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Abstract
We introduce a linearized version of group field theory. It can be viewed either as a group field theory over the additive group of a vector space or as an asymptotic expansion of any group field theory around the unit group element. We prove exact power-counting theorems for any graph of such models. For linearized colored models the power counting of any amplitude is further computed in terms of the homology of the graph. An exact power-counting theorem is also established for a particular class of graphs of the nonlinearized models, which satisfy a planarity condition. Examples and connections with previous results are discussed.

PACS numbers: 04.60.-m, 04.60.Pp

1. Introduction

Group field theories (GFTs) [1] are quantum field theories over group manifolds and can also be viewed as higher rank tensor field theories [2, 3]. They provide one of the most promising frameworks for a background-free theory of quantum gravity in which one sums both over topologies and geometries. Indeed, each Feynman graph of a \(D\) dimensional GFT can be
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dually associated with a discrete spacetime ($D$-simplicial complex) via a specific triangulation and gluing rules given by the covariance and vertices (basic $D$-simplex) of the theory. The functional integral formalism then defines a weighted sum over triangulations which is a weighted ‘sum over topologies’ with each weight (amplitude) related to a ‘sum of geometries’ (via a spin foam formalism [4]). Some early results on power counting and nonperturbative resummation of such models are in [5].

Group field theory is a particular kind of quantum field theory; hence, it is natural to ask the question of its renormalizability. A complete renormalization group analysis for GFTs is still lacking and the first steps for such a program have been made only recently [6, 7, 9]. For the three-dimensional Boulatov model a rigorous power-counting theorem has been obtained for a particular class of graphs called type I, which satisfy a contractibility condition [6]. Given a cutoff $\Lambda$ in the Peter–Weyl field expansion, type I graphs diverge as $\Lambda^{B-1}$, where $B$ denotes the number of ‘bubbles’. These bubbles are closed surfaces whose exact definition is clumsy in general [6]. In [7] scaling bounds for Feynman amplitudes of the Boulatov model have been established together with constructive results. However, in that theory, generalized tadpoles dominate over other graphs.

This situation improves markedly in the case of colored GFT models [8]. Among the nice features of these models we quote (1) in the Fermionic case an $SU(D+1)$ global symmetry in the field indices; (2) a clear definition of ‘bubbles’ of any dimension, which means that the graphs of these colored models define a full $D$-simplicial complex, not just a 2-complex as in spin foam theory; (3) a computable homology for this complex implying that the corresponding dual triangulated objects are manifolds or pseudo-manifolds but with only point-like singularities; (4) the absence of generalized tadpoles and of tadfaces; (5) the existence of an exhaustive sequence of cuts [7] for any graphs of these models. This leads to better scaling bounds in any dimension for the Feynman amplitudes of these models [9].

A general power-counting theorem is a difficult problem even for the colored GFTs. In this paper, we introduce a further simplification, namely an Abelianized version of GFT that we call ‘linearized GFT’ in short. This model is also interesting as a kind of asymptotic limit of GFT at high Fourier frequencies.

We compute the exact power counting for any graph of linearized GFTs. In the colored case this power counting is reexpressed in terms of the colored homology introduced by Gurau [8]. The connection with the $\Lambda^{B-1}$ power counting of type I graphs in [6] is clarified; the linearized colored graphs have power counting $\Lambda^{B-1}$ if and only if their second homology class vanishes.

Exact power counting is also established for amplitudes of the ordinary nonlinearized models but under a certain planarity condition.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 fixes our notations, introduces the linearized GFTs and establishes the power counting of their graphs in terms of a certain incidence matrix between lines and faces. The proof relies on evaluation of determinants of quadratic forms through their Pfaffian representations in the manner of [10–13] and allows not only to find the exact ultraviolet power counting but also an infrared power counting. More generally we define the analog of Symanzik polynomials for the linearized models, which potentially contain much more information. These polynomials are connected to generalized contraction/deletion moves which for colored graphs have been first explored in [14].

Section 3 contains the basic definitions of colored graphs and their homology and reexpresses the power counting of linearized colored graphs in term of their additional
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7 A tadpole is a line which goes twice through the same vertex. A ‘tadface’ is a face that goes at least twice through a line. A generalized tadpole is a subgraph with a single external vertex.
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structure. We give a computation of the ultraviolet degree of divergence in terms of the homology of the colored graph as defined in [8].

Section 4 introduces an other technique. The jacket of a graph is defined either for restricted Boulatov models or for colored models. It is a matrix-like piece of the theory. An exact noncommutative momentum routing is introduced for the dual of the jacket. When the jacket is planar, the power counting is exactly the number of cycles of the complement of the jacket.

Finally, section 5 provides examples, further discussions and conclusions.

2. Linearized GFTs and their Feynman amplitudes

An ordinary $D$-dimensional GFT is a field theory for a field defined over $D$ copies of a group $\tilde{G}$ with a certain gauge invariance and a certain pattern of interaction. For simplicity, we may consider mostly the Euclidean 3D case in which $\tilde{G} = SU(2)$ but indicate which of our results are general for any dimension. We will also assume that the field is not symmetric under any permutation of the $D$ arguments. There will also be no symmetrization operators inserted, neither in the propagators nor in the vertices, so that the category of graphs considered will be the category of stranded graphs without any twists of the strands.

