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The chemistry of lanthanide supramolecular self-assembly has received much attention with many extraordinary structures discovered due to the unique photophysical properties such as the Laporte forbidden f–f transition, large Stokes shift and long luminescence lifetime of lanthanides. Recent investigations have demonstrated the formation of architectures that are highly sensitive towards different stimuli, such as concentration, light, solvent and counter-anions. Various stimuli have been employed extensively for the preparation of desired supramolecular topologies with specific properties. Moreover, transformation from lower order- to higher order-supramolecular systems has also been observed by various stimuli due to the labile nature of lanthanide ions. This review summarizes recent research on the factors that govern the formation of self-assembled lanthanide supramolecules and aims to provide readers with the information required for designing functional lanthanide supramolecular systems.

1. Introduction

Self-assembly of supramolecular edifices is an important process in biological systems because of its ability to construct a more stable, functional, and non-covalently linked three-dimensional architecture starting from simple one-dimensional molecules. For example, the cell membrane is formed by the self-assembly of a phospholipid bilayer. Inspired by these smart natural systems, artificial supramolecular self-assembled structures have received intense attention in the past few decades. Similar to these natural systems, artificial supramolecular systems are constructed by self-assembly processes, in which the most thermodynamically favored products are formed by spontaneous assembly between organic ligands and lanthanide ions. These artificial systems are often formed by noncovalent interactions including hydrogen bonding, van der Waals forces and metal–ligand coordination. Among these different types of noncovalent interactions, metal–ligand coordination has been extensively applied as the main driving force for constructing artificial supramolecular systems. A large number of supramolecular structures based on transition metals have been reported. These structures have been studied well and have shown many promising applications as catalysts, magnetic materials, and molecular sensors and in bioimaging. Apart from transition metals, lanthanide ions are also applied in preparing artificial supramolecular systems. Lanthanides exhibit unique photophysical and magnetic properties that can be attributed to their electronic configuration, in which the 4f orbitals are gradually filled across the series. Unlike transition metals, lanthanide ions exhibit characteristic excitation and emission spectra, which are less likely to be perturbed by the coordination environment. The use of lanthanide ions can lead to the formation of unique and stable species that show promising functional and responsive applications.

Many elegant and versatile lanthanide coordination assemblies have been reported, including helicates, cages, metal–organic frameworks and interlocked structures. However, the driving force and directing factor of lanthanide supramolecular chemistry are less understood. Construction of lanthanide self-assembled supramolecular architectures are more challenging compared to that of transition metals due to coordination diversity and the lack of stereoechemical preferences. Lanthanide ions are considered as hard Lewis acids because of their large ionic radii and high charge density, causing them to accommodate large coordination numbers from 6 to 12, however by far, the most common coordination numbers are 8 to 9. Lanthanide ions highly prefer to saturate the inner coordination sphere. In the presence of methanol or water, lanthanide ions are labile, and ligands with weak binding power can be easily displaced by...
solvents. This causes the prediction of lanthanide self-assembled supramolecules to be a challenging prospect as it is difficult to successfully control the formed supramolecular topology.

To date, a number of excellent reviews that discuss lanthanide self-assembled supramolecular architects have been published. They focus on the coordination chemistry and photophysical properties of lanthanide ions and many latest examples of lanthanide supramolecular systems are well documented. However, the applications of these lanthanide self-assembled systems, such as in catalysis and molecular recognition, are all structure-dependent; therefore, beyond the chemical and physical properties of lanthanide ions, understanding the factors that govern the structural formation is also essential for the construction of functional supramolecular complexes. By fine-tuning the self-assembly process of the same building blocks, diverse supramolecular materials can be generated with variable structural properties and functions.

In order to provide new insight into the formation of lanthanide self-assembly supramolecular complexes, this review will focus on the factors that affect the structural formation of non-polymeric self-assembled complexes at the higher supramolecular level (number of metal ions per complex ≥2). Recent examples of supramolecular transformation will also be discussed.

2. Concentration-dependent supramolecular formation

The phenomenon of concentration-dependent supramolecular formation can be attributed to the labile feature of non-covalent coordination between lanthanide ions and organic ligands with weak coordinating power, in which the ligand and lanthanide ions will self-assemble randomly, giving rise to several constitutional isomers which can coexist in the solution state if there is no clear thermodynamic preference for one product over the other. According to Le Chatelier’s principle, changes in concentration can trigger a supramolecular transformation even if the metal-to-ligand ratio remains unchanged. In most cases, an increase in concentration tends to increase the chance of aggregation of building blocks which promotes the formation of higher order supramolecular topology. To date, numerous examples based on transition metals have been well documented. However, such transformation strongly depends on the ligand design and it only occurs if the ligand design favors the formation of a higher order supramolecular product.

