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Context: Hazing and peer sexual abuse in sport are a critical issue, brought into public scrutiny with increasing frequency due to various forms of media, resulting in major causes of numerous avoidable mental health issues, and in some cases, even death. While the exact incidence of these activities is extremely difficult to quantify, trends indicate that the problem is very likely underreported.

Evidence Acquisition: PubMed, Google, various legal journals/statutes, books on hazing and peer abuse in sport, and newspaper periodicals/editorials were all searched. Sources range in date from 1968 through 2018.

Study Design: Clinical review.

Level of Evidence: Level 5.

Results: Hazing and peer sexual abuse are complex issues that have the potential to lead to physical, emotional, and mental harm. The underlying causes of hazing are complex but rooted in maintaining a hierarchical structure within the team unit. By implementing various changes and strategies, coaches and team administration can mitigate the risks of these behaviors.

Conclusion: Hazing and peer sexual abuse in sport are avoidable and must be eliminated to maximize the numerous physical and psychosocial benefits attainable by participating in team athletics.
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In April 2017, a 14-year-old boy who had recently been promoted to the varsity high school football team was attacked by several peers in a locker room hazing incident. During the event, the victim was thrown to the ground while multiple teammates struck him with closed fists and 2 individuals jumped on him. As a result of the attack, the victim suffered a broken arm that required surgical repair. The incident was recorded on a mobile device, and the video has subsequently been disseminated widely on the internet. At the time of this publication, the family of the victim is seeking legal actions against the school and school district, as well as the coaches and 20 involved athletes.

In 2015, 2 separate lawsuits were filed against a university that accused the women’s softball team of a “culture of abusive and sexually charged hazing.” The team coach was included as a defendant. According to the lawsuit’s reports, female victims were forced to simulate sexual acts on peers, engage in humiliating and embarrassing activities, and were forced to drink alcohol despite their refusal, with 1 victim telling the perpetrators that it would be unsafe because she was on medication. In light of these allegations, the rest of the softball season was cancelled, and the school launched an internal investigation into the hazing activity.

In December 2015, a high school basketball team was playing at a regional tournament. While under limited supervision and over the course of 4 days, 3 of the older team members repeatedly assaulted and sexually abused 4 of the freshmen members of the team. During 1 incident, a pool cue was forcibly inserted into the rectum of a freshman. He required emergency surgery to repair a ruptured colon and bladder. After the assault, coaches reportedly did not contact the victims’ families, and the perpetrators were allowed to play the next day. Since then, all 3 assailants have been charged with aggravated assault or aggravated rape.

Participation in sports, from youth to adult levels, can be beneficial both from a physical and mental health perspective.
perspective. Team sports in particular are noted to improve an individual’s sense of interconnectedness, feelings of being part of a group, and self-esteem as well as to decrease anxiety. Despite all the benefits, there is a significant and avoidable risk associated with being a member of an athletic team. Hazing is a behavior that has been recently thrust into the spotlight due to repeated disturbing instances. It is a multifaceted and complex issue, arising from a number of different causes and existing in a variety of different settings, from fraternities and sororities to the military, sports, and even within medical training.

**Definition**

Broadly defined, hazing can be considered any act against someone joining or maintaining membership to any organization that is humiliating, intimidating, or demeaning and endangers the health and/or safety of those involved. It encompasses many different manners of harmful interaction, including but not limited to psychological, sexual, and physical abuse. It exists in various forms, including harassment, psychological maltreatment, neglect, brawling, exploitation, violence, and foul play. While in some cases of abuse the victim may appear to be a willing participant, this has no bearing on the fact that hazing has occurred. Hazing ultimately attempts to initiate the victim into the group, in contrast to bullying, which aims to alienate the target.

Hazing among youth is sports-related violence perpetrated by member(s) of a sports-related group against individual(s) seeking inclusion within, admittance to, and/or acceptance by that group. Hazing may be perpetrated by and/or endorsed by family members, coaches, or other non-athlete members of the sports-related group as well as by adolescent athletes.

While this definition identifies youths as targets, it is applicable to all ages and skill levels engaged in sport. Sexualized hazing occurs when the inappropriate encounter includes a sexualized verbal, nonverbal, or physical component. Sexual abuse is a further exaggerated sexualized act where consent is not obtained and often involves victim exploitation or “entrapment.”

