Clinical Features of Spontaneous Isolated Dissection of Abdominal Visceral Arteries
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Abstract

Background: Spontaneous isolated dissection of abdominal visceral arteries without aortic dissection is rare and its pathology and prognosis are not yet clear; therefore, therapeutic strategies for this disease have not been established. The present multi-institutional investigation analyzed the clinical features of patients with spontaneous isolated dissection of abdominal visceral arteries.

Methods: A total of 36 patients diagnosed as spontaneous isolated dissection of abdominal visceral arteries from January 2010 to October 2016 were enrolled. The medical data of the patients were retrospectively reviewed. Imaging characteristics were evaluated. Spontaneous isolated dissection of abdominal visceral arteries was detected on upper abdominal computed tomography examination in almost patients, and was detected on magnetic resonance imaging in one patient.

Results: Of the 36 cases, 26 cases involved the superior mesenteric artery dissection, nine involved the celiac artery, two involved the splenic artery, one involved the common hepatic artery, one involved the gastroduodenal artery and one involved the left gastric artery. Among the 36 patients, 20 had hypertension and 14 were current smokers. Additionally, only one patient had diabetes and four patients had dyslipidemia. Moreover, 32 cases complained of pain including abdominal pain and back pain, one had cough and three had no symptoms. Of the 36 patients, 34 cases (94.4%) were treated conservatively, and two (5.6%) required intravascular treatment. All patients were discharged without complications.

Conclusions: Our findings indicate that hypertension and smoking might be closely involved in the pathogenesis of spontaneous isolated dissection of abdominal visceral arteries, whereas dyslipidemia and diabetes might be less involved. Additionally, few asymptomatic patients were accidentally diagnosed, indicating that the absence of symptoms cannot be used to rule out the presence of this disease. Randomized clinical trials cannot be performed because a considerable number of cases are required. Therefore, detailed descriptions of clinical features, as provided in our report, are important.
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Introduction

Spontaneous isolated dissection of visceral arteries affecting celiac or superior mesenteric arteries dissection without aortic dissection is considered a rare clinical entity. However, this disease is now being frequently diagnosed because the number of medical facilities capable of performing computed tomography (CT) has recently increased and the resolution of CT has markedly improved. Nevertheless, the pathogenesis, natural course and prognosis of this disease remain to be elucidated. Furthermore, a therapeutic strategy for this disease has not been established. Recently, various approaches/therapeutic algorithms have been proposed, including antihypertensive therapy, antithrombotic therapy, endovascular treatment, surgery and conservative observation without medication [1-3]. However, the validity of these therapeutic algorithms remains to be established.

A recent series of case reports on spontaneous isolated dissection of abdominal visceral arteries revealed that this disease could manifest various clinical features [1-4]. Considering the possible diversity of these features, it is important to clarify the clinical conditions of patients with spontaneous isolated dissection of abdominal visceral arteries. Thus, the present multi-institution study analyzed the clinical conditions of patients with spontaneous isolated dissection of abdominal visceral arteries.

Materials and Methods

A total of 36 patients (mean age 54.6 years; male/female, 29/7) diagnosed with spontaneous isolated dissection of abdominal visceral arteries between January 2010 and October 2016 were
enrolled in this multi-institutional study. The participating institutions were Kobe Rosai Hospital, Kobe Medical Center City Hospital and Nippon Medical School. The medical data of the patients were retrospectively reviewed. Data including sex, age, medical history, medications and initial symptoms were collected. Imaging characteristics such as the location of the dissection entry point relative to the vessel origin were evaluated. The therapeutic strategy for each patient was determined according to the discretion of the attending physicians.

All enrolled patients were interviewed and clinically examined. Demographic information (age and sex) and medical history were recorded. Hypertension was defined as systolic pressure $\geq 140$ mm Hg or diastolic pressure $\geq 90$ mm Hg, or the use of antihypertensive drugs. Dyslipidemia was defined as plasma low-density lipoprotein $\geq 140$ mg/dL, plasma triglycerides $\geq 150$ mg/dL, or plasma high-density lipoprotein $< 40$ mg/dL or the use of lipid-lowering drugs. Diabetes mellitus was defined as a glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) concentration of $\geq 6.5\%$ (as per National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program) and/or the subjects who received medication for diabetes.

