Origin of Electromagnon Excitations in Multiferroic RMnO$_3$
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Electromagnon excitations in multiferroic orthorhombic RMnO$_3$ are shown to result from the Heisenberg coupling between spins despite the fact that the static polarization arises from the much weaker Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya exchange interaction. We present a model incorporating the structural characteristics of this family of manganites that is confirmed by far infrared transmission data as a function of temperature and magnetic field and inelastic neutron scattering results. A deep connection is found between the magnetoelectric dynamics of the spiral phase and the static magnetoelectric coupling in the collinear $E$ phase of this family of manganites.

The coupling between the magnetic and ferroelectric orders in a diverse set of materials termed multiferroics is currently a topic of intense study [1,2]. The interplay between these two orders is particularly striking in materials where ferroelectricity appears as a consequence of spontaneously breaking of the inversion symmetry of the magnetic ordering. In many such magnetic ferroelectrics, the spins order in an incommensurate cycloidal spiral state [3]. The microscopic origin of ferroelectricity for this case has been discussed by a number of authors [4–6], leading to a consensus, generically termed the spiral mechanism. It relies on the lowering of the energy of the antisymmetric Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya exchange in the spiral state by a polar lattice distortion, which induces an electric polarization $P \propto Q \times R$, where $R \propto S_i \times S_{i+1}$ is the spin rotation axis and $Q$ is the wave vector of the spiral. These ideas have been of central importance in the recent discovery of new multiferroic compounds.

Another apparent consequence of multiferroicity is the existence of novel coupled magnon-phonon excitations called electromagnons [7,8]. A magnon that gives rise to oscillations of electric polarization can be excited by electric fields, thereby coupling much more strongly to light than the usual magnetic dipole excitation of magnons corresponding to antiferromagnetic resonance (AFMR). The resulting electric dipole spectral weight has been transferred from the phonons down to the magnon frequency. The dynamic magnetoelectric effects resulting from the coupling between spin and polarization waves were discussed theoretically at an early stage of the research on multiferroic materials [9]. More recently, Katsura, Balatsky, and Nagaosa (KBN) noted that the magnetoelectric coupling of the spiral mechanism also gives rise to an electromagnon [10]. When the spiral plane rotates around $Q$, so does the induced electric polarization, which couples this magnetic excitation to electric field $e$ of a light wave normal to the spiral plane: $e \parallel R$. The first observation of the electromagnon peak for $e \parallel a$ in TbMnO$_3$ with the $bc$-plane spiral spin ordering ($Q \parallel b$) seemed to confirm this selection rule [7]. However, recent measurements on other spiral multiferroics from the same family of materials, Eu$_{0.75}$Y$_{0.25}$MnO$_3$ [11] and DyMnO$_3$ [12], showed that this selection rule is violated and that, instead, the selection rule is tied to the lattice [13]. Therefore, the critical questions are the origin of the observed excitations and why the KBN mechanism does not seem to apply to these multiferroics.

The violation of the KBN prediction implies that a different dynamical magnetoelectric coupling is responsible for the appearance of electromagnons in the spiral state. In this Letter, we report a magnetoinfrared transmission study of TbMnO$_3$ and a theoretical model based on symmetric Heisenberg exchange that clarify the origin of electromagnon excitations in cycloidal RMnO$_3$. Additionally, it is shown that this coupling is also responsible for the ferroelectricity in the collinear magnetic $E$ phase of rare earth manganites [14], and, based on the dynamic response of the cycloidal manganites, an estimation of the polarization in the $E$ phase is given.

Single crystals of TbMnO$_3$ were grown as described elsewhere [15]. The crystals were oriented by Laue x-ray diffraction, and surfaces polished perpendicularly to the three crystallographic axes were prepared. Two samples were used in these measurements: (i) an $ab$ sample for zero field experiments of size $3 \times 3 \times 3$ mm$^3$ and $50 \mu$m thick along $a$, $b$, and $c$, respectively (Pbnm setting) and (ii) an $ac$ sample for magnetic field measurements ($H||b$) of size $2 \times 2 \times 1$ mm$^3$ for $e||c$ and then polished to 75 $\mu$m for $e||a$. Transmission measurements were done using a Fourier transform spectrometer connected to a magnet cryostat with optical access windows in the Faraday configuration. Sample (ii) allows access to the phase where

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.047203 PACS numbers: 75.80.+q, 61.05.F-
ferroelectric polarization $\mathbf{P}$ is changed from the $c$ to the $a$ axis with a field of $5$ T at $7$ K, and the spiral spin configuration rotates from the $bc$ to the $ab$ plane. The transmission spectra were then converted to the absorption coefficient $\alpha(v)$ using $T(v) = [1 - R(v)]^2 e^{-\alpha(v)l}$, where $l$ is the sample thickness [16].

