Case Report
Ectopic Molar Pregnancy: Diagnostic Efficacy of Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Review of the Literature
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Ectopic molar pregnancy is extremely rare, and preoperative diagnosis is difficult. Our literature search found only one report of molar pregnancy diagnosed preoperatively. Moreover, there is no English literature depicting magnetic resonance image (MRI) findings of ectopic molar pregnancy. We report a case of ectopic molar pregnancy preoperatively diagnosed using MRI. A literature review of 31 cases of ectopic molar pregnancy demonstrated that lesions have been found in the fallopian tube (19 cases, 61%), ovary (5 cases, 16%), cornu (3 cases, 10%), peritoneum (2 cases, 6%), uterine cervix (1 case, 3%), and cesarean scar (1 case, 3%). Abdominal pain and abnormal vaginal bleeding were reported in 70% and 61% of the patients, respectively. Twenty-one cases (67%) presented with rupture and hemoperitoneum. All patients underwent surgical resection or dilatation and curettage. Methotrexate therapy was performed in one case because residual trophoblastic tissue was suspected. A second operation was performed in one case of ovarian molar pregnancy because serum hCG levels increased again after primary focal ovarian resection. No patients developed metastatic disease or relapsed. These findings suggest the prognosis of ectopic molar pregnancy to be favorable.

1. Introduction

Gestational trophoblastic disease (GTD) consists of hydatidiform mole, choriocarcinoma, placental site trophoblastic tumor, and epithelioid trophoblastic tumor. Because the majority of GTD cases occur in the uterus, ectopic molar pregnancy is extremely rare. Gillespie et al. estimated that the incidence of ectopic GTD is 1.5 per one million births in the UK [1]. Preoperative diagnosis of ectopic molar pregnancy is difficult, and our literature search found only one report of molar pregnancy diagnosed preoperatively [2]. Moreover, there is no English literature depicting magnetic resonance image (MRI) findings of ectopic molar pregnancy. Here, we report the first case of ectopic molar pregnancy preoperatively diagnosed using MRI, with a review of the literature.

2. Literature

We performed a review of all ectopic molar pregnancy cases published in English and Japanese between 1960 and 2014. All studies were obtained from Medline using the terms “ectopic molar pregnancy”, and from references of the articles. All articles without an abstract or with unavailable full text were excluded. We identified 26 articles reporting 31 cases of ectopic molar pregnancy [2–27] (Table 1).

