Complex Roles of Solution Chemistry on Graphene Oxide Coagulation onto Titanium Dioxide: Batch Experiments, Spectroscopy Analysis and Theoretical Calculation
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Although graphene oxide (GO) has been used in multidisciplinary areas due to its excellent physicochemical properties, its environmental behavior and fate are still largely unclear. In this study, batch experiments, spectroscopy analysis and theoretical calculations were addressed to promote a more comprehensive understanding toward the coagulation behavior of GO onto TiO₂ under various environmental conditions (pH, co-existing ions, temperature, etc.). The results indicated that neutral pH was beneficial to the removal of GO due to the electrostatic interaction. The presence of cations accelerated GO coagulation significantly owing to the influence of electrical double layer compression. On the contrary, the presence of anions improved the stability of GO primarily because of electrostatic repulsion and steric hindrance. Results of XRD, FTIR and XPS analysis indicated that the coagulation of GO on TiO₂ was mainly dominated by electrostatic interactions and hydrogen bonds, which were further evidenced by DFT calculations. The high binding energy further indicated the stability of GO + TiO₂ system, suggesting that TiO₂ can be used as an effective coagulant for the efficient elimination and coagulation of GO from aqueous solutions. These findings might likely lead to a better understanding of the migration and transformation of carbon nanomaterials in the natural environment.

With the fast development of technology and dramatic enhancement in the production of manufactured nanomaterials, the carbon-based nanomaterials (e.g., carbon nanotubes, carbon nanofibers, carbon dots and graphene) have obtained intriguing attention for their commercial, electronic, and medical applications1–4. Graphene has been extensively termed as the “most exciting nanomaterial” due to its remarkable properties (e.g., mechanical strength, electrical and thermal conductivities etc.) ref. 5. Graphene oxide (GO), one of the most important graphene derivatives, has abundant epoxy and hydroxyl functional groups at its basal plane, as well as carbonyl and carboxyl groups situated at the edges6. The existences of these functional groups make GO highly hydrophilic, which permits GO easily swell and disperse in water7. The unusual structure and superior physicochemical properties of GO maintain its great promises in multidisciplinary areas, such as water treatment8, nanosensors9, supercapacitors10 and nanomedicine11. However, on the other hand, the released GO is unavoidable to be toxic pollutant during manufacturing, developing and disposing processes12.

The GO in the natural environment may directly or indirectly affect human health and ecosystems. Recent studies have demonstrated the toxicity of GO to different kinds of organisms. Ren et al.13 found that GO at ultra-trace concentration (0.01 μg/L) in water could trigger Parkinson’s disease-like symptoms and metabolic
disturbance in zebrafish larvae. Tu et al. demonstrated that GO could induce cell damage in E. coli by extracting phospholipid molecules from the outer cell membrane. Liao et al. reported that GO showed the greater hemolytic activity of hemoglobin from suspended red blood cells as compared to the aggregated GO. Hence, the understanding of the physical and chemical behavior of GO in the natural environment is significantly to evaluate its toxicity to human beings. From the literature survey, the efficient way to lower the GO concentration in water is the coagulation of GO, which forms large agglomerates that can be easily separated by aggregation, filtration or centrifugation. Thereby, the coagulation kinetics and stability of GO have been extensively investigated in the literature, and the results showed that the stability of GO in aqueous solution was strongly influenced by ionic strength and pH values. In our group, the aggregation behaviors of GO on Al2O3 and layered double hydroxides (LDHs) indicated that the stability of GO was strongly dependent on solution conditions and the coagulation was mainly dominated by the electrostatic interaction and hydrogen bonds. Similarly, some works also reported that the solution conditions affected the fate and transport of GO in porous media. However, to the best of our knowledge, the coagulation behavior of GO on titanium dioxide (TiO2) under complicated aqueous environmental conditions have not been reported yet, especially the study from theoretical calculations.

As a multifunctional material, TiO2 has already been proved to have outstanding performances in photocatalytic and energy areas. It has also been widely served as an environmental friendly model mineral for the removal of environmental contaminants due to its well-known properties such as high chemical and structural stability, abundant sources, low solubility at the whole pH range and close to neutral pH for point of zero charge. Particularly, the composites of TiO2 and carbon-based nanomaterials are currently recognized as highly effective adsorbent and catalyst in the purification of wastewater.

