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Abstract: Reliable information about isobaric heat capacities \( C_p \) is necessary to determine the energies of organic compounds and chemical processes at an arbitrary temperature. In this work, the possibility of theoretical estimation of \( C_p \) by the homodesmotic method is analyzed. Three cases of \( C_p \) calculation applying the methodology of the complete set of homodesmotic reactions (CS HDRs) are considered: the gas- and liquid-phase \( C_p \) of organic compounds of various classes at 298 K (the mean absolute value of reaction heat capacity, MA \( \Delta C_p \) = 1.44 and 2.83 J/mol·K for the gas and liquid phase, correspondingly); and the gas-phase \( C_p \) of \( n \)-alkanes \( \text{C}_2\text{–C}_{10} \) in the temperature range of 200–1500 K with an average error in calculating the heat capacity of 0.93 J/mol·K. In the latter case, the coefficients of the Shomate equation are determined for all \( n \)-alkanes that satisfy the homodesmoticity condition. New values of gas- and liquid-phase heat capacities are obtained for 41 compounds. The CS HDRs-based approach for estimating the \( C_p \) of organic compounds is characterized by high accuracy, which is not inferior to that of the best \( C_p \)-additive schemes and allows us to analyze the reproducibility of the calculation results and eliminate unreliable reference data.
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1. Introduction

The prediction of the organic compound reactivity based on the structural data of a molecule is one of the fundamental problems of physical organic chemistry. Significant progress in this direction has been achieved with the development of additive [1,2] and comparative methods [3–5] for the a priori estimation of the thermodynamic constants of organic compounds. In combination with modern computational procedures of quantum chemistry, the methods of thermochemical calculations based on the principle of maximal similarity of the studied compound with a number of auxiliary reference structures have come to the fore. To determine the energy of chemical compounds (enthalpy of formation \( \Delta_f H^\circ \), bond strength \( D \), etc.), it has been proposed to use homodesmotic reactions (HDRs) [4–7], which are formal thermochemical transformations constructed to keep several balances on both sides of the equation: material, isogyric, bond, group, as well as the balance of non-valence effects. The common effect of these balances is the energy balance of the formal reaction, i.e., the fulfillment of the thermoneutrality condition of HDR (\( \Delta_r H^\circ = 0 \)) [8]. Homodesmotic methodology has shown its effectiveness in solving various problems of physical organic chemistry and has entered the research arsenal of a large number of scientific groups around the world. Thus, in the 21st century, more than 500 scientific materials have been published that use the concept of homodesmoticity to obtain various types of thermochemical information. It may provide few illustrative examples of recent publications using the homodesmotic method for calculating the enthalpy characteristics of organic molecules and radicals [8–16], quantitative description of aromaticity [17–19], determination of strain energies in cyclic structures and compounds of a complex structure [20–27]. As with additive approaches, the homodesmotic method...
is convenient for the algorithmization and application of computational technologies in thermochemical analysis [28–33].

As a rule, the thermochemical characteristics of organic molecules are calculated for $T = 298.15$ K. This makes it difficult to predict the reactivity for different temperatures. To solve this problem, several methods have been developed for determining the heat capacity of a substance ($C_P$ or $C_V$). Theoretical calculations of heat capacity can be carried out using the conceptual apparatus of statistical mechanics and molecular thermodynamics [34] or the semi-empirical schemes based on the principle of additivity (see the brief anniversary review of W.E. Acree and J.D. Chichos) [35]. Obviously, estimates of $C_P$ are also possible using the principle of similarity in a comparative calculation, in particular, using the method of homodesmotic reactions. However, to the best of our knowledge, this possibility has not yet been explored.

An arbitrarily chosen HDR is often used in homodesmotic calculations. It is obvious that more reliable results can be obtained by the multiple evaluation of the thermochemical quantity. In our recent works [8,30,31], we proposed the construction of a complete set of HDRs for the compound under study (CS HDRs) using graph theory. The main advantage of this approach is the estimation of studied quantity from several independent HDRs, which allows on this basis to control the reproducibility of the calculation, to eliminate doubtful results and, therefore, to increase the reliability of theoretical estimate. In the present work, the possibility of applying a homodesmotic method for calculating the gas-phase and liquid-phase heat capacities of organic compounds is tested, as well as the estimation of isobaric molar heat capacity using CS HDR formalism for the compounds of representative test set is performed.

2. Computational Details

A complete set of HDRs was constructed within the framework of the graph theory approach described in [30]. A studied compound is represented as a graph, where its vertices are internal or terminal thermochemical groups of atoms with only the first valence environment of the central atom. Thus, graph nodes correspond to single chemical bonds between groups. This graph can be associated with the group adjacency matrix, where units correspond to a chemical bond and zeros to its absence. A CS HDR for an arbitrary compound is constructed by zeroing out one or more elements of the adjacency matrix, which corresponds to breaking bonds between thermochemical groups. Combinatorial zeroing achieves $2^{(n-1)}$ adjacency matrix transformations and, accordingly, $2^{(n-1)}$ homodesmotic reactions in the complete set, $n$ is the number of internal thermochemical groups in a molecule. The number of HDRs in the complete set can be smaller if the molecule has a symmetrical structure. The decomposition of the adjacency matrix generates new matrices corresponding to HDR products. Coreagents can be easily chosen according to the type of thermochemical groups between which the bond is broken. This procedure is facilely algorithmized [31] and can be used for automatically constructing the complete sets of HDRs for the analyzed structures with excluding linearly dependent homodesmotic reactions.

