Long-term follow-up of a hospital-based, multi-intervention programme in type 2 diabetes mellitus: impact on cardiovascular events and death
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Abstract

Objective: To report the long-term impact on cardiovascular (CV) outcomes and mortality of a 2-year hospital-based multi-interventional care programme as compared with general practitioner (GP)-provided standard care.

Methods: Patients with type 2 diabetes with ≥1 additional CV risk factor were randomized to 2 years of specialist-based, multi-intervention comprising lifestyle modification and specific pharmacological treatment, or GP-based standard care. After the 2-year intervention period, all participants returned to pre-study care, but were followed up for CV outcomes and mortality. The primary outcome was time to any first severe CV event or death.

Results: A total of 120 patients (31 women) were enrolled in the study. During the mean ± SD observational period of 8.7 ± 2.0 years, 27 patients (16 and 11 in the multi-intervention and standard care groups, respectively) experienced at least one primary outcome event, with a hazard ratio (HR) if allocated to the multi-intervention group of 1.73 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.80, 3.75). The HR for total mortality was 1.82 (95% CI 0.66, 5.01).
Conclusions: Hospital-based multi-intervention in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus improved long-term glycaemic control, but failed to reduce CV outcomes and deaths. Clinical trials.gov id: NCT00133718.
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Introduction
In patients with type 2 diabetes, hyperglycaemia is associated with increased risk of cardiovascular (CV) morbidity and mortality, and studies have shown that approximately 50% of all deaths among people with diabetes can be ascribed to CV disease. Stringent blood glucose control has been shown to decrease the risk for microvascular disease, but the effects on macrovascular outcomes remain controversial. The ACCORD study even suggested increased mortality risk with intensive glucose lowering, a finding that to date is not yet fully explained.

Since type 2 diabetes is often part of a cardiometabolic syndrome with hypertension, dyslipidaemia, and central obesity, a strategy of targeting multiple CV risk factors is considered necessary. This was also illustrated in the STENO-2 study, which observed a long-term CV benefit of an intensified, target-driven, multi-factorial approach in 160 type 2 diabetes patients with albuminuria and high CV risk; an effect largely mediated (>70%) by the effects of lipid modulation.

The randomized-controlled Asker and Bærum Cardiovascular Diabetes (ABCD) study showed that 2 years of structured, hospital-based multi-intervention significantly improved CV risk factors and reduced the estimated 10-year absolute risk for coronary heart disease, driven by between-group differences in glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c), systolic blood pressure, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and triglycerides. At the conclusion of the 2-year study, all patients returned to pre-study care with no further scheduled clinical intervention by the study team. The present pre-specified analysis assessed whether the shorter-term reduction in estimated CV risk translated into longer-term reductions in CV outcomes and death as evaluated at a mean ± SD of 8.7 ± 2.0 years following randomization.

Patients and methods
Study population and study design
The study design, intervention and intermediate results of the ABCD study (clinical trials.gov id: NCT00133718) have been reported previously. In brief, 120 patients with type 2 diabetes and ≥1 additional CV risk factor were enrolled in an open, randomized controlled study and allocated to either 2 years of intensive, hospital-based, structured multi-intervention (n = 60) or standard care (n = 60) (Figure 1). All participants underwent a comprehensive diagnostic work-up at baseline including medical history, physical examination, 24-h ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM), laboratory assessment and urinary assessment of albumin excretion. A subpopulation of the cohort also underwent coronary angiography. All baseline procedures, except coronary angiography and 24-h ABPM, were repeated 2 years after
randomization. Structured intensive multi-intervention comprised of 6 months of lifestyle intervention (i.e. advice on diet, exercise and smoking cessation and reimbursement of cost associated with exercise training), where medication was kept unchanged, followed by targeted, pharmacological treatment to reach pre-specified outcomes during the Asker and Bærum Cardiovascular Diabetes study follow-up.10

Figure 1. Flowchart and outcomes during the Asker and Bærum Cardiovascular Diabetes study follow-up.10
treatment goals (HbA1c \leq 48 \text{ mmol/mol} [6.5\%]; \text{total/LDL-C} < 5.0/3.0 \text{ mmol/l}; \text{systolic/diastolic blood pressure [BP]} < 130/80 \text{ mmHg}) (for more details see Table 1). The participants were seen by a physician (diabetologist) at 3-monthly intervals at the out-patient clinic of Bærum Hospital, Vestre Viken Hospital Trust, Drammen, Norway. The standard care group remained under the care of their general practitioners (GPs) who were recommended to treat according to current guidelines with a recommended follow-up at 3-monthly intervals (Table 1).10 Patients who completed the 2-year study were returned to the standard of care they had prior to study enrolment. Patients were followed for CV events, hospitalizations and death and all patients alive were invited to participate in this current follow-up with clinical and laboratory assessments.

