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Abstract

Graphene-based nanocomposites have been extensively employed to design biomimetic platforms epitomizing the structural and functional complexity of the tissue with increased robustness and physiological relevance. The adhesive and mechanical cues provided by such nanocomposite microenvironment kindles the cell fate decisions. Owing to their differentiation and regenerative potential, Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells (hMSCs) have proven to be a promising candidate for treating several neurodegenerative disorders. However, their degree of differentiation and its reproducibility is often jeopardized by multiple levels of heterogeneity, thereby compromising their translational utilization. Baffled at this crossroad, we designed a one-step approach to electrospin Poly-caprolactone (PCL) nanocomposite, with varying graphene concentrations, to capture, for the first time, the realms of their biocompatible and anisotropic characteristics, providing biomimetic platforms for improved differentiation of human bone marrow-derived MSCs (hMSCs) into neurons. Interestingly, PCL having 0.05% graphene (PCL-G0.05) showcased an ideal nano-topography with an unprecedented combination of guidance stimuli and substrates cues, aiding in enhanced differentiation of hMSCs into dopaminergic neurons (DA). These newly differentiated DA neurons were characterized at gene, protein, and functional levels and were seen to exhibit unique neuronal arborization, enhanced intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ influx, and dopamine secretion, thereby opening new horizons for pre-clinical and clinical applications.

Introduction

The increasing burden of neurodegenerative diseases concomitantly escalates the morbidity, thus affecting the patient's quality of life. Since the adult brain and spinal cord have a limited regenerative capacity, most neurodegenerative diseases like Parkinson's result in the loss of functional cell population, such as dopaminergic (DA) neurons [1, 2]. The replenishment of these degenerated DA neurons via stem cell therapy has been proven to be a potential therapeutic approach to treat Parkinson's disease[3].

From already reported different types of stem cells like embryonic stem cells (ESCs), neural precursor cells (NPCs), or induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs), Mesenchymal Stem Cells (MSCs) have proven to be the best candidate4. A plethora of studies has also shown that MSCs are immunologically naïve, can be used for transplantation in an allogenic setting, and can trans-differentiate into cells of ectodermal and endodermal lineages [5, 6, 7]. However, poor homing, low engraftment and differentiation efficiency, are the various facets of malady, which fades their regenerative ability. This can be overcome by modulating, enhancing, and directing their differentiation either by using various chemical cues like drugs, growth factors, or by switching to economical and more exogenous repair technology of employing biomaterials. An array of scaffolds have been fabricated using synthetic polymers such as Polylactic acid (PLA), poly(glycolic acid) (PGA), Polyethylene terephthalate (PET) and natural polymers like silk, chitosan, and collagen that have been studied as a carrier for cells keeping in mind to imitate the extracellular matrix [8]. Among them, polycaprolactone (PCL) has shown great potential because of its biocompatibility, slow degradation, mechanical strength, and its versatility to take any shape or form. Reports have shown that PCL can be very easily electrospun into nanofibers having interconnected...
porous structure that can support cell attachment along with neurite outgrowth [9]. However, its hydrophobic surface and poor electro-conductivity limits its use as an efficient cell carrier [10]. Being electro-active cells, neurons yearn for a platform that can respond to the electrical stimuli mimicking an ideal environment providing topographical, electrical, and chemical cues for their better adhesion, morphology, proliferation, and differentiation [11]. Since it is the surface conductivity of the scaffolds that majorly influence such cellular responses [12, 13], combining PCL with a material having superior electrical conductivity can tune their properties to regulate better neuronal differentiation, thereby amplifying their excitability. Owing to the unique combination of biocompatibility and highest charge-carrier motility of any known material [14], graphene (G), emerged as the best-chosen filler, for neuronal tissue engineering [15]. It is a two-dimensional allotrope of carbon with atoms arranged in a honeycomb-like framework. Its mechanical strength, high surface area, and electro-conductive properties, significantly enhance the differentiation of stem cells to neuronal, cardiac, and osteogenic lineages in comparison to cells cultured on scaffolds without graphene [15–18]. Apart from providing conductive properties, graphene is also known to adsorb proteins and other biomolecules from the cell culture medium and make them available to growing cells [14, 19]. Additionally, it contains a lot of wrinkles and ripples on their surface that makes it convenient for the cells to attach [20]. Graphene in its native form is highly hydrophobic and hence many studies have used its reduced form (graphene oxide (GO) [21–24] or other carbon nanomaterials like carbon nanotubes (CNTs). However, GO has a compromised electronic conductance as compared to naïve graphene [14], whereas, CNTs are proven to induce toxicity to the cells [25].

Recently, there were reports quoting the efficiency of PCL and graphene combination for nerve tissue engineering, however, they either focussed on using neural stem cells (NSCs) [26], or have only investigated the neuronal or associated population growth overlooking the functional characterization of the differentiated neurons [9].

Keeping these points in mind and in the quest to unlock the potential role of PCL and graphene in the differentiation of human Bone marrow-derived MSCs (hMSCs) into functional DA neurons, we established a one-step, facile fabrication protocol for the synthesis of electrospun PCL-Graphene (PCL-G) nanocomposites. We also performed various characterization studies of the prepared membranes. The as-synthesized nanocomposite scaffolds constituted a nano-to-micro framework, had excellent biocompatibility, large surface-to-volume ratio, suitable topography, and optimum mechanical strength that offered a robust platform for reproducible and efficient differentiation of hMSCs. Interestingly, hMSCs differentiated on PCL-G nanocomposites had superior functionality over cells cultured on PCL only and assessed by Ca$^{2+}$ and dopamine secretion estimation, thereby, making them more appropriate for clinical applications.

**Materials And Methods**

**Fabrication of nanofibrous scaffolds**
PCL (Mn:70,000–90,000 g/mol, Sigma), single layer nano-platelet graphene (Cheap tube Inc.USA), and methylthiazolyldiphenyl-tetrazolium bromide (MTS) were purchased from Promega (Madison, WI, USA). Acetone and glutaraldehyde solution (25 wt% in H2O) were supplied from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). All the chemicals were of analytical grade and used without additional treatment. PCL-G nanofiber composites were prepared by the electrospinning method using graphene dispersed PCL solution made in acetone. Nanofibrous composites with four different compositions were prepared, as shown in Table 1. Briefly, graphene powder was sonicated for 90 min in acetone to obtain stable graphene dispersions with different concentrations of 0.1, 0.5, and 1.0 mg/ml. A weighed amount of PCL pellets was dissolved in graphene dispersions under vigorous stirring to obtain 15% (w/w) solution. The PCL solutions with or without graphene were placed into a syringe with a 22-gauge needle and electrospun onto an aluminium surface, which was positioned vertically, at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/h. A 17-kV voltage was applied with a high voltage power supply, and a 15cm working distance was utilized for electrospinning. The resultant non-woven nanofibrous mats were air-dried in a fume hood for 24 h and then stored in a desiccator. The samples of desired dimensions were cut for various experiments.

