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Background: Obstetric fistula recurrence predisposes to a decreasing success rate of fistula repair and accounts for the continued incidence of obstetric fistulas in low-income countries. Evidence has revealed that there are women’s intent gaps in the prevention of obstetric fistula recurrence. Assessments of predictors of intent to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence among women with fistula are scarce.

Objective: This study assessed the intent to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence and its predictive factors among women with obstetric fistula in Ethiopia.

Methods: Facility-based cross-sectional study was conducted from April 01, 2019, to August 01, 2019, with consecutively selected 478 women with fistulas in five fistula treatment centers in Addis Ababa and Oromia regions. Data were collected using an interviewer-administered structured questionnaire and analyzed using SPSS 23 software. Simple and multiple linear regression models were fitted for data analysis.

Results: Mean score of intent to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence among women with obstetric fistula was 27.72 ± 5.06. Living in a rural setting (β =−2.27; 95% CI: −3.62, −0.93), being married (β =1.71, 95% CI: 0.56, 2.85), having one previous repair (β =2.14, 95% CI: 0.19, 4.08), high self-esteem (β =1.42, 95% CI: 0.09, 2.74), psychological health (β =0.05, 95% CI: 0.26, 3.79), living with fistula ≥5 years (β =1.82, 95% CI: 0.44, 3.21), high knowledge of risk factors (β =3.12, 95% CI: 1.99, 4.25), and a positive attitude (β =2.33, 95% CI: 1.12, 3.54) were significant predictors of the intent to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence.

Conclusion: Intent to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence among women with obstetric fistula was low. This indicates an urgent need to create awareness among women with an obstetric fistula on the risk factors of obstetric fistula recurrence, attitudinal changes towards prevention of obstetric fistula recurrence, and the availability of prevention measures.
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Introduction

Obstetric fistula is a serious, debilitating complication related to childbirth with devastating effects on a woman’s life. It remains a prominent cause of maternal morbidity in the developing world.1,2 Despite a 43% reduction in maternal deaths from 1990 to 2015, still, about 830 women die each day due to complications associated with pregnancy and childbirth. It is estimated that 2 to 3.5 million women currently suffer from untreated fistulas worldwide.3,4 Globally, the continued incidence of obstetric fistulas in low-income countries is one of the most explicit indicators of the big gaps in maternal health care between the developed and developing worlds.5

From 2010 to 2013, fewer than 2000 women had obstetric fistula surgery per year on average; although more than 110,000 Ethiopian women have a vaginal fistula, it will take decades of years to repair the existing fistula even if there is no new occurrence of obstetric fistula.6 Obstetric fistula recurrence predisposes to a decreasing success rate of fistula repair. On the other hand, success rates decrease with increasing numbers of previous attempts at surgical repair and
diminish after a third attempt. History of prior repeat surgical repair is a risk factor for surgical repair failure. A single-center study shows that the success rates for the first, second, third, and fourth repeat repairs were found to be 68.8%, 56.2%, 50%, and 0%, respectively.

Different initiatives such as the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), Maternal Health Task Force (MHTF), countdown, Global Strategy for Women’s health, and others have devoted efforts to reducing preventable maternal mortality. Despite such efforts, obstetric fistula still compromises the well-being of affected women in all dimensions of their health.

Many women with obstetric fistulas experience varying degrees of social stigma that can prevent them from seeking care early. In addition, lack of sexual and reproductive health knowledge, or cultural inhibitions to discuss these topics, lead a woman to accept these conditions as normal postpartum healing rather than seeking care. Factors such as cultural norms, gender discrimination, and poor health care providers’ attention to the needs of women in planning and delivering health services impede women with obstetric fistulas from seeking and receiving help early when they have had obstetric complications in a previous pregnancy. Lack of a good relationship and care from health care providers leads clients to dissatisfaction with the health system, diminishing the likelihood of their timely health care seeking and directly affecting the well-being of patients. Inadequate knowledge of signs and symptoms of illness, lack of service availability, cost of services, lack of transport options, and poor quality of care are also other factors that prevent women with fistula not to seek care early. Many women and/or their families, especially those who lack skilled care during delivery, may not even know that fistula treatment exists and when, where, and how to seek care. Seeking out the option of surgery versus vaginal birth in certain places is also thought to be less womanly and unnatural.

