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Abstract

One-side semihydrogenated monolayers of carbon, silicon, germanium, and their binary compounds with different configurations of hydrogen atoms are investigated by density functional theory. Among three considered configurations, zigzag, other than the most studied chair configuration, is energetically the most favorable structure of one-side semihydrogenation. Upon semihydrogenation, the semimetallic silicene, germanene, and SiGe become semiconductors, while the band gap in semiconducting SiC and GeC is reduced. Semihydrogenated silicene, germanene, SiGe, and GeC with chair configuration are found to be ferromagnetic semiconductors. For semihydrogenated SiC, it is ferromagnetic when all hydrogen atoms bond with silicon atoms, while an antiferromagnetic coupling is predicted when all hydrogen atoms bond with carbon atoms. The effect of interatomic distance between two neighboring magnetic atoms to the ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic coupling is studied. For comparison, properties of one-side and both-side fully hydrogenated group-IV monolayers are also calculated. All fully hydrogenated group-IV monolayers are nonmagnetic semiconductors with band gaps larger than those of their semihydrogenated counterparts.
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Background

Currently, tremendous attention has been focused on two-dimensional (2D) monolayers composed of group-IV elements, such as carbon, silicon, and germanium. Theoretical and experimental studies show that silicon and germanium can form graphene-like structures, namely silicene and germanene. The first hypothesis of silicene and germanene can be traced back to 1994, but the experimental synthesis becomes possible only in recent years. Fabrications of silicene on various substrates such as silver, iridium, and zirconium diboride [15] and germanene on gold [19], platinum [20], and gallium arsenide [21] have been reported. Different from graphene that prefers a perfectly planar structure, silicene and germanene are stabilized by a low-buckled structure. They both, however, resemble the electronic characteristic of graphene, i.e., an energy band crossing at the Dirac cone and linear dispersion around the crossing [4, 5, 13, 23–26]. By applying an external electric field perpendicular to the silicene or germanene lattices [27–32], the band gap of silicene and germanene can be opened. The opening of the band gap as well as introducing the quantum anomalous Hall effect in silicene or germanene can also be obtained by decorating 3d or 5d transition metal atoms [33–36] or small organic molecule adsorption [37]. In addition to silicene and germanene which consist only one type of element, monolayer compounds consisting two types of elements are also of great interest, including planar silicon carbide (SiC) [26, 38–43] and germanium carbide (GeC) [26, 43] which open a band gap to become semiconducting, and low-buckled silicon germanide (SiGe) [26, 44, 45] with a band structure similar to those of graphene and silicene.

With good feasibility, reversibility, and controllability [46, 47], hydrogenation is a promising method to further...
tune the properties of 2D monolayers and to expand the scope of their application. Previous work found that different ratio of hydrogenation significantly changes the electronic and magnetic properties of group-IV monolayers [44, 45, 48–62]. Full hydrogenation opens a band gap for silicene and germanene [53–59] and enlarges the band gap of monolayer SiC [44, 59–61]. Among boat, chair, and zigzag configurations of fully hydrogenated silicon [53, 56, 58], germanene [53], and monolayer SiC [60, 61], chair configuration has the lowest energy. After full hydrogenation, hydrogen atoms on one side can be removed to achieve one-side semihydrogenation on the other side. Based on phonon calculation, Wang et al. confirmed the dynamical stability of semihydrogenated silicene and germanene with chair configuration and they reported that both silicene and germanene become ferromagnetic semiconductors upon semihydrogenation with chair configuration [49]. Ferromagnetism in semihydrogenated silicene with chair configuration was predicted using first principles by other groups as well [50, 56, 57]. In monolayer SiC, ferromagnetism or antiferromagnetism can be induced by semihydrogenation with chair configuration when hydrogen atoms bond with silicon atoms or carbon atoms, respectively, and this kind of hydrogenation slightly reduces the band gap of monolayer SiC [44, 48]. Zhou et al. stated that semihydrogenated monolayer SiGe with chair configuration is a ferromagnetic semiconductor, no matter hydrogen atoms bond with silicon or germanium atoms [45]. Ma et al. found ferromagnetism in semihydrogenated monolayer GeC with chair configuration [62].

Up to now, most studies of semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers are limited to the chair configuration [44, 45, 48–50, 56, 57]. One-side semihydrogenation with boat and zigzag configurations is only examined in silicene [56]. The energetic, electronic, and magnetic properties of semihydrogenated germanene, SiC, GeC, and SiGe with boat and zigzag configurations still remain unclear. There is, however, neither theoretical nor experimental evidence can prove the preference of chair configuration to boat, zigzag, and other configurations. In this work, based on our first-principle calculations on the total energies of one-side semihydrogenated silicene, germanene, SiC, GeC, and SiGe monolayers with boat, chair, and zigzag configurations, zigzag configuration is shown to have the lowest energy for all considered group-IV monolayers. The effect of semihydrogenation to the electronic properties of semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers are revealed by band structure calculations. For silicene, germanene, and monolayer SiGe, semihydrogenation opens a band gap, while for monolayer SiC and GeC, the semiconducting band gap is reduced by semihydrogenation. Ferromagnetism or antiferromagnetism exists in semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers only with chair configuration. The distance between two neighboring magnetic atoms is a factor that influences whether ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic coupling forms. If further hydrogenated to full hydrogenation, all semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers become nonmagnetic semiconductors with larger band gaps.

