Features of Hepatic Abscesses on Computed Tomography: Predicting the Outcomes of Percutaneous Catheter Drainage or Needle Aspiration
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1. Background

Among cases of pyogenic abscess, the most common causative organism is *Escherichia coli*; however, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (*K. pneumoniae*) has recently been emerging as the most common causative organism in Asia (1, 2). Previous studies have shown that *K. pneumoniae* frequently affects patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) and often presents as metastatic infection (2, 3). Alsaf et al. (3) reported that hepatic abscesses involving *K. pneumoniae* appear as single, solid, or multiloculated hepatic abscesses, whereas Lee et al. (4) observed that thin wall and internal necrotic debris are useful CT features for the early diagnosis of hepatic abscesses involving *K. pneumoniae*.

Percutaneous catheter drainage (PCD) and percutaneous needle aspiration (PNA) have become the primary options for treating hepatic abscesses due to the fewer complications and lower mortality associated, in comparison with those associated with surgery (5-9). Thus far, various studies have examined the clinical data and computed tomography (CT) features for predicting PCD outcomes (10-12). However, no quantitative approach has been developed to assess the amount of pus drained through PCD.
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2. Objectives

In the present study, we aimed to compare CT features between hepatic abscesses classified specific groups based on the strain and quantitative outcome of PCD or PNA, and to analyze the clinical outcomes.

3. Patients and Methods

3.1. Patients and Data Collection

This retrospective study was approved by our institutional review board, and the need for written informed consent was waived. The study protocol conforms to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 declaration of Helsinki as reflected in a priori approval by the institutional human research committee. We performed a retrospective computer search of our hospital database records from March 2011 to March 2015 by using the international classification of diseases (9th revision, code 572.0) to identify all patients at our institution who were diagnosed with pyogenic hepatic abscess; the database search yielded 218 patients. The inclusion criteria were presence of hepatic abscess in the liver on contrast-enhanced CT imaging prior to PCD or PNA, drainage of pus from the abscess cavity with PCD or PNA, and positive culture results from the hepatic abscess and/or blood cultures. Three patients who had not undergone contrast-enhanced CT imaging prior to PCD or PNA were excluded. Twenty-eight patients who underwent pus and blood culture examinations did not show positive findings and were excluded, whereas 100 patients who did not receive PCD or PNA during the hospitalization period were excluded. The population of the final study comprised 87 patients, including 55 men and 32 women, with a mean age of 63 years (range, 35 - 86 years).

Ultrasonographic (US) guidance used the Phillips iU 22 system with 5-MHz convex transducers for both PCD and PNA. PCD was performed regularly on abscesses with diameters greater than 3 cm unless the location of the abscess made it too difficult to drain. PNA was performed on abscesses with diameters less than 3 cm or inadequately liquefied abscesses on US. Patients underwent PCD in which a 10.2-Fr or 12-Fr pigtail catheter was placed into the largest abscess locule with the modified Seldinger technique by an expert radiologist with 10 years of experience. The size selection of drainage tubes was determined by the experienced radiologists at the time of drainage procedure. PNA was performed using a needle (16 Gauge). Once the needle tip or catheter was within the abscess cavity, it was aspirated until no more pus could be aspirated.

The hospital records were reviewed for demographic data; coexisting medical conditions, including DM, biliary disease, and malignancy; clinical symptoms, including fever and right upper quadrant (RUQ) abdominal pain; interval between symptom onset and final CT prior to the procedure, and the interval between symptom onset and the procedure day; initial laboratory data, including total white blood cell count, neutrophil count, and C-reactive protein level; amount of initial drainage; number of days the fever had subsided (body temperature of ≤ 37.5°C for 2 days), number of days leukocytosis had subsided (defined as a white blood count < 11000 cells/mm³), duration of drainage (defined as the interval between PCD tube insertion and removal), and length of hospitalization; and microbiological causes of hepatic abscess.

Patients were assigned to two groups according to the bacterial etiology: the K. pneumoniae monomicrobial pyogenic hepatic abscess (KHA) group and the non-K. pneumoniae monomicrobial or polymicrobial pyogenic hepatic abscess (non-KHA) group. A similar classification was used by Hui et al. (13). A monomicrobial hepatic abscess is an abscess wherein only one organism is recovered from the abscess aspirate and/or blood culture. A polymicrobial hepatic abscess is an abscess wherein more than one organism (one of which could be K. pneumoniae) is recovered from the abscess aspirate and/or blood culture.

