance of nanoeukaryotes in the Mediterranean Sea (Figure 6A) follows recurrent 12-months cycles across time, as suggested by the power of the wavelet spectrum at this cycle (Figure 6C). Some weaker 6-months cyclic components can be observed during certain particular years (2003, 2006–2014). The mean annual cycle or the monthly climatology for this group (Figure 6B) showed a maximum percentage of abundance during the winter months, mainly November, December and January. The global wavelet spectrum (Figure 6D) demonstrated that the 12-months periodicity was highly significant, with a minor peak at 6-months also contributing to the variance. The amplitude of the seasonal variations of nanoeukaryotes at a basin scale is similar to that described by Sammartino et al. (2015) and Di Cicco et al. (2017), who found minimum values of abundance for nanoplankton during summer and maximum during winter, when the mixed layer depth (MLD) is also deeper (Siokou-Frangou et al., 2010). In the Levantine basin this group was the second most abundant in terms of Chl a, but it was the main group in the western basin (Di Cicco et al., 2017). Nanoplankton make a dominant contribution (up to 43–50%) to total primary production throughout the year at the basin scale (Uitz et al., 2012).

For Synechococcus the wavelet power spectrum also revealed a persistent 12-months periodicity (Figures 7C,D), with virtually no secondary 6-months cycles. The higher percentages of Synechococcus abundance were effectively observed during the summer season (Figures 4, 5, and 7B), particularly in June and July, coinciding with the stratification period (Siokou-Frangou et al., 2010).

Interestingly, the temporal patterns of Prochlorococcus (Figure 8A) exhibited a less periodic fluctuation in dominance at the basin scale as compared to those of Synechococcus (Figure 7A). The continuous wavelet spectrum (Figures 8B,C) suggests that prior to 2002, no cyclic component dominated (the time series conformed to a white-noise process). From this year onwards, a 6-months periodicity pattern became apparent, particularly from 2007. An annual cycle also appeared during this period, but the power was smaller as suggested by the global wavelet spectrum (Figure 8D). This indicates that most of the temporal variance in the dominance of Prochlorococcus in the Mediterranean Sea occurs at different periodicitities, perhaps dominated by a seasonal period. Synechococcus tends to be more abundant at the surface waters, whereas Prochlorococcus thrives mainly in the deep-chlorophyll maximum (Marty and Chiavérini, 2002; Casotti et al., 2003).

The temporal patterns of diatoms were characterized by a robust periodicity of 12 months across time (Figure 9). In this case, the largest dominance was observed during spring, in agreement with the diatom blooms reported in the basin over this season (Marty and Chiavérini, 2002). In contrast, the minima occurred in September, coinciding with nutrient exhaustion.

Spatio-Temporal Patterns at the Sub-Regional Scale

The PHYSAT-Med-OC-CCI approach was also applied in four selected sub-regions in order to track the temporal evolution of phytoplankton groups at smaller spatial scales. To allow for meaningful comparisons, the chosen areas resemble those considered by Sammartino et al. (2015): the Alboran Sea, the Ligurian Sea, the North Adriatic Sea and the Levantine basin (Figure 1). Figure 10 shows the monthly climatology in the percentage of dominance of the four phytoplankton groups for each sub-region. Nanoeukaryotes occurrence exhibited a marked
longitudinal gradient, with a higher abundance in the western basin (Alboran Sea and Ligurian Sea) in relation to the Levantine basin. Nonetheless, a marked annual cycle is evident in all sub-regions, which is characterized by the presence of maxima over the winter months and a minimum in summer. This was confirmed by a regional wavelet analysis that clearly revealed a consistent 12-month periodicity (Figure 11). Interestingly however, a longitudinal increase in the importance of 6-months
**FIGURE 7** | Temporal patterns of variability for *Synechococcus* for Mediterranean Sea. (A) Temporal percentage (10-day) of *Synechococcus*. (B) Box plot (red lines stand for the median, blue box spans from the first to the second quartiles, and black lines represent 5th and 95th percentiles, respectively) of monthly climatology of *Synechococcus*. (C) Continuous wavelet power spectrum for the 10-day time series. Red line indicates the cone of influence, and is the region affected by the edges of the data and should not be considered. (D) Global wavelet spectrum for the 10-day time series.

