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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

The rapidly evolving Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has spread worldwide with thousands of deaths and infected cases. For the identification of effective treatments against this disease, the main protease (M\textsubscript{pro}) of SARS-CoV-2 was found to be an attractive drug target, as it played a central role in viral replication and transcription. Here, we report the results of high-throughput molecular docking with 1,045,468 ligands’ structures from 116 kinds of traditional Chinese medicine (TCM). Subsequently, 465 promising candidates were obtained, showing high binding affinities. The dynamic simulation, ADMET (absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion and toxicity) and drug-likeness properties were further analyzed the screened docking results. Basing on these simulation results, 23 kinds of Chinese herbal extracts were employed to study their inhibitory activity for M\textsubscript{pro} of SARS-CoV-2. Plants extracts from Forsythia Fructus, Radix Puerariae, Radix astragali, Anemarrhenae Rhizoma showed acceptable inhibitory efficiencies, which were over 70%. The best candidate was Anemarrhenae Rhizoma, reaching 78.9%.
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1. Introduction

SARS-CoV-2, as the single-stranded positive RNA virus, has been declared as a pandemic disease (COVID-19) by the World Health Organization (WHO)\textsuperscript{[1,2]}. Since then, this global public-health emergency has spread for over 2 years, crossing six continents and affected 218 countries\textsuperscript{[3]}. So far, 24 June 2022, over 539.9 million cases with the infection have been confirmed and about 6.32 million patients have been died worldwide\textsuperscript{[4]}.

Recently, some special vaccines have been developed by many countries, such as messenger RNA vaccine (USA), inactivated vaccine (China) and etc.\textsuperscript{[5]}. However, the insufficient production capacity always limits the application of these vaccines. Although SARS-CoV-2 vaccinations process has been ongoing for more than half year, the vaccination coverage is only about 15% in the world-wide. Moreover, most vaccines were controlled by a few of developed countries\textsuperscript{[6]}. In fact, the vaccination coverage in many developing countries is even significantly below the average level. Moreover, the function of vaccines is to prevent this disease, but not treat it. Unfortunately, no drug has yet been approved for efficiently treating human coronaviruses\textsuperscript{[7]}. The development of new therapies will take months to years. Thus, more attention should be paid on existing resources for treating or preventing infections. The molecular docking provided an efficiently high-throughput method to virtually screen or even design the potential drug against SARS-CoV-2\textsuperscript{[8,9]}. It will also provide more possibilities for further animal and clinic trials.

TCM dates back at least 2200 years. The earliest record of Chinese medicine with the written form is the Huang Di Nei Jing (Inner Canon of the Yellow Emperor) from the 3rd century CE\textsuperscript{[10]}. Traditional Chinese herbal medicines and their extracts combined thousands of years of experience and the scientific basis provided by modern experimental research\textsuperscript{[11]}. TCM is widely used in the East and Southeast Asian; subsequently, it has been also practiced in the world due to its broad-spectrum activities such as the
antivirals, antioxidant therapeutics, anti-inflammatory effects [12]. Coincidentally, the epidemic situation of SARS-CoV-2 in China was also intense, but recovered very quickly in several months [13]. Based on their synergistic and additive biological effects, it is accepted that the mixture of different phytochemicals may be more efficient in terms of disease prevention compared to a single phytochemical [14].

Interestingly, bioassays indicated that several phytochemicals (such as abietane, apigenin, bavachinin and etc.) derived from TCM showed inhibitory activity against Mpro of SARS, which was also caused by a kind of coronaviruses and broke out in November 2002 [15]. Indeed, SARS-CoV-2 and SARS showed a very high phylogenetic similarity [16]. Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) was used to compare Mpro sequences of these two coronaviruses. BLAST results indicated that the percent identity reached 96%. Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS both belong to 3C-like protease and play the crucial role in the viral replication and transcription through posttranslational processing of RNA replicase [17]. Moreover, Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 may provide a conserved molecular target to design a broad spectrum anti-CoV drug [18].

Therefore, the special attention has been paid to the virtually high-throughput screening (HTS) of TCM against Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 [6]. In this work, 1045,468 ligands' structures derived from 116 kinds of TCM were used to study their interactions with Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 through molecular docking. Then, the molecular dynamic simulation and pharmacokinetics were employed to further analyze screened compounds after docking. 465 candidates of inhibitors for Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 were screened and could be divided into 11 classes by their scaffolds, including biflavones, flavonoids, anthraquinones, polyphenols, phenylpropanoids, terpenoids, stilbenes, isoflavonoids, xanthones, flavonolignans, and alkaloids. Basing on docking results, 23 kinds of plants extract from TCMs were used to study their inhibitory activity. TCM-derived components can be used to design not only the potential drug, but also functional foods and dietary supplement, basing on the theory of “medicine and food homology” [19]. Our results suggest that some functional foods showed the potential role against SARS-CoV-2. Through adjusting our daily diet, infections with that virus may be prevented by a simple and acceptable way worldwide.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Ligands structures

The ligands used in this work comprised a total of 1045,468 ligands' structures, topologized by 155 different scaffolds and generated by ZINC 15 database [20]. As shown in Table 1, we first confirmed 155 kinds of compounds with completely different scaffolds and they are widely existing in 116 kinds of TCM. For each scaffold type, all provided three-dimensional structures were extracted from the ZINC 15 database in the mol2 format. For the rapid calculation and analysis, names of these ligands were reset in this work. Considering initial 155 compounds with different scaffolds, their names were used to represent the relevant scaffold, because the scaffold name is too long. Therefore, the name of all isomers/analogs/derivatives generated from one of initial 155 compounds were set as their initial compound names and the suffix number was used to distinguish them, such as ginkgetin 1, ginkgetin 2 and ginkgetin 3.

2.2. Receptor structure

The crystal structure of Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 (PDB:6LU7) was reported by Jin et al. (Shanghai Technical University, China). The three-dimensional structure of Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 was shown in Figure S1 (Figure S1–14 can be found in supplementary material).

2.3. Molecular docking

Python (v.3.7) was used as the working language for all software in this work. AutoDockTools (v.1.5.6) was employed to added Gasteiger PEOE partial charges and polar hydrogen atoms for the ligands and Mpro of SARS-CoV-2. The format of the structural files was converted into PDBQT. Molecular docking was carried out by AutoDock Vina (v.1.1.2). The Broyden–Fletcher–Goldfarb–Shanno (BFGS) method was used to screen the most favorable binding sites. Semi-flexible docking method was employed, where the rigid body was Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 and rotatable bonds in all ligands were sampled. The geometric center of the docking box was set as −12: 12.5: 67 and the edge length of that was 30 Å, which can cover the whole active center (pink region in Fig. 1). Other parameters were used as defaults. After each docking simulation, top 20 complexes were picked according to their docking affinities. All ligands'structures and relevant docking results have been shown in the supporting Excel File2.

