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Abstract: Electrical power generated and transmitted at a long distance away from the power stations is usually affected by inherent transmission line losses. The Ohmic and Corona losses which are predominantly common in power transmission lines are considered in this paper. These two losses are mathematically modeled with and without embedded bundled conductors. The resultant model which is a non-linear multivariable unconstrained optimized equation is minimized using the Hessian matrix determinant method for stability test purposes. The results obtained show that corona losses are minimized with embedded bundled conductors at a very low current value with large spacing distance between the bundled conductors. The decrease in the corona loss which is a consequence of spacing adjustment of the 2, 3, and 4 strands of bundled conductors was plotted using MATLAB 7.14. The plots obtained are in conformity with the inverse relation between corona loss and conductor spacing.
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I. INTRODUCTION.

The conventional and non-renewable energy generated in electrical form at various power stations are usually situated a long distance away from the load centre [1]. This long distance necessitates the need for an extensive network of conductors between the power stations and the load centres [1]. The electrical power generated at the power stations is prevalent transmitted by means of transmission lines which deliver bulk power from generating stations to the load centres and to consumer’s terminal. The power losses along the transmission lines are usually reduced to the barest minimum for an effective and quality power delivery [2]. Many research works have been carried out on the analysis of power loss reduction in transmission lines. A comparison between corona loss and ohmic loss was analyzed in [3]. The corona and ohmic losses discussed were measured and evaluated for different transmission line under fair weather and rainy conditions but no emphasis was made to an embedded bundled conductors. Numphetc in 2011 worked on loss minimization using optimal power flow which was predicated upon swarm particle optimization. This research analysis was based on artificial intelligence and predictive method for loss minimization [4]. A multi-objective optimization method for power loss minimization and voltage stability has been evaluated in [5]. Abdullah also examined a transmission loss minimization using evolutionary computation for improved voltage stability [6]. A fuzzy multi-objective optimization and genetic algorithm based method has been applied to find optimum power system operating conditions as reported in [7]. A new method of calculating transmission power loss based on exact modelling of ohmic loss was presented in [8]. The approaches applied in the references mentioned above had limitations of using bundled conductors for corona loss minimization. This paper in a bid to ensure that appreciable reduction in corona loss is achieved applied spacing adjustment of 2, 3, and 4 strands of bundled conductors for corona loss minimization. It also considered a mathematical modelling for power losses using the Ohmic and corona loss equations. The resulting model was minimized using the classical unconstrained optimization technique involving a Hessian matrix.

II. CORONA DISCHARGE AND POWER LOSS MODELLING.

Corona discharge is a phenomenon which involves the ionization of the surrounding air of power conductors at a voltage greater than the critical breakdown voltage [9]. This process is usually characterized by a hissing sound which is accompanied by a bluish discharge with a production of ozone gases and flashover [9]. The corona and the ohmic losses contribute significantly to transmission line losses. Heat production and temperature rise in electrical conductors emanates from the current flow in the conductor. The rise in temperature of transmission line conductors increases the resistance of the conductor which invariably increases the conduction losses of the conductor. The ohmic loss for a heated conductor is given by equation (1) as reported in [10].

\[ \text{Ohmic Loss} = I^2 R \] (Kw/Km/phase) \hspace{1cm} (1)

\[ R = \frac{\rho L}{A} \] (Ω) \hspace{1cm} (2)

The expression for the corona loss is presented in equation (3) in accordance with the reports in [11].

\[ \text{Corona Loss} = \frac{242 \times (F + 25)}{\delta} \times \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2d}} \times (V - V_b)^2 \times 10^{-5} \] (Kw/Km/phase) \hspace{1cm} (3)

The corona loss in terms of the cross-sectional area of the conductor is re-expressed in equation (4).

\[ \text{Corona Loss} = \frac{242 \times (F + 25)}{\delta} \times \frac{A}{\pi d^2} \times (V - V_b)^2 \times 10^{-5} \] (Kw/Km/phase) \hspace{1cm} (4)

