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ABSTRACT

Objectives To describe the distribution of health-related quality of life (HRQL) in a national sample of Australian children aged 11–12 years and their parents, and examine associations within parent–child dyads.

Design The Child Health CheckPoint, a population-based cross-sectional study nested between waves 6 and 7 of the Longitudinal Study of Australian Children (LSAC).

Setting Assessment centres in seven Australian cities and eight regional towns, or home visit; February 2015 to March 2016.

Participants Of all participating CheckPoint families (n=1874), 1853 children (49.0% girls) and 1863 parents (87.7% mothers) with HRQL data were included (1786 pairs).

Outcome measures HRQL was self-reported using preference-based (Child Health Utility 9 Dimension, CHU9D) and non-preference-based (Pediatric Quality of Life, PedsQL V.4.0) measures for children and preference-based measures for parents (CHU9D; Assessment of Quality of Life 8 Dimension, AQLQ8-D). Utility scores from preference-based measures were calculated using existing Australian algorithms to present a score on a 0–1 scale, where 1 represents full health. Parent–child concordance was assessed using Pearson’s correlation coefficients and adjusted linear regression models. Survey weights and methods were applied to account for LSAC’s complex sample design, stratification and clustering within postcodes.

Results Children’s means and SD were 0.81 (SD 0.16) for CHU9D and 78.3 (SD 13.03) for PedsQL. In adults, mean HRQL for AQLQ8-8D and CHU9D were 0.78 (SD 0.16) and 0.89 (SD 0.10), respectively. Mean HRQL was similar for boys and girls, but slightly higher for fathers than mothers. The Pearson correlation coefficient for parent–child CHU9D values was 0.13 (95% CI 0.09 to 0.18). Percentiles and concordance are presented for both samples for males and females separately and together.

Conclusions We provide Australian paediatric population values for HRQL measures, and the first national CHU9D values for mid-life adults. At age 11–12 years in this relatively healthy sample, parent–child concordance in HRQL was small.

Strengths and limitations of this study

► This large cross-sectional study provides Australian reference data for future studies investigating health-related quality of life (HRQL).

► This is first study to identify the distribution of HRQL values using the Child Health Utility 9 Dimension (CHU9D) in preadolescent children and mid-life adults in Australia.

► This is also the first study to investigate concordance of preference-based HRQL in parent–child dyads, including both mother–child and father–child estimates.

► Our adult study sample contained a large proportion of mothers, limiting the precision of descriptive and concordance estimates for fathers.

► Families living in disadvantaged neighbourhoods were under-represented.

INTRODUCTION

Measurements of health-related quality of life (HRQL) using patient-rated questionnaires are commonly used when assessing the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of healthcare interventions. HRQL can be summarised as a multidimensional construct that measures the impact of health or disease on physical and psychosocial functioning. Various HRQL instruments exist, their main differences being the way in which they describe health, the number and types of dimensions and whether they are preference or non-preference based. Non-preference-based measures provide equal weight to each dimension or weight dimensions simply by the number and types of dimensions and/or dimensions. Preference-based measures use preference weights to assign relative importance to different items included within them.
represents the respondents’ health status at that point in time. Preference-based measures generally produce an overall score that ranges from a state of health equivalent to full or perfect health (1) to a state of health equivalent to being dead (0), and often to states considered worse than death (<0). Generic preference-based measures are the most widely used mechanism to calculate quality-adjusted life years (QALYs). QALYs express the benefits of healthcare treatment and preventative programmes in a common metric and guide healthcare resource allocation decisions. The underlying strength of the QALY is that it facilitates comparison across diseases and conditions.

Most preference-based HRQL measures have been developed for adults. Multiple measures are available for use in adult populations, many with preference weights developed for Australia. The child HRQL literature is less developed, and researchers have been reluctant to transfer the principles and definitions of adult HRQL to child HRQL. Measuring HRQL in childhood is difficult due to the dynamic nature of physical, emotional and cognitive changes with development. The language and content of children’s HRQL measures need to apply to a range of developmental stages.