Athletes, team staff, and family members often struggle to differentiate between team building versus humiliating and dangerous activities. It may be helpful to consider team building alone with a boundary separating it from a spectrum of other harmful team interactions (Figure 1). Team building, as defined by the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA), is a unique activity not on the spectrum of the other negative team interactions. Instead, it serves to promote respect and dignity among teammates and instill a sense of equality and true teamwork. It is shared as a positive event among experienced and newer members, develops pride and integrity, and actively enhances the cohesion of the team unit. On the other side of the proposed boundary lies initiations, hazing, and ultimately, the more extreme, violent, and sexualized forms of hazing. While some may consider initiation rites as benign and even helpful, by definition, they exist on a hierarchical scale that reinforces a power structure by either granting or rejecting membership to participants based on their response or performance. Hazing, in addition to the consequences of initiation rites, has the potential to humiliate and degrade the participants. These practices create division among individuals and strengthens the power hierarchy while disrupting unity. They can lead to extremely dangerous physical and psychological consequences, and even death.

**Incidence of Hazing in the General Population and Sports Community**

The incidence of hazing in the general population has been difficult to assess as there is significant variance with regard to definitions and reporting. Many youths who participate in extracurricular activities, athletic or otherwise, are at risk of experiencing hazing. While Allan and Madden found that 47%...
of high school student-athletes experienced hazing, 46% of Reserve Officers Training Corps members, 34% in band or performing arts, and 20% of those who participated in other student groups also experienced this type of maltreatment. A companion study by the same authors found that 55% of US college students who participated in clubs, teams, or other organizations experienced hazing to some degree. In US high school student-athletes, estimates indicate that as many as 800,672 individuals are hazed per year, and that 25% reported the first incident before the age of 13 years. As many as 80% of NCAA athletes have experienced some level of hazing in college, with 42% experiencing similar events in high school.

There exists a profound “code of silence” with regard to abuse in sports. As a result, the true incidence of hazing is likely to be dramatically underestimated. Many athletes may endorse participation in activities that meet criteria for hazing, yet they are often unwilling to admit that hazing actually occurred. In a review of NCAA athletes, Hoover found that while 80% of athletes described experiencing activities that would qualify as hazing, only 12% reported having been hazed. In addition, 60% to 95% of athletes who were the victims of hazing explicitly stated that they would not report the hazing event. Reasons for not reporting varied, but common themes included allegiance to fellow teammates, unclear which authority figure to trust with disclosure, normalization of hazing behavior, and perception that participants choose to be involved with hazing activities. Not only do many collegiate individuals hold positive views of hazing, others fail to recognize that hazing has actually taken place, and many are fearful of retribution.

While the exact incidence of sexual misconduct in sports is difficult to determine, it is estimated that anywhere from 2% to 48% of athletes will experience some kind of sexual maltreatment. Sexual abuse in sport occurs at all levels of participation. However, the prevalence appears to be greater in elite-level athletes where the risks of being sexually exploited by coaches and training staff are higher. In athletes who compete in individual sports, the period of “imminent achievement” during which they are on the cusp of significant accomplishments is a distinctly vulnerable period. It is in this phase that athletes are considered pre-elite but have not yet acquired an elite-level status. During this time there is often a heightened level of stress and a heightened dependence on coaches and training staff, which in turn may leave the athlete more vulnerable to predation. The pre-elite athlete is also more likely to tolerate inappropriate behaviors rather than compromise his or her pending achievement. Athletes who specialize at a younger age, particularly around puberty, may also be highly vulnerable to sexual abuse.

**RISK FACTORS OF PEER-AGAINST-PEER HAZING AND SEXUAL ABUSE**

Hazing in sports often occurs after an athlete has already demonstrated the skills required by the coaches or team leaders to gain membership to the group. However, athletes commonly report feeling that true team membership does not take place until after some sort of initiation has occurred. Athletes often address a need for an initiation ceremony as a “team bonding experience” that serves to mark the unit as a “team.” These types of ordeals may also serve to indoctrinate or recognize new members as “teammates” for the first time, something that is posited to enhance cohesion. A rite of passage such as this is intended to be a transformative experience, often defined by a “destruction/creation” cycle in which an old identity is destroyed and the inductee is re-created in a new form, one that more closely fits the mold of the team. Populations at higher risk for any type of hazing include the elite athlete, children, homosexual or bisexual orientation, transgendered athletes, disabled athletes, and those with a lower grade point average. Team characteristics that lead to a higher risk of hazing include denial or failure to recognize the authority of the coaching staff, an unsupervised team area or locker room, and an imbalance of power shifted toward masculine authority. There is no known correlation with regard to hazing risk and any particular type of sport, degree of physical contact involved in play, or the amount of uniform coverage.

