Additive Value of 3T 3D CISS Imaging to Conventional MRI for Assessing the Abnormal Vessels of Spinal Dural Arteriovenous Fistulae
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Introduction: We investigated the additive value of the 3T 3D constructive interference in steady state (CISS) sequence to conventional MRI for the evaluation of spinal dural arteriovenous fistulae (SDAVF).

Materials and Methods: We included 16 consecutive patients (15 men, 1 woman; age range 42–81 years; mean 64 years) with SDAVF who underwent 3T MRI and digital subtraction angiography (DSA) before treatment. Two neuroradiologists independently evaluated the presence of abnormal vessels on 3D CISS-, T2- and T1-weighted images (T1WI, T2WI), and contrast-enhanced T1WI using a 3-point grading system. Interobserver agreement was assessed by calculating the $\kappa$ coefficient.

Results: The SDAVF site was the cervical region in one patient, the thoracic region in 12 patients, the lumbar region in two, and the sacral region in one. For the visualization of abnormal vessels, the mean score was significantly higher for 3D CISS than the other sequences ($P < 0.05$). In 12 of 16 cases (75%) both readers made definite positive findings on additional 3D-CISS images. Interobserver agreement was excellent for 3D CISS images ($\kappa = 1.0$), good for T1WI ($\kappa = 0.78$; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.54–1.00) and T2WI ($\kappa = 0.74$; 95% CI 0.48–1.00) and moderate for contrast-enhanced T1WI (CET1WI) ($\kappa = 0.50$; 95% CI 0.21–0.80).

Conclusion: For the assessment of abnormal vessels of SDAVF, the 3T 3D CISS sequence adds value to conventional MRI.
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Introduction

Spinal dural arteriovenous fistulae (SDAVF) have been under-diagnosed. If not treated adequately, they can lead to considerable morbidity with progressive spinal cord symptoms. SDAVF are predominantly seen in elderly men. Initially, the symptoms and signs of SDAVF can mimic degenerative spine disease or infectious or transverse myelopathy. The symptoms can persist for several months before a correct diagnosis is made. MRI usually exhibits characteristic findings of intramedullary T2 hyperintensity, variable intramedullary and leptomeningeal enhancement, a mild to moderate mass effect, and tortuous, exuberant vascular flow voids along the dorsal spinal cord, primarily involving the thoracic spinal cord and conus medullaris. Tortuous and exuberant vessels were seen in 35–91% of patients on conventional MRI, i.e., T2- and T1-weighted images (T1WI, T2WI), and on contrast-enhanced T1WI (CET1WI). However, if the shunt volume is small, they might not be seen on conventional sequences.

In the evaluation of SDAVF, coiled or serpentine vascular structures may be better appreciated on heavily T2-weighted than on standard T2-weighted images. The constructive interference in steady state (CISS) sequence can yield high-resolution images with good contrast between cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and intradural vessels. While there are a few case reports documenting the usefulness of CISS sequences for the identification of abnormal vessels of SDAVF, the additive value of 3D CISS imaging...
to conventional MRI for the evaluation of SDAVF has not been systematically investigated. The purpose of this study was to assess the additive value of 3T 3D-CISS imaging to conventional MRI for the evaluation of the abnormal vessels of SDAVF.

Materials and Methods

Study population and MR technique

We declare that all human studies have been approved by the Kumamoto University Hospital ethics committee and have therefore been performed in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. This retrospective study was approved by the ethics committee, and informed consent was waived. We retrospectively assessed 16 consecutive patients with SDAVF diagnosed on digital subtraction angiography (DSA) at Kumamoto University Hospital. They were 15 men and 1 woman ranging in age from 42 to 81 years (mean 64 years). All scans were acquired on a 3T MR unit (Magnetom Trio; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) with a spinal matrix coil; DSA was performed preoperatively. We examined sagittal slabs on the 3D CISS sequences and conventional sagittal and axial 2D-T1WI, T2WI, and CET1WI. All images were acquired with a rectangular FOV to obtain finer spatial resolution in the phase-encoding direction.

