CoVidAffect, real-time monitoring of mood variations following the COVID-19 outbreak in Spain
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The COVID-19 outbreak and the ensuing confinement measures are expected to bear a significant psychological impact on the affected populations. To date, all available studies designed to investigate the psychological effects of this unprecedented global crisis are based on cross-sectional surveys that do not capture emotional variations over time. Here, we present the data from CoVidAffect, a nationwide citizen science project aimed to provide longitudinal data of mood changes following the COVID-19 outbreak in the Spanish territory. Spain is among the most affected countries by the pandemic, with one of the most restrictive and prolonged lockdowns worldwide. The project also collected a baseline of demographic and socioeconomic data. These data can be further analyzed to quantify emotional responses to specific measures and policies, and to understand the effect of context variables on psychological resilience. Importantly, to our knowledge this is the first dataset that offers the opportunity to study the behavior of emotion dynamics in a prolonged lockdown situation.

Background & Summary
On March 11th, 2020, the World Health Organisation (WHO) characterised the new coronavirus disease called COVID-19 as a pandemic1,2. In an attempt to restrict the spread of the disease, governments around the world adopted unprecedented confinement measures that had an immediate effect on many people's usual activities, routines or livelihoods3,4. Spain promptly became one of the most affected European countries, counting 9,785 diagnosed cases and 136 COVID-related deaths in early March5 (cumulative count before lockdown, on 03/14/20), escalating to 239,429 diagnosed cases and 27,117 deaths by the end of May5. On March 14th, the Spanish government imposed a widespread lockdown aimed to minimize social contact and avoid the collapse of the national health system6. The lockdown measures implemented, which rank among the most restrictive and prolonged worldwide, consisted in closing schools and universities, drastically reducing the population's mobility, and interrupting all non-essential industrial activity countrywide7.

The confinement measures and the COVID-19 sanitary crisis itself led to dramatic changes in people’s behavior and lifestyle, whose potential negative psychological impact was promptly recognised8,9. In public mental health terms, levels of anxiety, stress and depression are expected to rise10. Accurate information on the population's emotional response to ongoing events is hence important to best anticipate the needs for psychosocial support and for evidence-based policy making. Since the COVID-19 worldwide outbreak, several studies have attempted to address this important issue by gathering data on psychological and emotional well-being8,11,12. All of these studies employed cross-sectional surveys, which capture a static description of the population's emotional experience. However, research on affect dynamics has shown that identifying the specific pattern of variations in feelings, moods and emotions over prolonged periods of time may be critical for understanding and predicting psychological adjustment and well-being13. As a striking example, evidence from diverse research paradigms suggests that mood and anxiety disorders (e.g., depression, bipolar disorder) may be identified by differences in the dynamics of affective experience14.

In order to provide longitudinal, openly available, geolocalized data of mood variations in the Spanish territory, we initiated a citizen science project called CoVidAffect. The project comprises the collection and curation of a database of individual changes in subjective feeling (valence) and physical activation (arousal) during...
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the COVID-19 lockdown in Spain. Participants countrywide regularly reported these two fundamental dimensions of emotion via the project's website or through a smartphone app, developed specifically for this purpose. By means of this methodology, the project aimed to offer longitudinal data to track mood dynamics during the COVID-19 crisis and its different confinement stages, instead of static impressions provided by one-shot questionnaires.

We have monitored mood variations between March 28th, 2020 and June 21th, 2020, when the nationwide state of alarm was lifted, thus allowing social contact and unrestricted mobility. It is important to note that the de-escalation from the initial strict lockdown towards the “new normality” stage, was carried out gradually in well defined phases that can be contrasted with the longitudinal data of our study to investigate the possible effects of different measures and policies on the emotional well-being of the population. As the lockdown was bound to have a different impact on each participant, depending on their particular context, we have also collected contextual information, such as socioeconomic status, living space, employment changes and physical activity levels. The dataset we describe and publish here, includes participants’ daily mood variations, initial contextual information, and weekly reports of changes in context variables. This is, to our knowledge, the first dataset which longitudinally tracks mood variations during the COVID-19 lockdown. The data provided can be used to investigate aspects of the psychological impact of the COVID-19 crisis on the affected population. Interested researchers, organisations and authorities may explore various ways to exploit these data to quantify the population’s emotional response to specific measures and policies, and to understand the effect of certain context variables on emotional regulation and psychological resilience. Importantly, to our knowledge this is the first dataset that offers the opportunity to study the behavior of affect dynamics in a lockdown situation.

