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Abstract

An experiment was conducted in Horro Guduru Wollega Zone, at Guduru Animal Production and Research Center (GAPRC) of Wollega University to evaluate the effect of *Ficus sur* (Cv. Forssk) fruits (FSF) supplementation on feed intake, milk yield, composition and profitability of Horro cows. Twelve healthy lactating Horro cows of similar lactation stage, body weight and condition were selected from GAPRC. All of the selected cows were in early (one week to 10days) lactation stage. The experiment had three treatments with four replications arranged in a randomized complete block design to which 2-5 parities were used as blocking factor, and three cows were used per parity. The treatments were: T1, Rhodes grass hay +1.7kg Oat grain +0.8kg Noug seed cake, T2, Rhodes grass hay +0.56kg Noug seed cake+0.97kg oat grain +0.97kg FSF and T3, Rhodes grass hay +0.8kg Noug seed cake+1.7kg FSF. The experiment was conducted for 60 days, including 15 days of adaptation. Except for crude protein intake, there were significant (*P*<0.05) differences in total dry matter, organic matter, neutral detergent fiber and acid detergent fiber intakes among treatment groups. Milk yields were increased with increase in levels of FSF in the diets. Except Lactose content, the compositions of milk were significantly differed (*P*<0.05) among treatment groups. Milk fat was highest for cows fed T1 diet. Supplementation of FSF was more profitable than those supplemented with oats grain (OG). In conclusion, supplementation of Horro cows with different proportion of FSF and OG maintained on basal diet of Rhodes grass hay has increased feed intake, milk yield and milk composition of dairy products. Due to high cost of agro industrial by products and cereal grains diet of Rhodes grass hay has increased feed intake, milk yield and milk composition of dairy products. Dairy production, among the sector of livestock production, plays an important role in Ethiopia serving as sources of food and income. Irrespective of their number and importance; however, the productivity of dairy animals in Ethiopia is usually low and incompatible to the high population of the species. The national average milk yield per cow per day is 1.54 liters for indigenous cows, and per capita/year milk consumption in the country is about 16 kg/year, which is much lower than African and world per capita average of 27 kg/year and 100 kg/year, respectively (Belay et al., 2012). This low level of productivity of Ethiopian cattle breeds could be attributed to animal diseases, lack of proper management, poor

INTRODUCTION

Ethiopia has diverse agro-ecological zones suitable for livestock production and for growing diverse types of food and fodder crops. The country is believed to have the largest livestock population than other countries in Africa (CSA, 2013). Livestock in Ethiopia contribute considerably to the national economy and the livelihood of the citizens. The subsector contributes 15 to 17% of national gross domestic product (GDP) and 35 to 49% of agricultural GDP and 37 to 87% of the household incomes (Behnke and Metaferia, 2011). Livestock are integral components of the Ethiopian agricultural farming systems, and perform multiple functions at different levels. Livestock are important source of food (meat and milk), cash income, transport services, traction power and manure as fertilizer for crop production for smallholder farmers. They have also social and cultural values among producers. Livestock provides wide and year-round employment opportunities in urban and rural Ethiopia. Cash income from livestock production is important particularly for women, as is also common in many other developing countries. Despite the significant roles of livestock in the country, animal productivity is low mainly due to inadequate feed (Alemayehu, 2006).
nutrition and poor genetic potential. However, nutrition exerts the largest influence (Adugna, 2007).

Currently, feed is the main constraint limiting livestock productivity in Ethiopia (Alemayehu, 2006). Livestock feed in the country is derived mainly from unimproved natural pasture and crop residues. These feed resources contain high fiber and low digestibility which does not support optimum livestock production (Adugna, 2008). Besides, the availability of tropical feed resources follows seasonal dynamics of rainfall and there is severe feed shortage during the dry periods. Shortage and deterioration of feed quality also mostly happens in dry season of the year (Demissu et al., 2015). Consequently, the supply of protein and energy is marginal.

