Sex-Specific U-Shaped Relationships Between High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol Levels and 10-year Major Adverse Cardiovascular Events: A Nationwide Cohort Study of 5.7 Million South Koreans
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Background: High-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) is a well-known predictor of atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases (ASCVD). We explored the relationships between HDL-C levels and 10-year major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) and provided sex-specific upper reference limits for HDL-C levels.

Methods: Based on the Korean National Health Insurance Sharing Service, we identified 5,703,897 subjects (women, 48%) with age ≥40 years, eligible HDL-C results, and no prior ASCVD in 2009. We investigated the distribution of 10-year MACE according to HDL-C levels in 10 mg/dL (0.26 mmol/L) intervals and in three HDL-C groups (low: men <40 mg/dL [1.03 mmol/L], women <50 mg/dL [1.29 mmol/L]; high: between low and extremely high levels; and extremely high: >90 mg/dL [2.33 mmol/L]).

Results: There were U-shaped relationships between HDL-C levels and 10-year MACE with later inflection in women than in men (nadir: 80-99 mg/dL [2.07-2.56 mmol/L] and 50-59 mg/dL [1.29-1.53 mmol/L], respectively). In men, the extremely high HDL-C group showed significantly higher 10-year MACE than the high group (28.1% vs. 24.6%, P < 0.0001). In women, the extremely high group showed the lowest 10-year MACE; if the extremely high starting point was raised to 130 mg/dL, it became similar to that in men and showed higher 10-year MACE than the high group (25.6% vs. 20.1%, P < 0.0001).

Conclusions: The 10-year MACE showed U-shaped relationships with HDL-C levels, and extremely high HDL-C level at 90 mg/dL (2.33 mmol/L) in men was corresponding in risk to 130 mg/dL (3.36 mmol/L) in women.
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INTRODUCTION

High-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) is a well-known negative predictor of atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases (ASCVD) [1-4]. Traditionally, HDL-C has been a key variable in the pooled cohort ASCVD risk equation [5]. With new evidence piling up, the traditional paradigm has been challenged, and the optimal cardioprotective HDL-C levels need to be clarified [6-9]. The lower optimal cutoffs are universally established.

The 2019 American College of Cardiology/American Heart As-
sociation (ACC/AHA) guidelines on the primary prevention of cardiovascular (CV) disease defined low HDL-C levels (men <40 mg/dL [1.03 mmol/L]; women <50 mg/dL [1.29 mmol/L]) as one of the risk-enhancing factors in a 10-year ASCVD risk assessment [10]. However, the upper optimal cutoff reports are not entirely clear as to whether the relationship between HDL-C levels and CV events is linear or U-shaped. The current ACC/AHA-derived ASCVD risk calculator only allows HDL-C levels up to 100 mg/dL (2.59 mmol/L), and the 2019 European Society of Cardiology (ESC)/the European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS) guidelines stated that HDL-C level improves the accuracy of the ASCVD risk evaluation only up to 90 mg/dL (2.33 mmol/L) [11, 12]. Thus, an extremely high HDL-C level (>90 mg/dL [2.33 mmol/L]) cannot be used as a risk predictor [7, 12].

Recent studies have suggested that extremely high HDL-C levels are not associated with cardioprotection and possibly even paradoxically increase CV morbidities or mortalities [6-9, 13]. As HDL-C levels are affected by complex factors, such as ethnicity, lifestyle, and co-morbidities, it is worth assessing the optimal upper reference limits, particularly, sex-specific limits, in various demographics [14].

In 2009, the Korean National Health Insurance Service (NHIS) started evaluating HDL-C levels in men ≥24 years and women ≥40 years. As 10 years have passed, we could track actual 10-year major cardiovascular events (MACE) in this nationwide cohort. We explored the relationships between baseline HDL-C levels and 10-year MACE in South Koreans without prior ASCVD. The primary purpose of this study was to test the hypothesis that the 2019 ESC/EAS-derived extremely high HDL-C level (>90 mg/dL [2.33 mmol/L]) has higher 10-year MACE than the usual high HDL-C level (men 40-90 mg/dL [1.03-2.33 mmol/L], women 50-90 mg/dL [1.29-2.33 mmol/L]) for the total cohort and for each sex. The secondary purpose was, if a U-shape exists, to provide an optimal upper reference limit, defined by the HDL-C risk exceeding that of the reference HDL-C after the nadir, and a true extremely high HDL-C cutoff with a risk comparable to that of the known dangerous low HDL-C group (men <40 mg/dL [1.03 mmol/L], women <50 mg/dL [1.29 mmol/L]) in each sex.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Data sources**
De-identified data regarding general medical checkups were provided by the Korean National Health Insurance Sharing Service (NHISS), which contains all charged medical and pharmacy claims on all healthcare in South Korea. Information regarding all-cause mortality was obtained from the National Death Registry of Korea. As the customized sample cohort data contains personal sensitive information, access was restricted to authorized locations on pre-approved dates. The study protocol was designed according to the criteria of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Konkuk University Medical Center (KUMC 2020-03-037), Seoul, Korea, and the NHISS official review committee (NHIS-2021-1-622).

