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Summary:
The paper attempts to reveal the affinities between skaldic panegyric poetry and the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle poem on the ‘Redemption of the Five Boroughs’ included into four manuscripts (Parker, Worcester and both Abingdon) for the year 942. The thirteen lines of the Chronicle poem are laden with toponyms and ethnonyms, prompting scholars to suggest that its main function is mnemonic. However comparison with skaldic drápur points to the communicative aim of the lists of toponyms and ethnonyms, whose function is to mark the restoration of the space defining the historical significance of Edmund’s victory. The Chronicle poem unites the motifs of glory, spatial conquest and protection of land which are also present in Sighvat’s Knútsdrápa (SKP I 660. 9. 1-8), bearing thematic, situational, structural and functional affinity with the former. Like that of Knútsdrápa, the function of the Chronicle poem is to glorify the ruler by formally reconstructing space. The poem, which, unlike most Anglo-Saxon poetry, is centred not on a past but on a contemporary event, is encomium regis, traditional for skaldic poetry. ‘The Redemption of the Five Boroughs’ can be called an Anglo-Saxon equivalent of erfidrápa, directed to posterity and ensuring eternal fame for the ruler who reconstructed the spatial identity of his kingdom.
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The theme of the poem in the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle, usually referred to by publishers as ‘The Capture of the Five Boroughs’ or ‘The Redemption of the Five Boroughs’, is connected with the victory of King Edmund, who had a glorious lineage: he was a grandson of King Alfred the Great, the son of King Edward the Elder and the brother of King Æthelstan. It is in connection with King Æthelstan that his name is mentioned in the most famous of the Chronicle poems, the ‘Battle of Brunanburh’ (937), where it is stated that Edmund was fighting alongside his illustrious brother Æthelstan, King of Wessex, and that both acquired eternal glory in the great battle. The reason why the poem on the battle of Brunanburh is included into four manuscripts of the Chronicle (the Parker, Worcester and both Abingdon manuscripts) is self-evident: it is because the victory of Athelstan and Edmund in this battle ensured that nearly the whole of England, not excluding the Danelaw, for the first time came under the rule of Wessex.

It is much harder to understand why the second poem, which mentions King Edmund (the poem on The Capture of the Five Boroughs), is also included into the same four manuscripts of the Chronicle. Like most of the Chronicle poems, it belongs to the genre of political poetry, whose development was examined by Professor Eric Stanley in his article ‘A Thousand Years of English Political Poetry: A Limited Selection’. Of the two functions of political poetry, which were singled out by Professor Stanley, ‘the satirical criticism of tyranny’ and ‘genuine or pretended delight and praise of the government’, it is closer to the latter, though it is hard to establish whether this delight is genuine or pretended. The poem on the Capture of the Five Boroughs, dated to the year 942, is dedicated to the victory over the Five Boroughs (the area of the modern north-eastern Midlands consisting of Leicester, Nottingham, Derby, Lincoln and Stamford). Nevertheless it does not mention the fact that in order to regain these lands, King Edmund had first to lose them.

1 Stanley E.G. A Thousand Years of English Political Poetry: A Limited Selection. Neophilologus, 2012. Vol. 96. P. 315.

2 Sources preserve little information about King Edmund, and scholars usually dismiss him, expressing opinions like: “Athelstan was succeeded by his brother Edmund, and he by his brother Eadred; both were conscientious monarchs
The role of this poem in the Chronicle remains unclear, as it narrates a victory of the King who only managed to regain space he had lost, thus unwittingly attracting attention to his prior defeat.

Unlike the ‘Battle of Brunanburh’, which in the Chronicle precedes the poem on the Redemption of the Five Boroughs, the latter needs to be interpreted in its historical context, which can be partly reconstructed on the basis of the prose text of the Chronicle, as well as that of the ‘Historia regum Anglorum’ attributed to Simeon of Durham. The Parker Chronicle tells us that Edmund was 18 when he ascended the throne, after the death of his brother Æthelstan in 939, but it conceals the fact that no sooner had he become King than he had to fight Óláfr Guðríðarson (called Anlaf in the Chronicle), who was eager to revenge his defeat in the battle of Brunanburh.

The Worcester manuscript of the Chronicle states that the “Northumbrians had forgotten their promises and elected Olaf from Ireland as their King” (“Her Norðymbra alugon hira getreowada and Anlaf of Yrlande him to cinge gecuron”). It is possible to assume that when Olaf got to know of Æthelstan’s death, he left Ireland and invaded York. From York, Olaf went to Northampton but could not capture it, so took the Mercian town Tamworth. The Worcester Chronicle, dated 943 but relating the events of 940, mentions that ‘Olaf took Tamworth and there were many people slain on both sides, and the Danes were victorious and took rich booty’ (Her Anlaf abræc Tamewurþe, and micel wæl gefeel on ægþra hand, and þa Denan sige ahton, and miche herehulf mid him aweglæddon).

