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BACKGROUND: Muscle wasting is an important predictor of long-term outcome in patients with cardiovascular disease, but the prognostic value of muscle wasting in patients with non–ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction is not established. The aim of this study is to investigate the prognostic value of muscle wasting, defined by psoas muscle mass index (PMI), in patients with non–ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction.

METHODS AND RESULTS: A total of 132 consecutive patients with non–ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction were prospectively enrolled between 2015 and 2018. Primary end point was incidence of cardiovascular events including cardiovascular deaths, non-fatal myocardial infarction, or non-fatal stroke. Cross-sectional area of the psoas muscle at the L3 vertebral level was obtained by computed tomography and PMI was calculated. The median follow-up period was 2.4 years (interquartile range, 1.1–4.0 years). There were 45 cardiovascular events (34%) during the study periods. The optimal cutoff value of PMI to predict cardiovascular events was 772 mm²/m², as assessed by receiver operating curve analysis. Patients with reduced PMI (PMI<772 mm²/m²) had significantly higher cardiovascular events than those with preserved PMI (PMI≥772 mm²/m²) (48% versus 21%; log-rank test, P<0.001). Multivariate Cox proportional hazards model revealed that reduced PMI was a statistically significant predictor of cardiovascular events (hazard ratio, 3.30; 95% CI, 1.70–6.40; P<0.001).

CONCLUSIONS: Muscle wasting defined as PMI is a simple and useful objective marker to predict future cardiovascular outcome in patients with non–ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction.

REGISTRATION INFORMATION: URL: https://www.umin.ac.jp/ctr/; Unique identifier: UMIN000013445.
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Long-term prognosis of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) remains poor, despite the advancement of contemporary treatment strategies including early invasive revascularization, intensive risk factor management, and multidisciplinary treatment.1,2 Because of the lower incidence of total coronary occlusion and smaller infarct size, non–ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) has less myocardial injury compared with ST-elevation AMI.3,4 On the other hand, worse long-term prognosis has been reported in patients with NSTEMI compared with ST-elevation AMI, because patients with NSTEMI are older, have higher incidence of geriatric condition including muscle wasting, sarcopenia, or frailty as well as greater comorbidity which strongly affects long-term prognosis rather than infarct myocardial damage.2,3,5-8
Muscle wasting is a significant medical problem in patients with cardiovascular disease and is positively affected by adequate interventional managements such as rehabilitation and nutritional treatment. Therefore, early diagnosis of this condition is important to improve survival. However, relationship between muscle wasting and NSTEMI has not been elucidated. Psoas muscle mass index (PMI) has been growing recognition as an objective and quantitative marker to assess muscle wasting and has been suggested as a useful predictive marker for long-term outcome after cardiovascular surgery and surgical oncology. Accordingly, we investigated prognostic value of muscle wasting by measuring PMI in patients with NSTEMI.

**CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE**

**What Is New?**
- Reduced psoas muscle mass index was a statistically significant predictor of cardiovascular events in patients with non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction.
- The addition of reduced psoas muscle mass index improved the prognostic capacity of the prediction model.

**What Are the Clinical Implications?**
- Muscle wasting defined by psoas muscle mass index is a useful measure to predict future cardiovascular events beyond conventional cardiac prognostic markers in non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction.

**Nonstandard Abbreviation and Acronym**

| PMI | Psoas muscle mass index |

**METHODS**

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

**Study Population**

Three-hundred sixty-one consecutive patients with AMI admitted to Kansai Medical University Hospital between January 2015 and April 2018 were prospectively enrolled. Patients with ST-elevation AMI (n=165), out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (n=52), malignant disease with life expectancy ≤1 year (n=4) and loss of follow-up (n=8) were excluded. Thus, 132 patients were included in the final analysis. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of Kansai Medical University (No.20130181) and was registered at the University Hospital Medical Information Network Clinical Trial Registry (Unique identifier: UMIN000013445). All patients gave written informed consent. The investigation conforms with the principles outlined in the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki.