An early example of lanthanide concentration-dependent supramolecular transformation was studied by Mazzanti’s group in 2010.35 An enantiomerically pure ligand L1 was prepared in seven steps. Upon coordination with EuIII at a low concentration (7 mM), diastereomeric complexes (Δ)–[EuL1]3 and (Λ)–[EuL1]3 were formed and partial stereoselectivity at a ratio of 1.8 (Λ/Δ)
was observed by NMR spectroscopy. At a higher concentration (14 mM), the NMR spectra showed an additional set of signals, suggesting the formation of a new species. These signals were assigned to the trinuclear complex \(\Delta\Delta\Delta\text{[EuL}_1\text{L}_2\text{L}_3\text{]}^{3+}\) and no other possible chiral trinuclear complexes, such as \(\Delta\Delta\Lambda\), \(\Delta\Lambda\Lambda\) and \(\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\), could be observed (Fig. 1a). A molecular model suggested that the diastereoselectivity of the self-assembly process could be attributed to the phenyl substituents on the oxazoline ring, in which steric constraints were observed for \(\Delta\Delta\Lambda\), \(\Delta\Lambda\Lambda\) and \(\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\) isomers when mononuclear \(\Lambda\) complexes were self-assembled in proximity.

More recently, Sun’s group demonstrated another example of concentration-dependent supramolecular formation in which the number of metal ions per complex could be increased from 2 to 4 with the use of two bis-tridentate oxazoline-based ligands, \(\text{L}_2\) and \(\text{L}_3\), incorporated with either a 1,5-diaminonaphthalene or benzidine as the central spacer.\(^{26}\) In this study, it was observed that coordination of \(\text{L}_2\) with \(\text{Eu(OTf)}_3\) at a low concentration (6 mM) in \(\text{CD}_3\text{CN}\) would give rise to single helicate species \(\text{EuL}_2\text{L}_2\text{L}_2\). However, a mixture of both the \(\text{EuL}_2\text{L}_2\) helicate and \(\text{EuL}_2\text{L}_2\text{L}_2\) cage was observed by proton NMR when the ligand concentration was increased 10-fold (Fig. 1b). The single species \(\text{EuL}_2\text{L}_2\) was obtained when the concentration of \(\text{L}_2\) was increased to 0.48 M. \(\text{EuL}_2\text{L}_2\) was found to be kinetically stable and would not rearrange back to \(\text{EuL}_2\text{L}_2\) helicate upon dilution. When the spacer was changed to a more linear and flexible benzidine, only \(\text{EuL}_3\text{L}_3\) helicate was observed under both diluted and concentrated conditions. This study implied that the ligand design also contributed significantly to concentration-dependent supramolecular formation. Ligands with shorter and more rigid spacers favor the formation of both a 1D helicate and 3D tetrahedral cage which have a higher chance to undergo concentration-dependent transformation compared with ligands with longer and more flexible spacers.

Based on the previous result, the relationship between ligand design and concentration effect was further studied by the same group. Four different pairs of ligands were prepared and the relationship between the supramolecular formation and the offset distance between two metal chelating groups was studied.\(^{25}\) It was found that increase in offset distance promoted the formation of a higher order architecture and Sun’s group demonstrated a concentration-dependent supramolecular transformation from a bimetallic helicate to a tetranuclear cage by employing \(\text{L}_4\) and \(\text{L}_5\) (Fig. 1c). For \(\text{L}_6\) and \(\text{L}_7\), a bimetallic helicate and an octanuclear cube could be formed by varying the ligand concentration. Crystallization was found to be a useful technique for mimicking high ligand concentration. Pure \(\text{Ln}_{12}\text{L}_6\text{L}_6\) and \(\text{Ln}_{12}\text{L}_7\text{L}_7\) could be prepared by crystallization without performing the \textit{in situ} synthesis at high ligand concentrations in \(\text{CH}_3\text{NO}_2\).

### 3. Anion- and solvent-directed supramolecular formation

Counter-anions and solvents have been proven to affect the self-assembly process in transition metal-based supramolecular architectures. Anionic species with different shapes and sizes can act as templates to fill the inner cavity of the supramolecular structures. By incorporating different types of anions, various supramolecular structures with different properties can easily be prepared. In terms of the solvent effect,
the solvent polarity may contribute to the result of supramolecular formation. Solvent molecules may also act as a template in the self-assembly process by interacting with organic building blocks, coordinating with the metal center and being encapsulated by the supramolecular cage.

