High-Tc superconducting quantum interference filters (SQIFs) made by ion irradiation
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Abstract

Superconducting quantum interference filters (SQIFs) are arrays of superconducting loops of different sizes including Josephson junctions (JJ). For a random distribution of sizes, they present a non-periodic response to an applied magnetic field, with a large transfer function and a magnetic field sensitivity potentially improved with respect to that of a single SQUID. Such properties make SQIFs interesting devices to detect the magnetic component of electromagnetic waves at microwave frequencies. We have used the highly scalable technique of ion irradiation to make SQUIDs and SQIFs based on commercial YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_7$ films, and studied their properties. Both display optimal performance as a function of temperature and bias current, that can be understood in the frame of numerical simulations that we developed. The role of asymmetries and dispersion in JJ characteristics (routinely found in high Tc superconductors technologies) is also studied. We have found that none of them impede the existence of a SQIF effect but both play a role on the emergence of the optimal point. We finally present results on SQIF made with 2000 SQUIDs in series, showing a transfer function $dV/dB \sim 1000V/T$.
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1. Introduction

Since the pioneering works of Carelli et al [1] and Oppenländer et al [2, 3], a lot of work has been devoted to the development of superconducting quantum interference filters (SQIFs) for sensitive absolute magnetometry or for RF applications. Indeed, since the response of the SQIF is non-periodic in magnetic field, there is no need of a feed-back loop to maintain a fixed functioning point. Not only the absolute value of the magnetic field can be therefore measured, but the band-width of the device is not limited by the feed-back electronics anymore (typically a few MHz [4]). In addition, one can choose the arrangement of the SQUIDs in the array to match the impedance of the device to the read-out system.

This paves the way for RF applications of SQIFs, such as compact low noise amplifiers and sub-wavelength broad band antennas (for a review see Mukhanov et al [5]). In the recent years, different architectures and geometries of SQIFs have been explored, 1D in series and parallel configuration [3, 6] in series/parallel configurations [6], using conventional SQUIDs and bi-SQUIDs [7] to obtain the best performances in terms of sensitivity, linearity and noise. For high-frequency applications, very interesting results have been obtained such as 8–15 GHz antennas in the near field [8], and RF amplifiers in the 12 GHz range [5]. These performances have been
obtained using low $T_c$ materials, mainly Nb, operating at liquid-He temperature. A lot of applications cannot afford the related costly and power consuming cryogenics which is needed in that case. High $T_c$ superconductors (HTSs) such as YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_7$ (YBCO) appear therefore as promising candidates to make SQIFs operating at high temperature, easily accessible with compact and low energy consumption cryocoolers. HTS SQIFs have been fabricated for DC magnetometry applications [9–11] and RF ones [12–14], using mainly bicrystal grain-boundary JJ. By construction they all lie on the same line, which put stringent constraint on the circuit design. If 1D arrays can be easily realized, it is more challenging to achieve a high performance 2D HTS SQIF along a geometrically one-dimensional grain boundary [15, 16].

An alternative technology to make large arrays of HTS SQIDs is the irradiation technique developed by the San Diego group [17, 18], and more recently by Bergeal et al [19, 20]. Starting from a commercial YBCO film, the circuit and the JJ are patterned by ion-irradiation through photoresist masks. The induced defects within the HTS material lower the $T_c$ locally to fabricate SNS (Superconductor-Normal metal-Superconductor) JJ, and make it irradiating at high fluence to draw the circuit. Superconducting devices such as THz Josephson mixers were recently realized by this method [21, 22]. Large 2D arrays of JJ [23] and SQIFs [16, 24, 25] have been developed successfully.

In this article, we present the properties of SQIFs made by ion irradiation, and explain the emergence of an optimal operating point (bias current and temperature). A deep understanding of the operation of SQIF devices is necessary for future performance improvement. For that, the first step is to figure out how a single SQUID made by ion irradiation works, since it is the key element of SQIFs. We performed numerical simulations to describe the characteristics of single SQIDs that we measured experimentally. We then extended them to a small series SQIF, and drew conclusions about its optimal working point, and its sensitivity to dispersion in junction characteristics. Finally, a 2000 SQUID in series SQIF is presented with a transfer function in the 1000V/$T$ range.

