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Abstract

A large class of the recently found unimodular nonabelian homogeneous Yang-Baxter deformations of the AdS$_5 \times S^5$ superstring can be realized as sequences of noncommuting TsT transformations. I show that many of them are duals to various noncommutative versions of supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory, structurally determined directly in terms of the associated $r$ matrices, in line with previous expectations in the literature.
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1. Introduction

Integrable models arise throughout physics as useful case studies balancing complexity and solvability. In the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence [1], it is possible to perform detailed tests of this conjecture, and get remarkable insight into four dimensional planar gauge theory, based on the integrability of the AdS$_5 \times S^5$ superstring and its dual, planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory (SYM).\footnote{For reviews see e.g. [2, 3, 4].} Beyond appearing in further lower dimensional examples of AdS/CFT, integrability is also preserved by certain deformations of this canonical duality, such as the $\beta$ deformation of SYM dual to strings on the Lunin-Maldacena background [5, 6, 7]. Rather than looking for integrability in specific AdS/CFT dual pairs however, recent years have instead seen a focus on finding integrable deformations of just the AdS$_5 \times S^5$ string $\sigma$ model. Many such models can be generated as Yang-Baxter (YB) deformations [8, 9] of the string $\sigma$ model, introduced in [10].\footnote{A second type of deformation gives the $\lambda$ model [11, 12, 13] that can be naturally viewed as a deformation of the nonabelian $T$ dual of the $\sigma$ model.} The original YB deformation gives the $\eta$ model, which algebraically corresponds to quantum deforming the symmetry algebra of the string [10, 14]. This deformation is based on an inhomogeneous $r$ matrix solving the modified classical Yang-Baxter equation (mCYBE), but it can be generalized to homogeneous $r$ matrices solving the regular classical Yang-Baxter equation (CYBE) [15]. This leads to Drinfeld twisted symmetry [16]. Being manifestly integrable, the important questions are now: are the resulting models still string theories, and if so, do they have an AdS/CFT interpretation?

The first of these question has recently been answered in general. Namely, the resulting model is conformally invariant (represents a string) at one loop if and only if the associated $r$ matrix is unimodular [17]. At present no unimodular solution of the mCYBE is known, and indeed the $\eta$ model is not a string [18], nor are two closely related formulations with inequivalent backgrounds [19]. In terms of the CYBE, abelian $r$ matrices correspond to TsT transformations (Melvin twists) [20, 21], hence give string theories, and are trivially unimodular. The other previously studied case of bosonic jordanian $r$ matrices does not give string theories [22, 23, 24] – many of the models are in fact related to inhomogeneous $\eta$-type models by singular boosts [23] – and indeed is not unimodular.\footnote{The backgrounds of all YB models solve modified supergravity equations [25], as required by $\kappa$-symmetry [26].} It turns out that the symmetry algebra of AdS$_5$, $\mathfrak{so}(4,2)$, admits 17 inequivalent homogeneous nonabelian unimodular $r$ matrices of rank four, and at least one of rank six [17]. Including an 18th one that cannot be realized just within $\mathfrak{so}(4,2)$, these can all be extended using generators of $\mathfrak{so}(6)$, leading to many more options for the full bosonic symmetry algebra of the string. Their nonabelian structure always resides in $\mathfrak{so}(4,2)$ however. The associated deformations correspond to nonabelian $T$ duality in string theory [27, 28]. This leaves the question whether the resulting models have an AdS/CFT interpretation, and if so, what their duals are.

Before these new unimodular models were known, I conjectured that homogeneous YB deformations with a string theory interpretation are in general dual to noncommutative (NC) field theories [16]. In this picture, the twisted symmetry of these YB models is implemented on the field theory side by introducing $\star$ products in spacetime or (super)field space. This provides a uniform picture for many known AdS/CFT pairs such as the $\beta$ deformation dual to the Lunin-Maldacena background and canonical NC SYM and its gravity dual [29, 30], which can be realized as abelian YB deformations [31, 32, 22]. Here I will

\textit{Preprint submitted to Elsevier}  

\textit{HU-EP-16/35}

\textit{HU-MATH-16/19}
brieﬂy show that, as expected, this picture indeed applies to many of the new unimodular models.