Intent to prevent a fistula was defined as the extent to which women with a fistula plan to prevent the recurrence of a fistula. It was based on a scoring method. Evidence has revealed that there are women’s intent gaps in the prevention of obstetric fistula recurrence. Most of the studies conducted on obstetric fistulas were qualitative studies and a few quantitative studies focused on the prevalence, causes, and social implications of fistulas. There is a gap in evidence on the predictors of intent to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence among women with fistula in developing countries, and there is no such study in Ethiopia. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the predictors of intent to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence among women with fistula in Ethiopia.

Materials and Methods
Study Area, Design, and Period
A cross-sectional study was conducted at five fistula treatment centers in Ethiopia on 478 consecutively selected women with obstetric fistulas from April 01, 2019, to August 01, 2019.

Study Population and Inclusion Criteria
The populations included in this study were all women with obstetric fistula (mostly vesicovaginal fistula cases). These included: women who were diagnosed with obstetric fistula and waiting for surgery; those after one week of surgery before their discharge; and women who have been appointed for a checkup after surgical treatment.

Exclusion Criteria
In this study, twenty-one women with fistulas who were not volunteered to participate, those with severe fistulas with complications (infections or comorbidities), cases of fistula secondary to trauma/surgery, and who cannot be able to respond to the study were excluded from the study.

Sample Size and Sampling Technique
The sample size was estimated using the single population proportion formula. This was based on the assumptions (1.2% prevalence of obstetric fistula in the Oromia Region, 95% confidence level, 1% precision, and 5% nonresponse rate). Accordingly, 478 samples of women living with obstetric fistula were included in the study. The quotas of women consecutively selected during the study period from each study area were: 256 women from Addis Ababa Hamlin fistula...
center, 54 from Harar Hamlin fistula center, 42 from Asella hospital, 40 from Mettu Hamlin fistula center, and 86 from Jimma University Medical Center (see Figure 1).

**Operational Definitions**

Domain: indicates the four health components of quality of life.

  Intent: - what someone plans to do.\(^{21-23}\)

  Knowledge: - It is measured based on twelve items of the knowledge of the risk factors questionnaire. Classification schemes for knowledge of risk factors among participants were based on questions used to assess knowledge adapted from a previous study.\(^{14}\)

    High knowledge: - Scoring 7–12 on knowledge of the risk factors of obstetric fistula recurrence questions.\(^{14}\)

    Low knowledge: - Scoring 0–6 on knowledge of the risk factors of obstetric fistula recurrence questions.\(^{14}\)

  Attitude: - Is the way that one considers and feels around something or the way one carries on with someone. Classification schemes for attitudes towards fistula recurrence prevention among participants were based on a previous study.\(^{21}\)

    Positive attitude: - Scoring 86–170 on attitude questions on obstetric fistula prevention.\(^{21}\)

    Negative attitude: - Scoring 1–85 on attitude questions on obstetric fistula prevention.\(^{21}\)

  Self Esteem: - It is someone’s set consideration and sentiments, almost her/him worth and significance that’s a wholly positive or negative state of mind towards oneself.\(^{21}\)

  Paid work: - Means work done for financial gain as payment or in expectation of payment and includes all types of work such as working as a government or non-government employee with salary.

  Unpaid work: - This means work that does not receive any direct financial gain and includes all routine household chores.

**Data Collection Tool**

Tools for the assessment of intent to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence with 8 items (five Likert scales: strongly disagree, disagree, uncertain, agree, and strongly agree) and predicted factors were adopted after a review of relevant literature. Eight items of intent to prevent fistula recurrence were: delivering by a skilled birth attendant, staying close to the health facility

---

**Figure 1** Diagrammatic representation of the sampling technique.
after 36 weeks of gestation, going to the health facility immediately after labor begins, delivering at the health facility, having a vaginal delivery, having a cesarean section, using traditional medicine to speed up labor, and attending antenatal care as stipulated throughout pregnancy. The predictor term quality of life was measured using the World Health Organization (WHO) quality of Life shortened version (WHOQOL-BREF) tool with 24 items and a four-domain structure (five Likert scales). The predictor term self-esteem was based on four Likert scales with ten items adapted from the Rosenberg self-esteem scale. The tool for attitude towards fistula recurrence prevention was with 34 items (five Likert scales).

