Development of compressive strength of HPC with the use of supplementary cementing material (SCM) combination

Abstract
The effect of individual or combined use of silica based and alumino-silicate based supplementary cementing materials on the development of the compressive strength of concretes were studied. Most important aim was to reveal if there is any advantage of the combined use of two supplementary cementing materials. Laboratory tests were carried out on standard cube specimens at the age up to 300 days. Results revealed that the two SCMs could not necessarily contribute to a more effective performance in compressive strength, in the studied mixing ratios.
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1. Introduction
Durability, recycling potential, large range of performance and low material cost makes concrete to be one of the most widely used construction materials [1-5]. Environmental influences may, however, result the electrochemical corrosion of steel reinforcement and the physical or chemical degradation of concrete. Hydraulic and pozzolanic supplementary cementing materials (SCM) are widely used for a long time to enhance the durability of concrete and hinder reinforcement corrosion [6-16]. Development of SCMs is still continuous today. In the variety of silica and/or alumina based SCMs available for concrete, silica fume (>99% silica) and different purity metakaolins (alumino-silicate minerals) are considered to be the most effective in improving the durability of concrete.

Silica fume (SF) is a by-product of the melting process in the silicon and ferrosilicon industry [9-10]. Silica fume particles are very small; usually more than 95% of the particles have lower diameter than 1 µm. Silica fume is a reactive pozzolanic material due to the small particle size and the very high content of amorphous silica. Silica fume forms CSH gel with the Ca(OH)₂ content of concrete and develops similar hydrate products to that of Portland cement but results much smaller crystal products. Mechanical properties of concrete are improved by the use of silica fume; if superplasticizer admixtures are utilized, high compressive strength of 100 to 150 MPa can be reached. However, due to the different rate of the chemical reactions, the development of the compressive strength in time is different from that of realized for Portland cement.

It is demonstrated in the technical literature that alumino-silicate and calcium-alumino-silicate materials can be used as supplementary cementing materials almost as successfully as silica fume. Typical materials are: ground granulated blastfurnace slag (GGBS), fly ash (FA), metakaolin (MK), natural pozzolans, waste glass powder (WGP), cement kiln dust (CKD), rice husk ash (RHA), paper sludge ash (PSA), volcanic ash, solid waste ash, wood ash, foundry sand and red mud [6-14]. The most effective alumino-silicate SCM is the metakaolin (MK) with considerable pozzolanic activity [8,14]. Metakaolin is manufactured by dehydroxilization (calcination) of kaolinitic clay at a temperature between 500°C and 800°C. Kaolinite is formed into a two dimensional crystal structure during dehydroxilization by breaking down or partial breaking down of the original crystal lattice structure and forming a transition phase that is named metakaolin. Successful dehydroxilization of kaolinitic clay results in a disordered, amorphous condition of metakaolin, which has high pozzolanic activity. Increasing the temperature beyond 500°C to 800°C results in sintering and the formation of mullite, which is not a reactive form. Major constituents of metakaolin are SiO₂ and Al₂O₃. This alumino-silicate composition of metakaolin allows chemical reaction with Ca(OH)₂ in concrete that forms calcium-silicate-hydrate gel as well as calcium-aluminate-hydrate and alumino-silicate-hydrate crystalline phases. Recently, different purity metakaolins are available on the market.

2. Scope of the study
In the present experimental research, the effect of both the individual and the combined use of silica based (S) and alumino-silicate based (A) supplementary cementing materials on the development of the compressive strength of concretes were studied. Most important aim of the study was to reveal if there is any advantage of the combined use of two supplementary cementing materials. Laboratory tests were carried out on standard cube specimens at the age up to 300 days and the influences of the SCMs were analyzed.

3. Materials
Quartz sand and gravel was used for the preparation of concretes (maximum aggregate size 16 mm) with CEM I 42.5
N Portland cement. The water/binder ratio was selected to be $w/b = 0.40$ with CEM + SCM amount of 325 kg/m$^3$. The targeted consistence of the fresh concrete mixes was 600 mm flow which was set by polycarboxylate based superplasticizer admixture. Twelve mixes were prepared with different amount of SCMs. For the silica based SCM (that was silica fume slurry) the cement substitution ratio was 3 m%, 5 m%, 10 m% and 15 m%. For the aluminosilicate based SCM (of which main oxide content is: SiO$_2$ 52.96%; Al$_2$O$_3$ 41.74%; CaO 2.98%; Fe$_2$O$_3$ 0.52%) the cement substitution ratio was 10 m%, 17 m%, 25 m% and 33 m%. For the combined use of the SCMs the following cement substitution ratios were applied: aluminosilicate/silica (A/S) ratio of 7/3 (m%/m%), 12/5, 17/8 and 25/8 to reach a total cement substitution ratio of 10 m%, 17 m%, 25 m% and 33 m%, respectively. Specimens were stored under water for 7 days and under laboratory atmosphere afterwards.

