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Abstract

In some societies, internet users have to create information morphs (e.g. “Peace West King” to refer to “Bo Xilai”) to avoid active censorship or achieve other communication goals. In this paper we aim to solve a new problem of resolving entity morphs to their real targets. We exploit temporal constraints to collect cross-source comparable corpora relevant to any given morph query and identify target candidates. Then we propose various novel similarity measurements including surface features, meta-path based semantic features and social correlation features and combine them in a learning-to-rank framework. Experimental results on Chinese Sina Weibo data demonstrate that our approach is promising and significantly outperforms baseline methods¹.

1 Introduction

Language constantly evolves to maximize communicative success and expressive power in daily social interactions. The proliferation of online social media significantly expedites this evolution, as new phrases triggered by social events may be disseminated rapidly in social media. To automatically analyze such fast evolving language in social media, new computational models are demanded.

In this paper, we focus on one particular language evolution that creates new ways to communicate sensitive subjects because of the existence of internet information censorship. We call this phenomenon information morph. For example, when Chinese online users talk about the former politician “Bo Xilai”, they use a morph “Peace West King” instead, a historical figure four hundred years ago who governed the same region as Bo. Morph can be considered as a special case of alias used for hiding true entities in malicious environment (Hsiung et al., 2005; Pantel, 2006). However, social network plays an important role in generating morphs. Usually morphs are generated by harvesting the collective wisdom of the crowd to achieve certain communication goals. Aside from the purpose of avoiding censorship, other motivations for using morph include expressing sarcasm/irony, positive/negative sentiment or making descriptions more vivid toward some entities or events. Table 1 presents the wide range of cases that are used to create the morphs. We can see that a morph can be either a regular term with new meaning or a newly created term.

| Morph             | Target      | Motivation |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|
| Peace West King   | Bo Xilai    | Sensitive  |
| Blind Man         | Chen Guangcheng | Sensitive |
| Miracle Brother   | Wang Yongping | Irony     |
| Kim Fat           | Kim Jong-il  | Negative   |
| Kimchi Country    | South Korea | Vivid      |

Table 1: Morph Examples and Motivations.

We believe that successful resolution of morphs is a crucial step for automated understanding of the fast evolving social media language, which is important for social media marketing (Barwise and Meehan, 2010). Another application is to help common users without enough background/cultural knowledge to understand internet language for their daily use. Furthermore, our approaches can also be applied for satire or other implicit meaning recognition, as well as information extraction (Bollegala et al., 2011).
However, morph resolution in social media is challenging due to the following reasons. First, the sensitive real targets that exist in the same data source under active censorship are often automatically filtered. Table 2 presents the distributions of some examples of morphs and their targets in English Twitter and Chinese Sina Weibo. For example, the target “Chen Guangcheng” only appears once in Weibo. Thus, the co-occurrence of a morph and its target is quite low in the vast amount of information in social media. Second, most morphs were not created based on pronunciations, spellings or other encryptions of their original targets. Instead, they were created according to semantically related entities in historical and cultural narratives (e.g. “Peace West King” as morph of “Bo Xilai”) and thus very difficult to capture based on typical lexical features. Third, tweets from Twitter/Chinese Weibo are short (only up to 140 characters) and noisy, resulting in difficult extraction of rich and accurate evidences due to the lack of enough contexts.

Table 2: Distributions of Morph Examples

| Morph | Target      | Frequency in Twitter | Frequency in Weibo |
|-------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Hu Ji | Hu Jintao   | 1                    | 3,864              |
| Blind Man       | Chen Guangcheng | 18                  | 2,743              |
| Baby | Wen Jiabao  | 2238                 | 2021               |

Figure 1: Overview of Morph Resolution

Given a morph query \( m \), the goal of morph resolution is to find its real target. Figure 1 depicts the general procedure of our approach. It consists of two main sub-tasks:

- **Target Candidate Identification:** For each \( m \), discover a list of target candidates \( E = \{e_1, e_2, ..., e_N\} \). First, relevant comparable data sets that include \( m \) are retrieved. In this paper we collect comparable censored data from Weibo and uncensored data from Twitter and Web documents such as news articles. We then apply various annotations such as word segmentation, part-of-speech tagging, noun phrase chunking, name tagging and event extraction to these data sets.

