RFPL4A Increases the G1 Population and Decreases Sensitivity to Chemotherapy in Human Colorectal Cancer Cells*\textsuperscript{5}
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Background: Cell cycle-arrested cancer cells are resistant to conventional chemotherapy.

Results: Microarray analyses showed that expression of RFPL4A was significantly up-regulated in these G1-retained cells.

Significance: Combination therapy using RFPL4A inhibition and conventional anti-cancer drugs may represent a promising therapeutic approach for intractable cancer patients.

Cell cycle-arrested cancer cells are resistant to conventional chemotherapy that acts on the mitotic phases of the cell cycle, although the molecular mechanisms involved in halting cell cycle progression remain unclear. Here, we demonstrated that RFPL4A, an uncharacterized ubiquitin ligase, induced G1 retention and thus conferred decreased sensitivity to chemotherapy in the human colorectal cancer cell line, HCT116. Long term time lapse observations in HCT116 cells bearing a “fluorescence ubiquitin-based cell cycle indicator” identified a characteristic population that is viable but remains in the G1 phase for an extended period of time (up to 56 h). Microarray analyses showed that expression of RFPL4A was significantly up-regulated in these G1-retained cells, not only in HCT116 cells but also in other cancer cell lines, and overexpression of RFPL4A increased the G1 population and decreased sensitivity to chemotherapy. However, knockdown of RFPL4A expression caused the cells to resume mitosis and induced their susceptibility to anti-cancer drugs in vitro and in vivo. These results indicate that RFPL4A is a novel factor that increases the G1 population and decreases sensitivity to chemotherapy and thus may be a promising therapeutic target for refractory tumor conditions.

During normal proliferation, mammalian cells withdraw from the cell cycle only when deprived of growth factors or exposed to growth inhibition signals during the early to middle G1 phase, before passing the restriction point controlled by the Rb/E2F transcription factor. Once past that point, cells are committed to undergo one round of DNA replication and cell division (1). However, genotoxic stresses, such as irradiation and reactive oxygen species (ROS),\textsuperscript{3} can transiently halt cell cycle progression at the G1, S, or G2 check point. The G1 check point represents the major machinery for responding to DNA damage and is regulated by the p53/MDM2-p21 pathway, which is capable of inducing sustained and sometimes permanent G1 arrest (2). The G1 checkpoint involves two critical tumor suppressors governed by p53 and RB, both of which represent major mechanisms commonly deregulated in many human cancers (3, 4).

In contrast, cell cycle-arrested cancer cells, such as cancer stem cells (CSCs), constitute a minor population of cancer cells that cause latency and the initiation of cancers, with several characteristic properties, including a self-renewal capacity, pluripotency, and quiescence (5). Recent evidence suggests a hierarchical model for cancer development, with a characteristic fraction of dormant CSCs remaining in the G0/G1 phase of the cell cycle that are resistant to conventional chemotherapy (6–8). Thus, past research has investigated novel cancer treatments targeting these dormant cells. For example, novel therapies are being tested for their ability to inhibit the action of Fbxw7, a key factor that maintains quiescence of leukemia-initi-

\textsuperscript* This work was funded by Grant-in-aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas 22119008 and by Grant-in-aid for Scientific Research (A) 25253070 from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan; by International Frontier Human Frontier Science Program Grants RGY0077/2011; and by grants from the Takeda Science Foundation and Uehara Memorial Foundation.

\textsuperscript{5} This article contains supplemental Video 1.

\textsuperscript{1} To whom correspondence may be addressed: Dept. of Gastroenterological Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine and Frontier Biosciences, Osaka University, 2-2 Yamada-oka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan. Tel.: 81-6-6879-3258; Fax: 81-6-6879-3259; E-mail: mmori@gesrug.med.osaka-u.ac.jp.

\textsuperscript{2} To whom correspondence may be addressed: Tel.: 81-6-6879-3880; Fax: 81-6-6879-3889; E-mail: mishii@icb.med.osaka-u.ac.jp.

\textsuperscript{3} The abbreviations used are: ROS, reactive oxygen species; CSC, cancer stem cell; 5-FU, 5-fluoroacil; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; Fucci, fluorescence ubiquitin-based cell cycle indicator; ANOVA, analysis of variance; qPCR, quantitative PCR; G fraction, green-fluorescing S/G2/M fraction; R fraction, red-fluorescing G1 fraction; RR fraction, red-fluorescing retained fraction.
RFPL4A Induces G₁ Arrest and Confers Chemotherapy Resistance

These previous studies shed light on the importance of cell cycle regulation in cancer cells, especially in the control of G₁ arrest. Using long term time lapse observation of human colorectal cancer cells, we noticed a characteristic population that was viable but did not enter the cell cycle, remaining in a sustained G₁ phase; these cells could be regarded as similar to so-called CSCs. Exhaustive expression analyses showed that RFPL4A was significantly up-regulated in this population, and this molecule induced G₁ retention, resulting in decreased sensitivity to chemotherapy.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cell Lines and Reagents—The human colon cancer cell lines HCT116, HT29, and DLD1 were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA). These cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 mg/ml streptomycin at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ in air.

