Base methylations in the double-stranded RNA by a fused methyltransferase bearing unwinding activity

Satoshi Kimura, Yoshiho Ikeuchi, Kei Kitahara, Yuriko Sakaguchi, Takeo Suzuki and Tsutomu Suzuki*

Department of Chemistry and Biotechnology, Graduate School of Engineering, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

Received February 24, 2011; Revised November 28, 2011; Accepted December 14, 2011

ABSTRACT

Modifications of rRNAs are clustered in functional regions of the ribosome. In Helix 74 of Escherichia coli 23S rRNA, guanosines at positions 2069 and 2445 are modified to 7-methylguanosine (m7G) and N2-methylguanosine (m2G), respectively. We searched for the gene responsible for m7G2069 formation, and identified rlmL, which encodes the methyltransferase for m2G2445, as responsible for the biogenesis of m7G2069. In vitro methylation of rRNA revealed that rlmL encodes a fused methyltransferase responsible for forming both m7G2069 and m2G2445. We renamed the gene rlmKL. The N-terminal RlmL activity for m2G2445 formation was significantly enhanced by the C-terminal RlmK. Moreover, RlmKL had an unwinding activity of Helix 74, facilitating cooperative methylations of m7G2069 and m2G2445 during biogenesis of 50S subunit. In fact, we observed that RlmKL was involved in the efficient assembly of 50S subunit in a mutant strain lacking an RNA helicase deaD.

INTRODUCTION

The ribosome is a ribonucleoprotein complex that translates genetic information on mRNA into the corresponding amino acid sequence to generate a protein. The ribosome consists of small and large subunits, each of which is composed of ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs) and ribosomal proteins. The rRNAs form the basic structure of the ribosome, and play central roles in the fundamental processes of protein biosynthesis (1–6). In all domains of life, rRNAs contain residues with various chemical modifications that are enzymatically introduced after transcription. These modifications are primarily base methylation, ribose methylation and pseudouridylidylation (7,8). In prokaryotes, rRNA modifications are introduced by site-specific RNA-modifying enzymes, whereas in eukaryotes, most rRNA modifications, namely 2′-O-methylations and pseudouridylations, are introduced by a series of small nucleolar RNA–protein complexes (snoRNPs) composed of a protein methyltransferase or pseudouridylase and a specific snoRNA as a guide RNA to determine the precise position for modification (9). rRNA modifications are clustered near the active sites of the ribosome such as the decoding center, subunit interfaces, transfer RNA (tRNA) or mRNA binding sites and the peptidyl-transferase center (10,11), implying that these modifications are required for proper function of the rRNAs. However, many rRNA modifications are dispensable for cell viability (12). In fact, the lack of a single rRNA modification confers only a mild defect in cell growth and ribosomal function. Hence, the exact function of each modification is unknown. However, collective disruption of several modifications causes a severe defect in ribosomal function and cell growth (13,14). Therefore, each rRNA modification plays a specific role in fine-tuning ribosomal activity and/or biogenesis (8).

The Escherichia coli ribosome contains 17 species of modified nucleotides at 36 positions in the rRNAs: 7 species are found at 11 positions in 16S rRNA and 15 species at 25 positions in 23S rRNA (8). The modifications are 10 pseudouridines, 24 methylated nucleosides, 1 dihydrouridine and 1 5-hydroxycytidine (15). Although all of the pseudouridine synthase genes have been identified in E. coli, several methyltransferases still remain to be identified. In the decoding center of the small subunit of the ribosome, rRNA modifications play roles in maintaining the translational fidelity by suppressing frameshifts and read-through activity (16–18), and ensuring accurate translational initiation (19,20). In the large 50S subunit of the ribosome, rRNA-modifying enzymes such as RrmJ/FtsJ and RluD are required for efficient assembly of the subunit (21–24), indicating that the enzymes themselves...
act as assembly factors for efficient biogenesis of the ribosome (25). In addition, some rRNA modifications are involved in modulating susceptibility to certain antibiotics (26,27).

To understand the functional and physiological aspects of rRNA modifications, identifying the genes and enzymes responsible for each modification is essential. We developed a method of genome-wide screening called ribonucleome analysis to identify genes responsible for rRNA modifications using a reverse genetic approach combined with mass spectrometry (MS) (28). This approach has successfully identified many genes responsible for tRNA modifications among the uncharacterized genes in *E. coli* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (29–33). Recently, we used this method to identify two methyltransferases, encoded by *rsmA* and *rsml*, which are responsible for biogenesis of N^6^, 2′-O-dimethylerythidine (m^2^C^m^) at position 1402 in the *E. coli* 16S rRNA (20).

In Helix 74, in domain V of the 23S rRNA, G2069 and G2445 are modified to form 7-methylguanosine (m^7^G) and N^2^-methylguanosine (m^2^G), respectively (Figure 1A and B). The methyltransferase RlmL, encoded by *rlmL*/ycbY, catalyzes S-adenosylmethionine (Ado-Met)-dependent m^2^G2445 formation (34). Although deletion of *rlmL*/ycbY resulted in a slight growth reduction phenotype, the functional and physiological role of m^2^G2445 remains unclear (34). The methyltransferase mediating the biogenesis of m^2^G2069 has not yet been identified. In this study, we employed a genome-wide screen of uncharacterized genes in *E. coli* using the ribonucleome analysis to search for the gene responsible for m^7^G2069 formation. We happened to identify *ycbY* as responsible for the biogenesis of m^7^G2069. In fact, *rlmL*/ycbY encodes a fused methyltransferase with dual active sites responsible for forming both m^7^G2069 and m^2^G2445 (Figure 1C). Thus, *rlmL*/ycbY has been renamed *rlmKL*. Genetic and biochemical characterization of this unique enzyme has revealed that cooperative methylation of Helix 74 by RlmKL plays a key role in the efficient assembly of the 50S subunit.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**RNA mass spectrometry**

