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Abstract
We perform canonical quantization of the WZW model with defects and permutation branes. We establish symplectomorphism between phase space of WZW model with $N$ defects on cylinder and phase space of Chern-Simons theory on annulus times $R$ with $N$ Wilson lines, and between phase space of WZW model with $N$ defects on strip and Chern-Simons theory on disc times $R$ with $N+2$ Wilson lines. We obtained also symplectomorphism between phase space of the $N$-fold product of the WZW model with boundary conditions specified by permutation branes, and phase space of Chern-Simons theory on sphere with $N$ holes and two Wilson lines.
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1 Introduction

In this paper we study WZW model with defect on a world-sheet with and without boundary. The main result of this paper is proof of symplectomorphism between the phase space of the WZW model with defects on strip or cylinder and moduli space of flat connections on disc or annulus respectively with sources.

To explain our results we start by reviewing Chern-Simons (CS) gauge theory with compact gauge group $G$ on three-dimensional manifold of the form $S^2_{n,m} \times \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{R}$ is time direction, and $S^2_{n,m}$ is two-dimensional sphere $S^2$ with $m$ holes, and $n$ time-like Wilson lines $[5,25]$. Later we will say often briefly CS theory on $S^2_{n,m}$ suppressing “times $\mathbb{R}$”.

It was conjectured in [5] that Hilbert space of quantized Chern-Simons theory on $S^2_{n,m} \times \mathbb{R}$, were $n$ time-like Wilson lines assigned with representations $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ must be of the form

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m} V_{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m} \otimes H_{\tau_1} \otimes \ldots H_{\tau_m}$$

where $H_{\tau_i}$ are the representation spaces of $\hat{LG}$ corresponding to the highest weights $\tau_i$, and $V_{\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_l}$ is the Hilbert space corresponding to quantizing of Chern-Simons theory on sphere $S^2$ with $l$ Wilson lines assigned with representations $\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_l$. The latter is space of conformal blocks of the WZW model with group $G$ with dimension

$$\dim V_{\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_l} = \sum_{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{l-3}} N_{\kappa_1 \kappa_2}^{\mu_1 \mu_2} N_{\mu_1 \mu_3}^{\kappa_3} \cdots N_{\mu_{l-3} \mu_{l-2}}^{\kappa_{l-1}}$$

where $N_{\nu \mu}$ are fusion coefficients of the WZW model with group $G$.

Let us now compare formulas (1) and (2) with different partition functions of WZW model. The diagonal torus partition function of RCFT is

$$Z = \sum_i \chi_i(q) \bar{\chi}_i(q), \quad q = \exp(2i\pi \tau)$$

Comparing it to (1) and (2) we see that it corresponds to Hilbert space of CS theory on annulus. This observation was made in [5]. Using the Lagrangian of the WZW model [24], it was proved in [4,7,13] that classical symplectic phase spaces of the WZW model on circle indeed coincides with symplectic phase space of the CS theory on annulus.
The annulus partition function between Cardy states corresponding to primaries \(a\) and \(b\) is

\[
Z_{ab} = \text{Tr}_{H_{ab}} \left( \hat{q}^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}} \right) = \sum_{i} N_{ab}^{a} \chi_{i}(q)
\]

Comparing it to (1) and (2) we see that it corresponds to Hilbert space of CS theory on a disc with two Wilson lines. Using the Lagrangian formulation of the WZW model on a world-sheet with boundary suggested in [3, 14, 17], it was proved in [15] that classical symplectic phase space of the WZW model on a strip coincides with symplectic phase space of CS theory on a disc with two Wilson lines.

Now we will show that inclusion of defects [10, 16, 18, 19] and permutation branes [8, 9, 12, 21, 22] allows to generalize these results to include also the following three situations:

1. CS theory on annulus with arbitrary number of Wilson lines,
2. CS theory on disc with arbitrary number of Wilson lines,
3. CS theory on sphere with two Wilson lines and arbitrary number of holes.

The torus partition function with insertion of a defect \(X_a\) corresponding to primary \(a\) is given by formula:

\[
Z_a = \text{Tr} \left( X_{a} \hat{q}^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}} \hat{\bar{q}}^{\bar{L}_0 - \frac{c}{24}} \right) = \sum_{i, \bar{i}} N_{a}^{a} \chi_{i}(q) \chi_{\bar{i}}(\bar{q})
\]

The comparison of (5) with formulas (1) and (2) reveals that Hilbert space of WZW model with one defect coincides with Hilbert space of Chern-Simons theory on annulus with one Wilson line. Using defect fusion rule

\[
X_{a}X_{b} = \sum_{c} N_{ab}^{c}X_{c}
\]

the formula (5) can be generalized to the insertion of \(N\) defects: the torus partition function with insertion of \(N\) defects corresponding to primaries \(a_i\) is

\[
Z_{a_1 \ldots a_N} = \sum_{i, \bar{i}} \text{dim}V_{a_1 \ldots a_N, i, \bar{i}} \chi_{i}(q) \chi_{\bar{i}}(\bar{q})
\]

implying that Hilbert space of WZW model with \(N\) defects coincides with Hilbert space of Chern-Simons theory on annulus with \(N\) Wilson lines.
Using the fact that defects can be fused with boundary states producing new boundary states

\[ X_a |b\rangle = \sum_d N^d_{ab} |d\rangle \quad (8) \]

one can compute the annulus partition function between Cardy states corresponding to primaries \(a\) and \(b\) with insertion of a defect corresponding to primary \(c\):

\[ Z_{ab,c} = \text{Tr}_{H_{ab}} (X_c \tilde{q}^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}}) = \sum_{d,i} N_{bc}^d N_{id}^a \chi_i(q) \quad (9) \]

Comparison with (1) and (2) shows that Hilbert space of WZW model on annulus with defect coincides with Hilbert space of Chern-Simons theory on disc with three Wilson lines. This result can be generalized to the insertion of any number \(N\) of defects as well: the annulus partition function between Cardy states corresponding to primaries \(a\) and \(b\) with insertion of \(N\) defects corresponding to primaries \(d_i\) is

\[ Z_{ab,d_1...d_N} = \sum_i \text{dim} V_{ab,d_1...d_N,i} \chi_i(q) \quad (10) \]

It corresponds to Chern-Simons theory on disc with \(N + 2\) Wilson lines.

The annulus partition function between two permutation branes on two-fold product of the WZW models, corresponding to single copy primaries \(a_1\) and \(a_2\) is

\[ Z_{a_1,a_2} = \sum_{r,k,l} N_{a_1}^{r_2} N_{k}^{r_1} \chi_k(q) \chi_l(q) \quad (11) \]

Partition function (11) corresponds to CS theory on annulus with two Wilson lines. Again (11) can be generalized for permutations branes on \(N\)-fold product: the annulus partition function between two permutation branes corresponding to single copy primaries \(a_1\) and \(a_2\) on \(N\)-fold product is

\[ Z_{a_1,a_2} = \sum_{i_1,...,i_N} \text{dim} V_{a_1,a_2,i_1,...,i_N} \chi_{i_1}(q) \ldots \chi_{i_N}(q) \quad (12) \]

Partition function (12) corresponds to CS theory on sphere with \(N\) holes and two Wilson lines.