Establishment of Fluorescence Ubiquitin-based Cell Cycle Indicator (Fucci) Cell Lines—The mKO2-hCdt1(30/120) cells (provided by Dr. Miyawaki, RIKEN Brain Science Institute, Tokyo, Japan) and transfected into HEK293T cells with packaging plasmids (11). Stable transfectants were selected using a FACSARia cell sorter (BD Biosciences). Fucci green (mAG) and Fucci red (mKO2) were excited using a 488-nm laser, and their emissions were detected using 530/30 and 585/42 band pass filters, respectively.

Time Lapse Imaging—Time lapse imaging was performed every 1 h using a confocal A1 microscope system (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a humidified imaging chamber (Nikon) at 37°C, 5% CO₂ in air. Time lapse images were analyzed using the NIS-Elements software (Nikon).
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Sequences of shRNAs obtained from Sigma-Aldrich

| shRNA target | Sequence strand (5’−3’) | Catalog ID |
|--------------|-------------------------|------------|
| RFPL4A 1     | CCGGCTCTCAATACACCGAGGTCCTCTCGAAGACCATCCTCGGAGGTTTTTTTG | TRCN0000230973 |
| RFPL4A 2     | CCGGCTCTCAATACACCGAGGTCCTCTCGAAGACCATCCTCGGAGGTTTTTTTG | TRCN0000230974 |
| Control shRNA| CCGGCTCTCAATACACCGAGGTCCTCTCGAAGACCATCCTCGGAGGTTTTTTTG | TRCN0000230973 |

flow cytometry—Both mKO2 and mAG were excited by a 488-nm laser. Fluorescence signals were collected at 530 nm (530/28 band pass) for mAG and at 575 nm (575/26 band pass) for mKO2. Cell data were analyzed using the FACSComp II or FlowJo software (Tree Star, Ashland, OR) and isolated using a FACSARia II cell sorter equipped with the FACS Diva software (BD Biosciences).

Sphere Formation Assay—We cultivated cells as hanging drops at a density of 1 × 10^3/100 μl in 6-well ultra-low attachment plates (MD6 with lid, low cell binding; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were grown in serum-free medium containing 2 mm L-glutamine and 4.5% glucose and supplemented with B27 (MACS), N2 (Wako), 10 ng/ml EGF (Invitrogen), and 10 ng/ml basic fibroblast growth factor (Funakoshi). Sphere formation was assessed 14 days after seeding. Spheres were defined as cell clusters with diameters greater than 100 μm. In each of the 3–6 wells, the number of formed spheres was calculated.

ROS Assay—The ROS assay was performed as described previously (12). Intracellular ROS levels were determined by incubating the cells for 30 min at 37°C with 5 μM CellROX Deep Red reagent (Invitrogen) in complete medium, followed by flow cytometry.

Quantitative Real-time RT-PCR and Protein Analysis—Total RNA was isolated using the Maxwell 16 LEV simplyRNA purification kit (Promega). Quantitative real-time PCR, preparation of cell lysates, and Western blotting were performed according to standard protocols. The primers used are listed in Table 1. The antibodies used for Western blotting are listed in Table 2.

Table 2

Antibodies and their applications

| Primary antibody | Company | Catalog ID | Application(s) and working dilution(s) |
|------------------|---------|-----------|----------------------------------------|
| Anti-RFPL4A      | Abcam   | ab138017  | Western blot, 1:500; immunocytochemistry, 1:400 |
| Anti-β-actin     | Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. | sc-47778 | Western blot, 1:1000 |

Table 3

Sequences of shRNAs obtained from Sigma-Aldrich

| shRNA target | Sequence strand (5’−3’) | Catalog ID |
|--------------|-------------------------|------------|
| RFPL4A 1     | CCGGCTCTCAATACACCGAGGTCCTCTCGAAGACCATCCTCGGAGGTTTTTTTG | TRCN0000230973 |
| RFPL4A 2     | CCGGCTCTCAATACACCGAGGTCCTCTCGAAGACCATCCTCGGAGGTTTTTTTG | TRCN0000230974 |
| Control shRNA| CCGGCTCTCAATACACCGAGGTCCTCTCGAAGACCATCCTCGGAGGTTTTTTTG | TRCN0000230973 |
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Immunocytochemistry was performed as described previously (14). All images were obtained using an A1 confocal microscope (Nikon). In all cases, the mean RFPL4A fluorescence intensity over the whole cell area was measured using the commercially available software NIS-Elements (Nikon).

