SELF-REFERENTIAL DISCS AND THE LIGHT BULB LEMMA
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Abstract. We show how self-referential discs in 4-manifolds lead to the construction of pairs of discs with a common geometrically dual sphere which are properly homotopic rel \( \partial \) and coincide near their boundaries, yet are not properly isotopic. This occurs in manifolds without 2-torsion in their fundamental group, thereby exhibiting phenomena not seen with spheres, e.g. the boundary connect sum of \( S^2 \times D^2 \) and \( S^1 \times B^3 \). On the other hand we show that two such discs are isotopic rel \( \partial \) if the manifold is simply connected. We construct in \( S^2 \times D^2 \Join S^1 \times B^3 \) a properly embedded 3-ball properly homotopic to a \( \mathbb{Z}_0 \times B^3 \) but not properly isotopic to \( \mathbb{Z}_0 \times B^3 \).

0. Introduction

In its simplest form the light bulb lemma \([\text{Ga}]\) asserts that if a surface \( R \) in the 4-manifold \( M \) has a geometrically dual sphere \( G \), then one can perform the crossing change of Figure 1 (Figure 2.1 in \([\text{Ga}]\)) via an isotopy of \( R \), provided there is a path \( \alpha \) from \( y \) to \( z = R \cap G \) that is disjoint from the tube \( B \). Recall that a geometrically dual sphere is an embedded sphere \( G \) with trivial normal bundle that intersects \( R \) once and transversely. This paper investigates what happens when such path \( \alpha \) must cross \( B \), i.e. is self-referential. It leads to the discovery of homotopic but non isotopic discs with common geometrically dual spheres, thereby exhibiting new phenomena not seen for spheres in many manifolds. It also leads to the discovery of knotted 3-balls in certain 4-manifolds.

Figure 1.

Perhaps the simplest example is shown in Figure 2. Here \( V = S^2 \times D^2 \Join S^1 \times B^3 := W \times [-1,1] \) where \( W \) is a solid torus with an open 3-ball removed. Let \( G \) denote the 2-sphere component of \( \partial W_0 \), where \( W_0 = W \times 0 \). Let \( D_0 \) be a vertical disc in the \( S^2 \times D^2 \).
factor and $P$ a round 2-sphere centered in $W_0$ that projects to a disc in $W_0$ disjoint from $D_0$. See Figure 2 a). Note that $D_0 \cap W_0$ (resp. $P \cap W_0$) is an arc (resp. a circle). Let $D_1$ be obtained by tubing the disc $D_0$ to the 2-sphere $P$, such that the projection of $D_1$ to $W_0$ is as in Figure 2 b). Here $D_1 \cap W_0$ is an arc and the shading indicates projections from the past and future to $W_0$. Note that $D_0$ and $D_1$ have the common geometrically dual sphere $G$. If we could apply the light bulb lemma to $D_1$ near where the tube links the sphere, then $D_1$ is isotopic to $D_0 \text{rel} \partial$.

Here is the idea for showing that $D_0$ and $D_1$ are non isotopic rel $\partial$. Let $I_0$ denote the arc $D_0 \cap W_0$ oriented to point into $G$ and $\text{Emb}(I,V;I_0)$ the space of proper arc embeddings based at $I_0$ that coincide with $I_0$ near $\partial I_0$. Then $D_0, D_1$ naturally correspond to loops $\alpha_0, \alpha_1$ in $\text{Emb}(I,V;I_0)$ where $\alpha_0$ is the constant loop. Using methods from Dax \cite{Da} we will show that $\alpha_1$ is not homotopic to $\alpha_0$ in $\text{Emb}(I,V;I_0)$ and hence $D_1$ is not isotopic to $D_0 \text{rel} \partial$.

**Remarks 0.1.** i) Let $M$ be a 4-manifold such that $\pi_1(M)$ has no 2-torsion. Theorem 1.2 \cite{Ga} shows that if two homotopic 2-spheres $A_0, A_1 \subset M$ have a common geometrically dual sphere $G$ and coincide near $G$, then they are ambently isotopic fixing a neighborhood of $G$ pointwise. Since the isotopy is supported in a disc, Theorem 1.2 appears to prove that properly homotopic discs with geometrically dual spheres are properly isotopic. However, the proof of Theorem 1.2 uses that $A_0$ is a sphere as opposed to a disc in one crucial spot. See the second paragraph preceding Lemma 8.1 where it is stated “We can further assume that $q_1 \in \partial D_0$.”

ii) Hannah Schwartz \cite{Sch} showed that there exist manifolds with 2-torsion in their fundamental groups supporting homotopic spheres with a common geometric dual that are not isotopic, in fact not even concordant. Rob Schneiderman and Peter Teichner \cite{ST} identified the exact obstruction and showed that concordance implies isotopy.

iii) In the example above, $D_0$ and $D_1$ are concordant, thus there exists an additional obstruction for discs, though there may be more.

**Theorem 0.2.** Let $M$ be a compact 4-manifold and $D_0$ a properly embedded 2-disc with a geometrically dual sphere $G \subset \partial M$. Let $D$ be the isotopy classes of embedded discs homotopic rel $\partial$ to $D_0$.

i) If $\pi_1(M) = 1$, $D = D_0$.

ii) In general, $D$ is a group that maps onto the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M) \backslash 1]/D \cong \pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I,M;I_0))$ generated by elements of the form $g + g^{-1}$ and $\hat{\lambda}$, where $D$ is the Dax kernal and $\hat{\lambda}^2 = 1$.

**Remarks 0.3.** i) In the example of Figure 2, the Dax kernal is trivial, $D_0$ maps to 0 and $D_1$ maps to $t + t^{-1}$. 
ii) \( \pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0)) \) is the subgroup of \( \pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0)) \) represented by loops that are inessential in Maps\((I, M : I_0)\). \( \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M) \setminus 1]/D \cong \pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0)) \) is the Dax isomorphism.

As an application we show the existence of knotted 3-balls in 4-manifolds.

**Theorem 0.4.** If \( V = S^2 \times D^2 \times S^1 \times B^3 \) and \( B_0 = x_0 \times B^3 \), then there exists a properly embedded 3-ball \( B_1 \subset V \) such that \( B_1 \) is properly homotopic but not properly isotopic to \( B_0 \). See Figure 3.

Here is the idea of the proof. An extension of Hannah Schwartz’ Lemma 2.3 [Sch] to discs implies that there is a diffeomorphism \( \phi : V \rightarrow V \) fixing a neighborhood of \( \partial V \) pointwise and properly homotopic to id such that \( \phi(D_0) = D_1 \). Let \( B_0 \) denote the 3-ball \( x_0 \times B^3 \) in the \( S^1 \times B^3 \) factor of \( V \) and \( B_1 := \phi(B_0) \). If \( B_1 \) is isotopic to \( B_0 \), then since \( B_1 \) is disjoint from \( D_1, D_1 \) can be isotoped into the \( S^2 \times D^2 \) factor of \( V \). Theorem 10.4 [Ga] implies that \( D_1 \) is isotopic to \( D_0 \) rel \( \partial \), a contradiction. \( B_1 \) is obtained from \( B_0 \) by embedded surgery as described in more detail in §5. See Figure 3.

This paper is organized as follows. Basic definitions will be given in §1. Section §2 will describe to what extent the methods of [Ga] extend to discs. In particular we will show that if \( D_0 \) and \( D_1 \) are homotopic and have a common dual sphere, then \( D_1 \) can be put into a self-referential form with respect to \( D_0 \). This is the analogue of the normal form of [Ga] except that in addition to double tubes, \( D_1 \) can have finitely many self-referential discs. Theorem [Ga] i) will also be proved. The Dax isomorphism theorem [Da] will be stated and proved in §3. A slightly sharper version of Theorem [Ga] ii) will be proved in §4. Applications to knotted 3-balls in 4-manifolds and further questions will be given in §5.

**Acknowledgements 0.5.** We thank Hannah Schwartz for helpful conversations and Ryan Budney for his comments and for teaching me about the modern theory of embedding spaces. We thank Rob Schneiderman and Peter Teichner for their interest. We thank the Max Planck Institute in Bonn and the Banff International Research Station for the opportunity to present the main results at workshops respectively in September and November 2019. Much of this paper was written while visiting the Institute for Advanced Study.

