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ABSTRACT: Two-dimensional materials (2DMs) have high potential in gas sensing, due to their large surface-to-volume ratio. However, most sensors based on 2DMs suffer from the lack of a steady state during gas exposure, hampering sensor calibration. Here, we demonstrate that analysis of the time differential of the signal output enables the calibration of chemiresistors based on platinum or tungsten diselenide (PtSe2, WSe2) and molybdenum disulfide (MoS2), which present nonstationary behavior. 2DMs are synthesized by thermally assisted conversion of predeposited metals on a silicon/silicon dioxide substrate and therefore are integrable with standard complementary metal–oxide semiconductor (CMOS) technology. We analyze the behavior of the sensors at room temperature toward nitrogen dioxide (NO2) in a narrow range from 0.1 to 1 ppm. This study overcomes the problem of the absence of steady-state signals in 2DM gas sensors and thus facilitates their usage in this highly important application.

INTRODUCTION

The monitoring of toxic and flammable gases at low concentration is challenging, especially in domestic and industrial environments. Among the waste species produced nowadays, nitrogen dioxide (NO2) represents one of the most common exhaust gases as it is usually obtained from numerous industrial applications. This oxidizing gas can have a severe effect on human health, particularly in terms of permanent damage to the respiratory system, even at concentration levels as low as 1 ppm (parts per million). As such, gas sensors capable of detecting extremely low concentrations are highly sought after. Over the previous few decades, metal oxides (MOx) have shown their potential as sensing materials due to their high sensitivity to pollutant gases, small size of devices, and low cost. However, it is known that MOx-based sensors only perform well when working at temperatures typically higher than 400 °C, with consequent huge consumption of energy.

Sensors based on low-dimensional materials, including carbon nanotubes, graphene, and black phosphorus, have been widely investigated as promising alternatives to MOx. Semiconducting two-dimensional (2D) transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) have been widely investigated as promising gas-sensing materials due to their high surface-to-volume ratio, favorable surface energy levels for gas adsorption, high mobilities, and high current on/off ratios. A number of TMDCs have shown low detection limits for NO2 operating at room temperature (RT).

Recently, platinum diselenide (PtSe2) has gained huge interest for selective NO2 sensing with a low limit of detection (LOD) in the range of few ppb and an extremely fast response time (few seconds) when exposed to 0.1 ppm.

However, most gas sensors based both on TMDCs and other low-dimensional materials suffer significantly from drawbacks such as the lack of a steady state during the gas exposure and slow recovery kinetics. These two hindrances can hamper the use of sensors since two characteristic parameters, the response time and the signal variation, cannot be properly determined. In previous reports, we have demonstrated that the limitations can be overcome simply by analyzing the time differential of the signal output (TDSO) instead of the output itself. In those papers, the sensing material consisted of multilayered graphene (MLG), synthesized through different routes.

Here, we demonstrate the reliability of the TDSO approach applying it to chemiresistors (CRs) based on three different TMDCs: platinum diselenide (PtSe2), tungsten diselenide (WSe2), and molybdenum disulfide (MoS2). The sensors show continuously rising current during a gas exposure longer than 2
min, similar to those based on MLG.\textsuperscript{28–31} By means of TDSO, we are able to properly calibrate and compare the sensors, highlighting the promising potentialities of these materials in the field of gas sensors working at room temperature (RT).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Raman and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) results reported in our previous publications show the stochiometric transformation of Pt, Mo, and W into PtSe\textsubscript{2}, MoS\textsubscript{2}, and WSe\textsubscript{2}, respectively, by thermally assisted conversion (TAC).\textsuperscript{20–22,25,32–34} Here, we present the application of TDSO to the devices based on TMDCs reported in those papers, in which a detailed characterization of TMDCs is presented.

