Slowed Progression of Age-Related Geographic Atrophy Following Subthreshold Laser

Purpose: To determine the effect of panmacular low-intensity/high-density subthreshold diode micropulse laser (SDM) on age-related geographic atrophy (ARGA) progression.

Methods: The retinal images of all eyes with ARGA in a previously reported database, consisting of all eyes with dry age-related macular degeneration (AMD) active in a vitreoretinal practice electronic medical record (EMR), were identified and analyzed to determine the velocity of radial linear ARGA progression during observation and after panmacular SDM.

Results: Sixty-seven eyes of 49 patients with ARGA, mean age of 86 years were identified as having follow-up both before and after initiation of SDM treatment. All were included in the study. These eyes were followed a mean 910 days (2.5 years) prior to SDM treatment and a mean 805 days (2.2 years) after. Measurement masked to treatment vs observation found the radius of ARGA lesions progressed 1 to 540 µm per year (mean 137µm, SD 107) prior to treatment (controls); and −44 to 303 µm per year (mean 73µm, SD 59) after initiation of periodic panmacular SDM laser. Thus, the velocity of radial linear progression decreased 47% per year following panmacular SDM (p<0.0001). There were no adverse treatment effects.

Conclusion: In cohort of eyes with high-risk dry AMD, panmacular SDM slowed linear radial ARGA progression velocity 47% per year (p<0.0001) without adverse treatment effects. Validated, these findings would constitute an important advance in the prevention of age-related visual loss and a benchmark for future therapies.
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Introduction

The designation “advanced” has been applied to the two visually disabling forms age-related macular degeneration (AMD): “wet”, or neovascular AMD, which accounts for about 90% of cases; and the most severe form of “dry”, or non-neovascular AMD, characterized by age-related geographic chorioretinal atrophy (ARGA) involving the macula, accounting for the remaining 10%. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) binding drugs have revolutionized the treatment of wet AMD. However, there remains no effective treatment for ARGA.

Low-intensity/high-density subthreshold diode laser (SDM) represented a paradigm shift in the conception and performance of laser treatment for chronic progressive retinopathies. As the first retinal laser strategy designed to preclude laser-induced retinal damage (LIRD), SDM was first shown to be effective in the
treatment of complications of diabetic retinopathy.6–8 As a particular approach to pulsed retinal laser therapy, pan-
macular SDM is uniquely uniform in its application; employing the identical laser wavelength, laser param-
eters, treatment technique, treatment field and number of laser spots in every eye, for every indication.6–14

Effective laser treatment absent LIRD led to a new understand-
ing of the mechanism of retinal laser action as a biological “reset” phenomenon.10–13 Reset theory accounts for all known retinal laser effects and has accurately predicted new neuroprotective retinal laser applications not possible with photocoagulation and other forms of damaging retinal laser treatment.6–14 These include reversal of anti-
VEGF drug tolerance in wet AMD; improved retinal func-
tion by electrophysiology; and improved visual acuity, visual fields, microperimetry, contrast acuity, and mesopic visual function in dry AMD, inherited retinopathies, and open-
angle glaucoma; and improved ganglion cell and optic nerve function by electrophysiology in eyes with glau coma-
tous optic neuropathy.10–15

Recently, a low incidence of choroidal neovascularization (CNV) following panmacular SDM was reported in a large cohort of eyes with high-risk dry AMD.15 This report examines the effect of SDM on ARGA progression in this same group of eyes.

Methods

This study was approved by the Western Institutional Review Board and complied with both the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, and the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. As a retrospective review of EMR data, prior written patient consent was neither obtained, nor required by the approving IRB. All data maintained confidentially and anonymized prior to analysis.

The fundus images of all eyes with ARGA in a previously reported database

https://datadryad.org/handle/10255/dryad.189065 that included all eyes with dry AMD active in a practice elec-
tronic medical records (EMR) system were reviewed.15 As previously described, all patients were managed according to the standard of care including age-related eye disease study (AREDS 1 or 2) nutritional supplements. In addition, they were offered SDM.15 All retinal images were produced with the Heidelberg Spectralis system (Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) permitting measurement of the ARGA lesions with the Heidelberg micrometer caliper function. Available images extended back through 2008, when the Heidelberg system

was first employed in the practice. Images included near-
infrared autofluorescence fundus photography (NIR, 790nm exciting wavelength), blue fundus autofluorescence photography (FAF, 488nm exciting wavelength), spectral-dominant optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT), and in some cases, fundus fluorescein angiography (FFA). ARGA

was identified photographically (in monochrome) accord-
ing to Age-Related Eye Disease Study criteria.1 Although ARGA of as little as 175µm has been used as the lower limit of size in various studies, the current study used 300µm as the minimum GA lesion diameter for study inclusion. In each case, GA was confirmed by OCT demonstration of chorioretinal atrophy at the locus of well-
circumscribed pigment atrophy.1,2,4,17