**Study Protocol and Treatment**

Diagnosis of NSTEMI was made by chest discomfort or ischemic-equivalent symptoms at rest, laboratory assay of troponin I or creatine kinase-myocardial band > upper limit of normal and no persistent ST-segment elevation. Patients with alternative diagnosis after coronary angiography (eg, Takotsubo cardiomyopathy, coronary vasospasm, myocarditis, and pulmonary embolism) were not included in this study. All patients underwent guideline-directed medical management and coronary angiography.

**Data Collection**

Patient characteristics and past medical history were obtained from the medical records. Laboratory parameters were collected on admission and cardiovascular status was assessed using the Killip classification. Echocardiography was performed by a cardiologist on admission using Vivid 7 or Vivid E9 (GE Healthcare, Marlborough, MA, USA). Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was obtained by the Simpson method. Culprit vessel and number of coronary artery disease were assessed by coronary angiography.

**Analysis of Psoas Muscle Mass Index**

Plain abdominal computerized tomography (CT) with 5-mm slice imaging was performed during the hospitalization using 80 (Aquilion Prime, Canon, Japan) or 64 (Somatom Perspective, Siemens, Germany) multi-detector CT. Cross-sectional area of psoas muscle (mm²) and CT value (Hounsfield units) were measured using a dedicated workstation (Virtual Place, AZE Ltd, Japan). Both left and right cross-sectional area of the psoas muscle at the L3 vertebral level were traced semi-automatically by 2 independent physicians who were masked to the clinical history. PMI (mm²/m²) was calculated by body muscle cross-sectional area divided by body surface area. Mosteller formula was used to obtain body surface area: body surface area (m²)=(height (cm)×weight (kg)/3600)¹/².

**End point and Follow-up**

Primary end point was cardiovascular event including cardiovascular death, non-fatal myocardial infarction, and non-fatal stroke. Secondary end point was all-cause death and cardiovascular death. The incidence of events was identified from the medical records or
mailed questionnaire to the follow-up hospital. For patients experiencing >1 acute event, only the first event was considered in the analysis.

Statistical Analysis
Continuous variables are presented as means±SDs or medians with interquartile ranges. Categorical variables are presented as number of total (percentages). Equality of means between the 2 groups were tested using the Student t-test or the Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables and the Chi-square test for categorical variables. Inter-observer validity of 2 independent readers for psoas size measurements was assessed by Pearson correlation. To obtain the optimal cut-off value of PMI to predict cardiovascular event, the receiver operating characteristic curve analysis was performed. Long-term cardiovascular event was illustrated by Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and compared using log-rank test. All secondary end-point comparisons were performed at α=0.05 without adjustment for multiplicity. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards models were conducted using conventional risk factors for AMI death and variables with significant difference.16 Net reclassification improvement and integrated discrimination index were calculated to evaluate the quality of improvement of the PMI to the model. The JMP 14.2.0 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) and R 3.6.3 with additional packages, including Rcmdr, Epi, pROC, and Predict ABEL were used for all statistical analyses. A P value <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS
Baseline Characteristics
During the median follow-up of 2.4 years (interquartile range, 1.1–4.0 years), 45 patients (34%) experienced cardiovascular events. Lower hemoglobin and serum albumin levels, and higher serum creatinine and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels were observed in patients who had cardiovascular events (Table 1). Although there were no significant differences in coronary angiographic characteristics between the 2 groups, patients with cardiovascular events had a significantly higher rate of LVEF<50% and a significantly lower rate of revascularization therapy compared with those without cardiovascular events.

