Comparative study between unengaged and engaged fetal head in primigravida at term or in labour
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ABSTRACT

Background: The aim of the study is to compare and evaluate between unengaged (UE) and engaged (E) groups at term or in labour, incidence of unengaged and engaged heads, need for medical or surgical intervention, progress of labour and fetomaternal outcome.

Methods: It is a prospective comparative study carried at tertiary care hospital for a period of 18mths from May16-November17. Out of 377 patients, 100 patients were selected in each group with unengaged (group A) and engaged (group B) heads. Patients consent, and ethical approval was taken. History, examination and investigation was done at each visit. Incidence of unengaged heads at term or in labour, duration of each phase of labour and its partographic analysis, need for medical or surgical intervention, mode of delivery and fetomaternal outcome was calculated.

Results: Incidence of unengaged heads at term is 61%. 17% patients in unengaged group as compared to 10% required induction of labour while 73(73%) patients in unengaged group required augmentation with oxytocin as compared to 36(36%) patients in engaged group. Duration of labour in each phase was more in unengaged group. Incidence of lower segment caesarean section (LSCS) was 37% in unengaged group as compared to 23% in engaged group. Fetomaternal morbidity which was higher in unengaged was documented.

Conclusions: Although with unengaged head being a significant risk factor for LSCS, with watchful expectancy and careful intervention, most patients will deliver vaginally with minimal fetomaternal morbidity.
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INTRODUCTION

Labour is an important event with unique experience exclusively in a woman’s life.\(^1\) It is characterized by uterine contraction that affect the dilatation of cervix and force the foetus through the birth canal. Engagement of head has been defined as passage of widest diameter (biparietal diameter) of foetal skull through the plane of the pelvic inlet. It is generally accepted that high foetal station in primigravidas in labour near term may indicate a threat to the normal progress of labour because of foeto-pelvic disproportion or obstruction of the foetal passage by tumor or the placenta.\(^2\) Although the engagement of the foetal head is regarded as a phenomenon of labour, it is usually delayed in nulliparas and commonly occurs during the last few weeks of pregnancy.\(^3\) When engagement occurs, it is confirmatory evidence that pelvic inlet is adequate for that foetal head. However, a significant number of primigravidae still do present with unengaged head at the onset of labour.\(^4\)

Friedman and Sachtleben demonstrated that higher station at the onset of labour were associated with an increase in the duration of labour and in the incidence of dysfunctional labour patterns.\(^5\) In study done by Thenmozhi and Iyer, engagement of head at the onset of
labour was found in 15.34% cases. They have observed a proportionate prolongation in the latent phase of labour in patients with high station. In prolonged labour, mother is exposed to high risk of infections, ketosis and obstructed labour while foetus has the risk of low APGAR at birth, asphyxia and meconium aspiration syndrome.

Therefore, an unengaged head in a nulliparous woman in active labour can be an indication for caesarean delivery. However some studies have shown that a substantial proportion of them deliver vaginally with no increase in maternal or foetal morbidity and mortality.

Partogram is a graphic record of progress of labour and maternal and fetal condition during labour in a single sheet of paper which is useful in detecting the labour that is not progressing normally at an early stage and helpful in its management.

The purpose of this study was thus to find out the incidence of unengaged heads at term or at onset of labour, make partographic analysis of progress of labour in primigravida females with unengaged versus engaged head, to determine role of active medical and surgical interventions and to determine foeto-maternal morbidity.

METHODS

It is a prospective comparative study conducted at a tertiary care hospital Mumbai from May 2016 and November 2017. 377 primigravidas attended our OPD with gestational age of equal to or >37 completed weeks and <42 completed weeks either for routine ANC checkup or in labour. According to ‘OPENEPI’ software at 95% confidence interval and 80% power of study for sample size estimation, minimum cases required in Group ‘A’ is 63 and Group ‘B’ is 63. But since my study duration was for 18 months, I had taken 100 cases in each group.

Detailed history was taken regarding parity, duration of pregnancy and history of labour pains if present, whenever they visited us in our OPD. Gestational age was calculated according to her LMP (last menstrual period). In those patients who were not sure of their dates, gestational age was calculated based on their earliest ultrasound.

