A High Performance Thin Layer Chromatographic Method Using a Design of Experiment Approach for Estimation of Phytochemicals in Extracts of Moringa Oleifera Leaves
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: A systematic design of experiment (DoE) based sensitive, robust high performance thin layer chromatographic (HPTLC) method was established for simultaneous estimation of gallic acid (GA), quercetin (QT), and rutin (RT) from ethanolic and aqueous leaf extracts of Moringa oleifera.

Materials and Methods: The chromatographic separation was carried on Merck TLC aluminum sheets of silica gel 60 F254 (10×10 cm) with mobile phase of toluene: ethyl acetate: methanol: formic acid (4.9:4.1:2:0.5, v/v/v/v) with densitometric scanning at 300 nm. The critical method parameters were initially identified by regular two level factorial design and further systematically optimized using a central composite design, evaluating the effect on selected critical analytical attributes, retention factor (RF), and peak area.

Results: The Pareto charts, 3D response surface plots, and polynomial equations for the generated models suggested significant influence of the selected factors on responses of QT, GA, and RT. The desirability and overlay plots employed provided appropriate solutions that were experimentally validated. Under the optimized conditions, the biomarkers were suitably resolved with RF values of 0.64±0.02, 0.80±0.03, and 0.22±0.02 for GA, QT, and RT, respectively, with wide linear dynamic range (200-1200 ng/band each), high accuracy (98.1-99.4%), and intra- and interday precision (%RSD <2%). When employed for quantification of these biomarkers in Moringa oleifera extracts, the ethanolic and aqueous extracts exhibited higher content of QT (993.5 µg/g and 832 µg/g, respectively). The ethanolic extract showed a larger amount of RT (701 µg/g). In contrast, aqueous extract exhibited a higher proportion of GA (591.1 µg/g) compared to ethanolic extract (150 µg/g).

Conclusion: This validated HPTLC method developed through a DoE approach was successfully employed for quantification of GA, QT, and RT from Moringa oleifera extracts and may also be extended for their simultaneous estimation in other herbal extracts, thereby reducing time, and may serve as a cost effective tool for analysis.
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INTRODUCTION

In recent years people have been consuming large quantities of herbal medicines for various therapeutic and prophylactic purposes due to their implied safety, efficacy, cultural acceptability, and lesser side effects. Herbs are a rich source of various phytoconstituents, among which phenolic acids and flavonoids are present in major proportions. Around 300 flavonoids have been isolated and their pharmacological activities have been extensively studied to date. Most of them are reported to be less toxic to humans and therefore are widely used in herbal medicine. Flavonoids like quercetin (QT), rutin (RT), and phenolics like gallic acid (GA) are present in a large number of herbs and herbal preparations. QT is a natural polyphenolic present in vegetables, fruits, and juices and has been extensively studied for numerous biological activities. Chemically, QT is an aglycone of RT and other glycosides and is a powerful antioxidant and free radical scavenger. RT is used in the treatment and prevention of small varicose veins. This substance is also used in mesotherapy or intradermotherapy to stimulate circulation in treatment against cellulite. It has been used for preparing patients with jaundice for surgery. GA is a polyphenolic compound with antioxidant properties and is used to treat the common cold and fever and as a diuretic, laxative, liver tonic, restorative, antipyretic, and anti-inflammatory agent. Figure 1 represents the chemical structures of these biomarkers.

Currently, the literature indicates that there are very few methods reported for the quantitative estimation of these biomarkers present in herbs/herbal preparations. Recently, Amir et al. reported an high performance thin layer chromatographic (HPTLC) method for the simultaneous estimation of QT and RT in herbs. Hussain et al. reported an HPTLC method employing toluene: ethyl acetate: formic acid (5:4:1) as the mobile phase for determination of QT and GA in Abutilon indicum. Alam et al. also reported normal phase-HPTLC for estimation of RT, GA, QT, and naringenin in extracts of Guiera senegalensis. However, individual mobile phases consisting of acetonitrile: water (4:6) were employed for estimation of RT and QT, while a mixture of tolune: ethyl acetate and formic acid (6:4:8) was used for determination of GA and naringenin.

