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Solid Lipid Curcumin Particles Induce More DNA Fragmentation and Cell Death in Cultured Human Glioblastoma Cells than Does Natural Curcumin
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1. Introduction

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is one of the most prevalent, deadliest, and aggressive brain cancers (grade-IV astrocytoma, WHO) affecting millions of people worldwide [1]. It accounts for ~60–70% of gliomas [2] and 15% of primary brain tumors [3], with the median survival time being about 15 months following its initial diagnosis [1]. Despite current advances in existing therapeutic modalities, including surgery, radiotherapy, and chemotherapies, GBM remains incurable. Although the use of chemotherapeutic agents, such as the DNA-alkylating agent, temozolomide (TMZ), provides modest survival benefits for the GBM patient [4–6], these drugs are unable to stop the progression of this disease [7, 8], because GBMs are inherently resistance to TMZ. In search of alternative therapies, several investigators [9–13] have studied the anticancer effects of curcumin (Cur), a natural polyphenol, in human malignancies, including those found in various tissues, such as breast, prostate, colon, liver, and brain.

Curcumin is a bright, yellow-colored pigment, derived from the root of the herb, Curcuma longa, a traditional spice from Indian and South Asian countries [14]. Because of its potential inhibitory effects on tumor growth, especially the suppression of cellular transformation and inhibition of cell proliferation, invasion, angiogenesis, and metastatic effects, Cur has been targeted for therapeutic application in several cancers, including GBM [13, 15, 16]. Higher concentration
of Cur kills cancer cells and can be used to treat different cancers [17, 18], by generating ROS and disrupting AKT/mTOR signaling [9], inducing apoptotic death [11], inhibiting NF-kB in human neuroblastoma [10]. Similarly, Cur suppresses growth and chemoresistance of cultured U-87MG cells via AP-1 and NF-kB transcription factors [13], induces apoptosis in SH-SY5Y cells through nuclear translocation and activation of p53 [12], and attenuates glioma growth in a syngeneic mouse model by inhibition of the JAK1,2/STAT3 signaling pathway [19].

Unfortunately, because of its poor solubility and instability in physiological fluids, the bioavailability of natural Cur is limited, which is considered one of the major obstacles for delivering the therapeutically significant amounts of Cur for targeting GBM [20, 21]. Different lipidated formulas have been developed by several investigators to increase its solubility and bioavailability for cancer therapy [18, 22, 23]. Recently, solid lipid particles (SLPs), conjugated with Cur (SLCPs; see supplementary Figure S1 available online at https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/9656719), has been characterized by our and other laboratories to increase Cur solubility, stability, and bioavailability in vitro, in animal models [24–29, 45–49], as well as clinical studies on Alzheimer’s disease [30, 31]. Given this, the present study was designed to compare the mechanistic details of cell death in vitro using the cells derived from human (U-87MG) and mouse (GL261) GBM tissues after treatment with Cur and/or SLCP. Our results suggest that SLCP kills more GBM cells than Cur by inducing ROS and other cell death markers, thereby inhibiting cell survival pathways in vitro.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals. Curcumin [purity > 65% (HPLC); catalog number CI386-50G], MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium], annexin-V staining kit (catalog number A21181), propidium iodide (PI), ethidium bromide (EtBr), agarose, proteinase-K, and other accessory chemicals were procured from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). BrdU-Red DNA fragmentation assay kit (TUNEL staining kit) was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, catalog number ABIN411977), propidium iodide (PI), ethidium bromide (EtBr), agarose, proteinase-K, and other accessory chemicals were procured from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). The GL261 cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium-1640 (RPMI-1640), along with 10% FBS and penicillin/streptomycin (pen: 100 U/mL; strep: 100 μg/mL). Similarly, the GL261 cells were cultured in Eagle’s Minimum Essential Medium (MEM, Gibco) containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and penicillin/streptomycin (pen: 100 U/mL; strep: 100 μg/mL). The culture was maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere at 5% CO2.

