Exploring the relationship between fatigue and circulating levels of the pro-inflammatory biomarkers interleukin-6 and C-reactive protein in the chronic stage of stroke recovery: A cross-sectional study
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

\textbf{Background:} The precise mechanisms underlying the aetiology of post-stroke fatigue remain poorly understood. Inflammation has been associated with clinically significant fatigue across a number of neurological disorders; however, at present there is a lack of evidence regarding the association of fatigue and inflammation in the chronic phase of stroke recovery.

\textbf{Aims:} The aim of this study was to examine fatigue in a cohort of stroke survivors in the chronic phase of stroke, compared with matched controls, and to explore associations between the pro-inflammatory cytokine interleukin-6, high-sensitivity C-reactive Protein and fatigue.

\textbf{Methods:} We performed an exploratory cross-sectional study of 70 people in the chronic phase of stroke recovery, and 70 age matched controls. Fatigue was assessed using the Fatigue Assessment Scale. Interleukin-6 was measured in serum using a commercially available enzyme immunoassay kit. Both outcome measures were assessed contemporaneously.

\textbf{Results:} Clinically significant fatigue, defined as a score $\geq$24 on the Fatigue Assessment Scale, was reported by 60% of stroke survivors, and 15.7% of controls. The odds of experiencing clinically significant fatigue was 8.04 times higher among stroke survivors compared to control participants (odds ratio 8.045; 95% CI: 3.608, 17.939; \textit{P} < 0.001). The fatigue score was significantly correlated with the level of both interleukin-6 and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, however once entered into a linear regression model with cardiovascular covariables, this relationship was no longer statistically significant.

\textbf{Conclusions:} This study shows that fatigue may be associated with systemic inflammation in the chronic phase of stroke. The pathological mechanisms underlying post-stroke fatigue and its clinical implications require further study.

\textbf{1. Introduction}

Over the last decade, the treatment of stroke has improved substantially, with the introduction of second generation thrombolytics and thrombectomy. These advances in stroke care have vastly improved survival rates, which although a welcome development, means that increasing numbers of people are living with significant stroke induced impairment within the community. Effectively managing recovery and
rehabilitation after stroke has proven challenging (Rose et al., 2014; Teasell, 2012). Many symptoms that patients report experiencing have proven to be very difficult to remediate, even in a modest way. Fatigue, classically described by a feeling of tiredness that cannot be improved by rest, is often reported as a major problem by stroke survivors (de Groot et al., 2003; White et al., 2012; Morley et al., 2005). Current reports indicate that the pooled prevalence of fatigue in stroke survivors is up to 50% (95% CI: 43–57%), and is relatively consistent in severity across time after stroke (Cunning et al., 2016). Apart from the impact on the patient’s lived experience, fatigue is also associated with a number of unfavourable outcomes including increased mortality (Naess et al., 2012), depression (van de Port et al., 2007a), delayed return to work (Andersen et al., 2012), increased dependency (Majljeewee, et al., 2015) and poorer quality of life (van de Port et al., 2007b).

The precise mechanisms underlying the aetiology of post-stroke fatigue remain poorly understood. While a number of potential predisposing factors such as stroke subtype (Naess et al., 2010), lesion site (Christensen et al., 2008; Snaphaen et al., 2011), stroke severity at admission (Radman et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2014a), cognitive dysfunction (Naess et al., 2011; Radman et al., 2012) and behavioural patterns (Duncan et al., 2012) have emerged, findings across studies have been variable, Radman et al. (2012), and Christensen et al. (2008), for instance, did not find an association between lesion site and post-stroke fatigue, in contrast to the study of Snaphaan et al. (2011), who associated infratentorial infarctions with an increased risk of post-stroke fatigue. To date, no clear evidence exists for any characteristic of stroke to be predictive of the development of fatigue. It is also uncertain whether socio-demographic factors including age, gender, marital status, living situation, education, and returning to paid work are associated with fatigue. However, fatigue does appear to be associated with other chronic affective symptoms, with depression being most widely reported (Wu et al., 2014b). Despite this, other evidence suggests that fatigue has been reported to be present even in the absence of depression (van der Werf et al., 2001). Thus, the exact determinants and underlying cause of fatigue post-stroke are unclear at present. The underlying causal mechanisms are likely to be complex and multifactorial, and probably overlap with chronic affective disorders.