The tools were translated from the English language to local languages (Afan Oromo and Amharic) and translated back to English and pretested on 5% of the sample size and found reliable with Cronbach’s Alpha value of (Intent to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence=0.81, attitude towards obstetric fistula recurrence prevention=0.86, self-esteem=0.78, and quality of life=0.83).

Data Collection Procedure
Data collectors and supervisors were trained for two days to acquaint them with the research instruments and objectives. Data were collected through face-to-face interviews with fifteen MSc Midwives and supervised by five senior MSc Midwifery staff.

Statistical Analysis
Data were entered into Epi data version 3.5.3 (Odense Denmark) for double data entry verification and then exported to International Business Machines Corporation Statistical Product and Service Solutions (IBM SPSS) version 23 for analysis. Descriptive statistics such as frequency and percentages were done to summarize socio-demographic and reproductive health-related variables. Item total scores were computed for eight intent items each on five Likert scales, and then the medians of each item, the mean intent score, and standard deviations were estimated. Independent variable items’ total scores, value labels, and dummy coding was also made for attitude, knowledge, and self-esteem; which were then categorized as positive/negative attitude, high/low knowledge, and high/low self-esteem based on below half/ above half of each factor’s item total scores (S1 Table). Simple and multiple linear regression analyses were used to test the predicting factors of intent to prevent fistula recurrence. All assumptions of linear regression were tested and satisfied. First, all socio-demographic characteristics and reproductive health-related factors were regressed on the dependent variable using simple linear regression. Then all significant variables were analyzed using multiple linear regression. Statistical significance was declared at P < 0.05.

Results
Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Women with Obstetric Fistula
Out of the 499 women who were contacted for the interview, 478 were included in the study. Twenty-one women were excluded from the study per the exclusion criteria. Among those included in this study three-fourths (75.5%) were rural residents, 60.6% were married, more than two-thirds (83.5%) of the women’s occupation was unpaid employment and two-thirds (66.3%) of them were not able to read and write. (Table 1).

Reproductive Health Characteristics of Women with Obstetric Fistula
At the time of this study, nearly half (48.3%) of the women were waiting for their turn to get fistula repair and among those who got treatment, more than a quarter (27.5%) had been successfully repaired. Above half (56.5%) had lived with fistula from six weeks to two years. Close to half (45.4%) and a bit more than half (55.9%) of them had a low level of knowledge of the risk factors of obstetric fistula recurrence and had a negative attitude towards the prevention of obstetric fistula recurrence, respectively (Table 2).

Intent to Prevent Fistula Recurrence Among Women with Obstetric Fistula
The mean score of intent to prevent fistula recurrence among women with obstetric fistula was 27.72 ± 5.06 (Table 3). The intent items’ median score revealed that six items had a median of four, indicating women’s agreement with the items. Median scores for the intent items of using vaginal delivery and traditional medicine to prevent fistula recurrence were three and two, indicating women’s uncertainty and disagreement with the items, respectively (Figure 2).
A multiple regression analysis revealed that predictors explained 43% of the variance in the intent to prevent fistula recurrence ($F(27, 212) = 5.96, P<0.001$). Women’s previous living site, marital status, number of previous repairs, length of time lived with fistula, self-esteem, knowledge of risk factors of fistula recurrence, attitude towards fistula recurrence prevention, and psychological health quality of life domain were significant predictors of the intent to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence at $P < 0.05$.

