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Abstract

A description of the space of $G$-connections using the tangent groupoid is given. As the tangent groupoid parameter is away from zero, the $G$-connections act as convolution operators on a Hilbert space. The gauge action is examined in the tangent groupoid description of the $G$-connections. Tetrads are formulated as Dirac type operators. The connection variables and tetrad variables in Ashtekar’s gravity are presented as operators on a Hilbert space.

0 Introduction

In Ashtekar’s theory of gravity, a $SU(2)$-connection captures the extrinsic curvature of a space-like leaf in a time-transversal foliation, and the intrinsic geometry of the leaf is given by a tetrad [2]. It is shown that the Einstein-Hilbert functional and Einstein equations can be written in terms of $SU(2)$-connections and tetrads, thus these variables together recast Einstein’s theory of gravity. By rewriting Einstein’s gravity with the connection variables and tetrad variables, one could attempt to quantize gravity via the Hamiltonian formalism, and obtain a theory of quantum gravity [3]. The reader may refer to Thiemann’s introductory [9]. This article offers an alternative view of the space of connections to ones that appear in other loop quantum gravity literatures.

To take the connections as dynamic variables in a quantum theory, one studies wave functions on the space of connections. Unfortunately, the lack of a measure on such a space already poses the first challenge. One typical solution to obtain a measure on the connection space comes from spaces of progressively refined cylindrical functions. In another description, one uses a finite set of curves to probe the space of $G$-connections to obtain a finite dimensional manifold that depend on the sets of curves. By successively refining the finite sets of curves, one obtains a pro-manifold that extends the original space of connections to the so-called space of generalized connections [4].

As a step to quantizing gravity in the Ashtekar framework, there are recent developments of describing such an extended space of connections using a spectral triple in noncommutative geometry [1, 8], which captures the geometry of the space of generalized connections as operators on a Hilbert space. While the geometries of the base manifold and the space of $G$-connections on it are in theory retained, the construction of the spectral triple is considered too discrete to practically allow one to reconstruct the base manifold and its $G$-connections. To rid the discrete description of using finite sets of embedded curves, this article proposes an alternative way to smoothly probe the space of connections using the tangent groupoid. The purposes of this article are to present an alternative idea to the studies
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of loop quantum gravity and to generate discussions on it, therefore the content presented here will be incomplete.

This article contains four sections, they are arranged as follows: Section 1 introduces a smooth groupoid that we use to probe the space of connections, the tangent groupoid. We will define our q-connections as $G$-valued functions on a tangent groupoid. In Section 2, we discuss the gauge action on the space of q-connections, and in Section 3, we argue that the connection variables and tetrad variables are quantized as operators, and present a gauge invariant function that mimics the loop variables in loop quantum gravity.

The last section is an outlook that summarizes the article, and suggests further developments in this direction.

1 Tangent Groupoid

In loop quantum gravity, one studies the space of connections via cylindrical functions. These functions are obtained from probing the space of connections with finite sets of embedded curves. For instance, in loop quantum gravity, one studies the space of connections via cylindrical functions. These functions are quantized as operators, and present a gauge invariant function that mimics the loop variables in the space of q-connections, and in Section 3, we argue that the connection variables and tetrad variables are glued together such that for

$\text{Definition 1.1.} \ A \text{ Lie groupoid is a groupoid } \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}} X \text{ with manifold structures on } \mathcal{G} \text{ and } X \text{ such that } s, r \text{ are submersions, the inclusion of } X \text{ in } \mathcal{G} \text{ as identity homomorphisms and the composition } \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \text{ are smooth.}$

Example 1.1 (5).

1. The tangent bundle $T\Sigma$ of a manifold $\Sigma$ forms a Lie groupoid $T\Sigma \xrightarrow{T} \Sigma$ with the source and range maps $s, r : T\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ given by $s(x, V_x) = x = r(V_x, x)$ for $(x, V_x) \in T\Sigma$, the inclusion $\Sigma \hookrightarrow T\Sigma$ given by the zero section, and composition $T\Sigma \times T\Sigma \rightarrow T\Sigma$ given by $(x, V_x) \times (y, W_y) \mapsto (x, V_x + W_y)$.

2. The product $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ forms a Lie groupoid $\Sigma \times \Sigma \xrightarrow{T} \Sigma$ with the source and range maps $s, r : \Sigma \times \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ given by $s(x, y) = x$, $r(x, y) = y$, the inclusion $\Sigma \hookrightarrow (\Sigma \times \Sigma)$ is given by the diagonal embedding, and the composition $(\Sigma \times \Sigma) \times (\Sigma \times \Sigma) \rightarrow (\Sigma \times \Sigma)$ is given by $(x, y) \times (y, z) \mapsto (x, z)$.