Seal reported a reversed phase-High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method employing acetonitrile and 1% aqueous acetic acid solution as mobile phase in gradient mode with photodiode array detection at 272, 280, and 310 nm for simultaneous quantitation of flavonoids (catechin, RT, QT, myricetin, apigenin, and kaempferol) in wild edible leaves of Sonchus arvensis and Oenanthe linearis. A sensitive ultra performance liquid chromatography-ESI-MS/MS method employing protein precipitation is reported for estimation of phytoconstituents in Polygonum capitatum extract in rat plasma, namely phenolic acids and flavonoids like GA, quercitrin, and QT. Alam et al. also reported a HPLC method for the estimation of RT, QT, and GA in Moringa oleifera plants native to Saudi Arabia. A preliminary thin layer chromatography study using 0.2% 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl as the spraying reagent and HPLC on a C18 reverse-phase column was employed for quantitation of GA and RT in extracts of C. alata and Andrographis paniculata. Sajeeoth et al. reported a HPTLC method on precoated HPTLC silica gel 60 F254 plates employing toluene: ethyl acetate: formic acid (7:5:1 v/v/v) as mobile phase for quantitative estimation of GA, RT, and QT from Eruca sativa extract. Another HPTLC method on precoated silica gel GF 254 plates using toluene: acetone: glacial acetic acid (3:1:2 v/v/v) as mobile phase with ultraviolet (UV) detection at 254 nm for GA [retention factor (RF) 0.30] and a mixture of ethyl acetate: dichloromethane: formic acid: glacial acetic acid: water (10:2.5:1:1:0.1, v/v/v/v/v) at 366 nm for RT and QT at an RF value of 0.13 and 0.93, respectively, is reported. While the literature reports suggest that there are few HPTLC methods for estimation of QT, RT, and GA, these methods have employed different mobile phase compositions/different wavelengths of detection for estimation of these biomarkers. To date, there is no reported HPTLC method employing a design of experiments (DoE) approach for the simultaneous estimation of these three biomarkers in combination.
Moringa oleifera, native to India or Sub-Himalayan areas and widely spread throughout the tropical and subtropical areas, is a miracle tree and an unbelievable source of all nutrients, with various pharmacological effects in several disease conditions for its antibacterial, anti-diabetic, and cardiovascular effects, and also for the treatments of stomach aches, sprains, and fever. Moringa oleifera contains GA, QT, and RT in considerable proportions and its anti-atherosclerotic, antioxidative, and anti-diabetic activities have been reported.12

Recently HPTLC has been introduced in the USP as an official tool for analysis, mainly for the quantitative and qualitative analysis of herbal extracts. HPTLC, because of its highly sensitive detection ability and other advantages like low operating cost, high sample throughput, and minimum sample clean-up requirement, is now adopted for analysis as an alternative to HPLC.

DoE as per (ICH) Q2 (R1), Q8 (R2), and Q9 guidelines is a systematic approach for analytical method development and validation. Various designs can be adopted for screening and optimization of method variables that can influence the method responses. Regular two-level factorial screening is an excellent design for initial screening of variables that can affect the responses. Furthermore, for optimization of analytical method parameters, central composite design (CCD) is one of the most widely used designs, allowing better understanding of not only the main effect (effect of each individual variable selected through screening design) but also their interaction effects. A DoE approach helps to reduce the number of experiments to be performed, thereby proving to be a simple, economic, less time consuming, and robust strategy for method development.13

The present study reports for the first time the quantitative estimation of GA, QT, and RT by HPTLC method developed through a DoE approach and its application for estimation of these bioactive agents in Moringa oleifera leaf extracts.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant collection and identification
Fresh leaves of Moringa oleifera were collected from the area around Pune. The collected parts of Moringa oleifera were authenticated at the Botanical Survey of India, Pune.

