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Characterization of an Effective CTL Response against HIV and SIV Infections
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A vaccine inducing protective immunity in mucosal tissues and secretions may stop or limit HIV infection. Although cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) are clearly associated with control of viral replication in HIV and simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) infections, there are examples of uncontrolled viral replication in the face of strong CD8+ T-cell responses. The number of functions, breadth, avidity, and magnitude of CTL response are likely to be important factors in the effectiveness of anti-HIV T-cell response, but the location and persistence of effector CD8+ T cells are also critical factors. Although the only HIV vaccine clinical trial targeting cellular immunity to prevent HIV infection failed, vaccine strategies using persistent agents against pathogenic mucosal challenge in macaque models are showing unique success. Thus, the key to control the initial focus of viral replication at the portal of entry may rely on the continuous generation of effector CTL responses at mucosal level.

1. Introduction

Cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) play a significant role controlling viral replication in HIV and simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) infections as exemplified by diverse facts such as the association of Gag-specific CTL activity and viremia or progression to AIDS [1, 2], the viral escape consequence of immune selection [3, 4] or by enhanced CTL function in elite controllers (EC) [5–8]. In macaque models, this association has been reinforced by depletion studies [9–11] or by studying the mechanisms of protection in live, attenuated SIV vaccine models [12, 13].

Yet, not all AIDS vaccines that have shown induction of strong specific T-cell responses are protective or assure effective control of HIV/SIV [14, 15]. Factors, both intrinsic and extrinsic to CTLs, may be critical for determining the ability of CD8+ T cells to effectively control HIV/SIV replication. Several intrinsic parameters—inherent to the CTL response—have been shown to correlate with control of viremia and/or disease progression (TCR repertoire and public clonotypes, avidity, polyfunctionality, killing capacity, etc.) [16–18].

Extrinsic factors affecting the CTL response such as the inflammatory and regulatory environment also determine the quality and persistence of CD8+ T cells. These external factors affect the ratio between target cells and effector cells [19] or influence the phenotype and functionality of CD8+ effector cells [17, 20], all contributing to viral control. From animal model studies, we are learning that the generation and maintenance of a CTL response that is located at the mucosal site of viral transmission is beneficial against mucosal challenge with pathogenic SIVmac [12, 21].

2. Qualitative Aspects of the CTL Response

CD8+ T lymphocytes interact with virus-infected cells by recognizing viral peptides presented on the cell surface by major histocompatibility complex class I molecules. Once this cognate interaction occurs, there is induction of numerous genes involved in cell cycle, proliferation, apoptosis, and cytokine secretion. If this interaction induces killing of the virus-infected target cell, this can occur through two main pathways [7, 22]. CTLs can bind to receptors of the tumor necrosis factor superfamily (e.g., Fas-mediated killing) on the surface of the target cells or deliver the contents of cytotoxic granules to these target cells, both cases ultimately inducing cell death. Not only is the granule-independent
pathway involving Fas/FasL interaction a less frequent mode of target cell killing, but also during HIV and SIV infections this pathway is highly activated and contributes to reduced cellular immunity and disease progression by activation-induced cell death of bystander cells [23]. The second pathway is mediated by perforin, which promotes granzyme delivery to the target cell cytosol, substrate cleavage, and cell death induction. Lately several papers have reported enhanced cytotoxic function in HIV-specific CD8+ T cells from EC [7, 22], including a superior ability to express perforin and granzyme B, with no detectable difference in the levels of granzyme A or granulysin, and the transcription factor T bet is the enhancer of this effector activity [7]. Degranulating capacity at mucosal level, measured by CD107 expression, has also been associated with protection against vaginal challenge with SIV [24], where at early timepoints expression, has also been associated with protection against vaginal challenge with SIV [24], where at early timepoints after challenge there was significantly less degranulation appearance of the SIV-specific CD8+ T-cell response during acute SIV infection [26]. An increase in the levels of perforin in gut accompanies the recent killing history of CTLs. But the ability of CD8+ T cells to induce target cell death is dependent on granule protein content rather than on the act of degranulation itself [27]. Still previous studies have shown that the presence of CD107+ T cells correlated well with cytotoxic activity [28] and, although CTLs from the GI tract contain low perforin, an increase in the levels of perforin in gut accompanies the appearance of the SIV-specific CD8+ T-cell response during acute SIV infection [26].