These two examples are the only Lie groupoids this article will deal with. Now, let $\Sigma$ be a compact oriented manifold.

Definition 1.2 (7). The tangent groupoid $T\Sigma$ of a manifold $\Sigma$ is $T\Sigma = T\Sigma \times \{0\} \cup \Sigma \times \Sigma \times (0, 1]$ as a set. And the groupoids $T\Sigma$ and $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ are glued together such that for $p(0) = (x, V_x, 0) \in T\Sigma \times \{0\}$, then $p(h) = (x, \exp hV_x, h) \in \Sigma \times \Sigma \times (0, 1]$. 
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We think of an element \((x, y, h)\) of \(\Sigma \times \Sigma \times (0, 1]\) as a geodesic starting at \(x\) and ending at \(y\), and \(h\) is the time it takes to travel from \(x\) to \(y\) in a given velocity. Hence, it is considered a one dimensional object.

Let \(G\) denote a compact Lie group and \(\mathfrak{g}\) its Lie algebra. Denote by \(T_e G\) the set of elements of \(TG\) with source \(e\). \(T_e G\) is nothing but \(\mathfrak{g} \times \{0\} \bigcup G \times (0, 1]\) as a set, and \(\mathfrak{g}\) and \(G\) are glued together by the exponential map. Denote by \(A_h\) the space of smooth functions from \(\Sigma \times \Sigma\) to \(G\) and \(A_0\) the space of smooth functions from \(T \Sigma\) to \(\mathfrak{g}\) such that \(A_0(x, \cdot) : T_x \Sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}\) is linear for \(A_0 \in A_0\) and each \(x \in \Sigma\).

Here we think of an element \(A_h\) of \(A_h\) as a holonomy presentation of a connection for paths described by \(\Sigma \times \Sigma \times (0, 1]\).

**Definition 1.3.** Define the space of q-connections \(F(T \Sigma, T_e G)\) to be \(A_0 \times \{0\} \bigcup A_h \times (0, 1]\) as a set. And \(A_0\) and \(A_h\) are glued together as \(A_h(x, \exp h V_x) = \exp(h A_0(x, V_x))\) for all \(h \in [0, 1]\).

**Remark 1.4.** The glueing condition of \(F(T \Sigma, T_e G)\) implies that \(A_h(x, y) : A_h(y, x) \xrightarrow{h=0} I_G\) and \(A_h(x, x) \xrightarrow{h=0} I_G\) where \(I_G\) is the identity of \(G\).

**Remark 1.5.** Each \(A_0 \in A_0\) gives rise to a \(\mathfrak{g}\)-valued 1-form in a unique way. Hence, \(A_0\) is naturally identified as the space of \(G\)-connections.

\(A_h\) forms a group under point-wise multiplication of \(G\), and \(A_0\) forms a group under point-wise addition of \(\mathfrak{g}\).

**Proposition 1.1.** The product \(F(T \Sigma, T_e G)\) inherits from \(A_0\) and \(A_h\) is smooth.

**Proof.** The proof follows from \(\frac{d}{dh}(A_h \cdot A_0'(x, \exp h V_x)|_{h=0} = (A_0 + A_0')(x, V_x).\)

### 2 Gauge Action

The q-connection space \(F(T \Sigma, T_e G)\) is a package that captures information about probing the \(G\)-connection space with the tangent groupoid. That is, an element \(A_h\) of \(A_h\) evaluated at \((x, y) \in \Sigma \times \Sigma\) gives the holonomy of a connection along the geodesic from \(x\) to \(y\). This holonomy presentation has a natural gauge action given by applying a symmetry at the starting point \(x\), then evaluate the holonomy along the geodesic from \(x\) to \(y\), and finally apply a reverse symmetry at \(y\). We make it formal by the following definition.

Denote by \(C^\infty(\Sigma, G)\) the set of smooth functions from \(\Sigma\) to \(G\). Define the **gauge action** of \(C^\infty(\Sigma, G)\) on \(A_h\) by

\[
(g \cdot A_h)(x, y) := g(x) A_h(x, y) g^{-1}(y)
\]

for \(g \in C^\infty(\Sigma, G)\) and \(A_h \in A_h\). And define the gauge action of \(C^\infty(\Sigma, G)\) on \(A_0\) by

\[
(g \cdot A_0)(x, V_x) := g(x) A_0(x, V_x) g^{-1}(x) + g(x) (dg^{-1}(x), V_x)
\]

for \(g \in C^\infty(\Sigma, G)\) and \(A_0 \in A_0\).

