A proline insertion-deletion in the spike glycoprotein fusion peptide of mouse hepatitis virus strongly alters neuropathology
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Abstract
Fusion peptides (FP) in spike proteins are key players mediating early events in cell-to-cell fusion, vital for intercellular viral spread. A proline residue located at the central FP region has often been suggested to have a distinctive role in this fusion event. The spike glycoprotein from strain RSA59 (PP) of mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) contains two central, consecutive prolines in the FP. Here, we report that deletion of one of these proline residues, resulting in RSA59 (P), significantly affected neural cell syncytia formation and viral titers post-infection in vitro. Transcranial inoculation of C57Bl/6 mice with RSA59 (PP) or RSA59 (P) yielded similar degrees of necrotizing hepatitis and meningitis, but only RSA59 (PP) produced widespread encephalitis that extended deeply into the brain parenchyma. By day 6 post-infection, both virus variants were mostly cleared from the brain. Interestingly, inoculation with the RSA59 (P)–carrying MHV significantly reduced demyelination at the chronic stage. We also found that the presence of two consecutive prolines in FP promotes a more ordered, compact, and rigid structure in the spike protein. These effects on FP structure were due to proline’s unique stereochemical properties intrinsic to its secondary amino acid structure, revealed by molecular dynamics and NMR experiments. We
therefore propose that the differences in the severity of encephalitis and demyelination between RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) arise from the presence or absence, respectively, of the two consecutive prolines in FP. Our studies define a structural determinant of MHV entry in the brain parenchyma important for altered neuropathogenesis.

Introduction

The fusion of the viral envelope with the host cell membrane can lead to the entry of the viral genome either through pore formation or the internalization of the virus into the host cell following endocytosis. This process has been widely studied using mutagenesis (1), structural biology (2), and fluorescence resonance transfer assays (3), coupled with in vitro studies, such as lipid systems involving synthetic peptides (4). However, little is known about the contribution of individual residues to this process and the downstream consequences such as pathogenesis. Some consensus exists, such as the role of a cleavage site in fusion proteins in enhancing fusogenic activity, a hydrophobicity requirement of residues in the FP to facilitate membrane disruption, and a possible role of the heptad repeat during the fusion process and viral entry.

Viral FPs are typically short, apolar and alanine/glycine-rich segments of the fusion protein, which are believed to participate in early events of the virus-host contact process (5). These polypeptides tend to show high amino acid residue conservation within a virus family, but little similarity across families (1). The location of the FP varies in the primary structure of the fusion protein. It is often located adjacent to heptad repeats that preferentially interact with host lipid bilayers. Ideally, the FPs need to be membranotropic, yet in several cases they also include charged residues (2) and contain a proline residue at the center (6). The specific role of proline in FP has been experimentally investigated through mutation studies in several viruses, such as avian sarcoma/leukosis virus (7), Ebola virus (8), vesicular stomatitis virus (9), and hepatitis C virus (10). Interestingly, central proline-containing FPs are present across different classes of fusion proteins, although they are not conserved. This suggests that their role in the fusion process may be important but not always essential.

Although FP or fusion protein sequences are largely conserved within virus species and strains, small variations impart diversity and complexity to the fusion process that makes residue contributions difficult to elucidate. For example, a central proline in the FP of spike glycoprotein of the betacoronavirus genus appears to carry a variety of fusion mechanisms. In the JHM strain of MHV, fusion and entry can occur directly at the cell surface, either after receptor binding or endocytosis, or both (11). Based on the strain of MHV and host cell type, the fusion mechanism can differ and at times may appear distinct from each other, as observed in the case of MHV-A59 and MHV-2 (12,13). Herein, MHV-A59 with a H716D mutation in the spike protein was found to be deficient in cell-cell fusion due to cleavage impairment (14). The alteration of the cleavage site sequence in MHV-A59 (corresponding to the MHV-2 cleavage sequence) also delayed cell-cell fusion, whereas the MHV-2 strain spike itself may not be cleaved at all for fusion. Interestingly, the MHV-A59 spike protein derived from mouse liver homogenates was not found to be cleaved at all, suggesting that cleavage is not essential for entry and spread in vivo (15). Even with low pH, which can at times act as a trigger, does not show a consistent trend, as a cleavage-competent (cleavage-site engineered) MHV-2 spike protein has been shown to cause infection even at neutral pH (13). Two other mechanisms that are known to activate fusion through specific interactions with target cell receptors (like the most common CEACAM1 receptor) at neutral pH, or receptor priming at neutral pH followed by activation at a low pH, do not clarify the existing ambiguities regarding the precise combination of factors required to successfully initiate and drive each fusion process. Without knowledge of the exact combination of factors dominating a given fusion process, our understanding of this very important event remains incomplete, impairing our ability to fundamentally understand the origins of virus infection.

In 1990, Chambers et al. proposed an internal FP (929-944) as a candidate fusion domain based on its hydrophobicity and location adjacent to the heptad repeat domains in the
demyelinating strain (MHV-A59). Previous studies in MHV-A59 FP have shown that mutagenesis/substitution of the methionine residue at position 936 with lysine (M936K) or leucine (M936L) of the 929-944 domains did not affect fusion. However, while substitution of the proline residue at position 938 with lysine (P938K) partially impaired fusion, replacing the same proline residue with a leucine residue did not have any effect on fusion (17). No studies are available to understand whether two consecutive proline residues play a role in demyelinating strain fusogenecity.

We were interested in identifying the distinct role of the central proline in the fusion peptide and whether its insertion/deletion could alter the kinetics of the fusion process and consequent neuropathogenesis. Thus, we engineered an internal FP mutant of MHV in which one proline has been deleted from RSA59, an isogenic spike protein recombinant strain of MHV (engineered from the parental strain MHV-A59), which encodes a spike glycoprotein that is expressed on the virion envelope. Spike protein mediates many biological properties of MHV, including receptor attachment, virus cell fusion during entry, cell-to-cell fusion during viral spread and immune activation (18-20). The original RSA59 strain FP contains two consecutive prolines and enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP). For the control study, we used RSMHV2, an isogenic recombinant strain of MHV-A59 (background genes are from the demyelinating strain MHV-A59) except for the spike protein. RSMHV2 encodes the spike protein from the non-fusogenic/non-demyelinating parental strain MHV-2. RSA59 and RSMHV2 differ in their ability to cause cell-to-cell fusion, as well as their ability to cause demyelination (20-22). The RSMHV2 strain FP differs from RSA59 FP with only one central proline. Table 1a and b depicts the nomenclature of all the parental recombinant strains and mutant strains. In vitro and in vivo studies were conducted to check the alteration of viral entry, spread and neuropathogenesis of each strain. These were combined with computational and structural biology studies on the FP and spike protein fusion domain to understand the specific role of proline in altering the fusion phenotype. The studies reveal why and to what extent a central proline in FP of MHV can alter the fusion determinant and pathogenesis and provide generic insight into factors that play an important role in this process. The findings also reveal a suitable system to expand the study of cellular mechanisms of MHV spread, and pathogenesis. Our study helps to understand how specific amino acid mutations in FP may result in alterations of virus infectivity and if this insight can be used for therapeutic purposes.

Results

In silico and structural biology

Overall structure of the MHV coronavirus fusion core

The MHV spike protein is a 180-kDa protein consisting of two subunits: S1 and S2. The S1 subunit contains the receptor binding domain (RBD), and the S2 subunit contains an N-terminal fusion peptide (FP), two heptad repeats (HR): HR1 and HR2 domain, a transmembrane domain (TM) and an intracellular cytoplasmic tail (IC) (Fig. 1a). Fig.1b illustrates the entire amino acid sequence alignment of the MHV-A59 and MHV2 S2 domains highlighting FP and the cleavage signal site. Alignment of the full-length protein is shown in Fig. S1.

MHV strains differ in fusogenic properties

RSA59 (PP) and RSMHV2 (P) both could infect Neuro2A (N2a), but they differed significantly in their cytopathic/ fusogenic properties, as revealed by the size, rate and degree of syncytial formation (Fig. 1c). Upon RSA59 (PP) infection, N2a cells started to fuse as early as 12 h (data not shown) and formed profuse syncytia, which commenced to increase with time until 24 h. At 24 h postinfection (p.i.), most of the giant syncytia started to dissolve, as all the infected cells had lysed. In contrast, RSMHV2 (P)-infected individual cells rarely formed syncytia even after 24 h p.i. The experiments were repeated five times under the same conditions.

Sequence analysis of MHV spike proteins

In coronaviruses, the spike protein alone can traffic to the cell surface and is sufficient for the induction of cell-to-cell fusion (17,23). Therefore, we questioned what differences therein could lead to altered fusion between RSA59 (PP) and RSMHV2 (P). The overall
organization of the primary structure of spike proteins is shown in Fig. S1. Against the background of the 81% identical primary structure of S-MHV-A59 (PP) (spike protein of MHV-A59) and S-MHV-2 (P) (spike protein of MHV2), alterations were restricted to a 43-residue insertion in S-MHV-2 (P) between residues 496-538, another 3-residue deletion between 565-567, 901-902, and a single residue deletion at position 977. Interestingly, the 43-residue insertion was conserved in 23 other coronaviruses (including human coronavirus), and the degree of conservation varied, with cysteine being the most conserved residue, suggesting that it was more likely a deletion from S-MHV-A59 (PP) than an insertion in S-MHV-2 (P). There were no contrasting substitutions in the alignment, with only 11 substitutions from the fusion domain (all non-Gly and isolated mutations), with a deletion at amino acids 901-902 outside the heptad regions and unlikely to participate in any early fusion events (also verified from the structural model). Interestingly, the internal FP for S-MHV-A59 (PP) (929-952: TGATAAAAMFPWSAAAGVPFSLSV) and for S-MHV-2 (P) (967-989: AGATVSAMFPWSAAAGVPFSLSV) was found to be 87.5% identical, excluding the proline deletion (Fig. 2a). The examination of the quaternary structure of the fusion domain of S-MHV-A59 (PP) obtained by cryo-electron microscopy (PDB ID: 3JCL) (24) showed an all alpha helical structure for the fusion domain (Fig. 2b). The FP in the structure also had a segmented alpha helical structure interspersed with two short loop regions (Fig. 2d). The comparative model for S-MHV-2 (P) showed similar features, although the orientations of the helices in the tertiary structures were such that they are not identically aligned (Fig. 2c and e). Therefore, the structural role of the central proline appeared to be distinctive, with potential to distinguish the fusion activity of the two FPs; thus, it was investigated in more detail through molecular dynamics simulation and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) studies.

Molecular dynamics (MD) of the spike protein fusion domain Significant differences were observed between the energy landscapes obtained from equilibrium MD of the fusion domain in the spike proteins from S-MHV-2 and S-MHV-A59 (Fig. 2f). The insertion or deletion of a single proline in FP was responsible for this change in dynamics of the fusion domain from S-MHV-2 (P), S-MHV-A59 (P) and S-MHV-A59 (PP). The insertion of proline tended to contract the overall conformational state space. Since mutant S-MHV-A59 (P) showed larger deviations in the root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) and radius of gyration ($R_g$) compared with wild type S-MHV-A59 (PP), we concluded that the deletion of proline relaxed the fusion domain. The studied fusion domains were sufficiently large (3 x 245 residues) to ensure that the results were comparable to the equilibrium MD of full-length spike proteins.

