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Abstract

There is an urgent need to measure the impacts of COVID-19 among gay men and other men who have sex with men (MSM). We conducted a cross-sectional survey with a global sample of gay men and other MSM (n= 2732) from April 16, 2020 to May 4, 2020, through a social networking app. We characterized the economic, mental health, HIV prevention and HIV treatment impacts of COVID-19 and the COVID-19 response, and examined whether subgroups of our study population are disproportionately impacted by COVID-19. Many men not only reported economic and mental health consequences, but also interruptions to HIV prevention and testing, and HIV care and treatment services. Consequences were significantly greater among people living with HIV, racial/ethnic minorities, immigrants, sex workers, and socio-economically disadvantaged groups. Findings underscore the crucial need to mitigate the multifaceted impacts of COVID-19 among gay men and other MSM, especially for those with intersecting vulnerabilities.

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic poses a serious health threat worldwide, with over 4.4 million confirmed cases and over 300,000 deaths as of May 15, 2020 (1). Globally efforts to curb the spread of COVID-19 have slowed the exponential growth of new cases, but have also led to unprecedented disruptions in society, with vast social, economic and health care consequences (2-5). Unintended impacts of mitigation strategies to curb COVID-19 include exacerbating health disparities and deepening social inequities among marginalized groups including gay men and other men who have sex with men (MSM), MSM living with HIV, racial and ethnic minority MSM, and other vulnerable groups (6-9). Indeed, emerging reports have documented the unique concerns and challenges experienced by gay men and other MSM during the COVID-19 pandemic, including mental health impacts resulting from anti-gay community backlash, arrests under false pretexts, and loss of privacy during contact tracing and monitoring for COVID-19 (10, 11). Furthermore, many gay men and other MSM may be in industries that are more prone to COVID-19 disruptions, or at increased risk for unemployment, unstable housing, and food insecurity, making them more vulnerable to COVID-19's economic and health impacts compared to the general population (12-17). Moreover, gay men and other MSM also have disproportionately higher unemployment rates relative to the general population (14, 18). Therefore, COVID-19 disruptions can further heighten the economic barriers faced by many gay men and other MSM.

In addition, COVID-19 may amplify existing barriers that impede access to HIV prevention, testing, treatment, and care, potentially complicating slow-moving efforts to achieve global HIV targets among gay men and other MSM who remain disproportionately impacted by HIV (19, 20). Gay men and other MSM are 22 times more likely to acquire HIV than the general population worldwide (21). Reductions in access to effective HIV prevention tools including condoms, HIV testing, Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP), and Post-Exposure Prophylaxis (PEP) for gay men and other MSM could increase HIV seroconversion, a life-altering event with no cure (21-23). For gay men and other MSM living with HIV, unstructured treatment interruptions may potentially lead to increased HIV viral load, lower CD4 count, HIV disease
progression, and increased risk of developing an opportunistic infection (24, 25). Such interruptions can also cause side effects, difficulties with adherence upon restarting HIV treatment, and even drug resistance (26-29). Lastly, unsuppressed viral loads caused by treatment disruptions can contribute to increased risk of HIV transmission among partners of gay men and other MSM living with HIV (30, 31). Hence, documenting how COVID-19 has impacted access to HIV prevention and testing, and HIV treatment and care services is of high public health importance.

There is an urgent need to examine the economic, mental health, HIV prevention and testing, and HIV treatment and care impacts of COVID-19 among gay men and other MSM in order to understand how this marginalized population is uniquely affected by this pandemic and the COVID-19 response, and to help inform the targeting of strategies to ameliorate these impacts. Furthermore, there is a need to examine whether sub-populations of gay men and other MSM are disproportionately impacted by COVID-19, including among people living with HIV, racial/ethnic minorities, immigrants, sex workers, and socio-economically disadvantaged groups. We aim to fill the gaps on the economic and health impact of COVID-19 by conducting a study among a sample of gay men and other MSM living with or at high risk for HIV worldwide.

