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Abstract: Iodothyronine deiodinases (Dios) are involved in the regioselective removal of iodine from thyroid hormones (THs). Deiodination is essential to maintain TH homeostasis, and disruption can have detrimental effects. Halogen bonding (XB) to the selenium of the selenocysteine (Sec) residue in the Dio active site has been proposed to contribute to the mechanism for iodine removal. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) are known disruptors of various pathways of the endocrine system. Experimental evidence shows PBDEs and their hydroxylated metabolites (OH-BDEs) can inhibit Dio, while data regarding PCB inhibition are limited. These xenobiotics could inhibit Dio activity by competitively binding to the active site Sec through XB to prevent deiodination. XB interactions calculated using density functional theory (DFT) of THs, PBDEs, and PCBs to a methyl selenolate (MeSe−) arrange XB strengths in the order THs > PBDEs > PCBs in agreement with known XB trends. THs have the lowest energy C–X*-type unoccupied orbitals and overlap with the Se lp donor leads to high donor-acceptor energies and the greatest activation of the C–X bond. The higher energy C–Br* and C–Cl* orbitals similarly result in weaker donor-acceptor complexes and less activation of the C–X bond. Comparison of the I···Se interactions for the TH group suggest that a threshold XB strength may be required for dehalogenation. Only highly brominated PBDEs have binding energies in the same range as THs, suggesting that these compounds may inhibit Dio and undergo debromination. While these small models provide insight on the I···Se XB interaction itself, interactions with other active site residues are governed by regioselective preferences observed in Dios.
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1. Introduction

Thyroid hormones (THs) are essential biomolecules involved in many biochemical processes, particularly in early developmental stages [1–5]. The prohormone thyroxine (3,3′,5,5′-tetraiodothyronine, T4), and to a lesser extent, 3,3′,5-triiodothyronine (T3) are secreted from the thyroid gland upon stimulation by thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) [6]. Transport proteins (TPs), such as thyroglobulin (TBG) and transthyretin (TTR), transport THs to target cells based on metabolic and/or developmental needs [1].

Upon reaching target cells, deiodination by the iodothyronine deiodinase (Dio) family of selenoproteins modulates TH signaling by controlling levels of the active metabolite T3 (Figure 1) [1]. Deiodination of the outer (phenolic) ring or inner (tyrosyl) ring of THs are activating and inactivating pathways respectively. For example, outer-ring deiodination (ORD) of T4 by Type I (Dio1) or Type II (Dio2) deiodinases produces active T3, while inner-ring deiodination (IRD) of T4 by Type III (Dio3, and Dio1 to a lesser extent) produces the inactive metabolite 3,3′,5′-triiodothyronine or reverse T3.
and Dio1 to a lesser extent) produces the inactive metabolite 3,3′-diiodothyronine (T2). Deiodination is facilitated by a rare selenocysteine (Sec) residue within the cleft of the active site [7].

Disruption of TH homeostasis by xenobiotics can have long-term negative health effects such as structural abnormalities, cardiovascular diseases, and hypothyroidism/hyperthyroidism [1,8]. Organohalogen compounds, such as polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), are known endocrine-disrupting compounds that induce a range of developmental and neurodegenerative effects [9–20]. Recently, studies have shown that inhibition of Dio activity may be one pathway for disruption [21–24]. Related halogenated compounds such as polybrominated biphenyls (PBBs) and polychlorinated diphenyl ethers (PCDEs) have been shown to alter TH levels but have not yet been shown to inhibit Dio [25–27].

PBDEs are used in commercial products to increase flame resistance (Figure 2a) [28,29]. However, PBDEs contaminate house dust, leading to exposure via ingestion or inhalation [21]. As a result, some formulations, namely the penta- and octa-BDEs, were banned in the early 2000s [30,31]. Industrial runoff of these compounds into the environment has led to bioaccumulation in organisms over time, leading to contamination in wildlife [32–36]. Enzymatic debromination of higher-order PBDEs (>5 Br) contributes to more efficient bioaccumulation [30,37]. Hydroxylated metabolites (OH-BDEs) have been shown to inhibit TRβ in silico and in vitro [38,39]. There is evidence for Dio inhibition by PBDEs and OH-BDEs in fish, birds, and humans [21,37,40,41].

