A cross-sectional assessment of health-related quality of life among healthcare providers in Alkharj, Saudi Arabia
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INTRODUCTION

The health status of health-care providers (HCPs) is important and can affect their performance [1]. Quality of life is a multi-dimensional aspect that can be used to assess the general wellbeing of individuals and societies [2]. Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) represents the influences of health state, health policies, and medical action on perceptions of welfare [3]. Studies show that poor health status among HCPs is associated with a decrease in the quality and safety of practices. Moreover, undesirable outcomes such as medication errors increase [1-3]. The decrease in physical and/or mental HRQoL among HCPs is attributed to...
many factors, for example, workload and shifts, years of work, number of patients, poor patient outcomes, disagreement with a peer, poor management, and lack of support [1,4].

A number of studies have shown that the HRQoL of health-care providers is low. A study in a Taiwanese hospital found that 47 % of the staff had either minor psychiatric or depressive disorder, especially among nurses and pharmacists [2-5]. In Greece, nurses reported a high level of job dissatisfaction, and their health status was reportedly average [6]. An HRQoL study among Spanish health-care providers found a low HRQoL compared to the reference population, especially in the mental components [7]. In Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, approximately 60 % of the nurses working at King Abdul-Aziz University Hospital were satisfied with their general health [8].

A variety of methods for estimating the quality of life are available to health-care providers, for example, the World Health Organization Quality of Life Instrument WHOQOL[9]. The WHOQOL measures domains pertaining to health, relationships, and environments and enables researchers to cross-compare cultures [9]. Skevington and colleagues (2018) detected a positive relationship between the survey’s domains and sustainable development goals, which promote wellbeing to all individuals. Colby and colleagues (2018) found an association between quality of life, measured through the WHO survey, and the medical students’ work burnout [10]. However, most of these studies were limited to physicians and medical students rather than all health-care providers.

Identifying HRQoL and occupational stressors among HPCs in Saudi Arabia is significant in supporting their health. Any improvement in HRQoL among HCPs will directly reflect patient care and health outcomes. Studies evaluating HRQoL and job satisfaction among HCPs in Saudi Arabia are limited. Therefore, this study was sought to evaluate the quality of life among HCPs and to explore the relationship between work-related stresses, job satisfaction, and general health of HCPs.

EXPERIMENTAL

Sampling and study setting

A cross-sectional study was conducted to determine the current level of HRQoL, and evaluate various factors influencing HRQoL, among HCPs in Alkhari, Saudi Arabia. A self-administered questionnaire was distributed in local hospitals. Participants were also recruited from colleges of medicine, pharmacy, and applied medical sciences, as well as from 38 community pharmacies. Convenience sampling was used to select research subjects who met the study inclusion criteria. The study’s population included physicians, dentists, pharmacists, nurses, and other health-care providers practicing in hospitals, clinics, or the medical community or working in academia. All participants had at least a bachelor’s degree in a medical specialty; potential participants who did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded from the study.

Instrument

A questionnaire was used to collect information. Participants’ demographic data gender, age, nationality, marital status, professional degree, nature of the job, job title, highest educational level, years of practice, income, and smoking was collected. A standardized research tool, the BREF-WHOQOL [9], was used to measure the quality of life (QOL). The BREF-WHOQOL consists of 26 items that have four domains reflecting QOL: physical health, psychological, social, and environmental. The first domain, the physical health, contains questions related to sleep and resting, fatigue and energy, mobility, discomfort and pain, medical treatment during daily life, and satisfaction with their capability for work. The second domain, the psychological, concentrates on the capability to focus, self-confidence, body image, spirituality, and the frequency of positive or negative moods.

The third domain, the social relationships, consists of questions connected to satisfaction with relationships at a personal level, social assistance, and satisfaction with sexual life. A fourth domain, the environmental, contains questions related to safety, satisfaction with the home and their physical environment, financial fulfillment, accessibility of both health and social care, information and leisure activity, and satisfaction with transportation. Furthermore, overall QOL and general health are captured. Each domain produces a score; the sum of the total scores ranges from 0 and 100, with a high score indicates a better QOL, according to WHOQOL guidelines [9]. The score helps to evaluate people’s perception regarding culture and values and their personal objectives and involvements.