Given these results it is natural to assume that classical symplectic phase spaces of the WZW model with defects, suggested in [11] and with permutation branes suggested in [22] should coincide with simplectic phase space of the CS theory in the mentioned situations as well. In this paper we prove that it is the case.
The paper is organized in the following way. In the section 2 we review symplectic form on moduli space of flat connections on $S^2_{m,n}$. In section three we review symplectic phase space of WZW model on a cylinder. In section four we review symplectic phase space of WZW model on a strip. After all these preparations in section five we present symplectic phase space of WZW models on cylinder and strip with $N$ defects and show that they have the same structure and symplectic form as symplectic moduli space of flat connections on annulus with $N$ sources and disc with $N + 2$ sources respectively. In the last section we show that symplectic phase space of the $N$-fold product of WZW models on strip with boundary conditions specified by permutation branes coincides with symplectic moduli space of flat connections on sphere with $N$ holes and with two sources.

2 Moduli space of flat connections on $S^2_m$

Here we present details on symplectic form on moduli space of flat connections on sphere $S^2_{n,m}$ with $n$ Wilson line and $m$ holes.

Let us at the beginning recall some essential points on the CS theory with Wilson lines.

It was shown in [5, 25] that phase space of CS theory on manifold of form $M \times \mathbb{R}$, where $M$ is two-dimensional Riemann surface, $\mathbb{R}$ is time direction, with $n$ time-like Wilsonian lines assigned with representations $\lambda_i$, is moduli space $\mathcal{P}_{S^2_{n,m}}$ of connections $A$ on $M$ satisfying the equation:

$$\frac{k}{2\pi} F(z) + i \sum_{i=1}^n T_i \delta(z - z_i) = 0$$

(13)

where $F = dA + A^2$ and $z_i$ are points where Wilson lines hit $M$. $T_i$ are conjugacy classes in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$

$$T_i = v_i \lambda_i v_i^{-1}, \quad v_i \in G$$

(14)

where $\lambda_i$ take values in the Cartan subalgebra. Recall also the following remark [5, 15]. The symplectic form on moduli space of flat connections on sphere with $n$ sources and $m$ holes can be decomposed as sum of symplectic forms on moduli space of flat connections on sphere $S^2_{n+m,0}$ with $n + m$ sources and $m$ copies of the symplectic form on moduli space of flat connections on the two-dimensional
disc with one source $D_1$:

$$\Omega_{S^2_{n,m}} = \Omega_{S^2_{n+0,0}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \Omega_{D_i} \quad (15)$$

Decomposition (15) implies that to write symplectic form on $P_{S^2_{n,m}}$ it is enough to know symplectic form on $P_{S^2_{n,0}}$ and $P_{D_i}$.

The symplectic form on the moduli space of flat connections on 2-dimensional manifold $M$ with $n$ sources is given by formula

$$\Omega = \frac{k}{4\pi} \text{tr} \int_M (\delta A)^2 + i \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{tr}(\lambda_i(v^{-1}\delta v_i)^2) \quad (16)$$

where $A$ satisfies (13). The $\delta$ denotes here exterior derivative on moduli space.

For the case of disc with one source (16) takes form:

$$\Omega_{D_1} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_D \text{tr}(\delta A)^2 + i \text{tr}(\lambda(v^{-1}\delta v)) \quad (17)$$

and solution of (13) is:

$$A = -\frac{i}{k} \eta \lambda \eta^{-1} d\phi - d\eta \eta^{-1} \quad (18)$$

where $\phi$ is angular coordinate on the disc, $\eta \in G$ is single-valued on the disc and $\eta(z_1) = v$.

To calculate (17) it was proved in [2] the following useful lemma: Suppose that

$$A = \eta B \eta^{-1} - d\eta \eta^{-1} \quad (19)$$

where $B$ is a gauge field and $\eta \in G$. Then

$$\omega = \text{tr} \int_D (\delta A)^2 \quad (20)$$

can be written as

$$\omega = \text{tr} \int_D \{(\delta B)^2 - 2\delta[F_B \eta^{-1} \delta \eta]\} + \text{tr} \int_{\partial D} \{\eta^{-1} \delta \eta d(\eta^{-1} \delta \eta) + 2\delta[B \eta^{-1} \delta \eta]\} \quad (21)$$

where $F_B = dB + B^2$. One can prove this lemma by straightforward calculation.

Using this lemma one can easily obtain

$$\Omega_{D_1} = \int_{\partial D} \frac{k}{4\pi} \text{tr}(\eta^{-1} \delta \eta) d(\eta^{-1} \delta \eta) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{tr}(i \lambda(\eta^{-1} \delta \eta)^2) d\phi \quad (22)$$
It is shown in [20] that geometrical quantization of the coadjoint orbits of $\hat{L}G$ with this form leads to the integrable representation $H_\lambda$ of the affine algebra $\hat{g}$ at level $k$. By this reason later we denote this form $\Omega^{\text{LG}}(\eta, \lambda)$.

To compute symplectic form on the sphere with sources it was suggested in [2] the following strategy. We choose a reference point $P_0$ on sphere and draw loops $l_i$ around each source point $z_i$ starting and ending at the chosen reference point $P_0$. After that we cut out a sphere along these loops. After this operation we have $n$ discs $D_i$ centered around sources $z_i$, and each of which having as boundary one of these loops $\partial D_i = -l_i$, and additionally disc $D_0$ whose boundary formed by the sum of all of them: $\partial D_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_i$.

Introducing local angular coordinate $\phi_i$ on discs $D_i$ around point $z_i$ one can locally write as before (18)

$$A_i = -\frac{i}{k} \eta_i \lambda_i \eta_i^{-1} d\phi_i - d\eta_i \eta_i^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

$$\Omega_i^\text{Disc} = \int_{l_i} \frac{k}{4\pi} \tr(\eta_i^{-1} \delta \eta_i) d(\eta_i^{-1} \delta \eta_i) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \tr(i \lambda_i (\eta_i^{-1} \delta \eta_i)^2) d\phi_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

The solution (23) implies that holonomy $M_i$ of flat connection around point $z_i$ takes value in conjugacy classes $C_i$:

$$M_i = \eta_i e^{2\pi i \lambda_i/k \eta_i^{-1}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

On disc $D_0$ there are no sources and one has usual flat connection

$$A_0 = -d\eta_0 \eta_0^{-1}, \quad \eta_0 \in G$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

The corresponding symplectic form again easily derived form the lemma above:

$$\Omega_0 = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{\partial D_0} \tr\{\eta_0^{-1} \delta \eta_0 d(\eta_0^{-1} \delta \eta_0)\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

Now for symplectic form one can write

$$\Omega_{S^2,0} = \Omega_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Omega_i =$$

$$\frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{l_i} \tr\{\eta_0^{-1} \delta \eta_0 d(\eta_0^{-1} \delta \eta_0) - \eta_i^{-1} \delta \eta_i d(\eta_i^{-1} \delta \eta_i) - \frac{2i}{k} \lambda_i (\eta_i^{-1} \delta \eta_i)^2 d\phi_i\}$$