2.2. Cell Culture. U-87MG and GL261 cell lines were used for this study. Briefly, the U-87MG cells were grown in Eagle’s Minimum Essential Medium (EMEM, Gibco) containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and penicillin/streptomycin (pen: 100 U/mL; strep: 100 μg/mL). Similarly, the GL261 cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium-1640 (RPMI-1640), along with 10% FBS and pen (100 U/mL) and strep (100 μg/mL). The culture was maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere at 5% CO2. Prior to the experiment, the cells were grown either in 60 mm Petri dishes and 96-well plates on glass coverslips, with fresh EMEM and antibiotics, but without growth factors, depending on the experimental setup. For Cur and/or SLCP permeability study, the N2a cells and mouse primary hippocampal neurons were used. The N2a cells were grown in EMEM, and mouse embryonic-16 (E16) hippocampal neurons were grown in neurobasal media containing B27 supplementation for 7 days, as described previously [35].

2.3. Curcumin and/or SLCP Treatment. The solubility and permeability of both Cur and SLCP were investigated in cell cultures and in vivo, as described previously [36]. Because Cur solubilizes best in methanol (28), therefore, the Cur and SLCP were dissolved in pure methanol (100%) and then diluted in Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS) to obtain its desired concentration before being added to the Petri dish containing the cells. The final methanol concentration was <0.1% (v/v).

2.4. Cell Viability by MTT Assay. To investigate which concentration and duration of Cur or SLCP treatment kills more GBM, we have conducted a cell viability test, using a MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] assay, as described previously [28, 35, 37]. The cells were treated with freshly prepared concentrations of Cur or SLCP (in μM: 1–100) at different time points (in hours: 24, 48, and 72 h). After standardization of toxicity levels, 25 μM of Cur or SLCP was used for all experiments with 24–72 h exposure. The optical density was measured at 570 nm using a Synergy plate reader (Bio-TEK instruments, Winooski, VT). The results of the three independent experiments (6 wells per condition) were normalized to the medium control group and expressed as mean ± SEM.

2.5. DNA Fragmentation Study by TUNEL Staining. The TUNEL staining was performed as per manufacturer’s instructions [28, 35]. Briefly, U-87MG cells were grown on coverslips in EMEM, without any growth factors for 24 h and then they were treated with Cur or SLCP (25 μM) for 24–72 h. Following treatment, the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min, and then TUNEL staining was performed [28, 35]. Finally, the cells were counterstained with Hoechst 33342 for 5 min at room temperature in the dark and washed thoroughly with distilled water, after which they were mounted on a glass slide with antifading medium. The cells were observed under a fluorescent microscope (Leica, Germany), using appropriate filters (ex/em: 488/576). The
Table 1: Sources of different antibodies used in this study.

| Antibodies | Source     | Type       | Company                  | Catalog number | Address      |
|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Caspase-3  | Rabbit     | Polyclonal | Cell Signaling Technology | 9661           | Danvers, MA  |
| Bax        | Rabbit     | Polyclonal | Cell Signaling Technology | 2772           | Danvers, MA  |
| Bcl2       | Mouse      | Monoclonal | Santa Cruz Biotech        | Sc-7382        | Santa Cruz, CA|
| Akt        | Rabbit     | Monoclonal | Cell Signaling Technology | 9272S          | Danvers, MA  |
| pAkt (Ser473)| Rabbit     | Monoclonal | Cell Signaling Technology | 9271           | Danvers, MA  |
| p53        | Rabbit     | Polyclonal | Cell Signaling Technology | 9282           | Danvers, MA  |
| c-Myc      | Rabbit     | Polyclonal | Cell Signaling Technology | 9402           | Danvers, MA  |
| β-Tubulin  | Rabbit     | Monoclonal | Cell Signaling Technology | 2128           | Danvers, MA  |

red fluorescent signal indicated TUNEL-positive cells. The number of total cells and that of TUNEL-positive cells were counted and expressed as a percentage of the total cell count. Almost two thousand total cells were counted in each group of randomly selected microscopic fields from three independent experiments to obtain a mean value.

2.6. Annexin-V/PI Staining for Apoptotic and Necrotic Cell Death. The annexin-V staining was performed, as described previously [28, 38]. Briefly, the U-87MG cells were treated with Cur or SLCP (25 μM), dissolved in methanol, and diluted with HBSS for 24–48 h and then annexin-V-FITC stain was performed, along with counterstaining with PI (500 nM) [28]. The total number of cells and the number of annexin-V-positive cells were counted per microscopic field and expressed as a percentage of dead cells. Approximately, 30 microscopic fields (~1000 total cells) from three independent experimental setups were used for counting.

