Analysis of scavenge port designs and exhaust valve profiles on the in-cylinder flow and scavenging performance in a two-stroke boosted uniflow scavenged direct injection gasoline engine

Xinyan Wang, Jun Ma and Hua Zhao

Abstract
In this study, effects of intake scavenge port designs and exhaust valve opening profiles were studied on the scavenging process in a newly proposed two-stroke boosted uniflow scavenged direct injection gasoline engine by detailed three-dimensional engine simulations. As the most important geometric parameters, the axis inclination angle and swirl orientation angle of scavenge ports, as shown in Figure 1, were investigated and optimized for best scavenging performances at first. With the optimal axis inclination angle of 90° and swirl orientation angle of 20°, various combinations of scavenge port opening timing, exhaust valve opening duration and exhaust valve opening timing were then analysed. Four distinct scavenging periods, that is, early backflow period, backflow scavenging period, main scavenging period and post backflow period, were identified and their impacts on the in-cylinder flow motions and scavenging performances were investigated. The results show that the optimal scavenging performance can be achieved with a higher delivery ratio, charging efficiency and scavenging efficiency when the post backflow is just avoided by tuning the difference between the closing timings of scavenge ports and exhaust valves ($\Delta_{\text{close}}$) and the overlap between the opening profiles of scavenge ports and exhaust valves ($\Delta_{\text{overlap}}$) for a specific exhaust valve opening duration. A longer exhaust valve opening duration can be used to further improve the scavenging performances. In addition, the difference between the opening timings of scavenge ports and exhaust valves ($\Delta_{\text{open}}$) can be increased to improve scavenging efficiency. The $\Delta_{\text{close}}$ also shows strong positive correlation with in-cylinder swirl ratio and negative correlation with tumble ratio. The results presented in this study provide the fundamental knowledge of the scavenging process in the uniflow scavenged two-stroke engine and assist the design of scavenge ports and valve strategies to optimize in-cylinder flow motion and scavenging performances in the two-stroke boosted uniflow scavenged direct injection gasoline engine with a variable valve actuation system for exhaust valves.
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Introduction
The engine down-sizing and down-speeding technologies show great potential to improve the fuel consumption of the automotive engine. Compared to the four-stroke engine, the compact two-stroke engine doubles the firing frequency and operates at a lower indicated mean effective pressure (IMEP) at the same torque. The adoption of the direct injection after exhaust valve closing (EVC) avoids the fuel short-circuiting, which in turn lowers the fuel consumption and pollutant emissions dramatically. In addition,
the fuel consumption in a two-stroke engine can be further improved with lean/stratified charge achieved by direct injection and advanced combustion concept, for example, controlled auto-ignition (CAI), partially premixed combustion (PPC) and reactivity-controlled compression ignition (RCCI).

The scavenging process in a two-stroke engine directly controls the in-cylinder flow motion, charge mixture formation and the subsequent combustion performance. During the two-stroke scavenging process, the fresh intake charge scavenges the burnt gases out of the cylinder and fills the cylinder for the next cycle. However, the conventional two-stroke engines suffer from the fuel/air short-circuiting phenomenon, in which some of the intake fresh mixture would flow directly into the exhaust port during the scavenging process, due to the large overlapping period of intake and exhaust process. Compared to the cross and loop scavenges, the uniflow scavenged two-stroke engine shows superior scavenging performance. The intake scavenging ports in a uniflow scavenged engine are integrated to the cylinder liner and controlled by the movement of piston top while exhaust valves are placed in the cylinder head. Therefore, the bore distortion caused by uneven thermal loading in the conventional ported two-stroke engine could be minimized in the uniflow scavenged engine. In addition, this layout enables the application of variable valve actuation (VVA) technology to adjust the exhaust valve timings/durations and hence the scavenging process under different operating conditions.

The uniflow scavenged two-stroke engines have been mostly used in large marine diesel engines and recently researched for their potential applications to passenger cars. They are characterized by the strong swirl flow motion formed by the angled intake scavenging ports at the bottom of cylinder liner. The axis inclination angle (AIA) and swirl orientation angle (SOA) are shown to have most impact on the in-cylinder flow motions and the scavenging performances in the uniflow scavenged two-stroke engine. Tamamidis and Assanis investigated the effect of SOA on in-cylinder flow motions in a two-stroke scavenged engine by computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulations of one sector of the cylinder (including one inlet port) and found the larger swirl angles of the inlet ports produce higher swirl levels inside the cylinder. Laget et al. performed the CFD simulations to optimize the SOA of scavenging ports in a two-stroke uniflow diesel engine and found the reduction of SOA from 17° to 10° produced an acceptable swirl motion intensity and a good scavenging performance. In addition to the scavenging port angles, the opening timing of the scavenging ports, which is determined by the upper edge of scavenging ports, and the exhaust valve lift profile also affect the scavenging process. Ravi and Marathe found that extended intake scavenging process produced by early opening and late closing of the scavenging ports resulted in a higher scavenge ratio and scavenging efficiency (SE) but a lower trapping efficiency (TE). It was also found the EVC timing had little effect on the scavenging process, as long as the timings of intake and exhaust closing are not far apart. A multi-objective optimization of several design and operation engine parameters was performed for a two-stroke supercharged uniflow scavenged diesel engine by Carlucci et al. They found the intake scavenging port opening (SPO) timings together with the exhaust valves opening and closing timings were significant in determining the TE and SE, as well as the engine specific fuel consumption. Laget et al. investigated the scavenging characteristics with different exhaust valve lifts and timings and concluded that reducing the exhaust valve lift decreased the short-circuiting and an optimal valve lift may exist to achieve a good trade-off between a good scavenging and a reduced short-circuiting.

As indicated by the above literature review, the scavenging port designs (port angles and opening timing) and the exhaust valve opening (EVO) profiles show significant impact on the in-cylinder flow motions and scavenging performances which would directly determine the combustion performances of the two-stroke uniflow scavenged engine. In this study, the three-dimensional (3D) CFD simulations were performed to understand the effects of scavenging port angles, that is, AIA and SOA, the SPO timings and EVO profiles on the in-cylinder flow motions and scavenging performances in the proposed boosted uniflow scavenged direct injection gasoline (BUSDIG) engine. The analysis of the scavenging process with different AIA and SOA was carried out at first to determine the optimal scavenging port angles for the BUSDIG engine. Based on the optimal design of scavenging port angles, the simulations with various combinations of SPO timing, exhaust valve opening duration (ED) and EVO timing were then performed to understand their impacts on in-cylinder flow motions and scavenging performances. The results presented in this study provide the fundamental knowledge of the scavenging process in the uniflow scavenged engine and assist the design of scavenging ports and valve strategies to optimize in-cylinder flow motion and scavenging performances in two-stroke BUSDIG engine equipped with VVA system for exhaust valves.