The objectives of the current study are: (1) to study the influence of pH, co-existing ions, contact time, temperature and TiO2 contents on GO coagulation onto TiO2; (2) to characterize the microscopic surface changes before and after GO deposition on TiO2 using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transformed infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS); (3) to further confirm the interaction mechanisms of GO with TiO2 by density functional theory (DFT) calculations. It is a highlight to understand the coagulation behavior of GO onto TiO2 through the investigation of macroscopic experiments, spectroscopy analysis and theoretical calculations. Furthermore, the coagulation behavior offers new insight into the interaction of GO with TiO2, which can further understand the behavior of carbon-based materials at solid/water interfaces in natural environment.

Results and Discussion

Influence of solution pH. The coagulation behaviors of GO in the presence and absence of TiO2 at different pH values were shown in Fig. 1. The concentration of Ti ions in the solution was analyzed using an inductively coupled plasma mission spectroscopy (ICPE-9000, Shimadzu). It was found that Ti was not detected at 3.0 < pH < 11.0, indicating that TiO2 owned a good chemical and structural stability. In the absence of TiO2, it was clear that the deposition of GO was negligible (Fig. 1A), suggesting that GO was very stable in aquatic solution, which was also evidenced from the visually express in Fig. 1B. The results demonstrated that the GO suspension was very stable in a wide pH range, which was consistent with the literature. However, after adding TiO2
to GO suspension, one can see that the deposition of GO increased sharply from 5% to 80% as the pH increased from 3.0 to 7.0, and then decreased greatly from 80% to 6% in the pH range of 7.0–11.0. To provide more intuitive evidence about the coagulation process of GO, the reaction photographs of GO with TiO2 as a function of pH were also presented in Fig. 1B. At low and high pH values, the suspension was homogeneous with a light claybank color, suggesting the good stability of GO suspension. Apparent precipitation was formed at neutral pH, suggesting the strongly deposition of GO onto TiO2 at neutral pH values. Similarly, the coagulation of GO onto Al2O3 and LDHs was also dependent on pH due to the electrostatic interaction, hydrogen bond and Lewis acid-base interaction. According to the zeta potential analysis (Figure S2), the point of zero charge (pH PZC = 6.0) of TiO2 indicated that the surface of TiO2 was mainly positively charged at pH 6.0, whereas the GO was negatively charged at the whole pH. Therefore, the enhanced coagulation of GO onto TiO2 at pH 2.0–6.0 can be attributed to electrostatic attraction between negatively charged GO and positively charged TiO2. The high level deposition of GO at pH 6.0–8.0 was ascribed to the strong chemical interaction and electrostatic interaction. At pH > 8.0, deprotonation of carboxylic groups was found to play a vital effect on the stability of GO. Furthermore, the concentration of OH– in aqueous solution increased with pH increasing, which can compete with GO for interaction with the functional groups on TiO2. In consequence, the negatively charged GO was difficult to be attached to the negatively charged TiO2 at high pH values due to the strong electrostatic repulsion, which resulted in the stable dispersion of GO in aqueous solutions.

Influence of cations. The common environmental cations (Na+, K+, Ca2+ and Mg2+) were introduced to investigate the coagulation behavior of GO onto TiO2. Figure 2A visually expressed the influence of cation types and concentrations on the coagulation of GO. From Fig. 2A, one can see that GO was homogeneously dispersed in low cation concentration and visible precipitation was formed as the cation concentration increased to a critical value (e.g. 0.01 to 1.0 mM for Na+ and 0.01 to 1.0 mM for Ca2+ and Mg2+). In order to supply more quantitative knowledge about the deposition behaviors of GO, the equilibrium concentration (C) of GO in supernatant at different electrolyte concentrations (NaCl, KCl, CaCl2 and MgCl2) were shown in Fig. 2B. GO was stable at relatively low concentrations (e.g. 0.01 to 1.0 mM) for monovalent cations and the difference between Na+ and K+ was negligible. Above 1.0 mM, the obvious differences among Na+ and K+ were observed, and the removal percentage of GO increased notably with increasing Na+ or K+ concentration. The maximum removal percentage of 51% in the presence of Na+ and that of 90% in the presence of K+ were found. Compared to monovalent cations, the significant deposition of GO was observed in the presence of Ca2+ and Mg2+ ions, i.e., as low as 0.2 mM for Ca2+ and 0.4 mM for Mg2+. The destabilization of GO in the presence of different kinds of cations were in the sequence of Ca2+ > Mg2+ >> K+ > Na+. However, Schulze-Hardy rule showed the similar deposition effect of the same valent cations, which was a little different to the results of this work. This may be attributed to the different interaction properties of cations with GO, and thereby resulted in the more complicated coagulation properties of GO on TiO2 particles. Wu et al. also showed that the aggregating/destabilizing capacity of divalent cations was higher than monovalent cations and the reason was that divalent cations can interact with the functional groups on GO surfaces, particularly at the edges. The concentrations of Na+ and K+ in most natural water environment are less than 10 mM, therefore GO will be very stable and have strong migration potential in aqueous solution if no other cations are present in the natural water. While, Ca2+ and Mg2+ concentrations in natural aquatic environments often over 0.1 mM, the common environmental divalent cations are more aggressive in GO destabilization, and then results in the deposition of GO in natural water conditions. This behavior may be due to the strong binding capacity of divalent cations to functional groups of GO.

