Classification of multipartite entanglement in all dimensions
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We provide a systematic classification of multiparticle entanglement in terms of equivalence classes of states under stochastic local operations and classical communication (SLOCC). We show that such an SLOCC equivalence class of states is characterized by ratios of homogeneous polynomials that are invariant under local action of the special linear group. We then construct the complete set of all such SL-invariant polynomials (SLIPs). Our construction is based on Schur-Weyl duality and applies to any number of qudits in all (finite) dimensions. In addition, we provide an elegant formula for the dimension of the homogeneous SLIPs space of a fixed degree as a function of the number of qudits. The expressions for the SLIPs involve in general many terms, but for the case of qubits we also provide much simpler expressions.
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Multi-particle entanglement is an essential resource for a variety of quantum information processing tasks. These include conventional [11] and measurement-based quantum computation [2], quantum error correction schemes [1], quantum secret sharing [3], quantum simulations [4], and in principle in any task involving entangled many-body quantum systems [5, 6]. These applications to quantum information, along with its intriguing properties and potential applications to condensed matter physics [7], sparked an enormous amount of literature dedicated to the classification of multipartite entanglement. Nevertheless, our current understanding of multipartite entanglement is very limited, and besides few cases involving small systems, the resource theory of multipartite entanglement is still in its infancy [1, 8].

Entanglement is a non-local resource with which it is possible to overcome the limitations imposed by local operations. Therefore, multipartite states are classified according to their inter-convertibility under stochastic local operations and classical communication (SLOCC) [8]. That is, two states belong to the same entanglement class (or SLOCC class) if it is possible to reversibly convert one state to the other with non-zero probability using only LOCC. While in three qubits there are only 6 inequivalent SLOCC classes, in four (or more) qubits there are already uncountable number of inequivalent SLOCC classes [2, 10]. This is a simple indication that the complexity in characterizing SLOCC classes grows rapidly as the number of particles increases.

Initially, much of the literature focused on classification of SLOCC classes in terms of homogeneous polynomial functions of the coefficients of the pure multi-qudit state in question. If these polynomials on N-qudit systems are also invariant under $SL(d, \mathbb{C})^N$ (here $SL(d, \mathbb{C})$ is the set of all $d \times d$ complex matrices with determinant 1) then their absolute values are measures of genuine multipartite entanglement [12, 13]. These entanglement measures have many interesting and desirable properties, including initial-state-independence behavior under local noise [13, 13]. For pure two qubits and three qubits systems, the concurrence [15] and the 3-tangle [16] are the unique polynomials of this kind. For four qubits, however, there are infinitely many such invariants and the set of all of them is generated by four invariants [2, 17]. Beyond that, and despite the extensive literature, very little is known about the set of all such SL-invariant polynomials (SLIPs) except for few techniques that were used to construct some of the SLIPs for multi-qubit states [18, 19].

In this Letter we show that almost all SLOCC equivalent classes, including the dense set of stable SLOCC classes, can be distinguished by ratios of homogeneous SLIPs of the same degree. We then find an algorithm to construct all of the SLIPs. Our main idea is to look at homogeneous polynomials of a fixed degree $k$, and view them as vectors in a Hilbert space consisting of $k$-copies of the original Hilbert space. This identification enables us to use the Schur-Weyl duality and other techniques from representation and invariant theory to construct the full set of homogeneous SLIPs of degree $k$. Our technique can be applied to any number of qudits in all dimensions. For the case of qubits, we also find an elegant way to express many of the SLIPs.

We consider here the Hilbert space of $n$ qudits which we denote by:

$$H_n \equiv \mathbb{C}^{m_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m_n}.$$ 

Local (generalized) measurements on each of the $n$ qudits, can transform probabilistically an initial pure state $|\psi\rangle \in H_n$ to some other pure state $|\phi\rangle \equiv A_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_n |\psi\rangle$, where $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^n$ are $m_j \times m_j$ matrices. If this transformation is reversible then all the matrices $\{A_j\}$ can be taken to be invertible [10], and $\psi$ and $\phi$ are said to be SLOCC equivalent. We are interested here in character-
izing classes of SLOCC equivalent states.

Up to normalization, an invertible SLOCC map $A_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_n$ can be described as an element of the group

$$G \equiv SL(m_1, \mathbb{C}) \otimes SL(m_2, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \cdots \otimes SL(m_n, \mathbb{C})$$

where $SL(m_j, \mathbb{C})$ is the group consisting of all $m_j \times m_j$ complex invertible matrices with determinant 1. This last condition implies that the states $g(\psi)$ for $g \in G$ are in general not normalized even if $|\psi\rangle$ is normalized. The orbit $G|\psi\rangle := \{g(\psi) \mid g \in G\}$ is therefore consisting of non-normalized states in the SLOCC equivalent class of $|\psi\rangle$. Working with non-normalized states enables us to characterize the distinct orbits $\{G(\psi)\}$ with SLIPs, while the distinct SLOCC classes can be characterized by ratios of the SLIPs.

A polynomial $f : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfies

$$f(g(\psi)) = f(\psi) \quad \forall g \in G \quad \text{and} \quad \forall |\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_n ,$$

is called SL-invariant polynomial (SLIP). The set of all SLIPs form a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. We can always choose the basis of this polynomial space to consist of homogeneous SLIPs of some degree $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The dimension of the space of homogeneous polynomials of fixed degree $k$ is finite, although we will see that it grows exponentially with $n$.

In the supplemental material we show that the degree $k$ must be divisible by the least common multiple of the integers $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n$. This implies for example that for qubits there are only SLIPs of even degree. However, for the case of $n$ qubits there exists a large class of polynomials that can be expressed very elegantly. The main reason for that is the existence of the matrix

$$J \equiv -i\sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

for which any $2 \times 2$ matrix $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ satisfies

$$A^T J A = J ,$$

where $A^T$ denotes the transposed matrix of $A$. In higher dimensions, such an invariant matrix $J$ that satisfies the above equation for all $A \in SL(d, \mathbb{C})$ does not exist. Thus, before introducing our main results, we first show that this property of $J$ leads to a large class of SLIPs in the qubit case where $m_j = 2$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

The above property of $J$ implies that for any two states $|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_n$, and any $g \in G$,

$$(g\psi, g\phi)_n = (\psi, \phi)_n ,$$

where the bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)_n$ is defined by

$$(\psi, \phi)_n \equiv (\psi^* | J \otimes \cdots \otimes J | \phi) . \quad (5)$$

Here $J$ appears $n$-times, and the vector $|\psi^*\rangle$ is the complex conjugate of $|\psi\rangle$ when it is expressed in a fixed basis such that each $J$ has the form $[2]$. Therefore, $(\psi, \phi)_n$ is an homogenous SLIP of degree 2. Note however that for odd $n$, $(\psi, \phi)_n = 0$ since in that case $J^{\otimes n}$ is a skew-symmetric matrix $[23]$. For any choice of $q$ qubits in $\mathcal{H}_n$ with $1 \leq q < n$, we associate a bipartite cut $A_q \otimes B_{n-q}$ between the chosen $q$ qubits $A_q$, and the remaining $n-q$ qubits $B_{n-q}$. For a given $q$ there are $(\binom{n}{q})$ bipartite cuts of the form $H_n \cong A_q \otimes B_{n-q}$. Now, fix a bipartite cut $A_q \otimes B_{n-q}$. Any state $|\psi\rangle \in A_q \otimes B_{n-q}$ can be written as

