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Abstract

Summary: Sosiosanitario ESTOPMAPCA study, is a geriatric research program to establish the map of the first level of care in these patients, in order to propose strategies to improve the efficiency and quality of care.

Objectives: 1) To assist decision making at all levels: prevention, diagnosis, treatment and monitoring, 2) To facilitate clinical reasoning, 3) Develop a reasoned guide, to avoid problems of RAM, especially in patients with postmenopausal osteoporosis (OPM) pluripatológicas and polymedicated.

Methodology: Descriptive, transversal, through structured questionnaire, conducted in a single day, through structured and validated based on data from the last query from a patient with (OPM) questionnaire study. AP Canary field. sampling error of ± 4.4% and a confidence level of 95.5%, assuming p=q=50%.

Results: 156 responded to the survey care physicians (13.39%), each doctor sees an average of 36.8 days patients, 4 of 10 OPM diagnostic x-rays, and 30% referred to a specialist MF, 3 of 10 OPM respond associate with arthrosis diagnosis and Lumbargia in 8 out of 10 drug treatment performed (Alendronate and calcium), 8-9 in 10 MF recommend dietary measures and regular exercise. 35.6% believe that failure is 15-20%, 33.8% more than 7 patients take drugs, cost effectiveness is the selection criteria for treatment (79.8%).

Conclusions: The results obtained suggest the need to improve the knowledge and hone the skills of PHC physicians in patient care with OPM.

Introduction

Primary Health Care (PHC) is the first point of contact for patients with health care and is key for the suspicion of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women (OPM), as well as for their diagnostic and therapeutic approach and the establishment of strategies for the prevention of fracture risk. It is also responsible for the correct referral of patients to Specialized Care (AE) [1].

Osteoporosis is the most frequent bone metabolic disease, it represents a serious public health problem in the whole world and, in particular, in Spain. It has a high morbidity and mortality in public health, and on the quality of life that fractures expose together to a high consumption of human resources, economic, technical and health expenditure [2,3]. Osteoporosis is especially prevalent among postmenopausal women.

It is defined as a skeletal systemic disease characterized by low bone mass and by the deterioration of the microarchitecture of bone tissue with the consequent increase in bone fragility and susceptibility to fracture [4,5]. Shortly afterwards, a practical classification was established, based on the values of bone densitometry, and criteria for normality, osteopenia and osteoporosis were defined according to the variable T-score.

Hip and spine fractures are particularly associated with high morbidity, disability (often requiring chronic care) and mortality in this population.

It is estimated that there are more than 200 million patients with osteoporosis in the world. The prevalence of osteoporosis increases with age and population aging [4]. In Spain, it is estimated that osteoporosis affects 35% of women over 50 years of age, 52% of those who are over 70 years and 60% of those who are 80 or older. In 2002, osteoporosis affected some 3,700,000 people in Spain, of whom 2,700,000 were women. Probably the underdiagnosis exceeds 50% and it is possible that only ¼ part of the patients receive the appropriate treatment. In men, the estimated prevalence is 8% in people over 50
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years of age. Of these, only less than 30% of patients are diagnosed with osteoporosis, and less than 10% receive treatment [8].

When considering the epidemiology of osteoporosis, it is necessary to consider osteoporosis without fracture, which is diagnosed by bone densitometry (DXA) [9], and osteoporosis with fracture, that is, established osteoporosis. Among the various technologies available, the DXA technique is the optimal procedure to estimate the risk of fracture (evidence 1A).

The emergence of the FRAX tool [10-12] and the better knowledge of osteoporosis and the different treatments, means that we must rethink whether they persist or modify the indications of whom to treat, for how long, with what drug, etc [2].

The EVOS (European Vertebral Osteoporosis Survival) study shows that the prevalence of vertebral fracture increases progressively with age until it reaches 25% or more after 75 years of age [13]. The AFEO Study (Act of Osteoporotic Fracture in Spain, 2003) indicates an incidence of 7.2 hip fractures per-1,000 people over 60 years [14], the incidence in the over 75 years is 4 times higher. In relation to radio fractures, the incidence is 6.6 per-1,000 people over 60, of which more than 2/3 are women.

