Electrospun ZnO–SnO\textsubscript{2} Composite Nanofibers and Enhanced Sensing Properties to SF\textsubscript{6} Decomposition Byproduct H\textsubscript{2}S

Zhaorui Lu\textsuperscript{1}, Qu Zhou\textsuperscript{1,2,*,} Caisheng Wang\textsuperscript{2,*}, Zhijie Wei\textsuperscript{1}, Lingna Xu\textsuperscript{1} and Yingang Gui\textsuperscript{1}

\textsuperscript{1} College of Engineering and Technology, Southwest University, Chongqing, China, \textsuperscript{2} Electrical and Computer Engineering Department, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, United States

Hydrogen sulfide (H\textsubscript{2}S) is an important decomposition component of sulfur hexafluoride (SF\textsubscript{6}), which has been extensively used in gas-insulated switchgear (GIS) power equipment as insulating and arc-quenching medium. In this work, electrospun ZnO-SnO\textsubscript{2} composite nanofibers as a promising sensing material for SF\textsubscript{6} decomposition component H\textsubscript{2}S were proposed and prepared. The crystal structure and morphology of the electrospun ZnO-SnO\textsubscript{2} samples were investigated by X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM), respectively. The composition of the sensitive materials was analyzed by energy dispersive X-ray spectrometers (EDS) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Side heated sensors were fabricated with the electrospun ZnO-SnO\textsubscript{2} nanofibers and the gas sensing behaviors to H\textsubscript{2}S gas were systematically investigated. The proposed ZnO–SnO\textsubscript{2} composite nanofibers sensor showed lower optimal operating temperature, enhanced sensing response, quick response/recovery time and good long-term stability against H\textsubscript{2}S. The measured optimal operating temperature of the ZnO–SnO\textsubscript{2} nanofibers sensor to 50 ppm H\textsubscript{2}S gas was about 250°C with a response of 66.23, which was 6 times larger than pure SnO\textsubscript{2} nanofibers sensor. The detection limit of the fabricated ZnO–SnO\textsubscript{2} nanofibers sensor toward H\textsubscript{2}S gas can be as low as 0.5 ppm. Finally, a plausible sensing mechanism for the proposed ZnO–SnO\textsubscript{2} composite nanofibers sensor to H\textsubscript{2}S was also discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

Sulfur hexafluoride (SF\textsubscript{6}) insulating gas has excellent insulation performance and arc quenching. It is widely applied in gas-insulated switchgear (GIS) of power system as electrical insulator as well as arc-quenching medium (Beroual and Haddad, 2017; Zhang X. et al., 2017). However, partial discharge and disruptive discharge might occur in GIS equipment during the long run, accounting for the SF\textsubscript{6} gas decomposing to various decomposition components, such as H\textsubscript{2}S, SO\textsubscript{2}, SOF\textsubscript{2}, SO\textsubscript{2}F\textsubscript{2} (Tsai, 2007; Liu et al., 2017). Previous researches have reported that these typical decomposition components are able to accelerate the corrosion rate of the GIS equipment and increase the paralysis possibility of the power system (Zhang X. et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017). Therefore, accurate and effective detection of SF\textsubscript{6} gas decomposition components is significant to estimate and optimize the operation state of GIS power equipment.
Semiconductor metal oxides such as SnO$_2$ (Qi et al., 2014; Li et al., 2016; Shahabuddin et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2018a), ZnO (Zhou et al., 2013; Zuo et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2018), TiO$_2$ (Zeng et al., 2012; Park et al., 2017; Zhang Y. X. et al., 2018), NiO-ZnO (Zhang Y. et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2018b,c) are the most investigated group for gas sensors owing to their outstanding gas response and selectivity. Sensing nanostructure with high surface area and full electron depletion is advantageous to enhance the sensing performances (Hao et al., 2012; Miller et al., 2014). In particular, the 1D nanostructures such as nanofibers (Jiang et al., 2016), nanorods (Zhang et al., 2014; Zou et al., 2016), and nanotubes (Kong et al., 2015) have been extensively applied to improve gas sensing properties (Li T. M. et al., 2015; Long et al., 2018). Besides, many studies indicated that the selectivity and other important sensing parameters of semiconductor metal oxide nanomaterials can be enhanced by compositing semiconductor metal oxides (Zhou et al., 2015; Tomer and Duhan, 2016; Wang et al., 2017). Jae-Hun Kim et al. systematically investigated the sensing applications of xSnO$_2$-(1-x)Co$_3$O$_4$ composite nanofibers and reported that the 0.5SnO$_2$-0.5Co$_3$O$_4$ sensor exhibited the most outstanding sensing characteristics (Kim et al., 2017). As one of the most important decomposition components of SF$_6$, H$_2$S has been widely studied in the past few years. A variety of composite metal oxides like Cu$_2$O-SnO$_2$ (Cui et al., 2013), CeO-SnO$_2$ (Fang et al., 2000), NiO-ZnO (Qu et al., 2016), and PdO-NiO (Balamurugan et al., 2017) have been reported as promising materials for H$_2$S gas sensing applications. However, the report of ZnO-SnO$_2$ composite nanofibers for H$_2$S gas sensing has been only investigated in a limited number of reports.

