Development and validation of a 90-day mortality prediction model following endobiliary drainage in patients with unresectable malignant biliary obstruction
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Background: Palliative endobiliary drainage is the mainstay treatment for unresectable malignant biliary obstruction (MBO). Despite optimal drainage, the survival benefit is arguable. This study aimed to identify factors predicting post-endoscopic drainage mortality and develop and validate a mortality prediction model.

Methods: We retrospectively analyzed data for 451 patients with unresectable pancreaticobiliary cancers undergoing first endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP)-guided endobiliary stent placement between 2007 and 2017. We randomly assigned patients in a 3:1 fashion into a derivation cohort (n=339) and validation cohort (n=112). Predictors for 90-day mortality post-stenting were identified from the derivation cohort. A prediction model was subsequently developed and verified with the validation cohort.

Results: The overall 90-day mortality rate of the derivation cohort was 46.9%, and the mean age was 64.2 years. The 2 most common diagnoses were cholangiocarcinoma (53.4%) and pancreatic cancer (35.4%). In all, 34.2% had liver metastasis. The median total bilirubin (TB) level was 19.2 mg/dL, and the mean serum albumin was 3.2 g/dL. A metallic stent was used for 64.6% of the patients, and the median stent patency time was 63 days. A total of 70.8% had TB improvement of more than 50% within 2 weeks after stenting, and 14.5% were eligible for chemotherapy. Intrahepatic obstruction (OR=5.69; P=0.023), stage IV cancer (OR=3.01; P=0.001), pre-endoscopic serum albumin (OR=0.48; P=0.001), TB improvement within 2 weeks after stenting (OR=0.57; P=0.036), and chemotherapy after ERCP (OR=0.11; P=0.001) were associated with 90-day mortality after stenting. The prediction model was developed to identify the risk of death within 90 days post-stent placement.
The AUROC was 0.76 and 0.75 in derivation and validation cohorts. Patients with a score $\geq 1.40$ had a high likelihood of death, whereas those scoring $< -1.50$ had a low likelihood of death. Additionally, a score $\geq 0.58$ provided a 75.2% probability of death, which highlights the usability of the model.

Conclusions: This study proposes a useful validated prediction model to forecast the 90-day mortality of unresectable MBO patients after stenting. The model permits physicians to stratify the death risk and may be helpful to provide a proper palliative strategy.
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Introduction

Malignant biliary obstruction (MBO) is a common cause of obstructive jaundice worldwide. Cholangiocarcinoma, pancreatic cancer, gallbladder cancer, and metastatic lymph nodes comprise the majority of MBOs (1). Patients with MBO usually present with an advanced and unresectable stage at diagnosis (2). The symptoms (jaundice, pruritus, malaise, anorexia, and weight loss) are unpleasant and impair patients’ quality of life (2–5). Furthermore, due to pre-existing jaundice, initiation of chemotherapy is usually delayed to avoid the risk of hepatotoxicity (6–8). Consequently, the prognosis of unresectable MBO patients is generally poor, and the 5-year survival rate is usually less than 5% (1, 9).

Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP)-guided endobiliary drainage with stent placement is a primary treatment for unresectable MBO patients to relieve symptoms and provide the opportunity for chemotherapy (10–12). In addition to the higher technical success rate of 90%–95%, ERCP-guided endobiliary stent placement demonstrates reduced adverse events, hospitalization, and total costs. Additionally, it is associated with more prolonged survival than percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage and surgical drainage (13–18). However, 10% of unresectable MBO patients do not respond to endobiliary stents, and survival after stent placement eventually worsens (19).

Various clinical studies have explored the predictors for mortality after endoscopic endobiliary stent placement. Prat et al. demonstrated that a tumor size greater than 3 cm was associated with shorter post-stenting survival than a tumor size less than 3 cm (20). The presence of liver metastasis was the major contributor to 24-week mortality and was significantly associated with shorter survival after endobiliary drainage in patients with unresectable MBO (21–23). For pre-endoscopic laboratories, serum levels of total bilirubin (TB) greater than 14 mg/dL and albumin levels lower than 2 mg/dL were associated with 30-day mortality after endobiliary stenting in MBO patients (24, 25). However, pre-endoscopic white blood cell counts lower than 11 000/mm$^3$ and serum gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT) lower than 165 U/L were associated with better survival outcomes in unresectable pancreatic cancer patients (26).

Nevertheless, clinical studies identifying potential predictors for post-stenting and mortality are limited and generally have small sample sizes. Moreover, other clinical predictors that could influence the mortality outcome, including the site of obstruction and radiographic findings, have not been established by prior studies.

The present study explored the clinical predictors for 90-day mortality after endoscopic endobiliary stent placement. A prediction model for 90-day mortality was also developed and internally validated to identify suitable unresectable MBO patients to proceed with optimal palliation according to their predicted post-stenting mortality.

Materials and methods

Study population

The Institutional Review Board of the Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital approved the study protocol, which met the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Helsinki Declaration (approval...
number 097/2019). A retrospective review of the ERCP database of a large tertiary care center was conducted. Eligible patients were those who underwent their first ERCP with endobiliary stent placement for unresectable MBO due to pancreatobiliary cancers between January 2007 and December 2017. The criteria for unresectable MBO were as follows:

- Inoperable locally advanced disease due to major vascular involvement, bilateral or contralateral portal vein, hepatic artery, or secondary biliary radicle involvement
- Bismuth–Corlette type IV hilar cholangiocarcinoma
- Distant organ or lymph node metastasis
- Unfit for surgery due to significant comorbid conditions and poor functional status.

