Intralabyrinthine Schwannomas: Imaging Diagnosis and Classification

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: ILS is a rare lesion that has a different management from the more common “acoustic” schwannoma. To date, only 137 cases have been reported. We present a classification scheme based on labyrinthine anatomy to describe and localize these lesions. Treatment and prognosis hinge on the appropriate localization of these tumors; thus, a concise terminology that can be used by both the otolaryngologist and radiology communities is desirable.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: After approval of the institutional review board, a retrospective study of all patients with the diagnosis of ILS imaged between 1996 and 2010 was performed. Clinical and imaging data were collected. Patients were imaged with thin-section high-resolution T2 and contrast-enhanced MR imaging.

RESULTS: There were 45 patients with a diagnosis of ILS. Forty-three had complete histories. There were 18 male and 25 female patients with an age range of 21–78 years with a mean age of 53 years. The most common presenting symptom was progressive sensorineural hearing loss. Lesions were characterized on the basis of their location. Intracochlear was most common (14/45) followed by transmodiolar (13/45), intravestibular (7/45), vestibulocochlear (6/45), transmacular (4/45), and transotic (2/45). Sixteen patients underwent surgical resection. The remaining patients were followed clinically and by serial MR imaging.

CONCLUSIONS: ILS is an uncommon but under-reported tumor. We characterized the MR imaging appearance of these tumors by using high-resolution techniques. In addition, an anatomically based classification system is presented that will help the radiologist accurately describe ILS within the inner ear and help the surgeon determine which tumors are potential surgical candidates.

ABBREVIATIONS: CPA = cerebellopontine angle; FSE = fast spin-echo; IAC = internal auditory canal; ILS = intralabyrinthine schwannomas

Schwannoma is a benign neoplasm of the nerve sheath and is the most common neoplasm of the IAC and CPA. “Acoustic” schwannomas most often arise from the vestibular division of the vestibulocochlear nerve. These tumors arise from the perineural Schwann cells surrounding the vestibular and cochlear nerves. This tumor most commonly originates near the vestibular ganglion at the junction of the central and peripheral myelin near the fundus of the IAC at the Schwann cell–glial junction but can be found anywhere along the nerve from the IAC to the terminal ends of the eighth cranial nerve within the vestibule, cochlea, or semicircular canals.

ILSs are defined as tumors arising primarily from within the membranous labyrinth: cochlea, vestibule, or semicircular canals.

Treatment of patients with simple IAC schwannomas involves surgical resection with a goal of preserving hearing and facial nerve function. Classically, these schwannomas are treated surgically by using 1 of 3 approaches (translabryrinthine, middle fossa, or retrosigmoid/suboccipital), depending on the size and location of the mass as well as the level of hearing.1–20 When schwannomas involve the inner ear, surgical approaches and prognostic implications are affected. Hearing preservation surgery is not an option when a lesion extends into the labyrinth because removing a tumor from the labyrinth would be expected to result in profound sensorineural hearing loss.1 Thus, proper anatomic localization by the radiologist is essential in the preoperative assessment of these patients.

Primary ILS in the past has been considered a rare lesion in isolation. To date, there have been 137 reported cases of ILS.1–30 However, these tumors are likely much more common than previously thought. Improved imaging allows detection and characterization and stresses the needs for a heightened sense of awareness among radiologists to their presence.

The objectives of our study were to define the radiologic features of ILS and present a classification scheme to describe and localize these lesions. The system uses anatomy as the basic tenet and defines the lesions by their location. Treatment and prognosis hinge on the appropriate localization of these tumors; thus, a concise terminology that can be used by both the otolaryngology and radiology communities is desirable.

Materials and Methods

After approval of the institutional review board, we retrospectively reviewed all patients with the diagnosis of vestibular schwannoma from the University of Utah, imaged between 1996 and 2010. A review of 645 patients with the diagnosis of acoustic neuroma was examined to identify patients with tumors that primarily involve the labyrinth (cochlea, vestibule, and semicircular canals). Patients with known neurofibromatosis type 2 were excluded because the pathophysiology of these patients is different. Additionally, these patients are known to
have tumors that often invade the cochlea. Forty-five patients with schwannomas with their major bulk and center within the labyrinth (cochlea, vestibule, and/or semicircular canals) based on MR imaging were included.