2.7. Single-Cell Gel Electrophoresis (SCGE) or Comet Assay. The comet assay was performed to measure the degree of DNA strand breaks, as described previously [39–41]. Briefly, the U-87MG cells (1 x 10^5/mL) were grown on Petri-plate in EMEM and treated with Cur or SLCP (25 μM) for 24, 48, and 72 h. After the stipulated period of the treatments, the cells were washed with Dulbecco’s PBS (DPBS), scraped, and centrifuged to get a pellet. Then 75 μL of 0.5% low melting agarose (dissolved in PBS and preincubated at 37°C for 30 min before its use) was added to the cell pellet to make a semisolid cell suspension, which was gently added to the top of the agarose layer on the glass slide. Then the cells were lysed and SCGE was performed, followed by counterstaining with EtBr (1 μg/mL) and imaged using a fluorescent microscope (Leica, Germany). The number of total cells and that of comet-positive cells were counted in each microscopic image and expressed as % of comet-positive cells per total cells. At least 1500 total cells were analyzed from three independent experiments to obtain the mean values represented. The % DNA in tail, tail length, tail moment, and olive tail moment was measured using ImageJ software (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/) using the following formula, as described previously [40, 42, 43]: (i) percentage DNA in head = head fluorescent intensity/(head fluorescent intensity + tail fluorescent intensity) x 100; (ii) percentage DNA in tail = 100 – percentage DNA in head; (iii) tail moment length (μm) = length between the center of the head and the center of the tail; (iv) extent tail moment = tail length x percentage DNA in tail; and (v) olive tail moment = tail moment length x percentage DNA in tail. At least 100 cells in each group from three dependent experiments were used for comet analysis and expressed as mean ± SEM.

2.8. Detection of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS). Intracellular accumulation of ROS was detected by 2′,7′-dichloro-dihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA), as described previously [9, 28, 44]. Briefly, the U-87MG cells were grown (1 x 10^5/well) in EMEM, treated with Cur and/or SLCP (25 μM), and CellRox assay was performed, followed by counterstaining with PI (500 nM). The cells were observed under the fluorescent microscope (Leica, Germany), using appropriate filters (ex/em: 485/520). The presence of green fluorescent signal indicated ROS level. Total fluorescent intensity (arbitrary unit (AU)) of an individual cell was measured using ImageJ software (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/), and at least 400–500 hundred cells were randomly selected from three independent experiments to obtain a mean value.

2.9. Immunocytochemistry. Immunocytochemistry of anti-caspase-3, p53, and c-Myc was performed as described previously [28]. Briefly, the U-87MG cells were grown (1 x 10^5/well) on a Petri-plate containing glass coverslips in EMEM with pen (100 I.U./mL) and strep (100 μg/mL) for 24 h and then treated with Cur and/or SLCP (25 μM) for another 24 h. Then the cells were incubated with rabbit anti-caspase-3, p53, and c-Myc monoclonal antibodies (1:100, see Table 1) for 3 h at 37°C, followed by incubation with respective secondary antibodies (1:200) tagged with Texas-red (Molecular Probes, OR) for 1 h at room temperature. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342 (20 mM, Thermofisher Scientific, Grand Island, NY) and visualized using a fluorescence microscope (Leica, Germany) [28].

2.10. DNA Gel Electrophoresis. DNA gel electrophoresis was performed to measure the DNA fragmentation, as described previously [45]. Briefly, U-87MG cells were grown (1 x 10^5/mL) in EMEM with pen (100 I.U./mL) and strep (100 μg/mL) and kept overnight in T-25 flask and then treated with Cur or SLCP (25 μM) for 24 h. The following day, media and the cells in the flask were scraped and centrifuged at
1200 rpm for 7 min, and from the pellet, the genomic DNA was extracted by the phenol-chloroform extract method and was electrophoresed using 3% agarose gel and staining with EtBr [45]. The gel image was taken using gel documentation system (BioRad, Hercules, CA) using an appropriate filter.

