Warfarin resistance: possibilities to solve this problem. A case report
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Abstract
Effective prevention of thromboembolism is essential for patients with mechanical prosthetic heart valves. For this group of patients, vitamin K antagonists (VKAs) remain the drug group of choice despite the widespread use of new anticoagulants in other diseases. As a consequence, warfarin resistance remains a serious challenge for physicians. The current report describes a 65-year-old male patient that had a mechanical prosthetic aortic valve implanted due to severe aortic insufficiency after infective endocarditis. Despite consistent increases in his warfarin dose, the level of international normalized ratio (INR) remained very low. The patient was considered to have warfarin resistance. Warfarin was successfully replaced by another VKA, acenocoumarol, which resulted in a stable INR observed over 1 year of follow-up. Achieving the target INR in patients with mechanical prosthetic heart valves using VKAs is the main goal of thromboprophylaxis. Although the genetic changes that cause warfarin resistance are understood, the options to overcome these pharmacogenetic issues remain limited. Based on the success with this current patient, physicians with similar patients with warfarin resistance might wish to consider replacing warfarin with acenocoumarol.
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Introduction
The problem of thrombosis and thromboembolism (TE) is extremely relevant today. The number of risk factors for the development of the components of the Virchow’s
triad is constantly increasing: (i) changes in blood flow; (ii) hypercoagulation; (iii) endothelial damage. The increase in the incidence of cardiovascular diseases, cancer, the widespread introduction of various surgical procedures and the use of drugs that lead to blood clotting elevates the frequency of TE. In the last year, Covid-19 was added to the list of disease risk factors for these life-threatening complications. Therefore, drugs that can prevent and effectively treat thromboembolism are being actively developed, studied and implemented. Currently, the following groups of drugs are used: fibrinolytic, direct anticoagulants, vitamin K antagonists (VKAs) and oral anticoagulants (OACs).

For a long time, the main group of drugs used for the prevention of TE and stroke was the VKAs. However, these drugs are difficult to use because it is necessary to maintain the international normalized ratio (INR) within the target range of 2.0 to 3.5. This is challenging because of drug–drug interactions with a wide range of drugs of different pharmacological groups and their interactions with certain foods.

Currently, there is considerable attention focused on the OACs by researchers and clinicians. This group includes rivaroxaban, dabigatran, apixaban and edoxaban. OACs have pharmacological advantages over VKAs, which include no food interactions, limited drug–drug interactions, and the ability to be administered in a fixed dosage without the need to maintain a special diet or undergo regular monitoring of blood clotting.

Dabigatran, a direct thrombin inhibitor, was first approved by the FDA in 2010. The drug actively binds to the active centre of thrombin, preventing the conversion of soluble fibrinogen into insoluble fibrin. In the RE-SONATE clinical trial, the drug reduced the risk of venous thromboembolic complications by more than 90% compared with placebo.

Rivaroxaban, apixaban and edoxaban are direct inhibitors of factor Xa. These drugs competitively inhibit free and clot-bound factor Xa. In addition, apixaban has no direct effects on platelet aggregation but indirectly inhibits platelet aggregation induced by thrombin. The AMPLIFY and AMPLIFY-EXT clinical trials have evaluated the efficacy of apixaban in deep vein thrombosis/pulmonary embolism, which was similar to the standard therapy of enoxaparin and warfarin, but it was safer in terms of the development of haemorrhagic complications. Several clinical trials (EINSTEIN-DVT, EINSTEIN-PE, EINSTEIN-Extension) have investigated the effectiveness of rivaroxaban to treat and prevent deep vein thrombosis/pulmonary embolism, with the findings showing that rivaroxaban was more effective than standard therapy (i.e. enoxaparin with the transition to warfarin).

Mechanical prosthetic heart valves can be a site of thrombus formation, which can lead to cardioembolic stroke. The rate of these thromboembolic events is 0.7–6%. The only group of anticoagulants that are approved for use after prosthetic valve implantation to prevent thromboembolic complications is the VKAs. The use of OACs is not recommended (class III level B). This current case report describes a patient with warfarin resistance that was treated successfully by replacing warfarin with acenocoumarol.