**Study design and subject selection**
This was a retrospective nationwide population-based cohort study. The study flow diagram is presented in Fig. 1. Approximately 22% of the total South Korean population underwent a national health checkup in 2009 (the index year). Of those, we enrolled subjects with age ≥40 years and eligible HDL-C results; we excluded subjects with no HDL-C testing, HDL-C levels ≥200 mg/dL, or a prior history of ASCVD before the index year based on the 8th Korean Standard Classification of Diseases (KCD) codes, an extension of the International Classification of Diseases 10th revision: angina pectoris (I20), acute myocardial infarction or acute coronary syndrome (I21-24), chronic ischemic heart disease (I25), presence of a coronary angioplasty implant and graft (Z95.5), presence of an aortocoronary bypass graft (Z95.1), stroke (I63-66), transient ischemic attack (G45), or peripheral arterial disease (I73) [15]. Finally, we analyzed 5,703,897 ASCVD-free subjects.

**Definitions**
The index date for each subject was defined as the date on which the subject’s 2009 national health checkup was performed. Data collected on the index date included age, sex, body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, smoking, alcohol consumption, blood pressure (BP), and blood test results. Blood samples were drawn after an overnight fast and the following parameters were measured using standard laboratory methods: glucose, serum creatinine, total cholesterol (TC), HDL-C, and triglycerides. Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) was calculated using the Friedewald formula: LDL-C = TC−(triglycerides/5)−HDL-C. Obesity was defined as a BMI >25 kg/m², while abdominal obesity was defined as waist circumference ≥90 cm for men and ≥85 cm for women [16]. A medical history of hypertension and diabetes mellitus (DM) was determined based on the 8th KCD codes (hypertension: I10*, I11*, I12*, I13*, I15*, I674; DM: E10*, E11*) within one year of the index year. Hypertension was defined as
having either systolic BP ≥140 mm Hg at the index date or a medical history of hypertension. DM was defined having either fasting glucose ≥126 mg/dL (7.0 mmol/L) at index date or a medical history of DM. The medications used at baseline were determined from the prescription records (oral anti-thrombotic drugs in 430 products including aspirin, warfarin, and P2Y12 inhibitors; statins in 1,426; fenofibrate in 49) within one year of the index year.

We categorized the HDL-C levels according to two schemes (Fig. 1): one using the classic three-group model (low: men <40 mg/dL [1.03 mmol/L], women <50 mg/dL [1.29 mmol/L]; high: men 40-90 mg/dL [1.03-2.33 mmol/L], women 50-90 mg/dL [1.29-2.33 mmol/L]; and extremely high: >90 mg/dL [2.33 mmol/L]) and the other using 10 mg/dL (0.26 mmol/L) intervals. The classic model is based on the following two guidelines: (1) the risk-enhancing factors in the 2019 ACC/AHA guidelines on the primary prevention of CV disease (low HDL-C <40 mg/dL [1.03 mmol/L] in men; <50 mg/dL [1.29 mmol/L] in women), and (2) the statement in the 2019 ESC/EAS guidelines for the management of dyslipidemia: at extremely high HDL-C levels (>90 mg/dL [2.33 mmol/L]), there appears to be an increased risk of ASCVD [10, 12]. MACE in this study were defined as new-onset angina, acute myocardial infarction, cardiac arrest, stroke, or all-cause mortality. All subjects were followed for 10 years; 35,744 (0.6%) were lost to follow-up (Supplemental Data Table S1). The MACE-free survival time was defined as the duration between the index date and the first occurrence of MACE in each subject.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are expressed as frequency (percentage) for categorical variables, and as mean±standard deviation or median and the interquartile range for continuous variables. In the classic three-group model, frequencies of demographics and distributions of influential factors were compared using a chi-square test. Continuous variables were examined using ANOVA with Scheffé’s test if the distribution was homogeneous.
RESULTS