Proline-induced rigidity in FP The dihedral fluctuation of the FP segment was suppressed whenever two consecutive prolines (PP) were present, in contrast to the presence of a single proline (P) in the chain (Fig. 3a). The mid-helical segment in FP (P) with a single proline had 20% or less helical occurrence in contrast to the FP in S-MHV-A59 (PP), where the equivalent segment had $>90\%$ occurrence of a helical conformation in the MD trajectory (Fig. 3b). Interestingly, the same segments showed an equally high helical occurrence ($>90\%$) in methanol. The rigidity of the FP could be estimated from the hydrogen bond retention of proline in and around the FP (Table S1). For single proline structures, the number of hydrogen bonds, as well as their occurrence, was low; in contrast, many bonds for di-proline cases showed a $>88\%$ occurrence. These mainly corresponded to hydrogen bonding in the helix.

Analysis of the NMR spectra of the FP fragment from S-MHV-A59 (PP) The differential behavior of the FPs observed in the MD simulation arose from the basic stereochemistry of proline, limiting the allowed $\phi$ dihedral angles in the polypeptide backbone where it is located. To further investigate the same phenomenon, we studied a 16 and 24-residue segment of the FPs to understand their intrinsic behavior, with the caveat that these might not be reproducible when the FP was part of the full protein. The $^1$H-$^1$H TOCSY NMR spectrum of the 16-residue FP showing a cross peak between protons attached to C$\alpha$ and N could...
be clearly attributed to two sets of conformers, one existing in cis and another in trans conformation (Fig. S2). The NOE cross-peak between P$_{938}$ (P$_s$) H$^\alpha$ and P$_{939}$ (P$_s$) H$^\alpha$ confirmed that one of the peptides was in trans conformation (Fig. 4a and Fig. S3). The cis conformation was evidenced by a cross peak between P$_{938}$ (P$_s$) H$^\alpha$ and P$_{939}$ (P$_s$) H$^\alpha$ (Fig. 4b). The presence of a cis–trans isomer in the polypeptide solution was also confirmed by the $^1$H–$^1$C HSQC spectrum (Fig. S4). The cross peak between F$_{937}$ (F$_7$) H$^\alpha$ and P$_{938}$ (P$_s$) H$^\alpha$ confirmed the presence of the trans peptide conformation joining these two residues. A similar H$^\alpha$–H$^\alpha$ cross-peak did not exist for F$_{937}$ and P$_{938}$, suggesting that only one cis peptide bond existed in the population. The peak volume estimates suggested approximately a 60:40 ratio for trans:cis relative to the population of isomers. The chemical shift dispersion (7.7–8.7 ppm) in the amide region (Fig. S3 – blue/red labels) and secondary chemical shifts (Fig. S5) and $^3$J$_{NH}$ couplings (Table S2/Table S3) suggested a flexible trans FP and FP in the restricted cis conformation. The 20 best structures calculated from the NMR distance constraints also showed the same in trans (Fig. 4c and e) compared to cis (Fig. 4d and f). The presence of a cis peptide induced a hairpin-like structure for the cis conformer with helical structures at both termini, in contrast to a largely irregular and extended structure for the trans conformer. It is interesting to note that the cis peptide, which induces a type VI turn, was not located at the center of the chain reversal section and that no hydrogen bonds stabilized the reversal of direction. In contrast, the 24-residue FP in water and D$_2$O (10%) solvent suggested the presence of only the trans conformer in solution (Fig. S6-9, Table S4). The structure was mostly a random coil with a short helical segment on the carboxy terminal side of the proline residues. Notwithstanding, the polypeptide chain formed a closed loop-like structure that was far more compact than the 16-residue trans conformer in methanol (Fig. 4g). A short C-terminal segment downstream of the proline showed structural similarity between the 16 and 24-residue polypeptides.

**Proline dipeptide is more rigid under methanolic conditions** To further rationalize the observations, we used the 16-residue fusion fragment in both cis and trans form, as determined from the NMR spectra, and ran a 500-ns MD simulation in 100% methanol and water (Fig. 4h). The di-proline segment was found to have the least fluctuation compared with all other segments of the FP. The magnitudes of fluctuations were greater in water than methanol. There was no isomerization event during the simulation. No notable difference in fluctuation behavior between cis and trans polypeptides in the individual solvent environments of methanol and water was observed. Thus, only proline and the solvent environment appear to be important for arresting the flexibility of a given FP structure.

**In vitro**

S-MHV-A59 (PP) (spike protein) traffics to the surface in in vitro transiently transfected HeLa cells To detect the subcellular/surface localization of S-MHV-A59 (PP) (S-MHV-A59 (PP) YFP construct in pCAGGS), HeLa cells were transiently transfected and then examined by indirect immunofluorescence microscopy after 48 h of transient transfection, utilizing either YFP fluorescence or immunolabeling with anti-spike antibody. Full-length S-MHV-A59 (PP) protein predominantly accumulated in the perinuclear region, but a large amount of the transiently expressed protein trafficked to the cell surface and induced cell-to-cell fusion to form a large syncytium (Fig. 5a and c). To confirm the surface expression of spike protein and its ability to form syncitia, we also immunolabeled S-MHV-A59 (PP)-transfected cells with anti-MHV-A59 spike monoclonal antibody. The fusion protein trafficking to the surface and was also retained in the perinuclear region (Fig. 5b). Immunolabeled cells also confirmed that the surface expression of spike protein can induce the formation of large syncytia (Fig. 5d). HeLa cells are devoid of the CEACAM1a spike receptor; thus, the syncytia induced by surface expression of the spike protein is a receptor independent cell-to-cell fusion event. The spike protein which was expressed on the cell surface was quantified as described in methods section. A significant percentage of spike protein was trafficked to the surface (Fig. 5e).
Sequence comparison between RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) spike gene The mutant MHV strain RSA59 (P) with deleted proline was generated by a targeted RNA recombination technique, as described in the methods section. The entire spike gene of RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) was sequenced and compared with the known MHV-A59 spike gene sequence (GenBank accession number 9629812) (14,18,25). Consensus sequencing was carried out by RT-PCR of viral mRNA amplified from RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P)-infected L2 cells (14). The sequence analysis of the spike gene of RSA59 (P) was identical to the published sequence of the RSA59 (PP), excluding the deletion of one proline. Furthermore, the sequence analysis of the spike gene of RSA59 (PP) was completely identical to the published sequence of the MHV-A59 spike gene. No additional mutations were present in either RSA59 (PP) or RSA59 (P).

Differential fusogenic properties of RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) At 12 h p.i., RSA59 (PP) formed profuse discrete syncytia in N2a cells (Fig. 6a), but syncytia were rarely observed in RSA59 (P)-infected cultures (Fig. 6b). After 16 h of RSA59 (PP) infection, the syncytia grew bigger, and almost all the N2a cells in the infected culture came in contact with each other (Fig. 6c); in contrast, RSA59 (P) cells were individually infected and started to fuse, but the numbers and sizes of syncytia were reduced compared with RSA59 (PP) (Fig. 6d). At 24 h p.i., larger syncytia started dissolving in RSA59 (PP)-infected culture, as almost all the infected cells lysed (Fig. 6e). However, in the case of RSA59 (P), the syncytia continued to grow in size and number with a large number of individually infected cells (Fig. 6f). The mean nuclei per syncytia was counted as described in methods section. The number of nuclei involved in syncytia formation were significantly less in case of RSA59 (P) infected culture at 12 h and 16 h p.i. compare with RSA59 (PP) (Fig. 6g). At 24 h p.i. the syncytia cannot be quantified because most of the cells in RSA59 (PP) infected cultures were dead.

To quantify the fusion efficiency of spike proteins, a virus-free cell-cell fusion assay based on luciferase activity was performed as previously described (26,27). As expected, the fusion power measured by the luciferase activity of pMH54EGFP (P) (spike plasmid with deleted proline)- expressing HeLa cells (target cells) with luciferase-expressing BHK-R (effector cells) was significantly reduced at 36 h (**,p<0.01) and 48 h (****,p<0.0001) post-co-culture compared with pMH54EGFP (PP) (spike plasmid with double proline)-transfected HeLa cells (Fig. 6 h and i).

Differential rate of fusion and replication kinetics of recombinant strains The kinetics of viral fusion of recombinant viruses was studied by live cell imaging (Fig. 7a). A monolayer of N2a cells infected with RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1 was observed for 350 min, after 8 h p.i. RSA59 (PP) established syncytia quite faster than RSA59 (P). The replication of RSA59 (P) was compared to RSA59 (PP) by analyzing the growth curves from 4 h to 24 h p.i. A standard plaque assay was performed to calculate the titer from different time post infected L2 cells, as mentioned in the methods section. RSA59 (P) showed a slower replication rate (Fig. 7b), as evidenced by a lower titer throughout the studied time points compared with RSA59 (PP). The two consecutive prolines in RSA59 (PP) consistently promoted a higher (~10 times) titer compared with the proline deletion mutant RSA59 (P).

Furthermore, the replication of RSA59 (P) was compared to RSA59 (PP) by analyzing the relative transcript level of spike (S) and nucleocapsid (N) gene using the real time PCR at 0, 4, 8 and 12 h p.i. The RSA59 (P) was constantly able to replicate its S and N gene but relatively less compared with RSA59 (PP) (Fig 7c and d). The differential replication kinetics as well as fusion ability of spike between two viruses collectively contributed the difference in fusion efficiency of the two viruses.

In vivo

RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) both induce necrotizing hepatitis at day 3 and 6 p.i. in liver tissues Four-week-old C57Bl/6 male mice were infected intracranially with RSA59 (PP) and its mutated recombinant strain RSA59 (P). Day 3 and day 6 p.i. mice were sacrificed and studied for liver pathology. RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) day 3 and 6 p.i. mice liver sections stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H &E) showed large foci.
Fusion peptide central proline modulates cell-to-cell fusion of hepatitis and hepatic lesions throughout the liver section (Fig. 8a, b, e and f). For confirmation of hepatitis and the presence of inflammatory cells in the liver tissue, the sections were immunohistochemically labeled with anti-Iba1 (microglia/macrophage marker). Most of the inflammatory cells in both RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P)-infected liver sections showed immunoreactivity for Iba1 (Fig. 8c, d, g and h).

Differential neuropathological outcome in RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P)-infected mice CNS RSA59 (PP)-infected mice, similar to earlier studies (22), showed meningitis, encephalitis, and demyelination; in contrast, the mice infected with the proline mutant strain RSA59 (P) exhibited meningitis, with a reduced severity of encephalitis during the acute stage of infection and sequential myelin loss during the chronic stage of infection (day 30 p.i. as the peak of demyelination) in the CNS. This phenomenon was observed by viral antigen staining and immuno-histoprofiling using serial sections of day 3 and 6 post infected mice brain tissues (Fig. 9-12) and day 30 spinal cord tissues (Fig. 14), as detailed below.

