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Abstract

The fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe has long been a model organism for studies of eukaryotic cells, winning renown especially for studies of the cell cycle. Now that its genome has been sequenced, S. pombe is ready to assume its rightful place in the pantheon of small eukaryotic giants.

For those molecular cell biologists who count the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe as their favorite model organism, 2001 has justly been celebrated as a most memorable year. Paul Nurse, the widely acknowledged leader of the S. pombe field, was one of the recipients of the Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine for his studies of cell-cycle control - along with Lee Hartwell, who founded cell-cycle genetics using the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, and Tim Hunt who discovered cyclin. Also in 2001, the whole genome sequence of S. pombe was completed, by a team headed by Nurse at the Imperial Cancer Research Fund (now Cancer Research UK) in London and Bart Barrell at the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute (Hinxton, UK). The paper describing key features and interpretation of the entire genome sequence of S. pombe has now been published in Nature [1] (an event that will be celebrated at the forthcoming 2nd International Fission Yeast Meeting, March 25-30 in Kyoto, Japan).

S. pombe is the sixth model eukaryotic organism whose genome has been fully sequenced, following the budding yeast S. cerevisiae, the nematode worm Caenorhabditis elegans, the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster, mustard weed Arabidopsis thaliana and (in draft) the human, Homo sapiens. In this article, I consider some of the key features of the genome as identified by the complete sequence, as well as the place S. pombe occupies in past and future studies of eukaryotic cells.

How many genes does it take to make a eukaryote?
The sequenced genome of S. pombe holds no hint of a large-scale genomic duplication of the type that occurred in budding yeast and many other organisms; there is a small (approximately 50 kilobase) duplication near the telomere, however. This 'lower' eukaryotic unicellular organism has 4,824 predicted genes, the smallest number recorded for a eukaryote and significantly fewer than the 5,570-5,651 genes predicted for budding yeast. As the bacterium Streptomyces coelicolor has 7,846 genes, two conclusions that can be drawn are that a free-living eukaryote can be constructed with fewer than 5,000 genes, and that it may even need fewer genes than some prokaryotes. It is a matter of judgment whether this number seems small or large for the task of creating a eukaryotic cell from molecular components; in any case, S. pombe will for some time to come hold an honorable position as the eukaryote with the fewest genes.

Around two-thirds of the predicted fission yeast proteins have homologs in both budding yeast and nematode, and only 14% are found in neither budding yeast nor nematode. ‘All against all’ sequence comparisons indicate that 4,515 genes among the total 4,876 have no homologs within the S. pombe genome itself, while the remaining 361 genes’ products are distributed among groups of proteins that have two or more members. Using the same type of comparison in budding yeast, 5,061 genes are unique while 716 fall into groups that have two or more members. The fission yeast genome can thus be said to be ‘less redundant’ than that of budding yeast; this has been empirically known, but now we can put a number on redundant genes.

A ‘typical’ eukaryote?
One characteristic of the fission yeast genome is that 43% of its genes have introns (often rather short; a total of 4,730
introns), many more than are found in budding yeast. This
difference was also previously well established on the basis
of gene-by-gene experiments, and is borne out by the whole-
genome sequence. From gene-sequence comparisons, the
two yeasts are estimated to have diverged from each other
around 330-420 million years ago, and from metazoa and
plants around 1,000-1,200 million years ago. Because the
rates of divergence seem to be fast within fungi, the
sequences of the two yeasts are nevertheless as divergent
from each other as either yeast is from higher eukaryotes.
The morphology of the two yeasts is also very different:
unlike the rounded mother and budding daughter cells of S.
cerevisiae, S. pombe has rod-shaped cells that divide along
the long axis (Figure 1). The gene density for the complete
genome of S. pombe is one gene every 2,528 base pairs, as
compared to one gene every 2,088 base pairs for budding
yeast. Regions upstream of genes are also significantly
longer than in budding yeast, possibly reflecting more
extended control regions.