The Hilbert space of square integrable functions on $\tilde{G}^D$ is $H = L^2(\tilde{G}^D)$. The Hilbert space of gauge invariants fields that is of fields invariant under the ‘diagonal’ action of the group $\tilde{G}$

$$\phi(g_1h, g_2h, \ldots, g_Dh) = \phi(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_D)$$

(1)

is a subset $H_0 \subset H$.

The interaction is homogenous of degree $D + 1$ and patterned in the form of a $D$ simplex. For instance in three dimensions this interaction has the form

$$S_{\text{int}}[\phi] := \lambda \int \prod_{i=1}^{6} \phi(g_1, g_2, g_3)\phi(g_3, g_4, g_5)\phi(g_5, g_2, g_6)\phi(g_6, g_4, g_1).$$

(2)

The six integration variables are repeated twice, following the pattern of the edges of a tetrahedron.

In the language of Gaussian measures, the partition function is

$$Z(\lambda) := \int d\mu [\phi] e^{-\lambda S_{\text{int}}[\phi]},$$

(3)

where $d\mu[\phi]$ is the normalized Gaussian measure whose covariance $C$ can be viewed as the orthogonal projection from $H$ to $H_0$ implementing (1) through an average over the diagonal action of the group

$$(C\phi)(g_1, g_2, g_3) := \int dh \phi(g_1h, g_2h, g_3h).$$

(4)

Graphs are therefore stranded with $D$ strands per propagator. The ordinary Feynman rules to build graphs out of propagators and vertices give for a stranded graph $\mathcal{G}$ of this model an amplitude which is the following formal expression:

$$A_{\mathcal{G}} = \int \prod_{e} dg_e \prod_{\ell} dh_{\ell} \prod_{s \in \ell} \delta(g_s h_{e_{s}^{-1}}).$$

(5)

where each line $\ell$ propagates $D$ strands $s$ from the edge variable $e_s$ to $e_{s'}$.

Suppose that we choose both an arbitrary orientation of each line $\ell$ of the graph and of each face (closed set of strands). The integration over all edge variables can be explicitly
performed, leading to another (still formal) expression for the Feynman amplitude, but solely in terms of an integral over line variables:

$$A_G = \int \prod_{\ell \in L_G} \text{d}h_\ell \prod_{f \in F_G} \delta \left( \prod_{\ell \in \partial f} h_\ell \right), \quad (6)$$

where $L_G, F_G$ are the set of lines and faces of $G$, respectively. The oriented product $\prod_{\ell \in \partial f} h_\ell$ means that the variables $h_\ell$ of the delta functions (which are the $SU(2)$ holonomies along each face) has to be taken in the following way: $h_\ell$ if the orientations of the line $\ell$ and of the face $f$ coincide and $h_\ell^{-1}$ if they do not.

Surprisingly the formal amplitude (6) neither depends on the arbitrary orientation of the lines nor on those of the faces! The deep reason is that the two formulas (5) and (6) are equal, but a more pedestrian way to see this is to exploit carefully the parity of the $\delta$ function and of the Haar measure under $h \rightarrow h^{-1}$. However a full proof of this fact should address the fact that both (5) and (6) are infinite in general.

Indeed multiplication of distributions is not always allowed. Hence formulas (5) and (6) suffer from what one should consider from the usual field theoretic point of view as an ultraviolet\(^8\) divergence. However, since groups like $SU(2)$ are compact there is no infrared or large volume divergence associated with the group integrations over the $h_\ell$ variables in these Euclidean GFTs.

A linearized GFT is obtained by just changing the group $\hat{G}$ to the additive commutative group of a vector space $\mathbb{R}^d$ and repeating all the arguments above. It can also be considered as an expansion around the origin of unity of the group, in which each group element $h_\ell$ is replaced by $e^{ih_\ell}$ and a Taylor expansion is performed which only retains the linear forms in the new $h_\ell$ variables in all the $\delta$ functions of (6). In that case, $d$ would just be the dimension of the group or of its Lie algebra. But in the rest of this paper we shall consider $d = 1$ for simplicity.

Let us introduce now some terminology. Nonregular faces, also called tadfaces, are faces which goes several times through the same propagator. A regular graph is a graph without any tadface. Unfortunately, ordinary GFTs typically generate tadfaces and nonregular graphs. Nonregular faces play a bit like the same annoying role that tadpoles play in ordinary graphs and ordinary field theory. They are difficult to capture through an incidence matrix. Although they are somewhat trivial they may perturb naive versions of contraction–deletion rules.

The linearized GFT amplitude is conveniently defined in terms of a rectangular $F$ by the $L$ incidence matrix $\epsilon_{f,\ell}$, where $F = |F_G|$ is the number of faces of $G$ and $L = |L_G|$ is its number of lines.