The parameters we used were: Sagittal T1W spin-echo (SE) sequences, TR/TE 600/8.6 ms, 1 excitation, section thickness 3 mm, intersection gap 0.3 mm, FOV 280 × 280 mm, matrix 224 × 320, acquisition time = 2 min 54 s; axial T1W SE sequences, TR/TEeff 600/10 ms, 1 excitation, section thickness 3 or 4 mm, intersection gap 0.3 mm, FOV 180 × 180 mm, acquisition time = 3 min 12 s; sagittal T2W fast SE (FSE) sequence, TR/TEeff 3000/70 ms, 1 excitation, section thickness 3 mm, intersection gap 0.3 mm, FOV 280 × 280 mm, matrix 269 × 384, acquisition time = 2 min 16 s; axial T2W FSE sequences, TR/TEeff 4000/70 ms, 1 excitation, section thickness 3 or 4 mm, intersection gap 0.3 mm, FOV 180 × 180 mm, acquisition time = 2 min 24 s; CISS sequence, TR/TE 7.1/2.8 ms, 1 excitation, section thickness 0.5 mm, flip angle 43°, FOV 250 mm, matrix 256 × 512, slab thickness = 32 mm, acquisition time = 3 min 43 s.

The sagittal and axial contrast-enhanced T1W SE sequences were acquired with the same parameters as the precontrast MRI scans and the oblique coronal reconstruction CISS images were created with a multiplanar reconstruction technique.

DSA technique

Diagnostic intra-arterial DSA via a femoral arterial approach was performed in a biplane angiography suite (Allura Xper FD; Philips Healthcare, Best, the Netherlands) by a trained neuroradiologist and/or a neurosurgeon. The angiographic technique included the selective manual injection of 3–5 ml of a 300-mg/ml iodinated nonionic contrast agent into the intended arteries and anteroposterior imaging at a rate of 3 frames/s. The matrix was 2048 × 2048 and the FOV was 42 cm.

To obtain 3D images of the vessels injected from the feeder and of the bony structures, we acquired 3D rotational angiographs on the same angiography system. On a dedicated commercially available workstation (Philips Healthcare) we then reconstructed and analyzed the filling run-volume. The 3D images were reconstructed in a 512 × 512 × 512 matrix with an isotropic voxel size.

Image evaluation

Two readers with 23 and 21 years of experience in neuroangiography consensually evaluated the entire series of DSA images on a picture archiving and communication system (PACS) workstation. Two other observers with 19 and 18 years of experience in diagnostic neuro-MRI who were blinded to clinical information and DSA results independently evaluated the presence of abnormal vessels on 3D CISS images and T1WI, T2WI, and CET1WI on a PACS workstation. They used a 3-point grading system where grade 3 = definitely positive, grade 2 = probably positive, and grade 1 = equivocal or definitely negative. First, the readers analyzed conventional sequences (T1WI, T2WI, and CET1WI), and the 3D CISS images were analyzed 2 weeks later without conventional sequences.

Statistical analysis

We used ß coefficient to assess the interobserver agreement in each type of sequence; ß < 0.20 = poor, 0.21–0.40 = fair, 0.41–0.60 = moderate, 0.61–0.80 = good, 0.81–0.90 = very good, and ß > 0.90 = excellent. The Friedman test and the Scheffe test were used to evaluate the grading differences of the four types of images. Differences of P < 0.05 were considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Tables 1 and 2 shows the summary of clinical findings, MR findings, and grade assessment for the 4 MR sequences in 16 patients with SDAVF. DSA confirmed that the fistulae were located in the cervical region in one-, the thoracic region in 12-, the lumbar region in 2-, and the sacral region in one patient. For the presence of abnormal vessels, 2 readers assigned grade 3 to all 3D CISS images and grade 1 to 14 cases on T1WI. On T2WI, reader 1 assigned grade 3 to 3-, grade 2 to 10-, and grade 1 to 3 cases. Reader 2 recorded as grade 3 in 4-, grade 2 in 7-, and grade 1 in 5 cases. On CET1WI scans reader 1 scored 3 cases as grade 3, 6 as grade 2, and 7 as grade 1; reader 2 judged one case as grade 3, 4 as grade 2, and 11 as grade 1.