**FIGURE 8** | Temporal patterns of variability for *Prochlorococcus* for Mediterranean Sea. (A) Temporal percentage (10-day) of *Prochlorococcus*. (B) Box plot (red lines stand for the median, blue box spans from the first to the second quartiles, and black lines represent 5th and 95th percentiles, respectively) of monthly climatology of *Prochlorococcus*. (C) Continuous wavelet power spectrum for the 10-day time series. Red line indicates the cone of influence, and is the region affected by the edges of the data and should not be considered. (D) Global wavelet spectrum for the 10-day time series.
periodicities also became apparent: both in the North Adriatic Sea and in the Levantine basin, recurrent seasonal periods contribute to the overall variability (Figure 11). Nevertheless, the overall abundance of this group kept values above 20%, with the exception of the Levantine basin over summer months. This temporal pattern resembles that of nanoplankton found in the selected areas by Sammartino et al. (2015), and reflects the constant contribution of this group to primary production, as previously reported (Vidussi et al., 2000, 2001; Uitz et al., 2012).

Prochlorococcus abundance in the four regions was higher over the late summer months and similar in terms of percentage between the Western and Eastern basins. This phytoplankton group is, however, less represented in the Northern Adriatic Sea. It is worthy to note that an additional winter peak (February) of Prochlorococcus can be identified in the Levantine basin. This pattern of abundance at a sub-regional scale coincides with the wavelet analyses (Figure 11). The annual cycle of Synechococcus is also evidenced by the maximum of abundance in the four sub-regions during the summer months coinciding with the stratification period and when Chla concentration in the basin is low (Volpe et al., 2007). During this season, the temporal climatology of Synechococcus reached values close to 100% in the Levantine basin, in agreement with the values given by Sammartino et al. (2015) for picoplankton. These authors indicated that this size class (closely corresponding to Synechococcus) seems to cover homogenously the entire Mediterranean Sea, with percentages of abundance close to 70%, although a decreasing concentration gradient from west to east can be still observed, which was also revealed by our analysis particularly for Prochlorococcus. According to our analysis (Figure 10), Synechococcus dominated in the Eastern basin, where ultraoligotrophic conditions are present and particularly during summer (Siokou-Frangou et al., 2010). During this season, primary production by the picoplankton exhibits a maximum (Uitz et al., 2012. It is well known that due to their high surface/volume ratio, Synechococcus (and also Prochlorococcus) can cope optimally with nutrients-impoverished environments (Le Quéré et al., 2005). The presence of Synechococcus in the Levantine basin has been widely reported (Uitz et al., 2012 and references therein), and the PHYSAT-Med OC-CCI clearly revealed its presence in the ultraoligotrophic Levantine basin and depicted a realistic annual cycle (Figures 10, 11).

As expected, diatoms were the least abundant of the four phytoplankton groups analyzed in the Mediterranean sub-regions. In fact, with the exception of the Northern Adriatic Sea, the percentage of abundance of diatoms fell within the range of 10–20% in the Western sub-regions and in the Levantine basin during the whole year. A moderate spring maximum could be still detected, coinciding with the seasonal blooms normally described for this phytoplankton group along the Mediterranean (Marty and Chiavérini, 2002). As suggested by the wavelet analyses, the annual cycle for diatoms is rather less robust compared to other groups (Figure 11). These findings agree with previous studies, where higher...
FIGURE 10 | Box plot for monthly climatology for each group in Mediterranean sub-regions. Each row represents each phytoplankton group (nanoeukaryotes, Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus, and diatoms) and each column corresponds to the same sub-region (Alboran Sea, Ligurian Sea, Northern Adriatic Sea, and Levantine Basin). In the box plot figure red lines stand for the median, blue box spans from the first to the second quartiles, and black lines represent 5th and 95th percentiles, respectively, of monthly climatology for each group.