3. Clinical Case

We recently observed a 33-year-old, gravida 3 para 2, woman who visited our hospital with a complaint of amenorrhea for 8 weeks and 3 days since her last menstrual period. Her blood pressure was 104/76 mmHg, with pulse of 68 beats per minute. Her abdomen was soft and she had no tenderness on palpation. On vaginal examination, the uterus was asymmetrically enlarged. Transvaginal ultrasonography (TVUS) revealed an empty endometrial cavity and right cornual hyperechoic mass (5 cm) with multiple vesicles (Figure I). Serum beta human chorionic gonadotropin (β-hCG) level was 66,400 ng/mL. Because molar ectopic pregnancy was suspected and her vital signs were stable, MRI was performed. MRI revealed a 5 cm mass on the right cornu, of isosignal
| Case number | Author(s) | Age (years) | Gestation (weeks) | Site | Symptom | hCG type | hCG level (mIU/mL) | Preoperative diagnosis | Treatment |
|-------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|-----|---------|----------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| 1           | Asseryanis et al. [2] (1993) | 27           | 16                | Left tube | Pelvic mass | β hCG | 3.5 | Ectopic molar pregnancy | Laparoscopic left tubal resection |
| 2           | D’Aguiello et al. [3] (1982) | 24           | 15                | Right ovary | Abdominal pain | β hCG | 44000 | Right tubal pregnancy | Laparoscopic right tubal resection |
| 3           | Chase et al. [4] (1987) | 38           | 8                 | Right tube | Amenorrhea | β hCG | 80 | Ectopic pregnancy | D&C + laparoscopy |
| 4           | Chapman [5] (2001) | 35           | 9                 | Cervix | Vaginal bleeding | β hCG | 406 | Right tubal pregnancy | Left tubal resection |
| 5           | Chauhan et al. [6] (2004) | 27           | 6                 | Right tube | Amenorrhea | β hCG | 83 | Ectopic pregnancy | Laparoscopic right tubal resection |
| 6           | Wu et al. [7] (2006) | 31           | 7                 | Cesarean scar | Abdominal pain | β hCG | 6798 | Right tubal pregnancy | Laparoscopic left tubal resection |
| 7           | Wu et al. [8] (2006) | 30           | 7                 | Left tube | Abdominal pain | β hCG | 2905 | Right tubal pregnancy | Laparoscopic left tubal resection |
| 8           | Wu et al. [9] (2010) | 40           | 12                | Left cornua | Abdominal pain | β hCG | 5308 | Right tubal pregnancy | Laparoscopic left tubal resection |
| 9           | Hu et al. [10] (2013) | 41           | 12                | Right cornua | Vaginal bleeding | β hCG | 57728 | Right tubal pregnancy | Laparoscopic left tubal resection |
| 10          | Juan [11] (2013) | 20           | 8                 | Left tube | Amenorrhea | β hCG | 6984 | Right tubal pregnancy | Laparoscopic left tubal resection |
| 11          | Mbarki et al. [12] (2015) | 32           | 6                 | Left tube | Vaginal bleeding | β hCG | 404000 | Right tubal pregnancy | Laparoscopic left tubal resection |
| 12          | Jock et al. [13] (2015) | 37           | 7                 | Left tube | Abdominal pain | β hCG | 290600 | Right tubal pregnancy | Laparoscopic left tubal resection |
| 13          | Zite et al. [14] (2002) | 30           | 12                | Left ovary | Amenorrhea | β hCG | 165000 | Right tubal pregnancy | Laparoscopic left tubal resection |
| 14          | Mohamed and Sharma [15] (2003) | 32           | 12                | Unknown | Abdominal pain | β hCG | 97000 | Right tubal pregnancy | Laparoscopic left tubal resection |
| 15          | Church et al. [16] (2005) | 29           | 6                 | Left ovary | Amenorrhea | β hCG | 55000 | Right tubal pregnancy | Laparoscopic left tubal resection |
| 16          | Leung et al. [17] (2007) | 38           | 6                 | Right ovary | Amenorrhea | β hCG | 3454 | Right tubal pregnancy | Laparoscopic left tubal resection |
| 17          | Boustia et al. [18] (2012) | 32           | 6                 | Left tube | Vaginal bleeding | β hCG | 100555 | Right tubal pregnancy | Laparoscopic left tubal resection |

Table 1: Thirty-one cases and the current case on ectopic molar pregnancy.
| Case number | Author | Age (years) | Gestation (weeks) | Site | Symptom | hCG type | hCG level (mIU/mL) | Rupture | Preoperative diagnosis | Treatment |
|-------------|--------|-------------|-------------------|------|---------|----------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------|-----------|
| 20          | Ota et al. [20] (2014) | 23          | 8                 | Peritoneum | Abdominal pain | Shock vital | Serum hCG | 8000 | + | Ruptured ectopic pregnancy | Laparotomy |
| 21          | Ikuma et al. [21] (1992) | 44          | 11                | Left tube | Vaginal bleeding | Urine hCG | 1600 | + | GTD | MTX icorze → ATH + LSO → MTX icorze |
| 22          | P. Dumitrescu and A. Dumitrescu [22] (1960) | 28          | Unknown | Peritoneum | Unknown | Not performed | — | + | Ectopic pregnancy | Laparotomy |
| 23          | Westerhout Jr. [23] (1964) | 32          | 8–10              | Left tube | Abdominal pain | Shock vital | Not performed | — | + | Unknown | LSO |
| 24          | Pour-Reza [24] (1974) | 36          | Unknown | Left tube | Abdominal pain | Vaginal bleeding | Not performed | — | + | Ectopic pregnancy | LSO |
| 25          | Farrukh et al. [25] (2007) | 27          | Unknown | Right tube | Abdominal pain | Vaginal bleeding | Not performed | — | + | Ectopic pregnancy | Laparoscopic right tubal resection |
| 26          |          | 20          | Unknown | Tube | Abdominal pain | Vaginal bleeding | Not performed | — | + | Unknown | Laparoscopic tubal resection |
| 27          |          | 28          | Unknown | Tube | Abdominal pain | Vaginal bleeding | Not performed | — | + | Unknown | Laparoscopic tubal resection |
| 28          | Samaila et al. [26] (2009) | 33          | Unknown | Tube | Abdominal pain | Vaginal bleeding | Not performed | — | + | Unknown | Laparoscopic tubal resection |
| 29          |          | 35          | Unknown | Tube | Abdominal pain | Vaginal bleeding | Not performed | — | + | Unknown | Laparoscopic tubal resection |
| 30          |          | 37          | Unknown | Tube | Amenorrhea | Not performed | — | + | Unknown | Laparoscopic tubal resection + LM |
| 31          | Yakasai et al. [27] (2012) | 35          | 12 | Left tube | Abdominal pain | Not performed | — | + | Left tubal pregnancy | Left tubal resection |
| 32          | Current case | 33          | 8 | Right cornua | Amenorrhea | β hCG | 66400 (ng/mL) | — | + | Ectopic molar pregnancy | TAH |

