Nonlinear states and nonlinear tunneling in a potential well
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A nonlinear Schrödinger model in a square well and managed nonlinearity is shown to possess nonlinear states as continuous extensions of the linear levels. The solutions are remarkably stable up to a threshold amplitude where a soliton is emitted and propagates outside the well. The analytic expression of the threshold is given in terms of the well size for each level. This process of nonlinear tunneling results from an instability of the evanescent wave inside the walls and can find experimental realization in a proposed nonlinear fiber Bragg gratings resonator.

Introduction. We consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) for the wave function $\psi(x,t)$ trapped in a square well, in reduced units, according to the following model

$$
|x| > L : \quad i\psi_t + \psi_{xx} + |\psi|^2\psi = V\psi,
$$

$$
|x| < L : \quad i\psi_t + \psi_{xx} - |\psi|^2\psi = 0.
$$

(1)

The positive constant $V$ is the well height and $2L$ its width. The nonlinearity is managed such as to be repulsive inside the well and attractive outside.

The nonlinear states for NLS with a definite sign of the nonlinearity has been derived in [1] with interesting solutions having no counterparts in the linear limit. Using nonlinearity management as in (1) actually allows to obtain a system whose nonlinear states solutions possess remarkable properties: i) they uniformly tend to the linear eigenstates in the small amplitude limit, ii) they are stable solutions for amplitudes below an explicit threshold, iii) above the threshold an instability generates gap solitons, emitted outside the well, hence realizing a classical nonlinear tunneling process.

This problem has promising application to nonlinear optics where it applies to the propagation in the direction $t$, and transverse modulation along $x$, of the envelope of a laser beam in a fiber Bragg grating (in Kerr regime) [2] when different types of fibers are used: self-focusing nonlinearity with dielectric constant $\epsilon_1$ outside $[-L, +L]$, self-defocusing with $\epsilon_0 > \epsilon_1$ inside. The periodic medium extending in $|x| > L$ acts as a Bragg mirror for the transverse modulation and the resulting Bragg grating resonator is sketched on figure 1. The arrows show the injected radiation.

The domain of spatial solitons in fiber Bragg gratings is rich of recent results, see e.g. [3, 7], with nice experimental evidence of soliton formation [4] and recent experiments which demonstrated the existence of discrete stationary gap solitons [5]. On the theoretical side, an interesting proposal of [7] is to generate discrete gap solitons by boundary driving a Bragg grating above the cut-off. The approach uses the theory of nonlinear supratransmission [8] extended to the case when the forbidden band results from the discrete nature of the medium.

In the quasi-continuous case (large number of fibers and weak coupling), the device of fig 1 will be shown to be a means to generate gap solitons by continuous wave (cw) input radiation at a flux intensity given explicitly in terms of the dimensions of the potential well depth $V$ (or the dielectric constant variation $\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_1$). As an illustration, fig 2 shows the evolution of the fundamental mode at the threshold for which the input envelope is indeed cw-like and experiences an instability generating the gap soliton. The threshold values are explicitly calculated for the entire set of nonlinear states. Finally we demonstrate that the linear small amplitude limit maps continuously the nonlinear states to the linear ones.

We shall need to refer to the linear eigenstates that...
read in the odd case
\[ |x| \leq L : \quad \psi = A e^{-i\omega t} \sin(kx), \]
\[ |x| \geq L : \quad \psi = A e^{-i\omega t} \sin(kL)e^{-\kappa(|x|-L)}, \]
\[ k^2 = V \sin(kL), \quad \tan(kL) < 0. \] 
(2)
and in the even case
\[ |x| \leq L : \quad \psi = A, e^{-i\omega t} \cos(kx), \]
\[ |x| \geq L : \quad \psi = A e^{-i\omega t} \cos(kL)e^{-\kappa(|x|-L)}, \]
\[ k^2 = V \cos(kL), \quad \tan(kL) > 0, \] 
(3)
for \( \omega = k^2 < V \) and \( \kappa^2 = V - \omega \), and for arbitrary amplitude \( A \).

