Short-term outcomes of endoscopic evacuation of supratentorial spontaneous intracerebral hematoma: Early experience from developing country
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ABSTRACT

Background: Minimally invasive endoscopic hematoma evacuation is a promising treatment option for intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH). However, the technique still needs improvement.

Methods: We report our early clinical experience of using this technique to evacuate supratentorial spontaneous intracerebral hematomas and evaluate its short-term outcomes.

Results: The study included 15 patients, basal ganglia hematoma was the most common location 62.5%, mean preoperative hematoma volume was 61.07 cc, mean ICH score was 3, and mean rate of hematoma evacuation was 89.27%. Factors that could be related to mortality were Glasgow Coma Score (GCS) on admission (P = 0.001), ICH score (P = 0.004); postoperative GCS (P < 0.001), postoperative hematoma volume (P = 0.006); intraventricular extension (P = 0.001), and rate of evacuation (P = 0.001).

Conclusion: Endoscopic technique is a safe surgical option for evacuation of spontaneous supratentorial ICH. This minimally invasive technique could be helpful to provide better short-term outcomes for selected patients. However, in our experience, this minimally invasive technique did not change the outcome for cases presented with poor GCS on admission 4/15. Our results warrant a future prospective, randomized, controlled efficacy trial.
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INTRODUCTION

Spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) represents approximately 15% of all strokes. The mortality rate of ICH is approximately 40% at 1 month and 54% at 1 year; with only 12%–39% of patients achieving functional independence on long-term follow-up. The benefits of surgical evacuation for spontaneous ICH are controversial. The main goal of surgery is hematoma evacuation with relieving the mass effect to prevent brain damage that would result from the compression and herniation as well as from the ischemia and the toxic effects of blood degradation products on the brain, however, the prospective randomized controlled trials and the surgical treatment for ICH trials have failed to demonstrate that craniotomy could improve the functional outcomes.
Surgical options for evacuation of ICH include craniotomy, decompressive craniectomy, and minimally invasive procedures including stereotactic aspiration and endoscopic evacuation.[1,16] Recent studies on minimally invasive treatments, including endoscopic surgery and stereotactic aspiration, have designated such surgical techniques as safe and effective in patients with ICH.[41]

In this prospective study, we report our early experience of endoscopic evacuation of spontaneous intracerebral hematoma. We discussed the short-term outcomes of the technique using the following variables: hematoma evacuation rate, operation time, Glasgow Coma Score (GCS), blood loss, rebleeding, epilepsy, hospital stay, and Glasgow Outcome Score (GOS).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study design**

We retrospectively collected the data of 15 patients who underwent endoscopic evacuation of spontaneous supratentorial intracerebral hematoma between November 2018 and March 2020 in our institute.

Inclusion criteria were adult patients 18–70 years with GCS (4–14) and one of the following: (1) basal ganglia ICH with a hematoma volume >30 cc; or (2) subcortical hemorrhage >30 cc with a significant mass effect (midline shift >5 mm and sulcus effacement); or (3) thalamic ICH with a hematoma volume >20 cc and acute hydrocephalus; or (4) combined type ICH or large hematoma occupying both the basal ganglia and the thalamus.

Exclusion criteria were as follows: age < 18 and > 70 years, ICH caused by intracranial tumors, aneurysms, trauma, infarction, or other intracranial lesions; basal ganglia ICH or subcortical hemorrhage <30 cc, thalamic hematoma <20 cc without hydrocephalus; multiple intracranial hemorrhages, infratentorial spontaneous ICH; coagulation disorders or history of anticoagulant drugs, infectious meningitis, and pulmonary or general infection; GCS < 4 or presence of clinical and radiologic signs of cerebral hernia or death, or severe systemic diseases such as heart, liver, lung, or kidney dysfunction.

In terms of short-term results, the hematoma volume was a critical pillar, patients with basal ganglia hematoma >30 cc and thalamic hematoma >20 cc could benefit from the endoscopic technique in the reported endoscopic series,[20,21,33,34,36] so we chose those patients in our series to achieve optimum benefit for the patients.

**Data collection**

We collected demographic data such as gender and age, preoperative hematoma volume, intraventricular extension, ICH score, hematoma evacuation rate; operation time, GCS; blood loss, rebleeding; epilepsy, hospital stay, and GOS.