Interobserver agreement was excellent for 3D CISS images (ß = 1.0), good for T1WI (ß = 0.78; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.54–1.00) and T2WI (ß = 0.74; 95% CI 0.48–1.00) and moderate for CET1WI (ß = 0.50; 95% CI 0.21–0.80).
Table 1. Summary of 16 patients with spinal dural arteriovenous fistulae

| Case/Age (year)/Sex | Paresthesias | Leg weakness | Symptoms | Disturbances in sensation | Pain | Disturbances in gait | Time from onset to diagnosis (months) |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|----------|--------------------------|------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1/58/M              | -            | +            | +        | -                        | +    | -                    | 3                                    |
| 2/75/M              | -            | +            | -        | +                        | +    | -                    | 4                                    |
| 3/60/M              | -            | +            | -        | +                        | +    | -                    | 3                                    |
| 4/58/M              | +            | +            | +        | -                        | +    | -                    | 12                                   |
| 5/73/M              | -            | +            | +        | -                        | -    | -                    | 8                                    |
| 6/75/F              | -            | +            | -        | +                        | -    | -                    | 6                                    |
| 7/62/M              | +            | +            | +        | -                        | -    | -                    | 28                                   |
| 8/78/M              | -            | +            | -        | -                        | -    | -                    | 9                                    |
| 9/58/M              | -            | +            | -        | -                        | +    | -                    | 7                                    |
| 10/52/M             | -            | -            | -        | -                        | -    | -                    | 13                                   |
| 11/78/M             | +            | +            | +        | -                        | -    | -                    | 6                                    |
| 12/59/M             | +            | +            | +        | -                        | +    | -                    | 10                                   |
| 13/81/M             | -            | +            | -        | -                        | +    | -                    | 4                                    |
| 14/42/M             | -            | +            | -        | -                        | +    | -                    | 13                                   |
| 15/56/M             | +            | +            | -        | -                        | +    | -                    | 20                                   |
| 16/63/M             | +            | +            | +        | -                        | -    | +                    | 16                                   |

M, male; F, female.

Table 2. Summary of MR abnormalities and grade assessment for 4 MR sequences in 16 patients with spinal dural arteriovenous fistulae