Chemicals and reagents
GA (99%), RT (98%), and QT (99%) were purchased from Hi Media Laboratories, Mumbai, India. All chemicals and reagents were of AR grade and aluminum-backed TLC plates precoated with a 0.2 mm layer of silica gel 60 F254 (10×10 cm) were purchased from E. Merck (Germany).

Standard preparation
A suitable quantity (5 mg) each of GA, QT, and RT was weighed accurately and transferred to separate 10 mL volumetric flasks, 5 mL of methanol was added followed by sonication for 10 min, and the volume was made up to 10 mL with methanol. The resulting solutions were filtered through Whatman filter paper and suitable volumes were applied to TLC plates for further analysis.

Sample preparation
Preparation of ethanolic and aqueous extracts of Moringa oleifera
Fresh leaves of Moringa oleifera were ground into small pieces. For the preparation of ethanolic extract, the powdered plant material was macerated with 70% ethanol (1:40 w/v) for 72 hour at room temperature (28±2°C) with occasional shaking.

Aqueous extract was prepared by maceration of powdered plant leaves with distilled water for 24 hour at room temperature (28±2°C) with occasional shaking.14

The extracts were filtered through Whatman filter paper and the resulting marcs were re-macerated with the same solvent until complete extraction. The residual solvents were removed using rotary evaporation and then dried using a vacuum oven (Lab-line) under pressure at 40°C to obtain dry extracts.

HPTLC instrumentation and chromatographic conditions
A Camag HPTLC system equipped with a TLC scanner 3 and win CATS 1.2.2 software (Camag, Muttenz, Switzerland), a UV chamber (Camag, Muttenz, Switzerland), a twin trough chamber (10×20 cm or 20×20 cm; Camag, Muttenz, Switzerland), and a saturation pad (Camag, Muttenz, Switzerland) was used. The standards and samples were spotted in the form of bands of width 6 mm with a Camag microliter syringe on aluminum plates precoated with silica gel 60 F254 (10×10 cm with 0.2 mm thickness, E. Merck, Germany) using a Camag Linomat V (Hamilton, Broadus, Switzerland) sample applicator. The slit dimension was kept constant at 5 mm×0.45 mm and the scanning speed was maintained at 20 mm/s. Linear ascending development was carried out in the twin trough glass chamber and the chromatograms were developed up to a length of 80 mm. The developed TLC plates were dried with the help of an air dryer.

Preliminary HPTLC analysis
Initial HPTLC trials were carried out employing solvents like toluene, isopropanol, n-butanol, methanol, ethyl acetate, formic acid, dioxane, and acetic acid in varying proportions as mobile phase. However, problems like low RF values for RT (<0.05), overlapping of the peaks of QT and GA, and large RF values for QT (>0.90) were observed. The addition of methanol resulted in improvement in the RF values of RT and QT. However, change in the proportion of methanol (>3 and <2) resulted in a considerable effect on the RF value and peak area of the three biomarkers.

Method development and optimization using DoE
Further to the initial trails, a DoE approach was employed in the present study to identify and understand the influence of the method conditions on the analytical output through a thorough
understanding of the process. The predetermined objective of the present study was to identify the best/optimum conditions for effective separation of the selected biomarkers and study the influence of the method parameters on the identified critical analytical attributes (CAAs) (RF value and peak area).