Psoas Muscle Assessments
The mean PMI was physician 1, 804 ± 231 mm²/m²; and physician 2, 806 ± 230 mm²/m². The mean CT value was physician 1, 34.5 ± 9.2 Hounsfield units; and physician 2, 35.5 ± 9.2 Hounsfield units. Excellent correlation of PMI was shown by 2 observers (Figure 1). Psoas muscle mass and PMI were significantly higher in patients without cardiovascular events, whereas there was no significant difference in CT value between the 2 groups (Table 2). The optimal cut-off value of PMI to predict cardiovascular events was 772 mm²/m² (sensitivity, 67%; specificity, 63%; area under the curve, 0.637). When the studied patients were classified into 2 groups according to PMI; preserved PMI (PMI ≥772 mm²/m²; n=70)

| Table 1. Baseline Clinical and Coronary Angiographic Characteristics |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Cardiovascular event**                             | **Absent (n=87)** | **Present (n=45)** | **P Value** |
| Age, y                                               | 73 (66–77)        | 74 (70–80)         | 0.14       |
| Men                                                  | 65 (75%)          | 32 (71%)           | 0.66       |
| Body mass index, kg/m²                                | 23.7 (21.4–25.7)  | 22.1 (19.6–24.5)   | 0.02       |
| Hypertension                                         | 61 (70%)          | 30 (67%)           | 0.69       |
| Hyperlipidemia                                       | 69 (79%)          | 26 (58%)           | 0.01       |
| Diabetes mellitus                                    | 51 (59%)          | 24 (53%)           | 0.56       |
| Past smoking                                         | 61 (70%)          | 32 (71%)           | 0.91       |
| Prior myocardial infarction                          | 17 (20%)          | 11 (24%)           | 0.52       |
| Laboratory parameters                                |                  |                   | 0.0001     |
| Hemoglobin, g/dL                                     | 13.2 (11.4–14.7)  | 11.8 (10.6–13.0)   |            |
| Serum creatinine, mg/dL                              | 1.0 (0.7–1.3)     | 1.3 (0.6–2.8)      | 0.01       |
| Serum albumin, g/dL                                  | 4.0 (3.6–4.3)     | 3.6 (3.3–4.0)      | 0.002      |
| Cardiac troponin I, ng/mL                            | 0.5 (0.1–4.6)     | 1.4 (0.3–7.7)      | 0.13       |
| High-sensitivity CRP, mg/dL                           | 0.21 (0.07–0.71)  | 0.60 (0.20–2.92)   | 0.003      |
| LVEF, %                                               | 56 (46–65)        | 48 (36–65)         | 0.06       |
| LVEF<50%                                             | 29 (33)           | 25 (56)            | 0.01       |
| Killip class                                         |                  |                   | 0.39       |
| 1                                                    | 54 (62%)          | 21 (47%)           |            |
| 2                                                    | 12 (14%)          | 8 (18%)            |            |
| 3                                                    | 13 (15%)          | 9 (20%)            |            |
| 4                                                    | 8 (9%)            | 7 (16%)            |            |
| Culprit lesion                                       |                  |                   | 0.08       |
| LMT                                                  | 14 (16%)          | 6 (13%)            |            |
| LAD                                                  | 23 (26%)          | 18 (40%)           |            |
| LCX                                                  | 20 (23%)          | 14 (31%)           |            |
| RCA                                                  | 30 (34%)          | 7 (16%)            |            |
| Multiple vessel disease                              | 64 (74%)          | 33 (73%)           | 0.98       |
| IABP                                                 | 19 (22%)          | 9 (20%)            | 0.81       |
| Revascularization                                    | 83 (95%)          | 38 (84%)           | 0.04       |

Data presented as median (25th to 75th percentiles), or number (%). BP, blood pressure; CRP, C-reactive protein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; IABP, intra-aortic balloon pumping; LAD, left anterior descending artery; LCX, left circumflex artery; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; LMT, left main trunk; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; RCA, right coronary artery.
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and reduced PMI (PMI<772 mm²/m²; n=63), cardiovascular events were significantly higher in patients with reduced PMI than those with preserved PMI (48% versus 21%, log-rank test, \( P < 0.001 \), Figure 2). In subgroup analysis according to LVEF, predictive value of reduced PMI (PMI<772 mm²/m²) for cardiovascular events improved in patients with LVEF≥50% (sensitivity, 90%; specificity, 64%; area under the curve, 0.769), whereas worsened in patients with LVEF<50% (sensitivity, 48%, specificity, 62%, area under the curve, 0.550). Cardiovascular death and all-cause death were significantly higher in patients with reduced PMI (Table 3).