Therefore, it can be said that postmenopausal osteoporosis is a disease of great clinical relevance, which increases with longevity and sedentary lifestyle and is complicated by fractures that are located mainly in the hip spine, and forearms [15], which in some cases, it requires long hospital stays [16], sometimes longer than other serious diseases, such as diabetes, cardiovascular diseases.

Moderate exercise is one of the most recommended universal measures, at least 30 minutes, 5 days a week, along with an adequate diet [17]. Smoking is a risk factor, which is associated with loss of bone mass16, and which, therefore, must be eliminated.

Both calcium and vitamin D in people older than 63 years reduce the risk of suffering fractures of this type, so the joint supplement of the diet is recommended with the total daily intake of 1.2 g/day of calcium and 800 Vitamin D16 UI.

Bolland et al. [18], in a meta-analysis conducted in 2011, studied the effect of personal calcium supplement on cardiovascular risk in women, with and without vitamin D supplementation. This type of treatment moderately increases the risk of cardiovascular accidents, especially the acute myocardial infarction.

An important problem in these patients with osteoporosis is the adherence to treatment with a high percentage of dropouts during the first year. The consequenti Principio del formularioist most notable is the lack of therapeutic response [19].

The treatments can be non-pharmacological and/or pharmacological, which in general have few side effects that are considered serious, with bisphosphonates being considered the first choice in our environment. For this reason, the number of patients treated with a drug for osteoporosis has increased in recent years [9].

For the design and approach of the study, the most relevant works published in scientific journals were taken into account (original articles - individual clinical studies and meta-analysis and review) as the most important Clinical Practice Guidelines (CPG) and other documents of interest such as the Clinical Practice Guideline of the Spanish Society of Bone Research and Mineral Metabolism (SEIOMM) (2015) [9], the Consensus of the Spanish Society of Rheumatology (SER) (2011) [20], the Guide of Good Clinical Practice sponsored by the Collegial Medical Organization (OMC) [8] and the Ministry of Health, Social Policy and Equality (MSPSI) (2011), the Guide to Clinical Practice developed by this last organization (2010), SECOT 2010 Guide on Osteoporosis of the SIGN [22], The review of the NOF [23], AACE 2010 [24], NAMS 2010 [25], NICE 2011 [26].

The objectives of this study are

- To determine the day-to-day of primary care for patients with postmenopausal osteoporosis in the Canary Islands. To help decision-making at all levels: prevention, diagnosis, treatment and follow-up.
- To facilitate clinical reasoning.
- Prepare a reasoned guide that avoids AMR problems, especially in patients with polypathic and polymedicated OPM.

Methodology

Study in a multicentric, autonomic, observational, cross-sectional population, using a structured questionnaire, based on the data of the last consultation made by the family doctor surveyed and whose reason for consultation was postmenopausal osteoporosis (OPM), in the field of Primary Health Care of the Canary Islands.

OPM has an estimated prevalence in Spain for 1 out of every 3 women over 50, which means a universe of about 2,700,000 women. In our Autonomous Community there are 354,150 women over 50 years of age according to ISTAC data as of December 2012. The number of Family Physicians practicing in APS in our community is 1172 physicians with the following distribution by health area [Gran Canaria 483; Fuerteventura 54; Lanzarote 79; Tenerife 482; La Palma 49; Gomera 17, Hierro 8], with 30 to 40 daily consultations each doctor.

The average estimate of patients who consult OPM daily for each doctor in Primary Health Care Centers (CAPS) is 1 to 3.

On the other hand, given that family doctors in the CAPS attend a woman with OPM daily, a priori, there must be a good memory from the doctor in relation to the last consultation made by a patient, given the immediacy of the case, and a low memory bias.

The expected response rate in this type of study is 15-20%, so the initial calculation was estimated in the proportional distribution of a total of 1172 questionnaires among as many family doctors, with a minimum of 176 valid questionnaires (sample error of ± 4.4% and a confidence level of 95.5%, assuming p=q=50%).