**Definition 2.1.** $\epsilon_{f,\ell}$ is defined as the algebraic number of passages of the face $f$ through the line $\ell$, counting as +1 each passage with coinciding orientation of $f$ and $\ell$ and as −1 each passage with opposite orientation of $f$ and $\ell$. Hence $\epsilon_{f,\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For a regular graph $\epsilon_{f,\ell} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ with

$$\epsilon_{f,\ell} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \text{or}(l) = \text{or}(f), \ \ell \in \partial f \\ -1 & \text{if } \text{or}(l) = -\text{or}(f), \ \ell \in \partial f \\ 0 & \text{if } \ell \notin \partial f \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where $\text{or}(\cdot)$ stands for the orientation.

\(^8\) In the loop quantum gravity context this divergence is often interpreted as an infrared one for the effective spacetime theory, so to underline this ambiguity we might also call it an ultraspin divergence.
The linearized amplitude of a regular graph is then given by

\[ A_G = \int_{\mathbb{R}^L} \prod_{\ell \in L_G} dh_{\ell} \prod_{f \in F_G} \delta \left( \sum_{\ell \in \partial f} \varepsilon_{f,\ell} h_{\ell} \right). \]  

(8)

Again although the incidence matrix does depend on the chosen orientations, the amplitude (8) does not depend on them, as we can arbitrarily multiply each line or column of \( \varepsilon_{f,\ell} \) and compensate for that using either parity of the \( \delta \) function or changing \( h_{\ell} \) to \( -h_{\ell} \).

Formula (8) remains ill-defined and the corresponding amplitude is formally infinite but now for two distinct reasons:

(a) the set of \( L \) linear forms \( \sum_{\ell \in \partial f} \varepsilon_{f,\ell} h_{\ell} \) may typically not be made of independent forms, i.e. the rank \( r \) of the rectangular matrix \( \varepsilon_{f,\ell} \) may be smaller than \( F \), in which case the corresponding \( \delta \) functions cannot be multiplied. This impossibility of multiplication of distributions should be considered as an ultraviolet divergence.

(b) even if the corresponding multiplication of distributions is regularized, e.g. by replacing \( \delta \) distributions by Gaussian integrals, the rank \( r \) of the rectangular matrix \( \varepsilon_{f,\ell} \) could be strictly smaller than \( L \), the number of internal lines. In that case, the corresponding quadratic form in the \( h_{\ell} \) variables would not be definite, so that the \( h_{\ell} \) integrations do not fully converge at infinity because there is no decay in some directions in \( \mathbb{R}^L \). This kind of divergence should be considered of infrared type in field theory. It has no analog in the nonlinearized Euclidean group field theories because the group used there is compact, but it has some analogs in Lorentzian GFTs.

Hence we expect

**Proposition 2.1.** The ultraviolet degree of divergence of a linearized regular GFT graph is \( F - r \) and its infrared degree of divergence is \( L - r \), where \( r \) is the rank of the \( \varepsilon_{f,\ell} \) matrix.\(^9\)

To give a precise mathematical content to this statement the simplest and most natural proposal, which we follow in this paper, is to regularize the amplitudes (8) through Gaussian regulation both of the \( \delta \) functions and of the \( h_{\ell} \) integrals.

Hence we are led to consider infrared regulators \( m_{\ell} \) which break gauge invariance but allow the amplitude to be well defined even for a noncompact group as is \( \mathbb{R} \), and to replace the \( \delta \) function of each face \( f \) by a regularized normalized Gaussian function of the form

\[ \delta_{\Lambda_f}(h) = \frac{\Lambda_f}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\Lambda_f^2 h_t^2/2}. \]  

(9)

Therefore, the ill-defined amplitude of a regular vacuum graph (8) is re-expressed using the regularized delta function (9) as\(^10\)

\[ A_G(m_{\ell}, \Lambda_f) = \int \prod_{\ell} dh_{\ell} e^{-m_{\ell}^2 h_{\ell}^2/2} \prod_f \Lambda_f e^{-\Lambda_f^2/2} \left( \sum_{\ell} \varepsilon_{f,\ell} h_{\ell} \right)^2 \]

\[ = \int \left[ \prod_{\ell} dh_{\ell} e^{-m_{\ell}^2 h_{\ell}^2/2} \prod_f dk_{f} e^{-\Lambda_f^2 k_{f}^2/2} \right] \delta^3 \left( \sum_{\ell} \varepsilon_{f,\ell} h_{\ell} k_{f} \right), \]  

(10)

where we forgot inessential normalizations and we introduced the momentum variables \( k_{f} \) labeled by faces. Expression (10) corresponds to a kind of phase space representation of the amplitude.

\(^9\) Of course this rank is independent of the chosen orientations to define this matrix.

\(^10\) Since there is no ambiguity, we henceforth omit the full notations \( \ell \in L_G \) and \( f \in F_G \). We also forget inessential multiplicative factors.
The limit (8) is formally recovered as all $\Lambda_f \to \infty$ and all $m_\ell \to 0$.

We start now the derivations of our main results on exact power-counting theorems. At first we will discuss the case of vacuum graphs and then we will focus on graphs with external legs. The proof of the second theorem will be shortened following similar steps as for the situation without external legs.