**HAZING AS SELF-GOVERNANCE**

On a fundamental level, hazing is a hyperbolic expression of traditional initiation rites. These trials are used as a means for the inductee to demonstrate some of the intangible traits of an athlete that senior members may seek in potential teammates. Athletes are expected to pay the price thought necessary for victory; playing with pain, taking risks, challenging limits; overconforming to rigid and sometimes exploitative team norms; obeying orders; and sacrificing other social and academic endeavors. Hazing ultimately serves as a litmus test that forces victims to demonstrate just how far they are willing to go for the team and what they are willing to sacrifice of themselves. During these trials, they are often forced to undergo painful, humiliating, and/or dangerous experiences to demonstrate subservience, obedience, and willingness to experience pain, shame, or risk of harm for the team. Existing team members may feel the need for proof of this level of dedication, which can be especially important in sports where injury is common and a teammate can protect you from harm. Athletes who have participated in hazing have articulated that it is endured to be accepted or respected by the team and that it can prove dedication to the team as well as to the other members.

Though victims and perpetrators often participate in hazing for the goal of team unity and cohesion, its implementation always reinforces a hierarchical power structure with the hazers at the bottom and hazers at the top. Contrary to the goal of cohesion, this form of self-governance enhances individual stratification and keeps members in an imbalanced status. Senior athletes may use hazing against less experienced players.
to “keep them in their place” and reassert their own status of power and authority. There are also numerous cases of interteam hazing (varsity hazing junior varsity) where athletes on a more elite squad protect their own position by hazing a junior team member. It is in these circumstances, where hazing exists specifically to marginalize individuals, that the potential for the most harm exists.

As is the case with many forms of abuse, it is often when the need to demonstrate power and rank arise that sexual abuse in the locker room appears. It is important to recognize that in the modern American culture, athletes have the status of the “standard bearer of hegemonic masculinity” and that the most masculine athletes in these abuses are “manifestation of status, hostility, control, and dominance.”

As described by Waldron et al., Hazing is largely about sexuality . . . making someone submissive to prove your own masculinity . . . Forcing players into sexually submissive roles feminizes and emasculates rookies . . . These activities feminize and homosexualize the victims to establish and reaffirm their position at the bottom of the team's power structure and the perpetrator at the top. The characteristics of gang rape inherent in these abuses are “manifestation of status, hostility, control, and dominance.” This type of self-perpetuating dynamic instills in the victim and other active or passive participants that the way to demonstrate and exert their own status is by enacting the same types of abuses against others.

Hazing has persisted and will continue to persist as long as aspects of team self-governance are left to youth athletes. At the adolescent and early adult stages of psychosexual development, most athletes do not have “any sense of proportion or even appropriate masculine norms.” Hazing and initiation rites left to the administration of adolescents and teenagers, often with high levels of testosterone (both as a function of their age and physicality of sport) and underdeveloped executive functioning, can lead to disastrous consequences. Adult supervision at all times is an imperative in the youth levels of sport.

**IMPACT OF HAZING**

On a psychological level, the effects of hazing and bullying are well documented. A study of collegiate hazing found that students who participated in hazing actually perceived many positive benefits of the experience. Thirty-one percent of athletes endorsed a greater sense of identification with the group, while 22% reported feeling a sense of accomplishment, and 18% endorsed feeling “stronger.”