**Specifications of the BUSDIG engine**

Based on the initial design of bore/stroke for maximum performance, a pent roof cylinder head was incorporated in the BUSDIG engine to accommodate two exhaust valves, a central mounted direct injection gasoline injector and spark plug. A shallow bowl was included in the centre of piston top to guide the fuel jets from injector and also avoid the interference with the spark plug. Considering the future application to multi-cylinder engines, two groups of scavenging ports (four on each side) were integrated to the cylinder respectively to avoid the interference of the scavenge
ports on the adjacent cylinders. A single scavenge port occupies a 20° segment on the cylinder circumference and the interval between two adjacent scavenge ports in each group is fixed at 10°. The interval between the two groups of scavenge ports is set at 70°. The height of the scavenge ports was fixed at 14 mm. Figure 1 shows schematically the design of the cylinder head and the piston bowl. The other engine specifications are shown in Table 1.

In order to achieve optimal scavenge performance with current engine cylinder design, two key scavenge port angles, AIA and SOA, as shown in Figure 1, were investigated and optimized at first. Then, the simulations with the optimal combination of AIA and SOA were performed to understand the effects of scavenge port duration (SD)/ED and EVO timings on controlling the scavenging process in the two-stroke BUSDIG engine by adjusting SPO timing, ED and EVO timing. The locations and dimensions of scavenge ports on the cylinder liner and the port height were kept constant in this study. As the opening and closure of scavenge ports are symmetrical to the bottom dead centre (BDC), the adjustment of the SPO timing would also change the SD.

**Numerical models and simulation conditions**

The commercial CFD software STAR-CD was adopted in this study to perform the simulations. Reynolds-averaged Navier–Stokes (RANS) approach was applied with Renormalization Group (RNG) $k-\varepsilon$ turbulence model in the simulations. The heat transfer was implemented through the general form of the enthalpy conservation equation for the fluid mixture. The Angelberger wall function was used for the simulation of the wall heat transfer. The detailed description of the numerical models can be found in CD-adapco.29

One-dimensional (1D) simulations were performed using 1D engine simulation program WAVE30 in order to provide the initial and boundary conditions for the 3D CFD simulations, as shown in Table 2. The initial mixture components in the scavenge ports and the components at the inlet boundary of scavenge ports are pure air, that is, O$_2$ and N$_2$. The initial mixture components in the cylinder are pure burned gas, that is, CO$_2$, H$_2$O and N$_2$. The boundary conditions, as shown in Table 2, were fixed throughout the simulations in this study. The engine speed was fixed at 2000 r/min. The CFD simulations were carried out from 100°CA after top dead centre (TDC) to 280°CA, which covers the whole period of the scavenging process. The crank angle used in this article is referenced to TDC.

The ES-ICE software was used to generate the moving mesh for simulations. The arbitrary sliding interface (ASI) was applied between the scavenge ports and the cylinder liner to control the attachment and detachment with the piston movement. The opening and closure of
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**Table 1. Engine specifications.**

| Specification       | Value     |
|---------------------|-----------|
| Bore                | 80 mm     |
| Stroke              | 100 mm    |
| Connecting rod      | 180 mm    |
| Displacement        | 0.5 L     |
| Compression ratio   | 14:1      |
| Cylinder head       | Pent roof/2 exhaust valves |
| Piston              | Bowl piston |

**Table 2. Simulation conditions.**

| Condition                        | Value     |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Initial conditions at 100°CA     |           |
| Cylinder temperature             | 1665 K    |
| Cylinder pressure                | 8.6 bar   |
| Intake temperature               | 350 K     |
| Intake pressure                  | 2 bar     |
| Exhaust temperature              | 800 K     |
| Exhaust pressure                 | 1.06 bar  |
| Boundary conditions              |           |
| Intake temperature               | 350 K     |
| Intake pressure                  | 2 bar     |
| Exhaust temperature              | 800 K     |
| Exhaust pressure                 | 1.06 bar  |
| Piston head temperature          | 440 K     |
| Cylinder top temperature         | 522 K     |
| Cylinder liner temperature       | 384 K     |

CA: crank angle.
the scavenge ports were determined by the movement of the piston top and the effect of top land was not considered in this study. ASI was also applied to control the connectivity between exhaust domains and cylinder domain with the movement of exhaust valves. The mesh sensitivity study performed in our previous work\textsuperscript{28} indicated that the mesh with an average grid size of 1.6 mm was able to reproduce the same results as finer mesh and was adopted in this study.

\textbf{Results and discussions}

\textbf{Effect of the AIA on the scavenging process}

In this section, the AIA was varied from 60° to 90° to investigate its impact on the in-cylinder flow motion and scavenging process. The SOA was fixed at the baseline value (20°). The ED was fixed at 126°CA and the EVO timing is fixed at 117°CA. The SD was fixed at 116°CA with the corresponding SPO timing at 122°CA. Figure 2 shows the normalized scavenge port opening area (SA') profile and normalized exhaust valve lift (EL') profile used in this section. The scavenge port opening area is defined as the total cross section area of scavenge ports opened by the piston top.

Figure 3 shows the in-cylinder flow field and residual gas fraction (RGF) distribution during the scavenging process with different AIs. In the case with AIA of 60°, the intake flow jets move upwards to the cylinder head. With the AIA increased to 90°, the intake flow jets travel along the piston top and displace the residual gas in the centre of cylinder, leading to much lower RGF in the piston bowl.

In order to quantify the overall flow motions, the swirl ratio (SR) is defined by the following equation\textsuperscript{31}

\begin{equation}
SR(\theta) = \frac{\sum_{i} v_i(\theta) r_i(\theta) V_i(\theta) \rho_i(\theta)}{2\pi n \sum_{i} r_i(\theta)^2 V_i(\theta) \rho_i(\theta)}
\end{equation}

where n is engine speed (r/min), \( \theta \) the crank angle, i the cell number, \( V_i(\theta) \) the cell volume, \( \rho_i(\theta) \) the cell density and \( v_i(\theta) \) and \( r_i(\theta) \) are the tangential velocity and
radius, respectively, in the cylindrical coordinate with z-axis as the swirl axis.