### Table 1. Composition of PCL and PCL-G nanocomposites

| S.No. | Coding Name | PCL (%w/w) | Graphene (G) concentrations (mg/ml) | G filler (%w/v of PCL solution) |
|-------|-------------|------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1     | PCL         | 15         | 0.0                                | 0.0                             |
| 2     | PCL-G0.01   | ~15 (14.99)| 0.1                                | 0.01%                           |
| 3     | PCL-G0.05   | ~15 (14.95)| 0.5                                | 0.05%                           |
| 4     | PCL-G0.1    | ~15 (14.9) | 1.0                                | 0.1%                            |

**Characterization of nanofibrous scaffolds**

The morphology and fiber dimensions of PCL and PCL-G nanocomposites were examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (EVO, 18 Research, Zeiss, Germany). Before imaging, all samples were vacuum dried and coated with 1 nm gold. SEM images were taken under 30 kV voltage and 8 mm working distance. Diameters of individual fiber in the scaffolds were measured directly from the SEM images with 10k X magnification using Image J software (NIH, Bethesda). Fiber diameter was measured from ten randomly selected areas (n = 5), and the mean ± standard deviation was used to interpret the data. SEM images of the cross-section of the scaffolds were also taken to measure scaffold thickness. High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) of PCL-G0.1 nanofibers was done to confirm the incorporation of graphene within the PCL nanofibers. Sample for PCL-G0.1 was prepared by directly spinning the solution on a carbon-coated copper grid and observed using TEM (FEI Tecnai TF20, Oregon, USA). The dispersion of graphene in the PCL scaffolds was evaluated using Fourier transform Infrared
spectroscopy (FTIR, Thermo Scientific™ Nicolet™ iS50 FTIR Spectrometer) and Raman spectroscopy (Renishaw, INVIA confocal micro dispersive Raman spectrometer, laser excitation at 514 nm). X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis of graphene and nanofibrous scaffolds was performed on X’Pert PRO, PANalytical, Netherlands. The water contact angle for all the samples was measured by a modified ASTM D 5946-04 static sessile drop method. In this, a water droplet of 30 µL was put on the flat substrate using a micro-syringe, and high-resolution images were captured using a Canon DSLR 70D camera. The image was processed, and the average contact angle of 3 droplets was reported at 3 random locations on each PCL and PCL-G nanocomposite nanofiber mats. The surface topography and roughness of PCL and PCL-G0.05 nanofiber scaffolds were investigated using Atomic force microscopy (AFM), TOSCA Tm 400 AFM (Anton Paar, Austria) in air, under tapping mode. Thermal characterization of nanofibrous scaffolds was done using thermal series Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) Q-500 and Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) Q-200 from TA Instruments (New Castle, DE, USA). The rheological studies were carried out via small-amplitude oscillatory shear experiments by applying fixed stress of 1.0 Pa, on a AR 500 Rheometer (TA Instruments, Surrey, England). Cone-plate geometry (2°) was used to carry out the measurements, and silicone oil with wet sponges was utilized as a solvent trap. The frequency dependence of storage (G') and loss modulus (G'') studies were carried out at room temperature. The tensile properties of PCL and PCL-G nanocomposite scaffolds membrane were measured using an Instron 3365 Microtester (High Wycombe, UK), at a crosshead speed of 50 mm/min and a load cell of 10 N capacity.

**Human Bone Marrow derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells (hMSCs) expansion and characterization**

Ethical clearance was taken from Institutional committee for Stem cell Research (ICSCR-/87/18(o)) before initiating the experiments. Cryopreserved hMSCs, were revived and cultured in hMSC culture medium (CM) consisting of Low Glucose Dulbecco's Modified Eagle medium (LG-DMEM, Gibco, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, USA) 1% L-glutamine and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco, USA). Cells were maintained in 25-cm² flasks at 37°C and placed in a humidified incubator in the presence of 5% CO2, during which the medium was replaced every 2 days. Cells from 3rd- 4th passage were used in the present study. They were expanded and characterized as published earlier [27,28].

**Scaffolds preparation for cell culture**

PCL and PCL-G nanocomposite mats were cut into square specimens of 6mm x 6mm size. These scaffolds were sterilized by immersing in 70% ethanol for 30 min, followed by three sterile phosphate buffer saline (PBS) washings of 10 min each. The sterilized specimens were placed in a 48 well tissue culture plate and soaked in CM overnight for preconditioning of scaffolds. The above procedure was used to prime the scaffolds for all the experiments.

**hMSCs Viability/cytotoxicity and attachment over nanofibrous Scaffolds**

Morphology and distribution of viable hMSCs seeded on the PCL and PCL-G nanocomposite scaffolds were examined after 24 h using Live/Dead staining Kit (Thermo Scientific, USA). Briefly, hMSCs were
seeded (2×10^4 cells/scaffold) on sterile PCL and PCL-G nanocomposite scaffolds and incubated in a CO_2 incubator with CM. After 24 h, scaffolds were washed with PBS and incubated for 20 min with Calcein-AM and EthD-I staining solution. After staining, the cells were washed with PBS and visualized using a Confocal laser scanning microscope (TCS SP8, Leica, Germany). The experiment was repeated thrice.

Cell proliferation assay. Cell proliferation assay was performed using FxCycle™ PI/RNase (Molecular probes, Thermofisher, USA) reagent as per the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, hMSCs were seeded (4×10^4 cells/scaffold) on sterile PCL and PCL-G nanocomposite scaffolds and were incubated in a CO_2 incubator with CM. Cells were trypsinized, fixed, and stained with FxCycle™ PI/RNase solution at day 1, 3, and 5. Stained cells were acquired by flow cytometry, and the data was analyzed using 6.0 Diva software. The experiment was repeated thrice.