**Remark 2.1.** Equation (2) is the usual gauge action on \(G\)-connections.

The following proposition shows that the gauge actions defined on \(A_h\) and \(A_0\) are compatible.

**Proposition 2.1.** The \(C^\infty(\Sigma, G)\) action on the q-connection space \(F(T \Sigma, T_e G)\) induced from Equations (1), (2) is smooth.
Proof. Suppose that $A_h(x, \exp \hbar V_x) = \exp \hbar A_0(x, V_x)$. Then
\[
\frac{d}{d\hbar} (g \cdot A_h)(x, \exp \hbar V_x) \bigg|_{\hbar=0} = \frac{d}{d\hbar} (g(x)A_h(x, \exp \hbar V_x)g^{-1}(\exp \hbar V_x)) \bigg|_{\hbar=0} = g(x)\left( \frac{d}{d\hbar} A_h(x, \exp \hbar V_x) \right) g^{-1}(\exp \hbar V_x) \bigg|_{\hbar=0} + g(x)A_h(x, \exp \hbar V_x) \left( \frac{d}{d\hbar} g^{-1}(\exp \hbar V_x) \right) \bigg|_{\hbar=0} = g(x)A_0(x, V_x)g^{-1}(x) + g(x)(dg^{-1}(x), V_x) = (g \cdot A_0)(x, V_x).
\]

The proof is complete. □

Let Diff(Σ) denote the diffeomorphism group of Σ. $TΣ = TΣ \times \{0\} \cup Σ \times Σ \times (0, 1]$ carries a smooth Diff(Σ) action given by
\[
(x, y) \mapsto (\sigma(x), \sigma(y)) \quad \text{for} \quad (x, y) \in Σ \times Σ \quad \text{and} \quad σ \in \text{Diff}(Σ),
\]
\[
(x, V_x) \mapsto (\sigma(x), dσ_*(x)V_x) \quad \text{for} \quad (x, V_x) \in TΣ \quad \text{and} \quad σ \in \text{Diff}(Σ).
\]

Subsequently, Diff(Σ) acts on the $q$-connection space $F(TΣ, TΣG)$ smoothly via the induced action
\[
(σ \cdot A_h)(x, y) = A_h(σ(x), σ(y)) \quad \text{and} \quad (σ \cdot A_0)(x, V_x) = A_0(σ(x), dσ_*(x)V_x),
\]
for $σ \in \text{Diff}(Σ)$.

## 3 Loop Quantum Gravity

Suppose that $G$ unitarily represents on some finite dimensional vector space, say without loss of generality $CN$. Then $G$ is included in the matrix algebra $MN(C)$ as unitary matrices. Let us fix a Riemannian metric $q$ on Σ. Then one obtains the Hilbert space $L^2(Σ, C^N)$ that $A_h$ acts on by convolution
\[
(A_h \ast \varphi)(y) = \int_Σ A_h(x, y) \cdot \varphi(x) dx,
\]
where $A_h \in A_h$, $\varphi \in L^2(Σ, C^N)$, the dot $\cdot$ is the unitary representation of $G$, and the integration is with respect to the volume form induced from the metric $q$.

Denote by $A^#_h$ the space of $MN(C)$-valued smooth functions on $Σ × Σ$, thus $A^#_h ⊃ A_h$ and it acts on $L^2(Σ, C^N)$. Elements of $A^#_h$ will again be denoted by $A_h$. $A^#_h$ comes equipped with an involution given by the point-wise conjugate transpose of $MN(C)$. The action of $A^#_h$ on $L^2(Σ, C^N)$ gives rise to a noncommutative product on $A^#_h$ given by the convolution
\[
(A_h \ast A'_h)(x, z) = \int_Σ A_h(x, y) \cdot A'_h(y, z) dy,
\]
for $A_h, A'_h \in A^#_h$, and the integration is with respect to the volume form of $q$.

The space $A^#_h$ forms a $*$-algebra, and it is identified with the ideal of trace-class operators on the Hilbert space $L^2(Σ, C^N)$. 
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Let $\text{Tr}$ denote the operator trace on $L^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^{N})$, and $\text{Tr} : \mathcal{A}_h^{\#} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is explicitly given by

$$\text{Tr}(A_h) = \int_M \text{tr} A_h(x, x) dx,$$

where $\text{tr}$ is the matrix trace of $M_N(\mathbb{C})$.