Each rRNA fraction (200 fmol) was digested at 37°C for 30 min in a 10 μl reaction mixture containing 10 mM ammonium acetate (pH 5.3) and 5 μM RNase T1 (Epicentre), or 10 mM ammonium acetate (pH 7.7) and 1 ng/μl RNase A (Ambion). Subsequently, an equal volume of 0.1 M triethylamine-acetate (TEAA) (pH 7.0) was added to the reaction mixture for LC/MS. Analysis of RNA fragments by capillary liquid chromatography (LC) coupled with nano electro spray (ESI) LC/MS was carried out using a tandem quadrupole time-of-flight (QqTOF) mass spectrometer (QSTAR XL, Applied Biosystems) and a linear ion trap-orbitrap hybrid mass spectrometer (LTQ Orbitrap XL, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Both systems are equipped with a nano electrospray source and a splitless nano HPLC system (KYA Technologies), as described previously (20). Conditions and solvent systems for capillary LC have been described previously (28). All procedures for the ribonucleome analysis were conducted as described previously (20).

**Sucrose density gradient centrifugation**

Subunit profiling by sucrose density gradient (SDG) centrifugation was carried out as previously described (35). Briefly, *E. coli* cells were grown in 250 ml of Luria-Bertani (LB) medium in a 500-ml flask with vigorous shaking at 25°C. Cells were harvested from 50 ml culture by centrifugation when the cell density reached an A600 of 0.5. The cell pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of cold buffer [20 mM HEPES–KOH (pH 7.6), 0.5 mM Mg(OAc)_2, 100 mM NH_4Cl, 6 mM β-mercaptoethanol]. A cell lysate was prepared by the lysozyme-freeze–thaw method, as described (35) and cleared by centrifugation at 15 000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. The concentration of total RNA in the lysate was estimated by measuring A260. In total, 10 μl of A260 of the lysate were layered on top of a 10–40% SDG prepared in cold buffer and then separated by ultracentrifugation in a Beckman SW-28 Rotor at 20000 rpm for 14 h at 4°C. Fractions were collected from the gradient using a Piston Gradient Fractionator (BIOCOMP) and the position of the ribosomal subunits was monitored by A260 using a ultra violet (UV) monitor (ATTO AC-5200).

**In vitro methylation assay**

For *in vitro* reconstitution of m^7^G2069 and m^2^G2445 formation, we used 23S rRNA or a series of rRNA fragments as substrates. rRNA fragments and transcripts 1–9 except for transcript 6 were transcribed *in vitro* by T7 RNA polymerase (see Supplementary Data, ‘Materials and Methods’ section). The transcript 6 was chemically synthesized (Sigma genosys). A reaction mixture (50 μl) consisting of 200 mM NH_4_2OAc, 40 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 3 mM MgCl_2, 6 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 μM Ado-Met, 0.2 μM 23S rRNA (or rRNA fragments) and 0.1 μM recombinant RlmKL [or RlmK (CTD), or RlmL (NTD)] was incubated at 37°C for 2 h. Substrate RNAs were recovered from aliquots of the reaction mixture by phenol–chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. RNA was digested by RNase T1 or RNase A and analyzed by LC/MS. To examine the time course of methylation (Figure 4C and D and Supplementary Figures S2 and S4), a 100-μl reaction mixture was prepared and 10-μl aliquots were taken at each time point and mixed with phenol–chloroform to stop the reaction. For preparing the m^7^G2069-containing domain V RNA, 50 pmol domain V RNA was incubated at 37°C with 200 pmol RlmK (CTD) in 100 μl reaction mixture for 2 h. Phenol–chloroform-extracted RNA was passed through NAP-5 column (GE healthcare) to remove Ado-Met (Ado-Hcy), and recovered by ethanol precipitation. In RNase T1 digested samples, we detected a 16-mer fragment bearing m^2^G2069 (Um^2^G ACCUUAACUAUGp), or a 14-mer fragment without methylation (ACCUUAACUAUGp). In RNase A digested samples, a tetramer fragment with (m^2^GACp) or without (GAACp) m^2^G2069 and a hexamer fragment
Figure 1. Tertiary and secondary structures of the *E. coli* 23S rRNA domain V and enzymatic formation of 7-methylguanosine (m^7^G2069) and N^2^-methylguanosine (m^2^G2445). (A) The tertiary structure of domain V in *E. coli* 23S rRNA (PDB ID 2aw4). The strands G2061-C2084, G2235-C2258, C2422-D2449 are colored blue, green and red, respectively. Coordinates for Helix 93 are not displayed because it lies in front of Helix 74. The silhouette of 50S subunit was showed in gray line. (B) The secondary structure of domain V in the *E. coli* 23S rRNA with modified nucleotides, 7-methylguanosine (m^7^G), N^2^-methylguanosine (m^2^G), 2'-O-methylguanosine (Gm), dihydrouridine (D), pseudouridine (Ψ), 2'-O-methylcytidine (Cm), 5-hydroxycytidine (ho5C), N^2^-methyladenosine (m^2^A) and 2'-O-methyluridine (Um). The numbers of the RNA helices and nucleotides are indicated. Watson-Crick-type base pairs are shown by bars, and wobble base pairs by black dots. Helix 74 and surrounding regions are boxed on the left. The color code for each strand is the same as (A). (C) Enzymatic formation of m^7^G2069 and m^2^G2445 is catalyzed by RlmK and RlmL, respectively, using Ado-Met as a methyl-group donor. Ado-Met is converted to Ado-Hcy (S-adenosylhomocysteine) by the reaction.
with (Gm2GGGAUp) or without (GGGGGAUp) m2G2445 were detected. The methylated and unmethylated fragments were quantified by summing the areas of the mass chromatograms (±0.1 tolerance) of all detected ions. The frequency of methylation was calculated from the ratio of the areas of the mass chromatograms for the methylated and unmethylated fragments. For accurate quantification of both methylations, we generated a standard curve for the area ratio of the chromatograms against the ratio of the methylated fragments (Supplementary Figure S5). Using these curves, we could determine the exact values for methylation.