**Methods**

**Study Design**

This cross-sectional study is based on a COVID-19 Disparities survey implemented by the gay social networking app, Hornet. Hornet is a free, smart-phone based "Gay Social Networking" app with over 25 million users worldwide (32). Therefore, we believe that the cisgender men who use the app are gay men and other MSM. Hornet has been used as a means for conducting research and population size estimation on gay men and other MSM worldwide (20, 32-34). Data collection for the current study occurred from April 16, 2020 to May 4, 2020, during which time Hornet users were invited to participate in a brief questionnaire with 58 questions regarding the impact of COVID-19 on economic vulnerability, mental health, HIV prevention, testing and treatment and care impacts. Hornet users were eligible to participate in the survey if they were age 18 and over and provided informed consent. A total of 4031 respondents participated; however, for this study, we have focused the analysis among participants who reported either being assigned male sex at birth or identify as intersex, and self-identify as male gender, and have available data on our outcomes and characteristics of interest (n = 2732). Study procedures were reviewed by the [Blinded for review] Institutional Review Board, which determined that the protocol qualified for Exempt status under Category 4.

**Measures**

Eligible, consenting participants responded to general demographic questions on age, country of residence, sex assigned at birth, gender identity, and sexual orientation. Participants were also asked about their HIV serostatus; membership to a racial or ethnic minority group (e.g., Do you consider yourself a member of an ethnic or racial minority?); immigrant status (e.g., "Are you, or is one or more of your
parents, a migrant to the country in which you currently live?"); and history of engaging in sex work (e.g., "Have you ever engaged in sex work (i.e. being paid to have sex)"). Additionally, participants were asked a series of questions regarding the effects of COVID-19 on the following areas: 1) Economic Impacts; 2) Mental Health Impacts; 3) HIV Prevention and Testing Impacts; and 4) HIV Treatment and Care Impacts.

**Economic Impact Measures**

The survey assessed the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on participants' economic status through questions regarding their employment status; ability to make ends meet (e.g., "Thinking of your household's total monthly income, is your household able to make ends meet?"); income level (e.g., "How much are you expecting your income to reduce because of the COVID-19 crisis?"); receipt of government financial assistance (e.g., "Are you receiving any additional financial benefits from work or government because of the COVID-19 crisis?"); loss of health insurance coverage (e.g., "Do you expect to lose your health insurance coverage because of the COVID-19 crisis?"); and food security (e.g., "Since the COVID-19 crisis began, have you had to cut the size of your meals or skip meals because there was not enough money for food?").

**Mental Health Impact Measures**

The survey also asked participants regarding their mental health using validated items from the Patient Health Questionnaire-4 (PHQ-4), a tool developed and validated by Kroenke, Spitzer, Williams and Löwe (2009) used to measure and screen for psychological distress, and particularly, depression and anxiety (35).

**HIV Prevention and Testing Impact Measures**

Participants at risk for HIV were asked whether they experienced changes in access to HIV prevention interventions including condoms, HIV in-person testing, HIV home testing, PrEP and PEP due to COVID-19, using Likert-type questions (e.g., "Do you feel you have access to HIV prevention strategies during the COVID-19 crisis?" with the following response options: "Definitely yes", "Probably yes", "Might or might not", "Probably not", "Definitely not"). These HIV prevention and testing questions were re-coded as binary to compare responses of "Definitely yes" to the other response options.

**HIV Treatment and Care Impact Measures**

For participants living with HIV, questions were also asked regarding their access to HIV providers (e.g., "Since the beginning of COVID-19 related social isolation in your country, by that or any other name, have you been able to see your HIV provider if you needed to?") and medication (e.g., "Do special measures related to COVID-19 impact your ability to access or refill your HIV medicine?"), as well as on their perception of being vulnerable to COVID-19 due to their HIV status (e.g., "Do you feel that you are more vulnerable to COVID-19 because you are living with HIV?").