![Mechanistic pathways of deiodination by each deiodinase with thyroid hormone (TH) substrates. Dio is regioselective for outer-ring or inner-ring deiodination (ORD and IRD, respectively).](image)

**Figure 1.** Mechanistic pathways of deiodination by each deiodinase with thyroid hormone (TH) substrates. Dio is regioselective for outer-ring or inner-ring deiodination (ORD and IRD, respectively).

![Examples of (a) polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs)—BDE-47 and 3-HO-BDE-47; (b) polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)—PCB-77 and triclosan.](image)

**Figure 2.** Examples of (a) polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs)—BDE-47 and 3-HO-BDE-47; (b) polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)—PCB-77 and triclosan.
PCBs, like PBDEs, are industrial flame retardants with high chemical stability (Figure 2b) [42,43]. Production of some PCB formulations were banned in the 1970s, but they still contaminate urban areas [44–47]. These organohalogens are classified into two subcategories—coplanar or dioxin-like (having no ortho chlorines) and non-coplanar or non-dioxin-like (having one or more ortho chlorines). Dioxin-like PCBs are highly toxic, which is often attributed to an assumed structural similarity with tetrachlorodibenzodioxin (TCDD), a known inhibitor of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) [48]. Non-dioxin-like PCBs are toxic at higher concentrations and inhibit TBG and TTR [49–52]. PCBs have been reported to disrupt TH homeostasis through other mechanisms, such as the sodium-iodide symporter (NIS) [51,53–56]. Limited experimental data suggest that PCBs disrupt TH levels, which could indicate Dio inhibition [57–59]. The hydroxylated compound triclosan has been shown to weakly inhibit Dio (Figure 2b) [60].

Halogen bonding (XB) has gained importance in drug design and crystal engineering [61–70]. Our group has proposed that XB participates in the Dio mechanism through the formation of an initial Se–I interaction between the selenium of the active site Sec residue and a TH iodine (Figure 3) [71]. This mechanism is supported by the work of Mugesh et al. on naphthyl-based deiodinase mimics which display high activity through a combination of halogen and chalcogen bonding [72]. In addition, Schweizer et al. identified potential proton channels in their X-ray structure of the Dio3 catalytic domain that support the XB-based mechanism [7]. Dios prefer the rare Sec residue due to its high nucleophilicity relative to Cys, which is enhanced by deprotonation at physiological conditions. Recent studies by our group explored the possibility that organohalogens like PCBs and PBDEs could inhibit Dio activity by blocking the active site through an X–Se halogen bonding (XB) interaction [28,73].

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3.** Proposed halogen bonding-based mechanism for deiodination by Dio adapted from reference [71]. The identities of B and their protonation states have not been determined.

An ongoing debate on the driving forces for the XB interaction has raged in the literature [42,66,74–82]. Briefly stated, one side describes XB as driven primarily by electrostatics, where the donor interacts with an area of positive electrostatic potential on the distal end of the R–X bond, commonly called the “σ-hole” [68,83,84]. This “polar flattening” results from electron density depletion along the R–X bond axis, leading to the halogen to adopt an anisotropic, oblate shape [67,85–87]. Groups on the other side note charge transfer as a significant contributor to XB and use descriptions in terms of valence bond theory or molecular orbital (MO) interactions related to early contributions by Mulliken [71,80,88–94]. Our group’s discussion of XB in Dio activity has focused on this latter MO description to define XB as a donor-acceptor interaction between the lone pair of a nucleophile (σ lp) and the antibonding orbital (σ R,X*) on the acceptor fragment (Figure 4). According to this model, XB is strengthened for (a) Lewis acids with weaker R–X bonds, which have lower lying σ R,X* MOs, and (b) stronger Lewis bases due to destabilization of the lp donor MOs. In Dio, a partial explanation for the preference of Sec of Cys is the greater Lewis basicity of the selenolate over the thiolate [71]. In peri-chalcogen-substituted naphthyl-based Dio mimics, strong nucleophiles have higher Dio-like activity (i.e., Se,Se > Se,S > S,S), consistent with the preference for selenium over sulfur [95,96]. Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) theory can be used to calculate the donor-acceptor energy (ΔE D→A) as the extent to which donation into the σ R,X* acceptor stabilizes of the lp donor MO (Figure 4) [71,97]. The trend in ΔE D→A for organohalogens is
consistent with increasing XB strength with halogen size. The increased donation into σ_{R-X*} leads to more activated C–X bonds (i.e., C–I > C–Br > C–Cl for both Δd(C–X) and ΔE_{D→A}) (Figure 4) [28].