Differential distribution of viral antigen in brain sections infected with RSA59 (PP) versus RSA59 (P) Sagittal brain sections from RSA59 (PP)- and RSA59 (P)-infected mice at day 3 and 6 p.i. were tested for the viral antigen distribution in different anatomic regions of the brain (Fig. 9a, b and k, l). Brain sections were labeled immunohistochemically with virus-specific anti-nucleocapsid (anti-N) antibody. Immunostaining data revealed that day 3 RSA59 (PP) p.i. brain section viral antigen was extensively distributed to different neuroanatomic regions including meninges (Fig. 9c), the site of inoculation (near the lateral geniculate nucleus), ventral striatum/basal forebrain (Fig. 9e), hippocampus (Fig. 9g) and brainstem (Fig. 9i). In contrast, in day 3 RSA59 (P) p.i. brain tissues, the amount of viral antigen distribution was significantly reduced and restricted mainly to the meninges (Fig. 9d), lateral ventricle/sub-ventricular zone (Fig. 9h), subependymal layer of 4th ventricle (Fig. 9j) and, occasionally, in the basal forebrain (Fig. 9f).

At day 6, RSA59 (PP) p.i. mice viral antigen was mainly present in the posterior part of the brain (Fig. 9k and m) and deep cerebellar white matter, with very little remnants in the ventricular lining. In comparison, at the same time p.i., RSA59 (P) viral antigen was observed to be restricted predominantly to the lateral ventricular lining (Fig. 9l and n), and similar to day 3 p.i., the viral antigen level was significantly reduced compared with RSA59 (PP). Widespread dissemination of viral antigen in RSA59 (PP)-infected mice brain sections compared with RSA59 (P) indicated that the deletion of one proline resulted in compromised viral antigen spreading. The titer of the obtained brain tissues confirmed the presence of virus in RSA59 (PP) at day 3 and 6 p.i. (10⁸ PFU to 10⁶ PFU/mice); in contrast, RSA59 (P) was present at day 3 p.i (10⁵ PFU/mice) and below the significance level of detection at day 6 p.i.

The viral antigen distribution of RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) was quantified and compared in different neuroanatomic regions of the brain (Fig 10a) as mentioned in the methods section. At day 3 p.i., RSA59 (PP) versus RSA59 (P) significantly differed in the cerebral cortex, ventral striatum/basal forebrain lateral ventricle/subventricular zone, hippocampus, thalamus, hypothalamus, mid brain, brain stem, and subependymal layer of the 4th ventricle Conversely, no significant difference was observed in the meninges and cerebellum (Fig. 10b, c and d). Similarly, significant differences were observed at day 6 p.i. in several neuroanatomic regions such as the meninges, cerebral cortex, ventral striatum/basal forebrain, lateral ventricle/subventricular zone, thalamus, hypothalamus, mid brain, and brain stem (Fig. 10e, f and g). No significant difference was observed in the hippocampus, cerebellum and subependymal layer of the 4th ventricle. The mean difference and standard error (SE) of the difference in different neuroanatomic regions are shown in Table 2 and plotted as a scatter diagram in Fig. 10b-g.

Distribution and intensification of microglia/macrophages in RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P)-infected brain sections Day 3 and day 6 p.i. sagittal brain sections from RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P)-infected mice were stained with
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H&E. Histopathological studies during the acute phase (day 3 and 6 p.i.) of the infection revealed that both viruses produced encephalitis characterized by parenchymal lymphocytic infiltrates and microglial nodules (Fig. 11a, b, g and h) compared to control (mock infected) (not shown). To further characterize the cells, the sagittal brain sections were immunohistochemically stained with anti-Iba1 (microglia/macrophage marker) antibodies. Anti-Iba1 immunostaining revealed that the microglia/macrophages were widely distributed throughout the brain section in both RSA59 (PP) (Fig. 11c, e, i and k) and RSA59 (P) (Fig. 11d, f, j and l)-infected mice. Interestingly, in RSA59 (PP)-infected brain, Iba1+ ramified microglia/macrophages largely followed the trajectory of the viral antigen distribution with occasional distribution to other regions where viral antigen is not present; in contrast, in RSA59 (P)-infected brain, Iba1+ ramified microglia/macrophages were scattered throughout the brain section irrespective of the presence or absence of viral antigen.

The distribution, as well as the level, of inflammation (Iba1+ cells) was quantified as mentioned in the methods section. No significant difference was observed in the distribution of microglia to different neuroanatomic regions of RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P)-infected mice brain. Only lateral ventricle/subventricular zone showed significantly higher percentage of Iba1+ staining in RSA59 (P) infected brain compare with RSA59 (PP). The mean difference and SE of the difference is shown in Table 3 and plotted in a scatter diagram in Fig. 12a-b.

The spread of RSA59 (P) virus antigen to the spinal cord is significantly reduced compared with RSA59 (PP) Day 3 and 6 p.i. spinal cord cross-sections from control, RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P)-infected mice were stained with viral antigen (Fig. 13a, b, c and g,h,i). In RSA59 (PP)-infected mice, viral antigen (Fig. 13b and h) was distributed both in gray matter and white matter cells, as observed in earlier studies (20,22), but upon RSA59 (P) infection, very few cells were positive for viral antigen (Fig. 13c and i) in the spinal cord sections, even at day 6 p.i., indicating an inability to spread and replicate in the spinal cord. Differential staining was quantified as described in the methods section, and it was quite evident that the reduction of viral antigen staining in RSA59 (P) was significantly different compared with RSA59 (PP) at day 6 p.i. (Table 4 and Fig. 13m and n).

Distribution and intensification of microglia/macrophages in RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P)-infected spinal cord sections Day 3 and 6 p.i. spinal cord cross-sections from control, RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P)-infected mice were stained with anti-Iba1 (Fig. 13 d, e, f and j, k, l) and quantified based on the area of staining to the total area as described in the methods section (Fig. 13 n and p). The percent area of activated microglia/macrophages in spinal cord sections of RSA59 (P) in day 3 and 6 p.i. mice were significantly increased compared with the control mice, but no difference was observed between RSA59 (PP) versus RSA59 (P) in day 3 and 6 p.i. mice. The mean difference and SE of the difference are shown in Table 5 and plotted as a scatter diagram (Fig. 13n and p).

The intensity of myelin loss (demyelination) in RSA59 (PP)-infected mice spinal cord is greater in comparison to RSA59 (P) infection during the chronic inflammation stage (day 30 p.i.) Spinal cord sections from control, RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P)-infected mice at day 30 p.i. were stained with Luxol Fast Blue (LFB) to detect demyelination. Data from LFB-stained cross-sections from the different levels of spinal cord showed that almost all the mice (7/7 mice) infected with RSA59 (PP) developed demyelination; in contrast, 6/7 RSA59 (P)-infected mice showed demyelination (Fig. 14c and f), but the degree and amount of demyelination were reduced compared with RSA59 (PP) (Fig. 14b and e). The demyelination percentage was scored, and the area of the demyelinating plaques were quantified as discussed in the methods section. The average demyelination score for RSA59 (PP) was 20.05 SE 4.650. RSA59 (P)-infected mice exhibited reduced demyelination at day 30 p.i. (Fig.14p) with an average demyelination score = 4.267 SE 4.784. The average difference in demyelination scores of RSA59 (PP) versus RSA59 (P)-infected mice spinal cords at day 30 p.i. were found to be
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Sections from the same mice were also immunostained with anti-Iba1 antibody to detect microglia/macrophages at day 30 p.i. Iba1+ cells were observed in the corresponding demyelinating areas of a given section (Fig. 14g, h and i). The spinal cord cross-sections were also immunostained for anti-PLP (Fig. 14j, k and l), which stains myelin. PLP staining confirmed that myelin sheath loss was comparatively higher in RSA59 (PP) than RSA59 (P) infection. The insets in Fig. 14n show the loss of PLP staining in RSA59 (PP); in contrast, no significant loss was observed in RSA59 (P) (Fig. 14o). Mock-infected spinal cord showed an intact myelin sheath (Fig. 14m).

**Discussion**

The fusion proteins of all the enveloped viruses have a characteristic hydrophobic stretch of fusion peptide, which engages with target membrane to initiate fusion. In our study, we identified a minimal region of RSA59 spike protein responsible for the fusion property. This study was carried out by comparing the spike of RSMHV2, which is a non-fusogenic strain. In silico studies showed that the RSA59 fusion peptide has two consecutive prolines (at amino acid 938, 939), whereas the RSMHV2 strain has a single proline residue (amino acid 976). A 3-dimensional study of the spike proteins demonstrated that the observed differences in structure and function between the two strains could be due to the presence of the two consecutive prolines in the fusion peptide. The importance of the proline was further established through mutagenesis experiments, in which one proline deletion from the spike FP of RSA59 (PP) led to a significant loss of fusogenicity, entry and syncytial formation in vitro. The deletion of one proline may destabilize the spike protein and result in impaired fusogenicity. Additionally, there could be slower or less transport of the S protein to the plasma membrane which would correspondingly result in slower kinetics of cell fusion. In a previous study it has been shown that a substitution mutant, P939L, showed similar delayed kinetics of cell fusion and virus growth (28). Earlier studies have shown that trafficking and retention of spike protein to different intracellular compartment depend upon the retention signals present in the cytoplasmic domain of the spike protein (29,30). Both of our strain (RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P)) have same cytoplasmic sequence. Hence, it can be argued that both can traffic to surface equally. Further, we have observed the deletion of one proline has reduced the replication of RSA59 (P) so the differential fusion ability of two viruses may be a cumulative result of defective trafficking, reduced replication and conformational instability of spike to cause fusion.

