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Abstract

We study the distribution of complex eigenvalues $z_1, \ldots, z_N$ of random Hermitian $N \times N$ block band matrices with a complex deformation of a finite rank. Assuming that the width of the band $W$ grows faster than $\sqrt{N}$, we proved that the limiting density of $\Im z_1, \ldots, \Im z_N$ in a sigma-model approximation coincides with that for the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble. The method follows the techniques of [16].

1 Introduction

The complex eigenvalues of non-Hermitian random matrices have attracted much research interest due to their relevance to several branches of theoretical physics, and in particular to the study of scattering chaotic systems. According to the works [20], [18], universal properties of the poles of the scattering matrix $S(E)$ in the complex plane can be modelled by $N$ complex eigenvalues $z_n$, $\Im z_n \leq 0$ of so-called “effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian”

$$H_{\text{eff}} = H - i\Gamma,$$

where $H$ is a random matrix ensemble with an appropriate symmetry (e.g., Hermitian or real symmetric), and $\Gamma$ is a positive deformation of a rank $M \ll N$. More details of the approach can be found, e.g., in reviews [9], [14], [11] and references therein.

One of the most interesting questions about the spectral statistics of $H_{\text{eff}}$ is the distribution of $\Im z_i$ (i.e. “resonance widths”). In contrast to the classical non-Hermitian models such as Ginibre ensemble (random matrices with iid entries), if $M$ is fixed and $N \to \infty$, matrices $H_{\text{eff}}$ are weakly non-Hermitian, and so $\Im z_i$ are of order of the typical spacing $\omega$ between eigenvalues of $H$, i.e. $O(1/N)$. It is also expected that the spectral fluctuations on the $\omega$-scale is universal, i.e. independent of the particular form of the distribution of $H$ or the energy dependence of $\omega$.

For the case $H$ taken from Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE) the probability density of the scaled $\Im z_i$ was obtained in [10], [5] for any finite $M$ (for some related models see review [11] and references therein). Let us mention also that the cases of non-Hermitian symmetry, and in particular real symmetric case, are much more involved, and is not well-enough studied even for $H$ taken from Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble (there are only some partial results for $M = 1$, see physical papers [19], [8] for GOE; let us also mention the paper [13] that gives joint probability distribution of $z_i$ for rank-one perturbation of general $\beta$-ensembles).
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In this paper we consider $H$ to be a one-dimensional Hermitian block band matrix (block RBM). The 1d block RBM are the special class of Wegner’s orbital models (see [21]), i.e. Hermitian $N \times N$ matrices $H_N$ with complex zero-mean random Gaussian entries $H_{jk,\alpha\beta}$, where $j,k = 1,..,n$ (they parametrize the lattice sites) and $\alpha,\gamma = 1,\ldots,W$ (they parametrize the orbitals on each site), $N = nW$, such that

$$\langle H_{j_1k_1,\alpha_1\gamma_1}H_{j_2k_2,\alpha_2\gamma_2} \rangle = \delta_{j_1k_1}\delta_{j_2k_2}\delta_{\alpha_1\gamma_2}\delta_{\gamma_1\alpha_2}J_{j_1k_1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.2)

with

$$J = 1/W + \bar{\beta}\Delta/W, \hspace{1cm} (1.3)$$

where $W \gg 1$ and $\Delta$ is the discrete Laplacian on \{1,2,..,n\}. The probability law of $H_N$ can be written in the form

$$P_N(dH_N) = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \sum_{\alpha,\gamma=1}^{W} \frac{|H_{jk,\alpha\gamma}|^2}{J_{jk}}\right\} dH_N. \hspace{1cm} (1.4)$$

The density of states $\rho$ of a general class of RBM with $W \gg 1$ is given by the well-known Wigner semicircle law (see [2, 15]):

$$\rho(E) = (2\pi)^{-1} \sqrt{4 - E^2}, \hspace{1cm} E \in [-2,2]. \hspace{1cm} (1.5)$$

The main feature of RBM is that their local spectral statistics is conjectured to exhibit the crossover at $W = \sqrt{N}$: for $W \gg \sqrt{N}$ the eigenvectors are expected to be delocalized and the local spectral statistics is governed by the Wigner-Dyson (GUE/GOE) statistics, and for $W \ll \sqrt{N}$ the eigenvectors are localized and the local spectral statistics is Poisson. The conjecture is supported by the physical derivation due to Fyodorov and Mirlin (see [6]) based on supersymmetric formalism, but is not proved in the full generality yet. For the general RBM the delocalization is proved for $W \gg N^{3/4}$ (see the review [3] and references therein). For the more specific Gaussian model (1.2) – (1.3), the Wigner-Dyson local statistics is proved up to the optimal regime $W \gg \sqrt{N}$ first in the so-called sigma-model approximation [16], and then in the full model [17] by the application of the supersymmetric transfer matrix approach.

The main advantage of the SUSY techniques here is that the main spectral characteristics of the model (1.2) – (1.3) such as a density of states, spectral correlation functions, $\mathbb{E}\{|G_{jk}(E + i\varepsilon)|^2\}$, etc. can be expressed via SUSY as the averages of certain observables in nearest-neighbour statistical mechanics models on a box in $\mathbb{Z}$, which allows to combine the SUSY techniques with a transfer matrix approach. However, the rigorous application of the techniques to the main spectral characteristics of RBM is quite difficult due to the complicated structure and non self-adjointness of the corresponding transfer operator. So it is easier to apply it first to the so-called sigma-model approximation, which is often used by physicists to study complicated statistical mechanics systems. In such approximation spins of the statistical mechanics model take values in some symmetric space ($\pm 1$ for Ising model, $S^1$ for the rotator, $S^2$ for the classical Heisenberg model, etc.). It is expected that sigma-models have all the qualitative physics of more complicated models with the same symmetry. The sigma-model approximation for RBM was introduced by Efetov (see [4]), and the spins there are $4 \times 4$ matrices with both complex and Grassmann entries (this approximation was studied in [6], [7]). The rigorous application of the techniques to the correlation functions of (1.2) – (1.3) was developed in [16].

The aim of the current paper is to derive the sigma-model approximation for the limiting density of the imaginary parts of the eigenvalues of $H_{\text{eff}}$ of (1.1) with $H$ of (1.2), and, following
the techniques of [16], prove that its limiting behaviour in the delocalized regime $W \gg \sqrt{N}$ coincides with that for $H = \text{GUE}$.

Define

$$H = H_N + i\Gamma_M,$$

with $H_N$ of (1.2) – (1.3), where $\Gamma_M$ is a $N \times N$ matrix

$$\Gamma_M = \begin{pmatrix}
\gamma_1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \gamma_2 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & \gamma_M & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

with some fixed $\gamma_i > 0$ and fixed $M$. Notice that for convenience we have changed the sign of $\Gamma_M$ in order to get positive $\Im z_i$.

In order to access the density $\rho(x, y)$ of complex eigenvalues $z_j = x_j + iy_j$ one can use the formula (see [10] and reference therein)

$$\rho_N(x, y) = -\frac{1}{4\pi N} \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \partial^2 \Phi(x, y, \kappa)$$

with

$$\Phi(x, y, \kappa) = -\frac{1}{N} \log \det \left( (H - x - iy)(H - x - iy)^* + \frac{\kappa^2}{N^2} \right)$$

where $\partial^2$ stands for the two-dimensional Laplacian and a positive parameter $\kappa$ is added to regularize the logarithm.

Introduce the generating function

$$Z_{\beta n W}(\kappa, z_1, z_2) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\det \left\{ (H - z_1)(H - z_1)^* + \frac{\kappa^2}{N^2} \right\}}{\det \left\{ (H - z_2)(H - z_2)^* + \frac{\kappa^2}{N^2} \right\}} \right],$$

where $z_1$ and $z_2$ are auxiliary spectral parameters in the vicinity of $E + iy/N$:

$$z_l = E_l + \frac{iy_l}{N}, \quad E_l = E + \frac{x_l}{N}, \quad l = 1, 2.$$ 

Given $Z_{\beta n W}$, the density can be obtained using the following identity (see [10] and references therein):

$$\rho_N(E, y/N) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \left( \lim_{y_2 \to y_1} \frac{\partial Z_{n W}}{\partial y_2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left( \lim_{x_2 \to x_1} \frac{\partial Z_{n W}}{\partial x_2} \right) \right|_{y_1 = y, x_1 = 0}$$

Following [16], to derive sigma-model approximation of $Z_{\beta n W}$ for the model (1.2) – (1.3), we take $\beta$ in (1.3) of order $1/W$, i.e. put

$$J = 1/W + \beta \Delta/W^2.$$ 

The first main result states that in the model (1.10) with fixed $\beta$ and $n$, and with $W \to \infty$, the function $Z_{\beta n W}(\kappa, z_1, z_2)$ of (1.8) converges to the value given by the sigma-model approximation. More precisely, we get
Theorem 1.1. Given $Z_{βnW}(κ, z_1, z_2)$ of (1.8), (1.6), (1.10), and (1.7), any fixed $β$, $n$, $κ > 0$, $z_1$, $z_2$ of (1.4), and $|E| ≤ √2$, we have, as $W → ∞$:

$$Z_{βnW}(κ, z_1, z_2) → Z_{βn}(κ, z_1, z_2),$$

where

$$Z_{βn}(κ, z_1, z_2) = e^{E(x_1−x_2)} ∫ \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum \frac{1}{2} \sum Q_jQ_j − \frac{c_0}{2n} \sum \text{Str} Q_j \Lambda_{κ, y_1, y_2} \right\}dx_1dx_2 \times \prod_{a=1}^{M} \text{Sdet}^{-1} \left( Q_a - \frac{iE}{2πρ(E)} + \frac{iγ_a}{πρ(E)} \Sigma \right)dQ,$$

$$\tilde{β} = (2πρ(E))^2 β, c_0 = 2πρ(E) with ρ of (1.3), U_j ∈ \hat{U}(2), S_j ∈ \hat{U}(1, 1) (see notation (1.10) below), and Q_j are 4 × 4 supermatrices with commuting diagonal and anticommutation off-diagonal 2 × 2 blocks

$$Q_j = \begin{pmatrix} U_j^* & 0 \\ 0 & S_j^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (I + 2\tilde{ρ}_j\tilde{τ}_j)L & 2\tilde{τ}_j \\ 2\tilde{ρ}_j & -(I - 2\tilde{ρ}_j\tilde{τ}_j)L \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_j & 0 \\ 0 & S_j \end{pmatrix},$$

$$dQ = \prod dQ_j, \quad dQ_j = (1 - 2n_j, 1, n_j, 2) dρ_j, 1 dτ_j, 1 dρ_j, 2 dτ_j, 2 dU_j dS_j$$

with

$$n_{j,1} = ρ_{j,1}, n_{j,2} = ρ_{j,2}, \quad \tilde{ρ}_j = \text{diag} \{ ρ_{j,1}, ρ_{j,2} \}, \quad \tilde{τ}_j = \text{diag} \{ τ_{j,1}, τ_{j,2} \}, \quad L = \text{diag} \{ 1, -1 \}$$

Here $ρ_{j,l}, τ_{j,l}, l = 1, 2$ are anticommuting Grassmann variables,

$$\text{Str} \left( \begin{array}{cc} A & σ \\ η & B \end{array} \right) = \text{Tr} B - \text{Tr} A, \quad \text{Sdet} \left( \begin{array}{cc} A & σ \\ η & B \end{array} \right) = \frac{\det(B - ηA^{-1}σ)}{\det A}, \quad (1.12)$$

and

$$\Lambda_{κ, y_1, y_2} = \begin{pmatrix} κ & -iy_1 & 0 & 0 \\ iy_1 & -κ & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & κ & -iy_2 \\ 0 & iy_2 & -κ & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} σ & 0 \\ 0 & σ \end{pmatrix}.$$

The next theorem gives asymptotic behaviour of $Z_{βn}$ in the delocalized regime $β ≫ n$ as $n, β → ∞$:

**Theorem 1.2.** Given $Z_{βn}(κ, z_1, z_2)$ of (1.11), we have in the limit $β → ∞, n → ∞$ with $β > n \log^3 n$

$$Z_{βn}(κ, z_1, z_2) → e^{E(x_1−x_2)} ∫ \prod_{a=1}^{M} \exp \left\{ \piρ(E) \text{Str} Q \Lambda_{κ, y_1, y_2} \right\}dQ \times \text{Sdet} \left( Q - \frac{iE}{2πρ(E)} + \frac{iγ_a}{πρ(E)} \Sigma \right)dQ,$$

which coincides with $Z(κ, z_1, z_2)$ for the GUE. Therefore, the limiting distribution of the imaginary parts of the eigenvalues of $ℍ$ of (1.6) with $ℍ_N$ of (1.2) - (1.3) in the sigma-model approximation coincides with that for $ℍ_N = \text{GUE}$ obtained in [10].

The paper is organized as follows. We are going to give a detailed proof for the case $M = 1$ and explain some minor correction that should be done to prove the general case. In Section 2 we obtain the SUSY integral representation of $Z_{βnW}$ of (1.8). Section 3 is devoted to the derivation of sigma-model approximation, i.e. to the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.2 relying on the similar study in [10].
1.1 Notation

We denote by $C, C_1$, etc. various $n, \beta, W$-independent quantities below, which can be different in different formulas. Integrals without limits denote the integration (or the multiple integration) over the whole real axis, or over the Grassmann variables.

Moreover,

- $N = Wn$;
- indices $i, j, k$ vary from 1 to $n$ and correspond to the number of block in $H_N$, index $l$ is always 1 or 2 (this is the field index), and Greek indices $\alpha, \gamma$ vary from 1 to $W$ and correspond to the position of the element in the block;
- variables $\phi$ and $\Phi$ with different indices are complex variables or vectors correspondingly; if $x_j$ means some variable (vector or matrix) which corresponds to the site $j = 1, .., n$, then $x$ means vector $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^n$, $\prod dx_j$, and $dx_j$ means the product of the differentials which correspond to functionally independent coefficients of $x_j$;
- variables $\psi, \Psi, \rho$, and $\tau$ with different indices are Grassmann variables or vectors or matrices correspondingly; if $\rho_j$ corresponds to the site $j = 1, .., n$, then $\rho$ means vector $\{\rho_j\}_{j=1}^n$, $\prod d\rho_j$, and $d\rho_j$ means the product of the differentials which correspond the components (for vectors) or entries (for matrices) taken into the lexicographic order;
- $a^\pm = -iE \pm \sqrt{4 - E^2}/2$, $c^\pm = 1 + a^\pm$, $c_0 = \sqrt{4 - E^2} = 2\pi\rho(E)$; $L = \text{diag}\{1, -1\}$, $L_\pm = \text{diag}\{a_+, a_-\}$; (1.14)
- $\hat{U}(2) = U(2)/U(1) \times U(1)$, $\hat{U}(1, 1) = U(1, 1)/U(1) \times U(1)$, (1.15)
- $\Lambda_{\kappa,y} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} -i\kappa & -y \\ y & i\kappa \end{array} \right)$, $\sigma = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{array} \right)$; (1.16)

2 Integral representations

In this section we obtain an integral representation for $Z_{\beta nW}(\kappa, z_1, z_2)$ of (1.8). 