Overlap with the donor MO is enhanced when the acceptor σ_{R-X*} MO has a greater contribution from the halide AOs. Decreasing the electronegativity from F to I causes the X AOs to destabilize relative to the R fragment, resulting in orbitals with more ‘R’-like character in σ_{R-X} while increasing the X contribution (%X) to σ_{R-X*} [66]. The shift in the R character of σ_{R-X} depletes the electron density along the bond axis only, consistent with the observation of a σ-hole in the electrostatic potential [84]. XB interactions have also been described using a more complex MO diagram for the mixing of both σ_{R-X} and σ_{R-X*} with the donor MO, resulting in an interaction similar to the 3c4e hypervalent bond observed in the trihalide I_{3}^{-} (Figure 4) [89,90,98–100]. Maximization of the overlap between σ_{lp} and σ_{R-X*} requires a near 180° R–X–Y angle, where Y is the donor. Many protein-ligand XB interactions fall in the range of 140° to 160° [65,101–103].

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4.** XB as described by the molecular orbital (MO) model showing the interaction between a lone pair of a donor and the R–X antibonding orbital, alongside the corresponding average stabilization of the Se donor lone pair by THs, PBDEs, and PCBs as determined by Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) ∆E_{D→A} analysis. Units are kcal mol\(^{-1}\). Adapted from reference [66].

2. Summary of XB Models Related to Dio Activity

2.1. Thyroid Hormone (TH) I−Se XB Interactions

XB is observed in X-ray structures of TH binding proteins, such as TTR and TBG, primarily in the form of weak I−O bonds (i.e., PDB entries 1SNO, 2CEO) [71,104–106]. I−Se XB interactions are found in the T\(_4\) lysozyme (selenomethionine mutant, PDB 3DN3) as well as aromatic crystalline systems [91,107]. In Dio, the nuleophilic selenium is assumed to form a strong I−Se XB interaction which activates the C–I bond (Figure 3). Trends in density functional theory (DFT) interaction energies for the series of THs (T\(_4\), T\(_5\), rT\(_3\), 3,3′-T\(_2\), 3,5-T\(_2\), 3,5′-T\(_2\), 3-T\(_1\), 3′-T\(_1\)) were calculated using MeSe\(^{-}\) as a minimalistic model of Dio’s active site Sec at each unique position on the outer and inner rings [28]. The stronger nuleophilicity of MeSe\(^{-}\) results in larger donor-acceptor energy interactions compared to sulfur analogues [71,72]. Manna et al. found the same trends in XB interactions of T\(_4\),T\(_3\), rT\(_3\), and 3,3′-T\(_2\) using methylselenol (MeSeH), but with interactions approximately an order of magnitude weaker due to the neutral donor [72]. In either case, trends in XB strength do not correlate with Dio
regioselectivity, which is governed by other factors, such as interactions with residues within the active site that are omitted from the simple DFT models. For example, weaker 1-O and 1-N XB interactions between ancillary iodines and adjacent residues may help stabilize the TH substrate within the active site [104,108]. These additional XB interactions may enhance the preference towards the outer or inner ring and stabilize the substrate within the active site. The conformation of the TH may also be important, as substrates may need to fit in the active site in a certain way to allow for binding. Crystallographic data from the Protein Data Bank suggest proteins bind THs in cisoid (both phenyl rings on same side as ether linkage) or transoid (both phenyl rings on opposite sides of ether linkage) conformations [104].

