Bosutinib versus imatinib in newly diagnosed chronic-phase chronic myeloid leukaemia: results from the 24-month follow-up of the BELA trial

Summary

Bosutinib is an oral, dual SRC/ABL1 tyrosine kinase inhibitor for resistant/intolerant chronic myeloid leukaemia (CML). We assessed the efficacy and safety of bosutinib 500 mg/d (n = 250) versus imatinib 400 mg/d (n = 252) after >24 months from accrual completion in newly diagnosed chronic phase (CP)-CML (Bosutinib Efficacy and Safety in Newly Diagnosed CML trial [BELA]). Cumulative complete cytogenetic response (CCyR) rates by 24 months were similar (bosutinib, 79%; imatinib, 80%); cumulative major molecular response (MMR) rates were 59% for bosutinib and 49% for imatinib. Responses were durable; 151/197 vs. 172/204 and 125/153 vs. 117/131 responders remained on treatment and maintained CCyR and MMR, respectively. Since the 12-month primary analysis, no new accelerated-/blast-phase transformations occurred with bosutinib; four occurred with imatinib. Early response (BCR-ABL1/ABL1 ≤ 10%, 3 months) was associated with better CCyR and MMR rates by 12 and 24 months (both arms). Gastrointestinal events and liver function test elevations were more common, and neutropenia, musculoskeletal events and oedema were less common with bosutinib. Discontinuations due to adverse events were more common with bosutinib versus imatinib (most commonly alanine aminotransferase elevation: 4% vs. <1%); most occurred within the first 12 months. Cardiovascular adverse events were similar in both arms. Bosutinib continues to demonstrate good efficacy and manageable tolerability in newly diagnosed CP-CML patients.

Keywords: bosutinib, tyrosine kinase inhibitor, chronic myeloid leukaemia, CML, BCR-ABL1.
previously receiving imatinib only or imatinib plus dasatinib and/or nilotinib (Gambacorti-Passerini et al., 2010; Cortes et al., 2011; Khoury et al., 2012). Bosutinib is indicated for patients with Ph+ CML that is resistant or intolerant to prior therapy (http://labeling.pfizer.com/ShowLabeling.aspx? id=884).

The ongoing phase 3 Bosutinib Efficacy and Safety in Newly Diagnosed Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (BELA) trial is comparing the efficacy and safety of bosutinib with imatinib in newly diagnosed CP CML (Cortes et al., 2012). At 12 months, bosutinib did not demonstrate a superior rate of complete cytogenetic response (CCyR; 70%) versus imatinib (68%; \( P = 0.601 \)) (primary endpoint) but had a superior rate of major molecular response (MMR; 41%) versus imatinib (27%; \( P < 0.001 \)), a key secondary endpoint. Bosutinib also demonstrated shorter median times to CCyR (12.9 vs. 24.6 weeks with imatinib) and MMR (37.1 vs. 72.3 weeks), a lower estimated probability of disease progression/lack of efficacy (3% vs. 10%) and lower estimated probability of on-treatment transformations to AP/BP CML (2% vs. 4%) at 12 months. The distinct safety profile of bosutinib was characterized by higher rates of gastrointestinal and liver-related events (primarily grade 1/2) but less frequent neutropenia, musculoskeletal events, and oedema. Treatment continues in this trial; long-term evaluation allows further assessment of the anticancer activity and tolerability of bosutinib in newly diagnosed CP-CML patients. The current analysis reports results from BELA up to a minimum of 2 years after completion of accrual.

Patients and methods

Patient eligibility

Eligibility criteria were previously described (Cortes et al., 2012) (see Table SI). Briefly, eligible patients (aged ≥18 years) had a cytogenetic diagnosis of Ph+ CP CML within 6 months of enrollment, an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status score of 0 or 1, adequate hepatic and renal function, and no prior antileukaemia treatment except ≤6 months of anagrelide or hydroxycarbamide.

Study design and treatment

Patients in the ongoing open-label, randomized, multinational phase 3 BELA trial (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00574873) were randomized 1:1 to bosutinib 500 mg/d or imatinib 400 mg/d and stratified by Sokal risk group and geographic region. Dose escalation to bosutinib 600 mg/d or imatinib 600 mg/d was permitted upon failure to achieve optimal response (2009 European LeukemiaNet criteria) (Baccarani et al., 2009) if no grade 3/4 or persistent grade 2 drug-related toxicity occurred. Treatment was discontinued if disease progression occurred.

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol was approved by an institutional review board at each study site before patient enrollment. Patients provided written informed consent before protocol procedures were performed.

Efficacy and safety assessments

The primary efficacy endpoint was CCyR at 12 months (Cortes et al., 2012). Secondary endpoints included MMR at 12 months, duration of CCyR, MMR and complete haematological response (CHR) and time to on-treatment transformation to AP/BP CML. Key longer-term and exploratory planned analyses included response by Sokal risk group; and comparisons by arm of rate and type of mutation, time to response, event-free survival (EFS) and overall survival (OS). Safety was assessed on an ongoing basis from time of consent (Cortes et al., 2012).

