Versatility of Cyclophilins in Plant Growth and Survival: A Case Study in *Arabidopsis*
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**Abstract:** Cyclophilins (CYPs) belong to a peptidyl-prolyl *cis-trans* isomerase family, and were first characterized in mammals as a target of an immunosuppressive drug, cyclosporin A, preventing proinflammatory cytokine production. In *Arabidopsis*, 29 CYPs and CYP-like proteins are found across all subcellular compartments, involved in various physiological processes including transcriptional regulation, organogenesis, photosynthetic and hormone signaling pathways, stress adaptation and defense responses. These important but diverse activities of CYPs must be reflected by their versatility as cellular and molecular modulators. However, our current knowledge regarding their mode of actions is still far from complete. This review will briefly revisit recent progresses on the roles and mechanisms of CYPs in *Arabidopsis* studies, and information gaps within, which help understanding the phenotypic and environmental plasticity of plants.
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1. Introduction

Cyclophilins (CYPs) are members of, namely, immunophilins that possess binding abilities towards immunosuppressive drugs such as cyclosporine A (CsA), FK506 and rapamycin. CsA binds to a group of CYP proteins, and FK506 and rapamycin bind to a distinct set of receptors, called FKBP's (FK506 and rapamycin-binding proteins), of which complexes (CsA-CYPs or FK506-FKBP's) inhibit nuclear translocation of NF-AT (nuclear factor of activated T-cells), and prevent the release of a proinflammatory cytokine, interleukin-2, and subsequent activation of immune responses, engendering immunosuppressive effects. Both CYPs and FKBP's exhibit a characteristic peptidyl-prolyl *cis-trans* isomerase (PPlase) activity which catalyzes the rotation of X-Pro peptide bonds from a *cis* to *trans* conformation, a rate-limiting step in protein folding or the assembly of protein complexes, tuning the roles and activities of a wide variety of proteins containing *trans*-prolyl imide bonds [1,2]. Note that *cis*-prolyl bonds are uncommon, most likely because of unfavorable contacts between adjacent amino acid residues in this isomeric form [3]. These post-translational modifications in turn coordinate a layer of primary and secondary metabolic pathways in diverse cellular processes. Hence, alteration of immunophilins’ functions and expressions render not only the loss of innate immunity, but also various diseases such as cancer, neurodegeneration, diabetes, asthma, rheumatoid arthritis, and cardiovascular, Parkinson’s and Alzheimer’s diseases, urging us to revisit their potential importance as drugs targets and pharmacological uses [1–5].

CYPs are structurally and evolutionally conserved PPlases found in all types of life including mammals, plants, insects, fungi and bacteria. They are categorized as single- and multi-domain PPlases; single-domain CYPs encode only a catalytic (PPlase) domain, referred to as CYP-like domain (CLD), whereas multi-domain CYPs include additional domains—in general—involved in protein and protein, or protein and nucleic acid interactions such as WD40 repeat, tetratricopeptide repeat, U-box, RNA recognition motif, Zn-finger, α-helical bundle, Leu-zipper, Ser/Lys and/or Arg/Glu-rich
domains [1,2,6,7]. Reportedly, all CLD shares a common folding architecture consisting of eight antiparallel β-sheets, capped by three α-helices [1–3,6]. The second α-helix, placed between the β6 and β7 loop region, possesses an active site residue, Trp; the most conserved and critical amino acid (aa) for both catalytic and substrate/inhibitor binding activities. Shifting of the Trp to Ala or Phe showed a negative impact on PPlase activity and CsA-binding affinity [1,7–10]. In addition, three catalytic aa residues (Arg, Phe and His) are found across β3, β4 and β7 sheets which form a so-called ‘active pocket’ and facilitate the substrate bindings and metabolisms [1,7,11,12].

In plants, CYPs were first isolated in 1990 concomitantly from tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum), maize (Zea mays) and oilseed rape (Brassica napus) [13]. Since then, major efforts have been made to identify and characterize CYPs from Arabidopsis, a model plant system (designated as AtCYPs) [6,14–20]. In particular, two pioneering studies carrying out the comprehensive analyses of Arabidopsis genomics databases revealed 29 AtCYPs and CYP-like proteins. The surprisingly large number of AtCYPs along with their ubiquitous localizations across all subcellular compartments and widespread expressions throughout all major organs (e.g., flowers, leaves, stems and roots; except a specific expression of AtCYP26-1 in flowers) proposed that CYPs’ activities must be intrinsic in the growth and survival of Arabidopsis [1,7,21]. In agreement, several studies have unveiled the putative substrates, interacting partners, as well as biochemical and physiological activities of AtCYPs, corroborating the multifaceted roles of AtCYPs in broad ranges of cellular processes including transcriptional regulation, organogenesis, photosynthetic and hormone signaling pathways, stress adaptation and defense responses [22]. Now, this review will revisit the recent advances and working models of the functional circuitry of AtCYPs, and information gaps within, in effort to further understand the versatile activities of plant CYPs, and help delineating the phenotypic and environmental plasticity of plants.

2. Activities of Cyclophilins in Plant Growth and Development

Recently, emerging evidences have elucidated that CYPs are important regulators in various metabolic pathways controlling organellar housekeeping, temporal and spatial specific metabolisms, as well as organismal development and growth in plants (Figure 1) [1,7,22]. These roles and activities of CYPs must be closely associated with their subcellular localizations. Especially, most CYPs share the same enzymatic (PPlase) activity and inhibitor (CsA), highlighting that their locations are the key limit factors of accessible substrates and interacting partners which in turn reflect their cellular activities and functions. This chapter thus will discuss recent advances in our understanding of CYPs in Arabidopsis growth and developmental processes in comparison with their subcellular locations.
AtCYP59 to interact with a number of SR proteins (e.g., SR28, SR33 and SR35) involved in RNA splicing. In line with this scenario, in vitro protein and protein interaction assays exhibited the binding affinity of AtCYP59 to the nascent transcript of mRNA, as well as the C-terminal domain (CTD) of RNA polymerase II that is a binding platform of polymerase II [28–30].