2.11. Western Blot. To check the protein levels, Western blot was performed as described previously [28]. Briefly, after the stipulated period of each experiment, the GL261 cells were lysed with cold radio immunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer, along with protease and phosphatase inhibitors. An equal amount of protein, per lane, was loaded and electrophoresed on 10% Tris-glycine gel and transferred to PVDF membrane (Millipore, Bedford, MA). After probing with respective primary (see Table 1) and secondary antibodies, the blots were developed with Immobilon™ Western Chemiluminescent HRP-substrate (Millipore, Billerica, MA). The relative optical density (OD) was measured using ImageJ software (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/download.html). To ensure equal protein loading in each lane, the blots were stripped and reprobed for β-tubulin.

2.12. Statistical Analysis. The data were expressed as mean ± SEM. Data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by post hoc Tukey HSD (honestly significant difference) test. Probability ≤ 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. SLCPs Reduced More Cell Viability than Cur in U-87MG Cells. To compare the cell death by Cur and SLCP, we have performed MTT reduction assay, which depicts the status of cell viability. We found that SLCPs induced ~66% cell death, whereas it was 11% in the case of Cur-treated cells after 24 h (cell viability for SLCP = 34% and for Cur = 89%, p < 0.001) (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)). However, we did not find any difference in cell death after 48 h of their incubation (cell viability for Cur = 38% and for SLCP = 39%) (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)). We also observed a significant difference in cell viability (p < 0.05) in a mixed culture of cells derived from human tissue (U-87MG : SH-SY5Y = 4 : 1) after 24 h of Cur and/or SLCP treatment (Figure 1(c)). When we compared the cell viability in the GL261 cells, we observed significantly more cell death (p < 0.05) in the case of SLCP after 24 and 48 h of their treatment in comparison to Cur alone (cell viability for SLCP = 60% and for Cur = 70%, after 48 h) (Figure 1(d)). Interestingly, there was no significant change in cell viability in neuroblastoma cells (SH-SH5Y) derived from human tissue after 24 h of Cur and SLCP treatment (Figure 1(e)).

3.2. SLCP Induced More TUNEL-Positive (DNA Fragmented) Cells than Cur in U-87MG Cells. In situ BrdU-Red DNA fragmentation or TUNEL staining was performed to investigate the number of DNA-fragmented cells after treatment with Cur or SLCP. We found a significantly increased number of TUNEL-positive cells in the case of SLCP-treated cells in comparison to Cur-treated cells after 24 h (Cur = 24.96%; SLCP = 58.20%; p < 0.01), 48 h (Cur = 30.59%; SLCP = 67.16%; p < 0.01), and 72 h (Cur = 39.19%; SLCP = 77.67%; p < 0.01) (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)).

3.3. SLCP Induced More Apoptotic and Necrotic Death than Cur in U-87MG Cells. One of the aims of this study was to investigate the type of cell death following treatment of Cur or SLCP. We observed that both Cur and SLCP induced apoptosis and necrosis in U-87MG cells (Figure 3(a)). The number of apoptotic death was significantly higher in the case of SLCP-treated cells (Figure 3(b)) at 24 h than Cur-treated cells (Cur = 13.57%; SLCP = 23.34%; p < 0.05). Similarly, SLCP induced significantly more necrotic death than did Cur after 24 h (Cur = 22.99%; SLCP = 49.99%; p < 0.01) and 48 h (Cur = 37.14%; SLCP = 49.92%; p < 0.05) of incubation (Figure 3(c)).

3.4. SLCP Causes Increased Nuclear Lobe Formation than Cur in U-87MG Cells. DNA fragmentation is one of the important phenomena observed in cell death. The fragmentation of DNA causes the formation of several nuclear lobes, depending on the degree of fragmentation and duration of drug treatment. We found a significant increase in the number of nuclear lobes in the SLCP-treated than in Cur-treated cells after 24 and 48 h (p < 0.05) (Figures 4(a) and 4(b)). Similar phenomena were also observed when nuclear morphology was studied by Hoechst 33342 (Figures 4(c) and 4(d)).

3.5. SLCP Induced More Comet-Positive Cells than Cur in U-87MG Cells. SCGE or comet assay is one of the gold standard methods to investigate the degree of DNA fragmentation in vitro. The number of comet-positive cells was significantly increased in SLCP-treated cells after 24 h (Cur = 34.00; SLCP = 56.76; p < 0.01), at 48 h (Cur = 53.64; SLCP = 65.11; p < 0.05), and 72 h (Cur = 69.78; SLCP = 78.21; p < 0.05) in comparison to Cur-treated cells (Figures 5(a) and 5(c)).