Case report

On 7 February 2020, a 65-year-old male patient with a 2-month history of irregular heartbeats and general weakness was admitted to Kyiv Municipal Heart Centre, Kyiv, Ukraine. His body temperature was elevated to a subfebrile level. The patient suffered from severe shortness of breath,
palpitations and swelling of his legs. The electrocardiogram (ECG) revealed a sinus irregular heart rhythm, a heart rate of 85 beats/min (bpm), ventricular premature complexes and signs of left ventricular hypertrophy with systolic overload. The results of echocardiography were as follows: (i) mitral valve – moderate fibrosis, regurgitation +(+); (ii) aortic valve – severe fibrosis, regurgitation 4+, the presence of mobile and prolapsing vegetation 1.8 × 1.2 cm on the noncoronary valve, and valve abscess with perforation, aortic valve pressure gradient 14 mmHg; (iii) pulmonary artery pressure gradient 56 mmHg; (iv) end diastolic volume – 219 ml, ejection fraction – 40%. There was diffuse hypokinesis of the left ventricular myocardium. A chest X-ray demonstrated cardiomegaly, pneumosclerosis, aortic sclerosis and bilateral exudative pleural effusion.

Routine laboratory analyses demonstrated the following complete blood count: red blood cells (RBC) – 4.1 × 10¹²/l, white blood cells (WBC) – 9.79 × 10⁹/l; haemoglobin (Hb) – 115 g/l; haematocrit – 35.3%, platelets – 219 × 10⁹/l; erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) – 28 mm/h. Biochemical profiles were as follows: alanine transpeptidase – 26 U/l, aspartate transpeptidase – 19 U/l, creatinine – 75 µmol/l, total protein – 64 g/l, potassium – 3.8 mmol/l, sodium – 135 mmol/l, glucose – 10.8 mmol/l, C-reactive protein – 58.51 mg/ml, N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide – 7561 pg/ml.

According to his clinical manifestations, ECG, echocardiography and laboratory findings, the patient was diagnosed as follows: infective endocarditis of native aortic valve; abscess, perforation of the noncoronary aortic valve; aortic insufficiency stage D; mitral insufficiency stage B; ventricular premature complexes Lown III; secondary pulmonary hypertension II degree; anasarca; bilateral exudative pleural effusion; ascites; heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF). The patient also had type 2 diabetes mellitus.

The patient underwent implantation of a mechanical prosthetic aortic valve (St. Jude Medical 25 mm; St. Jude Medical, Saint Paul, MN, USA) on 25 February 2020 at the Kyiv Municipal Heart Centre. The medical management consisted of rifampicin, sulperazone, bisoprolol, amiodarone, pantoprazole, ramipril, torasemide, hypotiazide, enoxaparine, metformin and glimepiride.

On 12 March 2020, the patient was admitted to the Oleksandrivska Kyiv City Clinical Hospital, Kyiv, Ukraine for cardiac rehabilitation. On examination, his heart rate was 70 bpm and his blood pressure was 115/75 mmHg. Cardiac auscultation presented dull heart sounds. Lung examination was characterized by an absence of breathing sounds in the bottom of the left lung due to pleural effusion. The patient was noted to have ankle oedema. On admission, his clinical data were as follows: ECG revealed sinus regular heart rhythm and signs of left ventricular hypertrophy; echocardiography data showed gradient on aortic prosthetic valve – 22 mmHg, left atrium – 3.7 × 5.9 cm, end diastolic volume – 204 ml, and ejection fraction – 43%; complete blood count showed RBC – 4.15 × 10¹²/l, WBC – 5.4 × 10⁹/l, Hb – 118 g/l, platelets – 274 × 10⁹/l and ESR – 34 mm/h; biochemical profiles were creatinine – 106 µmol/l, total protein – 65 g/l, albumin – 37 g/l, potassium – 3.2 mmol/l, sodium – 142 mmol/l, glucose – 7.6 mmol/l and total cholesterol – 5.6 mmol/l. According to his clinical manifestations, ECG, echocardiography and laboratory findings, the patient was diagnosed as follows: prosthetic aortic valve implanted (25.02.20); healed infective endocarditis; heart failure with mildly reduced ejection fraction (HFmrEF); and type 2 diabetes mellitus.

