**Combination of berberine and ciprofloxacin reduces multi-resistant *Salmonella* strain biofilm formation by depressing mRNA expressions of *luxS*, *rpoE*, and *ompR***
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Bacterial biofilms have been demonstrated to be closely related to clinical infections and contribute to drug resistance. Berberine, which is the main component of *Coptis chinensis*, has been reported to have efficient antibacterial activity. This study aimed to investigate the potential effect of a combination of berberine with ciprofloxacin (CIP) to inhibit *Salmonella* biofilm formation and its effect on expressions of related genes (*rpoE*, *luxS*, and *ompR*). The fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) index of the combination of berberine with CIP is 0.75 showing a synergistic antibacterial effect. The biofilm's adhesion rate and growth curve showed that the multi-resistant *Salmonella* strain had the potential to form a biofilm relative to that of strain CVCC528, and the antibiofilm effects were in a dose-dependent manner. Biofilm microstructures were rarely observed at 1/2 × MIC/FIC concentrations (MIC, minimal inhibition concentration), and the combination had a stronger antibiofilm effect than each of the antimicrobial agents used alone at 1/4 × FIC concentration. *LuxS*, *rpoE*, and *ompR* mRNA expressions were significantly repressed (*p* < 0.01) at 1/2 × MIC/FIC concentrations, and the berberine and CIP combination repressed mRNA expressions more strongly at the 1/4 × FIC concentration. The results indicate that the combination of berberine and CIP has a synergistic effect and is effective in inhibiting *Salmonella* biofilm formation via repression of *luxS*, *rpoE*, and *ompR* mRNA expressions.
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**Introduction**

Salmonellosis is the major cause of food poisoning in humans throughout the world and has important significance in public health [8]. *Salmonella* causes severe diseases including gastroenteritis, septicemia, and partially purulent infection, which produce serious challenges to graziery and public health. Fluoroquinolones, including ciprofloxacin (CIP), are front-line drugs in the treatment of Salmonellosis [25]. However, overuse or inappropriate use of antimicrobial agents in animals, especially food-producing animals, can lead to the transfer of bacterial resistance to humans through the food chain [17]. An increase in the occurrence of multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* expressing resistance to fluoroquinolones has become a global concern recently [2]. The treatment of Salmonellosis becomes increasingly difficult due to drug resistance, which has been demonstrated to be related to bacterial biofilm formation [21]. A biofilm is generally defined as a structured community of bacterial cells, enclosed in a self-produced polymeric matrix and adherent to an inert or living surface [11]. In fact, the adherent sessile cells within a biofilm are highly resistant to antimicrobials and host defenses [1] and are closely related to host colonization and virulence. Additionally, it was estimated that 80% of all clinical infections involve biofilm [24]. Therefore, considering biofilm as a target in drug development aimed at inhibiting bacterial biofilm production has been a hot topic in the study of antibacterial infections.

Previous reports have mentioned that *Salmonella* biofilm formation was associated with the expressions of cellulose, biofilm-associated protein A (BapA), and curli [32]. Effective
control of biofilms can be achieved by understanding the molecular mechanisms, especially the expression of regulatory genes involved in biofilm formation. The regulation of transcription, which is the most basic and important way to precisely control the life of prokaryotes, depends on various sigma (σ) factors, such as the rpoE regulator. Studies have shown that rpoE deletion can account for the inhibition of biofilm formation as a result of repressing curli. S-Ribosylhomocysteinase (LuxS) has an important role in the activated methyl cycle pathway and quorum sensing, which leads to biofilm formation and causes other virulence factors in many bacterial species [22]. It has been reported that the luxS gene is involved in the regulation of cell growth, biofilm formation, quorum sensing, motility, virulence, and resistance [15]. OmpR is a regulatory gene for biofilm formation and is an important virulence factor in Salmonella. The well-characterized targets of ompR regulation include capsule formation, flagella, and virulence factor regulation [5]. Thus, identification of products that could inhibit luxS, rpoE, and ompR gene expressions may be an important new research focus that may indicate a natural, widespread, antimicrobial strategy with significant potential impact on biofilm formation.

