The holographic bound in the scalar-tensor and \( f(R) \) gravities
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The holographic bound has been extended to the different theory of gravities such as scalar-tensor gravity and \( f(R) \) gravity according to the Noether charge definition of the entropy for a black hole surface. We have introduced some popular examples of the flat FRW cosmology in order to investigate holographic bound in scalar-tensor and \( f(R) \) gravity. Using the holographic bound, we put an additional constraint on the scalar-tensor gravity and \( f(R) \) gravity parameters. We also discuss about the transformation from Jordan frame to Einstein frame.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Forty years ago Bekenstein made the primary suggestion that black holes have an entropy equal to a quarter of their surface area in Planck units. He also conjectured a holographic bound on the number of localized degrees of freedom in a gravitational system [1]. The extension of this statement to more general situations leads to the holographic principle [2,3]. Along this way, Fischler and Susskind [4] generalizes and refines a cosmological bound. Subsequently, the holographic bound has been extended with a more covariant formulation [5]. There were many attempts for extending the holography bound in the different theory of gravities such as Gong [6] who has extended the holographic bound to the Brans-Dicke cosmology. There was also another attempt [7] showing that the closed FRW equation may be rewritten so as to describe the universe entropy in terms of total energy and Casimir energy. Surprisingly, it turns out that the corresponding formula has correspondence with the Cardy formula (Cardy-Verlinde formula) for the entropy of a two-dimensional conformal field theory. This formula may be written as a dynamical entropy bound. By the way, the modified \( f(R) \) gravity modeled as an effective fluid and construct the corresponding Cardy-Verlinde formula for it [8].

In the other side, the dark energy problem has led many attentions to modified theory of gravity. Cosmic acceleration can either be explained by introducing large amounts of dark energy or considering modifications to gravity such as the addition of a suitable function \( f(R) \) of the Ricci scalar to the Einstein-Hilbert action [9]. Much attentions have been drawn by scalar fields in studies of the early time universe. A variety of scalar potentials has been investigated and a number of accelerating inflationary cosmology have been advocated. On the other hand, alternative approaches for the dark energy problem can be pursued in the scalar-tensor gravity [10]. A good review for the quintessence model is [11]. The prototypical scalar-tensor alternative to general relativity is Brans-Dicke theory.

To construct the holographic bound in the modified theory of gravities, we should have more profound look on the entropy of the black holes in these theories. To this aim, Wald and Visser [12] extend the black hole entropy to the more general theory of gravities which plays a basic role in the holographic bound. Subsequently, the entropy of black holes in generalized dilaton theories and in theories with Lagrangians that depend on an arbitrary function of the Ricci tensor were also examined [13]. The difference between the form of the entropy in the Einstein gravity and the modified gravity leads us to revisit the black hole area law and the horizon definitions in the modified gravity. By the way, I examined the holographic bound in generalized theories of gravity using the Noether charge definition for the black hole entropy. The paper is organized as follows. In section II, I extend the holographic bound for general theory of gravity. Section III is devoted to the Holographic Bound in Brans-Dicke Cosmology and scalar-tensor gravity. We investigate the holographic bound in \( f(R) \) cosmology in section IV. Section V is devoted to the discussion about the transformation from the Jordan frame to Einstein frame. Section VI contains a discussion and the conclusions.

II. HOLOGRAPHIC BOUND FOR GENERAL GRAVITY THEORIES

In this section, the holographic entropy bound in the Susskind process will be investigated. Let us look at a scalar-tensor gravity with the Lagrangian density,

\[
\mathcal{L} = F(\phi) \frac{R}{16\pi} + \text{other terms independent of Riemann}
\]

It has been discussed [13] that the non-negative entropy in the closed surface, \( A \), in scalar-tensor theory for spherically symmetric case on the black horizon is

\[
S = \frac{F(\phi) A}{4}
\]

