Capture severity, infectious disease processes and sex influence post-release mortality of sockeye salmon bycatch
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Bycatch is a common occurrence in heavily fished areas such as the Fraser River, British Columbia, where fisheries target returning adult Pacific salmon (Oncorhynchus spp.) en route to spawning grounds. The extent to which these encounters reduce fish survival through injury and physiological impairment depends on multiple factors including capture severity, river temperature and infectious agents. In an effort to characterize the mechanisms of post-release mortality and address fishery and managerial concerns regarding specific regulations, wild-caught Early Stuart sockeye salmon (Oncorhynchus nerka) were exposed to either mild (20 s) or severe (20 min) gillnet entanglement and then held at ecologically relevant temperatures throughout their period of river migration (mid–late July) and spawning (early August). Individuals were biopsy sampled immediately after entanglement and at death to measure indicators of stress and immunity, and the infection intensity of 44 potential pathogens. Biopsy alone increased mortality (males: 33%, females: 60%) when compared with non-biopsied controls (males: 7%, females: 15%), indicating high sensitivity to any handling during river migration, especially among females. Mortality did not occur until 5–10 days after entanglement, with severe entanglement resulting in the greatest mortality (males: 62%, females: 90%), followed by mild entanglement (males: 44%, females: 70%). Infection intensities of Flavobacterium psychrophilum and Ceratonova shasta measured at death were greater in fish that died sooner. Physiological indicators of host stress and immunity also differed depending on longevity, and indicated anaerobic metabolism, osmoregulatory failure and altered immune gene regulation in premature mortalities. Together, these results implicate latent effects of entanglement, especially among females, resulting in mortality days or weeks after release. Although any entanglement is potentially detrimental, reducing entanglement durations can improve post-release survival.
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Introduction

For wild semelparous Pacific salmon (Oncorhynchus spp.), lifetime fitness hinges on the survival and successful migration of adults to spawning grounds where they will deposit gametes prior to natural death (Groot and Margolis, 1991). Pacific salmon productivity is in a state of decline in many natal watersheds, especially at southern range extremes (Hinch et al., 2012; Peterman and Dorner, 2012). Pre-spawning and en route mortality of adult Pacific salmon have likely contributed to these declines and have been attributed to several factors, including thermal and fisheries stressors encountered during freshwater migration (Gilhousen, 1990; Donaldson et al., 2011; Martins et al., 2012b; Gale et al., 2013; Raby et al., 2015). Disease processes are also known to influence the survival of wild salmon but have been notoriously difficult to study due to the logistical constraints inherent in monitoring wild animal populations under natural conditions, especially for highly migratory species (Altizer et al., 2011; Miller et al., 2014). With regard to adult Pacific salmon, the manner by which fisheries practices, temperature and disease processes interact to influence the mechanisms of premature mortality remains poorly understood (Miller et al., 2014).

The intense salmon fisheries of the West Coast of North America yield a strong likelihood of gear encounter by migrating adult salmon en route to natal streams, rivers and lakes. Although much of this catch is retained, non-target species are often captured and viable bycatch released back to the water, depending on regulations specific to each fishery. In addition to those released, many fish get trapped in gear but escape during the fishing and landing process, displaying physical signs of entanglement at locations further upriver (Baker and Schindler, 2009; Casselman et al., 2016). Depending on the fishery, a proportion of captured and released individuals are assumed to arrive at spawning grounds and this subtotal can be counted towards spawner escapement goals set by management. There are physiological consequences of capture and release or escape from fisheries gear that contribute to post-release impairment and mortality (reviewed in Davis, 2002). Variability in these physiological responses is common within and among species and stocks (Cooke and Suski, 2005), and is associated with the severity of the capture event (Gale et al., 2011), the condition of the individual at capture (Davis, 2002; Donaldson et al., 2012) and the animal’s ability to recover (Farrell et al., 2001; Robinson et al., 2013). Condition at capture and subsequent recovery are also suspected to be associated with infectious disease processes (Gilhousen, 1990; Raby et al., 2015). Stress and injury caused by a gear encounter can provide opportunities for infection (Chopin and Arimoto, 1995; Baker and Schindler, 2009; Baker et al., 2013), elicit enhanced immune surveillance and responses by the host (Dhabhar, 2002; Neeman et al., 2012) and promote physiological disturbances such as osmoregulatory imbalance that can impair overall host health and resilience (Gale et al., 2011; Donaldson et al., 2012; Cooke et al., 2013). Establishing linkages between physiological and infection-associated variables would aid in developing a clearer understanding of how host–parasite relationships impact the survival of released salmon bycatch and improve mortality estimates.

Environmental factors such as high water temperatures compound the effects of fisheries capture (Gale et al., 2013) and have disease-associated consequences, potentially diminishing host (salmon) resilience (Jeffries et al., 2012b; Dittmar et al., 2014) and altering the productivity of infectious agents prior to (Chiaramonte, 2013; Paull and Johnson, 2014) or following (Thomas and Blanford, 2003; Betts et al., 2009; Kocan et al., 2009) infection. One suspected mitigation measure used by Pacific salmon faced with high river temperatures is behavioural thermoregulation, particularly in the lentic components of the migration route (Donaldson et al., 2009). By residing in the cool waters near the thermocline of corridor lakes prior to arrival at spawning grounds, accumulated thermal units remain lower than if the animal experienced water temperatures in warmer river waters (Newell and Quinn, 2005; Roscoe et al., 2010). This tactic combined with changes in river temperature during migration produces a dynamic thermal environment that likely impacts physiological and disease-associated responses to fisheries capture. The Early Stuart population of sockeye salmon (Oncorhynchus nerka), for example, migrates ~1200 km from the mouth of the Fraser River to spawning grounds near the Stuart Lake system (Fig. 1); they begin this migration earlier than any other Fraser salmon population (median historical river entry date of 7 July) while the spring freshet is still diminishing and river temperatures are concurrently rising, and are thus faced with a narrow window of optimal migratory conditions (Macdonald et al., 2010; Reed et al., 2011). They also migrate at the same time as some spring Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) populations, which are the target of in-river First Nations gillnet fisheries. Declining abundance of returning adult Early Stuart sockeye salmon in recent decades has raised interest in how fishery-related bycatch mortality and river conditions may affect this population’s continued viability.

To characterize the mechanisms contributing to post-release mortality and address fishery and managerial concerns regarding specific regulations, we conducted a long-term holding study using wild-caught Early Stuart sockeye salmon. This project was conducted in collaboration with First Nations user groups of the Lower Fraser Fisheries Alliance (LFFA) as well as managers and scientists of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO). Concerns were raised among users and managers regarding the accuracy of the post-release mortality rate (60%) assigned by regulators to Early Stuart sockeye bycatch within the Chinnook drift and set gillnet fishery that takes place during the Early Stuart sockeye migration. The primary purpose of our study was to test the variability of this post-release mortality estimate under different capture severities (i.e. entanglement durations) and a realistic thermal experience to inform fishery management and best practices of
fishers. Furthermore, we sought to identify short-term effects of capture and predictive factors that distinguish fish that survive to the spawning period of Early Stuart sockeye from those that do not by using an array of physiological, environmental and disease-associated variables. Finally, we endeavoured to characterize relationships between infection intensities of potential pathogens at death with host physiology towards a mechanistic understanding of post-release mortality.

Materials and Methods

The total migration duration from ocean departure to spawning grounds for Early Stuart sockeye salmon is ~3–4 weeks (Crossin et al., 2004; Macdonald et al., 2010; Reed et al., 2011). We captured individuals ~5 days into their upstream migration, ~150 river kilometers (rkm) from the mouth of the Fraser River in Yale, BC, using a 5.25-inch (13.3 cm) mesh gillnet (mesh size targeting Early Stuart sockeye). Fishing took place between 08:00 and 12:00 on 9 and 10 July 2013 and river temperature ranged between 16 and 17°C during collection. Fish were quickly and carefully removed from the net to minimize injury and stress and immediately placed into coolers filled with fresh river water. This type of capture was chosen as the most low-impact yet effective way of collecting Early Stuart sockeye; any observable impacts of collection (e.g. injury and lethargy) were factored into an overall condition score and incorporated into survival assessments (see below). A subset of fish was sacrificed river-side within 5 min of capture (n = 19) and sampled for gill tissue (2–3 filament tips, representing ~0.5 mg of tissue) and blood (~2 ml from the caudal vasculature; 21-gauge needle with lithium heparinized Vacutainer®, Becton-Dickson, NJ) to provide baseline data pertaining to condition at the time of capture (details on tissue storage and handling below). Live fish were placed in aerated truck-mounted tanks filled with cool (11–12°C), UV-treated and sand-filtered water for transport to the DFO Cultus Lake Salmon Research Lab at Cultus Lake, BC (40 min transit; Fig. 1). Fish were dip-netted from transport tanks and sequentially distributed among eight holding tanks (~8000 l; 16–17°C). Tank water at the facility was sourced from the neighbouring Cultus Lake, which was sand filtered, UV-treated, flow-through (e.g. not recirculated), and temperature controlled by manipulating the proportion of water from above or below the lake’s thermocline. To achieve warmer temperatures, tanks were supplemented with boiler-heated shallow lake water. Tanks had a constant inflow above 30 l/min and were outfitted with a submersible pump creating a circular flow pattern around the tank periphery (~30 cm/s) which encouraged fish to slowly swim in place during holding.

Tanks were assigned to one of four treatments with two tank replicates per treatment group. The methods for this experiment were carried out under protocols approved by the Animal Care Committees of Fisheries and Oceans Canada (Pacific Region), the University of British Columbia (certificate A11-0215) and the University of Victoria (certificate 2012-030). Treatments included (i) a severe gillnet entanglement (20 min entanglement plus 1 min air exposure), (ii) a mild gillnet entanglement (20 s entanglement plus 1 min air exposure), (iii) a biopsied control without entanglement group and (iv) a control without biopsy or entanglement. Twenty-four to 48 h after collection, the standardized entanglement treatments were applied in the laboratory using an 8-inch (20.3 cm) mesh gillnet, which matches the mesh size used in the Fraser River Chinook fishery experiencing Early Stuart sockeye bycatch.

