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Abstract – The aim of this focus article is to present a comprehensive classification of the main entropic forms introduced in the last fifty years in the framework of statistical physics and information theory. Most of them can be grouped into three families, characterized by two-deformation parameters, introduced respectively by Sharma, Taneja, and Mittal (entropies of degree \((\alpha, \beta)\)), by Sharma and Mittal (entropies of order \((\alpha, \beta)\)), and by Hanel and Thurner (entropies of class \((c, d)\)). Many entropic forms examined will be characterized systematically by means of important concepts such as their axiomatic foundations à la Shannon-Khinchin and the consequent composability rule for statistically independent systems. Other critical aspects related to the Lesche stability of information measures and their consistency with the Shore-Johnson axioms will be briefly discussed on a general ground.

Historical introduction. – The history of entropy begins around the nineteenth century in the then-emerging thermodynamics theory following the studies of Carnot aimed at the attempt to optimize the efficiency of the conversion of heat into mechanical work. This concept was formalized by Clausius \([1]\) which introduces the word entropy, whose meaning derives from “transformation produced from within”, a physical quantity whose variation is defined as

\[
dS = \int \frac{\delta Q_{\text{rev}}}{T},
\]

for any reversible thermodynamic transformation. The function \(S\), implicitly introduced in this way, is named thermodynamic entropy and the validity of this relation has never been questioned.

\(^{(a)}\)Contribution to the Focus Issue Progress on Statistical Physics and Complexity edited by Roberta Citro, Giorgio Kaniadakis, Claudio Guarcello, Antonio Maria Scarfone and Davide Valenti.
the system’s fluctuations. In this context, he introduced the well-known expression

$$S[p] = -k_B \sum_{i=1}^{W} p_i \ln(p_i), \quad (1)$$

with $k_B$ the Boltzmann constant, today known as Boltzmann-Gibbs entropy, recognized in statistical mechanics to measure the microscopic disorder or randomness of a system with a large number of constituents. The legitimacy of this last statement finds validity in the expression

$$S = k_B \ln W,$$

written explicitly in this form by Planck [4] during his studies on the black-body radiation. Nowadays, this last relation is known as the Boltzmann-Plank formula of entropy and it is a pillar in the framework of thermostatistics.

Roughly half-century after Boltzmann-Gibbs developments, entropy has been further conceptualized by Shannon [5] who, to quantify the information carried by a message, introduced the functional

$$H[p] = -K \sum_{i=1}^{W} p_i \ln(p_i), \quad (2)$$

where the constant $K$ is fixed by the choice of the measure unity, being $p_i$ the probability that the $i$-th symbol of $W$ symbols alphabet has to occur. The functional (2) is named entropy information in analogy with expression (1) and is recognized in information theory as a quantity that measures the uncertainty contained in an encoded message.

Shannon entropy has been systematically characterized by Shannon himself and successively by Khinchin [6] through the introduction of four basic requirements, nowadays known as the Shannon-Khinchin axioms, which fix univocally the expression of the information functional.

About ten years after the appearance of the Shannon entropy, by replacing the standard linear average with the nonlinear (o quasi-linear) average introduced by Kolmogorov and Nagumo [7,8], Rényi [9] proposed the $\alpha$-order entropic form

$$S_\alpha[p] = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \ln \sum_{i=1}^{W} p_i^\alpha, \quad (3)$$

a generalization of (2) which is recovered in the $\alpha \to 1$ limit.

After Rényi, a galore of different generalizations of entropy has been proposed in the framework of information theory. Among the many, the Havrda-Charvát and Daroczy [10,11] entropy information

$$S_\beta[p] = \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{W} p_i^\beta - 1 \right), \quad (4)$$

for a real deformation parameter $\beta > 0$, has been introduced in the late sixties of the twentieth century.

Nearly twenty years later, this entropic form has been employed in statistical physics [12] to obtain an alternative formulation of classical statistical mechanics, a new border-line research field in statistical physics named non-extensive statistical mechanics. One of the main reasons to replace the Shannon-Boltzmann-Gibbs entropy (in the following, Shannon entropy) with its generalized version, although not fully accepted by the statistical physics community, is motivated by the loss of ergodicity observed in complex systems, often not at the thermodynamical limit, governed by strong interactions and correlations, which show statistical properties that are hardly captured by the orthodox statistical mechanics theory.

