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CDH12 as a Candidate Gene for Kidney Injury in Posterior Urethral Valve Cases: A Genome-wide Association Study Among Patients with Obstructive Uropathies
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Abstract

Background: Posterior urethral valves (PUVs) and ureteropelvic junction obstruction (UPJO) are congenital obstructive uropathies that may impair kidney development. Objective: To identify genetic variants associated with kidney injury in patients with obstructive uropathy.

Design, setting, and participants: We included 487 patients born in 1981 or later who underwent pyeloplasty or valve resection before 18 yr of age in the discovery phase, 102 PUV patients in a first replication phase, and 102 in a second replication phase.
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outcome measurements and statistical analysis: Signs of kidney injury were defined as dialysis, nephrectomy, kidney transplantation, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <60 ml/min/1.73 m², high blood pressure, antihypertensive medication use, proteinuria, and/or one kidney functioning at <45%. We used $\chi^2$ tests to calculate $p$ values and odds ratios for >600 000 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the discovery sample comparing patients with and without signs of kidney injury within 5 yr after surgery. We performed stratified analyses for PUV and UPJO and Kaplan-Meier and Cox regression analyses in the discovery and two replication samples for the associated SNPs, and RNA and protein expression analyses for the associated gene in fetal tissues. 

results and limitations: Despite the small and nonhomogeneous sample, we observed suggestive associations for six SNPs in three loci, of which rs6874819 in the CDH12 gene was the most clear ($p = 7.5 \times 10^{-7}$). This SNP also seemed to be associated with time to kidney injury in the PUV discovery and replication samples. RNA expression analyses showed clear CDH12 expression in fetal kidneys, which was confirmed by protein immunolocalization.

Conclusions: This study identified CDH12 as a candidate gene for kidney injury in PUV.

Patient summary: We found that variants of the CDH12 gene increase the risk of kidney injury in patients with extra flaps of tissue in the urethra (posterior urethral valves). This is the first report on this gene in this context. Our study provides interesting new information about the pathways involved and important leads for further research for this condition.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of European Association of Urology. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0).

1. Introduction

Posterior urethral valves (PUV) and ureteropelvic junction obstruction (UPJO) are congenital anomalies of the urinary tract that impair urinary flow. PUV affects only boys and occurs in one in 4000 live male births [1], while UPJO affects one in 500 children [2]. These obstructions may perturb kidney development and are referred to as obstructive uropathy. Obstructive uropathy is the second most prevalent cause of end-stage kidney disease in children [4], but long-term kidney function is variable and cannot be predicted reliably.

Knowledge about the molecular pathways involved in the pathophysiology of kidney injury in congenital obstructive uropathy has mainly been derived from neonatal rats and mice with induced complete unilateral ureteral obstruction (UUO). Kidney response to experimental UUO involves oxidative stress, monocyte infiltration, uncontrolled apoptosis of tubular cells, fibroblast accumulation, and increased deposition of extracellular matrix [3]. This is similar to the response in human obstructed kidneys, where the extent of monocyte infiltration correlates with the intensity of tubulointerstitial damage, and inflammatory molecules, such as MCP1, are upregulated [5]. In addition to elements from proinflammatory pathways, several other molecules have been suggested to play a pathological role in kidney injury in obstructive uropathy in animal models (such as angiotensin II and TGF-β) [3,6,7]. Several of these were confirmed in human expression studies (including TGF-β1, REN, and EGF) [5,6,8,9]. Finally, copy number variations (CNVs) >100 Kb were associated with kidney failure in PUV patients [10], while polymorphisms in ACE and AGTR2 have been associated with lower estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), chronic kidney disease, and kidney scarring in PUV patients [11–13].

Most of the genetic studies described above focused on candidate genes, but a hypothesis-generating approach, such as a genome-wide association study (GWAS), may shed new light on pathways involved in the development of kidney injury in obstructive uropathy. In addition, genetic variants associated with kidney function decline could be used in prognostic modeling approaches to better predict long-term kidney function. As no such studies have been conducted so far, the aim of this study was to perform a GWAS for kidney injury in obstructive uropathy. We included patients with PUV and UPJO under the assumption that similar genetic variants are associated with kidney function decline in both obstructive uropathies.

