Neuroradiology Back to the Future: Head and Neck Imaging
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Imaging of the head and neck region began shortly after the discovery of x-rays. With time, radiographic technique advanced, and various radiographic procedures related to the head and neck region were introduced. Until the advent of CT and MR imaging, the radiologist could only detect disease in the head and neck region if it altered or destroyed bone or impinged on a normally air-filled structure. Cross-sectional imaging finally allowed the noninvasive visualization of the deeper structures of the complex head and neck region and altered how patients with diseases affecting this region are diagnosed and treated.

The Beginning: X-rays of the Head and Neck
Reports of the first clinically useful x-rays of the head and neck region appeared within months of Roentgen’s discovery in late 1895, with x-rays performed to locate foreign bodies, including those lodged in the proximal esophagus and orbit.1-5 The first report of x-rays of the larynx was published by Dr John MacIntyre of Great Britain in 1896.1,3,6 The first radiologic description of a laryngeal tumor appeared in the medical literature in 1901.7 As early as 1896, MacIntyre opined that fluoroscopy would likely be more useful in assessing the larynx than radiographs. Movement of the larynx and swallowing function under fluoroscopy was described in the early 1900s by Max Scheier, a German otolaryngologist, who also studied function under fluoroscopy was described in the early 1900s.7,8 Numerous diseases of the larynx and their radiographic appearance were described in the medical literature during the first quarter of the 20th century, including tumors; infections, in particular tuberculosis and syphilis; and strictures from a variety of causes.7

In 1896, Scheier was also one of the first physicians to realize the value of x-rays of the paranasal sinuses in patients with sinus disease. This evolved from a clinical need to define the sinuses before surgery and, in some cases, avoid unnecessary operations.1-3 Additional reports of sinus x-rays soon followed from investigators in Europe and the United States. Many of these early investigations described special x-ray views that allowed the best visualization of the various paranasal sinuses despite the overlapping bones of the skull and maxillofacial region.1-3 These views included the Caldwell view, described by Eugene W. Caldwell, MD, in 1907 and the Waters view, introduced 8 years later by Drs C.A. Waters and C.W. Waldron.9,10 Many of these views also optimized radiographic delineation of the bones of the maxillofacial region and bony orbit, with additional views developed with time to assess specific areas, such as the optic canal (Fig 1).11 Other innovations included immobilizing the patient while obtaining the x-ray, with a chair developed for this purpose in 1915 that combined a head frame and cassette holder, and erect films to demonstrate fluid levels in the paranasal sinuses, both described by Dr Percy Brown in 1915.12,13 The ability to identify the sphenoid sinuses on x-rays led to the introduction of the transsphenoidal approach to pituitary tumors in 1909, which, in many cases, replaced the intracranial approach, which was associated with high morbidity. This approach was suggested by Dr Arthur Schüller of Austria, considered by many to be the father of neuroradiology.1-3,14 As with all x-ray examinations in the late 1800s and early 1900s, exposure times were long, lasting minutes. In fact, hair loss was common following sinus x-rays.3

The initial effort at temporal bone x-rays in the early 1900s was primarily an attempt to diagnose causes of hearing loss, particularly infections and cholesteatoma of the middle ear and the mastoid air cells.3,15 Due to the complex anatomy of the temporal bone, special views had to be developed to depict the various portions of the temporal bone, particularly the middle ear and mastoid air cells.3 Many of these views were lateral projections with varying degrees of angulation. These special views of the temporal bones included the Schüller, Mayer, Stenver, and Towne views (Fig 2).1,3,16 Another early investigator, Swedish pathologist Folke Henschens, in conjunction with radiologist Gösta Forsell, hypothesized as early as 1910 that acoustic tumors should produce widening of the internal acoustic meatus as they had seen at autopsy.
Two years later they were able to prove this hypothesis radiographically.17

The first x-ray atlas of laryngeal disease was published by Dr Arthur Thost in Germany in 1913. The following year the book The Roentgen Diagnosis for the ENT Physician was published in Germany. In 1930, one of the earliest books on otologic radiology was published in Austria.3

Contrast Examinations of the Head and Neck
Despite the previously mentioned advances, diseases of the head and neck could only be diagnosed radiographically if they opacified normal air-containing structures or destroyed or otherwise altered the bone.18

