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ABSTRACT

By means of N-body + hydrodynamic zoom-in simulations we study the evolution of the inner dark matter and stellar mass distributions of central dwarf galaxies formed in halos of virial masses $M_\text{vir} = (2-3) \times 10^{10} h^{-1} M_\odot$ at $z = 0$, both in a warm dark matter (WDM) and cold dark matter (CDM) cosmology. The half-mode mass in the WDM power spectrum of our simulations is $M_\text{f} = 2 \times 10^{10} h^{-1} M_\odot$. In the dark matter (DM) only simulations halo density profiles are well described by the Navarro–Frenk–White parametric fit in both cosmologies, though the WDM halos have concentrations lower by factors of 1.5–2.0 than their CDM counterparts. In the hydrodynamic simulations, the effects of baryons significantly flatten the inner density, velocity dispersion, and pseudo phase space density profiles of the WDM halos but not of the CDM ones. The density slope, measured at $\approx 0.02 R_\text{vir}$, becomes shallow in periods of 2–5 Gyr in the WDM runs. We explore whether this flattening process correlates with the global star formation (SF), $M_\star/M_\text{f}$ ratio, gas outflow, and internal specific angular momentum histories. We do not find any clear trends, but when $\alpha_0.02$ is shallower than $-0.5$, $M_\star/M_\text{f}$ is always between 0.25% and 1%. We conclude that the main reason for the formation of the shallow core is the presence of strong gas mass fluctuations inside the inner halo, which are a consequence of the feedback driven by a very bursty and sustained SF history in shallow gravitational potentials. Our WDM halos, which assemble late and are less concentrated than the CDM ones, obey these conditions. There are also (rare) CDM systems with extended mass assembly histories that obey these conditions and form shallow cores. The dynamical heating and expansion processes behind the DM core flattening apply also to the stars in such a way that the stellar age and metallicity gradients of the dwarfs are softened, their stellar half-mass radii strongly grow with time, and their central surface densities decrease.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Determining the nature of dark matter (DM) is one of the biggest challenges in astrophysics, cosmology, and particle physics. From the point of view of cosmic structure formation, the cold dark matter proposal (the so-called ΛCDM cosmology) is very successful at large scales, but at the scales of low-mass galaxies, apparent disagreements with some observational inferences have been reported. Thus, variations on the nature of dark matter have been discussed to alleviate the potential issues with this theory (for a review, see e.g., Colín et al. 2015, hereafter Paper I, and the references therein). However, the predictions at small scales are controversial given the complex physics of baryons (e.g., star formation and its feedback) and the strong interplay between dark and baryonic matter at these scales. For example, several authors have proposed that the effects of baryons in low-mass ΛCDM halos with strong supernova (SN)-driven outflows or with bursty star formation (SF) events, which drive periodical bulk gas motions in the inner $\sim 1$ kpc region, are able to significantly flatten the cuspy halo mass density profiles (e.g., Navarro et al. 1996; Read & Gilmore 2005; Mashchenko et al. 2006; Pontzen & Governato 2012). Researchers have proposed that halo cores are produced also due to the transfer of energy and angular momentum to the inner dark matter by subhalos or baryonic clumps falling to the center via dynamic friction (e.g., El-Zant et al. 2001; Romano-Díaz et al. 2008; Del Popolo 2009; Cole et al. 2011; Inoue & Saitoh 2011; Del Popolo et al. 2014; Nipoti & Binney 2015).

In modifying the cosmological model, the introduction of warm dark matter (WDM) instead of CDM has been extensively studied since the first N-body cosmological simulations were presented within this scenario (Moore et al. 1999; Colín et al. 2000; Avila-Reese et al. 2001; Bode et al. 2001). The halos/subhalos obtained in the N-body simulations do not show any evidence of shallow cores, although they are overall less concentrated than their CDM counterparts if the mass of the halo is not much greater than the filtering mass of the WDM power spectrum (Avila-Reese et al. 2001; Colín et al. 2008; Lovell et al. 2012; Schneider et al. 2012; Anderhalden et al. 2013).

Whether or not significant shallow halo cores are produced in ΛCDM cosmological N-body + hydrodynamic (hereafter hydro) simulations has been a matter of intense debate in the last several years. Given that the subgrid physics in these simulations is not well constrained, the results partially depend on the choice of the SF, feedback schemes, and parameters, as well as on the initial conditions of the zoom-in simulations. The formation or lack thereof of shallow cores has been reported to depend on several factors (likely related to each other) such as the amplitude and fluctuation level of the SF rate (SFR), the stellar-to-halo mass ratio, and variations of the baryonic or gas mass in the innermost halo regions (e.g., Mashchenko et al. 2008; Governato et al. 2010, 2012; Macciò et al. 2012; Teyssier et al. 2013; Di Cintio et al. 2014; Madau et al. 2014; Chan et al. 2015; Öhler et al. 2015; Tollet et al. 2015). In contrast to the hydro simulations on which these works are...
based, there are others where a significant flattening of the inner halo mass distribution has not been observed or still others that require too much SN feedback efficiency in order to attain it (Garrison-Kimmel et al. 2013; González-Samaniego et al. 2014; Vogelsberger et al. 2014; Schaller et al. 2015).

In Paper I, we have presented zoom-in hydro simulations of dwarf galaxies formed in WDM halos of masses close to the filtering scale, $M_f$, as well as masses $20-30 M_f$. While in the latter case the structure formation proceeds as in the CDM scenario, in the former, the evolution and properties of galaxies yield very different results in the two scenarios.

In the present paper, we discuss the evolution of the halo/galaxy mass density and velocity dispersion profiles of the WDM and CDM simulations presented in Paper I. In particular, we study the issue of shallow core formation in both scenarios. Our results show that for distinct halos of present-day virial masses $(2-4) \times 10^{10} M_\odot$, shallow cores form in the WDM scenario (with $M_f$ around these masses), while in the corresponding CDM simulations this is not the case. Herpich et al. (2014) and Governato et al. (2015) have also presented simulations of WDM and CDM galaxies. These authors concluded that galaxy properties and evolution are more sensitive to stellar feedback than they are to WDM candidate mass. In the case of the dwarf galaxy simulated in Governato et al. (2015), a shallow core forms in the halo for both the CDM and WDM runs. Note that the masses of the simulated systems in these works are larger than the WDM filtering masses used by them. We have shown in Paper I that the evolution and properties of galaxies formed in WDM halos of masses much higher than the corresponding $M_f$ are indeed similar to their CDM counterparts.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we present the code, the cosmological background, and the simulations. In Section 3, we analyze the density and velocity dispersion radial profiles of the simulated WDM and CDM dwarfs at $z = 0$. In Section 4 we explore the evolution of the inner halo density profile of our simulations as a function of several measured quantities in order to highlight the mechanism behind the formation or lack thereof of shallow cores. The same phenomena that affect the inner dark matter distribution can affect the galaxy stellar distribution; we explore this phenomenon in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6, we present our summary and final discussion.