Calculation Method

All calculations are performed using the pseudopotential plane-wave method as implemented in the CASTEP code [63]. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) [64] parametrization and norm-conserving pseudopotential are used for most calculations unless mentioned otherwise. The cut-off energy for the plane-wave basis set is 1000 eV. To carry out the Brillouin-zone integration, 12 × 12 × 1 Monkhorst-Pack k-point grid [65] is used for one-side semihydrogenated silicene, germanene, SiGe, SiC, and GeC monolayers with chair configuration and 6 × 12 × 1 k-point grid for boat and zigzag configurations. The unit cell of semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers with boat or zigzag configuration is twice as large as the unit cell of chair configuration. To avoid spurious interactions between adjacent layers, a vacuum space of 20 Å is introduced along the direction perpendicular to the 2D plane. Semi-empirical dispersion interaction correction [66] with TS method [67] is adopted to treat the van der Waals interaction of the layered system. The lattice parameters and atomic positions are fully relaxed under Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS) [68] scheme until the energy difference between two steps is smaller than 5 × 10^-6 eV/atom, and the maximum force is smaller than 0.01 eV/Å. All the computational parameters mentioned above are carefully tested to achieve convergence of the total energy. There is no spin-orbit coupling implemented in the CASTEP code.

The formation energies of atomic hydrogen on the group-IV monolayers are defined as

\[ E_f = (E_{tot} - E_0 - N_H E_H) / N_H \]

where \( E_{tot} \) and \( E_0 \) are the total energy of the hydrogenated and unhydrogenated group-IV monolayers, respectively; \( N_H \) is the number of adsorbed hydrogen atoms; and \( E_H \) is the total energy of isolated hydrogen atom. This definition of formation energy can characterize the hydrogenation process of group-IV monolayers.

Results and Discussion

Structure and Stability

Top and side view of group-IV monolayers are depicted in Fig. 1. Without hydrogenation, graphene holds a
strictly planar structure due to the sp² hybridization of carbon atom. Unhydrogenated monolayer SiC and GeC are also stable as planar structures (Fig. 1b). On the contrary, silicon and germanium atoms tend to be sp³ hybridized, thus leading to low-buckled structures of silicene, germanene, and monolayer SiGe (Fig. 1c). In our calculations, we consider three configurations of one-side semihydrogenation on group-IV monolayers, i.e., boat, chair, and zigzag (Fig. 2).

The buckling constants as well as lattice constants of semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers are summarized in Table 1. After semihydrogenation, SiC and GeC monolayers become buckled. Buckling constants of silicene, germanene, and monolayer SiGe increase upon semihydrogenation. Group-IV atom bonded with a hydrogen atom forms four σ bonds with three group-IV atoms and one hydrogen atom as nearest neighbors, similar to sp³ hybridization. Lattice constants of semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers are slightly larger than those of their unhydrogenated counterparts. For example, lattice constant of silicene is 3.876 Å, smaller than lattice constants of semihydrogenated silicene with boat, chair, and zigzag configurations, which are 3.897, 3.897, and 3.880 Å, respectively. According to previous reports [49, 50, 53–56, 58–61], the enlargement of lattice constant by semihydrogenation or full hydrogenation is common in group-IV monolayer systems. There is a discrepancy no larger than 2 % between our calculated lattice constants and those in other reports using DFT [49, 50, 56], which is common in literatures due to the different computational parameters, especially the exchange-correlation functional and pseudopotential dataset. In semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers with chair configuration, each hydrogen atom sits directly above the corresponding group-IV atom it bonds with, while in the cases of boat and zigzag configurations, hydrogen atoms are not strictly above the corresponding group-IV atoms. Detailed information regarding the lattice parameters and atomic positions are provided in Additional file 1.

Depending on which type of elements hydrogen atoms bond with, there are two possible structures for semihydrogenated monolayer SiGe with chair configuration. The structure in which all hydrogen atoms bond with silicon atoms is denoted by H-SiGe, and the structure in which all hydrogen atoms bond with germanium atoms is denoted by SiGe-H. For semihydrogenated monolayer SiC and GeC with chair configuration, the same denotation is used. In each case of semihydrogenated monolayer SiGe, SiC, and GeC with chair configuration, formation energies are different when hydrogen atoms are bonded with different group-IV atoms, indicating a bonding preference of hydrogen to different group-IV elements. Formation energies of H-SiGe, SiC-H, and GeC-H are lower than those of SiGe-H, H-SiC, and H-GeC, respectively. Thus, hydrogen atoms have higher bonding preference to silicon atoms than to germanium atoms in monolayer SiGe and have higher preference to carbon atoms than to silicon (germanium) atoms in
monolayer SiC (GeC), i.e., hydrogen atoms prefer to bond with the lighter element in the monolayer group-IV binary compound. For monolayer SiGe, Zhou et al. found the same bonding preference as us, and they believed this bonding preference facilitates the synthesis of one-side semihydrogenated SiGe with chair configuration [45]. Indeed, due to the different types of elements in the unit cells of monolayer SiGe, SiC, and GeC, it seems that when hydrogenation process occurs, hydrogen atoms will tend to bond with one particular type of atoms to form chair configuration. Our calculation, however, gives an energetic order of zigzag < boat < chair, in semihydrogenated silicene, germanene, as well as binary SiGe, SiC, and GeC monolayers. Formation energy of boat configuration is slightly higher than that of zigzag configuration, while formation energy of chair configuration is much higher than those of boat and zigzag configurations (see Table 1). Exchange-correlation functional of local density approximation (LDA) and ultrasoft pseudopotential are employed to recalculate the energies of semihydrogenated silicene and monolayer SiC for comparison. A same order of energies is confirmed. In fact, this order has also been found for semihydrogenated graphene and semifluorinated graphene in our previous DFT calculation [69]. Therefore, zigzag configuration, other than the most studied chair configuration, has the lowest formation energy among different configurations of one-side semihydrogenation. If directly hydrogenating one side of group-IV monolayers, zigzag configuration is more likely to appear than chair and boat configurations.