Having taken Tamworth, Olaf went north and invaded the territory of the Five Boroughs, where he became entrapped in Leicester, which was besieged by Edmund. The Worcester Chronicle enigmatically reports that ‘King Edmund besieged King Olaf and Archbishop Wulfstan in Leicester and would have captured them had they not escaped from that town at night’ (Her Eadmund cyning ymbsæt Anlaf cyning and Wulfstan arcebisc on Legraceastre, and he hy gewyldan meahte, nære þæt hi on niht ut ne ætburston of þære byrig). As Olaf had to wait for the night to escape, it is likely that his troops had to stay in the besieged Leicester. That made Edmund’s task more difficult as he had to free the town from Olaf’s troops who remained in it. So it would have been in interests of both rulers to come to a peaceful agreement. Yet in terms of space the distribution was far from equal: Olaf benefitted far more than Edmund, who had lost control over Northumbria and Mercia. Olaf, on the other hand, not only kept Dublin but had also acquired York, virtually the whole of the Danelaw, the Danish part of Mercia and the Five Boroughs (Leicester, Nottingham, Derby, Lincoln, Stamford). It is hard to imagine how a Wessex King could have agreed voluntarily to give up the heroic achievements of his ancestors in the acquisition of space: by his father (Edward the Elder) who had gained the whole of the southern part of the Danelaw in the great battles of 911-920, and by his brother Æthelstan, who had brought the territory of Northumbria and York under Wessex rule. Olaf managed to gain half of country through the peace treaty with the Wessex King without even having to bring many troops (as his kinsmen Ivarr and Halfdane had to do in 865). Norse domination over the British Isles had never been so great since Alfred the Great.

It is not clear who would have gained power over the whole country had Olaf not died suddenly the next year (in 941). The ruler of Dublin and York became his cousin Olaf Sigtryggson, nicknamed Kváran. Exactly like Olaf Guðríðarson, who had used Æthelstan’s death to invade York, Edmund took advantage of the death of his enemy, about whom comparatively little is known; neither lived long” (Christopher Brooke. The Saxon and Norman Kings. Glasgow: Fontana/Collins 1979, 125).

5 This period of Anglo-Saxon history, including the reign of King Edmund, is usually considered to be one of the worst illuminated by the sources (cf. “The difficulty of distinguishing between Anlaf Guthfrithson and Anlaf Sihtics, and the impossibility of reconciling the conflicting dates supplied by the various manuscripts of the Chronicle, have combined to render this period, 938-46, one of the obscurest in our national annals.” Murray Beaven. “King Edmund I and the Danes of York”. English Historical Review 33/129, 1918, 1.

6 The annals of the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle are quoted from: John Earle, Two of the Saxon Chronicles Parallel with Supplementary Extracts from the Others. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1885.

7 Alfred Smyth, Scandinavian York and Dublin. The History and Archaeology of Two Related Viking Kingdoms. Vol. II. New Jersey and Dublin, 1979, 92.

8 Simeon of Durham points out that there was no great fighting in Leicester as two archbishops Odo and Wulfstan made the kings reconcile and put an end to their war (Thomas Arnold, “Historia regum Anglorum (sub anno 939)”, Simeonis monachi opera omnia. Rolls Series 75, 2 vols. London: Edward Arnold, 1885, 94).

9 As is stated by Simeon of Durham, the boundaries of each state were drawn along Watling Street. Edmund kept the southern part, whereas Olaf acquired the northern area (Arnold 94).
invaded Mercia and brought the Five Boroughs back under Wessex rule. It was this reclamation of temporarily lost space that became the theme of the poem included into the Chronicle:

The Redemption of the Five Boroughs is depicted by the creator of the poem as a crusade for the spiritual liberation of the enslaved population of Mercia. King Edmund is presented as a liberator who ‘went through’ (gebeded), meaning ‘conquered’ and ‘set free’ (alysde) the Danes. Edmund is shown as an active hero: ‘accomplisher of deeds’ (dædfruma), ‘defender of warriors’ (wiggendra hleo), ‘guardian of kinsmen’ (maegea mundbora), whereas the Danes are presented as passive subjects, bound by the fetters of heathendom. By going through Mercia (the verb gegædan) implies a spatial image), Edmund opens up the space to which the Danes were confined and becomes the protector and the guardian of the redeemed space.

Grammar in the poem faithfully serves semantics: the predicate in the passive voice (wæran nyde gebegde, ‘were subjected by force’) follows the ethnonym ‘the Danes’ (Dæne), which in the Parker manuscript performs the function of the subject: the Danes were crushed by force, wæran nyde gebegde (in the Parker manuscript the participial form gebegde is used of the verb gebegan, ‘to humble, crush, bow down’) or were forced by the need, nede gebeded (in the Worcester and both Abingdon manuscripts, as well as in the Battle of Brunanburh, the participle gebeded from the verb gebædan, ‘to force, compel’ is used) by the Norwegians (Norðmann) to the fetters of heathendom. Thus in all the four manuscripts the idea of the passivity of the Danes is stressed albeit contextually synonymous verbs (gebegan and gebædan).

The creator of the poem is distinguishing the Danes, the second or third generation of the Vikings who settled in the British Isles and adopted Christianity, from the Northmen (Norðmann), heathens (hæþena), who invaded England together with Olaf Guðfriðarson11. The fourteen years of Æthelstan’s rule must have caused the Danes in Eastern Mercia to consider themselves the lawful subjects of the Wessex ruler12. Though the poem undoubtedly expresses the Wessex point of view, its creator had reason to show the capture of the Five Boroughs as war against paganism, because the Vikings did ransom monasteries, starting with Lindisfarne, and Olaf Guðfriðarson’s last deed was also

8 The text of the poem on the Redemption of the Five Boroughs is quoted from Elliott Van Kirk Dobbie, The Anglo-Saxon Minor Poems, Volume 6 of The Anglo-Saxon Poetic Records, eds. George Philipp Krapp and Elliott Van Kirk Dobbie, New York: Columbia University Press, 1942, 20-21.