Baseline characteristics
Baseline characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1. Just over a half (52%) were men, and the mean age at the index date was 52.6 years. Baseline HDL-C levels had a slight positive skew (skewness of 0.93) and a platykurtic (kurtosis of 2.6) pattern with a mean of 54.7 mg/dL (1.41 mmol/L), and the mean value was significantly lower in men than in women (52.1 mg/dL [1.35 mmol/L] vs. 57.5 mg/dL [1.49 mmol/L], P<0.0001). Approximately 22% of the subjects had low HDL-C levels: 15% of men and 30% of women had levels below the recommended targets (<40 mg/dL [1.03 mmol/L] and <50 mg/dL [1.29 mmol/L], respectively). Approximately 1.6% of the cohort showed HDL-C levels >90 mg/dL (2.33 mmol/L): 1.1% of men and 2.1% of women.

When the classic model was applied, in both men and women, the extremely high HDL-C group showed significantly less obesity, less oral anti-thrombotic or fenofibrate medication, and lower levels of LDL-C and triglycerides, but more frequent alcohol consumption than other groups (all, P<0.0001). Sex-specific findings were observed in hypertension: the highest BP and frequency of hypertension were observed in the extremely high HDL-C group in men, but in the low HDL-C group in women.

Classic three-group comparisons of 10-year MACE and all-cause mortality
Ten-year MACE were observed in 1,341,669 subjects (23.5%) of the total cohort and were significantly higher in men than in women (746,182 [25.2%] vs. 597,487 [21.8%], P<0.0001). In men, the classic extremely high HDL-C group showed significantly higher 10-year MACE than the high group (28.1% vs. 24.6%, P<0.0001), but the same as the low group (28.1% vs. 28.1%, P=0.92). However, in women, the classic extremely high HDL-C group showed the lowest 10-year MACE among the three groups (Table 2). The low HDL-C group showed higher 10-year MACE than the other groups in the age range 40-69 years, but the difference was no longer observed at ages ≥70 years.

All-cause mortality was observed in 304,426 (5.3%) subjects, about twice as often in men as in women (207,300 [7.0%] vs. 97,126 [3.6%], P<0.0001). In total, the classic extremely high HDL-C group showed significantly higher mortality than the high HDL-C group (6.0% vs. 5.2%, P<0.0001), but roughly the same as the low HDL-C group (6.0% vs. 5.9%, P=0.26). In men, the classic extremely high HDL-C group showed higher mortality than the high HDL-C group (11.0% vs. 6.8%, P<0.0001), even higher
### Table 1. Baseline characteristics of subjects and each of the three classic groups categorized by baseline HDL-C levels

| Category | All subjects | Men | Women |
|----------|--------------|-----|-------|
| Subjects, N (%) | 7,073,897 (100) | 2,967,452 (100) | 2,554,534 (100) |
| Age (yr, mean ± SD) | 52.6 ± 9.8 | 52.3 ± 9.7 | 53.7 ± 10.0 |
| 40-49, N (%) | 2,554,534 (44.8) | 1,378,657 (54.5) | 1,175,877 (45.0) |
| 50-59, N (%) | 1,787,232 (31.3) | 909,808 (30.7) | 877,415 (32.1) |
| ≥ 80, N (%) | 362,586 (6.5) | 185,849 (6.2) | 176,728 (6.6) |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 23.8 ± 3.2 | 24.1 ± 2.9 | 22.5 ± 2.9 |
| Obesity*, N (%) | 1,820,717 (31.9) | 1,044,494 (35.2) | 776,223 (28.4) |
| Abd. obesity, N (%) | 1,174,386 (20.1) | 605,080 (20.9) | 497,056 (18.2) |
| Smoking, N (%) | 1,226,288 (21.7) | 723,119 (24.6) | 592,169 (21.8) |
| Alcohol, N (%) | 3,096,108 (55.0) | 1,986,915 (67.5) | 1,109,203 (41.9) |
| SBP (mm Hg) | 123.6 ± 15.1 | 123.5 ± 14.7 | 123.5 ± 14.8 |
| DBP (mm Hg) | 77.0 ± 10.2 | 76.8 ± 10.2 | 78.6 ± 10.0 |
| Hypertension, N (%) | 1,503,715 (26.4) | 831,042 (28.0) | 672,673 (24.6) |
| DM, N (%) | 620,744 (10.9) | 384,756 (13.0) | 235,988 (8.6) |
| Blood test at baseline, mg/dL (mmol/L) | | | |
| Glucose | 94 [87-104] | 94 [87-104] | 94 [86-103] |
| sCr | 5.2 [4.8-5.8] | 5.4 [4.9-5.9] | 5.4 [4.6-5.8] |
| TC | 79.6 [70-88] | 87.0 [76-97] | 87.0 [76-97] |
| LDL-C | 50.9 [45-55] | 50.7 [45-55] | 50.7 [45-55] |
| HDL-C | 53.4 [45-63] | 53.4 [45-63] | 53.4 [45-63] |
| Triglycerides | 1.37 [1.16-1.63] | 1.27 [1.16-1.63] | 1.27 [1.16-1.63] |