**Theorem 2.1.** For any connected vacuum graph $G$ of the linearized GFT, we have

$$A_G(1, \Lambda) \underset{\Lambda \to \infty}{\simeq} K \Lambda^{F-r},$$

where $K$ is an inessential factor. Similarly

$$A_G(m, 1) \underset{m \to 0}{\simeq} K m^{-(L-r)}.$$  \hfill (11)

**Proof.** We shall deduce all these results from a more general formula which computes the Feynman amplitudes $A_G(m_\ell, \Lambda_1)$ in terms of a topological ‘Symanzik polynomial’.

We introduce the vector $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_L, k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_F)^t$ and the matrix defined by

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} m_\ell^2 \delta_{\ell \ell} & i^t \varepsilon_{\ell, f} \\ i \varepsilon_{f, \ell} & \Lambda_f^{-2} \delta_{ff} \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hfill (13)

Gaussian integration gives, up to inessential normalizations,

$$A_G = \int \int \prod_\ell dh_\ell \prod_f dk_f e^{-\mathbf{h}^t M \mathbf{h} / 2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{Det} M}}.$$  \hfill (14)

There exists many ways to determine the behavior of $A_G$ at large $\Lambda_f$’s. For instance, the Schur complement formula gives (11) for $m_\ell = 1 \ \forall \ \ell$, $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda \ \forall \ f$. However, this method does not give the detailed dependence of $A_G(m_\ell, \Lambda_f)$ in all joint variables. More information is obtained by the method of [10] which provides a Pfaffian expansion of a determinant $\text{det}(D + R)$, where $D$ is diagonal and $R$ is antisymmetric. It expands the determinant as

$$\text{Det} (D + R) = \sum_{I \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, L\}} \prod_i D_i \text{Pf}^2 R_i,$$  \hfill (15)

where $R_i$ is the matrix obtained from $R$ after removing lines and columns of indices in $I$.

We first remark that the determinant of $M$ coincides with the determinant of

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} m_\ell^2 \delta_{\ell \ell} & -i \varepsilon_{\ell, f} \\ i \varepsilon_{f, \ell} & \Lambda_f^{-2} \delta_{ff} \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hfill (16)

obtained from $M$ after multiplying the block $i \varepsilon_{\ell, f}$ by $i$ and the block $i \varepsilon_{f, \ell}$ by $-i$. This decomposes $M$ as the sum of a diagonal and an antisymmetric matrix. Hence,

$$\text{Det} M = \sum_{I \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, L\} \text{ and } I \neq \emptyset} \prod_i m_\ell^2 \prod_f \Lambda_f^{-2} \text{Pf}^2 \varepsilon_{i, j},$$  \hfill (17)

where $\text{Pf} \varepsilon_{i, j}$ means the Pfaffian of $\varepsilon$ with lines and columns in $I$ and $J$ erased.

Remark that this is a polynomial in $m_\ell^2$ and $\Lambda_f^{-2}$ with positive integer coefficients which we could call the Symanzik topological polynomial of the graph. It should obey deletion–contraction relations.

We are now in a position to find the scaling behavior of $A_G \simeq (\text{Det} M)^{-1/2}$. This can be done by putting $m_\ell = 1 \ \forall \ \ell$, $\Lambda_f = \Lambda \ \forall \ f$ and identifying the nonzero monomial with the lowest power $p$ in $\Lambda^{-2}$ in (17). One notes that because equation (17) is a sum of squares this is equivalent to find the subset $J$ with the minimal order such that $\text{Pf} \varepsilon_{i, j} \neq 0$, as we shall do now.
in other words to find the minor of the maximal rank in $\varepsilon$. Then $p = |J| = F - \text{rank } \varepsilon$, since the rank of $\varepsilon$ is the cardinal of $J$, the complement of $J$ in $\{1, 2, \ldots, F\}$. We are led to $A_G \simeq (\Lambda^{-2p})^{-1/2} = \Lambda^p = \Lambda^{F-\text{rank } \varepsilon}$. This achieves the proof of the ultraviolet power counting. Similarly to get the infrared power counting one should identify the nonzero monomial with the lowest power $q$ in $m^2$ in (17), and hence find the subset $I$ with the minimal order such that $\text{Pf}_{I, J} \neq 0$. This means to find again the minor of the maximal rank in $\varepsilon$. Therefore, $q = |I| = L - \text{rank } \varepsilon$, since the rank of $\varepsilon$ is the cardinal of $I$, the complement of $I$ in $\{1, 2, \ldots, L\}$.

We consider now graphs with external legs. Such a graph has $L$ internal lines of index $\ell$, $N$ external legs of indices $j$, $F$ internal (closed) faces of indices $f$ and $F' = DN/2$ open strands of indices $s$. We define a rectangular $L+N$ by $F+F'$ incidence matrix by choosing again an arbitrary orientation of each line whether it is internal or external and each face or open strand.

