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Abstract

The aim of this research is to study the fatigue life enhancement produced in quenched and tempered AISI4340 steel with a tensile strength of 2000 MPa after being submitted to shot peening surface treatments. These treatments generate compressive residual stress fields in a superficial layer of the material at the same time as inducing some kind of damage on the surface. Different kind of projectiles were chosen to perform the treatment (ceramic and steel shots), studying the way these affected the fatigue life of the specimens.

The surface topography of the samples was analysed using a roughness tester and by means of scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The compressive residual stress profile induced by these treatments was measured using X-ray diffraction (XRD) plus electro-polishing. The fatigue behaviour of the treated samples was subsequently studied by means of 4-point rotating bending tests and their fracture surfaces were analysed using SEM.

The best fatigue performance was obtained after shot peening with ceramic beads under 8A Almen intensity. The main difference in relation to the treatment performed under the same intensity but using steel cut wire shots was the much lower surface damage induced by the impacts with the ceramic shots compared with the cut wire projectiles, which in turn is justified by the greater geometric perfection and hardness of the former. Furthermore, fatigue specimens shot peened with ceramic beads under 8A intensity always gave rise to internal fatigue crack initiation, which took place outside the zone subjected to residual compressive stresses. Moreover, fatigue initiation was always linked to the presence of hard and rigid alumina inclusions, which acted as microstructural stress concentrators.
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Introduction

Shot peening is a widely used surface treatment in the aerospace and automotive industries to improve the fatigue life of metal components. When these components are submitted to bending or torsion loads and also when there are stress concentrators, the largest stress occurs in the surface and it is precisely here where fatigue cracks initiate under cyclic loads and then grow until failure of the component.

Shot peening is a mechanical surface treatment specially designed to improve the fatigue behaviour of engineering components. Shot peening consists in blasting tiny spherical beads or balls at high speed onto the metal surface of the component to induce a surface plastic deformation that produces a compressive residual stress profile with a sufficient depth to delay or even avoid the growth of surface cracks under fatigue loads. Shot peening also modifies the surface finish. Furthermore, if the intensity of the treatment is excessive, it can produce superficial defects that may facilitate the easy initiation of surface cracks and thereby impair the fatigue life of the product [1-3].

The optimal peening intensity to obtain the best fatigue performance, which depends on the hardness or the mechanical strength of the treated steel, has been studied by numerous researchers. For instance, Tekeli [4] applied peening intensities between 10A and 30A to quenched and tempered SA9245 steel, obtaining the highest fatigue strength when using a 20-25A peening treatment while also observing a clear decrease in the fatigue strength for the highest peening intensities. Likewise, Aggarwal et al. [5] studied the effects of shot peening treatments on the fatigue life of tempered martensitic steel with a tensile strength of 1256 MPa, obtaining the best fatigue performance with an intermediate Almen intensity of 17A. However, quite different shot peening intensities aimed at increasing the fatigue life of structural steels have been reported by other researchers. For example, an optimum shot peening treatment at quite a low intensity, 6A, was obtained by Torres and Voorwald [6] on a quenched and tempered 4340 steel grade with a tensile strength of 1864 MPa, while Vielma et al. [7] studied this same steel, though tempered at 590°C (with a tensile strength of 1197 MPa), finding that a 10A peening was the surface treatment which maximized the fatigue strength of this specific steel grade. Along this same line of research, Menéndez et al. [8,9] studied different grades of an AISI4340 steel obtained after quenching and tempering at different temperatures, with ultimate tensile strengths of between 764 to 2057 MPa, reporting optimal Almen intensity ranges of between 10A and 14A, with a maximum of around 16A for steel grades with intermediate tensile strengths. These authors also showed that, under optimal shot peening treatments, fatigue cracks initiated below the surface at a depth where the compressive residual stresses induced in the shot peening treatment disappeared. Hence, under these optimized treatments, the compressive layer is able to fully protect the surface region and crack initiation is displaced to...
Table 2 shows the values of the elastic modulus (E), yield strength (\(\sigma_y\)), ultimate tensile strength (\(\sigma_u\)), tensile elongation (e), reduction of area (RA) and the constants k and n of the Hollomon hardening law (\(\sigma=K\varepsilon^n\)), obtained in previous studies [8,9].

### Shot peening treatments

Shot peening treatments were performed using a direct compressed air machine (Guyson Euroblast 4 PF) with a 5 mm diameter nozzle, a distance between the nozzle and the workpiece of 230 mm and an impact angle of 90°, in accordance with the SAE J442 [12] and J443 [13] specifications.