Furthermore, in vivo studies using the proline mutant strains showed altered viral infectivity as a consequence of the impaired fusogenicity. Transcranial inoculation demonstrated differential patterns of neuropathogenicity during the acute stage of infection, although both strains exhibited a similar pattern and degree of necrotizing hepatitis and leptomeningitis. RSA59 (PP) infection showed a widespread viral antigen distribution in the brain parenchyma. Whereas in RSA59 (P) infection, consecutive viral antigen spread was mainly restricted to the inoculation site and in meninges. At day 6 p.i., both RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) were mostly cleared from the brain. Though Iba-1+ cells were widely distributed throughout the brain parenchyma, accumulation of proliferated ones were near the lateral ventricular/subventricular region in RSA59 (P) infected brain. Whereas, in cases of RSA59 (PP), proliferated Iba-1+ cells were accumulated in cerebral cortex, ventral striatum/basal forebrain, lateral ventricle/subventricular zone, hippocampus, hypothalamus, thalamus, mid brain and brain stem following the trajectory of viral antigen distribution. Interestingly, there was a significant reduction in demyelination in RSA59 (P) strain-infected mice spinal cord during the chronic stage of disease compared with the RSA59 (PP) strain. This is one of the first attempts to comprehensively address the role of two consecutive prolines in the FP of the spike protein towards neurotropic mouse hepatitis virus infectivity, fusogenicity and neuro-pathogenicity. Our findings may have broader implications in understanding the mechanistic process of MHV-induced demyelination.
The question concerning the vital role of the two consecutive proline residues in altering the rate of viral infectivity, viral spread and fusogenicity remains. Proline is not an amino but an imino acid, in which the side chain binds to the main-chain N atom to form a ring (and a secondary amino acid), thereby restricting the backbone $\phi$ torsion angle of the polypeptide chain. Thus, it is the most restricted of all the naturally occurring amino acids, occupying the smallest area of the Ramachandran map (31). Its presence significantly reduces the intrinsic flexibility of the protein segment in which it is located. This point is strongly corroborated by our MD simulations, whereby the presence of a single proline can be seen to profoundly affect the fluctuation profile of a molecule by contracting its overall conformational space. These effects percolate globally and are not restricted to FP alone, and they can be seen based on differences in the energy landscapes of S-MHV-A59 (PP) and S-MHV-A59 (P). Focusing only on FP, the distinction is stark with di-proline, showing almost no fluctuation compared with the single proline FP. Part of the rigidity of the di-proline-embedded FP is contributed by an increased number of hydrogen bonds in addition to proline’s own conformational rigidity.

Directly demonstrating the role of proline by trapping the spike protein during a host-membrane rupture action is a challenging task. Indirectly examining its role through an assay using a synthetic membrane-spike system under \textit{in vitro} conditions offers an alternate avenue; however, given the complexity of real cell membranes, wherein receptor proteins mediate virus-host interactions, allowing for membrane penetration and cell entry, such studies also have great limitations. We attempted to balance the two scenarios through the use of \textit{in vivo} histopathology studies in mouse brain tissue. The focus has been to estimate the difference in acute-stage viral spread in brain tissue, where host cell-entry is the rate limiting step controlling chronic-stage demyelination. The difference in spread can be unambiguously attributed to the presence or absence of the additional proline, which alone represents the difference between the engineered strains studied.

To clearly define the role of proline, we must study on a large scale the effects of neighboring amino acids on the entire S2 domain. A previous study has shown that a natural recombinant Penn 97-1 (a mosaic spike protein in which the S1 domain is from MHV-A59 and the S2 domain is from MHV-2) abolishes demyelination (19). This study can be revisited and a mutant strain constructed with RSMHV2 that carries two prolines at the center of its FP. It will be interesting to study the addition of proline with concomitant replacement of the cleavage sequence. Swapping the cleavage in combination with the proline mutation will help us to further delineate the role of two consecutive prolines in cell-to-cell fusion, as well as the subsequent demyelination, as a pathogenic outcome. As previous studies have demonstrated that the biological properties of viral persistence are essentially the failure of the immune system to clear the virus from CNS cells, it will also be interesting to study whether RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) differ in their neural cell persistence and CNS resident immune surveillance. It will also be exciting to examine the differential mechanism of RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) in the transneuronal spread by which viruses may be synaptically linked to other neural cells, more specifically to oligodendrocytes. Taking into consideration previous findings, we are tempted to focus our future analyses on detailed glial cell tropism and to determine whether RSA59 (P) will spread less efficiently from gray matter neurons to white matter oligodendrocytes, successively damage oligodendrocytes, and, as a result, alter the pathology of demyelination.

\textit{In vitro} spike protein trafficking studies in combination with real time kinetics of viral spread and dissemination clearly demonstrated that the spike alone can initiate the fusion process irrespective of the presence of the murine CEACAM receptor protein and can be responsible for fusogenicity, which in turn may help in viral entry and subsequent neuropathogenesis to elicit encephalitis, myelitis during acute stage of infection and chronic stage demyelination as a consequence of neuroimmune activation. \textit{In silico} molecular modeling, and NMR studies in combination with \textit{in vivo} and \textit{in vitro} experiments helped to dissect the possible mode of action of two prolines in the backbone of the spike fusion domain.
Overall, our observations of pathogenic differences due to proline deletion offer insights into viral spread based on fusion mechanisms, and these results are also pertinent to other virus-cell interactions. Interestingly, many fusion proteins do not have a central proline in their FP; however, the residues therein that improve FP rigidity (26) and cause the neighborhood structure to become more ordered within the membrane environment, add kinetic efficiency to the fusion process. These insights are useful in understanding the mechanisms employed by the virus fusion apparatus and may help guide the development of therapeutic approaches to prevent intercellular viral spread and neuroinfection.

Materials and Methods

Viral strains The isogenic recombinant demyelinating strain RSA59 and nondemyelinating strain RSMHV2 engineered from the MHV-A59 and MHV-2 parental strains, respectively, were used as described previously (20,22). All viral strains used in this study are tabulated in Table 1a. Both strains express EGFPs (20,22,32). Parental recombinant viruses were propagated in murine 17Cl-1 cells. Plaque assays and purifications were carried out on murine L2 cells. Cells were maintained on plastic tissue culture flasks in Dulbecco's Minimal Essential Medium (DMEM) (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), 1% penicillin (10,000 U/mL),1% streptomycin (100 mg/mL) (HyClone, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), 10 mM HEPES buffer solution (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and 1.4% of 7.5% NaHCO₃ in the presence of 5% CO₂ at 37°C.

Construction of MHV-A59 spike gene expression in the pYFPN1 vector For transient transfection in HeLa cells, the full-length cDNA encoding S-MHV-A59 (PP)/pYFPN1 was subcloned into the pCAGGS vector (Addgene, Watertown, Massachusetts, USA). The full-length cDNA of S-MHV-A59 (PP)-YFP was amplified by PCR using MHV-A59-S/pYFP-N1 as a template. The PCR products were digested and ligated into the pCAGGS mammalian expression vector. The ligated product was transformed, and plasmid DNA was isolated and purified as described previously. Positive clones of S-MHV-A59 (PP)-YFP constructs in pCAGGS were screened and sequenced. HeLa cells were plated on 25-mm circular coverslips in 35-mm dishes 1 d prior to transfection and transfected with the generated S-MHV-A59 (PP)-YFP (mentioned as S-MHV-A59 (PP) in Fig. 5) constructs using Fugene (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN) at 1 µg/ml DNA using a Fugene /DNA ratio of 6:1 (l µg) as previously described (29).

To evaluate YFP fluorescence after 48 h of transfection, the cells were washed with ice-cold PBS, fixed in ice-cold 95% EtOH for 20 min, washed 3 times with PBS and mounted using Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). To label surface S-MHV-A59 (PP)-YFP fusion proteins, transfected cells were washed with ice-cold PBS and incubated with rabbit anti-A59-S antibody for 10 min at 4 °C. The cells were then washed 2x with ice-cold PBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10 min at room temperature. They were then washed 3 times with PBS, permeabilized with PBS+0.5% Triton X-100, and blocked with PBS + 0.5% Triton X-
100+ 2% heat-inactivated goat serum (PBS/GS). The cells were incubated with primary antibody diluted in PBS/GS for 1 h, washed, and labeled for 1 h with secondary antibody (Texas red goat anti-rabbit) diluted in PBS/GS. The cells were then washed with PBS and mounted in Vectashield (Vector laboratories, Inc., Burlingame, CA.) The cells were visualized by fluorescence microscopy using an Olympus IX-81 microscope system with a 60X UPlanApo oil immersion objective with the iris diaphragm partially closed to limit the contribution of out of plane fluorescence and filter packs suitable for green (U-MWIBA BP460-490 DM505 BA515-550) and red (U-NMG BP530-550 DM570 BA590-800+) fluorescence. Images were acquired with a Hamamatsu Orca-1 CCD camera and Image Pro image analysis software (Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD).

To quantify the surface and cytosolic spike protein expression, Fiji (ImageJ 1.52g) software was used (34). The mean intensity/μm² area of fluorescence was measured for the plasma membrane and for the cytoplasm, separately. The percentage of cytosolic versus surface fluorescence was plotted using GraphPad prism 6.01. Level of significance was calculated using paired Student’s t-test.

Pairwise global sequence alignment of spike protein The spike protein details of the parental strains of RSA59 (PP) and RSMHV2 (P), namely, MHV-A59 and MHV-2, respectively (MHV strains nomenclature Table 1b), are available under GenBank accession number 9629812 (14,18,25) and AF201929 (35,36), respectively and were obtained from the NCBI database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). To compare the spike sequences, ClustalW software and the Gonnet PAM 250 matrix were used (37). The spike gene was also sequenced to confirm that the primary structure matched the parental strain (see section “Viral spike gene sequencing” below).

Structure modeling The spike protein S-MHV-A59 (PP) has recently been solved using cryoelectron microscopy (24), PDB ID: 3JCL. Residues 871-1116 of the trimeric fusion domain of 3JCL were used as template for comparative modeling of the fusion domains of S-MHV-A59 (P) and S-MHV-2 (P). Modeler software was used for comparative modeling (38).

MD simulation of the extended region around internal FPs MD simulations were performed for an extended region of the quaternary structure covering the fusion domain, spanning residue locations 871-1116 of S-MHV-A59 (PP), 871-1115 of S-MHV-A59 (P), and 910-1154 of S-MHV-2 (P), respectively. We used periodic boundary conditions, the CHARMM forcefield and SPC/E (SPC216) water for all MD simulations with GROMACS (Version 5.0.5) software (39). The pH of the system was kept neutral as MHV spike proteins are known to be fusion competent under such conditions (40). Each structure was centered in its respective cubic box, with a box dimension ensuring a distance of 1.0 nm between the edge of the molecule and the nearest box face. The proteins were solvated in water and energy-minimized, and then they were equilibrated for isothermal-isochoric and isothermal-isobaric states. The steepest descent energy minimization was performed to remove any overlapping contacts and to reduce the maximum force in the system to 1000 kJ/mol/nm. The solvated, steepest descent minimized structure was our starting and reference structure at t_ref = 0. Equilibration was performed using Berendsen temperature coupling with a total time of t=2000 ps, temperature of 300 K, and isotropic pressure coupling with a total time of t = 2000 ps under a pressure of 1 atm. After minimization and equilibration, unconstrained MD simulation was carried out for 500 ns at 300 K and 1 atm pressure. The time step was 2 fs, and the frames were saved every 100 ps for all simulation steps mentioned above. The conformation analysis was performed using GROMACS utilities, MDTraj (41) and scripts written in house.