Of the new deformations found in [17], I will consider those that: 1) have an “almost abelian” structure that allows them to be interpreted as sequences of noncommuting TsT transformations in string theory; 2) are generated by elements of $\mathfrak{so}(3,1) \subset \mathfrak{so}(4,2)$, meaning part of the symmetries of ten dimensional flat space. This covers 12 out of the 17 possible $\mathfrak{so}(4,2)$ rank four deformations, and the rank six deformation, given in [17]. This structure naturally suggests a deformation of flat space to place branes in, where an appropriate low energy limit gives either an open string picture for NC field theories of the desired type in the spirit of [33, 34], or as its dual precisely the associated YB deformation of AdS$_5 \times S^5$. In particular, on the ﬁeld theory side I show that the NC parameter $\theta$ is simply the $r$ matrix, providing an explicit match with the expected $\star$ product. As issues are known to arise in taking low energy ﬁeld theory limits when considering time-space noncommutativity (electric $B$ ﬁelds) [35], combinations involving such cases should be excluded however. Up to this restriction, the resulting pairs of theories are dual in the sense of AdS/CFT, at least in supersymmetric cases. I illustrate this construction explicitly for two examples.

This picture can be readily extended to include nonabelian $r$ matrices with generators of $\mathfrak{so}(6)$, also acting naturally on ﬂat space. From the TsT picture one expects to ﬁnd dipole deformations, see e.g. [36], of the above types of NC SYM. This is precisely in line with the general twist proposal of [16], cf. footnote 12 below.

In the next section I brieﬂy recall the AdS$_5 \times S^5$ string $\sigma$ model and its YB deformation, and the type of $r$ matrices to be considered, with two explicit nonabelian examples that can be realized via noncommuting TsT transformations. In section 3 I discuss the AdS/CFT interpretation of these almost abelian twisted models, with two explicit examples. I conclude with open questions.

2. Yang-Baxter $\sigma$ models

Homogeneous YB deformations of the AdS$_5 \times S^5$ superstring action are of the form $[10, 15]^5$

$$S = -\frac{T}{2} \int d\tau d\sigma \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{\eta} h^{\alpha\beta} - c^{\alpha\beta}) \text{st} \text{r}(A_{\alpha} d_{+J_{\beta}}),$$

(1)

where $J = (1 - \eta R_{g_o d_{+}})^{-1}(A)$ with $R_{g_o}(X) = g^{-1} R(gXg)^{-1} g$. The operator $R$ is a linear map from $g = \mathfrak{psu}(2,2|4)$ to itself. $\eta = 0$ ($R = 0$) corresponds to the undeformed AdS$_5 \times S^5$ superstring action of [37]. Now, provided $R$ is antisymmetric, $\text{st} \text{r}(R(m) + R(m)) = -\text{st} \text{r}(m R(n))$, and satisﬁes the classical Yang-Baxter equation (CYBE)

$$[R(m), R(n)] - R([R(m), n] + [m, R(n)]) = 0,$$

(2)

this deformed model is classically integrable and has a form of $\kappa$ symmetry.

These $R$ operators are related to $r$ matrices via a non-degenerate bilinear form on $\mathfrak{psu}(2,2|4)$, induced by the Killing form of $\mathfrak{su}(2,2|4)$. Using a matrix representation of $\mathfrak{su}(2,2|4)$

$$R(m) = s\text{Tr}_2(r(1 \otimes m)),$$

(3)

with

$$r = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} t^i \wedge t^j \in g \otimes g,$$

(4)

where the $t^i$ generate $g$, $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \wedge b = a \otimes b - b \otimes a$, and $s\text{Tr}_2$ denotes the supertrace over the second space in the tensor product. Equation (2) translates to equation (B.6) in matrix form. I will refer to both the operator $R$ and the matrix $r$ as the $r$ matrix.

A YB deformation preserves symmetries generated by those $t \in g$ for which

$$R([t, x]) = [t, R(x)] \ \forall x \in g.$$

(5)

These are symmetries of the $r$ matrix in the sense that

$$(\text{ad}_{a} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \text{ad}_{b}) r = 0,$$

(6)

where $\text{ad}$ denotes the adjoint action. The remaining symmetry is deformed by the Drinfeld twist associated to $r$ [16].

Given an $r$ matrix and a coset parametrization $g$, inverting $1 - \eta R_{g_o} d_{+}$ and comparing to the standard Green-Schwarz superstring action gives an explicit background for the $\sigma$ model.

Almost abelian $r$ matrices

I will consider rank$^6$ four $r$ matrices of the form [17]

$$r = a \wedge b + c \wedge d,$$

(7)

where the generators $a$, $b$, $c$ and $d$ generate a unimodular quasiﬁrobennius subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(4,2)$ [38]. Of the possible nonabelian cases I consider

- $\mathfrak{h}_3 \oplus \mathbb{R}$
  $$[c, a] = b$$

(8)

- $\mathfrak{e}_{3,0} \oplus \mathbb{R}$
  $$[c, a] = -b, \ [c, b] = a$$

(9)

- $\mathfrak{e}_{3,-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}$
  $$[c, a] = a, \ [c, b] = -b$$

(10)