Informed consent to participate was obtained from all participants in this study, which was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki declaration and approved by the Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics.

Cardiovascular outcomes

The primary outcome was time to any first of the events of the composite outcome: non-fatal myocardial infarction (MI), non-fatal stroke, hospitalization for unstable angina pectoris (UAP), coronary revascularization, percutaneous transluminal angioplasty, amputation, hospitalization for heart failure, and death from all causes. Since coronary angiography was a study procedure, revascularization procedures occurring immediately during, and triggered by, the study procedure, were excluded. Secondary outcomes were total mortality as well as the overall cumulative event rates of the composite primary outcome and its components.

Information on events was collected from hospital records. In two cases, data on the cause of death were obtained from the Norwegian Cause of Death Registry. All outcome events were adjudicated by two of the authors (L.L.G. and K.I.B.) blinded to the treatment allocation.

Analyses of blood and urine

Laboratory parameters were assessed in fasting venous blood samples. Urinary albumin excretion was determined in timed overnight samples. Albuminuria was defined as urinary albumin excretion > 20 \mu g/min in two out of three samples.11

Exercise testing

Exercise capacity was assessed at baseline, study-end, and at the 7-year follow-up by a modified conventional maximum stress test on a cycle ergometer as described previously.12 Maximum oxygen consumption (ml/kg per min) was used to describe maximum exercise capacity.

Coronary angiography

Irrespective of the results from noninvasive tests, coronary angiography was performed in 91 patients at baseline according to standard procedures.13 Significant coronary artery disease was defined as the presence of \geq 50\% luminal diameter narrowing of one or more of the epicardial arteries or its major branches.

Statistical analyses

Based on the STENO-2 study (approximately 715 patient-years of follow-up),8 this present study targeted at least 1000 patient-years of follow-up to ensure that the effect of the multi-intervention could be assessed with a reasonable level of power. Analysis of outcomes was performed according to the intention-to-treat principle and each patient who did not have an event
| Table 1. Lifestyle intervention algorithm, pharmacological treatment algorithm and treatment goals for both study groups in the Asker and Bærum Cardiovascular Diabetes study.10 |

| Multi-intervention group | Standard care group |
|--------------------------|---------------------|
| **Lifestyle intervention:** |                      |
| Lifestyle intervention for the first 6 months, delivered in groups of 12 patients: | Were followed by their GPs who were recommended to treat according to Norwegian and the ADA’s current (2002) guidelines with recommended follow-ups every 3 months. All interventions were undertaken according to the GP’s discretion. |
| 1) An educational course given by a nurse and physician in two sessions (total duration 5 h) focusing on different options of non-pharmacological treatment |                      |
| 2) One individual appointment (45 min) with a nutritionist |                      |
| 3) Free participation in a 10-week training programme led by physiotherapists |                      |
| 4) Encouragement to exercise at least three times a week for at least 30 min and write a training diary |                      |
| 5) Reimbursement of membership fee for a gymnasium |                      |
| 6) Advice on smoking cessation. |                      |
| Dietary and exercise advice were given at all consultations in the hospital clinic (every 3 months) during the 2-year intervention. |                      |
| **Pharmacological treatment algorithm:** |                      |
| Those who did not meet the treatment goals after the initial 6 months of lifestyle intervention received intensified pharmacological therapy for CV risk factors, in accordance with an algorithm based on international guidelines from 2002: |                      |

(continued)
| Multi-intervention group | Standard care group |
|--------------------------|----------------------|
| **Hypoglycaemic treatment** | **BP treatment** | **Lipid treatment** |
| **1st step** | Metformin titrated every week (250mg) until achieved target, occurrence of side-effects or max dose (3000mg) | ARB or ACEI titrated to max dose | Simvastatin 40mg vepor |
| **2nd step** | a) Glimepiride add-on – starting with 1mg and titrated until max. dose (6mg) or b) Repaglinide add-on – starting with 0.5mg x 3-4 and titrated until max. dose (4mg x 3-4) | Add-on of: Metoprolol 25-200mg or Gvedolol 12.5-90mg | Change to atorvastatin 40-80mg if treatment goals not achieved |
| **3rd step** | Pioglitazone 15-45 mg x 1 add-on or Acarbose 50-100 mg x 3-4 add-on | Add-on of: Thiazide diuretic or Furosemide | Add-on of: Omacor 840 mg x 2-6 or/and Ezetemibe 50mg 1x1 |
| **4th step** | Keep metformin and/or pioglitazone; add on of either: NPH insulin OD or BID Premixed insulin OD or BID MDI insulin regime | Add-on of: Ca²⁺-channel blocker | Add-on of: Fenofibrate |