4. Experiments

The laboratory testing of the specimens has been started at the age of 28 days. Compressive strength tests were performed by a Form+Test universal closed-loop hydraulic testing machine according to EN 12390-3 at a constant loading rate of 11.25 kN/s on the standard cube specimens (150 mm of size). The tests were repeated at the age of 180 days and 300 days as well. Compressive strength of the specimens were calculated and analysed.

5. Test results

Table 1 summarizes the results of compressive strength ($f_c$) at 28, 180 and 300 days of age. The test results are represented graphically in Fig. 1 to Fig. 3 at 28, 180 and 300 days of age, respectively. It can be seen that the SCMs influence the compressive strength in different magnitudes and the additional development of the compressive strength at later ages is considerably different, too. At 28 days of age, mixes containing silica based SCM (labelled with S in Fig. 1 to Fig. 3) showed the largest increase in the compressive strength for 3 m% cement substitution ratio and the lowest increase in the compressive strength for 15 m% cement substitution ratio. On the contrary, at later ages due to the considerable additional development of the compressive strength, the largest increase in the compressive strength was found for 15 m% cement substitution ratio and the lowest increase in the compressive strength was found for 3 m% cement substitution ratio. Later age strength development for 15 m% cement substitution ratio is $f_{cm,300d}/f_{cm,28d} = 1.18$ while the same for 3 m% cement substitution ratio is $f_{cm,300d}/f_{cm,28d} = 1.05$. At 28 days of age, mixes containing aluminosilicate based SCM (labelled with A in Fig. 1 to Fig. 3) showed the largest increase in the compressive strength for 10 m% cement substitution ratio and the lowest increase in the compressive strength for 33 m% cement substitution ratio. This difference did not change at later ages. Later age strength development for 10 m% cement substitution ratio is $f_{cm,300d}/f_{cm,28d} = 1.10$ while the same for 33 m% cement substitution ratio is $f_{cm,300d}/f_{cm,28d}$.
It can be found for the mixes containing both silica based (S) and alumino-silicate based (A) supplementary cementing materials that the alumino-silicate SCM governs the overall behaviour: the more the amount of SCM, the less the increase in the compressive strength. The most pronounced later age strength development corresponds to the mixes with alumino-silicate/silica (A/S) ratio of 7/3 (m%/m%), with $f_{cm,300d}/f_{cm,28d} = 115.87$ MPa/89.64 MPa = 1.29 while the same for A/S = 25/8 is $f_{cm,300d}/f_{cm,28d} = 98.77$ MPa/84.73 MPa = 1.17. For comparison, the later age strength development for the reference mix is $f_{cm,300d}/f_{cm,28d} = 92.45$ MPa/81.71 MPa = 1.13.

Time development of $f_{cm}(t)/f_{cm,28d}$ ratios are indicated in Fig. 4 to Fig. 7 and values of $f_{cm,300d}/f_{cm,28d}$ ratios are indicated in Fig. 8 for the concrete mixes studied in the present research.

### 6. Discussion

The compressive strength of concrete at an age $t$ depends on the type and strength class of the cement, the type and amount of admixtures and additions, the water/cement ratio and environmental conditions, such as temperature and humidity [17]. For a mean temperature of 20°C and curing in accordance with ISO 1920-3 the relevant compressive strength of concrete at various ages $f_{cm}(t)$ may be estimated from Eq. (1) and (2):

$$f_{cm}(t) = \beta_{cc}(t) \cdot f_{cm,28d}$$

$$\beta_{cc}(t) = \exp \left[ s \left( 1 - \left( \frac{28}{t} \right)^{0.5} \right) \right]$$

where:
- $f_{cm}(t)$ is the mean compressive strength in MPa at an age $t$ in days;
- $f_{cm,28d}$ is the mean compressive strength in MPa at an age of 28 days;
- $\beta_{cc}(t)$ is a function to describe the strength development with time;
- $t$ is the concrete age in days (taking into account the temperature during curing);
- $s$ is a coefficient which depends on the strength class of cement.

Eq. (1) was developed based on results obtained from experiments on structural concrete primarily made with CEM I and CEM III cements [17]. If other cement types are used or if high amounts of pozzolans are used as partial replacement of CEM I, then the development of the compressive strength with time should be determined experimentally. Concretes with a high content of fly ash, natural pozzolans or fine granulated blast furnace slag show a reduced compressive strength at early age and a considerable further strength gain at higher ages [17]. This effect may be more pronounced than considered in Eq. (1) for a low strength, normal hardening cement. Generally, the value for the coefficient $s$ is suggested to be $s = 0.38$ for CEM 32.5 N; $s = 0.25$ for CEM 32.5 R, CEM 42.5 N; $s = 0.20$ for CEM 42.5 R, CEM 52.5 N, CEM 52.5 R (in accordance with the nomenclature of EN 197-1 European Standard).
The value of coefficient $s$ was determined for the concrete mixes studied in the present research (see Fig. 9). It can be realized that the value of coefficient $s$ for the cement used in this study ($s = 0.18$) is very close to the value suggested by [17] for CEM 42.5 R ($s = 0.20$), therefore the comparative analysis of coefficient $s$ is reasonable.