1. **Basic Definitions**

We say that \( G \) is a dual sphere for the properly embedded disc \( D \subset M \) if \( G \subset \partial M \) and \( D \) intersects \( G \) exactly once and transversely. It would be more proper to call such a \( G \) a geometrically dual boundary sphere to distinguish it from geometrically dual spheres.
intersecting $D$ at an interior point. A geometric dual sphere is one with trivial normal bundle that intersects a given surface exactly once and transversely. That is automatic here since $G$ is an embedded spheres in an orientable 3-manifold. Unless said otherwise all dual spheres for discs lie in the boundary of the 4-manifold.

If $S_0$ and $S_1$ are oriented surfaces, then we say that they are tubed coherently if the tubing creates an oriented surface whose orientation agrees with that of $S_0$ and $S_1$.

This paper works in the smooth category. All manifolds are orientable.

2. Self-Referential Form

Let $D_0$ be a properly embedded disc with dual sphere $G \subset \partial M$. In this section we show that if $D_1$ is an embedded disc with $\partial D_0 = \partial D_1$ and $D_1$ is homotopic rel $\partial$ to $D_0$, then $D_1$ can be isotoped to a self-referential form, i.e. $D_1$ looks like $D_0$ except for finitely many double tubes representing non trivial 2-torsion elements of $\pi_1(M)$ and self-referential discs.

Definition 2.1. Let $S_0$ be a properly embedded oriented surface in the 4-manifold $M$, $B$ an oriented embedded 3-ball with $\partial B = P$ and $B \cap D_0 = \emptyset$. Let $\tau : [0, 1] \to M$ be an embedded path from $S_0$ to $P$ such that $\tau(0) = \tau \cap S_0$, $\tau(1) = \tau \cap P$ and int$(\tau)$ intersects $B$ exactly once and transversely. Let $S_1$ be obtained from $S_0$ by tubing $S_0$ to $P$ along $\tau$. We say that $S_1$ is obtained from $S_0$ by attaching a self-referential disc. See Figure 4.

Remarks 2.2. i) The disc $D_1$ in Figure 2 is obtained by attaching a self-referential disc to the disc $D_0$.

ii) Apriori to define the tubing, $\tau$ should be a framed embedded path as in Definition 5.4 [Ga]. Up to isotopy supported in $N(\tau)$ there are four isotopy classes, exactly two of which are coherent with the orientations of $S_0$ and $P$. These two, as do the non coherent ones, differ by the non trivial element of $\pi_1(SO(3))$ on the $B^3$ normal fibers of $N(\tau)$ as one traverses $\tau$. Since $\tau$ attaches to a sphere, the two choices give isotopic $S_1$’s. Thus $S_1$ depends only on $\tau$ and coherence/noncoherence. Equivalently, we can fix the orientation of the sphere one way or the other and then insist that the attachment be coherent.

Definition 2.3. Now assume that $D_0$ is a properly embedded oriented disc with dual sphere $G$. Let $B$ an oriented 3-ball with $\partial B = P$ and $B \cap D_0 = \emptyset$. Let $\tau_0$ be an embedded arc
from $D_0$ to $\text{int}(B)$ intersecting $B \cup D_0$ only at its endpoints. Think of it as being very short. Associated to $g \in \pi_1(M)$ and $\sigma \in \pm$ construct $D_1$ by attaching a self-referential disc as follows. Let $\tau_1$ be a path from $B$ to $D_0$ such that $\tau_1(0) = \tau_0(1)$, $\tau_1 \cap (D_0 \cup \tau_0 \cup B) = \partial \tau_1$ and $\tau_1$ represents the class $g$. Use $\tau_0 \ast \tau_1$ to construct $D_1$ where $\sigma$ determines whether or not the attachment is coherent.

Given $\sigma_1g_1, \ldots, \sigma_ng_n$ construct a disc $D_1$ by attaching $n$ self-referential discs to $D_0$ by starting with $n$ adjacent copies of $\tau_0 \cup B$ and then attaching $n$ self-referential discs as above. We require that if $B_i$ denotes the $i$'th 3-ball and $g_j$ is represented by $\tau_j$, then $|\tau_i \cap B_j| = \delta_{ij}$.

**Remarks 2.4.** i) Since $D_0$ has a dual sphere the inclusion $M_0 \setminus (D_0 \cup \tau_0 \cup B) \to M$ induces a $\pi_1$-isomorphism. Thus, once $B$ and $\tau_0$ are chosen, $D_1$ is determined up to isotopy by $\sigma$ and $g$.

ii) In a similar manner $D_1$ is determined up to isotopy by $\sigma_1g_1, \ldots, \sigma_ng_n$. Further, up to isotopy, any disc $D$ obtained from $D_0$ by attaching $n$ self-referential discs is constructed in this manner.

The statement of self-referential form given in Definition [2.13] below is quite technical, so for now we give the following informal one. Starting with $D_0$ construct the normal form analogue of Definition 5.23 and Figure 5.10 [Ga] and then attach self-referential discs to obtain $D_1$. The actual definition includes some constraints and keeps track of certain orientations. The following is the main result of this section.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let $D_0, D_1$ be properly embedded discs in the 4-manifold $M$ that coincide near their boundaries and have a geometrically dual sphere $G \subset \partial M$. If $D_0$ and $D_1$ are homotopic rel $\partial$, then $D_1$ can be isotoped rel $\partial$ to self-referential form with respect to $D_0$.

Before embarking on the proof we recall the following result which is a rewording of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 [Ga].

**Theorem 2.6.** Let $M$ be a 4-manifold such that the embedded spheres $R_0$ and $R_1$ have a common geometrically dual sphere $G$ and coincide near $G$. If $R_1$ and $R_0$ are homotopic and $\pi_1(M)$ has no 2-torsion, then they are ambiently isotopic fixing $N(G)$ pointwise. In general $R_1$ can be ambiently isotoped fixing $N(G)$ pointwise to be in normal form with respect to $R_0$.

**Remarks 2.7.** i) Since the isotopy fixes $N(G)$ pointwise, this theorem appears to be a result about properly homotopic discs with dual spheres, thus Theorem 2.5 seems to contradict the main result of this paper.

ii) The key point is this. In the proof of Theorem 2.6 the dual sphere is repeatedly used to enable various geometric operations. When $R_1$ is a sphere, $\partial N(G) = S^2 \times S^1$. Therefore, if $z = R_1 \cap G$, then through each point of $\partial N(z) \cap R_1$ there is a distinct dual sphere. On the other hand, when $D_1$ is a disc we assume that $G \subset \partial M$ and so $N(G) = G \times I$. Here there may only be an interval $[a, b] \subset \partial D_1$ with the property that for $\theta \in [a, b]$, $D_1$ has a distinct dual sphere through $\theta$. For example, consider the disc $D_1$ of Figure 2. For most of the proof of Theorem 2.6 an interval suffices, but near the end, at one crucial spot, we require the whole circle.

iii) There is the temptation to push $G$ to $G' \subset \text{int}(M)$ and use $G'$ as a dual sphere; however, an argument along the lines of [Ga] requires that $D_1$ be $G'$-inessential, a condition automatic for spheres but not for discs.
**Definition 2.8.** Parametrize $\partial D_0 = \partial D_1$ by $[0, 2\pi]/\sim$ and $N(G) \cap \partial M$ as $G \times [\pi/2, 3\pi/2]$ so that $\partial D_0 \cap G \times \theta = \theta$. Call $[\pi/2, 3\pi/2] \subset \partial D_0$ the approach interval.

The proof of Theorem 2.6 extends essentially directly to the proof of Theorem 2.5 until the third paragraph of §8. We now elaborate on this extension and then state a result that summarizes what survives for discs.

**Section 2:** The extension is direct. In particular, the light bulb lemma goes through unchanged.

**Section 3:** Not relevant.

**Section 4:** Smale’s theorem implies that embedded discs that are homotopic rel $\partial$ are properly regularly homotopic rel $\partial$.

**Section 5:** 1) Definition of tubed surface. Recall that a tubed surface $\mathcal{A}$ is the data for constructing an embedded surface in $M$. In the end of the proof of Theorem 2.5 the associated surface $A_1$ will be our $D_0$ and the realization $A$ will be our $D_1$. While stated for closed surfaces, the definition of a tubed surface applies to compact surfaces with boundary. For us, $A_0$ is a disc with $\partial A_0$ parametrized by $[0, 2\pi]/\sim$ where $[\pi/2, 3\pi/2]$ is the approach interval, $z_0 = \pi \in \partial A_0$ and $f(z_0) = z = A_1 \cap G$. In the closed surface setting we can assume that the $\sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ tube guide curves approach $z_0 \in A_0$ radially. In the disc setting these curves approach $[\pi/2, 3\pi/2] \subset \partial A_0$ transversely and intersect $N(\partial A_0)$ in distinct arcs. See Figure 5. That figure shows $\partial A_0$ together with the tube guide curves in a small neighborhood of the approach interval, which is shown in green.

