Pulse-sheet chemical tomography by counterpropagating stimulated Raman scattering
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Large-volumetric optical tomography with molecular specificity has long been pursued to tackle the challenge of dissecting both structural and chemical organization of complicated biological tissues. Here, based on counterpropagating femtosecond pulse laser trains and stimulated Raman scattering, we report pulse-sheet chemical tomography (PCT), which allows label-free bond-selective three-dimensional imaging of large intact tissues. To prove the concept, we demonstrate vibrational tomography of highly scattering bone tissue with lateral resolution of 16.4 µm and axial resolution of 24.5 µm, over a large field of view of 8 x 8 x 1.6 mm³ in a mouse skull with scalp. PCT resolves the trade-off between focal depth and spatial resolution, and offers unique biomedical and clinical prospects for optical tomography of tissues and organs in the future. © 2021 Optical Society of America under the terms of the OSA Open Access Publishing Agreement
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1. INTRODUCTION

Optical microscopy is revolutionizing modern biology by its superior advantages in decoding of individual cells and complex tissues structurally and genetically [1,2]. However, for large-tissue tomography, only a few optical methodologies have been successful so far, including optical coherence tomography (OCT) [3], photoacoustic computed tomography (PACT) [4], light-sheet microscopy [5], and other modalities. In contrast to computed tomography (CT) [6], magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) [7] and positron-emission tomography (PET) [8], the optical tools possess priorities in molecular specificity, spatial resolution, and radiation safety, but never in tomographic depth due to strong optical scattering [9,10]. Only with bright fluorescent labeling do confocal and nonlinear microscopes allow optical sectioning in tissues by pinhole or optical nonlinear effect. Even so, the imaging depth is superficial and restricted to ~1 mm across a very limited field of view (FOV) [11]. Park and his colleagues performed submicrometer-resolution in vivo imaging of a labeled mouse brain through the intact skull with an adaptive system [12]. The label-free OCT delivers low coherence light into the tissue and returns anatomical images by tissue reflection at different depths [13], but the penetration depth and specificity of the contrast are still not optimal. By taking advantage of negligible ultrasonic scattering in tissue, PACT achieved remarkable imaging depth up to 7 cm in mice in vivo [14]. However, the trade-off between penetration depth and spatial resolution remains a primary obstacle, limiting the spatial resolution of PACT from 100 to 600 µm. Recently, light-sheet and SRS microscopy implementing Bessel laser beams or other flattened beams has exhibited delicate tissue tomography with both submicrometer resolution and centimeter imaging depth, but is more suited to cleared or labeled tissues [15–20].

As evidenced by the fact that in PACT the light does penetrate very deep into the tissue, we believe in the success of large-tissue volumetric tomography solely based on light [14]. Here, we report conceptually a novel label-free pulse-sheet chemical tomography (termed PCT), in which the light sheet forms by ultrashort pulse laser-stimulating coherent Raman scattering (CRS) [21–23]. Specifically, the phase-locked femtosecond pump and Stokes laser pulses are introduced into the tissue in a counterpropagating mode [24–27] and a pulse-duration-determined light sheet forms in the fixed z plane of the tissue as the femtosecond pulse trains repeatedly encounter each other there. Essentially, the three-dimensional (3D) chemical anatomy of the tissue can be achieved by scanning the pulse-sheet plane across the sample by tuning the relative time delay between the pump and Stokes pulses. To prove the concept of PCT, we demonstrated bond-selective tomography of a highly scattering mouse skull with scalp at lateral and axial resolution of 16.4 µm and 24.5 µm (refractive index 1.37), respectively [28]. PCT substantially relieves the trade-off between optical focal depth and spatial resolution, and may potentially enable optical volumetric tomography comparable to x-ray CT, MRI, and PET in the future.
2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Principle and Systematic Characterization of PCT