The quantum—chemical calculations were performed using Gaussian-09 software, revision C1 [36]. Three levels of theory were used throughout this work: B3LYP/6-31G(d)–Becke 3-parameter, Lee–Yang–Parr exchange–correlation functional combined with economical Pople basis set of double valence-splitting augmented by the set of d-polarization functions [37–39], M06-2X/cc-pVTZ–Minnesota 06 global hybrid functional for main group thermochemistry in combination with Dunning triple-zeta correlation-consistent basis set [40,41] and composite G4 method [42]. The treatment of any molecular structure includes the full optimization of geometric parameters, calculation of frequencies and thermochemical quantities: absolute enthalpy, free Gibbs energy, entropy and heat capacity at 298 K. No solvent effect was considered.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Terminology

Various formal processes designed according to certain rules are widely used in thermochemical calculations. They are, for example, the Born–Haber cycle or thermochemical cycles based on Hess’s law. Pople et al. introduced into practice new formal reactions based on several balances (isodesmic reactions) in relation to the determination of enthalpies of formation of organic compounds using quantum chemical (QC) methods [3]. The five-level hierarchy of these processes was further outlined by Wheeler [6,7] ranging from so-called isogyric reactions (balance in lone electron pairs and unpaired electrons) to hyperhomodesmotic reactions (balance in the number of atoms of a certain hybridization and bonds between these atoms). Each higher level of formal processes includes lower levels as substructural elements. The key carrier of energy in this representation is chemical bond (“desmos” in Greek), which explains the terminology. With all the internal logic of the proposed classification, it can hardly be applied in this work, since it operates with hydrocarbon compounds only. Therefore, we adhere below to a different terminology, in which the main carrier of energy is a group of atoms. This approach is widely practiced in additive schemes, so it is enough to mention the well-known group-additive method of Benson [2] in application to gas-phase $\Delta H^\circ$, $S^\circ$, and the $C_P$ estimation or the method of Zabransky and Ruzicka for the calculation of the liquid-phase molar heat capacities of organic compounds [43,44].

The second class of reference reactions in the Wheeler’s hierarchy, isodesmic, can be constructed as bond separation reactions. By analogy, at a higher level of similarity, a group separation reaction can be created. In other words, a homodesmotic process can be characterized as an isodesmic one with group balance. However, the term ‘thermochemical group’ can be interpreted in different ways. The group as it is understood in Benson’s method is not always possible to use in homodesmotic reactions, particularly, in the case of unsaturated compounds. Therefore, any multiple bond, including a carbon–carbon double or triple bond, is considered as an inseparable element, i.e., “complex atom”. In our representation, the smallest possible group includes an ordinary or complex central atom with a valence of $\geq 2$ and ligands, i.e., atoms of the nearest (valence) environment. If all ligands except one are monovalent, then it corresponds to a terminal group, otherwise we have an internal group. We call this a homodesmotic reaction with a balance of smallest groups as HDR of the first level (HDR1). Accordingly, the homodesmotic reaction of level 2 (HDR2) deals with groups that include atoms of the first and second valence environments of the central atom, i.e., ligands of ligands. The further expansion of the group corresponds to HDR3 and so on. In the limit, the highest possible level of HDR for a compound containing $n$ thermochemical groups includes a co-reagent with $(n-2)$ groups and two products with $(n-1)$ thermochemical groups each. For large molecules, this approach is often referred to as macroincrementing. The composition of thermochemical groups in the classification used is illustrated in Figure 1 using an arbitrary organic compound as an example.

From a practical point of view, an atom bonded to monovalent atoms can be considered as “complex atom” since the group separation reaction makes sense for internal (non-terminal) groups only. With this addition, the group of first level shown on Figure 1 has the composition $[\text{CH}=(\text{CH}_2)(\text{CH})\text{(CH}_3)]$, while that of second level is $[\text{CH}=(\text{CH}_2\text{OH})(\text{CH}_3)(\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)\text{(O)})]$. Obviously, the proposed hierarchy of homodesmotic reactions can be applied to any class of organic compounds with at least two internal thermochemical groups of any composition. The higher the level of HDR, the more strictly the principle of maximum similarity of reagents and products is fulfilled, and the more grounds for obtaining a correct prediction of organic compound properties. We took this circumstance into account when analyzing the heat capacity data.
The presence of all balances in a homodesmotic reaction ensures the thermoneutrality
of the formal process, $\Delta r H^\circ = 0$. With this conclusion, the temperature is ignored, i.e., it
may be tentatively assumed that the thermoneutrality condition for HDR is satisfied at
any temperature, or, at least, in a certain temperature range. It can be concluded a priori
that the deviation of $\Delta r H^\circ$ from the “ideal” zero value is caused by the difference in the
environment of the atoms, whose equivalence is assumed during the choice of reference
reaction. Since a group balance takes place in HDRs, the first valence environment of all
atoms on the left side of HDR1 has an analogue on the right side. The differences start from
the second environment, i.e., from the environment of ligands. This effect should be small;
moreover, the non-equivalence of the second environment may be overcome in HDR2 or
higher levels. So, we can expect the condition $\Delta r H^\circ(T) = 0$ to be satisfied in a rather wide
temperature interval. Since $\Delta C_P = \partial (\Delta r H^\circ)/\partial T$, then the change in isobaric heat capacity
is zero, $\Delta C_P = 0$ for the thermoneutral or temperature-independent HDR. This makes it
possible to estimate $C_P$ for organic compound using known values of heat capacity of other
HDR participants. Reliable information on heat capacities is scarcer than that for enthalpies
of formation, so the use of complete sets of homodesmotic reactions for calculating $C_P$ is of
great importance, since it allows to control the reproducibility of the results and reasonably
filter out unreliable experimental data. Several simple but illustrative examples of the use of
the CS HDR methodology for determining the isobaric molar heat capacity of organic
compounds are considered below.