2. THE METHOD

In Paper I we have presented zoom-in cosmological simulations of four dwarf galaxies formed in WDM halos that end today with masses close to a filtering mass $M_f$ (see footnote) equal to $2 \times 10^{10} h^{-1} M_\odot$, which corresponds to the case of a thermal WDM particle mass of $1.2 \text{keV}$ (e.g., Schneider et al. 2014). The corresponding CDM simulations (same initial conditions) were also presented and their results compared to the WDM ones. The simulations of this latter scenario are the ones we analyze here with regard to the halo inner structure and dynamics. Below we briefly summarize the simulation set-up; for more details, see Paper I.

2.1. The Cosmological Background

Our numerical simulations were run in a cosmology with $\Omega_b = 0.045$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$, $h = 0.7$: the initial power spectrum, $P(k)$, either CDM or WDM, is normalized to $\sigma_8 = 0.8$. For the CDM case, we use the approximation given by Klypin & Holtzman (1997), which is good enough for the scales studied in this work. For the WDM case, we use the transfer function given by Viel et al. (2005)

$$T_{\text{WDM}}(k) = [1 + (\alpha k)^{2/3}]^{-5/4},$$

where $\nu = 1.12$, and $\alpha$ represents an effective free-streaming scale, $\lambda_{\text{cs}}^f$ (e.g., Schneider et al. 2012), this scale is related to the particle mass, $m_{\text{WDM}}$, $\Omega_{\text{WDM}}$, and $h$ as given by Equation (4) in Paper I. The transfer function $T_{\text{WDM}}(k)$ accounts for the deviation from the CDM power spectrum at small scales. Following Avila-Reese et al. (2001), we use the half-mode wave number, $k_{\text{hm}}$ (where $T_{\text{WDM}}(k) = 0.5$), to define our filtering mass, $M_f$. At this mass scale is where the abundance and properties of the halos as well as the properties of the galaxies formed inside them start to deviate significantly from the CDM case; see Paper I for a discussion and more references therein.

2.2. The Code

The simulations were run with the adaptive mesh refinement N-body + hydrodynamics ART code (Kravtsov et al. 1997; Kravtsov 2003). ART includes different astrophysical mechanisms that are relevant for galaxy formation and evolution: atomic and molecular cooling, homogeneous UV heating background source, SF, metal advection, and thermal feedback. The cooling and heating rates are tabulated for a temperature range of $10^2 < T < 10^6$ K and a grid of densities, metallicities (from $Z = -3.0$ to $Z = 1.0$, in solar units), and redshifts using the CLOUDY code (Ferland et al. 1998, version 96b4).

For a discussion on the subgrid physics used in our simulations, we refer the reader to Colín et al. (2010) and González-Samaniego et al. (2014; see also Avila-Reese et al. 2011). In Paper I we have used the same subgrid parameters for SF and feedback processes as in González-Samaniego et al. (2014). In particular, SF takes place in those cells for which $T < T_{\text{SF}}$ and $n_g > n_{\text{SF}}$, where $T$ and $n_g$ are the temperature and number density of the gas, respectively, and $T_{\text{SF}}$ and $n_{\text{SF}}$ are the corresponding threshold values. A stellar particle of mass $m_a = \epsilon_{\text{SF}} M_g$ is placed in a grid cell every time the above conditions are simultaneously satisfied, where $m_g$ is the gas mass in the cell and $\epsilon_{\text{SF}}$ is a parameter that measures the local efficiency by which gas is converted into stars. We set $T_{\text{SF}} = 9000$ K, $n_{\text{SF}} = 6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $\epsilon_{\text{SF}} = 0.5$ (see Avila-Reese et al. 2015).

5 The cosmological parameters preferred by the Planck collaboration results (Planck Collaboration et al. 2015) are slightly different from those used by us. As previous authors showed, the parameters that have some effect on galaxy formation and evolution are $\sigma_8$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda}$ (e.g., Wang et al. 2008; Guo et al. 2013; Henriques et al. 2015). Our $\sigma_8$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda}$ values are only 3.6% and 5% lower than those of the Planck cosmology. These differences would introduce differences in galaxy evolution as a slight delay in structure formation that are much smaller than the uncertainties due to the astrophysical recipes introduced in the simulations. However, our aim here is to explore the qualitative differences produced by baryons on the inner halo and galaxy structure in the CDM and WDM scenarios of galaxy formation. The effects of the cosmological parameters are expected to be much less important than those of the baryon physics and the filtering in the mass power spectrum.
et al. 2011 and González-Samaniego et al. 2014 for a justification of these values).

Our feedback implementation works in such a way that each star more massive than 8 \( M_\odot \) injects instantaneously into the stellar particle location \( E_{SN,\mathrm{wind}} = 2 \times 10^{51} \) erg of thermal energy. Half of this energy is assumed to come from the SN II and half from the shocked stellar winds. Each one of these massive stars dumps 1.3 \( M_\odot \) of metals into the interstellar medium. ART accounts for SN Ia feedback assuming a rate that peaks at 1 Gyr. It also injects \( 2 \times 10^{51} \) erg for each SN event. In our previous \( \Lambda \)CDM simulations of low-mass galaxies, a cooling delay was imposed for a while on the cells where the young stellar particle is to reduce overcooling, arising in part due to resolution issues. For a fair comparison between the WDM runs and their CDM counterparts, we have kept this delay; though, as discussed in Paper I, the simulation results at our resolution are hardly affected if cooling remains. Our stellar feedback recipe is justified in the end as an effective way to increase its strength. The scheme resembles the one implemented in other simulations, e.g., the EAGLE simulation (Schaye et al. 2015).

2.3. The Simulations

As explained in Paper I, we focus our analysis on galaxies formed in WDM halos of masses close to the filtering scale \( M_c \). In particular, we analyze here four zoom-in WDM simulations that end with virial masses around the filtering mass, \( M_f = 2 \times 10^{10} h^{-1} M_\odot \). For each one of these simulations, we have run their CDM counterparts with the same initial conditions and subgrid physics. The simulations called Dw3 and Dw4 in the \( \Lambda \)CDM scenario were studied in González-Samaniego et al. (2014), while Dwn1 and Dwn2 were first identified in the \( \Lambda \)WDM cosmology and then simulated in the \( \Lambda \)CDM cosmology. The halo and stellar mass assembly histories of these four runs were presented in Paper I (Figure 5 therein). The halo histories are different: from Dw3 to Dwn2 they go from earlier assembly to one more extended in time. These differences are more noticeable in the \( \Lambda \)CDM runs. In the \( \Lambda \)WDM case, the assembly of the bulk of the mass is shifted to lower redshifts in all runs. The halos in both cosmologies do not suffer major mergers since \( z \sim 1 \), excepting Dwn2. In general, the \( \Lambda \)WDM halos suffer fewer mergers than the \( \Lambda \)CDM ones.