NMR data collection Synthetic 24 and 16-residue polypeptides (TGATAAMFPWSAAAGVPSLSV, ATAAAMFPWSAAAGV) (USV Private limited, Mumbai, India) identical to the segment of the internal FP of S-MHV-A59 (PP) were obtained for NMR studies in water (H₂O+D₂O) and methanol (CD₃OH), respectively. The sample was prepared at ~1mM concentration. A
A series of \(^1\)H \(^1\)D NMR experiments were recorded with 128 scans at different temperatures to calculate the temperature coefficients (H-bond), and 2D NMR experiments were performed at 288/278 K for the water/methanol sample. The 2D NMR experiments, including 2D TOCSY, 2D ROESY, 2D \(^1\)H-\(^13\)C-HSQC, were recorded for sequential assignments and structure calculation. BRUKER 800 MHz and 700 MHz NMR spectrometers equipped with triple resonance cryogenically cooled probes were used for data collection; 32 scans and 64 scans with increments were used in the 2D TOCSY and 2D ROESY experiments, respectively.

NMR data analysis NMR data for FP were processed and analyzed using BRUKER TOPSPIN 3.2 software. The 2D TOCSY and 2D ROESY, 2D \(^1\)H-\(^13\)C-HSQC NMR experiments were used for residue-specific and sequential resonance assignments. A chemical shift difference plot was generated for \(^1\)H\(_\alpha\) chemical shifts for secondary structure prediction. The structure calculation was performed using Cyana program (http://www.cyana.org/), and only the top 20 model structures were considered for analysis. One model each in cis and trans prolyl peptide form from the 16-residue FP was obtained for the 500-ns MD simulations in 100% methanol and water, as per the MD protocol described in the previous section.

Fusogenicity of the recombinant virus in N2a cells N2a is a mouse neuroblastoma cell line (CCL-131) that was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. N2a cells were seeded on glass coverslips. Monolayers of cells were infected with recombinant strains of the viruses at a MOI of 1. Infected cells were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂ for 12, 16 and 24 h. Cells were fixed in 4% PFA and mounted on glass slides using Vectashield with DAPI (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). The slides were then observed under an epifluorescence microscope for EGFP excitation (Olympus IX-81 microscope system with a Hamamatsu Orca-1 CCD) under a 470/40 blue band filter. Images were acquired with a Hamamatsu Orca-1 charge-coupled device camera and analyzed using Image-Pro image analysis software (Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD, USA). The mean nuclei per syncytia was quantified by counting the number of DAPI stained nuclei inside the EGFP expressing syncytia. A total of 15 random frames of 40x images were selected from three different experiments for every time point per strain of virus. Level of significance was calculated using unpaired Student’s t-test.

Plasmid pMH54 pMH54\(_{EGFP}\) was generated by inserting the spike gene from MHV-A59 and EGFP from the pEGFP-N1 vector, a synthetic Di construct containing all 3 prime end genes from MHV-A59 (19,22,32).

Generation of a new proline mutated strain of MHV The oligonucleotide primers were designed with the desired mutation. Mutations were then inserted into pMH54\(_{EGFP}\) using the QuikChange Site-Directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, which is now Agilent, USA), after which plasmids were isolated and sequenced using primers 26486F (5'AGACGGCAATGGACCTAGTG3') and 27019R (5'TCTACAGCCTCAAGCCGAGT3'). The plasmids were linearized by PacI restriction digestion. Synthetic RNA was synthesized from linearized plasmids using an in vitro transcription kit (Ambion Austin, TX). Targeted RNA recombination was carried out between synthetic capped RNA transcribed from pMH54\(_{EGFP}\) containing the desired mutation, using a T7 polymerase transcription kit (Ambion Austin, TX), and fMHV was used as a recipient virus. Recombinant virus was generated as described previously (19,22,32). The resultant recombinant strains were identical to RSA59, except that one proline was deleted from FP. The recombinant strains were selected by replication in murine 17Cl-1 cells (18,33). Candidate recombinants were plaque-purified three times, followed by amplification of the virus in 17Cl-1 cells as described below.

Virus-free cell-to-cell fusion assay The cell-to-cell fusion assay was set up with minor modifications according to previously established methods (26,27). BHK-R cells (42) designated as effector cells were transfected with plasmid pT7EMCLuc (Gift from Vaibhav Tiwari, Midwestern University, Downers Grove, IL, United States) which expresses the firefly luciferase gene.
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luciferase gene under the control of the T7 promoter (27). HeLa cells in continuous culture, considered as target cells, were cotransfected with pCAGT7, which expresses T7 RNA polymerase with the chicken actin promoter and the CMV enhancer as well as with the spike-expressing plasmid pMH54EGFP (PP) (two prolines are present in FP). In parallel, HeLa cells were transfected with pCAGT7 and spike mutant plasmid with the deleted proline (pMH54EGFP (P)). At 36 h post-transfection, effector and target cells were trypsinized and co-cultured at a 1:1 ratio. The pT7EMCLuc-expressing effector cells and only T7 RNA polymerase-transfected target cells were used as the negative control (vector control). The luciferase reporter assay (Promega, Wisconsin, United States) was performed to estimate the luciferase activity at 36 h and 48 h post-coculture as described previously (27). Luciferase activity is considered a measurement of cell fusion ability and is directly correlated with the fusion property of the spike gene. Luciferase reporter activity was plotted using GraphPad prism 6.01 software. Level of significance between the two proline-containing spike gene construct and the spike gene construct with a deleted proline was calculated using unpaired Student’s t-test.

Viral spike gene sequencing Aiming to sequence the spike genes from RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P), reverse transcriptase-PCR (RT-PCR) amplification was performed using the cytoplasmic RNA extracted from virus-infected L2 cells (MOI of either 1 or 2) harvested at 16 h post infection. Complementary DNA was synthesized using oligonucleotide primers based on previously published MHV-A59 sequences (14). Primers were designed to amplify fragments of approximately 600 base pairs. PCR products were purified with a QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Chatsworth) and sequenced by automated sequencing using the Taq dye terminator procedure according to the manufacturer’s protocol (TaqDyeDeoxy Terminators cycle sequencing kit, Applied Biosystems, California, United States). The primers used for sequencing were the same as those applied for amplification. Each fragment was sequenced in both directions, followed by analysis using the Sequencher version 5.4.6 program. Nucleotide sequences and predicted protein sequences were compared to the sequences available in NCBI GenBank using “BlastN and BlastP” searches and the FASTA program.

Growth Kinetics of recombinant strains A monolayer of L2 cells was infected with RSA59 (PP) or RSA59 (P) strains of MHV and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂. At different time points post infection (4, 8, 12, 20 and 24 h), the cultures were transferred to -80°C. The cells were freeze-
thawed three times, and after a final round of thawing, dislodged cells with culture supernatant were centrifuged at 500 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. Clear supernatants from infected culture plates were subjected to routine plaque assay for titer estimation. The titer value was calculated according to routine procedures (43,44) and plotted against the corresponding time post infection. The level of significance was determined using unpaired Student’s t-test.

**RNA isolation, reverse transcription and quantitative polymerase chain reaction** RNA was extracted from 0.1 MOI, RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) infected L2 cells (4 culture dishes each at 0, 4, 8 and 12 h p.i.) using the TRIzol isolation protocol (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific corporation, USA). Total RNA concentration was measured using NanoDrop ND-100 spectrophotometer. 2 μg of RNA was used to prepare cDNA using a High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). Quantitative Real time PCR analysis was performed using DyNAmo ColorFlash SYBR Green qPCR kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific corporation, USA) in Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems 7500) under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 7 min, 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 30 s, melting curve analysis at 60°C for 30 s. Reactions were performed in quadruplicate. Following primer pairs were used: GAPDH forward-5’GCCCCCTCTGCGGATGC3’, reverse-5’CTTTCAGAGGGGCCATCC3’; Spike gene forward 5’GCCAGTATACCATTCTGTCTTACCT3’, reverse-5’CTACTACGTTTTGTTTAG3’; and Nucleocapsid (N) gene forward-5’AGGATAGAAGTCTGGTGCTAC3’, reverse-5’GAAGTTAGCAAGGTCCTACG3’. Relative quantitation was achieved using the comparative threshold (ΔΔCt) method. mRNA expression levels of target genes (S and N gene) in infected samples were normalised with GAPDH and expressed as relative fold change compared to expression level at 0 h. Level of significance was calculated using unpaired Student’s t-test.

**In vitro live imaging of the formation of syncytia** N2a cells were grown in 35-mm culture dishes. Almost 80% confluent monolayers of N2a cells were infected with a MOI of 1 of the viruses. Viral absorption was allowed for 1 h, with intermittent shaking every 15 min, after which the infected cells were washed and placed in fresh medium. Proline mutant recombinant virus spreading of RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) and syncytial formation were monitored by time-lapse imaging using an Olympus IX-81 microscope system with a Hamamatsu Orca-1 CCD. A stage top incubator UNIV2 D35 attached to a temperature and gas mixture controlling panel (incubation system of microscope, TOKAI HIT) was used to maintain the temperature at 37°C and 5% CO2. Images were acquired using a 40X objective with a Hamamatsu Orca-1 charge-coupled device camera and processed using ImageJ software. The virus-infected culture was monitored at 15 min intervals from 8 h until 14 h post infection.

**Inoculation of mice** Four-week-old, MHV free, C57Bl/6 (B6) male mice (Invivo Biosciences, Bangalore) were inoculated intracranially with RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) at 20,000 PFU (50% LD50 dose of RSA59 (PP)) as described previously (22,32). Seven mice (n=7 in two experiments) were inoculated in each infection group for histopathological analysis at day 3, 6 and 30 p.i. Similarly, n=3 mice per infection group were infected for estimation of the viral titer by routine plaque assay as discussed previously at day 3 and 6 p.i. Mice were monitored daily for mortality and signs of disease. Mock-infected (control) (n=4/each day point) were inoculated similarly with uninfected PBS containing 0.75% BSA at a comparable dilution.

**Ethics statement** The use of animals and all experimental procedures were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Kolkata. The animal protocols adhered to the guidelines of the CPCSEA, India.

**Histopathological analyses** Mice were sacrificed at day 3, 6 and 30 p.i. and perfused transcardially with PBS followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS. Liver, brain,
and spinal cord tissues were collected, postfixed in 4% PFA overnight and embedded in paraffin. Brain and spinal cord tissues were sectioned at 5 μm. Brain sections were stained with H&E for evaluation of inflammation, whereas day 30 p.i. spinal cord tissue sections were stained with LFB to detect myelin damage. To confirm the expected virulence of the strains used, livers from the infected mice were embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 5 μm and stained with H&E. All slides were coded and read in a blinded manner.

**Immunohistochemical analyses** Serial sagittal sections of brain, spinal cord and liver tissues were stained using the avidin-biotin-immunoperoxidase technique (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) with 3, 3’ dianinobenzidine as substrate and anti-Iba1 and anti-N antibody as primary antibodies (details in Table 7). Sequential spinal cord sections from day 30 p.i. mice were also immunolabeled with anti-PLP antibody.

**Quantification of histological slides** The extent of infection in different neuroanatomic regions of infected mice brain tissues was scored based on the amount of viral antigen present according to an arbitrary scale: score 0: no apparent viral antigen staining; 1: very small foci of viral antigen-positive cells; 2: widespread but small foci of viral antigen-positive cells; 3: widespread large foci of viral antigen-positive cells. Brain has been divided into different neuroanatomic regions as shown in the Results section in Fig. 10a.