PCT possesses the intriguing capability of bond-selective chemical tomography, and the concept requires simply two counterpropagating femtosecond laser pulses, which form a single steady SRS imaging cross section in the sample [see Experimental Section (Supplement 1) and Visualization 1]. As a direct contrast, the typical SRS imaging microscope adopts spatially and temporally combined pump and Stokes lasers propagating in the same direction. For optical sectioning, the SRS signal only arises at the laser focus as the energy difference between the pump and Stokes photons matches the vibrational transition of specific molecules (see Experimental Section, Fig. S1, Supplement 1). Figure 1 illustrates the principle and schematic setup of the PCT system (picture of the PCT setup in Fig. S2, Supplement 1). Specifically, a ∼ 100 fs pump laser at ∼ 800 nm and a ∼ 200 fs Stokes laser at 1040 nm are phase-locked and synchronized at an 80 MHz repetition rate. To realize 3D tomography, the two ultrafast pulse lasers are further scanned in the x − y plane by two synchronized galvanometers and focused into the sample with f = 75 mm achromatic doublet lenses in opposite directions. As a result, the counterpropagating pump and Stokes pulse trains repeatedly encounter each other on one fixed cross-sectional plane of the sample, where the vibrational SRS signals of the target biomolecules arise. The spatial thickness (W) of the formed SRS pulse sheet, reflecting the axial imaging resolution of PCT, can be described by the formula: W = c × τ/2n ∼ 20 µm, in which c represents the speed of the light, n is the refractive index of the biological tissues (n = 1.37), and τ follows the broader pulse duration of either pump or Stokes laser (see detailed calculation in Note S1, Supplement 1). Here, the axial resolution gains an additional factor of 2 due to the SRS process in counterpropagating mode (see Fig. S3, Supplement 1). It is worthwhile to note that higher spatial resolution can be achieved by applying shorter laser pulses. In addition, the SRS signal will not be produced in the rest of the cross-sectional plane of the sample, where the pulse trains always miss the temporal overlapping. To scan the pulse-sheet imaging plane in the axial direction, we simply tune the relative time delay, 2Δt, between the pump and Stokes pulses. As shown in Fig. 1(a), the SRS imaging layers shift exactly as ΔZi = c × Δti/τ. Meanwhile, two synchronized two-axis galvanometers are equipped for each laser beam to provide fast and accurate point-to-point lateral scanning in the x − y plane [Fig. 1(b) and Fig. S4(a), Supplement 1]. The lateral resolution of PCT is determined by the focal length of the lens and the size of the incident laser beams (Note S1, Supplement 1). A large area photodiode with resonant amplifier is installed as close as possible to the sample to collect the highly scattered pump photons for lock-in detection of SRS signals.

To experimentally validate the performance of the PCT system, we conducted 3D SRS imaging of a droplet of glyceryl trioleate (TO) sealed between two coverslips, 10 µm polystyrene (PS) beads, and an intact polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) microneedle (MN) patch immersed in deuteroxide (D2O). In Fig. S4(b), Supplement 1, the TO droplet rich in carbon–hydrogen stretching (C–H, 3100 cm−1) was chemically imaged in 3D by PCT with a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and signal-to-background ratio (SBR) measured to be 226 and 71, respectively (Fig. S4(c), (d), Supplement 1). To determine the focal depth produced by the two 75 mm lenses, we obtained a serial of SRS images of a thin TO droplet translated along the z direction (Fig. S4(e), Supplement 1). The focal depth for PCT is measured to be about 2.7 mm in full width at half-maximum (FWHM), which is very consistent with the theoretical calculation [Fig. S4(f), Note S2, Supplement 1]. The focal depth indicates how far the pulse sheet can be scanned in the z direction (ΔZ) by time delay while maintaining the SRS efficiency. But, it does not limit the size of the sample that can be imaged. In addition, for large-tissue tomography, where an imaging lens with longer focal length and smaller numerical aperture (NA) is applied, the PCT is superior to typical SRS or other
nonlinear optical imaging and maintains good axial resolution solely determined by the pulse width. For traditional imaging methods, the axial resolution at the focus of 75 mm lens (e.g., Φ, 2 mm; NA, 0.013) degrades to 4.2 mm (FWHM). Meanwhile, the axial imaging resolution can be maintained in ~24.5 µm for PCT, attributed to the SRS pulse sheet [Fig. S4(g), Supplement 1].