At present, much attention has been focused on traditional Chinese herbal medications in combination with antimicrobials in order to achieve drug synergy, enhance efficacy, and reduce toxicity [23]. Coptis chinensis has been widely used with the effects of purging intense heat and removing toxicosis, as well as anti-inflammatory, anti-diabetic, antibacterial, antioxidant, and anti-Alzheimer effects [31] due to its multi-alkaloid constituents [6]. Studies have shown that the main component of C. chinensis is berberine [9], the principal alkaloid in C. chinensis and the most important contributor to therapeutic effects. Nevertheless, there is evidence that berberine has a low level of toxicity [28]. Regardless, some studies have focused on the antibiotic effect and inhibitory mechanism of berberine combined with CIP on Salmonella at the molecular level. Such a combination could be part of a new antibiotic strategy and represent an important breakthrough in integrative medicine research by providing an insight into the molecular mechanism of drug resistance.

This study was aimed to explore the antibiotic effect of berberine combined with CIP on Salmonella and to investigate that effect in order to provide a new theoretical basis and determine its clinical significance in the inhibition of biofilm formation and elucidating the resistance phenotype of Salmonella.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains

Salmonella strains were isolated from chickens and preserved under standard laboratory protocol after identification. Salmonella gallinarum CVCC528 (control strain) was purchased from the National Institute for the Control of Pharmaceutical and Biological Products (China).

Drug susceptibility test

By using the Kirby-Bauer method, recommended by the World Health Organization and the guidelines of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [7], we tested the antimicrobial susceptibility of Salmonella strains. We analyzed the susceptibility of common therapeutic antimicrobial agents including ofloxacin (5 μg), ampicillin (10 μg), chloramphenicol (30 μg), CIP (5 μg), gentamicin (10 μg), norfloxacin (10 μg), sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim (25 μg), cefazolin (30 μg), tetracycline (30 μg), and kanamycin (30 μg) (BioKangtai, China). Broth at the concentration of 1 × 10^8 CFU · mL^(-1) (CFU, colony-forming unit) was evenly coated on Mueller-Hinton agar plates and antimicrobial susceptibility disks adhered completely on the surface of plate center. The plates were then held at 37°C for 16 to 18 h. Subsequently, inhibition zone was measured with a Vernier caliper to determine susceptibility according to CLSI [7].

Preparation of berberine

Air-dried rhizome of C. chinensis (65 g) was purchased from Harbin Sankeshu Medicinal Herbs Market (China). Berberine extraction was performed as previously described [19]. In brief, C. chinensis rhizome was pulverized and alcohol-extracted with 520 mL 75% EtOH (1:8, V:V) at 50°C. The extract was concentrated and heated at 100°C to dissolve in acetic acid solution. Extract pH (1–2) was adjusted with hydrochloric acid. Purified berberine was obtained by filtering, washing, and evaporating under vacuum twice with cold water and once with acetone. Finally, 5.31 g berberine extracts were collected at an extraction rate of 8.17 ± 0.2%. The berberine was analyzed via ultraviolet spectrophotometry. The dried berberine was diluted in distilled water at a concentration of 100,000 μg/mL and stored at 4°C.

Checkerboard susceptibility test

The minimal inhibition concentration (MIC) was determined by using the broth microdilution method according to the CLSI. The fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) of berberine and CIP was estimated by applying a checkerboard method mixed at different concentrations. The formula for calculation of the FIC index was

\[ \text{FIC index} = \frac{\text{MIC}_{A \text{ combined with B}}}{\text{MIC}_A} + \frac{\text{MIC}_{B \text{ combined with A}}}{\text{MIC}_B} \]

where A is berberine and B is CIP. A FIC index < 1.0 indicates a synergistic interaction; 1.0 indicates an additive interaction; 1.0 < FIC index < 2.0 indicates a sub-additive interaction; 2.0 indicates an indifferent interaction; FIC index > 2.0 indicates an antagonistic interaction [12, 18]. All tests were performed in triplicate.
**Biofilm formation assay**