2.4. Molecular dynamics

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulation is an efficient method to predict the stability of the inhibitor-protein complex. TIP3P was used as the model of water molecules and the size of the relevant box was set as 61 × 61 × 91 Å3. The simulation method was same with our previous work [26].

2.5. ADMET and drug-likeness screening

After virtual screening, the obtained candidates were further analyzed by SwissADME (http://www.swissadme.ch/) and admetSAR (http://lmmn.ecust.edu.cn/admetsar2) online website [27]. The drug-likeness was analyzed basing on the Lipinski rule of 5 (ROS) [28].
| No. | Natural compound | Traditional Chinese Medicine name | No. | Natural compound | Traditional Chinese Medicine name |
|-----|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1   | Ginkgetin        | Ginkgo biloba                     | 67  | Nodakenin        | Notopterygium Rhizoma Et Radix    |
| 2   | Hypericin        | Forsythia suspense                | 56  | Ellagic acid     | Granati Pericarpium               |
| 3   | Robustaflavone   | Agathis dammana                  | 76  | Lycorine         | Lycoris radiata                  |
| 4   | Hinokiflavone    | Selaginella tamariscina           | 89  | Carnosol         | Salvia japonica Thunb.            |
| 5   | Swertianalin     | Swertia bimaculata               | 101 | Cinnamic acid    | Cinnamonum cassia Pteris         |
| 8   | Pedunculoside    | Ilex macrocarpa Oliv. var. longipedunculata S.Y.Hu | 117 | Quercetin        | Chryseokephaloxanthin            |
| 9   | Quercetin        | Camellia sinensis                | 138 | Rhinacanthin E   | Lithospermum erythrorhizion Sieb. et Zucc. |
| 10  | Theophylline     | Citrus reticulata Blanco          | 88  | Decursinol       | Cinnamomum officinalis            |
| 11  | Imperialine      | Emodin                           | 9   | Hematominulin    | Cinnamomum officinalis            |
| 12  | Peiminsine       | Batatasin I                      | 84  | Triptolide       | Cinnamomum officinalis            |
| 13  | Emodin           | Pomiferin                        | 85  | Perilla lactea   | Uncaria rhynchophylla (Miq.) Miq. et Havi. |
| 14  | Camptothecin     | Coumarin                         | 87  | Rhyneophylline   | Angelica sinensis                |
| 15  | Limonin          | Rutin                            | 88  | Decursinol       | Angelica sinensis                |
| 16  | Folic acid       | Hypericin                        | 91  | Sinomenine       | Angelica sinensis                |
| 17  | Hesperetin       | Ursolic acid                     | 63  | Sinomenine       | Angelica sinensis                |
| 18  | Folic acid       | Ursolic acid                     | 94  | Sinomenine       | Angelica sinensis                |
| 19  | Lonicerae        | Rhodiolosa rosea Linn.           | 95  | Morronside       | Angelica sinensis                |
| 20  | Japonicae Flos   | Rhodiolosa rosea Linn.           | 96  | Anisodamine      | Angelica sinensis                |
| 21  | Anemarrhenae     | Alpinia L.                       | 154 | Cuscohygrine     | Aconitum carmichaeli Debh.       |
| 22  | Magnolia         | mangiferin                       | 80  | β-carotene       | Aconitum carmichaeli Debh.       |
| 23  | Paclitaxel       | Magnolia officinalis Rehd. et Wil. | 98  | Cytisine         | Aconitum carmichaeli Debh.       |
| 24  | Silydianin       | Magnolia officinalis Rehd. et Wil. | 99  | Phloretin        | Aconitum carmichaeli Debh.       |
| 25  | Morus alba Linn. | Magnolia officinalis Rehd. et Wil. | 102 | Salvia japonica Thunb. | Aconitum carmichaeli Debh.       |
| 26  | α-Mangostin      | Magnolia officinalis Rehd. et Wil. | 105 | Salvia japonica Thunb. | Aconitum carmichaeli Debh.       |
| 27  | Yohimbine        | Magnolia officinalis Rehd. et Wil. | 107 | Atractylloside   | Atractylodes lancea (Thunb.) DC. |