2) Construction of the realization $A$. The construction is essentially the same. Here a tube guide curve $\kappa$ connecting to $\theta \in \partial A_0$ corresponds to a tube paralleling $f(\kappa) \subset A_1$ that connects to a parallel copy of $G \times \theta$ pushed slightly into $\text{int}(M)$.

3) Tube sliding moves. With one exception all the moves yield isotopic realizations as before. In the disc setting, the reordering move between tube guide curves $\kappa_j, \kappa_k$ requires that the relevant component between their endpoints lies in the approach interval.

4) Finger and tube locus free Whitney moves. Same as before.

5) Theorem 5.21. The proof is the same as before, in particular reordering is not used.

6) Lemma 5.25. The proof holds since one can permute pairs $(\beta_i, \gamma_i), (\beta_j, \gamma_j)$ that are adjacent in the approach interval.
Summary: Except for a restricted reordering move, all the results of Section 5 directly hold.

Section 6: Direct analogues of all the results of this section hold for discs. Here are some additional remarks.

1) Lemma 6.1 holds tautologically since $D_0$ and $D_1$ are homtopic rel $\partial$.

Notation 2.9. Sign Convention: We continue to adopt the orientation convention on $\beta_i, \lambda_i$ and $\gamma_i$ as in that section. As in 6.3 [Ga] the tube guide curve $\alpha$ corresponds to a sphere $P(\alpha)$ obtained by connecting oppositely oriented copies of $G$ by a tube that parallels $f(\alpha)$. Orient $\alpha$ so that the copy giving $-\langle G \rangle$ (resp. $\langle G \rangle$) is at the negative (resp. positive) end of $f(\alpha)$.

2) If $\pi : \tilde{M} \to M$ is the universal covering map, then the components of $\pi^{-1}(D_1 \cup G)$ are in natural 1-1 correspondence with elements of $\pi_1(M, z)$ and the components of $\pi^{-1}(G)$ freely generate a $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M)]$ submodule of $H_2(\tilde{M})$, thus the algebra of §6 extends to the disc case.

3) In our context the associated surface $A_1$ in the statement of Proposition 6.9 is a disc. The proof is a direct translation.

Section 7: The statement and proof of the crossing change lemma hold as before.

Section 8: The proof holds as before, until the second to last sentence of the third paragraph. That sentence "We can further assume that $q_1 \in \partial D_0." requires that the approach interval is the whole circle.

Putting this all together we have the following result.

Proposition 2.10. (Sector Form) Let $D_0, D_1$ be properly embedded discs in the 4-manifold $M$ such that $D_0$ and $D_1$ coincide near their boundaries and have the dual sphere $G \subset \partial M$. Then there exists a tubed surface $A$ with underlying surface $A_0$ parametrized as the
unit disc in \(\mathbb{R}^2\), with \(f(A_0) = D_0\) and with realization \(A\) isotopic rel \(\partial\) to \(D_1\). \(A\) has data
\[(\alpha_1, (p_1, q_1), \tau_1), \ldots, (\alpha_r, (p_r, q_r), \tau_r), (\beta_0, \gamma_0, \lambda_0), (\beta_1, \gamma_1, \lambda_1), \ldots, (\beta_n, \gamma_n, \lambda_n)).\]

Each each of these data sets lie in distinct sectors of \(A_0\). This means that there exists linearly ordered \(a_0 = \pi/2, a_1, \ldots, a_{r+n+1} = 3\pi/2 \subset \partial A_0\) such that \((\alpha_i, (p_i, q_i)) \subset \text{the sector defined by } (a_{i-1}, a_i, 0)\) and \((\beta_j, \gamma_j) \text{ lies in the sector defined by } (a_{r+j}, a_{r+j+1}, 0)\) with \(\beta_j \cap \gamma_j = \emptyset\).

See Figure 6.

**Lemma 2.11.** The data of the various sectors can be permuted without changing the isotopy class of the realization.

**Proof.** Using the tube sliding operations any two adjacent pairs \((\alpha_i, (p_i, q_i), \tau_i), (\beta_j, \gamma_j, \lambda_j)\), i.e. two of one type or one of each type, in the approach interval can be permuted, but we cannot permute data within a given sector, i.e. the \(\beta_i\) and \(\gamma_i\) curves. \(\square\)

**Definition 2.12.** A tubed surface \(A\) with data as in Proposition 2.10 is said to be in sector form. Let \(A\) be a tubed surface in sector form. Let \(\lambda\) be a framed embedded path in \(M\) with disjoint embedded tube guide curves \(\beta\) and \(\gamma \subset A_0\), all oriented with the above sign convention. We denote the pair \((\beta, \gamma)\) as \(+(\beta, \gamma)\) (resp. \(- (\beta, \gamma)\)) if \(\beta\) appears before (resp. after) \(\gamma\) in the approach interval. Call an embedded \(\alpha\) curve \(+ (\text{resp. } -)\) if the negative (resp. positive) end of \(\alpha\) appears before the positive (resp. negative) end in the approach interval.

**Definition 2.13.** We say that the tubed surface \(A\) is in self-referential form with data \((\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n, \sigma_1 g_1, \ldots, \sigma_k g_k)\) if

a) The immersion \(f : A_0 \to M\) is a proper embedding with \(f(A_0) = A_1\) a 2-disc with dual sphere \(G \subset \partial M\).

b) The paths \(\beta_1, \gamma_1, \ldots, \beta_n, \gamma_n, \sigma_1 \alpha_1, \ldots, \sigma_k \alpha_k\) are embedded and linearly arrayed along the approach interval, where \(\sigma_i \in \pm\) and \(+\alpha_i\) (resp. \(-\alpha_i\)) denotes that its negative (resp. positive) end is closer to \(\pi/2\) than its positive end. The point \(q_i\) associated to \(\alpha_i\) lies in the half disc bounded by \(\alpha_i\) and the approach interval.

c) The framed embedded paths \(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n\) represent distinct nontrivial 2-torsion elements of \(\pi_1(M)\).

d) Each \(q_i\) represents a non trivial element of \(\pi_1(M, z_0)\) and no \(i, j\) is \(\sigma_i g_i = -\sigma_j g_j\).

We say that the disc \(D_1\) is in self-referential form with data \((\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n, \sigma_1 g_1, \ldots, \sigma_k g_k)\) with respect to the disc \(D_0\) if \(D_1\) is the realization of the tubed surface \(A\) with this data where \(A_1 = D_0\).

We now show the key connection between the formal definition and the earlier one for self-referential form.

**Lemma 2.14.** If \(D_1\) is in self-referential form with respect to \(D_0\) with data \((\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n, \sigma_1 g_1, \ldots, \sigma_k g_k)\) and \(D'_0\) is in self-referential form with respect to \(D_0\) with data \((\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)\), then \(D_1\) is isotopic to the surface obtained from \(D'_0\) by attaching the self-referential discs associated to the data \((\sigma_1 g_1, \ldots, \sigma_k g_k)\).

**Proof.** Since \(q_1\) lies to the approach interval side of \(\alpha_1\) sliding the sphere \(P(\alpha_1)\) off of \(D_0\) entangles the tube connecting \(D_0\) to \(P(\alpha_1)\) to create a self-referential disc of the type claimed. See Figures 14 to 13. The result follows by induction on the number of \(\alpha\) curves. \(\square\)
Lemma 2.15. An embedded surface $T$ with dual sphere $G$ is isotopic to the surface $T'$ obtained from $T$ by tubing self-referential discs of type $g, -g$.

Proof. See Figure 7. Figure 7(a) shows $T$ with self-referential discs of type $g, -g$. The green dot denotes intersection with a geometrically dual sphere, which is on $\partial T$, when $T$ is a disc. Two applications of the light bulb lemma enable the isotopy to Figure 7(b). Figure 7(c) is after sliding one of the tubes. Since the spheres now cancel, that surface is isotopic to $T$ itself. □

Definition 2.16. We say that the embedded surface $T$ is obtained from the embedded surface $S$ by tubing a sphere $P$ along $\tau$, if $P$ bounds a 3-ball disjoint from $S$ and $T$ is obtained by tubing $S$ and $P$ along a framed embedded path $\tau$.

