DNA methylation reprogramming, TE derepression, and postzygotic isolation of nascent animal species
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The genomic shock hypothesis stipulates that the stress associated with divergent genome admixture can cause transposable element (TE) derepression, which could act as a postzygotic isolation mechanism. TEs affect gene structure, expression patterns, and chromosome organization and may have deleterious consequences when released. For these reasons, they are silenced by heterochromatin formation, which includes DNA methylation. Here, we show that a significant proportion of TEs are differentially methylated between the “dwarf” (limnetic) and the “normal” (benthic) whitefish, two nascent species that diverged some 15,000 generations ago within the Coregonus clupeaformis species complex. Moreover, TEs are overrepresented among loci that were demethylated in hybrids, indicative of their transcriptional derepression. These results are consistent with earlier studies in this system that revealed TE transcriptional derepression causes abnormal embryonic development and death of hybrids. Hence, this supports a role of DNA methylation reprogramming and TE derepression in postzygotic isolation of nascent animal species.

INTRODUCTION

Divergence between evolutionary lineages generates genetic incompatibilities that may lead to hybrid sterility and/or inviability [i.e., Bateson-Dobzhansky-Muller (BDM) model of postzygotic reproductive isolation] (1). Besides well-studied animal model systems (e.g., Drosophila sp. and Mus sp.), little is known about the molecular mechanisms that can keep nascent species apart (1–3). Recent work investigating the role of transposable elements (TEs) and epigenetics in speciation extends the BDM model to a broader interpretation (4–6). This raised the hypothesis that TE derepression in hybrids is caused by a genomic shock produced by admixture of two parental genomes and may act as a postzygotic barrier (7).

TEs affect gene structure, expression patterns, and chromosome organization, but their mobilization can have profoundly deleterious consequences (8, 9). Inactivation of TEs can be achieved by mutation accumulation over time, but transcriptional silencing of active TEs is achieved by piRNAs (small noncoding RNA that interact with PIWI proteins) that mediate heterochromatin formation, including DNA methylation (an epigenetic process by which methyl groups are added to DNA) (4, 10–12). Hence, piRNAs can play a role in establishing persistent DNA methylation patterns, as genes exhibiting persistent transgenerational DNA methylation patterns often contain a TE insertion nearby (10–12). In nascent species, mutation accumulation is not expected to contribute substantially to TE inactivation in comparison to piRNA pathway proteins that show rapid evolution, which suggests an “evolutionary arms race” with TEs (13). Therefore, incompatibilities may arise in hybrids between divergent lineages, which may explain DNA methylation pattern changes and transcriptional derepression of TEs (1). Interplay between DNA methylation and TEs has been documented as a key element of postzygotic isolation in plants (4, 12), but its potential role in the reproductive isolation of nascent animal species remains to be investigated. Documenting the interplay between DNA methylation and TEs in nascent animal species is, thus, a necessary step toward our global understanding of the role of TEs in postzygotic isolation.

The “normal” and “dwarf” lake whitefish species pairs are salmonids belonging to the Coregonus clupeaformis complex that have recently diverged during Pleistocene glaciation (~15,000 generations/60,000 years BP) (14, 15). Following independent secondary contact (~3000 generations ago/12,000 years BP) in several lakes of the St. John River basin (northeastern of North America), competitive interactions led to the parallel evolution of a dwarf species specializing to a limnetic niche, while the normal remained benthic specialist (14, 15). Adaptive phenotypic differentiation of behavioral, physiological, and morphological traits has been amply documented in wild and controlled environments (14, 16, 17). Transcriptomic studies revealed that overexpressed transcripts in the dwarf species are associated with “survival processes” including metabolic processes, immune system, and detoxification functions, whereas overexpressed transcripts in the normal species are associated with growth functions (14, 18). Moreover, the very low level of genomic differentiation in protein-coding genes supports the predominant role of regulatory regions in driving reproductive barrier (14, 19). Hybrids show reduced sperm performance, increased mortality during development, chromosomal instability, larval malformation, and fluctuating asymmetry (14, 20). These phenotypic effects are associated with a transcriptome-wide breakdown and an enrichment of TEs among overexpressed transcripts, which indicates TE derepression in hybrids (21, 22). Dwarf and normal whitefish are thus an excellent system to investigate the role of DNA methylation on TE derepression in hybrids to act as a postzygotic reproductive barrier between nascent animal species.

