Plasma Concentrations of Perfluoroalkyl Substances and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes: A Prospective Investigation among U.S. Women
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BACKGROUND: Emerging evidence suggests that perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) are endocrine disruptors and may contribute to the etiology of type 2 diabetes (T2D), but this hypothesis needs to be clarified in prospective human studies.

OBJECTIVES: Our objective was to examine the associations between PFAS exposures and subsequent incidence of T2D in the Nurses’ Health Study II (NHSII). In addition, we aimed to evaluate potential demographic and lifestyle determinants of plasma PFAS concentrations.

METHODS: A prospective nested case–control study of T2D was conducted among participants who were free of diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and cancer in 1995–2000 [(mean ± SD): 45.3 ± 4.4 y of age]. We identified and ascertained 793 incident T2D cases through 2011 (mean ± SD) years of follow-up: 6.7 ± 3.7 y). Each case was individually matched to a control (on age, month and fasting status at sample collection, and menopausal status and hormone replacement therapy). Plasma concentrations of five major PFASs, including perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS), perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), perfluorohexanesulfonate, perfluororomanoic acid, and perfluorodecanoic acid were measured. Odds ratios (ORs) of T2D by PFAS ter-
tiles were estimated by conditional logistic regression.

RESULTS: Shorter breastfeeding duration and higher intake of certain foods, such as seafood and popcorn, were significantly associated with higher plasma concentrations of PFASs among controls. After multivariate adjustment for T2D risk factors, including body mass index, family history, physical activity, and other covariates, higher plasma concentrations of PFOS and PFOA were associated with an elevated risk of T2D. Comparing extreme tertiles of PFOS or PFOA, ORs were 1.62 (95% CI: 1.09, 2.41; trend = 0.02) and 1.54 (95% CI: 1.04, 2.28; trend = 0.03), respectively. Other PFASs were not clearly associated with T2D risk.

CONCLUSIONS: Background exposures to PFASs in the late 1990s were associated with higher T2D risk during the following years in a prospective case–control study of women from the NHSII. These findings support a potential diabetogenic effect of PFAS exposures. https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP2619

Introduction

Accumulating evidence documents the important role of endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs) in the pathogenesis of obesity, type 2 diabetes (T2D), and other metabolic conditions (Casals-Casas and Desvergne 2011; Heindel et al. 2015). The EDCs exert their detrimental effects primarily through modulating nuclear receptors, such as estrogen receptors and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPARγ) (Casals-Casas and Desvergne 2011; Heindel et al. 2015). Although the relationship of EDCs, such as polychlorinated biphenyls, with T2D or obesity have been extensively examined in basic science research and prospective human studies (Casals-Casas and Desvergne 2011; Heindel et al. 2015; Lee et al. 2014; Wu et al. 2013), evidence for perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) is limited. Blood concentrations of these ubiquitous environmental pollutants are declining in Americans overall, but they remain detectable in almost the entire population (Calafat et al. 2007; Kato et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2018; Olsen et al. 2017). These chemicals possess endocrine-disrupting properties and are known to activate PPARs in rodents (Ikeda et al. 1985; Kennedy et al. 2004; Lau et al. 2007; Rosen et al. 2008). The mechanistic pathways may also involve activation and disruption of the functions of other regulators (Pujolservet et al. 1998; Scharmach et al. 2012; Spiegelman et al. 2000; Vanden Heuvel et al. 2006; Wu et al. 1999) of lipid and glucose metabolism (Hayhurst et al. 2001; Jons et al. 2005; Rhee et al. 2003). Despite the evidence indicating potentially obesogenic and diabetogenic effects of PFASs, most data linking PFAS exposure with T2D are derived from cross-sectional studies, with equivocal findings (Cardenas et al. 2017; Christensen et al. 2016; Conway et al. 2016; Kanes et al. 2014; MacNeil et al. 2009; Su et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2015).

To address prospective associations between PFASs and T2D risk, we conducted a prospective nested case–control study of U.S. female nurses participating in the Nurses’ Health Study II (NHSII) and examined the hypothesis that higher plasma concentrations of PFASs are associated with a higher T2D risk. We also evaluated potential demographic and lifestyle determinants of PFAS exposures that have been reported in other cohorts of women (Lauring et al. 2016; Manzano-Salgado et al. 2016), including age, region of residence, breastfeeding duration, body weight, and diet.

