Energy-momentum relation for solitary waves of relativistic wave equations
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Abstract

Solitary waves of relativistic invariant nonlinear wave equation with symmetry group $U(1)$ are considered. We prove that the energy-momentum relation for spherically symmetric solitary waves coincides with the Einstein energy-momentum relation for point particles.
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1 Introduction

The paper concerns the old problem of mathematically describing elementary particles in field theory. The problem was raised in classical electrodynamics after the discovery of the electron by Thomson in 1897. Abraham realized that a point electron would be unstable due to infinite self-energy, and introduced the model of the “extended” electron with finite electrostatical energy. However, the electrostatic model is also unstable due to electrostatic repulsion. The corresponding tension of the extended electron was analyzed by Poincaré in [13]. To avoid the instability problem for point particles, Einstein suggested that particles could be described as singularities of solutions to the field equations [5]. To obtain stationary finite energy solutions, Born and Infeld introduced a “unitary field”, which is a nonlinear modification of the Maxwell equations [14, 15]. The last approach was not developed further because the relation to the corresponding quantum version was not clarified.

Rosen [17] was the first who proposed a description of particles for the coupled Klein-Gordon-Maxwell equations, which are invariant with respect to the Lorentz group and to the global gauge group $U(1)$: the particle at rest is described by a finite energy solution that has “Schrödinger’s” form $\psi(x)e^{i\omega t}$ (“nonlinear eigenfunctions” or “solitary waves”). The particle with the nonzero velocity $v$, $|v| < 1$, is obtained by the corresponding Lorentz (or Poincaré) transformation. Some numerical analysis has been done, showing the existence of radial solitary waves for a finite range of $\omega$. The particle in the “normal” state is identified with the solitary wave of minimal energy.

The existence of radial solitary waves (“ground states”) and nonradial solitary waves (“excited states” with nonzero angular momentum) has been analyzed numerically by many authors for diverse Lagrangian field theories [8, 9], [17]-[24], [26]. For the complex scalar field, most general results were achieved by Berestycki and Lions [3]. For the nonlinear Dirac equation, the existence is proved in [1, 7]. For the Maxwell-Dirac field, the existence was proved by Esteban, Georgiev and Séré in [6].

The next step after establishing the existence of solitons would be to study their stability as one of the main features of elementary particles. A nonrigourous analysis in [17] suggests an instability of solitons with negative total energy treated as a negative mass of the soliton. The first rigorous proof of instability of solitons with $\omega = 0$ was given by Derrick in [4]. The proof also concerns negative energy and is based on the virial Derrick-Pokhozhaev identity [4, 12].

These instability results hindered the development of the approach to elementary particles as the solitons. On the other hand, the solitons with $\omega \neq 0$ can be stable; this follows from the general criterion discovered by Grillakis, Shatah and Strauss and other authors (see [11] and the references therein). Note that in [2] the asymptotic stability of solitons was proved for the 1D nonlinear Schrödinger equation when $\omega \neq 0$.

The key role of elementary particles suggests that the set of all moving solitons forms a global attractor for all finite energy solutions to the dynamical field equations. However, this is still an unproved conjecture.

Finally, it would be of importance to develop a particle-like dynamics for moving solitons. We make a step in this direction for relativistic-invariant scalar Klein-Gordon equations. Namely, we prove that, for spherically symmetric solitary waves (constructed in [3]), the energy-momentum relation coincides with that of a relativistic particle.
Furthermore, we suggest that this fact fails for non-spherically symmetric solitary waves of some angular structure if such solitary waves exist indeed.

A further step would be a justification of effective dynamics for a solitary wave in an external slowly varying potential. A result of this type was obtained in [16] for the solitons of the Abraham model of classical electrodynamics and in [25] for localized wave packets of the Dirac equation. Recently, an effective dynamics was announced for the solitons of the nonrelativistic nonlinear Hartree equation [10].

