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Abstract

Primary gastric lymphomas (PGLs) are distinct lymphoproliferative neoplasms described as heterogeneous entities clinically and molecularly. Their main histological types are diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) or mucosa-associated lymphoma tissue. PGL has been one of the main fields of clinical research of our group in recent years. Although gastric DLBCLs are frequent, sufficient data to guide optimal care are scarce. Until today, a multidisciplinary approach has been applied, including chemotherapy, surgery, radiotherapy or a combination of these treatments. In this minireview article, we provide an overview of the clinical manifestations, diagnosis and staging of these diseases, along with their molecular pathogenesis and the most important related clinical published series. We then discuss the scientific gaps, perils and pitfalls that exist regarding the aforementioned studies, in parallel with the unmet need for future research and comment on the proper methodology for such retrospective studies. Aiming to fill this gap, we retrospectively evaluated the trends in clinical presentation, management and outcome among 165 patients with DLBCL PGL who were seen in our institutions in 1980-2014. The study cohort was divided into two subgroups, comparing the main 2 therapeutic options [cyclophosphamide doxorubicin vincristine prednisone (CHOP) vs rituximab-CHOP (R-CHOP)]. A better outcome with immunochemotherapy (R-CHOP) was observed. In the next 2 mo, we will present the update of our study with the same basic conclusion.
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INTRODUCTION

Primary gastric lymphomas (PGLs) are a diverse group of lymphoproliferative disorders that originate from the stomach and comprise many different histologic types. Either of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) subtype or mucosa-associated lymphoma tissue (MALT) histology, PGL is the second most common gastric malignancy globally, following the adenocarcinoma of the stomach[1,2]. The latter is the most common form of gastric cancer and the fifth most common malignancy in the world[3]. Despite the fact that prevention and treatment of Helicobacter pylori infection (H. pylori) I has led to a decrease in its overall incidence, gastric cancer remains the 3rd most deadly cancer, with an estimated 783000 deaths in 2018 worldwide[4,5]. Therefore, accurately recognizing and diagnosing gastric cancer from gastric lymphomas is important, as these diseases are treated differently, and any confusion may result in inappropriate treatment management.

The gastrointestinal tract (GIT) is the most common site for the development of extranodal lymphomas. The incidence of these neoplasms has been increasing in recent years[2,6]. The stomach represents 30%-40% of all extranodal lymphomas and 55%-65% of all GI lymphomas. The incidence of PGL varies from 4% to 20% of extranodal non-Hodgkin lymphomas (NHLs) and reaches up to 5% of primary gastric neoplasms[2]. The incidence of PGL is estimated to be 1 per 100000 in Western countries[7]. B-cell lymphomas are more frequent in these countries than in Eastern countries[1].

To date, the term PGL was originally used to describe lymphomas that arise from the stomach. However, within the medical literature, controversy exists regarding the definition, staging and treatment of this entity. Most cases of PGLs are B-cell subtypes of NHLs. The majority of these subtypes have DLBCL histology and are classified as DLBCL of the stomach, not otherwise specified (NOS).

PGLs are histologically heterogeneous neoplasms. This contributes to a different biology, clinical presentation and prognosis and subsequently determines special therapeutic needs for each subtype[8,9]. For example, certain subtypes of PGLs, such as DLBCL, are more aggressive than others and require immediate therapy[8], whereas for patients with MALT histology, unique management is usually applied ranging from watch and wait to antibiotic-based treatment[9].

As stated above, PGLs are histologically, biologically and clinically heterogeneous neoplasms. Although gastric DLBCL is an extranodal high-grade lymphoma, it is considered less aggressive than its nodal counterpart and other extranodal DLBCL locations. Its appropriate treatment has not been satisfactorily determined, and treatment choices vary considerably. Human immunodeficiency virus, Epstein-Barr infection, hepatitis B virus, human T-cell lymphotropic virus 1, immunosuppression, celiac disease, inflammatory bowel disease, and H. pylori I have all been implicated in the factors predisposing patients to PGLs, increasing the risk of developing the disease[1,2,10]. PGL usually occurs in patients older than 50 years. There are many older
patients over 80 years of age. Males are more prone to be diagnosed with PGL with a 2-3-fold higher risk than females[2].

