Dunes form critical agents of bedload transport in all of the world’s big rivers, and constitute appreciable sources of bed roughness and flow resistance. Dunes also generate stratification that is the most common depositional feature of ancient riverine sediments. However, current models of dune dynamics and stratification are conditioned by bedform geometries observed in small rivers and laboratory experiments. For these dunes, the downstream lee-side is often assumed to be simple in shape and sloping at the angle of repose. Here we show, using a unique compilation of high-resolution bathymetry from a range of large rivers, that dunes are instead characterized predominantly by low-angle lee-side slopes (<10°), complex lee-side shapes with the steepest portion near the base of the lee-side slope and a height that is often only 10% of the local flow depth. This radically different shape of river dunes demands that such geometries are incorporated into predictions of flow resistance, water levels and flood risk and calls for rethinking of dune scaling relationships when reconstructing palaeoflow depths and a fundamental reappraisal of the character, and origin, of low-angle cross-stratification within interpretations of ancient alluvial sediments.

**Dunes** are ubiquitous morphological elements in all rivers that possess bed material grain sizes ranging from sands to gravels. Sediment transport associated with dunes occurs through dune migration and by sediment suspension linked to large-scale, dune-related turbulence. In addition, larger alluvial forms are created by dune migration and amalgamation, forming areas of hydraulic5, sedimentary7 and ecological19 heterogeneity. As such, dunes exert a major influence on a range of riverine processes, from grain transport to large-scale channel planform change and habitat functioning.

Our understanding of the fluid dynamics and sediment transport characteristics of alluvial dunes has been guided largely by the study of small dunes in both the laboratory and the field1,2,4,24, with only a few studies examining flow over dunes in big rivers, where dunes with lee-side shallower than the angle of repose (~30°) are present20–23, and where multiple scales of bedforms interact to create complex dune shapes1,21–24. Research has also shown that dunes with more complex shaped lee-side slopes possess a different flow dynamics than dunes with high-angle, simple lee-sides1,16,18,25. Specifically, low-angle dunes (lee-side angle < 10°) do not possess a zone of permanent flow separation, and those with lee-side angles < 4° have been argued to possess no flow separation at all14,25–29. This causes lower energy losses from turbulent eddy shedding in the shear layer between the recirculating flow in the lee-side of the dune and overlying free flow1. There is also evidence of superimposed dunes intermittently lowering the lee-side of larger dunes through over-taking when the superimposed dune height is greater than 25% of the large dune height15. Furthermore, a scaling relationship between formative flow depth and dune height is often assumed when predicting dune dimensions in modern channels and reconstructing dune size and flow depth in palaeohydraulic reconstructions of ancient riverine sediments1,2,13,16–19. Although recent work re-evaluating ~50 datasets30 concludes that there is a change in dune morphology from an asymmetric to a more symmetric shape, from high- to lower- angle lee-sides and thus the dominant processes in dune formation in shallow (<2.5 m)31 and deep flows, respectively, we lack a detailed quantification of the morphology of dunes within large rivers. We cannot therefore accurately assess which shapes of dune are most common in big rivers, the potential importance of dune shape in predicting the behaviour of modern rivers, or reconstruct palaeohydraulics in ancient fluvial channels.

In this paper, we present and analyse a dataset that permits the quantification of the shapes of dunes in five large rivers—the Amazon, Mekong, Mississippi, Missouri and Paraná rivers—and one smaller river, the River Waal. We quantify the shapes of dunes by applying a new bedform analysis method for bathymetric information (bedform analysis method for bathymetric information (BAMBI); see Methods and Extended Data Fig. 1).
to high-resolution bathymetric datasets (MultiBeam Echo Sounder; MBES) in each river. The MBES surveys (see Supplementary Fig. 1) range in their spatial extent, timing with respect to flow discharge (Extended Data Fig. 2) and survey acquisition time. Shape descriptors (see the definitions in Extended Data Fig. 3) of each dune are measured at the resolution of the gridded data and include dune height, wavelength, the average slope of all grid cells in the lee-side from crest to trough (mean lee-side angle), the maximum slope angle on the lee-side (maximum lee-side angle) and the height of the maximum slope on the lee-side, as well as the flow depth at each large dune crest (Extended Data Fig. 3). Smaller, often superimposed, dunes were also measured (Extended Data Figs. 2 and 4).

