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Abstract

We study the recursive relations for a quiver gauge theory with the gauge group $SU(N_1) \times SU(N_2)$ with bifundamental fermions transforming as $(N_1, \bar{N}_2)$. We work out the recursive relation for the amplitudes involving a pair of quark and antiquark and gluons of each gauge group. We realize directly in the recursive relations the invariance under the order preserving permutations of the gluons of the first and the second gauge group. We check the proposed relations for MHV, 6-point and 7-point amplitudes and find the agreements with the known results and the known relations with the single gauge group amplitudes. The proposed recursive relation is much more efficient in calculating the amplitudes than using the known relations with the amplitudes of the single gauge group.
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1. Introduction

Recently, we have witnessed the rapid progress in the calculation of the multiparton am-
plitudes. The inflection point is the seminal work by Witten [1], a twistor theoretical
reformulation of perturbative super Yang-Mills theory in terms of topological string the-
ory. Witten established a correspondence between multiparticle amplitude in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super
Yang-Mills on $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ and open string amplitude in the topological $B$ model in the Calabi-Yau
super manifold $\mathbb{C}P^{3|4}$. Maximally helicity-violating (MHV) amplitudes at tree level were
successfully reproduced. Motivated by this, subsequent progresses were made to give a
prescription for computing MHV and non-MHV amplitudes at the tree level and beyond.

Two important developments are worth mentioning, related to the current work. One
is the work done by Cachazo, Svrcek and Witten (CSW) [2], where the simple rules were
suggested for computing non-MHV amplitudes using the MHV amplitudes as vertices and
a simple off-shell description for the propagator. This method is extended to one-loop com-
putations in [3][4][5][6][7][8][9][10].

The other is the development of the BCFW recursive relation [11][12], where one can
find the recursive relation between an $n$-point gluon amplitude and amplitudes of smaller
number of external particles. Thus if we know just 3-point gluon amplitudes, general $n$-
point amplitudes can be worked out recursively. This recursion relation at the tree level is
extended to the case involving fermions in [13][14]. The extension of the recursion relation
to the one-loop computations is subsequently developed [15][16][17][18].

In this paper, we work out the tree level recursion relation and CSW rules for a quiver
gauge theory $SU(N_1) \times SU(N_2)$ with bifundamental fermions transforming as $(N_1, \bar{N}_2)$. Given that such quiver gauge theories are dual to the topological string on suitable super
orbifolds [22], this is a natural generalization of the recursive relation of a single gauge
group $SU(N)$, which can be regarded as a subamplitude of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills the-
ory. In addition, this work is relevant for the computation of multiparticle amplitudes of
the standard model in a high energy regime well above the Fermi scale [19][20][21]. In [1]
the MHV amplitudes were worked out using the topological string theory. Here we work
out more general amplitudes using the recursive relations. Furthermore, CSW relation is
suggested and is checked for the simplest cases.

The resulting recursive relation is easy to state. We are mostly interested in ampli-
tudes involving one bifundamental pairs $q\bar{q}$ and gluons of two gauge groups. The relevant
subamplitudes can be obtained by summing over subamplitudes of a gauge theory of a
single factor with order-preserving reshuffling of the gluons of two different gauge groups. The recursive relation of the product gauge group can be obtained by taking care of such reshuffling at the level of recursive relation directly.

If we have amplitudes invariant under such order preserving reshuffling up to \( n \)-point amplitudes, the \((n+1)\)-point amplitudes can be obtained using the similar recursive procedure to a single gauge group case together with the addition of the contributions generated by such reshuffling. The CSW relation can be obtained using the MHV amplitudes for the product gauge group as vertices and the usual off-shell prescription for the propagator.

The contents of this paper are as follows. In section 2 we review the basic spinor formalism and the BCFW recursive relations. In section 3 we provide relevant background and work out some examples of the recursive relations for the product gauge group. Section 4, 5 and appendix B provide detailed calculation results for MHV, 6-point, and 7-point amplitudes respectively. In section 6 finally, we work out the CSW relations. Several technical details are relegated to appendices.

Some of our conventions are as follows.

1. Particle 1 and 2 denote \(+\) helicity antiquark and \(−\) helicity quark respectively. We take all external momenta to be outgoing.
2. Particle 3, 4, \(\cdots\) denote gluons. (For the convenience, gluons of different gauge groups are not distinguished by notations such as bars.)
3. \(A_{a_{\cdot\cdot\cdot}d_{\cdot\cdot\cdot}f_{\cdot\cdot\cdot}}^{b_{\cdot\cdot\cdot}}\) denotes a subamplitude of the product gauge group theory. Here the superscripts denote all gluons and their helicities and the subscripts denote the gluons which belong to the first gauge group. For example, \(A_{3}^{4+5+3−6−}\) denotes a 6-point subamplitude where gluon \(3−\) belongs to the first gauge group and \(4+, 5+, 6−\) to the second. We omitted fermions since in most cases they are \(1+\) and \(2−\). If not the case, we specify them with extra superscripts in front of the gluons as \(A_{a_{\cdot\cdot\cdot}b_{\cdot\cdot\cdot}}^{(7^+9^−)−d_{\cdot\cdot\cdot}f_{\cdot\cdot\cdot}}\). e.g. \(A_{5}^{p^+2−5^+6−}\) denotes a 4-point subamplitude where \(P^+\) and \(2−\) are fermions and \(5^+\) and \(6−\) are gluons of the first and the second gauge group respectively. Finally, if the gluons are in numerical order, we omit the gluon indices and indicate only their helicities as \(A_{a_{\cdot\cdot\cdot}}^{b_{\cdot\cdot\cdot}c_{\cdot\cdot\cdot}d_{\cdot\cdot\cdot}}\). e.g. \(A_{34}^{−++\cdots}\) denotes a 7-point subamplitude where gluon \(3−\) and \(4−\) belong to the first gauge group and \(5+, 6+, 7+\) to the second.
4. \(A_{S}^{−}\) denotes a subamplitude of the single gauge group theory and \(A_{G}^{−}\) a purely gluonic subamplitude. The superscripts are the same as the cases of the product gauge group theory.
5. When there is no confusion, the term \textit{amplitude} is used in replacement for the term \textit{subamplitude}.

2. BCFW Recursive Relations

For the momentum $p_\mu$ of a massless particle in four dimension, one can use the spinor representation as, $p^{\dot{a}} = p^\mu \sigma^{\dot{a}}_\mu = \lambda^a_p \tilde{\lambda}^\dot{a}_p$ where $\lambda^a_p$ and $\tilde{\lambda}^\dot{a}_p$ are positive and negative chirality spinors respectively [23]. (On Minkowskian, $\tilde{\lambda}^\dot{a}_p = \lambda^a_{p'}$.) Moreover, the on-shell states of the particles in a scattering process, spinors and helicity vectors, can be expressed in terms of these momentum spinors. In result, a subamplitude of the scattering process is expressed in terms of only spinorial inner products, which are defined as $\langle ij \rangle = \epsilon_{ab} \lambda^a_p \lambda^b_{p'}$ and $[ij] = \epsilon_{ab} \lambda^a_p \lambda^b_{p'}$.

Before mentioning the BCFW recursion relation, let us list some notations used for the spinor expressions of the amplitudes [1][11].

$$t_{ijk\cdots} \equiv (p_i + p_j + p_k + \cdots)^2$$

$$\langle i | \sum_r p_r | j \rangle \equiv \sum_r \langle ir | rj \rangle$$

$$\langle i | (\sum_r p_r)(\sum_s p_s) | j \rangle \equiv \sum_r \sum_s \langle ir | rs \rangle \langle sj \rangle$$

$$[i | (\sum_r p_r)(\sum_s p_s) | j \rangle \equiv \sum_r \sum_s [ir | rs \rangle \langle sj \rangle$$

Now, the BCFW recursion relation states that a subamplitude is expressed as a sum over the products of two subamplitudes of smaller number of particles and a scalar propagator as,

$$A_n(\cdots, \hat{m}, \cdots, \hat{n}, \cdots) = \sum_S \sum_{h=\pm} A(\cdots, \hat{m}, \cdots, \hat{P}^h) \frac{1}{P^2} A(-\hat{P}^{-h} \cdots, \hat{n}, \cdots)$$

where

$$P = \sum_{\text{part}} p_i,$$

$$\hat{P} = P + \frac{P^2}{\langle m | P | n \rangle} \lambda_m \tilde{\lambda}_n,$$

$$\hat{p}_m = p_m + \frac{P^2}{\langle m | P | n \rangle} \lambda_m \tilde{\lambda}_n,$$

$$\hat{p}_n = p_n - \frac{P^2}{\langle m | P | n \rangle} \lambda_m \tilde{\lambda}_n$$

(2.3)
In (2.2), the sum $S$ is over all possible decompositions of external particles into two parts, keeping the overall orders of the particles determined according to the color factorization. On decomposition, $\mathcal{A}(\cdots, \hat{m}, \cdots, P^h)$, which we call a upper amplitude, is the amplitude of the particles of the first part and $\hat{P}$, whereas $\mathcal{A}(-\hat{P}^h \cdots, \hat{n}, \cdots)$, a lower amplitude, is the amplitude of the particles of the second part and $-\hat{P}$. We take, by convention, the sum in the first equation of (2.3) to be over all particles of the second part. In the recursive process, the reference particles $\hat{m}$ and $\hat{n}$ stay in the upper and lower amplitudes respectively.

We will call each element in the recursive sum a configuration and denote it as (particles in the upper amplitude $| h, -h |$ particles in the lower amplitude). For example, $(5+6-1^+2^-|+|3^+4^-)$ denotes $\mathcal{A}(5+, 6-, 1^+, 2^-, \hat{P}^+) \frac{1}{P^2} \mathcal{A}(-\hat{P}^-, \hat{3}^+, 4^-)$ where $P = 3 + 4$.

