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1. Introduction

For detection of diverse biomolecules, researchers have developed a wide variety of biosensors, using, for example, fluorescent imaging (Oh et al., 2005), piezoelectric properties (Yang et al., 2006), nano-mechanical properties (Fritz et al., 2000), electrochemical properties (Drummond et al., 2003), conducting properties (Reed et al., 1997; Cui et al., 2001; Patolsky et al., 2007), and so on. Although some of these techniques show ultra-high sensitivity, they require labelling processes for analytes or bulky and expensive equipment for measurement. Label-free detection without necessity of an external apparatus is important in point-of-care testing (POCT) devices (Kost et al., 1999; St-Louis 2000; Tierney et al., 2000), which enable fast and easy on-site detection of biomolecules for health monitoring.

In terms of integration with peripheral CMOS circuitry for realizing a more affordable POCT system, biosensors based on a field-effect transistor (FET) scheme have notable advantages (Schöning & Poghossian, 2002). Hence, FET-based biosensors have been actively studied (Begveld, 2003; Schöning & Poghossian, 2002) since the first report of an ion-sensitive solid-state device (Begveld, 1970). In most FET-based biosensor devices (Schöning & Poghossian, 2002; Kim et al., 2006; Sakata et al., 2007), variation of threshold voltage on a scale of tens of mV was obtained in the detection of biomolecules, and the fabrication process was not fully compatible with conventional CMOS technology. Recently, our group reported a new concept for a FET-based biosensor utilizing dielectric constant change inside nanogaps embedded in a FET device (Im, H. et al., 2007).

In our previous work (Im et al., 2011), we successfully detected the antigen and antibody of avian influenza (AI), which can cause human fatality. Avian influenza antigen (Ala) and antibody (anti-Al) showed a large degree of signal change (i.e. a high signal-to-noise ratio) with a fabricated nanogap-embedded separated double-gate field effect transistor (hereafter referred to as “nanogap-DGFET”), shown in Fig. 1 (Im et al., 2011). Fig. 2 shows scanning
Fig. 1. (a) Schematic diagram of a nanogap-embedded separated double-gate field effect transistor (nanogap-DGFET). (b) Magnified view of the nanogap near the drain and gate 2. Dotted box conceptually shows immobilized avian influenza antigen conjugated with silica binding protein (SBP-Ala) (Gu et al., 2009) and avian influenza antibody (anti-AI) inside the nanogap. Reprinted with permission from (Im et al., 2011) © Copyright 2011 IEEE.

Fig. 2. Scanning electron microscopy images of the fabricated device. (a) Top view of nanogap-embedded separated double-gate filed effect transistor. The width (W) and the length (L) of this transistor are 150 nm and 1μm, respectively. (b) Cross-sectional view of a nanogap in test pattern. The width of nanogap is 30 nm.

electron microscopy (SEM) images of the fabricated nanogap-DGFET device. Large signal change is a desirable feature in a handheld size apparatus for POCT application (Tierney et al., 2000). Moreover, the electrical signal of the nanogap-DGFET biosensor does not depend on the Debye length (Siu & Cobbold, 1979), which is a function of the ionic strength of the sample solution (Schöning & Poghossian, 2002). This is because the nanogap-DGFET devices
are measured in a quasi-dry state, and the detection principle is based on the permittivity change rather than charge effect of biomolecules. On the other hand, the electrical signal of FET biosensors changes significantly with the ionic concentration of the sample solution (Stern et al., 2007). For general POCT application, it is not easy to control the ionic concentration precisely with any real human sample, such as blood serum, urine, or saliva. Therefore, this feature of Debye-screening-free sensing is another advantage of the nanogap-DGFET, together with moderate sensitivity and large signal change (Im, H. et al., 2007; Gu et al., 2009).

In studies of nanogap-based biosensors (Haguet et al., 2004; Yi et al., 2005), it is very important to understand the fluidics in the nanogap (Brinkmann et al., 2006) because most biomolecules are immobilized and coupled inside a nanogap immersed in a water-based solution. In order to examine the fluidic characteristics in the nanogap of nanogap-DGFET devices, theoretical calculations and numerical simulations are performed in this study. Three-dimensional simulation results dynamically visualize the process of liquid filling the nanogap.

