Review

Metabolism addiction in pancreatic cancer

R Blum* and Y Kloog

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, an aggressively invasive, treatment-resistant malignancy and the fourth leading cause of cancer deaths in the United States, is usually detectable only when already inevitably fatal. Despite advances in genetic screening, mapping and molecular characterization, its pathology remains largely elusive. Renewed research interest in longstanding doctrines of tumor metabolism has led to the emergence of aberrant signaling pathways as critical factors modulating central metabolic networks that fuel pancreatic tumors. Such pathways, including those of Ras signaling, glutamine-regulatory enzymes, lipid metabolism and autophagy, are directly affected by genetic mutations and extreme tumor microenvironments that typify pancreatic tumor cells. Elucidation of these metabolic networks can be expected to yield more potent therapies against this deadly disease.

**Facts**

- Pancreatic cancer is the 10th most prevalent cancer in men and the 9th in women, and each year leads for approximately 3% of new cases of cancer in the United States.
- Despite comprehensive endeavor and major progress made toward improving its prognosis and increasing survival rates (mostly via surgical intervention coupled with chemotherapy and radiation), survival rate for pancreatic cancer has not improved markedly and above 80% of patients develop a local metastatic tumor at progressive stage at prognosis time.
- Ample scientific evidence suggest that oncogenic constitutively active K-Ras and its overactivated downstream signaling factors, such as B-Raf, phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K) and Akt are strong promoters of pancreatic cancer tumorigenicity.
- Oncogenic Ras-driven signals propel abnormal chain of metabolic alterations – including enhanced glycolysis, diverted glutamine consumption, anomalous pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) and autophagy – leading to phenomenon defined as ‘metabolic addiction’ of pancreatic tumor cells, which ultimately contribute extensively to development, survival and invasiveness of pancreatic tumor cells.

**Open Questions**

- What are the main metabolic pathways, molecular components and their relation to oncogenic Ras pathway, which are taking part in metabolic addiction of pancreatic cancer cells?
- Does Salirasib, a well-established anti-Ras inhibitor, holds promise for the treatment of pancreatic tumor cells?
- Could a combinatorial drug–therapy that design to hit critical addictive metabolic pathways be the clue for eradicating pancreatic tumors, while protecting normal cells?

Pancreatic cancer is the 9th most common cancer in women and the 10th in men, and accounts for an estimated 3% of new cancer cases in the United States each year. Despite its relatively low epidemiological ranking, it is one of the most lethal of all types of cancer, and with a 5-year survival rate of only 4% it is rated as the fourth leading cause of cancer deaths for both men and women in the United States. Thus, each year nearly 37 000 patients in the United States and >213 000 people worldwide die from this form of cancer. Despite extensive efforts and the considerable progress made toward improving its detection and survival rates over the past few decades, the 5-year survival rate for pancreatic cancer has not changed significantly, increasing – according to data from
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surveillance, epidemiology and end results\textsuperscript{2} – from 3\% in 1975 to only 5.4\% in 2005 (see other reviews Conroy et al.,\textsuperscript{10} Muller et al.,\textsuperscript{11} and Poruk et al.\textsuperscript{15}).

The most common type of pancreatic cancer (accounting for 95\% of cases) is adenocarcinoma, which arises in the exocrine part of the pancreas and is classified as pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC). A minority (~5\%) of pancreatic tumors originates from islet cells and is classified as neuroendocrine tumors, and specifically as pancreatic endocrine tumors. The symptoms that lead to diagnosis of the disease depend on tumor location, size and tissue of origin, and may include distressing symptoms of biliary drainage obstruction, infection, abdominal pain, lower back pain, and – where the tumor compresses the bile duct – jaundice.

Although the main beneficial treatment for pancreatic cancer is surgical resection followed by radiation and/or chemotherapy, >80\% of patients with pancreatic cancer suffer from a progressive local metastatic tumor that is unresectable by the time of diagnosis.\textsuperscript{13,14} The posterior location of the pancreas, adjacent to the common bile duct, duodenum, celiac plexus, superior mesenteric artery and portal vein, makes diagnosis extremely difficult. As a result, clinical symptoms of pancreatic cancer, often referred to as the ‘silent killer’, are usually unremarkable until the neoplasm has progressed to an advanced stage typified by metastatic spread to regional lymph nodes, liver, peritoneal cavity, and – rarely – to the lungs, bone or brain.\textsuperscript{1,2} Therefore, early-stage detection and diagnosis are crucial for improving the death rates of this disease.\textsuperscript{15} Importantly, familial pancreatic cancer kindreds may become the major beneficiaries of newly discovered diagnostic means and risk factors, as they are known to be at high risk of developing the disease.\textsuperscript{16,17}

The National Familial Pancreas Tumor Registry, first established in 1994 at Johns Hopkins Hospital in Baltimore, MD, USA, paved the way for the screening and gene discovery studies that demonstrated the familial clustering of pancreatic cancer.\textsuperscript{16,17} These seminal studies quantified the risk of hereditary pancreatic cancer and promoted knowledge of the etiology of the disease by identifying several germline mutations that predispose their carriers to pancreatic cancer, including those of \textit{BRCA2}, \textit{PALB2}, \textit{ATM}, \textit{p16}, \textit{PRSS1}, \textit{STK11}, \textit{hMLH1} and \textit{FANCG} genes.\textsuperscript{18–22} These mutated genes can provide targets for personalized therapy, and carriers can be counseled regarding their increased risk and the available therapeutic choices among treatments such as preventive surgery, screening and chemopreventive interventions.\textsuperscript{16} Although a number of novel pancreatic tumor markers have recently been discovered through the use of global analyses of gene expression,\textsuperscript{23,24} given the rarity of pancreatic cancer in the general population (approximately 9 cases per 100,000 people in the United States) it is questionable whether the application of screening tests to selected groups at high risk of developing this disease can be justified. Hence, the prognosis of patients with pancreatic tumors remains dismal. The malignancy is profoundly active and responds poorly to any form of therapy.\textsuperscript{25} Therefore, a substantial research effort is currently focused on identifying novel therapeutic targets for this deadly disease. An intriguing concept that marks a promising future in cancer therapy is the linkage between oncogene addiction and metabolic pathways. Renewed scientific interest in this association has yielded a variety of novel disease targets that should be considered for the development of new therapeutic combinations aimed at eradicating pancreatic tumors and possibly other forms of cancer.