Methods

Study Population

The NHSII is an ongoing prospective cohort study that consists of 116,430 U.S. female nurses enrolled in 1989 when they responded to a questionnaire inquiring about a multitude of variables, including body weight and height, demographics, lifestyle habits, history of chronic diseases and medication use (Zong et al., unpublished data, 2018). Follow-up questionnaires were administered biennially to update the lifestyle and medical history information. A total of 29,611 NHSII participants 32–52 y of age provided blood samples in 1995–2000, which were transmitted to a central biorepository via...
Among participants who provided blood samples, a high follow-up rate of >90% has been maintained.

**Nested Case–Control Study Design**

Participants who provided blood samples and were free of self-reported prevalent diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and cancer at sample collection were eligible for the current investigation. Among these participants, we prospectively identified and confirmed 793 T2D cases (as explained in detail below) during biennial follow-up from blood collection to June 2011 (range: 1–16 y, mean ± SD: 6.7 ± 3.7 y). One control was randomly selected for each case using the risk-set sampling approach (Prentice and Breslow 1978). Cases and controls were individually matched for age at blood sample collection, month of sample collection (March–May, June–August, September–November, and December–February), fasting status (yes/no), ethnicity, menopausal status and hormone replacement therapy (HRT; premenopause, postmenopause and never used HRT, postmenopause and former or current HRT use, or missing). Menopausal status and HRT in cases were matched to controls, as these factors may affect associations for various biomarkers to be examined in the same nested case–control study (Salpeter et al. 2006). To minimize reverse causation bias, T2D cases diagnosed within the first year following the blood sample collection were excluded.

The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board of the Brigham and Women’s Hospital and the Human Subjects Committee Review Board of Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health.

**Ascertainment of T2D**

If participants reported having a T2D diagnosis in follow-up questionnaires, they were then sent a validated supplemental questionnaire (Manson et al. 1991) to confirm or refute the self-report. We used at least one of the following American Diabetes Association (ADA) 1998 criteria to confirm T2D diagnosis based on self-reported information from a supplemental questionnaire: a) an elevated glucose concentration (fasting plasma glucose ≥7.0 mmol/L, random plasma glucose ≥11.1 mmol/L, or plasma glucose ≥11.1 mmol/L after an oral glucose load) and at least one symptom related to diabetes; b) no symptoms, but elevated plasma glucose concentrations on two separate occasions; or c) treatment with insulin or oral hypoglycemic medication. In our nested case–control study, only confirmed T2D cases were included, in which 30 (3.8%), 647 (81.6%), and 655 (82.6%) met criterion a, b, and c, respectively. In the validation study of T2D diagnosis, 61 of 62 (98.3%) questionnaire-confirmed cases of T2D were reconfirmed after an endocrinologist reviewed the medical records without the information from the supplementary questionnaire (Manson et al. 1991).

**Assessment of Diet**

A validated semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire (sFFQ) (Willett 1998) was first administered in 1991 and sent to participants quadrennially thereafter to update dietary habits. In the sFFQs, we asked about average consumption frequency of about 130 food items during the past year, with a prespecified serving size for each item. Good validity and reproducibility of the assessments of individual food items, including fruits, vegetables, red meats, seafood, beverages, and popcorn and other grain products, have been demonstrated in validation studies (Feskanchich et al. 1993; Giovannucci et al. 1991; Salvin et al. 1989). Nutrient intake was estimated by multiplying the consumption frequency of each relevant food item by its contents of the nutrient and then summing intake of the nutrient across all food items (Wedick et al. 2012). We derived an alternative healthy eating index (AHEI) score to evaluate the overall quality of diet (Chiuve et al. 2012). This score summarizes the consumption of 11 foods and nutrients most predictive of chronic disease risk, including vegetables, fruits, whole grains, sugar-sweetened beverages and fruit juice, nuts and legumes, red and processed meat, trans fats, long-chain n-3 fats, polyunsaturated fats, sodium, and alcohol. A higher score indicates a better diet quality.