hCG: human chorionic gonadotropin; TAH: total abdominal hysterectomy; LSO: left salpingo-oophorectomy; RSO: right salpingo-oophorectomy; D&C: dilatation and curettage; OM: omentectomy; LM: laparoscopic myomectomy; MTX: methotrexate; GTD: gestational trophoblastic disease.
intensity on T1-weighted images (T1-WI) and high signal intensity on T2-weighted images (T2-WI). The mass included vesicles with low signal intensities on T1-WI and high signal intensities on T2-WI, suggesting hydropic villi. The mass showed strong gadolinium contrast enhancement, and its margins were clear. Several flow voids were observed at the edge of the mass (Figure 2).

According to these findings, a preoperative diagnosis of ectopic molar pregnancy in the right uterine cornu was made. Because the patient no longer had any wish for a baby, an abdominal hysterectomy was performed. We chose not a laparoscopic surgery but a laparotomy to avoid rupture of enlarged uterine cornu during removing of the uterus through the vagina. On laparotomy, a dark-blue mass with increased vascularity in the right uterine cornu was noted (Figure 3(a)). Both adnexa were normal, and there was no hemoperitoneum. Total abdominal hysterectomy was performed because the patient and her husband did not wish to preserve fertility. Grossly, cut sections of the uterus showed a dark-red 4 cm mass with small vesicles in the right cornu. The uterus had no malformation such as unicornuate or bicornuate uterus. On pathology, chorionic villi with focal trophoblastic proliferation and hydropic change were observed. There was no cistern formation. A few proliferating
stromal cells were observed but degeneration was not noted (Figure 3(b)). Invasion of trophoblasts to the myometrium was noted (Figure 3(c)). The postoperative diagnosis was ectopic invasive mole in the right cornu. Systemic computed tomography was performed after operation and revealed no metastatic lesion. The patient was followed up weekly or biweekly, and her $\beta$-hCG level was negative 8 weeks postoperatively. The patient has been free from relapse for 60 months.

4. Results (Table 1)

Of the 31 cases reviewed, the mean age was 31.3 years (20 to 44 years), and the lesions were found in the fallopian tube (19 cases, 61%), ovary (5 cases, 16%), cornu (3 cases, 10%), peritoneum (2 cases, 6%), uterine cervix (1 case, 3%), and cesarean scar (1 case, 3%). Abdominal pain and abnormal vaginal bleeding were reported in 70% and 61% of the patients, respectively. Twenty-one cases (67%) presented with rupture and hemoperitoneum. Serum $\beta$-hCG levels in 12 cases and serum hCG levels in 8 patients ranged within 3.5–404,000 mIU/mL and 3,454–165,000 mIU/mL, respectively. All patients underwent operation or dilatation and curettage. A second operation was needed in one ovarian molar pregnancy case because serum hCG levels increased again after primary focal ovarian resection. Methotrexate therapy was performed in one case because residual trophoblastic tissue was suspected. None of the patients developed metastatic disease or relapsed.

