Acquired \textit{MET} Exon 14 Alteration Drives Secondary Resistance to Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor in \textit{EGFR}-Mutated Lung Cancer
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**INTRODUCTION**

Novel resistance mechanisms to epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) in EGFR-mutant lung cancer continue to be defined.\textsuperscript{1} With the recent approval of the third-generation EGFR TKI osimertinib as first-line treatment, and expanded use of large-panel next-generation sequencing (NGS)–based testing, many novel resistance mechanisms are emerging. Resistance to osimertinib can result from on-target mechanisms, such as the acquisition of second-site EGFR mutations, or from the activation of off-target mechanisms or bypass pathways, including acquired oncogenic fusions of \textit{RET}, \textit{ALK}, \textit{BRAF}, and \textit{FGFR},\textsuperscript{2,3} and amplification or mutation of \textit{HER2}, \textit{BRAF}, \textit{MEK}, \textit{KRAS}, \textit{PIK3CA}, and \textit{MET}.\textsuperscript{4}

\textit{MET} amplification is reported in 5% to 22% of EGFR TKI resistance.\textsuperscript{1,4} Preclinical studies have shown that acquired resistance to EGFR TKIs resulting from \textit{MET} amplification can be reversed by combined therapy with EGFR and MET inhibitors.\textsuperscript{4} \textit{MET} exon 14 skipping alteration (\textit{METex}14) is present in 3% to 4% of lung adenocarcinomas,\textsuperscript{5} and the MET receptor lacking exon 14 shows decreased protein turnover because of loss of the ubiquitination site encoded by exon 14, resulting in aberrant MET activation and oncogenesis.\textsuperscript{6} In preclinical and clinical studies, responses to MET inhibitors, such as crizotinib, have been reported in patients with lung cancer with \textit{METex}14 as a primary driver.\textsuperscript{6-11} However, it has not been previously implicated in acquired resistance to EGFR-TKIs. In this study, we used targeted NGS with Memorial Sloan Kettering Integrated Mutation Profiling of Actionable Cancer Targets (MSK-IMPACT),\textsuperscript{12} immunohistochemistry, cell-free DNA testing, and fluorescence in situ hybridization to evaluate acquired resistance mediated by \textit{METex}14. Furthermore, we used in vitro functional studies to establish \textit{METex}14 as a novel mechanism of acquired resistance to EGFR TKIs.