A recent review conducted by Chen and Ratcliffe identified nine generic preference-based instruments available internationally for use in paediatric populations. Among these instruments, the Child Health Utility 9 Dimension (CHU9D) is a relatively newly developed paediatric generic preference-based measure of HRQL. It was developed in England, and has preference weights available for multiple countries, including Australia. The nine dimensions were derived from qualitative interviews with children aged 7–11 years with a wide range of acute and chronic health conditions. These dimensions (worried, sad, pain, tired, annoyed, schoolwork, sleep, daily routine and ability to join in activities) encapsulate attributes of health most relevant to children. The CHU9D can also be adapted for adult completion by rephrasing the school work domain as work. Since the development of Australian preference weights, the CHU9D’s use in Australian children has mostly been limited to validation and condition-specific studies. Its use in adult samples is still preliminary in Australia and elsewhere (Katherine Stevens, personal communication, 2017). No national studies have reported CHU9D values for the entire Australian population. Population norms of HRQL are important as they provide a base level and can be used for comparison purposes with other samples.

Observational studies have shown that the HRQL of parents of children with chronic conditions are significantly below population norms. Intervention studies have shown that paediatric interventions that improve child health can also improve parental HRQL. However, these studies have measured child and parent HRQL with different instruments and in disease-specific contexts; the association between child and parent HRQL in the general population is yet to be explored using comparable measures (Catchpool Major Report Master of Health Economics, Deakin University, 2016). To determine concordance for HRQL the same instrument and scoring algorithm must be applied, otherwise any differences could be attributed to the different scoring techniques. If an association exists between child and parental HRQL, then this could provide useful information for health professionals and researchers in terms of increasing the focus on parental HRQL in child and adolescent health and on children’s HRQL in adult health services.

The Longitudinal Study of Australian Children’s (LSAC) Child Health CheckPoint offers a unique opportunity to examine these associations. We aimed to describe the distribution of HRQL in a population-representative sample of Australian children aged 11–12 years and their parents, and the concordance between parents and children.

METHODS

Study design and participants

Details of the initial LSAC study design and recruitment are outlined elsewhere. Briefly, LSAC recruited a nationally representative sample of 5107 Australian infants using a two-stage random sample design with postcode as the primary sampling unit, and has since conducted a further six biennial data collection waves. The initial recruitment rate in 2004 was 57.2%, of whom 73.7% (n=3764) were retained to LSAC wave 6 in 2014. During the wave 6 visit, families were introduced to the upcoming detailed cross-sectional biophysical assessment (the Child Health CheckPoint), and 3513 families consented to their contact details being shared with the CheckPoint team for the purpose of recruitment into the module. CheckPoint took place between February 2015 and March 2016 at child age 11–12 years. Data completeness was high and participants were included in the current analyses if they contributed any HRQL data that could be scored (figure 1). The scoring algorithm of each instrument specify how missing data are treated. The CHU9D required no missing data for a total score to be calculated. The Assessment of Quality of Life 8 Dimension (AQoL-8D) scoring algorithm allows a limited number of missing values be imputed within each of its dimensions. The Pediatric Quality of Life (PedsQL) allows two to four missing values in each subscale for a mean score to be calculated. Non-biological child–parent pairs were excluded from the concordance analyses. A more detailed description of the CheckPoint study design is available elsewhere.

Consent

The attending parent/caregiver provided written informed consent for themselves and their child to participate in the study.

Procedure

Child and parent participants attended a ‘pop-up’ assessment centre for a 3½ hour (capital and large cities)
or 2½ hour (smaller regional centres) visits; those who were unable or declined to attend the centre were offered a 1½ hour home visit (figure 1). At the assessment centres, participants rotated through various data collection stations every 15 min. Throughout the visit, each participant completed a detailed questionnaire administered on an iPad using the Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) tool during waiting periods. This included the HRQL measures that are the topic of this paper.

