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Abstract

Multimetal deposition is a versatile technique of detecting latent fingerprints on porous items, for example, fabric tape, masking tape, cardboard; on semi-porous items, for example, expanded polystyrene, latex gloves, waxed paper; as well as on non-porous items, for example, metals and plastics. It is so named because it is a two-step process, the first involves deposition of gold and the second deposition of silver on the ridges, thereby visualizing the latent impressions. Although a technique with a very wide range of applications, multimetal deposition is not very popular since the process of detecting fingerprints is quite cumbersome. In its modified form, called multimetal deposition II, some of the demerits of the original method are overcome. Multimetal deposition III and IV endeavor to further improve the performance of this technique. Yet another modification of the original multimetal deposition version is the fluorescent multimetal deposition - a technique which produces a better contrast of the ridges vis-à-vis the background.

Background

A latent fingerprint is formed when the sweat pores of the papillary ridges leave a deposition of perspiration on a surface with which the finger has been brought into contact (Thomas, 1978). Human body possesses the following three types of glands – viz. eccrine, apocrine and sebaceous, the secretions of which contribute to a fingerprint deposit (Knowles, 1978).

Eccrine glands are widely distributed throughout the body and are particularly numerous on the palms of hands and the soles of feet. These glands secrete chemicals as a result of general metabolism and catabolism. Besides water content, eccrine sweat contains up to 1% of the other substances of which inorganic salts constitute about one-half (Kuno, 1956). The other half is constituted by organic products like urea, creatinine, choline, lactic acid, sugars, uric acid, amino acids and proteins (Scruton et al., 1975). The multimetal deposition technique for detection of latent fingerprints is based on the interaction between these organic biomolecules, especially the amino acids and proteins with colloidal gold particles. The technique is so named because it involves the successive deposition of two metals on the macromolecule components of sweat residue and, in consequence, develops fingerprints on an array of crime scene evidence (Saunders, 1989b). The macromolecules, such as lipids, proteins and peptides present in the fingerprint residue are first stained with colloidal gold and then by a silver developer. The latter step amplifies the gold staining and enhances the clarity of ridge pattern.

The typical laboratory procedure for multimetal deposition is carried out in several steps. The quality of developed fingerprints depends on such parameters as the size of colloidal gold particulates and pH of test solution (Jones, 2002). The dark gray coloured impressions are difficult to visualize if the background surface itself is dark or patterned. To overcome this problem, Becue et al. (Becue et al., 2006) recommended the use of gold colloids, dispersed in cyclodextrins as the staining solution. The modified method produced dark blue impressions and also reduced the number of operational steps. Subsequently, Stauffer et al. (Stauffer et al., 2007) replaced the silver staining step by gold nanoparticles. This not only eliminated one of the reagents, but also made the technique cost-effective.

Mechanism

It has been known since long that colloidal gold particles adhere to amino acids, proteins and peptides (Frens, 1973). The multimetal deposition technique of fingerprint
development relies on the affinity of gold particles for these biomolecules, as these are present in fairly large concentration in sweat residue (Saunders, 1989a).

The methodology is a two-phase process. In the first phase, colloidal gold particles adhere to the amino acid, protein and peptide content of fingerprint deposition, while in the second phase, silver particles get adsorbed on gold layer, improving the contrast (Holgate et al., 1983). The process was adopted from a sensitive immunogold-silver staining procedure, whereby the secondary colloidal silver deposition step improved the labelling of proteins (Champod et al., 2004).

The colloidal gold particles are produced by the reduction of hydrogen tetrachloroaurate(III), commonly referred to as tetrachloroauric acid, by citric acid.

\[ 2\text{H[AuCl}_4\text{]} + 3\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{O}_7 \rightarrow 2\text{Au} + 3\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{O}_3\text{O} + 8\text{HCl} + 3\text{CO}_2 \]

Besides gold particulates, 3-ketoglutaric acid is one of the products. The structures of citric acid and 3-ketoglutaric acid are shown in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively.