To quantify anti-viral staining in spinal cord and microglial-based inflammation in different neuroanatomic regions of the brain and spinal cord, Fiji (ImageJ 1.52g) software was used (34). Image analysis was performed using the basic densitometric thresholding features of Fiji (ImageJ, NIH Image, Scion Image). Image analysis was performed by first capturing the images at the highest magnification (10X) that allowed the entire section (i.e., scan area) to be visualized within a single image frame. The RGB image was color-deconvoluted into three different colors to separate the DAB-specific staining. The background labeling was also subtracted from all images, and then the contrast was slightly enhanced to improve the resolution. The perimeter of each neuroanatomic region for brain and spinal cord was digitally outlined, and the area was calculated in μm². To ensure that all labeled cells were selected, a threshold value was defined for each image. The magnitude of viral staining and Iba1+ microglia/macrophage activation was defined as the percentage area of staining (ratio of target stained area to total selected area multiplied by 100). To ensure error-free data collection, the entire quantification procedure was performed by two investigators together and read in a blinded manner.

To determine the total white matter area and areas with myelin loss in day 30 RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) p.i. mice, 4-5 LFB-stained spinal cord cross-sections from each mouse were randomly selected and analyzed using Fiji software (ImageJ 1.52g) (45). The total number of mice in each group was 7 (n=7). The total perimeter of the white matter regions in each cross-section was outlined and calculated by summing the dorsal, ventral and anterior white matter areas in each section. The total area of the demyelinated regions was also outlined and summed for each section separately. The percentage of spinal cord demyelination per section per mouse was obtained by dividing the total area of the demyelinating plaque over the total area of the calculated white matter and then multiplied by 100.

**Statistical analysis** The infection and magnitude of inflammation within the different neuroanatomic regions of brain is presented in a table as the mean values ± SEM, and two-way ANOVA was used to calculate significance. In addition, multiple comparison was performed using Tukey’s multiple comparison test.

The level of significance for immunohistochemistry staining and LFB staining in spinal cord sections was calculated using one-way ANOVA and Tukey’s multiple comparison test. All data were plotted and analyzed using GraphPad prism 6.01 software. The level of significance and the means (± standard deviation of the mean) are presented in a scatter diagram. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant and presented as *,p.
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Tables

Table 1a. Nomenclature of different parental strains, recombinant strains and proline mutated strains of MHV.

| MHV Strains         | Description                                                                 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Wild type MHV-A59 (19,44,46) | Parental demyelinating strain of MHV which is fusion positive.              |
| Wild type MHV2 (19,32,47)    | Parental non-demyelinating strain of MHV which is fusion negative.          |
| RSA59 (PP) (32)         | EGFP expressing isogenic spike protein recombinant strain of MHV-A59 (a demyelinating strain of MHV, expressing MHV-A59 spike gene in the MHV-A59 background). The FP has two central consecutive proline. |
| RSMHV2 (P) (13,22)      | EGFP expressing isogenic spike protein recombinant strain of MHV2 (a non-demyelinating strain of MHV, expressing MHV-2 spike gene in the MHV-A59 background). The FP has only one central proline. |
| RSA59 (P)              | Proline deleted FP mutant strain of RSA59 (PP). One proline (at position 939) has been deleted from EGFP expressing isogenic spike protein recombinant strain of MHV-A59; RSA59 (PP). The FP has one central proline. |

Table 1b. Nomenclature of spike protein constructs used for in silico studies.

| Name                  | Description                           | GenBank accession number |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| S-MHV-A59 (PP)        | Spike protein of MHV-A59 strain       | 9629812(14,18,25)        |
| S-MHV-A59 (P)         | Spike protein of MHV-A59 strain with one proline deleted at position 939 |                          |
| S-MHV2 (P)            | Spike protein of MHV2 strain          | AF 201929 (35,36)        |

Table 2. The mean difference and statistical significance as an effect of proline residues on viral antigen distribution in different neuroanatomical regions of RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) infected mice brain.

| Tukey's multiple comparisons test | Day 3 p.i. | Day 6 p.i. |
|----------------------------------|------------|------------|
|                                  | Mean Diff. | SE        | Significance | Mean Diff. | SE        | Significance |
|----------------------------------|------------|----------|-------------|------------|----------|-------------|
| **Meninges**                     |            |          |             |            |          |             |
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)           | -1.446     | 0.2316   | ****        | -0.5385    | 0.2754   | ns          |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)            | -1.589     | 0.2316   | ****        | -1.786     | 0.2716   | ****        |
| RSA59 (PP) vs. RSA59 (P)         | -0.1429    | 0.1975   | ns          | -1.247     | 0.236    | ****        |
| **Cerebral cortex**              |            |          |             |            |          |             |
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)           | -1.357     | 0.2316   | ****        | -1         | 0.2754   | ***         |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)            | -0.5714    | 0.2316   | *           | -0.4286    | 0.2716   | ns          |
| RSA59 (PP) vs. RSA59 (P)         | 0.7857     | 0.1975   | ***         | 0.5714     | 0.236    | *           |
### Ventricular striatum/basal forebrain

| Comparison                  | t  | df  | p  | t  |
|-----------------------------|----|-----|----|----|
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)      | -1.786 | 0.2316 | **** | -1 | 0.2754 | *** |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)       | -0.7857 | 0.2316 | ** | -0.2857 | 0.2716 | ns |
| RSA59 (PP) vs. RSA59 (P)    | 1 | 0.1975 | **** | 0.7143 | 0.236 | ** |

### Lateral ventricles/subventricular Zone

| Comparison                  | t  | df  | p  | t  |
|-----------------------------|----|-----|----|----|
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)      | -1.179 | 0.2316 | **** | -0.2885 | 0.2754 | ns |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)       | -2.036 | 0.2316 | **** | -1.179 | 0.2716 | **** |
| RSA59 (PP) vs. RSA59 (P)    | -0.8571 | 0.1975 | **** | -0.8901 | 0.236 | *** |

### Hippocampus

| Comparison                  | t  | df  | p  | t  |
|-----------------------------|----|-----|----|----|
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)      | -0.7143 | 0.2316 | ** | -0.3333 | 0.2797 | ns |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)       | 0 | 0.2316 | ns | -0.1429 | 0.2716 | ns |
| RSA59 (PP) vs. RSA59 (P)    | 0.7143 | 0.1975 | *** | 0.1905 | 0.2411 | ns |

### Thalamus

| Comparison                  | t  | df  | p  | t  |
|-----------------------------|----|-----|----|----|
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)      | -1.214 | 0.2316 | **** | -1.154 | 0.2754 | *** |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)       | -0.07143 | 0.2316 | ns | -0.2143 | 0.2716 | ns |
| RSA59 (PP) vs. RSA59 (P)    | 1.143 | 0.1975 | **** | 0.9396 | 0.236 | *** |

### Hypothalamus

| Comparison                  | t  | df  | p  | t  |
|-----------------------------|----|-----|----|----|
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)      | -1.429 | 0.2316 | **** | -0.8462 | 0.2754 | ** |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)       | -0.2143 | 0.2316 | ns | 0 | 0.2716 | ns |
| RSA59 (PP) vs. RSA59 (P)    | 1.214 | 0.1975 | **** | 0.8462 | 0.236 | ** |

### Mid Brain

| Comparison                  | t  | df  | p  | t  |
|-----------------------------|----|-----|----|----|
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)      | -1.929 | 0.2316 | **** | -1.231 | 0.2754 | **** |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)       | -0.1429 | 0.2316 | ns | -0.2143 | 0.2716 | ns |
| RSA59 (PP) vs. RSA59 (P)    | 1.786 | 0.1975 | **** | 1.016 | 0.236 | **** |

### Brain Stem

| Comparison                  | t  | df  | p  | t  |
|-----------------------------|----|-----|----|----|
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)      | -2.5 | 0.2316 | **** | -2.231 | 0.2754 | **** |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)       | -0.3571 | 0.2316 | ns | -0.9286 | 0.2716 | ** |
| RSA59 (PP) vs. RSA59 (P)    | 2.143 | 0.1975 | **** | 1.302 | 0.236 | **** |

### Cerebellum

| Comparison                  | t  | df  | p  | t  |
|-----------------------------|----|-----|----|----|
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)      | -0.8462 | 0.2348 | ** | -0.9231 | 0.2754 | ** |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)       | -1.071 | 0.2316 | **** | -1 | 0.2797 | ** |
| RSA59 (PP) vs. RSA59 (P)    | -0.2253 | 0.2013 | ns | -0.07692 | 0.2453 | ns |

### Sub-ependymal layer of 4th ventricle

| Comparison                  | t  | df  | p  | t  |
|-----------------------------|----|-----|----|----|
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)      | -0.9464 | 0.2316 | *** | -0.6923 | 0.2754 | * |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)       | -2.161 | 0.2316 | **** | -1.077 | 0.2754 | *** |
| RSA59 (PP) vs. RSA59 (P)    | -1.214 | 0.1975 | **** | -0.3846 | 0.2404 | ns |

ns = no significance, vs. = versus
Table 3. The mean difference and statistical significance as an effect of proline residues on microglia distribution in different neuroanatomical regions of infected mice brain.

| Tukey’s multiple comparisons test | Day 3 p.i. | Day 6 p.i. |
|----------------------------------|------------|------------|
|                                  | Mean Diff. | SE         | Significance | Mean Diff. | SE         | Significance |
| Cerebral cortex                  |            |            |              |            |            |              |
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)           | -1.267     | 0.6780     | ns           | -2.354     | 0.7639     | **           |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)            | -1.940     | 0.6780     | *            | -1.762     | 0.7530     | ns           |
| RSA59 (PP) vs. RSA59 (P)         | -0.6733    | 0.5536     | ns           | 0.5921     | 0.6430     | ns           |
| Ventricular striatum/ basal forebrain |            |            |              |            |            |              |
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)           | -1.420     | 0.6780     | ns           | -3.671     | 0.7530     | ****         |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)            | -2.530     | 0.6766     | ***          | -3.785     | 0.7530     | ****         |
| RSA59 (PP) vs. RSA59 (P)         | -1.111     | 0.5641     | ns           | -0.1141    | 0.6300     | ns           |
| Lateral ventricles/ sub-ventricular Zone |            |            |              |            |            |              |
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)           | -3.278     | 0.7438     | ****         | -5.269     | 0.8539     | ****         |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)            | -6.394     | 0.7533     | ****         | -4.725     | 0.8417     | ****         |
| RSA59 (PP) vs. RSA59 (P)         | -3.116     | 0.6189     | ****         | 0.5441     | 0.7187     | ns           |
| Hippocampus                      |            |            |              |            |            |              |
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)           | -1.737     | 0.6780     | *            | -2.890     | 0.7639     | ***          |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)            | -2.261     | 0.6780     | **           | -2.695     | 0.7530     | **           |
| RSA59 (PP) vs. RSA59 (P)         | -0.5240    | 0.5536     | ns           | 0.1947     | 0.6430     | ns           |
| Thalamus                         |            |            |              |            |            |              |
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)           | -2.285     | 0.6780     | **           | -2.980     | 0.7530     | ***          |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)            | -2.141     | 0.6780     | **           | -2.723     | 0.7530     | **           |
| RSA59 (PP) vs. RSA59 (P)         | 0.1447     | 0.5536     | ns           | 0.2570     | 0.6300     | ns           |
| Hypothalamus                     |            |            |              |            |            |              |
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)           | -0.6092    | 0.6780     | ns           | -2.723     | 0.7530     | **           |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)            | -1.739     | 0.6866     | *            | -2.185     | 0.7530     | *            |
| RSA59 (PP) vs. RSA59 (P)         | -1.130     | 0.5641     | ns           | 0.5385     | 0.6300     | ns           |
| Mid brain                        |            |            |              |            |            |              |
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)           | -2.449     | 0.6780     | **           | -2.832     | 0.7639     | ***          |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)            | -2.003     | 0.6780     | **           | -2.150     | 0.7530     | *            |
| RSA59 (PP) vs. RSA59 (P)         | 0.4465     | 0.5536     | ns           | 0.6818     | 0.6430     | ns           |
| Brain stem                       |            |            |              |            |            |              |
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)           | -1.162     | 0.6780     | ns           | -3.471     | 0.7530     | ****         |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)            | -1.486     | 0.6780     | ns           | -3.777     | 0.7530     | ****         |
Table 4. The mean difference and statistical significance as an effect of proline residues on viral antigen distribution in infected mice spinal cord.