In addition to MBES surveys, bathymetric lines acquired by a single-beam echosounder in the Huang He (Yellow) and Jamuna (Brahmaputra) rivers are presented (Extended Data Figs. 4–6) as additional data. This analysis reveals the dominance of low-angle dunes with a complex lee-side shape, the presence of multiple scales of dunes in shallow to deep flows and highlights that current models of dunes in modern and ancient sediments must better recognize and incorporate the fundamental geometry of these ubiquitous morphological elements.

Dune lee-side angle and shape

Histograms of mean lee-side angle possess a peak at approximately 10° (range = 10.2–16.1°; Fig. 1a–f), an average standard deviation of 5.72° and are skewed (about 1.4° on average) towards lower lee-side values, with 48–90% of the dunes in each river possessing mean lee-side slopes shallower than 15° (see Extended Data Fig. 4). Single echosounder lines (Extended Data Figs. 5 and 6) also reveal a similar relation in the Jamuna River (n = 770), where dune lee-side angles were on average 10.2°, and in the Huang He River where dunes have an extremely low mean lee-side angle of ∼2.0° (n = 97). The histograms of maximum lee-side angle show peaks around 20° (Fig. 1h–m) and have a larger standard deviation than the distribution of mean values, ranging from 5.5 to 9.9°. The mean lee-side angle and its standard deviation (10.68° and 4.17° respectively, Extended Data Fig. 4) are similar in the smaller, coarser-grained, River Waal, suggesting these dune characteristics may be

Fig. 1 PDF plots for the mean and maximum dune lee-side angles in each river and all rivers combined. a–g, Mean lee-side angles for the Amazon (a), Mekong (b), Mississippi (c), Missouri (d), Paraná (e) and Waal (f) rivers, and all rivers combined (g). h–n, Maximum lee-side angles for the same rivers as a–g. The black lines represent fits to a normal distribution and the red lines represent gamma distributions for the all-rivers data (g,n). The vertical blue lines mark lee-side angles of 10° and the grey shaded area highlights lee-side angles from 20 to 30°.
consistent across different scales of alluvial channels and sizes of sand-grade bed material.

When the datasets for mean and maximum lee-side angles in big rivers are combined in one histogram each (Fig. 1g and n), there is a good fit to a gamma relationship rather than a normal distribution, confirming previous research that showed a positively skewed probability density function (PDF) is the best representation of dune morphologic data. The average mean and maximum lee-side angles are 13.4° and 20.5°, respectively. The composite histogram of mean lee-side angle reveals that 75.4% of dunes possess mean lee-side angles less than 15°.

For the composite histogram of maximum lee-side angle (Fig. 1n), a peak is present at 13.5°, with maximum lee-side angles ranging from 4 to 37°, and 25.2% of all lee-sides being between 20 and 30° (see the grey shaded area in Fig. 1). These higher values are more representative of traditional angle-of-repose lee-side dune slopes and diverge from the composite mean value by ~7°. Nevertheless, the maximum angle represents only a singular maximum slope on the entire lee-side, whereas the mean angle represents the average of all slopes on the lee-side. Thus, the position of the maximum lee-side angle along the lee-side slope is critical, especially in the production of flow separation, which is instrumental in flow resistance and energy loss associated with dunes. The present data indicate the position of the maximum lee-side slope to be quantified, expressed as the height of the maximum lee-side slope, h, with respect to the total dune height, H, from the trough point (Fig. 2a).

These results show (Fig. 2b) that the position of the maximum lee-side slope is dominantly at 0.3−0.4h/H and that the distribution is slightly shifted towards lower values, such that the majority of the maximum lee-side slopes are more commonly located at the bottom of the lee-side of the dune. The occurrence of higher-angle slopes towards the base of the lee-side has not been studied as extensively as the occurrence of high-angle slopes or slip faces near the top of the lee-side. High-angle slopes will be far less influential in creating flow separation at the bottom of the lee-side slope, with a lower brinkpoint (and flow detachment point, see Extended Data Fig. 3) and with the immediate upstream flow expanding over a low-angle crestal region.