**Data Analysis**
We performed descriptive analyses to characterize the economic, mental health, and HIV-prevention and HIV-care impacts of COVID-19 on survey participants. Outcomes were also stratified by key sociodemographic and clinical characteristics, including HIV status, being a racial/ethnic minority, immigrant status, health insurance coverage, and engaging in sex work. We examined between-group differences using Fisher's Exact and Chi-Squared tests, as appropriate, with a significance level of $a=0.05$. For this study, analyses were conducted using a complete case approach, whereby only the fully completed survey responses were included in the analyses. All analyses were conducted in R (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Results

Sample Characteristics

We collected 2732 total responses from cisgender gay men and other MSM reported from 103 countries, with the largest numbers of responses from Brazil (n=559), France (n=381), Mexico (n=181), Taiwan (n=177) and Russia (n=151) (see E-File Figure 1). Most respondents identified as gay (n = 2294, 84%), and about 1 in 5 respondents identified as a racial or ethnic minority (n = 485). Additionally, 274 respondents (11%) reported having ever engaged in sex work, starting either before or after the pandemic. A total of 473 participants (17%) reported that they are currently living with HIV. While the demographics of HIV-positive respondents were fairly similar to those who reported being HIV-negative, there was a greater proportion of individuals above the age of 50 living with HIV compared to those living without HIV (30% vs. 19%, $\chi^2 = 44.8, p < 0.001$). There was also a greater (though not statistically significant) proportion of individuals living with HIV who have reported engaging in sex work (14% vs. 10%) (see E-File Table 1 for additional demographics information).

Economic Impact

Eleven percent of all participants reported losing employment as a result of changes due to COVID-19. Regardless of employment loss, a large proportion of participants (38%) also indicated an inability to receive COVID-19-related financial benefits when needed, and a greater proportion of men living with HIV reported inability to receive needed benefits compared to those not living with HIV (39% vs. 37%, $p = 0.046$). Additionally, 19% of participants reported reducing meal sizes or cutting meals completely due to COVID-19 (see E-File Table 2). Additionally, 4 out of every 10 respondents anticipated an income reduction of 30% or more due to COVID-19, and men living with HIV reported greater anticipated reductions in income compared to those not living with HIV (46% vs. 38%, $p = 0.013$).

Mental Health Impact

COVID-19 has also had a substantial impact on the mental health of cisgender gay men and other MSM. Overall, 31% of participants reported moderate to severe psychological distress as measured by the PHQ-4 scale (16% moderate, 15% severe). Based on the anxiety and depression subscales, 887 participants (35%) screened positive for depression, and 856 participants (34%) screened positive for anxiety. While
these rates did not differ by HIV status, individuals who lost employment due to the pandemic screened positive for anxiety and depression at statistically significantly higher rates compared to those who did not: 50% of those who lost their jobs screened positive for depression compared to 31% of those who did not ($\chi^2 = 37.3, p < 0.001$), and 48% of those who lost their jobs screened positive for anxiety compared to 30% of those who did not ($\chi^2 = 35.9, p < 0.001$). Additionally, 27.6% of participants who lost employment specifically reported feeling depressed nearly every day in the prior two weeks, compared to 11.4% of participants who did not lose employment ($\chi^2 = 65.5, p < 0.001$). Similarly, 27.3% of those who became unemployed felt anxious nearly every day over the prior two weeks compared to 13.7% of participants who did not become unemployed ($\chi^2 = 42.2, p < 0.001$) (see E-File Figure 2).