The gillnet treatment proceeded as follows: each fish was individually removed from its holding tank with a dip-net and immediately submerged in a treatment tank within the bag of the dip-net where the fish was then quickly entangled.
in the 8-inch mesh gillnet and then flipped out of the dip-net under water. This entanglement method was employed due to the large mesh size of the gillnet, which in our experience was too wide for Early Stuart sockeye to be caught via the gilled method. Our directive was not to quantify the causes of bycatch or encounter rates, but to understand effects of capture and release. Hence, this method was appropriate to achieve effective entanglement. After 20 min (severe) or 20 s (mild) of sustained entanglement, both the gillnet and fish were lifted out of the water and placed into a dip-net held in the air. After a 1-min air exposure, which included net removal and simulated a realistic time for bycatch landing and net removal, the fish was submerged in a flow-through, padded sampling trough for biopsy. Each fish was measured for fork length (FL; ±1 cm) and muscle lipid content (Fish Fatmeter Model-FM 692, Distell, Scotland, UK), biopsied for gill tissue (2–3 filament tips) and blood (~2 ml from the caudal vasculature; 21-gauge needle with lithium heparinized Vacutainer®, Becton-Dickson, NJ), externally tagged for identification (spaghetti-style tag, Floy® WA), and then placed into a recovery tank. Its condition was recorded as an integer score from 0 to 6, which was a composite score of condition prior to experimental treatment (0 = no injury from the collection net and vigorous in the treatment net, 1 = mild abrasions (e.g. scale loss) but vigorous, 2 = moderately injured (e.g. skin loss) or lethargic, 3 = severely injured (e.g. bleeding or flesh loss) and lethargic) and condition following the experimental gillnet treatment (0–4, same criteria as above). Anesthetic was not used so as to mimic as much as possible the conditions of the fishery (see Cooke et al., 2005 for evaluation and validation of biopsy without anesthetic). Water temperature was constant throughout the treatment and sampling procedures (16–17°C; ≤2 min total time in trough). Biopsied control fish were similarly dip-netted from holding tanks, but bypassed gillnet and air exposure treatments to proceed directly to the sampling trough, henceforth following the biopsy protocol outlined above. Non-treatment air exposure associated with movement of biopsied control fish between tanks and the sampling trough was ≤10 s total. Non-biopsied control fish were not handled at all after collection. Experiment start for each individual corresponds to the time it entered the recovery tank; for non-biopsied control fish, start time corresponds to the earliest start time for treated fish. Due to a plumbing malfunction in their recovery tank, individuals from one holding tank (biopsied controls, n = 14) were excluded from long-term analyses. These individuals were, however, included in short-term analyses that did not relate to subsequent survival, but included condition and infection intensity at the time of the biopsy, prior to entering the recovery tank, relating to short-term effects of capture. 

Tanks were checked at ≤4 h intervals between 08:00 and 24:00. Any individual displaying signs of morbidity (e.g. loss of equilibrium and surface gulping) was removed from the tank and euthanized; all fish surviving to the end of the spawning period, as determined by the duration of Early Stuart residence on spawning grounds and a sharp increase in (senescence-related) mortality of held fish, were euthanized. All euthanized fish were immediately biopsied as described above to preserve the integrity of RNA and blood properties. An adipose fin tissue sample was taken using a hole punch for DNA analysis. There was a gross examination of external and internal pathologies (e.g. Saprolegnia spp. fungus cover, organ abnormalities and lesions) while subsampling tissue from six additional major organs (liver, spleen, heart ventricle, head kidney and white muscle; brain alternated between RNA screening and histopathology) for microbe RNA screening and histopathology (histopathology data not shown).

Fish were held for the duration of their natural freshwater migration (~3 weeks) and spawning period (an additional 3 weeks that included staging, spawning and nest defence) to assess their survival during these periods associated with the experimental treatments. For the duration of the experiment, water temperature within all tanks was monitored and adjusted daily to mimic the thermal experience of a successful Early Stuart sockeye salmon that would be migrating towards spawning grounds in the same year as our study (Fig. 1). We constructed a thermal experience model in real time using thermal data loggers in place along the migration route (DFO Environmental Watch Program; http://www.pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/science/habitat/frw-rfo/index-eng.html) and migration rate estimates calculated for Early Stuart sockeye (Rand and Hinch, 1998). We estimated the geographic location of a successful migrant from the final date of collection until the end of the spawning period, including behavioural thermoregulation utilizing cool hypolimnetic water while passing through corridor lakes (Newell and Quinn, 2005; Mathes et al., 2010; Roscoe et al., 2010), and adjusted tank temperatures daily to match this estimated thermal experience. Briefly, for Early Stuart sockeye captured ~150 km from the mouth of the Fraser River, traveling at a ground speed of ~0.8 m/s within the Fraser River mainstem (corresponding to ~4000 m3/s discharge) and then ~1 m/s in the Stuart and Nechako rivers, they would reach lake systems after ~10–11 days from the start of the study (between 21 and 23 July). To incorporate behavioural thermoregulation prior to arrival at spawning grounds, temperature was decreased to 11–12°C following simulated lake arrival on 24 July. Finally, on 28 July temperature was increased to 16°C and then lowered to 12°C to simulate movement out of the lakes, through the river, and on to cooler spawning grounds (Macdonald et al., 2012).

A subset of Early Stuart sockeye was sacrificed at spawning grounds near Takla Lake (n = 13; Fig. 1) and biopsied according to terminal sampling procedures described above to measure microbe prevalence on spawning grounds (7–8 August 2013).

Laboratory analyses

Haematocrit and leucocrit values were measured immediately following blood sampling by calculating the volumes of
red and white blood cell layers relative to total blood volume, respectively, after centrifugation (2 min at 10 000 g; LW Scientific® ZIIProtoc, GA, USA) in heparinized microcapillary tubes (Drummond Scientific®, PA, USA). The remaining whole blood (~2 ml) was centrifuged within the Vacutainer® for 7 min at 7000 g to remove plasma (Clay Adams Compact II centrifuge, NY, USA), which was then flash frozen in liquid nitrogen for subsequent analyses of hormones and metabolites. Gill tissue and other organ tissues were preserved in 1.5 ml of RNA Later® solution (Qiagen, MD, USA) for genomic analyses (whole brain in 3 ml). Percent lipid content of dorsal muscle was estimated using Fatmeter values and equations developed for sockeye (Crossin and Hinch, 2005). Stock identification as Early Stuart complex was confirmed via microsatellite DNA analysis of the adipose fin at the DFO Pacific Biological Station in Nanaimo, BC (Beacham et al., 2004). Plasma sodium, chloride, potassium, osmolality, lactate and glucose were measured using protocols described by Farrell et al. (2001); and cortisol, testosterone and oestradiol were examined using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits (Neogen Corporation, KY, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocols.

Genomic analyses were conducted at the DFO Pacific Biological Station using high-throughput nanofluidic qPCR (Fluidigm® BioMark™ Dynamic Array, CA, USA) for quantification of relative RNA expression of disease-associated microbes and host stress- and immune-related biomarkers (Miller et al., 2014, 2016; Tables 1 and 2). Preserved tissue samples (~0.5 mg) were homogenized independently for 6–9 min in 600 µl TRI reagent (Ambion Inc., TX, USA) and 75 µl 1-bromo-3-chloropropane in microtubes using stainless steel beads and a MM301 mixer mill (Restch Inc., PA, USA). Whole brains were quartered and each section homogenized using a Biomek FXP (Beckman-Coulter, CA, USA) cDNA Synthesis Kit under PCR cycling conditions of 25 °C for 10 min, 42 °C for 6.5 min and 85 °C for 5 min.

Given the nanolitre volumes of substrate incorporated into each qPCR chamber of the Biomark™, samples must first undergo a pre-amplification step consisting of a multiplex PCR including all target assay primers to achieve high sensitivity detections (for more information, see Miller et al., 2016). Following the manufacturer’s protocols, a mix of forward and reverse primers corresponding to all targeted microbe and host biomarkers (200 nM primer mix; 1.3 µl total volume) was combined with 2.5 µl TaqMan®PreAmp Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA and added to 1.3 µl cDNA; PCR cycling commenced at 95 °C for 10 min followed by 15 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s and 60 °C for 4 min. Any remaining nucleotides and primers were removed using ExoSAP-IT® PCR Product Cleanup (MJS BioLynx Inc., ON, Canada) cycled at 37 °C for 15 min then 80 °C for 15 min. Each sample was then diluted 5-fold with suspension buffer (TEKnova, CA, USA) so as not to overwhelm the subsequent qPCR analysis. Controls were incorporated among samples in duplicate during the extraction, pre-amplification and qPCR steps, including both positive (pooled cDNA samples from multiple individuals) and negative controls (suspension buffer); serial dilutions of pre-amplified pooled host samples and synthetic microbe sequence clones were also included among samples on each dynamic array during the final qPCR (Miller et al., 2016).

Biomarker and microbe assays were run in duplicate and included three reference genes to ensure viability of samples (i.e. routine host gene expression). Sample (TaqMan® Gene Expression Master Mix, GE Sample Loading Reagent and pre-amplified cDNA) and assay (primer pair [9 µM], probe [2 µM], Assay Loading Reagent) mixes were individually plumbed into single reaction chambers using integrated fluidic circuits of the IFC controller prior to the qPCR cycling. The qPCR thermal cycling profile followed the GE 96 X 96 Standard v1.1cl. (TaqMan®) protocol. Passive reference dye was used to confirm that all 9216 wells contained substrate. Two probes were measured in each reaction chamber: one pertained to the target amplicon (FAM) and the other to microbe clone controls (VIC). Any sample reaction chamber found to be VIC positive was removed as suspected clone contamination. Cycle threshold (Ct) replicates were averaged for all samples; in the case of failed replicates, host biomarkers were assigned the single positive value, but any microbe not positive for both replicates was designated a negative detection. Host genes were normalized to the average of the three reference genes and relative expression was calculated using the 2−ΔΔCt method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). Predetermined total copy numbers of synthetic microbe clone dilutions were used to create a standard curve to back calculate RNA copy numbers of microbes from Ct values measured in samples. Throughout the analyses herein, biomarker results are represented as relative expression and microbe infection intensity (RNA copy number) referred to as ‘productivity’.

We measured microbe productivities via the RNA expression of each microbe. Because primers and probes were designed to different gene types with varying functions (e.g.
| Assay name | Gene information | Assay type | EST/Accession# | Primer and probe sequences | Efficiency | Source |
|------------|------------------|------------|----------------|---------------------------|------------|--------|
| B2M        | Beta 2-microglobulin | Immune     | AF180490       | F—TTTACAGCGCCGGTGAGTC R—TGCCAGGGTTACGGCTGAAC | 0.92       | Haugland et al. (2005) |
| C3         | Complement factor  | Immune     | U61753, AF271080 | F—ATTGGCCCTGCAAACACAA R—AGCTTCAGATCAAGGAAGAAGTTC |
| CD4        | Cell receptor     | Immune     | AY973028       | F—CATAGCCCTGTTGCTCAAT R—CCCCTCTTTTGACGGGAGA A—AAAGAATCTCCCCCCAAGGTGCAGG |
| CD83       | Cell receptor     | Immune     | AY263794       | F—GATGCACCCTTGAAGAAGAA R—GAACCCCTGTCTCGACCAGTT A—CCCTTTCTTTGACAGGGAGA |
| Hep        | Hepcidin          | Immune     | AF281354.1     | F—GAGGAGGTTTGAGACTTGA R—TGACGCTGAACCTGAATGA |
| IFNa       | Interferon-α      | Immune     | AY216595       | F—CGTCTACCTGCAAAGATTGA R—GGGCGTACCTCTGAAATGA |
| IgMs       | Immunoglobulin    | Immune     | S63348, AB044939 | F—CTTGGCTTGTTGACGATAGG R—GGCTAGTGGTGTTGAATTGG |
| IL-11      | Cytokine          | Immune     | AJ535687       | F—GCAATCTCTTGCTCCTACCCTC R—TTTCAGTGCTCAAGTTC |
| IL-15      | Cytokine          | Immune     | AJ555868.1     | F—TTGAGATTTTGGCCTAACTGC R—CTTGCCCTCAATAAACGAAT |
| IL-1R      | Cytokine          | Immune     | AJ295296       | F—ATCATCTGTGAGCCCAAGAAG | 0.80       | Raida et al. (2011) |