Among these, AtCYP63 and AtCYP95 harbor a C-terminal RS rich domain, along with an N-terminal CLD, an RNA recognition motif and a zinc finger domain. The RS rich domain enables hCYPH actually demonstrated binding affinity to a splicesomal snPNP complex and/or RNA activities in RNA metabolisms [1]. In fact, their human counterparts such as SR-CYP, Matrin-CYP, and KNOXs (KNOTTED-like homeoboxes), MiR156 (MicroRNA 156), NADPH (Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate), NDH (NAD(P)H dehydrogenase), OASTL-B (O-actylserine(thiol)lyase B), OPDA [(+)-12-oxo-phytodienoic acid], P (Phosphorus), PIN (Pin-formed 1 auxin efflux carrier proteins), PP2A (Ser/Thr Protein Phosphatase 2), PSi & II (Photosystem I & II), RAM (Root apical meristem), ROS (Reactive oxygen species), SAT1 (Serine acetyltransferase1), SAM (Shot apical meristem), SAT1 (Serine acetyltransferase1), Trx (Thioredoxin), and 2-CysPrx (2-Cys peroxiredoxin).

2.1. Nuclear Localized AtCYPs

In Arabidopsis, four multi-domain AtCYPs (i.e., AtCYP59, AtCYP63, AtCYP71 and AtCYP95) were predicted to target the nucleus [1,7]. Among these, AtCYP63 and AtCYP95 harbor a C-terminal RS (Arg-Ser) rich domain, known to regulate protein and protein interactions in the formation of the spliceosomal complex and the activation of RNA polymerase II [1,23–27], suggesting their potential activities in RNA metabolisms [1]. In fact, their human counterparts such as SR-CYP, Matrin-CYP and hCYPH actually demonstrated binding affinity to a splicesomal snPNP complex and/or RNA polymerase II [28–30].

AtCYP59 is another nuclear AtCYP that contains a C-terminus RS rich domain, along with an N-terminal CLD, an RNA recognition motif and a zinc finger domain. The RS rich domain enables AtCYP59 to interact with a number of SR proteins (e.g., SR28, SR33 and SR35) involved in RNA splicing during various plant growth and developmental processes [31,32]. However, AtCYP59 appeared not to colocalize with those SR proteins in nuclear speckles, instead it showed a punctuate localization pattern resembling transcription initiation sites. In line with this scenario, in vitro protein and protein interaction assays exhibited the binding affinity of AtCYP59 to the nascent transcript of mRNA,
as well as the C-terminal domain (CTD) of RNA polymerase II that is a binding platform of the transcription and splicing factors, and the nascent transcripts [31,33–35]. Perhaps, PPlase activity of AtCYP59 can modulate the structure and phosphorylation states of CTD, which in turn controls the transcription of selective mRNA associated with cell growth and development [31]. However, mRNA-binding spontaneously inhibits the PPlase activity of AtCYP59 [35], further suggesting that AtCYP59 is positioned at the interface of splicing and transcription, perhaps tuning the elongation of RNA polymerase, from where they might translocate to the nascent transcripts to ensure efficient splicing, concomitant with transcription [28,35].

AtCYP71, a highly conserved eukaryotic CYP, is an important regulator of organogenesis in Arabidopsis. Disruption of AtCYP71 mRNA hence demonstrated the drastic disfiguration of the shape and size of leaf, as well as petioles, via upregulating the expressions of a class I KNOTTED-like homeobox (KNOX) gene family including SHOOT MERISTERMLESS (STM), KNOTTED-1-LIKE 1/2 (KNAT1/2) and ASYMMETRIC LEAVES 1/2 (AS1/2), which is required for the initiation and maintenance of the shoot apical meristem (SAM) [36,37]. These results suggest that AtCYP71 regulates negatively, or fine-tunes the expression of KNOX family genes. Interestingly, an N-terminus region of AtCYP71 possesses WD40 repeat domains, interacting with histone H3, chromatin assembly factor-1 and like-heterochromatin protein1, suggesting a potential role of AtCYP71 in the chromatin remodeling [37,38]. Histone modifications (i.e., methylation and acetylation) are lined to transcriptional controls. In particular, methylation of Lys⁴ in H3 is associated with activation of gene expressions, whereas methylation of Lys⁹ or Lys²⁷ in H3 (H3K9/27) leads to gene silencing. In line with this scenario, AtCYP71 can binds and reinforces the methylation of H3K27 in the coding regions of e.g., STM and KNAT1, thereby maintaining the silenced state of those genes, and regulating proper SAM development in Arabidopsis [37].

2.2. Cytosol Localized AtCYPs

Screening a series of T-DNA insertion KO Arabidopsis mutants has disclosed that AtCYP40 plays intrinsic roles in the organogenesis of plants [20,37]. AtCYP40 is a unique multi-domain AtCYP, containing tetratricopeptide repeat domains that are able to bind ARGONAUTE 1 (AGO1) and HSP90 in the formation of an intermediate assembly of RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) [39,40]. RISC is an effector complex of post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS), consisting of a single-stranded (ss) small RNA such as small interfering RNA (siRNA) and microRNA (miRNA) that is bound to an AGO family protein, which prevents the production of proteins from mRNAs that contain sequences complementary to the ss small RNAs, through cleavage or translational repression [41]. In this system, AtCYP40 promotes binding of AGO1 with a molecular chaperon, HSP90, to facilitate RISC assembly via an ATP-dependent chaperone cycle [40,42], which in turn stimulates the production of miRNAs (e.g., miR156) [39]. Constitutive expression of miR156 then prolongs the juvenile phase of vegetative development and increases the rate of leaf initiation [43–45]. Thus, AtCYP40 KO mutant plants showed an alteration of leaf numbers, leading to a precocious expression of adult vegetative traits without induction of the reproductive maturation of shoots [20,39].

Two single-domain, AtCYP18-3 and AtCYP19-1 are highly homologous (95% aa sequence similarity) AtCYPs, but have displayed distinctive activates. Firstly, AtCYP18-3 is a multi-functional protein involved in plant growth, hormone signaling, and defense responses against biotic and abiotic stresses [46–52]. In the context of plant growth, AtCYP18-3 is positioned at the interface between light and brassinosteroid (BR) signaling pathways. BR signal antagonizes light-dependent seedling development, switching etiolation to de-etiolation by inhibiting cell elongation and promoting chloroplast development [23]. Hence, partial loss-of-function AtCYP18-3 alleles displayed elevated sensitivity to BR in the light, which subsequently arrested de-etiolation processes (photomorphogenesis) [50]. On the other hand, AtCYP19-1 is considered to control seed development as the promoter trapping detected its expressions predominantly in the peripheral endosperm and in the late heart stage of embryo development [55]. However, earlier RNA blotting assays argued that AtCYP19-1 is expressed also in
seedlings, stems and leaves of Arabidopsis [15], suggesting that it acts in diverse physiological functions beyond seed organogenesis (e.g., immune responses; see Section 3).

