Effectiveness and costs of strategies to recruit Australian adults with type 2 diabetes into a text message intervention (DTEXT) study
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Introduction

Despite the growing evidence for type 2 diabetes text message interventions, few studies have explored challenges involved in recruiting participants, with some evidence suggesting recruitment into these research studies is the biggest limitation.1

This study describes the effectiveness and costs of different strategies used to recruit community-dwelling Australian adults with type 2 diabetes into a text message intervention (DTEXT) randomised controlled trial.

Methodology

The study required 340 participants for sufficient power to detect expected effects of the text message intervention. Eligible participants were: community-dwelling adults residing in New South Wales (NSW), Australia; with a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes; aged 18 years and older; owned a mobile phone; English literate; provided informed consent; had medical clearance from their doctor; and were not pregnant. The study protocol outlining the methodology in detail is published elsewhere.2 The study was approved by the University of Wollongong and Illawarra Shoalhaven Local Health District Human Research Ethics Committee (Health and Medical) (2016/343), and registered with the Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ACTRN 12617000416392).
The initial 8-month recruitment plan targeted the Illawarra and Shoalhaven regions with traditional recruitment methods: an invitation from the Local Health District (LHD) Diabetes Service, Renal Unit and Chronic Disease Management Program; referrals from general practices, endocrinologists, practice nurses, pharmacists and pathology services; flyer and poster distribution throughout community venues; local newspaper advertisements; and an interview broadcast on local radio and television stations.

Due to slower than anticipated enrolments, the recruitment period was extended for an additional 8 months and two new strategies were added to the initial recruitment plan. Paid Facebook advertising campaigns (non-traditional recruitment method) were placed across NSW, and a one-off postal mass-mailing (traditional recruitment method) invited 8003 National Diabetes Services Scheme (NDSS) members with type 2 diabetes residing in the Illawarra Shoalhaven to join the study. See Supplementary File 1 (available from: doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.15140847) for example advertisements.

Results

A total of 1231 people registered interest in the study. From this, 395 (32%) people were eligible and enrolled into the study, and 836 (68%) people were excluded (56% not eligible; 44% unable to be contacted/declined participation). Study completion was high (95%), with minimal withdrawal (1%) and loss to follow up (4%). Outcomes on the effectiveness and acceptability of DTEXT are published elsewhere. The initial 8-months of recruitment using traditional methods alone achieved 23% of the required sample size, equating to an average of 10 enrolments per month. The second 8-month recruitment period resulted in the required sample size being exceeded, with the addition of Facebook achieving an average of 31 enrolments per month (months 9–11), which further increased to an average of 42 enrolments per month (months 12–16) with the addition of the NDSS mail-out.

The number of enrolments and costs per recruitment strategy are outlined in Table 1. Facebook recruitment resulted in the highest enrolment response and lowest cost per enrolment. The NDSS mail-out was also effective, but all other traditional methods had limited to no effectiveness.

Demographic data and the dominant recruitment source are presented in Supplementary Table 1 (available from: doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.15140847). Most participants were older adults (55–75 years); born in Australia; had obesity; from disadvantaged areas; located in major cities; unemployed/retired; and had completed TAFE or a diploma. There were slightly more males than females and 5.3% of participants identified as Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander. Facebook was the dominant recruitment source (68%) across demographic categories when compared to traditional methods (32%). Facebook advertising campaign themes and outcomes

| Table 1. Number and costs of enrolments by recruitment strategy, N = 395a |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Strategy        | Method          | Attributes       | Enrolled n (%)  | Cost per enrolment |
| Facebook        | Non-traditional | Highly effective | 116 (29.4)      | $110             |
|                 |                 | Advantages:     |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | low effort;     |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | easy to manage  |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | targeted        |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | campaign; high  |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | population      |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | reach.          |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | Disadvantages:  |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | moderating of   |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | public posts    |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | required.       |                 |                  |
| NDSS mail-out   | Traditional     | Highly effective| 98 (24.8)       | $128             |
|                 |                 | Advantages:     |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | minimal effort; |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | one targeted    |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | mailing conducted|                 |                  |
|                 |                 | by external     |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | agency; signed  |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | paperwork       |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | returned        |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | directly from   |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | mail-out; high  |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | reach.          |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | Disadvantages:  |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | high one-off    |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | cost.           |                 |                  |
| LHD Diabetes    | Traditional     | Limited         | 46 (11.6)       | $388             |
| Service         |                 | effectiveness   |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | Advantages:     |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | direct screening|                 |                  |
|                 |                 | and invitation  |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | of eligible     |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | patients.       |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | Disadvantages:  |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | resource        |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | intensive; low  |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | response.       |                 |                  |
| Newspaper       | Traditional     | Limited         | 28 (7.1)        | $605             |
|                 |                 | effectiveness   |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | Advantages:     |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | low effort.     |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | Disadvantages:  |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | high cost; low  |                 |                  |
|                 |                 | response.       |                 |                  |
| Total           |                 |                 | 288 (72.9)      | $209b            |

LHD = Local Health District; NDSS = National Diabetes Services Scheme

a All other recruitment strategies (traditional methods) had limited effectiveness (health professional (other): 6.8%; general practitioner/ endocrinologist: 5.3%; renal unit: 4.8%; family/friend: 3.8%; pathology collector: 2.3%; flyer/poster: 2.0%; email/internet: 1.0%; radio/ television: 0.5%; unsure: 0.5%) and have not been included in the table.

b Average cost per total enrolment.
Recruitment for a type 2 diabetes text message intervention

Australian adults with type 2 diabetes into a text message intervention study. Paid Facebook advertising followed by a mass mail-out are recommended strategies due to accelerated and high enrolments, low costs, ease of management and success across demographic categories. Our study provides researchers with effective strategies for type 2 diabetes text message intervention recruitment.
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