**CASE REPORT**

A 73-year-old woman who had never smoked presented with lung adenocarcinoma, which was diagnosed via bronchoscopy with biopsy of the left upper lobe, and underwent a left upper lobe lobectomy and lymph node dissection, which showed a stage IIB adenocarcinoma via bronchoscopy with biopsy of the left upper lobe, and underwent a left upper lobe lobectomy and lymph node dissection, which showed a stage IIB adenocarcinoma via bronchoscopy with biopsy of the left upper lobe, and underwent a left upper lobe lobectomy and lymph node dissection, which showed a stage IIB adenocarcinoma via bronchoscopy with biopsy of the left upper lobe, and underwent a left upper lobe lobectomy and lymph node dissection, which showed a stage IIB adenocarcinoma via bronchoscopy with biopsy of the left upper lobe, and underwent a left upper lobe lobectomy and lymph node dissection, which showed a stage IIB adenocarcinoma via bronchoscopy with biopsy of the left upper lobe, and underwent a left upper lobe lobectomy and lymph node dissection, which showed a stage IIB adenocarcinoma. Right upper lobe biopsy confirmed recurrent disease, and MSK-IMPACT testing showed the presence of \textit{EGFR} L858R mutation, and the patient was administered adjuvant erlotinib (100 mg daily).\textsuperscript{14} After 24.7 months of erlotinib, given no recurrence, adjuvant therapy was discontinued (Fig 1A). The patient was observed for 20.5 months, when imaging revealed new bilateral pulmonary nodules, right-sided paratracheal lymphadenopathy, and a sclerotic T11 lesion. Right upper lobe biopsy revealed recurrent disease, and MSK-IMPACT testing showed the presence of \textit{EGFR} L858R without \textit{EGFR} T790M mutation. The patient restarted erlotinib (100 mg daily) with clinical and radiologic response for 12.5 months, at which time computed tomography revealed new bilateral pulmonary nodules, right-sided paratracheal lymphadenopathy, and a sclerotic T11 lesion. Right upper lobe biopsy confirmed recurrent disease, and MSK-IMPACT testing showed the presence of \textit{EGFR} L858R without \textit{EGFR} T790M mutation. The patient restarted erlotinib (100 mg daily) with clinical and radiologic response for 12.5 months, at which time computed tomography revealed new bilateral pulmonary nodules, right-sided paratracheal lymphadenopathy, and a sclerotic T11 lesion. Right upper lobe biopsy confirmed recurrent disease, and MSK-IMPACT testing showed the presence of \textit{EGFR} L858R without \textit{EGFR} T790M mutation. The patient restarted erlotinib (100 mg daily) with clinical and radiologic response for 12.5 months, at which time computed tomography revealed new bilateral pulmonary nodules, right-sided paratracheal lymphadenopathy, and a sclerotic T11 lesion. Right upper lobe biopsy confirmed recurrent disease, and MSK-IMPACT testing showed the presence of \textit{EGFR} L858R without \textit{EGFR} T790M mutation. The patient restarted erlotinib (100 mg daily) with clinical and radiologic response for 12.5 months, at which time computed tomography revealed new bilateral pulmonary nodules, right-sided paratracheal lymphadenopathy, and a sclerotic T11 lesion. Right upper lobe biopsy confirmed recurrent disease, and MSK-IMPACT testing showed the presence of \textit{EGFR} L858R without \textit{EGFR} T790M mutation. The patient restarted erlotinib (100 mg daily) with clinical and radiologic response for 12.5 months, at which time computed tomography revealed new bilateral pulmonary nodules, right-sided paratracheal lymphadenopathy, and a sclerotic T11 lesion. Right upper lobe biopsy confirmed recurrent disease, and MSK-IMPACT testing showed the presence of \textit{EGFR} L858R without \textit{EGFR} T790M mutation. The patient restarted erlotinib (100 mg daily) with clinical and radiologic response for 12.5 months, at which time computed tomography revealed new bilateral pulmonary nodules, right-sided paratracheal lymphadenopathy, and a sclerotic T11 lesion. Right upper lobe biopsy confirmed recurrent disease, and MSK-IMPACT testing showed the presence of \textit{EGFR} L858R without \textit{EGFR} T790M mutation. The patient restarted erlotinib (100 mg daily) with clinical and radiologic response for 12.5 months, at which time computed tomography revealed new bilateral pulmonary nodules, right-sided paratracheal lymphadenopathy, and a sclerotic T11 lesion. Right upper lobe biopsy confirmed recurrent disease, and MSK-IMPACT testing showed the presence of \textit{EGFR} L858R without \textit{EGFR} T790M mutation. The patient restarted erlotinib (100 mg daily) with clinical and radiologic response for 12.5 months, at which time computed tomography revealed new bilateral pulmonary nodules, right-sided paratracheal lymphadenopathy, and a sclerotic T11 lesion. Right upper lobe biopsy confirmed recurrent disease, and MSK-IMPACT testing showed the presence of \textit{EGFR} L858R without \textit{EGFR} T790M mutation. The patient restarted erlotinib (100 mg daily) with clinical and radiologic response for 12.5 months, at which time computed tomography revealed new bilateral pulmonary nodules, right-sided paratracheal lymphadenopathy, and a sclerotic T11 lesion. Right upper lobe biopsy confirmed recurrent disease, and MSK-IMPACT testing showed the presence of \textit{EGFR} L858R without \textit{EGFR} T790M mutation. The patient restarted erlotinib (100 mg daily) with clinical and radiologic response for 12.5 months, at which time computed tomography revealed new bilateral pulmonary nodules, right-sided paratracheal lymphadenopathy, and a sclerotic T11 lesion. Right upper lobe biopsy confirmed recurrent disease, and MSK-IMPACT testing showed the presence of \textit{EGFR} L858R without \textit{EGFR} T790M mutation. The patient restarted erlotinib (100 mg daily) with clinical and radiologic response for 12.5 months, at which time computed tomography revealed new bilateral pulmonary nodules, right-sided paratracheal lymphadenopathy, and a sclerotic T11 lesion. Right upper lobe biopsy confirmed recurrent disease, and MSK-IMPACT testing showed the presence of \textit{EGFR} L858R without \textit{EGFR} T790M mutation. The patient restarted erlotinib (100 mg daily) with clinical and radiologic response for 12.5 months, at which time computed tomography revealed new bilateral pulmonary nodules, right-sided paratracheal lymphadenopathy, and a sclerotic T11 lesion.
L858R mutation, no evidence of EGFR T790M, and a new METex14 (c.2899G>A) alteration and MET amplification (fold change, 2.5; Fig 1A; Appendix Table A1). Therapy was changed to osimertinib with savolitinib daily (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02143466) for 1.4 months, after which savolitinib was stopped because of toxicity and single-agent osimertinib 80 mg daily was continued. Progressive disease in the lung was noted after 2.4 months of osimertinib (Fig 1B). Crizotinib 250 mg twice daily was then administered for 1.9 months, at which time further pulmonary progression of disease was noted (Fig 1C). Treatment was changed to combination osimertinib (80 mg daily) with crizotinib (250 mg twice daily). The combination was tolerated without any report of toxicity. At follow-up 2.3,
4.6, and 7.7 months after starting combination therapy, she had ongoing clinical benefit and stable disease by RECIST (version 1.1; −12.2% response; Fig 1D). The patient continued to receive combination therapy with durable clinical and radiographic benefit for more than 9 months.