Only eyes followed and documented before and after initiation of regular periodic panmacular SDM treatment were included for study and statistical analysis. Thus, the pre-treatment course of disease in each treated eye served as the control. Additional inclusion criteria included a minimum of 3 months of follow up (one eye, 81 days); the diagnosis of dry AMD based on clinical examination and macular imaging; high-resolution fundus images of sufficient quality to permit ARGA measurement on the following dates: (1) the earliest photographic documentation of ARGA (Time 1, or T1); (2) the last photographic documentation prior to the first SDM (T2); (3) on the date of initiation of regular periodic SDM (T3); and (4) of the last photographs after initiation of SDM (T4). To max-
imize the possibility of detecting a treatment effect, eyes were considered treated and included for study only if they had at least 2 consecutive SDM treatments, 6 months or less apart. Thus, T2 and T3 were not always the same. Additional data included patient sex, age, eye, presence or absence of reticular pseudodrusen (RPD), and new CNV or other adverse events. If CNV developed during the course of observation before or after SDM treatment, the final data were taken at the last data prior to the development of CNV. Best-corrected Snellen visual acuity (VA) and ARGA lesion diameters on dates T1-4 were recorded. VAs of less than 20/400 were assigned a value of 20/1000. The days elapsed between dates T1 and T2 (“control”) and dates T3 and T4 (“treated”) were determined using an online calculator (https://www.timeanddate.com/date/duration.html).

Exclusions included eyes with poor quality images, clinical or imaging evidence of current or prior choroidal neovascularization, pigment epithelial detachment, current or prior use of anti-VEGF medications, prior vitreoretinal
surgery or macular photocoagulation, other causes of geographic atrophy such as degenerative myopia, and other obfuscating ocular disorders. Peripapillary atrophy was not included in the analysis. Such exclusions thus minimize any confounding effects of local factors on the rate of ARGA progression. 18

The velocity of ARGA progression was derived using a simplification of the radius linear model (RLM). 16 According to the RLM, the rate of linear expansion of GA in a given eye is constant over time in all meridians and independent of lesion area. 16 Typically, this is determined by taking the square root of the measured lesion area to eliminate the artifactual influence of lesion size on apparent lesion growth. 15 Determination of ARGA lesion area is complex, difficult, time-consuming, and thus expensive, generally the province of industry-sponsored studies performed by academic reading centers. 1,2,16,17 However, independence of the linear expansion velocity from lesion area allows simplification of the method by mathematical elimination of the area factor and determination of the ARGA lesion radius by a direct measure of lesion diameters. As the RLM holds that the velocity of lesion expansion is constant in all directions, the velocity of expansion can be determined by comparing the radius over time in any given meridian in a given eye, provided it is measured along the identical meridian. The change in lesion radius per year prior to panmacular SDM treatment (“control”) was thus calculated by subtracting the lesion diameter at presentation (T1) from the last available lesion diameter prior to treatment (T2) and dividing the result by 2 to obtain the lesion radius, dividing this result by the number of days elapsed between the photographs, and multiplying by 365 to obtain the velocity of progression in µm/year. The same operation was employed to determine the rate of radial linear ARGA progression for treated eyes, using instead the date of treatment (T3) compared to the last image post treatment (T4).

Analysis of the de-identified subject retinal images was masked to treatment vs observation (SHS) and performed according to the following protocol: The retinal images for each eye were reviewed confirm adequate image quality. The first and final macular images were then examined to appreciate the pattern of ARGA progression (uni- vs multifocal, independent vs coalescent) to aid the selection of the optimal meridian for the measure. In eyes with multifocal lesions, the single ARGA locus with the best-defined margins was chosen for measurement, assuming the same rate of progression for all ARGA lesions in a given eye. 4,14,16 Coincidence of the measurement meridians over time was confirmed by extending the micrometer rays through constant anatomic landmarks outside the margins of the ARGA lesions, such as retinal vascular bifurcations. The diameter of ARGA lesions was then measured using the Spectralis™ micro-meter caliper function. For a given eye, the same image type was used at each time-point.

