Influence of the maternal rumen microbiome on development of the calf meconium and rumen microbiome
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INTRODUCTION

The increasing demand for meat and milk products due to the rising population, coupled with limited resources, requires increased efficiency of livestock. The microbiome within the rumen allows ruminant species to convert low-quality forages into volatile fatty acids (VFA) that are absorbed and digested by the animal to be used as an energy source. Understanding the development of microorganisms within the rumen is of interest due to their effects on host health, energy, performance, and efficiency (Flint and Bayer, 2008; Guan et al., 2008). Evidence suggests that colonization of the calf gastrointestinal tract may occur prior to birth due to the presence of bacteria in the meconium (Alipour et al., 2018). In addition, the establishment of the bacterial communities within the rumen occurs sequentially (Jami et al., 2013; Rey et al., 2014); however, little is known about the relationship and influence of the maternal rumen microbiome on subsequent development of the calf rumen microbiome and VFA production.

Research in humans (Biasucci et al., 2010) and ruminants (Cunningham et al., 2018) suggests that there is a maternal influence on gut microbiome development, including mode of delivery and nutrition. In addition, microbial populations established early in life have the ability to affect the health and performance of the adult animal, suggesting the potential for programming of the microbiome (Abecia et al., 2013). Thus, we hypothesized that the cow maternal rumen microbiome would influence colonization of the calf rumen microbiome. Our objective was to relate the rumen microbiome of the cow prior to parturition (RFC) and at weaning (RFCw) to the calf’s meconium microbiome (M) and calf rumen microbiome at birth (RFd1), day 2 (RFd2), day 28 (RFd28), and weaning (RFNw).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Wyoming (UW).

Sample Collection

Multiparous Angus crossbred cows (n = 10) from the UW beef herd were used in this study. Cows were bred via artificial insemination (AI), their expected calving date was calculated from the AI date, and cows were fed to meet the nutrient requirements through gestation and parturition. Ten to 12 d prior to the expected calving date and at the subsequent weaning, rumen fluid was collected from the cows via oral lavage using
methods described by Lodge-Ivey et al. (2009). A flexible vinyl tube was lubricated and passed orally into the rumen where rumen fluid was then collected via suction by an attached syringe. All samples were aliquoted, flash frozen, and stored at −80 °C for subsequent analysis.

Immediately following parturition, meconium and rumen fluid were collected from the calves. Meconium samples were collected using methods described by Alipour et al. (2018). A sterile doubled sheathed equine uterine culture swab was inserted into the calf’s rectum. The cotton tip was exposed and swirled inside the rectum, then retracted into a protective tube prior to removal from the rectum. Rumen fluid was collected immediately prior to meconium via oral lavage. Rumen fluid was collected again 24 h post-parturition (day 2), day 28, and at weaning.

**Microbial DNA Extraction, Library Preparation, and Sequencing**

Rumen fluid and meconium samples were processed for 16S rRNA sequencing. Microbial DNA was isolated using bead-beating methods described by Yu and Morrison (2004) and further purified using the QIAamp DNA Stool Kit (Qiagen), quantified by Qubit assay and diluted to 5 ng/µL for library preparation. The hypervariable region V4 of the 16S rRNA gene was sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq platform at the Colorado State University Next Generation Sequencing Laboratory. The first-round PCR was done using primers 515F and 806R. The second-round PCR was done using primers P5F and P7R following Illumina protocol.

**Bioinformatic Analysis**

Paired-end sequences were quality filtered, assigned taxonomy, and analyzed for diversity utilizing QIIME2 v. 2019.10 (Bolyen et al., 2019). Taxonomic assignment was completed by aligning to the SILVA 132 database. Shannon index and evenness were calculated for metrics of alpha diversity, and Bray–Curtis distance matrix was utilized for beta diversity. All pairwise comparisons were made using Kruskal–Wallis permutational multivariate analysis of variance. Statistical significance was considered when the false discovery rate adjusted P-value (q) was ≤0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Alpha Diversity**

Shannon diversity accounts for both abundance and evenness of taxa present and is shown in Fig. 1. Meconium differed from RFC, RFCw, RFNw, and RFd28 (q ≤ 0.002), but did not differ from RFd1 and RFd2 (q ≥ 0.11). Shannon index was significantly greater in RFC than all other samples (q ≤ 0.02). Rumen fluid from the cow at weaning differed from all sample types (q ≤ 0.02) except for RFNw (q = 0.91). Shannon index was significantly greater in RFNw than RFd1, RFd2, and RFd28 (q ≤ 0.002). Rumen fluid at day 1 had higher Shannon diversity than RFd2 (q = 0.02) but was similar to RFd28 (q = 0.14); however, RFd2 was lower compared with RFd28 (q = 0.002). Evenness metrics shown in Fig. 2 followed a similar pattern to that of the Shannon diversity, with the exception that M and RFd28 did not differ from each other (q = 0.18).