2.3. Chloroplast Localized AtCYPs

Arabidopsis chloroplasts include five AtCYPs in the thylakoid lumen (i.e., AtCYP20-2, AtCYP26-2, AtCYP28, AtCYP37 and AtCYP38) and one in the stroma (AtCYP20-3), of which AtCYP20-2 and AtCYP38 showed the functional involvements in the assembly and maintenance of photosystem (PS) components [54–56]. For instance, AtCYP20-2 showed a physical association with thylakoid membrane-embedded NAD(P)H dehydrogenase (NDH) complexes that mediates cyclic electron (e\(^{-}\)) transport in photosynthesis, and chlororespiration [54,57]. In fact, the level accumulations of AtCYP20-2 were strongly reduced in NDH-defective mutant plants, suggesting that its functions as an auxiliary protein in the biogenesis of NDH complexes [54,58]. Besides, AtCYP38-deficient mutants exhibited significant reduction of the biogenesis and the half-life of PSII complexes, which in turn rendered PSII centers extremely susceptible to photoinhibition [55,59], indicating that AtCYP38 is necessary for the assembly of PSII and stabilization of light-dependent reactions of photosynthesis. It is worth noting that a recent report has revealed that AtCYP20-2 can also bind and stimulate a BR signaling component, a BRASSINAZOLE RESISTNAT1 (BZR1) transcription factor (TF) in activating the expression of FLOWERING LOCUS D and promoting early flowering [56]. However, further studies are necessary to define (a) how plastidic AtCYP20-2 can interact with nuclear BZR1 TF, and (b) if expressions of AtCYP20-2 are differentially regulated in leaf plastids (perhaps constitutive) vs. flowers (temporal)—if so, how?

2.4. Endoplasmic Reticulum (ER) Localized AtCYPs

Thus far, the TargetP has identified that five single-domain AtCYPs (i.e., AtCYP19-4, AtCYP20-1, AtCYP21-1, AtCYP21-2 and AtCYP23) are located to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), a protein secretory pathway [1,7,60,61]. Indeed, the subcellular distribution of green fluorescence proteins fused with a signal peptide of AtCYP19-4 confirmed the ER localization of AtCYP19-4, especially in the apical cells of young stem and peduncle tissues [17,62,63], where it can physically bind a GNOM protein. GNOM is an ADP ribosylation factor-guanine-nucleotide exchange factor, that fine-tunes vesicular formations in membrane trafficking, and a cellular polarity along the apical-basal embryo axis control [17]. These interactions suggested that AtCYP19-4 may chaperone the activity of GNOM in the endosomal recycling of the auxin-efflux carrier PINFORMED1 to the basal plasma membrane in provascular cells, which in turn is required for the accumulation of the plant hormone auxin at the future apical meristems through polar auxin transport [17,63].

AtCYP20-1 belongs to a family of unfolded protein response (UPR) genes, responsive to ER stress [64]. In this context, a promoter region of AtCYP20-1 contains a X-box binding protein 1 (XBP1). During ER stresses, an ER transmembrane protein kinase/riboendonuclease (Ire 1p) is activated and splices the mRNA of XBP1. Matured XBP1 is then translocated to the nucleus where it binds to and activates the cis-acting element of AtCYP20-1 [64,65]. Once expressed, AtCYP20-1 binds to PP2A, ubiquitous Ser/Thr protein phosphatase, that regulates multiple pathways in plant growth and defense responses [16,66]. In fact, T-DNA insertion KO mutant Arabidopsis of AtCYP20-1 (rcn1) exhibited the drastic reduction of root and hypocotyl growth under ER stress, mimicked by toxic cantharidin treatments [16,67], suggesting that AtCYP20-1 play a critical role in proper protein synthesis and folding, as well as a removal of misfolded proteins during the life cycle of plants [68].

2.5. Mitochondria and Golgi Localized AtCYPs

Previously, two homologous AtCYP21-3 and AtCYP21-4 were predicted as mitochondrial AtCYPs [1,7]. A recent study, however, showed that AtCYP21-4 is likely localized at the Golgi apparatus [69] carrying out various post-translational modification processes including the glycosylation of proteins, producing glycoproteins [70,71]. In plants, glycoproteins play crucial roles in a variety of processes, e.g.,
forming cell wall matrixes, and optimizing morphogenesis under resting and stressed states [72,73]. Indeed, transgenic potatoes overexpressing AtCYP21-4 demonstrated increased glycoprotein contents in all tissues, as well as higher yields (size and number of tubers), substantiating the intrinsic roles of AtCYP21-4 in plant growth and development via stimulating glycoprotein synthesis or glycan processing in the Golgi apparatus [69,74].

3. Roles of Cyclophilins in Defense Responses against Abiotic Stresses

Environmental stresses such as heat, cold, drought, salt and excess water are major limiting factors in plant growth and productivity. As sessile organisms, plants employ elaborate regulatory pathways that rapidly rearrange the temporal and spatial profiles of gene expressions in responding and adapting those abiotic stresses [75–77]. Over recent decades, a large number of studies have utilized various transcriptome and bioinformatics analyses to delineate the genetic and functional circuitry of plant stress defense responses [78]. Of these studies, five AtCYP transcripts were found to be stress responsive; the heat shock-dependent induction of cytosolic AtCYP18-1, cold-dependent upregulation of plastidic AtCYP19-2, salt-dependent induction of cytosolic AtCYP18-3 and ER AtCYP19-4, salt-responsive downregulation of cytosolic AtCYP18-4 [7,79,80], but further investigations are needed to understand their roles in plant stress physiology.

Intrinsic activities of AtCYPs in the activation of plant stress response machineries have been further substantiated by the analyses of Arabidopsis KO mutant plants. For instance, disruption of AtCYP20-3 and AtCYP21-2 demonstrated enhanced hypersensitivity towards abiotic environmental stresses such as high light, oxidative, salt and/or water stresses [81,82]. Interestingly, expression of AtCYP21-2 is highly upregulated during ER stresses that can be caused by various endogenous and exogenous stresses [64,65], suggesting that ER stress-responsive genes such as UPR genes play potentially important roles in a broad range of stress defense responses. However, the same states of ER stress did not induce the other UPR, AtCYP20-1 (Section 2.4), discerning AtCYP21-2 as a defense responsive gene while AtCYP20-1 as plant growth regulators. Besides, ER stress showed little effect on AtCYP19-4 transcripts, but salt stress caused the moderate level increases (~2-folds) in AtCYP19-4 mRNA [7,82]. As alluded, AtCYP19-4 is involved in, unlike AtCYP21-2, the regulation of ER-mediated secretory system, perhaps explaining the need and roles of distinct metabolic pathways for the resolution processes of comparatively reverse stresses (i.e., salt/drought vs. excess water stresses). Note that AtCYP20-3 is the best-characterized AtCYP, and we will discuss its possible mode of actions during stressed and resting states in the Sections 4 and 5.