**Methods**

**Participant onboarding.** Since its official release on March 28th 2020, the project has been broadcasted in social media and the Spanish national press. Volunteer participants joined by accessing our website (https://covidaffect.info), which described the scope of the project, offered information about privacy policy, displayed regularly updated news about the project, and presented a summary of the data through an interactive map. No exclusion criteria were applied.

When participants clicked on the “participate” button at the website, they were asked to fill an intake questionnaire on demographic, context and COVID-19-related data. In order to submit the questionnaire, participants had to sign an informed consent with detailed information about the study, including risks and benefits, privacy protection, and participation rights. After completing the questionnaire and signing the informed consent, they received a unique ID number, which was used as the only means of identification in the subsequent mood assessments. Following the registration process, participants were asked to complete their first mood rating and were encouraged to return and update their mood state frequently. They were also offered the possibility to download the CoVidAffect Android app, which sent regular reminder notifications and allowed the participants to rate their mood directly from the app. A layout of the participants’ onboarding process is provided in Fig. 1.

A total of 999 participants successfully registered and reported at least one mood questionnaire. 312 participants (31%) downloaded the app and 687 (69%) reported their mood through the website. The average enrollment length was 23 days, and participants reported an average of 68 mood assessments during the study duration. Figure 2 illustrates the available sample size as a function of enrollment duration. We note that data from 154 participants are available for a time window spanning one week, while at the other end of the spectrum, 44 participants provided data for 60 consecutive days.

**Ethical approval.** This project was reviewed and approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of Granada (ref.: 1378/CEIH/2020).

**Data collection.** Participants accessed the project website and used their ID number to rate their subjective feeling and physical arousal as often as they considered necessary and up to 6 times per day. They were also asked to enter their postcode, which was cross-referenced with the ID number to ensure data reliability. In addition, Android smartphone users could download the CoVidAffect app, available on the website. The app has been.
deployed using a smartphone-based platform for continuous mood monitoring during daily life\textsuperscript{15}, by means of Experience Sampling Methods (ESM)\textsuperscript{16}. The app triggered the mood assessment questionnaire at pseudo-random times during the following six, evenly distributed one-hour intervals: 07:00–08:00, 10:00–11:00, 13:00–14:00, 16:00–17:00, 19:00–20:00, and 22:00–23:00. Participants received a notification indicating that a new questionnaire was available. Once they tapped the notification, the app triggered the questionnaire and the mood rating screen was displayed (Fig. 3). The app sent the mood ratings, trigger and response timestamps, and participant number to the data storage server. The notifications persisted during a time period of one hour. If they were not answered during that interval, they were automatically dismissed in order to avoid questionnaire overlap. In addition, once a week, app users received a batch of supplementary questions related to current health and lifestyle status, with the aim of gathering information about contextual changes during that week. The content, periodicity, and number of records of the questionnaires are summarized in Table 1.

**Intake questionnaire.** The intake participation questionnaire was a mandatory step during the registration of the participants at the website. The questionnaire was designed to collect data on demographics, residence characteristics, employment, presence of COVID-19 symptoms, and physical and emotional status previous to the confinement. Specifically, the questionnaire gathered the following data: (a) gender, (b) age, (c) postal code, (d) number of house residents, (e) age of the other residents, (f) relationship with the other residents, (g) type of residence, (h) access to open spaces, (i) employment status before the crisis, (j) current employment status, (k) net monthly

---

**Table 1. Summary of each questionnaire and number of records gathered.**

| Questionnaire | Trigger frequency | Content | Unique participants | Unique records |
|---------------|-------------------|---------|---------------------|----------------|
| Intake        | Once, when the participant registers at the website | 15 questions including demographic, situational, socioeconomic and contextual information (Table 2). | 999 | 999 |
| Mood          | Web users: each time they enter the website
App users: six times per day | 2 questions rating subjective feeling and physical arousal (Table 3). | 999 | 17,452 |
| Context       | Weekly, only available for app users. | 8 questions about contextual changes during the week (Table 4). | 99 | 395 |

---

**Fig. 2** Available sample sizes for longitudinal analysis. For visualization purposes, participants with only one day of enrollment are not included in this figure.

