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Bactericidal effects of deep ultraviolet light-emitting diode for solutions during intravenous infusion
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Abstract

Background: Ultraviolet irradiation is effectively used as a disinfection method for inactivating microorganisms.

Methods: We investigated the bactericidal effects by irradiation with a deep-ultraviolet light-emitting diode (DUV-LED) on the causative microorganisms of catheter related blood stream infection contaminating the solution for intravenous infusion. For irradiation, prototype modules for water disinfection with a DUV-LED were used. Experiments were conducted on five kinds of microorganisms. We examined the dependence of bactericidal action on eleven solutions. Administration sets were carried out three types.

Results: When the administration set JY-PB343L containing the infusion tube made of polybutadiene was used, the bactericidal action of the DUV-LED against all tested microorganisms in the physiological saline solutions was considered to be effective. We confirmed that the number of viable bacteria decreased in 5% glucose solution and electrolyte infusions with DUV-LED irradiation.

Conclusions: These results indicate that the DUV-LED irradiation has bactericidal effects in glucose infusion and electrolyte infusions by irradiating via a plasticizer-free polybutadiene administration set. We consider DUV-LED irradiation to be clinically applicable.
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Introduction

Catheter related blood stream infection (CRBSI) is defined as the presence of bacteremia originating from a peripheral vein catheter or a central venous catheter, and results in systemic infection. There are reports of surveillance and research on CRBSI caused by peripheral venous catheters and central venous catheters [1-6]. Routes for contamination of catheters are recognized as follows: direct contamination of the catheter or catheter hub by contact with hands contaminated with fluids or devices, and infusate contamination rarely leads to CRBSI [7].

As a countermeasure against CRBSI infection, care of the catheter hub is important [8-10], and hand hygiene and preparation under aseptic conditions are required [7]. Preparation of infusion fluid should be done under aseptic conditions because microbial contamination is one of the risks of bloodstream infection. However, in Japan, there are few hospitals where pharmacists prepare all infusions under aseptic conditions [11], and nurses often prepare infusions in wards not under aseptic conditions [12]. The nutrients contained in the infusion become a nutrient source for microorganisms. Bacillus megaterium and Bacillus cereus require amino acids for growth [13]. It is reported that B cereus, Serratia marcescens, Staphylococcus aureus and Candida albicans can grow in PPN solutions with or without lipid emulsion and multivitamins [14]. Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and S
marcescens were reported to grow in electrolyte solution without glucose [15]. If microorganisms are mixed into the nutrient solutions, they can grow with amino acids, glucose and fatty acids in the solutions as a nutrition source, and lead to infection.

Ultraviolet (UV) irradiation is one of the physical methods of disinfection, and its bactericidal effects were reported [16-21]. For infection control, the effects of the pulsed xenon UV disinfection system for reduction of healthcare-associated pathogens in hospital rooms [22-24] and the effects of the stereotactic UV irradiating pass box have been demonstrated [25]. The bactericidal effects of UV irradiation in water have also been evaluated [26-27] and used in the industry.

Recently, deep ultraviolet light-emitting diodes (DUV-LEDs), which are underway in research and development, are battery-powered, compact and mercury free. DUV-LEDs are expected to be applied to a wide range of fields such as sterilization, air purification and resin curing. We investigated the bactericidal effects of irradiation with a DUV-LED that is compact and easy-to-use for causative microorganisms of CRBSI contamination during intravenous infusion.

Materials and Methods

The DUV-LED irradiation system

For the DUV-LED irradiation system, the prototype module for water disinfection developed by NIKKISO CO., LTD. (Tokyo) was used. Figure 1 is an illustration of the DUV-LED irradiation module. The device operates at 24 VDC and contains 9 DUV-LEDs. It radiation in the UV spectrum of 275 to 285 nm. The illuminance is 15 mW/cm² when 9 DUV-LEDs are used. The module performs sterilization directly on flowing water and irradiates from one direction. The caliber of the module is 11.5 mm.

Infusion system

The infusion pump TE-131 (TERUMO Corp., Japan) was used to adjust the flow rate. Three kinds of administration sets were used: JY-PB343L (JMS Co., Ltd., Japan), JY-ND323L (JMS Co., Ltd., Japan) and JY-S343C23 (JMS Co., Ltd., Japan). JY-PB343L is made of polybutadiene (BR) and is plasticizer-free. JY-ND323L is made of polyvinyl chloride (PVC) using tris (2-ethylhexyl) trimellitate (TOTM) as a plasticizer. JY-S343C23 (JMS Co., Ltd., Japan) is made of PVC using diethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP) as a plasticizer. All are 2.5 mm in internal diameter and 3.9 mm in outer diameter.