4. Roles of Cyclophilins in Disease Resistance against Pathogen Infections

To understand the potential roles of AtCYPs in the plant and microbe interactions, two recent studies have carried out meta-analyses and found the activation of AtCYP19-1 and AtCYP57 expressions by the infection of pathogenic bacteria, Pseudomonas syringae and Xanthomonas campestris [83,84]. Pogorelko’s group [83] has then followed up to show that the disruption of AtCYP19-1 and AtCYP57 expressions enhance susceptibility, whereas the overexpression of AtCYP19-1 and AtCYP57 can promote disease resistance against P. syringae infections, providing solid evidence that AtCYP19-1 and AtCYP57 play intrinsic roles in the activation of immune responses. In parallel, they have utilized the yeast two-hybrid assays to probe the interactions of AtCYP19-1 with antioxidant regulators such as ENGD1 (GTPase/GTP-binding protein) and Rm1C like cupins [84], hypothesizing that the upregulation of AtCYP19-1 expression is lined with the temporal modulation of antioxidant and detoxification systems to increase ROS accumulations shown in the AtCYP19-1-overexpression plants [83,85]. On the other hand, the overexpression of AtCYP57 induced callose depositions, which is perhaps via binding and stimulating the activity of pyruvate decarboxylase I whose overexpression demonstrated increased callose depositions and expression of defense genes, in conjunction with anaerobic alcohol formation and soluble sugar formation [83,85,86].
Recently, emerging evidences have proposed that plants possess several restriction factors, being able to interfere with the viral replications by directly targeting viral replicase complexes (VRC) in the cytoplasm of infected cells [87,88]. Among the plant restriction factors are two cytosolic AtCYPs (i.e., AtCYP18-3 and AtCYP19-3), which showed binding affinity to the (+)-stranded RNA and/or replicase of tomato bushy stunt tombusvirus (TBSV). These interactions then impeded the de novo replication of TBSV RNA via the inhibition of viral RNA recruitment, subsequently blocking the VRC assembly. In line with this scenario, the overexpression of AtCYP18-3 and AtCYP19-3 in plants, Nicotiana benthamiana, manifested the significant reduction of TBSV RNA accumulations, and the suppression of disease symptom development [51].

It is worth noting that AtCYP18-3 along with AtCYP18-4 and AtCYP20-3 were reported to interact with a virulence gene (VirD2) of Agrobacterium tumefaciens, a causative pathogen of a crown gall tumor disease on a wide variety of dicotyledonous plants by transporting a transfer (T)-DNA, a ss DNA segment of the tumor-inducing plasmid, from the bacterium to the plant cell [46,89,90]. These interactions hypothesized that AtCYPs are involved in maintaining the correct structural and/or functional states of VirD2. Indeed, incubation of Arabidopsis and tobacco cells with the CsA showed decreased T-DNA translocations, and perhaps disease establishment [46]. However, a recent finding by van Kregten et al. [91] that the VirD2 CYP-binding domain is not necessary for the T-DNA transformation suggests that CYPs may not be absolute requirement for VirD2 activity in the plant cells [90]. Alternatively, AtCYP18-3 may act as a negative regulator in defense activation, targeting and inhibiting the receptor (i.e., RPS2 and RPM1)-mediated recognition of pathogens (e.g., P. syringae DC3000 avrRpt2, avrB and avrRpm1). Therefore, the gain-of-function mutation of AtCYP18-3 demonstrated the loss of receptor (collectively called resistance (R)-gene)-mediated disease resistance [52].

Lately, affinity screening has identified AtCYP20-3 as a signal receptor of plant defense hormone, (+)-12-oxo-phytodiencioic acid (OPDA), belonging to jasmonate family of hormones which includes jasmonic acid, its precursors and derivatives [92,93]. OPDA is an autonomous signaling molecule that regulate unique subsets of jasmonate-responsive genes in activating and fine-tuning defense (adaptive) responses against necrotrophic fungi and insect herbivores, as well as growth processes [94,95]. When OPDA is produced under stress states, it binds and stimulates AtCYP20-3 to form a complex with serine acetyltransferase1 (SAT1), which triggers the formation of a hetero-oligomeric Cys synthase complex (CSC) with O-acetylserine(thiol)lyase B [92,96,97]. CSC formation then leads to the production of CYS (sulfur assimilation) and subsequently thiol metabolites, which increases cellular reduction potentials. The enhanced reduction capacity in turn coordinates the expression of a subset of OPDA-responsive genes that actuate and calibrate immune responses. Hence, the disruption of AtCYP20-3 expression concurred with the enhanced disease susceptibility against necrotrophic fungal (e.g., Alternaria brassicicola and Botrytis cinerea) and oomycete (Pythium irregulare) pathogens [92,98].