2 Standing solitary waves

Consider the relativistic-invariant nonlinear wave equation

\[ \ddot{\psi}(x,t) = \triangle \psi(x,t) + f(\psi(x,t)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \]  

(2.1)

where \( n \geq 1 \) and \( \psi \in \mathcal{C}^d \), \( d \geq 1 \). Assume that

\[ f(\psi) = -\nabla_{\psi} V(\psi), \quad \psi \in \mathcal{C}^d, \]

where \( V \) is a real potential, and \( \nabla_{\psi} \) stands for the gradient with respect to \( u = \text{Re} \psi \) and \( v = \text{Im} \psi \); in other words, \( \nabla_{\psi} = \nabla_u + i \nabla_v \). Then Eq. (2.1) formally becomes a Hamiltonian system with the Hamiltonian functional

\[ H(\psi, \dot{\psi}) = \int \left[ \frac{\dot{\psi}^2}{2} + \frac{\nabla \psi^2}{2} + V(\psi) \right] dx. \]  

(2.2)

Further, assume that \( V(\psi) = \mathcal{V}(|\psi|) \). Then Eq. (2.1) is \( U(1) \)-invariant, i.e.,

\[ f(e^{i\theta} \psi) = e^{i\theta} f(\psi), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}. \]

Consider a (standing) solitary wave \( \psi_0(x,t) = a(x)e^{-i\omega t} \) with the energy

\[ H(\psi_0, \dot{\psi}_0) = \int \left( \frac{\omega^2 |a|^2}{2} + \frac{\nabla a^2}{2} + \mathcal{V}(|a|) \right) dx. \]  

(2.3)

The amplitude \( a(x) \) is a solution of the Helmholtz stationary nonlinear equation

\[ -\omega^2 a(x) = \triangle a(x) + f(a(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \]  

(2.4)

The existence of nonzero solitary waves was proved in [3] under the following assumptions:

**S0** \( d = 1, \quad f \in C(\mathbb{C}), \quad f(0) = 0, \)

**S1** \(-\infty < \lim_{a \to 0^+} f(a)/a + \omega^2 < 0, \)

**S2** \( \exists a_0 > 0 : \mathcal{V}(a_0) - \frac{\omega^2 a_0^2}{2} < 0, \)

**S3** \( \exists \alpha \geq 0 : f(a) = -\alpha a^l + o(a^l), \quad a \to \infty, \quad l := \frac{n+2}{n-2}. \)
Further, the solution \( a(x) \) to (2.4) is real and satisfies the following properties:

**A1**
\[ a(x) = R(|x|), \]

**A2**
\[ \exists C, \delta > 0 : |R^{(k)}(r)| \leq Ce^{-\delta r}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2. \]

Property A1 means that the solitary wave thus constructed is a radial (spherically symmetric) “ground state”. Below we discuss the excited states with higher angular momentum for \( n = 2 \).

### 3 Moving solitary waves

Consider a (moving) solitary wave with velocity \( v \in \mathbb{R}^n \):

\[ \psi_v(x, t) = \psi_0(\Lambda_v(x, t)). \]

Here \(|v| < 1\) and \( \Lambda_v \) is a Lorentz transformation with velocity \( v \):

\[ \Lambda_v(x, t) = (\gamma(x^\| - vt) + x^\perp, \gamma(t - vx)), \]

where \( x^\| + x^\perp = x \), \( x^\| \parallel v \), \( x^\perp \perp v \), \( \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}} \). In other words,

\[ \psi_v(x, t) = \psi_0(\gamma(x^\| - vt) + x^\perp, \gamma(t - vx)) = a(\gamma(x^\| - vt) + x^\perp)e^{-i\omega \gamma(t-vx)}. \]

Note that \( \psi_v(x, t) \) is a solution of (2.1).

### 4 Energy-momentum relation for radial states

For \( v \in \mathbb{R}^n \), \(|v| < 1\), we denote by

\[ E_v := H(\psi_v, \dot{\psi}_v), \quad P_v := -\text{Re} \int \dot{\psi}_v \nabla \psi_v \, dx \]

the energy and momentum of the moving solitary wave, respectively. Let us assume in what follows that

**S4**
\[ \mathcal{V}(a) + \frac{\omega^2 a^2}{2} \geq 0, \quad a \geq 0. \]

In this section, we consider spherically symmetric nonzero solitary waves (i.e. waves with zero angular momentum). This spherical symmetry is a typical property of a “ground state” with minimal energy.