In this regard, most of the rural areas in Ethiopia receive a dry period of about six months or more. In this areas crop residues and aftermaths of poor nutritional quality are the major sources of feed for ruminants like dairy cows (Adugna, 2007). It has been observed that the milk production by smallholder dairy farmers during the dry season is significantly reduced (Pandey and Voskuil, 2011). In tropical climate improved grasses such as Rhodes, having C4 grass characteristics, are not enough to optimize milk yield of cows without supplementation with concentrate diets.

Under smallholder farm conditions of Ethiopia, different green herbage such as leguminous trees and herbaceous legumes grown on farm can be used as protein supplements whereas energy source concentrate supplements are scarce in these production systems (Diriba et al., 2014). This is due to the fact that most of the energy rich supplements are costly cereal grains that are used as staple food by farmers in the tropics. Other sources are agro-industrial by products that rural households cannot easily access due to inadequacy, localized availability and very high cost of purchase and transport. Supplementation of low quality feeds with other feed resources that contain better nutrients is an alternative strategy to improve the utilization of low quality feeds and thereby enhance livestock production (Diriba et al., 2014).

One of such feeds could be *Ficus sur fruit* (FSF), which is cheaper and easily accessible than concentrates. FSF which is widely distributed in most of the regional states in Ethiopia may be used to fill the gap of energy concentrate scarcity during dry season. Because the fruits of such tree mature during the dry periods (December to May) of feed scarcity and all livestock classes used to consume normally and their body condition usually improves. However, no documented information is available, so far, on supplementary value of FSF for dairy animals. The objective of this study, therefore, was to investigate the effect of FSF supplementation on feed intake, milk yield and composition, profitability of Horro cows.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Description of the Study Area**

The study was conducted in Horro-Guduru Wollega zone, at Guduru Animal Production and Research Center of Wollega University (the former Horro Guduru Cattle Ranch). The Research Center is located at about 300 km west of Addis Ababa. The geographical coordinates of the study area is 09º29´N and 37º26´E. The altitude of the study area is approximately 2296 m.a.s.l. The area has one rainy season extending from late March to mid-October (Bezuayehu, 2006). The monthly mean temperature varies between 14.9°C to 17.5°C and annual rainfall ranging from 1000 – 2400 mm. Mixed crop-livestock agriculture is the dominant production system in the area. Cattle, sheep, goats, equines, poultry and honey bee production are the major animal species produced in the area (Demissu et al., 2015) while maize (*Zea mays*), sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*), teff (*Eragrostis teff*), wheat and other cereals and pulses are the main crops growing in the study area.

**Experimental Animals and Management**

Twelve healthy lactating Horro cows of similar lactation stage and body condition were selected from Horro Guduru Animal Production and Research Center. All of the selected cows were from early lactation stage of one year. Prior to the start of the experiment, all the cows were weighed and drenched with broad-spectrum anti-helmintics (Albendazole 500mg). Continuous follow up on the health care of the animals was made throughout the experiment. The cows were maintained and stall-fed individually in a well-ventilated and concrete floor barn.

**Experimental Feed Preparation**

The late matured and naturally dried *Ficus sur* fruits (FSF) dropped from the tree were collected by casual workers. After collection, the fruits were further sun dried, not finely ground but crushed to smaller parts and used for the experiment.

Oat grain was purchased from the communities around the study area and noug seed cake from nearby oil processing factories. Rhodes grass hay was used as a basal diet throughout the experimental period. The feed supplement was weighed and offered in a separate feed trough twice a day at 8:00 AM and 6:00 PM. The basal diet was fed ad libitum and adjusted up to 20% refusal level. Feed refusals were collected and weighed before the next feeding. Samples of feed offer and refusals were separately taken and bulked over 45 days for feed intake analysis. Feed intake was calculated as difference of the quantity of feed offered and feed refused. The animals had free access to clean water throughout the experimental periods.