All patients underwent computed tomography (CT) brain at admission to localize the hematoma. Routine preoperative laboratories were done for all patients preoperatively.

We adopted the Tada Formula to calculate the hematoma volume, which is defined as $V = a \times b \times c \times 1/2$, where “$V$” represents hematoma volume; “$a$” indicates the diameter of the largest area of the hematoma layer; “$b$” shows the upright diameter of the diameter of the largest area of the hematoma layer, and “$c$” is the layer thickness.[32]

**Surgical technique**

We made a linear/curved skin incision, an average of 5 cm in length in a fashion that could be extended to do open microsurgical evacuation if failed the endoscopic technique. The burr holes were designed according to the location of hematoma: for hematoma in basal ganglia, we used entry point 2–3 cm anterior to the coronal suture and 3–4 cm lateral to the midline, that is, lateral to Kocher’s point; for thalamic hematoma, we used entry posterior parietal entry point, that is, similar to Keen’s point but with different trajectory; for lobar hematoma, we used the shortest approach to the hematoma in relation to the surface of the skull. The diameter of the burr hole was 2.5–3 cm, in some cases, we were forced to widen it after we faced cortical vein after dural opening.

All patients were operated under general anesthesia, we used endoscopes 0 rod lens of 4 mm diameter 18 cm in length (Karl Storz, Tuttlingen, Germany).

A 7 or 10 mm diameter suction tube with a coagulation apparatus was used to evacuate the hematoma and coagulate the vessel, and endoscopic bipolar was used alternatively in some cases. We used two endoscopic transparent sheathes interchangeably, a transparent plastic sheath (TianXinFu [Beijing] Medical Appliance Co., Ltd., China) and NeuroPort (Olympus Corp., Tokyo) [Figure 1]. We do not use a navigator in any case (unavailable).

We did not try to remove the clot adherent to the cavity wall, and we sometimes used saline irrigation as a trial to liquefy the hematoma to make it easy to be aspirated. Most bleeding from these perforators stopped after gentle compression with cotton and irrigation for 5 min. When a bleeder was identified with an endoscope, coagulation was preferred using a suction tube with a coagulation apparatus [Figure 2]. We used small Surgicel® (Ethicon Inc., Somerville, NJ, USA) micro pieces over the oozing wall of hematoma and we also used Surgifo’ (Ethicon Inc., Somerville, NJ, USA) in some cases if available.

After adequate evacuation of hematoma, we closed the wound after the blood pressure was elevated more than
150/90 and maintained for 5 min. We stitched the dura then the periosteal layer followed by closure of the skin in layers. We did not place subgaleal drain in any case, and we used placed External Ventricular Drain (EVD) in two cases of ICH with intraventricular extension.

Postoperative care and assessment

All patients were transferred to the intensive care unit (ICU) where blood pressure was maintained on the high normal range, and antiepileptic drugs and antibiotics were continued. Routine CT brain was performed on the same postoperative day for all patients because all patients are transferred to ICU without a trial of extubation to avoid an unpredictable rise in the blood pressure in the immediate postoperative recovery.

We calculated the hematoma evacuation as a percentage: (postoperative hematoma volume/preoperative hematoma volume). The length of hospital stay was documented for each patient. Assessment of clinical outcome at discharge from the hospital was done using GOS.

[Figures 3-6] show pre- and post-operative images of four illustrative cases.

Statistical methods

Data were coded and entered using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 26 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Data were summarized using mean, standard deviation, median, minimum and maximum in quantitative data and using frequency (count) and relative frequency (percentage) for categorical data. Comparisons between quantitative variables were done using the nonparametric Mann–Whitney U-test. For comparison of serial measurements (before and after) within each patient, the nonparametric Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used. For comparing categorical data, Chi-square test was performed. An exact test was used instead when the expected frequency is <5. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Figure 1: Endoscopic sheathes, (a) transparent plastic sheath (TianXinFu (Beijing) Medical Appliance Co., Ltd., China), (b) NeuroPort (Olympus Corp., Tokyo).

Figure 2: Intraoperative capture from the procedure, (a) advancement of the endoscope to inspect for hematoma, (b) more advancement to evacuate the distal part of the hematoma, (c) coagulation by endoscopic bipolar, (d) after evacuation of the hematoma.

