Spin-dependent quantum interference in photoemission process from spin-orbit coupled states
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Spin–orbit interaction entangles the orbitals with the different spins. The spin–orbital-entangled states were discovered in surface states of topological insulators. However, the spin–orbital-entanglement is not specialized in the topological surface states. Here, we show the spin–orbital texture in a surface state of Bi(111) by laser-based spin- and angle-resolved photoelectron spectroscopy (laser-SARPES) and describe three-dimensional spin-rotation effect in photoemission resulting from spin-dependent quantum interference. Our model reveals that, in the spin–orbit-coupled systems, the spins pointing to the mutually opposite directions are independently locked to the orbital symmetries. Furthermore, direct detection of coherent spin phenomena by laser-SARPES enables us to clarify the phase of the dipole transition matrix element responsible for the spin direction in photoexcited states. These results permit the tuning of the spin polarization of optically excited electrons in solids with strong spin–orbit interaction.
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Strongly spin–orbit-coupled materials such as Rashba systems and topological insulators have been intensively studied not only because of fundamental scientific interest on unique spin textures of the surface states but also realizing spintronic devices. In a standard model of the spin texture on the spin–orbit-coupled materials, the spin is locked to the momentum of an electron, resulting in a single-chiral spin texture. However, this picture is incomplete to describe the spin texture of the real system. Remarkably, the entangled spin–orbital textures on a topological insulator, Bi2Se3 (refs 8–13), and a Rashba-type ternary alloy, BiFe14.15, were revealed experimentally and theoretically; the spin texture is locked to the orbital texture of the bands. The spin–orbital-entanglement is a general consequence of the strong spin–orbit coupling, and thus is important not only for surface states but also bulk states.

In this article, we report on the spin–orbital texture of a surface state of an elemental Bi(111), which was considered to show the single-chiral spin texture, investigated by spin- and angle-resolved photoelectron spectroscopy using a vacuum ultraviolet laser (laser-SARPES). We establish a general description of the spin–orbital texture in even–odd parity symmetry. Moreover, we draw a new concept to determine the phase of the spin–orbital-entanglement and the laser field. We elucidate that the phase governing the spin direction in the final spinor field.

Results
Spin–orbital texture on a mirror plane. All of the angle-resolved photoelectron spectroscopy (ARPES) and SARPES data were acquired with the fixed experimental geometry shown in Fig. 1a. Figure 1b displays an ARPES intensity image recorded in a ΓM mirror plane on the Bi(111) surface. The spin-split surface states exhibit upward energy dispersions while the band dispersing downward from the Γ point is attributed to a bulk state. The results agree well with previous reports.

The laser-SARPES measurements were performed at selected k cuts with the s- and p-light-polarizations as shown in Fig. 2a–h. In each light-polarization condition, the y component of the spin polarization ($P_y$) is inverted with respect to the Γ point and the absolute values of $P_y$ at $k_1$ and $k_2$ are almost 100%. Moreover, we observed the $P_y$ reversal at each fixed k point with switching the light polarization, whereas there was no spin polarization in the $x$ and $z$ directions ($P_{x,z}$) (Supplementary Fig. 1; Supplementary Note 1).

The wavefunction of the surface state can be decomposed into the symmetric ($|\psi_{even}\rangle$) and anti-symmetric ($|\psi_{odd}\rangle$) parts with respect to the mirror plane of the crystal. According to the dipole selection rule of photoemission, only the $|\psi_{odd}\rangle$ ($|\psi_{even}\rangle$) state is excited with the s- (p-) light-polarized light. The results of the laser-SARPES indicate that each spin-polarized branch consists of the linear combination of $|\psi_{even}\rangle$ and $|\psi_{odd}\rangle$ states or $|\psi_{even}\rangle$ and $|\psi_{odd}\rangle$ states (Fig. 2i). Recent orbital-parity-based studies of the spin-polarized surface states on W(110) and Bi2Se3 also came to the essentially same conclusions.