The colloidal gold particles are produced by the reduction of hydrogen tetrachloroaurate(III), commonly referred to as tetrachloroauric acid, by citric acid.

\[ \text{Ag}^+ + \text{Fe}^{2+} \rightleftharpoons \text{Ag}^0 + \text{Fe}^{3+} \]

Being a reversible reaction, there are chances that it may precede from right to left. However, the citrate ions complex with iron(III) ions and remove these from the equilibrium system, pushing the reaction to the right.

The gold particles adsorbed on amino acid, protein and peptide content of fingerprint residue do not impart a pronounced color to the ridges. Nevertheless, these provide a site for the nucleation of silver particles which, in turn, are produced by the reduction of silver(I) ions by iron(II) ions.

The colloidal gold particles adhere to the amino acid, protein and peptide content of sweat residue.

It is pertinent to state that citric acid performs two functions: Firstly, it reduces hydrogen tetrachloroaurate(III) ion to colloidal gold, and secondly, brings the pH of the working solution in the desired range.

The gold particles adsorbed on amino acid, protein and peptide content of fingerprint residue do not impart a pronounced color to the ridges. Nevertheless, these provide a site for the nucleation of silver particles which, in turn, are produced by the reduction of silver(I) ions by iron(II) ions.

Preparation of reagents

The following solutions are prepared for developing latent fingerprints by multimetal deposition technique.

| Solution | Ingredient |
|----------|------------|
| A        | 1 g Hydrogen tetrachloroaurate(III) in 10 mL distilled water |
| B        | 1 g Sodium citrate in 100 mL distilled water |
| C        | 1 mL Polyethylene glycol in 100 mL distilled water |
| D        | 4.8 g Citric acid in 50 mL distilled water (0.1 M) |

Working solution I or the colloidal gold solution is prepared as follows. Place 1 mL of solution A in about 950 mL distilled water. Mix thoroughly and heat to
gentle boiling. Add 15 mL of solution B and continue to boil till the contents acquire a port-wine color. Turn off the heat source, and while the mixture is still hot, add 5 mL of a liquid detergent. Agitate to mix the contents thoroughly. Cool and add 10 mL of solution C. Adjust the pH to 2.5–2.8 by adding 1–1.5 mL of solution D. Make up the volume to 1000 mL. Store the working solution I in an absolutely clean vial in a refrigerator.

The following solutions are prepared next.

| Solution | Ingredient(s)                                                                 |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| E        | 20 g Silver nitrate in 100 mL distilled water (store in a dark container)     |
| F        | 16 g Ferric nitrate, 44 g ferrous ammonium sulfate, 11 g citric acid and 0.25 mL liquid detergent in 1000 mL distilled water |

Working solution II or the modified physical developer solution is prepared as follows. Add 10 mL of solution E to 990 mL of solution F. Agitate to mix thoroughly. The shelf life of this solution is just 15–20 min and therefore it should be formulated immediately before being used.

The fingerprint development process

Latent fingerprints are developed by the following procedure.

- The item bearing latent prints is placed in a tray and covered with distilled water. The tray is rocked gently by using a shaker.
- The item is placed in another tray to which working solution I is added. The tray is shaken for 30–45 min. If more than one item is placed in the tray, these are not allowed to overlap.
- The item is taken out of the working solution I and rinsed several times with distilled water. Faint fingerprints begin to appear.
- The item is then placed in another tray and working solution II is poured over it. The solution is agitated for 5–15 min.
- The item is washed with water several times, till the washings are no longer yellow and turbid.
- The developed fingerprints which are dark gray or black are photographed.
- The item is allowed to dry under natural conditions and thereafter, the prints are lifted with the aid of a fingerprint tape.

**Modified multimetal deposition technique**

The modified multimetal deposition technique, referred to as multimetal deposition II, is an attempt to make the operational procedures of the original method easier (Slot & Geuze, 1985). The gold sol produced by following the modified method is more homogeneous, with the particle size of 14 nm. The smaller particles give superior development as compared to the 30 nm sized gold particulates (Jones et al., 2003) that were used in the original method.