Establishment of Overexpressing Cell Lines—A full-length cDNA of the human RFPL4A, obtained from the Kazusa DNA Research Institute (Chiba, Japan), was inserted in front of the intraribosomal entry site (IRES) of the retroviral vector pMX-IRES-Puro, via the EcoRI and XhoI sites, to obtain pMX-RFPL4A. Replication-defective retroviruses were generated by transient transfection of pMX-RFPL4A or pMX-IRES-Puro (control) vectors into PLAT-A-cells using FuGene 6 reagent (Promega, Tokyo, Japan) (15). HCT116 cells were transduced with the resulting retroviruses as described previously (16), positively selected, and expanded in the presence of 5 μg/ml puromycin.

Establishment of Knockdown Cell Lines—MISSION shRNA plasmids for human RFPL4A (Table 3), a scrambled control shRNA (17), and lentiviral packaging mix were purchased from Sigma. Lentivirus-mediated transfection of shRNAs was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Cell Proliferation Assay—Isolated HCT116 cells were added to 96-well plates at a density of 5 × 10^3/well in 100 μl of DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and incubated for 5 days. Cell proliferation was assessed using the resulting retroviruses as described previously (16), positively selected, and expanded in the presence of 5 μg/ml puromycin.

TABLE 4
Sequences of siRNA duplexes

| siRNA target | Sense (5’-3’) | Antisense (5’-3’) |
|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| RFPL4A 1     | ACTAGAGCCCAAGCTGAAATCTT | GATTTCAGCTTGGGCTCTAGTT |
| RFPL4A 2     | CCACCAACTATCTCATATTCA   | AAATGATGAGATAGTTGTTGGTT |
| Scrambled    | AUCCGCGCGAUAGUACGUATT   | UACGUACUAUCGCGCGGAUTT |

In Vivo Cell Proliferation—Female NOD/SCID mice (6–8 weeks old; Charles River) were assigned randomly. HCT116 cells (10^6) were injected into subcutaneous tissues. When xenografts reached a volume of 50–200 mm^3 (~12–14 days after injection), 5-FU (30 mg/kg/day) dissolved in 0.2 ml of PBS was administered by intraperitoneal injection for 2 consecutive days per week for 2 weeks (18–20). The estimated tumor volume (V) was calculated by the formula (21), V = W^2 × L × 0.5, where W represents the largest tumor diameter (in cm), and L represents the next largest tumor diameter. The individual relative tumor volume (RTV) was calculated with the equation, RTV = Vx/V1, where Vx is the volume (in mm^3) at a given time, and V1 is the volume at the start of treatment. Results are expressed as the mean daily percentage change in tumor volume for each group of mice.

In Vivo siRNA Treatment—HCT116 cells (5 × 10^6) were injected into subcutaneous tissues, and the resulting tumors were injected with siRNAs targeting RFPL4A (Table 4) or with a scrambled control siRNA, together with atelocollagen (AteloGene, Koken, Japan) 1 week after implantation. A 0.2-ml volume of siRNA solution (30 μmol/liter in 0.5% (v/v) atelocollagen) was injected directly into the tumors. Injected siRNAs were shown to remain stable in vivo for at least 1 week when supported by atelocollagen (22) (23). 5-FU (30 mg/kg/day) dissolved in 0.2 ml of PBS was administered by intraperitoneal injection for 2 consecutive days per week for 2 weeks.

Statistical Analyses—Differences between the control and treated groups were assessed by an unpaired Student’s t test or a Mann Whitney U test and considered to be significant at p < 0.05 (*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.005). Values are presented as means ± S.E. Statistical analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism software (version 6.0; GraphPad Software). Image processing, reconstruction, analyses, and displays were performed using Imaris version 6.3 and 7.4 (Bitplane). A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to obtain the optimal cut-off value.

FIGURE 1. Identification of G1-retained cells using long term time lapse imaging. A, representative images of cell cycle changes in Fucci-expressing HCT116 cells, as visualized by confocal time lapse imaging. Red fluorescence (Cdt1-KO) and green fluorescence (Gem-AG) indicate the G1/G0, and S/G2/M phases of the cell cycle, respectively. The arrows indicate G1 (red) retained cells, and arrowheads indicate dividing cells. Scale bars, 100 μm. B, cell cycle analysis of Fucci-expressing HCT116 cells by flow cytometry. Shown is quantification of four subpopulations: Cdt1-KO (red), Gem-AG (green), double-positive (yellow), and double-negative (gray). C, Fucci red (KO)-positive HCT116 cells were sorted using a FACS Aria cell sorter and were cultured for the indicated periods of time.
RESULTS

Identification of G1-retained Cells Using Long Term Time Lapse Imaging—Cancer cells are heterogeneous in terms of their proliferative activity. To examine the cell division status in different cells, we used time lapse confocal microscopy with a Fucci probe to detect the cell cycle status of living cells (14). Using this method, geminin and Cdt1, nuclear proteins enriched in the S/G2/M and G1 phases, are marked as green and red fluorescing proteins, respectively. We generated Fucci-expressing HCT116 human colon cancer cell lines (24) and observed their proliferative time courses by confocal time lapse microscopy.