Cytogenetic assessments were conducted using bone marrow aspirates collected every 3 months during year 1 and every 6 months during year 2 of study treatment (Cortes et al., 2012). Molecular assessments were based on quantitative reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction for BCR-ABL1 in peripheral blood and conducted every 3 months during the first 2 years of study treatment. For assessment of MMR [transcript levels of BCR-ABL1 ≤ 0.1% on the International Scale (IS)], ≥3000 control genes (ABL1) were required; molecular response (MR) with a ≥ 2-log reduction in BCR-ABL1 IS (MR4; i.e., ≤0.01%) required ≥8100 control genes.

Progression to AP/BP CML was defined as meeting ≥1 criterion for either AP [15%–29% blasts, <15% blasts with ≥30% blasts + promyelocytes, ≥20% basophils (blood or bone marrow) or platelet counts <100 × 10^9/l (blood), unless related to therapy] or BP [≥30% blasts in blood, bone marrow, or extramedullary involvement other than liver or spleen]. EFS was calculated from time of randomization to first on-treatment EFS event, including death from any cause, transformation to AP/BP CML, increased white blood cell count (WBC; doubling of WBC after ≥1 month with the second WBC >20 × 10^9/l and maintained for ≥2 weeks) without CHR, loss of CHR or loss of CCyR. Patients were censored at their last assessment if no EFS event occurred. OS was calculated from time of randomization until death from any cause or date of last follow-up contact.

Statistical analyses

The BELA trial had ≥90% power to detect a difference of 0.15 in CCyR rates at 1 year (improvement from 0.65 in the imatinib arm to 0.80 in the bosutinib arm) at the 0.025 one-sided significance level (\( P \) value <0.025, 1-sided) (Cortes et al., 2012). Strong control of the family-wide error rate was achieved by allowing analysis of the primary endpoint to serve as a gatekeeper for additional statistical testing. Because the study failed to achieve its primary objective, all presented
results are considered exploratory and descriptive. Response rates and associated 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated for each treatment; statistical analysis was based on either a Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) test (2-sided P value) or Fisher exact test (2-sided P value) with stratification by Sokal risk group (low, <0-8; intermediate, 0-8–1-2; high, >1-2) and geographic region. For time-to-event endpoints, distributions and medians were estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method or cumulative incidence in the competing risk setting (i.e., treatment failure and discontinuation of treatment without failure) as appropriate; associated 95% CIs for medians were obtained using Brookmeyer-Crowley methodology. Hazard ratios were estimated using a Cox proportional hazards model stratified by Sokal risk group and geographic region. A retrospective, exploratory landmark analysis was performed to evaluate long-term outcomes in patients with a BCR-ABL1/ABL1 ratio ≤10% vs. >10% at 3 months using a cumulative rate of CCyR or MMR by 12 or 24 months or Kaplan–Meier method for EFS and OS. The findings from these retrospective analyses should be interpreted in the context of false-positive rate due to uncontrolled multiple comparisons done repeatedly over time and should be viewed as hypothesis-generating.

Efficacy analyses were performed on all randomized patients; for response analyses, patients who had missing data or discontinued before treatment response or failure were considered nonresponders. Safety analyses were performed on the safety population (all patients who received ≥1 dose of study drug).

**Results**

**Patients and treatment**

A total of 502 patients at 139 centres in 31 countries were randomized to bosutinib (n = 250) or imatinib (n = 252) between February 2008 and July 2009; 248 and 251 patients, respectively, received treatment (Cortes et al., 2012). Baseline characteristics were balanced across treatment arms [median age, 47–48 years (11%–12% of patients aged ≥65 years); 18% of patients in the high-risk Sokal category; Table I].

The duration from end of patient accrual to time of analysis (26 September, 2011) was >24 months. Median (range) duration of bosutinib and imatinib treatment at the time of this analysis was 27.5 (0.03–41.3) and 27.5 (0.5–38.8) months. Treatment dose was escalated to 600 mg/d because of lack of efficacy for 15 (6%) bosutinib-treated and 46 (18%) imatinib-treated patients.

At the time of analysis, 156/248 (63%) bosutinib-treated and 179/251 (71%) imatinib-treated patients were still receiving treatment. Reasons for discontinuation among bosutinib and imatinib patients, respectively, included adverse events (AEs, 24%; 7%), disease progression/lack of efficacy (4%; 13%), subject/investigator request (4%; 6%), death (1%, <1%), protocol violation (0%; 1%), failure to return (<1%; 0%), lost to follow-up (2%; 0%), and ‘other’ (1%; 2%). The majority of treatment discontinuations due to AEs in both arms occurred during the first 12 months (Fig 1). Among patients who discontinued bosutinib and imatinib, respectively, 37/92 (40%) and 17/72 (24%) were in CCyR at the time of discontinuation; 21/92 (23%) and 17/72 (24%) were in CCyR at any time during the study, 151/197 and 172/204 (95% CI), –8% (–16%, 1%), as were cumulative CCyR rates by 24 months [79% and 80%; rate difference (95% CI), –1% (–8%, 6%)]. Among patients achieving CCyR at any time during the study, 151/197 and 172/204 responders remained on treatment and maintained CCyR at the time of analysis.