![Figure 1](https://academic.oup.com/tas/article/4/Supplement_1/S169/6043909)

**Figure 1.** Alpha diversity box plots showing Shannon richness for Meconium (M), calf day 1 rumen fluid (RFd1), calf day 2 rumen fluid (RFd2), calf day 28 rumen fluid (RFd28), calf weaning rumen fluid (RFNw), cow rumen fluid prior to parturition (RFC), and cow rumen fluid at weaning (RFCw). a,b,c,d,e denote differences at the q ≤ 0.05.

Translate basic science to industry innovation
The Bray–Curtis dissimilarity matrix is utilized to determine compositional dissimilarities between sample types. Figure 3 indicates that M differed from RFC, RFNw, RFd2, and RFd28 (q ≤ 0.01), but did not differ from RFCw and RFd1 (q ≥ 0.09). Rumen fluid from the cow prior to parturition differed from RFd1, RFd2, and RFd28 (q ≤ 0.03) but was similar to RFCw and RFNw (q ≥ 0.08). Rumen fluid from the cow at weaning differed from RFd2 (q = 0.04) but was similar to RFNw, RFd1, RFd2, and RFd28 (q ≥ 0.46). Beta diversity differed between RFNw and both RFd1 and RFd2 (q ≤ 0.01) but was similar to RFd28 (q = 0.60). There were differences in composition between RFd1, RFd2, and RFd28 (q ≤ 0.04).

**DISCUSSION**

It has been suggested that ingestion of amniotic fluid and the associated bacteria resemble that of the meconium and the bacteria within the amniotic fluid may have the potential to influence colonization of the meconium (Ardissone et al., 2014). The similarities in richness, evenness, and composition between meconium and RFd1 could be a result of the ingestion of meconium by the calf during the calving process (Lopez and Bildfell, 1992). Meconium probably has similarities in richness and evenness, but not composition, relative to RFd2 because of differences in nutrient availability at different time points. By RFd2, the rumen microbiome has been exposed to colostrum, milk, and environmental factors leading to different substrate availability to the rumen microbes. The difference in availability of nutrients at day 2 relative to day 1 likely led to shifts in microbial composition of the rumen leading to differences in beta diversity between meconium and RFd2 as well as those found between RFd1 and RFd2. Although the cow rumen microbiome prior to parturition differed from the meconium microbiome, the rumen microbiome of the cow at weaning was similar in composition to the meconium. This could be explained by the research of Alipour et al. (2018) that determined meconium samples resembled the dam oral bacteria. Because ruminant species are
known to regurgitate and further chew feed, the cow rumen and oral microbiome are often similar, explaining similarities in phyla between the meconium and rumen fluid samples (Tapio et al., 2016). Hormonal shifts occurring during gestation can lead to changes in gut function and bacterial composition (Koren et al., 2012). Hormonal changes during pregnancy could alter the rumen environment prior to and during parturition explaining the lack of similarities between the meconium and cow rumen fluid prior to parturition. However, the similarities of the meconium and the cow rumen microbiome at weaning could suggest that the early gestation rumen microbiome is more similar to the meconium microbiome. This could indicate that microbial inoculation of the calf in utero begins in early gestation to midgestation; however, further research is needed to understand this relationship.

At the time of weaning, the calf rumen microbiome had increased evenness and richness relative to the RFd1, RFd2, and RFd28; however, RFd28 was similar to the calf at weaning in terms of overall composition. This is indicative of the successive development of the rumen and the stabilization of the microbiome as the animal matures (Jami et al., 2013).

The rumen microbiome of the cow and calf at weaning were similar. This suggests that as the calf matures and consumes feedstuff similar to its dam, their microbiomes become more similar. Beta diversity did not differ between the two mature cow samples, indicating that the composition of the mature rumen microbiome is stable, but alpha diversity differences were detected. In this instance, cows were sampled at different points of the biological cycle (i.e., weaning vs. late gestation) and were consuming different diets, which could explain the variation in alpha diversity metrics reported (Kumar et al., 2015).

To our knowledge, this is the first characterization of the meconium microbiome compared with rumen microbiome from birth through weaning and with the maternal rumen microbiome at two different biological stages. With these data, further investigation into key factors affecting in utero colonization are needed. These data may provide a platform to develop programming strategies, thereby impacting host performance long term.

**IMPLICATIONS**

Results from this study indicate successive development of the calf rumen microbiome and its relationship to that of the dam rumen microbiome prior to birth and at weaning. These concur with others in the field that the rumen microbiome develops rapidly and in sequential steps following the birthing process. These data provide additional information regarding similarities to the maternal rumen microbiome and can be used to develop hypotheses for the pathway of colonization in the early gut. Further understanding of the maternal influence on the development of the calf rumen microbiome, such as maternal nutrition and reproductive microbiomes, can be used to develop management practices for programming the microbiome and improving host performance.
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