Based upon the MO model (Figure 4), XB trends are understood in terms of the orbital energy and C–I* character of the lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMOs) [28]. In addition, XB favorability and activation of the C–X bond can be calculated in terms of corrected zero-point interaction energies (ΔE_{ZPE}) for formation of the XB complex and NBO donor-acceptor energies (ΔE_{D→A}), respectively [28,71]. XB is generally more favorable for diiodinated rings compared to monooiodinated rings (Table 1). Increased donation into the σ_{R-X}* MO leads to stronger 1-Se interactions and a larger activation of the C–I bond in agreement with the MO model. Interaction strengths positively correlate with C–I* LUMO energies within each TH subgroup (inner-mono < outer-mono ≤ outer-di < inner-di) (Figure 5) [28]. These results suggest that specific substitution patterns, such as outer-diiodinated THs, may be more suitable for targeting Dio2, while inner-diiodinated THs prefer Dio3. This prediction is consistent with the preferred substrates of Dio2 (rT3) and Dio3 T3 (3,5-diiodothyronine) [109]. For example, based upon DFT XB strengths, the deiodination reaction of T4 would first occur at the outer-ring (T3) with subsequent deiodination on the opposite ring to 3,3’-T2 (Table 1 and Figure 2) [28]. These results are consistent with the preferred substrate and regioselectivity of Dio3, which favors T3 (IRD). However, these results do not represent a general trend in Dio regioselectivity given that Dio2 acts upon the outer ring iodine of T4, which has a weaker interaction than the inner ring. Additionally, 3-T1 is not deiodinated to thyronine (T0) and has the least favorable XB interaction to SeMe−, suggesting that a threshold interaction strength must be met to cleave the C–I bond (Figure 3). For example, T4 has a high ΔE_{ZPE} (−29.59 kcal mol⁻¹) and a more lengthened C–I bond (Δd(C–I) = +0.198 Å) compared to 3-T1 (ΔE_{ZPE} = −21.41, Δd(C–I) = +0.158 Å) (Table 1). A minimum donation into σ_{R-X}**, which is related to the overall strength of the R–X bond, may be required to convert the XB interaction to a nucleophilic attack. The inability of 3-T1 to undergo dehalogenation by Dio suggest that it may only reversibly bind to the active site of Dio [110].

### Table 1. Interaction energies and selected distances for halogen bonding (XB) complexes of TH analogues with MeSe⁻ at each unique iodine center, adapted from reference [28].

| Compound | XB Position | \(d(C–I)\), Å | \(d(I–Se)\), Å | \(ΔE_{ZPE}\), kcal mol⁻¹ | \(ΔE_{D→A}\), kcal mol⁻¹ |
|----------|------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| T₄       | Inner      | 2.300 (+0.198) | 2.917           | −29.59          | 53.58, 4.18     |
| T₄       | Outer      | 2.282 (+0.169) | 2.960           | −29.50          | 45.93, 3.83     |
| T₃       | Inner      | 2.299 (+0.197) | 2.922           | −28.43          | 52.87, 3.96     |
| T₃       | Outer      | 2.256 (+0.144) | 3.006           | −24.84          | 40.25, 3.03     |
| rT₃      | Inner      | 2.276 (+0.174) | 2.953           | −25.14          | 48.43, 3.17     |
| rT₃      | Outer      | 2.291 (+0.190) | 2.946           | −33.07          | 48.98, 4.12     |
| 3,3’-T₂  | Inner      | 2.272 (+0.169) | 2.960           | −23.56          | 46.89, 3.20     |
| 3,3’-T₂  | Outer      | 2.265 (+0.153) | 2.990           | −28.46          | 43.06, 3.61     |
| 3,5’-T₂  | Inner      | 2.286 (+0.184) | 2.942           | −26.61          | 48.51           |
| 3,5’-T₂  | Outer      | 2.286 (+0.173) | 2.954           | −32.02          | 47.48           |
| 3-T₁     | Inner      | 2.262 (+0.158) | 2.980           | −21.41          | 43.43           |
| 3-T₁     | Outer      | 2.260 (+0.158) | 3.002           | −27.29          | 41.18           |

[a] Donor-acceptor energies with MeSeH from reference [96].
2.2. Polybrominated Diphenyl Ether (PBDE) Br···Se XB Interactions