**Fig. 3** Valence and arousal rating screens triggered by the CoVidAffect smartphone app. English: ‘How do you feel right now?’ (‘Very bad’ - ‘Very good’), ‘How physically active do you feel right now?’ (‘Not active’ - ‘Very active’).
(h) sleeping patterns. Detailed information about questions and response options is available in Table 4.

employment status, (f) hours of physical exercise practice during the last week, (g) frequency of social contacts,

idents, (c) diagnosis of COVID-19 among relatives and close friends, (d) current health status, (e) changes in

Weekly context questionnaire.

"How physically active do you feel right now?". For each question the initial slider value was randomly assigned.

Table 2. Intake questionnaire. “This question is displayed only if the answer to the previous question is one

or more. It adds two fields for each family member, one for age and one for relationships. bThis question is
displayed only if the answer to the previous question is ‘Apartment’ or ‘House’.

Table 3. Mood assessment questionnaire.

income, (l) presence of COVID-19 symptoms, (m) presence of COVID-19 symptoms in other residents, (n) hours

of physical exercise practice before the crisis, (o) valence assessment before the crisis onset. Detailed information

about questions and response options is available in Table 2.

Mood assessment questionnaire. Mood variations were monitored with the same methodology regardless of the

input method (website or app). Subjective feeling (valence) and physical arousal were assessed using two visual

analogue scales (Fig. 3). Valence was evaluated with a modified version of the Feeling Scale17 ranging from −50

to +50, including anchors located at −50 = “Very bad” and +50 = “Very good”. Arousal was evaluated with a

modified version of the Felt Arousal Scale18, ranging from 0 to +100 with anchors provided at 0 = “Not active”,

and +100 = “Very active”. The question displayed for valence was: “How do you feel right now?” and for arousal

“How physically active do you feel right now?”. For each question the initial slider value was randomly assigned.

Detailed information about questions and response options is available in Table 3.

Weekly context questionnaire. In order to track possible changes in the contextual and socioeconomic status
during the study period, a weekly questionnaire was delivered via the smartphone app. This questionnaire gath-
ered data regarding changes in COVID-19 diagnosis, health status and employment, occurring during the past

week. It included the following questions: (a) diagnosis of COVID-19, (b) diagnosis of COVID-19 in other res-

idents, (c) diagnosis of COVID-19 among relatives and close friends, (d) current health status, (e) changes in

employment status, (f) hours of physical exercise practice during the last week, (g) frequency of social contacts,

(h) sleeping patterns. Detailed information about questions and response options is available in Table 4.

Limitations. The methodology of data collection designed for this study offered participants two channels to

report their mood: through the website and through the CoVidAffect smartphone app. The app was developed

only for Android OS, and was not available for smartphones with different operating systems. At the time of the

study, the mobile devices market share of Android OS in Spain was 78%19, meaning that a significant number of

participants may not have been able to use their smartphones for data recording. In addition, a preliminary anal-

ysis of the data also showed significant differences in valence and arousal between the two data entry modalities
Table 4. Weekly context questionnaire.

| Question                                                                 | Response options                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Have you been diagnosed with COVID-19 during the past week?             | One of ['Yes', 'No']                                                             |
| Has someone living with you been diagnosed with COVID-19 during the past week? | One of ['Yes', 'No']                                                             |
| Has some relative (not living with you) been diagnosed with COVID-19 during the past week? | One of ['Yes', 'No']                                                             |
| How do you consider your overall health status?                         | One of [Not changed, 'Teleworking', 'Reduced workday', 'Increased workday', 'Temporary Employment Regulation (ERTE)', 'Fired', 'New employment', 'Other'] |
| Has your employment status changed during the past week?               | One of [Less than 2h, '2 to 4h', '4 to 6h', '6 to 8h', 'More than 8h']          |
| How frequently have you been in contact with relatives, friends and neighbours during the past week? | One of [Same as before the lockdown, 'Less than before the lockdown', 'More than before the lockdown'] |
| On average, how many hours do you sleep every night?                    | One of [Rather less than usual, Slightly less than usual, 'Same as usual', Slightly more than usual, 'Rather more than usual'] |

Table 5. Description of the file participants.csv. aThis field is empty if family_members value is '1' or '6+'. bThis field is empty unless type_living value is 'apartment' or 'house'.