An interesting quantity which corresponds naturally to Feynman amplitudes with fixed external momenta is (up to inessential normalization constants)

$$A_G(k_1, \ldots, k_s, \ldots, k_F) = \prod_j m_j^{-1} e^{-m_j^2 / 2} \prod_i \det \Lambda_{ij}^{-1/2} A_G(k_1, \ldots, k_s, \ldots, k_F)$$

$$\tilde{A}_G(k_1, \ldots, k_s, \ldots, k_F) = \int \prod_\ell d\ell \ e^{-\Lambda_{\ell\ell}^2 / 2} \prod_f \det \Lambda_f^{-1/2} e^{\Lambda_f^2 (\sum_{\ell} \varepsilon_{\ell}\ell \cdot k_f)} \prod_i e^{\varepsilon_{i}\ell_{i, \ell} \cdot k_f}$$

$$= \int \prod_\ell d\ell \ e^{-\Lambda_{\ell\ell}^2 / 2} \prod_f dk \ e^{-\Lambda_f^2 k_f^2 / 2} e^{\varepsilon_{i}\ell_{i, \ell} \cdot k_f + \varepsilon_{i}\ell_{i, \ell} \cdot k_f}$$

$$= (\text{Det } M)^{-1/2} \exp \left[ -\text{tr } S M^{-1} S K \right],$$

where $M = D+R$, $R$ being the antisymmetric $L+F$ by $L+F$ matrix whose only nonzero upper triangular part is the $L$ by $R$ rectangular matrix $\varepsilon_{\ell, f}$ and $S$ being the $L+F$ by $DN/2$ matrix with the only nontrivial part $\varepsilon_{s, f}$. $K$ is the vector with components $k_s$.

The quadratic form $\text{tr } S M^{-1} S$ is a rational fraction in the $\Lambda_f^{-2}$ and $m_f^2$ variables whose denominator is an analog of the first Symanzik polynomial and whose numerator is an analog of the second Symanzik polynomial.

At zero external momenta the scaling properties are the same as those of theorem 2.1 but for the graph with the reduced set of internal faces and internal lines. This graph has typically no longer $D$ strands per line but less, since the external strands have been deleted.

3. Colored GFTs and their linearization

3.1. Colored GFTs

Colored GFTs have many improvements over ordinary GFTs. All their graphs are regular and equipped with canonical orientations of lines, faces and higher bubbles. The graphs have a well-defined homology [8] and admit an exhaustive sequence of cuts [7]. We show in this section that the power counting of any corresponding linearized colored amplitudes, still expressed through theorem 2.1, can also be computed in terms of their homology.

Let us first review the definition of the colored models along the lines of [8].

Colored GFT models in dimension $D$ are defined in terms of $D+1$ complex Bosonic or Fermionic fields denoted by $\phi^\ell$, where $\ell = 1, 2, \ldots, D+1$ is referred to as the color index or simply color. These fields are also defined on $\tilde{G}^D$, where e.g. $\tilde{G} = SU(2)$. The dynamics is
described by an action expressed in terms of left-invariant fields as

$$S^D[\phi] := \int \prod_{i=1}^D dg_i e^{-\frac{g_{ij}^2}{2}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{D+1} \phi_{1,2,...,D}^\ell \phi_{D,...,2,1}^\ell + \lambda_1 \int \prod_{i,j} dg_{ij} e^{-\frac{g_{ij}^2}{2}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{D+1} \phi_{1,2,...,D}^\ell \phi_{1}^{(D+1)} \phi_{1}(D+1)^{1},(D+1)^{2},(D+1)^{3},...,(D+1)^{D} \times \sum_{\ell=1}^{D+1} \prod_{j \neq i} \delta(g_{ij}(g_{ji})^{-1})$$

where $\phi^\ell \phi^{\ell'}$ are quadratic mass terms and integrations are performed over copies of $\tilde{G}$ using products Haar measures, and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ are the coupling constants. We have introduced the shorthand notation $\phi^\ell(g_{ij}, g_{ij'}, \ldots, g_{ij''}) = \phi_{1,2,...,D}^\ell$, where the label of group element $g_{ij}$ reminds us the link of two colors $i$ and $j$ in the vertex. The left-invariant constraint is again given by (1).

Again the linearized version of this model is simply expressed by replacing the group $\tilde{G}$ by $\mathbb{R}$.

The propagator and vertex $\phi^{D+1}$ are drawn in figure 1; the vertex for $\overline{\phi}^{D+1}$ can be easily found by reversing the order of all labels in figure 1.

An interesting property of colored GFTs is that the coloring can be used to define canonical orientations and a full homology for any colored graph, including external legs [8, 14].

More precisely it is shown in [8, 14] that the $p$-bubbles and boundary $p$-bubbles define two cellular complexes $(\mathcal{U}^p, d_p)$ and $(\mathcal{U}_0^p, d_0^p)$ and therefore two cellular homologies induced by two boundary operators $d_p$ and $d_0^p$ such that

$$d_p : \mathcal{U}^p \to \mathcal{U}^{p-1}, \quad d_0^p : \mathcal{U}_0^p \to \mathcal{U}_0^{p-1}.$$  

(20)
These cellular complexes are made of $k$-bubbles, which are connected components of strands of subsets of $k$-colors. Note that the sets of such 0-bubbles $\mathcal{B}^0$, 1-bubbles $\mathcal{B}^1$ and 2-bubbles $\mathcal{B}^2$ naturally coincide with the sets of vertices $\mathcal{V}$, lines $\mathcal{L}$ and faces $\mathcal{F}$, respectively.