Measurement of Mitochondrial health in hMSCs cultured on nanofibrous scaffolds

hMSCs were seeded at a density of 60,000 cells per well on PCL and PCL-G nanocomposites and cultured in CM for 5 days. Cells were trypsinized and plated on 24-well XF-24 plates (Seahorse Biosciences, Billerica, MA, USA) to estimate Oxygen consumption rate (OCR) using the XFe24 Extracellular Flux Analyzer (Seahorse Biosciences). The experiment was repeated thrice.

Estimation of Extracellular matrix (ECM) secretion by hMSCs on nanofibrous scaffolds

To understand the interaction of cells with the scaffolds, the deposition of extracellular matrix was evaluated by staining collagen protein. Mouse anti-Collagen (Abcam, USA) antibody at 1:100 was incubated in 1%BSA at 4°C overnight. To study the morphological changes, cells were stained with F-actin (Rhodamine Phalloidin at 1:100 dilution for 40 mins at room temperature(RT), (Life Technologies, USA)). After successive washing steps in PBS, the anti-collagen treated groups were incubated with anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488(1:500) at RT for 1h, followed by PBS wash. Nucleus was stained with DAPI (1:4000) for 3 min. After staining, the cell–scaffold constructs were washed twice with PBS and examined under a confocal laser scanning microscope (SP5, Leica, Germany).

Histocompatibility assay

The in-vivo tissue compatibility of PCL and PCL-G nanocomposites was evaluated by the deep wound model. Deep wound incision was created on the dorsal side of Wistar rats (n=1) with an area of 1x1 cm (approx.). The wound area was analyzed by histological staining. The rats were observed on day 3, day 7 & day 15 after the implant. On day 15, after the implant, skin samples were collected & stored in 10% formalin. After the dehydration with a series of ethanol, the samples were embedded in paraffin wax with a sectioned thickness of 5 µm. Hematoxylin & Eosin (H&E) and Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining were performed to study the expression of IL-6 (CST, 1:200).
Neuronal differentiation hMSCs on nanobrous scaffolds

To evaluate the role of graphene in stem cell differentiation into the neuronal lineage, hMSCs were suspended in CM and then seeded onto sterilized PCL and PCL-G nanocomposite scaffolds at a density of 2x10^4 cells per well for 24 h for cell attachment. After 24 h, cells were maintained in CM (un-induced) and in Neuronal induction medium (neurobasal medium supplemented with 0.5 mM glutamine, 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco, USA), 2% B-27 serum-free supplement and 10nM Endothelial growth factor (EGF) (Peprotech, USA), 10nM Fibroblast growth factor (FGF-2) (Peprotech, USA) and 10nM oxysterol (Sigma Aldrich, USA) for 14 days at 37°C with 5% CO₂. hMSCs cultured over cover glass served as a control in both the uninduced and induced culture conditions.

Characterization of differentiated neurons

Cell morphology on the nanobrous scaffold: Morphology of cells seeded on the PCL and PCL-G nanocomposite scaffolds was examined by SEM. Samples were collected after 14 days differentiation process and fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde, washed 3 times with PBS, and finally stored at -80 °C. Samples were freeze-dried using lyophilizer. Dried samples were mounted over aluminium stubs and sputter-coated with gold prior to imaging with a Zeiss scanning electron microscope (Co-operation Zeiss, Leica, Cambridge, UK) at 5 KVA in secondary electron imaging mode.

Immunofluorescence

Cells differentiated into neurons were characterized by immunofluorescence using neuron-specific markers (TH, MAP-2, and beta-III tubulin). After 14 days of culture in both uninduced and induced conditions, cells grown over scaffolds or glass coverslips were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at RT and then washed in PBS. Fixed cells were permeabilized in 0.05% Triton-X100 (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) for 20 min, followed by PBS wash. Nonspecific antibody binding was blocked with 2% BSA (HiMedia, India) for 20 min at RT before PBS wash. To characterize the hMSCs differentiated into neuronal cells were then incubated with mouse-anti-MAP-2 (Microtubule Associated Protein) (Santa Cruz, 1:100), beta-III tubulin (Thermofisher Scientific) (1:100) and for DA neurons they were incubated with Rabbit-anti-TH protein (Tyrosine Hydroxylase) (Thermofisher Scientific) (1:100) in 1% BSA at 4 °C overnight. After subsequent washing in PBS, the cells were incubated in anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 and Texas Red (1:500) at RT for 1 h, respectively, followed by a PBS wash and DAPI nucleus staining (1:4000) for 3 min. After staining, the cell–scaffold constructs were washed twice with PBS and examined under a Confocal laser scanning microscope (SP5, Leica, Germany).

Morphological characterization of neuronal differentiated cells (neurite length & cell alignment)

hMSCs differentiated into neurons on PCL, and PCL-G nanocomposites nanofibrous scaffolds were imaged using a confocal laser scanning microscope. For each condition, the number of analyzed neurite (n) is reported. Neurite lengths were estimated with the ImageJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA). 5 fields from 3 images were taken to calculate the neurite length (n=15).
**Quantification of cell over fiber orientation**

Fluorescent images of hMSCs differentiated neurons stained for MAP-2 and confocal images were analyzed with Fiji (http://fiji.sc/Fiji). It calculates the number of histograms indicating the number of cells in a given direction. A flat histogram shows the comprehensive isotropic content, though a histogram with a peak confirms orientation. A Gaussian function computes the directionality parameter to measure the orientation of the cells.

**Measurement of intracellular calcium ions (Ca^{2+}) in neuronal differentiated cells over nano-fibrous scaffolds**

Change in the concentration of intracellular Ca^{2+} was studied by Ca^{2+} imaging in hMSCs induced for 14 days in all study groups, as published earlier. Briefly, hMSCs after 14 days of neuronal induction were stained with 4 μM of Fura-2-red AM dye. After washing thrice with Hanks’ Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS), the cells were activated using 56 mM KCl solution. The time-lapse recording was made at 405nm and 488nm for 5 minutes. Baseline readings were obtained before adding KCl solution to the cells. The experiment was performed using a Leica Confocal Microscope (TCS SP8, Leica Germany). The ratio of fluorescence at both the wavelengths was obtained, and the respective graph was plotted. The experiment was repeated thrice. The data was analyzed using Leica LAS AF 520 software.