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{2^q} \sum_{k=1}^{2^{n-q}} a_{jk} |u_j\rangle |v_k\rangle , \quad (6)$$
where \{\ket{u_i}\} and \{\ket{v_k}\} are orthonormal bases of \( \mathcal{A}_q \) and \( \mathcal{B}_{n-q} \), respectively. For any such \( \ket{\psi} \), we denote by \( A \) the \( 2^q \times 2^{n-q} \) matrix whose elements are \( a_{jk} \). Furthermore, we denote by \( U \) the \( 2^k \times 2^q \) matrix whose elements are \( U_{kk'} = \langle u_j, u_{j'} \rangle_q \), and by \( V \) the \( 2^{n-q} \times 2^{n-q} \) matrix whose elements are \( V_{kk'} = \langle v_k, v_{k'} \rangle_{n-q} \), where \( \langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle \) is the bilinear form defined in Eq. \( \ref{eq:bilinear} \). With this notation we get (see more details in the supplementary material) that for any \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \) the function

\[
 f^A_{\ell} |B_{n-q}\rangle(\psi) \equiv \text{Tr} \left( U A V^T \right)^\ell
\]

is an SLIP of degree \( 2\ell \). The function \( f^A_{\ell} |B_{n-q}\rangle(\psi) \) is invariant under a change of bases in \( \mathcal{A}_q \) and \( \mathcal{B}_{n-q} \). Moreover, since the form \( \langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle_q \) is symmetric for even \( q \), if \( q \) is even we can choose the basis \{\ket{u_i}\} such that the matrix \( U = I \). Similarly, if \( n - q \) is even then we can choose the basis \{\ket{v_k}\} such that the matrix \( V \) is the identity.

The SLIPs defined above are not all independent. Different bipartite cuts can lead to the same polynomial. For example, in the case of even number of qubits, all the polynomials \( f^A_{\ell} |B_{n-q}\rangle(\psi) \), corresponding to \( \binom{n}{q} \) bipartite cuts \( \mathcal{A}_q \otimes \mathcal{B}_{n-q} \), are the same (up to a constant factor) since there is only one SLIP of degree 2 given by \( \langle \psi, \psi \rangle_n \). It is therefore natural to ask if all SLIPs can be written as linear combinations of \( f^A_{\ell} |B_{n-q}\rangle \). It is true for degrees 2 and 4, but already in 5 qubits there exists (see below) a unique polynomial of degree 6, whereas our polynomials \( f^A_{\ell} |B_{n-q}\rangle \) can not be of degree 6 if \( n \) is odd. We therefore need a more systematic way to construct all SLIPs.

We are now ready to introduce our main technique to compute the \( G \)-invariants in the space of polynomials on \( \mathcal{H}_n \) of degree \( k \). We denote the set of all these SLIPs by \( \mathcal{I}_{k,m} \) where \( m = (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \) is the vector of the dimensions of the \( n \)-qubits. Observe that any homogeneous polynomial on \( \mathcal{H}_n \) of degree \( k \) can be written as an inner product between some vector \( \ket{v} \in \otimes^k \mathcal{H}_n \) and \( k \)-copies of a vector \( \ket{x} \in \mathcal{H}_n \):

\[
 f_v(x) = \langle v | \otimes^k x \rangle. \tag{8}
\]

Hence, any homogeneous polynomial on \( \mathcal{H}_n \) of degree \( k \) corresponds to some (not necessarily unique) vector \( \ket{v} \in \otimes^k \mathcal{H}_n \). Note that if we also have \( \otimes^g \ket{g} | \rangle = \ket{v} \) for all \( g \in G \), then the polynomial \( f_v(x) \) is \( G \)-invariant.

Denoting by \( \left( \otimes^k \mathcal{H}_n \right)^G \) all such \( G \)-fixed vectors of \( \otimes^k \mathcal{H}_n \), we conclude:

\[
 \left\{ f_v \mid v \in \left( \otimes^k \mathcal{H} \right)^G \right\} = \mathcal{I}_{k,m}.
\]

Note however that in general distinct vectors in \( \otimes^k \mathcal{H}_n \) can lead to the same polynomial and, in particular, we will see below that \( \dim \left( \otimes^k \mathcal{H} \right)^G \) is much larger than \( \dim \mathcal{I}_{k,m} \).

We now show how this view of SLIPs leads to an algorithm for finding all of them. We first write out \( v \) in terms of the standard basis in each tensor slot. That is,

\[
 v = \sum a_{i_1i_2\cdots i_k} \ket{i_1i_2\cdots i_k}
\]

and each \( \ket{i_j} \in \mathcal{H}_n \) is an element in the computational basis of \( \mathcal{H}_n \), i.e. it is of the form

\[
 \ket{i_j} = \ket{b_{j_1}b_{j_2}\cdots b_{j_s}}
\]

with \( 1 \leq b_{j_s} \leq m_s \) for \( s = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). Writing

\[
 |x\rangle = \sum_i x_i |i\rangle
\]

relative to the same computational basis of \( \mathcal{H}_n \) we have

\[
 f_v(x) = \sum a_{i_1i_2\cdots i_k} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k}.
\]

This shows that if we symmetrize the elements relative to the action of \( S_k \) (permuting the factors) then the symmetric elements yield \( \mathcal{I}_{k,m} \) unambiguously. In what follows, we will view \( \otimes^k \mathcal{H}_n \) as a representation space of \( G \) with the homomorphism \( g \rightarrow \otimes^k g \) for any \( g \in G \).

Our task is to find \( \left( \otimes^k \mathcal{H}_n \right)^G \). The key observation is that the vector space \( \otimes^k \mathcal{H}_n \) is isomorphic to the vector space

\[
 \left( \otimes^k \mathcal{C}_{m_1} \right) \otimes \left( \otimes^k \mathcal{C}_{m_2} \right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \left( \otimes^k \mathcal{C}_{m_s} \right).
\]

The isomorphism map (which is a ‘transpose’-type map) maps the computational basis element \( \ket{i_1i_2\cdots i_k} \) to the basis element \( \ket{1^2\cdots i^m} \), where

\[
 \begin{align*}
 |i_j\rangle & \equiv |b_{j_1}b_{j_2}\cdots b_{j_s}\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_n \quad \\
 |i^s\rangle & \equiv |b_{s_1}b_{s_2}\cdots b_{s_m}\rangle \in \otimes^k \mathcal{C}_{m_s},
\end{align*}
\]

and for each \( 1 \leq s \leq n \), \( \{ |b_{j_s}\rangle \}_{j=1}^{m_s} \) is the standard basis of \( \mathcal{C}_{m_s} \). This map is an intertwining operator (see Fig. 1). We will denote it by

\[
 |v\rangle \mapsto |v^T\rangle.
\]
Quite remarkably, under this intertwining map, the problem of finding \((\otimes^k \mathcal{H}_n)^G\) is reduced to finding \((\otimes^k \mathcal{C}^m)^{SL(m,C)}\) for each \(s = 1,2,\ldots, n\). To see it, we use the same notation for the inverse map from \((\otimes^k \mathcal{C}^m) \otimes (\otimes^k \mathcal{C}^m) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\otimes^k \mathcal{C}^m)\) to \(\otimes^k \mathcal{H}_n\). Let \(P_{m,k}\) denote the orthogonal projection of \(\otimes^k \mathcal{C}^m\) onto \((\otimes^k \mathcal{C}^m)^{SL(m,C)}\) (which is 0 unless \(k\) is divisible by \(m\)).

The map

\[
P(v) = (P_{m1,k} \otimes P_{m2,k} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{m,n,k}(v^T))^T
\]  
(10)

is the orthogonal projection from \(\otimes^k \mathcal{H}_n\) onto \((\otimes^k \mathcal{H}_n)^G\). This is a simple consequence of the fact that a state being invariant under \(g^{\otimes k}\) has to be in the span of \(\{|v_1\}, \ldots, |v_n\}\), where \(|v_i\) are elements of \((\otimes^k \mathcal{C}^m)^{SL(m,C)}\).