**Theorem 4.1** Let \( a(x)e^{-i\omega t} \) be a standing solitary wave for (2.1), and let A1, A2 hold. Then the following “particle-like” energy-momentum relation holds:

\[ E_v = \frac{E_0}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}}, \quad P_v = \frac{E_0 v}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}}. \]  

(4.1)

Here \( E_0 > 0 \) for \( \omega \neq 0 \).
Remark For a nonzero solitary wave, we have $E_0 := H(\psi_0, \dot{\psi}_0) > 0$. In fact, if $H(\psi_0, \dot{\psi}_0) = 0$, then $a(x) \equiv \text{const}$ by S4 and (2.3). However, this implies $a(x) \equiv 0$ by A2.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Step 1. We choose the coordinates in such a way that $v = (|v|, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Below we write everywhere $v$ instead $|v|$ to simplify the notations. Let us write

$$y_1 = \gamma(x_1 - vt), \quad y_k = x_k; \quad y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n).$$

Then

$$\psi_v(x, t) = a(y)e^{-i\omega\gamma(t - vx_1)},$$
$$\dot{\psi}_v(x, t) = (-\gamma v(\nabla_1 a)(y) - i\gamma\omega a(y))e^{-i\omega\gamma(t - vx_1)},$$
$$\nabla_1 \psi_v(x, t) = (\gamma(\nabla_1 a)(y) + i\gamma\omega v a(y))e^{-i\omega\gamma(t - vx_1)},$$
$$\nabla_k \psi_v(x, t) = (\nabla_k a)(y)e^{-i\omega\gamma(t - vx_1)}, \quad k = 2, ..., n.$$

Substituting these expressions into $E_v$, we obtain

$$E_v = \int \left[ \frac{\dot{\psi}_v^2}{2} + \frac{\nabla \psi_v^2}{2} + V(|\psi_v|) \right] dx$$
$$= \int \left( \frac{1}{2} \left[ |\nabla_1 a(y)|^2 \gamma^2(v^2 + 1) + |a(y)|^2 \omega_2 \gamma^2(v^2 + 1) + \sum_{k=2}^{n} |\nabla_k a(y)|^2 \right] + V(|a(y)|) \right) dx.$$

Then

$$E_v = \int \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{n} |\nabla_k a(y)|^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2}(v^2 + 1) \left[ |\nabla_1 a|^2 + |a|^2 \omega_2 \right] + V(|a|) \right) \frac{1}{\gamma} dy.$$

Write

$$I_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int |a(y)|^2 dy, \quad V_0 = \int V(|a(y)|) dy,$$
$$I_k = \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla_k a(y)|^2 dy, \quad k = 1, ..., n. \quad (4.3)$$

Hence, in the notation (4.2) and (4.3), we represent $E_v$ as

$$E_v = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \sum_{k=2}^{n} I_k + \gamma^2(v^2 + 1)(I_1 + I_0\omega^2) + V_0 \right), \quad (4.4)$$
$$E_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_k + I_0\omega^2 + V_0. \quad (4.5)$$

Step 2. We now derive (4.1) from this representation for $E_v$ with the help of the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2 ([3, 4, 12]) The following Derrick-Pokhozhaev identity holds:

$$-(n - 2)\frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla a(y)|^2 dy = n \int \left[ V(|a(y)|) - \frac{1}{2} \omega_2 a^2(y) \right] dy. \quad (4.6)$$
Proof. Let us explain the proof formally. Relation (2.4) implies the variational identity
\[-\delta \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla a|^2 \, dx = \delta \int \left[ V(|a|) - \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 a^2 \right] \, dx.\]
Therefore,
\[-\frac{d}{d\sigma} \bigg|_{\sigma=1} \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla_x a\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right)|^2 \, dx = \frac{d}{d\sigma} \bigg|_{\sigma=1} \int \left[ V\left(\frac{a(x)}{\sigma}\right) \right. \left. - \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 a^2 \left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) \right] \, dx.\]
Equivalently,
\[-\frac{d}{d\sigma} \bigg|_{\sigma=1} \sigma^{n-2} \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla_y a(y)|^2 \, dy = \frac{d}{d\sigma} \bigg|_{\sigma=1} \sigma^n \int \left[ V(a(y)) - \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 a^2(y) \right] \, dy.\]
This gives the Pokhozhaev identity (4.6), or (in the notation of (4.2) and (4.3))
\[-(n-2) \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_k = n \left[ V_0 - \omega^2 I_0 \right]. \quad \square \quad (4.7)\]

