Electrical transport in deformed nanostrips: 
Electrical signature of reversible mechanical failure
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PACS. 73.40.-c – Electronic transport in interface structures.
PACS. 73.22.-f – Electronic structure of nanoscale materials: clusters, nanoparticles, nanotubes, and nanocrystals.
PACS. 62.25.+g – Mechanical properties of nanoscale materials.

Abstract. – We calculate the electrical conductivity of a thin crystalline strip of atoms confined within a quasi–one-dimensional channel of fixed width. The conductivity shows anomalous behavior as the strip is deformed under tensile loading. Beyond a critical strain, the solid fails by the nucleation of alternating bands of solid and smectic-like phases accompanied by a jump in the conductivity. Since the failure of the strip in this system is known to be reversible, the conductivity anomaly may have practical use as a sensitive strain transducer.

Introduction. – The deformation of nano-meter–sized wires and bars has been studied, using theoretical analysis as well as experiments, extensively in recent times [1–3]. Such studies are useful both for understanding deformation mechanisms in general and for their relevance in the construction of nano devices [2]. Single crystal nano bars and strips have been shown to fail on tensile loading conditions by the familiar necking mechanism [3], where an elastic instability leads to a reduction of the cross-section of bar. While necking in bulk samples [4] occurs along with extensive plastic deformation caused by the motion of dislocations, in nano strips and beams, dislocations cannot be nucleated because of much higher elastic energy costs [5]. This leads to novel layering transitions where the solid thins down layer by layer [3] and finally fractures after attaining the thickness of an atomic chain.

The situation is somewhat different if the nano-sized solid is confined within a rigid channel [6] so that the necking transition is prevented. In this case, with imposition of an external strain parallel to the confining walls, the solid fails by a series of layer transitions where the number of crystalline layers decreases by one, accompanied by the nucleation of bands of a fluid with strong orientational order. The remarkable fact is that this transition is completely reversible, such that a decrease of the tensile strain, immediately causes these failure bands...
to disappear and the solid heals itself automatically. In this letter, we look at the electrical conductivity of a nano solid undergoing such a transition. Our motivation is to explore the possibility of a strong electrical signal at the reversible transition. We hope that such a signal, if it exists, would be useful for designing nano electro-mechanical devices [2].

The model. – Since our aim here is to explore general principles rather than evaluate the properties of any particular system in any great detail, we have chosen a simple model system in two dimensions. Our calculations may be directly relevant for a strip of atoms adsorbed on a flat substrate and confined within a narrow straight channel (see fig. 1(a)), large enough to accommodate only a few atomic layers. The system geometry is generated by assuming hard-disk “atoms” where particles i and j interact with the effective interatomic potential $V_{ij} = 0$ for $|r_{ij}| > d$ and $V_{ij} = \infty$ for $|r_{ij}| \leq d$, where $d$ is the hard-disk diameter and $r_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i$ the relative position vector of the particles [7,8]. In three dimensions, the corresponding hard-sphere system has been used [9] in the past to model electrical properties of simple liquid metals with some success. The pure hard-disk free energy is entirely entropic in origin and the only thermodynamically relevant variable for a system of $N$ atoms in an area $A$ is the number density $\rho = N/A$ or the packing fraction $\eta = (\pi/4)\rho d^2$. Accurate computer simulations [8] of hard disks show that for $\eta > \eta_f = 0.719$ the system exists as a triangular lattice which melts below $\eta_m = 0.706$ with an intervening hexatic phase. We consider a narrow channel in two dimensions of width $L_y$ defined by hard walls at $y = 0$ and $L_y (V_{wall}(y) = 0$ for $d/2 < y < L_y - d/2$ and $= \infty$ otherwise) and length $L_x$ with $L_x \gg L_y$. Periodic boundary conditions are assumed in the $x$-direction.

Once the system geometry is generated by means of Monte Carlo simulations of “hard disk” atoms, we use the generated structure as the underlying atomic arrangement for which electrical transmittance is computed. A similar treatment has been used also in ref. [9] viz. using structural information from the hard-sphere system as inputs to the calculation of the electrical properties of liquid metals. For computation of electrical transmittance, a tight-binding form of the electronic Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_i \sum_j t_{ij} |i\rangle \langle j|$$
is assumed, with hopping interactions $t_{ij}$ between atoms $i$ and $j$. Two different forms of the distribution (fig. 2) have been considered, to study the influence of hopping strength distribution on the transmittance. The considered distributions have a simple power law behavior of the form $B r^\alpha$, where $r$ is the distance between the atoms in the unit of the lattice constant of the unstressed triangular lattice. $\alpha = 5$ for set I and $\alpha = 7$ for set II. $B$ is taken as 2.0, so that for $r = 1.0$, the nearest-neighbor separation in the unstressed lattice, the hopping interaction is set as 2.0 in some energy unit. The distribution is also assumed to have a cut-off range, so that beyond the second nearest-neighbor distance the hopping vanishes.