The qualitative aspects of the T-cell response and specifically the capacity of revealing multiple functions upon antigen stimulation is currently seen as one of the best correlates of T-cell immunity measurable directly ex vivo [16], since preservation of polyfunctional HIV-specific CD8+ T cells is associated with control of viremia in infected people [29] and with protection from uncontrolled viral replication in vaccinated rhesus monkeys after SIV infection [30]. Polyfunctional CD8+ T cells degranulate and produce multiple functional molecules, such as interleukin-2, interferon-γ, and tumor necrosis factor. Importantly, this correlation is also reported in mucosal tissues, where polyfunctional Gag-specific CD8+ T cells are significantly more abundant in rectal mucosa from controllers as compared to noncontrollers and patients under antiretroviral therapy [6] or in the genital tract of the immunized macaques in live, attenuated vaccine models [24]. Further, the most polyfunctional cells produce higher levels of cytokine on a per-cell basis [17]. Yet, there is no consensus on which cytokines and molecules are critical to control viral replication and, thus, should be measured by these polychromatic flow cytometry assays [31].

All these features are related to the specific ligand-receptor recognition, among other intrinsic parameters, which are ultimately limited by the T-cell receptor (TCR) expressed by a particular CTL clone. Studies in this area have recognized the importance of the TCR repertoire mobilized against infection and the beneficial effect on vaccine efficacy of the public composition against viral conserved regions of these recruited clonotypes [32]. The repertoire engaged will impact on the breadth of the CTL response (number of epitopes targeted) and the balance between immunodominant and subdominant responses, both affecting viral load [33]. Interestingly, significant overlap in the clonality and immunodominance hierarchy of the CD8+ T cells isolated from blood and GI mucosa has been demonstrated [26].

Possibly even more crucial, and independent of the specific clonotype [34] may be the intensity of the TCR-mediated signal, which is directly linked to CD8+ T-cell polyfunctionality and HIV-suppressive activity [16], CD8+ T cells with high avidity can recognize low densities of cognate antigen on the target cell surface, resulting in effective target cell clearance; which may correspond to a superior capacity to suppress HIV replication [16]. The importance of these high-avidity CTLs on viral dissemination and infection clearance in animal models, especially of those induced at mucosal level, has also been established [35]. Further, two recent studies associate the effectiveness of a CTL response and their high avidity with a narrow, public clonotypic repertoire [18, 36]. While recruiting high-avidity clones may be desirable to promote vaccine efficacy, viral escape is not impaired [18]. Yet, limiting initial virus replication at the mucosal level may impair the capacity of escape mutants to emerge stochastically.

3. Phenotype of the CTL Response

There is doubt on the importance of the phenotype of the CD8+ T-cell response in relation to the protective efficacy in vivo, and little difference in the functional capacity when comparing cells across a wide range of phenotypes has been shown [17, 31]. Still, several aspects related to extrinsic factors surrounding the specific response influence antigen sensitivity and the functional profile [16]. This way, viral load and immune activation may induce transient hyporesponsiveness or cell exhaustion, ultimately generating less functional CTLs. In fact, antigen load and viral sequence diversification determine the functional profile of HIV-1-specific CD8+ T cells [20].

Exhausted T cells express increased amounts of multiple inhibitory receptors, such as programmed death-1 (PD-1) among others [37, 38]. PD-1 regulates T-cell activation and tolerance through mechanisms such as anergy and development of induced regulatory T cells. The implication
of PD-1 on the functional capacity of the CD8+ T-cell response in chronic viral infections has been widely reported [37] and sustained antigen-specific TCR stimulation may be key to persistent expression of this regulatory molecule [20]. A recent paper demonstrates that PD-1 can cause T-cell exhaustion by upregulating a gene that inhibits T-cell function [39], where enforced expression of basic leucine transcription factor ATF-like (BATF) was sufficient to impair T-cell proliferation and cytokine secretion. Furthermore, CD8+ T cells in lymph nodes and GI mucosa of infected macaques express high levels of PD-1 while significant reduction in plasma viral load and improved functionality of these SIV-specific CD8+ T cells was reached by blocking PD-1 [40].

Expression of the killer cell lectin-like receptor G1 (KLRG1) on T cells has recently been shown to have a functional role on human CD8+ T cells inhibiting the proliferative capacity of highly differentiated CD8+ T cells [41]. Similarly, CD57 is a human natural killer-1 (HNK-1) glycoprotein found on many NK cells and a subset of CD8+ T cells, where it has been reported to identify terminally differentiated T cells with reduced proliferative capacity [42]. Both these markers have been suggested to identify replicative senescent cells, but the functional capacity and the correlation of cells expressing these markers with viral load in the context of HIV/SIV is unknown. It has been shown that due to chronic T-cell activation HIV-specific CD8+ T cells may become replicative senescent, express CD57, and become prone to activation-induced apoptosis [43]. This may be reflective of normal T-cell development during chronic stimulation and thus not necessarily a defect within the HIV-specific CD8+ T cells, since they maintain effective cytotoxic potential [44, 45]. Moreover, the damage to gut epithelium may trigger ligation of the inhibitory receptor KLRG-1 by E-cadherin [46], which inhibits the effector functions of KLRG1+ expressing HIV-1-specific CD8+ T cells systemically.