Biofilm formation and the antibiofilm effect of three antimicrobial agents (berberine, CIP, and the combination of the two) on *Salmonella* were tested in 96-well plates. Each well was filled with 100 µL antimicrobial agent and inoculated with 100 µL cultures (10⁵ CFU/mL). The final concentrations of the three antimicrobial agents were 1 × MIC/FIC, 1/2 × MIC/FIC, and 1/4 × MIC/FIC, respectively. Negative control wells were only filled with TSB culture medium, and wells inoculated with 200 µL diluted bacterial suspension without antimicrobial agents were taken as positive controls. Plates were wrapped with parafilm to prevent evaporation during incubation for 72 h at 37°C. Biofilms were quantified by CV assay [3] to measure the optical density at 595 nm (OD₅₉₅ nm) using a microplate reader. The formula for calculation of the B value (adhesion rate) is

\[ B = \frac{A_2 - A_{2c}}{A_1 - A_{1c}} \]

where \( A_1 \) refers to the OD₅₉₅ nm at the end of incubation, \( A_2 \) refers to the OD₅₉₅ nm after staining, and \( A_{1c} \) and \( A_{2c} \) refer to the blank control wells. Each experiment was performed in triplicate and the mean ± SD was calculated.

**Determination of growth curves**

Biofilms were prepared in 96-well plates as described above, and cultured for 2, 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 48, 72, 96, and 120 h. Afterward, each well was filled with 20 µL XTT and incubated in the dark for 2 h at 37°C to measure the OD₄₅₀ nm using a microplate reader. Each experiment was performed in triplicate and the mean ± SD was calculated.

**Scanning electron microscopy**

Biofilm microstructure was analyzed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) [4]. *Salmonella* treated with different concentrations of the antimicrobial agents were cultured as described above and biofilms were allowed to develop on sterilized coverslips which were placed vertically in 24-well plates for 72 h at 37°C. Samples were fixed, dehydrated and critical point dried, gold sputtered with an ion sputtering instrument (current 15 mA, 2 min) and observed using SEM.

**RNA isolation and quantitative reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)**

Total RNA was extracted from bacterial cells using TRizol reagent (Invitrogen, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The RNA was quantified using a Nanodrop 2000C spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA). The RNA concentration was fixed to 1 µg/µL and then cDNA was synthesized using a PrimeScript RT-PCR Kit (Takara Bio, Japan). The qRT-PCR was performed using the Green SYBR I method. The qRT-PCR reactions were carried out as follows: 94°C for 30 sec and 45 cycles of 94°C for 5 sec, 60°C for 15 sec, and 72°C for 15 sec. The expression level of each gene was

| Table 1. Primer sequences for quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Gene**                                      | **Primer**                           |
| 16S rRNA-F                                   | 5′-GTTACCCGCAAGAAGCCAC-3′            |
| 16S rRNA-R                                   | 5′-GCAAAGAGCCGGCCAAGTC-3′            |
| LuxS-F                                       | 5′-CGGTTGCAAAAAACGATGA-3′            |
| LuxS-R                                       | 5′-GTGAGGTGGTCGCGCATA-3′             |
| RpoE-F                                       | 5′-TCGTCGGCCTTCAGTGA-3′              |
| RpoE-R                                       | 5′-CTTCGCGGAGGAATCTAAT-3′            |
| OmpR-F                                       | 5′-ACGGTACGATGGATGACGT-3′            |
| OmpR-R                                       | 5′-CTTCCGCGGATGACCTATG-3′            |

