Association of Leisure Activities With Cognitive Impairment and Dementia in Older Adults in Colombia: A SABE-Based Study
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Observational and interventional studies suggest that participation in leisure activities may help protect against cognitive decline in older people. This study aimed to examine the association between participation in leisure activities and cognitive impairment in older adults in Colombia. Data for this study were derived from the Colombian National Survey of Aging (SABE 2015), a cross-sectional survey with a sample size of 23,694 older adults representing the total population (mean age, 70.8 years; 57.3% females). Cognitive impairment was classified as cognitive impairment without dementia (CIWD) and dementia, according to the revised version of the Folstein Mini-Mental State Examination and the Lawton and Brody functional scale. Leisure activities were evaluated using six items of a questionnaire. Sex-stratified multinomial regression models were used to analyze the association of leisure activities with CIWD and dementia after adjusting for educational attainment, literacy, and other potential confounders. In adjusted models for men, leisure activities in later life were associated with a decreased risk of CIWD (odds ratio [OR], 0.73; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.68–0.78) and dementia (OR, 0.52; 95% CI, 0.48–0.58). For women, leisure activities in later life were associated with a decreased risk of CIWD (OR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.66–0.78) and dementia (OR, 0.48; 95% CI, 0.43–0.53). The findings suggest that greater participation in leisure activities in later life may act as a protective factor against CIWD and dementia among older adults in Colombia, independent of educational attainment and literacy.
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INTRODUCTION

With a rise in global life expectancy, the prevalence of dementia is rising. A new diagnosis of dementia is reported every 3 s. Currently, the disease affects more than 50 million people globally (1), 50% of those living in middle- and low-income countries. It is expected that this number will rise to 63% in 2030 and 68% in 2050 (2), with the Americas being one of the most affected regions with increasing prevalence and incidence rates of dementia (3).

Several protective factors for dementia have been described in the literature (4). Leisure activities, a term that refers to a range of tasks and activities outside work-related activities is
a promising factor for dementia prevention (5). Leisure activities can have a multidimensional profile, manifesting mental, social, and physical involvement (6). These activities are attractive, pleasant, and motivating to the individual and are more likely to be sustained over time (7, 8). Leisure activities usually constitute a relatively large part of daily life post-retirement and may provide mental stimulation, social engagement, and physical activity (6). Systematic reviews have found evidence suggesting that participation in leisure activities might significantly contribute to the prevention of later-life cognitive decline as a risk-preventing factor (5, 9). The most common categories associated with the preventive effect of leisure activities are cognitive, physical, and social activities (5, 10–12). Despite these benefits, there is a lack of standard definitions, measures, and methods for studying the role of leisure activities and their protective effects.

The most common explanatory mechanism for the preventive effect of leisure activities is that of the cognitive reserve theory (5, 13). The cognitive reserve theory suggests that innate intelligence or life experiences such as educational or occupational attainments may supply a reserve in the form of skill sets or repertoires that empower the brain to tolerate atrophies and insults and, as a result, allow some people to cope with progressing dementia better than others and delay symptom onset (14, 15). The cognitive reserve cannot be observed or directly measured, and proxy measures such as education, premorbid intelligence (IQ), linguistic ability, and occupational complexity are often used (14). Several studies have proposed that engagement in leisure activities may result in more functionally efficient or resilient cognitive networks or in recruiting alternate networks, providing a cognitive reserve that prevents or delays the onset of dementia (16–18). In this regard, it is unclear whether a high cognitive reserve delays symptoms onset, but any noticeable delay, for example, 1 or 2 years, would translate into tremendous public health benefits by reducing the prevalence of dementia in the population (14). While characteristics such as education and intelligence are relatively stable from young adulthood, there is increasing interest in the role of leisure activities in building up a cognitive reserve (14).

Although maintaining or building a cognitive reserve is a possible mechanism for dementia prevention (5, 9, 14), culture, poverty, and inequality are key obstacles to, and drivers of, the need for changes concerning the cognitive reserve. Sections of the society that are most deprived of basic needs, like low and middle income countries (LMIC), require these changes and will greatly benefit from them (4). It has been suggested that a low socioeconomic status (SES) is associated with less access to physical (19) and cognitive stimulating activities (20). In Latin America, low levels of education, high rates of brain injury, poor diet, a sedentary lifestyle, and a high risk of cardiovascular diseases are among the main risk factors behind the rapid growth in the number of people with dementia (21); however, there is a high potential for dementia prevention in the region (4, 22). Thus, there is an urgent need for dementia prevention policies in Latin America to reduce the burden and economic costs of dementia in the region (23–25).