It has also been shown that the majority of HIV-specific CD8+ T cells are found to express CD57, with or without PD-1, and that CD57+ PD-1− have higher survival potential (more resistant to apoptosis) than CD57+ PD-1+. In fact, PD-1 has been shown to be a preapoptotic factor for CD8+ T cells in HIV infection [47, 48]. In a live, attenuated SIV vaccine experiment the increased survival potential of the Gag-specific CD8+ T cells was associated to control of viremia at set-point [30], a feature observed in these macaques during acute infection and restricted to the genital tissue [24]. The induction of protective prosurvival molecules (members of the Bcl-2 family) occurs initially through TCR-mediated specific activation of T cells, but, as differentiation proceeds, this is followed by a decline in Bcl-2 expression and an increase in cell death signals (i.e., caspsases) [49]. However, the strength of the stimulation determines the level and duration of Bcl-2 expression [50]. Defining the expression of these molecules in antiviral T cells may be critical for understanding the nature of the protective T-cell response.

Although a phenotypic definition of a protective anti-SIV/HIV T-cell response is still lacking [31], specific phenotypes have been associated with certain functional attributes [17]. In this sense, HIV-specific CD8+ T cells in HIV controllers have a unique HLA-DR+ CD38− phenotype and the cells of these patients effectively suppress HIV-1 infection in vitro [8]. Further, development of HLA-DR+ CD38− CD8+ T cells during HIV infection was associated with subsequent stable CD4+ T-cell levels and good prognosis [51]. CD8+ T cells from normal uninfected humans express little CD38 or HLA-DR; however, during HIV seroconversion there is an increase in the subset of cells expressing both markers, and this activated population probably includes the CTL that develop during acute HIV infection [52]. The frequency of T cells expressing CD38 in uninfected rhesus macaques is higher than in humans and the frequency of HLA-DR+ T cells is lower in macaques compared to humans. However, once infected the dynamics of expression on T cell in humans and macaques and the prognostic value of both these markers are similar [53]. Interestingly, degranulating SIV-specific CD8+ T cells (CD107+) have more HLA-DR expression than the total CD8+ T-cell population in SIV infected macaques (unpublished data). These findings are consistent with the hypothesis that HLA-DR expression on anti-SIV CD8+ T cells indicates cells with enhanced cytolitic capacity, as proposed in EC [8]. Similarly, a human vaccine experiment identified CD38+, HLA-DR+, Ki-67+, and Bcl-2 low in CD8+ T cells as effector T-cell responses after immunization or infection [54]. By monitoring the expression of these markers in the blood, they confirmed the increasing population of effector CD8+ T cells, which correlated with tetramer and interferon gamma secreting specific CD8+ T cells after immunization with live, attenuated yellow fever-17D vaccine [54].

4. Effects of Antigen Persistence and Location

HIV is transmitted primarily by sexual contact, and the female genital tract, male genital tract, and rectum are the anatomic sites of virus transmission [55]. Recent studies have conclusively demonstrated that immediately after mucosal transmission most systemic HIV and SIV infections are established by a very limited number of viral envelope variants [56]. Before virus dissemination occurs, few days after infection [57], it may be possible to contain and eliminate these initial founder viral populations at the site by vaccine-induced effector T cells. Importantly, localized mucosal memory T-cell populations provide superior control of viral infection compared with circulating memory T cells. Yet, since intravaginal or rectal immunization is not a viable option, we have to think of other ways to establish this memory mucosal response.

Central memory (CM) T lymphocytes have limited effector function, but they have the capacity to home and reside in lymphoid organs and, upon secondary exposure to their cognate antigen, they rapidly proliferate to become effector T cells [58]. In contrast, effector memory (EM) T lymphocytes home to peripheral tissues and, following antigenic stimulation, have limited proliferative capacity but have the ability to degranulate and rapidly produce effector cytokines [27, 58]. It is reasonable to think that EM-specific CD8+...
T cells will be more effective at eliminating virus-infected cells while generating less proinflammatory cytokines, thus attenuating activation and inflammatory events. In fact, a successful model of live, attenuated vaccine increases the total number of circulating CD8+ T cells [24] and, specifically, the EM CD8+ T-cell phenotype (unpublished observations) while it blunts proliferation, activation, and apoptotic cell death [12]. Simultaneously, polyfunctional CD8+ T cells with high cytotoxic capacity are present in the vagina of these immunized animals on the day of SIV challenge and these effector T cells mediate protection in this model [24]. Other successful live, attenuated vaccines elicit mucosal CTL responses that are more effective at suppressing viral replication than CD8+ T cells from blood [13]. Similarly to these models, an immunization strategy using a replication-competent cytomegalovirus (CMV) vector expressing multiple SIV antigens establishes persistent SIV-specific EM T-cell responses at potential sites of SIV replication conferring protection from mucosal challenge in 50% of the immunized animals [21, 59].