Spontaneous isolated dissection of abdominal visceral arteries was detected on upper abdominal CT examination in almost patients, and was detected on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in one patient. This study was approved by the ethical committee of Kobe Rosai Hospital, Kobe City Medical Center General Hospital and Nippon Medical School according to the Declaration of Helsinki (approval number 27-13).

Results

Patients’ characteristics

The risk factors and clinical characteristics of the 36 patients are presented in Table 1. Among the 36 patients, 20 patients (55.6\%) had hypertension and 14 patients (38.8\%) were current smokers. All patients with hypertension were diagnosed with essential hypertension, and they were treated with antihypertensive drugs. Additionally, diabetes and dyslipidemia were noted in one and four patients, respectively (Table 2). Six cases (patient no. 14, 19, 22, 25, 32, 35 and 36) had no atherosclerotic risk factors. More, 32 patients complained of pain including abdominal pain and back pain, one had cough and three had no symptoms (Table 2).

Localization and Sakamoto classification of diseased vessels

Of the 36 cases, 26 cases involved the superior mesenteric artery dissection, nine involved the celiac artery, two involved the splenic artery, one involved the common hepatic artery, one involved the gastroduodenal artery and one involved the left gastric artery.

According to a previous report, spontaneous isolated dissection of abdominal visceral arteries can be classified into the following categories (Sakamoto classification): type I represented false lumens with both entry and reentry; type II represented “cul-de-sac”-shaped false lumens without reentry, type III represented thrombosed false lumens with ulcer-like projections defined as localized blood filled pouches protruding from the true lumens into the thrombosed false lumens and type IV represented completely thrombosed false lumens without ulcer-like projections (Fig. 1). In our study, six cases (16\%), two cases (5.5\%), seven cases (19.4\%) and 16 cases (44.4\%) were classified as type 1, type 2, type 3 and type 4, respectively, and five cases (13\%) were unclassified.

Treatment and prognosis

Treatments were categorized into the following three types: conservative, endovascular and surgical treatment such as abdominal artery bypass surgery. Conservative treatments included analgesia, blood pressure control, anticoagulation and antiplatelet therapy. Endovascular treatments included stenting and coiling. Of the 36 patients, 34 (94.4\%) were treated conservatively, and two cases (5.6\%) required intravascular treatment. No patient required surgery. All patients were discharged without complications.

Discussion

Previous studies have reported that patient age at the onset of spontaneous isolated dissection of abdominal visceral arteries was relatively low. Male prevalence has been reported to be high, and Nagai et al reported that the male/female ratio was 4:1 [1-5]. The risk factors of this disease remain to be elucidated; however, hypertension and smoking are considered to be closely involved in its pathogenesis, whereas dyslipidemia and diabetes appear to be less involved. In our study, the median patient age was 54.6 years, and male prevalence was 80.1\%. Additionally, the prevalence of hypertension was 55.6\%, and smoking history was 38.8\%. Only one patient had diabetes. Our findings in the present study are consistent with those of previous reports. The pathogenesis of this disease might be different from that of atherosclerotic vascular diseases, because diabetes, dyslipidemia and aging are strong risk factors of atherosclerotic vascular diseases. However, these factors such as hypertension, diabetes, obesity, or male sex are influenced by each other. Therefore, to determine the risk factor of spontaneous isolated dissection of abdominal visceral arteries, it is necessary to conduct a propensity matching analysis of control subjects and patients in a more large number. Wagner et al reported that fibromuscular dysplasia, cystic medial necrosis and the elastic tissue diseases such as Marfan disease and Ehlers-Danlos disease are possible causes of spontaneous isolated dissection of abdominal visceral arteries [6]. However, in our study, no patients presented with symptoms of connective tissue diseases or vasculitis.

Park et al demonstrated mechanical stress at the anterior wall around the convex portion of the superior mesenteric artery using computational fluid dynamic models [7]. Recently,
Wu et al clearly showed a positive association between large superior mesenteric artery-distal aorta angles and the occurrence of the dissection of the superior mesenteric artery [8]. These findings strongly suggest that enhanced shear stress on the vessel wall plays a critical role in the initiation of the dissection.

