MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMIC SIMULATIONS OF DISK GALAXY FORMATION: THE MAGNETIZATION OF THE COLD AND WARM MEDIUM
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ABSTRACT

We modeled the formation and early evolution of disk galaxies with a magnetized interstellar medium using magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) adaptive mesh refinement simulations. For a $10^{10} M_\odot$ halo with initial Navarro–Frenk–White dark matter and gas profiles, we impose a uniform $10^{-9}$ G magnetic field and follow its collapse, disk formation and evolution up to 1 Gyr. We find that the initial magnetic fields are quickly amplified by the differentially rotating turbulent disk with the amplification rate roughly one $e$-folding per orbit. After the initial rapid amplification lasting $\sim$500 Myr, subsequent field amplification appears self-regulated. The field strengths in the self-regulated regime have similar strength as the observed fields in the Milky Way galaxy both in the warm and the cold H I phases. Since supernova explosions, which we neglected in our current model, are likely to further amplify the magnetic field, our calculation suggests that Milky Way strength magnetic field might be common in high redshift disk galaxies. After saturation, highly magnetized material also begins to form above and below the disk, which may affect subsequent galaxy evolution, especially mergers, significantly. The global azimuthal magnetic fields reverse at different radii and the amplitude declines as a function of radius of the disk. We also find that magnetic force can provide further support in the cold gas and lead to a decline of the amount of cold gas at high density which may lead to a decline in the star formation rate.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Rapid developments in observational cosmology recently established a standard model of cosmology, the $\Lambda$ cold dark matter ($\Lambda$CDM) model (Spergel et al. 2007). In principle, this makes the problem of galaxy formation essentially an initial value problem. Indeed, Gpc-scale $N$-body simulations of $\Lambda$CDM cosmology are revealing the details of large-scale structure formation and clustering, matching observations in many aspects (Springel et al. 2005). In this framework, it should be possible to understand the formation and evolution processes of individual galaxies. For this purpose, it is crucial to understand the structure of the interstellar medium (ISM) and the dominant physics controlling star formation.

Analytical and semi-analytical studies of disk galaxy formation are useful in understanding the global properties (Mo et al. 1998; Efstathiou 2000; Dutton et al. 2007; Kampakoglou & Silk 2007; Stringer & Benson 2007). Because of the complex nature of galaxy formation and the wide range of physical processes involved, numerical simulations also prove to be particularly useful. Hydrodynamical simulations of galaxy formation in both cosmological and isolated setups have taught us a lot about the detailed structure of the galactic ISM and star formation (see e.g., Kravtsov 2003; Li et al. 2005; Springel & Hernquist 2005; Okamoto et al. 2005; Tasker & Bryan 2006; Tassis et al. 2008; Governato et al. 2007; Wada & Norman 2007; Kaufmann et al. 2007; Robertson & Kravtsov 2008 for recent studies). However, there are still many physical processes we need to include in order to make the simulations realistic.

In this work, we focus on magnetic fields which have not yet been included in previous galaxy formation simulations. The role of the magnetic field in regulating large-scale star formation, the ISM structure, and how they are produced are crucial elements of a comprehensive theory of galaxy formation.

The effect of the magnetic field on the local structure and evolution of the ISM has been studied extensively previously by local magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulations of the ISM (Mac Low et al. 2005; Balsara et al. 2004; de Avillez & Breitschwerdt 2005; Piontek & Ostriker 2007; Hennebelle & Inutsuka 2006) and by observations (e.g., Beck 2007; Crutcher 1999). On a larger scale, the effect of magnetic fields is less clear, because cosmological MHD simulations are still at an early stage. Miniati et al. (2001) performed cosmological hydrodynamic simulations for a passive magnetic field. Cosmological MHD simulations have been done in smoothed particle hydrodynamics (e.g., Dolag et al. 1999) in the context of galaxy cluster formation and Eulerian-code simulations are also beginning to be explored (e.g., Li et al. 2008). None of those calculations have as yet resolved the internal structures of disk galaxies.

Besides the potential importance in the dynamics of galaxy formation, galactic magnetic fields are a key ingredient in many other astrophysical problems. For example, they play a dominant role in the origin and propagation of cosmic rays (Fermi 1949; Strong et al. 2007), which may also be dynamically important in galaxy formation (Fermi 1954; Enßlin et al. 2007). Furthermore, magnetic fields may be important in the dynamics of supernova remnants (Balsara et al. 2001) in regulating the internal turbulence of giant molecular clouds by driving outflows (Li & Nakamura 2006; Banerjee & Pudritz 2007) and in the collapse of individual molecular cloud cores (Mouschovias 1987; Balsara et al. 2001; Tilley & Pudritz 2007; Mellon & Li 2007). Near the origin of the galactic magnetic field is still an unsolved problem (see, e.g., Kulsrud & Zweibel 2008 for a recent review).
The traditional theory of a galactic dynamo, the alpha–omega dynamo, is based on the mean field dynamo theory introduced by Steenbeck et al. (1966) and later developed by Parker (1971) and Vainshtein & Ruzmaikin (1971). Ferrière (1992) has extended this galactic dynamo theory to include the expansion of supernova bubbles. Turbulent amplification in protogalaxies has also been studied (Pudritz & Silk 1989; Kulsrud et al. 1997). Those theories are built on a kinematic dynamo. A different paradigm for a disk dynamo comes with the discovery of the magneto-rotational instability (MRI; Chandrasekhar 1961; Balbus & Hawley 1991; Sellwood & Balbus 1999). In MRI, field amplification is not only a consequence of the turbulent velocity field, it also produces the turbulent velocity field itself. It is still unclear what is the dominant mechanism that amplifies the galactic magnetic field. In contrast to the case of dynamos in planets, because of the enormous inductance of the ISM (Fermi 1949), there is no decaying problem for the galactic field. So the essential part of the problem here is indeed only the initial amplification.