Similarly, the tumble ratio (TR) and cross tumble ratio (CTR) are determined by replacing the swirl axis along with the cylindrical coordinate system in equation (1) with the tumble/cross tumble axis which is parallel to the y-axis/x-axis and crosses the central point between maximum and minimum z value of the cylinder. The definitions of SR, TR and CTR are schematically shown in Figure 1.

Figure 4 shows the effect of AIA on the in-cylinder SR, TR and CTR at 280°CA. The SR is very strong and varies between 6 and 7 for different AIA designs. As AIA increases from 60° to 68°, the SR becomes slightly lower because of the enhanced interaction of the intake flow jets and the piston top. Further increase in the AIA to 75° and 90° leads to stronger intake flow jets and higher SR because of the dominant effect of the increased effective scavenging area. It should be noted that the scavenge port height was kept constant for different AIAs.

Thanks to the current piston top design with a bias ridge and separated distribution of eight scavenge ports at two opposite sides, the strong clockwise tumble flow motion, as shown by the flow field distribution at 240°CA in Figure 3, is produced for each AIA design. The increase in AIA leads to enhanced interactions between intake flow jets and piston top, which promotes the formation of the tumble flow and cross tumble flow motion. Further increase of AIA to 90° leads to stronger horizontal flow into the centre of the cylinder but weaker organized vertical flow motion in the outer region. This is not conductive to form the tumble and cross tumble motion and leads to lower TR and much reduced CTR at AIA of 90°, as shown in Figure 4.

In order to quantify the scavenging performance, four scavenge parameters, that is, delivery ratio (DR), TE, SE and charging efficiency (CE), are defined as follows

\[
DR = \frac{\text{delivered fresh charge mass}}{\text{reference mass}}
\]

(2)

\[
TE = \frac{\text{mass of delivered fresh charge retained in the cylinder}}{\text{total mass of delivered fresh charge}} = \frac{CE}{DR}
\]

(3)

\[
SE = \frac{\text{mass of delivered fresh charge retained in the cylinder}}{\text{total mass of trapped cylinder charge}}
\]

(4)

\[
CE = \frac{\text{mass of delivered fresh charge retained in the cylinder}}{\text{reference mass}}
\]

(5)

The reference mass in above equations is calculated by the displaced volume multiplied by the ambient air density. As the bigger AIA leads to larger effective scavenging area, the DR gradually increases with AIA, as shown in Figure 5. In order to understand the short-circuiting phenomenon, the RGF profiles in the cylinder (x-axis) and exhaust ports (y-axis) are compared in Figure 6 for different AIA designs. The scavenge process begins from top right corner where RGF in the cylinder and exhaust ports is 1. Then the RGF in the cylinder gradually decreases with the scavenging process because of the introduction of the fresh intake charge. The decrease of RGF in the exhaust ports indicates the occurrence of short-circuiting phenomenon. As shown in Figure 6, an AIA of 60° leads to earlier and stronger short-circuiting as the intake flow jets from scavenge ports go directly towards the exhaust.
Effect of the SOA on the scavenging process

In this section, the effect of SOA on controlling in-cylinder flow motion and scavenging process is investigated with the AIA of 90°. As shown in Figure 7, in the case of SOA = 0°, the scavenge flow jets from the eight scavenging ports collide in the cylinder centre and form the strong vertical flow motion from the piston top to the cylinder head. As a result, the fresh charge would be directly pushed to the exhaust ports, as indicated by the flow field and RGF distributions at 180°CA. This leads to the earlier and stronger short-circuiting after the opening of scavenging ports but at the expense of weaker later scavenging, which leaves the central region with the highest RGF concentrate at 240°CA.

In comparison, the flow structure is dominated by strong swirl flow motion for SOA of 31.5°. The strong swirl flow motion drives the fresh intake charge to the cylinder head along the cylinder wall and results in poor scavenging at the cylinder centre, as shown by the RGF distribution at 180°CA. With the scavenging proceeding, the fresh charge gradually penetrates into the cylinder centre and pushes out the residual gas. The spinning fresh charge behaves like a virtual piston and pushes out the residual gas so that most residual gas ends up at the top of cylinder towards the end of scavenging.

At 240°CA, it is noted that a strong tumble flow motion can be observed in the vertical plane for SOA of 0° and 31.5°. This strong tumble motion is mainly formed by the stronger intake charge motion from the scavenge ports at exhaust sides because of the slightly lower edge of piston top at exhaust sides in order to match the asymmetric cylinder head. This can be verified by the flow motion from exhaust sides to the other side at the horizontal plane for SOA of 0°. This intake flow motion helps to scavenge the residual gas on the piston top for SOA of 0°, but shows limited improvement for a large SOA of 31.5°.

Figure 8 quantitatively shows the effect of SOA on the SR, TR and CTR at 280°CA. As SOA increases, the swirl flow motion would be significantly enhanced because of the increased flow velocity at the outer region of the cylinder. This contributes to the strong positive correlation between SR and SOA as shown in the figure. The enhanced swirl flow motion also helps to form the vertical flow motion thanks to the guidance of the piston shape. The TR and CTR are gradually enhanced with increasing SOA.

Figure 9 shows the effect of SOA on the scavenging performances. It should be noted that the segment of each scavenge port on the cylinder circumference was kept constant for different SOAs. The DR is gradually reduced with SOA because of the reduced effective scavenging area. Although the flow motion is gradually enhanced with increasing SOA, the SE decreased slightly due to the residual gas trapped near the cylinder head, as shown in Figure 7. The smaller SOA leads to non-organized in-cylinder flow motion and earlier charge short-circuiting, as indicated by the RGF distribution in Figure 7. This in turn leads to lower CE, although the DR is higher for small SOA. This can also be verified from the RGF profiles in the cylinder and exhaust ports in Figure 10. As SOA is increased to 10° and 20°, the moderate swirl flow motion of the intake charge delays the short-circuiting. A further increase of SOA to 31.5° leads to the lower DR and early short-circuiting near the cylinder wall with insufficient scavenging in the cylinder centre, as shown in Figure 7, which explains the lower CE and SE. The TE increases slightly with SOA because of the relatively lower DR.

