Characterization of two familial cases presenting with a syndromic specific learning disorder and carrying (17q;21q) unbalanced translocations
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Key Clinical Message
Chromosomal microarray (CMA) can detect pathogenic copy number variations in 15–20% of individuals with intellectual disability and in 10% of patients with autism spectrum disorders. The diagnostic rate in specific learning disorders (SLD) is unknown. Our study emphasizes the usefulness of CMA in the diagnostic workout assessment of familial SLD.
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Introduction
Specific learning disorders are defined as a failure to learn or use specific academic skills despite age-appropriate learning opportunities and instruction, in the absence of intellectual disability or autism spectrum disorder [1, 2]. SLD include a wide range of neurodevelopmental disorders, such as specific language impairment (SLI), including phonological and speech disorders; dyslexia, encompassing difficulties related to decoding and spelling words; developmental coordination disorder (DCD), affecting specifically fine motor performance; and dyscalculia, characterized by difficulties to acquire basic arithmetic skills [2]. The prevalence of SLD differs significantly in the studies reported in the literature (1,2–20%), due to the heterogeneity of the definition criteria and diagnostic assessments [1, 2].

Genetic factors play a role in the etiology of a subset of SLD. Here, we report the clinical and molecular cytogenetic characterization of four children from two unrelated families presenting with a syndromic SLD, and we review the previously reported genetic causes of these specific neurodevelopmental disorders.

Clinical Report
Family 1
Patient 1
This patient was the first child of healthy unrelated parents. Family history was unremarkable. He was born at term, after an uneventful pregnancy. At birth, his occipital-frontal circumference (OFC) was 35 cm (0 SD); his length was
48 cm (−2 SD); and his weight was 3140 g (10−25th centile). Apgar was 10/10 at 1 and 5 min, respectively.

The child had gastroesophageal reflux during infancy, treated until 2 years of age. Gross motor milestones were normally achieved: The boy started sitting at 8 months and walking unsupported at 13 months of age. He pronounced his first words at the age of 16 months but, subsequently, a severe speech delay was noticed: He was able to associate only two words at the age of 3 years and started making sentences at the age of 7 years. He had speech therapy and attended a mainstream school with specific educational support. Behavior disorders were noted including a significant anxiety, impulsivity, and emotional lability. He also had sleep disturbance with frequent awakening. Hearing test was normal, and ophthalmological examination revealed astigmatism and mild myopia.

He was referred for a genetic assessment at 10 years of age. His OFC was 55 cm (+0.5 SD); his stature was 142.7 cm (+0.5 SD); his weight was 38.4 kg (between 75th and 90th centile); and his body mass index (BMI) was 18.8 (+1.5 SD). Dysmorphic features were noted (Fig. 1), including frontal upsweep, widely spaced and sparse eyebrows, edematous eyelids, narrow palpebral fissures, bilateral epicanthus, and mild micrognathia. Spatulate fingertips, camptodactyly of the 5th left finger and clinodactyly of the 3rd right toe were also noted (Table 1). Neurological examination was normal. A neuropsychological assessment was performed (Table 2). Raven’s Progressive Matrices and Peabody Pictures Vocabulary Test ruled out ID, whereas a SLD was identified. Molecular analysis of FMR1, MECP2, and ARX.

**Patient 2**

Patient 2 was the younger brother of patient 1. He was born at term after an uneventful pregnancy. At birth, his OFC was measured at 34.5 cm (−1 SD); his length was 49.5 cm (−1 SD); and his weight was 3150 g (10–25th centile). Apgar score was 9 and 10 at 1 min at 5 min, respectively. As his two brothers, he had gastroesophageal reflux requiring treatment until the age of 2 years. He started walking independently at the age of 18 months. He was not able to pronounce any word at the age of 2 years; he had speech and occupational therapy with progressive speech improvement and he started attending a mainstream school.

He was referred to the genetic department at the age of 5 years. His OFC was 51 cm (−0.5 SD); his stature was 112.9 cm (+0.5 SD); his weight was 22 kg (90th percentile); and his BMI was 17.2 (+1.5 SD). Clinical examination revealed dysmorphic features similar to his brothers (Fig. 1), including frontal upsweep, wide-spaced eyebrows, edematous eyelids, narrow palpebral fissures, bilateral epicanthus, right convergent strabismus, a long philtrum, low posterior hairline, and micrognathia. Clubbing of fingers was noted and there was a deep web space between the 2nd and 3rd fingers, bilaterally (Table 1). Neurological examination was normal. Neuropsychological assessment revealed, as his brothers, a SLD including SLI and DCD (Table 2). Ophthalmological examination showed hyperopia. On the basis of the pedigree, an X-linked disorder was initially suspected.