3.6. DNA Gel Electrophoresis. To visualize the degree of DNA fragmentation by Cur or SLCP treatment, we have performed DNA gel (3%) electrophoresis. We observed that SLCP produced small DNA fragments, including lower nucleotides oligomers, which was less than 100 kilobase pair (kb), whereas similar fragmentation was not observed in the case of Cur-treated or control cells (Figure 5(d)).

3.7. Degree of DNA Fragmentation Was More in SLCP than in Cur-Treated U-87MG Cells. One of the focus of this study was to investigate the degree of DNA fragmentation after treatment with Cur or SLCP. Based on the fluorescent intensity of head and fragmented DNA tail, DNA tail moment length, DNA tail moment width, the % DNA in tail, extended DNA tail length (μm), and olive tail length (μm) have been calculated (Figures 6(c), 6(d), and 6(e)). SLCP treatment showed significantly higher DNA tail length (Figure 6(c)) and % of DNA in tail (Figure 6(d)) after 24 h (p < 0.01), 48 h (p < 0.01), and 72 h (p < 0.05) in comparison to Cur-treated cells. Similarly, DNA tail moment length (μm) was also significantly higher (p < 0.01) after 24, 48, and 72 h of SLCP-treated cells in comparison to Cur-treated cells (Figure 6(e)). In addition, extended tail moment and olive tail
length were also significantly higher in the case of SLCP-treated cells after 24 and 48 h ($p < 0.01$) of treatment, in relative to Cur-treated cells (Figures 6(f) and 6(g)).

3.8. SLCP Increased ROS Level Greater than Cur in U-87MG Cells. To investigate the mechanism of cell death, the U-87MG cells were treated with Cur or SLCP (25 μM) for 24
or 48 h and stained with CellROX oxidative stress reagents. We observed that ROS levels were significantly increased by SLCP exposure after 24 h [in AU: Cur = 15493.99; SLCP = 50297.27; \( p < 0.01 \)] and 48 h [in AU: Cur = 15600.00; SLCP = 31228.32; \( p < 0.01 \)] in comparison to Cur-treated cells (Figures 7(a) and 7(b)).

3.9. SLCP Increased Cell Death Markers and Reduced Cell Survival Markers More than Cur in GL261 and U-87MG. We have investigated cell death and cell survival markers from GL261 cells to compare the cell death mechanism after treatment with Cur and/or SLCP. Our Western blot (Figures 8(a) and 8(b)) and immunofluorescence (Figure 8(g)) results showed an increase in active caspase-3 (\( p < 0.05 \)) in the SLCP-treated group, in comparison to Cur-treated cells. Similarly, Bax level was also significantly higher (\( p < 0.01 \)) in the SLCP-treated group, in comparison to Cur-treated cells (Figures 8(a) and 8(c)). In contrast, Bcl2 level was significantly lower (\( p < 0.05 \)) in the case of SLCP-treated cells in comparison to Cur-treated cells (Figure 8(d)). Although total Akt and phosphorylated-Akt were significantly decreased (\( p < 0.01 \)) from untreated cells, we did not find any significant difference between the Cur- and SLCP-treated groups (Figures 8(a), 8(e), and 8(f)).

3.10. Increased p53 and Decreased c-Myc Levels Were Observed in Cur- and/or SLCP-Treated GL261 and U-87MG Cells. Western blot analysis from GL261 cells showed significantly increased levels of p53 (Figures 9(a) and 9(b), \( p < 0.05 \)).
and decreased levels of c-Myc (Figures 9(c) and 9(d), p < 0.01) in both Cur- and SLCP-treated cells, but we found no significant differences between the Cur and SLCP groups in the case of p53 (Figure 9(b)), whereas c-Myc was significantly decreased in SLCP-treated cells in comparison to the Cur-treated group (Figure 9(d)). In addition, our immunofluorescent data from U-87MG cells also showed similar phenomena in both the cases of p53 (Figure 9(e)) and c-Myc (Figure 9(f)).