Figure 1 shows the optical images and the current–voltage (I–V) characteristics of the devices based on the aforementioned TMDCs. The linearity of the I–V characteristics of the PtSe\textsubscript{2}-based resistors reported in Table S1. (c) I–V characteristics of the resistors based on MoS\textsubscript{2} (blue line) and WSe\textsubscript{2} (red line). Inset: sketch of the MoS\textsubscript{2} (WSe\textsubscript{2}) sensor.
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**Table 1. Differential Sensitivity (DS) of PtSe\textsubscript{2}-Based Sensors**

| device | differential sensitivity [nA/(s·ppm)] |
|--------|--------------------------------------|
| #1     | 0.91 ± 0.07                          |
| #2     | 0.93 ± 0.07                          |
| #3     | 0.82 ± 0.11                          |
| #4     | 0.83 ± 0.06                          |
| #5     | 0.93 ± 0.06                          |
| #6     | 0.99 ± 0.08                          |
| #7     | 0.88 ± 0.04                          |
| #8     | 0.80 ± 0.04                          |

Figure 2. (a) Real-time current behavior (black curve) of PtSe\textsubscript{2}-CR #1 during exposure to increasing concentrations of NO\textsubscript{2} (red dashed rectangles). Dry N\textsubscript{2} is used as a buffer gas. (b) Signals recorded upon exposure to different concentrations of NO\textsubscript{2}. The current is normalized at the value reached when each gas exposure starts.

is almost negligible compared to the others at higher concentrations.

Figure 2b also shows that the higher the concentration of the injected gas, the smaller the return of the current to the initial state. Noteworthy, based on the definition of the term “recovery” as the time required to reduce the stationary signal value by 90%,\textsuperscript{26} we need to carefully adopt this term to describe the restoration of the signal after the gas pulse. At concentrations lower than 0.5 ppm, the current value is almost restored to the initial value in 150 s. When the sensor is exposed to the highest concentration (1 ppm), for instance, the current is lowered by less than 30% from the value reached when each gas exposure starts.

In each exposure window, the current shows an increasing signal, never reaching the stationary state, especially for NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations higher than 0.5 ppm. Similar results are reported elsewhere.\textsuperscript{28–31} The lack of a steady state is more evident in Figure 2b, where the signals recorded upon exposure to different concentrations are grouped. It can be seen that the variation of the current toward 100 ppb of NO\textsubscript{2} (black curve) is almost negligible compared to the others at higher concentrations.

In Figure 3a, the method is applied to the signal recorded on device #1. The TDSO (red curve) is overlapped upon the transients of the sensor (black line), where $I_0$ and $I$ represent the values of
the current when the gas is injected and stopped, respectively, during each exposure. The TDSO peaks are well distinguishable for each gas pulse except at 0.1 ppm (Figure 3a). At that concentration, the peak is not well visible because of the small variation of the signal (Figure 2b). As the rise time of the sensor is in the range of tens of seconds, the TDSO peaks are located quite close to the position of the gas inlet \( t_0 \), as mathematically modeled in ref28 (Figure S2).

The maxima of TDSO plotted as a function of the NO\(_2\) concentration in the range 0.2−1.0 ppm (Figure 3b) display comparable behavior for the complete set of sensors, especially in terms of linearity of the fitting curves. To properly compare the performance of the sensors, the most powerful tool is differential sensitivity (DS), defined as the slope of the calibration curve.28 Further studies are ongoing to address the definition of the limit of detection through the TDSO approach. For the eight devices investigated in this paper, the values of the DS are comparable within a maximum variation of about 20% (Table 1).

To further prove the reliability of the TDSO approach, we tested CRs based on MoS\(_2\) and WSe\(_2\). Figure 4 reports the calibration curves obtained by applying TDSO on both sensors. For MoS\(_2\)-CR (Figure 4a), the peaks were determined from the same test protocol (inset of Figure 4a) used for PtSe\(_2\)-CRs. WSe\(_2\)-CR, instead, was revealed to be scarcely sensitive to NO\(_2\) concentrations lower than 0.4 ppm, showing no intense TDSO peaks (inset of Figure 4b). As such, we slightly modified the protocol, exposing the WSe\(_2\)-CR up to 5 ppm of NO\(_2\).