2. Patients and methods

2.1. Patients

2.1.1. Discovery sample

AGORA (Aetiologic research into Genetic and Occupational/environmental Risk factors for Anomalies in children) is a database and biobank in the Radboudumc (Nijmegen, The Netherlands) collecting questionnaire data, blood/saliva samples, and phenotypic information from patients with congenital malformations and their parents [14,15]. We identified patients born from 1981 onwards who underwent pyeloplasty or PUV resection before 18 yr of age in AGORA and searched the Radboudumc medical registry for additional patients to approach for participation.
2.1.2. Dutch replication sample

For replication purposes, we included patients treated in the Isala clinics, Zwolle or University Medical Center (UMC), Groningen, The Netherlands. Owing to registration issues, we were only able to identify patients treated in 2002 or later.

2.1.3. European replication sample

The European replication sample was derived from the 4C study [16]. In brief, a cohort of children aged 6–17 yr with chronic kidney disease (eGFR 10–45 ml/min/1.73 m²) was enrolled between 2010 and 2012 and followed until 2018 at 39 pediatric nephrology centers in eight European countries. We selected children with PUV as the underlying diagnosis for whom genotype data, longitudinal eGFR measurements, and information on treatment changes were available.

2.2. Kidney injury

The medical files for the Dutch patients were scrutinized for information about kidney function and for clinical descriptions. Patients were defined as having signs of kidney injury in case of dialysis, nephrectomy, kidney transplantation, eGFR <60 ml/min/1.73 m², high blood pressure, antihypertensive medication use, proteinuria, and/or one kidney functioning at <45%. In the European replication sample, the primary endpoint was kidney injury defined as a composite of 50% loss of eGFR, eGFR <10 ml/min/1.73 m², or kidney replacement therapy (Supplementary material).

2.3. Genotyping, quality control, and imputation

The Dutch samples were genotyped by deCODE Genetics (Reykjavik, Iceland) using Infinium OmniExpress bead chips or Global Screening arrays (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). European replication samples were genotyped using Illumina Infinium 2.5M-8 microarrays. Quality control and imputation are described in the Supplementary material.

2.4. Statistical analysis

We excluded patients with <5 yr of follow-up from our discovery sample and compared patients with and without signs of kidney injury within 5 yr after surgery using the PLINK whole-genome data analysis toolset (www.cog-genomics.org/plink/1.9/). Analyses were adjusted for the first four principal components to account for population stratification. We repeated the analyses with imputed genotypes for the area showing an association signal and visualized the results in LocusZoom (http://locuszoom.org/). For single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) passing the threshold of \( p = 1 \times 10^{-8} \), we examined the associations separately for PUV and UPJO patients to verify the assumption of similar genetic variants associated with kidney function decline in these phenotypes, performed Kaplan-Meier and Cox regression analyses to include 130 patients with <5 yr of follow-up, and checked results in the replication samples. In additional analyses, we adjusted the Cox regression analysis for the most significant SNP in the PUV subgroup of the discovery sample for the possible prognostic factors from Table 1. In addition, we performed Cox regression analyses for the allelic effect of this SNP in the discovery and both replication samples, and combined the results in a meta-analysis in Review Manager 5 (https://training.cochrane.org/online-learning/core-software-cochrane-reviews/revman/revman-5-download) using the inverse-variance method and a random-effects model.

2.5. Expression analyses

We used the Expression Atlas (www.ebi.ac.uk/gxa/home) in February 2019 to see which tissues CDH12 is expressed in [17]. We tested expression in adult human kidney and brain and in commercially available mRNA from human fetal kidneys (Clontech Laboratories, Mountain View, CA, USA) via quantitative polymerase chain reaction. We used data from our transcriptomic analysis of human organogenesis covering 15 embryonic tissues and organs 33–55 d after conception [18] to identify embryonic tissues in which the gene is expressed.

