Osteomyelitis-Discitis at the Thoracolumbar Junction and the Development of Postinfectious Spinal Deformity: A Surgical Case Series
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ABSTRACT

Background: Progressive spinal deformity and neural compromise are the main indications for surgical management of vertebral osteomyelitis-discitis. However, when such pathology presents at the thoracolumbar (TL) junction, it remains unclear what the appropriate intervention is. The therapeutic dilemmas of decompression with or without instrumented fusion, the need for circumferential decompression and reconstruction, as well as the prognostic factors for progression of kyphosis, all remained ill-defined in the literature. The objective of this study is to evaluate risk factors for instrumentation at TL junction in spinal osteomyelitis-discitis.

Methods: A review of patients at a single center with osteomyelitis-discitis at the TL junction between 2014 and 2018 was performed. Patients were 18 years or older with infectious pathologies at T10 to L2.

Results: Sixteen patients were included. Indication for instrumentation included progression of kyphosis following prior laminectomy/medical management. Of the 16 patients, 4 patients received laminectomy at initial treatment versus 12 patients receiving medical management alone. All 4 patients receiving laminectomy experienced progressive kyphosis requiring revision with instrumented fusion versus only 4 of 12 of the medically managed. Laminectomy, epidural compression, and vertebral body collapse were significant risk factors for kyphosis progression requiring instrumentation. The average time to surgical intervention for the indication of progressive kyphosis was 2.6 months after prior laminectomy and 6 months after initiation of medical management.

Conclusions: Given the proclivity for kyphotic deformity at the TL junction, patients may benefit from long segment instrumentation in addition to decompression at the initial surgery. Laminectomy alone may hasten kyphosis progression.
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INTRODUCTION

Osteomyelitis-discitis continues to have devastating effects with increasing incidence owing to the ageing population and rising number of drug using and immunocompromised patients.1-3 With improvement in diagnostic tools, such as magnetic resonance imaging-guided and computed tomography-guided biopsy of affected spinal segments, in conjunction with advancement in antibiotic therapy, patient outcomes have historically improved.4-9 Upfront medical therapy, with antimicrobials, is the mainstay treatment for most patients. However, spinal instability and deformity, severe epidural compression, and neurologic decline have traditionally been used as indicators for immediate surgical management of vertebral osteomyelitis-discitis.3,10-14 Surgery is also appropriate in the subacute or chronic settings whereby there is recurrence of infection, failure of antibiotic therapy, or refractory pain.11-13 Controversy exists regarding the utility of surgical interventions, the surgical approach, the need for instrumentation, and the appropriate timing of intervention. Surgical management is used for restoration of spinal stability and expeditious improvement of neurologic function.15-22

The destructive nature of the affected disc and vertebral end plates by the infectious process is the key to the development of kyphosis and spinal instability. Preservation of the posterior tension band helps in the maintenance of overall stability. At the thoracolumbar (TL) junction, the lordosis-kyphosis transition significantly increases the risk of
segmental instability when there is injury to any column of the spine. Elsewhere in the spine, surgical treatment options for pyogenic spondylodiscitis have included posterior decompression alone, decompression plus fusion,17 and use of intervertebral graft material for fusion after extensive debridement of infected substrate.19,23–25 Given the unique geometry and biomechanics of the transitional TL junction, when such pathology occurs here, the appropriate intervention may be slightly different than the general treatment algorithms for spinal osteomyelitis-discitis. Should laminectomy only be performed even in the absence of deformity? Is short segmental instrumentation sufficient in the presence of deformity? Or should patients undergo a long construct instrumentation to prevent progression of kyphosis and the need for revision surgery? There is a paucity of data characterizing deformity progression following medical or surgical treatment of osteomyelitis-discitis at the TL junction. This study describes a series of cases of osteomyelitis-discitis at the thoracolumbar junction and the outcomes of medical care, decompression without and with fusion.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

This is a retrospective case series of consecutive patients with osteomyelitis-discitis at the TL junction between 2014 and 2018 at Baylor St. Luke’s Medical Center (Houston, TX), with approval from the Institutional Review Board. Patient consent was not required because this was a retrospective study, without any patient identifying information. Patients were 18 years or older with pathologies from T10 to L2. The exclusion criteria included: history of previous surgery at the indicated level, patients lost to follow-up within a year after initial diagnosis, and absence of sufficient perioperative radiographs.