After the probation by the CEIm The anonymous questionnaire was sent to the addresses of areas and Management of PA, with six groups of questions and 23 total questions one for each doctor, requesting that they be distributed to all the health centers of the Autonomous Community of the Canary Islands, the data collection was done throughout the Canary archipelago on June 5, 2016. The answers were returned to the authors from the health centers.

Data coding was carried out with the SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Science) v 20.0 program with the appropriate licenses.

For the study of qualitative variables, these are described with the frequency distribution, and for the comparison of qualitative variables, the Chi-square test is used.
Results

General aspect

Of the 1171 GPs invited to participate in the study, a total of 156 responded to the questionnaire, representing 13.32% of the total sample, 93 of the questionnaires came from the province of Las Palmas and 61 from the province of Tenerife, no response was received from the islands of La Gomera, Hierro, Lanzarote.

The distribution of physicians by sex was similar between 50% males and 49.4% females, with an average age of 52.22 years (95% CI 50.8-53.64 SD 8.63), the mean number of years of exercise was 24.06 (95% CI 22.65-25.48 SD 8.91) (Figure 1). Majority with urban exercise (67.8%), each physician attended on average 36.8 patients (95% CI 35.35 -38.36 DS 9.65) a total of 5,521 patients of which 1,752 (31.73%), were administrative issues (Low, renewal of PTU...) 25.3 (68.27%) consultations were by process clinical Each doctor responds to see 1.52 patients with OPM (95% CI 1.20-1.85), 6.4% of the patients were pensioners. Table 1, shows the characteristics of patients with OPS, attended on the day of the study.

Reason for consultation

Only 12.2% (19) patients were new diagnoses (DX), in 84.2% of the cases a detrometry was performed, to the rest of the patients their DX was performed through X-rays 90 (57.7%), FRAX 36 (23.1%), Biochemical Markers 12 (7.7%), 39.1% of the Dx patients had been referred to the second level of care, and 23.7% of the cases did not answer this question. patients (70) referred to specialized care, 17.9% went to the rheumatologist, 12.2% to a traumatologist, 7.1% to gynecology, and to the bone metabolic unit (BMU), 6.4%.

In the case of not being a new DX, the Treatment was established by the family doctor in 39.7%, and in 45.5% by a specialist, 96 physicians answered affirmatively that patients with OPM have other diagnoses. Figure 2 shows the associated diagnoses that most frequently present patients with OPM in this study, when asked if they remembered if the last patient with OPM had risk of fracture 70.5% (110) of the doctors answered affirmatively (Figure 3). The risks associated with the appearance of fractures, which suffered from the study patients, are related.

Comorbidities

The doctors responded that the patients presented other comorbidities such as osteoarthritis in 45.5% (71) of the Responses; Dorsalgias in 32.7% (51) of the patients with OPM, and 9.0% (14) Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA). In addition, patients presented other underlying pathologies such as: Depression in 23.1% of patients, Anxiety in 34.6%, Dementia in 6.4%, HBP in 57.7%, Diabetes in 25.6%.
Figure 2. Diagnostics associated with OPM according to participating physicians

Figure 3. Factors associated with the risk of fractures in patients with OPM, who come to the office on the day of the study

% Obesity in 17.3% Recommendations on healthy living habits 92.5% (145) of the doctors participating in the study made recommendations on healthy lifestyles to their patients with OPM, regardless of the province in that the doctor exercises, Table 2 shows the valid response received Recommendations on pharmacological treatments: 84.6% of doctors (132), indicate pharmacological treatment with the following criteria eln 61, % Calcium supplement indicated and vitamin D; Hormone Replacement Therapy (HRT) in 1.3%; Alendronic Ac was prescribed in 37.2%, Risendronic Ac in 16.3%; Ibandronico at 7.1%; Raloxifene in 3.8%; Bezedoxifene 2.6%, others mainly denosumab 2.6.