Total power loss on transmission lines is the summation of the Ohmic and Corona loss as given in equation (5).
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The expressions for the geometric mean radius for the 2, 3, and 4 strands bundled conductors are presented in equations (8), (9), and (10).

\[ D_s^b (2) = \sqrt{D_s \times d} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

\[ D_s^b (3) = \frac{3}{\sqrt{D_s \times d^2}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

\[ D_s^b (4) = 1.09 \times \frac{4}{\sqrt{D_s \times d^3}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

It is obvious that when equations (8), (9), and (10) are substituted for the space between the transmission lines conductors (d) in equation (4), the magnitude of the corona loss in equation (4) reduces with the increase in the space between the bundled conductors and the geometric mean radius of the bundled conductors (D_s^b). This is demonstrated in the simulation results presented in Figures 4-8 respectively.

IV. POWER LOSS MINIMIZATION WITH HESSIAN MATRIX TEST.

Corona and Ohmic loss minimization is mathematically achieved in this work with an unconstrained optimization technique using the Hessian matrix test for positive definite solution. The objective function to be optimized is the total loss which include the Ohmic and the corona loss. The Hessian matrix is derived from the minimized expressions obtained from equations (11) to (23).

\[ \text{Minimize} \quad T_{\text{Loss}} = \frac{\rho L}{A} + \frac{242 \times (F + 25)}{\delta} \times \left( \frac{A}{\pi d^2} \right) \times (V - V_0)^2 \times 10^{-5} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

The stationary points of equation (11) are determined by differentiating all the selected variables to form the Hessian matrix according to Rao [16].

\[ \frac{\partial T_{\text{Loss}}}{\partial I} = 2\rho L \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

\[ \frac{\partial T_{\text{Loss}}}{\partial V} = 484 \times \left( F + 25 \right) \times \left( \frac{A}{\pi d^2} \right) \times (V - V_0) \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

\[ \frac{\partial T_{\text{Loss}}}{\partial d} = \frac{-121}{\delta} \times \left( F + 25 \right) \times \left( \frac{A}{\pi} \right) \times (V - V_0)^2 \times d^{-3} \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

The Hessian matrix is obtained by taking the second derivatives of equations (12), (13), and (14).

\[ \frac{\partial^2 T_{\text{Loss}}}{\partial I^2} = \frac{2\rho L}{A} \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

\[ \frac{\partial^2 T_{\text{Loss}}}{\partial V^2} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

\[ \frac{\partial^2 T_{\text{Loss}}}{\partial I \partial V} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

\[ \frac{\partial^2 T_{\text{Loss}}}{\partial V \partial d} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

The different strands of bundled conductors are represented in Figures 1, 2, and 3 respectively.
\[
\frac{\partial^2 T_{\text{Loss}}}{\partial V^2} = \frac{484 \times (F + 25)}{\delta} \times \frac{4 \left( \frac{A}{\pi d^2} \right)}{10^{-5}} \times (V - V_0) \times d^3
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 T_{\text{Loss}}}{\partial V \partial d} = -\frac{242 \times (F + 25)}{\delta} \times \frac{4 \left( \frac{A}{\pi} \right)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \times (V - V_0) \times d^3 \times 10^{-5}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 T_{\text{Loss}}}{\partial d \partial V} = \frac{242 \times (F + 25)}{\delta} \times \frac{4 \left( \frac{A}{\pi d^2} \right)}{10^{-5}} \times (V - V_0) \times d^3 \times 10^{-5}
\]

The Hessian matrix is represented in equation (24).

\[
H = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial^2 T_{\text{Loss}}}{\partial d^2} & \frac{\partial^2 T_{\text{Loss}}}{\partial d \partial V} \\
\frac{\partial^2 T_{\text{Loss}}}{\partial d \partial V} & \frac{\partial^2 T_{\text{Loss}}}{\partial V^2}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Substituting the equivalent expression of the second derivatives into the Hessian matrix results to equation (25).