Studies have overwhelmingly shown that hazing can lead to symptoms of depression, anxiety (including posttraumatic stress disorder), and eating disorders. Specific symptomatic reactions are broad and encompass a number of psychological, social, and physical manifestations (Table 1). Most gravely, the death count in hazing-related incidents continues to rise.

| Emotional | Physical | Organizational |
|-----------|----------|---------------|
| Decreased confidence and self-esteem | Changes in weight, energy, or sleep patterns | Reputation damage |
| Overly compliant behaviors | Sexual dysfunction | Loss of community support |
| Aggression toward self and/or others | Substance use (new or worsening) | Loss of athlete involvement |
| Interpersonal conflicts (often linked to trust issues) | Risk of doping | Financial loss of sponsorships |
| Emotional instability | Failure to thrive | Loss of talented athlete pool |
| Attachment problems, dependency | Sexually transmitted illnesses | Academic underperformance |
| Impaired moral reasoning | Unwanted pregnancy | |
| Suicidal thoughts and behaviors | Trauma to internal/sex organs | |
| Debilitating developmental effects | Delinquency/criminality | |
| Delinquency/criminality | Decreased confidence and self-esteem | |
| Disordered eating | Overly compliant behaviors | |

*Adapted from Brackenridge et al., Mountjoy et al., and Stepup! Bystander Intervention Program.*

**Table 1. Potential manifestations of hazing**
potential to hurt the team, as it has been fractured into multiple smaller units rather than an all-encompassing group. Additionally, the victim typically harbors some degree of resentment or distrust toward his or her perpetrator(s).154

This review would not be complete without mentioning that in addition to the psychological ramifications of hazing, there have been numerous injuries, deaths, and suicides related to hazing, though a majority of these are related to fraternities.40,41,45 Victims of sexual abuse related to hazing are often exposed to sexually transmitted illnesses and may suffer injuries that have lifelong consequences or death.51,62

VICTIM BLAMING AND INSTITUTIONAL PROTECTION OF ABUSERS

A particularly alarming trend in sports-related hazing has been institutional protection of the abuser. There have been numerous instances of schools, teams, or programs going to great lengths to “cover up” incidents of hazing and abuse.22,23,40,51 As previously discussed, the hazer is often a senior or elite team member and the victim may be a junior or new athlete. In many instances, an institution may seek to protect the perpetrator out of concern for the immediate success and long-term future of the team. Additionally, for a parent, coach, or administrator to accept that hazing or abuse has occurred involving athletes whom they are responsible for invokes a significant degree of culpability, both for themselves and any institution that they may represent. Prestigious institutions or teams/organizations with reputations that they seek to uphold are more likely to deny that hazing or abuse has occurred and encourage those involved to “turn the other cheek.”46 In many cases of hazing, there may be legal consequences that organizations and individuals are unwilling to face, and they would sooner ignore or hide the problem with the hope of a quiet resolution.

Parallel to the protection of the abuser is blaming of the victim. This is a multifactorial problem with abundant research done on cases of assault, abuse, and harassment. However, there is a dearth of research with specific regard to the athletic community. Nonetheless, many of the same underlying principals remain the same. The general culture holds on to several assault/rape myths that have corollaries to sports hazing. For instance, there is a misconception that for “real rape” to occur, it needs to involve a “pathological stranger” who unleashes a ‘blitz’-style attack outside, at night, using overwhelming force.”21 Presupposing that “real rape” occurs only within the confines of the aforementioned definition, then some may consider locker room horseplay that becomes sexualized to be something else entirely. This may then lead to cognitive dissonance when the victim appropriately acts as if sexual assault has occurred and seeks appropriate reparations.

Another factor that has the potential to change public perception of hazing is the familiarity of the aggressor and victim. In cases of rape, Freetly and Kane18 demonstrated that blame of the aggressor is inversely related to the degree of relationship with the victim. That is, the closer the victim and abuser are, the less likely people are to blame the abuser. In the context of a team sport, athletes are often considered to be very close. This relationship may lessen the perceived impact of any kind of hazing/assault that occurs. As mentioned previously, many see hazing as a method of enhancing the closeness of a team, and assault may be excused as an effort to strengthen that.