It has been reported that for fully hydrogenated silicene [53, 56, 58], germanene [53], and monolayer SiC [60, 61], chair configuration has lower energy than boat and zigzag configurations. Our calculations confirmed these reported results and showed that similar conclusion can be applied to monolayer SiGe and GeC. We calculated the formation energies of atomic hydrogen on the monolayers for fully hydrogenated silicene, germanene, SiGe, SiC, and GeC. According to our results, formation energies of both-side full hydrogenation are lower than those of one-side full hydrogenation. Chair configuration has the lowest formation energy among both-side fully hydrogenated group-IV monolayers with boat, chair, and zigzag configurations (See Table 2. Detailed information regarding the structures of the fully hydrogenated group-IV monolayers can be found in Additional file 1). Therefore, we propose a two-step strategy to achieve semihydrogenation with chair configuration in group-IV monolayers: first, fully hydrogenate the group-IV monolayers to get chair configuration on both side; second, remove hydrogen atoms from one side to get chair configuration of semihydrogenation on the other side.

Electronic Property

Band structure calculation is carried out for semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers with boat, chair, and zigzag configurations. According to our analysis of the energetic stability, chair configuration is not energetically the most favorable among the three considered configurations of one-side semihydrogenation. We present, for the first time, the band structures of one-side semihydrogenated germanene, SiGe, SiC, and GeC monolayers with boat and zigzag configurations.

Band structures of silicene, germanene, and their semihydrogenated counterparts with chair, boat, and zigzag configurations are illustrated in Fig. 3. Although the low-buckled structures of silicene and germanene are different from the planar structure of graphene, the band structures of silicene and germanene still keep the
Table 1: Lattice constants, buckling constants, formation energies ($E_f$), band gap energies ($E_g$), and magnetism of one-side semihydrogenated silicene, germanene, SiGe, SiC, and GeC monolayers with boat, chair, and zigzag configurations. The NM, FM, and AFM represent nonmagnetism, ferromagnetism, and antiferromagnetism, respectively.

|           | Lattice constant (Å) | Buckling constant (Å) | $E_f$ (eV) | $E_g$ (eV) | Magnetism          |
|-----------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Silicene  | 3.876                | 0.444                | -         | -         | Metal NM          |
| Chair     | 3.897                | 0.670                | -3.272    | 1.094     | FM                |
| Boat      | 3.897                | 1.282                | -3.579    | 1.105     | NM                |
| Zigzag    | 3.880                | 1.226                | -3.612    | 0.161     | NM                |
| Germanene | 4.030                | 0.683                | -         |           | Metal NM          |
| Chair     | 4.076                | 0.751                | -2.975    | 0.652     | FM                |
| Boat      | 4.094                | 1.321                | -3.360    | 0.262     | NM                |
| Zigzag    | 4.164                | 1.266                | -3.373    | 0.347     | NM                |
| SiGe      | 3.963                | 0.573                | -         |           | Metal NM          |
| Chair (H-SiGe) | 4.003            | 0.704                | -3.295    | 0.944     | FM                |
| Chair (SiGe-H) | 4.003            | 0.702                | -2.985    | 1.099     | FM                |
| Boat      | 4.008                | 1.069                | -3.424    | 1.133     | NM                |
| Zigzag    | 4.046                | 1.151                | -3.457    | 0.243     | NM                |
| SIC       | 3.083                | 0.378                | -2.496    | 0.940     | FM                |
| Chair (H-SiC) | 3.141            | 0.32(a)              | -2.496    | 0.81(a)   | FM(a, d)          |
| Chair (SiC-H) | 3.100            | 0.583                | -2.866    | 1.469     | AFM               |
| Boat      | 3.150                | 0.520                | -3.339    | 2.120     | NM                |
| Zigzag    | 3.170                | 0.696                | -3.440    | 1.577     | NM                |
| GeC       | 3.231                | 0                   | -         | 2.183     | NM                |
| Chair (H-GeC) | 3.288            | 0.422                | -2.511    | 1.234     | FM                |
| Chair (GeC-H) | 3.268            | 0.618                | -3.313    | 1.007     | FM                |
| Boat      | 3.300                | 0.586                | -3.430    | 1.661     | NM                |
| Zigzag    | 3.332                | 0.725                | -3.475    | 1.222     | NM                |

LDA: a, [44]. GGA: b, [45]; d, [48]; e, [49]; g, [50]; h, [56]. HSE06: c, [45]; f, [49]; i, [55]
characteristic of that of graphene. Thanks to the preserved symmetry between two sublattices, the linear dispersion around Dirac cone exists in both silicene and germanene (Fig. 3a, e). Note that previous publications have reported that the inclusion of spin-orbit coupling can open band gaps for silicene and germanene [31, 32, 66, 70]. Typical reported values of the spin-orbit coupling energies are about 1.55 meV for silicene and 23.9 meV for germanene [70]. The small band gap opening induced by spin-orbit coupling is crucial for properties such as quantum spin Hall effect in silicene or germanene [70]. But for the band gaps of semihydrogenation group-IV monolayers at the order of 1 eV discussed below, neglecting the spin-orbit coupling is still a good approximation to calculate the band gap energy.

After one-side semihydrogenation, the H-Si (H-Ge) bond makes silicene (germanene) semiconducting, independent of the arrangement of hydrogen atoms. Semihydrogenated silicene and germanene with chair configurations are magnetic semiconductors with band gaps of 1.094 and 0.652 eV, respectively (Fig. 3b, f). The two flat bands around the band gaps correspond to the group-IV atoms that do not bond with hydrogen atoms, as shown by the density of states (DOS) plotted in Fig. 4. Similar DOS of atoms in semihydrogenated germanene, SiGe, and GeC monolayers with chair configuration is given in Additional file 1 for completeness.