Lemma 2.17. Let $S$ be an embedded surface with dual sphere $G$. If the surface $T$ is obtained from $S$ by tubing a sphere $P$ along $\tau$, then $T$ is isotopic to a surface obtained from $S$ by attaching finitely many self-referential discs.

Proof. If $P = \partial B$ and $|B \cap \tau| = k$, then squeeze $B$ into two balls $B_1, B_2$ so that $|\tau \cap B_1| = 1, |\tau \cap B_2| = k - 1$ and $(\partial \tau \cap B) \subset B_2 \setminus B_1$. If $P_i = \partial B_i$, then we can further assume that $P_1$ is connected to $P_2$ by a tube $\tau_1$ disjoint from $\tau$. Use $\tau$ to slide $\tau_1$ off of $P_2$ so that now $\tau_1$ connects $P_1$ with $S$. Here we abused notation by identifying the framed embedded path $\tau$ with its corresponding tube. By construction $\tau_1$ will link $P_1$ exactly once. Next, use the light bulb lemma to unlink $\tau_2$ from $P_1$ and $\tau_1$ from $P_2$. The result follows by induction on $k$. □

Lemma 2.18. Let $A$ be a tubed surface in sector form containing a sector $J$ with data $(\alpha_i, (p_i, q_i), \tau_i)$. There exists another tubed surface $A'$ with isotopic realizations whose data agrees with that of $A$ except that the $(\alpha_i, (p_i, q_i), \tau_i)$ data has been deleted and the sector $J$ has been subdivided into finitely many sectors each of which contains data of the form $(\sigma_s \alpha_s, (p_s, q_s), \tau_s)$ where $\alpha_s$ is embedded and $q_s$ lies in the halfdisc bounded by $\alpha_s$ and the approach interval.

Proof. By the crossing change Lemma 7.1 [Ga] we can assume that $\alpha_i$ is monotonically increasing. Sliding $P(\alpha_i)$ off of $A_1$ as in the proof of Lemma 2.14 we obtain an unknotted
2-sphere $P_i$, which is entangled with $\tau_i$. If $S$ denotes the realization of the tubed surface $\mathcal{A}$ with the data $(\alpha_i, (p_i, q_i), \tau_i)$ deleted, it follows that the realization $A$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is obtained by tubing $S$ to the sphere $P_i$. By Lemma 2.17, $A$ is isotopic to a surface obtained by adding self-referential discs to $S$. The proof of that lemma further shows that they can be attached in subsectors of $J$ without the self-referential discs linking with other parts of $A$. Finally, reverse the proof of Lemma 2.14 to obtain the desired $\mathcal{A}'$ satisfying all but possibly the last conclusion. If a $q_s$ lies outside the halfdisc bounded by $\alpha_s$ and the approach interval, then deleting the data $(\sigma_s, \alpha_s, (p_s, q_s), \tau_s)$ does not change the isotopy class of the realization. □

The next result follows from Lemmas 2.15 and 2.18.

**Corollary 2.19.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a tubed surface in sector form. Given the data $(\alpha_s, (p_s, q_s), \tau_s)$ there exists a tubed surface $\mathcal{A}'$ in sector form with realization isotopic to that of $\mathcal{A}$ such that the data of $\mathcal{A}'$ consists of the data from the sectors of $\mathcal{A}$ plus another sector with data $(\alpha_s, (p_s, q_s), \tau_s)$ together with other sectors having data only involving $\alpha$ curves. □

**Proof of the Self-referential Form Theorem.** By Proposition 2.10 we can assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is in sector form.

0) By Lemma 2.11, the data of the various sectors can be permuted.

i) Elimination of the $(\beta_0, \gamma_0, \lambda_0)$ data can be done as in Remark 8.2 [Ga]. This might create additional data of the form $(\alpha_s, (p_s, q_s), \tau_s)$. 

ii) We can further assume that the $\lambda_i$’s represent distinct non-trivial 2-torsion elements since the methods of §6 [Ga] enable the exchange of a pair of double tubes representing the same 2-torsion element for a pair of single tubes. Again, this might create data of the form $(\alpha_s, (p_s, q_s), \tau_s)$. 

iii) The modification of the $\beta_i, \gamma_i$ curves to embedded tube guide curves can be done as in the two paragraphs after Remark 8.2 [Ga]. This might require that $\mathcal{A}$ has particular sectors of the form $(\alpha_s, (p_s, q_s), \tau_s)$ in order to invert the operation of §6 [Ga]. We can create such sectors by Lemma 2.19 at the cost of creating other sectors with data of the form $(\alpha_s, (p_i, q_i), \tau_i)$. Also, the modification may create other sectors of this type. 

iv) To reverse the ordering of the tube guide curves in $(\gamma_i, \beta_i, \lambda_i)$ where $\lambda_i$ represents 2-torsion, modify $\mathcal{A}$ to create two new sectors with data of the form $(\beta_i, \gamma_i, \lambda_i), (\beta_i, \gamma_i, \lambda_i)$ at the cost of adding sectors with $(\alpha_s, (p_s, q_s), \tau_s)$ type data. Then cancel the $(\gamma_i, \beta_i, \lambda_i), (\beta_i, \gamma_i, \lambda_i)$ pairs at the possible cost of additional type $(\alpha_s, (p_s, q_s), \tau_s)$ sectors. 

v) Apply Lemma 2.18 to each sector with $(\alpha_s, (p_s, q_s), \tau_s)$ data. □.

If $\pi_1(M) = 1$, then the self-referential form data is trivial, thus, we have proved the following, stated as Theorem 1.2 i) in the introduction.

**Theorem 2.20.** Let $D_0, D_1$ be properly embedded discs in the 4-manifold that coincide near their boundaries and have the common dual sphere $G \subset \partial M$. If $M$ is simply connected, then $D_1$ is homotopic to $D_0 \text{rel } \partial$ if and only if it is isotopic $\text{rel } \partial$.

3. **The Dax Isomorphism Theorem**

Let $f_0 : N^n \to M^m$ be an embedding where $N$ and $M$ are closed manifolds. In 1972 J. P. Dax showed that $\pi_k(\text{Maps}(N, M), \text{Emb}(N, M), f_0)$ is isomorphic to a certain bordism group when $2 \leq k \leq 2m - 3n - 3$. See Theorem A and Theorem 1.1 [Da]. While both
the statement and proof are expressed in the very abstract and general style of the day, our case of interest is a strikingly clean and beautiful geometric result with an elementary proof. Using different language and in part different methods we exposit this result when N = I := [0, 1] and f₀ : I → M⁴ is a proper embedding with image I₀. Again, unless stated otherwise, all maps and spaces are smooth and in this section manifolds are oriented. Standard spaces are standardly oriented.

**Definition 3.1.** Define the Dax group π₁(Emb⁰(I, M; I₀)) to be the subgroup of π₁(Emb(I, M; I₀)) consisting of classes represented by loops in Emb(I, M; I₀) that are homotopically trivial in π₁(Maps(I, M; I₀)). Here Emb(I, M; I₀) (resp. Maps(I, M; I₀)) is the based space of proper embeddings (resp. proper continuous maps) that coincide with I₀ near ∂I₀. Here we abuse notation by identifying the interval I₀ with the embedding f₀ : I → I₀.

The following definition is a special case of the spinning operation of Ryan Budney [Bu], see Figure 8. That figure shows the projection of a 4-ball B ⊂ M to a 3-ball Ḝ. Our path α₁, which is constant near t = 0.5, intersects B (resp. ḕ) in arcs σ and τ (resp. σ and a point). It is modified to one where σ spins about the point. What follows is a slightly more formal definition.