### 3.2. Isobaric Molar Heat Capacities at 298 K, the KIAS16 Test Set of Organic Compounds

The applicability of the homodesmotic method for evaluating $C_P$ of organic com-
ounds was checked using the test set of 53 aliphatic organic compounds, including alkanes,
alkenes, alkydes, alcohols, ethers, aldehydes, ketones and esters, as well as N-containing
compounds: amines, amides and nitriles [8]. Together with the reference compounds, the
KIAS16 test set deals with 80 CHNO-containing substances with the number of carbon
atoms from one to six. The high accuracy of the homodesmotic calculation of gas-phase
enthalpies of formation $\Delta_f H^\circ_{298}$ is demonstrated using from one to seven HDRs for each
compound of the KIAS16 test set [8]. It was found that the thermal effect of HDRs is
close to zero in most cases. This circumstance determined the choice of KIAS16 to test
the possibility of reliable calculation of $C_P$ by the homodesmotic method. The $C_P$ exper-
imental values for the gas and liquid phases were taken from the databases [45–47]. If
$C_P$ at 298 K for a tested compound was determined in several works, then the average
value was obtained by routine statistical treatment and removing doubtful measurements.
The used $C_P$ values are shown in Supplement Materials, Table S1, as well as the isobaric
molar heat capacities calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) and M06-2X/cc-pVTZ levels of
theory. A total of 138 homodesmotic reactions were constructed for 53 compounds of the
test set, including 114 HDRs of the first level and 24 HDRs of the second and third levels
(Table S2 in Supplementary Materials). It is important to note that the difference between
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**Figure 1.** Thermochemical groups with a central “complex atom” C=C used in homodesmotic reactions of first level ([C=C-(C)(H);(C)2], inner area) and second level ([C=C-(C-(H)2(O))(H);(C-(H)3)(C-(C)(H)(O))], dotted outer area).
the sums of stoichiometric coefficients for reactants and products is zero for all HDRs. On the basis of these formal reactions, the possibility of applying the homodesmotic approach to the determination of \( C_P \) from QC data, as well as using only empirical information for the gas and liquid phases, was studied. Since the ideal balance of a homodesmotic reaction in terms of heat capacity corresponds to \( \Delta C_P = 0 \), the accuracy of the \( C_P \) calculation for the KIAS16 test set was characterized by the mean absolute value of the HDRs heat capacities (MA \( \Delta C_P \)). It should be noted that MA \( \Delta C_P \) depends on the reliability of experimental data, which is not always obvious. This is why some \( C_P \) values from NIST databases were re-evaluated with the rationale outlined below.

### 3.3. DFT Estimation of Gas-Phase \( C_P \) at 298 K

The direct calculation of the heat capacity of organic compounds based on the concepts of statistical mechanics and implemented in most quantum chemical software packages can in some cases provide a result with a fairly high accuracy. However, thermochemical QC calculations are inherent in a number of well-known model limitations and problems: the RRHO (rigid rotor–harmonic oscillator) approximation, low-frequency vibration modes, possible equilibrium coexistence of several conformational states of a compound under study, non-valence effects, primarily hydrogen bonding, etc. This leads to systematic deviations of the calculated heat capacity from the experiment in a row of similar structures. These trends may be observed for the KIAS16 test set. Although the whole “calculation-experiment” dependence looks very reliable with a high correlation coefficient (Figure S1), it is easy to see that the systematic correction for different classes of organic compounds is not the same, and even for linear and non-linear alkanes it is different (Figure S2). Complicating the level of theory to improve the quality of QC calculations does not make sense in this case: unlike the energy quantities, variational principle is not directly used in calculating the heat capacity. Indeed, the change in the DFT approximation (\( \text{B3LYP/6-31G(d)} \rightarrow \text{M06-2X/cc-pVTZ} \)) does not improve the quality of \( C_P \) calculations (Figure S1). It allows us to recommend the direct \( C_P \) calculation with great caution and the need for mandatory independent control of the theoretical estimates.

On the other hand, the homodesmotic method, based on the principle of maximum similarity between the studied compound and reference structures, should obviously be suitable for the effective compensation of systematic errors in \( C_P \) when calculating the change in the heat capacities of the HDR participants. To test this assumption, \( \Delta C_P \) of all HDRs used in this work were calculated (Table S2), and it was found that in the overwhelming majority of cases, this value is close to zero or, at least, the estimated error of QC calculation (\( \Delta \Delta C_P \)), usually exceeds the value of \( \Delta C_P \). The result of statistical treatment of the \( \Delta C_P \) array for the KIAS16 test set is shown in Table 1.

#### Table 1. DFT-calculated mean absolute heat capacity (MA \( \Delta C_P \), J/mol·K) for the HDRs of the KIAS16 test set, 298 K.

| Group of Compounds \(^1\) | Number of HDRs | B3LYP/6-31G(d) | M06-2X/cc-pVTZ |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Hydrocarbons (16)        | 44            | 0.53          | 1.64          |
| Alcohols and ethers (20) | 60            | 1.04          | 2.00          |
| Carbonyl compounds (7)   | 14            | 0.53          | 0.91          |
| N-containing (10)        | 20            | 0.44          | 1.27          |
| KIAS16 test set (53)     | 138           | 0.74          | 1.67          |
| including HDR2 and 3     | 24            | 0.25          | 0.78          |

\(^1\) In parentheses, the total number of compounds in the set.