An increasing body of evidence has linked post-stroke fatigue to pro-inflammatory markers. Certainly, this evidence has not ascribed any causality to these findings although the relationship is intriguing and has been reported in an increasing number of texts. (Ponchel et al., 2015; Kutlubaev et al., 2012). While not representing a mechanistic explanation per se, it is recognised that ischaemic insults, such as stroke, can trigger an inflammatory cascade in the brain leading to activation of inflammatory cells and the generation of inflammatory cytokines and reactive oxygen species (Jin et al., 2010; Nakajima and Kohsaka, 2001; Amantea et al., 2009; Schilling et al., 2003) into brain tissue. Activation of inflammatory cells within the brain appears also to be associated with the release of inflammatory cytokines into peripheral circulation (Shichita et al., 2012). Several studies have shown an association between poor stroke outcome and an increased level of inflammatory biomarkers (Whiteley et al., 2009; Capuron and Miller, 2011).

Many studies that have investigated the relationship between inflammation and post-stroke fatigue have focused on the acute stage of stroke recovery (Oernsmedt et al., 2011; Wen et al., 2018). We have little information on whether fatigue present in the later stages of the recovery process is also associated with enhanced peripheral pro-inflammatory activity (Wu et al., 2015; Becker, 2016). In the present study, we undertook an exploratory study in a previously described cohort (Gyawali et al., 2020), to consider if there may be a relationship between self-reported fatigue and the inflammatory biomarkers high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) and cytokine interleukin-6 (IL-6) during the chronic phase of stroke recovery. Several large-scale prospective epidemiological studies have identified high sensitivity hsCRP and IL-6 as reliable markers of low-grade chronic systemic inflammation (Ridker et al., 1997; Koenig et al., 1999; Bruunsgaard et al., 2003). These inflammatory biomarkers have shown strong predictive power for cardiovascular mortality and morbidity (Ridker et al., 1997; Koenig et al., 1999; Bruunsgaard et al., 2003). Furthermore, these biomarkers are routinely measured by standard commercial clinical laboratories, thus offering easy translation of the study in clinical settings. We measured both IL-6 and hsCRP levels in a cohort of chronic stroke survivors and compared this to group of age-matched controls.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

Full details of the study population have been described in a related publication (Gyawali et al., 2020). Briefly, participants were recruited between November 2017 and February 2019, and included 70 stroke survivors, and 70 age-matched controls. Community-dwelling stroke survivors in the chronic phase of stroke recovery (>5 months post-stroke) were recruited via the Hunter Stroke Research Volunteer Register based at the Hunter Medical Research Institute (HMRI). Stroke survivors who provided informed consent visited the study site, either independently or with assistance from community workers or family members, for a single study visit. Age-matched control participants were recruited from either the HMRI control registry, or via social media advertisements. Exclusion criteria included a history of pituitary and adrenal gland diseases for both groups, and the history of stroke for the control group. Participant’s history of cardiovascular risk factors was not a part of inclusion/exclusion criteria but were collected as described in covariables section. Ethics approval for this study was obtained from the Hunter New England Local Health District Human Research Ethics Committee (17/06/21/4.02). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to the study.

2.2. Measures

Fatigue: Fatigue was measured using the Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS) (Michielsen et al., 2004). The FAS is a 10-item scale evaluating both mental and physical symptoms of chronic fatigue in a 5-point likert-type response scale of 1 = never to 5 = always. The total possible score ranges from 10 to 50, where higher score represents higher level of fatigue. The FAS scale has acceptable psychometric properties, having good test-retest reliability and face validity (Mead et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2008). The FAS has previously been used to measure fatigue in stroke survivors, with one recent publication proposing a cut-off of ≥24 for identifying the presence of post-stroke fatigue (Cunning and Mead, 2017). This cut-off was applied in the present study to dichotomise fatigue for analysis.

Inflammatory markers: Approximately 10 mLs of blood was collected from all participants in EDTA and plain vials after fatigue assessment. The blood sample was centrifuged (1917 G for 10 min) within 60 min at room temperature. Aliquots (500 μL each) of plasma and serum samples were stored in Eppendorf tubes at -80 °C. IL-6 and hsCRP were measured from stored serum samples using commercially available ELISA assay kits (Cusabio®).