2. HTSc Josephson circuits made by ion irradiation

In this study, we used commercial 150 nm thick YBCO films in-situ covered by a 100 nm gold layer [26]. To start with, the latter is removed from the surface of the sample with the exception of the contact pads. The superconducting circuit is created by a first 110 keV oxygen ions irradiation at a fluence of $5 \times 10^{12}$ ions cm$^{-2}$ performed through a patterned photoresist. 40 nm wide slits located across superconducting microbridges are then patterned in a PMMA resist by e-beam lithography. A second oxygen ions irradiation at lower fluence (typically $3 \times 10^{13}$ ions cm$^{-2}$) is performed to locally decrease $T_c$ and create SNS JJs. Figure 1 shows optical pictures of different devices such as a single SQUID (a), a 2000 loops series SQIF (b) and an array of 18 SQIDs in series that can be measured individually (c). The first two devices were achieved on one chip and the last one, on another substrate. Details of the fabrication process can be found elsewhere [19–22, 27]. It is worth noting that the same irradiation conditions were kept for all devices. Moreover design does not include large flux focusing areas around the loops or any transformers.

Under such conditions, Josephson coupling occurs at around $T_c \sim 70$ K, and extends over typically 15 K (see figure 2(a) for a single SQUID). A flux flow regime takes place for temperatures smaller than the critical temperature of the irradiated part called $T_0$.

All measurements presented here were done in a magnetically unshielded pulse-tube cryocooler. The magnetic field was applied perpendicular to the sample by an external Helmholtz coil system. We choose to not use a magnetic shield in order to explore the effect of a real environment on devices.

3. Characteristics of a DC-SQUID made by ion irradiation

First, we measured the resistance of the device as a function of temperature as depicted in figure 2(a). The device exhibited two distinct superconducting transitions at 88 and 73 K. The highest transition refers to that of the electrodes (the same as the unprocessed film) and the second to $T_0$, below which the Josephson regime starts. We then measured the $I–V$ characteristics for the SQUID, shown in figure 2(b) in zero magnetic field ($B = 0$) and for several temperatures. In the Josephson regime, $I–V$ characteristics of the SQUID follow a modified resistively shunted junction (RSJ) model, with a nonlinear normal state resistance $R_n = R_{n0} + \chi |I|$ [21, 28], where $I$ is the bias current, $R_{n0}$ the resistance in the regular RSJ model [29, 30], and $\chi$ is a parameter determined by fitting the experimental data. Given the rather high operation temperature $T$, thermal smearing has to be taken into account in the fit through the parameter $\Gamma = 4\pi \mu_B T / I_0 \Phi_0$ ($I_0$ is the SQUID critical current for $B = 0$, $\Phi_0$ the flux quantum and $\mu_B$ the Boltzmann constant). Fits of the $I–V$ characteristics (see figure 2(b)) give access to $R_{n0}$ and $I_0$, and therefore to the $I_0 R_{n0}$ product which has a dome shape as a function of temperature [21, 27] (see figure 2(a)). This is an essential feature of HTSc JJ made by ion irradiation. This shape is due to specific dependences of the critical current and the normal resistance versus temperature. Indeed, $R_{n0}$ decreases linearly with temperature to reach a null value at $T_c^{in}$ (the intrinsic critical temperature of the irradiated part) and $I_0$ increases quadratically with temperature: this leads to a maximum of the $I_0 R_{n0}$ product.