2. Fluidics in the nanogap of the nanogap-DGFET

The mechanism by which the nanogap is filled with the sample solution is an important aspect of the nanogap-DGFET. In the wet etching process of the nanogap, the liquid fills the nanogap by chemically-assisted injection of liquid, i.e. the nanogap is filled with a diluted fluoric acid solution while being etched (Im et al., 2011). The SEM image in Fig. 2(b) clearly shows the resultant nanogap structure from wet etching. However, in real experiments for the detection of biomolecules, the sample solution containing analytes should enter the nanogap for immobilization of biomolecules such as DNAs, antibodies, antigens, and so on. If the nanogap cannot be wetted by the sample solution, the nanogap-DGFET cannot be used as a biosensor. Filling the nanogap with the solution presents challenges, as the gap is initially filled with air before applying the sample solution and is in a nanometre dimension, and thus the surface tension of the liquid has significant effects.

As performed in a previous work (Brinkmann et al., 2006), it is worthwhile to estimate the fluidic properties inside the nanogap of the nanogap-DGFET with a simplified model and theoretical calculations before three-dimensional simulation results are discussed.

2.1 Capillary pressure in the nanogap

The liquid is expected to be injected by capillary force rather than by gravity into the nanogap of the nanogap-DGFET owing to the nanometre scale of the gap. Therefore, capillary pressure inside the nanogap is an essential aspect of the fluidic behaviour of the sample solution that will be loaded in the nanogap. This section discusses modelling and computation of the capillary pressure inside the nanogap.

Fig. 3 is a schematic illustration showing notations of symbols used in the modelling and calculation. The sample solution in the nanogap can be modelled as shown in Fig. 4. It is apparent that the entire region except for the nanogap will become wet immediately after introduction of the sample liquid on top of the device, because the exposed surface of the nanogap-DGFET is a native oxide, which is hydrophilic. If the nanogap is initially filled with air, we can assume that two sidewalls (i.e. gate side and channel side) in the nanogap are native oxide and the other two sidewalls are water applied to the system. Therefore, the
Capillary pressure ($\Delta P$) inside the nanogap (shown in Fig. 4) with the sample solution of water can be expressed as the following equation (Im, M. et al., 2007):

$$\Delta P = \frac{2}{G} \gamma \cos \theta_{\text{SiO}_2} + \frac{2}{L} \gamma \cos \theta_{\text{water}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\gamma$ is the liquid surface tension of the sample solution, $\theta_{\text{SiO}_2}$ is the contact angle of silicon dioxide, $\theta_{\text{water}}$ is the contact angle of water (full wetting), $G$ is the width of the nanogap, and $L$ is the length of the nanogap, as shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4. For the sample solution of water, capillary pressures estimated with Equation (1) are plotted in Fig. 5. In the case of nanogap length of 1$\mu$m, the capillary pressure ($\Delta P$) is about 3.38MPa.

![Fig. 3. Schematic diagram showing notation of symbols used in calculations and simulations.](image)

### 2.2 Theoretical calculation of the nanogap filling depth

The sample solution continues to enter the nanogap if the capillary force is larger than the pressure difference between the pressure inside the nanogap ($P_x$) and the atmospheric pressure ($P_0$=0.1MPa). In the worst case where air cannot be evacuated from the nanogap, the pressure inside the nanogap will be increased by compressed air and will have a relationship delineated as follows:

$$P_x = P_0 \times \frac{H}{H - x}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $H$ is the height of the nanogap. Since the water meniscus will stop at the condition of $\Delta P=P_x-P_0$, we can calculate that the water meniscus can move to $x=97$nm of a 100-nm-deep nanogap ($H=100$nm) even in the worst case, i.e. the nanogap is filled with compressed air.
This calculation result means that capillary pressure is sufficient to deliver the water to the bottom surface of the nanogap. We will confirm this result with three-dimensional simulations in the following section.