Table 1: Primer and probe sequences corresponding to stress and immunity biomarkers and three reference genes evaluated via qPCR on adult sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*).
| Gene Symbol | Gene Name                     | Annotation | Accession | Forward Sequence | Reverse Sequence | Conservation |
|-------------|-------------------------------|------------|-----------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| IRF1        | Interferon regulatory factor 1| Immune     | CBS11515  | F—CAAACCGCAAGAAGTTCTCATT | R—AGTTTGTGGTTGTTTTTGACAGTGA | In house     |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
| MHCI        | Major histocompatibility complex I | Immune     |           | F—GGCACAGGTTCTCAGTTGGAG | R—GTTCAGTGAGCCACCTGCTGC | Ingerslev et al. (2009) |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
| MHCII-B     | Major histocompatibility complex IIβ | Immune | AF115533  | F—TGCCATGCTGATGTCAG | R—GCCACCTGCCAGGACA | Raida and Buchmann (2008) |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
| MMP13       | Matrix metalloproteinase       | Immune     | 213514499 | F—GCCAGCGGAGCAGGAA | R—AGTCACCTGGAGCCAAAGA | Tadiso et al. (2011) |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
| Mx          | Antiviral protein              | Immune     |           | F—AGATGATGTCGACCATCAGTC | R—CTGCAGCTGGGAAGGAAAC | Eder et al. (2009) |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
| RIG-I       | Retinoic acid inducible gene I | Immune     | NM_001163699 | F—ACAGCTGTTACACAGACCATCA | R—TTTAGGGTGAGTTCTCCTGAGCA | Larsen et al. (2012) |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
| SHOP21      | Salmon hyperosmotic protein 21 | Immune     | CA054269  | F—GGCGTAGTGAGTCTGCTCAGGA | R—GCTGCTGACGTCTACATCAC | In house     |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
| TF          | Transferrin                    | Immune     | D89083    | F—TTCACTGCTGAGAAGTGTCAG | R—GCTGCACTGAACTGACATCAT | Raida and Buchmann (2009) |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
| ATP5G3-C    | ATP synthase                   | MRS        | CB493164  | F—GGACAGCGACACTGACAGA | R—CGCCATCTGCCCCTGTTT | In house     |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
| C4B         | Complement factor              | MRS        | CBS18123  | F—TCCAACCACTGAGATTGCCATC | R—ATCTCTGACACGACTGACACAA | In house     |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
| C7          | Complement factor              | MRS        | CA052045  | F—ACACTGTCCAGCTCTGTGTC | R—GATGCTGACCACATCAAACTGC | In house     |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |
|             |                               |            |           |                  |                   |              |

(Continued)
Table 1: continued

| Assay name   | Gene information                          | Assay type | EST/Accession#         | Primer and probe sequences                                      | Efficiency | Source         |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------|------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| EIF4E        | Initiation factor                         | MRS        | CA051191, CB496372     | F—TCGGAAACCCACACACAAAGA                                          | 1.00       | In house       |
|              |                                            |            |                        | R—GCCGTTGGAGTCTGTGTTGTT                                          |            |                |
|              |                                            |            |                        | P—CTGTCATAGCCACAC                                               |            |                |
| KCTD1        | Potassium channel tetramerization domain   | MRS        | CA062065               | F—TGTTGTTAAAAAGGGGACACAGTT                                       | 0.88       | In house       |
|              |                                            |            |                        | R—GTGAAGTGGTTATCTGGGCTGAAG                                       |            |                |
|              |                                            |            |                        | P—CTCCAAGGCTGAAT                                                 |            |                |
| MCSF         | Macrophage colony stimulating factor       | MRS        | CA061415               | F—GCTCTCTCAATCTCTGCTTAC                                          | 0.85       | In house       |
|              |                                            |            |                        | R—ACACAGATAATTGAAAACCGAGG                                        |            |                |
|              |                                            |            |                        | P—CTCAATGTCTCTTAAAC                                              |            |                |
| GR-2         | Glucocorticoid receptor                    | Stress     |                        | F—TCCAGCAGTATGCAGTTCT                                            | 0.84       | (Yada et al., 2007) |
|              |                                            |            |                        | R—TGCCCTGGTTGTTGATCATG                                            |            |                |
|              |                                            |            |                        | P—AAAGCTGGGTTGGCCGCTG                                             |            |                |
| HSC70        | Heat shock cognate 70                      | Stress     | CA052185               | F—GGGTCAACAGAAGCCAAAAAG                                           | 0.75       | In house       |
|              |                                            |            |                        | R—GCCGTTTATAGCGTGTGTTG                                            |            |                |
|              |                                            |            |                        | P—AGACCAAGCCTAAAAC                                              |            |                |
| Hsp90        | Heat shock protein 90                      | Stress     | CB493960, CB503707     | F—TGGGTCAACAGTGTGGTCAAG                                          | 0.80       | In house       |
|              |                                            |            |                        | R—TCCAAGGTGAACCGAGGAC                                              |            |                |
|              |                                            |            |                        | P—AGACCTGGAGATCA                                                 |            |                |
| JUN          | Transcription factor                       | Stress     | CA056351               | F—TGTTGCTGTGGTGAAGAATACTAGT                                       | 0.79       | In house       |
|              |                                            |            |                        | R—CCTGGTGGCCTATGGAATTGCTAGT                                       |            |                |
|              |                                            |            |                        | P—AGACTTGGCTATTTAC                                               |            |                |
| 78d16.1      | Reference                                  |            | CA056739               | F—GTCAGACTGGGAGCTCAGAG                                           | 0.84       | In house       |
|              |                                            |            |                        | R—GATCAAGGCCCCAGAAGTGTGGT                                        |            |                |
|              |                                            |            |                        | P—AAAGGTGATCTCCCCTGCCGCTCCGA                                     |            |                |
| COIL-P84-2   | Reference                                  |            | CA053789               | F—GCTTATTAGGAGAGAGGATG                                            | 0.83       | In house       |
|              |                                            |            |                        | R—CTGGGATGCTGCTCCTAG                                              |            |                |
|              |                                            |            |                        | P—TTATACAGAGACAGCC                                              |            |                |
| MRPL40       | Reference                                  |            | CK991258               | F—CCAGATGAGGACCATTGAAGG                                         | 0.76       | In house       |
|              |                                            |            |                        | R—GTAATCTGCGCACCTCTCAG                                           |            |                |
|              |                                            |            |                        | P—AAAAGAACATCCCA                                                |            |                |

Assay type classifies genes by their association with immunity, stress or a mortality-related signature (MRS) predictive of migration failure of wild salmon (Miller et al., 2011). References and average qPCR efficiencies are provided; in-house designs were conducted by the Molecular Genetics Laboratory at the Pacific Biological Station, Nanaimo, BC.
Table 2: Abbreviations, names and types of microbes suspected or known to cause disease in Pacific salmon in British Columbia, Canada, evaluated via qPCR on adult sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*). 

| Assay abbreviation | Microbe full name | Type | Prevalence en route and held | Prevalence at spawning grounds | Primer and probe sequences | Efficiency | Reference |
|--------------------|-------------------|------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|-----------|
| ae_hyd             | Aeromonas hydrophila | Bacterium | 15 | 15 | F—ACCGCTGCTATTACTCTTGATG | 0.91 | Lee et al. (2006) |
|                    |                   |      |                             |                               | R—CCAACCCAGAGCGGAAAGAA |           |           |
|                    |                   |      |                             |                               | P—TGATGGTGAGCTGGTGG |           |           |
| ae_sal             | Aeromonas salmonicida | Bacterium | 0 | 0 | F—TAAAGCAGTGTGTTACC | 0.96 | Keeling et al. (2013) |
|                    |                   |      |                             |                               | R—GCTACTTACACCTGATTGG |           |           |
|                    |                   |      |                             |                               | P—ACATCGAGCAGCTCAGGTCGAC |           |           |
| re_sal             | Renibacterium salmoninarum | Bacterium | 0 | 0 | F—CAACAGGGTGGTATTTCTGGTTT | 0.93 | Powell et al. (2005) |
|                    |                   |      |                             |                               | R—CTATAGAGCGCCAAGCTGCAA |           |           |
|                    |                   |      |                             |                               | P—CTCCAGCGCCGAGAGGAC |           |           |
| c_b_cys            | Candidatus Branchiomonas cystica | Bacterium | 96 | 100 | F—AAATACATCGGAAAGTGCTAGT | 0.90 | Mitchell et al. (2013) |
|                    |                   |      |                             |                               | R—GCTATACCGGCGGCTCATGTG |           |           |
|                    |                   |      |                             |                               | P—CTCGGTCCCAGGCTTTCCTCCA |           |           |
| ye_ruc             | Yersinia ruckeri | Bacterium | 0 | 0 | F—TGCCCGCGTGTTGAAAGAA | 0.93 | Glenn et al. (2011) |
|                    |                   |      |                             |                               | R—ACGGAGTTAGCGCGGCTTT |           |           |
|                    |                   |      |                             |                               | P—AAATACCGGCAACATTGAC |           |           |
| fl_psy             | Flavobacterium psychrophilum | Bacterium | 55 | 100 | F—GATCCTATTCTCACAGTACGTCAA | 0.80 | Duesund et al. (2010) |
|                    |                   |      |                             |                               | R—TGAAAGCTGTTCAGCACAGGAA |           |           |
|                    |                   |      |                             |                               | P—AAACATTGCTGCGGACC |           |           |
| pch_sal            | Piscichlamydia salmonis | Bacterium | 0 | 0 | F—TCACCCCCAGGCTGCTTT | 0.87 | Nylund et al. (2008) |
|                    |                   |      |                             |                               | R—GAATTCATTCCCCCTCTCTTG |           |           |
|                    |                   |      |                             |                               | P—AAACACTGCTGACGATAGT |           |           |
| pisck_sal          | Piscirickettsia salmonis | Bacterium | 0 | 0 | F—TCTGGGAAGTGGCGGATAGA | 0.95 | Corbell et al. (2003) |
|                    |                   |      |                             |                               | R—TCCCCCGTACTTTTGCTGCAC |           |           |
|                    |                   |      |                             |                               | P—TGATAGCCCCGTACAGCAAGGCTAC |           |           |
| rlo                | Rickettsia-like organism | Bacterium | 78 | 69 | F—GGCTCAACCCCAAGAAACTGCTT | 0.89 | Lloyd et al. (2011) |
|                    |                   |      |                             |                               | R—GTGAAACAGCAGCTAGTGACT |           |           |
|                    |                   |      |                             |                               | P—CCCAGATAACCGCCTGCTGCTTCG |           |           |