**Measurement of dopamine released by differentiated cells on nanofibrous scaffolds**

Cell culture supernatants (1 ml) from both cover glass and nanofibrous scaffolds were collected at 14th day to estimate the dopamine release in control and induced conditions. To further estimate the inducible release of dopamine, the cells were stimulated with 56mM KCl (Sigma) for 5 min, in 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES)-buffered salt solution consisting of NaCl 130, KCl 5.4, MgCl2 0.8, CaCl2 1.8, Cl- 130.6, HEPES 20 and Glucose 15 (mM), at pH 7.4 at 37 °C. The dopamine secretion level was measured by a Dopamine ELISA kit (DA ELISA, Elabscience Biotechnology Inc., USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Absorbance was subsequently measured at 450 nm recorded with Gen5 1.08.4 software using EL 800 multi-well microplate reader (BioTek, USA). The experiment was repeated thrice.

**Molecular dynamics (MD) simulation studies between G and F-actin**

**Modelling and Docking:** The three-dimensional (3D) structure of graphene, single layer, 5 nm x 5 nm was generated using the Visual Molecular Dynamics (VMD) tool. The structural comparison of various F-actin structures revealed that 6ANU is the most suitable 3D structure which have complete sequence length (1-375) with best resolution (7 Å). The native 3D structure of F-actin was download from Protein Data Bank (PDB code: 6ANU) and docked with graphene by the Autodock vina. The graphene was treated as receptor while F-actin was considered as ligand and prepared for docking by adding hydrogen and Gasteiger charges, and assigned AD4 type atomic radii. The best docked complex was obtained by
calculating electrostatic grid map using center grid box (23.947, 24.815 and 0.000 points) with number of points in 126 126 126 direction.

Prediction of interaction between G and F-actin: MD simulation MD simulations were performed for complex monomer F-actin nanocomposite system of a single layer, 5 nm x 5 nm Graphene. After energy minimization, the solvated systems were pre-equilibrated by initially for 100 ps at a constant pressure of 1 bar and a temperature of 300 K with V-rescale methods. Subsequently, the 100 ns production runs were carried out for system with adopted time step of 0.002 ps and 50000000 n steps. The final molecular dynamics simulations were conducted for nanocomposite in the constant-NPT ensemble, with the mean pressure at 1 atm, using a Parrinello-Rahman barostat, and the mean temperature fixed at 300 K using a V-rescale thermostat. All simulations were performed using the gromacs-2019.1 package with periodic boundary conditions. The Optimized Protein Liquid Simulations (OPLS) force field was applied to represent the intermolecular and intramolecular interactions of each system. The TIP4P water model was used to simulate the system. The system was neutralized by adding 12 Na+ ions. Particle mesh Ewald (PME) was used to calculate the electrostatic interactions with a PME order of 4. Lennard-Jones interactions were truncated at 1 nm. Neighbor lists were updated every 10fs using a list cut-off radius of 1 nm. Periodic boundary conditions (PBC) was applied for all systems. Bond constraints were solved using the Linear Constraint Solver (LINCS) algorithm using the 4 lincs order. In the used graphene model, the carbon atoms at the edges were capped with hydrogen atoms to avoid the unsaturated boundary effect. All the analysis was accomplished using the tools of GROMACS.

**Histocompatibility assay**

The tissue biocompatibility of PCL and PCL-G nanocomposites was evaluated by creating the excision wound model wherein the excision was created on the dorsal side of Wistar rats (n=1) with an area of 1x1 cm (approx.). Scaffolds were then implanted over these wounds. The area of implant in the wound was biopsied at day 15 to observe the tissue reaction. All the biopsies were fixed in 10% buffered formalin processed in automated tissue processor (Histokinette, Lieca biosystems, Germany), embedded in paraffin. Five micron thick serial paraffin sections were cut from representative areas of the wound. The sections were stained with Hematoxylin & Eosin for histological evaluation.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA and t-test in GraphPad Instant software (GraphPad Software, Inc.)

