Visceral Leishmaniasis-HIV Coinfection as a Predictor of Increased Leishmania Transmission at the Village Level in Bihar, India
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Background: Visceral leishmaniasis (VL) is on the verge of being eliminated as a public health problem in the Indian subcontinent. Although Post-kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis (PKDL) is recognized as an important reservoir of transmission, we hypothesized that VL patients co-infected with Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) may also be important reservoirs of sustained leishmania transmission. We therefore investigated to what extent cases of PKDL or VL-HIV are associated with VL incidence at the village level in Bihar, India.

Methods: VL, VL-HIV, and PKDL case data from six districts within the highly VL-endemic state of Bihar, India were collected through the Kala-Azar Management Information System for the years 2014–2019. Multivariate analysis was done using negative binomial regression controlling for year as a fixed effect and block (subdistrict) as a random effect.

Findings: Presence of VL-HIV+ and PKDL cases were both associated with a more than twofold increase in VL incidence at village level, with Incidence Rate Ratios (IRR) of 2.16 (95% CI 1.81–2.58) and 2.37 (95% CI 2.01–2.81) for VL-HIV+ and PKDL cases respectively. A sensitivity analysis showed the strength of the association to be similar in each of the six included subdistricts.

Conclusions: These findings indicate the importance of VL-HIV+ patients as infectious reservoirs for Leishmania, and suggest that they represent a threat equivalent to PKDL patients towards the VL elimination initiative on the Indian subcontinent, therefore warranting a similar focus.
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INTRODUCTION

Visceral Leishmaniasis (VL) - also called kala-azar (KA) - is a potentially fatal parasitic disease. In the Indian subcontinent, the vast majority of cases is caused by Leishmania donovani, although also L. tropica has been isolated from VL cases in this region (Khanra et al., 2012; Thakur et al., 2018). Fifteen years after a Memorandum of Understanding was signed by the governments of India, Nepal and Bangladesh committing to its elimination as a public health problem, the goal is on the verge of being achieved. However, with the regional incidence of VL decreasing, understanding the role of potentially highly infectious subgroups in maintaining refractory Leishmania transmission is becoming increasingly important.

Post-kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis (PKDL) is a cutaneous sequel that follows VL in an estimated 5%-10% of treated cases in Asia, typically 1–3 years following completion of therapy (Zijlstra et al., 2003; Zijlstra et al., 2017). Because patients with PKDL usually do not have any symptoms other than painless skin lesions, a minority of these patients actively seek medical care, while the condition is often misdiagnosed as leprosy or vitiligo (Ramesh et al., 2015; Zijlstra et al., 2017). PKDL, however, is infectious towards the sand fly vector and, if left untreated, can remain symptomatic for several years (Das et al., 2014; Garapati et al., 2018). Following the near elimination of VL from the Indian subcontinent in the 1970s, PKDL was suspected to have been the interepidemic reservoir responsible for triggering a new VL outbreak years after the last VL case had been reported in West Bengal (Addy and Nandy, 1992). As such PKDL is considered a largely hidden but persistent reservoir of infection, and remains a major threat to the sustainability of the elimination initiative.

In Bihar, the most endemic state for VL in India, an estimated 2%-7% of VL cases are co-infected with HIV (Directorate National Vector Borne Disease Control Programme India, 2017; Mathur et al., 2006; Burza et al., 2014a), although this is most likely an underestimation of the true burden (Akuffo et al., 2018). Data from other settings in the Indian subcontinent are limited, in part due to a lack of routine testing of HIV among VL patients (Akuffo et al., 2018). VL patients co-infected with HIV have been shown to be highly infectious towards sand flies (Molina et al., 2003). HIV infection and leishmaniasis share an immunopathological pathway that enhances replication of both pathogens and accelerates the progression of both VL and HIV (Tremblay et al., 1996; Alvar et al., 2008; Mock et al., 2012). A concomitant HIV infection increases the risk of developing active VL by between 100 and 2,320 times (World Health Organization ; Lopez-Velez et al., 1998). Diagnosing VL in HIV-co-infected patients also poses a major challenge, as VL symptoms are less typical and existing diagnostic tools less accurate (Singh, 2014). In addition, VL-HIV+ patients experience a lower therapeutic success rate for VL, experience greater drug related toxicity and relapse more frequently than patients not infected with HIV (Alvar et al., 2008; Cota et al., 2011; Burza et al., 2014b). With each new episode of VL becoming increasingly difficult to treat (van Griensven et al., 2014), these patients are likely to remain infectious Leishmania reservoirs for prolonged periods of time. However, their exact contribution to transmission of VL has yet to be determined.

