METAL OXIDE NANOSTRUCTURE-BASED GAS SENSOR FOR CARBON DIOXIDE DETECTION
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To increase the sensitivity and efficiency of a gas sensor, nanostructured ZnO and Co$_3$O$_4$ layers were obtained by hydrothermal synthesis directly on the electrode surface, eliminating the use of binders. Scanning electron microscope images showed that the resulting nanostructured coatings were characterised by good adhesion to the surface and high porosity, which opened up the possibility of their further use in the process of developing a gas sensor. The efficiency of the obtained nanostructured coatings and their sensitivity at room temperature to various concentrations of CO$_2$ were determined. The resistance curves of the samples were obtained as a function of gas concentration in the chamber, for Co$_3$O$_4$ and ZnO nanostructures.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Increasing demands for environmental protection and requirements for more efficient production management have promoted the development of various types of gas sensors [1], the most popular of which are chemical sensors [2]–[4]. The most important function of these sensors for human health is monitoring the quality of atmospheric air, and measuring air composition changes [5]–[8]. Due to spatial constraints of the measurements, portable gadgets can quickly and most accurately define air composition and measure its change [9], [10]. For this purpose, gadgets based on metal oxides are widely used [11]–[15]. These sensors are resistive, wherein the electrical conductivity of the polycrystalline semiconductor film changes over time, according to gas concentration. Depending on the type of gas and the type of sensor
conductivity (p-type or n-type), the resistance of the sensitive layer changes [16]–[23].

In some cases, for the development of this type of sensor, it is necessary to use additional heaters, as the process of chemisorption on a semiconductor surface can be dependent on temperature [24]–[27].

The signal of a resistive gas sensor is the integral magnitude, which corresponds to the content of different gases in the atmosphere, either oxidants or reductants. The process of interaction of sensing materials with gases includes: adsorption; surface chemical reactions; mutual diffusion of the components into the crystallites of the semiconductor; and desorption of the reaction products [28]. Chemisorption and reaction on semiconducting metal oxide surface lead to a change in concentration of charge carriers on the surface layer of the semiconductor. The transformation of the chemical signal (charge carrier concentration change on the surface) into integral conductivity of the sensing material is provided by transport of charge carriers [29]–[31]. The microstructure of the sensitive layer (particle size, existence and size of intracrystalline bridges, diameter and value of the pores, unit surface area) affects both processes on the surface and transport of charge carriers. It is expected that semiconducting nanostructured metal oxides show highly sensitive electrophysical properties and reactivity. This occurs due to much more developed surface area and larger number of active bonds of nanostructured coatings compared to smooth surfaces [32].

Recently, screen printing has been one of the most common methods of preparation of nanostructured electrodes [33]–[37]. This method involves the nanostructure preparation as powder, then mixing the powder with a binder that provides adhesion to the surface. The obtained mixture is coated on the electrode surfaces via a specific sieve. This method is simple and allows for the production of electrodes with different sizes and shapes; however, it has some disadvantages, such as the necessity to use polymers as binders. The polymer structures prevent the formation of highly porous films and limit the diffusion of the detected gas in the sensing layer.

Limitation of diffusion substantially decreases the working area of the electrode, and consequently leads to a reduction in sensitivity. This problem may be resolved by the use of the hydrothermal synthesis method, wherein the nanostructures grow directly on the surface of the electrode [38]. The growth of the nanostructures occurs via physical and chemical processes in aqueous solutions, at temperatures lower than 100 °C. Due to the relatively low temperature of the process, there are many options for substrate materials: any chemically inactive surface can be coated by the nanostructures, including textiles and plastics. The hydrothermal method surpasses other methods in terms of diversity of the obtained morphologies: shape, size and nanostructure orientation can be changed by variation of the growing conditions, such as concentration and compound of the reagents, pH of the reaction media, and temperature and time of growth [39], [40].

