Upconverter Nanoparticles as Plasmon-induced Broadband Light Converters
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Abstract: We show numerically how lanthanide-doped upconverter nanocrystals inserted at optimal positions in adjustable and smooth 2D plasmonic arrays may change and potentially control the whole UV-visible-NIR reflectance spectra displayed by these structures. The analysis and interplay between a custom-built simple 5-levels 2-electrons quantum model and the bare structure have been studied in depth and revealed very fundamental and interesting physics. Essentially, the largely and selectively enhanced white-light excitation field and optimized local density of states at the location of the emitters favor some energy transfer paths and a redistribution of light energy in a broad spectral range. Interestingly, the extent to which the spectra can be modulated owing to the emission properties of such very robust multilevel emitters may find interesting applications and notably allow increased efficiency of emission in Light Emitting Devices or solar light redistribution and collection in Solar cells, where conversions from one color to any other one play a major role.
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1. Introduction

Photon upconversion in lanthanide-doped nanoparticles (UCNPs) involves multiple mechanisms of electronic transitions [1]. Stepwise optical excitation, energy transfer, and various nonlinear and collective light-matter interaction processes act together to convert low-energy photons into high-energy or short-wavelength light emission [1]. At the nanoscale, the occurrence and efficiency of such anti-Stokes emission crucially depends on the size, surface modification and functionalization, co-doping with semiconductor nanocrystals or other lanthanides, core-shell processing of the crystals and controlled nanocrystal assembly [2–6]. Magnetic and electric field-induced tuning, thermal phonon activation, mechanical stress-induced tuning, anisotropic polarization modulation, altering the pH environment and photonic crystal engineering are other routes which have been followed ingeniously with some important successes, to tune the bandgap energy levels and optimize the up-conversion emission [7–13]. Coupling UCNPs with surface plasmons has been recently quoted as one of the most promising strategies to apply as a lever to enhance one or the other or both of the UCNPs’ excitation and emission rates [14–19]. The targeted applications are broad and cover, non-exhaustively, the fields of solid-state lasing, IR imaging, security printing, volumetric displays, photovoltaic devices, up to biological imaging and therapies [13, 20, 21]. The emerging application of an ultralow-threshold upconverting laser operating at room temperature [20] could be designed owing to a careful engineering of a 2D lattice exhibiting a sharp lattice resonance coupled to the sharp red emission line of a film of 14 nm core–shell UCNPs (NaYF₄: Yb³⁺, Er³⁺).

In the current paper, we aim to go one step further and, based on a very recently published paper [22], show numerically how lanthanide-doped upconverter nanocrystals inserted at optimal positions in adjustable and smooth 2D plasmonic arrays may benefit from the largely and selectively enhanced white-light excitation field and optimized local density of states (Purcell effect [23]) to favor some energy transfer paths and thus redistribute light energy in a broad spectral range, as tracked by reflectance spectra. Such results open new avenues towards the realization of new, optimized, types of solar cells based on array plasmon-induced photon upconversion [21, 24].
2. Methods

The numerical Finite Difference Time Domain (FDTD) simulations have been performed with Lumerical [28]. The structure has been designed in a way to mimic as closely as possible the real structure. Accordingly, in a first step, a 150 nm gold layer has been deposited on a glass substrate layer, followed by the extrusion of perpendicular semi-ellipsoidal rows with adequate depths and lateral size (25 nm), featuring the nanotrenches (gaps) periodically reproduced in a lattice. The lattice constants of the structure have been set to 60, 120, 180, 240, 300, 360 nm for gap depths of 50 to 52.5, 60, 67.5, 70 and 80 nm, respectively. Anti-symmetric (symmetric) boundary conditions have been set parallel (perpendicular) to the excitation polarization direction (along X) while Perfectly Matched Layers were implemented in the Z-direction of a Matched Layers scheme for the 2d nanotrench array they could typically obtain. The smoothness of the achieved structure [22] is essential in order to avoid huge scattering losses and inhibit the creation of rough sites-induced hotspots.