The \( \Delta C_P \) distribution has a nearly Gaussian form (Figure S3) with a maximum shifted towards negative values by approximately 0.5 (B3LYP) and 0.8 J/mol·K (M06-2X). The use of HDR2 and HDR3 noticeably improves the heat capacity balance of the homodesmotic reaction. Thus, for 24 HDRs of higher levels, the mean absolute value of \( \Delta C_P \) calculated in the B3LYP/6-31G(d) approximation is only 0.25 J/mol·K. Moreover, the dropout of three points with \( \Delta C_P \sim 1 \) J/mol·K decreases MA \( \Delta C_P \) to 0.14 J/mol·K, which in terms of the
energy scale $\Delta C_p \cdot T$ corresponds to a vanishingly small 0.04 kJ/mol at 298 K, i.e., HDR2 and HDR3 provide an ideal balance in terms of heat capacity.

Such an impressive result unambiguously indicates the fundamental possibility of applying the homodesmotic method for the reliable estimations of $C_p$ of organic compounds. Unfortunately, this conclusion cannot be attributed to the estimation of the absolute value of $C_p$ based on QC calculations only, since the systematic error that disappears when calculating the $\Delta C_p$ of HDR is preserved when calculating the $C_p$ of the studied compound from the heat capacities of the reference structures and the condition $C_p = 0$. For example, $\Delta C_p$ is small (0.54 J/mol·K) for the HDR No.1 (Table S2), which indicates a good balance in terms of heat capacity. However, the calculation of the absolute value $C_p$ for $n$-butane using the $C_p$ of the reference compounds (ethane and propane) is characterized by a large deviation (9.2 J/mol·K) from the experimental value.

3.4. Estimation of Gas-Phase $C_p$ (298 K) from Experimental Data by the Homodesmotic Method

The performance of heat capacity balance in the KIAS16 homodesmotic reactions, noted above for DFT-calculated $C_p$, is also observed when using gas-phase experimental isobaric molar heat capacities. The available information array was treated as follows.

First, it turned out during the analysis that information on all participants of the formal processes is available only for 44 HDRs, for which $\Delta C_p$ were calculated. It was found that, with two exceptions, the values of $\Delta C_p$ are small. The significant deviation of $\Delta C_p$ from zero was observed for HDRs, in which methyl ethyl and diethyl ethers are involved as reagents or products. The initially used values of $C_p$ 93.3 (EtOMe) and 119.4 (EtOEt) J/mol·K were calculated using statistical mechanics theory and the necessary set of structural and spectral parameters determined experimentally [48]. Heat capacities for other compounds taken from this compilation [48] along with data of other authors (Table S1) form an internally consistent array, which made it possible to question the reliability of $C_p$ for EtOMe and EtOEt. Note also that the additive scheme of $C_p$ estimation applying to these ethers achieves noticeably different values: 89.7 (EtOMe) and 112.5 (EtOEt) J/mol·K [49]. In this work, we used our estimate, which allows us to restore the self-consistency of the $C_p$ array with both ethers in it: 88 (EtOMe) and 111 (EtOEt) J/mol·K at 298 K. Under this condition, the mean absolute value of $\Delta C_p$ is 1.86 J/mol·K for 44 HDRs, which indicates the reliability of the homodesmotic method for choosing a formal process with a balance in terms of heat capacity between products and reagents.

Second, the performance of the $\Delta C_p$ balance makes it possible to estimate unknown heat capacity if HDR includes one compound with a missing $C_p$ value. If, at the same time, a multiple estimation of $C_p$ for the studied compound is available using CS HDRs, then it becomes possible to control the quality of calculation results. The analysis of the data array indicates good reproducibility of the results, as illustrated by $C_p$ calculation for pentanone-3 (Table 2). The isobaric molar heat capacities for another 19 organic compounds were calculated in a similar way (Table 3). For a number of structures, including all N-containing compounds, $C_p$ estimates are impossible due to the partial or complete lack of information on the heat capacities of the reference compounds.

Table 2. CS HDR estimation of the gas-phase isobaric molar heat capacity of pentanone-3, J/mol·K, 298 K $^1$.

| Level of HDR | Homodesmotic Reaction                      | $C_p$ |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------|-------|
| 1            | EtC(O)Et + MeCHO $\rightarrow$ EtC(O)Me + EtCHO | 127.1 |
| 1            | EtC(O)Et + 2 MeCHO $\rightarrow$ MeC(O)Me + 2 EtCHO | 125.8 |
| 2            | EtC(O)Et + EtCHO $\rightarrow$ EtC(O)Me + PrCHO | 124.3 |
| 2            | EtC(O)Et + 2 MeC(O)Me $\rightarrow$ 2 EtC(O)Me | 128.3 |

Average: $C_p$ (298 K) of pentanone-3 is $126.4 \pm 1.7$

$^1$ Assuming $\Delta C_p = 0$. Heat capacities of reference compounds are shown in Table S1.
Table 3. Gas-phase isobaric molar heat capacities $C_P$, J/mol·K, 298 K estimated by the homodesmotic method $^1$.

