Lisinopril-Induced Small Bowel Angioedema: An Unusual Cause of Severe Abdominal Pain
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Patient: Female, 63-year-old
Final Diagnosis: Angioedema • small bowel angioedema
Symptoms: Abdominal pain • abdominal distension • diarrhea • nausea
Medication: —
Clinical Procedure: —
Specialty: Allergology • Gastroenterology and Hepatology

Objective: Rare disease
Background: Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACE-I) are one of the most frequently prescribed classes of medications with the rare adverse effect of angioedema, and isolated small bowel angioedema is a small subset of these cases. Isolated angioedema of the small bowel is a rare adverse effect of this commonly prescribed medication, and it mimics, symptomatically and radiographically, several other illnesses and is often misdiagnosed. While ACE-I are thought to be safe, the risk of angioedema is approximately 0.7%. Isolated small bowel angioedema is often not diagnosed in a timely manner, and misdiagnosis leads to significant morbidity in afflicted patients.

Case Report: We present the case of a 63-year-old woman with angioedema of the small bowel causing abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea. Computed tomography demonstrated small bowel edema and ascites. The patient had been taking lisinopril for 7 years prior to presentation and had previously been seen by multiple physicians for abdominal pain. A diagnosis of ACE-I-induced small bowel angioedema was made and lisinopril therapy was immediately stopped. Her symptoms improved with cessation of lisinopril, and follow-up imaging showed resolution of the angioedema 3 months later.

Conclusions: The course of ACE-I-induced angioedema is unpredictable and often overlooked as a cause of abdominal pain. It commonly presents soon after starting ACE-I therapy, but can present years after therapy initiation, as in this case. Significant morbidity, including unnecessary exploratory laparotomy, is associated with misdiagnosis of ACE-I-induced angioedema of the small bowel. Prompt recognition and cessation of the offending drug is crucial but often delayed.
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Background

Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACE-I) are one of the most frequently prescribed classes of medications, currently used by approximately 40 million people globally [1]. ACE-I are first-line antihypertensives in patients with cardiovascular disease and diabetes mellitus, and are the most prescribed class of antihypertensives overall [2-4]. While ACE-I are thought to be safe medications, they carry the risk of angioedema in approximately 0.7% of users [5]. Angioedema is a serious condition that can prove fatal and therefore requires rapid recognition and treatment, despite its relative rarity [4]. ACE-I-induced angioedema occurs when excess bradykinin builds up due to decreased breakdown by ACE, leading to increased vascular permeability and swelling [3]. Most patients who develop ACE-I-induced angioedema present with facial and oropharyngeal swelling [5]. However, there have been several previous case reports identifying angioedema of the small bowel either in isolation or in conjunction with facial and oropharyngeal edema [1,5-19]. We present an additional case of ACE-I-induced angioedema with characteristic radiologic findings of angioedema of the small bowel, in the absence of facial and oropharyngeal involvement. Of note, our patient had been taking lisinopril for 7 years prior to the onset of symptoms. It is known that angioedema can develop at any time following ACE-I therapy induction; however, it is generally expected to develop during the first 5 years [20,21].

Case Report

Our patient was a 63-year-old White woman with a past medical history of hyperlipidemia, hypothyroidism, diverticulitis, and essential hypertension, which was treated for 7 years with lisinopril. Her current dose of lisinopril was 30 mg, with no recent changes. She reported no history of alcohol, tobacco, or drug use. She presented to the Emergency Department (ED) following a 3-day history of severe abdominal pain. She described her pain as a 9-10/10 in intensity and sharp in character. The pain had steadily increased in severity over the previous 3 days and was nearly unbearably on presentation. With the onset of this pain, she began to have high-volume light-green watery diarrhea. She was experiencing significant nausea, anorexia, chills, sweating, and flushing. Importantly, she denied any symptoms of difficulty breathing or swelling of her face, lips, or tongue.

She described having similar pain episodes over the past few months but denied any prior diarrhea with these previous episodes. Previous computed tomography (CT) imaging 1 month prior was negative for radiographic evidence of pathology.

Her vital signs on arrival to the ED were a heart rate of 78 beats per min, blood pressure of 138/78 mmHg, temperature of 36°C, respiratory rate of 17 breaths per min, and an O2 saturation of 97% on room air. Physical examination showed an uncomfortable appearing woman with a normal facial and oropharyngeal examination, a diffusely swollen and exquisitely tender abdomen with normoactive bowel sounds, and a normal skin examination. Her laboratory studies were remarkable for a C-reactive protein level of 59.5 mg/dL and a hemoglobin level of 16.1 g/dL. She had a C1 esterase-inhibitor level of 81 mg/dL, C4 level of 33 mg/dL, and C1 esterase-inhibitor antigen level of 22 mg/dL, which were all within the reference range. All other laboratory tests, including white blood cells,
Angioedema is a self-limiting condition characterized by increased capillary permeability with subsequent extravasation of fluid causing tissue edema of the effected organ. A review of reported cases of angioedema induced by ACE-I from 1966 to 1997 showed an incidence of 0.1% to 0.5% in patients taking an ACE-I, and only a fraction of those cases were angioedema of the bowel [28]. Unlike other drug-induced reactions causing angioedema, the incidence of angioedema in patients taking ACE-I is usually inconsistent and not temporally associated with the timing of ACE-I ingestion. As such, ACE-I is frequently missed as a cause of angioedema, and patients may continue to take ACE-I for management of their hypertension after one or multiple bouts of angioedema. One retrospective study even reported that physicians often attributed angioedema to several causes not related to ACE-I use, even after multiple recurrences. Continuing ACE-I after the first occurrence of angioedema is associated with an increased rate of serious morbidity [29]. A review of published cases of isolated small bowel angioedema demonstrates that it disproportionately affects woman, there is a wide range of time from initiating ACE-I therapy to first symptoms, and the disease does not seem to discriminate based on age (Table 1).