| Table 2. Inhibition zone (mm) of the tested *Salmonella* strains |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Antibiotics** | **Salmonella** strains | **CVCC528** | **No. 1** | **No. 2** | **No. 3** | **No. 4** | **No. 5** | **No. 6** | **No. 7** | **No. 8** | **No. 9** | **No. 10** | **No. 11** |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Ofloxacin       | 27                      | 24          | 27        | 28        | 29        | 17        | 27        | 25        | 25        | 30        | 25        | 26        |
| Ampicillin      | 22                      | 0           | 24        | 0         | 25        | 0         | 24        | 24        | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| Chloramphenicol | 28                      | 23          | 3         | 29        | 31        | 0         | 30        | 25        | 27        | 29        | 28        | 27        |
| Ciprofloxacin   | 33                      | 32          | 17        | 33        | 36        | 19        | 33        | 28        | 30        | 33        | 30        | 32        |
| Gentamicin      | 27                      | 27          | 28        | 30        | 35        | 8         | 30        | 27        | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| Norfloxacin     | 28                      | 27          | 26        | 29        | 33        | 10        | 31        | 25        | 29        | 28        | 24        | 30        |
| Sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim | 28        | 23          | 32        | 24        | 33        | 0         | 32        | 29        | 27        | 34        | 31        | 28        |
| Cefazolin       | 26                      | 24          | 26        | 24        | 30        | 19        | 26        | 23        | 12        | 15        | 12        | 15        |
| Tetracycline    | 25                      | 0           | 27        | 0         | 26        | 0         | 25        | 25        | 26        | 20        | 25        | 25        |
| Kanamycin       | 29                      | 28          | 27        | 28        | 30        | 0         | 28        | 25        | 29        | 0         | 0         | 0         |
normalized to the level of 16S rRNA. The relative quantitative results were analyzed using the \(2^{-\Delta\Delta C_{t}}\) method [16]. The primers for *rpoE*, *luxS*, and *ompR* are listed in Table 1.

**Statistical analysis**

All the data were analyzed in SPSS statistical software (ver. 17.0; SPSS, USA) using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey’s honest significant difference post hoc test. A \(p\) value of < 0.05 or < 0.01 was considered statistically significant. The data were expressed as mean ± SD values.

**Results**

**Drug susceptibility tests**

Inhibition zone of the *Salmonella* strains are presented in Table 2. *Salmonella* strain (No. 5) was observed to be resistant to all of the antibiotics except ofloxacin, showing that it was a particularly multi-resistant *Salmonella* strain with a complex resistance phenotype. Therefore, we used the No. 5 multi-resistant *Salmonella* strain for subsequent experiments.

**Antimicrobial susceptibility tests**

The MICs and the FIC indices of the multi-resistant *Salmonella* strain and the CVCC528 strain are presented in Table 3. According to the determining standards for FIC indices, berberine combined with CIP had a synergistic effect.

**Table 3.** MICs (μg/mL) and FIC indices of two *Salmonella* strains

| Strains                  | MIC (μg/mL) | FIC index |
|--------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| CVCC528                  | 0.04        | 3,125     | 0.75     |
| Multi-resistant *Salmonella* strain | 2.56        | 3,125     | 0.75     |

MIC, minimal inhibition concentration; FIC, fractional inhibitory concentration; CIP, ciprofloxacin.
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**Fig. 1.** Effects of ciprofloxacin (CIP) (A), berberine (B), and their combination (C) on the biofilm formation by No. 5 multi-resistant *Salmonella* strain and the CVCC528 strain. (D) Comparison of antibiofilm effects of CIP, berberine, and the combination on the multi-resistant *Salmonella* strain. Data are presented as mean ± SD. Marked difference (* \(p\) < 0.05), significant difference (** \(p\) < 0.01) compared to the non-treated group. MIC, minimal inhibition concentration; FIC, fractional inhibitory concentration.
against both the multi-resistant *Salmonella* strain and CVCC528, as the FIC index was 0.75 (MICs of berberine reduced from 3,125–1,562 µg/mL; MICs of CIP reduced from 2.56–0.64 µg/mL). The results indicate that berberine combined with CIP could be an effective approach to treat *Salmonella* infections.