In the published paper [22], the authors show in Figure 2c the experimentally obtained spectra for various choices of the period $P$. For $P$ varying from 60 to 360 nm, the reflectance spectra encompass a large dip shift ranging from about 500 nm to more than 1000 nm. The dip shift is further accompanied by a significant broadening of the dip.

In the current paper, we aim to provide evidence that the observation of such a large and profound displacement of the reflectance dip is not only the consequence of varying the constant $P$ of the lattice, but also the depth $D$ of the nanotrenches and, more importantly, the consequence of selective energy transfer and complete redistribution of light energy owing to excitonic interactions between UCNPs and gap-mode resonances when excited with white light illumination.

In order to demonstrate this, we firstly investigated, owing to numerical FDTD simulations [28], the mode profiles of various structures and adjusted the spectral locations of the respective mode resonances.

The fundamental mode of a plasmonic cavity is defined by the gap width, pitch size and vertical elongation of the structure, as well as the dielectric medium surrounding the metallic resonator. As shown in Figure 1b, the resonant mode is strongly localized in the gap region with a maximum field intensity enhancement $|E/E_0|^2$ reaching 280 for a structure with a 360 nm lattice constant. Such results also show that the incorporation of the UCNPs with a refractive index $n=1.5$ into the nanogap further concentrates the fields in two electromagnetic field intensity hotspots located between the particles and trench side-walls. Such a situation is likely to favor a deep interplay between both elements.

We thus firstly simulated 6 structures in the absence of UCNPs, with lattice constants $P$ increasing from 60 to 120, 180, 240, 300 and 360 nm while nanotrenches’ depths $D$ correspondingly increase from 50 to 52.5, 60, 67.5, 70 and 80 nm, keeping the gap width $S$ to 20 nm in order to accommodate a single row of UCNPs.

Figure 2a shows the reflectance spectra for such structures, exhibiting dips (resonances) ranging from about 540 nm to 800 nm. Such a nice red shift of the reflectance dip as a function of the period and depth of the nanotrenches in the 2D array is in fact due to the interaction between a photonic mode whose properties depend on the periodicity $P$ of the structure and a plasmonic mode for which the shape and, in particular, the aspect ratio $D/S$ of the nanotrenches govern the sloshing of the electrons in the trench side walls. It has to be noted here that uniquely varying the period of the structure does induce a red-shift of the resonance mode, but to a much lesser extent than modulating as well the aspect ratio of the nanotrenches. Also, while the red shift shows up already...
nicely, it is far from reaching the amplitude of the one experimentally observed in Figure 2c of the reference paper [22], in the presence of UCNPs.

Our next step concerns the true target of this paper: investigating how the insertion of a large number of upconverter nanoparticles in the rows of the nanotrenches’ structure (Figure 1a) may lead to a redistribution of light energy owing to the fact that the UCNPs benefit from both an exalted localized excitation field and an increased emission originating from an increased local density of states (LDOS, Purcell effect [23]) provided by the metallic elements, at the location of their immobilization (Figure 1b).

Figure 1. a) Top view (scheme) of a UCNPs-gold plasmonic hybrid structure: UCNPs are inserted in periodic (period P varying between 60 and 360 nm) nanotrenches in gold of sub 25 nm width S. b) Lateral view of the FDTD-simulated gap mode for a 360 nm periodic array.

UCNPs possess a large amount of energy states, with many allowed transitions potentially occurring between them [1]. For the NaYF₄: Yb³⁺, Er³⁺ UCNPs here selected, it is well-known that the dominant anti-Stokes emission paths (Figure 3a) succeeding a double excitation at 980 nm (4I₁₁/₂ -> 4I₁₅/₂) are the green emission occurring at 540 nm (5S₃/₂ -> 4I₁₅/₂) and the red emission occurring at 655 nm (5F₉/₂ -> 4I₁₅/₂) [18]. We want here to investigate the response of such upconverter nanoparticles precisely located in our plasmonic nanostructures when excited via white light illumination (Figure 2b) instead of a usual single monochromatic light at the 980 nm excitation wavelength [24]. The aim is to elucidate the role of the various excitation and emission pathways, operating with a strength depending on their spectral overlap with the gap-mode resonances of the used structures, on the energy redistribution in the system.