For the mutational study of transcript 3, methylation assay was performed as followed. The reaction mixtures (20 μl) consisting of the same buffer, except for the 1 μM of substrate RNA and 48 μM of [14C]-labeled Ado-Met (MP Bio Inc.), were incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Reaction was stopped and the RNA substrate was extracted by the addition of 50 μl phenol–chloroform. The half-volume of the extracted RNA was spotted onto a Whatman 3 mm filter disc. The discs were washed three times with 5% trichloroacetic acid (Nacalai Tesque) and dried with ethanol. Total radioactivity for both m7G2069 and m2G2445 methylations was then measured by liquid scintillation counting. The remaining half of RNA was treated by chloroform and precipitated with ethanol. The recovered RNA was digested with MazF (Takara) in the reaction mixture (5 μl) consisting of 1 × MazF digestion buffer (Takara), 10 U MazF and the RNA at 37°C for 1 h. A digested RNA was mixed with 5 μl of 2 × loading solution (9 M urea, 0.025% bromophenol blue and 0.025% xylene cyanol) and electrophoresed by 10% polyacrylamide gel with 7 M urea. The gel was stained by Toluidine blue O and dried in vacuo.

Radioactivity was visualized and quantified using a FLA7000 system (Fujifilm). There were mainly three bands, which were the intact 123-mer substrate undigested by MazF, m7G2069-containing 27-mer fragment and by MazF, m2G2445-containing 96-mer fragment (Supplementary Figure S5). The ratio of both methylations and the total sum of the methylations were quantified by summing the areas of the mass chromatograms (±0.1 tolerance) of all detected ions. The areas of the mass chromatograms were determined from the signal intensities of both methylations, we generated a standard curve for the methylations, using GraphPad PRISM 5 (Graph Pad software).

RESULTS

Identification of rlmL/yehY as the enzyme mediating m2G2069 formation

To identify gene products responsible for rRNA modifications in E. coli, we employed a reverse genetic approach combined with RNA MS (ribonucleome analysis) for genome-wide screening in E. coli (20,28). Total RNA, consisting primarily of rRNAs and mRNAs, was prepared from 94 genomic-deletion strains of E. coli (38), each of which lacks about 20 kb (~20 genes) (see Supplementary Data). Thus, this analysis covered almost 2000 genes, ~40% of the total 4762 genes of E. coli. Total RNA was digested into fragments by base-specific ribonucleases (RNase T1 or RNase A) and the digests were analyzed by capillary LC coupled with nano ESI LC/MS. In these complex mixtures of RNA fragments, we can detect RNA fragments containing modified nucleoside(s) as multiply-charged negative ions by monitoring the specific mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) value. Using both RNase T1 and RNase A, we can detect all modifications to be analyzed. In wild-type E. coli, we clearly detected the triple-charged negative ion (m/z 1706) of a 16-mer fragment including m2G2069 (Um2GAACCUUUACUAUAGp; MW 5120) produced by RNase T1 digestion, and the double-charged negative ion (m/z 1024) of a hexamer fragment including m2G2445 (Gm2GGGAUp; MW 2049) produced by RNase A digestion (Figures 1B and 2A). Each fragment was analyzed by collision-induced dissociation (CID) by MS/MS to confirm the exact position of the modification (Figure 2B). When we analyzed RNA fragments from the deletion strain OCR55 (ApncB-rnf), the 16-mer fragment bearing m2G2069 was absent (Figure 2A). If 7-methylation of G does not occur at position 2069, an unmodified 14-mer fragment (AACCUUUACUAUAGp; m/z 1485, MW 4454) should be detected. In fact, we clearly detected this 14-mer fragment from the OCR55 strain (data not shown), confirming the absence of m2G2069. These data indicated that
a gene responsible for \( m^7G2069 \) formation resides in this deleted region. Since \( rlmL/ycbY \) is also localized in this region, no hexamer fragment bearing \( m^2G2445 \) was observed (Figure 2A). To identify the gene responsible for \( m^7G2069 \) formation, we analyzed 23 single gene knockout strains (KO collections), each of which lacks one gene in the deleted region of OCR55. The \( \Delta rlmL/ycbY \) strain was found to lack the 16-mer fragment bearing \( m^7G2069 \) (Figure 2A). To our surprise, \( rlmL/ycbY \) gene encodes a known methyltransferase, RlmL, which is responsible for \( m^2G2445 \) formation (34) and in fact, no hexamer fragment bearing \( m^2G2445 \) was detected in this strain (Figure 2A). When a plasmid encoding \( rlmL/ycbY \) was introduced into the \( \Delta rlmL/ycbY \) strain, both \( m^7G2069 \) and \( m^2G2445 \) were detected in the total RNA (Figure 2A). These data clearly demonstrated that \( rlmL/ycbY \) is responsible not only for \( m^2G2445 \) formation, but also for \( m^7G2069 \) formation.

