Polyspermy barriers: a plant perspective
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Abstract

A common denominator of sexual reproduction in many eukaryotic species is the exposure of an egg to excess sperm to maximize the chances of reproductive success. To avoid potential harmful or deleterious consequences of supernumerary sperm fusion to a single female gamete (polyspermy), many eukaryotes, including plants, have evolved barriers preventing polyspermy. Typically, these checkpoints are implemented at different stages in the reproduction process. The virtual absence of unambiguous reports of naturally occurring egg cell polyspermy in flowering plants is likely reflecting the success of this multiphasic strategy and highlights the difficulty to trace this presumably rare event. We here focus on potential polyspermy avoidance mechanisms in plants and discuss them in light of analogous processes in animals.

Introduction

The mechanisms that bring together male and female gametes are key to reproductive success and plants ability to evolve novel mating strategies has substantially shaped our planet. The evolution of pollen as a sperm transporting system is considered to be one of the most important evolutionary steps taken by land plants [1,2]. Pollen grains have a remarkable morphogenetic capacity: Once they land on a compatible stigmatic surface, they germinate and form tip-growing tubes targeting the ovule. Growth and guidance of the pollen tubes is mediated by several signaling pathways, which have comprehensively been reviewed elsewhere [3•,4•,5•,6•,7••]. The pollen tube typically contains one pair of sperm cells that are linked with a thin cytoplasmic bridge and delivered towards the so-called receptive synergid [8,9]. There are several important implications associated with the number of male gametes delivered. First, flowering plants are characterized by double fertilization, i.e. not only the egg cell but also the adjacent central cell, which is the
precursor of the endosperm, gets fertilized by one sperm. The paired delivery of sperm cells enables an almost synchronized fertilization of both female gametes [10], and a coordinated start of egg and central cell into seed development. This is particularly important, as embryo and endosperm development can be initiated in both female gametes independently of one another, which is evidenced e.g. by fertilization experiments using cell-division defective, single sperm containing cdka;1 pollen [11,12].

Second, the delivery of two clonal sperm ensures maximum relatedness of embryo and its compatriot endosperm. Why is this relevant? It has been shown for maize and Arabidopsis, that egg and central cell can become fertilized by genetically different sperm released from two distinct pollen tubes, a process referred to as heterofertilization. In Arabidopsis, heterofertilization was demonstrated combining kokopelli mutant pollen, which contain sperm with reduced fertility thereby causing single fertilization events, with wildtype pollen [13]. With this elegant approach, Maruyama et al. were able to recover seeds with different paternal contribution to embryo and endosperm. The developmental consequences in the resulting Arabidopsis seeds have not yet been investigated. Notably, however, heterofertilization in maize negatively affects resource allocation to the embryo the less related the endosperm is [14]. Co-delivery of two genetically identical sperm in one pollen tube bypasses this kin-conflict.

Reproduction is key to the survival of species and many sexually reproducing eukaryotes respond to this challenge by exposing the egg cell to supernumerary sperm. Notably, the pollen tube of flowering plants typically does not contain more than the required two sperm. This is particularly relevant in the light of polyspermy, which is the fusion of supernumerary sperm with one female gamete.

The conundrum of plant polyspermy

While excess male gametes increase the likelihood of an egg becoming fertilized, an increased sperm pressure enhances the risk of polyspermy, which is lethal in many organisms. Consequently, eukaryotes have evolved mechanisms to prevent fertilization of a single egg by more than one sperm [15]. The respective strategies can be of chemical, physical or electrical nature and are implemented at different levels in the reproductive process [16]. The concept of polyspermy in plants has long been ambiguous: Early observations that were interpreted in the light of polyspermy date back to 1936 when Rhoades identified triploid maize plants, which obviously had inherited two paternal copies [17]. While supernumerary gamete fusion is, indeed, one possible explanation, triploid plants can in theory also result from fusion of an egg with unreduced diploid sperm. This is suggested by crosses between diploid and tetraploid maize, which yield up to 2% fertile seeds [18]. Similarly, the role of polyspermy in the polyploidization of common wheat has been investigated. Crosses between hexaploid plants infrequently generate nonaploid offspring with karyotypes compatible with supernumerary sperm fusion. Nevertheless, it is difficult to conclude polyspermy as a causal agent since unreduced male gamete formation via second meiotic division restitution has the potential to generate polyploids with similar karyotype [19].
Other reports reveal contemporary differences in the convention of using the term polyspermy. Today, polyspermy is commonly used to describe the fusion of more than one sperm with the female gamete [20]. By contrast, in 1970 Vigfússon in his work entitled ‘polyspermy in sunflower’, refers to polyspermy when describing the release of multiple pollen tubes content in the embryo sac [21].