One of the successful examples of anion-directed supramolecular formation was reported by Liu’s group in 2013.37 They designed and synthesized a flexible dentate-bridging ligand \( L_8 \). Upon self-assembly of \( L_8 \) with La\(^{3+} \), two different supramolecular clusters could be prepared depending on the anion template. Larger La\(_6\)L\(_8\)\(_6\) hexanuclear circular helicates could be prepared when tetrahedral Cl\(_{\text{O}}\)\(_4\)\(^{−}\) was used, while a more compact La\(_4\)L\(_8\)\(_4\) quadruple-stranded helicate was formed with the use of trigonal-planar NO\(_3\)\(^{−}\) (Fig. 2a). X-ray crystal structures showed that Cl\(_{\text{O}}\)\(_4\)\(^{−}\) and NO\(_3\)\(^{−}\) exhibited two different types of intermolecular interaction with the building blocks, which contributed to the formation of two different supramolecular topologies. For La\(_6\)L\(_8\)\(_6\) hexanuclear circular helicates, two Cl\(_{\text{O}}\)\(_4\)\(^{−}\) anions interact with three coordinated methanol and the ligand through a hydrogen bond, while for La\(_4\)L\(_8\)\(_4\) quadruple-stranded helicate, a NO\(_3\)\(^{−}\) anion coordinated to the La ions in a bridging mode to serve as a nucleation site. A supramolecular transformation from circular helicate to quadruple-stranded helicate can also be achieved by adding NO\(_3\)\(^{−}\) anions as the templating anion.

Another example was shown by Zhang et al. who reported an example of supramolecular construction by varying the reaction conditions to give supramolecular products from helicates to octahedral cages. A flexible dihydrazone-based ligand \( L_9 \) was employed and the ligand was allowed to coordinate with Dy\(^{3+}\) ions.38 Upon coordination with Dy(NO\(_3\)_\(_3\))\(_6\)H\(_2\)O in NaN\(_3\), a quadruple-stranded helicate structure was obtained. When the anion and solvent were changed into Cl\(^{−}\) and KOH, respectively, a dual triple-stranded helicate structure was formed (Fig. 2b). Moreover, two octahedral cage structures were formed when \( L_9 \) was allowed to coordinate with Dy\((\text{NO}_3)_3\)\(_6\)H\(_2\)O in either KOH or Et\(_3\)N. Solvent molecules and counter-anions were found in all crystal structures to stabilize the supramolecular products. Zhang et al. successfully demonstrated a new strategy for constructing interesting topologies. Under the same metal and ligand combination, a slight variation of the reaction conditions and anion could result in a drastic change in the final supramolecular structures.

A recent research study reported by Sun’s group also showed anion-directed supramolecular formation. With the use of tris(2-aminoethyl)amine (TREN), a series of multi-nuclear lanthanide complexes were formed based on \( L_{10} \). Upon coordination of \( L_{10} \) with Ln(OTf)\(_3\)\(_6\)H\(_2\)O (Ln = La\(^{3+}\), Sm\(^{3+}\), Eu\(^{3+}\)), pillar-typed triangular prisms were formed.39 However, when OTf\(^{−}\) was replaced with NO\(_3\)\(^{−}\), a mixture of Ln\(_3\)L\(_{10}\)\(_3\)(TREN)\(_3\) and Ln\(_4\)L\(_{10}\)\(_4\)(TREN)\(_4\) was observed in
ESI-HRMS, which corresponds to the structure of a triangular prism and tetrahedral cage as evidenced by the X-ray crystal structure (Fig. 2c). Although the Eu center was nine-coordinated in both topologies, X-ray crystal structures showed that the Eu$$^{3+}$$ coordination environment of the triangular prisms was different from that of the tetrahedral cage. In the triangular prisms, the Eu ion coordinated with two pyridyl N atoms, four N atoms of the TREN unit and three O atoms of three triflates, while the Eu$$^{3+}$$ ion coordinated with three pyridyl N atoms, four N atoms of the TREN unit and two O atoms of one NO$$^−_3$$ in the tetrahedral cage. Coordination of NO$$^−_3$$ to the Eu$$^{3+}$$ ions reduced the T symmetry of the cage, causing the six edges to become unequal.

A solvent-triggered supramolecular formation was observed by Mamula’s group in 2007.40 In the study, a carboxylic derivative L11 was synthesized and used as a building block to coordinate with lanthanide ions. Upon coordination, two distinct complexes could be formed depending on the reaction solvent. Trinuclear structures [Ln3L11(OTf)3]3+ (Ln = La$$^{3+}$$, Pr$$^{3+}$$, Nd$$^{3+}$$, Sm$$^{3+}$$, Eu$$^{3+}$$, Gd$$^{3+}$$, Tb$$^{3+}$$, Dy$$^{3+}$$, Ho$$^{3+}$$ and Er$$^{3+}$$) were obtained when methanol was used, while tetranuclear complexes [Ln4L11(OTf)4]4− (Ln = La$$^{3+}$$, Pr$$^{3+}$$, Nd$$^{3+}$$, Sm$$^{3+}$$, Eu$$^{3+}$$, Gd$$^{3+}$$ and Tb$$^{3+}$$) were obtained when acetonitrile was used (Fig. 2d). Two structures were found to have undergone interconversion by either dissolving the trinuclear complexes in acetonitrile or adding a small amount of water into the tetranuclear complexes. Various factors that affect the interconversion were also studied in detail.