Here, we test for TE enrichment in DNA methylation differentiation, which would support a role for DNA methylation in TE regulation.
First, we test for TE enrichment in DNA methylation differentiation between species to show that a distinct evolutionary path of TE silencing has occurred during the speciation of dwarf and normal whitefish. Then, we test for TE enrichment associated to a hypermethylation pattern in parental lineages in comparison to hybrids to support a role of DNA demethylation on TE derepression and associated postzygotic isolation.

**RESULTS**

**Global patterns of epigenomic and genomic variation in lake whitefish**

To control for environmental variation, we reared fish in a common environment and sampled the liver of 24 adult fish from four groups: six dwarfs, six normals, six dwarf × normal hybrids, and six normal × dwarf hybrids. Because the liver is involved in a broad diversity of biological functions, it expresses a broad array of genes. The liver is also a very homogeneous tissue in cell types, thus mitigating potential artefactual biases in methylation levels assessment caused by differential representation of various cell types. Moreover, there is a liver transcriptome available for whitefish, and previous studies also revealed differential gene expression and TE derepression in this tissue between dwarf and normal whitefish (18, 22). We constructed Illumina sequencing libraries and applied reduced-representation bisulfite sequencing and an average of read depth of 10× average per fish. CpGs were then confirmed after being check for C-T DNA polymorphism (see Materials and Methods for further precision). Following filtering, 10,374 of 530,710 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and 423,652 of 4,761,101 CpGs were kept for downstream analyses. We observed a clear group differentiation at both genomic and epigenomic levels [95% confidence interval (CI) ellipses; Fig. 1, A and B]. Parental groups show maximum differentiation at both genomic and epigenomic levels, whereas hybrids were intermediate (Fig. 1, A and B). Genomic and epigenomic variations were strongly correlated (Mantel test: $r = 0.69$; 95% CI, 0.48 to 0.90; $P < 0.001$), although the amount of variance explained by groups was three times higher at the genomic compared with the epigenomic level (27% versus 10%; Fig. 1, C and D). All four groups showed a similar level of DNA methylation, with almost 80% of CpGs being methylated (Fig. 1E). Last, pairwise individual correlations of DNA methylation at CpG sites varied between 0.93 and 0.96 (all $P < 0.001$), showing three main clusters based on UPGMA (Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic mean), which indicate higher correlation within (i) dwarf species, (ii) normal species, and (iii) all hybrids (Fig. 1F).

**Different DNA methylation of loci enriched in TEs between whitefish species**

We tested for epigenetic differentiation between the two species and found 7272 CpGs distributed among 4188 loci with a methylation level of at least 20% (Fig. 2A). Among those 4188 loci, 1319 (31.5%) contain two or more CpGs with methylation differentiation in the same direction, which can modulate heterochromatin formation (23). To visualize epigenetic differentiation between the two species, we applied an unsupervised clustering on the 7272 CpGs in four k-means categories of CpGs (1 and 2: high and low methylation in normal species; 3 and 4: high and low methylation in dwarf species), which differentiated the two pure species (Fig. 2C). Mean variance among CpGs was significantly different ($t$ test, all $P < 0.001$) between species within each k-means category of CpGs. In addition, dwarf whitefish shows an overall hypermethylation of the 7272 differently methylated CpGs (3859 hyper- versus 3413 hypomethylated CpGs; $\chi^2 = 27.35$, $P < 0.001$).

