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Abstract

Objectives: To derive a steady state solution of bulk arrival queue with fixed batch service, in addition the server may break down and the server takes compulsory vacation at each service completion points. Methods/Statistical Analysis: Using supplementary variable technique and Laplace Stieltjes transform, the probability generating functions has been obtained for finding the steady state solution. Findings: Using the properties of probability generating function, some performance measures of the queuing model have been obtained at various server state. The model has been compared with existing once by assuming particular distributions to respective random variables. Application/Improvements: The results obtained has been comprehended by illustrating numerical examples.
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1. Introduction

The congestion situations encountered in computer, communication, manufacturing, production system, etc, can be modelled as queuing system with vacation. Several researchers have contributed significantly on vacation models and the corresponding works are in\(^{1,2,10,12,14}\) and paper\(^{24}\) are the two excellent survey works on vacation queues. In practical phenomena it is usual that the server may break downs. So that a more suitable queuing model is that which consider the assumption of unreliable server. Many researchers have contributed on queue with unreliable servers and the papers are in\(^{13,15,16}\). Some notable works on queuing with break down are discussed in\(^{15,17}\) and also in\(^8\). Many researchers have studied the queuing model with unreliable server under several assumptions and given idea to model the related works. In\(^8\) incorporate the server breakdown on vacation queuing model. The Poisson arrival of batch size X and general service given by single service queuing system of unreliable server and with single vacation studied in\(^7\).

In\(^3\) the Poisson arrival with a single unreliable server queue with Bernoulli vacation with two phases of general service. In\(^4\), the random arrival size X and Bernoulli vacation queue with two phases of general unreliable service also repair may starts with some delay time has been considered. In\(^14\) the Poisson arrival of random size X with general service by a single unreliable server queuing system with repair time, also server may take multiple vacation and follow randomized vacation policy has been discussed. The Poisson arrival of random size X and server give service of fixed size K with Bernoulli vacation has been studied in\(^9\). In this article we consider an M\(^{(X)}\)/G\(^{K}\)/1 queue with unreliable server and with compulsory vacation. This type of queuing system exits in manufacturing industries, transportation system etc. In manufacturing industries, after products are approved for transportation to customer shops, they are transported to the shops in bulks by truck. After transporting the products, the truck is sent for maintenance (vacation period). During the service period (transportation period), the trucks may break down. This situation can be modeled as an M\(^{(X)}\)/G
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K/1 queue with unreliable server and compulsory vacation. The remainder of this article is organized as follows: Section 2 provides the model description and mathematical analysis. In section 3, we obtain some queuing characteristics of the model discussed in this paper. In section 4, we present some particular models. In section 5, we illustrate the model by some numerical examples. Finally, in section 6, we present a conclusion.

2. The Model and Analysis

Let us assume an $M^{[X]}/G^K/1$ queue, arrival follows compound Poisson process with parameter $\lambda$ and the size of the successive arriving batches is a random variable with probability $P(X=j)=C_j$, whose probability generating function is defined as $C(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C_j z^j$. The services are given by single server in batches of fixed size ‘K’ and the service time distribution of each batch is generally distributed with distribution function $G(x)$. After completion of each service, the server takes a compulsory vacation of random duration. The vacation period is also generally distributed with distribution function $B(x)$. In addition, the server may breakdown during a service and the breakdowns follows a Poisson process with the parameter ‘$\alpha$’. The interrupted customer will stay in the queue as a first person to get service again and the repair to server starts immediately when the server breakdown. The duration of the repair period is generally distributed with distribution function $H(x)$. Immediately after the broken server is repaired, the server is ready to start its service. Further, we assume that the input process, server life time, server repair time, service time and vacation times are independent of each other. The analysis of this model is based on supplementary variable technique and the supplementary variable is elapsed service time/elapsed vacation time/elapsed repair time. We define the following conditional probabilities and probabilities:

$$\mu(x) = \frac{g(x)}{1-G(x)}$$

is the conditional probability that the completion of service during the interval $(x, x+dx)$, here ‘x’ is the elapsed service time.

$$\beta(x) = \frac{b(x)}{1-B(x)}$$

is the conditional probability that the completion of vacation during the interval $(x, x+dx)$, here ‘x’ is the elapsed vacation time.

$$\gamma(x) = \frac{h(x)}{1-H(x)}$$

is the conditional probability that the completion of repair during the interval $(x, x+dx)$, here ‘x’ is the elapsed repair time.