4. Discussion

Standard treatments for GBM have remained ineffective due to the inherent resistance of GBM cells to radiotherapy and chemotherapy, and the invasive propensity of GBM cells limits the effectiveness of surgery [46]. Therefore, finding novel approaches is desperately needed. Recently, several investigators have shown that natural polyphenol Cur attenuates GBM growth, proliferation, and metastasis in vitro and
in different animal models of glioma [19]. In the present study, we have compared the efficacy of SLCP (a greater permeable solid lipid Cur formula) and natural Cur on GBM cell lines derived from human (U-87MG) and mouse (GL261) tissues. We found that SLCP induced more production of ROS, significantly increased DNA fragmentation, and apoptotic death than natural Cur in vitro. Overall, our data demonstrated that the SLCP has greater potency to kill cultured GBM cells than Cur.

The major concern regarding Cur therapy in GBM is its poor solubility, rapid degradation, and limited bioavailability as reported by several investigators [21, 27], which may limit the efficacy of natural Cur for treating GBM. In the last few years, we have been using an optimized formula of Cur (a solid lipid Cur particle, S1), to increase its bioavailability and theranostic values in different neurological diseases [24, 28, 36, 58–62]. Interestingly, we and others have found that SLCPs enter cells in vitro [28] and cross the blood-brain barrier readily when administered intraperitoneally in rodent [36] and in human clinical trials of Alzheimer’s disease than does Cur [30]. Given this, we sought to understand the mechanisms of Cur efficacy in GBM cell lines, by comparing SLCPs to Cur as a means of developing a more effective therapy for this devastating disease. To determine the optimum dose required to attenuate GBM cell growth and proliferation, we performed MTT assays with Cur and/or SLCP, using different concentrations (1–100 μM) and durations (24–72 h). We found that only the higher concentrations (>10 μM) of either Cur or SLCP caused significant declines in cell viability (S2). These findings were supported by several other studies, as lower concentrations of Cur may protect cells by reducing lipid peroxidation and cytochrome-c release, whereas higher concentrations provoke GSH depletion and caspase-3 activation.

Figure 4: Nuclear morphology in U-87MG cells after treatment with Cur or SLCP. U-87MG cells were grown in EMEM and pen (100 I.U./mL) and strep (100 μg/mL) for 24 h, and then the cells were treated with either Cur or SLCP (25 μM) for 24–48 and 72 h, followed by stained with PI (a) and Hoechst 3342 (c). The images were taken with a fluorescence microscope (Leica, Germany) using 100x objectives (total magnification 1000x). (a, c) Representative images of nuclear morphology after treatment with Cur or SLCP. (b) The mean number of nuclear lobes was significantly more in the case of SLCP-treated cells in comparison to that in Cur-treated cells after 24 and 48 h of treatment. (d) Similar pattern was observed in the case of Hoechst 3342-stained cells after 48 h of Cur or SLCP treatment. Arrows indicate fragmented nuclear lobe. Scale bars indicate 50 μm and is applicable to all images. *p < 0.05 in comparison to Cur-treated cells and **p < 0.001 in comparison to vehicle or control (untreated) cells.
which induce cell death [9, 47]. We have selected 25 μM of Cur or SLCP to characterize the degree of cell death, because we found that a lethal dose 50 (LD50) for Cur or SLCP was between 25 and 50 μM. When we analyzed our data, we observed that cell viability was significantly lower in SLCP-treated cells in both U-87MG and GL261 cell lines (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)).

Extrapolating these results, we have assessed whether or not Cur or SLCP selectively kills cultured GBM cells, without affecting normal cells. To this end, the neuronal cell line (SH-SY5Y) developed from human cortical tissue was treated with the same concentrations (25 μM) of Cur and/or SLCP, and we observed <5% cell death in both cases, indicating that Cur had a minimal effect on neuronal cell line but induced cell death on cancer cells [47, 48]. An explanation as to why Cur or SLCP kills only tumor cells (like GBM) and not normal cells (neurons) is not yet understood, but several mechanisms have been proposed by several investigators, such as (i) cellular uptake of Cur is higher in tumor cells than in normal cells [47]; (ii) reduced glutathione (GSH) levels are lower in tumor cells than normal cells, thus enhancing the sensitivity of tumor cells to Cur [48]; and (iii) most tumor cells, but not normal cells, express constitutively active NF-κB, which mediate their survival [49], whereas Cur can suppress the survival and proliferation of tumor cells by inhibiting NF-κB-related signaling pathways [10].