To understand the reversal spin polarization in the mirror plane, we establish a model based on spinors coupled to the $|\psi_{even}\rangle$ and $|\psi_{odd}\rangle$ states. The initial states of the spin-lifted wavefunctions are denoted by

$$\Psi = \left(\begin{array}{c}
\psi_{\uparrow} \\
\psi_{\downarrow}
\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c}
|\psi_{even,\uparrow}\rangle \\
|\psi_{odd,\uparrow}\rangle
\end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c}
|\psi_{even,\downarrow}\rangle \\
|\psi_{odd,\downarrow}\rangle
\end{array}\right).$$

Here, we introduce a mirror-reflection operator $\hat{M}$. Note, the spin-quantiization axis is defined as a direction perpendicular to the mirror plane, which corresponds to the $y$ direction in the present system. As a consequence of the mirror operation of equation (1), we obtain the following equation;

$$\hat{M}\Psi = \left(\begin{array}{c}
-\psi_{even,\downarrow} + \psi_{odd,\downarrow} \\
\psi_{even,\uparrow} + \psi_{odd,\uparrow}
\end{array}\right).$$

Thus, the eigenfunctions of the mirror eigenvalues $+i$ and $-i$ are given by

$$\Psi_{+i} = \left(\begin{array}{c}
\psi_{even,\uparrow} \\
\psi_{odd,\uparrow}
\end{array}\right) \text{ and } \Psi_{-i} = \left(\begin{array}{c}
\psi_{odd,\uparrow} \\
\psi_{even,\uparrow}
\end{array}\right).$$

From this simple calculation, we reveal that the spins pointing to the mutually opposite directions with respect to the mirror plane are locked to the even and odd parts of the spin-lifted states. This concept not only clearly explains the present results but also is generally applicable for explaining the spin–orbital texture on the...
The spin expectation values of these states are calculated with the Pauli matrices \( s_x \), \( s_y \), and \( s_z \): the \( y \) spin component can be finite while the \( x \) and \( z \) spin components are strictly 0 (Supplementary Note 2).

Now, we show the calculated band structure of the surface state on Bi(111) in Fig. 3a. Figure 3b exhibits spin expectation values of the lower surface band as a function of the wave number on \( \Gamma M \): the spin expectation values of the \( |\psi_{\text{even}}\rangle \) and \( |\psi_{\text{odd}}\rangle \) states are \( +1 \) and \( -1 \), respectively. Here, the spin polarization rapidly reduced near the \( \Gamma M \) point can arise from the hybridization with the bulk states. By contrast, for the upper surface band (Fig. 3c), the spin expectation values of the \( |\psi_{\text{even}}\rangle \) and \( |\psi_{\text{odd}}\rangle \) states are fully reversed. These results agree with equation (3). The net spin polarizations of the surface states, represented in Fig. 3a, result from the summation of the spin expectation values with taking the weight of each spin–orbital-coupled state.

Spin-dependent quantum interference of photoelectron. Even if the mirror symmetry governs the spin orientation in the initial states, rotating the electric-field vector of the incident linearly polarized light can break the mirror symmetry of the experimental geometry, which leads to the spin polarization of photoelectrons in the \( x \) and \( z \) directions (Supplementary Fig. 2;
Supplementary Note 1). This is produced by the spin-dependent interference of the wavefunctions, resulting from simultaneous excitation of the $|\psi_{\text{even},y}\rangle$ and $|\psi_{\text{odd},y}\rangle$ (or $|\psi_{\text{odd},y}\rangle$ and $|\psi_{\text{even},y}\rangle$) states. When we consider the eigenstates ($|\Psi_{\pm}\rangle$), the spin polarization $P_{x,y,z}$ of photoelectrons and the photoelectron intensity $I_{\text{total}}$ are expressed as a function of the light-polarization angle ($\theta$) from the mirror plane (Fig. 1a) as (Supplementary Note 2)

$$
\begin{align*}
P_x &= \frac{2u\sin \theta \tan \phi}{1 + u^2 \tan^2 \phi}, \\
P_y &= \frac{1 - u^2 \tan^2 \phi}{1 + u^2 \tan^2 \phi}, \\
P_z &= \frac{2u \cos \theta \tan \phi}{1 + u^2 \tan^2 \phi}, \\
I_{\text{total}}(\theta) &= \cos^2 \theta + u^2 \sin^2 \theta,
\end{align*}
$$

with the following ratio between the dipole matrix elements in photoemission:

$$
\frac{\langle \psi_{\text{final}}|A \cdot p|\psi_{\text{odd},y}\rangle}{\langle \psi_{\text{final}}|A \cdot p|\psi_{\text{even},y}\rangle} = xu^2z.
$$