The Markov process related to this model is $\{N(t) : t \geq 0\}$ where $N(t)$ be the number of customer in the queue and $S(t)$ be the supplementary variable at time $t$. and

$$S(t) = S_0(t), \text{ the elapsed service time}$$

$$= S_0(t), \text{ the elapsed vacation time}$$

$$= S_0(t), \text{ the elapsed repair time}$$

$$P_n(t,x) = \text{Probability that, at time } t \text{, there are 'n' customers in the queue (excluding the customer in service) and the elapsed service time is 'x'}$$

$$V_n(t,x) = \text{Probability that, at time } t \text{, there are 'n' customers in the queue and the elapsed vacation time is 'x'}$$

$$R_n(t,x) = \text{Probability that, at time } t \text{, there are 'n' customers in the queue and the elapsed repair time is 'x'}$$

$$Q_n(t) = \text{Probability that, at time } t \text{, there are 'n' customers in the queue and the server is idle}$$

The differential-difference equations for this model are

$$\frac{dP_0(x)}{dx} = -(\lambda + \mu(x) + \alpha)P_0(x)$$

$$\frac{dP_n(x)}{dx} = -(\lambda + \mu(x) + \alpha)P_n(x) + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C_j P_{n-j}(x), \text{ for } n \geq 1$$

$$\frac{dV_0(x)}{dx} = -(\lambda + \beta(x))V_0(x)$$

$$\frac{dV_n(x)}{dx} = -(\lambda + \beta(x))V_n(x) + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C_j V_{n-j}(x), \text{ for } n \geq 1$$

$$\frac{dR_0(x)}{dx} = -(\lambda + \gamma(x))R_0(x)$$

$$\frac{dR_n(x)}{dx} = -(\lambda + \gamma(x))R_n(x) + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C_j R_{n-j}(x), \text{ for } n \geq 1$$
\[ 0 = -\lambda Q_n + \lambda(1 - \delta_{n+1}) \sum_{j=0}^{n} Q_{n-j} + \int_{0}^{\infty} R_n(x) \gamma(x) dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} V_{n}(x) \beta(x) dx \]  
\quad \text{(7)}

The boundary conditions are
\[ P_n(0) = \int_{0}^{\infty} V_n(x) \mu(x) dx, \quad n \geq 0 \]  
\quad \text{(8)}
\[ V_n(0) = \int_{0}^{\infty} P_n(x) \mu(x) dx, \quad n \geq 0 \]  
\quad \text{(9)}
\[ R_n(0) = \alpha \int_{0}^{\infty} P_{n-K}(x) dx, \quad n \geq K \]  
\quad \text{(10)}
\[ R_n(0) = 0, \quad \text{for} \ n < K \]  
\quad \text{(11)}

and the normalization condition is
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{K-1} Q_n + \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [P_n(x) + V_n(x) + R_n(x)] dx = 1 \]  
\quad \text{(12)}

For the analysis, we define the following probability generating functions
\[ P(x,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(x) z^n, \quad V(x,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n(x) z^n, \quad R(x,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n(x) z^n, \quad Q(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n z^n \]

Multiplying equation (2) by \(z^n\) and applying \(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\), we have
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(x) z^n = (\lambda + \mu(x) + \alpha) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(x) z^n + \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_j P_{n-j}(x) z^n \]

Adding the above equation with equation (1), we have
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} P(x,z) + (\lambda - \lambda C(z) + \mu(x) + \alpha) P(x,z) = 0 \]  
\quad \text{(13)}

Multiplying equation (4) by \(z^n\) and applying \(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\), we have
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n(x) z^n = (\lambda + \beta(x)) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n(x) z^n + \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_j V_{n-j}(x) z^n \]

Adding the above equation with equation (3), we have
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} V(x,z) + (\lambda - \lambda C(z) + \beta(x)) V(x,z) = 0 \]  
\quad \text{(14)}

Multiplying equation (6) by \(z^n\) and applying \(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\), we have
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n(x) z^n = (\lambda + \gamma(x)) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n(x) z^n + \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_j R_{n-j}(x) z^n \]