In order to analyze the CT features and clinical outcomes according to the percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume of hepatic abscess, we divided the patients into two groups: group A (percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume < 50%, n = 41) and group B (percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume ≥ 50%, n = 46). Moreover, the KHA group and non-KHA group were categorized into the following groups according to the percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume: group C (percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume < 50%) and group D (percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume ≥ 50%) for patients in the KHA group, and group E (percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume < 50%) and group F (percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume ≥ 50%) for patients in the non-KHA group (Figure 1).

3.2. CT Technique

Forty-five patients underwent dynamic CT and the other 42 patients underwent single-phase CT. Unenhanced 64-slice multiple detector computed tomography (MDCT) scanner (Brilliance CT 64 Channel, Philips Medical, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) were routinely performed in these patients without any prior oral administration of water or contrast material. A total of 1.5 mL/kg body weight of nonionic contrast material (Iomeprol, Bracco, Milan, Italy) was injected into an antecubital vein at a rate of 3.0 mL/s by using a power injector. The scan delay for the arterial phase images was defined using bolus tracking, with a circular
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Figure 1. Study flow chart. According to the percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume of hepatic abscess, we divided the patients into two groups: group A and B. Moreover, the KHA group and non-KHA group were categorized into the following groups according to the percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume: group C and D for patients in the KHA group, and group E and F for patients in the non-KHA group. Abbreviations: KHA; Klebsiella pneumonia with monomicrobial hepatic abscess, Non-KHA; non-Klebsiella pneumoniae monomicrobial or polymicrobial pyogenic hepatic abscess.

3.3. CT Interpretation

All patients underwent contrast-enhanced CT prior to drainage of the pyogenic hepatic abscess. Three experienced abdominal radiologists (S.C.B., J.S.L., and M.H.K., with 3, 7, and 12 years of experience in abdominal imaging, respectively) retrospectively reviewed the CT images until consensus was achieved. The following features were recorded: location (right liver, left liver, or both sides); size (in cases with multiple abscesses, the largest was measured); number (single or multiple); configuration (unilocular or multilocular); solid or cystic appearance (50% or more of the abscess cavity appears hypodense or liquefied, with an attenuation value of ≤ 20 HU) in most of sections of the abdominal cavity; rim enhancement (more than half of the margin had a higher attenuation than the surrounding liver on contrast imaging); wall thickness (thin or thick); presence of gas density in the abscess; margin (ill-defined or well-defined; ill-defined was used to describe cases where more than half of the margin was finely speculated); and shortest length to the liver capsule (the shortest distance between the largest abscess and the liver capsule).

The CT volume of the hepatic abscess was measured by visual inspection of the portal venous phase images on contrast-enhanced CT. The outer margin of the hepatic abscess –excluding the wall- was drawn by hand, the area of this ROI was measured, and the area was then multiplied by the thickness of the slices to obtain the total volume. Thereafter, the CT volume was calculated as the sum of the areas in each section multiplied by the thickness; the percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume was then calculated based on these values.

Accordingly, we used the method of Liao et al. to measure the mean attenuation (14). Attenuation value measurements were made using a commercially available workstation (Marosis m-view, Infinitt, Seoul, Korea) with the picture archiving communication system (PACS). In brief, the mean attenuation values were measured in the same manner as the CT volume. The ROI areas were multi-
plied by the mean attenuation values in each section, and these values were summed to calculate the total attenuation values. The total attenuation values were then divided by the sum of the ROI areas to determine the final mean attenuation values of the abscess.

- Section 1: Mean = M1, Area = A1.
- Section 2: Mean = M2, Area = A2.
- Section 3: Mean = M3, Area = A3.

CT Volume of the abscess = (A1 + A2 + A3…) × thickness.