At 24 months, MMR rate was 47% for bosutinib and 41% for imatinib [rate difference (95% CI), 6% (–3%, 14%)], including 16% and 12% of patients achieving MR4 [rate
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**Table I. Patient demographics and baseline disease characteristics.**

| Characteristic                        | Bosutinib (n = 250) | Imatinib (n = 252) |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Median age (range), years             | 48 (19–91)          | 47 (18–89)         |
| <65 years, n (%)                      | 220 (88)            | 225 (89)           |
| ≥65 years, n (%)                      | 30 (12)             | 27 (11)            |
| Sex, male, n (%)                      | 149 (60)            | 135 (54)           |
| Race, n (%)                           | White 160 (64)      | 164 (65)           |
|                                        | Asian 65 (26)       | 57 (23)            |
|                                        | Other 25 (10)       | 31 (12)            |
| Median (range) time since diagnosis, days* | 23 (0–183)         | 22 (0–241)         |
| ECOG performance status, n (%)        | 0 185 (74)          | 181 (72)           |
|                                       | 1 65 (26)           | 71 (28)            |
| Sokal risk, (low/intermediate/high), %† | 35/47/18            | 35/47/18           |
| Geographic region, n (%)              | United States, Canada, Western Europe 65 (26) | 66 (26) |
|                                       | Eastern Europe, Latin America 77 (31) | 79 (31) |
|                                       | Other (e.g., India, Japan, Singapore) 108 (43) | 107 (42) |

*Range minimum is zero due to diagnosis of chronic myeloid leukaemia during the study screening period; range maximum is >6 months because of one patient who was considered a major protocol violator.

†Low Sokal risk corresponds to scores <0-8; intermediate risk corresponds to scores 0-8–1-2; high risk corresponds to scores >1-2.
difference (95% CI), 4% (−2%, 10%). Cumulative MMR rate by 24 months was 59% with bosutinib and 49% with imatinib [rate difference (95% CI), 10% (2%, 19%)]; 125/153 and 117/131 responders, respectively, were still on study treatment and retained MMR at the time of analysis. The median duration of MMR was not yet reached in either treatment arm.

Logistic regression was used to model the probability of response, with treatment, Sokal risk group, and treatment by Sokal risk group interaction included as predictors. The Wald test did not demonstrate a significant interaction between treatment and Sokal risk group for either CCyR or MMR at 24 months (P = 0.366 and P = 0.164, respectively). At 24 months, CCyR rates for bosutinib and imatinib, respectively, were 69% and 66% for the low-risk Sokal group, 55% and 70% for the intermediate-risk group and 47% and 51% for the high-risk group. MMR rates were 58% and 43% for the low-risk Sokal group, 42% and 42% for the intermediate-risk group, and 38% and 38% for the high-risk group. CCyR or MMR odds ratios for patients receiving bosutinib versus imatinib by Sokal risk group is shown in Fig 2.

Compared with the imatinib arm, numerically fewer patients receiving bosutinib discontinued treatment due to disease progression/lack of efficacy (n = 10 vs. n = 33), defined according to European LeukemiaNet recommendations (Baccarani et al, 2009) (including on-treatment transformation to AP/BP CML), and numerically fewer experienced on-treatment transformation to AP/BP CML (n = 4 vs. n = 14).

Since the 12-month primary analysis, no new on-treatment transformations to AP/BP CML occurred with bosutinib versus four with imatinib. Cumulative incidence estimates of AP/BP transformation by 24 months in the competing risk setting in the bosutinib and imatinib arms were 2% and 5% (P = 0.019 based on Gray’s test for comparing cumulative incidence functions between treatment arms in the presence of competing risks of treatment discontinuation for any reason).

The Kaplan–Meier estimate of on-treatment EFS at 24 months was 92% [95% CI (87%, 95%)] for bosutinib versus 88% [95% CI (83%, 91%)] for imatinib, with median EFS not yet reached in either arm (Fig 3A). Estimates of EFS may be biased by early treatment discontinuations without an EFS event. Patients were not routinely followed for progression after treatment discontinuation; more patients discontinued treatment without progression in the bosutinib versus imatinib arm. Twelve (5%) and 34 (14%) patients discontinued treatment because of disease progression or death in the bosutinib and imatinib arms; 80 (32%) and 38 (15%) discontinued for other reasons (adverse events, patient request, investigator request, protocol violation, failure to return, lost to follow-up and other).