(continued on next page)
| No. | Natural compound | Traditional Chinese Medicine name (Latin) | Chinese | No. | Natural compound | Traditional Chinese Medicine name (Latin) | Chinese |
|-----|------------------|------------------------------------------|--------|-----|------------------|------------------------------------------|--------|
| 28  | Coclaurine       | Lindera aggregate                       | 乌药   | 108 | Ber根in         | Ber根ia purpurascens (Hook. e E thoms.) Engl. | 岩白桔 |
| 151 | Linderalactone   |                                          |        | 109 | Arte略her      | Arsalmia carvifolia                        | 青蒿   |
| 29  | Rutaecarpine     | Evodia                                  | 吴茱萸 | 112 | Schisandrin B    | Schisandrae Chinensis                       | 北五味子 |
| 46  | Evodiamine       | rutanetarca                             |        | 113 | Schisandrenol    | Fructus                                   |        |
| 30  | Strychnine       | Strychnos nux-vomiaca L.               | 马钱子 | 132 | Calophylin      |                                          |        |
| 6   | Ononin           | Radix astragali                         | 黄芪   | 115 | Rosmanol        | Rosmarinus officinalis                      | 迷迭香 |
| 103 | Astilbin         |                                          |        | 116 | Verbenalinali    | Verbena officinalis Linn.                   | 马鞭草 |
| 155 | Sedanolide        | Ligusticum chuanxing Hort.              | 川芎   | 118 | Monocrotaline   | Lilium brownii F. E. Brown ex Mieliez       | 野百合 |
| 32  | Coptisine        | Coptidis Rhizoma                       | 黄连   | 119 | Matrine         | Sophora flavescens Alt.                    | 苦参   |
| 36  | Berberine        | Jujubae Fruits farfara Linn.            | 大枣   | 143 | Sophocarpine     | Paenophyllum                                  |        |
| 144 | Farfaratin       | Tussilago farfari                       | 款冬花 | 120 | Paeonia lactiflora Pall. | 款冬花 |
| 33  | Tilioside         | Tilia tuan                                 | 桂枝   | 121 | Astaxanthin      | Feneropoeanae chinensis                     | 虾     |
| 34  | Glabrone          | Glycyrrhiza uralensis Fisch             | 甘草   | 122 | Pachymic acid   | Portia cocos (Schw.) Wolf                   | 萄芦   |
| 57  | Vetinex          |                                          |        | 60  | Karanjin        | Pongamia pinnata (Linn.) Pierre             | 水黄皮 |
| 147 | Rutin            |                                          |        | 61  | Gigantol        | Dendrobiunlb nobile Lindl.                  | 石斛   |
| 6   | Ononin           |                                          |        | 62  | Polydatin       | Polygonum cuspidatum Sieb.e.t. Zucc.       | 堆矢   |
| 18  | Ursolic acid     |                                          |        | 27  | Yohimbine       | Corynanthe johnie                         | 育亨宾 |
| 31  | Medacarpin       | Mollugin                                |        | 65  | Rubia cordifolia Linn. | 西草   |
| 81  | Liquiritin       | Poricoc acid A                         |        | 142 | Echinacea purpurea (Linn.) Moench | 松香   |
| 35  | Myristicin       | Myristica fragrans                     | 肉豆蔻 | 124 | Parthenolide     | Cythatocline purpurea (Buch.-Ham.). O. Kittunze | 杯葛   |
| 38  | Lignans          | Sesamum indicum                        | 芝麻   | 125 | Kirenol         | Siegesbeckia orientalis L.                 | 硫黄草 |
| 54  | Sesamin          |                                          |        | 126 | Micheliolide     | Mitchelia compress (Maxim.) Sarg. Gard. et For. | 乌心石 |
| 39  | Daidzein         | Glycine max (Linn.) Merr.              | 黄豆   | 127 | Pogostone       | Agastache ragosa (Fisch. et Mey.) O. Ktze. | 霍香   |
| 133 | Coenzym Q10      |                                          |        | 128 | Swainsonine     | Sphaerophysa salsula (Pall.) DC. Rehmannia glutinosa (Gaert.) Libosch. ex Fisch. et Mey. | 苦马豆 |
| 42  | Gasrodin         | Gastrodia elata Bl.                    | 天麻   | 129 | Catalpol        | Valeriana officinalis Linn.                | 地黄   |
| 43  | Obacunone        | Phellodendron amurense Ruspr. Cordycepin | 黄柏   | 45  | Ajugol          |                                          |        |
| 44  | Cordycepin       | Cordyceps sinensis                     | 冬虫夏草 | 129 | Catalpol        |                                          |        |
| 45  | Ajugol           | Leonurus artemisia                     | 益母草 | 131 | Alpinia katsumadai | Hayata | 竹叶   |
| 113 | Stachydrine      |                                          |        | 134 | Elipticine      | Ochrosia borbonica                         | 玫瑰树 |
| 47  | Nuicerine        | Glehniae Radix                         | 龙沙参 | 136 | Dihydrocurcinum | Curcuma longa Linn. Valeriana officinalis Linn. | 金黄 |
| 48  | Bergamottinn     | Berberis                          |        | 137 | Valepotriate     |                                          | 沙参   |
| 49  | Cynarin          | Cirsium setosum                       | 菊草   | 139 | Gentiopicoside  | Gentiana scabra Bunge                      | 龙胆   |
| 50  | Betulin          | Betula platyphylla Suk. Arunaco donax Linn. | 白桦   | 140 | Hydrangea strigosa Rehd. | 酸叶 | 梭子   |
| 51  | Gramine          | Arunaco donax Linn.                   | 芦竹   | 141 | Piperine        | Piper nigrum Linn.                         | 娇叶   |
| 52  | Puerarin         | Radix Puerariae                       | 蒲公   | 145 | Atractylon      | Atractyloides macrocephala Koidz. Poison. | 白术   |
| 58  | Resveratrol      | Vitis vinifera Linn.                  | 葡萄   | 146 | Helenium        | Inula helenium L. Capressa funebris Endl. | 土木香 |
| 59  | Cyanidin         |                                          |        | 148 | Cedrol          | Curcuma zedoaria (Christm.) Rosc.          | 柏木   |
| 75  | Protopanaxatriol | Panax ginseng                         | 人参   | 150 | Curcumol        | Curcuma zedoaria (Christm.) Rosc.          | 义木   |
| 110 | Panaxadiol       |                                          |        | 152 | Fraxinellone    | Cortex radicis Dicatamni                   | 白鲜皮 |
| 111 | Ginsenoside K3   |                                          |        | 153 | Pilocarpine     | Ruta graveolens Linn.                      | 云香   |
2.6. M\textsuperscript{pro} enzyme activity inhibition test

A fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) protease assay was applied to measure the inhibitory activity of compounds against the M\textsuperscript{pro} of SARS-CoV-2. In this work, inhibitor screening kit from Beyotime (P0315S) was used to measure enzyme activity. Principle of this FRET-based protease assay: MCA (Methoxy-coumarin-acetic-acid) is a fluorescent donor, Dnp (2,4-dinitrophenyl) is a fluorescent acceptor, the absorption spectra of these two fluorescent groups have a certain overlap. When the distance between these two fluorescent groups is appropriate (generally 7–10 nm), the fluorescence energy will transfer from the donor group to the acceptor group, causing the fluorescence intensity of the donor to decay. MCA and Dnp are connected to ends of the natural substrate of M\textsuperscript{pro}, namely MCA-AVLQSGFR-Lys (Dnp)-Lys-NH2. When the M\textsuperscript{pro} protease does not cleave the substrate, the two groups are close enough that cause fluorescence resonance energy transfer, which mean Dnp can quench the fluorescence of MCA and cause no fluorescence detection.

In this work, 23 kinds of plant extracts from TCMs were used to study their inhibitory activity, which are Ginkgo biloba, Forsythia suspensa, Radix glycyrrhizae, Coptidis Rhizoma, Rhodiola rosea Linn, Lonicerae Japonicae Flos, Taxus chinensis (Pilger) Rehd., Forsythiae Fructus, Evodiae rataeformae, Radix Puerariae, Strychnyi semen, Myristica fragrans, Fritillaria, Gastrodia elata, Magnolia officinalis, Magnolia officinalis Rehd. et Wils., Rheum, Anemarrhena asphodeloides, Aloe L., Dioscorea oppositifolia L., Leonurus artemisia, Jujubae Fructus, Radix astragali, Silymbum marianum. These plant extracts were gift from Shaanxi pure source bio-tech co., LTD. The concentration of plant extracts was 0.35 mg/mL.