Final mean attenuation values of the abscess = 

\[
\frac{(M1 \times A1 + M2 \times A2 + M3 \times A3…)}{(A1 + A2 + A3…)}
\]

3.4. Statistical Analysis

We compared the clinical findings and CT features between the KHA and non-KHA groups; groups A and B; groups C and D in the KHA group; and groups E and F in the non-KHA group. To investigate the differences in the clinical outcomes between the groups, we compared and analyzed the duration of drainage, number of days the fever had subsided, number of days leukocytosis had subsided, and the length of hospitalization between the groups. The \( \chi^2 \) analysis or Fisher exact test was used for the assessment of categorical variables and the Student t test was used for the assessment of continuous variables. Variables with a P value of less than 0.2 on univariate analysis were included in the multivariate logistic regression analysis to identify the most reliable findings for predicting a well-drained hepatic abscess. The Spearman correlation coefficient was used to analyze the association of the percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume with the CT volume and mean attenuation. In order to investigate the consistency between the three radiologists for the measurement of mean attenuation and CT volume on CT imaging, we estimated the intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC). An ICC of 0.61-0.80 was considered as indicative of substantial agreement and an ICC of higher than 0.80 was indicative of nearly perfect agreement (15).

All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 23 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). A P value of less than 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

4. Results

4.1. Comparison Between Monomicrobial K. Pneumoniae Hepatic Abscesses and Others

Of the 87 patients with pyogenic hepatic abscesses, 60 were assigned to the KHA group (positive findings on pus culture only in 37, both pus and blood culture in 20, and blood culture only in three) and 27 were assigned to the non-KHA group (positive findings on pus culture only in 13, both pus and blood culture in 13, and blood culture only in one).

Univariate analysis showed significant differences between the two groups with underlying malignancy and underlying biliary disease (Table 1). Underlying malignancy and biliary disease were more common in the non-KHA group than in the KHA group (P = 0.001 and P = 0.006, respectively). On CT, the KHA group commonly exhibited multifocal abscesses (93.3%, P = 0.031), whereas the non-KHA group commonly exhibited rim enhancement (81.5%, P = 0.008) and presence of gas (25.9%, P = 0.028). There were no differences between the two groups in terms of location, size, number, appearance, wall thickness, margin, shortest length to the liver capsule, mean attenuation, and CT volume.

Multivariate analysis indicated that less frequent underlying malignancy (odds ratio [OR] = 0.09, P = 0.001) and less frequent rim enhancement (OR = 0.21, P = 0.019) were the most significant predicting factors of KHA.

4.2. Percentage of Drainage Volume Per CT Colume of the Abscess (Group A Vs. Group B)

We divided the patients into group A (percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume < 50%, n = 41) and group B (percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume ≥ 50%, n = 46). Univariate analysis did not show any significant difference between groups A and B in terms of clinical data and initial laboratory data. On CT, group B more commonly showed frequent cystic appearance (97.8%, P = 0.001), thick walls (91.3%, P < 0.001), rim enhancement (73.9%, P = 0.009), and lower mean attenuation (33.7 ± 10.7, P < 0.001; Table 2). There were no differences between the two groups in terms of location, size, number, configuration, margin, shortest length to the liver capsule, and CT volume. Multivariate analysis indicated that the more frequent occurrence of a thicker wall (OR = 18.39, P < 0.001) and lower mean attenuation (OR = 0.95, P = 0.047) were the most significant predicting factors in group B (Figures 2 and 3). The percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume was negatively correlated with mean attenuation (Spearman coefficient = -0.41, P < 0.001).

4.3. Comparison Between the KHA Group with Poor Drainage and Good Drainage (Group C Vs. Group D)

We divided the patients into group C (percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume < 50%, n = 30) and group D (percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume ≥ 50%, n = 30) in the KHA group. Univariate analysis indicated that the KHA group with good drainage (group D) more commonly exhibited cystic appearance (96.7%, P =
Table 1. Clinical Findings, CT Features, and PCD Outcomes in KHA and Non-KHA Groups