Figure 3(a) shows typical voltage modulations of the SQUID as a function of an applied magnetic field $B$, measured in an unshielded environment for different temperatures. From these V–B curves, we extracted the evolution of the transfer function $V_B = |\partial V / \partial B|_{\text{max}}$, as a function of bias current (figure 3(b)). As expected, all the curves show a peak in $V_B$ at a bias current approximately equal to the noise-free critical current determined by the screening parameter $\beta_L = |I_B| / \Phi_0$ (where $L$ is the loop inductance) for
wide branches corresponding to geometric inductance \( I \) wide branches and areas ranging from 5.6 to 18 SQUIDs in series, with 48x533 SQIF with 2000 SQUIDs in series, with m 2m 3m 4m 0m 220 nm, 88 K, 2.1Lc 5.1–13.3 pH. The first two devices (a) and (b) were realized on a same chip and (c) on another one.

\[ \Phi = 0.25 \phi_0 \] [31]. This comes from the existence of thermal fluctuations which allow the SQUID to display a voltage modulation in magnetic field for bias currents lower than its critical current. V–B curves recorded for several temperatures allowed us to extract the evolution of \( V_B \) as a function of temperature. A particularity is the presence of a maximum in temperature for \( V_B \) at \( T_{\text{max}} \sim 67 \) K. To get more insight on this behavior, we compared our data with semi-empirical formulae given by Koelle et al [32] and Enpuku et al [33, 34], and with numerical simulations of the RSJ model.

We performed numerical simulations starting from the fit of the \( I-V \) curves with the modified RSJ model (see above) to extract \( R_{00} \) and \( I_0 \) for different temperatures. We used the Inductex software to calculate the inductance of the SQUID which includes geometric \( (L_{\text{geo}}) \) and kinetic inductance \( (L_K) \) since for these temperature this latter is not negligible anymore. \( L_K \) depends on the superconducting penetration depth \( \lambda_s \), which varies with temperature according to the Gorter–Casimir formula [35–37]:

\[ \lambda_s(T) = \lambda_s(0) \sqrt{1 - (T/T_c)^\alpha} \]

with \( \lambda_s(0) = 220 \) nm, \( T_c = 88 \) K, \( \alpha = 2.1 \). All parameters are listed in table 1. The resulting \( V_B \) is shown in figure 3(b), in very good agreement with experimental data as well as a function of the bias current than as a function of temperature.

The quite unusual behavior in temperature of \( V_B \) is well reproduced in our simulations. In fact, this comes from the non-monotonic variation of the \( I_0R_{00} \) product with temperature as mentioned above. In figure 4, we plotted \( V_B \) measured at optimal current (which is temperature dependent) as a function of temperature, and compared to our simulations and to the semi-empirical formulae. On the same graph are also reported the \( I_0R_{00} \) product with its characteristics dome shape, and the value of \( \beta_1 \). The maximum of \( V_B \) at 67–68 K comes from the convolution of \( I_0R_{00} \) (maximum at 66–67 K)

Figure 1. Optical pictures of devices made by ion irradiation. White lines and crosses superimposed on pictures sketch the SQUID loops and the Josephson Junctions respectively. (a) 30 μm² SQUID with 2 μm wide branches corresponding to geometric inductance \( I_{\text{geo}} = 11 \) pH. (b) SQIF with 2000 SQUIDs in series, with 2 μm wide branches and areas ranging from 6 to 60 μm² \( (L_{\text{geo}} = 4.9–22 \) pH). (c) 18 SQUIDs in series with 2 μm wide branches and areas ranging from 5.6 to 40 μm² \( (L_{\text{geo}} = 5.1–13.3 \) pH). The first two devices (a) and (b) were realized on a same chip and (c) on another one.

Figure 2. DC characteristics of the SQUID. (a) Resistance (right scale) and critical current (left scale) curves versus temperature showing the different characteristic temperatures \( T_c, T_I \) and \( T_c^* \) (see text) and the \( I_0R_{00} \) product (extreme left scale) showing a pronounced dome shape. The resistance \( R_{00} \) (see main text) is reported for \( T < T_c \). (b) \( I-V \) characteristics in the Josephson regime, for different temperatures. Color symbols are experimental data and black broken lines are fits with the modified RSJ model (see text). Inset shows a zoom around the critical current area for \( T = 65, 67 \) and 73 K.
Figure 3. (a) SQUID voltage modulations as a function of magnetic field $B$ for different temperatures at the optimal bias current in an unshielded environment. (b) Transfer function $V_B$ as a function of the bias current for different temperatures. Symbols are experimental data and solid lines are the result of our simulations based on the RSJ model (see text).