The novel method not only gives more detailed ridge characteristics, but also visualizes pores and edges with greater clarity. As in the original method, pH is critical to the quality of developed prints, the optimum range being 2.5–2.8. The reduction of silver ions to metallic silver, in this case, is brought about by the reaction of hydroquinone and silver acetate (Slot & Geuze, 1985).
Deactivation of glassware
When fingerprints are to be developed by multimetal deposition II technique, the glass apparatus has to be rendered scrupulously clean. The process of cleaning glassware, called *siliconization* is carried out in following steps.

- The entire glassware is soaked overnight in a 10% solution of 2-ethylhexylsulfate (Fig. 7).
- The apparatus is then rinsed successively with hot and cold water.
- It is then dried in an oven at 100 °C and allowed to cool at room temperature.
- Thereafter, the apparatus is soaked in a treatment solution for 5 s. The treatment solution is prepared by dissolving 4 ml 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane in 200 mL acetone. The structure of 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane is depicted in Fig. 8.
- All items of glassware are rinsed twice in distilled water and finally dried in an oven at 42 °C for 24 h (Slot & Geuze, 1985).

Preparation of reagents
The following solutions are prepared.

| Solution | Ingredient |
|----------|------------|
| A        | 1 g Hydrogen tetrachloroaurate(III) in 10 mL distilled water |
| B        | 1 g Sodium citrate in 100 mL distilled water |
| C        | 0.1 g Tannic acid in 10 mL distilled water |
| D        | 1.05 g Citric acid in 50 mL distilled water |

Working solution I or the *colloidal gold solution* is prepared as follows. A 0.5 mL aliquot of solution A is diluted to 400 mL with distilled water and the contents are heated to 60°C. In a separate vial, 20 mL of solution B and 0.1 mL of solution C are diluted to 75 mL with distilled water and the contents are heated to 60°C. The contents of the two vials are mixed while hot and the mixture is heated to boiling. It is then allowed to cool at room temperature, transferred to a polythene bottle and stored in a refrigerator.

Immediately before use, the mixture is brought to room temperature and 0.5 mL of a commercial liquid detergent is added. The pH of the working solution I is adjusted in the 2.5–2.8 range by adding 1–2 mL of solution D.

Working solution II or the *buffer/hydroquinone solution* is prepared by adding successively 50 g citric acid, 47 g sodium citrate and 1 g hydroquinone to 200 mL distilled water and stirred till the contents dissolve completely.

Working solution III or the *modified physical developer solution* is prepared by dissolving 0.2 g silver acetate in 100 mL distilled water. Silver acetate stains the background to a lesser extent as compared to silver nitrate solution which is used in the original method. Working solution II is stored in a dark container. This
solution is prepared immediately before processing of fingerprint development.

**Process for development of fingerprints**

Latent fingerprints are developed by the following procedure.

- If the item is non-porous in nature, it is given a quick wash with distilled water. Semi-porous and porous items are soaked for about 2 min in distilled water.
- The item is placed in working solution I for 20 min. It is gently agitated.
- The item is then rinsed with distilled water.
- A 100 mL aliquot of working solution II is diluted to 200 mL with distilled water. The item bearing latent fingerprints is soaked in this solution for 2–5 min.
- The item is transferred to a tray containing a mixture of 100 mL working solution III and 100 mL working solution II. It is soaked for about 20 min and gently agitated by mounting the tray on a shaker.
- The item is rinsed 2–3 times with fresh batches of distilled water.
- The developed fingerprints are photographed, allowed to dry and finally lifted.

**Multimetal deposition III/IV**

The multimetal deposition II technique may be further modified to improve performance. In multimetal deposition III procedure, 30 nm gold particles are used in concert with the silver acetate/hydroquinone redox reaction. In multimetal deposition IV, 14 nm gold particulates are used along with silver(I)/iron(II) redox couple (Jones et al., 2003). Rest of the reagents are same as in conventional multimetal deposition methodology (Saunders, 1989a). Multimetal deposition IV reveals sharp ridge details but poor contrast as the background surface is darkened. With multimetal deposition III technique, the developed prints are, no doubt, less sharp as compared to multimetal deposition IV, yet the contrast with respect to background is appreciably high.