To prevent thromboembolic complications, the patient had been prescribed...
warfarin under control of INR at the Kyiv Municipal Heart Centre. At admission to the Oleksandrivska Kyiv City Clinical Hospital, the warfarin dose of 2.5 mg resulted in an INR -1.1. So the dose was increased to ~4 mg (3.75 mg/day), but after 5 days the INR remained low (Figure 1). All factors that can influence warfarin metabolism were evaluated. The patient strictly followed the dietary recommendations. He had no diseases that could result in malabsorption. By this time rifampicin and sulperazone, which had been used for infective endocarditis treatment before surgery and in the early postoperative period, were replaced by 1.5 million units benzathine benzylpenicillin intramuscular, once every 3 weeks, for 1 year. The patient's long-term management included the following: 2.5 mg bisoprolol oral once a day, 2.5 mg ramipril oral once a day, 200 mg amiodarone oral once a day, 12.5 mg hydrochlorothiazide oral once a day, 5 mg torasemide oral once a day, 0.4 ml enoxaparin subcutaneous once a day, 500 mg metformin oral twice a day and 2 mg glimepiride oral once a day. All of these drugs except enoxaparin were administered for 6 months, after which amiodarone and the diuretics were discontinued. The last enoxaparin injection was administered on 13 April 2020. Amiodarone and hydrochlorothiazide are on the list of drugs that potentiate the effects of warfarin. The dose of warfarin was gradually increased and the INR checked every 5–6 days. Pharmacogenetic testing was not undertaken because it is not recommended by guidelines due to a lack of good-quality evidence. The dynamics of the changes in the dose of the warfarin and the INR are presented in Figure 1. As it was impossible to achieve the appropriate INR over a long period of time, the patient was at a high risk of thromboembolism, so warfarin was replaced by acenocoumarol as described below.

Aacenocoumarol is a 4-hydroxycoumarin derivative with anticoagulant activity (Figure 2). The mechanism of action ofacenocoumarol and other VKAs (Figure 3) results in the inhibition of vitamin K epoxide reductase, thereby inhibiting the reduction of vitamin K and the availability of vitamin K H2. This prevents gamma carboxylation of glutamic acid residues near the N-terminals of the vitamin K-dependent clotting factors, including...
factor II, VII, IX, and X and anticoagulant proteins C and S.\(^{13,14}\) This prevents their activity and thus thrombin formation. Acenocoumarol is rapidly absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract resulting in a peak concentration in 2–3 h.\(^{19,20}\) After oral administration, the half-life is 8–11 h and the maximum effect in terms of increasing prothrombin time is observed between 24–30 h.\(^{19,20}\) The cytochrome P450 family 2 subfamily C member 9 (CYP2C9) isoenzyme is the most important enzyme for the clearance of warfarin, while it plays a less important role in acenocoumarol clearance.\(^{20}\)

In vitro acenocoumarol has higher intrinsic anticoagulant properties.\(^{13}\)

The patient was observed over 1 year. The level of INR was maintained in the target range (Figure 4). In the year after surgery, the patient had no complaints. On examination, his heart rate was 68 bpm, blood pressure was 120/80 mmHg. His heart sounds were regular with no murmur. His lungs were clear. The patient received the following: 6 mg acenocoumarol oral once a day, 5 mg bisoprolol oral once a day, 2.5 mg ramipril oral once a day, 500 mg metformin oral twice a day and 2 mg glimepiride oral once a day. The patient was advised to continue this treatment until their next follow-up appointment after 6 months. The reporting of this study conforms to CARE guidelines.\(^{21}\) The authors obtained written informed consent from the patient for submission of this manuscript for publication.

**Discussion**

Only VKAs are recommended for the long-term prevention of TE in the patients with mechanical prosthetic heart valves.\(^{8}\) Warfarin is widely used in clinical practice. In the trials of OACs, their efficacy and
safety were compared with warfarin.\textsuperscript{15–17} The INR is used to estimate the effect of VKA therapy because a balance must be achieved between preventing TE (if INR is <2.0) and bleeding (if INR >3.5). However, a small proportion of patients have resistance to warfarin. Resistance to warfarin has been described as the inability to prolong the prothrombin time or raise the INR into the therapeutic range when the drugs are given at the recommended doses.\textsuperscript{14} The highest recommended daily warfarin dose to maintain a therapeutic INR ranges from 15 mg to 20 mg.\textsuperscript{13,14,22}

Warfarin resistance can be classified as acquired versus hereditary.\textsuperscript{13,14,20} Acquired resistance to warfarin may depend on poor patient compliance, high consumption of vitamin K, decreased absorption of warfarin, increased clearance and drug–drug interactions.\textsuperscript{13,14,20,22} The following drugs can increase the effect of warfarin: acetaminophen, alcohol, allopurinol, amiodarone, aspirin, atorvastatin, cefixime, co-trimoxazole, erythromycin, esomeprazole, pantoprazole, fenofibrate, fluvoxamine, gemfibrozil, phenylbutazone, ibuprofen, indomethacin, metronidazole, mefenamicacid, thiazides and urokinase.\textsuperscript{13,14} Drugs that can decrease the effect of warfarin include barbiturates, carbamazepine, corticosteroids, spironolactone, griseofulvin, rifampicin and oral contraceptives containing oestrogen.\textsuperscript{13,14}