Factor screening studies

Initially, based on the literature data, experimental trial results, and elaborate analysis using Ishikawa fishbone diagrams, six factors, i.e. method parameters (mobile phase ratio, time from spotting to chromatography, time from chromatography to scanning, wavelength, activation time, and saturation time) were selected for the study. The regular two-level factorial screening design using Design Expert software version 11 (Stat-Ease, Minneapolis, MN, USA) was initially employed for selection of critical method parameters (CMPs) that will influence the CAAs. A design matrix comprising 8 experimental runs was suggested, considering two levels (low and high) for each selected method parameter. The levels selected were mobile phase ratio (4:5); saturation time (10 min: 30 min); time from spotting to chromatography (10 min: 30 min); time from chromatography to scanning (10 min: 30 min); wavelength (254 nm: 300 nm); activation time (5 min: 15 min). The Pareto charts were employed to evaluate the influence of each factor (CMPs) on selected CAAs. The polynomial equations were generated for each model as given below:

\[ Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_{11} X_1^2 + \beta_{22} X_2^2 + \varepsilon, \]

where \( Y \) is the measured CAA associated with each factor level combination; mobile phase composition (toluene content) \( (X_1) \) and time from spotting to chromatography \( (X_2) \). The composition of the mobile phase refers to the volume of toluene with respect to the total volume of the mobile phase. The low, medium (nominal value), and high levels of dependent and independent variables were selected based on the results from preliminary experimentation. The nominal value for two factors, toluene content \( (X_1) \) and time from spotting to chromatography \( (X_2) \), were 4 mL and 10 min, respectively. Accordingly, the toluene content \( (X_1) \) was maintained between 4 mL and 5 mL. Similarly, the low and high values of the time from spotting to chromatography \( (X_2) \) were fixed at 15 min and 25 min, respectively.

Optimization of HPTLC method parameters using a central composite design

Central composite response surface design was employed to optimize the CMPs as selected through their initial screening design. The screening design was used to optimize the compositional parameters and to evaluate interaction effects and quadratic effects of the selected method parameters, i.e. the mobile phase ratio and time from spotting to chromatography. The design was specifically selected since it requires fewer runs than a Box-Behnken design in the case of two variables. A design matrix comprising 14 experimental runs was constructed (Table 1).

### Table 1. Optimization trials of central composite design (where \( X_1 \) toluene content, \( X_2 \) time from spotting to chromatography)

| Run | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| \( X_1 \) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 6  | 6  | 3  | 3  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 2.78 | 6.62 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| \( X_2 \) | 20 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 30 | 20 | 34.14 | 20 | 20 | 5.85 | 20 | 20 |

Validation of the proposed HPTLC method

The proposed HPTLC method for simultaneous estimation of QT, RT, and GA was validated as per ICH guidelines.

Linearity (calibration curve)

Standard solutions of GA, QT, and RT were prepared in methanol to obtain a concentration of 0.5 mg/mL. Different volumes of standard solutions were spotted on the TLC plates in triplicate using a Camag Linomat V sample applicator to obtain bands in the concentration range of 200-1200 ng/band for GA, QT, and RT. The plates were then developed and the data of peak areas versus drug concentrations were treated by linear least squares regression analysis to obtain the regression equations.

Accuracy (recovery %)

The accuracy of the method was determined by calculating recoveries of GA, QT, and RT by the standard addition method. Known amounts of standard solutions of GA, QT, and RT were added at 80%, 100%, and 120% level to prequantified sample solution (extracts). The amounts of GA, QT, and RT were estimated by applying obtained values to the respective regression line equations.

Precision

The precision of the system was determined by measuring repeatability of sample application and measurement of peak areas for three replicates at each concentration level. To evaluate intraday precision, three mixed standards were prepared. Suitable volumes (0.4 µL, 1.2 µL, 2 µL) were applied to HPTLC plates to obtain standard bands corresponding to three concentrations (200, 600, and 1000 ng) in triplicate on the same day. For the intraday precision (intermediate precision), the assays was performed on three consecutive days and the peak areas were recorded. The precision of the system and method was expressed as relative standard deviation (RSD) % of peak area.

Statistical analysis

All the data analysis was carried out in replicates and standard deviation and RSD values were computed.