To define the role of METex14 in mediating resistance to EGFR TKIs, we generated two isogenic EGFR-mutant non–small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cell models using PC9 (exon 19 deletion) and H1975 cells (L858R and T790M) by transduction with lentiviral vectors driving expression of METex14 (Fig 2A). Western blot analysis showed that phosphorylation of EGFR and its downstream effectors AKT and ERK was inhibited by osimertinib in PC9 cells transduced with empty plasmids (PC9 empty), but phosphorylation of EGFR, METex14, and downstream effectors remained unaffected by osimertinib treatment in PC9 METex14 cells (Fig 2B). Notably, METex14 expression correlated with upregulation of phosphorylated EGFR in PC9 METex14 cells. In cell viability assays, the presence of METex14 reduced sensitivity to osimertinib by approximately 20-fold (half maximal inhibitory concentration: PC9 METex14 
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**FIG 2.** MET exon (ex) 14 mutations mediate resistance to epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitors in EGFR-mutant non–small-cell lung cancer cells. (A) Reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction confirmed expression of MET exon 14 skipping alteration (METex14) in the PC9 METex14 and H1975 METex14 cell lines. cDNA from Hs746T (gastric cancer cell line with METex14) was used as a control for METex14. (B) Cells were treated with increasing concentrations of osimertinib for 3 hours, and lysates were subjected to immunoblotting. (C) Cells were treated with osimertinib for 96 hours, and then growth was determined. Each condition was assayed in eight-replicate determinations, and data represent the mean ± SE of three independent experiments. (D) Caspase 3/7 enzymatic activity was analyzed in cells that were treated with osimertinib (1 mM) for 48 hours. Each condition was assayed in triplicate determinations; data were normalized for cell number by measuring cell viability and shown relative to the control group (mean ± standard deviation). DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; p, phosphorylated; WT, wild type. (*) P < .05. (†) P < .01. (‡) P < .001.
FIG 3. Combination treatment with epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and MET inhibitors is effective against MET exon 14 skipping alteration (METex14)–induced drug resistance in EGFR-mutant non–small-cell lung cancer cells. (A) Cells were treated with increasing concentrations of crizotinib for 3 hours, and lysates were subjected to immunoblotting. (B) Cells were treated with osimertinib for 96 hours, and then growth was determined. Each condition was assayed in eight-replicate determinations, and data represent the mean ± SE of three independent experiments. (C) Cells were treated with osimertinib (1 μM), crizotinib (1 μM), or a combination of the two agents for 3 hours, and lysates were subjected to immunoblotting. (D) Cells were treated with osimertinib in the presence or absence of 200 nM of crizotinib for 96 hours. Each condition was assayed in eight-replicate determinations, and data are representative of three independent experiments (mean ± SE). (E) Caspase 3/7 activity was analyzed in PC9 METex14 cells that were treated with osimertinib (1 μM), crizotinib (200 nM), or a combination of osimertinib (1 μM) and crizotinib (200 nM) for 48 hours. Each condition was assayed in triplicate determinations, and data were normalized for cell number by measuring cell viability and are shown relative to the control group (mean ± standard deviation). DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; p, phosphorylated. (*) P < .05. (†) P < .01.
empty, 7.7 nM; PC9 METex14, 150.8 nM; Fig 2C). Similar results were observed with H1975 models in western blotting and cell viability assay (half maximal inhibitory concentration: H1975 empty, 13.4 nM; H1975 METex14, 216.7 nM; (Figs 2B and 2C). PC9 METex14 cells showed a reduction in osimertinib-induced caspase 3/7 activation compared with PC9-empty cells (P < .001; Fig 2D). Together, these results indicate that METex14 induces resistance to osimertinib in EGFR-mutant NSCLC cells.

We next investigated whether METex14-mediated resistance to EGFR TKIs could be overcome by combination therapy with EGFR and MET inhibitors. As expected, crizotinib inhibited therapy with EGFR and MET inhibitors. As expected, cisplatin inhibited sensitive to EGFR TKIs could be overcome by combination with acquired resistance, and a patient in whom the alterations were present in separate primaries at diagnosis.

