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ABSTRACT

New generalized Poisson structures are introduced by using suitable skew-symmetric contravariant tensors of even order. The corresponding ‘Jacobi identities’ are provided by conditions on these tensors, which may be understood as cocycle conditions. As an example, we provide the linear generalized Poisson structures which can be constructed on the dual spaces of simple Lie algebras.
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1. Introduction

About twenty years ago, Nambu \(^1\) proposed a generalization of the standard classical Hamiltonian mechanics based on a three-dimensional ‘phase space’ spanned by a canonical triplet of dynamical variables and on two ‘Hamiltonians’. His approach was later discussed by Bayen and Flato \(^2\) and in \(^3,4\). The subject lay dormant until recently when a higher order extension of Nambu’s approach, involving \((n - 1)\) Hamiltonians, was proposed by Takhtajan \(^5\) (see \(^6\) for applications).

Another subject, closely related to Hamiltonian dynamics, is the study of Poisson structures (PS) (see \(^7,8,9\)) on a (Poisson) manifold \(M\). A particular case of Poisson structures is that arising when they are defined on the duals of Lie algebras. The class of the linear Poisson structures was considered by Lie himself \(^10,11\), and has been further investigated recently \(^12,13,14\). In general, the property which guarantees the Jacobi identity for the Poisson brackets (PB) of functions on a Poisson manifold may be expressed \(^7,15\) as \([\Lambda, \Lambda] = 0\) where \(\Lambda\) is the bivector field which may be used to define the Poisson structure and \([ , ]\) is the Schouten–Nijenhuis bracket (SNB) \(^16,17\). In the generalizations of Hamiltonian mechanics the Jacobi identity is replaced by a more complicated one (the ‘fundamental identity’ in \(^5\)).

The aim of this paper is to introduce a new generalization of the standard PS. This will be achieved by replacing the skew-symmetric bivector \(\Lambda\) defining the standard structure by appropriate even-dimensional skew-symmetric contravariant tensor fields \(\Lambda^{(2p)}\), and by replacing the Jacobi identity by the condition which follows from \([\Lambda^{(2p)}, \Lambda^{(2p)}] = 0\). In fact, the vanishing of the SNB of \(\Lambda^{(2p)}\) with itself allows us to introduce a generalization of the Jacobi identity in a rather geometrical way, and provides us with a clue for the search of generalized PS. As a result, we differ from other approaches \(^1,5\): all our generalized Poisson brackets (GPB) involve an \(even\) number of functions, whereas this number is arbitrary (three in \(^1\)) in earlier extensions. Since the most important question once a new Poisson structure is introduced is to present specific examples of it (in other words, solutions of the generalized Jacobi identities which must be satisfied), we shall exhibit, by generalizing the standard linear structure on the dual space \(\mathcal{G}^*\) to a Lie algebra \(\mathcal{G}\), the linear Poisson structures which may be defined on the duals of all simple Lie algebras. The solution to this problem has, in fact, a cohomological component:
the different tensors $\Lambda^{(2p)}$ which can be introduced are related to Lie algebra cohomology cocycles. We shall also discuss here the ‘dynamics’ associated with the GPB but shall leave a more detailed account of our theory and its cohomological background to a forthcoming publication\textsuperscript{18}.

2. Standard Poisson structures

Let us recall some facts concerning Poisson structures. Let $M$ be a manifold and $\mathcal{F}(M)$ be the associative algebra of smooth functions on $M$.

**Definition 2.1 (PB)** A Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ on $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is an operation assigning to every pair of functions $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ a new function $\{f_1, f_2\} \in \mathcal{F}(M)$, which is linear in $f_1$ and $f_2$ and satisfies the following conditions:

a) skew-symmetry

\[ \{f_1, f_2\} = -\{f_2, f_1\} , \]  

(2.1)

b) Leibniz rule (derivation property)

\[ \{f, gh\} = g\{f, h\} + \{f, g\}h , \]  

(2.2)

c) Jacobi identity

\[ \frac{1}{2} \text{Alt}\{f_1, \{f_2, f_3\}\} \equiv \{f_1, \{f_2, f_3\}\} + \{f_2, \{f_3, f_1\}\} + \{f_3, \{f_1, f_2\}\} = 0 . \]  

(2.3)

The identities (2.1),(2.3) are nothing but the axioms of a Lie algebra; thus the space $\mathcal{F}(M)$ endowed with the PB $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ becomes an (infinite-dimensional) Lie algebra, and $M$ is a Poisson manifold.