Influence of anions. To further understand the interaction mechanisms of GO with TiO2 under different solution chemistry conditions, the coagulation process under different concentrations of co-existing anions were shown in Fig. 3. Figure 3A revealed a direct residual GO concentrations in the supernatant after reaction with
TiO₂ for 24 h in the presence of different anions and concentrations. It is clear to see that the anions (NO₃⁻, Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻ and SiO₃²⁻) had little influence on GO deposition, the minor difference was attributed to their negligible difference on Na loadings. The anion-π interactions between GO and anions occurred as GO can act as an electron acceptor for anion sorption⁶⁹. Shi et al.⁶⁹ demonstrated that the anion-π bond was unpredictable strong using a computational method on the basis of density functional theory. Furthermore, Hao et al.⁴¹ reported that 7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane had strong π-π stacking interactions with graphene which can effectively prevent the inter- or intra-π-π stacking of graphene, leading to the dispersion of functionalized graphene. Therefore, the negligible effect of anions on GO deposition can be attributed to the strong anion-π interactions which prevented the agglomeration of GO and leading to the excellent water dispersibility. Besides, the anions may be adsorbed on the positively charged surface of TiO₂ through electrostatic attraction, and the surface-adsorbed anions blocked parts of active sites on TiO₂ surface and thus prevented the coagulation of GO due to the steric effects and enhanced electrostatic repulsion.

In the presence of anions, the coagulation of GO can be attributed to a balance between the anions (NO₃⁻, Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻ and SiO₃²⁻) and Na⁺. The presence of anions resulted in the more negative surface charge of GO and stabilized GO in solution, while the increase of Na⁺ concentration leaded to the deposition of GO due to electrostatic attraction⁷. With the increase of electrolyte concentrations, the increased Na⁺ concentration had more pronounced influence on the stability of GO than the increased anions (NO₃⁻, Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻ and SiO₃²⁻). From Fig. 3B, one can see that the final values of Cₐ slowly decreased as the electrolyte concentration increased. Compared with NaCl and NaNO₃, each mole of Na₂SO₄ and Na₂SiO₃ includes two moles of Na⁺, therefore, the coagulation of GO onto TiO₂ in the presence of different anions are in the sequence of SO₄²⁻ ≈ SiO₃²⁻ > Cl⁻ ≈ NO₃⁻.

**GO coagulation.** Solid content is an important parameter in the removal of pollutants due to the limited amounts of functional groups and binding sites available for pollutant uptake¹⁶. From Fig. 4A, one can see that the removal percentage of GO increased from 5% to 99% as the TiO₂ content increased from 0.01 to 0.3 g/L. The removal percentage of GO increased sharply at C[TiO₂] < 0.3 g/L, and then a flat curve increased slowly at C[TiO₂] > 0.3 g/L. The effective precipitation and polymerization between TiO₂ and GO led to the quickly increase of GO deposition with TiO₂ content increasing at C[TiO₂] < 0.3 g/L. At C[TiO₂] > 0.3 g/L, most of GO was attached to the surface of TiO₂, thereby the removal of GO from solution to solid particles increased slowly with TiO₂ concentration increasing.

Figure 4B showed the removal of GO from aqueous solutions by TiO₂ at pH 5.0 ± 0.1 as a function of contact time. The deposition of GO increased quickly with the increase of contact time and reached saturation after 10 hours of contact time. At initial contact time, large amount of functional groups on the surfaces of TiO₂ were available for the binding of GO, which resulted in the quick uptake of GO to TiO₂ surfaces. More aggregation sites and functional groups on TiO₂ were available for the coagulation of GO at the initial contact time, and the deposition of GO on TiO₂ was easily and quickly. With increasing contact time, the available sites were occupied by GO and thereby the uptake of GO became slow. The fast aggregation velocity suggested that strong chemisorption devoted to the deposition of GO onto TiO₂, which was significant for the application of TiO₂ to remove GO from aqueous solutions in natural environment.