5. Roles of Cyclophilin at the Interface between Plant Growth and Defense; A Case Study of AtCYP20-3

Emerging outcomes from a number of recent studies on AtCYPs have underpinned that CYPs are versatile metabolites in plants regulating various processes in growth and survival. In particular, AtCYP20-3 is found to be positioned within multiple signaling and metabolic pathways, binding with several interacting partners including SAT1, thioredoxins (Trxs) and 2-Cys peroxiredoxin (2-CysPrxs) in the chloroplasts [81,92,93,99–101], which propose AtCYP20-3 to be a key regulator in controlling the interface between OPDA (defense) and light-dependent redox (growth) signaling. The latter, also referred to as the electron (e−) transport chain (ETC) of PSI, is a primary metabolism converting solar energy into biologically useful chemical energies, which is a source of the overall biomass of plants and living organisms [93,102]. When PSI antenna captures solar energy, it excites e− that reduces Trxs via a ferredoxin (Fd) and a Fd-Trx reductase. Trxs, small oxidoreductases, then delivers e−, and activates target enzymes in the Calvin cycle (carbon fixation) that balances consumption in
photosynthesis [103–105]. Recent studies however have started to unveil that Trxs also target other, Calvin cycle-unrelated proteins, including AtCYP20-3 [106], a key regulator of (a) OPDA signaling (see above) and (b) photosynthetic pathway as an e⁻ donor of 2-CysPrxs which metabolize the detoxification of a toxic byproduct in photosynthesis (e.g., H₂O₂), and the activation of Calvin cycle enzymes [99–101,107]. The interaction of Trxs with AtCYP20-3, thereby, positioned AtCYP20-3 as a redox sensor of ETC, transferring e⁻ from Trxs towards 2-CysPrxs and/or SAT1. Reduction (activation) of 2-CysPrxs then continues peroxide detoxification and activates photosynthetic carbon metabolisms, whereas the activation of SAT1 stimulates sulfur assimilation which coordinates redox-resolved nucleus gene expressions in defense responses against biotic and abiotic stresses [92,108–111]. In line with this scenario, stress-induced OPDA binds and, perhaps, modulates the functional and conformational states of AtCYP20-3 to which adjusts its subsequent binding and electron transfer between 2-CysPrxs and/or SAT1 [92,93], hypothesizing that AtCYP20-3 is a unique player in controlling the interface between OPDA signaling and photosynthesis. This interplay thus enables plants to make an adaptive decision in allocating resources (e⁻) between growth and defense responses (e.g., fitness tradeoffs) towards constant environmental challenges such as pathogens, pests, tissue injury, as well as light and oxidative stresses [110,112,113]—in the end—ensuring optimal growth, reproduction and survival of plants.

6. Conclusions

Plants constantly cope with a vast array of environmental challenges whilst concurrently optimizing their fitness by reprogramming the growth and reproduction processes. Towards that, plants employ a number of primary and secondary metabolites, and intricate signaling network to interconnect and orchestrate multiple layers of complex cellular mechanisms. As discussed in this review, a growing number of studies have espied that plant CYPs are highly versatile protein regulators involved in a variety of metabolic signaling and pathway during plants growth and survival, suggesting that the activity or activities of each CYP and their functional crosstalk play intrinsic roles in controlling many of key regulatory hubs (e.g., AtCYP20-3) that coordinate the growth, development, as well as immune and defense responses in plants. Noticeable, CYPs are structurally conserved PPIases, and thus molecular components and mechanisms in which are involved their activities, likely share common ancestry and evolutionary processes across the plant Kingdom. Therefore, furthering our understanding of functional and biological activities between, and within plant CYPs will not only: (i) provide new insights into the cellular mechanisms that plants use to make adaptive decisions when challenged by multiple stressors; and (ii) can enrich plant breeding and engineering strategies for selection of elite genetic traits that will maximize plant fitness; but also (iii) assist understanding the immune activation of a mammalian system; and (iv) help improving drug developments through facilitating the rational design of more potent and safe reagents.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported in part by the Alabama Farmers Federation’s Soybean Committee, the Alabama Agricultural Experiment Station, and the Hatch program of the National Institute of Food and Agriculture (USDA) to S.W.P.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Romano, P.G.N.; Horton, P.; Gray, J.E. The Arabidopsis Cyclophilin Gene Family. Plant Physiol. 2004, 134, 1268–1282. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Wang, P.; Heitman, J. The cyclophilins. Genome Biol. 2005, 6, 2261–2266. [CrossRef]
3. Romano, P.; Gray, J.; Horton, P.; Luan, S. Plant immunophilins: Functional versatility beyond protein maturation. New Phytol. 2005, 166, 753–769. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Nigro, P.; Pompillo, G.; Capogrossi, M.C. Cyclophilin A: A key player for human disease. Cell Death Dis. 2013, 4, e888. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Kang, D.B.; Hong, Y.; Dhe-Paganon, S.; Yoon, H.S. FBKP family proteins: Immunophilins with versatile biological functions. *Neurosignals* **2008**, *16*, 318–325. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

6. Schubert, M.; Petersson, U.A.; Haas, B.J.; Funk, C.; Schroder, W.P.; Kieselbach, T. Proteome Map of the Chloroplast Lumen of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2002**, *277*, 143–164. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

7. He, Z.; Li, L.; Luan, S. Immunophilins and Parvulins. Superfamily of Peptidyl Prolyl Isomerases in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* **2004**, *134*, 1248–1267. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

8. Bossard, M.J.; Koser, P.L.; Brandt, M.; Bergsma, D.J.; Levy, M.A. A single Trp121 to Ala121 mutation in human cyclophilin alters cyclosporin A affinity and peptidyl-prolyl isomerase activity. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1991**, *176*, 1142–1148. [CrossRef]

9. Liu, J.; Chen, C.M.; Walsh, C.T. Human and *Escherichia coli* cyclophilins: Sensitivity to inhibition by the immunosuppressant cyclosporin A correlates with a specific tryptophan residue. *Biochemistry* **1991**, *30*, 2306–2310. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

10. Zydowsky, L.D.; Etzkorn, F.A.; Chang, H.Y.; Ferguson, S.B.; Stolz, L.A.; Ho, S.I.; Walsh, C.T. Active site mutants of human cyclophilin A separate peptidyl-prolyl isomerase activity from cyclosporin A binding and calcineurin inhibition. *Protein Sci.* **1992**, *1*, 1092–1099. [CrossRef]

11. Howard, B.R.; Vajdos, F.F.; Li, S.; Sundquist, W.I.; Hill, C.P. Structural insights into the catalytic mechanism of cyclophilin A. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **2003**, *10*, 475–481. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

12. Davis, T.L.; Walker, J.R.; Campagna-Slater, V.; Finerty, P.J.; Paramanathan, R.; Bernstein, G.; MacKenzie, F.; Tempel, W.; Ouyang, H.; Lee, W.H.; et al. Structural and biochemical characterization of the human cyclophilin family of peptidyl-prolyl isomerases. *PLoS Biol.* **2010**, *8*, e1000439. [CrossRef]

13. Gasser, C.S.; Gunning, D.; Budelker, K.; Brown, S.M. Structure and expression of cytosolic cyclophilin/peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase of higher plants and production of active tomato cyclophilin in *Escherichia coli*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1990**, *87*, 9519–9523. [CrossRef]

14. Hayman, G.T.; Miernyk, J.A. The nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of a peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1994**, *1236*, 536–538. [CrossRef]

15. Chou, I.T.; Gasser, C.S. Characterization of the cyclophilin gene family of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and phylogenetic analysis of known cyclophilin proteins. *Mol. Biol.* **1997**, *35*, 873–892. [CrossRef]