Let $x^i$ be local coordinates on $U \subset M$ and consider PB of the form

\[ \{f(x), g(x)\} = \omega^{jk}(x)\partial_j f \partial_k g \quad , \quad \partial_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \quad , \quad j, k = 1, \ldots, \dim M \]  

(2.4)

Since Leibniz’s rule is automatically fulfilled, $\omega^{ij}(x)$ defines a PB if $\omega^{ij}(x) = -\omega^{ji}(x)$ (eq. (2.1)) and eq. (2.3) is satisfied i.e., if

\[ \omega^{jk}\partial_k \omega^{lm} + \omega^{lk}\partial_k \omega^{mj} + \omega^{mk}\partial_k \omega^{jl} = 0 . \]  

(2.5)

The requirements (2.1) and (2.2) imply that the PB may be given in terms of a skew-symmetric biderivative, i.e. by a skew-symmetric bivector field (‘Poisson
bivector') \( \Lambda \in \wedge^2(M) \). Locally,

\[
\Lambda = \frac{1}{2} \omega^{jk} \partial_j \wedge \partial_k .
\]  

(2.6)

Condition (2.5) may be expressed in terms of \( \Lambda \) as \([\Lambda, \Lambda] = 0\). A skew-symmetric tensor field \( \Lambda \in \wedge^2(M) \) such that \([\Lambda, \Lambda] = 0\) defines a **Poisson structure** on \( M \) and \( M \) becomes a **Poisson manifold**. The PB is then defined by

\[
\{f, g\} = \Lambda(df, dg) , \quad f, g \in \mathcal{F}(M) .
\]  

(2.7)

Two PS \( \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \) on \( M \) are **compatible** if any linear combination of them is again a PS. In terms of the SNB this means that \([\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2] = 0\).

Given a function \( H \), the vector field \( X_H = i_dH \Lambda \) (where \( i_\alpha \Lambda(\beta) := \Lambda(\alpha, \beta) \), \( \alpha, \beta \) one-forms), is called a **Hamiltonian vector field** of \( H \). From the Jacobi identity (2.3) easily follows that

\[
[X_f, X_H] = X_{\{f, H\}} .
\]  

(2.8)

Thus, the Hamiltonian vector fields form a Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra \( \mathcal{X}(M) \) of all smooth vector fields on \( M \). In local coordinates

\[
X_H = \omega^{jk}(x) \partial_j H \partial_k \; ; \; \; X_H.f = \{H, f\} .
\]  

(2.9)

We recall that the tensor \( \omega^{jk}(x) \) appearing in (2.4), (2.6) does not need to be nondegenerate; in particular, the dimension of a Poisson manifold \( M \) may be odd. Only when \( \Lambda \) has constant rank \( 2q \) (is **regular**) and the codimension \((\dim M - 2q) \) of the manifold is zero, \( \Lambda \) defines a **symplectic structure**.
3. Linear Poisson structures

A real finite-dimensional Lie algebra $G$ with Lie bracket $[,]_G$ defines in a natural way a PB $\{.,.\}_G$ on the dual space $G^*$ of $G$. The natural identification $G \cong (G^*)^*$, allows us to think of $G$ as a subset of the ring of smooth functions $\mathcal{F}(G^*)$. Choosing a linear basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^r$ of $G$, and identifying its components with linear coordinate functions $x_i$ on the dual space $G^*$ by means of $x_i(x) = \langle x, e_i \rangle$ for all $x \in G^*$, the fundamental PB on $G^*$ may be defined by

$$\{x_i, x_j\}_G = C^k_{ij} x_k, \quad i, j, k = 1, \ldots, r = \dim G,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

using that $[e_i, e_j] = C^k_{ij} e_k$, where $C^k_{ij}$ are the structure constants of $G$. Intrinsically, the PB $\{.,.\}_G$ on $\mathcal{F}(G^*)$ is defined by

$$\{f, g\}_G(x) = \langle x, [df(x), dg(x)] \rangle, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{F}(G^*), x \in G^*; \hspace{1cm} (3.2)$$

locally, $[df(x), dg(x)] = e_k C^k_{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}, \{f, g\}_G(x) = x_k C^k_{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}$. The above PB $\{.,.\}_G$ is commonly called a Lie–Poisson bracket. It is associated to the bivector field $\Lambda_G$ on $G^*$ locally written as

$$\Lambda_G = C^k_{ij} x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \equiv \omega_{ij} \partial^i \wedge \partial^j \hspace{1cm} (3.3)$$

(cf. (2.6)), so that (cf. (2.7)) $\Lambda_G(df \wedge dg) = \{f, g\}_G$. It is convenient to notice here that $[\Lambda_G, \Lambda_G]_S = 0$ (cf. (2.5)) is just the Jacobi identity for $G$, which may be written as

