Case Report

Single-incision laparoscopic surgery (SILS), radical hysterectomy for early stage cervical cancer, a case report and review of literature

Abstract

Background: Single-Incision Laparoscopic Surgery (SILS) is one of latest innovations in minimally invasive surgery and has several potential applications in gynecologic oncology surgery. We present a case of early stage cervical cancer that was managed by SILS radical hysterectomy and review the techniques of this procedure.

Case: The patient was a 48 year old, Gravida 1, Para 1001, who presented for evaluation of postcoital vaginal bleeding for the past four months. The patient reports regular menstruation, menstrual cycles: 4-5/27-30 days with dysmenorrhea. Speculum examination revealed the posterior vaginal fornix was slightly shallow. The cervix was friable, mobile, non-tender, hypertrophic and hard, with a 1.5 X cm cauliflower-like lesion on the lower cervical lip closing the external cervical os.

A Pap smear was significant for high grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL) with squamous cell carcinoma not being excluded. HPV-DNA detection was positive for 12 high risk strains of HPV (although HPV 16 and HPV 18 were both negative). Colposcopy and cervical biopsy identified low differentiation squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix with atypical cell nests distributed in the mucosal tissue.

The patient underwent single site laparoscopic radical hysterectomy, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy (BSO) and pelvic lymphadenectomy. Pathology specimen included a normal uterus and bilateral adnexa with a cauliflower-like cervical mass. Histologic evaluation confirmed the diagnosis of cervical squamous cell carcinoma (non-keratinized), Stage IB1. The patient remained in the hospital for 10 days (standard for this institution) without complication before being discharged home.

Conclusion: Previously, cervical cancer has been managed through LEEP, cone biopsy and total abdominal hysterectomy. Typically, total abdominal hysterectomy surgery has been managed through the laparoscopic approach. Based on our research, a less invasive and perhaps more desired procedure, SILS has been proposed as a safer alternative. SILS uses a single incision site and is associated with less scarring and shortened post-operative hospitalization. More studies are necessary to determine the complete long-term effectiveness of SILS for cervical cancer management. The good news is gynecologists will find mastering the SILS procedures is well within their current surgical skill set.
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Background

Cervical carcinoma (CIN) is typically detected through pathological tissue changes at the squamous-columnar epithelial transition zone. As the disease advances, it may extend into the uterine cavity, vaginal canal and pelvis. Cancer screen is routinely done via Papanicolaou smear test. In a majority of cases where pre-cancerous lesions (CIN Stages I, II, and III) are detected by cytology, associated signs and symptoms are absent. Usually, once symptoms present, the disease has spread extensively.

Risk factors for cervical cancer include tobacco use, immunocompromised status, family history of cervical cancer, sexual contact without barrier protection, low social economic status and multiple full-term pregnancies. Additionally, a known causative agent for cervical cancer is human papillomavirus (HPV). In order to avoid contributory effects from such risk factors and causative agents, clinicians recommend regular cervical cytologic screening, completing the HPV immunization series, routine condom use, and smoking cessation. Treatment and diagnosing modalities used in current practice for cervical carcinoma include cone biopsy, loop electrosurgical excision procedure (LEEP), laser and cold knife conization and simple hysterectomy. If the cervical malignancy is found to be in an advanced stage, it may be necessary to perform a radical hysterectomy via laparotomy or laparoscopy with concomitant localized radiation therapy and/or chemotherapy for widespread disease.

Traditionally, cervical cancer was managed by the previously mentioned techniques; with advancements the Single-Incision Laparoscopic Surgery (SILS) has a high efficacy rate with a minimally invasive approach.

Single-Incision Laparoscopic Surgery (SILS) is one of latest innovations in minimally invasive surgery and has several potential...
applications in oncologic-gynecologic surgery. SILS should be considered for the treatment of any women with cervical cancer when the extent of invasiveness is minimal. Minimally invasive surgery is becoming a more popular option for many gynecological surgeries due to the decreased blood loss and shortened post-operative stay. As such, we present a case of early stage cervical cancer that was managed by SILS radial hysterectomy.

Presentation of the case

The patient was a 48-year-old, Gravida 1, Para 1001, who presented for evaluation of new onset of post-coital vaginal bleeding during the previous four months. The patient reports regular menstruation, menstrual cycles: occur regularly between 27-30 days and lasting 4-5 days with dysmenorrhea.

On speculum examination the posterior vaginal fornix was slightly shallow. The vaginal walls were smooth and there were no obvious nodules or lesions. The cervix was mobile, non-tender, hypertrophic and hard, with a 1.5 X 1 cm cauliflower-like lesion on the lower cervical lip closing the external cervical os. The lesion was friable, bleeding easily on palpation.

A Pap smear report indicated a high grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL) with squamous cell carcinoma not being excluded. HPV-DNA detection was positive for 12 high risk strains of HPV (although HPV 16 and HPV 18 were both negative).

Colposcopy and cervical biopsy specimens identified a low differentiation squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix with atypical cell nests distributed in the mucosal tissue.

Pelvic ultrasound showed a normal size uterus, measuring 48mm in size, endometrial thickness of 4mm, cervical length of 27mm, presence of intrauterine device (IUD) and normal bilateral adnexa, as well as nabothian cyst of the cervix (Figure 1).