A total of 1334 patients with unresectable MBO were identified. Of these, 883 patients were excluded. The reasons were incomplete data (n=417), biliary obstruction caused by malignancies other than pancreatobiliary cancers (n=60), concomitant other biliary drainage procedures (n=128), previous endobiliary stent placement (n=73), failed cannulation or no stent placement (n=64), advanced cirrhosis (n=62), death within 2 weeks after endobiliary stent placement (n=30), absence of jaundice (n=25), receiving chemotherapy prior to stenting (n=18), and percutaneous cholecystostomy (n=6). The remaining 451 patients were enrolled and randomly divided in a 3:1 fashion into a “derivation cohort” (n=339) and a “validation cohort” (n=112) using computer generation by simple randomization method.

All relevant clinical and laboratory data before and after ERCP were collected. In addition, cross-sectional images and cholangiographic findings were reviewed. The diagnosis of malignant obstruction was based on either histopathology alone or combined imaging and clinical follow-up in cases where histology could not be obtained.

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables are expressed as numbers and percentages. Continuous variables are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation for normally distributed data and the median with interquartile range (IQR) for skewed distribution data. Groups were compared using the Chi² test or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables and the independent t-test or Mann–Whitney U test for continuous variables. Differences were considered statistically significant if the probability (P) values were < 0.05.

Using univariate logistic regression, clinical variables that influenced the study outcomes were identified and compared between surviving and non-surviving patients. The odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were obtained. The significant variables from this step were entered into a multivariate logistic regression model as demonstrated in Model 1. Because of the long recruitment period of the study, the calendar year of endoscopic procedures was considered to control the potential effect on the outcomes in the logistic regression models as shown in Model 2. The coefficients estimated for each factor, which provided the best predictive ability, were relatively weighted to compute the mortality prediction model. The calibration of the mortality prediction model was assessed by comparing the actual observed risk and the average probability of death predicted by the score. The Hosmer–Lemeshow test was used to assess the corresponding goodness-of-fit.

The discriminative power of the developed prediction model was estimated by calculating the area under a receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC). The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), positive likelihood ratio (LR+), and negative likelihood ratio (LR−) were also determined. Based on a receiver operating curve, cutoffs were selected to categorize patients into a low and high probability (~90%) of death within 90 days after endobiliary stenting. A Cox proportional hazards model was used to estimate the hazard ratio and probability of death according to quartiles of probability score. The overall cumulative survival was estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method. All statistical analyses were performed using PASW Statistics for Windows, version 18 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Baseline characteristics

Of 451 patients with unresectable pancreatobiliary cancers who underwent their first ERCP-guided endobiliary stent placement, 339 and 112 were randomly assigned to the derivation and validation cohorts, respectively. The mean age of the derivation cohort was 64.2 ± 12.4 years, and 173 patients (51.0%) were men. Cholangiocarcinoma was the most common diagnosis, accounting for 53.4% of cases, followed by pancreatic cancer (35.4%), gallbladder cancer (10.0%), and malignant intrapapillary mucinous neoplasm (1.2%). Liver metastasis was noted in 34.2% of patients. The most common clinical presentations were jaundice (89.4%), weight loss (63.7%), abdominal pain (57.2%), and fever (7.1%). Acute cholangitis was diagnosed in 18.0% of cases at the initial presentation. The median pre-endoscopic TB level was 19.2 ± 9.5 mg/dL, and the mean serum albumin was 3.2 ± 0.6 g/dL. Thirty-nine percent of patients had hilar obstruction, whereas 56.6% had an extrahepatic obstruction detected on imaging. Evidence of advanced-stage disease was present: major vascular invasion (47.5%), portal vein invasion (28.3%), distant metastasis (60.5%), peritoneal carcinomatosis (12.1%), and lymph...
node metastasis (68.0%). Self-expandable metallic and plastic stents were used in 64.6% and 35.4% of patients, respectively (Table 1).

In the derivation cohort, 159 patients (46.9%) died within 90 days after endobiliary stent placement. The causes of death were acute cholangitis (7.7%), advanced-stage malignancy (5.9%), and bacterial septicemia (2.7%). Weight loss was the significant presenting symptom associated with death. Regarding pre-endoscopic laboratory findings, the non-surviving group tended to have higher TB and alkaline phosphatase values but lower serum albumin. Large tumor size and the presence of liver, peritoneal, and distant metastases on imaging were significantly associated with death (Table 2). Stage IV cancer based on 8th edition of American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) clinical staging was significantly associated with death (Supplementary Table 4).

The self-expandable metallic stent was used more frequently than plastic stents in the surviving (58.3% vs 41.7%) and non-surviving groups (71.7% vs 28.3%). Metallic stent was used predominately in patients with more advanced metastatic disease compared with plastic stent (Supplementary Table 3). Patients in the surviving group had significantly longer stent patency (75.5 days vs 28 days, P<0.003), a higher rate of TB improvement of more than 50% from baseline within 2 weeks after stenting (77.8% vs 62.9%; P<0.001), and were more eligible for chemotherapy (24.4% vs 3.1%; P<0.001) than those in the non-surviving group. The waiting times for ERCP and post-ERCP complications of the surviving and non-surviving groups were comparable (Table 2). Gemcitabine plus cisplatin-based chemotherapy was used more frequently than other regimens (Supplementary Table 4).