**Family 2**

**Patient 4**

This girl was born at term after an uneventful pregnancy. Parents were in good health; there was no consanguinity. A maternal uncle had learning difficulties, epilepsy, and overweight but it was not possible to have more detailed information about his clinical picture.
At birth, patient 4’s OFC was 33 cm (−1 SD); her length was 48 cm (−0.5 SD); and her weight was 2890 g (25–50th centile). She had recurrent otitis in the first year of life. She started walking independently at 16 months of age and she developed behavioral problems including stereotypic movements, echolalia, and an oppositional attitude, as well as learning difficulties. Overweight was also noted. She had speech and occupational therapy, and she attended a mainstream school with educational support.

She was examined at the age 3 years and 9 months. Her OFC was 48.4 cm (−1 SD); her stature was 98 cm (0 SD); her weight was 17 kg (90th percentile); and her BMI was 17.7 (+2 SD). Clinical examination showed dysmorphic features (Fig. 2) including edematous eyelids, bilateral epicanthus, apparent hypertelorism, a bulbous nasal tip, and thick lips (Table 1). Hands and feet were normal as was neurological examination. Neuropsychological assessment was consistent with a SLD including SLI, DCD, and ADHD (Table 2).

Brain MRI was normal as was hearing test. Echocardiography, performed at birth because of a heart murmur, showed patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) and atrial septum defect. Repeated echocardiography at 6 years of age showed a persistent PDA with spontaneous resolution of the atrial septum defect.

**Material and Methods**

Chromosomal microarray was performed on a custom 244,000 (244k) or a 180,000 (180k) Agilent catalogue-oligonucleotide microarray (Human Genome CGH Microarray Kit 244A or SurePrint G3 Human CGH Microarray Kit, 4 × 180k; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA)
USA) according to the manufacturer protocol in patients 1 and 4, respectively. Patients’ DNA was compared with a control DNA on the custom 244k microarray or against DNA from two other patients with different diseases, according to the loop model for the catalogue 180k microarray. Concerning patient 1, given the first hypothesis of an X-linked disorder, the custom array was enriched in oligonucleotides on the X chromosome: The overall mean probe spacing was about 1530 bp and spacing between two probes on an X-linked ID gene was approximately 100 bp. The overall mean probe spacing on autosomes was around 28.5 kb.

Concerning patient 4, the catalogue 180K microarray had about 13 kb of mean probe spacing (www.genomics.agilent.com). Arrays were analyzed with Feature Extraction 9.5.3.1. Results were interpreted with DNA Analytics 4.0.85. The following parameters were used for interpretation: ADM-2, threshold: 5.0, window: 0.2 Mb, and cutoff: 0.25. A CNV was considered to have occurred if at least five contiguous oligonucleotides had an abnormal log2 ratio for the 244k custom array or three contiguous oligonucleotides for the 180k catalogue array.

Results

Family 1

Chromosomal microarray, performed in patient 1, did not show pathogenic CNV on the X chromosome but revealed an unbalanced translocation between the long arms of chromosomes 17 and 21, leading to a 2.54 Mb 17q25.3qter duplication (78,502,910–81,060,000 bp) and a 3.65 Mb 21q22.3qter deletion (44,376,016–48,080,911 bp) (hg 19) (Fig. 3). Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) analysis confirmed this result in patient 1 and showed the same unbalanced translocation in patients 2 and 3. FISH analysis revealed that the mother, the maternal grandfather, and a maternal aunt carried a balanced translocation (17q;21q); the father had normal chromosomes.

Family 2

Chromosomal microarray performed in patient 4 showed an unbalanced translocation between the long arms of chromosomes 17 and 21, leading to a 5.15 Mb 17q25.3qter duplication (7,611,654–81,060,000 bp) and a 1.66 Mb 21q22.3qter deletion (46,460,868–48,090,458 bp) (hg 19) (Fig. 4). FISH analysis confirmed the unbalanced translocation in the child and revealed that the mother and the maternal grandmother carried a balanced translocation (17q;21q); the father had normal chromosomes.

Table 1. Main clinical features of patients 1–4.

| Patients | Family 1 | Family 2 |
|----------|----------|----------|
|          | 1        | 2        | 3        | 4        |
| Dysmorphic facial features | +        | +        | +        | +        |
| Frontal upsweep              | +        | +        |        |        |
| Edematous eyelids            | +        | +        | +        |        |
| Wide-spaced eyebrows         | +        | +        | +        |        |
| Narrow palpebral fissures    | +        | +        |        |        |
| Epicanthus                    | +        | +        | +        |        |
| Anteverted nares             | +        | –        | –        | –        |
| Bulbous nasal tip            | –        | –        | –        | +        |
| Micronathia                   | +        | +        |        | –        |
| Limb abnormalities            | +        | +        | –        |        |
| Spatulate fingertips          | +        | +        |        | –        |
| Camptodactyly of fingers      | +        | –        | –        |        |
| Clinodactyly of toes          | –        | +        | –        | –        |
| Gastroesophageal reflux       | +        | +        | –        |        |
| BMI (SD)                      | +1.5     | +2       | +1.5     | +2       |
| Congenital heart disease      | –        | –        | –        | +        |

SD, standard deviations.