Effects of SD/ED and EVO timing

In this section, the simulations with different combinations of SD, ED and EVO timing were performed to understand their impacts on the in-cylinder flow motions and scavenging performances in the BUSDIG engine. The normalized scavenge port opening area (SA') profiles and normalized exhaust valve lift (EL') profiles are shown in Figure 11 to demonstrate the normalized scavenge port opening area profiles and exhaust valve lift profiles used in this section. The SPO timing, determined by the upper edge of the scavenge
Figure 7. Comparison of the in-cylinder flow field and residual gas fraction (RGF) distribution at 180 °CA and 240 °CA for SOA = 0° and 31.5°.

Figure 8. Effect of SOA on in-cylinder swirl ratio (SR), tumble ratio (TR) and cross tumble ratio (CTR) at 280 °CA (SPO = 122 °CA, ED = 126 °CA, EVO = 117 °CA).

Figure 9. Effect of SOA on DR, TE, SE and CE (SD = 116 °CA, ED = 126 °CA, EVO = 117 °CA).
ports, is varied from 116 to 128 °C with SD decreasing from 128 to 104 °C. The EVO timing is changed from 107 to 141 °C for the short ED of 98 °C and from 107 to 127 °C for the long ED of 126 °C. The optimal scavenge port angles with AIA of 90° and SOA of 20° were adopted.

In order to facilitate the understanding of the scavenging process in BUSDIG engine, four distinct scavenging periods are proposed and analysed in this study based on the mass flow rate and RGF profiles at the outlets of scavenge ports, as shown in Figure 12 for a given intake port design and exhaust valve profile. During the first period (I), that is, the early backflow period (EB), the in-cylinder residual gas flows into the scavenge ports immediately after SPO because of the relatively higher pressure in the cylinder. As the in-cylinder pressure decreases, the early backflow slows down. When the in-cylinder pressure drops below the intake pressure, the mixture of residual gas from the early backflow and fresh intake gas starts flowing into the cylinder and scavenges out the in-cylinder residual gas. Because of the involvement of the mixture of early backflow in the scavenging process, this second period (II) is termed as the backflow scavenging period (BS) process. In the third period (III), that is, main scavenging period (MS) process, the pure fresh charge flows into the cylinder pushing out the in-cylinder charge. Under some circumstances, especially for the cases with the scavenge port closing (SPC) timing later than EVC timing, the in-cylinder mixture would flow back into the scavenge ports because of the increased in-cylinder pressure during the compression stroke. This process is defined as the fourth period (IV) of the post backflow period (PB). The durations of these four scavenging periods are defined as $d_{EB}$, $d_{BS}$, $d_{MS}$ and $d_{PB}$, respectively.

The effect of ED and EVO timings on the scavenging process will be discussed in detail for the longest SD of 128 °C and shortest SD of 104 °C at first. A comprehensive comparison of the results with different SDs, EDs and EVOs will then be made with respect to their impacts on the in-cylinder flow and scavenging performance. Based on these results, the mechanism of the interactions between the opening profiles of scavenge ports/exhaust valves and their impacts on in-cylinder flow motions and scavenging performances will then be discussed.

**Effects of EDs and EVO timings on the scavenging process for the longest SD of 128 °C.** Figure 13 compares the total mass flow rate profiles at the outlets of scavenge ports for different EVOs with SD of 128 °C and ED of 98 °C. The durations for different scavenging periods are given in Table 3. As the delayed EVO timing leads to the shorter blow-down duration, the early backflow duration ($d_{EB}$) gradually increases and in turn leads to the longer backflow scavenging duration ($d_{BS}$).
However, it is noted that the increases of $d_{EB}$ and $d_{BS}$ become slower after EVO of 117°CA because the mass flow rate of the backflow is approaching its peak value, as shown in Figure 13. The delayed EVO timing also leads to later EVC timing and shortens the post backflow duration ($d_{PB}$). As a result, the main scavenge duration ($d_{MS}$) is reduced as the EVO timing is retarded from 107 to 117°CA. As the EVO is further retarded, the post backflow duration ($d_{PB}$) is reduced more significantly than the early backflow duration ($d_{EB}$) and backflow scavenging duration ($d_{BS}$), leading to increased main scavenging duration ($d_{MS}$). At the latest EVO timing of 141°CA, the post backflow has disappeared completely, although EVC (239°CA) is still earlier than the SPC timing of 244°CA, which indicates that the in-cylinder pressure is kept lower than the scavenge port pressure after EVC because of the gas dynamics effect of the exhaust flow. Thus, in order to maximize the scavenging process, the EVC timing can be adjusted to just avoid the post backflow to utilize this post-charging effect at different engine operating conditions for the fixed SPC.

Figure 14 shows the impact of EVO timing on SR, TR and CTR at 280°CA (SD = 128°CA, ED = 98°CA). As shown in Figure 13, the delayed EVO timing also leads to later EVC timing and shortens the post backflow duration ($d_{PB}$). As a result, the main scavenge duration ($d_{MS}$) is reduced as the EVO timing is retarded from 107 to 117°CA. As the EVO is further retarded, the post backflow duration ($d_{PB}$) is reduced more significantly than the early backflow duration ($d_{EB}$) and backflow scavenging duration ($d_{BS}$), leading to increased main scavenging duration ($d_{MS}$). At the latest EVO timing of 141°CA, the post backflow has disappeared completely, although EVC (239°CA) is still earlier than the SPC timing of 244°CA, which indicates that the in-cylinder pressure is kept lower than the scavenge port pressure after EVC because of the gas dynamics effect of the exhaust flow. Thus, in order to maximize the scavenging process, the EVC timing can be adjusted to just avoid the post backflow to utilize this post-charging effect at different engine operating conditions for the fixed SPC.

Figure 14 shows the impact of EVO timing on SR, TR and CTR at 280°CA (SD = 128°CA, ED = 98°CA). As shown in Figure 13, the delayed EVO timing also leads to later EVC timing and shortens the post backflow duration ($d_{PB}$). As a result, the main scavenge duration ($d_{MS}$) is reduced as the EVO timing is retarded from 107 to 117°CA. As the EVO is further retarded, the post backflow duration ($d_{PB}$) is reduced more significantly than the early backflow duration ($d_{EB}$) and backflow scavenging duration ($d_{BS}$), leading to increased main scavenging duration ($d_{MS}$). At the latest EVO timing of 141°CA, the post backflow has disappeared completely, although EVC (239°CA) is still earlier than the SPC timing of 244°CA, which indicates that the in-cylinder pressure is kept lower than the scavenge port pressure after EVC because of the gas dynamics effect of the exhaust flow. Thus, in order to maximize the scavenging process, the EVC timing can be adjusted to just avoid the post backflow to utilize this post-charging effect at different engine operating conditions for the fixed SPC.