Women with obstetric fistula who lived in rural areas had a two-point lower intent score to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence than those who lived in urban areas ($\beta = -2.27, P=0.001$). Women with married marital status had a 1.71 higher

### Table 1 Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Women with an Obstetric Fistula at Fistula Centers of Addis Ababa and Oromia, 2019 (n=478)

| Factors                  | Categories                | Numbers (n=478) | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Residence                | Urban                     | 117             | 24.5           |
|                          | Rural                     | 361             | 75.5           |
| Marital status           | Never married             | 13              | 2.7            |
|                          | Married                   | 290             | 60.6           |
|                          | Divorced/Separated        | 163             | 34.1           |
|                          | Widowed                   | 12              | 2.5            |
| Occupation               | Paid works                | 79              | 16.5           |
|                          | Unpaid works              | 399             | 83.5           |
| Educational status       | Cannot read and write     | 317             | 66.3           |
|                          | Read and write            | 35              | 7.3            |
|                          | Primary schools           | 91              | 19.0           |
|                          | Secondary and preparatory school | 25        | 5.2            |
|                          | College/university        | 10              | 2.1            |
| Husbands’ educational status | Cannot read and write     | 271             | 58.3           |
|                          | Read and write            | 56              | 12.0           |
|                          | Primary schools           | 66              | 14.2           |
|                          | Secondary and preparatory school | 55        | 11.8           |
|                          | College/university        | 17              | 3.7            |
| Living with whom         | Only with my husband      | 165             | 34.5           |
|                          | With husband and children | 28              | 5.9            |
|                          | Only with my parents      | 147             | 30.8           |
|                          | Relatives                 | 43              | 9              |
|                          | Only with my children     | 16              | 3.3            |
|                          | Alone                     | 79              | 16.5           |
| Factors                                                                 | Categories                      | Numbers (n=478) | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Was your pregnancy with which you encountered a fistula planned?       | Planned                         | 302             | 63.2           |
|                                                                        | Not planned                     | 176             | 36.8           |
| Was your pregnancy in which you encountered a fistula, followed by ANC?| ANC                             | 195             | 40.8           |
|                                                                        | No ANC                          | 283             | 59.2           |
| Place of delivery when fistula occurred                                | At health facility              | 344             | 72             |
|                                                                        | At home                         | 134             | 28             |
| Delivery at home assisted by                                          | Relatives/family                | 38              | 30.6           |
|                                                                        | Neighbors                       | 60              | 48.4           |
|                                                                        | UTBA                            | 23              | 18.5           |
|                                                                        | TTBA                            | 2               | 1.6            |
| Number of obstetric fistulae encountered                              | First time                      | 247             | 51.7           |
|                                                                        | Second time                     | 125             | 26.2           |
|                                                                        | Third time and more             | 106             | 22.2           |
| The previous number of fistula repaired                                | None                            | 231             | 48.3           |
|                                                                        | One                             | 129             | 27.0           |
|                                                                        | Two                             | 64              | 13.4           |
|                                                                        | Three or more                   | 54              | 11.3           |
| Previous repair outcome                                               | Successful                      | 68              | 27.5           |
|                                                                        | Unsuccessful                    | 179             | 72.5           |
| Duration lived with fistula                                           | 6 weeks – 2 years               | 270             | 56.5           |
|                                                                        | 2 years and 3 months – 4 years  | 57              | 11.9           |
|                                                                        | ≥5 years                        | 151             | 31.6           |
| Time of care-seeking after fistula                                     | 0–24 weeks                      | 292             | 61.1           |
|                                                                        | 25–48 weeks                     | 71              | 14.9           |
|                                                                        | 49–72 weeks                     | 5               | 1.0            |
|                                                                        | 73–96 weeks                     | 35              | 7.3            |
|                                                                        | ≥97 weeks                       | 75              | 15.7           |
| Self-esteem                                                            | Low self-esteem                 | 386             | 80.8           |
|                                                                        | High self-esteem                | 92              | 19.2           |
| Knowledge of risk factors of fistula                                   | Low knowledge                   | 217             | 45.4           |
|                                                                        | High knowledge                  | 261             | 54.6           |

(Continued)
Table 2 (Continued).

| Factors                                      | Categories           | Numbers (n=478) | Percentage (%) |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Attitude towards fistula recurrence prevention | Negative attitude   | 267             | 55.9           |
|                                              | Positive attitude    | 211             | 44.1           |

Abbreviations: ANC, antenatal care; UTBA, untrained traditional birth attendant; TTBA, trained traditional birth attendant.