Br···Se XB interactions were modeled for a selection of PBDEs and hydroxylated PBDEs (OH-BDEs) with MeSe⁻ at each unique halogen position (ortho, meta, or para) (Figure 6) [21]. In general, XB interactions of PBDEs are less favorable compared to THs, consistent with the XB trends favoring larger halogens (I > Br > Cl). In PBDEs, XB interactions are favored at the ortho and meta positions which have more activated C–Br bonds. Increased halogenation of PBDEs generally leads to increased activation of C–Br bonds due to lowering of the σR,X* acceptor MOs for stronger interactions. XB favorability in PBDEs/OH-BDEs is consistent with the observed ortho and meta iodination positions of THs. The stronger XB interactions at the ortho and meta positions of halogenated diphenyl ethers may be related to the adaptation of THs to biological systems in conjunction with the higher nucleophilicity of Sec. PBDEs with ortho and meta substitutions, such as BDE-73, may be better inhibitors of Dio [28].
Some of the highly brominated PBDEs/OH-BDE (≥5 Br) complexes have donor-acceptor interaction energies in the same range as THs. For example, in 6-HO-BDE-47, the strong interaction at the 2′ position ($\Delta E_D^{\rightarrow A} = 44.77$ kcal mol$^{-1}$, $\Delta\delta(C-Br) = +0.206$ Å) is in the same range of THs and could be susceptible to debromination (Figure 6). However, interaction at the 4′ position is much weaker ($\Delta E_D^{\rightarrow A} = 21.12$ kcal mol$^{-1}$, $\Delta\delta(C-Br) = +0.102$ Å) and may be less likely to undergo debromination (Figure 6). In DFT studies, XB favorability was enhanced by the proximity of -OH to the XB interaction site [28]. For example, in BDE-47, XB is more favorable at the ortho ($\Delta E_{ZPE} = -17.54$ kcal mol$^{-1}$) compared to para ($\Delta E_{ZPE} = -16.62$ kcal mol$^{-1}$). However, upon hydroxylation, XB at the para position of 5-HO-BDE-47 ($\Delta E_{ZPE} = -21.65$ kcal mol$^{-1}$) is enhanced by the proximal OH group for a more favorable interaction than ortho ($\Delta E_{ZPE} = -16.53$ kcal mol$^{-1}$) (Figure 7). In OH-BDEs, the -OH group may aid in substrate recognition to Dio by better mimicking TH binding. Schweizer et al. proposed that T$_4$ was held in the Dio3 active site by a His202-Arg275 clamp through which His202 forms a hydrogen bond to the T$_4$ 4′-OH group (Figure 8) [7].

![Figure 7](image-url) Density functional theory (DFT) optimized structures of XB complexes at the ortho and para positions of hydroxylated BDE-47 metabolites. Distances are in Angstroms.

![Figure 8](image-url) Structures of the active site of the crystal structure of Dio3 (PDB = 4TR4) with Sec170 and the residues of the His202-Arg275 clamp proposed by Schweizer et al. indicated [7].

Various studies suggest the potential for PBDEs to inhibit Dio to varying degrees [21,60,111]. For example, François et al. found an increase in Dio1 activity at a 1.0 nM concentration of BDE-209, but not at 0.5 nM or 2.0 nM [41]. In addition, 3-HO-BDE-47 inhibits Dio2, although 6-HO-BDE-47 showed no activity [21]. The difference in XB favorability between 3-HO-BDE-47 and 6-HO-BDE-47 suggest...
hydrogen bonding of a hydroxyl group adjacent to the XB-interacting Br may aid substrate binding in TH binding proteins. In comparing Dio2 inhibition activity of 3-HO-BDE-47 and 5′-HO-BDE-99, the former had a more favorable XB interaction to our small active site model (at the para position adjacent to the –OH group) [60,111]. Factors such as interactions at the active site not included in our minimalistic model may govern the preference of Dio2 inhibition. In another study, a series of pentabrominated PBDEs (BDE-28, 33, 47, and 100) (ΔE_{ZPE} ≈ −13.00 to −23.00 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) showed a positive correlation between concentration and free T3 levels, suggesting Dio1 inhibition. However, other mechanisms may be disrupted, such as displacement of THs from transport proteins [112].