**Definition 3.2.** Let α₁ : L → M, t ∈ [0, 1] be a path in Emb(L, M) where L is an oriented 1-manifold. Assume that α₁ is constant for t ∈ [.45, .55]. Let ḕ ⊂ M be parametrized by [-2, 2] × [-2, 2] × [-1, 1] × [-1, 1]. With respect to local coordinates assume that ḕ ∩ L = σ ∪ τ where τ = (0, 0, 0, −s), s ∈ [-1, 1], σ = {−1, 0, s, 0}, s ∈ [-1, 1] and both are oriented from the s = −1 to the s = +1 end. We modify α to γ so that α₁(s) = γ₁(s) unless t ∈ [.45, .55] and α₁(s) ∈ σ. Within t ∈ [.45, .55], keeping endpoints fixed and staying within the 2-sphere Q ⊂ [-2, 2] × [-2, 2] × [-1, 1] × 0 = ḕ, swing σ around τ by first going around the negative y-side and then back along the positive y-side of Q. This can be done so that γ₁ is a smooth loop. See Figure 8. We say that γ is obtained by spinning α. Note that Lk(τ, Q) = +1, where the motion of σ orients Q, in this case the standard orientation. If in local coordinates λ denotes the straight path from (0, 0, 0, −1) to (0, 0, 0, 0), then we say that γ is obtained from α by λ-spinning.

**Remarks 3.3.**

i) The inverse τ⁻¹ of τ corresponds to going around Q the other way, thereby reversing the orientation of Q and hence the linking number.

ii) Up to homotopy in Emb(L, M; I₀), λ-spinning depends only on the path homotopy class of λ.

**Notation 3.4.** Let I₀ be a properly embedded [0, 1] in the 4-manifold M and let 1_I₀ denote the identity element in π₁(Emb⁰(I, M; I₀)). Let p < q ∈ I₀ and g ∈ π₁(M, I₀) where I₀ is viewed as the basepoint, then denote by τ_q ∈ π₁(Emb⁰(I, M; I₀)) the loop obtained by spinning 1_I₀ using a path λ from p to q representing g. Let τ⁻_q denote τ⁻_q⁻¹.

**Remarks 3.5.**

i) Spinning can be viewed as the arc pushing map that defines the barbell map of [BG]. Reversing the orientation of λ changes a spin to its inverse up to homotopy in Emb(L, M). See Theorem 6.6 [BG]. Do not confuse τ⁻_q = τ⁻_q⁻¹ with τ_q⁻¹.

ii) Modifying the orientation preserving parametrization of B, e.g., by an element of π₁(SO(3)) as one moves along λ, does not change the path homotopy class of γ. See Remark 6.4 i) [BG].
iii) The homotopy class of $\gamma$ is independent of the representative of $\lambda$. In particular $\tau_g$ is well defined up to homotopy in $\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0)$ and represents an element of $\pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0))$. If $g = 1 \in \pi_1(M, I_0)$, then $\tau_g = 1_{I_0} \in \pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0))$.

**Lemma 3.6.** Spinning commutes up to homotopy in $\text{Emb}(I, M; I_0)$.

**Proof.** After an isotopy we can assume that the support of the spins are disjoint. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 3.7.** (Dax Isomorphism Theorem) Let $I_0$ be an oriented properly embedded $[0, 1]$ in the oriented 4-manifold $M$. Then

i) There is a homomorphism $d_3 : \pi_3(M, x_0) \to \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M) \setminus 1]$ with image $\text{Dax}(I_0)$, the Dax kernel.

ii) $\pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0))$ is generated by $\{\tau_g | g \neq 1, g \in \pi_1(M)\}$ and canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M) \setminus 1]/\text{Dax}(I_0)$.

**Proof.** Let $\alpha = \alpha_t \in I$ a loop in $\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0)$. Being in the Dax group, there exists a homotopy $\alpha_{t,u} \in \text{Maps}(I, M; I_0)$ such that $\alpha_{t,u}$ equals $1_{I_0}$ for $u$ near 0 and $\alpha_{t,u}$ equals $\alpha_t$ for $u$ near 1.

**Step 1:** Define $d(\alpha_{t,u}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M) \setminus 1]$.

As in [Da] define $F_0 : I \times I^2 \to M \times I^2$ by $F_0(s, t, u) = (\alpha_{t,u}(s), t, u)$. As in Chapter III [Da] we can assume that $F_0$ is parfait, in particular is an immersion, has finitely many double points and no triple points. Furthermore, $F_0$ is self transverse at the double points which we can assume occur at distinct values of the last factor. The results in Chapter III are stated for closed manifolds but apply to manifolds with boundary since the support of the modification occurs away from the boundary. See also Chapter VI [Da] which mentions the bounded case.

Assign a generator $\sigma_x g_x \in \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M)]$ to each double point $x$ as follows. Suppose $\alpha_{t,u}(p) = \alpha_{t,u}(q)$, where $p < q$. Let $g_x \in \pi_1(M, I_0)$ be represented by $\alpha_{t,u}[0, p] \star \alpha_{t,u}[q, 1]$. Let $\sigma_x$ be the self intersection number obtained by comparing the orientation of $DF_0(T_{p,t,u}(I^3)) \oplus DF_0(T_{q,t,u}(I^3))$ with that of $T_x(M \times I^2)$. If $x_1, \cdots, x_n$ are the double points with $g_{x_i} \neq 1$, then define $d(\alpha_{t,u}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_x g_{x_i}$.

**Step 2:** If $\alpha^0_{t,u}$ is properly homotopic to $\alpha^1_{t,u}$, then $d(\alpha^0_{t,u}) = d(\alpha^1_{t,u})$. 

**Figure 8.** Obtaining $\gamma$ by $\lambda$-spinning $\alpha$
Definition 3.8. Define $d_3 : \pi_3(M, x_0) \to \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M) \setminus 1]$ as follows. Represent $a \in \pi_3(M, x_0)$ as a kernal map $\alpha_{t,u}$. Now define $d(a) = d(\alpha_{t,u}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M) \setminus 1]$ as in Step 1. Define $D(I_0) = d_3(\pi_3(M, x_0))$. When $I_0$ is clear from context, we will write $D(I_0)$ as $D$.

Step 3: $d_3 : \pi_3(M) \to \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M) \setminus 1]$ is a homomorphism as is $d : \pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0)) \to \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M) \setminus 1]/D$ where $d(\alpha_t) = d(\alpha_{t,u})$ for some $\alpha_{t,u}$.

Proof. The proof of Step 2 shows that $d_3 : \pi_3(M) \to \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M) \setminus 1]$ is well defined. Its additivity with respect to concatenation shows that it is a homomorphism. If $\alpha_{t,u}^0, \alpha_{t,u}^1$ are two null homotopies of $\alpha_{t,u}$ in Maps($I, M; I_0$), then after concatenating with a kernal map we obtain a new null homotopy whose $d$ value differs by an element of $D$. It follows that $d : \pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0)) \to \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M) \setminus 1]/D$ is well defined.

To show that $d$ is a homomorphism first observe that $d(1_{I_0}) = 0$. By concatenating $F_{0}'s$ for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ we see that $d(\alpha * \beta) = d(\alpha) + d(\beta)$. □

Step 4: If $[\alpha] \in \pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0))$ and without cancellation $d(\alpha_{t,u}) = \sigma_{x_1}g_{x_1} + \cdots + \sigma_{x_n}g_{x_n}$, then $\alpha$ is homotopic to the compositions of spin maps $\tau_{\sigma_{x_1}g_{x_1}}, \cdots, \tau_{\sigma_{x_n}g_{x_n}}$.

Proof. Let $F_0 : I \times I \times I \to M \times I^2$ as in Step 1. We prove Step 3 by induction on the number of double points. Assume for the moment Step 3 is true if $F_0$ has $\leq k$ double points where $k \geq 1$. If $F_0$ has $k + 1$ double points, then by changing coordinates we can assume that one occurs at $x = F_0(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) = F_0(q, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ where $p < q$ and the others occur at $F_0(s, t, u)$ where $u > 3/4$. Thus, $F_0|I \times I \times 5/8$ is homotopic to a spin map $\tau$ and there is a homotopy $G_0$ from $1_{I_0}$ to $\tau^{-1} * \alpha$ with $k$ double points of the same group ring types as $F_0|I \times I \times [5/8, 1]$ and hence the result follows by induction.