3. Recursive Relations for the Quiver Gauge Theory

Consider a quiver type gauge theory with product gauge group $SU(N_1) \times SU(N_2)$. Consider also quarks which transform in the bifundamental representation $(N_1, \bar{N}_2)$ under these gauge groups and their complex conjugates. Then the full multiparton amplitudes $\mathcal{M}$ involving a quark-antiquark pair with $n_1$ gluons of $SU(N_1)$ and $\bar{n}_2$ gluons of $SU(N_2)$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{M}(q, 1, \cdots, n_1; \bar{1}, \cdots, \bar{n}_2, \bar{q}) = \sum_{P(n_1), P(n_2)} (X^1 \cdots X^{n_1})_{ij} (Y^1 \cdots Y^{\bar{n}_2})_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} \\ \times \mathcal{A}_{N_1N_2}(q, 1, \cdots, n_1, \bar{q}; q, \bar{1}, \cdots, \bar{n}_2, \bar{q}) \quad (3.1)$$

Here the sum is over all permutations of $n_1$ gluons of $SU(N_1)$ gauge group between the quark and the antiquark and similarly of $\bar{n}_2$ gluons of $SU(N_2)$ gauge group between the antiquark and the quark. The $ij, \bar{i}\bar{j}$ indices refer to $SU(N_1)$ and $SU(N_2)$ color indices at the quark-antiquark pair. $X^A, Y^B$ are generators of $SU(N_1)$ and $SU(N_2)$ gauge groups, respectively.

Eq. (3.1) implies that the subamplitude $\mathcal{A}_{N_1N_2}$ is invariant under the all possible permutations of the gluons maintaining the order of both the first and the second set of gluons. We call this permutation the order preserving permutation (OPP). The invariance of the

---

1In purely gluonic cases and single gauge group cases there is only one overall order, but in product gauge group cases there exist several different overall orders generated by so called OPP(order preserving permutation), which is explained in section 4.
subamplitude under OPP is due to the fact that all Feynman diagrams with such permutations of the gluons have the same color factor \((X^1 \cdots X^{n_1})_{ij}(Y^{1} \cdots Y^{n_2})_{ji}\) and contribute to the same \(A_{N_1,N_2}\).

Though in the Feynman diagrams we should sum over all diagrams generated by OPP, the situation can be greatly improved if we encode the invariance under OPP directly for the BCFW type recursive relation. In our formalism, the amplitudes which are related by OPP are equivalent.

Suppose this type of equivalence holds up to \(n\)-point amplitudes. If we consider \((n+1)\)-point amplitudes, this could be obtained from the \(n\)-point amplitudes and lower ones using the recursive relation similar to the single gauge group case. The result is not invariant under OPP in general. We apply OPP again to the resulting configurations. Many of the OPP elements do not generate new amplitudes. We call an OPP reducible, which does not exchange the particles in the upper amplitude with those in the lower amplitude in the expression appearing in the recursive relation. Such a reducible OPP does not generate anything new, since the upper and the lower amplitudes are separately invariant under the OPP. Only an irreducible OPP, which is not a reducible OPP, can generate new configurations. For an \((n+1)\)-point amplitude, we just sum over all such configurations generated by irreducible OPP.

Also we know that in the Feynman diagram there are no vertices connecting gluons of different gauge groups in the product gauge group theory. This is translated to the rule in the recursive relation for the product gauge group that whenever we have amplitudes directly connecting gluons of different gauge groups without fermion lines, these should vanish.

Though the number of configurations tends to increase by OPP, but many of the configurations are vanishing. Let us express an \(n\)-point subamplitude in (3.1) as,

\[
A_{N_1,N_2} = \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \sum_{\text{OPP}} \sum_{\text{c.p.}} (n-k|k) \tag{3.2}
\]

where \((n-k|k)\) denotes a configuration with \((n-k+1)\)-point upper amplitude and \((k+1)\)-point lower amplitude and the last sum is over possible cyclic permutations. In (3.2), the last two sums are over all possible configurations with fixed \(k\). We call a collection of such configurations a number set, in the sense that the number of particles in the upper and the lower amplitudes are the same in the collection. Then we can show that in each number set there are at most two nonvanishing configurations, considering the helicity
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contribution of the internal line. In result, from the first sum in (3.2), there are at most $2(n - 3)$ nonvanishing configurations which contribute to an $n$-point product gauge group amplitude. This number of configurations is equal to that of a single gauge group amplitude. The detailed argument for this statement is given in appendix A.

On the other hand, the subamplitudes $A_{N_1 N_2}$ defined in (3.1) are readily obtained in terms of the single gauge group multiparton amplitudes $A$ involving a quark-antiquark pair [24],

$$A_{N_1 N_2}(q, 1, \cdots, n_1, \bar{q}, q, \tilde{1}, \cdots, \tilde{n}_2, \bar{q}) = \sum_{\text{OPP}} A(q, 1, \cdots, n_1; \tilde{1}, \cdots, \tilde{n}_2, \bar{q})$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

Here the sum over OPP renders all Feynman diagrams which connect directly the gluons of $SU(N_1)$ with those of $SU(N_2)$ to be canceled so that the equality is realized. Thus in principle the amplitudes of the product gauge group can be obtained from those of single gauge group with quarks transforming as fundamental representation. The right-hand side of (3.3) can be calculated either using the Feynman diagrams or the recursive relations worked out in [13][14].

However, this way of getting product gauge group amplitude is inefficient, since there are many terms in the sum of (3.3). In fact, for an $n$-point subamplitude, the number of configurations in the right-hand side of (3.3) is roughly, $nC_p$ (number of OPP) $\times$ $2(n - 3)$ (number of configurations for a single gauge group amplitude), where $p$ is the number of particles of the first gauge group. Whereas, as mentioned above, the number of configurations in our direct recursive calculation is at most $2(n - 3)$ and much smaller than that in the sum over single gauge group amplitudes in (3.3).

The final point is that in choosing the reference lines in computing the recursive relations we should choose the reference lines consistent with OPP. For example we cannot select two gluons of different gauge group as reference lines in recursive calculations. Such a selection is inconsistent with the OPP that reverses the order of any two gluons of different gauge groups, since the two reference lines cannot be permuted in the recursive relation.

**3.1. An example of configurations : $A_{34}^{+-+--}$**

In this case, gluon $3^+$ and $4^-$ belong to the first gauge group and gluon $5^+$ and $6^-$ belong to the second. Here the OPP generates all possible permutations of the gluons preserving the order of $3^+$ and $4^-$ and of $5^+$ and $6^-$. Then with these permutations and taking $2^-(\text{quark})$ and $3^+$ as reference lines, we get initially 56 configurations by the use of the of BCFW relation expressed in (2.2). All these configurations are listed in table I and some of them
are shown in figure 1. In table 1 each row represents the possible recursive diagrams given the order of the gluons as specified in the leftmost part and each column represents a different number set.

| OPP   | configurations                                      |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 3456  | (5+6−1+2−3+4−)1                                   |
| 3546  | (6−1+2−3+4−5+)2                                   |
| 3564  | (6−4+1+2−3+5+)7                                   |
| 5346  | (4−6+1−2−5+3+4+)10                                |
| 5364  | (6−4−1+2−5+3+4+)16                                |
| 5634  | (4−1+2−5+6−3+)24                                 |
|       | (1+2−5+6−3+4−)27                                 |

Table 1: All possible configurations for $A_{44}^{++}$

In the table, we numbered the configurations with superscripts and there are two configurations for each number since the internal line has two helicity compositions, ++ and −−. However, if either of the upper or the lower amplitude has external particles less than five, only one helicity composition of the internal line contributes. In result, there are actually 28 configurations in this case and we are not explicit about the helicity of the internal line in the table. At first glance, the number of configurations is much larger (by at least 6, which is the number of OPP, times) than that of the single gauge group case with the same helicity composition. However, as previously mentioned, the number reduces greatly since many of them are equivalent, so counted just once, and, what is better, some of them vanish by the property that there is no interaction between the gluons of different gauge groups.

Let us specify this reduction of the configurations in detail with the above example. At first, we should find configurations generated by reducible OPP and discard them in

---

2For the 4-point amplitudes, only nonvanishing amplitudes are MHV(=MHV) amplitudes. Therefore, the flip of any helicity of the nonvanishing amplitudes gives vanishing helicity compositions, such as (−+ ⋅ ⋅ ⋅ +) or (+− ⋅ ⋅ ⋅ −). For the 3-point amplitudes, both (−++) and (−−) amplitudes are nonvanishing. However, as explained in III, the upper amplitude of (−++) type and the lower amplitude of (−−) type vanish because of the choice of the reference momenta. See III.  

---
Fig. 1: Some configurations for \( A_{34}^{++--} \)

Each column of the table. For example, let us look into the configuration 15. The lower amplitude of 15 is a product gauge group amplitude \( A_{34}^{(1\hat{P}^-)3+4-6} \). However, this is equal to \( A_{34}^{(1\hat{P}^-)3+6-4-} \) (lower amplitude of the configuration 21) and \( A_{34}^{(1\hat{P}^-)6-3+4-} \) (lower amplitude of the configuration 26), since they are generated by OPP, \( 346 \rightarrow 364 \) and \( 346 \rightarrow 634 \) respectively, and an amplitude of a product gauge group theory is invariant under OPP. That is, the configurations 21 and 26 are generated by this reducible OPP from 15 hence are equivalent. We just keep configuration 15 for convenience. In the similar way, we can deduce that the configurations generated by irreducible OPP are 1 (=13=27), 2 (=5=11), 3 (=6=9=12=18=23), 4 (=7=10=16), 8 (=17=22), 14 (=20=25), 15 (=21=26), 19 (=24) and 28. See table 2.