In this present work, we have successfully synthesized ZnO-SnO$_2$ nanofibers by electrospinning method and systematically investigated their sensing performances to H$_2$S gas. The prepared ZnO-SnO$_2$ nanofibers exhibited significantly improved sensing properties containing high response, low detection limit, low operating temperature and fast response/recovery times to H$_2$S gas detection, which can be ascribed to the large surface area of nanoﬁber structure and the formation of n-n heterojunctions at interface between ZnO and SnO$_2$. Finally, a plausible sensing mechanism for the proposed ZnO-SnO$_2$ composite nanofibers sensor to H$_2$S was also discussed.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Materials Synthesis**

Zinc nitrate hexahydrate (Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O), stannic chloride pentahydrate (SnCl$_4$·5H$_2$O), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP, Mw = 1,300,000) and ethanol were of analytical grade and used directly without further purification. All chemicals were purchased from Chongqing Chuandong Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd (Lu et al., 2018).

In the typical synthesis of ZnO-SnO$_2$ composite nanofibers, 0.7 g of SnCl$_4$·5H$_2$O and 0.6 g Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (the molar ratio was 1:1) were dissolved in 5 ml of mixed solvents of ethanol and DMF (The volume ratio was 1:1) and stirred for 2 h. Then 2 g of PVP was added to the mixture and stirred for 24 h to form a viscous and homogeneous solution at room temperature. During electrospinning, the obtained mixture was delivered to a glass syringe. A voltage of 25 kV was applied between the flat aluminum foil and syringe at an electrode distance of 15 cm as shown in Figure 1 and the flow rate is 0.7 ml/h. Finally, the electrospun nanofibers were transferred to a tube furnace and the specimens were annealed at 600°C for 3 h in air for the removal of PVP. For comparison, the pure SnO$_2$ nanofibers were also synthesized without adding Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O. The schematic illustration of producing electrospun ZnO-SnO$_2$ composite nanofibers was shown in Figure 1 (Bai et al., 2018).

**Materials Characterization**

To investigate the structures of electrospun ZnO-SnO$_2$ nanofibers, X-ray diffraction (XRD, D/Max-1200X, Rigaku, Japan) analysis was carried out at room temperature using a Rigaku D/Max-1200X diffractometer with Cu-Kz radiation (λ = 1.542 Å) over Bragg angles from 20° to 75° and the scanning speed of 2 deg/min. The morphologies of the electrospun nanofibers were investigated with a field emission scanning electronic microscopy (FESEM, JSM-6700F, JEOL, Japan) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM-2100, JEOL, Japan) operated at 120 kV. The energy dispersive X-ray spectrum analysis (EDS, Oxford INCA 250, JEOL, Japan) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy analysis (XPS, KRATOS XSAM800, Kratos, Kingdom) were tested to analyze the elemental compositions of the sample (Lu et al., 2018).

**Gas Sensor Measurements**

Gas sensors were fabricated with a side heated structure as shown in Figure 2A and a theoretic diagram of the test circuit was showed in Figure 2B. As shown in Figure 2A, there are two gold electrodes connected with platinum wire at both ends of the ceramic tube. Firstly, the as-prepared powder was mixed with appropriate amount of anhydrous ethanol and deionized water to form a homogeneous paste (Xu et al., 2015). Then the obtained paste was coated onto a prefabricated ceramic tube to form the sensing film and dried at room temperature for 2 h. Next a Ni–Cr heating wire was inserted into the ceramic tube to finish the side heated H$_2$S gas sensor. Finally, the stability of the sensing materials was improved by putting the sensor on the aging instrument of the side heated sensor at 120°C for 10 days. The sensor response was defined as $S = R_a/R_g$ (Zhang Q. Y. et al., 2017), where $R_a$ and $R_g$ were the resistances of the sensing material measured in air and in atmosphere containing target test gas H$_2$S, respectively (Zhu et al., 2017). Gas sensing properties of the obtained sensors were performed with the CGS-8 TP intelligent gas sensing analysis system (Chemical gas sensor-8, Beijing Elite Tech Co., Ltd., China). The response time was defined as the time required by the sensor to reach 90% of the final stable resistance when target gas in. The recovery time is the time required to return to 90% of its original baseline resistance when the sensor was exposed in air again (Nan et al., 2017). The sensing measurement were tested under laboratory condition with room temperature 25°C and constant humidity (50% relative humidity).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Morphology and Structure