Figure 2. \( ycbY (rlmKL) \) is responsible for both \( m^7G2069 \) and \( m^2G2445 \) formation. (A) LC/MS analyses of RNase T1 (left panels) and RNase A (right panels) digests of rRNAs from the wild-type (Top row of panels), genome-deletion strain OCR55 (Second row), \( \Delta ycbY (rlmKL) \) strain (Third row) and \( \Delta ycbY (rlmKL) \) strain carrying pRLmKL (Bottom row). Left and right panels show mass chromatograms detecting the triply-charged ions of the 16-mer fragment bearing \( m^7G2069 \) (\( m/z \) 1706) and the doubly charged ions of the hexamer fragment bearing \( m^2G2445 \) (\( m/z \) 1024), respectively. (B) Collision-induced dissociation (CID) spectra of RNA fragments bearing \( m^7G2069 \) (left panel) and \( m^2G2445 \) (right panel). The six-charged ion (\( m/z \) 852.4) of the 16-mer fragment carrying \( m^7G2069 \) and doubly charged ion (\( m/z \) 1023.7) of the hexamer fragment carrying \( m^2G2445 \) were used as parent ions for CID. The sequences were confirmed by assignment of the product ions. The nomenclatures for product ions of nucleic acids are as suggested in the literature (41).
Therefore, we renamed the gene *rlmKL* according to the preferred nomenclature (39,40).

**RlmKL is a fused methyltransferase consisting of an N-terminal RlmL domain and a C-terminal RlmK domain**

*Escherichia coli* RlmKL is a fused methyltransferase consisting of 702 amino acid residues, containing a thiouridine synthases, methylases and PSUSs (THUMP) domain and two methyltransferase domains (Figure 3A). According to the cluster of orthologous group (COG) database (42), the N-terminal domain (NTD) and C-terminal domain (CTD) of RlmKL have been annotated as COG0116 and COG1092, respectively. Each domain has an Ado-Met-dependent methyltransferase motif (Figure 3A). The *rlmKL* gene is conserved in γ-proteobacteria (Supplementary Figure S1). However, in β-proteobacteria, *Neisseria meningitidis* bears COG0116 and COG1092 as separate proteins encoded at different genomic loci (Supplementary Figure S1). Since *rlmKL* appears to be responsible for two different methyl modifications, we hypothesized that each methyltransferase domain catalyzes the formation of one modification, either m’G2069 or m’G2445. To examine this possibility, we carried out complementation tests for the two methyl modifications in the *ArlmKL* strain by introducing various *rlmKL* mutants. We constructed *rlmKL* mutants in which conserved amino acid residues were substituted with Ala, including D195A, N309A, N397A, R530A, D568A and D597A. As a positive control, introduction of plasmid-encoded *rlmKL* into the *ArlmKL* strain restored both methyl modifications (Figure S3). Subsequently, each of the *rlmKL* mutants was introduced into the *ArlmKL* strain, and formation of the methyl modifications was examined. The N309A mutation in the NTD impaired m’G2445 formation, but rescued m’G2069 formation (Figure 3B). In contrast, the D568A mutation in the CTD did not rescue m’G2069 formation, but m’G2445 was efficiently formed in this mutant (Figure 3B). These observations suggested that the NTD and CTD of RlmKL encode an RlmL for m’G2445 formation and an RlmK for m’G2069 formation, respectively. Asn397 is located in the linker region between the NTD and CTD. Since the N397A mutant exhibited no m’G2445 formation but rescued m’G2069 formation, Asn397 should be considered part of RlmL. The other mutations, including D195A, R530A and D597A, did not affect methylation (data not shown).

We next examined complementation of methyl modifications by the separate N-terminal domain [RlmL(NTD)] and C-terminal domain [RlmK(CTD)] constructs. Given the C-terminal end of the *N. meningitidis* RlmL homolog (Supplementary Figure S1) and that Asn397 should be part of RlmL, Met1 to Arg398 of RlmKL was used for RlmL(NTD), while Asn376 to Ala702 was cloned for RlmK(CTD). The RlmL(NTD) construct rescued m’G2445 formation, and the RlmK(CTD) construct restored m’G2069 formation (Figure 3B). These data indicated that each methyltransferase domain could complement each methylation defect when supplied on a multicopy plasmid. Moreover, m’G2069 formation did not require pre-existing m’G2445 and vice versa: both methylations occur independently.

*Neisseria meningitidis* has separate protein homologs for RlmL and RlmK. We next examined whether these homologs have the same activities as the *E. coli* RlmKL. We cloned the *N. meningitidis rlmL* (NMB0455) and *rlmK* (NMB1367) homologs into multicopy plasmids, and introduced each construct into the *E. coli* *ArlmKL* strain. As shown in Figure 3C, the *N. meningitidis rlmL* and *rlmK* homologs rescued m’G2445 and m’G2069 formation, respectively, demonstrating that the *N. meningitidis* RlmL and RlmK proteins have activities corresponding to the NTD and CTD of *rlmKL*.

**In vitro reconstitution of m’G2069 and m’G2445 catalyzed by RlmKL**

Methylation of m’G2069 and m’G2445 was reconstituted in vitro using recombinant RlmKL. The *E. coli* RlmKL and the RlmK(CTD) and RlmL(NTD) constructs were expressed recombinantly and purified to homogeneity (Figure 4A). As substrates, we isolated 50S ribosomal subunits and naked 23S rRNA lacking both m’G2069 and m’G2445 from the *ArlmKL* strain. The recombinant proteins were incubated with the 50S subunit and the naked 23S rRNA in the presence or absence of Ado-Met as a methyl donor and the rRNAs were then extracted and analyzed by LC/MS. No methylation was formed when the 50S subunit was used as the substrate for RlmKL (Figure 4B). When the naked 23S rRNA was used as a substrate, both m’G2069 and m’G2445 were successfully reconstituted by RlmKL in the presence of Ado-Met (Figure 4B). These results clearly showed that RlmKL uses the naked 23S rRNA as a substrate, indicating that methyl modifications of Helix 74 by RlmKL are likely to be introduced at an early step in 50S assembly in the cell. Next, m’G2069 and m’G2445 formation by RlmK(CTD) and RlmL(NTD), respectively, was reconstituted. As expected, m’G2069 was generated by RlmK(CTD), whereas m’G2445 was generated by RlmL(NTD) (Figure 4B). These data demonstrated that RlmKL is a fused methyltransferase, with each of the domains, N-terminal RlmL and C-terminal RlmK, acting independently as a methyltransferase. To facilitate the in vitro experiments, we examined the 23S rRNA domain V, which was transcribed in vitro. In fact, both methylations were efficiently introduced to the domain V transcript.