In this way, the M\textsuperscript{pro} enzyme activity was detected very sensitively by the fluorescence detection. If the inhibitor was added to the reaction system, the generation of fluorescence would be inhibited. Since the plant extracts were mixtures without the certain molecular weight, the mass concentration was used in our work. Plant extracts solutions were prepared by DMSO with a concentration of 0.35 mg/mL. Then, 5 μL of plant extracts solutions, 92 μL assay buffer and 1 μL of 2019-nCoV M\textsuperscript{pro}/3CL\textsuperscript{pro} solution were added in the 96-well black plate. The reactions were initiated by adding 2 μL of fluorogenic substrate. After that, samples were incubated in the dark at 37 °C for 10 min. Then, the fluorescence signal was measured at 325 nm (excitation)/393 nm (emission) with multi-mode plate reader in TECAN.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Docking analysis basing on skeletons of ligands

In a previous simulation work [21], they employed the molecular docking to screen 1615 of FDA approved drugs against M\textsuperscript{pro} of SARS-CoV-2. Conivaptan was confirmed as the best candidate. However, the severe side effect limited its further study, involving blood and lymphatic system disorders, metabolism and nutrition disorders, headache, nausea, vomiting and somnolence [29]. Recently, our research group employed the molecular docking to screen 10,870 of ligands derived from functional foods compounds against M\textsuperscript{pro} of SARS-CoV-2 [26]. This study yielded 60 ligands as promising inhibitors with similar or higher docking affinities to those of conivaptan.

In this work, the screening library was enlarged for about 100 times compared to the previous work. Therefore, an automatic script for HTS by Python was compiled. Then, 10,454,468 ligands’ structures derived from 116 kinds of TCM were used to study their interaction with M\textsuperscript{pro} of SARS-CoV-2 by HTS. Their docking affinities were compared with conivaptan reported as an inhibitor. Finally, 465 promising inhibitors corresponding to 669 structures (as some ligands would have more than one 3D structure) were obtained against M\textsuperscript{pro} of SARS-CoV-2, which are shown in Supporting Excel File (Promising inhibitors). All docking results were systematically analyzed. However, there were over 1 million structures. It seemed impossible to analyze them individually. In fact, these 1045,468 ligands’ structures were generated by the topology from 155 kinds of skeletons. As shown in Table 2, only one ligand with the best docking affinity was picked to represent each type of skeleton. It is worth noting 669 promising inhibitors involved 61 kinds of different skeletons.

The primary analysis showed that the docking affinities against M\textsuperscript{pro} of SARS-CoV-2 exhibited a clear dependence on the ring structure of ligands. As shown in Figure S2, the docking affinity increased with the number of ring structures in the ligand skeleton. There were 40 skeletons with at least four-ring structures in the promising 61 kinds of skeletons screened by HTS. For other 96 skeletons, which had the lower affinity than conivaptan, only 33 of them at least had four-ring structures. In 155 kinds of skeletons, only 13 kinds of skeletons had at least six-ring structures. Interestingly, all of them showed higher affinity against M\textsuperscript{pro} of SARS-CoV-2 than conivaptan. Moreover, the top five skeletons all had at least six-ring structures, and the best docking affinity was obtained from isomers/analogs/derivatives of hypericin, whose skeleton had the most ring number in all ligands reaching eight. In addition, the unsaturated ring might be another important indicator. There were 55 skeletons with unsaturated rings in the promising 61 kinds of skeletons. Notably, the rings structures of top five skeletons were all unsaturated state, and the best candidate of isomers/analogs/derivatives of hypericin had the most unsaturated rings, reaching eight. For example, isomers/analogs/derivatives from pomiferin I, aloin, panopanaxatriol, pachymic acid all had the four-ring structure, which had similar skeletons. Rings in the skeleton of protopanaxatriol were all saturated rings. In contrast, skeletons of pomiferin I and aloin had four and three unsaturated rings, respectively. Coincidentally, higher docking affinities were found in skeletons of pomiferin I (−8.9 Kcal/mol) and aloin (−8.8 Kcal/mol) than that of protopanaxatriol (−7.4 Kcal/mol). Another phenomenon was observed that M\textsuperscript{pro} of SARS-CoV-2 preferred to interact with multi-ring compounds in which the distance between the individual aromatic rings is narrower. For example, skeletons of quercetin and piperine both had three rings. In the skeleton of piperine, the rings were separated by the carbon chain (docking affinity −6.8 Kcal/mol), whereas in the skeleton of quercetin, ring structures were arranged closer (docking affinity −8.9 Kcal/mol). Whereby, the residues increase the mobility of delocalized electron cloud. Fig. 1 showed the binding pocket of M\textsuperscript{pro} of SARS-CoV-2, which had 6 residues with aromatic ring structures. These important 6 residues made up three of boundary lines, deciding the depth and width of binding pocket. As shown in Fig. 1, a binding triad could be confirmed as FI40, H163 and H172. The projection analysis found rings of this binding triad had the compact and staggered arrangement, as shown in Fig. 2. On the other hand, the skeleton of the inhibitor candidates with unsaturated and tight multi-rings systems and higher electronic mobility might be easily inserted into this particular pocket through the π–π stacking. On the other hand, the heterocyclic structure was another factor that influence the docking affinity.

In this work, there were only two kinds of heteroatoms in all heterocyclic compounds, including oxygen and nitrogen atoms. Oxygen atoms in the heterocyclic structure were all neutral. Generally, the oxygen atom in the heterocyclic structure was a positive signal that the docking affinity would be improved. For top ten skeletons, there were eight heterocyclic compounds, in which carbon atoms were all replaced by oxygen atoms. There were two states for the nitrogen atom in the heterocyclic compound, includ-
Table 2

The binding affinity of 61 phytochemicals.

