Comparative Study of Bipolar Hemiarthroplasty for Femur Neck Fractures Treated with Cemented versus Cementless Stem
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Purpose: To compare and analyze clinical and radiologic outcomes of cemented versus cementless bipolar hemiarthroplasty for treatment of femur neck fractures.

Materials and Methods: A total of 180 patients aged 65 years and over who underwent bipolar hemiarthroplasty for treatment of displaced femur neck fractures (Garden stage III, IV) from March 2009 to February 2014 were included in this study. Among the 180 patients, 115 were treated with cemented stems and 65 patients with cementless stems. Clinical outcomes assessed were: i) postoperative ambulatory status, ii) inguinal and thigh pain, and iii) complications. The radiologic outcome was femoral stem subsidence measured using postoperative simple X-ray.

Results: The cemented group had significantly lower occurrence of complications (postoperative infection, \( P=0.04 \)) compared to the cementless group. There was no significant difference in postoperative ambulatory status, inguinal and thigh pain, and femoral stem subsidence.

Conclusion: For patients undergoing bipolar hemiarthroplasty, other than complications, there was no statistically significant difference in clinical or radiologic outcomes in our study. Selective use of cemented stem in bipolar hemiarthroplasty may be a desirable treatment method for patients with poor bone quality and higher risk of infections.
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INTRODUCTION

The incidence of osteoporotic fragility fractures continues to increase along with an aging global population. Selection of adequate treatment is very important, since hip fractures, including femur neck fractures, may lead to complications such as chronic pain, disability, low quality of life, high morbidity and...
mortality rates, and others\textsuperscript{1-4}). In the treatment of older patients with displaced femur neck fracture, bipolar hemiarthroplasty (BHA) is a more commonly accepted treatment, compared with internal fixation, because this modality offers advantages including earlier ambulation, a lower probability of reoperation and better functional outcomes\textsuperscript{5,6}). In BHA, whether there is a better choice between cemented or cementless stems still raises much controversy\textsuperscript{1,7,8}). The use of cementless stems is considered a better choice for relatively younger elderly patients with good bone quality as it has the advantage of being an easier surgical procedure and involves a shorter cement manipulation time. However, this approach has some disadvantages, including risk of thigh pain and periprosthetic fractures\textsuperscript{5-11}). The use of cemented stems has been shown to be better in achieving initial fixation in older patients with poor bone quality and is less likely to result in thigh pain and stem loosening, however, is reported to have a higher risk of cardiovascular and respiratory complications due to cement toxicity or pulmonary embolization caused by bone marrow contents and methylmethacrylate particles\textsuperscript{9-15}). This study aimed to compare and analyze clinical and radiologic outcomes in cemented versus cementless BHA for treatment of femur neck fractures in patients older than 65 years with a minimum follow-up of six months.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

1. Subjects

This retrospective study included a total of 180 patients aged 65 years and over who underwent BHA for treatment of displaced femur neck fractures (Garden stage III and IV) in Inje University Sanggye Paik Hospital (Seoul, Korea) from March 2009 to February 2014 with a minimum follow-up of six months. Patients who had pathologic fractures due to malignant disease, received bilateral hip replacements, or accompanied fractures at different sites other than the hip were excluded. This study was approved by the institutional

| Table 1. Demographics of Patient Who Underwent Bipolar Hemiarthroplasty |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Variable                        | Cemented group   | Uncemented group | P-value            |
| Patient (n)                     | 115              | 65               | -                 |
| Mean age (yr)                   | 77               | 76               | 0.51              |
| Sex (male:female)               | 31:84            | 19:46            | 0.73              |
| Follow-up period (mo)           | 28 (6-73)        | 26 (6-71)        | 0.20              |
| Preoperative platelet           | 246,000±87.6     | 236,000±80.9     | 0.46              |
| Preoperative INR                | 1.05±0.08        | 1.09±0.07        | <0.05             |
| Underlying disease              |                  |                  |                   |
| Hypertension                    | 71               | 40               | 0.76              |
| Diabetes mellitus               | 34               | 18               | 0.87              |
| Coronary artery occlusive disease | 9              | 5               | 1.00              |
| Cerebrovascular accident        | 19               | 15               | 0.32              |
| Chronic kidney disease          | 7                | 6                | 0.55              |
| Respiratory disease             | 9                | 4                | 0.77              |
| Liver disease                   | 1                | 1                | 1.00              |
| Dementia                        | 12               | 7                | 1.00              |
| Endocrinologic disorder         | 4                | 2                | 0.45              |
| Rheumatologic disorder          | 2                | 4                | 0.19              |
| Prefracture Koval score         |                  |                  | 0.08              |
| 1) Independent community ambulator | 73             | 43               | 0.72              |
| 2) Community ambulator with cane | 27             | 9                | 0.12              |
| 3) Community ambulator with walker/crutches | 5             | 9                | 0.05              |
| 4) Independent household ambulator | 1              | 1                | 1.00              |
| 5) Household ambulator with cane | 5              | 1                | 0.42              |
| 6) Household ambulator with walker/crutches | 0             | 1                | 0.36              |
| 7) Nonfunctional ambulator      | 4                | 1                | 0.66              |