Figure 3: A 63-year-old male patient presented with Glasgow Coma Score 8, computed tomography (CT) brain on admission (a) showed left basal ganglia intracerebral hemorrhage, 42 cc. Postoperative CT brain (b) revealed complete hematoma evacuation. The patient was discharged from the hospital after 8 days with Glasgow Outcome Score 4.
RESULTS

General preoperative data

The mean age for the 15 patients who underwent the evacuation was 55.8 ± 10.09 years. Ten were male and five were female. Eleven patients 73.3% were known to be hypertensive at the time of presentation, while 26.7% of the patients were not. DM was found as a comorbidity in 26.7% of patients.
The mean GCS on admission was 5.93, three patients admitted with GCS 13, 12, and 13 for conservative treatment, then they deteriorated in conscious level down to 5, 5, and 9, respectively, for whom endoscopic evacuation was done. The most common location was in basal ganglia in eight patients 53.3%. The mean hematomas size on preoperative CT was 61.07 ± 18.52 cc. The incidence of IVH on the initial CT scan was 60%, and we placed EVD in two patients. The mean ICH score for all patients included was 3 ± 1. The mean time interval between ictus and surgery was 9.8 h ± 11.05 h. The shortest time interval to surgery was 4 h and the longest was 48 h. The mean duration of surgery was 55 min ± 3.18 min. The longest surgery was 73 min. [Table 1] summarizes general preoperative data.

### Short-term outcomes

The mean postoperative GCS was 10.13 (P = 0.007). Two patients were operated with GCS 5 for both of them and postoperative showed improvement on GCS to 13, they stayed for 15 and 12 days in ICU, respectively, however; one patient died from COVID-19 and the other died from sepsis. The mean postoperative hematoma volume was 8.63 ± 11.11 cc (P = 0.001). The mean evacuation rate was 89.27% of its preoperative volume, one patient with an evacuation rate of 54%, reoperated with microscopic technique. The mean duration of postoperative hospital stay was 7.27 days. The mean postoperative GOS was 2.67. [Table 2] summarizes the short-term outcomes.

### Postoperative complications

Mortality occurred in eight patients 53.3%, two of them were improved on GCS to 13/15 and became stable for many days but died from chest infection and sepsis, five patients had GCS 4/15 before surgery, and the last one was 5/15 at admission with no witnessed previous deterioration.

The one patient occurred in a single case, the hematoma was evacuated with 54% and no immediate improvement in conscious level, the patient operated on by microsurgical evacuation.

### DISCUSSION

The surgical treatment options for ICH consist mainly of three methods; conventional craniotomy, endoscopic evacuation, and stereotactic evacuation.

In 1989, Auer et al. conducted the first randomized controlled trial about conservative treatment versus endoscopic surgery for spontaneous ICH.[3] With the advancement of medical instruments, endoscopic evacuation for the treatment of hypertensive ICH has become increasingly common and has established itself as a valuable and reliable treatment choice.[36]

#### Timing of surgery

There is no clear evidence at present time indicates that ultra-early removal of supratentorial ICH improves functional outcomes or mortality rates.[20]

Ma et al. reported in their endoscopic series a mean time between ictus and surgery were 4–50 h with no statistical significance (P = 0.28).[23] they assumed that clot might be harder to remove if the time interval to surgery time is long, especially longer than 48 h.[23]

Nishihara et al. recommended performance of the endoscopic technique within 24 h after onset because intracerebral hematoma usually starts to harden about 24 h after onset, and 48 h later, it cannot be evacuated with a suction tube.[27] In our series, the mean time interval between ictus and surgery was 9.8 h ± 11.05 h. The shortest time interval to surgery was 4 h and the longest was 48 h.

---

### Table 1: Preoperative data of patients.

| Parameter                     | Total number=15 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|
|                              | Mean value      | Range or %     |
| Age (years)                  | 55.80           | 35–71          |
| Sex (M:F)                    | 10:5            |                |
| Preoperative ICH volume (cc) | 61.07           | 30–92          |
| Location of hematoma         |                 |                |
| Thalamic                     | 3               | 20%            |
| Lobar                        | 4               | 27%            |
| Basal ganglia                | 8               | 53%            |
| Intraventricular extension   |                 |                |
| Yes                          | 9               | 60%            |
| No                           | 6               | 40%            |
| GCS on admission             | 5.93            | 4–10           |
| Preoperative ICH score       | 3               | 2–4            |
| Timing of surgical intervention (hours) | 9.80 | 4–48           |
| Medical morbidities          |                 |                |
| HTN                          | 11              | 73%            |
| DM                           | 4               | 27%            |