Today, entropy is undoubtedly one of the most general and important concepts in statistical physics and information theory. It appears with different meanings in different fields. Many of the generalized expressions found interesting applications in coding theory, cryptography, statistical inference theory, non-ergodic systems, fractal dynamics, stochastic thermodynamics, complex systems, and others. As for its importance, entropy is the protagonist of the second law of thermodynamics associated with the arrow of time while the related entropic force may be at the origin of emergent phenomena like gravity and the space-time structure, as conjectured in the holographic theories.

A galore of generalized entropic forms. – As known, the Shannon entropy is characterized by a set of four axioms that univocally define its form [5,6]. The Shannon-Khinchin (SK) axioms read as follows:

- A1: Entropy must be an analytically continue function depending only on the probability $[p] = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_W)$.
- A2: Entropy must be maximal for uniform distribution $[p] = (1/W, 1/W, \ldots, 1/W)$.
- A3: Entropy must be invariant under the inclusion of null events with zero probability.
- A4: Entropy must be strongly additive under the composition of subsystems, that is

$$S(A \cap B) = S(A) + S(B/A).$$

Among these, the last one is the most relevant to fix the entropy form. In fact, let $p_{ij}$ be the joint probability distribution of the composed system $A \cap B$, $p_i = \sum_j p_{ij}$ the marginal probability distribution of the system $A$ and $p_j = \sum_i p_{ij}$ that of the system $B$, then, for a trace-form entropy $S = \sum_i s(p_i)$, axiom A4 becomes

$$S(A \cap B) = S(A) + \sum_{i=1}^{W} p_i S(B/A_i), \quad (5)$$

where $S(A \cap B) = \sum_{ij} s(p_{ij})$ and $S(B/A_i) = \sum_j s(p_{ij}/p_i)$. 50005-p2
Together with the other axioms, (5) has the unique solution given by $s(x) = x \ln(1/x)$, modulo a multiplicative constant. In this way, Shannon entropy (2) (or (1)) is obtained.

In particular, for statistically independent (SI) systems, axiom A4 simplifies to

$$S(A \cap B) = S(A) + S(B),$$

which states the additivity property of the Boltzmann-Gibbs entropy (and in a certain sense its extensivity).

**Havrda-Charvát-Daroczy-Tsallis entropy.** In the presence of correlations, (5) can be relaxed in a way that allows the introduction of other possible expressions for the entropic functional. In [13] the following relation has been proposed in place of (5):

$$S(A \cap B) = S(A) + \sum_{i=1}^{W} p_i^\beta S(B/A_i),$$

that, together with the other axioms, has the unique solution $s(x) = x \ln(1/x)$, where

$$\ln_p(x) = \frac{x^{1-\beta} - 1}{1 - \beta},$$

is a generalized version of logarithm controlled by the deformation parameter $\beta$, such that it reduces to the standard logarithm in the $\beta \to 1$ limit: $\ln_1(x) \equiv \ln(x)$. The corresponding entropic form coincides with (4).

For SI systems (7) becomes

$$S(A \cap B) = S(A) + S(B) + (1 - \beta) S(A) S(B),$$

stating, in this case, the nonadditivity of entropy (4) and it has been one of the main reasons that led to calling non-extensive statistical mechanics the physical theory based on the entropic form (4).

**Sharma-Taneja-Mittal entropy.** A step further in generalizing axiom A4 has been proposed in [14] and reads

$$S(A \cap B) = \sum_{i=1}^{W} s(p_i) \sum_{j=1}^{W} \left( \frac{p_{ij}}{p_i} \right)^\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{W} p_i^\alpha S(B/A_i),$$

that, together with the other axioms has the unique solution $s(x) = x \ln_{\alpha,\beta}(1/x)$, where

$$\ln_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \frac{x^{1-\beta} - x^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha - \beta},$$

is another generalized version of logarithm by means of two deformation parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$. It reduces to the deformed logarithm (8) in the $\alpha \to 1$ limit: $\ln_{1,\beta}(x) \equiv \ln_{\beta}(x)$ and to the standard logarithm in the $(\alpha, \beta) \to (1, 1)$ limit: $\ln_{1,1}(x) \equiv \ln(x)$. The resulting entropic form

$$S_{\alpha,\beta}[p] = \sum_{i=1}^{W} \frac{p_i^\alpha - p_i^\beta}{\alpha - \beta}$$

has been introduced independently by Sharma and Taneja [18], and Mittal [19], as the unique solution of relation

$$S(A \cap B) = S(A) W' \sum_{j=1}^{W} p_j^\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{W} p_i^\alpha S(B),$$

which follows from (10) for SI systems. In this case it dictates the composition law of entropy (11).