In this study, we detail the association between participation in leisure activities and the risk of developing cognitive impairment without dementia (CIWD), or dementia, using the data from the Colombian National Survey of Aging (SABE 2015) (26). There are few papers focusing on cognitive outcomes from Colombian SABE, the effect of education in early life and the probability of cognitive impairment in later life in Colombia has been recently published (27) as well as the role of gait speed in dementia (28) and the mediating effect of physical fitness on cognitive functioning (29). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to analyze this topic in Colombia using this dataset.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We conducted a secondary data analysis of a cross-sectional study using data from SABE Colombia 2015. SABE Colombia 2015 is the first study in Colombia representative of the national population of those aged at least 60 years. Individuals for SABE Colombia were selected following a multistage area probability sample design with a total sample size of 23,694 participants from 244 municipalities (urban and rural). Data collection took place between April and September 2015 (26). This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Universidad de los Andes, code ID 1114/2019.

The dependent variable was cognitive impairment, as measured in SABE 2015. This survey used the revised version of the Folstein Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), a validated international scale translated to Spanish (30). A cut-off point of 12 or less indicated cognitive impairment, while a score of 13 or above was normal (26). For dementia, functional impairment was evaluated using four items of the Lawton and Brody functional scale (31); phone use, transport use, handling medicines, and management of money. The lack of functionality for doing at least two of the four activities was defined as dependence and indication of dementia (32). If functional impairment was not detected, participants were classified as CIWD.

Independent variables were educational attainment, literacy (reading and writing), and participation in leisure activities. Educational attainment was established based on the 11 categories reported by SABE 2015. We classified participants into three groups in accordance with their educational qualifications: those who had an educational qualification lower than completion of primary school, those who had completed primary school, and those who had sought further qualifications after completion of high school. Literacy was reported using a yes/no question. Using an 18-item questionnaire reported by SABE 2015, we constructed a 6-item continuous variable for participation in leisure activities, among which five items were considered cognitively challenging (reading, solving math problems, solving puzzles, tabletop games, attending classes or courses) and one item that included physical activity.

Confounding variables included were age in years, area of residence (urban, rural), marital status (married/with a partner, separated/widower, single), living alone (yes/no), health insurance (subsidized, contribute, no affiliation), antecedent of forced displacement during life (yes/no), country region (residence in one of the six geographic areas of Colombia: Atlantic, Oriental, Orinoquia and Amazonia, Bogotá, Central,
TABLE 1 | Descriptive analyses.

| Variable                | n   | %   |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|
| **Cognition**           |     |     |
| Normal                  | 19.004 | 80.2 |
| Cognitive Impairment Without Dementia (CIWD) | 2.109 | 8.9 |
| Dementia                | 2.581 | 10.8 |
| **Residence Area**      |     |     |
| Urban                   | 17.189 | 72.5 |
| Rural                   | 6.505 | 27.4 |
| **Country Region**      |     |     |
| Atlantic                | 6.202 | 26.1 |
| Oriental                | 3.583 | 15.1 |
| Orinoquia and Amazonia  | 1.394 | 5.8 |
| Bogotá                  | 2.003 | 8.45 |
| Central                 | 6.351 | 26.8 |
| Pacific                 | 4.161 | 17.5 |
| **Sex**                 |     |     |
| Men                     | 10.112 | 42.6 |
| Women                   | 13.582 | 57.3 |
| **Marriage Status**     |     |     |
| Married/With a Partner  | 12.557 | 53  |
| Separated/Widower       | 8.456 | 35.7 |
| Single                  | 2.671 | 11.2 |
| **Living Alone**        |     |     |
| Yes                     | 2.201 | 9.2 |
| No                      | 21.493 | 90.7 |
| **Health Affiliation**  |     |     |
| Subsidized              | 14.160 | 59.82 |
| Contribute              | 8.998 | 38.01 |
| No affiliation          | 512 | 2.16 |
| **Skin Color**          |     |     |
| Light                   | 11.465 | 48.3 |
| Medium                  | 8.706 | 36.7 |
| Dark                    | 3.523 | 14.8 |
| **Pension**             |     |     |
| Yes                     | 1.589 | 6.7 |
| No                      | 21.97 | 93.2 |
| **Lifetime Occupation** |     |     |
| Manual or dependent worker | 15.061 | 64.89 |
| Boss or independent worker | 5.131 | 22.11 |
| None                    | 3.018 | 13  |
| **Income**              |     |     |
| <1 minimum wage         | 13.468 | 68.7 |
| Between 1 and 2 minimum wage | 5074 | 25.8 |
| More than 2 minimum wage | 1.061 | 5.4 |
| **Physical Capital Tercile** |     |     |
| 1                       | 10.062 | 42.5 |
| 2                       | 7.482 | 31.6 |
| 3                       | 6.15 | 25.9 |
| **Educational Attainment** |   |     |
| Less than primary school | 14.778 | 62.6 |
| Completed primary school | 6.325 | 26.8 |
| More than high school    | 2.498 | 10.5 |