Animal studies have shown that PBDEs are debrominated by Dios [21,41,113]. Out of a series of 20 PBDEs, Roberts et al. showed that six (BDEs 99, 153, 183, 203, 208, and 209) (ΔE_{ZPE} ≈ −19.00 to −29.00 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) undergo debromination in common carp, rainbow trout, and chinook salmon [21]. The interaction energies for these examples are close to or exceed that of 3-T1, providing support for the potential for a threshold XB strength needed for dehalogenation. Across all three species, meta-substituted PBDEs were the preferred substrates, however the preference for debromination varied across species. In carp, debromination was preferred at the meta position, while trout and salmon preferred debromination at the ortho position [21]. They found that neither BDE-49 nor BDE-154 undergo dehalogenation in any of the species. Avian studies have shown that consumption of BDE-209 lead to increased concentrations of octa- and nona-BDEs (BDEs 196, 197, 203, 207, and 208) [41,113]. The differences in observed debrominated products and regioselective preferences for debromination suggest different Dios are targeted across species. For instance, in carp, preference for deiodination at the meta position suggests a higher affinity for Dio2, while the ortho preference in trout and salmon may suggest better inhibition of Dio3.

2.3. Polychlorinated Biphenyl (PCB) Cl···Se XB Interactions

Modeling of the Cl···Se XB interactions for all possible 209 PCB congeners at each unique XB position found that PCBs have much weaker XB interactions than PBDEs and THs (Figure 9), as expected based on the trend of XB strength in the order of I > Br > Cl [73]. XB interactions for PCBs are more favorable at the ortho position, consistent with PBDE XB interactions. On average, meta and para XB interactions that contain two flanking halogens cluster towards a higher ΔE_{D→A} (Figures 10 and 11). None of the PCBs, even the highly chlorinated compounds, have ΔE_{ZPE} values in the range of THs, suggesting dechlorination by Dio is unlikely (Figure 8).

![Figure 9. Comparison of XB interactions of THs, PBDEs, and PCBs. The red line indicates a proposed energy threshold needed for dehalogenation, based upon the interaction energy for 3-T1.](image-url)
The weaker XB interactions in PCBs is attributed to their stronger C–Cl bonds and the higher energy $\sigma_{C-Cl}^*$ acceptor orbitals compared to the bromo- and iodoaromatics [66]. The C–Cl bonds are so strong that the $\pi^*$ MOs are the LUMOs rather than the $\sigma_{C-Cl}^*$-type orbitals. These orbitals are also less weighted toward Cl, leading to less overlap with the Se lp. (%X contributions to $\sigma_{C-Cl}^*$ in PCBs are 45–48% compared to aryl bromides (50–55%) and aryl iodides (55–65%) (Figure 9) [66]. Meta and para XB interactions also generally have higher %X values compared to ortho XB interactions, consistent with their stronger $\Delta E_{D\rightarrow A}$ values. The slightly more favorable XB interactions for meta and para chlorides flanked by two halogens are attributed to the electron-withdrawing properties of the neighboring substituents, which stabilizes the $\sigma_{C-Cl}^*$ acceptor MO [73].

Experimental evidence for Dio inhibition by PCBs is limited and shows conflicting results [57,58,114]. For example, Dio3 activity increased in the brain upon exposure to Aroclor 1254, a mixture containing primarily PCB-77, but hepatic Dio1 and brain Dio2 activity decreased [114]. In contrast, neither PCB-77 nor Aroclor 1254 had an effect on brain Dio2 activity in adult mice [58]. A recent study involving cord blood showed a positive correlation between the concentration of 2,4,5-substituted PCBs and the $T_3/rT_3$ ratio, indicating Dio3 inhibition [57]. This negative effect on Dio3 activity is consistent with trends for highly chlorinated PCBs and a hypothesis of blocking TH binding through an XB interaction. Trends in XB favorability are also similar to a study involving TTR inhibition, which compared substitution patterns of PCBs [115].

