Poor efficacy of anti-programmed cell death-1/ligand 1 monotherapy for non-small cell lung cancer patients with active brain metastases
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Abstract

Background: The efficacy of anti-programmed cell death-1/ligand 1 antibody monotherapy (anti-PD-1/PD-L1 monotherapy) in patients with active brain metastases (BMs) is not established. Here, we aimed to evaluate the efficacy of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 monotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients with active BMs.

Methods: This retrospective study included NSCLC patients treated with second-line or later-line anti-PD-1/PD-L1 monotherapy between December 2015 and August 2019. Patients were classified into those with or without active BMs, including symptomatic BMs requiring systemic steroids and untreated BMs. The progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) of the patients with and without active BMs were compared. Intracranial and extracranial tumor responses were evaluated in patients with active BMs.

Results: We analyzed 197 patients who had received anti-PD-1/PD-L1 monotherapy. Among them, 24 had active BMs. Among those without active BMs, 145 had no BMs and 28 had treated asymptomatic BMs. The PFS and OS of patients with active BMs were significantly shorter than those of patients without active BMs (1.3 vs. 2.7 months; P < 0.001, and 4.5 vs. 16.3 months; P = 0.001 respectively). For patients with active BMs, the intracranial and extracranial response rates were 13.3% and 26.7%, respectively. On multivariate analysis, active BMs, poor performance status (PS), and EGFR/ALK positivity were significant factors associated with shorter PFS. Active BMs and poor PS were significant factors associated with shorter OS.

Conclusions: This study suggested that anti-PD-1/PD-L1 monotherapy was not effective for NSCLC patients with active BMs. Further studies on immunotherapy are needed for patients with active BMs.

Key points: Significant findings of the study: The present study showed that anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibody monotherapy was not effective for non-small cell lung cancer patients with active brain metastases. Intracranial and extracranial response rates were 13.3% and 26.7%, respectively. What this study adds: Further studies on immunotherapy are needed for patients with active BMs.
Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer death worldwide. However, the treatment of patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) has made marked progress in the past two decades. Several anticancer agents have been approved for the treatment of NSCLC, such as cytotoxic agents, angiogenesis inhibitors, and molecular targeted drugs. In particular, immune checkpoint inhibitors have improved survival times. Despite recent advancements in the treatment of patients with NSCLC, brain metastases (BMs) are frequent and serious complications; approximately 10% of patients have BMs at diagnosis, and 20%–40% develop BMs during their disease course. The treatment approach for patients with BMs is of particular significance, as the development of BMs often leads to deterioration of the patient’s quality of life, and confers a poor prognosis.

In general, chemotherapeutic agents have limited effects on BMs, as they can hardly penetrate the blood-brain barrier (BBB). A previous study reported an intracranial response rate of approximately 30%, and a median overall survival of 7.7 months with chemotherapeutic agents.

Recently, anti-programmed cell death-1/ligand 1 antibodies (anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Ab), including nivolumab, pembrolizumab, and atezolizumab, have become instrumental in the treatment of NSCLC. Several phase III studies have reported that anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Ab significantly improved overall survival compared with cytotoxic chemotherapy. However, the efficacy of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Ab in the treatment of active BMs, including untreated, symptomatic, and unstable BMs, has not been established, as most clinical trials have excluded patients with active BMs. In clinical practice, the patient population with active BMs is significant, yet advances in treatment have been limited. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Ab in NSCLC patients with active BMs.

Methods

Data collection

This retrospective study included 242 patients with histologically-confirmed advanced NSCLC, who received second-line or later-line anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Ab monotherapy with nivolumab, pembrolizumab, or atezolizumab at the Cancer Institute Hospital, Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research, Tokyo, Japan, between December 2015 and August 2019. The patients were treated with nivolumab (3 mg/kg bodyweight or 240 mg per patient) every two weeks, pembrolizumab (200 mg per patient) every three weeks, or atezolizumab (1200 mg per patient) every three weeks. We excluded patients who were not evaluated for BMs by computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) within 56 days before the initiation of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Ab monotherapy. Patients with carcinomatous meningitis were also excluded from this study. Clinical data including age, sex, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (PS), smoking status, tumor histology, epidermal growth factor receptor mutation or anaplastic lymphoma kinase rearrangement status (EGFR/ALK status), PD-L1 expression status, and number of previous chemotherapy regimens, were collated.