Cobalt oxide (Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}) is a p-type semiconductor. Generally, this type of conductivity in metal oxides exists due to excess oxygen in the crystal structure (oxygen atoms can substitute some metal atoms, or may be situated in internodes). Thus, there are inner accepting states which form positive charge carriers (holes) after capturing electrons. In an air environment, the surface of the semiconductor can adsorb additional particles of oxygen (localised external acceptor states), and this process increases the concentration of charge carriers (holes) near the sur-
face, i.e., it forms a layer where the holes are accumulated. Gaseous oxidants, such as \( \text{O}_2 \), \( \text{O}_3 \) or \( \text{NO}_2 \), enhance this effect, whereas gaseous reductants, such as \( \text{CO} \), \( \text{H}_2 \) or \( \text{CH}_4 \), affect the system by decreasing the amount of negative charge carriers, leading to a decrease in conductivity [41], [42].

It is known that the chemisorption and desorption of oxygen significantly affect the conductivity of sensing nanomaterials. In air, there is a large concentration of \( \text{O}_2 \) molecules, which adsorb on the metal oxide (n-type) semiconductor surface. The \( \text{O}_2 \) molecules capture electrons from the conduction band and become \( \text{O}_2^- \) ions. As a result of this process, an electric field develops near the semiconductor surface. This field reduces the number of free electrons, forming a near-surface impoverished layer. In the case of a reduction in \( \text{O}_2 \) (e.g., air pumping out of the system), the concentration gradient rises near the n-type semiconductor surface. In this case, the concentration of chemisorbed oxygen on the semiconductor surface layer becomes higher than in the outside atmosphere, and the \( \text{O}_2 \) molecules would desorb from the semiconductor surface layer into the outside atmosphere. The captured electrons would, thus, be released from the \( \text{O}_2^- \) ions and return to the conduction band, increasing the density of charge carriers. Under a continuous decrease in pressure, more \( \text{O}_2 \) molecules will be released, decreasing the thickness of the impoverished surface layer. As a result, the resistance of the sensor element will decrease, and conductivity will grow, accordingly [42], [43]. The opposite effect would be observed if a p-type semiconductor were used as the sensor. During the pumping out of air, the released electrons would recombine with holes, decreasing the density of charge carriers. As the pressure decreases, the conductivity of the sample increases, accordingly.

Resistive sensors with p-type conductivity can have much more favourable characteristics, particularly degree of sensitivity. For n-type semiconductors, the surface and volume components of the conductivity are defined by the same charge carriers (electrons). In the case of p-type semiconductors, the surface and volume components of the conductivity are defined by different type of charge carriers (electrons and holes), and, as a result of their recombination, the change in conductivity is more significant [44]. The interaction between \( \text{CO}_2 \) and nanostructured surfaces allegedly occurs by a different mechanism than for \( \text{O}_2 \) [30]. A \( \text{CO}_2 \) molecule has more stable atomic structure, and does not have a free electron pair for bonding. At low temperatures, water molecules react with \( \text{CO}_2 \) to form carbonate (\( \text{CO}_3^{2-} \)) ions on the metal oxide surface via several intermediate products, including bicarbonate ions (Eqs. (1)–(4)) [45], [46]. At high temperatures, \( \text{CO}_2 \) molecules react with surface \( \text{O}_2^- \) ions directly to form \( \text{CO}_3^{2-} \) ions without intermediate products (Eq. (5)) [47]. Thus, the consumption of electrons by \( \text{CO}_2 \) molecules during interaction with metal oxide surfaces leads to a decrease in film conductivity, and can be used for \( \text{CO}_2 \) detection.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CO}_2(\text{gas}) + \text{OH}^-_{(\text{adsorbed})} & \leftrightarrow \text{HCO}_3^-_{(\text{surface})}. \quad (1) \\
\text{CO}_2(\text{gas}) + \text{H}^+_{(\text{adsorbed})} + 2\text{e}^-_{(\text{surface})} & \rightarrow \text{HCO}_3^-_{(\text{surface})}. \quad (2) \\
\text{HCO}_3^-_{(\text{surface})} & \rightarrow \text{CO} \uparrow + \text{OH}^-_{(\text{surface})}. \quad (3)
\end{align*}
\]
The aim of this study was to obtain porous and nanostructured films of cobalt and zinc oxides via hydrothermal methods, and to examine the film efficiencies as working electrodes in CO₂ gas sensors, at room temperature.