It is therefore left to compute \(P_{m,k}\). This is a classic problem in representation theory and can be solved using the Schur-Weyl duality. The Schur-Weyl duality relates the irreducible representations (irreps) of \(SL(m,C)\) (when acting on \(\otimes^k \mathcal{C}^m\)) and the symmetric group on \(k\) elements, \(S_k\) (with the natural action on \(\otimes^k \mathcal{C}^m\)). Recall that \(k\) must be of the form \(k = mr\) for some \(r \in \mathbb{N}\) in order to have \(P_{m,k} \neq 0\). Let \(\lambda\) be the character of \(S_k\) corresponding to the partition of \(k\) given by \(\lambda = (r,r,\ldots,r)\) (\(m r\)s). Then (see more details in the supplementary material),

\[
P_{m,k} = \frac{d_\lambda}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \chi(\sigma)\sigma ,
\]  
(11)

where \(d_\lambda\) is the dimension of the irrep corresponding to the partition \(\lambda\). Equations \((10)\) and \((11)\) determine the projection of \(\otimes^k \mathcal{H}_n\) onto \((\otimes^k \mathcal{H}_n)^G\), and thereby via Eq. \((8)\) provide the complete set of all SLIPs of degree \(k\).

We now give a simple example, illustrating how to use Eq. \((11)\) to construct SLIPs. More examples with more details can be found in the supplementary material. Consider a system consisting of \(n\) qudits each of dimension \(m = 4\). The smallest degree possible in this case is \(k = 4\) for which \(r = 1\). Thus, in this case \(P_{m,k}\) is a projection on \(C^4 \otimes C^4 \otimes C^4 \otimes C^4\). From Eq. \((11)\) we can define \(P_{m,k}\) in terms of its action on basis elements as

\[
v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes v_4 \mapsto \frac{1}{2^4} \sum_{\sigma \in S_4} \text{sgn}(\sigma)v_{\sigma_1} \otimes v_{\sigma_2} \otimes v_{\sigma_3} \otimes v_{\sigma_4}.
\]

Substituting this \(P_{m,k}\) into Eq. \((10)\) gives the degree 4 invariant for an arbitrary number of \(n\) qudits each of dimension four.

The number of terms in the sum of \((11)\) is \(k!\), which already for \(k = 6\) gives 720 terms. Therefore, using fundamental concepts from invariant theory, we find (see supplementary material) an alternative way to express all the SLIPs of \(n\) qudits. This alternative way (which only applies for the qubit case) is slightly more computationally efficient when \(k\) is small. As an example we give an explicit formula for the unique SLIP of degree 6 in five qubits (which can not be written in the form \((11)\)).

Our techniques also enable us to write down a simple algorithm to compute the dimension, \(d(k,n)\), of the space of SLIPs of degree \(k\) in \(n\) qubits. We get for example:

\[
d(2,n) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + (-1)^n) , 
\]

\[
d(4,n) = \frac{2^{n-1} + (-1)^n}{3} , 
\]

\[
d(6,n) = \frac{1}{144} (36 + 44 \cdot (-1)^n + 8 \cdot 2^n + 3 \cdot (-3)^n + 5^{n-1})
\]

In supplementary material we attach a program in mathematica that computes \(d(k,n)\) for large \(n\) and \(k\). Moreover, in the more general case of \(n\) qudits, with \(m_1 = \cdots = m_n = m\), the dimension \(d(k,n)\) of the space of SLIPs of degree \(k = mr\) satisfies (for \(k > 4\))

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d(k,n)}{(C_{m-1,r})^n} = \frac{1}{k!} \cdot \frac{1}{C_{m-1,r}} \cdot \frac{1}{C_{m-1,r}} \cdot \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-1} \left( \frac{(j-i)}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (r+j)!} \right)
\]

where \(C_{m,r}\) is the generalization of the \(r\)th Catalan number (see the supplementary material for more details). For \(k = 4\) (which is only possible in the qubit \(m = 2\) case) the limit above is 1/6. The distinction between \(k = 4\) and \(k > 4\) follows from the fact that the alternating group on \(n\) letters is simple (i.e. without non-trivial normal subgroups) if and only if \(k > 4\). This formula indicates that the dimension of the space of SLIPs grows exponentially both in \(n\) and in \(k\).

In conclusion, we showed that SLIPs on \(n\) qudits can be used to classify almost all SLOCC classes. We constructed a large class of elegant SLIPs for the case of \(n\) qubits and then introduced a general technique to construct all SLIPs in all dimensions. Instead of fixing the number of qudits and then trying to calculate all SLIPs in any degree, we fixed the degree \(k\) and then where able to calculate all SLIPs of that degree in any number of qudits. This approach also enabled us to calculate the dimensions of the space of homogeneous SLIPs of a given degree.
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Supplementary Information

(i) The degrees of SLIPs

Let the notion be as in the main text. Recall that we use the acronym SLIPs for SL invariant polynomials. In particular, the set of all homogeneous SLIPs of degrees $k$ is denoted by $I_{k,m}$ where $m = (m_1, ..., m_n)$ is the vector of the dimensions of each of the $n$-qudits.

**Proposition 2.** Let $r = \text{lcm}(m_1, ..., m_n)$. Then $I_{k,m} = 0$ unless $k = qr$ with $q$ a non-negative integer.

**Proof.** Recall that the center of $SL(m, \mathbb{C})$ is the set of scalar matrices $\{\zeta I | \zeta^m = 1\}$. Therefore, if $f_k \in I_{k,m}$ then

$$f_k(\zeta | \psi) = f_k(\zeta^m | \psi) = f_k(\zeta | \psi),$$

for any $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_n$ and any $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\zeta^{m_j} = 1$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$. The first equality follows from the $G$-invariance of $f_k$ and the second equality follows from its homogeneity. Thus, each $m_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$, must divide $k$. \qed

(ii) SLOCC classes can be distinguished by SLIPs

**Definition 1.** A state $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_n$ is said to be **stable** if its orbit $G|\psi\rangle$ is closed.

The next proposition will explain this terminology. Almost all states in $\mathcal{H}_n$ are stable (see for example [3]). Moreover, stable orbits have the following characterization [1, 2]: Let $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_n$. Then, $|\psi\rangle$ is stable if and only if there exists a (non-normalized) state $|\phi\rangle \in G|\psi\rangle$ with the property that all its $n$ local reduced density matrices are proportional to the identity matrix. Therefore, for example, all states in a code space of an error correcting code that is capable of correcting one erasure error must be stable.

The set of states that are not stable is also interesting and is of measure zero in $\mathcal{H}_n$. It contains the null cone. The null cone is the set of states on which all SLIPs vanish. Therefore, states and orbits in the null cone can not be characterized with SLIPs. However, there are also states that are semi-stable in the sense that they are not stable, but they are also not in the null cone. The following lemma provides a characterization for such semi-stable states.

**Proposition 3.** Let $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ be a non-stable state, and suppose $|\psi\rangle$ is not in the null cone. Then, there exists a stable state $|\eta\rangle$ and a state in the null cone $|\zeta\rangle$ such that

$$|\psi\rangle = |\eta\rangle + |\zeta\rangle.$$ 

Moreover, denote by $G_\eta \equiv \{h \in G \mid h|\eta\rangle = |\eta\rangle\}$ the stabilizer group of $|\eta\rangle$. Then, there exists a group homomorphism $\varphi : \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z \neq 0\} \to G_\eta$ such that

$$\lim_{z \to 0} \varphi(z)|\zeta\rangle = 0.$$ 

**Proof.** This is a consequence of the (generalized) Hilbert-Mumford theorem (c.f. [6]). The theorem asserts that there exists $\phi : \mathbb{C}^n \to G$ an algebraic group homomorphism such that the limit of $\phi(z)|\psi\rangle$ as $z \to 0$ is a stable state $|\eta\rangle$. It is obvious that $\phi(z)|\eta\rangle = |\eta\rangle$ for all $z$. The theorem follows from these assertions. \qed

We are now ready to introduce the main proposition of this subsection.