Ethical permission

All procedures performed in this study complied with the ethical standards of Prince Sattam Bin
Abdulaziz University’s Institutional Review Board (IRB) and received research committee approval (no. PSAU/COM/RC/IRB/A/13), and complied with the guidelines of 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its amendments [11]. The study began after receiving approval from the Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University IRB. All participants signed an informed consent form before starting the questionnaire, and all the collected forms were kept strictly confidential and only used for the research. Before data collection, authorization was obtained from the WHO to utilize the WHOQOL-BREF survey.

Data analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to evaluate demographics and the participants' social and specific characteristics. Frequencies with percentages and means with standard deviations were calculated for categorical and continuous variables, respectively. Cronbach's alpha for the four domains of WHOQOL-BREF was determined. To confirm the normality distribution of the data, the Shapiro-Wilk test and Q-Q plots were used. An independent samples t-test and Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to evaluate correlations (agreements) between demographics and domains and to identify the differences in overall HRQoL and its domains. Data from the questionnaire were analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22.0.

RESULTS

The demographic characteristics of the study's participants are presented in Table 1. There were a total of 289 participants, with more females than males (n=153, 52.9 % and n=136, 47.1 % respectively). Two hundred thirty-five (81.3 %) participants were Saudi nationals, whereas 54 (18.7 %) were Non-Saudis. Sixty-seven (23.2 %) had a post-master's level of education and 222 (76.8 %) had a bachelor's or master's level education. One hundred sixty-seven (57.8 %) participants had attended continuous professional development (CPDs) or continuous medical education (CMEs) short courses, and 122 did not.

Table 2 depicts the participants’ responses against each item of the questionnaire. The Cronbach’s alpha for the entire WHOQOL-BREF was 0.915. The Cronbach’s alpha for the physical, psychological, social and environmental domains exceeded 0.7. Table 3 presents the mean HRQoL scores for all four domains of WHOQOL-BREF among the participants. The mean score for the physical health domain was 67.01 ± 13.67. Mean scores for the psychological, social relationships, and environmental domains were 71.27 ± 14.15, 71.92 ± 17.06, and 68.00 ± 14.73, respectively.

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of the Study Participants (n = 289)

| Description         | Frequency | %   |
|---------------------|-----------|-----|
| Gender              |           |     |
| Male                | 136       | 47.1|
| Female              | 153       | 52.9|
| Nationality         |           |     |
| Saudi               | 54        | 18.7|
| Non-Saudi           | 235       | 81.3|
| Marital status      |           |     |
| Single/separated/   | 89        | 30.8|
| married             | 200       | 69.2|
| Highest education   |           |     |
| Doctorate/Residency | 67        | 23.2|
| Bachelor/Master     | 222       | 76.8|
| Experience          |           |     |
| <10 years           | 169       | 58.5|
| >10 years           | 120       | 41.5|
| Income              |           |     |
| <4000 USD           | 237       | 82.0|
| >4000 USD           | 52        | 18.0|
| CPDs/CMEs           |           |     |
| Yes                 | 167       | 57.8|
| No                  | 122       | 42.2|

1 USD = 3.75 SAR; CPD = Continuing Professional Development; CME= Continuing Medical Education

Table 4 shows the correlations between the four different domains of WHOQOL-BREF. Based on the observed findings, statistically significant positive correlations were noted between all four domains of WHOQOL-BREF. There was also a statistically significant positive correlation between the first two WHOQOL-BREF questions, that is, QoL and overall health satisfaction status, and scores obtained from different domains. The strength of correlation among QoL and overall health status was moderately-strong (Pearson’s r >0.4), whereas four domains showed low-moderate, strongly-moderate, and strong correlations (Pearson’s r ranged from 0.214 to 0.694).