We now consider the case that there is a single double point. By modifying the homotopy rel $\partial$ we can assume that with respect to local coordinates on $M \times I \times I$ and local variables $-\epsilon \leq s', t', u' \leq \epsilon$;
\[ F(q + s', t + \frac{1}{2}, u' + \frac{1}{2}) = (0, 0, 0, -s', t' + \frac{1}{2}, u' + \frac{1}{2}), \]
\[ F(p + s', t' + \frac{1}{2}, u' + \frac{1}{2}) = (u', t', s', 0, t' + \frac{1}{2}, u' + \frac{1}{2}) \text{ if } \sigma_x = +1, \]
\[ F(p + s', t' + \frac{1}{2}, u' + \frac{1}{2}) = (u', -t', s', 0, t' + \frac{1}{2}, u' + \frac{1}{2}) \text{ if } \sigma_x = -1. \]

Thus, the passage from \( \alpha_{t, \frac{1}{2}-t} \) to \( \alpha_{t, \frac{1}{2}+t} \) changes \( I_0 \) to \( \tau_{\sigma_x g_x} \), where \( g_x \) is the loop \( \phi_0 * \phi_1 \)
where \( \phi_0 \) (resp. \( \phi_1 \)) is the arc \( F_0(p, \frac{1}{2}, w), 0 \leq w \leq \frac{1}{2} \) (resp. \( F_0(q, \frac{1}{2}, 1-w), \frac{1}{2} \leq w \leq 1 \)) which is homotopic to the loop \( g_x \).

**Step 5:** \( d \) is canonical; i.e. if \( \alpha \) is a composition of \( \tau_{\sigma_1 g_1}, \ldots, \tau_{\sigma_n g_n} \), with all \( g_i \neq 1 \), then there exists \( \alpha_{t,a} \) with \( d(\alpha_{t,a}) = \sigma_1 g_1 + \cdots + \sigma_n g_n \).

**Proof.** The local functions defined in Step 4 show how to construct a homotopy \( F_0 \) from \( I_0 \) to \( \alpha \) whose double points evaluate to \( \sigma_1 g_1, \ldots, \sigma_n g_n \).

**Step 6:** \( d : \pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0)) \to \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M) \setminus 1]/D \) is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** Steps 3 and 5 show that \( d \) is a surjective homomorphism. We now prove injectivity. If \( \alpha \in \pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0)) \) and \( d(\alpha_{t,a}) \in D \) then by concatenating with a kernel map we can assume that \( d(\alpha_{t,a}) = 0 \). It follows from Step 4 that \( \alpha \) is homotopic to a composition of spin maps \( \tau_{\sigma_1 g_1}, \ldots, \tau_{\sigma_n g_n} \) whose sum is equal to 0 in \( \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M) \setminus 1] \). Since spin maps commute it follows that \( \alpha \) is homotopic to \( I_0 \). This completes the proof of the Dax isomorphism theorem.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let \( M \) be a 4-manifold such that \( \pi_3(M) = 0 \), then \( \pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0)) \) is freely generated by \( \{ \tau_g | g \neq 1, g \in \pi_1(M) \} \) and canonically isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M) \setminus 1] \).

**Theorem 3.10.** If \( M = S^1 \times B^3 \# S^2 \times D^2 \), then \( \pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0)) \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M) \setminus 1] \) and is freely generated by \( \{ \tau_g | g \neq 1, g \in \pi_1(M) \} \). (Here \( \pi_1(M) \) is expressed multiplicatively.)

**Proof.** \( \pi_3(M) \) as a \( \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1] \) module is generated by the Hopf map of \( S^3 \) to a 2-sphere \( Q \) and Whitehead products of conjugates of \( \pi_2(Q) \). Once given \( I_0, Q \) can be chosen disjoint from \( I_0 \) and hence any element of \( \pi_3(M) \) has support in a simply connected subcomplex.

**Theorem 3.11.** If \( M = S^1 \times B^3 \# S^2 \times D^2 \), then \( \pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0)) \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M) \setminus 1] \) and is freely generated by \( \{ \tau_g | g \geq 1 \} \).

**Proof.** Here the Dax kernel \( \neq 0 \). The various \( \pi_1(M) \) conjugates in \( \pi_3(M) \) of the separating \( S^3 \) give, up to sign, the relations \( g^i = g^{-i} \) in \( \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M) \setminus 1] \).

**Remarks 3.12.** i) Theorem 3.7 is stronger than the one given in [Da] in that we identified generators of \( \pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0)) \). Working with these commuting elements enables us to avoid a parametrized double point elimination argument and the need to modify \( F_0 \) to eliminate double points \( x \) with \( g_x = 1 \). Also, we have a natural isomorphism of \( \pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0)) \) with a computable quotient of the group ring as opposed to one arising from an abstract bundle cobordism construction.

ii) The ordering of \( I_0 \) enables us to unambiguously define \( \sigma_x \) and \( g_x \).

iii) We note that the Dax group \( \pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(S^1, M; S^0_1)) \), has an extra relation from being able to cancel double points of \( F_0 \) by going around the \( S^1 \). Dax computed the case \( M = S^1 \times S^3 \), P. 369 [Da]. See also [AS] and [BG] for the case \( M = S^1 \times S^3 \).
Question 3.13. What is the relation between the Dax kernel and the six dimensional self intersection invariant?

Remark 3.14. Schneiderman and Teichner [ST] show that for an oriented six dimensional manifold $P$ the self intersection invariant $\mu_3 : \pi_1(P) \to \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(P)]/ < g + g^{-1}, 1 >$ specializes to a map $\mu_3 : \pi_3(N) \to \mathcal{F}_2 T_N$, when $P = N \times I$ and where $T_N$ is the vector space with basis the non trivial torsion elements of $\pi_1(N)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ is the field with two elements. Our setting is both similar and different in that we are looking at an ordered self intersection of mapped 3-balls with fixed boundary into $M \times I \times I$. As indicated in Theorem 3.11 the Dax kernel can be nontrivial, e.g. in manifolds with $\pi_1(M) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 3.15. A very recent result of Syunji Moriya [Mo] shows that for certain simply connected 4-manifolds $M$, $\pi_1(\text{Emb}(S^1, M)) \cong H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

4. From Discs to Paths

Definition 4.1. Let $D_0$ be a properly embedded disc in $M$ with dual sphere $G$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the set of isotopy classes rel $\partial$ of discs homotopic rel $\partial$ to $D_0$. If $D_0, D_1 \in \mathcal{D}$, then define $D_1 + D_2 = D_3$ so that $D_3$ is the realization of a tubed surface whose sector form data is the concatenation of that of $D_1$ and $D_2$. This means that if $D_1$ (resp. $D_2$) has $n_1$ (resp. $n_2$) sectors with data then $D_3$ has $n_1 + n_2$ sectors with the corresponding data.

Proposition 4.2. $\mathcal{D}$ is an abelian group under the operation $\cdot$.

Proof. We need to show that $D_3$ is independent of the choice of representatives of $D_1$ and $D_2$, the other conditions being immediate. In particular, by Lemma 2.11 $D_3$ is independent of the concatenation order and hence $\mathcal{D}$ is abelian. We can assume that $D_1$ coincides with $D_0$ near their boundaries, so an isotopy of $D_1$ to $D'_1$ can be chosen to be supported away from some neighborhood of $\partial D_0$. Since the data of $D_2$, except for its framed embedded paths, can be isotoped within their sectors to be very close to $\partial D_0$, we see that the isotopy of $D_1$ can be chosen to avoid it. While the framed embedded paths associated to $D_2$ may get moved during the ambient isotopy of $D_1$ to $D'_1$, the light bulb lemma enables them to isotope back to their original positions without introducing intersections with $D'_1$. □

Notation 4.3. If $\lambda$ is a framed embedded path with endpoints in $D_0$ representing a nontrivial 2-torsion element of $\pi_1(M)$, then let $\hat{\lambda}$ denote this element and let $D_\lambda$ denote the realization of the self-referential form tubed surface whose data consists exactly of $(\lambda)$. If $1 \neq g \in \pi_1(M)$, then let $D_g$ (resp. $D_{-g}$) denote the realization of the self-referential form tubed surface whose data only consists exactly of $(+g)$ (resp. $(-g)$).

Remark 4.4. Since an element of $\mathcal{D}$ can be put into self-referential form it follows that the $D_g$’s and $D_\lambda$’s are generators of $\mathcal{D}$.