Proposition 2.1. The determinant ratio $Z_{\beta nW}(\kappa, z_1, z_2)$ of (1.8) can be written as follows:

$$Z_{\beta nW}(\kappa, z_1, z_2) = C_{n,W} \int \exp \left\{ -i \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Tr} (LY_j + \delta_j \sum_{a=1}^M LQ_a)Z_2 - i \sum_{a=1}^M \gamma_a \text{Tr} (LQ_a)\sigma \right\}$$
\begin{align}
&\times \exp \left\{ - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} J_{jk} \text{Tr} (LY_j + \delta_j \sum_{a=1}^{M} LQ_a)(LY_k + \delta_k \sum_{a=1}^{M} LQ_a) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} (J^{-1})_{jk} \text{Tr} X_j X_k \right\} \\
&\times \det \tilde{D} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\det W(X_j - iZ_1) \det W Y_j}{\det^2 Y_j} \cdot \prod_{a=1}^{M} \frac{\det (X_1 - iZ_1 + i\gamma_a \sigma)}{\det (X_1 - iZ_1) \cdot \det Y_1} \ dX dY dQ,
\end{align}

where

\[
\tilde{D} = \left\{ J_{jk}^{-1} 1_4 - \delta_{jk} \left( (X_j - iZ_1)^{-1} \otimes (LY_j) + \delta_j \sum_{a=1}^{M} (X_j - iZ_1 + i\gamma_a \sigma)^{-1} \otimes (LQ_a) \right) \right\}_{j,k=1}^{n},
\]

\[
Q_a = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \phi_{11a} \phi_{11a} & \phi_{11a} \phi_{21a} \\ \phi_{21a} \phi_{11a} & \phi_{21a} \phi_{21a} \end{smallmatrix} \right), \quad dQ = \prod_{a=1}^{M} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \frac{d\text{Re} Q_{l1a} d\text{Im} Q_{l1a}}{\pi},
\]

for complex \( \phi_{l1a} \). \( \{X_j\}_{j=1}^{n} \) are Hermitian \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices with standard \( dX_j \), \( \{Y_j\}_{j=1}^{n} \) are \( 2 \times 2 \) positive Hermitian matrices with \( dY_j \) of Proposition 5.1, and \( Z_{1,2} \) are defined in (1.8), and

\[
C_{n,W} = \frac{\det J \cdot (-1)^{nW}}{(2\pi^3)^n ((W - 1)!(W - 2))^{n-1} ((W - M - 1)!(W - M - 2))!}.
\]

Proof. To simplify computation, we are going to present the detailed derivation for the case \( M = 1 \). General case can be obtained similarly with minor modifications.

To obtain SUSY integral representation, it is useful to rewrite \( Z_{\beta nW} \) in the more convenient form. Notice that if we set

\[
P := P(E, \kappa, y) = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \kappa & -i(H_N - E) \\ -i(\Gamma - y) & \kappa + i(H_N - E) \end{smallmatrix} \right), \quad T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes I_N,
\]

then

\[
\det(TPT) = \det \left\{ (\mathcal{H} + i\Gamma - E - iy)(\mathcal{H} - i\Gamma - E + iy) + \frac{\kappa^2}{N^2} \right\}.
\]

Hence

\[
Z_{\beta nW}(\kappa, z_1, z_2) = E \left[ \frac{\det P_1}{\det P_2} \right],
\]

where

\[
P_1 = P(E_1, \kappa, y_1), \quad P_2 = P(E_2, \kappa, y_2).
\]

Such transformation is needed since we want \( P_1, P_2 \) to have positive real part.

Introduce complex and Grassmann fields:

\[
\Phi_l = \{ \phi_{lj} \}_{j=1,\ldots,n}, \quad \phi_{lj} = (\phi_{lj1}, \phi_{lj2}, \ldots, \phi_{ljW}), \quad l = 1, 2, \quad \text{complex},
\]

\[
\Psi_l = \{ \psi_{lj} \}_{j=1,\ldots,n}, \quad \psi_{lj} = (\psi_{lj1}, \psi_{lj2}, \ldots, \psi_{ljW}), \quad l = 1, 2, \quad \text{Grassmann}.
\]

Since \( P_1, P_2 \) have positive real part, using (5.3) – (5.4) (see Appendix) we can rewrite \( \det P_1 \) and \( \det P_2 \) of (2.2) – (2.3) and get

\[
Z_{\beta nW}(\kappa, z_1, z_2) = \pi^{-2Wn} \mathcal{E} \left\{ \int \exp \left\{ -\Psi_1^+ \left( \frac{\kappa}{N} + iE_1 - iH_N \right) \Psi_1 - \Psi_2^+ \left( \frac{\kappa}{N} - iE_1 + iH_N \right) \Psi_2 \right\} \times \exp \left\{ -\Phi_1^+ \left( \frac{\kappa}{N} + iE_2 - iH_N \right) \Phi_1 - \Phi_2^+ \left( \frac{\kappa}{N} - iE_2 + iH_N \right) \Phi_2 \right\} \times \exp \left\{ i\Psi_1^+ (\Gamma + \frac{\kappa}{N}) \Psi_2 + i\Psi_2^+ (\Gamma + \frac{\kappa}{N}) \Psi_1 + i\Phi_1^+ (\Gamma + \frac{\kappa}{N}) \Phi_2 + i\Phi_2^+ (\Gamma + \frac{\kappa}{N}) \Phi_1 \right\} d\Phi d\Psi \right\}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&= \int \exp \left\{ - \left( \frac{K}{N} + iE_1 \right) \Psi_1^+ \Psi_1 - \left( \frac{K}{N} - iE_1 \right) \Psi_2^+ \Psi_2 - \left( \frac{K}{N} + iE_2 \right) \Phi_1^+ \Phi_1 - \left( \frac{K}{N} - iE_1 \right) \Phi_2^+ \Phi_2 \right\} \\
&\quad\times \exp \left\{ \frac{iN}{N} \left( \Psi_1^+ \Psi_2 + \Psi_2^+ \Psi_2 \right) + \frac{iN}{N} \left( \Phi_1^+ \Phi_2 + \Phi_2^+ \Phi_2 \right) \right\} \\
&\quad\times \exp \left\{ i\gamma (\bar{\psi}_{111} \bar{\psi}_{211} + \bar{\psi}_{211} \bar{\psi}_{111} + \bar{\phi}_{111} \bar{\phi}_{211} + \bar{\phi}_{211} \bar{\phi}_{111}) \right\} \\
&\quad\times \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sum_{j \leq k} \sum_{\alpha, \gamma} \left( i\mathcal{R} H_{jk, \alpha\gamma} \chi_{jk, \alpha\gamma}^+ - \Im H_{jk, \alpha\gamma} \chi_{jk, \alpha\gamma}^- \right) \right\} \right\} \, d\Phi d\Psi ,
\end{align*}
\]
where

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_{jk, \alpha\gamma}^+ &= \eta_{jk, \alpha\gamma} \pm \eta_{kj, \alpha\gamma}, \\
\eta_{jk, \alpha\gamma} &= \bar{\psi}_{1ja} \psi_{1k} \psi_{1ja} - \bar{\psi}_{2ja} \psi_{2k} \psi_{2ja} + \bar{\phi}_{1ja} \bar{\phi}_{1k} - \bar{\phi}_{2ja} \bar{\phi}_{2k}, \\
\eta_{jj, \alpha\alpha} &= \left( \bar{\psi}_{1ja} \psi_{1ja} - \bar{\psi}_{2ja} \psi_{2ja} \right) / 2.
\end{align*}
\]

Averaging over (1.4), we get

\[
\begin{align*}
Z_{\beta nW}(\kappa, z_1, z_2) &= \pi^{-2Wn} \int \, d\Phi d\Psi \exp \left\{ \frac{iN}{N} \left( \Psi_1^+ \Psi_2 + \Psi_2^+ \Psi_2 \right) + \frac{iN}{N} \left( \Phi_1^+ \Phi_2 + \Phi_2^+ \Phi_2 \right) \right\} \\
&\quad\times \exp \left\{ - \left( \frac{K}{N} + iE_1 \right) \Psi_1^+ \Psi_1 - \left( \frac{K}{N} - iE_1 \right) \Psi_2^+ \Psi_2 - \left( \frac{K}{N} + iE_2 \right) \Phi_1^+ \Phi_1 - \left( \frac{K}{N} - iE_1 \right) \Phi_2^+ \Phi_2 \right\} \\
&\quad\times \exp \left\{ i\gamma (\bar{\psi}_{111} \bar{\psi}_{211} + \bar{\psi}_{211} \bar{\psi}_{111} + \bar{\phi}_{111} \bar{\phi}_{211} + \bar{\phi}_{211} \bar{\phi}_{111}) \right\} \\
&\quad\times \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j \leq k} \sum_{\alpha, \gamma} J_{jk} \eta_{jk, \alpha\gamma} \eta_{kj, \alpha\gamma} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, \alpha} J_{jj} \eta_{jj, \alpha\alpha} \right\}.
\end{align*}
\]

Define

\[
Q = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_{111} & \tilde{\phi}_{111} \\ \tilde{\phi}_{211} & \tilde{\phi}_{211} \end{pmatrix}
\]

and set

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{Y}_j &= \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1j}^+ \phi_{1j} & \phi_{2j}^+ \phi_{2j} \\ \phi_{2j}^+ \phi_{1j} & \phi_{1j}^+ \phi_{2j} \end{pmatrix} , \quad j \neq 1, \\
\bar{Y}_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{a=1}^{W} \phi_{11a} \phi_{11a} & \sum_{a=1}^{W} \phi_{21a} \phi_{21a} \\ \sum_{a=1}^{W} \phi_{21a} \phi_{11a} & \sum_{a=1}^{W} \phi_{21a} \phi_{21a} \end{pmatrix}, \\
\bar{X}_j &= \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1j}^+ \psi_{1j} & \psi_{2j}^+ \psi_{2j} \\ \psi_{2j}^+ \psi_{1j} & \psi_{1j}^+ \psi_{2j} \end{pmatrix} .
\end{align*}
\]

Thus,

\[
\begin{align*}
Z_{\beta nW}(\kappa, z_1, z_2) &= \pi^{-2Wn} \int \, d\Phi d\Psi \exp \left\{ - i \sum_{j=1}^{n} \text{Tr} \, \bar{X}_j LZ_1 - i \sum_{j=1}^{n} \text{Tr} \, \left( L\bar{Y}_j + \delta_{j1} LQ \right) Z_2 \right\} \\
&\quad\times \exp \left\{ i\gamma (\bar{\psi}_{111} \bar{\psi}_{211} + \bar{\psi}_{211} \bar{\psi}_{111}) - i\gamma \text{Tr} \, (LQ) \sigma \right\} \\
&\quad\times \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} J_{jk} \text{Tr} \, (\bar{X}_j L)(\bar{X}_k L) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} J_{jk} \text{Tr} \, (L\bar{Y}_j + \delta_{j1} LQ)(L\bar{Y}_k + \delta_{k1} LQ) \right\} \\
&\quad\times \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} J_{jk} (\bar{\psi}_{1j} \bar{\psi}_{1k} (\bar{\phi}_{1k} \phi_{1j} - \bar{\phi}_{2k} \phi_{2j}) + \bar{\psi}_{2j} \psi_{2k} (\bar{\phi}_{2k} \phi_{2j} - \bar{\phi}_{1k} \phi_{1j})) \right\},
\end{align*}
\]
where \( L, Z_{1,2}, \sigma \) are defined in (1.15) and (1.19).

Using the standard Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation, we obtain

\[
(2\pi^2)^n \det^2 J \cdot \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n J_{jk} \text{Tr} (\bar{X}_j L)(\bar{X}_k L) \right\}
\]

\[
= \int \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n (J^{-1})_{jk} \text{Tr} X_j X_k + \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Tr} X_j (\bar{X}_j L) \right\} dX,
\]

(2.5)

where \( X_j \) are \( 2 \times 2 \) Hermitian matrices with the standard measure \( dX_j \).

Substituting (2.5) to (2.4) and integrating over \( d\Psi \) (see (5.4)), we get

\[
Z(\kappa, z_1, z_2) = \det^{-2} J \left( \frac{2\pi^2(1+W)}{2\pi^2} \right)^n \int \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n (J^{-1})_{jk} \text{Tr} X_j X_k - i\gamma \text{Tr} (LQ) \right\}
\]

\[
\times \exp \left\{ -i \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Tr} (L\bar{Y}_j + \delta_{j1} LQ) Z_2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n J_{jk} \text{Tr} (L\bar{Y}_j + \delta_{j1} LQ)(L\bar{Y}_k + \delta_{k1} LQ) \right\}
\]

\times \det M \cdot d\Phi \quad dX,
\]

(2.6)

with \( M = M^{(1)} - M^{(2)} \). Here \( M^{(1)} \) and \( M^{(2)} \) are \( 2Wn \times 2Wn \) matrices with entries

\[
M_{l_ja,l'k\gamma}^{(1)} = \delta_{jk}\delta_{\alpha\gamma}(C_{j\alpha})_{ll'}L_{ll'}, \quad j, k = 1, \ldots, n, \; \alpha, \gamma = 1, \ldots, W, \; l, l' = 1, 2,
\]

\[
M_{l_ja,l'k\gamma}^{(2)} = J_{jk}\delta_{ll'}L_{ll'} \sum_{\nu=1}^2 \varphi_{\nu j\alpha}\varphi_{\nu k\gamma} L_{\nu\nu}
\]

(2.7)

with

\[
C_{j\alpha} = \begin{cases} X_1 - iZ_1 + i\gamma \sigma, & j = \alpha = 1; \\ X_j - iZ_1, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

(2.8)

We can rewrite

\[
\det M = \det M^{(1)} \cdot \det \left( 1 - \left( M^{(1)} \right)^{-1} M^{(2)} \right) =: \det M\tilde{M}
\]

with

\[
\tilde{M}_{l_ja,l'k\gamma} = J_{jk}(C_{j\alpha})_{ll'}^{-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^2 \varphi_{\nu j\alpha}\varphi_{\nu k\gamma} L_{\nu\nu}.
\]

(2.9)

Note that \( \tilde{M} = AB \), where

\[
A_{l_ja,l'k\sigma} = J_{jk}(C_{j\alpha})_{ll'}^{-1} \varphi_{\sigma j\alpha}, \quad j, k \in \Lambda, \; \alpha, \gamma = 1, \ldots, W, \; l, l', \sigma = 1, 2,
\]

\[
B_{l_ja,l'k\sigma} = \delta_{jk}\delta_{ll'}L_{\sigma\sigma} \varphi_{\sigma k\alpha}.
\]

(2.10)

Therefore, using that \( \det(1 - AB) = \det(1 - BA) \), (2.9), and (2.10), we get

\[
\det \left( 1 - \tilde{M} \right) = \det \left( 1 - BA \right) =: \det \left( 1 - \tilde{M} \right),
\]

(2.11)

where

\[
\tilde{M}_{l_ja,l'k\sigma} = \sum_{p,\alpha,\nu} B_{l\sigma,\nu p} A_{\nu p, a, l' k\sigma} = J_{jk} \sum_{\alpha=1}^W (C_{j\alpha})_{ll'}^{-1} \varphi_{\sigma j\alpha}\varphi_{\sigma' j\alpha} L_{\sigma\sigma}
\]
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\[\begin{align*}
J_{jk}(X_j - iZ_1)^{-1}(L\hat{Y}_j)_{\alpha\sigma}, \\
J_{k1}(X_1 - iZ_1)^{-1}(L\hat{Y}_1)_{\alpha\sigma} + J_{1k}(X_1 - iZ_1 + i\gamma\sigma)^{-1}(LQ)_{\alpha\sigma},
\end{align*}\]

Here we substituted (2.8).

