Eating Habits and Lifestyle during COVID-19 Lockdown in the United Arab Emirates: A Cross-Sectional Study
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Abstract: The coronavirus disease is still spreading in the United Arab Emirates (UAE) with subsequent lockdowns and social distancing measures being enforced by the government. The purpose of this study was to assess the effect of the lockdown on eating habits and lifestyle behaviors among residents of the UAE. A cross-sectional study among adults in the UAE was conducted using an online questionnaire between April and May 2020. A total of 1012 subjects participated in the study. During the pandemic, 31% reported weight gain and 72.2% had less than eight cups of water per day. Furthermore, the dietary habits of the participants were distanced from the Mediterranean diet principles and closer to “unhealthy” dietary patterns. Moreover, 38.5% did not engage in physical activity and 36.2% spent over five hours per day on screens for entertainment. A significantly higher percentage of participants reported physical exhaustion, emotional exhaustion, irritability, and tension “all the time” during the pandemic compared to before the pandemic (p < 0.001). Sleep disturbances were prevalent among 60.8% of the participants during the pandemic. Although lockdowns are an important safety measure to protect public health, results indicate that they might cause a variety of lifestyle changes, physical inactivity, and psychological problems among adults in the UAE.
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1. Introduction

The novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic has added various challenges and changes to human life worldwide, causing an unprecedented impact on human health, lifestyle, and social life, and has affected the local and international economy [1]. Following its first emergence in December 2019, in the city of Wuhan in China and its subsequent outbreak throughout the world in the following months it was characterized as a global pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) on 11 March 2020 [2]. On 28 September 2020, over 32.7 million confirmed cases of novel coronavirus and around 991,000 deaths worldwide were reported by the WHO [3]. In the United Arab Emirates (UAE) a total of 90,618 confirmed cases were reported in the same period [3]. In response to the rapid spread of the disease governments all around the world had to implement strict measures such as complete or partial lockdowns, isolation, quarantine and social distancing [4,5].

In the UAE, as a response to this outbreak, the government had to act quickly to contain the spread of the virus. Parallel with measures taken by most countries worldwide, complete and partial lockdowns were implemented, non-essential public places were closed, telework and distance learning was initiated, delivery services like delivering drugs to chronically ill patients were provided and sanitizing cities during night as part of the National Disinfection Program was implemented [6]. According to the World Bank, the total population of the UAE in 2019 was about 9.8 million [7]. However, nearly 75% of the population is concentrated in Abu Dhabi and Dubai as they have more than 3 million residents each. Moreover, the UAE is a multicultural country with expatriates and immigrants accounting for about 88% of the population [8]. Thus, this study provides unique opportunities to examine the impact of COVID-19 on lifestyle behaviors in the UAE.

There is no doubt that during times of confinement, food accessibility and availability may be affected, which in turn affects diet quality [9]. The imposed possibility of reduced income, job losses and anxiety about an uncertain future might lead the population to cut down expenditure including their expenses for food, making them go for more palatable, affordable and possibly unhealthy options [10]. Diet can affect many areas, but most importantly it can affect immune status [11] in the short term, a time during which heightened activity should be at its best. Available literature, however, has shown trends toward unfavorable dietary behaviors during the lockdown such as increased caloric intake, more frequent snacking, reduced consumption of fresh fruits and vegetables, and weight gain [10,12]. Traditionally, the diet in the UAE consists of fruits (such as dates), vegetables and fish and it is characterized by a high-fiber content and low fat and cholesterol content [13]; foods that characterize the Mediterranean diet and that are rich in vitamins A, D, C, folate, E and B-complex, required for an optimal immune response. Moreover, a large portion of UAE residents are from Arab countries in which fruits, vegetables and olive oils constitute key components of their diets. Therefore, it would be of interest to assess any shift in dietary habits during the COVID-19 situation.

Levels of physical activity were also negatively affected during quarantine [10,14,15]. Factors like complete lockdowns, closure of sport facilities and parks, and overall movement restrictions have reduced the ability to engage in physical activity. This was accompanied with an increase in sedentary behaviors related to quarantine, including distance learning and telework [16]. A meta-analysis on physical activity prior to COVID-19 pandemic revealed that a quarter of the population residing in the UAE had a sedentary lifestyle and were not engaged in any type of physical activity [17].

The emergence of infectious diseases reaching pandemic levels induces a huge psychological impact and distressed mental health symptoms in the population with anxiety being the most common as was shown following the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [18,19]. Anxiety and uncertainty along with food insecurity and restricted healthcare access might also impact individuals with eating disorders and obesity [20,21]. Multiple factors influence the extent of psychological impact of outbreaks including unknown means of virus transmission, future unpredictability, media misinformation, and quarantine [19,22]. Consequently, such stressful
events strongly aggravate disturbed sleep patterns and insomnia, poor eating habits along with decreased levels of physical activity and increased sedentary behaviors [23,24].

This study aimed to investigate the effect of quarantine on eating habits, physical activity, stress and sleep behaviors among adult UAE residents using a formulated online survey. A comparison of lifestyle and dietary behaviors before and during the lockdown was also conducted to allow better understanding of the effects of Covid-19-induced confinement policies on lifestyle changes among the UAE residents. Dietary intake was examined during the lockdown to evaluate potential risks of nutritional inadequacies.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design and Participants

To assess the effect of the coronavirus pandemic and the effect of lockdown on eating habits and lifestyle of residents of the UAE, a population-based (cross-sectional) study was conducted in the UAE between April and May 2020. Although cross-sectional studies are rarely used to compare before and after, since there is no temporal sequence, it is the best design to use when previous information is not available, in order to draw inferences. Considering the sudden outbreak of COVID-19, this study aimed to evaluate the effect of the pandemic by examining highly modifiable factors including lifestyle and dietary.