The distributions of hsCRP and IL-6 were both highly skewed, and in order to better fit a linear relationship between variables and stabilise the variance for analysis, values were log transformed. Log-transformed hsCRP and IL-6 were used for the analysis of cross-sectional associations between inflammatory markers and fatigue.

Covariables: Data were collected on demographic characteristics (age, sex), anthropometrics (height, weight, waist circumference, and blood pressure), self-reported clinical history of comorbid conditions (history of mental illness, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia and hypertension), and self-reported level of physical activity. Self-reported type and date of last stroke was collected from stroke survivors.
2.3. Statistical analyses

As this was an exploratory analysis no formal sample size calculation was performed. All analyses were conducted using SPSS version 25.0 software (IBM Corp., 2017). Linear regression analysis was used to compare FAS score and level of peripheral IL-6 and hsCRP between stroke survivors and age-matched controls. Pearson correlations were used to examine crude associations between fatigue and inflammatory markers in stroke survivors and controls. Chi-square tests were performed to compare the odds of being fatigued among stroke survivors compared to controls. Cross sectional associations between inflammatory markers and fatigue were examined using multivariate linear regression analysis, using these variables as continuous. To facilitate comparison across models, standardized regression coefficients ($\beta$s) were calculated, which express the change in standardised fatigue score per one standard deviation in log-transformed hsCRP or IL-6 concentration.

Covariates were selected based on previous literature around variables considered to be associated with systemic inflammation. Regression models were adjusted for demographics (age and sex), biomedical factors (BMI, systolic blood pressure, history of medical conditions), and health behaviours (physical activity) that may affect inflammatory status. Where models included stroke survivors only, adjustment was performed for time since stroke and stroke type.

A time dependent analysis was also conducted to examine the potential interaction between time since stroke and inflammatory markers, and the potential relationship with fatigue. This was examined by modelling the interaction of each inflammatory marker by time, on the FAS score.

3. Results

A total of 70 stroke survivors ranging from 5 months to 28 years post-stroke (median 38.5 months), and 70 matched controls participated in the study. The demographic and clinical characteristics of this cohort have been previously published (Gyawali et al., 2020). Table 1 presents demographic and clinical characteristics for both stroke survivors and age-matched control participants.

Overall, the clinical and demographic characteristics of stroke survivors and matched controls were similar, except for gender distribution and dyslipidaemia.

Table 1

| Demographic characteristics | Stroke survivors (N = 70) | Controls (N = 70) | P |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|---|
| Age, mean years (SD)        | 61.9 (13.8)              | 64.6 (10.0)      | 0.192 |
| Gender, male N (%)          | 38 (54.3)                | 24 (34.3)        | 0.027 |
| Clinical characteristics    |                          |                  |    |
| BMI, mean kg/m$^2$ (SD)      | 29.01 (6.5)              | 29.0 (5.7)       | 0.322 |
| Waist Circumference, mean cm (SD) | 98.7 (21.5)       | 95.4 (15.5)      | 0.301 |
| Systolic BP, mean mmHg (SD) | 131 (17)                 | 131 (18)         | 0.985 |
| Diastolic BP, mean mmHg (SD)| 78 (12)                  | 79 (6)           | 0.724 |

Self-reported history of:

- Diabetes, n (%) | 10 (14.3) | 6 (8.6) | 0.234 |
- Hypertension, n (%) | 28 (40.0) | 21 (30.0) | 0.131 |
- Dyslipidaemia, n (%) | 38 (54.3) | 16 (22.9) | < 0.001 |
- History of mental illness, n (%) | 15 (21.4) | 11 (15.7) | 0.302 |
- Physical activity, mean sessions per week (SD) | 1.0 (0.9) | 1.2 (0.7) | 0.222 |
- Stroke type, ischaemic/haemorrhagic/unknown | 41/26/3 | – | – |
- Time since stroke, median months (IQR) | 38.5 (13.75) | 117.50 | – | – |

Note: the demographic and clinical characteristics of this study cohort have been previously reported in Gyawali et al. (2020) (Gyawali et al., 2020).

