CASE REPORT

Biliary balloon dilator impaction in a non-dilated bile duct with anatomical variations: a case report
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Abstract

Background: While techniques for extracting large stones from dilated bile ducts are increasing, options for small stones impacted in non-dilated bile ducts are limited.

Case presentation: We report the case of an impacted biliary balloon dilator in a cholecdocholithiasis patient with a non-dilated bile duct and multiple anatomical variations, including low insertion of the cystic duct. After unsuccessful attempts with a stone extraction basket and balloon, a biliary balloon dilator with a sharp catheter tip was advanced into the bile duct. The balloon could not be removed from the bile duct even when deflated. The duodenoscope fell back into the stomach, causing the shaft of the dilator to break near the ampulla. We then removed the broken tip with a snare, which caused the balloon sheath to separate from the shaft and remain in the bile duct. Finally, we removed the sheath with rat-tooth forceps, leading to successful extraction of the stone-and-balloon complex.

Conclusions: The exceedingly rare possibility of balloon impaction should be kept in mind when using biliary balloon dilators in non-dilated bile ducts.
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Background

The use of stone extraction baskets and balloons in endoscopic retrograde choangiopancreatography (ERCP) has gained widespread acceptance as safe and effective methods for the removal of common bile duct stones. However, over 100 cases of basket impaction have been reported, with an estimated frequency as low as 0.6% in recent reports [1]. Balloon impaction is an extremely unlikely event, as a deflated balloon can usually bypass stones on its way out of the bile duct. Reported non-surgical strategies for impacted basket retrieval, including extracorporeal shockwave and laser lithotripsy, balloon dilation, and use of a second basket, forceps, snare, or rescue mechanical lithotripter, are difficult to apply to impacted balloons in slim bile ducts. Herein, we report a case of an impacted biliary balloon dilator due to stone-and-balloon complex formation in a non-dilated bile duct with anatomical variations.

Case presentation

A 45-year-old obese Japanese woman presented with abdominal pain of 3 days’ duration. The pain started 3 h after eating sausages and eggs. Her medical history was only notable for uterine fibroids. She was taking no medications, supplements, or herbal remedies and no recent history of sick contacts or overseas travel. She was an occasionally drinker and had quit smoking over 20 years ago. She denied food or drug allergies.
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Upon presentation, the patient was in moderate distress. Her vital signs included body temperature of 37.1 degrees, blood pressure of 112/74 mmHg, heart rate of 92 beats per minute, and respiratory rate of 18 times per minute. Rebound tenderness in the right upper quadrant and Murphy’s sign were noted on physical examination. Laboratory results were significant for a white blood cell count of 19,500/mm$^3$ (reference range: 2900–7800/mm$^3$) and C-reactive protein of 28.9 mg/dL (reference range: < 0.30 mg/dL). Bilirubin and hepatobiliary enzymes were within their normal ranges. Computed tomography led to the diagnosis of acute cholecystitis. Antibiotics were started and percutaneous transhepatic gallbladder drainage (PTGBD) was performed the next day, with some symptomatic relief. However, the patient complained of severe abdominal pain 2 days after admission. Her body temperature increased to 38.8 degrees Celsius. Repeated laboratory testing revealed total bilirubin of 5.3 mg/dL (reference range: 0.2–1.2 mg/dL), aspartate aminotransferase of 159 U/L (reference range: 9–32 U/L), alanine aminotransferase of 205 U/L (reference range: 3–38 U/L), and alkaline phosphatase of 1118 U/L (reference range: 103–289 U/L).

Several anatomical variations were noted on magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP). The cystic duct joined the distal third of bile duct, suggesting low insertion of the cystic duct (LICD). A long cystic duct ran parallel to the bile duct. Furthermore, the right posterior sectoral bile duct flowed directly into the common bile duct (Fig. 1A). A 3 mm stone was impacted in the lower common bile duct (4 mm diameter) immediately below the insertion of the cystic duct (Fig. 1B, C). The patient was diagnosed with acute cholangitis due to an impacted common bile duct stone. The gastroenterology department was consulted.

Emergency ERCP was performed using the JF-260V duodenoscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) (Additional file 1). Bile duct cannulation was achieved with some difficulty, due to LICD and the parallel cystic duct. Fluoroscopy revealed a 5 mm stone in a non-dilated bile duct with LICD. Contrast was also injected from the PTGBD tube, confirming that the cystic duct ran parallel to the bile duct and overlapped with it on fluoroscopy, even when the C-arm was rotated (Fig. 2A).