Unlike most eukaryotic sequencing papers, the S. pombe
genome paper [1] reports sequencing of most of each of the
three centromeres (35, 65 and 110 kilobases on chromosomes
I, II and III, respectively), revealing a complex organization. It
was my personal delight that the structures determined in my
laboratory [2-5] and also by Louise Clarke and John Carbon
[6,7] proved to be correct. For our part, the task took eight
years of very hard work by the brightest students in Kyoto
University. The nucleotide sequences of S. pombe centromeres
were determined by Takahashi et al. [5] (unfortunately not
cited in [1]), and now the complete, detailed sequence is avail-
able. The large centromeres of S. pombe are a prominent
symbol within the S. pombe genome, much as is the Cdc2
protein kinase within fission-yeast cell-cycle control, or the
Kiyomizu-temple and Sanjuu-sangendo in the city of Kyoto. A
frequently asked question in the past when I gave talks about
the centromeres of S. pombe was, “Why are the centromeres
of S. pombe so large?” But now people tend to ask instead
why the centromeres of budding yeast are so small, at only
several hundred base-pairs.

The issue of centromere size is addressed in the paper by
Wood et al. [1], as it is not clear why fission yeast centro-
meres are 300-1,000 times larger than their budding
yeast equivalents. One possibility is that their kinetochoore
structures are very different, particularly with respect to the
sizes of two specialized regions - the repetitious centromeric
heterochromatin containing the HP1 and Swi6 proteins and
the more central, CENP-A-containing region. A related but
distinct possibility is that the difference arises because kine-
tochore microtubules in budding yeast may have the unusual
feature of being maintained in association with the cen-
tromers throughout the cell cycle (see, for example [8]).
The two-domain structure - the central and the outer repet-
tive domains - of the centromeres in fission yeast [5,9,10] are
now popularly seen as a model by researchers working on
higher eukaryotic centromeres (although the similarity has
not been proven). The S. pombe centromere was once con-
sidered to be exceptional because it has a two-domain struc-
ture, but the field now seems to think that it may represent
the general form of centromeric DNA. We must now await a
complete centromeric analysis of higher eukaryotes, which
has hardly been attempted so far, for resolution of this point.

In the S. pombe genome milestone paper [1], the authors
also focus on the problem of which genes are responsible for
the unique characteristics of eukaryotic cells. Some of the
most highly conserved genes among sequenced eukaryotes
include those encoding proteins required for the cytoskeleton,
vesicular compartmentation, cell-cycle control, proteolysis,
protein phosphorylation and dephosphorylation, and RNA
splicing. These genes seem therefore to have originated
with the appearance of eukaryotic life. A total of 62 genes
have been found to be highly conserved in all eukaryotes
and are not present in prokaryotes; these can be divided
into distinct functional groups, yielding a number of impor-
tant insights. One important idea emphasized in the paper
[1] is that the evolutionary transition from prokaryotes to
eukaryotes took much longer than that from single to multi-
cellular eukaryotes. The highly conserved genes specific to
eukaryotes were necessary for eukaryotic organization to be

Figure 1
S. pombe cells. (a) Wild-type interphase cells; (b,c) β-tubulin mutant cells at the restrictive temperature. The condensed chromosomes are stained with
DAPI; individual chromosomes can each be recognized by their distinctive sizes.
established, but sequence analyses indicate that the transition from unicellular eukaryotes to multicellularity may not have required many new genes. The authors speculate [1] that the transition to multicellular organization may have utilized genes that were already present in unicellular eukaryotes, perhaps by shuffling functional domains to give rise to novel combinations.

A place in history
Looking at the large number of authors (131) on the fission yeast genome paper [1], it is difficult to appreciate that only a handful of laboratories around the world engaged in fission yeast research 20 years ago; needless to say, only a very few researchers were then seriously interested in the genome of fission yeast. In 1977, Jurg Kohli and Pierre Thuriaux at the University of Bern reported that *S. pombe* has three linkage groups (three chromosomes) after years of genetic analyses (mutant isolation and mapping) [11]. The genetics of *S. pombe* is extremely clean: Urs Leupold, also at Bern, initiated genetic studies in the middle of the last century (see, for example, [12,13]), and all the *S. pombe* workers since then have used derivatives of Leupold’s original strains.