The success of these CMV-vector SIV vaccines and of live, attenuated vaccines indicates that, so far, only replicating vaccine virus that disseminates throughout the body and produces antigen continuously, albeit at a low level, in the mucosal tissues may be capable of providing protective immunity [12, 13, 21]. While many of the other systemically administered vaccine strategies may decrease viral replication after immunized animals become infected, none can block infection (reviewed in [60]). Several features of these persistent vaccines that differ from other vaccine strategies could explain the effectiveness of CD8+ T-cell responses in these models [12, 13, 21]. Out of these, chronic low-level of antigenic stimulation from residual vaccine replication in tissues, including the mucosa affected, up to the time of challenge and the consequent generation and maintenance of a resident effective antiviral immune response at the portal of virus entry may be the key points to understand protection (Figure 1). This effective antiviral immune response is characterized by EM CD8+ T cells, which will help maintain a more homeostatic environment [27, 58]. Then, it is not surprising that these replicating vaccines, in contrast to other more conventional vaccine strategies (Figure 1(a)) occurred without anamnestic T-cell responses [12, 21]. The concept that a persistent, attenuated viral vaccine that maintains a pool of CD8+ effector T cells capable of controlling but not eliminating the pathogen is necessary for protection against persisting pathogens like HIV or SIV was first proposed by Zinkernagel [61]. Similarly, in models of tuberculosis, promising mucosal vaccine systems that use antigen persistence to generate protective CD8+ T cells maintained independently of peripheral supply have been described [62].

Although the CMV vector vaccine increased resistance to rectal SIV challenge, virus replication in immunized animals that became infected was not altered [59]. The immunologic basis for the all or none protection phenomena seen in this study remains to be defined but the results are similar to the all or none protection seen in both recently completed human AIDS vaccine efficacy trials [63–65]. In contrast, live, attenuated vaccines induce control of viremia when the infection is productive [66, 67]. This difference could be related to some of the requirements of live, attenuated vaccines to be protective, like the necessity of vigorous viral replication for the generation of an effective anti-SIV immune response [68].

5. Target Cell Number and the CTL Response

As proposed by Zinkernagel, “protection by immunity represents an equilibrium between optimal resistance against the various cytopathic infections and avoidance of excessive immunologically mediated tissue damage” [61]. This is particularly crucial in HIV/SIV infection, since the initial viral insult initiates an inflammatory cascade and immune activation that, if not resolved soon enough, will contribute to virus dissemination and infection amplification (reviewed in [69]). In the case of the natural host, immune activation appears to be detrimental for control of viral replication and disease progression [70] while EC maintain high levels of HIV-specific immune responses with low levels of immune activation [71].

In this sense, a live, attenuated vaccine model has shown that the relative number of SIV target cells compared to the number of SIV vaccine-induced antiviral T cells in the vagina determines the outcome of vaginal SIV challenge in immunized animals, since CD8+ T cell-depleted, vaccinated animals had the highest levels of SIV replication in the genital tract and genital lymph nodes [22, 67]. In fact, the viral RNA levels in these tissues exceeded those found in the GI tract of control animals [72]. This very high level of viral replication in the vagina and genital lymph nodes is unprecedented and suggests that in the absence of antiviral CD8+ T cells, SIV replication in the genital tract was enhanced by prior SHIV immunization. Since SHIV-immunized animals have numerous SIV-specific CD4+ T cells in the vaginal mucosa on the day of challenge [73], it seems likely that they provide more cellular targets compared to the naïve animals, which leads to enhanced local viral replication. This result demonstrates that viral replication after challenge results from a balance between SIV replication in abundant target cells and efficient killing of those infected target cells by antiviral CD8+ T cells. In this sense, recent studies highlight the importance of the number of effector CTLs in relation to the number of target cells (E:T ratios) to significantly reduce viral load in the female reproductive tract [19].