SDM Treatment
Following informed consent and pupillary dilation, a topical anesthetic was applied to the cornea followed by a contact lens (Mainster macular contact lens, magnification factor 1.05, Ocular Instruments, Mentor, Ohio). Under minimum slit-lamp illumination, the retina within the major vascular arcades including the area of geographic atrophy was confluent “painted” with 1100–1800 laser spot applications, depending upon the circumscribed area (“panmacular” treatment). 11 Identical laser parameters were used in all eyes: 810nm wavelength, 200µm aerial spot size, 5% duty cycle, 1.43 Watt power and 0.15 s spot duration (Oculight SLx, Iris Medical/ Iridex Corp, Mountain View, California). 10,15 All panmacular SDM treatments were performed by a single surgeon (JKL). Thus, treatment was uniform in all respects in every eye. Informed by long-term prospective retinal and visual function testing in eyes with dry AMD following panmacular SDM showing a return to baseline values 6–9 months following treatment, treatment in the eyes reported here was performed approximately every 3–4 months to maintain the maximum effect. 11,17

Statistical Analysis
All data were anonymized prior to statistical analysis. Only eyes followed both before and after SDM treatment were considered for statistical analysis. Frequencies, means, and medians were calculated to summarize the data. The models included fixed eye effects and a random patient intercept to account for inter-eye correlation. Additional hierarchical linear models to explore the association between the difference (post- minus pre-treatment) and pre-treatment values were also performed. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute; Cary, NC).

Results
Demographics
113 eyes of 72 patients (25 male, 47 female) with ARGA were identified in the practice EMR. 15 All had retinal imaging on presentation and in follow up. 2 eyes of 2 patients were excluded due to poor quality photographs
yielding 111 eyes of 70 patients with useful images. Of the 84 eyes with untreated observation, 17 were excluded from the treated group due to: development of CNV (2 eyes); central retinal artery occlusion (1 eye), death (4 eyes); relocation out of the practice area (4 eyes); and having only a single initial SDM treatment at the time of data analysis (6 eyes). This yielded 67 eyes of 49 patients with ARGA having follow up both before and after initiation of regular periodic panmacular SDM treatment eligible for study and statistical analysis (Figures 1–3).

These eyes were observed 81–2966 days (mean 910, SD 727.1) prior to initiation of routine periodic panmacular SDM treatment and followed 121–1489 days (mean 805, SD 265.2) after initiation of treatment. The number of treatments ranged 3–13 per eye (mean 6.8) for an average inter-treatment interval of 112 days.

VAs ranged 20/20 – 20/1000 throughout the course of the study, decreasing on average with time (Figure 4).

RPD were identified as coincident with ARGA in 52/67 eyes (78%).

**Figure 1** Infrared autofluorescence fundus photograph of age-related geographic atrophy at presentation (A), at the time of initial panmacular SDM treatment 7 months later (B), and at the last visit 34 months following initiation of panmacular SDM treatment (C).
Effect of Regular Periodic Panmacular SDM on ARGA Progression Velocity

Overall, the velocity of linear radial ARGA progression was 137µm/year before treatment (SD 107) and 73µm/year after treatment (SD 59), thus slowing 47% per year following institution of regular periodic panmacular SDM (Figure 5). A linear mixed model analysis comparing the pretreatment radial progression rate (137µm/yr, SE 11.41) to the post-treatment progression rate reduction (64 µm/yr, SE 11.89) found the reduction of ARGA progression following panmacular SDM to be highly significant (p<0.0001). This relation held for eyes with initial lesion diameters of < or > 1000µm as well (p<0.0001 each). A linear mixed model accounting for inter-eye correlation was performed to test the potential difference between eyes with initial lesion diameters of < 1000µm (n=19) or > 1000µm (n=48). Lesion size did not significantly affect
rates of progression either before after SDM treatment (pre-treatment continuous measure \( p = 0.919 \)), dichotomized scale \( p = 0.824 \); post treatment continuous measure \( p = 0.408 \), dichotomized scale \( p = 0.269 \)).

There were no adverse treatment effects, including LIRD, in any eye.