I myself started to employ fission yeast as a model eukaryote for studying chromosome dynamics in the cell cycle around 1977, after reading articles written by Murdoch Mitchison and Urs Leupold. I had previously worked on head morphogenesis in the bacteriophage T4 and considered T4 as a model chromosome. I quickly decided to change the subject of my study from T4 to *S. pombe* at the time when gene cloning by transformation of mutant cells became in principle possible. The fission yeast’s cell shape looked like large *Escherichia coli* - the organism I was most familiar with - and the cells divide by fission, again just like *E. coli*. I liked the simplicity of the *S. pombe* cell cycle, and within a few years of starting to work on fission yeast ourselves I was fascinated with the beautiful nuclear chromatin and condensed chromosomes that could easily be seen by DAPI (diamidino phenylindole) staining. Some years after the identification of the three *S. pombe* linkage groups by genetics, three condensed chromosomes were visualized using DAPI staining of mutant cells arrested because of a defect in β tubulin [14]. I remember vividly the excitement when we could first see the three DAPI-stained chromosomes (Figure 1b,c); the use of DAPI revolutionized the isolation of mutants with defects in the nucleus and chromosomes [15].

Technology for handling large pieces of DNA was also developing around the mid-1980s, and Charles Cantor and colleagues were interested in applying the newly developed technique of pulsed-field gel electrophoresis to the genome of fission yeast. In collaboration with my group, Smith *et al.* [16] reported successful separation of the individual chromosomes and determined the size of each: chromosome I, 5.7 megabases; chromosome II, 4.6 megabases; and chromosome III, 3.5 megabases. All of the NotI restriction enzyme sites in the genome were also determined. After that, any cloned fission yeast gene could be physically mapped onto individual chromosomes and NotI fragments. With these technical developments and the advent of chromosome-walking technology, physical mapping of the whole fission yeast genome was undertaken. Two papers from the laboratories of Hans Lehra and colleagues [17] at the ICRF in London and David Beach at Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (in collaboration with us) [18] were published in 1993, reporting the physical map of the fission yeast genome. Although these physical maps had numerous gaps and false assignments, they were nevertheless vital to the start of the whole-genome sequencing project that was completed in 2001 thanks to the tireless efforts of the 131 authors [1].

The value of fission yeast as a model organism will be upheld - or even strengthened - with the completion of whole-genome sequencing. The rise of *S. pombe* in the past 20 years was due to the ease of genetics and cell biology and the great cell-cycle discoveries that followed the identification of Cdc2. Then, developments in chromosome biology, mitosis, cytokinesis, meiosis and cell-shape control built solid research foundations to make *S. pombe* attractive to general cell biologists who read papers from *S. pombe* labs, and many newcomers actually began working on *S. pombe*. The publication of the whole genome sequence will now lure biologists and others working in such diverse fields as bioinformatics, pharmacology, and evolution.

Researchers who are seriously interested in the evolution and establishment of eukaryotic organisms must consider fission yeast as a premier organism for study: it did not experience large genome rearrangements in its evolution; it has a typical mitochondrial genome, like humans (mitochondria are essential in fission yeast while dispensable in budding yeast, which can grow under anaerobic conditions); and it has the smallest eukaryotic genome, with only three chromosomes.

For all these reasons, fission yeast seems bound to be highly popular - at least in the United Kingdom, Europe and Japan, for the next ten to fifteen years, judging by the enthusiasm and high caliber of studies of the many young group leaders in these countries. But *S. pombe* has found it difficult to proliferate in the USA, particularly in the Boston and San Francisco Bay areas, arguably the two key centers of power in the world for life sciences. I gave lectures in Boston last October, and strongly felt I was seen there as a heretic. The *S. pombe* community can already boast some prominent researchers in the USA, but we must make a special effort to establish more *S. pombe* labs on the two coasts. I am rather optimistic about this task, as I recall there were few respectable cell biologists in Harvard 20 years ago, but now it is full of excellent cell biologists - and the current task should be much easier than trying to convince Americans that soccer is more popular and widespread than American football. The success
of *S. pombe* whole-genome sequencing is one more reason why the *S. pombe* field should enjoy a solid global position - and the field of *S. pombe* research will continue to welcome any life scientists of any nationality and cultural background who choose to work with *S. pombe* - the model eukaryotic organism.
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