**Proposition 4.** Let $|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_n$ be two normalized stable states in $\mathcal{H}_n$. Then, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and for all $f_k, h_k \in I_{k,m}$ such that $h_k(|\psi_1\rangle) \neq 0$,

$$\frac{f_k(|\psi_1\rangle)}{h_k(|\psi_1\rangle)} = \frac{f_k(|\psi_2\rangle)}{h_k(|\psi_2\rangle)}$$

if and only if there exists $g \in G$ and a phase $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ such that

$$|\psi_1\rangle = e^{i\theta} \frac{g|\psi_2\rangle}{\|g|\psi_2\rangle\|}.$$ 

Moreover, if $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ are not stable then the proposition still holds if $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ in Eq. (12) are replaced with $|\eta_1\rangle$ and $|\eta_2\rangle$, respectively. Here for $j = 1, 2$ $|\psi_j\rangle = |\eta_j\rangle + |\zeta_j\rangle$ as in Proposition 3.
Remark. If \( n > 1 \) and all of the \( m_i \) are equal then the set of states with closed orbits (under \( G \)) contains an open dense set of \( \mathcal{H}_n \). Every orbit contains a unique closed orbit in its closure \([3]\). For a reductive algebraic group two closed orbits are equal if and only if all of the values of the invariant polynomials agree on them (we will use this fact in the proof of the proposition c.f. \([3]\)).

Proof. Note that if there exist \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) and \( h_k \in I_{k,m} \) such that \( h_k(\ket{\psi_1}) \neq 0 \) but \( h_k(\ket{\psi_2}) = 0 \), then clearly \( \ket{\psi_1} \) and \( \ket{\psi_2} \) are not SLOCC equivalent. We therefore assume without loss of generality that there exists \( h_k \in I_{k,m} \) such that \( h_k(\ket{\psi_1}) \neq 0 \) and \( h_k(\ket{\psi_2}) \neq 0 \). Fixing \( h_k \), and writing \( \lambda = h_k(\ket{\psi_1})/h_k(\ket{\psi_2}) \neq 0 \), our hypothesis implies that \( f_k(\ket{\psi_1}) = \lambda f_k(\ket{\psi_2}) \) for all \( f_k \in I_{k,m} \). Now, for an arbitrary degree \( l \in \mathbb{N} \), let \( f_l \in I_{l,m} \) be some homogeneous SLIP of degree \( l \). Then, \( (f_l)^k \) is an SLIP of the same degree as \( (h_k)^l \). Hence, the hypothesis implies that

\[
(f_l)^k(\ket{\psi_1}) = \lambda^l (f_l(\ket{\psi_2}))^k = f_l(\lambda^{l/k}\ket{\psi_2})^k.
\]

So if \( f_l \in I_{l,m} \) then

\[
f_l(\ket{\psi_1}) = \zeta_l f_l \left( \lambda^{l/k}\ket{\psi_2} \right),
\]

with \( \zeta_l^k = 1 \). At first glance it looks like the \( k \)th root of unity \( \zeta_l \) depends on the polynomial \( f_l \). We will see that it is very constrained. We first show that it depends only on the degree \( l \). Indeed, think of \( \delta_{\psi_1}(f_l) = f_l(\ket{\psi_1}) \) as a linear functional on \( I_{l,m} \). Then ker \( \delta_{\psi_1} = \ker (\lambda^{l/k} \psi_2) \) which implies that \( \delta_{\psi_1} \) is proportional to \( \delta_{\lambda^{l/k} \psi_2} \). Thus, \( \zeta_l \) depends only on the degree \( l \). We now consider the group \( \tilde{G} = \mu_k G \) where \( \mu_k = \{ \zeta_l | \zeta_l^k = 1 \} \). Note that \( \tilde{G} \) is also a reductive algebraic subgroup of \( GL(H_n) \) so its closed orbits are separated by its invariant polynomials. Furthermore every vector that has a closed orbit under \( G \) has a closed orbit under \( \tilde{G} \). Let \( f_1, \ldots, f_m \) be a set of homogeneous generators for the \( G \)-invariant polynomials on \( H_n \) with degrees \( d_1, \ldots, d_m \). Then the \( \tilde{G} \) invariant polynomials on \( H_n \) are the linear combinations \( \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_m} a_{i_1, \ldots, i_m} f_1^{i_1} \cdots f_m^{i_m} \) where the sum is taken over indices with \( i_1 d_1 + \ldots + i_m d_m \) divisible by \( k \).

Repeating the same arguments for the group \( \tilde{G} \) (note that \( h_k \) is \( \tilde{G} \)-invariant) we conclude that if \( p \) is a \( \tilde{G} \) invariant polynomial on \( H_n \) then \( p(\psi_1) = p(\lambda^{l/k} \psi_2) \) with \( \zeta^k = 1 \) and \( g \in \tilde{G} \). The upshot is \( \|\psi_1\| = c \|\psi_2\| \) with \( c \in \mathbb{C}, c \neq 0, g \in \tilde{G} \). But \( \|\psi_1\| = 1 \) which implies that \( |c| \|g\psi_2\| = 1 \). Thus \( c = \frac{e^{i\theta}}{\|g\psi_2\|} \) as was to be proved.

(iii) A class of simple SLIPs for \( n \) qubits

In the proposition below we are using the same notations that were introduced above Eq. (7) of the main text.

**Proposition 5.** Let \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \) and consider a bipartite cut \( A_m \otimes B_{n-m} \). Then, the function

\[
f^{A_m|B_{n-m}}_{\ell}(\psi) \equiv \text{Tr} \left[ (UAVAT)^{\ell} \right]
\]

is an SL-invariant polynomial of degree \( 2\ell \).

Proof. Let \( g \in \tilde{G} \). We need to show that \( f(g\psi) = f(\psi) \), where in this proof we removed from \( f \) the subscript and superscript for the simplicity of the exposition. We can decompose \( g = g_a \otimes g_b \) such that \( g_a \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})^m \) is acting on the space \( A_m \) and \( g_b \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})^{n-m} \) is acting on \( B_{n-m} \). Further, denote by \( G_a \) and \( G_b \) the \( m \times m \) and \( (n-m) \times (n-m) \) square matrices whose elements are given by \( \langle u_j | g_a | u_i \rangle \) and \( \langle v_k | g_b | v_i \rangle \), respectively. With this notations, replacing \( \ket{\psi} \) with \( g(\psi) \), is equivalent to replacing \( A \) with \( G_a G_b^T \). We therefore have

\[
f(g\psi) = \text{Tr} \left[ (UG_a G_b^T V G_b A^T G_a^T)^{\ell} \right] = \text{Tr} \left[ (G_b^T U G_a G_b^T V G_b A^T)^{\ell} \right]
\]

where we have used the invariance of the trace under cyclic permutation. To complete the proof, we show now that

\[
G_a^T U G_a = U \quad \text{and} \quad G_b^T V G_b = V.
\]
Indeed,

\[ U_{jj'} = (u_j, u_j')_m = (g_a u_j, g_a u_j')_m = (G_a^T U G_a)_{jj'} , \]

where we have used the invariance of the bilinear form \((\cdot, \cdot)_m\) under the action of \(g_a\). In the same way, one can prove that \(G_b^T V G_b = V\). This completes the proof. \(\square\)

As discussed in the main text, the above simple SLIPs are not linearly independent. For odd number of qubits, there are no homogenous SLIPs with degree 2, and the lowest degree in this case is 4 (e.g. \[3\]). In this case the number of homogenous SLIPs of degree 4 is \((2^n - 1)/3\) (see below), whereas the number of our polynomials \(f_{\ell=2}^{A_{\ell}|B_{n-\ell}}\) of degree 4 is equal to the number of inequivalent bipartite cuts \(A_q \otimes B_{n-q}\) which is given by

\[ \frac{n+1}{2} \sum_{q=1}^{n/2} \binom{n}{q} = 2^{n-1} - 1 , \]

where we assumed that \(n\) is odd. Note that for any bipartite cut \(A_q \otimes B_{n-q}\) there exists a bipartite cut of the form \(A_{n-q} \otimes B_q\) which leads to the exact same polynomials. Thus, \(q\) in the sum does not exceed \((n-1)/2\). Hence, our 2\(^{(n-1)} - 1\) polynomials of degree 4, \(f_{\ell=2}^{A_{\ell}|B_{n-\ell}}\), must be linearly dependent. Nonetheless, the over-complete set of 2\(^{(n-1)} - 1\) polynomials \(\{f_{\ell=2}^{A_{\ell}|B_{n-\ell}}\}\) span the set of all SLIPs of degree 4.