A dacryocystogram was the first contrast study of the head and neck region, performed by Dr A.E. Ewing19 in 1906, using bismuth subnitrate in liquid petroleum as the contrast agent. In 1922, Sicard and his student Forestier20 reported on the intrathecal use of iodized poppy seed oil, Lipiodol (Andre Guerbet, Aulnay-sous-Bois, France), in diagnosing spinal masses. The first dacryocystogram using Lipiodol was reported in 1924.21 Subsequently, other oil-based contrast agents were used for dacryocystography, including ethiodized oil (Ethiodol) and iophendylate (Pantopaque; Lafayette Pharmaceutical, Lafayette, Indiana).21,22 Oil-based contrast agents continued to be used for decades for dacryocystography though there were some disadvantages. Specifically, the oil-based compounds were not completely miscible, with tears resulting in globules of contrast and failure to fill the entire nasolacrimal system.21-23 Extravasated contrast could remain in the soft tissues for years and incite granuloma formation. Because the oil-based agents were more viscous than tears, they at times needed to be heated before injecting.22,23 Following their development, numerous water-soluble contrast agents were used for dacryocystography. Some of these agents, particularly the ionic agents, were too irritating to inject in the lacrimal system. Because of their lower viscosity, the water-soluble agents often drained so rapidly from the lacrimal system that higher injection rates and volumes were required.23

The first known sialography was performed in France in 1913. A bismuth solution was injected into the submandibular duct, demonstrating a stone; however, the use of bismuth as a contrast agent was soon discontinued due to cases of bismuth poisoning.1,7 A 20% solution of potassium iodide in water was also used for sialography in the 1920s but was abandoned because it was too irritating.24 In 1931, Lipiodol was first introduced for sialography. This material or similar-type contrast...
agents continued to be used for decades, though other agents including Pantopaque and water-soluble contrast agents were also used. 

Lipiodol was also used for laryngography and contrast studies of the paranasal sinuses. The first use of Lipiodol in the larynx and pharynx was by Dr Samuel Iglauer in 1926; however, the Lipiodol often resulted in irregular coating of the larynx. In 1942, laryngography by using a pump atomizer that sprayed a 40% solution of neoiodipin through a curved cannula extending behind the epiglottis was described by Farinas. 

This technique provided excellent images but required the larynx to be anesthetized and cannulated. Occasionally this procedure resulted in laryngeal spasm. 

Lipiodol was also used for sialography, and contrast agents continued to be used for decades, though other agents including Pantopaque and water-soluble contrast agents were also used. 

Sialography was used for both benign and malignant disease of the salivary glands. This was often a painful procedure for patients, and contrast leakage into the mouth could cause consternation for the radiologist (Fig 3). 

Laryngograms still provided only information about the mucosal surface, with no information about deeper extension by a pathologic process. 

The injection of iodized oil into the paranasal sinuses was first described in 1926. With time, various methods were developed for the injection of contrast into the sinuses, including direct injection into a sinus; completely filling it; and an indirect method, sometimes referred to as “suffusion.” The latter technique, contrast was injected into the nasal fossa and manipulated into the sinuses by gravity during pressure changes in the nose, either self-induced by the patient or with suction applied to the nose. Delayed films were sometimes obtained 48–96 hours after the contrast injection in an effort to obtain physiologic or functional information about the emptying ability of the sinuses. 

Orbital venography was first described in 1951, with this imaging technique initially requiring surgical exposure of the angular vein. In the following decade, percutaneous and transjugular access to the pertinent vasculature was developed. By assessing the orbital veins for narrowing, occlusion, displacement, and other intrinsic abnormalities, this technique was used to diagnose a variety of benign and malignant processes of the orbits and cavernous sinuses. Although this technique was relatively well-tolerated by patients with only minimal sedation usually required, transient or permanent vision loss did sometimes occur as a complication of the procedure (Fig 4). 

As with imaging of other portions of the central nervous system, injected air was used as a contrast agent in orbital imaging. Both sub-Tenon and retrobulbar injections of air were used to localize foreign bodies and diagnose orbital masses. A variety of oil-based and water-soluble contrast agents was also injected into the retrobulbar space. The oily contrast media tended to pool in the tissue, making interpretation difficult. It was reabsorbed slowly and, in the long term, was often an irritant. The water-soluble agents were so rapidly absorbed that it made imaging difficult. Regardless of contrast agent used, it was not always possible to visualize small masses, and no information was provided about the blood supply to a lesion. 

Angiography was occasionally used to diagnose pathologic processes of the orbits, paranasal sinuses, nose, temporal bone, and neck regions, including paragangliomas, juvenile nasal angiofibromas, and acoustic neuromas. 

Angiography was occasionally used to diagnose pathologic processes of the orbits, paranasal sinuses, nose, temporal bone, and neck regions, including paragangliomas, juvenile nasal angiofibromas, and acoustic neuromas. 