Semihydrogenated silicene and germanene with boat and zigzag configurations are semiconducting. Indirect band gaps of 1.105 and 0.262 eV are predicted for semihydrogenated silicene and germanene with boat configuration, respectively (Fig. 3c, g), while direct band gaps of 0.161 and 0.347 eV are predicted for semihydrogenated silicene and germanene with zigzag configuration, respectively (Fig. 3d, h). A metallic nature was reported by Zhang et al. for semihydrogenated silicene with zigzag configuration [56], inconsistent with what we found. To confirm our result, LDA and ultrasoft pseudopotential are used to recalculate the electronic structure of semihydrogenated silicene with zigzag configuration and a band dispersion similar to that calculated using GGA and norm-conserving pseudopotential is obtained, with a slightly smaller band gap of 0.132 eV.

Our calculated band gap energies of semihydrogenated silicene and germanene are closer to other reported DFT-GGA results [49, 50, 56]. It is well known that DFT calculation at LDA or GGA level underestimates band gap energy. Using HSE06 functional and taking spin-orbit coupling into consideration, Wang et al. calculated band gaps of semihydrogenated silicene and germanene with chair configuration and reported values of 1.74 and 1.32 eV, respectively [49]. Also using HSE06 functional, Zhang et al. stated that band gap energies for semihydrogenated silicene with chair and boat configurations are both 1.79 eV [56]. These reported HSE06 band gap energies are larger than our DFT-GGA results. In addition to hydrogenation, decorating silicene and germanene with transition metal atoms or small organic molecules also opens a band gap and simultaneously induces a quantum anomalous Hall state [33–37]. By applying an external electric field perpendicular to the 2D plane, a band gap increasing with the electric field strength is obtained in silicene and germanene [27, 28, 30, 31].

The band structures of monolayer SiC, silicene, and germanene and the effect of semihydrogenation to their band structures are similar, which is attributed to the similarity between silicon and germanium atoms. Both H-SiGe and SiGe-H are magnetic semiconductors with band gaps of 0.944 and 1.099 eV, respectively (Fig. 5a, b). Semihydrogenation of boat configuration opens an indirect band gap of 1.133 eV for monolayer SiGe (Fig. 5c), while semihydrogenation of zigzag configuration opens a small direct band gap of 0.243 eV (Fig. 5d).

In spite of the graphene-like planar structures of monolayer SiC and GeC, the inversion symmetry between two sublattices is broken, leading to semiconducting behaviors. Our DFT-GGA results show that monolayer SiC has an

Table 2 Formation energies (E\text{f}) and band gap energies (E\text{g}) of one-side and both-side fully hydrogenated silicene, germanene, SiGe, SiC, and GeC monolayers with chair, boat, and zigzag configurations.

| Configuration | One-side | Chair | Boat | Zigzag |
|---------------|----------|-------|-------|--------|
| **Silicene**  | -5.935   | -7.005| -6.962| -6.966 |
| **Germanene** | -5.345   | -6.312| -6.265| -6.270 |
| **SiGe**      | -5.182   | -6.195| -6.157| -6.160 |
| **SiC**       | -6.055   | -7.062| -7.024| -7.028 |
| **GeC**       | -5.165   | -6.262| -6.220| -6.225 |
indirect band gap of 2.586 eV, while monolayer GeC has a direct band gap of 2.183 eV (Fig. 6a, f). Note that no agreement has been reached on the type of band gap of monolayer SiC. Both direct [39, 40, 43] and indirect [26, 38–40, 43] band gaps are reported. According to our results, the energies of the lowest valence band at M point and K point are very close. The valence band minimum of monolayer SiC is located at M, while energy at K is only 0.04 eV higher. This kind of small band dispersion variation is difficult to identify experimentally and is sensitive to the pseudopotentials employed in the calculation [71]. One-side semihydrogenation reduces the band gap of monolayer SiC and GeC, regardless of the configuration of hydrogen atoms. All chair-like structures, i.e., H-SiC, SiC-H, H-GeC, and GeC-H, are magnetic semiconductors with band gaps smaller than their unhydrogenated counterparts (Fig. 6b, c, g, h). Band gaps of monolayer SiC and GeC decrease after semihydrogenation with boat and zigzag configurations. Semihydrogenation of boat configuration brings indirect band gaps (Fig. 6d, i), while semihydrogenation of zigzag configuration opens direct band gaps (Fig. 6e, j).

One-side and both-side fully hydrogenated group-IV monolayers with boat, chair, and zigzag configurations are all semiconductors with band gaps considerably larger than those of their semihydrogenated counterparts. Their band gap energies are listed in Table 2. All both-side fully hydrogenated group-IV monolayers except for fully hydrogenated silicene with chair configuration and
Fig. 4 Density of states (DOS) located at each atom in semihydrogenated silicene with chair configuration (a) and semihydrogenated monolayer SiC with chair H-SiC configuration (b).

Fig. 5 Band structures of semihydrogenated monolayer SiGe with chair H-SiGe (a), chair SiGe-H (b), boat (c), and zigzag (d) configurations. The valence band maximum has been set to 0 eV and indicated by the black dotted line. For chair configuration, majority spin and minority spin bands are indicated by blue and red lines, respectively.
fully hydrogenated germanene with zigzag configuration have direct band gaps, while all one-side fully hydrogenated group-IV monolayers as well as fully hydrogenated silicene with chair configuration and fully hydrogenated germanene with zigzag configuration have indirect band gaps. Detailed band structures of fully hydrogenated group-IV monolayers are provided in Additional file 1.