(Continued)

| Variable                      | n   | %   |
|-------------------------------|-----|-----|
| Literacy (Writing)            |     |     |
| No                            | 18.523 | 78.2 |
| Yes                           | 5.149 | 21.7 |
| Literacy (Reading)            |     |     |
| No                            | 18.264 | 77.1 |
| Yes                           | 5.407 | 22.8 |

Pacific), skin color (light, medium, dark), pension (yes/no), lifetime occupation (manual or dependent worker, boss or independent worker, none), salary (<1 minimum wage, between 1 and 2 minimum wages, more than 2 minimum wages), and physical capital tercile (1–3).

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria
The present study included the total SABE survey sample: participants aged 60 years and above, non-institutionalized, capable of communicating with the research team and able to provide written informed consent. If the MMSE was below 13 a proxy interview was developed (26).

Participants with missing data for leisure activities participation were excluded from this study.

Statistical Analyses
Descriptive analyses of data were conducted using frequencies and percentages for qualitative variables and means and standard deviations for quantitative variables. Unadjusted odds ratio (OR) was calculated using multinomial regression logistic analyses for dependent and independent variables. Multicollinearity was test using variance inflation factor (VIF). Finally, sex-stratified multinomial regression models were used to examine the association of leisure activities with CI and dementia after adjusting for educational attainment, literacy, and other potential confounders. Results are presented as OR with 95% confidence intervals. All analyses were performed using STATA release 16® (StataCorp LP, College Station, USA).

RESULTS
The sample size of the survey was 23,694 participants, 31 participants with missing data for leisure activities were excluded from this study, the final sample size was 23.663 with a mean age of 70.82 years (standard deviation [SD] 8.20); 57.3% were women. Most participants had an educational qualification lower than completion of primary school (62.6%), followed by those who had completed primary school (26.8%) and sought further qualifications after completion of high school (10.5%). Literacy was reported as 78.2 and 77.1% for reading and writing, respectively. The prevalence of CIWD was 8.9 and 10.8% for dementia (Table 1). The mean number of leisure activities participated in was 2.02 (SD 1.59) by those with normal
cognition, 0.93 (SD 1.17) by those with CIWD, and 0.51 (SD 0.86) by those with dementia (Figure 1).

Unadjusted OR estimation showed that people who had an educational qualification lower than the completion of primary school were more likely to develop CIWD (OR 10.18; CI 7.33–14.15) and dementia (OR 8.54; CI 6.52–11.17) than those who had sought further educational qualifications after high school. Reading and writing illiteracy were associated with a higher likelihood of having either CIWD (OR 4.70, CI 4.28–5.17) and dementia (OR 6.43, CI 5.90–7.02) than those who had literacy skills. Participation in leisure activities was a protective factor against CIWD and dementia (OR 0.56, CI 0.54–0.59; OR 0.36, CI 0.34–0.38, respectively) (Table 2).