2. METHODS AND MATERIALS

Pectinate-type electrodes were used as a base. The electrodes were deposited on glass surfaces via magnetron sputtering though a thin chrome mask (Kurt J. Lesker). The electrode dimensions were 1.0 x 1.5 cm.

For increasing nanostructured film adhesion and ordering in the coating, the chrome electrodes were first coated with a seed layer. For the Co₃O₄ seed layer formation, a 0.005 M solution of (CH₃COO)₂Co•4H₂O (98 % pure, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich) in ethanol (99.5 % pure, purchased from Chemp) was used as the precursor, and coated onto the electrode by a dipping method. Excess solution was removed by flowing nitrogen stream. Afterwards, the samples were placed in an oven at 350 °С for 20 min, where thermal decomposition of the (CH₃COO)₂Co•4H₂O layer to form Co₃O₄ occurred. The seed layer of ZnO was prepared using the same method. A 0.005 M solution of Zn(CH₃COO)₂•2H₂O (98 % pure, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich) in ethanol (99.5 % pure, purchased from Chemp) was used as the precursor, and coated onto the electrode by a dipping method. Excess solution was removed by flowing nitrogen stream. Afterwards, the samples were placed in an oven at 250 °C for 20 min, where thermal decomposition of the (CH₃COO)₂Co•4H₂O layer to form Co₃O₄ occurred. The seed layer of ZnO was prepared using the same method. A 0.005 M solution of Zn(CH₃COO)₂•2H₂O (98 % pure, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich) in ethanol was used as the precursor, and coated onto the electrode by a dipping method. Excess solution was removed by flowing nitrogen stream. Afterwards, the samples were placed in an oven at 250 °C for 20 min.

For the growth of nanostructures, the samples were placed in a stainless steel holder, with the seed layer downside. This positioning was used in order to protect the working surfaces from interaction with collateral nanoparticles, which fall as precipitates during the chemical reaction. The holder with the samples was deposited in heat-resistant glass with a cover, and filled with the working solution. The growth process was carried out in an oven under the following conditions:

• For Co₃O₄ nanostructures, an equimolar aqueous solution 0.1 M in Co(NO₃)₂•6H₂O (98 % pure, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.1 M in carbamide (CH₄N₂O) (98 % pure, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich) was used. The oven temperature was 95 °C, and exposure time was 5 h. Afterwards, the samples were washed several times with distilled water, and dried under a flowing nitrogen stream. The samples were then annealed at 450 °C for 1 h. The annealing process could be verified visually by the colour change of the films, from pink to black.

• For ZnO nanostructures, an equimolar aqueous solution 0.1 M in Zn(NO₃)₂ (98 % pure, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.1 M in hexamethylenetetramine (CH₂)₆N₄ (98 % pure, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich) was used. The oven temperature was 90 °C and exposure time was 3 h. Afterwards, the samples were washed several times with distilled water, and dried under a flowing nitrogen stream. As a result, white matt films were observed.

Smooth thin films of cobalt oxides were deposited on glass substrate via magnetron sputtering (Kurt J. Lesker). As a target,
99.9 % purity Co was used. The process was conducted in oxygen-argon atmosphere (20 % \(\text{O}_2\)/80 % \(\text{Ar}\)), using 300 W discharge power and a sputtering time of 15 min. As a result, the thickness of the films was 500 nm.

The surface morphology of the samples was studied using a scanning electron microscope (TESCAN-Maya3). The chemical composition of the samples was determined using an INCA x-act energy dispersive spectrometer (Oxford Instruments). To determine the sample structure and phase composition, X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) spectra were recorded using a SmartLab Cu K\(\alpha\) (\(\lambda = 1.543\)Å) diffractometer (RIGAKU) with parallel beam geometry, using an additional Ge(220)\(\times 2\) monochromator.