Table 5 represents correlation coefficients and the bivariate relationship between demographic variables and the mean domain scores. Statistically significant differences were observed between the scores for marital status and the social and environmental domain (p = 0.02 and 0.002, respectively). Married participants had higher scores than singles. Respondents had significantly higher HRQoL scores in the social domain (73.47 ± 16.64) than in the environmental domain (69.80 ± 14.47).
Table 2: Distribution of WHOQOL-BREF Items’ Responses (frequency)

| WHOQOL-BREF items                  | Very Poor | Poor | Average | Good | Very Good |
|------------------------------------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------|
| QoL Rating                          | 1         | 6    | 25      | 162  | 95        |
| Health Satisfaction Status          | 4         | 10   | 35      | 179  | 61        |
| Get around Ability                  | 2         | 29   | 81      | 139  | 38        |
| Sleep Satisfaction                  | 9         | 28   | 90      | 135  | 27        |
| Body Appearance                     | 9         | 9    | 77      | 112  | 82        |
| Negative Feelings                   | 8         | 25   | 89      | 132  | 35        |
| Relationship Satisfaction           | 1         | 8    | 49      | 154  | 77        |
| Sexual Satisfaction                 | 19        | 15   | 57      | 135  | 63        |
| Life Safety                         | 1         | 3    | 99      | 131  | 55        |
| Healthy Environment                 | 4         | 19   | 101     | 131  | 34        |
| Financial Satisfaction              | 2         | 18   | 94      | 122  | 53        |
| Leisure Activities                  | 9         | 55   | 115     | 93   | 17        |
| Healthcare Satisfaction             | 3         | 19   | 78      | 142  | 47        |
| Transport Satisfaction              | 13        | 23   | 65      | 145  | 43        |

Table 3: Mean HRQoL Scores for Four Domains of WHOQOL-BREF

| Domain                | HRQoL scores (mean±SD) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Physical domain       | 67.01±13.67             |
| Psychological Domain  | 71.27±14.15             |
| Social Relationship   | 71.92±17.06             |
| Environment Domain    | 68.00±14.73             |

Statistically significant differences were observed between the scores for physical domain and environmental domain against highest education level (p = 0.022 and 0.006, respectively) whereby the highly educated participants achieved the better scores than the other group. Statistically significant differences were also observed for physical and environmental domains against experience and income levels. Participants who attended CPDs or CMEs showed significantly higher HRQoL scores for the physical and psychological domains. Correlations between demographics and the WHOQOL-BREF domains are shown in Table 6. Statistically significant positive and negative correlations were observed between various demographic variables and the WHOQOL-BREF domains (Pearson’s “r” ranged from -0.166 to 0.206).

DISCUSSION

This study confirmed that HCPs in Saudi Arabia are moderately satisfied with their HRQoL in social, psychological and environment domains and are relatively less satisfied in their physical domain. Overall, the HCPs have better access to good health-care facilities, self-esteem, and social circles. This study also verified that married HCPs were more satisfied in their social and environmental domains than the singles. It was observed that highly educated HCPs had a better understanding of their own and their patients' disease states, which obviously helped them choose precise treatment regimens. In the past decade, HRQoL has been an emergent concept and an important treatment outcome parameter in assessing individuals’ general health state and monitoring treatment efficacy and overall disease management [2-4]. This study determined HRQoL in the four different domains of WHOQOL-BREF among HCPs. These days, the severity of the chronic diseases demand that HCPs pay due attention to their own HRQoL and that of their patients. Researchers from across the globe have explored different aspects of HRQoL among HCPs, there are a limited number of literature exploring this phenomenon from Saudi Arabia.