There were seven deaths in the bosutinib arm and 13 in the imatinib arm, representing an increase of three deaths in each treatment arm [all due to disease progression, except one imatinib patient (due to pneumonia)] since the 12-month primary analysis. Monitoring for survival continued after patients came off treatment; the Kaplan–Meier estimate...
of 24-month survival was 97% [95% CI (94%, 99%)] for bosutinib and 95% [95% CI (91%, 97%)] for imatinib, with median OS not yet reached for either arm (Fig 3B). Most deaths (bosutinib, 5/7; imatinib, 9/13) occurred >28 d after treatment discontinuation. Deaths due to CML progression occurred in 6/7 patients receiving bosutinib and 10/13 patients receiving imatinib. Non-CML-related deaths (one patient each) included mesenteric embolia/intestinal necrosis (bosutinib), cardiovascular disease (imatinib), lung embolism (imatinib) and pneumonia (imatinib); none were considered related to study treatment by the investigator.

The CCyR and MMR rates appeared lower or similar for older (≥65 years) versus younger patients in both bosutinib (CCyR, 70% vs. 80%, respectively; MMR, 53% vs. 62%) and imatinib arms (CCyR, 78% vs. 81%; MMR, 48% vs. 52%), as was the proportion of patients retaining response at the time of analysis (Table SIII).

In the retrospective landmark exploratory analysis, significantly more patients in the bosutinib versus imatinib arm had a BCR-ABL1/ABL1 ratio ≤10% (IS) at 3 months [179/208 (86%) vs. 146/223 (66%), respectively; P < 0.001]. Cumulative CCyR and MMR rates by 12 and 24 months were higher among patients with a BCR-ABL1/ABL1 ratio ≤10% vs. >10% at month 3 (P < 0.001 both treatment arms; Table II), as reflected in the time to CCyR and MMR curves (Fig 4A, B). OS and EFS appeared better among patients in the bosutinib arm with a BCR-ABL1/ABL1 ratio ≤10% vs. >10% at month 3 (P = 0.004 for both endpoints). No significant difference was detected among imatinib patients, although in both arms the data are immature, with most of the patients without an event (Table II; Fig 4C).

Baseline BCR-ABL1 sequencing data were available from 245 bosutinib and 246 imatinib patients. The majority of sequence variations detected at baseline in each treatment arm were polymorphisms (bosutinib, n = 24/26; imatinib, n = 16/17), the most common of which was E499E (bosutinib, n = 21; imatinib, n = 11) in both arms. Additional polymorphisms included T837T (bosutinib, n = 1; imatinib, n = 4), K247R (bosutinib, n = 1; imatinib, n = 1) and T240T (bosutinib, n = 1). Three point mutations were identified at baseline: E197K (imatinib, n = 1), Y320C (bosutinib, n = 1), and R473Q (bosutinib, n = 1). Among patients with baseline BCR-ABL1 sequence variations receiving bosutinib and imatinib, respectively, the cumulative CCyR rate by 24 months was 20/26 (77%) and 13/17 (76%); the cumulative MMR rate by 24 months was 13/26 (50%) and 8/17 (47%).

Sequencing data at discontinuation were available from 70/92 (76%) bosutinib and 55/72 (76%) imatinib patients, including 9/10 (90%) and 25/33 (76%) who discontinued because of progressive disease. At treatment discontinuation, emergent point mutations were less common among bosutinib [n = 4 (6%)] versus imatinib patients [n = 10 (18%); Table III]. One of the four patients in the bosutinib arm had achieved CCyR before detection of V299L mutation, and two experienced on-treatment transformation to AP/BP CML (one patient each with the E255K and T315I mutations). In the imatinib arm, three patients (one patient each with the M244V, G250E, and D276G/T277A/T315I mutations) had achieved CCyR before detection of V299L mutation, and two experienced on-treatment transformation to AP/BP CML (one patient each with the E255K and T315I mutations). These results suggest that bosutinib may be a better option for patients with emergent mutations who cannot tolerate continued treatment with imatinib.

Safety and tolerability

Compared with imatinib, bosutinib was associated with higher incidences of some gastrointestinal events and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) increases. In the bosutinib arm, 11/73 (15%) patients experienced Grade 3-4 gastrointestinal events, compared to 8/73 (11%) in the imatinib arm. Increases in ALT ≥3-fold were observed in 21/73 (29%) bosutinib and 12/73 (16%) imatinib patients. Higher incidences of some gastrointestinal events and ALT increases were also associated with a lower proportion of patients achieving CCyR or MMR in the bosutinib arm. A summary of treatment discontinuation-related events is provided in Table III.
aminotransferase (ALT)/aspartate aminotransferase (AST) elevations and lower incidences of some musculoskeletal and oedema events, neutropenia, leucopenia, hypophosphataemia and blood creatinine phosphokinase elevations (Table IV).

Cardiovascular AEs were experienced by 25 (10%) bosutinib and 19 (8%) imatinib patients, including 7 (3%) and 1 (<1%) who experienced a grade ≥3 event. The most common cardiovascular AEs were hypertension (6% vs. 4%) and palpitations (2% vs. 2%). Cardiac failure occurred in 1 (<1%) bosutinib-treated patient and 2 (1%) imatinib-treated patients. No cases of myocardial infarction or peripheral arterial occlusive disease were reported. No statistically significant differences in cardiovascular AEs between the imatinib and bosutinib groups were evident.