**Magnetic Property**

Our spin-polarized calculation shows that one-side semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers with boat and
zigzag configurations are non-spin polarized, while semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers with chair configuration are spin polarized. As discussed in “Structure and Stability” section, since zigzag configuration of semihydrogenation is energetically more preferable than boat and chair configurations, it is more probable to obtain nonmagnetic semiconductor with zigzag configuration by direct one-side semihydrogenation to group-IV monolayers. However, when it comes to full hydrogenation, chair configuration has the lowest total energy [53, 56, 58, 60, 61]. Therefore, magnetism in group-IV monolayers must be achieved through the two-step strategy: first fully hydrogenating to get chair configuration on both side, then removing hydrogen atoms from one side to get semihydrogenation with chair configuration on the other side.

For chair configuration, there are two types of group-IV atoms, classified either by the different types of atoms (in monolayer SiGe, SiC, and GeC) or by the different chemical environments of atoms (in silicene and germanene). These two types of atoms are hereafter referred to as IV$_1$ and IV$_2$. Before hydrogenation, weak π bonds exist between IV$_1$ and IV$_2$ atoms. When IV$_1$ atoms bond with hydrogen atoms, strong σ bonds will form between IV$_1$ and hydrogen. The network of π bonds is destroyed, leaving p$_z$ electrons in IV$_2$ atoms localized and unpaired. As shown in Fig. 7, spin density is more significant at the IV$_2$ atoms that are not bonded with hydrogen atoms, no matter hydrogen atoms bond with silicon or carbon atoms. In other words, the spin moments are mainly carried by the IV$_2$ atoms, whereas there are only very small spin moments carried by IV$_1$ and hydrogen atoms. For the case of single hydrogen atom adsorbed on graphene, Sofo et al. have shown that the spin polarization is small in the carbon atom directly bonded with the hydrogen atom and the magnetic moment is larger at its neighboring carbon atoms [72]. Spin density of other ferromagnetic structures shows similar pattern as the H-SiC structure, thus is not presented here. For fully hydrogenated group-IV monolayers, our calculations show that the magnetism disappears, which can be attributed to the lack of unpaired electrons.

Total energies of nonmagnetic (NM), ferromagnetic (FM), and antiferromagnetic (AFM) states are compared for each semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers with chair configuration to determine the energetically most stable state. The relative total energies of different magnetic states are shown in Table 3. All semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers with chair configuration except for SiC-H are ferromagnetic, indicated by the lowest total energy of FM. For SiC-H, the total energy of AFM is lower than the total energies of FM and NM by 10.6 and 251.6 meV, respectively, indicating that AFM coupling between silicon atoms is energetically the most favorable. For ferromagnetic materials, Curie temperature ($T_C$) is a critical parameter at which ferromagnetic-paramagnetic transition occurs. According to the mean-field theory, Curie temperature of a ferromagnetic system can be estimated by the formula

$$\gamma K_B T_C/2 = E_{AFM} - E_{FM}$$

where $\gamma$ is the dimension of the system ($\gamma=2$ in this work), $K_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $E_{AFM}$ and $E_{FM}$ are the corresponding total energies for AFM and FM states, respectively [73, 74]. The Curie temperatures estimated by this formula are listed in Table 3. For SiGe-H, H-GeC, and GeC-H, it is the first time that their Curie temperatures are reported. By now, there is no experimental data of the Curie temperatures of semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers. For semihydrogenated silicon with chair configuration, our calculated Curie temperature is 111.9 K, comparable to 121.6 K calculated by Wang et al. [49] but much lower than 300 K calculated by Zhang et al. [50] and Zheng et al. [57]. For semihydrogenated germanene and monolayer SiC with chair configuration, our calculated Curie temperatures are in good agreement of the results reported by Xu et al. [48] and Wang et al. [49].
For H-SiGe, Zhou et al. [45] gave a Curie temperature of 110 K, slightly higher than our result of 93.4 K. Among these magnetic structures, H-GeC is a ferromagnetic semiconductor with a Curie temperature around room temperature.

The interatomic distance can considerably influence the exchange interaction between two neighboring magnetic atoms and then decides whether ferromagnetism or antiferromagnetism forms in magnetic materials. We test the effect of the interatomic distance to the magnetic coupling of semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers with chair configuration. For all structures with chair configuration in this work, the interatomic distance between two neighboring magnetic atoms is equal to the lattice constant. Total energies of FM and AFM coupling in each structure are recalculated with elongated and shorten lattice constants within a range of ±5 %. In this range, SiC-H and GeC-H undergo FM-AFM transitions. The difference of total energies between AFM and FM states is depicted in Fig. 8, where a positive value means a ferromagnetic system and a negative value means an antiferromagnetic system. The difference of total energies increases with elongated interatomic distance and decreases with shorten interatomic distance. FM-AFM transition for SiC-H and GeC-H occurs at 3.180 and 3.223 Å, respectively. This transition can prove that the interatomic distance between two neighboring magnetic atoms is a key factor that influences whether ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic coupling forms. Kaloni et al. found that decorating silicene or germanene with 3d or 5d transition metal atoms can induce magnetism, and the formation of FM or AFM coupling is controlled by the species and position of transition metal atoms [33–36].

### Conclusions

Using first-principle calculations, structural, electronic, and magnetic properties of semihydrogenated and fully hydrogenated group-IV monolayers, including silicene, germanene, SiGe, SiC, and GeC, with boat, chair, and zigzag configurations are systematically studied. For semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers, zigzag configuration is found to have the lowest formation energy, while chair configuration is found to have the highest formation energy. For fully hydrogenated group-IV monolayers, energy of one-side hydrogenation is higher than that of both-side hydrogenation. Among boat, chair, and zigzag configurations of both-side full hydrogenation, chair configuration has the lowest energy. Band structures of semihydrogenated germanene, SiGe, SiC, and GeC monolayers with boat and zigzag configurations are presented for the first time. Band gap opening due to semihydrogenation is predicted in silicene, germanene, and monolayer SiGe, regardless of the arrangement of hydrogen atoms, in contrast to reduced band gaps in semihydrogenated monolayer SiC and GeC. Semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers with boat and zigzag configurations are nonmagnetic, while semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers with chair configuration are