**Results**

**Fabrication and Characterization of nanostructured PCL-G scaffolds**
In this study, electrospun nanobrous matrices of 40–60 µm thickness (Fig. S1a-d) with varying concentrations of graphene (0.1 mg/ml, 0.5 mg/ml, and 1 mg/ml) (Fig. S1e) were prepared. The diameter of the fibers in the scaffolds was in a range of 200 nm to 2 µm. The distributions of diameters for the samples are shown in Fig. 1a, b, along with their SEM images. The fibers were randomly oriented to form multiple layers resulting in a 3D nano-fibrous mat like scaffolds. Varying the graphene concentrations did not have any significant effect on nanofiber diameter as well as on the thickness of the scaffolds. The dispersion of graphene in the nanofiber was observed using TEM (Fig. S1f,g) and optical microscope (Fig. S1h,i) wherein graphene was seen to be successfully incorporated into the polymeric fibers. The presence of graphene in the fibers was also validated through SEM; protrusions were seen on the outer surface of PCL-G nanocomposites (Fig. S1j,k). PCL is a hydrophobic polymer that makes it difficult for cells to attach. Graphene has been reported to have high surface area and roughness, which improves cell adhesion to the hydrophobic polymer surfaces. The dispersion of graphene into PCL nanofibers renders the surface of the nanofibers hydrophilic in a concentration-dependent manner. Figure 1c represents the water contact angle measured on the surface of the PCL and PCL-G scaffolds. PCL-G0.01 did not show any significant change in the contact angle (128°) as compared to native PCL (124°) and PCL-G0.05 (124°). However, the contact angle decreased significantly by further increasing the concentration of graphene, PCL-G0.1 (96°), thus increasing the wettability of the scaffold (p < 0.0001). Furthermore, surface topography and roughness of PCL and PCL-G0.05 nanocomposite scaffolds were analyzed by AFM (Fig. 1d). The average roughness (Ra) and roughness mean square (Rms) of PCL nanofibers was 79.08 nm and 108.11 nm, respectively. The surface roughness of the samples increased drastically by the addition of graphene, with PCL-G0.05 showing Ra and Rms values of 213.81 nm and 265.73 nm, respectively. The presence of graphene in PCL-G nanocomposite scaffolds was confirmed using FTIR spectroscopy and XRD (Fig. S2a and b). There was no significant change in the FTIR spectra and XRD pattern of PCL-G nanocomposites w.r.t PCL, due to the very low concentrations of graphene used. The dispersion of graphene in the PCL-G nanocomposites was confirmed using Raman spectroscopy (Fig. S2c). The Raman spectra of graphene presented the peaks at 1348 cm⁻¹ (D band), 1589 cm⁻¹ (G band), and a sharp peak at 2703 cm⁻¹ (2D band). In pure PCL scaffold, peaks at 2913 cm⁻¹, 1723 cm⁻¹ corresponding to C-H, and C = O stretching vibrations were observed. The C-H bending vibrations observed at 1441 cm⁻¹ in the PCL scaffold was enhanced in the case of PCL-G0.05 and PCL-G0.1 nanocomposite scaffold. Additionally, the peak corresponding to 2D band of graphene was observed to shift to around 2740 cm⁻¹ in PCL-G0.05 and PCL-G0.1 nanocomposite scaffolds confirming the presence of graphene in the scaffolds and strong interactions of graphene with the PCL matrices. There were no significant changes in the Raman spectrum of PCL-G0.01 as compared to PCL due to a very low amount of graphene in it. Thermal stability and degradation behavior of PCL and PCL-G nanocomposite scaffolds were studied using TGA (Fig. S3a). No significant differences were seen in the thermal degradation profiles of the PCL and PCL-G nanocomposites scaffolds. Their onset degradation temperature started from 350 °C and continued up to 450 °C. The weight loss after 450 °C was negligible; there was an increase in char formation by 1–2% after the addition of G in the composite scaffolds. The melting behavior of PCL and PCL-G nanocomposite scaffolds was evaluated by DSC (Fig. S3b). The melting point of the pure PCL scaffold was 60.1 °C, which decreased to 55.4 °C for PCL-G0.05 and to 57.6 °C for
PCL-G0.1. The decrease in the melting point upon the addition of graphene could be due to the interaction of graphene with PCL, which may prevent the formation of defect-free crystalline phase in PCL. The mechanical strength of the scaffolds was determined by measuring load as a function of extension. Figure. S3c represents a plot between the load versus the extension applied. The overall deformation behaviour of the PCL-G nanocomposite scaffolds was similar to the pure PCL scaffold. However, the tensile strength of the PCL-G nanocomposites changed with the addition of G. The tensile strength of the PCL scaffold was 0.66 MPa which increased to 0.71 MPa for PCL-G0.01, which further increased to 1.25 MPa for PCL-G0.05, and then decreased to 1.1 MPa for PCL-G0.1. This could be due to good dispersion of the graphene in the PCL polymer matrix for PCL-G0.05. Increasing the concentration of graphene to 0.1% may lead to their agglomeration resulting in decrease in the tensile strength. The higher concentration of graphene facilitates strong π-π interactions among the stacked graphene sheets causing them to agglomerate, thereby affecting their dispersion in the polymer matrices. The Young’s modulus, which is a measure of the stiffness of a material, also increased on the addition of graphene to the PCL matrix (Fig. S3d). Figure. S4 e, f, show plots of shear storage (G’) and loss (G”) modulus versus the oscillatory frequency (ω), respectively. G’(ω) > G” (ω) is seen in all the composites indicating frequency independence and a stable elastic gel-like behavior. Also, the G’ decreases with increasing concentration of graphene in PCL; the reduction was significant for PCL-G0.01 and PCL-G0.05 only.

**Biocompatibility Of Electrospun Nanofibrous Scaffolds**

The nature of the scaffold dictates the behaviour of cells in terms of their viability, attachment, morphology, proliferation, cell signalling, Extracellular Matrix (ECM) secretion, and differentiation. Figure. 3, represents hMSCs response to PCL surface in the absence or presence of different concentrations of graphene assessing the above parameters. In all the studies, nanocomposite was compared to a conventional cover glass surface (control). PCL and PCL-G nanocomposites cytotoxicity was evaluated by Calcein-AM and EthD-I staining assay (Fig. 2a-e). Almost 90% of the cells cultured on control, PCL and all PCL-G nanocomposite were viable. Cellular morphology of hMSCs cultured over PCL and PCL-G nanocomposites was evaluated by studying the SEM images.

Majority of stem cells were seen to exhibit typical spindle-shaped morphology and were well attached, elongated, and evenly spread on all PCL and PCL-G nanocomposite (Fig. 2f-j). Additionally, the secreted ECM was assessed by Collagen-I (Col-I) at day 7, with PCL-G0.05 and PCL-G0.1 giving best results (Fig. 3a-o). The relative expression of Collagen-I secreted by PCL-G nanocomposite, also showed no significant difference (Figure S5). hMSCs proliferation on the coverslip, PCL, and PCL-G nanocomposites mats was examined by measuring the DNA content level by PI/RNase staining and quantification was done on 1st, 3rd and 5th day. At day 1, there was no significant difference in DNA content between the PCL and PCL-G nanocomposites, however, the DNA content increased in all variants from day 3 onwards,
indicating robust proliferation in all the studied groups (Fig. S6a,b). To test whether the basal mitochondrial respiration varies among MSCs grown on the cover glass surface and PCL nanocomposites, we measured basal mitochondrial respiration rate. No significant difference in mitochondrial respiration was found among all the groups, indicating good bioenergetics of the cell metabolic state (Fig. S7). Any influence on the bioenergetic pathway decisively affects the genetic stability of the human stem cell\textsuperscript{29}. To assess the \textit{in vivo} biocompatibility of the as-synthesized nanocomposites, we performed the incision on the dorsal side of Wistar rats with an area of approx. 1 × 1 cm and implanted the nanomembranes for 15 days. H&E was performed to observe the histopathology of the incised wound area and showed no significant difference in the appearance of the incised area when compared to control and PCL-G nanocomposites. Neither any residue of the implanted membranes nor any significant inflammation was seen at the site of injury. Very few inflammatory cells and Fibroblasts were seen at higher magnification of PCL-G nanocomposite groups as compared to control. Natural healing phase of blood vessels was observed with no granuloma and foreign-body giant cell reaction secondary to the implanted membranes.