This yields
\[
det(1 - \hat{N}) = \det\left\{\delta_{jk} - J_{jk}\left((X_j - iZ_1)^{-1} \otimes (L\hat{Y}_j) + \delta_{j1} (X_1 - iZ_1 + i\gamma\sigma)^{-1} \otimes (LQ)\right)\right\}
= \det J \cdot \det\left\{J_{jk}^{-1}1_4 - \delta_{jk}\left((X_j - iZ_1)^{-1} \otimes (L\hat{Y}_j) + \delta_{j1} (X_1 - iZ_1 + i\gamma\sigma)^{-1} \otimes (LQ)\right)\right\}.
\]

Besides,
\[
det M^{(1)} = (-1)^{nW} \frac{\det(X_1 - iZ_1 + i\gamma\sigma)}{\det(X_1 - iZ_1)} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \det W(X_j - iZ_1). \tag{2.12}
\]

Now substituting (2.7) - (2.9) and (2.11) - (2.12) to (2.6) and applying the bosonization formula (see Proposition 5.1), we obtain (2.11) which finishes the proof for the case \(M = 1\).

\[\square\]

3 Derivation of the sigma-model approximation

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Again we are going to concentrate on the case \(M = 1\).

Let \(\beta\) and \(n\) be fixed, and \(W \to \infty\). Defining \(n \times n\) matrix \(R\) as
\[
J^{-1} = W\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{W} \Delta + \frac{\beta^2}{W^2} \Delta^2 - \cdots\right) =: W\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{W} \Delta + \frac{1}{W^2} R\right),
\]
putting \(B_j = W^{-1}LQ_j\), and shifting \(X_j - iZ_1 \to X_j\), we can rewrite (2.1) of Proposition 2.1 as
\[
\begin{align*}
Z_{\beta nW}(\kappa, z_1, z_2) &= C_{W,n}^{(1)} \int dX dB dQ \cdot \det D \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\det W X_j \cdot \det W B_j}{\det^2 B_j} \cdot \frac{\det(X_1 + i\gamma\sigma)}{\det X_1 \cdot \det B_1} \\
&\times \exp\left\{-\text{Tr}(LQ)(iZ_2 + i\gamma\sigma) - \frac{W}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\text{Tr}(B_j + iZ_2)^2 + \text{Tr}(X_j + iZ_1)^2\right)\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
&\times \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2W} \text{Tr}(LQ)^2 - \text{Tr} B_1(LQ) + \frac{\beta}{W} \text{Tr}(B_1 - B_2)(LQ) + \frac{\beta}{2W^2} \text{Tr}(LQ)^2\right\} \\
&\times \exp\left\{\frac{\beta}{W} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\text{Tr}(B_j - B_{j+1})^2 - \text{Tr}(X_j - X_{j+1})^2\right) + \frac{1}{2W} \sum_{j,k} R_{jk} \text{Tr}(X_j + iZ_1)(X_k + iZ_1)\right\},
\end{align*}
\]

where
\[
D = \left\{(1 - \frac{\beta}{W} \Delta + \frac{1}{W^2} R)_{jk}1_4 - \delta_{jk}\left(X_j^{-1} \otimes B_j + \frac{\delta_{j1}}{W} (X_1 + i\gamma\sigma)^{-1} \otimes (LQ)\right)\right\}_{j,k=1}^n
\]

and
\[
C_{W,n}^{(1)} = \frac{\det^2 J \cdot W^{8n} \cdot W^2(W-2)(n-1) \cdot W^2(W-3) \cdot e^{-Wn\text{Tr} Z_2^2/2}}{(2\pi^3)^n ((W - 1)!)(W - 2)!} \cdot \frac{n^{-1}((W - 2)!)(W - 3)!}{(2\pi^2)^{2n}} \cdot \left(1 + O(W^{-1})\right).
\]
Change the variables to
\[ X_j = U_j^* \hat{X}_j U_j, \quad \hat{X}_j = \text{diag} \{ x_{j,1}, x_{j,2} \}, \quad U_j \in \hat{U}(2), \quad x_{j,1}, x_{j,2} \in \mathbb{R}, \]
\[ B_j = S_j^{-1} \hat{B}_j S_j, \quad \hat{B}_j = \text{diag} \{ b_{j,1}, b_{j,2} \}, \quad S_j \in \hat{U}(1,1), \quad b_{j,1} \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad b_{j,2} \in \mathbb{R}^- . \]

The Jacobian of such a change is
\[ 2^n (\pi/2)^{2n} \prod_{j=1}^n (x_{j,1} - x_{j,2})^2 \prod_{j=1}^n (b_{j,1} - b_{j,2})^2. \]

This and (3.1) yield
\[ Z_{W,n}(\kappa, z_1, z_2) = C_{W,n}^{(2)} \int dS dU dQ \int dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n_+} db \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{(x_{j,1} - x_{j,2})^2(b_{j,1} - b_{j,2})^2}{b_{j,1}^2 b_{j,2}^2} \quad (3.2) \]
\[ \times \frac{\det(\hat{X}_1 + i\gamma U_1 \sigma U_1^*)}{x_{1,1} x_{1,2} \cdot b_{1,1} b_{1,2}} \cdot \det D \cdot \exp \left\{ -W \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^2 (f(x_{j,l}) + f(b_{j,l})) \right\} \]
\[ \times \exp \left\{ -\text{Tr} (LQ)(iZ_2 + i\gamma \sigma) - \text{Tr} S_1^{-1} \hat{B}_1 S_1 (LQ) \right\} \quad (3.3) \]
\[ \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2W} \text{Tr} (LQ)^2 + \frac{\beta}{W} \text{Tr} (S_1^{-1} \hat{B}_1 S_1 - S_2^{-1} \hat{B}_2 S_2) (LQ) + \frac{\beta}{2W^2} \text{Tr} (LQ)^2 \right\} \]
\[ \times \exp \left\{ \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{j=2}^n \left( \text{Tr} (S^{-1}_j \hat{B}_j S_j - S^{-1}_{j-1} \hat{B}_j S_{j-1})^2 - \text{Tr} (U_j^* \hat{X}_j U_j - U_{j-1}^* \hat{X}_{j-1} U_{j-1})^2 \right) \right\} \quad (3.4) \]
\[ \times \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2W} \sum_{j,k} R_{jk} \text{Tr} (U_j^* \hat{X}_j U_j + iZ_1)(U_k^* \hat{X}_k U_k + iZ_1) \right\} \]
\[ \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \text{Tr} U_j^* \hat{X}_j U_j \left( \begin{array}{cc} \kappa + i x_1 & -i y_1 \\ -i y_1 & -\kappa + i x_1 \end{array} \right) + \text{Tr} S_j^{-1} \hat{B}_j S_j \left( \begin{array}{cc} \kappa + i x_2 & -i y_2 \\ -i y_2 & -\kappa + i x_2 \end{array} \right) \right) \right\}, \quad (3.5) \]

where
\[ \det D = \det \left\{ \delta_{jk} (14 - \hat{X}_j^{-1} \otimes \hat{B}_j) - \frac{1}{W} \delta_{jk} \delta_{j1} \frac{(\hat{X}_1 + i\gamma U_1 \sigma U_1^*)^{-1} \otimes (S_1(LQ)S_1)^{-1}}{W} \right\}^{n}_{j,k=1}, \quad (3.6) \]
\[ C_{W,n}^{(2)} = 2^n (\pi/2)^{2n} \cdot e^{Wn(\text{Tr} Z_1^2 + \text{Tr} Z_2^2)/2 - Wn(2 + E^2)} \cdot C_{W,n}^{(1)} \]
\[ = \frac{W^{4n} \cdot e^{2Ex_1}}{2^{3n} \pi^{2n}} \cdot \left( 1 + O(W^{-1}) \right), \]
\[ f(x) = x^2/2 + iEx - \log x - (2 + E^2)/4. \]

The constant in \( f(x) \) is chosen in such a way that \( \Re f(a_{\pm}) = 0 \). Measures \( dU_j, dS_j \) in (3.2) are the Haar measures over \( \hat{U}(2) \) and \( \hat{U}(1,1) \) correspondingly.

Also it is easy to see that for \( |E| \leq \sqrt{2} \) we can deform the contours of integration as
• for $x_{j,1}$, $x_{j,2}$ to $-iE/2 + \mathbb{R}$;
• for $b_{j,1}$ to $\mathcal{L}_+(E)$ of (1.17);
• for $b_{j,2}$ to $\mathcal{L}_-(E)$ of (1.17).

To prove Theorem 1.1, we are going to integrate (3.2) over the “fast” variables: $\{x_{j,l}\}, \{b_{j,l}\}$, $l = 1, 2$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$. The first step is the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.1.** The integral (3.2) over $\{x_{j,l}\}, \{b_{j,l}\}$, $l = 1, 2$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$ can be restricted to the integral over the $W^{-(1-\kappa)/2}$-neighbourhoods (with a small $\kappa > 0$) of the points

I. $x_{j,1} = a_+$, $x_{j,2} = a_-$ or $x_{j,1} = a_-$, $x_{j,2} = a_+$, $b_{j,1} = a_+$, $b_{j,2} = a_-$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, n$;

II. $x_{j,1} = x_{j,2} = a_+$, $b_{j,1} = a_+$, $b_{j,2} = a_-$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, n$;

III. $x_{j,1} = x_{j,2} = a_-$, $b_{j,1} = a_+$, $b_{j,2} = a_-$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

**Proof.** The proof of the first part of the lemma is straightforward and based on the fact that $\Re f(z)$ for $z = x - iE/2$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ has two global minimums at $z = a_{\pm}$, and for $z \in \mathcal{L}_\pm(E)$ has one global minimum at $z = a_{\pm}$.

To prove the second part of the lemma, consider the neighbourhood of the point II (the point III can be treated in a similar way). Change the variables as

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{j,1} & = a_+ + \tilde{x}_{j,1}/\sqrt{W}, \quad x_{j,2} = a_+ + \tilde{x}_{j,2}/\sqrt{W}, \\
b_{j,1} & = a_+(1 + b_{j,1}/\sqrt{W}), \quad b_{j,2} = a_-(1 + b_{j,2}/\sqrt{W}).
\end{align*}
$$

This gives the Jacobian $(-1)^nW^{-2n}$ and also the additional $W^{-n}$ since

$$
x_{j,1} - x_{j,2} = (\tilde{x}_{j,1} - \tilde{x}_{j,2})/\sqrt{W}.
$$

Together with $C_{W,n}^{(2)}$ this gives $W^n$ in front of the integral (3.2). In addition, expanding $f$ into the series, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x_{j,l}) & = f(a_+) + \frac{c_+ \tilde{x}_{j,l}^2}{2W} - \frac{1}{2a_+^2} \frac{\tilde{x}_{j,l}^4}{W^{3/2}} + O\left(\frac{\tilde{x}_{j,l}^4}{W^2}\right), \quad l = 1, 2 \quad (3.7)\\
f(b_{j,1}) & = f(a_+) + \frac{a_+^2 c_+}{2} \frac{\tilde{b}_{j,1}^2}{W} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tilde{b}_{j,1}^4}{W^{3/2}} + O\left(\frac{\tilde{b}_{j,1}^4}{W^2}\right), \\
f(b_{j,2}) & = f(a_-) + \frac{a_-^2 c_-}{2} \frac{\tilde{b}_{j,2}^2}{W} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tilde{b}_{j,2}^4}{W^{3/2}} + O\left(\frac{\tilde{b}_{j,2}^4}{W^2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
c_+ = 1 + a_+^{-2}, \quad f(a_+) = -f(a_-) \in i\mathbb{R}. \quad (3.8)
$$

We are going to compute the leading order of the integral over $\{\tilde{x}_{j,l}\}, \{\tilde{b}_{j,l}\}$, $l = 1, 2$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$. To this end, we leave the quadratic part of $f$ (see (3.7)) in the exponent, expand everything else into the series of $\tilde{x}_{j,l}/\sqrt{W}, \tilde{b}_{j,l}/\sqrt{W}$ around the saddle-point $\tilde{x}_{j,l} = \tilde{b}_{j,l} = 0$, and compute the Gaussian integral of each term of this expansion. We are going to prove that all this terms are $o(1)$.
Indeed, consider the expansion of the diagonal elements of \( \mathcal{D} \) of (3.6):

\[
d_{j,11} = 1 - x_j^{-1} b_j,1 = (\tilde{x}_j,1/a_+ - \tilde{b}_j,1)/\sqrt{W} + O(W^{-1+2\kappa}),
\]

\[
d_{j,12} = 1 - x_j^{-1} b_j,2 = c_+ - (\tilde{x}_j,1/a_+ - \tilde{b}_j,2)/a_+ \sqrt{W} + O(W^{-1+2\kappa}), \quad l = 1, 2.
\]

(3.9)

If we rewrite the determinant of \( \mathcal{D} \) in a standard way, then each summand has strictly one element from each row and column. Because of (3.9), each element in the rows \((j, 11)\) and \((j, 21)\) has at least \(W^{-1/2}\), and so the expansion of \(\det \mathcal{D}\) starts from \(W^{-n}\). Moreover, to obtain \(W^{-n}\) (i.e. non-zero contribution) we must consider the summands of the determinant expansion that have only diagonal elements \(d_{j,ls}\) (since non-diagonal elements of \(\mathcal{D}\) are \(O(W^{-1})\) or less), and furthermore only the first terms in the expansions (3.9) and all other function in (3.2). Thus we get

\[
C \cdot \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\tilde{x}_j,1/a_+ - \tilde{b}_j,1}{\sqrt{W}} \cdot \frac{\tilde{x}_j,2/a_+ - \tilde{b}_j,1}{\sqrt{W}} \cdot (\tilde{x}_j,1 - \tilde{x}_j,2)^2 \right\rangle_{++} + o(1),
\]

(3.10)

where

\[
\left\langle \cdot \right\rangle_{++} = \int \left\langle \cdot \right\rangle \exp \left\{ - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( c_+(\tilde{x}_j,1^2 + \tilde{x}_j,2^2) + a_+^2 c_+ \tilde{b}_j,1^2 + a_-^2 c_- \tilde{b}_j,2^2 \right) \right\} d\tilde{x} d\tilde{b}.
\]

But it is easy to see that the Gaussian integral in (3.10) is zero, which completes the proof of the lemma.