| Characteristics                        | KHA Group (n = 60) | Non-KHA Group (n = 27) | P Value |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Age (y)\(^{b}\)                        | 62.3 ± 13.5        | 64.5 ± 11.5            | 0.462   |
| Sex, male                              |                    |                        |         |
|                                        | 38 (63.3)          | 17 (63.0)              | 0.974   |
| Symptom                                |                    |                        |         |
| Fever                                  | 42 (70.0)          | 19 (70.4)              | 0.972   |
| RUQ pain                               | 19 (31.7)          | 7 (25.9)               | 0.588   |
| Underlying disease                     |                    |                        |         |
| Diabetes mellitus                      | 15 (25.0)          | 5 (18.5)               | 0.506   |
| Malignancy                             | 4 (6.7)            | 11 (48.2)              | 0.001   |
| Biliary disease                        | 13 (21.7)          | 16 (59.3)              | 0.006   |
| Interval between symptom onset and final CT prior to the procedure (days)\(^{b}\) | 7.7 ± 6.1          | 7.2 ± 7.1              | 0.775   |
| Interval between symptom onset and the procedure day (days)\(^{b}\) | 9.0 ± 6.0          | 8.5 ± 7.2              | 0.754   |
| White blood cell count (x10\(^{9}\)/L)\(^{b}\) | 14.5 ± 7.8        | 14.7 ± 7.6             | 0.927   |
| Neutrophil count (x10\(^{9}\)/L)\(^{b}\) | 12.7 ± 7.7        | 12.5 ± 7.4             | 0.926   |
| C-reactive protein level (mg/dL)\(^{b}\) | 26.5 ± 34.3       | 19.9 ± 9.5             | 0.037   |
| Size (cm)\(^{b}\)                     | 6.9 ± 2.2          | 6.4 ± 2.3              | 0.371   |
| Number                                 |                    |                        | 0.124   |
| Single                                 | 49 (81.7)          | 18 (66.7)              |         |
| Multiple                               | 11 (18.3)          | 9 (33.3)               |         |
| Location                               |                    |                        | 0.211   |
| Right                                  | 41 (68.3)          | 19 (70.4)              |         |
| Left                                   | 5 (8.3)            | 5 (18.5)               |         |
| Both                                   | 14 (23.3)          | 3 (11.1)               |         |
| Configuration                          |                    |                        | 0.031   |
| Unilocular                             | 4 (6.7)            | 7 (25.9)               |         |
| Multilocular                           | 56 (93.3)          | 20 (74.1)              |         |
| Appearance                             |                    |                        | 0.327   |
| Cystic                                 | 50 (83.3)          | 25 (92.6)              |         |
| Solid                                  | 10 (16.7)          | 2 (7.4)                |         |
| Wall thickness                         |                    |                        | 0.878   |
| Thin wall                              | 21 (35.0)          | 9 (33.3)               |         |
| Thick wall                             | 29 (48.3)          | 18 (66.7)              |         |
| Margin                                 |                    |                        | 0.456   |
| Ill-defined                            | 13 (21.7)          | 4 (14.8)               |         |
| Well-defined                           | 47 (78.3)          | 23 (85.2)              |         |
| Rim enhancement                        | 31 (51.7)          | 22 (81.5)              | 0.008   |
| Presence of gas density                | 5 (8.3)            | 7 (25.9)               | 0.028   |
| The shortest length to the liver capsule (cm)\(^{b}\) | 0.5 ± 1.1          | 0.3 ± 0.5              | 0.206   |
| Mean attenuation (HU)\(^{b}\)         | 39.2 ± 11.9        | 38.2 ± 17.5            | 0.796   |
| CT volume (mL)\(^{b}\)                | 103.6 ± 211.3      | 67.2 ± 53.7            | 0.216   |
| Amount of initial drainage (mL)\(^{b}\) | 36.5 ± 36.8       | 36.0 ± 34.7            | 0.956   |
| Percentage of initial drainage volume per CT Volume (%)\(^{b}\) | 51.2 ± 35.7       | 62.7 ± 46.3            | 0.209   |

Abbreviations: KHA, Klebsiella pneumoniae monomicrobial pyogenic hepatic abscess; Non-KHA, non-Klebsiella pneumoniae monomicrobial or polymicrobial pyogenic hepatic abscess; RUQ, right upper quadrant; HU, hounsfield unit; y, year; PCD, Percutaneous catheter drainage.

Values are expressed as No. (%) unless otherwise indicated, percentages are calculated on the basis of each group.

Data are means ± standard deviations.

