Case report

Intussusception through an ileostomy: A case report and literature review

M. Maatouk, Y. Ben Safta*, Aymen Mabrouk, Marwa Bouaifif, Nesrine Hajdahmen, Anis Ben Dhaou, Sami Daldoul, Sofien Sayari, Karim Haouet, Mounir Ben Moussa

University Tunis El Manar, Faculty of Medicine of Tunis, Surgery A Department, Charles Nicolle Hospital, France

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Intussusception
Ileostomy
Surgical emergency

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Intussusception through an ileostomy is one of the rarest complications of stomas. In this study we report a case and a brief update of the literature to focus on the clinical level of this pathology and the therapeutic attitudes.

Presentation of case: a 44-year-old man who underwent a small bowel resection with double stoma for tuberculosis peritonitis presented with stomatal prolapse. On examination of the stoma, small bowel mucosa appeared to have evident rather than serosa. The patient had an elective reduction of the proximal stoma under anesthesia.

Conclusion: A review of the literature shows that Intussusception through an ileostomy can occur at any time after the first surgery. The cause is still unclear. Urgent conservative surgical management based on manual reduction should be preferred.

1. Introduction

Stoma has become a common surgical act, since described by Brook in 1952 [1]. It is still used in several surgeries. Stoma can be responsible for 35% of patient's postoperative complications [2]. The most frequent complications are the ileostomy retraction (40%), the avulsion (24%), stomatal necrosis (9%) and prolapse (2%) [3]. The intussusception through an ileostomy has been rarely described in the medical literature. The intussusception is the invagination of the intestine through a loop, or less frequently an end ileostomy following the normal flow of peristalsis or rarely, against it [4]. This complication may result in an intestinal necrosis because of the compression of the blood vessels. Gangrene is the most feared complication. In this study, we report a case and a brief update of the literature to focus on the interest of this pathology on the clinical level and therapeutic attitudes.

2. Clinical presentation

This work has been reported in accordance with the SCARE criteria [5]. The case is about a 44-year-old man with body mass index of 22 kg/m². His past medical history included post-viral hepatitis C and pulmonary tuberculosis treated and there was no other systemic illness. Patient had a 50 pack-year history of smoking. His family history was not relevant.

Seven months ago, he underwent an operation for tuberculosis peritonitis for which he had a small bowel resection with double end ileostomy. The ileostomies were fixed with a series of sutures (2/0 vicryl; ethicon). The sutures were placed through the dermis, followed by a seromuscular bite, away from the mesentery, from the proximal to the distal end of the ileum. He is started on isoniazid 300 mg/day, pyrazinamide 2 g/day and streptomycin 1 g intramuscularly after the operation. The antituberculous therapy ceased at the end of the sixth month.

He was in clinical remission and stopped treatment. He consulted for the appearance of stomatal prolapse that was irreducible since 6 h, with no transit disorder or other associated signs. During the clinical examination, the patient was conscious with stable hemodynamic constants and a fl exible depressible abdomen.

On examination of the stoma the proximal limb lumen of the ileostomy was normal. Nevertheless there was 10 cm of small bowel protruding through the site of the distal limb. Small bowel mucosa was evident rather than serosa. The diagnosis of retrograde intussusception was made. The proximal limb of the ileostomy was irreducible edematous (Fig. 1).

As there aren't signs of necrosis, the patient had an elective reduction of the proximal stoma under anesthesia. The intussusception was attempted manually by applying gentle pressure with no bowel damage.

The patient recovered well and was discharged from the hospital on the first postoperative day with excellent ileostomy function.
3. Discussion

3.1. Etiology and physiopathology

Contrasting with the commonly observed adult intussusception, which is secondary to an organic cause, the one through ileostomy is due to a probable rise of intra-abdominal pressure [4]. The first three cases described in literature were related to pregnant women. According to this, authors supposed that the pregnancy causes the intussusception by increasing the intra-abdominal pressure.