Here, $A$ is the vector potential of the light, $p$ the momentum operator and $\psi_{\text{final}}$ a final-state wavefunction that is assumed to be spin-degenerated for simplicity. Then, $x$ represents a phase difference between the dipole matrix elements from the even and odd states, and $u$ is an absolute value of the complex number. As a consequence of the equation (4), the signs of $P_x$ and $P_z$ can be classified into four classes depending on the value of $x$ (Fig. 4a–d).

To demonstrate the above prospect, we showed the observed $\theta$ dependence of $P_{x,y,z}$ at $k_4$ in Fig. 4e,f since the $|\psi_{\text{even},y}\rangle$ and $|\psi_{\text{odd},y}\rangle$ states exhibit the 100% spin polarization in the initial states. The $P_{x,y,z}$ oscillate as a function of $\theta$ as expected. The $P_z$ is to be zero at $\theta = 60^\circ$ and $120^\circ$, indicating that photoelectrons from the $|\psi_{\text{even},y}\rangle$ and $|\psi_{\text{odd},y}\rangle$ states cancel out each other at these angles. By contrast, the $|P_{x,z}|$ are almost zero at $\theta = 0^\circ$, $90^\circ$ and $180^\circ$, and exhibit maximum values at $\theta = 60^\circ$ and $120^\circ$. The signs of $P_x$ and $P_z$ are negative (positive) with $0 < \theta < 90^\circ$ ($90^\circ < \theta < 180^\circ$). Thus, we can immediately judge $\pi < x < 3\pi/2$ at $k_4$ using Fig. 4a–d. The experimental results of $P_{x,y,z}$ and the intensity were well reproduced by the equation (4) with $u = 0.62$ and $x = 1.3\pi$. Here, we note that the $\theta$ dependence of $P_{x,y,z}$ should be changed with changing the photon energy since the photoexcited states, that is, the spin-dependent matrix elements, are different.

### Discussion

The electron–photon interaction Hamiltonian of photoemission is given by the three terms corresponding to the dipole transition, surface photoemission, and spin–orbit coupling. In the earlier theoretical work, the spin rotation effect in photoemission was discussed with both spin-conserving and spin-flipping transitions with employing the dipole transition and spin–orbit terms in the interaction Hamiltonian. Subsequently, Jozwiak et al. experimentally demonstrated that the spin polarization of photoelectrons from the surface state of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ is largely changed compared with that of the initial state, which was explained by the spin-flip transition in photoemission: they considered the average spin texture in the initial state, but not the spin–orbit texture. In the present study, we demonstrate that the spin polarization of the photoelectrons excited by the linearly polarized light is successfully explained only with the dipole transition term in the interaction Hamiltonian with taking the mirror symmetry and the spin–orbital texture into account. It has not yet been established how important the spin rotation contribution to photoexcitation arising from the spin–orbit term is. In fact, Wissing et al. pointed out that the relativistic corrections of the dipole operator would be negligibly small corrections to the spin polarization of the photoelectrons, while in the photoemission study using the circularly polarized light it was discussed that the spin–orbit term in the interaction Hamiltonian is generally strong for systems with heavy elements.