To further gain insight into the coagulation behavior of GO in aqueous solution, the removal percentage of GO at different temperatures were shown in Fig. 4C. It was clear that the temperature played an important role on the deposition of GO and distinct precipitate was formed at T > 50 °C (Figure S3). According to Fig. 4C, the removal percentage of GO on TiO₂ increased from 25% to 99% with the temperature increased from 20 to 60 °C, indicating that higher temperature was beneficial for the aggregation of GO.

The removal percentage of GO on different coagulants were shown in Fig. 4D. One can see that TiO₂ had similar deposition capacity with ZnO and MgO, however it was clearly to see that there were some suspended solids on the bottom of MgO and on the top of ZnO (Figure S4). The results indicated that the deposition of GO
to TiO₂ was more stable than to ZnO and MgO. In addition, it was interesting to notice that TiO₂ has higher deposition capacity as compared with natural clay materials (e.g. bentonite and diatomite). On the basis of aforementioned analysis, it is clearly that TiO₂ can be potentially used as a cost-effective coagulant for the efficient elimination of GO from aqueous solutions, which could efficiently decrease the potential toxicity of GO in the natural environment.

Spectroscopic analysis. To help deduce the interaction mechanism of GO with TiO₂, the XRD, FTIR and XPS analysis of TiO₂, GO and the TiO₂ after GO coagulation (TiO₂+GO) were collected and compared in detail. The XRD patterns were shown in Fig. 5A. In the XRD pattern of TiO₂, the peaks at 25.3°, 37.8°, 48.0°, 53.9°, 55.1° and 62.7° were indexed to the typical representations of the anatase phase of (101), (004), (200), (105), (211) and (204) reflections (JCPDS card No. 21-1272), respectively. The diffraction peaks of TiO₂ shifted slightly after GO coagulation, indicating that small crystal sized TiO₂ was formed, similar results were also obtained in hybrid TiO₂@rGO. However, the representative (002) reflection at 2θ = 11.4° of GO was imperceptible, implying a decreased layer-stacking regularity and a highly disordered overlay of individual GO nanosheets were formed in the TiO₂ architecture after GO aggregation. Furthermore, from the SEM images (Figure S5), it was obvious that the surface of TiO₂ was composed of stacked GO nanosheets, revealing that GO had been deposited on the surface of TiO₂.

As can be seen from the FTIR spectra in Fig. 5B, the GO showed various adsorption bands for water -OH stretching (3400 cm⁻¹), carboxylates or ketones C=O stretching (1730 cm⁻¹), water -OH bending and C=C stretching (1627 cm⁻¹), alcoholic C-OH bending (1400 cm⁻¹), epoxide C-O-C (1230 cm⁻¹) and C-O stretching (1057 cm⁻¹). The fundamental vibrations of TiO₂ appeared at 400–900 cm⁻¹ which were ascribed to the stretching vibrations of Ti-O and Ti-O-Ti bonds, indicating that small crystal sized TiO₂ was formed, similar results were also obtained in hybrid TiO₂@rGO. However, the representative (002) reflection at 2θ = 11.4° of GO was imperceptible, implying a decreased layer-stacking regularity and a highly disordered overlay of individual GO nanosheets were formed in the TiO₂ architecture after GO aggregation. Furthermore, from the SEM images (Figure S5), it was obvious that the surface of TiO₂ was composed of stacked GO nanosheets, revealing that GO had been deposited on the surface of TiO₂.

Figure 4. Coagulation of GO onto TiO₂. (A) Effect of TiO₂ concentrations, T = 25 °C; (B) Effect of contact time, m/V = 0.1 g/L and T = 25 °C; (C) Effect of temperature, m/V = 0.1 g/L and T = 25 °C; and (D) Comparison of GO coagulation capacities on different materials, m/V = 1 g/L, T = 25 °C; C(GO)initial = 25 mg/L and pH = 5.0 ± 0.1.
behavior had also been reported for the anchoring of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles on graphene nanosheets because of the strong chemically bond between GO and TiO$_2$. The FTIR analysis provided indirect evidence that GO was deposited on the surface of TiO$_2$ through chemisorption and hydrogen bond.