Egas Moniz obtained the first internal carotid artery angiogram via cut-down of the carotid artery in 1927. Seldinger described using catheters placed percutaneously as a replacement for direct needle punctures in 1953. Lindgren described transfemoral catheterization of the vertebral artery in 1954. However, routine transfemoral catheterization of the intracranial vessels did not become common until the mid-1960s. This finally allowed visualization of all the extra- and intracranial cerebral arteries via a single femoral arterial puncture. 

Tumors of the head and neck region were primarily diagnosed by
their pattern of displacement of normal vessels and tumor vascularity (Fig 5).12,15

The Development of Tomography and the Evolution of Conventional Radiography

Tomography was introduced in the early 1930s with most crediting Bernard Ziedes Des Plantes of the Netherlands with first describing this technique in 1931.3,44 Although originally known by a variety of terms, including planigraphy, stratigraphy, laminography, and sectional radiography, the technique was based on linear movements in opposite directions of the x-ray tube and the film-containing cassette holder. Selective tissue planes could be seen in detail with exclusion of anatomic structures that were located above and below the plane of interest. With time, modifications in tube movement were introduced to improve anatomic detail, including circular, elliptic, and hypocycloidal tomography. It eventually became possible to obtain images with a section thickness as small as 1 mm.3 The first publication on tomography of the larynx appeared in 1936 and stressed the value of tomography in diagnosing laryngeal cancer.7 During the next 5 years, multiple additional studies were published on laryngeal tomography illustrating the normal larynx as well as a variety of benign and malignant laryngeal pathologic processes.7,45 Compared with laryngography, tomography had the advantage of being convenient and required no patient preparation.7,46

In the late 1930s and early 1940s, tomography of the paranasal sinuses and temporal bones was introduced; however, it was the development of complex motion tomography (eg, hypocycloidal) in the 1950s that really advanced the imaging of the paranasal sinuses, orbits, facial bones, temporal bones, and skull base.3,12,15,47 In particular, Galdino Valvassori at the University of Chicago was instrumental in developing the role of complex motion tomography of the temporal bone in the early 1960s. It was Valvassori’s course on radiology in otolaryngology and opthalmology that evolved into the founding of the American Society of Head and Neck Radiology in 1976.48 Tomography was often combined with some of the various contrast studies of the head and neck region described above and was often used in conjunction with conventional x-rays as a screening tool, particularly for assessment of the larynx and temporal bones.49,50 Limitations of tomography included being time consuming and therefore expensive to

Fig 5. Adolescent boy with epistaxis. Lateral tomogram through the right side of the nasopharynx (A) shows a nasopharyngeal mass extending into and enlarging the pterygopalatine fossa with forward bowing of the posterior wall of the maxillary antrum (short black arrow) and posterior displacement of the pterygoid process (short white arrow). The mass also extends into the anterior half of the sphenoid sinus (long white arrow) and right maxillary antrum (long black arrow). B, Lateral tomogram through the left side of the nasopharynx shows a normal pterygopalatine fossa (arrows). C, Lateral view from the right external carotid artery angiogram shows a very vascular mass (black arrows) in the nasopharynx, right pterygopalatine fossa, anterior sphenoid sinus, posterior right maxillary sinus, and posterior inferior right orbit. Supply is from the internal maxillary artery (white arrow).
perform. There were also concerns about the relatively high radiation dose to the patient.50

Conventional radiography remained a mainstay in head and neck imaging with advances made with time in the imaging of this region. Radiographic technique was varied to accentuate different structures, as seen in the following examples. A high-kilovoltage selective filtration technique was developed for radiography of the larynx in an effort to minimize confusion from the overlapping shadows of the cervical spine.7,51 Fluoroscopic and spot-film examination of the larynx was also performed, particularly to assess the vocal cords and subglottic region. This examination could be performed quickly on equipment available in most radiology departments without the use of a contrast agent and no need for anesthesia or other patient preparation.52,53 The use of xeroradiography of the larynx was also advocated to improve delineation of the larynx and surrounding soft tissues.54 Multiple radiographic views were developed to fully assess the detailed overlapping anatomy of the head and neck. A 1938 article by Shebesta55 indicated that at least 24 projections for imaging the temporal bone had been described by that time, which sometimes led to confusion among radiologists as to which views should be obtained.