### Stem Cell Differentiation Into DA Neurons On PCL-g Nanocomposites

Differentiation potential of hMSCs towards DA neurons on the as-synthesized PCL-G nanocomposites was examined by immunofluorescence assay using specific markers such as MAP-2, Beta-III-tubulin, and TH. Expression of MAP-2 and TH indicates the neuronal differentiation of hMSCs, whereas, TH expression confirms the presence of DA neurons (Fig. 3a-j). The Mean Fluorescent Intensity (MFI) of MAP-2 (Fig. 3k) and TH (Fig. 3l) was significantly high in cells grown on PCL-G0.05 and PCL-G0.1 as compared to control and PCL only, the same trend was observed in the Beta-III tubulin expression (Fig. S8a-k). We utilized PCL-G nanocomposites scaffolds as a stimulator to enhance the differentiation of hMSCs into neurons. Interestingly, it was observed that the expression of both neuronal & DA markers (MAP-2 and TH respectively) was significantly high in the un-induced groups as well, across all the nanocomposites when compared to coverslip and PCL only hinting towards the inherent capability of the graphene-based nanocomposites to coax hMSCs into neuronal differentiation. Morphology of differentiated neurons grown over the PCL, and PCL-G nanocomposites was examined by SEM (Fig. 4a). After 14 days of culture, a significant difference in the cellular morphology was evident on PCL and PCL-G nanocomposites compared to the un-induced group. PCL-G nanocomposites displayed large neurite to cell body ratio, long neurite processes, their wrapping around the nanofiber, and multiple outgrowths coming out of the cell body (Fig. S9, a-c). The above observations support the hypothesis that the as-synthesized nanocomposite aids in the neuronal differentiation of hMSCs. One of the hallmark features of neuronal differentiation is the neurite outgrowth. It was observed that the majority of stem cells underwent morphological changes when incubated in differentiation media. Therefore, we investigated the possible role of nanocomposite in the neurite extension. Figure. 4b displays a positive effect on the neurite length (100–150 µm) of cells grown on high graphene concentration as compared to lower
concentration giving only ~ 50 µm. Numerous neurites were found with 150 µm length in PCL-G0.05 and PCL-G0.01 nanocomposites. To study the relative impact of contact guidance on neurite length outgrowth, we investigated whether the electrospun mess organization affects the cells orientation or/and neuronal network.

It was seen that the cytoskeleton arrangement of the cells grown over the electrospun scaffolds, was influenced by the concentration of graphene in the nanocomposites that plays a major role in determining cell morphology, differentiation, and orientation (Fig. 5a-d). The maximum parallel alignment was seen in hMSCs grown over PCL-G0.05 and PCL-G0.1 scaffold in comparison to other study groups. We subsequently quantified the mean orientation of the neuronal cells over the PCL and PCL-G nanocomposites. The high concentration of graphene resulted in better cell alignment as compared to the low concentrations. The Slice_1R, and Slice_1B represent the fluorescence of F-actin and DAPI stained cells, respectively. The total number of cells with combined directionality of all two slices was absent for all un-induced samples. In contrast, 70% of F-actin stained cells were found highest with a preferred orientation at 38.29º for induced PCL, and lowest, 49% was detected for induced PCL-G0.01 among all induced samples. The obtained number of cells have a significant orientation with an acceptable goodness score (near to 1). The highest peak fitted by a Gaussian function is exhibited by induced PCL-G0.1, which represents the periodic nature of the histogram. Likewise, the direction at 57.30º signifies the center of the gaussian, while dispersion at 7.24º reports the standard deviation (SD) of the gaussian. Nevertheless, the amount 0.61 indicates the sum of the histogram from the center- standard deviation to center + standard deviation, divided by the total sum of the histogram. The highest goodness score, 0.98, noted for induced PCL-G0.1 confirms the significance of the obtained number of cells having orientation (Fig. 6). Thus, according to observed results, the concentration of the graphene is critical in determining cell morphology and differentiation efficiency in vitro.

To understand the functional behaviour of newly differentiated neurons from hMSCs, we performed ELISA for dopamine release and Calcium ion imaging for intracellular Ca^{2+} influx. The functional characterization of the differentiated neurons was performed by estimating the dopamine released in the culture supernatant upon stimulation with KCl (56 mM). hMSCs differentiated into DA neurons secreted dopamine constitutively. The dopamine release from hMSCs cultured over PCL-G nanocomposites was measured for both un-induced and induced hMSCs on day 14. As represented in Figure. 7a, the constitutive release of dopamine at day 14 was 840 ± 41.63 pg/ml, and the dopamine secreted upon the addition of KCl was 1050 ± 100 pg/ml. Control cells were maintained in regular culture medium and did not show any appreciable release of dopamine. Upon stimulation with KCl, the intracellular Ca^{2+} influx and dopamine release were enhanced significantly, suggesting the presence of purinergic receptors and
potassium ion channels in the induced cells. The cells were stained with a Fura-2-AM dye to monitor the change of intracellular calcium ion concentrations. The amount of fluorescence intensity changed by the KCl stimuli varied from cell to cell. Presumably, it is because individual cells had different interactions with the PCL-G0.1 and PCL-G0.05 surface, and not all were fully differentiated neurons, thereby giving varied response to external stimuli. Previous reports showed that the voltage pulse stimuli on a neuron from an electrode opened calcium ion channels and increased the calcium ion concentration in the neuron, resulting in the enhanced fluorescence intensity of Fura-2-AM dye in the neuron. The cells exhibited an increased fluorescence intensity by 60–70% due to the electrical stimuli (Fig. 7b), with PCL-G0.05 giving the best result, thereby confirming that the differentiated cells from hMSCs were functioning as a neuron (Multimedia file attached).

Md Simulations Assessment Of Graphene And F-actin Interaction

The modelled G sheet was visualized and seemed to hold a sufficient surface area to bind (Fig. 8a). It was observed that 6ANU attained best resolution, which has a maximum sequence length of monomer F-actin protein (Table 2). The F-actin was successfully docked and displayed a higher binding affinity, -36 kcal/mol for graphene among all docked complexes. The independent simulation to test the structural stability of the F-actin in pure water is presented in Fig. 8b. The RMSD of the F-actin backbone fluctuated approximately at 3 Å, which describes the secondary structure of protein remained well in the water. Similarly, stabilized RMSD of graphene attained a plateau at 2.5 Å. Collectively, RMSD of F-actin and graphene shows that no deformation or broken structure was observed throughout the simulations. However, the RMSD had no distinct variation but fluctuated slightly until the simulation was finished, implying that the conformation of F-actin on the graphene surface was highly stable. The adsorption was driven by the intense attraction between F-actin and graphene, which could be depicted by the average Coulomb short-range (SR) interaction, -1.53288e + 06 kJ/mol which was constantly maintained till the end of the simulation (Fig. 8c). This vdW interaction energy was corroborated by examining the Potential energy, -1.10362e + 06 kJ/mol; and Total energy, -867267 kJ/mol, which was found persistent. This result exhibits that the strong interaction was established between F-actin and graphene, which was not disturbed due to simulations.