To compute the electrical transmittance of the system, we attach conducting, semi-infinite, one-dimensional leads along the horizontal direction of the sample. A set of leads are attached at regular intervals at both ends of the sample. The purpose of these leads is to bear the incoming, reflected and transmitted waves into and away from the sample. The leads are described by a one-dimensional, tight-binding, nearest-neighbor Hamiltonian of the form

$$H_{lead} = V_L \sum_i (|i\rangle\langle i+1| + |i+1\rangle\langle i|).$$

**Deformation behavior.** – The effect of strain on the hard-disk triangular solid at fixed $L_y$ large enough to accommodate a small number of layers $n_l \sim 9\text{–}25$ has been studied in ref. [6]. The stress [10], $\sigma = \sigma_{xx} - \sigma_{yy}$ in units of $k_B T/d^2$, vs. strain, $\epsilon = (\eta_0 - \eta)/\eta$, curve is shown in fig. 1(b). The packing fraction of the solid was taken to be $\eta_0 = 0.85$, a value deep in the solid phase. For $\eta = \eta_0$ ($\epsilon = 0$) the stress is purely hydrostatic with $\sigma_{xx} = \sigma_{yy}$ as expected. As the length, $L_x$, of the channel is increased keeping the width $L_y$ fixed, initially, the stress increases linearly (fig. 1(b)), flattening out at the onset of plastic behavior at $\epsilon \lesssim \epsilon_1$. At $\epsilon_1$, with the nucleation of smectic bands, $\sigma$ decreases and eventually becomes negative. At $\epsilon_2$ the smectic phase spans the entire system and $\sigma$ is minimum. On further increase in strain, $\sigma$ approaches zero from below (fig. 1(b)) thus forming a Van der Waals loop. If the strain is reversed by increasing $\eta$ back to $\eta_0$, the entire stress-strain curve is traced back with no remnant stress at $\eta = \eta_0$ showing that the plastic region is reversible. For $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon < \epsilon_2$ we observe that the smectic order appears within narrow bands (fig. 1(c)). Inside these bands the number of layers is less by one and the system in this range of $\epsilon$ is in a mixed phase. The total size of such bands grows as $\epsilon$ is increased. This deformation mechanism (in two dimensions) is reminiscent of smectic-layer thinning transitions [11,12] observed in real smectic films in three dimensions.

For every value of $\epsilon$ we store a number of hard-disk configurations ($\sim 1000$) which represent
the instantaneous atomic positions. We use these configurations as structural information which are inputs to the electrical transport calculations to be described below. All transport quantities are averaged over these configurations so that our method closely corresponds to that followed in ref. [9]. We proceed to obtain, in this fashion, the signature of smectic band formation on the conductivity of the strip.

**Electrical transport.** – We compute the transmittance of the above-described system by means of the vector recursion technique [13]. The essence of the vector recursion technique is the block tridiagonalization of the system Hamiltonian by changing to a new orthogonal set of vector basis, with the restriction that the lead Hamiltonian remains unchanged. In this last aspect, it differs from the standard Lanczos method [14]. The numerical stability of this method [15] has been established in studying problems related to Anderson localization and quantum percolation model previously [16]. Below we describe the method briefly.

A representation of the original basis is column vectors, \{ |m⟩ \} of length \( 2^N \), where \( N = N/2 \). Let us consider, for the sake of demonstration, that we have two leads, one incoming and another outgoing connected to opposite ends of the sample at positions |1⟩ and |2N⟩. A representation of the new vector basis is then matrices of size \( 2^N \times 2 \). The members of the new basis are generated in the following way. The lead states are chosen to be

\[
|\Phi_n⟩ = \begin{pmatrix} |n⟩ \\ |2N - n + 1⟩ \end{pmatrix}
\]

with \( n = 0, -1, -2, \ldots, \infty \). The starting state within the system in chosen to be

\[
|\Phi_1⟩ = \begin{pmatrix} |1⟩ \\ |2N⟩ \end{pmatrix}
\]

where |1⟩ and |2N⟩ are the positions where the incoming and outgoing leads are attached. The subsequent members of the basis are generated from

\[
B_{n+1}^†|\Phi_{n+1}⟩ = (H - A_n)|\Phi_n⟩ - B_n|\Phi_{n-1}⟩ \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 2. \quad (1)
\]

The matrix inner product is defined as

\[
\{\Phi, \chi\}_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \Phi_{\mu i} \chi_{\nu i}^\ast
\]

and orthogonality as \( \{\Phi|\chi\} = I \).