- **Target Candidate Ranking:** Rank the target candidates in \( E \). We explore various features including surface, semantic and social features, and incorporate them into a learning to
rank framework. Finally, the top ranked candidate is produced as the resolved target.

3 Target Candidate Identification

The general goal of the first step is to identify a list of target candidates for each morph query from the comparable corpora including Sina Weibo, Chinese News websites and English Twitter. However, obviously we cannot consider all of the named entities in these sources as target candidates due to the sheer volume of information. In addition, morphs are not limited to named entity forms. In order to narrow down the scope of target candidates, we propose a Temporal Distribution Assumption as follows. The intuition is that a morph \( m \) and its real target \( e \) should have similar temporal distributions in terms of their occurrences. Suppose the data sets are separated into \( Z \) temporal slots (e.g. by day), the assumption can be stated as:

\[
\text{Let } T_m = \{t_{m1}, t_{m2}, ..., t_{mZm}\} \text{ be the set of temporal slots each morph } m \text{ occurs, and } T_e = \{t_{e1}, t_{e2}, ..., t_{eZe}\} \text{ be the set of slots a target candidate } e \text{ occurs. Then } e \text{ is considered as a target candidate of } m \text{ if and only if, for each } t_{mi} \in T_m (i = 1, 2, ..., Z_m), \text{ there exist a } j \in \{1, 2, ..., Ze\} \text{ such that } t_{mi} - t_{ej} \leq \delta, \text{ where } \delta \text{ is a threshold value (in this paper we set the threshold to 7 days, which is optimized from a development set). For comparison we also attempted topic modeling approach to detect target candidates, as shown in section 5.3.}
\]

4 Target Candidate Ranking

Next, we propose a learning-to-rank framework to rank target candidates based on various levels of novel features based on surface, semantic and social analysis.

4.1 Surface Features

We first extract surface features between the morph and the candidate based on measuring orthographic similarity measures which were commonly used in entity coreference resolution (e.g. (Ng, 2010; Hsiung et al., 2005)). The measures we use include “string edit distance”, “normalized string edit distance” (Wagner and Fischer, 1974) and “longest common subsequence” (Hirschberg, 1977).

4.2 Semantic Features

4.2.1 Motivations

Fortunately, although a morph and its target may have very different orthographic forms, they tend to be embedded in similar semantic contexts which involve similar topics and events. Figure 2 presents some example messages under censorship (Weibo) and not under censorship (Twitter and Chinese Daily). We can see that they include similar topics, events (e.g., “fell from power”, “gang crackdown”, “sing red songs”), and semantic relations (e.g., family relations with “Bo Guagua”). Therefore if we can automatically extract and exploit these indicative semantic contexts, we can narrow down the real targets effectively.

4.2.2 Information Network Construction

We define an information network as a directed graph \( G = (V, E) \) with an object type mapping function \( \tau : V \rightarrow A \) and a link type mapping function \( \phi : E \rightarrow R \), where each object \( v \in V \) belongs to one particular object type \( \tau(v) \in A \), each link \( e \in E \) belongs to a particular relation \( \phi(e) \in R \). If two links belong to the same relation type, then they share the same starting object type as well as the same ending object type. An information network is homogeneous if and only if there is only one type for both objects and links, and an information network is heterogeneous when the objects are from multiple distinct types or there exist more than one type of links.

In order to construct the information networks for morphs, we apply the Standford Chinese word
segmenter with Chinese Penn Treebank segmentation standard (Chang et al., 2008) and Stanford part-of-speech tagger (Toutanova et al., 2003) to process each sentence in the comparable data sets. Then we apply a hierarchical Hidden Markov Model (HMM) based Chinese lexical analyzer ICTCLAS (Zhang et al., 2003) to extract named entities, noun phrases and events.

We have also attempted using the results from Dependency Parsing, Relation Extraction and Event Extraction tools (Ji and Grishman, 2008) to enrich the link types. Unfortunately the state-of-the-art techniques for these tasks still perform poorly on social media in terms of both accuracy and coverage of important information, these sophisticated semantic links all produced negative impact on the target ranking performance. Therefore we limited the types of vertices into: *Morph* (M), *Entity* (E), which includes target candidates, *Event* (EV), and *Non-Entity Noun Phrases* (NP); and used co-occurrence as the edge type. We extract entities, events, and non-entity noun phrases that occur in more than one tweet as neighbors. For the determined meta-paths, we extract semantic features using the similarity measures proposed in (Sun et al., 2011a; Sun et al., 2011b), which are defined over heterogeneous networks to extract semantic features. A meta-path is a path defined over a network, and composed of a sequence of relations between different object types. For example, as shown in Figure 3, a morph and its target candidate can be connected by three meta-paths, including “M - E - E”, “M - EV - E”, and “M - NP - E”. Intuitively, each meta-path provides a unique angle to measure how similar two objects are.