Figure 1(a) shows the spectra in the far infrared taken at zero external magnetic field in TbMnO$_3$. All of the features observed have been identified in the spectra of Eu$_{0.75}$Y$_{0.25}$MnO$_3$ [11] and are described in the caption. We then performed measurements of the far infrared transmission in a magnetic field ($\mathbf{H} \parallel b$) to test the behavior of electromagnons on different spiral states. The spectra taken at $7$ K are shown in Fig. 1(b). Above approximately $5$ T, the system undergoes a spin flop transition from a spiral in the $bc$ plane to an $ab$ plane spiral [17], yet we observe that the electromagnons remain in this configuration $\epsilon || a$ with only a slight shift of their frequencies and an increase of their spectral weight.

It is particularly striking that the absorptions activated in the cycloidal phase are active only for light polarization $\epsilon || a$ in all systems studied, regardless of the spin plane or static polarization direction or value. This observation directly contradicts the prediction of KBN [10]. Indeed, the inadequacy of this mechanism is also suggested by the large Born effective charge required in the KBN model to account for the experimentally observed oscillator strength. The observed selection rule clearly indicates that account must be taken of the specific characteristics of these manganites, such as the crystal structure and anisotropic magnetic interactions, in order to find an accurate description of the electromagnon excitations.

The KBN prediction for the selection rule of electromagnons when the spiral plane rotates from $bc$ to $ab$ was tested with measurements in the $\mathbf{H} || b$, $e || c$, $h || a$ configuration. The absorption spectra are shown in Fig. 1(c). The spectrum in the high field phase shows clearly an absorption feature around $21$ cm$^{-1}$. By fitting the transmission spectrum with a model electric dipole active excitation, we estimate the putative contribution to the static dielectric function $\varepsilon$ as $\Delta \varepsilon = 1.2$ as shown in Fig. 1(d). This change in $\varepsilon$ is not observed in the static data [18], showing that this feature is not an electric dipole excitation. Therefore, this excitation is a magnetic dipole ($h || a$) AFMR as expected from the magnetic order. For comparison, we note that in the zero field spectra in the $e || b$, $h || c$ configuration an AFMR around $22$ cm$^{-1}$ is observed (not shown). This means that the $h || c$ magnon rotates together with the spin plane thereby changing its selection rule to $h || a$, consistent with the change of polarization direction [1] and recent neutron scattering measurements [17].

The essential features of magnetic excitations in the spiral state can be understood within a model including only superexchange interactions between Mn spins:

$$H_{ex} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j. \quad (1)$$

When magnetic anisotropies are neglected, the 4 Mn ions in the unit cell of RMnO$_3$, located at $\mathbf{R}_1 = (\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{R}_2 = (0, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$, $\mathbf{R}_3 = (\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2})$, and $\mathbf{R}_4 = (0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, become magnetically equivalent (see Fig. 2). The competition between the nearest-neighbor ferromagnetic exchange $J = J_{i,i+1(2\alpha a)/2} < 0$ and the antiferromagnetic next-nearest-neighbor exchange along the $b$ axis $J_{b} = J_{i,i+b/\alpha} > 0$ favors the circular spin spiral with the wave vector $Q = b$, where $\cos \frac{Q}{2} = \frac{|J|}{J_a}$, provided that $J_b > |J|/2$ and $J_a = J_{i,i+a} < J_b$. The antiferromagnetic exchange along the $c$ axis $J_c = J_{i,i+c/2} > 0$ gives rise to a “double spiral” structure with antiparallel spins in neighboring $ab$ layers:

$$\langle \mathbf{S}_i \rangle = \pm (\hat{c} \cos \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i - \hat{b} \sin \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_j), \quad (2)$$

where the upper (lower) sign corresponds to the $ab$ layers with integer (half-integer) $z/c$. The $bc$ plane is favored, e.g., by the single-ion anisotropy $K \sum \langle \mathbf{S}_i \rangle^2$ with $K > 0$, which does not spoil the equivalence of Mn sites (effects of other magnetic anisotropies are discussed below).

The magnon spectrum of the double spiral state has one acoustical and one optical branch plotted in Fig. 3(a) with, respectively, a dashed blue and a solid red line, as a function of the wave vector $\mathbf{k}$ in the corotating spin frame, in which $\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle \parallel \hat{z}$ on all sites. For acoustical magnons, the
order parameter $L = S_1 + S_2 - S_3 - S_4$ oscillates in the spiral plane, while optical magnons correspond to out-of-plane oscillations of $L$. The KBN electromagnon is the symmetric superposition of the optical magnons with the wave vectors $\pm Q$, while the antisymmetric superposition is the AFMR discussed above.