| No. | Compound                  | $C_P$  | Comment          |
|-----|---------------------------|--------|------------------|
| 1   | EtCHO                     | 85.5   | Equation 38      |
| 2   | MeOCH$_2$CH$_2$OH         | 100.1 ± 0.7 | Equations 20.1–20.4 |
| 3   | Me$_2$CHCH$_2$OH          | 108.6  | Equation 22      |
| 4   | PrOMe                     | 108.1 ± 0.9 | Equations 24.1–24.4 |
| 5   | Me$_2$CHOMe               | 112.1  | Equation 26      |
| 6   | HO(CH$_2$)$_2$OH          | 120.6 ± 2.0 | Equations 27.1–27.5 |
| 7   | MeOCH$_2$CH$_2$OMe        | 121.9 ± 1.1 | Equations 28.1–28.5 |
| 8   | MeC(O)Pr                  | 123.7 ± 1.9 | Equations 41.1–41.5 |
| 9   | EtC(O)Et                  | 126.4 ± 1.7 | Equations 42.1–42.4 |
| 10  | Me(CH$_2$)$_2$OH          | 130.3 ± 2.1 | Equations 29.1–29.6 |
| 11  | Me$_2$CHCH$_2$CH$_2$OH    | 132.3 ± 1.7 | Equations 30.1–30.3 |
| 12  | EtMeCHCH$_2$OH            | 132.6 ± 1.6 | Equations 31.1–31.3 |
| 13  | PrMeCHOH                  | 135.0 ± 1.0 | Equations 32.1–32.3 |
| 14  | Me$_2$CHCH(Me)OH          | 133.2  | Equation 33      |
| 15  | BuOMe                     | 130.4 ± 2.2 | Equations 34.1–34.7 |
| 16  | PrOEt                     | 129.7 ± 1.3 | Equations 35.1–35.6 |
| 17  | Me$_2$COMe                | 136.4  | Equation 36      |
| 18  | EtC≡CEt                   | 120.6 ± 0.4 | Equations 10.1–10.4 |
| 19  | BuCH=CH$_2$               | 130.5 ± 2.0 | Equations 11.1–11.5 |
| 20  | Et$_2$C=CH$_2$            | 131.6 ± 0.9 | Equations 12.1–12.4 |

$^1$ See Table S2 for HDRs.

Using this combined (experiment plus HDR estimation) description, it was possible to characterize the gas-phase heat capacities of 42 compounds from the KIAS16 test set. Then, the corresponding $\Delta C_P$ of HDRs were calculated. The $C_P$ values for five compounds (Table 3) were estimated from a single HDR, so these compounds were excluded from the array when calculating MA $\Delta C_P$. For the remaining 37 compounds described by 112 homodesmotic reactions, the value of MA $\Delta C_P$ was found to be 1.44 J/mol·K (Table 4), which corresponds to an error of ~0.4 kJ/mol at 298 K. This result allows us to recommend the homodesmotic method for the reliable estimation of the gas-phase isobaric heat capacities of organic compounds during the thermochemical analysis of chemical processes. We also note that a more stringent similarity control within the HDRs of levels 2 and 3 somewhat improves the balance of the formal process in terms of heat capacity: MA $\Delta C_P$ = 1.36 J/mol·K (Table 4).

Table 4. Mean absolute value of HDR heat capacities (MA $\Delta C_P$, J/mol·K) determined on the base of experimental data, 298 K $^{1,2,3}$.

| Group of Compounds       | Gas           | MA $\Delta C_P$ | Liquid          | MA $\Delta C_P$ |
|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                          | Number of HDRs|                 | Number of HDRs  |                 |
| Hydrocarbons             | 44 (16)       | 1.66            | 26 (9)          | 3.18            |
| Alcohols and ethers      | 56 (16)       | 1.23            | 47 (11)         | 2.17            |
| Carbonyl compounds       | 12 (5)        | 1.59            | 13 (6)          | 3.80            |
| N-containing             | –             | –               | 16 (6)          | 3.37            |
| KIAS16 test set          | 112 (37)      | 1.44            | 102 (32)        | 2.83            |
| including HDR2 and HDR3  | 20            | 1.36            | 18              | 2.68            |

$^1$ Excluding $C_P$ estimated with a single HDR, since $\Delta C_P$ is equal to zero on definition in this case; $^2$ If HDR has two or more missing $C_P$, it was excluded from the analysis; $^3$ In parentheses, the total number of compounds in the set.
3.5. Estimation of Liquid-Phase $C_P$ (298 K) by the Homodesmotic Method

For practical purposes, information on the heat capacities of organic compounds in the liquid state is often needed. Obviously, intermolecular interactions in a condensed medium can disrupt the energy balance of a homodesmotic reaction. Nevertheless, we should expect in similar structures that interactions between molecules will be close in energy; therefore, the homodesmotic method was applied for calculating liquid-phase $C_P$ for the KIAS16 compounds. The available experimental array of heat capacities is incomplete; therefore, a full-fledged data analysis is possible only within a priori estimation of $C_P$ for a number of important reference structures.

First of all, it should refer to compounds that have a boiling point below 298 K. The isobaric molar heat capacities of propane and n-butane were estimated from the linear dependence of $C_P(C_nH_{2n+2})$ vs. the number of carbon atoms in liquid $n$-alkanes (Figure S4). From the equation $C_P(n) = (19.8 ± 1.1) + (29.36 ± 0.14)n$, $n = 5–10$, $R^2 = 0.9999$, it was obtained $C_P(C_3H_8) = 107.9$ and $C_P(n-C_4H_{10}) = 137.2$ J/mol·K. The heat capacity of ethane calculated using HDRs 17 and 18 was found to be 75.5 J/mol·K. Further, the $C_P$ value of isobutane was estimated from four HDRs (7, 14.2, 14.3 and 15.2), obtaining an average of 133.5 ± 1.5 J/mol·K. It is important to emphasize that we considered the calculated $C_P$ values of $C_2$–$C_4$ alkanes as empirical parameters necessary for use in the homodesmotic scheme for calculating the heat capacities of organic compounds. Indeed, the isobaric heat capacities of lower alkanes in the liquefied state at 298 K [50,51] are systematically higher than the above values. With these $C_P$, the array of hydrocarbons (excepting alkynes) characterized by MA $\Delta C_P$ equals to 3.18 J/mol·K for 26 HDRs.

In the case of carbonyl compounds, an important reference structure is acetaldehyde, $T_{boil} = 294$ K. The $C_P$ value of 89.05 J/mol·K taken from [47] is apparently inaccurate, since it leads to significant deviations of $\Delta C_P$ from zero for HDRs with the participation of aldehyde. We used $\Delta C_P($MeCHO$) = 102$ J/mol·K, which restores the reproducibility of results for the CS HDRs 41 and 42. In addition, the chosen value agrees reasonably with the $C_P$ value of 96.2 J/mol·K for 273 K [52].