According to Lemma 3.1 the main contribution to (3.2) is given by the neighbourhoods of the saddle points \(x_{j,1} = a_+\), \(x_{j,2} = a_-\) or \(x_{j,1} = a_-\), \(x_{j,2} = a_+\). All such points can be obtained from each other by rotations of \(\mathcal{U}_j\), so we can consider only \(x_{j,1} = a_+\), \(x_{j,2} = a_-\) for all \(j = 1, \ldots, n\). Similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.1, change variables as

\[
x_{j,1} = a_+ + \tilde{x}_{j,1}/\sqrt{W}, \quad x_{j,2} = a_- + \tilde{x}_{j,2}/\sqrt{W},
\]

\[
b_{j,1} = a_+ (1 + \tilde{b}_{j,1}/\sqrt{W}), \quad b_{j,2} = a_- (1 + \tilde{b}_{j,2}/\sqrt{W}).
\]

(3.11)

That slightly change the expansions (3.7) and (3.9). We get

\[
f(x_{j,2}) = f(a_-) + \frac{c_-}{2} \frac{x_{j,2}^2}{W} - \frac{1}{2a_-^3} \frac{x_{j,2}^4}{W^{3/2}} + O\left(\frac{x_{j,2}^4}{W^{3/2}}\right),
\]

(3.12)

and

\[
d_{j,11} = 1 - x_{j,1}^{-1} b_{j,1} = \frac{\tilde{x}_{j,1}/a_+ - \tilde{b}_{j,1}}{\sqrt{W}} + \frac{a_+ \tilde{x}_{j,1} \tilde{b}_{j,1} - \tilde{x}_{j,1}^2}{a_+^2 W} + \frac{\delta_{j,1}}{W} T_{11,11} + O(W^{-3(1-\kappa)/2}),
\]

\[
d_{j,12} = 1 - x_{j,1}^{-1} b_{j,2} = \frac{\tilde{x}_{j,1}/a_- - \tilde{b}_{j,2}}{\sqrt{W}} + \frac{a_- \tilde{x}_{j,1} \tilde{b}_{j,2} - \tilde{x}_{j,1}^2}{a_-^2 W} + \frac{\delta_{j,1}}{W} T_{22,22} + O(W^{-3(1-\kappa)/2}),
\]

\[
d_{j,12} = 1 - x_{j,1}^{-1} b_{j,2} = c_+ - \frac{a_+ \tilde{x}_{j,1} \tilde{b}_{j,1} - \tilde{x}_{j,1}^2}{a_+^2 W} + \frac{\delta_{j,1}}{W} T_{11,11} + O(W^{-3(1-\kappa)/2}),
\]

\[
d_{j,21} = 1 - x_{j,2}^{-1} b_{j,1} = c_- - \frac{a_- \tilde{x}_{j,2} \tilde{b}_{j,2} - \tilde{x}_{j,2}^2}{a_-^2 W} + \frac{\delta_{j,1}}{W} T_{22,22} + O(W^{-3(1-\kappa)/2}),
\]

\[
d_{j,22} = 1 - x_{j,2}^{-1} b_{j,2} = c_- - \frac{a_- \tilde{x}_{j,2} \tilde{b}_{j,2} - \tilde{x}_{j,2}^2}{a_-^2 W} + \frac{\delta_{j,1}}{W} T_{22,22} + O(W^{-3(1-\kappa)/2}),
\]
where

\[
T = (\tilde{X}_1 + i\gamma U_1 \sigma U_1^*)^{-1} \otimes (S_1(LQ)S_1^{-1}) \tag{3.14}
\]

\[
= \left( A^{-1} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{W}} A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_{1,1} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{x}_{1,2} \end{pmatrix} A^{-1} \right) \otimes (S_1(LQ)S_1^{-1}) + O(W^{-1+2\kappa})
\]

with

\[
A = \left. \left( \tilde{X}_1 + i\gamma U_1 \sigma U_1^* \right) \right|_{\tilde{x}_{1,1} = \tilde{x}_{1,2} = 0} = -\frac{iE}{2} + \frac{c_0}{2} L + i\gamma U_1 \sigma U_1^*. \tag{3.15}
\]

The change (3.11) gives the Jacobian $W^{-2n}$, which together with $C_{W,n}^{(2)}$ gives $W^{2n}$ in front of the integral (3.2). Similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.1 we are going to compute the leading order of the integral (3.2) over $\{\tilde{x}_{j,l}\}, \{\tilde{b}_{j,l}\}$, $l = 1, 2$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, and so we leave the quadratic part of $f$ (see (3.7) and (3.12)) in the exponent, expand everything else into the series of $\tilde{x}_{j,l}/\sqrt{W}, \tilde{b}_{j,l}/\sqrt{W}$ around the saddle-point $\tilde{x}_{j,l} = \tilde{b}_{j,l} = 0$, and compute the Gaussian integral of each term of this expansion. We are going to prove, that the non-zero contribution is given by the terms having at least $W^{-2n}$.

**Lemma 3.2.** Formula (3.16) can be rewritten as

\[
Z_{\beta nW}(\kappa, z_1, z_2) = (c_0/2\pi)^{2n} \cdot e^{E(z_1-x_2)} \int \frac{dz \, d\tilde{\rho} \, dE \, dS \, dQ}{1 - \frac{1}{2}(Mz, z) + W^{1/2}(z, h^{0}) + W^{-1/2}(z, h + \xi/|A|)} \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} (LQ)(iE/2 + i\gamma \sigma) - \frac{c_0}{2} \text{Tr} S_1^{-1}LS_1(LQ) \right\} \times \exp \left\{ -\text{Tr} A^{-1} \tilde{\rho}_1 S_1(LQ)S_1^{-1} \tilde{\tau}_1 \right\} \cdot \det A \times \exp \left\{ \beta \sum \text{Tr} \left( U_j^* \tilde{\rho}_j S_j - U_j^* \tilde{\rho}_j \tilde{S}_j \right) \right\} \text{Tr} \left( S_j^{-1} \tilde{\tau}_j U_j - \tilde{S}_j^{-1} \tilde{\tau}_j - U_j^{-1} \right) \times \exp \left\{ \sum \left( c_+ n_{j,1} - c_+ n_{j,1} + c_0 a_+ + n_{j,2}/c_0 a_- \right) - \beta c_0^2 \sum (v_j^2 + v_j^2) \right\} \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{c_0}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Tr} U_j^* L \left( \begin{array}{cc} \kappa & -iy_j \\ iy_j & -\kappa \end{array} \right) \text{Tr} S_j^{-1}LS_j \left( \begin{array}{cc} \kappa & -iy_j \\ iy_j & -\kappa \end{array} \right) \right\} + o(1), \tag{3.16}
\]

where $A$ is defined in (3.15),

\[
\tilde{\rho}_j = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{j,11} & \rho_{j,12}/\sqrt{W} \\ \rho_{j,21}/\sqrt{W} & \rho_{j,22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\tau}_j = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{j,11} & \tau_{j,12}/\sqrt{W} \\ \tau_{j,21}/\sqrt{W} & \tau_{j,22} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.17}
\]

\[
n_{j,12} = \rho_{j,12} \tau_{j,12}, \quad n_{j,21} = \rho_{j,21} \tau_{j,21}, \quad n_{j,1} = \rho_{j,11} \tau_{j,11}, \quad n_{j,2} = \rho_{j,22} \tau_{j,22}, \quad z = (z_{j,11}, z_{j,22}, z_{j,12}, z_{j,21}) = (\tilde{x}_{j,1}, \tilde{x}_{j,2}, \tilde{b}_{j,1}, \tilde{b}_{j,2}),
\]

and

\[
M = M_0 + W^{-1} \tilde{M} \tag{3.18}
\]

\[
(M_0 z, z) = \sum_{j=1, \ldots, n} \left( c_+ \tilde{x}_{j,1}^2 + c_- \tilde{x}_{j,2}^2 + a_+^2 c_+ \tilde{b}_{j,1}^2 + a_-^2 c_- \tilde{b}_{j,2}^2 \right) \tag{3.19}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\langle Mz, z \rangle &= -2\beta \sum (\tilde{x}_{j,1}\tilde{x}_{j-1,1} + \tilde{x}_{j,2}\tilde{x}_{j-1,2} - a_+^2\tilde{b}_{j,1}\tilde{b}_{j-1,1} - a_-^2\tilde{b}_{j,2}\tilde{b}_{j-1,2}) \\
&\quad + 2\beta \sum \left( v_j^* (\tilde{x}_{j,1} - \tilde{x}_{j,2})(\tilde{x}_{j-1,1} - \tilde{x}_{j-1,2}) + t_j^* (a_+\tilde{b}_{j,1} - a_-\tilde{b}_{j,2})(a_+\tilde{b}_{j-1,1} - a_-\tilde{b}_{j-1,2}) \right) \\
&\quad - \sum \left( \frac{4}{c_0} (\tilde{x}_{j,1}\tilde{x}_{j,2} - \tilde{b}_{j,1}\tilde{b}_{j,2}) - 2(a_+^3 n_{j,12}\tilde{x}_{j,1}\tilde{b}_{j,2} + a_-^3 n_{j,21}\tilde{x}_{j,2}\tilde{b}_{j,1}) \right) \\
&\quad + \text{Tr } A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \tilde{x}_{1,1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \tilde{x}_{1,2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]

Here \( \zeta = \{ \zeta_j \}_{j=1}^{n} \), \( \zeta_j = (\zeta_{j,11}, \zeta_{j,22}, a_+ \zeta_{j,12}, a_- \zeta_{j,21}) \) with
\[
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{j,11} &= -\left( U_j \begin{pmatrix} \kappa & -iy_1 \\ iy_1 & -\kappa \end{pmatrix} U_j^* \right)_{11}, \\
\zeta_{j,22} &= -\left( U_j \begin{pmatrix} \kappa & -iy_1 \\ iy_1 & -\kappa \end{pmatrix} U_j^* \right)_{22}, \\
\zeta_{j,12} &= -\left( S_j \begin{pmatrix} \kappa & -iy_2 \\ iy_2 & -\kappa \end{pmatrix} S_j^{-1} \right)_{11}, \\
\zeta_{j,21} &= -\left( S_j \begin{pmatrix} \kappa & -iy_2 \\ iy_2 & -\kappa \end{pmatrix} S_j^{-1} \right)_{22}.
\end{align*}
\]

We also denote
\[
\begin{align*}
h &= \{ h_{j,l,s} + h^0_{j,l,s} \}_{j=1,...,n,l,s=1,2}, \\
h_{j,11} &= 2/c_0 - \beta c_0 v^2_0 - \beta c_0 v^2_{j,1} + a_- n_{j,12} / a^2_+, \\
h_{j,22} &= -2/c_0 + \beta c_0 v^2_0 + \beta c_0 v^2_{j,1} + a_+ n_{j,21} / a^2_-, \\
h_{j,12} &= 2a_+ / c_0 - 2 - \beta c_0 a_+ t^2_0 - \beta c_0 a_+ t^2_{j,1} - n_{j,21} a_+ / a_-, \\
h_{j,21} &= -2a_- / c_0 - 2 + \beta c_0 a_- t^2_0 + \beta c_0 a_- t^2_{j,1} - n_{j,12} a_- / a_+,
\end{align*}
\]

with
\[
\begin{align*}
h^0_{j,11} &= 1 / a_+ + (A^{-1})_{11} + (A^{-1} \tilde{\rho}_1 S_1 (LQ) S_1^{-1} \tilde{\tau}_1 A^{-1})_{11}, \\
h^0_{j,12} &= 1 / a_- + (A^{-1})_{22} + (A^{-1} \tilde{\rho}_1 S_1 (LQ) S_1^{-1} \tilde{\tau}_1 A^{-1})_{22}, \\
h^0_{j,21} &= -1 - a_+ (S_1 (LQ) S_1^{-1})_{11}, \\
h^0_{j,22} &= -1 - a_- (S_1 (LQ) S_1^{-1})_{22},
\end{align*}
\]

and
\[
\begin{align*}
v_j &= |(U_j U_j^*)_{11}|, \\
t_j &= |(S_j S_j^{-1})_{12}|.
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** Rewriting the determinant in (3.6) in a standard way, we obtain
\[
\det D = \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^{[\sigma]} \prod_{j=1}^{n} P_{j,\sigma_j} (\tilde{x}_{j,1}, \tilde{x}_{j,2}, \tilde{b}_{j,1}, \tilde{b}_{j,2}),
\]

where \( \sigma \) is a permutation of \( \{(j, l, s)\}, l, s = 1, 2, j = 1, \ldots, n, \sigma_j \) is its restriction on \( \{(j, l, s)\}_{l,s=1}^{2} \), \((-1)^{[\sigma]}\) is a sign of \( \sigma \) and \( P_{j,\sigma_j} \) is an expansion in \( \tilde{x}_{j,1}, \tilde{x}_{j,2}, \tilde{b}_{j,1}, \tilde{b}_{j,2} \) of the product of four elements from the rows \( \{(j, l, s)\}_{l,s=1}^{2} \) taken with respect to \( \sigma_j \).

Let us prove that for each \( j = 1, \ldots, n \) and any \( \tilde{\sigma} \) each term of \( P_{j,\sigma_j} (\tilde{x}_{j,1}, \tilde{x}_{j,2}, \tilde{b}_{j,1}, \tilde{b}_{j,2}) \) of (3.22) belongs to one of the three following groups:
i. has a coefficient $W^{-2}$ or lower;

ii. has a coefficient $W^{-3/2}$ and at least one of variables $\tilde{x}_{j,1}, \tilde{x}_{j,2}, \tilde{b}_{j,1}, \tilde{b}_{j,1}$ of the odd degree;

iii. has a coefficient $W^{-1}$ and at least two variables of $\tilde{x}_{j,1}, \tilde{x}_{j,2}, \tilde{b}_{j,1}, \tilde{b}_{j,1}$ of the odd degree;

Note that each element in the expansion of the coefficients of the rows $(j, 11)$ and $(j, 22)$ has a coefficient $W^{-1/2}$ or lower, and so $P_{j,\sigma}(\tilde{x}_{j,1}, \tilde{x}_{j,2}, \tilde{b}_{j,1}, \tilde{b}_{j,1})$ has a coefficient $W^{-1}$ or lower. In addition, if $P_{j,\sigma}(\tilde{x}_{j,1}, \tilde{x}_{j,2}, \tilde{b}_{j,1}, \tilde{b}_{j,1})$ contains any terms with $R_{jk}$ (see (3.6)), or at least one off-diagonal elements in $(j, 12)$ and $(j, 21)$, we get a coefficient $W^{-2}$ or lower (and so obtain the group (i)).

We are left to consider terms with $d_{j,12}d_{j,21}$. Consider first $j > 1$. If $P_{j,\sigma}(\tilde{x}_{j,1}, \tilde{x}_{j,2}, \tilde{b}_{j,1}, \tilde{b}_{j,1})$ contains two off-diagonal elements in rows $(j, 11)$ and $(j, 22)$, we get group (i). One off-diagonal element and $d_{j,11}$ (or $d_{j,22}$) gives group (ii) or group (i) (since off-diagonal elements do not depend on $\tilde{x}_{j,1}, \tilde{x}_{j,2}, \tilde{b}_{j,1}, \tilde{b}_{j,1}$), and it is easy to see from (3.13) that all the terms in expansion of $d_{j,11}d_{j,22}d_{j,12}d_{j,21}$ belongs to groups (i) – (iii). For $j = 1$ everything will be similar since the zero order term of $T$ of (3.11) (which gives contribution to the $W^{-1}$ order of elements) does not depend on $\tilde{x}_{j,1}, \tilde{x}_{j,2}, \tilde{b}_{j,1}, \tilde{b}_{j,1}$, and the next orders contribute to the orders $W^{-3/2}$ or smaller.