The last thing which we should do is to match connection $A_0$ with connections $A_i$ along the boundaries of $D_0$ and $D_i$:

$$A_0|_{l_i} = A_i|_{l_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)
Equation (29) easily can be solved

$$\eta_0|_{i} = \eta_i|_{i} \exp\left(\frac{i}{k} \lambda_i \phi_i\right) N_i$$

where $N_i$ is constant. Denote values of $\eta_0$ at end points $p_{i-1}$ and $p_i$ of $l_i$ by

$$K_i = \eta_0(p_i) \quad K_{i-1} = \eta_0(p_{i-1})$$

Equation (30) implies that they satisfy

$$K_i K_{i-1}^{-1} = M_i$$

Remembering that $\eta_i$ is single-valued on the disc we have also

$$\eta_i(p_i) = \eta_i(p_{i-1})$$

Going around full boundary of $D_0$ implies

$$M_n \cdots M_1 = 1$$

Inserting (31) to (28) one obtains:

$$\Omega_{S^2_{n,0}} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{tr}[N_i^{-1} \delta N_i \eta_0^{-1} \delta \eta_0]|_{p_{i-1}} - \frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{tr}[\delta \eta_i \eta_i^{-1} \delta \eta_0 \eta_0^{-1}]|_{p_{i-1}}$$

Using (31), (33) and (32) finally we arrive to

$$\Omega_{S^2_{n,0}} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{\lambda_i}(M_i) + \frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{tr}(K_{i-1}^{-1} \delta K_{i-1} K_i^{-1} \delta K_i)$$

$$\omega_{\lambda_i}(M_i) = \text{tr}(\eta_i^{-1} \delta \eta_i e^{2\pi i \lambda_i/k} \eta_i^{-1} \delta \eta_i e^{-2\pi i \lambda_i/k})$$

One can solve (32) for $K_i$. Let us remark that without loss of generality one can choose $\eta_0$ in such a way that its value $K_0$ is equal to the unity element. After that the $K_i$ will be given by products of $M_i$:

$$K_i = M_i \cdots M_1$$

At this point we should note that the derivation above is carried out in the assumption that all holonomies $M_i$ taking values in conjugacy classes with fixed $\lambda_i$. Actually what happens that some of them indeed take values in the fixed conjugacy classes, but some of them rather should be considered as taking their
values in continuous families of conjugacy classes, which would be reduced to discrete families upon quantization. This can be also understood from the formula (34), requiring the product of all holonomies to be unity. It is clear from this formula, that one can solve for one of the holonomies, say $M_n$ in the term of product of others $M_n = M_1^{-1} \cdots M_{n-1}^{-1}$. But product of conjugacy classes is not a conjugacy class. Hence, we should decompose $M_n$ as continuous family of conjugacy classes. Given that after quantization we obtain space of conformal blocks, this consideration implies that the discrete family which will be derived after quantization is determined by fusion rules. Now we are ready to describe moduli space of flat connections on sphere with sources. Assume we have $k$ holonomies $M_i$ with fixed conjugacy classes, and $n-k$ $M_j$ with holonomies in continuous families. In this case

$$\mathcal{P}_{S^2_{n,0}} = (M_1, \ldots, M_k, \eta_{k+1}, \lambda_{k+1}, \ldots, \eta_n, \lambda_n)/G$$

with the relation (34) where $M_j = \eta_j e^{2\pi \lambda_j/k} \eta_j^{-1}, j = k+1, \ldots, n$. $G$ acts here by simultaneous adjoint action on $M_i, i = 1, \ldots, k$, and by left action on $\eta_j, j = k+1, \ldots, n$. This action is induced by the local gauge transformation of the gauge connections. The mentioned holonomies with continuous $\lambda$ will modify also obtained symplectic forms for disc and sphere. The symplectic form on moduli space of flat connections on a disc with one source (22) will take form

$$\Omega^{LG}(\eta, \lambda) = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{\partial D} \text{tr}(\eta^{-1} \delta \eta) d(\eta^{-1} \delta \eta) + \frac{2}{k} \text{tr}(i \lambda (\eta^{-1} \delta \eta)^2) d\phi - \frac{2}{k} \text{tr}(i \delta \lambda \eta^{-1} \delta \eta) d\phi$$

and the form (36) will be modified by the following term:

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \text{tr}(i \delta \lambda_j \eta_j^{-1} \delta \eta_j)$$

Let us briefly explain how quantization of the moduli space of flat connection on $S^2_{n,0}$ with form (36) leads to the space of conformal blocks considered in introduction. Another important result obtained in [2] is that by a change of variables symplectic form (36) can be written as sum of $\Omega^{PL}$ forms,

$$\Omega_{S^2_{n,0}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Omega^{PL}(M_i)$$

where

$$\Omega^{PL}(M) = \omega_\lambda(M) + L_+^{-1} \delta L_+ L_-^{-1} \delta L_-$$
$L_+$ and $L_-$ here are components of the Gauss decomposition $L_+L_- = M$. On the other side it is known that quantization with $\Omega^{PL}$ leads to the highest weight representations $\Upsilon_{q,\lambda}$ of the deformed enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(g)$ \cite{1,7,23}. Hence quantizing $P_{S^2_{n,0}}$ with the form $\Omega_n$ leads to the tensor product $\otimes_i \Upsilon_{q,\lambda_i}$. Gauge transformation of gauge connections give rise on the quantum level to the diagonal action of $\mathcal{U}_q(g)$ on $\otimes_i \Upsilon_{q,\lambda_i}$. Therefore, in the first approximation, we obtain the subspace of invariant tensors of that action. More precisely, the subspace of invariants may be equipped with a semipositive scalar product and one should divide by the subspace of null-vectors. The quotient spaces are isomorphic to the spaces of conformal blocks of the WZW theory.

Combining this with comment after formula (22) and decomposition (15) we obtain (1).

We finish this section by writing explicitly formula (36) for the cases $n = 3$ and $n = 4$, which we need in next sections. For the case of $n = 3$

$$\Omega_{S^2_{3,0}} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \omega_{\lambda_i}(M_i) + \frac{k}{4\pi} \text{tr}(\delta M_1 M_1^{-1} M_2^{-1} \delta M_2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

For the case of $n = 4$ the second term in (36) can be written in two equivalent forms:

$$\Omega_{S^2_{4,0}} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \omega_{\lambda_i}(M_i) + \frac{k}{4\pi} \text{tr}(\delta M_1 M_1^{-1} M_2^{-1} \delta M_2 + \delta M_3 M_3^{-1} M_4^{-1} \delta M_4)$$ \hspace{1cm} (45)

or

$$\Omega_{S^2_{4,0}} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \omega_{\lambda_i}(M_i) + \frac{k}{4\pi} \text{tr}(\delta M_1 M_1^{-1} M_2^{-1} \delta M_2 + \delta M_1 M_1^{-1} M_2^{-1} M_3^{-1} \delta M_3 M_2 + \delta M_2 M_2^{-1} M_3^{-1} \delta M_3)$$ \hspace{1cm} (46)

3 Bulk WZW model

In this section we review canonical quantization of the WZW model on the cylinder $\Sigma = R \times S^1 = (t, x \mod 2\pi)$ \cite{4,7,13}. The world-sheet action of the bulk WZW model is

$$S^{\text{bulk}}(g) = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \text{Tr}(g^{-1} \partial_+ g)(g^{-1} \partial_- g) dx^+ dx^- + \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_B \omega^{WZ}(g)$$ \hspace{1cm} (47)

where $x^\pm = x \pm t$, and

$$\omega^{WZ}(g) = \frac{1}{3} \text{tr}(g^{-1} \delta g)^3$$ \hspace{1cm} (48)
The phase space of solutions $\mathcal{P}$ can be described by the Cauchy data at $t = 0$.
\[ g(x) = g(0, x) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_0(x) = g^{-1}\partial_x g(0, x) \] (49)