*Note: Data are presented as median [interquartile range].
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Distribution of 10-year MACE stratified by HDL-C levels
In the total cohort, the distribution of subjects with 10-year MACE stratified by HDL-C 10 mg/dL (0.26 mmol/L) intervals demonstrated a U-shaped relationship (Fig. 2A). The nadir was at HDL-C levels of 70-79 mg/dL (1.81-2.04 mmol/L). Among subjects with HDL-C level <40 mg/dL (1.03 mmol/L), the proportion of men was 2.2 times higher than that of women; therefore, the absolute frequency of men with 10-year MACE was substantially higher (19.4% vs. 8.9%). In subjects with HDL-C levels of 40-89 mg/dL (1.03-2.30 mmol/L), the ratio of men to women was 1.0, and the frequencies of subjects with 10-year MACE were 12.3% and 10.6%, respectively. Among subjects with HDL-C levels of 90-199 mg/dL (2.33-5.15 mmol/L), the proportion of women was 1.7 times higher than that of men, and the absolute frequency of men with 10-year MACE was lower than that of women (10.3% vs. 11.6%).

Both sex-subgroup plots of the distribution of 10-year MACE by HDL-C 10 mg/dL (0.26 mmol/L) intervals also demonstrated a U-shape, but with later inflection in women than in men (Fig. 2B). The nadir of HDL-C levels was 50-59 mg/dL (1.29-1.53 mmol/L) in men and 80-99 mg/dL (2.07-2.56 mmol/L) in women.

Exploration of sex-specific reference points of HDL-C levels in 10 mg/dL (0.26 mmol/L) intervals
Given the sex-specific inflection points, we explored new extremely high HDL-C reference points starting after the sex-specific risk nadir (men, 60 mg/dL [1.55 mmol/L]; women, 100 mg/dL [2.59 mmol/L]) (Fig. 2C). In men, the upper optimal cutoff was 70 mg/dL (1.81 mmol/L), the risk just exceeding the high HDL-C group (P<0.0001), and the true extremely high HDL-C cutoff was 90 mg/dL (2.33 mmol/L), the 10-year MACE then being comparable to those in the low HDL-C group (P=0.92). In women, the upper optimal cutoff was 110 mg/dL (2.84 mmol/L), and the true extremely high HDL-C cutoff was 130 mg/dL (3.36 mmol/L).

Hazard ratio (HR) of 10-year MACE by reference ranges in each sex
Based on Fig. 2C, three models (classic, model I, and model II) with different reference values were made, and the sex-stratified unadjusted and adjusted HRs of 10-year MACE are presented in Table 3. In men, the classic model revealed that the low and extremely high groups were associated with a 10% and 9% decrease in mortality between the classic extremely high and high HDL-C groups (3.0% vs. 3.0%, P=0.51) (Table 2).
In women, in the classic model, the extremely high group was associated with a lower (unadjusted HR of 0.92 [95% CI: 0.90-0.94], \(P<0.0001\)) or indifferentiable risk (adjusted HR of 0.99 [95% CI: 0.97-1.01], \(P=0.22\)). However, in model II, the low and extremely high groups were associated with a 6% and 14% increased risk of 10-year MACE (adjusted HR of 1.06 [95% CI: 1.05-1.07] and 1.14 [1.04-1.25]), respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

