Incidence and Predictors of Postoperative Kyphotic Deformity after Thoracic Spinal Cord Tumor Resection
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Abstract:

Introduction: Kyphotic deformity is common after spinal tumor resection surgery. An adequate field of view is needed to resect the spinal cord tumor, and, in some cases, the facet joint must be removed during laminectomy, and fixation may be performed simultaneously. In this study, we investigated the incidence of postoperative deformity after spinal tumor resection and the factors associated with postoperative deformity.

Methods: We retrospectively analyzed patients who underwent thoracic spinal cord tumor resection at a single institution between 2010 and 2017 and were followed up for at least 24 months after surgery. Fifty percent or more of the facet joint was removed during the laminectomy, and fixation was performed simultaneously. Patients were divided into two groups, with and without kyphotic deformity. Patient demographic characteristics (age at surgery and gender), whether they underwent primary surgery or reoperation, tumor level, pathological type, and surgical method were compared. Multiple linear regression analysis was performed to identify independent predictors of kyphotic deformity.

Results: Thirty-one patients were found to be eligible. Thirteen patients had intramedullary spinal cord tumors. Laminectomy was performed in 52% (N=16), laminoplasty in 6% (N=2), and laminectomy and/or laminoplasty combined with fusion in 42% (N=13) of the patients. During a mean follow-up period of 66.8 months, 12 (39%) patients had postoperative kyphosis deformities, of which one patient (3%, a 12-year-old girl who underwent combined postoperative radiation therapy) underwent kyphosis correction surgery three years after surgery. The number of laminectomies was independently associated with kyphotic deformity.

Conclusions: Although kyphotic deformity after spinal tumor surgery was observed in about 39% of the patients, corrective surgery was rarely required due to the progression of the deformity. The high number of laminectomies is a risk factor for postoperative kyphosis, and prophylactic fixation may be considered in cases of multiple laminectomies.
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Introduction

Spinal cord tumors are tumors that develop in the spinal cord or its surrounding tissues10). Based on their location, spinal cord tumors can be divided into epidural spinal cord tumors, intradural extramedullary tumors, and intramedullary spinal cord tumors, which originate outside the dura mater, inside the dura mater and outside the spinal cord, and inside the spinal cord, respectively10). As the spinal cord tumor grows, it compresses the surrounding nerve tissue, resulting in sensory disturbances, pain, and movement disorders. Spinal cord tumors do not respond well to chemo- or radiation therapy and require surgical resection10). Although surgical resection is generally performed by a posterior approach, the development of kyphotic deformity has been reported after resection10). Resection of the posterior elements of the spine during tumor resection causes biomechanical instability10). Therefore, depending on the localization of the tumor,
laminoplasty is performed instead of laminectomy\(^8\)\(^{12}\), and fusion is used simultaneously to prevent kyphosis after surgery in some cases\(^9\)\(^{14}\). Although kyphotic deformities after cervical spinal cord tumors are common\(^8\)\(^{15-17}\), there are not many reports of postoperative kyphotic deformities in thoracic spinal cord tumors\(^9\)\(^{14}\). In this study, we investigated the incidence of postoperative deformity after spinal tumor resection and the factors associated with postoperative deformity.

**Materials and Methods**

**Patient population**

This study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the authors’ affiliated institution and adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. We obtained written informed consent from all participants to publish our findings. We retrospectively analyzed patients who underwent thoracic spinal cord tumor resection at a single institution between 2010 and 2017 and were followed up for at least 24 months after surgery. Patients who underwent the anterior approach for spinal cord tumor resection were excluded.

Data on the following characteristics were extracted: age, gender, whether the surgery was a primary surgery or a reoperation, tumor level, and histopathological type of the tumor. Regarding surgical data, surgical techniques (laminectomy only, laminectomy and laminoplasty, and laminectomy and laminoplasty combined with fusion) and the number of laminectomies or laminoplasties performed were investigated.