In order to define properly the simplicial complex of the boundary, one needs some further ingredients by making as a connected object the boundary which can be a priori disconnected. This is realized by the ‘Gurau pinching’ \cite{14}, introducing new vertices (any boundary $D$-simplex itself can be dually associated with a vertex $\phi^D$ for a $D$ dimensional group field model) in the theory which mainly renders connected the dual boundary graph.

Then one can show that indeed $d_p \circ d_{p+1} = 0$ and $d_p^0 \circ d_{p+1}^0 = 0$. We also define the extreme differential operators $d_{D+1} = 0$ and $d_p^0 := 0$. From this stage, the homology groups can be defined either for $(\Omega^*, d_*)$ or for $(\Omega^0_*, d^0_*)$. We have for the ‘vacuum’ complex $(\Omega^*, d_*)$ the $p$th homology group defined by $H_p = \ker d_p/\im d_{p+1}$ and for the boundary complex $(\Omega^0_*, d^0_*)$, $H'_p = \ker d^0_p/\im d^0_{p+1}$ which can be called the $p$th boundary homology group.

For a general graph $\mathcal{G}$ with external legs, one identifies easily the boundary graph $\mathcal{G}_B$ generated by external strands pinched and a remaining part denoted by $\bar{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}_B$ which is generally a sum of vacuum graphs. Using the definitions of cellular complexes for vacuum graphs $(\Omega^*, d_*)$ and for a boundary graph $(\Omega^0_*, d^0_*)$, one can define the complex $(\Omega^0_*, d^0_*)$ for a graph $\mathcal{G}$ with external legs such that

$$\Omega^p = \Omega^p \oplus \Omega^p_0, \quad \forall p, \quad \delta_p = d_p \oplus d^0_p, \quad \forall p. \quad (21)$$

A quick check proves that $\delta_p \circ \delta_{p+1} = (d_p \circ d_{p+1}) \oplus (d^0_p \circ d^0_{p+1}) = 0$, since there is no cross term. The definition of homology group of graphs follows naturally $H_p = \ker \delta_p/\im \delta_{p+1}$.

As a consequence of the direct sum, we have $\ker \delta_* = \ker d_* \oplus \ker d^0_*$, $\im \delta_* = \im d_* \oplus \im d^0_*$ and $H_* \equiv H_* \oplus H^0_*$.

Finally it can be proved that

$$\ker d_D = \mathbb{Z}, \quad \im d_D = \oplus_{k=1}^{D-1} \mathbb{Z}, \quad H_D \equiv \ker d_D; \quad (23)$$

$$\ker d^0_{D-1} = \mathbb{Z}, \quad \im d^0_{D-1} = \oplus_{k=1}^{D-2} \mathbb{Z}, \quad H^0_{D-1} \equiv \ker d^0_{D-1}. \quad (24)$$

### 3.2. Homology formula for linearized color power counting

We can now define canonically the incidence matrix $\varepsilon_{f,l}$, using the ordering of the colors.

**Definition 3.1.**

$$\varepsilon_{f,l} = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } \text{or (} l = \text{or (} f, \quad l \in \partial f \\ -1, & \text{if } \text{or (} l \neq \text{or (} f, \quad l \in \partial f \\ 0, & \text{if } l \notin \partial f \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

where $\text{or (} \cdot \text{)}$ stands for the orientation, and

(a) any line is oriented from $\hat{\phi}$ to $\hat{\phi}$,

(b) any face is oriented \cite{8} according to its lines with highest color index. Hence each face being bicolored, for such a face $f$ made of the two alternating colors $i_1 < i_2$ we have $\varepsilon_{f,i_1} = +1$ and $\varepsilon_{f,i_2} = -1$.

Then the amplitude of a colored graph $\mathcal{G}$ in the linearized model is formally given by

$$A_\mathcal{G} = \int \prod_{t \in \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{G}} \delta t \prod_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{G}} \delta \left( \sum_{e \in \partial f} \varepsilon_{f,l} h_i \right). \quad (26)$$
Let us now relate the power counting of theorem 2.1 to a homology formula.

**Theorem 3.1.** For any connected colored vacuum graph $G$ of the linearized $D$ dimensional colored group field model, the amplitude of $G$ behaves as

$$A_G \simeq K \Lambda^{D+1} \sum_{k=1}^{D+1} (-1)^{k-1} B_k + \sum_{k=2}^{D+1} (-1)^k h_k , \quad B_{D+1} := 1$$

where $K$ is an inessential factor, $B_k$ is the number of $k$-bubbles and $h_k$ stand for Betti numbers for $G$.