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{Alt}(C_{\rho \sigma}^\rho C_{\rho \sigma}^\sigma) \equiv \frac{1}{2} e_{\rho \sigma}^{ij} j_{ij} C^\rho_{i j} C^\sigma_{j i} = 0 \hspace{1cm} (3.4)$$

Let $\beta$ be a closed one form on $G^*$. The associated vector field

$$X_\beta = i_\beta \Lambda_G \hspace{1cm} (3.5)$$

is an infinitesimal automorphism of $\Lambda_G$ i.e.,

$$L_{X_\beta} \Lambda_G = 0 \hspace{1cm} (3.6)$$

and $[X_f, X_g] = X_{\{f, g\}}$ (eq. (2.8)); this is proved easily using that $L_{X_i} g = \{f, g\}$ and $L_{X_i} \Lambda_G = 0$. It follows from (3.3) that the Hamiltonian vector fields $X_i =$
corresponding to the linear coordinate functions $x_i$, have the expression (cf. (2.9))

$$X_i = C_{ij}^k x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, \dim \mathcal{G}$$

(3.7)

so that the Poisson bivector can be written as

$$\Lambda_\mathcal{G} = X_i \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i};$$

(3.8)

notice that this way of writing $\Lambda_\mathcal{G}$ is of course not unique. Using the adjoint representation of $\mathcal{G}$, $(C_i)^k_j = C_{ij}^k$ the Poisson bivector $\Lambda_\mathcal{G}$ may be rewritten as

$$\Lambda_\mathcal{G} = X_{C_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \quad (X_{C_i} = x_k(C_i)^k_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j});$$

(3.9)

the vector fields $X_{C_i}$ provide a realization of $\text{ad} \mathcal{G}$ in terms of vector fields on $\mathcal{G}^*$.  

4. Generalized Poisson structures

A rather stringent condition needed to define a PS on a manifold is the Jacobi identity (2.3). In terms of $\Lambda$, this condition is given in a convenient geometrical way by the vanishing of the SNB of $\Lambda \equiv \Lambda^{(2)}$ with itself, $[\Lambda^{(2)}, \Lambda^{(2)}] = 0$. So, it seems natural to consider generalizations of the standard PS in terms of $2p$-ary operations determined by skew-symmetric $2p$-vector fields $\Lambda^{(2p)}$, the case $p = 1$ being the standard one. Since the SNB of two skew-symmetric contravariant tensor fields $A, B$ of degree $^\#1 a, b$ satisfies $[A, B] = -(-1)^{ab}[B, A]$, only $[\Lambda', \Lambda'] = 0$ for $\Lambda'$ of odd degree will be meaningful since this SNB will vanish identically if $\Lambda'$ is of even degree.

Having this in mind, let us introduce first the GPB.

^\#1 Notice that the algebra of multivector fields is a graded superalgebra and that the degree of a multivector $A$ is equal to (order $A - 1$). Thus, the standard PS defined by $\Lambda$ is of even order (two) but of odd degree (one).
Definition 4.1 A generalized Poisson bracket \{·, ·, ·, ·\} on \( M \) is a mapping \( \mathcal{F}(M) \times 2^p \times \mathcal{F}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(M) \) assigning a function \( \{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{2p}\} \) to every set \( f_1, \ldots, f_{2p} \in \mathcal{F}(M) \) which is linear in all arguments and satisfies the following conditions:

a) complete skew-symmetry in \( f_j \);

b) Leibniz rule: \( \forall f_i, g, h \in \mathcal{F}(M), \)
\[
\{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{2p-1}, gh\} = g \{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{2p-1}, h\} + \{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{2p-1}, g\}h \quad ; (4.1)
\]

c) generalized Jacobi identity: \( \forall f_i \in \mathcal{F}(M), \)
\[
\text{Alt} \{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{2p-1}\{f_{2p}, \ldots, f_{4p-1}\}\} = 0 \quad . (4.2)
\]

