Two Distinct *Triatoma dimidiata* (Latreille, 1811) Taxa Are Found in Sympatry in Guatemala and Mexico
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Abstract

Approximately 10 million people are infected with *Trypanosoma cruzi*, the causative agent of Chagas disease, which remains the most serious parasitic disease in the Americas. Most people are infected via triatomine vectors. Transmission has been largely halted in South America in areas with predominantly domestic vectors. However, one of the main Chagas vectors in Mesoamerica, *Triatoma dimidiata*, poses special challenges to control due to its diversity across its large geographic range (from Mexico into northern South America), and peridomestic and sylvatic populations that repopulate houses following pesticide treatment. Recent evidence suggests *T. dimidiata* may be a complex of species, perhaps including cryptic species; taxonomic ambiguity which confounds control. The nuclear sequence of the internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) of the ribosomal DNA and the mitochondrial cytochrome b (mt cyt b) gene were used to analyze the taxonomy of *T. dimidiata* from southern Mexico throughout Central America. ITS2 sequence divides *T. dimidiata* into four taxa. The first three are found mostly localized to specific geographic regions with some overlap: (1) southern Mexico and Guatemala (Group 2); (2) Guatemala, Honduras, El Salvador, Nicaragua, and Costa Rica (Group 1A); (3) and Panama (Group 1B). We extend ITS2 Group 1A south into Costa Rica, Group 2 into southern Guatemala and show the first information on isolates in Belize, identifying Groups 2 and 3 in that country. The fourth group (Group 3), a potential cryptic species, is dispersed across parts of Mexico, Guatemala, and Belize. We show it exists in sympatry with other groups in Peten, Guatemala, and Yucatan, Mexico. Mitochondrial cyt b data supports this putative cryptic species in sympatry with others. However, unlike the clear distinction of the remaining groups by ITS2, the remaining groups are not separated by mt cyt b. This work contributes to an understanding of the taxonomy and population subdivision of *T. dimidiata*, essential for designing effective control strategies.

Introduction

Importance of Chagas

Chagas disease is considered the largest parasitic disease burden in Latin America with a cost of the loss of 667,000 Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALYs) in 2002 [1]. *Trypanosoma cruzi*, the parasite that causes Chagas disease, infects approximately 9.8 million people in the Americas [2] with 200,000 new Chagas cases annually [3]. Thus, Chagas disease remains a serious health problem in the Americas. Most transmission occurs by contamination with the parasite-containing feces of triatomine insect vectors (Hemiptera:Reduviidae). There is no vaccine available and treatment shows limited effectiveness, comes with troublesome side effects, and is out of reach of most people in endemic countries. Therefore, as with most parasitic infections, control of transmission by the vectors is the control strategy of choice.

Control

A greater than 94% reduction in Chagas transmission has been realized in South America through the Southern Cone Initiative, a concerted effort of the Ministries of Health and the World Health Organization [4]. This initiative is focused on reduction in vector populations by residual pesticide application in houses and mandatory blood screening. The fact that the targeted S. American vectors are almost exclusively domestic (remain in houses) has greatly facilitated the control efforts. In fact, in regions with significant peridomestic and sylvan vector populations (e.g. the Gran Chaco region), control is still a challenge [5]. In Central America, Mexico, and regions of S. America, many vectors occupy sylvan and peridomestic as well as domestic habitats which poses serious challenges to control efforts as these extra-domiciliary sites serve as reservoirs to repopulate treated houses.
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The Chagas disease parasite, transmitted to humans by triatomine bugs, remains a leading cause of heart and digestive disease in Latin America. Pesticide spraying has effectively halted transmission in most of southern South America, especially where the bugs live exclusively inside houses. In Mesoamerica, bugs living in the forest readily reinfested treated houses. In addition, one of the main species of insect that transmits Chagas in Mesoamerica, Triatoma dimidiata, although it looks similar in different localities, may consist of genetically distinct populations, even different species, which differ in how efficiently they transmit the parasite: characteristics which confound control efforts. Nuclear and mitochondrial DNA were analyzed to characterize different populations of T. dimidiata from Mexico and Central America. Both the nuclear and mitochondrial DNA show that there is a very distinct population of T. dimidiata, perhaps even a different species, that lives in very close proximity with other T. dimidiata in Mexico and Guatemala. The nuclear DNA divides the remaining T. dimidiata into three additional genetically distinct groups. However, the mitochondrial DNA does not distinguish these additional groups. This study helps inform control efforts by showing where genetically distinct populations of T. dimidiata occur.

The Triatominae comprises 141 species grouped into 18 genera forming six tribes ([6,7] and references therein) and more than half of these are naturally infected with T. cruzi [8]. The most important genera involved in Chagas transmission include: Triatoma, Rhodinus and Panstrongylus and epidemiologically significant species within these genera have been described for most endemic regions [8]. The risk particular species pose for transmission to humans is affected by several aspects of triatomine biology and behavior such as: food preference and frequency of feeding, infestation and crowding indices, likelihood and conditions for dispersal, fecundity, and especially the degree of adaptation to the domestic environment which puts them in close contact with human hosts. As humans move into infested sylvan areas, vectors appear to be able to adapt to human dwellings and some species have evolved towards domesticity [9]. An unambiguous identification of vector species and an understanding of the divisions among taxa in endemic areas are critical to an understanding of the epidemiology of the disease and to its control.