**Predictors for 90-day mortality**

In the univariate analysis (Table 3), the following were identified as significant variables associated with 90-day mortality after stent placement: intrahepatic biliary obstruction on cross-sectional imaging (P=0.025), peritoneal carcinomatosis (P=0.011), liver metastasis (P=0.004), distant metastasis (P<0.001), pre-endoscopic TB level (P=0.001), pre-endoscopic international normalized ratio level (P=0.032), size of the obstructive tumor (P=0.007), metallic stent (P=0.011), and stage of cancer (P=0.012). However, pre-endoscopic serum albumin (P=0.001), TB improvement of more than 50% from baseline within 2 weeks after stenting (P=0.003), and chemotherapy after ERCP (P<0.001) were inversely associated with 90-day mortality. In the multivariate analysis, the significant predictors of death within 90 days were the following: intrahepatic biliary obstruction (OR 5.69; 95% CI, 1.28–25.4; P=0.023), stage 4 cancer (OR 3.01; 95% CI, 1.81–5.02; P<0.001), pre-endoscopic serum albumin (OR 0.48; 95% CI, 0.32–0.74; P=0.001), TB improvement of more than 50% from baseline within 2 weeks after stenting (OR 0.57; 95% CI, 0.33–0.97; P=0.036), and chemotherapy after ERCP (OR 0.11; 95% CI, 0.04–0.31; P<0.001). These are shown in Model 1. These variables remained significant after an adjustment was made for the calendar year of endobiliary intervention to recognize the long recruitment period. The variables are shown in Model 2.

**Development and validation of the 90-day mortality prediction model**

The prediction model was developed using the significant factors associated with 90-day mortality after endobiliary stent placement. Intrahepatic biliary obstruction, stage IV cancer, pre-endoscopic serum albumin, TB improvement of more than 50% from baseline within 2 weeks after stenting, and chemotherapy after ERCP were associated with 90-day mortality. Their coefficients were 1.739, 1.103, -0.729, -0.569, and -2.183, respectively. The equation for 90-day mortality prediction was as follows:

\[2.091 + [1.739 \times \text{intrahepatic biliary obstruction on cross-sectional imaging (yes = 1, no = 0)} + [1.103 \times \text{stage IV cancer (yes = 1, no = 0)}] + (-0.729 \times \text{pre-endoscopic serum albumin level}) + [-0.569 \times \text{TB improvement of more than 50% within 2 weeks after stenting (yes = 1, no = 0)}] + [-2.183 \times \text{chemotherapy after ERCP (yes = 1, no = 0)}],\]

with “1” and “0” used for the presence and absence of each factor. An online score calculator is available at https://personalweb.mahidol.ac.th/Nonthalee-pau/mortality-prediction-score.

The calibration of the probability score was performed by comparing the observed and predicted 90-day probability of death according to the quartiles (Figure 1A). The predicted and observed probabilities of death were similar across the quartiles of the probability score in the derivation cohort (Hosmer–Lemeshow χ² = 8.00, P=0.434) and the validation cohort (Hosmer–Lemeshow χ² = 5.12, P=0.647). These results signified good performance of the probability score throughout the whole score-value range. The scores in the derivation cohort ranged from -4.94 to 4.40. The AUROC was 0.76 (95% CI, 0.71–0.81).

The validation analysis drew upon data for 112 patients with unresectable MBO. The baseline clinical characteristics, laboratory findings, and imaging findings of the validation cohort were comparable to those of the derivation cohort. The AUROC of the prediction model for 90-day mortality was 0.75 (95% CI, 0.66–0.84), demonstrating the reliability of the prediction model (Figure 1B). The optimal cutoff of 0.03 had a sensitivity of 70.4%, specificity of 65.6%, LR+ of 2.05–2.43, and LR- of 0.43–0.45 for predicting 90-day mortality following endobiliary stent placement (Table 4). The high and low cutoffs were identified to categorize patients with the lowest and highest risks of death. Patients with a risk score over 1.40 were identified as a high-risk group for 90-day mortality. Of these patients, 84.2% were correctly predicted...
TABLE 1 Patient characteristics and endoscopic interventions in the derivation and validation cohorts.