The concept of ‘oncogene addiction’, a term coined by Bernard Weinstein,\textsuperscript{26} refers to the common phenomenon that tumor cells, despite the striking number of their genetic aberrations, develop marked dependency on a particular oncogenic pathway for their sustained survival and proliferation. As a result, tumorigenic cells paradoxically often lose the function of activating alternative cascades that normally act in parallel. Thus, although inactivation of the normal counterpart cascade in wild-type cells can typically be tolerated without conspicuous ramifications, cancer cells (which are inherently less adaptable) often respond markedly to inactivation of their addictive pathway. The potent implication of this abnormal characteristic of cancer cells is that blocking of the crucial cascade upon which they rely should have deleterious effects on the mutated cells while sparing the normal, nonaddicted cells. This type of effective discriminative activity is the desired attribute of any efficient anticancer agent. A growing body of data on aberrant metabolic pathways implicated in cancer etiology now suggests that progressively accumulating mutations and epigenetic aberrations in genes encoding the main enzymes of specific metabolic pathways are prime contributors to oncogenic addiction in many cancers.\textsuperscript{27,28} In this review, we discuss aberrations in signal transduction pathways found to be associated with metabolic reprogramming of transformed pancreatic neoplasms (Figures 1 and 2). Understanding of these critical circuits, which may be the Achilles’ heel of pancreatic tumors, could bring us closer to the discovery of novel targets that will promote the development of effective therapies against pancreatic cancer.

**The Warburg Phenomenon**

One of the earliest enigmas raised in cancer etiology was the observation that most cancer cells, even under nonhypoxic conditions, predominantly utilize cytosolic aerobic glycolysis and lactate fermentation rather than mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation of pyruvate for their energy production. This metabolic anomaly, known as the ‘Warburg effect’\textsuperscript{29,30} was first described in the 1920s by Otto Warburg as a shift in cancer cells to the primitive metabolism exhibited by yeast.\textsuperscript{31} Recent studies have implicated a multitude of oncogenic aberrations that mechanistically stimulate activation of glucose uptake in triggering this metabolic shift.\textsuperscript{32} Constitutively active components of the Ras pathway stimulate cellular glucose uptake and metabolic rate, thereby overcoming the capacity of the cell to utilize mainly glucose for its bioenergetic requirements. As a result, tumorigenic cells secrete excess metabolites of the glycolytic pathway in the form of lactic acid. Recent studies have strongly implicated aerobic glycolysis in the malignant etiology of pancreatic tumor cells. Thus, for example, the expression of key enzymes that participate in glycolytic metabolism was strongly increased in pancreatic tumor cells compared with normal pancreatic cells, leading to a significant induction of aerobic glycolytic metabolism. These overexpressed enzymes included hexokinase 2 (HK2), phosphoglycerokinase 1, pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase
isoenzyme 1 (PDK1), lactate dehydrogenase A and B (LDHA, LDHB), enolase 2 (ENO2) and pyruvate kinase muscle (PKM1 and PKM2), in addition to the glucose and lactate transporters, PDK1 and LDHA, LDHB, glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1) and monocarboxylate transporters 1 and 4 (MCT1 and MCT4). The shift to enhanced glucose metabolism in hypoxic pancreatic cancer cells is clearly manifested by the substantial accumulation of the glycolysis end-product lactic acid in the tumor microenvironment. Interestingly, pancreatic cancer patients frequently also suffer from diabetes and hyperglycemia, conditions typified by high blood sugar. A recent investigation of the link between hyperglycemia and stimulation of pancreatic cancer cell growth showed that incubation of hypoxic MiaPaCa-2 pancreatic cancer cells with excess glucose results in increased expression of hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1α, accompanied by an increase in cellular ATP and a decrease in mitochondrial activity. Glucose metabolism could also be stimulated by extracellular glucose and hypoxia independently of HIF-1α, as shown by the metabolic response of a MiaPaCa-2 subline devoid of HIF-1α. Nevertheless, hypoxic pancreatic cells harboring HIF-1α showed an increased capacity for migration relative to their HIF-1α-null cellular counterparts, indicating that glucose stimulates pancreatic cancer cell migration by both HIF-1α-dependent and HIF-1α-independent mechanisms.

Although glycolysis is much less efficient than mitochondrial respiration (producing only two molecules of ATP per glucose molecule compared with the 38 ATP molecules produced by aerobic respiration), there are several reasons why the increased glucose uptake for glycolytic ATP production is advantageous for the tumorigenic properties of pancreatic cancer cells. First, by using aerobic glycolysis under aerobic conditions, pancreatic tumor cells can still thrive independently of an inconstant oxygen diffusion that would otherwise be deleterious for normal cells that are largely dependent on oxidative phosphorylation for their ATP production. Second, lactic and bicarbonic acids, as metabolic end-products of aerobic glycolysis, are generated robustly by pancreatic cancer cells and form a major acidity buffer that...
preferentially promotes the invasiveness of these cells.\textsuperscript{33,37,44} Intriguingly, in the course of exploration of the positive correlation between tumor burden and high lactate levels, a close linkage was discovered between the intracellular accumulation of lactic acid and the antitumorigenic immune response. As activated cytotoxic tumor-infiltrating T lymphocytes rely on glycolysis (which is tightly dependent on efficient secretion of lactic acid), increased concentrations of lactate in the tumor environment lead to a decline in the intracellular/extracellular lactate gradient, thereby blocking lactate secretion. Thus, the glycolysis rate (and consequently the entire metabolism) in T cells is markedly reduced. As a result, the degree of immunosuppression in the pancreatic tumor niche is strongly increased, as manifested by inhibition of T-cell proliferation and suppression of their cytokine production.\textsuperscript{45} In this regard, it is interesting that an increase in ENO1-specific regulatory T cells (Tregs) was detected in pancreatic cancer tumor cells exhibiting high levels of the key glycolytic enzyme ENO1. These Tregs were found to efficiently impose immunosuppression in vitro by suppressing the proliferative response of ENO1-specific effector T cells, implying a possible role for Tregs in stimulating pancreatic cancer progression.\textsuperscript{46}