Table 2

| Variables | Stroke survivors (n – 70) | Controls (n – 70) | P-Value |
|-----------|--------------------------|------------------|--------|
| Fatigue assessment scale (FAS score$^a$) | 24.90 (7.88) | 18.56 (3.36) | < 0.001 |
| IL-6$^b$ | 4.70 (1.50) | 3.15 (2.16) | 0.001 |
| hsCRP$^c$ | 2.82 (2.95) | 1.67 (1.99) | 0.022 |

$^a$ The comparison was adjusted for age and cardiometabolic risk factors including diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidaemia and waist circumference.

$^b$ The comparison was adjusted for cardiometabolic risk factors including diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, and waist circumference.

There was widespread recognition that fatigue is a frequent and significant symptom of concern for patients that have suffered a stroke (van der Werf et al., 2001; van Eijsden et al., 2012). Currently, while there have been some promising developments in the symptomatic control of fatigue in context of stroke, such as the use of modafinil (Jillipar et al., 2018), very little is known about the modulatory or causal biological mechanisms. While acknowledging this paucity of knowledge in the context of stroke, there has been a considerable amount of research into fatigue in the context of other pathological conditions (Bower and Lamkin, 2013; Lasselin et al., 2012). In these other contexts fatigue is frequently observed to co-occur with elevated levels of pro-inflammatory...
molecules, namely IL-6 and hsCRP, and their possible relationship with other long-term conditions, we thought it would be of interest to understand the linkages that other research groups have identified.

Firstly, in the present study, we considered fatigue status using the ten item FAS. The FAS is considered to be unidimensional, has been extensively validated, and is recognised for its robust psychometric properties (Mead et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2008). We identified that stroke survivors had significantly higher FAS scores relative to control participants (~33% higher, with a mean of 24.90 (7.88) vs 18.56 (5.36) for controls). We also used a cutoff proposed by Cumming and Mead, 2017). Using this criteria, we observed that the majority of stroke survivors reported significantly higher FAS scores relative to 35% of control participants. This finding is consistent with previous reports by Ingleby et al. (1999), who identified 68% of stroke survivors presented with significant fatigue relative to 35% of age-matched controls, and Van Der Werf et al (van der Werf et al., 2001), (51% in stroke survivors vs 16% in controls).

Biomedical factors used in adjustment set included BMI, systolic blood pressure, and history of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and mental illness. Health behavior used in adjustment set was physical activity. Statistically significant (p<0.05) results are bolded.

Symptoms of fatigue. This study therefore has been very much undertaken from a discovery and hypothesis generation perspective, rather than in an effort to establish causality.

Fig. 1. Scatter plot showing correlation between inflammatory markers (A) hsCRP and (B) IL-6; and FAS score. The values of hsCRP and IL-6 were log transformed.
(4.7 pg/mL vs 3.15 for controls for IL-6 and 2.82 pg/mL vs 1.67 for controls for hsCRP). The elevation of both markers is interesting as it suggests that irrespective of the cause, there is evidence for a significant inflammatory disturbance in stroke survivors. Clearly, based on this data no inferences can be made about the origin source of these inflammatory markers (central or peripheral origin), nor can we make inferences about whether these markers are simply epiphenomena, or whether they may be mechanistically involved with modulating fatigue status. Of note, Pearson correlation analysis of the relationship between IL-6, hsCRP and FAS indicated a significant positive correlation, indicating that higher levels of IL-6 and hsCRP were correlated with higher levels of self-reported fatigue on the FAS. An interaction analysis between inflammatory markers and time post stroke on fatigue was not statistically significant, which suggests that the relationship between inflammation and fatigue was preserved regardless of time post-stroke, which was variable in our stroke survivor group.

In an attempt to further understand the relationship between pro-inflammatory cytokines and fatigue in the stroke cohort, we analysed the influence of a number of potentially modulatory variables using linear regression. Our initial (baseline) model considered age, sex, stroke type and time since stroke as covariates. We next added physical activity as a covariate to the baseline model, given that this is a health behaviour known to modify systematic inflammation, and the significant relationship between IL-6/hsCRP and fatigue remained. This suggests that the inclusion of these covariates did not alter the positive and significant relationship between either IL-6 or hsCRP and fatigue. Although several studies have shown that regular physical activity reduces systemic inflammation, this appears to be dependent on the intensity. As we did not collect this information, we could not assess this potential relationship. Further, although physical activity has been shown in some studies to reduce cardiovascular risk via its anti-inflammatory effects, this relationship is presently less clear for fatigue outcomes and should be investigated in future studies.