Adding the above equation with equation (11), we have
\[ \frac{\partial R(x,z)}{\partial x} + (\lambda - \lambda C(z) + \gamma(x)) R(x,z) = 0 \]  
\quad \text{(15)}

Multiplying equation (8) by \(z^{n+K}\) and applying \(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\), we have
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{K-1} P_n(0) z^{n+K} + \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_j R_n(0) z^{n+K} + \lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^1 R_n(x) dz + \lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n(x) z^{n+K} dz \]
\[ = z^k \sum_{n=0}^{K-1} P_n(0) z^{n+K} + \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_j R_n(0) z^{n+K} + \lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^1 R_n(x) dz + \lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n(x) z^{n+K} dz \]
\quad \text{(16)}

where \(K(z) = \lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{K-1} C_n Q_{n-j} z^{n+K} \)

We multiplying equation (7) by \(z^n\) and applying \(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\), we have
\[ 0 = -\lambda Q(z) + \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_j Q_{n-j} z^n + \lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{K-1} C_n Q_{n-j} z^n + \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_j V_{n-j} z^n + \lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{K-1} C_n V_{n-j} z^n \]
\[ L(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \delta_{n,K}) \sum_{j=0}^{n} C_j Q_{n-j} z^n \]

where we add the equations (17) and (16), we have
\[ z^k P(0,z) = \int_0^\infty \beta(x) V(x,z) dx + \int_0^\infty \gamma(x) R(x,z) dx - \lambda Q(z) + K(z) + \lambda L(z) \]
\quad \text{(18)}

Multiplying equation (9) by \(z^n\) and applying \(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\), we have
\[ V(0,z) = \int_0^\infty \mu(x) P(x,z) dx \]  
\quad \text{(19)}

Multiplying equation (10) by \(z^n\) and applying \(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\), we have
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n(0) z^n = \alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-K} P_{n-j} z^n dx \]

Adding the above equation with equation (11), we have
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\[ R(0, z) = az^k \int_0^\infty P(x, z) dx = az^k P(z) \]  

Integrating equation (13) partially with respect to \( \lambda \) with the limits from 0 to \( \lambda \), we have

\[ P(x, z) = P(0, z)e^{-ax} \int_0^x \mu(x) dx \]  

Integrating equation (21) partially with respect to \( x \) with the limits from 0 to \( \infty \), we have

\[ P(z) = \frac{P(0, z)[1 - G^*(a)]}{a} \]  

Multiplying equation (21) by \( \mu(x) \) and integrating partially with respect to \( x \) with the limits from 0 to \( \infty \), we have

\[ \int_0^\infty \mu(x)P(x, z) dx = P(0, z)G^*(a) \]  

Substituting equation (23) in (19), we have

\[ V(0, z) = P(0, z)G^*(a) \]  

Integrating equation (14) partially with respect to \( x \) with the limits from 0 to \( \infty \), we have

\[ V(x, z) = V(0, z)e^{-ax} \int_0^x \beta(x) dx \]  

Substituting equation (25) in equation (24), we have

\[ V(z) = \frac{P(0, z)G^*(a)[1 - B^*(m)]}{m} \]  

Multiplying equation (26) by \( \beta(x) \) and integrating partially with respect to \( x \) with limits from 0 to \( \infty \),

\[ \int_0^\infty V(x, z) \beta(x) dx = P(0, z)G^*(a)B^*(m) \]  

Integrating equation (15) partially with respect to \( x \) with the limits from 0 to \( \infty \), we have

\[ R(x, z) = R(0, z)e^{-ax} \int_0^x \gamma(x) dx \]  

Substituting equation (20),(22) in (29), we have

\[ R(x, z) = \frac{az^k P(0, z)[1 - G^*(a)]e^{-ax} \int_0^x \gamma(x) dx}{a} \]

where \( m = \lambda - \lambda C(z) \)

Substituting equation (25) in equation (24), we have

\[ V(z) = \frac{P(0, z)G^*(a)[1 - B^*(m)]}{m} \]

Table 5.1 Arrival rate versus performance measures Q and E(N)