**Biofilm adhesion rate with antimicrobial agents**

The biofilm adhesion rate results of the different antimicrobial agents are presented in Fig. 1. The biofilm adhesion rate of the multi-resistant *Salmonella* strain was 0.246, which was much higher than that of CVCC528 (B_{595 nm} = 0.0159). As shown in panels A and B in Fig. 1, the biofilm adhesion rate of *Salmonella* administrated a 1/8 × MIC concentration of the CIP and berberine combination was markedly decreased from that of the control group (p < 0.05), and those of the 1 × MIC, 1/2 × MIC, and 1/4 × MIC groups were significantly decreased compared with the control group (p < 0.01). In addition, the adhesion rate gradually decreased with an increased antimicrobial agent concentration. Similarly, when *Salmonella* was administrated the CIP and berberine combination, the adhesion rates of the 1 × FIC, 1/2 × FIC, and 1/4 × FIC groups were significantly decreased, in a dose-dependent manner, from that in the control group (p < 0.01) (panel C in Fig. 1). In the 1/2 × FIC group, the adhesion rate (B_{595 nm} = 0.082) was lower than those in the 1/2 × MIC CIP group (B_{595 nm} = 0.091) and the 1/2 × MIC berberine group (B_{595 nm} = 0.112) (panel D in Fig. 1). Also, in the 1/2 × FIC group, the adhesion rate (B_{595 nm} = 0.120) was lower than those in the 1/2 × MIC CIP group (B_{595 nm} = 0.125) and the 1/2 × MIC berberine group (B_{595 nm} = 0.133).

**Examination of growth curves**

The biofilm growth curve results are presented in Fig. 2. The multi-resistant *Salmonella* strain apparently produced biofilm in a duration between 18 h and 30 h (panel A in Fig. 2). However, there was no obvious growth trend in the biofilm formation of the CVCC528 strain. Compared with non-treated multi-resistant *Salmonella* strain (panel A in Fig. 2), CIP, berberine, and the combination treatments significantly inhibited biofilm formation at 1/2 × MIC/FIC and 1/4 ×

![Fig. 2. The biofilm growth curves of the multi-resistant *Salmonella* strain and the CVCC528 strain without antimicrobial agents (A) and with ciprofloxacin (CIP) (B), berberine (C), and the combination (D); OD, optical density; MIC, minimal inhibition concentration; FIC, fractional inhibitory concentration.](image-url)
MIC/FIC concentration against the multi-resistant strain. The berberine and CIP combination had a stronger effect than those of CIP or berberine alone at the 1/4 × MIC/FIC concentration (panels B–D in Fig. 2). It is evident that sub-MICs of berberine (OD450 nm = 0.765 at 24 h with 1/2 × MIC, OD450 nm = 0.861 at 24 h with 1/4 × MIC), CIP (OD450 nm = 0.760 at 24 h with 1/2 × MIC, OD450 nm = 0.869 at 24 h with 1/4 × MIC), and the combination (OD450 nm = 0.755 at 24 h with 1/2 × FIC, OD450 nm = 0.854 at 24 h with 1/4 × FIC) could inhibit bacterial biofilm growth during the logarithmic growth phase effectively compared to that of the non-treated strain (OD450 nm = 1.982 at 24 h). As shown in panel A in Fig. 2, the biofilm formation of multi-resistant *Salmonella* strain entered the stationary phase at 72 h. It is evident that the sub-MICs of the antimicrobial agents inhibit biofilm formation during the stationary phase (OD450 nm decreased from 2.457 to 0.898 at 96 h with 1/2 × FIC of combination).