The target population included all adults ≥18 years and from all seven emirates, residing in UAE. These were invited to participate in an online survey using snowball sampling methods in order to guarantee a large-scale distribution and recruitment of participants. A total of 1012 participants (24.1% males) were included in this study.

A web link was retrieved for the survey and was distributed using e-mail invitations and social media platforms, e.g., LinkedIn™ (Mountain View, CA, USA), Facebook™ (Cambridge, MA, USA), and WhatsApp™ (Menlo Park, CA, USA). The first page of the survey included an information sheet and consent form indicating the participants’ right to withdraw at any time. Consenting participants then chose their desired language and proceeded to complete and submit their responses. All data were collected anonymously with no indication of any personal information and participants were not rewarded. The study protocol was approved by the Research Ethics Committee at the University of Sharjah (REC-20-04-25-02) and the Social Sciences Research Ethics Committee at United Arab of Emirates University (ERS_2020_6106).

2.2. Survey Questionnaire

A multicomponent, self-administered online survey was designed using Google document forms in English, Arabic, and French. This survey contained questions on dietary and lifestyle habits prior to and during the COVID-19 confinement. A researcher from the College of Health Sciences at the University of Sharjah (UAE) and a researcher from the College of Food and Agriculture at United Arab Emirates University (UAE) developed the draft of the survey in English. Questions were developed based on a previous national nutrition survey [25], the International Physical Activity Questionnaire Short Form (IPAQ-SF) [26] and the Copenhagen Psychosocial Questionnaire (COPSOQ-II) [27]. It was then translated and culturally adapted following an internationally accepted methodology [28,29]. The survey was later reviewed by the research team and was pilot tested with 25 people from the UAE. Following the pilot-testing, slight modifications were made to the survey. The online survey included 37 questions and was divided into seven sections: (1) socio-demographic background (10 questions): gender, age, marital status, number of children the participant has, education level, employment status, whether they were working or studying from home during the lockdown, weight change, perceived health status, and emirate of residence; (2) sources of information (2 questions): where do they obtain health and nutrition related information; (3) eating habits (8 questions): meal type, meal frequency, eating breakfast, skipping meals, reasons for skipping meals, water
intake, and food frequency of specific foods; (4) shopping habits (5 questions): preparing a grocery list, stocking up on foods, using online shopping, reading food labels, and cleaning/sanitizing groceries; (5) physical activity (4 questions): exercising frequency, household chores frequency, computer time for work or study, and screen time for entertainment; (6) stress and irritability (4 questions): physical exhaustion, emotional exhaustion, irritability, and tension; (7) sleep (4 questions) sleep duration, sleep quality, sleep disturbances, and energy level. The full version of the questionnaire is available as a Supplementary File.

Questions on eating habits, physical activity, stress and irritability, and sleep were asked twice, once regarding the period before the pandemic (pre-COVID-19) and the other regarding the period during lockdown (during COVID-19).

2.2.1. Dietary Assessment

A total of 10 specific dietary questions were included in the questionnaire to assess frequency of specific food groups only during COVID-19 pandemic [30]. Food groups were included based on usual intakes of the population residing in the United Arab Emirates [31,32]. These characterize the basic Mediterranean type diet but also include food high in sugar and fat, observed to be recently trending in the UAE [25]. Specifically, the questionnaire included the following food groups: fruit, vegetables, milk and milk products, meat and meat products (red meat, chicken and fish), grains (bread, rice pasta), sweets, sugar sweetened beverages (ssbs), coffee and tea, and energy drinks. Response options included never; 1–4 times per week; once a day; 2–3 times a day; 4 or more times a day. Internal consistency of the food added in the food frequency questionnaire was evaluated using Cronbach’s alpha for this section of the questionnaire specifically, to decrease false high internal consistency, since this test is affected by the length of the test [33]. A value of 0.81 was derived showing strong inter-relatedness of the food items, ensuring validity (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.81, from a scale of 0 to 1.0; small cohort error variance of 0.34).

2.2.2. Physical Activity Assessment

A modified version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire Short Form (IPAQ-SF) was used to assess frequency of physical activity pre-COVID-19 and during COVID-19 among surveyed participants [26]. Participants were asked to indicate “how many days per week did they engage in moderate to vigorous physical activity”, and “how many days per week did they engage in household chores”. They were also asked to indicate “how many hours per day did they spend on the computer for work or study”, and “how many hours per day did they spend on screens for fun and entertainment”.

2.2.3. Stress, Irritability and Sleep Assessment

Questions on stress and sleep were adopted from the second version of the Copenhagen Psychosocial Questionnaire (COPSOQ-II) with modifications [27]. Regarding stress and irritability, participants were asked to provide the frequency of experiencing physical exhaustion; emotional exhaustion; irritability; and tension. The same questions were asked once regarding the period before the pandemic (pre-COVID-19) and once during the pandemic. The response options included all the time; a small part of the time; part of the time; a large part of the time; all the time.