**Laboratory Measurements**

In the current study, plasma concentrations of PFASs were measured using on-line solid phase extraction and liquid chromatography coupled to a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Haug et al. 2009; Vestergaard et al. 2012), with minor modifications. We quantified five PFASs that have a high detection rate (>99%) and can be reliably measured in the general population: perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS), perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), perfluorohexanesulfonate (PFHxS), perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA), and perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA). Concentrations of minor PFASs with a lower detection rate and/or higher laboratory measurement errors [coefficients of variation (CVs) ≥20%] are provided in Table S1. Several plasma T2D risk markers were also measured at baseline: hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), total cholesterol, and triacylglycerol were measured on the Roche P Modular system (Roche Diagnostics), total adiponectin concentrations were measured using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ALPCO), and fasting insulin was measured by a radioimmunoassay (Linco). The intraassay CVs ranged from 0.7% for insulin to 4.0% for total adiponectin.

To ensure that the laboratory assessments of biomarkers are free of systematic measurement error, we processed and analyzed samples of matched case–control pairs in the same analytical run. Within each batch, matched samples were assayed by the same technician in a random sequence under identical conditions. We placed splits of blinded quality control samples in the batches of the case–control samples to monitor the quality of these assays. The average intraassay CV% were 6.1% for PFOS, 8.7% for PFOA, 9.7% for PFHxS, 12.5% for PFNA, and 14.4% for PFDA. Internal quality assurance data using the NIST SRM1958 showed average interassay CV% of 7.4% for PFOS, 7.2% for PFOA, 4.0% for PFHxS and 6.5% for PFNA. Although no certified value is given for PFDA, this peak shows a CV% of 10.6%.

**Within-Person Reproducibility of PFASs**

In a pilot study, we measured PFAS concentrations in a random sample of 58 participants who provided two blood specimens collected 1–2 y apart. The study design has been described elsewhere (Kotsopoulos et al. 2010). Samples from the same individuals were handled identically, shipped and processed in the same batch, and placed on the same plate with random position. The lab personnel were blinded to sample status. Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) between two samples were calculated and used to evaluate the reproducibility of the PFAS analyses.

**Statistical Methods**

We replaced PFAS values below the detection limit (0.015 ng/mL) by the detection limit divided by the square root of 2. Given the imprecision of the PFAS measurements, we adjusted the PFAS concentrations for batch effects (Rosner et al. 2008). According to this method, we first ran a linear regression model to regress PFAS concentrations on batch indicator dummy variables. PFAS concentrations were then recalibrated by subtracting the difference between the coefficient of each individual batch and the average of
the coefficients of all batches. All analyses were based on recalibrated values of PFASs unless otherwise indicated.

In controls, we calculated Spearman correlation coefficients ($r_s$) to evaluate intercorrelations among PFASs. To examine whether PFAS exposure is associated with insulin resistance, glucose homeostasis, and dyslipidemia that predispose T2D development, we calculated $r_s$ of PFASs with total adiponectin, fasting insulin, HbA1c, and blood lipids. We explored the association of plasma PFAS concentrations with major demographic and lifestyle determinants that have been reported in other cohorts of women (Lauritzen et al. 2011; Sinclair et al. 2007; Tittlemier et al. 2007; Trier et al. 2011). Among controls, individual PFASs were significantly correlated with one another ($r_s$ ranged from 0.20 to 0.67; see Table S3). In general, PFAS concentrations were not significantly correlated with total adiponectin, fasting insulin, or HbA1c at baseline. We found significant correlations between certain PFASs and blood lipids, although none of these $r_s$ values was above 0.15.

In crude analyses where only the matching factors were considered in multivariate adjustment, PFOS and PFOA concentrations were significantly associated with a higher T2D risk (Table 3). In contrast, higher concentrations of PFDA were associated with a lower T2D risk in the crude model. After adjustment for other covariates, the associations were slightly attenuated but remained
Table 1. Characteristics of type 2 diabetes cases and controls at blood sample collection in the Nurses’ Health Study II.