The corresponding symplectic form is [13]
\[ \Omega^{\text{bulk}} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Pi(g) dx \] (50)

where
\[ \Pi(g) = \text{tr} \left( -\delta \xi_0 g^{-1} \delta g + (\xi_0 + g^{-1}\partial_x g)(g^{-1}\delta g)^2 \right) \] (51)

The $\delta$ denotes here as before exterior derivative on the phase space $\mathcal{P}$. It is easy to check that the symplectic form density $\Pi(g)$ has the following exterior derivative
\[ \delta \Pi(g) = \partial_x \omega^{WZ}(g) \] (52)
what implies closedness of the $\Omega$
\[ \delta \Omega^{\text{bulk}} = 0 \] (53)

The classical equations of motion are
\[ \partial_- J_L = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_+ J_R = 0 \] (54)
where
\[ J_L = -ik\partial_+ g g^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad J_R = ikg^{-1}\partial_- g \] (55)
The general solution of (54) satisfying boundary conditions
\[ g(t, x + 2\pi) = g(t, x) \] (56)
is
\[ g(t, x) = g_L(x^+) g_R^{-1}(x^-) \] (57)
with $g_{L,R}$ satisfying monodromy conditions
\[ g_L(x^+ + 2\pi) = g_L(x^+) \gamma \] (58)
\[ g_R(x^- + 2\pi) = g_R(x^-) \gamma \] (59)

1 Surely we can choose any time slice, but for simplicity we always below take slice $t = 0$. 
with the same matrix \( \gamma \). Expressing the symplectic form density \( \Pi(g) \) in the terms of \( g_{L,R} \) we obtain

\[
\Pi = \text{tr} \left[ g_{L}^{-1} \delta g_{L} \partial_{x} (g_{L}^{-1} \delta g_{L}) - g_{R}^{-1} \delta g_{R} \partial_{x} (g_{R}^{-1} \delta g_{R}) + \partial_{x} (g_{L}^{-1} \delta g_{L} g_{R}^{-1} \delta g_{R}) \right]
\]  

(60)

Using (60) and (58), (59) one derives for \( \Omega \)

\[
\Omega^\text{bulk} = \Omega_{L} - \Omega_{R}
\]

(61)

where

\[
\Omega_{L} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \text{tr} \left( g_{L}^{-1} \delta g_{L} \partial_{x} (g_{L}^{-1} \delta g_{L}) \right) dx + \frac{k}{4\pi} \text{tr} (g_{L}^{-1} \delta g_{L}(0) \delta \gamma \gamma^{-1})
\]

(62)

and \( \Omega_{R} \) is given by the same formula with \( g_{R} \rightarrow g_{L} \). The chiral field \( g_{L} \) can be decomposed into the product of a closed loop in \( G \), a multivalued field in the Cartan subgroup and a constant element in \( G \):

\[
g_{L} = h(x)e^{i\tau x/k} g_{0}^{-1}
\]

(63)

where \( h \in LG \), \( \tau \in t \) (the Cartan algebra) and \( g_{0} \in G \). For the monodromy of \( g_{L} \) we obtain

\[
\gamma = g_{0} e^{2i\pi \tau/k} g_{0}^{-1}
\]

(64)

Parametrization (63) induces the following decomposition of \( \Omega_{L} \)

\[
\Omega_{L} = \Omega^{LG}(h, \tau) + \frac{k}{4\pi} \omega_{\tau}(\gamma) + \text{tr}[i\delta \tau g_{0}^{-1} \delta g_{0}]
\]

(65)

where \( \Omega^{LG}(h, \tau) \) is the form (40):

\[
\Omega^{LG}(h, \tau) = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \text{tr} \left[ h^{-1} \delta h \partial_{x} (h^{-1} \delta h) + \frac{2i}{k} \tau (h^{-1} \delta h)^{2} - \frac{2i}{k} (\delta \tau) h^{-1} \delta h \right] dx
\]

(66)

and \( \omega_{\tau}(\gamma) \) is the same form as defined in (37):

\[
\omega_{\tau}(\gamma) = \text{tr}[g_{0}^{-1} \delta g_{0} e^{2i\pi \tau/k} g_{0}^{-1} \delta g_{0} e^{-2i\pi \tau/k}]
\]

(67)

Comparing (61) with (13) for \( n = 0 \) and \( m = 2 \), we see that symplectic phase of the WZW model on circle coincides with that of CS theory on annulus.
Here we review canonical quantization of the WZW model on the strip \( M = \mathbb{R} \times [0, \pi] \) for maximally symmetric boundary conditions [15]. Let us remind some well-known stuff on Lagrangian formulation of the WZW model on a world-sheet with boundary [3, 14, 17]. Consider at the beginning the case when \( M \) has one boundary. It is well established that maximally symmetric boundary conditions:

\[ J_L = -J_R|_{\partial M} \]

requires fields on boundary take values in discrete set of conjugacy classes:

\[ g|_{\partial M} \in \mathcal{C}_\mu = \beta e^{2i\pi\mu/k} \beta^{-1}, \quad \beta \in G \]

where \( \mu \equiv \mu \cdot H \) is a highest weight representation integrable at level \( k \), taking value in the Cartan subalgebra.

To write down action of WZW model one should choose auxiliary disc \( D \) satisfying condition \( \partial B = M + D \), and continue \( g \) on that disc always taking values in conjugacy class. With such a set-up action takes form

\[ S_{\text{boundary}} = S_{\text{bulk}} - \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_D \omega_\mu \]

where \( \omega_\mu \) is the form defined in (37). This form satisfies the condition

\[ \omega_{WZW}(g)|_{g \in \mathcal{C}_\mu} = d\omega_\mu \]

which guaranties that the action (70) is well defined. In the case of several boundaries the condition (69) should be imposed on each boundary component, and the corresponding boundary two-form should be added for each component as well.

From the paragraph above follows that for the case of strip we should impose the following boundary conditions

\[ g(t, 0) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mu_0}, \quad g(t, \pi) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mu_x} \]

The solution of bulk equation of motions (54) with boundary conditions (68) is found in [15]. It takes again the form (57) but with \( g_{L,R} \) satisfying:

\[ g_L(y + 2\pi) = g_L(y)\gamma, \quad \text{and} \quad g_R(y) = g_L(-y)h_0^{-1} \]
The equations (73) imply

\[ g(t, 0) = g_L(t)g_R^{-1}(-t) = g_L(t)h_0g_L^{-1}(t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (74)

and

\[ g(t, \pi) = g_L(\pi + t)g_R^{-1}(\pi - t) = g_L(-\pi + t)\gamma h_0g_L^{-1}(-\pi + t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (75)

Therefore to be in agreement with (72) one should require

\[ h_0 \in C_{\mu_0}, \text{ and } \gamma h_0 = h_\pi \in C_{\mu_\pi} \]  \hspace{1cm} (76)

The symplectic form on the phase space of the WZW model on the strip is:

\[ \Omega_{\text{strip}} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \left[ \int_0^\pi \Pi(g)dx + \omega_{\mu_0}(g(0, 0)) - \omega_{\mu_\pi}(g(0, \pi)) \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (77)