Another example of solvent-controlled supramolecular formation was also observed by Sun’s group.41 L12 and L13, two tri(tridentate) ligands with a small variation in the position of the central tridentate spacer, were designed and prepared. When an equimolar amount of Eu(OTf)3 was added to either L12 or L13 in a mixed solvent of acetonitrile and methanol (v/v, 4 : 1), Eu2(L12)2 and Eu2(L13)2 sandwich type structures were formed and the stoichiometric ratio and structures were confirmed by HRMS and molecular modelling, respectively. Interestingly, when L12 was allowed to coordinate with Eu(OTf)3 in nitromethane (with a lower polarity), an Eu2(L12)4 tetrahedral cage was formed. When the nitromethane solution of Eu2(L12)4 was evaporated and re-dissolved in acetonitrile by heating at 60 ºC for 1.5 h, Eu2(L12)4 tetrahedral species could be converted into an Eu2(L12)3 sandwich structure (Fig. 2e). This system successfully demonstrated that supramolecular transformation is affected by solvent polarity.

4. Cationic radii-dependent supramolecular formation

As stated in the Introduction, lanthanides are a series of metals with an incremental filling of the 4f orbitals across the row. This governs their unique optical and magnetic properties. Due to the variable coordination number and the lack of stereochemical preference of the lanthanide ion, minor structural variations in ligand design and subtle differences in ionic radii can render a high effect on the self-assembled supramolecular structures. In general, it is considered that the phenomenon of lanthanide contraction, i.e., a greater nuclear effect is experienced by the outer 5s$$^2$$5p$$^6$$ orbitals as the nuclear charge increases, causes lanthanide ions to have similar sizes and reactivity profiles, which in turn simultaneously increases the difficulty in controlling the selectivity of lanthanide complexes. However, in the past years, more examples that show a deviation from this have been reported, demonstrating that lanthanides with different ionic radii alter the impact on supramolecular formations.

An early study on radii-dependent lanthanide self-assembly coordination behavior was reported by Liu and co-workers in 2003.42 Upon coordination of lanthanide nitrate (Ln = La$$^{3+}$$, Nd$$^{3+}$$, Sm$$^{3+}$$, Eu$$^{3+}$$ and Ho$$^{3+}$$) with a heptadentate ligand L14, a dinuclear lanthanide metal complex [Ln2L14(NO3)6]3+ was formed, evidenced by IR and proton NMR. Upon crystallization, La and Nd complexes showed two different X-ray structures in the solid state. For [La2L14(NO3)6(H2O)]·H2O, two [LaL14(H2O)]3+ were connected by a hexanitrate anion [La(NO3)6]3− to form a trinuclear complex, while for the Nd complex, only a single [NdL14(H2O)]3+ complex and a hexani-trato complex anion [Nd(NO3)6]3− were connected by a bridging molecule NO$$^−_3$$. Detailed NMR studies showed that the ligand coordinated with La$$^{3+}$$ more strongly than Nd$$^{3+}$$, demonstrating the selectivity of the ligand towards different lanthanide ions. Both La and Nd complexes were found to exhibit different structural properties. For example, La complexes were in a parallel chain-like shape with no hydrogen bonds found in the structure, while for Nd complexes, a chiral cavity is formed by hydrogen bonds between two adjacent supramolecular chains (Fig. 3a).

Based on these findings, Liu and co-workers further investigated the effect of ionic radii on supramolecular formation by utilizing a flexible tripod salicylic acid L15 as a ligand.43 Five different lanthanide ions (La$$^{3+}$$, Eu$$^{3+}$$, Gd$$^{3+}$$, Tb$$^{3+}$$ and Y$$^{3+}$$) were coordinated with L15 to form complexes with the formula [LaL15(DMF)4]4+DMF·4EtOH·2H2O, [EuL15(DMF)4]2·2DMF, [GdL15(DMF)4(H2O)]·2DMF, [TbL15(DMF)4(H2O)]·DMF∞ and [YL15(DMF)4(H2O)]·DMF∞ (Fig. 3b). Single X-ray diffraction studies revealed that the La and Eu complexes were cage-like homodinuclear products, while the Gd, Tb and Y complexes were helical 1D coordination polymers. These results demonstrated that the early lanthanides (La$$^{3+}$$ and Eu$$^{3+}$$) and late lanthanides (Gd$$^{3+}$$, Tb$$^{3+}$$ and Y$$^{3+}$$) showed a difference in their supramolecular formation which was influenced by the differences in the ionic radii.