Values are presented as number or age only, mean [range], or mean±standard deviation.

INR: international normalized ratio (normal range, 0.8-1.2).
Before surgery, the type of stem (cemented or cementless) was determined according to Dorr classification of the proximal femur and cortical thickness on preoperative simple X-rays. Cementless stems were used in Dorr type A femur and cemented stems were used in Dorr type C femur. For patients with Dorr type B femur, both types of stem were used depending on patient’s age and bone quality according to femoral cortical thickness. Cortical thickness was measured based on cortical index ratio (CTI) of the proximal femur introduced by Nash and Harris. Patient’s age, medical history, and osteoporosis were considered for the selection of stem of Dorr type B femur. For patients with poor bone quality and the risk of periprosthetic fractures, cemented stems were used (cemented group, 115 patients); and for others, cementless stems were used (uncemented group, 65 patients).

Patient’s age, gender, underlying disease, duration of operation in each group, intraoperative blood loss, and postoperative drained blood volume until catheter removal were examined. Preoperative platelet count and international normalized ratio (INR) were also examined for the possible effect of these factors on intraoperative and postoperative blood loss before comparing differences between the two groups (Table 1).

The mean follow-up period was 28 months (range, 6-73 months) in the cemented group and 26 months (range, 6-71 months) in the uncemented group.

2. Types of Femoral Stems and Cements

The femoral components used were standard-type femoral stems with smooth surfaces including CPT (Zimmer, Warsaw, IN, USA; 62 cases), Exeter (Howmedica, Benoit-Girard, France; 18 cases), C stem AMT (Depuy, Leeds, UK; 17 cases), IC straight stem (Implantcast, Buxtehude, Germany; 10 cases), Bencox® ID cemented (Corentec, Cheonan, Korea; 7 cases), and Logica cemented (Lima, Milano, Italy; 1 case). Bone cement (CMW3; Depuy, Warsaw, IN, USA) was used in all cases to fix femoral prosthetic components (Fig. 1).

The femoral prostheses used in cementless BHA were Tri-Lock (Depuy, Warsaw, IN, USA; 50 cases), Wagner Cone (Zimmer, Winterthur, Switzerland; 5 cases), Bencox® ID non-cemented (Corentec, Cheonan, Korea; 3 cases), Corail (Depuy J&J, Landayer, Chaumont, France; 2 cases), Summit (Depuy, Leeds, UK; 2 cases), C2 stem (Lima; 2 cases), and M/L Taper (Zimmer, Winterthur, Switzerland; 1 case) (Fig. 2).
3. Surgical Method and Postoperative Management

All operations were performed by a single surgeon under spinal or general anesthesia using a modified Hardinge approach. In cementing technique, brushing and pulsatile irrigation of the femoral canal were done after intramedullary reaming and a plug was inserted into distal femoral canal. The femoral canal was filled with bone cement (Antibiotic Simplex® P; Stryker Orthopaedics, Limerick, Ireland) mixed with vancomycin (1 g per 40 g of cement) using a cement gun.

Intraoperative blood loss was quantified by measuring saline irrigation fluid and weighing gauze used for blood collection during surgery. The postoperative volume of blood drained from the catheter was measured on a daily basis. Patients were asked to wear anti-embolism stockings on both legs to prevent pre- and post-operative deep vein thrombosis. By conducting quadriceps femoris muscle strengthening exercises immediately after surgery, closed suction drains were removed when daily drain output was less than 50 mL. Partial weight bearing was then allowed using a walker.