**HIV Prevention Services and Testing Impacts**

More difficulties accessing prevention services were reported by study participants as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic (see Table 1). Among the 2247 participants not living with HIV, 1459 (65%) felt they definitely still had access to condoms, though substantially fewer participants felt they had similar levels of access to onsite HIV testing (30%), HIV at-home testing (19%), PrEP (21%) or PEP (17%). Respondents who identified as a racial or ethnic minority reported feeling less definite in their ability to access condoms compared to those who did not identify as a racial or ethnic minority (62% vs. 68%, $\chi^2 = 45.5, p < 0.001$). Gay men and other MSM from immigrant backgrounds reported less definite access to condoms when compared to participants with parents who were born in their current country of residence (61% vs. 67%, $\chi^2 = 20.6, p = 0.01$). Less definite access to condoms was also reported by respondents who had ever engaged in sex work when compared to those who hadn't (56% vs. 67%, $\chi^2 = 17.9, p = 0.02$).

**HIV Care and Treatment Services Impacts**

Access to HIV treatment and care for study participants living with HIV has become difficult during the pandemic (see Table 1). Overall, 23% of participants living with HIV indicated that they have lost access to their HIV providers as a result of COVID-19 social isolation measures, while 17% reported that they were able to communicate with providers via telemedicine. There were also significant differences in provider access by type of health insurance: uninsured individuals living with HIV reported greater rates of lost access to HIV providers compared to those with government insurance or other insurance types ($\chi^2 = 10.1, p = 0.04$). Out of the total number of respondents living with HIV, 455 (96%) indicated that they were currently taking antiretroviral treatment (ART) for HIV. Of those taking ART, 18% (around 1 in 5) indicated either an inability to refill or access their medication, or a difficulty in doing so. Additionally, individuals who identified as a racial or ethnic minority reported significantly more difficulties in accessing or refilling ART (25%) compared to those who did not identify as a racial or ethnic minority (15%) (Fisher's Exact p=0.002). Men living with HIV from immigrant backgrounds also reported significantly greater difficulty in accessing or refilling ART (28%) when compared to those with parents who were born in their current country of residence (16%). Participants living with HIV who also reported having ever engaged in sex work reported significant challenges in accessing HIV treatment. Approximately 39% reported losing
access to their HIV provider (vs. 23% among those who have not engaged in sex work) (Fisher's Exact p = 0.02), and 24% reported an inability or difficulty in refilling or accessing medication (vs. 17% among those who have not engaged in sex work) (Fisher's Exact p = 0.004).

**Discussion**

COVID-19 and the efforts taken to stem its spread have resulted in interruptions to HIV prevention, testing and treatment services, severe economic consequences, and deleterious effects on mental health among gay men and other MSM globally. These negative effects among gay men and other MSM are felt particularly strongly among men belonging to racial/ethnic minority groups, immigrants, sex workers, and men lacking financial means to access healthcare, reinforcing intersecting vulnerabilities that contributed to health disparities before COVID-19.

Our findings highlight the severe economic impact experienced by gay men and other MSM worldwide due to COVID-19 and the response to this pandemic. Many gay men and other MSM have reported loss of employment and anticipated reductions in income. Gay men and other MSM living with HIV were also more likely to anticipate reductions in income, when compared to those not living with HIV. Furthermore, one in five gay men and other MSM have reported food insecurity as a result of COVID. Despite these negative economic consequences, about two in five gay men and other MSM indicated an inability to receive COVID-19-related financial benefits when needed, with those living with HIV significantly reporting greater unmet need for financial aid. The negative economic impact of COVID-19 was also associated with mental health consequences, with gay men and other MSM who experienced employment loss reporting higher rates of depression and anxiety relative to those without employment loss. The observed economic impacts and unmet need for financial assistance will likely exacerbate existing disparities in employment and economic opportunity experienced by gay men and other MSM before the pandemic and call attention for more robust economic support during the COVID-19 (11, 14, 18).