Moreover, if either of the upper or the lower amplitude of one configuration has gluons of different gauge groups without any fermion (1+ or 2−), this configuration vanishes. This originates from the fact that there is no gluonic vertex where the gluons of different gauge groups are connected in the Feynman diagrams of the product gauge group theory. For instance, configuration 4 vanishes since there is no vertex connecting gluon 4 and 5, which
Table 2: Configurations related by irreducible OPP for $A_{34}^{+-+}$. Most of them vanish except 1 and 28.

belong to different gauge groups. Configurations 2, 3, 8, 14, and 19 are all discarded for the similar reason.

Now, there remain only 3 configurations, 1, 15 and 28. However, configuration 15 vanishes since the helicity along the fermion line should be conserved. In detail, the upper amplitude of 15 is $A \hat{S}^{(\bar{P}^+\bar{2}^-)5+}$, whereas $\hat{P}$ should be an antiquark and has positive helicity to have a nonvanishing amplitude.

Finally, there remain just two nonvanishing configurations, 1 and 28. As can be seen in table 2 these are the only nonvanishing configurations in each column, i.e. in each number set. This coincides with the statement mentioned above that there are at most two (allowing the two helicity compositions of the internal line) nonvanishing configurations in each number set. The general argument for the number of configurations appears in appendix A.

3.2. A Calculation Example : $A_{34}^{+-+}$

Once we construct all configurations for the recursive relation, the rest calculation is just the same as the gluonic and the single gauge group cases. In a configuration, the upper and the lower amplitudes have the particles of shifted momenta $\hat{p}_m$, $\hat{p}_n$ and $\hat{P}$. Then, in the sense that the final form of the amplitude should be expressed with the spinors of the external momenta only, we need to express the spinors of such shifted momenta as

$$
\lambda_{\hat{m}} = \lambda_m, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_{\hat{m}} = \tilde{\lambda}_m - \frac{P^2}{\langle m|P|n \rangle} \lambda_n, \\
\lambda_{\hat{n}} = \lambda_n + \frac{P^2}{\langle m|P|n \rangle} \lambda_m, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_{\hat{n}} = \tilde{\lambda}_n,
$$

(3.4)
\[
\langle \bullet | P[n] \rangle = \langle m | P[n] \rangle / \langle m \rangle P
\]

The denominators in the last line, \([\tilde{P}n]\) and \(\langle m | P \rangle\), appear always in pair in each configuration as \(\langle m | P[n] \rangle = \langle m | P[n] \rangle\), since each configuration should be invariant under the rescaling of the spinors of \(\tilde{P}\), \(\lambda_{\tilde{P}} \to t \lambda_{\tilde{P}}\) and \(\tilde{\lambda}_{\tilde{P}} \to t^{-1} \tilde{\lambda}_{\tilde{P}}\).

Let us calculate the configurations of the example of the previous subsection, \(A_{34}^{+++}\). There were two nonvanishing configurations, \((5^+6^-1^+2^-3^+4^-)\) and \((2^-5^+6^-3^+4^-1^+)\). The first one is,

\[
\langle 5^+6^-1^+2^-3^+4^- \rangle = A_{\tilde{P}}(1^+2^-)\tilde{P}-5^+6^- \frac{1}{t_{34}} A_{G}\tilde{P}^+3^+4^- = -[15]^3[25] \frac{1}{[2\tilde{P}]\tilde{P}[25][56][61] \langle 34 \rangle [34] [34] [1\tilde{P}]}
\]

\[
\langle 15 \rangle [24]^3 [153] [34] [156] [61] [23] [34] [34] [34] [34] [1\tilde{P}] = \langle 2|3 + 4|1 \rangle
\]

where the last equality was obtained applying \(3.4\) to this case as,

\[
\tilde{\lambda}_2 = \tilde{\lambda}_2 - \frac{t_{34}}{[2|3 + 4|3]} \tilde{\lambda}_3,
\]

\[
\tilde{\lambda}_3 = \tilde{\lambda}_3,
\]

\[
\langle \tilde{P} \bullet \rangle = \frac{\langle 2|3 + 4|\bullet \rangle}{\langle 2\tilde{P} \rangle}
\]

Similarly, we get the second configuration,

\[
\langle 2^-5^+6^-3^+4^-1^+ \rangle = A_S(\tilde{P}^+2^-)\tilde{P}^+5^+6^- \frac{1}{t_{341}} A_S(1^+2^-)\tilde{P}^+3^+4^- = \frac{\langle 26 \rangle \langle \tilde{P} 6 \rangle}{\langle 25 \rangle \langle 56 \rangle \langle 6\tilde{P} \rangle \langle \tilde{P} 2 \rangle} \frac{1}{t_{341}} A_S(1^+2^-)\tilde{P}^+3^+4^- = \frac{\langle 26 \rangle \langle \tilde{P} 3 \rangle}{\langle 25 \rangle \langle 56 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle [41] [23] [34] [34] [1\tilde{P}]}
\]

\[
\langle 26 \rangle \langle \tilde{P} 3 \rangle = \langle 2|3 + 4|1 \rangle
\]

where we used

\[
\lambda_2 = \lambda_2,
\]

\[
\tilde{\lambda}_3 = \tilde{\lambda}_3,
\]

\[
\langle \bullet \tilde{P} \rangle = \frac{\langle \bullet | 3 + 4 + 1|3 \rangle}{\langle \tilde{P} 3 \rangle},
\]

\[
\langle \tilde{P} \bullet \rangle = \frac{\langle 2|3 + 4 + 1|\bullet \rangle}{\langle 2\tilde{P} \rangle}
\]

The above result for \(A_{34}^{++-}\) agrees with the result by the direct use of Feynman rules and with the sum over corresponding single gauge group amplitudes as in \(3.3\).
3.3. Getting Amplitudes from Other Amplitudes: Exchanging Gluon Indices

As can be seen in (3.1) and (3.3), an amplitude of the product gauge group theory is invariant under OPP. For example, \( A_{34}^{3-4+5+6} \) is equal to \( A_{34}^{3-4-5+6+} \). Using this property of product gauge group amplitudes, we can calculate all amplitudes from some primitive amplitudes, which are defined below, just by exchanging gluon indices appropriately. For instance, we deduce that \( A_{3}^{3-4+5-6+} \) can be obtained from \( A_{34}^{3-4+5-6+} \) by exchanging gluon indices 4 and 5, since \( A_{35}^{3-4+5-6+} \) is equal to \( A_{35}^{3-5-4+6+} \), which becomes \( A_{34}^{3-4-5+6+} \) with 4 and 5 exchanged. In the similar way, we easily notice that \( A_{345}^{3-4+5-6+} \) is equal to \( A_{3}^{3-5-4+6+} \) with 3 → 6, 4 → 3, 5 → 4 and 6 → 5.

Then we can define, by convention, the primitive amplitudes as the amplitudes in which gluons of different gauge groups are completely split and the number of the first gauge group gluons is less than or equal to the half of the total number of the gluons. In the case of 4-point and 5-point amplitudes, there is just one class of primitive amplitudes, \( A_{3}^{3-} \). For 6-point and 7-point cases, there are two, \( A_{3}^{3-} \) and \( A_{34}^{34} \). In general, for N-point function, there are \([N/2 - 1]\) classes of primitive amplitudes. In this paper, we only give the results of the primitives.

We calculated all primitive MHV amplitudes and six and seven point primitive next-to-MHV amplitudes and compared the results with the sums of single gauge group amplitudes numerically, by the use of (3.3). For the sum over the single gauge group amplitudes, we used previous results of 6-point amplitudes in [13] and new results of 7-point amplitudes (appendix C) which were calculated recursively as well. All results are given in the section 4 and appendix B.

4. All MHV Amplitudes

For the convenience of the proof, all primitive MHV amplitudes can be divided into several cases according to the number of the gluons that belong to each gauge group and the location of the \(-\) helicity gluon. In table 3 are listed these cases with reference momenta and the corresponding configurations. In the table, \( a \) is the gluon with \(-\) helicity and \( i, j \) are the numbers of the gluons of the first and second gauge group respectively. For example, in case 5, gluon 3 belongs to the first gauge group and gluon 4, 5, \( \cdots \) belong to the second, where the \(-\) helicity gluon is one of 4, 5, \( \cdots \). As before, particle 1\(^+\) and 2\(^-\) are the antiquark and the quark respectively. Similar conventions are used in the other cases.
In each case there is just one configuration by judicious choice of the reference lines, giving expected simple result for the MHV amplitude,

\[
\langle \phi \rangle^3 \langle 1 \rangle \langle 2 \rangle \langle \text{\cdots} 1 \rangle \langle 2 \rangle \langle \text{\cdots} 1 \rangle \\
\langle \phi \rangle^3 \langle 1 \rangle \langle 2 \rangle \langle \text{\cdots} 1 \rangle \langle 2 \rangle \langle \text{\cdots} 1 \rangle
\]

where \( \bullet \) represent the gluons of each gauge group in the order specified by the color factorization. For the MHV amplitudes, the similar construction of configurations gives expected result,

\[
[1 \rangle^3 [2 \rangle \\
[2 \rangle \langle \text{\cdots} 1 \rangle [2 \rangle \langle \text{\cdots} 1 \rangle
\]

In the followings, we provide the calculation results of the configurations in Table 3. Each result has the form of (4.1).

\[
\text{case 1} = -\frac{\langle 2a \rangle^3 \langle 1a \rangle}{\langle 2 \rangle \langle \text{\cdots} 1 \rangle \langle 2 \rangle \langle \text{\cdots} 1 \rangle} \langle 34 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 4 \rangle \langle \text{\cdots} 1 \rangle \langle 2 \rangle \langle \text{\cdots} 1 \rangle
\]

\[
= -\frac{\langle 2a \rangle^3 \langle 1a \rangle}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 4 \rangle \langle \text{\cdots} 1 \rangle \langle 2 \rangle \langle \text{\cdots} 1 \rangle}
\]

\[
\text{case 2} = -\frac{\langle 2\hat{P} \rangle^3 \langle 1\hat{P} \rangle}{\langle 2\hat{P} \rangle \langle \text{\cdots} 1 \rangle \langle 2 \rangle \langle \text{\cdots} 1 \rangle} \langle 34 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 4 \rangle \langle \text{\cdots} 1 \rangle \langle 2 \rangle \langle \text{\cdots} 1 \rangle
\]

\[
= -\frac{\langle 24 \rangle^3 \langle 14 \rangle}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 4 \rangle \langle \text{\cdots} 1 \rangle \langle 2 \rangle \langle \text{\cdots} 1 \rangle}
\]
5. 6-Point Next-to-MHV Amplitudes