Preoperative and intraoperative data for each patient were collected with the use of patient charts and computerized medical records. Baseline characteristics were documented for each patient, including age, sex, race, and body mass index. Pertinent operative details were also collected, including surgical level, procedure, and estimated blood loss. Clinical data and outcome measures, such as hospital length of stay, pathologic organisms involved, and duration of antibiotics, were analyzed. The Bilsky score was used to assess spinal epidural compression from either the abscess or bony/disc compression at the apex of the kyphosis or the most stenotic level. We recognize that this score is relevant to neoplastic compression, but it is a useful analog in lieu of a widely used score or matrix for infectious compression. Radiographic parameters, such as the segmental kyphosis, were measured for involved segments. The Cobb angle was measured (on supine radiographs) between the inferior end plate of the adjacent rostral normal vertebra and the superior end plate of the adjacent caudal normal vertebra. Surgery was indicated if the kyphosis progressed to greater than 10° increase from baseline at diagnosis, destruction of vertebral end plates by more than 50%, worsening stenosis at the apex of kyphosis, uncontrolled pain, and progressive neurologic deficits. A subgroup analysis was done to evaluate time to progression of kyphosis deformity in patients who had medical management (intravenous antibiotics) alone versus laminectomy with antibiotics at initial diagnosis.

Parametric data were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation, and were compared using the Student t test. Nonparametric data are expressed as the median (interquartile range), and were compared using the Mann Whitney U test. Nominal data were compared with the χ² test. A P value <.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Sixteen patients met the inclusion criteria for the study. Seven patients were excluded from the study either because they were lost to follow-up or had incomplete radiographs. The average age was 51.7 years, with 19% being women. Severe back pain was the presenting symptom in most patients. A total of 6 patients had an initial infection at the L1 to L2 level, 5 patients at the T11 to T12 level, 4 patients at the T12 to L1 level, and 1 patient at the T10 to T11 level. All patients were immediately started on broad-spectrum intravenous antibiotics after blood and urine cultures were sent due to the suspicion for spinal infection from clinical and radiographic evidence. Of the patients with positive blood cultures, the most common isolated organism was methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (4 patients). Three patients had negative cultures, 2 of whom were previously on long-term antibiotics for nonspinal infections. Final diagnosis of osteomyelitis-discitis was made from the combination of neurologic presentation, radiographic features, and presence of an infection. Once culture organisms were identified, antibiotic was narrowed appropri-
ately. A total of 4 patients had diabetes, 1 patient had end-stage renal disease, 2 patients were intravenous drug users, and 2 patients had sacral ulcers. These are all risk factors for the development of spinal infection. In this study, there was no significant correlation between preoperative medical condition and extent of osteomyelitis-discitis or progression of kyphosis. The Table shows baseline clinical variables.

Figure 1 displays the distribution of patients. At initial presentation, surgical intervention, in addition to intravenous antibiotics, was performed in 4 patients. Surgery included one or more levels of decompressive laminectomies only. All 4 patients later needed surgical instrumentation for kyphosis progression at the level of decompression. The remaining 12 patients were initially treated with antibiotics only. Following initial medical management, 4 of 12 patients needed surgical instrumentation because of the progression of kyphosis at level of disease. Of all the 8 surgical patients, 1 patient had a posterior-only approach (corpectomy/kyphectomy + fusion), whereas 7 patients had a combined anterior-posterior approach (corpectomy + fusion). Figure 2, A and B, shows representative cases of surgical stabilization.

Surgical decompression, epidural compression (Bilsky grades 2 and 3), and vertebral body collapse of greater than 50% at diagnosis were significant risk factors associated with kyphosis progression requiring surgical instrumentation (Figure 3).

The average follow-up duration was 13.2 months, and there was no reoperation due to hardware failure in any of our patients. Patients were followed throughout their duration of hospitalization and seen in clinic after completion of antibiotics and again 6 months (or more) later. For the patients requiring surgical instrumentation due to kyphosis progression, there was a significant difference in the time to kyphosis progression between patients initially treated with antibiotic only versus those treated with laminectomy. The average time to surgical intervention for the indication of progressive kyphosis was 2.6 months after prior laminectomy and 6 months after