Analysis of multicollinearity showed high correlation between reading and writing literacy, the former was removed from the multinomial regression models. Table 3 shows the results for sex-stratified multinomial regression models adjusted for potential confounders. In model 1, those who had an educational qualification lower than the completion of primary school (OR 7.27, CI 4.19–12.61) as well as those who had completed primary school (OR 3.02, CI 1.73–5.30) were significantly more likely to have CIWD than their counterparts with higher educational qualifications. Similar results were found for the association between those who had an educational qualification lower than the completion of primary school (OR 3.30, CI 2.06–5.28) and dementia in comparison with those who had the highest level of education. Model 2 for CIWD persisted with the association for those who had an educational qualification lower than the completion of primary school (OR 3.70, CI 2.12–6.47) and those who had completed primary school (OR 2.65, CI 1.51–4.64). Leisure activities were associated with a decreased risk of CIWD (OR 0.73, CI 0.68–0.78). For dementia, in model 2, the effect of educational attainment disappeared, and inability to read was significantly associated with greater risk (OR 2.77, CI 2.29–3.34). Participation in leisure activities decreased the risk of dementia (OR 0.52, CI 0.48–0.58).

In adjusted models for men, model 1 for CIWD showed significant results for those who had an educational qualification lower than the completion of primary school (OR 4.57, CI 2.71–7.70) and those who had completed primary school (OR 2.47, CI 1.45–4.21). For dementia, results were significant for those who had an educational qualification lower than the completion of primary school (OR 2.19, CI 1.38–3.48).
TABLE 3 | Multinomial sex-stratified regression models.

| Variable                  | Cognitive impairment without dementia | Dementia | Cognitive impairment without dementia | Dementia |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|----------|
|                           | Model 1<sup>#</sup> | Model 2<sup>#</sup> | Model 1<sup>#</sup> | Model 2<sup>#</sup> | Model 1<sup>#</sup> | Model 2<sup>#</sup> |
|                           | OR CI 95%           | OR CI 95% | OR CI 95%           | OR CI 95% | OR CI 95%           | OR CI 95%           |
| Educational Attainment    |                      |          |                      |          |                      |          |
| Less than primary school  | 7.27*** (4.19–12.61) | 3.70*** (2.12–6.47) | 3.30*** (2.08–5.28) | 1.23 (0.74–2.03) | 4.57*** (2.71–7.70) | 2.42** (1.41–4.14) |
| Completed primary school  | 3.02*** (1.73–5.30)  | 2.65** (1.51–4.64) | 1.15 (0.71–1.87)    | 0.98 (0.59–1.64) | 2.47** (1.45–4.21) | 2.20** (1.28–3.77) |
| More than high school     | REF                  | REF      | REF                  | REF      | REF                  | REF      |
| Literacy (Reading)        |                      |          |                      |          |                      |          |
| No                        | 2.28*** (1.90–2.73)  | 2.77** (2.29–3.34) | 1.94*** (1.57–2.41) | 1.68*** (1.34–2.11) |                      |          |
| Yes                       | REF                  | REF      | REF                  | REF      | REF                  | REF      |
| Leisure Activities        | 0.73*** (0.68–0.78)  | 0.52*** (0.48–0.58) | 0.72*** (0.66–0.78) | 0.48*** (0.43–0.53) |                      |          |

<sup>#</sup> Adjusted for age, area, marital status, living partners, health affiliation, displacement, country region, race, occupation, salary, and physical assets.

p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

CI, Confidence Interval.

of primary school (OR 3.46, CI 1.97–6.07). Model 2 for CIWD persisted with the association for those who had an educational qualification lower than the completion of primary school (OR 2.42, CI 1.41–4.14) and those who had completed primary school (OR 2.20, CI 1.28–3.77) and was significant for the inability to read (OR 1.94, CI 1.57–2.41). Leisure activities were associated with a decreased risk of CIWD (OR 0.72, CI 0.66–0.78). For dementia, in model 2, the effect of educational attainment disappeared; results for reading literacy were significant (OR 1.90–2.73). The ability to read provides the means to acquire and structure new knowledge for language skills and reinforces working memory, visual memory, visuospatial processing, and visuomotor skills (40–42). Our results indicate that an inability to read was associated with a higher risk of dementia independent of educational attainment for women; contradictory results have been found in the literature (39, 43).