The equations (52), (71) imply that the form (77) is closed. Inserting (51) in (77) one obtains:

\[ \frac{4\pi}{k} \Omega_{\text{strip}} = \int_0^\pi \text{tr}(g^{-1}_L\delta g_L\partial_x(g^{-1}_L\delta g_L))dx - \int_0^\pi \text{tr}(g^{-1}_R\delta g_R\partial_x(g^{-1}_R\delta g_R))dx \]

\[ + \text{tr}(g^{-1}_L\delta g_Lg^{-1}_R\delta g_R)(\pi) - \text{tr}(g^{-1}_L\delta g_Lg_R^{-1}\delta g_R)(0) \]

\[ + \omega_{\mu_0}(g(0, 0)) - \omega_{\mu_\pi}(g(0, \pi)) \]  \hspace{1cm} (78)

Using (73) we obtain:

\[ -\int_0^\pi \text{tr}(g^{-1}_R\delta g_R\partial_x(g^{-1}_R\delta g_R))dx = \int_0^{2\pi} \text{tr}(g^{-1}_L\delta g_L\partial_x(g^{-1}_L\delta g_L))dx \]

\[ - \text{tr}(h_0^{-1}\delta h_0g_L^{-1}\delta g_L(0)) + \text{tr}(h_0^{-1}\delta h_0g_L^{-1}\delta g_L(-\pi)) - \text{tr}(\delta \gamma^{-1}g_L^{-1}\delta g_L(0)) + \text{tr}(\delta \gamma^{-1}g_L^{-1}\delta g_L(-\pi)) \]  \hspace{1cm} (79)

With the help of the following useful formula obtained in [6]:

\[ \omega_f(\lambda C\lambda^{-1}) = \omega_f(C) + \text{tr}(\lambda^{-1}\delta lambda^{-1}\delta lambda) + \text{tr}(C^{-1}\delta C + \delta CC^{-1})\lambda^{-1}\delta \lambda \]  \hspace{1cm} (80)

one can show

\[ \omega_{\mu_0}(g(0, 0)) - \text{tr}(g^{-1}_L\delta g_Lg_R^{-1}\delta g_R)(0) - \text{tr}(h_0^{-1}\delta h_0g_L^{-1}\delta g_L(0)) = \omega_{\mu_0}(h_0) \]  \hspace{1cm} (81)

and

\[ - \omega_{\mu_\pi}(g(0, \pi)) + \text{tr}(g^{-1}_L\delta g_Lg_R^{-1}\delta g_R)(\pi) + \text{tr}(h_0^{-1}\delta h_0g_L^{-1}\delta g_L(-\pi)) \]

\[ + \text{tr}(\delta \gamma^{-1}g_L^{-1}\delta g_L(-\pi)) = -\omega_{\mu_\pi}(\gamma h_0) + \text{tr}(\delta h_0h_0^{-1}\gamma^{-1}\delta \gamma) \]  \hspace{1cm} (82)
Collecting all we receive
\[ \Omega^{\text{strip}} = \Omega_L + \frac{k}{4\pi} \left[ \omega_{\mu_0}(h_0) - \omega_{\mu_0}(\gamma h_0) + \text{tr}(\delta h_0 h_0^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \delta \gamma) \right] \quad (83) \]

Finally again using for \( g_L \) decomposition (63) and taking into account (65) one obtains:
\[ \Omega^{\text{strip}} = \Omega^{LG}(h, \tau) + \Omega^{\text{bndry}} \quad (84) \]

where
\[ \Omega^{\text{bndry}} = \text{tr}\left[ (i \delta \tau) g_0^{-1} \delta g_0 \right] + \frac{k}{4\pi} \left[ \omega_\tau(\gamma) + \omega_{\mu_0}(h_0) - \omega_{\mu_0}(\gamma h_0) + \text{tr}(\delta h_0 h_0^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \delta \gamma) \right] \quad (85) \]

Recalling (76) we see that boundary phase space is
\[ P^{\text{bndry}} = (h_0, h_{\pi}, g_0, \tau) \mod G \quad (86) \]

subject to relation \( \gamma h_0 = h_{\pi} \), where \( \gamma = g_0 e^{2i\pi \mu/k} g_0^{-1} \). As explained in formula (39) this is moduli space of flat connections on sphere with three Wilson lines \( P_{S_2,0} \). The symplectic form (85) coincides with (44) with the term (41). Comparing (84) with (15) for \( n = 2 \) and \( m = 1 \), we see that symplectic phase space of the WZW model on the strip coincides with that of CS theory on the disc with two Wilson lines.

5 WZW model with Topological defects

5.1 Closed strings

Let us assume that one has defect line separating world-sheet on two regions \( \Sigma_1 \) and \( \Sigma_2 \). In such a situation WZW model defined by pair of maps \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \). Maximally-symmetric topological defects defined as defect lines satisfying conditions:
\[ J_{L_1} = J_{L_2}|_{\text{defect line}} \quad \text{and} \quad J_{R_1} = J_{R_2}|_{\text{defect line}} \quad (87) \]

It is shown in [11] that the conditions (87) imply that on the defect line fields \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) satisfy the constraint
\[ g_1 g_2^{-1}|_{\text{defect line}} = F \in C_\mu = \beta e^{2i\pi \mu/k} \beta^{-1}, \quad \beta \in G \quad (88) \]

where \( \mu \equiv \mu \cdot H \), as before, is a highest weight representation integrable at level \( k \), taking value in the Cartan subalgebra. To write action of the WZW model
with defect one again should introduce auxiliary disc satisfying conditions

\[ \partial B_1 = \Sigma_1 + \bar{D} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial B_2 = \Sigma_2 + D \]  

(89)

and continue fields \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) on this disc always holding the condition (88). After this preparations the action takes form (11):

\[ S = S^{\text{bulk}}(g_1) + S^{\text{bulk}}(g_2) + \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_D \varpi(g_1, g_2) \]  

(90)

where

\[ \varpi(g_1, g_2) = \omega_\mu(F) - \text{Tr}(g_1^{-1}dg_1^{-1}dg_2) \]  

(91)

The form (91) satisfies the equation:

\[ d\varpi(g_1, g_2) = \omega^{WZ}(g_1)|_{\text{defect}} - \omega^{WZ}(g_2)|_{\text{defect}} \]  

(92)

Equation (92) guarantees that the action (90) is well defined.

Now consider WZW model on the same cylinder as in section 1, and put defect line at \( x = a \) in parallel to the time line.

The solution of the (54) with defect conditions (87) is again given by (57) on bulk for both fields, but with \( g_{L_1}, g_{R_1}, g_{L_2}, g_{R_2} \) satisfying the following defect conditions:

\[ g_{L_2}(y) = g_{L_1}(y)h_a^{-1} \]  

\[ g_{R_2}(y) = g_{R_1}(y)m_a \]  

(93)

The equations (93) imply

\[ F(t, a) = g_1^{-1}g_2(t, a) = g_{L_1}(a+t)g_{R_1}^{-1}(a-t)g_{R_2}(a-t)g_{L_2}^{-1}(a+t) = g_{L_1}(a+t)m_a h_a g_{L_1}^{-1}(a+t) \]  

(94)

Therefore to satisfy the boundary condition (88) we should require

\[ m_a h_a = d_a \in C_{\mu_a} \]  

(95)

Given that we consider WZW model on cylinder we should additionally require

\[ g_2(t, 2\pi) = g_1(t, 0) \]  

(96)

The condition (96) imposes the following relation on monodromies \( \gamma_L, \gamma_R \) of \( g_{L_1} \) and \( g_{R_1} \):

\[ g_{L_1}(y + 2\pi) = g_{L_1}(y)\gamma_L \]  

\[ g_{R_1}(y + 2\pi) = g_{R_1}(y)\gamma_R \]  

(97)
and
\[ \gamma_R^{-1} \gamma_L = m_a h_a = d_a \] (98)

It is instructive to compare (98) to (58) and (59). We have seen in section 1, that in the absence of defect left and right monodromies are equal, whereas presence of defect creates relative shift between them equal to the defect conjugacy class.