General physical examination (i.e. height and weight) and detailed obstetric examination which included abdominal examination for fundal height, lie, presentation, engagement, amount of liquor, estimated foetal weight, palpable uterine contractions and foetal heart rate. Pelvic examination was done which included following points: prominence of sacral promontory, interspinous diameter, sacral hollow and subpubic arch to rule out contracted pelvis. Ultrasound was done to confirm above findings.

Those patients who presented with labour pains were enrolled according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria and those not in labour but having fulfilled inclusion and exclusion criteria (except labour factors) were followed up with routine ANC visits till 40 weeks on OPD basis or till they go in labour whichever is earlier. Ethical committee approval was taken.

Inclusion criteria

- Primigravida
- Term pregnancy (37-42) weeks
- Expected foetal birth weight of 2.5-4.0 kg on USG at term
- Singleton live pregnancy
- Cephalic presentation
- Cervical dilatation of =/< 3cms
- Reactive CTG at term or at onset of labour.

Exclusion criteria

- Contracted pelvis
- Previous uterine surgery
- Pregnancy complications like antepartum haemorrhage, pregnancy induced hypertension, gestational diabetes mellitus, IUGR.
- Previous abortion.

These patients were divided in 2 groups with group ‘A’ consisted of 230 unengaged heads and group ‘B’ consisted of 147 engaged foetal head in primigravida at term or in labour.

Engagement was defined as follows: the amount of foetal head felt suprapubically in finger breadth. It is estimated by number of fifths of head above pelvic brim (CRICHTONS METHOD).

- When 0/5: deeply engaged
- When 1/5: engaged
- When 2/5: just engaged
- When 3/5: not engaged
- When 4/5: on the brim
- When 5/5: floating above the brim

So unengaged head will be 3/5 and above and engaged head will be 2/5 and below.

Out of total 230 patients in Group A, 33 patients were lost to follow up. 63 patients were excluded as they did not fit into our inclusion and exclusion criteria as some patients developed medical complications like pregnancy induced hypertension, some came in active labour, some had non-reassuring CTG at onset of labour, some patients had contracted pelvis. Of the remaining 134 patients, first 100 patients coming in labour or at 40wks were included in present study.

Similarly, out of 147 patients in Group B, 17 patients were lost to follow up, 15 patients were excluded due to reasons as unengaged group. Of the remaining 115
patients, first 100 patients who came in labour or at 40wks were included in present study.

All the patients from both the groups who came at the onset of labour or at 40 weeks whichever was earlier, were included in present study after detailed history, clinical examination and investigation. Appropriate consent was taken of all the eligible patients who were included in present study.

At onset of labour or at 40 weeks in all eligible patients from both the groups, pelvic examination was done for pelvic adequacy and BISHOPS score was calculated in those patients not in labour requiring induction of labour at 40wks using intracervical prostaglandin PGE2 gel.

Onset of labour was considered from time of onset of pain in lower abdomen or history of leaking per vaginaum whichever is first. Those patients who were not in labour were monitored on OPD basis till 40 weeks. Those patients who were not in labour till 40 weeks and <42 weeks were induced with PGE2 gel after assessing pelvis, BISHOPS score and NST. In patients with spontaneous onset of labour, pelvic adequacy and CTG was done. Induced patients and the patients with reactive CTG at onset of labour were observed for duration of latent phase, duration of active phase and duration of second stage. Patients with inadequate uterine contractions were augmented with oxytocin.

The course of labour was recorded on partograph. Following outcomes were measured like; percentage of patients with unengaged head at term getting engaged at onset of labour or at 40 weeks, need for medical intervention like intracervical prostaglandins for induction of labour, need of oxytocin for augmentation of labour, progress of labour with total duration of labour including duration of latent phase, duration of active phase, rate of cervical dilatation in active phase, duration of second stage, intrapartal foetal distress, mode of delivery whether spontaneous vaginal delivery, assisted vaginal delivery or LSCS and the indication of LSCS, postpartal maternal outcome and neonatal outcome like APGAR score at 1st and 5th minute and NICU stay.

Above data was entered in proforma and analysed by SPSS version.

