Etching gas-sieving nanopores in single-layer graphene with an angstrom precision for high-performance gas mixture separation
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One of the bottlenecks in realizing the potential of atom-thick graphene membrane for gas sieving is the difficulty in incorporating nanopores in an otherwise impermeable graphene lattice, with an angstrom precision at a high-enough pore density. We realize this design by developing a synergistic, partially decoupled defect nucleation and pore expansion strategy using O2 plasma and O3 treatment. A high density (ca. 2.1 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}) of H2-sieving pores was achieved while limiting the percentage of CH4-permeating pores to 13 to 22 parts per million. As a result, a record-high gas mixture separation performance was achieved (H2 permeance, 1340 to 6045 gas permeation units; H2/CH4 separation factor, 15.6 to 25.1; H2/C3H8 separation factor, 38.0 to 57.8). This highly scalable pore etching strategy will accelerate the development of single-layer graphene-based energy-efficient membranes.

INTRODUCTION
The incorporation of angstrom-sized pores in single-layer graphene at moderate to high density is highly desirable to achieve an ultrahigh separation performance, attributing to the fact that graphene is the thinnest molecular barrier (1–6). Several molecular simulations and transport calculations have shown that a single-layer graphene, hosting a high density of size-selective pores, can separate molecules by the size-sieving mechanism while yielding several orders of magnitude higher permeance than that from the state-of-the-art polymeric and nanoporous membranes [zeolites, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), graphene oxide (GO), carbon molecular sieves (CMS), etc.] (6–11). We recently demonstrated that single-layer graphene, hosting a low density of intrinsic defects (5.4 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}), can yield an attractive gas separation performance (5). To realize the true potential of the single-layer graphene membranes, it is imperative to synthesize nanoporous graphene with a high porosity. The direct bottom-up crystallization of nanoporous graphene by the Ullmann coupling route is highly promising; however, as of now, the lattice disorder in these crystals is too high, and as such, a meaningful molecular separation cannot be achieved (12). A practical approach toward the nanoporous single-layer graphene is to incorporate molecular-sized pores in the graphene lattice via a post-synthetic etching (3, 13, 14). The major bottleneck in this approach is that the available etching chemistries yielding a high-enough pore density (> 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}) also incorporate a large population of larger nonselective nanopores that dominate the overall gas transport via the effusive transport mechanism (2). Therefore, the development of a highly controllable lattice etching technique, working against the trade-off between the pore density and the pore size distribution (PSD), is highly attractive. The state-of-the-art nanofabrication techniques, using focused ion and focused electron beams, are restricted to a resolution of 1 nm (2, 15, 16). In comparison, the oxidative etching techniques (ultraviolet light, oxygen, oxygen plasma, O3, etc.) have been shown to generate subnanometer pores (1, 4, 5, 17); however, so far, they have not yielded the needed porosity and PSD for etching single-layer graphene membranes for gas separation (18).

The pore generation in the graphene lattice is somewhat analogous to the crystal nucleation and growth; it involves nucleation of defects followed by the pore growth. Here, the nuclei correspond to the vacancy defects or the sp3 defects, which eventually yield vacancy defects. Generally, the synthesis of monodispersed crystals involves a nucleation burst (19). Analogously, nanopores with a narrow PSD can be etched by the generation of a high density of nuclei, followed by a controlled pore expansion. For instance, the oxygen plasma, containing a high concentration of reactive ions and free radicals, can incorporate a high density of nuclei in less than 1 s (20). By exposing these nuclei to a well-controlled concentration of oxygen atoms for an optimized time and reaction temperature, one can potentially control the pore expansion rate. Thus, it is envisaged that a high density of molecular-sized pores with a narrow PSD can be etched in graphene if the nucleus formation and the pore expansion are decoupled. Herein, we report a successful implementation of this partially decoupled defect nucleation and pore expansion strategy with O2 plasma and O3 (Fig. 1), achieving a high density of H2-sieving nanopores (up to 2.1 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}) while limiting the percentage of CH4 permeating pores to 13 to 22 parts per million (ppm). We apply these nanopores for the gas separation, especially the H2/CH4 and H2/C3H8 separations. The purification of hydrogen from light hydrocarbons has extensive applications in the chemical and petrochemical industries. Examples include olefin production by alkane dehydrogenation reaction and hydrogen recovery from the refinery off-gas streams. Keeping this in mind, we investigated H2/CH4 and H2/C3H8 separations as a function of the defect nucleation and pore expansion strategy applied in this study. A record-high mixed-gas separation performance was achieved (H2 permeance, 1340 to 6045 gas permeation units (GPU); H2/CH4 separation factor (SF), 15.6 to 25.1; H2/C3H8 SF, 38.0 to 57.8).