$^6$The rank is the number of independent algebra elements used to construct it.
where I have indicated their defining Lie brackets. For all these, \([a, b] = 0\), and \(d\) is central. Due to above relations, \(c\) and \(d\) are symmetries of the \(r\) matrix.\(^7\) I will refer to these \(r\) matrices as “almost abelian”, compared to abelian \(r\) matrices constructed out of a set of commuting generators. It will be useful to split such \(r\) in two abelian pieces \(\vec{r}\) and \(\tilde{r}\) as
\[
r = \vec{r} + \tilde{r}, \quad \vec{r} = a \wedge b, \quad \tilde{r} = c \wedge d.
\]
(11)
The fact that \(\vec{r}\) is built out of generators of symmetries of \(\tilde{r}\) is also referred to as \(\tilde{r}\) being subordinate to \(\vec{r}\), see e.g. [39]. The rank six \(r\) matrix given in [17] is also of this form, i.e.
\[
r = \vec{r} + \tilde{r},
\]
(12)
where \(\vec{r}\) is subordinate to \(\tilde{r}\) and \(\vec{r}\), and \(\tilde{r}\) is subordinate to \(\vec{r}\), and all pieces are abelian. This structure makes it possible to directly construct the associated twists.

Examples
Consider\(^8\)
\[
r_1 = 2m_{+1} \wedge p_1 + \frac{1}{2} p_2 \wedge p_3 = \vec{r}_1 + \vec{r}_1,
\]
and
\[
r_2 = \frac{1}{2} p_1 \wedge p_2 + \frac{1}{2} m_{12} \wedge p_3 = \vec{r}_2 + \vec{r}_2,
\]
(13)
where the \(p\) and \(m\) denote translation and Lorentz generators of \(\mathfrak{so}(4,2)\) respectively (see Appendix A), and I use light cone coordinates \(x^\pm = x^0 \pm i x^1\). This \(r_1\) and \(r_2\) are examples of \(r\) matrices associated to \(\mathfrak{h}_3 \oplus \mathbb{R}\) and \(\mathfrak{t}_{3,0} \oplus \mathbb{R}\) as given above respectively.\(^9\)

The background of the \(\sigma\) model associated to \(r_1\) is [17]
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ds}_1^2 &= \frac{(dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2 + \eta^2 z^2 dx^- (2dx^2 - x^- dx^3)}{z^2 + \eta^2 z^2} \\
&\quad - \frac{dx^- dx^+ + dx^2}{z^2} + \frac{d\Omega_5^2}{\eta^2 z^2}, \\
B_1 &= \eta (dx^- z^- dx^+ - dx^3) \wedge dx^3
\end{align*}
\]
(15)
where \(d\Omega_5^2\) denotes the metric on \(S^5\) and \(x^\mu, z\) are Poincaré coordinates for AdS\(_5\). The background associated to \(r_2\) is
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ds}_2^2 &= \frac{z^4 (dp^2 + \rho^2 d\xi^2 + (dx^3)^2)}{z^2 + \eta^2 z^2 (\rho^2 + 1)} \\
&\quad + \frac{dx^2 - (dx^3)^2}{z^2} + \frac{d\Omega_5^2}{\eta^2 z^2}, \\
B_2 &= \eta \rho d\xi \wedge dp \wedge (dp - \rho d\xi) \\
&\quad + \frac{\rho d\xi \wedge dp}{z^2 + \eta^2 (\rho^2 + 1)}.
\end{align*}
\]
(16)

\(^7\)The \(r\) matrices associated to the fourth type of subalgebra in [17], \(\mathfrak{t}_{3,1} \subset \mathbb{R}\), do not have this property.

\(^8\)I use \(1/2\) times the \(r\) matrices used in [17], up to signs. Moreover, for dimensional reasons one might want to formally insist on separate deformation parameters for the separate terms. They can be set numerically equal by an \(\mathfrak{so}(4,2)\) automorphism however.

\(^9\)As \(\mathfrak{t}_{3,0} \oplus \mathbb{R}\) is an analytic continuation of \(\mathfrak{t}_{3,0} \oplus \mathbb{R}\) and this carries through the derivation, I will not consider an explicit example in this class. As discussed below, this formal continuation can have important consequences in the context of AdS/CFT however.

where \(\rho\) and \(\xi\) are the radial and angular coordinate in the \(\phi, \chi\) plane respectively, i.e. \(\xi = \arctan \frac{x_3}{x_1}\). Beyond their existence, I will not need the dilaton and RR fields that complete these to full string backgrounds.