M: Male; F: Female, ICH: Intracerebral hematoma, GCS: Glasgow Coma Scale, HTN: Hypertension, DM: Diabetes mellitus

### Table 2: Short-term outcomes.

| Parameter                     | Total number=15 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|
|                              | Mean Value      | Range or %     |
| Postoperative GCS            | 10.13           | 4–15           |
| Postoperative hematoma size (cc) | 8.63          | 0–40           |
| Rate of hematoma evacuation % | 89.27          | 54–100%        |
| Hospital stay (days)         | 7.27            | 3–15           |
| GOS                           | 2.67            | 1–5            |

GCS: Glasgow Coma Score, GOS: Glasgow Outcome Score
We noticed also that the shorter time to ictus the easier it to be sucked, and as time passes the hematoma becomes more organized and we used irrigation with saline as a trial to dissolve it. Concerning mortality, there was no statistical significance between the timing of surgery and mortality (P = 0.536).

Preoperative GCS

Many published series of the endoscopic technique included patients with GCS ≥ 4.[15,20] Li et al. conducted a study comparing the safety and efficacy of stereotactic aspiration, endoscopic surgery, and craniotomy in spontaneous ICH over 99 patients with GCS (4–14).[21] Wang et al. compared the results of endoscopic versus craniotomy techniques in spontaneous ICH in 45 patients with GCS (4–14).[22] In our series, we involved 15 patients who met the inclusion criteria with a mean GCS of 5.93 (4–13). Three patients have witnessed deterioration in conscious level form 12, 8, and 13 on GCS down to 5, 5, and 9, respectively, for whom endoscopic evacuation was done. The improvement in GCS postoperatively was statistically significant (P = 0.007).

Hematoma volume and evacuation rate

The ICH volume is strongly associated with the outcome of the ICH.[6] Helweg-Larsen et al. reported that ICH volume and level of consciousness were the determinants for immediate prognosis with mortality 90% with hematoma volume ≥ 50 cc.[14] Gregson et al. could achieve a good outcome only with hematoma volume 20–50 cc.[15] Broderick et al. reported low mortality with hematoma <30 cc[16] and Kumral et al. reported that large thalamic hematoma was associated with a significant increase in mortality.[17] Hence, we selected patients with basal ganglia hematoma >30 cc and thalamic hematoma >20 cc to maximize benefits for patients.

The evacuation rate reported by the published endoscopic series ranged from 80% to 99%.[20–24,26,28] In our series, hematomas size on preoperative CT ranged from 30–92 cc (mean 61.07 cc). The mean rate of hematoma evacuation was 89.27% (54–100%). This rate of evacuation was comparable to what was published in the literature, however, our sample size is low.

Intraventricular extension

Intraventricular hemorrhage was associated with impaired consciousness on admission[29] and is strongly linked to mortality and poor outcome.[14] Hallevi et al. reported that patients with an IVH were twice as likely to have a poor functional outcome (discharge mRS > 3) when compared to patients without an IVH (P = 0.001).[15] Bhaskar et al. reported that the mortality rate was higher in patients having an intraventricular extension of the hematoma whether they were conservatively or with surgery.[15] In our series, the incidence of IVH on the initial CT scan was 60% (nine patients). The correlation between intraventricular extension and mortality (six out of nine patients) was statistically significant (P = 0.001).

ICH score

Hemphill et al. published the ICH score as a grading scale for ICH in 2001, they noticed that all six patients with an ICH score of 5 died.[15] Bhaskar et al. reported that the mortality rate increased with an increase in the ICH score (P = 0.023) with 90% mortality in patients with an ICH score of 4, and the mortality rate reduced to 50% in patients with an ICH score of 2.[15] In our series, the mean ICH score for all patients included was 3 (2–4), and in relation to mortality, it was statistically significant (P = 0.004). There were 4 patients (46.7%) who scored 4 on ICH, all of them died, which was relevant to prognosis based on ICH score, that is, ICH score of 4 is associated with 97% mortality.