If we consider a well-separated, non-interacting components which made of some matter components \( C_i \) and
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some black holes with horizon area \( A_i \), the total entropy of this system is,

\[
S^{\text{total}}_\text{initial} = S_{\text{matter}} + S_{\text{BH}}
\]

where \( S_{\text{matter}} = \sum S(C_i) \) and \( S_{\text{BH}} = \sum F(\phi) \frac{A_i}{4} \). Consider the case that this system interact until a new equilibrium is established. The generalized second law (GSL) states, \( S_{\text{final}} \geq S^{\text{total}}_\text{initial} \), if \( S_{\text{final}} \) be the total entropy of the system. Now assume that the final state of the system be a black hole with the entropy \( F(\phi) \frac{A_i}{4} \). Consider this form of the entropy leads that the entropy bound formalism be invariant by the conformal transformation from the Jordan frame to Einstein frame\(^{[13]} \) this of The GSL state,

\[
S_{\text{matter}} + \sum F(\phi) \frac{A_i}{4} \leq F(\phi) \frac{A_i}{4}
\]

Since \( \sum F(\phi) \frac{A_i}{4} \) is obviously non-negative, therefore \( S_{\text{matter}} \leq F(\phi) \frac{A_i}{4} \). This is the new form of entropy bound for scalar-tensor theory. Similarly for \( f(R) \) gravity with the Lagrangian density

\[
\mathcal{L} = \frac{f(R)}{16\pi}
\]

the entropy bound will be \( S_{\text{matter}} \leq f(R) \frac{\rho_f}{4} \). Note that the nature of the non-negative entropy leads \( f(R) \frac{\rho_f}{4} \geq 0 \). We should notice that in scalar-tensor and \( f(R) \) gravity, we have the non-constant gravitational coupling. Therefore, we cannot write the entropy of different parts separately in general. Generally the the area \( A \) can be defined for any two surface but scalar-tensor gravity scalar is a quasi-local function. Therefore, in this formalism of the entropy bound for the scalar-tensor gravity, the scalar field must be calculated at the same place in the boundary with area \( A \).

In the case that there isn’t any symmetry in the surface, \( A \), the holographic bound can be expressed it this way

\[
S_{\text{matter}} \leq \int s_{ab}
\]

where the \( s_{ab} \) is the entropy two form \(^{[13]} \). In the case of scalar-tensor gravity \( s_{ab} = F(\phi) \frac{\epsilon_{ab}}{4} \), and in the case \( f(R) \) gravity the entropy two form is \( s_{ab} = f(R) \frac{\epsilon_{ab}}{4} \) (the \( \epsilon_{ab} \) is the area two form).

It should be stressed that the fact that the requirement of positive black hole entropy simply avoids the appearance of ghost or tachyon fields in the corresponding scalar field theory. Then a negative entropy is simply a footprint of some instabilities in the Einstein frame \(^{[2]} \). As was shown in \(^{[22]} \), the condition of positive entropy can be used in order to constrain the viability of modified gravity theories.

The covariant holographic bound for scalar-tensor gravity can be stated as follows. Let \( A(B) \) be the area of an arbitrary \( D - 2 \) dimensional spatial surface \( B \) (which need not be closed) and \( F(\phi)|_B \) be the scalar-tensor gravity scalar value at boundary \( B \). \( A = 1 \) dimensional hypersurface \( L \) is called a light-sheet of \( B \) if \( L \) is generated by light rays which begins at \( B \), extend orthogonally away from \( B \), and have non-positive expansion,

\[
\theta \leq 0
\]

everywhere on \( L \). Let \( S \) be the entropy on any light-sheet of \( B \). Then

\[
S \leq F(\phi)|_B \frac{A(B)}{4}
\]

The holographic bound for the \( f(R) \) gravity can be stated in the same way, but the \( f(R), R \frac{\rho_f}{4} \geq 0 \) is an extra assumption which we assume in the classical gravities. On the other side the quantity \( G_{\text{eff}} = G/f(1/R, R) \) can be regarded as the effective gravitational coupling strength in analogy to what is done in scalar-tensor gravity \(^{[10]} \). The positivity of \( G_{\text{eff}} \) or \( f(R), R > 0 \) is equivalent to the requirement that the graviton is not a ghost. The positivity assumption of the entropy is closely related to positive effective gravitational coupling assumption.