In the following section, we first describe the numerical algorithms and initial conditions. Then in Section 3, we present the results. Sections 4 and 5 are devoted to discussion and conclusions, respectively.

2. NUMERICAL METHODS AND MODELS

2.1. MHD Algorithm

We have developed a three-dimensional adaptive mesh refinement (AMR) MHD code based on the cosmological AMR hydrodynamics code Enzo (Bryan & Norman 1997; O'Shea et al. 2004). We use the Dedner formulation of MHD equations (Dedner et al. 2002). This conservative formulation of the MHD equations uses hyperbolic divergence cleaning. It has also been used in several other recent AMR MHD codes (Matsumoto 2006; Anderson et al. 2006). The conservative system is discretized by method of lines. The Riemann solver and reconstruction schemes have been tested extensively in Wang et al. (2008) for relativistic systems. Since our Riemann solvers and reconstruction schemes are designed to work for any conservative systems, when applying it to the Dedner MHD equations, we only redefine the conservative variables. Using these high-resolution-shock-capturing (HRSC) schemes designed for conservative systems ensures the conservation of mass, momentum, and energy, as well as obtaining correct shock positions and velocities (LeVeque 2002). Interested readers can find more detailed descriptions of our numerical algorithms in Wang et al. (2008) and references therein. In this work, reconstruction is done using the piecewise linear method (PLM; Van Leer 1979). Fluxes at cell interfaces are calculated using the local Lax-Friedrichs Riemann solver (LLF; Kurganov & Tadmor 2000), which is free of the carbuncle artifacts present in some other contact-capturing Riemann solvers (Quirk 1994). Time integration is performed using the total variation diminishing (TVD) second-order Runge–Kutta (RK) scheme (Shu & Osher 1988). The code inherits all of Enzo’s parallel AMR capability as well as self-gravity, chemistry, cooling, and radiative transfer routines. We have tested the code using various standard hydrodynamics and MHD test problems including the one-dimensional Brio–Wu problem, two-dimensional MHD rotor, two-dimensional Hydro and MHD Rayleigh–Taylor problem, three-dimensional Sedov–Taylor problem, three-dimensional Larson–Penston isothermal collapse problem, etc (see Appendix A for the results of two such tests).

2.2. Cooling

A cooling function is used to calculate the radiative energy losses down to temperature 300 K. We use the cooling function fitted by Sarazin & White (1987) for $T > 10^4$ K and by Rosen & Bregman (1995) for $300 < T < 10^4$ K.

In global simulations of galactic disks, current computational power does not allow to resolve the Jeans length down to molecular core scales where star formation actually occurs. This may give rise to artificial fragmentation if one under-resolves the Jeans length (Truelove et al. 1997). This potential worry is avoided using a density-dependent temperature floor, ensuring that a cell always resolves half of the local Jeans lengths (e.g., Wada & Norman 2007):

$$T_{\text{min}} = 284 \frac{\rho}{10^{-22} \text{g cm}^{-3}} \left(\frac{\Delta x}{20 \text{pc}}\right)^2 \text{K}. \quad (1)$$

Another common approach would be to create a collisionless star particle if a cell fails to resolve the Jeans length (e.g., Tasker & Bryan 2006).

Because of the large uncertainty in modeling ISM FUV background due to stars, and mainly because we are not modeling star formation directly, we neglected FUV radiation heating due to stars. In the Milky Way, FUV heating is an important heating mechanism in the cold and warm ISM (Wolfire et al. 1995, 2003). The neglect of FUV heating is a major assumption in the model and definitely needs to be refined in future simulations that include star formation and feedback.

2.3. Initial Conditions

From pure N-body simulations it has been found that CDM halos have a universal density profile well fitted by the Navarro–Frenk–White (NFW) form (Navarro et al. 1996). It has also been suggested recently that the Einasto profile better agrees with N-body simulations (e.g., Gao et al. 2008). However, since the Einasto profile deviates from the NFW profile by typically only a few percent (Gao et al. 2008), this is a small uncertainty compared to many of stellar feedback modeling uncertainties we will discuss below. So, we think using the NFW profile is good enough for our purpose. Here we consider a small halo formed at redshift 2, with a mass of $M_{\text{vir}} = 10^{10} M_\odot$ and correspondingly, a virial radius $R_{\text{vir}} = 21.5$ kpc. The spin parameter is assumed to be $\lambda = 0.05$, and concentration is $c_{\text{vir}} = 10$. Such a small halo allows for higher spatial resolution. By using an isolated model, we are implicitly assuming that the effect of major and minor mergers on the growth of the galaxy disk is subdominant, which may be a reasonable assumption if the halo is smaller than the Milky Way halo (Guo & White 2007). However, our model galaxy is still larger than the small galaxies with rotation velocities $< 30$ km s$^{-1}$ in which star formation may be strongly suppressed by the intergalactic UV background (Thoul & Weinberg 1996). The gravitational interaction between dark matter and baryons is a secondary effect. Consequently, in this work, we model the dark matter halo as a static external potential.