### Table 1 – Characteristics of the patient population used in the discovery analyses

| Characteristic                                                                 | PUV group (\(N = 316\)) | UPJO group (\(N = 171\)) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Antenatal detection of urinary tract abnormalities                           | 68 (22)                   | 77 (45)                  |
| Birth weight <2500 g                                                         | 19 (6)                    | 14 (8)                   |
| Hospital admission within 1 wk after birth for at least 7 d                  | 60 (19)                   | 26 (15)                  |
| Febrile urinary tract infections in the first 4 yr of life \(^c\)             | 108 (34)                  | 34 (20)                  |
| Kidney abnormalities on ultrasound \(^b\)                                     | 31 (10)                   | 47 (28)                  |
| Bladder dysfunction \(^b\)                                                   | 130 (41)                  | 6 (4)                    |
| Age at pyeloplasty or PUV resection                                          |                           |                          |
| <1 yr                                                                         | 124 (39)                  | 51 (30)                  |
| 1–4 yr                                                                       | 59 (19)                   | 49 (29)                  |
| 5–12 yr                                                                      | 130 (41)                  | 60 (35)                  |
| 13–17 yr                                                                     | 3 (1)                     | 11 (6)                   |
| Signs of kidney injury \(^d\)                                                | 93 (29)                   | 90 (53)                  |
| Dialysis, nephrectomy or kidney transplantation                              | 17 (5)                    | 5 (3)                    |
| eGFR <60 ml/min/1.73 m²                                                     | 34 (11)                   | 11 (6)                   |
| High blood pressure or antihypertensive medication                           | 31 (10)                   | 22 (13)                  |
| Proteinuria                                                                  | 11 (3)                    | 6 (4)                    |
| One kidney functioning < 45%                                                 | 55 (17)                   | 74 (43)                  |

PUV = posterior urethral valve; UPJO = ureteropelvic junction obstruction; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate.

\(^a\) Urinary tract infections after surgery were not taken into account.

\(^b\) Kidney abnormalities defined as the presence of kidney scars or cysts, kidney dysplasia, increased echogenicity, and/or loss of parenchyma.

\(^c\) Bladder dysfunction defined as training or use of medication to improve bladder function, intermittent catheterization, or vesicostoma/ureterocutaneostoma.

\(^d\) Signs of kidney injury developed during the maximum follow-up period of 10 yr after surgery. Some patients developed more than one of these signs, which is why the numbers do not add up to the total number of patients with signs of kidney injury.
patients, 87 (66%) and 164 (61%), respectively, participated. We excluded three patients without follow-up information and 26 samples that failed quality control (two samples with a call rate <97%, one related individual, and 23 ethnic outliers; Supplementary Fig. 2). We used genotyping information for 222 patients in the replication analyses.

3.1.3. European replication sample
The European replication sample was derived from a study including 704 children [16]. PUV was the underlying diagnosis for 119 children, and all necessary information was available for 102 of these.

3.2. Discovery analyses
We excluded 130 patients with <5 yr of follow-up and compared 141 PUV and 171 UPJO patients without signs of kidney injury within 5 yr after surgery. Six SNPs in three loci reached suggestive genome-wide significance (p < 1 x 10^-8; Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1), with rs6874819 on chromosome 5 being the most significant SNP (p = 7.5 x 10^-7). The other signals were from chromosomes 8 (rs2957086; p = 9.3 x 10^-6) and 13 (rs9580025 and rs2148707; both p = 8.2 x 10^-6) on chromosome 13.

In an additional analysis, we adjusted the Cox regression analyses for rs6874819 among the discovery PUV patients for the possible prognostic factors from Table 1. Although 188 patients were excluded because of missing values for these factors, the analyses with 128 PUV patients with 47 events still gave statistically significant results very comparable to the unadjusted results for rs6874819 (hazard ratio for the GG genotype [HRGG] 2.3, p = 0.004; HRGA 2.1, p = 0.03).

3.3. Replication analyses
Of the 222 Dutch replication samples, 78 were genotyped on the same platform as the discovery samples, while 144 were genotyped on the Global Screening array. Only two of the six suggestive SNPs (rs6874819 and rs2148707) were directly genotyped with the latter, so we used imputed
Fig. 2 – Kaplan-Meier plots showing the probability of surviving without kidney injury for PUV patients by rs6874819 genotype in (A) the Dutch discovery sample, (B) the Dutch replication sample, and (C) the European replication sample.
genotypes for the others. We performed Kaplan-Meier and Cox regression analyses for 102 PUV and 120 UPJO patients, of whom 34 and 65, respectively, developed signs of kidney injury within 10 yr after surgery. Although not statistically significant, the associations of the SNPs on chromosome 5 were in the same direction as in the discovery sample for PUV patients (rs6874819: HRGA 1.8, \(p = 0.11\); HRGG 2.4, \(p = 0.40\); Fig. 2B). No associations were observed for the other SNPs in the PUV group or for the UPJO group. In the European replication sample of 102 PUV patients, the results were very similar, with a higher risk of kidney injury for carriers of variant genotypes on chromosome 5 (rs6874819: HRGA 1.8, \(p = 0.11\); HRGG 3.1, \(p = 0.04\); Table 2 and Fig. 2C).