This large population-based cohort study covering approximately 12% of the total South Korean population explored the relationship between HDL-C levels and 10-year MACE in ASCVD-free subjects who may need 10-year CV risk assessment for primary prevention. We found a U-shaped relationship between HDL-C levels and 10-year MACE, with later inflection in women than in men. There are conflicting reports on whether there is a U-shaped relationship between HDL-C and CV risk or mortality. Although complex factors may affect individual studies, an accurate interpretation of extremely high HDL-C levels is critical to understand the right end of the curve (linear, plateau, or U-shape). Two issues should be emphasized: (1) the definition of “extremely high
Fig. 2. Distribution of subjects with 10-year MACE by the baseline HDL-C levels in 10 mg/dL (0.26 mmol/L) intervals and by the three-groups exploring extremely high HDL-C levels. (A) For all subjects (N=5,703,897), the relationship between HDL-C levels and 10-year MACE is U-shaped. A polynomial trend line (red dots) shows $R^2$ of 0.986 ($y=0.0005x^4-0.032x^3+0.81x^2-7.55x+44.08$). The nadir of the HDL-C interval is 70-79 mg/dL (1.81-2.04 mmol/L). (B) From each sex (men, N=2,967,452; women, N=2,736,445), a U-shaped pattern exists with different inflection points. Blue color bars represent the percentage distribution of men subjects, with the nadir at 50-59 mg/dL (1.29-1.53 mmol/L); red color bars for women subjects, with the nadir at 80-99 mg/dL (2.07-2.56 mmol/L). For men, a polynomial trend-line (blue dots) fit $R^2$ of 0.959 ($y=0.0022x^4-0.11x^3+1.85x^2-11.99x+50.1$); for women, a polynomial trend-line (red dots) fit $R^2$ of 0.914 ($y=-0.0029x^3+0.28x^2-4.41x+37.37$). (Continued to the next page)
Fig. 2. Continued. (C) Exploring extremely high (ext. high) HDL-C cutoffs: comparisons of the three HDL-C categories by sex. Three-group comparisons [low HDL-C group: men <40 mg/dL (1.03 mmol/L), women <50 mg/dL (1.29 mmol/L); high HDL-C group: between; ext. high HDL-C group > values as explored] by chi-square test. *P < 0.0001 (low vs. high or ext. high); †P < 0.0001 (high vs. low or ext. high). In men (N=2,967,452), the 10-year MACE of the ext. high HDL-C group is higher than that of the high HDL-C group at >70 mg/dL (1.81 mmol/L) or >80 mg/dL (2.07 mmol/L) (P<0.0001) and similar to the low group at >90 mg/dL (2.33 mmol/L) (P=0.92). Note the classic model [ext. high >90 mg/dL (2.33 mmol/L)]. In women (N=2,736,445), that point is delayed. The 10-year MACE of ext. high HDL-C group exceeds the high HDL-C group at >110 mg/dL (2.84 mmol/L) (P=0.044) or >120 mg/dL (3.10 mmol/L) (P<0.0001) and is similar to the low HDL-C group at >130 mg/dL (3.36 mmol/L) (P=0.97). Note that in the classic model [ext. high >90 mg/dL (2.33 mmol/L)], the ext. high HDL-C group has a significantly lower 10-year MACE than the high HDL-C group (P<0.0001).

Abbreviations: HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events (new-onset angina, acute myocardial infarction, cardiac arrest, stroke, and all-cause mortality).

HDL-C* level and (2) outcome variables.

Based on our HDL-C distribution data, nearly all (98% of subjects were ≤2.33 mmol/L) fit a conventional-wisdom inverse relationship: the low HDL-C group showed higher 10-year MACE than the usual high HDL-C group (26.5% vs. 22.7%, P<0.0001). Most of the early epidemiological studies strongly supporting a linear inverse relationship did not pay attention to the small proportion of extremely high HDL-C levels [1-4]. Recent big-data studies supporting U-shaped relationships have focused on extremely high HDL-C levels and revealed an increased risk of all-cause mortality. The U.S. Veterans study (N=1,764,986) demonstrated a U-shaped relationship between HDL-C levels and all-cause mortality [8]. The CANHEART study (N=631,762) revealed that higher HDL-C levels (>1.81 mmol/L in men, >2.33 mmol/L in women) implied an increased hazard of non-CV mortality [6]. The Copenhagen study (N=52,268) demonstrated increased risks of all-cause mortality in their extremely high HDL-C group in men (2.5-2.99 mmol/L and ≥3.0 mmol/L, reference 1.9 mmol/L; HR 1.36 and 2.06, respectively) and women (3.0-3.49 mmol/L and ≥3.5 mmol/L, reference 2.4 mmol/L; HR 1.10 and 1.68, respectively) [7].