Figure 14 shows the impact of EVO timing on SR, TR and CTR at 280°CA (SD = 128°CA, ED = 98°CA). As shown in Figure 13, the delayed EVO timing also leads to later EVC timing and shortens the post backflow duration ($d_{PB}$). As a result, the main scavenge duration ($d_{MS}$) is reduced as the EVO timing is retarded from 107 to 117°CA. As the EVO is further retarded, the post backflow duration ($d_{PB}$) is reduced more significantly than the early backflow duration ($d_{EB}$) and backflow scavenging duration ($d_{BS}$), leading to increased main scavenging duration ($d_{MS}$). At the latest EVO timing of 141°CA, the post backflow has disappeared completely, although EVC (239°CA) is still earlier than the SPC timing of 244°CA, which indicates that the in-cylinder pressure is kept lower than the scavenge port pressure after EVC because of the gas dynamics effect of the exhaust flow. Thus, in order to maximize the scavenging process, the EVC timing can be adjusted to just avoid the post backflow to utilize this post-charging effect at different engine operating conditions for the fixed SPC.

Figure 14 shows the impact of EVO timing on SR, TR and CTR at 280°CA (SD = 128°CA, ED = 98°CA).
significantly delayed beyond EVO of 131 °CA, the final mass trapped in the cylinder is lowered slightly because of the increased early backflow and diminished post-charging effect.

The SE is negatively affected by the increased early backflow duration ($d_{EB}$) when the EVO is delayed from 107 to 117 °CA. It then gradually recovers after 117 °CA because of the increased MS. As the TE is calculated by the ratio of CE to DR, it peaks at intermediate EVOs.

Figure 17 shows the total mass flow rate profiles at the outlets of scavenge ports for different EVOs (SD = 128 °CA, ED = 126 °CA).

Table 4. Effect of EVO on scavenging durations, SD = 128 °CA, ED = 126 °CA.

| EVO (°CA) | $d_{EB}$ (°CA) | $d_{BS}$ (°CA) | $d_{MS}$ (°CA) | $d_{PB}$ (°CA) |
|----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 101      | 17.12          | 30.37          | 57.53          | 22.98          |
| 107      | 21.71          | 39.62          | 51.13          | 15.54          |
| 117      | 35.14          | 39.73          | 48.91          | 4.22           |
| 127      | 36.05          | 46.89          | 45.06          | 0              |

EVO: exhaust valve opening; CA: crank angle.

Figure 18. Effect of EVO on the SR, TR and CTR at 280 °CA (SD = 128 °CA, ED = 126 °CA).

scavenge duration ($d_{BS}$) are increased slightly for the longer ED, which can be verified by comparing the scavenging durations for EVOs of 107 °CA and 117 °CA in Tables 3 and 4.

As shown in Table 4, when EVO is changed from 101 to 107 °CA, both $d_{EB}$ and $d_{BS}$ increase but $d_{EB}$ is less sensitive to EVO than the $d_{BS}$ because of the lower exhaust valve lift profile during the BS. As EVO is delayed from 107 to 117 °CA, the $d_{EB}$ exhibits much significant increase than the $d_{BS}$ because of the higher exhaust valve lift profile during the BS. Further delay of EVO to 127 °CA leads to little change in $d_{EB}$ but much longer $d_{BS}$. Both the MS and PB decrease with EVO delaying. The post backflow is completely avoided with the most retarded EVO.

Figure 18 compares the SR, TR and CTR at 280 °CA for different EVOs with ED of 126 °CA and SD of 128 °CA. The delayed and prolonged MS enhances the in-cylinder flow motion with the retarded EVO, resulting higher TR and SR but slightly lower cross tumble flows due to the strong interaction of the scavenge flow jets and piston top.

Compared to the shorter ED of 98 °CA, a longer ED of 126 °CA leads to better DR and SE, as shown in Figure 19, due to longer main scavenging duration ($d_{MS}$). However, the significant improvement in CE can only be achieved at early EVOs for the longer ED.
Effects of EDs and EVO timings on the scavenging process for the shortest SD of 104°CA. Figures 20 and 21 show the effect of EVO timing on in-cylinder flow motions and scavenging performances with the shortest SD of 104°CA and ED of 98°CA. As shown in Figure 20, the SR is slightly affected by the EVO and it peaks at an intermediate EVO timing. With a shorter SD, the delayed EVO timing produces higher peak TR due to enhanced intake flow. The delayed EVO timing leads to more delayed scavenging phasing with smaller scavenging area during the MS. Meanwhile, the later EVO timing also produces quicker pressure drop in the cylinder after the BDC due to larger valve lifts during the scavenging. This in turn leads to increased pressure difference between the intake scavenge ports and cylinder and produces higher intake velocity. At the closing of the scavenge ports, the formed strong tumble flow motion would be significantly deteriorated and transfer to cross tumble flow motion under the influence of the swirl flow motion. As a result, the CTR is even higher than TR and shows an increasing trend with EVO delaying, as shown in Figure 20.

Table 5 lists the change of the four scavenging periods as a function of EVO for the shortest SD of 104°CA and fixed ED of 98°CA. The delayed EVO timing leads to increased $d_{EB}$ and $d_{BS}$ but reduced $d_{MS}$ and $d_{PB}$. The disappearance of the PB weakens the post-charging effect and contributes to the decrease of $d_{MS}$ at EVOs of 131 and 141°CA. As the main scavenging duration ($d_{MS}$) is shortened by the retarded EVO, the DR and the CE are reduced as shown in Figure 21. The reduction of CE is more significant for the EVO of 127°CA when the post backflow disappears. The increased early backflow duration ($d_{EB}$) with the delayed EVO enhances the pre-mixing between residual gas and fresh air and leads to lower SE.

As the ED is changed to 126°CA, the same effects of the EVO are observed on the durations of each scavenging period, as shown in Table 6. As shown in Figure 22, with the extended ED of 126°CA, the delay in EVO has little impact on SR and causes slight increase in TR and CTR. Similar to the shorter ED, both DR and CE decrease with retarded EVO while the SE and TE decrease slightly, as shown in Figure 23.