To characterize the spatial resolution of PCT, the PS beads in Fig. S5(a), Supplement 1, were chemically identified and imaged by detecting the SRS spectrum of C–H stretching [Fig. S5(b), Supplement 1]. The FWHM of the 10 µm PS beads was measured to be 17.9 and 22.7 µm in lateral and axial directions, respectively. The results are consistent with our theoretical predictions of the spatial resolution of PCT (see Note S1, Supplement 1). In Figs. S5(c)–(g), Supplement 1, we further performed label-free 3D chemical tomography of a D$_2$O immersed MN patch (6.5 × 6.5 × 1.0 mm$^3$), which is hard to image by OCT and PACT without labeling [29]. Here, PCT allows high-resolution and bond-selective volumetric tomography for both the MN patch (2908 cm$^{-1}$, Fig. S5(g), Supplement 1) and surrounding D$_2$O (2446 cm$^{-1}$). Especially, the individual PMMA needles with diameter of 300 µm and height of 600 µm on the patch can be visualized in great detail (Fig. S5(e), Supplement 1). The spectral resolution of PCT was characterized by dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to be 160 cm$^{-1}$ (Fig. S5(h), Supplement 1), which is limited by the trade-off between spectral width and pulse width of the femtosecond lasers.

**B. Large-Scale Volumetric Tomography of Highly Scattering Bone Tissue**

The mouse skull with thick scalp is highly heterogeneous and scattering for photons, which results in a challenge for high-resolution optical tomography. Figure 2(a) presents the 3D morphological structure of a piece of fresh mouse skull, which is depicted by PCT at a Raman shift of 2908 cm$^{-1}$, indicating a large amount of collagen in bone (Visualization 2). The distinct features of frontal bone (FB), anterior fontanelle (AF), parietal bone (PB), sagittal suture (SS), and posterior fontanelle (PF) were revealed in different regions of the intact skull (7.3 × 7.3 × 1.75 mm$^3$ in size). Even the cracks and intercavities, where the collagen is absent, can be clearly observed in real details in the skull. Especially from the sagittal and coronal images, we are able to distinguish the double-layer structure of compact bones (CBs), possible spongy bones (SBs) in between [Fig. 2(b)] [30] and the fine calvarial fusion structures [Fig. 2(c)] in the SS region [31], owing to the strong photon scattering and attenuation (Fig. S6, Supplement 1), which...
Fig. 3. Noninvasive PCT imaging of mouse ear in vivo. (a)–(b) 3D view of protein and lipid [(a), 2908 cm$^{-1}$] and water [(b), 3300 cm$^{-1}$] in mouse ear; inset: side view; (c) color overlay image of (a)–(b). (d) cross-sectional images of protein and lipid and water at different tissue depths; scale bars, 2 mm; inset: zoom-in image of a protein/lipid granule indicated by the white arrow; scale bar, 100 µm; (e) side view at depth of $x = 4.2$ mm. Ca, cartilage; Ep, epidermis; De, dermis; scale bars, 1 mm. (f) cross-sectional profile of the selected protein/lipid granule in (d); (g) intensity profiles along dashed line in (e), illustrating the distribution of the bilayer structure of the mouse ear; (h) SRS spectra indicating that the content ratio of protein and lipid (C–H, 2908 cm$^{-1}$) to water (O–H, 3300 cm$^{-1}$) in mouse ear is $\sim 1:3$. 

are difficult to image by other tomographic methods. Figure 2(d) shows the skeleton maps of the skull at different depths along the z axis, and the profiles of the shell illustrate apparent inhomogeneity of skull in thickness. By the overall thickness analysis, the region with maximum protein thickness in the whole skull is found to be SS, where the average thickness is about $\sim 220$ µm [Fig. 2(e)]. Comparatively, the rest of the area of the skull, particularly PB, exhibits significant heterogeneity, with an average thickness of about 110 µm [Fig. 2(f)]. We further performed 3D PCT imaging of a large piece of mouse skull with homologous thick scalp on the top. Figure 2(g) presents the spatial distributions of the skull and scalp, which were flattened to a size about $8 \times 8 \times 1.6$ mm$^3$. In contrast to the photograph of the tissue [Fig. 2(h)], Fig. 2(i) gives the cross-sectional map of both skull and scalp along the $x - y$ plane at z depths of 0.88 and 1.0 mm, respectively. The color map in Fig. 2(j) shows the thickness distribution of the whole tissue, and the SRS intensity profile [Fig. 2(k)] along the dashed line in Fig. 2(j) measured the thickness of the skull and scalp to be 80 and 321 µm, respectively. All these imaging results confirm that PCT is capable of chemical tomography and 3D morphological reconstruction of large and highly scattering bone tissues. In Table S1, Supplement 1, we compare the performance of PCT with other tissue tomography methods.