When investigating the fertilization process in orchids, Hagerup [22] observed polyspermy as infrequent phenomenon. Based on cytological examination of ovules after pollination, he was able to show a single incidence of in vivo gamete attachment and/or plasmogamy involving two sperm cells and an egg cell. It remains unclear, however, whether these sperm nuclei also undergo karyogamy with the egg nucleus and what the fate of this atypical fertilization event is.

In a recent work, Toda et al. [23**] made use of isolated egg cells to induce polyspermy by electrical fusion followed by in vitro regeneration of triploid plants. While this artificial setup uses external force to combine the two gametes, it is in support of the hypothesis that polyspermy is not a lethal event in plants [20], which was originally proposed on the basis of the finding that crosses between diploid and tetraploid Arabidopsis plants, yield viable offspring [24,25].

Still, due to the lack of tools to unambiguously identify and trace polyspermy in natural systems, the concept of polyspermy in the egg cell of flowering plants has remained hypothetical. Furthermore, one of the reasons that polyspermy is poorly understood in flowering plants is its rare occurrence, which is likely due to the evolution of polyspermy preventing barriers [20].

### Polyspermy blocks and potential polyspermy barriers in flowering plants

A common denominator, which is key to the establishment of a permanent physical block in animal egg cells is the release of Ca^{2+} from internal stores. Notably, fertilization-dependent changes in calcium homeostasis have also been observed in plants: The literally explosive character of pollen tube discharge deforms egg and central cell and activates characteristic calcium signatures in both female gametes [26**, 27**, 28**]. These initial calcium peaks might represent the activation of the female gametes by the sperm component [29]. In return the egg cell also activates the sperm cell by secreting EC1 protein, which enables the translocation of sperm specific gamete fusion protein from endomembrane to the cell surface [30]. Interestingly a second peak for cytosolic Ca^{2+} concentration is observed only in the egg cell (Figure 1a), which is essentially attributed to the gamete fusion event [26**, 27**, 31]. One notable difference between the first and second Ca^{2+} signatures in the egg cell is the pattern of its distribution. During the first peak, it occurs in the entire egg cell, however, the concentration of the second peak starts from the chalazal region of the egg cell and moves towards the whole cell lasting for almost 5 min [27**]. Similarly, in vitro fertilization experiments in maize show Ca^{2+} influx induction after egg-sperm fusion, which transduced like a wavefront from the fusion site to the entire zygote [32,33]. The precise sequence of events has been investigated by several labs independently and has been comprehensively reviewed [34*, 35*]. In animal oocytes, Ca^{2+} induces the release of cortical...
granules, which cause an irreversible biochemical renovation of the extracellular matrix, which transforms from a network of glycoproteins into a stiff shell [36] (Figure 1f, g). Similarly, gamete fusion in the intertidal brown algae Fucus has been shown to be associated with Golgi complex hypertrophy, an accumulation of fibrillar material in large vesicles, and, eventually, the formation of a cell wall, which is absent from unfertilized eggs [37]. Also, maize in vitro fertilization experiments recorded gamete fusion dependent cell wall formation, evidenced by calcofluor white staining [33], suggesting that also flowering plant egg cells mount a physical polyspermy block. Notably, Antoine et al. were able to show that the requirement of gamete fusion for cell wall formation can be bypassed by Ca$^{2+}$ ionophore induced Ca$^{2+}$ influx, highlighting the importance of this early egg cell response in maize [33]. Based on these observations, it is conceivable that Ca$^{2+}$ signaling mediates the renovation of the egg’s extracellular matrix by inducing cell wall formation there-by establishing a physical block to polyspermy.

In many eukaryotic taxa, the permanent physical block to polyspermy is preceded by a faster membrane block.

In the case of electrical blocks, currents of monovalent ions can result in membrane depolarisation, as observed in echinoderms, or membrane hyperpolarisation, which has been described for decapods [15] (Figure 1f). The changes in the resting potential render the plasma membrane refractory to further sperm entry and bridge the gap until the permanent physical block has been established. In Fucus and Pelvetia, a sodium-dependent membrane potential was shown to critically affect the fusion competence of the egg cell [38], suggesting that also here a fast block to polyspermy is operating.