Importantly, these backgrounds can be realized by sequences of noncommuting TsT transformations [17]. Denoting \(T\) duality along an isometry coordinate \(x\) by \(T_x\), and the dualized coordinate by \(\tilde{x}\), the first background is obtained from undeformed AdS\(_5\)\(\times\)S\(_5\) by the sequence
\[
T_\psi, \ w^+ \to w^+ - \eta \tilde{\psi}, \ T_\psi, \ T_{x^2}, \ x^3 \to x^3 - \eta \tilde{x}^2, \ T_{x^2},
\]
(17)
where the first TsT transformation corresponding to \(\vec{r}_1\) uses coordinates
\[
x^+ = 2(\psi^2 w^- + w^+), \quad x^- = 2w^- - x_3 = -2\psi w^-.
\]
(18)
The second background corresponds to
\[
T_{x^1}, \ x^2 \to x^2 - \eta \tilde{x}^1, \ T_{x^1}, \ T_\xi, \ x^3 \to x^3 - \eta \tilde{\xi}, \ T_\xi.
\]
(19)

Similar considerations apply to any \(r\) matrix of the above types [17], see also footnote 12 below. An abelian building block such as \(\tilde{r} = \frac{1}{2} a \wedge b\) is associated to a TsT transformation in \((y^{(a)}, y^{(b)})\), meaning dualization in \(y^{(a)}\) and shifting \(y^{(b)}\), where \(y^{(b)}\) denotes the coordinate dual to \(z\) [20].\(^10\) The sequence of the TsT transformations is determined by the subordinate structure. Let me now discuss the AdS/CFT interpretation of these almost abelian deformed strings.

3. AdS/CFT

Since the symmetries of these deformed strings are Drinfeld twisted, their hypothetical AdS/CFT duals should be able to realize twisted symmetry. This naturally leads to NC field theory, see e.g. the reviews [40, 41]. Briefly, on the field theory side \(\mathfrak{so}(4,2)\) is a spacetime symmetry, acting on the algebra of functions (fields) on Minkowski space. To define Drinfeld twisted \(\mathfrak{so}(4,2)\) symmetry one needs to work with a different module, a deformed algebra of functions. Indeed, \(F \in \mathcal{U}(g) \otimes \mathcal{U}(g)\) can be used to define a twisted product between functions
\[
f \ast g \equiv \mu \circ F^{-1}(f \otimes g),
\]
(20)
where we understand \(\mathfrak{so}(4,2)\) to be realized in terms of differential operators, see Appendix A, and \(\mu(f \otimes g) = fg\) denotes formal multiplication. For more details on twists, see Appendix B. Taking \(f\) and \(g\) to be the coordinate functions on Minkowski space, this gives the basic NC structure of the theory as
\[
[x^\mu \ast x^\nu] \equiv x^\mu \ast x^\nu - x^\nu \ast x^\mu.
\]
(21)

\(^10\)For instance, in terms of the coordinates above, \(r_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\phi \wedge \partial_{\psi^3} + \partial_2 \wedge \partial_3)\) and \(r_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_1 \wedge \partial_2 + \partial_\xi \wedge \partial_3)\).
For almost abelian $r$ matrices,
\[
F = e^{i\eta r} e^{i\eta\bar{r}}
\]  
(22)
is an associated twist, matching the picture of the associated deformations being equivalent to a TsT transformation associated to $\bar{r}$, followed by applying the TsT transformations associated to $r$, the generators of $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}(y^a \otimes y^\alpha)$ should give the backgrounds of the corresponding deformations.

Limit of open strings stretching between D3 branes, while the full twist is needed. For the rank six case we simply add a third term corresponding to an equivalent model in the AdS/CFT context. I will not lead to an inequivalent model in the AdS/CFT context. I will not address this interesting point in more detail here – it already applies to the algebraically equivalent abelian cases $p_i \wedge p_j$ and $k_i \wedge k_j$ for instance.

Twists for $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}$. This takes out $\alpha^1 \gamma r$, $\alpha^2 \gamma r$, $\alpha^3 \gamma$.

In a conventional picture of the AdS/CFT correspondence, this structure should arise out of the low energy limit of open strings stretching between D3 branes, while the near horizon low energy limit of the same configuration should give the backgrounds of the corresponding deformed $r$ models. To get a NC field theory in this picture, the background in which the branes are placed needs to be deformed. Restricting to $r$ matrices which only involve generators of $\mathfrak{is}(3, 1)$ as acting on $\mathbb{R}^{1, 2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{1, 4}$, finding such deformed backgrounds is not complicated. Namely, they follow by applying the TsT transformations associated to an $r$ matrix, directly to flat space. In the spirit of [34], this gives an effective open string geometry corresponding to a field theory which is indeed noncommutative. In fact, its NC structure is encoded directly in the $r$ matrix.