The galaxy/halo systems re-simulated at high resolution were selected as isolated halos in an \( N \)-body simulation of a cosmological box of \( L_{\mathrm{box}} = 10 h^{-1} \) Mpc side and a root grid of \( 128^3 \) cells. These halos, both in the \( \Lambda \)CDM and \( \Lambda \)WDM cosmologies, do not have halos of similar or larger masses at distances smaller than \( \sim 1 h^{-1} \) Mpc and they reside in local low-density regions. The halos are characterized by its virial radius, which is defined as the radius that encloses a mean density equal to \( \Delta_{\mathrm{vir}} \) times the mean density of the universe, where \( \Delta_{\mathrm{vir}} \) is obtained from the spherical top-hat collapse model. The halos at this radius end up with more than half a million DM particles in the high-resolution zone.

In order to avoid the effects of a spurious structure formation in the \( \Lambda \)WDM simulations, we have used a less aggressive refinement in these runs with respect to the \( \Lambda \)CDM ones. In the

\footnote{The sudden injection of energy is able to raise the temperature of the gas in the cell to several \( 10^6 \) K, high enough to make the cooling time larger than the crossing time and thus avoiding most of the overcooling.}

appendix of Paper I we have checked that this does not introduce a bias in the \( \Lambda \)WDM simulations with respect to the \( \Lambda \)CDM ones. In any case, the WDM halos end up with masses at \( z = 0 \) (or even at \( z \sim 2 \)) much larger than the scale where artificial structures are expected to form according to Wang & White (2007). The proper size of the finest grid cells in the simulations changes with the scale factor, being at the onset of \( SF, z \sim 3 \), around 55 pc and at \( z = 0, \sim 110 \) pc.

In Table 1 we summarize the \( z = 0 \) properties of our four simulated halos/galaxies in the WDM and CDM cosmologies, both for the \( N \)-body dark matter only simulations (within parentheses, when applicable) and for the hydro simulations that include baryons. The stellar mass of the galaxy \( M_\star \) is defined as the mass in stellar particles within \( 0.1 R_c \), and the stellar effective or half-mass radius \( R_c \) is the radius where half of \( M_\star \) is contained.

3. DENSITY AND VELOCITY DISPERSION

RADIAL PROFILES

Do the mass density profiles of the \( \Lambda \)CDM and \( \Lambda \)WDM halos differ significantly? Let us first analyze the dark matter only (\( N \)-body) simulations. The black short-dashed and solid lines in Figure 1 show the density profiles of the four halos in the \( \Lambda \)CDM and \( \Lambda \)WDM cosmologies, respectively. Actually, differences are small. In the center both have roughly the same slope and overall the CDM halos look more concentrated than the WDM ones. We have fitted the measured density profiles to the conventional Navarro–Frenk–White (NFW) profile (Navarro et al. 1997). The obtained close-to-one reduced \( \chi^2 \)s (typically 1.1–1.3 and not larger than 1.7) show that the NFW profile describes well both the CDM and WDM simulated halos. However, the NFW concentration parameters, \( C_{\mathrm{NFW}} \), are higher for the CDM halos than for the WDM ones. From the Dw3 to the Dwn2 run, the difference increases systematically from factors of \( \approx 1.5–1.9 \) (see Table 1, quantities in parentheses). Therefore, we confirm the results of previous works (see the references in the Introduction) concerning the differences in concentration between the CDM and WDM halos. Note that our simulations here are for WDM halos of masses around the corresponding filtering scale, where strong evolutionary differences with the CDM halos are expected.

As a sanity check, we have also calculated the halo concentration \( C_{\sim 2} \) defined as \( R_c/r_{\sim 2} \), where \( r_{\sim 2} \) is the radius where the logarithmic density slope is \( \sim 2 \). Thus, while \( C_{\mathrm{NFW}} \) is obtained for a fit to the overall density profile, \( C_{\sim 2} \) is determined from a local measure. For the analytical NFW profile, both concentrations are equal by definition. For the simulation results, both concentrations do not differ by more than 15\%, except for the Dw5 CDM run, which presents a little bump in its density profile around the NFW scale radius, causing the \( \sim 2 \) numerical slope to be moved to a larger radius.

To quantify the inner mass distribution of the halos, we measure the slope of the density profiles in the bin \([0.015, 0.02] R_c \) by assuming a power law \( r^{\alpha_{0.02}} \) fit for this interval. For all of our runs, \( 0.02 R_c \) is in between 1.1 and 1.8 kpc, well above the nominal resolution of our simulations. For the CDM runs, \( \alpha_{0.02} \) is in between \( -1.3 \) and \( -1.7 \) in agreement with the slope of \( \sim 1.4 \) obtained at \( 0.02 R_c \) for an NFW profile corresponding to a \( 3 \times 10^{10} M_\odot \) halo with \( C_{\mathrm{NFW}} \approx 15 \). For the WDM runs, \( \alpha_{0.02} \) is in between \( -1.1 \) and \( -1.6 \), also in agreement with an NFW profile of the same mass but with \( C_{\mathrm{NFW}} \approx 9 \). The densities at \( r_{\sim 2}, \rho_{\sim 2} \) are lower in the WDM halos than in the CDM ones. In
The Astrophysical Journal, 819:101 (12pp), 2016 March 10