The initial gas density profile also follows the NFW profile with its amplitude determined from the assumed baryon mass fraction $f_b = 0.1$. The initial gas temperature is set by solving for local hydrostatic equilibrium. The rotation velocity is set to be the same as that of the dark matter following Springel & White (1999). In addition, we also add to the gas random
velocities of the same order as the dark matter virial velocity to model crudely the turbulent motions in hierarchical formation of protogalaxies. We put the NFW halo at the center of the simulation box with a virial radius 0.1 (21 kpc) of the simulation box length. This makes sure that the gas evolution inside halos will be minimally affected by numerical boundary effects. The gas outside the halo virial radius is set to be the cosmic mean value at redshift 2 with a temperature of $T = 10^4$ K. The top-grid resolution is $64^3$, and there are three static nested grids refined by a factor of 2 around the halo. Then we let the code dynamically refine to higher levels according to the Jeans criterion with Jeans number 4. After the disk forms, almost all the disk is refined to this highest level because it is strongly self-gravitating. The simulations were run with a maximum refinement level of 6 and 7, corresponding to resolutions of 52 and 26 pc, respectively. We did not find noticeable differences in the results. So in the following, only the results of the 26 pc resolution run are discussed.

The initial magnitude and topology of the magnetic field are uncertain. Cosmological simulations for the generation of a magnetic seed field will be required to address this important question. A tiny seed magnetic field of the order $10^{-21}$ G will be required to address this important discussed. The following, only the results of the 26 pc resolution run are discussed.

3. RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the face-on and edge-on density-weighted ($\langle f \rangle = \int \rho(x) f(x) dx / \int \rho(x) dx$) projections of the density, temperature, and the thermal pressure. Figure 2 shows the face-on and edge on slices of the velocity field and magnetic field lines overplotted on density, plasma beta $\beta = p/(B^2/(8\pi))$, and $B^2$. Both figures correspond to $t = 1.088$ Gyr. In some of the plots below, we will consider only the gas in the disk defined by a density threshold criterion $\rho > 1 \times 10^{-26}$ g cm$^{-3}$.

3.1. Disk Structures

As can be seen in Figure 1, the global disk structures are qualitatively similar in both the hydro and MHD cases. The density projections show filamentary structures and high-density “blobs” located along these filaments. Were we to include molecular hydrogen chemistry and cooling, these blobs would presumably resemble giant molecular cloud complexes.

In a self-gravitating disk, the vortex mode is the fastest unstable mode with the growth rate 2–3 times larger than the spiral density wave mode (Mamatashvili & Chagelishvili 2007). In isolated nonrotating fluid, the perturbation mode is divided into vortical and divergent types according to the Helmholtz decomposition. And it is mainly the energy in divergent flows that will be dissipated in shocks. In a differentially rotating disk, the vortex mode is a combination of vortical and divergent motions. Thus, the morphology and statistical properties of large-scale shocks which form the filaments are different in these two cases.

To see how self-gravity affects the growth of vortex modes, we have carried out experiments with only the external NFW potential, neglecting the self-gravity of the gas. Analytical calculations in the thin-sheet approximation predict that the growth rate of the vortex mode is orders of magnitude smaller without self-gravity (Mamatashvili & Chagelishvili 2007). Indeed in this case we saw that the disk shows much less filamentary structures and instead develops tightly wound spiral density wave patterns. Thus, the vortical turbulent motion in the fiducial cases is clearly driven by self-gravity. Another interesting implication of this experiment is that many local galaxies do show tightly wound spiral patterns. Hence, our experiment suggests that the gravitational force in the gas disks of these galaxies is dominated by the stars. This implies that for disk galaxies at high redshift, where gas gravity still dominates over stars, the vortex mode may be the dominant structures regulating molecular cloud and star formation within them. Thus, the properties of early generations of GMCs may be...
different from, e.g., the Milky Way GMCs which formed in the spiral arms dominated by stellar gravity. The observations of high-redshift chain galaxies may be related to these early generations of GMCs (Elmegreen 2007). In the following discussion, we will call the turbulent velocity field seen in our simulations gravity-driven turbulence (Gammie 2001; Wada et al. 2002).

As also can be seen in Figure 1, the MHD run has a somewhat thicker disk than the Hydro run. This shows at $t = 1.088$ Gyr, magnetic pressure is already large enough to provide additional support to the vertical force balance, which can also be seen from Figure 2.