In this section, we present the configurations and the calculation results of 2 primitive classes of 6-point next-to-MHV amplitudes, $A_{34}$ and $A_3$. For each amplitude, we provide the corresponding nonvanishing configurations and the calculation result. All results are compared to the sum over the corresponding single gauge group amplitudes via the equality described in (3.3). We give the examples of this equality for the case of $A_{34}^{3+4-5+6^-}$ and
$A_{34}^{+4,+5,-6^-}$ in Table 4. In each column of the table, the product gauge group amplitude in the first row is the sum over the single gauge group amplitudes in the rows below. For other product gauge group amplitudes, we can similarly find the corresponding single gauge group amplitudes which contribute to the sum.

| $A_{34}^{3+4+5+6^-}$ | $A_{3}^{3+4+5+6^-}$ |
|----------------------|----------------------|
| $A_{34}^{3+4+5+6^-}$ | $A_{3}^{3+4+5+6^-}$ |
| $A_{34}^{3+4+5+6^-}$ | $A_{3}^{3+4+5+6^-}$ |
| $A_{34}^{6+3+4^-}$   | $A_{3}^{6+3+4^-}$   |
| $A_{34}^{5+4-6^-}$   | $A_{3}^{5+4-6^-}$   |
| $A_{34}^{3+5-6^-}$   | $A_{3}^{3+5-6^-}$   |
| $A_{34}^{5+3+4^-}$   | $A_{3}^{5+3+4^-}$   |
| $\sum A_{S}$        | $A_{3}^{5+3+6^-}$   |

**Table 4:** Product gauge group amplitude as a sum over single gauge group amplitudes

In the case of $A_{34}$, two of the amplitudes are obtained from others by using the scheme of section 3.3. For instance, $A_{34}^{3^-4^+5^-6^+}$ is obtained from $A_{34}^{3^+4^+5^-6^-}$ by exchanging 3 with 5 and 4 with 6, since $A_{34}^{3^+4^+5^-6^-}$ is equal to $A_{34}^{-3^-6^-3^+}$. Similarly, we get $A_{34}^{3^-4^+5^-6^-}$ from $A_{34}^{3^+4^+5^-6^-}$ by the same substitution. In the case of $A_{3}$, there are no relationships like these. We can get any other amplitude from these 2 classes of primitive amplitudes. In each helicity composition, the configurations are obtained using the similar computations of section 3. As shown below, there are only 2 or 3 configurations for each amplitude.

### 5.1. $A_{34}$

$$A_{34}^{3^-4^+5^-6^-} = (3^-4^+1^+2^-|^{+-}|5^+6^-) + (2^-3^-|^{+-}|5^+6^+4^-1^+)$$
$$= \frac{\langle 2|3 + 4|1 \rangle}{\langle 25 \rangle \langle 56 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 62 + 3 \rangle} + \frac{\langle 4|2 + 3|5 \rangle}{t_{234} \langle 61 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 62 + 5 \rangle} \quad (5.1)$$

$$A_{34}^{3^-4^+5^-6^-} = (2^-3^-|^{+-}|5^+6^-1^+) + (2^-3^-5^-6^-|^{+-}|4^+1^+)$$
$$= -\frac{\langle 51 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle |52 + 3|4 \rangle}{t_{234} \langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 56 \rangle \langle 61 \rangle \langle 13 + 4 \rangle} - \frac{\langle 31 \rangle \langle 26 \rangle |31 + 4|6 \rangle}{t_{134} \langle 25 \rangle \langle 56 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 41 \rangle \langle 13 + 4 \rangle} \quad (5.2)$$

$$A_{34}^{3^-4^+5^-6^-} = (3^-4^+1^+2^-|^{+-}|5^+6^-) + (2^-3^-4^-|^{+-}|5^+6^-1^+) + (2^-3^-|^{+-}|5^+6^-4^+1^+)$$
$$= -\frac{[14]^2 \langle 26 \rangle \langle 62 + 3 \rangle}{t_{256} \langle 25 \rangle \langle 56 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 25 + 6 \rangle \langle 62 + 5 \rangle} \quad (5.3)$$
\[ A^{++}_{34} = A^{++}_{34}(3 \leftrightarrow 5, 4 \leftrightarrow 6) \] (5.4)

\[ A^{+-}_{34} = (5^{+}6^{-1}+\hat{t}^{-}\hat{t}^{-3}+4^{-}) + (\hat{t}^{-}5^{+}6^{-}-\hat{t}^{-}3^{+}4^{-1}+) \]
\[ = \frac{(24)^{3}[15]^{3}}{t_{234}(23)(34)[56][61][2]^{3}+4} - \frac{(26)^{3}[13]^{3}}{t_{341}(25)[56][41][2]^{3}+4} \] (5.5)

\[ A^{--}_{34} = A^{++}_{34}(3 \leftrightarrow 5, 4 \leftrightarrow 6) \] (5.6)

5.2. \( A_{3} \)

\[ A^{-++}_{5} = (1^{+}2^{-}3^{-}\hat{t}^{-}+\hat{t}^{-}5^{+}6^{+}) + (2^{-}\hat{t}^{-}+\hat{t}^{-}3^{-}5^{+}6^{+1}+) \]
\[ = \frac{[4]^{5}+6[2][4]^{5}+6[1]}{t_{123}[23][31][45][56][6][5]+4[2]} + \frac{[25][3]^{2}+4[5]^{2}}{t_{245}[24][45][6][5]+4[2]} \] (5.7)

\[ A^{++}_{3} = (2^{-}3^{-4}^+5^-++\hat{t}^-\hat{t}^-6^+1^+) + (4^+\hat{t}^-+\hat{t}^-6^+1^+2^-3^-) + (2^-4^+\hat{t}^-+\hat{t}^-3^-6^+1^+) \]
\[ = -\frac{\langle 51 \rangle[5]^{6}+1[4]^{3}}{t_{245}[23][56][61][5]^{6}+1[3][1]+6[5]+4} \]
\[ + \frac{\langle 23 \rangle^{2}[46]^{4}}{t_{123}[45][56][2][4]+5[6][1]+6[5]+4} \]
\[ + \frac{[16]^{2}[25]^{3}[5]^{3}+1[6]}{t_{245}[24][45][31][2]+3+1[6][5]+6[1]+3]} \] (5.8)

\[ A^{+++}_{3} = (6^{-1}+\hat{t}^-\hat{t}^-3^-+\hat{t}^-\hat{t}^-4^+5^+) + (1^+\hat{t}^-+\hat{t}^-4^+5^+6^-) + (\hat{t}^-3^-+\hat{t}^-4^+5^+6^-1^+) \]
\[ = \frac{(23)^{2}[45]^{3}}{t_{123}[56][1][5]+6[4][2][4]+5[6]} \]
\[ - \frac{\langle 24 \rangle[5]^{6}+1[3][2][4]+5[6]}{t_{245}[23][56][5]+2+4[3][2]+4+5[6]} \]
\[ + \frac{[6]^{2}[2]+3[4]^{3}}{t_{234}[23][56][5]+2+4[3][1]+2+3[4]} \] (5.9)

\[ A^{++}_{3} = (2^{-}3^{+}4^{-}\hat{t}^{-}+\hat{t}^-\hat{t}^-6^+1^+2^-3^-) \]
\[
A_3^{+-+} = (1^+2^-3^+4^-5^+6^-) + (2^-3^+4^-5^+6^-1^+) + (2^-4^-5^+6^-1^+)
\]
\[
= \frac{\langle 5|6+1\rangle^2}{t_{561} \langle 56 \rangle} \langle 24|16+5|4 \rangle \frac{\langle 14+5|6\rangle^2}{t_{123} \langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 |45 \rangle \langle 56|16+5|4 \rangle}
\]
\[
A_3^{++-} = (1^+2^-3^+4^+5^-6^-) + (6^-1^+2^-3^+4^+5^-)
\]
\[
= \frac{\langle 25+6|4 \rangle^3}{t_{123} \langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 |45 \rangle \langle 56|24+5|6 \rangle} \frac{\langle 13|25 \rangle^3}{t_{245} \langle 24 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle \langle 61|24+5|6 \rangle}
\]

6. CSW Relations

We denote the diagrams of the CSW calculation as,
\[
\underbrace{\cdots i^\pm \cdots j^\pm \cdots}_{\text{MHV vertex}} \cdots (6.1)
\]
where each part enclosed by parentheses represents a certain MHV vertex and \(i^\pm, j^\pm, \cdots\) denote the external particles in each MHV vertex. For example, \((1^+2^-)(3^-4^+5^+)(6^-7^-)\) represents the diagram in fig 2.