**RlmK supports the N’G2-methylation of G2445 catalyzed by RlmL**

To investigate the functional advantages of a fused methyltransferase, we examined the cooperativity of methylations by the two domains. We employed the domain V transcript as a substrate to compare the activities of m’G2445 formation catalyzed by RlmL(NTD) and RlmKL (Figure 4C). The initial velocity of m’G2445 formation by RlmKL was much higher than that by RlmL(NTD). Whether the RlmL(NTD)-catalyzed m’G2445 formation was affected by the addition of RlmK(CTD) *in trans* was also examined (Figure 4C). The initial velocity of m’G2445 formation was increased.
depending on the concentration of RlmK(CTD), showing that the addition of RlmK(CTD) elevated the m<sup>2</sup>G<sub>2445</sub>-forming activity of RlmL(NTD). In the presence of 0.8 μM RlmK(CTD), 0.1 μM RlmL(NTD) exhibited an m<sup>2</sup>G<sub>2445</sub>-forming activity level similar to 0.1 μM RlmKL (Figure 4C). It was speculated that m<sup>2</sup>G<sub>2445</sub> formation by RlmL(NTD) might be enhanced by the presence of m<sup>7</sup>G<sub>2069</sub> generated by RlmK(CTD).

Figure 3. Complementation study of m<sup>7</sup>G<sub>2069</sub> and m<sup>2</sup>G<sub>2445</sub> formation by plasmid-encoded RlmKL and mutants. (A) Domain structure of <i>E. coli</i> RlmKL. RlmKL consists of two methyltransferase domains, COG0116 and COG1092. We designated the N-terminal domain (COG0116) as RlmL and the C-terminal domain (COG1092) as RlmK. Each domain has an Ado-Met-dependent methyltransferase motif, UPF0020 in the RlmL domain and Cons_hypoth 95 in the RlmK domain. A THUMP domain was found in the N-terminal region of the RlmL domain. (B) Complementation of the <i>ArlmKL</i> strain by introducing plasmid-encoded RlmKL or RlmKL mutants. On the left hand side, the domain structures and the mutation positions of RlmKL are shown. On the right hand side, mass chromatograms detecting the 16-mer fragment carrying m<sup>7</sup>G<sub>2069</sub> (m/z 1706) and the hexamer fragment carrying m<sup>2</sup>G<sub>2445</sub> (m/z 1024), respectively, are shown for the <i>ArlmKL</i> strain transformed with pRlmKL (top panels), pRlmK L N309A (second panels), pRlmKL N397A (third panels), pRlmK (fourth panels), pRlmKL D568A (fifth panels) and pRlmL (bottom panels). (C) Complementation of the <i>E. coli ArlmKL</i> strain by introducing <i>N. meningitidis</i> RlmL (NMB0445) or RlmK (NMB1367). Mass chromatograms on the right panels are the same as in (B).
Figure 4. *In vitro* reconstitution of m\(^7\)G2069 and m\(^2\)G2445 formation by RlmKL. (A) SDS–PAGE analysis of the purified recombinant RlmKL, RlmL(NTD) and RlmK(CTD) stained with coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250. (B) *In vitro* reconstitution of m\(^7\)G2069 and m\(^2\)G2445 by RlmKL, RlmL(NTD) or RlmK(CTD). Left and right panels show mass chromatograms detecting the triple-charged ions of the 16-mer fragment bearing m\(^7\)G2069 (m/z 1706) and the double-charged ions of the hexamer fragment bearing m\(^2\)G2445 (m/z 1024), respectively. Conditions for *in vitro* (continued)
substrate RNA. To examine this possibility, we prepared the domain V transcript with m'G2069 (82% was modified). However, the presence of m'G2069 did not affect the m'G2445-forming activity (Supplementary Figure S2). In contrast, the initial velocities of m'G2069 formation by RlmKL and RlmK were very similar (Figure 4D). In these experiments, we clearly demonstrated that the fusion of these two methyltransferases enhances the efficiency of m'G2445 formation.

Minimization of the substrate recognized by RlmK.

To investigate substrate recognition by RlmKL, we minimized the substrate RNA by preparing six truncated RNAs, based on domain V, by in vitro transcription and examined the transcripts for methylation by RlmKL (Figure 4E and F and Supplementary Figure S3). By the end point measurement, over 90% of the domain V transcript (transcript 1) was methylated at both positions (Figure 4E and F). The methylation of transcript 2 indicated that the lower parts of domain V, including Helices 73, 89 and 90–93, are not necessary for either methylation (Figure 4E and F). Even when the upper part of domain V was truncated, as in transcripts 3, 4 and 5 (Supplementary Figure S3) m'G2069 and m'G2445 were efficiently formed (Figure 4E and F). These results indicated that removal of the upper part (Helices 76–79), as well as the right part (Helices 81–88) with connecting residues C2258 and C2422 (transcript 4) or connecting residues C2258 and A2426 (transcripts 3 and 5) resulted in little effect on either methylation (Figure 4E and F). In contrast, transcript 7 (Figure 4E and Supplementary Figure S3), which lacks Helix 80 and the 12 nt single-strand (ss) region (Figure 1B), was not methylated at either position (Figure 4F). This result indicated that Helix 80 and the 12 nt ss region are critical sites necessary for m'G2445 and m'G2069 formation. Additionally, in the 29-mer single-stranded transcript 6 which consists of residues C2422 to A2450 (Figure 4E and Supplementary Figure S3), m'G2445 was efficiently formed (Figure 4F). This result indicated that duplex formation of H74 is not required for the m'G2445 formation. As the single-stranded RNA (transcript 6) was a good substrate for RlmKL, we examined a substrate specificity of RlmL(NTD) using transcripts 4 and 6 as substrates (Supplementary Figure S4). RlmL(NTD) catalyzed the m'G2445 formation on transcript 6, but did not efficiently methylate transcript 4 which forms Helix 74 (Figure 4E). This result strongly suggests that RlmL prefers the single-stranded RNA substrate for the m'G2445 formation. Taken together with the observation that RlmK domain has a function to support the m'G2445 formation by RlmL(NTD), we speculated that RlmK domain unwinds the duplex structure of Helix 74, thereby facilitating the efficient N'-methylation of G2445 catalyzed by the RlmL domain.