Figure 3 shows the XRD pattern of the electrospun ZnO-SnO\(_2\) composite nanofibers. It can be seen from Figure 3 that the XRD peaks are in accordance with the hexagonal wurtzite ZnO and tetragonal rutile SnO\(_2\), compared with the standard pattern of JCPDS card No. 36-1451 and No. 41-1445, respectively. There is neither apparent peak shift nor any other phase corresponding SnO, ZnSnO\(_3\) and Zn\(_2\)SnO\(_4\), confirming that there are only SnO\(_2\) and ZnO co-exist in the prepared material. The result shows a possibility of developing n–n heterojunction at the interface between ZnO and SnO\(_2\) nanomaterial (Bai et al., 2018). The crystallite sizes of nanoparticles were calculated using Scherrer’s equation (\(D = k\lambda/\beta\cos\theta\)) (Lu et al., 2018), and the average crystallite sizes of nanofibers were calculated by diffraction peaks (100), (101) for ZnO, and (110), (101), (221) for SnO\(_2\). After calculation, the average crystallite size of ZnO is 20.1 nm, while it is 19.8 nm of SnO\(_2\).

Figure 4 presents the FESEM and TEM images of the as-prepared ZnO-SnO\(_2\) nanofibers after annealing. Figure 4a consists of randomly oriented nanofibers with diameters of 80–150 nm and lengths of 0.5–2 \(\mu\)m. Besides, the ZnO-SnO\(_2\) nanofibers consist of nanoparticles and surface of nanofibers.
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is rough, which can be attributed to thermal decomposition of PVP caused by annealing. More structural information of the ZnO-SnO$_2$ nanofibers is researched by TEM characterization as shown in **Figure 4b**, the single fiber is composed of grain-like nanoparticles with around 20 nm in size.

**Figure 5** demonstrates the EDS spectrum of the electrospun ZnO-SnO$_2$ nanofibers. It can be seen that the ZnO–SnO$_2$ composite nanofibers are composed of Zn, Sn, and O elements and the atomic ratio of Zn and Sn is about 19.63:20.76.

To further analyze the compositions and element valences of the ZnO-SnO$_2$ nanofibers, XPS tests were investigated. **Figure 6A** shows the full range XPS survey spectra of the sample. It confirms the presence of Zn, O, Sn from prepared nanomaterial and C element which is due to the carbon contamination. The binding energies were calibrated using C 1 s hydrocarbon peak at 284.54 eV. **Figures 6B, C** demonstrate the high resolution spectra of the Zn 2p and Sn 3d energy state, respectively. The Zn 2p XPS spectrum (**Figure 6B**) presents the doublet peaks located at binding energies of 1045.6 eV and 1022.6eV, which correspond to Zn 2p$_{1/2}$ and Zn 2p$_{3/2}$, respectively (Li W. Q. et al., 2015). The result indicates that the Zn$^{2+}$ is the dominant species in the prepared material and in good agreement with the reported data for ZnO (Zhao et al., 2015).

**Gas-Sensing Properties**

The gas sensor responses of pure SnO$_2$ and ZnO–SnO$_2$ nanofibers sensors as a function of temperatures in the range of 100–375°C toward 50 ppm of H$_2$S gas were tested and shown in **Figure 7**. The sensing responses of all the prepared sensors increase with increasing temperature and attain a maximum value at 300 and 250°C for pure SnO$_2$ and ZnO–SnO$_2$ nanofibers sensor, respectively. With further increase in temperature, the sensing responses begin to decrease because desorption of H$_2$S is dominated and the amount of the adsorbed gas onto the surface decreases (Zhang et al., 2018). The response of gas sensor based on ZnO–SnO$_2$ nanofibers for 50 ppm H$_2$S gas at operating temperature of 250°C is 66.23, while it is 10.49 and 300°C for the pure SnO$_2$ nanofibers based sensor. The results indicate that ZnO–SnO$_2$ composite nanofibers can obviously improve the response to H$_2$S at different working temperatures and reduce the optimal operating temperature.