![Figure 10. Comparison of donor-acceptor energies ($\Delta E_{D\rightarrow A}$) to the activation of the C–Cl bond ($\Delta d(C-Cl)$) by XB position.](image10.png)

![Figure 11. Comparison of donor-acceptor energies ($\Delta E_{D\rightarrow A}$) to percent contribution of X (%X) by XB position.](image11.png)
3. Discussion

Modeling the XB interactions of halogenated endocrine disruptors with the Dio active site model SeMe\(^{-}\) model provide insight into potential mechanisms of inhibition. Xenobiotics such as PBDEs and PCBs may inhibit Dio by forming an X--Se XB interaction to the catalytic Sec in the active site. XB favorability in the order of THs > PBDEs > PCBs agrees with the expected trends (I > Br > Cl). THs generally undergo deiodination by Dios, an exception being 3-T\(\text{I}_1\) which has the weakest I--Se XB interaction of the series. This observation suggests that XB interaction strength with the active site Sec must exceed an energy threshold for deiodination. While some of the highly substituted PBDEs/OH-BDEs have similar interaction energies to THs and may undergo debromination, PCBs have less favorable interactions, suggesting dechlorination by Dio would not be observed.

XB interaction strengths vary by position. The preference for XB at the meta and ortho positions of diphenyl ethers suggests that the substitution pattern of THs may have been selected to facilitate enzymatic deiodination. The position dependence of XB interactions of PBDEs (ortho and meta) and PCBs (meta and para) suggest that these compounds may target Dio types with substitution patterns similar to their preferred substrate [116]. For example, Dio1 performs both ORD (meta-) and IRD (ortho-) (although there is a preference for ORD) with rT\(3\) as its preferred substrate, while Dio2 and Dio3 prefer ORD (meta-) and IRD (ortho-) with T\(4\) and T\(3\), respectively. A PCB, PBDE or related compound with a structure containing solely meta halogens (such as PCB-80 or BDE-80), or its strongest XB interactions at the meta position, may preferentially inhibit Dio2. Likewise, a PCB, PBDE or related compound containing solely ortho chlorines (such as PCB-54 or BDE-54), or its strongest XB interactions at the ortho position, may target Dio3 for inhibition. These preferences will be subject to other interactions within the Dio active site. Understanding the regioselectivity of these preferences may aid in the drug design to target specific Dios.

The conformational preferences of THs and halogenated aromatics will also affect Dio binding. For instance, since PBDEs have the same diphenyl ether core as THs, they may bind to Dio in a similar fashion. Xenobiotics with large halogens, such as THs and PBDEs, may be less able to adapt their most favorable conformations to the active site due to steric interactions. PCBs lack the ether linkage connecting the phenyl rings and are classified as dioxin or non-dioxin-like based on ortho-substitutions. These two conformations differ in terms of toxicity—non-dioxin-like PCBs are only toxic at higher concentrations (>1000 nM), while dioxin-like PCBs are highly toxic and mimic the structure of TCDD. Addition of halogens to ortho positions restrain the PCB to a noncoplanar conformation due to steric clashes, leading to lower flexibility around the central C-C bond [73]. Therefore, highly-ortho chlorinated species have much lower conformational flexibility, which may impact the ability of the PCB to adapt to the active site and inhibit the protein.

While these small models provide insight on the XB interactions in the active site of Dio, the simple model itself is insufficient for describing various factors that may influence overall XB favorability. Interactions with active site residues will control regioselective binding and activation of THs and the ability of inhibitors to block the active site. For example, OH-substituted inhibitors may be accommodated by the His-Arg clamp proposed for Dio3 [7]. The X--O and X--N XB interactions to ancillary halogens may increase the stability of the TH substrate in the active site. Hydroxylated PCBs and PBDEs that can interact with this clamp and form the X--Se interaction could be the most potent inhibitors. Experimental studies of Dio with PCBs, PBDEs and related xenobiotics are necessary to further explore the relationship between selectivity, inhibition, and substitution pattern.

From the modeling perspective, simulations of full proteins will be needed to understand how these factors affect the XB interaction and substrate binding. Force fields have been developed to account for XB interactions through the use of dummy atoms to represent the anisotropic density at the halogen [117–121]. In addition, AutoDock VinaXB has been developed to include a halogen bonding scoring function [122]. Calculating the free energies of binding (i.e., \(\Delta G\)) may also be useful for predicting favorability of protein-ligand interactions. MMPBSA and MMGBSA (and their variants) and QM methods such as fragmented molecular orbital (FMO) could be used for such calculations and
may aid in drug design for suitable inhibitors to target the active site of Dios [123–125]. Use of these computational methods to understand the underlying mechanisms and key interactions in Dios with an eye toward designing treatments for TH-related disorders is being pursued within our group.
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