Unwinding activity of RlmKL.

To examine the unwinding activity of RlmKL, we performed the unwinding assay used for RNA helicases (36,37). The S'2P-labeled transcript 8 and non-labeled transcript 9 were annealed to prepare the duplex substrate for RlmKL (Figure 5A). The duplex and single strands were resolved by native PAGE to monitor the unwinding of the duplex with the passage of reaction time (Figure 5B). In the presence of RlmKL, 71% of the duplex substrate was unwound in the first 3 min, and 92% was unwound in 30 min (Figure 5B). As a control, in the presence of BSA, 29% of the duplex substrate was spontaneously converted to the single strand, and 66% was unwound in 30 min (Figure 5B). In the presence of RlmKL, the half-life (t1/2) of the duplex substrate was 2.5 min, whereas in the presence of BSA, t1/2 was 6.0 min (Figure 5C). We also examined the unwinding activity of RlmKL in the absence of Ado-Met, and found no change in the activity (data not shown). These results suggested that RlmKL has an activity to unwind Helix 74 during substrate recognition and methylation.

Substrate recognition by RlmKL.

To identify the sites required for both methylations, we constructed a series of variants based on transcript 3 and measured the methylation capacity of each variant. The secondary structure of transcript 3, the detailed constructs of the variants and their methylation activities are shown in Figure 6A, B and C, respectively. The efficiency of methylation was measured as described in ‘Materials and Methods’ section (Supplementary Figure S7). The base flipping of Helix 80 reduced m'G2069 formation. In the P-loop, three variants, G2250C, G2251C and G2252C, lacked m'G2069 formation, and G2253C reduced m'G2069 formation, whereas the C2254A variant showed normal activity. All mutants in P-loop and H80 have efficient m'G2445 forming capacities. In the 12 nt ss region, three variants, C2427U, G2428C and G2429A, lacked m'G2445 formation and C2427U and A2430U and 2431-2433 mutation reduced the m'G2445 formation. In Helix 74, decreased methylation are indicated in the right hand side. The hexamer fragment with m'G2445 produced by RNase A digestion of domain V is Gm'GGGCAUp (n/z 1023, MW 2047). (C) Time-course of m'G2445 formation in domain V (transcript 1) catalyzed by 0.1 mM RlmL(NTD) (open circle), 0.1 mM Rlm(LNTD) with 0.1 mM RlmK(NTD) (closed triangle), 0.1 mM RlmL(NTD) with 0.2 mM RlmK(NTD) (open triangle), 0.1 mM RlmL(NTD) with 0.4 mM RlmK(CTD) (closed square), 0.1 mM RlmL(NTD) with 0.8 mM RlmK(CTD) (open square) and 0.1 mM RlmKL (closed circle). (D) Time-course of m'G2069 formation in domain V (transcript 1) catalyzed by 0.1 mM RlmK(CTD) (open circle) and 0.1 mM RlmKL (closed circle). (E) Truncated RNA substrates. Open and closed circles in the secondary structures indicate the positions of G2069 and G2445, respectively. Detailed constructs for transcripts 3–7 are shown in Supplementary Figure S5. (F) Truncation of domain V (transcript 1) to identify the minimum substrate. The ratio of methylation (%) was calculated from the area of mass chromatograms for the methylated and unmethylated fragments at the end point of the reaction (see Materials and Methods). White and black bars represent the efficiency of m'G2069 and m'G2445 formation, respectively.
m^2G2445 formation was detected in the base-flipping variant C2072G/G2437C. In addition, A2070G/U2441C, G2067C/C2443G and C2066G/G2444C mutants lacked the m^2G2445 formation. The five base-flipping variants, A2071U/U2438A, A2070G/U2441, G2067C/C2443G, C2066G/G2444C, C2064G/G2446C and the base substitution mutant U2068A showed no m^2G2069 forming activity. The decreased m^2G2069 formation was observed in C2065G/G2445C mutant. No significant change in the methylation of the A2439G and C2440G variants of the internal loop of Helix 74 were observed.

In summary, the sites required for m^2G2069 formation and m^2G2445 formation are illustrated in Figure 6D. In Helix 80 and the P-loop, G2250, G2251, G2252 and G2253 are critical only for 7-methylation of G2069, and the stem of H80 is also involved in m^2G2069 formation. In the 12 nt ss region, C2427, G2429 and A2430 are recognized for both methylations, and especially G2428 and G2429 were critical for N2-methylation of G2428 and additionally, the residues 2431–2433 are recognized for m^2G2445 formation. In Helix 74, C2064/G2446, C2065/G2445, C2066/G2444, G2067/C2443, U2068, A2070/U2441 and A2071/U2438 were essential for 7-methylation of G2069. For m^2G formation, duplex formation was not necessary as described above. Therefore, in the 3‘ single strand of Helix 74, U2441, C2443 and G2444 were required for N2-methylation of G2445. The relative orientations of these bases are shown in the crystal structure of the 50S subunit (Figure 6E). These results clearly demonstrated that different sets of residues were involved, respectively, in 7-methylation of G2069 and N2-methylation of G2445, suggesting that the N-terminal RlmL and C-terminal RlmK domains in RlmKL recognize distinct residues in the substrate.