**Figure 8** shows the H$_2$S gas responses of pure SnO$_2$ and ZnO–SnO$_2$ nanofibers based sensors to different concentration of H$_2$S in the range of 0.5–100 ppm at their optimal operating temperatures measured above. The measured results show that gas responses of the as-prepared gas sensors increase in a good linear relationship from 0.5 to 100 ppm. The linear relationship of the response and gas concentration satisfies linear equation $y = 1.1003x + 6.90664$ for electrospun ZnO–SnO$_2$ nanofibers gas sensor. The higher response of ZnO–SnO$_2$ nanofibers can be explained by the formation of n–n heterojunctions at the interface between ZnO and SnO$_2$. Moreover, the sensor detection limit was defined as the target gas concentration value at which the response is above 3. The response of the ZnO–SnO$_2$ nanofibers sensor to 0.5 ppm H$_2$S gas can reach up to 3.45, indicating that the detection limit of the sensor for detecting H$_2$S gas is as low as sub-ppm level.

The dynamic response and recovery curve of the ZnO–SnO$_2$ nanofibers sensor for 1, 5, 30, and 50 ppm H$_2$S gas was performed and shown in **Figure 9**. The obtained sensing response values
are about 7.25, 13.37, 40.31, and 66.23, respectively. The ZnO-
SnO$_2$ nanofibers sensor responds rapidly and could recover to
its initial value when it was exposed to air again, implying a
satisfying stability and reproducibility of the proposed H$_2$S gas
sensor.

Figures 10A,B illustrate the response-recovery curve of
electrospun ZnO-SnO$_2$ nanofibers sensor and pure SnO$_2$
nanofibers sensor to 50 ppm of H$_2$S gas at their optimal operating
temperature mentioned above. From the curves, it is observed
that the response and recovery time of the ZnO-SnO$_2$ nanofibers
sensor is about 18 and 32 s, respectively, whereas for pure


dographs.
SnO₂ nanofibers sensor the corresponding values is 24 and 38 s, respectively.

Finally, the long-term stability of the fabricated ZnO-SnO₂ nanofibers sensor was measured to 10, 30, 50, and 100 ppm H₂S gas at 250°C for 30 days as shown in Figure 11. The measured results show that the response has little change for 30 days and confirm a good stability of the fabricated electrospun ZnO-SnO₂ nanofibers sensor.

Experimental results of ZnO-SnO₂ nanofibers sensor have been compared with the results reported by the other workers on H₂S sensors and presented in Table 1. It can be seen that electrospun ZnO-SnO₂ nanofibers sensor toward H₂S can reach a relatively higher response at lower temperature and the response time is relatively shorter than other sensors reported previously. The obtained results indicate that the electrospun ZnO-SnO₂ nanofibers sensor is promising for H₂S gas sensing.

Sensing Mechanism
ZnO and SnO₂ belong to typical n-type semiconductors, characterized by their high free carrier concentration (Hong et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2018d). The gas sensing mechanism of ZnO-SnO₂ nanofibers is shown in Figure 12. Due to the sensing mechanism of ZnO-SnO₂ sensor follows the surface controlled type, the gas sensing properties are ascribed to the change of the surface resistance, which controlled by the adsorption and desorption of oxygen on the surface of sensing materials (Wei et al., 2014). When ZnO-SnO₂ nanofibers sensor is exposed to air (Figure 12A), the resistance of gas sensor depends on the amount of chemisorbed oxygen species (O⁻, O₂⁻, and O²⁻). The free oxygen molecules are absorbed on the surface and capture electrons from the conduction band of the ZnO-SnO₂ nanofibers, which causes a depletion layer around the surface and the increasing the resistance (Cheng et al., 2014). When ZnO-SnO₂ nanofibers sensing materials are exposed to H₂S (Figure 12B), the target gas reacts with the adsorbed oxygen and then releases the captured electrons into the conduction band of ZnO-SnO₂ nanofibers to reduce the depletion layer and decrease the resistance.

