1. Introduction

In this work, the hyperfine Fermi contact term as a function of internuclear distance \( R \) is computed for the \( \chi^2\Pi \) state of OH and the \( \chi^2\Sigma \) state of AgH\(^+\). The all electron \textit{ab initio} valence-bond method \cite{1} with Hartree-Fock (HF) and Sturm’s \cite{2} basis sets is used to calculate the electron spin density near the nuclei correctly. This leads to an accurate determination of the molecular magnetic dipole coupling constants and in particular the molecular Fermi contact term, \( A_c \). The method uses many-electron atomic wave functions to construct the molecular wave function and yields the correct asymptotic properties for the molecule, one of which is the convergence of the molecular hyperfine interaction parameters to the atomic values for large internuclear separations.

Magnetic hyperfine parameters are very sensitive to the quality of the molecular wave function in general and to the spin polarization of atomic cores in particular. One of these parameters, the Fermi contact term, is proportional to the electron spin density at the position of the nuclei that have nonzero spin. When a molecule has electrons in open shells, it leads to one or more unpaired spins in valence orbitals and gives an unbalanced spin density at the nuclei. Computationally it implies different exchange potentials for electrons with spin up and spin down. The contact term, \( A_c \), is proportional to the difference in the spin densities of electrons with the opposite direction of spin. The accurate determination of this parameter requires both correlation and polarization interactions in the model. The configuration interaction (CI) approach is used to treat these effects. We build the CI on the basis of the nonorthogonal many-electron atomic HF and Sturm’s functions.
Hyperfine splittings have been observed in the optical spectra of diatomic molecules with very high accuracy. Very precise theoretical calculations are required for comparison. One of these calculations was performed by Kristiansen and Veseth [3] for the OH molecule. A many-body perturbation theory was used to compute magnetic hyperfine parameters for the lowest vibrational levels of the $^1\text{H}$ ground state. Their disagreement with experiment does not exceed 2% near the equilibrium distance $R_e = 1.8342$ a.u. (the atomic unit of length, a.u., is the Bohr radius $a_0$ and is approximately equal to 0.0529177 nm).

In our calculations the Fermi contact term is determined over a wide range of $R$ (1.5 a.u. to 8 a.u.). The asymptotic value of $A_c$ can be compared with our value at the largest internuclear separations.

The only previous study of the molecular potentials of the AgH$^+$ molecule [4] was performed using a pseudopotential approach with its inherent integrated treatment of the core orbitals, and therefore does not give theoretical information about the AgH$^+$ hyperfine coupling constants. Our $A_c(R)$ values were obtained for $R$ between 2 a.u. and 18 a.u. and converge for large $R$ to the atomic values.

2. Theoretical and Computational Details

The total electronic wave function $\Psi_{AB}$ of the molecule is introduced as a linear combination of Slater’s determinants $\det_{\alpha}$, corresponding to various configurations $\alpha$ of atoms A and B. That is

$$\Psi_{AB} = \sum_{\alpha} C_{\alpha} \det_{\alpha}$$

(1)

where the $C_{\alpha}$ are obtained by solving a generalized eigenvalue matrix problem, described by the equation

$$\hat{H}_{AB} C = \hat{S}_{AB} C,$$

(2)

where $\hat{H}_{AB}$ is the Hamiltonian of the molecule AB. The right-hand-side of Eq. (2) includes the nonorthogonality matrix $\hat{S}_{AB}$, which describes an overlap between determinants $<\det_{\alpha}|\det_{\beta}>$ and it is given as

$$(\hat{S}_{AB})_{\alpha\beta} = <\det_{\alpha}|\det_{\beta}> = (D_{\alpha \alpha}D_{\beta \beta})^{-1/2} D_{\alpha \beta},$$

(3)