**Nonlinear states.** As learned from \([1]\), the main tool to derive the nonlinear states is to connect a periodic solution inside the well to a static one-soliton tail outside. We make use of the fundamental solutions of NLS in terms of Jacobi elliptic functions for both symmetric (even) and antisymmetric (odd) cases \([2]\).

First, outside the potential well we have the common soliton tails given by (still with \( \kappa^2 = V - \omega \) and \( \omega < V \))
\[ |x| \geq L, \quad \psi = \frac{\kappa \sqrt{2} e^{-i\omega t}}{\cosh[\kappa(|x|-L+d)]}, \] 
(4)
which replaces the two evanescent waves of the linear case. Note that the function \( (-\psi) \) is also solution, a property which must be used to conveniently connect the solution inside to the outside tails.

Inside the well we use the basic solution
\[ |x| \leq L, \quad \psi = \mu A e^{-i\omega t} \sin(A'x + x_0, \mu). \] 
(5)
Here and in the following the amplitude \( A \) is real-valued and positive and we define the new constant \( A' \) by \( A = A' \sqrt{2} \). By convenient choices of the constant \( x_0 \) we shall obtain both the odd and even solutions in the well.

This function \( \psi \) is a solution of \([1]\) if (necessary condition)
\[ \omega^2 = \frac{1}{2} A^2(1 + \mu^2), \] 
(6)
which links the frequency \( \omega \) to the modulus \( \mu \) of the elliptic functions. The requirement \( \omega < V \) for a bound state implies that the modulus \( \mu \) cannot exceed a maximum value \( \mu_m \)
\[ \mu \leq (2V/A^2 - 1)^{1/2} = \mu_m. \] 
(7)
We discovered that it is necessary to work with expressions of the solution for moduli \( \mu > 1 \), performed by means of the identity \( \mu \sin(u, \mu) = \sin(\mu u, 1/\mu) \). The solutions for \( \mu < 1 \) can be easily written down but they do not contribute to the spectrum or they have their exact conterpart with \( \mu > 1 \).

**Odd solutions.** In the range \( \mu \in [1, \mu_m] \) we define the following solution inside the well
\[ |x| \leq L, \quad \psi = A e^{-i\omega t} \sin(\mu A'x, \frac{1}{\mu}). \] 
(8)
In order to obtain sufficient conditions for the set \([3][4]\) to be a solution of \([1]\), we need to ensure continuity of the solution and its derivative in \( x = \pm L \). This provides the admissible discrete set of moduli \( \mu \) which, after some algebra, must solve
\[ \mu^2 \csc^2(b, \frac{1}{\mu}) \csc^2(b, \frac{1}{\mu}) = \frac{\sqrt{2}V}{A^2} - (1 + \mu^2) \],
\[ \sin^2(b, \frac{1}{\mu}) \left[ \frac{2V}{A^2} - (1 + \mu^2) - \sin^2(b, \frac{1}{\mu}) \right], \] 
(9)
where the function \( b \) is defined as
\[ b = \mu A'L. \] 
(10)
Then the shift \( d \) of the tail position for each solution \( \mu \) of the above equation, is given by
\[ A|\sin(b, \frac{1}{\mu})| = \frac{\sqrt{2}V}{\cosh(\kappa d)}. \] 
(11)
As for the linear case we must add a consistency condition which ensures the continuity of the derivatives. It is just a matter of careful reading of all possible cases to obtain the condition
\[ \sin(b, \frac{1}{\mu}) \cos(b, \frac{1}{\mu}) \csc^2(b, \frac{1}{\mu}) < 0, \] 
(12)
for the solution \( \mu \) of \([4]\) to be acceptable.

**Even solutions.** Still with \( \mu \in [1, \mu_m] \) we define
\[ |x| < L, \quad \psi = A e^{-i\omega t} \sin(\mu A'x + K\frac{1}{\mu}, \frac{1}{\mu}) \] 
(13)
obtained from \([5]\) by the translation \( x_0 = K(1/\mu)/\mu \) where \( K \) is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind. In that case the continuity conditions give the admissible set of moduli as solutions of \([5]\) with now
\[ b = \mu A'L + K\frac{1}{\mu}. \] 
(14)
and the consequent definition \([11]\) of \( d \). The consistency condition \([12]\) still holds here with the above function \( b \).