RESULTS
Nanoporous single-layer graphene
The single-layer graphene was synthesized on a Cu foil by low-pressure chemical vapor deposition (LPCVD) (21). The uniform surface morphology (Fig. 2A) combined with an I2D/I_G ratio of 0.04 ± 0.01 (Fig. 2B) and an I2D/2I_G ratio greater than 2 (Fig. 2C) confirmed that the as-synthesized graphene was a single layer and hosted a low density

Copyright © 2019 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works. Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC).
of intrinsic defects ($1.5 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) (fig. S1) (22). After exposing the as-synthesized graphene to the O2 plasma, the $I_{D}/I_{G}$ ratio, indicative of the lattice disorder, increased with the plasma exposure up to 3 s (from 0.04 to 2.08; Fig. 2, D and E), while conversely, the $I_{2D}/I_{G}$ ratio decreased (Fig. 2E). With a longer exposure time (>3 s), the $I_{2D}/I_{G}$ ratio decreased with the plasma time. This effect can be explained by the fact that at higher plasma time, the sp3 defects and porosity are expected to increase, decreasing the number of ordered six-atom rings (Fig. 2E) (23). We did not observe pronounced amorphization of the graphene lattice even after 6 s of plasma treatment (fig. S2 and note S1). Besides, after the 2 s of plasma exposure, an obvious D lattice even after 6 s of plasma treatment (fig. S2 and note S1). Besides, (crease, decreasing the number of ordered six-atom rings (Fig. 2E)

After exposing graphene to the O2 plasma, our recently reported nanoporous carbon (NPC) film–assisted transfer method was applied to fabricate crack- and tear-free graphene membranes on a macroporous W substrate hosting arrays of 5-µm pores over a 1-mm² area (schematic in Fig. 1B) (5). Briefly, a solution of block copolymer and turanose was spin coated on graphene, forming ordered cylindrical domains due to phase separation during drying. This was followed by pyrolysis at 500°C, which led to the carbonization of the film and yielded an NPC support film comprising 20- to 30-nm-sized pores (Fig. 3A). The NPC film adhered strongly to the graphene, conferring it the sufficient mechanical support for the application as a suspended membrane. An excellent bonding between the NPC and the graphene film was confirmed by the presence of the typical electron diffraction (ED) pattern of single-layer graphene along the [001] zone axis, which was present throughout the sample (Fig. 3B). For membrane fabrication, the NPC/graphene was transferred onto a macroporous W substrate. The presence of NPC on top of the graphene prevented the formation of crack and tear during the transfer (Fig. 3, C to E). The composite film was uniform and was ca. 80 nm thick (Fig. 3F).

**Molecular transport properties of plasma-treated graphene**

The molecular transport properties from as-synthesized and plasma-treated graphene were evaluated by the gas permeation experiments to understand the evolution of pore density and PSD. The intrinsic defects in all four as-synthesized graphene membranes (M1 to M4) displayed temperature-activated H2 transport with an average activation energy of 16.3 ± 0.1 kJ mol⁻¹ (note S2), an average permeance of 194 ± 46 GPU, and an average H2/CH4 selectivity of 18.6 ± 4.0 at 150°C (Fig. 4 and tables S1 to S3). The C3H8 and SF6 permeances were too low to be detected. On the basis of the detection limit of our mass spectrometer, the H2/C3H8 and the H2/SF6 selectivities were greater than 100.