This review mainly focuses on DLBCL gastric lymphoma, which is one of the main fields of our clinical research and comments briefly on MALT lymphoma. The molecular etiology and pathophysiology of DLBCL gastric lymphomas and the available clinical data for their optimal management will be discussed. In parallel, a brief review of the MALT subtype that represents almost 50% of PGLs will also be provided. This review aims to meet the therapeutic needs of those who are involved and/or interested in the treatment of GI-DLBCL lymphomas and extensively focuses on the role of rituximab, the first in class anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody (mAb), in the outcome of patients with PGL of the DLBCL subtype.

**CLINICAL MANIFESTATIONS AND DIAGNOSIS**

The stomach is the most common site for the development of extranodal lymphomas in the GI tract, accounting for 60% of cases, followed by the small bowel, ileum, cecum, colon and rectum[7]. Distinguishing PGL from secondary dissemination of the stomach due to primary nodal lymphoma can be difficult. No peripheral and mediastinal lymphadenopathy at the time of diagnosis, no spleen or liver infiltration and normal blood counts are in contrast to the presence of a secondary gastric lymphoma[11].

The diagnosis of PGL can be delayed for many years due to the presence of nonspecific symptoms, mimicking peptic ulcer disease, gastritis, functional gastric or even pancreatic disorder. The main symptoms include nausea, vomiting, anorexia, abdominal distention, fullness or pain, indigestion, dyspepsia and weight loss, whereas weakness, night sweats, fever, jaundice, hematemesis or melena are less common[2,7]. An obvious epigastric mass or perforation is rare as an initial presentation[7,10,12].

An appropriate endoscopic evaluation with generously sized tissue samples is the hallmark of diagnosis. The diagnostic accuracy of endoscopic biopsy is very high, reaching 90%. Endoscopic ultrasonography can improve this diagnostic accuracy. The diagnosis becomes difficult when there is deep infiltration and preservation of the mucosa. Computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) assist in the diagnosis and staging of PGL[1,7]. Sporadically, PGL might present as multifocal, clonally identical foci surrounded by macroscopically unaffected tissue. Thus, gastric mapping of unaffected mucosa is strongly recommended[13]. Bone marrow infiltration, B symptoms and elevated lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) are more frequently encountered in nodal lymphomas than in gastric lymphomas[13].

Different staging systems have been proposed for PGLs. The Ann Arbor staging system, which is widely used for primary nodal lymphomas, is considered unsatisfactory as PGLs originate from the lining of the stomach instead of the lymph nodes[7, 13]. In recent years, a more specific Lugano staging system for PGLs was proposed and applied based on the Lugano score[14,15], which includes the following stages: Stage IE — Lymphoma is confined to the GIT (single lesion or multiple noncontiguous lesions): IE1 = mucosa, submucosa; IE2 = muscularis propria, serosa; Stage II — Lymphoma extends into the abdomen from the primary site within the GI tract: II1 = local nodal involvement; II2 = distant nodal involvement; Stage IIIE — Penetration of serosa to involve adjacent organs or tissues; Stage IV — Disseminated extranodal involvement or concomitant supra diaphragmatic nodal involvement. Note: Stage III does not exist because gastric lymphoma is always below the diaphragm.

A complete staging work-up includes the following: Biochemical examinations, chest, abdomen and pelvis CT scan, bone marrow biopsy, thorough endoscopy including biopsies from the stomach, duodenum and gastroesophageal junction, endoscopic ultrasound, evaluation of the Waldeyer ring, investigation for *H. pylori* I, routine histology and immunohistochemistry. Cytogenetic studies and even fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) can all be used in biopsies to provide the appropriate information needed for optimal treatment. PET/CT scans have documented diagnostic and prognostic value only for DLBCL lymphomas, in contrast to MALT gastric lymphomas, which can be reported as false-negative because of the small tumor burden of the disease and their indolent behavior[13]. However, there is an unmet need regarding the use of PET scans in the clinical setting to guide treatment. Usually, this examination is performed before and after the end of treatment to guide therapeutic decisions as a standard of care. Because the stomach is
an abdominal organ, it is unclear how PET scans can assist in the aforementioned necessary clinical decisions.