After endoscopic sphincterotomy, an eight-wire stone extraction basket (MB-35-2X4-8; Cook Medical Inc., Bloomington, Indiana, USA) was selected for stone extraction. After several unsuccessful attempts, we switched to a stone extraction balloon (Multi-3V Plus; Olympus), but the catheter could not be advanced beyond the impacted stone. We therefore used a biliary balloon dilator with a sharp catheter tip (Eliminator PET Biliary Balloon Dilator (8 mm x 3 cm); CONMED Corporation, Utica, New York, USA). As we feared that dilating the balloon in the narrow lower bile duct (where the stone is) could lead to bile duct perforation, we decided to inflate the balloon above the stone, to use it as a stone extraction balloon. The balloon dilator was successfully

---

**Fig. 1** Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography. **A** Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography revealed 3 anatomical variants of the biliary tree: low insertion of the cystic duct (arrow pointing left), long parallel cystic duct (arrows pointing right), and the right posterior sectoral bile duct flowing directly into the common bile duct (arrow pointing down). **B** No clear stones were found in the cystic duct. The arrow points to the insertion of the cystic duct. **C** A 3 mm stone (arrow) was observed in the distal bile duct (4 mm diameter), immediately below the insertion of the cystic duct.
advanced into the proximal bile duct. When the balloon was inflated above the stone and pulled, the impacted stone did not budge from its initial position. The balloon was then deflated, but still could not be withdrawn from the bile duct (Fig. 2B).

The duodenoscope fell back into the stomach while trying to retract the balloon dilator, causing the shaft of the dilator to break near the ampulla (Fig. 2C). We pulled the broken tip with a snare, which caused the balloon sheath to separate from the shaft and remain in the bile duct (Fig. 2D). We finally retrieved the stone-and-balloon complex by grasping the sheath with rat-tooth forceps (Fig. 2E, F). A 6-French, 100 mm double-pigtail plastic stent (Hanaco Medical Co., Ltd., Saitama, Japan) was inserted at the end of the procedure.

No signs of perforation were observed in a follow-up CT taken immediately after the procedure. Analysis of extracted stone revealed that it was composed of pure cholesterol. Laboratory markers showed marked improvement the next day and the post-treatment course was uneventful. The patient underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy 3 months later, with no complications. During surgery, the long cystic duct running parallel to the common bile duct could be confirmed (Fig. 3). MRCP taken after discharge revealed that 4 cm of the cystic duct remained after surgery. No stones were observed in the bile duct or cystic duct.

**Discussion and conclusions**

Large stones are generally considered to be difficult bile duct stones [2]. Stones ≤ 11 mm can generally be removed easily by stone extraction baskets and balloon catheters with similar safety and efficacy, in less than 10 min in over 80% of cases [3]. Other reported risk factors for difficult stones include stricture distal to the stone, small common bile duct/stone diameter ratio, stone impaction, and higher bilirubin [2]. While the bile duct diameter in our case falls outside 2 standard
deviations of all studies on difficult bile duct stones to date, it fulfills all of the last 3 criteria.

Bile duct obstruction due to choledocholithiasis typically causes the proximal bile ducts to dilate. However, 3.9% of cholecystectomy patients with minimal bile duct diameters of 4 mm or less were reported to have common bile duct stones in an ultrasound study [4]. No studies have evaluated options for impacted stones in very slim bile ducts.

Anatomical variants of the cystic duct may relate to location of insertion (high/low/medial/anterior/posterior), length (short/long), course (parallel to the bile duct), and drainage (into the right hepatic duct/directly into the duodenum/from the right posterior sectoral hepatic duct) [5]. Imaging studies have shown that LICD, which refers to cystic ducts joining the bile duct at the distal third between the hepatic hilum and ampulla of Vater, occurs in 5.6–11% of patients [5, 6]. Long, parallel cystic ducts occur in 7.5–10.6% of cases and may co-exist with LICD [5–7].

Slim bile ducts with anatomical variations leave the endoscopist with limited options when stones cannot be extracted with baskets and balloons. The bile duct in our case was too thin to allow for the insertion of a second device, drainage tube, or stent. The percutaneous transhepatic approach was also infeasible, as the patient’s intrahepatic bile ducts were also extremely slim (the right hepatic duct was less than 3 mm). The PTGBD route also did not assist in proximal drainage due to LICD and intervention through this route would be extremely difficult given the long cystic duct. Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy usually aims to break large stones into fragments of less than 5 mm [8]. Laparoscopic choledochotomy is contraindicated in non-dilated bile ducts, leading stones less than 7 mm to be excluded from laparoscopy studies [9]. As open surgery would have been the only viable alternative, we had little choice but to attempt this off-label use of the balloon dilator.

Unlike stone extraction balloons, biliary balloon dilators cannot revert to their original form once dilated. They remain more spread out in a wrinkled state, often making it difficult to remove from the duodenoscope after use. Therefore, impaction of biliary balloon dilators can theoretically occur, while the chances are much lower for stone extraction balloons. It is also possible that there was injury to the balloon itself, causing the outer sheath to become entangled with the stone.

In addition, the package insert for the Eliminator PET balloon also explicitly warns about a possibility of shaft breakage. In our case, this ultimately worked to our benefit in removing the stone-and-balloon complex. Naturally, intentional breakage is ill-advised. A device which combines the sharp catheter tip of the Eliminator PET balloon with the design of stone extraction balloons may be helpful in extracting stones from slim bile ducts.

Impacted bile duct stones in non-dilated ducts should be approached with caution, particularly in the presence of anatomical variants such as LICD. No research to date has elucidated predictors of difficult stones or methods for stone extraction in this population. Due to the rarity of these conditions, multicenter studies are desirable.
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