The symplectic form now is:
\[ \Omega^\text{def} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \left[ \int_0^a \Pi(g_1)dx + \int_0^{2\pi} \Pi(g_2)dx - \varpi(g_1(0,a), g_2(0,a)) \right] \] (99)

The conditions (92) and (92) imply that
\[ \delta \Omega^\text{def} = 0 \] (100)

Substituting (90) in (99) one obtains:
\[ \frac{4\pi}{k} \Omega^\text{def} = \int_0^a \text{tr}(g_L^{-1} \delta g_L \partial_x (g_L^{-1} \delta g_L))dx - \int_0^a \text{tr}(g_R^{-1} \delta g_R \partial_x (g_R^{-1} \delta g_R))dx \] (101)
\[ + \int_0^{2\pi} \text{tr}(g_{L2}^{-1} \delta g_{L2} \partial_x (g_{L2}^{-1} \delta g_{L2}))dx - \int_0^{2\pi} \text{tr}(g_{R2}^{-1} \delta g_{R2} \partial_x (g_{R2}^{-1} \delta g_{R2}))dx \]
\[ + \text{tr}(g_{L1}^{-1} \delta g_{L1} g_{R1}^{-1} \delta g_{R1})(a) - \text{tr}(g_{L1}^{-1} \delta g_{L1} g_{R1}^{-1} \delta g_{R1})(0) \]
\[ + \text{tr}(g_{L2}^{-1} \delta g_{L2} g_{R2}^{-1} \delta g_{R2})(2\pi) - \text{tr}(g_{L2}^{-1} \delta g_{L2} g_{R2}^{-1} \delta g_{R2})(a) - \varpi(g_1(0,a), g_2(0,a)) \]

Using (93) and (90) one can check that
\[ -\varpi(g_1(0,a), g_2(0,a)) - \text{tr}(g_{L1}^{-1} \delta g_{L2} g_{R2}^{-1} \delta g_{R2})(a) + \text{tr}(g_{L1}^{-1} \delta g_{L2} g_{R1}^{-1} \delta g_{R1})(a) \]
\[ + \text{tr}(h_a^{-1} \delta h_a g_{L1} \delta g_{L1})(a) + \text{tr}(\delta m_a m_a^{-1} g_{R1}^{-1} \delta g_{R1})(a) = -\omega_{\mu_a}(d_a) + \text{tr}(\delta h_a h_a^{-1} m_a^{-1} \delta m_a) \] (102)

and
\[ \text{tr}(g_{L2}^{-1} \delta g_{L2} \partial_x (g_{L2}^{-1} \delta g_{L2})) = \text{tr}(g_{L1}^{-1} \delta g_{L1} \partial_x (g_{L1}^{-1} \delta g_{L1})) - \partial_x(\text{tr}(h_a^{-1} \delta h_a g_{L1} \delta g_{L1})) \] (103)
\[ \text{tr}(g_{R2}^{-1} \delta g_{R2} \partial_x (g_{R2}^{-1} \delta g_{R2})) = \text{tr}(g_{R1}^{-1} \delta g_{R1} \partial_x (g_{R1}^{-1} \delta g_{R1})) + \partial_x(\text{tr}(\delta m_a m_a^{-1} g_{R1}^{-1} \delta g_{R1})) \] (104)

Collecting all we get:
\[ \frac{4\pi}{k} \Omega^\text{def} = \int_0^{2\pi} \text{tr}(g_{L1}^{-1} \delta g_{L1} \partial_x (g_{L1}^{-1} \delta g_{L1}))dx - \int_0^{2\pi} \text{tr}(g_{R1}^{-1} \delta g_{R1} \partial_x (g_{R1}^{-1} \delta g_{R1}))dx \]
\[ + \text{tr}(\delta h_a h_a^{-1} m_a^{-1} \delta m_a) - \text{tr}(h_a^{-1} \delta h_a g_{L1} \delta g_{L1})(2\pi) - \text{tr}(\delta m_a m_a^{-1} g_{R1}^{-1} \delta g_{R1})(2\pi) \]
\[ - \text{tr}(g_{L1}^{-1} \delta g_{L1} g_{R1}^{-1} \delta g_{R1})(0) + \text{tr}(g_{L2}^{-1} \delta g_{L2} g_{R2}^{-1} \delta g_{R2})(2\pi) - \omega_{\mu_a}(d_a) \]
Note that dependence on the insertion point \( a \) is completely dropped. This reflects topological nature of the defect. Using (97) and (98) we derive

\[
\Omega^{\text{def1}} = \Omega_L - \Omega_R + \frac{k}{4\pi} \text{tr}(\delta \gamma^R \gamma^L - \delta \gamma^L \gamma^R) - \frac{k}{4\pi} \omega_{\mu a}(d_a) 
\]  

(106)

Finally using decompositions of \( g_L \) and \( g_R \) (63):

\[
g_{L1} = h_L e^{i\tau_L x/k} g_0 \quad \text{and} \quad g_{R1} = h_R e^{i\tau_R x/k} f_0
\]  

(107)

with \( \gamma_L \) and \( \gamma_R \):

\[
\gamma_L = g_0 e^{2i\pi \tau_L /k} g_0^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_R = f_0 e^{2i\pi \tau_R /k} f_0^{-1}
\]  

(108)

and the corresponding decomposition of \( \Omega_L, \Omega_R \) (65) we arrive at

\[
\Omega^{\text{def1}} = \Omega^{LG}(h_L, \tau_L) - \Omega^{LG}(h_R, \tau_R) + \Omega^{\text{define}}
\]  

(109)

\[
\Omega^{\text{define1}} = \text{tr}[(i\delta \tau_L)g_0^{-1}\delta g_0] - \text{tr}[(i\delta \tau_R)f_0^{-1}\delta f_0] + \frac{k}{4\pi} \left[ \omega_{\tau_L}(\gamma_L) - \omega_{\tau_R}(\gamma_R) + \text{tr}(\delta \gamma^R \gamma^L - \delta \gamma^L \gamma^R) - \omega_{\mu a}(d_a) \right]
\]  

(110)

Remembering (98) we see that defect phase space is

\[
\mathcal{P}^{\text{def1}} = (d_a, g_0, \tau_L, f_0, \tau_R)/G
\]  

(111)

subject to relation \( \gamma_R^{-1}\gamma_L = d_a \) with \( \gamma_L \) and \( \gamma_R \) given by (108). This is moduli space of flat connections on sphere with three Wilson lines \( \mathcal{P}_{S^2,3} \). The form (110) coincides with (14) with terms (11). Comparing (109) with (15) for \( n = 1 \) and \( m = 2 \), we see that symplectic phase space of the WZW model on circle with one defect coincides with that of CS on annulus with one Wilson line.