\( \alpha = 1, \beta = 2, \gamma = 1, \mu = 3, K = 15, s = 0.7, p = 0.5 \)

| \( \lambda \) | Q   | E(N)  |
|-------------|-----|-------|
| Z\( \alpha \) values | 1.0001 | 1.0001 | 1.5 | 5 | 10 | 15 |
| 1 | 0.9048 | 952.4 | 9523 | 0.2857 | 0.1190 | 0.1058 | 0.1020 |
| 2 | 0.8095 | 1905 | 19048 | 0.5714 | 0.2381 | 0.2116 | 0.2041 |
| 3 | 0.7143 | 2857.4 | 28572 | 0.8571 | 0.3571 | 0.3175 | 0.3061 |
| 4 | 0.6190 | 3809.9 | 38096 | 1.1429 | 0.4762 | 0.4233 | 0.4082 |
| 5 | 0.5238 | 4763.4 | 47620 | 1.4286 | 0.5952 | 0.5291 | 0.5102 |
| 6 | 0.4286 | 5714.9 | 57143 | 1.7143 | 0.7143 | 0.6349 | 0.6122 |
| 7 | 0.3333 | 6667.3 | 66667 | 2.0000 | 0.8333 | 0.7407 | 0.7143 |
| 8 | 0.2381 | 7619.8 | 76191 | 2.2857 | 0.9524 | 0.8466 | 0.8163 |
| 9 | 0.1429 | 8572.3 | 85715 | 2.5714 | 1.0714 | 0.9524 | 0.9184 |
| 10 | 0.0476 | 9524.8 | 95239 | 2.8571 | 1.1905 | 1.0582 | 1.0204 |
Integrating equation (30) partially with respect to ‘ \( x \)’, with the limits from 0 to \( \infty \), we have

\[
R(z) = \frac{az^k P(0,z)[1 - G^*(a)][1 - H^*(m)]}{am} \quad (31)
\]

Multiplying equation (30) by \( \gamma(x) \) and integrating partially with respect to ‘ \( x \)’, with the limits from 0 to \( \infty \), we have

\[
\int_0^\infty R(x,z)\gamma(x)dx = \frac{az^k P(0,z)[1 - G^*(a)]H^*(m)}{a} \quad (32)
\]

Now using equation (23), (28) and (32) in equation (18), we have

\[
P(0,z) = \frac{aQ(z)m}{D} = \quad (33)
\]

where

\[
D = az^k [1 - G^*(a)]H^*(m) - a[z^k - B^*(m)G^*(a)]
\]

Substituting \( P(0,z) \) in the equation (22), (27) and (31), we have

\[
P(z) = \frac{mQ(z)[1 - G^*(a)]}{D} \quad (34)
\]

\[
V(z) = \frac{aG^*(a)[1 - B^*(m)]Q(z)}{D} \quad (35)
\]

\[
R(z) = \frac{az^k Q(z)[1 - G^*(a)][1 - H^*(m)]}{D} \quad (36)
\]

Now adding (34), (35) and (36), we have

\[
S(z) = P(z) + V(z) + R(z), \quad (37)
\]

Here \( S(z) \) represent the probability generating function of number of customer in the queue and independent of the server state.

\[
S(z) = \frac{N}{D} \quad (38)
\]

where \( m = \lambda - \lambda C(z), a = \lambda - \lambda C(z) + \alpha \) and

\[
N = Q(z)[[m + az^k(1 - H^*(m))][1 - G^*(a)] + aG^*(a)[1 - B^*(m)]]
\]

We know that \( S(z) \) is probability generating function, it surely converge in the region \( |z| < 1 \). Here it can be seen that the denominator \( D \) has ‘K’ zero. By applying Rouches theorem, we can conclude that K-1 zero’s of \( D \) lies in the region \( |z| < 1 \), and this must coincide with K-1 zero’s N, and exactly one zero lies in the region \( |z| > 1 \). Let \( z_0 \) be the zero which lies in the region \( |z| > 1 \). Since \( S(z) \) converges, So K-1 zero’s of N and D of \( S(z) \) will be cancelled.