3.4. Meta-analysis

We performed Cox regression analyses for the allelic effects in the discovery and replication samples, and subsequently performed a meta-analysis. This result was statistically significant at a genome-wide level, with a HR for the \(G\) allele of 2.3 (95% confidence interval 1.7–3.0; \(p = 4.1 \times 10^{-5}\); Table 3).

3.5. Expression analyses

Visualization of the association results using imputed genotypes for the discovery sample in LocusZoom [22] showed that the peak on chromosome 5 was located in an intron of the \(CDH12\) gene (Supplementary Fig. 3). All studies in the Expression Atlas [17] revealed that \(CDH12\) shows the highest expression in human adult brain, whereas it is not expressed in adult kidney. The NIH Epigenomics Roadmap (http://www.roadmapepigenomics.org/) showed that \(CDH12\) is expressed in human fetal kidneys [23]. We confirmed that mRNA isolated from human adult kidney shows no \(CDH12\) expression, whereas adult brain tissue does. Fetal kidneys showed expression levels comparable to those in adult brain. Transcriptomic analyses of 15 human embryonic tissues and organs 33–55 d after conception revealed that \(CDH12\) is widely expressed in embryonic tissues, with highest levels in retinal pigmented epithelium, followed by the kidneys [18].

Immunohistochemical staining confirmed \(CDH12\) expression in the fetal retina and kidney. At approximately 12 wk after conception, \(CDH12\) was expressed in the ureteric bud, the precursor of the collecting duct, and in early condensates, where the ureteric bud induces mesenchymal cells to condense and undergo mesenchymal-epithelial conversion, the first stage in nephron epithelial formation. Scale bars represent 100 \(\mu m\). WPC stands for weeks post conception.

![Immunohistochemistry profile for CDH12 in the developing human kidney.](image)

Fig. 3 – Immunohistochemistry profile for CDH12 in the developing human kidney. (A,B) Sagittal sections through the developing kidney. Brightfield images show CHD12 in brown, counterstained with toluidine blue. The arrow points to CHD12 within developing proximal tubules and the arrowhead indicates the developing glomerulus. Scale bars represent 50 \(\mu m\). (C–E) Immunostaining results showing clear expression of CDH12 in (C) the retina of a 30-d-old mouse and (D,E) human kidneys 12 wk after conception (wpc). At approximately 12 wk after conception, CDH12 was expressed in the ureteric bud, the precursor of the collecting duct, and in early condensates, where the ureteric bud induces mesenchymal cells to condense and undergo mesenchymal-epithelial conversion, the first stage in nephron epithelial formation. Scale bars represent 100 \(\mu m\). WPC stands for weeks post conception.
4. Discussion

We identified for the first time \textit{CHD12} as a gene potentially involved in the development of kidney injury in patients with obstructive uropathies. This result was seen among PUV patients, but not clearly among UPJO patients, possibly because of the different timing of PUV and UPJO development, or because both kidneys are exposed to high urinary pressure in PUV, whereas generally only one kidney is in UPJO.

The association signal on chromosome 5 among PUV patients was the most clear and the other suggested SNPs were not associated with kidney injury in the Dutch replication sample. Detection of the signal for \textit{CHD12} despite our small and nonhomogeneous sample suggests that it may be an important factor. This was confirmed by detection of the signal in the European PUV replication sample, which was a very different patient group. Although the primary results for rs6874819 were not statistically significant at a genome-wide level, the result for the allelic meta-analysis among PUV patients was. In addition, all results show the same direction of effect. The fact that our association signal is located in an eQTL and that CDH12 is expressed in fetal kidneys further enhances the reliability of our finding.