In addition, research on different eukaryotic taxa has suggested that the membrane block to polyspermy operates through deactivation of the gamete recognition machinery (Figure 1h): It was recently shown that, in mice, the binding of the sperm cell surface protein IZUMO to the egg membrane folate receptor JUNO is required for gamete fusion both in vivo and in vitro [39]. Notably, JUNO disappears from the egg surface 30–45 min after fertilization, which correlates with the time necessary for a mammalian membrane block to become established. Similarly, in the green algae Clamydomonas, the membrane proteins FUS1 and HAP2 are present on plus and minus gametes, respectively. Both proteins are essential for gamete fusion and are rapidly degraded after fertilization, which is likely to render the gametes resistant to further fusion events [40]. An intermediate block of unknown mechanism, that causes additional sperm to detach from the egg soon after sperm fusion, has also been described in fucoid algae [37,38].

While there is some evidence for a polyspermy block mounted in flowering plant egg cells, the situation is less clear regarding the egg cell-adjoining central cell, which in angiosperms is also fertilized to generate the embryonourishing tissue (endosperm). Work by Scott et al. has suggested that an increased sperm pressure can result in central cell polyspermy. The group made use of tetraspore (tes) mutants, which fail to physically separate the four microspores giving rise to coenocytic pollen, which contain a range of sperm numbers and ploidy levels. While pollination with tes pollen did not introduce supernumerary genomic copies to the embryo, the group observed endosperm ploidies that are only compatible with
multiple fertilization events [41]. On the other side, Hamamura et al. studied the dynamics of sperm fusion during fertilization making use of live-cell imaging. Their results nicely document that the two functionally equivalent Arabidopsis sperm cells faithfully and almost synchronously fertilize the egg and the central cell, suggesting the presence of a mechanism that prevents the fusion of both sperm with either of the female gamete [10,42].

**Potential sperm pressure regulating mechanisms**

Formally, all mechanisms that influence sperm number and sperm access to female gametes do have the potential to serve as polyspermy barriers. In internally fertilized animals, the distinct segments of the female reproductive tract impose chemical and physical barriers that strongly reduce the amount of sperm arriving at the ova [43,44]. In addition, many organisms reduce the sperm-receptive area of the egg: in amphibian oocytes, sperm fusion occurs preferentially in the animal hemisphere, and the frog genus Discoglossus even restricts sperm fusion to a single depression at the animal pole [15]. Some animals, like the oviparous salmon, generate a funnel-shaped micropyle, which serves as the sole entry point for the sperm [45]. By contrast, local restrictions to sperm fusion are uncommon in broadcast spawners, which reduce sperm-egg encounters by diluting their gametes in the ocean. Sperm access to the plasma membrane of the oocyte is additionally restricted by the egg’s extracellular matrix (ECM), which, apart from its role in sperm activation, serves to mechanically dilute the sperm population [15]. Interestingly, it has been reported for some plants that the central cell-adjoining egg cell wall differs from the synergid-adjoining egg cell wall in being thicker and slightly indented [46]. Ultrastructural analysis on Arabidopsis egg cells confirm position-dependent cell wall differences in Arabidopsis (Figure 1c–e) with particularly pronounced periodic changes in cell wall thickness at the central cell-adjoining site of the egg cell. Future work will help to elucidate whether the indentations predict the sites of sperm entry and how the cell wall apposition pattern is affected by fertilization. In addition, it is conceivable that the regulation of sperm transport can affect polyspermy. It has been speculated that two actin ‘coronas’ contribute to sperm cell guidance within the female gametophyte. These cytoskeletal structures form after sperm reception, one at the chalazal end of the degenerated synergid and a second at the interface between egg cell and central cell, and disappear soon after fertilization [47,48,49,50]. However, the explosive rupture of the pollen tube appears sufficient to propel the two sperm cells to the fusion site [10]. Nevertheless, mechanisms must exist to selectively and reliably associate the two sperm with egg and central cell and it is conceivable that final micro movements, which have been reported to reposition the two sperm cells prior to plasmogamy [51**, are mediated by a gamete-associated actin-based matrix.