In general, the prognosis of extranodal lymphomas varies according to the affected organ; it is poor in the testis, central nervous system (CNS) and intestine, whereas it is quite good in the stomach, mediastinum and bone. Nevertheless, PGL is an aggressive malignancy characterized by rapid growth. However, the prognosis of DLBCL PGL is relatively good, with a 5-year overall survival (OS) higher than 80%.[7]

## COMPARISON AMONG CLINICAL STUDIES/TREATMENT

The optimal treatment for DLBCL PGLs is not clear, because prospective clinical studies are missing. In the past, a spectrum of treatment approaches was applied, ranging from gastrectomy or radiotherapy alone to chemotherapy (cyclophosphamide doxorubicin vincristine prednisone, CHOP) or the combination of chemotherapy plus radiotherapy and surgery. Wang et al.[16] compared surgery over conservative treatment in a retrospective study. Conservative treatment in this study included chemotherapy (CHOP) or radiotherapy alone, chemotherapy plus radiotherapy or H. pylori I eradication (HPE). The authors found superiority of surgery alone compared with conservative treatment in the DLBCL type regarding prognosis, but not in the MALT type[16]. Currently, the role of surgical resection has been minimized, even in cases of extreme intestinal obstruction, as immunochemotherapy can induce rapid and complete resolution of large obstructing tumor masses. Gastrectomy is restricted to the management of major complications, including perforation or hemorrhage of DLBCL PGLs.

In contrast, other studies demonstrated that DLBCL PGL is a potentially curable disease with rituximab-CHOP (R-CHOP)-like treatment, leading to long-term survival[17]. Investigators found that surgical treatment did not offer survival benefits when compared with chemotherapy for 5-year progression-free survival (PFS) and OS estimates and that no significant differences were noted in these endpoints for patients treated with R-CHOP or conventional chemotherapy[18].

Sohn et al.[19] directly compared CHOP vs R-CHOP as a front-line approach in 93 patients with DLBCL PGL. With a median follow-up of 48 mo, no differences were noted among the 2 groups regarding OS, EFS and CR. High serum levels of β2-microglobulin were associated with worse OS and EFS in patients who received R-CHOP[19]. In a retrospective analysis of 95 Japanese patients, the clinical outcomes of gastric DLBCL were extremely favorable for localized-stage patients in the rituximab era. Conversely, these treatments were poor for advanced-stage patients[20]. Interestingly, an effective approach in treating deeply infiltrated DLBCL PGL patients by switching fractioned R-CHOP (rituximab d0, 50% dose of CHOP d1 and d5) to standard R-CHOP cycles guided by endoscopic ultrasonography has been proposed[21].

The following factors were identified as having a negative impact on survival: age above 65, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group 2-3, B symptoms, bulky disease, IPI 3-4, more than 3 treatment lines, and absence of response to first-line treatment[17]. Conversely, other factors were considered negative for prognosis in the subsequent study: elevated LDH levels, chemotherapy or radiotherapy alone or the combination of chemotherapy plus radiotherapy[16]. The non-germinal center B-cell-like lymphoma (GCB) subtype has also been associated with shorter OS[18]. H. pylori I eradication, advanced Lugano stage and elevated LDH levels have been reported as adverse prognostic factors in gastric DLBCL[22].

Low serum albumin at diagnosis was the only risk factor for developing gastric complications, such as bleeding and stenosis, in patients with gastric DLBCL who received R-CHOP[23]. Furthermore, a low CD4:CD8 ratio at diagnosis is an independent poor prognostic factor for subsequent OS and EFS24 (24 mo after diagnosis) in patients with gastric DLBCL[24]. Finally, the microRNA miR-150 is reportedly a negative independent prognostic biomarker for primary GI DLBCL[25].

Some patients with DLBCL PGL also have a MALT component. The 5-year PFS and OS estimates were similar when de novo DLBCL patients were compared with DLBCL/MALT patients, suggesting that patients with a MALT component, along with DLBCL, might have the same biological type of lymphoma as de novo DLBCL patients[18]. In such DLBCL/MALT cases, an important deregulation of Bcl-2 and an upregulation of p53 protein of uncertain clinical significance have been observed[26]. A synopsis of the studies comparing R-CHOP vs CHOP for DLBCL PGLs is shown in Table 1. Indeed, there is a lack of a head-to-head comparison between CHOP and R-
Table 1 Studies comparing rituximab-cyclophosphamide doxorubicin vincristine prednisone vs cyclophosphamide doxorubicin vincristine prednisone for diffuse large B-cell lymphoma primary gastric lymphomas