A similar lanthanide radii-controlled supramolecular formation of polymers was also reported by Liu’s group. L16 was synthesized where a bi-phosphonate unit was employed as a bridging ligand.44 Upon coordination with lanthanide nitrate (Ln = Ce$$^{3+}$$, Nd$$^{3+}$$, Sm$$^{3+}$$, Eu$$^{3+}$$, Dy$$^{3+}$$ and Er$$^{3+}$$), four different supramolecular structures were observed depending on the ionic radii: a ribbon like polymer was formed for Ce$$^{3+}$${;}$$; a semiribbon polymer for Nd$$^{3+}$$, Sm$$^{3+}$$ and Eu$$^{3+}$${;}$$ a zigzag like polymer for Dy$$^{3+}$${;}$$ and a dinuclear-tri-ligand short chain was observed for Er$$^{3+}$$(Fig. 3c). All the structures were characterized by IR
spectroscopy, elemental analysis and X-ray crystallography. The Eu complex also exhibited luminescence properties and the quantum yield was found to be 28%.

Our group also reported an example of a metal-dependent supramolecular formation system. Two different clusters were formed based on different lanthanide ions. Under the same reaction conditions, a series of cubanes, \([\text{Ln}_4(\mu_3-\text{OH})_4(\mu-\text{tfa})_4(\text{hfa})_4(\text{phen})_4]\) (tfa = trifluoroacetate, hfa = hexafluoroacetylacetonate, phen = 1,10-phenanthroline), were formed when \(\text{Ln} = \text{La}^{3+}–\text{Dy}^{3+}\), while dinuclear clusters \([\text{Ln}_2(\mu-\text{OH})_2(\text{hfa})_4(\text{phen})_2]\) were self-assembled when \(\text{Ln} = \text{Tb}^{3+}–\text{Lu}^{3+}\) (Fig. 3d). The difference in supramolecular formation could be explained by a change in the preferred coordination geometry. In this supramolecular system, lighter lanthanides favored the formation of nine-coordinated cubanes, while the heavier lanthanide ions preferred to form eight-coordinated dimers.

Apart from simple lanthanide clusters and 2D polymers, the formation of higher order 3D structures is also affected by lanthanide contraction. Hamacek’s group was one of the pioneers to investigate the formation of lanthanide supramolecular 3D tetrahedral cages with extensive experience in designing tetrahedral cages. In 2008, Hamacek and co-workers reported an example of lanthanide supramolecular tetrahedral cages. With the use of a tripodal ligand \(L_{17}\), the tetrahedral cage \([\text{Ln}_4L_{17}]^4\) \((\text{Ln} = \text{Eu}^{3+}, \text{Tb}^{3+} \text{and Lu}^{3+})\) could be self-assembled, which was evidenced by proton NMR and ESI-HRMS. However, for a La complex, only large unresolved peaks in proton NMR could be observed and thus they proposed that the formation of \([\text{La}_4L_{17}]^4\) was not possible.

Hamacek’s group further investigated the formation of a tetrahedral cage based on the design of \(L_{17}\). They synthesized \(L_{18}\) and \(L_{19}\), which were also characterized by NMR spectroscopy, mass spectroscopy and spectrophotometric titrations. This work showed their attempt to study the effect of lighter and heavier lanthanide ions on the self-assembly process. For lighter lanthanide ions (\(\text{Ln} = \text{La}^{3+}, \text{Nd}^{3+} \text{and Eu}^{3+}\)), the major product was found to be mono- or dinuclear species upon coordination with \(L_{19}\). The \([\text{Eu}_4L_{19}]^{12+}\) tetrahedral cage could only be observed at high concentrations, and was found to dissociate upon dilution. In contrast, the coordination of \(L_{19}\) with heavier lanthanide ions (\(\text{Ln} = \text{Tb}^{3+}, \text{Er}^{3+} \text{and Lu}^{3+}\)) provided a well-defined and thermodynamically stable tetranuclear cage. The result showed that the self-assembly process of \(L_{19}\) with lanthanide ions differentiated the lanthanides along the series and it exhibited highly selective tetrahedral cage formation towards heavier lanthanide ions.

A more recent example of radii-dependent self-assembly of chiral lanthanide complexes was well demonstrated by Li et al. They designed and synthesized a pair of 3-methoxysalicilidydrazone-based homochiral ligands \(L_{20}\). Under the same reaction conditions, coordination of \(L_{20}\) with \(\text{La}^{3+}\) gave rise to a trinuclear complex, while a pentanuclear cluster was formed...
when Dy^{3+} was used (Fig. 4b). The result showed that the resulting supramolecular product was governed by the size of the lanthanide ion. The magnetic properties of the Dy complex were also examined and it exhibited typical single-molecular magnet behavior.