Cross-Sectional Imaging of the Head and Neck
As with imaging of the brain and spine, the advent of CT and MR imaging a short time later revolutionized the field of radiology.14,36 The first CT scanner introduced in 1973 by Electric and Musical Industries (London, UK) was designed to image only the head, but by the following year, an article had already been published describing a modification of the usual head scanning technique that afforded the first rudimentary CT images of the orbit.57-59 The first whole-body CT scanner was introduced in 1974.60 The larger gantry aperture and the ability to angle the gantry allowed some degree of direct coronal CT images to be obtained. Coronal imaging was soon applied to the orbit, paranasal sinuses, maxillofacial bones, and temporal bone.61,62 During the next several years, multiple studies were published describing the CT findings of a variety of infectious, inflammatory, traumatic, and neoplastic diseases of the head and neck.63-65 Although at this time, the fine bone detail and destructive osseous processes were still better assessed with conventional tomography, soft-tissue extent of disease was clearly better delineated with CT.53,64 The use of iodinated contrast material further improved the conspicuity of many abnormalities.3

By the mid-1980s, studies had shown the utility of CT for staging head and neck tumors as an improvement in comparison with the clinical examination and routine radiographic modalities in many patients. CT could detect lymph node metastases that were clinically occult and extranodal extension.48,66,67 CT-guided biopsy of head and neck processes was also introduced, which allowed the less invasive (versus surgery) confirmation of the presence and extent of primary and metastatic disease.68 These advances led to greater precision in treatment planning and decreased morbidity and mortality.3 With the introduction of functional endoscopic sinus surgery in the early 1990s, coronal sinus CT became an important imaging technique before surgery and also aided in the development of this surgical technique.3,48,69 More recently, the introduction of helical CT and multidetector row CT has led to shorter examination times, fewer motion artifacts, and greater spatial resolution, making multiplanar reformatted images and CT angiography clinically feasible.70-73 These advances in CT technique also permitted less contrast to be used while still obtaining excellent contrast opacification compared with conventional CT.72 Functional CT now plays an important role in head and neck imaging, particularly with regard to head and neck malignancies, with PET and perfusion CT.74,75

The first commercial MR imaging scanner was introduced in 1980, and the first superconducting magnet was put in clinical use in 1981; however, MR imaging studies of the extracranial head and neck were more slowly accepted than those of the brain and spine.76,77 The delayed development in MR imaging was related to technical difficulties in imaging the head and neck, including image quality, surface coils, motion artifacts, and anatomic variations that were not present in the brain and spine. The introduction of specialized surface coils in the mid 1980s for imaging of the head and neck region was the major technical advance that made MR imaging of this region clinically feasible. By the late 1980s, diagnostic MR imaging for a wide variety of head and neck lesions was possible.77 The soft-tissue resolution of MR imaging is significantly better in comparison with CT. In many cases, tumor margins were better delineated compared with CT, and subtle bone and cartilage invasion could be detected.77,78 The introduction of gadolinium-based contrast agents and fat-saturated images further improved the sensitivity of MR imaging for a variety of head and neck lesions.79,80 It was now possible to see enhancement of the cranial nerves in a variety of infectious, inflammatory, and neoplastic processes.48,81,82 The introduction of MR angiography further improved the diagnostic capability of MR imaging, particularly with regard to entities such as pulsatile tinnitus.83 The more recent clinical introduction of higher field strength (3T) MR imaging machines has led to improvements in signal and contrast resolution.84 Functional imaging has been used in the head and neck, though its application is not as robust as in the brain. Diffusion-weighted imaging has shown promise in distinguishing benign from malignant lesions and in assessing therapeutic responses in patients with head and neck cancer.85,86 As with CT perfusion, MR perfusion may be helpful in predicting tumor response to chemoradiation in patients with head and neck cancer.87,88

CT and MR imaging now both have wide, often complementary applications for the evaluation of head and neck processes. CT is usually the examination of choice for trauma, infections, bone erosion, conductive hearing loss, middle ear cholesteatoma, and sialoliths. MR imaging is superior at detecting perineural tumor spread, complicated infections, and disease processes with suspected intracranial and/or intraorbital extension. Both modalities now play a role in the imaging evaluation of head and neck masses and lymph nodes.89,90

Conclusions
As with imaging of the brain and spine, profound advances have been made in head and neck imaging that have had a positive impact on the diagnosis and treatment of patients. Until the emergence of CT and MR imaging, radiologists were mainly limited to diagnosing disease after it opacified a nor-
mally air-filled structure or destroyed bone. A variety of invasive procedures added little to the radiologic armamentarium at that time. The currently available cross-sectional imaging techniques allow accurate depiction of the complex anatomy and pathologic processes of the head and neck regions that was likely undreamed of in the early days of radiology and have advanced the diagnosis and treatment of patients. We expect that progress will continue in the future, which will lead to even less invasive, safer, faster, more specific diagnostic techniques, resulting in even earlier diagnosis and treatment with a continuing positive impact on patient outcome.
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