| Ref.                  | Number of Pts | R-CHOP OS | CHOP OS | R-CHOP PFS | CHOP PFS | Comments                  |
|-----------------------|---------------|-----------|---------|------------|----------|---------------------------|
| Sohn et al [19], 2012 | Double-arm Retrospective Study (R-CHOP vs CHOP as 1st line treatment) | 93 (55 R-CHOP, 38 CHOP) | 3-yr 84.7% (P > 0.05) | 3-yr 94.7% (P > 0.05) | 3-yr 81.7% (EFS) (P > 0.05) | CR: (CHOP; 93.9%), (R-CHOP; 92.5%) |
| Liu et al [62], 2018  | Double-arm Retrospective Study (diagnosis: 1973-2000 era vs 2001-2014 era of immuno-CT) | SEER Database 7051 ([4186, 1973-2000], (2865, 2001-2014) | 5-yr 53% (P = 0.001) | 5-yr 47% (P = 0.001) | 6c. R-CHOP; 3-4 c. R-CHOP plus radiotherapy; R-CHOP ± radiotherapy |
| Tanaka et al [20], 2012 | Single-arm Retrospective Study (R-CHOP) | 95 | 3-yr 91% (localized disease); 3-yr 95% (localized disease); 3-yr 64% (localized disease) | 3-yr 91% (localized disease); 3-yr 92% (localized disease); 3-yr 43% (localized disease) | 80% CR (after 1st line); 54% CR (3 yrs FU) |
| Couto et al [27], 2021 | Single-arm Retrospective Study (R-CHOP) | 101 | Not reached | Not reached | 80% CR (after 1st line); 54% CR (3 yrs FU) |

R-CHOP: Rituximab-cyclophosphamide doxorubicin vincristine prednisone; CHOP: Cyclophosphamide doxorubicin vincristine prednisone; OS: Overall survival; PFS: Progression-free survival; EFS: Event free survival; CR: Complete remission; CT: Computed tomography; FU: Follow-up.

CHOP in PGLs.

Regarding the role of radiotherapy, more data are available for patients with gastric MALT lymphoma or early-stage gastric lymphoma. When there is an unsatisfactory response to HPE, recurrence after HPE or in MALT cases negative for H. pylori, gastric radiotherapy of the entire stomach plus irradiation of the pathological and perigastric lymph nodes (30-440 Gy, 15-20 fractions) has been proposed. However, it is less clear whether radiotherapy should be applied in cases of DLBCL PGLs. However, involved-field radiotherapy has a role, especially for patients with DLBCL PGL of advanced stage who achieve partial remission (PR) after immunochemotherapy (R-CHOP) [27]. R-CHOP plus additional local treatment for gastric lesions (e.g., consolidative radiotherapy or surgical resection) has also been recommended [28]. Alternatively, several studies have found that in the era of immunochemotherapy (R-CHOP), radiotherapy does not improve OS [29-31]. The side effects of radiotherapy should always be taken into account in clinical decision making [27].

Despite the presence of several clinical series involving primary gastric DLBCL lymphomas mainly addressing the issue of selecting the optimal treatment, there are sporadic single cases in the literature [22,32-34]. Some very rare, more aggressive cases of DLBCL lymphoma originating from the stomach and infiltrating the adrenals bilaterally have been reported [32,34]. The first patient presented with nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain and hypotension, was treated with glucocorticoids and died after developing respiratory failure, severe hypotension refractory to vasopressors and severe metabolic acidosis [34]. The second case was a DLBCL, PGL of the non-germinal center (non-GC) type. This patient received 8 cycles of rituximab therapy, 6 cycles of CHOP and 3 cycles of prophylactic intrathecal chemotherapy. The patient maintained a CR for approximately 14 mo after the completion of the aforementioned treatment. The latter is in favor of the hypothesis that DLBCL lymphomas of the stomach have a better prognosis than other DLBCL nodal and extranodal lymphomas. In contrast to the very dismal prognosis of primary adrenal lymphomas (PALs) [35], this patient survived, likely because the primary neoplasm was gastric DLBCL, which has better biological and clinical behavior for unknown molecular reasons (even though it is considered an aggressive neoplasm, being DLBCL).