All images were reviewed by 2 neuroradiologists (K.L.S., H.R.H.) with Certificates of Added Qualification and a board-certified neuroradiology fellow (A.M.C.) from the University of Utah. Medical records were reviewed, and demographic data were collected, including age, sex, and date of presentation. In addition, clinical data including presenting symptoms, treatment, and length of follow-up were included.

Patients were imaged on a 1.5T magnet. Forty-two patients were imaged with high-resolution FSE T2-weighted imaging. Thirty-eight patients were scanned with phased array surface coils. Thin-section T2-weighted images were obtained by using either a 2D acquisition (4000 ms/102 ms/6 [TR/TE/NEX] with 2-mm section thickness and a 1-mm intersection gap; echo-train length, 32) or a 3D acquisition (4000 ms/130 ms/1 [TR/TE/NEX] with an 0.8-mm section thickness and a 0-mm intersection gap and an echo time of 64). Four patients were scanned with the head coil by using a 3D coronal acquisition (750 ms/115 ms/1 [TR/TE/NEX] with a 1.0-mm section thickness and a 0-mm intersection gap and an FOV of 180). The axial imaging of these 3 patients was performed by using a 3D-constructive interference in steady state acquisition (6.8 ms/3.4 ms/1/80° [TR/TE/NEX/flip angle] with a section thickness of 0.8 mm). If there was replacement of the normal high signal intensity in the labyrinth of the IAC on T2-weighted imaging, the patient underwent additional MR imaging, including pre- and postcontrast sequences with gadolinium to look for an enhancing mass. The parameters included T1-weighted imaging corresponded to the T2 abnormality. The intracochlear enhancement in the patients who had both T2 and enhanced T1-weighted imaging. Forty-five patients underwent pre- and postcontrast scanning. Forty-five patients underwent high-resolution thin-section T2-weighted imaging. Forty-five patients underwent pre- and postcontrast enhanced T1-weighted imaging.

Results

Clinical Data

There were 45 patients with a diagnosis of ILS. Forty-three patients had complete histories available. There were 18 male and 25 female patients with an age range of 21–78 years and a mean age of 53 years. Twenty-three tumors were on the right and 22 were on the left.

The most common presenting symptom was hearing loss with all patients (45/45) being affected. The range of symptom duration was 1–252 months with an average of 40 months. Most hearing loss in documented cases was progressive (27/45) with a smaller number presenting with sudden hearing loss (14/45) and 4 patients, with fluctuating hearing loss.

The second most common symptom was tinnitus with 23 patients affected, followed by imbalance (16 patients) and vertigo (10 patients). Aural fullness was seen in 1 patient (Table 1).

Imaging Data

MR imaging was performed in all 45 patients. Forty-two patients underwent high-resolution thin-section T2-weighted scanning. Forty-five patients underwent pre- and postcontrast enhanced T1-weighted imaging.

On T2-weighted images, these lesions appear as focal filling defects with replacement of the normal high-signal-intensity fluid (42/42). On postgadolinium imaging, they appear as focal homogeneously enhancing masses (45/45). The contrast enhancement in the patients who had both T2 and enhanced imaging corresponded to the T2 abnormality. The intracochlear schwannoma occurs within the turns of the cochlea (Fig 1). The intravestibular tumor occurs within the vestibule with or without extension into the semicircular canals (Fig 2). The vestibulocochlear tumor occurs within the vestibule and cochlea without extension into the IAC or middle ear (Fig 3). The transmacular tumor occurs within the vestibule, with the major portion within the vestibule with additional extension through the macula cribrosa into the IAC (Fig 4). The transmodiolar tumor occurs within the cochlea, with the major portion within the cochlea and extension through the modiolus into the IAC (Fig 5). The transotic tumor appears as a tumor that extends through the labyrinth into the IAC and middle ear (Fig 6). Tumors in our series ranged from 2 to 55 mm. The site of tumor was characterized on the basis of location as detailed in Table 2. Intracochlear was the most com-