Sharma-Taneja-Mittal entropy, also named entropy of degree $(\alpha, \beta)$, captures some interesting one-parameter entropic forms obtained by fixing opportune the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$, as reported in table 1.

**Rényi entropy.** In general, trace-form entropies like the ones introduced above can be viewed as linear average of an appropriate Hartley function $I(x)$ representing the elementary information gained, according to

$$S[p] = E_{\text{lin}}(I[p]),$$

with $E_{\text{lin}}(x) = \sum_i x_i p_i$. In particular, the family of Sharma-Taneja-Mittal entropies follows from $I(x) = \ln_{\alpha,\beta}(1/x)$.

A different approach to derive generalized entropies can be obtained following Rényi. In the seminal work [9], searching for the most general expression of a functional that satisfies axioms A1–A3 and the more soft compositability condition given by (6), he replaced (12) with the quasi-linear average

$$S[p] = E_{\text{KN}}(I[p]),$$

introduced by Kolmogorov and Nagumo, where $E_{\text{KN}}(x) = f^{-1}(E_{\text{lin}}(f(x)))$ for an arbitrary strictly monotonic and continuous function $f(x)$.

Rényi entropy follows for $I(x) = \ln_{\alpha}(e^x)$ with $I(x) = \ln(1/x)$, which gives the entropy of order $\alpha$ given in (3).

Actually, Rényi entropy can be derived from SK axioms by posing in A4

$$S(B/A) = f^{-1} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{W} p_i^\alpha f(S(B/A_i))}{\sum_{i=1}^{W} p_i^\alpha} \right),$$

as shown in [20], and (6) is a direct consequence for SI systems.

| Parameters | Entropy | Ref. |
|------------|---------|-----|
| $\alpha=1, \beta=1$ | $- \sum p_i \ln(p_i)$ | [5] |
| $\alpha=1$ | $\sum p_i^{\gamma - 1}$ | [10–12] |
| $\alpha=1+\kappa, \beta=1-\kappa$ | $- \sum p_i^{\gamma - 1} p_i^{\gamma - \kappa}$ | [15] |
| $\alpha=\beta$ | $- \sum p_i^\alpha \ln(p_i)$ | [16] |
| $\alpha=q, \beta=1/q$ | $- \sum p_i^{\gamma - 1} p_i^{\gamma - \kappa}$ | [17] |
Table 2: Entropic forms of order \((\alpha, \beta)\).

| Parameters | Entropy | Ref. |
|------------|---------|------|
| \(\alpha=1\), \(\beta=1\) | \(-\sum p_i \ln(p_i)\) | [9] |
| \(\alpha=\beta\) | \(\sum p_i^{1-\alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \ln\left(\sum p_i^{1-\alpha}\right)\) | [10–12] |
| \(\alpha=r-m+1, \beta=1\) | \(\frac{1}{\alpha} \ln\left(\sum p_i^{1-\alpha}\right)\) | [23] |
| \(\alpha=1/\beta\) | \(\frac{1}{\beta} \left(\sum p_i^{1/\beta}\right)^{-\beta} - 1\) | [24] |
| \(\alpha=2-\beta\) | \(\frac{1}{\beta} \left(1 - \sum p_i^{1-\beta}\right)\) | [25] |

Sharma-Mittal entropy. By following the same path, we can introduce more general expressions for a different choice of \(f(x)\) and/or \(I(x)\), and by replacing the linear composability condition (6) with the nonlinear one given in (9).

A possibility follows by posing \(f(x) = \ln_x \exp_x(x)\) and \(I(x) = \ln_x(1/x)\), where

\[
\exp_x(x) = \left[1 + (1 - \beta) x \right]^{1/\beta}
\]

is the inverse function of \(\ln_x(x)\). In this way we obtain the two-parameters entropy

\[
S_{\alpha,\beta}[p] = \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \left[ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{W} \frac{p_i^\alpha}{(\sum_{j=1}^{W} p_j^\alpha)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} - 1 \right],
\]

originally introduced by Sharma and Mittal [21], also named entropy of order \((\alpha, \beta)\).