Conditions a) and b) imply that our GPB is given by a skew-symmetric multiderivative, \textit{i.e.} by an completely skew-symmetric \( 2p \)-vector field \( \Lambda^{(2p)} \in \wedge^{2p}(M) \). Condition (4.2) will be called the \textit{generalized Jacobi identity}; for \( p = 2 \) it contains 35 terms (\( C_{2p-1}^{4p-1} \) in the general case). It may be rewritten as \([\Lambda^{(2p)}, \Lambda^{(2p)}] = 0\); \( \Lambda^{(2p)} \) defines a GPB. Clearly, the above relations reproduce the ordinary case (2.1)–(2.3) for \( p = 1 \). The compatibility condition of the standard case may be now extended in the following sense: two generalized Poisson structures \( \Lambda^{(2p)} \) and \( \Lambda^{(2q)} \) on \( M \) are called \textit{compatible} if they ‘commute’ \textit{i.e.}, \([\Lambda^{(2p)}, \Lambda^{(2q)}] = 0\). Let us emphasize that this generalized Poisson structure is different from the Nambu structure\(^1\) recently generalized in\(^5\). Moreover, we shall see in Sec. 5 that our generalized linear PS are automatically obtained from \textit{constant} skew-symmetric tensors of order \( 2p + 1 \).

Let \( x^i \) be local coordinates on \( U \subset M \). Then the GPB has the form
\[
\{f_1(x), f_2(x), \ldots, f_{2p}(x)\} = \omega_{j_1j_2\ldots j_{2p}} \partial^{j_1} f_1 \partial^{j_2} f_2 \ldots \partial^{j_{2p}} f_{2p} \quad . (4.3)
\]

where \( \omega_{j_1j_2\ldots j_{2p}} \) are the coordinates of a completely skew-symmetric tensor which
satisfies
\[
\text{Alt} \left( \omega_{j_1 j_2 \ldots j_{2p-1} k} \partial^k \omega_{j_2 \ldots j_{2p-1}} \right) = 0 \tag{4.4}
\]
as a result of (4.2). In terms of a skew-symmetric tensor field of order 2\(p\) the
generalized Poisson structure is defined by
\[
\Lambda^{(2p)} = \frac{1}{(2p)!} \omega_{j_1 \ldots j_{2p}} \partial^{j_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \partial^{j_{2p}} . \tag{4.5}
\]
Then, it is easy to check that the vanishing of the SNB \([\Lambda^{(2p)}, \Lambda^{(2p)}]\) = 0 reproduces
eq \text{(4.4)}.

Let us now define the dynamical system associated with the above generalized
Poisson structure. Namely, let us fix a set of \((2p-1)\) ‘Hamiltonian’ functions
\(H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_{2p-1}\) and consider the system
\[
\dot{x}_j = \{H_1, \ldots, H_{2p-1}, x_j\} ,
\]
or, in general,
\[
\dot{f} = \{H_1, \ldots, H_{2p-1}, f\} . \tag{4.6}
\]

**Definition 4.2** A function \(f \in \mathcal{F}(M)\) is a constant of motion if (4.6) is zero.

Due to the skew-symmetry, the ‘Hamiltonian’ functions \(H_1, \ldots, H_{2p-1}\) are all
constants of motion but the system may have additional ones \(h_{2p}, \ldots, h_k; \ k \geq 2p\).

**Definition 4.3** A set of functions \((f_1, \ldots, f_k), k \geq 2p\) is in *involution* if the
GPB vanishes for any subset of \(2p\) functions.

Let us note also the following generalization of the Poisson theorem\(^{19}\).

**Theorem 4.1** Let \(f_1, \ldots, f_q, q \geq 2p\) be such that the set of functions \((H_1, \ldots,
H_{2p-1}, f_1, \ldots, f_{2p-1})\) is in involution (this implies, in particular, that the \(f_i, i = 1, \ldots, q\) are constants of motion). Then the quantities \(\{f_1, \ldots, f_{2p}\}\) are also con-
stants of motion.
Definition 4.4  A function $c(x)$ will be called a Casimir function if \{\{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{2p-1}, c\} = 0 \text{ for any set of functions } (g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{2p-1}). \text{ If one of the Hamiltonians } (H_1, \ldots, H_{2p-1}) \text{ is a Casimir function, then the generalized dynamics defined by (4.6) is trivial.}

As an example of these generalized Poisson structures we now show succinctly that any simple Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of rank $l$ provides a family of $l$ generalized linear Poisson structures, and that each of them may be characterized by a cocycle in the Lie algebra cohomology.