Underlying all of this is the pervasive misunderstanding that hazing is “good for the team” or normal.14 We have already demonstrated that this is empirically false, yet if an organization holds on to this belief, then certain types of abuse may be considered a normal and even beneficial part of team sport participation. Victims who report abuse may then be considered to be acting against the best interests of the team, to be “trouble makers,” or thought to be seeking to disrupt the established team hierarchy. Others have voiced their belief that hazing is a “scenario [in which] victims engage in a voluntary activity” and even suggest that hazing victims need to be punished for their participation.55

MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

The most important role for the sports medicine practitioner is recognition of any potential abuse and immediate and appropriate response. The first step is to encourage open disclosure and to avoid any suggestive, directing, or leading questions. It is essential to consider that the victim may be experiencing feelings of shame, guilt, and/or fear.65 Therefore, active and empathic listening is crucial, as is creating an environment of psychological and emotional support for the athlete wherein he or she feels safe to discuss events that have occurred. While the provider is likely to experience certain emotions and negative feelings toward the perpetrator, it is important to maintain a neutral tone and not denigrate the perpetrator.58 It can be helpful to acknowledge the courage required to speak about abuse that may implicate teammates or coaches. Reinforce to the athlete that the victimization and abuse is not his or her fault and that hazing or abuse is not a normal, healthy, or helpful part of the team structure.28,55

It is imperative to evaluate each situation individually and to activate the Mental Health Emergency Action Plan (MH-EAP) as indicated. MH-EAPs incorporate preplanning of each individual’s role/responsibility, confirm emergency equipment is readily available, and outline location-specific details. The approach to a mental health crisis should be considered equally as important as the plans designated for cardiac or neurologic emergencies. The MH-EAP should be easily accessible to all athletic participants and staff, practiced annually, and frequently updated as practice and game locations often vary.57 Modules are available online, making it easy to complete and print an individualized team MH-EAP.59 All of those involved with the team or activity must be able to report the abuse to the necessary authorities and involve any and all medical, mental health, administrative, and/or legal professionals in a timely manner. The individual reporting the abuse must be aware of
the policies regarding the duty to report the abuse to authorities depending on the legal statutes in the particular country/jurisdiction. Failure to act appropriately may empower the perpetrators and increase the psychological sequela resulting from the abuse.5,10

After 1 notable hazing incident, the district school board involved pursued an independent investigation into the environment that had allowed such an event to take place. As a part of that investigation, a set of recommendations were issued by the investigators. The recommendations were not intended to address any specific episode of abuse but rather provide a roadmap for other school districts to address the issues of hazing and bullying in sports (see Table A1 in the Appendix, available in the online version of this article). These recommendations were directed at school administration, athletics staff, and volunteers and focused on strengthening training, including the ability to prevent, recognize, and react to instances of hazing and abuse. It also focused heavily on the role of Title IX as a mechanism for preventing hazing and bullying, specifically in cases where sexual harassment or abuse occurs. Title IX, which became effective in 1972, states the following: “No person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to any form of sex discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance” (Title IX, Education Amendments of 1972). In recent legal battles, Title IX has been an important Federal financial assistance” (Title IX, Education Amendments of 1972) to be excluded from participation in, to be denied the benefits of, or to be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.” (Title IX, Education Amendments of 1972). In recent legal battles, Title IX has been an important litigation tool in challenging the power structure that has perpetuated instances of sexual violence in the locker room.54 For instance, the use of Title IX would be appropriate in any instance where verbal aggression of a sexual nature, implied or direct disparagement against a victim’s gender or sexuality, or any form of physical sexual assault occurs.

PREVENTION

Education should include definitions of what constitutes team building as compared with hazing activities and warning signs for the emotional and physical ramifications that may be seen when hazing has occurred (see Table A2 in the Appendix, available online).15 A zero-tolerance culture involves a clear reporting system and reliable, severe disciplinary actions for any improper behavior to encourage future disclosures and prevent repeat offenders.20,56 The NCAA also supports the StepUp! Bystander Intervention Program to promote positive social behavior with easily accessible online training.32

CONCLUSION

Hazing is a spectrum of risky, humiliating, and oftentimes dangerous behaviors, most frequently perpetrated against junior or new team members by older or more experienced athletes. In recent years, it has been an issue that has affected countless individuals at all levels of sport. The causes of sport-related hazing are myriad, from a form of team self-governance to misguided attempts to enhance team cohesion and unity. In addition to damaging the team structure, hazing can and frequently does lead to adverse mental health outcomes, bodily harm, and even death. There are also numerous instances of individuals and institutions not acting in the best interest of hazing victims. Prevention goals include educating coaches, athletic trainers, and other adult members of the team, maintaining a high level of adult supervision in youth sports, and implementing healthy team culture with a strong sense of unity. Proper planning and preparation will also allow for better management of these types of situations when they do arise.
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