For the determined meta-paths, we extract semantic features using the similarity measures proposed in (Sun et al., 2011a; Hsiung et al., 2005). We denote the neighbor sets of certain type for a morph $m$ and a target candidate $e$ as $\Gamma(m)$ and $\Gamma(e)$, and a meta-path as $\mathcal{P}$. We now list several meta-path-based similarity measures below.

**Common neighbors (CN).** It measures the number of common neighbors that $m$ and $e$ share as $|\Gamma(m) \cap \Gamma(e)|$.

**Path count (PC).** It measures the number of path instances between $m$ and $e$ following meta-path $\mathcal{P}$.

**Pairwise random walk (PRW).** For a meta-path $\mathcal{P}$ that can be decomposed into two shorter

---

**Figure 3: Network Schema of Morph-Related Heterogeneous Information Network**

![Network Schema](image)

**Figure 4: Example of Morph-Related Heterogeneous Information Network**

Since he governed it, and if a morph $m$ which is also highly correlated with “重庆 (Chongqing)”, it is very likely that “Bo Xilai” is the real target of $m$. Therefore, the semantic features generated from neighbors such as the entity “重庆 (Chongqing)” should be treated differently from other types of neighbors such as “人才 (talented people)”).

In this work, we propose to measure the similarity of two nodes over heterogeneous networks as shown in Figure 3, by distinguishing neighbors into three types according to the network schema (i.e. entities, events, non-entity noun phrases). We then adopt meta-path-based similarity measures (Sun et al., 2011a; Hsiung et al., 2005), which are defined over heterogeneous networks to extract semantic features. A meta-path is a path defined over a network, and composed of a sequence of relations between different object types. For example, as shown in Figure 3, a morph and its target candidate can be connected by three meta-paths, including “M - E - E”, “M - EV - E”, and “M - NP - E”. Intuitively, each meta-path provides a unique angle to measure how similar two objects are.
meta-paths with the same length $P = (P_1P_2)$, pairwise random walk measures the probability of the pairwise random walk starting from both $m$ and $e$ and reaching the same middle object. More formally, it is computed as $\sum_{(p_1,p_2)\in (P_1P_2)} \text{prob}(p_1) \text{prob}(p_2^{-1})$, where $p_2^{-1}$ is the inverse of $p_2$.

Kullback-Leibler distance (KLD). For $m$ and $e$, the pairwise random walk probability of their neighbors can be represented as two probability vectors, then Kullback-Leibler distance (Hsiung et al., 2005) can be used to compute $\text{sim}(m,e)$.

Beyond the above similarity measures, we also propose to use cosine-similarity-style normalization method to modify common neighbor and pairwise random walk measures so that we can ensure the morph node and the target candidate node are strongly connected and also have similar popularity. The modified algorithms penalize features involved with the highly popular objects, since they are more likely to have accidental interactions with each other.

Normalized common neighbors (NCN). Normalized common neighbors can be measured as $\text{sim}(m,e) = \frac{\left|\Gamma(m) \cap \Gamma(e)\right|}{\sqrt{|\Gamma(m)| \sqrt{|\Gamma(e)|}}}$. It refines the simple counting of common neighbors by avoiding bias to highly visible or concentrated objects.

Pairwise random walk/cosine (PRW/cosine). Pairwise random walk measures linkage weights disproportionately with their visibility to their neighbors, which may be too strong. Instead, we propose to use a tamer normalization method as $\sum_{(p_1,p_2)\in (P_1P_2)} f(p_1) f(p_2^{-1})$, where,

$$f(p_1) = \frac{\text{count}(m,x)}{\sqrt{\sum_{x \in \Omega} \text{count}(m,x)}},$$

$$f(p_2) = \frac{\text{count}(e,x)}{\sqrt{\sum_{x \in \Omega} \text{count}(e,x)}},$$

and $\Omega$ is the set of middle objects connecting the decomposed meta-paths $p_1$ and $p_2^{-1}$, $\text{count}(y,x)$ is the total number of paths between $y$ and the middle object $x$, $y$ could be $m$ or $e$.