Figure 4. $V_B$ of the SQUID at optimal bias current as a function of temperature (data black symbols, our simulation blue solid line, Enpuku’s model violet broken line, Koelle’s model pink dashed–dotted line). Is also plotted $I_{0}R_{0}$ (red triangles, extreme left scale), and $\beta_L$ (green open circles, right scale).

Table 1. Parameters used for the simulations.

| $T$ (K) | $I_{0}$ (\(\mu A\)) | $R_{0}$ (\(\Omega\)) | $\chi$ (\(\Omega/\mu A\)) | $L$ (pH) | $\beta_L$ |
|---------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------|---------|
| 65      | 820            | 0.0405         | 41.2            | 18    | 7.4     |
| 66      | 660            | 0.0605         | 42.2            | 18    | 5.9     |
| 67      | 502            | 0.0801         | 50              | 18.3  | 4.6     |
| 68      | 374            | 0.1015         | 60.2            | 18.8  | 3.5     |
| 69      | 265            | 0.1285         | 70.2            | 19.8  | 2.6     |
| 70      | 180            | 0.1655         | 75.2            | 20.8  | 1.9     |
| 71      | 111            | 0.2099         | 66.9            | 21.8  | 1.2     |
| 72      | 60             | 0.251          | 68.2            | 23.5  | 0.7     |
| 73      | 29             | 0.31           | 45.2            | 24.5  | 0.3     |

with the decreasing $\beta_L$. Indeed, $V_B$ is proportional to $I_{0}R_{0}/(1 + \beta_L)$ for small $\beta_L$ [4, 31, 38], as seen in Koelle’s and Enpuku’s formulæ. Enpuku’s expression describes correctly the experimental results in a restricted high temperature region, while the Koelle’s one and our simulations do it on the full range. An important message here is that the optimal working point in current and temperature for the SQUID corresponds to $\beta_L \sim 4$ as marked by the dashed green arrow in figure 4, and not for $\beta_L = 1$. For all SQUIDs that we measured, the optimum as well as for $V_B$ than for $\Delta V = V_{\text{max}} - V_{\text{min}}$ is reached for high $\beta_L$ value. This seems to be inherent to SQUIDs made by ion irradiation.

4. Study of a small series SQIF

SQUIDs are very promising devices for sensitive magnetometry, since their performance scale with the number of SQUIDs (linearly for the series configuration). However, the collective behavior is complex, since relevant parameters such as $\beta_L$ vary with the loop size on the one hand, and since deviations from the canonical fully symmetric SQUID and the dispersion in individual SQUIDs characteristics are unavoidable on the other hand. As a result, optimization of the array is not straightforward, and one would benefit from a thorough investigation of its detailed operation. On the way of making SQIFs with thousands of SQUIDs, we designed a 18 SQUIDs SQIF in a series configuration with loop sizes ranging from 5.6 to 40 $\mu$m$^2$ ($L_{\text{geo}}$ from 5.1 to 13.3 pH), where the SQUIDs can be measured individually (see figure 1(c)). For the whole device, we recorded the $V-B$ curves.

As depicted in the figure 5(a), a SQIF response is observed. It is weak, since the number of SQUIDs is moderate, but clearly seen, with an amplitude $\Delta V \sim 60 \mu V$ at the maximum. From $V-B$ curves, we then extracted the parameter $V_B = |\partial V/\partial B|_{\text{max}}$. Figure 5(b) shows $V_B$ as a function of the bias current for different temperatures (similar results are obtained for $\Delta V$). The overall picture is similar to that of a single SQUID, with an optimal bias current for each temperature, and an optimal temperature at $T = 56$ K (this device displays a Josephson regime at temperatures lower than the two other devices presented). To explain this behavior, we numerically modeled the $V-B$ characteristics of a device with the same geometry as the experimental one.