The most common grade 3/4 treatment-emergent AE was diarrhoea (bosutinib, 12%; imatinib, 1%; Table IV). Diarrhoea of all grades typically occurred during the first month of bosutinib treatment, with a short median time to first event (3.0 vs. 43.0 d with imatinib) and a short median duration of event (3.0 vs. 5.5 d with imatinib). At the time of discontinuation, diarrhoea was reported in 27 patients receiving bosutinib (grade 1, n = 17; grade 2, n = 7; grade 3, n = 3) and six patients receiving imatinib (grade 1, n = 5; grade 2, n = 1). However, only one patient receiving imatinib discontinued

Fig 3. (A) Event-free survival and (B) overall survival. EFS, event-free survival; overall survival (OS).
Grades of neutropenia were lower with bosutinib (10%) compared with imatinib (24%). Other frequently reported grade 3/4 hematological abnormalities were: thrombocytopenia (bosutinib, 8%; imatinib, 0%) and anemia (2% vs. 6%).

Consistent with the primary 12-month analysis (Cortes et al, 2012), the incidence of grade 3/4 laboratory abnormalities of neutropenia was lower with bosutinib (10%) versus imatinib (10%), and these occurred more frequently with bosutinib (23%; imatinib, 10%) or dose reduction (bosutinib, 8%; imatinib, 0%) of study treatment.

During treatment solely because of diarrhoea. Diarrhoea was typically treated with anti-diarrhoeal medication (bosutinib, 69%; imatinib, 42%); additional management included temporary interruption (bosutinib, 23%; imatinib, 10%) or dose reduction (bosutinib, 8%; imatinib, 0%) of study treatment.

Grade 3/4 nonhaematological laboratory abnormalities of increased ALT (23% vs. 4%) and increased AST (12% vs. 4%) occurred more frequently with bosutinib than imatinib. ALT elevations (all grades) occurred with a median time to first event of 28.0 d (bosutinib) and 141.0 d (imatinib), with a median duration of 17.0 and 28.0 d, respectively. The time course of AST elevations was similar, with a median time to first event of 28.5 d (bosutinib) and 139.0 d (imatinib), with a median duration of 14.5 and 28.0 d, respectively. Concurrent medication and modification of study treatment (i.e., temporary interruption and/or dose reduction) were commonly used to manage ALT and AST elevations. No cases in the bosutinib arm led to hospitalization, were associated with permanent hepatic injury or liver-related deaths, or met Hy’s law criteria (i.e., ALT or AST ≥3 x upper limit of normal (ULN) plus total bilirubin ≥2 x ULN, with near-normal alkaline phosphatase levels).

Other commonly reported (i.e., ≥5% of patients) grade 3/4 laboratory abnormalities included hypophosphataemia (6%, bosutinib; 20%, imatinib), increased creatine kinase (<1% vs. 7%), increased lipase (10% vs. 6%) and hypokalaemia (2% vs. 6%).

Overall, dose interruptions and reductions due to AEs occurred more frequently with bosutinib [n = 163 (66%) and n = 106 (43%)] versus imatinib [n = 112 (45%) and n = 52 (21%)]. However, dose modifications were more frequent during the first versus second 12 months on treatment in both the bosutinib (dose interruption, 59% vs. 27%; dose reduction, 38% vs. 10%) and imatinib (dose interruption, 40% vs. 18%; dose reduction, 17% vs. 5%) arms. Treatment discontinuations due to AEs were also more common with bosutinib [n = 62 (25%)] versus imatinib [n = 23 (9%)]. AEs most frequently leading to treatment discontinuation included increased ALT [bosutinib, n = 11 (4%); imatinib, n = 1 (<1%)], thrombocytopenia [bosutinib, n = 6 (2%); imatinib, n = 5 (2%)], neutropenia [bosutinib, n = 4 (2%); imatinib, n = 3 (1%)], vomiting [bosutinib, n = 4 (2%)], increased lipase [bosutinib, n = 3 (1%)] and pleural effusion [bosutinib, n = 3 (1%); the three patients with pleural effusion discontinued after 118, 176, and 409 d on treatment (all three pleural effusion events were considered treatment related by the investigators)].

The rates of common treatment-emergent AEs were generally similar or higher among older patients (Table SII). The rates of overall grade 3/4 treatment-emergent AEs, treatment
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**Table II.** Landmark analysis of long-term outcomes (12 and 24 months) by early molecular response (BCR-ABL1/ABL1 ratio ≤10% or >10% at 3 months).