With regard to sleep, participants were asked if they experienced sleep disturbances including sleeping badly and restlessly; having difficulty to go to sleep; waking up too early and not being able to get back to sleep; waking up several times and found it difficult to get back to sleep; or none of the options. The questionnaire also included the following questions: “number of sleeping hours per night”, “rating sleep quality”, and “describing energy level during the day”. The repose options for rating sleep quality were very good; good; poor. The repose options for describing energy level were energized; neutral; lazy. Questions were repeated twice, once about the period pre-COVID-19 and the second regarding the period during COVID-19.
2.3. Statistical Analysis

Categorical variables are presented as counts and percentages. The chi-square test was used to determine the association between categorical variables, and the McNemar test was used to investigate the difference between categorical variables before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. A sub-analysis was also performed for weight and specific behavioral variables’ differences between groups. Specifically, data were stratified (i) by sex, (ii) by age group (18–35 and ≥36 years), and (iii) level of education. Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to group related dietary practice into components [34]. The correlation of each food group with the underlying component was calculated with component loadings. In this analysis, values >0.3 were considered as having an effect in the component construction. Each participant was given a score based on the sum of the component loadings of each food group. The identified components were rotated (varimax rotation) to retrieve orthogonal, uncorrelated factors, decreasing variance errors. The Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin (KMO) measure of sample adequacy was used to assess PCA adequacy. Results were significant for p value < 0.05. Statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26.0 (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Demographic Characteristics

The survey was completed by 1012 participants. The sample distribution from different emirates was representative of the population distribution in the UAE. With the highest number of participants residing in Abu Dhabi and Dubai. More specifically, local coverage spreads over all regions in the UAE: 33.9% of participants live in the capital Abu Dhabi, 32.5% in Dubai, and 33.6% in Sharjah and northern Emirates. The majority of the participants completed the survey in Arabic (60.4%), followed by English (39.3%), and only 0.3% chose the French language. Comprehensive information relating to demographic characteristics of the study population is presented in Table 1. The majority of participants were females (75.9%), aged 26–35 years (29.1%), were married (56.4%), had no children (50%), completed a bachelor’s degree (54.1%), worked full-time (53.3%), and were working or studying from home during quarantine (61.6%). Almost one third of the participants reported weight gain since the start of the lockdown (31%). However, 20.9% reported weight loss, 40.1% maintained their weight, and 7.9% did not know if there was a change in their weight. The majority of participants described their health status during the outbreak as very good (39.7%) and only 0.7% indicated poor health status.

| Characteristics     | n   | %   |
|---------------------|-----|-----|
| Gender              |     |     |
| Male                | 244 | 24.1|
| Female              | 768 | 75.9|
| Age (years)         |     |     |
| 18–25               | 280 | 27.7|
| 26–35               | 294 | 29.1|
| 36–45               | 240 | 23.7|
| 46–55               | 154 | 15.2|
| >55                 | 44  | 4.3 |
| Marital status      |     |     |
| Married             | 571 | 56.4|
| Single              | 403 | 39.8|
| Divorced            | 30  | 3.0 |
| Widowed             | 8   | 0.8 |
Table 1. Cont.

| Characteristics                  | n   | %  |
|----------------------------------|-----|----|
| Number of children               |     |    |
| None                             | 506 | 50.0|
| 1–2                              | 230 | 22.7|
| ≥ 3                              | 276 | 27.3|
| Education level                  |     |    |
| Less than high school            | 8   | 0.8 |
| High school                      | 111  | 11.0|
| College/Diploma                  | 102  | 10.1|
| Bachelor’s degree                | 547  | 54.1|
| Higher than bachelor’s degree    | 244  | 24.1|
| Employment status                |     |    |
| Full-time                        | 539  | 53.3|
| Part-time                        | 44   | 4.3 |
| Self-employed                    | 31   | 3.1 |
| Student                          | 156  | 15.4|
| Unemployed                       | 230  | 22.7|
| Retired                          | 12   | 1.2 |
| Working/studying from home       |     |    |
| Yes                              | 623  | 61.6|
| No                               | 309  | 30.5|
| Not applicable                   | 80   | 7.9 |
| Weight change during pandemic    |     |    |
| Lost weight                      | 212  | 20.9|
| Gained weight                    | 314  | 31.0|
| Maintained weight                | 406  | 40.1|
| Do not know                      | 80   | 7.9 |
| Perceived health state during    |     |    |
| pandemic                         |     |    |
| Excellent                        | 217  | 21.4|
| Very good                        | 402  | 39.7|
| Good                             | 284  | 28.1|
| Fair                             | 102  | 10.1|
| Poor                             | 7    | 0.7 |
| Emirate of residence             |     |    |
| Abu Dhabi                        | 343  | 33.9|
| Dubai                            | 329  | 32.5|
| Sharjah                          | 244  | 24.1|
| Ajman                            | 52   | 5.1 |
| Ras al Khaimah                   | 20   | 2.0 |
| Fujairah                         | 16   | 1.6 |
| Umm al Quwain                    | 8    | 0.8 |

3.2. Source of Information

When asked about the most common source of information for health and nutrition updates, 69.1% and 67.8% of participants reported relying on social media applications, respectively (Table 2). Local and international health authorities were selected as the second source of information for both health and nutrition updates (65.4% and 48.7%, respectively).
Table 2. Source of health and nutrition information during COVID-19 pandemic (n = 1012).

| Source of Information * | Health-Related Information, n (% | Nutrition-Related Information, n (%) |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Local and international health authorities | 662 (65.4) | 493 (48.7) |
| Social media | 699 (69.1) | 686 (67.8) |
| Healthcare professionals | 409 (40.4) | 462 (45.7) |
| Television | 231 (22.8) | 172 (17.0) |
| Newspapers | 75 (7.4) | 51 (5.0) |
| Friends and family | 339 (33.5) | 386 (38.1) |

* As multiple responses were allowed, the total number of responses is greater than the number of surveyed participants and the percent of cases is displayed.

