One Step Preparation of Fe–FeO–Graphene Nanocomposite through Pulsed Wire Discharge
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Abstract: The Fe–FeO–graphene nanocomposite material was produced successfully by pulsed wire discharge in graphene oxide (GO) suspension. Pure iron wires with a diameter of 0.25 mm and a length of 100 mm were used in the experiments. The discharge current and voltage were recorded to analyze the process of the pulsed wire discharge. The as-prepared samples—under different charging voltages—were recovered and characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), Raman spectroscopy, and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Curved and loose graphene films that were anchored with spherical Fe and FeO nanoparticles were obtained at the charging voltage of 8–10 kV. The present study discusses the mechanism by which the Fe–FeO–graphene nanocomposite material was formed during the pulsed wire discharge process.
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1. Introduction

Graphene—a two-dimensional structure of carbon atoms in a hexagonal honeycomb lattice—was obtained in 2004 by Novoselov et al. [1] through exfoliating the highly oriented pyrolytic graphite with a tape, revealing the strong ambipolar electric field effect of graphene. Geim et al. [2] pointed out the immediate application of graphene in composite materials. The first graphene composite (graphene–polystyrene) was synthesized in 2006 by Stankovich et al. [3]. Thereafter, various graphene-based composite materials have been produced, i.e., graphene–polymer composite materials [3,4] and metal or metal oxide–graphene composite materials (i.e., nano Ni–graphene composite materials and nano SnO2–graphene composite material) [5,6]. Due to their excellent properties, graphene-based composite materials have been successfully utilized in electronic and optoelectronic devices, chemical sensors, and energy storage [7].

Nanoscale iron and iron oxide materials have demonstrated various excellent properties, including large specific surface area, high reactivity, and strong reducibility. In addition, they have been extensively applied for pollutants degradation, i.e., chlorohydrocarbon, nitrobenzenes, chlorinated phenols, poly-chlorinated biphenyls, heavy metals, and various anions from water [8–10]. Therefore, it is economically and ecologically effective to use iron-based catalysts. Besides, nano iron and iron oxide powders have a great potential in biomedical applications [11] for economic and ecological expedience. However, nanoparticles spontaneously tend to form microscale agglomerates, therefore, affecting the properties of nanoparticles. In graphene-based nanocomposites, the agglomeration of nanoparticles can be inhibited through anchoring nanoparticles on the surface of graphene nanosheets [6]. Composite materials have demonstrated excellent properties in high energy storage.
and efficient catalysis due to their large specific surface. Because of their promising applications, Fe–FeO–graphene nanocomposite materials have become a hot spot research area [12,13].

Pulsed wire discharge, also known as electrical wire explosion, which occurs in vacuum or a certain media, is a phenomenon in which, the wire is evaporated in burst and bright flash by joule heating. This is conducted under the effect of a high-density current, which is produced by the discharge of a capacitor passing through a wire [14]. Then a mixture of the produced vapour and drops scatters out with a shockwave. Meanwhile, the generated vapour and drops cool down in the surrounding atmosphere to form nanoparticles. The pulsed wire discharge method has been successfully utilized to produce various nanomaterials, including metal and metal compound nanopowders, nanocomposite materials, and multiple carbon nanomaterials. Zhou et al. [15] produced copper nanoparticles by exploding copper wires in distilled water. Wada et al. [16] synthesized nano TiO$_2$ powder by exploding Ti wire in various media. Abdelkader et al. [17] synthesized various metal carbide nanoparticles by using pulsed wire discharge in organic liquid. He et al. [18] synthesized boron nitride nanosheet/nanotube–iron nanocomposites by pulsed discharge of pure iron wire coated by boron nitride powders. Furthermore, electrical explosion of carbon fibers was used to synthesize fullerene [19,20] and carbon nanotubes [21,22]. More recently, graphene materials were obtained by electrical explosion of graphite sticks in distilled water [23]. Furthermore, several graphene-based nanocomposite materials with certain outstanding properties were synthesized via the pulsed wire discharge method [24,25]. However, in these studies, the formation mechanism of these composite materials has not been studied. In the current paper, Fe–FeO–graphene nanocomposite material was produced by exploding an iron wire in graphene oxide (GO) suspension. In addition, the mechanism of formation of Fe–FeO–graphene nanocomposite was investigated based on the analysis of the discharge current and voltage, as well as the characterization results.