Third, tumor cells utilize glycolytic intermediates to fuel anabolic processes necessary for cell growth. Thus, for example, alanine aminotransferase synthesizes alanine and malate from pyruvate, glucose 6-phosphate is converted by phosphoglucomutase and glycogen phosphorylase to glycogen, and dihydroxyacetone phosphate is converted to triacylglyceride and phospholipid.\textsuperscript{47} Several studies have shown that in proliferating cancer cells pyruvate can enter the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, leading to the export of acetyl coenzyme A (acyctelCoA) from the mitochondrial matrix to the cytosol and thus making acetylCoA available for synthesis of fatty acids, cholesterol and isoprenoids.\textsuperscript{52} Expression of fatty acid synthase, known to catalyze the synthesis of long-chain fatty acids from nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH), acetylCoA and malonyl coenzyme A, was found to correlate with an advanced stage of pancreatic cancer.\textsuperscript{48,49}

Numerous studies have pointed to the pragmatic advantage of developing therapies against the addictive glycolytic pathway with the aim of eradicating pancreatic cancer cells. As glycolysis can be separated into two phases: (i) the preparatory phase (or ‘energy investment’ phase), in which two ATP molecules are consumed and one glucose molecule is split, and (ii) the harvesting phase (or ‘payoff’ phase), in which energy is extracted in the form of four ATP molecules – inhibitors of specific glycolytic enzymes can be divided accordingly. Thus, for example, inhibitors of HK, the first glycolytic enzyme that phosphorylates glucose to produce glucose-6-phosphate, interfere with the preparatory phase, while inhibitors of the last glycolytic enzyme LDHA, which catalyzes the reversible conversion reaction of pyruvate to lactate coupled with the recycling of NAD\textsuperscript{+}, abolish the glycolytic harvesting step. Studies related to the preparatory glycolytic phase demonstrated the potent anti-glycolytic effect of everolimus, a rapamycin analog, on pancreatic Panc-1 human cancer cells. The suggested mechanism by which the drug acts is through a gradual increase in expression of miR-143, which consequently targets and reduces expression of the preparatory enzyme HK2.\textsuperscript{50} Other studies of the harvesting glycolytic stage have demonstrated the effect of enzymatic inhibition of LDHA. As LDHA utilizes NADH as a co-factor for catalyzing its reaction, the ratio of NADH to NAD\textsuperscript{+} is increased as result of LDHA inhibition. NAD\textsuperscript{+} is a vital electron acceptor that sustains the glycolytic pathway through its reaction with GAPDH;\textsuperscript{51} therefore, inhibition of LDHA has a critical effect on glycolysis. Recent studies have shown that LDHA performs a critical function as a generator of glycolysis in cancer cells. Inhibition of its activity in a mouse pancreatic cancer model either by FX11, a small-molecule inhibitor of LDHA or by siRNA knockdown, imposes glycolysis shutdown, ATP reduction and significant induction of oxidative stress.\textsuperscript{52} Importantly, glycolytic blockade culminates in tumor growth inhibition of pancreatic cells.\textsuperscript{52}

In our own studies, in which the potent Ras inhibitor Saliirasib was implemented on a range of glioblastoma cell lines, we discovered that inhibition of oncogenic Ras has robust anti-glycolytic effects\textsuperscript{53–55} (see below).

The Pentose Phosphate Pathway

In addition to the above-mentioned glycolysis-related biochemical mechanisms, another vital biogenesis channel, namely the PPP, is attracting renewed research attention in relation to pancreatic cancer. The PPP (also called the phosphogluconate pathway) is a biphatic cytosolic process, which, like glycolysis, utilizes glucose-6-phosphate as its initial metabolite, and therefore represents a biochemical alternative to glycolysis.\textsuperscript{56} Unlike the glycolysis pathway, in which the main end-product is ATP, the PPP is primarily an anabolic process that in the first (‘oxidative’) phase produces NADPH, which is utilized by cells as a reducing agent in biosynthetic reactions, and in the second (‘nonoxidative’) phase produces 5-carbon sugars. Tumor cells, by using the oxidative phase, are capable of utilizing glucose for generating NADPH.\textsuperscript{56} Besides fueling the biogenesis reactions that rebuild macromolecules, NADPH also serves as an anti-oxidative metabolite in the detoxification of reactive oxygen species (ROS) through the regeneration of reduced glutathione that scavenges ROS and maintains the normal reduced state of the cell, thereby providing protection against hostile microenvironments during accelerated cell proliferation or in the course of chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{57} Notably, glutathione reductase, which together with NADPH-generating pathways and glutathione provides this cellular defense system against oxidants, was reported to be highly expressed in pancreatic islet cells.\textsuperscript{58}

The pentose sugars generated in the nonoxidative PPP phase serve as primary intermediates in the synthesis of nucleotides and nucleic acids. At times of increased cellular demand for the pentose monosaccharide, such as during cell growth and repair, these metabolic reactions enable excess pentoses to be recycled as intermediates of the glycolytic pathway and essential precursors for nucleic acid biosynthesis.\textsuperscript{59} Recent work by Ying et al.\textsuperscript{60} highlighted the importance of the reversible nonoxidative PPP phase in pancreatic tumor cells. Maintenance of pancreatic tumors was found to be strongly driven by mutated K-Ras, which stimulates glucose uptake and conveyance of its biosynthetic intermediates into.
the nonoxidative branch of the PPP, generating ribose-5-phosphate, which is then utilized for the synthesis of nucleic acids. Nevertheless, that study revealed, remarkably, that in contrast to the canonical paradigm in which generation of nonoxidative mediators is coupled with the synthesis of oxidative ones, the K-Ras-driven accelerated glycolytic flux in pancreatic tumor cells is reprogrammed to bypass the oxidative NADPH biosynthesis phase of the PPP and instead robustly enhances the nonoxidative branch\(^{60}\) (Figure 1). Thus, this study disclosed a novel connection between oncogenic K-Ras and the nonoxidative PPP phase, linking K-Ras directly to DNA biosynthesis. The finding that the generation of pentoses was decoupled from NADPH generation led to the suggestion that a substitute metabolic pathway may be responsible for maintaining cellular redox homeostasis PDAC. An alternative glutamine-consuming pathway generating NADPH was indeed discovered recently, as discussed below in the chapter on glutamine addiction.