The minimum distance between F-actin and graphene was observed to be 2.4 Å during the simulations, confirming a close contact between the two (Fig. 8d). This also established that F-actin was tightly adsorbed on the surface of graphene. It was found that there was 1250 number of contacts established between them within 4 Å (Fig. 8e), indicating significant and stable interactions between the two. The higher number of these close contacts substantiate the stable interaction between F-actin and graphene. The geometries for adsorption were further optimized in the presence of a large number of water
molecules showing only minor local changes. Therefore, the molecular size of the F-actin and graphene is unaffected by hydration, identified by both the radius of gyration and the principal axes (Fig. 8f).

Table 2: F-actin protein displays the PDB ID with method of 3D structure elucidation, resolution, and information of chains, sequence length and reason to choose the 3D structure which was used for docking.

| PDB entry | Method             | Resolution (Å) | Chain          | Positions | Reason to choose for simulations                                      |
|-----------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3BYH      | Electron microscopy| 12.00          | A              | 2-375     | Facing problem while performing the equilibration step                |
| 3D2U      | X-ray              | 2.21           | C/G            | 170-178   | Do not have whole structure                                           |
| 3J82      | electron microscopy| 7.70           | B/C/D          | 2-375     | We can proceed with this structure but have lower resolution than 6ANU |
| 3LUE      | electron microscopy| 15.00          | A/B/C/D/E/F/G/H/I/J | 2-375 | Poor resolution                                                       |
| 6ANU      | electron microscopy| 7.00           | A/B/C/D/E/F    | 1-375     | Best resolution                                                       |
| 6ICT      | X-ray              | 1.95           | E/G/H/I        | 66-88     | 23aa only                                                            |
| 6ICV      | X-ray              | 2.15           | C/D            | 66-88     | 23aa                                                                 |
| 6MBJ      | X-ray              | 1.78           | Y/Z            | 66-80     | 15aa                                                                 |
| 6MBK      | X-ray              | 1.69           | Y/Z            | 66-80     | 15aa                                                                 |
| 6MBL      | X-ray              | 2.20           | Y              | 66-80     | 15aa                                                                 |

Conclusively, the conformational dynamics of the F-actin segment adsorption onto graphene was observed by the RMSD, which shows that the F-actin is well simulated with graphene within 100 ns of simulation time. This phenomenon can be attributed to the stabilization of the radius of gyration, Gromacs energies, and minimum distance and close contacts of F-actin due to its adsorption onto graphene, leading to maintenance of complex interactions. These results indicated that the F-actin and graphene complex stabilized at the end of simulations signifying their strong binding.

**Discussion**
In the present study, we fabricated highly porous electrospun PCL-G nanocomposite mats, opening a vista for the exchange of nutrients, oxygen and metabolic waste due to their high surface area-to-volume ratio which is meant to be ideal for tissue engineering applications. Over the years, research on graphene and its derivative has been among the fastest rising field in nanoscience and nanotechnology. Fundamentally, graphene is an allotrope of carbon, which comprises a single atom layer of six-carbon rings in a 2D honeycomb lattice network. Graphene has rare properties such as large specific surface area, robust surface chemistry, superior electrical conductivity, excellent thermal conductivity, and unparalleled mechanical strength \[31, 32\]. Therefore, the electrospinning of PCL with graphene is nearly an ideal 3D fabricated, randomly distributed fibrous structured scaffold that mimics the native microenvironment of many cells. The high surface area provided by nanofibrous scaffolds leads to an increase in cellular attachment and better cell polarity compared to that of other non-fibrillar surfaces. Furthermore, both Cukierman and Griffith individually have reported that 2D cultured cells may differ in morphology and differentiation patterns from those cultured in 3D structured topography \[33, 34\]. It has been reported that the 2D plane decreases the rate of attachment, proliferation, and differentiation over time due to restraint space for cell-cell interaction, whereas 3D planes with graphene have shown an ideal substrate for stem cell differentiation \[35\]. To achieve better cell attachment, morphology, and differentiation, various studies have used different concentrations of graphene blended with different polymers (e.g. PCL, PLA, PET, Chitosan, Silk etc). Our fabricated PCL-G nanocomposites have shown the fiber diameter, ranging from 200 nm to 2 µm, irrespective of the graphene concentration, thereby mimicking the nano-to-micro scale pattern of the ECM. SEM and TEM micrographs revealed a homogeneous dispersion of graphene in the PCL nanofibers. PCL, in its native form, is hydrophobic, which makes it unfavourable for cell attachment. In a recent finding of Munz and colleagues, it was shown that the hydrophilicity of the nano-fibers surface increased with the addition of graphene \[36\], a same trend was observed for our PCL-G nanocomposite scaffolds. One of the major contributors towards this increase in hydrophilicity is the increase in surface roughness of the nanofibrous mats owing to the dispersion of graphene as revealed by the AFM studies which lies in accordance to Wenzel theoretical model \[37\], further supporting the contact angle findings. The addition of graphene not only modulated the topology but also enhanced the tensile strength of the nanocomposite over pure PCL. As the viscoelastic moduli of the nanocomposites were found to be frequency independent with Young's modulus \((G')\) higher than the viscous one \((G'')\), they exhibited “strong gel” character. However, the increased concentration of graphene led to a decrease in the elastic modulus, indicating a strong association between the PCL chains with graphene, which is likely to decrease the entanglements among the PCL chains. This is in accordance with the report of Holmes et al. that naive graphene interacts with polymer only through Van der Waals forces, \(\pi-\pi\) stacking, and hydrophobic interactions \[38\]. However, graphene helps in reinforcement of PCL matrix resulting in better tensile properties of the scaffolds at low additions \[39\]. The facile electrospinning behaviour of nanocomposites was supported by the rheological studies showing a decrease in the \(G'\) values of PCL-G nanocomposites with an increase in graphene concentration. The increased stability of PCL-G nanocomposites was evident in weight loss profile. It was seen that the weight loss of pure PCL and PCL-G nanocomposite scaffolds was similar and appeared to be a monotonic weight loss that begins at approximately 350 °C due to the decomposition of the PCL.
chains. The char formed increased after addition of graphene. A slight decrease in melting temperature of nanocomposite with increase in graphene was observed, which could be attributed to the formation of defective crystals of PCL resulting from restrictive movement of PCL chains in the presence of Graphene. Very low concentrations of graphene used as fillers (0.01, and 0.05) did not produce any D and G bands in the PCL-G nanocomposites in Raman spectra. However, a small G- band hump and 2D band could be observed in PCL-G0.1 validating the successful incorporation of graphene and its strong interaction with PCL. The inherent toxicity of pristine graphene [40, 41] was evaded by intensively choosing the optimum mixing concentration of graphene and electrospinning it with PCL. This was confirmed by the cytocompatibility, cell attachment, proliferation, and mitochondrial OCR levels. These nanocomposites were highly cytocompatible with maximum cells seen live as assessed by calcein staining. Apart from higher cell attachment, PCL-G nanocomposites also favoured enhanced cell proliferation and better mitochondrial health, indicating good metabolic state as compared to pure PCL. In our study we also found that 0.05% graphene supported ECM secretion, keeping their morphology intact and stem cell differentiation. It is well known that cell-ECM interaction is one of the potent aspects in determining the fate of stem cells. Keeping this in mind, we used different concentrations of graphene, with PCL-0.05G giving better ECM secretion and stem cell differentiation. We noticed a concurrent upregulation of Col-I secretion by hMSCs with the increasing graphene concentration in the PCL nanocomposites, which indicates that the as-synthesized nanocomposites provide an excellent substrate for better cell attachment and survival. On the other hand, all the scaffolds were found to be histocompatible in animal model with no granuloma and foreign body giant cell reaction seen against the material.