Table 3 Intent to Prevent Fistula Recurrence and Items Mean Score Among Women with Obstetric Fistula at Fistula Centers of Addis Ababa and Oromia, 2019 (n=478)

| Items                                      | Mean | Standard Deviation |
|--------------------------------------------|------|--------------------|
| Intent to prevent fistula recurrence score | 27.72| ± 5.06             |
| Delivering by a skilled birth attendant    | 3.93 | ± 1.18             |
| Staying close to the health facility after 36 weeks of gestation | 3.75 | ± 1.14             |
| Going to the health facility immediately after labor begin | 3.85 | ± 1.19             |
| Delivering at the health facility          | 4.07 | ± 1.15             |
| Having a vaginal delivery                  | 2.82 | ± 1.23             |
| Having a caesarean section                 | 3.33 | ± 1.48             |
| Using traditional medicine to speed up labor | 2.09 | ± 1.08             |
| Attending antenatal care as stipulated throughout pregnancy | 3.88 | ± 1.04             |

Discussion

In this study, which included 478 women with obstetric fistula, the mean intent score to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence was 27.72 ± 5.06. Being married, living with fistula for more than five years, having a high level of knowledge on the risk factors of fistula recurrence and those who had a positive attitude towards fistula recurrence prevention had 3.12 and 2.33 higher intent scores to prevent fistula recurrence compared to their counterparts (β=3.12, P<0.001) and (β=2.33, P<0.001), respectively (Table 4).

Women with obstetric fistula who had one previous repair had a 2.14 higher intent score to prevent fistula recurrence compared to those who had three and more repairs (β=2.14, P=0.031). Similarly, women with obstetric fistula who had high self-esteem had a 1.42 higher intent score to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence than those who had low self-esteem (β=1.42, P=0.036). For every one-unit increase in the psychological health quality of life domain score of women with fistula, the intent to prevent fistula recurrence increases by 0.05 (β=0.05, P=0.038). Women with obstetric fistula who had a high level of knowledge on the risk factors of fistula recurrence and those who had a positive attitude towards fistula recurrence prevention had 3.12 and 2.33 higher intent scores to prevent fistula recurrence compared to their counterparts (β=3.12, P<0.001) and (β=2.33, P<0.001), respectively (Table 4).

Discussion

In this study, which included 478 women with obstetric fistula, the mean intent score to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence was 27.72 ± 5.06. Being married, living with fistula for more than five years, having a high level of knowledge of the risk factors of fistula recurrence, having high self-esteem, being healthy psychologically, having a single previous fistula repair, and having a positive attitude towards fistula recurrence prevention were positively related to the intent to prevent fistula recurrence. Living in rural areas was negatively related to the intent to prevent fistula recurrence.

In this study, the mean intent score of women with obstetric fistula towards prevention of fistula recurrence was low. This is because in this study most of the women with fistulas were rural residents and so may not have adequate awareness of the prevention of fistula; they had a negative attitude towards obstetric fistula recurrence prevention and
most of the women’s previous repair outcome was unsuccessful which may influence their decision and need not to have further repair, these all may contribute to their low intent. This is in agreement with an explorative study conducted in Nigeria, which shows that the majority of the study participants’ intent to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence is very low.

**Figure 2** Intent items median scores among women with an obstetric fistula at fistula centers of Addis Ababa and Oromia, 2019 (n=478).

**Table 4** Factors Predicted the Intent to Prevent Obstetric Fistula Recurrence Among Women with an Obstetric Fistula at Fistula Centers of Addis Ababa and Oromia, 2019 (n=478)

| Factors                          | Categories | β   | Std. Error | t     | P-value | 95% CI         |
|---------------------------------|------------|-----|------------|-------|---------|----------------|
| **Residence**                   | Urban†     |     |            |       |         |                |
|                                 | Rural      | −2.27| 0.68       | −3.33 | 0.001*  | −3.62, −0.93   |
| **Marital status**              | Married    | 1.71 | 0.58       | 2.93  | 0.004** | 0.56, 2.85     |
|                                 | Not married| 2.18 | 1.13       | 1.93  | 0.055   | 4.41, 0.05     |
|                                 | Widowed    | 0.01 | 1.51       | 0.01  | 0.994   | −2.96, 2.98    |
|                                 | Divorced†  |     |            |       |         |                |
| **Duration lived with fistula** | 0.13–2 years† | | | | | |
|                                 | 2.25–4 years| 1.16 | 0.84       | 1.38  | 0.169   | −0.50, 2.82    |
|                                 | ≥5 years   | 1.82 | 0.70       | 2.60  | 0.010** | 0.44, 3.21    |
| **Knowledge of risk factors**   | Low knowledge† | | | | | |
|                                 | High knowledge | 3.12 | 0.57       | 5.45  | <0.001** | 1.99, 4.25    |