| Characteristics                        | Derivation cohort (N = 339) | Validation cohort (N = 112) | P value |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Male gender, n (%)                     | 173 (51.0%)                 | 64 (57.1%)                  | 0.262   |
| Age (years)                            | 64.2 ± 12.4                 | 63.4 ± 12.5                 | 0.572   |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)                | 21.6 ± 3.8                  | 22.5 ± 4.7                  | 0.037   |
| Waiting time for ERCP (days)           | 19.0 (9.0–31.0)             | 20.5 (9.5–38.0)             | 0.119   |
| **Type of malignancy**                 |                             |                             |         |
| Cholangiocarcinoma, n (%)              | 181 (53.4%)                 | 61 (54.5%)                  | 0.844   |
| Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma         | 31 (17.1%)                  | 12 (19.7%)                  | 0.624   |
| Hilar cholangiocarcinoma               | 107 (59.1%)                 | 37 (60.7%)                  | 0.645   |
| Extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma        | 43 (23.8%)                  | 12 (19.7%)                  | 0.714   |
| Pancreatic cancer                      | 120 (35.4%)                 | 39 (34.8%)                  | 0.912   |
| Gallbladder cancer                     | 34 (10.0%)                  | 11 (9.8%)                   | 0.949   |
| Malignant IPMN                          | 4 (1.2%)                    | 1 (0.9%)                    | 1.000   |
| **ECOG performance-status score, n (%)** |                             |                             |         |
| 1                                      | 58 (17.1%)                  | 16 (14.3%)                  | 0.484   |
| 2                                      | 192 (56.6%)                 | 71 (63.4%)                  | 0.209   |
| 3                                      | 89 (26.3%)                  | 25 (22.3%)                  | 0.406   |
| **Clinical presentation, n (%)**       |                             |                             |         |
| Abdominal pain                          | 194 (57.2%)                 | 62 (55.4%)                  | 0.729   |
| Jaundice                               | 303 (89.4%)                 | 96 (85.7%)                  | 0.292   |
| Fever                                  | 24 (7.1%)                   | 6 (5.4%)                    | 0.526   |
| Weight loss                            | 216 (63.7%)                 | 66 (58.9%)                  | 0.364   |
| Ascending cholangitis                   | 61 (18.0%)                  | 21 (18.8%)                  | 0.857   |
| **Pre-endoscopic laboratory**           |                             |                             |         |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL)                      | 10.6 ± 3.0                  | 10.8 ± 1.5                  | 0.428   |
| Platelet (10⁹/L)                       | 319 (265–399)               | 323 (248–412)               | 0.724   |
| INR                                    | 1.4 ± 0.7                   | 1.4 ± 0.6                   | 0.938   |
| Total bilirubin (mg/dL)                | 19.2 ± 9.5                  | 19.1 ± 10.0                 | 0.973   |
| Albumin (g/dL)                         | 3.2 ± 0.6                   | 3.2 ± 0.6                   | 0.765   |
| Alkaline phosphatase (IU/L)            | 465 (287–680)               | 427 (288–698)               | 0.783   |
| Creatinine (mg/dL)                     | 0.8 (0.6–1.0)               | 0.8 (0.6–1.0)               | 0.546   |
| **Cross-sectional imaging**            |                             |                             |         |
| Size of obstructive tumor (cm)         | 3.8 (2.6–5.1)               | 3.6 (2.6–5.5)               | 0.896   |
| Hilar obstruction, n (%)               | 133 (39.2%)                 | 45 (40.2%)                  | 0.859   |
| Non-hilar obstruction, n (%)           | 206 (60.8%)                 | 67 (59.8%)                  | 0.859   |
| Intrahepatic obstruction               | 14 (4.1%)                   | 7 (6.3%)                    | 0.356   |
| Extrahepatic obstruction               | 192 (56.6%)                 | 60 (53.6%)                  | 0.571   |
| Combined obstruction, n (%)            | 14 (4.1%)                   | 6 (5.4%)                    | 0.599   |
| Vascular involvement, n (%)            | 161 (47.5%)                 | 59 (52.7%)                  | 0.341   |
| Portal vein invasion, n (%)            | 96 (28.3%)                  | 45 (40.2%)                  | 0.019   |
| Duodenal invasion, n (%)               | 31 (9.1%)                   | 11 (9.8%)                   | 0.831   |
| Liver metastasis, n (%)                | 116 (34.2%)                 | 34 (30.4%)                  | 0.452   |
| Distant metastasis, n (%)              | 205 (60.5%)                 | 67 (59.8%)                  | 0.903   |
| Peritoneal carcinomatosis, n (%)       | 41 (12.1%)                  | 12 (10.7%)                  | 0.694   |
| Lymph node metastasis, n (%)           | 231 (68.0%)                 | 80 (71.4%)                  | 0.514   |
| **Endoscopic intervention, n (%)**     |                             |                             |         |
| Presence of metallic/plastic stent     | 219 (64.6%)/120 (35.4%)     | 76 (67.9%)/36 (32.1%)       | 0.530   |
| One stent placement                    | 317 (93.5%)                 | 106 (94.6%)                 | 0.667   |
| One plastic stent placement           | 112 (33.0%)                 | 34 (30.4%)                  | 0.599   |

(Continued)
Patients with a risk score under -1.50 were identified as a low-risk group for 90-day mortality. Eighty-nine percent of these patients were correctly predicted (NPVs of 89%–93%).

The cutoffs were further explored by stratifying the prediction score into 4 intervals according to the quartiles for predicting the 90-day probability of death. In the derivation cohort, the cutoffs were as follows: scores < -0.83, scores of -0.83 to <0.07 (probability of death, 41.9%), scores of 0.07 to <0.58 (probability of death, 54.9%), and scores of ≥0.58 (probability of death, 75.2%). The prediction model was then applied to the validation cohort. The cutoffs were as follows: scores < -0.83, scores of -0.83 to <0.07 (probability of death, 34.3%), scores of 0.07 to <0.58 (probability of death, 55.6%), and scores of ≥0.58 (probability of death, 76.0%; Table 5).