**Treatment goals:**

| | Multi-intervention group | Standard care group |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------|
| **HbA₁c** | ≤48 mmol/mol (6.5%) | ≤53 mmol/mol (7.0%) |
| **Blood pressure** | <130/80 mmHg | <130/80 mmHg |
| **Cholesterol** | <5.0 mmol/l | <5.0 mmol/l |
| **LDL-C** | <3.0 mmol/l | <2.6 mmol/l |
| **HDL-C** | ≥1.0 mmol/l | >1.15 mmol/l (men) >1.4 mmol/l (women) |
| **Triglycerides** | <2.0 mmol/l | <1.7 mmol/l |

*Or substitute for metformin if not tolerated.

Or achieved treatment target or occurrence of side-effects.

GP, general practitioner; ADA, American Diabetes Association; CV, cardiovascular; BP, blood pressure; ARB, angiotensin II receptor blocker; ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; NPH, neutral protamine Hagedorn; OD, once a day; BID, twice a day; MDI, multiple daily injections; glycosylated haemoglobin, HbA₁c; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol.
was censored on the last day of observation they were known to be free of the outcome.

Triglycerides and microalbuminuria were log-transformed due to their markedly skewed distributions. The between-group difference in change in outcome variables from baseline to study-end, and from study-end to the 7-year follow-up, were explored using linear regression analyses with measurements at either study-end or at 7 years as dependent variables and baseline measurements or measurements at study-end, respectively, and treatment group, as independent variables (analysis of covariance). Dichotomous variables were explored by the $\chi^2$-test and the between-group differences in change in categorical variables were estimated with the exact Wilcoxon Mann–Whitney U-test. Sensitivity analyses were performed with different imputation values (i.e. mean values, high values, low values). All regression models fulfilled the assumptions of normally distributed residuals and a Cook’s distance < 1.

The association between group allocation and outcome was explored with Cox regression analysis. Sensitivity analyses adjusting for baseline differences between the groups (body mass index [BMI] and microalbuminuria) as well as sulphonylurea (SU) use at baseline, were undertaken. The assumption of proportional hazards was tested using Schoenfeld’s residuals.

All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS® statistical package, version 23.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for Windows®. A $P$-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

A total of 120 patients with type 2 diabetes were enrolled in the 2-year ABCD study. Of these, 106 patients (multi-intervention group: $n = 49$; standard care group: $n = 57$) completed the 2-year study (Figure 1), after which all participants returned to the care they had prior to study enrolment. Patients were followed for CV events, hospitalizations and death during a mean ± SD of 8.7 ± 2.0 years from randomization, and all patients alive were invited to participate in this current follow-up study with clinical and laboratory assessments that occurred at a mean ± SD of 7.2 ± 0.4 years after randomization.

Baseline characteristics of the two treatment groups are given in Tables 2 and 3. Of the 120 participants, three were lost to follow-up (had moved) and complete information on the primary outcome was available for 117 patients. Sixteen patients had died and 85 participants (43 and 42 from the multi-intervention and standard care groups, respectively) agreed and were able to participate in the clinical follow-up visit at a mean ± SD of 7.2 ± 0.4 after randomization.

During a mean ± SD observation time of 8.7 ± 2.0 years, corresponding to 1029 patient-years, 27 patients, 16 in the multi-intervention group and 11 in standard care group, experienced at least one primary outcome event. In total, including recurrent events, there were a total of 46 events (16 deaths [five CV deaths, 11 non-CV deaths], seven non-fatal MIs, eight non-fatal strokes, one hospitalization for UAP, six hospitalizations for heart failure, one amputation, one percutaneous transluminal angioplasty, and six coronary revascularizations) (Table 4), yielding a yearly incidence rate of 4.5% (46 events of 1029 patient-years). There were numerically more events occurring in the multi-intervention group than in the standard care group, and, as expected, more in the years after than during the 2-year intervention in both groups. There were more strokes occurring in the multi-intervention group compared with the standard care group (eight versus none non-fatal strokes and three versus one fatal stroke, respectively). In total, 19 patients underwent 20 coronary revascularization
procedures during the entire study period, but only six of these were considered to be study-independent and included in the analyses as explained in the ‘Patients and methods’ section. The hazard ratio (HR) determined by Cox regression analysis of the time to any first of the events in the primary outcome comparing allocation to the multi-intervention group with the standard care group was 1.73 (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.80, 3.75), whereas for total mortality the HR was 1.82 (95% CI 0.66, 5.01) (Figure 2).

