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Abstract

Introduction
Small fiber neuropathy might be a part of typical mixed small and large fiber neuropathy, or a distinct entity, affecting exclusively small nerve fibers.

Objectives
Explore the utility of small nerve fiber testing in patients with clinical presentation suggesting small fiber neuropathy, with and without evidence for concomitant large fiber neuropathy.

Methods
Patients attending the neuromuscular clinic from 2012 to 2015 with a clinical presentation suggesting small nerve fiber impairment, who had Laser Doppler flare imaging (LDI Flare) and quantitative thermal testing (QTT) were evaluated for this study. Patients with clinical or electrophysiological evidence for concomitant large fiber neuropathy were not excluded.

Results
The sensitivities of LDI Flare, cooling and heat threshold testing were 64%, 36%, and 0% respectively for clinically highly suggestive small fiber neuropathy, 64%, 56%, and 19% respectively for mixed fiber neuropathy, and 86%, 79%, and 29% respectively for diabetic mixed fiber neuropathy.
Discussion

LDI_{flare} and cooling thresholds testing are non-invasive small nerve fiber testing modalities, with moderate performance in patients with small and mixed fiber neuropathy, and excellent performance in diabetic mixed fiber neuropathy.

Introduction

Small fiber neuropathy might be a part of typical common mixed fiber neuropathy, involving large and small nerve fibers concomitantly, or a distinct entity, affecting exclusively small nerve fibers[1]. Typically, small fiber neuropathy presents with positive sensory symptoms such as burning pain and allodynia, occasionally accompanied by reduced distal pinprick and temperature perception on the neurological examination, which is otherwise normal. Diagnosis is made on the basis of the clinical features, normal nerve conduction studies, and confirmed by specialized tests of small-fiber function[2]. Due to their physiologic characteristics, small nerve fibres cannot be investigated by routine electrophysiological studies, making the diagnosis particularly difficult[1]. Skin biopsy has become the pathologic gold standard used for the diagnosis of a small fiber neuropathy, considered to be a reliable and efficient technique[3], however, it is invasive and costly.

Laser Doppler flare imaging (LDI_{flare}), and quantitative thermal testing (QTT) are non-invasive small fiber testing modalities, measuring small nerve fiber function, enabling objective confirmation of small fiber neuropathy. Nonetheless, they may be utilized also in mixed fiber neuropathies, as in diabetic sensorimotor peripheral neuropathy[4,5]. In axon reflex-mediated neurogenic vasodilatation (LDI_{flare}), a heat stimulus is used, activating peripheral C-fiber branches in the surrounding skin. As a result, focal increase in blood flow occurs, measured by a scanning Doppler infrared laser beam. LDI_{flare} was shown to be reproducible and useful, correlating with nerve fiber density[6]. QTT of cooling and thermal thresholds is increasingly used for the evaluation of peripheral nervous system function in the clinical and research domains, mainly for confirmation of small nerve fiber neuropathy, and has been found to be reliable and reproducible[7]. Both of these methods are non-invasive, and can be performed at the bedside with immediate results at a reasonable cost. In this study, we aimed to explore the utility of these small nerve fiber testing modalities in patients with symptoms suggestive for small nerve fiber impairment, not excluding those with clinical or electrophysiological evidence for mixed fiber neuropathy, regarding sensitivity and correlations with clinical and electrophysiological characteristics.

Materials and Methods

Patients attending the neuromuscular clinic from 2012 to 2015 with suspected small fiber neuropathy, performing small nerve fiber testing using LDI_{flare}, QTT and corneal confocal microscopy (CCM) testing, were evaluated for this study. CCM testing findings will be reported separately.

Small fiber testing was performed in 3 different groups of patients. All patients had a clinical presentation suggesting small fiber neuropathy, typically with positive sensory symptoms such as burning pain and allodynia, and normal or close to normal neurological examination, excluding decreased temperature and pinprick sensation. The first group included patients with clinically highly suggestive small fiber neuropathy, defined by sensory symptoms...
restricted to the lower limbs, with no associated weakness, in the presence of normal neurological examination other than decreased temperature and pinprick sensation, and normal NCS. The second group included patients with clinically suspected small fiber neuropathy, not fulfilling completely the previously described definition for highly suggestive small fiber neuropathy, but also with normal NCS. The third group included patients with mixed fiber neuropathy, with abnormal NCS, defined for the purpose of this study a sural sensory nerve action potential (SNAP) below 7 μV, which is the threshold used by our laboratory. This patient group was referred to our clinic specifically for small fiber testing, and NCS done subsequently were found to be abnormal.