16. Jackson, K.; Söll, D. Mutations in a new *Arabidopsis* cyclophilin disrupt its interaction with protein phosphatase 2A. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* **1999**, *262*, 830–838. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

17. Grebe, M.; Gadea, J.; Steinmann, T.; Kientz, M.; Rahfeld, J.U.; Salchert, K.; Koncz, C.; Jürgens, G. A conserved domain of the *arabidopsis* GNOM protein mediates subunit interaction and cyclophilin 5 binding. *Plant Cell* **2000**, *12*, 343–356. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

18. Lippuner, V.; Chou, I.T.; Scott, S.V.; Ettinge, W.E.; Theg, S.M.; Gasser, C.S. Cloning and characterization of chloroplast and cytosolic forms of cyclophilin from *Escherichia coli* in *cyclophilin/peptidyl-prolyl cis*-*trans* isomerase of Arabidopsis‡. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2000**, *269*, 6502–6508. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

19. Klepikova, A.V.; Kaslanov, A.S.; Gerasimov, E.S.; Logacheva, M.D.; Penin, A.A. A high resolution map of the Arabidopsis chloroplast luminal subpart defined by cyclophilin 5 binding. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2003**, *304*, 647–650. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

20. Koncz, C.; de Jong, F.; Villacorta, N.; Szakonyi, D.; Koncz, Z. The Spliceosome-activating complex: Molecular mechanisms underlying the function of a pleiotropic regulator. *Front. Plant. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 9. [CrossRef]

21. Lin, T.Y.; Emerman, M. Determinants of cyclophilin A-dependent TRIM5α restriction against HIV-1. *Virology* **2008**, *375*, 4017–4018. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Philipp, D.; Celotto, A.M.; Wang, Q.Q.; Tarng, R.S.; Graveley, B.R. Arginine/serine repeats are sufficient to constitute a splicing activation domain. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2003**, *31*, 6502–6508. [CrossRef]
27. Valcárcel, J.; Green, M.R. The SR protein family: Pleiotropic functions in pre-mRNA splicing. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 1996, 21, 296–301. [CrossRef]
28. Bourquin, J.P.; Stagljar, I.; Meier, P.; Moosmann, P.; Silke, J.; Baechi, T.; Georgiev, O.; Schaffner, W. A serine/arginine-rich nuclear matrix cyclophilin interacts with the C-terminal domain of RNA polymerase II. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1997, 25, 2055–2061. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
29. Mortillaro, M.J.; Bereznay, R. Matrix CYP, an SR-rich cyclophilin that associates with the nuclear matrix and splicing factors. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1998, 273, 8183–8192. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
30. Ingelfinger, D.; Göthel, S.F.; Marahiel, M.A.; Reidt, U.; Ficner, R.; Lührmann, R.; Achsel, T. Two protein-protein interaction sites on the spliceosome-associated human cyclophilin CypH. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2003, 31, 4791–4796. [CrossRef]
31. Gullerova, M.; Andrea, B.; Lorkovic, Z. AtCyp59 is a multidomain cyclophilin from Arabidopsis thaliana that interacts with SR proteins and the C-terminal domain of the RNA polymerase II. *RNA* 2006, 12, 631–643. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
32. Yan, Q.; Xia, X.; Sun, Z.; Fang, Y. Depletion of Arabidopsis SC35 and SC35-like serine/arginine-rich proteins affects the transcription and splicing of a subset of genes. *PLoS Genet.* 2017, 13, e1006663. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
33. Natalizio, B.J.; Robson-Dixon, N.D.; Garcia-Blanco, M.A. The carboxyl-terminal domain of RNA polymerase II is not sufficient to enhance the efficiency of pre-mRNA capping or splicing in the context of a different polymerase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2009, 284, 8692–8702. [CrossRef]
34. Brody, Y.; Neufeld, N.; Bieberstein, N.; Caussé, S.Z.; Böhlein, E.M.; Neugebauer, K.M.; Darzàcq, X.; Shav-Tal, Y. The in vivo kinetics of RNA polymerase II elongation during co-transcriptional splicing. *PLoS Biol.* 2011, 9, e100537. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
35. Bannikova, O.; Zywicki, M.; Marquez, Y.; Skrahina, T.; Kalyna, M.; Barta, A. Identification of RNA targets for the nuclear multidomain cyclophilin atCyp59 and their effect on PPIase activity. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2013, 41, 1783–1796. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
36. Hake, S.; Smith, H.M.S.; Holtan, H.; Magnani, E.; Mele, G.; Ramirez, J. The role of KNOX genes in plant development. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 2004, 20, 125–151. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
37. Li, H.; He, Z.; Lu, G.; Lee, S.C.; Alonso, J.; Ecker, J.R.; Luan, S. A WD40 domain cyclophilin interacts with histone H3 and functions in gene repression and organogenesis in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 2007, 19, 2403–2416. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
38. Li, H.; Luan, S. The cyclophilin AtCYP71 Interacts with CAF-1 and LHP1 and functions in multiple chromatin remodeling processes. *Mol. Plant.* 2011, 4, 748–758. [CrossRef]
39. Smith, M.R.; Willmann, M.R.; Wu, G.; Berardini, T.Z.; Moller, B.; Weijers, D.; Poethig, R.S. Cyclophilin 40 is required for microRNA activity in Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2009, 106, 5424–5429. [CrossRef]
40. Iki, T.; Yoshikawa, M.; Meshi, T.; Ishikawa, M. Cyclophilin 40 facilitates HSP90-mediated RISC assembly in plants. *EMBO J.* 2012, 31, 267–278. [CrossRef]
41. Czech, B.; Hannon, G.J. Small RNA sorting: Matching up with SRs. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2011, 12, 19–31. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
42. Iki, T.; Yoshikawa, M.; Nishikiori, M.; Jaudal, M.C.; Matsumoto-Yokoyama, E.; Mitsuhashi, I.; Meshi, T.; Ishikawa, M. In vitro assembly of plant RNA-induced silencing complexes facilitated by molecular chaperone HSP90. *Mol. Cell* 2010, 39, 282–291. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
43. Wu, G.; Poethig, R.S. Spatial regulation of shoot development in Arabidopsis thaliana by miR156 and its target SPL3. *Development* 2006, 133, 3539–3547. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
44. Chuck, G.; Cigan, A.M.; Saeteurn, K.; Hake, S. The heterochronic maize mutant Corngrass1 results from overexpression of a tandem microRNA. *Nat. Genet.* 2007, 39, 544–549. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
45. Gandikota, M.; Birkenbihl, R.P.; Höhmann, S.; Cardon, G.H.; Saedler, H.; Huijser, P. The miRNA156/157 recognition element in the 3' UTR of the Arabidopsis SBP box gene SPL3 prevents early flowering by translational inhibition in seedlings. *Plant J.* 2007, 49, 683–693. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
46. Deng, W.; Chen, L.; Wood, D.W.; Metcalf, T.; Liang, X.; Gordon, M.P.; Comai, L.; Nester, E.W. Agrobacterium VirD2 protein interacts with plant host cyclophilins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1998, 95, 7040–7054. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
47. Coaker, G.; Falick, A.; Staskawicz, B. Activation of a phytopathogenic bacterial effector protein by a eukaryotic cyclophilin. *Science* 2005, 308, 548–550. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
58. Tomaši´c Pai´c, A.; Fulgosi, H. Chloroplast immunophilins. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
59. F. van der Hoorn, P.; Blackman, L. R. The role of the GNOM gene in Arabidopsis apical-basal patterning—From mutant to physiological insights. FEBS J. 2005, 270, 3757–3765. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
60. V. Elstner, D. Izawa, K.; Kusaba, T.; Maruyama, K. The GNOM-related, Rho-GTPase Cdc42 interacts with small GTPases and is involved in the Rho GTPase signaling network in plants. FEBS J. 2006, 273, 1609–1618. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
61. A. Broggini, G.; Feller, C. A. Rho GTPases and cell polarity in plants. Trends Plant Sci. 2007, 12, 537–543. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
62. B. T. P. Swanson, J. M.; Chen, Y.; Xu, B.; Blackman, L. R.; Steer, D. C. GNOM activity is regulated by the auxin inducible GTPase-activating protein TIR1. Plant Physiol. 2008, 146, 1286–1296. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
63. A. Broggini, G.; Feller, C. A. Rho GTPases and cell polarity in plants. Trends Plant Sci. 2007, 12, 537–543. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
64. E. Cortes, J. M.; Yoo, N. G.; C. Kaufman, R. J. The unfolded protein response: Controlling cell fate decisions under ER stress and beyond. Front. Mol. Neurosci. 2013, 6, 7. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
65. D. R. Evans, P.; S. M. Poole, A.; J. A. Parniske, M. The role of the GNOM gene in Arabidopsis apical-basal patterning—From mutant to physiological insights. FEBS J. 2005, 270, 3757–3765. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
66. V. Elstner, D. Izawa, K.; Kusaba, T.; Maruyama, K. The GNOM-related, Rho-GTPase Cdc42 interacts with small GTPases and is involved in the Rho GTPase signaling network in plants. FEBS J. 2006, 273, 1609–1618. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
67. A. Broggini, G.; Feller, C. A. Rho GTPases and cell polarity in plants. Trends Plant Sci. 2007, 12, 537–543. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
68. E. Cortes, J. M.; Yoo, N. G.; C. Kaufman, R. J. The unfolded protein response: Controlling cell fate decisions under ER stress and beyond. Front. Mol. Neurosci. 2013, 6, 7. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
69. Park, H.J.; Lee, A.; Lee, S.S.; An, D.J.; Moon, K.B.; Ahn, J.C.; Kim, H.S.; Cho, H.S. Overexpression of golgi protein CYP21-4s improves crop productivity in potato and rice by increasing the abundance of mannosidic glycoproteins. *Front. Plant. Sci.* 2017, 8, 1250. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