Step 3. To derive (4.1), let us eliminate $V_0$ and $\omega^2$ from (4.4) and (4.7) obtaining
\[\omega^2 I_0 = \frac{n-2}{n} (I_1 + ... + I_n) + V_0. \quad (4.8)\]
Hence, $E_0$ and $E_v$ (see (4.4) and (4.5)) become
\[E_0 = \frac{2n-2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_k + 2V_0, \quad (4.9)\]
\[E_v = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[ \sum_{k=2}^{n} I_k + \gamma^2 (v^2 + 1) \left( I_1 + \frac{n-2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_k + V_0 \right) + V_0 \right] \]
\[= \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[ I_1 \gamma^2 (v^2+1) \left( 1 + \frac{n-2}{n} \right) + \sum_{k=2}^{n} I_k (1 + \gamma^2 (v^2 + 1) \frac{n-2}{n}) + V_0 (\gamma^2 (v^2+1)+1) \right]. \quad (4.10)\]
Note that $\gamma^2 (v^2+1) + 1 = 2\gamma^2$ and $1 + \gamma^2 (v^2 + 1) \frac{n-2}{n} = \gamma^2 \frac{2n-2}{n} - \gamma^2 \frac{2v^2}{n}$. Therefore, by using (4.9), we can represent $E_v$ as
\[E_v = \gamma \frac{2n-2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_k + \gamma \frac{2v^2}{n} \left( I_1 (n-1) - \sum_{k=2}^{n} I_k \right) + 2V_0 \]
\[= \gamma E_0 + \gamma \frac{2v^2}{n} \left( I_1 (n-1) - \sum_{k=2}^{n} I_k \right). \quad (4.11)\]
Using assumption A1, we obtain $I_1 = I_2 = ... = I_n$, which implies identity (4.1) for $E_v$.

Step 4. We have
\[P_v = -\text{Re} \int \psi_v \nabla \psi_v \, dx \]
\[= -\text{Re} \int (-\gamma v (\nabla_1 a)(y) - i\omega a(y))(\nabla_1 a)(y) + i\omega \gamma a(y), \nabla_2 a(y), \ldots, \nabla_n a(y)) \frac{1}{\gamma} \, dy. \]
Since \( a(x) \) is a real function, we obtain (in the notation of (4.2) and (4.3))
\[
P_v = \left( \gamma v \int (|\nabla_1 a(y)|^2 + \omega^2 a^2(y)) \, dy, 0, \ldots, 0 \right) = \left( \gamma v^2 (I_1 + \omega^2 I_0), 0, \ldots, 0 \right),
\]
(4.12)
because \( \nabla_1 a(y) \) is an even function with respect to any variable \( y_2, \ldots, y_n \), while \( \nabla_k a(y) \) is an odd function of \( y_k \), \( k = 2, \ldots, n \). Using (4.8) and (4.5), we obtain
\[
\gamma v^2 (I_1 + \omega^2 I_0) = \gamma v E_0 + 2 \gamma v \left( (n - 1)I_1 - \sum_{k=2}^{n} I_k \right).
\]
(4.13)
Therefore, relations (4.12) and (4.13), together with \( I_1 = I_2 = \cdots = I_n \), imply
\[
P_v = (\gamma v E_0, 0, \ldots, 0),
\]
what yields (4.1) for \( P_v \).

Remarks. i) Formulas (4.11) and (4.8) show that identity (4.1) holds for general non-radial solitary waves if and only if
\[
I_2 + \cdots + I_n = I_1(n - 1).
\]
(4.14)
ii) Relation (4.1) implies the Einstein identity \( E_0 = m_0 \) with \( m_0 > 0 \) (see the remark after Theorem 4.1).
iii) For \( \omega = 0 \), condition \( S4 \) contradicts \( S2 \). Hence, in this case we have \( E_0 \leq 0 \), i.e., “the mass” is negative. This corresponds to the instability of solitons with \( \omega = 0 \), what was proved in [4]. This fact forces us to consider solitary waves with \( \omega \neq 0 \) for \( U(1) \)-invariant equations.

5 Nonradial excited states

In the previous section we have considered a spherically symmetric solitary wave (“ground state”) with zero angular momentum. For \( n = 1 \), the condition (4.14) obviously holds (and condition (4.1) as well) for all solitary waves. Let us prove that (4.1) holds for \( n = 2 \) for non-radial solitary waves that describe the excited states with nonzero angular momentum. Introduce polar coordinates by setting \( x_1 = r \cos \varphi \) and \( x_2 = r \sin \varphi \).