Note that in both expressions \([3][5]\) and \([13][15]\), the parameter \( A \) does represent the maximum value of the amplitude of the solution, reached at \( x = 0 \) for the even states \([15]\) and at \( x = K(1/\mu) \) for the odd states \([3]\).

**Example.** The figure \([3]\) shows the solutions of the equations \([1][5]\) for \( V = 1 \) and \( L = 4 \) in the odd and even cases, for which the associated linear problem possess 3 eigenstates (dashed lines). The 3 curves show the dependency of the eigenvalue of each nonlinear extension of the
linear levels in terms of the amplitude $A$. These eigenvalues are given by the expression (6) for each solution $\mu$ of (9), in both cases (10) and (14), equations that are solved numerically (like in the linear case).

The next figure 4 displays the three states for $V = 1$ in the linear and nonlinear case corresponding to particular choices of amplitudes and frequencies as indicated on the graphs. These are the plots of the solutions (8) and (13), compared to the linear eigenfunctions (2) and (3).

There are two fundamental properties of this “nonlinear spectrum” that we demonstrate hereafter. It is first the evident natural property to reach the linear spectrum for vanishing amplitudes. This explains in particular why we have the same number of states as the linear levels. Second all three curves are seen to stop at some threshold amplitude which can be exactly computed, as shown by the dashed curve on figure 3 and the crosses which are the predicted thresholds.

**Linear limit.** To demonstrate in general that the nonlinear spectrum goes to the linear one in the limit $A \to 0$, it is crucial to evaluate the limits keeping the product $A\mu$ finite. Actually defining

$$k = \frac{A}{\sqrt{2}}\mu,$$

we can easily obtain $(A = A'\sqrt{2})$

$$A \sin(\mu A'x, 1/\mu) \sim A \sin(kx),$$

which proves that the nonlinear odd states (8) tend to the linear ones in the limit $A \to 0$. The same property holds naturally for the nonlinear even states (13) by using $\text{sn}(u+K(\nu),\nu) = \text{cd}(u,\nu)$ and $\text{cd}(u,0) = \cos(u)$ together with (10) above.

These results show in particular that the “nonlinear wave number” is the quantity $k = A'\mu$ and thus that the relation (6) must be read $\omega^2 = k^2 + A^2/2$ that tends to the linear dispersion relation $\omega^2 = k^2$ in the small amplitude limit.

**Nonlinear tunneling.** More interesting is the existence of a threshold amplitude (or population threshold) beyond which gap solitons are emitted outside the well hence realizing a classical nonlinear tunneling. This is the result of an instability, as described in (10), which takes place as soon as the amplitude $A$ is such that the soliton tails (11) reaches its maximum value in $|x| = L$, i.e. $d = 0$.

In order to derive the analytic expression of the thresholds positions $\{A_s, \omega_s\}$ for each branch $\omega(A)$, we express the continuity conditions in $|x| = L$ in the case $d = 0$. The threshold is thus obtained as a particular solution of the equation for the state (10) for which both sides vanish altogether (successively for the odd and even states), namely

$$\text{cn}^2(b, 1/\mu) \text{dn}^2(b, 1/\mu) = 0,$$

$$\frac{2V}{A^2} - (1 + \mu^2) - \text{sn}^2(b, 1/\mu) = 0.$$  

where $b$ is given by (10) for the odd solutions and by (14) for the even ones.

It is then a matter of algebraic manipulations to demonstrate that the solutions $\{A_s, \mu_s\}$ of the above equations globally satisfy

$$A_s^2 = \frac{2V}{2 + \mu_s^2} = 2(V - \omega_s),$$

where $\mu_s$ is obtained by solving for the odd case

$$\text{sn}^2(L\mu, \sqrt{\frac{V}{\mu_s^2 + 2} - \frac{1}{\mu_s}}) = 1,$$
and for the even case
\[ \text{sn}^2(L\mu \sqrt{\frac{V}{\mu^2 + 2} + K(\frac{1}{\mu}, \frac{1}{\mu})}) = 1. \] (21)

Note that the solutions \( \{ A_s, \mu_s \} \) of these equation must obey requirement \( \mathcal{H} \) which is checked a posteriori.