**Ras Signaling, Glycolysis Addiction and Pancreatic Cancer**

In mammals, three genes (\(H\)-Ras, \(K\)-Ras and \(N\)-Ras) are responsible for encoding four highly homologous small GTPase Ras proteins (\(H\)-Ras, \(K\)-Ras4A, \(K\)-Ras4B and \(N\)-Ras),\(^{61,62}\) These proteins belong to a larger Ras-related GTPase protein superfamily whose members function as molecular switches that alternate between inactive (GDP-bound) and active (GTP-bound) conformations. Extracellular signals that activate plasma membrane-bound receptor tyrosine kinases induce activation of Ras guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs), which prompt Ras-mediated exchange of GDP for GTP. In its GTP-bound state the affinity of Ras for a multitude of intracellular factors is increased, with consequent triggering of a diversity of signal transduction cascades including PI3K-Akt, Raf-Mek1/2-Erk1/2, Ras-GEF and Rho/Rac.\(^{62,63}\) Activated Ras signals coming from the plasma membrane are carried over the intracellular compartments by a variety of molecular mediators before reaching their target in the nucleus, where they ultimately control the activity of transcription factors that effectively govern an extensive array of cellular responses. Among the chief transcription factors regulated by Ras are Myc, NF-kB, E2F, HIF-1\(\alpha\), AP-1 and c-Jun.\(^{63}\) Thus, by virtue of its many arms, and depending on cellular context and both extracellular and intracellular conditions, Ras is capable of orchestrating numerous cellular processes such as cell survival, migration, cell cycle, metabolism and differentiation.

The Ras signaling pathway is arguably the most intensively studied pathway participating in the metabolic reprogramming that actively contributes to tumorigenicity. The finding that the great majority (> 90%) of patients with pancreatic cancer harbor a gain-of-function K-Ras mutation on chromosome 12p (at codons 12, 13 and 61)\(^{62,63}\) strongly supports the concept that constitutively active Ras pathways have an active role in maintaining the carcinogenic phenotype and in altering the metabolic pathways that promote rapid progression of pancreatic tumors. Mutation in K-Ras genes are detectable not only in tumor tissues but also in the plasma DNA of patients with pancreatic cancer, although this diagnostic finding is often correlated with more advanced stage disease, limiting its beneficial use as a prognostic marker.\(^{64,65}\) K-Ras mutations are often detected in pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PanIN) and PDAC, indicative of the essential role of Ras in pancreatic carcinogenesis. Nevertheless, mutations in K-Ras alone seem to be insufficient for transformation of the pancreatic tissue to PDAC. Endogenous expression of active K-Ras(G12D) directed to progenitor cells that reside in the mouse pancreas was found to result in frequent development of highly proliferative PanIN, but with only infrequent progression of these precursor lesions to more invasive metastatic adenocarcinomas.\(^{66}\) In addition, transfection of K-Ras(G12V) into human pancreatic duct epithelial cells derived from normal human pancreas resulted in only moderately aberrant phenotypes,\(^{67}\) suggesting that in addition to the constitutive activation of K-Ras genetic and/or epigenetic events are required for promoting development of PDAC.

Although activating mutations in Akt and PTEN were not detected in pancreatic tumors,\(^{68-70}\) other Ras-signaling factors – B-Raf and PI3K – have been reported to harbor activated mutations in pancreatic cancer cells. B-Raf, a serine/threonine protein kinase located second to Ras in the signaling cascade, manifests a common mutational pattern in a few primary cancers, including 10% of colorectal carcinomas and 66% of melanomas.\(^{71-73}\) Mutations in \(K\)-Ras and in \(B\)-Raf are nearly always mutually exclusive, and mutations in \(B\)-Raf appear in pancreatic cancers with wild-type \(Ras\) at a rate of one in every three cases.\(^{74}\) Another prominent molecular mediator of Ras signaling that becomes mutated in pancreatic cancer is PI3K. Genomic evaluation of the role of \(PIK3Ca\), the gene encoding PI3K during tumorigenesis of pancreatic cells, indicates that approximately 10% of pancreatic cancer precursors harbor activating mutations in this gene.\(^{75}\) Nevertheless, even when mutations in \(PI3K\) and \(Akt\) genes are undetectable, this signaling pathway is found to be constitutively active in most pancreatic cancers, apparently owing to the deregulated expression of the antagonist signaling factor PTEN, which in pancreatic cancers is lost or significantly reduced.\(^{76}\) In addition, 60% of pancreatic cancers exhibit amplification or overactivation of Akt2 kinase, the direct signaling target of \(PI3K\).\(^{77-81}\)

Activated Ras becomes engaged with metabolic pathways through multiple effector pathways, including those of \(PI3K\)/Akt and MAPK.\(^{82,83}\) The \(PI3K\)/Akt signaling pathway is strongly associated with regulatory alterations of several prominent metabolic factors. These include elevated expression levels and translocation to the cellular membrane of the GLUT1,\(^{82}\) stimulation of phosphofructokinase enzymatic activity,\(^{83}\) mitochondrial localization of the glycolytic enzymes HK1 and HK2,\(^{84,85}\) and stabilization of HIF-1\(\alpha\) protein, whereas MAPK/ERK signaling was shown to enhance HIF-1\(\alpha\) transcriptional activity.\(^{86}\) Furthermore, constitutively active K-Ras(G12V) in human embryonic kidney cells (HEK293) was found to lead to mitochondrial dysfunction, respiration suppression and increased glycolytic activity.\(^{87}\) Several studies have documented the strong suppressive effects that can be imposed by downregulation of Ras signals on the glycolytic pathway in various tumor cells. For example, a PI3K inhibitor abolished the expression of HIF-1\(\alpha\) in Ras-transformed NIH3T3 cells,\(^{68}\) and in prostate cancer cells.\(^{89}\)
In addition, suppression of ERK in colon cancer cells\(^90\) and in hepatic cancer cells\(^91\) resulted in suppression of HIF-1\(\alpha\) expression and activation.