**Surgical techniques**

All patients underwent surgical resection under general anesthesia, and intraoperative spinal cord monitoring was performed. The patient was in a prone position, and surgery was performed using a posterior median approach. The lamina was exposed, and laminectomy or laminoplasty was performed using a high-speed bur. Concerning laminoplasty, French-door laminoplasty was performed using the method described by Kurokawa with some modifications for patients with tumors in the cervicothoracic region\(^9\). Recapping laminoplasty was performed using a T-saw for tumors in the thoracic or thoracolumbar spine, according to the method described by Kawahara\(^9\). In the case of extradural tumors, the facet joints were resected, if necessary. After confirming the localization of the tumor by intraoperative ultrasound for lesions within the spinal canal, total resection was performed under the microscope as much as possible. For lesions outside the intervertebral foramen, enucleation was performed under the membrane using a microscope. For intradural extramedullary tumors, the dura was incised under the microscope, and the arachnoid membrane was sharply dissected to expose the tumor. The cephalic and caudal sides of the tumor were identified. If the tumor originated from the dura, the entire dura was resected, and if the tumor originated from a nerve root, the nerve root entering the tumor was cut, and the tumor was removed. In intramedullary tumors, a median myelotomy was performed by using a diamond knife to dissect or resect the tumor sharply. The surface of the tumor should be carefully coagulated using bipolar coagulation to harden the tumor coat. The tip of the bipolar coagulator may cause the vessel wall of the tumor to break, causing heavy bleeding. Therefore, the side of the bipolar coagulator was used to cauterize the tumor, and the hardened coating was gradually peeled off from the normal spinal cord with a spatula. After resection, the presence of residual tumors was confirmed by intraoperative ultrasonography. An adequate field of view was needed to resect the spinal cord tumor, and, in some cases, 50% or more of the facet joint was removed during the laminectomy, and fixation was performed simultaneously.

**Magnetic resonance imaging measurements**

The kyphotic angle between the cephalic endplate of the most upper vertebral body and the caudal endplate of the most lower vertebral body that underwent laminectomy or laminoplasty was measured on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) before surgery and at the last follow-up (measured as upper vertebra [UV]-lower vertebra [LV] kyphotic angle) (Fig. 1). The ΔUV-LV kyphotic angle was calculated by subtracting the UV-LV kyphotic angle at baseline from the UV-LV angle at the last follow-up, and an increase of more than 10° was defined as postoperative kyphosis. Based on the presence or absence of the kyphotic deformity, patients were divided into two groups as follows: postoperative kyphosis and no postoperative kyphosis groups. Characteristics such as patient demographics (age at surgery and gender), primary surgery or reoperation, tumor level, pathological type, and surgical method were compared.

**Statistical analyses**

All values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to verify the assumption regarding the normal distribution of the data. The paired sample t-test or the Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used for within-group comparisons of continuous variables. Differences between the groups were evaluated using the unpaired two-sample t-test or the Mann-Whitney test. The chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test was used to test for significant differences in categorical variables between the groups. Multiple linear regression analyses were used to determine the relationship between ΔUV-LV kyphotic angle and explanatory variables. The ΔUV-LV kyphotic angle was used as an objective, continuous variable, and age at surgery, UV-LV kyphotic angle at baseline, number of laminectomies, and presence or absence of fixation were used as explanatory variables. In the group of patients who did not undergo prophylactic fixation, the number of laminectomies was dichotomized according to the optimal cut-off value obtained by receiver-operating-characteristic (ROC) curve analysis.
and a ROC curve was constructed. The optimal cut-off value for ROC corresponds to the point of an optimal trade-off between sensitivity and specificity. The ROC curve was derived from the cut-off value of the number of laminectomies, with equal weights for both sensitivity and specificity, to distinguish between patients with “postoperative kyphosis” and “no postoperative kyphosis.” The accuracy of the ROC curve was evaluated using the calculated area under the curve (AUC). A P-value of <0.05 was considered significant. Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software (version 26.0; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Participant characteristics

During the study period, 56 patients underwent thoracic spinal tumor resection, and 31 were available for follow-up more than 24 months after surgery. The cervicothoracic, thoracic, and thoracolumbar spinal tumor levels were seen in 1, 20, and 10 cases, respectively. There were 26 cases of intradural tumors, of which 13 were intramedullary. Twenty-six patients underwent primary surgeries, and five had undergone surgeries previously at other hospitals (Table 1). The histological diagnoses of the tumors were schwannoma, meningioma, ependymoma, hemangioma, myxopapillary ependymoma, hemangioblastoma, and others in 6, 5, 5, 5, 2, 2, and 6 cases, respectively (Table 1).