| Tukey's multiple comparisons test          | Day 3 p.i.       | Day 6 p.i.       |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                                            | Mean Diff. | SE     | Significance | Mean Diff. | SE | Significance |
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)                    | -0.7895     | 0.1840 | ***         | -2.475     | 0.6817 | **           |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)                     | -0.4307     | 0.1840 | ns          | -0.08576   | 0.6657 | ns           |
| RSA59 (PP) vs. RSA59 (P)                  | 0.3588      | 0.1661 | ns          | 2.390      | 0.5754 | ***          |

ns = no significance, vs. = versus

Table 5. The mean difference and statistical significance as an effect of proline residues on microglia distribution in infected mice spinal cord.

| Tukey's multiple comparisons test          | Day 3 p.i.       | Day 6 p.i.       |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                                            | Mean Diff. | SE     | Significance | Mean Diff. | SE | Significance |
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)                    | -0.3182     | 0.2126 | ns          | -0.7573    | 0.2107 | **           |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)                     | -0.6799     | 0.2113 | **          | -0.7429    | 0.2189 | **           |
| RSA59 (PP) vs. RSA59 (P)                  | -0.3617     | 0.1868 | ns          | 0.01434    | 0.1857 | ns           |

ns = no significance, vs. = versus

Table 6. The mean difference and statistical significance as an effect of proline residues on area with myelin loss.

| Tukey's multiple comparisons test          | Day 30 p.i.     |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|
|                                            | Mean Diff. | SE     | Significance |
| Control vs. RSA59 (PP)                    | -20.05      | 4.65   | ***           |
| Control vs. RSA59 (P)                     | -4.267      | 4.784  | ns            |
| RSA59 (PP) vs. RSA59 (P)                  | 15.78       | 3.804  | ***           |

ns = no significance, vs. = versus

Table 7. List of antibodies and their dilutions used for immunohistochemical analysis.

| Target cell/structure       | Primary antibody                                                                 | Dilution |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Microglia/macrophage         | Anti-Iba1 Rabbit (microglia/macrophage marker, Wako Chemicals, USA. Cat no. 019-19741) | 1:250    |
**Viral antigen**
Mouse monoclonal anti-N, Nucleocapsid protein of MHV-JHM, monoclonal clone 1-16-1, kindly provided by Julian Leibowitz, Texas A&M, College Station, TX

**Myelin sheath**
Anti-PLP (Proteolipid protein) Rat IgG (Gift from Judith B. Grinspan, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA)

---

**Figures and figure legends**

**Fig. 1 Introduction to Spike protein**
Schematic of the domain structure of coronavirus spike, including receptor binding domain (RBD) in S1 subunit, cleavage signal sequence (CSS) between S1 and S2, putative fusion peptide (FP), heptad repeat 1 (HR1), heptad repeat 2 (HR2), transmembrane domain (TM),...
and intracellular tail (IC) in S2 subunit. The protein can be proteolytically cleaved at CSS into an S1 and S2 subunit, which are noncovalently linked (a). Fusion domains of MHV-A59 and MHV2 spike genes are aligned using ClustalW as described in methods (b). The cleavage site and FP are highlighted.

**FP with consecutive proline is more efficient in causing infection** RSA59 (PP) is more effective in its ability to cause cell-to-cell fusion in comparison with RMHV-2 (P) in N2a cells. Monolayer of N2a cells was infected with 1 MOI of virus from RSA59 (PP) and RMHV-2 (P) strain. The cells were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C with 5% CO₂, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and mounted in DAPI containing mounting media and successively epifluorescence microscopy was performed. Images were acquired with a Hamamatsu Orca-1 charge-coupled device camera and Image-Pro image analysis software. EGFP fluorescence (green) identifies virus-infected cells and DAPI (blue fluorescence) stains nucleus in the merged images. RSA59 (PP) strain infection induces giant syncytia formation (c) ( multinucleated cells) but in RSMHV-2 (P) strain infection (c) cell-to-cell fusion and giant syncytia formation is rare. The experiment was repeated five times.

---

**Fig. 2** The trimeric model of the fusion domains S-MHV-A59 (PP), S-MHV-2 (P), energy landscapes and their internal FPs The sequence comparison of FP between S-MHV-A59 (PP), S-MHV-2 (P) showed that S-MHV-A59 (PP) has two consecutive central prolines (a). Trimeric model of the fusion domain of S-MHV-A59 (PP) spanning residues 871-1116 from PDB structure 3JCL is shown in b and the comparative model for the trimeric fusion domain was built from 3JCL for S-MHV-2 (P) spanning residues locations 911-1153 in c. The FP segment highlighted in figure b is also marked in blue cartoon diagram and proline
is shown in ball-and-stick model (d). The corresponding FP from S-MHV-2 (P) is shown in (e). The snapshots shown are representatives of the largest structural clusters obtained from the MD simulation trajectories. Energy landscapes from simulation of trimeric S-MHV-A59 (P) and S-MHV-A59 (PP) show double potential wells. Population of the conformers in the trajectory can be assessed by the relative energy scale given in the legend. It is also observed that the mutant S-MHV-A59 (P) has comparatively shallow potential wells (f).

**Fig. 3 Dihedral fluctuations (in degrees) for S-MHV-A59 (PP), S-MHV-A59 (P) and S-MHV-2 (P) in water** Dihedral fluctuation is defined as the standard deviation of the distribution of absolute difference from mean for a dihedral angle in the trajectory. The marker on the curve on either side of each tickmark for a residue label correspond to $\phi$ and $\psi$ backbone torsion angle for that residue (a). Statistics of helical structure detected by program DSSP (48) for each residue in MD (fusion domain in water) trajectory around the FP (b).
Fig. 4 NMR derived structure of FPs from S-MHV-A59 (PP). 1H-1H ROESY spectrum shows that the trans conformation can be identified by the dαδ NOE peak between P8 and P9 (red). The cross peak between F7αH and P8δH indicates that P8 is in trans conformation (green) (a). The zoomed in picture of the 2D spectrum in (b) shows the dαα NOE between P8 and P9, which indicates the cis conformation (blue). Please note that in both cases, the P8 exists in the trans conformation. The P8 and P9 in the polypeptide corresponding to P938 and P939, respectively in the FP are shown using pink and orange colors for the 10 and 20 superposed structures determined for the respective trans and cis conformer from the NMR data (c and d). A corresponding ribbon diagram for one model is given in the lower panel (e and f). Superposed structure comparing the 16-residue polypeptide (red) in methanol with the 24-residue polypeptide (blue) in water, both in trans conformation (g). Root mean square fluctuation for 16-residue FP fragment of S-MHV-A59 (PP) under combination of four MD simulation conditions (cis/trans) and (methanol/water) (h). The FP shows greater fluctuation in water, even for the two proline of interest, which are highlighted by a shaded background.
Fig. 5 S-MHV-A59 (PP) protein overexpression leads to its trafficking to the cell surface and causes syncytia formation. HeLa cells are transiently transfected with S-MHV-A59 (PP) (a, b, c and d). After 48 h of transfection, cells were either fixed with 95% ice cold EtOH to visualize YFP (a, c) or immunolabeled with anti-Spike antibody by routine protocol as discussed in the materials and methods section and observed (b and d). S-MHV-A59-(PP) can be seen surrounding the nucleus as well as on the cytoplasmic surface (a and b). Overexpression of spike to the surface leads to syncytia formation (c and d). The amount of spike protein surface expression was quantified as discussed in material and methods section. ~40% of total spike protein was expressed on the surface as compared with cytosolic fraction (e). Level of significance was determined by paired t-test. n=12, ****, p<0.0001. Error bar represents standard error of mean (SEM).
Fig. 6 Comparison of syncytia formation in N2a cell infected by RSA59 (PP) and the proline deleted mutant strain RSA59 (P) N2a cells were infected with RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) at a MOI of 1. The green fluorescence is due to EGFP which is integrated in the RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) genome. Images of DAPI (blue) and EGFP (green) were merged to construct the final images; a, c, and e panel are from RSA59 (PP) infected N2a cells at 12, 16 and 24 h, respectively. Panel b, d, and f show N2a cell infected by RSA59 (P) at 12, 16 and 24 h, respectively. Arrows indicate syncytia. The mean nuclei per syncytia was counted and plotted (g). Luciferase reporter assay was performed to compare the fusion efficiency of pMH54EGFP (PP) and pMH54EGFP (P). BHK-R cells were used as effector cells and HeLa cells as target cells. Effector cells were transfected with plasmids expressing Luciferase reporter gene. Target HeLa cells were transfected with plasmids expressing T7 RNA polymerase and spike plasmids. Luciferase activity was measured 36 h after co-culturing the effector and target cells. Relative Luciferase units (RLUs) were determined using a luminometer (Berthold Detection Systems, Germany). Experiments were repeated three times. Comparative fusion efficiency was measured in pMH54EGFP (PP) and pMH54EGFP (P) transfected cells after 36 h (h) (*,p<0.05) and 48 h (i) (**,**,p<0.001; ****,p<0.0001). The plot shown here represents one of the experiments. Level of significance was calculated by unpaired t-tests. *,p<0.05; **,p<0.01;***,p<0.001; ****,p<0.0001.Error bar represents SEM.
Fig. 7 Time lapse imaging of RSA59 (PP), and RSA59 (P) infection of N2a cells  Panel (a) represents still images of RSA59 (PP), and RSA59 (P) infected N2a cells from a time lapse video microscopy. The images were acquired 8 h p.i. and continued until 14 h p.i. The images were captured with a Hamamatsu Orca-I charge-coupled device camera equipped with Image-Pro image analysis software. EGFP fluorescence (green) identifies the virus infected cells. L2 cells were infected with RSA59 (PP), and RSA59 (P), at MOI of 1, for differential growth kinetics (b). At time points 4, 8, 12, 20, and 24 h p.i., the infected cultures with media were freeze-thawed thrice, centrifuged, and viral titers were determined by routine plaque assay. Viral titers at different time points were plotted in the GraphPad Prism version 6.01 software. Level of significance was calculated using unpaired t-test. Experiments were repeated three times (n=3); each dot represent mean of triplicates of one experiment. Furthermore, viral replication was studied by real time PCR analysis of spike and nucleocapsid transcript at 0, 4, 8 and 12 h p.i. in L2 cells as mentioned in methods. Both the viruses were able to replicate efficiently but S and N gene expression of RSA59 (P) was consistently less compared with RSA59 (PP) (c and d). Level of significance was measured using unpaired t-test. *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ***, p<0.001; ****, p<0.0001.
**Fig. 8** Liver pathology represents moderate to severe hepatitis following RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P)