Over the last two decades, our group has developed conceptually new Ras inhibitors that specifically target the active form of Ras (Ras-GTP). We demonstrated the potent antiproliferative effects of treatment with the Ras inhibitor trans-farnesylthiosalicylic acid (also known as Salirasib) on a variety of cancer cells, including those of melanoma,\(^92\) Merkel cell carcinoma,\(^93\) LNCaP, CWR-R1,\(^94\) and Panc-1 and MIA PaCa-2 pancreatic cancers.\(^95\)–\(^97\) Our first genome-wide studies of the effects of Ras inhibition on metabolic pathways in tumorigenic cells indicated that Ras inhibition leads to profound anti-oncogenic effects in glioblastoma multiforme cells.\(^52\)–\(^54,98,99\) These strong effects were caused by degrada-
dition of HIF-1\(\alpha\), which blocked glycolysis and triggered severe energetic crises.\(^52,99\) Those promising findings encouraged us to adopt a novel strategy in which Salirasib could be combined with other drugs that can shut down glycolysis through mechanisms that do not involve HIF-1\(\alpha\) blockage, thereby repressing metabolic pathways independ-
ently of the inhibitory activity of Salirasib. We therefore examined the effects of a combination of Salirasib and the synthetic glucose analog, 2-deoxy-D-glucose (2-DG). The latter had been reported to act as a potent metabolic inhibitor that is selectively directed to tumor cells that consume glucose at high rates under hypoxic conditions.\(^98,101\) When introduced into tumorigenic cells, 2-DG competes with endogenous glucose for key glycolytic enzymes, thereby reducing metabolism rate.\(^98,101\) Recent investigations of the antitumorigenic effects of 2-DG administered in combination with the anti-glycolytic agent 3-bromopyruvate (3-BrPA) in MiaPaCa2 and Panc-1 pancreatic cancer cells indeed demonstrated anticancer effects, manifested by energy depletion and increased cell necrosis.\(^99\) Additional studies showed that combinatorial treatment of 2-DG and the antidiabetic drug metformin in LNCaP, P69, PC-3 and DU145 prostate cancer cells leads to almost complete cell-cycle blockage and apoptotic cell death, caused by inhibition of mitochondrial respiration and glycolysis.\(^102\) Our recent studies demonstrated that combined treatment with Salirasib and 2-DG in Panc-1 pancreatic carcinoma cells leads to inhibition of additive cell growth, as well as to synergistic apoptosis and a complete contraction of Panc-1 tumor in nude mice.\(^103\) Taken together, our results and those of others establish proof-of-principle evidence for the therapeutic effects that Ras inhibition, through glycolysis shutdown, can impose in pancreatic tumors. Thus, glycolysis emerges as one of the central metabolic modules to which pancreatic tumor cells become addicted (see below), and targeting of this pathway using combinatorial drug administration to exploit more than one mechanistic approach has proved to be a highly promising strategy.

**Glutamine Addiction**

In normal mammalian cells, signaling by growth factors directs nutrient uptake from the extracellular environment to support cell survival, ATP production, cellular biosynthesis (of proteins, RNA, DNA and lipids), cell growth and proliferation. In contrast, a well-established feature of cancerous cells is their strong reliance on cell-autonomous nutrient uptake and metabolism. Glutamine – the most abundant amino acid in the cytoplasm – and glucose both serve as primary sources of carbon for ATP production and biosynthesis in tumorigenic cells.\(^104\)–\(^106\) Oxidation of acetylCoA to CO\(_2\) by the TCA cycle is the main process maximizing ATP production in nonproli-
ervative cells.\(^107\) In proliferating cells, however, in addition to providing ATP the TCA cycle functions in biosynthetic pathways in which intermediate metabolites exit the cycle and become converted primarily to fatty acids and nonessen-
tial amino acids (NEAAs).\(^104\) Glutamine is one of the major anaerobic precursors that fuel the TCA cycle with a carbon source. In addition, it is an important provider of nitrogen for the vital set of biosynthetic reactions underlying the genera-
tion of nucleotide, NEAAs and hexosamine. For reloading the TCA cycle, glutamine needs to be converted to \(\alpha\)-ketoglutarate, a central metabolic of glutamine metabolism.\(^105\) The enzymatic conversion of glutamine can be mediated through one of two alternative enzymatic reactions – either through a canonical anabolic glutamine metabolism mediated by an oxidative deamination reaction catalyzed by the mitochondrial matrix enzyme glutamate dehydrogenase (GLUD1),\(^106\) or via a noncanonical metabolic pathway conducted by transam-
ases,\(^105\) which catalyze transamination (transfer of an amino group from glutamate to the corresponding \(\alpha\)-ketoglutarate). Accordingly, many cancer cell types exhibit increased glutamine consumption, a phenomenon dubbed ‘glutamine addiction’ because the survival of these cancerous cells is evidently dependent on their glutamine content\(^106\) (reviewed in Lunt et al.\(^47\) Wise et al.,\(^106\) Young et al.\(^108\) and Erickson et al.\(^109\)). Indeed, various cancer cells utilize glutamine deamination mediated by the canonical pathway in which GLUD1 drives glutamine into the TCA cycle, which consequently promotes tumorigenic cell anabolism.\(^110\) Apart from its central role as a feeder of the TCA cycle, glutamine serves as a nitrogen donor that is crucial for the production of nucleotides, certain amino acids and nicotinamide. The synthesis of nitrogen-based nucleotides and NEAAs is a fundamental metabolic step in cancer cell growth. Through donation of its amide group and its conversion to glutamic acid, glutamine serves as an essential nitrogen donor in three autonomous enzymatic reactions responsible for purine synthesis and in two responsible for pyrimidine synthesis.\(^109,111\) Thus, the switch of glutamine from serving as a NEAA in normal cells to an essential amino acid in cancerous cells accounts for its role as a major substrate feeding the anabolic growth processes of tumorigenic cells.