### III. HOLOGRAPHIC BOUND IN BRANS-DICKE COSMOLOGY AND SCALAR-TENSOR GRAVITY

The simplest way to incorporate the scalar field as gravitational field is Brans-Dicke theory in which the gravitational coupling constant is replaced by a scalar field. The Brans-Dicke Lagrangian in Jordan frame is given by

\[
\mathcal{L}_{BD} = -\sqrt{-\gamma} \left[ \phi \dot{\gamma} + \omega \gamma_{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi \right] - \mathcal{L}_m(\phi, \gamma_{\mu\nu}).
\]

The homogeneous and isotropic Friedman-Robertson-Walker (FRW) space-time metric is

\[
ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t) \left[ \frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2 d\Omega^2 \right],
\]

the above metric can be written as

\[
ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t) \left[ d\chi^2 + f^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \right],
\]

where

\[
f = \begin{cases} 
\chi & k = 0, \\
\sin \chi & k = -1, \\
\sin \chi & k = 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Based on the FRW metric and the perfect fluid \( T_{\mu\nu}^m = (\rho + p) U^\mu U^\nu + pg^{\mu\nu} \) as the matter source, we can get the evolution equations of the universe from the action

\[
H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} + H \frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi} - \frac{\omega}{6} \left( \frac{\phi}{\dot{\phi}} \right)^2 = \frac{8\pi}{3\phi} \rho.
\]
\[ \dot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} = 4\pi\beta^{2}(\rho - 3p), \]
\[ \dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0. \]  

Where \( \beta^2 = 2/(2\omega + 3) \). If we are given a state equation for the matter \( p = \gamma\rho \), then the solution to Eq. (14) is
\[ \rho a^{3(\gamma + 1)} = C_1. \]  

For the case \( k = 0 \), we can get the power-law solutions to the Eqs. (13) and (14) with the help of Eq. (15) [12],
\[ a(t) = a_0 t^p, \quad \phi(t) = \phi_0 t^q, \]
where
\[ p = \frac{2 + 2\omega(1 - \gamma)}{4 + 3\omega(1 - \gamma^2)}, \quad q = \frac{2(1 - 3\gamma)}{4 + 3\omega(1 - \gamma^2)}, \]
\[ \frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma < 1 - \frac{3 + \sqrt{6}/\beta}{3 + \sqrt{6}/\beta}. \]

\( a_0 \) and \( \phi_0 \) are integration constants, and \( [q(q - 1) + 3pq]\phi_0 = 4\pi\beta^2(1 - 3\gamma)C_1a_0 \).

To calculate the maximum entropy bound we need to define the apparent horizon surface. The apparent horizon is defined geometrically as a sphere at which at least one pair of orthogonal null congruences have zero expansion. It satisfies the condition
\[ \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \pm \frac{f'}{a f}, \]
In the flat case the apparent horizon radius is \( r_{AH} = \frac{1}{a} \).

Let us consider the light-sheets which their null generators have negative expansion (for example we consider past ingoing null generator). At any time, the spherical area for \( r < r_H \) is smaller than the apparent horizon surface area, \( A < A_{AH} \).

The holographic bound can be written,
\[ \frac{4S}{\phi A} \leq \frac{s r_{AH}}{3\omega a(t)^2} = \frac{4l^{-3p-q}}{3p\phi_0}. \]

where \( s \) is the constant comoving entropy density. This term is maximized by the outermost normal surface at any given time \( t \), on the apparent horizon sphere. The holographic bound in satisfied of \( 1 - 3p - q < 0 \). Therefore, we should have
\[ -3\omega\gamma^2 + 6(\omega + 1)\gamma - 3\omega - 4 > 0. \]

This is the corrected version of the holographic bound which was expressed in [13]. In the case that we have general relativity limit, \( \omega >> 1 \), we get the standard causal energy condition (Note that, the current solar system observation of Cassini spacecraft requires that \( \omega \) must exceed 40000 [12]).