(Continued)
related to their belief that the fistula has no cure and can be treated with herbal products by experienced traditional birth attendants.²²

Those women with obstetric fistula who lived in rural areas had a lower intent score to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence compared to those who lived in urban areas. This might be because those women who live in an urban setting have more access to maternal and obstetric care, fistula treatment centers, information about obstetric fistula recurrence risk factors, and obstetric fistula recurrence prevention measures than their rural counterparts. This is consistent with a study conducted in Burkina Faso, which indicates that women with fistulas who are rural residents have less preventive knowledge of recurrent fistulas than urban residents.¹⁴ Similarly, women with married marital status had a higher intent score to prevent fistula recurrence than those who divorced. This is maybe women who are in union with their husbands, are more stabilized psychologically, and get more supports to prevent the recurrence of fistula than those who are divorced from marriage. A recent study in Kenya supports this premise that women with obstetric fistulas who are with their husbands, get financial and psychosocial support from spouses and family members and are better able to access repair care and adequate follow-up.²³

In this study, women who had lived with obstetric fistula for more than or equal to five years had a higher intent score to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence than those who lived with obstetric fistula for less than two years. This may be because those women who live more years with this devastating condition encounter more problems and complications related to fistula than those who live less with this condition and so more intend to prevent fistula recurrence to get relief from such problems. Furthermore, women who live more years with their fistulas may also get more chances of being counseled on risk factors of fistula recurrence and on the appropriate time to seek care. This is in line with a study conducted in Tanzania which depicts that the duration of living with a fistula before surgical repair greatly influences women’s experience after repair as well.²⁴

Women with obstetric fistula who had a high level of knowledge on risk factors of fistula recurrence had a higher intent score to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence than those who had a low level of knowledge. This is because those women who have more knowledge of the risk factors of fistula recurrence have more plans and readiness to prevent

### Table 4 (Continued).

| Factors                        | Categories          | β     | Std. Error | t     | P-value | 95% CI         |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-------|------------|-------|---------|----------------|
| Attitude towards recurrence prevention | Negative attitude† |       |            |       |         |                |
|                                | Positive attitude   | 2.33  | 0.61       | 3.80  | <0.001**| 1.12, 3.54    |
| Self-esteem                    | Low self-esteem     |       |            |       |         |                |
|                                | High self-esteem    | 1.42  | 0.67       | 2.11  | 0.036*  | 0.09, 2.74    |
| Number of previous repairs     | None                | 0.27  | 0.19       | 1.42  | 0.157   | −0.11, 0.66   |
|                                | One                 | 2.14  | 0.99       | 2.17  | 0.031*  | 0.19, 4.08    |
|                                | Two                 | 0.30  | 0.80       | 0.37  | 0.712   | −1.28, 1.87   |
|                                | Three or more†      |       |            |       |         |                |
| Quality of life domain         | Physical health     | −0.04 | 0.02       | −1.64 | 0.102   | 0.052, 1.93   |
|                                | Psychological health| 0.05  | 0.03       | 2.1   | 0.038*  | 0.26, 3.79    |
|                                | Environmental health| −0.03 | 0.03       | −1.09 | 0.276   | 0.30, 3.39    |

**Notes:** °Significant at P<0.05, **Significant at P< 0.001. †Reference category.

**Abbreviations:** β, Beta coefficient; CI, Confidence interval.
obstetric fistula recurrence than those who have less knowledge. This is coherent with the findings of a study done in Cameroon which has shown that knowledge of risk factors influences intent to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence.\textsuperscript{35} A similar study in Benin & West Africa revealed that women with obstetric fistula may not know the actual risk factors of obstetric fistula recurrence and how to prevent it.\textsuperscript{36,37} A descriptive study conducted in Uganda also implies that most of the women with obstetric fistula have inadequate knowledge of whether the condition is repairable and 58.7\% do not know whether it is preventable.\textsuperscript{38}