Surgical details

Sixteen patients underwent laminectomy only, one laminoplasty only, one combined laminectomy and laminoplasty, and 13 underwent laminectomy and/or laminoplasty combined with fusion (Table 2). The average numbers of laminectomies and laminoplasties were 3.1±1.8 and 0.3±1.0, respectively. The average number of vertebrae included in the laminectomy or laminoplasty was 3.3±1.7.

MRI measurements

The UV-LV kyphotic angle ranged from 10.4°±10.9° at baseline to 18.3°±14.6° at the final follow-up with a ΔUV-LV kyphotic angle of 7.9°±7.5° (Table 3). There were 12 cases (39%) of postoperative kyphosis with a ΔUV-LV kyphotic angle of 10° or more. There was no significant difference in the UV-LV kyphotic angle at baseline between the two groups (postoperative kyphosis vs. no postoperative kyphosis: 14.3°±15.5° vs. 7.9°±5.9°, P=0.116).

Differences in patient background, tumor level, and histology between the postoperative kyphosis and no postoperative kyphosis groups

There was no significant difference in the follow-up time between the postoperative kyphosis and no postoperative kyphosis groups (78.8±31.9 vs. 59.2±20.8 months, P=0.077; Table 1). In addition, there was no significant association between the groups in terms of age, sex, whether the patient was reoperated or not, tumor level, tumor localization, tumor pathology, and postoperative kyphosis.

Differences in surgical factors between the postoperative kyphosis and no postoperative kyphosis groups

The number of laminectomies was significantly higher in the postoperative kyphosis group than in the no postoperative kyphosis group (3.9±2.2 vs. 2.6±1.2 vertebrae, P=0.046; Table 2). In patients without fixation (n=18), the number of laminectomies was significantly higher in the postoperative kyphosis group than in the no postoperative kyphosis group (4.3±2.3 vs. 2.4±0.7 vertebrae, P=0.041). There was no significant difference in the number of laminoplasties between the two groups (0.3±0.9 vs. 0.3±1.1 vertebrae, P=0.973) as well as in the total number of laminectomies or laminoplasties performed between the groups (4.0±1.9 vs. 2.9±1.4 vertebrae, P=0.070). No significant association was observed for radiation therapy between the postoperative kyphosis group and the no postoperative kyphosis group.

Factors associated with postoperative kyphosis

Spearman correlation analysis showed that ΔUV-LV kyphotic angle significantly correlated with number of laminectomies (r=0.550, P=0.001) and number of vertebrae included in the laminectomy or laminoplasty (r=0.453, P=
Table 1. Baseline Patient Background.