(Continued)
| Assay abbreviation | Microbe full name                      | Type             | Prevalence en route and held | Prevalence at spawning grounds | Primer and probe sequences | Efficiency | Reference                  |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------------------------|
| sch               | Gill chlamydia                          | Bacterium        | 0                           | 0                             | F—GGGTAGGGGCGCTCTCTCAGGTGAAGT    | 0.95       | Duesund et al. (2010)     |
|                   |                                        |                  |                             |                               | R—CCCATGAGGGCTCTCTCTCTCT       |            |                            |
|                   |                                        |                  |                             |                               | P—TCCTCTACCCTCTCCTCTCTCT       |            |                            |
| vi_ang            | Vibrio anguillarum                      | Bacterium        | 0                           | 0                             | F—CCGTCTAGCTATCTCTCTCTCTCTTGA | 0.96       | In house                   |
|                   |                                        |                  |                             |                               | R—CCCATGGACCGGCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTT |            |                            |
|                   |                                        |                  |                             |                               | P—TCATTGGGACCTCTGCCTCTGCCTGC  |            |                            |
| vi_sal            | Vibrio salmonicida                      | Bacterium        | 0                           | 0                             | F—GGGTAGGGGCGCTCTCTCAGGTGAAGT  | 0.91       | In house                   |
|                   |                                        |                  |                             |                               | R—CCCATGGACCGGCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTT|            |                            |
|                   |                                        |                  |                             |                               | P—TCATTGGGACCTCTGCCTCTGCCTGC  |            |                            |
| aspv              | Atlantic salmon paramyxovirus           | Virus            | 0                           | 0                             | F—GGGTAGGGGCGCTCTCTCAGGTGAAGT  | 0.92       | Nylund et al. (2008)      |
|                   |                                        |                  |                             |                               | R—CCCATGGACCGGCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTT|            |                            |
|                   |                                        |                  |                             |                               | P—TCATTGGGACCTCTGCCTCTGCCTGC  |            |                            |
| pmcv              | Piscine totivirus (CMS)                 | Virus            | 4                           | 15                            | F—GGGTAGGGGCGCTCTCTCAGGTGAAGT  | 0.92       | Lovoll et al. (2010)      |
|                   |                                        |                  |                             |                               | R—CCCATGGACCGGCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTT|            |                            |
|                   |                                        |                  |                             |                               | P—TCATTGGGACCTCTGCCTCTGCCTGC  |            |                            |
| ver               | Viral encephalopathy and retinopathy    | Virus            | 0                           | 0                             | F—GGGTAGGGGCGCTCTCTCAGGTGAAGT  | 1.02       | Korsnes et al. (2005)     |
|                   | virus                                   |                  |                             |                               | R—CCCATGGACCGGCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTT|            |                            |
|                   |                                        |                  |                             |                               | P—TCATTGGGACCTCTGCCTCTGCCTGC  |            |                            |
| vhsv              | Viral haemorrhagic septicaemia virus     | Virus            | 0                           | 0                             | F—GGGTAGGGGCGCTCTCTCAGGTGAAGT  | 0.86       | Garver et al. (2011)      |
|                   |                                        |                  |                             |                               | R—CCCATGGACCGGCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTT|            |                            |
|                   |                                        |                  |                             |                               | P—TCATTGGGACCTCTGCCTCTGCCTGC  |            |                            |
| omv               | Salmonid herpesvirus                    | Virus            | 0                           | 0                             | F—GGGTAGGGGCGCTCTCTCAGGTGAAGT  | 0.95       | In house                   |
|                   |                                        |                  |                             |                               | R—CCCATGGACCGGCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTT|            |                            |
|                   |                                        |                  |                             |                               | P—TCATTGGGACCTCTGCCTCTGCCTGC  |            |                            |
| sav               | Salmon alphavirus                       | Virus            | 0                           | 0                             | F—GGGTAGGGGCGCTCTCTCAGGTGAAGT  | 0.99       | Andersen et al. (2007)    |
|                   |                                        |                  |                             |                               | R—CCCATGGACCGGCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTT|            |                            |
|                   |                                        |                  |                             |                               | P—TCATTGGGACCTCTGCCTCTGCCTGC  |            |                            |
| Abbreviation | Organism Name | Organism Category | Reference | Percentage | Accession Details |
|--------------|--------------|------------------|-----------|------------|------------------|
| ven          | Viral erythrocytic necrosis virus | Virus | 0.96 | James Winton, pers. comm. |
| pspv         | Pacific salmon parvovirus | Virus | NA | In house |
| prv          | Piscine reovirus (HSMi, CMS) | Virus | 0.85 | Wiik-Nielsen et al. (2012) |
| ihnv         | Infectious haematopoietic necrosis virus | Virus | 0.87 | Purcell et al. (2013) |
| cr_sal       | Cryptobia salmositica | Parasite | 0.89 | In house |
| ce_sha       | Ceratonova shasta | Parasite | 0.93 | Hallett and Bartholomew (2006) |
| de_sal       | Dermocystidium salmonis | Parasite | 0.90 | In house |
| fa_mar       | Facilispora margolisi | Parasite | 0.92 | In house |
| gy_sal       | Gyrodactylus salaris | Parasite | 0.89 | Collins et al. (2010) |
| ic_mul       | Ichthyophthirius multifiliis | Parasite | 0.9 | In house |
| Assay abbreviation | Microbe full name | Type | Prevalence en route and held | Prevalence at spawning grounds | Primer and probe sequences | Efficiency | Reference |
|--------------------|------------------|------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|-----------|
| ku_thy             | Kudoa thyrsites   | Parasite | 10 | 23 | F—TGGCGCGCAAATCTAGGTT  | 0.91 | Funk et al. (2007) |
|                   |                  |       |    |     | R—GACGCGACACACAAAGGTTAATTCC |            |           |
|                   |                  |       |    |     | P—TATCGCGAGGCGGC            |            |           |
| lo_sal             | Loma salmonae    | Parasite | 79 | 77 | F—GGAGTCGAGCGAAGATAGC  | 0.93 | In house |
|                   |                  |       |    |     | R—CTTTTTCCCTCTTTACTATATGCTT |            |           |
|                   |                  |       |    |     | P—TGGCTAATCTACGAGGTGAGACTACCC |            |           |
| my_arc             | Myxobolus arcticus | Parasite | 18 | 23 | F—TGGTAGATACTGAATATCCGG   | 0.89 | In house |
|                   |                  |       |    |     | R—ACACTGCGGGTCAAGTTG       |            |           |
|                   |                  |       |    |     | P—CGTGATTGGAAGTTG          |            |           |
| my_ins             | Myxobolus insidiosus | Parasite | 0  | 0  | F—CCAATTTGGGAGCGGTCAA      | 0.83 | In house |
|                   |                  |       |    |     | R—CGATCGGCAAAGTTATCTAGTTCA |            |           |
|                   |                  |       |    |     | P—CTCTCAAGGCTATTAT          |            |           |
| my_cer             | Myxobolus cerebralis | Parasite | 0  | 0  | F—GCCATTGAATTTGACTTGGG     | 0.99 | Kelley et al. (2004) |
|                   |                  |       |    |     | R—ACCATGATGAAGCCGGAATCT    |            |           |
|                   |                  |       |    |     | P—TGGATTGGAAGTTG          |            |           |
| ne_per             | Neoparamoeba perurans | Parasite | 0  | 0  | F—GTTCCTTTGGGAGCTGAGG      | 1.05 | Fringuelli et al. (2012) |
|                   |                  |       |    |     | R—GAACATCGGGGCAAAAGAG      |            |           |
|                   |                  |       |    |     | P—CAATGCCATCTTTTCGGA       |            |           |
| nu_sal             | Nucleospora salmonis | Parasite | 0  | 0  | F—GCGCGAGATCATTAAATACCT    | 0.94 | Foltz et al. (2009) |
|                   |                  |       |    |     | R—CGATCGGGCATCTAAACA       |            |           |
|                   |                  |       |    |     | P—CCCCCGGCGATCTACGAAATACGC |            |           |
| pa_ther            | Paranucleospora theridion | Parasite | 13 | 23 | F—CGGACAGGGAGGATGATGAT   | 0.92 | Nylund et al. (2010) |
|                   |                  |       |    |     | R—GTTCAGGTGGTCTTTGAG       |            |           |
|                   |                  |       |    |     | P—TGGCGAAAGATGAAA           |            |           |
| pa_pse             | Parvicapsula pseudobranchicola | Parasite | 0  | 0  | F—CAGCTCAGTAGTGATTTCA     | 0.95 | Jørgensen et al. (2011) |
|                   |                  |       |    |     | R—TGGAGCAGCTCTGCTTTACTCAA |            |           |
|                   |                  |       |    |     | P—CGTATTGCTGTCTTGCATGCGA   |            |           |
| Code   | Species                        | Type    | Parasite | Fluke | F- Primer                           | R- Primer                           | P- Primer                           | Reference                           |
|--------|--------------------------------|---------|----------|-------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| pa_kab | Parvicapsula kabatai           | Parasite| 2        | 8     | F—GTCGGATGATAAGTGACATCTGATT         | 0.97 In house                       |                                    |                                     |
|        |                                |         |          |       |                                     | R—ACACCAACACTGCTGCTTCCA             |                                     |                                     |
|        |                                |         |          |       |                                     | P—TGGGACATCTGACGGTACTGC             |                                     |                                     |
| te_bry | Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae  | Parasite| 4        | 85    | F—GCCAGATTTGTTGTGCAATTAAAAAG        | 0.89 Betgge et al. (2009)           |                                    |                                     |
|        |                                |         |          |       |                                     | R—GCACATGCAGTGTCAAATCG              |                                     |                                     |
|        |                                |         |          |       |                                     | P—CAAATAAGGAAAGTCGGACTACGA           |                                     |                                     |
| pa_min | Parvicapsula minibicornis      | Parasite| 100      | 100   | F—AATAGGTGGTGGTGGCACACCTGT         | 0.88 Hallett and Bartholomew (2009) |                                    |                                     |
|        |                                |         |          |       |                                     | R—CCGATAAGGCTTACGTTAGTAAGG          |                                     |                                     |
|        |                                |         |          |       |                                     | P—TGCCACCTAGTAAAGG                  |                                     |                                     |
| sp_des | Sphaerothecum destruens         | Parasite| 1        | 23    | F—GCCGCGGATTGTGTGTC                | 0.89 In house                       |                                    |                                     |
|        |                                |         |          |       |                                     | R—CTGACGCACACTCAATTAAGG             |                                     |                                     |
|        |                                |         |          |       |                                     | P—CGAGGGTGATCTTCCTCTCGAAATTGGC      |                                     |                                     |
| sp_sal | Spironucleus salmonicida       | Parasite| 0        | 0     | F—AACGCGGATTGTGGGAAAA              | 0.91 In house                       |                                    |                                     |
|        |                                |         |          |       |                                     | R—TTAACGCAGACAAATAGAGC              |                                     |                                     |
|        |                                |         |          |       |                                     | P—TGGGACACGCGGGTGAAATTG             |                                     |                                     |
| ic_hof | Ichthyophonus hoferi           | Parasite| 0        | 0     | F—GTCGTTGACTGACGGGAGTTC            | 0.91 White et al. (2013)            |                                    |                                     |
|        |                                |         |          |       |                                     | R—TCCGAACTCAGACACTCAA               |                                     |                                     |
|        |                                |         |          |       |                                     | P—TAAAGACACCCACCTGCTCAGAAGA         |                                     |                                     |
| na_sal | Nanophyetus salmincola         | Fluke   | 0        | 0     | F—CGATCTGACATTGTTGTGTAACA          | 0.88 In house                       |                                    |                                     |
|        |                                |         |          |       |                                     | R—CCAACGCCAAATGATAAGCTAC             |                                     |                                     |
|        |                                |         |          |       |                                     | P—TGAGGGCTGTGTGTATG                  |                                     |                                     |

Prevalence values describe percent positive detections among Early Stuart sockeye collected in the Fraser River at Yale, BC (n = 107; includes individuals sacrificed river-side at collection and those held for up to 40 days) and among those sacrificed at spawning grounds (n = 13; near Takla Lake, July–August 2013). Primer and probe sequences with references and qPCR efficiencies are provided; in-house designs were conducted by the Molecular Genetics Laboratory at the Pacific Biological Station, Nanaimo, BC.
ribsomal 16S and surface array) depending on the target species, our conclusions are limited to describing variation among hosts within each microbe species. Comparisons among species would be misleading because different target genes are expressed at different rates. We chose RNA rather than DNA quantification so as to include RNA viruses in our screening approach and to represent changes in active expression of living microbes as opposed to direct quantification of potentially inactive DNA. Microbe productivity as we define it here is thus a measure of the relative activity of each microbe.