We hypothesized that with the overall decrease in VL cases reported in the Indian subcontinent, PKDL and VL-HIV+ cases act as important reservoirs of Leishmania transmission. We therefore investigated to what extent the presence of patients with PKDL or VL-HIV is associated with VL incidence at the village level in Bihar, India.

METHODS

Study Site

The state of Bihar is located in northern India and is characterized by a very dense population of approximately 120 million, of which over a third live below the poverty line (The World Bank, 2016). In 2019, Bihar reported 77% of all VL cases in India (National Vector Borne Disease Control Programme I). At the same time it carries the second highest number of new HIV infections in the country, and is one of the seven Indian states where reported AIDS related deaths continue to rise (National AIDS Control Organization, India). Estimates of the proportion of reported VL patients co-infected with HIV in Bihar state are between 2% and 7%, although in districts where reliable HIV screening was present up to 20% of adults diagnosed with VL were HIV positive (Directorate National Vector Borne Disease Control Programme India, 2017; Akuffo et al., 2018). Six districts within Bihar state were selected for this study on the basis of having the highest VL burden and being geographically contiguous; Darbhanga, Muzaffarpur, Samastipur, Saran, Siwan, and Vaishali (Figure 1).

Data Sources

 Routinely collected program data – including the date of diagnosis and the village of residence - on incident VL, PKDL, and VL-HIV coinfected cases between January 2014 and December 2019 were collected for the six selected districts. These data were retrieved through the Kala-azar Management Information System (KAMIS) – an online reporting tool centralizing case reports of leishmaniasis from all VL endemic states within India - with permission of the National Vector Borne Disease Control Program (NVBDCP), India. Information on causative Leishmania species was not available for consideration in the analysis. The national 2011 population census was used as a basis for the population size per village, and adapted to a 2.5% population growth per year. A village level spatial GIS dataset for these districts was made available through the KalaCORE consortium.

Data Cleaning

The initial database included data on 7,886 patients. Double entries – identified based on date of diagnosis, sex, age, and place of residence - were removed from the database, as were patients for whom the village of residence could not be matched with any of the villages available through the census dataset. VL patients
for whom no HIV test result was recorded (n = 2,287) were assumed to be HIV negative. 2,357 villages with less than 1,000 inhabitants were attributed to the nearest larger village, using the 'distance matrix' module in Quantum Geographical Information Systems (QGIS). One block (= subdistrict) with no reported cases of VL during the study period was considered to be non-endemic for leishmaniasis and was therefore excluded from the analysis. None of the villages (agglomerates) with a population > 50,000 (n = 9) reported any VL cases; they were therefore excluded from further analysis.

Statistical Analysis
Data analysis was performed with Stata 14 [StataCorp, Texas, USA]. In a first step we assessed whether VL incidence in a village differed by the presence or absence of VL-HIV+ or PKDL cases. For this we calculated the mean VL incidence in villages with and without:

a. VL-HIV+ cases in the same year,

b. PKDL cases in the same year,

c. VL-HIV+ cases in the previous year, and

d. PKDL cases in the previous year, and compared both values using Kruskal-Wallis test (non-normal distribution).

In a next step, we assessed to what extent presence of VL-HIV+ or PKDL cases was associated with VL incidence at village level, by building a multivariate model using negative binomial regression. The VL-HIV+ cases themselves were excluded from the outcome variable (VL incidence). As PKDL can be both cause and effect of VL, we evaluated PKDL in the previous year as a potential predictor for VL incidence (instead of PKDL in the same year). Year of reporting and block (= subdistrict) were assessed as potential confounders, block as random effect and year as fixed effect.

Ethical Considerations
Ethical clearance for this study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of the Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium. The retrospective analysis of routinely collected programme data was supported and approved by the National Vector Borne Disease Control Programme (NVBDCP), Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, India.