0.006), thick walls (93.3%, P < 0.001), and lower mean attenuation (34.2 ± 10.0, P = 0.001) as compared to the KHA group with poor drainage (group C) (Table 3). Multivariate analysis indicated that the more frequent occurrence of a
Table 2. Clinical Findings, CT Feature and PCD Outcomes in Groups A and B

| Characteristics                        | KHA Group (n = 60) | Non-KHA Group (n = 27) | P Value |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Age (y)                                 | 62.3 ± 13.5       | 64.5 ± 11.5           | 0.462   |
| Sex, male                              |                   |                       |         |
| Fever                                  | 42 (70.0)         | 19 (70.4)             | 0.972   |
| RUQ pain                               | 19 (31.7)         | 7 (25.9)              | 0.588   |
| Underlying disease                     |                   |                       |         |
| Diabetes mellitus                      | 15 (25.0)         | 5 (18.5)              | 0.506   |
| Malignancy                             | 4 (6.7)           | 11 (48.2)             | 0.001   |
| Biliary disease                        | 13 (21.7)         | 16 (59.3)             | 0.006   |
| Interval between symptom onset and final CT prior to the procedure (days) | 7.7 ± 6.1         | 7.2 ± 7.1             | 0.775   |
| Interval between symptom onset and the procedure day (days) | 9.0 ± 6.0         | 8.5 ± 7.2             | 0.754   |
| White blood cell count (x10^9/L)       | 14.5 ± 7.8        | 14.7 ± 7.6            | 0.927   |
| Neutrophil count (x10^9/L)             | 12.7 ± 7.7        | 12.5 ± 7.4            | 0.926   |
| C-reactive protein level (mg/dL)       | 26.5 ± 14.3       | 19.9 ± 9.5            | 0.371   |
| Size (cm)                              | 6.9 ± 2.2         | 6.4 ± 2.3             | 0.371   |
| Number                                  |                   |                       | 0.324   |
| Single                                  | 49 (81.7)         | 18 (66.7)             |         |
| Multiple                                | 11 (18.3)         | 9 (33.3)              |         |
| Location                                |                   |                       | 0.211   |
| Right                                  | 41 (68.1)         | 19 (70.4)             |         |
| Left                                    | 5 (8.3)           | 5 (18.5)              |         |
| Both                                    | 14 (23.3)         | 3 (11.1)              |         |
| Configuration                           |                   |                       | 0.031   |
| Unilocular                              | 4 (6.7)           | 7 (25.9)              |         |
| Multilocular                            | 56 (93.3)         | 20 (74.1)             |         |
| Appearance                              |                   |                       | 0.327   |
| Cystic                                  | 50 (83.3)         | 25 (92.6)             |         |
| Solid                                   | 10 (16.7)         | 2 (7.4)               |         |
| Wall thickness                          |                   |                       | 0.878   |
| Thin wall                               | 21 (35.0)         | 9 (33.3)              |         |
| Thick wall                              | 39 (65.0)         | 18 (66.7)             |         |
| Margin                                  |                   |                       | 0.456   |
| Ill-defined                             | 13 (21.7)         | 4 (14.8)              |         |
| Well-defined                            | 47 (78.3)         | 23 (85.2)             |         |
| Rim enhancement                         | 31 (51.7)         | 22 (81.5)             | 0.008   |
| Presence of gas density                 | 5 (8.3)           | 7 (25.9)              | 0.028   |
| The shortest length to the liver capsule (cm) | 0.5 ± 1.1        | 0.3 ± 0.5             | 0.206   |
| Mean attenuation (HU)                   | 39.2 ± 11.9       | 38.2 ± 17.5           | 0.796   |
| CT volume (mL)                          | 103.6 ± 211.3     | 67.2 ± 53.7           | 0.216   |
| Amount of initial drainage (mL)         | 36.5 ± 16.8       | 36.0 ± 34.7           | 0.956   |
| Percentage of initial drainage volume per CT Volume (%) | 51.2 ± 15.7 | 62.7 ± 46.3 | 0.209 |

Abbreviations: RUQ; right upper quadrant, HU; hounsfield unit; PCD, Percutaneous catheter drainage; y, year.

Values are expressed as No. (%) unless otherwise indicated, percentages are calculated on the basis of each group.

Percent of initial drainage volume per CT volume < 50%

Percent of initial drainage volume per CT volume ≥ 50%

Data are means ± standard deviations

thicker wall (OR = 15.11, P = 0.002) was the most significant predicting factor in the KHA group with good drainage.
A 50-year-old man with *Klebsiella pneumoniae* hepatic abscess in group A. Contrast enhanced CT scan shows a single hepatic abscess in the right liver. It is a well-defined, multiloculated, and thin-walled abscess with no rim enhancement. The abscess has a multiple septa and solid appearance with less than 50% liquefied part of the abscess. The mean attenuation was calculated as 47 hounsfield unit (HU).