| Variable                                | Total (n=31) | Postoperative kyphosis (n=12) | No postoperative kyphosis (n=19) | P-value† |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|
| Number                                   | 31           | 12 (12/31; 39%)               | 19 (19/31; 61%)                 |          |
| Age at surgery (years)                  | 53.0±19.3    | 49.6±22.4                    | 55.2±17.3                       | 0.442    |
| Female N (%)                            | 17 (55%)     | 9 (9/12; 75%)                | 8 (8/19; 42%)                   | 0.072    |
| Follow-up term (months)                 | 66.8±26.9    | 78.8±31.9                    | 59.2±20.8                       | 0.077    |
| Primary or reoperation                  |              |                               |                                  |          |
| Primary                                 | 26 (26/31; 84%) | 10 (10/26; 39%)           | 16 (16/26; 62%)                  | 0.612    |
| Reoperation                             | 5 (5/31; 16%) | 2 (2/5; 40%)                 | 3 (3/5; 60%)                     |          |
| Level                                   |              |                               |                                  |          |
| Cervicothoracic                         | 1 (1/31; 3%) | 0 (0/1; 0%)                  | 1 (1/1; 100%)                   | 0.526    |
| Thoracic                                | 20 (20/31; 65%) | 9 (9/20; 45%)              | 11 (11/20; 55%)                  |          |
| Thoracolumbar                           | 10 (10/31; 32%) | 3 (3/11; 27%)              | 7 (7/10; 70%)                    |          |
| Tumor localization                      |              |                               |                                  |          |
| Intradural intramedullary              | 13 (13/31; 42%) | 4 (4/13; 31%)             | 9 (9/13; 69%)                    | 0.722    |
| Intradural extramedullary              | 13 (13/31; 42%) | 6 (6/13; 46%)              | 7 (7/13; 54%)                    |          |
| Extradural                             | 5 (5/31; 16%) | 2 (2/5; 40%)                 | 3 (3/5; 60%)                     |          |
| Pathology                               |              |                               |                                  |          |
| Schwannoma                              | 6 (6/31; 19%) | 2 (2/6; 33%)                 | 4 (4/6; 67%)                     | 0.109    |
| Meningioma                              | 5 (5/31; 19%) | 2 (2/5; 40%)                 | 3 (3/5; 60%)                     |          |
| Ependymoma                              | 5 (5/31; 19%) | 4 (4/5; 80%)                 | 1 (1/5; 20%)                     |          |
| Hemangiomia                             | 5 (5/31; 19%) | 0 (0/5; 0%)                  | 5 (5/5; 100%)                   |          |
| Myxopapillary ependymoma                | 2 (2/31; 7%) | 2 (2/2; 100%)                | 0 (0/2; 0%)                      |          |
| Hemangioblastoma                        | 2 (2/31; 7%) | 0 (0/2; 0%)                  | 2 (2/2; 100%)                   |          |
| Anaplastic ependymoma                   | 1 (1/31; 3%) | 0 (0/1; 0%)                  | 1 (1/1; 100%)                   |          |
| Hemangiopericytoma                      | 1 (1/31; 3%) | 0 (0/1; 0%)                  | 1 (1/1; 100%)                   |          |
| Fibrous tumor                           | 1 (1/31; 3%) | 0 (0/1; 0%)                  | 1 (1/1; 100%)                   |          |
| Ewing tumor                             | 1 (1/31; 3%) | 1 (1/1; 100%)                | 0 (0/1; 0%)                      |          |
| Intramedullary melanocytoma             | 1 (1/31; 3%) | 0 (0/1; 0%)                  | 1 (1/1; 100%)                   |          |
| Unknown                                 | 1 (1/31; 3%) | 1 (1/1; 100%)                | 0 (0/1; 0%)                      |          |

Mean values are presented as mean±SD. †Comparison between groups.

Table 2. Surgical Method and Radiation Therapy.

| Variable                                | Total | Postoperative kyphosis | No postoperative kyphosis | P-value† |
|-----------------------------------------|-------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| Method                                  |       |                        |                           |          |
| Laminectomy only                        | 16 (16/31; 52%) | 8 (8/16; 50%)         | 8 (8/16; 50%)             | 0.220    |
| Laminoplasty only                       | 1 (1/31; 3%)  | 1 (1/1; 100%)          | 0 (0/1; 0%)               |          |
| Laminectomy and laminoplasty            | 1 (1/31; 3%)  | 0 (0/1; 0%)            | 1 (1/1; 100%)             |          |
| Laminectomy and/or laminoplasty combined w/ fixation | 13 (13/31; 42%) | 3 (3/13; 23%)        | 10 (10/13; 77%)           |          |
| Number of laminectomies (all patients)  | 3.1±1.8| 3.9±2.2                 | 2.6±1.2                   | 0.046    |
| Number of laminectomies (patients w/o fixation) | 3.4±2.0 | 4.3±2.3               | 2.4±0.7                   | 0.041    |
| Number of laminoplasties                | 0.3±1.0| 0.3±0.9                 | 0.3±1.1                   | 0.973    |
| Number of vertebrae that underwent laminectomy or laminoplasty | 3.3±1.7 | 4.0±1.9             | 2.9±1.4                   | 0.070    |
| Radiation therapy                       |       |                        |                           |          |
| Yes                                     | 4 (4/31; 13%) | 2 (2/4; 50%)         | 2 (2/4; 50%)              | 0.507    |
| No                                      | 27 (27/31; 87%) | 10 (10/29; 37%)     | 17 (17/27; 63%)           |          |

Mean values are presented as mean±SD. †Comparison between groups. Bold type indicates statistical significance.

0.011; Table 4). Multivariate analysis showed that number of laminectomies was independently associated with ΔUV-LV kyphotic angle (standardization coefficient, 0.513; P=0.011).

Additional posterior fixation

One (3%) patient required delayed posterior fixation due to kyphosis. A 12-year-old girl with Ewing’s sarcoma underwent a T7-T10 laminectomy with gross tumor resection. Af-
Figure 2. Representative sagittal magnetic resonance images of a 12-year-old girl with Ewing’s sarcoma preoperatively (A) and three years postoperatively (B). She underwent a T7-T10 laminectomy with gross tumor resection. After tumor removal, she received radiation and chemotherapy. The T7-T10 kyphotic angle was 16° preoperatively, but there was a gradual progression of kyphotic deformity postoperatively, with an increase in the kyphotic angle to 29° at three years postoperatively. T3-L3 fixation for increasing kyphotic deformity with back pain was performed at three years after tumor resection surgery (C).