| Table 1: Presenting symptoms and location of tumor |
|--------------------------------------------------|
| Symptom             | No. of Patients | Location* (No.) |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Hearing loss        | 45              | Intracochlear (14) |
|                     |                 | Transmodiolar (13) |
|                     |                 | Intravestibular (7) |
|                     |                 | Transmacular (4) |
|                     |                 | Vestibulocochlear (5) |
|                     |                 | Transotic (2) |
|                     |                 | Intracochlear (8) |
|                     |                 | Transmodiolar (10) |
|                     |                 | Intravestibular (4) |
|                     |                 | Transmacular (1) |
|                     |                 | Vestibulocochlear (0) |
|                     |                 | Transotic (0) |
| Tinnitus            | 23              | Intracochlear (6) |
|                     |                 | Transmodiolar (4) |
|                     |                 | Intravestibular (3) |
|                     |                 | Transmacular (2) |
|                     |                 | Vestibulocochlear (0) |
|                     |                 | Transotic (1) |
| Imbalance           | 16              | Intracochlear (6) |
|                     |                 | Transmodiolar (4) |
|                     |                 | Intravestibular (3) |
|                     |                 | Transmacular (2) |
|                     |                 | Vestibulocochlear (0) |
|                     |                 | Transotic (1) |
| Vertigo             | 10              | Intracochlear (3) |
|                     |                 | Transmodiolar (3) |
|                     |                 | Intravestibular (1) |
|                     |                 | Transmacular (2) |
|                     |                 | Vestibulocochlear (0) |
|                     |                 | Transotic (1) |
| Aural fullness      | 1               | Intracochlear (0) |
|                     |                 | Transmodiolar (1) |
|                     |                 | Intravestibular (0) |
|                     |                 | Transmacular (0) |
|                     |                 | Vestibulocochlear (0) |
|                     |                 | Transotic (0) |

*Patients often presented with multiple symptoms.
mon location (14/45), followed by transmodiolar (13/45), intravestibular (7/45), vestibulocochlear (5/45), transmacular (4/45), and transotic (2/45).

Sixteen patients underwent surgical intervention. All had benign ILS found at surgery. Ten patients underwent surgery for increasing size; 3, for intractable vertigo; 2, for extension...
into the CPA; and 1 tumor was removed during cochlear im-
plant surgery. The approach was transotic in 7, transcochlear
in 5, and translabyrinthine in 3, and the final surgery was for
cochlear implant. The remaining patients were followed clin-
ically and with imaging with the presumed diagnosis of ILS
based on characteristic imaging features and stability. Surgery
is only indicated for patients with intractable vertigo, extension of tumor to the CPA, or evidence of tumor growth. Follow-up ranged from 0 to 168 months. Annual MR imaging was performed as the standard of care with biannual follow-up in patients whose first postdiagnosis scan was unchanged. To date, 2 patients had small interval growth in their tumors and continue to be observed. One additional patient was recommended for surgical resection on the basis of increasing tumor size and progressive symptoms. The remaining patients are stable or have been lost to follow-up.

**Discussion**

ILS is an under-reported cause of sensorineural hearing loss. This slow-growing tumor is often diagnosed many years after the initial report of mild unilateral sensorineural hearing loss. Once diagnosed, it frequently does not undergo surgery because the operation inevitably results in complete hearing loss in the affected ear. Instead, surgery is reserved for those patients with intractable vertigo or evidence of tumor leaving the inner ear to enter the IAC (transmacular, transmodiolar, or transotic lesions). The proposed anatomy-based nomenclature is meant to help the radiologist accurately describe the ILS within the inner ear and indicate which tumors are potential surgical candidates.

Higher field magnets with refined MR imaging sequences have increased the sensitivity of the detection of ILS. However, previous reports suggest that this is a very rare lesion are also the consequence of lack of awareness of the radiologist as to the existence of ILS. On the basis of this series and others, it is now possible to suggest that this tumor occurs a good deal more frequently than previously realized.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^3\)^ The prevalence in a recent series of 52 cases of ILS was 10%, much larger than previously reported.\(^3\)\(^0\) Our series was collected in a large academic center with a large otologic referral area, which may reflect a selection bias. To make this diagnosis however, the radiologist will have to inspect not just the CPA and IAC but also the inner ear on all examinations completed for sensorineural hearing loss. The key to its detection lies in the appropriate imaging parameters. On thin-section high-resolution T2 images, ILS appears as a replacement of the normal high signal intensity within the membranous labyrinth. After the administration of intravenous contrast, there is uniform enhancement.