These treatments were performed using two different types of shots: cut steel wire with rounded off edges (CW) with diameters of 0.3 mm, 0.5 and 0.7 and zirconia beads, Zirshot Y300 (ZS), with a diameter of 0.3 mm. Table 3 shows the most relevant shots characteristics and peening conditions. An Almen intensity of 8A was used in the comparison of both shots, though higher intensities were also applied with the steel shots. Coverages of 100% were applied and an additional coverage of 200% was also employed when using the ceramic shots.

Figure 1 shows SEM images of all the shots, steel cut wire (different sizes) and zirconia beads, while Figure 2 compares the 0.3 mm steel and ceramic projectiles at a higher magnification. The plastically deformed surface of the steel shots and the perfect spherical shape of the zirconia beads are worth noting.

### Roughness measurements

The roughness measurements were performed using a Mahr Marsurf M300 roughness tester. Roughness was measured in all the specimens after the different treatments. Five roughness profiles were plotted for each specimen and the mean value of the average roughness, Ra, and the maximum roughness, Rmax, parameters were obtained. Rmax is the maximum of the five Rmax within the assessment length of 4.8 mm, where Rmax is the maximum peak-to-valley height of the profile in each of the five aforementioned measurements.

### Determination of the compressive residual stress profile

Residual stress was determined by means of the X-ray diffraction technique using the sin^2ψ method on the flat specimens, while the FWHM parameter (diffraction peak width at half maximum height) was simultaneously measured as a parameter related with the induced hardening [14,15]. In order to define the residual stress profiles, thin

| C | Mn | Si | Ni | Cr | Mo | Cu |
|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 0.41 | 0.71 | 0.26 | 1.92 | 0.87 | 0.23 | 0.21 |

**Table 1:** Chemical composition of F1272 steel, in weight%.

| E (GPa) | \(\sigma_y\) (MPa) | \(\sigma_u\) (MPa) | e (%) | RA (%) | n | k (MPa) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 200 | 1596 | 2000 | 11 | 50 | 0.24 | 5029 |

**Table 2:** Tensile properties of F1272 steel (Q+T200°C).

| Shot type | CW0.3 | CW0.5 | CW0.7 | ZS0.3 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Granulometry (mm) | Steel | Steel | Steel | Zirconia |
| Hardness (HV) | 670-730 | 670-730 | 670-730 | 1000 |
| Density (g/cm³) | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 4.6 |
| Air pressure (bar) | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Almen intensity | 8A | 14A | 19A | 8A |
| Coverage | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100 and 200% |

**Table 3:** Shot characteristics and peening conditions.
layers of material were progressively removed step-by-step by electro-polishing using a mixture of 94% acetic acid and 6% perchloric acid as electrolyte under a voltage of 40-50V. The slight stress relaxation produced by electro-polishing was also taken into account and corrected in accordance with Sikarskie [16], who has developed a method based on the Moore and Evans procedure [17]. Diffraction data were determined in three different directions (-45, 0 and +45°) on the sample surface. However, as shot peening stresses are uniform in this plane, average results will be shown. Measurements were made on an X-Stress 3000 G3R device manufactured by Stresstech using the Kα chromium wavelength (λ=0.2291 nm), (211) diffraction planes, which give a diffraction angle around 156°, and an elastic constant, \(E/(1+\nu)\), of 168.9 GPa [18].

The procedure for determining the residual stress began with a surface measurement followed by removal of a layer of around 20 μm. This process was repeated as many times as necessary until reaching a depth at which a residual tensile stress was obtained. The residual stress was always measured on the centre of the specimen, which was previously marked with a permanent marker.

### Rotating fatigue tests

Finally, fatigue tests were carried out on a four-point loading R. R. Moore rotating beam fatigue testing system (fully reversed loading, \(R=-1\)) at room temperature under a nominal frequency of 95 Hz (5700 rpm). The geometry and dimensions of the fatigue specimens are shown in Figure 3. The maximum applied alternative surface stress was 1100 MPa (55% of the tensile strength of the steel). This load was chosen after performing different tests varying this parameter so that it was always above the steel fatigue limit and, in the case of the best treatments, not far from it. The number of specimens tested under each condition varied between 4 and 6. Fatigue results are expressed as an average of all the tested samples, although individual values are also reported. Once the specimens had failed, fractographic analyses were carried out under a SEM microscope to detect the origin of the fatigue crack and the fracture pattern.

### Results and Discussion

#### Roughness

Table 4 shows the values of the roughness parameters, \(R_a\) and \(R_{\max}\), measured directly on the fatigue samples after the different surface treatments.