Let us briefly present the case of the two defects insertion.

Now let us put two defect lines, one at point \( x = a \), and the second at point \( x = b \), again both in parallel to time line. In this situation the world-sheet separated on three region, \( \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 \) and \( \Sigma_3 \), and correspondingly the WZW model is defined by three maps \( g_1, g_2 \) and \( g_3 \). At each point should be satisfied defect conditions (87), bringing as before to the following solution of the equations of motion:

\[
g_{L2}(y) = g_{L1}(y)h_a^{-1}, \quad g_{L3}(y) = g_{L2}(y)h_b^{-1}
\]  

(112)

\[
g_{R2}(y) = g_{R1}(y)m_a, \quad g_{R3}(y) = g_{R2}(y)m_b
\]  

(113)
\[ m_a h_a = d_a \in C_{\mu_a}, \quad m_b h_b = d_b \in C_{\mu_b} \] (114)

Requiring the condition of closedness of string

\[ g_3(2\pi) = g_1(0) \] (115)

brings to the following constraint on monodromies:

\[ g_{L_1}(y + 2\pi) = g_{L_1}(y)\gamma_L \] (116)
\[ g_{R_1}(y + 2\pi) = g_{R_1}(y)\gamma_R \] (117)

\[ \gamma_R^{-1}\gamma_L = m_a m_b h_b h_a = m_a d_b m_a^{-1} d_a = \tilde{d}_b d_a \] (118)

Note that relative shift between monodromies is equal to product of defect conjugacy classes. The symplectic form is:

\[
\Omega^{\text{def2}} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \left[ \int_0^a \Pi(g_1) dx + \int_a^b \Pi(g_2) dx + \int_0^{2\pi} \Pi(g_3) dx - \varpi(g_1(0,a), g_2(0,a)) - \varpi(g_2(0,b), g_3(0,b)) \right]
\] (119)

Repeating the same steps as before we obtain:

\[
\Omega^{\text{def2}} = \Omega^{L^G}(h_L, \tau_L) - \Omega^{L^G}(h_R, \tau_R) + \Omega^{\text{define2}}
\] (120)

\[
\Omega^{\text{define2}} = \text{tr}[i(\delta\tau_L)g_0^{-1}\delta g_0] - \text{tr}[i(\delta\tau_R)f_0^{-1}\delta f_0]
\] (121)

\[ + \frac{k}{4\pi} \left[ \omega_{\tau_L}(\gamma_L) - \omega_{\tau_R}(\gamma_R) - \omega_{\mu_a}(d_a) - \omega_{\mu_b}(d_b) + \text{tr}(\delta\gamma_R\gamma_L^{-1}\delta\gamma_L\gamma_R^{-1}) + \text{tr}(\tilde{d}_b^{-1}\delta\tilde{d}_b\delta d_a d_a^{-1}) \right]
\]

The defect phase space now is

\[ \mathcal{P}^{\text{def2}} = (d_a, \tilde{d}_b, g_0, \tau_L, f_0, \tau_R) / G \] (122)

subject to relation \( \gamma_R^{-1}\gamma_L = \tilde{d}_b d_a \), with \( \gamma_L \) and \( \gamma_R \) given by (108). This is phase space (39) for \( n = 4 \). The form (121) coincides with (45) with terms (41).

Comparing (120) with (15) for \( n = 2 \) and \( m = 2 \), we see that symplectic phase space of the WZW model on circle with two defects coincides with that of CS on annulus with two Wilson lines.

These two examples can be easily generalized to the insertion of \( N \) defects.

From these examples one can conclude that the defect phase space of the WZW model with \( N \) defects insertion is

\[ \mathcal{P}^{\text{defN}} = (d_1, \ldots, d_N, g_0, \tau_L, f_0, \tau_R) / G \] (123)
subject to relation \( \gamma_R^{-1} \gamma_L = \prod_{i=1}^{N} d_i \), \( d_i \in C_{\mu_i} \) where \( C_{\mu_i} = \beta_i e^{2i\pi \mu_i/k} \beta_i^{-1} \), with \( \gamma_L \) and \( \gamma_R \) given by (108). This is phase space (39) for \( n = N + 2 \). We see that defect fusion rule (6) corresponds in the classical picture to the multiplication of the corresponding conjugacy classes. By the cumbersome but straightforward calculation we can again check that the symplectic form on the defect phase space (123) is equal to symplectic form on the moduli space of flat connections on sphere with \( N+2 \) sources \( \Omega_{S^2_{N+2,0}} \).

At the moment it is clear to author how to derive this result case by case by brute force calculation. More general understanding is desirable.

### 5.2 Defects in open string

In this section we consider WZW model with defect on strip. Assume again that we have defect at point \( x = a \) in parallel to the time line. The strip is divided to two parts with fields \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \). We should impose here boundary conditions at \( x = 0 \) on \( g_1 \), requiring

\[
g_1(0, t) \in C_{\mu_0} = \beta_0 e^{2i\pi \mu_0/k} \beta_0^{-1}, \quad \beta_0 \in G
\]  

(124)

then defect condition at \( x = a \), requiring

\[
g_1 g_2^{-1}(t, a) \in C_{\mu_a} = \beta_a e^{2i\pi \mu_a/k} \beta_a^{-1}, \quad \beta_a \in G
\]  

(125)

and finally boundary condition at \( x = \pi \) on \( g_2 \), requiring

\[
g_2(\pi, t) \in C_{\mu_\pi} = \beta_\pi e^{2i\pi \mu_\pi/k} \beta_\pi^{-1}, \quad \beta_\pi \in G
\]  

(126)

Equations (124) and (125) as before yield:

\[
g_R_1(y) = g_{L_1}(-y)h_0^{-1}
\]  

(127)

\[
g_1(0, t) = g_{L_1}(t)g_{R_1}^{-1}(-t) = g_{L_1}(t)h_0g_{L_1}^{-1}(t)
\]  

(128)

\[
h_0 \in C_{\mu_0}
\]  

(129)

\[
g_{L_2}(y) = g_{L_1}(y)h_a^{-1}
\]  

(130)

\[
g_{R_2}(y) = g_{R_1}(y)m_a
\]

(131)

\[
m_a h_a = d_a \in C_{\mu_a}
\]  

(131)
To solve the last boundary condition (126) we assume that $g_{L_1}$ has monodromy matrix $\gamma$:

$$g_{L_1}(y + 2\pi) = g_{L_1}(y)\gamma$$  \hspace{1cm} (132)

Using (127) and (130) one obtains:

$$g_{L_2}(y + 2\pi) = g_{L_2}(y)h_a\gamma^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (133)

$$g_{R_2}(y) = g_{L_2}(-y)h_a h_0^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (134)

Equations (133) and (134) imply

$$g_2(\pi, t) = g_{L_2}(\pi + t)g_{R_2}^{-1}(\pi - t) = g_{L_2}(-\pi + t)h_a\gamma^{-1}m_a^{-1}h_0h_a^{-1}g_{L_2}^{-1}(-\pi + t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (135)

To satisfy (126) one should require

$$\gamma h_a^{-1}m_a^{-1}h_0 = \gamma d_a^{-1}h_0 = h_\pi \in C_{\mu_\pi}$$  \hspace{1cm} (136)