Pelvic CT imaging noted an enlarged thickened heterogenous cervix measuring 4.1 x 3.6 x 3.0cm, with unclear boundaries. Uterus, bilateral adnexa, bladder, rectum appeared normal with no evidence of pelvic lymphadenopathy or pleural effusion. There are many round high density shadows in the pelvic cavity; diameter of the largest of round high-density shadows was 1.0cm. An intrauterine contraceptive ring was seen (Figures 2–5).
The patient underwent single-site laparoscopic radical hysterectomy, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy (BSO) and pelvic lymphadenectomy.

After general anesthesia was administered, utilizing the single-site approach a 2cm umbilical longitudinal skin incision was made along with the blunt dissection of the muscle and peritoneum. This incision opens up the abdominal cavity, in which is then placed a wound retractor and a PanOport with a 15mm Hg pneumoperitoneum.

Initially, the right-side infundibulopelvic ligament in high position was dissected (Figure 6). Next, the right-side anterior and posterior lobes of broad ligament were opened (Figure 7). After which the peritoneum incision was extended to in front of aorta abdominalis, exposing the right-side ureter. The lateral peritoneum was then cut to the bottom of right-hand round ligament of uterus (Figure 8). At this point the pelvic lymph node dissection was begun. The lymph nodes were dissected in the following order; common iliac artery nodes (Figure 9), external iliac nodes (Figure 10), inguinal nodes, obturator nodes (Figure 11), internal iliac nodes, and nodes of the main ligament of the uterus. After lymph node dissection the right uterine artery was ligated (Figure 12). The same process was repeated on the left side of the uterus. Peritoneal reflections of the uterus and rectum were separated, and the rectum was separated from the posterior wall and upper segment of vagina (Figure 13).
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Figure 9 The lateral peritoneum was then cut to the bottom of right-hand round ligament of uterus.

Figure 10 external iliac nodes.

Figure 11 inguinal nodes, obturator nest nodes.

Figure 12 After lymph node dissection the right uterine artery was ligated.

Figure 13 the rectum was separated from the posterior wall and upper segment of vagina.
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The vesicular peritoneum reflection was exposed; we then separated loose tissue between the bladder and the cervix to reach below the external cervical orifice. This exposed the interspace of the bladder and cervix. The gap of the para vagina was separated from both sides exposing the ligaments of the bladder and cervix; the intramural segment ureter was dissociated. (Figure 14) The bilateral rectal lateral fossa and pararectal pouch was opened to expose the bi-sacral uterine and the cardinal ligaments of the uterus. The bilateral sacrouterine ligament was removed 30mm from the cervix. The cardinal ligament was dissected, and the pelvic side wall, including the vagina cuff, was closed. Procedure time was four hours and thirty minutes, with an estimated blood loss of 50ml.

Pathology specimen was significant for a normal uterus and bilateral adnexa with a cauliflower-like cervical mass (3 x 2 x 0.7cm) (Figure 4). Histologic evaluation confirmed the diagnosis of cervical squamous cell carcinoma (non-keratinized), Stage IB1 (Figures 5A & 5B). The patient remained in the hospital for 10 days; three days to improve related auxiliary examinations and two days to improve bowel preparation. On the fifth day she received surgery in was discharged home in the tenth day, without complications.

Discussions

Radical hysterectomy is still the treatment of choice for most women who have early stage cervical cancer.11 Minimally invasive approaches to radical hysterectomies have been shown to be a safe alternative to traditional abdominal laparotomy.12 Minimally invasive gynecological procedures have been associated with a shorter hospital stay and improved outcomes such as less post-operative complications and improved pain control.4,6,12 SILS utilizes one incision in the umbilicus reducing the amount of abdominal incisions needed for the procedure. All instruments are introduced through the single incision utilizing a device, which allows for maintaining abdominal insufflation.13 Although the challenges of crowding and instrument clashing still exist technologic advances have reduced the impact of this problem. SILS as a minimally invasive procedure specifically has the potential for minimal scaring as the incision can be incorporated into the umbilicus.13

Another advantage of SILS compared to traditional laparoscopy specific to oncology is the reduced likelihood of seeding abdominal incisions. Although no reports have been published on seeding of trocar sites, it has been raised as a concern in the literature. With SILS only one incision is used reducing the risk of multiple seeding sites.4,14

Recent reports have supported the use of SILS for gynecologic procedures including cervical cancer management. But, only a few long-term studies have been conducted; however, enough evidence has not been proposed to show statistical significance when using SILS.4,15 In contrast, short term studies have shown equivocal outcomes for using SILS compared to standard surgical management when assessing the number of disease free years after surgery.4,15

Conclusion

Previously, cervical cancer has been managed through LEEP, cone biopsy and total abdominal hysterectomy. Typically, total abdominal hysterectomy surgery has been managed through laparotomy and laparoscopy.17,19 Based on our research, a newer more appealing procedure, SILS has been proposed as a safer alternative.5,20 SILS uses a single incision site and is associated with less scarring and shortened post-operative hospitalization.13,21,22 More studies are necessary to evaluate the short and long-term advantages for management of cervical cancer using SILS.4,6,17
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