GONZÁLEZ-SAMANIEGO, ÁVILA-REESE, & COLÍN

Table 1

| Name      | log$(M_\star)$ (M$_{\odot}$) | log$(M_\star)$ (M$_{\odot}$) | $R_\star$ (kpc) | $r_{\nu_2}$ (kpc) | log$(\rho_{\nu_2})$ (M$_{\odot}$/pc$^3$) | $C_{\nu_2}$ | $C_{\nu_2}$ | $C_{\nu_2}$ | $\alpha_{0.02}$ | $V_{\max}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $R_\star$ (kpc) |
|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|
| CDM (DM-only) |                               |                               |                 |                   |                                           |           |           |           |               |                     |                  |
| Dw3       | 10.46                         | 8.73                          | 75.2 (83.6)     | 8.4 (7.2)         | 14.7 (15.0)                               | 8.9 (11.6) |           |           |               | −(11.6)            | −0.66 (−1.10)   | 47.9 (52.0)   | 2.0           |
| Dw5       | 10.29                         | 7.80                          | 68.9 (76.0)     | 5.5 (7.6)         | 14.9 (14.8)                               | 12.5 (9.9) |           |           |               | −(8.9)              | −0.52 (−1.19)  | 37.6 (47.5)  | 1.5           |
| Dwn1      | 10.47                         | 8.43                          | 78.8 (87.2)     | 13.4 (11.1)       | 14.3 (14.6)                               | 5.9 (7.8)  |           |           |               | −(8.2)              | −0.32 (−1.53)  | 43.2 (48.7)  | 3.6           |
| Dwn2      | 10.45                         | 8.41                          | 76.6 (84.5)     | 8.5 (11.6)        | 14.8 (14.5)                               | 9.0 (7.3)  |           |           |               | −(8.4)              | −0.25 (−1.6)   | 49.3 (47.3)  | 3.9           |
| WDM1.2 (DM-only) |                     |                               |                 |                   |                                           |           |           |           |               |                     |                  |
| Dw3       | 10.43                         | 8.74                          | 75.2 (83.6)     | 8.4 (7.2)         | 14.7 (15.0)                               | 8.9 (11.6) |           |           |               | −(11.6)            | −0.66 (−1.10)  | 47.9 (52.0)  | 0.0           |
| Dw5       | 10.29                         | 7.80                          | 68.9 (76.0)     | 5.5 (7.6)         | 14.9 (14.8)                               | 12.5 (9.9) |           |           |               | −(8.9)              | −0.52 (−1.19)  | 37.6 (47.5)  | 0.0           |
| Dwn1      | 10.47                         | 8.43                          | 78.8 (87.2)     | 13.4 (11.1)       | 14.3 (14.6)                               | 5.9 (7.8)  |           |           |               | −(8.2)              | −0.32 (−1.53)  | 43.2 (48.7)  | 0.0           |
| Dwn2      | 10.45                         | 8.41                          | 76.6 (84.5)     | 8.5 (11.6)        | 14.8 (14.5)                               | 9.0 (7.3)  |           |           |               | −(8.4)              | −0.25 (−1.6)   | 49.3 (47.3)  | 0.0           |

Notes.

* Radius where $d \log(\rho)/d \log(r) = −2$.
* Halo density at $r_{\nu_2}$.
* Halo concentration from a local measure: $C_{\nu_2} = r_{\nu_2}/R_\star$.
* Halo concentration from globally fitting the profile to the NFW function.
* Slope of the halo density profile at 0.015−0.02$R_\star$.
* Radius that encloses half of the galaxy stellar mass $M_\star$.

Figure 1. Dark matter density profiles at $z = 0$ for the WDM (solid lines) and CDM (dashed lines) runs. The blue color is for the hydro simulations while the black color is for the dark matter only simulations.

summary, the density profiles of our WDM dwarf halos in the DM-only simulations are reasonably well described by the NFW function, but with respect to their CDM counterparts they are less concentrated by factors 1.5–2 and slightly less cuspy. Thus, the inner gravitational potential in the WDM halos should be less deep than in their counterparts.

Let us now analyze the hydro simulations which include baryons. The blue short-dashed and solid lines in Figure 1 show the halo density profiles of the four runs in the ΛCDM and ΛWDM cosmologies, respectively. Notice that in the hydro simulations not all the mass is in dark matter; initially 15% of the total mass is in baryons (however, a fraction of them, up to ~80% can be lost during the evolution due to galactic winds). Therefore, the dark halo density profiles in the hydro simulations should lie slightly below those of the N-body simulations. We see that while the effects of baryons on the density profiles of the CDM halos are modest, in the case of the WDM halos, they produce a significant flattening of the inner density profile.

The CDM halos in the hydro simulations are still well fit by the NFW profile (the reduced χ²’s are between 1.1 and 1.3). From Table 1 we see that the $C_{\nu_2}$ values differ from those of the dark matter only simulations by less than ≈20%, and the inner slopes $\alpha_{0.02}$ are roughly the same after the inclusion of baryons. Therefore, for our subgrid scheme and parameter values we use in our simulations, the effects of baryons are not able to produce shallow cores systematically in the CDM halos of $M_\star = 2 − 4 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ presented here and in most of the halos presented in González-Samaniego et al. (2014). In the case of the WDM halos, shallow halo cores are formed systematically. From Table 1, the measured slopes $\alpha_{0.02}$ are clearly shallower in the WDM runs than in the CDM ones, with values between −0.25 and −0.66, much flatter than those from the NFW profile. Therefore, the NFW profile does not provide a good fit to the dark matter halos in the ΛWDM hydro simulations. Regarding the overall mass distribution, we use the $C_{\nu_2}$ concentration parameter to compare the WDM and CDM halos in the hydro simulations. As seen in Table 1, the former are less concentrated than the latter by factors 1.4–2.2, and the $\rho_{\nu_2}$ densities are 0.6–0.9 dex lower.

By comparing the $C_{\nu_2}$ parameter of the WDM halos in the N-body and hydro simulations (see Table 1), we see that the effects of baryons make the halo in two cases less concentrated than without them, and in the other two cases make the halos more concentrated, the differences being less than ~30% in all cases. Summarizing, the baryons in our WDM halos of masses around $M_\star$ promote a significant flattening of the inner density profiles without affecting in a systematic way the overall mass distribution of the halos.

7 In González-Samaniego et al. (2014), seven CDM simulated dwarfs with different halo mass assembly histories were presented (two are the Dw3 and Dw5 analyzed here). While in five of them shallow cores do not form, in the other two, which have very late and extended assembly histories, the inner density profile tends to flatten; see the discussion in Section 6 below.
Mamon 2001 equilibrium and with orbits close to the isotropic case expected for halos with an NFW density profile. This is the behavior toward the center from a maximum attained at radii smaller than the corresponding NFW scale radius. This suggests dark matter only simulations, but with the peaks shifted to 2 dex. The different colors correspond to the different z's indicated with labels inside the upper left panel. The black dashed lines correspond to the z = 0 profiles in the corresponding dark matter only simulations.

In Figure 2, the z = 0 3D velocity dispersion ($\sigma_{3D}$) profiles corresponding to the same four WDM and CDM halos as in Figure 1 are plotted. For the dark matter only simulations, the velocity dispersions of the CDM halos (black dashed lines) are higher than those of the WDM halos (black solid lines), especially toward the central regions. This is consistent with the fact that the WDM halos are significantly less concentrated than the CDM ones, which, according to the Jeans equation, implies lower velocity dispersions to attain equilibrium. The $\sigma_{3D}$ profiles, both for the CDM and WDM cases decrease toward the center from a maximum attained at radii smaller than the corresponding NFW scale radius. This is the behavior expected for halos with an NFW density profile in virial equilibrium and with orbits close to the isotropic case (Lokas & Mamon 2001).

For the hydro simulations, the CDM halos show $\sigma_{3D}$ profiles (blue dashed lines) with similar shapes as in the case of the dark matter only simulations, but with the peaks shifted to smaller radii and with slightly higher values. This suggests slightly more anisotropic orbits in the center, likely due to the effects of baryons. In the case of the WDM halos, the velocity dispersion profiles tend to flatten in the inner halo regions, evidencing a strong dynamical rearrangement of the particles.

4. EVOLUTIONARY TRENDS AND SHALLOW CORE FORMATION

In the previous section we have seen that shallow and nearly “isothermal” halo cores form at z = 0 in our WDM hydro simulations. How is the dynamical evolution of these systems? Do they have established shallow halo cores since early epochs or these cores form gradually?