At the long-term follow-up visit, there was no between-group difference in the mean ± SD overall number of oral antidiabetic pharmacological agents used (1.6 ± 1.0 versus 1.3 ± 0.8 in the multi-intervention compared with the standard care group, respectively), but the use of insulin was higher in the standard care group (Table 5). Despite this, the mean HbA1c was lower in the multi-intervention group (Table 6). The use of blood pressure-lowering medication, and in particular angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blockers (ACEIs/ARBs), remained higher in the multi-intervention group compared with the standard care group, but the blood pressure levels were similar in the two groups. Statin use, which had increased in both groups, but more so in the multi-intervention group, during the intervention (Table 7), continued to increase in the standard care group from study-end and remained unchanged in the multi-intervention group (Table 5). This resulted in a significant between-group difference in the change in LDL-C levels, with the LDL-C levels decreasing in the standard care group and increasing in the multi-intervention group from study-end to follow-up ($P = 0.031$) (Table 6). During the same period, estimated glomerular filtration
Table 3. Clinical and laboratory findings, and the change in these, in the two treatment groups at baseline and at the end of the 2-year intervention in the Asker and Bærum Cardiovascular Diabetes study.

|                      | Multi-intervention group |                             | Standard care group |                             | Between-group difference in change, B (95% CI) | Statistical significance a |
|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
|                      | Baseline (n = 60)        | End of intervention (2 years) n = 49 (mean (95% CI)) | Baseline (n = 60) (mean (95% CI)) | Change, mean (95% CI) |                       |                          |
| BMI, kg/m²           | 31.3 ± 5.7               | 31.1 ± 5.7                  | 29.9 ± 5.3          | 28.9 ± 5.3                  | 0.04 (−0.45, 0.53)                  | NS                        |
|                      |                          |                             | 0.04 (−0.30, 0.39)  | 0.11 (−0.48, 0.71)          |                                               |
| Systolic BP, mmHg    | 143 ± 20                 | 136 ± 21                    | 142 ± 19            | 139 ± 21                    | −4.90 (−10.27, 0.47)               | NS                        |
|                      |                          |                             | 3.02 (−3.48, 9.87)  | NS                          |                                               |
| Diastolic BP, mmHg   | 84 ± 11                  | 78 ± 9                      | 83 ± 9              | 80 ± 8                      | −4.80 (−7.8, −1.79)               | NS                        |
|                      |                          |                             | 1.81 (−0.30, 4.69)  | NS                          |                                               |
| Max oxygen uptake, m/l per kg per min | 22.6 ± 6.7               | 23.5 ± 5.4                  | 24.4 ± 6.2          | 26.6 ± 8.0                  | 0.03 (−1.07, 1.13)               | NS                        |
|                      |                          |                             | 1.51 (−0.20, 3.22)  | NS                          |                                               |
| Fasting blood glucose, mmol/l | 9.6 ± 3.5                | 7.4 ± 1.7                   | 9.6 ± 3.0           | 9.2 ± 3.3                   | −0.37 (−1.26, 0.51)               | 1.72 (0.78, 2.67) P < 0.001 |
| HbA1c, mmol/mol/ (%)  | 60 ± 16 (7.6 ± 1.5)       | 50 ± 9 (6.7 ± 0.8)          | 60 ± 18 (7.6 ± 1.6)  | 62 ± 16 (7.8 ± 1.5)          | 2 (−3 to 6)                    | 1.00 (0.61, 1.39) P < 0.001 |
| Cholesterol, mmol/l  | 5.1 ± 1.1                | 4.2 ± 0.8                   | 4.9 ± 0.9           | 4.6 ± 0.9                   | −0.37 (−0.61, −0.13)              | 0.45 (0.16, 0.75) P = 0.003 |
| LDL-C, mmol/l        | 2.9 ± 0.9                | 2.2 ± 0.6                   | 2.9 ± 0.9           | 2.6 ± 0.9                   | −0.36 (−0.58, −0.14)             | 0.38 (0.10, 0.65) P = 0.008 |
| eGFR (MDRD), m/min per 1.73 m² | 88.7 ± 19.8              | 93.8 ± 25.0                 | 91.6 ± 17.2         | 104.5 ± 24.6                | 12.45 (7.44, 17.46)              | 7.93 (1.02, 14.84) P = 0.025 |