Such a study is eminently complex if one wants to keep too many parameters in the description. Accordingly, we chose here to set up a custom-built, very simplified 5-levels 2-electrons, quantum model of the UCNPs (Figure 3a).

Figure 2. a) Reflectance spectra of gold nanotrenches’ 2D arrays with different lattice constants (60-360 nm) and depths of the nanotrenches (50-80 nm) obtained in the case of numerical FDTD simulations; b) white light illumination and spectrographically resolved detection as performed in the reference paper [22].
Of course, the electronic structure of Er\(^{3+}\) ions involves many more levels and relaxation paths between them [6]. However, we want to specifically focus on the two main transitions occurring in the visible range of the spectrum, e.g. the \(^{4}S_{3/2} \rightarrow ^{4}I_{11/2}\) and \(^{4}F_{9/2} \rightarrow ^{4}I_{11/2}\) transitions. As we chose to employ a white light illumination, all energy levels can a priori be excited. Nevertheless, as observed in the reflectance spectra of the structures without UCNPs (Figure 2a), the resonance modes are likely to essentially act on the two above mentioned transitions, so that we will mainly consider (plasmonically enhanced) excitation of these two levels. We also allow the excitation of the \(^{4}I_{11/2}\) and \(^{4}F_{9/2}\) through the traditional GSA-ESA processes at a wavelength of 980 nm as the lower order upconversion mechanism potentially playing a role (Figure 3a).

As such, the decay rate equations of the gain medium, describing the multiphoton pump and emission processes are:

\[
\frac{dN_a}{dt} = - \frac{1}{\tau_{a3}} N_a \left( 1 - N_3 \right) + \frac{1}{\hbar \omega_a} E \frac{dP_a}{dt} N_3 \\
\frac{dN_b}{dt} = \frac{1}{\tau_{b2}} N_b \left( 1 - N_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\hbar \omega_b} E \frac{dP_b}{dt} N_2 \\
\frac{dN_c}{dt} = \frac{1}{\tau_{c1}} N_c \left( 1 - N_1 \right) + \frac{1}{\hbar \omega_c} E \frac{dP_c}{dt} N_1 \\
\frac{dN_0}{dt} = - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{dN_i}{dt} \\
\frac{dN_1}{dt} = - \frac{1}{\tau_{e4}} N_1 \left( 1 - N_4 \right) + \frac{1}{\hbar \omega_d} E \frac{dP_d}{dt} N_4 \\
\frac{dN_2}{dt} = - \frac{1}{\tau_{f2}} N_2 \left( 1 - N_3 \right) + \frac{1}{\hbar \omega_f} E \frac{dP_f}{dt} N_3 \\
\frac{dN_3}{dt} = - \frac{1}{\tau_{g1}} N_3 \left( 1 - N_1 \right) + \frac{1}{\hbar \omega_f} E \frac{dP_f}{dt} N_1 \\
\frac{dN_4}{dt} = - \frac{1}{\tau_{h1}} N_4 \left( 1 - N_3 \right) + \frac{1}{\hbar \omega_h} E \frac{dP_h}{dt} N_3 \\
\frac{dN_5}{dt} = - \frac{1}{\tau_{i0}} N_5 \left( 1 - N_0 \right) + \frac{1}{\hbar \omega_i} E \frac{dP_i}{dt} N_0
\]