Figure 1. (a) Diagram of the prototype for water disinfection with a deep-ultraviolet light-emitting diode (DUV-LED) irradiation module. A description of the geometry of the module used in the experiments is included. (b) Diagram of the experiments with the DUV-LED irradiation module during intravenous infusion.

http://www.medsci.org
Microorganisms employed

The standard strain was used for each microorganism, *E coli* W3110, *P aeruginosa* PAO001, *S marcescens* NBRC 3046, *S aureus* NBRC 12732 and *C albicans* IFM 40009. *E coli* W3110 and *P aeruginosa* PAO001 were used from laboratory stock. *S aureus* NBRC 12732 and *C albicans* IFM 40009 were obtained from Biological Resource Center, National Institute of Technology and Evaluation. *C albicans* IFM 40009 was obtained from the National BioResource Project (http://www.nbrp.jp/).

Test solutions

The compositions of solutions are shown in Table 1. We used glucose solution, electrolyte solutions, peripheral parenteral nutrition (PPN) solutions and total parenteral nutrition (TPN) solutions. For glucose solution, OTSUKA GLUCOSE INJECTION 5% (Otsuka Pharmaceutical Factory, Inc., Japan) was used. For electrolyte solutions, OTSUKA NORMAL SALINE (Otsuka Pharmaceutical Factory, Inc., Japan), Lactec® (Otsuka Pharmaceutical Factory, Inc., Japan), Veen®-D (Fuso Pharmaceutical Industries, Ltd., Japan), SOLDEM® 1 (TERUMO Corp., Japan) and SOLDEM® 3A (TERUMO Corp., Japan) were used. PPN solutions containing 3% commercial amino acids, 7.5% glucose solution and electrolytes with or without water soluble vitamins were used. They were AMINOFLUID® (Otsuka Pharmaceutical Factory, Inc., Japan) and BFLUID® (Otsuka Pharmaceutical Factory, Inc., Japan). TPN solutions containing 3% commercial amino acids, 17.5% glucose solution and electrolytes with or without multivitamins and trace elements were used. They were PNTWIN® No.2 (Yoshindo Inc., Japan), NEOPAREN® No.2 (Otsuka Pharmaceutical Factory, Inc., Japan) and ELNEOPA® No.2 (Otsuka Pharmaceutical Factory, Inc., Japan).

Culture methods and sampling

All bacteria were inoculated into 5 mL of Luria-Bertani (LB) medium in sterile centrifuge tubes, and incubated at 37°C overnight. *C albicans* was inoculated into 10 mL of Sabouraud broth in a sterile centrifuge tube, and incubated at 37°C. After 12 hours, the cultures were transferred into 200 mL of fresh Sabouraud broth in sterile flasks, and incubated at 37°C overnight. Then, microbial cells were collected and washed with sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) by centrifugation. A specified number of each test microorganism was added to each test solution, and the final microorganism concentration was adjusted to 10⁶ to 10⁷ colony-forming units (CFU)/mL. LB medium for bacteria was made by adding 5 g of yeast extract, 10 g of triptone, and 5 g of sodium chloride to 1 L of pure water. Sabouraud broth for *C albicans* was made by adding 40 g of glucose and 10 g of peptone to 1 L of pure water.

| Test solutions | Glucose | Electrolyte Solution | Amino Acids | Vitamins | Trace Elements |
|----------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------|----------|---------------|
| OTSUKA GLUCOSE INJECTION 5% | ○ | - | - | - | - |
| OTSUKA NORMAL SALINE | - | ○ | - | - | - |
| Lactec® | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veen®-D | ○ | ○ | - | - | - |
| SOLDEM® 1 | ○ | ○ | - | - | - |
| SOLDEM® 3A | ○ | ○ | - | - | - |
| AMINOFLUID® | ○ | ○ | ○ | - | - |
| BFLUID® | ○ | ○ | ○ | - | - |
| PNTWIN® No.2 | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ |
| NEOPAREN® No.2 | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ |
| ELNEOPA® No.2 | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ |

○: the component is contained. ‒: the component is not contained.

Table 1. The compositions of solutions

Enumeration of viable cells

Each test solution sampled was serially diluted ten-fold with phosphate buffer. Each aliquot of the test solution samples for bacteria was spread on Standard agar (PEARLCORE NUTRIENT AGAR ‘Eiken’, Eiken Chemical Co., Ltd., Japan) plates in triple, and fungi was spread on Sabouraud agar plates. After 12 to 24 hours of incubation at 37°C, colonies formed on the plates were counted, and concentration was calculated in solutions. Sabouraud agar for *C albicans* was made by adding 40 g of glucose, 10 g of peptone and 15 g of agar to 1 L of pure water.