RESULTS

In present study of the total 377 patients seen in our OPD, incidence of unengaged heads at term is 230 (61%). In unengaged group, mean gestational age at onset of labour is 39.12 weeks as compared to 38.21 weeks in engaged group. In unengaged group presenting at onset of labour or at 40 weeks, 17 (17%) patients were at 5/5, 23 (23%) were at 4/5, 31 (31%) were at 3/5, 28 (28%) were at 2/5 and 1 (1%) at 1/5. 17 (17%) patients in unengaged group as compared to 10 (10%) patients in engaged group went till 40 weeks of gestation and required induction of labour while 73 (73%) patients in unengaged group as compared to 36 (36%) patients in engaged group required augmentation of labour.

Table 1: Comparison of mean duration of labour in each phase between unengaged and engaged groups.

| Mean duration of each phase (hours) | Unengaged | Engaged | P value |
|------------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Latent phase                       | 10.40     | 8.41    | <0.01   |
| Active phase                       | 5.48      | 4.13    | <0.01   |
| Rate of dilatation (cm/hour)       | 1.14      | 1.53    | <0.01   |
| 1st stage                          | 14.21     | 12.5    | <0.01   |
| 2nd stage (mins)                   | 34.38     | 29.44   | 0.12    |

In patients presenting in spontaneous onset of labour, between 37-38 weeks, 6 (7.22%) patients were from unengaged group and 39 (43.33%) patients from engaged group, between 38-39 weeks, 35 (42.16%) patients were from unengaged group and 43 (47.77%) from engaged group, between 39-40 weeks, 38 (45.78%) patients were from unengaged group and 5 (5.55%) from engaged group and >/= 40 weeks, 4 (4.81%) patients were from unengaged group and 3 (3.33%) from engaged group and the difference was statistically significant. Mean duration of labour in latent phase in unengaged group is 10.40 hours whereas in engaged group it is 8.51 hours, mean duration of labour in active phase is 5.48 hrs in unengaged group and 4.13 hours in engaged group, mean duration of 1st stage is 14.21 hours in unengaged group as compared to 12.5 hours in engaged group, mean duration of second stage of labour is 34.38 mins in unengaged group as compared to 29.44 minutes in engaged group, mean rate of cervical dilatation in unengaged group is 1.14 hours as compared to 1.53 hours in engaged group.

Table 2: Comparison of outcome in unengaged and engaged foetal heads in primigravida at term.

| Group                | Unengaged | Engaged | P value |
|----------------------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Spontaneous vaginal  | 53 (53%)  | 70 (70%)| 0.046   |
| Instrumental         | 10 (10%)  | 7 (7%)  |         |
| LSCS                 | 37 (37%)  | 23 (23%)|         |

Out of 100 patients in unengaged group, 53 (53%) patients delivered by spontaneous vaginal delivery, 10 (10%) delivered by instrumental delivery and 37 (37%) delivered by LSCS as compared to 70 (70%), 7 (7%) and 23 (23%) respectively in engaged group.

In patients going for LSCS, 18 (48.64%) in unengaged group as compared to 9 (39.13%) in engaged group had no progress of labour, 14 (37.83%) in unengaged group as compared to 12 (52.17%) in engaged group had foetal distress and 5 (13.51%) in unengaged group as compared to 2 (8.69%) in engaged group had failure of induction.
In unengaged group, 11 (11%) patients had post-partum haemorrhage, 7 (7%) patients had perineal tear, 3 (3%) patients had cervical tear, 3 (3%) patients had wound infection and 1 (1%) patient in engaged group had post-partum psychosis whereas in engaged group, 7 (7%), 4 (4%), 5 (5%) and 2 (2%) had same complications respectively.