Figure 5: SLCP induced more DNA fragmentation than Cur in U-87MG cells as revealed by comet assay (SCGE) and gel electrophoresis. U-87MG cells were grown in EMEM and pen (100 I.U./mL) and strep (100 μg/mL) for 24 h, and then the cells were treated with either Cur or SLCP (25 μM) for 24–72 h. Cells were lysed in lysis solution and run in electrophoretic chamber for 30 min, and the fragmented DNA tail was stained with EtBr. The images were taken by a fluorescence microscope (Leica, Germany) with appropriate filters. (a) Representative images showed fragmented DNA tail (comet) after treatment with Cur or SLCP for different time points. (b) Typical morphology of normal cell and comet-positive cell after staining with EtBr. (c) The number of comet-positive cells was more in the case of SLCP- than Cur-treated cells after 24–72 h. (d) DNA gel electrophoresis showed more DNA fragmentation in SLCP-treated cells than Cur-treated cells after 24 h. Arrows indicate comet-positive cells. Scale bar indicates 500 μm in “A” and 50 μM in “B” and is applicable to all images in each of these figures. *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01 in comparison to Cur-treated cells.
To understand the mechanism of cell death, we have performed TUNEL staining, which identifies the DNA-fragmented cells, one of the gold standards to study cell death [50]. Many more TUNEL-positive cells were observed after treatment with SCLP than Cur at all the time points investigated (Figure 2), similar to the results of our MTT assay (Figure 1). Because TUNEL staining cannot confirm the mode of cell death, as DNA fragmentation may have occurred in the case of necrosis or apoptosis, we, therefore, performed annexin-V staining, which can differentiate the apoptotic death from necrosis [51]. Interestingly, we observed that SCLP induced more apoptosis and necrosis in comparison to Cur-treated cells, which correlated with the cell viability and TUNEL staining data (Figures 1 and 3), described above. The current study also investigated the morphology of nuclei using two different dyes, PI and Hoechst 33342, and the number of nuclear lobes caused by DNA fragmentation was counted. We found that SCLP significantly increased fragmented nuclear lobes in comparison to Cur-treated cells after 24 h and 48 h (Figure 4), indicating that SCLP induced greater DNA fragmentation than Cur in U-87MG cells. Furthermore, we also performed SCGE or comet assay, which is considered one of the gold standards for the studying the degree of DNA fragmentation in vitro [52]. The comet assay correlated with the TUNEL staining results described above, which confirmed the greater induction of cell death by SCLP than Cur (Figure 5). In addition, based on the head and tail fluorescent intensity, fragmented

![Figure 6: Comet assay (SCGE) in U-87MG cells after treatment with Cur or SCLP. U-87MG cells were grown in EMEM and pen (100 I.U./mL) and strep (100 μg/mL) for 24 h, and then the cells were treated with either Cur or SCLP (25 μM) for 24 h. (a) Different parameters, such as nuclear head fluorescent intensity, fragmented DNA tail intensity, tail length, tail moment length, % DNA in tail, extended tail length (μm), and olive tail length (μm) were measured after treatment with Cur or SCLP. (b) Representative comet-positive cells after treatment with Cur and/or SCLP for 24–72 h. Note that SCLP-treated cells showed greater DNA tail (c), % DNA in tail (d), DNA tail moment length (e), extent tail moment, and olive tail length after 24–72 h in comparison to Cur-treated cells. Scale bars indicate 250 μm and is applicable to all images. *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01 in comparison to Cur-treated cells.](image-url)
DNA tail length, and tail moment length, we found that the % DNA in tail, extended tail length, and olive tail length were significantly higher in the case of SLCP-treated cells when compared to those cells treated with Cur (Figures 6(c), 6(d), 6(e), 6(f), and 6g), indicating SLCP has greater efficiency to damage the DNA than Cur. In addition, to confirm and support our TUNEL and comet assay results, we also performed DNA gel electrophoresis after 24 h of Cur or SLCP treatment in U-87MG cells. We observed that SLCP-treated cells produce many lower fragmented DNA bands, ranging from 180 to 100 kb or less (nucleotide oligomers) which was not seen in the case of Cur-treated cells or control groups (Figure 5(d)), confirming that SLCPs induced greater DNA fragmentation than Cur.