Characterization of the Red-fluorescing “G1-retained” Cells and Identification of RFPL4A as a G1-determining Factor—To characterize the long term red-fluorescing G1-retained cells, we attempted to enrich this fraction (Fig. 2A). Fucci-introduced

FIGURE 2. Characterization of red-fluorescing “G1-retained” cells. A, cell cycle analysis of Fucci-expressing HCT116 cells by flow cytometry. Shown are sorted red cells at 0 h and after culturing for 12 h. B, absorption rate of G, R, and RR HCT116 cells isolated by flow cytometry was examined using a cell counting kit. The statistical significance of differences among the G, R, and RR HCT116 cells was determined by a two-way ANOVA. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of three individual experiments (n = 3 for each). C, intracellular ROS levels measured using CellROX-labeled allophycocyanin and flow cytometry in HCT116 cells. D, sphere formation results for G, R, and RR HCT116 cells isolated by flow cytometry. Sphere numbers formed within 14 days after seeding 10 or 100 cells/well are shown. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of 48 independent experiments (n = 48). E, representative sphere formation for HCT116 cells expressing Fucci 14 days after seeding, as visualized by confocal microscopy. Scale bar, 100 μm. F, the sphere was defined when the diameter was 100 μm. Each bar represents the mean ± S.D. (error bars).

RFPL4A Induces G1 Arrest and Confers Chemotherapy Resistance
HCT116 cells were first separated into R and green-fluorescing S/G2/M (G) fractions. The R fraction was cultured for a further 12 h, from which a red-fluorescing G1 population (the RR population) was collected by cell sorting. R cells were cultured for an additional 12 h only because dividing S/G2/M cells re-enter a red-fluorescing G1 state and merge with the long-term G1-retained cells on further incubation (Fig. 1C). The RR fraction was thus considered to be an enriched fraction of G1-arrested cells. Compared with the G and R fractions, the RR cells exhibited significantly delayed proliferation (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, RR cells had lower levels of ROS (Fig. 2C) and higher sphere-initiating capacity (Fig. 2, D and E), suggesting stem cell-like properties of RR cells (26–28). The spheres of RR cells were formed at 10 days (Fig. 2F).

To determine the molecular basis of the G1 arrest/maintenance mechanism, we conducted cDNA microarray-based comparative analyses between the RR and R fractions. In the analysis, 518 of 34,127 probes showed greater than 2-fold changes in expression (Table 5; p < 0.05). Of these, RFPL4A ranked highly, with two probes for this gene among the top 10. RFPL4A expression in various human colon cancer cell lines (HCT116, DLD1, and HT29) and a normal cell line (HEK293) in all of the cell lines, RFPL4A expression was significantly higher in RR. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of three independent experiments (n = 3). C, the p21 HCT116 cells were visualized using immunofluorescence. Shown are representative images of Fucci-expressing HCT116 cells immunostained with RFPL4A. Top, R, G1 phase; S, early S phase; G, S/G2/M phase. Bottom, RR, G1-retained cells at 12 h after sorted G1 phase cells. Scale bar, 10 μm. D, 50 cells at G, R, and RR. Error bars, means ± S.D. The cut-off point was defined according to the ROC curve for intensity (RR versus R).

**Figure 3.** The identification of RFPL4A as a G1 maintenance factor. A, in total, the expression of 518 genes was up-regulated over 2-fold (Table S; p < 0.05). Of these, RFPL4A ranked highly, with two probes for this gene among the top 10. B, RFPL4A expression in various human colon cancer cell lines (HCT116, DLD1, and HT29) and a normal cell line (HEK293). In all of the cell lines, RFPL4A expression was significantly higher in RR. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of three independent experiments (n = 3). C, the p21 HCT116 cells were visualized using immunofluorescence. Shown are representative images of Fucci-expressing HCT116 cells immunostained with RFPL4A. Top, R, G1 phase; S, early S phase; G, S/G2/M phase. Bottom, RR, G1-retained cells at 12 h after sorted G1 phase cells. Scale bar, 10 μm. D, 50 cells at G, R, and RR. Error bars, means ± S.D. The cut-off point was defined according to the ROC curve for intensity (RR versus R).
RFPL4A-OE cells was confirmed at both the mRNA (Fig. 4A) and protein (Fig. 4B) levels. The expression level of RFPL4A in OE cells (~1,000-fold) was markedly higher than that in the control RR cells (~10-fold), although it was still within the physiological range, because DLD1-RR cells expressed RFPL4A at ~1,000-fold higher levels compared with DLD1-R/G cells (Fig. 3B). Microscopic examination revealed that the proportion of the red G0/G1 phase population was increased in RFPL4A-OE cells (control, 53.47 ± 1.14%, n = 3; RFPL4A-OE, 71.12 ± 2.03%, n = 3; p = 0.0016; Fig. 3C). Flow cytometric analyses also showed that the proportion of the red G0/G1 fraction in RFPL4A-OE cells was increased (control, 52.90 ± 2.00%, n = 3; RFPL4A-OE, 63.00 ± 2.55%, n = 3; p = 0.0358; Fig. 3D). Prolongation of the G0/G1 phase has been reported to result in an increase in red fluorescence intensity because of the accumulation of Cdt1-KO (29). Consistently, the red fluorescence intensity in RFPL4A-OE cells was significantly higher than that of the control (Fig. 4E). Additionally, RFPL4A-OE cells were found to exhibit significantly lower proliferative activity in vitro (Fig. 4, F and G) and in vivo (Fig. 4, H–J), also consistent with an extended cell cycle G1 arrest. Cell proliferation (tumor progression) would also be tracked in vivo for a longer period of time (~30 days), which would make the differences more prominent.