### Table 3: Comparison of indications of LSCS in unengaged and engaged groups.

| Indication of LSCS       | Unengaged | Engaged |
|-------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Non-progress of labour  | 18 (48.64%) | 9 (39.13%) |
| Foetal distress         | 14 (37.83%) | 12 (52.17%) |
| Failure of induction    | 5 (13.51%) | 2 (8.69%) |

### Table 4: Comparison of maternal and foetal complications in unengaged and engaged group.

| Group                  | Unengaged | Engaged | P value |
|------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Maternal complications |           |         |         |
| PPH                    | 11 (11%)  | 7 (7%)  | 0.58    |
| Perineal tear          | 7 (7%)    | 4 (4%)  |         |
| Cervical tear          | 3 (3%)    | 5 (5%)  |         |
| Wound infection        | 3 (3%)    | 2 (2%)  |         |
| Post-partum Psychosis  | 0         | 1 (1%)  |         |
| Foetal distress        | 17 (17%)  | 16 (16%)| 0.55    |
| APGAR at 1st min       |           |         |         |
| >7                     | 70 (70%)  | 74 (74%)| 0.63    |
| <=7                    | 30 (30%)  | 26 (26%)|         |
| APGAR at 5th min       |           |         |         |
| >7                     | 92 (92%)  | 93 (93%)| 1.0     |
| <=7                    | 8 (8%)    | 7 (7%)  |         |
| NICU stay              | 11 (11%)  | 18 (18%)| 0.228   |

Mean birth weight in unengaged group was 2.86 kgs as compared to 2.76 kgs in engaged group.

### DISCUSSION

Out of 100 patients in unengaged group, 71% patients presented with unengaged head at the onset of labour or at 40 weeks. In study conducted by Daniel roshanfefer et al incidence of unengaged heads was 71% as compared to 29% in engaged group which is similar to present study.

In present study in unengaged group at onset of labour or at 40 weeks, 17 (17%) were at 5/5, 23 (23%) were at 4/5 and 31 (31%) were at 3/5, 28 (28%) were at 2/5 and 1% patient was at 1/5 which was similar to Shrivastava A. in their study which had 20% free floating heads, 34% with 4/5 palpable and 46% with 3/5 palpable heads. Studies done by Malik et al and Shrivastava A et al showed similar results in week wise distribution of onset of labour.

In present study, 73 (73%) patients in unengaged group required augmentation with oxytocin as compared to 36 (36%) in engaged group. Similar results were seen in other studies like Iqbal et al in which 72 (72%) patients in unengaged group required augmentation as compared to 33 (33%) patients in engaged group and Shaikh et al study had 74 (74%) of patients with unengaged heads requiring augmentation of labour.

In study conducted by Saima chaudhary, duration of first stage of labour was 11.04+2.04 hours while the duration of second stage was 37.8+20.3 mins which is similar to present study. In study conducted by Sudhir et al, duration of first stage of labour was 12.06+0.50 hours while the duration of second stage was 36.3+15.2 minutes which is similar to present study.

Shivamurthy HM et al showed that the mean duration of active phase and the duration of second stage was shorter in engaged group as compared to unengaged group foetal. In present study in unengaged group, 63(63%) were delivered vaginally (either normally or by assisted method) and 37 (37%) were delivered through LSCS, as compared to engaged group in which 77(77%) patients delivered vaginally and 23 (23%) patients delivered by LSCS.

Iqbal et al showed that incidence of LSCS was 38 (38%) in unengaged group as compared to 15 (15%) in engaged group which was similar to present study.

In a study by Shivamurthy HM et al 18% of primigravida with unengaged heads had cesarean delivery compared to 6% of primigravida with engaged heads and non-progress of labour was the main reason of LSCS.

Mahajan et al18 showed 89 (59.33%) patients delivered by normal vaginal delivery, 7 (4.66%) by instrumental delivery and 54 (36%) delivered by LSCS and 30 (55.56%) patients had undergone LSCS due to non-progress of labour, 20 (37.03%) due to foetal distress and 4 (7.41%) due to obstructed labour and the results are similar to present study.