|                | Bosutinib |                  | Imatinib |                  |
|----------------|-----------|------------------|----------|------------------|
|                | BCR-ABL1/ABL1 Ratio IS |                  | BCR-ABL1/ABL1 Ratio IS |                  |
|                | ≤10% | >10%      | ≤10% | >10%      |
| Evaluable patients,* n (%) | 179/250 (72) | 29/250 (12) | 146/252 (58) | 77/252 (31) |
| CCyR, n (%) | 170 (95)† | 14 (48)      | 135 (93)† | 42 (55)      |
| MMR, n (%)  | 172 (96)† | 14 (48)      | 138 (95)† | 50 (65)      |
| EFS probability,‡ % (95% CI) | 97.1 (93-2, 98-8) | 90.0 (66-3, 97-4) | 95.8 (90-8, 98-1) | 89.9 (80-0, 95-0) |
| OS probability,‡ % (95% CI) | 93.2 (88-1, 96-2) | 83-1 (56-6, 94-2) | 91.8 (85-7, 95-4) | 84-6 (73-3, 91-4) |

CCyR, complete cytogenetic response (defined as described previously) (Cortes et al, 2012); EFS, event-free survival; IS, International Scale; MMR, major molecular response; NA, not attained; OS, overall survival.

*Evaluable patients had a valid molecular assessment at 3 months.

†P < 0.001 for BCR-ABL1/ABL1 ratio ≤10% vs. >10% (Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test for general association).

‡Kaplan-Meier probability estimates.

§P value based on stratified log rank test of time-to-event endpoint in patients with BCR-ABL1/ABL1 ratio ≤10% vs. >10% at 3 months within each treatment arm.
Fig 4. Time to (A) CCyR and (B) MMR, and (C) Kaplan–Meier estimate of on-treatment EFS among patients receiving bosutinib or imatinib with *BCR-ABL1/ABL1* ratio ≤10% vs. >10% at month 3 (landmark analysis). CCyR, complete cytogenetic response; EFS, event-free survival; MMR, major molecular response.
modifications and treatment discontinuations due to AEs were more frequent among older patients.

**Discussion**

In the previously reported 12-month analysis of data from the BELA trial, bosutinib did not demonstrate a superior rate of CCyR versus imatinib (primary endpoint). However, bosutinib was associated with faster achievement of CCyR; faster time to response; higher response rates at 3, 6 and 9 months and superiority in several secondary and exploratory endpoints, including achievement of MMR (Cortes et al, 2012). MMR is associated with approximately a 1-log deeper response than CCyR with respect to detection of BCR-ABL1 levels and has been shown to predict longer duration of CCyR (Cortes et al, 2005; Iacobucci et al, 2006) and increased probability of progression-free survival (PFS) (Hughes et al, 2003) among CML patients. Deeper responses, such as MMR and MR4, are clinically relevant because they are assumed to be associated with an increased chance of future durable treatment discontinuation without molecular relapse (Mahon et al, 2010). Consistent with the 12-month analysis, rates of MMR at 24 months and cumulative rates of MMR by 24 months were higher for bosutinib versus imatinib (59% vs. 49% cumulative MMR by 24 months). Notably, the proportion of patients with MMR was higher with bosutinib (47%) and imatinib (41%) at 24 months than in the primary 12-month analysis (41%; 27%) (Cortes et al, 2012); most responders (125/153 and 117/131, respectively) still retained MMR and were on study treatment as of this data snapshot.

Point mutations in the BCR-ABL1 kinase domain were detected at treatment discontinuation in both treatment arms. Interestingly, whereas sequence variations (mostly representing polymorphisms) detected before treatment initiation occurred at similar frequency in both treatment arms, Table III. Summary of patients with emergent BCR-ABL1 mutations detected at treatment discontinuation.

| Mutation   | Sokal Risk Score Group* | Best response achieved | Reason for treatment discontinuation | Current status                                      |
|------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| **Bosutinib arm** |                        |                        |                                      |                                                    |
| E255K      | Intermediate            | Partial CyR; partial MR | Transformation to AP/BP CML after 140 d on treatment | Died because of disease progression 211 d after last study dose |
| T315I      | Intermediate            | Minimal CyR; complete MR | Transformation to AP/BP CML after 71 d on treatment | Died because of disease progression 315 d after last study dose |
| V299L      | High                    | CCyR; partial MR       | Emergence of mutation after 552 d on treatment | Alive, in the long-term follow-up phase of the study |
| T315I      | High                    | Minimal CyR; partial MR | Emergence of mutation after 341 d on treatment | Alive, in the long-term follow-up phase of the study |
| **Imatinib arm** |                        |                        |                                      |                                                    |
| M244V      | Low                     | CCyR; partial MR       | Disease progression after 698 d on treatment | No information                                      |
| M244V      | Intermediate            | Minor CyR; partial MR  | Disease progression after 516 d on treatment | Alive, in the long-term follow-up phase of the study |
| G250E      | Intermediate            | CCyR; MMR              | Patient request (BMT) after 533 d on treatment | Alive, in the long-term follow-up phase of the study |
| Y253H      | Intermediate            | No CyR; partial MR     | Transformation to AP/BP CML after 379 d on treatment | Alive, in the long-term follow-up phase of the study |
| Q252H      | Intermediate            | No CyR; partial MR     | Transformation to AP/BP CML after 98 d on treatment | Died because of disease progression 32 d after last study dose |
| G250E      | Intermediate            | Partial CyR; partial MR| Transformation to AP/BP CML after 378 d on treatment | Died because of an AE (pneumonia) unrelated to study treatment |
| E255K      | High                    | Partial CyR; partial MR| Treatment failure after 588 d on treatment | Alive, in the long-term follow-up phase of the study |
| M244V/M351T| Low                     | No CyR; partial MR     | Transformation to AP/BP CML after 78 d on treatment | No information                                      |
| D276G/H396R| Intermediate            | No CyR; partial MR     | Transformation to AP/BP CML after 86 d on treatment | Alive, in the long-term follow-up phase of the study |
| D276G/T277A/ T315I | Low                   | CCyR; partial MR       | Emergence of mutation after 340 d on treatment | Alive, in the long-term follow-up phase of the study |