Dune size and potential for flow separation

The plot of H against flow depth (Y) for each river (Fig. 3a) shows that for all flow depths, 83% of dunes fall below H = 0.10Y. In flow depths greater than 30 m, 96% of dunes have heights at or below 5 m (H/Y < 0.17). A cumulative probability plot for all rivers (Fig. 3b and Extended Data Fig. 2), shows that 50% of dunes lie below H/Y = 0.056 (H/Ym) and 90% of dunes are below H/Y = 0.127 (H/Ym). In addition, superimposed dunes fill the empty spaces below the dense point clouds for each river (Fig. 3a) and have average heights ranging from 0.098 to 0.410 m (Extended Data Fig. 2).

The percentage of superimposed dunes that are greater than 25% of the average formative dune height ranges between 12 and 62% in all rivers. Whereas dunes with heights up to 10 m do exist in deep flows, smaller dunes (H < 0.127Y, H/Ym) are much more common in such deep channels. This fact challenges the commonly made assumption that big rivers must be characterized by large dunes. In addition, the ratio of dune wavelength to height (λ/H, Extended Data Fig. 7a–g) shows a wide range (mean values range from 69 to 170), with a cumulative mean and standard deviation of 133 and 315, respectively. Dunes with lower-angle lee-sides also tend to possess a larger (but more variable) λ/H (Extended Data Fig. 7b–j) with mean values for dunes with lee-side angles < 10°, 10–24° and > 24° of 168.6, 113.3 and 78.9, with standard deviations of 364.1, 284.7 and 165.3, respectively.

Dunes with permanent flow separation thus tend to be higher with respect to their wavelength, suggesting that flow separation imparts some control on bedform wavelength, probably through its influence on bed shear stress distribution and downstream sediment transport. The larger, and more variable, λ/H values of low-angle dunes suggest that this regulation of bed shear stress, and thus wavelength, is more variable when separation is absent or intermittent, similar to results documented for bedforms that develop near the threshold of sediment movement in coarse sands and fine gravels.

The size of the flow separation zone in the dune lee-side may be considered a function of submerged dune height, H/Y (which determines flow velocity at the crest), lee-side slope angle and the fractional height of the maximum lee-side slope. Plotted mean dune lee-side angle against H/Y (Fig. 4) for maximum lee-side angles located in the top and bottom halves of the dune (Fig. 4a and b respectively) allows consideration of the potential for flow separation associated with dunes in big rivers. In addition, Fig. 4 uses past experimental data to highlight: (1) dunes where permanent flow separation is absent (lee-side angles below 10°; refs. 18,22–29); (2) the onset of permanent flow separation, which is dependent on H/Y and the lee-side angle, but is not fully developed (defined here by a linear interpolation between three experimental test cases); and (3) where fully developed permanent flow separation is present (lee-side angle > 24°). The majority (99.9%) of dunes with maximum lee-side angles between 11 and 18° fall below the experimentally derived line where the onset of permanent flow separation has been observed, and only a very low percentage of dunes (<1%) exhibit permanent flow separation (Fig. 4). For dunes with mean lee-side slopes shallower than 10°, there is probably no permanent flow separation, the maximum slopes are more common in the lower part of the dune lee-side (44% of data shown in Fig. 4a) than the upper part of the lee-side (36% of data shown in Fig. 4b). Superimposed dunes (mean value plotted as a star on Fig. 4; contours show the percentage abundance) plot well below the onset for permanent flow separation and thus possess a low potential for flow separation.
Mechanisms for the formation of low-angle dunes

This dataset illustrates that the overwhelming majority of dunes in the world’s big rivers have low-angle lee-sides (mean ~10°) that are significantly shallower than the angle of repose, that they possess heights far lower than commonly assumed with respect to the flow depth (H < 0.1Y) and that even the steeper segments of their lee-sides occur predominantly towards the bottom of the lee-side slope. These morphological characteristics, and their influence on fluid flow, have profound implications for the models of flow required for the most common bedform in the world’s big rivers, how flow resistance is predicted and modelled in such channels and how the deposits of such dunes are recognized in ancient alluvial successions.

Although low-angle dunes with complex lee-side shapes have been documented previously in the Jamuna[25, Fraser[17], Paraná[40], and Amazon[24,41] rivers, and their flow dynamics have received limited study using physical and numerical models[18,26–29], the present results provide the most comprehensive and spatially extensive analysis yet accomplished, detailing the morphology of dunes in some of the world’s biggest rivers. Our findings reveal the dominance of low-angle dunes and complex dune shapes, with 75% of dunes possessing mean lee-side angles <14.9°.