(iv) Schur-Weyl Duality

The purpose of this short subsection is to give a very brief introduction to the Schur-Weyl duality, in the context of the work presented in this paper. For a more detailed analysis we refer the reader to a more standard book on representation theory of finite groups (c.f. \[3\]).

We consider the group \(SL(m, \mathbb{C})\) acting on \(\otimes^k \mathbb{C}^m\) by the usual tensor action. The representations of \(SL(m, \mathbb{C})\) appearing are determined by their highest weight which is an \(m\)-tuple \(\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m\) with \(\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_m\). We denote by \(F^\lambda\) a fixed representation with highest weight \(\lambda\). Then \(F^\lambda\) is equivalent with \(F^\mu\) if and only if \(\lambda - \mu = (\delta, \ldots, \delta)\) with \(\delta \in \mathbb{Z}\). Also \(F^\lambda\) is a constituent of \(\otimes^k \mathbb{C}^m\) if an only if it is equivalent to \(F^\mu\) with \(\mu_1 + \ldots + \mu_m = k \) and \(\mu_m \geq 0\). We will say that \(\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r\) with \(\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_r\) is dominant. Also if \(\lambda\) is dominant then we will call it a partition of \(k\) if \(\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_r = k\) and \(\lambda_r \geq 0\). Obviously, we can make the parametrization unambiguous by using \(\lambda\) with \(\lambda_m = 0\). But we note that if \(\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^m\) are partitions of \(k\) and if \(F^\lambda\) and \(F^\mu\) are equivalent then \(\lambda = \mu\). In the literature, \(\mu\) denotes a partition of \(k\) if and only if \(l\) denotes the last index for which \(\mu_l > 0\) then the indices \(l + 1, \ldots, m\) are dropped. These partitions parametrize the equivalence classes representations of the symmetric group \(S_k\). We fix a fixed realization of the class that is parametrized by \(\lambda\) and denote it by \(V^\lambda\). If we need to keep track of \(k\) and \(n\) we will write \(V_k^\lambda\) and \(F_n^\lambda\).

We note that the group \(S_k\) acts on \(\otimes^k \mathbb{C}^m\) by permuting the indices. This action commutes with that of \(SL(m, \mathbb{C})\). Schur-Weyl duality says that as a representation of \(S_k \times SL(m, \mathbb{C})\) the space \(\otimes^k \mathbb{C}^m\) decomposes into

\[ \bigoplus_{\lambda} V_k^\lambda \otimes F_m^\lambda \]

with the sum over all partitions \(\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m)\) of \(k\). We note that if \(m \geq k\) that this is a sum over all partitions of \(k\) (after we have dropped all of the entries that are 0).

This decomposition allows us to describe the projection of \(\otimes^k \mathbb{C}^m\) into \(V_k^\lambda \otimes F_m^\lambda\) using either a projection gotten by integrating the character of \(F^\lambda\) restricted to \(SU(m)\) or summing the character of \(V^\lambda\) over \(S_k\). In other words \((d\mu\) is the unique invariant probability measure on \(SU(m))\)

\[ P^\lambda = \dim F^\lambda \int_{SU(n)} \chi_{F^\lambda}(u) \otimes^k u d\mu(u) = \frac{\dim V^\lambda}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \chi_{V^\lambda}(\sigma)\sigma. \]

An example of this is if \(k = mn\) with \(r\) a positive integer and \(\lambda = r(1, \ldots, 1)\) (\(m\) ones) then \(P^\lambda\) is the projection onto the \(SL(m, \mathbb{C})\)-invariants in \(\otimes^k \mathbb{C}^m\).
(v) Examples: using Eqs.(9,10) of the main text to construct SLIPs

Formula (10) (of the main text) for \( k = 2 \) necessitates \( r = 1 \) and \( m = 2 \). Thus, in this case \( P_{m,k} \) is acting on \( C^2 \otimes C^2 \) and is given by its action on basis elements as:

\[
v_1 \otimes v_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{2} (v_1 \otimes v_2 - v_2 \otimes v_1).
\]

This implies that Formula (9) says for the case of 2\( s \) qubits

\[
(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{2s}) \otimes (y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_{2s}) \mapsto (x_1 \otimes y_1) \otimes (x_2 \otimes y_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes (x_{2s} \otimes y_{2s})
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2^{2s}}(x_1 \otimes y_1 - y_1 \otimes x_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (x_{2s} \otimes y_{2s} - y_{2s} \otimes x_{2s}) \mapsto \frac{1}{2^{2s}} \sum_{S \subseteq \{1,2,\ldots,2s\}} (-1)^{|S|} u_S \otimes u_{S^c}.
\]

Here the first arrow is the transpose as is the last. Also \( S^c = \{1,2,\ldots,2s\} - S \), \( |S| \) is the size of \( S \) and \( u_S = z_1 \otimes z_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_{2s} \) with \( z_j = y_j \) if \( j \in S \) and \( z_j = x_j \) if \( j \notin S \). This yields the well known formula for the degree 2 invariant in any even number of qubits.

Formula (10) for \( k = 4 \) plays a role only for \( r = 1 \) and \( m = 4 \) or \( r = 2 \) and \( m = 2 \). In the first case Formula (10) implies that \( P_{m,k} \) is acting on \( \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4 \) and is given by its action on basis elements as:

\[
v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes v_4 \mapsto \frac{1}{24} \sum_{\sigma \in S_4} \text{sgn}(\sigma) v_\sigma_1 \otimes v_\sigma_2 \otimes v_\sigma_3 \otimes v_\sigma_4.
\]

We leave it to the reader to write out Formula (9) to get the degree 4 invariant for an arbitrary number of 4-dimensional qudits.

In the case of \( r = 2 \) and \( m = 2 \) then the pertinent representation, \( \lambda \), of \( S_4 \) corresponds to the partition \( 2,2 \). The dimension of \( \lambda \) is 2. The conjugacy classes in \( S_4 \) are given by \( C_4 \) the cycles of length 4 (e.g. (1234)), \( C_{3,1} \) the cycles of length 3, \( C_{2,2} \) the products of disjoint transpositions (e.g. (12)(34)), \( C_{2,1,1} \) the transpositions, \( C_{1,1,1,1} \) the identity element. We have

\[
\chi_\lambda(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } \sigma \in C_4 \\
-1 & \text{if } \sigma \in C_{3,1} \\
2 & \text{if } \sigma \in C_{2,2} \\
0 & \text{if } \sigma \in C_{2,1,1} \\
2 & \text{if } \sigma = I.
\end{cases}
\]

We note that \( |C_{3,1}| = 8, |C_{2,2}| = 3 \). Formula (10) implies that \( P_{m,k} \) is acting on \( \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \) and is given by its action on basis elements as:

\[
v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes v_4 \mapsto \frac{1}{6} v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes v_4 - \frac{1}{12} \sum_{\sigma \in C_{3,1}} v_\sigma_1 \otimes v_\sigma_2 \otimes v_\sigma_3 \otimes v_\sigma_4 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{\sigma \in C_{2,2}} v_\sigma_1 \otimes v_\sigma_2 \otimes v_\sigma_3 \otimes v_\sigma_4.
\]

We leave it to the reader to write out Formula (9) for \( n \) qubits.