The pressure projections show that the cold filaments have higher pressure than the warm gas, and the cores of the filaments have even higher pressure. The overpressure in the cores is expected as they are self-gravitating (Larson 1981). The higher pressure in cold gas is consistent with previous simulations of isolated disk galaxies (Tasker & Bryan 2006). It should be noted that we have a temperature floor of 300 K which is higher than the temperature in the cold medium in realistic galaxies which is 80 K. The pressure ratio between cold and warm should be larger if cooling is more realistic. However, even considering this correction, the difference is still significant. This result is different from the idea that cold gas is formed by isobaric thermal instability in the galactic disk (Field 1965). The important difference with the original thermal instability analysis is that instead of cosmic ray heating, the heating sources in our simulation are pressure work, numerical viscous heating, and numerical resistivity in the MHD run, all controlled by the gravity-driven turbulence velocity field in the disk. Thus, our simulations show that gravity-driven turbulent heating and radiative cooling will create a nonisobaric two phase medium. However, this conclusion may not be applicable to realistic galaxies, because we neglected FUV heating. In the Milky Way, Wolfire et al. (1995) showed that the FUV heating is sufficient to maintain an isobaric two-phase medium. Furthermore, Tasker & Bryan (2007) added the FUV heating to their Milky-Way-sized disk simulations and indeed found that pressure difference in the two-phase was much smaller compared to the case without the FUV heating. So if the small galaxies we are modeling at redshift 2 have substantial FUV radiation from stars then an isobaric two-phase medium may be established.

Figure 3 shows the azimuthally averaged radial profiles of mass, surface density, velocity dispersion, and rotational...
Figure 2. Velocity and magnetic field plots at $t = 1.088$ Gyr. From left to right: (1) velocity field vectors overplotted on a density slice; (2) magnetic field vectors overplotted on a density slice; (3) slice of plasma beta; (4) slice of $B^2$. All face-on slices are through $z = 0.5$, and edge-on slices are through $y = 0.5$. The physical length of the plot corresponds to 11 kpc.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 3. Disk profiles for the hydro run (thin lines) and the MHD run (thick lines) at $t = 1.088$. Top left gives the mass profile, top right the surface density profile, bottom left the velocity dispersion, bottom right the rotational velocity profile. In the mass profile plot, the thin dashed line is the mass profile of the static NFW halo. In the surface density profile plot, the dashed line is the threshold gas surface density for star formation $\approx 5 M_\odot$ pc$^{-2}$ as observed by Martin & Kennicutt (2001). In the rotational velocity plot, the thin dashed line is the rotation velocity corresponding to the static NFW halo $v_{\phi,NFW}(r) = \left[GM_{\text{NFW}}(r)/r\right]^{1/2}$.
velocity for both simulations at $t = 1.088$ Gyr. The hydro and MHD calculations have similar density profiles and remarkably similar mass profiles. This is because magnetic field amplification happens after the disk is formed, so the initial weak magnetic field will not affect significantly the dynamics of disk formation. In both cases, there are no sharp boundaries at the disk edge in the surface density profile. From the mass profile one sees the disk radius to be $\sim 3$ kpc for both simulations.

We overplotted the threshold gas surface density for star formation $\approx 5 M_\odot$ pc$^{-2}$ derived from observations (Martin & Kennicutt 2001). It is interesting to see that it is just near the disk radius. In the mass profile plot, the dark matter halo mass profile is also shown. It shows that the dark matter mass dominates baryon at $r > 1$ kpc. This explains why the rotational velocity profile is roughly flat at $r > 1$ kpc with $v_\phi \approx 50$ km s$^{-1}$, appropriate for the chosen NFW mass profile. In the rotation velocity plot, there is a big trough at $\sim 1$ kpc in both the hydro and MHD curves. This is because of the fact that there are some big clumps at this radius whose spin provides a large cancellation to the mass-averaged rotation velocity. This also gives the trough in Toomre $Q$ parameter discussed below.

The bottom left panel of Figure 3 shows the velocity dispersions calculated by $\sigma = \sqrt{\langle v^2 \rangle - \langle v \phi \rangle}$, where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ represents the mass-weighted azimuthal average. The velocity dispersion has been argued to remain roughly constant in self-regulated regions of disks (Silk 1997), but this is still controversial (Ferguson et al. 1998). We can see that across the disk the velocity dispersions have about an order of magnitude fluctuations centered around 5–10 km s$^{-1}$. So taking it to be constant is only a rough approximation.

However, Silk (1997) argued that the hot phase is the key in creating the self-regulated stage and determining the global velocity dispersions. Since hot phase is completely missing in our simulations, the situation might be different once supernova feedback is included.

Figure 4 shows the Toomre $Q$ parameter $Q = \Omega\sigma/(\pi G \Sigma)$ (Toomre 1964), where $\Omega$ is the angular velocity, $\sigma$ is the velocity dispersion, and $\Sigma$ is the surface density. In the original Toomre’s formula, $\Omega$ is replaced by the epicyclic frequency $\kappa = (r d\Omega^2/dr + 4\Omega^2)^{1/2}$. We used $\Omega$ because our disk is highly turbulent; different averaging methods for calculating $\Omega$ would give different $\kappa$. In practice, $\kappa \sim \Omega$ holds to within a factor of 2. As can be seen from Figure 4, throughout the disk, $Q$ fluctuates around 1, implying that the disk is Toomre unstable. This implies that the cold, filamentary gas are self-gravitating. Such a roughly constant $Q$ suggests that the gravity-driven turbulence in the disk is in a quasi-stationary state at this time (Gammie 2001; Wada et al. 2002). This is also supported by the quasi-stationary density PDF (see Section 3.3). Beyond the disk radius, $Q$ rises sharply to $> 1$.