9 In the Worcester manuscript the ethnonym denoting the Danes is used in the dative case (Denum) as a homogeneous component to another ethnonym “under the Norsemen” (under Norðmannum); the whole sentence then can be interpreted as “the Five Boroughs were formerly compelled to the fetters of heathendom by the Danes, the Norsemen”. The arguments for the preference of the variant contained in the Parker manuscript, in which the ethnonym denoting the Danes is used in the nominative case (Dæne), are discussed in the article by Mawer 1923: 551-557.

10 It can be suggested that the creator of the poem consciously follows the model of the Battle of Brunanburh. It uses the same alliterative pattern as the Battle of Brunanburh (33): þær geflemed wearð // Norðmanna bregu, / nede gebeded, // to lides stefne / litle wecorde, “There the ruler of Northmen, compelled by necessity, was put to flight, to ship’s prow, with a small troop” (Translated by M.Swanton. The Anglo-Saxon Chronicles. New Edition. Translated and Edited by M.Swanton. London: Phoenix Press, 1996). The ruler of Northmen, whose flight is described in the quoted lines by the creator of the Battle of Brunanburh, is Óláfr Guðfriðarson, who could not possess the Five Boroughs for long.

11 Mawer A. The Redemption of Five Boroughs. In: English Historical Review. Vol. 38. No. 152. 1923. P. 544-555.

12 Stenton F. Anglo-Saxon England. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1985. P. 354.
heathen: according to Simeon of Durham, he ‘ransacked the Church of Saint Bealdhere and burned Tinnigham. Then the people of York plundered the Isle of Lindisfarne and killed many people’.

As in the Anglo-Saxon poem on the Redemption of the Five Boroughs, the struggle against the enemy is presented in the tradition of skaldic poetry which coexists with it as a campaign against heathendom. In his poems composed for King Magnus the Good (‘Hrynghenda’) Arnor Jarlaskald states that the King (Magnus the Good) was burning heathens in Jóm (heiðt folk B I 309, 12), and in the memorial poem (erfdrápa) by the same skald on King Magnus it is said that the flames consumed the unbaptised heads of the fallen (óskírð enni B I 313, 8). As is stated in Ólafs saga helga (chapter 91), Sigvatr Þórðarson, in ‘Austrfararsvísur’, also shows the hostile behaviour of the pagans in Sweden, who were holding the Alfblót (elven sacrifice) and did not want to let him in, which is why he cursed them:

| Sigvatr Þórðarson (SkP II, 589), Austrfararsvísur | Reðk til Hofs at hœfa; hugð vas aprt, en spurðumk — inn settak nef nenninn niðrflót — þýrir útan. Orð gat faþ af fyrðum, (ﬂögð baþk) en þau sogðu — hneggðumk niðrflótumk hnekkði rekkar — heilagt (þvi þau deila). I resolved to aim for Hof; the door was barred, but I made enquiries from outside; resolute, I stuck my down-bent nose in. I got very little response from the people, but they said [it was] holy; the heathen men drove me off; I bade the ogresses bandy words with them. (Translated Robert D. Fulk) |
| --- | --- |
| Sigvatr Þórðarson (SkP II, 590), Austrfararsvísur | Gakkat inn,’ kvað ekkja, ‘armi drengr, en lengra; hræðumk ek við Óðins — erum heimr vér — reidi.’ Rýgr kvazk inni eiga øpek, sús mér hneggði, alfblót, sem ulfi ötvin, i beð sinum” |

“I do not come any further in, wretched fellow’, said the woman; ‘I fear the wrath of Óðinn; we are heathen.’ The disagreeable female, who drove me away like a wolf without hesitation, said they were holding a sacrifice to the elves inside her farmhouse” (Translated Robert D. Fulk).

In the poem on the recapture of the five boroughs there are other features, bringing it close to skaldic poetry, as in skaldic poetry, the dominant position is given to denotations for the ruler: in the thirteen lines of the poem there are seven different phrases used to refer to King Edmund.

These appellations are built according to models which were productive in skaldic poetry. For example, the model underlying the word-combination ‘the ruler of the Angles’, Engla þeoden is widely spread in skaldic panegyrics (cf. ‘the King of the Hǫrðar’, people of Hordaland, Hǫrða konungur BI 119, 13 in Einarr Helgason skálaglamm’s Vellekla; ‘the prince of the Jutes’, Jóta gramar, ‘the ruler of the Hǫrðar’, Hǫrða dróttinn BI 306, 1 in the drápa for Magnus the Good Hrynghenda by Arnór Þórðarson jarlaskáld’).

Other designations of the ruler used in the Anglo-Saxon poem are similar to skaldic peripheral denotations of a King or ruler. Thus the word-combinations ‘protector of warriors’, wigendra hleo and ‘guardian of kinsmen’, maegþa mundbora (both are used of Edmund), can be compared with the denotations of the King as ‘the guardian, protector of...”

---

13 Arnold, Thomas, Symeonis monachi opera omnia. Rolls Series 75. London: Edward Arnold, 1885. P. 94.

14 The only Chronicle poem which has been hitherto compared with skaldic poetry is the Battle of Brunanburh: Oland J. Anglo-Saxon Oral Poetry: A Study of the Traditions. New Haven and London: Yale University Press, 1980, P. 172; Lapidge M. Some Latin Poems as Evidence for the reign of Athelstan. In: Anglo-Saxon England, 9, 1981, P. 61-98; Harris J. Die altenglische Heldendichtung. In: Europäisches Frühmittelalter. Klaus von See (Herausgeg.) Neues Handbuch der Literaturwissenschaft 6. Wiesbaden: Aula, 1985. P. 248-254.