In 51.9% of the cases, additional treatments were instituted against pain as an associated symptom; practically only in 15.2% of the patients, pharmacological treatments were instituted, aimed at reducing the risk of fracture, while 44.5% of the professionals recognize that these patients with OPM will be implanted/modified the treatment for their comorbidities, the day of the study. The degree of polymedication (considering this as taking more than 5 drugs, including OPM treatments) in our study stood at 56.2% of the surveys that were considered valid.

General criteria in the management and follow-up of the OPMEn regarding the degree of non-compliance by patients with OPM, only 20% of the interviewees considered that this was less than 10%, being between 20-40% non-compliance for the Most of the respondents, 66.8% of professionals usually take into account the costs derived from the introduction of pharmacological treatments, with the cost-effectiveness parameter being the majority criterion (80%) used by the participating physicians. When selecting a treatment, the price has a secondary value. As to what criterion the professional has in mind when dealing with the management of OPM, it varies depending on whether or not it is a center where the practitioner develops his professional activity, such that the CPGs are used more in non-teaching centers, Table 3.

Discussion

Osteoporosis is the most frequent bone metabolic disease and represents a serious public health problem worldwide [9,21,27] and, in particular, in Spain [9], osteoporosis is an asymptomatic disease difficult to diagnose in the absence of a fracture.

The objective of this study was to know the characteristics of primary care for patients with postmenopausal osteoporosis by family physicians, which allows defining the diagnostic, preventive and therapeutic, pharmacological and non-pharmacological profile, and, starting from Here, establish criteria to design a better allocation of resources, improving efficiency.
Table 2. Response given by professionals in relation to giving recommendations on life habits, according to provinces

| Measures/Dietary Measures | Las Palmas | S/C Tenerife | Total |
|---------------------------|------------|--------------|-------|
| Calories Dieteticas       | 127        |              |       |
| % dento de Provincia      | 82.20%     | 86.90%       |       |
| Physical activity         | 155        |              |       |
| % dento de Provincia      | 87.80%     | 91.80%       |       |
| Prevention of toxic habits| 78         |              |       |
| % dento de Provincia      | 46.70%     | 59.00%       |       |
| Promotion of healthy habits| 101        |              |       |
| % dento de Provincia      | 67.80%     | 65.60%       |       |
| Treatment of the disease? | 130        |              |       |
| % dento de Provincia      | 86.70%     | 85.20%       |       |
| Supplement of calcium and vitamin D | 96 | |       |
| % dento de Provincia      | 62.20%     | 65.60%       |       |
| Total                     | 151        |              |       |

The percentages and totals are based on the respondents.

Table 3. Criteria followed by the professionals when dealing with the management of the OPM, according to the teaching or non-teaching professional practice center

| Criteria                          | Docente | No docente | Total |
|----------------------------------|---------|------------|-------|
| Practitioner's Guidelines        | 38      | 49         | 87    |
| % dento de Provincia             | 71.70%  | 80.30%     |       |
| Protocols of Action              | 25      | 24         | 49    |
| % dento de Provincia             | 47.20%  | 39.30%     |       |
| Consensus/Documentary Statements| 17      | 18         | 35    |
| % dento de Provincia             | 32.10%  | 29.50%     |       |
| Recommendations of the Specialist| 16      | 17         | 33    |
| % dento de Provincia             | 30.20%  | 27.90%     |       |
| Recommendations of the Pharmacist| 1       | 2          | 3     |
| % dento de Provincia             | 1.90%   | 3.30%      |       |
| Total                            | 53      | 61         | 114   |

The percentages and totals are based on the respondents.

Our work presents some limitations, such as the design itself, since it is exposed to professionals wanting to respond, although it was done in a single day, there may be a memory bias on the part of the professionals, on the other hand, although the rate of response was low, it can be considered within the expected (10-20% of responses) not all questions were answered by all professionals. Recently Higuera et al.28 published a work done in the Canary Islands with participation rates higher than ours (28.6%).