Taxonomy of Triatoma dimidiata is unclear

Considerable morphological variation of Triatoma dimidiata (Latreille, 1811), the most important Chagas vector in Central America [10], historically has led to splitting, merging and name changes of the species (reviewed in [11]). T. dimidiata is found from Mexico, throughout Central America, and in northern S. America including: Colombia, Ecuador and into to northern Peru. First two separate species were described: Conochetus dimidiatus from Ecuador, Costa Rica, and Panama; and C. maculipennis from Mexico [12] then quickly synonymized [13], as has occurred again more recently under its current name, T. dimidiata, considering that the variation was “roughly clinal in nature” [14]. However, its taxonomy remains problematic as results of recent morphological evaluation have led taxonomists to assert that T. dimidiata is more aptly considered a species complex [15]. Analysis of antenna sensilla [16], head morphometry [17], cuticular hydrocarbon patterns [18] suggested T. dimidiata was divided into two, three or four taxa, respectively, dividing roughly between Southern Mexico and Guatemala, often with population outliers, such as the Lanquin cave population in Guatemala and the Yucatan, Mexico population (sometimes, but not always, grouped with nearby Peten, Guatemala). Cytogenetic analysis shows three distinct “cytotypes”, however, the divisions differ from those described using phenotypic markers. For example, cytogenetics distinguishes isolates from Peten, Guatemala and Yucatan, Mexico from each other and all other isolates [19], however, other markers, such as cuticular hydrocarbon patterns, show them clustering [18].

Molecular tools contribute to resolving questionable taxonomies

Molecular tools are increasingly being used to clarify relationships at all taxonomic levels including tribes, genera, species, subspecies and even populations. The internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) has been particularly useful for analyzing populations of arthropod vectors. ITS2 is part of the rDNA cistron found between the 5.8S and 28S rRNA and is present in hundreds of tandemly repeated copies in the eukaryotic genome. Since the role of ITS2 is to assist with the processing of the 45S precursor RNA to tRNA subunits, only sequences required for its secondary structure need to be conserved [20]. Its high rate of mutation has made it useful for distinguishing species [21,22], uncovering cryptic species [23], and importantly, identifying species responsible for human infection [24]. Assays based on ITS2 can then be developed to identify species [23]. It is often necessary to include several molecular markers to unambiguously resolve taxonomies [25]. The mitochondrial gene, cytochrome b (mt cyt b), codes for a protein involved in the electron transport chain. Since it is mitochondrial (as opposed to the nuclear ITS2), and protein coding, it provides a distinct marker for taxa subdivision.

Molecular tools and T. dimidiata

Within the Triatominae, ITS2 has become increasingly important [26] and been used to identify two major clades in the Triatomini; one consisting of North and Central American species and the other South American [27], to demonstrate that certain populations were introduced from elsewhere [27,28], and challenge previous taxonomic arrangements [29]. Among the Triatomini, mt cyt b has been used to understand phylogenetic relationships and population genetic structure, challenge taxonomic status, infer ancestral populations and source of reinfesting populations [30–36]. Mitochondrial cyt b has been used to understand divisions among triatomine complexes, but has not yet been used to determine T. dimidiata taxa. The clinal variation among T. dimidiata populations, suggested by Lent and Wygodzinsky [14] was supported by a study of the male external genitalia on a limited number of samples [37]. Clinal variation was also initially supported by ITS2 studies showing Southern Mexican populations nearly indistinguishable (Yucatan excluded) but increasing differences when compared to Central American populations (Nicaragua and Honduras) [27]. Preliminary ITS2 data showed three distinct taxa, rather than clinal variation; the divisions were: (1) southern Mexico, (2) Central America and (3) Yucatan, Mexico grouped with Peten, Guatemala, this latter group as separate as a different species [11]. In fact, cryptic species may exist in the Yucatan [27,38]. An “outlier species” is also suggested by cuticular hydrocarbon patterns [18] and cytogenetics [19]. However, these “outliers” are sometimes found in different geographic regions from the putative cryptic species and since different analyses were done on different specimens, it is impossible to tell if they are identifying the same putative cryptic species. Recently, additional ITS2 analyses
have shown that two distinct taxa exist in another state in the Yucatan peninsula, Campeche, Mexico, (one taxon includes samples from Central America); the taxa occupying different geographic regions and habitats [39]. And very recently, in isolates from: Mexico, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua, Panama, Colombia and Ecuador, 31 T. dimidiata ITS2 haplotypes were identified falling in four distinct groups, referred to as groups 1A and B; 2 and 3, including one that is proposed to be a separate species (Group 3, T. sp. aff. dimidiata) [38]. This proposed cryptic species (Group 3) was found in Chiapas and Yucatan, Mexico; Peten, Guatemala; and Yoro, Honduras. So it is clear that the most diversity of T. dimidiata is found in the region encompassing southern Mexico through northern Guatemala (perhaps as far south as Honduras) and extending east through the Yucatan peninsula and this is the region where both T. dimidiata (or subspecies of T. dimidiata) and T. sp. aff. dimidiata (Group 3, proposed cryptic species) occur. Nothing is yet known about populations in Belize. We analyzed 55 T. dimidiata samples across this most diverse region and Belize by ITS2 and partial mt cyt b control strategies.

An understanding of the degree of genetic variation within a population can suggest the risk of repopulation from nearby populations following control and the acquisition of insecticide resistance. Genetic markers can also identify the source of re-infesting insects [41]. An understanding of genetic variation within a population could suggest shared characteristics such as: food and habitat preference, tendencies towards domestication, feeding and mating behavior, time and conditions of dispersal, fecundity, etc. Many of these characteristics are directly related to vector competence. The degree of subdivision will indicate the risk of re-population from nearby populations following control and the degree of genetic variation within a population can suggest the risk of acquisition of insecticide resistance. Genetic markers can also identify the source of re-infesting insects [41]. An understanding of the mechanism of population subdivision may lead to novel control strategies.