**Discussion**

In a recently reported cohort of all eyes with dry AMD active in a vitreoretinal practice EMR system, augmentation of standard dry AMD management with panmacular SDM was followed by an age-adjusted annual incidence of new CNV 93–98% lower than expected compared to the AREDS.\(^\text{15}\)

Included in this cohort were eyes with ARGA. In that study, ARGA progression was not a study endpoint. The current study focuses on the eye with ARGA, finding that panmacular SDM also significantly slowed the radial velocity of ARGA progression in eyes with pre-existing ARGA.

The demographics and macular characteristics of the eyes reported here with ARGA are consistent with prior

---

**Figure 3** Distribution of geographic atrophy diameters at presentation; on date of last images prior to treatment; on date of first panmacular SDM treatment; and at last visit following institution of regular periodic panmacular SDM treatment.

**Figure 4** Boxplots showing the distribution of logMAR visual acuity (VA) over time, including at presentation, at time of treatment, and at final follow-up post treatment. Boxplots display the mean (black dot), median (line within the box), interquartile range (IQR) including the 25th percentile (bottom of the box) and 75th percentile (top of the box), observations within 1.5 times the IQR (upper and lower fences), and outliers (asterisks, observations outside 1.5 times the IQR). Despite slowing of progression following treatment, visual acuities diminish over the 4.7 year (avg.) observation period due to increasing incidence and degree of foveal involvement.
studies; including reduced VA, advanced age, bilateral occurrence, female preponderance, and associated RPD.\(^1,2,4,17–21\) Reflecting the association of both advanced age and ARGA with RPD, 78% of eyes identified with ARGA in the current study (average and median age 86 years) had coincident RPD, compared to 39% of eyes in the overall dry AMD database (average age 82, median 84 years).\(^15\) Despite advanced high-risk dry AMD, pannuscular SDM slowed, rather than accelerated, disease progression.\(^25\) This slowing of radial linear velocity of ARGA progression of 47% per year following pannuscular SDM was highly significant (p<0.0001).

A recent meta-analysis by Shen, et al, reviewed the results of 25 ARGA progression studies including 2942 eyes. Comparing the various current methods of ARGA progression measurement, including the area linear model in which the lesion area changes linearly with time; and the area exponential model in which the lesion area changes exponentially with time; Shen et al concluded that the radius linear model (RLM, in which the lesion radius derived from the square root transformation of the lesion area grows linearly with time) provided the best fit to the data available from the meta-analysis, and thus provided the most accurate measure of lesion progression.\(^16\) The meta-analysis found that the velocity of linear ARGA lesion expansion was constant in a given eye over time, and independent of lesion area.\(^16\) Independence of the velocity of radial linear ARGA expansion from time and lesion size permits simplification of ARGA progression determination, by mathematical elimination of the area factor (and thus the need for often difficult and complex lesion area determination) in favor of the simpler process of direct measurement of lesion diameters.\(^4,15,16\) Despite this simplification, we note that the velocity of linear radial ARGA expansion for the untreated control eyes measured in the current study (mean 137 \(\mu m\) per year, median 115) is in the range of rates reported for untreated eyes in prior studies of 53–264\(\mu m/\)year, and the Shen metaanalysis finding of 158–167\(\mu m/\)year.\(^1,2,4,16–22\)

Challenging the meta-analysis of Shen et al are two recent studies.\(^16,20,21\) Uji and associates reported 24 eyes comparing the square root of ARGA lesion area with linear radial growth velocity measured by a novel method of directional kinetics analysis.\(^20\) The authors found variable velocities in different meridians; faster growth toward the fovea; and faster growth of smaller lesions. In the current study, ARGA diameter measurements were taken in the meridians with the most clearly defined photographic margins over time to achieve the most precise