Therefore we have

\[
S(z) = \frac{B}{z - z_0} \quad (39)
\]

By substituting \( z = 1 \), we have \( B = (1 - z_0)S(1) \), But since

**Table 5.2** Arrival rate versus performance measures Q and E(N)
\( (\alpha = 10, \beta = 20, \gamma = 10, \mu = 30, K = 10, s = 0.9) \)

| \( \lambda \) | Q | E(N) |
|---|---|---|
|   | Z_0 values | 1.0001 | 1.00001 | 1.5 | 5 | 10 | 15 |
| 1 | 0.9870 | 129.6 | 1296.3 | 0.0389 | 0.0162 | 0.0144 | 0.0139 |
| 2 | 0.9741 | 259.2 | 2592.6 | 0.0778 | 0.0324 | 0.0288 | 0.0278 |
| 3 | 0.9611 | 388.9 | 3888.9 | 0.1167 | 0.0486 | 0.0432 | 0.0417 |
| 4 | 0.9481 | 518.5 | 5185.2 | 0.1556 | 0.0648 | 0.0576 | 0.0556 |
| 5 | 0.9352 | 648.2 | 6481.5 | 0.1944 | 0.0810 | 0.0720 | 0.0694 |
| 6 | 0.9222 | 777.8 | 7777.9 | 0.2333 | 0.0972 | 0.0864 | 0.0833 |
| 7 | 0.9093 | 907.4 | 9074.2 | 0.2722 | 0.1134 | 0.1008 | 0.0972 |
| 8 | 0.8963 | 1037.1 | 10370 | 0.3111 | 0.1296 | 0.1152 | 0.1111 |
| 9 | 0.8833 | 1166.8 | 11667 | 0.3500 | 0.1458 | 0.1296 | 0.1250 |
| 10 | 0.8704 | 1296.4 | 12963 | 0.3889 | 0.1620 | 0.1440 | 0.1389 |
\[ S(1) = \frac{I_1}{I_2} \quad (40) \]

where
\[ I_1 = Q \lambda E(X) \{ [1 - G'(\alpha)][1 + \alpha E(R)] + \alpha G'(\alpha)E(V) \} \]
\[ I_2 = \alpha G'(\alpha) \{ K - \lambda E(X) E(V) \} - \lambda E(X) [1 - G'(\alpha)][1 + \alpha E(R)] \]

By substituting the equation (40) , we have
\[ B = \frac{(1 - z_0)I_1}{I_2} \quad (41) \]

Substituting the equation (41) in (38), we have
\[ S(z) = \frac{(z_0 - 1)I_1}{z_0 I_2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{z}{z_0} \right)^n \quad (42) \]

Which is probability generating function of number of customer in the queue.

### 3. System Performance Measures

In this section, the system performance measures, the mean number of customers in the queue and idle probability have been calculated.

(i) The Expected number of customers in the queue

\[ E(N) = S'(1) = \frac{I_1}{(z_0 - 1)I_2} \quad (43) \]

(ii) The idle probability
Since \( Q + S(1) = 1 \), where \( Q = \sum_{n=0}^{K-1} Q_n \), which leads to
\[ Q = 1 - \lambda E(X) \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha K G'(\alpha) - \frac{E(R)}{K} + \frac{E(V)}{K}} \right\} \quad (44) \]

### 4. Some Particular Case

Here there are three particular case have been obtained by assigning particular forms to the parameters and to the distribution functions.

**Particular Case-01**

In the above model, we assume that batch arrival size random variable \( X \) follows geometric distributin with

\[ P(X = n) = (1-s)^{n-1} s \quad \text{for } n \geq 1 \quad \text{and } s = 1-t \]