Cases recently published discriminate against the presence of a pressure rise factor. One resemblance between our case and the other reported cases is the miss of a known lead point as an etiology for the intussusception. Therefore, the mechanism of the intussusception through the ileostomy is still unknown [6].

3.2. Literature review

Bibliography research is made with Pubmed using the key words “intussusception AND Ileostomy”. The research result was 47 articles. We included in this literature review all case reports found. Nine studies were published from 1959 to 2018; the clinical observations are summarized in the (Table 1). Lateral or terminal intussusception of the ileostomy can actually occur at any time postoperatively. The reported cases were observed starting the 3rd postoperative day and up to ten years after performing the stoma. In 60% of the cases, there is at least one intra-abdominal pressure-increasing factor like: pregnancy, ascites, uncontrollable vomiting and chronic cough. The surgeon must determine the risky subjects to avoid the intussusception of the stoma complication [7].

3.3. Diagnosis and therapeutic management

The diagnosis of the Intussusception through ileostomy is based on clinical examination. The only diagnosis difficulty reported is related to the strangulated stomatal prolapse. In fact, the intussusception is commonly characterized by the replacement of the outermost layer “the serous” by the mucous membrane with its conniving valves [8]. Surgery remains the only possible treatment. The use of radiological explorations is not necessarily required for the diagnosis of the intussusception through ileostomy. Ran Jung reported the only case of intussusception through an ileostomy investigated by an abdominal CT scan in order to detect abdomen intestinal necrosis [6]. The intussusception through an ileostomy is a surgical emergency because of the risk of intestinal necrosis. The treatment must be conservative. It is classically based on a manual reduction under general anesthesia with probability of conversion to laparotomy in case of failure of the non-invasive reduction [9]. Interestingly, such our case and according to the literature half of patients had a conservative treatment with an elective reduction. Thus, there is no resort to a midline incision in the absence of intestinal necrosis or unsuccess of the manual reduction.

4. Conclusion

Intussusception through an ileostomy is one of the rarest complications of stomas. It should be rapidly treated to avoid risks of intestinal necrosis. It requires more conservative urgent surgical intervention based on manual reduction.
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Table 1

| Age/Gender | Etiology         | Cause of the ileostomy | Delay (years) | Type of ileostomy | Necrosis | Treatment                           |
|------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|
| Priest 1959 [10] | 52/F  | Pregnancy | Acute colitis                  | 10           | terminal          | Necrosis   | Reduction + resection by elective   |
| Adelejji 1992 [11]    | 31/F  | Pregnancy | Acute colitis                  | 8            | terminal          | No necrosis | Failure of manual reduction laparotomy |
| Kowok 2005 [4]        | 32/F  | Pregnancy | Cohn's disease                 |              | –                | No necrosis | Failure of manual reduction laparotomy |
| Chessin 2006 [13]     | 49/M  | ascites    | Complicated diverticulitis     | 16           | lateral           | Necrosis    | Reduction + resection by elective   |
| Chen 2009 [9]         | 91/F  | –         | Rectal perforation             | 1            | month             | No necrosis | Failure of manual reduction laparotomy |
| Bielecki 2010 [12]    | 36/F  | –         | Cohn's disease                 | 6            | days              | No necrosis | Laparotomy                           |
| Khan 2011 [8]         | 72/M  | Vomiting  | Rectal cancer                  | 3            | days              | No necrosis | Failure of manual reduction laparotomy |
| Bhanje 2013 [7]       | 72/M  | Cough     | Sigmoid cancer                 | 4            | weeks             | No necrosis | Laparotomy                           |
| Jung 2017 [6]         | 49/M  | –         | Ogilvie syndrome               | 4            | months            | Necrosis    | Reduction + resection by elective   |
| Our case 2019         | 44/M  | –         | Tuberculin peritonitis         | 7            | months            | No necrosis | Elective manual reduction           |

* Delay between ileostomy and intussusception.
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