Regarding the role of HPE, Nakamura et al [36] studied 420 patients with gastric MALT lymphoma and found a significant responsiveness to HPE therapy (77%), with treatment failure (relapse or progressive disease) occurring in only 9% of the patients. However, this primary refractory disease was not associated with a dismal outcome; as 1st line treatment; however, this primary refractory disease was not associated with a dismal outcome, as the subsequent therapy still yielded a 90% OS rate after 10 years [36].

Nevertheless, even though HPE has already been established as an optimal strategy for the management of gastric MALT lymphoma, there are conflicting results, either in favor of or against HPE for patients with DLBCL PGLs. Thus, HPE has been reported to be a suitable strategy for patients with DLBCL PGLs [37,38]. The concept of a less...
aggressive biological behavior for *H. pylori* I-dependent gastric DLBCL has been proposed with the suggestion to apply HPE in such cases[39]. However, it is not clear how accurately these lymphomas can be distinguished. Alternatively, high-grade gastric lymphomas can rapidly progress if they do not respond to HPE. The loss of *H. pylori* I dependency and the possible high-grade lymphomatous evolution/ transformation are separate and distinct events in the natural history of PGL[38,40]. The description of defined molecular markers linked to *H. pylori* I dependency of PGLs is beyond the scope of this article.

Moreover, a substantial portion of early-stage *H. pylori* I-positive gastric de novo DLBCLs remain *H. pylori* I-dependent and respond to antibiotic treatment (HPE). Prospective studies to validate these findings are needed[41]. Our personal opinion is that HPE should not be applied as monotherapy, even in the early stage of *H. pylori* I-positive DLBCL PGLs.

### MOLECULAR PATHOGENESIS

Extranodal lymphomas are distinct types of lymphomas that show a predilection for anatomical sites harboring extranodal lymphoid tissue, such as the CNS, testis, mediastinum, bone and GIT, in contrast to the typical pattern of the nodal counterpart in the lymph nodes for nodal lymphomas[42]. Extranodal lymphomas can even appear in immune-privileged (sanctuary) sites (CNS, testis) or arise in sites of chronic inflammation, effusions or other closed spaces within the body. The complex mechanisms of local immune evasion leading to extranodal lymphoproliferations have not been fully elucidated[43]. The capacity of mature lymphocytes to recirculate between blood and lymphoid tissue and to migrate to extranodal anatomical sites is crucial for the pathogenesis of the disease. During this process, lymphocytes interact with endothelial venules, mediated by receptor molecules (integrins and lymphocytes)[44].

The role of specific B-cell receptor (BCR) antigens has been proposed in the process of lymphomagenesis. Oncogenic translocations during BCR development and generation (VDJ rearrangement), the activation of mature B-cells and the germinal center reaction, the mechanisms of loss of immunological self-tolerance, and the role of infectious agents and autoantigens are all hallmarks and basic elements of lymphomagenesis, a complex multifactorial process, in both aggressive and indolent lymphomas[45]. Gastric DLBCL is a high-grade lymphoma compared to low-grade MALT lymphomas. Whether DLBCL transforms from low-grade MALT lymphoma or whether it arises de novo in the stomach is unknown. DLBCL gastric lymphoma has been associated with a lower CR and shorter survival than MALT lymphomas[2,46]. Nevertheless, transformed DLBCLs from MALTs are CD10- and Bcl-2-negative, while de novo DLBCLs are CD10- and Bcl-2-positive[31,46].

The oncogene Bcl-6 is located on chromosome 3q27 and is frequently present in the majority of extranodal high-grade lymphomas. Conversely, Bcl-2 oncogene expression was significantly lower in gastric lymphomas than in other primary extranodal high-grade B-cell lymphomas (HGBCLs). p53 protein expression did not differ significantly between these 2 groups[2].

**Primary gastric DLBCL**

DLBCL is described by diffuse proliferation of large, atypical cells, with vesicular nuclei, prominent nucleoli, and basophilic cytoplasm. These cells typically express CD19, CD20, CD22 and CD79a (pan-B-cell markers). Bcl-6 is expressed in 60% of cases. FISH can identify poor prognostic subtypes of DLBCL, such as double-hit (DH) or triple-hit (TH) lymphomas (high-grade, B-cells), characterized by translocations of MYC and Bcl-2 and/or Bcl-6[47,48]. DH or TH lymphomas are defined by their genetic aberrations, irrespective of their morphology. Genetic variability has been documented for DLBCL PGL[47]. Gene expression profiling distinguishes DLBCL into GCB and non-GCB or activated B-cell-like (ABC) subtypes based on the cell of origin profile. ABC lymphomas show a worse prognosis than GCB lymphomas[49]. In routine diagnostic practice, this screening is conducted by immunohistochemistry based on the assessment of three markers (CD10, bcl-6 and MUM1)[50] (Figure 1).