Data presented as mean ± SD.
aAnalysis of covariance.
CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; HbA1c, glycosylated haemoglobin; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; MDRD, modification of diet in renal disease; NS, no significant between-group difference (P ≥ 0.05).
### Table 4. Overview of the number of events occurring in the two treatment groups during and after the 2-year intervention in the Asker and Bærum Cardiovascular Diabetes study.

| Type of event                      | During the 2-year study | During follow-up from study-end to 8.7 years | Total | Number of patients experiencing the events |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------------|
|                                   | Multi-intervention group| Multi-intervention group | Standard care group | Standard care group | Multi-intervention group | Standard care group | Standard care group |
| Primary composite endpoint<sup>a</sup> | 11                      | 2                                           | 22    | 11                                        | 33                      | 13                          | 24                          | 27                          |
| Non-fatal MI                      | 2                       | 0                                           | 3     | 2                                         | 5                       | 2                          | 2                          | 5                           |
| Non-fatal stroke                  | 3                       | 0                                           | 5     | 0                                         | 8                       | 0                          | 5                          | 5                           |
| Hospitalization for UAP           | 0                       | 0                                           | 1     | 0                                         | 1                       | 0                          | 1                          | 1                           |
| Non-CV deaths<sup>b</sup>         | 3                       | 0                                           | 3     | 5                                         | 6                       | 5                          | 11                         | 11                          |
| CV deaths                         | 1                       | 0                                           | 3     | 1                                         | 4                       | 1                          | 5                          | 5                           |
| Hospitalization for HF            | 1                       | 1                                           | 4     | 0                                         | 5                       | 1                          | 3                          | 3                           |
| Amputation                        | 0                       | 0                                           | 0     | 1                                         | 0                       | 1                          | 1                          | 1                           |
| PTA                               | 0                       | 0                                           | 0     | 1                                         | 0                       | 1                          | 1                          | 1                           |
| Coronary revascularizations       | 1                       | 1                                           | 3     | 1                                         | 4                       | 2                          | 6                          | 6                           |

<sup>a</sup>Non-fatal MI, non-fatal stroke, hospitalization for UAP, CV death, non-CV death, hospitalization for HF, amputation, PTA, coronary revascularization.

<sup>b</sup>Two non-CV deaths, one in each group, occurred after the participants had completed the 7-year follow-up, but within the observational period of 8.7 years. These deaths are therefore not captured in the flow-chart in Figure 1.

MI, myocardial infarction; UAP, unstable angina pectoris; CV, cardiovascular; HF, heart failure; PTA, percutaneous transluminal angioplasty.

---

**Figure 2.** Hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for the primary outcome and total mortality if allocated to multi-intervention as compared with standard care in patients in the Asker and Bærum Cardiovascular Diabetes study.
Table 5. Microalbuminuria and medication use, and change in these, from study-end (2 years) to 7 years in the two treatment groups in the Asker and Bærum Cardiovascular Diabetes study.