Among gay men and other MSM at high risk for HIV, a third report less than definite access to condoms, with those who identified as being part of a racial minority, reported being an immigrant, and those who engaged in sex work reporting significantly less access. The majority of gay men and other MSM not living with HIV also report less than definite access to HIV testing (seven out of ten for onsite HIV testing, and eight out of ten for HIV self-tests), with racial minorities reporting significantly less access to HIV self-tests. Moreover, more than four in five gay men and other MSM at risk for HIV reported less than definite access to PrEP and PEP during the COVID-19 pandemic. The observed low access for these HIV prevention tools during the COVID-19 pandemic are very alarming because gay men and other MSM continue to be disproportionately impacted by HIV worldwide, with prevalence of HIV for gay men and other MSM greatly exceeding the prevalence among the general population in many countries (21).

Furthermore, the disparities in access consistently observed across marginalized sub-populations is also of great concern because it may exacerbate the heightened vulnerability to HIV among racial minority gay men and other MSM, immigrant gay men and other MSM and gay men and other MSM who engaged in sex work, driven by their exposure to structural risks and intersecting stigmas (36-42). Additionally, it is
incorrect to assume a diminished sexual risk for HIV, among gay men and other MSM, especially for men in sero-different domestic partnerships or men who are employed as sex workers (31, 40). New data from the U.S. has not only reinforced this point but also underscored the ongoing need for uninterrupted access to HIV prevention tools gay men and other MSM may need (13). Otherwise, consequences of the reductions in HIV prevention tools can potentially be catastrophic in the HIV prevention response, particularly if they are not reversed (43, 44).

In addition, many gay men and other MSM living with HIV reported disruptions in access to their HIV care during COVID-19. For example, one in five men living with HIV reported being unable to refill or access their HIV treatment medication during COVID-19, with those who lack health insurance, identified as being part of a racial minority, reported immigrant backgrounds, and engaged in sex work being disproportionately affected. For those without insurance, lack of access to healthcare for other chronic health conditions (e.g., diabetes, hypertension) may also presents a potential double jeopardy for participants with disrupted HIV care and treatment (45-47). As mentioned above, men living with HIV reported greater anticipated reductions in income as a result of the slowing of the economy and job loss due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The combination of the interruptions to care and reductions in income for gay men and other MSM living with HIV may also lead to subsequent barriers to HIV care, and in turn lead to treatment failure and increased HIV transmission (48-51). Although currently, there is no evidence indicating that people living with HIV who are virologically suppressed are more vulnerable to acquiring COVID-19 or having greater severity of this illness than those not living with HIV, experts generally believe that those with high HIV viral load and low CD4 counts may be more susceptible to negative COVID-19 outcomes (52-54). Therefore, to maintain the health of gay men and other MSM living with HIV and sustain the benefits from treatment as HIV prevention, efforts to reverse the HIV care and treatment disruptions occurring due to COVID-19 need to be implemented with the utmost urgency (43, 44).

The results of this study highlight intersectional vulnerabilities related to HIV and COVID-19 among gay men and other MSM. Structural and social risk factors, like lack of health insurance, racial discrimination, commercial sex stigma and anti-immigrant stigma have been shown to increase the risk of HIV acquisition and limit viral suppression (40-42, 55-59). Interruptions in care due to COVID-19 appear to be more acute in individuals with these same risk factors. Arguably, those who were already at greatest risk of poor HIV outcomes stand to be among the worst affected by this pandemic. In turn, poor immune functioning resulting from disruptions to HIV treatment, for example, may further vulnerabilities to COVID-19. To interrupt this cycle, it is imperative to further examine the health gaps associated with these overlapping vulnerabilities and deploy aggressive strategies to address the comprehensive health needs of disproportionately impacted subpopulations of gay men and other MSM.

These findings also highlight the immense need for interventions with the ability to circumvent the need to see patients in-person are needed to ensure delivery of HIV prevention and treatment services, maintain adherence to PrEP and treatment, mitigate new transmission events, and secure long-term health outcomes. Novel and creative interventions that allow for continuity of care in the context of social distancing policies are needed to mitigate some of the observed interruptions (e.g., multi-month
dispensing of treatment, and telemedicine for sustained provider-patient interactions)(60-62).
Additionally, mobile health (mHealth) strategies, which have long been used to provide medical monitoring for patients and ensure they are supported in order to maintain their health and well-being, will be even more important now that in-person interactions are limited (60, 63). In addition, scale-up of strategies that limit the need for individuals to travel in order to access testing, medications, and other services will be needed, e.g., mobile delivery of medications, home-based testing, etc. (64, 65). Innovative approaches will also be needed to 1) account for disparities in access to technology (both digital devices and internet access), and 2) monitor the efficacy and safety of medication use if regular testing and viral load monitoring are limited (63, 66-70).