Comparison of the effects of SD, ED and EVO timing on the scavenging process. In order to systematically compare the impact of EVO timing on in-cylinder flow motions with all the combinations of SDs and EDs in Figure 11,
the maximum/minimum value and the corresponding changing percentage (Δ) of SR, TR and CTR with different EVOs are presented in Table 7. The sensitivity to EVO timing of a parameter is measured by the percentage of change in the parameter, which is defined as the ratio of the difference between the maximum and minimum value to the maximum value. It can be seen that both the maximum and minimum values of SR decrease with SD. The comparison between EDs indicates that a longer ED leads to a slightly lower SR. The SR is most sensitive to the EVO timing at an intermediate SD around 116° CA for both EDs.

The maximum TR peaks at an intermediate SD of 110° CA for both EDs. A longer ED would increase the TR, especially its minimum value. TR is much more sensitive to the EVO timing, especially for the shorter ED of 98° CA and a shorter SD. The shortest SD of 104° CA produces higher maximum CTR for both EDs and the highest maximum CTR of 3.09 with the help of a shorter ED of 98° CA.

Table 8 shows the maximum/minimum value and the corresponding percentage of change in each scavenging parameter with EVOs. For the shorter ED of 98° CA, the maximum DR and CE show an increasing trend with shorter SD. TE and SE also increase slightly with a shorter SD. As the ED increases to 126° CA, DR and CE are significantly improved. The SE is also slightly improved but TE is deteriorated. The maximum DR and CE peak at an intermediate SD around 110° CA.

The maximum TR peaks at an intermediate SD of 110° CA for both EDs. A longer ED would increase the TR, especially its minimum value. TR is much more sensitive to the EVO timing, especially for the shorter ED of 98° CA and a shorter SD. The shortest SD of 104° CA produces higher maximum CTR for both EDs and the highest maximum CTR of 3.09 with the help of a shorter ED of 98° CA.

Table 8. Maximum/minimum value and the changing percentage of each scavenging parameter with EVOs for different SDs and EDs.

| SD (°CA) | DR max (–) | DR min (–) | ΔDR (%) | TE max (–) | TE min (–) | ΔTE (%) |
|----------|------------|------------|---------|------------|------------|---------|
| 128      | 8.31       | 5.94       | 22      | 1.83       | 1.09       | 40      |
| 116      | 8.25       | 5.88       | 22      | 1.80       | 1.09       | 40      |
| 110      | 8.10       | 5.75       | 22      | 1.80       | 1.09       | 40      |
| 104      | 8.00       | 5.50       | 22      | 1.80       | 1.09       | 40      |

ED: exhaust valve opening duration; CA: crank angle; SD: scavenge port opening duration; SR: swirl ratio; TR: tumble ratio; CTR: cross tumble ratio.
The sensitivity of each scavenging parameter shows an increasing trend with a shorter SD. Overall, a longer ED shows better scavenging performances and lower sensitivity to EVO timing. An intermediate SD around 110°CA can produce the optimal scavenging performance with the highest maximum DR, SE and CE. A shorter ED produces a higher TE, and the scavenging performance can be further improved with a reduced SD.

**Mechanism of the effect of the opening profiles of scavenge ports/exhaust valves on the scavenging process.** In this section, the scavenging process is reviewed at first based on the results shown in the previous sections and the correlations between the key parameters are analysed. A comprehensive diagram is then drawn to illustrate the mechanism of effect of the opening profiles of scavenge ports/exhaust valves on scavenging process in BUSDIG engine.

A longer SD or delayed EVO timing lead to increased early backflow duration (d_{EB}) due to shorter blow-down duration. The increase of d_{EB} is gradually slowed down due to the limit of the maximum early backflow rate. The effective scavenging flow area of the scavenge ports and the exhaust valve lift profile during the EB affect the in-cylinder pressure and shows impact on d_{EB}. Therefore, the d_{EB} is slightly longer with a longer ED or shorter SD due to the lower slope of the opening profile and also become more sensitive to EVO timing. A longer EB usually leads to a longer subsequent backflow scavenging duration (d_{BS}). But in some cases where the increase of d_{EB} is even longer than the increase of EVO timing, the increase of d_{BS} will be slightly weakened due to the higher exhaust valve lift during the BS. Or, in some other cases where the increase of d_{EB} is significantly shorter than the increase of EVO timing, the increase of d_{BS} will be significantly enhanced compared to the increase of d_{EB} due to smaller exhaust valve lift profile during the BS.

The reduction of SD or delaying of EVO monotonously shortens the post backflow duration (d_{PB}). However, with the disappearance of the post backflow, further reduction of SD or delayed EVO timing would directly reduce the main scavenging duration d_{MS} due to diminished post-charging effect.

The variations of above three scavenging periods, that is, d_{EB}, d_{BS} and d_{PB}, would finally determine the value of d_{MS}. As the EVO is delayed, d_{MS} shows initially a decreasing trend at the first stage due to significant increase of d_{EB} and d_{BS}. During the second stage, as the increase of d_{EB} slows down due to the limit of the maximum flow rate of early backflow, d_{MS} increases thanks to the much smaller d_{PB}. In the third stage, with the disappearance of the PB, further delay of EVO would lead to the decrease of d_{MS}. It should be noted that the second stage is absent for some cases in which d_{EB} and d_{BS} are very sensitive to EVO timing.

Similarly, the effect of SD on the scavenging process can also be explained. For an early EVO timing (e.g. 107°CA with ED of 98 °CA), the reduction of SD shortens d_{EB} due to the longer blow-down duration, leading to increased d_{MS}. However, for a later EVO timing (e.g. 141°CA with ED of 98 °CA), the reduction of SD leads to early closing of scavenge ports and reduced post-charging effect, which directly reduces the d_{MS}.