Survival analysis at 90 days

During follow-up, 159 patients in the derivation cohort died. The median follow-up was 99 days (IQR, 47–239). The cumulative survival at 90 days after endobiliary stent placement was 52.8%. In the validation cohort, 49 patients died. The median follow-up was 114 days (IQR, 61–265). At 90 days after endobiliary stent placement, the cumulative survival was 56.3%. The cumulative survival was stratified according to the quartiles of the prediction score in the derivation and validation cohorts (Figure 2).

Discussion

Biliary obstruction caused by pancreaticobiliary tumors may lead to poor outcomes, including cholangitis, delay in treatment including chemotherapy, a decreased quality of life, and higher mortality. Understanding the factors associated with mortality due to this condition will inform how to effectively allocate resources. Our study showed that pre-endoscopic serum albumin level, intrahepatic biliary obstruction, stage IV cancer, improvement of hyperbilirubinemia within 2 weeks after stenting, and receiving chemotherapy were independent risk factors for 90-day mortality in patients with pancreaticobiliary cancers. Lower pre-endoscopic serum albumin levels were significantly associated with 90-day mortality. Albumin is a liver-synthesized plasmaprotein essential in immunomodulation, endothelium stability, and antioxidant activity (27). Hypoalbuminemia in unresectable MBO patients indicates a deterioration in liver function from long-standing biliary obstruction and has been associated with overall survival in previous studies (24, 27, 28). Hypoalbuminemia also reflects poor nutritional status due to systemic inflammation, precluding most advanced-stage MBO patients from receiving chemotherapy and contributing to a higher fatality rate. In patients with advanced liver disease, albumin levels are often low. Cirrhotic individuals were thus omitted from this investigation to minimize the potential confounding effect of pre-endoscopic albumin levels.

Intrahepatic biliary obstruction was significantly associated with 90-day mortality. Endoscopic-guided biliary decompression
| Characteristics | Survived (N = 180) | Deceased (N = 159) | P value |
|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Male gender, n (%) | 87 (48.3%) | 86 (54.1%) | 0.290   |
| Age (years)   | 64.0 ± 12.7 | 64.4 ± 12.2 | 0.794   |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | 21.7 ± 3.4 | 21.4 ± 4.1 | 0.463   |
| Waiting time for ERCP (days) | 19.0 (9.0–30.0) | 20.0 (9.0–32.0) | 0.468   |
| Type of malignancy |                     |                    |         |
| Cholangiocarcinoma, n (%) | 89 (49.4%) | 92 (57.9%) | 0.121   |
| Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma | 14 (15.7%) | 17 (18.5%) | 0.353   |
| Hilar cholangiocarcinoma | 54 (30.0%) | 53 (62.9%) | 0.510   |
| Extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma | 21 (23.6%) | 22 (23.9%) | 0.352   |
| Pancreatic cancer | 67 (37.2%) | 53 (33.3%) | 0.455   |
| Gallbladder cancer | 21 (11.7%) | 13 (8.2%) | 0.286   |
| Malignant IPMN | 3 (1.7%) | 1 (0.6%) | 0.626   |
| ECOG performance-status score, n (%) | | | |
| 1 | 34 (18.9%) | 24 (15.1%) | 0.355   |
| 2 | 105 (58.3%) | 87 (54.7%) | 0.503   |
| 3 | 41 (22.8%) | 48 (30.2%) | 0.122   |
| Multiple comorbidities† | 61 (33.9%) | 53 (33.3%) | 0.914   |
| Clinical presentation | | | |
| Abdominal pain | 97 (53.9%) | 97 (61.0%) | 0.186   |
| Jaundice | 161 (89.4%) | 142 (89.3%) | 0.968   |
| Fever | 16 (8.9%) | 8 (5.0%) | 0.167   |
| Weight loss | 102 (56.7%) | 114 (71.7%) | 0.004   |
| Ascending cholangitis | 31 (17.2%) | 30 (18.9%) | 0.694   |
| Pre-endoscopic laboratory | | | |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL) | 10.7 ± 1.8 | 10.4 ± 4.0 | 0.377   |
| Platelet (109/L) | 312.5 (258–393) | 322 (268–404) | 0.430   |
| INR | 1.3 ± 0.5 | 1.5 ± 0.8 | 0.023   |
| Total bilirubin (mg/dL) | 17.5 ± 9.7 | 21.1 ± 9.0 | 0.001   |
| Albumin (g/dL) | 3.4 ± 0.6 | 3.1 ± 0.6 | < 0.001 |
| Alkaline phosphatase (IU/L) | 427.5 (270.0–647.5) | 522.0 (309.0–716.0) | 0.027   |
| Creatinine (mg/dL) | 0.8 (0.7–0.9) | 0.8 (0.6–1.0) | 0.515   |
| Cross-sectional imaging | | | |
| Size of obstructive tumor (cm) | 3.9 (2.2–3.5) | 4.1 (2.8–5.8) | 0.004   |
| Hilar obstruction, n (%) | 73 (40.6%) | 60 (37.7%) | 0.596   |
| Non-hilar obstruction, n (%) | 107 (59.4%) | 99 (62.3%) | 0.596   |
| Intrahepatic obstruction | 3 (1.7%) | 11 (6.9%) | 0.015   |
| Extrahepatic obstruction | 104 (57.8%) | 88 (55.3%) | 0.652   |
| Combined obstruction, n (%) | 8 (4.4%) | 6 (3.8%) | 0.757   |
| Vascular involvement, n (%) | 80 (44.4%) | 81 (50.9%) | 0.232   |
| Portal vein invasion, n (%) | 45 (25.0%) | 51 (32.1%) | 0.149   |
| Duodenal invasion, n (%) | 16 (8.9%) | 15 (9.4%) | 0.862   |
| Liver metastasis, n (%) | 49 (27.2%) | 67 (42.1%) | 0.004   |
| Distant metastasis, n (%) | 93 (51.7%) | 112 (70.4%) | < 0.001 |
| Peritoneal carcinomatosis, n (%) | 14 (7.8%) | 27 (17.0%) | 0.010   |
| Lymph node metastasis, n (%) | 120 (66.7%) | 111 (69.8%) | 0.535   |
| Endoscopic intervention, n (%) | | | |
| Length of biliary stricture (mm) | 20.0 (13.0–30.0) | 20.0 (15.0–30.0) | 0.143   |
| Diameter of intrahepatic biliary dilatation (mm) | 16.0 ± 6.5 | 16.3 ± 6.5 | 0.225   |