**Experimental Design and Treatments**

The dietary treatments used in the feeding trial were described as follows: Rhodes grass hay was offered ad libitum at 20% refusal rate plus Noug seed cake (NSC) supplement. The other two treatments received Rhodes grass hay supplemented ad libitum, with different proportions of FSF and oats grain (OG) in addition to adjusted provision of NSC. The gram amount of Noug seed cake (NSC), as protein supplement, varied based on the nitrogen content of energy diets, FSF and OG, to keep all the treatments at iso-nitrogenous level.

The average body weight of Horro cows used in the experiment was about 250 kg. Therefore, the amount of daily ration supplied was calculated as 2.5% of body weight, which is 6.25kg. For a normal dairy cow, dry matter consumed within 24 hours should be 2.5-3% of its body weight (McDonald et al., 1995). The proportion of concentrate supplement to roughage was 40% of the 6.25kg which was 2.5kg per day and the rest was Rhodes
grass hay. The treatment proportions for FSF and OG were calculated from the daily total concentrate level (2.5kg) in the ration.

**Table 1:** List of dietary treatments used in the experiment

| No. | Diets     | T1  | T2  | T3  |
|-----|-----------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1   | NSC       | 0.80kg | 0.56kg | 0.80kg |
| 2   | FSF       | 0.00 | 0.97kg | 1.70kg |
| 3   | OG        | 1.7kg | 0.97kg | 0.00  |
| 4   | RGH Ad libitum | Ad libitum | Ad libitum |

OG= Oat grain; FSF=Ficus sur fruit; NSC=Noug seed cake; RGH= Rhodes grass hay; T= treatment

**Experimental Design**

Three dietary treatments were randomly assigned to four cows which were arranged in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD). The lactating cows of similar lactation stage, early stage of lactation, and similar body weight were selected from the total lactating dairy herd. Two to five parity, and three animals per parity were used as blocking factor. The experiment was lasted for 60 days including 15 days of adaptation. Number of animals used per treatment (four) in this experiment was limited in view of statistical standards, because of shortage of feeds’ budget to maintain more number of cows.

**Experimental Measurements**

**Chemical Analysis of Feeds**

Chemical analysis of the feed samples was done at Holeta Agricultural Research Center. The samples were dried in an oven at 105°C overnight in a forced draft oven to determine the DM contents of the feed. The other part of feed samples dried at 65°C was ground to pass through 1mm screen size for chemical analysis. Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF), Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF) and Acid Detergent Lignin (ADL) were determined following the procedures described by AOAC (2000). Nitrogen was analyzed by Kjeldhal technique and CP was calculated as N x 6.25.

**Feed Intake**

A ration was prepared and fed to each animal twice daily. Data on feed offered and feed refused were collected to determine feed intake throughout the experimental days. Samples of feed offered and feed refusals of each animal were collected on daily basis, and bulked over the experimental period for each treatment. At end of the feeding experiment, sub samples were taken for further chemical analysis. Feed intake was calculated as the difference between the quantity of feed offered and feed refused from each animal per day.

**Milk Yield**

All cows were hand milked twice a day regularly at about 7:00 AM in the morning and 5:00 PM in the afternoon. The morning and evening milk yield was recorded on daily basis. The milk consumed by the calf was calculated by taking the weight of the calf before and after suckling. This helps us control the error on milk yield due to variation in suckling ability of calves of cows under different feeds treatment. Milk yield from each cow is equal to milked milk plus suckled milk. Milk yield from each cow was measured by using graduated measuring cylinder and then recorded on the datasheet.

**Milk Composition Analysis**

Samples of milk were collected for the analysis of chemical compositions at Holeta research center dairy laboratory. The milk samples were kept in an ice box and transported to the Holeta dairy laboratory. Representative sample was taken to determine fat, solids not-fat (SNF), lactose and protein content of the milk.