| Characteristics                                      | Cases (n = 793) | Controls (n = 793) | p-Valuea |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------|
| Age at blood sample collection (y)                   | 45.3 ± 4.4      | 45.3 ± 4.4        | 0.97     |
| Caucasians (%)                                       | 756 (95.3)      | 766 (96.6)        | 0.20     |
| Yes                                                   | 37 (4.7)        | 27 (3.4)          | 0.21     |
| No                                                    | 522 (69.0)      | 599 (71.9)        | 0.03     |
| Fasting ≥ 8 h at blood draw (%)                       | 271 (31.0)      | 234 (28.1)        |          |
| Menopausal status and hormone use (%)                 | 124 (15.6)      | 164 (20.7)        | >0.99    |
| Premenopause                                          | 29 (3.7)        | 29 (3.7)          |          |
| Postmenopausal, never used                            | 122 (15.4)      | 122 (15.4)        |          |
| Postmenopausal, current or past user                  | 104 (13.1)      | 104 (13.1)        |          |
| Missing                                               | 122 (15.4)      | 122 (15.4)        |          |
| States of residenceab                                | 124 (15.6)      | 164 (20.7)        | 0.03     |
| Coastal                                               | 257 (32.4)      | 132 (16.7)        |          |
| Great Lakes region                                    | 536 (67.6)      | 661 (83.3)        |          |
| Inland                                                | 227 (28.6)      | 218 (27.5)        |          |
| BMI (kg/m²)                                           | 32.8 ± 6.8      | 25.5 ± 5.4        | <0.001   |
| Family history of diabetes (%)                        | 271 (31.0)      | 234 (28.1)        | <0.001   |
| Alcohol intake (%)                                    | 563 (67.6)      | 661 (83.3)        |          |
| Physical activity (MET-h/wk)                          | 16.1 ± 25.8     | 20.7 ± 28.2       | 0.001    |
| Smoking status (%)                                    | 227 (28.6)      | 218 (27.5)        |          |
| Never smoked                                          | 499 (62.9)      | 515 (64.9)        | 0.02     |
| Former smoker                                         | 195 (24.6)      | 212 (26.7)        |          |
| Current smoker                                        | 99 (12.5)       | 66 (8.3)          |          |
| Abstainers                                            | 350 (44.1)      | 263 (33.3)        | <0.001   |
| 0.1–4.9 g/d                                          | 348 (43.9)      | 376 (47.4)        |          |
| 5.0–9.9 g/d                                          | 51 (6.4)        | 87 (11.0)         |          |
| ≥10 g/d                                               | 44 (5.6)        | 67 (8.4)          |          |
| Breastfeeding duration through blood draw (%)         | 287 (36.2)      | 254 (32.0)        |          |
| Nulliparous or parous without breastfeeding           | 256 (32.3)      | 245 (30.9)        |          |
| ≤11 months                                            | 211 (26.6)      | 259 (32.7)        |          |
| ≥12 months                                            | 39 (4.9)        | 35 (4.4)          |          |
| Missing                                               | 82 (10.3)       | 75 (9.6)          |          |
| Number of births after 1993                          | 0.08 ± 0.35     | 0.06 ± 0.29       | 0.38     |
| Use of oral contraceptive (%)                         | 106 (13.4)      | 94 (11.9)         | 0.03     |
| Current user                                          | 668 (84.2)      | 661 (83.4)        |          |
| Never used                                            | 19 (2.4)        | 38 (4.8)          |          |
| AHEI score                                            | 46.7 ± 9.6      | 49.4 ± 9.9        | <0.001   |
| Total cholesterol (g/L)                               | 2.14 (1.89–2.38)| 2.03 (1.80–2.28) | <0.001   |
| Triglycerides (g/L)                                   | 1.79 (1.26–2.59)| 1.06 (0.75–1.55) | <0.001   |
| Total adiponectin (ng/mL)c                            | 4.19 (3.22–5.90)| 7.23 (5.22–9.93) | <0.001   |
| HbA1c (%)                                             | 5.81 (5.58–6.13)| 5.41 (5.25–5.57) | <0.001   |
| Fasting insulin (µU/mL)c                              | 13.49 (8.06–19.46) | 6.00 (4.03–8.89) | <0.001   |
| PFASs (ng/mL)                                         | 35.7 (26.4–48.3)| 33.1 (23.3–46.8) | 0.003    |
| PFOS                                                  | 4.96 (3.70–6.67)| 4.57 (3.35–6.16) | <0.001   |
| PFSA                                                  | 2.15 (1.35–3.79)| 2.01 (1.32–3.51) | 0.16     |
| PFHxS                                                 | 0.60 (0.44–0.85)| 0.61 (0.42–0.88) | 0.89     |
| PFDA                                                  | 0.13 (0.09–0.19)| 0.16 (0.11–0.23) | <0.001   |

Note: Continuous variables are shown as mean ± SD or median interquartile ranges (IQR), and categorical variables are shown as number (%). AHEI, alternative healthy eating index; BMI, body mass index; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; IQR, interquartile range; MET, metabolic equivalent task; PFAS, perfluoroalkyl substances; PFDA, perfluorodecanoic acid; PFHxS, perfluorohexane sulfonic acid; PFNA, perfluorononanoic acid; PFOA, perfluorooctanoic acid; PFOS, perfluorooctane sulfonate; SD, standard deviation.