Materials and Methods

Specimen collection and DNA isolation

The sample information for the T. dimidiata specimens studied with ITS2 are shown in Table 1. Triatoma species samples used for the study of mt cyt b sequence are given in Table 2. All T. dimidiata were identified using the key of Lent and Wygodzinsky [14]. Bugs were collected during 2000–2007 by trained personnel using the peridomestic (collected in outbuildings, woodpiles, etc. nearby the house), or sylvan (forest, Table 1). The bug’s legs were removed and stored at −4°C in 95% alcohol with 5% glycerol until DNA isolation.

DNA was isolated from two (adults) or three (nymphs) bug legs exactly as described in Dorn et al. [42] using the method originally from [43] with modifications as described in [44] and below. Briefly, bug legs from individual bugs were separately ground using a Kontes pestle in 100 μl grind buffer (0.1 M NaCl, 0.2 M sucrose, 50 mM EDTA, 100 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0–9.0], 0.05% SDS). The debris was removed by centrifuging the lysate briefly at 14,000 g. The homogenate was incubated at 65°C for 15–30 min. 8 M potassium acetate was added for a final concentration of 1 M potassium acetate and the solution incubated for 15 minutes on ice to precipitate the SDS. The sample was centrifuged at 14,000×g at 4°C for 10 minutes and the supernatant transferred to a cold 1.5 ml microfuge tube. 2.5× volumes of 100% ethanol were added to precipitate the DNA. The sample was then incubated on ice for at least 10 minutes and centrifuged for 20 min at 14,000×g at 4°C. The resultant pellet was washed with 70% ethanol, allowed to dry and then resuspended in 50 μl sterile TE buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) containing 1 U RNase A (Sigma–Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO). The samples were then stored at −80°C until amplification. Several DNA samples were left at room temperature for ~1 month due to power failure following Hurricane Katrina. Only those that still amplified following this treatment were used in the analysis.

PCR, sequencing and alignment

The ITS2 region was amplified from 3% of the isolated DNA in a 50 μl reaction (3.5 mM MgCl₂, 2 U Taq DNA polymerase), exactly according to manufacturer’s instructions (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using primers that anneal to the conserved 5.8S and 28S rDNA that flank the ITS2 region [27]: 5’–CTAAACCGGTTGATGACTGGG–3′ (5,8T) and 5’–GGAC-TATCAAGGCAACAGCTC–3′ (28T). Amplification conditions were as follows: one cycle at 94°C 2 min; followed by 30 cycles of: 94°C - 30 sec, 58°C - 30 sec, 72°C - 30 sec and a final polishing step of 72°C for 7 min. The mitochondrial cytochrome b gene (mt cyt b) was amplified using primers CYTB7432F, 5’–GGAC-GAT/GAT/AT/GAT/ATTAT/ATTATGAT/GTC, and CYTB7433R, 5’GC/ATCCAATTCAGGTATA/AG/TAA/ [45] using amplification conditions: one cycle at 94°C 3 min; followed by 30 cycles of: 94°C - 1 min, 45°C - 1 min, 72°C - 1 min and a final polishing step of 72°C for 10 min. Ten percent of the amplified product was visualized by Agarose Gel Electrophoresis and UV transillumination and successfully amplified products purified using QIAquick PCR purification kit or the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA). Both strands were completely sequenced and sequences edited using MacVector software (version 9.5, Accelrys, San Diego, CA) or Bioedit version 7.0.9 [46] and ClustalW version 2.0 [47] was used to align the data from within Bioedit or MacVector. The Staden Package (version 1.6.0) [48,49] was used to obtain the haplotype sequences and group identical sequences. DnaSP v 4.50.3 was used to find the polymorphic and parsimony informative sites in the haplotypes [50].

The average evolutionary distance within and between the groups was determined by a Kimura 2-parameter distance calculation (MacVector 10.0), based on the assumption that all sites evolve at the same rate and counting only substitutions, indels are excluded [51]. The estimated time of divergence (below diagonal, Table 3) was calculated using the Kimura 2-parameter distance and the base substitution rate, r = 44.1–99.4 X –3 per site per year calculated by Bargues et al. [52] for ITS2 in Triatomini.

Hierarchical partitioning of molecular variance was tested using AMOVA [53] in Arlequin ver. 3.0 [54].