\[^{11}\text{This takes out } r_2 \text{ and } r_6 \text{ in [17], which involve generators outside of any one } \mathfrak{is}(3, 1) \text{ subalgebra. Any } \mathfrak{is}(3, 1) \text{ } r \text{ matrix that is connected by an inner automorphism to the } \mathbb{R}^{1, 4} \text{ we give an equivalent } r \text{ model, where the latter offers the natural choice of frame within its equivalence class, also on the field theory side. An automorphism that leaves the Poincaré patch, however, may a priori lead to an inequivalent model in the AdS/CFT context. I will not address this interesting point in more detail here – it already applies to the algebraically equivalent abelian cases } p_i \wedge p_j \text{ and } k_i \wedge k_j \text{ for instance.}
\]

TsT transformations in the open string picture

To see the link between TsT transformations and the open string NC structure, consider the $O(D, D)$ formulation of TsT transformations (see e.g. [21] in the present context). Under a TsT transformation with shift parameter $\gamma$, an arbitrary background $E_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + B_{\mu\nu}$ with sufficient isometries transforms as

\[
E \rightarrow \tilde{E} = E (1 + \Gamma E)^{-1} = \tilde{g} + \tilde{B},
\]  
(27)

where

\[
\Gamma = -2\gamma r,
\]  
(28)

with the abelian $r$ matrix $r$ realized in terms of differential operators as in equation (24), i.e. $\Gamma_{\mu\nu} = -2\gamma a^\mu a^\nu$. Of course, here $r$ matrices are just convenient bookkeeping devices to label TsT transformations [20, 21]. Following [33, 34], see also [42], the effective open string geometry attached to such a metric and $B$ field is

\[
\begin{align*}
G^{\mu\nu} &= \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{E}}\right)^{\nu\mu}_{\ S}, \\
\theta^{\mu\nu} &= 2\pi a^\mu \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{E}}\right)(\nu\mu)_{\ A},
\end{align*}
\]  
(29)

In other words, $\theta = -4\pi a^\alpha \gamma r$. Doing a second TsT transformations simply adds the appropriate $r$ matrix term, and hence the above holds for a sequence of TsT transformations. These transformations are not required to commute. Of course it must be possible to find coordinates in the geometry such that the added TsT transformation can actually be performed. This is precisely the case with almost abelian $r$ matrices – the TsT associated to $r$ preserves the symmetries needed to do the TsT associated to $\bar{r}$, which both preserve the symmetries needed for $\bar{r}$ in the rank six case. Hence at any stage in the sequence there is a choice of coordinates that permits the desired TsT transformation.\(^\text{12}\)

To get to a field theory from the open strings requires taking a low energy $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$ limit. With $\tilde{\gamma} = \alpha' \gamma$ fixed, this gives finite noncommutativity

\[
\theta = -4\pi \tilde{\gamma} r,
\]  
(31)

\[^{12}\text{With this structure, the proof of equivalence between sequences of commuting TsT transformations and abelian } r \text{ matrices of [21] immediately extends to the almost abelian case, providing a rigorous version of the arguments of [17]. Moreover, dipole deformations – also obtained via TsT transformations, now involving } S^5 \text{ or } R^6 \text{ respectively – can be similarly viewed in terms of a twisted product [36] associated to a noncommutativity parameter. Combining this with the spacetime noncommutativity parameter above then gives a combination of the two types of deformation. For compatible TsT transformations this noncommutativity parameter is again just the } r \text{ matrix, in line with the general twist picture.}
\]
where\(^\text{13}\)
\[ [y^\mu \ast y^\nu] = i\theta^{\mu\nu}. \] (32)

Note that \(\theta\) need not be constant. There is one caveat in taking this limit: there are TsT setups where there are physical obstructions to taking this naive low energy limit, such as the would-be setup for canonical time-space NC SYM [35], corresponding to a TsT transformation involving time (giving electric components in the \(B\) field). There one gets four dimensional NC open string theory instead [35]. Its gravitational dual [44] is also different from there one gets four dimensional NC open string theory involving time (giving electric components in the \(B\) field).

Closed string picture

The closed string geometry follows by applying the TsT transformations to the brane geometry directly. This limits to the appropriate YB \(\sigma\) model background by construction, as the TsT transformations only involve \(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}\). Hence, abelian YB deformed strings are dual to NC versions of SYM, in line with the proposal of [16]. Let me illustrate this general picture on the two examples above.