We defined active BMs as untreated or symptomatic BMs requiring systemic steroids equivalent to 10 mg of prednisolone at initiation of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Ab treatment. Patients were classified into “active” and “nonactive” BM groups. We compared the efficacy of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Ab between the two groups in terms of progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS). All patients had CT or MRI scans at least every three months as per standard clinical practice, and intracranial and extracranial tumor responses in patients with measurable BMs within the active BM group were evaluated. The tumor response was further categorized into complete response (CR), partial response (PR), stable disease (SD), or progressive disease (PD) in accordance with RECIST 1.1. PFS and OS were defined as the time interval from the initiation of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Ab monotherapy to disease progression/death from a related cause and to death, respectively. Moreover, in patients with evident BMs within the active BM group, intracranial and extracranial PFS were evaluated.

The protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Cancer Institute Hospital, Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research (approval number 2019–1164). Informed consent to using their clinical data was obtained from all patients using the opt-out method on the website, per the instructions of the Ethics Committee of the Cancer Institute Hospital, Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research.

Statistical analysis

Patient age was compared between the two groups using the Mann-Whitney U test, and the other patient characteristics were compared using Fisher’s exact test. Kaplan-Meier curves of PFS and OS for each group were generated and compared using the log-rank test. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were used to determine the association between patient characteristics and PFS or OS. All significant factors identified on univariate analysis were entered into multivariate analysis. We performed all statistical analyses using EZR (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan), a graphical user interface for R (The R Foundation for Statistical
Results

Patient categorization

Fig 1 shows a flow chart of the patient selection process; 242 NSCLC patients treated with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Ab were investigated, and 197 were analyzed based on our inclusion criteria. The median length of follow-up for censored cases was 14.3 months (range, 1.1–45.6 months). A total of 24 patients formed the active BM group; 21 patients were not treated while the remaining three patients received systemic steroids equivalent to 10 mg of prednisolone. The remaining 173 patients, 28 of whom had treated BMs and 145 with no BMs, comprised the nonactive BM group.

For the active BM group, the PFS and OS of the 24 patients were analyzed; 15 patients had measurable intracranial and extracranial lesions. In terms of tumor response, two patients died before the initial CT/MRI evaluation, and one patient underwent radiological evaluation of the brain, without extracranial radiological evaluation at the time of disease progression.

The patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. The percentage of patients with a PS of 2–4 was higher in the active BM group than in the nonactive BM group (38% vs. 17%, \( P = 0.029 \)). Compared with the nonactive BM group, more patients in the active BM group received anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Ab as fourth or later line treatment (42% vs. 20%, \( P = 0.034 \)). The active BM group had more patients with nonsquamous cell carcinoma than the nonactive BM group (92% vs. 73%, \( P = 0.073 \)). EGFR/ALK status and PD-L1 expression status were not significantly different between the two groups. All patients had not received previous anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Ab treatment.

The details of patients with brain metastases are presented in Table 2. The number and largest size of BMs did not differ between the active and nonactive BM groups. In the active BM group, three patients received local treatment for BMs, but they received steroid treatment during initiation of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 treatment. In the nonactive BM group, all patients with BMs received local treatment. Four patients received whole brain radiotherapy (WBRT), 21 received stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS), and two received WBRT and SRS. Only one patient underwent surgery.