|                          | Multi-intervention group | Standard care group | Statistical significance for changea |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                          | 2 years                  | 7 years             | Change                               | 2 years                  | 7 years             | Change                               |                                           |
|                          | n = 49                   | n = 43              |                                      | n = 57                   | n = 42              |                                      |                                           |
| Microalbuminuria         | 19/46 (41)               | 14/42 (33)          | Reduction: 11 (26)                   | 6/51 (12)                | 6/39 (15)          | Reduction: 5 (13)                   | P < 0.001                               |
|                          |                          |                     | No change: 17 (40)                   |                          |                     | No change: 4 (10)                   |                                           |
|                          |                          |                     | Progression: 14 (33)                 |                          |                     | Progression: 30 (77)                |                                           |
| Any OAD                  | 44/49 (90)               | 35/43 (81)          | Stopped: 4 (9)                       | 42/57 (74)               | 35/42 (83)          | Stopped: 1 (2)                       | P = 0.007                               |
|                          |                          |                     | No change: 39 (91)                   |                          |                     | No change: 34 (83)                  |                                           |
|                          |                          |                     | Started: 0 (0)                       |                          |                     | Started: 6 (15)                     |                                           |
| Any SU                   | 26/49 (53)               | 20/43 (47)          | Stopped: 6 (14)                      | 15/56 (27)               | 17/42 (40)          | Stopped: 2 (5)                      | NS                                      |
|                          |                          |                     | No change: 35 (81)                   |                          |                     | No change: 33 (83)                  |                                           |
|                          |                          |                     | Started: 2 (5)                       |                          |                     | Started: 5 (13)                     |                                           |
| Any TZD                  | 17/49 (35)               | 12/43 (28)          | Stopped: 6 (14)                      | 5/57 (9)                 | 3/42 (7)           | Stopped: 3 (7)                      | NS                                      |
|                          |                          |                     | No change: 34 (79)                   |                          |                     | No change: 36 (88)                  |                                           |
|                          |                          |                     | Started: 3 (7)                       |                          |                     | Started: 2 (5)                     |                                           |
| Insulin                  | 8/49 (16)                | 14/43 (33)          | Stopped: 0 (0)                       | 16/57 (28)               | 19/42 (45)          | Stopped: 2 (5)                      | NS                                      |
|                          |                          |                     | No change: 37 (86)                   |                          |                     | No change: 32 (78)                  |                                           |
|                          |                          |                     | Started: 6 (14)                      |                          |                     | Started: 7 (17)                     |                                           |
| Any ACEI/ARB             | 40/49 (82)               | 33/43 (77)          | Stopped: 5 (12)                      | 19/57 (33)               | 22/41 (54)          | Stopped: 1 (2)                      | P = 0.006                               |
|                          |                          |                     | No change: 35 (81)                   |                          |                     | No change: 29 (71)                  |                                           |
|                          |                          |                     | Started: 3 (7)                       |                          |                     | Started: 11 (27)                    |                                           |
| Any antihypertensive     | 45/49 (92)               | 39/43 (91)          | Stopped: 2 (5)                       | 27/57 (47)               | 31/42 (74)          | Stopped: 0 (0)                      | P = 0.001                               |
| medication               |                          |                     | No change: 39 (91)                   |                          |                     | No change: 29 (71)                  |                                           |
|                          |                          |                     | Started: 2 (5)                       |                          |                     | Started: 12 (29)                    |                                           |
| Any statin               | 43/49 (88)               | 38/43 (88)          | Stopped: 3 (7)                       | 33/57 (58)               | 34/42 (81)          | Stopped: 1 (2)                      | NS                                      |
|                          |                          |                     | No change: 36 (84)                   |                          |                     | No change: 31 (76)                  |                                           |
|                          |                          |                     | Started: 4 (9)                       |                          |                     | Started: 9 (22)                     |                                           |

(continued)
rate remained unchanged in the multi-intervention group, whereas in the standard care group it increased significantly from baseline to end of intervention ($P$-value for within-group change $< 0.001$) and then decreased slightly at the long-term follow-up.

Adjustment for imbalances at baseline between the two treatment groups did not significantly alter the HR for the primary outcome (adjusted HR 1.65; 95% CI 0.69, 3.99; $P = 0.26$), neither did adjustment for SU use at baseline (HR 1.68; 95% CI 0.78, 3.65; $P = 0.19$). Also, applying different methods of imputation did not significantly alter the results (data not shown).

**Discussion**

In this present study, 2 years of specialist-based, targeted, multi-intervention with lifestyle intervention and intensification of pharmacological treatment did not translate into a reduced long-term risk for CV events and mortality as compared with standard care. While lipid and BP levels were similar in the two groups at 5 years after termination of the intervention period, the mean HbA1c level was still slightly lower in the multi-intervention group. Interestingly, a numerical imbalance in the primary outcome disfavouring intensified multi-intervention was observed. Although not significant, this finding may lead to speculation of an adverse effect of intensive glucose lowering, in line with what was reported from the ACCORD study.\(^5\) Potential mechanisms for such an adverse outcome that have been proposed include the high use of glitazones in the intensively-treated group, and the low target for and rapid decline in HbA1c in this group.\(^6\) In the present study, the lowest mean HbA1c level in the multi-intervention group of 50 mmol/mol (6.7%) was achieved slightly slower than in the ACCORD study, i.e. after approximately 12 to 18 months.\(^10\) These present results showing no long-term CV benefit associated with
### Table 6. Clinical and laboratory findings, and the change in these, in the two treatment groups from study-end (2 years) to 7 years in the Asker and Bærum Cardiovascular Diabetes study.