---

**Table 3** Relative total energies (per unit containing one hydrogen atom) of different magnetic states to the energetically most stable states of one-side semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers with chair configuration and Curie temperatures ($T_C$) of ferromagnetic states. For each material (in each row), the lowest value among total energies of NM, FM, and AFM states is set to 0 meV.

|                | NM (meV) | FM (meV) | AFM (meV) | $T_C$ (K) |
|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| H-silicene     | 287.8    | 0        | 9.6       | 111.9     |
|                |          |          |           | 121.6(c)  |
|                |          |          |           | 300(d, e) |
| H-germanene    | 243.1    | 0        | 12.3      | 143.1     |
|                |          |          |           | 144.8(c)  |
|                |          |          |           | 110(a)    |
| H-SiGe         | 251.3    | 0        | 8.1       | 93.4      |
| SiGe-H         | 290.6    | 0        | 9.4       | 108.9     |
| H-SiC          | 282.0    | 0        | 29.7      | 344.7     |
|                |          |          |           | 340(b)    |
| SiC-H          | 251.6    | 10.6     | 0         | -         |
| H-GeC          | 354.1    | 0        | 25.7      | 298.2     |
| GeC-H          | 261.9    | 0        | 4.1       | 480       |

*a*, [45]; *b*, [48]; *c* [49]; *d* [50]; *e* [57]
magnetic. A two-step strategy is proposed to obtain magnetism in group-IV monolayers: first, fully hydrogenate the group-IV monolayers to get chair configuration on both side; second, remove hydrogen atoms from one side to get chair configuration of semihydrogenation on the other side. The spin moments are mainly carried by the group-IV atoms that are not bonded with hydrogen atoms. Semihydrogenated monolayer SiC with chair SiC-H configuration is an antiferromagnetic semiconductor, while other semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers with chair configuration are all ferromagnetic semiconductors. Our calculations indicate that the interatomic distance between two neighboring magnetic atoms can influence whether ferromagnetism or antiferromagnetism forms. If fully hydrogenated, semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers will become nonmagnetic semiconductors with larger band gaps. Our results will provide guidance for future searches of group-IV monolayer materials suitable for electronic and spintronic applications.

Additional File

Additional file 1: (1) Lattice parameters and atomic positions of geometry-optimized one-side semihydrogenated group-IV monolayers with boat, chair, and zigzag configurations; (2) Lattice parameters and atomic positions of geometry-optimized one-side and both-side fully hydrogenated group-IV monolayers with boat, chair, and zigzag configurations; (3) Density of states of one-side semihydrogenated germanene, SiGe, and GeC monolayers with chair configuration; (4) Band structures of one-side and both-side fully hydrogenated group-IV monolayers with boat, chair, and zigzag configurations. (DOCX 650 kb)

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions
WZY carried out all the calculations in this paper. SPG and JAY supervised the research work. All authors contributed to the design of the study. WZY and SPG wrote the paper. JAY reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments
This work is supported by the State Key Development Program of Basic Research of China (Grant No. 2011CB606406), the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality, China (Grant No. 14521100606) and the Innovation Program of Shanghai Municipal Education Commission (Grant No. 15ZZ001).

Received: 26 June 2015 Accepted: 6 August 2015 Published online: 04 September 2015

References
1. Nakano H, Mitsuoka T, Harada M, Horibuchi K, Nozaki H, Takahashi N, et al. Soft synthesis of single-crystal silicon monolayer sheets. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2006;45:6303.
2. Kaltsoyis D, Tietgen L, Dimoulas A. Structural evolution of single-layer films during deposition of silicon on silver: a first-principles study. J Phys Condens Mater. 2012;24:442001.
3. Cinqanta E, Scalise E, Chiappe D, Grazianetti C, van den Broek B, Houssa M, et al. Getting through the nature of silicon: an sp2−sp2 two-dimensional silicon nanosheet. J Phys Chem C. 2013;117:16719.