Description of DUV-LED irradiation method

The flow rate of the sample solutions was adjusted with an infusion pump. The administration set was passed through the module and irradiated with the DUV-LED via the infusion tube. The flow rate was set at 30, 40, 50, 100 and 200 mL/hr. After conducting the irradiation experiment, the number of viable bacteria in the sample solutions was determined, and it was compared with the initial cell concentration. These experiments were performed at least twice.
Results

The bactericidal effects of DUV-LED with different infusion tubes on several microorganisms

Since the results of the experiments showed similar tendencies, one of them was indicated as the result. Table 2 shows the bactericidal effects of DUV-LED on several microorganisms with different administration sets. The number of viable microorganisms in samples prepared after DUV-LED irradiation was different from that in initial cell controls. In all of the tested microorganisms, the number of viable microorganisms decreased when infusion using JY-PB343L was coupled with DUV-LED irradiation. The viable count of *E. coli* was 1.5×10⁷ CFU/mL without DUV-LED irradiation, but it decreased to 9.9×10⁶ CFU/mL when the infusion flow rate was 200 mL/hr with DUV-LED irradiation. The viable count of *E. coli* decreased to 2.7×10⁵ CFU/mL when the infusion flow rate was 50 mL/hr with DUV-LED irradiation, and further decreased to 4.5×10³ CFU/mL at a flow rate of 30 mL/hr with DUV-LED irradiation. The viable count of *S. aureus* was 2.3×10⁷ CFU/mL without DUV-LED irradiation, and it decreased to 8.7×10⁶ CFU/mL when the infusion flow rate was 30 mL/hr with DUV-LED irradiation. The viable count of *C. albicans*, a fungi, was 1.1×10⁷ CFU/mL without DUV-LED irradiation, and it decreased to 7.5×10⁴ CFU/mL when the infusion flow rate was 30 mL/hr with DUV-LED irradiation. However, the reduction in fungi was lower than in bacteria.

Table 2. Number of viable microorganism after irradiation (CFU)

| Administration sets (infusion tube material) | Flow rate (mL/hr) | *Escherichia coli* W3110 | *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO001 | *Serratia marcescens* NBRC 3046 | *Staphylococcus aureus* NBRC12732 | *Candida albicans* IFM40009 |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| **JY-PB343L** (polybutadiene, plasticizer-free) | C                 | 1.5×10⁷                   | 8.5×10⁵                      | 9.3×10⁶                       | 2.3×10⁷                      | 1.1×10⁸                   |
|                                               | 200               | 9.9×10⁶                   | 8.3×10⁵                      | 3.4×10⁶                       | 1.0×10⁷                      | 1.0×10⁸                   |
|                                               | 100               | 3.4×10⁶                   | 2.1×10⁵                      | 1.4×10⁶                       | 2.0×10⁷                      | 6.0×10⁸                   |
|                                               | 50                | 2.7×10⁶                   | 6.6×10⁴                      | 7.1×10⁵                       | 3.1×10⁷                      | 6.2×10⁸                   |
|                                               | 40                | 1.5×10⁶                   | 6.2×10⁴                      | 1.4×10⁶                       | 1.1×10⁷                      | 1.2×10⁸                   |
|                                               | 30                | 4.5×10⁵                   | 1.2×10⁵                      | 5.1×10⁶                       | 8.7×10⁷                      | 7.5×10⁸                   |
| **JY-ND323L** (polyvinyl chloride, tris (2-ethylhexyl) trimellitate plasticizer) | C                 | 1.1×10⁷                   | 9.7×10⁶                      | 1.2×10⁷                       | 4.1×10⁷                      | 1.3×10⁸                   |
|                                               | 200               | 1.2×10⁷                   | 1.0×10⁷                      | 8.3×10⁶                       | 3.5×10⁷                      | 1.5×10⁸                   |
|                                               | 100               | 1.3×10⁷                   | 7.2×10⁶                      | 8.2×10⁵                       | 3.6×10⁷                      | 1.2×10⁸                   |
|                                               | 50                | 1.4×10⁷                   | 8.9×10⁵                      | 8.5×10⁵                       | 4.2×10⁷                      | 1.0×10⁸                   |
|                                               | 40                | 1.3×10⁷                   | 1.0×10⁷                      | 8.3×10⁵                       | 3.9×10⁷                      | 1.5×10⁸                   |
|                                               | 30                | 1.2×10⁷                   | 9.9×10⁶                      | 8.5×10⁵                       | 3.6×10⁷                      | 9.6×10⁸                   |
| **JY-S343C23** (polyvinyl chloride, diethylhexyl phthalate plasticizer) | C                 | 1.1×10⁷                   | 1.3×10⁷                      | 9.1×10⁶                       | 5.3×10⁷                      | 1.4×10⁸                   |
|                                               | 200               | 9.5×10⁶                   | 1.1×10⁷                      | 9.3×10⁶                       | 5.3×10⁷                      | 1.5×10⁸                   |
|                                               | 100               | 1.0×10⁷                   | 1.2×10⁷                      | 9.3×10⁶                       | 6.0×10⁷                      | 1.7×10⁸                   |
|                                               | 50                | 9.1×10⁶                   | 1.0×10⁷                      | 8.7×10⁶                       | 5.1×10⁷                      | 1.2×10⁸                   |
|                                               | 40                | 1.0×10⁷                   | 1.0×10⁷                      | 1.0×10⁷                       | 5.3×10⁷                      | 1.2×10⁸                   |
|                                               | 30                | 9.6×10⁶                   | 9.2×10⁶                      | 9.4×10⁶                       | 5.4×10⁷                      | 1.5×10⁸                   |

