Outcome of bone marrow derived chondrocyte injection for meniscal injuries: A preliminary study
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Abstract

Background and objective: Meniscus retention after injuries important for long-term effective functioning of the knee joint and injured meniscus usually require surgical repair. This preliminary study was conducted to determine if injection of Bone Marrow Derived Chondrocytes (BMDC) will regenerate the injured meniscus.

Patients and methods: In 15 patients, twenty CC of Bone marrow was aspirated under aseptic technique under local anesthesia and sedation and in vitro chondrocyte was expanded from the mesenchymal stem cells. After three weeks of bone marrow aspiration 5 million chondrocytes were injected in the effected knee joint. Patients were followed up in the clinics and data collected of pain and range of movements. At 3 months the knee joint was assessed by clinical examination and a magnetic resonance imaging was done and pre and post injection MRI was evaluated by the musculo-skeletal radiologist who was blinded of the MRI scans.

Results: Fourteen patients were in the study group which could be analyzed. The mean age of patients was 36.4±5.1 years. There were complaints of any side effects of the Bone marrow aspirated neither site nor the site of injection in the knee. The average pain score pre-injection was 6.36±1.25 compared to 90 days post injection was 1.4±0.51 (P<0.0001). The range of movements Flexion improved from 90.4±10.13 to 116±5.16 (P <0.001). Post injection MRI showed the healing of the all the meniscus with good thickness.

Conclusion: Our study shows that BMDC injection in the knee joint showed great potential to heal the injured meniscus. This calls for further randomized control studies.
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Introduction

There are two meniscuses in the knee joint are supposed to fulfill important functions, to transfer the load from the femur to the tibia and stabilize the knee during flexion, extension and minimal rotation, load-bearing and as well as lubrication and nutrition of articular cartilage [1]. With all these functions to be performed no wonder the menisci are the most common to be injured. It was reported that over 25000 admission takes place each year due to meniscus injuries in England and Wales [2]. Injured meniscus were initially removed partially or completely causing many fold increased risk of early osteoarthritis [3-5]. This led to surgeons to preserve the meniscus with repair and many techniques are in vogue but have their own complications [6-10]. It is known that human body has limited ability to self-repair torn meniscus and recent studies generated hope that articular cartilage can be regenerated under the influence of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) in patients with torn meniscus [11,12]. Centeno and colleagues (2008) reported a case in which autologous mesenchymal stem cells healed a torn meniscus with significant cartilage growth, decreased pain and increased joint mobility of the treated joint. Whitehouse et al (2017) used First-in-Human Trial of the Autologous MSC/Collagen- Scaffold Implant in 5 patients and saw complete recovery in 3 patients [13,14]. Since studies have shown repair of the meniscus by using MSC, our objective was to test if chondrocytes injection will heal the meniscus.

Patients and methods

This is a prospective pilot study to attempt to heal meniscus injuries. An informed written consent was obtained from all the patients who were included in the study. Patients who presented to the Sports Medicine and orthopedic clinics with injury to the knee and a diagnosis of meniscal injury were included. Fifteen consecutive patients were included. The inclusion criteria were patients of either sex, pain in the affected knee and on medication for ≥ 4 weeks, who were waiting for meniscal repair for more than 3 months. Before including in the study, the evidence of meniscus injury was assessed by diagnostic arthroscopy/Magnetic Resonance imaging (MRI) and VAS of ≥5 (1-10). The exclusion criteria were patients with rheumatoid artritic knees, septic knees or refusal for consent. The outcome endpoints considered was improvement in the range of movement, improvement in the visual analog scale (VAS), range of movements and MRI.

*Correspondence to: Mir Sadat-Ali, POBOX 40071, King Fahd Hospital of the University Al Khobar 31952, Saudi Arabia, Tel: 966505848281, Fax: 966138820887, E-mail: drsadat@hotmail.com

Key words: meniscus injury, chondrocytes, bone marrow

Received: September 03, 2018; Accepted: September 21, 2018; Published: September 26, 2018
Under local anesthesia and sedation approximately, 20 cc of bone marrow was aspirated from the patients' anterior superior iliac crest under aseptic standard conditions. The cell aspirant was transported in an equal volume of sterile Bone Marrow Transportation Medium (Hanks Balanced Salt Solution with 10,000 units/mL of penicillin, 10,000 µg/mL of streptomycin and 25 µg/mL amphotericin B. The samples were properly labeled and were immediately transported to laboratory in ice-cold conditions.

**Mesenchymal Stimulating Cells (MSC) separation and expansion**

Bone marrow in transportation medium was overlaid on approximately 20ml of sterile Ficoll-Paque Plus (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) taken in a conical centrifuge tube (Sarstedt AG & Co, Nümbrecht, Germany) and centrifuged in a swing bucket centrifuge (Sorvall ST8, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) at 2000 rpm for 5 minutes at 4°C. The resultant layer containing mononuclear cells were siphoned out, seeded onto a 175 tissue culture flask (Greiner Bio-One GmbH, Frickenhausen, Germany) at the rate of 5000 cells/cm². Approximately 20ml of MSC culture medium (CTS™ StemPro™ MSC SFM, Thermo Fisher, Massachusetts, USA) was added and flask was incubated at 37°C, 5% CO² and 95% RH in a CO² incubator. Non-adherent cells were washed off and culture was continued with medium change every two days till cells became confluent. Then the cells were trypsinised, harvested and used for Chondrocyte differentiation. A portion of the cells was used for cell characterization using MSC specific antibodies in flow cytometer (Human MSC Phenotyping Kit from Miltenyl Biotec (Auburn, CA, USA). Expression of CD73, CD90, and CD105 and negative for CD45 was confirmed.