Quantitative data concerning flow, sediment transport and bedform kinematics required to test hypotheses for the formation of low-angle dunes in large rivers are not yet available. However, the present morphological data allow discussion of the three principal mechanisms that have been proposed to generate complex, low-angle lee-sides. First, bedform amalgamation can produce erosion of the dune crest and lee-side due to bed shear stresses generated in the lee of the superimposed bedform[11–23]. Ubiquitous bedform superimposition revealed in the present data (Supplementary Fig. 1) suggests that this mechanism may be widely operative. In addition, the ratio of mean superimposed dune height to mean primary dune height (H/H) ranges from 0.115 to 0.338 (Extended Data Fig. 2), varying around the value of 0.25, where lee-side erosion by a superimposed bedform has been shown to be important[15]. Superimposed dunes with H/H > 0.25 comprise approximately 12–62% of the dunes quantified here (Extended Data Fig. 2). Second, the influence of sediment suspension may be important through its role in causing sediment to bypass the crest and be deposited in the lee-side[13,42] or dampening lee-side turbulence[13,44], both of which may lessen the lee-side angle. This process inherently concerns the balance between suspended load and bedload (Q/Qs)[23,56], with increased sediment suspension (and lower lee-side angles) more likely in finer sands and silts[38,43] or in the presence of high concentrations of suspended clay[14]. Such factors are witnessed in the modifications to dune morphology associated with transitional dunes at Froude numbers of approximately 0.84 (refs. 45–49). However, it is worth noting that such low-angle dunes are present in large rivers where Froude numbers are low[55–58] (Extended Data Fig. 2) and that past work in the Jamuna River[55] has reported that dune lee-side angles may increase at higher flow stages, although, critically, how Q/Qs changes at higher flows is unknown.

Third, past work[20,33,42] has suggested that the maintenance of low-angle dunes may be enhanced on larger dunes, in deeper flows. Larger dunes are argued[20,33,42] to permit the formation, at the brink-point, of thicker grain flows that possess higher pore pressures and thus generate liquefied flows that move a longer distance on the lee-side, thus lowering the lee-side angle. Although the present data cannot address these possible dynamics, they do unequivocally demonstrate (Extended Data Figs. 8 and 9) that low-angle, and some high-angle, dunes are present in flow depths from 3.3 m - 66.8 m (Extended Data Fig. 8), and thus flow depth, and dune size, cannot be a primary control. The present results (Extended Data Fig. 9) also reveal that, although in some rivers the largest mean lee-side angles appear to decline with increasing dune height, the largest maximum lee-side angles are consistently c. 35° across all dune heights, and both the smallest mean and maximum lee-side angles become greater with increasing dune height.

Finally, it is worth noting that changes in dune morphology may be influenced greatly by spatiotemporal changes in flow during flood hydrographs and the effects of bedform hysteresis[31,35–39], which are commonplace in the world’s big rivers. Such flow non-uniformity, in association with topographic steering of flow, may produce flow accelerations/decelerations and secondary flows through streamline convergence/divergence that may influence lee-side angle.

The implications of low-angle dunes

Our results demonstrate the ubiquity of low-angle dunes and suggest that it is essential to account for their morphology when both modelling modern rivers and interpreting their deposits in ancient alluvial successions. Three implications arise from these contentions.
First, low-angle dunes will generate less turbulence than classic angle-of-repose dunes, thereby lessening flow resistance caused by dune form roughness. Parameterization of such roughness and the nature of flow separation associated with low-angle dunes should thus be included in fluid dynamic models of alluvial channels. A composite PDF, such as that presented in Fig. 1g, provides a desirable quantification in the absence of site-specific data to better represent such roughness. The present data also build on previous work that showed the complexity of dune lee-side shape, by further quantifying lee-side shape and showing that the steepest sections of the lee-side are predominantly nearer the lee-side base, and not near the top of the lee face. This again alludes to the lesser role of flow separation, and linked flow resistance, over such lee-sides in enhancing flow resistance, and demonstrates the need for further investigation of the role of superimposition.

