Introduction

It is of significant importance to note that of the 130 million babies born every year, about 4 million die in the first 4 weeks of life, the neonatal period [1]. A similar proportion of babies are stillborn, dying in-utero during the last 3 months of pregnancy [2].

Most neonatal deaths (99%) arise in low-income and middle income countries and about half occur at home. The countries with the highest rates of neonatal mortality are mostly in Sub-Saharan Africa (14 of the 18 countries with NMRs > 45 per 1000) [1]. Babies born in Sub-Saharan Africa have a very high risk of birth asphyxia and intrapartum stillbirth [3].

The psychological and emotional stress that is brought to bear on the woman, the couple or the family when a perinatal mortality occurs is unimaginable. And similarly care-givers go through a lot of emotional stress when patients they have cared for prenatally lose their babies, though in the developing world this so often happens to the extent that care-givers soon get accustomed to perinatal mortality and that they become somewhat indifferent to it, unfortunately. The psychological and emotional trauma seems to be much less in cases of preterm neonatal deaths than in term neonatal deaths. Because part of the blame for extreme preterm losses is attributed to nature and correctly so, it must be added, since the distinction between late abortion and extreme preterm birth is very thin as evidenced by the fact that in West African countries, 28 weeks of gestation is set as the dividing line of viability and births before 28 weeks are termed miscarriage or abortion [4]. Even when such babies are born with signs of life little is done for their survival because of lack of supportive equipment.

In the developing world, if neonatal deaths are to be reduced significantly, then it is among term babies who are already equipped to survive even with minimal resources. Continuous upgrading of neonatal resuscitation skills and the identification of prenatal and intrapartum risk factors which are largely known, in order to target the ‘Therapeutic Window’ for the management of birth asphyxia could not be overemphasized.
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survival systems are not fully developed especially at extreme preterm. Their survival is largely dependent on postpartum support. To decipher modifiable intrapartum practices besides the administration of steroids and advance resuscitation by neonatologist, intrapartum care givers would have to take a look at asphyxia among term neonates. Themodifiable intrapartum factors could then be applied to all deliveries irrespective of maturity beyond the period of viability. This is to deal with intrapartum preventable birth asphyxia, Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital is a tertiary institution where supervised delivery takes place, with comprehensive obstetric care and NICU facilities. This will probably provide insight into the problem outside tertiary institutions.

Method

NICU admissions records of the KBTH from 1st of April 2015 to 30th of September, 2015, were retrieved and data extracted and analysed using the SPSS version 16.0 software. Extracted data included the following: Total number of admissions, the number of admissions with the diagnosis of birth asphyxia, gestational age at delivery of each baby, outcomes of asphyxiated term babies and birth weights of term asphyxiated babies. Simple frequencies and means were calculated and presented as tables.

Results

Total number of admissions to NICU over the 6 month period was 966, out of which 468 (48.4%) were term neonates. Of the term neonates 289(61.8%) were admitted for asphyxia. The mean birth weight for term neonates with asphyxia was 3.00 kg (SD ± 0.52). The overall mortality over the period was 203(21.0%) and of admissions with the diagnosis of birth asphyxia, gestational age were 3.81(SD 1.42) and 4.43(SD 1.19) respectively (Table 3). Majority, 218/289(75.4%) were delivered by caesarean, out of which 180 (82.6%) were emergencies and 38/218(17.4%) electives. 69/289 (23.9%) were delivered spontaneously vaginally and 2/289(0.7%) delivered vaginally with assistance. The indications for emergency caesarean section consisted of failure to progress/ cephalopelvic disproportion (36.1%), fetal distress (28.3%), severe pre-eclampsia with unfavourable cervix (17.8%), abruptio placentae (10.6%), and breech presentation (7.2%).

For the elective caesarean sections the commonest indication were intrauterine fetal growth restriction and 2 previous caesarean sections both at 34.2% each, followed by previous myomectomy and hypertension with diabetes both at 15.8% each. Majority 258/298 (89.3%) of mothers had normal physical health, maternal conditions included hypertension disease; 12/289(4.2%), chronic diabetes; 2/289(0.7%), sickle cell disease; 3/289(1.0%), Fibroids; 2/289(0.7%), Gestational diabetes plus chronic hypertension; 4/289(1.4%), chronic hypertension 3/29(1.0%) and gestational diabetes; 1/289(0.3%).

There was no significant association between the 1 and 5 minute apgar scores and the type of delivery, indication for caesarean section and maternal disease (Table 3).

Table 3: Relationship between selected characteristics and APGAR scores.

| Characteristics                      | APGAR SCORE | p-value |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Maternal Age                         | 1min 5mins  | 0.141   |
| Parity                               | 1min 5mins  | 0.104   |
| Birth Weight                         | 1min 5mins  | 0.723   |
| Type of Delivery                     | 1min 5mins  | 0.451   |
| Caesarean Section Indication         | 1min 5mins  | 0.903   |
| Maternal Disease                     | 1min 5mins  | 0.619   |

Discussion

It is estimated that around 24% of all newborn deaths are caused by birth asphyxia resulting in a large proportion of stillbirths [4]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) between four (4) and nine (9) million newborns develop birth asphyxia each year. Of these, an estimated 1.2 million died and at least the same number develop severe consequences, such as epilepsy, cerebral palsy and developmental delay [5]. The incidence of birth asphyxia varies widely because of the difficulty

Citation: Mumuni K, Samba A, Sefah JD (2017) Birth Asphyxia among Term Neonates at Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital (KBTH) in Accra. Obstet Gynecol Int J 7(6): 00267. DOI: 10.15406/ogij.2017.07.00267
Birth Asphyxia among Term Neonates at Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital (KBTH) in Accra

in exact diagnosis. Birth asphyxia has been traditionally said to exist when there is failure to initiate and sustain breathing at birth. In recent position statements by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologist [6] and the American Academy of Pediatrics [7], a neonate is labeled to be asphyxiated if the following conditions are satisfied:

a) Umbilical cord arterial pH less than 7;

b) Apgar Score of 0 to 3 for longer than 5 minutes;

c) Neonatal neurologic manifestations (e.g. seizures, coma, or hypotonic); and

d) Multisystem organ dysfunction e.g. cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, haematologic, pulmonary, or renal system dysfunction.