In this retrospective study, we extracted demographic data, clinical history, neurological and electrophysiological examinations results, as well as vibration perception thresholds (VPT) and small fiber testing results using LDI Flare and QTT testing. The Research Ethics Board of the University Health Network approved the current study protocol and waived informed consent.

Nerve conduction studies (NCS) were performed using the Sierra Wave instrument (Cadwell Laboratories Inc., Kennewick, WA, USA). Age- and height-adjusted NCS reference values were used, according to the standards of the Toronto General Hospital (University Health Network) electrophysiology laboratory. Limb temperature was measured prior to nerve conduction studies, and if required, warming was performed to ensure a surface temperature of ≥32.0°C in the hands and ≥31.0°C in the feet.

Peroneal and sural NCS were performed using surface stimulating and recording techniques according to the standards of the Canadian Society of Clinical Neurophysiology and the American Association of Neuromuscular and Electrodiagnostic Medicine[8]. The electromyography Instrument calculated latencies, amplitudes and conduction velocities automatically. Peroneal nerve compound motor action potential (CMAP) amplitudes and Sural SNAP amplitudes were measured from first negative peak to the next positive peak.

LDI Flare technique was used to measure heat induced axon reflex-mediated neurogenic vasodilation, which might be influenced by sympathetic activity and basal levels of nitric oxide [9]. Temperature on the dorsum foot temperature was standardized to 32°C using a warm blanket for at least 20 minutes. Subsequently, a skin-heating probe (Moor Instruments Ltd, Axminster, U.K.) was used to heat the skin above the first metatarsal area on the dorsum of the foot to 44°C for 20 minutes. The LDI apparatus used a scanning Doppler infrared laser beam with a wavelength of 785 nm, sufficient to penetrate skin to register the movement of blood cells in dermal capillaries. A 36 cm² area represented a 256x256 pixel resolution with each pixel itself representing a measurement of the velocity of tissue blood flow. The total scanning time was less than five minutes per examination. The MoorLDI software (version 3.11) was used to measure blood flow in the dermal capillaries and the LDI Flare area was calculated in centimeters squared. Abnormal LDI Flare was defined < 2 cm² based on local laboratory normative data [4,10].

Cooling and heat detection thresholds were tested using a method of limits with the TSA-II NeuroSensory Analyzer (Medoc Advanced Medical Systems, Ramat-Yishai, Israel). A stimulator with a temperature of 32°C was applied to the dorsum of the foot and hand. The temperature was gradually decreased to the first level detected by the patient as a cooler than the preceding for cooling threshold testing, and gradually increased to the first level detected by the patient as a warmer than the preceding for heat threshold testing. An average of the five levels was taken for each of the studies on the foot and hand, and compared to age-matched normative data. A catch trial, with null stimulus, was inserted randomly during testing.

VPT testing was performed with a Neurothesiometer, using the method of limits[11]. The stimulus was applied to the distal pulp of the toe on each side, and the patient was requested to indicate when vibration sensation was first perceived. Stimulus strength was gradually
increased from null intensity to a value in voltage at which the subject first detected vibration. Testing was carried out with the subject's eyes closed. Three separate tests were conducted, and a mean of the three values was calculated in volts. A 'null stimulus' trial was added randomly to ensure the subject's adherence and understanding. Testing generally required less than 3 min. Normal values were considered 15 or less in the toes.