It can easily be shown that the \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices \( A_n \) and \( B_n \) are block-tridiagonal members of the matrix representation of the Hamiltonian in the new basis:

\[
A_n = \{\Phi_n|H|\Phi_n\}, \quad B_n = \{\Phi_n|H|\Phi_{n-1}\}
\]

so that the transformed Hamiltonian matrix can be divided in \( 2 \times 2 \) blocks, with only non-zero diagonal and sub-diagonal blocks.

The wave function \( |\Psi⟩ \) may be represented in this new basis by a set \( \{ψ_n⟩ \} \) so that \( |\Psi⟩ = \sum_n ψ_n|\Phi_n⟩ \). These wave function amplitudes \( ψ_n \) also satisfy an equation identical with (1).

The solution of the Schrödinger equation in the leads are traveling Bloch waves of the form

\[
\sum_m A \exp[±imθ] |m⟩.
\]
As the wave travels in the leads, the phase of its wave function changes by \( \vartheta \), where 
\[
\cos \vartheta = E/2V_L,
\]
\( E \) being the energy of the incoming electron [17]. In the incoming lead there will be an incident wave of the form \( \sum \exp[+im\vartheta]|m\rangle \) and a reflected wave of the form \( \sum r(E) \exp[-im\vartheta]|m\rangle \). In the output lead there will be a transmitted wave \( \sum t(E) \exp[-im\vartheta]|m\rangle \) [18], where \( r(E) \) and \( t(E) \) are the complex reflection and transmission coefficients. The boundary conditions may then be imposed from the known solution in the leads:
\[
\psi_0 = \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 + r(E) \\ t(E) \end{array} \right),
\]
\[
\psi_1 = \left( \begin{array}{c} \exp[i\vartheta] + r(E) \exp[-i\vartheta] \\ t(E) \exp[-i\vartheta] \end{array} \right).
\]
The amplitude at the \( n \)-th basis \( \psi_n \) may be written as
\[
\psi_n = X_n \psi_0 + Y_n \psi_1,
\]
where \( X_n \) and \( Y_n \) satisfy the same recurrence relation as (1) with \( EI \) replacing \( H \) and also satisfy the boundary conditions \( X_0 = I \) and \( X_1 = 0 \), while \( Y_0 = 0 \) and \( Y_1 = I \). Note that \( X \) and \( Y \) are \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices.

This new basis terminates after \( \nu = N \) steps, as the rank of the space spanned by the original tight-binding basis remains unchanged after the transformation. Hence the recursion also terminates after \( \nu \) steps. This gives an additional boundary condition,
\[
X_{\nu+1} \psi_0 + Y_{\nu+1} \psi_1 = 0_{2 \times 2}.
\]
If we now interchange the incoming and outgoing leads, we get a similar pair of equations for \( r' \) and \( t' \), the transmission and reflection coefficients for a wave incident from the second lead. Time-reversal symmetry demands that \( t \) must be the same for waves of the same energy incident from either lead so that \( t = t' \). Solving these equations for the scattering \( S \)-matrix [19] for the sample region, one has
\[
S = -(X_{N+1} + Y_{N+1} \exp[-i\vartheta])^{-1}(X_{N+1} + Y_{N+1} \exp[i\vartheta]) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} r & t \\ t & r' \end{array} \right).
\]