Surgical technique

Craniotomy has been used traditionally as the first option for large spontaneous ICH, sometimes with decompressive flap and duroplasty. The craniotomy provides a good view, immediate brain decompression, and adequate hemostasis, however, it is associated with more blood loss, increase in operation time, and risk of infection.[15] The endoscopic technique is associated with better visualization and less operative time, bleeding loss, and manipulation to brain tissue, however, difficult hemostasis and steep learning curve are major limitations for the technique.[21,36]

Li et al. found that stereotactic aspiration and endoscopic surgery were superior in both short-term and long-term outcomes than craniotomy for the treatment of spontaneous supratentorial lobar ICH.[21]

Sun et al. found no difference between keyhole endoscopy and craniotomy regarding mortality and rebleeding rate.[31]

In our series, the unfavorable outcome in the form of GOS 1 (53.3%) is comparable to patients who underwent microsurgical evacuation using craniotomy in another center in Egypt with GOS 1–2 (56.1%).[18]

Complications

Endoscope-assisted ICH evacuation performed in the early stage was associated with a minimal rebleeding rate (0–3.3%) compared with the traditional craniotomy method (5–10%).[20,25] In the endoscopic series, Wang et al. reported a rebleeding rate of in two patients 9.5%, and Kuo et al. reported surgery-related morbidity in three cases 4.4% in
the form of wound infections and rebleeding. In our series, reevacuation occurred in a single case, the hematoma was evacuated by 54% of its initial volume by endoscopic technique and no immediate improvement in conscious level, the patient operated upon by microsurgical evacuation. In our series, we did not have either postoperative seizures, wound infection, or CSF leak.

GOS

In the published endoscopic series, Li et al. reported a mean GOS 3.4 ± 1.5 in 22 patients, Wang et al. reported a mean GOS 3 in 21 patients.

In our series, the mean postoperative GOS was 2.67 (1–5), four patients discharged without deficit 26.7% (GOS 5), three patients discharged with weakness 20% (GOS 4), and eight patients died 53.3% (GOS 1).

Mortality

There are a lot of factors reported in the literature related to poor outcome or mortality in spontaneous ICH: low GCS score on admission, large hematoma size, intraventricular extension of blood, and patient's age, gender, deep coma, ataxic respiration, abnormal pupils, and acute hypertension. GCS was an important predictor of the level of disability and mortality in many published series. In the published endoscopic series, the mortality rate ranged from 9.5% to 18.8%. In our series, mortality occurred in eight patients 53.3%, two of them were improved on GCS to 13/15 and became stable for many days but died from chest infection from COVID-19 and sepsis, five patients had GCS 4/15 before surgery, and the last one was 5/15 at admission with no witnessed previous deterioration.

Factors that could be linked to mortality: GCS on admission (P = 0.001), ICH score (P = 0.004), postoperative GCS (P < 0.001), postoperative hematoma volume (P = 0.006), intraventricular extension (P = 0.001), and percentage of hematoma evacuation (P = 0.001).

We obtained comparable results in timing of intervention, length of surgery, postoperative hematoma volume, and hematoma evacuation in our early experience with the endoscopic technique. However, our series' mortality is much higher than that reported in the literature, and this is mainly due to low GCS at presentation 4/15. Five patients accounted for 62.5% of the total mortality.

In certain patients, the endoscopic technique can provide optimal results that are comparable to the open microsurgical technique. Our findings call for a potential prospective, randomized, controlled efficacy trial that compares GCS outcomes in different groups.

Study limitations

We agree that the preliminary findings of this retrospective study are limited. The sample size was insufficient to provide reliable evidence for clinical use. Many patients with a GCS score of 4 and a mean time to surgery of 9.5 h from ictus have a poor prognosis, which may explain why our series had a higher mortality rate than other series, due to poor GCS at admission 4/15 due to late arrival at the hospital and hence a higher ICH score with corresponding higher mortality.

CONCLUSION

Endoscopic technique is a safe surgical option for evacuation of spontaneous supratentorial ICH. For certain patients, this minimally invasive approach can be beneficial in terms of improving short-term outcomes. In our experience, this minimally invasive procedure had little impact on the outcome of cases with a low GCS at the time of admission, that is, 4/15. This technique necessitates a steep learning curve, implying the acquisition of skills. As a result, familiarity with the endoscope and associated instrumentation is the most effective way to achieve a better result with less complication. Our findings call for a prospective, randomized, controlled efficacy trial in the future.
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