3.3. Eating Habits

Table 3 presents the eating habits of the study participants pre- and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Results showed a significant increase in the percentage of participants consuming mostly homemade meals during the pandemic and a significant reduction in those mainly consuming fast-food (p < 0.001). Moreover, the percentage of participants consuming five or more meals per day increased from 2.1% before the pandemic to 7% during the pandemic (p < 0.001). Also, the percentage of participants consuming breakfast increased from 66% to 74.2%, and the percentage of those skipping meals decreased from 64.5% to 46.2% during the pandemic (p < 0.001). Participants reported skipping meals mainly due to lack of time before the pandemic (62.3%), however, the main reason behind that was lack of appetite (36%). With regards to water intake, only 24.1% of participants consumed eight or more cups per day before the pandemic, and the percentage increased to 27.8% during the pandemic (p = 0.003).

Table 3. Eating habits pre- and during COVID-19 pandemic (n = 1012).

| Variables | Pre-COVID-19 n (%) | During COVID-19 n (%) | p-Value (2-Sided) |
|-----------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Most consumed meals during the week * | | | |
| Homemade | 838 (82.8) | 974 (96.2) | <0.001 |
| Frozen ready-to-eat meals | 119 (11.8) | 97 (9.6) | 0.032 |
| Fast food | 270 (26.7) | 80 (7.9) | <0.001 |
| Restaurants 1 | 289 (28.6) | 58 (5.7) | <0.001 |
| Healthy restaurants 2 | 98 (9.7) | 46 (4.5) | <0.001 |
| Number of meals per day | | | |
| 1–2 meals | 470 (46.4) | 369 (36.5) | <0.001 |
| 3–4 meals | 521 (51.5) | 572 (56.5) | 0.009 |
| ≥5 meals | 21 (2.1) | 71 (7.0) | <0.001 |
| Eating breakfast on most days | | | |
| Yes | 668 (66.0) | 751 (74.2) | <0.001 |
| No | 344 (34.0) | 261 (25.8) | |
| Skipping meals | | | |
| Yes | 663 (65.5) | 468 (46.2) | <0.001 |
| No | 349 (34.5) | 544 (53.8) | |
| Reasons for skipping meals (If the answer was yes) * | | | |
| To reduce food intake | 143 (21.7) | 136 (29.1) | 0.011 |
| Lack of time | 410 (62.3) | 143 (30.6) | <0.001 |
| To lose weight | 122 (18.5) | 110 (23.6) | 0.001 |
| Lack of appetite | 182 (27.7) | 168 (36.0) | 0.016 |
| Fasting | 68 (10.3) | 120 (25.7) | <0.001 |
Table 3. Cont.

| Variables                        | Pre-COVID-19 | During COVID-19 | p-Value (2-Sided) |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Amount of water consumed per day |              |                 |                   |
| 1–4 cups                         | 410 (40.5)   | 337 (33.3)      | <0.001            |
| 5–7 cups                         | 358 (35.4)   | 394 (38.9)      | 0.036             |
| ≥8 cups                          | 244 (24.1)   | 281 (27.8)      | 0.003             |

* As multiple responses were allowed, the total number of responses is greater than the number of surveyed participants and the percent of cases is displayed. 1 Restaurants: included all ethnic restaurants (Asian, Middle Eastern, International, etc.), casual dining and family style restaurants; 2 healthy restaurants: included food outlets with the “Weqaya logo”, restaurants categorized as “healthy” on food mobile apps (such as Zomato, Talabat, and Uber Eats) or catering services providing meal plan services based on nutritional needs (such as Kcal, right bite, Eat Clean ME, etc.).

The frequency of consumption for particular food products during the COVID-19 pandemic among residents of the UAE are presented in Table 4. Over half of the participants (51.2%) did not consume fruits daily, 37% did not consume vegetables daily, and 46.2% did not consume milk and dairy products on daily basis. However, 46.1% of the participants consumed sweets and desserts at least once per day, and 37.1% reported consuming salty snacks (chips, crackers, and nuts) every day.

Table 4. The frequency of consumption of particular foods during COVID-19 pandemic (n = 1012).

| Food Items   | ≥4 Times/Day | 2–3 Times/Day | Once/Day | 1–4 Times/Week | Never |
|--------------|--------------|---------------|----------|----------------|-------|
| Fruits       | 20 (2.0)     | 133 (13.1)    | 341 (33.7) | 462 (45.7)     | 56 (5.5) |
| Vegetables   | 32 (3.2)     | 244 (24.1)    | 362 (35.8) | 356 (35.2)     | 18 (1.8) |
| Milk and milk products | 17 (1.7) | 167 (16.5) | 361 (35.7) | 374 (37.0) | 93 (9.2) |
| Meat/fish/chicken | 32 (3.2) | 133 (13.1) | 440 (43.5) | 383 (37.8) | 24 (2.4) |
| Bread/rice/pasta | 43 (4.2) | 263 (26.0) | 350 (34.6) | 311 (30.7) | 45 (4.4) |
| Sweets/desserts | 29 (2.9) | 106 (10.5) | 331 (32.7) | 437 (43.2) | 109 (10.8) |
| Salty snacks  | 14 (1.4)     | 85 (8.4)      | 276 (27.3) | 500 (49.4)     | 137 (13.5) |
| Coffee/tea   | 80 (7.9)     | 321 (31.7)    | 300 (29.6) | 222 (21.9)     | 89 (8.8) |
| Sweetened drinks | 18 (1.8) | 51 (5.0) | 156 (15.4) | 340 (33.6) | 447 (44.2) |
| Energy drinks | 4 (0.4)      | 11 (1.1)      | 35 (3.5)  | 87 (8.6)       | 875 (86.5) |

Additionally, 69.2% had tea or coffee at least once per day. Sweet drinks such as fruit juices and beverages were less popular among the study participants, as 44.2% reported never consuming them and an even higher percentage (86.5%) reported never consuming energy drinks during the pandemic.