![Fig. 2: CSW diagram of (1^+2^-)(3^-4^+5^+)(6^-7^-)](image-url)

The CSW diagrams for the product gauge group amplitude are obtained in similar way that the configurations of the recursive relations are constructed. That is, we weave all possible MHV vertices considering all possible OPP, that is, allowing all permutations between gluons of different gauge group, but preserving the order of gluons of each gauge group.
The MHV amplitude for the product gauge is given by

\[ A_{3}^{-+} = (2^{-3})(4^{-5}+1^+) + (4^{-5}+1^+)(1^+2^{-3}) + (2^{-4})(3^{-5}+1^+) + (3^{-1}+2^{-4}5^+) \]

\[ = \frac{\langle 4|2 + 3|w^2 \rangle 14}{[3w]|23]\langle 45\rangle(51)\langle 1|2 + 3|w \rangle} - \frac{\langle 3|2 + 4|w^2 \rangle 45}{[4w]|24]\langle 51\rangle\langle 2|1 + 3|w \rangle - \frac{\langle 3|2 + 4|w^2 \rangle 13}{[5w]|31]\langle 24\rangle(45)\langle 51\rangle\langle 1|2 + 3|w \rangle - \frac{\langle 4|2 + 3|w^2 \rangle 14}{[15]^3[25]}
\]

\[ = \frac{\langle 233\rangle(P3)}{\langle 23\rangle(P2)\langle 3P\rangle} + \frac{\langle 233\rangle(P4)}{\langle 23\rangle(P2)\langle 3P\rangle} + \frac{\langle 4|2 + 3|w^2 \rangle 14}{[3w]|23]\langle 45\rangle(51)\langle 1|2 + 3|w \rangle} \]

\[ = \frac{\langle 233\rangle(P3)}{\langle 23\rangle(P2)\langle 3P\rangle} + \frac{\langle 233\rangle(P4)}{\langle 23\rangle(P2)\langle 3P\rangle} + \frac{\langle 4|2 + 3|w^2 \rangle 14}{[3w]|23]\langle 45\rangle(51)\langle 1|2 + 3|w \rangle} \]

where \( |P \rangle = (p_2 + p_3) \cdot w = |2\rangle[2w] + |3\rangle[3w] \) was used. If we take \( w \) as one of \( |i\rangle \), we get the final equality (numerically), which is a correct MHV amplitude for the product gauge group theory as expressed in (4.2). We give below the calculation results of two six point next-to-MHV amplitudes with different helicity compositions. Each amplitude agrees with the result calculated by the use of recursive relations in section 5.

\[ A_{34}^{-++} = (3^{-4})(5^6+1^+2^-) + (2^{-3})(4^{-5}+6^+1^+) \]

\[ + (4^{-6}+1^+)(2^{-3}5^+5^-) + (4^{-1}+1^-)(2^{-3}5^+6^+) \]

\[ = \frac{\langle 23|4|w^2 \rangle 14}{[3w]|23]\langle 45\rangle(56)\langle 61 \rangle} - \frac{\langle 3|2 + 4|w^2 \rangle 56}{[4w]|23]\langle 56\rangle\langle 61\rangle\langle 5|2 + 3|w \rangle - \frac{(23)^2\langle 4|1 + 6|w \rangle^2}{t_{235}\langle 61\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 61\rangle\langle 5|2 + 3|w \rangle} + \frac{\langle 4|2 + 3|5\rangle^2}{[1w]^2(23)^2}
\]

\[ = \frac{\langle 23|4|1\rangle^2}{(25)|56\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 41\rangle\langle 62 + 5|3 \rangle} + \frac{\langle 4|2 + 3|5\rangle^2}{t_{235}\langle 61\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 62 + 5|3 \rangle} \]
\[
A_{34}^{++} = (5^{-6}+1^{2}3^{-4}+3^{-4}+5^{-6}+1^{2}2^{-} + (2^{-}3^{-})(4^{+}5^{-6}+1^{+}) \\
+ (2^{-}3^{+})(3^{-4}+6^{+}1^{+}) + (5^{-6}+1^{+})(2^{-}3^{-}4^{+}) + (3^{-4}+1^{+})(2^{-}5^{-6}+1^{+}) \\
= \frac{[6w]^{3}\langle 23 \rangle^{2}\langle 13 \rangle}{[5w][56]\langle 34 \rangle\langle 41 \rangle\langle 2|5 + 6|w\rangle|1|5 + 6|w\rangle} \\
+ \frac{(15)\langle 5|2 + 3|w\rangle^{2}}{[3w][23]\langle 41 \rangle\langle 56 \rangle\langle 61 \rangle\langle 4|2 + 3|w\rangle} \\
- \frac{t_{234}\langle 56 \rangle\langle 34 \rangle\langle 1|5 + 6|w\rangle|2|3 + 4|w\rangle|4|2 + 3|w\rangle}{(15)(23)^{2}\langle 5|6 + 1|w\rangle^{2}\langle 3|2 + 4|w\rangle} \\
+ 3 \leftrightarrow 5, 4 \leftrightarrow 6 \text{ of above terms} \\
= - \frac{(51)\langle 24 \rangle\langle 5|2 + 3|4\rangle^{2}}{t_{234}\langle 23 \rangle\langle 34 \rangle\langle 56 \rangle\langle 61 \rangle\langle 1|3 + 4|2\rangle} \\
- \frac{(31)\langle 26 \rangle\langle 3|1 + 4|6\rangle^{2}}{t_{134}\langle 25 \rangle\langle 56 \rangle\langle 34 \rangle\langle 41 \rangle\langle 1|3 + 4|2\rangle} \\
(6.5)
\]
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Appendix

A. Number of Configurations

In general, if we put aside the helicity effects which make some configurations vanishing, the number of configurations in a product gauge group amplitude does not exceed that of a single gauge group amplitude. This can be shown in the following way.

In section 3 we constructed a collection of all configurations with the same number of particles in the upper (or lower) amplitude and denoted the collection as a number set. For a single gauge group amplitude, if we take two neighboring particles as reference lines, there are evidently at most two (considering the two helicity compositions, (−+) and (+−), of the internal line) configurations in a number set. Then how many configurations are there in a number set of a product gauge group amplitude? To check this, it is convenient to classify the configurations into two cases according to the helicity of the first gluon (namely
In the first case, where $3$ has positive helicity, let us take $2^−$ and $3^+$ as reference lines. Then there are, according to the locations of $1^+(\text{antiquark})$ and $2^−(\text{quark})$, possibly two types of configurations,

$$\left( \left( \ldots \hat{3}^+ \ldots \right) \right)_{\text{g}}$$

$$\left( \left( \ldots 2^− \ldots \right) \right)_{\text{g}'}$$

(A.1)

where $g$ denote the gluons of the gauge group to which $3^+$ belongs and $g'$ the gluons of the other gauge group. Note that two types of configurations in (A.1) belong to different number sets.

The second case, where $3$ has negative helicity, has at least one pair of adjacent gluons, $n^−$ and $(n + 1)^+$, which are in the same gauge group. If we take this two gluons as reference particles, there are three types of configurations,

$$\left( \left( \ldots \hat{n}^− \ldots \right) \right)_{\text{g}}$$

$$\left( \left( \ldots n^− \ldots \right) \right)_{\text{g}'}$$

(A.2)

where $g$ denote the gluons of the gauge group including $n^−$ and $(n + 1)^+$ and $g'$ the gluons of the other. Again these three types belong to different number sets.

Now suppose each of the above types of configurations is nonvanishing. Then if we want to make other configurations from each configuration preserving the number set, we should perform irreducible OPP or overall cyclic permutation including $1^+$ and $2^−$. In fact, with these permutations we can, from one configuration in a number set, generate all the other configurations which belong to the same number set. The other permutations give the configurations which belong to other color bases. We see that, from each type of configurations, it is impossible to generate different nonvanishing configurations in the same number set. This means that each of the above types is, if nonvanishing, the only configuration in each number set.

Let us examine this argument in detail with the first configuration of (A.1). Suppose we want to change the configuration into a different one which belongs to the same number set. Then if we move a particle from the upper amplitude to the lower amplitude, we
should move another particle from the lower amplitude to the upper amplitude to preserve
the number set. Now, let us try to move one of \( g' \) in the upper amplitude to the lower
amplitude. Then from the lower amplitude we should move one of \( g \), one of \( g' \), or \( 1^+ \) to
the upper amplitude. In the case of one of \( g \), it is impossible to move the particle since
the order of \( g \) particles should be preserved in the OPP. It is also impossible to move one
of \( g' \), because to move it to the upper amplitude it is permuted with the one moved from
the upper amplitude and this is not permitted in OPP. Finally, we cannot move \( 1^+ \) to the
upper amplitude, since the resulting lower amplitude consists of only gluons of different
gauge groups without \( q\bar{q} \) pair and vanishes. In result, it is impossible to make a different
nonvanishing configuration without changing the number set. Note that the reducible OPP
generates the same configuration.

By similar arguments, we confirm that the other types of configurations in (A.1) and
(A.2) are the only nonvanishing configurations in each number set. In result, for the case of
product gauge group amplitudes, each number set has at most two configurations. (Each
type has two configurations allowing two helicity compositions of the internal line, as in
the case of single gauge group amplitudes.) This means that, as asserted, the number of
configurations for a product gauge group amplitude is equal to or smaller than that of a
single amplitude.

If we consider the configurations that do not contribute because of the helicity com-
positions, the situation may get better. In product gauge group amplitudes, all types of
vanishing configurations owing to the helicity compositions in single gauge group amplitudes
also vanish and there is another type of vanishing configuration such as \((2^- \cdots |^+| \cdots 1^+)\),
which appeared in section 3.1. This type of configuration does not contribute since internal
particle \( \hat{P} \) is a fermion and should have opposite helicity to \( 2^- (1^+) \) in the upper(lower)
amplitude.