$D_{\alpha \beta} = \det<\alpha_1|\beta_1> <\alpha_2|\beta_2> ... <\alpha_N|\beta_N> |$, and $N$ is the total number of electrons in the molecule. For the particular one-electron orbitals $\alpha_i$ and $\beta_j$, the overlap matrix elements $S_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ are used to describe the one-electron density matrix $\rho^{\alpha\beta}(x,x')$ of the molecule as

$$\rho^{\alpha\beta}(x,x') = (D_{\alpha \alpha}D_{\beta \beta})^{-1/2} D_{\alpha \beta} \sum_{i,j} (S^{-1})^{\alpha \beta}_{ij} \cdot \phi_i(x) \cdot \phi_j^*(x'),$$

(4)

where the $\phi(x)$ are the one-electron wave functions and $x$ denotes both coordinates and spin of the electron. Moreover, the two-electron density matrix is

$$\rho^{\alpha\beta}(x_1,x_2|x'_1,x'_2) = (D_{\alpha \alpha}D_{\beta \beta})^{-1/2} D_{\alpha \beta} \sum_{i,j} \sum_{k,l} (S^{-1})^{\alpha \beta}_{ij} \cdot (S^{-1})^{\alpha \beta}_{kl} \cdot (S^{-1})^{\alpha \beta}_{kl} \cdot (S^{-1})^{\alpha \beta}_{ij} \cdot \phi_i(x_1) \phi_j(x_2) \phi_k(x'_1) \phi_l(x'_2),$$

(5)

where

$$D_{\alpha \beta}^{i,j,k,l} = D_{\alpha \beta} \cdot [(S^{-1})^{\alpha \beta}_{i,j} \cdot (S^{-1})^{\alpha \beta}_{k,l} \cdot (S^{-1})^{\alpha \beta}_{l,k} \cdot (S^{-1})^{\alpha \beta}_{j,i}]$$

(6)

Finally, the Fermi contact term can be expressed in terms of the total spin densities $\rho^{\alpha\beta}(\uparrow)$ and $\rho^{\alpha\beta}(\downarrow)$ at each nuclear site for the electrons with spin pointed up (\uparrow) and down (\downarrow). The CI form of $A_e$ is introduced as

$$A_e = 2 \mu_0 g_t \mu_N \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{8\pi}{3} c_{\alpha,\beta} [\rho^{\alpha\beta}(\uparrow) - \rho^{\alpha\beta}(\downarrow)]$$

(7)

where $g_t$ denotes the nuclear g-factor, $\mu_N$ is the nuclear magneton, and $\mu_0$ is the Bohr magneton.

In our model the CI expansion for OH includes configurations obtained from the occupied 1$s^2$, 2$s^2$, and 2$p^4$ shells of oxygen and the 1$s$ shell of hydrogen, as well as configurations created by excitations of these electrons into the 3$s$, 3$p$, 3$d$, and 4$s$ states for O and the 2$s$, 2$p$, 3$s$, 3$p$, and 3$d$ for H. The total number of configurations was equal to 238. To describe the virtual excited states we use localized Sturm’s functions. The result of our computation of the $A_e(R)$ for the oxygen and hydrogen atom in the $^{17}$OH molecule is shown in Fig. 1. The agreement of the calculated values over the wide range of internuclear separations $R$ (1.5 a.u. to 8 a.u.) is within less than 1% of the precise experimental values, which are for the equilibrium distance $R_e = 1.8342$ a.u., $A_e = 73.1258$ MHz [5], and for the asymptotic values $A_O = -219.6$ MHz and $A_H = 1420.40575$ MHz [6, 7].
The CI treatment of the AgH⁺ molecule was based on the occupied 4p⁶4d¹⁰ shells for the Ag⁺ ion and the 1s shell for the H atom as well as the 5s, 5p, 5d, 6s and 2s, 2p, 3s, 3p, 3d virtual Sturm’s orbitals for Ag⁺ and H, respectively. Computed values of the Fermi contact term of ¹⁰⁷AgH⁺ molecule are presented in Fig. 2. The asymptotic values of Aᵣ for H and Ag⁺ fit with about 1% disagreement to the well known experimental value of the hyperfine coupling constant for the H atom, and to the expected zero value for the closed shell ion Ag⁺.
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