**Overexpression of RFPL4A Is Associated with a Stemlike Nature and Decreased Sensitivity to Chemotherapy**—Next, we examined the effect of RFPL4A overexpression on the “stemness” and resistance to chemotherapy of the cells. We found that RFPL4A-OE cells had higher sphere-initiating capacity and lower reactive oxygen species levels compared with controls (Fig. 5, A and B), indicating stem cell-like properties of the RFPL4A-OE cells. Additionally, RFPL4A-OE cells showed higher viability in the presence of 5-FU in vitro (10 μM), which was statistically significant at 72 h after application of 5-FU (Fig. 5C). The chemotherapy resistance of RFPL4A-OE cells was also confirmed in a xenograft model, in which RFPL4A-OE cells could proliferate more effectively than control tumor cells

**TABLE 5**

Top 50 genes up-regulated in RR in HCT116 cells expressing Fucci

| Rank | Change | Symbol | Description |
|------|--------|--------|-------------|
| 1    | fold   | HSPA1A | Homo sapiens heat shock 70-kDa protein 1A (HSPA1A) |
| 2    |        | FGFI   | H. sapiens fibroblast growth factor 1 (FGF1), transcript variant 1 |
| 3    |        | TGFBI  | H. sapiens transforming growth factor, β-induced (TGFBI) |
| 4    |        | RFPL4A | H. sapiens Ret finger protein-like 4A (RFPL4A) |
| 5    |        | 15.43  | H. sapiens, clone IMAGE:4779414, mRNA |
| 6    |        | 14.06  | ARL14   |
| 7    |        | 12.89  | MIR7-3HG |
| 8    |        | 11.78  | SBSN    |
| 9    |        | 10.32  | KRTAP3-2 |
| 10   |        | 9.27   | RFPL4A |
| 11   |        | 8.97   | C6orf222 |
| 12   |        | 8.17   | PAD1    |
| 13   |        | 8.01   | GADD45G |
| 14   |        | 7.90   | MYCN   |
| 15   |        | 7.02   | MAFB    |
| 16   |        | 7.00   | SNAI2   |
| 17   |        | 6.86   | Q96IU8, HUMAN (Q96IU8) CSAG family, member 3A, partial (42%) |
| 18   |        | 6.84   | KPNB7   |
| 19   |        | 6.82   | MIR7-3HG |
| 20   |        | 6.29   | D1A17   |
| 21   |        | 6.16   | KRTAP4-1 |
| 22   |        | 6.10   | CTA4    |
| 23   |        | 6.06   | CRYAB   |
| 24   |        | 5.80   | TNF     |
| 25   |        | 5.67   | C6orf46 |
| 26   |        | 5.60   | CXCL1   |
| 27   |        | 5.58   | HSPA1A  |
| 28   |        | 5.51   | CTGF    |
| 29   |        | 5.46   | DKK2p451A211 |
| 30   |        | 5.44   | FGFI    |
| 31   |        | 5.36   | PHEX    |
| 32   |        | 5.33   | INHBA   |
| 33   |        | 5.24   | GADD45G |
| 34   |        | 5.19   | C9orf53 |
| 35   |        | 5.15   | DI03    |
| 36   |        | 5.11   | DLL3    |
| 37   |        | 5.08   | C2orf54 |
| 38   |        | 5.07   | LOC286059 |
| 39   |        | 5.07   | KBTBD5  |
| 40   |        | 5.07   | CDH16   |
| 41   |        | 5.05   | CXCX1   |
| 42   |        | 4.95   | TNFRSF9 |
| 43   |        | 4.91   | SCEL    |
| 44   |        | 4.88   | Q9PQG5_PSEAE (Q9PQG5) probable two-component sensor, partial (6%) |
| 45   |        | 4.85   | CD36    |
| 46   |        | 4.83   | Unknown |
| 47   |        | 4.82   | CDO1    |
| 48   |        | 4.79   | KPNB7   |
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FIGURE 4. Overexpression of RFPL4A increases G1 population and inhibits proliferation. A, establishment of RFPL4A-overexpressing cells (RFPL4A-OE). Increased RFPL4A mRNA expression was confirmed by qPCR. B, protein expression was assessed by Western blotting and quantified by ImageJ. C, representative images of Fucci-expressing control and RFPL4A-overexpressing HCT116 cells. Scale bar, 100 μm. The ratios of Fucci colors counted by IMARIS are shown. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of three independent experiments (n = 3). Total cell count was 744. D, cell cycle by flow cytometric analysis of Fucci-expressing control and RFPL4A-overexpressing HCT116 cells. Red, Cdt1-KO +; green, Gem-AG +; yellow, double-positive; gray, double-negative. The ratios of Cdt1-KO + (red) are shown. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of three independent experiments (n = 3). Total cell count was 1.2 × 10^6. E, red fluorescence intensity quantified by flow cytometry. Mean fluorescence intensity ratios were compared. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of five individual experiments (n = 5 for each). F, the absorption rate of HCT116 cells was examined using a cell counting kit. The statistical significance of the difference between the control and RFPL4A-overexpressing HCT116 cells was determined by two-way ANOVA. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of three individual experiments (n = 3 for each). G, viability of HCT116 cells was examined by time lapse imaging confocal microscopy, and the ratios were counted by IMARIS. Cell viability is presented as the ratio of HCT116 cells at each time relative to zero time. The statistical significance of the difference between the control and RFPL4A-overexpressing HCT116 cells was determined by two-way ANOVA. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of three individual experiments (n = 3 for each). H, in vivo tumor expansion of HCT116 cells. Control and RFPL4A-overexpressing HCT116 cells are shown. Cancer cells (2 × 10^6/200 μl of PBS) were inoculated into subcutaneous tissue. Tumor sizes were measured every week for 5 weeks after inoculation. The statistical significance of the difference between the control and RFPL4A-overexpressing HCT116 cells was determined by two-way ANOVA. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of six individual experiments (n = 6 for each). I, comparison of the tumor weight in control versus RFPL4A-overexpressing cells at 35 days. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of six independent experiments (n = 6 each). J, images of tumors excised on day 35.