Table 3. Kyphotic Angle.

| Variable                              | Total (n=31) | Postoperative kyphosis (n=12) | No postoperative kyphosis (n=19) | P-value† |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|
| UV-LV kyphotic angle at baseline (°)  | 10.4±10.9    | 14.3±15.5                     | 7.9±5.9                          | 0.116    |
| UV-LV kyphotic angle at last follow-up (°) | 18.3±14.6    | 29.5±17.0                     | 11.2±6.5                         | 0.003    |
| ΔUV-LV kyphotic angle (°)              | 7.9±7.5      | 15.3±6.4                      | 3.3±3.2                          | <0.001   |

Mean values are presented as mean±SD. †Comparison between groups. Bold type indicates statistical significance.

Table 4. Spearman Correlation Between Demographic, or Surgical Parameters and ΔUV-LV Kyphotic Angle.

| Parameter                                                      | Correlation coefficient | P-value |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Age at surgery                                                | −0.066                  | 0.725   |
| Follow-up term (months)                                       | 0.333                   | 0.067   |
| Number of laminectomies                                       | 0.550                   | 0.001   |
| Number of laminoplasties                                      | −0.096                  | 0.606   |
| Number of vertebrae that underwent laminectomy or laminoplasty | 0.453                   | 0.011   |
| UV-LV kyphotic angle at baseline                              | 0.332                   | 0.068   |
| UV-LV kyphotic angle at last follow-up                        | 0.695                   | 0.000   |

Bold type indicates statistical significance.


Table 5. Differences in Demographic and MRI Parameters Between Patients with and Without Fixation.

| Variable                                      | With fixation (n=13) | Without fixation (n=18) | P-value† |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| Age at surgery (years)                        | 60.2±17.2            | 47.8±19.4               | 0.075    |
| Female N (%)                                  | 7 (7/13; 54%)        | 10 (10/18; 59%)         | 0.925    |
| Follow-up term (months)                       | 59.1±27.3            | 72.3±26.0               | 0.180    |
| Primary or reoperation                        |                      |                         |          |
| Primary                                      | 11 (11/26; 42%)      | 15 (15/26; 58%)         | 0.659    |
| Reoperation                                   | 2 (2/5; 40%)         | 3 (3/5; 60%)            |          |
| Level                                         | 1 (1/1; 100%)        | 0 (0/1; 0%)             | 0.398    |
| Cervicothoracic                               | 10 (10/20; 50%)      | 10 (10/20; 50%)         |          |
| Thoracic                                      | 3 (3/10; 30%)        | 7 (7/10; 70%)           |          |
| Tumor localization                            |                      |                         |          |
| Intradural intramedullary                     | 2 (2/13; 15%)        | 11 (11/13; 85%)         | 0.024    |
| Intradural extramedullary                     | 7 (7/13; 54%)        | 6 (6/13; 46%)           |          |
| Extramedullary                                | 4 (4/5; 80%)         | 1 (1/5; 20%)            |          |
| Pathology                                     |                      |                         |          |
| Schwannoma                                    | 3 (3/6; 50%)         | 3 (3/6; 50%)            | 0.119    |
| Meningioma                                    | 4 (4/5; 80%)         | 1 (1/5; 20%)            |          |
| Ependymoma                                    | 0 (0/5; 0%)          | 5 (5/5; 100%)           |          |
| Hemangioma                                    | 2 (2/5; 40%)         | 3 (3/5; 60%)            |          |
| Myxopapillary ependymoma                      | 0 (0/2; 0%)          | 2 (2/2; 100%)           |          |
| Hemangioblastoma                              | 2 (2/2; 100%)        | 0 (0/2; 100%)           |          |
| Anaplastic ependymoma                         | 0 (0/1; 0%)          | 1 (1/1; 100%)           |          |
| Hemangiopericytoma                            | 1 (1/1; 100%)        | 0 (0/1; 0%)             |          |
| Fibrous tumor                                 | 0 (0/1; 0%)          | 1 (1/1; 100%)           |          |
| Ewing tumor                                   | 0 (0/1; 100%)        | 1 (1/1; 100%)           |          |
| Intradural melanocytoma                       | 0 (0/1; 0%)          | 1 (1/1; 100%)           |          |
| Unknown                                       | 1 (1/1; 100%)        | 0 (0/1; 0%)             |          |
| Number of laminectomies                       | 2.8±1.4              | 3.4±2.0                 | 0.343    |
| Number of laminoplasties                      | 0.2±0.0              | 0.4±1.3                 | 0.177    |
| Number of vertebrae that underwent laminectomy or laminoplasty | 2.8±1.4 | 3.7±1.8 | 0.116 |
| Radiation therapy                             |                      |                         |          |
| Yes                                           | 1 (1/4; 25%)         | 3 (3/4; 75%)            | 0.434    |
| No                                            | 12 (12/27; 44%)      | 15 (15/27; 56%)         |          |
| UV-LV kyphotic angle at baseline (°)           | 8.8±5.4              | 11.5±13.6               | 0.501    |
| UV-LV kyphotic angle at last follow-up (°)     | 14.5±6.7             | 21.0±18.1               | 0.173    |
| ΔUV-LV Kyphotic angle (°)                     | 5.7±3.9              | 9.5±9.0                 | 0.125    |