Because of the decreasing current shown by both sensors, we reported the absolute values of the TDSO peaks as a function of the NO\(_2\) concentration. The n- or p-type behavior of MoS\(_2\) is already shown in other reports,22,35,36 while we are further investigating the behavior of WSe\(_2\). Nevertheless, in the present paper, we mostly focus on TDSO to overcome the calibration issue. The linearity of the calibration curve first confirms the reliability of the TDSO approach. The DS of MoS\(_2\)-CR \((0.6 \pm 0.1 \, \text{nA/(s·ppm)})\) indicates that TAC-grown MoS\(_2\) is slightly more sensitive than TAC-grown PtSe\(_2\) toward NO\(_2\) in the investigated range.

A fairer comparison between the results presented here and those reported in the literature can be based on the minimum detectable concentration of gas. Except for the WSe\(_2\)-based CR, the sensors presented here are able to distinguish down to a hundred ppb of NO\(_2\) working at RT. These findings are in close agreement with up to date results.16,25,37 By further refinement of the materials synthesis route, it is very likely that the detectable gas concentration will be lowered significantly.

## CONCLUSIONS

We have analyzed the sensing properties of chemiresistors based on PtSe\(_2\), MoS\(_2\), and WSe\(_2\) toward NO\(_2\). The devices were able to detect concentrations of NO\(_2\) in N\(_2\) down to few hundred ppb at RT. We addressed the issue of a nonstationary state shown during the gas exposure by applying the TDSO approach. We definitively proved that the maxima of TDSO are uniquely and linearly correlated with the NO\(_2\) concentration in the range 0.1−1 ppm. The TDSO approach allowed us to properly calibrate the sensors based on three different TMDCs, showing the potential of these materials in the field of gas sensors operating at RT.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Films of PtSe\(_2\), MoS\(_2\), and WSe\(_2\) were synthesized by thermally assisted conversion (TAC) of Pt, Mo, and W, respectively. Thin layers of Pt (0.5 nm), Mo (10 nm), and W (20 nm) were sputtered onto SiO\(_2\) (300 nm)/Si substrates. The samples were then loaded into a quartz tube furnace and heated at 400, 750, and 600 °C, respectively. The vapors of the chalcogens were...
produced at \(\sim 115^\circ C\) (S) and \(\sim 220^\circ C\) (Se) and diffused into the metal layers to form PtSe\(_2\), MoS\(_2\), and WSe\(_2\), respectively. The TAC process is described in detail in previous reports.\(^{20,21,25}\)

To fabricate the gas sensors, we used shadow masks to sputter Pt, Mo, and W layers only on selected areas. After the synthesis of patterned PtSe\(_2\), MoS\(_2\), and WSe\(_2\) by the TAC process, metal contacts (Ni/Au for PtSe\(_2\) or WSe\(_2\), Ti/Au for MoS\(_2\)) were deposited using hard masks to define the sensing areas.\(^{21,25}\)

For instance, Figure 1 illustrates the PtSe\(_2\)-based CRs. Each device is about 1 \(\times\) 0.2 mm\(^2\). The electrical measurements were performed using a Keithley 2636 Source Meter Unit and a Keithley 3706 System Switch/Multimeter. We tested the gas sensors based on TMDC in a custom-made chamber with a volume of about 10 L and remote-controllable mass-flow controllers (MFCs). The pressure and temperature were kept constant at 150 Torr and room temperature (RT). A constant flow rate of a gas mixture (100 sccm) was flushed in the chamber. We injected 10 ppm of NO\(_2\) into the test chamber, diluting it with dry nitrogen (N\(_2\)) to achieve concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 1 ppm. Each of the ten gas pulses and subsequent recovery steps lasted 150 s. The sensors were biased at 1 V. The resistance of the devices upon the periodic gas exposure was simultaneously monitored.
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