**rlmKL is involved in efficient 50S assembly**

The protein product of *ycbY* has been reported to co-sediment with the early assembly intermediate of the 50S ribosomal subunit in *E. coli* (43). In addition, YcbY interacts with the DeaD and SrmB proteins, which are DEAD-box RNA helicases involved in 50S subunit formation (44–46). These results suggested that RlmKL may be involved in an early stage of the biogenesis of the 50S subunit. However, disruption of the *rlmKL* gene showed almost no growth phenotype even when cells were cultured at low temperature (Figure 7A), and no significant change in ribosome profile was detected (Figure 7B). Since YcbY interacts with DeaD and SrmB in *E. coli* (45), we explored the synthetic growth phenotype of the *ΔrlmKL* strain in which RNA helicases, including *deaD*, *srmB*, *rhlE* and *dbpA*, were knocked out. As shown in Figure 7A, we observed a synthetic growth phenotype with *deaD*, in particular at low temperature. When cultured at 37°C, the doubling time of the *ΔrlmKL/ΔdeaD* strain (28.4 min) was delayed by 4.5 min relative to the *ΔrlmKL* strain (23.9 min) and 2.9 min relative to the *ΔdeaD* strain (25.5 min). At 28°C, the *ΔrlmKL/ΔdeaD* strain exhibited a growth defect resulting in a doubling time of 99.0 min, a 50 min delay relative to the *ΔrlmKL* strain (49.0 min) and a 21.7 min delay relative to the *ΔdeaD* strain (77.3 min). This result suggested that...
Figure 6. Substrate recognition of RlmKL. (A) The secondary structure of transcript 3, which contains base flipping mutation C2096G/G2193C to be cut by MazF at the single position. Closed arrowhead show the cleavage position by MazF. (B) Design of mutants based on the transcript 3. Arrows and boxes indicate the substitutions. (C) Methylation activities of the transcript 3 variants. The left and right graphs show the end point of m'G2069 and m'G2445 formation, respectively. The relative activities of methylation (%) were calculated and normalized by wild-type sequence. (D) The recognized residues for m'G2069 and m'G2445 formation in transcript 3. The residues whose mutations reduced m'G2069 or m'G2445 formation to <50% are depicted as white letters. In the left panel, the residues that are depicted in blue background indicates the m'G2069 forming activity. In the right panel, the residues that are depicted in red background indicates the m'G2445 formation activity. Color gradation of blue and red background for each residue represents magnitude of importance for the methylation. (E) Crystal structure of Helix 74 and surrounding region in the E. coli 50S subunit. Coordinates were obtained from PBD (2aw4) (3). We added the methyl groups for m'G2069 and m'G2445, shown in red, respectively. The recognized residues for m'G2069 and m'G2445 formation are shown in blue and red, respectively.
RlmKL and the DeaD RNA helicase are cooperatively involved in efficient assembly of the 50S subunit. Therefore, the ribosome profile of the \( \text{ArlmKL/DeaD} \) strain was analyzed by sucrose density gradient centrifugation. In the \( \text{DeaD} \) strain (Figure 7B), a slight decrease in the 50S subunit compared with the wild-type strain, and an accumulation of a 40S fraction that contains an intermediate of the 50S subunit, were detected. In the \( \text{ArlmKL/DeaD} \) strain (Figure 7B), an apparent decrease in the 50S subunit compared with the wild-type strain and \( \text{ArmlKL} \) strains and an accumulation of an intermediate 30–40S fraction were observed. These results indicated that deletion of \( \text{rmlKL} \) in the \( \text{DeaD} \) background caused a considerable defect in 50S assembly.

### DISCUSSION

We identified RlmKL as a unique methyltransferase responsible for the formation of both \( m^7G2445 \) and \( m^7G2069 \) in 23S rRNA. Genetic and biochemical studies revealed that RlmKL is composed of tandem methyltransferase domains, an N-terminal RlmL and C-terminal RlmK. Each domain is a distinct individual methyltransferase responsible for one of the methylations. RlmKL is a novel type of fused methyltransferase bearing dual active sites responsible for different methyl modifications at distinct positions.

In general, the conjugation of enzymes involved in the same pathway has the advantage of facilitating efficient and rapid catalysis of sequential reactions. There are some examples in metabolic pathways for the biogenesis of amino acids and fatty acids (47). Another example of conjugated enzymes can be found in non-ribosomal peptide synthesis in bacteria (48). Among RNA-modifying enzymes, we reported previously that a series of enzymes responsible for wybutosine (\( \gamma W \)) synthesis are fused in some cases (32, 49).

Another example of a fused methyltransferase for rRNAs is RsmC, the methyltransferase responsible for \( N^2 \)-methylation of G1207 in 16S rRNA (50). RsmC consists of two methyltransferase domains. The CTD has a \( \text{bona fide} \) methyltransferase that catalyzes \( m^7G1207 \) formation using \( \text{Ado-Met} \). The NTD has homology to \( \text{Ado-Met} \)-dependent methyltransferases but has no methyltransferase activity due to mutations in the conserved residues for \( \text{Ado-Met} \) binding. However, the NTD is required for the stability of the CTD and plays a supportive role in substrate recognition by the CTD (50). In RlmKL, conjugation of RlmL and RlmK is advantageous for facilitating the \( m^7G2445 \) formation. In addition, the \( m^7G2445 \) formation catalyzed by the RlmL(NTD) can be enhanced by the supportive substrate recognition by the RlmK(CTD), similar to the function of the non-catalytic methyltransferase domain in RsmC.