Bestowed with excellent biocompatibility, PCL-G nanocomposites also demonstrated a remarkable potential to differentiate stem cells into neuronal cells. This observed phenomenon is due to a sophisticated interplay of chemical and physical properties of graphene nanocomposites and cells. However, we, for the first time, are reporting the differentiation of hMSCs into functional DA neurons, using nanocomposite scaffolds having the least possible concentration of graphene, thereby promoting their translational value for targeted treatment, such as Parkinson's disease. Therefore, we designed a one-step and economical strategy, devoid of any cross-linker to fabricate scaffolds that promotes the differentiation of hMSCs into DA neurons which were characterized by multi-parametric approaches. Comprehensive studies validated the role of PCL-G nanocomposites into enhanced differentiation and functionality of hMSCs into DA neurons. Some of the interesting features like Neurite length, directionality, cell orientation, ECM secretion and DA secretion were validated and were seen to be enhanced in the case of nanocomposite having least concentration of graphene (PCL-G0.05) which was therefore was considered to be the best. Another interesting observation in our study was the enhanced neuronal markers expression in the uninduced group. This is credited to the presence of graphene in PCL nanocomposites. It has been already observed that the use of low concentration of graphene has a strong modulatory effect of active and passive bioelectric properties on the cell membrane, which aids in differentiation of hMSCs with typical spindle-shaped morphology [42].The interplay of graphene and fine PCL nanofibers further enhanced neurite outgrowths with PCL-G0.05 and PCL-G0.1 producing remarkably longer neurites as compared to other as-synthesized scaffolds. Increasing concentrations of graphene...
yielded softer nanocomposites, which are neurogenic in nature, thereby aiding the generation of long neurites from hMSCs [43]. Additionally, the high electro-conductive property of graphene is also responsible for better neurite outgrowth [44, 45]. More than any other cell type, neurons largely depend on the cytoskeleton, for their distinctive morphology, whose protein components are organized in a set of micro-differentiated compartments imitating the polarized form of the cell and play a significant role in determining its development [46]. One of the major changes occurring post-differentiation is the rearrangement of microfilaments and microtubules. They both, on one hand, guides and supports the growth and differentiation of axons and dendrites; the dynamic actin filaments, on the other, drive the exploratory activity of growth cones as they respond to external guidance cues. Microtubules further stabilize the structure of the newly established processes [47]. Therefore, we examined the change in F-actin arrangement among un-induced hMSCs and induced hMSCs to DA neurons on PCL-G nanocomposites. And we observed that graphene plays an important role in orienting the actin filaments of the cells undergoing differentiation over randomly aligned bers [48]. Our PCL-G nanocomposite scaffold (PCL-G0.05 and PCL-G0.1) exhibited the best aligned cells, along with heightened neuronal expression. Although there are reports stating the enhanced differentiation of stem cells into neurons [49], ours goes a step ahead by harnessing the ability of human derived MSCs to differentiate into functional DA neurons. For better understanding the functionality of the generated neurons, we assayed the dopamine release and intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx, which are the characteristic hallmark of mature functional neurons where, the neurotransmitter is stored in vesicles within the cell, and its release can be triggered by the influx of intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. The rise in intracellular calcium ion influx in the neural circuitry upon excitation with KCl showed the functionality of neurons grown over nanocomposite[50]. Altogether, this establishes the synergistic ability of nano-fibers and graphene to not only have an impact on neurotic outgrowth but also orient the neurons to establish a neuronal network. The biological interactions between mammalian cell’s F-actin and graphene are well documented [51], but mechanistic elucidation of this interaction is still a challenge. Intrigued by these finding and being aware that F-actin is the first respondent to external stimuli, we performed MD simulation to investigate the adhesive characteristics of F-actin-Graphene systems. For this, Gromacs energies, minimum distance and number of contacts established between F-actin and graphene, and compactness of the structures are criteria indicating the strength of protein adhesion, which is extensively applicable in tissue engineering to elucidate the effect of graphene affinity towards F-actin. The simulation results confirm that interactions between F-actin and graphene are much stronger. The conformation of F-actin on the graphene surface is highly stable via Coulomb short-range (SR) interaction, while potential and total energies confirmed steady complex. A comparison between experimental and simulation results suggested a meaningful correlation as well as strong interaction between F-actin and graphene. The results of this study provided a better understanding of the underlying mechanism for the interaction of hMSCs with graphene which is a necessary consideration for designing scaffolds.

Conclusions
In this work, we successfully demonstrated the ability of PCL-G nanocomposite scaffolds to efficiently differentiate hMSCs into DA neurons without using any additional growth factors. The facile, one-step electrospinning of PCL-G nanocomposite mats, as well as their easy handling and storage at room temperature, made the entire system very economical. The role of composition was meticulously considered for the cell-material interaction. For the best of our knowledge this is the first report harnessing the potential of graphene and PCL for the differentiation of human MSCs into functional DA neurons. Finally, herein reported, PCL-G nanocomposites can be used as excellent nanostructured neuronal prosthetics controlling hMSCs behaviour to probe their morphology and functionality opening a new horizon for an array of applications in neural regenerative medicine. However, the efficacy of these hMSCs differentiated DA neurons on PCL-G nanocomposites needs to be further validated \textit{in vivo}.
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