Table 4: Correlation coefficients in overall health and domains of WHOQOL-BREF

| Factor* | QoL | Overall | Physical | Psychological | Social | Environmental |
|---------|-----|---------|----------|---------------|--------|---------------|
| QoL     | 1   |         |          |               |        |               |
| Overall | 0.570 | 1       |          |               |        |               |
| Physical| 0.398 | 0.390  | 1        |               |        |               |
| Psychological| 0.482 | 0.521 | 0.641 | 1               |
| Social  | 0.253 | 0.214  | 0.464 | 0.548          | 1      |
| Environmental| 0.371 | 0.331 | 0.694 | 0.625 | 0.54 | 1             |

*All the correlation coefficients were significant at p < 0.001
Table 5: Comparison of WHOQOL-BREF mean scores based on sociodemographic factors

| Variable          | QoL   | Overall | Physical | Psychological | Social | Environmental |
|-------------------|-------|---------|----------|---------------|--------|---------------|
| **Gender**        |       |         |          |               |        |               |
| Male              | 4.12  | 4.00    | 67.37    | 70.72         | 71.35  | 69.29         |
| Female            | 4.24  | 3.96    | 66.69    | 71.77         | 72.42  | 66.86         |
| **Nationality**   |       |         |          |               |        |               |
| Saudi             | 4.22  | 3.79    | 65.62    | 70.01         | 71.55  | 69.57         |
| Non-Saudi         | 4.18  | 4.02    | 67.33    | 71.56         | 72.00  | 67.64         |
| **Marital status**|       |         |          |               |        |               |
| Single/Separated  | 4.23  | 4.07    | 65.10    | 71.39         | 68.43  | 63.97**       |
| Married           | 4.17  | 3.93    | 67.87    | 73.47         | 73.70  | 68.80**       |
| **Highest education** |   |         |          |               |        |               |
| Doctorate/residency | 4.14 | 3.94    | 70.35    | 72.38         | 74.14  | 72.34**       |
| Bachelor/master   | 4.20  | 3.99    | 66.00    | 70.94         | 71.24  | 66.69         |
| **Experience**    |       |         |          |               |        |               |
| <10 years         | 4.19  | 3.98    | 65.17    | 70.66         | 70.65  | 65.69***      |
| >10 years         | 4.18  | 3.96    | 67.33    | 72.13         | 73.70  | 71.26***      |
| **Income**        |       |         |          |               |        |               |
| <4000 USD         | 4.18  | 3.98    | 66.05    | 70.64         | 71.13  | 68.59***      |
| >4000 USD         | 4.21  | 3.94    | 71.38    | 74.15         | 75.48  | 74.46***      |
| CPDs/CMEs³        |       |         |          |               |        |               |
| Yes               | 4.22  | 4.05    | 68.83    | 73.28         | 73.38  | 70.04**       |
| No                | 4.13  | 3.87    | 64.53    | 68.53         | 69.91  | 65.22***      |

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001; 1 USD = 3.75 SAR; CPD= Continuing Professional Development; CME= Continuing Medical Education

Table 6: Correlation between demographic variables and different domains of WHOQOL-BREF

| Variable          | QoL  | Overall | Physical | Psychological | Social | Environment |
|-------------------|------|---------|----------|---------------|--------|-------------|
| Gender            | .088 | -.025   | -.025    | .037          | .031   | -.082       |
| Race              | -.022| .114    | .049     | .043          | .010   | -.051       |
| Marital Status    | -.043| -.086   | .094     | -.005         | .136   | .183**      |
| Education         | .032 | .028    | -.134*   | -.043         | -.072  | -.162**     |
| Experience        | -.008| -.014   | .160**   | .051          | .088   | .187**      |
| Income            | .014 | -.022   | .150*    | .095          | .098   | .206***     |
| CPDs/CMEs³        | -.062| -.113   | -.156*** | -.166**       | -.100  | -.162**     |

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001; ³continuous professional development (CPDs) or continuous medical education (CMEs)

Frequent access to medication use, annoyance, a variety of treatment regimens, and positive and negative psychological impacts are among the major concerns affecting HRQoL among HCPs [12,13]. In the current study, among the four domains of WHOQOL-BREF, the highest mean score (satisfaction level) was found in the social domain, possibly due to good health-care facilities, body appearance, no negative feelings, more positive feelings, a greater level of self-esteem, highly religious, spiritual applicability, personal beliefs, better memory, less dependence on self-pocket expenses, and acquiring better health-care needs [14].