(app or web). The average valence of participants who introduced only one mood recording via the web was 2.0 (std = 24.5), while the average valence of participants who adhered to the study protocol for two or more days was 9.8 (std = 17.6). Differences in arousal were less pronounced with the average arousal of “one-shot” participants being 44.2 (std = 25.6) versus 48.4 (std = 18.9) for those who repeated mood recordings. These statistically significant differences (p_{valence} < 0.001; p_{arousal} = 0.009) may be partly related to the existence of a positive trend found in participants with multiple recordings (average slope of 0.092 in valence and 0.135 in arousal), but could
also indicate differences in other aspects of the sample that were not assessed in the study. Finally, the voluntary enrollment to the study introduced a significant selection bias that cautions against the generalisation of any study results to the general population.

Data Records

Study data, including questionnaire description, associated metadata and documentation, are stored and available at the Zenodo repository\textsuperscript{20}, in line with FAIR principles and recent RDA guidelines for COVID-19-related datasets\textsuperscript{21}. All data records are also mirrored to the CoVidAffect project at Open Science Framework (OSF)\textsuperscript{22}. The data has been stored in three comma-separated values (CSV) files that are described in detail below.

The responses to the intake questionnaire are stored in the file \texttt{participants.csv}. The variables, data types and possible values of this file are described in Table 5. Demographic and baseline characteristics of study participants based on this questionnaire are illustrated in Fig. 4.

The mood ratings registered through the mood questionnaire are stored in the file \texttt{mood.csv}. The variables, data types and possible values of this file are described in Table 6. Note that all records have the same structure regardless of the input method (website or app). The number of mood questionnaire responses submitted each study day is illustrated in Fig. 5. The distributions of the total amount of mood questionnaire responses provided by one user are depicted in Fig. 6. The distribution of the valence and arousal values reported is shown in Fig. 7.
Finally, the geographical distribution of the participants within the Spanish territory is illustrated in Fig. 8. The three provinces with the largest number of participants are Granada, Madrid and Cádiz.

The responses to the context questionnaire are stored in the file `context.csv`. The variables, data types and possible values of this file are described in Table 7. Note that these data are only recorded by participants using the smartphone app.

### Technical Validation

To ensure data reliability, participants who submitted mood data through the website were required to insert their identification number and postal code, which were checked against the existing registers in the database. This step was not required when entering data through the smartphone app.
Fig. 7 Distribution of reported valence and arousal values.

Fig. 8 Geographical distribution of the study participants.

| Variable name          | Description                                                                 | Type   | Values                              |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|
| date                   | Date on which the questionnaire was answered (always on Friday at 20:00h, Madrid timezone) | Date   | DD/MM/YYYY                          |
| participant            | Unique identifier of the participant (corresponding to the id variable of participants.csv) | Integer|                                     |
| covid_diagnosed        | Binary flag to indicate whether the participant has been diagnosed with COVID-19 during the past week | String | One of {'Yes', 'No'}               |
| covid_residence_diagnosed | Binary flag to indicate whether any coresident was diagnosed with COVID-19 during the past week | String | One of {'Yes', 'No'}               |
| covid_family_diagnosed  | Binary flag to indicate whether any family member or close person was diagnosed with COVID-19 during the past week | String | One of {'Yes', 'No'}               |
| perceived_health       | Participant's perception on his/her general health status during the past week | Integer| 1 to 5                              |
| work_changed           | Changes in work status during the past week                                 | String | One of ['no', 'telework', 'reduce', 'increase', 'erte', 'fired', 'new', 'other'] |
| physical_activity      | Number of hours dedicated to physical activity during the past week         | String | One of ['0-2', '2-4', '4-6', '6-8', '8+] |
| social_contact         | Social contact frequency during the past week compared to social contact before the crisis | String | One of ['same', 'less', 'more']    |
| sleep                  | Sleep quantity during the past week, compared to the average before the crisis | String | One of ['lot_less', 'less', 'same', 'more', 'lot_more'] |

Table 7. Description of the file context.csv.
The visual analogue scales used to rate both valence and arousal were randomly initialized to avoid any bias caused by selection effort. Also, the mood assessment and weekly context questionnaires triggered through the smartphone app only showed one question per page. This technique helped the participant to focus on one question at a time.

The database was periodically checked to identify possible duplicate records. We considered answers by the same participant with the same notification launch timestamp as duplicates and kept only the first register.

Usage Notes
The dataset, metadata and documentation are publicly available for research purposes at our Zenodo repository and OSF repositories. No request is required for data download. Columns in each CSV file are delimited by semicolons. The responses to multiple choice questions from the initial questionnaire (see Tables 2 and 5) have been encoded using commas to separate the multiple values (e.g. family_ages = '12,34,68').
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