Skin biopsy was performed 10 cm proximal to the lateral malleolus using a 3 mm circular punch, at a depth of 4 mm, after cleaning the skin with an alcohol swab and anaesthetizing the skin with 2% lidocaine with epinephrine.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SAS version 9.2 for Windows (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina). Baseline participant characteristics were expressed as means ± standard deviations (SD) for continuous data, or as frequency and percentage for categorical data. Continuous data was assessed for normality (Shapiro-Wilk). For each small fibre test, results were dichotomized into normal and abnormal based on reference values, and differences in characteristics between normal and abnormal participants were assessed using the student's t-test, the Wilcoxon rank-sum test, or the $\chi^2$-test (depending on the type and distribution of the variable). Cohen's kappa coefficient was used to determine agreement among the dichotomized small fiber tests. Pearson correlation coefficients between LDI$_{Flare}$ area values and clinical and electrophysiological characteristics were calculated. The Benjamini-Hochberg procedure was used to adjust for multiple comparisons between the three different dichotomizations of normal and abnormal small fiber test results in the following categories of variables: abnormal examination findings, nerve conduction studies, and small fiber tests and VPT. Due to the exploratory nature of this study, the false discovery rate for this procedure was set at 0.10, otherwise, significance was set at $\alpha$-level of 0.05.

Results

The total cohort included 123 patients (S1 Dataset) with mean age of 55 ± 16 years, 61% of them were females. Common comorbidities included hypertension (40%), diabetes mellitus (26%), thyroid disease (19%), and hyperlipidemia (18%). 88% of patients had sensory symptoms in the lower limbs, and 38% had sensory symptoms in the upper limbs. On examination, muscle weakness was detected in 11%. Sensory deficits were more common for small nerve fiber modalities, including pinprick in 61% and temperature in 69%. 84% of patients had normal ankle reflexes. Electrophysiological testing showed normal mean values of amplitudes and of conduction velocities in peroneal and sural nerves in the total cohort, but abnormal nerve conduction studies, with abnormal sural nerve response were observed in 32% (Table 1).

LDI$_{Flare}$ testing was performed in 119 patients, and QTT using cooling and heat thresholds testing in 120. 48% had reduced LDI$_{Flare}$, 38% had elevated cooling thresholds, whereas less than 10% had abnormal heat thresholds. Reduced LDI$_{Flare}$ and elevated cooling thresholds were associated with older age (58 years vs. 52 years), higher risk for diabetes (37% vs. 16%, and 37% vs. 19% respectively), with longer diabetes duration (12 years vs. 6 years and 14 years vs. 5 years respectively), and less frequent upper limb symptoms (23% vs. 53%, and 24% vs. 46%), compared to patients with normal studies. Patients with abnormal LDI$_{Flare}$ and QTT had more frequent weakness, sensory loss for different sensory modalities (vibration, proprioception, light touch, pinprick and temperature perception), absent ankle reflexes, reduced sensory and motor amplitudes and conduction velocities in the lower limbs, and elevated VPT. However, these findings were more obvious in patients with abnormal LDI$_{Flare}$ and elevated cooling...
thresholds, than for patients with elevated heat thresholds. Patients with abnormal LDI\textsubscript{Flare} were more likely to have elevated cooling and heat thresholds, and vice versa (Tables 1 and 2).

Sensitivities for patients with clinically highly suggestive small fiber neuropathy for LDI\textsubscript{Flare}, cooling and heat thresholds testing, were 64%, 36% and 0% respectively (Table 3).

Intraepidermal nerve fiber density (IENFD) testing showed reduced nerve fiber density in 3 out of 3 patients, who also had reduced LDI\textsubscript{Flare}.

The sensitivities for the detection of mixed fiber neuropathy with low sural SNAP amplitude, for LDI\textsubscript{Flare}, cooling and heat thresholds testing were 64%, 56%, and 19% respectively. In patients with diabetes sensitivities were 69%, 55%, and 21% respectively. In patients with the combination of diabetes and low sural SNAP amplitude, higher sensitivities were found, of 86%, 79%, and 29% respectively, with 100% sensitivity for the combination of these tests.

Agreement between the different small fiber testing modalities was good (k = 0.52, p < 0.0001) between LDI\textsubscript{Flare} and cooling thresholds testing, but marginal (k = 0.11, p = 0.02)
between LDI\textsubscript{flare} and heat thresholds testing, as well as between cooling and heat thresholds testing ($k = 0.22$, $p = 0.0002$).

There was statistically significant correlation between LDI\textsubscript{flare} area values and sensory and motor conduction velocities, and VPT at the toes (Table 4).