Examples – \(r_1 - \mathbb{R}_3 \oplus \mathbb{R}\)

In the conventions of [30], the standard D3 brane metric is given by\(^\text{14}\)
\[
ds^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{f}}(dx^\mu dx^\mu + \sqrt{f}(dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_5^2)),
B = 0, \quad f(r) = 1 + (\alpha' R^2)^2/r^4. \tag{33}
\]

Applying transformations (17) with \(\gamma\) instead of \(\eta\) gives
\[
ds^2 = \frac{\gamma^2 x^- dx^- (2dx^2 - x^- dx^-) + f ((dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2)}{\sqrt{f + \gamma^2}} - \frac{dx^+ dx^-}{\sqrt{f}} + \sqrt{f}(dx^2 + \gamma^2 d\Omega_5^2), \tag{34}
\]
For the near horizon low energy limit, take \(r = \alpha' R^2/z, \bar{\eta} = \gamma\alpha'\) fixed, and \(\alpha' \to 0\), to find
\[
ds^2 = \frac{(dx^2)^2}{\alpha' R^2} + \frac{(dx^3)^2}{\alpha' R^2} + \frac{\gamma^2 x^- dx^- (2dx^2 - x^- dx^-)/z^4}{z^2 + \gamma^2 R^4/z^2} + \frac{-dx^- dx^+ + dz^2}{z^2} + d\Omega_5^2,
B = \frac{\bar{\eta} R^2 (dx^2 - x^- dx^-)}{(\gamma^2 R^4 + z^4)}, \tag{35}
\]
which, as expected, is precisely the background of the YB \(\sigma\) model associated to \(r_1\) as in eqn. (15), with radius \(R = \sqrt{\alpha' R}\) reinstated, and \(\eta = \bar{\eta} R^2 = \gamma\sqrt{\lambda}\), where \(\lambda\) is the ‘t Hooft coupling. This background admits eight real supercharges.

To see the geometry the branes are placed in, consider instead the limit \(r \to \infty\) to get
\[
ds^2 = \frac{(dx^2)^2}{1 + \gamma^2} - \frac{dx^+ dx^-}{1 + \gamma^2} + \frac{dy_k dy_k}{y_k}, \tag{36}
B = \frac{\gamma}{1 + \gamma^2} (-x^- dx^- \wedge dx^3 + dx^2 \wedge dx^3),
\]
where the \(y_k, k = 1, \ldots, 6\), are cartesian coordinates for \(\mathbb{R}^6\). This is just the result of applying the above sequence of TsT transformations to flat space directly. In the low energy limit \(\alpha' \to 0\) with \(\gamma = \gamma\alpha'\) fixed, the effective open string geometry of equations (29) becomes\(^\text{15}\)
\[\theta = -2\pi \gamma (2x^- \partial x^+ \wedge \partial x^3 + \partial x_+ \wedge \partial x_3) = -4\pi \gamma r_1, \tag{37}\]
and \(G\) a flat ten dimensional metric. This corresponds to noncommutativity of the kind
\[
[x^+ \ast x^3] = -4\pi i \gamma x^-, \quad [x^2 \ast x^3] = -2\pi i \gamma, \tag{38}
\]
matching equations (25) where we should use \(\bar{\eta}\) instead of \(\eta\), with deformation parameters related by the effective string tension \(T = \sqrt{\lambda}/2\pi\). This is the same relation as one finds for canonical NC SYM\(^\text{16}\) or the \(\beta\) deformation.

\(^{13}\)In general one should use the Kontsevich formula [43, 42] here, giving higher order terms in agreement with the twisted product. This linear formula holds for rank four \(r\) matrices in appropriate coordinates.

\(^{14}\)The remaining supergravity fields do not affect our considerations. They are guaranteed to exist as all we are doing is T dualities and field redefinitions, in fact following immediately from them.

\(^{15}\)In this limit we effectively get \(B \sim \gamma^{-1}\) and hence \(\theta \sim 1/B\), as in [34]. Moreover, note that there is no critical value for \(\gamma\) at which \(B\) blows up, as there would be for canonical space-time noncommutativity [35]. There the \(p_0 \wedge p_1\) type TsT transformation gives a \(B\) field proportional to \((1 - r^{-2})^{-1}\).\(^\text{15}\)Canonical NC SYM is contained in our present considerations. Concretely, rescaling \(x^\mu \to bx^\mu\), \(z \to bz\) in the present deformation of AdS\(_5 \times S^5\) and considering the limit \(b \to 0\) with \(b^{-2}\eta = a^2\) constant gives the gravity dual of canonical NC SYM in the conventions of [30]. In this limit the commutator \([x^+ \ast x^3]\) correspondingly vanishes, leaving only the standard \((x_2, x_3)\) noncommutativity. To make contact with [30] at the level of the brane geometry directly, rescale \(x^2\) and \(x^3\) by \(\cos \theta\) and identify \(\gamma = \tan \theta\).
Examples \(- r_2 - v_{3,-1} \oplus \mathbb{R} \)

The situation for the second example is completely analogous. Applying transformations (19) to the brane background gives

\[
ds^2 = \frac{f(dp^2 + \rho^2 d\xi^2 + (dx^3)^2)}{\sqrt{f + \gamma^2(1 + \rho^2)}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{f}}(dx^0)^2 + \sqrt{f}(dx^2 + v^2 d\Omega_5^2),
\]

matching equations (26). This is just a combination of canonical \((x_1, x_2)\) NC SYM and its \((\xi, x^3)\) analogue of [46], in both the field theory and string pictures, matching the TsT structure. Similar explicit constructions can be readily pursued for the other \(r\) matrices listed in [17].