To get a non-zero contribution, we have to complete the expression $P_{j,\sigma}(\tilde{x}_{j,1}, \tilde{x}_{j,2}, \tilde{b}_{j,1}, \tilde{b}_{j,1})$ by some other terms of the expansion of the exponent of (3.2) in order to get an even degree of each variable $\tilde{x}_{j,1}, \tilde{x}_{j,2}, \tilde{b}_{j,1}, \tilde{b}_{j,1}$. But all such a terms have the coefficient $W^{-1/2}$ or lower, and therefore Lemma (3.2) yields that the coefficient near each $j$ in terms that gives a non-zero contribution must be $W^{-2}$ or lower. Since we have a coefficient $W^{2n}$ in (3.2) after the change (3.11), this means that to get a non-zero contribution each coefficient must be exactly $W^{-2}$. Note that the terms of $P_{j,\sigma}(\tilde{x}_{j,1}, \tilde{x}_{j,2}, \tilde{b}_{j,1}, \tilde{b}_{j,1})$ that can be completed to the monomial with all even degrees and with a coefficients $W^{-2}$ does not contain any terms with $R_{jk}$, any terms of (3.14) higher than linear in $\tilde{x}$’s, and any terms of the expansion $d_{j,ls}$, $l, s = 1, 2$ of order $W^{-3/2}$ or lower (except those that comes from $T$). They also cannot be completed to the monomial with all even degrees and with a coefficients $W^{-2}$ by any terms of the exponent of (3.2) that has a coefficient lower then $W^{-2}$ for some $j$. Thus we need to consider the terms up to the third order in the expansions (3.7) and (3.12), the linear terms of the functions in the exponents (3.8) – (3.5), the linear terms coming from

\[
b_{j,1}^2b_{j,2}^{-2} = \exp \left\{ - \frac{2b_{j,1}}{\sqrt{W}} - \frac{2b_{j,2}}{\sqrt{W}} + O(W^{-1}) \right\},
\]

\[
(x_{1,1}x_{1,2}b_{1,1}b_{1,2})^{-1} = \exp \left\{ - \frac{\tilde{x}_{1,1}}{a_+ \sqrt{W}} - \frac{\tilde{x}_{1,2}}{a_- \sqrt{W}} - \frac{\tilde{b}_{1,1}}{\sqrt{W}} - \frac{\tilde{b}_{1,2}}{\sqrt{W}} + O(W^{-1}) \right\},
\]

and no more than quadratic terms in

\[
det(\tilde{X}_1 + i\gamma U_1\sigma U_1^*) = \det A \cdot \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{W}} \text{Tr} A^{-1} \tilde{X}_1 - \frac{1}{2W} \text{Tr} A^{-1} \tilde{X}_1 A^{-1} \tilde{X}_1 + O(W^{-3/2}) \right\}
\]

with

\[
\tilde{X}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_{1,1} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{x}_{1,2} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Note that the terms containing $\tilde{x}_{j,1}\tilde{b}_{j,1}/W$ in $d_{j,11}$ (see (3.13)) cannot contribute to the limit, since if we complete them to the monomial with even degrees of $\tilde{x}_{j,1}, \tilde{b}_{j,1}$, then it will contain $W^{-2}$ and an additional $W^{-1}$ should come from the line containing $d_{j,22}$. Moreover, the terms
containing \( \tilde{x}_{j,1}^2 \) in \( d_{j,11} \) can give a non-zero contribution only if the resulting monomial contains only \( \tilde{x}_{j,1}^2 \), since otherwise, taking into account the contribution of the line containing \( d_{j,22} \), we again obtain at least \( W^{-3} \). Thus we can replace \( \tilde{x}_{j,1}^2 \) by its average via Gaussian measure \((2\pi/c_+)^{-1/2}e^{-c_+\tilde{x}_{j,1}^2/2}, \) i.e. by \( c_1^{-1} \). The same is true for \( \tilde{x}_{j,2}\tilde{b}_{j,2}/W \) and for \( \tilde{x}_{j,2}^2 \) which could be replaced by \( c_2^{-1} \). Similar argument yields that the contribution of the terms with \( \tilde{x}_{j,1}^2 \) in the line containing \( d_{j,12} \) and \( \tilde{x}_{j,2}^2 \) in the line containing \( d_{j,21} \) disappear in the limit \( W \to \infty \). Thus the term corresponding to \( W^{2n} \det D \) in (3.2) can be replaced by the term

\[
\int d\rho \, d\tau \exp \left\{ \beta \sum \left( U_j^* \tilde{\rho}_j S_j - U_{j-1}^* \tilde{\rho}_{j-1} S_{j-1} \right) \left( S_j^{-1} \tilde{\tau}_j U_j - S_{j-1}^{-1} \tilde{\tau}_{j-1} U_{j-1} \right) \right\} \right. 
\]

\[
+ \sum \left( c_n n_{j,12} + c_n n_{j,21} - n_{j,1}/c_0 a_+ + n_{j,2}/c_0 a_- \right) 
\]

\[
+ W^{1/2} \sum \left( (\tilde{x}_{j,1}/a_+ - \tilde{b}_{j,1}) n_{j,1} + (\tilde{x}_{j,2}/a_- - \tilde{b}_{j,2}) n_{j,2} \right) 
\]

\[
- W^{-1/2} \sum \left( a_+^{-2}(\tilde{x}_{j,1}/a_+ - \tilde{b}_{j,1}) n_{j,12} + a_-^{-2}(\tilde{x}_{j,2}/a_- - \tilde{b}_{j,1}) n_{j,21} \right) 
\]

\[
\times \exp \left\{ - \left( A^{-1} - W^{-1/2} A^1 \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} \tilde{x}_{j,1} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{x}_{j,2} \end{array} \right) A^{-1} \tilde{\rho}_j \left( S_1 (LQ) S_1^{-1} \right) \tilde{\tau}_j \right\} + O(W^{-1/2}),
\]

where \( \tilde{\rho}_j, \tilde{\tau}_j, n_{j,12}, n_{j,21}, n_{j,1}, n_{j,2} \) are defined in (3.17). Here we have used Grassmann variables \( \{\rho_{j,ls}\}, \{\tau_{j,ls}\}, j = 1, \ldots, n, l, s = 1, 2 \) to rewrite the determinant (3.6) with respect to (3.2), have substituted (3.13) and left only terms that give the contribution (according to arguments above), and then have changed \( \rho_{j,11} \to \sqrt{W} \rho_{j,11}, \tau_{j,11} \to \sqrt{W} \rho_{j,11} \). Note also

\[
c_+ a_+^2 = c_0 a_+, \quad c_- a_-^2 = -c_0 a_- .
\]

Now let us prove that the contribution of the third order in the expansions (3.7) and (3.12) is small. Indeed, the terms \( P_{j,3}(\tilde{x}_{j,1}, \tilde{x}_{j,2}, \tilde{b}_{j,1}, \tilde{b}_{j,2}) \) that can be completed to the monomial with all even degrees and with a coefficients \( W^{-2} \) by these cubic terms cannot come from the contribution of \( T \) of (3.14) and can be one of two types

1. terms \( (\tilde{x}_{j,1}/a_+ - \tilde{b}_{j,1}) \cdot x \cdot c_+ \cdot c_- \), where \( c_+, c_- \) come from the zero terms of \( d_{j,12} \), \( d_{j,21} \) (see (3.13)) and \( x \) is an element of the row \( (j, 22) \) and so does not depend on \( \tilde{x}_{j,1}, \tilde{b}_{j,1} \) (or similar terms with \( (\tilde{x}_{j,2}/a_- - \tilde{b}_{j,2}) \));

2. terms of \( (\tilde{x}_{j,1}/a_+ - \tilde{b}_{j,1}) (\tilde{x}_{j,2}/a_- - \tilde{b}_{j,2}) (\tilde{x}_{j,1}/a_+ - \tilde{b}_{j,1}) \cdot c_- \) with \( \tilde{x}_{j,1}^2 \) or \( \tilde{b}_{j,2}^2 \) (or similar terms with \( c_+ \) coming from \( d_{j,12} \)).

But it is easy to see that

\[
\int \frac{(a_+^4)}{(3a_+^4)} - \frac{\tilde{b}_{j,1}^4}{(3a_+^4)} d\tilde{x}_{j,1} d\tilde{b}_{j,1} = \frac{2\pi}{a_+ c_+} \left( \frac{1}{a_+^4 c_+^2} - \frac{1}{a_+^4 c_+^2} \right) = 0,
\]

and so the contribution of (1) is zero. Similarly the contribution (2) is zero.

Therefore, the contribution of the third order in the expansions (3.7) is small, and using (3.25), (3.28) and (3.24), and also

\[
\exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \text{Tr} U_j^* L_1 U_j \left( \begin{array}{cc} \kappa + i\chi_1 & -i\chi_1 \\ i\chi_1 & -\kappa + i\chi_1 \end{array} \right) + \text{Tr} S_j^{-1} L_2 S_j \left( \begin{array}{cc} \kappa + i\chi_2 & -i\chi_2 \\ i\chi_2 & -\kappa + i\chi_2 \end{array} \right) \right) \right\} 
\]

\[
= \exp\left\{ -E(x_1 + x_2) \right\} \cdot \exp\left\{ -\frac{c_0}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \text{Tr} U_j^* L_1 U_j \left( \begin{array}{cc} \kappa & -i\chi_1 \\ i\chi_1 & -\kappa \end{array} \right) + \text{Tr} S_j^{-1} L_2 S_j \left( \begin{array}{cc} \kappa & -i\chi_2 \\ i\chi_2 & -\kappa \end{array} \right) \right) \right\}
\]
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for $L_{\pm}$, $L$ defined in (1.15), we get (3.16).

Denoting the exponent in the second line of (3.16) by $\mathcal{E}(z)$ and taking the Gaussian integral over $dz$ with $z$ of (3.17), we get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \mathcal{E}(z) dz = (2\pi)^2 \det^{-1/2} M \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( M^{-1}(W^{1/2}h^0 + W^{-1/2}(h + \zeta/\Lambda)) + W^{1/2}h^0 + W^{-1/2}(h + \zeta/n) \right) \right\} \right\}. \tag{3.27}
$$

It is easy to see from (3.18) – (3.20) that

$$
\det M = \det M_0(1 + O(W^{-1})) = (c_+^2 c_-^2 a_+^2 a_-^2)^n(1 + O(W^{-1})) = c_0^n(1 + O(W^{-1}))
$$

with $c_\pm$ of (3.3). Note now that

$$
M^{-1} = \left( M_0 + \frac{1}{W} \tilde{M} \right)^{-1} = M_0^{-1} - \frac{1}{W} M_0^{-1} \tilde{M} M_0^{-1} + O(W^{-2}).
$$

Since $M_0$ is diagonal and $\tilde{h}^0_{j,ls}$ is proportional to $n_{j,1}$ or $n_{j,2}$ and $n_{j,l}^2 = 0$, we have

$$
(M_0^{-1} h^0, h^0) = 0.
$$

Hence, the exponent in the r.h.s. of (3.27) takes the form

$$
\frac{1}{2} \left( (M_0^{-1} h^0, h + \zeta/\Lambda) + (M_0^{-1}(h + \zeta/\Lambda), h^0) \right) - (M_0^{-1} \tilde{M} M_0^{-1} h^0, h^0) + o(1) = I_1 + I_2 - I_3 + o(1).
$$

Then we can rewrite (recall (3.21) and (3.20))

$$
I_1 + I_2 = \sum \left( \frac{(h_{j,11} + \zeta_{j,11}/n)n_{j,1}}{a_+ c_+} + \frac{(h_{j,22} + \zeta_{j,22}/\Lambda)n_{j,2}}{a_- c_-} \right)
$$

$$
- \frac{(h_{j,12} + a_+ \zeta_{j,12}/n)n_{j,1}}{a_+ c_+} - \frac{(h_{j,21} + a_- \zeta_{j,21}/n)n_{j,2}}{a_- c_-}
$$

$$
+ \left( \frac{h_{11}^2 n_{j,11}}{a_+ c_+} + \frac{h_{12} n_{j,12}}{a_- c_-} - \frac{h_{11} n_{j,11}}{a_+ c_+} - \frac{h_{12} n_{j,12}}{a_- c_-} \right).
$$

$$
= \sum n_{j,1} \left( \frac{2}{a_+ c_0} + \beta(t_{j,j}^2 + t_{j,j+1}^2 - v_{j,j}^2 - v_{j,j+1}^2) + \frac{a_- n_{j,21}}{a_- c_0} + \frac{n_{j,21}}{a_- c_0} + \frac{\zeta_{j,11} - \zeta_{j,12}}{c_0 n} \right)
$$

$$
+ \sum n_{j,2} \left( - \frac{2}{a_- c_0} + \beta(t_{j,j}^2 + t_{j,j+1}^2 - v_{j,j}^2 - v_{j,j+1}^2) - \frac{a_+ n_{j,21}}{a_+ c_0} - \frac{n_{j,21}}{a_+ c_0} - \frac{\zeta_{j,22} - \zeta_{j,21}}{c_0 n} \right)
$$

$$
+ n_{1,1} \cdot \frac{(A^{-1})_{11} - (S_1(LQ)S_1^{-1})_{11}}{c_+ a_+} + n_{1,2} \cdot \frac{(A^{-1})_{22} - (S_1(LQ)S_1^{-1})_{22}}{c_- a_-}
$$

$$
+ n_{1,1} n_{1,2} \left( \frac{(A^{-1})_{12} (S_1 LQ S_1^{-1})_{22} (A^{-1})_{21}}{c_+ a_+} + \frac{(A^{-1})_{21} (S_1 LQ S_1^{-1})_{11} (A^{-1})_{12}}{c_- a_-} \right) + O(W^{-1/2});
$$
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\[
I_3 = \frac{4}{c_0^2} \sum n_{j,1} n_{j,2} - \frac{1}{a_+ c_0} \sum n_{j,12} n_{j,1} n_{j,2} - \frac{1}{a_- c_0} \sum n_{j,21} n_{j,1} n_{j,2} \\
+ \sum \frac{\beta (v_j^2 + t_j^2)}{c_0} (n_{j,1} n_{j,1+1} + n_{j,1} n_{j+1,2} + n_{j,2} n_{j+1,1} + n_{j,2} n_{j+1,2}) \tag{3.29}
\]

Moreover,

\[
\exp \left\{ \beta \sum \text{Tr} \left( U_j^* \hat{\rho}_j S_j - U_{j-1}^* \hat{\rho}_{j-1} S_{j-1} \right) \left( S_{j-1}^{-1} \tau_j U_j - S_{j-1}^{-1} \tau_{j-1} U_{j-1} \right) \right\} = \exp \left\{ \frac{\beta}{W} \sum \text{Tr} \left( U_j^* \hat{\rho}_j S_j - U_{j-1}^* \hat{\rho}_{j-1} S_{j-1} \right) \left( S_{j-1}^{-1} \tau_j U_j - S_{j-1}^{-1} \tau_{j-1} U_{j-1} \right) \right\} + O(W^{-1/2}), \tag{3.30}
\]

where

\[
\hat{\rho}_j = \text{diag}\{\rho_{j,11}, \rho_{j,22}\}, \quad \hat{\tau}_j = \text{diag}\{\tau_{j,11}, \tau_{j,22}\}. \tag{3.31}
\]