**DISCUSSION**

This study’s main objective was to investigate the effect of participation in leisure activities on reducing the risk of developing CIWD or dementia in Colombian older adults. We found that for CIWD, participation in leisure activities is linked with educational attainment as well as the ability to read. For dementia, participation in leisure activities in later life might impart a protective effect independent of educational attainment for men and women.

In this sample, more than two-thirds of the participants had low educational attainment. Low educational attainment has been associated with a higher risk of dementia and CIWD (33, 34). Our results suggest that when adjusted for leisure activities, the effect of education is significant for CIWD but not for dementia. Education attainment is an essential factor for cognitive reserve building (35); nonetheless, cognitive reserve is not only determined by education but also by other lifestyle factors in which leisure activities are included (4, 36). In individuals with CIWD, educational attainment has been shown to affect intellectual development during the entire adult life span. However, it was not associated with the cognitive decline rate, in contrast with mid/late-life cognitive activities, which was beneficial and delayed the onset of dementia (37). Previous studies had shown the protective effect of cognitive reserve factors such as education and literacy in LMIC (38). Our study contributes with evidence from this region on the potential role of leisure activities on cognitive reserve building, but further research is needed to clarify this association.

Illiteracy was associated as an independent risk factor in non-adjusted analysis for both CIWD and dementia in previous findings (39). Our study included illiteracy while evaluating writing and reading abilities separately; even though the effect of this difference is not known, it is presumed that acquiring one aspect of literacy over the other could lead to differential dementia risks (39). The ability to read provides the means to acquire and structure new knowledge for language skills and reinforces working memory, visual memory, visuospatial processing, and visuomotor skills (40–42). Our results indicate that an inability to read was associated with a higher risk of dementia independent of educational attainment for women; contradictory results have been found in the literature (39, 43).

The study findings suggest that greater participation in leisure activities in later life may be a protective factor against CIWD and dementia among older adults in Colombia after adjusting for education attainment, illiteracy, and other socioeconomic factors covariates. Previous studies have shown the protective effect of participation in leisure activities with a reduced risk of CIWD and dementia (9) and that the relationship between leisure activities and cognition is not driven by educational attainment (44) or SES (45). In contrast to these findings, a recent study based on longitudinal follow-up failed to support that leisure activity participation can lower the risk of dementia but instead suggested that
reduction in activity participation indicates possible prodromal dementia (46).

This study has several limitations. First, the study was based on a yes/no self-report questionnaire and frequency, intensity, or quality of the activities was measured. Thus, it is not possible to elucidate the underlying mechanisms for the protective effect described here (12). A study found that participation in several activities with varying cognitive complexity levels was a better predictor of cognitive impairment than increased activity frequency (47). We need to know the amount and frequency that generates a protective effect to be able to design a suitable intervention. Second, although gender, demographic, and SES covariates were adjusted, other known risk factors for dementia such as hypertension, diabetes, stroke, and depression were not included (48). In a cohort of Swedish older adults, moderate to high engagement levels in mental, social, and physical leisure activities were associated with a dramatically decreased risk of dementia in people with diabetes (49). Third, education was measured by years of school completed, and there was no measure of the quality of education. Higher literacy may be a more sensitive marker of cognitive reserve than higher education (50); thus we cannot rule out the possibility that leisure activities’ protective effect is dependent on education. Further research is needed to clarify the association between education, leisure activities, and cognitive impairment. Furthermore, data from this study comes from a cross-sectional survey; long term, population-based or representative cohort studies are needed to estimate with more precision the role of lifestyle choices like leisure activities might play in reducing dementia risk (51).

Despite these limitations, this is the first study that demonstrates the protective effect of leisure activities using a survey representative of the Colombian population to the best of our knowledge. The public health implications from this finding are related to the importance of increasing late-life participation in leisure activities, their potential role in late-life cognition, and its benefits in people with and without cognitive impairment (52). Nevertheless, further research is needed to determine the amount of exposure, intervention period, and frequency for designing an effective intervention (53). The available research evidence suggests that it is not too late to increase physical and cognitive activity in old age (14) and policymaking for dementia primary prevention needs evidence for non-pharmacological interventions aiming to increase cognitive reserve (54). More research is required to establish more reliable conclusions for dementia preventive factors and potential interventions.
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