3.2. The Growth and Structure of the Magnetic Field

Comparing the density projections of the hydro and MHD cases in Figure 1, we can see that the low-density filaments are more coherent in the MHD case. Correspondingly, the MHD disk has fewer low-density regions. By comparing the velocity field to magnetic field in Figure 2, we can see that the large-scale velocity and magnetic fields are aligned in many places of the disk. This may be a result of self-organization in MHD turbulence by dynamic alignment of the velocity and magnetic fields (Biskamp 1993).

The edge-on slice of the magnetic field lines shows that at large scale the poloidal field looks like a split monopole. This is the result of an initial uniform magnetic field along the rotation axis being dragged in by the collapse. The physics of the magnetic field amplification in MRI, i.e., the stretching of the magnetic field lines increases their energy density at the expense of differential rotation, must also work here. From the face-on slices in Figure 2, it can be seen that in the horizontal direction the magnetic field amplification is restricted mainly to the disk. But from the edge-on slice, it is clear that the field amplification extends significantly above the disk plane. As a result, there are highly magnetized bubbles with $\beta \sim 10^{-2}$ around the galactic disk. These low-beta bubbles form, because density decreases sharply above the disk plane but the magnetic field strength decreases much slower. Similar phenomena are well known in the context of black hole accretion disks (e.g., Stone & Pringle 2001) and protostellar disks (Miller & Stone 2000). The existence of such low-beta bubbles implies that the magnetic pressure may significantly influence the dynamics above the disk plane. Thus, halo fields built in this way may be crucial for halo–disk matter interchange (McKee & Ostriker 1977; Norman & Ikeuchi 1989; Gomez de Castro & Pudritz 1992), in mergers.
with other galaxies (Toomre & Toomre 1972; White 1978), ram-pressure stripping (Gunn & Gott 1972), galaxy harassment (Moore et al. 1996), and galaxy strangulation (Larson et al. 1980).

Figure 5 shows the mass-weighted joint temperature-$B$ probability distribution function (PDF). From it we can see that the cold gas has a typical magnetic field strength $\sim 1-10 \mu G$. This is remarkably consistent with observations in the Milky Way (Crutcher 1999). Since $\beta \propto B^{-2}$, this implies that in cold gas, $\beta$ fluctuates by two orders of magnitudes. Thus, the average $\beta$ gives only limited information for the magnetization of cold gas. Similar result has also been found in local MHD simulations of molecular cloud (Tilley & Pudritz 2007). Furthermore, Figure 5 also shows that magnetic field strength is similar in the warm and cold media (see also Figure 2). Then since thermal pressure is different in those two phases, this results in the vast difference of plasma beta in those two phases.

Figure 6 shows the mass-weighted joint density-$B$ PDF. It shows that the density-$B$ relation roughly follows power-law relation $B \propto \rho^k$. For the high-density disk gas, $k = 0.5$. This implies that the Alfven speed is similar in those density ranges. In Milky Way observations, at density larger than $\sim 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, a similar scaling relation is also observed (Crutcher 1999). However, below this density the Milky Way field strength is more or less constant. For the low-density halo gas, $k = 1.25$. This results from the rise-up of the low-beta bubble.

To see how the magnetic field components scale with density, Figure 7 shows the mass-weighted joint density-$B_\phi$ and density-$B_z$ plots. It can be seen that both components have similar density dependence. In the high-density gas, azimuthal magnetic component dominates over the vertical component, similar to what was found in shearing box simulations of MRI (Hawley et al. 1996). In the low-density bubbles, the vertical magnetic field dominates over the azimuthal component. Interestingly, this is also consistent with radio polarization observations of nearby edge-on galaxies (Krause et al. 2006).

To examine the amplification history of the magnetic field, Figure 8(a) shows the time evolution of the total kinetic energy (including the rotational velocity), thermal energy, and magnetic energy for the disk gas. The magnetic energy increases exponentially by about five orders of magnitude in the first 600 Myr. This corresponds to an e-folding time of $\sim 600/\ln(10^5) \sim 50$ Myr. The orbital timescale $\sim \pi (1 \text{ kpc})/(50 \text{ km s}^{-1}) \sim 60$ Myr. So the amplification is roughly an e-folding of magnetic energy per orbit. Afterward it remains invariant with a saturated magnetic field strength in the disk. Some of the newly amplified field after saturation will rise above the disk, magnetizing the halo and another fraction of it will be lost by dissipation. Furthermore, after saturation, the magnetic energy is still three orders of magnitude smaller than the total kinetic energy. This explains in part why in our simulation magnetic fields did not influence significantly the global disk properties. It could happen that at
higher resolution magnetic fields energy can be amplified to even larger value due to unresolved small-scale dynamo effects and may influence the disk more significantly. Nevertheless, it is encouraging that with the current resolution, the magnetic field strength in the cold gas is already very similar to the observed values in the Milky Way (Crutcher 1999). Furthermore, the run with lower resolution gives very similar energy amplification history; thus, it gives confidence that we have numerical convergence in solving a large-scale dynamo action.