Several studies give somewhat higher figures than ours in the relationship between administrative tasks and clinical care work, between 30 and 40% of the professional's work time is dedicated to purely bureaucratic tasks [29], often induced by other levels of care or by Other State, regional or local administrations On the other hand, postmenopausal osteoporosis has been associated with a high degree of underdiagnosis (up to 50%).

In the DAPME Study [30], data are very close to those collected here, with figures showing 6% of patients with osteoporosis as a base disease in elderly people attended by the geriatrician or by the Primary Care physician and 4.2% as an associated disease.

The average age of the patients attended by OPM in APS is 67 years, although there is a great variability, having included in the study young patients, in the age group of 30 to 40 years. The majority of patients are in the range of 55-96 years. Age older than 65 years is considered a risk factor (RR>2) of fragility fracture [14], so that a large number of patients treated have a high risk of fracture.

The relationship between low BMI and the risk of osteoporotic fracture is well studied. A BMI of 20 Kg/m² doubles the risk of osteoporotic fracture twice compared to patients with a BMI of 25 Kg/m² [14,27,31,32].

One in eight patients included in the study are new diagnoses. This data is somewhat larger than expected, and could be subject to a recall bias on the part of the doctor, that is, the participant could better remember the new case by calling more attention or having left more imprint than others already known.

The reference test for the diagnosis and evolutionary control of osteoporosis is the densitometry performed on the central skeleton (spine and hip) [9]. In 90% of the cases of new diagnosis of our study, bone densitometry was performed.

In our study, physicians performed OPM Dx radiologically, several authors have shown that radiological studies should not be used for the diagnosis of osteoporosis20. In cases of osteopenia, its sensitivity and specificity are not all that is desirable, since it varies according to different physical parameters as well as the subjective opinion and experience of the interpreter, since there must be a loss of 20-40% in bone mass for osteopenia to be detected radiologically [9].

Osteoarthritis and low back pain, followed by back pain and rheumatoid arthritis, are the main associated comorbidities of the locomotor system to OPM, while the main causes of comorbidity that do not affect the locomotor system are hypertension, depression, anxiety and diabetes. There is a description of the association of depression...
with bone loss and osteoporotic fractures due to specific endocrine and immune mechanisms [33].

It is recommended to perform physical exercise [1,9] day for 30 minutes, at least, 3 times a week. The doctors in our study recommend it regularly, while eliminating tobacco and alcohol consumption.

Our study coincides with that published by Carbonell et al. [34] and Higueras et al. [28] in the sense that patients receiving bisphosphonate treatments receive a pharmacological supplement with calcium and vitamin D, although there is a high number of patients who are not prescribed, also We observe that in many cases it is the PC physicians who initiate the treatments, based on the data that exists in the clinical history, similar to that published by De Felipe et al. [7].

Pharmacological treatment should be based on the individual assessment of the patient, according to their risk of fracture, taking into account the bone mass, other risk factors for osteoporosis and fracture, therapeutic compliance, life expectancy and the opinion of the patient [35].

The main sources of evidence used by the physicians of APS who have participated in the study are the Clinical Practice Guidelines in three out of four cases, followed by the Action Protocols, Specialist Recommendations and Consensuses of Specific Societies. More than three out of four doctors prefer the cost-effectiveness and not the price or cost of day treatment as a parameter to evaluate the cost of OPM treatment.

The main sources of evidence used by PHC physicians who have participated in the study are the Clinical Practice Guidelines in three of each four cases, followed by the Action Protocols, the Recommendations of the Specialist and the Consensus and Documents of S scientific societies.

Conclusions

There is a high rate of therapeutic noncompliance, which makes the lack of therapeutic adherence in one of the three vertices.

In the light of the results obtained, the need arises to perfect the knowledge and improve the skills of physicians. PHC in the care of patients with OPM, through specific information and training programs based on diagnostic, therapeutic and follow-up decision algorithms, based on scientific evidence and the handling of specific clinical cases, given the high comorbidity of these patients and, therefore, the need to approach the patient and not the disease.
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