Definition 4.5. Let $D_0$ be a disc with the dual sphere $G$ and approach interval $\iota$. Let $I_0 \subset D_0$ be a parametrized properly embedded path with $I_0(1) \cap \iota = z$. Parametrize $D_0$ as the unit disc in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $z = (0, 1)$ with $\iota$ a small closed neighborhood of $z$. Let $y_0$ denote $(0, -1)$. View $D_0$ as a 1-parameter family $\omega_\iota$ of paths from $y_0$ to $z_0$ with disjoint interiors where $\omega_1(s) = (\cos(\pi s + 3\pi / 2), \sin(\pi s + 3\pi / 2))$. If $D$ is an embedded disc homotopic rel $\partial$ to $D_0$, then the $\omega_\iota$ family induces an element $\psi(D) \in \pi_1(\text{Emb}(I, M; I_0))$. Indeed, if $f : D_0 \to D$ is a map such that $f|\partial D_0 = \text{id}$, then $f \circ \omega_\iota$ gives a map from $D$ to a path in $\text{Emb}(I, M, I_0)$.
Now use $D_0$ to homotope both $f \circ \omega_0, f \circ \omega_1$ back to $I_0$ and have each $f \circ \omega_i$ coincide with $I_0$ near its endpoints, to obtain a loop $\psi(D)$.

**Lemma 4.6.** \(\psi : D \to \pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0))\) is a homomorphism.

**Proof.** Since $f$ is homotopic to id, $\psi(D) \in \pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0))$. It depends only on the image $f(D)$, since $\text{Diff}_0(D^2 \times \partial) \cong \text{Diff}_0(D^2)$ is contractible by Smale, \[\text{Sm}3\]. Since $\psi(D_0) = 1_{I_0}$ it follows that $\psi(D_1 + D_2) = \psi(D_1) + \psi(D_2)$.

The following is a sharper form of Theorem (12) ii) of the introduction.

**Theorem 4.7.** There exists a natural homomorphism $\phi : D \to \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M)] / D \cong \pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0))$, where $D$ is the Dax kernel, such that the generators of $D$ are mapped as follows.

i) $\phi([D_0]) = \lambda$

ii) $\phi([D_1]) = g + g^{-1}$.

**Proof.** We first set the local picture. View $N(D_0 \cup G)$ as the manifold with corners $J \times [-1, 1]$, where $J = H \times \overline{\text{int}(B)}$, where $B$ is an open 3-ball and $H$ is a half 3-ball with $\partial H = \partial_e H \cup \partial_s H$, the external and internal boundaries. Also, $\partial M \cap J \times [-1, 1] = (\partial_e H \cup \partial B) \times [-1, 1] \cup J \times \{-1, 1\}$. Here $G_t := \partial B \times t$ and $N(G) \cap \partial M = G \times [-1, 1]$. $D_0$ is a vertical disc in $J \times [-1, 1]$ with $I_t := D_0 \cap J \times t$, where $I_0$ is an arc from $\partial_e H \times 0$ to $G := G_0$. See Figure 9 a). Figure 9 b) shows a one dimension lower version. In that figure $G$ is a circle and $D_0$ is a disc. $\partial M$ is the union of $G \times [-1, 1]$ and the shaded face which is the analogue of $\partial_e (H) \times [-1, 1]$ and the top and bottom faces.

We now define the homomorphism $\psi$ from this point of view. If $D$ is a properly embedded disc that coincides with $D_0$ near $\partial D$, then the $I_t$ fibering of $D_0$ induces $\psi(D) \in \pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0))$ as follows. It first induces a map $\psi' : [-1, 1] \to (\text{Maps} : [-1, 1] \to \text{Emb}(I, M))$. The projection of $I_t$ to $I_0$ then informs how to close up to a loop and modify the ends to coincide with $I_0$ to obtain a well defined element of $\pi_1(\text{Emb}^D(I, M; I_0))$.

We now show ii). Given $D_g \in D$, represent $\psi(D_g)$ as $\alpha_t$ a loop in $\text{Emb}(I, M; I_0)$. As in §3 we construct a homotopy $\alpha_{t,u}$ in Maps$(I, M ; I_0)$ from $\alpha_t$ to $1_{I_0}$ and then compute $d(\alpha_{t,u})$. To compute the required intersection numbers we need to establish and keep track of orientations. First $J \times [-1, 1]$ has the standard orientation $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4)$ induced from $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$. Figure 10 shows our orientations on $D_0$ and $G$ as seen from $J \times 0$. Here $T_z(D_0)$ is oriented by $(\epsilon_2, \epsilon_4)$ and $T_z(G)$ is oriented by $(\epsilon_3, \epsilon_1)$. Note that $< D_0, G >_{z=1} = 1$. Recall that $D_g$ is obtained by coherently tubing $D_0$ with the oriented sphere $P(\alpha)$ along a path.
Figure 10. Orientations on $D_0$ and $G$

Figure 11. Orientation on $P(\alpha)$

Figure 12. Isotoping to a self-referential disc I
τ representing g, so to know the orientation on \(D_g\) it remains to know the orientation of \(P(\alpha)\) which is shown in Figure 11. The numbers next to the vectors indicate which goes first. Recall that \(P(\alpha)\) is obtained by tubing two copies of \(G\), say \(G_{-5}\) and \(G_{5}\) where the orientation of \(G \times -5\) (resp. \(G \times +5\)) disagrees (resp. agrees) with that of \(G\). Figure 12a) shows the projection of \(P(\alpha) \cup D_0 \cup \tau\) to \(J \times 0\); the solid line indicating intersection with the present and shading indicates projection from either the past or future. Here \(J_i; t < 0, t = 0\) or \(t > 0\) refers to the past, present or future. The orientation shown is that of the projection of the disc from the future. Figure 12b) is another projection after an isotopy of \(P(\alpha) \cup \tau\).

To obtain the full picture of this \(D_g\) we coherently connect \(D_0\) to this isotoped \(P(\alpha)\) by the tube \(T_\tau\) that follows the isotoped \(\tau\). See Figure 13.

We now describe \(\alpha_{t,u}\). The passage from the original \(D_g\) to the above one induces a homotopy of \(\alpha_{t,0}\) to \(\alpha_{t,1/4}\). Here is a description of the loop \(\alpha_{t,1/4}\), \(t \in [-1,1]\). Starting at \(\alpha_{-1,1/4} = I_0\), keeping neighborhood of \(\partial I_0\) fixed, \(\alpha_{t,1/4}\) sweeps out along \(T_\tau\) staying slightly in the past, then remaining slightly in the past continues across \(P(\alpha)\) to reach \(\alpha_{1/2,1/4}\), the dark line in Figure 13 which is totally in the present. It then sweeps back across \(P(\alpha)\) staying slightly in the future and then back across \(T_\tau\) before returning to \(I_0 = \alpha_{1/4}\). Our homotopy \(\alpha_{t,u}\) will have the feature that for all \(u, \alpha_{1/2,u} \cap J \times [-1,1] \subset J \times 0\). If \(D_g(u)\) denotes the image of \(\alpha_{t,u}\), \(t \in [-1,1]\), then Figure 13 shows the projection of \(D_g(1/4)\) to \(J \times 0\). We now homotope \(D_g(1/4)\) to \(D_g(3/8)\) as shown in Figure 14a). Here we abuse notation by conflating the domain with the image. While the embedded part of \(D_g(u)\) now becomes immersed, the homotopy induces a homotopy of \(\alpha_{t,1/4}\) to \(\alpha_{t,3/8}\) as loops in \(\text{Emb}(I, M; I_0)\). Figure 14b), (resp. Figure 14c)) shows the result of a further homotopy to \(\alpha_{t,9/16}\) (resp. \(\alpha_{t,3/4}\)) this time as loops in \(\text{Maps}(I, M; I_0)\). \(\alpha_{t,u}\) fails to be a loop in \(\text{Emb}(I, M; I_0)\) when \(u = 1/2\) and \(5/8\). This can be done so that at \(u = 1/2\) (resp. \(u = 5/8\) there is a single self-intersection when \(t = 1/2\) and \(s = a_0\) and \(s = b_0\) (resp. \(t = 1/2\) and \(s = a_1\) and \(s = b_1\)). Note that the loop \(\alpha_{t,3/4}\) is homotopic in loops \(\text{Emb}(I, M; I_0)\) to \(1_{I_0}\). Use this homotopy to complete the construction of \(\alpha_{t,u}\).