B. 7-Point Next-to-MHV Amplitudes

We present here just the results of 7-point primitive amplitudes, \( A_{34} \) and \( A_3 \), without explicit
configurations. All results coincide with the sums over the single gauge group amplitudes
as expected from (3.3). The 7-point single gauge group amplitudes used in the comparison
are given in appendix C.
B.1. $A_{34}$

\[ A_{34}^{-+++} = -\frac{(2/3 + 4|1)^2}{[34][41]}\langle 25\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 67\rangle\langle 7|1 + 4|3\rangle \]
\[ + \frac{t_{147}\langle 71\rangle\langle 25\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 6|5 + 2|3\rangle\langle 7|1 + 4|3\rangle}{(4|3 + 2|5)^2} \]
\[ + \frac{t_{235}[23]\langle 67\rangle\langle 71\rangle\langle 6|5 + 2|3\rangle}{(B.1)} \]

\[ A_{34}^{-++=} = \frac{(31\langle 5|6 + 7|2\rangle\langle 5|6 + 7\rangle(1 + 4)|3)^2}{t_{567}t_{134}\langle 56\rangle\langle 67\rangle\langle 34\rangle\langle 41\rangle\langle 7|6 + 5|2\rangle\langle 1|4 + 3|2\rangle} \]
\[ + \frac{t_{256}\langle 25\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 71\rangle\langle 34\rangle\langle 7|6 + 5|2\rangle}{(15|24\langle 5|2 + 3|4)^2} \]
\[ - \frac{t_{234}[23][34]\langle 56\rangle\langle 67\rangle\langle 71\rangle\langle 1|4 + 3|2\rangle}{(B.2)} \]

\[ A_{34}^{+++} = -\frac{(61\langle 31\rangle\langle (31\langle 6|2 + 3|5\rangle + \langle 63\rangle\langle 41\rangle\langle 45\rangle)^3}{\langle 67\rangle\langle 71\rangle\langle 34\rangle\langle 41\rangle\langle 1|4 + 3|2\rangle\langle 1|7 + 6|5\rangle\langle 3\rangle\langle 2 + 5\rangle\langle 6 + 7\rangle\langle 1\rangle\langle 6\rangle(2 + 5\rangle\langle 3 + 4\rangle\langle 1\rangle} \]
\[ + \frac{t_{567}\langle 56\rangle\langle 67\rangle\langle 34\rangle\langle 41\rangle\langle 2|5 + 6|7\rangle\langle 1|7 + 6|5\rangle}{(31|26)^3\langle 3|4 + 1|7\rangle^2\langle 6|2 + 5|7\rangle} \]
\[ - \frac{t_{256}t_{134}\langle 25\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 34\rangle\langle 41\rangle\langle 2|5 + 6|7\rangle\langle 6\rangle(2 + 5\rangle\langle 3 + 4\rangle\langle 1\rangle}{(16|23)^2\langle 6|7 + 1|4\rangle^2\langle 3|2 + 5|4\rangle} \]
\[ + \frac{t_{671}t_{235}\langle 25\rangle\langle 67\rangle\langle 71\rangle\langle 5|2 + 3|4\rangle\langle 3\rangle(2 + 5\rangle\langle 6 + 7\rangle\langle 1\rangle}{(16|24\langle 6|2 + 3|4)^3} \]
\[ - \frac{t_{234}[23][34]\langle 56\rangle\langle 67\rangle\langle 71\rangle\langle 5|2 + 3|4\rangle\langle 1|4 + 3|2\rangle}{(B.3)} \]

\[ A_{34}^{−−−} = \frac{(31\langle 23\rangle^2\langle 56\rangle^3}{t_{567}\langle 67\rangle\langle 34\rangle\langle 41\rangle\langle 1|7 + 6|5\rangle\langle 2|5 + 6|7\rangle} \]
\[ - \frac{t_{134}\langle 25\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 34\rangle\langle 41\rangle(3|4 + 1|7\rangle\langle 2|5 + 6|7\rangle\langle 6\rangle(2 + 5\rangle\langle 3 + 4\rangle\langle 1\rangle}{(31|3\rangle\langle 7|2 + 3|5\rangle + \langle 73\rangle\langle 41\rangle\langle 45\rangle)^3} \]
\[ - \frac{(67\langle 34\rangle\langle 41\rangle\langle 1|4 + 3|2\rangle\langle 1|7 + 6|5\rangle\langle 3\rangle(2 + 5\rangle\langle 6 + 7\rangle\langle 1\rangle\langle 6\rangle(2 + 5\rangle\langle 3 + 4\rangle\langle 1\rangle}{(23|2\rangle^2\langle 14\rangle^2\langle 3|7 + 1|4\rangle} \]
\[ + \frac{t_{471}\langle 25\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 71\rangle\langle 6|7 + 1|4|\rangle\langle 3|4 + 1|7\rangle}{(23|2\rangle^2\langle 7|6 + 1|4\rangle^3\langle 3|2 + 5|4\rangle} \]
\[ - \frac{t_{671}t_{235}\langle 25\rangle\langle 67\rangle\langle 5|2 + 3|4\rangle\langle 6|7 + 1|4\rangle\langle 3\rangle(2 + 5\rangle\langle 6 + 7\rangle\langle 1\rangle}{(24\langle 7|2 + 3|4)^3} \]
\[ + \frac{t_{234}[23][34]\langle 56\rangle\langle 67\rangle\langle 1|4 + 3|2\rangle\langle 5|2 + 3|4\rangle}{(B.4)} \]
\[ A_{34}^{+-+-} = -\frac{(24)^3\langle 5|6 + 7|1\rangle^2\langle 5|6 + 7|2 + 3|4\rangle}{t_{234}t_{567}(23)\langle 34|56\rangle\langle 67|2 + 4\rangle\langle 4|2 + 3|5 + 6|7\rangle} + \langle 56\rangle\langle 67|7|6 + 5|2\rangle\langle 7|1 + 4|3\rangle\langle 7|1 + 4|2 + 3|5\rangle\langle 4|2 + 3|5 + 6|7\rangle}{(25)^2[13]^3\langle 5|4 + 1|3\rangle} - \frac{t_{134}[41]\langle 56\rangle\langle 67|7|1 + 4|3\rangle\langle 2|3 + 4|1\rangle}{(25)^2\langle 4|7 + 1|6\rangle^3\langle 5|2 + 3|6\rangle} + \frac{t_{471}t_{235}\langle 71|23\rangle\langle 3|2 + 5|6\rangle\langle 7|1 + 4|2 + 3|5\rangle}{[26]\langle 4|2 + 5|6\rangle^3} + \frac{t_{256}[56]\langle 34\rangle\langle 71|3|2 + 5|6\rangle\langle 7|6 + 5|2\rangle}{[26]\langle 4|2 + 5|6\rangle^3} \quad (B.5) \]

\[ A_{34}^{+-+-} = \frac{[17]^2(24)^3(26)^3\langle (26|4|3 + 2|7\rangle + \langle 42|56|57\rangle)}{(23)\langle 34\rangle\langle 25|56\rangle\langle 2|5 + 6|7\rangle\langle 2|3 + 4|1\rangle\langle 2|3 + 4\rangle\langle 7 + 1|6\rangle\langle 2|5 + 6\rangle\langle 7 + 1|4\rangle}{(26)^3[4|1 + 7|3]^3} - \frac{t_{147}t_{256}\langle 71|25\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 7|1 + 4|3\rangle\langle 2|5 + 6\rangle\langle 7 + 1|4\rangle}{[13]^3\langle 26|^3\langle 6|1 + 4|3\rangle} - \frac{t_{134}[41]\langle 25|56\rangle\langle 67|7|4 + 1|3\rangle\langle 2|3 + 4|1\rangle}{\langle 24|^3\langle 71|^4}\langle 57\rangle^4} + \frac{t_{567}\langle 23\rangle\langle 34|56\rangle\langle 67|1|7 + 6|5\rangle\langle 2|5 + 6|7\rangle}{\langle 16\rangle\langle 24|^3\langle 6|7 + 1|5\rangle^3} + \frac{t_{671}t_{234}\langle 23\rangle\langle 34|67\rangle\langle 71|1|7 + 6|5\rangle\langle 2|3 + 4\rangle\langle 7 + 1|6\rangle}{\langle 26\rangle^3} \quad (B.6) \]

\[ A_{34}^{+-+-} = -\frac{(24)^3\langle 2|5 + 6|1\rangle^3}{\langle 23\rangle\langle 34\rangle\langle 25|56\rangle\langle 71|\langle 2|5 + 6|7\rangle\langle 2|3 + 4|1\rangle\langle 2|3 + 4\rangle\langle 7 + 1|6\rangle}{(27)^3[13]^3} - \frac{t_{341}[41]\langle 25|56\rangle\langle 67|\langle 2|3 + 4|1\rangle}{\langle 24|^3\langle 56\rangle^3} + \frac{t_{567}\langle 23\rangle\langle 34|67\rangle\langle 1|7 + 6|5\rangle\langle 2|5 + 6|7\rangle}{\langle 24|^3\langle 7|1 + 6|5\rangle^3} - \frac{t_{671}t_{234}\langle 23\rangle\langle 34|67\rangle\langle 1|7 + 6|5\rangle\langle 2|3 + 4\rangle\langle 7 + 1|6\rangle}{\langle 26\rangle^3} \quad (B.7) \]

\[ A_{34}^{+-+-} = \frac{\langle 61\rangle\langle 6|7 + 1|3 + 4\rangle\langle 2\rangle^2}{t_{671}\langle 23\rangle\langle 34|67\rangle\langle 71|\langle 1|7 + 6|5\rangle\langle 4|3 + 2|5\rangle}{\langle 61|\langle 6|5 + 2|3\rangle^2} + \frac{t_{235}\langle 41|67\rangle\langle 71|25\rangle\langle 4|3 + 2|5\rangle}{\langle 2|5 + 6|7\rangle^2\langle 1|5 + 6|7\rangle} - \frac{t_{567}[56|67|\langle 23\rangle\langle 34|41\rangle\langle 1|7 + 6|5\rangle}{\langle 26\rangle^3} \quad (B.8) \]

\[ A_{34}^{+-+-} = \frac{\langle 57\rangle^4\langle 2|3 + 4|1\rangle^2}{t_{567}\langle 23\rangle\langle 34|56\rangle\langle 67\rangle\langle 5|6 + 7|1\rangle\langle 4|2 + 3\rangle\langle 5 + 6|7\rangle} \]
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\[ A_{34}^{++--} = \frac{\langle 26 \rangle^3 \langle 3 + 4 | 1 \rangle^2}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 25 \rangle \langle 56 \rangle \langle 71 \rangle \langle 2 | 5 + 6 | 7 \rangle \langle 2 | 5 + 6 | 1 + 7 \rangle \langle 4 \rangle}
- \frac{\langle 26 \rangle^3 \langle 7 | 1 + 4 \rangle^2}{\langle 25 \rangle \langle 2 | 7 + 6 | 5 \rangle^3}
- \frac{\langle 25 \rangle \langle 2 | 5 | 6 \rangle \langle 1 + 7 \rangle \langle 4 \rangle}{\langle 256 \rangle \langle 7 | 1 + 4 \rangle \langle 2 | 5 | 6 \rangle \langle 1 + 7 \rangle \langle 4 \rangle}
- \frac{\langle 2 | 7 + 6 | 5 \rangle^3}{\langle 256 \rangle \langle 2 | 5 | 6 \rangle \langle 1 + 7 \rangle \langle 4 \rangle}
\]