A total of two components from the PCA output were derived, based on eigenvalue (at least 1) and scree plots obtained (Table 5). These two components explained 47% of the variance in eating behavior and were named based on the interpretation of the component loadings. The first pattern explained 31% of eating variation and was named “Western-type diet” since it was characterized by significantly positive loadings in dairy, meat, sweets, salted foods and vegetables. The second pattern explained 16% of the variance and loaded positively with ssbs and energy drinks and negatively on fruits and vegetables. Therefore, it was named “Free Sugars diet”. A KMO of 0.78 was obtained, which is considered substantial.
Table 5. Component loading for the two major dietary patterns of the participants during COVID-19.

| Food Groups | Western | Free Sugars |
|-------------|---------|-------------|
| Fruits      | 0.2839  | -0.3807     |
| Vegetable   | 0.3302  | -0.4219     |
| Milk        | 0.3247  | -0.1932     |
| Meat        | 0.3599  | -0.0732     |
| Carbs       | 0.3975  | -0.0764     |
| Sweets      | 0.3845  | 0.2917      |
| Salted Foods| 0.3356  | 0.2776      |
| Coffee/Tea  | 0.2457  | -0.1641     |
| Sweet Drinks| 0.2678  | 0.4929      |
| Energy Drinks| 0.1575 | 0.4433      |

KMO: Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin (KMO) test. The unique characteristics of each component (dietary pattern) are presented in bold. Marginally unique dietary characteristic for each component. Loadings ≥0.30 and ≤−0.30.

3.4. Shopping

The results revealed that the majority of participants prepared a shopping list beforehand (80.3%), started stocking up on foods during the pandemic (43.9%), did not order their groceries online (58.0%), read the food label before purchasing products (52.4%), and sanitized or cleaned groceries before storing them (71.9%) (Table 6).

Table 6. Shopping practices during COVID-19 pandemic (n = 1012).

| Variables               | n  | %  |
|-------------------------|----|----|
| Prepare shopping list   |    |    |
| Yes                     | 813| 80.3|
| No                      | 199| 19.7|
| Start stocking up on foods |   |    |
| Yes                     | 444| 43.9|
| No                      | 412| 40.7|
| Already stocking up     | 156| 15.4|
| Online grocery shopping |    |    |
| Yes                     | 425| 42.0|
| No                      | 587| 58.0|
| Reading food labels     |    |    |
| Yes                     | 530| 52.4|
| No                      | 113| 11.2|
| Sometimes               | 369| 36.5|
| Sanitizing/cleaning groceries |   |    |
| Yes                     | 728| 71.9|
| No                      | 113| 11.2|
| Sometimes               | 171| 16.9|

3.5. Physical Activity

Figure 1a shows that 32.1% of the participants reported not engaging in any physical activity before the coronavirus pandemic, and the percentage increased to 38.5% during the pandemic (p < 0.001). Moreover, Figure 1b shows that there was a significant association between the frequency of performing physical activity during the pandemic and the reported change in weight among participants (p < 0.001).
Of those who reported performing physical activity more than three times per week, 29.9% lost weight and 49.5% maintained their weight ($p < 0.001$). Furthermore, 40.3% of people who did not perform physical activity reported weight gain.

![Figure 1.](image)

**Figure 1.** Physical activity pre- and during COVID-19 pandemic (a) Frequency; (b) Change in weight. The $p$ values indicate the statistical significance of McNemar test. The $p$ values indicate the statistical significance of chi-square test.

A significantly higher percentage of participants spent more than five hours per day on the computer for study or work purposes during the pandemic (47.6%) compared to before the pandemic (32%) ($p < 0.001$). Similarly, the percentage of participants spending more than five hours per day on screens for fun increased from 12.9% before the lockdown to 36.2% during the lockdown ($p < 0.001$) (Table 7).

**Table 7.** Daily activities pre- and during COVID-19 pandemic ($n = 1012$).

| Variables                  | Pre-COVID-19 | During COVID-19 | $p$-Value (2-Sided) |
|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Doing household chores     |              |                 |                     |
| Never                      | 302 (29.8)   | 207 (20.5)      | <0.001              |
| 1–3 times/week             | 404 (39.8)   | 333 (32.9)      | <0.001              |
| 4–5 times/week             | 62 (6.1)     | 114 (11.3)      | <0.001              |
| Everyday                   | 244 (24.1)   | 358 (35.4)      | <0.001              |
| Screen time for study or work |          |                 |                     |
| None                       | 188 (18.6)   | 160 (15.8)      | 0.004               |
| 1–2 h/day                  | 282 (27.9)   | 136 (13.4)      | <0.001              |
| 3–5 h/day                  | 218 (21.5)   | 234 (23.1)      | 0.375               |
| >5 h/day                   | 324 (32.0)   | 482 (47.6)      | <0.001              |
| Screen time for entertainment |            |                 |                     |
| Less than 30 min/day       | 113 (11.2)   | 62 (6.1)        | <0.001              |
| 1–2 h/day                  | 456 (45.1)   | 231 (22.8)      | <0.001              |
| 3–5 h/day                  | 312 (30.8)   | 353 (34.9)      | 0.053               |
| >5 h/day                   | 131 (12.9)   | 366 (36.2)      | <0.001              |

### 3.6. Stress

Participants were asked to indicate the frequency of experiencing physical exhaustion; emotional exhaustion; irritability; and tension before and during the pandemic. Figure 2 presented the response distribution in percentages for each of the four stress parameters.
Weight gain as well as an increase in the number of meals consumed per day (Table 8). However, a higher percentage of participants reported poor sleep quality during the pandemic (28.1%) compared to before the pandemic (17.3) (Table 8). Results showed a significant decrease in the percentage of participants who reported sleeping less than seven hours per night from 51.7% before the pandemic to 39% during the pandemic (p < 0.001) (Table 8).