C: indicates the initial cell concentration in samples prepared.

On the other hand, none of the tested microorganisms decreased in number when JY-ND323L or JY-S343C23 was used with DUV-LED irradiation.

The bactericidal effects of DUV-LED with different solutions

Since the results of the experiments showed similar tendencies, one of them was indicated as the result. The bactericidal effects of DUV-LED with different solutions on *E. coli* are shown in Figure 2. The viable count of *E. coli* in samples prepared after DUV-LED irradiation was not different from the initial cell control. When *E. coli* in OTSUKA GLUCOSE INJECTION 5% was irradiated with DUV-LED, *E. coli* decreased post-irradiation. The viable count of *E. coli* was 1.1×10⁰ CFU/mL without DUV-LED irradiation, and it decreased to 5.6×10⁶ CFU/mL when the infusion flow rate was 200 mL/hr with DUV-LED irradiation. It further decreased to 1.6×10⁴ CFU/mL when the infusion flow rate was 30 mL/hr with DUV-LED irradiation. As the flow rate got slower, the viable count of *E. coli* decreased. In the case of experiments using OTSUKA NORMAL SALINE, the viable count of *E. coli* was 1.5×10⁴ CFU/mL without DUV-LED irradiation, and it decreased to 9.9×10⁶ CFU/mL when the infusion flow rate was 30 mL/hr with DUV-LED irradiation. It further decreased to 4.5×10³ CFU/mL when the infusion flow rate was 30 mL/hr with DUV-LED irradiation. Similarly, in other electrolyte infusions, the number of viable bacteria when the infusion flow rate was 30 mL/hr with DUV-LED irradiation compared with the number of viable bacteria without DUV-LED irradiation was found to be decreased by 3 orders of magnitude.
Figure 2. The bactericidal effects of the DUV-LED with different solutions on E. coli. The vertical axis of the graph indicates the number of viable bacteria in the solution. The horizontal axis of the graph indicates the initial cell concentration of viable bacteria in the solution or the flow rate at the time of irradiation. C indicates the initial cell concentration in the samples prepared.
The viable count of *E. coli* in AMINOFLUID®, a PPN solution, was 1.3×10⁷ CFU/mL without DUV-LED irradiation, and it did not decrease to 1.4×10⁷ CFU/mL when the infusion flow rate was 30 mL/hr with DUV-LED irradiation. In BFLUID®, the viable count of *E. coli* was 1.0×10⁷ CFU/mL without DUV-LED irradiation, but when the infusion flow rate was 30 mL/hr with DUV-LED irradiation, it slightly decreased to 7.1×10⁶ CFU/mL. For PNTWIN® No.2, a TPN solution, *E. coli* was 1.1×10⁶ CFU/mL without DUV-LED irradiation, but when the infusion flow rate was 200 mL/hr with DUV-LED irradiation, it decreased to 8.5×10⁵ CFU/mL. When the infusion flow rate was 30 mL/hr with DUV-LED irradiation, it slightly decreased to 4.1×10⁵ CFU/mL. Likewise, with NEOPAREN® No.2 and ELNEOPA® No.2, *E. coli* also decreased slightly.

