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Abstract

Background: Neuroendocrine tumors have widespread and different clinical presentations and prognoses. This study was conducted to assess their survival time and prognostic factors in Iran. Materials and Methods: In a retrospective cohort study, 189 patients diagnosed of having neuroendocrine carcinoma were chosen. The tumor and clinical characteristics of the patients were modeled with a Cox proportional hazard approach. Survival was assessed using Kaplan-Meyer curves. Results: Crude median survival time was 30 months. Women survived longer than men (the median survival time for women was 40 and for men was 24 months). Age (≤60 vs >60 years old with hazard ratio (HR) of 2.43, 95% CI 1.3-4.5), primary pathology report (carcinoid vs. others with HR 8.2, 95% CI 1.6 and for >10 HR of 8.2, 95% with 95% CI 3.1-21.9), and chemotherapy with single drug (taking vs. not taking with a HR 2.2, 95% CI 1.1-4.8) had significant effects on overall survival of patients. Conclusions: Survival time in patients with neuroendocrine carcinomas is related to demographics, clinical characteristics, tumor histology, and subtype specific treatment. Keywords: Neuroendocrine neoplasms - carcinoid tumors - survival - Cox proportional hazard model - chemotherapy

Introduction

Neuroendocrine neoplasms (ICD OM rubrics of XX.XX) include heterogeneous families of tumors with complex and wide clinical behavior (Modlin et al., 2008). The incidence of neuroendocrine neoplasms in the United States is 2.5 to 5 cases per 100,000 persons per year (Modlin et al., 2003; Yao et al., 2008) The incidence of this tumor has not been evaluated in Iran. However, 8 neuroendocrine neoplasms cases per 100 cases of other cancers have been reported in a cancer center in Tehran (Sadighi et al., 2013).

Neuroendocrine systems include organs with cells that have the capability of amine precursor uptake and decarboxylation. Neuroendocrine carcinomas have been found in a wide range of organs and viscera in humans and their most common site of origin is in gastrointestinal and lung systems (Al-Khafaji et al., 1999; Kulve et al., 1999).

In lung, neuroendocrine tumors are divided into two categories: small cell and large cell (Harkema et al., 1992). Small cell carcinoma of the lung is highly malignant and has a poor prognosis (Junker et al., 2000). Patients with neuroendocrine carcinoma of gastrointestinal origin have also had metastases at the time of diagnosis. Stomach includes 33-55% of extrinsic pulmonary neuroendocrine carcinomas and other common sites of disease in gastrointestinal are the esophagus, pancreas and colorectal areas (Kang et al,2007; Brener et al., 2004).

Survival of patients with neuroendocrine carcinoma and its influential factors are different, so that the median survival of untreated patients is usually 4-6 months (Lee et al., 2007). The National Cancer Registry of Spain has reported that 67% of these patients had metastatic disease with a 1.7 month median survival (Galanis et al., 1997). In Sorbye and colleagues study with 305 patients, the median survival was 11 months (Sorbye et al., 2013). In this study the physical performance, tumor origin, serum LDH and elevated platelet count have had a significant effect on survival. In Moertel and colleagues study on patients with gastrointestinal neuroendocrine carcinoma, median survival was 19 months (Moertel et al., 1990).

In a study on patients with colorectal neuroendocrine carcinoma as the primary origin, median survival was 10 months and survival rates at 1, 2 and 3 years were 46%, 26% and 13%, respectively (Bernick et al., 2004). In 53 neuroendocrine carcinoma patients with gallbladder origin, median survival after chemotherapy was 8 months, and one and two-year survival rates were 28% and 0%, respectively (Fujii et al., 2004). In patients with metastatic colorectal neuroendocrine carcinoma, 5-year survival rate
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was 6 months (Garcia et al., 2010). In a study on 178 cases with neuroendocrine tumors of gastroenteropancreatic (GIP) tract, physical functional status, degree of tumor differentiation, distant metastasis and tumor type showed significant association to survival of the patients (Wang et al., 2012). As there are no reports on survival and prognostic factors related to neuroendocrine tumors in Iran, this study aimed to determine the survival time and prognostic factors among patients referred to Cancer Institute in Tehran from 2004 to 2010.

Materials and Methods

In a retrospective cohort study, 189 patients with different neuroendocrine tumors who had referred to our specialty cancer treatment center (the Cancer Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences) were evaluated. The survival time of patients was followed up by periodic visits and phone calls to their families. Survival time was defined from the time of diagnosis in our center until death of the patient or end of the study.

In this study the effect of different factors, such as sex, age, tumor location, disease stage, treatment type, primary pathologic diagnosis, grade of tumor, origin of disease, lymph node involvement, metastasis to other organs, necrosis, tumor metastasis, metastases location were evaluated. The Kaplan-Meier was used to evaluate the survival function and the Cox proportional hazards model was used to evaluate the prognostic values of factors related to the survival of the patients. All the analyses was performed using SPSS software version 16. Any p-value of less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant for comparisons.