Taxon sampling and phylogenetic analysis

Maximum Parsimony (MP) analysis was conducted on all characters equally weighted both including and excluding gap characters. Heuristic searches were conducted in PAUP*, version 4.0b10 [55] using 1,000 random taxon addition replicates, holding one single tree in each step and using TBR (Tree Bisection and Reconnection), a branch swapping algorithm for tree search. To estimate clade support 1,000 bootstrap replicates were subject to heuristic searches using 1,000 random taxon additions and TBR
| No. | Collection site       | ITS2 group | ITS2 haplotype | Ecotope  | ITS2 length | Genbank accession No. |
|-----|-----------------------|------------|---------------|----------|-------------|-----------------------|
| 1   | Mérida, Yucatán, Mexico | 2          | T.dim-H32 *   | domestic | 495         | FJ97146               |
| 2   | Mérida, Yucatán, Mexico | 3          | T.dim-H36*    | domestic | 494         | FJ97150               |
| 3   | Mérida, Yucatán, Mexico | 4          | T.dim-H33 *   | domestic | 494         | FJ97147               |
| 4   | Tuxpan, Veracruz, Mexico | 5          | T.dim-H34*    | domestic | 496         | FJ97148               |
| 5   | Tuxpan, Veracruz, Mexico | 6          | T.dim-H18     | domestic | 496         | DQ871354              |
| 6   | Yaxhá, Petén, Guatemala | 7          | T.dim-H35*    | sylvan   | 494         | FJ97149               |
| 7   | Yaxhá, Petén, Guatemala | 8          | T.dim-H18     | sylvan   | 496         | DQ871354              |
| 8   | Yaxhá, Petén, Guatemala | 9          | T.dim-H36*    | sylvan   | 494         | FJ97150               |
| 9   | Yaxhá, Petén, Guatemala | 10         | T.dim-H36*    | sylvan   | 494         | FJ97150               |
| 10  | Los Amates, Izabal, Guatemala | 11         | T.dim-H01     | sylvan   | 497         | AM286693              |
| 11  | Los Amates, Izabal, Guatemala | 12         | T.dim-H03     | sylvan   | 497         | AM286695              |
| 12  | Cobán, Alta Verapaz, Guatemala | 13         | T.dim-H01     | domestic | 497         | AM286693              |
| 13  | La Brea, Quezada, Jutiapa, Guatemala | 14         | T.dim-H01     | domestic | 497         | AM286693              |
| 14  | La Brea, Quezada, Jutiapa, Guatemala | 15         | T.dim-H01     | domestic | 497         | AM286693              |
| 15  | La Brea, Quezada, Jutiapa, Guatemala | 16         | T.dim-H01     | domestic | 497         | AM286693              |
| 16  | La Brea, Quezada, Jutiapa, Guatemala | 17         | T.dim-H01     | domestic | 497         | AM286693              |
| 17  | La Brea, Quezada, Jutiapa, Guatemala | 18         | T.dim-H04     | domestic | 497         | AM286696              |
| 18  | La Brea, Quezada, Jutiapa, Guatemala | 19         | T.dim-H01     | domestic | 497         | AM286693              |
| 19  | Valle Abajo, Acatempa, Jutiapa, Guatemala | 20         | T.dim-H03     | domestic | 497         | AM286695              |
| 20  | Valle Abajo, Acatempa, Jutiapa, Guatemala | 21         | T.dim-H18     | domestic | 496         | DQ871354              |
| 21  | Xepatzac, Sajcabaja, Quiché, Guatemala | 22         | T.dim-H18     | domestic | 496         | DQ871354              |
| 22  | Aldena Lillila, San Andrés Sajcabajá, Quiché, Guatemala | 23         | T.dim-H37*    | sylvan   | 496         | FJ97151               |
| 23  | San Andrés Sajcabajá, Quiché, Guatemala | 24         | T.dim-H18     | sylvan   | 496         | DQ871354              |
| 24  | Chajq, Sacapulas, Quiché, Guatemala | 25         | T.dim-H18     | sylvan   | 496         | DQ871354              |
| 25  | Chajq, Sacapulas, Quiché, Guatemala | 26         | T.dim-H10     | sylvan   | 496         | AM286702              |
| 26  | Languin, Alta Verapaz, Guatemala | 27         | T.dim-H10     | sylvan   | 496         | AM286702              |
| 27  | Languin, Alta Verapaz, Guatemala | 28         | T.dim-H10     | sylvan   | 496         | AM286702              |
| 28  | Rabinal, Baja Verapaz, Guatemala | 29         | T.dim-H18     | domestic | 496         | DQ871354              |
| 29  | Rabinal, Baja Verapaz, Guatemala | 30         | T.dim-H18     | domestic | 496         | DQ871354              |
| 30  | Pueblo Nuevo Viñas, Sta Rosa, Guatemala | 31         | T.dim-H01     | domestic | 497         | AM286693              |
| 31  | Sta. Theresa, Toledo district, Belize | 32         | T.dim-H18     | domestic | 496         | DQ871354              |
| 32  | San Pedro Columbia, Toledo district, Belize | 33         | T.dim-H39*    | domestic | 499         | FJ97153               |
| 33  | Calla Creek, Cayo district, Belize | 34         | T.dim-H38*    | domestic | 496         | FJ97152               |
| 34  | El Lodo Negro, San Marcos, Sierra Intibuca, Honduras | 35         | T.dim-H02     | domestic | 496         | AM286694              |
| 35  | Sta. Rosa de Copán, Copán, Honduras | 36         | T.dim-H01     | domestic | 497         | AM286694              |
| 36  | El Masical, San Antonio, Copán, Honduras | 37         | T.dim-H02     | domestic | 496         | AM286694              |
| 37  | Yoro, Honduras | 38         | T.dim-H02     | domestic | 496         | AM286694              |
| 38  | Yoro, Honduras | 39         | T.dim-H02     | domestic | 496         | AM286694              |
| 39  | Santa Ana, El Salvador | 40         | T.dim-H04     | domestic | 497         | DQ871355              |
| 40  | Santa Ana, El Salvador | 41         | T.dim-H04     | domestic | 497         | DQ871355              |
| 41  | El Peligro, El Almendro, Rio San Juan, Nicaragua | 42         | T.dim-H01     | domestic | 497         | AM286693              |
| 42  | Villa Alvarez, El Almendro, Rio San Juan, Nicaragua | 43         | T.dim-H01     | domestic | 497         | AM286693              |
| 43  | Jinotepe, Carazo, Nicaragua | 44         | T.dim-H01     | domestic | 497         | AM286693              |
| 44  | Jinotepe, Carazo, Nicaragua | 45         | T.dim-H01     | domestic | 497         | AM286693              |
| 45  | Masatepe, Masaya, Nicaragua | 46         | T.dim-H01     | domestic | 497         | AM286693              |
| 46  | Matagalpa, Escipulas, Nicaragua | 47         | T.dim-H01     | domestic | 497         | AM286693              |
| 47  | Sto. Tomás, Sto. Domingo, Heredia, Costa Rica | 48         | T.dim-H01     | peridomestic | 497     | AM286693             |
| 48  | Getsemani, Angeles, San Rafael, Heredia, Costa Rica | 49         | T.dim-H01     | peridomestic | 497     | AM286693             |
| 49  | Getsemani, Angeles, San Rafael, Heredia, Costa Rica | 50         | T.dim-H01     | peridomestic | 497     | AM286693             |
branch swapping, giving 463 constant characters, 26 parsimony-informative variable characters.