4. Clinical and Radiologic Assessment

For clinical assessment, the difference between pre-injury and post-operative ambulatory status (according to the Koval classification) and between pre-operative and last follow up visual analogue scale (VAS) scores in the groin and thigh were compared in each group. Major postoperative complications (intraoperative fractures, postoperative periprosthetic fracture, hip dislocation, pulmonary embolism, cerebral infarction, myocardial infarction, postoperative infection, pneumonia, respiratory failure, reoperation, and death) were compared between the two groups.

For radiographic evaluation, fractures and dislocations were identified based on post-operative and follow-up simple X-rays. The vertical subsidence of the femoral stem was measured on simple X-rays taken immediately after surgery and at final follow-up, and the difference between these values were compared in each group. A decrease of more than 5 mm of subsidence in the vertical distance of the femoral stem was considered to be significant.18

5. Statistical Analysis

Student’s t-test was used to compare continuous variables (age, follow-up period, operation time, intraoperative blood loss and postoperative volume of blood drained until drain removal, and preoperative platelet count and INR) between the two groups. Chi-square test was performed to compare the differences in

---

Fig. 2. (A) A 66-year-old woman with right hip pain after a fall. Right hip anteroposterior view shows transcervical femur neck fracture of Garden stage IV. Femur shaft is funnel-shaped and diaphyseal canal is narrow (Dorr type A). (B) She has undergone bipolar hemiarthroplasty using cementless stem (Tri-Lock stem).
gender, postoperative Koval walking ability and the degree of inguinal and thigh pain. Fisher’s exact test was used to analyze femoral component subsidence and postoperative complications. Radiologic measurements were analyzed using Marosis M-view 5.4 (Marotech, Seoul, Korea). Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 16.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and differences were considered statistically significant at \( P<0.05 \).

RESULTS

The two groups (i.e., cemented versus cementless femoral stems) did not differ significantly by age, gender, underlying disease and follow-up period. There was no significant difference in pre-injury Koval ambulatory status between the two groups (Table 1). Platelet counts and INR were examined preoperatively; there was no statistically significant difference in platelet count between the two groups (cemented group, 246,000±87.6; uncemented group, 236,000±80.9; \( P=0.16 \)). However, INR was significantly higher in the uncemented group (cemented group, 1.05±0.08; uncemented group, 1.09±0.07; \( P<0.05 \)), but INR ranged within the normal range (INR 0.80-1.20) in both groups. The duration of operation (minutes) was significantly longer in the cemented group compared to the uncemented group (cemented group, 104±17 minutes; uncemented group, 93±18 minutes; \( P=0.05 \)). Despite this, no difference was found in intraoperative blood loss (cemented group, 465±151 mL; uncemented group, 472±150 mL; \( P=0.76 \)), the postoperative drainage volume was significantly higher in the uncemented group (cemented group, 216±100 mL; uncemented group, 313±170 mL; \( P<0.05 \)). The correlation between preoperative INR and total amount of postoperative blood loss had no statistical significance (\( P=0.551 \)).

Upon clinical evaluation, changes in Koval scores indicating ambulatory status between pre- and post-fracture and VAS scores indicating inguinal and thigh pain at final follow-up were not significantly different (Table 2).

Upon radiographic evaluation, the vertical subsidence of the femoral stem was measured postoperatively and at final follow-up, and there was no significant difference in the mean value of the change in vertical distance between the two groups. More than 5 mm of vertical subsidence of the femoral stem was observed in three cases (cemented group) versus none (uncemented group), a difference that was not statistically significant as determined using the Fisher’s exact test (Table 2).

According to the results of chi-square test performed to test statistical difference in major postoperative complications and sub-categories between the two groups, the uncemented group had significantly higher rates of major complications. Of all complications, postoperative infection occurred in three cases in the uncemented group (\( P<0.05 \)), and all of them underwent reoperation (\( P<0.05 \)) (Table 3). Postoperative death occurred in two of 115 patients in the cemented group and two of 65 patients in the uncemented group. One of two patients in the cemented group had pulmonary embolism on the 12th postoperative day, and the other patient died of cardiogenic shock caused by angina.