The results indicate a significant increase in the percentage of participants reporting all four stress parameters “all the time” during the coronavirus pandemic compared to before the pandemic (13.3% vs. 7.7% for physical exhaustion; 14.1% vs. 6.3% for emotional exhaustion; 13.5% vs. 6.9% for irritability; and 17.8% vs. 6.3% for tension) (all p < 0.001).

3.7. Sleep

Results showed a significant decrease in the percentage of participants who reported sleeping less than seven hours per night from 51.7% before the pandemic to 39% during the pandemic (p < 0.001) (Table 8). However, a higher percentage of participants reported poor sleep quality during the pandemic (28.1%) compared to before the pandemic (17.3) (p < 0.001), and sleep disturbances were also more common during the pandemic (60.8%) compared to before (52.9%). Consequently, 30.9% of the surveyed participants reported feeling lazy and less energized during the pandemic, compared to only 4.7% before the pandemic (p < 0.001) (Table 8).

An analysis of weight and behavioral factors by sex and age groups is depicted in Table 9. Significantly more males reported decreased engagement in physical activity (50% vs. 39.3%; p = 0.013) and increased screen time (54.5% vs. 51%; p = 0.002). Sleep disturbances increase was, however, significantly higher in females (p = 0.011). Moreover, those aged over 36 years reported a higher weight gain as well as an increase in the number of meals consumed per day (p = 0.042 and p = 0.024, respectively). Sleep duration and quality was most affected among participants aged 18–35 (p < 0.001). There was no significant association between different education levels and lifestyle changes (Table 9).
| Variables                        | Pre-COVID-19 | During COVID-19 | p-Value (2-Sided) |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Hours of sleep per night         |              |                 |                   |
| <7 h                             | 523 (51.7)   | 395 (39.0)      | <0.001            |
| 7–9 h                            | 459 (45.4)   | 499 (49.3)      | 0.057             |
| >9 h                             | 30 (3.0)     | 118 (11.7)      | <0.001            |
| How would you rate your sleep quality |          |                 |                   |
| Very good                        | 308 (30.4)   | 282 (27.9)      | 0.134             |
| Good                             | 529 (52.3)   | 446 (44.1)      | <0.001            |
| Poor                             | 175 (17.3)   | 284 (28.1)      | <0.001            |
| Did you experience any of the following * |        |                 |                   |
| Slept badly and restlessly      | 251 (24.8)   | 285 (28.2)      | 0.057             |
| Hard to go to sleep             | 199 (19.7)   | 358 (35.4)      | <0.001            |
| Woken up too early and not been able to get back to sleep | 232 (22.9) | 147 (14.5) | <0.001 |
| Woken up several times and found it difficult to get back to sleep | 187 (18.5) | 334 (33.0) | <0.001 |
| None                             | 477 (47.1)   | 397 (39.2)      | <0.001            |
| Describe your energy level       |              |                 |                   |
| Energized                        | 369 (36.5)   | 189 (18.7)      | <0.001            |
| Neutral                          | 596 (58.9)   | 510 (50.4)      | <0.001            |
| Lazy                             | 47 (4.7)     | 313 (30.9)      | <0.001            |

* As multiple responses were allowed, the total number of responses is greater than the number of surveyed participants and the percent of cases is displayed.

| Variables                        | All n = 1012 | Gender | Age Group (Year) | Education Level |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|
|                                  |              | Female | 18–35 | ≥36 | High School | Higher Degree |
|                                  |              | n = 768 | n = 574 | n = 438 | n = 119 | n = 893 | p Value |
| Weight, n (%)                    |              |         |       |     |             |             |         |
| Decreased                        | 212 (20.9)   | 166 (21.6) | 131 | 81 | 19 | 193 | 0.143 |
| Same as before                   | 486 (48.0)   | 376 (11.0) | 273 | 213 | 62 | 424 | 0.350 |
| Increased                        | 314 (31.0)   | 226 (29.4) | 170 | 144 | 38 | 276 |         |
| Meals per day, n (%)             |              |         |       |     |             |             |         |
| Decreased                        | 124 (12.3)   | 96 (12.5) | 84 | 40 | 13 | 111 | 0.140 |
| Same as before                   | 628 (62.1)   | 464 (60.4) | 342 | 272 | 69 | 559 | 0.352 |
| Increased                        | 260 (25.7)   | 208 (27.1) | 148 | 127 | 37 | 223 |         |
| Physical activity, n (%)         |              |         |       |     |             |             |         |
| Decreased                        | 424 (41.9)   | 302 (39.3) | 226 | 198 | 42 | 382 | 0.013 |
| Same as before                   | 438 (43.3)   | 346 (45.1) | 286 | 180 | 61 | 377 | 0.169 |
| Increased                        | 150 (14.8)   | 120 (15.6) | 90 | 60 | 16 | 134 |         |
Table 9. Cont.