**Outcome measures**

Each respondent completed two self-rated HRQL measures as part of a larger questionnaire. The children’s questionnaires were the preference-based CHU9D and the non-preference-based PedsQL V.4.0 measures. The adult measures were both preference-based measures, the CHU9D and the AQoL-8D.

The CHU9D is a paediatric generic preference-based measure of HRQL. It consists of a nine-item descriptive system and a set of preference weights, giving utility values for each health state described by the descriptive system, allowing the calculation of QALYs for use in cost utility analysis. Scoring algorithms for the CHU9D have been updated between publications and differ between countries. Although the CHU9D descriptive system was developed for young people, the original scoring algorithm for the CHU9D was based on adult general population values using the standard gamble method. More recently, Australian adolescent and adult scoring algorithms were developed using best worst scaling methods. Both techniques generate a score, that is, on the range of equivalence from perfect health (1) to being dead (0). To assess the distribution of HRQL data using the CHU9D, the adolescent scoring algorithm was applied to child data and the adult scoring algorithm on the adult data. However, to assess concordance of HRQL among child–parent dyads using the CHU9D, a common scoring algorithm needed to be applied. If this does not occur, any differences between HRQL of children and HRQL of their parents may be because of the different scoring methods used. Therefore, the adolescent algorithm was also applied to the parent CHU9D data, solely for the purposes of the intergenerational concordance analysis of this study.

The PedsQL is a standardised generic assessment instrument with versions that assess children’s and parent’s perception of child HRQL. Children aged 5–18 years can complete the child self-report section of the questionnaire. Unlike the CHU9D, the PedsQL is not preference based and each of its 23 items have an equal weight in calculating the total score. Therefore, the PedsQL cannot be used directly in the calculation of a QALY. US population normative data indicate high levels of internal consistency. In this study, the Generic Core Scale score from the PedsQL V.4.0 is reported.

The AQoL-8D contains 35 items and comprises eight separately scored dimensions, consisting of independent living, relationships, mental health, coping, pain, senses, self-worth and life satisfaction. Like the CHU9D, the AQoL-8D is a multiattribute utility instrument. This means that there is a separate utility scoring algorithm allowing the calculation of utility values for each participant and

---

**Table 1** Sample characteristics, stratified by sex; values are weighted mean (SD), except where specified as %

| Characteristic | All | Male | Female |
|---------------|-----|------|--------|
| **Child**     |     |      |        |
| n             | 1853| 945  | 908    |
| Age, years    | 12.0 (0.4) | 12.0 (0.4) | 12.0 (0.4) |
| BMI, kg/m²    | 19.5 (3.7) | 19.3 (3.8) | 19.7 (3.7) |
| BMI z-score   | 0.37 (1.09) | 0.34 (0.99) | 0.39 (0.99) |
| Disadvantage index | 1009 (62) | 1007 (62) | 1010 (62) |
| **Parent**    |     |      |        |
| n             | 1863| 229  | 1634   |
| Age, years    | 43.6 (5.6) | 46.4 (7.1) | 43.3 (5.3) |
| BMI, kg/m²    | 28.4 (6.4) | 28.9 (4.9) | 28.3 (6.6) |

BMI, body mass index; Disadvantage index, index of relative socioeconomic disadvantage; n, number of participants.
QALYs. The utility algorithm used in the current study is derived from the Australian general population.26

Potential confounders

Body mass index

Recent evidence indicates that primary school students’ adjusted mean utilities for HRQL are lower for overweight or obese students than healthy-weight students.12 Body mass index (BMI) was calculated from measured height and weight values (kg/m²). For children, z score was calculated according to US Centers for Disease Control reference values,28 using the Stata ‘zanthro’ function.