The sensitivities of early backflow duration (d_{EB}), backflow scavenging duration (d_{BS}) and post backflow

### Table 8. Maximum/minimum value and the changing percentage of each scavenge parameter with different EVOs for different combinations of SD and ED.

| ED (°CA) | SD (°CA) | DR\text{max} (−) | DR\text{min} (−) | δ_{DR} (%) | DR\text{max} (−) | DR\text{min} (−) | δ_{DR} (%) |
|----------|----------|------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------------|------------|
| 98       | 128      | 2.04             | 1.75             | 14         | 2.73             | 2.35             | 14         |
| 110      | 2.14     | 1.96             | 32               | 1.09       | 1.94             | 32               | 1.09       |
| 104      | 2.17     | 1.23             | 43               | 1.75       | 1.75             | 37               | 1.75       |

| SD (°CA) | TE\text{max} (−) | TE\text{min} (−) | δ_{TE} (%) | TE\text{max} (−) | TE\text{min} (−) | δ_{TE} (%) |
|----------|------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------------|------------|
| 128      | 0.58             | 0.54             | 2          | 0.58             | 0.54             | 2          |
| 116      | 0.57             | 0.53             | 3          | 0.57             | 0.53             | 3          |
| 110      | 0.57             | 0.51             | 4          | 0.57             | 0.51             | 4          |
| 104      | 0.59             | 0.51             | 4          | 0.59             | 0.51             | 4          |

| SD (°CA) | SE\text{max} (−) | SE\text{min} (−) | δ_{SE} (%) | SE\text{max} (−) | SE\text{min} (−) | δ_{SE} (%) |
|----------|------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------------|------------|
| 128      | 0.98             | 0.96             | 15         | 0.98             | 0.96             | 15         |
| 116      | 0.99             | 0.96             | 32         | 0.99             | 0.96             | 32         |
| 110      | 0.99             | 0.95             | 39         | 0.99             | 0.95             | 39         |
| 104      | 0.98             | 0.98             | 45         | 0.98             | 0.98             | 45         |

| SD (°CA) | CE\text{max} (−) | CE\text{min} (−) | δ_{CE} (%) | CE\text{max} (−) | CE\text{min} (−) | δ_{CE} (%) |
|----------|------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------------|------------|
| 128      | 1.51             | 1.28             | 7          | 1.51             | 1.28             | 7          |
| 116      | 1.62             | 1.1              | 7          | 1.62             | 1.1              | 7          |
| 110      | 1.64             | 1.1              | 11         | 1.64             | 1.1              | 11         |
| 104      | 1.63             | 0.89             | 14         | 1.63             | 0.89             | 14         |

**ED:** exhaust valve opening duration; **CA:** crank angle; **SD:** scavenge port opening duration; **DR:** delivery ratio; **TE:** trapping efficiency; **SE:** scavenging efficiency; **CE:** charging efficiency.
duration ($d_{PB}$) are mainly controlled by the relationship between the opening profiles of scavenge ports and exhaust valves. The combined sensitivities of $d_{EB}$, $d_{BS}$ and $d_{PB}$ finally determine the variation of $d_{MS}$ for different combinations of SD, ED and EVO. In order to characterize their impacts on controlling the scavenging process in BUSDIG engine, three parameters, the difference between the opening timings of scavenge ports and exhaust valves ($D_{open}$), the difference between the closing timings of scavenge ports and exhaust valves ($D_{close}$) and the overlap between the opening profiles of scavenge ports and exhaust valves ($D_{overlap}$), are defined as follows and shown in Figure 24:

\[
D_{open} = SPO - EVO
\]
\[
D_{close} = SPC - EVC
\]
\[
D_{overlap} = \min(\text{SPC, EVC}) - \max(\text{SPO, EVO})
\]

As shown in Figure 25, $d_{EB}$ gradually decreases with increasing $D_{open}$, characterized with two linear correlation regions. In the case of the negative values of $D_{open}$, indicating earlier SPO timing compared to EVO timing, $d_{EB}$ is relatively longer but shows lower sensitivity to $D_{open}$. In the cases of positive values of $D_{open}$, indicating a blow-down period before the opening of scavenge ports, $d_{EB}$ shows higher sensitivity to $D_{open}$ and decreases rapidly with increasing $D_{open}$. The strong positive correlation between $d_{EB}$ and $d_{BS}$ is shown in Figure 26, and the two distinct regions of the correlations can still be observed. Overall, the $d_{BS}$ increases with $d_{EB}$.

Figure 27 shows the strong positive correlation between $d_{PB}$ and $D_{close}$. A longer ED leads to an earlier increase of $d_{PB}$ with $D_{close}$ because of lower slope of the exhaust valve lift profile with the fixed peak valve lift. For the short ED of 98°CA, it is noted that the post backflow occurs after $D_{close}$ of around 10°CA instead of 0°CA, which clearly demonstrates the existence of post-charging effect that the intake charge would still flow into the cylinder after the closing of exhaust valves due to relatively higher intake pressure than the cylinder pressure.

Figure 28 shows the complex relationship between $d_{MS}$ and $D_{overlap}$. The square and round symbols indicate the cases with EDs of 98° and 126°CA, respectively. As the post backflow would directly affect the MS, the solid symbols indicate the cases with PB while hollow symbols indicate cases without PB. In addition, the main scavenging durations of those cases with $D_{overlap}$ equal to SD or ED would be more affected by other factors instead of the overlap ($D_{overlap}$) itself, and those...
cases are marked as the blue symbols and neglected for the correlation.

For those cases with the PB (solid symbols), the increase in $\Delta_{\text{overlap}}$ indicates an earlier SPO timing as well as longer EB and BS, leading to negative correlation of $d_{MS}$ with $\Delta_{\text{overlap}}$ as shown in Figure 28. Therefore, in order to achieve a longer main scavenge duration ($d_{MS}$), $\Delta_{\text{overlap}}$ should be reduced by adjusting the SD and exhaust valve lift profile. The adoption of a long ED can further increase $d_{MS}$. For those cases without the post backflow (hollow symbols), the increase in $\Delta_{\text{overlap}}$ would prolong the $d_{MS}$, as indicated by the correlation curve (grey) in Figure 28.

The scavenging process directly controls the in-cylinder flow motions and scavenging performances. Although the MS directly drives the scavenging process in the cylinder, there is no strong correlation between $d_{MS}$ and the flow motions. In comparison, the SR and TR show good correlations with $\Delta_{\text{close}}$ as shown in Figure 29. A larger $\Delta_{\text{close}}$ leads to higher SR but lower TR. The increase in $\Delta_{\text{close}}$ leads to more significant post backflow, indicating more in-cylinder gas entering the scavenging ports on the cylinder wall instead of the exhaust ports on the one side of the cylinder top. This would then slow the decay of SR but weaken the tumble flow motion. The CTR is affected by the evolutions of both SR and TR, showing poor correlation with $\Delta_{\text{close}}$.