We now compute the self-intersection values. Recall that \(I_0\) is oriented to point into \(G\). Following the rules of §3, since \(b_0 < a_0\) the group element to this self-intersection is \(g^{-1}\). With notation as in §3 we now compute the sign of the self-intersection by comparing \(DF_{b_0,1/2,1/2}(T_{b_0,1/2,1/2}(I^3)) \oplus DF_{a_0,1/2,1/2}(T_{a_0,1/2,1/2}(I^3))\) with that of \(T_{x_1,1/2,1/2}(M \times I^2)\) where

\[
T_{x_1,1/2,1/2}(M \times I^2) = \cdots
\]
Figure 14. Computing the Intersection Numbers

Figure 15. Two double tubes equals one single tube

$x_1 = \alpha(1/2, 1/2)(a_0) = \alpha(1/2, 1/2)(b_0)$. Parametrized as in §3 we have $DF_{0,0,1/2,1/2} \left( \partial/\partial s, \partial/\partial t, \partial/\partial u \right) = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_5, \epsilon_6)$ and $DF_{0,0,1/2,1/2} \left( \partial/\partial s, \partial/\partial t, \partial/\partial u \right) = (\epsilon_3, \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5, \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_6)$ which as a 6-vector is equivalent to $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_5, \epsilon_6, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4, \epsilon_2)$ which is equivalent to the standard basis, hence the self-intersection number is +1. Since $a_1 < b_1$, A similar calculation shows that at the second self-intersection the group element is $g$ and the 6-tuple of vectors is $(\epsilon_3, \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5, \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_6, -\epsilon_1, \epsilon_5, \epsilon_6)$ which is equivalent to $(\epsilon_3, \epsilon_4, \epsilon_2, -\epsilon_1, \epsilon_5, \epsilon_6)$ which also gives the standard basis. Therefore, $\phi(D_g) = d(\alpha_{t,u}) = g + g^{-1}$. 
We now show i) by proving that $2\phi(D_\lambda) = \phi(2D_\lambda) = 2\lambda$. Figure 15 a) shows a tubed surface with self-referential form data $(\lambda, \lambda)$. Figure 15 b) shows the result of applying the operation of §6 [Ga] to this tubed surface. Tube sliding moves allow for the $q$ point to $\alpha_2$ to be placed to either side of $\alpha_1$ and vice versa. Note that the orientations on the $\alpha$ curves are determined by the sign convention. As in §2, deleting the data corresponding to the $\alpha_2$ curve does not change the realization since it’s $q$ point lies on the far side of the approach interval. What’s left is a tubed surface of Figure 15 c) with self-referential form data $(+\lambda)$ whose realization is $D_\lambda$. By ii), $\phi(D_\lambda) = 2\lambda$.

Corollary 4.8. Let $M = S^2 \times B^2_\times S^1 \times B^3, D_0$ be the standard 2-disc as in Figure 2 and $g$ be a generator of $\pi_1(M)$. Then the discs $D_{g^i}, i \in \mathbb{N}$ are pairwise not properly isotopic. On the other hand each $D_{g^i}$ is concordant to $D_0$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.11 the Dax kernel $D = 0$. It follows that if $i \neq j$, then $D_{g^i}$ is not isotopic to $D_{g^j}$ since $g^i + g^{-j} \neq g^j + g^{-j}$. Since each $D_{g^i}$ differs from $D_0$ by a ribbon 3-disc, they are concordant. See Figure 2 in the introduction.

5. Applications and Questions

As an application we give examples of knotted 3-balls in 4-manifolds with boundary. See [BG] and [Wa] for constructions in closed manifolds. As a prototype we state a result for $M = S^2 \times D^2_\times S^1 \times B^3$ though it readily generalizes to other manifolds.

Theorem 5.1. If $M = S^2 \times D^2_\times S^1 \times B^3$ and $\Delta_0 = x_0 \times B^3$ in the $S^1 \times B^3$ factor, then there exist infinitely many 3-balls properly homotopic to $\Delta_0$, but not pairwise properly isotopic.

Remark 5.2. The following result is a straightforward extension of Hannah Schwartz’ Lemma 2.3 [Sch] for spheres with dual spheres to discs with dual spheres, with a somewhat different proof.

Lemma 5.3. Let $D_0 \subset N$ be a properly embedded 2-disc with dual sphere $G$. If $D_1$ is a properly embedded 2-disc that coincides with $D_0$ near $\partial D_0$ and $D_1$ is homotopic rel $\partial$ to $D_0$, then there exists a diffeomorphism $\psi : (N, D_0) \rightarrow (N, D_1)$. If $D_1$ is homotopic rel $\partial$ to $D_0$, then $\psi$ can be chosen to fix a neighborhood of $\partial N$ pointwise. If $D_0$ is concordant to $D_1$, then $\psi$ can also be chosen to be homotopic to id rel $\partial$.

Proof. Let $G \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$ be a product neighborhood of $G \subset \partial N$. Let $N_1 = N \cup G \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon] \cup [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$.

Then $N$ is obtained from $N_1$ by removing a neighborhood of the arc $\kappa = 0 \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$. Any loop $\gamma \in \text{Emb}(I, N_1; \kappa)$ whose time 1 map preserves the framing of $T(\kappa)$ induces $\psi_1 : (N_1, \kappa) \rightarrow (N, \kappa)$ fixing $\partial N_1 \cup \partial N$ pointwise and hence a map $\psi_\gamma : N \rightarrow N$ fixing $\partial N$ pointwise, otherwise it induces a diffeomorphism that twists the boundary. Such a diffeomorphism is called an arc pushing map.

Since $D_0, D_1$ coincide near $N(\partial D_0)$, we can extend slightly to discs $E_1, E_0$ in $N_1$, which coincide in $N_1 \setminus N$ with $\partial E_0 \subset \kappa \cup \partial N_1$. Let $\gamma$ be the arc pushing map which first deformation retracts $E_0$ to a small neighborhood of $\partial E_0$ and then expands along $E_1$. If $D_1$ is homotopic to $D_0$ such an isotopy can be constructed to preserve the normal framing of $\kappa$ and hence induce a diffeomorphism $\psi_\gamma : (N, D_0) \rightarrow (N, D_1)$ which fixes $\partial N$ pointwise.

If $\psi_\gamma : N_1 \times I \rightarrow N_1 \times I$ is the map induced from suspending the ambient isotopy induced from $\gamma$, then $\kappa$ tracks out a properly embedded disc. If $D_1$ is concordant to $D_0$, then this disc is isotopic rel $\partial$ to $\kappa \times I$, in which case $\psi_\gamma$ is homotopic to id rel $\partial$. 

□
Remark 5.4. It suffices that $D_1$ and $D_0$ induce the same framing on their boundaries to enable $\psi$ to fix $\partial N$ pointwise.

Proof of Theorem 5.4. Let $g$ be a generator of $\pi_1(M)$. Let $D_i$ be the disc $D_i g$ of Theorem 4.8. By that result all these $D_i$’s are homotopic, in fact concordant, yet pairwise not isotopic rel $\partial$. Apply the lemma to obtain $\psi_i : M \rightarrow M$ a diffeomorphism, properly homotopic to id fixing $N(\partial M)$ pointwise such that $\psi_i(D_0) = D_i$. Let $\Delta_i = \psi_i(D_0)$. Since $\Delta_0 \cap D_0 = \emptyset$ it follows that for all $i$, $\Delta_i \cap D_i = \emptyset$. If $\Delta_i$ is properly isotopic to $\Delta_j, i \neq j$, then the corresponding ambient isotopy takes $D_i$ to $D_j'$ with $D_i' \cap \Delta_j = \emptyset$. Now $M \setminus \text{int}(N(\Delta_0))$ is diffeomorphic to $S^2 \times D^2$ and hence so is $M \setminus \text{int}(N(\Delta_j))$. Since $\Delta_i'$ is properly homotopic to $\Delta_j$ in $M$. $D_i'$ is homotopic rel $\partial$ to $D_j$ in this $S^2 \times D^2$. By Theorem 10.4 [Ga], $D_i'$ is isotopic rel $\partial$ to $D_j$, which is a contradiction.

We conclude with a problem and two questions.

Problem 5.5. Complete the isotopy classification of properly embedded discs in 4-manifolds with dual spheres.

The following question specializes this problem to 4-manifolds without 2-torsion in their fundamental groups.

Questions 5.6. Let $D_0 \subset M$ be a properly embedded disc with dual sphere $G$ such that $\pi_1(M)$ has no 2-torsion. Let $D$ be the isotopy classes of embedded discs homotopic to $D$ rel $\partial$. Let $\phi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M,z)]/1 \cong \text{Emb}(I,M;I_0)$ be the canonical homomorphism. What is $\ker \phi$? In particular, if $M = S^2 \times D^2 \times S^1 \times B^3$, is $D_g$ isotopic rel $\partial$ to $D_{g-1}$?
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