An important property of collisionless systems is that the phase space density of the fluid stays constant with time. Since it is not possible to measure the phase space density in the outcomes of numerical simulations, one uses the coarse-grained phase space density instead; that is, we define the mass density $F(x, v)$ in a discrete six-dimensional phase space volume, $\Delta^6x\Delta v$, centered on the point $(x, v)$ at time $t$. A proxy for this quantity is the coarse-grained pseudo phase space density, defined as $Q \equiv \rho / \sigma_{3D}^2$ (Hogan & Dalcanton 2000). The shape of the radially averaged $Q(r)$ profile measured in the simulated halos is similar to that of the radially averaged coarse-grained phase space density, but its amplitude is shifted to larger values. $Q(r) > F(r)$ (Shao et al. 2013). For CDM halos, $Q(r)$ is well fitted by a single power law of slope $\sim -1.9$ (e.g., Taylor & Navarro 2001). For WDM halos, a flattening in the inner $Q(r)$ as well as the $F(r)$ profile and the existence of an upper limit are expected, given that the WDM particles have non-zero thermal velocities; this is a consequence of the Liouville’s theorem (e.g., Dalcanton & Hogan 2001). Therefore, given that the velocity dispersion does not grow in the inner region of a halo, the real-space density profile must become constant with a core size depending on the specific WDM model (Tremaine & Gunn 1979). For WDM thermal particles of masses $\sim 1$ keV, the corresponding thermal relic velocities are so low that the implied cored regions would be too small to explain the shallow cores suggested by some observational studies, as was first shown by Avila-Reese et al. (2001) and Colín et al. (2008).

In Figure 3, the $Q(r)$ profiles for our four WDM and CDM halos in the hydro simulations are shown at different redshifts (color solid lines). The black dashed lines are for the dark matter only simulations at $z = 0$. While for the CDM halos the pseudo phase space density profiles remain almost the same and are well described by a power law with slope $-1.9$, for the WDM halos there is a clear trend of flattening $Q(r)$ in the center with time. For the latter, it is interesting to see that $Q(r)$ profiles at the epochs of halo formation are very close to the $Q(r)$ profiles of the $z = 0$ dark matter only simulations and well
increasing of the \( \alpha_{0.02} \) values (flattening of the inner density profile), in each case with a different rate, and during the last \( 3-5 \) Gyr, \( \alpha_{0.02} \) oscillates around nearly constant values, \( \approx -0.3 \) for Dw1 and Dw2, and \( \approx -0.45 \) for Dw5. Only in the case of Dw3 does \( \alpha_{0.02} \) decrease a little after maximum and after \( z \approx 1 \) it remains nearly constant at a value of \( \approx -0.7 \); this is the WDM run that ends with the least shallow core among the four runs.

The halos and galaxies in the \( \Lambda \)CDM scenario start to assemble at very early epochs. At redshifts \( \approx 4-2 \) the SF is very active in these galaxies when the halo assembly is in its fast regime. According to Figure 4, at these epochs the CDM halos have values of \( \alpha_{0.02} \) as shallow as \( \approx -0.7 \div -1.1 \). At lower redshifts, when the SFR has typically decreased, the inner slopes \( \alpha_{0.02} \) become quickly steeper (likely because the inner halo slightly contracts due to the concentration of baryons) and remain roughly constant for the next \( \approx 7 \) Gyr, with values as steep as \( -1.2 \div -1.5 \).

A way to quantify the evolution of the inner part of the halos is by measuring the change with time of the inner slope of the density profile at some scaled radius, for example, in the bin \( 0.015-0.02 R_\alpha \), \( \alpha_{0.02} \). Figure 4 shows \( \alpha_{0.02} \) measured at many epochs for our four WDM and CDM halos (upper and lower panels, respectively) in the hydro simulations. The trends are clear: while in the WDM halos a systematic flattening of the inner density profile is seen, in the CDM halos the cores become slightly more cuspy with time. Note that at early times the inner bins, where \( \alpha_{0.02} \) is measured, are resolved with less particles, so at these epochs the reported values are uncertain.

It is important to note that in the \( \Lambda \)WDM scenario the overall formation process of structures of scales close to \( M_f \) is delayed. According to Figure 4, \( \alpha_{0.02} \approx -1.1 \div -1.4 \) at the epochs when the halos start to significantly assemble (\( z \lesssim 2 \) or ages \( \gtrsim 3.3 \) Gyr). At these epochs, the massive transformation of gas into stars is just about to start; the \( M_* \)-to-\( M_c \) ratios are very low, \( <10^{-3} \). At later times, all the halos show a systematic
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To explore the above question, we make a case study of the evolution of the Dw5 system in both the WDM and CDM cosmologies; the other runs have similar behaviors in general. In Figure 5 we present the evolution of the $a_{0.02}$ inner slope of this run for both the CDM and WDM cosmologies (panel (a)) along with the evolution of the respective $M_\text{c}/M_\text{s}$, SFRs, gas outflow rates from a sphere of radius $0.1R_\text{v}$, and the gas outflow-to-inflow rates at the same radius (panels (b)-(e), respectively). The black and blue solid lines are for the WDM and CDM cases, respectively. For the WDM run, we have also computed the evolution of all of the abovementioned quantities from a catalog with more snapshots,\(^8\) Dw5 WDM+, and plot their results with dotted lines.

As seen in panels (a) and (b), the inner slope $a_{0.02}$ of the WDM run starts to increase significantly after $z \sim 1$, the epoch when $\log(M_\text{c}/M_\text{s})$ increases up to the value of $-2.6$. In the case of the CDM run, $a_{0.02}$ is shallower than $-1.15$ at $z > 1.7$, when $\log(M_\text{c}/M_\text{s})$ is lower than $-2$. The SFR histories plotted in panel (c) are computed as the ratio between the amount of gas transformed into stars in a time interval $\Delta t$ and this time bin, at the given epoch. For $\Delta t$ we chose 100 Myr, which is a little longer than the life of a star of eight solar masses. The SFR is strongly episodic in the WDM case; this can be appreciated particularly when the time resolution between the snapshots is increased (dotted line). The galaxy had the most intense bursts of SF at times between $\sim 2$ and 5.5 Gyr; at these epochs, $a_{0.02}$ is steeper than $-1.0$, but just around the age of 5 Gyr the strong flattening of the core starts. Note that the SFR decreases significantly when this happens. Then, after $\sim 7$ Gyr, new strong bursts of SF happen, though they are mostly of lower amplitude than in the initial 5.5 Gyr. In the case of the CDM run, the SFR is higher most of the time than the WDM one but it is significantly less bursty (comparing results with the same number of snapshots). The early mild flattening of the core in the CDM dwarf happens in the epochs of very active SF.