Generalization of this methodology for the multi-lead case, as is the case for the present study, with \( M \) number of incoming leads and \( M \) number of outgoing leads, is now a trivial task. The representation of new vector basis states formed out of repetitive application of recurrence relation are now matrices of sizes \( 2N \times 2M \) with the first member chosen as
\[
|\Phi_1\rangle = (|i_1\rangle|i_2\rangle\ldots|i_M\rangle, |o_1\rangle|o_2\rangle\ldots|o_M\rangle),
\]
where \( |i_k\rangle \) and \( |o_k\rangle \) are the positions at which the incoming and outgoing leads attach to the system. The \( 2M \times 2M \) matrices \( A_n \) and \( B_n \) are the block tridiagonal representations of the Hamiltonian in the new basis. The termination of the new basis occurs after \( \nu = 2N/2M \) steps with the scattering \( S \)-matrix given by
\[
S = \left( \begin{array}{cccccccc} r_{11} & r_{12} & \ldots & r_{1M} & t'_{2M,1} & \ldots & t'_{2M,M} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ldots & \vdots & \vdots & \ldots & \vdots \\ r_{M,1} & r_{M,2} & \ldots & r_{M,M} & t'_{M+1,1} & \ldots & t'_{M+1,M} \\ t_{M+1,1} & t_{M+1,2} & \ldots & t_{M+1,M} & r'_{M,1} & \ldots & r'_{M,M} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ldots & \vdots & \vdots & \ldots & \vdots \\ t_{2M,1} & t_{2M,2} & \ldots & t_{2M,M} & r'_{11} & \ldots & r'_{1M} \end{array} \right),
\]
where we denote the reflection coefficient of the wavelet coming in from the \(i\)-th incoming lead and reflected into the \(j\)-th incoming lead by \(r_{ij}(E)\), and the transmission coefficient of the same wavelet transmitted into the \(j'\) outgoing lead as \(t_{ij'}(E)\).

The transmittance of the wavelet coming from the \(i\)-th incoming channel is given by

\[
T_i(E) = \sum_{j \in O} |t_{ij}(E)|^2
\]

and the total transmittance \(T = \sum_{i \in I} T_i\).

Here \(I\) and \(O\) denote the sets of incoming and outgoing leads, respectively.

Discussion and conclusions. – In fig. 3, we show the transmittance of the system as a function of externally imposed strain. We notice the rather non-monotonic nature of the transmittance as the strain is increased. With imposed strain, the length of the system along the horizontal direction \(L_x\) increases, keeping the width \(L_y\) fixed. This results in larger separation between atoms lying along the horizontal direction and therefore smaller hopping interaction giving rise to net reduction in the transmitted current. The transmittance goes roughly as \(\sim 1/(\epsilon_0 + \epsilon)\) with \(\epsilon_0\) = an arbitrary constant (fig. 3). This reduction continues until one reaches the strain value of 0.1 when the nucleation of smectic phase occurs. As explained above, within the smectic phase the number of atomic layers is reduced by one compared to that in the solid. The smectic phase with one less layer results in a decrease of the nearest-neighbor distance within the layers and therefore increased hopping interactions between atoms belonging to same layer and increased transmitted current along the horizontal direction as shown in the figure. On increasing the strain further, the width of the smectic band increases, thereby increasing the atomic separation along \(x\)-direction and decreasing the hopping interaction. Since this change is reversible, the transmittance retraces the curve as the strain is decreased. The change in transmittance is more obvious if one subtracts the overall behavior from the data. This may be achieved in real devices by measuring the differential conductance between two similarly strained strips one of which does not undergo the layering transition. Since the transition depends sensitively on the width of the strip \([6]\) this can be easily arranged in practice.

Fig. 3 – Variation of electrical transmittance \((T)\) along the channel length with the variation of strain \((\epsilon)\) for two sets of hopping integrals (left panel: set I, right panel: set II). The inset shows the change in transmittance subtracting the overall \(\sim 1/(\epsilon_0 + \epsilon)\) behavior of the transmittance. The solid and dashed lines correspond to choice of hopping integrals, set II and set I, respectively.
For practical applications one needs the change in transmittance at the nucleation of the smectic phase to be as sharp as possible. Our study in this context indicates that sharpness of the transmittance jump depends crucially on the distribution of the hopping interaction strength. Changing the distribution from set I to set II, the value of the transmittance decreases in general due to the reduced hopping interaction in most of the cases, but at the same time also leads to more pronounced jump in the transmittance at the nucleation of the smectic phase. In this context, considering the distance dependence of hopping integrals according to Slater-Koster parametrization [20] it is expected that realistic systems with $d$ orbitals or higher $l$-quantum no. orbitals have greater chance to show this behavior, compared to $sp$-based systems. This indicates the necessity of engineering of proper materials to exploit this phenomenon in useful devices. This will be explored in future.
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