Next we discuss a mechanism of magnetoelectric coupling that involves only the isotropic Heisenberg exchange between noncollinear spins and, therefore, is insensitive to the orientation of the spiral plane. Because of the GdFeO$_3$ distortion of RMnO$_3$ compounds, oxygen ions mediating the superexchange between nearest-neighbor spins in the $ab$ layers are displaced from the straight lines connecting two neighboring Mn ions in $ab$ layers as shown in Fig. 2. When an applied electric field shifts all oxygen ions by an equal distance along the $a$ axis, the exchange constants $J_{1,2}$ and $J_{1,2+a}$ will be changed by $\Delta J$ proportional to the applied field, while the exchange constants $J_{1+b,2}$ and $J_{1+b,2+a}$ will be changed by $-\Delta J$. In other words, due to the alternating rotations of the MnO$_6$ octahedra in RMnO$_3$, a uniform electric field in the $a$ direction gives rise to an alternation of the nearest-neighbor exchange along the spiral propagation vector $Q \parallel b$: $J \propto J_0 + \Delta J \cos(k_0 \cdot r)$, where $k_0 = (0, \frac{\pi}{b}, 0)$. The corresponding coupling of spins to the electric field $e \parallel a$ compatible with the $Pbnm$ symmetry has the form

\begin{equation}
H_{me} = -gE_a \sum_j [(S_{1,j} - S_{1,j+b}) \cdot (S_{2,j} + S_{2,j+a}) + (S_{3,j} - S_{3,j+b}) \cdot (S_{4,j} + S_{4,j+a})],
\end{equation}

where the indices 1–4 label different Mn ions in a unit cell while $j$ labels cells.

This interaction couples the electric field through the alternation of the Heisenberg exchange along the $b$ axis to the acoustical magnon with $k_0$ at the boundary of the magnetic Brillouin zone of the model [Eq. (1)]. This excitation corresponds to relative rotations of the spins $S_1$ and $S_2$ in the spiral plane, which occur in antiphase with the rotations of the spins $S_3$ and $S_4$ and result in alternation of the angle between neighboring spins along the spiral propagation vector.

This mechanism of dynamic magnetoelectric coupling works only for noncollinear spins because the first-order variation of the exchange energy $\propto \langle S_i \cdot S_j \rangle$ with respect to spin oscillations $\delta S_i(t) = \langle S_i(t) - \langle S_i \rangle \rangle \perp \langle S_i \rangle$ is zero for collinear spins. Although interactions of spins with $e \parallel b$ and $e \parallel c$, similar to Eq. (3), are allowed by symmetry, they give rise to alternation of the nearest-neighbor exchange constants in the directions orthogonal to the spiral wave vector $Q$ and do not lead to single-magnon excitations by the electric field, as neighboring spins along the $a$ and $c$ axes are collinear. This explains why the polarization of the electromagnon in RMnO$_3$ is parallel to the $a$ axis independently of the orientation of the spiral plane.

We note that the coupling equation (3) induces a static electric polarization $P_E \parallel a$ in RMnO$_3$ with the collinear antiferromagnetic ordering of the $E$ type [14], for which
the scalar product of neighboring spins alternates along the \( b \) axis. This fact allows us to estimate the value of the polarization in the \( E \) phase using the optical absorption data for the spiral phase (the direct measurement of \( P_E \) is difficult due to the absence of single crystal samples). The total spectral weight of the 60 cm\(^{-1}\) peak, \( S = 7000 \text{ cm}^2 \), corresponds to \( P_E \sim 1 \mu \text{C/cm}^2 \), in perfect agreement with \textit{ab initio} calculations [19]. For comparison, the spectral weight of the KBN electromagnon, calculated from the polarization \( P \sim 8 \times 10^{-2} \mu \text{C/cm}^2 \) in the spiral phase of \( \text{TbMnO}_3 \), is only \( \approx 10 \text{ cm}^{-2} \). The photoexcitation of magnons through the magnetoelectric coupling equation (3) of exchange origin, which is about the spontaneous polarization in manganites with the \( E \)-type collinear ordering. Our results imply that the static and dynamic magnetoelectric coupling are different, in general, and therefore that electromagnons can be observed, in principle, in nonmultiferroic materials with noncollinear spin orders. This observation opens a new avenue of investigation of the dynamic properties of frustrated magnets.
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Note added.—Recently, we became aware of the work of Takahashi et al. [22], where they report very similar results in \( \text{TbMnO}_3 \). However, they interpret the excitations as coming from an electric dipole continuous band of two-magnon absorption, which our work in fact rules out.
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