Notably, there are some limitations of this study. First, individuals have to be users of Hornet to participate in the survey and therefore must have internet and smartphone access, which may limit the generalizability of the study findings to the target population of interest. This is a convenience sample and is not necessarily representative of all gay men and other MSM globally. Based on the sociodemographic characteristics of our sample, those engaged with the app and willing and able to take the time to fill out the questionnaire may likely be gay men and other MSM who are less affected by the negative consequences of COVID-19. Therefore, it is possible that we may be underestimating the true magnitude of the challenges faced by gay men and other MSM as a result of COVID-19 and the response to this pandemic. Nevertheless, prior studies have also documented the ability of social networking platforms to efficiently reach hidden and stigmatized populations (33). Therefore, it is also plausible that this sample may have reached a more diverse group of gay men and other MSM compared to venue-based sampling or other convenience sampling strategies. Additionally, 892 individuals who initially agreed to take the survey left most of the survey incomplete and were therefore excluded. It is possible that certain factors, such as language barriers or stigma, may have led particular subgroups of these participants to not complete the survey, resulting in non-response bias. We are currently translating the survey into additional languages to mitigate these limitations in future iterations of this study. Another limitation stems from the limited information we collected regarding the factors that may be driving the disparities in access to services. Further studies, including qualitative interviews, are needed to explore the issues that may be contributing to the unequal levels of access and the cause of these disparities. Finally, the results rely on data that is cross-sectional in nature, which precludes our ability to examine temporal changes in the measures we analyzed.

Despite these limitations, our use of a rapid online survey among existing users of a social networking app provides a snapshot of the effects felt by gay men and other MSM in real-time, when it would otherwise be infeasible to collect new data in person (i.e., during an infectious disease pandemic). Key strengths of this dataset are, first, that it includes data on 2732 cisgender gay men and other MSM across 103 countries and, second, that it captures information on a range of domains that can be harnessed for future areas of research related to the implications of COVID-19, including individual financial security, health access, mental health, sex work, issues pertaining to immigration, domestic violence, and a range of others. Finally, while different countries are being impacted by COVID-19 at different times, these data
also represent samples from some of the countries currently most affected by COVID-19, including Russia, Brazil, France and Mexico.

Conclusion

This study highlights COVID-19’s negative impact on gay men and other MSM’s access to HIV treatment and prevention services, as well as its economic consequences, and impact on mental health status among gay men and other MSM globally. The data presented underscore how COVID-19 may function to deepen health disparities and social inequities by disproportionately impacting sub-groups of gay and other MSM with intersecting vulnerabilities. As the COVID-19 pandemic continues, with vaccine development still in progress, it is imperative to expand efforts to mitigate the reductions in access to HIV prevention, testing, treatment and care services observed in this study, particularly among racial minority gay men and other MSM, immigrant gay men and other MSM, and gay men and other MSM who engage in sex work. It is also imperative to develop novel and creative strategies to support the health, economic security, and well-being of gay men and other MSM that can be deployed in the context of current efforts to curb the COVID-19 pandemic. In addition, continued monitoring of COVID-19’s impact among gay men and other MSM-including through social network platforms-remains of great importance, to better understand the evolving and longer-term impacts of the pandemic on this vulnerable population, and to ensure that responses are adjusted appropriately to sustain the gains made toward the goal of ending the HIV epidemic.
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### Tables