| Variables                  | Gender | Age Group (Year) | Education Level |      |      |      |      |
|----------------------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|------|------|------|------|
|                            | All    | Female n = 768   | Male n = 244    | 18–35 n = 574 | ≥36 n = 438 | High School n = 119 | Higher Degree n = 893 |
|                            | n = 1012 |                |                 | p Value | p Value | p Value | p Value |
| Screen time (entertainment), n (%) |        |                  |                 |        |        |        |        |
| Decreased                  | 72 (7.1) | 67 (8.7)         | 5 (2.0)         | 46 (8.0) | 26 (5.9) | 8 (6.7) | 64 (7.2) |
| Same as before             | 415 (41.0) | 309 (106)       | (40.2)         | 0.002  | 222 (193) | 0.150 | 49 (366) | 0.984 |
| Increased                  | 525 (51.9) | 392 (133)       | (38.7)         | (44.1) | (41.2) | (41.0) |        |
| Sleep (h), n (%)           |        |                  |                 |        |        |        |        |
| Decreased                  | 148 (14.6) | 124 (16.1)      | 24 (9.8)       | 0.051  | 100 (17.4) | (11.0) | <0.001 | 23 (19.3) | (14.0) | 0.302 |
| Same as before             | 534 (52.8) | 397 (137)       | (51.7)         | (56.1) | 270 (47.0) | (60.3) | 59 (475) | (53.2) |        |
| Increased                  | 330 (32.6) | 247 (32.2)      | (32.2)         | (34.0) | 83 (35.5) | (26.8) | 37 (31.1) | (32.8) |        |
| Sleep disturbances, n (%)  |        |                  |                 |        |        |        |        |
| Decreased                  | 157 (15.5) | 119 (15.3)      | 38 (15.6)      | 0.011  | 90 (15.7) | (15.3) | <0.001 | 16 (13.4) | (15.8) | 0.135 |
| Same as before             | 552 (54.5) | 401 (52.2)      | (61.9)         | 0.051  | 151 (49.7) | (61.0) | 58 (48.7) | (55.3) |        |
| Increased                  | 303 (29.9) | 248 (32.3)      | (22.5)         | (34.7) | 55 (23.7) | (23.7) | 45 (37.8) | (28.9) |        |

*p value was based on chi-square test at 5% level.

4. Discussion

This population-based, cross-sectional study assessed eating habits and lifestyle behaviors among residences of the UAE, via an online survey during the COVID-19 pandemic between April and May 2020. The results indicate that the COVID-19 pandemic and the subsequent lockdown resulted in weight gain in about one-third of the respondents with changes in important and highly modifiable dietary and lifestyle behaviors that are considered essential for optimal somatic and psychological health. Specifically, participants also reported an increase in the number of meals consumed per day and a reduction in the percentage of skipping meals particularly breakfast during the pandemic. The present study also indicated that dietary habits were distanced from the Mediterranean diet principles and closer to “unhealthy” dietary patterns, characterized as high in energy but with low nutrient density; viewed as a detrimental combination for immune status. Although more homemade meals were prepared, a factor associated with healthy weight status, at the same time more non-nutritious foods were chosen, as well as being more frequently consumed (since an increase was also seen among frequency of meals per day). These data, therefore, are informative on the potential alterations of food prepared and consumed although at home.

In agreement with our study, the results from Kuwait, United States, Italy and France revealed an increase in caloric intake and indicated weight gain during the current COVID-19 home confinement [10,35–37]. Data from Kuwait, a close Gulf country to UAE, showed a significant increase in weight of respondents during the quarantine and the weight gain was 4.5 times higher among those consuming unhealthy diets [38]. The actual weight increase was not assessed in this study considering the short time interval of COVID-19 lockdown, however, the large percentage of the population that reported an increase in weight can be used as a proxy pertaining to changes in eating behavior and activity level. It has been suggested that the negative alterations in eating behaviors could be due to anxiety or boredom [39], lack of motivation to maintain healthy habits [40], or reduced availability of goods and limited access to food due to restricted store opening hours [41]. The prevalence of overweight and obesity in the UAE even before COVID-19 was high and has increased over time [42]. It is estimated that over one third of the population in the UAE is living with obesity with higher rates among females [43]. Thus, extra efforts are needed to reduce the burden of obesity and its risk factors especially during the COVID-19 pandemic.
Over half of the surveyed participants in this study did not consume fruits daily and about one third did not consume vegetables and dairy products on daily basis. Instead, almost half of the same population reported consuming sweets and desserts at least once per day and over one third consumed salty snacks daily. This transition towards a Westernized diet in the UAE was reported in 1998, where the consumption of fresh fruit and vegetables and of milk and dairy products was found low [32]. Moreover, in 2003, 77.5% of males and 75.7% of females in the UAE had less than five servings of fruit and vegetables per day [44]. Likewise, a recent study among Emirati adolescents revealed that only 28% of them met the recommended daily fruit and vegetable intake [45]. This is concerning especially as fruits and vegetables are an important source of fiber, vitamins, minerals, and antioxidants. Diets rich in antioxidants (such as the Mediterranean diet and Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet) are vascular protective. The Mediterranean diet is recognized as an anti-inflammatory dietary pattern, focusing on high consumption of plant foods, low red meat and dairy and moderate consumption of monounsaturated fat sources such as olive oil [46]. Evidence suggests that the Mediterranean diet is associated with better health status, lower risk of chronic disease and inflammation as well as increased immunity [47–49]. The Mediterranean diet is not only a healthy dietary pattern, but is also a sustainable diet that has a lower environmental impact than the typical Western diet [50]. Moreover, mounting evidence indicates that the Mediterranean diet has a favorable effect on diseases related to chronic inflammation, including visceral obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus and the metabolic syndrome [51–55]. Knowing that the prevalence of cardiovascular disease incidence is high in the UAE (40%) [56] and rates of dyslipidemia are strikingly elevated (72.5%) [57] makes it imperative that diets such as the Mediterranean diet should be encouraged to prevent the potentially negative effect of quarantine on dietary habits and overall health [41].