The EPOCH-JAPAN study (N=43,407) demonstrated that extremely high HDL-C levels (≥2.33 mmol/L) were associated with increased ASCVD mortality [9]. However, regarding coronary artery diseases outcomes, there have been heterogeneous risk patterns: plateau or continuously inverse linear [19, 20]. Similarly, two Korean studies based on the NHIS cohort (Oh, et al. [21], N=365,457, median follow-up: 3.5 years; Yang, et al. [22],
Table 3. Hazard ratios of 10-year MACE by reference ranges in each sex

| Reference range for HDL-C, mg/dL (mmol/L) | Group variable | Unadjusted HR (95% CI) | P | Adjusted HR* (95% CI) | P* |
|------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| **Men**                                  |                |                       |   |                       |   |
| Classic                                  | Low           | 1.17 (1.16-1.17)      | <0.0001 | 1.10 (1.09-1.10)    | <0.0001 |
|                                          | Extremely high| 1.17 (1.14-1.19)      | <0.0001 | 1.09 (1.07-1.11)    | <0.0001 |
| Model I                                  | Low           | 1.17 (1.16-1.18)      | <0.0001 | 1.10 (1.09-1.10)    | <0.0001 |
|                                          | Extremely high| 1.03 (1.03-1.04)      | <0.0001 | 1.02 (1.01-1.02)    | 0.0003  |
| Model II                                 | Low           | 1.17 (1.16-1.17)      | <0.0001 | 1.10 (1.09-1.10)    | <0.0001 |
|                                          | Extremely high| 1.17 (1.14-1.19)      | <0.0001 | 1.09 (1.07-1.11)    | <0.0001 |
| **Women**                                |                |                       |   |                       |   |
| Classic                                  | Low           | 1.31 (1.30-1.31)      | <0.0001 | 1.06 (1.05-1.06)    | <0.0001 |
|                                          | Extremely high| 0.92 (0.90-0.94)      | <0.0001 | 0.99 (0.97-1.01)    | 0.22   |
| Model I                                  | Low           | 1.31 (1.30-1.32)      | <0.0001 | 1.06 (1.05-1.07)    | <0.0001 |
|                                          | Extremely high| 1.05 (1.00-1.11)      | 0.047   | 1.05 (1.00-1.10)    | 0.06   |
| Model II                                 | Low           | 1.31 (1.30-1.32)      | <0.0001 | 1.06 (1.05-1.07)    | <0.0001 |
|                                          | Extremely high| 1.30 (1.18-1.42)      | <0.0001 | 1.14 (1.04-1.25)    | 0.01   |

*Adjusted for age, BMI, LDL-C, triglycerides, hypertension, DM, smoking, and alcohol consumption; for variables, refer to Table 1.

Abbreviations: HR, hazard ratio; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; DM, diabetes mellitus; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

Fig. 3. Multivariate adjusted HR for 10-year MACE by HDL-C levels (continuous variables). The relationship between HDL-C levels on a continuous scale and 10-year MACE risk was U-shaped for men (A) and women (B).

Abbreviations: HR, hazard ratio; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events (new-onset angina, acute myocardial infarction, cardiac arrest, stroke, and all-cause mortality).

N = 343,687, median follow-up, 6 years) reported an inverse linear relationship between HDL-C levels and CV mortality, but U- or J-shaped curves for all-cause mortality. Compared with the two Korean reports, the present study used well-balanced cohort data, which covered all follow-up records of those who were enrolled in 2009 (N = 5,703,897, single index year, exclusion of all prior clinical ASCVD, 10-year follow-up). Although we did not examine each CV disease, this large population-based study clearly confirmed that a U-shaped relationship exists between HDL-C levels and 10-year composite end points of MACE (including all-cause mortality) in the South Korean population (Fig. 3).