Significant systemic inflammation has been observed in association with conditions including diabetes (Pitsavos et al., 2007), hypertension (Joppa et al., 2006), dyslipidaemia (Esteve et al., 2005), obesity (Ellulu et al., 2017), and psychiatric disorders (Osimo et al., 2018). When these comorbidities were entered into the regression model, the relationship between IL-6/hsCRP and fatigue among stroke survivors was no longer statistically significant. This result is notable as it suggests that the relationship between stroke and fatigue may not directly be the result of elevated pro-inflammatory signalling molecules but may also be driven by an interaction with other peripheral disease processes and cardiovascular risk factors. People with high systemic inflammation due to a condition such as hypertension or diabetes have a high prevalence of psychiatric comorbidity, however less is known about symptoms of fatigue (Gold et al., 2020). The level of inflammation may be important for determining distressing symptoms that occur alongside these conditions, including depression, anxiety, and fatigue, however a lot of prior research has focused on single conditions and outcomes only. Our results suggest that there may be interactions between inflammatory conditions, which may increase the risk of experiencing distressing symptoms such as fatigue. Much of the research on systemic inflammation and outcomes such as depression or fatigue has considered disease conditions individually and not collectively, and as such, studies have not directly addressed common causes of fatigue across inflammatory diseases. Future research should consider these potential relationships, examining comorbid conditions associated with systemic inflammation, across a range of outcome variables.

In terms of interpreting the possible relationship (or non-relationship) between elevated levels of pro-inflammatory signalling molecules and fatigue, it is important to consider the potential power of the current study. As we undertook this as an exploratory study and there are limited studies considering the relationship between pro-inflammatory signalling and stroke survivors in the chronic phase of recovery, we chose a sample size of seventy. This may not have been sufficient to allow for the discrimination of a modest modulatory role of pro-inflammatory signalling on fatigue status. To investigate whether the issue of sample size may have influenced the modelling within the stroke cohort, we considered it of interest to collapse the results from both stroke and healthy controls. In the full regression model, considering all the potential covariates, the relationship between pro-inflammatory signalling molecules (IL-6 and hsCRP) remained significant in the entire study population. Given this finding, we would anticipate that future studies should use our results as a basis for estimating effect size.

In terms of considering the findings from the current study, we see that it is important to recognise the exploratory nature of the investigation, which was done very much in the spirit of stimulating hypotheses to guide future investigations. We recognise there are a number of limitations, including the fact that the study was cross-sectional. It is also of note that the there was no external verification of the stroke diagnosis or linking to medical records. Stroke was self-reported in this study, as such the severity of stroke could therefore not be included in statistical analysis. The occlusion and infarct size might moderate the relationship of IL-6/hsCRP and fatigue, however, previous studies have shown only a limited association between chronic fatigue and stroke severity, suggesting the importance of exploring additional contributory variables (Chen and March, 2018). It also possible that the level of IL-6/hsCRP in the chronic phase of stroke recovery may be related to secondary neurodegenerative changes or alternatively other peripheral mechanisms such as cardiovascular disease. Ideally, future studies will be able to undertake a more detailed longitudinal investigation into the relationship between pro-inflammatory cytokines, peripheral risk factors, and clinically significant fatigue with the inclusion of late phase MRI.

5. Conclusions

Fatigue is among the most frustrating symptoms experienced by stroke survivors. While the specific mechanisms responsible for fatigue remain elusive, the potential involvement of inflammation is intriguing. There is robust evidence from other fields that pro-inflammatory cytokines can by themselves elicit sickness-like behaviour, including symptoms of fatigue. Therefore, it does not seem unreasonable to consider that at least a component of the fatigue experienced by stroke survivors may be driven by elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6. Fortunately, this hypothesis is eminently testable, and our results suggest that exploring pharmacological strategies to limit inflammation and reduce fatigue in stroke survivors may be worthwhile.
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