Hereditary warfarin resistance is due to genetic polymorphisms that result in either the faster metabolism of warfarin (a form of pharmacokinetic resistance) or a reduction in its activity (pharmacodynamic resistance).\textsuperscript{14} Pharmacogenetic analyses can be undertaken to estimate warfarin resistance by determining the following gene polymorphisms: cytochrome P450 family 2 subfamily C member 9 (\textit{CYP2C9}), cytochrome P450 family 4 subfamily F member 2 (\textit{CYP4F2}) and vitamin K epoxide reductase complex subunit 1 (\textit{VKORC1}).\textsuperscript{22,23} The \textit{VKORC1} gene encodes vitamin K epoxide reductase, which is a target for coumarin derivatives such as warfarin or phenprocoumon.\textsuperscript{22,23} Vitamin K epoxide reductase is an integral membrane protein that functions to convert K-epoxide to vitamin K-quinone, which activates the blood clotting factors.
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\caption{Dependence of the level of international normalized ratio (INR) on the dose of acenocoumarol in a 65-year-old male patient with a mechanical prosthetic heart valve in whom warfarin was replaced by acenocoumarol due to the inability to obtain the target INR level. The colour version of this figure is available at: http://imr.sagepub.com.}
\end{figure}
II, VII, IX and X. In large trials, mutations in the \textit{CYP2C9} and \textit{VKORC1} genes have been shown to lead to warfarin resistance. A \textit{VKORC1} heterozygous mutation has been identified in warfarin-resistant individuals. Patients with the G allele for \textit{VKORC1-1639G>A} had a significantly higher number of TE complications per month during warfarin therapy. Carriers of the \textit{VKORC1-1639G>A} variant and wild-type \textit{CYP2C9*1/*1} may require a higher dose of warfarin to achieve adequate anticoagulation. Mutations in the \textit{CYP4F2} gene, which is responsible for the synthesis of the enzyme that inactivates vitamin K, result in a reduction in enzyme production, so patients with \textit{CYP4F2} gene mutations require higher doses of warfarin.

Since 2010 in the US, the warfarin label has contained a dosing table with a range of therapeutic warfarin doses for \textit{CYP2C9} and/or \textit{VKORC1} variant carriers. However, a pharmacogenetic analysis of patients prior to warfarin administration is not obligatory or routinely used. Several studies have been conducted to assess the cost-effectiveness of pharmacogenetic analyses of patients. A review of previous economic evaluations found that if genetic information was freely available, 75% would support pharmacogenetic-guided treatment and 25% would show cost-effectiveness. Therefore, routine testing in more populations remains controversial.

Other than pharmacogenetic analyses, it is possible to analyse the plasma levels of warfarin and clotting factors II and X. A previous report proposed an algorithm for managing warfarin resistance. If the INR is $<2.0$ on a warfarin (Coumadin) dose $>15$ mg/day, then initially the patient’s noncompliance and potential interference from other medications and diet should be investigated. Malabsorption disorders (gastroenteritis, coeliac disease, chronic pancreatitis, short gut syndrome) should also be considered. Then, factor II and factor X activity should be checked. According to the results of these investigations, there are two options: (i) if activity $<40\%$ of normal, this suggests therapeutic warfarin dose and unreliable INR, so consider checking the plasma warfarin level to confirm the diagnosis; (ii) if activity $\geq40\%$ of normal, the physician should suspect pharmacokinetic or pharmacodynamic resistance and should check the plasma warfarin level. A therapeutic level suggests pharmacodynamic resistance, whereas a subtherapeutic level suggests pharmacokinetic resistance or noncompliance.

There are several options for overcoming warfarin resistance. For example, increasing compliance to treatment and educating patients about food and drug–drug interactions should be considered. In addition, the dose of warfarin could be increased. A previous study demonstrated the safety of very high doses of warfarin, which were administered at a median dose of 32 mg/day (range, 22–55 mg/day). Another approach is to replace warfarin with low-molecular-weight heparins but these require long-term daily injections. In some recommendations, other VKAs, such as acenocoumarol or phenprocoumon, are suggested as substitutes for warfarin. In the current case, the replacement of warfarin with acenocoumarol resulted in a stable INR observed over 1 year of follow-up.

In conclusion, although OACs have many positive properties, they cannot completely replace VKAs. There are clear medical indications for this group of drugs, including the prevention of TE in patients with mechanical prosthetic heart valves. It is vitally important to achieve a timely diagnosis of warfarin resistance in these patients so that effective treatment can be initiated to keep them protected against TE.
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