As could be expected, a significant increase in roughness was detected by increasing the Almen intensity of the treatments when using the cut wire steel shots. However, a significant difference between the roughness generated by the steel and the ceramic beads was observed for the same intensity and coverage (8A, 100%): shot peening with the ceramic beads (ZS) produced much lower values of average and maximum roughness. These results are undoubtedly explained by the greater geometric perfection and homogeneity of the zirconia shots (Figures 1 and 2). On the other hand, the increase in the degree of coverage from 100 to 200% using the same ceramic shots resulted in an increase in the roughness parameters. However, it was verified in a previous study [19] that roughness is not the best parameter for verifying the existence of surface defects, such as folds and small cracks, typical in shot peening treatments. For this reason the surface topography of the specimens was also explored under the
scanning electron microscope, with representative results being shown in Figure 4.

Under the magnifications shown in Figure 4, differences among treatments are not relevant. However, under a greater magnification (Figure 5), surface defects induced by the mechanical treatments are clearly identified. The sample surface treated with the applied low intensity treatment using ceramic beads (SP8A-ZS) under coverages of 100% hardly produced any significant defect, while sample surfaces treated with the steel balls always showed small cracks and sharp notches due to shot impacts at high velocity. These results can be justified based on the shape of the shots, previously shown in Figures 1 and 2 (in this respect, the perfect spherical shape of the zirconia shots is once again worth highlighting). On the other hand, the applied treatment using ceramic beads under coverages of 200% finally gave rise to quite similar defects to those produced when using steel balls.

**Residual stress profiles**

Figure 6 shows the residual stress profiles generated after applying the studied surface treatments. The shapes of the profiles corresponding to the same intensity (8A) are quite similar, revealing a surface compressive residual stress with a magnitude of between 1000 and 1050 MPa and a maximum compressive residual stress of around 1200 MPa located at a depth of 60-70 μm followed by a progressive increase in stress until reaching small positive values, thus defining the depth affected by the residual compressive stress field. Only a small increase in the depth submitted to compressive residual stresses was observed when zirconia beads were used instead of steel shots (8A) and also when coverage was increased to 200% using the ceramic beads. The small profile change due to the use of the ceramic beads is justified by their greater hardness (Table 3) [3].

The residual stress profiles measured in the case of the highest intensity treatments (obtained using steel balls) were nearly similar at low depths (<70-80 μm), the greatest difference being the depth submitted to compressive residual stresses (denominated $Z_0$), which increases as the intensity of the treatment increases. The $Z_0$ values measured in all the mechanical treatments are shown in Table 5.

The profile of the full width at half maximum parameter (FWHM) can be seen in Figure 7. The evolution of this parameter in this high strength steel is worth highlighting. There is a clear increase in this parameter (hardening) near the surface of the samples, although this reaches a minimum at a depth of between 80 and 100 μm from the surface and then increases until reaching the level corresponding to the base steel (5.8°). The observed decrease in the FWHM parameter in this steel at the aforementioned depth, also observed in other high strength steels [9], is probably the result of dislocation re-arrangement. Due to quenching followed by a low temperature tempering, the base steel has a high distorted structure with a high hardness and peening-induced plastic deformation resulted in a lower-energy dislocation re-arrangement. This consequently gave rise to a reduction in the FWHM parameter in the sub-surface regions, although an increase in FWHM was observed in the first 80-100 μm.

Surface hardening produced by shot peening treatments is best represented as the difference between the superficial FWHM and the minimum FWHM (stabilized microstructure due to shot peening), values which are shown in Table 6. This index is a clear indication of the effect produced in the sample surface by the plastic deformation produced by the repeated shot impacts. Ceramic beads induce a higher hardening effect due their much higher hardness compared with steel shots (Table 3), this effect also being much more notable in the case of the 200% coverage treatment. It should likewise be recalled here that the excessive surface plasticity and hardening induced in the latter case also produced significant surface defects (Figure 5d).

**Fatigue lives**

Figure 8 shows the individual and the average fatigue life results obtained after the application of the studied mechanical treatments. The fatigue results obtained for the untreated specimens (NSP) are also shown for the sake of comparison. It should first be noted that all the applied surface treatments improved the fatigue behaviour of the untreated specimens at least 4-fold.

Shot peening with zirconia beads under an intensity of 8A and
100% coverage produced a much longer fatigue life than that obtained with the rest of the evaluated surface treatments. An average fatigue life under this high alternative stress greater than 900,000 cycles was measured, the lowest fatigue lives on individual specimens always being greater than 400,000 cycles, a fatigue life which was never attained in any individual test performed with the other treatments. The main distinctive characteristic of this treatment is the much lower surface damage induced by the impacts with the ceramic shots under 100% coverage (Figure 5), which in turn was already justified by the perfect spherical shape of these projectiles.

Moreover, Figure 8 also shows the major deterioration in fatigue life produced by prolonging the surface treatment when using zirconia beads. After the 200% coverage using zirconia beads, fatigue life decreased more than 10-fold due to the surface damage finally induced after such a prolonged mechanical treatment (Figure 5).