The present study does not require ethics committee approval or patient informed consent.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Factor screening studies

The analysis of data obtained using the regular two-level factorial design for screening of CMPs suggested that the
The composition of the mobile phase ratio had a significant negative impact on the retention factor of QT (-83.70%) and GA (-89.51%). In the case of RT, the method parameter of time from spotting to chromatography had a major effect on the RF value (-38.82%). For the CAA of peak area, the wavelength of detection and time from chromatography to scanning contributed significantly in the case of QT, RT, and GA. However, mobile phase ratio and time from chromatography to spotting were critical for RT (Figure 2).

**Optimization of CMPs using a central composite design**

Based on the results of the preliminary screening design, it was thought appropriate to further optimize the effect of the identified CMPs (mobile phase ratio and time from spotting to chromatography) on selected analytical attributes (RF and peak area) using a CCD.

The selected CMPs, namely mobile phase ratio and time from spotting to chromatography, were studied at five levels (-α, -1, 0, 1, +α). The design matrix comprised a total of 14 experimental conditions.

**Figure 2.** Pareto charts depicting the influence of CMP's on method CAA, (a) Effect on area of QT, (b) Effect on Rf of QT, (c) Effect on area of GA, (d) Effect on Rf of GA, (e) Effect on area of RT, (f) Effect on Rf of RT.

CMP's: Critical method parameters, CAA: Critical analytical attributes, QT: Quercetin, GA: Gallic acid, Rf: Retardation factor, RT: Rutin.
runs with 6 runs at the center point (0, 0). Standard RT, GA, and QT were prepared at the concentration of 400 ng/band and used for all experimental runs. Design Expert 10 software was employed for the data analysis.

Data validation was performed by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) combined with the F test. Coefficients that were found to be significant \((p<0.05)\) were considered in framing the polynomial equations. Lack of fit and correlation coefficients \((r^2)\) were employed further to evaluate the appropriateness of model fitting (Tables 2 and 3). 2D contour plots and 3D response surface plots (Figure 3) were employed for response surface analysis. The entire model's diagnostic plots like the normal plot of probability, run plot, residual plots, and histogram plots were also employed to evaluate the degree of fitness of the data.

Table 2. Summary of statistical ANOVA for response (Y1 retardation factor)

| Source | Sum of squares | Degree of freedom | Mean square | F value | p value |
|--------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| QT     | 0.044          | 0.000             | 0.06        | 2       | 0       | 2       | 0.022 | -       | 0.03   | 8.97   | 4.97   | 0.006  | 0.032  |
| RT     | 0.043          | -                 | 0.06        | 1       | 1       | 1.043  | -       | 0.063  | 17.39  | 9.06   | 0.002  | 0.013  |
| GA     | 1.337          | E-003             | 5.386       | 1       | 1       | 1.337  | E-003   | 5.236  | 0.54   | 0.88   | 0.4793 | 0.3706 |

Residual: 0.025 0.037 0.061 10 12 10 2.476 0.003 3.069 E-003 6.128 E-003 - - - -

Lack of fit: 0.018 0.011 0.041 6 8 6 3.083 E-003 1.320 E-003 6.869 E-003 1.97 0.20 1.37 0.2669 0.97 0.3969

ANOVA: One way analysis of variance, QT: Quercetin, RT: Rutin, GA: Gallic acid

Table 3. Summary of statistical ANOVA for response (Y2 area)

| Source | Sum of squares | Degree of freedom | Mean square | F value | p value |
|--------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| QT     | 98.9           | 185.3             | 937.6       | 3       | 3       | 3       | 32.95 | 61.76  | 187.5  | 1.00   | 5.82   | 5.72   | 0.435  | 0.017  | 0.020  |
| RT     | 11.6           | 122.3             | 252.8       | 1       | 1       | 1       | 11.64 | 122.2  | 252.8  | 0.35   | 7.11   | 5.66   | 0.008  | 0.027  |
| GA     | 51.2           | 14.01             | 394.6       | 1       | 1       | 1       | 51.22 | 14.01  | 394.6  | 1.56   | 1.32   | 12.0   | 0.243  | 0.280  | 0.010  |