Figure 30 shows that there are strong positive correlations of SE and CE with DR. The correlation between DR and SE is insensitive to the ED and a larger DR can lead to higher SE. While the correlation between CE and DR is sensitive to the ED. A shorter ED is preferred to achieve a higher CE with same DR.

The correlation between CE and SE is slightly sensitive to ED, as shown in Figure 31. As a higher SE indicates less fraction of residual gas in the cylinder, the CE shows positive correlation with SE. Compared to the long ED, a shorter ED leads to stronger post-charging effect and higher in-cylinder total mass, leading to higher CE with the same SE.

As the most important scavenging period, the main scavenge duration ($d_{MS}$) shows strong correlations with DR, SE and CE, as shown in Figure 32. Overall, DR, SE and CE increase with $d_{MS}$, while the increasing rates become slower with $d_{MS}$ over 60°C.

Figures 33 and 34 show the correlations of SE with $d_{EB}$ and $\Delta_{\text{open}}$. The longer EB, usually caused by a smaller $\Delta_{\text{open}}$, leads to strong pre-mixing between residual gas and fresh charge and finally reduces the SE.
Therefore, a larger $\Delta_{\text{open}}$ is preferred to reduce the EB and increase SE. However, an improved DR is always helpful to improve the SE, as indicated in Figure 30.

The correlation of CE with $\Delta_{\text{close}}$ is slightly sensitive to ED, as shown in Figure 35. With the increase in $\Delta_{\text{close}}$, CE increases at first but decreases afterwards for both EDs. A negative $\Delta_{\text{close}}$ leads to an early SPC and significantly reduces the main scavenging duration, which shows lower CE. However, with a too late SPC, the enhanced post backflow also reduces the CE. In general, the $\Delta_{\text{close}}$ should be controlled at the range between 5 and 25 °CA for the EDs in this study to impose the post-charging effect and improves scavenging performances.

Figure 36 summarizes the impact of the opening profiles of scavenge ports/exhaust valves on scavenging periods, in-cylinder flow motions and scavenging performances based on the above analyses. In general, $\Delta_{\text{open}}$ should be increased to increase SE to maximize the scavenging performances. Both $\Delta_{\text{close}}$ and $\Delta_{\text{overlap}}$ should be reduced for the cases with the post backflow and increased for the cases without backflow to improve DR, CE and SE. Therefore, the optimal scavenging performance would be achieved when the post backflow is just avoided by tuning $\Delta_{\text{close}}$ and $\Delta_{\text{overlap}}$ for a specific ED. The prolonged ED could then be used to further improve the scavenging performances, as shown in Figures 28 and 35.

Summary and conclusion

In this study, the 3D CFD simulations were performed to understand the effect of scavenge port designs and EVO profiles on the in-cylinder flow motions and scavenging performances in a two-stroke BUSDIG engine. Four scavenging periods, that is, EB, BS, MS and PB, are introduced to characterize the scavenging process and their relationships are analysed with different combinations of SD, ED and EVO timing. The main findings are summarized as follows:

1. The DR and CE gradually increase with the AIA due to the larger effective scavenging area but weakened short-circuiting. The SE is almost kept constant as AIA increases. The intermediate AIs of 68° and 75° produce slightly lower SR but higher TR and CTR.
2. DR is gradually reduced with increasing SOA due to the reduced effective scavenging area. CE peaks at the intermediate SOAs around 10° and 20° due to less short-circuiting. SE reduces with SOA
increasing due to reduced DR and poor scavenging in cylinder centre, while the TE increases slightly. The SR, TR and CTR increase with SOA.

3. The optimal scavenge port angles with AIA of 90° and SOA of 20° produce the best scavenging performances and good in-cylinder flow motions.

4. SR decreases with reduced SD but larger ED, and it is less sensitive to the EVO timing. The intermediate SD (i.e. 110°CA) and longer ED of 126°CA produce higher maximum TR. With the decrease of SD and ED, TR shows higher sensitivity to the EVO timing. The shortest SD of 104°CA generates a higher maximum CTR for both EDs.

5. A longer ED shows lower sensitivity to EVO timing and produces highest maximum DR, SE and CE with an intermediate SD around 110°CA. A shorter ED achieves higher TE, and the scavenging performances can be improved with a reduced SD.

6. The increased difference between the opening timings of scavenge ports and exhaust valves (Δ_open) leads to reduced early backflow duration (d_{EB}) and the subsequent backflow scavenging duration (d_{BS}), which in turn increases the SE. The increased difference between the closing timings of scavenge ports and exhaust valves (Δ_close) leads to increased post backflow duration (d_{PB}) and should be controlled in the range between 5 and 25°CA for the EDs in this study to impose the post-charging effect and improves scavenging performances. Meanwhile, Δ_close shows strong positive correlation with SR and negative correlation with TR.

7. For the cases with the post backflow, the increase in the overlap between the opening profiles of scavenge ports and exhaust valves (Δ_overlap) leads to a shorter main scavenging duration (d_{MS}). While for those cases without the post backflow, the increased Δ_overlap leads to longer d_{MS}. The adoption of a longer ED can further increase d_{MS}. Overall, DR, SE and CE show strong correlations and increase with d_{MS}.

8. SE and CE show positive correlations with DR. But the correlation between CE and DR is sensitive to ED. A shorter ED is preferred to achieve a higher CE with the same DR. CE shows a positive correlation with SE and shows higher values for a shorter ED.

9. The slopes of the opening profiles of the scavenge ports and the exhaust valve affect both d_{EB} and d_{BS} and the overall scavenging performances.

10. The optimal scavenging performance with higher DR, CE and SE can be achieved when the post backflow is just avoided by tuning the Δ_close and Δ_overlap for a specific ED. A longer ED can be used to further improve the scavenging performances. In addition, Δ_open can be increased to improve SE.
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**Figure 36.** Mechanism of the effect of scavenge port/exhaust valve opening profiles on scavenging periods, in-cylinder flow motions and scavenging performances.
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### Appendix 1

**Notation**

- $d$: duration
- $\delta$: changing percentage
- $\Delta_{\text{close}}$: difference between the closing timings of scavenge ports and exhaust valves
- $\Delta_{\text{open}}$: difference between the opening timings of scavenge ports and exhaust valves
- $\Delta_{\text{overlap}}$: overlap between the opening profiles of scavenge ports and exhaust valves