We first simulated an array where all the JJ were identical. We used characteristics from a typical individual SQUID (namely $R_0$, $I_0$ and $\chi$ for different temperatures) and computed the SQIF response by summing the contribution of the SQUIDs with different loop sizes. We knowingly
neglected mutual inductive coupling between SQUIDs, since
the distance between nearest neighbors (~14 μm) is large
enough. We also took into account the temperature depend-
ence of both \( \beta_L \) and \( \Gamma \). The result is shown in figure 5(c)
displaying \( V_B \) as a function of the current bias for different
temperatures. There is a clear discrepancy with experimental
data, with a restricted range in current where the SQIF
behavior can be observed, and an optimal temperature lower
than the one experimentally obtained. We then introduced a
dispersion in the JJ characteristics (\( \pm 30\% \) in \( I_{c0} \) and in
\( I_{Rc0} n_0 \), where \( P \) is equal to the standard deviation divided by
the mean for a gaussian distribution). Recent statistical studies
of ion-irradiated JJ in a Pb-shielded cryostat lead to a typical
dispersion of 13%, while our measurements in unshielded
environment show that dispersion varies from 7% to roughly
30% depending on the temperature [27]. We therefore used an
upper bound estimate in our simulations.

By introducing a dispersion in JJ characteristics, we can
notice (figure 5(d)) that the range in bias current where the
SQIF voltage modulation is observed is larger at low tem-
peratures but tends to be reduced at higher temperatures.
Besides, a clear optimum occurs in temperature. It is worth
noting that the optimal temperature is shifted toward higher
temperature as compared to the case of null dispersion, and
corresponds to that experimentally measured. Moreover, the
presence of dispersion tends to decrease the maximum of the
transfer function as compared to the ideal case. These results
are consistent with computations and experiments reported in
the literature [39, 40]. To explain this behavior, it is necessary
to come back to the behavior of the individual SQUIDs.

Figure 6 shows the transfer functions versus bias current for
18 SQUIDs with different characteristics for two tempera-
tures: 50 K, panel (a) and 56 K, panel (c). Figures 6(b)
and (d) depict \( V_B \) plotted as a function of the normalized
current \( I/I_{c0} \) for each temperature. These two temperatures
 correspond to two different SQUID working regimes. At low
temperature (i.e. 50 K), for a given current, only a very small
fraction of SQUIDs works together which impedes the exis-
tence of a SQIF voltage modulation. By increasing the
temperature, the overlap of voltage modulation of SQUIDs is
more important raising the number of SQUIDs working
together. Thus, a SQIF behavior can be observed with a well-
deﬁned voltage modulation. The reason is the following. At
low temperatures, \( \beta_L \) is large and the thermal parameter \( \Gamma \'
small for all SQUIDs. That means that each SQUID displays
a voltage modulation in magnetic field in a restricted current
range centered around its critical current (figure 6(b)). In the
presence of dispersion in JJ characteristics, the different
SQUIDs in the array will have distinct optimal currents and
thus a collective behavior of the array is strongly dis-
advantaged. Moreover, even if the transfer function of indi-
vidual SQUIDs is higher at low temperature, the potential
SQIF response will be moderate, and will decrease with
decreasing temperature, since fewer and fewer SQUIDs
contribute. On the contrary, at high temperature, each SQUID displays a voltage modulation in magnetic field on an extended range of current centered around its critical current, which leads to a collective behavior of the array. For a given current, the situation with SQUIDs operating in low-beta regime favors the contribution of many SQUIDs to the SQIF response, but the amplitude of each SQUID voltage modulation being smaller at high temperature, $V_B$ decreases as the temperature increases. This is why an optimum in temperature is observed as summarized in figure 7, where both experimental data and simulations with, and without dispersion are shown.