Remarks regarding supersymmetry

The brane picture discussed above should be stable to provide a notion of duality. This would be guaranteed by supersymmetry of the backgrounds. There are unimodular deformations that preserve a quarter of the original superstring, such as the first example above. Others do not preserve any supersymmetry, however, and here the proposed duality may well break down due to quantum effects. The \(\gamma_i\) deformation [7], a three parameter generalization of the \(\beta\) deformation that breaks all supersymmetry, is an illustrative example. Here conformal symmetry is broken even in the planar limit of the field theory [47]. Correspondingly, without supersymmetry certain string modes are expected to become tachyonic and lead to a deformation of AdS\(_5\). Similar subtleties presumably affect (some of) the nonsupersymmetric cases here as well. Even in these cases some notion of duality may nevertheless remain – despite lacking a clean AdS/CFT picture, for the \(\gamma_i\) deformation spectra can be matched for a large class of states in the planar limit [48, 49].

There are some almost abelian deformation that involve generators of the conformal algebra that do not have a natural action in the brane geometry. It is important to understand whether and if so, how, one can find similar brane constructions for them. The same applies to their abelian building blocks already. This has been done for the abelian twist of SYM on \(\mathbb{R} \times S^3\) built out of the Cartan generators of \(so(4) \subset so(4,2)\) [50]. Beyond almost abelian deformations, there are nonabelian unimodular deformations that cannot be represented as sequences of TsT transformations, instead requiring nonabelian T duality. It would be interesting to provide explicit open string pictures for the NC structures expected to be associated to these, and, at the algebraic level, to construct the associated twists. In this case the open string noncommutativity should be equal to the \(r\) matrix as well. It is also worth mentioning that general YB models can be viewed as adding a \(B\) field equal to the inverse of the \(r\) matrix in a nonabelian T dual picture [28] which appears closely related to the present picture for almost abelian models, where a \(B\) field equal to the \(r\) matrix is added to the “inverse” geometry. The latter applies both before and after the near horizon limit, and it would be interesting to see how the former carries through the brane construction. Moreover, it is important to understand whether in the Poincaré patch there is a physical distinction between \(r\) matrices related by inner automorphisms that leave this patch. Clarifying the meaning of generic unimodular YB models with electric \(B\) field in string theory and AdS/CFT is also relevant.

In broader terms, it would be great to classify the possible unimodular deformations of AdS\(_5\) \(\times S^5\) for the full superalgebra \(\mathfrak{psu}(2,2|4)\), and investigate their AdS/CFT duals in detail. In particular, it would be nice to understand conclusively whether an inhomogeneous but unimodular \(r\) matrix exists. One might hope that at least an \(r\) matrix exists that becomes unimodular in a contraction limit [51], as there is a natural string candidate there [52, 53].

Nonunimodular models may prove worth further investigation as well, as they are formally T dual to string models [55, 25, 27] and it would be interesting to see what they correspond to. Finally, while the spectrum of the \(\eta\) model can be found (assuming formal light-cone gauge fixing) [56], it remains an important open question to understand homogeneous deformed models, beyond those based on the Cartan subalgebra, see e.g. [49], at the quantum level.

### Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Riccardo Borsato, Ben Hoare, and Linus Wulf for discussions, and Arkady Tseytlin, Ricardo Borsato, Ben Hoare, and Linus Wulf for comments on the draft. I am supported by L.T. I acknowledge further

---

\(^{17}\)There is in fact a jordanian deformation of AdS\(_5\) \(\times S^5\), i.e. not a string, which contracts to a jordanian deformation of flat space [23] (see also [54]) that does solve supergravity [23].
Appendix A. The conformal algebra

The four dimensional conformal algebra, $\mathfrak{so}(4,2)$, can be represented as

\[
[m_{\mu\nu}, p_{\rho}] = \eta_{\rho\tau}p_{\mu\tau} - \eta_{\rho\nu}p_{\mu\nu}, \quad [m_{\mu\nu}, k_{\rho}] = \eta_{\rho\tau}k_{\mu\tau} - \eta_{\rho\nu}k_{\mu\nu},
\]

\[
[m_{\mu\nu}, k_{\rho}] = 0, \quad [D, p_{\mu}] = p_{\mu}, \quad [D, K_{\mu}] = -K_{\mu},
\]

\[
[p_{\mu}, k_{\rho}] = 2m_{\mu\rho} + 2\eta_{\mu\nu}D,
\]

\[
[m_{\mu\nu}, m_{\rho\sigma}] = \eta_{\mu\rho}m_{\nu\sigma} + \text{perms}.
\]