A 1-s plasma treatment significantly improved the hydrogen permeance, following a significant increase in the defect density ($3.0 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) (fig. S1). Seven membranes (M5 to M11) prepared using the 1-s plasma treatment yielded a sixfold higher H2 permeance at 150°C, a slightly lower H2/CH4 selectivity (10.8 ± 2.0), and high H2/C3H8 and H2/SF6 selectivities (45.8 ± 14.5 and 104 ± 4.2, respectively). The H2 activation energy (19.0 ± 2.1 kJ mol⁻¹) was similar to that from the intrinsic defects, indicating that the majority of the pores formed during the plasma treatment consisted of similar electron density gap as those in the intrinsic defects. The slight loss in H2/CH4 selectivity can be attributed to the expansion of intrinsic defects upon plasma treatment. For the molecules with kinetic diameters smaller than 0.38 nm, the overall transport was in the activated regime where the activation energy increased with the molecular size (activation energies of He, H2, CO2, and CH4 were 14.1 ± 0.5, 19.0 ± 2.1, 26.5 ± 0.5, and 26.3 ± 4.3 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively; Fig. 4C), indicating that the majority of the nanopores were smaller than 0.38 nm (2, 6, 11). The overall transport of the larger molecules (C3H8 and SF6) had a substantial contribution from the gas-phase effusion, where in contrast to the activated transport, the flux decreases at a higher temperature (tables S1 to S3). Since the net flux is the sum of transport from pores yielding activated and effusive transport (Eq. 1), the percentage of pores yielding effusive transport can be extracted from the selectivity data (Eq. 2, note S3, and table S4).

$$
\text{Permeance}_{\text{gas}} = C_a N_{a,\text{gas}} + C_x N_{x,\text{gas}}
$$

(1)

$$
\alpha_i = \left( \frac{N_{a,\text{gas}}}{N_{a,\text{gas}}} \right) \left( \frac{1 + \frac{C_e N_{e,\text{gas}}}{C_a N_{a,\text{gas}}}}{1 + \frac{C_c N_{c,\text{gas}}}{C_a N_{a,\text{gas}}}} \right)
$$

(2)

Here, $N_{e,\text{gas}}$ and $N_{a,\text{gas}}$ are the permeation coefficients for the effusive and the activated transport, respectively. $C_c$ and $C_a$ correspond to...
the density of pores contributing to the effusive and the activated transport, respectively. \( a_{ij} \) is the gas pair selectivity. On the basis of Eq. 2, the concentrations of pores larger than 0.38, 0.43, and 0.55 nm were only 35, 11, and 9 ppm, respectively (table S4).

Increasing the plasma exposure time to 2 s increased the nanopore density to \( 5.7 \times 10^{11} \) cm\(^{-2} \) (fig. S1). As expected, the hydrogen permeance increased (membranes M12 and M13, 4041 ± 1323 GPU at 150°C; Fig. 4A). However, a small population of the preexisting pores was substantially expanded to above 0.43 nm, leading to poor H\(_2\)/CH\(_4\) and H\(_2\)/C\(_3\)H\(_8\) selectivities (Fig. 4B). The overall transport was still activated with activation energies comparable to that in the case of the 1-s plasma treatment (Fig. 4C), indicating that the majority of the pores were still small enough to operate in the size-sieving mode. The H\(_2\)/SF\(_6\) selectivity was 17.7, much higher than the corresponding Knudsen selectivity of 8.5. On the basis of Eq. 2, the concentration of pores larger than 0.55 nm was 96 ppm (table S4).