While greatly increasing the depth affected by the residual compression stresses (Table 5), the use of steel shots under higher intensities also induces much greater surface damage, which limits the aforementioned beneficial effect. Both effects, the depth submitted to compressive stresses and the magnitude of surface defects nearly offset one another. Hence, fatigue lives were hardly different when the intensity was varied between 8A to 19A using steel shots (average fatigue lives of between 40,000 and 60,000 cycles).

It should be recalled here that the fatigue tests were carried out under rotating bending loads, where the alternative applied stress (1100 MPa) reached its maximum value on the surface of the specimen, descending linearly until reaching zero on the specimen’s central axis.
located at a depth of 3 mm (specimens with a diameter of 6 mm). Under these conditions, fatigue cracks are expected to initiate on the surface of the specimen. Surface fatigue initiation took place in all the treatments using cut wire steel shots and also in those using ceramic beads under 200% coverage due to the previously mentioned surface defects. However, all the specimens treated with zirconia shots and 100% coverage failed due to fatigue cracks internally initiated at hard inclusions located at depths greater than 165 μm (value of $Z_0$ in Table 5). That is, fatigue crack initiation always took place in these tests in the region not affected by the residual compressive stresses induced by the mechanical treatment.

Representative examples of the fatigue failures observed in these tests are shown in Figure 9.

Figure 9a and 9b show a typical example of surface fatigue crack initiation in a surface defect produced by the high velocity impact of the cut wire steel shots, while the internal fatigue crack initiation in one of the specimens submitted to 8A intensity using zirconia beads and 100% coverage is presented in Figure 9c and 9d. A magnified image of the fatigue crack initiation zone can be seen in Figure 10. Internal crack initiation always took place around hard non-metallic inclusions, which were analysed under the scanning electron microscope, being in all cases mixed oxides of aluminium, magnesium and/or calcium.

A fatigue crack initiates internally when the stress concentration factor due to surface defects generated by shot peening is minimized. This is because the compressive residual stress belt induced in this case is able to avoid crack initiation on the surface region, consequently triggering an internal fatigue crack initiation process. In these cases, the stress intensity factor acting on the largest surface defect, provided by the effective stress ($\sigma_e$), which is in turn the sum of the applied ($\sigma_a$) and the residual ($\sigma_r$) stresses, was lower than the stress intensity factor acting on the largest inclusion present in the sub-surface region, which is submitted to a lower bending stress. However, the compressive residual stress also decreased at this location to a non-significant value (Figure 11).

Following this analysis, it may hence be stated that the so-called internal fatigue damage mechanism is non-dependent on surface characteristics, depending only on the microstructure of the steel, specifically on the existence of microstructural stress concentrators such as alumina or other types of hard non-metallic inclusions.

It is also worth highlighting that the dispersion of the SP8A-ZS (100%) fatigue results observed in Figure 6 is not due to the applied surface treatment, but to the statistical distribution of the hard and rigid inclusions present in this steel. Under this consideration, the best way to improve the fatigue strength of the steel, once the surface has been protected by means of an appropriate mechanical treatment, would be
of the fatigue crack at a depth where the residual compression stresses induced in the treatment disappeared and due to the stress concentration associated with the presence of hard and rigid non-metallic inclusions, even though the applied rotational bending fatigue tests always produced the maximum alternative stress on the surface of the test specimen.
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**Conclusions**

Hardly any significant defect was produced on surfaces treated with low intensity treatment using zirconia beads (SP8A-ZS) under 100% coverages, while sample surfaces treated with steel balls under the same intensity always showed small cracks and sharp notches caused by high velocity shot impacts. These results were justified by the perfect spherical shape of the zirconia shots.

The residual stress profiles produced after the application of the different surface treatments using different types of shots, intensities and coverages were very similar for depths lower than 70-80 µm, the main difference being the depth affected by the presence of compressive residual stresses, which slightly increases when using zirconia beads and with increasing coverage, the increase of this parameter being much more significant when the intensity of the treatment increases.

Shot peening with zirconia beads under an intensity of 8A and 100% coverage gave rise to a much longer fatigue life than that obtained with the rest of the evaluated surface treatments. The main distinctive characteristic of this treatment is the much lower surface damage induced by the impacts with the ceramic shots under 100% coverage, which was in turn already justified by the perfect spherical shape of these projectiles. Moreover, a major deterioration in the fatigue life was produced by prolonging the surface treatment with the zirconia beads. After a 200% coverage treatment, fatigue life decreased more than 10-fold due to the surface damage finally induced as a consequence of excessive plastic surface deformation following such a prolonged mechanical treatment.

Furthermore, the treatment with zirconia shots under an intensity of 8A and 100% coverage always gave rise to internal initiation of the fatigue crack.