Combining (3.28) – (3.30) we can integrate the main term of (3.27) with respect to \(\rho_{j,12}, \tau_{j,12}, \rho_{j,21}, \tau_{j,21}\) according to (5.4). This integration gives

\[
\prod_{j=1}^n \left( c_+ + \frac{a_n n_{j,1}}{a_+ c_0} - \frac{n_{j,2}}{a_+ c_0} + \frac{n_{j,1} n_{j,2}}{a_n c_0} \right) \left( c_- + \frac{n_{j,1}}{a_- c_0} - \frac{n_{j,2}}{a_- c_0} + \frac{n_{j,1} n_{j,2}}{a_n c_0} \right) = c_0^2 + \frac{c_0 n_{j,2}^2}{a_-} - \frac{c_0 n_{j,1}}{a_+} + (1 + 2/c_0^2) n_{j,1} n_{j,2} = c_0^2 \cdot \exp \left\{ - \frac{n_{j,1}}{a_- c_0} + \frac{n_{j,2}}{a_+ c_0} \right\} \cdot (1 + \frac{2}{c_0^2} n_{j,1} n_{j,2}),
\]

which, together with (3.28) – (3.30), yields

\[
Z_{\beta_n W}(\kappa, z_1, z_2) = c_0^2 \cdot e^{E(x_1-x_2)} \int d\hat{\rho} d\hat{\tau} d\hat{U} d\hat{S} \prod_{j=1}^n \left( 1 - \frac{2}{c_0^2} n_{j,1} n_{j,2} \right) \exp \left\{ - \beta c_0^2 \sum (v_j^2 + t_j^2) \right\} \\
\times \exp \left\{ \beta \sum \text{Tr} \left( U_j^* \hat{\rho}_j S_j - U_{j-1}^* \hat{\rho}_{j-1} S_{j-1} \right) \left( S_{j-1}^{-1} \tau_j U_j - S_{j-1}^{-1} \tau_{j-1} U_{j-1} \right) \right\} \\
\times \exp \left\{ \sum n_{j,1} \left( \beta (t_j^2 + t_{j+1}^2 - v_j^2 - v_{j+1}^2) + \frac{\zeta_{j,11} - \zeta_{j,12}}{c_0 n} \right) \right\} \\
\times \exp \left\{ \sum n_{j,2} \left( \beta (t_j^2 + t_{j+1}^2 - v_j^2 - v_{j+1}^2) - \frac{\zeta_{j,22} - \zeta_{j,21}}{c_0 n} \right) \right\} \\
\times \int F(A, Q, \hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\tau}_1, S_1) dQ \\
\times \exp \left\{ - \frac{c_0}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \text{Tr} U_j^* L_j \left( \frac{\kappa}{iy_1} \right) \left( \frac{-iy_1}{\kappa} \right) + \text{Tr} S_{j-1}^{-1} L_j \left( \frac{\kappa}{iy_2} \right) \left( \frac{-iy_2}{\kappa} \right) \right) \right\} + o(1)
\]

where we have used \(a_+ c_+ = c_0, a_- c_- = -c_0, \) and

\[
(1 + 2 n_{j,1} n_{j,2} / c_0^4) \cdot e^{-4n_{j,1} n_{j,2} / c_0^4} = 1 - 2 n_{j,1} n_{j,2} / c_0^4.
\]

Here

\[
F(A, Q, \hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\tau}_1, S_1) = \exp \left\{ - \text{Tr} (i E/2 + i \gamma \sigma)(LQ) - \frac{c_0}{2} \text{Tr} S_{1}^{-1} LS_1 (LQ) \right\} \\
\times \exp \left\{ - \text{Tr} A^{-1} \hat{\rho}_1 S_1 (LQ) S_{1}^{-1} \hat{\tau}_1 + \frac{1}{c_0^2} (A^{-1})_{12} (A^{-1})_{21} n_{1,1} n_{1,2} \right\} \cdot \det A
\]
\[ \times \exp \left\{ n_{1,1} \cdot \frac{(A^{-1})_{11} - (S_1(LQ)S_1^{-1})_{11}}{c_0} - n_{1,2} \cdot \frac{(A^{-1})_{22} - (S_1(LQ)S_1^{-1})_{22}}{c_0} \right\} \\
\times \exp \left\{ n_{1,1}n_{1,2} \left( \frac{(A^{-1})_{12}(S_1LQS_1^{-1})_{22}(A^{-1})_{21}}{c_0} - \frac{(A^{-1})_{21}(S_1LQS_1^{-1})_{11}(A^{-1})_{12}}{c_0} \right) \right\}. \]

Notice
\[ \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{c_0} ((A^{-1})_{11}n_{1,1} - (A^{-1})_{22}n_{1,2}) + \frac{1}{c_0^2} (A^{-1})_{12}(A^{-1})_{21}n_{1,1}n_{1,2} \right\} \cdot \det A \\
= \det\left( A + \frac{1}{c_0} L\hat{\rho}_1 \hat{\tau}_1 \right), \]

where \( \hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\tau}_1 \) is defined in (3.31). In addition,
\[ \exp \left\{ - \text{Tr} A^{-1} \hat{\rho}_1 S_1(LQ)S_1^{-1} \hat{\tau}_1 - \frac{1}{c_0} (S_1(LQ)S_1^{-1})_{11}n_{1,1} + \frac{1}{c_0} (S_1(LQ)S_1^{-1})_{22}n_{1,2} \right\} \\
\times \exp \left\{ n_{1,1}n_{1,2} \left( \frac{(A^{-1})_{12}(S_1LQS_1^{-1})_{22}(A^{-1})_{21}}{c_0} - \frac{(A^{-1})_{21}(S_1LQS_1^{-1})_{11}(A^{-1})_{12}}{c_0} \right) \right\} \\
= \exp \left\{ \text{Tr} S_1^{-1} \hat{\tau}_1 \left( A + \frac{1}{c_0} L\hat{\rho}_1 \hat{\tau}_1 \right)^{-1} \hat{\rho}_1 S_1 LQ - \frac{1}{c_0} \text{Tr} S_1^{-1} L\hat{\rho}_1 \hat{\tau}_1 S_1(LQ) \right\} \]

hence we can perform the integration with respect to \( Q \) to get
\[ \int F(A, Q, \hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\tau}_1, S_1) \, dQ \\
= \frac{\det\left( A + \frac{1}{c_0} L\hat{\rho}_1 \hat{\tau}_1 \right)}{\det\left( iE/2 + i\gamma \sigma + \frac{c_0}{2} S_1^{-1} L(1 - \frac{2}{c_0^2} \hat{\rho}_1 \hat{\tau}_1) S_1 - S_1^{-1} \hat{\tau}_1 \left( A + \frac{1}{c_0} L\hat{\rho}_1 \hat{\tau}_1 \right)^{-1} \hat{\rho}_1 S_1 \right)}. \]

Using
\[ U_1^\ast \left( A + \frac{1}{c_0} L\hat{\rho}_1 \hat{\tau}_1 \right) U_1 = -\frac{iE}{2} + \frac{c_0}{2} U_1^\ast L(1 + \frac{2}{c_0} \hat{\rho}_1 \hat{\tau}_1) U_1 + i\gamma \sigma, \]

we get finally
\[ \int F(A, Q, \hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\tau}_1, S_1) \, dQ \\
= \text{sdet}^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{cc} U_1^\ast L(1 + \frac{2}{c_0} \hat{\rho}_1 \hat{\tau}_1) U_1 - \frac{iE}{c_0} + \frac{2i\gamma}{c_0} & \frac{2}{c_0} S_1^{-1} \hat{\tau}_1 U_1 \\
\frac{2}{c_0} U_1^\ast \hat{\rho}_1 S_1 & -\left( S_1^{-1} L(1 - \frac{2}{c_0^2} \hat{\rho}_1 \hat{\tau}_1) S_1 + \frac{iE}{c_0} + \frac{2i\gamma}{c_0} \right) \end{array} \right) \]

Now changing
\[ \rho_{j,11} \to c_0 \rho_{j,1}, \quad \tau_{j,11} \to c_0 \tau_{j,1}, \quad \rho_{j,22} \to c_0 \rho_{j,2}, \quad \tau_{j,22} \to c_0 \rho_{j,2} \]

with an appropriate change in \( n_{j,1}, n_{j,2}, \hat{\rho}_j, \hat{\tau}_j \), and recalling (1.18), we get (1.11) which finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1 for \( M = 1 \). The general case can be obtain very similar: since \( M \) is finite, the additional terms (2.1) do not affect the saddle-points and the main terms in representation (3.16), they just add some additional terms to \( \tilde{M} \) of (3.20), \( h_{1,1}^{(q)} \) of (3.21) and to (3.23) – (3.24) which can be handled in the same way.

\[ \square \]
4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

To simplify formulas below we handle again the case \( M = 1 \). We explain the difference with the case \( M > 1 \) at the end of the section.

It is easy to see that (1.11) implies that \( Z_{\beta n}(E, \varepsilon, \xi) \) can be written in the form

\[
Z_{\beta n}(E, \varepsilon, \xi) = e^{E(x_1 - x_2)} \int D(Q) \tilde{F}(Q) \tilde{M}^{n-1}(Q, Q') \tilde{F}(Q') dQdQ'
\]

where

\[
\tilde{F}(Q) := \exp \left\{ \frac{c_0}{4n} \text{Str} QA_{\kappa, y} \right\}
\]

\[
\tilde{M}(Q, Q') = \tilde{F}(Q) \exp \left\{ - \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{4} \text{Str} QQ' \right\} \tilde{F}(Q')
\]

and

\[
D(Q) := \text{Sdet}^{-1} \left( Q - \frac{iE}{2\pi \rho(E)} + \frac{i\gamma}{\pi \rho(E)} L \Sigma \right) = D(U, \hat{\rho}, \hat{\tau})
\]

But for the proof of Theorem 1.2 it is convenient to change variables \( \{U_i\}_{i=1}^n \) and \( \{S_i\}_{i=1}^n \) in order to obtain a little bit different representation.

**Proposition 4.1.**

\[
Z_{\beta n}(E, \varepsilon, \xi) = e^{E(x_1 - x_2)} \int D_1(Q) \mathcal{F}(Q) \mathcal{M}^{n-1}(Q, Q') \mathcal{F}(Q') dQdQ',
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{F}(Q) := \exp \left\{ \frac{c_0}{4n} \text{Str} QA_1 \right\}, \quad \Lambda_1 = \begin{pmatrix} L\kappa_1 & 0 \\ 0 & L\kappa_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \kappa_{1,2} = (\kappa^2 + y^2_{1,2})^{1/2},
\]

\[
\mathcal{M}(Q, Q') = \mathcal{F}(Q) \exp \left\{ - \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{4} \text{Str} QQ' \right\} \mathcal{F}(Q'),
\]

\[
D_1(Q) = c_1 + c_2n_1 + c_3n_2 + c_4n_1n_2 + d_1\rho_1\tau_2 + d_2\rho_2\tau_1, \quad (n_1 = \rho_1\tau_1, n_2 = \rho_2\tau_2)
\]

\[
c_\nu = \sum_{k=1}^{3} c^{(k)}_\nu (\tau - i \sinh t \cos \alpha_2 \cos \theta + \cosh t \sin \alpha_2)^{-k}, \quad \nu = 1, 2, 3, 4,
\]

\[
d_\nu = d^{(1)}_\nu (\tau - i \sinh t \cos \alpha_2 \cos \theta + \cosh t \sin \alpha_2)^{-1}, \quad \nu = 1, 2,
\]

\[
\tau = (\gamma + \gamma^{-1})/c_0 > 0,
\]

and \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \) are defined as

\[
\sin \alpha_\sigma = y_\sigma (\kappa^2 + y^2_\sigma)^{-1/2}, \quad 0 < \alpha_\sigma < \pi/2, \quad \sigma = 1, 2.
\]

Here \( c^{(k)}_\nu \) and \( d^{(1)}_\nu \) are polynomials with respect to entries of \( U \), whose coefficients are independent of \( S \) in the case of \( c^{(k)}_\nu \), and are bounded functions of \( S \) in the case of \( d^{(1)}_\nu \). Parameters \( t, \theta \) here correspond to the following parametrizations of \( U \in \hat{U}(2) \) and \( S \in \hat{U}(1, 1) \):

\[
U = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\psi/2} \cos \varphi & e^{-i\psi/2} \sin \varphi \\ -e^{i\psi/2} \sin \varphi & e^{-i\psi/2} \cos \varphi \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta/2} \cosh \frac{t}{2} & e^{-i\theta/2} \sinh \frac{t}{2} \\ e^{i\theta/2} \sinh \frac{t}{2} & e^{-i\theta/2} \cosh \frac{t}{2} \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Proof. Let us introduce unitary matrices

\[ V_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\alpha_\sigma/2) & -i \sin(\alpha_\sigma/2) \\ -i \sin(\alpha_\sigma/2) & \cos(\alpha_\sigma/2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma = 1, 2, \]

where \( \alpha_{1,2} \) are defined in (1.14). It is straightforward to check that

\[ V_\sigma \Lambda_{\kappa, \gamma_0} V_\sigma^* = \kappa_\sigma L, \quad \sigma = 1, 2. \]

For the unitary group we can just change the variables \( U_i \to U_i V_1 \), and since the Haar measure is invariant with respect to this change of variables, we obtain the desired transformation for the "unitary" part of \( \mathcal{M} \). Unfortunately, similar transformation for the hyperbolic group does not work directly, since the matrix \( \tilde{S}_i = S_i V_2 \) is not hyperbolic. But if we use another parametrization of the Hyperbolic group

\[ S(t, s) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(t/2) + ise^{t/2}/2 & - \sinh(t/2) - ise^{t/2}/2 \\ - \sinh(t/2) + ise^{t/2}/2 & \cosh(t/2) - ise^{t/2}/2 \end{pmatrix}, \]

then it is straightforward to check that

\[ S(t, s)V_2 = S(t + i\alpha_2, s). \]

On the other hand, \( \mathcal{M}(S_1, S_2) \) depends only on \( S_1 S_2^{-1} \) and the entries of \( S_1 S_2^{-1} \) depend only on \( t_1 - t_2 \)

\[
(S(t_1, s_1) S_1^{-1}(t_2, s_2))_{11} = \cosh((t_1 - t_2)/2) + is_1 e^{(t_1 - t_2)/2} - is_2 e^{-(t_1 - t_2)/2}, \\
(S(t_1, s_1) S_1^{-1}(t_2, s_2))_{12} = - \sinh((t_1 - t_2)/2) - is_1 e^{(t_1 - t_2)/2} + is_2 e^{-(t_1 - t_2)/2}.
\]

Hence, if we change the integration contour with respect to all \( t_j + i\alpha_2 \to t_j \),

\[ \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \to \mathcal{F}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \to \mathcal{M}, \quad D(U, S) \to D(UV_1^*, SV_2^*). \]

Thus we are left to study \( D_1 = D(UV_1^*, SV_2^*) \). Denote

\[ \tilde{U} = UV_1^*, \quad \tilde{S} = SV_2^*. \]

Using formulas (1.12) and (1.11), we conclude that

\[ D_1 = \det \tilde{A} \cdot \frac{\det(1 + 2L\tilde{n} \tilde{A}^{-1})}{\det(1 - 2L\tilde{B}\tilde{B}^{-1} + 4\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{A} + 2L\tilde{n})^{-1} \tilde{\tau})}, \]

\[ \tilde{A} = -\frac{iE}{c_0} + \frac{2i\gamma}{c_0} \tilde{U} \tilde{\sigma} \tilde{U}^{-1} + L, \quad \tilde{B} = \frac{iE}{c_0} + \frac{2i\gamma}{c_0} \tilde{S} \tilde{\sigma} \tilde{S}^{-1} + L, \quad (4.6) \]