Figure 8(b) shows the time evolution of the mass-weighted-averaged logarithm of plasma beta for all gas, cold gas, and warm gas in the disk. For $t < 600$ Myr, the plasma beta in the cold gas quickly decreases from $10^5$ to 1 and then remains around unity. The magnetic field in the warm phase is always highly supercritical. This evolution behavior suggests that magnetic field amplification in disk galaxies is a self-regulated process. After the plasma beta reaches 1 in the cold gas, the magnetic fields become dynamically important and buoyant (Parker 1966). Further growth of the magnetic field is quenched in the disk. This could be because of insufficient resolution leading to too large dissipation, yet our 52 pc resolution run gives a very similar result. On the other hand, we can see clearly that magnetic fields begin to rise above the disk after saturation, forming the low-beta bubbles. This suggests that at least up to 1 Gyr, buoyancy is a relevant mechanism of removing magnetic flux from the disk. To further confirm this picture, we have run simulations that start with a 10 times higher initial magnetic field, i.e. $10^{-8}$ G. They reach the self-regulating phase earlier and then drive more low-beta bubbles magnetizing the halo in agreement with the preceding interpretation.

The thick line in Figure 9 shows the mass-weighted toroidal magnetic field at $t = 1.088$ Gyr. There are regular patterns of field reversal at late times. This is a consequence of field amplification by differentially rotating disks (Parker 1979). Figure 9 also shows the time evolution of the mass-weighted toroidal magnetic field. It can be seen that at early times the averaged toroidal fields are small, before they are amplified by disk rotation. The amplitude generally decreases with radius because the rotation frequency decreases. A perhaps more surprising phenomenon occurred between $t = 816$ Myr and $t = 1.088$ Gyr, when the toroidal field reversed almost exactly symmetrically.

3.3. Statistical Properties of the ISM

The density PDF is of interest with regards to star formation, since star formation is thought to be determined by the high-density tail of the PDF (Elmegreen 2002; Krumholz & McKee 2005; Wada & Norman 2007). A higher order (though not necessarily small) effect is the spatial distribution of mass. When calculating the density PDF, we considered only gas in the disk. Figure 10 shows the time evolution of this density PDF for both runs. It can be seen that the PDFs for the two cases are similar. The MHD calculation has slightly less volume in dense gas. We found that the quasi-stationary high-density tail of the PDF can be approximately fitted by a power law $dp/d \log(\rho) \propto \rho^n$ with $n = -0.75$ for the hydro case and $n = -0.95$ for the MHD case. In both cases, the PDF reaches a quasi-stationary state within less than $\sim 500$ Myr. This quick establishment of a quasi-stationary PDF suggests that the gravity-driven turbulence in the disk reached a quasi-stationary and self-regulated state. It should be noted that this quasi-stationary behavior, especially at
the high-density tail, depends on our use of heating to prevent collapse at high-density gas. If we were to include star formation and feedback, the high-density tail of the density PDF may be dramatically changed. With stellar feedback, the ISM will also be significantly stirred so that the $Q$ of the disk may be increased, thus reducing the strength of gravitational feedback.

Our density PDFs cannot be fitted by log-normal distributions. At least, they do not show the typical turnover of a log-normal distribution. This is different from previous simulations of disk galaxies which claimed that the log normal is a good fit to the density PDF (Tasker & Bryan 2006, 2007; Wada & Norman 2007). The crucial difference could be that those studies start from an isolated disk while in our case, the disk is built inside out dynamically. Thus anytime in the evolution of the disk galaxy ($< 1$ Gyr), we have simulated, there is low-density gas reaching the disk from outside. It is possible that if we continue to evolve the disk long enough that after the initial halo gas all fell down to the disk it may also obtain a log-normal PDF. However, for a realistic cosmological environment, infall should never stop completely.

Figure 11 shows the joint temperature–density PDF. Above $\rho > 8 \times 10^{-23}$ g cm$^{-3}$, the temperature is determined by the floor value which was introduced to ensure that the calculation resolves the Jeans length. So the temperature of the gas can at most be trusted below this density. From this figure, it is also clear that the ISM develops a two-phase structure with a warm $T \sim 10^4$ K and a cold $T \sim 300$ K phase. From the pressure contours, we can again see that the two-phase medium is not in
pressure equilibrium. But the gas in the transition region from warm to cold gas is roughly consistent with a constant pressure evolution. Furthermore, unlike the originally quasi-stationary picture of a two-phase ISM (Field 1965), where the phase is characterized by a density and temperature determined by the balance of heating and cooling, in our case there are three order of magnitude density fluctuations and pressure in both the cold and warm gas. This is due to the turbulent nature of heating in our simulation, as discussed above. In fact, from Figure 11, we can see that at $T \sim 1000$ K, the pressure fluctuation goes from density $10^{-27}$ g cm$^{-3}$ to $10^{24}$ g cm$^{-3}$. These correspond to the Jeans length from 15 kpc to 0.5 kpc. This is larger than the disk scale height. So pressure fluctuation of those gas should not be due to self-gravity as pressure is much larger than self-gravity in those low-density gas. It is interesting to note that this is also the same order of magnitude as seen in SN-driven turbulence (de Avillez & Breitschwerdt 2005; Mac Low et al. 2005; Joung & Mac Low 2006).