**Microstructure analysis of biofilm under SEM**

SEM images of the *Salmonella* strains were taken to visualize the biofilm microstructure that could reflect the effect of the antimicrobial agents (berberine, CIP, and the combination) on biofilm formation (Fig. 3). A representative micrograph of the multi-resistant *Salmonella* strain biofilms (non-treated group) is presented in panel A in Fig. 3, and a micrograph of the CVCC528 biofilm is presented in panel B in Fig. 3. There was no evidence of a biofilm attached on the glass slide with the CVCC528 strain. In particular, SEM revealed the appearance of a thick polyptychial extracellular polymeric substance and mutual adhesion of the *Salmonella* with a high cell density. However, after treatment with 1/2 × MIC/FIC concentration of antimicrobial agents, the biofilm microstructure was severely damaged with changes in cell morphology (panels C, E, and F in Fig. 3). Treated biofilm cells were distributed in monolayers with a remarkable decrease in thickness and sizes compared to non-treated cells. When the culture medium was added to the 1/4 × MIC/FIC concentration of antimicrobial agents, the biofilms were observed to have less thickness and a smaller size than the non-treated cells, but the films were much more massive than that in the 1/2 × MIC/FIC treated cells. The SEM analysis revealed that berberine, CIP, and the combination can inhibit *Salmonella* biofilm formation at a sub-MIC concentration.

**Effects of antimicrobial agents on luxS, rpoE, and ompR genes mRNA**

The changes in *luxS*, *rpoE*, and *ompR* mRNA expression levels are displayed in Fig. 4. Compared to the non-treated group, the presence of the antimicrobial agents caused reductions in the mRNA expression levels of the three genes in a dose-dependent manner. Moreover, the 1/2 × MIC/FIC concentrations of the antimicrobial agents caused significant decreases (p < 0.01) in the *luxS*, *rpoE*, and *ompR* genes mRNA expression levels. The berberine and CIP combination had a stronger effect than those of CIP or berberine alone at the 1/4 × MIC/FIC concentration against the multi-resistant *Salmonella* strain. The 1/4 × MIC CIP treatment decreased *ompR* mRNA expression markedly (p < 0.05) and *rpoE* mRNA expression significantly (p < 0.01), but it did not change the *luxS* mRNA expression (panel A in Fig. 4). However, the 1/4 × FIC of the combination treatment significantly decreased the mRNA expressions of all three genes (p < 0.01). Intriguingly, it has been observed that CIP was more efficient than berberine or the combination against the CVCC528 strain (panel B in Fig. 4). These findings suggest that CIP, berberine, and the CIP and berberine combination can inhibit *luxS*, *rpoE*, and *ompR* mRNA expressions, and the combination was more efficient
Discussion

Berberine is the main alkaloid of *C. chinensis* and is the most important contributor to its therapeutic effects; therefore, we extracted and used berberine in the current study. Our results revealed a potential antibacterial effect of berberine on *Salmonella* strains. In this study, based on the Loewe theory, an FIC index of 0.75 revealed a synergistic effect of berberine and CIP against the tested *Salmonella* strains. Our data demonstrate that berberine enhances the antimicrobial activity of CIP against *Salmonella*, and their combination might reduce the CIP dose required for therapy, providing the additional benefit of avoiding drug resistance and side-effects. However, interactions between berberine and CIP and their effects on *Salmonella* remain unknown.

Previous studies have demonstrated an antibiofilm effect of *C. chinensis* on *Escherichia coli* and *Streptococcus suis* [14]. Adhesion of bacteria on a surface is the first step of biofilm formation; thus, adhesion and growth of the biofilm were studied to evaluate biofilm formation ability. According to the standard for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Salmonella* provided by the CLSI [7], strain CVCC528 was sensitive to all of the antibiotics in this test. It is clear from our results that the CVCC528 strain was a weak biofilm producer, whereas the multi-resistant *Salmonella* strain (No. 5) was observed to be a strong biofilm producer. Biofilm formation is related to the cell itself and includes flagella, pili, and prosthecae, and it has a certain relationship with resistant phenotypes [30]. A significant antibiofilm effect against the multi-resistant *Salmonella* strain was revealed, including effective adhesion and growth. We found that berberine, CIP, and their combination could inhibit biofilm formation and even damage biofilms by affecting film thickness and the size of monolayers in a dose-dependent manner. Furthermore, the antibiofilm effects varied among the antimicrobial agents, with the combination having a stronger inhibitory effect than berberine and CIP alone. Moreover, the effects were positively correlated with the antimicrobial concentration, especially at 1/4 × MIC/FIC concentration. It was noted that the inhibitory effect against biofilms was particularly evident when berberine was combined with CIP. Based on these findings, the combination of berberine and CIP could be used as new treatments for biofilm inhibition and consequently may provide new strategies to avoid biofilm formation by reducing biofilm growth rates, cell density, and the extracellular matrix.