In our study, patients had abdominal pain or back pain (88.9%) and few patients were asymptomatic (8.3%). Asymptomatic patients were accidentally diagnosed when they got CT. These findings indicate that the absence of symptoms cannot be used to rule out the presence of spontaneous isolated dissection of abdominal visceral arteries without aortic dissec-

### Table 1. Characteristics of Patients

| Case | Age/sex | HT | DM | DL | Smoking | Symptoms | Location | Sakamoto classification | Treatment |
|------|---------|----|----|----|---------|----------|----------|------------------------|-----------|
| 1    | 61/F    | +  | -  | +  | +       | Abdominal and back pain | CA       | Type IV                | C         |
| 2    | 37/M    | +  | -  | -  | +       | Abdominal pain          | SMA      | Type IV                | C         |
| 3    | 49/M    | +  | -  | -  | +       | Abdominal pain          | SMA      | Type IV                | C         |
| 4    | 39/M    | -  | -  | -  | +       | Abdominal pain          | SMA      | Type II                | PTA       |
| 5    | 44/M    | -  | -  | -  | +       | Abdominal and back pain | SMA      | Type III               | C         |
| 6    | 55/M    | +  | -  | +  | +       | Abdominal pain          | SMA      | Type I                 | C         |
| 7    | 74/M    | +  | -  | -  | +       | No symptoms             | SMA      | Type I or II           | C         |
| 8    | 49/M    | +  | -  | -  | +       | Abdominal pain          | SMA      | Type I                 | C         |
| 9    | 52/M    | +  | -  | -  | -       | Abdominal pain          | CA       | Type III or IV         | C         |
| 10   | 56/M    | +  | -  | -  | -       | Abdominal pain          | SMA      | Type III               | C         |
| 11   | 60/M    | +  | -  | -  | -       | No symptoms             | SMA      | Type IV                | C         |
| 12   | 47/M    | +  | -  | -  | -       | Back pain               | SMA      | Type III               | C         |
| 13   | 50/M    | -  | -  | -  | +       | Back pain               | SMA      | Type III               | C         |
| 14   | 74/F    | -  | -  | -  | -       | Abdominal and back pain | SMA      | Type I                 | C         |
| 15   | 63/M    | +  | -  | -  | +       | Abdominal pain          | SMA      | Type III               | C         |
| 16   | 60/M    | -  | -  | -  | -       | Abdominal pain          | SMA      | Type I or II           | C         |
| 17   | 47/F    | +  | -  | -  | -       | Abdominal pain          | SMA      | Type II or III         | C         |
| 18   | 71/M    | -  | -  | +  | -       | Abdominal pain          | SMA      | Type I                 | C         |
| 19   | 72/M    | -  | -  | -  | -       | No symptoms             | SMA      | Type I                 | C         |
| 20   | 56/M    | +  | -  | -  | -       | Abdominal pain          | SMA      | Type III               | C         |
| 21   | 42/M    | +  | -  | -  | -       | Abdominal pain          | SMA      | Type IV                | C         |
| 22   | 52/M    | -  | -  | -  | -       | Abdominal pain          | SMA      | Type I                 | C         |
| 23   | 54/M    | +  | -  | -  | -       | Abdominal and back pain | SMA      | Type IV                | C         |
| 24   | 73/M    | +  | -  | -  | -       | Abdominal pain          | LGA      | Type IV                | C         |
| 25   | 48/M    | -  | -  | -  | -       | Abdominal pain          | CA, SA, CHA, GDA | Type IV | C         |
| 26   | 46/F    | -  | +  | -  | +       | Abdominal pain          | SMA      | Type IV                | PTA       |
| 27   | 54/M    | +  | -  | -  | -       | Back pain               | CA, SA   | Type IV                | C         |
| 28   | 65/F    | +  | -  | -  | -       | Back pain               | CA       | Unclassified           | C         |
| 29   | 58/F    | +  | -  | +  | -       | Cough                  | CA       | Type II                | C         |
| 30   | 51/M    | -  | -  | -  | +       | Abdominal pain          | SMA      | Type IV                | C         |
| 31   | 43/M    | -  | -  | -  | +       | Abdominal pain          | SMA      | Type IV                | C         |
| 32   | 48/M    | -  | -  | -  | -       | Back pain               | SMA      | Type IV                | C         |
| 33   | 43/M    | -  | -  | -  | +       | Abdominal pain          | SMA      | Type IV                | C         |
| 34   | 68/M    | +  | -  | -  | -       | Abdominal pain          | CA       | Type III               | C         |
| 35   | 46/M    | -  | -  | -  | -       | Abdominal pain          | CA       | Type IV                | C         |
| 36   | 59/F    | -  | -  | -  | -       | Abdominal pain          | CA       | Type IV                | C         |