70. Friso, G.; van Wijk, K.J. Posttranslational protein modifications in plant metabolism. *Plant Physiol.* 2015, 169, 1469–1487. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

71. Huang, S.; Wang, Y. Golgi structure formation, function, and post-translational modifications in mammalian cells. *F1000Res* 2017, 6, 2050. [CrossRef]

72. von Schaewen, A.; Frank, J.; Koiva, H. Role of complex N-glycans in plant stress tolerance. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 2008, 3, 871–873. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

73. Nguema-Ona, E.; Vicré-Gibouin, M.; Gotté, M.; Plancot, B.; Lerouge, P.; Bardor, M.; Driouich, A. Cell wall O-glycoproteins and N-glycoproteins: Aspects of biosynthesis and function. *Front. Plant. Sci.* 2014, 5, 999. [CrossRef]

74. Lee, S.S.; Park, H.J.; Jung, W.Y.; Lee, A.; Yoon, D.H.; You, Y.N.; Kim, H.S.; Kim, B.G.; Ahn, J.C.; Cho, H.S. OsCYP21-4, a novel golgi-resident cyclophilin, increases oxidative stress tolerance in rice. *Front. Plant. Sci.* 2015, 6, 797. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

75. Zhu, J.K. Salt and drought stress signal transduction in plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 2002, 5, 127–149. [CrossRef]

76. Bartels, D.; Sunkar, R. Drought and salt tolerance in plants. *CRC. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* 2005, 24, 23–58. [CrossRef]

77. Sreenivasulu, N.; Sunkar, R.; Wobus, U.; Strickert, M. Array platforms and bioinformatics tools for the analysis of plant transcriptome in response to abiotic stress. In *Methods in Molecular Biology*; Humana Press: New York, NY, USA, 2010; Volume 639, pp. 71–93.

78. Trivedi, D.K.; Yadav, S.; Vaid, N.; Tuteja, N. Genome wide analysis of cyclophilin gene family from rice and Arabidopsis and its comparison with yeast. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 2012, 7, 1653–1666. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

81. Dominguez-Solis, J.R.; He, Z.; Lima, A.; Strickert, J.; Buchanan, B.B.; Luan, S. A cyclophilin links redox and light signals to cysteine biosynthesis and stress responses in chloroplasts. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2008, 105, 16386–16391. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

82. Kim, J.H.; Nguyen, N.H.; Nguyen, N.T.; Hong, S.W.; Lee, H. Loss of all three calreticulins, CRT1, CRT2 and CRT3, causes enhanced sensitivity to water stress in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell Rep.* 2013, 32, 1843–1853. [CrossRef]

83. Mokryakova, M.V.; Pogorelko, G.V.; Maloshehok, L.G.; Mokrykova, M.V.; Fursova, O.V.; Bruskin, S.A. The role of peptidyl-prolyl cis/trans isomerase genes of *Arabidopsis thaliana* in plant defense during the course of Xanthomonas campestris infection. *Russ. J. Genet.* 2014, 50, 140–148. [CrossRef]