*p-Value estimates are based on Student’s t-test for variables expressed as mean ± SD, Wilcoxon rank–sum test for variables expressed as median (IQR), or Pearson χ² test for variables expressed as percentages. Data are complete unless otherwise indicated.

abCoastal states: AL, AK, CA, CT, DE, FL, GA, HI, ME, MD, MA, NH, NJ, NC, OR, RI, SC, VA, and WA; Great Lakes region states: IL, IN, MI, MN, NY, OH, PA, and WI; and inland states: all other states.

*Missing data for cases: n = 28 for HbA1c, n = 250 for fasting insulin, and n = 7 for PFNA; for controls: n = 1 for total adiponectin, n = 42 for HbA1c, n = 247 for fasting insulin, and n = 5 for PFNA.

statistically significant for PFOS and PFOA; comparing extreme tertiles, the ORs were 1.62 [95% confidence interval (CI): 1.09, 2.41] and 1.54 (95% CI: 1.04, 2.28), respectively. The association for PFDA was attenuated toward the null after the same adjustment.

When modeling the associations for each SD increment of log-transformed PFASs, we found significantly higher T2D risk associated with PFOA [OR = 1.24 (95% CI: 1.06, 1.45), p = 0.009]. Dose–response curve (Figure 1A) demonstrated a positive relationship between PFOS concentrations and T2D risk (p = 0.02) and a nonsignificant trend towards increased T2D risk at higher PFOA concentrations (Figure 1B).

When we restricted the analysis to batches with low CVs (within-batch CV% <20%), PFOS and PFOA remained associated with higher T2D risk after adjusting for matching variables and confounding factors (Model 2; see Table S4). Stratified analysis did not find significant interaction between time of diabetes diagnosis and baseline PFAS concentrations, nor did we observe interactions between PFASs and AHEI score (see Table S5).
Table 2. Plasma PFAS concentrations [median (IQR)] in ng/mL by demographic, lifestyle, and dietary factors among controls.