These antihermitian generators can be realized as differential operators on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ as

\[
p_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu}, \quad k_{\mu} = x_{\alpha}x^\alpha \partial_{\mu} - 2x_{\mu}x^\nu \partial_{\nu},
\]

\[
m_{\mu\nu} = x_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} - x_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}D,
\]

where the $p$ generate translations, the $m$ rotations and boosts, and the $k$ special conformal transformations, or for instance in the fundamental representation of $\mathfrak{su}(2,2) \simeq \mathfrak{so}(4,2)$ as

\[
p_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_\mu - \gamma_\mu \gamma_4), \quad k_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_\mu + \gamma_\mu \gamma_4),
\]

\[
m_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\mu\nu}, \quad D = \frac{1}{2}\gamma_4.
\]

Here the $\gamma^i$ are $4 \times 4$ $\gamma$ matrices, for instance

\[
\gamma^0 = i\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_0, \quad \gamma^1 = \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_2, \quad \gamma^2 = -\sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_1, \quad \gamma^3 = \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_0, \quad \gamma^4 = \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_3, \quad \gamma^5 = -i\gamma^0,
\]

where $\sigma_0 = 1_{2\times2}$ and the remaining $\sigma_i$ are the Pauli matrices.

Appendix B. Drinfeld twists and $r$ matrices

Consider the standard Hopf algebra associated to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, the universal enveloping algebra of a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, with coproduct $\Delta$, counit $\epsilon$ and antipode $s$. A Drinfeld twist $F$ is an invertible element of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ which satisfies the cocycle condition [57, 58]

\[
(F \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)F = (1 \otimes F)(1 \otimes \Delta)F,
\]

and normalization condition

\[
(\epsilon \otimes 1)F = (1 \otimes \epsilon)F = 1 \otimes 1.
\]

Moreover, for $F$ to represent a deformation,

\[
F = 1 \otimes 1 + i\eta F^{(1)} + \mathcal{O}(\eta^2),
\]

where $\eta$ is a deformation parameter.\(^{18}\) Let us express $F$ as a sum of terms in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$

\[
F = f^\beta \otimes f_\beta, \quad F^{-1} = f^\beta \otimes f_\beta,
\]

where $f^\beta, f_\beta, \tilde{f}^\beta$, and $\tilde{f}_\beta$ denote in principle distinct elements of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, and we have an implicit (infinite) sum over $\beta$. $F$ can now be used to deform (twist) the Hopf algebra by changing the original coproduct and antipode $s$ to

\[
\Delta_F(X) = F(\Delta(X))F^{-1}, \quad s_F(X) = f^\alpha s(f_\alpha)s(X)s(\tilde{f}^\beta)\tilde{f}_\beta.
\]

The cocycle condition on $F$ guarantees coassociativity of the twisted coproduct, as well as associativity of the $\ast$ product used in the main text.

A classical $r$ matrix for $\mathfrak{g}$ is an $r \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ that solves the classical Yang-Baxter equation

\[
[r_{12}, r_{13}] + [r_{12}, r_{23}] + [r_{13}, r_{23}] = 0.
\]

Here $r_{mn}$ denotes the matrix realization of $r$ acting in spaces $m$ and $n$ in a tensor product. Classical $r$ matrices are in one to one correspondence with Drinfeld twists in the following sense [57] (see also e.g. [59]). First, the classical $r$ matrix

\[
r_{12} = \frac{1}{2}(F^{(1)}_{12} - F^{(1)}_{21}),
\]

solves the CYBE. Second, twists that have the same classical $r$ matrix give equivalent deformations of the algebra. Third, a twist exists for any solution of the CYBE, though a general explicit construction is not known.

**Almost abelian twists**

Given an abelian $r$ matrix such as $\hat{r}$ one can define an associated abelian twist $\hat{F}$ as

\[
\hat{F} = e^{ir^\alpha},
\]

which is readily verified to satisfy the required properties. Due to the special structure of almost abelian $r$ matrices one can then define a twist for $r = \hat{r} + r^\prime$ as

\[
F = \hat{F}\hat{F}.
\]

This construction works due identities like $\text{ad}_{r_{13} + r_{23}}\hat{r}_{12} = 0$ which hold thanks to the possible defining commutation relations (8-9). For the rank six case of the main text, $F = \hat{F}\hat{F}$.

\(^{18}\)Here I flipped the inconsecutiveual sign of the deformation parameter with respect to the $\sigma$ model twist in the conventions of [16], to have the structures in the main text match directly.
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