The linear functional $\text{Tr} : \mathcal{A}_h^{\#} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is invariant under the gauge action of $C^\infty(\Sigma, G)$, as

$$\text{Tr}(g \cdot A_h) = \int_M \text{tr} (g(x)A_h(x, x)g^{-1}(x)) dx = \int_M \text{tr} (A_h(x, x)) dx = \text{Tr}(A_h).$$

Such a property is called \textbf{gauge invariant}. The group element $A_h(x, x)$ represents the holonomy of a connection around a loop with base point $x$. The functional $\text{Tr} : \mathcal{A}_h^{\#} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ being gauge invariant is parallel to the fact that loop variables being gauge invariant in loop quantum gravity.

Let us move our attention to the metric $q$ now. The Riemannian metric tensor $q$ on $\Sigma$ is positive definite matrix at each point $x \in \Sigma$, it can be decomposed as $q(x) = e(x)e^*(x)$ at each $x$ for some matrix valued smooth function $e$ over $\Sigma$. The set of column vectors $\{e_i(x)\}$ of $e(x)$ can be thought of as an eigenbasis, it forms a frame at each $x$, and each $e_i$ is a tangent vector field of $\Sigma$. These fields of vectors are called a \textbf{tetrad}. We call the tetrad oriented if the point-wise determinant $\det e(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \Sigma$. A tetrad defines a metric tensor uniquely by $ee^*$, however a metric $q$ tensor could have many different decompositions $q = ee^*$. The metric given by an oriented tetrad exhibits an $SO(d)$ symmetry, where $d$ is the dimension of $\Sigma$. To wit, suppose $e' = eT$, where $T$ is a smooth field of orientation preserving rotational matrices $SO(d)$ over $\Sigma$. Then

$$e'e'^* = (eT)(T^*e^*) = ee^* = q,$$

as $TT^* = I$.

Suppose now that $\Sigma$ is 3-dimensional and the Lie group $G$ is $SU(2)$, so $\Sigma$ is a spin manifold, the symmetry group of the tetrads can be lifted from $SO(3)$ to $SU(2) = Spin(3)$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$ of $SU(2)$ is generated by

$$u_1 = \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, u_2 = \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } u_3 = \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

The elements $\{u_i\}$ satisfy the relation $u_iu_j + u_ju_i = -\delta_{ij}$.

Define the operator $D_q$ acting on $L^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^2)$ by

$$D_q = u_1 e_1 + u_2 e_2 + u_3 e_3,$$

where $q$ is a Riemannian metric on $\Sigma$ with decomposition $q = ee^*$, and each $e_i$ is a column vectors of $e$. The operator $D_q$ depends on the metric $q$, and is a Dirac type operator. Notice that there is an ordering used in the definition.

The interaction between a connection and a tetrad is formulated as the commutator $[D_q, A_h]$ for $A_h \in \mathcal{A}_h^{\#}$.
Let us compute the commutator explicitly on a Hilbert space vector \( \phi \in L^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^2) \):

\[
[D_q, A_h] \phi(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( \int_{\Sigma} u_i(d_y A_h(x, y), e_i(y)) \varphi(x) dx - \int_{\Sigma} A_h(x, y) u_i(d_x \varphi(x), e_i(x)) dx \right)
\]

(3) 

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( \int_{\Sigma} u_i(d_y A_h(x, y), e_i(y)) \varphi(x) dx + \int_{\Sigma} (d_x A_h(x, y), e_i(x)) u_i \varphi(x) dx \right)
\]

(4) 

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{3} \int_{\Sigma} \left( u_i(d_y A_h(x, y), e_i(y)) + (d_x A_h(x, y), e_i(x)) u_i \right) \varphi(x) dx
\]

(5) 

Line (4) follows from the Leibniz property and the boundaryless condition of \( \Sigma \).

As \( A_h^\# \) is a set of smooth functions, its elements preserve the domain of \( D_q \), and the integrand 
\( (u_i(d_y A_h(x, y), e_i(y)) + (d_x A_h(x, y), e_i(x)) u_i) \) in Line (5) is again a smooth integral kernel. Thus, \( [D_q, \cdot] \) maps \( A_h^\# \) back to \( A_h^\# \). In particular, the commutator is bounded, hence \( (A_h^\#, L^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^2), D_q) \) forms a spectral triple.

### 4 Classical Limit

In the previous section, we have described the \( G \)-connections as integral kernels, and the tetrads as Dirac type operators. We also briefly examined the interaction of these two kinds of operators. Although the procedure of turning the connections and tetrads to operators are natural, to claim that such procedure is in fact a quantization, one needs to show that their classical limit of their quantum interaction, the commutator, gives back their classical interaction, the Poisson bracket. By classical limit, we mean the parameter \( \hbar \) is taken to zero.