Controlled pore expansion by O\(_3\) treatment

The graphene treated with 1-s plasma comprised a substantial population of defects that did not contribute to H\(_2\) permeation. An estimate of the defect density from the carbon amorphization trajectory (fig. S1 and note S4) indicates that the defect density in graphene increased by ca. 20-fold (1.5 × 10\(^{10}\) to 3.0 × 10\(^{11}\) cm\(^{-2}\)) after 1-s plasma exposure. However, the H\(_2\) permeance only increased by ca. sixfold, indicating that majority of the defects introduced by 1-s plasma were either sp\(^3\) defects (oxygen-functionalized sites) or vacancy defects with an electron density gap much smaller than 2.9 Å, making no contribution to the hydrogen transport. The sp\(^3\) and the small vacancy defects can be considered as nuclei that can eventually grow into hydrogen-sieving nanopores. Since 2-s plasma led to an undesirable pore expansion for the H\(_2\)/CH\(_4\) separation, a milder and more controllable pore expansion approach is needed such that the percentage of pores larger than 0.38 nm is limited to a few parts per million to achieve an attractive H\(_2\)/CH\(_4\) selectivity. In this respect, the O\(_3\)-based lattice etching is a promising method (25, 26).

The atomic oxygen present in O\(_3\) can effectively abstract the carbon in the graphene lattice, where the etching rate is expected to be proportional to temperature and the concentration of oxygen atoms, which, in turn, depends on O\(_3\) concentration and temperature.

To convert the nuclei from the 1-s plasma treatment into the hydrogen-sieving pores, we exposed the plasma-treated graphene
membranes to O₃ for a few seconds in the temperature range of 60° to 150°C. To accurately evaluate the effect of O₃ treatment on the nucleus expansion, the O₃ exposure was carried out in situ, in the permeation setup, right after measuring the molecular transport properties of the plasma-treated graphene. O₃ exposure to the plasma-treated graphene membrane (M9) at 60°C for 85 s almost doubled the H₂ permeance (from 672 to 1340 GPU at 150°C), while the CH₄ and the C₃H₈ permeances increased by a smaller amount (Fig. 5A and table S5). As a result, the H₂/CH₄ and the H₂/C₃H₈ selectivities increased to 16.1 from 11.0 and to 32.6 from 30.2, respectively. The method was reproducible. Another plasma-treated graphene membrane (M7), upon the O₃ exposure, displayed similar results (fig. S3 and table S6).

The O₃ exposure at a higher temperature was studied to understand the temperature-dependent activity of O₃. A much shorter exposure (10 s) at 150°C to the plasma-treated graphene (M10) resulted in an eightfold increase of H₂ permeance (698 to 6045 GPU) while also increasing the H₂/CH₄ selectivity (12.8 to 15.6; Fig. 5A and table S7). An extremely high H₂/SF₆ selectivity, 158, was achieved. The eightfold improvement in H₂ permeance and the synchronous enhancement in H₂/CH₄ selectivity by the high-temperature O₃ treatment suggest that the defect nucleation events were concurrent with the pore expansion, consequentially increasing the population and the percentage of the hydrogen-sieving pores (table S8). To confirm this, we exposed an as-synthesized graphene membrane (M2) to O₃ at 150°C for 10 s. Here, the H₂ permeance increased by 15-fold (161 to 2581 GPU), while the H₂/CH₄ and H₂/C₃H₈ selectivities increased to 30 and 207, respectively (Fig. 5B and table S9), which verifies that the 150°C O₃ treatment leads to nucleation events as well. It seems that compared with the O₃ treatment at 60°C, the O₃ treatment at 150°C activates pore nucleation to a relatively higher extent, which can be attributed to the fact that the ac-

**Fig. 3.** Characterization of the NPC-supported graphene and the resulting membrane. (A) Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of the NPC/graphene revealing porous structure of NPC. (B) ED pattern of typical single-layer graphene observed throughout the sample. (C to E) SEM images of the NPC/graphene film on the macroporous W substrate with different magnifications. Graphene is sandwiched between the NPC film and the W substrate. The region surrounded by the white square in (C) represents a 1-mm² porous area on the W substrate. The circular features in (D) represent the arrays of 5-µm-sized macropores on the W substrate, visible in the SEM images because of electron beam–related charging effects. The porous structure of the NPC film is visible in (E). (F) Cross section of the NPC/graphene film revealing the thickness and the porous structure of the NPC film.