Second, in the ancient alluvial record, dune cross-stratification has often been used to reconstruct flow depths, and thus help constrain parameters such as channel size and flow discharge, with the relationship between dune height and flow depth crucial in such palaeohydraulic reconstructions. The present dataset illustrates that, rather than assuming a relationship between flow depth and dune height of \( H = 0.25 - 0.33\gamma \) as in previous work, it is better to adopt a value of \( H = 0.056 - 0.127\gamma \) (where possible, such as from the thickness of channel fill sequences or the height of larger-scale barform-generated stratification).

Third, the dominance of low-angle dune lee-sides in the world’s large rivers suggests that the recognition, in both outcrop and core, of such dunes in ancient alluvial successions may require that far more attention be devoted to low-angle stratification. Our data illustrate that low lee-side angles are very common, with dips of only a few degrees often being present (Figs. 1 and 4), and thus low-angle stratification, which may appear essentially flat, especially in cores, may require reinterpretation. Such low-angle surfaces in alluvial successions may be simply the product of low-angle dunes, rather than conditions representative of upper-stage plane bed conditions. Where dunes are large, with lee-sides that are many metres or tens of metres long, such low-angle stratification may be extremely difficult to recognize in outcrop, and demands careful tracing of individual laminae and the subtle erosional surfaces between superimposed low-angle dunes. It is also apparent that complex dune lee-sides, and the presence of multiple scales of dunes, are commonplace in the world’s large rivers, suggesting that the key to establishing the scale of a palaeoflow and alluvial channel size may lie in interpretations of the smaller, cross-stratified cosets and the erosional surfaces between them. Our work demonstrates that it is essential to recognize the presence, scale and dominance of low-angle complex dunes within the majority of alluvial channels, if we are to better account for their influence on the dynamics of contemporary river channels, and their recognition in ancient alluvial sequences.
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Methods
Several methods to automate the detection and measurement of bedform morphology have recently been proposed using geostatistical and signal-processing techniques35,36,37. However, these methods are often unable to account for complexities in bedform morphology, such as lee-side shape, and the data outputs are typically statistical values that represent mathematical fits to the raw data rather than measurements of the raw data. In addition, most methods only focus on analysing bedforms taken from a limited number of profile lines and, while a few methods can analyse the entire bathymetric bedform field, most of these methods are computationally expensive. There is thus a need for a bedform analysis method that utilizes the raw bathymetric data, treats the bedforms as having a complex morphology, outputs values that represent such complexity, and is computationally efficient and robust. Such a method will be invaluable in quantifying bedform shape from high-resolution bathymetric datasets and allow the user to gain better knowledge of spatially variable bedforms.

BAMBI. In the research reported herein, a bedform analysis method, BAMBI, was developed to automatically measure the geometric characteristics of dunes in big rivers using MBES data acquired for several large rivers. The BAMBI can also be used to measure dunes from single echosounder lines, but additional steps must be taken so that the lines are in a matrix format as the BAMBI begins by defining each data point as related to the eight other surrounding points in a 3 x 3 window. BAMBI works at the resolution of the data and in the present analysis increased data output from several hundred manual measurements to over two hundred and fifty thousand data points, while also decreasing data measurement time to a few hours of code run time. Thus, the BAMBI allowed us to run a highly resolved and spatially extensive analysis of five of the world’s big rivers, and one smaller river, to yield a new quantification of dune morphology.

In BAMBI (see Extended Data Fig. 1 for a flow chart of the methodology), the inputs required are an ASCII file of river bathymetry gathered via MBES, a general downstream estimate of flow direction in terms of azimuthal coordinates of the river and a flow looking angle (the deviation around the flow direction that defines what is considered a downstream-facing slope; set at a default of 40°). If MBES gridded data are not available and single echosounder lines must be used, an artificial matrix must be constructed. Here the lines, with their georeferenced x, y, locations, must be spaced equally in the x and y directions and stacked in a matrix by groups of three. In this way, the matrix will have three columns of empty spaces (preferably ‘Not a Number’ values, NaN in Matlab), followed by three columns of the echosounder line repeated, and three more columns of NaN. All echosounder lines must also be aligned with the flow direction. If the echosounder lines are not perfectly straight, the user must decide the best way to interpolate the data onto a straight line. All lines can be placed in the same matrix, provided that packages of three NaN columns straddle each package of three repeated echosounder columns.