**Corollary 3.1.** In three dimensions, any connected colored vacuum linearized amplitude $G$ behaves as

$$A_G \simeq K \Lambda B^3 - 1 + h_2 ,$$

where $h_2$ is the second Betti number.

Hence, we recover the same formula than for type I graphs in [6], except for the correcting factor $h_2$, which must therefore vanish for type I graphs.

The proof of theorem 3.1 simply follows from theorem 2.1 and the following lemma

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $G$ be a colored vacuum graph in dimension $D \geq 3$ with $F$ faces and an incidence matrix $\varepsilon$ between its faces and lines; then,

$$F - \text{rank} \, \varepsilon = \sum_{k=3}^{D+1} (-1)^{k-1} B_k + \sum_{k=2}^{D+1} (-1)^k h_k , \quad B_{D+1} := 1,$$

where $B_k$ is the number of $k$-bubbles and $h_k$ the $k$th Betti number of $G$.

**Proof.** This is a straightforward derivation after noting the fact that, by our definition (3.1), the matrix $\varepsilon$ coincides with the matrix of the differential operator $d^2$ defined in [8]. Introducing the differential complex defined for a fixed graph $G$

$$0 \leftarrow U^0 \leftarrow U^1 \leftarrow U^2 \leftarrow U^3 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow U^{D-1} \leftarrow U^D \leftarrow U^{D+1} \leftarrow 0,$$

we have by a simple recurrence

$$F - \text{rank} \, \varepsilon = B^2 - \dim \text{Im} \, d_2 = \dim \text{Ker} \, d_2 = \dim \text{Im} \, d_1 + \dim H_2$$

$$= B^3 - \dim \text{Ker} \, d_3 + \dim H_2 = B^3 - \dim \text{Im} \, d_2 + \dim H_3 + \dim H_2 = \cdots$$

$$= \sum_{k=3}^{D+1} (-1)^{k-1} B_k + \sum_{k=2}^{D+1} (-1)^k \dim H_k$$

$$= \sum_{k=3}^{D+1} (-1)^{k-1} B_k + \sum_{k=2}^{D+1} (-1)^k \dim H_k .$$

The result follows after noting that from (23), $\dim \text{Im} \, d_D = B^D - 1$. \qed

Similarly

**Theorem 3.2.** For any connected colored graph $G$ with $N$ external legs of the linearized $D$ dimensional colored group field model, the amplitude of $G$ at fixed bounded external momenta behaves as

$$A_G \simeq K \Lambda^{D+1} \sum_{k=1}^{D+1} (-1)^{k-1} B_k + \sum_{k=2}^{D+1} (-1)^k h_k , \quad B_{D+1} := 1,$$

where $K$ is an inessential factor, $B_k$ is the number of $k$-bubbles and $h_k$ stand for the Betti numbers for $G$, the graph obtained by deleting the external open strands in $G$.  

4. Power counting for graphs with a planarity condition

Here we present a comparison of the power counting for a particular class of graphs in the linear and nonlinear model. Most of our results in this section are valid for the graphs of the $BF$ theory with group $G$ in dimensions $D = 2, 3, 4$, be it colored or not. It turns out that the amplitude is largely independent of the group $G$ for a specified category of graphs.

First, recall that the lines GFT graphs in these dimensions are made of $D$ strands. Their vertices of valence $D + 1$ involve a specific routing of the incoming strands. Closed circuits followed by the strands define the faces and for a vacuum diagram, the amplitude reads

$$A_G = \int \prod_l \delta(h_l) \prod_f \delta\left(\prod_{i\in\partial f} h_i\right).$$

The integration measure on the group is assumed to be normalized, for instance, by taking the normalized Haar measure on a compact Lie group or the Lebesgue measure with a Gaussian weight for $\mathbb{R}$. The delta functions are regularized either using the heat kernel

$$\delta(h) = \sum_{\rho \text{ irreps}} d_{\rho} \text{Tr}_\rho(h) e^{-\Lambda^{-2}c_{\rho}},$$

for $G$ being a simple Lie group and

$$\delta(h) = \frac{\Lambda}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} e^{-\Lambda^{-2}h^2/2}$$

for $G = \mathbb{R}$, so that we recover the Dirac distribution on $G$ in the limit $\Lambda \to \infty$. The heat kernel regularization has the obvious advantage of preserving the positivity but any other regularization fulfilling $\delta(gh) = \delta(hg)$ could also be used in the following argument.

**Definition 4.1.** For a GFT graph $G$, we define its jacket $\overrightarrow{G}$ as the ribbon graph made of the two external strands.

Note that the jacket can be defined only because we considered only models generating untwisted stranded graphs without any symmetrization on the strands. The jacket then fully determines the underlying GFT graph. For a colored model the jacket can be uniquely defined in terms of the colors only instead of the external strands. This definition is motivated by the following result, which exhibits a class of graphs for which the amplitude do not depend on the nature of the group $G$.

**Theorem 4.1.** For a connected GFT vacuum graph $G$ and whose jacket is planar, the amplitude reads

$$A_G = [\delta(1)]^{N+1},$$

where $N$ is the number of circuits followed by the $D - 2$ strands that do not define its jacket.