Moreover, the lowest mean score (satisfaction level) was observed for the physical domain (67.01 ± 13.67), indicating compromised activities of daily living, more dependence on medical treatment and health assistant, less mobility and more discomfort, fatigue, and less work capacity. Conversely, good mean scores were observed for the psychological and environment domains (71.27 ± 14.15 and 68.00 ± 14.73, respectively), showing good individual relationships, greater social support and satisfactory sexual activities, good financial means, openings for new knowledge and skills, freedom in religious activities, better safety and security, free access to quality health care, and frequent access to cheap and convenient transportation [11-14]. This study found that income level has an important impact on individuals HRQoL. Those earning more than 4,000 USD (15,000 SAR) scored much higher in almost every domain of WHOQOL-BREF than the other groups. These differences may be due to better economic and social conditions with better access to financial resources, the quality of their health care, and better access to opportunities to acquire the latest medical information. Excellent lifestyle and high earnings significantly improved HRQoL scores in the main domains; physical, psychological, social, and environmental. Another contributing factor may be their social circle: Spending more time than with families and friends may positively affect their personal and social relationships.
Undeniably, better income appears to be a unique predictor of improved HRQoL [12-15]. According to the findings of another study, income was significantly associated with the psychological and environmental domains and overall general health satisfaction states [15].

Higher education level often advances self-interest and involvement in improving general health states, which is a key determinant of self-satisfaction. It is also a common observation that highly educated HCPs have a better understanding of dosages, treatment regimens, and disease management [16]. In addition to pharmacotherapy, they are more likely to acclimatize their lifestyle and adopt preventive measures, resulting in improvement in overall HRQoL [17]. In both developed and developing countries across the globe, more educated individuals are reported to live longer and enjoy better health conditions and status compared to the less educated [14,17]. In our study, highly educated HCPs have a better understanding of their disease states, which helps them better comply when taking medications [13,18]. This finding might be the reason why highly educated HCPs scored better in the HRQoL assessment.

There was a significant difference between married and single HCPs in the social and environmental domains, with higher scores associated with married HCPs. This might be because married life could play a vital role in maintaining good HRQoL, especially at an older age. Mutual understanding, moral support and assistance in tackling various family issues between married couples may positively influence their HRQoL [19]. This result validated previous concerns reported by Malik et al, who found that single health-care professionals experience higher stress levels [20]. This finding is particularly important because HCPs have demanding careers, and job control is significantly correlated with social relationships. Health-care managers can pay particular attention to these factors. Improving the work environment by, for example, promoting and presenting healthy activities and understanding complex social settings, may positively improve work conditions [21]. This study used the WHOQOL-BREF to measure domains pertaining to health, relationships, and environments. The results of this study support previous findings that the social aspect of HRQOL show the highest scores while physical health is the lowest among HCPs [22]. Promoting healthy activities and offering CPDs or CMEs inside the health-care facility might provide an important protective factor for HRQoL among HCPs. This study suggests that improvements, especially in income level predictors, might lead to considerable progress in improving HRQoL among HCPs.

Limitations of the study

The WHOQOL-BREF is also a self-reported research instrument which may present some biasedness in data reporting. Another study limitation noted could be the cross-sectional design of the study. The third limitation could be lack of a control group to make applicable comparisons for the findings obtained. Despite some limitations, the current study reports overall HRQoL among HCPs in Alkharj, Saudi Arabia.

CONCLUSION

The findings from this study confirm that the WHOQOL-BREF research tool is a reliable instrument to measure HRQoL among HCPs in Saudi Arabia. From the obtained data it is evident that HCPs in Saudi Arabia enjoy overall good HRQoL though in some of the variables they showed relatively moderate or lower HRQoL scores. These lower or the negative HRQoL scores are may be due to diverse education levels, non-attendance of the CMEs, increased living costs, inability to work and less availability or enjoyment of social activities. The finding of this study is an imperative contribution in literature for the understanding of the overall HRQoL among HCPs in Saudi Arabia.
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