The output of the analysis method is a text file of nine columns: x coordinate (latitude), y coordinate (longitude), dune height (H), dune mean lee-side angle, dune maximum lee-side angle, lee-side slope direction, dune wavelength (λ), dune flow depth (Y, at the crest), and the fractional height of the maximum slope on the lee side (k/H) for each dune measured across the river width at steps of the data resolution (herein 0.5m).

Operational order of BAMBI. To begin, the raw bathymetric data is rotated so that the grid is aligned with the flow direction oriented to 0°, or in other words, the grid is rotated by 90° clockwise. The grid can be analysed column by column, which coincides with individual profile lines in the flow direction; the section for analysis must thus be relatively straight. If the river section being analysed has a curvature greater than 40°, the section must be split into smaller, straighter sections. The first step in the analysis method is to create slope and aspect grids from the rotated raw bathymetric data by using a 3 step in the analysis method is to create slope and aspect grids from the rotated raw bathymetric depth data by using a 3 x 3 window. The gradient will be taken so that the lines are in a matrix format as the BAMBI begins by defining each data point as related to the eight other surrounding points in a 3 x 3 window. BAMBI works at the resolution of the data and in the present analysis increased data output from several hundred manual measurements to over two hundred and fifty thousand data points, while also decreasing data measurement time to a few hours of code run time. Thus, the BAMBI allowed us to run a highly resolved and spatially extensive analysis of five of the world’s big rivers, and one smaller river, to yield a new quantification of dune morphology.

In BAMBI (see Extended Data Fig. 1 for a flow chart of the methodology), the inputs required are an ASCII file of river bathymetry gathered via MBES, a general downstream estimate of flow direction in terms of azimuthal coordinates of the river and a flow looking angle (the deviation around the flow direction that defines what is considered a downstream-facing slope; set at a default of 40°). If MBES gridded data are not available and single echosounder lines must be used, an artificial matrix must be constructed. Here the lines, with their georeferenced x, y, locations, must be spaced equally in the x and y directions and stacked in a matrix by groups of three. In this way, the matrix will have three columns of empty spaces (preferably ‘Not a Number’ values, NaN in Matlab), followed by three columns of the echosounder line repeated, and three more columns of NaN. All echosounder lines must also be aligned with the flow direction. If the echosounder lines are not perfectly straight, the user must decide the best way to interpolate the data onto a straight line. All lines can be placed in the same matrix, provided that packages of three NaN columns straddle each package of three repeated echosounder columns.

The output of the analysis method is a text file of nine columns: x coordinate (latitude), y coordinate (longitude), dune height (H), dune mean lee-side angle, dune maximum lee-side angle, lee-side slope direction, dune wavelength (λ), dune flow depth (Y, at the crest), and the fractional height of the maximum slope on the lee side (k/H) for each dune measured across the river width at steps of the data resolution (herein 0.5m).

Data availability
Data plotted herein will be available through https://databank.illinois.edu/datasets/IDR-7537576. Bathymetric data of all rivers are available through the respective survey team that acquired the data. Requests should be made to the authors referenced in the Supplementary Information.

Code availability
The code for BAMBI is available from the corresponding author on request.
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Extended Data Fig. 1 | Flow chart illustrating the BAMBI methodology.

Key:
- flow direction = γ
- flow direction variation = θ
- dune height = H
- mean leeside angle = β
- maximum leeside angle = β_max
- dune wavelength = λ
- * bold font denote dune morphologic data outputs
Extended Data Fig. 2 | River conditions and dune morphology statistics. Statistics of flow discharge, Froude number (Fr, Eq. 1a), grain size, H/Y, dune height (H), dune wavelength (λ), H/λ, superimposed dune height (H_s), H_s/λ, and H_s/H_{mean}. *Estimated mean discharge during survey. †Discharge range for multiple surveys. N total is not same for superimposed dunes and large dunes. Mean values are found from first calculating the value of each individual dune then averaging.
Extended Data Fig. 3 | Dune schematic showing the morphologic parameters measured in BAMBI. Values measured are dune height ($H$), wavelength ($\lambda$), mean lee-side angle, maximum lee-side slope, height of the maximum lee-side slope ($h$), and flow depth ($Y$).
Extended Data Fig. 4 | Lee-side angle statistics. Statistics for mean and maximum lee-side angles in each river and for all rivers compiled. *N total is not same for superimposed dunes and large dunes.*