Residual: 295.7 95.58 229.3 9 9 7 32.9 10.62 32.76 - - - - - -

Lack of fit: 258.4 52.91 202.5 5 5 3 51.7 10.58 67.51 5.54 0.99 10.0 0.061 0.5175 0.025

ANOVA: One way analysis of variance, QT: Quercetin, RT: Rutin, GA: Gallic acid

Table 4. Predicted/observed results of solutions suggested by Design Expert software

| Solution results | Mob. phase (T:EA:M:FA) | Time from spotting to chromatography (min) | RF | % Content |
|------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|----|-----------|
| Predicted results|                          |                                         |    |            |
| Solution 1       | GA QT RT GA QT RT       | 63 0.77 0.23 98 103 102                |    |            |
| Solution 2       | 4.8: 4.2:2:0.5:0.5:0.5  | 63 0.75 0.23 97 102 102                |    |            |
| Solution 3       | 5.2: 3.8:2:0.5:2.5:0.5  | 62 0.75 0.23 97 102 101                |    |            |
| Solution 4       | 5.3: 3.7:2:0.5:2.5:0.5  | 62 0.75 0.23 96 102 101                |    |            |
| Observed results |                          |                                         |    |            |
| Solution 1       | GA QT RT GA QT RT       | 64 0.77 0.23 99.56 100.1 101.8         |    |            |
| Solution 2       | 4.8: 4.2:2:0.5:0.5:0.5  | 65 0.78 0.24 99.5 99.5 102             |    |            |
| Solution 3       | 5.2: 3.8:2:0.5:2.5:0.5  | 57 0.73 0.19 97 99.4 98.4             |    |            |
| Solution 4       | 5.3: 3.7:2:0.5:2.5:0.5  | 65 0.78 0.24 98 99 98.11              |    |            |

RF: Retention factor, QT: Quercetin, RT: Rutin, GA: Gallic acid, T: Toluene, EA: Ethyl acetate, M: Methanol FA: Formic acid
obtained. All the experimental runs were carried out in random order to avoid any bias in measurement.

Effect on retention factor and peak area of gallic acid

The results of the ANOVA of the model to represent the effect of selected CMPs (X1 mobile phase ratio and X2 time from spotting to chromatography) on responses (selected CAAs) Y1 (RF) and Y2 (area) are summarized in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. The 3D response surface plots were also analyzed.

For GA, the polynomial equation model generated suggested that factors X1 and X2 were statistically significant (p<0.05). It was observed that as the mobile phase ratio varied (amount of toluene increased), it had a small negative impact on the RF value as indicated by a negative coefficient (-0.058). For the response Y2 (peak area), the factor X1 (mobile phase ratio) had a significant negative influence (-17.80). However, as the time from spotting to chromatography increased, the area under the curve of GA increased up to a certain point, above which it further decreased. An interaction effect of selected factors was also observed.

Effect on retention factor and peak area of quercetin

In the second model generated for the influence of factors X1 and X2 on responses Y1 and Y2 of QT, the polynomial equation and model developed were also statistically significant (p<0.05). Here the factor X1 (mobile phase ratio) had a negative impact on the RF value (Y1) as demonstrated through the response surface plot. However, the effect of X2 on response Y2 (peak area) was nonsignificant (p>0.05), indicating that the selected method parameters were robust and did not have any significant impact on the area of QT.

Effect on retention factor and peak area of rutin

For RT, the generated model was nonsignificant (p>0.05) for X1 and X2 on selected response Y1 (RF) of RT, indicating that there was no significant difference in the RF value of RT under the selected method conditions. However, it was observed that the polynomial equation for factor X1 and X2 had a significant positive impact (p<0.05) on the peak area of RT as indicated by the positive coefficient (+1.93 and +1.18, respectively). An interaction effect of X1 and X2 was also observed.
In order to obtain the best chromatographic performance, the multicriteria methodology was employed by means of Derringer’s desirability function. Individual desirability functions ranging from 0 (undesired response) to 1 (fully desired response) were selected. A value of $D$ close to 1 indicates that combination of different criteria is globally optimal. The red area in the desirability plot indicates that the prediction at all points in this region is one. The yellow area in the overlay plot indicates that all the constraints are satisfied in this region. Desirability (Figures 4 and 5) and overlay plots (Figure 6) were obtained from the models for the selected responses. The desirability and overlay plots gave the design space within which variations in CPPs did not affect the CAAs selected. However, four solutions as suggested by the software were selected such that they satisfied the desirability function of 1 and were also observed in the yellow zone in the overlay plot.