FIGURE 11 | Continuous wavelet power spectrum for the 10-day time series for each group and four sub-regions. Each row represents each phytoplankton group (nanoeukaryotes, Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus, and diatoms) and each column corresponds to the same sub-region (Alboran Sea, Ligurian Sea, Northern Adriatic Sea, and Levantine Basin). Red line indicates the region of time and frequency affected by the edges of the data and should not be considered.
Phytoplankton biomass, particularly diatoms, was found in the Adriatic Sea (Socol et al., 1999; Casotti et al., 2003). This group represented an important fraction (14%) of phytoplankton only in winter (Socol et al., 1999). Nevertheless, the contribution of picoplankton typically exceeds that of microplankton most of the year except during the winter-spring bloom (Uitz et al., 2012).

According to our assessment using the new OC-CCI database, the most abundant phytoplankton group during the winter months in the Mediterranean Sea was the nanoeukaryotes, but particularly in the sub-regions of the Western Mediterranean, the Alboran and the Ligurian Seas. Regardless of a specific area, the convective mixing in winter over the basin that uplifts deep nutrients to the upper layer triggers the proliferation of the bigger phytoplankton groups (Marty and Chiavérini, 2010), which is evidenced here by the major presence of nanoeukaryotes in winter months (Figures 5, 10). Our data also reproduce the basin-wide diatom peaks of abundance in spring (Figure 9), following the Mediterranean phytoplankton succession previously reported (Marty et al., 2002) and with a consistent pattern every year (Figures 9–11). It should be indicated, however, that our approach presents certain limitations in diatoms detection, as acknowledged by Navarro et al. (2014). Hence, it is likely that diatoms abundance may have been slightly underestimated across the basin although studies on extensive distribution of this group along the Mediterranean are scarce to allow for an accurate comparison. Conversely, Synechococcus and Prochlorococcus, which are more favored by stratification conditions during summer due to their better efficiency under nutrient depleted conditions, were successfully identified both at the basin (Figure 5) and sub-regional scales (Figure 10). Moreover, the well-known dominance of Synechococcus with respect to Prochlorococcus (Schauer et al., 2003), particularly in the ultraoligotrophic Eastern basin, was neatly reproduced in our study.

Overall, the spatio-temporal patterns obtained by applying the PHYSAT-Med to the satellite OC-CCI database are consistent with the previous distributions of the major phytoplankton groups observed in the Mediterranean Sea (Vidussi et al., 2000, 2001; Marty and Chiavérini, 2002, 2010; Marty et al., 2002; Siokou-Frangou et al., 2010; Navarro et al., 2014; Sammartino et al., 2015; Di Cicco et al., 2017). These results suggest that our approach is highly suitable at basin scale and in selected sub-regions. This new dataset for PFT could be an efficient tool for recording and understanding the response of the marine ecosystem to human pressures and thus for detecting eutrophication in the Mediterranean Sea (Vantrepotte and Mélin, 2010; Colella et al., 2016).

**CONCLUSIONS**

This work presents an updated version of the PHYSAT-Med algorithm that has been specifically developed using the OC-CCI database. This ESA initiative aims at gathering ocean color measurements from four sensors since 1997. The distribution of the major phytoplankton groups in the Mediterranean basin during a 18 years period was consistent with the previous knowledge on the distribution patterns of phytoplankton in the basin. In addition, the modeled distributions are in concordance with the distribution of HPLC pigments analyzed in NW Mediterranean Sea for the whole temporal range. The utility of the updated approach was confirmed by the temporal analysis using the wavelet spectrum, which allowed for the identification of shifting patterns of periodicities across time for the dominant phytoplankton groups. Therefore, the new version of the PHYSAT-Med is appropriate for assessing the shifting spatio-temporal patterns of the most abundant phytoplankton groups in the Mediterranean Sea.
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