Also we assume that the service time random

| \( \lambda \) | \( Q \) | \( E(N) \) |
|---|---|---|
| \( \lambda \) | \( Q \) | \( E(N) \) |
| \( Z_0 \) values | \( 1.0001 \) | \( 1.00001 \) | 1.5 | 5 | 10 | 15 |
| 1 | 0.9533 | 466.7 | 4666.7 | 0.1400 | 0.0583 | 0.0519 | 0.0500 |
| 2 | 0.9067 | 933.4 | 9333.4 | 0.2800 | 0.1167 | 0.1037 | 0.1000 |
| 3 | 0.8600 | 1400.1 | 14000 | 0.4200 | 0.1750 | 0.1556 | 0.1500 |
| 4 | 0.8133 | 1866.9 | 18667 | 0.5600 | 0.2333 | 0.2074 | 0.2000 |
| 5 | 0.7667 | 2333.6 | 23334 | 0.7000 | 0.2917 | 0.2593 | 0.2500 |
| 6 | 0.7200 | 2800.3 | 28000 | 0.8400 | 0.3500 | 0.3111 | 0.3000 |
| 7 | 0.6733 | 3267.0 | 32667 | 0.9800 | 0.4083 | 0.3630 | 0.3500 |
| 8 | 0.6267 | 3733.7 | 37334 | 1.1200 | 0.4667 | 0.4148 | 0.4000 |
| 9 | 0.5800 | 4200.4 | 42000 | 1.2600 | 0.5250 | 0.4667 | 0.4500 |
| 10 | 0.5333 | 4667.1 | 46667 | 1.4000 | 0.5833 | 0.5185 | 0.5000 |
variables follows exponential distribution with mean \( \frac{1}{\mu} \), then \( G^*(\alpha) = \frac{\mu}{\alpha + \mu} \), and the repair time random variable R follows exponential distribution with mean \( \frac{1}{\gamma} \). In addition, we assume that vacation time random variable V follows exponential distribution with mean \( \frac{1}{\beta} \).

Now equations (42), (43) and (44) becomes

\[
S(z) = \frac{(z_0 - 1)Q\lambda[\beta(\alpha + \gamma) + \mu\gamma]}{z_0\mu\gamma(Ks\beta - \lambda) - \lambda\beta(\alpha + \gamma)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z)^n
\]

The idle probability

\[
Q = \frac{\beta\mu\gamma Ks - \lambda[\beta(\alpha + \gamma) + \mu\gamma]}{\beta\mu\gamma Ks}
\]

\[
E(N) = \frac{Q\lambda[(\alpha + \gamma)\beta + \mu\gamma]}{(z_0 - 1)\mu\gamma[Ks\beta - \lambda] - \beta\lambda(\alpha + \gamma)}
\]

**Particular Case-02**

If we put K=1, we get a model with services given singly.

\[
S(z) = \frac{QJ_1}{J_2}
\]

where \( J_1 = [(m + az(1 - H^*(m)))[1 - G^*(\alpha)] + aG^*(\alpha)[1 - B^*(m)] \}

\( J_2 = az[1 - G^*(\alpha)]H^*(m) - a[z - B^*(m)G^*(\alpha)] \)

and \( m = \lambda - \lambda_+ z, a = \lambda - \lambda_+ z + \alpha \)

The idle probability

\[
Q = 1 - \lambda\{\frac{1}{\alpha G^*(\alpha)} - \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{E(R)}{G^*(\alpha)} - E(R) + E(V)\}
\]

\[
E(N) = \frac{Q\lambda L_1}{(z_0 - 1)L_2}
\]

where \( L_1 = [(1 - G^*(\alpha))[1 + \alpha E(R)] + \alpha G^*(\alpha)E(V)] \}

\( L_2 = \alpha G^*(\alpha)[1 - \lambda E(V)] - \lambda[1 - G^*(\alpha)][1 + \alpha E(R)] \}

5. Numerical Illustration

Here we have given numerical illustrations related to the models in section 4. We fix the values of the parameters \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu, K, s, p \) and we vary the values of arrival rate \( \lambda \). For various values of \( z_0 \), we find the values of E(N) and also the values of Q for the particular model 01. The corresponding results are presented in Table 5.1, Table 5.2 and Table 5.3. From the table values, it is clear that, as the arrival rate increases, the idle probability decreases. Which is very much coincide with our expectations. Also the expected number of customers in the queue increases, for increasing values of \( \lambda \). Again, which is very much coincide with our expectation. Surprisingly in all the models, If the zero \( z_0 \) increases from 1.00001 to 15, the expected number of customers in the queue considerably decreases.
6. Conclusion

In this article, arrival of random size X with a single server, batch service (fixed) with Compulsory vacation and with unreliable server has been completely analysed. To illustrate the analytical compatibility of the model, we present some numerical examples by taking particular values to the parameters and particular form to the probability distribution. The model can be extended by taking the break down period as generally distributed.
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