In the group of alcohols and ethers, the key reference structures are dimethyl ether ($T_{boil} = 248$ K) and methyl ethyl ether ($T_{boil} = 283$ K). The liquid-phase heat capacities of these ethers at 298 K were calculated using HDRs 19 and 28.3:

\[
(19) \quad \text{EtOMe} + \text{MeOH} \rightarrow \text{MeOMe} + \text{EtOH},
\]

\[
(28.3) \quad \text{MeOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OMe} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_6 + \text{MeOH} \rightarrow \text{MeOMe} + \text{EtOH} + \text{EtOMe}
\]

Using the known heat capacities of reference compounds, one can find the difference of $C_P$ for methyl ethyl and dimethyl ethers from the first equation, 31.1 J/mol·K, and their sum from the second one, 236.6 J/mol·K, where $C_P($MeOMe$) = 102.75$ J/mol·K and $C_P($EtOMe$) = 133.85$ J/mol·K. In addition, the isobaric molar heat capacity of diethyl ether [47] seems to be overestimated. This conclusion follows from a comparison with $C_P$ for isomeric methyl propyl ether (Table S1) and the scale of change in heat capacity in the homologous series of ethers with an increase in the length of the main chain by a CH$_2$ group. The corrected value $C_P($EtOEt$) = 165.35$ J/mol·K was found as the sum of $C_P($EtOMe$)$ and the contribution of CH$_2$ group to the heat capacity of ethers, calculated as the difference between the heat capacities of PrOEt and PrOMe, as well as EtOMe and MeOMe. Formally, this corresponds to the calculation of $C_P$ using HDRs of level 3 and 2, respectively:

\[\text{EtOEt} + \text{PrOMe} \rightarrow \text{EtOMe} + \text{PrOEt},\]
\[\text{EtOEt} + \text{MeOMe} \rightarrow 2 \text{EtOMe}\]

Further, the analysis of the HDR array was carried out similarly to that described above for gas-phase heat capacities. In addition to those mentioned above, thirteen more $C_P$ were estimated by the homodesmotic method (Table 5), and the reaction heat capacities of 102 HDRs were calculated for 32 compounds of the test set. The value MA
∆C_P = 2.83 J/mol·K (Table 4) is approximately two times higher than that for gas-phase HDRs. Nevertheless, the energy imbalance of heat capacities ∆C_P·T remains less than 1 kJ/mol, which indicates the fundamental applicability of the homodesmotic method to liquid-phase systems. It is less obvious for alcohols with branched carbon chain due to the rather high values of ∆C_P (Table S4).

### Table 5. Liquid-phase isobaric molar heat capacities C_P, J/mol·K, 298 K estimated by the homodesmotic method

| No. | Compound          | C_P   | Comment                        |
|-----|-------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| 1   | MeCHO             | 102   | Equations 40; 41.1,3; 42.1,2   |
| 2   | MeC(O)NH_2        | 94.0  | Equation 45                    |
| 3   | MeOMe             | 102.8 | Equations 19; 28.3             |
| 4   | C_2H_6            | 75.5  | Equations 17; 18               |
| 5   | EtNH_2            | 129.4 ± 6.2 | Equations 44; 47.2,3,5       |
| 6   | EtC(O)NH_2        | 126.7 | Equation 51                    |
| 7   | EtOMe             | 133.9 | Equations 19; 28.3             |
| 8   | C_3H_8            | 107.9 | Liquid alkanes regression      |
| 9   | Et_2CHCHO         | 156.1 | Equation 43                    |
| 10  | Me_2C=CH_2        | 130.2 | Equation 5                     |
| 11  | PrC(O)NH_2        | 158.1 ± 1.7 | Equations 50.1-50.3    |
| 12  | EtOEt             | 165.4 | See text                       |
| 13  | n-C_4H_10         | 137.2 | Liquid alkanes regression      |
| 14  | Me_3CH            | 133.5 ± 1.5 | Equations 7; 14.1,2; 15.2   |
| 15  | EtMeCHNH_2        | 193.7 | Equation 49                    |
| 16  | BuC≡N             | 166.1 ± 2.9 | Equations 52.1-52.5      |
| 17  | EtMeCHC≡N         | 185.5 | Equation 53                    |
| 18  | EtMeCHCH_2OH      | 203.9 ± 6.0 | Equations 31.1-31.3  |
| 19  | PrMeCHOH          | 225.0 ± 4.0 | Equations 32.1-32.3  |
| 20  | Me_2C             | 159.0 | Equation 16                    |
| 21  | Et_2C=CH_2        | 183.9 ± 0.6 | Equations 12.1-12.4 |

1 See Table S2 for HDRs.

#### 3.6. Gas-Phase Heat Capacities of n-Alkanes at 200–1500 K

The heat capacities of linear alkanes C_2–C_10 were taken from the database [46], while the recommended C_P values in the range of 200–1500 K were used. The C_P arrays for all n-alkanes were described within the framework of the Shomate equation:

\[
C_P = a_0 + a_1 \tau + a_2 \tau^2 + a_3 \tau^3 + a_{-2} \tau^{-2},
\]

where \( \tau = T/298.15 \) is dimensionless temperature; \( a_i \) the coefficients of the Shomate equation (Table S3), have the same dimension of heat capacity. Equation (1) describes the C_P arrays with high accuracy (MA deviation of 0.43 J/mol·K). Table S3 also lists the standard enthalpy of formation \( \Delta_f H^\circ \) [45], the absolute enthalpy \( H^\circ \), and the isobaric molar heat capacity C_P at 298.15 K of n-alkanes calculated in the B3LYP/GTBas3 approximation using the Gaussian-09 program [36]. This level of theory is used in the G4 composite method to optimize the structure and calculate the vibrational frequencies of molecules.