Unlike animals, which are equipped with centrosomes and employ microtubules after plasmogamy to direct the female pronucleus towards the male pronucleus [52], flowering plants lack centrosomes and involve their actin cytoskeleton for the completion of fertilization [20]. Recently, Kawashima et al. have investigated the role of F-actin dynamics within female gametes making use of a semi-dominant ACTIN variant, which disrupts F-actin. With this elegant approach the authors could show that an intact F-actin network is required for gamete nuclei migration and successful karyogamy [53**,54**].
Notably, plants have evolved a pollen tube block, which, in theory, has the potential to further restrict the sperm number arriving at the female gametes. Key to the short-range attraction of pollen tubes are synergids, which generate cysteine-rich peptides necessary and sufficient for pollen tube attraction [55,56,57,58]. Moreover, synergids mediate the discharge of the sperm from the first pollen tube that arrives [59,60,61,62]. This pollen tube reception is accompanied by programmed cell death (PCD) of the first synergid [63] (Figure 1a). Notably, disintegration of the second synergid, and the concomitant termination of pollen tube attraction require double fertilization. This is evidenced by several studies, which have shown that incomplete fertilization or the delivery of gamete fusion defective sperm suppresses disintegration of the second synergid, resulting in the attraction of supernumerary pollen tubes [30,64,65]. In addition, recent work has suggested an involvement of sporophytic tissue, which controls degeneration of the persistent synergid through the Arabinogalactan protein JAGGER [66**,67*]. How is the elimination of the second synergid orchestrated with double fertilization? While sperm fusion with the egg cell appears to mainly trigger disintegration of the synergid nucleus, fertilization of the central cell induces fusion between the second synergid and endosperm [68**] (Figure 1b). The discovery of this unprecedented cellular fusion event provides an attractive explanation for the fertilization-associated pollen tube block, as the consumption of the synergid by the voluminous central cell causes rapid dilution of LURE protein which is essential for pollen tube attraction [68**]. The mechanisms that translate the fertilization signal into the elimination of the non-receptive synergid involve the Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 and an ethylene response cascade. This is evidenced by mutants in the respective pathways, which fail to induce complete non-receptive synergid disintegration and consequently attract supernumerary pollen tubes despite successful double fertilization [68**,69**,70]. Whether and to what extent a failure in the establishment of the pollen tube block might affect polyspermy frequencies is currently unclear.

**Conclusion**

The last two decades have seen a tremendous progress in our understanding of the processes governing plant fertilization, and molecular components involved in pollen tube attraction, pollen tube reception, gamete activation and gamete fusion have been identified. What has remained in the dark, however, is how plants avoid supernumerary gamete fusion to maintain their ploidy. The future development of tools to monitor the presumably rare event of multiple sperm fusion will allow to address the relative importance of individual potential polyspermy barriers and help to identify additional polyspermy relevant checkpoints implemented at the interface between male and female gametes or operating upstream of the discussed processes.
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Figure 1. Potential direct and indirect polyspermy avoidance mechanisms. (a-b) Landmarks in Arabidopsis fertilization process after pollen tube reception. (a) Early fertilization process depicted with male–female gametic cell interaction provoking uneven Ca\(^{2+}\) transient within the egg cell. Actin coronas are depicted in green. Male components are colored yellow. Female cell nuclei are depicted with grey color. (b) The disintegration of second synergid cell is necessary for the establishment of a pollen tube block: Fertilization of the central cell promotes cell fusion between the synergid cell and endosperm causing dilution of pollen tube attractant (blue circles) while fertilization of the egg cell promotes disintegration of the synergid nucleus (grey). (c-e) Transmission electron microscopy visualization of Arabidopsis egg cell revealing ultrastructural cell wall differences between the central cell adjoining side (d) to that of synergid adjoining side (e). Presence of thin furrows in between the thick cell wall structures (arrow head) in the central cell adjoining side of the egg cell. The cell wall structure along the synergid side is uniform. (f-h) Hypothetical mode of polyspermy avoidance mechanisms in flowering plants. (f) The electrical block represented by the changes of resting membrane potential along with Ca\(^{2+}\) changes initiate the exocytosis of materials, which can cause a biochemical renovation of the egg’s ECM (g) and/or shedding of receptor or receptor ectodomain (h). PCD, programmed cell death; SN, synergid nucleus; SE, synergid endosperm; PT, polytubey; ECM, extra cellular matrix; PM, plasma membrane; Cyt, cytoplasm.