B.2. \( A_3 \)

\[ A_{3}^{---} = \frac{\langle 4 | 3 + 2 | 1 \rangle^2 \langle 4 | 3 + 1 | 2 \rangle}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle \langle 56 \rangle \langle 67 \rangle \langle 7 | 1 + 3 | 2 \rangle}
+ \frac{\langle 4 | 5 + 6 | 2 \rangle \langle 4 | 5 + 6 | 7 + 1 \rangle \langle 3 | 2 + 4 | 5 \rangle^2}{\langle 256 \rangle \langle 4 | 5 \rangle \langle 6 | 5 + 4 | 2 \rangle \langle 7 | 1 + 3 | 2 \rangle}
+ \frac{\langle 25 | \langle 3 | 2 + 4 | 5 \rangle^2}{\langle 245 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle \langle 67 \rangle \langle 71 \rangle \langle 6 | 5 + 4 | 2 \rangle}
\]

\[ A_{3}^{---} = \frac{\langle 5 | 2 + 3 | 4 \rangle^3}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 3 | 2 + 4 | 3 \rangle \langle 67 \rangle \langle 71 \rangle \langle 5 | 2 + 4 | 3 \rangle \langle 1 | 2 + 3 | 4 \rangle}
+ \frac{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 5 | 6 + 7 | 4 \rangle^4}{\langle 25 \rangle \langle 5 | 6 + 7 | 1 \rangle^2 \langle 5 | 1 + 3 | 6 + 7 \rangle \langle 5 \rangle}
+ \frac{\langle 24 \rangle \langle 5 | 6 \rangle \langle 31 | \langle 5 | 2 + 4 | 3 \rangle \langle 7 | 1 + 3 | 2 + 4 | 5 \rangle \langle 2 | 2 | 1 + 3 \rangle \langle 6 + 7 | 5 \rangle}{\langle 45 \rangle \langle 5 | 6 \rangle \langle 67 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle \langle 2 | 5 | 6 \rangle \langle 7 | 1 + 3 \rangle \langle 2 + 4 | 5 \rangle}
+ \frac{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 46 \rangle^4}{\langle 245 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle \langle 71 \rangle \langle 2 | 4 + 5 | 6 \rangle \langle 7 | 6 + 5 | 4 \rangle}
\]

\[ A_{3}^{---} = \frac{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle \langle 61 \rangle^4}{\langle 67 \rangle \langle 71 \rangle \langle 1 | 7 + 6 | 3 \rangle \langle 2 | 4 + 5 | 6 \rangle \langle 6 + 7 | 1 \rangle \langle 1 | 2 + 3 | 4 \rangle \langle 6 \rangle \langle 61 \rangle \langle 7 + 1 | 4 + 5 \rangle \langle 2 \rangle^3}
- \frac{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 61 \rangle \langle 7 + 1 | 4 + 5 \rangle \langle 2 \rangle^3}{\langle 67 \rangle \langle 61 \rangle \langle 7 + 1 | 4 + 5 \rangle} \]
\[
\begin{align*}
A_{3}^{++++} &= \frac{\langle 23 \rangle^{2}(2|4 + 5|6)^{3}}{[67]^{3}(24)(45)(2|3 + 1|7)(5|(6 + 7)(1 + 3)|2)(2|(4 + 5)(6 + 7)|1)} \\
&\quad + \frac{\langle 24 \rangle(45)(56)[71](6|7 + 1|3)(2|3 + 1|7)^{3}}{(2)(4 + 5)(6 + 1)|7^{3}} \\
&\quad + \frac{t_{671}(24)(45)(67)(5|4 + 2|3)(6|7 + 1|3)(2|(4 + 5)(6 + 7)|1)}{\langle 23 \rangle^{2}(7|6 + 5|4)^{3}} \\
&\quad + \frac{t_{567}t_{123}(56)(67)(1|2 + 3|4)(5|6 + 7)(1 + 3)|2)}{\langle 7|2 + 3|4 \rangle^{3}} \\
&\quad + \frac{t_{234}[23](56)(67)(5|4 + 2|3)(1|2 + 3|4)}{[23][56]} (B.15) \\
A_{3}^{+-----} &= \frac{\langle 51 \rangle(5|2 + 4|3)^{2}}{t_{234}[24](56)(67)(71)(1|2 + 3|4)} \\
&\quad - \frac{\langle 5|(6 + 7)(3 + 2)|1\rangle(5|(6 + 7)(3 + 1)|2^{2}}{t_{567}t_{123}(23)(3)(56)(67)(7|6 + 5|4)(1|2 + 3|4)} \\
&\quad - \frac{\langle 2|4 + 5|6 \rangle^{3}(4 + 5|6|6^{2}}{t_{450}[45][56](23)(31)(71)(7|6 + 5|4)} (B.15) \\
A_{3}^{+-+-} &= \frac{\langle 45 \rangle(46)(46)^{4}(6|7 + 1|3)^{2}}{\langle 45 \rangle(56)(67)(71)(6|5 + 4|2)(4|3 + 2)(1 + 7)|6\rangle(5|4)(3 + 2)|1)} \\
&\quad + \frac{t_{671}t_{234}(23)(67)(71)(1|7 + 6|5)(3|2 + 4|5)(4|3 + 2)(1 + 7)|6)}{\langle 16 \rangle(24)(6|7 + 1|5)^{3}(4|3 + 2|5)} \\
&\quad - \frac{t_{245}[24][45](67)(71)(31)(3|2 + 4|5)(6|5 + 4|2)}{\langle 46 \rangle^{4}(4|2 + 3|2)|2 + 3|7 \rangle^{2}} \\
&\quad - t_{123}t_{456}(23)(31)(45)(56)(4|5 + 6|7)(1|2 + 3)(4|5)|6) \\
&\quad + \frac{t_{567}(23)(31)(56)(67)(4|5 + 6|7)(1|7 + 6|5)}{\langle 14 \rangle(24)(24)|57 \rangle^{4}} (B.16) \\
A_{3}^{+-+-} &= \frac{[13]^{2}(47)^{4}}{t_{123}(45)(56)(67)(7|1 + 3|2)(4|3 + 2|1)}
\end{align*}
\]
\[ A_{3}^{;++++} = \frac{\langle 61 \rangle (\langle 61 \rangle \langle 25 \rangle 3 \langle 6 \rangle \langle 7 \rangle + 1 \langle 3 \rangle 2)}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle \langle 67 \rangle \langle 71 \rangle [\langle 45 \rangle \langle 67 \rangle \langle 71 \rangle [\langle 4 \rangle \langle 5 \rangle 6 \langle 7 \rangle (1 + 3) \langle 2 \rangle]} \]

\[ A_{3}^{;++++} = \frac{\langle 57 \rangle 4 \langle 2 \rangle \langle 1 \rangle \langle 3 \rangle \langle 4 \rangle 3}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle \langle 67 \rangle \langle 71 \rangle [\langle 45 \rangle \langle 67 \rangle \langle 71 \rangle [\langle 4 \rangle \langle 5 \rangle 6 \langle 7 \rangle (1 + 3) \langle 2 \rangle]} \]

\[ A_{3}^{;++++} = \frac{\langle 2 \rangle \langle 4 \rangle \langle 5 \rangle 6 \langle 7 \rangle (1 + 3) \langle 2 \rangle}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle \langle 67 \rangle \langle 71 \rangle [\langle 4 \rangle \langle 5 \rangle 6 \langle 7 \rangle (1 + 3) \langle 2 \rangle]} \]

\[ A_{3}^{;++++} = \frac{\langle 67 \rangle 3 \langle 2 \rangle \langle 1 \rangle \langle 3 \rangle \langle 4 \rangle 3}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle \langle 67 \rangle \langle 71 \rangle [\langle 4 \rangle \langle 5 \rangle 6 \langle 7 \rangle (1 + 3) \langle 2 \rangle]} \]
C. 7-Point $A_S$

We provide all 7-point next-to-MHV single gauge group amplitudes, which are used in the comparison with the results of the 7-point product gauge group amplitudes.