| Case | Extent of intramedullary T₂ hyperintensity | Fistula site | Feeder | T₂WI R1 | T₂WI R2 | T₁WI R1 | T₁WI R2 | CET WI R1 | CET WI R2 | CISS R1 | CISS R2 |
|------|-------------------------------------------|--------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| 1    | T3–8                                      | T5           | Intercostal a. | 3       | 3       | 1       | 1       | 3         | 3         | 3       | 3       |
| 2    | T7–conus                                  | T6           | Intercostal a. | 2       | 2       | 1       | 1       | 2         | 1         | 3       | 3       |
| 3    | T8–conus                                  | T7           | Intercostal a. | 2       | 1       | 1       | 1       | 2         | 1         | 3       | 3       |
| 4    | T4–conus                                  | T7           | Intercostal a. | 2       | 2       | 1       | 1       | 2         | 1         | 3       | 3       |
| 5    | T8–12                                     | T7           | Intercostal a. | 3       | 3       | 1       | 1       | 1         | 1         | 3       | 3       |
| 6    | T8–11                                     | T9           | Intercostal a. | 2       | 1       | 1       | 1       | 1         | 1         | 3       | 3       |
| 7    | T5–conus                                  | T10          | Intercostal a. | 2       | 2       | 1       | 1       | 1         | 1         | 3       | 3       |
| 8    | T7–11                                     | T10          | Intercostal a. | 1       | 1       | 1       | 1       | 1         | 1         | 3       | 3       |
| 9    | T5–conus                                  | L3           | Lumbar a.      | 1       | 1       | 1       | 1       | 1         | 1         | 3       | 3       |
| 10   | none                                      | C5           | Vertebral a.    | 2       | 2       | 1       | 1       | 3         | 2         | 3       | 3       |
| 11   | T1–10                                     | T2           | Intercostal a. | 1       | 1       | 1       | 1       | 1         | 1         | 3       | 3       |
| 12   | T4–conus                                  | L1           | Lumbar a.      | 2       | 2       | 1       | 1       | 2         | 2         | 3       | 3       |
| 13   | T5–conus                                  | T7           | Intercostal a. | 2       | 2       | 1       | 1       | 1         | 1         | 3       | 3       |
| 14   | T6–conus                                  | T6           | Intercostal a. | 2       | 3       | 2       | 2       | 2         | 2         | 3       | 3       |
| 15   | T7–conus                                  | S2           | Iliolumbar a.  | 3       | 3       | 3       | 2       | 3         | 2         | 3       | 3       |
| 16   | T7–conus                                  | T11          | Intercostal a. | 2       | 2       | 1       | 1       | 2         | 1         | 3       | 3       |

The presence of abnormal vessels on each sequence was qualitatively evaluated using a 3-point grading system: 3, definitely positive; 2, probably positive; 1, equivocal or definitely negative. C, Cervical; CET WI, contrast-enhanced T₁-weighted imaging; CISS, constructive interference in steady state imaging; L, Lumbar; R1, reader 1; R2, reader 2; S, Sacral; T, thoracic; T₁WI, T₁-weighted imaging; T₂WI, T₂-weighted imaging.
Table 3 shows the comparative result of the mean score of the 4 MR sequences. There were statistical significant differences in mean score among 4 MR sequences ($P < 0.001$). The mean scores were significantly higher for 3D CISS than T2WI, T1WI, and CET1WI ($P < 0.05$). There were no statistical significant differences in the mean score among T2WI, T1WI, and CET1WI.

In 12 of 16 cases (75%) both readers reported definite positive findings when 3D CISS images were added (Fig. 1). After the addition of 3D CISS imaging, the judgment of reader 1 changed from grade 1 to grade 3 in 3 (19%) and from grade 2 to grade 3 in 9 (56%) cases. For reader 2 the change was from grade 1 to grade 3 in 5 (31%) and from grade 2 to grade 3 in 7 (44%) cases.

**Discussion**

We found that 3D CISS imaging had additive values to conventional MRI for demonstrating the abnormal vessels of SDAVF. We attribute the superiority of the 3D CISS technique over the other sequences to its providing the higher spatial resolution essential for the delineation of the abnormal vessels within the CSF. In contrast to submillimeter sections without intersection gaps on 3D CISS sequences, on SE sequences the section thickness and the intersection gaps are usually 3- or 4 mm. The contiguous thin-slice sections on 3D CISS images facilitated the detection of the detailed structure and extent of the abnormal vessels. Also, the 3D CISS sequence yields better contrast between the CSF and solid structures including the spinal cord, dura mater, nerve roots, and venous structures and there are significantly fewer CSF flow artifacts. According to Haacke et al., 3D CISS sequence yields fewer flow artifact than other sequences (e.g. FSE and other gradient-echo techniques) by summing steady state precession fast imaging with steady state precession (FISP) with an alternating-, and reversed FISP (PSIF) with a non-alternating radiofrequency pulse.