In summary, for both the WDM and CDM runs, we do not see a correlation between the average amplitude of the SFR and the $a_{0.02}$ inner slope. However, the strong intermittence of the SF at all epochs in the WDM run could be related to the halo core flattening. All the WDM runs show this behavior. Several authors have reported that shallow cores form in their $\Lambda$CDM cosmological simulations when the SFR strongly fluctuates and it is sustained (e.g., Governato et al. 2012; Teyssier et al. 2013; Madau et al. 2014; Chan et al. 2015; Oñorbe et al. 2015).

In fact, rather than the SF, the SN-driven outflows are the ones that could be directly associated with the halo core flattening, according to the impulsive blow-out scheme (Navarro et al. 1996; Read & Gilmore 2005). The outflow rates measured at $0.1R_\text{v}$ (roughly the galaxy extent) for the Dw5 dwarf are plotted in panel (d). For the WDM case, the outflow rates are very episodic and strong at early times ($2 \lesssim t / \text{Gyr} \lesssim 6$) when the inner slope $a_{0.02}$ is mildly changing. The outflow rate histories of the WDM and CDM runs are qualitatively similar, though the former are more episodic and have lower amplitudes than the latter (comparing results with the same number of snapshots). At later epochs, the outflow rates decrease in both runs. As expected, there is a correlation between the SF and mass outflow rates, though in the CDM case, a less clear correlation is seen at late times; in particular sometimes the strong SFR drops are not followed by strong drops in the mass outflow rates. Yet, if we repeat the analysis for a larger radius, say $0.2R_\text{v}$, we recover a good correlation; that is, drops in SFR correlate with drops in the outflow rate. It appears that in the CDM run, at late times, the gas can overcome the $0.1R_\text{v}$ radius sphere but it does not reach the radius $0.2R_\text{v}$.

Again, as with the SFR history, we also do not observe a correlation between inner density profile flattening in the WDM run and the overall outflow rate history. Actually, during the evolution of the halo/galaxy system, along with the outflows, an inflow of gas is also present. A significant fraction of the accreted gas transforms into a body of stars in the center of the system, thus deepening the local potential well. In panel (e) we plot the evolution of the outflow-to-inflow ratio at $0.1R_\text{v}$ for the same WDM and CDM Dw5 runs as in the other panels. As can be seen, most of the time this ratio is lower than 1, that is, gas accretion rather than gas ejection dominates. The former is actually happening smoothly and decreases with time. The

---

\(^8\) From $a = 0.2$, timesteps are saved every $\Delta t = 0.005$, where $a$ is the expansion scale factor of the universe. In total, this simulation has 168 snapshots.
latter, as already shown, is episodic (specially in the WDM run), so that the shape of the ratio evolution is completely dominated by that of the outflow rate evolution.

It has been proposed that the main reason for halo profile flattening is the intense and rapid variations with time of the gas content in the very central regions (Mashchenko et al. 2006; Pontzen & Governato 2012). These variations can induce quick fluctuations (on timescales shorter than the dynamical time) in the central gravitational potential and are associated with rapid movements of the center of mass of the gas with respect to that of the dark matter; both effects seem to be an efficient mechanism for transferring kinetic energy from the gas to the dark matter, causing the inner halo (and stellar) regions to expand.

In Figure 6, we plot the change with time of different masses contained inside 500 pc around the halo center of the same Dw5 run shown in Figure 5: dark matter (\(M_{\text{DM}}\); black line), stars (\(M_{\text{DM}}\) blue line), gas (\(M_{\text{DM}}\) gray line), and the sum of all the components (\(M_{\text{DM}}\) red line). Upper and lower panels are for the WDM and CDM cases, respectively. For the WDM simulation, we used the catalog with more snapshots, which was not available for the respective CDM run. To compare results from both cosmologies, in the lower panel we also plot the WDM results but from the catalog with the same number of snapshots as the CDM one (dotted lines).

As expected, the change with time of \(M_{\text{DM}}\) follows the same trend as \(\alpha_{0.02}\) for both the WDM and CDM runs. For the former, in the time period where \(\alpha_{0.02}\) gets significantly shallower (5 \(\lesssim t / \text{Gyr} \lesssim 10 \text{Gyr}\), \(M_{\text{DM}}\) decreases by a factor of 4–5, with small fluctuations. Until \(t \approx 5.5 \text{Gyr}\), the amount of mass in stars within 500 pc increases to values similar to those in dark matter, and then both decrease, though in the last \(\sim 4 \text{Gyr}\), \(M_{\text{DM}}\) decreases more than \(M_{\text{DM}}\) and with variations on average less than or equal to a factor of three.

The gas mass inside the inner 500 pc of the halo is not larger on average than \(\sim 1/3\) of the total mass during the epochs when the flattening of the inner halo profile happens, but it strongly fluctuates on very short timescales (less than the time interval between consecutive snapshots, which is of 50–80 Myr). The fluctuations have amplitudes of 5–15 on average but can be as large as 100–200. Therefore, while subdominant in mass, the gas content within 500 pc fluctuates so much and so quickly that this surely produces non-negligible fluctuations in the central gravitational potential; besides, most of the strong variations in gas mass within the inner 500 pc of the dark matter halo are due to bulk motions of the gas.\(^9\) These are namely the conditions proposed for dynamical heating of the dark matter particle orbits. During the last \(\sim 4 \text{Gyr}\), when the slope \(\alpha_{0.02}\) fluctuates significantly but around a constant value of \(-0.45\) on average, the amplitude of the gas mass variations is remarkably lower on average than at earlier epochs. Note that at late epochs, the gas mass content becomes of the order of or larger than that of the dark matter and stellar mass contents.

For the CDM run (bottom panel), \(M_{\text{DM}}\) does not vary significantly with time since \(t \approx 4.5 \text{Gyr}\), at least not as in the WDM run (dotted lines in this panel). The most intense variations in \(M_{\text{DM}}\) happen at early epochs when the slope \(\alpha_{0.02}\) is slightly shallower than later. In general, the central region of the Dw5 CDM halo shows a passive evolution, with roughly constant amounts of dark matter, stars, and gas. Therefore, no shallow core formation is expected for this galaxy.

4.3. Angular Momentum Transference to Dark Matter

Finally, we explore the possibility that the flattening of the dark matter profile in the WDM hydro simulations may be due to the transfer of angular momentum from baryons to dark matter. This can happen as a result of the dynamic friction between stellar clumps or a possible stellar bar and the inner dark matter background (e.g., Valenzuela & Klypin 2003; Romano-Díaz et al. 2008; Del Popolo 2009). In Figure 7 we show the evolution of the specific angular momentum of the dark matter and stellar components (\(j_{\text{DM}}\) and \(j_{\text{DM}}\) respectively), inside a sphere with a 1 kpc radius, centered on the galaxy, for the runs Dw3 and Dw5. We find that \(j_{\text{DM}}\) for both dwarfs remains nearly constant in time with about the same value, around 0.5 \(\pm 0.2 \text{kpc km s}^{-1}\). Instead, \(j_{\text{DM}}\) presents an episodic evolution in both runs, increasing significantly with respect to the very early values, which are similar to those of the dark matter (by factors of \(\sim 4–5\) and \(\sim 6–10\) for Dw3 and Dw5, respectively). In conclusion, the gain/loss of specific angular momentum of the stellar particles does not correlate with the specific angular momentum of the dark matter particles in the central halo regions.