| Patient No. | Age, y/Sex | Infected Segment | Initial Management | Approach | Indication for Surgery | Organisms | EBL, mL | Hospital LOS, Days | Duration of Abx, wk |
|-------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------|------------------------|-----------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1           | 66/M       | L1–2            | L1–L2 laminectomy | Combined | Kyphosis progression   | GBS       | 1450   | 44                | 8                 |
| 2           | 26/M       | L1–2            | T12-L4 laminectomy | Combined | Prevent kyphosis       | ESBL, E. coli | 1100   | 10                | 8                 |
| 3           | 57/M       | T12-L1          | T12-L1 laminectomy | Combined | Kyphosis progression   | Candida   | 2600   | 19                | 6                 |
| 4           | 44/M       | L1–2            | L1-L2 laminectomy | Combined | Kyphosis progression   | MSSA      | 650    | 18                | 6                 |
| 5           | 20/F       | L1–2            | Antibiotic        | Posterior | Kyphosis progression   | MSSA, Pseudomonas | 1500   | 58                | 8                 |
| 6           | 71/F       | T12-L2-L3       | Antibiotic        | Combined | Kyphosis progression   | Pseudomonas | 1500   | 29                | 2 (completed prior Abx) |
| 7           | 60/M       | T12-L1          | Antibiotic        | Combined | Kyphosis progression   | Negative, prior EBL, E. coli | 1400   | 10                | 8                 |
| 8           | 54/F       | T11-T12         | Antibiotic        | Combined | Kyphosis progression   | MRSA      | 1000   | 35                | 6                 |
| 9           | 43/M       | T0              | Antibiotic        | Medical   | N/A                    | TB        | N/A    | N/A               | 6                 |
| 10          | 53/M       | T11–T12         | Antibiotic        | Medical   | N/A                    | MRSA      | N/A    | N/A               | 6                 |
| 11          | 71/M       | T11–T12         | Antibiotic        | Medical   | N/A                    | MRSA      | N/A    | N/A               | 8                 |
| 12          | 61/M       | L1-L2           | Antibiotic        | Medical   | N/A                    | Negative  | N/A    | N/A               | 6                 |
| 13          | 65/M       | L1-L2           | Antibiotic        | Medical   | N/A                    | Negative  | N/A    | N/A               | 6                 |
| 14          | 44/M       | T12-L1          | Antibiotic        | Medical   | N/A                    | GPC       | N/A    | N/A               | 6                 |
| 15          | 45/M       | T11–T12         | Antibiotic        | Medical   | N/A                    | MRSA      | N/A    | N/A               | 6                 |
| 16          | 47/M       | T11–T12         | Antibiotic        | Medical   | N/A                    | Alpha strep | N/A  | N/A               | 6                 |

Abbreviations: Abx, antibiotics; EBL, estimated blood loss; ESBL, extended-spectrum β-lactamase; GBS, group B Streptococcus; GPC, Gram-positive cocci; LOS, length of stay; MRSA, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus; MSSA, methicillin-susceptible S aureus; N/A, not applicable; TB, tuberculosis.
Figure 2. (Ai) Images at initial diagnosis of osteomyelitis-discitis at L1 to L2 with epidural component and compression of neural elements—initial plan for urgent decompression. (Aii) Status after L1 to L2 laminectomy, now with progression of kyphosis. (Aiii) Status after instrumentation and fusion for correction of deformity. (Bi) Images at initial diagnosis of osteomyelitis-discitis at T12 to L1 with minimal epidural component—initial plan to medically manage. (Bii) Status after 8 weeks of antibiotic treatment only, now with progressive kyphotic deformity and neurologic decline. (Biii) Status after instrumentation and fusion for correction of deformity.

initiation of medical management, P < .001. This is represented in Figure 4.

There was no statistically significant difference in Cobb angle at diagnosis between patients who later required surgical instrumentation (13.8°) and those only treated medically (13.6°). At follow-up, on average, there was significantly more progression of kyphotic deformity in the group requiring instrumentation (46.55°) compared with the medical management–only group (19.17°), P < 0.001. The average segmental kyphotic deformity improved by 36° with long construct instrumentation and fusion (Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

Maintaining neurologic functionality and structural stability of the spinal column remains the primary objective of surgery when there is a destructive pathology of the spine, regardless of the etiology. Long duration of intravenous antibiotics continues to be a crucial part of the treatment paradigm of spinal osteomyelitis-discitis.10,26 Understanding the risk factors for spinal instability from an infectious cause continues to be a challenge. In a study by Srinivasan et al,27 spinal epidural abscess was the only variable associated with a low likelihood of developing spinal deformity. However,
it is the destructive changes that occur at the intervertebral disc space and vertebral end plates, causing vertebral body collapse, which fundamentally create the higher risk of deformity, thus necessitating immobilization of the affected segment with external orthoses, or internally with surgical fixation. Surgical stabilization has been shown to be superior to external immobilization. Regarding the appropriate surgical approach, studies have reported good clinical outcomes with an anterior-only approach without posterior fixation, combined anterior/posterior approach, anterior approach followed by delayed posterior fixation, and long posterior fixation. In the absence of spinal instability at the time of diagnosis, decompression alone could be effective in maintaining neurologic functionality. At the TL junction, the biomechanical stability relies significantly on the posterior tension band when the vertebral bodies have been destroyed, increasing the baseline risk of deformity if it is not properly managed. There is paucity of data evaluating management of spinal osteomyelitis-discitis at this region and our study evaluates this regional management.

The destructive nature of the underlying infectious process shares some features with traumatic and oncologic injury for which the Thoracolumbar Injury Classification and Severity score and the Spinal Instability and Neoplastic Score help facilitate recommendations for management. Similarly to these scoring systems, infectious injury to the spinal column calls for evaluation of vertebral body collapse, integrity of the posterior ligamentous complex, and location of the injury; however, there are many more factors that should contribute to a decision to operate. A similar decision-making approach should be employed in management of spinal osteomyelitis-discitis at the TL junction.