Of note, the induction of effector-memory-like CD4+ T cells in mucosal tissues might contribute to the protection in live, attenuated SIV vaccine models [73] and in HIV controllers [74]. Although the role of CD4+ T cells in viral infection is commonly attributed to helper functions generating and supporting memory CD8+ T cell-responses [75], antiviral cytokine production, and lytic functions have been described in herpes simplex virus type 1, Friend leukemia virus, and HIV infection for HIV-specific CD4+ T cells [73].

Thus, the same mediators that initiate immune activation leading to antiviral immune responses also activate and
recruit CD4+ T cells to tissues that serve as the primary target cells for viral infection. Cytokines and chemokines regulate cell migration and activation, and these molecules will also determine antiviral T-cell fate [76, 77]. Moreover, the inflammatory milieu is a critical factor controlling the differentiation of primary antiviral CD8+ T cells into terminally effector cells or long-lived memory T cells. Prolonged inflammation or stimulation by the T-cell transcription factor, T-bet, results in a predominantly short-lived, effector CD8+ T-cell population rather than a long-lived memory population with proliferative potential [78]. Thus, the CD8+ T-cell response that is observed in the SHIV-vaccinated monkeys that are protected from pathogenic SIVmac challenge is consistent with an effector T-cell population driven by continuous antigen production rather than a large memory T-cell pool associated with anamnestic proliferation (Figure 1(b)). Yet, the presence of central-memory T cells in the draining lymph node and a balance between these cells and effector CD8+ T cells in the mucosa may be required to maintain an effective anti-SIV T cell response [24].

Finally, another way to control the number of target cells and avoid excessive immune activation and inflammation could be through the induction of regulatory T cells [79, 80]. However, the role of these regulatory T cells remains controversial, since they could both reduce immune activation and limit development of specific adaptive responses. In this sense, elevated frequencies of regulatory cells associated to low levels of immune activation may contribute to the ability of some individuals to avoid HIV infection [81]. Further, after SIV challenge, a quiescent tissue environment is actively maintained by a T-regulatory cell response that rapidly expands to suppress immune activation preventing the generation of more activated target cells to support SIV replication ([60]; manuscript in preparation). Active
immunoregulatory mechanisms, rather than intrinsically attenuated innate immune responses, efficiently downregulate the robust innate immune responses that also occur soon after SIV infection of the natural host, the African green monkey, in which persistent SIV infection is relatively non-pathogenic [79]. Thus, it seems that the persistent, low-level replication of SHIV infection modulates the immunological response to pathogenic SIV challenge such that the response resembles that of natural host nonhuman primates, where low levels of T-cell activation, proliferation, and apoptosis are also observed after SIV infection [82–84].

6. Concluding Remarks

From a vaccine development viewpoint, defining the specific subset of CD8+ T cells that are responsible for control of viral replication could inform HIV vaccine design and the search for an immune correlate of protection. There is compelling evidence from live, attenuated SIV vaccine models and from the recent CMV-vector vaccine experiments that the critical T cell is an effector CD8+ T cell that does not undergo anamnestic proliferation as detected in the blood. Yet, the challenge exists on evaluating these responses at mucosal level, where the picture seems to be completely different than the snapshot we can get from peripheral blood. Although studying mucosal immunity is technically challenging due to difficulty in obtaining samples of sufficient quality and quantity for analysis, the need to improve the relatively low efficacy of the systemic AIDS vaccines currently in development demands a focus on eliciting mucosal immune responses through vaccination. Further, a careful design of standardized protocols for collecting and analyzing mucosal specimens in preclinical and clinical trials is critical for assessing immunogenicity in HIV vaccine candidates.

Although conventional vaccines attempt to elicit strong anamnestic CD8+ T-cell responses after HIV exposure, caution may be needed in that pursuit. Lessons learnt from successful persistent vaccines indicate that protection against mucosal HIV transmission requires induction of resident mucosal effector CD8+ T cells but little systemic T-cell proliferation [12, 13, 21]. A complex immune environment allows memory effector CD8+ T cells in the vagina on the day of challenge to effectively kill infected cells by not providing additional substrate for virus replication [60] whilst unique control against rectal challenge is conferred by high-frequency SIV-specific T cells induced through persistent CMV vectors [12, 21]. Both systems maintain a resident effector response indefinitely that can protect without anamnestic expansion [12, 21]. Development of alternative strategies to replicating vaccines to induce mucosal immunity in the reproductive tract using adjuvants is warranted [85]. Other routes of virus transmission like blood transfusions and mother-to-child may require different vaccination strategies. Considering the outcome of recent clinical trials, refined animal models may help to define the type of complex immunological response an HIV/SIV vaccine candidate may need to elicit to be effective.
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