A new study by Son et al.\(^111\) has recently shown that distinctly from the general biochemical scenario, which is adopted by many cancer cell types, in PDAC cells glutamine seems to support tumorigenic growth by utilizing the noncanonical metabolic pathway. Silencing of glutaminase, the metabolic enzyme that generates glutamate from gluta-
mite, was shown to significantly reduce PDAC cell growth, while addition of glutamate to the media restored cell growth in glutamine-deprived conditions. On the other hand, \(\alpha\)-ketoglutarate, the product of canonical oxidative deamination of glutamate, failed to restore pancreatic cancer cell growth. Nevertheless, supplementation of the end-products of the
noncanonical transaminase-mediated glutamine pathway—a combination of α-ketoglutarate and NEAA mixture—significantly restored proliferation in multiple PDAC lines, suggesting that PDAC cells can metabolize glutamine in a noncanonical pathway and that transaminases have an important role in metabolizing glutamine in PDAC. Furthermore, PDAC cells that were incubated with the GLUD1 inhibitor epigallocatechin gallate or with GLUD1 shRNA were not affected by the treatment, while on the contrary growth inhibition was marked when PDAC cells were treated with the transaminase pan-inhibitor aminoxyacetate or when aspartate transaminase—glutamic-oxaloacetic transaminase 1 (GOT1)—was depleted (Figure 1). Silencing of K-Ras in these pancreatic tumorigenic cells significantly increased GLUD1 expression, concomitantly with a marked reduction in GOT1. In accord with those findings, five independent tumors derived from inducible K-Ras PDAC model mice exhibited elevated GOT1 expression and diminished levels of GLUD1. Taken together, the above findings point to a novel noncanonical metabolic model in which pancreatic tumor cells convey glutamine-derived aspartate into the cytoplasm, where it is further converted by GOT1 into oxaloacetate, then into malate and finally into pyruvate. As NADPH is generated via the final reaction mediated by malic enzyme, the net outcome of this tumorigenic pathway is a reduction in the NADP+/NADPH ratio, which therefore enables PDAC cells to maintain their redox homeostasis and to support cell proliferation. Along these lines, these innovative observations are now being considered as a potential ground for testing new candidate drugs for prophylactic studies in humans. Future studies are underway to advance our understanding of the interplay between this tumorigenic metabolic pathway and other cascades, as well as to determine whether these discoveries may apply in other cancer types as well. Extensive effort is also being devoted to developing small-molecule inhibitors against key enzymes that mediate this signaling pathway and testing their potency in models of pancreatic cancer.

**Fatty Acid and Lipid Regulation**

Although the metabolism of fatty acids and lipids is not yet well understood, its prominent role in regulating the progression of various types of cancers in well known. An early study showed that lipids can stimulate cell growth of human pancreatic cancer cells, but did not enhance the growth of nonpancreatic cell lines. The proliferative effect of lipids on pancreatic cancer cells may act on several levels. First, normal pancreatic cells have been shown to utilize lipids as an energy source (as determined by oxidation of palmitic acid) at higher rates than other cell types (e.g., cells of adipose or liver tissue). Thus, the proliferative effect of lipids added as a supplement to the growth medium of pancreatic cancer cells may imply that lipids provide an energy source for pancreatic cell lines. Second, enhancement of cell proliferation by hormones and growth factors is known to be coupled with generation of lipids and lipid-derived messengers that participate in the activation of different growth-regulatory pathways. Tumorigenic pancreatic cells produce growth factors implicated in cell growth regulation through paracrine or autocrine mechanisms. Therefore, exogenous lipids can stimulate cell growth by increasing the levels of the intracellular signals linked to activation of the growth factor receptors. Third, lipids may also accelerate cellular growth through the promotion of membrane backbones. The type of dietary fat was shown to significantly influence the composition of fatty acids of pancreatic membranes. In addition, a high-fat diet inducing inflammation in K-Ras (G12D) mouse models, which recapitulate human PDAC, was shown to enhance tumor promotion. The enhanced pancreatic tumorigenesis was prompted by a marked elevation in metabolic rates and energy uptake through an elevation in expression of genes encoding regulators of fatty acid uptake and oxidation. A high-fat diet and obesity are indeed strongly associated with the incidence of human pancreatic cancer. A few reports have indicated that consumption of omega-3 fatty acids has a suppressive effect on the progression of breast, prostate and colon cancers. Blockage of cell-cycle progression and induction of programmed cell death were recently shown to be the outcomes of an omega-3-based fat diet in (EL)-K-Ras transgenic mice that develop pancreatic neoplasia. On the other hand, increased consumption of omega-6 fatty acids is highly correlated with progression of breast, prostate, colon and pancreatic tumors.

**Autophagy as an Essential Tumor Growth Mechanism in Pancreatic Tumors**

Autophagy (also known as macroautophagy) is a regulated catabolic process of cytoplasmic organelles and macromolecules. The degradation process starts with invagination of a single-membrane vesicle, which sequesters cytoplasmic components into a double-membrane vesicle that forms the autophagosome. The autophagosome is then fused to the lysosomes, resulting in lysosomal degradation of its cargo. The autophagy process is initiated by the function of ULK1 and ULK2 proteins that were inactivated by mTOR activity, and is followed by the activity of the ULK1/2-Agt13-FIP200-Agt101 complex, which mediates delocalization of class III PI3K (PI3KC3) from microtubules to the endoplasmic reticulum for initiation of vesicle nucleation. The PI3KC3 complex, which contains the autophagy proteins Beclin1, p150 and Ambra1, generates phosphoinositide 3-phosphate in the nucleation membrane, which stimulates recruitment of other autophagy (Atg) proteins to the autophagosome. Next, Atg12 is conjugated to Atg5 and Atg16L1 through an ubiquitination-like process to form the Atg16 complex. The Atg16 complex then mediates expansion and progression of autophagy at the autophagosomal membrane. In an additional ubiquitination-like process, regarded as the most specific step of autophagy, Atg4 cleaves LC3 protein, exposing its C-terminal glycine, which then binds to phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), promoting recruitment of LC3-PE to the autophagosomal membrane.