The total power loss is minimized if the leading principal determinants are positive and greater than zero for an optimized system. Therefore, the leading principal determinants for equation (25) are presented in equations (26), (27), and (28).

| H_{11} | = \left| \frac{21 \rho L}{A} \right| > 0

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
H_{11} & H_{12} \\
H_{21} & H_{22}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{21 \rho L}{A} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{484 \times (F + 25)}{\delta} \times 10^{-5}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
H_{11} & H_{12} \\
H_{21} & H_{22}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{21 \rho L}{A} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{484 \times (F + 25)}{\delta} \times 10^{-5}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
H_{11} & H_{12} \\
H_{21} & H_{22}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{21 \rho L}{A} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{484 \times (F + 25)}{\delta} \times 10^{-5}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
H_{11} & H_{12} \\
H_{21} & H_{22}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{21 \rho L}{A} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{484 \times (F + 25)}{\delta} \times 10^{-5}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
H_{11} & H_{12} \\
H_{21} & H_{22}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{21 \rho L}{A} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{484 \times (F + 25)}{\delta} \times 10^{-5}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
H_{11} & H_{12} \\
H_{21} & H_{22}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{21 \rho L}{A} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{484 \times (F + 25)}{\delta} \times 10^{-5}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
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\[
\frac{2l_0L}{A} \left[ \left( \frac{484 \times (F + 25)}{\delta} \right)^4 \sqrt{\frac{A}{\pi d^2}} \times 10^{-5} \right] \\
\times \left( \frac{363 \times (F + 25)}{28} \right)^4 \sqrt{\frac{A}{\pi}} \times (V - V_0)^2 \\
\times d^{-\frac{5}{2}} \times 10^{-5} \right) \\
> 0 \quad (28)
\]

Since all the leading principal determinants are greater than zero, it is evident that the Hessian matrix for the total power loss on the transmission line is positive definite. This implies that the power loss on the transmission line can only be minimized if the flowing current gets to zero and when the operating voltage (V) assumes a value close to the critical disruptive voltage (V_0) with an infinite distance of conductor spacing (d).

V. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.

Simulation was carried out with the aid of the corona loss equation presented in (4). The simulation parameters are shown in Table 1. A plot of corona loss against an unbundled conductor spacing is presented in Figure 4. It is observed that an exponential decrease in the magnitude of corona loss is obtained with an increase in the conductor spacing as shown in Figure 4. When 2, 3, and 4 strands of bundled conductor are incorporated, it is also observed that the rate of corona loss is decreased with an increased number of bundled conductors as shown in Figures 5, 6, and 7. This is evident in Figure 7 which has a much reduced value of corona loss for a four bundled conductor with more spacing distance: The plot of voltage gradient presented in Figure 8 showed that the voltage gradient attained a minimum value when the sub-conductor spacing is increased which conformed to the principle earlier presented.

Table 1. Simulation Parameters [10].

| Estimated Corona Parameters | Values |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Barometric Pressure P (cmHg) | 72.2   |
| Ambient Temperature t (°C)  | 25     |
| Air Density Factor δ        | 0.95   |
| Frequency of Transmission   | 50     |
| Voltage F (Hz)              |        |
| Radius of The Conductor R (cm) | 1.2   |
| Spacing Between The         | 200, 400, 600, |
| Transmission Lines Conductors D | 800, 1000 |
| Separation between Sub-     | 14.64  |
| Conductors S (cm)           |        |
| Transmission Line Voltage V | 330kV  |
| (kV)                        |        |
| Estimated Critical Disruptive Voltage V_0 (kV) | 21.1 |
| Cross Sectional Area of the | 4.524  |
| conductor A (cm^2)          |        |
| Conductors Length L (m)     | 250-300|

Figure 4. A plot of Corona Loss against Unbundled Conductor Spacing (d).

Figure 5. A plot of Corona Loss against 2-strands bundled Conductor Spacing (d).
definite condition. Simulation results obtained for the corona loss with an increased conductor spacing for a 2, 3, and 4 bundled conductors showed a sharp exponential decrease as the conductor bundling is increased beyond two. The voltage gradient also decreased with an increased conductor spacing as presented in the simulation result which conformed to the existing reported literature. This obvious decrease in corona loss and voltage gradient on the transmission lines with a resultant increase in the space between conductors validates this research.
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