In this study, the hypothesis that the classic extremely high HDL-C level (> 90 mg/dL [2.33 mmol/L]) is associated with higher 10-year MACE than the high HDL-C level (men 40-90 mg/dL...
(boosted by good lifestyles), induced extremely high HDL-C levels; in such cases, this disease marker may have contributed to the higher all-cause mortality [21, 32]. In the general population, having a high HDL-C level should not be presumed to always be protective. In clinical practice, extremely high HDL-C levels should not be used in the pooled cohort ASCVD risk equation or in the non-HDL-C calculation (TC-HDL-C), as they may underestimate the actual ASCVD risk [5, 10, 12]. Emphasizing the sex-specific values, the ESC/EAS guidelines description of the extremely high HDL-C level >90 mg/dL (2.33 mmol/L) could possibly be updated, at least for the Korean population, with sex-specific reference limits: the extremely high HDL-C level at 90 mg/dL (2.33 mmol/L) in men corresponded in risk to 130 mg/dL (3.36 mmol/L) in women [12]. Our study is particularly meaningful to women who have an HDL-C level in the range 90-99 mg/dL (2.33-2.56 mmol/L)—a risk nadir in women—but are misclassified as having higher risk based on the current guidelines.

This study has several limitations. First, as it was a retrospective cohort study, we could not confirm a causal relationship between HDL-C levels and MACE. Second, we analyzed all-cause mortality instead of CV mortality in a composite end point of MACE, as the National Death Registry of Korea provides only deceased dates, without causes of death. Third, some variables had missing data. Fourth, the categorization of HDL-C levels may have resulted in loss of information [33]. To determine reference ranges in clinical practice, our approach using 10 mg/dL (0.26 mmol/L) intervals seemed acceptable for an initial exploration; ultimately, we also used continuous scale cubic splines in a Cox proportional hazard regression model to confirm the relationship between HDL-C levels and 10-year MACE. Finally, HDL is a complex molecule with a mixture of heterogeneous atheroprotective subclasses, and the measured HDL-C level refers to the amount of cholesterol contained in the HDL particle. Therefore, quantitative measurement of total HDL-C levels does not necessarily represent HDL particle numbers, subclass distribution, apolipoprotein A-I, or HDL function [31, 34]. Qualitative measurement is warranted in future studies.

We conclude that in the South Korean population without prior ASCVD, HDL-C levels showed a U-shaped relationship with 10-year MACE, with later inflection in women than in men (nadir: 80-99 mg/dL [2.07-2.56 mmol/L] and 50-59 mg/dL [1.29-1.53 mmol/L], respectively). This study, with a well-balanced and substantially larger population, reinforced and confirmed the findings of other recent cohort studies [6-9]. We suggested sex-specific reference limits for men (optimal 40-70 mg/dL [1.03-1.81 mmol/L], women 50-90 mg/dL [1.29-2.33 mmol/L]) was true for men, but not for women. This study offers two potentially important lessons: cutoff values should first be chosen by looking at the entire distribution and then should be separated by sex. In women, our approach to shifting the reference beyond the nadir (80-99 mg/dL [2.07-2.56 mmol/L]) seemed appropriate given the U-shaped distribution, and the true extremely high HDL-C level, not >90 mg/dL (2.33 mmol/L) but >130 mg/dL (3.36 mmol/L), revealed a significant HR of 10-year MACE (0.99 [95% CI: 0.97-1.01], 1.14 [1.04-1.25], respectively) (Table 3).

Sex-specific reference limits are essential in HDL-C studies. The proportion of women increases with increasing HDL-C level [6, 7]. Men have a more atherogenic profile than women, with lower levels of HDL2 subfraction [23]. Sex-specific clinical studies may yield different results when the extremely high HDL-C level is set higher in women: extremely high HDL-C level (in this case, ≥2.07 mmol/L) was associated with a significant reduction in flow-mediated vasodilation in men, but not in women [24, 25]. Sex-specific reference limits have already been applied for the lower optimal cutoffs (men <40 mg/dL [1.03 mmol/L], women <50 mg/dL [1.29 mmol/L]) [10]. Our extremely large study has clinical value in that it is the first to recommend sex-specific optimal upper reference limits and true extremely high HDL-C cutoffs in the Korean population.