The basic role of this metabolic mechanism, which becomes active under cellular starvation, is to facilitate recycling of cellular material for energy production. In cancer cells its function is rather complicated as it has conflicting implications depending on the context and the source of the tumorigenic tissue. In several cancer cell types, including breast cancer,
Mantle cell lymphoma, chronic myeloid leukemia, non-small cell lung carcinoma, and cervical cancer, autophagy induction was found to correlate with tumorigenesis suppression. In contrast, recent data indicate that in pancreatic tumor cells autophagy fulfills a pro-oncogenic role in transformation induced by oncogenes and in subsequent tumor maintenance. Evidence for the presence of autophagy in pancreatic tumors was provided at the end of the 1990s, when autophagic characteristics were observed in atypical acinar cell nodules of rats with pancreatic adenocarcinoma. A more recent study showed that basal autophagy was elevated in all examined human-derived PDAC cell lines, in 81% of primary PDAC tumor samples, and in all high-grade pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasms. However, only minimal autophagic signaling was observed in low-grade PanIN or normal pancreatic ductal epithelium. Retrospective examination of autophagy components in untreated pancreatic tumor tissues from human patients revealed the prominent presence of LC3 and pointed to a positive correlation between heightened autophagy and increased tumorigenic progression.

Inhibition of autophagy by chloroquine treatment or genetic intervention led to ROS induction, augmentation of DNA damage and alteration of metabolic outcome as result of impaired mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation. These events led to a marked growth suppression of pancreatic cancer cells, tumor regression and prolonged survival in a K-Ras-driven genetic mouse model of PDAC, and in cancer xenografts, suggesting that autophagy is necessary for tumorigenic growth of pancreatic cancer, and that pharmacologic intervention in this metabolic process may be of clinical benefit in the treatment of pancreatic neoplasms. As chloroquine and its derivatives are efficacious autophagy inhibitors and for some decades have been safely administered within therapeutic protocols for various purposes, these data can be directly translated into treatment of pancreatic cancer patients, providing a rational strategy toward curing this disease.

Given their dense stroma and poor vasculization, PDAC cells typically exhibit low nutrient intake and weak growth factor flux. The inadequate vascularization leads to hypoxic conditions, which induce autophagy. Autophagy is triggered primarily by the adaptive response action of the chief transcriptional regulator HIF-1α, which in addition to activating a large cassette of target genes activates the transcription of Bnip3 and Bnip3L, both essential for hypoxia-induced autophagy. Owing to the BH3 domain possessed by Beclin1, both Bnip3L and Bnip3 compete with Beclin1 for binding to Bcl2, which upon their increased expression releases Beclin1, causing induction of autophagy. Nevertheless, it was suggested that the reaction of Bnip3 to hypoxic conditions and its induction of autophagy as a cellular response to starvation are part of its role during the early stages, but not during the more advanced stages of PDAC development. Recent studies indicate that the expression of Bnip3 is negatively correlated with the progression of pancreatic cancer, as unlike other HIF-1α target genes, Bnip3 was silenced by gene methylation in PDAC tumor cells. It was therefore proposed that Bnip3 becomes downregulated as the disease progresses, and that alternative mechanisms account for the continuous induction of autophagy at the more advanced stages of disease.

Recently, the receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGEs) and ROS were shown to be prominent factors in autophagy in PDAC (Figure 2). RAGE, a multiligand receptor of the immunoglobulin superfamily, was shown to participate in the intracellular generation of ROS and in tumor-promoting inflammation. In PDAC tumor cells, RAGE is overexpressed and its expression is correlated with tumor cell survival, migration and invasiveness. In both human and murine pancreatic tumor cell lines, depletion of RAGE significantly increased sensitivity to hypoxia, to UV radiation and to cytotoxic chemotherapy, concomitantly with significantly increased induction of cleaved caspase-3. RAGE overexpression, on the other hand, enhanced cell survival by reducing apoptosis and promoting autophagy. RAGE is a pattern-recognition receptor capable of binding several ligands, among them the nuclear chromatin remodeling protein known as high-mobility group box 1 (HMGB1). This protein was shown to be extracted from necrotic and inflammatory cells and, when released in the extracellular environment, to serve as a cytokine that may contribute to inflammation and tumor progression. A decrease in intracellular HMGB1 through targeted knockdown was found to reduce autophagy and increase the sensitivity of PDAC-derived cells to apoptosis induced by the chemotherapeutic drug melphalan. It was therefore proposed that interaction of HMGB1 with RAGE leads to enhanced cell resistance to programmed cell death in PDAC. In addition, exposure of pancreatic tumor cells to H2O2 was shown to increase RAGE expression through activation of the NF-κB signaling pathway, which was suppressed by administration of inhibitors of the NF-κB pathway. Further studies conducted in pancreatic tumor cells indicated that the inflammatory pathway mediated by HMGB1 and RAGE is essential for optimal mitochondrial production of ATP. Absence or reduction of either RAGE or HMGB1 significantly reduced ATP production and slowed tumor growth, clearly indicating a link between the HMGB1–RAGE pathway and alterations in bioenergetics.

Besides activating the RAGE receptor in neighboring cells, HMGB1 was also shown to mediate autophagy in human Panc2.03 and mouse Panc02 pancreatic carcinoma cell lines through an additional mechanism, regulated by its interactions with Beclin 1. Upon cellular starvation, the oxidated HMGB1 translocates from the nucleus to the cytoplasm, where it disrupts interactions between Bcl-2 and Beclin 1 by competitively binding to the latter.