Similar maternal and neonatal outcome has been observed by El Nassery et al in unengaged heads. Maternal complications included postpartum hemorrhage in 13 patients (8.6%), perineal and vaginal tears in 26 patients (17.3%), Shoulder dystocia in 2 patients (1.3%). Mean APGAR score at 1 min was 6.17+1.882(SD) and at 5 mins 8.37+1.256 (SD). NICU admission was required for 8 patients (5.3%), Mahajan et al in unengaged group showed that PPH occurred in 16 (10.67%) women, perineal tear in 5(3.33%), cervical tear in 2 (1.33%) while APGAR at 5 min was 7-10 in 113 (75.33%), 4-6 in 30(20%) and <3 in 7 (4.67%) neonates. 14 (9.33%) neonates were admitted in NICU.
Table 5: Weekwise distribution of unengaged (UE) and engaged (E) patients at onset of labour between 37-42 weeks of gestation.

| Gestational age in weeks | Present study | Malik S et al\[^{12}\] | Shrivastava A\[^{11}\] |
|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
|                          | UE            | E                       | UE                     | E  | UE  |
| 37-39                    | 41 (49.38%)   | 82 (91.1%)              | 38                     | 31 | 48 (48%) |
| 39-40                    | 38 (45.78%)   | 5 (5.55%)               |                        |    | 40 (40%) |
| 40-41                    | 4 (4.81%)     | 3 (3.33%)               | 51                     | 60 | 10 (10%) |
| Total                    | 100           | 100                     | 100                    | 100| 100   |

Table 6: Comparison of mean duration of labour in unengaged (UE) and engaged (E) group.

|                     | Mean duration of latent phase (hours) | Mean duration of active phase (hours) | Mean duration of 1st stage (hours) | Mean duration of 2nd stage (mins) | Mean total duration of labour (hours) |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Present study       |                                       |                                       |                                   |                                  |                                      |
| UE                  | 10.40                                 | 5.48                                  | 14.21                             | 34.38                            | 14.48                                |
| E                   | 8.51                                  | 4.13                                  | 12.5                              | 29.44                            | 12.75                                |
| Chaudhary S et al\[^{15}\] |                           |                                       |                                   |                                  |                                      |
| UE                  |                                       |                                       |                                   |                                  |                                      |
|                     | 11.04                                 |                                       |                                   |                                  |                                      |
| Sudhir S et al\[^{16}\] |                           |                                       |                                   |                                  |                                      |
| UE                  |                                       |                                       |                                   |                                  |                                      |
|                     | 12.06                                 |                                       |                                   |                                  |                                      |

Table 7: Comparison of outcome of patients between unengaged and engaged groups at term not in labour and at 40 weeks or at onset of labour.

| Outcome | Normal vaginal delivery | Instrumental delivery | LSCS |
|---------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| Present study | UE (n=100) | 53 (53%) | 10 (10%) | 37 (37%) |
|           | E (n=100)  | 70 (70%) | 7 (7%)   | 23 (23%) |
| Iqbal et al\[^{13}\] 2009 | UE (n=100) | 47 (47%) | 15 (15%) | 38 (38%) |
|           | E (n=100)  | 73 (73%) | 12 (12%) | 15 (15%) |
| Shivamurthy HM et al\[^{17}\] 2014 (at onset of active labour) | UE (n=100) | 68 (68%) | 14 (14%) | 18 (18%) |
|           | E (n=100)  | 88 (88%) | 6 (6%)   | 6 (6%)   |

Shaikh et al in unengaged head showed that, PPH occurred in 10% women, perineal tear in 2%, wound infection in 7% while APGAR at 5 min was 7-10 in 75%, 4-6 in 20% and \(<=3 in 5% neonates. 10 neonates were admitted in NICU.\[^{14}\]

Mean birth weight in unengaged group was 2.8 kg and in engaged group it was 2.7 kg in present study.

In study done by Dayal S et al where they studied primigravidas with engaged and unengaged fetal head, they observed that the mean birth weight was 2.77 kg in the unengaged group and 2.065 kg in engaged group.\[^{20}\] The difference in birth weight in 2 groups was statistically significant which is very similar to present study.

**CONCLUSION**

In primigravida, engagement of foetal head is delayed either during term or during labour and this unengagement causes anxiety in the minds of obstetrician due to prolonged duration of labour and higher incidence of medical and surgical intervention and a risk factor for LSCS. If the attitude of watchful expectancy and timely intervention is used in these cases, especially in cases where no aetiological factor is found for unengaged head, by plotting partogram and using oxytocin judiciously when labour appears to be taking a protracted course, most of these patients will deliver vaginally with minimal maternal and foetal morbidity and will avoid hasty decisions towards caesarean section.
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