COG0116 and COG1092 are widely distributed in bacteria and archaea. Due to their sequence similarity, COG0116 and COG1092 can be aligned and placed in the same phylogenetic tree (Supplementary Figure S6). The non-rooted neighbor-joining (NJ) tree shown in Supplementary Figure S6 reveals that COG0116 and COG1092 are clearly separate from each other with 100% bootstrap probability. In this analysis, we found that both COGs were composed of at least two distinct classes of enzymes. COG0116 contains RlmL, as well as Trm14 which is a \( N^2 \)-methyltransferase for archaeal tRNAs (51), while COG1092 contains RlmK, as well as RlmI, which is responsible for \( m^7C1962 \) formation in 23S rRNA (52). The strong similarity between these RNA methyltransferases makes classification of the enzymes complicated. By examining the phylogenetic clusters containing RlmL and RlmK, we can confirm that RlmKL is only present in \( \gamma \)-proteobacteria, and that the separated RlmK and RlmL are found in the genus Neisseria, suggesting that the conjugation of these two enzymes should have occurred in the common ancestor of \( \gamma \)-proteobacteria.
According to the phylogenetic analysis of COG1092 (Supplementary Figure S6), RlmK is a paralog of RlmI. Structural analysis revealed that RlmI has three domains, a Rossman-fold domain, an EEHEE domain, and a PUA domain (53). RlmK also has two first domains, the Rossman-fold and EEHEE domains. The Rossman-fold is found in a typical methyltransferase domain containing the Ado-Met binding motif. The EEHEE domain is a RNA recognition module composed of β-sheets and an α-helix, and is found in rRNA methyltransferases including RlmI and RlmD (54). RlmD is the methyltransferase responsible for m^7G2069 formation. According to the crystal structure of the ternary complex consisting of the partial RNA substrate, RlmD and Ado-Met (54), the EEHEE domain binds to the single-stranded RNA 5' of the target uridine, U1939. Therefore, the EEHEE domain is thought to be a single-stranded RNA binding module that recognizes the sequence immediately 5' of the target site. Together with our observation that RlmK recognizes residues C2064, C2065, C2066, G2067 and U2068, which are residues immediately 5' of the target G2069, it is possible that the EEHEE domain of RlmK recognizes the 5' strand adjacent to G2069 in Helix 74.

RlmL has a THUMP domain (55) in its N-terminal region. The THUMP domain is frequently found in various tRNA-modifying enzymes including ThiI (56), CDAT8 (57), Tan1p (58), Pus10 (59) and PAB1281 (60). The THUMP domain is an RNA-binding domain, and, according to a structural study of ThiI, the THUMP domain together with the ancillary N-terminal domain configures the surface for RNA binding (56). Deleting these two domains of ThiI resulted in the complete loss of tRNA binding (61). These results indicate that the THUMP domain of RlmL may be involved in recognizing G2428 and G2429 in the 12 nt ss region which are apart from the methylated residue G2445.

Based on this study, we propose a catalytic mechanism for the cooperative modifications of Helix 74 (Figure 8). Our biochemical data has shown that RlmK recognizes Helix 80, the conserved bases in the P-loop, 12 nt ss region and Helix74, whereas RlmL recognizes critical residues in the 12 nt ss region and the 3' strand of Helix 74 (Figure 6D). As shown in the crystal structure of the 50S subunit (Figure 6E), the residues required for m^7G2445 formation are interrupted by the residues essential for m^2G2069 formation. Thus, both domains of RlmKL cannot bind Helix 74 at the same time in the folded structure of the 23S rRNA. In addition, RlmL(NTD) preferentially recognizes and methylates the single-stranded substrate rather than the duplex substrate, suggesting that Helix 74 should be unwound to serve as the preferred substrate for RlmL(NTD) during dimethyl formation. In fact, we could directly observe an unwinding activity of RlmKL with the duplex substrate formed by transcripts 8 and 9 (Figure 5C), strongly supporting the proposed mechanism of cooperative methylation by RlmKL. As discussed above, RlmK has an EEHEE domain that may recognize the 5' residues neighboring G2069 in Helix 74. Since several residues in the 5' strand of Helix 74 are essential for m^2G2069 formation, the EEHEE domain may recognize these bases and unwind Helix 74, releasing the 3' ss for RlmL(NTD) recognition.

We observed that the ΔrlmKL/ΔdeaD strain exhibited a synthetic growth phenotype, especially at low temperatures and had defects in 50S subunit assembly (Figure 7). This result indicated that RlmKL and the DeaD RNA helicase work redundantly in ribosome assembly. As the DeaD RNA helicase possesses an ATP-dependent RNA chaperone activity that modulates the local structure of rRNA, RlmKL may act as an assembly factor. RlmKL does not recognize the assembled 50S subunit but uses the naked 23S rRNA as a substrate. In addition, RlmKL co-sediments with the assembly intermediate of the 50S subunit (43), suggesting that RlmKL directly interacts with the 23S rRNA at an early step in 50S assembly. Since RlmKL unwinds Helix 74 during dimethyl formation, the local structural alterations in domain V, induced by RlmKL recognition, may be involved in the correct folding of the rRNA after transcription, domain–domain interactions, and/or hierarchical association of ribosomal proteins in the early steps of 50S assembly. Further study will be required to elucidate the precise role of RlmKL as an assembly factor in ribosome biogenesis.

Figure 8. Schematic depiction of a catalytic mechanism for the cooperative modifications of Helix 74. After transcription of domain V in the 23S rRNA, the C-terminal RlmK domain of RlmKL unwinds Helix 74 upon recognizing Helix 80 and Helix 74, and then methylates G2069. The single-stranded 3' side of Helix 74 is then recognized by the N-terminal RlmL domain, and G2445 is methylated. Finally, Helix 74 reforms a duplex, and domain V is restructured.
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