Liver sections of day 3 and 6 p.i. mice were stained with H & E. Similar liver pathology consisting of moderate to severe necrotizing and non-necrotizing hepatitis was observed throughout the liver sections in both RSA59 (PP) (a, c) and RSA59 (P) (b, f) infection. Serial sections immunolabeled with anit-Iba1 antibody illustrate similar degree of hepatic inflammation in RSA59 (PP) (c, d) and RSA59 (P) (g, h). Arrows indicate presence of iba1+ cells in hepatic lesions.
Fig. 9 Differential distribution of viral antigen in different neuroanatomical regions from RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) of day 3 and day 6 p.i. brains Five-micron thick mid-sagittal brain sections from RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) infected mice were immunohistochemically stained with anti-N antibody (viral antigen). The scanned images of an entire sagittal section from RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) day 3 p.i. are shown in (a), and (b), respectively. In RSA59 (PP), viral antigen distribution was observed almost throughout the brain including subpial layer of meninges (c), ventral striatum/basal forebrain (e), hippocampus (g) and brain stem (i). In contrast, in RSA59 (P) infected brain sections, viral antigen distribution was limited to regions like; meninges (d), basal forebrain (f), lateral ventricle/subventricular zone (h) and also to subependymal layer of 4th ventricle near cerebellum (j) At day 6 p.i., RSA59 (PP) infected mice showed distribution of viral antigen mainly in the posterior part of the brain stem (m) and deep cerebellar white matter with very little remnant of viral antigen in the
ventricular lining (k). In comparison, RSA59 (P) viral antigen was observed to be restricted predominantly in the lateral ventricular lining (l and n). Arrows indicate virus antigen staining.

Fig. 10 Effect of proline residues on viral antigen distribution to different neuroanatomical regions of brain

The distribution of viral antigen was quantified in different neuroanatomical regions of brain based on the score 0: no infection; 1: very small foci of infection; 2: wide spread small foci of infection; 3: wide spread with large foci of infection. The mid-sagittal brain section was divided into several neuroanatomical regions (a). At day 3 p.i., RSA59 (PP) brain in comparison to RSA59 (P) showed significant difference in cerebral cortex, ventral striatum/basal forebrain, lateral ventricle/subventricular zone, hippocampus, thalamus, hypothalamus, mid brain, brain stem, subependymal layer of 4th ventricle (b, c, d). No significant difference was observed in meninges and cerebellum. Differences were also observed at day 6 p.i in different neuroanatomical regions such as meninges, cerebral cortex, ventral striatum/basal forebrain, lateral ventricle/subventricular zone, thalamus, hypothalamus, mid brain, brain stem (e, f, g) with no significant difference in hippocampus, cerebellum and subependymal layer of 4th ventricle (n=7 mice/ virus
Fusion peptide central proline modulates cell-to-cell fusion

Level of significance was determined by Two-way ANOVA and Tukey’s multiple comparison test. *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ***, p<0.001; ****, p<0.0001. Two-way ANOVA was performed to demonstrate the interaction between the type of infection (treatment) and amount of infection in different neuroanatomic regions of the brain. F(df, df) values for type of infection (treatment), amount of infection in different neuroanatomic regions, and their interaction at day 3 p.i. were F (2, 362) = 199.8 (****, p<0.0001), F (10, 362) = 13.76 (****, p<0.0001), and F (20, 362) = 16.35 (****, p<0.0001) respectively and at day 6 p.i. were F (2, 348) = 63.52 (****, p<0.0001), F (10, 348) = 5.294 (****, p<0.0001), and F (20, 348) = 7.220 (*, p<0.0001) respectively. Error bar represents SEM.

Fig. 11 Neuroinflammation implied by Iba1+ cells in RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) infected mice brain

Five-micron thick mid-sagittal sections from RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) infected mice at day 3 and 6 p.i. were stained with H & E and also immunohistochemically with anti-Iba1 (microglia/macrophage marker). H & E staining shows the observed encephalitis (in different region of brain). Arrows indicate the inflamed region (microglia/macrophage with ciger shaped nuclei) and lymphocytes in the vicinity of neurons in mice infected with RSA59 (PP) (a and g) and RSA59 (P) (b and h).
At day 3 p.i. presence of anti-Iba1+ staining symbolizes acute inflammation (encephalitis) in different neuroanatomic regions of RSA59 (PP) infected brain (c and in magnified image e). Also, in RSA59 (P) infected brain, Iba1+ cells were distributed throughout the brain parenchyma (d and magnified image f). Representative high magnification images show microglial/macrophage proliferation in lateral ventricle/subventricular zone in RSA59 (PP) (e) and RSA59 (P) (f) infected brain. At day 6 p.i. in RSA59 (PP) infected brain, Iba1+ cells were still present even when the viral antigen was cleared from anterior part of the brain like basal forebrain, hippocampus, as well as in posterior part like brain stem (i and magnified image k). At day 6 p.i., in RSA59 (P) infected brain, Iba1+ cells were widely distributed in the brain parenchyma with microglia/macrophage proliferation accumulated near the lateral ventricle/subventricular zone (j and magnified image l). Arrows indicate accumulation of proliferated Iba1+ microglia/macrophage cells.

Fig. 12 Effect of proline residues on microglia/macrophage distribution to different neuroanatomical regions of brain

The distribution as well as level of inflammation was quantified as described in the methods section. RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) infection showed significant neurinflammation compared to control infected mice. No significant difference was observed in distribution of microglia to different neuroanatomical regions of RSA59 (PP) infected brain compared with RSA59 (P) at day 3 (a) and 6 p.i. (b) (n = 7 mice / virus infection). Only lateral ventricular/subventricular zone showed significant higher neuroinflammation in RSA59 (P) compared with RSA59 (PP) infected brain. Two-way ANOVA and Tukey’s multiple comparison test was performed to determine the statistical significance. Two-way
ANOVA was performed to demonstrate the interaction between the type of infection (treatment) and amount of Iba1+ staining in different neuroanatomic regions of the brain. F(df, df) values for type of infection (treatment), amount of Iba1+ staining in different neuroanatomic regions, and their interaction at day 3 p.i. were $F(2, 282) = 45.23 (***, p<0.0001)$, $F(8, 282) = 9.279 (***, p<0.0001)$, and $F(16, 282) = 2.850 (***, p<0.0001)$ respectively and at day 6 p.i. were $F(2, 262) = 61.12 (***, p<0.0001)$, $F(8, 262) = 5.185 (***, p<0.0001)$, and $F(16, 262) = 1.035$ (not significant) respectively. Error bar represents SEM.

Fig. 13 Spread and distribution of viral antigen and microglia/macrophages in RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) infected mice spinal cord Control (mock infected) and virus infected spinal cord cross sections at day 3 and 6 p.i. were stained with anti-N antibody (a, b, c and g, h, i) as well as anti-Iba1 antibody for microglia/macrophages (d, e, f and j, k, l). No obvious viral antigen staining was observed in mock infected spinal cord sections (a and g). At day 3 p.i. in RSA59 (PP) infected spinal cord, viral antigen distribution was observed both in gray and white matter (b); whereas, very little viral antigen staining was apparent in RSA59 (P) infected spinal cord (c). At day 6 p.i in RSA59 (PP), amount of viral antigen was significantly increased in the white matter as well as in the gray-white matter junction (h) but in RSA59 (P) viral antigen was almost cleared (i). Iba1+ cells were distributed in both RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) infected spinal cord.
sections compared to control both at day 3 (d, e and f) and day 6 p.i. (j, k and l). Scale bar represent 50 μm. The total viral antigen positive areas (m and o) and Iba1 positive areas (n and p) were quantified as discussed in methods section. Level of significance was determined by one-way ANOVA and Tukey’s multiple comparison test. n=7 and ***.p<0.001. For anti-N staining F(df, df) values at day 3 p.i. were (F (2, 83) = 9.241 (***,p< 0.0001), and at day 6 p.i. were F (2, 96) = 10.58 (****,p<0.0001). For Iba1 staining F(df, df) values at day 3 p.i. were F (2, 80) = 5.352 (*,p<0.05)  and day 6 p.i. were (F (2, 98) = 7.530 (****,p<0.0001). Error bar represents SEM.
Fig. 14 Differential intensity of demyelination observed between RSA59 (PP) and RSA59 (P) during chronic stage of inflammation

Five micron thick spinal cord sections from day 30 post infected RSA59 (PP) (b, e), RSA59 (P) (c, f) and control (a, d) mice were stained with LFB to detect myelin loss. No myelin loss was observed in mock infected spinal cord sections (a, d). In contrast, extensive myelin loss was observed at multiple levels of spinal cord from RSA59 (PP) infected mice (b, e), but in RSA59 (P) infected mice, smaller patches of myelin loss were observed in very few sections of spinal cord (c, f). The corresponding serial sections were immunohistochemically labeled with anti-Iba1 (g, h, i) and anti-PLP antibody (j, k, l) to observe the presence of microglia/macrophage and morphological changes in myelin protein, respectively. RSA59 (PP) infected sections showed the accumulation of Iba1+ cells (h) in the corresponding demyelination patches (e) whereas in RSA59 (P) very few scattered Iba1+ cells were evident (i), almost similar to mock infection (g). Anti-PLP staining also illustrated the loss of myelin sheath in the white matter of RSA59 (PP) infected spinal cord (k and high magnified image n). No obvious loss of anti-PLP staining was observed in RSA59(P) (l and magnified image o ) infected spinal cord sections. In mock infected spinal cord section, anti-PLP stained myelin was intact (j and magnified image m). Quantification of demyelination was plotted in scatter diagram (p), and level of significance was calculated by one-way ANOVA and Tukey’s multiple comparison test. n=7 and ***,p<0.001. F(df, df) values for infection are F(2, 54) = 13.04 (****,p< 0.0001). Error bar represents SEM.
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