The interaction mechanisms of GO with TiO$_2$ were further investigated by XPS. The chemical properties of different elements in TiO$_2$, GO and TiO$_2$ + GO were shown in Fig. 5C–F. The survey spectra (Fig. 5C) showed clearly the existence of C, O in GO, Ti, O in TiO$_2$ and C, Ti, O in TiO$_2$ + GO. In addition, the binding energy values of Ti2p and O1s of TiO$_2$ + GO remarkably shifted to higher wavelength as compared with TiO$_2$, which was presumably due to strong chemical interaction between TiO$_2$ and GO. Similarly, Razzaq et al. observed that the Ti2p and O1s peaks of rGO + TiO$_2$ shifted to higher binding energies as compared to pure TiO$_2$, and they proposed that the drainage of electrons from Ti to rGO and the formation of bonds between TiO$_2$ with rGO. The high resolution of O 1s spectra were shown in Fig. 5D–F. The O1s spectrum of GO (Fig. 5D) can be divided into three

---

**Figure 5.** The characterization of GO, TiO$_2$, and TiO$_2$ + GO. (A) XRD patterns; (B) FTIR spectra; (C) XPS survey spectra. O 1s XPS spectra of GO (D), TiO$_2$ (E) and TiO$_2$ + GO (F).
Divalent cations are more effective than monovalent cations in aggregating/destabilizing different environmental solution conditions. Electrostatic interaction is found to play a key role in GO removal by attributing to Ti-O-C bond formed by the TiO₂ and carboxyl group of GO, confirming the presence of GO in and redistribution, the peaks of O₁ and C atoms in TiO₂⁻, isosurface value of 10⁻² e Å³ was obtained by subtracting the calculated electronic charges of the individual GO and TiO₂ (101) from that of TiO₂ + GO. The optimized structure of GO deposition on TiO₂ was shown in Fig. 6. Owing to the oxygen-containing functional groups of GO plane, the minimum Ti(TiO₂)-O(GO) distance was 2.75 Å. The binding energy (E_b) (Table S1) was calculated as the following: E_b = E_GO + E_{TiO₂} - E_{GO+TiO₂}, where E_GO, E_{TiO₂} and E_{GO+TiO₂} represent energies of the coagulation GO, TiO₂ and the hybrid TiO₂ + GO system, respectively. A high positive E_b between GO and TiO₂ (5.79 eV) suggested that the TiO₂ + GO system was stable and the chemisorption was probably the main coagulation mechanism of GO to TiO₂, complementing to the physisorption behavior, which indicated that strong interaction existed between GO and TiO₂, and TiO₂ was an effective coagulant for the elimination of GO from natural environment.

The calculated charge density distribution and the projected density of states (PDOS) of the hybrid TiO₂ + GO system were shown in Fig. 7. As shown in Fig. 7A, the three dimensional charge density difference plot with an isosurface value of 10⁻² e Å³ was obtained by subtracting the calculated electronic charges of the individual GO and TiO₂ (101) facets. Other significant evidence of the notable charge transference between GO and the TiO₂ (101) facets was the comparison between the PDOS plots for GO, TiO₂ and TiO₂ + GO. As shown in Fig. 7B(c), from −7 to −2 eV range, there was an obvious hybridization between C, O and Ti orbitals. Because of the interaction and redistribution, the peaks of O₁ and C atoms in TiO₂ + GO system almost disappeared when compared with GO. Meanwhile, the peaks of O₂ and Ti atoms in TiO₂ + GO system shifted to lower energy levels compared to pure TiO₂. The theoretical calculation suggested the presence of strong interactions between TiO₂ and GO. Comprehensive consideration of the results of XRD, FTIR, XPS and theoretical calculations, it proved that chemical bonds, hydrogen bonds and electrostatic interactions dominated the coagulation of GO on the surface of TiO₂ from aqueous solutions.

Methods and Materials
Materials and characterization. GO was synthesized from flake graphite (48 μm, 99.95% purity) by using the modified Hummers’ method. More detailed procedures about the preparation of GO were supplied in Supporting Information (SI). Milli-Q water was used in all experiments.
The TiO₂ before and after GO coagulation were characterized by the scanning electron microscopic (SEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). More detailed characterization processes were described in SI.

**GO Coagulation Experiments.** The coagulation experiments were accomplished in a series of 20 mL vials equipped with Teflon-lined screw caps at 25 ± 1 °C by using batch technique. A certain amount of TiO₂ (0.1 g/L), GO stock suspension (25 mg/L) and the background electrolytes (NaCl, KCl, MgCl₂, CaCl₂, NaNO₃, Na₂SiO₃ or Na₂SO₄) (0–20 mM) were added to the vials to obtain the required concentrations of different components, and the samples were left undisturbed on a flat surface for 24 h to allow for the complete settlement of TiO₂ and the large GO aggregates. The desired pH was adjusted by adding negligible volumes of 0.01 or 0.1 M HCl and NaOH. The detail experimental process was described in SI. The concentration of GO was analyzed by ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometer (UV-2550, PerkinElmer) at wavelength of 230 nm (Figure S1). All experimental data were obtained by the average values of triple parallel samples and the error bars were within ±5%.
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