ML analysis was conducted only on DNA characters, heuristic searches using 1000 random addition replicates and TBR branch swapping were completed under the best fit model (K81uf + I + G), selected by Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) implemented in Modeltest 3.7. The parameters used were: A(0.3191), C(0.1063), G(0.1371), T(0.4375), Nst = 6, Rmat = (1.0000 9.7678 3.4276 3.4276 9.7678) Rates = gamma Shape = 0.9635 Pinvar = 0.7352 [55].

Bayesian phylogenetic analysis was conducted in MrBayes version 3.1 [56]. The sequences were analyzed under the K81uf + I + G model. Clade support was estimated using a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm [56], set to analyze 8

Table 1. Cont.

| No. | Collection site          | ITS2 group | ITS2 haplotype | Ecotope   | ITS2 length | Genbank accession No. |
|-----|--------------------------|------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|
| S1  | Santa Fe, Veraguas, Panamá | 1B         | T.dim-H16      | domestic  | 497         | AM28608               |
| S2  | Santa Fe, Veraguas, Panamá | 1B         | T.dim-H16      | domestic  | 497         | AM28608               |
| S3  | Santa Fe, Veraguas, Panamá | 1B         | T.dim-H16      | domestic  | 497         | AM28608               |

Table 2. Samples used for mt cyt b sequences analysis.

| Country | Population ID (Sample No.) | Collection site          | Genbank accession No. |
|---------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Mexico  | MxHd01                      | Hidalgo                  | AY062151              |
| Mexico  | MxYuMe01 (1)                | Mérida                   | FJ197157              |
| Mexico  | MxYuMe02 (2)                | Mérida                   | FJ197158              |
| Mexico  | MxYuMe03 (3)                | Mérida                   | FJ197159              |
| Mexico  | MxYu01                      | Veracruz                 | AY062149              |
| Mexico  | MxYu02                      | Veracruz                 | AY062150              |
| Mexico  | MxYu03                      | Yucatán                  | AY062163              |
| Mexico  | MxYu04                      | Yucatán                  | AY062162              |
| Mexico  | MxYu05                      | Yucatán                  | AY062160              |
| Mexico  | MxYu06                      | Yucatán                  | AY062161              |
| Mexico  | MxYu07                      | Yucatán                  | AY062159              |
| Mexico  | MxYu02                      | Yucatán                  | AY062158              |
| Mexico  | MxYu01                      | Yucatán                  | AY062164              |
| Guatemala | GtSR01                    | Santa Rosa               | AY062157              |
| Guatemala | Gt0002                    | Unknown                  | AF301594              |
| Belize  | BzToST32 (32)              | Santa Theresa, Toledo district | FJ197155         |
| Belize  | BzToSP33 (33)              | San Pedro Columbia, Toledo district | FJ197154         |
| Belize  | BzCaCC34 (34)              | Calla Creek, Cayo district | FJ197156         |
| Honduras | HnTg01                    | Tegucigalpa              | AY062156              |
| Honduras | HnTg02                    | Tegucigalpa              | AY062154              |
| Honduras | HnTg03                    | Tegucigalpa              | AY062152              |
| Honduras | HnTg04                    | Tegucigalpa              | AY062155              |
| Honduras | HnYo01                    | Yoro                     | AY062153              |
| Mexico  | T. pollidipennis           | Unknown                  | DQ198814              |

Mérida, MX, and Belize sample number refers to Table 1. All samples are T. dimidiata unless noted.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000393.t002

Table 3. Kimura Two Parameter distance within (diagonal, bold type) or among ITS2 groups (above diagonal) and time separated (below diagonal).

| Group | 1A | 1B | 2   | 3   |
|-------|----|----|-----|-----|
| 1A    | 0.0043 | 0.0081 | 0.0203 | 0.0322 |
| 1B    | 0.407–985 mya | 0.0035 | 0.0151 | 0.0323 |
| 2     | 1.02–2.47 mya | .76–1.84 mya | 0.0014 | 0.0429 |
| 3     | 1.62–3.92 mya | 1.62–3.93 mya | 2.16–5.22 mya | 0.0032 |

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000393.t003
linked generations with four independent runs for 2,000,000 generations sampling every 100 generations. Stability of the process was assessed by plotting the likelihood scores against generation time and 25% of the trees were discarded as part of the burn in.

The full-length ITS2 [38] and mt cyt b sequences [57] (Harris, KD and Beard, CB) available on GenBank are included for comparison. The sequence of T. pallidipennis was used as an outgroup [34,38] (Accession no. for ITS2, AJ266832).

Median-joining network analysis was performed using Network (version 4.5.0.0, Fluxus Technology, Suffolk, England; fluxus-engineering.com) [50].