(Continued)
in obstruction at intrahepatic biliary tract portion can be challenging, especially for peripheral duct obstruction. Furthermore, patients with intrahepatic biliary obstruction frequently have a concurrent hepatic vascular invasion. This condition results in liver decompensation, liver atrophy, and small liver remnants, with endobiliary stenting failing to provide adequate biliary drainage of more than 50% of the liver volume (29). Hence, our patients with intrahepatic biliary obstruction had a greater overall mortality rate, even after performing endobiliary stenting, than those with hilar or extrahepatic biliary obstruction.

The majority of our patients with pancreaticobiliary cancers were at clinical AJCC stage IV. Using the multivariate regression analysis of clinical factors for 90-day mortality in patients with pancreaticobiliary cancers, stage IV cancer was determined as an independent risk factor for 90-day mortality after stenting. This finding is compatible with those of previous studies, which showed that liver metastases and peritoneal carcinomatosis were independent risk factors for short-term mortality in patients with pancreaticobiliary cancers (21–23, 30).

Hernandez et al. (25) found that pre-stenting TB levels of more than 14 mg/dL were substantially associated with 30-day mortality. A high pre-endoscopic TB level indicated severe, long-standing biliary obstruction and cirrhosis, contributing to poor bilirubin normalization following stenting. As a result, these individuals were ineligible for chemotherapy and had higher mortality than those with lower pre-endoscopic TB levels (31).

Our study showed that a 50% improvement of hyperbilirubinemia within 2 weeks after stenting was inversely associated with 90-day mortality.

### TABLE 2 Continued

| Characteristics                                                                 | Survived (N = 180) | Deceased (N = 159) | P value |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Diameter of extrahepatic biliary dilatation (mm)                                 | 17.5 ± 6.3        | 17.2 ± 6.9        | 0.765   |
| Presence of either metallic or plastic stent                                    | 105 (58.3%)/75 (41.7%) | 114 (71.7%)/45 (28.3%) | 0.010   |
| One stent placement                                                             | 168 (93.3%)       | 149 (93.7%)       | 0.888   |
| One plastic stent placement                                                     | 69 (38.3%)        | 43 (27.0%)        | 0.027   |
| One metallic stent placement                                                    | 99 (55.0%)        | 106 (66.7%)       | 0.028   |
| - Uncovered SEMs                                                                | 97 (53.9%)        | 102 (64.2%)       | 0.055   |
| - Fully covered SEMs                                                            | 1 (0.6%)          | 3 (1.9%)          | 0.345   |
| - Partially covered SEMs                                                       | 1 (0.6%)          | 1 (0.6%)          | 1.000   |
| Two-stent placements                                                            | 12 (6.7%)         | 10 (6.3%)         | 0.888   |
| Two metallic stents                                                             | 5 (2.8%)          | 7 (4.4%)          | 0.419   |
| Two plastic stents                                                              | 6 (3.3%)          | 1 (0.6%)          | 0.126   |
| One metallic and one plastic stent                                              | 1 (8.3%)          | 2 (20.0%)         | 0.571   |

**Post-endoscopic outcomes**

| Post-ERCP complications, n (%)                                                | 15 (8.3%)         | 19 (11.9%)        | 0.269   |
| Post-ERCP cholangitis                                                          | 6 (3.3%)          | 10 (6.3%)         | 0.200   |
| Post-ERCP pancreatitis                                                        | 8 (4.4%)          | 9 (5.7%)          | 0.609   |
| Duodenal perforation                                                           | 0                 | 0                 | -       |
| Post-sphincterotomy bleeding                                                   | 0                 | 1 (0.6%)          | 0.452   |
| Stent dysfunction, n (%)                                                       | 71 (39.4%)        | 21 (13.2%)        | < 0.001 |
| Stent patency time (days)                                                      | 75.5 (35.0–118.0) | 28.0 (18.0–52.0)  | 0.003   |
| Bilirubin improvement after stenting†, n (%)                                    | 140 (77.8%)       | 100 (62.9%)       | 0.003   |
| Chemotherapy after ERCP, n (%)                                                 | 44 (24.4%)        | 5 (3.1%)          | < 0.001 |

Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation, median (interquartile range), or number (proportion) of patients with a condition.