Further, complete sets of first level HDRs for C_4–C_10 n-alkanes were constructed in accordance with the procedure described in [8,30,31]. The reaction coefficients of Shomate equation for each HDR were calculated according to its stoichiometry (Table S4). It is important to note that the homodesmotic condition \( \Delta n_i = 0 \) must also be met for the entire set of Shomate equation coefficients. Although the tendency towards zeroing of the coefficients is quite pronounced, a growing deviation of \( \Delta n_i \) from zero is observed with an increase in the length of the alkane carbon chain. Obviously, this is partly due to the error in determining \( C_P \). It may suggest also that some deterioration in the fulfillment of the thermoneutrality condition takes place for HDR1 of higher alkanes. This effect is almost indistinguishable when calculating \( \Delta r H^\circ \) of HDRs using experimental enthalpies of
formation of $n$-alkanes, since it is small and comparable to the error of $\Delta f H^o$ determination. The heat effect of HDRs calculated in the B3LYP/GTBas3 approximation weakly but systematically varies: 0.17–0.51 for hexane, 0.26–1.27 for octane and 0.15–1.89 for decane, all values are shown in kJ/mol. We believe that the reason for the observed effect is the difference in the far environment of the atoms in the HDRs used. Indeed, the only co-reagent in HDR1 is ethane (Table S4), having two thermochemical groups of the form [C-(CH$_3$)(H)]. There is no such group in the right side of HDRs. The terminal carbon atoms in the products have an environment of [C-(CH$_2$C)(H)]. In addition, the propane molecule has an unique environment of the secondary carbon atom [C-(CH$_3$)$_2$(H)$_2$], while in $n$-alkanes, starting from butane, this atom has a slightly different second environment [C-(CH$_3$)(CH$_2$C)(H)$_2$]. We assumed that the effect of small molecules noted in [23] was responsible for the observed drift of $\Delta r H^o$. To test this assumption, the HDR1 thermal effect was considered as a function of the number of small molecules involved in this formal process:

$$\Delta r H^o = \Delta r H^o_0 - b_2 n_2 + b_3 n_3 + b_4 n_4,$$

(2)

where $\Delta r H^o_0$ is the HDR enthalpy, free from the effect of small molecules; $n_2$, $n_3$ and $n_4$ are the number of ethane, propane and $n$-butane molecules participating in the HDR, respectively; $b_2$, $b_3$ and $b_4$ are the enthalpy corrections characterizing the effect of small molecules.

The entire array of HDRs for $n$-alkanes $C_4$–$C_{10}$ is excellently described within the framework of Equation (2). It was found (correlation coefficient $R = 0.98$):

$$\Delta r H^o_0 = -0.02 \pm 0.03, b_2 = 0.70 \pm 0.03,$$

$$b_3 = 0.39 \pm 0.02, b_4 = 0.04 \pm 0.02,$$

where the standard error of approximation is 0.10; all values are shown in kJ/mol. It should be noted that dependence (2) is also preserved for thermal effects calculated by the G4 composite method.

The results of the regression analysis allow us to draw the following conclusions:

- All HDRs are thermoneutral, taking into account the effect of small molecules;
- An increase in the size of a small molecule, as expected, diminishes the enthalpy correction to almost zero for $n$-butane;
- “Ideal” HDRs for $n$-alkanes should be compiled with reference compounds not less than $n$-butane.

Note that the last conclusion corresponds to the use of homodesmotic reactions of the third level.

One may see that to fulfill the condition $\Delta a_i = 0$, it is necessary and sufficient to have linear dependences of the coefficients of Shomate equation in the series of $n$-alkanes. Indeed, these dependences are really observed with high correlation coefficients; see Figure 2a. It allows us to obtain corrected optimal values of $a_i$ fitted the homodesmotic conditions (see Table 6 for $C_4$–$C_{10}$ alkanes). The complete sets of HDR3 for $n$-alkanes from hexane to decane are shown in Table S6. Thus, an internally consistent set of $C_4$–$C_{10}$ alkane isobaric heat capacities was obtained, which is in good agreement with the recommended dataset [46], as shown in Figure 2b. The homodesmotic method provides the mean absolute deviation from the recommended $C_p$ values of 0.93 J/mol·K for the entire temperature range (200–1500 K) with maximum error of 3.5 J/mol·K, which reaches 5 kJ/mol in energy units ($C_p$·$T$) at high temperatures.

If the level 3 of HDRs cannot be applied to a test compound, then HDR1 and HDR2 are viable options. The comparison of the results obtained with HDR3 vs. HDR1 or 2 (Tables 6, S5 and S6; Figure S5) indicates that the HDR3 method works better at high temperatures, whereas the homodesmotic reactions of all levels provide similar results up to ~700–800 K.
Table 6. Isobaric molar heat capacities of n-alkanes (J/mol·K).