**Fig. 4.** Gas separation performance of graphene membranes from the intrinsic defects and from the pores generated by the plasma treatment. (A) Average H₂ permeance of graphene membranes as a function of temperature. The error bars correspond to SD across several membranes (four for intrinsic defects, seven for 1-s plasma, and two for 2-s plasma). (B) Corresponding ideal selectivities (ISs) at 150°C. The error bars correspond to SD across several membranes. (C) Activation energies for gases as a function of kinetic diameter and the plasma exposure time. The error bars correspond to SD across several membranes.
The pulsed O₃ treatment allowed us to further improve the H₂-sieving performance. For instance, the second cycle of O₃ treatment at 60°C for 85 s to membrane M9 substantially increased the H₂ permeance from 1340 to 2089 GPU while maintaining the H₂/CH₄ selectivity (16.1 to 16.5) and the H₂/C₃H₈ selectivity (32.6 to 38) (Fig. 5A and table S5). A similar trend was observed for the high-temperature O₃ treatment. The second cycle of treatment at 150 °C for 10 s to membrane M2 increased the H₂ permeance from 2581 to 3071 GPU while maintaining the H₂/CH₄ selectivity (30 to 29; Fig. 5B and table S9). A slight reduction of the H₂/C₃H₈ selectivity was observed (207 to 146), indicating expansion of the preexisting pores. The H₂ permeance was further improved by 30% using the third cycle of treatment; however, the H₂/CH₄ and the H₂/C₃H₈ selectivities decreased to 12.1 and 12.6, respectively.

Besides plasma treatment, we also investigated in situ room temperature O₃ treatment for incorporating sp³ defects as nuclei in the graphene lattice. We recently demonstrated that the 25°C ozone functionalization incorporates ca. 6% sp³ sites (epoxy and carbonyl groups) on the graphene lattice (5). In this experiment, the as-synthesized graphene, hosting only the intrinsic defects (M4), was exposed to O₃ at 25°C for 120 s. After this treatment, the H₂ permeance decreased from 268 to 194 GPU, and the H₂/CH₄ selectivity increased from 23.7 to 38.3 (Fig. 5C and table S10). This is caused by the oxygen functionalization of the pore edge, which shrinks the electron density gap in the nanopore. Subsequently, when the O₃ treatment (150°C for 10 s) was carried out to convert the sp³ sites to nanopores, the H₂ permeance increased by 18-fold to 3400 GPU while maintaining an attractive H₂/CH₄ selectivity of 25.1 (Fig. 5C and table S10). The H₂/C₃H₈ selectivity was 57.8. In this case, repeating the treatment at 150°C led to the undesired pore expansion, reducing the H₂/CH₄ and the H₂/C₃H₈ selectivities to 7.5 and 6.4, respectively, albeit with a large H₂ permeance (10833 GPU).