Results

Sequence analysis

The portion of the DNA containing the ribosomal ITS2 sequence was amplified from 53 specimens of T. dimidiata using primers to the 5.8S and 28S rDNA resulting in approximately 900 bp fragments. The ITS2 region was identified according to Bargues et al. [38] and ranged from 489–499 bp in T. dimidiata (Table 1). The sequences were strongly A+T biased at 75–76%. DNA for amplification of the mt cyt b gene was available from a subset of six samples (three each from Belize and Yucatan, Mexico) and trimmed to 665 nt for comparison with sequences available on GenBank (Table 2).

ITS2 haplotypes

We found 15 ITS2 haplotypes in the 53 sequences and compared these to the 31 available full-length ITS2 haplotypes (from 137 specimens) in GenBank (Fig 1). The remaining 24 sequences in GenBank are truncated therefore haplotypes cannot be assigned and they are excluded from the analysis). Seven were among the 31 T. dimidiata haplotypes previously identified [38] and eight are unique (found in Belize, Guatemala and Mexico) to give a total of 39 haplotypes. Our phylogenetic and AMOVA analyses support the four distinct groups previously identified [38]: 1A (a Central American cluster, which we now extend to Costa Rica), 1B (Panama and Colombia [also includes one southern Mexico sample]), 2 (a southern Mexico cluster, which we now extend into southern Guatemala), and 3 (a quite distinct taxon found in Yucatan, Mexico Peten, Guatemala, and Cayo, BZ) (Figs 2 & 3). The complex microsatellite repeat around nucleotides 5`-TT(AT)5TTT(AT)7-3`, shows SNPs and indels among individuals, however changes do not correlate with particular groups. There is a Group 3 signature sequence present around nucleotides 307–320 of 5`-CTGTATAAAACAAT-3`. The following four SNPs distinguish Group 2 individuals: a T to C transition at position 213, a G to T transversion at position 400, an A to G transition at position 485, and 2, which may represent a transitional state between the two or hybridization and further concerted evolution. Although it clusters with Group 1B on the ML tree (Fig 3), the 5` end of the haplotype appears most closely related to Group 2 (Fig 1). Haplotype H10, representing the Laruquin, GT cave population, clusters with, but is the most distinct from the Central American group, 1A (Fig 5).

Average nucleotide substitutions per site in ITS2

The average evolutionary distance within and between groups, calculated by the Kimura 2-parameter model, shows less than 0.5% divergence within each group (0.1–0.4%, diagonal, Table 3) with Group 1A (Central America) showing the greatest intragroup divergence (0.43%). Among groups, 1A and 1B are the most closely related (0.8% substitution), with Group 2 showing 2–2.5 times greater distance with groups 1A and 1B than between the latter two. Group 3 shows the greatest divergence from the three other groups, ~3–4% which, by the molecular clock, translates into a time of 1.6–5.2 mya since the last common ancestor.

A hierarchical partitioning of variance shows that by far, most of the variance (90.4%, Table 4) can be accounted for by differences among the groups, which is highly significant (p<0.001) and supports the four-group classification [38]. The variance component among countries within groups and among individuals within countries is also significant, although with smaller values (Table 4).

The largest of the fixation indices was nearly one (FST = 0.944), indicating nearly completely distinct haplotypes among countries within groups. FCT is also nearly equal to one (FCT = 0.902) showing the significant variance among groups. These two indices show the importance of the groups, which explains most of the observed variance between haplotypes. FCS indicates the importance of the variance between countries compared to the variances among and within countries (FCS = 0.428). This FCS shows that the variance among countries is slightly less than the variance among haplotypes within countries. The high amount of heterogeneity within countries could mean some populations within a country are isolated from others.

Geographic distribution

Our ITS2 results support a cluster of “southern Mexican isolates” (Group 2, which we show extends into Guatemala) distinct from a Central American (Group 1A, overlapping in Guatemala) (Fig 2). Our data extends the range of Group 2 considerably southwards to include Quiche and Baja Verapaz, Guatemala, and also Belize, identifying overlap of Groups 1A and 2 in Guatemala. The remainder of Group 1A haplotypes are found in Central America (and our data extends this group southwards into Costa Rica), and 1B found in Panama and Colombia, with the exception of one Veracruz, Mexico haplotype (H34) that clusters with this latter group by ML phylogenetic analysis; however, the long length of the branch indicates it is diverged from Group 1B.

Unlike the geographically localized Groups 1A, 1B and 2, the more divergent taxon, Group 3, shows a scattered distribution, occurring along with Group 2 in Peten, Guatemala and Yucatan, Mexico (Fig 2). We identified four new haplotypes in this group and extend its distribution to Cayo, Belize. In contrast to reports associating distinct groups with specific localities and habitats [39], our data show several distinct groups are in sympatry, sometimes within the same city (Merida, MX) or even microhabitat (palm trees) in the same archeological site (Yaxhá, GT).

Comparison with mt cyt b sequences

To check if Group 3 truly represents a distinct taxon in sympatry with other taxa we compared the ITS2 phylogenies with mt cyt b phylogenies from the six samples for which we had DNA available, representing ITS2 Groups 2 and 3 from Yucatan,
Mexico and Belize. Those from ITS2 group 3 (MxYuMe02, MxYuMe03 and BzCCCa34 clearly fall into a distinct taxon also with mt cyt b sequence data, clustering with other isolates from Yucatan, Mexico, where other Group 3 individuals have been identified. As seen with ITS2, the distance is nearly as large as the distance from a different species, *T. pallidipennis*, used as an outgroup. In addition, network analysis shows a tight clustering, even closer than ITS2, quite separate from all others (Fig 4).