Socioeconomic indexes for areas

Neighbourhood socioeconomic position is a potential confounder of HRQL.29 We used the socioeconomic indexes for areas (SEIFA) index of relative socioeconomic disadvantage (disadvantage index) score, a standardised score by geographic area (in this case, postcode of residence) compiled from 2011 Australian census data. The disadvantage index numerically summarises the social and economic conditions of Australian neighbourhoods (national mean of 1000 and a SD of 100, where higher values represent less disadvantage).30

Child date of birth (DOB) and sex were imported into LSAC from Medicare Australia’s enrolment database. Attending parent DOB was self-reported by parent questionnaire. Age was calculated to nearest week from the participant’s DOB and date of assessment. Parent sex was self-reported.

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using Stata V.14.2. HRQL measures were described for all children and adults (ie, regardless of relationship to child) for males and females separately and combined, using means and SD, percentiles and density plots. Population summary statistics and proportions were estimated by applying survey weights and survey procedures that corrected for sampling, participation and non-response biases, and took into account clustering in the sampling frame. SEs were calculated taking into account the complex design and weights.31 More detail on the calculation of weights is provided elsewhere.20

Concordance between HRQL of parents and HRQL of children for the CHU9D was assessed using (1) Pearson’s correlation coefficients with 95% CIs and (2) linear regression with the child HRQL as the dependent variable and parent HRQL as the independent variable, adjusted for parent age, child age, disadvantage index, as well as parent and child sex in models including both sexes. These same analyses were repeated using weighted multilevel survey analyses. As these results were similar, only the unweighted results are reported for concordance. As a sensitivity analysis, we repeated all analyses using child and adult CHU9D data valued with the adult algorithm.

Patient and public involvement

Because LSAC is a population-based longitudinal study, no patient groups were involved in its design or conduct. To our knowledge, the public was not involved in the study design, recruitment or conduct of the LSAC study or its CheckPoint module. Parents received a summary health report for their child and themselves at or soon after the assessment visit. They consented to take part knowing that they would not otherwise receive individual results about themselves or their child.

RESULTS

Sample characteristics

Of the 1874 families who participated, at least one HRQL measure was available for 1853 children (98.9%) and 1863 parents (99.4%) (figure 1), and 1786 parent–child dyads. There were slightly more boys (n=945, 51%) than girls (n=908, 49%) and the parent sample was predominantly mothers (n=1634, 87.7%) from a relatively socioeconomically advantaged position. The sample average disadvantage index score was slightly above the national average and showed a narrower distribution, reflecting a
### Table 3  Percentile values for HRQL

| Characteristic                  | Child                      |               |               |               |               |               |               | Parent                      |               |               |               |               |               |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|                                | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 | P5 | P10 | P25 | P50 | P75 | P90 | P95 |
| CHU9D—adolescent algorithm     | Male | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.72 | 0.85 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 0.79 | 0.89 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|                                | Female | 0.52 | 0.60 | 0.73 | 0.85 | 0.94 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.45 | 0.56 | 0.71 | 0.85 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|                                | All   | 0.51 | 0.60 | 0.73 | 0.85 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.46 | 0.57 | 0.71 | 0.86 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| CHU9D—adult algorithm          | Male   | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | 0.73 | 0.77 | 0.88 | 0.93 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|                                | Female | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | 0.69 | 0.76 | 0.84 | 0.91 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|                                | All    | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | 0.69 | 0.76 | 0.84 | 0.92 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PedsQL                         | Male   | 54.4 | 58.7 | 70.7 | 79.4 | 87.0 | 92.4 | 95.7 | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    |
|                                | Female | 54.4 | 59.8 | 69.6 | 81.5 | 90.2 | 94.6 | 96.7 | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    |
|                                | All    | 54.4 | 59.8 | 70.7 | 80.4 | 88.0 | 93.5 | 96.7 | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    |
| AQoL-8D                        | Male   | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | 0.53 | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.84 | 0.91 | 0.96 | 0.98 |
|                                | Female | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | 0.43 | 0.54 | 0.70 | 0.81 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.97 |
|                                | All    | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | 0.44 | 0.55 | 0.70 | 0.82 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.97 |

AQoL-8D, Assessment of Quality of Life 8 Ddimension; CHU9D: Child Health Utility 9 Dimension; PedsQL, Pediatric Quality of Life; PX, value of Xth percentile, for example, P50 = median.
lower representation of socioeconomically disadvantaged families in this sample (table 1).