| Variable                                      | n   | PFOS   | PFOA   | PFHxS  | PFNA  | PFDA  |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| Age at baseline (y)                          |     |        |        |        |       |       |
| <40                                           | 105 | 32.9   | 4.02   | 1.89   | 0.99  | 0.16  |
| 40–50                                         | 555 | 34.1   | 4.02   | 1.89   | 0.99  | 0.16  |
| >50                                           | 133 | 30.8   | 4.02   | 1.89   | 0.99  | 0.16  |
| p-Trenda                                      | 0.25 | 0.25   | 0.25   | 0.25   | 0.25  | 0.25  |
| States of residencek                           |     |        |        |        |       |       |
| Coastal                                       | 164 | 34.9   | 4.02   | 1.89   | 0.99  | 0.16  |
| Lake                                          | 411 | 32.8   | 4.02   | 1.89   | 0.99  | 0.16  |
| p vs. Coastal                                 | 0.25 | 0.25   | 0.25   | 0.25   | 0.25  | 0.25  |
| Inland                                        | 218 | 33.1   | 4.02   | 1.89   | 0.99  | 0.16  |
| p vs. Coastal                                 | 0.25 | 0.25   | 0.25   | 0.25   | 0.25  | 0.25  |
| BMI at baseline [kg/m²]                       | 0.37 | 0.37   | 0.37   | 0.37   | 0.37  | 0.37  |
| <25                                           | 455 | 32.5   | 4.02   | 1.89   | 0.99  | 0.16  |
| 25–30                                         | 211 | 34.5   | 4.02   | 1.89   | 0.99  | 0.16  |
| >30                                           | 127 | 34.2   | 4.02   | 1.89   | 0.99  | 0.16  |
| Breastfeeding duration through blood draw (months)k |     |        |        |        |       |       |
| Nulliparous or parous without breastfeeding   |     |        |        |        |       |       |
| ≤11                                           | 254 | 34.1   | 4.02   | 1.89   | 0.99  | 0.16  |
| ≥12                                           | 245 | 35.6   | 4.02   | 1.89   | 0.99  | 0.16  |
| p-Trenda                                      | 0.37 | 0.37   | 0.37   | 0.37   | 0.37  | 0.37  |
| AHEI                                          |     |        |        |        |       |       |
| Tertile 1, 38.8 (34.8–41.0)                   | 229 | 35.2   | 4.02   | 1.89   | 0.99  | 0.16  |
| Tertile 2, 48.6 (45.8–49.9)                   | 255 | 33.8   | 4.02   | 1.89   | 0.99  | 0.16  |
| Tertile 3, 58.4 (54.6–62.8)                   | 309 | 31.6   | 4.02   | 1.89   | 0.99  | 0.16  |
| p-Trenda                                      | 0.04 | 0.04   | 0.04   | 0.04   | 0.04  | 0.04  |
| Seafood intake (servings/week)                |     |        |        |        |       |       |
| Tertile 1, 0.47 (0.23–0.70)                   | 237 | 33.9   | 4.02   | 1.89   | 0.99  | 0.16  |
| Tertile 2, 1.20 (0.97–1.43)                   | 310 | 32.9   | 4.02   | 1.89   | 0.99  | 0.16  |
| Tertile 3, 2.47 (1.97–3.23)                   | 246 | 33.3   | 4.02   | 1.89   | 0.99  | 0.16  |
| p-Trenda                                      | 0.72 | 0.72   | 0.72   | 0.72   | 0.72  | 0.72  |
| Popcorn intake (servings/week)                |     |        |        |        |       |       |
| Tertile 1, 0.23 (0.22–0.47)                   | 293 | 29.7   | 4.02   | 1.89   | 0.99  | 0.16  |
| Tertile 2, 0.73 (0.73–1.00)                   | 288 | 32.2   | 4.02   | 1.89   | 0.99  | 0.16  |
| Tertile 3, 2.98 (2.00–3.50)                   | 212 | 40.9   | 4.02   | 1.89   | 0.99  | 0.16  |
| p-Trenda                                      | <0.001 | 0.11   | 0.11   | 0.11   | 0.11  | 0.11  |

Note: Least-squared means of batched-corrected plasma PFASs were estimated using generalized linear regression model with adjustment of age (in years), ethnicity (white, or others), time of blood draw (March–May, June–August, September–November, and December–February), fasting status (yes, or no), states of residence (coastal, Great Lakes region, or inland), family history of diabetes (yes, or no), menopausal status and postmenopausal hormone use (premenopause, postmenopause and never use hormone, postmenopause and for-
mber mass index; IQR, interquartile range; PFAS, perfluoroalkyl substances; PFDA, perfluorodecanoic acid; PFHxS, perfluorohexane sulfonic acid; PFNA, perfluorononanoic acid; eating index, in quintiles) without self-adjustment. AHEI was not adjusted for when modeling seafood or popcorn intake as predictors. AHEI, alternative healthy eating index; BMI, body mass index; IQR, interquartile range; PFAS, perfluoralkyl substances; PFDA, perfluorodecanoic acid; PFHxS, perfluorohexane sulfonic acid; PFNA, perfluorononanoic acid; PFPOA, perfluorooctanoic acid; PFOS, perfluorooctane sulfonate.

p-Values for trend were calculated by modeling the ordinal values of categories as continuous variables.

k-Cohort in which individuals were invited, but did not participate.

Missed data: n = 39 missing because of women who did not remember breastfeeding duration or replied “pass through.”

Discussion
In U.S. nurses without known occupational exposure to PFASs, we observed significant associations between higher baseline concentrations of these chemicals, especially PFOS and PFOA, in plasma and an increased T2D risk during follow-up for an average of 6.7 y.

In our analysis, age was not a significant predictor of PFAS concentrations, probably reflecting the fact that blood samples were collected before the peak plasma concentrations, that is, before the production of PFOS and PFOA was phased out by U.S. producers (Miralles-Marco and Harrad 2015). Unlike the lipophilic persistent organic pollutants, such as polychlorinated biphenyls that are significantly correlated with body adiposity (De Roos et al. 2012; Zong et al. 2015), BMI was not a predictor of PFAS concentrations in our study, as was the case among participants in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (Nelson et al. 2010). In contrast, longer breastfeeding duration has been consistently associated with lower PFAS concentrations in women in the current and previous studies (Mondal et al. 2014; Thomsen et al. 2010; Zong et al. 2016).