IPMN, intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm; ECOG, Eastern cooperative oncology group; ERCP, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography; INR, international normalized ratio; SEMs, self-expandable metallic stent.

†Defined by more than two illnesses or diseases occurring in the same person at the same time.

‡Defined by total bilirubin improvement of more than 50% from baseline within 2 weeks after ERCP-guided endobiliary stent placement.
TABLE 3 Univariate and multivariate analyses of baseline variables for predicting 90-day mortality following endobiliary stent placement in the derivation cohort.

### Univariate analysis

| Characteristics                        | Regression coefficient | Standard error | Unadjusted OR (95% CI)          | P value |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Intrahepatic biliary obstruction       | 1.478                  | 0.661          | 4.39 (1.20–16.0)              | 0.025   |
| Peritoneal carcinomatosis              | 0.886                  | 0.349          | 2.43 (1.22–4.81)              | 0.011   |
| Liver metastasis                      | 0.666                  | 0.232          | 1.95 (1.24–3.07)              | 0.004   |
| Distant metastasis                    | 0.802                  | 0.229          | 2.23 (1.42–3.49)              | < 0.001 |
| Pre-endoscopic serum albumin level    | -0.964                 | 0.198          | 0.38 (0.26–0.57)              | < 0.001 |
| Pre-endoscopic total bilirubin level  | 0.041                  | 0.012          | 1.04 (1.02–1.07)              | 0.001   |
| Pre-endoscopic INR level              | 0.441                  | 0.206          | 1.55 (1.04–2.33)              | 0.032   |
| Pre-endoscopic ALP (times above ULN)  | 0.046                  | 0.035          | 1.05 (0.98–1.12)              | 0.183   |
| Endobiliary drainage with metallic stent| 0.593                  | 0.232          | 1.81 (1.15–2.85)              | 0.011   |
| Size of obstructive tumor             | 0.127                  | 0.047          | 1.14 (1.04–1.24)              | 0.007   |
| Stage of pancreatobiliary cancer      | 1.102                  | 0.440          | 3.01 (1.27–7.13)              | 0.012   |
| Bilirubin improvement after stenting* | -0.725                 | 0.243          | 0.48 (0.30–0.78)              | 0.003   |
| Received chemotherapy after ERCP      | -2.299                 | 0.486          | 0.10 (0.04–0.26)              | <0.001  |

95% CI, 95% confidence interval; INR, international normalized ratio; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; OR, odds ratio; ERCP, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography.

### Multivariate analysis

**Model 1**

| Characteristics                        | Regression coefficient | Standard error | Adjusted OR (95% CI)          | P value |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Intrahepatic biliary obstruction       | 1.739                  | 0.762          | 5.69 (1.28–25.4)              | 0.023   |
| Pre-endoscopic serum albumin level    | -0.729                 | 0.217          | 0.48 (0.32–0.74)              | 0.001   |
| Stage IV pancreatobiliary cancer      | 1.103                  | 0.261          | 3.01 (1.81–5.02)              | <0.001  |
| Bilirubin improvement after stenting* | -0.569                 | 0.272          | 0.57 (0.33–0.97)              | 0.036   |
| Received chemotherapy after ERCP      | -2.183                 | 0.517          | 0.11 (0.04–0.31)              | <0.001  |

**Model 2**

| Characteristics                        | Regression coefficient | Standard error | Adjusted OR (95% CI)          | P value |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Intrahepatic biliary obstruction       | 1.567                  | 0.771          | 4.79 (1.06–21.74)             | 0.042   |
| Pre-endoscopic serum albumin level    | -0.702                 | 0.225          | 0.50 (0.32–0.77)              | 0.002   |
| Stage IV pancreatobiliary cancer      | 1.004                  | 0.265          | 2.73 (1.62–4.59)              | <0.001  |
| Bilirubin improvement after stenting* | -0.580                 | 0.274          | 0.56 (0.34–0.96)              | 0.034   |
| Received chemotherapy after ERCP      | -2.218                 | 0.529          | 0.11 (0.04–0.31)              | <0.001  |

*Defined by total bilirubin improvement of more than 50% from baseline within 2 weeks after ERCP-guided endobiliary stent placement.

**FIGURE 1**

The probability of death within 90 days after endobiliary stent placement and diagnostic accuracy of the risk score in the derivation and validation cohorts. (A) The observed and predicted mortality rates according to the approximate quartiles of the risk score. (B) Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve of the risk score.
TABLE 4 The diagnostic performance of the 90-day mortality prediction model following endobiliary stent placement in the derivation and validation cohorts.