It is well-known that the chemisorbed oxygen depends on the specific surface area of sensing materials and the operating temperature. ZnO-SnO₂ nanofibers show a big surface area as shown in Figure 4. It means that adsorption capability of ZnO-SnO₂ nanofibers was greatly enhanced (Zhang W. et al., 2017). Moreover, O²⁻ and O⁻ species are regarded as the most oxygen adsorption species at 250°C, and the following H₂S sensing reaction can be considered (Kolhe et al., 2017).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2\text{S} + 3\text{O}^- & \rightarrow \text{SO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 3\text{e}^- \quad (1) \\
\text{H}_2\text{S} + 3\text{O}^{2-} & \rightarrow \text{SO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 6\text{e}^- \quad (2)
\end{align*}
\]

In accordance with the definition about gas response (S = R_a/R_g), the increasing of R_a and decreasing of R_g cause the response of ZnO-SnO₂ nanofibers is significantly enhanced. Additional electron consumption will occur at the boundaries of ZnO and SnO₂, which further enhances the gas response. The contact of ZnO and SnO₂ provides condition for electrons transfer from SnO₂ to ZnO, which has a higher work function of 5.2 eV compared to SnO₂ (4.9 eV). It results in the formation
TABLE 1 | Summary of the H\textsubscript{2}S gas sensing performances of different gas sensor materials.

| Sensor                        | H\textsubscript{2}S (ppm) | Temp. (°C) | Response (R\textsubscript{a}/R\textsubscript{g}) | τ\textsubscript{res} and τ\textsubscript{rec} | References     |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Zn\textsubscript{2}SnO\textsubscript{4} hollow octahedra | 50 | 260 | 46 | 10 and 25 s | Ma et al., 2012 |
| V doped In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} | 50 | 90 | 14 | 15 and 18 s | Liu et al., 2014 |
| Co\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-SWCNT composites | 100 | 250 | 51 | >100 s | Moon et al., 2016 |
| Al-ZnO thin film | 600 | 200 | 30 | 90 and 209 s | Kohe et al., 2018 |
| CuO-functionalized WO\textsubscript{3} nanowires | 100 | 300 | 79.8 | ~30 and 20 s | Park et al., 2014 |
| Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} -NiO nanoplate | 50 | 250 | 13.5 | 21 and 600 s | Sun et al., 2016 |
| TiO\textsubscript{2}/SiO\textsubscript{2} composite aerogel film | 50 | 300 | 30 | 16 and 30 s | Wang et al., 2016 |
| micro/nanostructured In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} porous thin | 15 | 400 | 260 | 60 and 480 s | Munasinghe Arachchige et al., 2018 |
| Gold functionalized MoO\textsubscript{3} nano flake | 50 | 250 | 66.23 | 18 and 32 s | This work |
| ZnO-SnO\textsubscript{2} nanofibers | 50 | 250 | 66.23 | 18 and 32 s | This work |

Temp., the optimal working temperature. τ\textsubscript{res} and τ\textsubscript{rec} - response time and recovery time.

FIGURE 12 | A schematic of the H\textsubscript{2}S sensing mechanism of the ZnO-SnO\textsubscript{2} nanofibers (A) when the gas sensor is exposed in air (B) when the gas sensor is exposed in H\textsubscript{2}S (E\textsubscript{F} is Fermi level; E\textsubscript{c} is conduction band; E\textsubscript{v} is valence band; E\textsubscript{g} is band gaps).

of an additional depletion layer in the vicinity region between the ZnO and SnO\textsubscript{2}, eventually generating a potential barrier for electron flow (Choi et al., 2013). The boundary barrier may decrease when the gas sensor is exposed in H\textsubscript{2}S. More electrons of oxygen species transfer to the sensing material by reaction of H\textsubscript{2}S with oxygen species, which results the resistance of the sensing material decreases and the response of the sensor increases. However, pure SnO\textsubscript{2} does not provide reaction interface, leading to lower gas response. So the ZnO–SnO\textsubscript{2} composite nanofibers exhibit better sensing properties than the SnO\textsubscript{2} nanofibers.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, ZnO-SnO\textsubscript{2} composite nanofibers were successfully synthesized by electrospinning method and characterized by various techniques. H\textsubscript{2}S sensing properties of the electrospun nanofibers sensor were also investigated. Compared to the pure SnO\textsubscript{2} nanofiber sensor, the ZnO-SnO\textsubscript{2} composite nanofibers sensor shows excellent gas sensing response for H\textsubscript{2}S gas, which is attributed to the large specific surface and the heterojunctions between SnO\textsubscript{2} and ZnO. The proposed ZnO-SnO\textsubscript{2} composite
nanofibers sensor exhibits good linear relationship between sensing response and gas concentration in the range of 0.5~100 ppm and its detection limit is as low as sub-ppm level. Moreover, the proposed sensor achieves good repeatability and long-term stability, making it a promising candidate for detecting H₂S gas.
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