The pathophysiological role of extremely high HDL-C levels being linked with MACE risk remains to be elucidated. Mendelian genetic randomization failed to prove causal effects, and several pharmacological trials to increase HDL-C levels failed to reduce the risk of ASCVD [26-29]. Several pathological conditions could contribute to both extremely high HDL-C levels and alleged CV risk factors: genetic alterations, inflammatory diseases, thyroid disorders, and liver diseases. For example, scavenger receptor BI increases the HDL-C level as well as the risk of coronary heart diseases [30]. Reduced cholesterol efflux capacity and HDL phospholipid content have been associated with extremely high HDL-C levels and coronary artery diseases [31]. In this study, alcohol consumption, known to increase HDL-C levels, was higher in both men and women in the extremely high HDL-C group, in line with findings in the Copenhagen study [7]. In men, but not in women, elevated BP, possibly related to alcohol consumption, was also more frequent in the extremely high HDL-C group; this finding may indicate that extremely high HDL-C levels may be a marker of an unhealthy lifestyle. We can speculate that in some cases, the dysfunctional HDL particles (boosted by pathological conditions), not the good HDL particles...
mmol/L); extremely high >90 mg/dL (2.33 mmol/L) and women (optimal 50-110 mg/dL [1.29-2.84]; extremely high >130 mg/dL [3.36 mmol/L]). Our findings suggest that sex-specific extremely high HDL-C levels should be a warning when estimating CV risk in the pooled cohort ASCVD equation or non-HDL-C calculation.
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### Supplemental Data Table S1. Missing data

| Missing data at baseline | Total (N = 5,703,897) | Low HDL-C (N = 1,257,072) | High HDL-C (N = 4,356,104) | Extremely high HDL-C (N = 90,721) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Baseline Body mass index, N (%) | 1,609 (0.03) | 522 (0.04) | 1,071 (0.02) | 16 (0.02) |
| Men, N | 861 | 157 | 700 | 4 |
| Women, N | 748 | 365 | 371 | 12 |
| Baseline Waist circumference, N (%) | 1,844 (0.03) | 587 (0.05) | 1,232 (0.03) | 25 (0.03) |
| Men, N | 780 | 140 | 634 | 6 |
| Women, N | 1,064 | 447 | 598 | 19 |
| Smoking, N (%) | 35,744 (0.6) | 8,612 (0.7) | 26,663 (0.6) | 469 (0.5) |
| Men, N | 15,956 | 2,514 | 13,320 | 122 |
| Women, N | 19,788 | 6,098 | 13,343 | 347 |
| Alcohol, N (%) | 71,588 (1.3) | 19,457 (1.5) | 51,385 (1.2) | 746 (0.8) |
| Men, N | 30,040 | 5,685 | 24,185 | 170 |
| Women, N | 41,548 | 13,772 | 27,200 | 576 |
| Lost to follow-up, N (%) | 35,744 (0.6) | 7,182 (0.6) | 27,884 (0.6) | 678 (0.7) |
| Men, N | 20,036 | 2,753 | 17,025 | 258 |
| Women, N | 15,708 | 4,429 | 10,859 | 420 |

Abbreviation: HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol.
Supplemental Data Figure S1. Covariates. Sex-stratified multifactorial adjustment was performed with four categorical variables (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, smoking (never, past, and current), alcohol consumption (none, 1 time/week, 2 times/week, and ≥3 times/week)) and four continuous variables (age, body mass index (BMI), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), triglycerides). All continuous variables revealed inverse weak correlations with the baseline high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels (all, *P*<0.0001): age (total *r*=-0.048, men *r*=-0.014, women *r*=-0.13), BMI (total *r*=-0.19, men *r*=-0.21, women *r*=-0.15), LDL-C (total *r*=-0.02, men *r*=-0.025, women *r*=-0.029), and triglycerides (total *r*=-0.31, men *r*=-0.27, women *r*=-0.31). To convert the HDL-C levels from mg/dL to mmol/L, divide by 38.6. (A) Hypertension (nadir: 50-59 mg/dL in men, 80-89 mg/dL in women). (B) Diabetes mellitus (nadir: 70-79 mg/dL in men, 90-99 mg/dL in women). (C) Obesity (body mass index (BMI) >25 kg/m²) (nadir: 100-109 mg/dL in both men and women). BMI was used as a continuous variable for covariate adjustment.

(Continued to the next page)
Supplemental Data Figure S1. Continued. (D) Smoking (current); smoking behavior was classified into three categories (never, past, and current) for covariate adjustment. (E) Alcohol (≥ three times/week) (peak: 110-119 mg/dL in men, 120-129 mg/dL in women); alcohol consumption was classified into four categories (none, one time/week, two times/week, and ≥ three times/week) for covariate adjustment.