84. Abdeeva, I.A. The dependence of viral RNA replication on co-opted host factors. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2011, 10, 137. [CrossRef]
89. Nikkanen, L.; Rintamäki, E. Thioredoxin-dependent regulatory networks in chloroplasts under fluctuating light conditions. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 2009, 22, 1356–1365. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

90. Takahashi, H.; Kopriva, S.; Giordano, M.; Saito, K.; Hell, R. Sulfur assimilation in photosynthetic organisms: A “one-for-all” redox-signaling system in plants. *Front. Plant. Sci.* 2012, 3, 52. [CrossRef]

91. van Kregten, M.; Lindhout, B.I.; Hooykaas, P.J.J.; van der Zaal, B.J. *Agrobacterium*-mediated T-DNA transfer and integration by minimal VirD2 consisting of the relaxase domain and a type IV secretion system translocation signal. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 2009, 22, 1356–1365. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

92. Park, S.W.; Li, W.; Viehhauser, A.; He, B.; Kim, S.; Nilsson, A.K.; Andersson, M.X.; Kittle, J.D.; Ambavaram, M.M.; Luan, S.; et al. Cyclophilin 20-3 relays a 12-oxo-phytodienoic acid signal during stress responsive regulation of cellular redox homeostasis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2013, 110, 9559–9564. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

93. Cheong, H.; Barbosa dos Santos, I.; Liu, W.; Gosse, H.N.; Park, S.W. Cyclophilin 20-3 is positioned as a regulatory hub between light-dependent redox and 12-oxo-phytodienoic acid signaling. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 2017, 12, e1362520. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

94. Pieterse, C.M.J.; Van der Does, D.; Zamioudis, C.; Leon-Reyes, A.; Van Wees, S.C. Hormonal modulation of chloroplast fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase by 2-Cys peroxiredoxin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2009, 106, 20108–20113. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

95. Acosta, I.F.; Farmer, E.E. *Arabidopsis* Book. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 2011, 62, 157–184. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

96. Wirtz, M.; Hell, R. Functional analysis of the cysteine synthase protein complex from plants: Structural, biochemical and regulatory properties. *J. Plant Physiol.* 2006, 163, 273–286. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

97. Hub, J.H.; Tholl, D.; Park, S.W.; Auburn University, Auburn, AL, USA. Personal Communication, 2018.

98. Jensen, P.E.; Bassi, R.; Boekema, E.J.; Dekker, J.P.; Jansson, S.; Leister, D.; Robinson, C.; Scheller, H.V. Structure, function and regulation of plant photosystem I. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2007, 1767, 335–352. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

99. Liebthal, M.; Strüve, M.; Li, X.; Hertle, Y.; Maynard, D.; Hellweg, T.; Viehhauser, A.; Dietz, K.J. Redox-dependent conformational dynamics of decameric 2-cysteine peroxiredoxin and its interaction with cyclophilin 20-3. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 2016, 57, 1415–1425. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

100. Muthuramalingam, M.; Seidel, T.; Laxa, M.; Nunes De Miranda, S.M.; Gärtner, F.; Ströher, E.; Kandlbinder, A.; Dietz, K.J. Multiple redox and non-redox interactions define 2-cys peroxiredoxin as a regulatory hub in the chloroplast. *Mol. Plant.* 2009, 2, 1273–1288. [CrossRef]

101. Jensen, P.E.; Bassi, R.; Boekema, E.J.; Dekker, J.P.; Jansson, S.; Leister, D.; Robinson, C.; Scheller, H.V. Structure, function and regulation of plant photosystem I. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2007, 1767, 335–352. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

102. Meyer, Y.; Buchanan, B.B.; Vignols, F.; Reichheld, J.P. Thioredoxins and Glutaredoxins: Unifying Elements in Molecular functions and regulations of transporters and assimilatory enzymes. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 2011, 62, 157–184. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

103. Meyer, Y.; Buchanan, B.B.; Vignols, F.; Reichheld, J.P. Thioredoxins and Glutaredoxins: Unifying Elements in Molecular functions and regulations of transporters and assimilatory enzymes. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 2011, 62, 157–184. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

104. Serrato, A.J.; Fernández-López, J.; Barajas-López, J.-D.; Chueca, A.; Sahrawy, M. Plastid thioredoxins: A “one-for-all” redox-signaling system in plants. *Front. Plant. Sci.* 2013, 4, 463. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

105. Nikkanen, L.; Rintamäki, E. Thioredoxin-dependent regulatory networks in chloroplasts under fluctuating light conditions. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 2014, 369, 20130224. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

106. Motohashi, K.; Kondo, A.; Stumpf, M.T.; Hisabori, T. Comprehensive survey of proteins targeted by chloroplast thioredoxin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2001, 98, 11224–11229. [CrossRef]

107. Peltier, J.; Cai, Y.; Sun, Q.; Zabrouskov, V.; Giacomelli, L.; Ytterberg, A.J.; Rutschow, H.; van Wijk, K.J. The oligomeric stromal proteome of *Arabidopsis thaliana* chloroplasts. *Mol. Cell. Proteom.* 2005, 5, 114–133. [CrossRef]

108. Caporaletti, D.; D’Alessio, A.C.; Rodriguez-Suarez, R.J.; Senn, A.M.; Duek, P.D.; Wolosiuk, R.A. Non-reductive modulation of chloroplast fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase by 2-Cys peroxiredoxin. *Biochim. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2007, 355, 722–727. [CrossRef]

109. dietz, K.J.; Jacob, S.; Oelze, M.L.; Laxa, M.; Tognetti, V.; De Miranda, S.M.N.; Baier, M.; Finkemeier, I. The function of peroxiredoxins in plant organelle redox metabolism. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2006, 57, 1697–1709. [CrossRef]
110. Noctor, G.; Queval, G.; Mhamdi, A.; Chaouch, S.; Foyer, C.H. Glutathione. *Arabidopsis Book* **2011**, *9*, e0142. [CrossRef]

111. Foyer, C.H.; Noctor, G. Redox homeostasis and antioxidant signaling: A metabolic interface between stress perception and physiological responses. *Plant Cell* **2005**, *17*, 1866–1875. [CrossRef]

112. Riemann, M.; Muller, A.; Korte, A.; Furuya, M.; Weiler, E.W.; Nick, P. Impaired induction of the jasmonate pathway in the rice mutant *hebiba*. *Plant Physiol.* **2003**, *133*, 1820–1830. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

113. Kazan, K.; Manners, J.M. The interplay between light and jasmonate signalling during defence and development. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2011**, *62*, 4087–4100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]