Efficacy

PFS curves for each group are shown in Fig 2a. The median PFS was 1.3 months (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.9 to 2.1) in the active BM group, and 2.7 months (95% CI: 2.0–3.8) in the nonactive BM group. The PFS of the active BM group was significantly shorter than that of the nonactive BM group (hazard ratio for disease progression or death [HR], 2.51; 95% CI: 1.61–3.91; \( P < 0.001 \)). OS curves for each group are shown in Fig 2b. The median OS was 4.5 months (95% CI: 3.1–9.3) in the active BM group, and 16.3 months (95% CI: 13.9–19.3) in the nonactive BM group. The OS of the active BM group was significantly shorter than that of the nonactive BM group (HR for death, 2.25; 95% CI: 1.3–3.68; \( P = 0.001 \)).

On univariate analysis, male sex, PS0-1, current or former smoking status, EGFR/ALK negativity, receipt of second or third line of treatment, no active BM, and no steroid treatment were significant factors associated with
longer PFS. On multivariate Cox regression analysis, gender, PS, smoking status, EGFR/ALK status, line of treatment, presence of active BMs and steroid treatment were included as significant factors. Active BM (HR, 1.77; 95% CI: 1.09–2.86; P = 0.022), PS 0–1 (HR, 0.45; 95% CI: 0.30–0.67; P < 0.001), and steroid treatment (HR, 4.06; 95% CI: 1.61–10.23; P = 0.003) were significant factors associated with PFS (Table 3). On univariate analysis, PS 2–4, active BMs, and steroid treatment were significant factors associated with shorter OS. On multivariate Cox regression analysis, PS, presence of active BMs, and steroid treatment were included as significant factors. Active BM (HR, 1.87; 95% CI: 1.13–3.11; P < 0.001), PS 0–1 (HR, 0.26; 95% CI: 0.18–0.40; P < 0.001), and steroid treatment (HR, 2.96; 95% CI: 1.06–8.25; P < 0.001) were significant factors associated with OS (Table 3).

The intracranial and extracranial response rates were 13.3% (2/15) and 26.7% (4/15) respectively. Although extracranial lesions were controlled (PR or SD), the progression of intracranial lesions was observed in three patients (Table S1). For the 15 patients who had both, measurable intracranial and extracranial lesions in the active BM group, the intracranial and extracranial PFS are shown in Fig 3. The median intracranial and extracranial PFS were 1.4 months (95% CI: 0.8–2.2) and 2.2 months (95% CI: 0.9–6.3) respectively.

**Discussion**

Several recent clinical trials evaluated the efficacy of anti-PD-1/L1 Ab for patients with previously treated BMs, but the results have been controversial.8–10 In a subgroup analysis of the Checkmate 057 trial, OS was not found to be significantly longer for nivolumab than for docetaxel in NSCLC patients with treated stable BMs (HR for death, 1.06; 95% CI: 0.62–1.76).13 In a subgroup analysis of the OAK trial, the OS for atezolizumab was longer than that for docetaxel in patients with treated asymptomatic BMs.

### Table 1 Patient characteristics

|                      | Active BM (n = 24) | No active BM (n = 173) | P-value |
|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Age, median (range)  | 66.5 (41–83)       | 67 (27–82)             | 0.495   |
| Sex                  |                    |                        |         |
| Male                 | 13 (54%)           | 122 (71%)              | 0.157   |
| Female               | 11 (46%)           | 51 (29%)               |         |
| Performance status   |                    |                        |         |
| 0, 1                 | 15 (62%)           | 143 (83%)              | 0.029   |
| 2–4                  | 9 (38%)            | 30 (17%)               |         |
| Smoking status       |                    |                        |         |
| Current/former       | 15 (62%)           | 135 (78%)              | 0.123   |
| Never                | 9 (38%)            | 38 (22%)               |         |
| Histology            |                    |                        |         |
| Squamous             | 2 (8%)             | 46 (27%)               | 0.073   |
| Nonsquamous          | 22 (92%)           | 127 (73%)              |         |
| EGFR or ALK          |                    |                        |         |
| Positive             | 6 (25%)            | 28 (16%)               | 0.264   |
| Negative             | 18 (75%)           | 145 (84%)              |         |
| PD-L1                |                    |                        |         |
| Positive             | 8 (33%)            | 77 (45%)               | 0.485   |
| Negative             | 4 (17%)            | 31 (18%)               |         |
| Unknown              | 12 (50%)           | 65 (37%)               |         |
| Number of previous chemotherapeutic regimens |        |                        |         |
| ≤2                   | 14 (58%)           | 138 (80%)              | 0.034   |
| ≥3                   | 10 (42%)           | 35 (20%)               |         |