**Figure 5** Boxplots showing the distribution of change in radius, pre and post treatment. Plots are stratified by samples of all eyes (n=67), eyes with initial diameter <1000\(\mu m\) (n=19) and eyes with initial diameter \(\geq 1000\mu m\) (n=48). Boxplots display the mean (black dot), median (line within the box), interquartile range (IQR) including the 25th percentile (bottom of the box) and 75th percentile (top of the box), observations within 1.5 times the IQR (upper and lower fences), and outliers (asterisks, observations outside 1.5 times the IQR). For each comparison pannuscular SDM laser significantly reduced the annual rate of linear radial progression of geographic atrophy (p<0.0001, linear regression analysis). A linear mixed model accounting for inter-eye correlation was performed to test the potential difference between eyes with smaller (<1000\(\mu m\)) vs larger (>1000\(\mu m\)) initial lesion diameters. Initial lesion size did not significantly affect rates of progression either before after SDM treatment (pre-treatment continuous measure (p = 0.919), dichotomized scale (p = 0.824); post treatment continuous measure (p = 0.408), dichotomized scale (p = 0.269).
measurements. Thus, the lesion diameter measurements were random with respect to particular axes or polarities, thereby minimizing any potential confounding effect of directional bias in growth or measurement. In another study of 126 eyes followed an average 3.1 years, Mones and Biarnes used conventional square root analysis derived by manual border-tracing of ARGA lesions followed by application Heidelberg Engineering Region Finder™ software (Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) to determine lesion areas, followed by square root conversion.21 Like Uji, they found more rapid progression of smaller lesions.21 While “smaller” was not defined by Uji, et al, Mones and Biarnes used AREDS categories and tertiles to delineate baseline lesion sizes.20,21 Our findings instead agree with the larger Shen meta-analysis.16 In the current study, comparison of eyes with baseline lesion diameters < or > 1mm found no difference in progression rates based on lesion diameter in control eyes or after SDM treatment (despite a significant slowing of progression following SDM). Thus, the slowing of ARGA progression following treatment we report does not appear to be an artifact of any natural slowing of progression with time. Finally, the influence of any such confounding variables would almost certainly be insignificant in comparison to the magnitude of the treatment effect we find in the current study (47% annual slowing of progression, p<0.0001).

Historically, macular laser has been the mainstay of treatment for dry AMD.22–30 This reflected the desire to eliminate the hallmark clinical feature of AMD, drusen.22–30 While early reports found laser-induced drusen reduction was beneficial, subsequent studies found either no benefits, or worsened outcomes.23–30 This was attributed to the observation that the efficiency of drusen reduction paralleled (higher) retinal burn intensity and increased retinal damage, which in turn increased the incidence of subsequent CNV and visual loss.26–30 In an attempt to improve these results, short-pulsed continuous wave (SPCW) lasers designed to better limit LIRD to the outer retina and RPE have been studied. Possibly reflecting reduced LIRD to Bruch’s membrane, SPCW lasers have not been found to notably increase the risk of CNV.22,23 However, they have caused rapid acceleration and marked worsening of high-risk dry AMD and ARGA.22,23 Treatment directed at the intact margins of ARGA lesions by microsecond CW laser (“selective retinal treatment”, or SRT; Lutronic, Seoul, S. Korea) increased the rate of ARGA progression by 50%, bringing early closure to a prospective clinical trial.22 Likewise, nanosecond CW laser (2RT; Ellex, Adelaide, Australia) treatment of dry AMD in the LEAD study also caused rapid worsening of high-risk dry AMD, particularly by development and progression of ARGA in eyes with RPD, such as the eyes in the current study, 78% of which had coincident RPD.23 While nanosecond CW laser treatment is described as “non-damaging” (https://www.ellex.com/), it is inherently photodestructive to the RPE, at minimum.9,23 The contrast between the results of the current study of panmacular SDM, wholly sublethal to the RPE, and laser modes inherently damaging to the retina, such as microsecond (SRT) and nanosecond (2RT) CW lasers, is noteworthy. Panmacular SDM, preserving the RPE and normalizing retinal function, results in significant slowing of ARGA progression in eyes with the highest-risk advanced dry AMD and reticular pseudodrusen; while RPE-lethal and retinal damaging short-pulse CW lasers cause rapid worsening in these same eyes. This is consistent with current concepts of modern retinal laser therapy, illustrating the importance of distinguishing between laser treatment sublethal to the RPE, and treatment simply “subthreshold” to visibility at a given level of scrutiny, but damaging to the retina nonetheless.9,31 The phenotypic hallmarks of advanced AMD indicate compromise and stress of the compensatory mechanisms designed to maintain macular health and function.4 Superimposition of LIRD in this already tenuous setting may accelerate the disease process by further stressing these mechanisms, leading to decompensation and consequently more rapid disease progression.22,23,33 The slowing, rather than speeding, of ARGA we report in the current study thus likely reflects the absence of tissue damage associated with SDM, compared to micro- and nano-second CW lasers.6,15,31–33 Further study will show if more frequent treatment with panmacular SDM might result in an even greater slowing of ARGA progression.