There are other lesions that can mimic ILS on contrast-enhanced MR imaging, including labyrinthitis (typically viral in etiology), labyrinthitis ossificans, hemorrhage, or lipoma. Labyrinthitis most commonly shows diffuse enhancement. In the rare focal enhancement case, labyrinthitis can be differentiated by performing a high-resolution thin-section T2-weighted study. In the case of labyrinthitis, no soft-tissue mass or filling defect in the labyrinth as in ILS will be seen.\(^1\)\(^0\),\(^3\)\(^2\) Labyrinthitis ossificans, which can enhance during its fibroses phase and will have T2 signal intensity within the inner ear, can most often be distinguished both by history and a temporal bone CT scan. The patient will have a history of previous meningitis or suppurative otomastoiditis. On a thin-section temporal bone CT scan, there will be bony encroachment on the membranous labyrinth.\(^3\)\(^2\)\(^-\)\(^3\)\(^5\) None of our patients with presumed ILS had a history of prior meningitis or otomastoiditis. Although it is possible to confuse the early imaging of labyrinthine ossificans with ILS, the clinical setting helps separate these entities. Hemorrhage and lipoma, though rare, can easily be differentiated on precontrast T1-weighted imaging, appearing as high signal intensity without enhancement.\(^3\)\(^4\),\(^3\)\(^2\)

With improved spatial resolution, characterization will naturally follow. The proposed classification system is simple with straightforward anatomy as its foundation. ILSs are intracochlear, intravestibular, vestibulocochlear, transmodiolar, transmacular, and transtotic. Within this classification schema, our series included 14 intracochlear, 13 transmodiolar, 7 intravestibular, 5 vestibulocochlear, 4 transmacular, and 2 transtotic tumors. Cochlear ILS is the dominant location in our series, with 27 tumors either in the intracochlear or transmodiolar locations. In other reported series, intracochlear and

| Class          | Definition                                                                 |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Intracochlear  | Tumor confined to the cochlea                                              |
| Transmodiolar | Tumor centered in the cochlea with extension through the modiolus into the IAC |
| Intravestibular| Tumor centered in vestibule (± semicircular canal involvement)              |
| Transmacular  | Tumor centered in the vestibule with extension into the IAC via the macula cribrosa |
| Vestibulocochlear | Tumor within the vestibule and cochlea                                    |
| Transotic     | Tumor within the labyrinth with extension into the IAC and middle ear       |

Table 2: Classification of ILS
transnodular tumors were reported in 88 cases; intravestibular and transmacular, in 40 cases; vestibulocochlear, in 5 cases; and transotic, in 4 cases. A similar classification scheme was offered on many of the same patients. An additional 17 cases were added to our original dataset since the initial presentation. The tympanolabyrinthine class was excluded from our description due to the fact that there were no observed cases fitting the description and there was redundancy with the transotic subtype.

The treatment of ILS depends on the location, symptoms, and the behavior of the tumor with time. Hearing-preservation surgery is not possible in the removal of ILS; thus, management is usually observation with serial MR imaging, to avoid excessive morbidity. Surgery is only indicated for intractable vertigo or evidence of tumor growth leaving the membranous labyrinth into the IAC or middle ear. In cases where surgery is performed due to symptoms, localization aids in presurgical planning and decreases morbidity. Radiation therapy is discussed with patients and is a viable option in the poor operative candidate who exhibits growth. In our study with an average follow-up of all 45 patients for 56 months, 7 patients showed growth and underwent surgery. Most ILSs in our study did not significantly grow, making follow-up with MR imaging the preferred approach to tumor management.

The main limitation of our study is that only 16 of 45 patients underwent surgical resection. The remaining 29 patients are presumed schwannomas on the basis of clinical evaluation, characteristic imaging features, and stability on follow-up MR studies. There was 1 patient with no follow-up imaging. The remaining 28 patients had follow-up imaging data ranging from 12 to 108 months, with an average follow-up of 58.2 months.

Conclusions
ILS is a diagnosis that has been previously under-reported. This series of 45 cases collected over 14 years supports the contention that ILS is more common than previously thought. Careful interrogation of the inner ear structures for the presence of filling defects (high-resolution T2 MR imaging) or focal enhancement (T1 enhanced MR imaging) will yield more frequent identification of this tumor. In this article, we characterize the MR imaging appearance of these lesions by using high-resolution techniques. In addition, we presented an anatomically based classification system, which will help the radiologist accurately describe ILS within the inner ear and help the surgeon determine which tumors are potential surgical candidates.
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