**Discussion**

Our study results show that abnormal LDI\textsubscript{flare} and QTT testing can provide objective confirmation for the presence of small fiber neuropathy, with a moderate combined sensitivity of 64%. We found clear superiority for LDI\textsubscript{flare} testing, showing similar sensitivity of 64%, whereas QTT had much lower sensitivities (36% for cooling and 0% for heat threshold testing), not adding to the overall sensitivity (Table 3). Slightly higher combined sensitivity of 72% was demonstrated in patients with mixed fiber neuropathy, with clinical presentation suggesting small fiber neuropathy in the presence of abnormal NCS. Interestingly, sensitivity was high in...
the subgroup of diabetic patients with mixed fiber neuropathy, reaching 86% for LDI_{Flare}, 79% for cooling and 29% for heat threshold testing. Combined together, they were found to be 100% sensitive for this patient subgroup. The high yield of these testing in diabetic patients with mixed fiber neuropathy might be explained by frequent small nerve fiber impairment in this particular patient subgroup, which fits the common clinical impression.

The association of abnormal LDI_{Flare} and QTT testing with mixed fiber neuropathy, as reflected by the clinical and electrophysiological examinations, is in agreement with previous studies\[4,5,12–14\]. Patients with abnormal small fiber testing in our cohort, had more frequent limb muscle weakness, reduced vibration and proprioception perception, and absent ankle reflexes on the neurological examination, indicating concomitant large fiber impairment. This was confirmed by NCS, showing lower sensory and motor nerve amplitudes and conduction velocities.
velocities (Tables 1 and 2), and significant linear correlation between \( LDI_{\text{Flare}} \) area values and sensory and motor conduction velocities, and VPT (Table 4). The higher frequency of large fiber impairment in patients with abnormal small fiber testing, might suggest in turn a correlation between the degree of large fiber impairment and the presence of small fiber impairment. Similarly, in a previous study of 74 consecutive patients with small fiber neuropathy, patients with reduced IENFD had relatively lower sural sensory amplitudes. Although values still fell within the normal range, concomitant early large fiber impairment could not be excluded. However, in this study similar correlations between abnormal small fiber testing and sensory deficits for different modalities were not found.[10] Nonetheless, reduced pinprick sensation has been reported in patients with reduced IENFD[15]. These findings might suggest that there is a spectrum of small and large fiber neuropathies, with mixed nerve fiber neuropathy being the rule.

Patients with abnormal \( LDI_{\text{Flare}} \) and QTT testing were older, and had a twofold risk for diabetes. These findings are not surprising, considering the known decrease in small fiber density with age,[16] and the fact that diabetes is the most common cause for small and mixed fiber neuropathy. In contrast, patients with normal \( LDI_{\text{Flare}} \) and QTT testing, had a twofold higher frequency of upper limb sensory symptoms, which although known to exist in certain forms of small fiber neuropathy[2] and other common neuromuscular disorders (such as carpal tunnel syndrome, cervical radiculopathies, etc.), is less typical for common peripheral neuropathy. As expected, patients with abnormal \( LDI_{\text{Flare}} \) and QTT testing had a higher frequency of abnormal pinprick and temperature sensation, which is typical for small fiber neuropathy.

Among small fiber testing performed, heat threshold testing was found to be relatively inferior to cold threshold testing and \( LDI_{\text{Flare}} \). Elevated heat thresholds were found in less than 10% of patients, whereas \( LDI_{\text{Flare}} \) and cold threshold testing showed abnormalities in more than 40% on average. Moreover, abnormal heat threshold testing correlated less well with clinical and electrophysiological evidence for large fiber impairment, compared with \( LDI_{\text{Flare}} \) and cold threshold testing (Tables 1 and 2). Although abnormal \( LDI_{\text{Flare}} \) results were correlated with abnormal QTT and vice versa, good agreement was shown for \( LDI_{\text{Flare}} \) and cooling thresholds testing, while only marginal agreement with heat threshold testing. This might suggest that heat threshold testing is a less sensitive indicator for peripheral neuropathy, compared to its counterparts. The relative superiority of \( LDI_{\text{Flare}} \) compared to QTT testing, and better

### Table 4. Pearson correlation coefficients between \( LDI_{\text{Flare}} \) area values and clinical and electrophysiological characteristics.