### Table 2 Details of patients with brain metastases

|                      | Active BM (n = 24) | No active BM (n = 28) | P-value |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Number of BMs; n (%) |                    |                       |         |
| 1–3                  | 11 (46%)           | 9 (32%)               |         |
| 4–9                  | 9 (37%)            | 11 (39%)              |         |
| 10<                  | 4 (17%)            | 8 (29%)               |         |
| Largest size of BMs |                    |                       |         |
| Median (range)       | 10 mm (2–42 mm)    | 13 mm (6–33 mm)       |         |
| Local treatment; n (%)|                  |                       |         |
| WBRT                 | 2 (8%)             | 4 (14%)               |         |
| SRS                  | 1 (4%)             | 21 (75%)              |         |
| WBRT and SRS         | 0 (0%)             | 2 (7%)                |         |
| Surgery and SRS      | 0 (0%)             | 1 (4%)                |         |
| None                 | 21 (88%)           | 0 (0%)                |         |
| Steroid treatment for symptoms of BMs (≥10 mg of PSL); n (%) | | | |
| Yes                  | 4 (17%)            | 0 (0%)                |         |
| No                   | 20 (83%)           | 28 (100%)             |         |
Cumulative analysis of KEYNOTE-001, 010, 024, and 042, particularly for patients with treated stable BMs, showed that the PFS and OS for pembrolizumab were longer than those for DTX (HR for disease progression or death, 0.96; 95% CI: 0.73–1.2 vs. HR for death, 0.83; 95% CI: 0.62–1.10). In addition, these clinical trials excluded patients with active BMs; therefore, the efficacy of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Ab was not evaluated.

Another study retrospectively investigated the prognostic impact of the presence of BMs in routine clinical care as well as clinical trials. The cohort included 1025 NSCLC patients who had received anti PD-1/PD-L1 Ab with or without anti-cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (anti-CTLA-4), and multivariate analysis included the following factors: age, smoking status, histology, number of organs with metastases, line of treatment, PS, use of corticosteroids, and the presence of BMs. The study showed that the presence of BMs was not a significant factor for PFS and OS. As anticipated, the PFS and OS of patients with unstable BMs (untreated, and new or growing brain lesions) were significant shorter than those of patients with stable BMs (irradiated and no growing brain metastases): (HR for disease progression or death, 0.62; 95% CI: 0.44–0.88;
The intracranial tumor response of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Ab was not well examined because in general, the intracranial lesion was not the target lesion. In our study, 15 patients had measurable intracranial and extracranial lesions in the active BM group. The intracranial response rate was 13.3%, and the extracranial tumor response rate was 26.7%. In three of the 15 patients, the tumor response varied (intracranial PD, but extracranial PR or SD). The median intracranial and extracranial PFS were 1.4 months and 2.2 months, respectively. The results therefore suggested that the efficacy of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Ab may be lower for intracranial than for extracranial tumors.