We annotated TEs based on a recent lake whitefish reference transcriptome (18), using the zebrafish (Danio rerio) TE database from Repbase (24) to annotate the 53,104 assembled transcripts. A total of 2643 transcripts (5.0% of the transcriptome) were annotated as TEs (tblastx; e-value < $1 \times 10^{-6}$; Fig. 3A). To control for species-specific TEs in the transcriptome, we removed 1038 transcripts unique to one species (2.0%). We found TE superfamily proportions similar to our previous transcriptome study where we reported a significant overrepresentation of TEs among differentially expressed transcripts between dwarf and normal whitefish (22). These represent 5 superfamilies of long terminal repeat (LTR retrotransposons; 366 transcripts), 9 of non-LTR retrotransposons (658 transcripts), and 15 of DNA transposons (1599 transcripts; Fig. 3A). Here, we found an overrepresentation of TEs in loci harboring CpGs differently methylated between the two species (one-sided Fisher exact test, $P < 0.001$). In particular, we observed enrichment for Gypsy, REX, L2, and Tcl-Mariner superfamilies within each k-means CpG category (one-sided Fisher exact tests, false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05; Fig. 2C). In addition, a total of 38.5% of transcripts annotated as Gypsy harbor differentially methylated CpGs, 23.5% for REX, 14.0% for L2, and 11.1% for Tcl-Mariner (all supporting overrepresentation; one-sided Fisher exact tests, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 3B).

**Hypomethylation of TEs in hybrids**

To investigate the potential demethylation that may underlie transcriptional derepression of TEs in hybrid whitefish, we tested for methylation differentiation between parental species and hybrids. We found 142 CpGs distributed among 93 loci (Fig. 2B) with a methylation level higher than 20%. Among these, 25 (26.9%) contain at least two differentially methylated CpGs between parental species and hybrids, and none of them show methylation differentiation in the opposite direction. Loci harboring differentially methylated CpG between hybrids and pure species align to 56 transcripts (e-value < $1 \times 10^{-6}$), of which 13 (23.2%) were TEs, which represents a highly significant overrepresentation of TEs present among differently methylated CpGs (one-sided Fisher exact test, $P < 0.001$). The heatmap distinguished hybrids from parents, but only the k-means CpG category 3 (high methylation in parental species) showed a difference in mean variance ($t = 6.80$, df = 99.43, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 2D), where hybrids are characterized by a higher variance at these CpGs in comparison to dwarf and normal individuals pooled together. Furthermore, this CpG category contains more transcripts harboring a TE than the others (8 of the 13; $\chi^2 = 6.42$, $P = 0.011$). This CpG category was also significantly enriched in Gypsy and REX TE superfamilies (one-sided Fisher exact tests, FDR with $\alpha = 0.05$; Fig. 2D).

**DISCUSSION**

**Global pattern of epigenomic and genomic variations in lake whitefish**

We observed a strong association between genomic and epigenomic variation paired to a significantly higher genomic variation explained by groups, which was almost three times higher than epigenomic variation. Together, this provides support for a genetic basis of epigenomic variation between dwarf and normal whitefish. This is also supported by the intermediate position of hybrids in epigenomic variation, as would be expected under a Mendelian inheritance pattern.
Fig. 1. Epigenomic and genomic variations between dwarf and normal whitefish species and their reciprocal hybrids. (A) Principal components analysis (PCA) on 10,374 SNPs. (B) PCA on 423,652 CpGs. (C) Redundancy analysis (RDA) on 10,374 SNPs where genetic variance is explained by the variable lineage. (D) RDA on 423,652 CpGs where epigenetic variance is explained by the variable lineage. Blue circles represent dwarf individuals (DD), red squares represent normal individuals (NN), dark purple down-triangles represent normal ♀-dwarf hybrids (ND), and pale purple up-triangles represent dwarf ♀-normal hybrids (DN). (E) Distribution of CpG according to their methylation level for pure and hybrid whitefish groups represented by the same color used above. (F) Pairwise individual correlation between CpG methylation levels. The tree was constructed using UPGMA methods, and the correlation matrix of level of methylation was observed among individuals.

Unlike our study, a better relationship between lineages and epigenomic rather than genomic variation is generally observed in wild populations (25, 26). Thus, the higher association between lineages and genomic variation observed in our study suggests that the experimental conditions control for environmental effects on epigenomic variation. Furthermore, we observed a pattern of high methylation across the genome (around 80%), which is similar to previous reports in other vertebrates (27–29). We also observed a pronounced pairwise individual correlations at CpG sites. These two observations suggest that laboratory conditions did not cause an important stress generating stochastic variation in DNA methylation. Overall, this suggests that the variation we observed in whitefish methylome is reliable to address questions about the interplay between DNA methylation and TEs.