(vi) The dimension formula of the space of SLIPs of fixed degree

The reference that we will use for this section is Chapter 9 in [3]. In this section we will consider the case of \( n \)-qubits all of the same dimension, say, \( m \). Thus we are looking at \( \mathcal{H}_n = \otimes^n \mathbb{C}^m \) and \( G = SL(m,\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times SL(m,\mathbb{C}) \), \( n \) copies. we analyse the space \( S^k(\mathcal{H}_n)^G \) as the \( S_k \)-invariants in \( \left( \otimes^k (\otimes^n \mathbb{C}^m) \right)^G \). Using the map \( |u| \mapsto |u|^T \) defined in (8) we are considering

\[
(\otimes^n (\otimes^k \mathbb{C}^m))^{SL(m,\mathbb{C})} S_k(\ast)
\]

with \( S_k \) acting by the \( n \)-fold tensor product of the action on \( \otimes^k \mathbb{C}^m \) which is the action of permuting the tensor factors. \( \ast \) is 0 unless \( k = rm \) for some \( r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \). As we observed in subsection (iv) the \( SL(m,\mathbb{C}) \)-invariants in \( \otimes^k \mathbb{C}^m \) define the representation \( V^\lambda \) of \( S_k \) with \( \lambda = (r,r,\ldots,r) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^m \). Thus as far as \( S_k \) is concerned

\[
(\otimes^k (\otimes^n \mathbb{C}^m))^G = \otimes^n V^\lambda.
\]
Thus if $k = rm$ and $\lambda$ are as above then
\[
\dim S^k(\mathcal{H}_n)^G = \left(\otimes^n V^\lambda\right)^{S_k}.
\]
This says that if $\chi_{\lambda}$ is the character of $V^\lambda$ then
\[
\dim S^k(\mathcal{H}_n)^G = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \chi_{\lambda}(\sigma)^n.
\]
We recall that characters are constant on conjugacy classes (the sets of the form $\{\mu \sigma^{-1}\mu^{-1} \mid \mu \in S_k\}$). The conjugacy classes of $S_k$ can be described by partitions using the cycle decomposition of an permutation. The (standard) algorithm for this is start with a permutation $\sigma$. Consider $\sigma^{-1}$, then there must be a first $k_1$ such that $\sigma^{-1}k_1 \neq k_1$ but $\sigma k_1 = 1$. Set $m_{1,j} = \sigma^j 1$ for $j = 0, \ldots, k_1 - 1$ then on the set $K_1 = \{m_{1,0}, \ldots, m_{1,k_1-1}\}$ the permutation $\sigma$ acts by the $k_1$-cycle $(m_{1,0}m_{1,1} \ldots m_{1,k_1-1})$. If $k_1 = k$ then we are done. Otherwise choose the smallest element of $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ that is not in $K_1$ and denote it $m_{2,0}$ then $\sigma^j m_{2,0} = m_{2,0}$ for the first time for $j = k_2$. Set $m_{2,j} = \sigma^j m_{2,0}$. We now have a second cycle whose entries are disjoint from $K_1, \{m_{2,0}m_{2,1} \ldots m_{2,k_2-1}\}$. On the set $K_2 = \{m_{2,j} \mid j = 0, \ldots, k_2 - 1\}$ if $k_1 + k_2 = k$ then we are done otherwise do the same procedure on $\{1, \ldots, n\} - (K_1 \cup K_2)$, etc. Finally put the $k_1, \ldots, k_l$ in decreasing order. This yields a partition of $k$ corresponding to each $\sigma \in S_k$. It is not hard to see that $\sigma$ and $\mu$ are conjugate if and only if they have the same cycle decomposition.

If $\mu$ is a partition of $k$ then let $c_\mu$ denote the corresponding conjugacy class and let $Ch_\mu$ be the characteristic function of the subset $c_\mu$ of $S_k$. That is,
\[
Ch_\mu(\tau) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \tau \in c_\mu \\
0 & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases}.
\]
Then the functions $Ch_\mu$ form a basis of the space of central functions on $S_k$. Thus if $\lambda$ is a partition of $k$ then
\[
\chi_{\lambda} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda, \mu} Ch_\mu
\]
where the sum is over the partitions of $k$. The numbers $a_{\lambda, \mu}$ arranged into a table give the character table of $S_k$. However the conjugacy class partitions are usually given in the way we will describe them next. Let $C_\mu$ be a conjugacy class then we reorganize the partition in increasing order and lay it out as $1^{m_1}2^{m_2} \cdots s^{m_s}$ where $m_1$ of the cycles are of length 1 (fixed points), $m_2$ are of length $2, \ldots$, $m_s$ are of length $s$. Thus the permutation
\[
1 \to 2, 2 \to 4, 3 \to 1, 4 \to 3, 5 \to 6, 6 \to 5, 7 \to 8, 8 \to 7
\]
has $\mu = (4, 2, 2)$ which also corresponds to $1^{0}2^{2}4^{1}$ we will use the two notations for partitions interchangably. The following is standard and easily proved

**Lemma 6.** If $\mu$ is given as $1^{m_1}2^{m_2} \cdots s^{m_s}$ then
\[
|c_\mu| = \frac{k!}{\prod_{j=1}^{k} (m_j)!^{m_j}}.
\]
Here is the result that we have been aiming at

**Proposition 7.** If $k \neq mr$ then $\dim S^k(\mathcal{H}_n)^G = 0$ otherwise set $\lambda = (r, \ldots, r)$ with $m$ entries. Then
\[
\dim S^k(\mathcal{H}_n)^G = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\mu} (a_{\lambda, \mu})^n |c_\mu| = \sum_{\mu} \frac{(a_{\lambda, \mu})^n}{\prod_{j=1}^{k} (m_j)^{m_j}}.
\]

The asymptotic formula follows from this. We will give it in full generality and at the end of this subsection we will explain how the formulas look for qubits.

Let $(\pi, V)$ be an irreducible representation of a finite group, $\Gamma$. If $\gamma \in \Gamma$ then the Schwarz inequality implies that
\[
|\text{tr}(\pi(\gamma))| \leq \dim V
\]
with equality if and only if $\pi(\gamma) = \zeta I$ for some norm-one scalar $\zeta$. The subgroup of all elements of $\Gamma$ such that $\pi(\gamma)$ is a multiple of the identity is a normal subgroup. If $k > 4$ then the only non-trivial normal subgroup of $S_k$ is the
alternating group. This implies that if \( k > 4 \) and if \( \lambda \) is a partition of \( k \) such that the representation \( V^\lambda \) is not a one dimensional representation then
\[
|\chi_\lambda(\sigma)| < \chi_\lambda(I) = \dim V^\lambda
\]
if \( \sigma \) is not the identity in \( S_k \). If \( k = 4 \) and \( \lambda = (2,2) \) then there is a Klein 4–group of elements that map to the identity.