| Name of natural compounds | ZINC number | Binding Affinity (Kcal/mol) | Name of natural compounds | ZINC number | Binding Affinity (Kcal/mol) |
|---------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Ginkgetin                 | 3,979,028   | -10.3                       | Myristicin                | 620,709,870 | -8.6                        |
| Hypericin                 | 28,705,885  | -10.3                       | Medicarpin                | 13,485,420  | -8.6                        |
| Robustaflavone            | 40,763,477  | -9.6                        | Coptisine                 | 6,069,258   | -8.6                        |
| Hinokiflavone             | 4,098,521   | -9.3                        | Tiliroside                | 49,888,963  | -8.6                        |
| Agathisflavone            | 85,556,557  | -9.2                        | Glabrone                  | 14,658,666  | -8.6                        |
| Swertianolin              | 33,830,763  | -9.1                        | Colchicine                | 38,494,624  | -8.6                        |
| Ononin                    | 71,789,593  | -9.1                        | Lignans                   | 13,473,815  | -8.5                        |
| Pedunculoside             | 49,898,882  | -9                          | Daidzein                  | 1,898,781   | -8.5                        |
| Quercetin                 | 98,083,363  | -8.9                        | Catechin                  | 66,258,345  | -8.5                        |
| Camptothecin              | 29,390,846  | -8.9                        | Phillyrin                 | 1,532,044   | -8.5                        |
| Imperialine               | 101,084,934 | -8.9                        | Gastrodin                 | 38,494,624  | -8.5                        |
| Emodin                    | 12,960,987  | -8.9                        | Obacunone                 | 100,003,095 | -8.5                        |
| Peimisine                 | 100,037,427 | -8.9                        | Cordycepin                | 95,539,308  | -8.5                        |
| Batatasin I               | 73,224,987  | -8.9                        | Ajugol                    | 35,455,106  | -8.4                        |
| Pomiferin                 | 14,692,098  | -8.9                        | Evodiamine                | 2,031,813   | -8.4                        |
| Coumarin                  | 150,340,486 | -8.8                        | Nuciferine                | 320,698     | -8.4                        |
| Hesperetin                | 1,660,863   | -8.8                        | Bergamottin               | 2,103,110   | -8.4                        |
| Ursolic acid              | 29,553,661  | -8.8                        | Cynarin                   | 95,601,892  | -8.4                        |
| Rhodionin                 | 1,531,663   | -8.8                        | Betulin                   | 96,023,899  | -8.4                        |
| Aloin                     | 101,529,211 | -8.8                        | Gramine                   | 72,127,032  | -8.4                        |
| Sarsasapogenin            | 118,916,629 | -8.8                        | Puerarin                  | 33,832,535  | -8.3                        |
| Magnolol                  | 59,539,776  | -8.8                        | Limonin                   | 1,280,471   | -8.3                        |
| Paclitaxel                | 257,101,405 | -8.7                        | Sesamin                   | 38,147,404  | -8.3                        |
| Silydianin                | 31,457,053  | -8.7                        | Narciscasine              | 40,424,184  | -8.3                        |
| Rutaecarpine              | 85,876,671  | -8.7                        | Ellagic acid              | 1,227,574,377 | -8.3          |
| Morusin                   | 299,869,393 | -8.7                        | Vitisin                   | 95,913,589  | -8.3                        |
| α-Mangostin               | 15,256,039  | -8.7                        | Resveratrol               | 1,661,001   | -8.3                        |
| Yohimibine                | 13,139,106  | -8.7                        | Protopanaxatriol          | 257,349,206 | -8.3                        |
| Coclairine                | 11,422,321  | -8.7                        | Karanjin                  | 14,618,800  | -8.3                        |
| Strychnine                | 71,782,841  | -8.7                        | Gigantol                  | 57,770,685  | -8.3                        |
| Berberine                 | 40,379,008  | -8.6                        | Conivaptan                | 12,503,187  | -8.2                        |

Fig. 2. The projection analysis of binding triad (H163, H172, F140).
ing neutral and positive charged. The neutral nitrogen atom, like
the oxygen atom, could donate electrons, so the electronic den-
sity and mobility would be increased in these heterocyclic com-
ounds. In contrast, the nitrogen cation would withdraw electrons
from the electronic cloud, decreasing the electronic density and
mobility, such as sophocarpine (−6.7 Kcal/mol) and pilocarpine
(−5.8 Kcal/mol).

Next, effects of properties of side chains in skeletons were sys-
tematically analyzed. First, the effect of the double bond in the side
chain, which could be directly involved in the electronic conjugate
effect, was analyzed. For top ten skeletons, it was observed that
eight skeletons have double bonds in the side chain, which have
the electronic conjugated interaction with the ring structure of the
relevant skeleton. Although the double bond had the positive ef-
fector on the ligand binding, it only played a synergistic effect not
the leading role. For example, the skeleton of β-carotene had two
unsaturated rings and nine double bonds in the side chain. All un-
saturated bonds could form the electronic conjugated effect. How-
ever, the docking affinity of the best derivative from this skeleton
was only −7.9 Kcal/mol. Besides the lower number of rings in that
structure, the steric resistance might be another reason. More dou-
ble bonds were provided, but since the length of the side chain is
enlarged, the steric resistance is increased.

Moreover, the functional group changes the docking affinity
through the effect on the electronic density and mobility in the
ring structure. The best example is the derivative from the skele-
ton of coumarin (−8.8 Kcal/mol), which has two rings. However,
this ligand had many electron-donating groups, such as amine
groups, which could effectively increase the electronic density and
mobility. In contrast, although the derivative from the skeleton of
ganoderic acid had four rings, the docking affinity was only −7.7
Kcal/mol. This could be due to the fact that four carbonyl
groups, as strong electron withdrawing groups, were directly con-
ected to aromatic rings, which decreased the density and mobility
of the electronic cloud.

The functional group played another very crucial role by form-
ing the hydrogen bond with Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 during the mol-
ecular docking. Hydrogen bonds significantly improve the docking
affinity. The simulation results indicate that functional groups with
high electronic densities would easily form hydrogen bonds with
Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 and show higher binding affinities. The bind-
ing pocket of Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 was systematically studied, as
shown in Fig. 3. For Mpro of SARS-CoV-2, functional groups, which
have the possibility to form hydrogen bonds, were carbonyl, amino,
hydroxyl and sulfhydryl groups. For most carbonyl groups were not
facing the center of the binding pocket and therefore had difficul-
ties contacting the ligands and forming hydrogen bonds. For other
functional groups, they would like to provide the hydrogen atom
to form the hydrogen bond. Generally, the electron-donating group
had the higher electronic density, which was easily to form stable
hydrogen bonds with hydrogen donors and showed high docking
affinity, such as hydroxyl group. For example, skeleton structures
of agathisflavone and rutin have the same number of rings, while
electron-donating groups were not hydroxyl group in the skele-
ton of rutin. Compared with hydroxyl group, the electronic den-
sity of ether decreased significantly and was hard to form the hy-
drogen bond. Thus, an obvious decline in the docking affinity was
observed in rutin (−6.5 Kcal/mol) compared to that of agathis-
flavone (−9.2 Kcal/mol). Whereas, ligands with the strong electron-
withdrawing group (particularly for ammonium group) generally
showed poor docking affinities. Some typical cases could be found
in derivatives from skeletons of gentriopicroside (−6.9 Kcal/mol),
sophocarpine (−6.7 Kcal/mol) and pilocarpine (−5.8 Kcal/mol).

It is worth mentioning that compounds with haloalkanes, as
they are strong electron-withdrawing group, were very special
cases and 15 kinds of skeletons containing halogen were investi-
gated in this work. Interestingly, nine of them (such as derivatives
form skeletons of hypericin, emodin and magnolol) showed higher
docking affinities against Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 than convivapin.
As shown in Figure S3, although halogen didn’t directly partici-
pate into the formation of the hydrogen bond, they could prompt
nearby electron-donating groups to be converted into the anion
by conjugated effects and benefit for the formation of hydrogen
bonds. Another reason, why they didn’t show higher docking affin-
ity, might be that no electron-donating group was found around
halogen. Therefore, halogen only played a role to decrease the
electronic density and mobility, such as derivates form skeletons
of perillaldehyde, stachydrine and swainsonine. Although electron-
donating groups were close to halogen in derivates from skeletons
of shikonin and cytisine, they were caught between two strong
electron-withdrawing groups. It might lead to the shielding effect
and lower docking affinities.