2. Materials and Methods

The pulsed wire discharge system consists of a rechargeable power supply, a capacitor with a capacitance of 12.5 µF, and a pulsed wire discharge chamber. The charging voltage of the system can be adjusted in the range of 0–40 kV. To recover the product of pulsed wire discharge, pulsed wire discharge tests were conducted in a cylindrical stainless-steel pulsed discharge chamber (Figure 1). An iron wire with a diameter of 0.25 mm, a length of 100 mm, and a purity of 99.9% was fixed in a plastic pipe with an inner diameter of 8 mm and a length of 100 mm. The utilized GO suspension (1 g/L) was purchased from NiSiNa materials Co and consisted of GO nanosheets and distilled water. The pipe is filled with GO suspension and was blocked by two insulation blocks. Then, the iron wire was connected to two electrodes in the cylindrical chamber and immersed in distilled water. The electrodes were connected to the capacitor for discharge. Following the pulsed discharge, the black suspension was recovered and dried in vacuum. The recovered and dried samples were kept for further characterizations. For all experiments, the current and voltage were recorded during the electrical discharge.

![Figure 1. An illustration of the pulsed iron wire discharge setup. 1—stainless steel chamber, 2—lid, 3—insulation pipe, 4—electrodes, 5—insulation block, 6—plastic pipe, 7—graphene oxide (GO) suspension and 8—iron wire.](image-url)
The phase compositions of the recovered samples were determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) (Rigaku D/MAX-2500) using Cu Kα radiation at 40 kV work voltage and 200 mA work current at a 2θ range of 10–80°. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the products were taken by Philips Tecnai F20 S-Twin. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) observations were taken by Hitachi S-4800. The chemical composition and bonding states of the products were probed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) on an ESCALAB 250 Xi (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) using monochromatic AlK (1486.6 eV) X-ray sources with 30 eV pass energy in 0.05 eV step.

3. Results and Discussion

The experimental conditions of pulsed iron wire discharge are listed in Table 1, including the charging voltage and the energy stored in the capacitor. Three charging voltages (8, 10 and 20 kV) were selected.

Table 1. Experimental conditions of pulsed iron wire discharge and characterization of recovered samples.

| No. | U (kV) | E (J) | Raman Spectra | Main Products |
|-----|--------|------|---------------|---------------|
|     |        |      | G Band (cm⁻¹) |               |
| 1   | 8      | 400  | 1600.5        | Fe, FeO, graphene |
| 2   | 10     | 625  | 1595.7        | Fe, FeO, graphene |
| 3   | 20     | 2500 | 1580.1        | FeO, Fe₃C, FeO(OH), graphite particles |

1 U is the charging voltage and E is the energy stored in the capacitor.

The (XRD) patterns of raw GO and the recovered samples are shown in Figure 2. The XRD pattern of raw GO (the inset of Figure 2) showed two weak and broad peaks at approximately 9–15° and 15–30°, respectively, which are assigned to the typical XRD peaks of GO [26–28]. The peak at 9–15° may imply agglomeration of GO sheets during the drying process. The weaker and broader peak, which appeared at 15–30°, revealed the ultra-thin structure of GO with very few graphitic layers. The broad peaks, which appeared at 20–30° in the XRD patterns of samples No. 1 and 2, also revealed the presence of graphene sheets in these samples. Besides, typical peaks of Fe and FeO were observed in all three samples. The proposed formation mechanism of FeO was referred to the reaction between H₂O and iron droplets and vapour. However, the XRD pattern of sample No. 3 showed the presence of graphite, Fe₃C, and FeO(OH). The XRD results showed that graphene maintained its structure when the reaction was performed at an appropriate charging voltage (8–10 kV for samples No. 1 and 2). When the charging voltage was increased to 20 kV, excessive input energy resulted in destroying the molecular structure of GO and the formation of graphite, Fe₃C, and FeO(OH), which is discussed thereafter. Based on the adiabatic approximation method, iron and iron compound phase content of the recovered samples were calculated and listed in Table 2. It can be seen that the phase contents of the products are largely influenced by the charge voltage. Upon increasing the charging voltage, the iron content decreases, with an increase in the total content of iron compounds (FeO, α-FeO(OH), and Fe₃C).

Table 2. Phase content of iron and iron compound of the recovered samples produced by pulsed iron wire discharge.

| No. | Phase Content (%) |
|-----|-------------------|
|     | Fe   | FeO  | α-FeO (OH) | Fe₃C |
| 1   | 43   | 57   | 0          | 0    |
| 2   | 32   | 68   | 0          | 0    |
| 3   | 0    | 49   | 19         | 32   |
Figure 2. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of raw GO and samples No. 1–3. The insets of Figure 2 show the weak and broad peaks appearing at 9–30° in the XRD patterns of raw GO, samples No. 1 and 2.