The above similarity measures can also be applied to homogeneous networks that do not differentiate the neighbor types.

4.2.4 Global Semantic Feature Generation

A morph tends to have higher temporal correlation with its real target, and share more similar topics compared to other irrelevant targets. Therefore, we propose to incorporate temporal information into similarity measures to generate global semantic features.

Let $T = t_1 \cup t_2 \cup \ldots \cup t_N$ be a set of temporal slots (i.e. by day), $E$ be the set of target candidates for each morph $m$. Then for each $t_i \in T$, and each $e \in E$, the local semantic features $\text{sim}_{t_i}(m,e)$ is extracted based only on the information posted within $t_i$ using one of the similarity measures introduced in Section 4.2.3. Then we propose two approaches to generate global semantic features. The first approach is adding the similarity score between $m$ and $e$ in each temporal slot to attain the first set of global features:

$$\text{sim}_{\text{global}}(m,e) = \sum_{t_i \in T} \text{sim}_{t_i}(m,e).$$

The second method first normalizes the similarity score in each temporal slot $t_i$, then sum the normalized scores to generate the second set of global features, which can be calculated as

$$\text{sim}_{\text{global, norm}}(m,e) = \sum_{t_i \in T} \text{norm}_{t_i}(m,e).$$

where $\text{norm}_{t_i}(m,e) = \frac{\text{sim}_{t_i}(m,e)}{\sum_{e \in E} \text{sim}_{t_i}(m,e)}$.

4.2.5 Integrate Cross Source/Cross Genre Information

Due to internet information censorship or surveillance, users may need to use morphs to post sensitive information. For example, the Chinese Weibo message “都进去了，还要顶着不厚吗 (Already put in prison, still need to serve Buhou?” include a morph 不厚 (Buhou). In contrast, users are less restricted in some other uncensored social media such as Twitter. For example, the tweet from Twitter “...把薄熙来称作“平西王”或者“不厚”... (...call Bo Xilai ‘peace west king’ or “buhou”...) contains both the morph and the real target 薄熙来 (Bo Xilai). Therefore, we propose to integrate information from another source (e.g. Twitter) to help resolution of sensitive morphs in Weibo.

Another difficulty from morph resolution in microblogging is that tweets are only allowed to contain maximum 140 characters with a lot of noise and diverse topics. The shortness and diversity of tweets may limit the power of content analysis for semantic feature extraction. However, formal genres such as web documents are cleaner and contain richer contexts, thus can provide more topicually related information. In this work, we also exploit the background web documents from the
embedded URLs in tweets to enrich information network construction. After applying the same annotation techniques as tweets for uncensored data sets, sentence-level co-occurrence relations are extracted and integrated into the network as shown in Figure 3.

4.3 Social Features
It has been shown that there exist correlation between neighbors in social networks (Anagnostopoulos et al., 2008; Wen and Lin, 2010). Because of such social correlation, close social neighbors in social media such as Twitter and Weibo may post similar information, or share similar opinion. Therefore, we can utilize social correlation to assist in resolving morphs.

As social correlation can be defined as a function of social distance between a pair of users, we use social distance as a proxy to social correlation in our approach. The social distance between user $i$ and $j$ is defined by considering the degree of separation in their interaction (e.g. retweeting and mentioning) and the amount of the interaction. Similar definition has been shown effective in characterizing social distance in social networks extracted from communication data (Lin et al., 2012; Wen and Lin, 2010). Specifically, it is $\text{dist}(i, j) = \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \frac{1}{\text{strength}(v_k, v_{k+1})}$, where $v_1, ..., v_k$ are the nodes on the shortest path from user $i$ to user $j$, and $\text{strength}(v_k, v_{k+1})$ measures the strength of interactions between $v_k$ and $v_{k+1}$ as: $\text{strength}(i, j) = \frac{\log(X_{ij})}{\max_{t \in T} \log(X_{ij})}$, where $X_{ij}$ is the total interactions between user $i$ and $j$, including both retweeting and mentioning (If $X_{ij} < 10$, we set $\text{strength}(i, j) = 0$).