\(^9\) We have seen that the centers of mass of the gas and dark matter components move back and forth constantly most of the time and in all of the runs. These off-centers are typically of scales close to the size resolution of the innermost halo bin but sometimes they are larger.
5. GALAXY EXPANSION AND STELLAR MIGRATION

As seen in Figure 6, for the WDM Dw5 galaxy, not only the dark matter mass in the innermost 500 pc of the halo region but also the stellar mass (since $t \sim 6.5$ Gyr) decreases with time; the latter decreases even faster during the last 3.5 Gyr. This suggests that the dynamical processes that expand the inner dark matter halo regions, producing a shallow core, also affect the collisionless stellar component as discussed in Read & Gilmore (2005) and Maxwell et al. (2012) and reported in cosmological simulations of galaxies in Teyssier et al. (2013) and Governato et al. (2015). We have further measured the median proper radius (with respect to the galaxy center) of all stellar particles inside 0.02 $R_e$, at 5 Gyr age ($z = 1.2$), then traced these particles to $z = 0$, and measured their median proper radius again. For the WDM run, the median radius increased from 0.15 to 1.44 kpc; that is, by nearly a factor of 10. The same measures for the CDM run, show an increase from 0.32 to 0.70 kpc; that is, only by a factor of about two. Therefore, the stellar particle distribution in the inner regions of the galaxy in the WDM run dramatically expands with respect to the modest expansion seen in the CDM run.

In Figure 8, the evolution of the half-mass radius of our four dwarfs is plotted, both for the WDM (upper panel) and the CDM (lower panel) runs. The $R_e$ growth of the WDM dwarfs is much more pronounced than the growth of the corresponding CDM dwarfs. A growth of $R_e$ is expected due to the inside-out mass buildup of galaxies in the hierarchical clustering scenario (see, e.g., Firmani & Avila-Reese 2009). For example, according to the models presented in that paper, the $R_e$ of low-mass galaxies grows on average by factors of $\approx 2$–$2.5$ from $z = 1.5$ to 0. This factor is $\approx 2.5$–5 for our CDM dwarf simulations, and it is likely due to both the inside-out mass assembly of the galaxies and a slight inner expansion. In the case of the WDM simulations, this factor is much larger, it is in between $\approx 7$ and 21, evidencing that besides the inside-out galaxy assembling, $R_e$ grows and the inner stellar surface density decreases due to an expansion of the stellar particle distribution. According to our simulations, while in the CDM case (no shallow core formation) the central stellar surface density increases as the galaxy grows inside-out, in the WDM case (shallow core formation) this increase is lower, and at later epochs, it even decreases; for Dw5, Dwn1, and Dwn2, from $z \sim 1$ to 0, the central surface density decreases by factors of 3–20.

It is quite interesting that the dynamical mechanisms able to expand the inner dark matter mass distribution, producing a shallow core, also have consequences for the stellar mass distribution: the stars that formed early in the centers of the dwarfs migrated to orbits with larger radii, and then the stellar age and metallicity gradients become flatter than in the absence of the expansion. In addition, the radial stellar surface density profiles become “flatter,” with a nearly exponential distribution and a lower amplitude in the center (see Figure 4 in Paper I), as first discussed in Read & Gilmore (2005).

Our results show that the dynamical heating mechanisms can affect the inner stellar particle spatial distribution even more than that of the dark matter one (see upper panel of Figure 6). This might be because the velocity distributions of the stellar particles are more radially anisotropic than those of the dark matter particles, and the former become more easily unbound than the latter as a result of rapid changes in the local potential (Read & Gilmore 2005). Therefore, along with the formation of a shallow halo core, important signatures are imprinted in the observed dwarf galaxies, such as color, age, and metallicity gradients, as well as the surface density (brightness) profiles.

6. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We have analyzed the evolution of the WDM simulations (and their corresponding CDM counterparts) of central dwarf
The episodic gas outflows due to the bursty SF history produce strong fluctuations of the gas content inside a sphere of 500 pc around the dark matter halo center, and in many cases these fluctuations are associated with bulk gas motions. The fluctuations can have amplitudes as large as 100–200 at the epochs when efficient inner halo profile flattening is happening in the analyzed Dw5 WDM run. Although the gas mass inside this sphere does not contribute more than ~1/3 of the total mass, the fluctuations are so strong and quick that they likely produce non-negligible fluctuations in the inner gravitational potential. The quick fluctuations in the potential and the bulk gas motions are conditions proposed to dynamically heat the dark matter particle orbits and produce core expansion. At later times, when $\alpha_{0.02}$ remains constant on average, the gas mass fluctuations inside 500 pc become lower than at early epochs. For the Dw5 CDM run, the gas mass fluctuations are much less intense than in the WDM run; the highest fluctuations happen at the earliest epochs when a slight flattening of the inner halo is seen.

The main conclusion from our numerical simulations is that the flattening of the inner halo density profile happens due to the strong fluctuations of the amount of gas in the inner regions which induce quick variations in the central gravitational potential and bulk motions of the gas with respect to the halo center; both seem to be efficient mechanisms of kinetic energy transfer to the dark matter particles (Mashchenko et al. 2006; Pontzen & Governato 2012). These mechanisms also produce a strong expansion of the stellar particle spatial distribution and a late decrease of the central stellar surface density. Then, (1) the stellar age and metallicity gradients of a present-day dwarf are expected to be flat or even positive despite the fact that the galaxy was assembled inside-out, and (2) the stellar surface density profile of the dwarf results in a “flatter,” exponential-like profile, and with a lower amplitude in the center than in the absence of the dynamical expansion mechanism.

The subgrid physics used here in the hydro simulations, a significant inner expansion of the dark matter and stellar particle distributions occurs only in our dwarfs in the WDM cosmology, not in the CDM one. The WDM halos of masses...
close to $M_f$ assemble later and are significantly less concentrated than their CDM counterparts; thus, the gravitational potential is less deep in the former than in the latter. Besides—as a consequence of what we have just said—the SF history in the WDM simulations is delayed with respect to and much burstier than in the CDM case (see also Paper I). Therefore, late halo assembly, low halo concentration, and a late sustained and bursty SF history seem to be the conditions required for shallow core formation in low-mass halos (see also Oñorbe et al. 2015). These conditions were not fulfilled in our four zoom-in ΛCDM simulations of systems that end with virial masses $M_v \approx (2-4) \times 10^{10} M_\odot$.