Other participant characteristics were broadly representative of the Australian population.

Distributions of HRQL

Children

The mean CHU9D score for children was 0.81 (SD 0.16) (table 2). Extended percentile values (from 5th to 95th) are provided for all measures in table 3, showing a median of 0.85 (IQR 0.73 to 0.92).

Values for boys and girls were largely similar. The mean PedsQL was 78.3 (SD 13.0), with a slight variation between boys (77.6, SD 12.7) and girls (79.1, SD 13.4). The distribution of HRQL was skewed, with most children reporting high HRQL and smaller numbers in worse health states (figure 2). This distribution was similar for boys and girls and similar across preference-based and non-preference-based measures.

Intergenerational concordance of HRQL

A weak positive correlation was present between child HRQL and parent HRQL as measured by the CHU9D (correlation coefficients for Pearson 0.13, 95% CI 0.09 to 0.18) (table 4). Results from the sensitivity analysis (using adult instead of adolescent algorithm for the parent CHU9D) were similar (0.15, 95% CI 0.10 to 0.19). This mainly reflected mother–child concordance; there was no convincing evidence of father–child concordance. Coefficients were also very similar in the adjusted linear regression models after adjusting for potential confounders and demographic characteristics.

DISCUSSION

Principal findings

We have described HRQL values for Australian children aged 11–12 years and their parents, together with the first reported concordance within parent–child dyads. The results of our study provide normative data for three separate HRQL instruments that we hope will be useful to researchers who intend to use these instruments. In this generally healthy population, there was only a weak intergenerational concordance for HRQL.

Table 4 Child–parent concordance on the CHU9D adolescent version

| Pearson’s correlation | Parent–child | Mother–child | Father–child |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|                       | N   | CC  | 95% CI | N   | CC  | 95% CI | N   | CC  | 95% CI |
| Adolescent algorithm for parents | 1786 | 0.13 | 0.09 to 0.18 | 1570 | 0.14 | 0.10 to 0.19 | 216 | 0.04 | −0.09 to 0.18 |
| Sensitivity analysis | 1786 | 0.15 | 0.10 to 0.19 | 1570 | 0.16 | 0.11 to 0.20 | 216 | 0.06 | −0.08 to 0.19 |
| Adjusted linear regression | N | RC | P value | N | RC | P value | N | RC | P value |
| Adolescent algorithm for parents | 1783 | 0.12 | <0.001 | 1569 | 0.13 | <0.001 | 214 | 0.04 | 0.53 |
| Sensitivity analysis | 1783 | 0.13 | <0.001 | 1569 | 0.14 | <0.001 | 214 | 0.05 | 0.42 |

Non-biological caregivers were excluded from these analyses (n=16). Covariates in adjusted linear regression models include parent and child age, SEIFA disadvantage index, BMI and parent and child sex in models including both sexes. BMI, body mass index; CC, correlation coefficients for Pearson; CHU9D, Child Health Utility 9 Dimension; n, number of biological child–parent pairs with this measure; RC, estimated regression coefficient; SEIFA, Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas.
Strengths and limitations

Strengths of the Child Health CheckPoint study include the large sample size and the multistage, clustered survey methodology that together allow for the derivation of accurate population estimates. This is the first study to provide HRQL concordance data between children’s HRQL and the HRQL of their parents within a large cohort study. This is also the first study to identify the distribution of HRQL values using the CHU9D in pre-adolescent children and mid-life adults in Australia.