We integrate social correlation and temporal information to define our social features. The intuition is that when a morph is used by an user, the real target may also in the posts by the user or his/her close friends within a certain time period. Let $T$ be the set of temporal slots a morph $m$ occurs, $U_t$ be the set of users whose posts include $m$ in slot $t$ where $t \in T$, and $U_c$ be the set of close friends (i.e., social distance $< 0.5$) for $U_t$. The social features are defined as

$$s(m, e) = \sum_{t \in T} f(e, t, U_t, U_c) / |T|,$$

where $f(e, t, U_t, U_c)$ is a indicator function which return 1 if one of the users in $U_t$ or $U_c$ posts tweets include the target candidate $e$ within 7 days before $t$.

4.4 Learning-to-Rank
Similar to (Hsiung et al., 2005; Sun et al., 2011a), we then model the probability of linkage prediction between a morph $m$ and its target candidate $e$ as a function incorporating the surface, semantic and social features. Given a training pair $\langle m, e \rangle$, we choose the standard logistic regression model to learn weights for the features defined above. The learnt model is used to predict the probability of linking an unseen morph and its target candidate. Based on the descending ranking order of the probability, we select top $k$ candidates as the final answers based on the answer size $k$.

5 Experiments

Next, we present the experiment under various settings shown in Table 3, and the impacts of cross source and cross genre information.

5.1 Data and Evaluation Metric

We collected 1,553,347 tweets from Chinese Sina Weibo from May 1 to June 30 to construct the censored data set, and retrieved 66,559 web documents from the embedded URLs in tweets as the initial uncensored data set. Retweets and redundant web documents are filtered to ensure more reliable frequency counting of co-occurrence relations. We asked two native Chinese annotators to analyze the data, and construct a test set consisted of 107 morph entities (81 persons and 26 locations) and their real targets as our references. We verified the references by Web resources including the summary of popular morphs in Wikipedia. In addition, we used 23 sensitive morphs and the entities that appear in the tweets as queries and retrieved 25,128 Chinese tweets from 10% Twitter feeds within the same time period, as well as 7,473 web documents from the embedded URLs and added them into the uncensored data set.

To evaluate the system performance, we use leave-one-out cross validation by computing accuracy as $\text{Acc@k} = \frac{C_k}{Q}$, where $C_k$ is the total number of correctly resolved morphs at top $k$ ranked answers, and $Q$ is the total number of morph queries. We consider a morph as correctly resolved at the top $k$ answers if the top $k$ answer set contains the real target of the morph.

---

3http://zh.wikipedia.org/wiki/中国大陆网络语言列表
5.2 Resolution Performance

5.2.1 Single Genre Information

Table 3: Description of feature sets. * Glob only uses the same set of similarity measures when combined with other semantic features.

Table 4: The System Performance Based on Each Single Feature Set.

Table 5: The System Performance Based on Combinations of Surface and Semantic Features.

5.2.2 Cross Source and Cross Genre Information

We integrate the cross source information from Twitter, and the cross genre information from web documents into Weibo tweets for information network construction, and extract a new set of semantic features. Table 6 shows that further gains can be achieved. Notice that integrating tweets from Twitter mainly improves the ranking for top $k$ where $k > 1$. This is because Weibo dominates our dataset, and in Weibo many of these sensitive morphs are mostly used with their traditional meanings instead of the morph senses. Further performance improvement is achieved by integrating information from background formal web documents which can provide richer context and relations.
5.2.3 Effects of Social Features

Table 7 shows that adding social features can improve the best performance achieved so far. This is because a group of people with close relationships may share similar opinions. As an example, two tweets “...of course the reputation of Buhou is a little too high! //@User1://@User2: Chongqing event tells us...)” and “...do not follow Bo Xilai...@User1...)” are from two users in the same social group. One includes a morph “Buhou” and the other includes its target “Bo Xilai”.

Table 6: The System Performance of Integrating Cross Source and Cross Genre Information.

Table 7: The Effects of Social Features.

5.3 Effects of Candidate Detection

The performance with and without candidate detection step (using all features) is shown in Table 8. The gain is small since the combination of all features in the learning to rank framework can already well capture the relationship between a morph and a target candidate. Nevertheless, the temporal distribution assumption is effective. It helps to filter out 80% of unrelated targets and speed up the system 5 times, while retain 98.5% of the morph candidates that can be detected.