with \(N_{a,...,5}\), \(N_i\) the normalized population densities of the various levels, \(\hbar\) the reduced Planck constant, \(\omega_{a,...,i}\) the pump frequencies and \(P_{a,...,i}\), \(P_i\) the various absorption and emission polarization fields (Figure 3a). For the sake of our numerical simulations, we chose a realistic value of the population density of the whole system equal to \(1.5 \times 10^{20}/m^3\). The transition lifetimes are \(\tau_{a3}=\tau_{b2}=\tau_{c1}=10\) fs, chosen to be so short since they correspond to the fastly decaying channels of multi phonon relaxation (MPR). \(\tau_{e4}, \tau_{f2}\) and \(\tau_{g1}\) are equal to 10, 19 and 95 ns, respectively, with ratios provided by the Judd-Ofelt analysis of the Einstein coefficients performed in previous study [29] for the spontaneous emission of the \(\beta\)-NaEr0.2Y\(_{0.8}\)F\(_{4}\). Compared to the transition lifetimes of Yb/Er co-doped UCNPs reported in this study, the values are reduced to allow for simulation within the computational window (< 1 ps) in the time-domain approach.

In order to perform the analysis, these rate equations are of course combined to the oscillator equations that describe the induced population difference driven by the absorption and emission polarization fields. In this model, four electronic transitions are triggered under optical pump at 540, 655 and 980 nm, while being at the same time coupled to gap-mode

\[
\frac{d^2P_i}{dt^2} + \gamma_i \frac{dP_i}{dt} + \omega_i^2 P_i = \xi_j E \left( N_j - N_i \right)
\]

\[
\xi_j = \frac{6 \pi c^3}{\omega_j^3 \tau_{fi}}
\]

with \(j\) belonging to \{a, b, c\} levels and \((i, f)\) belonging to \{(0,3), (0,2), (0,1)\} transitions (Figure 3a). Once inserted in the nanotrenches’ 2D arrays, UCNPs interact with the gap-mode resonance and benefit from the enhanced local electric field. In such a situation, UCNPs also act as emitters and are subjected to the Purcell effect induced by this mode. The emission rate of UCNPs in the various structures will thus be enhanced by a Purcell factor \(F_{j}(\lambda, T)\), which is a function of the wavelength, polarization and location of the emitter in the considered structure, this factor varying also with the structure periodicity. Table 1 collects such Purcell factors calculated for a dipole emitter polarized along X and located at the intersection of two perpendicular (along X and along Y) nanotrenches at a depth of 15 nm. Clearly, the Purcell
factor is significant, with values from a few tens to a few hundreds at the wavelengths of interest, depending on the period of the considered structure.

### Table 1. Purcell factors $F_p(\lambda, T)$ for specific emission wavelengths and various lattice constants of the structure.

| Lattice constant (nm) | Wavelength (nm) | 540 | 655 | 980 |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|
| 60                    | 176             | 127 | 58  |
| 120                   | 88              | 180 | 31  |
| 180                   | 88              | 215 | 26  |
| 240                   | 106             | 71  | 25  |
| 300                   | 92              | 41  | 23  |
| 360                   | 65              | 28  | 32  |

According to these Purcell factor values, the $\tau_{50}$, $\tau_{20}$ and $\tau_{10}$ decay times of the UCNPs are thus rescaled as

$$\tau_{10} = \frac{\tau_{50}}{F_p(\lambda, T)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

in all FDTD simulations, for the sake of taking fully into account the fact that there are inserted at well-placed positions and thus benefit from the increased LDOS at these specific locations in the structure. The $\tau = \tau_{20} = \tau_{30} = \tau_{40}$ decay rates, describing MPR, are unaffected.

As shown in Figure 3b, and very interestingly, the UV-visible spectra are strongly affected by the presence of the emitters located at hot spots in the structure. As such, one sees, by comparison with Figure 2a, an overall red shift and broadening of the reflectance dips for all structures, with a secondary dip appearing slightly below and above a wavelength of 800 nm in the 120 nm and 180 nm periodic structures, respectively. Also, more broadly expanded maximal peaks occur on the blue side of the reflectance dip, for periodic structures with a long period.