**Proof.** It is convenient to pass to the dual $G^\star$. In this case the faces of $G^\star$ become vertices of $G^\star$ and the Dirac distribution around faces becomes more familiar momentum conservation around vertices. Lines of $G^\star$ are in bijection with lines of $G^\star$, which may be drawn perpendicularly to those of $G$. Note however that momenta are group elements and do not necessarily commute. This is taken into account by the cyclic ordering at the vertices of $G^\star$. Next, choose a spanning tree $T$ in $G^\star$. Because of momentum conservation, momenta on the lines of $T$ are entirely determined by the momenta in $G^\star - T$. Then, the product around the faces of the $\delta$-function pertaining to the faces of $G$ in (33) can be written as a product of these $\delta$-functions on the lines of $T$ times a $\delta$-function expressing the momentum conservation around $T$. More precisely,
Figure 2. A graph, its dual and a spanning tree on the dual.

let us choose a vertex on $T$, the root, and orient all lines $T$ from the root to the leaves. The lines not in $T$ join two vertices in $T$ and we orient them toward the root, that is, in such a way that they form a consistently oriented cycle with the lines of $T$ between these two vertices. Finally, all faces in $G$ inherit the orientation of the surface it is embedded in. We denote by $T|_l$ the tree formed by the lines of $T$ between the root and $l$, $l$ not included. Then,

$$
\prod_{f \text{faces of } G} \delta_A \left( \prod_{l \in \partial f} h_l \right) = \delta_A(h_T) \prod_{l \in T} \delta_A(h_{T|_l}),
$$

(37)

where $h_T$ is the product of the cyclically ordered momenta incoming to the tree $T'$.

If we further assume that $G$ is planar, then the lines $l \notin T$ incident to $T$ are either nested or disjoint, so that each line appears at most once in each $\delta$ function in (37). Accordingly, $\delta_A(h_T) = \delta_A(1)$. Consider now any closed circuit followed by the $D-2$ strands that do not form the jacket and draw it on $G^*$ using perpendicular lines. This circuit encircles a certain number of vertices of $G^*$ and momentum conservation implies that the product of the $h_l$ along the circuit is 1. For instance, in the example of figure 2, the tree momenta $h_2, h_5, h_6, h_7, h_8$ are determined in terms of the loop momenta $h_1, h_3, h_4$.

In the general case of a nonplanar jacket, (37) still holds. However, the lines not in $T$ may have crossings, so that we have factors of the type $\delta_A(h \cdots h^{-1} \cdots)$, with the dots denoting group elements other than $h$. In particular $\delta_A(h_T)$ encodes the defining relation of the fundamental group of the surface $G$ is drawn on. Then, the amplitude cannot be computed without using specific group theoretical relations, unless the $\delta$-functions of the closed circuits yield some extra simplifications. Nevertheless, troublesome factors of the type $\delta_A(h \cdots h^{-1} \cdots)$ are trivial for the commutative group $\mathbb{R}$. Since the divergence of the amplitude is a consequence of the redundancy of the $\delta$ functions, it may be reasonably asserted that if a diagram is divergent in the nonlinear theory, so it is in the linearized one.

Example 4.1 (The octahedron). Consider a graph whose structure is an octahedron (6 vertices and 12 lines). Its jacket is planar and the strands not in the jacket form three circuits. This immediately yields

$$A_{\text{octahedron}} = [\delta_A(1)]^4.
$$

(38)
5. Conclusion and discussions

We have defined the linearized GFTs and established their power counting. The result sheds more light on a result of [6], where the power counting for a particular class of graphs in three dimensions is given in terms of the number of their 3-bubbles.

Our results show how this formula has to be completed in the linear and colored situation by the alternating sum of the number of higher dimensional bubbles and Betti numbers for the graphs. This study also shows the simplicity of colored models with their more regular structures.

This work has also introduced other power-counting results under planarity conditions.

Apart from these properties, we remark that for linearized colored graphs the power counting is $B^3 - 1$, like for type I graphs, if and only if $h_2 = 0$. This proves that

**Theorem 5.1.** Every type I graph in the sense of [6] must have $h_2 = 0$.

It is however not so easy to build colored graphs with $h_2 \neq 0$. Remark that the three colored graphs considered in [8] all have $h_2 = 0$. However, such graphs should exist. By Poincaré duality, any compact manifold (such as the 3-torus $T^3$) for which one has $h_1 \neq 0$ has also $h_2 = h_1 \neq 0$. From a theorem by Kaufmann, any piecewise-linear manifold admits a colored triangulations [15], so there should exist such graphs but we have not found any explicit example yet.

We should explore elsewhere the properties of the Symanzik polynomials for tensor theories introduced in this paper under deletion-contraction of lines, faces or higher ‘bubbles’ and their link to the polynomials introduced in [14].

We shall also study in a future publication the behavior of leading graphs such as the chain of figures 3 and 4. These are maximal vacuum graphs in the sense that at order $n$ their linearized power counting is $2 + n/2$. They can be colored easily.
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