We have

**Corollary 8.** If \( k > 4 \) then we have the following asymptotic formula when \( k = rm \) and \( \lambda = (r, ..., r) \)
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim S^k(\mathcal{H}_n)^G}{(\dim V^\lambda)^n} = \frac{1}{k!}.
\]
If \( k = 4 \) and \( \lambda = (2,2) \) (so \( m = 2 \)) then the formula is
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim S^4(\mathcal{H}_n)^G}{2^n} = \frac{1}{6}.
\]

**Proof.** If \( k > 4 \) then we note that if \( \beta = (1,1, ..., 1) \) is the partition corresponding to the identity in \( S_k \) then \( a_{\lambda,\beta} = \dim V^\lambda > |a_{\lambda,\mu}| \) for any other partition, \( \beta \). Thus
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim S^k(\mathcal{H}_n)^G}{(\dim V^\lambda)^n} = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\mu} \left( \frac{a_{\lambda,\mu}}{a_{\lambda,\beta}} \right)^n |c_\mu|.
\]
Since \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_{\lambda,\mu}}{a_{\lambda,\beta}} = \delta_{\beta,\mu} \) and \( |c_\beta| = 1 \), this proves the result if \( k > 4 \). If \( k = 4 \) and \( \lambda = (2,2) \) then \( \dim V^\lambda = 2 \) and there are 4 elements that yield the value \( \pm I \). \( \square \)

We note that (c.f. [4] Corollary 9.1.5) if \( \lambda = rm \) then
\[
\dim V^\lambda = \frac{k!}{r^r} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (j - i) (r + m - j)!
\]
Thus if \( m = 2 \) and \( k = 2r \) then \( \dim V^\lambda = \frac{1}{r^r} (2r)^r \) the \( r \)–th Catalan number. For \( k = rm \) and \( \lambda = (r, ..., r) \) (\( m \)–factors) then the dimension should be thought of as a multinomial generalization of the Catalan number.

(vii) An alternative way to express all SLIPs in the case of \( n \) qubits

Our expression of \( P_{m,k} \) in Eq. (10) of the main text involves in general many terms and therefore is somewhat cumbersome. Hence, in this section we show an alternative way to express all SLIPs of degree \( k \) in the important case of \( n \)-qubits. This new technique has the advantage that it is computationally efficient for small degrees. We have already seen that for the qubit case we can express some of the SLIPs very elegantly with Eq.(10). However, that construction is only partial as it does not consists of all SLIPs. Hence, as an application to our new expression in proposition [10] (see below), we give at the end of this section an example of the unique homogeneous SLIP of degree 6 in 5 qubits; this SLIP can not be written in the form of Eq.(7) of the main text.

An \( SL(2,\mathbb{C}) \) technique

In this section \( \mathcal{H}_n \) denotes \( \otimes^n \mathbb{C}^2 \) and \( G_n = SL(2,\mathbb{C}) \otimes \cdots \otimes SL(2,\mathbb{C}) \) \( n \)–copies. We set
\[
d_{n,k} = \dim P^k(\mathcal{H}_n)^{G_n}.
\]
We consider \( \mathcal{H}_{n+1} = |0\rangle \otimes \mathcal{H}_n \oplus |1\rangle \otimes \mathcal{H}_n \). Then the character of the invariants for
\[
P^k(\mathcal{H}_n)^I \otimes G_n
\]
as an $SL(2,\mathbb{C}) \otimes I_{H_n}$ representation restricted to
\[ T = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \mid q \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\} \right\} \]
is
\[ q^k d_{n,k} + q^{k-2} \dim(P^{k-1}(H_n) \otimes P^1(H_n))^{G_n} + q^{k-4} \dim(P^{k-2}(H_n) \otimes P^2(H_n))^{G_n} + \ldots + q^{-k} d_{n,k}. \]

Here the action of $G_n$ is the tensor product action on the factors. We note that the coefficient of $q^j$ is the same as $q^{-j}$ in this expression. We also note that $d_{n,k} = 0$ if $k$ is odd. Thus we may assume that $k$ is even. We observe that the character of the $\frac{k}{2}$-spin representation of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ restricted to $T$ is
\[ q^k + q^{k-2} + \ldots + q^0 + \ldots + q^{-k}. \]

This implies that if $k = 2r$ then
\[ d_{n+1,k} = \dim \left( (P^r(H_n) \otimes P^r(H_n))^{G_n} \right) - \dim \left( (P^{r+1}(H_n) \otimes P^{r-1}(H_n))^{G_n} \right). \]
it also implies that if $f \neq 0$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $2r$ in $n+1$ qubits that is invariant under $G_{n+1}$ then if we think of $f$ as a polynomial in two copies of $n$ qubits it must have a non-zero component in $(P^r(H_n) \otimes P^r(H_n))^{G_n}$.

For simplicity we will concentrate on the case when $n$ is even (so $n+1$ is odd). This hypothesis implies that $H_n$ there exists a (unique up to scalar) $G_n$–invariant symmetric complex bilinear form $(,)$ on $H_n$. Let $v_i$ with $i = 0, 1, \ldots, N = 2^n - 1$ be a an orthonormal basis with respect to this form. We think of the first copy, $|0\rangle \otimes H_n$, as having elements $\sum x_j v_j$ and the second, $|1\rangle \otimes H_n$, $\sum y_j v_j$. Then the action of the Lie algebra first $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$–factor in $G_{n+1}$ (that is $g \otimes I_{H_n}$) is given by

\[ X = \sum_{j=0}^{N} x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}, \quad Y = \sum_{j=0}^{N} y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad H = \sum_{j=0}^{N} x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{j=0}^{N} y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}. \]

With commutation relations
\[ [X, Y] = H, \quad [H, X] = 2X, \quad [H, Y] = -2Y. \]

We note that $X \leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, Y \leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, H \leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ so the Casimir operator is given by
\[ C = XY + YX + \frac{1}{2} H^2. \]

Since $XY = YX + H$ we have
\[ C = 2XY + \frac{1}{2}(H+1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}. \]

We replace $C$ with $L = XY + \frac{1}{2}(H+1)^2$ and note that this operator commutes with the action of $G_{n+1}$.

We note that if we use the same formulas for $X, Y$ and $H$ on the polynomials in $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_N, y_0, \ldots, y_N$ with $N$ an arbitrary positive integer then we have the same commutation relations. Let $P_{p,q,N+1}$ be the space of all polynomials that are homogeneous of degree $p$ in $x_0, \ldots, x_N$ and degree $q$ in $y_0, \ldots, y$. Let $X_{N+1}, Y_{N+1}, H_{N+1}$ be the corresponding operators (as above). The following is obvious.

**Lemma 9.** Let $0 \leq k < N$ and let $T_{k,N} : P_{p,q,N+1} \rightarrow P_{p,q,k+1}$ be given by
\[ T_{k+1,N+1}(f)(x_0, \ldots, x_k, y_0, \ldots, y_k) = f(x_0, \ldots, x_k, 0, \ldots, 0, y_0, \ldots, y_k, 0, \ldots, 0) \]
with $N-k$ zeros. Then if $Z = X, Y$ or $H$ then
\[ T_{k,N}(Z_{N+1}f) = Z_{k+1}T_{k,N}(f). \]
Using the classification of the irreducible finite dimensional representations of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ we see that on the spin $m/2$ (i.e. $m + 1$ dimensional representation), $F^m$, the operator $L$ acts by $\frac{(m+1)^2}{4} I$. Thus in a representation, $W$, for $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ that is a sum with multiplicity of $F^0, F^2, ..., F^{2r}$ then the projection of $W$ onto the invariants is given by

$$\frac{(-1)^r}{r! (r+1)!} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \left(Y X + \frac{1}{4} (H + 1)^2 - \frac{(2j + 1)^2}{4}\right).$$

Using this, taking $W$ as above we get the main result of this section:

**Proposition 10.** If $W_0 = \{ w \in W | Hw = 0 \}$ then the space of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ invariants is

$$\prod_{j=1}^{k} (Y X - j(j+1)) W_0.$$

**Example:** Unique SLIP of degree 6 in 5 qubits

Example the invariant in 5 qubits of degree 6. In 4 qubits we take the basis $u_r \otimes u_s$ with

$$u_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle + |11\rangle) \quad u_1 = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle - |11\rangle),$$

$$u_2 = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (|01\rangle + |10\rangle) \quad u_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle - |11\rangle).$$