By construction, if we take \( \hbar \) to zero of an element \( A_h \in A_h \), one obtains a map \( A_0 : T \Sigma \rightarrow g \) that is linear for each \( x \in \Sigma \). It corresponds uniquely to a Lie algebra valued one form, hence a classical connection. The way one obtains a classical quantity out of \( D_q \) is first to multiply a scaling \( \hbar \) to \( D_q \), and represent \( \hbar D_q \) in terms of the integral kernel

\[
k_h(y, x) = \int_{T^* \Sigma} h p_x(z) e^{2\pi i (y-x \cdot z)} dz,
\]

(6)

where \( p_x(z) \) is the symbol of \( D_q \).

Since the symbol \( p_x(z) \) is degree one homogeneous, \( h p_x(z) \) equals to \( p_x(hz) \). It is known from symbol calculus that the first order approximation of \( k_h(y, x) \) is given by the symbol \( p_x(z) \), which is a function from \( T^* \Sigma \) to \( \mathfrak{su}(2) \).

We have obtained the follow heuristic picture:

| Connections | Metrics |
|-------------|---------|
| Quantum: \( A_h : \Sigma \times \Sigma \rightarrow SU(2) \) | \( hD \) |
| Classical: \( A_0 : T \Sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(2) \) | \( p : T^* \Sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(2) \) |

The symbol of \( D_q \) is an \( \mathfrak{su}(2) \)-valued smooth function on \( T^* \Sigma \). \( A_0 \) is the space of functions from the tangent bundle \( T \Sigma \) to the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{su}(2) \). By composing elements of \( A_0 \) to the inverse metric \( q^{-1} \), we
end up with \( \mathfrak{su}(2) \)-valued functions on \( T^* \Sigma \) again. Unfortunately, this formalism encounters a difficulty here, because we do not have a Poisson algebra structure on the space of \( \mathfrak{su}(2) \)-valued functions on \( T^* \Sigma \). We are hoping that there is a parent Poisson algebra that contains the space of \( \mathfrak{su}(2) \)-valued functions on \( T^* \Sigma \) here, such that it allows us to give a classical limit rigorously.

We end this collection of thoughts by remarking that \( A^\#_\hbar \) is a set of smooth functions, it preserves the domain of \( D_q \), and the commutator \([ D_q, \cdot ]\) maps \( A^\#_\hbar \) back to \( A^\#_\hbar \). As such, the functional \( \text{Tr} : A^\#_\hbar \to \mathbb{C} \) is invariant under the action \([ D_q, \cdot ] : A^\#_\hbar \to A^\#_\hbar \).

5 Outlook

In Ashtekar’s gravity theory, the fundamental variables are the connections and tetrads. We turn connection 1-forms to linear maps from the tangent bundle to the Lie algebra, then exponentiate them to integral kernels acting on a Hilbert space. Also we build a Dirac type operator acting on the same Hilbert space from tetrads. Both of these procedures of turning the connections and tetrads to operators are natural; the original connection space can be retrieved by taking \( \hbar \) to zero, while the Dirac type operator is known to capture the Riemannian metric from noncommutative geometry techniques. However, to truly claim the procedure of turning those variables to operators to be a quantization, one needs a Poisson bracket that corresponds to the commutator \([ \hbar D_q, A^\#_\hbar ]\) in a classical sense. While \( \lim_{\hbar \to 0} A^\#_\hbar \) gives a classical connection by construction, and \( \lim_{\hbar \to 0} \hbar D_q \) gives the symbol of \( D_q \) via oscillatory integral. Both of these can be put into the space \( C^\infty(T^* \Sigma, \mathfrak{su}(2)) \). But unfortunately, \( C^\infty(T^* \Sigma, \mathfrak{su}(2)) \) is not a Poisson algebra, because of that we do not have a genuine classical limit that corresponds to our operatorial setup.

As the metric \( q \) is used to construct the Dirac type operator and the Hilbert space, it seems natural to describe metrics as unbounded Fredholm modules over our algebra \( A^\#_\hbar \). However, the product on \( A^\#_\hbar \) (the convolution) is given by the composition of the convolution action on the Hilbert space. Therefore, before a background metric is fixed, \( A^\#_\hbar \) does not incorporate an interesting product. It is in fact more natural to claim that the Fredholm module is over the algebra generated by the gauge group, \( C^\infty(\Sigma, M_N(\mathbb{C})) \), so one gets a spectral triple \( (C^\infty(\Sigma, M_N(\mathbb{C})), L^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^N), D_q) \); and the space of smooth connections is identified as the space of trace class operators. At this stage, the setting appears very similar to the standard model of Chamseddine-Connes-Marcolli [6].
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