**Prognostic Value of PMI**

Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard models revealed that PMI<772 mm²/m² was an independent predictor for cardiovascular events (hazard ratio, 3.30; 95% CI, 1.70–6.40; \( P < 0.001 \), Table 4) Model fit and discrimination improvement was evaluated by adding PMI<772 mm²/m² to the known predictors including age, male sex, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus, hemoglobin, serum albumin, and serum creatinine. As a result, net reclassification improvement and integrated discrimination index significantly improved by adding PMI<772 mm²/m² (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

This prospective observational study investigated the prognostic value of muscle wasting using PMI for cardiovascular events in patients with NSTEMI. When the studied patients were classified by PMI=772 mm²/m², patients with reduce PMI had significantly higher incidence of cardiovascular events than those with preserved PMI. Multivariate analysis revealed that reduced PMI was statistically significant predictor for cardiovascular events. Moreover, the prediction model by adding reduced PMI improved prognostic capacity in patients with NSTEMI.

Recently, age-related skeletal muscle alterations recognized as sarcopenia or frail have become an crucial issue for patient management because elderly patients admitted to the hospital have increased remarkably worldwide. Age-related skeletal muscle alterations is progressive syndrome and skeletal muscle is lost earlier than fat tissue and weight loss indicating

**Table 2. Psoas Muscle Assessment**

| Cardiovascular event | Absent (n=87) | Present (n=45) | \( P \) Value |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| Cross sectional psoas muscle area, mm² | 1404 ± 48 | 1170 ± 67 | 0.005 |
| PMI, mm²/m² | 840 ± 229 | 743 ± 220 | 0.02 |
| CT value (Hounsfield unit) | 35.8 ± 8.9 | 33.2 ± 9.2 | 0.13 |

Data presented as mean ± SD. CT indicates computed tomography; and PMI, psoas muscle mass index.

**Table 3. Comparison of Primary and Secondary End Points According to PMI**

|                | Reduced PMI (n=62) | Preserved PMI (n=70) | \( P \) Value |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Cardiovascular events | 30 (48%) | 15 (21%) | <0.001 |
| Cardiovascular death | 22 (35%) | 11 (16%) | 0.006 |
| All-cause death | 28 (45%) | 15 (21%) | 0.002 |

Data presented as number (%). Significance was assessed by the log-rank test. PMI indicates psoas muscle mass index.
that muscle wasting precedes frail.\textsuperscript{17} Importantly, muscle wasting predominantly affects postural rather than non-postural muscles. Therefore, we have to detect postural muscle reduction as earlier as possible in patients with cardiovascular disease to prevent subsequent development of sarcopenia and frail.

Several methods have been advocated to assess muscle wasting, although gold standard is not established. Quantitative assessment of skeletal muscle mass by imaging including dual energy X-ray absorptiometry, ultrasonography, CT, or magnetic resonance imaging has become a simple method to determine low skeletal muscle mass.\textsuperscript{18} Each approach has advantages and disadvantages to estimate low skeletal muscle mass. Among these approaches, psoas muscle mass assessed by CT imaging has several advantages to evaluate low skeletal muscle mass. Psoas muscle is the main flexor of the hip which connects between upper and lower body trunk. Psoas muscle also provides postural support of lumbar spine, sacroiliac and hip joint which links directly to physical performance in a daily life.\textsuperscript{18} Therefore, decrease in psoas muscle mass can precisely discriminate low postural muscle.

There is a growing body of evidence that preoperative psoas muscle mass assessed by abdominal CT imaging is a useful predictive marker for long-term outcome in the surgical field.\textsuperscript{10,11} In contrast, prognostic value of psoas muscle mass in cardiovascular disease including AMI is unknown. In this study, we found that PMI obtained by abdominal CT had excellent inter-observer validity and was an independent predictor of cardiovascular event in patients with NSTEMI. These data indicate that PMI is a useful method to predict future cardiovascular events beyond conventional cardiac prognostic markers in NSTEMI. Interestingly, value of PMI to predict cardiovascular event was more accurate in patients with preserved LVEF than those with reduced LVEF. This implies that patients with preserved LVEF was related to muscle wasting rather than ischemic damage attributable to AMI for clinical prognosis. Therefore, more careful evaluation of muscle wasting should be provided in NSTEMI patients with smaller ischemic damage.