Lanthanide contraction affects not only supramolecular formation, but also supramolecular transformation from one structure to another. Recently, Sun and co-workers reported an example of metal-dependent supramolecular transformation. A porphyrin-cored tetrakis-tridentate ligand L21 containing chiral pyridine-2,6-dicarboxamide-coordinating units was prepared and the supramolecular formation was highly sensitive to lanthanide ions. When L21 was coordinated with La^{3+}, a La_6(Zn-L21R)_3 triangular prism was formed, while an Eu_8(Zn-L21R)_6 cube was formed when Eu^{3+} was used. A structural transformation from the La_6(Zn-L21R)_3 triangular prism to the Eu_8(Zn-L21R)_6 cube could be achieved by the addition of Eu (Fig. 4c). However, they reported that direct synthesis of Yb_8(Zn-L21R)_6 was not possible as the reaction mixture remained opaque after prolonged heating. Post-synthetic metal exchange occurred when Yb(OTf)_3 was added to the Eu_8(Zn-L21R)_6 complex and stirred for 4 h. Such metal directed supramolecular transformation allowed the preparation of NIR-emitting Yb_8L_6 complexes.

5. Stoichiometric ratio-dependent supramolecular formation

Unlike those preorganized ligands such as macrocycles and cyclen, the coordinating environment in dipodal ligands, tripodal ligands or even simple organic clusters is not well defined. For example, a lanthanide ion could have a coordination number of 9 when coordinated with one tridentate ligand and six solvent molecules when fewer ligands are employed, while the same coordination number also applies when three tridentate ligands are used to fulfill the coordination. Based on the stoichiometric ratio of the reaction conditions, ligands and metals will self-assemble to form the most thermodynamically stable product. With the use of simple organic building blocks, diverse supramolecular products can be formed by varying the metal-to-ligand ratio.

Mazzanti’s group is one of the frontiers in this aspect and they have reported several examples of stoichiometric cation-
dependent supramolecular formation. In 2002, they demonstrated one of the examples of cation-controlled self-assembly of a hexameric europium wheel. Upon coordination of the simple asymmetric tetradentate ligand $L_{22}$ with $\text{Eu(OTf)}_3$ in a ratio of 2:1, a mononuclear eight-coordinated complex $[\text{Eu}(L_{22})_2](\text{OTf})$ was formed (Fig. 5a). Interestingly, upon addition of a small amount of $\text{Eu(OTf)}_3$ to $[\text{Eu}(L_{22})_2](\text{OTf})$, another rigid species was formed. At a $[\text{Eu}(L_{22})_2](\text{OTf}) : \text{Eu(OTf)}_3$ ratio of 0.16, the proton NMR spectrum showed only the presence of the rigid solution species which corresponded to the hexameric europium wheel $[\text{Eu}⊂(\text{EuL}_{22})_6](\text{OTf})_9$ as evidenced by the X-ray crystal structure. In this system, the stoichiometric ratio-dependent supramolecular formation is strongly influenced by the ligand design. In each $[\text{Eu}(L_{22})_2]$, one carboxylic group was responsible for bridging with the adjacent $[\text{Eu}(L_{22})_2]$ unit to form a ring, while the ring-encapsulated $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ ion was coordinated by another carboxylic unit. Such a coordination environment caused the instability of $[\text{Eu}⊂(\text{EuL}_{22})_6](\text{OTf})_9$ in methanol, in which disruption of the cyclic structure was observed due to the displacement of bridging carboxylates by methanol molecules.

A further study on this interesting hexameric lanthanide wheel was performed by the same group. With the use of the same ligand $L_{22}$, they reported the evolution of supramolecular complexes from a homometallic wheel to a heterometallic wheel. A detailed study of the formation mechanism was also reported.

The formation of a heterometallic wheel was found to be highly sensitive to the ionic radii. Upon reaction of $\text{Ln(OTf)}_3$ ($\text{Ln} = \text{Tb}^{3+}, \text{Er}^{3+}, \text{Yb}^{3+}, \text{and Lu}^{3+}$) with the monometallic $[\text{EuL}_{22}]_3$, the components self-assembled to form a highly selective heterobimetallic species $[\text{LnC}(\text{EuL}_{22})_3](\text{OTf})_9$ ($\text{Ln} = \text{Tb}^{3+}, \text{Er}^{3+}, \text{Yb}^{3+}$, and $\text{Lu}^{3+}$). When lanthanides with ionic radii either larger than or very similar to $\text{Eu}^{3+}$, such as La$^{3+}$, Sm$^{3+}$, and Tb$^{3+}$, were used, a very complicated proton NMR signal was observed and thus the formation of a heterometallic wheel was ruled out. The result showed that the formation of a heterometallic wheel was controlled by the size of the lanthanide ion. Smaller ions preferred to occupy the central site, while larger ions preferred the peripheral site.