Our study identified 3 factors that increase the risk of kyphotic progression: spinal decompression (laminectomy), epidural compression (grade 2 or 3), and vertebral body collapse (>50%). These factors destabilize the spinal column anteriorly and posteriorly, and thus compromise the structural integrity and create a focal zone of weakness where kyphotic deformity endures. Given the increased risk of kyphosis progression, surgical instrumentation should be considered when these risk factors are present.

In our study, the patients who initially presented with epidural compression, with acute neurologic decline, and without focal misalignment underwent emergent decompressive laminectomies without reconstruction. This approach allows for immediate decompression of the spinal cord and nerve roots to aid in neurologic recovery. Patients without neurologic deficits or significant epidural compression were treated with antibiotics and close follow-up. Those who received initial decompressive laminectomy only had faster progression of kyphosis, necessitating instrumentation and fusion for deformity stabilization. This is similar to the poor surgical outcomes reported by Eismont et al in patients who had decompression alone. Despite Noh et al showing decompression alone to be an effective treatment option in the absence of instability at initial diagnosis, it remains unclear whether such findings pertain to the thoracolumbar junction, because the study did not specify which spinal levels were decompressed. Surgical decompression causes iatrogenic disruption of the posterior tension band, which when coupled with compromised anterior column support from infectious damage, creates progressive instability from kyphotic deformity. Our study suggests that when there are destructive changes in the vertebral end plates and epidural compression despite the absence of overt spinal instability, surgical instrumentation with decompression should be considered for stability preservation and prevention of kyphosis.

Time to progression of kyphotic deformity was another feature analyzed in our study. After initial treatment at diagnosis (decompressive laminectomy or medical management), the average time to progression of kyphosis was 2.6 months and 6 months, respectively. Not only are patients with laminectomy reliably progressing to kyphosis, they do so much faster than patients managed conservatively with intravenous antibiotics only. This again speaks to the dangers of laminectomy alone at the thoracolumbar junction regardless of baseline instability at the time of surgery. The ongoing destructive changes in the spinal column at the infected level create a focus of weakness where the moment arm of any dynamic instability rests in its epicenter. As a result, adequate management entails source control with anterior debridement, which will subsequently require posterior fixation as well.

In our series, instrumented fusion for kyphotic deformity improved overall kyphosis by about 36°, which is significantly more than reported corrections in the literature. This is in part due to
the delayed timing of surgical instrumentation in our study. Most of our patients were initially managed conservatively with antibiotics only or antibiotics and decompressive laminectomy. Hence there is a longer time for destructive changes to manifest in the spinal column. Moreover, the presence of laminectomy further compromised spinal stability resulting in worsening kyphotic deformity.

Limitations of this study include its retrospective and nonrandomized design. The sample size was small because of the specific nature of the study in reviewing only cases of vertebral osteomyelitis-discitis at the thoracolumbar junction as opposed to the entire spine. This makes it difficult to assess the influence of the many factors that ultimately contribute to management. Furthermore, overall systemic response to infection, such as complete blood count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, and c-reactive protein, were not consistently documented in all patients. Lastly, pain and functional outcome variables, such as Oswestry Disability Index, visual analog scale, and SF-36, were not adequately and consistently recorded in these patients. Despite these limitations, we believe that our study provides additional clinical insight into the decision-making in management of osteomyelitis-discitis at the thoracolumbar junction. A larger, prospective, multi-institutional study will be needed in the future to corroborate our findings and expand applicability in clinical decision-making. Future directions will also include biomechanical studies to quantify the integrity of the TL junction after laminectomy while simulating vertebral osteomyelitis-discitis. We focused on the TL junction because there is lack of data addressing laminectomy versus instrumentation for vertebral osteomyelitis. We understand this is a small subset of patients undergoing secondary fusion at the TL junction. Our recommendations mostly stand for vertebral osteomyelitis-discitis where the anterior column support is compromised by infection.

CONCLUSION

The management of osteomyelitis-discitis at the thoracolumbar junction continues to be a therapeutic dilemma. Our study showed that the surgical decompression, epidural compression, and vertebral body collapse are factors that increase the risk of kyphosis progression requiring instrumentation. In addition, laminectomy accelerates kyphosis progression. Therefore, at the time of diagnosis, absence of gross instability or severe kyphosis should not preclude the need for instrumentation at the TL junction. We recommend surgical stabilization along with decompression at the initial surgery in those patients requiring operative management for osteomyelitis-discitis at the thoracolumbar junction, with risk factors for kyphosis progression.
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