Different DNA methylation of loci enriched in TEs between whitefish species

We found a total of 7272 CpGs with methylation differentiation over 20% that differentiates the two whitefish species. In addition to global epigenomic variation pointed out above, this indicates an important methylation differentiation between dwarf and normal whitefish and supports the hypothesis of a distinct evolution of species methylome since their origin some 15,000 generations ago. In turn, this could contribute to phenotypic differentiation and postzygotic isolation based on the documented impact of DNA methylation on gene expression, DNA mutation rates, and TE silencing (10–12, 30). Furthermore, enrichment of Gypsy, REX, L2, and Tc1-Mariner TE superfamilies was present within each CpG category differentiating the two species. Moreover, our results show an overrepresentation of differently methylated CpGs in transcripts harboring these TE superfamilies, which may reflect both differentiation of their methylome and differentiation between dwarf and normal whitefish species associated to TE silencing during the speciation process. TE variation in activities, abundance, and diversity has often been associated with speciation events, and this is particularly true in fish evolution where their genomes show the highest diversity of TE superfamilies in vertebrates (31–34). Specifically, Gypsy, REX, L2, and Tc1-Mariner superfamilies show distinct activities throughout the evolutionary history of fish diversification, including salmonids (31–34). Given that regulation of DNA methylation is a major mechanism of TE silencing (10–12), specific pattern of DNA methylation on TEs suggests a first step than can potentially lead to TE derepression in hybrids and associated postzygotic isolation.

Hypomethylation of TEs in hybrids

We predicted that if TE derepression is caused by DNA methylation deregulation in hybrid, then we should observe an enrichment in
TEs in a category of CpGs showing high methylation common to both parental species, but hypomethylation in hybrids. Here, we show that CpGs showing high methylation in both parental species and reduced methylation in hybrids are significantly enriched in Gypsy and REX TE superfamilies. This corroborates the observation of a transcriptional up-regulation of Gypsy and REX TE superfamilies that we previously reported in whitefish malformed hybrids (12). We propose that DNA hypomethylation is a likely molecular mechanism by which TEs are transcriptionally released, which may, in turn, contribute to postzygotic isolation by causing genomewide gene deregulation, as reported previously (14, 22).

Pronounced aneuploidy caused by mitotic and meiotic instability was also previously documented in whitefish hybrids (20). In marsupial hybrids from two different genera, global DNA hypomethylation patterns and TE derepression are associated with chromosomal remodeling (6). Wasp hybrids show TE derepression causing aneuploidy in the form of chromosome fragmentation (35). In addition, bursts of Gypsy TEs in supernumerary chromosome were observed in cyprinid speciation (36). In the light of those previous studies and considering the capacity of active TEs to affect chromosome structure (6, 8, 9), we propose that hybrid aneuploidy in lake whitefish may also be associated with TE hypomethylation.

**CONCLUSION**
Overall, our results point to (i) distinct evolution of TE silencing between dwarf and normal species and (ii) a role of DNA hypomethylation of TEs in hybrid dysfunction previously documented at the morphological, chromosomal, and transcriptional levels. Methylation patterns of the Gypsy and REX superfamilies were found to differ between whitefish species and be hypomethylated in hybrids relative to both parental forms. Thus, we propose that methylation of Gypsy and REX superfamilies contributes to the postzygotic isolation of these two nascent species. Next steps will be to (i) test for an amplification...
of DNA hypomethylation in Gypsy, REX, and other TEs in malformed backcross as expected by the BDM model, (ii) screen for mutations near TEs and inside the piRNA pathway proteins to identify sources of divergence underlying postzygotic isolation, and (iii) confirm that DNA hypomethylation affects TE activity in hybrids. Hence, this study supports a role of TE methylation reprogramming in hybrids as a mechanism of postzygotic barrier between nascent animal species. It also contributes to our global understanding on the role of the interplay between TEs and DNA methylation in speciation and adds to the growing evidence regarding their importance in evolutionary biology.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study design**

This study aimed at testing the hypothesis of TE enrichment in DNA methylation differentiation between nascent animal species and its role as a postzygotic isolation mechanism associated with TE derepression in hybrids. To this end, we produced a Reduced Representation Bisulfite Sequencing library of two species of lake whitefish and their reciprocal hybrids, all raised in a common garden. We first tested for TE enrichment in DNA methylation differentiation between species to support a distinct evolution of TE silencing. Then, we tested for TE enrichment associated to a hypermethylation pattern in parental lineages in comparison to hybrids to support a role of DNA demethylation on TE derepression and associated postzygotic isolation. We annotated the lake whitefish transcriptome (18) with the zebrafish Repbase database (24) before testing for enrichment. All protocols were approved by Université Laval’s animal care committee (Protocol 82178).