For another mechanism of the spin rotation, a layer-dependent interference effect in photoemission process was proposed. This mechanism is only achievable in the system with the layer-dependent spin–orbital texture, and realizes the spin control of photoelectrons only by varying photon energy. The present concept is essentially different from this scheme. The spin rotation over three dimension results from simultaneous optical excitation of the linearly-combined even and odd parts of the wavefunctions, and thus the spin direction of photoelectron can be readily controlled just by tuning linear-polarization axis of the light with the fixed photon energy. This concept is comprehensive...
the degree of spin polarization is only 50% (ref. 29). On the other hand, the present experimental results clearly show the 100% spin polarization of photoelectron (Fig. 4g), as theoretically predicted in the former report26, and its direction readily controllable just by tuning the linear photon polarization. A technique using the quantum-mechanical phase degree of freedom opens new avenues for the optical spin control.

Methods

Sample preparation. The Bi sample was in situ prepared in a molecular beam epitaxy chamber connected to the analysis chamber. We used n-type Si(111) substrates. A clean Si(111) surface was prepared by flushing at 1,420 K. Then, Bi with the thickness of 100 bilayers (BL) was deposited onto the clean Si(111)-7 × 7 surface at room temperature from a Knudsen cell30. The deposition rate was calibrated by observing well-known quantum-well-states on the Bi film by ARPES31. The Bi film exhibits a sharp (1 × 1) low-energy electron-diffraction pattern and an excellent Fermi surface image by ARPES.

Laser-ARPES and SARPES measurements. Our ARPES and SARPES measurements using an ultraviolet laser were performed at the Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo32. Our laser system provides 6.994-eV photons33. Photoelectrons were analysed with a combination of a ScientaOmicron DA30L analyzer and twin very-low-energy-electron-diffraction (VLEED) type spin detectors. The experimental geometry is represented in Fig. 1a. The light incident plane is in the x−z plane on the sample axis, which corresponds to the ΓM mirror plane. We used linearly polarized light, and the direction of its electric-field vector is arbitrarily adjustable between the p- and s-polarizations. Rotation angle of the electric-field vector is given by θ, where the light is of the p-(s)-polarization at θ = 0° and 180° (90°). The energy and angular resolutions were set to 6 meV and no longer needs the layer-dependent interference picture to demonstrate the optical spin control.

Furthermore, the present concept is applicable not only to the present system but to the other |ψ_even⟩ and |ψ_odd⟩ mixed systems. In the case of Bi2Se3, the sign of P is the same as Bi(111), but the sign of Pz opposite19. Thus, the phase difference of the matrix elements should be in the range of 3π/2 < y < 2π in accordance with Fig. 4d: the Px,v,z were well fitted with u = 0.45 and z = 1.6π. This indicates that the phase difference is a material-inherent variable.

So far, in the photoelectron spectroscopy, one has observed only the intensity of photoelectrons, meaning that the phase information of the dipole matrix element has been lost. By contrast, the three-dimensional SARPES with varying linear-polarization angle provides the phase information that is essential to describe the nature of the spin polarization of the photoexcited electron. The combination of three-dimensional SARPES and the linear-polarization-controlled laser is an innovative tool for quantum-mechanical understanding of the photoexcitation process.

The results offer opportunities for photocathodes as highly spin-polarized electron sources. The disadvantage of commonly used GaAs photocathodes as spin-polarized electron sources is that it is hard to tune the direction of the spin polarization and that the degree of spin polarization is only 50% (ref. 29). On the other hand, the present experimental results clearly show the 100% spin polarization of photoelectron (Fig. 4g), as theoretically predicted in the former report26, and its direction readily controllable just by tuning the linear photon polarization. A technique using the quantum-mechanical phase degree of freedom opens new avenues for the optical spin control.
and 0.7°, respectively. The sample temperature was kept at 15 K during the laser-SARPES measurements.

Electronic band structure calculation. The first-principles calculation was performed using the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP)34. The projector augmented wave (PAW) method35 was used in the plane-wave calculation. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) by Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof (PBE)36 was used for the exchange-correlation potential. The spin–orbit interaction was included. The cut-off energy was 110 eV. The Bi film was modelled by a free-standing 30-Bl. Bi(111) slab. The slabs in the repeated slab structure were separated by vacuums with a thickness more than 10 Å. Atom positions in the slab were taken from the experimental data shown in ref. 37.

Data availability. The data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on request.
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