A 46-year-old man with *Klebsiella pneumoniae* hepatic abscess in group B. Contrast enhanced CT scan shows a single multiloculated abscess with cystic appearance, thick wall, and rim enhancement in the right liver. The mean attenuation was calculated as 10 hounsfield unit (HU).

An 81-year-old man with *Klebsiella pneumoniae* hepatic abscess in group C. Contrast enhanced CT scan shows a single hepatic abscess with no rim enhancement. The abscess has a solid appearance and thin rim. The mean attenuation was calculated as 47 hounsfield unit (HU).

A 61-year-old man with *Klebsiella pneumoniae* hepatic abscess in group D. Contrast enhanced CT scan shows a single multiloculated abscess with cystic appearance, and thick wall in the right liver. The mean attenuation was calculated as 20 hounsfield unit (HU). The abscess was successfully treated with percutaneous catheter drainage. The patient was discharged from hospital 29 days later.

**4.4. Comparison Between the Non-KHA Group with Poor Drainage and Good Drainage (Group E Vs. Group F)**

We divided the patients into group E (percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume < 50%, n = 11) and group F (percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume ≥ 50%, n = 16) in the non-KHA group. Univariate analysis indicated that the non-KHA group with good drainage (group F) more commonly showed a thicker wall (87.5%, P = 0.012) and rim enhancement (100.0%, P = 0.006) as com-
### Table 3. CT Features and PCD Outcomes in Groups C and D

| Characteristics | Group C (n = 30) | Group D* (n = 30) | P Value |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| **Size (cm)**   | 7.0 ± 2.4       | 6.8 ± 2.0        | 0.808   |
| **Number**      |                 |                  | 0.739   |
| Single          | 24 (80.0)       | 25 (83.3)        |         |
| Multiple        | 6 (20.0)        | 5 (16.7)         |         |
| **Location**    |                 |                  | 0.894   |
| Right           | 21 (70.0)       | 20 (66.7)        |         |
| Left            | 2 (6.7)         | 3 (10.0)         |         |
| Both            | 7 (23.3)        | 7 (23.3)         |         |
| **Configuration** |                |                  | 0.632   |
| Unilocular      | 3 (10.0)        | 1 (3.3)          |         |
| Multilocular    | 27 (90.0)       | 29 (96.7)        |         |
| **Appearance**  |                 |                  | 0.006   |
| Cystic          | 21 (70.0)       | 29 (96.7)        |         |
| Solid           | 9 (30.0)        | 1 (3.3)          |         |
| **Wall thickness** |                |                  | < 0.001 |
| Thin wall       | 19 (63.3)       | 2 (6.7)          |         |
| Thick wall      | 11 (36.7)       | 28 (93.3)        |         |
| **Margin**      |                 |                  | 1.000   |
| Ill-defined     | 7 (23.3)        | 6 (20.0)         |         |
| Well-defined    | 23 (76.7)       | 24 (80.0)        |         |
| **Rim enhancement** |            |                  | 0.017   |
| Presence of gas density | 11 (43.3) | 18 (60.0) |         |
| **The shortest length to the liver capsule (cm)** | 0.7 ± 1.4 | 0.3 ± 0.6 | 0.294 |
| **Mean attenuation (HU)** | 44.1 ± 11.8 | 34.2 ± 10.0 | 0.001 |
| **CT volume (ml)** | 138.7 ± 291.8 | 68.4 ± 55.5 | 0.204 |

Abbreviations: HU; hounsfeld unit; PCD, Percutaneous catheter drainage.

a Values are expressed as No. (%) unless otherwise indicated, percentages are calculated on the basis of each group.
b Percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume < 50%.
c Percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume ≥ 50%.
d Data are means ± standard deviations.

Compared to the non-KHA group with poor drainage (group E) (Table 4). However, multivariate analysis did not show any significant differences in the CT findings between the 2 groups.

#### 4.5. Clinical Outcome Among the Groups

The length of hospitalization in the KHA group with good drainage (group D) was significantly shorter than that in the KHA group with poor drainage (group C; P = 0.026; Table 5). Although the length of hospitalization in the group with good drainage (group B) was shorter than that in the group with poor drainage (group A), the value was not significantly different. Moreover, the other clinical outcomes did not significantly differ between the groups.

The inter-observer variability for the measurement of mean attenuation and CT volume was excellent, with an intra-class correlation coefficient of 0.99 and 0.99, respectively.