The clinical benefit of the combination of MET- and EGFR-targeted therapies in patients with NSCLC with acquired MET amplification-mediated resistance to EGFR TKIs has been explored in clinical trials, with varying tolerability dependent upon the agents being combined. Our findings provide a rationale for future clinical evaluation of this combination approach, given its tolerability and efficacy in this case, for patients with EGFR and METex14 mutations. Furthermore, given the recent reports of secondary-site mutations in the MET kinase domain, such as D1228N/V and Y1230C, as mechanisms of acquired resistance to crizotinib in patients with METex14, it is plausible that these secondary MET mutations will also emerge as mechanisms of resistance to the combination of osimertinib and crizotinib.

We found that expression of METex14 upregulated phosphorylation of EGFR itself, presumably via cross-phosphorylation, because MET is known to interact with EGFR and drive the activity of EGFR,20 which resulted in blunting of the inhibition of EGFR phosphorylation by EGFR TKIs. In addition, MET inhibition restored the antagonistic effect of osimertinib on EGFR signaling. Taken together, the results suggest a complex interaction between MET and EGFR in NSCLC in the presence of EGFR TKIs and provide unique insight into potential resistance mechanisms and management strategies in EGFR/METex14-altered lung cancers.

In summary, METex14 is a novel mechanism of acquired resistance to EGFR TKI therapy in EGFR-mutant lung cancer. We show in this case that this mechanism of resistance can be effectively treated with a combination of osimertinib and crizotinib.

**DISCUSSION**

Our study highlights the importance of serial and diverse molecular analyses, including NGS, to evaluate acquired alterations in the post-TKI setting. Here, we show how acquired METex14 meditated resistance to osimertinib. Although the patient did not respond to MET-targeted therapy alone, the patient continued to have a durable clinical response to combination osimertinib and crizotinib, with stable disease by RECIST criteria and without notable toxicity. Our functional data were consistent with these clinical observations. We found that expression of METex14 in NSCLC cell lines with activating EGFR mutation resulted in resistance to osimertinib. Crizotinib restored sensitivity to EGFR TKIs; however, crizotinib alone was not enough to suppress growth.

Two previous reports have demonstrated co-occurrence of EGFR and METex14 mutations. In the first report, three (0.2%) of 1,590 patients with NSCLC harbored concomitant EGFR and METex14 mutations, one of whom received combination treatment with MET- (volitinib) and EGFR-targeted therapies (gefitinib), yielding a partial response. The second report noted one patient case of sarcomatoid carcinoma with EGFR and METex14 alterations. In our MSK-IMPACT testing experience of 866 patient cases of EGFR-mutant lung adenocarcinomas (as of October 15, 2018), only two patients showed this combination of alterations; the patient described here, with acquired resistance, and a patient in whom the alterations were present in separate primaries at diagnosis.
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TABLE A1. Detailed Targeted NGS Findings on MSK-IMPACT Platform

| Timing of Testing | MSSK-IMPACT                                                                 |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Metastatic recurrence | EGFR exon 21 L858R (c.2573T>G); EGFR amplification (FC, 4.5); MDM2 amplification (FC, 4.8); RICTOR amplification (FC, 2.3); SDH amplification (FC, 2.3); TERT amplification (FC, 2.3); WT1 amplification (FC, 2.2); PTPRD exon 24 H660Q; KMT2A rearrangement: chr11: g.114253339_c.552inv. |
| Erlotinib progression | EGFR exon 21 p.L858R (c.2573T>G); EGFR amplification (FC, 3.8); MET exon 14 splicing variant X963 splice (c.2888-1G>A)*; MET exon 14 E967K (c.2899G>A)*; MET amplification (FC, 2.5); MDM2 amplification (FC, 3.9); WT1 amplification (FC, 2.6); IZKF1 amplification (FC, 2.0); SDHA gain (FC, 1.8); TERT gain (FC, 1.8); GLI1 exon 2 splicing variant (c.-26G>C); KLF4 exon 5 D469H (c.1405G>C); MGA exon 3 L441V (c.1321C>G); PTPRD exon 24 H660Q (c.1980C>A); KMT2A rearrangement: chr11: g.114253339_c.552inv. |

Abbreviations: FC, fold change; MSK-IMPACT, Memorial Sloan Kettering Integrated Mutation Profiling of Actionable Cancer Targets; NGS, next-generation sequencing.

*MET exon 14 splicing variant X963 splice and MET E967K occur in cis.