| Table 4. Univariate and Multivariate Cox Proportional Hazards Model for Cardiovascular Events |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                                | Univariate       |                   | Multivariate     |
|                                | HR       | 95% CI | P Value | HR       | 95% CI | P Value |
| Age, y                         | 1.02     | 0.99–1.06 | 0.19 |              |         |         |
| Men                            | 0.88     | 0.46–1.68 | 0.71 |              |         |         |
| Hypertension                   | 0.78     | 0.42–1.46 | 0.45 |              |         |         |
| Hyperlipidemia                 | 0.44     | 0.24–0.80 | <0.01 | 0.49     | 0.27–0.90 | 0.02 |
| Diabetes mellitus              | 0.79     | 0.44–1.43 | 0.44 |              |         |         |
| Smoking                        | 0.94     | 0.49–1.79 | 0.85 |              |         |         |
| Hemoglobin, g/dL               | 0.80     | 0.71–0.90 | <0.001 | 0.87     | 0.73–1.03 | 0.10 |
| Serum creatinine, mg/dL        | 1.08     | 0.98–1.17 | 0.10 |              |         |         |
| Serum albumin, g/dL            | 0.40     | 0.25–0.66 | <0.001 | 0.71     | 0.36–1.40 | 0.33 |
| High-sensitivity CRP, mg/dL    | 1.18     | 1.07–1.27 | 0.001 | 1.10     | 0.99–1.21 | 0.06 |
| LVEF<50%                       | 2.27     | 1.26–4.09 | 0.006 | 1.81     | 0.99–3.33 | 0.06 |
| Killip class 4                 | 2.00     | 0.89–4.50 | 0.12 |              |         |         |
| Revascularization              | 0.34     | 0.15–0.76 | 0.02 | 0.18     | 0.07–0.43 | <0.001 |
| Reduced PMI                    | 2.84     | 1.52–5.30 | <0.001 | 3.32     | 1.73–6.39 | <0.001 |

CRP indicates C-reactive protein; HR, hazard ratio; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; and PMI, psoas muscle mass index.

| Table 5. Effect of Adding Psoas Muscle Mass Index to Predict Cardiovascular Event |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                                | C-statistics     | 95% CI | P Value | NRI  | 95% CI | P Value | IDI  | 95% CI | P Value |
| Baseline model                 | 0.73             | 0.65–0.82 | Ref.   | Ref. |       |         |       |       |         |
| Baseline model+ reduced PMI    | 0.76             | 0.66–0.84 | 0.30   | 0.51 | 0.16–0.86 | 0.004 | 0.07 | 0.02–0.11 | 0.005 |

Baseline model included age, male sex, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus, hemoglobin, serum albumin, and serum creatinine. IDI, integrated discrimination index; NRI, net reclassification improvement; and PMI, psoas muscle mass index.
Limitations
Three limitations of our study should be addressed. First, the study population was relatively small in size. Thus, the findings need to be confirmed in a larger population. Second, there is a risk of patient selection bias because elderly patients with high comorbidity may have been excluded from revascularization therapy. Previous large trials have suggested that frail older patients with AMI are less likely to receive revascularization and had a higher in-hospital mortality rate as compared with non-frail patients with AMI. Moreover, severe comorbid conditions could be considered potential contraindication to invasive procedures in the AMI patients. Third, sarcopenia or frailty parameters such as muscle strength (eg, handgrip) or muscle performance (eg, gait speed) were not systemically measured in our study. Further study is warranted to assess PMI with conventional sarcopenia or frailty parameters in patients with AMI. Nonetheless, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first report to assess the relationship between muscle wasting determined by PMI and cardiovascular event in patients with NSTEMI.

CONCLUSIONS
Muscle wasting defined by PMI is a simple and useful objective marker of future cardiovascular event in patients with NSTEMI.
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