The derivation cohort

| Prediction score | Sensitivity (%) (95% CI) | Specificity (%) (95% CI) | PPV (%) (95% CI) | NPV (%) (95% CI) | LR+(95% CI) | LR-(95% CI) |
|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Low score of -1.50 | 96.9 (92.8–99.0) | 23.3 (17.4–30.2) | 52.7 (50.6–54.9) | 89.4 (77.3–95.4) | 1.26(1.16–1.38) | 0.13 (0.05–0.33) |
| Optimal score of 0.03 | 70.4 (62.7–77.4) | 65.6 (58.1–72.5) | 64.4 (59.1–69.4) | 71.5 (65.9–76.6) | 2.05 (1.63–2.56) | 0.45 (0.35–0.59) |
| High score of 1.40 | 10.1 (5.9–15.8) | 96.3 (93.2–99.7) | 84.2 (61.3–94.7) | 55.3 (53.9–56.7) | 6.04 (1.79–20.34) | 0.91 (0.87–0.97) |

The validation cohort

| Prediction score | Sensitivity (%) (95% CI) | Specificity (%) (95% CI) | PPV (%) (95% CI) | NPV (%) (95% CI) | LR+(95% CI) | LR-(95% CI) |
|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Low score of -1.50 | 98.0 (89.2–99.9) | 22.2 (12.7–34.5) | 49.5 (46.0–52.9) | 93.5 (65.6–99.0) | 1.26 (1.10–1.45) | 0.09 (0.01–0.67) |
| Optimal score of 0.03 | 69.4 (54.6–81.8) | 71.4 (58.7–82.1) | 65.4 (55.1–74.4) | 75.0 (65.7–82.5) | 2.43 (1.58–3.74) | 0.43 (0.27–0.67) |
| High score of 1.40 | 12.2 (4.63–24.08) | 98.4 (91.5–99.9) | 85.7 (42.6–98.0) | 59.1 (56.4–61.7) | 7.71 (0.96–61.99) | 0.89 (0.80–0.99) |

95% CI, 95% confidence interval; LR-, negative likelihood ratio; LR+, positive likelihood ratio; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value.

This study developed a 90-day mortality prediction model that incorporates 5 clinical predictors. The "90-day mortality prediction model" was subsequently evaluated in the validation cohort. The model displayed consistent diagnostic performance with the derivation cohort, confirming its reliability. The AUROC of the proposed 90-day mortality prediction model was 0.76, similar to the prediction model for 24-week mortality in a prior study (21). The cutoffs were determined by assessing the predictive abilities of death to provide clinical application depending on the situation. To enhance the clinical utility of the prediction model, we proposed "scores for the probability of death" that ranged from 14.6% to 75.2%, according to quartiles. Probability scores greater than 0.58 indicated a high probability (75.2%) of dying within 90 days after stenting. Combining the "90-day mortality prediction model" and the "scores for the probability of death" might guide physicians' decisions to provide optimal palliation for patients with unresectable MBO.

For example, patients with a "90-day mortality prediction score" exceeding 1.40 are highly likely to die within 90 days following stenting. In contrast, patients with a score below -1.50 have a low chance of death. As to patients in the intermediate group (a risk score between -1.50 and 1.40), the treatment approach should be based on the patients' performance statuses and physician discretion. Endobiliary drainage with a plastic stent is suitable for unresectable MBO patients with a high death risk (90-day mortality prediction score exceeding 1.40 and probability score over 0.58). Nonetheless, performance status, nutritional status, and comorbidities should be considered when deciding on a treatment plan. Counseling with patients and their families is needed. Prompt biliary decompression should be considered in situations of superimposed infection, such as acute cholangitis or cholangitic liver abscesses.

TABLE 5 The probability of death within 90 days after endobiliary stent placement in the derivation and validation cohorts.

| Score interval | HR (95% CI) | Derivation cohort P value | Probability of death | HR (95% CI) | Validation cohort P value | Probability of death |
|----------------|------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| < 0.83         | <0.001     |                          | 14.6%               | <0.001     |                          | 12.0%               |
| 0.83 to < 0.07 | <0.001     |                          | 41.9%               | 3.25       | 0.068                     | 34.3%               |
| 0.07 to < 0.58 | <0.001     |                          | 54.9%               | 6.12       | 0.004                     | 55.6%               |
| ≥ 0.58         | <0.001     |                          | 75.2%               | 12.10      | <0.001                    | 76.0%               |

95% CI, 95% confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

†P value of total score (reference); †P value of each interval score compared with the reference.
The strengths of this study were that it had a large cohort of unresectable MBO patients and a long data-collection duration. Furthermore, this is the first study to develop a 90-day mortality prediction model and create a validation cohort to verify the model internally. Combining the 90-day mortality prediction model and the score for probability of death enhanced the model’s performance.

Nonetheless, the current investigation has some limitations. First, it was conducted retrospectively. Consequently, some data, such as patients’ nutritional statuses, could not be evaluated, which might be associated with post-stenting mortality. Second, our analysis excluded cirrhotic patients and those with other cholestatic diseases to minimize the potential confounding effects of pre-endoscopic laboratories. Thus, this prediction model does not apply to these patients. Third, morbidity during hospitalization was not explored. This factor might affect post-stenting outcomes, such as the severity of acute cholangitis and organ failure due to sepsis or septic shock. Finally, because the unmeasured bias could occur in our study, the prediction model must be externally evaluated in larger prospective cohorts to confirm the model’s accuracy for predicting post-stenting 90 days mortality.

Conclusions

The pre-endoscopic laboratory reflected liver performance, intrahepatic obstruction, evidence of advanced disease represented by clinical staging, improvement of hyperbilirubinemia, and eligibility for chemotherapy and were significant predictors for 90-day mortality following endobiliary stent placement. Combining a mortality prediction model and a score for probability of death can help develop an optimal drainage strategy for patients with unresectable MBO.
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