Due to the increase in obesogenic behaviors related to the COVID-19 pandemic, two dietary patterns were revealed among the studied population, named the “Western-type diet” and the “Free Sugars diet”. These patterns indicate unhealthy eating behaviors during the period of the pandemic. This is in agreement with previous studies reporting a transformation of the diet in Eastern Mediterranean countries from a traditional Mediterranean diet to a more Westernized diet which is high in energy, saturated fat, cholesterol, salt, and refined carbohydrates, and low in fruits, vegetables, fiber, and polyunsaturated fats [25,58–60]. Therefore, current dietary behaviors in the UAE may not be effective against the COVID-19 virus since it can adversely affect the immune system response among other health factors. Furthermore, it is unclear whether these dietary patterns were due to the lockdown that followed the COVID-19 outbreak; however, the implications can be detrimental considering an adequate supply of macro- and micro-nutrients are essential for optimal immune function and response [11,61].

Amidst these passive changes in food behavior, some beneficial aspects emerged from this study, such as a significant increase in home-made food preparations, regular breakfast consumption and lower intakes of fast foods. Similarly, a consumer online based survey conducted by Ipsos across the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region revealed that 57% out of the 5000 consumers who took part in the survey were preparing their own meals, and 79% were eating less often at restaurants [62].

Among the surveyed participants, more than one third reported a non-engagement in any physical activity during coronavirus pandemic lockdown. This was mostly observed among males in this study, with a simultaneously greater likelihood of increased sedentary time, compared to females. The findings of this questionnaire are in accordance with other studies indicating that the current COVID-19 pandemic had a dramatic impact on lifestyle behaviors globally, including diminished engagement in sports and physical activity in general [63–65]. Moreover, the “Effects of home Confinement on multiple Lifestyle Behaviours during the COVID-19 outbreak (ECLB-COVID-19)” international survey revealed that the COVID-19 pandemic had a negative effect on all levels of physical activity (vigorous, moderate, walking and overall) and increased daily sedentary time by more than 28% [14]. Similarly, in the current study the proportion of participants who spent more than five hours per day on screens for entertainment increased by 23.3%. Together with the unhealthy diet,
the reduction of physical activity would not only contribute to weight gain, but also to an increase in cardiovascular risk during quarantine. Thus, awareness about the importance of regular physical activity and its benefits on overall health is necessary during such times [66, 67]. It is also important to identify groups at a higher risk of unhealthy lifestyle behaviors during the COVID-19 pandemic to design interventions targeted towards these groups.

During the COVID-19 pandemic higher levels of anxiety, stress and depression have been observed among individuals [68–70]. In this study, the percentage of participants experiencing exhaustion, irritability, and tension more often during the coronavirus pandemic increased significantly. Sleep was mostly affected in females and needs to be further evaluated since it is linked with multiple endocrine functions, as well risk for obesity and depression. The risk of obesity is underlined by the significant increase in daily meal frequency among participants over 36 years with the majority being female. Also, despite WHO recommendations to minimize listening to unreliable news that could cause anxiety or distress and to seek information only from trusted sources [71], over two thirds of participants in this survey used social media as a main source for health updates. Studies have shown the negative and harmful effect of misinformation overload “infodemic” on the mental health of individuals [72, 73]. Moreover, stress and anxiety could disrupt sleep quality during the night and energy levels during the day. Results of the current survey indicated a 10.8% increase in participants reporting poor sleep quality and 26.2% increase in those feeling lazy during the pandemic. Xiao and his co-workers found a significant negative correlation between anxiety levels and sleep quality and suggested the use of telepsychiatry consultation as an important therapeutic strategy [74]. The use of telehealth has been shown to be useful in providing support to patients and is appropriate for the delivery of mental health services [75]. Additionally, the Mediterranean diet does not only have a protective effect on the risk of cardiovascular diseases and certain types of cancer [54, 76], but also an increased compliance with it could be associated with lesser mental distress, better sleep quality, and higher scoring for self-perceived health status [77–79].

It is acknowledged that this study has limitations related to the use of self-reported questionnaire, snowball sampling method and the cross-sectional study design. The study information was acquired after lockdown, and although comparisons are critical to be made in order to draw inferences, no conclusive remarks can be drawn. Results stratified by sex should be interpreted with caution, since the majority of the participants were females. Furthermore, in order to minimize selection bias that may arise with snowball sampling (including interrelated-similar individuals), each individual could refer a maximum of three people who were not family members, and only one individual per age group (young adults, older adults, elderly) was enrolled from a household. Moreover, the change in dietary pattern was not assessed in this study, since data on food frequency were only obtained during COVID-19 pandemic, although these can be used as a reference for further studies performed, in these uncertain times. This was done to reduce the probability of including recall bias, since the participants had to respond to multiple questions on food frequency and quantity during COVID-19 lockdown and for a prolonged period prior to that. Also, the presence of obesity and eating disorders were not determined in the study, nor was information on infection with COVID-19 reported. Such analysis would require a longer questionnaire, hence may have decreased the compliance and response rate, but also would have required a larger sample size based on the prevalence of all factors to acquire adequate study power. Another potential limitation of the study was that respondents were mostly females. Although this is usual in online questionnaires [80], it should be considered when generalizing the results. However, using an online survey facilitated data collection during COVID-19 pandemic from all seven emirates. It also guaranteed the anonymity of the participants, thus reducing the social desirability bias. The strengths of this research include data collection timing one month after lockdown which minimizes memory failure for previous habits. In addition, the survey provided was in multiple languages in a multilingual environment like UAE.
The results of the study indicate that individuals in the UAE experienced negative lifestyle changes, unbalanced food choices, a reduction in physical activity, and psychological problems during the COVID-19 pandemic. Although quarantine is an essential measure to protect public health and control the transmission of the virus, these findings should be taken into consideration for future regulations in the UAE.
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