4. Cahangirov S, Topakal M, Akurt E, Sahin H, Ciaci S. Two- and one-dimensional honeycomb structures of silicon and germanium. Phys Rev Lett. 2009;102:236804.
5. Lalmi B, Oughaddou H, Enriquez H, Kara A, Vizzini S, Eblet B, et al. Epitaxial growth of a siliconene sheet. Appl Phys Lett. 2010;97:223109.
6. Lin CL, Arafune R, Kawahara K, Minamitani E, Kim Y, Takagi N, et al. Structure of siliconene grown on Ag(111). Appl Phys Express. 2012;5:048502.
7. Enriquez H, Vizzini S, Kara A, Lalmi B, Oughaddou H. Siliconene structures on silver surfaces. J Phys Condens Matter. 2012;24:314211.
8. Feng B, Ding Z, Meng S, Yao Y, He X, Cheng P, et al. Evidence of siliconene in honeycomb structures of silicon on Ag(111). Nano Lett. 2012;13:3507.
9. Gao J, Zhao J. Initial geometries, interaction mechanism and high stability of siliconene on Ag(111) surface. Sci Rep. 2012;2:12110.
10. Janggotchian H, Colignon Y, Hamzaoai N, Eblet B, Hoarau JY, Aufray B, et al. Growth of siliconene layers on Ag(111): unexpected effect of the substrate temperature. J Phys Condens Matter. 2012;24:172001.
11. Arafune R, Lin C, Kawahara T, Tsukahara N, Minamitani E, Kim Y, et al. Structural transition of siliconene on Ag(111). Surf Sci. 2013;608:297.
12. Liu Z, Wang M, Xu J, Ge J, Le Lay G, Vogt P, et al. Various atomic structures of monolayer siliconene fabricated on Ag(111). New J Phys. 2014;16:075006.
13. Vogt P, De Padova P, Quaresima C, Avila J, Frantzeskakis E, Asensio MC, et al. Siliconene: compelling experimental evidence for graphene-like two-dimensional silicon. Phys Rev Lett. 2012;108:155501.
14. Meng L, Wang Y, Zhang L, Du S, Wu R, Li L, et al. Buckled siliconene formation on Ag(111). Nano Lett. 2013;13:685.
15. Fluerece A, Friedlen R, Ozaki T, Kawai H, Wang Y, Yamada-Takamura Y. Experimental evidence for epitaxial siliconene on diboride thin films. Phys Rev Lett. 2012;108:245501.
16. Neek-Amal M, Sadeghi A, Berdyeycov GP, Neet PF. Realization of free-standing siliconene using bilayer graphene. Appl Phys Lett. 2013;103:261904.
17. Kaloni TP, Schwingscheglou U. Stability of germanene under tensile strain. Chem Phys Lett. 2013;583:137.
18. Mattles L, Pulci O, Bechstedt F. Massive dirac quasiparticles in the optical absorbance of grapheneene, siliconene, germanene, and tinene. J Phys Condens Matter. 2013;25:395305.
19. Davila ME, Xian L, Cahangirov S, Rubio A, Le Lay G. Germanene: a novel two-dimensional germanium allotrope akin to graphene and siliconene. New J Phys. 2014;16:095002.
20. Li L, Lu S, Pan J, Qin Z, Wang Y, Wang Y, et al. Buckled germanene formation on Pt(111). Adv Mater. 2014;26:4820.
21. Kaloni TP, Schwingscheglou U. Weak interaction between germanene and GaAs(0001) by H intercalation: a route to exfoliation. J Appl Phys. 2013;114:184307.
22. Takeda K, Shitashi K. Theoretical possibility of stage corrugation in Si and Ge analogs of graphite. Phys Rev B. 1994;50:14916.
23. Guzman-Ven G, Lew Yan Voon L. Electronic structure of silicon-based nanostructures. Phys Rev B. 2007;76:075313.
24. Lebegue S, Eriksson O. Electronic structure of two-dimensional crystals from ab initio theory. Phys Rev B. 2009;79:115409.
25. Chen L, Liu C, Feng B, He X, Cheng P, Ding Z, et al. Evidence for Dirac fermions in a honeycomb lattice based on silicon. Phys Rev Lett. 2012;109:056804.
26. Sahin H, Cahangirov S, Topakal M, Bekaroglu E, Akurt K, Senger RT, et al. Monolayer honeycomb structures of group-IV elements and III-V binary compounds: first-principles calculations. Phys Rev B. 2009;80:155453.
27. Ni Z, Liu Q, Tang K, Zheng J, Zhou J, Qin R, et al. Tunable bandgap in siliconene and germanene. Nano Lett. 2011;11:1233.
28. Drummond ND, Zolomy V, Falko VI. Electrically tunable band gap in siliconene. Phys Rev B. 2012;85:075423.
29. Kaloni TP, Tahir M, Schwingscheglou U. Quasi free-standing siliconene in a superlattice with hexagonal boron nitride. Sci Rep. 2013;3:3192.
30. Rahman G. Distortion and electric-field control of the band structure of siliconene. EuroPhys Lett. 2014;105:37012.
31. Yan JA, Gao SP, Stein R, Coard G. Tuning the electronic structure of siliconene and germanene by biaxial strain and electric field. Phys Rev B. 2015;91:245403.
32. Kaloni TP, Moderatei M, Tahir M, Roknabadia MR, Schrenkenbach G, Freund MS. Electrically engineered band gap in two-dimensional Ge, Sn, and Pb: a first-principles and tight-binding approach. J Phys Chem C. 2015;119:11896.
33. Kaloni TP, Gangopadhyay S, Singh N, Jones B, Schwingenschlogl U. Electronic properties of Mn-decorated silicone on hexagonal boron nitride. Phys Rev B. 2013;88:235418.

34. Kaloni TP, Singh N, Schwingenschlogl U. Prediction of a quantum anomalous Hall state in Co-decorated silicone. Phys Rev B. 2014;89:035409.

35. Kaloni TP, Tuning the structural, electronic, and magnetic properties of germanene by the adsorption of 3d transition metal atoms. J Phys Chem C. 2014;118:25200.

36. Kaloni TP, Schwingenschlogl U. Effects of heavy metal adsorption on silicone. Phys Status Solidi-R. 2014;46:8685.

37. Kaloni TP, Schreckenbach G, Freund MS. Large enhancement and tunable band gap in silicone by small organic molecule adsorption. J Phys Chem C. 2014;118:23361.

38. Bekaroglu E, Topakal M, Cahanogov S, Ciaci, S. First-principles study of defects and adatoms in carbon silicarbide honeycomb structures. Phys Rev B. 2013;88:010743.

39. Huhe HC, Guo G, Louie SG. Excitonic effects in the optical properties of a SiC sheet and nanotubes. Phys Rev B. 2011;84:085404.

40. Gori P, Pulci Q, Marsili M, Bechstedt F. Side-dependent electron escape from graphene- and graphene-like SiC layers. Appl Phys Lett. 2012;100:043110.

41. Lin SS. Light-emitting two-dimensional ultrathin silicarbide honeycomb structure. J Phys Chem C. 2012;116:3951.

42. Lin X, Lin S, Xu Y, Hakko AA, Hassan T, Zhang B, et al. Ab initio study of electronic and optical behavior of two-dimensional silicarbide. J Mater Chem. 2013;23:12913.

43. Lu T, Liao X, Wang H, Zheng J. Tuning the indirect-direct band gap transition of SiC, GeC, and SnC monolayer in a graphene-like honeycomb structure by strain engineering: a quasiparticle GW study. J Mater Chem. 2012;22:10062.