**Total Protein**

Formaldehyde titration method was used to determine the total protein content. Ten ml of milk was added into a beaker. Then, 0.5 ml of 0.5 percent phenolphthalein indicator and 0.4 ml of 0.4 percent Potassium Oxalate was added into the milk. Then, the sample was titrated with 0.1N Sodium Hydroxide solution. The titration was continued until pink color becomes intense. Finally, the burette reading was recorded. The reading was multiplied by a factor 1.74 (Foley et al., 1974). Percent protein = Burette reading x 1.74

**Milk Fat**

Gerber method was used to determine the milk fat content. Milk samples were kept at 37°C for 30 minutes in a water bath to maintain the milk to normal body temperature of the cow. Ten millilitre of concentrated sulphuric acid was pipetted into a butyrometer. Then 11 ml of milk was added using milk pipette into a butyrometer having the sulphuric acid, and then one millilitre of amyl alcohol was added. The butyrometer stopper was put on and the sample was shaken and inverted several times until all the milk was digested by the acid. Then the butyrometer was placed in a water bath at 65°C for five minutes. The sample was placed in a Gerber centrifuge for four minutes at 1100 rpm (rotations per minute). Finally, the sample was placed in to water bath for 5 minutes at 65°C and fat percentage was read from the butyrometer (ILCA, 1988; Van den Berg, 1988). The average of duplicate readings was computed and recorded.

**Total Solids**

To determine the total solids, five grams of milk sample was placed in a pre-weighed and dried duplicate of crucibles. The samples were kept at 102°C in a hot air oven overnight. Then, the dried samples were taken out of the oven and placed in desiccators. Then the dry sample was weighed (O’Connor, 1994).

Total solids= \(\frac{(Crucible weight + oven dry sample weight - Crucible weight) \times 100}{Sample weight}\)

**Solids- Not –Fat**

The solids not fat (SNF %) was determined by subtracting the percent fat from total solids (O’Mahoney, 1988).

\[SNF = (TS - fat) \times 100\]

**Total Ash**

The total ash was determined gravimetrically by igniting the dried milk samples in a muffle furnace in which the temperature was slowly raised to 550°C. The sample was ignited until carbon (black color) disappears or until the ash residue becomes white (Richardson, 1985).

Percent ash= \(\frac{(Weight of residue) \times 100}{Weight of sample}\)
Lactose

Percent lactose was determined by subtracting the fat, protein and total ash percentages from the percentage of the total solids (O’Mahoney, 1988).

Percent lactose =

\[ \text{Percent total solids} - (\% \text{fat} + \% \text{protein} + \% \text{total ash}) \]

Partial Budget Analysis

Partial budget analysis was performed to evaluate the economic advantage of the different treatments by using the procedure of Upton (1979). The partial budget analysis involved the calculation of variable costs and benefits. The selling price of milk in each treatment was considered as total return (TR) in the analysis. For the calculation of the variable costs, the expenditures incurred on various feedstuffs were taken into consideration. The cost of the feeds was computed by multiplying the actual feed intake for the whole feeding period with the prevailing market price. The prevailing price of the feeds at the time of feed purchasing was recorded. Partial budget method measures profit or loss, which was the difference between money left when total variable costs (TVC) were subtracted from the total returns (TR):

\[ \text{NR} = \text{TR} - \text{TVC} \]

Total variable costs included the costs of all inputs that changed due to the change in production volume. The change in net return (ΔNI) was calculated by the difference between the change in total return (ΔTR) and the change in total variable cost (ΔTVC).

\[ \Delta \text{NR} = \Delta \text{TR} - \Delta \text{TVC} \]

The marginal rate of return (MRR) measures the increase in net income (ΔNI) associated with each additional unit of expenditure (ΔTVC). This is expressed in percentage as:

\[ \text{MRR\%} = (\Delta \text{NR} / \Delta \text{TVC}) \times 100 \]

Statistical Analysis

The data on feed intake, milk yield, and composition was statistically analyzed by using the General Linear Model (GLM) procedure of statistical analysis system (SAS, 2008) software version 9.1.3 and means were separated by Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at α=0.05. The partial budget analysis was described using arithmetic approaches.