Table 2. Neuropsychological features of patients 1–4.

| Patients | Family 1 | Family 2 |
|----------|----------|----------|
|          | 1        | 2        | 3        | 4        |
| Raven’s progressive matrices | 31 (25–50th percentile) | 26 (10–25th percentile) | 17 (50th percentile) | NE Pathological threshold < 10th percentile |
| Peabody pictures vocabulary test | 91 | 83 | 104 | NE Pathological threshold < 70 |
| WISC IV/WPPSI III | NE | NE | 71 | 81 |
| Verbal comprehension index | | | 56 | 65 |
| Perceptual reasoning index | | | 56 | 65 |
| Specific language impairment | + | + | + | + |
| Developmental coordination disorder | + | + | + | + |
| Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder | – | + | – | + |

NE, not evaluated.
The maternal uncle carried the same unbalanced translocation found in the child.

**Discussion**

We report the clinical and cytogenetic characterization of four children from two unrelated families with syndromic SLD, due to (17q;21q) unbalanced translocations resulting in partial 21q monosomy and partial 17q trisomy.

There is poor information available in the literature about this chromosomal abnormality. While several individuals have been reported carrying terminal 17q duplications associated with concomitant monosomy or trisomy of other chromosomes [3–9], the phenotype of pure 17q25.3 duplications has been reviewed only recently [10]. Deletions and duplications involving this chromosomal region appeared to be frequently associated with congenital heart diseases and neurological involvement [10]. It is interesting to notice that, among three patients carrying 17q25.3 duplications previously reported, one showed PDA as patient 4, and one had ADHD as patients 2 and 4 [10].

21q22 deletions have been reported in patients showing a wide phenotypic spectrum, including midline defects (frontonasal dysplasia, encephalocoele, and corpus callosum agenesis) and learning disability [11]; syndromic hypoplastic left heart [12]; and MCA/ID including microcephaly and ocular coloboma [13]. Thus, it is difficult to evaluate the effect of the 21q partial monosomy on the clinical phenotype observed in the patients here reported.

We reviewed the literature concerning the previously reported cytogenetic causes of SLD. Sex chromosome aneuploidies can be associated with SLI and dyslexia [14, 15]. The 7q11.23 duplication syndrome is frequently associated with speech and language difficulties, including speech delay and childhood apraxia of speech; interestingly, median IQ is 85, about 20% of patients have borderline intellectual abilities and only a minority of patients show ID (18%) [14, 16]. Velocardiofacial syndrome (“classical” 22q11.2 microdeletion syndrome), distal 22q11.2, and 16p11.2 microdeletions have been reported in association with a wide range of speech and language impairment [14, 17]. Among rarer chromosomal abnormalities, Cri-du-Chat syndrome, due to deletions of the short arm of chromosome 5, and 12p13.33 deletions have been reported to cause childhood apraxia of speech [18, 19]; microdeletions of 1p21.3, 10q22q23, 12p12.1, 15q11.2 and microduplications of 15q11.2q13 and 17p11.2p11.2 have been reported in association with SLI [14]; 2q37.3 deletions have been reported in association with DCD [20]. Monogenic causes of SLD are rare. In particular, mutations in FOXP2 (OMIM: 605317), FOXP1 (OMIM: 605515), GRIN2A (OMIM: 138253), NRXN1 (OMIM: 600565), and SETBP1 (OMIM 611060) have been reported in patients presenting with speech disorders as well as other neurobehavioral abnormalities [14, 21–24]. A few other loci for SLI have been mapped, such as SLI1 (OMIM: 606711) on chromosome 16q [25–27]; SLI2 (OMIM: 606712) on chromosome 19q [25]; SLI3 (OMIM: 607134) on chromosome 13q21 [28]; SLI4 (612514) on chromosome 7q35-36, including CNTNAP2 (OMIM: 604569) [29, 30]; and SLI5 (OMIM: 615432) on chromosome 2q36.3, including TM4SF20 (OMIM: 615404) [29, 31]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of SLI and DCD associated with a chromosomal abnormality involving 21q22.3 and 17q25.3.

It is interesting to notice that a very small 21q22.3 deletion, including only four genes (PCNT, OMIM: 605925; DIP2A, OMIM: 607711; S100B, OMIM: 176990; and PRMT2, OMIM: 601961), has been reported in association with dyslexia; in particular, molecular variants in DIP2A and S100B have been reported in association with an increased risk of developmental dyslexia [32–34]. It might be possible to speculate that these genes might play a role in the SLD observed in the reported patients.

In conclusion, we report the clinical and molecular cytogenetic characterization of four children from two unrelated families, presenting with a SLD caused by
cryptic unbalanced translocations leading to 21q22.3 deletion and 17q25.3 duplication, thus expanding the spectrum of chromosomal abnormalities associated with these specific neurodevelopmental disorders.

From a practical point of view, CMA can detect pathogenic CNV in 15% to 20% of individuals with ID and/or multiple congenital abnormalities and in 10% of patients with autistic spectrum disorders (ASD) but the
diagnostic rate in SLD is currently unknown, given their heterogeneity. Our study emphasizes the usefulness of CMA in the diagnostic workout assessment of familial SLD.
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