Mazzanti’s group extended the study of $L_{1}$ in 2012. Concentration-dependent supramolecular formation was found in $L_{1}$ and Mazzanti and co-workers further investigated the effect of the metal to ligand ratio on $L_{1}$. Addition of $\text{Eu(OTf)}_3$ to $L_{1^R}$ in a 12:7 ratio gave rise to a heptameric enantio-pure $\text{Eu}$ wheel $[\text{EuC}(\Lambda-\text{Eu}(L_{1L})_2\Delta-\text{Eu}(L_{1R})_2)](\text{OTf})_9$ and the structure was confirmed by X-ray crystallography. Moreover, excess addition of $\text{Eu(OTf)}_3$ to a $(\Delta\Delta\Delta/\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda)-[\text{EuL}_{1L}]_3^{3+}$ trinuc-

---

**Fig. 5** Structural properties of stoichiometric ratio-dependent supramolecular complexes: (a) Formation of hexameric lanthanide wheel by $L_{22}$, reproduced with permission from ref. 54. Copyright 2007 American Chemical Society, (b) structure of $L_{23}$–$L_{26}$ and view of circular single-stranded helicate $[\text{Ln}_{3}(L_{23})_3]^{9+}$, reproduced with permission from ref. 56. Copyright 2005 The Royal Society of Chemistry, (c) schematic representation of stoichiometric ratio-dependent supramolecular formation by $L_{8}$, reproduced with permission from ref. 57. Copyright 2015 Wiley. (d) Structure of $L_{27}$.
The ligand design also played an important role in the supramolecular transformation. Six Eu(1L1)3 were connected by a bridging carboxylic oxygen to form a ring structure. One Eu3+ ion was encapsulated by six carboxylate oxygens to form a heptameric enantiopure Eu wheel.

Piguet and co-workers reported another example of stoichiometric ratio-dependent supramolecular formation. Four ligands (L23–L26) with semi-flexible secondary amide linkers were synthesized (Fig. 5b). For L25, [Ln3(L25)3]6+ was found upon coordination in a ratio of Ln : L25 0.67. While for L23, L24 and L26, a mixture of three complexes [Ln2(L1)3]6+, [Ln2(L2)3]6+ and [Ln3(L3)3]9+ (L = L23, L24 and L26) was observed under the same reaction conditions. When the metal-to-ligand ratio was increased from 0.67 to 1, pure [Ln3(L23)3]9+ was observed as the only single product. X-ray crystal studies showed that [Ln3(L23)]9+ existed as a circular trimetallic single-stranded helicate. No templating effects or intramolecular interactions were observed in [Ln3(L26)]9+ but they suggested that [Ln3(L3)]9+ might be stabilized by three weak intermolecular [pyridine]CH-O(triflate) interactions.

L8 was found to exhibit anion-dependent self-assembly of a hexanuclear circular helicate and a quadruple-stranded helicate. In 2015, Konar’s group further demonstrated the effect of the stoichiometric ratio on the self-assembly of L8 with lanthanide ions. Upon coordination with Eu(NO3)3·6H2O in a 1 : 1 metal-to-ligand ratio, a dinuclear triple-stranded helicate [Eu2L8] was isolated. When the ratio was varied to 2 : 1, a tetranuclear quadruple-stranded helicate [Eu4L8(NO3)3]2 was observed (Fig. 5c). The X-ray crystal structures of the two supramolecular complexes displayed contrasting Eu-coordinating environments. Two Eu3+ ions were located along the three-fold axis in [Eu2L8], while four Eu3+ ions were arranged in a triangular fashion along the four-fold axis in [Eu4L8(NO3)3]2. The differences in the coordinating environment could be attributed to the ligand design. For the triple-stranded helicate [Eu2L8], ligands were homotopic and each Eu3+ center was filled by three phenol oxygens, three carboxyl oxygens and three imine nitrogens. While in the tetranuclear quadruple-stranded helicate [Eu4L8(NO3)3]2, the ligands were asymmetrical and the Eu3+ center was coordinated in a tridentate and tetratentate mode that could be attributed to the involvement of the ethoxy-O and the η2 bridging mode of the phenoxido-O group to the Eu3+ center.

In continuation of their tripodal ligand studies, Hamacek and co-workers demonstrated the effect of the stoichiometric ratio on the formation of complexes by designing an asymmetrical tripodal ligand L27. Detailed NMR titration was done with Lu3+ as the metal source to observe the supramolecular formation. Upon addition of Lu3+ to L27 at [Lu]/[L27] ratios of 0.3, 0.65 and 1, [LuL27]3+, [Lu2L27]3+ and [Lu3L27]3+ were formed, respectively. [Lu2L27]3+ or [LuL27]3+ was formed when Lu3+ was in excess. A similar result was also observed for the symmetrical tripodal ligand L17. For example, reaction of L27 with Eu3+ at a ratio of 1 : 1 resulted in the formation of tetrahedral [Eu4L27].