**Statistical analyses**

Survival analysis was used to identify differences in survival among treatment groups and sexes to the peak of the spawning period for this population (20 days post-treatment; dpt) following treatment using the *survdiff* and *coxph* functions in the *survival* library in Program R (Therneau, 2014; R Core Team, 2015). Assumptions of the model, including proportional hazards, influential observations and linearity, were evaluated. Survival (>20 dpt) was also examined by treatment and sex using generalized linear models (GLMs) with a binomial response. GLMs were constructed including and excluding non-biopsied controls; this approach allowed us to examine the effect of the biopsy alone on survival and to identify survival differences between gillnetted fish and controls with and without the additional handling associated with the biopsy.

Short-term effects of capture on host physiology and microbe productivity were assessed by comparing samples taken from fish sacrificed river-side at the time of collection (immediately following capture, T0; n = 19) with non-biopsy samples taken 1–2 days after fish collection (biopsied control group, T1; n = 28). Blood plasma indices of maturity, stress and osmoregulatory impairment at T0 and T1 were log-transformed prior to all analyses. We used a non-parametric multivariate classification tree model to identify physiological and environmental factors associated with survival to the spawning period (20 dpt) using the *rpart* library and *cartware* functions in Program R (De’ath and Fabricius, 2000; De’ath, 2002; Compton, 2006; Therneau et al., 2015). This analysis was restricted to fish that were exposed to gillnet treatments, including both severe and mild entanglements (n = 51), therefore including a mix of exposure times relevant to the fishery. The technique uses recursive partitioning to identify distinguishing variables among pre-defined groups (i.e. success or failure to survive to the spawning period). Simply, the analysis identifies the variable with the greatest power to distinguish between pre-defined groups, repeating this partitioning at each ‘branch’ until terminal nodes (partitioned collections of individuals at branch tips) reach sufficient correct classification. Fifty-two variables were included in the initial partitioning (Table 3), which when applied for descriptive purposes can handle large variable to sample ratios. The classification tree model was constructed using the ‘gini’ index as the splitting criteria, prior probability of group assignment was proportional to group sample sizes at each partition, and further partitioning was stopped within one standard error of the minimum relative error. Primary and surrogate splits were examined as well as variable importance regardless of incorporation in the final tree. The effectiveness of the model was examined using the Kappa chance-corrected error reduction rate. Model significance was assessed using Monte Carlo resampling with 100 random permutations of the grouping variable (success) with the derived tree size (three leaves) and variables (see Results section) of the final model; if P < 0.05, the correct classification rate of the original model was deemed sufficiently high relative to the distribution from random trees.

Because the purpose of our study was primarily focussed on the impact of capture severity on survival, we allowed

| Type | Variables |
|------|-----------|
| Environmental/ morphological | Gillnet exposure time, sex, total condition score, length, stock |
| Microbes | c_b_cys, ce_sha, fl_ps, ic_mul, lo_sal, pa_min, rio |
| Gene expression biomarkers of stress and immunity | ATP5G3C, B2M, C3, C4B, C7, CD4, CD83, EIF4E, GR2, hep, HSC70, Hsp90, IFNa, IgM, IL11, IL15, IL1R, IRF1, JUN, KCTD1, MCSF, MHCI, MHCIIB, MMP13, Mx, RIGI, SHOP21, TF |
| Clinical variables (hormones, metabolites, ions and other physiological indicators) | Chloride, osmolality, sodium, potassium, muscle lipid, cortisol, oestradiol, testosterone, glucose, lactate, haematocrit, leucocrit |

Full microbe names can be found in Table 2.

---

Table 3: Variables included in the multivariate classification tree analysis using survival to the spawning period of Early Stuart sockeye (>20 days post-treatment) as the grouping factor
individuals to progress to the stage of morbidity prior to sacrifice and re-sampling, rather than sacrificing all individuals simultaneously. Therefore, mortality took place over an extended temporal period (weeks–months) and was furthermore confounded with treatment and sex (see Survival section). Samples that were taken at death (i.e. terminal variables) are hence subject to an unknown relative influence of senescence or maturation trajectories, gillnet treatments and sex. Comparison of terminal variables among treatment groups is therefore fraught with speculation given this temporal confounding. We therefore limit our analysis of terminal variables to characterize trends in microbe productivities with time and qualitatively describing relationships among terminal microbe productivities and physiological variables.

To test the assumption that greater microbe productivities would be apparent in fish that die prematurely (as a proxy for advanced infection stages), we used logistic and linear regression of days surviving with microbe prevalence and productivity, respectively. Linear regressions were limited to positive detections, between a positive or zero slope would demonstrate lower or no difference in productivity in premature mortalities relative to fish that survived to the spawning period. The latter scenarios suggest no impact of microbe productivity on the host, or possibly a decreased threshold for microbe productivity tolerated by the host. We used microbe productivity values derived from pooled tissues rather than from the gill alone for a more comprehensive representation of microbes across tissues. Relationships between microbe productivities were evaluated using Spearman’s Rank Correlation. This analysis was conducted using pooled tissue data for microbes with adequate sample sizes to obtain reliable results (see Table 2 for prevalence information). Spearman’s correlation coefficients were calculated for all complete pairs, where both observations were positive detections, between Candidatus Branchiomonas cysticola (n = 50), Ceratonova shasta (n = 54), Flavobacterium psychrophilum (n = 42), Ichthyophthirius multifiliis (n = 63), Loma salmonae (n = 57), Parvancorina minibeornis (n = 82) and Rickettsia-like organism (RLO; n = 56). Agreement between gill and pooled tissue microbe detections was assessed by presence-absence (percent agreement) and by productivity using linear regression on positive detections with a Breusch–Pagan test for heteroscedasticity (data from individuals sampled at death; n = 83).

Results

Survival

Based on the comparison of the control fish that were not handled at all following collection and the control fish that were biopsied, the biopsy itself had significant effects on percent mortality before the spawning period (Fig. 2, Table 4). The low sample size of biopsied controls relative to other control and treatment groups, however, warrants caution in interpreting the survival estimates for biopsied controls. Among biopsied controls, 33% of males and 60% of females died before the spawning period, while only 7% of male and 15% of female non-biopsied controls died before the spawning period. Percent mortality further increased with both entanglement intensities: severe entanglement resulted in the greatest mortality (males: 62%, females: 90%), followed by mild entanglement (males: 44%, females: 70%). Mortality of gillnet-treated fish did not occur until 5–10 days after entanglement, depending on entanglement severity and sex, and mortality among biopsied controls was delayed by 10–15 days after the biopsy. After accounting for mortality...
due to capture, holding, and biopsy sampling, we can expect ~10–55% of females exposed to mild entanglement to die before the spawning period, increasing to 30–75% following severe entanglement, while males would be expected to show 11–37% mortality before the spawning period following mild entanglement and 29–55% mortality following severe entanglement. The minimum of each mortality range was calculated using the percent mortality of biopsied controls and the maximum using non-biopsied controls. Estimates are presented as a range of values to account for uncertainty regarding the effect of non-lethal biopsy sampling, which could be additive or masking in its impact on survival.

Evaluation of the assumptions of the survival analysis revealed that the proportional hazards assumption was violated for gillnet-treated fish (P-values < 0.020), wherein the risk of death due to gillnet entanglement was high in the first 10 days and then decreased. Although this information is

| Treatment            | n  | Days surviving | Mortality prior to spawning period |
|----------------------|----|----------------|-----------------------------------|
|                      |    | F     | M     | F (%) | M (%) |
| Severe gillnet       | 10 | 16    | 9.4 ± 1.8 | 16.3 ± 2.8 | 90 | 62 |
| Mild gillnet         | 10 | 16    | 17.0 ± 2.8 | 24.4 ± 2.7 | 70 | 44 |
| Biopsied control     | 5  | 9     | 23.4 ± 3.5 | 29.6 ± 2.9 | 60 | 33 |
| Control              | 13 | 14    | 31.2 ± 2.4 | 36.0 ± 1.2 | 15 | 7  |
| Total                | 38 | 55    | 20.7 ± 1.5 | 25.9 ± 1.4 | 55 | 38 |

Table 4: Sample sizes (n), mean days surviving (±standard error) and percent mortality prior to the spawning period (20 days post-treatment) for female (F) and male (M) Early Stuart sockeye salmon by treatment.
relevant to the study, it prohibited application of the survival analysis in identifying differences in survival among treatment groups. By stratifying treatment groups, the effect of sex on survival could be evaluated (within treatments) and was found to have a significant effect ($\chi^2 = 6.9$, $P = 0.009$), with males experiencing less than half (43%, $P = 0.010$) of the daily mortality risk that females experienced (model concordance = 0.611, $r^2 = 0.069$, Likelihood ratio test $P = 0.011$). GLMs used to identify differences in survival to the spawning period showed higher mortality among

Figure 3: Box plots illustrating blood plasma indices of maturation (oestradiol and testosterone), metabolic stress (glucose, cortisol, lactate, haematocrit), and osmoregulatory and ionic imbalance (osmolality, potassium, chloride, sodium) measured in Early Stuart sockeye at the time of gillnet capture (T0; $n = 19$) and 2 days following gillnet capture (T1; $n = 28$). Oestradiol, testosterone, cortisol and glucose models included a significant sex factor showing differential changes for females (pink) and males (blue) at each time point. Letters at the top right of each plot denote significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between timepoints (T), sexes (S) or an interaction between the terms (S × T).
biopsied controls ($P = 0.010$), 20-s gillnet ($P = 0.001$) and 20-min gillnet groups ($P < 0.001$) relative to non-biopsied controls (odds ratios = 11.6, 18.1, and 38.2, respectively), and lower mortality of males relative to females (odds ratio = 0.3, $P = 0.029$). Compared with biopsied controls, however, gillnet treatments did not significantly increase mortality ($P$-values $> 0.10$), though sex-specific differences were again significant (male odds ratio = 0.3, $P = 0.023$). Mortality and subsequent increases in total hazard ratios after 30 dpt (Fig. 2) are attributable to senescence.

**Short-term effects of capture**

Plasma lactate, osmolality and haematocrit were significantly lower in fish sampled 1–2 days following capture (T1) relative to individuals sampled immediately after gillnet capture in the river (T0, $P$-values $< 0.001$), while cortisol and chloride were higher at T1 relative to T0 ($P$-values $< 0.001$; Fig. 3) with no sex-specific differences. Sex hormones (oestradiol and testosterone) were lower in males relative to females ($P$-values $< 0.001$), and both were reduced at T1 in males and females ($P$-values $< 0.001$), with a more dramatic decrease in oestradiol in females compared with males (interaction $P < 0.001$). Glucose levels differed between males and females ($P = 0.013$), and were elevated in females and depressed in males at T1 relative to T0 (sampling date: $P = 0.012$; interaction: $P = 0.003$).