Recently, a phase II study evaluated the efficacy and safety of pembrolizumab for melanoma and NSCLC patients, who had at least one untreated or progressive BM.22 Patients with neurological symptoms, or in need of corticosteroids were excluded. NSCLC patients with a PD-L1 tumor proportion score > 1% were considered; the use of pembrolizumab resulted in an intracranial response rate

---

**Table 3 Cox regression analysis of progression-free survival and overall survival**

|                        | Progression-free survival | Overall survival |
|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
|                        | Univariate analysis       | Multivariate analysis | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
| Age ≥75 vs. <75        | HR 0.91, 0.59, 1.39       | 0.647           | HR 1.29, 0.81, 2.05 | 0.284 |
| Sex male vs. female    | 0.62, 0.45, 0.85          | 0.004           | 0.89, 0.57, 1.39   | 0.608 |
| PS 0,1 vs. 2–4         | 0.41, 0.28, 0.60          | <0.001          | 0.45, 0.30, 0.67   | <0.001 |
| Smoking status never vs. current/former | 1.77, 1.24, 2.51 | 0.002           | 1.48, 0.92, 2.38   | 0.109 |
| Histology sq vs. nonsq | 0.75, 1.51, 0.735         | 0.055           | 1.61, 0.99, 2.61   | 0.26 |
| EGFR/ALK positive vs. negative | 2.10, 1.40, 3.14 | <0.001          | 1.61, 0.99, 2.61   | 0.055 |
| PD-L1 positive vs. negative | 0.76, 0.49, 1.17 | 0.212           | 1.61, 0.99, 2.61   | 0.26 |
| Line of therapy second/third vs. fourth | 0.52, 0.37, 0.74 | <0.001          | 0.84, 0.53, 1.31   | 0.437 |
| Active BM vs. nonactive BM | 2.51, 1.61, 3.91 | <0.001          | 1.77, 1.09, 2.86   | 0.022 |
| Steroid treatment (≥10 mg of PSL) Yes vs. No | 3.63, 1.48, 8.91 | 0.005           | 4.06, 1.61, 10.23  | 0.003 |

**ALK, anaplastic lymphoma kinase rearrangement; CI, confidence intervals; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; HR, hazard ratio; PD-L1, programmed cell death-ligand 1; PSL, prednisolone; squamous, squamous cell carcinoma; nonsq, nonsquamous.**
of 33% (6/18) and a median OS of 7.7 months. The overall response rate was 33% (6/18); the intracranial response rate and OS were more favorable than in our cohort. However, the BM status differed from that of our study in that it excluded patients who had neurological symptoms or who needed corticosteroids. This may be the reason for the differences in the results between the two studies. Our study may more accurately represent the patient population.

One possible reason for the decreased efficacy of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Ab monotherapy for intracranial lesions as compared with extracranial lesions is the nature of the brain microenvironment; the blood brain barrier (BBB) generally reduces drug penetration, and also regulates the penetration of immune cells into the brain. BMs may contain less tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes than primary lung cancer. In addition, it was suggested that the brain microenvironment may be particularly immunosuppressive as compared with the extra-brain microenvironment. PD-L1 expression of BMs was reported to be lower than that of matched primary tumors in lung cancer patients. In another study, the expression levels of MHC class I and II molecules were low, and the number of antigen-presenting cells in the brain were few. Therefore, the reasons highlighted in the aforementioned studies are indicative of a decreased efficacy of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Ab for intracranial tumors as compared with extracranial tumors. However, our study showed that some intracranial tumors lesions responded to anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Ab monotherapy. Further studies are needed to reveal the mechanism of difference between responders and nonresponders for intracranial lesions.

This retrospective study has several limitations, the most significant being the small sample size; intracranial response was evaluated in only 15 patients. Patient characteristics varied, and the influence of selection bias cannot be ignored. The PD-L1 tumor proportion score (TPS) may represent an important factor, which potentially in the use of the 28 antibody in 103 patients; PD-L1 TPS was evaluated in only 48 patients and PD-L1 expression was evaluated through the use of the 28–8 antibody in 103 patients; PD-L1 was not evaluated in 77 patients.

In conclusion, this study showed that anti-PD-1/PD-L1Ab monotherapy was not effective for NSCLC patients with active BMs. Further studies on immunotherapy are needed for patients with active BMs.
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