under 5-FU treatment (30 mg/kg × 4 days; Fig. 5D). These results demonstrate that RFPL4A expression is closely associated with G1 retention and inhibited proliferation of cancer cells, resulting in induction of stemness and resistance to chemotherapy, which are clearly undesirable properties in terms of cancer treatments.

Knockdown of RFPL4A Decreased the G1 Population and Increased Proliferation—Next, we generated RFPL4A knockdown HCT116 cell lines (RFPL4A-sh1 and -sh2). The reduced expression of RFPL4A in RFPL4A-sh cells was confirmed at both the mRNA (Fig. 6A) and protein levels (Fig. 6B). The reduction of RFPL4A was prominent in the RFPL4A high RR fraction but not significantly reduced in the basal RFPL4A levels in the G and R fractions (Fig. 6C). The proportion of the red G0/G1 phase population was decreased significantly in RFPL4A-sh cells (control, 60.78 ± 0.02%; n = 3; RFPL4A-sh1, 46.59 ± 0.03%, n = 3, p = 0.0209; RFPL4A-sh2, 45.96 ± 0.02, n = 3, p = 0.0077), suggesting their proliferative tendencies (Fig. 6D). Flow cytometric analyses also showed that the proportion of the red G0/G1 fraction in the RFPL4A-sh cells was decreased (control, 56.53 ± 0.75%, n = 3; RFPL4A-sh1, 42.60 ± 1.10%, n = 3, p = 0.0005; RFPL4A-sh2, 38.30 ± 1.78, n = 3, p = 0.0007; Fig. 6E). Furthermore, the red fluorescence intensity of RFPL4A-sh cells was significantly lower than that of the control (Fig. 6F). Concomitantly, RFPL4A-sh cells demonstrated significantly higher proliferative activity in vitro (Fig. 6, G and H) and in vivo (Fig. 6, I–K).
Knockdown of RFLP4A Decreased Its Stemlike Nature and Increased Sensitivity to Chemotherapy—We also examined the effect of down-regulating RFLP4A on the stemness and chemotherapy resistance of the cells. RFPL4A-sh cells showed lower sphere-forming capacity and higher reactive oxygen species levels than did controls (Fig. 7, A and B). Additionally, RFPL4A-sh cells showed lower viability in the presence of 5-FU in vitro (100 nM), which was statistically significant at time points longer than 72 h after application of 5-FU (Fig. 7C). Increased susceptibility to chemotherapy of the RFPL4A-sh cells was also evident in a xenograft model, in which RFPL4A-sh cells proliferated less under periodic 5-FU treatment (30 mg/kg × 4 days) compared with controls (Fig. 7D). These results demonstrated that suppression of RFPL4A expression increased the susceptibility of cancer cells to chemotherapy; this could be useful for radical treatment against intractable cancers.