Some genes related to stress responses and the quorum-sensing signaling system can promote the drug resistance of a biofilm [26]. This study investigated the regulation of mRNA expressions of some biofilm-related genes. *RpoE* is known to play an essential role in activating or regulating gene expression in response to heat shock, hypertonic, starvation, and oxygen stress. *OmpR* responds to osmotic stress and cytoplasmic acidification, which requires the secretion of virulence factors [5], and *ompR* deletion can lead to repression of biofilm formation, flagella, and virulence factors. Flagella and pili have been shown to be important for adhesion and colonization to surfaces, and a *Salmonella* biofilm is associated with the expression of cellulose and curli [32]. *OmpR* deletion has an antibiofilm effect by repressing pili and cellulose [10], but *rpoE* deletion causes repression of curli and flagellin [13]. In this
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study \textit{luxS}, \textit{rpoE}, and \textit{ompR} mRNA expressions were suppressed by berberine, CIP, and their combination in varying degrees, which indicated that the antibiofilm effect of berberine, CIP, and the combination may occur via inhibition of curli, adhesion, and cell growth by repression of \textit{luxS}, \textit{rpoE} and \textit{ompR} gene expressions. In addition, the inhibitory effect of the antimicrobials increased in a dose-dependent manner and was most significant at the $1/2 \times \text{MIC/FIC}$ concentration. Many reports have demonstrated that biofilm formation could be inhibited in the presence of sub-inhibitory concentrations of antimicrobial agents in a dose-dependent manner \cite{20,27,29}. The biofilm formation and gene expression results in this study showed that the inhibitory effect of the berberine and CIP combination at a $1/2 \text{FIC}$ concentration was more effective than that at a $1/4 \text{FIC}$ concentration, showing that the inhibitory effect on biofilm occurred in a dose-dependent manner. Moreover, comparative inhibitory effects of the three antimicrobial remedies were examined. The inhibitory effect of the berberine and CIP combination was stronger than those of berberine or CIP alone for the multi-resistant \textit{Salmonella} strain at the $1/4 \times \text{MIC/FIC}$ concentration, but the combination did not show any obvious therapeutic advantage at the $1/4 \times \text{MIC/FIC}$ concentration. Thus, we speculate that this phenomenon may be related to the multiple drug resistant phenotypes of the multi-resistant \textit{Salmonella} strain and to the therapeutic targets of CIP. Based upon these results, we suggest that the antibiofilm effect of berberine, CIP, and the combination were associated with downregulation of \textit{luxS}, \textit{rpoE}, and \textit{ompR}, which are related to the formation of pili, cellulose, curli, flagellin, and virulence factors.

In conclusion, our study has revealed antibiofilm effects of berberine and CIP alone and of their combination. Our findings show that the \textit{luxS}, \textit{rpoE}, and \textit{ompR} genes are essential for \textit{Salmonella} biofilm formation. The combination of berberine and CIP leads to enhanced efficacy and reduced toxicity of the antimicrobials. Moreover, the combination reduces the CIP dose required for therapy, providing the benefits of avoiding drug resistance and reducing side-effects. Further study is needed to confirm and clarify the relationships between CIP and berberine and their antibiofilm effect at the molecular level, and a clear understanding of gene regulation in \textit{Salmonella} is needed to reveal the mechanism of biofilm formation, allowing the development of effective methods to inhibit biofilm formation.
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