The four proposed solutions were experimentally run under the stated conditions and the resulting densitograms were evaluated to observe any deviations in RF and peak areas from the predicted values (Figure 7). The agreement between the experimental and predicted responses was assessed by calculating the percentage of prediction error using the following formula: Predicted error = \(\frac{\text{Experimental response} - \text{Predicted response}}{\text{Predicted response}} \times 100\%\).

The results of the same are summarized in Table 4. From the data generated and prediction error calculations, it was observed that the % prediction error calculated for RF and % content of the three biomarkers were minimal in the case of solution 1. The % error for RF and % content of GA were 1.58 and 1.59, respectively, where the amount of toluene in the mobile phase was high ($X_1=4.9$ mL) and time from spotting to chromatography was also large ($X_2=26.2$ min). However, the RF values of QT and RT were found to exactly match the predicted values, while % error for % content was significantly low (-2.81% for QT and -0.19% for RT). The desirability study indicated that solution 1 gave more accurate results and therefore these optimized conditions [mobile phase composition: toluene: ethyl acetate: menthol: formic acid solution (4.9:4.1:2:0.5 v/v/v/v) and time from spotting to chromatography: 26 min] were selected for further validation studies.

Validation of the proposed HPTLC method

**Linearity (calibration curve)**

The linear regression data obtained for the calibration curves (n=6) showed an excellent linear relationship over a wide concentration range of 200-1200 ng/band for GA, QT, and RT (Table 5).

**Precision**

The measurement of peak area in the interday and intraday precision studies showed low % RSD (<2%), which suggested precision of the method (Table 5).

**Recovery**

The accuracy of the proposed HPTLC method demonstrated through recovery studies performed by spiking sample with pure drugs at 80%, 100%, and 120% indicated good recovery of the three biomarkers with % recovery in the range of 98.1-99.4% (Table 5).

**Determination of GA, QT, and RT in leaf extracts of Moringa oleifera**

The densitograms obtained on analysis of the ethanolic and aqueous extracts of *Moringa oleifera* showed three well
resolved peaks at RF 0.80, 0.64, and 0.22 for QT, GA, and RT, respectively (Figure 8). The PDA spectral scan of the separated bands at 300 nm and the UV spectra generated exactly superimposed with the standard spectra indicating that there was no interference from other components present in the extracts. The photo documentation of the HPTLC plates also displayed distinct bands for the biomarkers when scanned in UV chamber at short wavelength (254 nm) (Figure 8c). It was observed that both the ethanolic and aqueous extracts showed higher content of QT (993.5 µg/g and 832 µg/g, respectively). However, the ethanolic extract showed a larger amount of RT (701 µg/g) when compared to the aqueous extract (232.2 µg/g).

Table 5. Validation data for gallic acid, quercetin, and rutin

| Validation parameter | QT (ng/band) | RT (ng/band) | GA (ng/band) |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Linearity            |              |              |              |
| Range (ng/band)      | 200-1200     | 200-1200     | 200-1200     |
| Regression equation  | y=6.6659x+11991 | y=5.0043x+1443.7 | y=13.46x+1362.1 |
| r²                   | 0.9982       | 0.9958       | 0.9951       |
| *Interday precision  | 0.143        | 0.264        | 0.097        |
| (Mean % RSD)         |              |              |              |
| *Intraday precision  | 0.370        | 0.182        | 0.161        |
| (Mean % RSD)         |              |              |              |
| Recovery             |              |              |              |
| **Mean % recovery    | 98.75        | 98.66        | 99.16        |
| Mean % RSD           | 1.01         | 0.595        | 0.70         |