Table 1. Characteristics of Patients with the Diagnosis of Neuroendocrine Carcinomas and Tumors Referred to Our Cancer Treatment Center

| Level                        | N (%) | Median Survival months | Lag log - rank statistics | P value |
|------------------------------|-------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Sex                          |       |                        |                           |         |
| Man                          | 100 (52.9) | 24                     | 3.9                       | 0.049   |
| Woman                       | 89 (47.1) | 40                     |                           |         |
| Diagnosis age                |       |                        |                           |         |
| Under 60 years old           | 137 (72.5) | 48                     | 27.1                      | 0.001   |
| 60 years old                | 52 (27.5) | 13                     |                           |         |
| Tumor grade                  |       |                        |                           |         |
| Differentiated               | 68 (36.6) | 96                     | 71.6                      | 0.001   |
| Low differentiation          | 53 (30.1) | 30                     |                           |         |
| Moderately differentiated    | 27 (15.3) | 15                     |                           |         |
| Without distinction          | 28 (15.9) | 11                     |                           |         |
| Tumor necrosis               |       |                        |                           |         |
| Yes                          | 113 (37.6) | 14                     | 36                        | 0.001   |
| No                           | 68 (62.4) | 76                     |                           |         |
| Chemotherapy                 |       |                        |                           |         |
| Yes                          | 130 (71.4) | 20                     | 12.2                      | 0.001   |
| No                           | 52 (28.6) | 32                     |                           |         |
| Metastasis                   |       |                        |                           |         |
| No                           | 73 (40.8) | 76                     | 163                       | 0.001   |
| Yes                          | 106 (59.2) | 16            |                           |         |
| Lymph node metastasis        |       |                        |                           |         |
| Yes                          | 378 (80.3) | 17                    | 10.3                      | 0.001   |
| No                           | 93 (19.7) | 53                     |                           |         |
| Surgery type                 |       |                        |                           |         |
| R0                           | 75 (39.7) | 76                     | 36.4                      | 0.001   |
| R1                           | 18 (9.5)  | 41                     |                           |         |
| R2                           | 16 (8.5)  | 12                     |                           |         |
| Biopsy only                  |       |                        |                           |         |
| <5                           | 68 (43.3) | 76                     | 32.8                      | 0.001   |
| 10-May                       | 73 (46.5) | 18                     |                           |         |
| >10                          | 16 (10.2) | 9                      |                           |         |
| Tumor size (cm)              |       |                        |                           |         |
| <5                           | 68 (43.3) | 76                     | 32.8                      | 0.001   |
| 10-May                       | 73 (46.5) | 18                     |                           |         |
| >10                          | 16 (10.2) | 9                      |                           |         |
| First diagnosis              |       |                        |                           |         |
| Carcinoid                    | 51 (27.4) | 114                    | 52                        | 0.001   |
| Adenocarcinoma               | 40 (21.5) | 13                     |                           |         |
| Round cell tumor             | 35 (18.8) | 13                     |                           |         |
| Undifferentiated carcinoma   | 32 (17.2) | 13                     |                           |         |
| Neuroendocrine               | 23 (12.4) | -                      |                           |         |
| Other tumors                 | 8 (2.7)  | 12                     |                           |         |

Results

A total of 189 patients (100 men and 89 women) with neuroendocrine carcinoma in different anatomical locations of the body were studied. The mean and median age of the subjects was 49.6±16.8 and 51 years old, respectively. 112 (52.3%) patients died before the end of study with a mean survival time of 47.8±3.7 months and median survival time of 30 months.

Women survived longer than men (the median survival time was 40 for women and 24 months for men); 1, 3 and 5 year survival rates were 0.75%, 0.45% and 0.33%, respectively. The one, three, and five year survival rates for men were 0.68%, 0.40%, and 0.26%, respectively. These figures for women were 0.75, 0.52 and 0.42. Figure
Table 2. Factors Affecting Survival Using the Cox Model in Our Study

| Risk factors          | Sub types        | Confidence interval |
|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Sex                   | Man              | 1                   |
|                       | Woman            | 0.74 (0.42-1.29)    |
| Diagnosis age         | 60<              | 1                   |
|                       | 60 = <           | 2.43 (1.33-4.48)    |
| Tumor grade           | No               | 1                   |
|                       | Yes              | 1.59 (0.79-3.5)     |
| Tumor necrosis        | Differentiated   | 1.13 (0.44-2.96)    |
|                       | Low differentiation | 1.12 (0.46-3.6)   |
|                       | Without distinction | 1.43 (0.54-3.8)  |
| Chemotherapy          | Yes              | 1                   |
|                       | No               | 2.22 (1.04-4.76)    |
| Metastasis            | No               | 1                   |
|                       | Yes              | (0.7-2.21) 1.24    |
| Lymph node metastasis | No               | 1                   |
|                       | Yes              | 2.57 (1.24-5.3)     |
| Surgery type          | R0               | 1                   |
|                       | R1               | 2.16 (0.78-6)       |
|                       | R2               | 2.23 (0.89-6.1)     |
|                       | Biopsy only      | 1.21 (0.6-2.4)      |
| Tumor size (cm)       | <5               | 1                   |
|                       | 10-May           | 3.1(1.59-6.1)       |
|                       | >10              | 8.2(3.1-21.9)       |
| Primary diagnosis     | Carcinoid        | 1                   |
|                       | Others           | 5.85 (2.4-14.3)     |