![Diagram of capillary force modeling in SEM image](image)

**Fig. 4.** A capillary force modeling of the nanogap highlighted by the dotted box in the SEM image displaying AA’ direction as shown in Fig. 3. \( G \) is the nanogap width, \( L \) is the nanogap length, \( H \) is the nanogap height, \( x \) is the water penetration depth, \( P_0 \) is the atmospheric pressure, \( P_x \) is the pressure inside the nanogap, and \( \Delta P \) is the pressure difference between \( P_x \) and \( P_0 \).

![Graph of capillary pressures vs. nanogap length](image)

**Fig. 5.** A plot of capillary pressures as a function of the nanogap length, where \( G=30\text{nm}, \theta_{\text{SiO}_2}=45^\circ, \theta_{\text{water}}=0^\circ, \) and \( \gamma =72.5\text{mN/m} \) for the sample solution of water.
3. Numerical simulations of the nanogap filling process

Although a study on the fluidics on a nanogap was previously carried out (Brinkmann et al., 2006) to support earlier results with a nanogap biosensor (Haguet et al., 2004), only theoretical calculations were presented. In order to visualize the nanogap filling and support the calculation results provided in previous section, three-dimensional simulations were also performed using CFD-ACE+™ (CFD Research Corporation, Huntsville, Alabama, USA) with the structure shown in the inset of Fig. 3. CFD-ACE+™ is a commercial software for multiphysics simulation, and has been used in previous microfluidic studies (Jen et al., 2003; Kobayashi et al., 2004; Rawool et al., 2006; Rawool & Mitra, 2006; Yang et al., 2007; Im et al., 2009).

3.1 Simulation setup

The finite element method is applied with structured grids, as shown in Fig. 6. In order to observe the fluidic behaviour in nanogaps, fine meshes are used in the nanogaps, as highlighted by the red dotted box in Fig. 6. On top of the nanogap-DGFET structure shown in Fig. 3, 1.5-μm-high regions are additionally assigned for an initial water position mimicking introduction of a water droplet on the nanogap-DGFET. The total number of cells is 205,760 in 28 structured zones. Flow and Free Surfaces (VOF) modules are used in this simulation. In the VOF module, the surface reconstruction method is chosen to be 2nd Order (PLIC), and surface tension is considered. The wetting angle of the sidewall in the nanogaps is assumed to be 45 deg due to the presence of native oxide. In addition to surface tension, gravitational force is also considered along the Z-direction, as shown in Fig. 3. The reference pressure of 100,000 N/m² (0.1 MPa) is set as the atmospheric pressure. Table 1 summarizes the physical properties of water used in this simulation study.

![Fig. 6. Grid shapes for structured meshes for simulation. The dotted red box shows fine meshes in the nanogap region.](www.intechopen.com)
Table 1. Properties of water in the numerical simulation

| Physical property | Value    | Comment              |
|-------------------|----------|----------------------|
| Density (kg/m$^3$) | 1000     | Constant             |
| Viscosity (m$^2$/s) | $1\times10^{-6}$ | Constant (Kinematic) |
| Surface tension (N/m) | 0.0725   | Constant             |

Fig. 7. Nanogap filling of the sample solution of water at the nanogap edge indicated as AA' in Fig. 5. At various instants of (a) 0 nsec (Initially, air is in the nanogap) (b) 95 nsec (c) 163 nsec (d) 315 nsec (e) 573 nsec (f) 643 nsec (g) 650 nsec (h) 681 nsec (Finally, the nanogap is filled with the sample solution)
3.2 Simulation results: nanogap filling

Fig. 7 shows the water meniscus positions at various instants from the nanogap edge which is denoted as AA’ in Fig. 3. Air inside the nanogap is continuously squeezed and compressed by marching water along the sidewalls of the nanogap. Finally, the entire region of the nanogap becomes filled with water, as confirmed in Fig. 7(h).

It is noteworthy that the wetting speeds are different at the centre and at the edge of the nanogap in the simulation results. Positions of the water meniscus are plotted in Fig. 8; the nanogap is completely filled with water within 700 nsec at the edge of the nanogap; however, it takes longer than that at the centre of the nanogap.

From the calculation results in the previous section and the simulation results in this section, we can find an interesting aspect of the fluidics in the nanogap. The length of the nanogap is effectively reduced after some portion of the nanogap is wetted, because wetting occurs from the edge of the nanogap. With a shorter nanogap, it is straightforward that the capillary pressure becomes greater, as shown in Fig. 5. As a consequence, we can conclude that the nanogap can be fully wetted with the sample solution by this sort of positive feedback.