Table 6. Estimated content of GA, QT, and RT in aqueous and ethanolic extracts of Moringa oleifera

| Biomarker | Aqueous extract | Ethanol extract |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| GA        | 591.1 µg/g      | 150 µg/g        |
| QT        | 832 µg/g        | 993.5 µg/g      |
| RT        | 232.2 µg/g      | 701 µg/g        |

In contrast, the aqueous extract exhibited a higher proportion of GA (591.1 µg/g) as compared to the ethanolic extract (150 µg/g) (Table 6). The proposed HPTLC method was successfully
employed for the estimation of these biomarkers in extracts of *Moringa oleifera*.

Although the literature reports an HPLC method employing a gradient of methanol and acetonitrile for the estimation of these biomarkers in *Moringa oleifera* plants native to Saudi Arabia by Alam et al.⁸, the RT of these biomarkers are very close to each other (0.98, 0.99, and 1.04 min for RT, GA, and QU, respectively) and their simultaneous estimation is not possible.

A recent study reports an HPTLC method for estimation of these three biomarkers in Syrian *Capparis spinosa* L. leaves carried out on precoated silica gel GF254 plates employing a four solvent composition of mobile phase [ethyl acetate-glacial acetic acid-formic acid-distilled water (100:11:11:25)]. Moreover, densitometric scanning was performed at three different wavelengths [366 nm for RT (RF: 0.39), 280 nm for QT (RF: 0.79), and 254 nm for GA (RF: 0.81)].¹⁵

Some of the more recently reported methods employ separate mobile phase systems for estimation of these three biomarkers [for gallic acid, toluene: formic acid: ethyl acetate: methanol (3:3:8:2, v/v/v/v); for RT and QT, ethyl acetate: formic acid: glacial acetic acid: water (10:0.5:0.5:1.3, v/v/v/v)]. It was also observed that the RF values of QT were very high, which may lead to inadequate quantification as it may overlap with the solvent band.¹⁶

---

**Figure 8.** HPTLC densitograms of extracts of *Moringa oleifera*
(a) For aqueous extract [peak 1, 3 and 5; RT (0.24), GA (0.62) and QT (0.76)]
(b) For ethanolic extract [peak 1, 5 and 6; RT (0.23), GA (0.62) and QT (0.76)]
(c) Photo documentation of developed HPTLC plate Spots of standards (track 1 and 2), aqueous extract (track 3 and 4) and ethanolic extract (track 5 and 6)

QT: Quercetin, GA: Gallic acid, RT: Rutin, HPTLC: High performance thin layer chromatography
In comparison, the HPTLC method developed by us employs a fixed composition of mobile with quantitative measurement of the three biomarkers at 300 nm with effective separation leading to distinct bands for the three biomarkers with sufficient differences in their RF values. Moreover, the mobile phase optimized through a DoE approach and method validated as per standard guidelines make it a robust method for their simultaneous quantification.

CONCLUSION

A sensitive, accurate, and robust HPTLC method was developed for estimation of QT, RT, and GA in ethanolic and aqueous extracts of *Moringa oleifera* using a fixed composition of mobile phase [toluene: ethyl acetate: menthol: formic acid solution (4.9:4.1:2.0:0.5 v/v/v/v)] with densitometric analysis at 300 nm. The chromatographic conditions were optimized using a DoE approach and involved use of a regular two level factorial screening design for initial screening of method parameters followed by a CCD for optimization of selected CMPs using Design Expert software. The present study reports for the first time a constant composition of mobile phase for effective separation of QT, RT, and GA and was employed successfully for estimation of these biomarkers in *Moringa oleifera* extracts. This method may also be extended to estimation of these biomarkers in other herbal extracts, thereby reducing time, and may serve as a cost effective tool for analysis.
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