| Compound | $a_0$ | $a_1$ | $a_2$ | $a_3$ | $a_{-2}$ | $C_P$ (298K)$^1$ | $C_P$ (calc)$^2$ |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Ethane   | −8.48 | 64.96 | −9.63 | 0.56  | 5.10    | 52.49           | 52.5            |
| Propane  | −16.75| 100.42| −16.54| 1.07  | 5.59    | 73.60           | 73.8            |
| Butane$^3$ | −26.19| 136.84| −23.75| 1.61  | 9.10    | 98.49           | 97.6            |
| Pentane$^3$ | −35.92| 173.84| −31.30| 2.19  | 11.50   | 120.0 ± 0.1     | 120.3           |
| Hexane$^3$ | −45.66| 210.85| −38.86| 2.76  | 13.90   | 142.6 ± 0.2     | 143.0           |
| Heptane$^3$ | −55.40| 247.86| −46.42| 3.33  | 16.31   | 165.2 ± 0.3     | 165.7           |
| Octane$^3$ | −65.13| 284.87| −53.98| 3.91  | 18.71   | 187.8 ± 0.4     | 188.4           |
| Nonane$^3$ | −74.87| 321.88| −61.53| 4.48  | 21.12   | 210.4 ± 0.5     | 211.1           |
| Decane$^3$ | −84.61| 358.89| −69.09| 5.06  | 23.25   | 233.1 ± 0.6     | 233.8           |

$^1$ See Table S2 for HDRs. $^2$ As sum of $a_i$; $^3$ The Shomate equation coefficients were corrected in accord with linear dependence for $C_P$ for $C_{10}$ (Figure 2).

4. Conclusions

The homodesmotic principle of formal process design based on the maximum similarity of reactants and products ensures the fulfillment of a number of balance conditions, which, in turn, cause thermoneutrality of the formal process or, at least, a weak temperature dependence of reaction enthalpy $\Delta_r H^\circ$. A thermodynamic consequence of the independence of HDR enthalpy from temperature is zero or near-zero heat capacity of the reaction. The group balance of HDR, i.e., the similarity of the nearest and more distant environments of atoms (in the case of HDR2 and higher levels), as well as the isostoichiometric nature of HDR ($\Delta \nu = 0$) cause the same character of the internal motion in molecules-reactants and products of HDR, which reflects in the near-equal sums of partition functions and, therefore, leads to the reaction heat capacity close to zero. The results of QC calculations quantitatively confirm this conclusion (Table 1). Since it is possible for a correctly chosen HDR to assign with a high degree of confidence the reaction heat capacity $\Delta C_P$ as zero, this opens up the possibility of theoretical prediction of the absolute value of isobaric molar heat capacity of a compound from known $C_P$ values for all other reaction participants. Here, it is necessary to answer the question: how to choose the right type of formal process? The results of this study show that, from a practical point of view, even the first level of HDRs provides an acceptable level of reliability of $C_P$ estimation in most cases. Moreover,
the use of complete sets of HDRs for the compound under study makes it possible to control the reproducibility of the $C_P$ calculation and, if necessary, to justify the rejection of unreliable heat capacities of the reference compounds. On the other hand, the HDR1 results can be verified using higher levels of HDRs, if the size of studied compound and the availability of $C_P$ for reference structures allow this verification. Since there is a tempting prospect of using quantum chemical calculations of $C_P$ in the absence of heat capacity for a reference compound, it is noteworthy that the QC-determined heat capacities should be applied with caution due to possible significant systematic error from the model limitations used in the calculation (RRHO approximations, single-conformer description, non-valence effects, etc.).

The most reliable estimates of $C_P$ are possible for gas-phase heat capacities. The data analysis of $C_P$ at 298 K for compounds of the KIAS16 test set and for $n$-alkanes in a wide temperature range showed that the mean error of $C_P$ estimation does not exceed 1.5 J/mol·K. The isobaric molar heat capacities of 20 organic compounds were estimated using the homodesmotic method (Table 3). The coefficients of the Shomate equation were obtained for $n$-alkanes, which ideally satisfy the homodesmotic condition and perfectly describe the heat capacities in the range of 200–1500 K. Note that the accuracy of describing the array of heat capacities slightly but systematically increases with the use of HDR of a higher level: MAD = 1.29 (HDR1), 1.21 (HDR2) and 0.93 (HDR3) J/mol·K. Homodesmotic reactions of the first and second levels are not inferior to HDR3 up to temperatures ~700–800 K.

The expected result was obtained from the analysis of the liquid-phase heat capacities for the KIAS16 compounds: the HDR balance in terms of heat capacity was well performed, but the specific intermolecular interactions in condensed medium worsened the $C_P$ balance by about two times. In addition, “bad” compounds were identified, for which HDR $\Delta C_P$ is unacceptably high (branched alcohols). It should also be noted that the HDR design methodology uses a number of reference compounds that have a gas-liquid phase transition temperature below 298 K. For such compounds, empirical values of liquid-phase heat capacities were selected, the physical meaning of which is poorly defined. However, this shortcoming can be overcome, for example, by using higher levels of HDRs. For a number of compounds, the liquid phase $C_P$ was evaluated (Table 5).

The reliability of homodesmotic estimates of $C_P$ can also be illustrated as follows. Acree and Chickos have recently showed the existence of fair correlation between heat capacities of organic compounds in different phases [53]. The KIAS16 test set, together with reference compounds, includes 80 structures, for 53 of them the isobaric molar heat capacities are known or determined in this work both in the gas and liquid phases. Indeed, these $C_P$ values are linearly related (Figure S6):

$$C_P^{\text{gas}} = (0.72 \pm 0.04)C_P^{\text{liquid}} - (11.2 \pm 6.2) \text{ J/mol·K}$$

with a correlation coefficient $R = 0.94$. Within the error limits, the regression parameters coincide with the coefficients of Equation (3) shown in [53], $-0.74$ and $10.58$, respectively.

In general, the results of this study allow us to draw the confident conclusion that the homodesmotic method is quite applicable for the a priori estimation of the isobaric molar heat capacities of organic compounds of various classes; and the author of the work expresses the hope that the proposed approach will take its place in the arsenal of modern theoretical thermochemistry for solving scientific and practical problems of organic chemistry.
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