More analytically, ABC DLBCLs are characterized by nuclear factor kappa beta (NF-kB) activation, showing a higher frequency of Bcl-2 amplifications, Bcl-6 rearrangements and recurrent mutations of MYD88, PRDM1 and CD79B, whereas GCB-like DLBCLs are enriched for activating EZH2 and Bcl-2 mutations, defined by perturbations/molecular defects in the JAK/STAT and PI3K/AKT signaling pathways [48]. EZH2 overexpression has been associated with inferior outcomes in patients with
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Diamantidis MD et al. Optimal treatment for DLBCL PGL[51] (Figure 1).

Interestingly, 2 HGBCLs were included in the recent revised WHO classification of lymphoid neoplasms. These entities are clinically and biologically distinct from DLBCL NOS and Burkitt lymphoma (BL). The HGBCL, NOS entity includes cases previously termed ‘unclassifiable, with features intermediate between DLBCL and BL’, or showing blastoid morphology but lacking DH/TH translocations[49].

High levels of Bcl-6 expression were found in GCB gastric lymphomas, whereas in the non-GCB cases, a high Bcl-6 expression level correlated importantly with mutations producing Bcl-6 deregulation, even if in the latter cases no correlation was found between survival rates[2].

Clinical studies addressing the role of programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) and its ligand (PD-L1) have shown promising results. PD-1 blockade in patients with PD-L1 expression on tumor cells has been linked with clinical responses. Investigators from Japan evaluated the role of PD-L1 expression on neoplastic and non neoplastic immune cells in the microenvironment (miPD-L1) in a retrospective study of patients with GI DLBCL lymphoma. They found that elevated miPD-L1 expression had a favorable impact on the outcome of these DLBCL patients, regardless of the anatomical site of the disease[52].

**Gastric MALT lymphoma**

MALT lymphoma is a low-grade B-cell NHL, and the majority of cases (approximately 90%) are directly related to *H. pylori* I. However, 10% of gastric MALT lymphomas are *H. pylori* I negative[53]. Chronic *H. pylori* I of the gastric mucosa and the accompanying inflammation have been strongly linked to MALT lymphomagenesis. Moreover, abnormalities in the expression of various miRNAs contribute to the neoplastic gastric phenotype[54,55].

*H. pylori* I expresses proteins related to the corresponding genes, contributing to the related lymphomagenesis from the bacterium. These are cytotoxin-associated gene A (CagA), vacuolization cytotoxin A (VacA) and heat shock proteins (Hsps). The Cag pathogenicity island (a common gene sequence considered responsible for the pathophysiology of the infection) contains over 40 genes, which mainly code for a complex type IV secretion system. This pathogenicity island is usually absent from *H. pylori* I strains isolated from asymptomatic human carriers. The CagA protein is frequently co-expressed with the vacuolating cytotoxin VacA[56].

Hamoudi et al[57] established the connection between abnormal NF-κB signaling due to the chromosomal translocations, t(11;18)(q21;q21)/API2-MALT1, t(1;14) (p22;q32)/BCL10-IGH, t(14;18) (q32;q21)/IGH-MALT1 and t(3;14) (p13;q32)/FOXP1-IGH in gastric MALT lymphomas[57,58] (Figure 2).

MALT1 and BCL10 proteins are involved in surface immune receptor-mediated activation of the NF-κB transcription factor; chromosomal translocations involving

Figure 1 Primary gastric diffuse large B-cell lymphoma lymphomas and related molecular lesions. GCB: Germinal center B-cell lymphoma; ABC: Activated B-cell-like lymphoma; the combination of MYC plus BCL2 translocations corresponds to ‘double hit lymphomas’; DLBCL: Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; NF-κβ: Nuclear factor kappa beta.
these genes are believed to exert their oncogenic activities through constitutive activation of the NF-κB pathway, leading to the expression of numerous genes important for cell survival and proliferation\[40,55,57,58\] (Figure 2).