**Fluorescent multimetal deposition**

The fluorescent multimetal deposition technique proves useful to enhance weak, faint fingerprints that are often encountered at crime scenes and also to develop latent impressions on multi-colored surfaces (Becue et al., 2008). As in the original procedure, the first step is the deposition of nanoparticle size gold particles on the fingerprint residue. This is followed by deposition of zinc oxide particles on the gold residue. The zinc oxide, in turn, is produced in situ by the reduction of zinc nitrate with dimethylamine-borane (DMAB).

\[
(CH_3)_2NBH_3 + Zn(NO_3)_2 \quad \rightarrow \quad ZnO \cdot (CH_3)_2NBH_3 + H_2O + 2NO_2
\]
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The developed fingerprints show fluorescence when impinged with radiation having 580 nm radiation.

**Assessment of multimetal deposition technique**

Multimetal deposition technique incorporates the principles of both small particle reagent and physical developer (Saunders, 1989b). It detects latent fingerprints on a host of surfaces: Porous, semi-porous and non-porous, moist and dry (Allman et al., 1992). These include paper, glass, floppy disks, adhesive tapes and plastics and polymers. A representative fingerprint developed by multimetal deposition technique on a lamination sheet (Sodhi & Kaur, 2017) is shown in Fig. 9.
Good results are obtained on articles like paper, tapes, Styrofoam food containers and credit cards, including those having a dark background hue (Saunders, 1989b). Blood prints too may be enhanced by this technique (Ramatowski, 2013). It is also possible to develop good quality fingerprints on cling films, even if the wrappings are moist, crumpled or had been in contact with drugs (Charlton et al., 2015). It is possible to develop impressions which are about 3 months old by this technique (Stauffer et al., 2007). The quality of fingerprints is better than those visualized by vacuum metal deposition, superglue or wet powdering techniques (Fairley et al., 2012).

On semi-porous items, MMD II offers better results as compared to cyanoacrylate fuming and luminescent staining (Jones, 2002). The physical developer technique is more time-consuming and expensive as compared to the modified multimetal deposition methodologies (Sodhi & Kaur, 2016). Multimetal deposition contributes significantly to the detection of latent fingerprints in the area of forensic science, as well as to staining of proteins in the field of biochemistry.

The versatility of multimetal formulation may be attributed to the ability of the constituent reagents to interact with the sweat residue both on and within the concerned surface (Jones, 2002). The methodology may be used after other techniques of fingerprint detection have been tried. However, it is not successful in cases where ninhydrin has been used in concert with zinc chloride as the developing reagent (Saunders, 1989b). The prints may be transferred from a specific surface to nitrocellulose membrane and then developed.

However, multimetal deposition suffers from some shortcomings too. The procedure is costly and involves several steps (Saunders & Cantu, 1996). It does not give satisfactory results on cartridge cases and interferes with subsequent analysis of firearms (Donche, 1994; Schetz & Margot, 2001). Good results are not obtained on patterned surfaces (Stauffer et al., 2007). Most often, the quality of the prints developed by this method on porous items is not up to the mark since a part of the gold sol gets absorbed on the surface, causing high background noise. Leather and cloth items too cannot be processed by multimetal deposition because the organic constituents of these materials interact with colloidal gold, resulting in intensive staining of background.

**Conclusion**

The multimetal deposition method of fingerprint detection, as well as its modified versions, involves successive adsorption of gold and silver particulates on the amino acid, protein and peptide content of sweat residue, visualizing the ridges as dark gray or black impression. The technique is not surface-specific, that is, it works on a host of non-porous, semi-porous and porous surfaces. Despite its broad spectrum applications, the method suffers from several demerits. Too many reagents are required for detection process and the steps involved are complicated. The glassware ought to be meticulously clean. The process entails a high cost. Future research needs to be directed to simplify the operational steps involved in this otherwise useful technique.
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