RESULTS
Descriptive Statistics
A total of 7,497 cases were included in the analysis; 6,515 cases of VL, 397 cases of VL-HIV, and 585 cases of PKDL. 5.7% (397/6,909) of all VL cases were co-infected with HIV at the time of diagnosis. 37.8% (2,247/5,938) of the included villages reported ≥ 1 case of VL during the study period; while 5.4% (322/5,938) and 7.3% (434/5,938) of the villages reported ≥ 1 VL-HIV+ case and ≥ 1 PKDL case respectively. Exact distribution of VL, VL-HIV+, and PKDL cases per year and per district is graphically represented in Figure 2; underlying numbers are available in S1 Table and S2 Table.

Comparison of VL Incidence at Village Level
The overall mean annual VL incidence of all villages between 2014 and 2019 was 0.59/10,000 population per year. The mean annual VL incidence rate was significantly higher in villages with
VL-HIV+ or PKDL cases present in the same or the previous year (Table 1). Cumulative incidence per year and per district can be found in S3 Table and S4 Table.

Developing the Negative Binomial Model
In the bivariate (unadjusted) analysis, both presence of VL-HIV in the same year and presence of PKDL in the previous year were found to be strong predictors for VL incidence at the village level, with Incidence Rate Ratios (IRR) of 4.07 (95% CI 2.94–5.63) and 4.63 (95% CI 3.30–6.49) respectively. The year of reporting was identified as a confounder.

A multivariate model was then built, with VL incidence as outcome, and the presence of VL-HIV+ cases in the same year as well as presence of PKDL cases in the previous year as predictors, while controlling for year as a confounder and using block as a random effect to adjust for contextual confounding. The model was further explored by adding an interaction term for VL-HIV (same year)*PKDL(previous year), but no interaction was found to be present. Our final model can therefore be summarized as follows: VL incidence = VL-HIV (same year) + PKDL (previous year) + year + block(random effect). In summary, presence of VL-HIV in the same year and of PKDL in the previous year both remained strong predictors for VL incidence at village level, with an adjusted IRR of 2.16 (95% CI 1.81–2.58) and 2.37 (95% CI 2.01–2.81) respectively. Results of the bivariate (unadjusted) and multivariate (adjusted) negative binomial regression model can be found in Table 2.

Sensitivity Analysis
A sensitivity analysis was done fitting the final multivariate negative binomial model for each of the districts separately. As shown in Figure 3, this consistently showed an increased VL incidence in the presence of current VL-HIV+ cases or PKDL cases in the previous year in all districts, though for PKDL this difference was not significant in one district (underlying numbers and confidence intervals are detailed in S5 Table).

DISCUSSION
This study suggests that presence of either VL-HIV or PKDL is associated with a greater than twofold increase in VL incidence at village level (IRR 2.16 and 2.37 for VL-HIV and PKDL respectively). A similar effect of VL-HIV and PKDL was found in all six districts; though not statistically significant in all, demonstrating a consistent trend supports causality as an explanation. The use of PKDL in the previous year (in contrast to PKDL in the same year) as predictor for the outcome of VL, further reinforces causality as an explanation. Although the case for focus on PKDL identification and treatment is widely accepted as an important strategy in achieving sustainable elimination (Zijlstra et al., 2017), these findings indicate the importance of VL-HIV+ patients as infectious reservoirs for Leishmania, and that a lack of equivalent focus on this cohort may represent a similar level of threat to the VL elimination initiative in the Indian subcontinent.

This is the first study that attempts to quantify the impact of VL-HIV+ patients on population-level transmission within endemic areas. The main strength of this analysis is that our model takes into account the chronological hierarchy between VL and PKDL, excluding as a predictor the PKDL cases which might have developed in a VL case reported earlier in the same year, which would artificially have increased the association.

There are a number of limitations in this study. Routinely collected programme data will likely be an underestimation of the real disease burden, not only of VL but also of VL-HIV co-infections and PKDL, the latter two of which are difficult to identify. Despite active case finding and numerous information, education and communication (IEC) initiatives implemented as part of the elimination strategy, PKDL patients tend not to seek medical care unless for cosmetic reasons, as they do not feel...
unwell. Simultaneously, the recommendation for routine testing for HIV of all patients diagnosed with VL in India was only made in 2014, and has taken time to be implemented. Meanwhile, there is no routine screening for VL in patients with HIV living in VL endemic areas in India, despite national recommendations, primarily because of the lack of tools to enable this (Directorate National Vector Borne Disease Control Programme India, 2017). Information on the causative Leishmania species was not available for the included patients. Although the vast majority of VL patients are assumed to be caused by *L. donovani* on the Indian subcontinent, *L. tropica* has also been isolated from VL patients in the northeast of India (Khanra et al., 2012; Thakur et al., 2018). It is yet unknown to what extent potential presence of different causative *Leishmania* species in the included patients might have influenced our findings.