Interestingly, what are clearly distinct taxa as ITS2 Groups 1A and 2 (1B may not be represented in our mt cyt b data as we do not have samples from Panama and Colombia) are unresolved by mt cyt b data (75%, Fig 5). Both the ML and network analysis show more of a clinal variation than distinct groups (Figs 4 and 5). So it appears that the distinct taxon (Group 3 by ITS2) is well supported, both by ITS2 and mt cyt b. However, the groupings of 1 and 2 are less clear with mt cyt b than ITS2. Southern Mexico and Central America isolates are more similar with mt cyt b than ITS2. More samples and markers will be needed to resolve this issue.

**Discussion**

The sizes of the ITS2 sequences ranged from a small of 489 bp (in Group 3) to 499 bp (in Group 2), the latter only slightly larger than previously published *T. dimidiata* sequences (up to 497 bp) [38]. In addition, the AT bias is evident (73–76%) and is similar to that found in *Panstrongylus* species (75–79%) [29] and other Triatomini (77%) and Rhodniini (76%) [27].

The previously recognized complex microsatellite ((AT)₅TT-T(AT)₇) was also present in the majority of all the haplotypes (69%), and in all groups, but interestingly is longer than that identified in other members of the phyllosoma which are (AT)₄TTT-(AT)₅₆)

---

**Figure 1.** Haplotype sequence differences found in all 190 *Triatoma dimidiata* ITS2 sequences (39 haplotypes). Haplotypes are separated into the 4 ITS2 groups described in the text. Nucleotide positions are given (read vertically) at the top based on Clustal W alignment. “.” = identity, S = singleton sites, P = parsimony informative sites, * = newly identified haplotypes.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000393.g001
[35], thus providing further evidence that *T. dimidiata* may be diverging from the phyllosoma complex. Our data also shows SNPs present within the microsatellite sequence which may limit the use of this sequence for assigning individuals to groups as has been recently proposed [35]. SNPs in ITS2 that are outside the microsatellite sequence were identified that are diagnostic for Groups 2 and 3. The Group 3 signature can be used to directly identify this group by PCR amplification without the need for sequencing [Dumonteil, et al., unpublished data]. So ITS2 can be used to distinguish groups although the region containing the microsatellite sequence may not be the most useful portion.

**Taxonomy of *T. dimidiata***

Since its discovery, the species *T. dimidiata*, has been split and merged many times (reviewed in Dorn, et al. [11]). At the population level, genetic characters show that among domestic populations, geographically close *T. dimidiata* are similar [42] whereas generally the geographically more distant populations are diverged [59]; results expected if ancestral populations became separated. Until recently, the divergence was considered to be “clinal in nature” and “not segregated into clearly separable allopatric populations” [14]. However, recently taxonomists have suggested that *T. dimidiata* is more aptly considered a species complex [15] and rather than “clinal” differentiation, recent phenotypic and genetic data suggest *T. dimidiata* may be divided into distinct taxa.

Results using particular phenotypic or genetic markers divide *T. dimidiata* into two (antenna sensilla, [16] and male genitalia [Monroy, et al., unpublished data], three (head morphometry [17] and cytogenetics [19]) or four (cuticular hydrocarbon patterns [18]) distinct taxa. However, the divisions among these taxa do not always agree among different markers (e.g. different “cytotypes” are found in Peten, Guatemala and Yucatan, Mexico however, isolates from these two regions are grouped together [and different from all other isolates] by cuticular hydrocarbon patterns). Often there is an outlier population, but this is sometimes identified in Yucatan, Mexico, and/or Peten, Guatemala (by cuticular hydrocarbons, cytogenetics, male genitalia) or the Lanquin caves in Alta Verapaz, Guatemala or Boavita, Colombia (by head morphometry). To see if different markers are identifying the same or different taxa, it will be necessary to analyze a suite of markers on the same individuals.

Recently 31 haplotypes of ITS2 sequence from *T. dimidiata* across its geographic range grouped into four taxa by phylogenetic analysis

---

**Figure 2.** Map showing location of 53 *Triatoma dimidiata* isolates collected (see Table 1 for details). ITS2 groups: Group 1A (red), Group 1B (blue), Group 2 (yellow), Group 3 (green). Numbers identify individuals (Table 1). doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000393.g002
Our ITS2 data from an additional eight haplotypes supports this classification and we show that the "southern Mexico" Group 2 extends well into southern Guatemala where it overlaps with the Central American Group 1A. This division between a southern Mexican (and Guatemalan) and Central American *T. dimidiata* (ITS2 estimated time of divergence of 1.02–2.47 my) is also supported by antenna structure, head morphometry, and cuticular hydrocarbon analysis. (Cytogenetics and morphometry of male genitalia do not

Figure 3. Phylogenetic maximum likelihood tree of all 39 haplotypes of *T. dimidiata* ITS2 sequence. Number of substitutions per site is indicated by the bar and bootstrap values higher than 75% / Bayesian posterior probabilities larger than 85% are indicated at the nodes. Yuc = Yucatan, Mexico (MX); Chiapas = Chiapas, MX; Peten = Peten, Guatemala (GT); Bel = Belize; Hon = Honduras; Ecu = Ecuador; Nic = Nicaragua; Lanquin = Lanquin, Alta Verapaz, Guatemala; Mex = Mexico; Pan = Panama; Col = Colombia. *T. pallidipennis* is the outgroup. ITS2 Groups coded as follows: 1A (red), 1B (blue), 2 (yellow), 3 (green). doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000393.g003