RNAi-based Inhibition of RFPL4A Expression Increased Susceptibility to Chemotherapy in Vivo—Thus, we have demonstrated that RFPL4A is a G0/G1 maintenance factor and endows cancer cells with a stemlike nature and reduced sensitivity to chemotherapy. Next, we examined the potential of this factor as a novel therapeutic target for treating chemotherapy-resistant cancers. Subcutaneously inoculated HCT116 tumors were subjected to local injection of siRNAs targeting RFPL4A or of a scrambled control siRNA (Fig. 8A). Injected siRNAs were preincubated with atelocollagen to facilitate their introduction into target cells (22, 23). The mice displaying inoculated tumors were then treated with 5-FU (30 mg/kg) at days 0, 3, 7, and 11. Data represent the mean volumes of the tumors, calculated by the formula, $V = L \times W^2 \times 0.5$. Statistical significance of the difference between the control and RFPL4A-expressing HCT116 cells was determined by two-way ANOVA. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of six individual experiments ($n = 6$ for each).
FIGURE 6. Knockdown of RFLP4A decreased the G1 population and increased proliferation. A, establishment of RFLP4A knockdown HCT116 cell lines (RFLP4A-sh1 and -sh2). Decreased RFLP4A mRNA expression was confirmed by qPCR. B, protein expression was assessed by Western blotting and quantified by ImageJ. C, RFLP4A knockdown HCT116 cell lines (RFLP4A-sh1 and -sh2) were sorted to G, R, and RR (assessed by qPCR). Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of three independent experiments (n = 3). D, representative images of Fucci-expressing control and RFLP4A knockdown HCT116 cells (sh1 and sh2). Scale bar, 100 μm. The ratios of the Fucci colors, counted by IMARIS, are shown. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of three independent experiments (n = 3). Total cell count was 829. E, cell cycle analysis of Fucci-expressing control and RFLP4A knockdown HCT116 cells (sh1 and sh2) by flow cytometry. Red, Cdt1-KO; green, Gem-AG; yellow, double-positive; gray, double-negative. The ratios of Cdt1-KO (red) are shown. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of three independent experiments (n = 3). Total cell count was 1.8 × 10^5. F, red fluorescence intensity quantified by flow cytometry. G, the absorption rate of HCT116 cells was examined using a cell counting kit. Statistical significance of the difference between the control and RFLP4A knockdown (sh1 and sh2) HCT116 cells was determined by two-way ANOVA. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of three individual experiments (n = 3 for each). H, viability of HCT116 cells was examined by time lapse imaging using confocal microscopy, and ratios were counted by IMARIS. Cell viability is presented as the ratio of HCT116 cells at each time relative to time zero. Statistical significance of the difference between control and RFLP4A knockdown HCT116 cells (sh1 and sh2) was determined by two-way ANOVA. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of three individual experiments (n = 3 for each). I, in vivo tumor expansion of HCT116 cells. HCT116 cells treated with RFLP4A control and knockdown shRNAs (sh1 and sh2) are shown. Cancer cells (2 × 10^6 cells/200 μl of PBS) were inoculated into subcutaneous tissue. Tumor sizes were measured every week for 5 weeks after inoculation. The statistical significance of the difference between the control and RFLP4A-expressing HCT116 cells was determined by two-way ANOVA. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of four individual experiments (n = 4 each). J, comparison of the tumor weight in control versus RFLP4A knockdown cells (sh1 and sh2) at 35 days. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of four independent experiments (n = 4 each). K, images of tumors excised on day 35.
DISCUSSION

Taking advantage of time lapse confocal microscopic imaging using a Fucci reporter system (14), we identified a characteristic population of cancer cells maintained long term in the G0/G1 phase, defined as the Fucci red-retained “RR” fraction. Because it possessed stem cell-like properties, such as high sphere-initiating capacity and low reactive oxygen species production, the RR fraction may be regarded as similar to CSCs. Additionally, in microarray analyses comparing the RR and R fractions (Fig. 3 and Table 5), we found dominant expression of several CSC signature molecules, such as FGF1, TGFβ1, snail2, and DLL3, supporting our theory that the RR fraction contains CSCs.