This bound will be held for \( \gamma > 1 + \frac{\sqrt{9 + 6\omega^2}^3}{3\omega} \) and \( \gamma < 1 + \frac{\sqrt{9 + 6\omega^2}^3}{3\omega} \). Therefore, this holographic bound condition are compatible with the condition [12].

Let us consider an example of scalar-sensor gravity [13]. The scalar-tensor action can be written as
\[ S = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int d^4x\sqrt{-g} \left[ F(\Phi)R + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu\Phi\partial^\mu\Phi - U(\Phi) \right] + \int d^4x\sqrt{-g} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu\chi\partial^\mu\chi - U(\chi) \right]. \]

Here
\[ F(\Phi) \equiv \frac{\alpha^2}{4[\gamma]} \Phi^2, \quad U(\Phi) = V_0 \left( \frac{\alpha\Phi}{2\sqrt{[\gamma]}} \right)^{4(1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{\omega}{3\gamma}})} \]
and the field \( \phi \) is given by \( \Phi \equiv 2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{[\gamma]} + \frac{\gamma}{3}}. \) Here \( \alpha \) and \( \gamma \) are constant parameters. We define the new variable
\[ \varphi = \phi \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \frac{\gamma}{3}}, \]
\[ a = a_{E0} \left( \frac{t}{t_0} \right)^\frac{\beta^2\varphi_0}{1 - \frac{2\beta^2}{\alpha^2}} \]
\[ \phi = \frac{\beta\varphi_0}{\alpha \left( 1 - \frac{2\beta^2}{\alpha^2} \right)} \ln \left( \frac{t}{t_0} \right). \]

Here \( \beta \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha^2 + \frac{\gamma}{3}}} \) and \( t_0 \) is constant. The holographic bound is
\[ \frac{4S}{F(\Phi)A} \leq \frac{4s r_{AH}}{3F(\Phi)a(t)^2} \times t_0^{1 + \frac{\beta^2\varphi_0}{1 - \frac{2\beta^2}{\alpha^2}}}. \]

To satisfying the holographic bound in any time, we get the following condition
\[ 1 - \frac{9}{4} \frac{\beta^2\varphi_0}{1 - \frac{2\beta^2}{\alpha^2}} < 0 \]
This gives an extra constraint which enable us to limit the on the \( \beta \) and \( \varphi_0 \) parameters.

**IV. HOLOGRAPHIC BOUND f(R) COSMOLOGY**

Recently, \( f(R) \) theories have been extensively studied in cosmology and gravity such as inflation, dark energy, local gravity constraints, cosmological perturbations, and spherically symmetric solutions in weak and strong gravitational backgrounds. As discussed in section II, It is reasonable to assume that the entropy is non-negative quantity in the classical gravities.
To investigate the holographic bound, we present two famous model in these gravity. The first example which we will refer to is a model of the form \( f(R) = R - \mu^{2(n+1)/n} R^n \), where \( \mu \) is a suitable parameter \([10]\). The Ricci curvature for the flat FRW solution is

\[
R = 6 \left( \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + (\frac{\dot{a}}{a})^2 \right)
\]  

(26)

In this case, the scale factor is assumed to be a generic power law, \( a(t) \propto t^p \) for the flat case, where

\[
p = \frac{(2n+1)(n+1)}{n+2},
\]

(27)

The \( R \) solution is, \( R = -6p/t^2 \). Therefore, \( f'(R) = 1 + n\mu^{2(n+1)/n}(6p)^{-n-1}t^{2n+2} \). The holographic bound gives

\[
\frac{4S}{f'(R)A} \leq \frac{4s_{rAH}}{3f'(R)a(t)^2} = \frac{4st^{-1-3p}}{3p} \propto t^{-1-2n-3p},
\]

(28)

for \( n > -1 \). The holographic bound is satisfied if \(-1 - 2n - 3p < 0 \). This condition will be satisfied for all range \( n > -2 \). The best fitting for the astronomical data bounds \( n \) in this range \([14]\). Note that there is also another constraint that comes from the positivity of the entropy \( f'(R) = 1 + n\mu^{2(n+1)/n}(6p)^{-n-1}t^{2n+2} > 0 \) \([20]\).