Spinal DSA is the diagnostic gold standard for SDAVF; it offers the additional advantage of possible simultaneous treatment, but it is invasive and time-consuming. MRI often suggests the diagnosis non-invasively. MRI findings with the highest sensitivity for SDAVF included intramedullary T2 hyperintensity and exuberant and tortuous vessels on either the ventral or the dorsal side of the spinal cord. The specificity of flow voids, but not of T2 hyperintensity, was very high for spinal AVF. Gilbertson et al. found flow voids on 35% of T1WI- and on 45% of T2WI scans and Hurst and Grossman detected flow voids on 91% of T2WI- and 70% of CET1WI scans. Based on their literature review, Toossi et al. concluded that flow voids were found on 89% of MRI scans of

![Fig. 1](image-url)
patients with SAVF. However, if the shunt volume is small, no abnormal vessels may be observed on conventional sequences.\textsuperscript{1,12} In our 3T MRI study, we observed flow voids on 13\% of T\textsubscript{2}-WI and on 69–81\% of T\textsubscript{2}-WI scans. Krings and Geibprasert\textsuperscript{1} suggested that for the identification of abnormal vessels of SDAVF, heavily T\textsubscript{2}-weighted sequences were more useful than standard T\textsubscript{1}-WI scans. Morris et al.\textsuperscript{2} reported three cases in which MRI with 3D CISS and 3D phase-cycled fast imaging employing steady state acquisition (PC-FIESTA) was useful not only for the documentation but also the localization of difficult-to-find SDAVF. To our knowledge, ours is the first systematic comparison of the usefulness of 3D CISS images and conventional MRI for the evaluation of SDAVF.

As the 3D CISS sequence does not require the use of gadolinium-based contrast agents, it avoids the risk for nephrogenic systemic fibrosis.\textsuperscript{13} Suspecting the presence of abnormal vessels associated with SDAVF is important for their early diagnosis and following treatment. As the 3D CISS sequence does not involve contrast media and provides useful information about the presence of abnormal vessels associated with SDAVF, it should be added to routine MR studies for SDAVF screening. Our study presents several limitations. First, we evaluated only axial and sagittal planes with the SE images. The combination of sagittal, axial, and coronal SE sequences may have resulted in the detection of more abnormal vessels associated with SDAVF; however, it is time-consuming. Thus, we can’t use that for whole spine evaluation in patients with SDAVF in the clinical situation. 3D CISS images can be reconstructed in any desired cross-sections and such reconstructions along a curved or tilted section are especially useful in these patients. Second, although contrast-enhanced 3D gradient-echo sequences may be useful for the evaluation of spinal arteriovenous shunt lesions\textsuperscript{14}, we did not assess them in this study. Further studies are needed to clarify the usefulness of 3D CISS imaging compared with contrast-enhanced 3D gradient-echo sequences. Third, 3D CISS images couldn’t assess the intramedullary abnormalities related with SDAVF. SE T\textsubscript{2}-WI scans can demonstrate intramedullary signal changes of the spinal cord associated with SDAVF. We think that 3D CISS and SE T\textsubscript{2}-WI sequences are complementary imaging methods for the evaluation of SDAVF. Finally, we evaluated only the patients with SDAVF using conventional sequences and CISS images. Since 3D CISS image has a high sensitivity for detection of small structures surrounded by CSF, it remains the possibility that redundant nerve roots of the cauda equine and mild dilated normal veins are interpreted as tortuous abnormal veins associated SDAVF. We think that it is important to detect intramedullary T\textsubscript{2} hyperintensity and tortuous vessels for diagnosis of SDAVF, therefore the combination coronal reconstruction of 3D CISS and SE T\textsubscript{2}-WI sequences are useful for the evaluation of SDAVF. Further studies with comparison between patients with SDAVF and spinal canal stenosis are needed to clarify the usefulness of 3D CISS in the clinical setting.

\section*{Conclusion}
The 3D CISS sequence is useful for evaluating the abnormal vessels of SDAVF and should be added to routine MR examinations in patients suspected of harboring SDAVF.
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