Figure 2. Probability of Survival Based on Sex of Patients (p=0.039)

Discussion

In this study, neuroendocrine carcinomas of different anatomical locations were studied. The primary source of tumor was gastrointestinal in 52 patients, chest in 33 patients, abdomen in 32 patients, head and neck in 25 patients and pelvic cavity in 16 patients. The primary origin of the tumor was unknown in 32 patients. Our results are in accordance with a similar conducted research in Turkey in which gastrointestinal and lung have been reported as major sites of neuroendocrine tumors (Yocel, et al 2013). In a study by Sorbye and colleagues the primary tumor site was pancreas and colon in 64 patients (Sorbye et al., 2013). In another study, many of these tumors were seen at the esophagus and colon (Brener et al., 2004). In Wang and colleagues report, 55% of these tumors had originated from the pancreas and rectum (Wang et al., 2012).

In this study, the estimated median survival was 30 months and three and five years survival rates were 45% and 33%, respectively. Although a Chinese report of lung neuroendocrine tumors showed median survival of 48 months, the 5-year-survival rate was 39.6% that is very close to our report (Zeng et al., 2013). These results are significantly better than Sorbye and colleagues (with a median survival of 11 months with 9.5% survival rate for three years).

High survival rate in the present study could be because of its patients’ low median age (51 years old) compared to a median age of 61 years old in Sorbye and colleagues. However, some studies have estimated a 5-year survival which is much higher than this study (Durante et al., 2009; Demirci et al., 2014).

In our study, the sex ratio (male to female) was 53% to 47%. The effect on survival was significant in univariate test but not significant in the presence of the other survival variables. In studies which this ratio was 50 to 50, no significant effect was observed on survival, except Garcia-Carbonero and colleagues who found gender as a significant prognostic factor (Garcia et al., 2010).

In this study, approximately 73% of the patients were more than 60 years old and age had an effect on survival. So persons with age <60 years old had 35 months more survival than patients with age >60 years old. Risk of death in patients >60 years old had a 2.5-fold increase in Garcia-Carbonero and colleagues (Garcia et al., 2010). 53% of patients were younger than 60 years old and age had a significant effect on their survival. However, in Wang and colleagues age had no effect on survival (Wang et al., 2012). This difference is probably because of difference in the follow-up period of their study. The median follow-up period in this study was approximately 5 times more than the other mentioned reports.

In different studies from Asian Pacific region surgery was a positive independent prognostic factor of patients’ survival (19, 20). Still surgical resection was generally a curative treatment in early stage tumor. Although 59% of our patients had metastatic disease at first diagnosis, 58% of all patients underwent radical surgery. So it is not surprising that surgery was a prognostic factor in univariate but not multivariate analysis.
In our study, patients who did not receive chemotherapy were 2.22 times more at risk of death than patients receiving chemotherapy. This effect was mainly related to single agent chemotherapy while combination chemotherapy was associated with a shorter lifespan. Sorbye and colleagues results were similar in treatment of neuroendocrine tumors (Sorbye et al., 2013). There is another study using combination of docetaxol and cisplatin in the treatment of metastatic neuroendocrine tumors of unknown origin regardless of differentiation of tumors (Demirci et al., 2014). However, only 3.4% of tumors were reported as well differentiated and toxicity was high.

In this study the risk of death was 2.57 times increased in patients who had metastasis, in line with earlier studies. Tumor size was the other effective factor on survival rate. By increasing tumor size, the risk of death was increased. In a study by Medrano-Guzmán and colleagues (2011), univariable analysis showed that tumor size was effective on survival. However, this variable had no effect in the presence of other variables.

The primary diagnosis of patients had effect on survival. So if patients were diagnosed with carcinoid tumor, had six times less risk of death than cases with other primary pathology reports. If first diagnosis of neuroendocrine tumor is done according to pathology, better differentiation of tumor cells can be seen. Patients can have better outcome than others with diagnosis based on supplementary studies. Our result is similar to another study from China which reported 13.1% typical carcinoid tumor with 75% 5-year-survival that is much more than small cell carcinomas (Wu et al., 2014).

In conclusion, if first diagnosis of neuroendocrine tumor is done according to pathology, patients can have better outcome than others with diagnosis based on molecular or immunohistochemistry studies. Survival with having neuroendocrine carcinomas is not only related to primary characteristics of patients and tumors, but also to choosing the best treatment available for subtype and stage of the disease.
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