![Diagram of water meniscus positions as a function of time](Fig. 8)

The plateau in the graph of Fig. 8 is attributed to the pressure of the compressed air being too high for the capillary pressure to overcome for further advancement. This phenomenon is confirmed by monitoring pressure changes inside the nanogap together with corresponding water meniscus positions. As shown by the dotted boxes in Fig. 9, the pressure inside the nanogap increases gradually as the meniscus advances to the bottom of the nanogap. In the process of nanogap filling, there is a period where only pressure increment is observed without meaningful progress of the water meniscus locations.
Fig. 9. Water meniscus positions (shown in solid boxes) in the nanogap with corresponding pressure changes (shown in dotted boxes).
3.3 Simulation results: expelling air bubbles from the nanogap
As shown in Fig. 9, air trapped inside the nanogap is pressurized by the capillary pressure of water above the air. Then, where does the air finally go? By careful observation of the simulation results, we can see air bubbles appear and disappear repeatedly inside the nanogap, as shown in Fig. 10.

Fig. 10. Movement of water meniscus in the direction of BB’ shown in Fig. 5. (Closed-up views near the B’ side) (a) 3.941 μsec (b) 4.310 μsec (c) 4.572 μsec (d) 4.625 μsec (e) 4.802 μsec (f) 4.916 μsec (g) 4.964 μsec (h) 4.974 μsec. Air bubbles appears and disappears repeatedly to lower the pressure of the air trapped inside the nanogap.
Because water continuously compresses the air in the nanogap with capillary pressure, it is analyzed that a certain threshold pressure is necessary for the trapped air to evacuate an air bubble against the capillary pressure. After the appearance of air bubbles, which occurs with reduced pressure of the trapped air, the water meniscus proceeds further toward the nanogap centre by additional compression of trapped air. Generated air bubbles from the trapped air last for a period of a few tens of nanoseconds to three hundreds nanoseconds. By repetition of this process (i.e. pressure reduction by air bubbles and further compression), the nanogap is gradually filled with water.

From the simulation, the threshold pressure for generation of air bubbles is estimated to be around 5MPa, which is 50 times the atmospheric pressure (0.1MPa). As shown in Figs. 9(f) through 9(h), trapped air is eliminated after the pressure reaches roughly 5MPa. Air bubbles cannot be seen in Fig. 9, because they will appear in different places, as shown in Fig. 10.

3.4 Simulation results: velocity vectors

The blue arrows in Fig. 11 represent velocity vectors of water and air in designated meshes. These velocity vectors are obtained from the plane 5 nm away from the nanogap edge, as shown in the figure. In the initial stage of nanogap filling, as shown in Fig. 11(a), air exits quickly from the nanogap by advancing water. After velocity reduction of air, as seen in Fig. 11(b), the velocity direction of air changes toward the nanogap centre in the stage of compressing air, as shown in Fig. 11(c). Finally, if some plane is filled with water, water will fill the trapped air region at the nanogap centre, and consequently the velocity vectors are oriented toward the centre of the nanogap, as shown in Fig. 11(d).

Fig. 12 shows velocity vectors when water cannot advance because compressed air resists against the water. It is shown that the velocity vectors are oriented upward at the water/air interface due to high pressure, represented by green colour in Fig. 12(b), which indicates pressure of around 2MPa.

4. Conclusions

In this chapter, nanogap-DGFET’s fluidic characteristics are discussed with theoretical calculations as well as numerical simulations. Theoretical computation based on appropriate modelling predicts that almost complete filling of the nanogap with water is possible. Three-dimensional simulations using CFD-ACE+TM support the theoretical calculations. Various characteristics such as water meniscus position, pressure distribution, and velocity vectors in the simulation results have been analyzed in detail for comprehensive understanding of the process of nanogap filling in the nanogap-embedded biosensor. The sample solution of water is expected to completely fill the nanogap by capillary pressure. These results indicate that biomolecules in a water-based sample solution can be successfully delivered to sensing regions (i.e. nanogaps) in nanogap-DGFET devices.
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