C. Chemical Tomography of Live Mouse Ear

By providing the vital noninvasive imaging, PCT possesses the unique advantage of bond-selective chemical tomography in vivo over many other imaging methods. In Figs. 3(a)–3(c), we demonstrated chemical imaging of protein and lipid (C–H, 2908 cm$^{-1}$) and water (O–H, 3300 cm$^{-1}$) distribution inside a live mouse ear (Visualization 3). The size of the whole mouse ear is about $10.0 \times 10.0 \times 3.45$ mm$^3$, which structurally consists of epithelial layer, dermis, cartilage layers, and numerous hair follicles, etc. [32]. Figure 3(d) illustrates the spatial distributions of cartilage and water in ear at a z depth of 1.3 and 2.6 mm, respectively. In the cross section along the $y - z$ plane [Fig. 3(e)], we observed the double layers of water and a single cartilage layer containing lipid and protein in the middle of the live mouse ear. This implies that the surface of mouse ear is full of intercellular fluid [33,34]. Figure 3(g) shows the cross-sectional profiles of protein/lipid and water distributed in the mouse ear [along the indicated line in Fig. 3(e)], and the thickness of cartilage and double-layer water is about 182 and 438 µm, respectively. We also characterized one protein/lipid granule in the ear; the size was measured to be $\sim 28$ µm in FWHM [x – y, Fig. 3(f)] [35]. In Fig. 3(h), we acquired the SRS spectra by tuning the wavelength of pump laser when imaging the mouse pinna, and the Raman bands of both C–H and O–H can be clearly identified. The volumetric ratio of protein and lipid to water in the
mouse ear was measured to be about 1:3 (data processing in Note S3, Supplement 1), suggesting that the biological systems consist mainly of water [36,37].

3. CONCLUSION

We present what we believe is a new concept of noninvasive optical tomography with chemical specificity and demonstrate large-scale tomography of highly scattering bone tissue with superiority in many aspects. The centimeter-sized mouse skull with scalp in vivo and mouse ear in vivo were chemically 3D-mapped without clearing. The concept of PCT proves the major advantage in label-free SRS tomography of large tissues, where PCT meets the needs of a large FOV and long working distance in centimeters. For potential clinical applications, PCT is well suited for pathological diagnosis of cancerous tissues without labeling and frozen section.

Due to the thin imaging sheet formed by the counterpropagating pump and Stokes pulses, PCT has about 2 orders of magnitude of degradation in the SRS signal, in contrast to the traditional copropagating SRS. To reach a similar axial resolution (∼25 μm) in copropagating SRS, the required NA of the objective is calculated to be ∼0.2. The commercial objectives, such as Carl Zeiss Epiplan-Apochromat 5 × NA 0.2 and Nikon CFI Plan Apo Lambda 4 × NA 0.2, are available. Although the lateral resolution will improve, both the FOV and the working distance will be strictly limited in the range of ∼5–10 mm. So far, the penetration depth of PCT in tissue may not surpass many current imaging modalities because of SRS signals that are too weak from intrinsic biomolecules for detection. However, PCT is a new concept for large-tissue tomography, which converts the fast traveling laser pulse at a speed of c to a stationary pulse sheet for tomography. The significance of the concept will also be reflected in many aspects.

Since the tissue has less temporal dispersion, the axial resolution of PCT can be further improved to <2 μm by applying 10 fs or attosecond pulse laser over a large FOV, but at the expense of spectral resolution. Moreover, the NIR-II or IR lasers with longer wavelength and less scattering in tissue can ensure significant extension of the penetration depth of PCT without degradation of axial resolution. In particular, this methodology also applies to fluorescence multiphoton imaging, photoacoustic imaging, and other imaging modalities, as long as the labeled reporter or tags require excitation from two pulses in different wavelengths or polarizations. Thus, with the aid of strong fluorescence labeling, we believe the signal intensity and imaging depth in tissue could be substantially improved. The pulse-sheet-based 3D volumetric tomography with improved performances in multiple directions is expected to contribute a variety of research frontiers and potentially become a versatile optical alternative for organ or body tomography in routine clinical applications in the future.
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