F: female; M: male; HT: hypertension; DM: diabetes mellitus; DL: dyslipidemia; CA: celiac artery; SMA: superior mesenteric artery; LGA: left gastric artery; SA: splenic artery; CHA: common hepatic artery; GDA: gastroduodenal artery.
Dissection of Abdominal Visceral Arteries

It is difficult to make a diagnosis based on symptoms and special examinations; therefore, imaging approaches are necessary to make a definite diagnosis. The resolutions of CT have recently markedly improved, and the condition of not only the dissection but also the surrounding tissue or intestine can be examined with CT. On the other hand, 1.5 Tesla, but not 3.0 Tesla, MRI is usually used in the abdominal lesion. In the present study, most cases were diagnosed with CT.

The most appropriate therapeutic strategy for spontaneous isolated dissection of abdominal visceral arteries remains to be established. The surgical indications have been proposed to include: 1) Rupture in the acute phase; 2) Imminent rupture; 3) Progression of organ ischemia; 4) Enlargement of the aneurysm (size > 20 mm) in the chronic phase; and 5) Frequent occurrence of chronic organ symptoms such as abdominal angina [9]. Conservative approaches such as analgesia, control of blood pressure and antithrombotic therapy could prevent organ ischemia caused by thromboembolism. However, the use of antithrombotic drugs including anti-platelet drugs or anticoagulants remains to be controversial. In the present study, two of the 36 patients had organ ischemia, and therefore, received intravascular treatment. The other cases were treated conservatively.

Recently, Satokawa et al and Subhas et al proposed treatment algorithms that were based on the presence of symptom [10, 11]. However, the validity of these therapeutic algorithms remains to be established. To establish the therapeutic strategies, randomized clinical trials (RCTs) should ideally be performed, because the RCTs avoid evaluation bias and objectively evaluate the therapeutic effects. As a considerable number of cases are needed to perform RCTs, these trials are not suitable for spontaneous isolated dissection of abdominal visceral arteries. Therefore, detailed descriptions of clinical features as provided in our study are important.

In conclusions, we clarified the clinical conditions of patients with spontaneous isolated dissection of abdominal visceral arteries. Our findings suggest that hypertension and smoking might be involved in the pathogenesis of this disease and that this disease can occur without symptoms.
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Table 2. Patients Clinical Data

| Risk factor    |   |
|----------------|---|
| Hypertension   | 55.6% (20/36) |
| Diabetes       | 2.7% (1/36)   |
| Dyslipidemia   | 11.1% (4/36)  |
| Smoking        | 38.8% (14/36) |

| Symptoms        |   |
|-----------------|---|
| Abdominal pain  | 75.0% (27/36) |
| Back pain       | 25.0% (9/36)  |
| Other           | 2.7% (1/36)   |
| No symptoms     | 8.3% (3/36)   |

| Affected vessel |   |
|-----------------|---|
| SMA             | 72.2% (26/36) |
| CA              | 25.0% (9/36)  |
| SA              | 5.5% (2/36)   |
| CHA             | 2.7% (1/36)   |
| GDA             | 2.7% (1/36)   |
| LGA             | 2.7% (1/36)   |

| Sakamoto classification |   |
|-------------------------|---|
| Type I                 | 16.6% (6/36) |
| Type II                | 5.5% (2/36)  |
| Type III               | 19.4% (7/36) |
| Type IV                | 44.4% (16/36) |

SMA: superior mesenteric artery; CA: celiac artery; SA: splenic artery; CHA: common hepatic artery; GDA: gastroduodenal artery; LGA: left gastric artery.

Figure 1. Sakamoto classification. Type I represents false lumens with both entry and reentry. Type II represents “cul-de-sac”-shaped false lumens without reentry. Type III represents thrombosed false lumens with ulcer-like projections defined as localized blood filled pouches protruding from the true lumens into the thrombosed false lumens. Type IV represents completely thrombosed false lumens without ulcer-like projections.
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