Figure 12 shows the evolution of the volume and mass fractions of cold gas in both runs. It can be seen that the amount of cold gas is monotonically increasing in both volume and mass fractions. After 600 Myr, both runs reach a quasi-stationary volume fraction $\sim 0.55$ and mass fraction $\sim 0.99$. This is definitely higher than the value in a realistic galaxy due to the neglect of stellar feedback in our model. In real galaxies, stellar feedback may decrease the cold fraction significantly.

### 3.4. Estimated Star Formation History

As seen in Figure 10, the MHD case has a steeper PDF at high densities, which implies less dense cold gas. This may lead to a decline in star formation rate. Although we did not model star formation explicitly, from the density PDF, we can estimate the star formation rate (SFR) from (Elmegreen 2002; Krumholz & McKee 2005; Wada & Norman 2007)

$$\text{SFR} = f_s \frac{M(> \rho_{cr})}{t_{\text{dyn},cr}},$$

where $M(> \rho_{cr})$ is the total gas mass with density $\rho > \rho_{cr}$ which can be computed from the density PDF, $t_{\text{dyn},cr} = 1/(G\rho_{cr})^{1/2}$ is the dynamical time at $\rho_{cr}$, and $f_s$ is a constant specifying star formation efficiency (SFE). It should be emphasized that essentially we are just comparing the amount of cold gas in the two simulations. The star formation rate in such galaxies is probably very different if star formation and feedback were included in our model.

Using $\rho_{cr} = 10^{-22}$ g cm$^{-3}$ and $f_s = 0.01$ (Krumholz & Tan 2007), Figure 13 shows the star formation history (SFH) of the two simulations. It can be seen that while the SFR in the Hydro run is constantly increasing, in the MHD case, it reaches a peak at $t \approx 800$ Myr and then decreases. Since $t \approx 800$ Myr is shortly after the time when the average beta in the cold gas reached unity, this suggests that magnetic forces provide further support in the cold gas after the amplification saturated.

Illustrating how sensitively the estimated SFR depends on $\rho_{cr}$, Figure 14 shows $M(> \rho_{cr})/t_{\text{dyn},cr}$ as a function of $\rho_{cr}$. It can be seen that in both the cases it does depend on $\rho_{cr}$. Thus, to get the same SFR, the SFE $f_s$ should decrease in those densities ranges. However, it is interesting that the effect of the magnetic field is to make the dependence weaker, as observations of nearby galaxies seems to suggest (Krumholz & Tan 2007). From $n = 10$ cm$^{-3}$
to \( n = 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3} \), in the MHD case \( M(>\rho_{cr})/t_{\text{dyn},cr} \) increases by only a factor of 4.

The current analysis of the SFR may be a poor predictor of what happens when molecular cloud and star formation and feedback are included more realistically. However, the analysis in this section does suggest that after the magnetic growth saturates in the disk, magnetic fields can significantly influence GMC formation which occurs in the strongly magnetized cold and dense gas.

4. DISCUSSION

Using the Spitzer values for viscosity and resistivity, the magnetic Prandtl number of the warm ISM is \( \sim 10^{11} \). Thus, small-scale dynamos are expected to develop. However, those small-scale dynamo effects are not captured by our resolution. Nevertheless, previous simulations (Schekochihin et al. 2004) have shown that for a very high magnetic Prandtl number MHD turbulence, the growth of the small-scale magnetic fields is controlled by the rate of strain at the viscous scale and resulted in magnetic energy tending to pile up at the much smaller resistive scale. This is because for small-scale dynamos, the magnetic field seems to retain its folded structure in saturation with direction reversals at the resistive scale (Schekochihin et al. 2002). So small-scale dynamo processes may not be important for the large-scale growth of galactic magnetic fields. At least, the situation is uncertain. Even if small-scale dynamos would contribute some fraction to the large-scale growth of the galactic magnetic field, our simulations of magnetic field growth would be a lower limit. Since we find that the field can be amplified to the observed value quickly, for the phenomenology of galaxy formation, it seems sufficient to not resolve small-scale dynamos at the current level of detail.

de Avillez & Breitschwerdt (2005) have discussed the results of three-dimensional AMR MHD simulations of a local patch of the ISM with a sufficient vertical height to include the effect of halo-gas circulation. They find that gas transport to the halo is not significantly influenced by the magnetic field, and they do not find the low-beta bubble as seen in our simulations. We think that the crucial difference of our simulation from theirs is the presence of global differential rotation, which dominates magnetic field amplification in our simulations.

An important limitation of the presented calculations is that we neglected stellar feedbacks. First, we neglected radiative feedback from massive stars. In the Milky Way, FUV feedback from stars plays an important role in regulating the multiphase structure. So the addition of the FUV feedback may lead to significant modifications in the temperature structure. However, we regard it an interesting result that even without radiative feedback, a two-phase medium is still established as we discussed above. This suggests the importance of turbulence heating in the ISM thermal structure. Supernova feedback could be important for amplifying the magnetic field in the ISM (Ferriére 1992). Furthermore, supernova feedback will create the hot phase of the ISM (McKee & Ostriker 1977), which may play a crucial role in regulating star formation (Silk 1997). Future work needs to include this supernova feedback to study the importance of superbubbles on the structure of the ISM, global star formation, and magnetic field amplification. Another limitation is that we neglected cosmic ray pressure, which may be dynamically important. For example, cosmic ray pressure arising from the Alfvén wave instability (Kulsrud & Pearce 1969) may prevent the downsloping of matter along the magnetic field line (Rafikov & Kulsrud 2000).