**Chondrocyte differentiation**

The MSCs were seeded as high-density cell aggregate micro mass culture using MSC culture medium as mentioned above on a 90mm cell culture dish (Nunc, Denmark). After two hours of seeding the medium was washed off and fresh Chondrocyte differentiation medium (CTS Stem Pro MSC medium supplemented with 10⁻⁵ M dexamethasone, 10µM ascorbate-2-phosphate, 1% sodium pyruvate and 10 ng/ml transforming growth-factor-beta 1 (TGF-B1, Thermo Fisher, Massachusetts, USA). The micro mass culture was further allowed to differentiate for 21 days with medium changes for every 3 days. The cells were harvested at the end of the culture and were characterized for the expression of MMP13 using flow cytometer.

**Chondrocyte infusion**

Differentiated and characterized chondrocytes cell suspension was prepared in 0.5cc of sterile NSS at the rate of 1x10⁶ cells/body weight of the patient. The cell suspension was infused intra articular in the knee as a single dose injection in the clinics. Patients were followed up in the clinics and data collected of pain and range of movements. At 3 months range of movements of knee were assessed using Jamar long-arm goniometer and a second MRI was performed. Magnetic Resonance Imaging was used as a preoperative screening measure. MRI was performed on a 3.0 Tesla Siemens Magnetom Skyra machine #45 384 (Siemens Erlangen, Germany, and acquired in the PAC Scans (picture archiving and communication system) Siemens Healthcare GmbH, Erlangen Germany, proton density, fat saturation was acquired in the coronal and sagittal plane. The view, matrix, and slice thickness were constant in the pre and post injection films. Two independent, university-based musculoskeletal radiologists, who were blinded for the images, reviewed the MRI.

**Statistical analysis**

The pre and post injection data was analyzed using students’ ‘t’ tests for Continuous data were described with the use of descriptive statistics: n, mean, standard deviation, means of the symptoms, probability values < 0.05 were considered significant. All analyses were conducted with the use of SPSS Inc version 19.

**Results**

Sixteen patients with the average age of 34.8±5.1 years (range 23-42). There were 14 males and 2 females. Two patients were excluded because on arthroscopic examination the meniscus was found to be degenerated with osteoarthritis changes and the rest were traumatic during sporting activities. Table 1 give the other demographic data. As per the classification of the International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC), 7 meniscal tears were complete and 6 were partial. In Zone 1 there were 5 injuries, 5 were located in zone 2 and 4 in zone 3. There was no bucket handle tear meniscus. In 4 patients the meniscus injury occurred post anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. There was significant overall improvement in VAS for pain, range of movement and overall satisfaction in 120 days post injection of chondrocytes. The pre-injection pain score was 6.3±1.25 and post injection of chondrocytes it was 1.40±0.51 (p <0.0001). MRI of pre and post chondrocyte injection in the knee joints (Figures 1-3) patients.

**Discussion**

Our study shows that with a single intra-articular injection of 10⁵ chondrocytes healed the entire injured meniscus in three months'

---

**Table 1. The demographic data**

| Age in Years | 34.8±5.1 |
|-------------|----------|
| Average Pain Score (Pre-Injection) | 6.3±1.25 |
| Average Pain Score (Post Injection) | 3.4±0.51 |
| Mean Range of Movement (Flexion) (Pre-Injection) | 90.4±10.13 |
| Mean Range of Movement (Flexion) (Post-Injection) | 119±5.16 |

**Figure 1.** (A, B, C and D) A gradient-echo T2-weighted coronal image demonstrates tear of the body and posterior horn of the medial meniscus, extending to the inferior articular surface, with horizontal orientation of the cleavage. Three months post injection of chondrocytes demonstrate complete resolution of the signal intensity and meniscal shape.
Since the meniscus has low healing potential in the avascular zone and questionable to moderate healing rate of the meniscus after the repair in the vascular zone and complications of the repair by various techniques, made clinicians and researchers to find ways for true regeneration of the menisci which will be biomechanically and functional meniscus tissue similar to the natural one [16-18]. Earlier attempts to regenerate the meniscus was attempted by adding blood and fibrin clots, synovial flaps and reparative cells to meniscus tears [19]. Platelet-rich plasma (PRP), which contains the majority of growth factors in the peripheral blood, seems to promote the clinical healing of meniscus tears even in the white-white zone [20,21].

The use of stem cell in the meniscus injuries and post partial meniscectomy got a boost with the results of a randomized, double-blind, controlled study, in which a single intra articular injection of human mesenchymal stem cells were injected [22]. In a recent review of 543 intra articular knee injections of MSCs due to osteoarthritis with a mean follow up of 24.4 months showed improvement from baseline in at least 1 clinical outcome measure, with no adverse effects [23]. We used differentiated MSCs in the form of chondrocytes with a single injection of 5 million cells and in three months showed significant changes of healing on MRI. In our society young people get meniscus injuries more often and goes through a protracted treatment protocol. The results of our study even though small have implications, which will help the healing of meniscus non-surgically.

Our study has definite limitations. One being a small patient number without a control group, secondly, we relied only on the clinical improvement and quantitative MRI analysis. Another limitation was different severity of the meniscus injury with different menisci were part of the study. Even with said limitations the strength of the study was the ability to observe the clinical improvement and robust changes of healing on MRI. In our society young people get meniscus injuries more often and goes through a protracted treatment protocol. This study shows that the meniscus can heal under the influence of differentiated MSCs in the form of chondrocytes and has encouraged us to conduct a randomized placebo control study.
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