6. UV-induced supramolecular transformation

Light has been utilized extensively to trigger the supramolecular transformation of transition metal complexes. One of the common strategies is to introduce a photochromic moiety into the ligand. Upon UV irradiation, the photochromic moiety undergoes a structural change, resulting in the transformation of metallosupramolecules. UV-induced supramolecular transformation has been shown to be a useful tool to prepare smart materials as their size and shape can be changed easily upon irradiation, and it is considered as a promising candidate in advanced information technology applications such as optical storage and sensors.

In 2018, Sun’s group synthesized dithienylethene-based L28 and a dinuclear triple-stranded europium helicate was prepared based on a chiral-induction strategy. The chiral information was successfully transmitted to the metal center to give either 0 or Λ metal, leading to an overall P or M helicate (Fig. 6a). Similar to the result of Li’s group, the helicate also underwent a reversible photochromic transformation in the solution state. Upon irradiation at 334 nm, a distinct color change from colorless to dark blue took place and the photochromic transformation was evidenced by a characteristic shift of the signals in the proton NMR spectra. The helicate could be converted back to the original state by irradiating the solution at 630 nm for 5 min.

Hashimoto et al. demonstrated the on-off photoswitching of circularly polarized luminescence in a binuclear supramolecular system. They introduced terpyridine units at both ends of a helical tetrazahiole scaffold to form L29. Upon coordination, L29 and Eu3+ self-assembled to form a helical photochromic dinuclear complex with thieno[3,2-f]trifluoroacetone (tta) as the auxiliary ligand. It was found that the intramolecular hydrogen bond was essential for bringing two metal chelating sites close together and helped the transfer of chiral information from the point chirality of the amino acid spacer to the metal center. Thus, the asymmetric tta auxiliary ligand coordinated with Eu3+ in a chiral manner. Interestingly, a photo-induced helical to nonhelical transformation was observed and the chiral transfer was switched off upon irradiation of UV (Fig. 6b). This work demonstrated an example of photoswitching of the emission intensity owing to changes in the electronic structure of ligands.

In a more recent example, Li’s group reported and developed lanthanide-based chirloplastic photoswitches. Ligand L30 was designed based on the photochromic diarylethene moieties as central bridging linkers and two chiral pyridine-2,6-dicarboxamide (pcm) as chelating units. Coordination with Eu(OTf)3 resulted in the self-assembly of a pair of chiral triple-stranded helicates [Eu2(0-L30)3(PR3)2](OTf)3 and [Eu2(o-L30)3](OTf)3. Upon irradiation with UV and visible light, a reversible change of the diarylethene between a colorless open-ring state and a pink closed-ring state was observed (Fig. 6c). This photochromic process resulted in a...
variation of the electronic structures and it showed promising application in light-responsive optical and chiroptical smart photoswitches.

7. Conclusions and perspectives

Over the last decade, there has been a rapid development in the formation of self-assembled lanthanide supramolecules. A large number of impressive coordination-driven lanthanide supramolecular structures have been reported. Recent research has shown that the structural formation of supramolecular assemblies can be changed using various reaction conditions. Using recent examples, this review discussed the factors that affect the structural formation, such as concentration, solvent, metal cation, anion and light.

By varying the reaction conditions, the structural properties have been shown to change dramatically even if the same building blocks are used. In terms of transition metal complexes, these structural conversions induced by various reaction stimuli have been shown to be a useful tool for preparing molecular probes and catalysts. For example, the size of the cavity can be changed by supramolecular transformation for specific host–guest encapsulation, or switchable catalysis systems can be prepared by changing the arrangement of functional catalytic sites of the supramolecule. This method has been proven to be an excellent approach for preparing a wide variety of supramolecular materials, and it can also be applied for lanthanides. For instance, the coordination environment of the lanthanide ion can be changed by varying the counteranion; it can even change the structural hierarchy (from lower-order to higher-order) by increasing the ligand concentration.

There are still some difficulties in the development of this fast-growing research field. One of the challenges concerns the accurate prediction of the resulting supramolecular complexes. Since the application of supramolecular complexes, such as molecular recognition and catalysis, is structure dependent, a slight variation of complexes will result in different host–guest interactions. However, unlike transition metals, which exhibit strong directional coordination ability, lanthanide ions have variable coordination numbers, making the formation of the desired supramolecular complexes more difficult. Another challenge involves the stability of lanthanide supramolecular complexes in water. Most of the reported examples are not water-soluble. To date, only a limited number of water-soluble lanthanide supramolecular complexes (with a number of metal ions per complex ≥2) have been reported.63 As stated in the Introduction, ligands will easily exchange with water molecules if ligands with weak binding ability are used; thus, the application in biological aspects is limited. For further investigation of structural variations, more efforts should be devoted to investigating the ligand design principle and improving the stability of lanthanide complexes in solution state, especially in aqueous media.
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