Table 8: The Effects of Temporal Constraint

| Features | Surf + | Surf + | Surf + | Surf + |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|          | HomB   | HomE   | HetB   | HetE   |
| Acc@1    | 0.290  | 0.341  | 0.322  | 0.346  |
| Acc@5    | 0.505  | 0.495  | 0.528  | 0.533  |
| Acc@10   | 0.551  | 0.551  | 0.579  | 0.584  |
| Acc@20   | 0.594  | 0.603  | 0.636  | 0.631  |

Table 9: Accuracy of Target Candidate Detection

5.4 Discussions

Compared with the standard alias detection (“Surf+HomB”) approach (Hsiung et al., 2005), our proposed approach achieves significantly better performance (99.9% confidence level by the Wilcoxon Matched-Pairs Signed-Ranks Test for Acc@1). We further explore two types of factors which may affect the system performance as follows.

One important aspect affecting the resolution performance is the morph & non-morph ambiguity. We categorize a morph query as “Unique” if the string is mainly used as a morph when it occurs, such as “薄谷 (Bodu)” which is used to refer to “Bo Xilai”; otherwise as “Common” (e.g. “宝宝 (Baby)”, “校长 (President)” ). Table 10 presents the separate scores for these two categories. We can see that the morphs in “Unique”
category have much better resolution performance than those in “Common” category.

| Category | Number | Acc@1 | Acc@5 | Acc@10 | Acc@20 |
|----------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| Unique   | 72     | 0.479 | 0.715 | 0.771  | 0.819  |
| Common   | 35     | 0.171 | 0.343 | 0.40   | 0.429  |

Table 10: Performance of Two Categories

We also investigate the effects of popularity of morphs on the resolution performance. We split the queries into 5 bins with equal size based on the non-descending frequency, and evaluate Acc@1 separately. As shown in Table11, we can see that the popularity is not highly correlated with the performance.

| Rank | 20% ∼ | 40% ∼ | 60% ∼ | 80% ∼ | 100% ∼ |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| All  | 0.333 | 0.476 | 0.341 | 0.429 | 0.318  |
| Unique | 0.214 | 0.679 | 0.379 | 0.574 | 0.483  |
| Common | 0.214 | 0.214 | 0.071 | 0.071 | 0.286  |

Table 11: Effects of Popularity of Morphs

6 Related Work

To analyze social media behavior under active censorship, (Bamman et al., 2012) automatically discovered politically sensitive terms from Chinese tweets based on message deletion analysis. In contrast, our work goes beyond target identification by resolving implicit morphs to their real targets.

Our work is closely related to alias detection (Hsiung et al., 2005; Pantel, 2006; Bollegala et al., 2011; Holzer et al., 2005). We demonstrated that state-of-the-art alias detection methods did not perform well on morph resolution. In this paper we exploit cross-genre information and social correlation to measure semantic similarity. (Yang et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2012) also showed the effectiveness of exploiting information from formal web documents to enhance tweet summarization and tweet ranking.

Other similar research lines are the TAC-KBP Entity Linking (EL) (Ji et al., 2010; Ji et al., 2011), which links a named entity in news and web documents to an appropriate knowledge base (KB) entry, the task of mining name translation pairs from comparable corpora (Udupa et al., 2009; Ji, 2009; Fung and Yee, 1998; Rapp, 1999; Shao and Ng, 2004; Hassan et al., 2007) and the link prediction problem (Adamic and Adar, 2001; Liben-Nowell and Kleinberg, 2003; Sun et al., 2011b; Hasan et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2011a). Most of the work focused on unstructured or structured data with clean and rich relations (e.g. DBLP). In contrast, our work constructs heterogeneous information networks from unstructured, noisy multi-genre text without explicit entity attributes.

7 Conclusion and Future Work

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work of resolving implicit information morphs from the data under active censorship. Our promising results can well serve as a benchmark for this new problem. Both of the Meta-path based and social correlation based semantic similarity measurements are proven powerful and complementary.

In this paper we have focused on entity morphs. In the future we will extend our method to discover other types of information morphs, such as events and nominal mentions. In addition, automatic identification of candidate morphs is another challenging task, especially when the mentions are ambiguous and can also refer to other real entities. Our ongoing work includes identifying candidate morphs from scratch, as well as discovering morphs for a given target based on anomaly analysis and textual coherence modeling.
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