15 The text of Sigvatr Þórðarson’s ‘Austrfararsvísur’, and translations by Robert D.Fulk and others, unless otherwise stated, are quoted from: Skaldic Poetry of the Scandinavian Middle Ages: A New Edition, eds. Kari Ellen Gade, Diana Whaley. Vol. 1. Brepols, 2012.

16 Smirnitskaya O.A. Verse and Language of Medieval Germanic Poetry (Stikh i yazyk drevnegermanskoj poesii). Moscow: Moscow State University, 1994, Vol. 2. P. 393.

17 Quotations of skaldic poetry, unless otherwise stated, are taken from: Finnur Jónsson, Den norsk-islandske Skjaldedigtnings København, 1912-1915, København, 1967-1973.
people’, *folk vorðr* in Einarr Skúlason’s *drápa* (B I 452, 2) or as ‘the guardian, protector of land’, *grundar vorðr* (B I 66, 4) in *Gráfeldardrápa*, a memorial poem on Harald the Greyhide by Glúmr Geirason.

The effect of accumulating denotations of the ruler is to focus attention on what he has achieved: honorific titles indicate that the king has successfully protected the space he is responsible for. The denotation of the ruler as *dyre dædfruna*, ‘dear accomplisher of deeds’, brings to mind the Old Norse kenning with the same first component: *frumsmiðr bragðr*, ‘the first smith of poetry’ denoting Bragi, the first poet and the god of poetry in *Snorra Edda*. The Anglo-Saxon poem’s reference to the ruler as ‘dear accomplisher of deeds’ can also be compared to skaldic poems in its use of the epithet ‘dear’, which occurs in numerous skaldic panegyrics:

| Eyvindr skáldaspillir (SkP I, 229), **Haralds saga Gráfeldar I** | Einn drottin hefk áttan, jofur dýrr, an þik fyrra, bellir, bragningur, elli, biðkat mér ins þriðja. Trúr vask týggja dýrum; tveim skjoldum lékk aldri; fyllik flokki þinn, stillir; fellr á hendr mér elli18. | I have had one lord before you, dear king; old age presses, prince; I do not ask for a third for myself. I was true to the prized leader; I never played with two shields; I fill up your following, ruler; old age descends on me. (Transl. R.Poole)19 |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Hallfreðr vandræðaskáld ‘Erfidrápa Óláfs Tryggvasonar’, **Óláfs saga Tryggvasonar, 107** | Sukku niðr af Naðr, naddfars í boð sárir, baugs – gerðut við vægjast – verkendr meginserkjar. Vanr mun Ormr, þótt Ormi aldýr konunger stýri, hvars skríðr með líð lýða, lengi sífraxa drengja. | Wounded workers of the mighty shirt of the ring of point-harm [BATTLE>SHIELD>MAIL-SHIRT>WARRIORS] sank down off Naðr (‘Adder’) in battle; they did not yield. Ormr (Serpent) will long lack such warriors, wherever it glides with the company of men, though a very eminent (very dear) King may command Ormr. (Translated D.Whaley) |

The Anglo-Saxon poem referring to the ruler as ‘dear accomplisher of deeds’ is similar to skaldic poems in the use of the base word meaning ‘accomplisher’ (*viðr*, ‘the one who accomplishes’). This base word meaning ‘accomplisher’ is used as part of kennings of man in *Snorra Edda*: *Svá, at kalla hann vinnanda eða fremjanda fara sinna eða athafnar, víga eða safara eða veiða eða vápna eða skipa* – ‘Thus, by calling him accomplisher or performer of his goings or his conduct, of his battles or sea-voyages or huntsings or weapons or ships’. Like the King in the Anglo-Saxon poem, who has accomplished the acquisition of space, the King in skaldic poetry is essentially an active character, performing deeds and winning battles.

As in the Anglo-Saxon poem, in skaldic poetry we find designation of the ruler in terms of dynasty. The Anglo-Saxon denotation of Edmund as ‘Edward’s son (or heir)’, *afera Eadweardes*, finds parallels in the following skaldic denotations: in the denotation of Glúmr Geirason as ‘Geiri’s heir’, *arfyvorð Geiri* (B I 541, 11), used in *Íslendingadrápa* by Haukr Valdísarson; of Canute as ‘Svein’s son’, *Sveins sonr* (B I 303, 3), used in the memorial poem (*erfídrápa*) about Saint Olaf by Þórir Sjáreksson; and in the denotation ‘Tryggvi’s son’, *Tryggva sonr* B I 149, 6, in the *Erfidrápa Óflaís Tryggvasonar* by Hallfreðr vandræðaskáld. Like the skalds, who varied kennings according to established models inherited from their poetic tradition, the creator of the Anglo-Saxon poem builds his designations of the ruler according to the tradition he had inherited.

In the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle poem, variations in the denotations of the ruler, indicating what he has already accomplished and defining his status through the space he had regained, take the place of a narrative development of the theme. Like a skaldic visa, with its communicative deficiency caused by the hypertrophic form, the Anglo-Saxon poem contains only the announcement of the heroic deed and its evaluation. As in skaldic visas, which contain not a narration of events in linear succession but rather a register of actions, the subject of the Anglo-Saxon poem is a statement of fact, not a narration of the course of the battle or of the courage or other virtues of the hero (as in other Chronicle poems). All of these are implied symptomatically by simply mentioning the accomplished deeds of King Edmund (he conquered Mercia, he freed the Danes). The absence of linear narration in the poem is manifested in the scarcity of finite verbal forms, two of which (*geode*, ‘went’ and *alysde*, ‘set free’) refer to King Edmund.