| Variable                                      | Cemented group (n=115) | Uncemented group (n=65) | \( P \)-value |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| Postoperative Koval score at last follow up    |                        |                         | 0.49          |
| 1) Independent community ambulator            | 17                     | 13                      | 0.37          |
| 2) Community ambulator with cane              | 23                     | 14                      | 0.81          |
| 3) Community ambulator with walker/crutches   | 40                     | 26                      | 0.49          |
| 4) Independent household ambulator            | 2                      | 4                       | 0.19          |
| 5) Household ambulator with cane              | 0                      | 0                       | -             |
| 6) Household ambulator with walker/crutches   | 1                      | 1                       | 1.00          |
| 7) Nonfunctional ambulator                    | 32                     | 7                       | 0.10          |
| Mean Koval score difference (mean±SD)         | 1.95±2.0               | 1.38±1.4                | 0.13          |
| Postoperative VAS score at last follow up      | 5.0                    | 5.2                     | 0.56          |
| Mean subsidence difference (mm)               | 0.8                    | 0.6                     | 0.11          |
| Subsidence > 5 mm (number of patients)         | 3                      | 0                       | 0.30          |

SD: standard deviation, VAS: visual analogue scale.
pectoris on the 2nd postoperative day. One of two patients in the uncemented group expired due to pulmonary embolism occurred on the 18th postoperative day. The other patient died of septic shock, despite antibiotic therapy after removal of metal implants due to infection at the surgical site occurred on the 1st postoperative day (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

In this study, the cemented group had a longer operation time and smaller bleeding volume compared with the uncemented group. Moreover, those in the cemented group were less likely to have postoperative infection. There was no statistically significant difference in terms of postoperative inguinal and thigh pain, ambulatory ability and the vertical subsidence of the femoral stem between the two groups.

The use of a cemented femoral component in hip replacement surgery for patients with femur neck fractures is reported to be related with cardiovascular and respiratory complications (due to cement toxicity), embolisms (caused by arrhythmia), and bone marrow contents invasion to circulatory system\(^7\text{-}13\). On the contrary, the use of a cementless femoral component is suggested to be associated with complications including thigh pain, stress shielding, periprosthetic fractures and others\(^19\). In our study, although cardiovascular and respiratory complications such as postoperative myocardial infarction or pulmonary embolism occurred in patients with cemented stems, no significant difference was found compared with the uncemented group, and favorable outcome was obtained without postoperative infection. In addition, there was no significant difference in regards to ambulatory ability, inguinal and thigh pain and vertical subsidence of the femoral stem between the two groups. A meta-analysis of Li et al.\(^20\) and Luo et al.\(^21\) revealed that patients with cemented stems had better postoperative clinical outcomes and less severe thigh pain, and they had no difference in major postoperative complications compared with patients with cementless stems. Ng and Krishna\(^22\) reported that no difference was found in the incidence of major complications between the two groups; postoperative thigh pain was more severe in the uncemented group, and insignificant difference was found in ambulatory ability comparable to the result of this study. Moreover, comparable to the findings of this study, Taylor et al.\(^23\) reported no difference in postoperative thigh pain and walking ability between the two groups, and a significantly higher complication rate in the uncemented group. In contrast, a significant difference was found in subsidence rates between the two groups in the present study.

In our study, postoperative infection occurred in three patients in the uncemented group, exhibiting statistically significant difference; reoperation was performed in all cases. These cases were diagnosed by performing ultrasound-guided joint aspiration at the surgical site due

Table 3. Major Complications

| Variable                             | Cemented group (n=115) | Uncemented group (n=65) | P-value |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Major complications (number of patients) | 6                      | 10                      | 0.03*   |
| Intra-operative fracture             | 0                      | 0                       | -       |
| Postoperative periprosthetic fracture| 0                      | 0                       | -       |
| Dislocation                          | 0                      | 0                       | -       |
| Pulmonary thromboembolism            | 2                      | 1                       | 1.00    |
| Postoperative cerebral infarction    | 1                      | 1                       | 1.00    |
| Postoperative myocardial infarction  | 1                      | 2                       | 0.30    |
| Postoperative infection              | 0                      | 3                       | 0.04*   |
| Pneumonia                            | 0                      | 2                       | 0.13    |
| Respiratory failure                  | 2                      | 1                       | 1.00    |
| Reoperation                          | 0                      | 3                       | 0.04*   |
| Mortality                            | 2                      | 2                       | 0.62    |