Overall, the best H₂/CH₄ separation performance, which is a combination of high H₂ permeance and a moderate selectivity, was realized by the methods that maximize the density of size-sieving nanopores while restricting the percentage of nonselective effusive pores to less than 22 ppm (Fig. 6, A and B). The density of intrinsic defects, and defects generated by 1- and 2-s plasma, and “1 s plasma + 150°C O₃” was estimated using the I_D/I_G ratio employing the carbon amorphization trajectory. The pore density for the remaining samples was estimated by comparing the H₂ permeance. The advantages of the partially decoupled defect nucleation and pore expansion are evident, where the highest H₂ permeance is achieved (6045 GPU) with the corresponding H₂/CH₄ selectivities in the range of 15 to 25. Generally, the graphene etching methods lead to a trade-off between the pore density and the percentage of nonselective pores where the increase in the pore density often also leads to the increase in the density of nonselective pores. This is the case for the plasma treatment. The O₃ treatment works against this trade-off, where the pore density increased while the percentage of the nonselective pores decreased. This can be attributed to the following: (i) O₃ treatment increased the density of size-selective pores by up to two orders of magnitude, reducing the net percentage of nonselective pores, and (ii) O₃ treatment is expected to functionalize the pore edges, shrinking the electron density gap in the pores. This could, in principle, convert an effusive pore to a size-selective pore.

The separation performance of gas mixture is a crucial indicator of the membrane’s efficacy in the industrial separation. The gas transport...
in several membrane materials (zeolites, MOFs, and polymers) is often determined by the competitive adsorption, and as a result, the mixture SFs can be lower than the corresponding single-component ideal selectivities (ISs). In the case of nanoporous graphene reported here, the adsorption energies are comparatively smaller than the activation energy for diffusion, and as a result, the gas transport is dominated by the activated diffusion. Consequently, the H₂ permeance was similar in the single-component and mixture cases (Fig. 7A). The mixture SFs were similar (H₂/He and H₂/CO₂) or slightly higher (H₂/CH₄ and H₂/C₃H₈) when compared with the corresponding single-component ISs.

**DISCUSSION**

In summary, we developed a partially decoupled pore nucleation and growth strategy using gaseous etchants that enabled etching time in the order of few seconds and yielded hydrogen-selective pores with a pore-size resolution of 1 Å. A high pore density, 2.1 × 10¹² cm⁻², was realized where the concentration of pores larger than 0.38 nm was restricted to less than 22 ppm. As a result, the transport of the gas mixtures was controlled by the activation energy for pore translocation, and a record H₂/CH₄ mixture separation performance was achieved. Overall, the pore etching method discussed here works against the trade-off between the pore density and the PSD, is straightforward, scalable, and can be used to tune the pore size of graphene for separating a wide range of molecular mixtures. Moreover, the method can also be applied to generate the nanoporous lattice for several applications including sensing, catalysis, and energy storage and conversion.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials and chemicals**

The Cu foil (25 μm, 99.999% purity) was obtained from Alfa Aesar. Turanose (98.0%), N,N-dimethylformamide (99.8%), and Na₂S₂O₈ (99.0%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Poly(styrene-b-4-vinyl...
drilled 5-thick macroporous W substrate, comprising an array of 2900 laser-graphene. After drying at room temperature to allow phase separation for 30 min in CO2 and H2 atmospheres, respectively. The as-synthesized CVD graphene, resting on the Cu foil, was exposed to radiofrequency-powered O2 plasma (13.56 MHz, 17 W, 50 mtorr; EQ-PCE-3, MTI) to incorporate defects, with the exposure time ranging from 1 to 6 s. After that, the LPCVD-assisted transfer method was used to transfer graphene to the porous W support (5). Briefly, a solution of 0.1-g poly(styrene-b-4-vinyl pyridine) and 0.2-g turoanase in 2 g of N,N-dimethylformamide was heated at 180°C for 3 hours and then spin coated (2000 rpm, 2 min) on the as-synthesized graphene. After drying at room temperature to allow phase separation, the polymer film was pyrolyzed at 500 °C for 1 hour in an Ar/H2 atmosphere, forming the NPC film on the graphene surface. The NPC/graphene/Cu was pre-etched for 2 min with a 20 weight % Na2S2O8 aqueous solution and then rinsed with water to remove the background was subtracted from the Raman spectra.