---

18 The text of skaldic poetry is quoted from Gade, Whaley 2009-2012.
19 The translations of skaldic poetry, unless otherwise stated, are quoted from Gade, Whaley 2009-2012.
As in skaldic verse, verbs in the poem are so colourless and lacking in information\(^{20}\), that they are close to functional verbs. They focus attention not on a narrative sequence in time but on the other dimension: space, acquired and protected.

Verbal forms (\textit{geode, scadeþ, gebegde}) occupy the fourth (the least important) position in the line and only one is put in the position of key alliteration (\textit{alysde}), when the verb becomes the thematic centre of the whole poem. On the contrary, compound words (\textit{mundbora, deðfruma, brimstream, heæfeclomum, weorfscipe}), proper names (\textit{Eadmund, Eadweardes}), toponyms (\textit{Myrce, Dor, Hvitanwynlesgeat, Humbra, Ligorceaster, Lincylene, Snotingaham, Deoraby}), ethnonyms (\textit{Engla, Dæne, Norðmannum}) are always marked by alliteration, creating double alliterative patterns in four lines (\textit{maecgea mundbora, dyre deðfruma, brada brimstream, afra Eadweardes}), and crossed alliteration in one of the lines (\textit{Ligorceaster and Lincylene}), where alliteration underlines the importance of the spatial extent of the realm Edmund managed to redeem.

The abundance of names in the poem (fifteen names in thirteen lines: eight toponyms: \textit{Dor, Hvitanwynlesgeat, Humbra, Ligorceaster, Lincylene, Snotingaham, Stanford, Deoraby}; three proper names: \textit{Eadmund 1a, 1b, Eadweard 1a}; four ethnonyms: \textit{Engle, Myrce, Dæne, Norðmenn}) gave grounds to scholars for suggesting that the poem has a mnemonic function\(^{21}\). However, it is possible to make a different suggestion based on comparison with skaldic panegyric poetry, which is also abundant in proper names and lists of toponyms, e.g.:

\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline
Arnórr & jarlaskáld & Pórdarson \\
(SkP II, 257), \textit{Óláfs saga Helga}, 103. & Hringstríði & varð hlýða \\
 & herr frá Pursoskerjum & – rëtt segik þjóð, hev þótti \\
 & – rétt segik þjóð, hev þótti & Þorfinnr – til Dyflinnar. \\
\hline
Einarr & skálaglamm & ‘Vellekla’ (SKP I, 308), \\
(\textit{Óláfs saga Tryggvasonar}, 18. & Hitt & var meir, at Mëra \\
 & morðfikinn lét norðan & fólkverjandi fyrra \\
 & fólkverjandi fyrra & fór til Sogns um gorva. \\
 & fór til Sogns um gorva. & Ytti Freyr á fjórum \\
 & Ytti Freyr á fjórum & fólkloðum – sá branda \\
 & fólkloðum – sá branda & Ullr stóð á því allri \\
 & Ullr stóð á því allri & yrpjóð – Heðins byjjar \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

People had to heed the ring-harmer [GENEROUS RULER] from Pursosker to Dublin; I tell men truly how Þorfinnr was regarded. (Trans. D. Whaley)

It also happened that the battle-eager people-defender of the Mœrir [NORWEGIAN RULER = HÁKON JARL] had his men undertake a journey from the north to Sogn. The Freyr <god> of the wind of Heðinn <legendary hero> [BATTLE> WARRIOR] set out from four fólkloð (\textit{Trøndelag, More, Romsdal, Hålogaland}); that Ullr <god> of swords [WARRIOR] thereby helped the whole people. (Trans. E. Marold)

Like the Anglo-Saxon poem, skaldic poetry includes ethnonyms, among which both the Norsemen and the Danes are also mentioned:

\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline
Eyvindr Finnsson Skálaespillir & ‘Hákonarmál’ (SKP I, 177), \\
\textit{ Hákonar saga Göða}, 30. & Hét á Háleygi \\
 & sems á Hólmrygi & jarla einbani; \\
 & jarla einbani; & fór til orustu. \\
 & fór til orustu. & Gött haði hinn gjöfli \\
 & Gött haði hinn gjöfli & gengi Norðmanna \\
 & gengi Norðmanna & œgir Eydana, \\
 & œgir Eydana, & stóð und árhjálmi. \\
\hline
Einarr & skálaglamm & ‘Vellekla’ (SKP I, 314), \\
(\textit{Óláfs saga Tryggvasonar}, 26 & Hitt & vas auk, at eykirk \\
 & Hitt & eykirk \\
 & vas auk, at eykirk & aurðs þeims umkeldum. \\
 & aurðs þeims umkeldum. & Dofra danskra jöfra, \\
 & Dofra danskra jöfra, & dróttinn fund um sötti. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The sole slayer of jarls [= Hákon] called on the Háleygir (\textit{i.e. the inhabitants of Hålogaland}) just as on the Hólmyrgr, \textit{(i.e. the inhabitants of Rogaland)}; he went into battle. The munificent terri
tor of island-Danes [= Håkon] had the good support of the Norwegians; he stood under a helmet of metal. (Transl. R. D. Fork)