5. CONCLUSIONS

The simulations discussed in this work have shown that the amplification of magnetic fields and its large-scale topology is a natural part of the galaxy formation process. Our main findings can be summarized as follows.

1. In the initial stage of the buildup of a galactic disk, the magnetic field is very weak and does not significantly affect the dynamics of disk formation.
2. In a self-gravitating disk, vortex modes driven by the self-gravity of the gas grow rapidly and trigger the first generation of GMC formation.
3. In the cold and warm phases, the pressure is not isobaric, and the temperature and density fluctuate by about three orders of magnitude in both phases.
4. The dynamical formation of a galactic disk results in a global density PDF that is not fitted by a log-normal function.
5. Differentially rotating galactic disks amplify a seed field of \( 10^{-9} \text{ G} \) to microgauss levels in \( \sim 500 \text{ Myr} \). The e-folding time of magnetic energy before saturation is \( \sim 50 \text{ Myr} \), roughly an orbital time.
6. The growth of galactic magnetic fields is a self-regulated process. Saturation is reached first in the cold phase. After saturation, the field strength agrees with the observations of Milky Way magnetic fields. In the cold phase, the magnetic field strength fluctuates by about an order of magnitude which gives larger than two order of magnitude fluctuations in the associated plasma beta. The saturated total magnetic field energy is three orders of magnitude smaller than the total kinetic energy in the case presented here.
7. The saturation results in highly magnetized material around galactic disks at all but the earliest cosmic epochs. The halo magnetic fields may significantly influence the halo–gas interactions, accretion of gas in disk galaxies, galaxy mergers, and the interactions of galaxies with their environment.
8. After saturation, the toroidal field in the disk dominates over the vertical component, while in the magnetized halo, the vertical component dominates over the toroidal component.
9. After saturation, the magnetic field strength is similar in the cold and warm medium.
10. Global toroidal field reversals develop naturally in a differentially rotating disk.
11. Magnetic fields can decrease the amount of cold dense gas by providing additional pressure support in the cold gas after saturation.

We have presented the first global numerical experiments studying magnetic field amplification and evolution during the formation of disk galaxies. Being able to include more of the relevant physics such as molecular cooling, radiation, and supernova feedback a realistic treatment of star formation, and a consistent treatment of cosmic ray acceleration transport, will render the next generation of numerical models useful for a more comprehensive understanding of the physics of galaxies than the current state of the art.
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APPENDIX

In this Appendix, we show results on two test problems among the many we have used to verify our MHD implementation: one in one-dimensional, another in two-dimensional. We will also plot the $\nabla \cdot B$ in our simulation to demonstrate that that is not a significant source of error in our simulations.

APPENDIX A
BRIO-WU PROBLEM

The Brio–Wu test problem (Brio & Wu 1988) is a one-dimensional MHD Riemann problem. It is now a standard test for MHD codes. It can test the code’s ability to handle shocks, rarefactions, contact discontinuities. The initial left and right states for this problem are $\rho_L = 1$, $p_L = 1$, $v_xL = 0$, $v_yL = 0$, $B_xL = 0.75$, $B_yL = 1$, and $\rho_R = 0.125$, $p_L = 0.1$, $v_xL = 0$, $v_yL = 0$, $B_xL = 0.75$, $B_yR = -1$. The adiabatic index is taken to be $\Gamma = 2$.

Figure 15 shows the numerical results at $t = 0.1$ with both $N = 400$ and $N = 1000$ resolutions, where $N$ is the number of grid points. It can be compared to Figure 2 in Brio & Wu (1988), from which we can see our code performs well in this test.

APPENDIX B
MHD BLAST WAVE

Now we consider a two-dimensional test problem using AMR. The problem is the explosion of a centrally overpressured region into a low-pressure magnetized medium. This problem has also been used in previous code tests (Zachary et al. 1994; Gardiner & Stone 2005) shows good agreement.
be influenced by $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}$ error. It can be seen that while the Dedner method is definitely not better algorithm would be needed. If we want to further study the property of a single cloud in a global galaxy simulation, it seems that well for our purpose, since our focus in this paper is on global properties of the galaxy. If we want to further study the property of a single cloud in a global galaxy simulation, it seems that better algorithm would be needed.

APPENDIX C

$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}$ IN THE SIMULATION

Finally, we plot the quantity $\nabla \cdot B dx / B$ as a function of density in Figure 17. If this quantity is of order 1, it means $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}$ error has a dynamically important effect on the result. It can be seen that while the Dedner method is definitely not perfect in cleaning out the $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}$ errors, it works reasonably well for our purpose, since our focus in this paper is on global properties of the galaxy. If we want to further study the property of a single cloud in a global galaxy simulation, it seems that better algorithm would be needed.
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Figure 17. $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}$ scatter plot as a function of density. This shows that $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}$ error is not dynamically important in our calculation. Thus our conclusion should not be influenced by $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}$ error.