Several factors are involved in DNA fragmentation and cell death after treatment with Cur or SLCP, including oxidative stress [10]. We measured total ROS levels using CellROX assay after treatment of Cur or SLCP [9, 11] and observed that SLCP induced greater ROS production after 24 and 48 h of incubation than Cur (Figure 7). Excess ROS production can cause the release of apoptosis-inducing factor (AIF) from the mitochondria to the cytosol and nucleus, and activates caspase 3, thus inducing apoptosis [53]. Similarly, our Western blot results showed that activated caspase-3 (Cas-3) and Bax were significantly higher in SLCP-treated cells than Cur, whereas Bcl2 and c-Myc were less in SLCP-treated cells (Figures 8 and 9). Indeed, Bax and Bcl-2 play a dominant role in determining cellular fate [54], as Bcl-2 inhibits apoptosis by stabilizing the mitochondrial membrane potential [55], whereas increased expression of Bax can induce apoptosis through the release of ‘cytochrome c’ from the mitochondria [56]. We found a significant upregulation of Bax and caspase-3 proteins and a downregulation of Bcl2 protein by SLCP treatment, relative
Figure 8: SLCP-treated cells induced greater cell death markers and decreased more cell survival marker than Cur. (a–f) Cell survival markers (Akt, p-Akt, and Bcl2) were significantly reduced, and cell death markers (caspase-3 and Bax) were significantly increased in SLCP-treated cells in comparison to Cur-treated cells. (g) Immunocytochemistry with U-87MG cells showed an increase in caspase-3 immunofluorescence in both Cur- and SLCP-treated cells. Scale bar indicates 50 μm and is applicable to other images. *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01 in comparison to control (untreated), vehicle, and Cur-treated groups.
Figure 9: SLCP increased p53 and decreased c-Myc levels greater than Cur in vitro. (a–d) Western blot data showed that SLCP increased p53 and decrease c-Myc levels significantly more in GL261 cells in comparison to Cur-treated or untreated cells. Similarly, immunofluorescence signal of p53 was increased (e) and c-Myc was decreased (f) more in SLCP or Cur-treated U-87MG cells in comparison to untreated cells. Scale bar indicates 50 μm and is applicable to other images. *p < 0.05 in comparison to Cur-treated cells and **p < 0.01 in comparison to vehicle and control (untreated) cells.
to treatment with Cur, suggesting SLCP can induce more apoptotic death than Cur.

Furthermore, we have investigated the involvement of the p53 and c-Myc in Cur and/or SLCP-mediated apoptosis in U-87MG cells using Western blot and immunocytochemistry techniques. p53 is the main tumor suppressor protein which inhibits tumor growth; therefore, downregulation of p53 causes increase tumor formation, whereas upregulation of this protein prevents malignancy [57]. In our study, we observed a significant upregulation of p53 protein by SLCP treatment than by Cur, indicating tumorigenesis was prevented by SLCP to a greater extent than by Cur (Figures 9(a) and 9(h)). Similarly, c-Myc is another carcinogenic marker in cell [58], and activation of c-Myc leads to the unregulation of many genes, some of which are involved in cell proliferation, which can develop cancer [58]. Interestingly, we found a significant decline of c-Myc levels in SLCP-treated cells in comparison to the Cur-treated and Cur-untreated groups (Figures 9(c) and 9(d)), which again confirms that SLCP has greater antiproliferative and anticarcinogenic effects than Cur.

5. Conclusion
Collectively, our data suggests that Cur is a promising anticarcinogenic natural polyphenol, which has potent inhibitory properties of growth for GBM. SLCPs can induce more DNA fragmentation and can rapidly kill more GBM cells in vitro than Cur. SLCP-induced greater cell death is due to excess production of ROS, which increases more cell death-related proteins, reducing cell survival pathway. Taken together, our findings suggest that SLCPs can be used to treat GBM more effectively than natural Cur. Although in the present study we have shown the greater anticancer effects by SLCP than Cur in culture cell lines, we should take into consideration that the mechanistic details of GBM development, proliferation, malignancies, and metastasis in human brain are much more complex than GBM cell culture models. Therefore, a better understanding of the mechanisms of SLCP-induced GBM cell death requires further validation in animal and clinical studies, which can increase the prospects for the future treatment of this deadly malignancy.
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