44. Drissi LB, Saidi EH, Bousmina M, Fassi-Fehri O. DFT investigations of the hydrogenation effect on silicene/graphene hybrids. J Phys Condens Matter. 2012;24:A85502.

45. Zhou H, Zhao M, Zhang X, Dong W, Wang X, Bu H, et al. First-principles prediction of a new Dirac-fermion material: silicon germanide monolayer. J Phys Condens Matter. 2013;25:395501.

46. Elas DC, Nair RR, Mohiuddin TMG, Morozov SV, Blake P, Hlasl MP, et al. Control of graphene’s properties by reversible hydrogenation: evidence for graphene. Science. 2009;325:610.

47. Balog R, Jorgensen B, Nilsson L, Andersen M, Rienks E, Bianchi M, et al. Band gap opening in graphene induced by patterned hydrogen adosorption. Nat Mater. 2010;9:315.

48. Xu B, Yin J, Xia YD, Wan XG, Liu ZG. Ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic properties of the semihydrogenated SiC sheet. Appl Phys Lett. 2010;96:13111.

49. Wang X, Li H, Wang J. Induced ferromagnetism in one-side semihydrogenated silicene and germanene. Phys Chem Phys. 2012;14:3031.

50. Zhang C, Yan S. First-principles study of ferromagnetism in two-dimensional silicene with hydrogenation. J Phys Chem C. 2012;116:4163.

51. Bianco E, Butler S, Jiang S, Restrepo OD, Windl W, Goldberger JE. Stability and exfoliation of germanane: a germanium graphene analogue. ACS Nano. 2013;7:4414.

52. Wu W, Ao Z, Wang T, Li C, Li S. Electric field induced hydrogenation of silicene. Phys Chem Phys. 2014;16:16588.

53. Lew Yan Voon LC, Sandberg E, Aga RS, Farajian AA. Hydrogen compounds of group-IV nanosheets. Appl Phys Lett. 2010;97:163114.

54. Osborn TH, Farajian AA, Pupysheva OV, Aga RS, Lew Yan Voon LC. Ab initio simulations of silicene hydrogenation. Chem Phys Lett. 2011;511:101.

55. Houssa M, Scalise E, Sarkaran K, Pourtois G, Afnanov WC, Steimans A. Electronic properties of hydrogenated silicene and germanene. Appl Phys Lett. 2011;98:223107.

56. Zhang P, Li XD, Hu CH, Wu SQ, Zhu ZZ. First-principles studies of the hydrogenation effects in silicone sheets. Phys Lett A. 2012;376:1230.

57. Zheng F, Zhang X. Electronic and magnetic properties of functionalized silicene: a first-principles study. Nanoscale Res Lett. 2012;7:1.

58. Ding Y, Wang Y. Electronic structures of silicene fluoride and hydride. Appl Phys Lett. 2012;100:083102.

59. Garcia JC, de Lima DB, Assali LVC, Justo JF. Group IV graphene- and graphene-like nanosheets. J Phys Chem C. 2011;115:13242.

60. Wang X, Wang J. Structural stabilities and electronic properties of fully hydrogenated SiC sheet. Phys Lett A. 2011;375:2676.

61. Drissi LB, Saidi K, Yayaoe FU, Fei SH, Bousmina M, Fassi-Fehri O. DFT investigations of silicene/graphene conformers. Comp Mater Sci. 2011;46:165.

62. Ma Y, Dai Y, Guo M, Niu C, Yu L, Huang B. Magnetic properties of the semifluorinated and semihydrogenated 2D sheets of group-IV and III-V binary compounds. Appl Surf Sci. 2011;257:7845.

63. Phillips DJ, Segall MD, Pickard CJ, Hasnip PJ, Probert MI, Refson K, et al. First principles methods using CASTEP. Z Kristalllogr. 2005;220:567.

64. Peredev JP, Burke K, Wang Y. Generalized gradient approximation for the exchange-correlation hole of a many-electron system. Phys Rev B. 1996;54:16333.

65. Monkhorst HJ, Pack JD. Special points for brillon-in-zone integrations. Phys Rev B. 1976;13:5188.

66. McNeillis ER, Meyers J, Royer K. Azobenzene at coinage metal surfaces: role of dispersive van der Waals interactions. Phys Rev B. 2009;80:205414.

67. Thatchenko A, Scheller M. Accurate molecular van der Waals interactions from ground-state electron density and free-atom reference data. Phys Rev Lett. 2009;102:073005.

68. Schlegel HB. Optimization of equilibrium geometries and transition structures. J Comp Chem. 1982;2:214.

69. Yu WZ, Gao SP. Effect of configuration and biaval strain to electronic structure of half-fluorinated graphene. Surf Sci. 2015;635:78.

70. Liu CC, Feng WY, Yao YG. Quantum spin Hall effect in silicene and two-dimensional germanium. Phys Rev Lett. 2011;107:076802.

71. Gao SP, Cai GH, Xue Y. Band structures for Ge3N4 polymorphs studied by DFT-LDA and GWA. Comp Mater Sci. 2013;67:292.

72. Solano JO, Usaj G, Cornaglia PS, Suarez AM, Hernandez-Nieves AD, Balseiro CA. Magnetic structure of hydrogen-induced defects on graphene. Phys Rev B. 2012;85:115405.

73. Turek I, Kudrnovsky J, Bihlmayer G, Bugiel S. Ab initio theory of exchange interactions and the Curie temperature of bulk Gd. J Phys Condens Mater. 2003;15:2771.

74. Kurz P, Bihlmayer G, Bugiel S. Magnetism and electronic structure of hcp Gd and the Gd(0001) surface. J Phys Condens Mater. 2002;14:6353.