The dashed curve of fig. 3 is the plot of \( \text{tr}(19) \) for \( V = 1 \) and \( L = 4 \), and the crosses are obtained by solving \( \text{tr}(20) \) and \( \text{tr}(21) \) numerically.

The above procedure does not furnish the threshold corresponding to the fundamental level. Indeed it misses the particular solution
\[ \mu_s = 1, \quad A^2_s = \frac{2}{3} V, \] (22)
which is effectively a solution of \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \) from the property
\[ \lim_{\mu \to 1} \left\{ \text{sn}(a + K(\frac{1}{\mu}, \frac{1}{\mu})) \right\} = 1, \] (23)
for any real-valued \( a \). In that case the “dispersion relation” \( \mathcal{H} \) provides \( \omega_s = A^2_s \). Note that the threshold amplitude \( A_s \) does not depend on the width \( 2L \) of the well.

This solution is particularly interesting in view of applications to the fiber guide grating of fig. 4. Indeed in that case the corresponding expression \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \) is the constant amplitude field \( \psi = A_s e^{-i\omega_s x} \) (which is trivially a solution of \( \mathcal{H} \)). Injecting then in the medium (as indicated by the arrows on fig 4) a cw-laser beam of (normalized) intensity flux \( A^2_s \) constant along the transverse \( x \)-direction for \( x \in [-L, +L] \), one would generate a gap soliton propagating outside the well, as displayed in fig. 2.

Such simulation can be reproduced \textit{ad libidum} for input data constant in \([-L, +L]\) and exponentially vanishing outside. As soon as the amplitude exceeds the threshold \( A_s \), one obtains tunnelling by soliton emission.

It is clear that the present formalism has been developed for continuous envelopes \( \psi(x, t) \), which requires a large number of fiber guides and weak transverse coupling. An interesting extension is then the discrete situation with the open question of the discrete states solutions.

Perspectives and conclusion. We have so far demonstrated the existence of “nonlinear eigenstates”, exact solutions of the NLS model \( \mathcal{H} \), that are remarkably stable (numerical simulations did not show any deviation from the exact expressions up to times when numerical errors become sensible, i.e. \( 10^3 \) to \( 10^4 \) for our scheme) as soon as their amplitudes do not exceed a threshold explicitly evaluated in terms of the well height for each mode. These states reproduce exactly, in the small amplitude limit, the usual eigenstates of the Shrödinger equation in a potential well.

Above the threshold amplitude, a “nonlinear tunneling” (macroscopic, classical) occurs by the emission of gap solitons outside the well. This generic property suggests a “gap soliton generator” by the device sketched in fig 4 more especially as the fundamental level, close to the threshold, behaves as a cw-field.

The approach applies straightforwardly to a model where the inside of the well would obey the linear Schrödinger equation. This in view of applications to a resonator device with Bragg medium playing the role of the mirrors, a subject under study now.

Another natural question is the properties of the nonlinear states when the inside of the well presents an attractive (focusing) nonlinearity (as the outside medium). In that case, similar states are defined in terms of Jacobi cn-functions \( \mathcal{H} \) but we have observed that they experience modulational instability before reaching the threshold amplitude. In the other case when the whole medium is defocusing, the outside tails are of cosech-type and thus can match any amplitude of the nonlinear state, hence there is no threshold and no gap soliton generation. Thus the management of the nonlinearity chosen in \( \mathcal{H} \) is a means for stabilising the nonlinear states and ensuring a threshold for soliton generation.

We report to future studies the important question of the analytical proof of the stability of those states, including the mathematical derivation of the instability criterion above the threshold amplitude. Note finally that, in the threshold regions, the states are degenerated: two solutions coexist at the same frequency with slightly different amplitudes as seen on fig 3.
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