\[
A_S^{++++} = -\frac{\langle 4|3 + 2|1\rangle^2\langle 4|3 + 1|2\rangle}{t_{123}[12][23]\langle 45\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 67\rangle\langle 71 + 2|3\rangle} + \frac{\langle 4|5 + 6|7 + 1|2\rangle^2\langle 4|5 + 6|7 + 2|1\rangle}{t_{456}t_{712}\langle 71\rangle\langle 12\rangle\langle 45\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 65 + 4|3\rangle\langle 71 + 2|3\rangle} + \frac{\langle 2|3 + 4|5\rangle^2\langle 13 + 4|5\rangle}{t_{345}[34]\langle 45\rangle\langle 67\rangle\langle 71\rangle\langle 12\rangle\langle 65 + 4|3\rangle} \tag{C.1}
\]

\[
A_S^{+++\cdot} = -\frac{\langle 35|4\rangle\langle 5|6 + 7|1\rangle^2\langle 5|6 + 7|2\rangle}{[12]\langle 34\rangle\langle 45\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 67\rangle\langle 54 + 3|2\rangle\langle 5|6 + 7|1 + 2|3\rangle\langle 71 + 2|3 + 4|5\rangle} + \frac{\langle 35|4\rangle\langle 2|1 + 7|6\rangle^2\langle 1|2 + 7|6\rangle}{t_{712}\langle 71\rangle\langle 12\rangle\langle 34\rangle\langle 45\rangle\langle 53|4 + 5|6\rangle\langle 71 + 2|3 + 4|5\rangle} + \frac{(13)(23)^2|46|^4}{t_{456}\langle 71\rangle\langle 12\rangle\langle 45\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 56 + 4|3\rangle\langle 3 + 4|6\rangle} - \frac{(13)(23)^2(5|6 + 7|4)^4}{t_{567t_{123}}\langle 12\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 67\rangle\langle 12 + 3|4\rangle\langle 71 + 2|3 + 4|5\rangle} + \frac{(15)|24|2 + 3|4|^3}{t_{234}[23]\langle 34\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 67\rangle\langle 71\rangle\langle 54 + 3|2\rangle\langle 1 + 2|3\rangle} \tag{C.2}
\]

\[
A_S^{++\cdot\cdot} = -\frac{\langle 31|32\rangle^2\langle 3|4 + 5|7\rangle}{[12]\langle 34\rangle\langle 45\rangle\langle 67\rangle\langle 34 + 5|6\rangle\langle 32 + 1|7\rangle\langle 5|6 + 7|1 + 2|3\rangle\langle 3|4 + 5|6 + 7|1\rangle} - \frac{\langle 31|32\rangle\langle 34 + 5|6\rangle\langle 1 + 7|6\rangle^3}{t_{345t_{671}}\langle 17\rangle\langle 76\rangle\langle 34\rangle\langle 45\rangle\langle 54 + 3|2\rangle\langle 67 + 1|2\rangle\langle 3|4 + 5|6 + 7|1\rangle} + \frac{(36)|17|^2|27|^3}{t_{712}\langle 34\rangle\langle 45\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 12\rangle\langle 32 + 1|7\rangle\langle 6|7 + 1|2\rangle} + \frac{(13)(23)^2|45|^3}{t_{456}\langle 71\rangle\langle 12\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 71 + 2|3 + 4|6\rangle\langle 3|4 + 5|6\rangle} - \frac{(13)(23)^2(6|5 + 7|4)^4}{t_{567t_{123}}\langle 12\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 67\rangle\langle 12 + 3|4\rangle\langle 71 + 2|3 + 4|5\rangle} - \frac{(16)|24|6 + 3|4|^3}{t_{234}[23]\langle 34\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 67\rangle\langle 71\rangle\langle 54 + 3|2\rangle\langle 1 + 2|3\rangle} \tag{C.3}
\]

\[
A_S^{++\cdot\cdot\cdot} = -\frac{\langle 3|7 + 2|1\rangle\langle 3|7 + 1|2\rangle}{t_{712}\langle 71\rangle\langle 12\rangle\langle 34\rangle\langle 45\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 32 + 1|7\rangle\langle 6|7 + 1|2\rangle} - \frac{(13)(23)^2|34 + 5|6|^3}{t_{345t_{671}}\langle 17\rangle\langle 76\rangle\langle 34\rangle\langle 45\rangle\langle 54 + 3|2\rangle\langle 67 + 1|2\rangle\langle 3|4 + 5|6 + 7|1\rangle} + \frac{(13)(23)^2|34 + 5|6|^3}{t_{712}\langle 34\rangle\langle 45\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 12\rangle\langle 32 + 1|7\rangle\langle 6|7 + 1|2\rangle} + \frac{(16)|24|6 + 3|4|^3}{t_{456}\langle 71\rangle\langle 12\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 71 + 2|3 + 4|6\rangle\langle 3|4 + 5|6\rangle} - \frac{(13)(23)^2|34 + 5|6|^3}{t_{567t_{123}}\langle 12\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 67\rangle\langle 12 + 3|4\rangle\langle 71 + 2|3 + 4|5\rangle} - \frac{(16)|24|6 + 3|4|^3}{t_{234}[23]\langle 34\rangle\langle 56\rangle\langle 67\rangle\langle 71\rangle\langle 54 + 3|2\rangle\langle 1 + 2|3\rangle} \tag{C.4}
\]
\[ A_S^{++-+} = - \frac{(15) \langle 5|4 + 2|3 \rangle^3}{t_{234}[34][56] \langle 67 \rangle \langle 71 \rangle [1|2 + 3|4] \langle 5|4 + 3|2 \rangle} - \frac{(16) \langle 4|3 + 2|5 \rangle \langle 6|7 + 1|5 \rangle^3}{t_{671} t_{234} \langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 67 \rangle \langle 71 \rangle [2|3 + 4|5] \langle 1|7 + 6|5 \rangle \langle 6|7 + 1|2 \rangle^3} + \frac{(14) \langle 24 \rangle^3 [57]^4}{t_{567} \langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle [56] \langle 67 \rangle \langle 4|5 + 6|7 \rangle \langle 1|7 + 6|5 \rangle} + \frac{(23) \langle 34 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle \langle 56 \rangle \langle 4|3 + 2|1 \rangle \langle 4|5 + 6|7 \rangle \langle 4|5 + 6|7 \rangle \langle 7 + 1|2 \rangle \langle 6|7 + 1|2 \rangle^4}{t_{345} \langle 67 \rangle \langle 71 \rangle [12] \langle 34 \rangle [45] \langle 6|5 + 4|3 \rangle \langle 2|3 + 4|5 \rangle \langle 46 \rangle^4 [1|2 + 7|3] [2|1 + 7|3]^3} - \frac{(13) \langle 72 \rangle^3 [4|5 + 6|3]^4}{t_{456} \langle 71 \rangle \langle 12 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle \langle 56 \rangle \langle 7|1 + 2|3 \rangle \langle 6|5 + 4|3 \rangle [4|5 + 6|7 \rangle \langle 7 + 1|2 \rangle} - \frac{(23) \langle 34 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle \langle 56 \rangle [71] \langle 4|5 + 6|7 \rangle \langle 4|3 + 2|1 \rangle \langle 6|7 + 1|2 \rangle \langle 2|1 + 7|6 \rangle \langle 6 + 5|4 \rangle}{t_{567} \langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle [67] \langle 1|7 + 6|5 \rangle \langle 4|5 + 6|7 \rangle} \]
\[ + \frac{(24)^3(4|2 + 3|5)(7|1 + 6|5)^3}{t_{671}t_{234}(23)(34)(67)(23 + 4|5)(1|7 + 6|5)(6)(7 + 1)(2 + 3)|4)} \]

\[ - \frac{(23)(34)(45)(43 + 21)(4|5 + 6|7)(6)(7 + 1)(2 + 3)|4(4)(5 + 6)(7 + 1)|2}{t_{345}(67)(12)(34)(6|5 + 4|3)(2|3 + 4|5)} \]

\[ A_{s}^{+++-} = \frac{(16)(2)(3 + 4)(7 + 1)|6}{t_{671}(67)(71)(23)(34)(2|3 + 4|5)(1|7 + 6|5)(6)(7 + 1)(2 + 3)|4)} \]

\[ - \frac{(23)(34)(45)(43 + 21)(4|5 + 6|7)(6)(7 + 1)(2 + 3)|4(4)(5 + 6)(7 + 1)|2}{t_{345}(67)(12)(34)(6|5 + 4|3)(2|3 + 4|5)} \]

\[ + \frac{(16)(26)(34)^3}{t_{456}t_{712}(71)(12)(45)(7|1 + 2|3)(6|5 + 4|3)(4)(5 + 6)(7 + 1)|2)} \]

\[ A_{s}^{+++-} = \frac{(57)(2|3 + 4|1)^3}{t_{567}(56)(67)(23)(34)(4|3 + 2|1)(5|6 + 7|1)(2|3 + 4)(5 + 6)|7)} \]

\[ + \frac{(12)(23)(34)(56)(2|3 + 4|5)(2|1 + 7|6)(45 + 6)(7 + 1)|2)(2|3 + 4)(5 + 6)|7)}{t_{671}(23)(34)(45)(67)(71)(2|1 + 7|6)(5|6 + 7|1)} \]

\[ - \frac{(27)(34)^3}{t_{345}(67)(12)(45)(6|5 + 4|3)(2|3 + 4|5)} \]

\[ - \frac{(27)(35|5|6 + 4|3)^4}{t_{456}t_{712}(12)(45)(56)(7|1 + 2|3)(6|5 + 4|3)(4)(5 + 6)(7 + 1)|2)} \]

\[ A_{s}^{+++-} = \frac{(2|6 + 7|1)^3}{t_{456}t_{712}(12)(45)(67)(4|3 + 2|1)(7|1 + 2|3)} \]

\[ + \frac{(23)(34)(45)(67)(71)(2|1 + 7|6)(5|6 + 7|1)}{t_{567}(23)(34)(56)(2|1 + 7|6)(4)(5 + 6)(7 + 1)|2)(2|3 + 4)(5 + 6)|7)} \]

\[ + \frac{(27)(36|5|4|3)^3}{t_{456}t_{712}(12)(45)(56)(7|1 + 2|3)(4)(5 + 6)(7 + 1)|2)} \]

\[ - \frac{(27)(34)^3}{t_{456}t_{712}(12)(45)(67)(4|3 + 2|1)(7|1 + 2|3)} \]
\[ - \frac{[13]^3(67)^3}{t_{123}[12][456][43+21][71+2]} \]

(C.10)
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