Gene expression of targeted stress and immune biomarkers in the gill differed between fish sampled at T0 and at T1 (Fig. 4). PC1 explained much of the total variance (38%), and PC2 an additional 15%; a Monte–Carlo randomization test identified the first two components as significant ($P < 0.001$), though only the first component showed significant associations with sampling date (ANOVA: $P < 0.001$) and interaction between date and sex ($P = 0.003$), but no main effect of sex ($P = 0.671$). Individuals sampled at T0 loaded positively on PC1, while those sampled at T1 loaded negatively. Most of the biomarkers loaded positively on PC1, suggesting enhanced positive regulation of these genes at the time of capture relative to the days following. Sex-specific differences were noted among T0 fish, but not among T1 fish, where females clustered closely and positively on PC1 unlike males that had a greater range in their positions along PC1. Positively loaded biomarkers included many aspects of the stress response such as GR2, HSP90 and SHOP21 (loadings = 0.88, 0.82, and 0.51, respectively; Iwama et al., 1998; Pan et al., 2002; Yada et al., 2007) and several aspects of immunity such as HSC70, C3, RIG1 and CD4 (loadings = 0.97, 0.88, 0.81, and 0.77, respectively). Negatively loaded biomarkers included those associated with iron metabolism (TF, −0.39; hep, −0.21; Raida and Buchmann, 2009), immune regulation (IL11, −0.65; IL1R, −0.58; IL15, −0.53; Secombes et al., 2011) and inflammation (MMP13, −0.72; Krasnov et al., 2012).

The prevalence of *F. psychrophilum* was lower at T0 than at T1 ($P = 0.003$), with no significant difference in productivity between time points ($P = 0.515$; Fig. 5). Conversely, prevalence of *L. salmonae* at T0 was higher than at T1 ($P = 0.002$), again with no significant difference in productivity ($P = 0.093$). The productivity of *C. stasta* was lower at T1 than at T0 ($P < 0.001$) with no significant difference in prevalence ($P = 0.996$). No significant differences in prevalence or productivity of *P. minibicornis* or *Ca. B. cysticola* were identified ($P$-values $> 0.121$), though the bimodal distribution of *P. minibicornis* at T1 suggests that a subset of individuals exhibited lower productivity.

**Factors influencing long-term survival to the spawning period**

Microbe richness (total microbe species present) in gill tissue at capture was poor predictor of survival to the spawning period (GLM: $P = 0.172$). Co-infection was common both at capture and at death, with most fish carrying ≥3 microbe
The multivariate classification tree model conducted on the gillnet-treated fish (20 s and 20 min) identified several variables reliably distinguishing ‘success’ and ‘failure’ to survive to the spawning period (Correct classification rate = 88%, Kappa = 75%, Monte-Carlo kernel-based P < 0.001). Plasma lactate was identified as the primary splitting criteria at 4.6 mmol/l, with low lactate individuals more likely to survive (85% correctly classified as success). Relative expression of Mx, an interferon-induced anti-viral protein, was identified as the secondary splitter among individuals with high lactate, with higher relative expression of Mx (≥−0.292) associated with failure (91% correct classification) and lower expression associated with success (83% correct classification). Eighty-nine percent of individuals with elevated plasma lactate (i.e. >4.6 mmol/l) and relatively high Mx expression (i.e. ≥−0.292) at the time of capture failed to survive beyond 20 dpt. Lactate and Mx were identified as the variables of greatest importance to decreasing node impurity (normalized quantiles: 100 and 88, respectively), as well as transferrin expression, plasma glucose, CD4 and interferon-α expression, and estimated percent lipid in muscle (normalized quantiles: >48).

Figure 5: Beanplots of microbe productivity (log RNA copy number) at the time of gillnet capture (T0, n = 19; black) and 2 days following gillnet capture (T1, n = 22; grey) of Early Stuart sockeye in the Fraser River in Yale, BC. Polygons represent non-parametric density estimates, white bars represent total samples corresponding to RNA productivity, solid black bars represent the median productivity per time point (including negative detections) and dotted lines mark the overall median productivity. Significant differences in prevalence (P < 0.05) were identified for Flavobacterium psychrophilum and Loma salmonae, while Ceratonova shasta productivity differed between time points. Only microbes with sufficient total positive samples in one or both groups could be included in the analysis. Microbe productivities were measured from a small gill tissue biopsy (2–3 filament tips), normalized to 0.5 μg/μl of RNA per sample after RNA purification.
Factors associated with mortality

Gross pathologies of many individuals at death included necrosis of skin, gill and muscle tissues and associated Saprolegnia spp. fungal infections in areas where the gill caused constriction or injury, such as behind the operculum (Fig. 6). However, not all individuals that died prematurely showed external signs of poor health. Many individuals failed to develop secondary sexual characteristics or ripen. Microbes measured in pooled tissues at death showed no significant change in prevalence with days surviving (logistic regression: all \( P \)-values > 0.05), likely due to the high prevalence of most microbes. Relative productivity of positively detected microbes, however, did show variation with time (Fig. 7, Table 5). Flavobacterium psychrophilum and C. shasta exhibited negative relationships with days surviving (\( F. \) psychrophilum: slope \( = -0.07, r^2 = 0.250, P < 0.001 \); \( C. \) shasta: slope \( = -0.07, r^2 = 0.195, P < 0.001 \)). Positive relationships were evaluated for \( I. \) multifiliis (slope \( = 0.09, r^2 = 0.141, P < 0.001 \)), RLO (slope \( = 0.08, r^2 = 0.168, P < 0.001 \)) and \( P. \) minibicornis (slope \( = 0.16, r^2 = 0.712, P < 0.001 \)). The relationship of \( C. \) B. cysticola with days surviving was positive with a shallow slope (slope \( = 0.04, r^2 = 0.074, P = 0.016 \)), and \( L. \) salmonae showed no significant relationship (\( P = 0.967 \)).

The agreement between gill and pooled tissue positive microbe detections was relatively high overall, ranging from 69 to 99% total agreement (Table 5). Ceratonia nova shasta exhibited the lowest total agreement, primarily attributable to negative detection in gill and positive in pooled tissue, which was the most common source of disagreement (e.g. for \( F. \) psychrophilum, \( L. \) salmonae, Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae, \( I. \) multifiliis), suggesting a potential for false negatives if only the gill tissue is used for screening. Coefficients of variation between estimated copy numbers of positively detected microbes were relatively consistent and all less than one. The lowest was found in \( C. \) shasta (slope \( = 0.06, r^2 = 0.01, P = 0.51 \)), primarily due to an outlier, though the relationship still showed high variability excluding the outlier (slope \( = 0.11, r^2 = 0.22, P < 0.01 \)). Ichthyophthirius multifiliis and RLO had the strongest and tightest relationships between methods (slopes \( > 0.43 \), \( r^2 > 0.74 \), \( P < 0.01 \)), likely due to their isolation within gill tissue and subsequently consistent dilution in the aqueous tissue pool with other tissue types following tissue homogenization. Increased variability in the relationship between the methods with increasing productivity was evident in five out of the nine microbes evaluated (\( P \)-values < 0.01); \( C. \) shasta, \( K. \) thyrsites and \( T. \) bryosalmonae exhibited too much variability overall or too few observations to ascertain heteroscedasticity and \( F. \) psychrophilum showed marginal non-significance (\( P = 0.08 \)). Spearman’s rank correlations revealed a strong correlation between the productivities of \( I. \) multifiliis and RLO (\( r = 0.92 \)) and moderate correlation between the bacteria \( F. \) psychrophilum and \( C. \) B. cysticola (\( r = 0.41 \)). All other correlations were low (\( r \leq 0.35 \)).

Microbe prevalence in fish sacrificed at spawning grounds was similar to that of fish sacrificed riverside at Yale, BC and held in the laboratory (Table 2). Some microbes showed higher prevalence at spawning grounds, including \( F. \) psychrophilum (100% at spawning grounds, 55% among held fish), \( T. \) bryosalmonae (85% spawning grounds, 4% held) and Sphaerotilus destruens (23% spawning grounds, 1% held).

Microbe productivity and host responses

NMDS analysis of pooled tissue microbe data from individuals at death (including premature mortalities and survivors euthanized at the close of the spawning period) was successful in reducing the data into two-dimensional ordination (stress \( = 0.22 \), \( P = 0.001 \), Fig. 8). Fitted gill gene expression biomarkers significantly correlated with the ordination gradient at \( P < 0.01 \) for MHCIIb, JUN, IL11, B2M, TF, C7, IgMs and MMP13, at \( P < 0.05 \) for RGI, Mx, SHOP21 and HSC70, and at \( P < 0.10 \) for HSP90, IRF1 and ATP5G3C. Clinical variables significant at \( P < 0.01 \) included plasma chloride and sodium, while cortisol, lactate, osmolality, haematocrit and muscle lipid were significant at \( P < 0.05 \). Longevity (days surviving) significantly correlated with the ordination gradient (\( P = 0.004 \)), positively with NMDS1 and negatively with NMDS2, but treatment and sex did not (\( P \)-values = 0.13 and 0.82, respectively).

Flavobacterium psychrophilum and \( C. \) shasta were close in ordination space, negative on NMDS1 and positive on NMDS2. Expression of TF, C7, HSP90, JUN, SHOP21, IL11 and MMP13 as well as plasma cortisol, lactate,
haematocrit and muscle lipid shared ordination space with C. shasta and F. psychrophilum, Parvicapsula minibicornis and Ca. B. cysticola were opposite in ordination space to F. psychrophilum and C. shasta, positive on NMDS1 and neutral on NMDS2, and associated with gene expression biomarkers RIGI (primarily P. minibicornis), IRF1, B2M, MHCIIB, Mx and IgMs, and the plasma variables sodium, chloride and osmolality, and host longevity. RLO and I. multifiliis were close in ordination space and to Ca. B. cysticola, falling neutral on NMDS1 and negative on NMDS2, directly opposite to

Figure 7: Relative productivity (log RNA copy number) of Flavobacterium psychrophilum, Ceratonova shasta, Ichthyophthirius multifiliis, Rickettsia-like organism, Loma salmonae, Candidatus Branchiomonas cysticola and Parvicapsula minibicornis as a function of days surviving for adult Early Stuart sockeye salmon. Each point represents the microbe burden of an individual at death; colour corresponds to treatment, with severe (20 min) entanglement in black, mild entanglement (20 s) in dark blue, biopsied controls in light blue and non-biopsied controls in white. Screening for microbes was conducted using a pool of aqueous phase from seven homogenized tissues including gill, liver, spleen, head kidney, heart, white muscle and brain (alternated every other individual). All relationships (linear models on positive detections) were significant (P < 0.05), except for L. salmonae (P = 0.97); model parameters can be found in the text.
Our results provide further evidence for delayed mortality following a capture stressor and illustrate influences of entanglement duration, sex and infectious disease processes on the survival of sockeye salmon in freshwater when exposed to a realistic temperature profile. Although severe entanglement (20 min) showed the greatest reduction in overall survival and days surviving, even a brief (20 s) encounter had a profound consequence, impacting both fish condition and survival. The 60% post-release mortality rate currently applied to Early Stuart sockeye released from Fraser River gillnet fisheries is similar to the raw mortality rates of 20 s and 20 min entangled fish (54 and 73% mortality, respectively, sexes combined), but is high after incorporating the effects of holding and biopsy (11–43% and 30–62% mortality, respectively). It should be noted, however, that entanglement treatments applied in the present study (20 s and 20 min) were on the low end of entanglement durations experienced by bycatch in actual gillnet fishery practices (e.g. set times may be >60 min; Farrell et al., 2001; DFO, 2002). Capture severity has previously been associated with enhanced mortality of released sockeye salmon in both field (Baker and Schindler, 2009; Donaldson et al., 2012; Baker et al., 2013) and laboratory settings (Gale et al., 2011), with more severe capture conditions and injuries correlating with greater physiological disturbances. Similar responses have also been identified in coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) caught in marine gillnet fisheries (Farrell et al., 2001; Buchanan et al., 2002). In the present study, the bulk of mortality following entanglement in freshwater did not occur until 5 days after the event, continuing at high rates for another 5–7 days, then subsiding in both treatment groups. The growing body of evidence for delayed mortality following capture in freshwater did not occur until 5 days after the event, continuing at high rates for another 5–7 days, then subsiding in both treatment groups. The growing body of evidence for delayed mortality following capture in freshwater (Davis, 2002; Arlinghaus et al., 2007; Donaldson et al., 2010, 2012; Raby et al., 2012) and associated sex-specific effects (Martins et al., 2012b; Robinson et al., 2013; Donaldson et al., 2014; Gale et al., 2014) points to causal factors beyond short-term impacts, such as anaerobiosis or cardiovascular collapse, and instead towards interactions between the capture-related stress and infectious disease processes (Gilhousen, 1990; Raby et al., 2015) that can differ between sexes.