|                          | Multi-intervention group | Standard care group | Between group difference in change, B (95% CI) | Statistical significance |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
|                          | 2 years                  | 7 years             | Change (95% CI)                               |                          |
|                          | n = 49                   | n = 43              |                                               |                          |
|                          | 2 years                  | 7 years             | Change (95% CI)                               |                          |
|                          | n = 57                   | n = 42              |                                               |                          |
| **BMI, kg/m²**           | 31.1 ± 5.7               | 30.9 ± 4.9          | 0 (−0.73, 0.73)                               |                          |
| Systolic BP, mmHg        | 136 ± 21                 | 138 ± 16            | 2.74 (−4.41, 9.88)                            |                          |
| Diastolic BP, mmHg       | 78 ± 9                   | 79 ± 10             | 0.95 (−2.54, 4.45)                            |                          |
| Max oxygen uptake, ml/kg per min | 23.5 ± 5.4               | 20.6 ± 6.3          | −3.84 (−7.00, −0.67)                          |                          |
| Fasting blood glucose, mmol/l | 7.4 ± 1.7                | 7.6 ± 2.1           | 0.005 (−0.79, 0.80)                           |                          |
| HbA1c, mmol/mol (%)      | 50 ± 9                   | 54 ± 11             | 3 (0, 6)                                      |                          |
| Cholesterol, mmol/l      | 4.2 ± 0.8                | 4.3 ± 1.1           | 0.09 (−0.08, 0.06)                            |                          |
| LDL-C, mmol/l            | 2.2 ± 0.6                | 2.4 ± 0.9           | 0.14 (−1.00, 0.38)                            |                          |
| eGFR (MDRD), ml/min per 1.73 m² | 93.8 ± 25.0               | 95.8 ± 29.0         | 1.88 (−2.68, 6.44)                            |                          |

Data presented as mean ± SD.

*Analysis of covariance.

CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; HbA1c, glycosylated haemoglobin; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; MDRD, modification of diet in renal disease; NS, no significant between-group difference (P ≥ 0.05).
Table 7. Microalbuminuria and medication use, and change in these, from baseline to study-end (2 years) in the two treatment groups in the Asker and Bærum Cardiovascular Diabetes study.

|                                | Multi-intervention group | Standard care group | Statistical significance for changea |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                                | Baseline                 | 2 years             | Change                               | Baseline                 | 2 years             | Change                               |                                             |
|                                | n = 60                   | n = 49              |                                      | n = 60                   | n = 57              |                                      |                                             |
| Microalbuminuria               | 37/60 (62)               | 19/46 (41)          | Reduction: 10 (22)  No change: 34 (74)  Progression: 2 (4) | 14/58 (24)               | 6/51 (12)           | Reduction: 6 (12)  No change: 44 (86)  Progression: 1 (2) | NS                                         |
|                                |                          |                     | Stopped: 3 (6)  No change: 38 (78)  Started: 8 (16) | 42/60 (70)               | 42/57 (74)          | Stopped: 4 (7)  No change: 46 (82)  Started: 7 (13) | NS                                         |
| Any OAD                        | 46/60 (77)               | 44/49 (90)          | Stopped: 0 (0)  No change: 42 (86)  Started: 7 (14) | 22/60 (37)               | 15/56 (27)          | Stopped: 7 (13)  No change: 46 (82)  Started: 3 (5) | NS                                         |
| Any SU                         | 28/60 (47)               | 26/49 (53)          | Stopped: 3 (6)  No change: 38 (78)  Started: 8 (16) | 1/60 (2)                 | 5/57 (9)            | Stopped: 0 (0)  No change: 53 (93)  Started: 4 (7) | P = 0.007                                  |
| Any TZD                        | 4/60 (7)                 | 17/49 (35)          | Stopped: 0 (0)  No change: 36 (73)  Started: 13 (27) | 9/60 (15)                | 16/57 (28)          | Stopped: 0 (0)  No change: 50 (88)  Started: 7 (12) | NS                                         |
| Insulin                        | 10/60 (17)               | 8/49 (16)           | Stopped: 0 (0)  No change: 47 (96)  Started: 2 (4) | 16/60 (27)               | 19/57 (33)          | Stopped: 3 (5)  No change: 46 (81)  Started: 8 (14) | NS                                         |
| Any ACEI/ARB                   | 35/60 (58)               | 40/49 (82)          | Stopped: 0 (0)  No change: 37 (76)  Started: 12 (24) | 25/60 (42)               | 27/57 (47)          | Stopped: 1 (2)  No change: 51 (89)  Started: 5 (9) | P = 0.044                                  |
| Any antihypertensive           | 43/60 (72)               | 45/49 (92)          | Stopped: 1 (2)  No change: 36 (73)  Started: 12 (24) | 23/60 (38)               | 33/57 (58)          | Stopped: 1 (2)  No change: 44 (77)  Started: 12 (21) | P = 0.036                                  |
| medication                     |                          |                     |                                       |                          |                     |                                       |                                             |
| Any statin                     | 29/60 (48)               | 43/49 (88)          | Stopped: 1 (2)  No change: 28 (57)  Started: 20 (41) |                         |                     |                                       |                                             |