The model used for the study was:

\[ Y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij} \]

Where:

\[ Y_{ij} = \text{measured variables} \]

\[ \mu = \text{the overall mean} \]

\[ \tau_i = \text{the effect of } i^{th} \text{ dietary treatment} \]

\[ \beta_j = \text{the fixed effect of } j^{th} \text{ block} \]

\[ \epsilon_{ij} = \text{random error} \]

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Feed Chemical Composition

The result of the present study indicated that the dry matter (DM) content of FSF and OG were 93.90% and 90.68, respectively (Table 2). The dry matter (DM) content of FSF (93.9%) is higher than the DM (87.3%) reported by Diriba et al (2014), and that of OG (90.675) is lower than DM content of FSF. Even though the feeds were collected from same area, the observation may indicate that different areas may produce feeds of different quality. In contrast, the result of OG (90.68%) value with 89 and 92.02% reported by Staton (2014) and Mesfin et al (2008), respectively. The CP content (6.1%) of OG obtained in this study is lower than CP content (13% and 8%) reported by Staton (2014) and Mesfin et al (2008), respectively. Such variations may be due to differences in varieties or accessions of oat grains used by these different researchers. The CP content of OG was below that of FSF, and it was again below the minimum requirement of (7%) for ruminant microbial fermentation, which needs supplementation. The NDF content of OG (42.8%) obtained in this study is higher than the result (31% and 38.2%) reported by Staton (2014) and Mesfin et al (2008), respectively. The NDF content of FSF (32.7%) is in agreement with the report of Diriba et al (2014) who found 42% NDF for FSF.

In this study, Oat grain (OG) has similar DM (90.68%) value with 89 and 92.02% reported by Staton (2014) and Mesfin et al (2008), respectively. The partial budget analysis was performed to evaluate the economic advantage of the different treatments by using the procedure of Upton (1979). The partial budget analysis involved the calculation of variable costs and benefits. The selling price of milk in each treatment was considered as total return (TR) in the analysis. For the calculation of the variable costs, the expenditures incurred on various feedstuffs were taken into consideration. The cost of the feeds was computed by multiplying the actual feed intake for the whole feeding period with the prevailing market price. The prevailing price of the feeds at the time of feed purchasing was recorded. Partial budget method measures profit or loss, which was the difference between money left when total variable costs (TVC) were subtracted from the total returns (TR):
microbial populations which increase degradation of the mats in the rumen. Fast degradation of mats leads to increased passage rate which in turn reduce gut fill thereby increasing feed intake of the animals.

Crude protein intake (CPI) was not significantly differed (P>0.05) among the treatments (Table 3). This might be attributed to an iso-nitrogenous level formulated CP in the experimental diets. Otherwise any difference among the treatments might have appeared from the difference in content of OG and FSF. Neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and acid detergent fiber (ADF) intakes were also significantly different (P<0.001) among treatment groups. Cows fed on T1 and T2 had the lowest NDF intake which obviously follow similar trend with its DMI. This lower feed intake, in turn associated with the high proportions of OG in these treatments and OG had higher NDF content than that of FSF (Table 2). The TOMI followed the logical trend of the TDMI of the cows.

The milk yield and composition of Horro cows fed different proportions of FSF and OG mixed diets was given in Table 4. Increased levels of FSF in the diet significantly (P<0.001) increased milk yield in Horro cows. This was to mean that milk lactose synthesis would have been expected from the soluble components in FSF more than in OG.

All the analyzed milk compositions were significantly differed (P<0.05) among treatment groups (Table 4), however, any proportion of the two treatment diets, FSF and OG did not bring change in lactose content of the milk from Horro cows. The reason was not clear in view of more soluble nutrient content of FSF compared to OG. This was to mean that milk lactose synthesis would have been expected from the soluble components in FSF more than in OG.

The fat content was significantly higher (P<0.001) for cows fed higher proportion of oats (T1 and T2) than cows received FSF (T3). The variation could be due to lower fiber content of FSF than OG. This happens when someone assume that the nutrients in the supplementary diets (FSF and OG) could dominate the basal diet of the cows, which is Rhodes grass in the current study. This implies that cows fed low roughage rations yield milk of lower fat content compared to cows fed higher proportion of roughage diet. Given the current result observed for fat content of milk from the cows’, the role of soluble carbons in FSF in proliferating rumen microbes, so that the degradation of fiber in Rhodes hay basal diet was not effective in this particular study.