That is, the Bell basis in 2 qubits. These bases are orthonormal with respect to both the Hilbert space inner product and (suitably normalized) the respectively $G_2$ or $G_4$-invariant complex bilinear, symmetric form. We order the basis so that $v_j = u_j \otimes u_j$, $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

Let $z = \sum_{r,s} z_{r,s} u_r u_s, Z = [z_{r,s}], f(z) = \text{det}[Z]$ and $g(z) = tr((ZZ^T)^2)$. We order the basis so that $v_j = u_j \otimes u_j$, $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and consider $f$ to be $f(x_0, ..., x_{15})$ and $g$ to be $g(y_0, ..., y_{15})$. We set

$$w = \sum_{j} \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial g(y)}{\partial y_j},$$

then this is an invariant polynomial for $G_4$ acting on two copies of 4 qubits of bidegree 3, 3. We note that

$$T_{4,16}(w) = 4 \left( x_0 x_1 x_2 y_3^2 + x_0 x_1 y_2^2 x_3 + x_0 y_1 x_2 x_3 + y_0^2 x_1 x_2 x_3 \right).$$

Set

$$\phi(x, y) = x_0 x_1 x_2 y_3^2 + x_0 x_1 y_2^2 x_3 + x_0 y_1 x_2 x_3 + y_0^2 x_1 x_2 x_3.$$

Then

$$(Y_4 X_4 - 12)(Y_4 X_4 - 6)(Y_4 X_4 - 2) \phi(x, y) = 36(-\phi(x, y) + \phi(y, x) + \mu(x, y) - \mu(y, x))$$

with

$$\mu(x, y) = x_0 y_0^2 (y_1 x_2 x_3 + x_1 y_2 x_3 + y_1 x_2 x_3) + x_1 y_1^2 (y_0 x_2 x_3 + x_0 y_2 x_3 + x_0 x_2 y_1) + x_2 y_2^2 (y_0 x_1 x_3 + x_0 y_1 x_3 + x_0 x_1 y_3) + x_3 y_3^2 (y_0 x_1 x_2 + x_0 y_1 x_2 + x_0 x_1 y_2).$$

We have

**Corollary 11.** The following is up to scalar the unique degree 6 invariant for 5 qubits.

$$(Y_{16} X_{16} - 12)(Y_{16} X_{16} - 6)(Y_{16} X_{16} - 2) w(x, y).$$

**Proof.** We know that the space of such invariants is dimension 1 and since the restriction of the element in the statement is non-zero by the above it must be the invariant.
Mathematica code for the case of qubits

The purpose of this section is to give a listing of Mathematica code to calculate $d_{n,k}$ as a function of $n$ if $k$ is fixed (here we look only at qubits). In the body of the letter we give two examples. Using the code below and taking $k = 10$ we have

$$272160 + 28448(-3)^n + 766080(-1)^n + 338751(2)^n + 14175(-1)^{n+2} + 315(-1)^{n+4} + 189(-2)^{n+5} + +45(14)^n + 42^n$$

over

$$10! = 3628800.$$

The calculation was almost instantaneous. In our code below we will output the expression in powers of $q$. We use the notation in subsection v of this appendix. We first explain the method used in the code. If $k = 2r$ then the code implements (the sum below is on the partitions of $k$)

$$\frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\mu} (a_{(r,r),\mu})^q |c_\mu|.$$

One can read this off of tables for small values of $k$ or use a standard mathematical package. However, the included code is designed to efficiently do this specific calculation. It should be easily converted to a lower level language (such as C or C++). However, the output becomes immense for $k$ larger than say 30 (a calculation that takes about 1 minute on a 4 year old PC). The code below has two main functions.

Mult[k,n] which calculates $d_{n,k}$ for specific values of $k$ and $n$.

Mult[q] which calculates $d_{q,k}$ as a function of $q$ with $k$ fixed.

The algorithm for calculating $a_{(r,r),\mu}$ uses Theorem 9.1.4 in [4] with the "n" in that theorem equal to 2. And the fixed point calculation in the formula given by the function FP[p,q,x] (here $x$ corresponds to a conjugacy class). The number $|c_\mu|$ is calculated in the function CST[x]. The main weakness in the code is the generation of the partitions Prt[k]. Since the number of partitions of is $O(e^{C\sqrt{k}})$ this will be a bottleneck no matter what one does to streamline the code.

The Mathematica code:

```mathematica
P[n_, k_] :=
  Module[{L, M = {}, S, i, j}, If[k > n, Return[P[n, n]]]; If[k == 0, Return[{}]]; If[k == 1, Return[{Table[1, {i, 1, n}]}]]; For[i = 1, i <= k, i++, L = P[n - i, i]; S = {}; For[j = 1, j <= Length[L], j++, S = Append[S, Prepend[L[[j]], i]]]; M = Union[M, S]]; M]

PRT[n_] := P[n, n]

(* Calculates the order of the fixed point set of x on S_p+q/S_p S_q *)

FP[p_, q_, x_] := Module[{a = x[[1]], r = p, s = q}, If[Length[x] == 0, Return[0]]; If[p < q, r = q; s = p]; If[s == 0, Return[1]]; If[Max[x] == 1, Return[Binomial[r + s, r]]]; If[a > r, Return[0]]; If[a <= s, Return[FP[r - a, s, Delete[x, 1]] + FP[r, s - a, Delete[x, 1]]]]

CSTD[x_] := Module[{y, j, i, k}, If[x == {}, Return[1]]; If[Length[x] == 1, Return[x[[1]]]]; If[x[[1]] > x[[2]], Return[x[[1]] CSTD[Delete[x, 1]]]]; If[Length[x] == 2, Return[2*x[[1]]^2]];```
\( k = 2; \ y = \text{Delete}[x, 1]; \ \text{y} = \text{Delete}[y, 1]; \)
\[
\text{For}[j = 3, \ j <= \text{Length}[x], \ j++, \ \text{If}[y[[1]] = x[[1]], \ k++; \ \text{y} = \text{Delete}[y, 1], \ \text{Break}[]]; \]
\[
\text{If}[k == \text{Length}[x], \ \text{Return}[x[[1]]^k \ k!], \ \text{Return}[x[[1]]^k \ k! \text{CSTD}[y]]])
\]

(* This calculates the dimension of the invariants of degree \( k \) in \( n \) qubits *)

\[
\text{Mult}[k_, \ n_] := \text{Module}[\{L, M, i\}, \text{If}[\text{Mod}[k, 2] == 1, \text{Return}[0]]; \]
\[
L = \text{PRT}[k]; \]
\[
\text{Sum}[(\text{FP}[k/2, k/2, L[[i]]] - \text{FP}[k/2 + 1, k/2 - 1, L[[i]]])^n/\text{CSTD}[L[[i]]], \{i, 1, \text{Length}[L]\}]
\]

(* This calculates the dimension of the invariants of degree \( k \) in \( q \) qubits as a function of \( q \) *)

\[
\text{Multq}[k_] := \text{Module}[\{L, M, i, r, f = 0\}, \text{If}[\text{Mod}[k, 2] == 1, \text{Return}[0]]; \]
\[
L = \text{PRT}[k]; \]
\[
\text{For}[i = 1, i <= \text{Length}[L], i++, \]
\[
r = \text{FP}[k/2, k/2, L[[i]]] - \text{FP}[k/2 + 1, k/2 - 1, L[[i]]]; \]
\[
\text{If}[r != 0, f = f + r^q/\text{CSTD}[L[[i]]]]; \]
\]

---
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