**Common garden and sample collection**

Crosses were produced from parents originating from Témiscouata Lake (dwarf whitefish, Acadian lineage, 47°36′N, 68°45′W) and Aylmer Lake (normal whitefish, Atlantic lineage, 45°50′N, 71°26′W) in Québec, Canada, that were caught in November 2012. Artificial fertilization was conducted in the Laboratoire de Recherche en Sciences Aquatiques (Université Laval). Gametes from multiple females and males were mixed: The dwarf crosses were produced from 7 females and 12 males, the normal crosses from 5 females and 8 males, the ND crosses from 5 normal females and 12 dwarf males, and the DN crosses from 3 dwarf females and 2 normal males. The crosses were raised 5 years in a common garden, which consisted of freshwater tanks with a flow through system under identical temperatures, photoperiod, and diet. The livers of six individuals per group (total of 24) were sampled for subsequent analyses in spring 2017, a nonreproductive period of the species to mitigating putative epigenetic remodeling linked to sexual maturation.

**DNA extraction and reduced representation bisulfite sequencing library preparation**

The RRBS (Reduced Representation Bisulfite Sequencing) library preparation procedure was based on Gu et al. (37). DNeasy blood and tissue kit columns for the extraction of genomic DNA (gDNA) were used following the protocol guidelines (QIAGEN), and DNA quantification was calibrated with Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA (Fluoroskan Ascent FL; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Restriction enzyme Msp I was used to digest gDNA (600 ng), and magnetic beads (volume ratio, 1.8×) and 80% ethanol were used to clean and rinse twice the solution. Solution containing Klenow fragments (5 U; New England BioLabs Inc.) and dNTPs (0.5 mM dATP, 0.05 mM dGTP, 0.05 mM dCTP)
were used for end-repair and A-tailing steps with an incubation step (30°C for 30 min and 37°C for 20 min). Magnetic beads (ratio 1.8x) and 80% ethanol were used to clean and rinse twice the solution. A master mix solution containing the T4 ligase buffer (1x; New England BioLabs Inc.) and a T4 ligase (2000 U) was used to ligate NEXTflex illumina DNA barcodes (Bio Scientific) during an overnight incubation at 16°C. Magnetic beads (ratio 1x) and 80% ethanol were used to clean and rinse the solution. Two rinses with magnetic beads (first wash ratio 0.7x and beads were discarded, second wash ratio 0.15x) were used for capturing specific fragments with lengths ranging from 200 to 400 base pairs (bp). A bisulfite conversion treatment was then conducted following EZ DNA Methylation-Gold Kit protocol recommendations (Zymo Research). Library quality and quantity were verified on a HiSense DNA Bioanalyzer 2100 chip (Agilent) and with Quant-iT PicoGreen assays (Fluoroskan Ascent FL; Thermo Labsystems), respectively. Libraries were sequenced on a HiSeq 2000 platform (five individuals by lane) at the McGill University and Génome Québec Innovation Centre (Montréal, QC) using a 100-bp single-end read approach.