Here, we showed that RFPL4A was expressed preferentially in RR cells, the enriched fraction of CSC-like cells, in colorectal cancer cell lines. Expression of RFPL4A mRNA was found to be increased only in RR cells, with no differences between G and R cells, indicating that RFPL4A is up-regulated specifically in the G0 phase but not in the G1 phase. RFPL4A (Ret finger protein-like 4A) was first identified by an in silico screening for germ cell-specific genes (30) and is a unique RFPL family member of the RING finger-containing proteins that do not have a histidine in their RING finger motif (31). It has been demonstrated that RFPL4A targets cyclin B1 for proteasomal degradation in oocytes, a key process in meiosis (30, 32), suggesting a general role for this molecule in cell cycle control. This notion may be supported by the observation that preferential expression of RFPL4A in RR cells was detected not only in colorectal cancer cell lines, such as HCT116, HT29, and DLD1, but also in non-cancer cell lines, such as HEK293 cells (Fig. 3B). Some of the changes induced by RFPL4A up/down-regulation were relatively small, presumably because the stemlike property in RR states may be influenced by RFPL4A as well as several other factors (33, 34).

Many researchers have sought to develop novel cancer therapies targeting the supposed “dormant” cancer cells. For exam-

FIGURE 7. Knockdown of RFPL4A decreased its stemlike nature and increased sensitivity to chemotherapy. A, intracellular ROS levels were measured using CellROX-labeled allophycocyanin and flow cytometry in HCT116 cells (control and RFPL4A-OE). Peak values of the x axis ratio were compared. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of three individual experiments (n = 3 for each). B, representative sphere formation of HCT116 cells expressing Fucci 14 days after seeding. Sphere formation results in HCT116 cells (control and RFPL4A-sh1/-sh2 knockdown). The sphere numbers that formed within 14 days after seeding 2,000 cells/well are shown. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of four independent experiments (n = 4). C, viability of HCT116 cells (control and RFPL4A-sh1/-sh2 knockdown) was examined by time lapse imaging using confocal microscopy, with the ratios counted by IMARIS, after treatment with 100 nM 5-FU for 84 h. Cell viability is presented as the ratio of HCT116 cells at each time relative to time 0. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of three individual experiments (n = 3 for each). *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; and ***, p < 0.001. Top, control versus sh1; bottom, represents control versus sh2. D, effect of 5-FU therapy in NOD/SCID mice (volume of tumor (V) = L × W²/2). HCT116 cells (control and RFPL4A-sh1/-sh2 knockdown) were injected subcutaneously into NOD/SCID mice (n = 4 in each group). Cancer cells (3 × 10⁶ cells/200 μl of PBS) were inoculated into subcutaneous tissue. Treatment was initiated when tumors grew to a size of 5–7 mm in diameter (12 days after injection of cells). NOD/SCID mice were treated by intraperitoneal injection of 5-FU (30 mg/kg) at days 0, 4, 7, and 11. Data represent the mean volumes of tumors, calculated using the formula, (longest diameter) × (shortest diameter) × 0.5. Statistical significance of the difference between the control and RFPL4A-expressing HCT116 cells was determined by two-way ANOVA. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of four individual experiments (n = 4 each).
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FIGURE 8. RNAi-based inhibition of RFPL4A increased the sensitivity of HCT116 to chemotherapy in vivo. A, decreased expression of RFPL4A in HCT116 cells treated with siRNAs targeting RFPL4A (assessed by qPCR). Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of three independent experiments (n = 3). B, in vivo siRNA treatment of HCT116 tumors. Control HCT116 cells (5 × 106) were implanted in NOD/SCID mice and, on days 7 and 14, were treated with PBS, scrambled control siRNA plus atelocollagen, or two siRNAs (Si1 and Si2) against RFPL4A plus atelocollagen. NOD/SCID mice were administered intraperitoneal injection of 5-FU (30 mg/kg) at days 9, 12, 16, 19, and 22. Data represent the mean tumor volumes, calculated using the formula, (shortest diameter) × (longest diameter) × 0.5. The statistical significance of the difference between the control and RFPL4A-expressing HCT116 cells was determined by two-way ANOVA. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of four independent experiments (n = 4 for each). C, comparison of the tumor weights after treatment with atelocollagen and 5-FU at 26 days. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of four independent experiments (n = 4 for each). D, images of tumors excised on day 35.

ple, Fbxw7 has been shown to be required for the maintenance of quiescence in leukemia-initiating cells (9) (35), and Fbxw7-deficient leukemia-initiating cells are sensitive to anti-cancer drugs. Therefore, a combination therapy of Fbxw7 ablation and an anti-cancer drug was shown to be relatively effective for the eradication of leukemia-initiating cells, resulting in a survival advantage over treatment with anti-cancer drugs alone (9). The present data also indicate that inhibition of RFPL4A during chemotherapy eliminates colon cancer cells more effectively by preventing quiescence, compared with chemotherapy alone, a concept similar to Fbxw7-targeted therapy. Although RFPL4A was also expressed substantially in non-cancer cells, such as HEK293, and its inhibition might have some adverse effects, combination therapy using RFPL4A inhibition and conventional anti-cancer drugs may represent a promising therapeutic approach for intractable cancer patients in the future.
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