5. Discussion

Preoperative diagnosis of ectopic molar pregnancy is difficult, and we found only one reported case. Asseryanis et al. preoperatively detected a left tubal molar pregnancy using transvaginal color-flow Doppler, revealing an arteriovenous shunt flow of both the tumor and myometrium [2]. However, the efficacy of transvaginal color-flow Doppler in the diagnosis of ectopic molar pregnancy remains controversial [28]. We suspected cornual molar pregnancy because transvaginal ultrasonography revealed a mass with small vesicles in the right cornu, which is a typical finding of molar pregnancy. MRI revealed a right cornual mass with isosignal intensity on T1-weighted images (T1-WI) and high signal intensity on T2-weighted images (T2-WI). In addition, small vesicles in the mass showed low signal intensities on T1-WI and high signal intensities on T2-WI, which suggested hydropic villi. Distinguishing between ectopic molar pregnancy and choriocarcinoma or “ordinary” ectopic pregnancy is important. Ha et al. reported four important MRI findings for
the differential diagnosis between uterine choriocarcinoma and uterine invasive mole: (i) the tumor margin is well-defined in choriocarcinoma and ill-defined in invasive mole; (ii) the hyperintensity pattern on T1-WI is nodular in choriocarcinoma and scattered in invasive mole; (iii) intratumoral vascularity is absent or minimal in choriocarcinoma due to severe central necrosis and hemorrhage, whereas intratumoral vascularity is increased and the tumor is densely enhanced in invasive mole; and (iv) invasive mole has molar tissue-like tiny cystic lesions within the mass [29]. Although the disease site differed, their suggestions may be useful for diagnosing ectopic molar pregnancy. In our case, molar tissue-like tiny cystic lesions, intratumoral hypervascularity, and dense enhancement were observed. We believe that MRI is a powerful tool for diagnosis of ectopic molar pregnancy. However, there may have been several cases in which MRI was not performed due to shock induced by rupture.

The rate of rupture and hemoperitoneum in cases of molar ectopic pregnancy rupture was 67%. Berlingieri et al. and Frates et al. reported rates of ruptured normal ectopic pregnancy of 29.5% and 25.2%, respectively [30, 31], and dense enhancement were observed. We believe that MRI was suggested to be favorable.

The serum β-hCG levels in 12 cases and serum hCG levels of 8 patients ranged within 3.5–404,000 mIU/mL and 3,454–165,000 mIU/mL, respectively. Frates et al. reported that the serum hCG levels of 225 normal ectopic pregnancy ranged within 7–107,949 mIU/mL [31], demonstrating that the rate of molar ectopic pregnancy rupture was significantly higher than that of normal ectopic pregnancy. This may be due to the higher invasive ability of trophoblasts in gestational trophoblastic disease compared with trophoblasts in normal pregnancy.

The serum β-hCG levels in 12 cases and serum hCG levels of 8 patients ranged within 3.5–404,000 mIU/mL and 3,454–165,000 mIU/mL, respectively. Frates et al. reported that the serum hCG levels of 225 normal ectopic pregnancy ranged within 7–107,949 mIU/mL [31], Tasha et al. reported 18 cases of ectopic gestational trophoblastic disease in 100 cases of ectopic pregnancy. The hCG levels of normal ectopic pregnancy were 1,256–13,494 mIU/mL, partial mole 6,642–15,678 mIU/mL, and complete mole 7,920–24,733 mIU/mL. Furthermore, cases of intrauterine molar pregnancy are known to have higher hCG levels than normal pregnancies. Although Chauhan et al. suggested that implantation in the fallopian tube might preclude adequate vascularization and lead to low hCG levels in ectopic molar pregnancy [6], these reports suggested that ectopic molar pregnancy cannot be distinguished from normal ectopic pregnancy by hCG levels alone. Because none of the patients developed metastatic disease or relapsed, the prognosis of molar ectopic pregnancy is suggested to be favorable.
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