In an additional analysis, we adjusted our analyses in the European replication sample for eGFR at study entry, which changed the effect of rs6874819 only slightly. Since eGFR at study entry correlates with GFR at birth, this finding suggests that the effect of rs6874819 on kidney injury we observed is not sufficiently explained by effects of CHD12 on fetal kidney development. Our hypothesis is that the damage arises in the period when affected kidneys are exposed to elevated pressure, which is from early fetal development up to the postnatal period preceding surgery. The damage may not be severe enough to display signs of kidney injury at that point in time, but as the patients grow and need greater filtration capacity, the affected kidneys may fall short and the previously acquired damage begins to show.

\textit{CDH12} is a member of the neural cadherin (N-cadherin) gene family, which encode transmembrane calcium-de-
dependent homophilic adhesion receptors that play an important role in cell recognition and sorting during development [24]. CDH12 has not been described in relation to kidney development before, but it is involved in the progression of several cancers [24–27]. In addition, CDH12 may play a role in monocyte infiltration. Grandaliano et al [5] showed that the extent of monocyte infiltration in kidney tissue of children with UPJO correlated with the degree of tubulointerstitial damage, suggesting that monocyte infiltration is an important event in the development of kidney injury in obstructive uropathy. They also showed a striking increase in MCP1 gene expression in these kidney tissues, which is in line with the suggestion by Diamond et al [28] that upregulation of Mcp1, in response to an increase in tubular pressure, stimulates monocyte influx in a rat model of UUO. Niu et al [29] found that MCP1 action in inducing angiogenesis is mediated via induction of a new transcription factor that they named MCP1-induced protein (MCPIP). Chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis showed that CDH12 is a target of MCPIP [29]. Ma et al [27] confirmed that CDH12 expression is modulated by MCP1 in colorectal cancer cells.

A drawback of our study is the relatively small sample size. We may have missed less strong but still important associations because our discovery analyses only had enough power (80%) to detect variants with an allele frequency of 30% and genotype relative risk of 2.7 or higher under a multiplicative disease model. In addition, use of a more homogeneous cohort of, for example, only prenatally detected PUV, or a more homogeneous endpoint, such as the eGFR decrease in the first yr of life, may have been better. Instead, we used any sign of kidney injury as the outcome to achieve larger numbers, but did not have enough patients with specific signs to perform separate analyses for subgroups. Nevertheless, when we performed additional analyses that excluded one kidney functioning at <45% from the definition of signs of kidney injury (the diagnosis that explains why more UPJO than PUV patients have signs of kidney injury), results for PUV patients in the discovery sample were very comparable to the initial results. Using a more homogeneous cohort would result in patients being more comparable for other factors that could influence the prognosis of PUV [30]. However, when we adjusted the Cox regression analyses for PUV patients of the discovery sample for the possible prognostic factors from Table 1, the results were very comparable to the unadjusted results for rs6874819. This suggests that the effect of rs6874819 is independent from these factors and that it may influence the risk of signs of kidney injury in all PUV patients, regardless of severity or management.

5. Conclusions

We hypothesize that MCP1 pathway upregulation due to an increase in urinary tract pressure in PUV patients is augmented in carriers of the CDH12 variant, which facilitates monocyte infiltration and the development of progressive kidney fibrosis and exacerbates kidney injury. This hypothesis is in line with the importance of monocyte infiltration in the development of kidney injury in obstructive uropathy and provides leads for further research. The role of CDH12 in monocyte infiltration and the development of kidney injury in PUV, and the predictive value of rs6874819 for the prognosis of individual PUV patients are important future research topics, as is the generalizability of our findings to other forms of obstructive uropathy.

**Table 3** – HRs for the allelic effect of the rs6874819 single-nucleotide polymorphism on kidney injury among patients with posterior urethral valves in the Dutch discovery, Dutch replication, and European replication samples, and results of the meta-analysis

| Sample                  | HR (95% CI) | Effect of G vs A allele | p value |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Dutch discovery         | 2.5 (1.7–3.7) | 1 × 10⁻⁶ |
| Dutch replication       | 1.7 (0.9–3.3) | 8 × 10⁻² |
| European replication    | 2.2 (1.3–3.7) | 3 × 10⁻² |
| Meta-analysis           | 2.3 (1.7–3.0) | 4 × 10⁻⁹ |

HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval.
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