| River   | N total | Mean (°) | Standard Deviation (°) | Variance (°²) | Skewness (°) | Kurtosis (°) | P90 (°) | P95 (°) | Less than 15° (%) | Between 20 & 30° (%) | Superimposed Dune Mean (°) |
|---------|---------|----------|------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Amazon  | Mean Lee 15244 | 10.2 | 4.94 | 24.37 | 3.52 | 22.25 | 14.69 | 17.1 | 90.84 | 20.7 | 4.84 |
|        | Max. Lee 15244 | 16.45 | 6.63 | 43.9 | 1.91 | 8.45 | 23.42 | 26.6 |       |       |               |
| Mekong  | Mean Lee 53648 | 12.82 | 7.51 | 56.46 | 1.17 | 2.46 | 22.79 | 26.6 | 66.49 | 33.5 | 4.24 |
|        | Max. Lee 53648 | 21.06 | 9.91 | 98.2 | 0.33 | 0.04 | 33.54 | 36.29 |       |       |               |
| Mississippi | Mean Lee 26934 | 16.1 | 6.91 | 47.81 | 1 | 2.06 | 24.92 | 28.52 | 48.74 | 7.88 |               |
|        | Max. Lee 26934 | 22.76 | 7.38 | 54.45 | 0.62 | 1.32 | 31.74 | 33.29 |       |       |               |
| Missouri | Mean Lee 4530 | 13.65 | 4.96 | 24.62 | 0.33 | -0.3 | 20.2 | 21.84 | 59.8 | 8.03 |               |
|        | Max. Lee 4530 | 18.43 | 6.08 | 36.95 | 0.38 | -0.47 | 27.04 | 29.06 |       |       |               |
| Paraná  | Mean Lee 39917 | 13.53 | 5.84 | 34.1 | 1.28 | 2.4 | 21.09 | 24.22 | 65.58 | 3.18 |               |
|        | Max. Lee 39917 | 19.9 | 7.19 | 51.73 | 0.49 | 0.21 | 29.52 | 32.39 |       |       |               |
| Waal    | Mean Lee 124836 | 10.69 | 4.17 | 17.41 | 1.26 | 7.1 | 15.85 | 17.97 | 86.86 | 4.39 |               |
|        | Max. Lee 124836 | 14.77 | 5.55 | 30.82 | 1.29 | -0.53 | 22.25 | 26.06 |       |       |               |
| All compiled data | Mean Lee 265109 | 12.12 | 5.89 | 34.66 | 1.48 | 4.71 | 19.65 | 22.96 | 75.43 | 13.12 |               |
|        | Max. Lee 265109 | 17.79 | 7.78 | 60.6 | 0.95 | 1.54 | 28.68 | 32.08 |       |       |               |
| Jamuna  | Mean Lee 770 | 10.2 | 16.56 | | | | | | | | |
|        | Max. Lee 770 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Huang He | Mean Lee 97 | 2.02 | 1.48 | | | | | | | | |
|        | Max. Lee 97 | 4.03 | 3 | | | | | | | | |
Extended Data Fig. 5 | Distribution of mean and maximum dune leeside angles in the Huang He (Yellow) River. Lee-side measurements were acquired using the BAMBI method from single echosounder lines.
Extended Data Fig. 6 | Distribution of mean dune lee-side angles in the Jamuna (Brahmaputra) River (data from\textsuperscript{15}).
Extended Data Fig. 7 | Distribution of dune aspect ratio ($\lambda/H$) for all rivers and dunes with different lee-side angles. Statistics given for the mean and standard deviation (std dev.) for all rivers and dunes with lee-side angles <10°, 10 – 24° and >24°, which are related to zones of no flow separation, developing flow separation, and permanent, fully developed flow separation. N represents the number of data points that fall within each category.
Extended Data Fig. 8 | Flow depth vs mean lee-side angle for all rivers.
Extended Data Fig. 9 | Dune height vs lee-side angle. a) mean and b) maximum lee-side angle for all rivers, and c) mean and d) maximum lee-side angle for the: i) Amazon, ii) Mekong, iii) Paraná, iv) Mississippi, v) Missouri, and vi) Waal rivers. As examples, grey arrows in part c), panels i) and iv) for the Amazon and Mississippi rivers, highlight the trends of increasing minimum mean angle (solid line) and decreasing maximum mean angle (dashed line) for dunes.