Through our analysis of the ligands’ binding, we were able to
identify four regions in the binding pocket that significantly influ-
ence their shape (shown in Fig. 4). The whole shape looked like
a relevantly symmetric cross. N142 and Q189 were two extended
lids to cover on this pocket. Therefore, the ligand should also fit
this shape during the molecular docking. The typical example was
the derivate from the skeleton of coumarin (−8.8 Kcal/mol). It only
had two rings, but its structure was completely symmetric. Dock-
ing results indicated that two rings could respectively occupy the

![Fig. 3.](image1.png) 3D-structure of the substrate-binding pocket, residues in pocket were shown in stick and were colored by element.

![Fig. 4.](image2.png) The binding pocket of Mpro is show in the pink surface. The different identified regions are colored differently: Region I in yellow, region II in blue, region III in green, region IV in red. The extended lids covering in this pocket are shown in sticks and marked in the one-letter code.
binding region I and II (Fig. 5A). The binding region I and II had the same shape. The former was mainly surrounded by residues with chains, but the later was mainly surrounded by residues containing ring structures. Thus, some ligands consisted of a carbon chain and aromatic rings also showed higher docking affinities. For example, the derivative from the deivat of batatasin I could be divided into a long chain and a phenanthrene ring, which would respectively occupy the binding region I and II with a high docking affinity of −8.9 Kcal/mol (Fig. 5B).

Moreover, this kind of cross shape of the binding pocket of Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 also limited the shape of ligands and explained why polygons showed poor docking affinities, such as derivatives from skeletons of parthenolide (−7.4 Kcal/mol), schisandrin (−71 Kcal/mol) and lindera lactone (−5.9 Kcal/mol).

3.2. Docking analysis based on functional classes

In order to further analyze these docking results and illustrate the relation between the binding pocket of Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 and the structure of ligand, 1045.468 ligands’ structures were firstly divided into 11 classes basing on physical and chemical properties of their skeletons, involving flavonoids, biflavones, anthraquinones, polyphenols, phenylpropanoids, terpenoids, stilbenes, isoflavonoids, xanthones, flavonolignans and alkaloids.

Residues that could form hydrogen bonds with ligands were shown in Fig. 6. Docking results indicated that compounds in each class would prefer to form the hydrogen bond with some special residues basing on their unique physical and chemical properties.

Anthraquinones were systematically analyzed, involving derivatives from skeletons of hypericin and emodin. As shown in Fig. 7, although the derivative from the skeleton of hypericin had many hydroxyl groups, only one hydrogen bond was found to connect with the carbonyl group. It was caused by the molecular steric resistance. The derivative from the skeleton of hypericin had nine tight benzene rings and showed very strong electronic conjugated effect. Therefore, it could stably occupy binding region II, III, and IV by π–π stacking. These regions mainly consisted of residues containing ring structures. Although derivatives from the skeleton of emodin had less ring number, it also showed same docking results, which was the mainly characteristic of anthraquinone compounds showing in Figure S4.

Biflavonoid should be one of best classes as promising inhibitors against Mpro of SARS-CoV-2. Bioflavonoids are generated by the condensation reaction between two flavonoid molecules [30]. Therefore, they are symmetrical molecule. Moreover, it had six unsaturated rings, thus, showed the strong conjugated effect. Except derivatives from the skeleton of robustaflavone, other bioflavonoid compounds had many electron-donating (hydroxyl) groups, which could form stable hydrogen bonds with Mpro of SARS-CoV-2. For most derivatives from the skeleton of ginkgetin, their carbonyl and meta-hydroxyl groups in the terminal benzopyran could form multiple hydrogen bonds with carbonyl and amino groups in T26. Even in some cases, there was no meta-hydroxyl group in the terminal benzopyran, but carbonyl group could still form hydrogen bond with the carbonyl group in T26. One explanation is that there was always an intramolecular hydrogen bond between carbonyl and amino groups in T26. In addition, these compounds could fully occupy all four binding regions of Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 8), implying the skeleton of ginkgetin should be the perfect inhibitor candidate. For derivatives from skeletons of robustaflavone, hinokiflavone, and agathisflavone, the connection sites and directions between two flavonoid molecules made their molecular structures more rigid and longer than that of ginkgetin. Thus, these ligands couldn’t perfectly fill all binding pocket regions through the reasonable bond rotation (Figure S5). For all bioflavonoid ligands, functional groups in the terminal ring structure were easy to form hydrogen bonds due to the lower steric hindrance. When the ligand structure was more rigid and straight, although the steric hindrance of the whole molecule was increased, that of the molecular middle region would be decreased, improving possibilities for the formation of hydrogen bonds of functional groups in these regions.

Next, flavonoids were systematically analyzed, as shown in Figure S6. The most typical characteristic was that flavonoids consisted of benzene and benzopyran rings. Generally, steric hindrance of flavonoids was relevantly low, so that they could be easily inserted into the binding pocket of Mpro of SARS-CoV-2. Meanwhile, the small size also limited them to occupy only three binding regions (I, II, III or II, III, IV). Only derivative from the skeleton of tiliroside couldn’t completely enter into this binding pocket, because it had the very large chain with 2 additional rings, as shown in Fig. 9. A phenomenon was found that most flavonoids would like to form hydrogen bonds with Gly143 and Ser144, which located on the boundary between region I and II. On one hand, these hydrogen bonds were found in some specific structures, involving electron-donating (hydroxyl) groups in terminal benzene/benzopyran ring and branched chains without ring structures. On the other hand, the relevant small size of flavonoids (compared to the bioflavonoids) made them easily enter into the binding pocket, but it also implied the steric limitation of the binding pocket for these ligands were not very strong and stable. It might explain that although most flavonoids could completely enter into the binding pocket, their docking affinities were lower than those of biflavonoids.

Isoflavonoids are a class of special flavonoid phenolic compounds. Compared to flavonoids, the location of benzene ring was moved from meta- into ortho-position of carbonyl group in the benzopyran ring. The length of the skeleton was increased for ∼33% from 6 (flavonoid) to 9 (isoflavonoid) Å. Considering directions and sizes of four binding regions, this pocket made the ring structure of isoflavonoids like to occupy region II and III, as shown in Figure S7. Only the branched chain without ring structure could enter into the region I. Meanwhile, most isoflavonoids also liked to form hydrogen bonds with Gly143 and Ser144. The reason behind this phenomenon was same with flavonoids.