Lemma 5.1 Let \( \psi_0(x,t) = a(x)e^{-i\omega t} \) be a solitary wave for (2.1) with \( a(x) := R(r)e^{ik\varphi} \), where \( k \) is an integer, \( R(r) \) is real valued, \( R(0) = 0 \), and \( A2 \) holds. Then relation (4.1) is satisfied.

Proof. In the polar coordinates
\[
\nabla_1 a = R'(r) \cos k\varphi - ik \frac{R(r)}{r} \sin k\varphi, \quad \nabla_2 a = R'(r) \sin k\varphi + ik \frac{R(r)}{r} \cos k\varphi.
\]
Therefore,
\[
I_1 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla_1 a|^2 \, dy_1 dy_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int r \, dr \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \left[ |R'(r)|^2 \cos^2 k\varphi + k^2 \frac{|R(r)|^2}{r^2} \sin^2 k\varphi \right]
\]
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\[
I_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla_2 a|^2 \, dy_1 \, dy_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} r \, dr \int_0^\infty d\varphi \left[ (R'(r))^3 \sin^2 k\varphi + k^2 \frac{|R(r)|^2}{r^2} \cos^2 k\varphi \right] \\
= \frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^\infty \left[ (R'(r))^2 + k^2 \frac{|R(r)|^2}{r^2} \right] \, r \, dr.
\]

Hence, \( I_1 = I_2 \), i.e., (4.14) holds, which implies (4.1) for \( E_v \).

Now let us prove identity (4.1) for \( P_v \):

\[
P_v : = - \text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \bar{\psi}_v \nabla \psi_v \, dx \\
= - \text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (-\gamma v (\nabla_1 a)(y) - i\omega \gamma a(y)) \left( \gamma (\nabla_1 a)(y) + i\omega \gamma a(y) \right) \frac{1}{\gamma} dy. \tag{5.1}
\]

First,

\[
\text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\nabla_1 a)(y) \nabla_2 a(y) \, dy \\
= \text{Re} \int_0^{+\infty} r \, dr \int_0^{2\pi} \left( R'(r) \cos \varphi - i k \frac{R(r)}{r} \sin k\varphi \right) \left( R'(r) \sin k\varphi - i k \frac{R(r)}{r} \cos k\varphi \right) \, d\varphi \\
= \text{Re} \int_0^{+\infty} \left[ R^2(r) - k^2 \frac{R^2(r)}{r^2} \right] \, r \, dr \int_0^{2\pi} \sin 2k\varphi \frac{d\varphi}{2} = 0. \tag{5.2}
\]

Similarly,

\[
\text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} a(y) \nabla_2 a(y) \, dy = \text{Re} \int_0^{+\infty} r \, dr \int_0^{2\pi} R(r) e^{ik\varphi} \left( R'(r) \sin k\varphi - i k \frac{R(r)}{r} \cos k\varphi \right) \, d\varphi \\
= \text{Re} \int_0^{+\infty} \left[ R(r)R'(r) + k \frac{R^2(r)}{r} \right] \, r \, dr \int_0^{2\pi} \sin 2k\varphi \frac{d\varphi}{2} = 0. \tag{5.3}
\]

Hence, relations (5.1)-(5.3) imply

\[
P_v : = - \text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (-\gamma v (\nabla_1 a)(y) - i\omega \gamma a(y)) \left( \gamma (\nabla_1 a)(y) + i\omega \gamma a(y) \right) \frac{1}{\gamma} dy \\
= \left( \gamma v \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla_1 a(y)|^2 + \omega^2 a^2(y)) \, dy, 0 \right) = \left( \gamma v (I_1 + \omega^2 I_0), 0 \right). \tag{5.4}
\]

as in (4.12). Further, relation (4.13) and the equality \( I_1 = I_2 \), which is already proved, imply the validity of (4.1) for \( P_v \). \qed
Remark. The existence of solitary waves $\psi_0(x,t) = R(r)e^{ik\phi}e^{-i\omega t}$ with $k \neq 0$ was not proved in [3]. However, in this case, equation (2.4) reduces to an ordinary differential equation (as for radial solutions). Therefore, it is natural to think that existence can be proved by modifying the method in [3].
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