The CP content was significantly different (P<0.05) among the treatments. Milk from cows supplemented with T3, despite iso-nitrogenous supplementation, had the highest (P<0.05) protein content than milk from cows fed on T1 and T2 diets. This could be due to microbial origin protein source supported by soluble carbon from FSF. The total solid (TS), solid-not-fat (SNF) and ash contents of milk showed significant difference among treatments groups and followed the trend of CP in the milk of the cows. The high ash content of milk from cows consumed highest level of FSF in the diet might be from soil contaminated FSF during collection (dropped on the ground during collection). It is believed that the SNF content can fall if the cow fed a low energy diet (O’Connor 1994).

### Table 3: Mean dry matter and nutrient intakes (kg DM/day) of Horro cows fed different treatment diets

| Variable | TDMI | TCPI | TNDFI | TADFI | TOMI |
|----------|------|------|-------|-------|------|
| T1       | 5.01<sup>a</sup> | 0.76 | 3.48<sup>b</sup> | 1.86<sup>c</sup> | 3.98<sup>c</sup> |
| T2       | 5.88<sup>b</sup> | 0.77 | 4.09<sup>a</sup> | 2.04<sup>b</sup> | 4.59<sup>b</sup> |
| T3       | 6.91<sup>a</sup> | 0.77 | 5.14<sup>b</sup> | 2.51<sup>a</sup> | 5.65<sup>a</sup> |
| Overall  | 5.93 | 0.76 | 4.24 | 2.13 | 4.74 |
| SEM      | 0.19 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.07 |
| CV       | 3.18 | 0.72 | 2.74 | 7.58 | 1.49 |
| P        | 0.0001 | 0.79 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |

<sup>a</sup><sup>b</sup><sup>c</sup> Means with different superscripts within columns are significantly different at * (P<0.05); ** (P<0.01); *** (P<0.001); NS= not significant at (P>0.05); TDMI = total dry matter intake; TCPI = total crude protein intake; TNDFI = total neutral detergent fiber intake; TADFI = total acid detergent fiber intake; TOMI = total organic matter intake; T1 = RGH + 0.56kg NSC + 0.97kg FSF + 0.97kg OG; T2 = RGH + 1.7kg OG + 0.8kg NSC; T3 = RGH + 1.7kg OG + 0.8kg NSC; T4 = RGH ad libtum + 0.8 NSC; T5 = RGH ad libtum + 0.56kg NSC + 0.97kg FSF + 0.97kg OG; T6 = RGH ad libtum + 1.7kg OG + 0.8kg NSC.

### Table 4: Mean milk composition (%) of Horro cows fed different proportions of FSF and OG diets

| Treatments | Milk Yield (Kg/day) | CP | Fat | TS | SNF | Ash | Lactose |
|------------|---------------------|----|-----|----|-----|-----|---------|
| T1         | 2.53<sup>b</sup>    | 2.77<sup>b</sup> | 3.77<sup>a</sup> | 12.17<sup>b</sup> | 8.40<sup>c</sup> | 0.63<sup>b</sup> | 5.00 |
| T2         | 2.89<sup>b</sup>    | 2.96<sup>ab</sup> | 3.20<sup>ab</sup> | 12.32<sup>b</sup> | 9.12<sup>b</sup> | 0.65<sup>ab</sup> | 5.51 |
| T3         | 3.45<sup>a</sup>    | 3.22<sup>a</sup> | 3.00<sup>a</sup> | 12.52<sup>c</sup> | 9.52<sup>a</sup> | 0.72<sup>a</sup> | 5.58 |
| Overall    | 2.96                | 2.98 | 3.33 | 12.34 | 9.02 | 0.67 | 5.36 |
| SEM        | 0.46                | 0.26 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.27 |
| CV         | 8.67                | 8.69 | 4.85 | 0.87 | 1.67 | 3.54 | 5.07 |
| P          | 0.0022              | 0.0106 | 0.0002 | 0.0038 | 0.0001 | 0.0218 | 0.1230 |