In gastric MALT lymphoma, t(11;18)/API2-MALT1 is the most frequent translocation, detected in 20% of cases. This translocation fuses the N-terminal region of API2 to the C-terminal region of MALT1 and generates a functional chimeric fusion, which can activate the NF-κB pathway. Clinically, t(11;18) is more frequently associated with the absence of H. pylori I, and the majority of translocation-positive cases do not respond to HPE therapy. Interestingly, t(11;18)-positive cases rarely transform to DLBCL\[55,58\].

Gastric MALT lymphoma is indirectly influenced by H. pylori I through T-cell stimulation, and recent studies have shown that H. pylori-triggering chemokines and their receptors, H. pylori-associated epigenetic changes, H. pylori-regulated miRNA expression and tumor infiltration by CD4+ CD25+ regulatory T cells contribute to lymphomagenesis of gastric MALT lymphoma (Figure 3). Recent studies have also demonstrated that the translocation of CagA into B lymphocytes inhibits apoptosis through p53 accumulation, BAD phosphorylation and the upregulation of Bcl-2 and Bcl-XL expression (Figure 3). In gastric MALT lymphoma, CagA may stimulate lymphomagenesis directly through the regulation of signal transduction, and intracellular CagA is associated with H. pylori I dependence. These findings represent a substantial paradigm shift compared with the classical theory of H. pylori-reactive T cells contributing indirectly to the development of MALT lymphoma[40].
Figure 3 Helicobacter pylori infection, molecular mechanisms and gastric mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphomagenesis. BCL: B-cell lymphoma; Bcl-XL: B-cell leukemia XL; CagA: Cytotoxin-associated gene A.

Other cytogenetic aberrations, often associated with one of the four main chromosomal translocations described above, include trisomies 3, 12 and/or 18, which can also present as a sole abnormality in one-fifth of the total cases. Somatic missense mutations in PIM1 and cMYC have been reported in 46% of MALT gastric lymphomas and in 30% of transformed MALT lymphomas. The majority of these genetic lesions are not MALT lymphoma specific. Aberrant somatic hypermutation can still be encountered in indolent lymphomas, such as MALT, but not at the extent noted in DLBCL lymphomas\cite{40,55,58}. Interestingly, the loss of the chemokine receptor CXCR4 and the upregulation of CXCR7 have been associated with the progression of gastric MALT lymphoma to DLBCL lymphoma\cite{59}. Furthermore, lower expression of the microRNA miR-34a has also been linked to the transition from MALT to DLBCL lymphoma\cite{54}. Finally, among the proposed pathogenetic etiologies for \textit{H. pylori}-negative MALT lymphoma cases, genetic alterations in NF-kB signaling are the main hypothesis\cite{53}.

**SCIENTIFIC GAPS**

While gastric DLBCLs are frequent, sufficient data to guide optimal care are still limited. In the past, gastrectomy was the treatment of choice for these patients. Nevertheless, due to the observed high morbidity rates linked with this procedure, novel therapeutic approaches have emerged, such as radiation and combination chemotherapy. Hence, until today, a multidisciplinary approach has been applied, including chemotherapy, surgery, radiotherapy or a combination of these modalities.

Today, immunochemotherapy with R-CHOP is the most acceptable option for treating gastric DLBCL, as for nodal DLBCL. R-CHOP was established as a standard approach for DLBCL patients; in the study of patients aged 60-80 years, the rate of
complete response (CR) was significantly higher in the group that received R-CHOP vs CHOP[60]. Since then, a few small, heterogeneous, retrospective studies have attempted to determine the optimal management of gastric DLBCL, investigating the role of immunochemotherapy, surgery and radiation in patient outcomes[16-21,23,61-63].

Significant advances in diagnosis, treatment and response assessment options over the last years have been made in the field of high-grade lymphomas. Molecular characterization of DLBCL has also described 3 major lymphoma subgroups that correlate with distinct biological and clinical behavior (ABCs, GCBs, double hit lymphomas), supporting the rationale for distinct therapeutic options[48]. However, these advances were extracted from nodal DLBCL, while the intrinsic pathogenesis of primary gastric DLBCL is unclear, and similar studies on this particular type of lymphoma are lacking.