Measurements of the dependence of electric resistance of the \(\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4\) film samples on gas mixture composition (and pressure) were conducted in a specialised chamber (Kurt J. Lesker), at room temperature (21 °C) and relative humidity of 26 %. During the measurement, air was pumped out (pressure reduction from atmospheric [760 Torr] to 0.1 Torr), and then atmospheric air was pumped back into the chamber. The same experiments were performed using other gases, namely \(\text{CO}_2\) and \(\text{O}_2\) (99 % pure, purchased from Linde). During the pumping processes, measurements of pressure and electrical resistance of all nanostructured films were conducted.

In the following experiments, the dependence of the sample resistance on the \(\text{CO}_2\) concentration in the gas mixture was determined. Air from the chamber was pumped out down to 0.1 Torr, and then atmosphere air was pumped into a calculated pressure \(P_{\text{air}}\). The pressure \(P_{\text{air}}\) was lower than atmospheric, and the pressure difference was compensated for by pumping \(\text{CO}_2\) into the chamber until pressure reached 760 Torr. Experiments were conducted for air-\(\text{CO}_2\) mixtures using \(\text{CO}_2\) mass fractions ranging from 0.001 to 10,000, corresponding to molar (volume) concentrations of \(\text{CO}_2\) of 650 to 997 000 ppm. During the pumping processes, the resistance of the nanostructured samples of \(\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4\) and \(\text{ZnO}\) was measured. To determine the nanostructured film relative efficiency, resistance measurements of the plain \(\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4\) film were also conducted.

### 3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The SEM images and X-ray diffraction graph of the \(\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4\) nanostructures are shown in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. SEM images and X-ray diffraction graph of \(\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4\).](image-url)
Figure 1 shows that the Co$_3$O$_4$ film consists of vertical, oriented, long (about 5 µm) strip-type nanostructures. Due to their small thickness relative to their length, the nanostructures lose their vertical alignment during their last stage of growth. Also, possibly due to their weight, the stripes lay chaotically, intertwining and forming a complicated net of porous labyrinths. The X-ray diffraction analysis did not show any other compounds besides Co$_3$O$_4$. It can be observed on the diffraction graph that the sample has a high amorphous background and relatively low peak intensity. This is characteristic of nanostructures obtained by the use of carbamide, as observed in previous studies [48].

The SEM images and X-ray diffraction graph of the ZnO structures are shown in Fig. 2.

The ZnO film consists of strictly ordered, vertically aligned nanorods with a distinctive hexagonal prism form, approximately 2 µm in length. Due to the lower length-to-thickness ratio, relative to the Co$_3$O$_4$ nanostructures, the ZnO rods retain their vertical alignment during the entire growth process. However, it is clear that the porosity of the ZnO nanostructures is much lower than for Co$_3$O$_4$.

The X-ray diffraction analysis did not show any crystalline compounds besides ZnO. On the diffraction graph, the distinctive peak corresponding to the (002) plane can be seen. The intensities of other peaks are significantly lower than the (002) peak, confirming the dominant orientation of the nanostructures in the vertical direction. The amorphous background of the film is low, indicating a high degree of crystallinity.

**Study of the Resistance Dependence of the Co$_3$O$_4$ Samples on Gas Mixture Composition and Concentration**

![Fig. 3. (a) Dependence of Co$_3$O$_4$ sample resistance on gas pressure during air pumping from the chamber; (b) dependence of Co$_3$O$_4$ sample resistance on gas pressure during gas (air, CO$_2$, O$_2$) pumping into the chamber.](image)
During the first pumping-out of air, down to 175 Torr (Fig. 3(a), red line), the resistance of the sample significantly reduces (approximately 23%). Afterwards, when the pressure reduced from 175 to 0.1 Torr, an increase in the sample resistance can be observed. The resistance “pit” in the graph may be explained by the release of adsorbed water and gas molecules from the sample surface. The increase in sample resistance as the pressure decreases further may be due to the same mechanism as described above; that is, the sensor sensitivity to the pressure of O₂-containing gas atmospheres. During the pumping-out process, electrons which have been released during oxygen desorption recombine with holes. The amount of free charge carriers decreases, and the resistance grows.

During the second pumping-out process (Fig. 3(a), blue line), the same resistance pit is not observed – the sample does not adsorb atmospheric water, and only the oxygen desorption process influences the change in resistance. The plot shows an 11% increase in resistance during the first stage of the pumping-out process, and a relatively fast stabilisation of the resistance due to the equilibrium stage.