As such, on assists indeed to a redistribution of light energy, as due to the presence of UCNPs particles excited via a white light lamp (Figure 2b). The observed shifts are nevertheless not in good agreement with the ones experimentally observed in Figure 2c of the reference paper [22], especially for the periodic structures with larger lattice constants, where the resonance modes are much more red-shifted.

Obviously, some energy levels might lack in our model Equations (1-7) or some parameters might have been inadequately set, which would explain the discrepancy. By comparing the experimental reflectance spectra of the structures with UCNPs (Figure 2c of the reference paper [22]) to the ones without UCNPs here simulated (Figure 2a), one could argue that UCNPs particles modify the reflectance spectra and thus redistribute light energy by emitting strongly in the green spectral region while not so much in the red band. Accordingly, while the measured reflectance would increase due to the increased emission of the green band, the dip would appear in the red region as an effect of absorption of the excitation light in the red part of the spectrum, not followed by emission at these wavelengths.

In agreement with these considerations, we envisage a new situation where, instead of rescaling the $\tau_{20}$ and $\tau_{10}$ decay times with the calculated Purcell factors $F_p(\lambda, T)$ (Equation (8)), one blocks, on an appropriate time scale, the radiative emission at 655 nm as well as the one at 980 nm while favoring the green emission by shortening its relaxation time $\tau_{50}$ even further than previously performed. By blocking the red emission, the $4F_{9/2}$ level could then only decay through MPR ($N_2 \rightarrow N_1$) towards the $4I_{15/2}$ level. The decay from this level being blocked as well, the pump at 980 nm acting on this level would populate ($N_1 \rightarrow N_2$) the $4F_{9/2}$ level, which, in turn would depopulate ($N_4 \rightarrow N_2$) via MPR to the $4S_{9/2}$ level, whose emission would finally be strongly reinforced. On a reflectance spectrum, such effect would appear as a decrease (dip) in the red (655 nm) and near-IR (980 nm) spectral regions concomitant with a boost at shorter wavelength, corresponding to the green spectral region.

According to this reasoning, we performed a new set of FDTD simulations, for all structures, setting $\tau_{50} = \tau_{10} = 10$ ns and adjusting $\tau_{50}$ at best ($\tau_{50} = 113.8, 114.0, 56.8, 18.8, 18.2, 15.4$ ps for the 60, 120, 180, 240, 300, 360 nm periodic structures, respectively). The new numerically obtained reflectance spectra are shown in Figure 4a. Clearly, these spectra actually compare much better in a qualitative, if still not on a completely quantitative, way with the experimental spectra (Figure 2c of reference [22]). The major dip is clearly pushed to the red side of the spectrum as the lattice constant (and associated trenches’ depth) of the structure is increased. The secondary dip, on the blue side, experimentally often barely recognizable, is now also clearly observable in the spectra.

Very interestingly, such nice spectral features surge together with a very fast increase of population / relaxation steps of the $4S_{9/2}$ (green) level, taking place much earlier than the population of the $4F_{9/2}$ (red) level (Figure 4c), as anticipated owing to the arguments we put forwards at the initial stage of this set of simulations, as compared to the previous set (Figure 4b). It is interesting to note how such a simplified 5-levels 2-electrons quantum model of UCNPs interacting with the gap-mode resonances offers such a good and already semi-quantitative insight on the way to modulate spectral features of the hybrid structure.

![Figure 4](image-url)
4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we showed here how to design and engineer smooth plasmonic nanotrenches’ 2D arrays accomodating UCNPs at carefully chosen hotspots in order to change and potentially control the whole UV-visible-NIR reflectance spectra displayed by these structures. The analysis and interplay between a custom-built simple 5-levels 2-electrons quantum model and the bare structure have been studied in depth and revealed very fundamental and interesting physics. The real experimental realization semi-quantitatively confirmed and allowed to optimize the model. Interestingly, the extent to which the spectra can be modulated owing to the emission properties of such very robust multilevel emitters may find interesting applications and notably allow increased efficiency of emission in Light Emitting Devices or solar light redistribution and collection in Solar cells, where conversions from one color to any other one play a major role.
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