The heterogeneity of the various clinical retrospective studies investigating the outcomes of patients with DLBCL PGLs is impressive. For example, these studies differ in the number of patients, in the time intervals when each therapeutic approach was applied, or in the type of therapeutic approaches compared. Other studies calculate surgery alone and other surgeries with chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy, without separating treatment subgroups of patients. Some researchers place all DLBCL patients together into the statistical analysis, regardless of the anatomical site (stomach, intestine). Hence, comparisons are difficult and not head-to-head. Thus, evidence-based conclusions cannot be drawn, and these results should be regarded with caution.

Finally, the use of various staging systems combined with the variability in the applied procedures for staging make the application of meaningful comparisons among the published series difficult.

**CURRENT AND FUTURE RESEARCH — FRONTIER PERSPECTIVE**

We retrospectively evaluated the clinical profile and the patterns of outcome among patients who were treated after the diagnosis of aggressive, B-cell, primary endocrine lymphoma (another type of extranodal lymphoma). The patients were diagnosed with either primary testicular lymphoma, primary thyroid lymphoma (PTHL), or PAL. Better outcomes were observed in patients with PTHL for whom the median OS had not been reached until the end date of the study, whereas the PAL group had the worst prognosis[38].

To better understand the nature and outcome of extranodal DLBCL PGL, we described patients’ and disease characteristics and assessed trends in treatment options, management and outcome among 159 newly diagnosed patients with primary gastric DLBCL who were seen in our institutions in the years 1971-2017.

Previously, we retrospectively evaluated the trends in clinical presentation, management and outcome among 165 consecutive patients with biopsy-proven primary gastric DLBCL who were seen in 1980-2014. The study cohort was divided into two subgroups based on the era of treatment (CHOP vs R-CHOP, before and after the initiation of rituximab). A better outcome after immunochemotherapy (R-CHOP) was observed comparatively[64].

Our novel manuscript and update of the same cohort of patients will be sent for peer review within the next 2 mo (under preparation). We have been preparing and analyzing it for years, focusing on the proper methodology and aiming to correct the perils and pitfalls seen in other relevant studies in the past. We will still have the same conclusion that a better outcome has been noted for the R-CHOP patient cohort, as in the past[64]. However, there are individual variations of the results regarding the OS and freedom from progression (FFP) time intervals, which will be analyzed accordingly, now that a longer follow-up of the patients has been achieved.

The term FFP is based on the strict scientific definition for this type of lymphoma and is preferable to define the aforementioned important endpoint for retrospective clinical studies. PFS has disadvantages in nonrandomized studies because in such studies, there is a lack of specific or concrete criteria for the comparison between time intervals (fixed check points), necessary for the re-evaluation of the disease and the definition of relapse in a similar way (for example, with CT or MRI). However, the term PFS is more widely used in the literature in an equivalent meaning for these lymphomas without being absolutely accurate or to the point in a strict scientific sense. We especially focused on defining FFP accurately, as this is crucial for this novel study. FFP for our novel update will be measured from the initiation of the first treatment until relapse or until death or until the last day of the study for the non
relapsed patients or until the day of the last follow-up for the censored patients (lost to follow-up).

Per-protocol analysis will be used in our clinical research compared to intention-to-treat analysis. The latter is considered a better marker of treatment efficacy for prospective, randomized studies and not for retrospective studies.

Finally, lymphoma-specific survival, another important endpoint, will be measured from diagnosis until the time of death from lymphoma. The number of patients who died from causes other than lymphoma was not calculated at this endpoint. As the long-year follow-up continued, we noted a proportion of our patients dying from lymphoma but also other patients dying from causes other than lymphoma. This analysis is important because it attributes the specific hazard ratio to DLBCL gastric lymphoma (death risk) and separates causes of death other than lymphoma for patients who have survived longer. Importantly, when a patient died from another cause in addition to lymphoma, there was no relapse because the patient was in follow-up. Thus, the possible drug might have protected the patient from relapse, and these patients contributed to the studied time-to-event analysis.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, retrospective studies, despite their limitations, if conducted with the correct methodology, can provide useful clinical information for treating patients. Our research in recent years has shown that immunochemotherapy (R-CHOP) is the optimal treatment for patients with DLBCL PGLs, as it is associated with a better outcome.
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