Similarly, in this study, women with obstetric fistula who had a positive attitude towards fistula recurrence prevention had a higher intent score to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence than those who had a negative attitude towards fistula recurrence prevention. This may be because having a positive attitude towards fistula recurrence prevention measures makes women have more plans to prevent recurrence than those who have a negative attitude. This is consistent with the findings of a study conducted in Benin, which revealed that 56.6\% of obstetric fistula respondents have a positive attitude and feel that hospital delivery is an obstetric fistula recurrence preventive measure.\textsuperscript{39} However, a study conducted in Pakistan shows that despite the availability of transport throughout the community, women’s attitude towards health care facility delivery is poor, and cannot access emergency obstetric care and are intended to deliver by unskilled personnel.\textsuperscript{40} A study conducted in Eritrea among women with obstetric fistulas also shows that they perceive health facilities as unlucky and filled with evil and as a result, most women labor at home with the assistance of older female relatives, neighbors, and traditional birth attendants, showing that women with obstetric fistulas who have a negative attitude towards professional care are at greater risks of developing obstetric fistula recurrences.\textsuperscript{4}

Women with high self-esteem had a higher intent score to prevent fistula recurrence than those with low self-esteem. Similarly, in this study, as the women’s psychological health improves/increases, their intent to prevent fistula recurrence also increases. These indicate that having high self-esteem and being fit psychologically makes women plan more for the prevention of fistula recurrence. This is coherent with the study conducted in Zambia, which depicted that fistula patients who are ashamed of themselves due to vaginal leaking fell into a deeper emotional decline, which in turn affected their self-esteem not to seek care for help to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence.\textsuperscript{41}

Women who had one previous repair had a higher intent score to prevent fistula recurrence than those who had more repeated repairs. This is because as the number of obstetric fistula recurrences and repeated repairs increases, the chance to be a successful repair decreases, which may put the women in despair for not seeking further repair and diminish their intent to prevent the recurrence of the fistula. This is supported by the study in Niger, which shows that success rates decreased with increasing numbers of previous attempts at surgical repair.\textsuperscript{7} Furthermore, a study conducted in Kenya shows that past unsuccessful repairs represent barriers to care-seeking among women living with obstetric fistulas.\textsuperscript{33}

### Strengths
This study has some strengths. First, it is an original study for determining the intent to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence among women with obstetric fistula in Ethiopia. Second, a relatively large sample of women with obstetric fistulas was included in the study. Third, this study is one of the few studies that assessed factors that predicted the intent to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence among women with fistulas in developing countries.

### Limitations
This study has some limitations. First, it included women with obstetric fistula found only in five fistula treatment centers and used a consecutive sampling technique for feasibility purposes. Second, this study was cross-sectional by design, which might be subjected to recall bias while capturing past antecedents. Third, as obstetric fistula is a sensitive issue, there might also be a social desirability bias in accessing the real experiences of the study participants. Fourth, the lack of similar previous studies made it difficult to make enough comparisons.

### Conclusion
In this study, the intent to prevent obstetric fistula recurrence among women with obstetric fistula was low. Residence, marital status, number of previous repairs, duration of living with the fistula, knowledge of risk factors, self-esteem, psychological health, and attitude towards fistula recurrence prevention were significant predictors of women’s intent to
prevent fistula recurrence. Emphasis should be given to awareness creation among women with an obstetric fistula on the preventive measures of obstetric fistula recurrence, the risk factors of obstetric fistula recurrence, and attitudinal changes towards prevention of obstetric fistula recurrence. To prevent and end the recurrence of this tragic morbidity successfully, there should be community-based outreach interventions including early case identification and linkage to treatment centers, community education on fistula prevention, and health care providers training in the provision of compassionate care to ignite women’s early access to obstetric cares. Inclusive follow-up studies are needed to identify other potential factors influencing the intention to prevent fistula recurrence among women with obstetric fistula.
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