Patients with angioedema of the small bowel present with abdominal pain, nausea, and vomiting. Less commonly, patients present with diarrhea and/or ascites. Patients are overwhelmingly female and usually between the ages of 40 to 70 years. Previous case reviews report an increased incidence in African American women. Diagnosis is made by imaging, often CT, which shows edema of the stomach, duodenum, jejunum and/or ileum with accompanying ascites [5,30]. The presence of ascites is strongly associated with angioedema of the bowel and decreases the probability of infectious etiologies [30].

The differential diagnosis for a patient with undifferentiated, acute, diffuse abdominal pain is wide. The most common etiologies to consider include infectious, ischemic, inflammatory, functional, and traumatic. If paracentesis is performed, it
Table 1. Summary of available, published reports of isolated small bowel angioedema.

| Sex | Age | Race/ethnicity | Recent change in dose (Y/N) | Time on ACE-i | Medication and dose | Comorbid medical conditions | Concomitant medications | Clinical course and outcomes | Reference |
|-----|-----|----------------|----------------------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| F   | 40  | White          | No                         | 3 days        | Lisinopril, 20 mg qd | IBS, hypertension           | Oral contraceptive        | Resolution w/in 1 week of discontinuation | Squillante [5] |
| F   | 62  | African American| No                         | 2 years       | Lisinopril, 40 mg BID | Hypertension, a fib, DM2, seizure, anemia, COPD, temporal arteritis, GERD | N/A                      | Resolution | Wilin [6] |
| F   | 69  | Asian          | No                         | 4 years       | Perindopril         | Hypertension, IgA nephropathy, lipid metabolism abnormality, cholelithiasis | Pitavastatin             | Resolution | Nakano [7] |
| F   | 44  | White          | No                         | 1 hour        | Perindopril, 4 mg daily | Hypertension               | Resolution               | Resolution in 3 days | Huynh [8] |
| F   | 28  | N/A            | No                         | 2 months      | Lisinopril         | Hypertension               | Oral contraceptive        | Resolution in 2 days | Ferreira [9] |
| F   | 32  | White          | No                         | 1 month       | Perindopril, 4 mg daily | Hypertension               | metoprol                 | Laparoscopy, 1 month delay in diagnosis | Parreira [10] |
| M   | 53  | n/a            | No                         | 7 years       | Lisinopril         | Hypertension, diverticulitis | Hydrochlorothiazide        | Resolution in 2 days of discontinuation | Melendez [11] |
| F   | N/A | N/A            | No                         | 3 weeks       | Lisinopril         | Myocarditis, heart failure | Resolution within days of discontinuation | Cuypers [12] |
| F   | 72  | N/A            | Yes, 10-20 mg daily        | 1 month       | Lisinopril, 20 mg daily | Hypertension               |                          | Chase [13] |
| F   | 48  | Asian          | No                         | 2 days        | Captopril          | Hypertension               | Continued on Captopril, later developed oropharyngeal angioedema | Matsumura [14] |
| F   | 58  | N/A            | n/a                        | 1 week        | Lisinopril         | Hypertension               | Resolution in 24 hours of discontinuation | Gregory [15] |
| F   | 41  | White          | No                         | 2 days        | Lisinopril, 5 mg daily | Hypertension               | Delayed in diagnosis with multiple hospitalizations, resolution 48hr after discontinuation | Abdelmalek [16] |
| F   | 59  | N/A            | n/a                        | 2 days        | Enalapril         | Hypertension               | 5-year delay in diagnosis, resolution with discontinuation | Mullins [17] |
| F   | 29  | N/A            | n/a                        | 4 months      | Lisinopril         | Hypertension               |                          | Guy [18] |
shows nonspecific inflammatory findings [31]. In up to 15% of cases, findings are diagnosed as ischemic bowel, and patients are taken to the operating room for unnecessary laparotomy or laparoscopy [28].

Furthermore, although it is uncommonly reported in the literature, even after patients have stopped lisinopril, they can experience recurrent episodes of abdominal pain in the immediate period after discontinuation [31].

The patient presented in this report had been taking lisinopril for approximately 7 years prior to diagnosis. She had no previous episodes of oropharyngeal or periorbital swelling but did describe previous episodes of abdominal pain for which she visited the ED. During her previous workup, there had been no indication of bowel edema, ascites, or other objective signs of angioedema of the bowel, although her symptoms were identical to those when the diagnosis of ACE-I-induced angioedema was made. Following diagnosis and discontinuation of ACE-I, she once again experienced abdominal pain shortly after discharge and was treated symptomatically.

Conclusions

Lisinopril, and other ACE-I, are likely an underdiagnosed cause of abdominal pain, as the symptoms are nonspecific. Furthermore, previous reports of ACE-I-induced bowel angioedema have been reported in patients with limited use of ACE-I (less than 1 year in most cases), which may lead a clinician to believe that ACE-I-induced angioedema of the bowel is less likely a culprit in patients with long-time use of ACE-I. In turn, patients may undergo invasive testing and continue taking ACE-I with significant associated morbidity.

This case report demonstrates 3 uncommon features that are important to recognize in a patient with ACE-I-induced angioedema of the bowel. First, the patient had been taking lisinopril for 7 years prior to diagnosis and discontinuation of the offending medication. Second, the patient had multiple episodes of severe abdominal pain, without radiographic evidence of angioedema prior to diagnosis. Lastly, the patient had an episode of severe, self-resolving abdominal pain following discontinuation of the ACE-I.
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