**Methylation and SNP calling**

The software Cutadapt (38) implemented in Trim_Galore! v0.4.1 (www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/) was first used to trim RRBS reads. After quality filtering and sample quality check, we removed one sample from the ND hybrid group that had low coverage (Methylkit “GetCoverageStats” function) and was identified as an outlier in a principal components analysis (PCA). Bismark v0.14.5 aligner (39) and its function “methyl extraction” were used on trimmed reads for a de novo alignment and extracting methylation information of cytosine in a CpG context. Only CpGs with a minimum coverage of 10x that do not show C-T DNA polymorphism in RAD sequencing (530,710 SNPs) were kept for subsequent analyses. In this way, variation in observed patterns of methylation cannot be confounded with C-T DNA polymorphism. Bisulfites sequencing estimates DNA methylation by converting non-methylcytosine to uridine, which is then converted to thymine through methylation cannot be confounded with C-T DNA polymorphism. Bisulfites sequencing estimates DNA methylation by converting non-methylcytosine to uridine, which is then converted to thymine through DNA methylation differentiation between groups. The Methylkit R package was used to retain the CpGs showing at least 20% of difference between groups (A, normal versus dwarf species; B, pure species versus hybrid crosses), with a q value <0.001. For the comparisons between pure species and their hybrids, we also verified that at least 20% of difference was observed between all of the following comparisons: dwarf versus DN hybrid, dwarf versus ND hybrid, normal versus DN hybrid, and normal versus ND hybrid. These additional filtering steps were performed to avoid cases of CpG differentiation caused by (i) differentiation between dwarf and normal species, where hybrids show more similarities to one species, and (ii) differentiation between DN hybrids and all other individuals, as shown by overall genomic variation in Fig. 1A. When more than one CpG showing differentiation higher than 20% was present on a single locus, we verified the directionality of these differentiation and removed loci that showed incongruence among CpGs, for instance, if one CpG was hypomethylated and another was hypermethylated. We found that 1319 (98.7%) of the 1337 loci in the pure dwarf versus normal comparison with two CpGs or more varied in the same direction, whereas all of the 25 loci identified between pure parental species and hybrids were found (binomial tests; both P < 0.001), thus indicating high congruence of nearby DNA methylation. Heatmap of the percentage of DNA methylation at differentially methylated CpG sites for each individual was conducted with the function “HeatmapAnnotation” of the R package ComplexHeatmap (45). Clustering of CpGs was based on a k-means where the number of groups was selected after considering the within-group sum of squares statistics (44). We tested for significant difference in the level average variance of methylation between groups among CpGs of each of the four k-means categories. Because average near 0 and 1 of a frequency variable is likely to produce smaller variance, we corrected the variance of the methylation level of a CpG by dividing it with the maximum variance, which is “s x (1-s),” where s is the mean methylation level. Last, TE annotation was performed by a
Reference transcriptome, annotations, alignments, and enrichment test

We used a previously built reference transcriptome (53,104 contigs with an N50 of 1.77 kbp) (18). Briefly, raw liver RNA sequencing data from six normal and six dwarf individuals from wild populations (Cliff Lake) were cleaned and trimmed using Trimmomatic v0.36 (46). Individuals from Cliff Lake sympatric species pair are representative of their ancestral allopatric background (15). We de novo assembled a composite reference transcriptome for the two species, using Trinity v2.2.0 (47). We kept only contigs with an open reading frame longer than 300 bp and only the longest isoforms. We applied a scaling factor of one transcript per million to normalized raw read counts for subsequent gene expression analysis.

We then used a blastx approach against the zebrafish (D. rerio) Repbase database (24) to annotate in TEs the lake whitefish reference transcriptome. Briefly, we used Repbase as reference database to identify repetitive DNA elements in the built composite transcriptome and transfer the annotation information based on sequence similarities. Matches against the databases with an e-value below 1 × 10⁻⁶ were discarded, allowing the identification of TEs in our transcriptome based on sequence similarities.

We thus aligned RADtags from methylation data against the annotated reference transcriptome using blast v2.6.0 (48) to physically link and annotate studied loci. Matches with an e-value below 1 × 10⁻⁶ were discarded. Moreover, we applied a stringent filtering step by keeping only one transcript per differently methylated locus, allowing to directly associate CpGs to transcripts (i.e., gene sequence or TEs). Enrichment was conducted after removing any duplicated transcript using one-sided Fisher exact tests (FDR = 0.05). Tests were produced for all TEs, and all specific TE superfamilies were encountered among all differently methylated loci.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed, and graphs were drawn using the R v3.4.1 software (R Core Team; www.r-project.org). Correction for multiple tests using FDR was applied for testing CpGs differently methylated and TE enrichment. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

View/request a protocol for this paper from Bio-protocol.
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