* Statistically significant at Fisher’s exact test.
† The patients underwent reoperation were all due to postoperative infection.
* In cemented group, two patients expired owing to pulmonary thromboembolism and postoperative myocardial infarction while two patients expired in uncemented group owing to pulmonary thromboembolism and postoperative infection. All patients expired in one month postoperatively.
to persistent postoperative fever and increased levels of inflammation markers (erythrocyte sedimentation rate, C-reactive protein level). One of these patients who was receiving hemodialysis due to diabetes mellitus (DM) and end-stage renal disease died of sepsis. Another patient was under medical treatment for stroke, and the other patient was receiving drug treatment for rheumatoid arthritis. In preoperative examination, findings indicative of inflammation related to infection were not detected in those patients. We undertook every possible effort to prevent intraoperative and postoperative complications by suspending any drug taken by the patients including anti-platelet, antithrombotic and anti-rheumatic agents prior to surgery through interdisciplinary care. Each of the three patients had no indication of inflammation in preoperative screening and no history of septic arthritis.

Parvizi et al. proposed multiple strategies for preventing postoperative infection following hip replacement, algorithmic approaches and treatment by reviewing several previous studies including optimization of surgical environment and patient’s preoperative condition. In order to minimize infection, preoperative evaluation and management of patient’s conditions should proceed through check-up and screening tests for a range of factors including DM, obesity, malnutrition, urinary tract infection, anti-rheumatic drugs, anemia, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and others. They also suggested that infection rates can be lowered through intraoperative administration of prophylactic antibiotics, sterilization and shaving of the surgical site and use of antibiotic cement. In our hospital, we intended to prevent complications through regulation of blood glucose, nutritional supply, anemia correction, suspension of anti-platelet agents and anti-rheumatic agents and others by examining the above preoperative risk factors, but postoperative infection occurred in three cases. Of these patients, one female patient with complex diseases, in addition to DM and chronic renal failure, had past history of neurological surgery due to subarachnoid hemorrhage, dementia, antithrombotic drug use, and poor nutritional status. Although operations were performed after regulating preoperative risk factors as much as possible, she was at a high risk of getting infections by having diminished immune function due to long-term problems with blood glucose regulation (HbA1c level of 8.3 at the time of hospital admission), a body mass index of 22.9 kg/m², chronic renal failure and others. For these reasons, the use of antibiotic-impregnated cement stems appeared to be a better choice to prevent infection. Another patient was a 79-year old male patient with no significant past medical history except for the use of antithrombotics due to past history of stroke and underwent anemia correction preoperatively. The last patient was a 69-year old female who was taking anti-rheumatic drugs including steroids, methotrexate, disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs and others for 20 years due to rheumatoid arthritis, and she received surgery in our hospital after suspending these drugs through interdisciplinary care with the Department of Internal Medicine (Division of Rheumatology). The risk of infection in patients with inflammatory arthropathy is about two to three times higher than that of healthy individuals, and this is attributable to the effect of combined use of multiple drugs on injury healing and infection. Nevertheless, practice guidelines for the use of drugs for minimizing infection rate and regulating postoperative inflammatory arthropathy have not yet been clearly established in patients requiring surgery. In this regard, the International Consensus Group have recently introduced practical guidelines for suspension of anti-rheumatic drugs.

Previous studies reported a longer operation time in patients with cemented stems, comparable to our study, or revealed no difference in outcome after cemented versus cementless BHA.

The difference in operation time in the present study seems to be attributable to cement manipulation time, and this may differ depending on surgeon’s technical skills. Despite the difference in operation time, there was no significant difference in intraoperative blood loss volume between the two groups, while a significant difference was observed in the postoperative volume of blood drained. The result showing no difference in intraoperative blood loss under condition that patients in two groups have the same bleeding tendency, and this may also differ depending on surgeon’s surgical skills. Postoperative blood loss volume was significantly greater in the uncemented group, and this outcome was comparable to the finding of Park et al. On the other hand, Ng and Krishna and Figved et al. reported a higher intraoperative bleeding volume in the cemented group. The authors of this study searched for literature on a hemostasis effect of cement insertion to the femoral
CONCLUSION

In our study, there was no statistically significant difference in clinical and radiologic outcomes between the two groups undergoing cemented versus cementless BHA for treatment of femur neck fracture. Selective use of antibiotic-impregnated cemented stems in BHA may be a desirable treatment method for older patients with poor bone quality and higher risk of infection to help reduce the risk of postoperative infections.
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