It can be realized at the independent use of the silica based SCM that it does not develop full potential by the age of 28 days since the compressive strength of the specimens is almost the same at the age of 28 days, independently of the amount of silica based SCM applied (Fig. 1). It can be seen that the later age strength development needs the availability of calcium-hydroxide for the further reactions.

Fig. 10 summarizes the pH values (in percents as well) of the specimens at the age of 300 days. One can see that the pH of mix S15 specimens is the lowest that indicates high amount of fixed calcium-hydroxide during the hydration process.

The alumino-silicate based SCM was applied at large doses during this research. It seems that the effectiveness of the alumino-silicate based SCM is decreasing by increasing its amount throughout the studied range. The more the amount of the alumino-silicate based SCM, the more the fixed calcium-hydroxide during the hydration process, however, loss in gain of strength is realized (see Figs. 1 to 3 and Fig. 10). It is also observable that the more the amount of the alumino-silicate based SCM, the less the later age strength development (see decreasing tendency of coefficient $s$ in Fig. 9).

Combined use of the two SCMs is resulted in a complex, rather contradictory behaviour. It can be observed in Fig. 10 that the fixed calcium-hydroxide content is generally less in the cases of mixed use of the two SCMs than that would be expected from the individual use of them, especially when the total amount of the SCM is 10% or 17% (mixes 7/3 and 12/5). In these two cases the later age strength development
is unexpectedly large (see values of coefficient s in Fig. 9). At doses of 25% or 33% total SCM (mixes 17/8 and 25/8) the fixed calcium-hydroxide content is observed at an expected level. It can be seen that mixed use of the two SCMs is resulted in more drop in pH than that was resulted by the individual application of the alumino-silicate based SCM in the same amount. It seems, however, that the influence of the alumino-silicate based SCM dominates over the influence of the silica based SCM (see Figs. 1 to 3). No clear tendency is seen in the coefficient s (Fig. 9). Further studies are needed to explain the observed behaviour.

7. Conclusions

The present paper has summarized the experimental observations of compressive strength of concretes with large doses of supplementary cementing materials (SCM). During the research, both individual and mixed use of silica based and alumino-silicate based supplementary cementing materials were studied. Most important aim of the study was to reveal if there is any advantage of the mixed use of two supplementary cementing materials. For the silica based SCM (that was silica fume slurry) the cement substitution ratio was 3 m%, 5 m%, 10 m% and 15 m%. For the alumino-silicate based SCM (of which main oxide content is: SiO₂ 52.96%; Al₂O₃ 41.74%; CaO 2.98%; Fe₂O₃ 0.52%) the cement substitution ratio was 10 m%, 17 m%, 25 m% and 33 m%. For the mixed use of the SCMs the following cement substitution ratios were applied: alumino-silicate/silica (A/S) ratio of 7/3 (m%/m%), 12/5, 17/8 and 25/8 to reach a total cement substitution ratio of 10 m%, 17 m%, 25 m% and 33 m%, respectively.

The following conclusions can be drawn by the experimental observations:

- Mixes containing silica based SCM showed the largest increase in the compressive strength for 3 m% cement substitution ratio and the lowest increase in the compressive strength for 15 m% cement substitution ratio. On the contrary, at later ages due to the considerable additional development of the compressive strength, the largest increase in the compressive strength was found for 15 m% cement substitution ratio and the lowest increase in the compressive strength was found for 3 m% cement substitution ratio.
- Mixes containing alumino-silicate based SCM showed the largest increase in the compressive strength for 10 m% cement substitution ratio and the lowest increase in the compressive strength for 33 m% cement substitution ratio. This difference did not change at later ages.
- For mixes containing both silica based and alumino-silicate based supplementary cementing materials the alumino-silicate SCM governs the overall behaviour: the more the amount of SCM, the less the increase in the compressive strength.
- The results for the combined use of silica based and alumino-silicate based supplementary cementing materials revealed that the two SCMs could not necessarily contribute to a more effective performance in compressive strength, in the studied mixing ratios. Further research is needed in this field.
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Nagy teljesítőképességű betonok nyomószilárdsága cement kiegészítő anyagok kombinált alkalmazása esetén

A cikk azt vizsgálja, hogy nagy mennyiségben adagolt szilikát-bázisú illetve aluminoszilikát-bázisú cement kiegészítő anyagok hogyan befolyásolják a nagy teljesítőképességű betonok nyomószilárdságát, és a nyomószilárdság időbeli fejlődését. A kutatás a cement kiegészítő anyagokat önállóan és egymással kombináltan vizsgálja. Az eredmények rávilágítanak a cement kiegészítő anyagok kombinációjára vezet kedvezőbb eredményre, mint a cement kiegészítő anyagok önaláírására.

Kulcsszavak: cement kiegészítő anyagok, beton, nyomószilárdság
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