<sup>d</sup><sup>e</sup> Means within the same column with different superscripts are significantly different at * (P<0.05); ** (P<0.01); *** (P<0.001); CP= crude protein; TS = total solid; SNF = solid-not-fat; T1 = RGH ad libtum + 1.7kg OG; T2 = RGH + 0.8 NSC + 0.97kg FSF + 0.97kg OG; T3 = RGH ad libtum + 0.8 NSC; T4 = RGH ad libtum + 0.56kg NSC + 0.97kg FSF + 0.97kg OG.
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**Partial Budget Analysis**

The results for partial budget analysis of feeding different proportion of FSF with oats grain was given in Table 5. The gross financial margin or total return obtained in this trial was 5132.4, 5322.275, and 6156.75 ETB/cow/45days from cow fed T1, T2, and T3 diets, respectively. T1 has the lowest net return (4304.4 ETB) among the other treatments, T2 and T3 with values 4902.15 ETB and 5443.275 ETB, respectively. The highest net return was obtained in T3, for cows supplemented with maximum levels of FSF (1.7kg). The higher net income and MRR in T3 might be due to the lower cost of FSF (2.5 ETB/kg) compared to the cost of oat grains. In addition, the total return, net return and marginal rate of return were based not only on the lower cost of FSF, but also the higher record for milk yield from cows fed T3 diets. The Table 5:

| Treatments | Price of feeds and milk/kg |
|------------|-----------------------------|
|            | T1                         | T2                         | T3                         |
| NSC        | 36                         | 25.2                       | 36                         |
| FSF        | 0                          | 43.65                      | 76.5                       |
| OG         | 76.5                       | 43.65                      | 0                          |
| RGH        | 135                        | 135                        | 135 (9 bales)              |

**Feed cost**

| Treatments | Total concentrate cost (ETB) |
|------------|-----------------------------|
| NSC        | 252                         | 176.4                      | 252 (1kg=7birr)            |
| FSF        | 0                           | 109.125                    | 191.25 (1kg=2.5birr)       |
| OG         | 306                         | 174.6                      | 0 (1kg=4birr)             |
| RGH        | 270                         | 270                        | 270 (1bell=15kg=30birr)    |
| Total Variable costs | 828 | 730.125 | 713.25 |
| Total milk yield/cow/45days (L) | 496.7 | 530.2 | 572.5 |
| Milk Selling price (ETB) | 5960.4 | 6362.4 | 6870 (1L=12birr) |
| Total return (ETB) | 5132.4 | 5632.275 | 6156.75 |
| Net return (ETB) | 4304.4 | 4902.15 | 5443.275 |
| ΔTR | - | 499.875 | 524.475 |
| ΔNI | - | 597.75 | 541.35 |
| MRR | - | 6.11 | 32.08 |

OG= Oat grain; FSF= Ficus Sur Fruit; NSC= Noug Seed Cake; MRR = marginal rate of return; ETB = Ethiopian Birr; ΔNR = change in net return; ΔTVC = change in total variable cost; ΔTR = change in total return; T1 = 1.7kg OG +0.8kg NSC; T2 = 0.56kg NSC + 0.97kg OG + 0.97kg FSF; T3 = 0.8kg NSC + 1.7kg FSF

**CONCLUSIONS**

Supplementation of highest proportion of FSF (1.7kg/day) in the ration of Horro cows kept on Rhodes grass hay basal diet resulted in increased milk production and composition and returned the highest income. Due to high cost of agro industrial by products and increasing competition with human being for cereals grains, it is economically advantageous to use Ficus sur fruits as supplement to improve milk productivity of Horro cows. Since the FSF was nutritionally promising, further study will be done on how to determine the fruit yields of Ficus sur trees.
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