It is again instructive to compare (136) to (76). We see that presence of defect again requires to include defect conjugacy class. This is classical analogue of the defect-boundary fusion (8). The symplectic form is

$$\Omega^{\text{strip-def}} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \left[ \int_0^a \Pi(g_1)dx + \int_a^\pi \Pi(g_2)dx - \varpi(g_1(0, a), g_2(0, a)) + \omega_{\mu_0}(g_1(0, 0)) - \omega_{\mu_\pi}(g_2(0, \pi)) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (137)

Executing the same steps as in previous sections we finally obtain:

$$\Omega^{\text{strip-def}} = \Omega^{L_G}(h, \tau) + \Omega^{\text{bndry-def}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (138)

where

$$\Omega^{\text{bndry-def}} = \text{tr}[i\delta \tau g_0^{-1}\delta g_0] + \frac{k}{4\pi} \left[ \omega_{\pi}(\gamma) + \omega_{\mu_0}(h_0) - \omega_{\mu_\pi}(h_\pi) - \omega_{\mu_a}(d_a) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (139)

$$+ \text{tr}(d_a^{-1}\delta h_0 d_a^{-1}\delta \gamma) + \text{tr}(\gamma^{-1}\delta \gamma d_a^{-1}\delta d_a) + \text{tr}(\delta d_a d_a^{-1}\delta h_0 h_0^{-1})]$$

The boundary-defect phase space is

$$\mathcal{P}^{\text{bndry-def}} = (h_0, h_\pi, d_a, g_0, \tau)/G$$  \hspace{1cm} (140)

subject to relation $\gamma d_a^{-1}h_0 = h_\pi$, where $h_0 \in C_{\mu_0}$, $h_\pi \in C_{\mu_\pi}$, $d_a \in C_{\mu_a}$, and $\gamma = g_0 e^{2i\pi \tau/k} g_0^{-1}$. This is phase space (37) for $n = 4$. We see that (139) coincides with (46). Comparing (138) with (15) for $n = 3$ and $m = 1$, we see that symplectic phase space of the WZW model on strip with one defect coincides with that of CS theory on disc with three Wilson lines. As we explained in the previous section, consideration here can be generalized to the case of insertion of the arbitrary number of the defect lines as well, yielding the symplectomorphism between phase space of the WZW model on strip with $N$ defects with that of CS theory on disc with $N + 2$ Wilson lines.
6 Permutation branes

Maximally symmetric permutation branes on two-fold product of the WZW models $G \times G$ is defined as boundary conditions satisfying the relations:

$$J_{L_1} = -J_{R_2}|_{\partial M} \quad (141)$$

and

$$J_{L_2} = -J_{R_1}|_{\partial M} \quad (142)$$

Here label 1 and 2 refer two the first and the second copy. It was shown in [8] that conditions (141) and (142) imply that values of $g_1$ and $g_2$ on the boundary constrained by the relation:

$$g_1 g_2|_{\partial M} = \tilde{F} \in \mathcal{C}_\mu = \beta e^{2i\pi\mu/k} \beta^{-1}, \quad \beta \in G \quad (143)$$

It is shown in [22] that in the Lagrangian approach to the boundary WZW model as explained in the section 4, the permutation branes correspond to Lagrangian:

$$S = S^{\text{bulk}}(g_1) + S^{\text{bulk}}(g_2) - \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_D \omega_P(g_1, g_2) \quad (144)$$

where

$$\omega_P(g_1, g_2) = \omega_\mu(\tilde{F}) + \text{Tr}(g_1^{-1} dg_1 dg_2 g_2^{-1}) \quad (145)$$

The form (145) satisfies the equation:

$$d\omega_P(g_1, g_2) = \omega^{WZ}(g_1)|_{\text{boundary}} + \omega^{WZ}(g_2)|_{\text{boundary}} \quad (146)$$

Equation (146) guarantees that the action (144) is well defined. Consider now two-fold product on a strip with boundary conditions (141) and (142) imposed at points $x = 0$ and $x = \pi$. It is possible to show that equations of motions (54) with these boundary conditions can be solved by (57) on bulk for both fields with $g_{L_1}, g_{R_1}, g_{L_2}, g_{R_2}$ satisfying:

$$g_{L_1}(y + 2\pi) = g_{L_1}(y) \gamma_1 \quad (147)$$

$$g_{L_2}(y + 2\pi) = g_{L_2}(y) \gamma_2 \quad (148)$$

$$g_{R_1}(y) = g_{L_1}(-y) h_0^{-1} \quad (149)$$

$$g_{R_2}(y) = g_{L_2}(-y) m_0^{-1} \quad (150)$$

From (149), (150) we obtain:
\( \tilde{F}(0, t) = g_{L_1}(t)m_0h_0g_{L_1}^{-1}(t) \)  

(151)

Therefore to be in agreement with (143) we should require:

\[ m_0h_0 = p_0 \in C_{\mu_0} \]  

(152)

Equations (147) and (148) further imply

\[ \tilde{F}(\pi, t) = g_{L_1}(-\pi + t)\gamma_1m_0\gamma_2h_0g_{L_1}^{-1}(-\pi + t) \]  

(153)

Therefore we additionally should require:

\[ \gamma_1m_0\gamma_2h_0 = \gamma_1p_0h_0^{-1}\gamma_2h_0 = \gamma_1p_0\tilde{\gamma}_2 = p_\pi \in C_{\mu_\pi} \]  

(154)

where

\[ \tilde{\gamma}_2 = h_0^{-1}\gamma_2h_0 \]  

(155)

The symplectic form corresponding to the action (144) on the strip is

\[
\Omega_p = \frac{k}{4\pi} \left[ \int_0^\pi (\Pi(g_1) + \Pi(g_2)) dx + \omega_p(g_1(0, 0), g_2(0, 0)) - \omega_p(g_1(0, \pi), g_2(0, \pi)) \right] 
\]

(156)

Repeating the same steps as explained in the previous sections we obtain:

\[ \Omega_p = \Omega^{L_G}(h_1, \tau_1, ) + \Omega^{L_G}(h_2, \tau_2, ) + \Omega^{bndry-\text{perm}} \]  

(157)

\[
\Omega^{bndry-\text{perm}} = \text{tr}[(i\delta \tau_1)g_0^{-1}\delta g_0] + \text{tr}[(i\delta \tau_2)f_0^{-1}\delta f_0] + \frac{k}{4\pi} \left[ \omega_{\tau_1}(\gamma_1) + \omega_{\tau_2}(\tilde{\gamma}_2) + \omega_{\mu_0}(p_0) - \omega_{\mu_\pi}(p_\pi) \right.
\]

\[
- \text{tr}(p_0^{-1}\delta p_0\delta \tau_1\tilde{\gamma}_2^{-1}) - \text{tr}(\gamma_1^{-1}\delta \gamma_1\delta p_0p_0^{-1}) - \text{tr}(p_0^{-1}\gamma_1^{-1}\delta \gamma_1p_0\delta \tilde{\gamma}_2\tilde{\gamma}_2^{-1}) \right] 
\]

(158)

Comparing (154) to (39), (158) to (46), and finally (157) with (15) for \( n = 2 \) and \( m = 2 \), we see that symplectic phase space of the WZW model \( G \times G \) on strip with boundary conditions specified by permutation branes coincides with that of CS on annulus with two Wilson lines. The generalization to the case of permutation branes on \( N \)-fold product is again cumbersome but straightforward.
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