Diet has been thought to be one of the primary exposure routes to PFASs among general populations without occupational exposure history; one study reported as much as 61% of total PFAS exposure could be due to diet (Tittlmeier et al. 2007). We also found that intakes of seafood, and popcorn were correlated with PFAS concentrations. Our findings are consistent with those from European countries (Ericson et al. 2008; Halldorsson et al. 2008; Tittlmeier et al. 2007). However, due to differences in food production and consumption, data from other populations may not be extrapolated to Americans. Dietary sources of PFASs in the United States are only partly known (Domingo and Nadal 2017), and potential impacts of diet on observed associations between PFAS exposure and health outcomes remain to be elucidated.

The existing literature represents somewhat mixed evidence regarding the associations between PFAS exposure and diabetes risk. The largest cross-sectional investigation was from the C8 Health Project, in which estimated lifetime exposure showed no association with T2D risk or fasting glucose (Karnes et al. 2014), whereas plasma concentrations of PFOA, PFOS, PFNA, and PFHxS...
were inversely associated with prevalent diabetes (Conway et al. 2016; MacNeil et al. 2009). Conversely, a study among Wisconsin male anglers found that several PFASs were positively associated with prevalent prediabetes and diabetes (Christensen et al. 2016). Among Chinese living in Taiwan, a positive association of serum PFOA was seen with prevalent diabetes; however, PFOA, PFNA, and perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnA) showed inverse associations (Su et al. 2016). In terms of prospective evidence, Cardenas et al. (2017) found no significant association between PFASs and diabetes incidence (n = 204) among 957 participants from the Diabetes Prevention Program trial, which might be explained by the strong effects of lifestyle intervention that could have dwarfed the potential impacts of other risk factors on diabetes risk. The European Youth Heart Study found that plasma PFOA concentrations at 9 y of age were associated with decreased β-cell function at adolescence (15 y of age), although not in early adulthood (21 y of age) (Domazet et al. 2016). Development of gestational diabetes was associated with the serum PFOS concentrations in pregnant women, although another study showed less clear associations (Matilla-Santander et al. 2017; Valvi et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2015). These equivocal findings might be attributed to reverse causation by existing diabetes or prediabetes conditions linked to the cross-sectional study design used in most previous investigations. In addition, the dose–response relationship between PFAS exposures and diabetes incidence at different exposure concentrations is unknown. In the two studies reporting inverse associations of PFASs and T2D risk (Conway et al. 2016; Su et al. 2016), serum PFAS concentrations were much higher than those observed in the current and other previous studies (mean PFOA concentrations = 28 ng/mL in the C8 Health Study, and mean PFOA, PFNA, and PFUnA = 8.0, 3.8, and 6.4 ng/mL, respectively, among Chinese adults). For the remaining studies (Cardenas et al. 2017; Christensen et al. 2016; Domazet et al. 2016; Matilla-Santander et al. 2017; Valvi et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2015), serum concentrations of PFOA and PFOSs were similar to or lower than those in our study. Further studies are needed to elucidate dose–related diabetogenic effects of PFAS exposure, and whether such effects of PFAS exposure may be age and/or sex dependent.

Potential mechanisms underlying associations between PFASs and T2D risk are unclear. Experimental studies have found that PFASs activate PPARγ (Rosen et al. 2008; Wolf et al. 2012) and PPARα (Buhke et al. 2013; Rosen et al. 2008; Vanden Heuvel et al. 2006), which regulate energy homeostasis, lipid and glucose metabolism, and adipocyte differentiation and function (Berger and Moller 2002). Accumulating evidence has also suggested that PFASs may also interfere with human metabolism through PPAR-
independent pathways. For example, PFOA altered expression of proteins in human liver cells that are regulated by hepatocyte nuclear factor 4α (Scharmach et al. 2012), which is a key regulator of lipid metabolism and gluconeogenesis (Hayhurst et al. 2001; Rhee et al. 2003) and is involved in thyroid hormone homeostasis (Ohguchi et al. 2008). Recent evidence from in vitro studies has further demonstrated potentially estrogenic and antiestrogenic effects of PFASs (Henry and Fair 2013; Kraugerud et al. 2011). Furthermore, PFOA increases oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction that lead to apoptosis and cytotoxicity in rat β-cell-derived RIN-m5F cells.