In the river, a 5–12 day delay would place mortality far upstream of the capture location, and certainly beyond the observation of the fishery, if released bycatch continued to swim upstream at estimated ground speeds (Rand and Hinch, 1998). For this reason and because this delay period is greater than the duration of most holding studies upon which management regulations are based (e.g. 24–48 h),
current regulations may be underestimating mortality of released catch (Patterson et al., 2017a, 2017b). Additional factors including repeated capture in heavily fished regions, areas of challenging flows (e.g. Hells Gate) and rising river temperatures may exacerbate capture stress and mortality. Mortality in the present study spiked when temperatures were >15°C, which would correspond to when individuals would be moving through the Nechako and Stuart rivers. These high temperatures could affect the virulence of microbes carried and encountered by the host as well as host resistance (Altizer et al., 2013), contributing to pre-spawn mortality at the spawning grounds (Macdonald et al., 2012). Although temperature is generally inversely correlated with discharge in the Fraser River (Patterson and Hague, 2007; Macdonald et al., 2010), inclusion of multiple temperature or flow treatments would help to elucidate the roles of temperature and flow as compounding factors of fisheries capture stress.

Two control groups were included in the present study to assess the effects of holding and additional handling on survival. Mortality was high among biopsied controls, with females experiencing almost twice the mortality of males, whereas non-biopsy controls survived extremely well. This same biopsy technique has been applied in field telemetry studies on sockeye in the Fraser River and has been associated with similar or lower survival to spawning grounds (Donaldson et al., 2010, 2011, 2012), but generally higher survival in marine or coastal waters (Cooke et al., 2005; Martins et al., 2012b). Consistently lower survival and fewer days surviving (6–7 days) for females in the present study could be attributed to the biopsy-sampling procedure or entanglement. All gillnet-treated fish were biopsied, and biopsied controls as well as gillnet-treated fish exhibited sex-specific mortality. Controlling for the effects of handling in experiments is difficult as all subjects must be tagged or handled for study. Because the sample size for our biopsied controls was low relative to other treatment groups, further investigation of the biopsy effect is needed before firm conclusions can be drawn as to its independent impact on adult salmon survival and physiology in freshwater. Collectively, these findings suggest high sensitivity to any level of handling during river migration, especially for females.

Physiological and infectious disease-associated effects of capture and handling were apparent immediately and in the days and weeks following entanglement. Enhanced anaerobic activity following entanglement, especially when compounded by an intracellular immune response in the gill, was predictive

Figure 8: NNMDS plot of microbe productivities within the pooled tissues (gill, liver, spleen, heart, kidney, muscle, brain) of 42 Early Stuart sockeye. Vectors represent correlated (P < 0.10) host gene expression and plasma/muscle biomarkers of stress, condition and immunity. Shapes designate sex (filled circles = females, filled triangles = males) and colour represents the severity of handling and experimental gillnet treatment, with lightest to darkest as non-biopsied controls, biopsied controls, 20-s gillnet-treated, and 20-min gillnet-treated fish, respectively. The size of points represents longevity, with the largest points living the longest.
of mortality. Because multiple infections were common at entanglement and at death, microbe species richness alone was a poor predictor of host survival. Fish that died prior to the spawning period showed elevated productivity of *F. psychrophilum* and *C. shasta* at death relative to survivors. These microbes were associated with enhanced indicators of stress and injury and diminished immune responses in dying fish. Conversely, productivity of the myxozoan parasite *P. miniliccornis* and several other microbes was positively associated with longevity and a strong immune response. Regulation of stress and immune biomarkers and blood properties at death therefore differed depending on microbe community composition, which was strongly associated with host longevity. This pattern suggests alternate responses to infections or opportunistic strategies of certain microbes that may influence post-release survival. The mechanisms of post-release mortality likely depend not only on the severity of the capture event (and likely sex), but on the condition and response of individuals prior to, during, and following capture, which are influenced by or associated with infectious disease processes.

**Short-term responses to capture and predictive factors of mortality**

Immediate and short-term responses to capture involved aspects of primary, secondary and tertiary features of the stress response (Barton and Iwama, 1991). High levels of plasma chloride following capture indicated osmoregulatory imbalance associated with a stress response, and has been previously documented in adult sockeye in freshwater stressed by both warm water (Jeffries et al., 2012a) and a simulated gillnet entanglement (Donaldson et al., 2012). Elevated plasma cortisol was associated with shifts in microbe community structure following capture and altered gene regulation in the gill suggestive of immunosuppressive effects. Reproductive hormones were also suppressed in the days following capture and many fish failed to develop secondary sexual characteristics, a phenomenon also observed in Alaskan sockeye salmon with injuries indicating escape from gillnet fisheries (Baker et al., 2013). Although delayed maturation observed in the present study could be due to either capture or holding (Patterson et al., 2004), this finding warrants further investigation into how salmon recover from an acute stressor under chronically stressful conditions. Chronic stressors such as high river temperature or discharge have been shown to reduce the reproductive output of Early Stuart sockeye salmon (Braun et al., 2013). Our results together with previous findings suggest that these animals are poorly equipped to manage the metabolic demands of long (>20 min) and sometimes even brief (20 s–3 min) capture durations, with potential carryover effects on reproduction (O’Connor et al., 2014).

Both immediate responses to and condition during capture—specifically elevated plasma lactate levels (i.e. anaerobic exercise) and Mx gene expression in gill—were predictive of survival to the spawning period of Early Stuart sockeye salmon. The importance of maintaining aerobic metabolism in bycatch is evident from the high plasma lactate levels of fish destined to die prior to the spawning period (even after mild entanglement), utilizing anaerobic respiration during entanglement and unable to clear metabolites (Jain and Farrell, 2003). Strenuous exercise and air exposure are common occurrences in bycatch scenarios, and both contribute to elevated lactate levels measured in blood plasma (Gale et al., 2011; Cooke et al., 2013). Although microbe productivity did not emerge as an important predictor of survival, expression of Mx—an interferon-induced antiviral protein—in gill was included in the predictive model. Elevated Mx expression has been associated with mortality of juvenile (Jeffries et al., 2014a) and adult sockeye (Miller et al., 2011), though the specific mechanisms driving this correlation are unknown. Viral infection was not identified as prevalent or correlated with Mx expression in this experiment, and *F. psychrophilum* and *C. shasta*, though identified as potential pathogens in this study, were not correlated with Mx expression. Despite the comprehensiveness of our screening approach, an unknown viral agent may have been present within these fish. Alternatively, expression patterns may be a relic of cleared, latent, or anticipated viral infection: freshwater-resident *Oncorhynchus mykiss* have shown overexpression of antiviral transcripts relative to out-migrating smolts, suggesting a modulation of immune defences towards viral pathogens in freshwater (Altizer et al., 2011; Sutherland et al., 2014). Enhanced expression of immune transcripts, including both cellular and humoral responses, have been documented in sockeye salmon during the spawning migration, corresponding to shifts in environmental and biotic factors (Evans et al., 2011). In the present study, relative expression of Mx at death was positively associated with days surviving, which may indicate modulation of this gene’s expression as part of the senescence trajectory of Pacific salmon. This temporal confounding would explain the lower Mx expression levels found in premature mortalities at death relative to survivors, with values more similar to those measured at entanglement. Regardless of its mechanism, elevated Mx expression in the presence of high plasma lactate levels was associated with an 85% chance of premature mortality, demonstrating an association between these factors that may suggest synergistic or additive effects on the likelihood of host survival.

**The role of microbes in post-release mortality**

Large-scale animal migrations have been identified as potential mitigation measures for disease epidemics that may otherwise greatly impact population dynamics (Altizer et al., 2011). Infectious agents therefore likely play a measurable role in determining survival of salmon during spawning migrations. This would especially be true for semelparous Pacific salmon with a fixed energy budget to fuel their
migration to natal waters where they will die soon after spawning (Rand et al., 2006). Alterations to historic migratory conditions, such as increased anthropogenic activities like fishing or hydrologic changes, may offset the balance of established host–parasite relationships by lessening the effectiveness of current life history strategies in attenuating disease proliferation within and among individuals. Premature mortality of adult spawners could therefore result from a number of related causes including an upset to the community dynamics of microbes carried by the host, diminished host resilience, enhanced susceptibility to infection, or a combination of these phenomena. Distinct host responses to microbe infections may influence survival following capture, as microbe community structure at death and host gene regulation were strongly associated with longevity in the present study. Two microbes in particular, F. psychrophilum and C. shasta, exhibited pathogenic characteristics, with short- and long-term changes in their prevalence or productivity and concurrent shifts in host physiology.

Short-term increases in F. psychrophilum prevalence following gillnet capture may signify a means of enhanced transmission among sockeye salmon en route to spawning grounds, potentially increasing the influence of this bacteria in intensely fished regions. Flavobacterium psychrophilum was present in all gillnet-treated fish at entanglement. Because the collection and treatment nets were not disinfected between fish, F. psychrophilum could have been transferred via the net—a possibility that certainly exists for a real fishery. Captivity may have artificially increased the prevalence of F. psychrophilum following capture, but may also reflect transmission dynamics that would occur naturally among migrating individuals packed in resting pools or in pre-spawning aggregations in the river (Gilhousen, 1990). This bacteria, which has been shown to increase in prevalence towards spawning grounds (Miller et al., 2014), excretes a psychrophilic protease causing lesions and necrosis in affected tissues and has been found to suppress humoral immune defences (Barnes and Brown, 2011). Indeed, we noted lesions on many moribund fish and aspects of the complement system’s membrane attack complex (C3, C4B) showed lower expression following capture. Prevalence in this study could also be considered a proxy for productivity as low RNA copy numbers, either below qPCR detection limits or in isolated regions of the gills not sampled, would produce negative detections; the likelihood of false negatives would be expected to decrease as infections intensify. Productivity of F. psychrophilum in the present study was also associated with decreased plasma ion levels (sodium, chloride) in both moribund and surviving fish. Osmoregulatory failure is predictive of mortality in Pacific salmon, with plasma ion and osmolality levels dropping by 20–40% prior to death (Jeffries et al., 2011). Our results demonstrate a link between the productivity of F. psychrophilum and osmoregulatory failure, which may result from opportunistic enhancement of F. psychrophilum in a compromised host or loss of ion homeostasis due to tissue damage caused by F. psychrophilum (Barnes and Brown, 2011).