The pumping-in of CO₂ to 0.1 Torr in the chamber (Fig. 3(b), blue line) leads to an increase in sample resistance up to 4%. The mechanism of CO₂ adsorption on the metal oxide nanostructures is as described in the introduction.

The pumping-in process of O₂ to 0.1 Torr in the chamber (Fig. 3(b), black line) leads to a sharp decrease in sample resistance. This phenomenon may be explained by the formation of an ionic layer on the sample surface. O₂ plays a major role in the adsorption process, due to its high electronegativity and electron pairs, which help it to adsorb on the surface. Diatomic oxygen molecules act as electron acceptors, going through an ionisation process and forming the ionic layer on the sample surface, assisting in the sorption of other gas molecules.

Electrons on the metal oxide surface act as the active centre for adsorption of atmospheric O₂. O₂ from the environment diffuses to free sectors of O atoms on the metal oxide surface, accepting one or two free electrons. In this way, the chemisorption of O₂ molecules decreases electron mobility, leading to a decrease in conductivity of the n-type materials, and diminishing the adsorption activity centre ability to further bind other particles from the atmosphere.

The pumping-in process of air up to 0.1 Torr in the chamber (Fig. 3(b), red line) leads to an increase in sample resistance up to 3%, which later decreases to 15%, relative to the starting resistance of the sample. This may be explained by the fact that air is a mixture of gases, containing both O₂ and CO₂. In this case, the adsorption of CO₂ in the presence of O₂ occurs. As mentioned previously, O₂ additively promotes other molecule sorption into the system, and, thus, the distinctive CO₂ peak can be observed at low concentrations of air during pumping-in. At high pressure, sorption of O₂ becomes the dominant process, and the distinctive resistance decrease because O₂ resembles the O₂ pumping-in plot (Fig. 3(b), black line).

The dependence of relative change of sample resistance on CO₂ amount, for both Co₃O₄ nanostructures and plain films, is shown in Fig. 4.

It can be seen that the nanostructured sample shows a higher relative resistance with higher CO₂ amount than the plain film. The difference becomes especially distinctive at \( \frac{m_{\text{O}}}{m_{\text{air}}} = 1 \) and above, and the maximum ratio of 78%. Higher performance of the nanostructured sample is explained by the difference in the working surface area. In the case of the plain film, the gas contacted only with the top of the surface, but
in the nanostructured sample, the gas molecules penetrated between the nano-strips, and made contact with the pore surfaces, significantly increasing the amount of active bonds involved in the sorption process.

*Fig. 4. Dependence of the relative change of different Co$_3$O$_4$ sample resistances on CO$_2$ amount in the chamber.*

Figure 5 demonstrates the dependence of sample resistance changes on CO$_2$ amount in the chamber for metal oxides with different types of conductivity.

*Fig. 5. Dependence of the relative change of the Co$_3$O$_4$ and ZnO sample resistances on CO$_2$ amount in the chamber.*

In the case of Co$_3$O$_4$ (p-type semiconductor), the resistance grows with an increase in CO$_2$ amount, with the opposite trend occurring in the case of ZnO (n-type semiconductor). The curves confirm both of the mechanisms described above, namely, the mechanism of adsorption of CO$_2$ for different type of semiconductors and the mechanism of changes of semiconduc-
tor conductivity in the case of varying O$_2$ concentration in the air-gas mixture. The response magnitudes for both samples are approximately the same. This indirectly proves that the sensor sensitivities do not depend on the material conductivity type, but are defined by the existence of nanostructures (sample porosity and total surface area).
4. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, nanostructured samples of Co$_3$O$_4$ and ZnO were obtained by the hydrothermal method. The nanostructured samples showed a response to addition of CO$_2$ to a gas chamber, and the response was dependent on pressure. These observed effects demonstrate the potential use of these materials as working elements of resistive gas sensors.

The nanostructured samples of Co$_3$O$_4$ demonstrate higher resistance changes during increasing CO$_2$ concentration compared with smooth films of the same material, making the nanostructured material more attractive for sensor preparation.
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