Terpenoids, also known as isoprenoids, were a class of compounds mostly forming special ring-structures. Although most rings in these ligands were saturated, the highest docking affinity was even higher than that of the flavonoids. It might be because their compact ring structures and all ring terpenoids shared their ring edges. Therefore, the density and mobility of the electronic cloud is higher in the ring-terpenoid partition, which made ring terpenoids easily interact with binding region II or III or IV showing in Figure S8. On one hand, terpenoids didn’t have much branched carbon chain, most of them also liked to form hydrogen bonds with Gly143 and Ser144.
Fig. 6. The interactions between each ligand and amino acid in active pocket. 465 candidates of inhibitors for M\textsubscript{pro} of SARS-CoV-2 were screened and could be divided into 11 classes by their scaffolds. Only the ligand with the highest affinity of each scaffold was shown. For each scaffold, the best ligand might be over 1 compound. Two kinds of colors (green and blue) were just used to only guide the eye distinguishing 11 classes of compounds.
bonds with region I via electron donating groups in terminal rings. On the other hand, the number and compactness of ring structures improved the electronic intensity, but it also increased the steric hindrance. The docking results indicated that too rigidified multi-ring structures were not beneficial for binding with the pocket.

Docking results of phenylpropanoids against Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 were shown in Figure S9. A relevantly typical feature was that there were always strong electron withdrawing groups around electron donating groups, which formed hydrogen bonds with Ser144. These electron withdrawing groups would prompt the hydrogen ion in electron donating groups to delocalize and form the hydrogen bond with residues. Although the number of rings in phenylpropanoids were relevantly lower, most rings were unsaturated and showed strong conjugated effect. Thus, phenylpropanoids could also bind interact with binding region II or III or IV. A special case was the derivate from the skeleton of coumarin. It had a very large branched chain. Importantly, there were many amino, carboxyl, and other groups, increasing the intensity and mobility of the electronic cloud in this chain, which made the branched chain form many hydrogen bonds with residues and be inserted into binding region II, III, and IV.

Compounds of the group of the alkaloids comprised most skeletons from this study. Docking results of alkaloids against Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 were shown in Figure S10. Their binding characteristics were as same as that of terpenoids, as the alkaloids also had most compact ring structures and all rings shared their ring edges. Thus, the strong conjugated effect should be the advantage for alkaloids against Mpro of SARS-CoV-2, but it also led into the rigidification of the whole ligand molecule, which increased their steric hindrance. The docking analysis indicated that alkaloids would like to form the hydrogen bonds with Leu141.

Stilbenes consist of a skeleton of stilbene and branched carbon chain. The former showed the strong conjugated effect and liked to interact with binding region II and III, as shown in Figure S11. Hydroxyl groups in the benzene ring formed hydrogen bonds with Leu141, Gly143, Ser144 and His163. The longest branched carbon chain would fit into binding region I. The less number of rings and higher steric hindrance limited the docking affinity of stilbenes.

The skeleton of xanthones (dibenzo-γ-pirones) has the same amount of ring as flavonoids, but their orientation is different. Although there were some xanthones showing higher docking affinities than the reference conivaptan, their performances were obviously worse than flavonoids. Docking results of xanthones against Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 were shown in Figure S12. In fact, the structure of xanthone ring was more compact and should have stronger conjugated effect. However, it also led to a larger and rigidified of the ring skeleton.

The skeleton of silydianin belong to flavonolignan class. The interaction between silydianin and Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 were shown in Figure S13. Silydianin formed hydrogen bonds with region III. Silydianin with polycyclic structure, could fit well with this active pocket.

Polyphenols were systematically analyzed, involving derivates from skeletons of gastrodin and ellagic acid. As shown in Figure S14, derivates from gastrodin and ellagic acid showing the strong conjugated effect, formed hydrogen bonds in region II and III, respectively. Polyphenols contain multiple hydroxyl groups. The oxygen of hydroxyl has many pairs of single electrons, which may be used to form more and stable delocalized electrons.

### 3.3. Dynamic simulation

MD analysis was employed to further analyze the stability of the ligand-protein complex. Considering the current emergency situation and our limited computational power, only best candidate (derivate from ginkgetin, the first ligand in Figure S2) was used as an example for the systematically investigation. The ligand-protein complex obtained from the docking was employed as the first frame for further MD analysis. **Fig. 10** indicated that screened ligand could form stable complex with Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 in each MD simulation. All of them were able to keep slight fluctuation in RMSD. Meanwhile, the molecular trajectory always stayed in the active pocket during whole MD simulation. Hydrogen bond interactions were further analyzed. The density of points in **Fig. 10** represented the affinity strength. Results indicated that the derivate from ginkgetin could form stable hydrogen bonds with many residues in the active center of Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 (H41, H164, E166, Q189, T190, A191, and Q192). They all leaded to the very
Fig. 10. MD study on ginkgetin-protein complexes. Time evolution of RMSD for ginkgetin molecules (A). Interactions plot between the active pocket of the main protease residues and ginkgetin (B). Visualization showing snapshots of ginkgetin during trajectories (C). Snapshots of ginkgetin in the structure of Mpro (PDB:6LU7), taken from the first MD simulation at a time interval of 120 ns, are shown. Ginkgetin is colored in cyan, Mpro in red.
high stability of these ligand-protease complexes. This result was also better than our previous work [26].

3.4. ADMET and drug-likeness properties analyses

Finally, ADMET and drug-likeness analyses were shown in Supporting excel file (ADMET and drug-likeness). ADMET analysis was employed to predict the water solubility, acute oral toxicity, binding efficiency for plasma protein, lipophilicity, TPSA, bioavailability score, fraction Csp3, P-glycoprotein substrate, Caco-2 and human oral bioavailability of screened 465 compounds. Nine derivatives from skeletons of imperialine, emodin, batatin A, peimisine, quercetin, magnolol, eudavidine, glabrone and xychrinone showed positive scores in P-glycoprotein substrate, Caco-2 and human oral bioavailability. According to the ROS, 465 candidates of inhibitors for Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 were further analyzed via molecular weight, hydrogen bond donor, hydrogen bond acceptor, rotatable bonds and calculated Log P. Results indicated that there were 403 kinds of candidates meeting the Lipinski’s rule. Although hypericin had a high docking affinity (−10.3 kcal/mol), the whole molecule was very rigid and only had one rotatable bond. It is worth noting that ADMET and drug-likeness analyses only provided the reference due to their relevant low accuracy. This detail results were not shown, but could be found in Supporting excel file (ADMET and drug-likeness).

3.5. Enzyme inhibitory activity of plant extracts against Mpro of SARS-CoV-2

Next, relevant experiments were carried out to prove our docking results. Theoretically, the inhibition activity should be measured for every screened compound and a high purity will be provided to maximize the reaction efficiency. However, most of these compounds were very expensive derivatives and their availabilities were not easy. Some of them even needed to wait over half a year. Given the urgency of the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak, we should focus on the use of existing resources for treating or preventing infections.