| Comparison measure                          | Pearson r | p Value |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Age (years)                                | -0.13     | 0.20    |
| Diabetes duration (years)                  | 0.25      | 0.23    |
| **Nerve Conduction Studies**               |           |         |
| Sural nerve Amp (μV)                       | 0.06      | 0.56    |
| Sural nerve CV (m/s)                       | 0.27      | 0.0045  |
| Peroneal nerve Amp (mv)                    | 0.13      | 0.20    |
| Peroneal nerve CV (m/s)                    | 0.35      | 0.0002  |
| **Additional tests results**               |           |         |
| VPT finger (V)                             | -0.17     | 0.10    |
| VPT toe (V)                                | -0.33     | 0.0011  |

\( LDI_{\text{Flare}} \)—Laser Doppler Imaging Flare; Amp—Amplitude; CV—Conduction Velocity; VPT—Vibration Perception Thresholds.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165731.t004
performance of cold threshold compared to heat threshold testing, has been described previously[1].

Our study has a few limitations. Our retrospective cohort explored the performance of small fiber testing, which included LDI$_{\text{Flare}}$ and QTT testing. Although LDI$_{\text{Flare}}$ and cooling threshold testing showed satisfactory performance, the sensitivity of heat threshold testing was found to be lower than expected compared with previous literature. We have not used additional non-invasive small nerve fiber testing modalities such as the Quantitative Sudomotor Axon Reflex Test (QSART). In addition, we did not perform IENFD testing, which is currently considered to be the gold standard for small fiber neuropathy detection, in most patients. Other non-invasive small nerve fiber testing modalities, especially while combined[17], and IENFD testing[1,18], might have slightly higher sensitivities for small fiber neuropathy detection. In addition, although the total cohort number was reasonable, the number of patients with exclusive small fiber neuropathy, and patients with diabetic mixed fiber neuropathy, was relatively low. Finally, small fiber testing sensitivities might have been skewed in a positive direction in patients with mixed fiber neuropathy, as their clinical presentation suggested small fiber impairment, leading to small fiber testing.

In conclusion, LDI$_{\text{Flare}}$ and cooling threshold testing are non-invasive small nerve fiber testing modalities, which can be performed at the bedside with immediate results. Their sensitivities are moderate for small and mixed fiber neuropathy detection, and high for diabetic mixed fiber neuropathy. LDI$_{\text{Flare}}$ seems to be superior to QTT, and heat threshold testing seems to be the least efficient test. Additional studies, confirming these findings and comparing these tests with additional non-invasive small fiber and IENFD testing, are warranted.

Supporting Information

S1 Dataset.
(XLSX)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: A. Abraham MA A. Alsulaiman AB CB HK LL BP VB.

Data curation: A. Abraham MA A. Alsulaiman.

Formal analysis: A. Abraham LL.

Investigation: A. Abraham MA A. Alsulaiman AB CB HK VB.

Methodology: A. Abraham MA A. Alsulaiman AB CB HK LL VB.

Project administration: A. Abraham MVB.

Resources: LL BP VB.

Supervision: VB.

Writing – original draft: A. Abraham MA.

Writing – review & editing: A. Abraham MA A. Alsulaiman AB CB HK LL BP VB.

References

1. Devigili G, Tugnoli V, Penza P, Camozzi F, Lombardi R, Melli G, et al. The diagnostic criteria for small fibre neuropathy: from symptoms to neuropathology. Brain. 2008; 131: 1912–1925. doi: 10.1093/brain/awn093 PMID: 18524793
2. Lacomis D. Small-fiber neuropathy. Muscle Nerve; 2002; 26: 173–188. doi: 10.1002/mus.10181 PMID: 12210380

3. Lauria G, Hsieh ST, Johansson O, Kennedy WR, Léger JM, Mellgren SI, et al. European Federation of Neurological Societies/Peripheral Nerve Society Guideline on the use of skin biopsy in the diagnosis of small fiber neuropathy. Report of a joint task force of the European Federation of Neurological Societies and the Peripheral Nerve Society. European journal of neurology: the official journal of the European Federation of Neurological Societies; 2010 Jul; 17(7):903–12, e44–9.