Additionally, in the analysis, VL patients for whom no HIV test result was recorded were assumed to be HIV negative. Zero reporting of results in health care surveillance systems remains uncommon, so although this assumption will most likely be correct for most of the patients, some VL cases may have been incorrectly considered as HIV negative, which might have led to either over- or underestimation of the association.

Several published xenodiagnosis studies - in which a vector was allowed to feed on a host in order to verify whether or not they get infected - have shown both PKDL and VL-HIV+ patients to be infectious toward the sand fly vector. A recent study from Bangladesh showed that PKDL (caused by *L. donovani*) is only slightly less infectious than VL, with 57% of PKDL patients infecting at least one sand fly compared to 67% of VL patients (Mondal et al., 2019). Through the same technique, patients with VL-HIV have also been shown to be highly infectious, with even asymptomatic cases infecting vectors, albeit with a different *Leishmania* species (*L. infantum*) and in a different context (Ferreira et al., 2018). However no such study on VL-HIV+ patients has been published in the Indian subcontinent.

Evidence on the relative contributions of PKDL and VL-HIV to the transmission of *Leishmania* is still evolving. While a 2016 longitudinal study from India reported no evidence of increased transmission in households with PKDL patients over an 18-month follow-up period compared to control households (Das et al., 2016), mathematical modeling suggests an increasingly important role for PKDL in the years to come (Le Rutte et al., 2019). VL-HIV+ cases on the other hand are traditionally not included in mathematical models, and the results of this study suggest that this be an important area of consideration for modelers. While the necessity to include PKDL in the elimination efforts has by now been widely accepted, this study suggests that the VL-HIV+ reservoir warrants an equivalent focus in operational strategies if sustainable elimination is to be achieved. The results of this study would *a-priori* also support the recent recommendation of the use of long lasting insecticidal nets in patients diagnosed with PKDL and VL-HIV from the 7th WHO-SEARO Regional Technical Advisory Group for Kala Azar.

There remain major gaps in the diagnosis and management of both PKDL and VL-HIV+ patients in the Indian subcontinent. PKDL remains for the most part a clinical diagnosis, with the potential for mis-identification and missed treatment remaining a major issue. Meanwhile, treatment of PKDL remains challenging, with a 12-week course of oral Miltefosine, a teratogenic oral drug with poor compliance and significant side effects, being the only currently recommended treatment (Pijpers et al., 2019). Meanwhile, there remains little evidence on the sensitivity or specificity of existing non-invasive tests for VL in HIV positive patients, and considering the case definition of VL remains the same for co-infected and immunocompetent patients, it is likely that a substantial number of co-infected patients are missed. In addition, management of co-infected patients remains fraught with challenges (Cota et al., 2013; Akuffo et al., 2018). New tools and strategies are urgently required to improve case detection and management of co-infected patients.

### TABLE 2 | Results of bivariate (crude) and multivariate (adjusted) analysis using negative binomial regression.

| Presence of VL-HIV in the same village in the same year | Presence of PKDL in the same village in the previous year | Interaction term VL-HIV*PKDL | Crude | Adjusted |
|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------|---------|
| No                                                     | Yes                                                    | NA                         | Ref.  | Ref.    |
| Presence of VL-HIV                                       | PKDL                                                   | 4.07 (2.94–6.53)           | <0.001| 2.16 (1.81–2.58) | <0.001 |
| Presence of PKDL                                         | VL-HIV                                                  | 4.63 (3.30–6.49)           | <0.001| 2.37 (2.01–2.81) | <0.001 |
| Interaction term VL-HIV*PKDL                             |                                                        | NA                         | 0.795 |         |

Incidence rate ratios (IRR) for VL are presented, including 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) and p-values. Statistically significant associations (p-values < 0.05) are highlighted in bold.
management of both entities to reduce their infectious potential towards sand flies. This will both improve the chances, and indeed capitalize on the substantial investment, of sustainable elimination in the subcontinent.
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