It also happened that the draught-animals of the planks [SHIPs] ran from the north under the wise victory-tree [WARRIOR] south towards Denmark. And the ruler of the Hórrar (\textit{i.e. the inhabitants of Hålogaland}) [NORWEGIAN RULER = Håkon jarl], the lord of the Dofrar [NORWEGIAN RULER = Håkon jarl], wearing the helmet of the island-fetter [Miðgarðsormr], sought a meeting with the Danish rulers. (Transl. E. Marold)

\begin{footnotes}
\footnotetext[20]{Lee Hollander, “The Role of Verb in Skaldic Poetry”, Acta Philologica Scandinavica 20 (1949) 267-276.}
\footnotetext[21]{Katherine O’Brien O’Keefe, Visible Song: Transitional Literacy in Old English Verse. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990, 123.}
\end{footnotes}
In the Anglo-Saxon poem, as in skaldic panegyrics, toponyms, ethnonyms and proper names carry important communicative functions: they transform a report on a conventional battle into a report of a historical event. It is also possible to suggest that the same function is given to numerals in both skaldic panegyrics and the Anglo-Saxon poem. The mentioning of the ‘five boroughs’, burga fife, in the Anglo-Saxon poem is functionally equivalent to the lists of conquered areas, constituting newly acquired space, accompanied by numerals in skaldic poetry as illustrated below:

| Einarr skálaglamm ‘Vellekla’ (SkP I, 301), Ólafs saga Tryggvasonar, 16 | Sjau fylkjum kom silkis, (súnnað var þat) brúna geymir grundar síma grandvar und sig (landi). | The damage-wary keeper of the silken band of the land of the brows [HEAD > HEADBAND > RULER] brought seven fylki under himself; that was a change for the better for the land. (Transl. E.Marold) |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Einarr skálaglamm ‘Vellekla’ (SkP I, 308), Ólafs saga Tryggvasonar, 18 | Ýtti Freyr af fjórum fylkjum kom silkis, (snúnað var þat) brúna yrþjóð – Héðins byrjar. | The Freyr <god> of the wind of Heðinn <legendary hero> [BATTLE > WARRIOR] set out from four folkland; that Ullr <god> of swords [WARRIOR] thereby helped the whole people. (Transl. E.Marold) |

The use of numerals, proper and dynastic names, toponyms (conquered lands) and ethnonyms (liberated nations) individualises the situation and honours the ruler by listing the spatial extent of his realm, which is likely to be the main function of the Anglo-Saxon poem. A comparison with skaldic drápur thus points to a communicative aim in the lists of toponyms and ethnonyms, whose function here is to mark the extent of reclaimed space epitomizing the historical significance of Edmund’s victory.

The motif of glory, explicitly present in the Anglo-Saxon poem (Edmund is constructing space ‘for his glory’, for his weorþscipe), belongs to topic motifs endlessly occurring in skaldic drápur. The king’s glory is mentioned in Einarr skálaglamm’s ‘Vellekla’:

| Einarr skálaglamm ‘Vellekla’ (SkP I, 297), Haralds Saga Gráfeldar, 15 | Hjálmgripi vann hilmir harðr (Lofís vinar) barða (því kom vœxtr í Vínu vinheims) fjandr sína. Ok forsnjallir fellu fúrs í Próttar skúrum, (þat fear þjóðar snýtrir) þrír jarls synir (tírar). | The hardy ruler had his enemies pelted with helmet-hail [BATTLE]; therefore, growth came to the Vína <river> of the wine-world of the friend of Loptr <= Loki> [=Óðinn > VAT > POEM]. And three exceedingly brave sons of a jarl fell in showers of the fire of Próttr [WORD > BATTLE]; that brings glory to the instructor of the people [RULER = Hákon jarl]. (Transl. E.Marold) |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Hallfreðr vandræðaskáld ‘Óláfsdrápa’ (SkP I, 398), Ólafs saga Tryggvasonar, 30 | Yðrógar lét cegir eyverskan her deyja — Týr var tjørvra dýrra tíargjarn — ok Íra. | The terrifier of the bow-string [WARRIOR] caused the army from the Isles and the Irish to die; the Týr <god> of precious spears [WARRIOR] was eager for glory. (Transl. D.Whaley) |
| Glúmr Geirason ‘Gráfeldardrápa’ (SkP I, 255), Haralds Saga Gráfeldar, 14 | Austr rauð jöfra þyrstir orðrakkr fyr by norðlan brand, þar er bjarmskar kindir, brinnanda, sák rinna. Gött hlaut gunna sættir, (geirveðr) i fyr þeirri, (þöllingi fíkkst ungum), orð (á Vínu bórdi). | The word-bold crusher of princes [KING = Haraldr] reddened the flashing sword in the east, north of the settlement, where I saw Permian people flee. The reconciler of men [KING = Haraldr] gained a good reputation on that expedition; a spear-storm [BATTLE] was granted to the young prince on the banks of the Dvina. (Transl. A.Finlay) |
The motif of glory is intertwined in the Anglo-Saxon poem with the motif of spatial conquest (Edmund conquered Mercia, Myrce geeode), which is also a favourite topos of skaldic panegyrics:

| Eyjólfir Daðaskáld | Dregr land at mun banda (line 8 of v. 117)… | Wins land at the pleasure of the gods… |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| ‘Bandadrápa’ (stef) (SkP I, 462-468), Ólafs saga Tryggvasonar 20, 80 | Eirekr und sik geir (line 4 of vv. 149, 152)… | Eiríkr under himself of spears … |
|                       | Austrlǫndum fórk undir alvalldr, sás gaf skjoldum, — hann fékk gagn at gunni — gunnhǫrga sǫgg mǫrgum. Slíörtungur lét synga sverðleikis reginn — ferðir sendi grám að grundu gullvarpaða snarpar. | The mighty ruler, who gave many poets strikers of battle-temples [SHEilds > WEAPONS], subdued eastern lands; he gained success in war. The god of sword-play [BATTLE > WARRIOR] made keen scabbard-tongues [SWORDS] sing; the prince sent troops of gold-throwers [GENEROUS MEN] to the ground. (Transl. A.Finlay) |
| Glúmr Geirason | Selund náið þa síðan sökheggr und sik leggja, vals og Vinða frelsi við Skáneyjar síðu. | The attack-cherry-tree [WARRIOR = Hákon] then afterwards succeeded in placing under himself Zealand, the broad sanctuaries against slaughter and the Wends, [and] the coast of Skåne. (Transl. R.Poole) |
| ‘Gráfeldardrápa’ (SkP I, 253), Hákonar saga Góða, 10 | | |
| Guttormr sindri | | |
| ‘Hákonaldrápa’ (SkP I, 161), Hákonar saga Góða, 8 | | |

The motif of spatial conquest is similarly intertwined in the Anglo-Saxon poem with the motif of protecting land which is equally important for skaldic panegyrics:

| Haukr Valdisarsonar | Vörðu hauðr þás, hóðu hlyrar tveir, með dýrum foldar vörð ok fyrða, fleinglygg. Aðalsteini. | Two brothers were fighting, when they protected land with Athelstan; worthy guards of land and men. |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| ‘Islendingadrápa’ (9) | | |
| | | |
| Eyvindr skáldaspillir Finnsson | Hrauzk ór hervǫómum, hratt á völ brynjum vísi verðungar, aðr til vǫlls tæki. Lék við ljóðmǫgu, — skyldi land verja — gramr inn glaðveri; stóð und gollihjálmi. | The leader of the retinue [Hákon] threw off his war-garments [ARMOUR], cast his mail-shirt to the ground, before beginning the battle. The cheerful ruler joked with his men; he had to protect the land; he stood under a golden helmet. (Trans. R.D.Fulk) |
| ‘Hákonarmál’ (SkP I, 178), Hákonar saga Góða, 30 | | |
| | | |
| Þóðr Kolbeinsson | Allvalds nutu aðlir; una likar vel slíku; skyldr lézk hendi at hálđa hann of Nóregs mannum. En Sveinn konungr sunnan sagðr es dauðr, en auðir, — fát bilar flestra yta fár — hans býr vór. | Men benefitted from the mighty ruler; it is most pleasing to be content with such a situation; he declared himself obliged to hold a hand over the people of Norway. But King Sveinn is reported from the south to be dead, and his dwellings to have been desolate; misfortune scarcely spares most men. (Trans. J.Carroll) |
| ‘Eiríksdrápa’ (SkP I, 503), Ólafs saga Tryggvasonar, 113 | | |

All three motifs (those of glory, spatial conquest and spatial protection) are united in Sigvatr’s Knútsdrápa, which bears the greatest situational, semantic, structural and functional affinities with the Anglo-Saxon poem:

| Sigvatr | Létat af jófurr (aett manna fansk) | Arrived in his land, the lord of Jutland [DANISH KING = Knútr] did not let himself be deprived; the race of men were pleased at that. The protecting shield of the Danes [DANISH KING = Knútr] would allow minimal plundering of the land. The most eminent prince. (Transl. M.Townend) |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| ‘Knútsdrápa’ (SkP I 660. 9, 1-8), Ólafs saga Helga, 149 | Jótlands etask fléndr (at því). Vildi foldar fæst rön Dana hlífskjóldr hafa hófuðfremstr jófurr. | |
Both the Anglo-Saxon poem on the Five Boroughs and a skaldic visa consist of two syntactically independent units. Both use ring composition: Sigvatr starts and concludes with the denotation of the ruler, *jófrurr*; the Anglo-Saxon poem starts and concludes with a reference to King Edmund, *Eadmund cyning*. In both, narrative details are omitted, and actions are compressed through asyndetic constructions, increasing the effect of simultaneity; in both, the communicative area is narrowed under the pressure of formal markers, such as toponyms and ethnonyms; in both, events are reported for the sake of augmenting the value of the victories. Both poems contain several variants of the denotation of the ruler, the same ethnonym, the Danes, is used (moreover the Danes figure as the object of protection), and the dominant motif is of conquering space. For Sigvatr, as for the creator of the Anglo-Saxon poem, the events serve as reason for glorification of the addressee of the poem. Just like Sigvatr’s *drápa* and other skaldic panegyrics glorifying the addressee in connection with a concrete situation, the Anglo-Saxon poem is composed for the occasion: it is called to life by a concrete situation and concentrated on a single event. Of the many heroic deeds performed by Edmund, who had conquered Northumbria and Cumbria, only one, the reclamation of the Five Boroughs, was considered important enough to become the subject of poetry, because it restored the spatial wholeness of the realm. Like that of *Knútsdrápa*, the function of the Chronicle poem is to glorify the ruler by formally reconstructing space. The theme of the Anglo-Saxon poem, the glorification of a ruler in connection with a contemporary event, is close to the traditional subject of skaldic poetry, which also makes a ruler the object of praise. In contrast to other Old English heroic poems, which are narrative, orientated to the past, never (except for the Battle of Brunanburh and other Chronicle poems, such as the Coronation and the Death of Edgar, the Death of Alfred, the Death of Edward the Confessor) choosing as their subject a contemporary event, the Poem on the Five Boroughs is a proper panegyric to the King (encomium regis), and its main aim is to glorify him.