Quite interesting, (14) follows from the SK axioms by replacing (A4) with the relation

\[
S(A \cap B) = S(A) + \sum_{i=1}^{W} p_i^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} S(B/A),
\]

where the conditional entropy \(S(B/A)\) is now defined as

\[
S(B/A) = f^{-1} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{W} p_i^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} f(S(B/A_i))}{\sum_{i=1}^{W} p_i^\alpha} \right),
\]

so that (9) is recovered in the case of SI systems.

Again, several entropic forms introduced in the literature in different contexts belong to the Sharma-Mittal family as shown in table 2.

Entropic forms as averages of information. By using the so-called escort average instead of other average prescriptions we can obtain new families of entropic forms defined as certain average of a given information function. They can formally be written in

\[
S[p] = \mathbb{E}^\varphi(I[p]),
\]

or also

\[
S[p] = \mathbb{E}^\varphi_{\text{KN}}(I[p]),
\]

where

\[
\mathbb{E}^\varphi(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{W} x_i \varphi(p_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{W} \varphi(p_i)}
\]

and

\[
\mathbb{E}^\varphi_{\text{KN}}(x) = f^{-1} \left( \mathbb{E}^\varphi(f(x)) \right),
\]

for a given function \(\varphi(x)\).

Clearly definition (15) is a special case of (16) obtained for \(f(x) = x\) and, more in general, (12) and (13) follow from (15) and (16) for \(\varphi(x) = x\), respectively.

Putting \(\varphi(x) = x^\alpha\), a choice often employed in certain versions of the non-extensive statistical mechanics, and using \(I(x) = \ln(x)\) in (15) or \(I(x) = \ln(1/x)\) and \(f(x) = \ln_x(\exp_x(x))\) in (16), we obtain several known entropies reported in table 3, some of them belong also to the family of order \((\alpha, \beta)\).

In addition, if \(I(x) = h(-\ln x)\) and \(f(h(x)) = \exp_x(x)\), for an increasing, continuous function such that \(h(0) = 0\), (16) reduces to the class of strongly pseudo-additive entropies \(h(S_a[p])\) introduced from generalized Shannon-Khinchin axioms in [29] and considered latter in [30] under the name of Z-entropies.

Furthermore, if entropy and information content in (16) decompose according to the same pseudoadditivity rule

\[
S(A \cap B) = h^{-1}(S(A)) + h^{-1}(S(B)),
\]

then we obtain the class of weakly pseudo-additive entropies introduced in [31] which contains a number of previously listed entropic forms (see table 1 in [31]).

It is worthy to cite a more general approach proposed in [32], where it is suggested to replace axiom A4 with

\[
\alpha=2-1/\beta, \quad q=1/\beta
\]

\[
\alpha=2-q, \quad \beta=2-q
\]

\[
\alpha=1, \quad \beta=1
\]

\[
\alpha=r-q+1, \quad \beta=1
\]

\[
\beta=1, \quad q=s_i
\]
the only composability rule \( S(A \cap B) = \Phi(S(A), S(B)) \)
for a given function \( \Phi(x, y) \) that is symmetric \( \Phi(y, x) = \Phi(x, y) \), associative \( \Phi(x, \Phi(y, z)) = \Phi(\Phi(x, y), z) \) and
admits a null element \( \Phi(x, 0) = \Phi(0, x) = x \). In this way, for
opportually chosen functions \( \Phi(x, y) \) a wide class of
generalized entropic forms can be obtained. Clearly, relation
(17) implies the existence of an underlying algebraic
structure that, under certain assumptions, can be derived
starting from the expression of the entropy itself [40].

Hanel-Thurner entropy. In [41], by relaxing completely
axiom A4 and following scaling arguments for the asymptotic
behavior of the entropy summarized in \( S(\lambda W)/S(W) \sim \lambda^c \) and \( W^{a(c-1)} S(W^{1+a})/S(W) \sim (1+a)^d \), for \( W \to \infty \), a new family of two-parameters
entropies has been proposed,

\[
S_{c,d}[p] = c \sum_{i=1}^{W} \frac{\Gamma(1+d, 1-c \ln(p_i)) - \Gamma(1+c d)}{1-c + c d}.
\]

(18)

The pair of numbers \( (c, d) \), that characterizes the asymptotic
scaling behavior of entropy, univocally defines an equivalent
class of entropy in the thermodynamic limit.

Once more, several generalized entropies, obtained indepen-
dently in other contexts of statistical physics, have
asymptotic scaling that can be found inside the \( S_{c,d} \) family
for a particular value of the scaling parameters, as reported
in table 4.