Figure 3 shows the typical SEM images of the recovered samples. At the charging voltages 8–10 kV (samples No. 1 and 2), morphology and microstructure of the recovered samples were quite similar. Figure 3a shows a typical SEM image of sample No. 1, confirming the presence of curved and loose graphene films anchored with spherical nanoparticles. Figure 3b shows a higher magnification view of Figure 3a, further confirming the presence of transparent graphene films with wrinkles, folds, and spherical nanoparticles in a size range of 50–150 nm. Similar morphology has been also reported for other graphene-based composite materials [29,30]. When the charging voltage was increased to 20 kV (sample No. 3), the microstructure and morphology of the recovered sample were quite different (Figure 3c), with the presence of irregular graphite-like microparticles and spherical nanoparticles. The higher magnification view (Figure 3d) showed the rough surface of graphite-like particles and spherical nanoparticles (50–200 nm). The spherical nanoparticles in all the recovered samples were iron or iron compound nanoparticles and the spherical shape referred to the nucleation and growth of the melted or vaporized product during the pulsed wire discharge.

Figure 4a,b display the typical and high-resolution TEM images of sample No. 1; revealing the presence of thin extended carbon films (3–5 layers) with an inter-layer distance of approximately 0.35 nm, anchored with spherical nanoparticles (5–100 nm). Similar microstructure was also observed for other graphene-based composite materials [29,30]. The lattice distances of the spherical nanoparticles were 0.20 and 0.15 nm, which are in good agreement with those of Fe and FeO, respectively. The results confirm that the spherical nanoparticles in samples No. 1 and 2 are Fe and FeO. Figure 4c,d shows typical TEM and high-resolution TEM images of sample No. 3. Irregular graphite particles, amorphous carbon, spherical nanoparticles, and carbon-encapsulated nanoparticles (inset of Figure 4d) were observed. The lattice distances of the spherical nanoparticles are 0.15 and 0.25 nm, which are in accordance with those of FeO and Fe₃C, respectively. The presence of amorphous carbon, carbon-encapsulated nanoparticles, and Fe₃C nanoparticles and the absence of thin films in sample No. 3 revealed that the structure of GO was destroyed, resulting in the formation of carbon atoms and the reactions between carbon atoms and Fe atoms or ions when a high charging voltage is applied. Results of the TEM examinations are in good agreement with those of the XRD analysis.
Figure 3. Typical scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the recovered samples. (a) Typical SEM image, (b) higher-magnification view of sample No. 1, (c) Typical SEM image, and (d) higher-magnification view of sample No. 3.

Figure 4. Typical and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the recovered samples. TEM image (a) and high-resolution TEM image (b) of sample No. 1. TEM image (c) and high-resolution TEM image (d) of sample No. 3.

Figure 5 shows the Raman spectra of raw GO and the recovered samples, in which three characteristic bands of SP² carbon were observed, including D band appearing at 1340 cm⁻¹, G band
at 1580–1600 cm\(^{-1}\), and 2D band at 2660 cm\(^{-1}\). The G band of the raw GO was detected at 1600 cm\(^{-1}\), showing an up-shift compared with that of graphite (around 1580 cm\(^{-1}\) [31]), which is in good agreement with the results reported in the literature [28,32,33]. When the charging voltage was 8–10 kV (samples No. 1 and 2), the G band was detected at 1595–1600 cm\(^{-1}\) (similar to that of raw GO), indicating the structure of original graphene films is well preserved. When the charging voltage was increased to 20 kV (No. 3), the G band was observed at around 1580 cm\(^{-1}\), similar to that of graphite, indicating the destruction of graphene structure and the formation graphite particles.

Figure 6 shows the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra of raw GO and sample No. 1, in which the curve fitting was carried out by using Gaussian–Lorentzian peak shape after a Shirley background correlation. Based on Figure 7a,c, the C1s spectra of GO and sample No. 1 can be assigned to the non-oxygenated carbon (C=C/C–C) (284.7 eV) in the aromatic rings, and the carbon in C–O (286.2 eV) and O–C=O (288.2 eV) [34,35] in oxygen-containing functional groups. The Fe–C bond (283.3 eV) [36] is not observed in the C1s spectra; implying that Fe\(_2\)C is not present in sample No. 1. Figure 7b,d show the O1s spectra of GO and sample No. 1; revealing the existence of C–O band (532.9 eV) and O–C=O band (530.9 eV) in GO and C–O band (532.9 eV), Fe–O–C (532.0 eV) and Fe–O band (529.9 eV) [29,37,38] in sample No. 1. The inset of Figure 6d shows the binding energy peaks of Fe\(^{3+}\) (FeO) and Fe\(^{2+}\) (Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)) [39,40] in sample No.1; demonstrating the existence of Fe and FeO nanoparticles and partial oxidation of Fe nanoparticles in this sample. The presence of the Fe–O–C bond demonstrates that the graphene films have been anchored with Fe or FeO nanoparticles by Fe–O–C bonds. Similar results are also reported by Zhou et al. in the research of magnetite–graphene nanosheet composites [29]. In addition to strong Fe–O–C bonding, the Fe and FeO nanoparticles may also link with the graphene films by other contact types, such as physisorption, electrostatic binding, covalent bond, and charge transfer interactions [29].