(continued)
improved glycaemic control are also in line with the follow-up study of the glucose arm in the ADVANCE study, but in contrast to the UKPDS and VADT follow-up trials. This lack of benefit also occurred in spite of a clear beneficial impact on CV risk markers at the end of intervention, as previously reported on the multi-intervention group (Table 3). With a significantly greater proportion of patients achieving treatment targets for HbA1c, fasting blood glucose and LDL-C, more than the standard group, HDL-C, total cholesterol, and LDL-C more than the standard group, and the multi-intervention group had improved fasting blood glucose, HbA1c, total cholesterol, and LDL-C (Table 3), with a significantly greater proportion of patients achieving treatment targets for HbA1c, fasting blood glucose and LDL-C, more than the standard group.

Table 7. Continued.

| Multi-intervention group | Standard care group |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Baseline | 2 years | Change | Baseline | 2 years | Change |
| n = 60 | n = 49 | | n = 60 | n = 57 | |

| Any acetylsalicylic acid | 19/60 (32) | 32/49 (65) | Stopped: 1 (2) | No change: 30 (61) | Started: 18 (37) |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 2 years | | | 18/60 (30) | 25/57 (44) | Stopped: 2 (4) | No change: 45 (79) | Started: 10 (18) |
| Change | | | | | | |

Data presented as n of patients (%).

Wilcoxon Mann–Whitney U-test.

OAD, oral antidiabetic drug; SU, sulphonylurea; TZD, thiazolidinedione; ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; NS, no significant between-group difference (P ≥ 0.05).
study was lower than in the STENO-2 study (50 mmol/mol [6.7%] versus 63 mmol/mol [7.9%]). It was speculated after the ACCORD study that too aggressive glucose lowering in patients at CV risk could be harmful. The use of glitazones was higher in the current study since these drugs were not a part of the treatment algorithm in the STENO-2 study and hence were not used. The use of other drugs with established CV effects also differed between the two studies: in STENO-2, the use of ACEIs in the intensive group was 15% at baseline, and 69% at the end of the intervention, and statins were only used by 2% at baseline and 33% at the end of the intervention. The respective numbers in the multi-intervention group in the present study were 58% for ACEI/ARB use at baseline and 82% at study-end, and 48% for baseline statin use increasing to 88% at study-end. Thus, the present study population was receiving a different level of medication for CV protection, potentially making it more challenging to improve CV outcomes further. This assumption is supported by a mediation analysis indicating that > 70% of the CV risk reduction seen in the STENO-2 study was ascribed to lipid lowering.

Further studies supporting the STENO-2 study, with CV benefits being achievable also in populations with advanced type 2 diabetes, are the follow-up study of the VADT as well as the EMPA-REG OUTCOME. The latter study demonstrated that empagliflozin versus placebo, on top of standard care, in type 2 diabetes patients with established CV disease (i.e. at an advanced disease stage), significantly reduced CV death by 38% and hospitalization for heart failure by 35%. The between-group difference in HbA1c was, as expected, modest (3–7 mmol/mol [0.3–0.6%]); and given the multi-modal effects of empagliflozin (reductions in BP, arterial stiffness, weight and visceral adiposity), it is likely that modulations of non-glycaemic pathways are more influential.

The present study had several limitations of which the most important were the relatively small number of participants and the relatively short intervention and follow-up periods. Another limitation relates to the slight imbalances at baseline with a higher prevalence of microalbuminuria and higher BMI in the multi-intervention group, which could influence the effects of the intervention. Furthermore, there was a lack data on the frequency of hypoglycaemic episodes in this study. Study strengths were the comprehensive characterization of the participants, a real-life setting with an intervention that was limited in time, however not too short, and the blinded adjudication of all clinical endpoints.

In conclusion, 2 years of structured, hospital-based multi-intervention in a population with type 2 diabetes and additional CV risk did not improve long-term CV outcomes or mortality despite a short-term improvement in estimated CV risk and sustained benefit on glycaemia. A numerical increased risk of CV events and death in the multi-intervention group may be related to the choice of drugs used.
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