Entropic forms of class \((c, d)\), as well as those of degree
\((\alpha, \beta)\), take into account a sub-exponential asymptotic
behaviour of the system where the number of possible con-
figurations \( W \) grows according to a certain power law of
the system size \( N \). However, complex systems may also
be characterized by a super-exponential asymptotic trend.
In this case, a statistical description based on entropic
forms (11) or (18) fails to make a correct prediction. To
overcome this lack, in [42,43] a generalization of (18) has
been advanced,

\[
S[p] = \sum_{i=1}^{W} \int_0^{p_i} \ln(x) \ dx,
\]

where

\[
\ln_c(x) = r \left[ x^c \left( 1 + \frac{1 - c \ln(x)}{d r} \right)^d - 1 \right],
\]

and \( \mu_i(x) \) is the nested logarithm defined as \( \mu_i(x) = [1 + \ln^{(l)}(x)] \). The \( l = 0 \) case reproduces the entropic forms of class \((c, d)\).

Final comments. On the basis of the previous analysis,
it emerges that most of the entropic forms introduced
in the literature can be grouped into two large groups.

The first group is formed by the trace-form entropies.
This group includes the entropies of degree \((\alpha, \beta)\)
and the entropies of class \((c, d)\), obtained starting from certain
considerations on the decomposition rule or on the
asymptotic behavior of entropy in the thermodynamics limit.
Clearly, the \((\alpha, \beta)\) or \((c, d)\) families are not exhaustive in
this group and many other trace-form entropies, not yet
characterized at all, can be found inside the literature
relevant to physics or statistics. For instance, in [44], in the
framework of the basic algebra the following entropy has
been proposed: \( S_0[p] = - \sum_{i=1}^{W} p_i \ln(p_i) \), where \( \ln_q(x) \)
is the inverse function of the well-known basic exponential,
which does not find collocation in the two main families
discussed in this review.

Table 4: Entropic forms of class \((c, d)\).

| Parameters | Entropy | Ref. |
|------------|---------|------|
| \( c=1, d=1 \) | \( -\sum p_i \ln(p_i) \) | [5] |
| \( c=\beta, d=0 \) | \( -\sum p_i^{\beta-1} \) | [10–12] |
| \( c=1-\kappa, d=0 \) | \( -\sum \frac{p_i^{1+c-\kappa}}{1-c} \) | [15] |
| \( c=\alpha, d=1 \) | \( -\sum p_i^{\alpha} \ln(p_i) \) | [16] |
| \( c=1, d=\beta \) | \( \sum p_i (-\ln(p_i))^\beta \) | [33] |
| \( c=\alpha, d=\beta \) | \( \sum p_i^{\alpha} (-\ln(p_i))^\beta \) | [34] |
| \( c=1, d=0 \) | \( \sum p_i \left(1-1/p_i^{\beta} \right) \) | [35] |
| \( c=1, d=0 \) | \( \sum p_i (1-e^{-p_i^{\beta} \nu}) \) | [36] |
| \( c=1, d=0 \) | \( \sum (1-e^{-p_i^{\beta} \nu}) + e^{-p_i^{\beta} \nu} \) | [37] |
| \( c=\alpha, d=0 \) | \( \sum \frac{1}{1-p_i^{\beta}} \) | [38] |
| \( c=1, d=1/\eta \) | \( \sum_i \Gamma(1+c, -\ln(p_i)) - \Gamma(1+c d) \) | [39] |

Table 5: Trace-form entropies.