Mean values are presented as mean±SD. †Comparison between groups. Bold type indicates statistical significance.

Differences in demographic and MRI parameters between patients with and without fixation

There were no significant differences between patients with and without fixation in terms of age, sex, whether the patient was reoperated or not, tumor level, tumor pathology, or UV-LV kyphotic angle at baseline (Table 5). With regard to tumor localization, the ratio of patients with fixation among intradural intramedullary, intradural extramedullary, and extradural spinal cord tumors was significantly different (P=0.024). In the 18 patients without fixation, the ROC curve analysis indicated that the best cut-off level of the number of laminectomies for predicting the postoperative kyphosis was 3.5, with a sensitivity and a specificity of 66.7% and 100%, respectively. The AUC was 0.827 (P=0.004; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.607-1.047).

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the incidence of postoperative kyphotic deformity after resection of thoracic spinal cord tumors and whether corrective fixation was performed during follow-up for the kyphotic deformity. The study encompassed intradural and extradural thoracic spinal cord tumors, including intramedullary tumors, and investigated if tumor characteristics, site of origin, or surgical factors were associated with the postoperative kyphotic deformity. The results showed that 39% of the patients with tho-
rachic spinal cord tumors had a postoperative kyphotic deformity within a mean follow-up period of about five years. However, patients requiring corrective fixation surgery for kyphotic deformity were few, with only one (3%) such patient present in this study. Although there are many reports on kyphotic deformity after cervical spinal cord tumor surgery[9,15,17,20,21,23], there are not many reports on kyphotic deformity after thoracic spinal cord tumor surgery[10,21]. Previous literature has reported that 2.4–27% of patients underwent additional fixation for postoperative kyphotic deformity during the postoperative course after cervical spinal cord tumor resection[9,15,17,20,21]. Regarding thoracic spinal cord tumors, Yeh et al. reported that the additional fixation was performed for postoperative kyphotic deformity in 5 (33%) of 15 patients[21]. Compared to these reports, the rate of additional fixation surgery in our study was very low. The risk factors for kyphotic deformity after spinal cord tumor resection requiring additional unscheduled fixation during follow-up have been reported to be the preoperative presence of spinal deformity[16,17], surgery of three or more levels of laminectomy[6], and more than 50% facetectomy[17,22]. Here, 13 (42%) patients underwent prophylactic fixation at the time of simultaneous spinal tumor resection. Patients with and without intraoperative prophylactic fixation did not differ in age, tumor height, tumor pathology, or preoperative kyphotic angle. We used prophylactic fusion to prevent postoperative kyphosis deformity in patients who had more than 50% of the facet joint removed during the laminectomy, which may be related to the results of the low incidence of additional unscheduled fixation for postoperative kyphotic deformity. Prophylactic fixation was more frequent in epidural and intradural extramedullary tumors than in intradural intramedullary tumors. The reason for this may be that the dumbbell-type epidural tumors and meningiomas of intradural extramedullary tumors require partial or complete removal of facet joints during a laminectomy in some cases.