| Table 1: Access to HIV Prevention and HIV Treatment among a Global Sample of Cisgender Gay Men and Other Men who have sex with Men (n= 2732) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | Access to HIV Prevention among HIV-negative participants (n=2247) | Access to HIV Treatment among people living HIV-positive participants (n=473) |
| | Condoms | Other HIV test* | HIV self-test | PEP | PEP* | Lost provider access | In-person provider access | Telemedicine provider access | Can refill/access medication with complications | Cannot refill/access medication |
| OVERALL | 1459 (60%) | 679 (30%) | 429 (19%) | 383 (17%) | 470 (21%) | 111 (23%) | 204 (43%) | 79 (17%) | 350 (77%) | 64 (14%) | 20 (4%) |
| **Racial/Ethnic Minority** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 248* (62%) | 124 (31%) | 68* (17%) | 66 (17%) | 79 (20%) | 23 (26%) | 32 (36%) | 17 (19%) | 52* (70%) | 12* (16%) | 7* (9%) |
| No | 1088* (68%) | 495 (31%) | 513* (20%) | 278 (17%) | 346 (22%) | 81 (24%) | 148 (43%) | 57 (17%) | 270* (81%) | 41* (12%) | 9* (3%) |
| I don't know/refuse | 120* (50%) | 60 (25%) | 48* (20%) | 37 (15%) | 44 (18%) | 7 (17%) | 23 (50%) | 5 (12%) | 23* (61%) | 10* (25%) | 4* (11%) |
| **Immigrant Status** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Parents are native-born | 1047* (68%) | 479 (30%) | 300 (19%) | 274 (17%) | 321 (20%) | 86 (24%) | 158 (45%) | 55 (16%) | 276* (80%) | 44* (13%) | 11* (3%) |
| First generation | 100* (65%) | 42 (26%) | 27 (17%) | 25 (16%) | 41 (26%) | 4 (19%) | 8 (38%) | 6 (29%) | 16* (80%) | 3* (15%) | NA |
| Immigrant | 228* (61%) | 116 (31%) | 78 (23%) | 63 (17%) | 82 (22%) | 16 (22%) | 23 (32%) | 12 (17%) | 42* (63%) | 13* (19%) | 6* (9%) |
| **Social Health Insurance** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Government insurance | 615 (66%) | 274 (30%) | 188 (20%) | 156 (17%) | 192 (21%) | 56* (26%) | 87* (41%) | 34* (16%) | 158 (76%) | 32 (15%) | 9 (4%) |
| Med Insurance | 182 (63%) | 85 (30%) | 62 (22%) | 52 (18%) | 57 (20%) | 15* (37%) | 20* (49%) | 2* (5%) | 28 (68%) | 7 (17%) | 5 (12%) |
| Other insurance | 637 (65%) | 306 (31%) | 171 (17%) | 168 (17%) | 210 (21%) | 38* (19%) | 50* (45%) | 40* (20%) | 155 (79%) | 24 (12%) | 5 (3%) |
| **Struggling with income** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 119* (56%) | 74 (35%) | 47* (22%) | 46 (22%) | 51 (24%) | 24* (39%) | 22* (36%) | 7* (11%) | 40* (71%) | 7* (12%) | 7* (12%) |
| No | 1243* (67%) | 550 (39%) | 356* (19%) | 316 (17%) | 395 (21%) | 84* (23%) | 164* (44%) | 65* (18%) | 268* (79%) | 50* (14%) | 10* (3%) |
| I don't know/refuse | 54* (61%) | 17 (30%) | 11* (22%) | 13 (13%) | 11 (22%) | NA | 7* (44%) | 3* (19%) | 8* (57%) | 5* (30%) | 1* (7%) |

* denotes p-value < 0.05 from Chi-Squared or Fisher’s Exact Test
* responses denote participant selected they feel that they “Definitely have access” to prevention resource out of a 4-point scale. Statistical testing comparing “Definitely Yes” response to other possible responses on scale
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