#### 5. Discussion

We found that the rim enhancement of abscesses in the KHA group was not a significant finding as compared to that in non-KHA group. According to previous studies, pyogenic abscesses typically show peripheral rim en-
Table 4. CT Features and PCD Outcomes in Groups E and F

| Characteristics                        | Group E (n = 11) | Group F (n = 16) | P Value |
|----------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| Size (cm)                              | 6.7 ± 2.2        | 6.3 ± 2.3        | 0.668   |
| Number                                 | 1.000            |                  |         |
| Single                                 | 7 (63.6)         | 11 (68.8)        |         |
| Multiple                               | 4 (36.4)         | 5 (31.3)         |         |
| Location                               |                  |                  | 0.300   |
| Right                                  | 9 (81.8)         | 10 (62.5)        |         |
| Left                                   | 2 (18.2)         | 3 (18.8)         |         |
| Both                                   | 0                | 3 (18.8)         |         |
| Configuration                          |                  |                  | 0.391   |
| Unilocular                             | 4 (36.4)         | 3 (18.8)         |         |
| Multilocular                           | 7 (63.6)         | 13 (81.3)        |         |
| Appearance                             |                  |                  | 0.157   |
| Cystic                                 | 9 (81.8)         | 16 (100.0)       |         |
| Solid                                  | 2 (18.2)         | 0                |         |
| Wall thickness                         |                  |                  | 0.012   |
| Thin wall                              | 7 (63.6)         | 2 (12.5)         |         |
| Thick wall                             | 4 (36.4)         | 14 (87.5)        |         |
| Margin                                 |                  |                  | 0.273   |
| Ill-defined                            | 3 (27.3)         | 1 (6.3)          |         |
| Well-defined                           | 8 (72.7)         | 15 (93.8)        |         |
| Rim enhancement                        | 6 (54.6)         | 16 (100.0)       | 0.006   |
| Presence of gas density                | 2 (18.2)         | 5 (31.3)         | 0.662   |
| The shortest length to the liver capsule (cm) | 0.4 ± 0.6        | 0.2 ± 0.5        | 0.518   |
| Mean attenuation (HU)                  | 46.3 ± 21.5      | 32.6 ± 12.0      | 0.075   |
| CT volume (mL)                         | 70.6 ± 61.8      | 64.8 ± 49.4      | 0.787   |

Abbreviations: HU; hounsfield unit; PCD, Percutaneous catheter drainage.

Values are expressed as No. (%) unless otherwise indicated. Percentages are calculated on the basis of each group.

Percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume < 50%

Percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume ≥ 50%

Data are means ± standard deviations.

Enhancement on contrast-enhanced CT and magnetic resonance imaging as secondary findings due to inflammatory hyperemia. Kim et al. (16) reported that the parenchyma changes to immature pus and debris through the rapid invasion and destruction of the liver parenchyma in cases of KHA, and that the septa between the clusters of abscesses can be destroyed via inflammation as the abscess grows in size. Meanwhile, in non-KHA cases, the abscesses are not combined together and the structure is maintained as the septa are rarely destroyed. Hence, we believe that KHA cases exhibit hair ball-like abscess content with less frequent rim enhancement. Although our research results showed that the KHA group commonly exhibits a multiloculated configuration, indicating septal breakage as per the research of Kim et al., the findings were not significant.

The mean attenuation of a hepatic abscess is the quantitative value that represents the liquefaction, and has been used to predict PCD failure by Liao et al. (14), who were the first to estimate this parameter. Liao et al. reported that the minimal attenuation values of abscesses, range of attenuation values, lack of a cystic component, and presence of gas were findings associated with PCD failure. However, the authors had measured the attenuation of the whole area, including gas as the measurement of attenuation values of the area while excluding gas was considered to be difficult. Hence, to obtain the mean attenuation values,
we measured the area while excluding gas, and separately indicated whether gas was included. The abscess with a greater cystic portion is generally expected to have lower value for mean attenuation and larger drainage percent. Liao et al. also found that a larger cystic component is associated with successful PCD, and presence of a cystic component ensures high-quality drainage. In the present study, we could quantitatively identify a negative correlation between the percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume and mean attenuation. Moreover, group B (percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume ≥ 50%) showed a significantly lower value for mean attenuation and larger drainage percent. Liao et al. (14) found that the shortest length to the liver capsule of < 0.25 cm, abscess size > 7.3 cm, a wide range of attenuation values, lack of a cystic component, lower minimal attenuation value, and the presence of gas were predisposing factors for PCD failure in pyogenic abscess patients, whereas other studies indicated that advanced age, respiratory failure, coagulopathy, and it has been reported that sonographic appearance of the abscess (large size and multiloculation) reduces the PCD success rate (10, 12). However, no studies have quantitatively examined the abscess volumes thus far. Moreover, the extent of drainage required for hepatic abscesses is unclear. The present study is the first to determine the hepatic abscess volumes quantitatively by using CT scan images and to evaluate the correlation between CT findings and clinical outcomes after categorizing patients based on the percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume.