Membrane preparation

The single-layer graphene was synthesized using the LPCVD process on a Cu foil in a methane/hydrogen atmosphere. Before synthesis, the Cu foil was annealed at the growth temperature (1000°C) for 30 min in CO2 and H2 atmospheres, respectively. The as-synthesized CVD graphene, resting on the Cu foil, was exposed to radiofrequency-powered O2 plasma (13.56 MHz, 17 W, 50 mtorr; EQ-PCE-3, MTI) to incorporate defects, with the exposure time ranging from 1 to 6 s. After that, the NPC-assisted transfer method was used to transfer graphene to the porous W support (5). Briefly, a solution of 0.1-g poly(styrene-b-4-vinyl pyridine) and 0.2-g turoanase in 2 g of N,N-dimethylformamide was heated at 180°C for 3 hours and then spin coated (2000 rpm, 2 min) on the as-synthesized graphene. After drying at room temperature to allow phase separation, the polymer film was pyrolyzed at 500 °C for 1 hour in an Ar/H2 atmosphere, forming the NPC film on the graphene surface. The NPC/graphene/Cu was pre-etched for 2 min with a 20 weight % Na2S2O8 aqueous solution and then rinsed with water to remove the back-side graphene on the Cu foil. After a further 1 hour of etching, the Cu foil was completely etched, and the floating NPC/graphene was transferred to DI water for rinsing. Finally, a 50-μm thick macroporous W substrate, comprising an array of 2900 laser-drilled 5-μm holes spread in a 1-mm2 area, was used to scoop the NPC/graphene, forming the membrane. Before scooping, the W substrate was treated in the plasma for 2 min to increase the surface hydrophilicity, facilitating the transfer process. O3 treatment for 85 s. The O3 treatment time reported here strictly corresponds to the time duration of O3 exposure inside the membrane module and excludes the 35-s residence time between the generator and the membrane module.

Gas permeation test

The single-gas and mixture-gas permeation tests were performed in a homemade permeation cell (fig. S5). The W substrate acted both as a membrane support and a gasket in the membrane module (VCR, Swagelok) to achieve a leak-tight connection. Ar was used as the sweep gas. The flow rates of the feed and the sweep gas were controlled via mass flow controllers. The pressure on the feed side was maintained at 2 bar. Before each test, the membrane was heated to 150°C to desorb the adsorbed contaminants on the graphene surface (fig. S6, table S12, and note S6). The permeate gas concentration was analyzed in real time by a mass spectrometer (HPR-20, Hiden Analytical). The data were recorded and averaged after reaching the steady-state condition (typically 30 min after changing the operation conditions). The gas permeance $J_i$ is $\alpha$ (for single-gas test), and SF $\beta$ (for mixture-gas test) were calculated by the following equations

$$J_i = \frac{X_i}{(A^*\Delta P_i)}$$

where $X_i$ is the flow rate of the component $i$, $A$ is the membrane area, $\Delta P_i$ is the transmembrane pressure difference of component $i$, and $C_i$ and $C_j$ are the concentrations of component $i$ and $j$ in feed or permeate with $i$ being the faster permeating component.

Graphene characterization

SEM was carried out to observe the morphology of graphene/Cu foil and NPC/graphene as well as the thickness of the NPC film. An FEI Teneo scanning electron microscope with an operating voltage of 0.8 to 2.0 kV and a working distance of 2.5 to 7.0 mm was used. The samples were directly characterized without any conductive coating. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imaging of the NPC film and ED of the NPC/graphene film were conducted using an FEI Tecnai G2 Spirit microscope operating with a 120-keV incident electron beam.

Raman characterization was performed on graphene/Cu using a Renishaw micro-Raman spectroscopy (457 nm, 2.33 eV, 50× objective). More than 10 spectra were collected with the mapping method for each sample. The Raman data were analyzed by curve fitting in MATLAB to extract the $I_{D}/I_{G}$, $I_{D}/I_{G}^*$, and ratios. Before analysis, the background was subtracted from the Raman spectra.
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