Lower productivity of C. shasta in the days following capture could be attributed to the life cycle of this myxozoan parasite, as spores enter the host through the gills but migrate to the gut to mature (Bartholomew, 1998). Whether such pathogenesis could be initiated by acute stress is unknown; chronic thermal stress has been positively associated with ceratomyxosis and mortality of Klamath River salmon, but likely via enhanced spore densities in the river (Ray et al., 2012). An intermediate polychaete host releases infectious C. shasta spores into the river as adult salmon begin their upstream migration (Bartholomew et al., 1997); peaks or lulls in spore densities and the rate at which salmon pass through the lower river influence ‘dosage’ and subsequent infection intensities (Stocking et al., 2006). Throughout the period of collection (2 days), fish were randomized among treatment groups to reduce any time-associated bias in microbe productivities. As we did not include intestine in our tissue screen, C. shasta productivity measured here does not account for changes in the gut, which may have provided further information relative to its pathogenicity in Fraser River sockeye salmon. Furthermore, disagreement between detections in gill and pooled tissues suggests that pathogen screening via gill biopsy may underestimate the infection intensity of C. shasta. However, because C. shasta infects the host via the gill, this tissue provides some insight into infectious load, a key factor for host survival (Stocking et al., 2006). The presence of C. shasta within the pooled tissues (including gill) of all prematurely morbid gill-net-treated fish points to reduced survival of infected hosts.

Flavobacterium psychrophilum and C. shasta were most productive in the earliest mortalities at death and were associated with similar responses in both gill gene expression and plasma indices of host stress and immunity. This finding suggests either a mutualistic relationship between these microbes, similarly opportunistic productivity in dying hosts, or equally pathogenic effects. Although our terminal analysis did not identify a significant relationship of gillnet treatment with microbe community dynamics, most premature mortalities were experimentally entangled. Among dying fish, productivities of F. psychrophilum and C. shasta (to a lesser extent) negatively or neutrally correlated with relative expression of most intracellular immune genes (e.g. IgMs, Mx, MHCIIIB, B2M and IRF1), which may illustrate a relationship between immunosuppression and microbe productivity. This response may indicate an inability of the host to maintain an adequate defence against these pathogens in the days or weeks following capture. Downregulation of several immune response factors in the gill transcriptome of moribund fish has previously been described in adult sockeye salmon exposed to thermal stress, suggesting potential immunosuppression-linked mortality in stressed fish (Jeffries et al., 2012b). The few immune genes that were positively correlated with F. psychrophilum and C. shasta
indicated an innate pathogen defence, including compromised epithelial integrity and inflammation (MMP13, IL11; Trepcichio et al., 1996; Wang et al., 2005), pathogen lysis (C7; Gonzalez et al., 2007) and iron metabolism (TF; Raida and Buchmann, 2009). Enhanced expression of MMP13 and IL11 have also been associated with imminent mortality of Chilko sockeye smolts at the start of seaward migration (Jeffries et al., 2014a); because F. psychrophilum was not prevalent and C. sbasta (as well as other agents included here) not measured in Chilko smolts, such gene regulation may be part of a more universal response to handling stress.

Other microbes such as I. multifiliis, RLO, Ca. B. cysticola and P. minibicornis showed increasing productivity with days surviving and more positive associations with biomarkers of immunity at death. This association indicates an immune response from the host late in the migration rather than sooner (i.e. stronger immune responses among surviving fish during the spawning period). Mortality associated with these microbes would therefore be more likely to occur at spawning grounds instead of en route and thus not necessarily be attributable to capture but rather senescence. However, it is possible that some individuals that died prematurely had a decreased threshold for productivity of these microbes (Bradford et al., 2010). Their negative association with stress and wound healing biomarkers does not support an opportunistic pathogenicity in immune-compromised fish, but rather an elicited immune response by apparently non-stressed individuals in association with high microbe productivities. Ichthyophthirius multifiliis and RLO were clustered closely on the NMDS and their productivities were highly correlated, which supports an endosymbiosis previously identified between this ciliate and Rickettsia bacteria (Sun et al., 2009). Expression of the heat shock cognate 70 (HSC70), a molecular chaperone that has shown variable responses to stressors (Boone and Vijayan, 2002), was inversely correlated with I. multifiliis and RLO on the NMDS gradient. Although downregulation of this gene has been noted in salmon at spawning grounds (Miller et al., 2009), the relationship of HSC70 with longevity was weak relative to its association with I. multifiliis and RLO productivities. The mechanism for this inverse relationship warrants further investigation. Productivity of I. multifiliis, RLO, Ca. B. cysticola and P. minibicornis, as well as the expression of antibody (IgMs), intracellular (Mx, B2M), extracellular (MHCIIb) and antiviral (IFN1, RIG1) host genes, plasma osmolality and ions were all positively associated with host longevity. Because longevity was impacted by gillnet entanglement and handling, capture stress cannot be excluded as a potential modifier of infectious agent communities and host responses.

Loma salmonae, a microsporidian parasite known to infect sockeye salmon in BC (Shaw et al., 2000), showed lower prevalence in the days following capture. A bimodal distribution of productivities was present at collection but absent in the days following with more negative detections; low productivities could therefore have been reduced below detection limits while higher productivities remained detectable. The rupture of xenomas (spore aggregations in host cells) in gill lamellae and subsequent spore release and dispersal (Rodriguez-Tovar et al., 2011) could reduce prevalence by decreasing the probability of detection in gill. Elevated expression of the inflammation-associated collagenase MMP13 gene in gill could be attributed to xenoma rupture or, alternatively, F. psychrophilum infection (Kent and Speare, 2005; Langvin et al., 2012) or gillnet injury. Loma salmonae displayed unique characteristics at death among the microbes evaluated, showing no significant changes in productivity with time in moribund fish. Expression of ATP5G3C was associated with L. salmonae productivity (and I. multifiliis and RLO to a lesser degree), suggesting enhanced cellular energy needs in the gills of infected fish, potentially due to ruptured xenomas and damage to gill lamellae (Kent and Speare, 2005). This parasite was not strongly associated with survival of Early Stuart sockeye in this study, though productivity measured in severely gillnetted fish at death was generally higher than in other groups (data not shown). A negative impact of L. salmonae infection on the survival of adult Chilko sockeye tagged in the marine environment (Miller et al., 2014) may suggest that associated losses are stock-specific or occur closer to the river mouth than where our fish were collected.

Collectively, these changes in microbe productivity and prevalence suggest a dynamic community and warrant further investigation into their natural trajectories throughout the entire migration period. Microbe prevalence in the laboratory was similar to that measured at spawning grounds, though sample sizes were limited. However, some pathogenic microbes including F. psychrophilum and T. bryosalmonae (etiologic agent of proliferative kidney disease; Longshaw et al., 2002) were more prevalent at spawning grounds; fish held in the relatively sterile laboratory environment may therefore be protected from additional infectious agents affecting fish in the river, which could have enhanced their survival (Benda et al., 2015). Causal relationships between microbe infections and changes in host responses have yet to be established and will require an improved understanding of the baseline trajectories of microbe productivities and host responses over time in wild fish.

**Sex-specific differences**

Females showed more susceptibility to impairment imposed by handling stress, with unique physiological changes relative to males and consistently greater (and earlier) mortality among biopsied controls and gillnet-treated fish. Given that biopsied controls experienced high sex-specific mortality, extrapolating this sex effect beyond experimental handling is speculative. However, sex-specific effects have been repeatedly documented in response to capture stress with respect to survival and physiological effects (Martins et al., 2012b; Robinson et al., 2013; Donaldson et al., 2014; Gale et al., 2014). Martins et al. (2012a) noted, for example, that females exhibited lower survival when compounding stressors (e.g.
temperature and hydraulic challenges) were acting simultaneously. Individuals in the present study were exposed to a similar thermal experience to those travelling in the river, which corresponds to an increase in temperature with time in the first 1–2 weeks of the migration until thermal refugia can be accessed (Rand and Hinch, 1998). Female sockeye salmon have been shown to perform poorly when exposed to chronic high temperatures, with lower survival among females held at 19°C relative to those held at 13°C and overall lower survival relative to males (Jeffries et al., 2014b), but few transcriptomic differences between sexes. Sex-specific differences in gene expression were identified at the time of collection in the present study, with females exhibiting more consistently elevated expression of immune-related gene transcripts in gill than males, suggesting that females may differ in their response to migratory stress in the river. As a secondary stress response, short-term differences in glucose levels following capture were also sex specific, pointing to a stress-related release of glucose needed to support aerobic respiration in females that is absent in males (Barton, 2002), though possibly due to holding rather than capture (Donaldson et al., 2011). Causal factors for higher female mortality during river migration have yet to be fully characterized.

Conclusions

Post-release mortality of adult salmon has repeatedly been shown to be context specific and dependent on numerous factors (Raby et al., 2015). The mechanisms of mortality following capture are therefore complex and include internal and external influences (Fenkes et al., 2016). In addition to previously identified factors, such as temperature and sex, our results support a role for capture severity and infectious disease processes in impacting the survival of released catch. Anaerobic activity in concert with an intracellular immune response was associated with decreased long-term survival to the spawning period. The benefits of removing bycatch from the net quickly and under-water, though already embraced by some fishers as best practices, should be widely disseminated among user groups. The degree to which temperature impacts post-release mortality rates deserves further investigation, as the majority of premature mortality occurred 5–12 days after entanglement, when temperatures were at their highest. Early Stuart sockeye navigate a balance of challenging migratory conditions, when the timing of river entry coincides with high flows often accompanied by lower temperatures or high temperatures with generally more manageable flows (Morrison et al., 2002). Projected climate-associated changes to Fraser River hydrology include a shift in the timing of the spring freshet to earlier in the year, which would result in elevated river temperatures during the historical migration period of Early Stuart sockeye (Morrison et al., 2002; Patterson et al., 2007). These changes have been associated with elevated levels of both en route and pre-spawn mortality, likely due to stress and disease processes as well as enhanced energy consumption by migrating sockeye salmon utilizing a fixed energy budget (Gilhousen, 1990; Macdonald, 2000; Crossin et al., 2008; Martins et al., 2012b; Fenkes et al., 2016).

The observed delay in post-release mortality not only has implications for how managers assign mortality rates to release fisheries, but alludes to its causes. Interactions between anaerobic activity, changes in microbe community dynamics, and shifts in local (gill gene expression) and systemic (plasma hormones and metabolites) stress and immune responses affect the chances of survival following capture. Although our experimental design prohibited direct comparison of treatment groups at death, most fish exposed to severe gillnet entanglement died prematurely, showing higher productivity of F. psychrophilum and C. shasta and demonstrating response profiles distinct from survivors. A more complete understanding of the natural trajectory of infectious agent productivities and host responses will improve our understanding of how stressors modulate these factors throughout migration and should be a top priority for future research. Our findings offer insight into the linkages between physiology, infectious agents and post-release survival following gillnet capture, thereby improving our understanding of the mechanisms contributing to mortality of released catch.
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