The population-based sampling of this cohort make the findings more relevant to the wider Australian child population than previous HRQL studies focused on children with particular health conditions. However, there was an under-representation of socioeconomically disadvantaged participants in both child and adult cohorts, which limits generalisability to disadvantaged groups. The over-representation of mothers in the adult cohort also reduces the precision around estimates for fathers.

The CheckPoint study targets multiple Australian health priorities. Measurements were collected on each child and their accompanying parent over a 3½ hour session. The length and the range of data that needed to be collected restricted the number of HRQL measures that could be used. HRQL scores are known to vary according to the particular instrument and, for preference-based measures, the scoring algorithm used. Therefore, the results in this study for HRQL distributions and for intergenerational concordance may well be dependent on the particular HRQL measures used.

Findings in relation to other studies

When comparing the HRQL results from this study to others it is important to consider the scoring algorithms applied in each study. Scoring algorithms for the CHU9D have been updated over time and differ between countries. As mentioned previously, the scoring algorithm applied in this analysis is the most recent Australian version. An Australian trial conducted on first graders (mean age 6.9 years) by Roberts et al used the CHU9D as an outcome measure with the same scoring algorithm as we used.33 The mean score recorded for child HRQL was 0.8.33 This is consistent with the CHU9D values presented in table 2. Chen et al compared HRQL (measured by the CHU9D) and BMI in Australian children (mean age 10.6 years).12 Their study population had a mean CHU9D score of 0.87, which is higher than the means in the CheckPoint; however, they applied an older adolescent scoring algorithm (G Chen, Research Fellow, Flinders University, 2016). HRQL results from the PedsQL non-preference-based measure are similar to previously reported values from child samples in the USA (mean score 79.6, SD 15.3).25

This is also the first study to report the distribution of CHU9D values in Australian adults, and as such we are unable to determine the comparability of this result. The CheckPoint mean of 0.78 for the adult AQoL-8D was lower than Richardson et al’s population norms, which reported a mean score of 0.86 for adults aged 35–44 years,26 and also lower than the mean adult score of 0.83 reported in a multicountry study.4 This difference could be attributed to our adult sample being made up entirely of parents, given that adults are known to experience a dip in life satisfaction in the years following childbirth.34 Future research could explore the influence child age has on parental quality of life.

The difference in CHU9D values when applying adult and adolescent scoring algorithms to participants’ self-rated CHU9D health states are expected and are in line with previous research.35 This supports the fact that adolescents do have different health preferences to adults and that it is important that studies of adolescent health not only allow adolescents to provide their own responses to measures but also use adolescent values in any scoring applied to those measures.

Meaning and implications for clinicians and policymakers

Our results add to the child HRQL literature and provide estimates of the distribution of HRQL to be expected in Australian population samples. These results can be used for comparison purposes with other populations and samples.

A rapid review of the literature surrounding intergenerational concordance for HRQL found that this study was the first of its kind in both an Australian and international setting (Catchpool Major Report Master of Health Economics, Deakin University, 2016). The results in this study suggested that there is a small intergenerational concordance for HRQL when measured at the same point in time. This clear evidence of the association between child and parental (especially maternal) HRQL supports the need to consider all individuals as a member of a wider family and to consider the impact of child and adolescent health services on the HRQL of parents and the impact of adult health services on the HRQL of patients’ children.

Unanswered questions and future research

This is the first study to explore the intergenerational concordance for HRQL at the population level. The strength of the concordance found in this study reflects the population-based nature of the sample; concordance may be higher in groups of children and/or parents with chronic health conditions, in which case it would be even more important to consider impacts of health services on the broader family. Concordance results are also limited to the single HRQL instrument used in both child and parent groups (CHU9D), and future research should examine how concordance varies across HRQL measures.
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