In most cases in the developing world the Apgar score is the main means of initial diagnosis before neonatal neurologic manifestations and multisystem organ dysfunction are diagnosed. Facilities for fetal blood pH and umbilical cord pH are generally lacking. However, it is well known that the Apgar score is a poor predictor of outcome and severity of perinatal asphyxia when used alone and is also not able to predict long-term neurological developmental disabilities [8]. Indeed the Apgar Score was not intended to be a measure of perinatal asphyxia [9]. And yet it remains largely the main tool in the developing world for the diagnosis of fetal asphyxia.

However, it has been shown that a low 5 minute Apgar score clearly confers an increased relative risk of cerebral palsy, reported to be as high as 20 to 100-fold over that of infants with a 5 minute Apgar score of 7 to 10 [10-12]. Population studies have also shown that when the score is less than 5 at 5 and 10 minutes confers an increased relative risk of cerebral palsy, and the degree of abnormality correlates with the risk of cerebral palsy. However it is reassuring that most infants with low Apgar scores will not develop cerebral palsy [13]. It is noted that Apgar scores are affected by gestational age, maternal medications, resuscitation, and cardiorespiratory and neurologic conditions [13].

Following improvements in primary and obstetric care in most industrialized countries, the incidence of birth asphyxia has reduced significantly and less than 0.1% newborn infants die of birth asphyxia [14]. In the developing countries, rates of birth asphyxia are several folds higher, ranging from 4.6 per 1000 in Cape Town [15] to 26 per 1000 in Nigeria [16] and case fatality rates may be 40% or higher [17]. In this study 61.8% of the total admissions to NICU was for birth asphyxia among term neonates and mortality occurred in 21.8% of these asphyxiated term neonates. The mean weight of these asphyxiated term neonates was 3.00kg (SD 0.52).

These results are comparable to that in a multicentre prospective study involving 4267 deliveries in 8 countries over a 3 month period in East, Central and Southern Africa that found the overall incidence of asphyxia of the newborn was 22.9% while that associated with Low birth weight(< 2500gm) was 29.3% compared with 21.5% among the normal birth weight babies [12]. Antenatal and intrapartum risk factors for birth asphyxia have been identified to include, antepartum hospitalization, antenatal and intrapartum anaemia, antepartum haemorrhage, severe pre-eclampsia/ eclampsia, augmentation of labour with oxytocin, premature rupture of membranes, meconium staining of liquor amni, vacuum extraction, caesarean section, low birth weight and malpresentation [18]. In other studies, the leading obstetrical risk factors for asphyxia were difficult delivery (particularly breech delivery), prolonged labour, cephalopelvic disproportion (CPD) and fetal distress [16]. Asphyxia was also more common when a midwife or TBA delivered the child [16].

In this study there was statistically significant relationship between the Apgar scores at 1 minute and 5 minutes and the type of delivery (vaginal, caesarean section, vacuum delivery), maternal disease and indication for caesarean section. This could be explained by the fact that only asphyxiated babies were studied. It is capital intensive to decrease perinatal mortality and morbidity by decreasing birth asphyxia in the preterm neonate. However, with limited resources and appropriate training in neonatal resuscitation perinatal morbidity and mortality among term neonates can be reduced significantly.

It has to be noted that early assessment of the degree of resulting hypoxic-ischaemic damage, can provide useful information for both clinical management and the potential use of cerebro-protective strategies. And the interval after resuscitation from hypoxic-ischaemia during which an intervention might be efficacious in reducing the severity of brain damage, is called the “therapeutic window”. In full term infants, the therapeutic window is short and possibly not longer than 1 to 2 hours [8]. It is probably much shorter in preterm infants.

In this study the time and kind of resuscitation was not analysed, however other studies found that although prompt resuscitation after delivery can prevent many of these deaths and disabilities, it is often not initiated or the procedures used are inadequate or wrong [19]. It has also been shown that after neonatal resuscitation program courses, the number of patients with perinatal asphyxia and also the duration of hospitalization decreased significantly, and the first minute Apgar scores increased significantly [20].

This study is limited by the short duration of data collected as records for the preceding 3 months before the study were not available and at the time of submission, only 6 months data was accessed. It did not also consider sociodemographic factors and intrapartum events such as type and timing of interventions, medications etc and also how exactly the diagnosis of asphyxia as well as its severity was made except for the use of Apgar scores. All these could have implications on the results found especially the outcome. The authors recommend a prospective study to address these limitations.

Conclusion

Perinatal admission and mortality from birth asphyxia among term neonates is unfortunately high in Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital. Known risk factors from literature for perinatal asphyxia need to be highlighted in obstetric care units especially in the labour wards, so that the “Therapeutic Window” is not missed in such cases. Also regular neonatal resuscitation programs should
be instituted for labour ward staff and neonatal care unit staff in both hospitals and maternity homes. By this approach perinatal asphyxia among term infants in particular may be reduced significantly.
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