AE, adverse event; AP, accelerated phase; BMT, bone marrow transplant; BP, blast phase; CyR, cytogenetic response; CCyR, complete cytogenetic response; CML, chronic myeloid leukaemia; MMR, major molecular response; MR, molecular response.

*Low corresponds to Sokal scores <0.8; intermediate corresponds to Sokal scores 0.8–1.2; high corresponds to Sokal scores >1.2.
these mutations appeared less often in bosutinib-treated [n = 4 (6%)] versus imatinib-treated [n = 10 (18%)] patients with sequencing data at discontinuation. A few patients in each treatment arm had achieved response before treatment discontinuation (and emergent mutation detection).

At 24 months, Kaplan–Meier estimates of the probability of EFS and OS remained high in the bosutinib and imatinib arms (92% and 88%, respectively, for EFS; 97% and 95% for OS), representing reductions of only 2–5% per treatment arm from the primary 12-month analysis (Cortes et al, 2012). Because of very low numbers of events in the present analysis, long-term endpoints are not yet mature. However, the observed estimates appear similar to 24-month estimates reported for the DASISION (DASatinib versus Imatinib Study In treatment-Naive CML patients) trial of dasatinib versus imatinib [92–94% for EFS (across treatment arms); 95% for OS] (Kantarjian et al, 2012) and the ENESTnd (Evaluating Nilotinib Efficacy and Safety in Clinical Trials – Newly Diagnosed Patients) trial of nilotinib versus imatinib (94–98% for EFS; 96–98% for OS) (Kantarjian et al, 2011b) in newly diagnosed CP-CML patients. As in the current BELA analysis, CML progression was the most common cause of death across treatment arms in DASISION (Kantarjian et al, 2012) and ENESTnd (Kantarjian et al, 2011b). Importantly, few (n = 4) on-treatment transformations to AP/BP CML occurred with bosutinib, with no new transformations reported since the primary 12-month analysis; in the imatinib arm, 14 patients experienced transformation, including four new transformations. In the German CML IV study of imatinib in patients with newly diagnosed CML, those who achieved MMR at 12 months had significantly improved PFS and OS at 3 years versus those who did not (Hehlmann et al, 2011). Longer-term evaluation in the present study will permit survival comparison.

A retrospective landmark analysis of this study indicates that a BCR-ABL1/ABL1 ratio ≤10% vs. >10% on the IS at month 3 is associated with a greater likelihood of achieving CCyR or MMR by 12 and 24 months. A similar trend was generally observed for EFS and OS among bosutinib patients, although the long-term endpoints are immature, as a majority of the patients did not have an event. In addition, a significantly higher proportion of patients achieved this important landmark at month 3 with bosutinib versus imatinib (86% vs. 66%; P < 0.001).

Bosutinib demonstrated acceptable toxicity in the current analysis, with an overall toxicity profile distinct from that of imatinib. Compared with imatinib, bosutinib was associated with higher rates of gastrointestinal events and ALT/AST elevations; both were mostly manageable with concomitant medication and/or dose modification. Although common with bosutinib, diarrhea was typically of low severity, transient and occurred early during treatment; diarrhea incidence (all grades) increased by only 2% since the primary 12-month analysis (Cortes et al, 2012). Similarly, the incidence of grade 3/4 ALT and AST laboratory abnormalities in the bosutinib arm were also little changed (1% increase for both), as was the associated number of treatment discontinuations (i.e., 9 of 11 patients discontinued because of ALT elevation). ALT and AST elevations were typically transient; no cases in the bosutinib arm met Hy’s law criterion.