| Entropy | Ref. |
|---------|------|
| \( -\sum p_i \ln(p_i) \) | [5] |
| \( -\sum p_i^{\beta-\mu} \) | [18,19] |
| \( \frac{1}{\ln(1-c)} \left( \sum \ln^{(l)}(1+c \ln(p_i)) \right) \) | [34] |
| \( \sum p_i \arctan(p_i^{1/2} \eta) \) | [38] |
| \( \int_0^{\ln(\mu(x))} \ln(1+c \ln(x))^{d-1} \) | [42,43] |
| \( -\sum p_i \ln(p_i) \) | [44] |
| \( \sum p_i \ln(\ln^{(l)}(p_i)) \) | [45] |
| \( -\sum p_i \ln(\frac{\ln^{(l)}(p_i)}{\ln^{(l-1)}(p_i)}) \) | [46] |
| \( -\sum p_i \ln(p_i) \) | [46] |
| \( \sum_i \frac{\ln^{(l)}(p_i)}{\ln^{(l-1)}(p_i)} \) | [46] |
| \( \sum p_i \ln(p_i) \) | [47] |
| \( \sum p_i \ln(p_i) + (1+c \ln(p_i)) \ln(1+c \ln(p_i)) \) | [48] |
| \( \sum p_i + (2-p_i^{1/2}) \) | [49] |
| \( -\frac{1}{\ln^{(l)}(p_i)} \) | [50] |
| \( \sum p_i \left( x^{c} \left( 1 + \frac{1 - c \ln(x)}{d r} \right)^d \right) \) | [51] |
| \( \sum p_i (-\ln(p_i))^\beta \) | [52] |
| \( \sum_i - (p_i^{\beta} - 1) \sqrt{x+c+1} (p_i^{\beta} - 1) \) | [53] |
For sake of completeness, trace-form entropies including several examples not listed in the previous tables are reported in table 5.

The second group is given by the kernels-form entropies, which is obtained starting from certain considerations on the average prescription of the information content. This group includes the entropies of order \( \alpha, \beta \) as well as the strongly- and weakly-pseudo additive entropies. 

In general, kernel-entropies are expressed by a given analytical composition of different kernel-blocks, each one formed by a certain function of the information content. The easiest case is given by the \((h, \Phi)\)-entropies [57] defined in

\[
S[p] = h \left( \sum_{i=1}^{W} \Phi(p_i) \right),
\]

with a single kernel-block given by the quantity \( \sum_i \Phi(p_i) \).

Clearly, (19) is completely equivalent, in form, to (13) which follows for \( h(x) = f^{-1}(x) \) and \( \Phi(x) = x f(I(x)) \). However, while for certain “exotic” entropies the pair of functions \((h, \Phi)\) is readily derivable, deriving the corresponding pairs of functions \((f, I)\) is not so immediate.

More general is the class of entropies proposed in [58],

\[
S[p] = h \left( \sum_{i=1}^{W} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{W} \Phi_1(p_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{W} \Phi_2(p_i)} \right),
\]

which is a generalized kernel-entropy with two kernel-blocks. It includes entropic forms (15) and (16), as particular cases.

Examples of kernel-like entropies are showed in table 6.

In conclusion, it is worthy to observe that, in general, entropy must necessarily respect further additional criteria that may pose several restrictions to the form of the functional \( S[p] \).

For instance, the Lesche inequality [59], a necessary requirement that an entropic functional must satisfy to make physical sense, shortly requires that a small perturbation of the set of probabilities to a new set \([p] \to [p']\) should have only a small effect on the value of entropy reported to the thermodynamic state of the uniform distribution, \( i.e. \), \( \sum_i |p_i - p_i'| \leq \delta \Rightarrow \frac{|S[p] - S[p']|}{S_{\text{max}}} \leq \epsilon \).

This should, in particular, be true in the thermodynamic limit \( W \to \infty \).

It is known that trace-form entropies like the Sharma-Taneja-Mittal family or the Hanel-Turner family satisfy the Lesche inequality [41,60] while the question turns out to be more problematic for the Sharma-Mittal family since some of its members, like Rényi entropy and others, seem not to be Lesche stable [61], although this problem has still to be fully clarified [62,63].

A further relationship can be related to the Shore-Johnson axioms [64] that, differently from the SK axioms, routed to the information theory, concern the statistical estimation theory and seem to pose stringent limitations to the form that entropy may have.

The question is strictly related to the maximal entropy principle introduced in [65], which is the main bridge between information theory, statistical physics and statistical inference. It is a powerful method widely employed in statistical sciences to derive the probability distribution of a system described by a given entropy, subjected to certain constraints given by the prior information on the system itself.

With the introduction of new entropic forms, it has been natural to extend the maximal entropy principle in these cases, to obtain distributions different from Boltzmann-Gibbs ones. However, several criticisms on the consistency of the maximal entropy principle with generalized entropic forms have been recently advanced [66] since, in the original paper, Shore and Johnson conclude that their axioms, forms as presented above, is compatible with the conditions stated in the Shore-Johnson axioms. In [69] the Uffink class of entropic functionals has been characterized by means of a suitable generalization of the SK axioms, re-establishing, in this way, in part, the “broken” entropic parallelism between information theory and statistical inference.

\[
\Phi^{\ast \ast \ast}
\]
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