In the histopathological analysis of pyogenic abscesses, the abscess cavity may show multiple locules that are usually filled with thick and purulent material and lined by pale fibrous tissue. The fibrous cuff around the abscess is often ≥ 1 cm thick and gradually merges into the liver parenchyma (17). Lee et al. reported that the KHA group tends to have thin walls whereas the non-KHA group tends to have thick walls, which may be due to the differences in the rate of invasion and destruction as a result of the presence of different microorganisms in the hepatic parenchyma (4, 13, 16). In the present study, group B (percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume ≥ 50%) showed a significantly thicker abscess wall, and the KHA group with good drainage (group D) exhibited the same finding. This cannot be explained only by the destruction rate due to the presence of different microorganisms. Based on our experience with the clinical progression of pyogenic abscesses, even if the abscess wall is clearly visible in the active stage, it often disappears during regression. This finding may be associated with the variation in maturation and liquefaction according to the abscess stage.

Liao et al. (14) found that the shortest length to the liver capsule of < 0.25 cm, abscess size > 7.3 cm, a wide range of attenuation values, lack of a cystic component, lower minimal attenuation value, and the presence of gas were predisposing factors for PCD failure in pyogenic abscess patients, whereas other studies indicated that advanced age, respiratory failure, coagulopathy, and it has been reported that sonographic appearance of the abscess (large size and multiloculation) reduces the PCD success rate (10, 12). However, no studies have quantitatively examined the abscess volumes thus far. Moreover, the extent of drainage required for hepatic abscesses is unclear. The present study is the first to determine the hepatic abscess volumes quantitatively by using CT scan images and to evaluate the correlation between CT findings and clinical outcomes after categorizing patients based on the percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume.

This study had certain limitations. First, the possibility of selection bias that could have resulted from the retrospective design of our study should be considered. Second, since there are no studies on CT volume and the percentage of initial drainage volume per CT volume, it is difficult to find a reference to justify the arbitrary division between good drainage and poor drainage groups by using the percentage value of initial drainage volume per CT volume ≥ 50%. Liao et al. categorized the patients with hepatic abscess into two groups, failure group and non-failure group, according to PCD treatment outcome (14). There were few patients in whom PCD therapy had failed in our study (three were dead and three underwent surgical in-
tervation out of 87 patients). So, it was not an appropriate method of categorization. Therefore, further studies are required on this subject. Third, the statistical analysis was limited as there were fewer subjects in the non-KHA group. Fourth, automatic segmentation is generally based on difference of densities between the mass and normal hepatic parenchyma. Because the hepatic abscess is consisted of not only the cystic portion but also the solid portion, it was difficult to define the outer margin of the abscess automatically. That is the reason why we used free-hand drawing by consensus of visual inspection between the radiologists. Using dedicated software for CT volumetric measurements might provide more precise results. Finally, we did not measure the total volume of pus drained after the initial drainage, and did not evaluate secondary procedures such as tube change or additional tube insertion, which could have reduced the accuracy of the clinical outcome evaluation in the patients.

In this study, the implication for patient care is that it could be helpful for making decisions about treatment timing and management strategies including follow-up interval, intensive medical therapy, or surgical intervention of hepatic abscess based on our CT imaging findings. And the result of our study also supports the previous finding that percutaneous drainage is effective in the treatment of hepatic abscesses, regardless of whether they are multiloculated. In conclusion, the less frequent occurrence of rim enhancement on CT may serve as an indicator of KHA and the presence of a thick wall on CT may serve as a predictor of a greater percentage of initial drainage. Hence, CT findings may be useful for predicting the outcome of PCD or PNA in patients with hepatic abscess.
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