We can go one step further and include an asymmetry in the critical currents of the JJ in single SQUIDs. It is worthwhile reminding that an asymmetry in the critical current of the SQUID is equivalent to a non-zero magnetic field within the SQUID loop. We introduced a 30% difference in the critical currents for all SQUIDs in our simulations, corresponding to the measured dispersion for single JJs [27]. The result is the curve shown in figure 7 (black solid line), closer to our experimental data. In the same spirit of the previous arguments, asymmetry will impair the collective behavior at low temperature when few SQUIDs contribute to the SQIF response. Moreover, the shift in $V-B$ curves of SQUID has a more dramatic effect in this situation. Individual SQUIDs do not respond in phase at $B = 0$, which leads to the reduction, or even the disappearance of the SQIF voltage modulation. This effect is less deleterious at high temperature. As a consequence, a more pronounced optimal temperature...
appears in the presence of asymmetry in critical current. Experimentally, since we perform our experiment in an unshielded environment to stay close to the real applications of SQIFs, we cannot distinguish between potential asymmetry in JJ characteristics and uncontrolled stray fields which would have the same effect on the SQIF response.

As a summary, these results and their analysis based on our RSJ simulations show that SQIF effect in our devices is quite robust against dispersion in JJ characteristics and asymmetries. Nevertheless, dispersion acts on the operating point by shifting it toward high temperature and low bias current.

5. Characteristics of a 2000 SQUIDs in series SQIF

We made series arrays of 2000 SQUIDs with loop sizes ranging from 6 to 60 μm² (Lgeo = 4.9–22 pH) and 2 μm wide branches to make a SQIF (see figure 1(b)), which operates between 65 K and 75 K. Vb and ΔV as a function of bias current for different temperatures are reported in figures 8(a) and (b) respectively, and display optimal operating conditions (see figure 8(c)). This is coherent with previous experiment and simulations reported above. The optimal temperature in both cases is 72 K ± 1 K, where Vb ~ 1000V/T, and ΔV ~ 5 mV. These numbers compare favorably with previous reports in the literature. Using the ion-irradiation technique, Cybart et al reported Vb ~ 100V/T and ΔV ~ 3 mV for 280 SQUIDs [24]. Oppenländer and co-workers using grain-boundary HTSc JJ published Vb values between 3000V/T and 5000V/T and ΔV in the 3 mV range for 100–200 SQUIDs in series [3]. Mitchell et al recently reported VT ~ 1530 in a 2D HTSc SQIF with 20 000 JJ [16].

Low frequency noise measurements were performed on this device at the optimal point (T = 72 K and I = 50 μA). Two low-noise amplifiers (noise level ~10 nV Hz⁻¹/²) were used in parallel, and only the correlated noise has been measured. The obtained spectrum (figure 9) exhibits 1/f noise rising up at rather low frequency, namely below ~20 Hz. Such a value has been achieved with High Tc devices only by using bias reversal techniques [3]. Neither bias-reversal nor flux-locked loop electronics were used here. The SQIF displays a white voltage noise level of ~340 nV Hz⁻¹/² (Vb = 1000V/T) in good agreement with theoretical predictions [3, 41, 42]. Taking into account the obtained transfer function value Vb ~ 1000V/T for the bare SQIF (with no transformer), the voltage noise level can be translated into a magnetic field sensitivity of ~340 pT Hz⁻¹/². Values found in the literature are generally lower [3, 9, 10]. However, the comparison is limited since the number of SQUIDs involved is more than an order of magnitude.

![Figure 8](image-url)
6. Conclusion

Both HTSc SQUIDs and SQIFs made by ion-irradiation present an optimal operating point in bias current and temperature. The specific dome like temperature dependence of the $I_D R_{ab}$ product is important to understand the maximum in temperature. The SQIF behavior is more complex to analyze. Our simulations based on the RSJ model enable us to qualitatively reproduce our experimental results on small SQIF in a unshielded environment, provided we introduce a dispersion in JJ or from uncontrolled magnetic fields. Both spread and high temperatures favor a SQIF response from many SQUIDs, but with a small amplitude, while low temperature operation provides larger amplitudes but on a limited number of SQUIDs. This is the main reason for the optimal operating point observed. Finally, the 2000 SQUIDs in series SQIF displays interesting features in an unshielded environment, such as sensitivity in the 1000V/T range and a low noise level. These performances look promising for the realization of performing HTSc SQIFs.
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