T. H. Brümmendorf et al

### Table IV. Treatment-emergent AEs reported for ≥10% of patients

| AE, * n (%) | Bosutinib (n = 248) | Imatinib (n = 251) |
|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|             | All Grades | Grade 3/4 | All Grades | Grade 3/4 |
| Diarrhoea   | 173 (70)   | 29 (12)   | 62 (25)    | 2 (1)     |
| Nausea      | 80 (32)    | 2 (1)     | 91 (36)    | 0         |
| Vomiting    | 80 (32)    | 8 (3)     | 39 (16)    | 0         |
| Increased ALT | 79 (32)  | 45 (18)   | 21 (8)     | 7 (3)     |
| Thrombocytopenia | 69 (28)  | 31 (13)   | 70 (28)    | 34 (14)   |
| Increased AST | 66 (27)  | 20 (8)    | 22 (9)     | 8 (3)     |
| Anemia      | 61 (25)    | 21 (8)    | 56 (22)    | 14 (6)    |
| Rash        | 59 (24)    | 4 (2)     | 47 (19)    | 2 (1)     |
| Pyrexia     | 45 (18)    | 3 (1)     | 31 (12)    | 3 (1)     |
| Upper abdominal pain | 35 (14) | 0         | 18 (7)     | 0         |
| Increased lipase | 34 (14) | 18 (7)    | 28 (11)    | 15 (6)    |
| Abdominal pain | 33 (13) | 3 (1)     | 17 (7)     | 1 (<1)    |
| Neutropenia | 32 (13)    | 19 (8)    | 73 (29)    | 41 (16)   |
| Headache    | 32 (13)    | 2 (1)     | 28 (11)    | 0         |
| Fatigue     | 32 (13)    | 3 (1)     | 34 (14)    | 2 (1)     |
| Upper respiratory tract infection | 29 (12) | 0         | 20 (8)     | 0         |
| Leukopenia  | 23 (9)     | 7 (3)     | 54 (22)    | 14 (6)    |
| Cough       | 23 (9)     | 0         | 27 (11)    | 0         |
| Hypophosphataemia | 19 (8) | 3 (1)     | 45 (18)    | 25 (10)   |
| Arthralgia  | 18 (7)     | 0         | 31 (12)    | 1 (<1)    |
| Increased blood creatinine phosphokinase | 17 (7) | 1 (<1) | 48 (19) | 12 (5) |
| Myalgia     | 14 (6)     | 0         | 30 (12)    | 2 (1)     |
| Muscle spasms | 11 (4)  | 0         | 56 (22)    | 0         |
| Bone pain   | 10 (4)     | 0         | 26 (10)    | 2 (1)     |
| Peripheral oedema | 9 (4) | 0 | 28 (11) | 0 |
| Periorbital oedema | 3 (1) | 0 | 37 (15) | 0 |

AE, adverse event; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase. Grey shading indicates P value <0.05 based on Fisher exact test (2-tailed) for incidence (all grades) with bosutinib versus imatinib. Lighter grey shading indicates AEs more common with bosutinib; darker grey shading indicates AEs more common with imatinib.

*AEs were graded using the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, version 3.0 (http://ctep.cancer.gov/protocolDevelopment/electronic_applications/docs/ctcaev3.pdf).
retention with imatinib in the current analysis is unsurprising, as fluid retention/superficial oedema has previously been reported with imatinib treatment (http://www.pharma.us.novartis.com/product/pi/pdf/gleevec_tabs.pdf). Kantarjian et al., 2009, 2010; Saglio et al., 2010); pleural/pericardial effusions have been observed more commonly with dasatinib treatment (Talpaz et al., 2006; Hochhaus et al., 2007; Kantarjian et al., 2009, 2010; Quintas-Cardama et al., 2007; Shah et al., 2008; http://packageinserts.bms.com/pi/pi_sprycel.pdf). The lower incidence of grade 3/4 neutropenia with bosutinib versus imatinib may be at least partially due to the minimal inhibition of c-Kit by bosutinib relative to imatinib (Bartolovic et al., 2004; Pusztini et al., 2006).

Although more bosutinib-treated (25%) versus imatinib-treated (9%) patients discontinued treatment because of an AE, the majority of these discontinuations occurred before the 12-month analysis (bosutinib, 19%; imatinib, 6%) (Cortes et al., 2012), consistent with observations with other TKIs for which most AEs occur early during treatment. Treatment discontinuation for any reason was also more common with bosutinib (37%) versus imatinib (29%), which could have biased observed efficacy outcomes.

Bosutinib demonstrated activity in both older and younger patients; although the frequency of certain toxicities and treatment discontinuations due to treatment-emergent AEs was higher among older patients, the safety profile of bosutinib remained manageable and distinct from that of imatinib regardless of age.

The current analysis of BELA, including data captured within a minimum of 24 months after the end of accrual, confirmed the efficacy and distinct but manageable tolerability profile of bosutinib in newly diagnosed CP CML previously observed in the primary 12-month analysis (Cortes et al., 2012). These findings were demonstrated in both older and younger patients. Patients with a BCR-ABL1/ABL1 ratio ≤10% at month 3 had a greater likelihood of better long-term outcomes, underscoring the importance of deeper response monitoring. At treatment discontinuation, emergent BCR/ABL kinase domain point mutations were less common with bosutinib versus imatinib. The higher rate of MMR with bosutinib versus imatinib previously observed in the primary 12-month analysis (Cortes et al., 2012) continues to be preserved in this 24-month follow-up, as was the high estimated probability of OS. A low frequency of transforma-

tion to AP and BP CML and high estimated probability of OS were also observed. Further follow-up is warranted for a more thorough evaluation of survival.
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