4. Nabavi Nouri M, Ahmed A, Bril V, Orszag A, Ng E, Nwe P, et al. Diabetic neuropathy and axon reflex-mediated neurogenic vasodilatation in type 1 diabetes. Fadini GP, editor. PLoS ONE; 2012; 7: e34807. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0034807 PMID: 22529938

5. Lysy Z, Lovblom LE, Halpern EM, Ngo M, Ng E, Orszag A, et al. Measurement of cooling detection thresholds for identification of diabetic sensorimotor polyneuropathy in type 1 diabetes. Kirchmair R, editor. PLoS ONE; 2014; 9: e106995. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0106995 PMID: 25216179

6. Green AQ, Krishnan ST, Rayman G. C-fiber function assessed by the laser doppler imager flare technique and acetylcholine iontophoresis. Muscle Nerve; 2009; 40: 985–991. doi: 10.1002/mus.21333 PMID: 19768772

7. Hagander LG, Midani HA, Kuskowski MA, Parry GJ. Quantitative sensory testing: effect of site and skin temperature on thermal thresholds. Clin Neurophysiol. 2000; 111: 17–22. PMID: 10656506

8. Bolton CF, Benstead TJ, Grand'Maison F, Tardif GS, Weston LE. Minimum standards for electromyography in Canada: a statement of the Canadian Society of Clinical Neurophysiologists. The Canadian journal of neurological sciences. 2000; 288–291. PMID: 11097517

9. Huang C-S, Wang S-F, Tsai Y-F. Axon reflex-related hyperemia induced by short local heating is reproducible. Microvasc Res. 2012; 84: 351–355. doi: 10.1016/j.mvr.2012.07.003 PMID: 22796314

10. Ebadi H, Perkins BA, Katzberg HD, Lovblom LE, Bril V. Evaluation of proxy tests for SFSN: evidence for mixed small and large fiber dysfunction. Paul F, editor. PLoS ONE; 2012; 7: e42208. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0042208 PMID: 22870304

11. Claus D, Mustafa C, Vogel W, Herz M, Neundörfer B. Assessment of diabetic neuropathy: definition of norm and discrimination of abnormal nerve function. Muscle Nerve; 1993; 16: 757–768. doi: 10.1002/mus.880160711 PMID: 8505932

12. Vas PRJ, Rayman G. The rate of decline in small fibre function assessed using axon reflex-mediated neurogenic vasodilatation and the importance of age related centile values to improve the detection of clinical neuropathy. Romanovsky AA, editor. PLoS ONE; 2013; 8: e69920. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0069920 PMID: 23936119

13. Breiner A, Lovblom LE, Perkins BA, Bril V. Does the prevailing hypothesis that small-fiber dysfunction precedes large-fiber dysfunction apply to type 1 diabetic patients? Diabetes Care. American Diabetes Association; 2014; 37: 1418–1424.

14. Almurdhi MM, Reeves ND, Bowling FL, Boulton AJM, Jeziorska M, Malik RA. Distal lower limb strength is reduced in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance and is related to elevated intramuscular fat level and vitamin D deficiency. Diabet Med. 2016. doi: 10.1111/dme.13163 PMID: 27278802

15. Walk D, Wendelschafer-Crabbe G, Davey C, Kennedy WR. Concordance between epidermal nerve fibre density and sensory examination in patients with symptoms of idiopathic small fiber neuropathy. J Neurol Sci.; 2007; 255: 23–26. doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2007.01.052 PMID: 17337273

16. Provitera V, Gibbons CH, Wendelschafer-Crabbe G, Donadio V, Vitale DF, Stancanelli A, et al. A multicenter, multinational age- and gender-adjusted normative dataset for immunofluorescent intraepidermal nerve fiber density at the distal leg. Eur J Neurol. 2016; 23: 333–338. doi: 10.1111/ene.12842 PMID: 26493160

17. Lefaucheur J-P, Wahab A, Planté-Bordeneuve V, Séné D, Ménard-Lefaucheur I, Rouie D, et al. Diagnosis of small fiber neuropathy: A comparative study of five neurophysiological tests. Neuropsychol Clin. 2015.

18. Holland NR, Crawford TO, Hauer P, Comblath DR, Griffin JW, McArthur JC. Small-fiber sensory neuropathies: clinical course and neuropathology of idiopathic cases. Ann Neurol.; 1998; 44: 47–59. doi: 10.1002/ana.40440411 PMID: 9667592