[38]. Our ITS2 data from an additional eight haplotypes supports this classification and we show that the "southern Mexico" Group 2 extends well into southern Guatemala where it overlaps with the Central American Group 1A. This division between a southern Mexican (and Guatemalan) and Central American *T. dimidiata* (ITS2 estimated time of divergence of 1.02–2.47 my) is also supported by antenna structure, head morphometry, and cuticular hydrocarbon analysis. (Cytogenetics and morphometry of male genitalia do not
resolve these two groups). However, these two groups are more closely clustered with mt cyt b data than is seen with ITS2 and more of a cline variation is seen (Figs 4 & 5). Before we support the proposal to reassign the subspecies designations, *T. dimidiata maculipennis*, to the ITS2 southern Mexican group 2, and *T. dimidiata dimidiata*, to the ITS2 Central American taxon, Group 1A, it will be important to look at additional gene sequences and to use multiple markers, phenotypic and genotypic, all on the same samples.

Our data extends the reach of ITS2 Group 1A as far south as Costa Rica. With very few isolates of Group 1B examined so far, and most of these from Colombia, the division between Subgroups 1A and 1B by ITS2 is unclear and needs examination of additional samples in Panama and further north in Central America. In addition, subgroups 1A and 1B show the least intergroup divergence and additional samples, studied with multiple markers, will be needed to see if this is a true division or the “clinal” variation noted by Lent and Wygodzinsky [14]. Indeed, the topology of our ML tree using ITS2 differs somewhat from that published by Bargues, et al. [38] as Group 2 is derived from 1B in our tree and 1A in theirs.

Group 3, the putative cryptic species (*T. spp. aff. dimidiata*, [38]) is confirmed by our ITS2 data and mt cyt b sequence data, 100% bootstrap support, clearly separate from all other *T. dimidiata*. It appears to be widespread as we find it in Peten, Guatemala; Yucatan, Mexico, and Belize and it was previously shown to be as far north as Chiapas, MX and as far south as Yoro, Honduras [38]. Interestingly, we have clearly shown that Group 3 exists in sympathy with Group 2 in Peten, Guatemala and Yucatan, Mexico; more isolates are needed to see if this holds true for Belize as well. Finding the distinct groups in the same city or microhabitat in the same archeological site suggests that geographic separation is not essential for reproductive isolation. In addition, we see no association with habitat and group as sylvan and domestic samples are found in Groups 1A, 2 and 3 (we have incomplete habitat information for samples published by Bargues, et al. to assess Group 1B). Cross-

### Table 4. Hierarchical analysis of molecular variance for ITS2 in *T. dimidiata* populations.

| Source of variation         | d.f. | Sum of squares | Variance components | Percentage of variation |
|----------------------------|------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Among groups               | 3    | 222.45         | 6.81*** Va          | 90.2                   |
| Among countries within groups | 9    | 14.06          | 0.317** Vb          | 4.2                    |
| Among individuals within countries | 40   | 16.93          | 0.423*** Vc         | 5.6                    |
| Total                      | 52   | 253.43         | 7.55100             |                        |

Groups: according to Median-joining Network Analysis, 1A, 1B, 2 & 3.

***: p<0.001; **: p<0.01.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000393.t004

---

Figure 4. Network analysis of all 22 haplotypes of *T. dimidiata* mt cyt b. The size of the circle indicates how many individuals shared that haplotype. The colors indicate the country of the sample: Mexico-yellow, Honduras-red, Guatemala-green, Belize-black.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000393.g004
Figure 5. Phylogenetic maximum likelihood tree of all 23 distinct mt cyt b sequences of *T. dimidiata*. Number of substitutions per site is indicated by the bar and bootstrap values higher than 75% are indicated at the nodes. See Table 2 for sample ID. *T. pallidipennis* is the outgroup. ITS2 Group 2 is shown in yellow and Group 3 in green.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000393.g005
breeding experiments are ongoing (Monroy, et al.) to begin to understand the mechanism of this reproductive isolation.

Implications for control
A large body of literature shows that one of the major Chagas vectors in Mesoamerica, *T. dimidiata*, varies enormously in genetic, phenotypic traits and behaviorally across its geographic range (reviewed in [11]). The studies described here using ITS2 as well as mt cyt b here show a clear separation of the putative cryptic species. However groupings of the remaining populations seem to differ between these two markers. Clearly, information from more genes will need to clearly understand the division among *T. dimidiata* taxa. Distinct taxa have significance for the epidemiology of the disease, e.g. in different localities where *T. dimidiata* is the only Chagas vector, the seropositivity rate in humans differs dramatically, e.g. from 0–18.5% in regions in Guatemala [60]. Distinct taxa may also affect control outcomes. Since 1997, the Central America Initiative for the Control of Chagas disease has shown dramatically different results following insecticide spraying in houses, e.g. in Nicaragua, the bugs did not return [61]; in stark contrast to rapid reinfestation in Jutiapa, Guatemala [62]. It is important to understand how much of the differences in epidemiology and control outcomes are due to distinct taxa of *T. dimidiata*. The area of Peten, Guatemala has not been included in the control program since most populations are sylvan. Deforestation and increasing encroachment of human populations in the area means that *T. dimidiata* could become domesticated in this region. It is critical to realize that there are at least two distinct *T. dimidiata* populations in this area (and in Mexico and Belize) as control measures are designed. This work has begun to clarify the taxonomic status of *T. dimidiata* from different geographic regions. For effective control it will be imperative to understand the mechanisms maintaining this reproductive isolation and the epidemiological importance of distinct taxa.
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