Plants extracts provided a relevantly rapid and effective way to prove partial docking results, which allows us to screen and predict the potential drug against SARS-CoV-2. Although these plants extracts were mixtures, they also showed strong relevance with these screened compounds. The Later derives from the structural scaffold of the former. Thus, they had same physicochemical properties and close docking affinities. The most advantage is that these TCMs and their plants extracts have been widely used as medicine or functional foods in many countries (such as China, Japan, South Korea). However, there are over thousands kinds of TCMs. It’s impossible to test all of them in a work. Fortunately, this work provided much useful information for functions of ingredients of these TCMs to inhibit Mpro of SARS-CoV-2.

Basing on docking results, 23 kinds of plants extract from TCMs, which included most/all screened scaffolds, were used to study their inhibitory activity, as shown in Fig. 11. Plants extracts from Anemarrhenae Rhizoma has the highest inhibitory efficacy in all cases. The median inhibitory concentration (IC50) of Anemarrhenae Rhizoma and Ginkgo biloba plants extracts were shown in Fig. 12, reaching 0.23 mg/mL and 0.34 mg/mL, respectively.

Although Anemarrhenae Rhizoma might not contain the best candidate compound (ginkgetin), it still had many functional compounds, including kaempferol (which shared the same scaffold with quercetin), sarsasapogenin, magnerifin. The docking affinities of these three phytochemicals and their derivatives were –8.9 Kcal/mol, –8.8 Kcal/mol, –7.9 Kcal/mol, respectively. Quercetin widely exists in the nature and has many functional isomers/analogs/derivatives. Quercetin had good water solubility and satisfied Lipinski rule of 5 (Log 5 (ESOL) = -3.16, TPSA=131.36Å³).

The most typical characteristic was quercetin consisted of benzene and benzopyran rings, belonging to flavonoids. Quercetin had three ring structures, which were arranged closely. Therefore, steric hindrance of quercetin was relevantly low, which they could be easily inserted into the binding pocket of Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 and formed the strong π–π stacking interaction with binding region II or III or IV showing in Figure S8. Sarssasapogenin as a kind of saponins, was the main component in Anemarrhenae Rhizoma and reached 60%. In addition, Sarssasapogenin has the good drug-like properties, high polar, and good bioavailability according to ADMET analysis (AlogP=5.79, Caco-2 = 0.5138(positive), TPSA=38.69 Å³). Sarssasapogeninan and its isomers/analogs/derivatives had six saturation rings. Their compact ring structures shared their ring edges. Therefore, the density and mobility of the electronic cloud is high, which made ring terpenoids easily interact with binding region II or III or IV showing in Figure S8. The previous analysis showed that the docking affinities against Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 exhibited a clear dependence on the number of ring structures of ligands. Meanwhile, xanthones were another main chemical component in Anemarrhenae Rhizoma, and their content were higher than 0.7%. Mangiferin, as a kind of bifanpyrone compounds, had good water solubility and good lipophiles (Log 5 (ESOL)=−2.65, Log Po/w (XLOGP3) = −0.07). The binding score of mangiferin (−7.9 Kcal/mol) was also close to the compare drug (conivaptan, −8.2 Kcal/mol). Although ginkgetin had the best docking score in molecular docking, the experimental result of Ginkgo biloba was not. It might be caused by its poor water solubility. On the other hand, contents of target compounds in these plant extracts were other limitations. The content of ginkgetin only reached 1.0%. For the moment, the plant extracts of (13: italic Anemarrhenae Rhizoma/(13:italic)without deep purification showed the acceptable inhibitory activity. The plant extracts are cheaper and more available, which were easier to be widely used in the functional food, adjuvant and medicine fields.

Lianhua Qingwen (LHQW) granule/capsule may be another good example, which was an innovative Chinese medicine for the treatment of COVID-19. Inhibitory activities of five TCMs ingredients from LHQW were also tested in our works (Fig. 11), involving Forsythiae Fructus, Rhodiola rosea Linn., Rheum, Radix glycyrrhizaee, Lonicerae Japanicae Flos. As show in the Table 1, these TCMs had many functional compounds, which showed higher docking affinities than comparison. For example, the inhibitory activity of Forsythiae Fructus reached 70.1% for Mpro of SARS–CoV–2 (under our experimental conditions), which had many functional compounds with good binding scores including rutin, hypericin, usorol acid, quercetin and its isomers/analogs/derivatives. The clinical researches showed that patient who used LHQW capsule had significantly higher recovery rate, and shorter median time to symptom recovery. Besides, on April 14, 2020, the National Medical Products Administration of China approved adding a new indication in LHQW (Yiling Pharmaceutical Inc.) for COVID-19 treatment in mild and normal cases [31].

4. Discussion

In this work, 1045 ligands’ structures derived from 116 kinds of TCM were employed to study their interaction with Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 by the molecular docking. 465 candidates of inhibitors for Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 could be divided into 11 classes by their scaffolds, involving biflavanones, flavonoids, anthraquinones, polyphenols, phenylpropanoids, terpenoids, stilbenes, isoflavonoids, xanthones, flavonolignans and alkaloids. Basing on these simulation results, 23 kinds of TCMs plants extracts were employed to study their inhibitory activity. The inhibitory activity of 18 kinds of Chinese herbal extracts were higher than 50% at the concentration of 0.35 mg/mL, which involved Ginkgo biloba, Cop-
Fig. 11. Inhibitory activities of 23 kinds of Chinese herbal extracts against M\textsubscript{pro} of SARS-CoV-2 by inhibitor screening kits with FRET protease assay.

Fig. 12. The median inhibitory concentration (IC\textsubscript{50}) of plants extracts. Anemarrhenae Rhizoma (A), Ginkgo biloba (B).

tidis Rhizoma, Lonicerae Japonicae Flos, Taxus chinensis (Pilger) Rehd., Forsythiae Fructus, Radix Puerariae, Evodia rutaecarpa, Myristica fragrans, Strychnos nux-vomica L., Gastrodia elata Bl., Rheum, Anemarrhenae Rhizoma, Aloe L., Leonurus artemisia, Dioscorea oppositifolia L., Jujubae Fructus, Silybum marianum, Radix astragali. Plants extracts from Anemarrhenae Rhizoma has the highest inhibitory efficiency reaching 78.9% for M\textsubscript{pro} of SARS–CoV–2. Many functional compounds were closely related to our daily life. If some (functional) foods show the potential role against this virus, SARS-CoV-2, these functional foods can be used for adjusting our daily diet to prevent infections as an easier and accepted operation in the world.
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