Figure 1. Dose–response relationships of PFOS (A) and PFOA (B) concentrations with type 2 diabetes risk in the Nurses’ Health Study II. The analysis was performed using restricted cubic spline regressions with 3 knots, and p for nonlinearity was examined by a likelihood ratio test comparing a model with a linear term only to the model with the linear plus cubic spline terms. Study participants with the lowest 2.5% and highest 2.5% of PFAS concentrations were excluded to minimize potential impact of outliers. Odds ratios (ORs) were estimated using cubic spline regression after adjusting for all covariates listed in the footnote of Table 3. PFOA, perfluorooctanoic acid; PFOS, perfluorooctanesulfonic acid. The solid lines represents ORs, and the dotted lines 95% confidence intervals.
hypersensitivity (Yan et al. 2015). Another study in mice showed that PFOA interfered with glucose metabolism and induced insulin hypersensitivity (Yan et al. 2015). Another study in mice showed that PFOA induced histopathological changes in the pancreas through increasing oxidative stress (Kamendulis et al. 2014). In zebrafish, embryonic exposure to PFOA likewise led to abnormal pancreatic development (Sant et al. 2017). Although these studies explore different outcomes, in concert, they support that PFAS exposure may affect metabolic functions. However, given the apparent between-species differences in pharmacokinetics and tissue distribution of PFASs, as well as functional and structural differences in PPARs (Lau et al. 2007; Rakshshandeeroo et al. 2009; Seacat et al. 2002, 2003), caution must be taken when extrapolating data regarding PFASs from animal studies to humans. We did not observe strong correlations between PFAS levels and established diabetes risk markers, such as adiponectin, insulin, or HbA1c, although the cross-sectional nature of these correlations excluded causal inference. Sporadic reports of human cross-sectional studies illustrated a possible link between PFASs exposures and altered thyroid or liver functions (Gallo et al. 2012; Knox et al. 2011; Melzer et al. 2010). Thus, impaired thyroid metabolism or liver functions may also play a role, whereas oxidative stress and estrogenic effects observed in experimental studies are among other possible modes of action that may explain the PFASs–T2D associations. In addition, it is possible that PFASs have stronger effects among individuals at a higher risk of diabetes (e.g., overweight) or during periods of weight change (e.g., growth spurts in childhood and puberty) (Domazet et al. 2016; Timmermann et al. 2014), which warrant investigations in future studies.

The prospective study design, high follow-up rate, good quality of self-reported diet, lifestyle, and medical history in this medically literate study population; multivariate adjustment for T2D risk factors and predictors of PFAS exposures; and rigorous laboratory quality control procedures are among the major strengths of the current study. A few caveats deserve to be considered. First, the generalizability may be limited to U.S. female health professionals and similar groups without an occupational PFAS exposure history. Second, although the homogeneity in regard to the socioeconomic status may render the study population less subject to confounding, we cannot rule out the possibility that the observed associations could be partially due to residual or unmeasured confounding, especially by other pollutants that may be correlated with PFASs. Third, we assessed PFAS concentrations in one single blood sample and thus cannot exclude the possibility that PFAS long-term exposure concentrations might be somewhat misclassified, despite our pilot study demonstrating excellent reproducibility of the PFASs in blood samples collected 1–2 years apart. Such reproducibility is likely due to the long elimination half-lives of PFASs in the human circulation (Olsen et al. 2007) and perhaps also a fairly stable, continuous exposure through diet, drinking water, and consumer products in the population studied. Fourth, we did not measure fasting glucose, thyroid hormones, estrogen metabolites, or other potential mediators that could possibly link PFAS exposure with T2D and therefore cannot determine whether the positive associations may be linked with these potential pathways.

In conclusion, higher baseline plasma concentrations of PFOA and PFOS were associated with an elevated incidence of T2D in a nested case–control study population of U.S. women followed from 1995 to 2011. These associations warrant attention in prospective cohort studies and in mechanistic studies to elucidate the dose–dependent links between PFASs exposure and T2D risk. Although the production of PFOS and PFOA has been voluntarily phased out in the United States, their persistence in the environment and in humans renders them a lasting concern in regard to human metabolic health.
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