It is easy to see that

\[ \det \tilde{A} = \det(-\frac{iE}{c_0} + \frac{2i\gamma}{c_0} \tilde{U} \tilde{\sigma} \tilde{U}^{-1} + L) = -\frac{E^2}{c_0^2} - 1 - \frac{4\gamma^2}{c_0^2} - \frac{4i\gamma}{c_0} (\tilde{U}_{11} \tilde{U}_{12} - \tilde{U}_{12} \tilde{U}_{11}) = \]

\[ = -\frac{4(1 + \gamma^2)}{c_0^2} + \frac{4\gamma}{c_0} \sin \tilde{\varphi} \sin \tilde{\psi} = -\frac{4\gamma}{c_0} (\tau - \sin \varphi \cdot \cos \alpha_1 \sin \psi + \sin \alpha_1 \cos \varphi) \]

\[ \det \tilde{B} = \det\left(\frac{iE}{c_0} + \frac{2i\gamma}{c_0} \tilde{S} \tilde{\sigma} \tilde{S}^{-1} + L\right) = -\frac{E^2}{c_0^2} - 1 - \frac{4\gamma^2}{c_0^2} - \frac{4i\gamma}{c_0} (\tilde{S}_{11} (\tilde{S}^{-1})_{21} - \tilde{S}_{12} (\tilde{S}^{-1})_{11}) = \]

\[ = -\frac{4\gamma}{c_0} (\tau - i \sinh t \cdot \cos \alpha_2 \cos \theta + \cosh t \cdot \sin \alpha_2), \]
where $\tau$ is defined in (4.3) and we used parametrizations (4.5) for $U$ and $S$. Here we used also that
\[
\tilde{S}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{S}_{22} & -\tilde{S}_{12} \\ -\tilde{S}_{21} & \tilde{S}_{11} \end{pmatrix},
\]
and so
\[
-\tilde{S}_{11}(\tilde{S}^{-1})_{21} + \tilde{S}_{12}(\tilde{S}^{-1})_{11} = \tilde{S}_{11}\tilde{S}_{21} + \tilde{S}_{12}\tilde{S}_{22} = (SV_{1}^{*})_{11}(SV_{1}^{*})_{21} + (SV_{1}^{*})_{12}(SV_{1}^{*})_{22} \tag{4.8}
\]
\[
= \cos \alpha_2(S_{11}S_{21} + S_{12}S_{22}) + i \sin \alpha_2(S_{11}S_{22} + S_{12}S_{21})
\]
\[
= \sinh t \cdot \cos \alpha_2 \cos \theta + i \cosh t \cdot \sin \alpha_2.
\]
Similar formulas can be obtained for $\tilde{U}_{11}\tilde{U}_{12} - \tilde{U}_{12}\tilde{U}_{11}$.

Since
\[
\dot{\rho}(\dot{A} + 2L\dot{n})^{-1}\dot{\tau} = \dot{\rho}\dot{A}^{-1}(1 - 2L\dot{n}\dot{A}^{-1})\dot{\tau},
\]
\[
\dot{\rho}\dot{A}^{-1}L\dot{n}\dot{A}^{-1}\dot{\tau}\dot{B}^{-1} = -n_1n_2\dot{A}_{12}\dot{A}_{21}L\dot{B}^{-1},
\]
we have
\[
\det(1 - 2L\dot{n}\dot{B}^{-1} + 4\dot{\rho}(\dot{A} + 2L\dot{n})^{-1}\dot{\tau}\dot{B}^{-1}) = \det(1 - 2L\dot{n}\dot{B}^{-1} + 4\dot{\rho}\dot{A}^{-1}(1 - 2L\dot{n}\dot{A}^{-1})\dot{\tau}\dot{B}^{-1})
\]
\[
= \det(1 - 2L\dot{n}\dot{B}^{-1} + 4\dot{\rho}\dot{A}^{-1}\dot{\tau}\dot{B}^{-1})\det(1 + 8n_1n_2\dot{A}_{12}\dot{A}_{21}L\dot{B}^{-1})
\]
\[
= (1 + n_1(4\dot{A}_{11}^{-1} - 2)\dot{B}_{11}^{-1} + n_2(4\dot{A}_{22}^{-1} + 2)\dot{B}_{22}^{-1} + 4\dot{A}_{12}\dot{B}_{21}^{-1}\rho_1\tau_2 + 4\dot{A}_{21}\dot{B}_{12}^{-1}\rho_2\tau_1)
\]
\[
\times (1 + n_1n_2\left(\frac{(4\dot{A}_{11}^{-1} - 2)(4\dot{A}_{22}^{-1} + 2) + 16\dot{A}_{12}^{-1}\dot{A}_{21}^{-1}}{\det\dot{B}} + 8\dot{A}_{12}^{-1}\dot{A}_{21}^{-1}\text{Tr} \dot{B}^{-1}L\right)).
\]
Hence,
\[
\det^{-1}(1 - 2L\dot{n}\dot{B}^{-1} + 4\dot{\rho}(\dot{A} + 2L\dot{n})^{-1}\dot{\tau}\dot{B}^{-1}) = \left(1 - k_bn_1n_2\right)
\]
\[
\times \left(1 - n_1(4\dot{A}_{11}^{-1} - 2)\dot{B}_{11}^{-1} - n_2(4\dot{A}_{22}^{-1} + 2)\dot{B}_{22}^{-1} - 4\dot{A}_{12}^{-1}\dot{B}_{21}^{-1}\rho_1\tau_2 - 4\dot{A}_{21}^{-1}\dot{B}_{12}^{-1}\rho_2\tau_1\right),
\]
where
\[
k_b = \frac{(4\dot{A}_{11}^{-1} - 2)(4\dot{A}_{22}^{-1} + 2) + 16\dot{A}_{12}^{-1}\dot{A}_{21}^{-1}}{\det\dot{B}} + 8\dot{A}_{12}^{-1}\dot{A}_{21}^{-1}\text{Tr} \dot{B}^{-1}L
\]
\[
- 2(4\dot{A}_{11}^{-1} - 2)(4\dot{A}_{22}^{-1} + 2)\dot{B}_{11}^{-1}\dot{B}_{22}^{-1} + 32\dot{A}_{12}^{-1}\dot{A}_{21}^{-1}\dot{B}_{12}^{-1}\dot{B}_{21}^{-1}
\]
\[
= -(16\det^{-1}\dot{A} + 8\text{Tr} \dot{A}^{-1}L - 4)(\dot{B}_{11}^{-1}\dot{B}_{22}^{-1} + \dot{B}_{12}^{-1}\dot{B}_{21}^{-1}) + 8\dot{A}_{12}^{-1}\dot{A}_{21}^{-1}\text{Tr} \dot{B}^{-1}L.
\]
Similarly,
\[
\det(1 + 2L\dot{n}\dot{A}^{-1}) = (1 + 2n_1\dot{A}_{11}^{-1} - 2n_2\dot{A}_{22}^{-1})(1 - 4n_1n_2(\det \dot{A})^{-1}).
\]
Then finally $D_1$ of (4.6) can be rewritten as
\[
D_1 = (\det \dot{B})^{-1}(\det \dot{A} - n_1(4\dot{A}_{22}\dot{B}_{11}^{-1} - 2\dot{A}_{22} - 2\dot{B}_{11}^{-1}\det \dot{A})
\]
\[
- n_2(4\dot{B}_{22}^{-1}\dot{A}_{11} + 2\dot{A}_{11} + 2\dot{B}_{22}^{-1}\det \dot{A}) + 4\dot{A}_{12}\dot{B}_{21}^{-1}\rho_1\tau_2 + 4\dot{A}_{21}\dot{B}_{12}^{-1}\rho_2\tau_1 - kn_1n_2),
\]
\[
k = -(16 - 8\text{Tr} \dot{A}L - 4\det \dot{A})(\dot{B}_{11}^{-1}\dot{B}_{22}^{-1} + \dot{B}_{12}^{-1}\dot{B}_{21}^{-1}) - 8\text{Tr} \dot{B}^{-1}L + 4\dot{B}_{11}^{-1}\dot{A}_{11} + 4\dot{B}_{22}^{-1}\dot{A}_{22} + 4,
\]
\[
22
\]
where we used
\[
\tilde{A}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{A_{22}}{\det A} & -\frac{A_{12}}{\det A} \\
-\frac{A_{21}}{\det A} & \frac{A_{11}}{\det A}
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (4.10)

Using (4.10) for \(\tilde{B}\), and taking into account that (see (4.8))
\[
\tilde{B}_{ij} = \frac{iE}{c_0} - (-1)^j + \frac{4\gamma}{c_0}(\tilde{S}\tilde{S}^{-1})_{ij} = \frac{iE}{c_0} - (-1)^j + (-1)^j\frac{2i\gamma}{c_0}(\tilde{S}_{12}\tilde{S}_{22} + \tilde{S}_{11}\tilde{S}_{21})
\]
we obtain (4.12).

For the next step we will use the following notations:
\[
F(U, S) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{c_0}{n} \left( \kappa_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} - |U_{12}|^2 \right) + \kappa_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + |S_{12}|^2 \right) \right) \right\},
\] (4.11)
\[
F_1(U, S) = -\frac{c_0}{n} \left( \kappa_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} - |U_{12}|^2 \right) - \kappa_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + |S_{12}|^2 \right) \right).
\]
with \(\kappa_1, 2\) defined in (3.18).

**Proposition 4.2.** We have
\[
Z_{\beta n}(\kappa, z_1, z_2) = -\frac{c_0}{2\pi i} \int_{\omega_A} z^{n-1} (\tilde{G}(z) \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}) dz, \quad \omega_A = \{ z : |z| = 1 + A/n \},
\] (4.12)
\[
\tilde{G}(z) = (\tilde{M} - z)^{-1}, \quad \tilde{M} = \tilde{F}\tilde{K}\tilde{F}, \quad \tilde{K} = \tilde{K}_0 + O(\beta^{-1}),
\] (4.13)
where operators \(\tilde{K}_0, \tilde{F}\) and the vectors \(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}\) have the form
\[
\tilde{K}_0 = \begin{pmatrix}
K_{US} & \tilde{K}_1 & \tilde{K}_2 & \tilde{K}_3 \\
0 & K_{US} & 0 & \tilde{K}_2 \\
0 & 0 & K_{US} & \tilde{K}_1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & K_{US}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{F} = F = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & F_1 & F_1^2 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\] (4.14)
\[
\tilde{f} = \tilde{F}(e_4 - e_1), \quad \tilde{g} = \tilde{F}(c_1 e_0 + c_2 e_2 + c_3 e_3 + (c_4 - c_1)e_4) + O(\beta^{-1}).
\] (4.15)

with \(F, F_1\) being the operator of multiplication by the functions \(F, F_1\) defined in (4.11) on \(L_2(U) \otimes L_2(S)\), \(K_{US} = K_U \otimes K_S\) and \(K_U\) and \(K_S\) being the integral operators in \(L_2(U)\) and \(L_2(S)\) with a “difference” kernels
\[
K_U(U, U') = K_U(U(U')^*) = e^{-\beta(\tilde{U}(U')^*)_{12}^2 / 2},
\]
\[
K_S(S, S') = K_S(S(S')^{-1}) = e^{-\beta(\tilde{S}(S')^{-1})_{12}^2 / 2}.
\]
and \(c_8\) having the form (4.2). Here \(\bar{K}_p, p = 1, 2, 3\) are normal operators on \(L_2(U) \otimes L_2(S)\), they commute with \(K_{US}\) and with the Laplace operators \(\tilde{\Delta}_U, \tilde{\Delta}_S\) on the corresponding groups and satisfy the bounds
\[
|\bar{K}_p| \leq C(1 - K_{US}) \leq -C(\tilde{\Delta}_U + \tilde{\Delta}_S)/\beta,
\] (4.16)
where the Laplace operators $\tilde{\Delta}_U, \tilde{\Delta}_S$ for the functions depending only on $|S_{12}|^2$ and $|U_{12}|^2$ have the form

$$\tilde{\Delta}_S(\phi) = -\frac{d}{dx} x(1 + \frac{d\phi}{dx}) (x = |S_{12}|^2), \quad \tilde{\Delta}_U(\phi) = -\frac{d}{dx} x(1 - x) \frac{d\phi}{dx} (x = |U_{12}|^2).$$

The proposition is basically identical to the Proposition 5.1 of [16]. The only change is the different form of $\hat{g}$ coming from the presence of the factor $D_1$ in (4.11). The form of $\hat{g}$ in (4.11) follows from (1.11) and Proposition 4.1. Indeed, consider the basis $e_1 = 1, e_2 = n_1, e_3 = n_2, e_4 = n_1 n_2, e_5 = \rho_1, e_6 = \rho_2$, and let $\mathcal{L}_1 = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$. Write the transfer operator matrix $H$ as a block matrix with the first block corresponding to $\mathcal{L}_1$ (see the proof of Proposition 5.1 in [16]):

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} H^{(11)} & H^{(12)} \\ H^{(21)} & H^{(22)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad H^{(22)} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad H^{(12)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & y & \bar{y} \\ 0 & 0 & y & \bar{y} \\ y & y & 2y_d & -2y_d \end{pmatrix}.$$

(4.17)

Here $h_{ij}, x, y, x_d, y_d$ are “difference” operators whose kernels are defined with the functions

$$h_{ij} = h_{ij} U_{ijS}, \quad h_{ijU} = U_{ij} K_U, \quad h_{ijS} = S_{ij} S_{ij} K_S$$

(4.18)

and $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, x_d, y_d$ mean the complex conjugate kernels. We recall that we are saying that the operator in $L_2(U_2)$ is a “difference” one with a kernel $f$, if its kernel $k(U_1, U_2)$ has the form $k(U_1, U_2) = f(U_1 U_2^*)$. The operator on $L_2(\hat{D}(1, 1))$ is a “difference” one with a kernel $f$, if $k(S_1, S_2) = f(S_1 S_2^{-1})$. Let us recall also that $K$ (and consequently its resolvent) was obtained from $H$ by the transformation

$$K = \hat{T} H \hat{T}, \quad \hat{T} = \text{diag}\{T, I\}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \tilde{\beta} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \tilde{\beta}^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence the entries of the off-diagonal blocks of the resolvent of $K$ are obtained from those of the off-diagonal blocks of the resolvent of $H$ by multiplication by $\beta$, 1, or $\beta^{-1}$. Thus, to obtain the bound $O(\beta^{-1})$ in (4.15), it is sufficient to get the bound $O(\beta^{-2})$ for the corresponding entries of the resolvent of $H$.