However, there are (rare) cases when the mass assembly history of halos of these masses in the ΛCDM cosmology are more extended in time, implying lower halo concentrations and later and more episodic SF histories than the average. For example, this was the case of the runs Dw6 and Dw7 in González-Samaniego et al. (2014), who explored the evolution and properties of simulated dwarfs formed in halos of $(2-3) \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ for a broad range of mass assembly histories. We measured the $\alpha_{0.02}$ inner slope of the halos in runs Dw6 and Dw7 and found that they are the shallowest among the seven isolated systems presented in that paper, with values at $z = 0$ of $\alpha_{0.02} = -0.52$ and $-0.88$, respectively. The former halo has values of $\alpha_{0.02}$ around $-0.5 \div -0.6$ since $z \sim 2$, while the latter halo shows an increase in $\alpha_{0.02}$ from $\approx -1.3$ to $-0.88$ since $z \sim 0.7$ (this halo suffered a major merger at $z \approx 1.8$, after which $\alpha_{0.02}$ decreased from $\alpha_{0.02} \sim -1$ to $-1.3$ up to $z \sim 0.7$, when the flattening starts). In conclusion, our simulations show that the inner density profile of dwarf galaxy halos may significantly flatten in the ΛCDM cosmology only in the extreme cases of late and extended in time mass aggregation histories, which imply low-concentration halos and strongly episodic and sustained SF histories.

### 6.1. WDM versus CDM

Our numerical experiments show that the formation of shallow cores by the effects of baryons in halos of present-day masses $M_e \sim 10^{10} M_\odot$, is much easier in the WDM cosmology (for $M_f$ close to $\sim 10^{10} M_\odot$) than in the CDM one. For the filtering mass $M_f$, used in our simulations, the corresponding thermal relic WDM particle mass is $m_{\text{w} \text{DM}} = 1.2$ keV (for a non-thermal particle, such as the non-resonantly produced sterile neutrino, the respective particle mass in our cosmology is 10.7 keV according to Viel et al. 2005). As discussed in Paper I, the dwarf galaxies simulated in this cosmology are in better agreement with observations than their CDM counterparts, except for their too large half-mass radii. However, by comparing the results of WDM hydrodynamic simulations in the quasi-linear regime with the Lyα flux power spectrum of high-redshift quasars, it turns out that $m_{\text{w} \text{DM}} \gtrsim 3$ keV (Schneider et al. 2012, 2014). Note that these comparisons are not free of uncertainties and limitations (see, e.g., de Vega et al. 2014; Garzilli et al. 2015). For example, the latter authors find from a re-analysis of high-redshift and high-resolution Lyα forest spectra that thermal relics of mass $m_{\text{w} \text{DM}} = 2-3$ keV can provide as equally good fits as CDM depending on the thermal history of the intergalactic medium.

In Paper I we have also presented simulations for a WDM cosmology with $m_{\text{w} \text{DM}} = 3$ keV. For this particle mass $M_f = 1.4 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ ($h = 0.7$) and the present-day virial masses of the simulated systems were similar to those presented here ($(2-4) \times 10^{10} M_\odot$); that is, these systems are 20–30 times larger than $M_f$. The main properties and evolutionary trends of these runs were close to those of their CDM counterparts. We measure here the inner halo $\alpha_{0.02}$ slope for these WDM $3.0$ keV runs and find that in two cases (Dw3 and Dw4) they are only slightly shallower than those of their CDM counterparts, with values of $\alpha_{0.02} \sim 1.2$ at $z = 0$. However, in the other two cases (Dw5 and Dw7), the inner slopes are significantly shallower than in their corresponding CDM simulations, with values of $\approx 0.8$ and $-0.5$, respectively. When comparing the evolution of $\alpha_{0.02}$ in the WDM 1.2 and 3.0 keV simulations for the Dw5 dwarf, we see a similar trend: $\alpha_{0.02}$ becomes shallower with time after $\approx 5$ Gyr, though at a slower rate in the latter case.

Thus, in a WDM cosmology with $M_f \sim 10^9 M_\odot$ ($m_{\text{w} \text{DM}} \gtrsim 3$ keV), it is possible that a significant fraction of the present-day halos of masses $\sim (2-4) \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ may have formed shallow cores. For less massive halos, the formation of shallow cores could be more common. Following the results presented in this paper, for halo masses close to $M_f$, we would expect that all the halos would form shallow cores. However, for lower mass halos, the SF reduces dramatically. We have run hydro simulations for a dwarf that ends at $z = 0$ with $M_e = 4.2 \times 10^7 M_\odot$ (for lower masses halos are resolved with too few particles) both in this cosmology and in the CDM one. In fact, the inner halo density profile does not flatten in both cases; the measured inner slope $\alpha_{0.02}$ at $z = 0$ is $-1.3$ and $-0.95$, respectively; that is, in the WDM case it is even steeper than in the CDM one. We believe this is so because the SF in these very low-mass halos is strongly suppressed, even more in the WDM run than in the CDM one. The WDM dwarf ends with a stellar mass of $1.52 \times 10^6 M_\odot$, 14 times lower than the CDM one. Therefore, the effects of baryons are negligible, at least for the resolution that we attain these simulations, which is similar to the one achieved by the dwarfs in the 1.2 keV WDM cosmology.

From the results found here and in Paper I, we conclude that in a $\sim 3$ keV WDM cosmology, the dwarf galaxies formed in halos of masses $\sim 10–20$ times the corresponding filtering mass, $M_f \sim 10^9 M_\odot$, have properties only slightly different from those of their CDM counterparts, but in the former case the halos are more prone to form shallow cores than in the latter one. For masses lower than $M_e \sim (2-4) \times 10^{10} M_\odot$, $M_e \sim (3-5) \times 10^8 M_\odot$, most of the halos are expected to have shallow cores due to the effects of baryons. However, for masses lower than $\sim 5 M_f$ ($M_e \lesssim 5 \times 10^9 M_\odot$, $M_e \lesssim 2 \times 10^9 M_\odot$), the SF is strongly suppressed so that the effects of baryons seem to be negligible in the inner dark matter structure (Governato et al. 2012; Di Cintio et al. 2014, but see also Oñorbe et al. 2015). In general, in the WDM cosmology a larger diversity of inner halo density profiles than in the CDM cosmology is expected because for masses much larger than $M_f$, the density profiles are very similar in both cosmologies, while for lower masses, in an increasing fraction of cases, the inner halo profiles flatten in different degrees in the WDM cosmology, widening the range of inner circular velocities with respect to the CDM case. The observations seem to present a significantly larger diversity of inner circular velocities than those measured in a ΛCDM hydro cosmological box simulation (the EAGLE and LG simulations; Oman et al. 2015). In order to attain a full statistical description of the low-mass galaxy/
halo population in the WDM cosmology, hydro simulations in cosmological boxes are necessary.
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