**Discussion**

UV irradiation is effectively used as a disinfection method for inactivating microorganisms [16-27]. It is used for sterilizing food and medical fields. For the generation of UV light, a mercury lamp is generally used. However, mercury lamps have numerous wavelengths, require a large space, and the radiant heat is also great, such that the environmental burden is heavy. The DUV-LED developed in recent years has a short wavelength (255-350 nm), high sterilizing power among UV lights and it is possible to select a single wavelength. It requires only a small space, is lightweight, is capable of running at low voltage, is excellent in output controllability and high speed response, and can therefore be used conveniently. Thus, we examined the possibility of clinical application as a part of infection control by irradiating infusion lines with DUV-LED, which is installed as a system over infusion lines or in infusion pumps during infusion administration. For irradiation, a prototype module for water disinfection with DUV-LED, which emits a radiation in the UV spectrum of 275 to 285 nm, was used. We investigated the bactericidal effects by irradiation with DUV-LED on the causative microorganisms of CRBSI contaminating the solutions for intravenous infusion.

In order to confirm the effects of sterilization by DUV-LED irradiation during intravenous infusion, we first investigated the dependency on the type of administration set. The number of viable microorganisms in solution was decreased by the DUV-LED irradiation for all of the tested bacteria using the administration set JY-PB343L. On the other hand, even when DUV-LED was employed using other administration sets, the viable count of the tested bacteria did not decrease. Polybutadiene is used in the infusion tube of administration set JY-PB343L and it does not contain a plasticizer. The other infusion tubes in the administration sets contain a plasticizer because they are made of PVC. In JY-ND323L, TOTM is contained as a plasticizer and JY-S343C23 contains DEHP as a plasticizer. In these plasticizers, conjugated unsaturated bonds exist in the structural formula, and electrons in the molecule are changed from the ground state to the excited state by absorbing light in the UV part of the spectrum. Therefore, the amount of energy reaching the solution was considered to have been reduced and inactivation of the microorganisms did not occur. From the above, it was found that the effects of DUV-LED irradiation vary depending on the material of the infusion tube. By using an appropriate infusion tube, sterilizing effects using DUV-LED can be obtained when physiological saline is administered. Accordingly, when the administration set JY-PB343L was used, the bactericidal action of the DUV-LED against the microorganisms in the solutions was considered to be the most effective.

The bactericidal action against the microorganisms in the physiological saline solution was confirmed for all the tested microorganisms. However, as reported by Sullivan PK [28], the bactericidal action of UV irradiation against fungi was less effective than against bacteria. By irradiation with UV, adjacent bases in nucleic acid form a dimer and they cannot replicate, and DNA and RNA can be inactivated. In bacteria, the nucleoid is present in cell membranes. On the contrary, in fungi, as the chromosome is enveloped in the nuclear envelope, the bactericidal effects were inferior in fungi compared with in bacteria.

We examined the dependence of bactericidal action on the solution using the administration set JY-PB343L to confirm the bactericidal action on *E. coli* by DUV-LED irradiation. The number of viable bacteria decreased with DUV-LED irradiation in OTSUKA GLUCOSE INJECTION 5% and electrolyte infusions; therefore, we confirmed the bactericidal effects. However, in the case of PPN solutions or TPN solutions containing amino acids or vitamins, the number of viable bacteria did not decrease much compared with electrolyte infusion, and only weak sterilizing effects could be confirmed. As aromatic amino acids and some vitamins have conjugated unsaturated bonds, absorbance of light in the UV part of the spectrum is observed. Microbial inactivation likely occurred less as the UV energy received by the microorganisms decreased.

The prototype module for water disinfection with the DUV-LED used in this study was not developed for the purpose of sterilization during infusion administration, but was developed to flow
water directly into the module with irradiation. In this study, we irradiated the infusion set adjacent to the DUV-LED in the module. As the diameter of the infusion tube used in this study differed greatly from the caliber of the irradiation system and installation was unstable, irradiation efficiency was not excellent. Irradiation can be performed more reliably by fitting the diameter of the irradiation system and the diameter of the infusion tube, and irradiating not only from one direction but also from the surroundings. As the nucleic acid of microorganisms has a maximum absorption in the vicinity of 265 nm, it is possible that more marked sterilization effects can be obtained using a DUV-LED having the same wavelength. We can increase the irradiation dose by improving the system such that growth suppression and deactivation of microorganisms are more effective.

Conclusion

UV irradiation is one of the disinfection methods used in medical practice. DUV-LED irradiation is characterized by a short wavelength (255-350 nm), high sterilizing power, and it is compact, lightweight, battery-powered, and convenient. It is different from the conventional methods such as mercury lamps. These results indicate that DUV-LED irradiation has bactericidal effects in glucose and electrolyte infusions by irradiating via a plasticizer-free polybutadiene infusion tube. We consider DUV-LED irradiation to be clinically applicable.
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