According to the Schur formula, the resolvent $G(z) = (H - z)^{-1}$ has the form

$$G(z) := \begin{pmatrix} G^{(11)} & -G^{(11)} H^{(12)} G_2 \\ -G_2 H^{(21)} G^{(11)} & G_2 + G_2 H^{(21)} G^{(11)} H^{(12)} G_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$G^{(11)} = M_1^{-1}, \quad M_1 = H^{(11)} - z - H^{(12)} G_2 H^{(21)}, \quad G_2(z) = (H^{(22)} - z)^{-1}.$$

Since $\hat{f}_{5,6} = 0$, we can write

$$(G(z) \hat{f}, \hat{g}) = (G^{(11)} f^{(1)}, g^{(1)} - (H^{(21)})^* G_2^* g^{(2)}),$$
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where $f^{(1)}$, $g^{(1)}$ are the projection of $\hat{f}$, $\hat{g}$ on $L_1$ and $g^{(2)}$ is a projection of $\hat{g}$ on span$\{e_5, e_6\}$. Let us consider $H^{(22)} = \hat{h} + \tilde{h}$, where $\hat{h}$ is a diagonal part and $\tilde{h}$-off diagonal part of $H^{(22)}$, and let $G_{2d} = (\hat{h} - z)^{-1}$. By the resolvent identity we can write
\[
G_2 = G_{2d} - G_{2d}\tilde{h}G_2 \tag{4.19}
\]
Moreover, it was proven in \[16\] (see the proof of Lemma 6.2) that
\[
\|G_2(z)\| \leq Cn, \quad \|G_{2d}(z)\| \leq Cn, \quad \|\hat{h}\| \leq C\beta^{-2}, \quad \|H^{(21)}\| \leq C\beta^{-2}
\]
\[
\Rightarrow \|(H^{(21)})^*(G_{2d}\tilde{h}G_2)^*\| \leq C\beta^{-2}
\]
For the first terms of the r.h.s. of (4.19) we use the expansion
\[
G_{2d}(z) = -z^{-1}\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} z^{-s}\hat{h}^s \tag{4.20}
\]
It is easy to see that, due to the form $g^{(2)}$ (see (4.9)), $\hat{h}$ and $H^{(21)}$ (see (4.17) and (4.18)), after the integration with respect to $U$ only the term corresponding to $s = 1$ in the above expansion will give non zero contribution. Hence, using that
\[
\|(H^{(21)})^*\hat{h}g^{(2)}\| \leq C\beta^{-2},
\]
after the multiplication by $\beta$ we get (4.15).

Now let us derive (1.13) from Proposition 4.2. To this end, set
\[
\hat{M}_0 = \tilde{F}^2, \quad \hat{G}_0 = (\hat{M}_0 - z)^{-1},
\]
and consider
\[
\Delta G := \hat{G} - \hat{G}_0 = -\hat{G}_0(\hat{M} - \hat{M}_0)\hat{G}_0 - \hat{G}_0(\hat{M} - \hat{M}_0)\hat{G}(\hat{M} - \hat{M}_0)\hat{G}_0.
\]
We apply the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.1.** For any $z \in \omega_A$ (see (4.12)) we have the bounds
\[
\|\hat{G}\| \leq C\log^2 n/|z - 1| \quad \|(\hat{M} - \hat{M}_0)\hat{G}_0\hat{f}\|^2 \leq C(n/\beta)^2, \tag{4.21}
\]
\[
\|(\hat{M} - \hat{M}_0)\hat{G}_0\hat{g}\|^2 \leq C(n/\beta)^2, \quad |(\hat{G}_0(\hat{M} - \hat{M}_0)\hat{G}_0(z)\hat{f}, \hat{g})| \leq \frac{n\log n}{\beta|z - 1|} \tag{4.22}
\]
Inequalities (4.21) were proven in \[16\] (see Lemma 5.1). Hence we need to prove only inequalities (4.22). We postpone the proof to the end of the section, and continue with the proof of (1.13) using Lemma 4.1.

Let us write
\[
\left|\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\omega_A} z^{n-1}(\Delta G\hat{f}, \hat{g})dz\right| \leq C \oint_{\omega_A} |(\hat{G}_0(\hat{M} - \hat{M}_0)\hat{G}_0\hat{f}, \hat{g})|dz| + C \oint_{\omega_A} \|\hat{G}(z)\| \cdot \|(\hat{M} - \hat{M}_0)\hat{G}_0(z)\hat{f}\| \cdot \|(\hat{M} - \hat{M}_0)\hat{G}_0(z)\hat{g}\| |dz| \leq C(n \log n/\beta) \oint_{\omega_A} \frac{|dz|}{|z - 1|} \leq Cn \log^2 n/\beta \to 0,
\]
\[\]
where we used $n \log^2 n \ll \bar{\beta}$ and
\[ \oint_{\omega_\lambda} \frac{|dz|}{|z - 1|} \leq C \log n. \]
Thus we have proved that (recall (4.14))
\[ Z_{\beta n}(\kappa, z_1, z_2) = -\frac{e^{E(x_1-x_2)}}{2\pi i} \oint_{\omega_\lambda} z^{n-1}(\hat{G}_0(z)\hat{f}, \hat{g})dz + o(1) = e^{E(x_1-x_2)}(\hat{F}^{2n-2}\hat{f}, \hat{g}) + o(1). \]

**Proof of inequalities (4.22)**
Using inequalities (4.16) it is easy to conclude the it is sufficient to prove that
\[ \|(\Delta_S + \Delta_U)\hat{G}_0\hat{g}\|^2 \leq Cn^2, \quad \|[(\hat{G}_0(\Delta_S + \Delta_U)\hat{G}_0\hat{f}, \hat{g})| \leq n \log n/|z - 1|. \] (4.23)
Notice that
\[ \hat{G}_0 = (\hat{F} - z)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} G_0 & G_0 F_1 F G_0 & G_0 F_1 F G_0 & G_0 F_1 F G_0 & G_0 F_1 F G_0 + G_0 F_1^2 F G_0 \\ 0 & G_0 & 0 & G_0 & G_0 F_1 F G_0 \\ 0 & 0 & G_0 & G_0 F_1 F G_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & G_0 & G_0 \end{pmatrix} \]
\[ G_0 = (F^2 - z)^{-1}, \quad F(x) = e^{-(2c_2 x - 2c_1 u + c_1 - c_2)/2n}, \quad (x = |S_{12}|^2), \quad (u = |U_{12}|^2), \] (4.24)
and observe that coefficients of $\hat{G}_0$ do not depend on $\theta$ of (4.5). Hence we can integrate over $\theta$ in expression for $\hat{\theta}$ of (4.14) and (4.2). Using that
\[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{(\tau - i \cos \alpha_2 \sinh t \cos \theta + \sin \alpha_2 \cosh t)^\delta} \] (4.25)
\[ = C_\delta \frac{\partial^{\delta-1}}{\partial \tau^{\delta-1}} \left( (\tau + \sin \alpha_2 \cosh t)^2 + \sinh^2 t \cos^2 \alpha_2 \right)^{-1/2}, \quad \delta = 1, 2, 3 \]
one can conclude that (4.23) will follow from the bounds
\[ \|(\Delta_S + \Delta_U)\hat{f}_\nu \hat{g}_\nu\|^2 \leq Cn^2, \quad (\nu = 1, \ldots, 4) \] (4.26)
where for $x = \sinh^2(t/2)$
\[ \hat{f}_\nu(x) = a_\nu G_0 F + b_\nu F_1 (F G_0)^2 + d_\nu F_1^2 (F G_0)^3 \] (4.27)
\[ \hat{g}_\nu(x) = a^{(1)}_\nu \left( (\tau + \sin \alpha_2 \cosh t)^2 + \sinh^2 t \cos^2 \alpha_2 \right)^{-1/2} \]
\[ + \frac{b^{(1)}_\nu}{\partial \tau} \left( (\tau + \sin \alpha_2 \cosh t)^2 + \sinh^2 t \cos^2 \alpha_2 \right)^{-1/2} \]
\[ + \frac{d^{(1)}_\nu}{\partial \tau^2} \left( (\tau + \sin \alpha_2 \cosh t)^2 + \sinh^2 t \cos^2 \alpha_2 \right)^{-1/2}, \]
where $a_\nu, b_\nu, d_\nu$ and $a^{(1)}_\nu, b^{(1)}_\nu, d^{(1)}_\nu$ are bounded functions depending only on $u$.

It is straightforward to check that
\[ |\hat{g}_\nu(x)| \leq C(x^2 + 1)^{-1/2}, \quad |(x + 1)\hat{g}_\nu'(x)| \leq C(x^2 + 1)^{-1/2}, \] (4.28)
\[ x(x + 1)|\hat{g}_\nu''(x)| \leq C(x^2 + 1)^{-1/2}, \]
and
\[ |\tilde{f}''_{\nu}(x)| \leq Cn, \quad |(x+1)f'_{\nu}(x)| \leq Cn, \quad |f_{\nu}(x)| \leq Cn. \]
Then, since
\[ \Delta_S(\tilde{f}_{\nu}\tilde{g}_{\nu}) = x(x+1)(\tilde{f}_{\nu}\tilde{g}_{\nu})'' + (2x+1)(\tilde{f}_{\nu}\tilde{g}_{\nu})' + \tilde{f}_{\nu}\tilde{g}_{\nu}, \]
we conclude that
\[ |\Delta_S(\tilde{f}_{\nu}\tilde{g}_{\nu})| \leq Cn(x^2 + 1)^{-1/2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|\Delta_S(\tilde{f}_{\nu}\tilde{g}_{\nu})\| \leq Cn^2. \]
In addition, one can obtain by the same way that
\[ \|\Delta_U(\tilde{f}_{\nu}\tilde{g}_{\nu})\| \leq Cn^2. \]
Thus, we obtain (4.26).

To prove the second inequality in (4.23), we observe that for any \( f_{\nu} \) of the same type as in (4.27) we have
\[ |G_0\Delta f_{\nu}| \leq Ce^{-cx/n} \leq Cne^{-cx/n}. \]
Hence
\[ \left| \int \Delta_S(f_{\nu})g_{\nu}dx \right| \leq \frac{Cn}{|z-1|} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-cx/n}dx}{(x^2 + 1)^{1/2}} \leq \frac{Cn \log n}{|z-1|}. \]
Here we changed the variable \( x \to n\tilde{x} \). Repeating the argument for \( \Delta_U \) we obtain the second inequality in (4.26).

The case \( M > 1 \) is very similar, since in this case the transfer matrix \( M \) and \( F \) in (4.1) remain the same and only \( D_1 \) is replaced by the product of \( D_\alpha \) of the same form but with different \( \gamma_\alpha \) (see (1.11)). Hence, in (4.12) the resolvent \( \hat{G} \) and the function \( \hat{f} \) are the same and only the function \( \hat{g} \) will be different. But one can see from the argument given after (4.28) that for our proof we need only bounds (4.28), and the fact that \( \hat{g} \) depends polynomially on entries of \( U \) (recall that we used polynomial dependence on \( U \) in (1.19)-(1.20) to prove that only a finite number of terms in (4.20) are non zero). But for \( M > 1 \) \( D_1 \) should be replaced by the product of \( D_\alpha \) and each of them has the form (4.2) with \( \tau \) replaced by \( \tau_\alpha \) defined by (4.3) with \( \gamma = \gamma_\alpha \). Hence it is evident that that resulting \( \hat{g} \) will satisfy (4.28) and will depend on entries of \( U \) polynomially.

5 Appendix

5.1 Grassmann integration

Let us consider two sets of formal variables \( \{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^n; \{\overline{\psi}_j\}_{j=1}^n \), which satisfy the anticommutation conditions
\[ \psi_j\psi_k + \psi_k\psi_j = \overline{\psi}_j\overline{\psi}_k + \overline{\psi}_k\overline{\psi}_j = 0, \quad j, k = 1, \ldots, n. \]
Note that this definition implies $\psi_j^2 = \overline{\psi_j}^2 = 0$. These two sets of variables $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{\overline{\psi_j}\}_{j=1}^n$ generate the Grassmann algebra $\mathfrak{A}$. Taking into account that $\psi_j^2 = 0$, we have that all elements of $\mathfrak{A}$ are polynomials of $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{\overline{\psi_j}\}_{j=1}^n$ of degree at most one in each variable. We can also define functions of the Grassmann variables. Let $\chi$ be an element of $\mathfrak{A}$, i.e.

$$\chi = a + \sum_{j=1}^n (a_j \psi_j + b_j \overline{\psi_j}) + \sum_{j \neq k} (a_{j,k} \psi_j \psi_k + b_{j,k} \psi_j \overline{\psi_k} + c_{j,k} \overline{\psi_j} \psi_k) + \ldots \quad (5.2)$$

For any sufficiently smooth function $f$ we define by $f(\chi)$ the element of $\mathfrak{A}$ obtained by substituting $\chi - a$ in the Taylor series of $f$ at the point $a$. Since $\chi$ is a polynomial of $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^n$, $\{\overline{\psi_j}\}_{j=1}^n$ of the form (5.2), according to (5.1) there exists such $l$ that $(\chi - a)^l = 0$, and hence the series terminates after a finite number of terms and so $f(\chi) \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Following Berezin [1], we define the operation of integration with respect to the anticommuting variables in a formal way:

$$\int d\psi_j = \int d\overline{\psi}_j = 0, \quad \int \psi_j d\overline{\psi}_j = \int \overline{\psi}_j d\psi_j = 1,$$

and then extend the definition to the general element of $\mathfrak{A}$ by the linearity. A multiple integral is defined to be a repeated integral. Assume also that the “differentials” $d\psi_j$ and $d\overline{\psi}_k$ anticommute with each other and with the variables $\psi_j$ and $\overline{\psi}_k$. Thus, according to the definition, if

$$f(\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_k) = p_0 + \sum_{j_1=1}^k p_{j_1} \psi_{j_1} + \sum_{j_1 < j_2} p_{j_1,j_2} \psi_{j_1} \psi_{j_2} + \ldots + p_{1,2,\ldots,k} \psi_1 \ldots \psi_k,$$

then

$$\int f(\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_k) d\psi_k \ldots d\psi_1 = p_{1,2,\ldots,k}. \quad (5.3)$$

Let $A$ be an ordinary Hermitian matrix with a positive real part. The following Gaussian integral is well-known

$$\int \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j,k=1}^n A_{j,k} \overline{z}_j z_k \right\} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{d\Re z_j d\Im z_j}{\pi} = \frac{1}{\det A}. \quad (5.4)$$

One of the important formulas of the Grassmann variables theory is the analog of this formula for the Grassmann algebra (see [1]):

$$\int \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j,k=1}^n A_{j,k} \overline{\psi}_j \psi_k \right\} \prod_{j=1}^n d\overline{\psi}_j d\psi_j = \det A, \quad (5.5)$$

where $A$ now is any $n \times n$ matrix.

We will also need the following bosonization formula

**Proposition 5.1.** (see, e.g., [12])

Let $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ be some function that depends only on combinations

$$\tilde{\phi} = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \tilde{\phi}_{t\alpha} \phi_{s\alpha} \right\}_{t,s=1}^2,$$
and set
\[
d\Phi = \prod_{l=1}^{2} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{p} d\Re \phi_{l\alpha} d\Im \phi_{l\alpha}.
\]
Assume also that \( p \geq 2 \). Then
\[
\int F(\phi \phi) d\Phi = \frac{\pi^{2p-1}}{(p-1)!(p-2)!} \int F(B) \cdot \det^{p-2} B dB,
\]
where \( B \) is a \( 2 \times 2 \) positive Hermitian matrix, and
\[
dB = 1_{B_{>0}} dB_{11} dB_{22} dB_{12} dB_{21}.
\]
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