The discharge current and voltage during pulsed wire discharge have been controlled by the capacitance and charging voltage, as well as the phase transition of the wire, i.e., melting and vaporization [14,41]. The discharge current and voltage plots of samples No. 1–3 are shown in Figure 7. The first peaks of the discharge currents (C\(_{p1}\)) were observed at approximately 3–5 \(\mu\)s in all three current plots, which are assigned to the joule heating process of pulsed wire discharge. During the ascending stage of C\(_{p1}\), the first peaks of the discharge voltages (V\(_{p1}\)) appeared in each test, indicating the increase of wire resistance as a result of melting during the joule heating process. During the rapid descending stage of C\(_{p1}\), the second peaks of the discharge voltages (V\(_{p2}\)) appeared in each test, implying a further increase of the resistance due to vaporization of the iron. Then, the hot iron
Crystals 2018, 8, x FOR PEER REVIEW 7 of 11

Fe0, Fe2+ (FeO) and Fe3+ (Fe2O3) [39,40] in sample No.1; ... on the analysis of discharge current and voltage [14,39] and the light emission signals due to arc discharge [42].

which is much wider and stronger than $C_{p2}$. This is caused by the strong arc discharge generated from the breakdown of the media between the two electrodes, when excessive stored energy is continuously injected following wire vaporization during the pulsed wire discharge process. The formation of strong arc discharge was also observed in other studies based on the analysis of discharge current and voltage [14,39] and the light emission signals due to arc discharge [42].

Based on the above analysis, we proposed the formation mechanism for Fe–FeO–Graphene nanocomposite during the pulsed wire discharge process. When an appropriate charging voltage

![Figure 6](image1.png)

**Figure 6.** X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra of GO and sample No. 1. (a) C1s spectrum, (b) O1s spectrum of GO, (c) C1s spectrum, and O1s spectrum (d) of sample No. 1. The inset of (d) shows the Fe2p spectrum of sample No. 1. The black line shows the original data, the red line shows the fitted curve of black line, and the other color dot lines are fitted curves for different specific bonds.

![Figure 7](image2.png)

**Figure 7.** Typical current and voltage plots of tests No. 1–3 tests. In the plots, the first and second peaks of discharge current are labelled as $C_{p1}$ and $C_{p2}$, respectively. The first and second peaks of discharge voltage are labelled as $V_{p1}$ and $V_{p2}$, respectively.

Based on the above analysis, we proposed the formation mechanism for Fe–FeO–Graphene nanocomposite during the pulsed wire discharge process. When an appropriate charging voltage
is applied (8–10 kV for tests No. 1 and 2), a strong current passes through the iron wire, resulting in wire melting and vaporization due to the high temperature and pressure. The Fe vapour and droplets scatter out with a shockwave and cool down to form Fe and FeO nanoparticles. The formed Fe and FeO nanoparticles were anchored on the surface of graphene films due to the Fe–O–C bonding, which is formed because of the interaction between Fe and oxygen group on GO. When a higher charging voltage was applied (20 kV for test No. 3), a strong arc discharge was formed to generate high temperature plasma after the vaporization of the iron. The molecular structure of GO was destroyed by the plasma tunnel, resulting in the formation of graphite, amorphous carbon, Fe₃C, and FeO(OH) due to chemical reactions.

4. Conclusions

In this work, Fe–FeO–graphene nanocomposites are obtained by exploding an iron wire in graphene oxide (GO) suspension. The composition and microstructure of the recovered products after pulsed wire discharge are largely influenced by the charging voltage. When proper charging voltages (8–10 kV) were applied, the molecular structure of graphene remained undestroyed and the iron wire melted and vaporized, producing Fe and FeO nanoparticles that were anchored on the graphene films. However, when the charging voltage was increased to 20 kV, a strong arc discharge was formed to generate high temperature plasma due to excessive energy injection. The molecular structure of GO can be destroyed, and chemical reactions between carbon and iron can be induced.
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