The average kyphotic angle between vertebrae in patients who underwent laminectomy or laminoplasty increased by 7.9° postoperatively. Kobayashi et al. reported that the kyphotic deformity of the upper thoracic spine progressed by about 20° after surgery for upper thoracic spinal cord tumors, but kyphosis did not progress in middle or lower thoracic spinal cord tumors[16]. The cervicothoracic spine, which is the transition between the flexible lordotic cervical spine and the relatively rigid kyphotic thoracic spine, can be mechanically vulnerable after laminectomy[27]. In our study, there was no significant difference in the incidence of kyphotic deformity after tumor resection among cervical, thoracic, and thoracolumbar spinal cord tumors. However, due to the small number of cervicothoracic cases in this study, further investigation is needed.

Regarding tumor localization, whether the spinal tumor was intradural or intramedullary was not associated with kyphotic deformity. Some cases of extradural tumors included those in which the tumor had penetrated the intervertebral foramen, and the facet joint had to be removed prior to tumor resection. These cases are a risk factor for postoperative kyphotic deformity[6,12,24]. In the present study, cases with facetectomy were treated with fusion.

The average number of laminectomies was 3.1 in this study, and the increased number of laminectomies was associated with postoperative kyphosis. Conversely, the number of laminoplasties averaged 0.3 and was not associated with postoperative kyphosis deformity. In the literature, there is no clear evidence that laminoplasty reduces the risk of postoperative kyphosis compared to laminectomy[13,25]. However, the importance of preserving the posterior supportive tissue has been suggested[27]. Our policy is to perform laminectomy or laminoplasty for spinal cord tumors as we believe that a sufficient margin of normal tissue above and below the tumor is necessary to prevent paralysis during tumor resection. Therefore, the number of laminectomies or laminoplasties (mean=3.3 levels) was not less than the mean of 2.6 levels reported by Sciubba et al. and 2.4 levels reported by Tatter et al.[15]. The results of the ROC analysis showed that the cut-off value for the number of laminectomies to predict postoperative kyphosis progression was 3.5. This means that prophylactic fixation may be considered when performing a laminectomy of four or more vertebrae.

Spinal deformity after radiation therapy has been reported previously[20,26]. The effects of radiation are especially significant in immature spines[29]. In the present study, the kyphotic deformity was developed postoperatively and required additional fixation in a pediatric patient who underwent concomitant radiation therapy. Due to the limited number of patients who received radiation therapy in this study, the relationship between radiation therapy and kyphosis deformity possibly did not reach statistical significance. In pediatric patients with spinal cord tumors who undergo postoperative radiation therapy, patients and their families should be fully informed that kyphosis deformity may progress after surgery and require additional fixation surgery.

There are several limitations to this study. First, the study did not evaluate pre- and postoperative deformities on standing posteroanterior and lateral whole spine radiographs. The changes were based on local MRI findings, which may underestimate the progression of the kyphotic deformity. Since many patients did not have standing whole spine radiographs preoperatively and at final follow-up, we used MRI to assess kyphosis angle in this study. Second, in cases where tumor resection was combined with fixation, there may be a difference in alignment between the preoperative and immediate postoperative periods. Although there were cases in which the UV-LV angle increased postoperatively, even in cases in which fixation was combined, the change in the fixation angle at the time of surgery was not considered because MRI evaluated it before surgery and at the final follow-up. There were no cases with obvious screw loosening in the fixation group. Therefore, we speculate that the cases with increased UV-LV kyphotic angle at the final follow-up despite combined fixation may have been kyphotic after intraoperative laminectomy and fixed in that
alignment. Third, due to the retrospective nature of the study, clinical outcomes and neurological severity preoperatively and at the final follow-up were not assessed in some cases. Therefore, we could not analyze whether clinical outcomes and neurological severity were related to postoperative kyphotic deformity.

In conclusion, although kyphotic deformity after spinal tumor surgery was observed in about 39% of the cases in the present study, corrective surgery was rarely required due to the progression of the deformity. We used prophylactic fusion to prevent postoperative kyphotic deformity in patients who had more than 50% of the facet joint removed during the laminectomy, which may be related to the low incidence of additional unscheduled fixation for postoperative kyphotic deformity. The high number of laminectomies is a risk factor for postoperative kyphosis, and prophylactic fixation may be considered in cases of multiple laminectomies.
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