Another example for the function \( f \) is \( f(R) \propto R^n \) \([17]\). The solution for the flat FRW universe gives the scale factor as \( a(t) \propto t^p \) where

\[
p = \frac{-2n^2 + 3n - 1}{n - 2}
\]

(29)

From the Ricci scalar solution we get \( f'(R) = (6p)^{n-1}t^{-2n} \).

The holographic bound gives

\[
\frac{4S}{f'(R)A} \leq \frac{4s_{rAH}}{3f'(R)a(t)^2} \propto t^{-1+2n-3p},
\]

(30)

The holographic bound leads us to this condition \(-1 + 2n - 3p = \frac{8n^2 - 14n + 5}{n - 2} < 0 \). Therefore, the holographic bound constraint on \( n \) becomes

\[
n < \frac{1}{2}, \quad n > \frac{5}{4}
\]

(31)

The observational data limits the \( n \) for \(-0.450 < n < -0.370 \) and \( 1.366 < n < 1.376 \). Therefore, the holographic entropy bound is satisfied for these intervals of \( n \) \([17]\).

The holographic bound condition for the FRW model in Einstein gravity leads us to the causal energy condition \([22]\). Imposing the energy conditions for the effective stress-energy tensor of \( f(R) \) gravity is not very meaningful because the effective stress-energy terms coming from the geometry (when we write the field equations of alternative gravities as effective Einstein equations ) violate all the energy conditions generally \([18]\).

V. THE HOLOGRAPHIC BOUND IN EINSTEIN FRAME

Here we recall the conformal transformation which transform the quantities from the Jordan frame to the Einstein frame which is like the Einstein general relativity. It has been argued in the literature that, classically, the two frames are physically equivalent \([22]\). Since the black hole entropy in the Einstein frame have the famous form \( S = \frac{A}{4} \), the holographic bound will be the same standard holographic bound which was defined by Bousso \([22]\). Note that the \( \phi \) and \( f(R) \) act as a field in the Einstein equation in Einstein frame. Now look at the conformal transformation which transfer from Jordan frame to Einstein frame;

\[
g_{ab} = \Omega^2 \gamma_{ab}.
\]

(32)

In this equation \( \Omega = \sqrt{f(\Phi)} \) and \( \Omega = \sqrt{f'(R)} \) in the case of the scalar-tensor gravity and \( f(R) \) theory respectively. Now consider the FRW cosmology in the Einstein frame;

\[
ds^2 = -d\tau^2 + R^2(t) \left[ d\chi^2 + f^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \right],
\]

(33)

The holographic bound must be written,

\[
\frac{4S}{A} \leq \frac{4s_{rAH}}{3R(t)^2},
\]

(34)

where the apparent horizon radius is \( r_{AH} = 1/\sqrt{\dot{R}(t)} \). Putting the \( d\tau = \sqrt{f'(\Phi)}dt \) and \( R(t) = \sqrt{f'(\Phi)}a(t) \) in the above equation, we exactly get the holographic bound for scalar-tensor gravity \([22]\). Similarly if we insert the \( d\tau = \sqrt{f'(R)}dt \) and \( R(t) = \sqrt{f'(R)}a(t) \) in the above equation, we get the holographic bound for scalar-tensor gravity \([22]\). Therefore the holographic bounds in these two frame are equivalent and express the same equation.

VI. DISCUSSION

In this paper we have proposed a new version of the holographic bound for scalar-tensor gravity and \( f(R) \) theory of gravity. The key point for this derivation is the definition of the entropy for a black hole surface in these theory of gravities \([4]\). I have discussed about the holographic aspects of flat FRW cosmology for these gravities. As consequence of holographic bound, some free parameters in these are constrained even in some case we can rule out some models in scalar-tensor and \( f(R) \) gravity. As we saw, the transformation from the Jordan frame to Einstein frame gives the standard holographic bound and the holographic bounds in these two frame are equivalent and express the same equation.
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