The only known effects of SDM, sublethal to the RPE, are therapeutic. There are no known adverse treatment effects associated with SDM clinically, in vitro or in vivo.6–15,31,32 This is because therapeutic retinal laser effects arise from living cells affected, but not killed by laser exposure; and adverse retinal laser effects arise from LIRD, which is, at minimum, lethal to the RPE.6,15,34–50 The effects of thermal laser effects sublethal to the RPE are multivalent, catalytic, reparative, restorative and functionally normalizing to the retina.6–15,34–50

The response to SDM represents a physiologic “reset” phenomenon.6–15,34–50 This is because the currency of cellular dysfunction, induced by virtually any stressor, including ageing and all chronic progressive retinopathies,
is protein misfolding. Because HSP-mediated correction of protein misfolding and consequent normalization of cell function is agnostic to the cause of protein misfolding, SDM acts as a non-specific trigger of disease-specific repair; much like the “reset” function common to electronic devices.

As AMD is a neurodegenerative disorder, such laser effects are by definition neuroprotective.11–14 These include down-regulation of VEGF and up-regulation of pigment epithelial-derived factor (PEDF); RPE heat-shock protein (HSP) activation and acceleration HSP-mediated protein repair in unhealthy cells; improved mitochondrial function; inhibition of apoptosis; reduced indicators of degenerative chronic and increased indicators of reparative acute inflammation; decreased reactive oxygen species and increased nitrous oxide and superoxide dismutase; improved Mueller cell function; reparative local and systemic immunomodulation and stem cell activation; modulation of tissue matrix metalloproteinases; and normalized RPE cytokine, chemokine and interleukin expression and response, improved retinal autoregulation. These are reflected in improvements in electrophysiology, multiple measures of visual function including microperimetry, mesopic visual acuity, contrast visual acuity, and reduced neovascular conversion following SDM in AMD.11,14,34–50 These SDM-induced changes occur as the result of functional normalization of the RPE/retina and represent re-establishment of normalized physiologic levels and relations in a global, rather than selective, response to treatment. We believe that SDM-induced normalization of retinal function, reflected by factors such as those listed above, is responsible for the slowing of ARGA reported in this study. Just as one would expect normalized cardiac and pulmonary function to decrease the likelihood of cardiac and pulmonary failure, it would seem reasonable to expect normalized macular function to decrease the risk of macular failure; in this case, progression of age-related geographic atrophy.

We describe the principle of improving retinal function as “homeotrophy”, or “normalizing”.11,12 The importance of the physiologic nature of the SDM treatment response may be illustrated by the following: Selective deletion of VEGF in mice has been found to induce retinal atrophy and visual loss.51 This is because physiologic levels of VEGF are necessary to maintain normal retinal function. By contrast, SDM-induced VEGF reduction results in normalization of VEGF levels from pathologic to physiologic. In addition, SDM normalizes the physiologic balance of VEGF with many other factors, such as PEDF, by normalizing RPE chemokine expression and response in general, via the reset phenomenon. Along with improved RPE function and reduced markers of chronic inflammation, we expect that these laser-induced improvements in macular function may account for the inhibition ARGA progression noted in the current study.11,14,34–51

This study has limitations and weaknesses common to retrospective studies and should be read with the appropriate and customary caution. However, several aspects mitigate these weaknesses. Analysis included all eyes with ARGA in an EMR database, and all eligible eyes for study. Study endpoints and analysis techniques were simple, uniform, objective, and masked.52,53 Treatment was uniform in all respects, employing the identical laser settings, treatment technique (confluent coverage of the retina), treatment area (panmacular), and number of spot applications in each treated eye; also safe, simple, and easily replicated. Follow up of both pre- and post-treatment is long. Despite the small size, the findings statistically robust and consistent with all prior studies of SDM, natural history studies of ARGA progression, and the low incidence of new CNV reported in eyes with high-risk AMD following panmacular SDM, another key indicator of AMD progression.15 As a critical test of scientific findings is reproducibility, we note that the methods employed in the current study are easily replicated.1,2,4

As ARGA is an important and otherwise untreatable cause of visual loss for millions worldwide, further study of prevention by panmacular SDM is indicated.1,2,4 Appearing highly effective and without adverse effects, SDM might, if confirmed, contribute significantly to the reduction of visual loss and disability due to AMD.
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