Several recent studies have confirmed the correlation between autophagy induction and oncogenic constitutively active Ras in several human cancer cell lines, as well as the need for autophagy in Ras-induced malignant cell transformations. It was recently suggested that in cancers mediated by Ras oncogenes, cancer cells develop autophagy addiction as a survival mechanism. Oncogenic K-Ras and H-Ras were shown to promote autophagy, which supports transformation and cell survival mainly through the maintenance of mitochondrial operation and ATP levels. In addition, in the scope of a recent comprehensive study, a few human cancer cell lines harboring endogenous oncogenic Ras mutations, including bladder, lung, colorectal and pancreatic cancer cell lines, exhibited significantly high levels of basal autophagy. These studies suggested that oncogenic
Ras increases depletion of energy sources, rendering cells dependent on autophagy to preserve their mitochondrial function for energy production and possibly by providing catabolically derived metabolic substrates. Thus, the accumulated data point to a pro-survival action of autophagy in cancer cell lines transformed by oncogenic Ras, suggesting that Ras-driven pancreatic tumors may be particularly sensitive to inhibition of the autophagy metabolic pathway to which they have become addicted.

Conclusions

Pancreatic tumor cells harbor a unique combination of complicated genetic aberrations, such as mutations in K-Ras that lead to its overactivation and produce uncontrolled cell proliferation. Some of these distinctive aberrations have now been identified and are being successfully exploited in clinical laboratories as diagnostic markers. Nevertheless, pancreatic cancer remains one of the most lethal malignancies, and intense investigation of the pathology of its molecular circuits should lead to further progress in our ability to cure this deadly disease. The large body of accumulated evidence strongly supports the notion of ‘oncogenic addiction’, in which these malignant pancreatic cells become highly dependent on their genomic aberrations. Thus, the same mutated signaling pathways that promote their unregulated growth are also responsible for their survival. For this reason, intensive efforts are underway to understand the mechanisms underlying oncogenic addiction of cancer cells, as breaking the chain of oncogenic addiction seems to hold hope for a cure by killing the cancer cells. Over the past two decades, this scientific undertaking has led to the discovery of metabolic

### Table 1 Examples of the effects of various chemical compounds and treatments on metabolic pathways that involved in pancreatic tumorigenicity

| Drug/compound/treatment | Treatment outcome(s) | Suggested mechanism | Reference |
|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Everolimus (rapamycin analog) | Inhibition of cell proliferation and glycolysis, and induction of apoptotic cell death of Panc-1 human pancreatic cancer cells | Upregulation of levels of miR-143 transcripts, concomitant with decrease in HK2 transcripts levels | 50 |
| FX11 (inhibitor of LDHA) | Cell growth inhibition of P198 human pancreatic cancer cells (increased sensitivity under hypoxia), Inhibition of pancreatic tumor xenograft progression | Reduction of ATP levels and induction of marked oxidative stress and cell death. Decreased NAD+/NADH recycling (increased NADH/NAD + ratio) | 52 |
| K-Ras<sup>G12D</sup> doxy withdrawal (transgenic mice) | Doxy induction provokes acinar-to-ductal metaplasia and PanIN lesions within 2 weeks. Doxy withdrawal leads to rapid tumor regression with morphological deterioration of tumor cells and rapid degeneration of stromal elements, decreased tumor cell proliferation and increased apoptosis | Significant reduction in expression levels of several glycolytic enzymes, not accompanied by significant alterations in levels of TCA cycle intermediates. Marked reduction in nonoxidative PPP-specific metabolites S7P and SBP, accompanied by reduction in the flux of glucose into the nonoxidative arm of the PPP | 60 |
| Salirasib (Ras inhibitor) | Significant antiproliferative effects in pancreatic cancerous cells (Panc-1 and Mia PaCa-2) and on a variety of tumorigenic cell lines (melanoma, Merkel cell carcinoma, LNCaP, CWR-R1) | Reduction of Ras-mediated downstream signaling pathway (including Akt and Erk) | 92–97 |
| Salirasib | Significant antiproliferative effects in glioblastoma cells, accompanied by apoptotic cell death | HIF-1α degradation, leading to glycolysis shutdown and severe energy crisis | 53,100 |
| Salirasib in combination with 2-DG (glucose analog) | Additive inhibition of cell proliferation, synergistic induction of apoptosis and complete shrinkage of Panc-1 pancreatic carcinoma cells MiaPaCa2 and Panc-1 pancreatic cancer cells manifest energy depletion and increased cell necrosis | Glycolysis inhibition | 99 |
| 2-DG in combination with 3-BrPA (anti-glycolytic agent) | Significant reduction in PDAC cell growth | Targeting of transaminase, which abolishes the noncanonical pathway in which PDAC cells metabolize glutamine | 111 |
| RNA interference targeting glutaminase | | | |
| Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) diet (omega-3 fatty acid) | Recurrence and proliferative index of pancreatic cancer in EL-K-Ras mice was decreased in mice maintained on DHA diet. DHA treatment in tissue culture resulted in a dose-dependent reduction in cell cycle progression through both G1/G0 blockage and induction of programmed cell death | | 128 |
| RAGE-silencing by shRNA | Diminished autophagy, increased apoptotic rate and decreased tumor cell survival in human panc2.03 and mouse panc02 cancer cell lines | | 161 |
| HMGB1-knockdown by shRNA | Reduction in autophagy and increase in sensitivity of PDAC-derived cells to apoptosis induced by the chemotherapeutic drug melphalan | | 161 |
| Ethyl pyruvate (pharmacological inhibitor of nuclear HMGB1) | In vivo, tumor cell growth was significantly reduced. In vitro, increased apoptotic signal (PARP) and decreased signals of inflammation (p-p65), and autophagy (LC3-II), and reduced ATP production | | 166 |
| Chloroquine (autophagy blocker) | Significant growth suppression of pancreatic cancer cells, tumor regression and prolonged survival | Increased total and mitochondrial ROS levels, along with DNA damage. Severe decrease in oxidative phosphorylation. Significant elevation in uptake of glucose and lactate production | 146,148 |
pathways shown to be significant factors in the etiology of pancreatic cancer. The experimental evidence presented in this review reflects some of the central metabolic pathways currently known to fuel cellular metabolism in the tumorigenic microenvironment of pancreatic tissue. As described in each of the sections and summarized in Table 1, breakthroughs in the understanding of each of the denoted metabolic pathways can potentially lead to the discovery of novel soft spots, which could herald the advent of new therapies that can effectively target pancreatic cancer.
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