The shortness of the poem on the Five Boroughs is also uncharacteristic of Old English poetry, which often makes use of lengthy expanded scenes. Like asyndetic skaldic visas, the poem lacks explicit copulative coordination: the list of toponyms stands in isolation and is not syntactically connected either with the first sentence or with the second. The list of toponyms, similar to those in skaldic *pular*, occupies five of the thirteen lines of the poem, leaving only eight whole lines (plus a refrain), reminding us of the skaldic visas consisting of eight lines.

Like a skaldic visa, the Anglo-Saxon poem consists of two parts which can be compared to skaldic helnings in which the poetic utterance finds its syntactic, semantic and formal completion. Whereas in skaldic helnings the communicative space is narrowed under the pressure of formal markers, the components of kennings, in the two parts of the Anglo-Saxon poem the communicative space is narrowed under the pressure of toponyms, ethnonyms, proper names and synonymic denotations of the ruler, functioning as substitutes for proper names.

The ring-composition of the poem on the Five Boroughs, containing a refrain which is unusual in Anglo-Saxon poetry, can be compared with the composition of a skaldic drápa, including a refrain (stef), which addressed the praise to the hero of the drápa and served as a guarantee of its effectiveness. A stef which is thematically close to the Anglo-Saxon poem consists of two parts which can be compared to skaldic visas consisting of eight lines.

| Eyjólfr Daðaskáld ‘Bandadrápa’ | Dregr land at mun banda (line 8 of v. 117)… | Wins land at the pleasure of the gods… |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| (stef) (SkP I, 462-468), *Ólafs saga Tryggvasonar* 20, 80 | Eirekr und sik geira (line 4 of vv. 149, 152)… | Eiríkr under himself of spears… |
|                               | veðrmíldr ok semr hildi (line 8 of v. 149, 152)… | Storm-generous and contrives warfare… |
|                               | Gunnblíðr ok ræðr síðan (line 4 of vv. 150, 153)… | Rejoicing in battle and rules since then… |
|                               | Jarl goðvǫrðu hjarli (line 8 of vv. 150, 153)… | Jarl god-defended land. |

(Trans. R. Poole)

In the Anglo-Saxon poem the function of the refrain is performed by the repetition of the proper name, *Eadmund cyning*, opening and ending the poem, the function of which is to concentrate praise on the hero of the poem. The effectiveness of the refrain is strengthened by the six uses of his panegyric denotations, concentrated in symmetrical short lines, opening and closing the poem.

The piling up of names, reducing the narrative capacities of the poem, the omission of narrative details, the sudden transition from one action to the other (from ‘went through’ to ‘set free’) and the general compression, are compensated by the information contained in the prose context, which surrounds the poem and is devoted to linear narrative of the
events. Like a skaldic visa, the poem on the Five Boroughs is hard to understand without prior knowledge of its theme, which required the inclusion of the historical context at the beginning of this report. Just as a skaldic visa exists as a quotation inside the prose text of a Kings’ or a family saga, the poem on the Five Boroughs has come down to us inside the prose context of the Chronicle.

The fragmentary and anachronistic nature of the prose context could be accounted for by the probability that the compilers of the Chronicle meant to conceal or diminish the losses and defeats of the Wessex King. On the contrary, the significance of his victory is underlined by the poetic form of the quoted verse: under the influence of the poetic form, an isolated fact of reality is transformed into a poetic ‘fact’. The poetic form of the Anglo-Saxon poem has the function which was specified in the definition of poetry in the Snorra Edda: ‘What simple (non-periphrastic) terms are there for poetry? It is called poetry, glorifying song, eulogy, praise’ (Hver eru ökennd nöfn skáldskaparins? Hann heitir bragr ok hróðr, óðr, mærð, lof). The formal organisation of the Anglo-Saxon poem is similar to the form of skaldic panegyrics and has a function close to magic: it immortalizes the praise, making it sacred.

A poem composed according to the model of skaldic panegyrics ensures the eternal glory of the object of praise, in the same way as a skaldic drápa. The minimising of narrative in the Anglo-Saxon poem is a consequence of its poetic effectiveness immortalizing praise. Poetic form is isolated here as a conscious artistic device acquiring new functional abilities and values: it reaches beyond contemporary record of a present fact, immortalising it and prospectively addressing it to posterity.

The poem on the Five Boroughs can be viewed as the Anglo-Saxon equivalent of Old Norse panegyric in its most ancient form, memorial drápa (erfikveði), to which, some scholars have suggested, the roots of skaldic poetry can be traced. The tradition of eulogising living heroes and rulers in verse made possible the retention of the indigenous poetics of drápa, in particular its specific phraseology (kennings and heiti), not directly naming and therefore not directly invoking the object of praise. It is possible to draw an analogy between the specific phraseology of drápa and the variations in the denotations of the ruler in the Anglo-Saxon poem. The main function of the poem is similar to the aim of a skaldic panegyric – to sing praise to the ruler for his heroic deed, to immortalize him in human memory, to ensure eternal fame for the object of glorification. Thus whether or not the creator of the Anglo-Saxon panegyric, like a skald, was a “prince-pleaser” (to use Professor Stanley’s denotation, whose motives, as he suggested, could have included “self-promotion”) , he must have been one of the first poets in Europe who elevated a single fact belonging to the contemporary present to the realm of high poetry, and thus earned the Anglo-Saxon King the nickname with which he entered posterity, Edmund the Magnificent (Edmundus magnificus), who reconstructed the spatial identity of his kingdom.
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