5. Generalized Poisson structures on the duals of simple Lie algebras

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the Lie algebra of a simple compact group $G$. In this case the de Rham cohomology ring on the group manifold $G$ is the same as the Lie algebra cohomology ring $H^*_0(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$ for the trivial action. In its Chevalley-Eilenberg version the Lie algebra cocycles are represented by bi-invariant (i.e., left and right invariant and hence closed) forms on $G^{2r}$ (see also, e.g., \cite{21}). For instance, if using the Killing metric $k_{ij}$ we introduce the skew-symmetric order three tensor

$$\omega(e_i, e_j, e_k) := k([e_i, e_j], e_k) = C^l_{ij} l_k = C_{ijk}, \ e_i \in \mathcal{G} (i, j, k = 1, \ldots, r = \dim \mathcal{G})$$

(5.1)

this defines by left translation a left-invariant (LI) form on $G$ which is also right-invariant. The bi-invariance of $\omega$ then reads

$$\omega([e_i, e_j], e_k) + \omega(e_i, [e_l, e_j], e_k) + \omega(e_i, e_j, [e_l, e_k]) = 0$$

(5.2)

where the $e_i$ are now understood as LI vector fields on $G$ obtained by left translation from the corresponding basis of $\mathcal{G} = T_e(G)$. Eq (5.2) (the Jacobi identity) thus implies a three cocycle condition on $\omega$; as a result $H^3_0(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R}) \neq 0$ for any simple Lie algebra as is well known. In terms of the standard Poisson structure, this means that the linear structure defined by (3.3) is associated with a non-trivial two-cocycle on $\mathcal{G}$ and that $[\Lambda(2), \Lambda(2)] = 0$ (eq. (3.4)) is precisely the cocycle condition. This indicates that the generalized linear Poisson structures on $\mathcal{G}^*$ may be found by looking for higher order cocycles.
The cohomology ring of any simple Lie algebra of rank \( l \) is a free ring generated by the \( l \) (primitive) forms on \( G \) of odd order \((2m - 1)\). These forms are associated with the \( l \) primitive symmetric invariant tensors \( k_{i_1...i_m} \) of order \( m \) which may be defined on \( G \) and of which the Killing tensor \( k_{i_1i_2} \) is just the first example. For the \( A_l \) series (\( su(l+1) \)), for instance, these forms have order \( 3, 5, \ldots , (2l+1) \); other orders (but always including \( 3 \)) appear for the different simple algebras (see, e.g., 21). As a result, it is possible to associate a \((2m - 2)\) skew-symmetric contravariant primitive tensor field linear in \( x_j \) to each symmetric invariant polynomial \( k_{i_1...i_m} \) of order \( m \). The case \( m = 2 \) leads to the \( \Lambda^{(2)} \) of (3.3), (3.8). We shall not describe the theory in detail, and limit ourselves to illustrate the main theorem below with an example.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \( G \) be a simple compact algebra, and let \( k_{i_1...i_m} \) be a primitive invariant symmetric polynomial of order \( m \). Then, the tensor \( \omega_{\rho l_2...l_{2m-2}\sigma} \)

\[
\omega_{\rho l_2...l_{2m-2}\sigma} := \epsilon_{l_2...l_{2m-2}}^{j_2...j_{2m-2}} \omega_{\rho j_2...j_{2m-2}\sigma}, \quad \bar{\omega}_{\rho j_2...j_{2m-2}\sigma} := k_{i_1...i_{m-1}\sigma}C_{\rho j_1}^{i_1} \cdots C_{j_{2m-3}\rho l_{2m-2}}^{i_{m-1}}
\]

is completely skew-symmetric, defines a Lie algebra cocycle \(^\#2\) on \( G \) and

\[
\Lambda^{(2m-2)} = \frac{1}{(2m - 2)!} \omega_{l_1...l_{2m-2}\sigma} x_\sigma \partial^{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial^{j_{2m-2}}
\]

defines a generalized Poisson structure on \( G \).

**Proof:** The theorem is proved using that the SNB \([\Lambda^{(2m-2)}, \Lambda^{(2m-2)}]\) is zero due to the cocycle condition satisfied by \( \omega_{\rho l_2...l_{2m-2}\sigma} \). In particular

\[
\{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \ldots , x_{i_{2m-2}}\} = \omega_{i_1...i_{2m-2}\sigma} x_\sigma
\]

where \( \omega_{i_1...i_{2m-2}\sigma} \) are the ‘structure constants’ defining the \((2m - 1)\) cocycle and hence the generalized PS. In fact, it may be shown that different \( \Lambda^{(2m-2)} \), \( \Lambda^{(2m'-2)} \) tensors also commute with respect to the SNB and that they generate a free ring.

\(^\#2\) The origin of (5.3) is easy to understand since given a symmetric invariant polynomial \( k_{i_1...i_m} \) on \( G \), the associated skew-symmetric multilinear tensor \( \omega_{i_1...i_{2m-1}} \) is given by

\[
\omega(e_{i_1}, \ldots , e_{i_{2m-1}}) = \sum_{s \in S_{(2m-1)}} \pi(s) k([e_{s(i_1)}, e_{s(i_2)}], [e_{s(i_3)}, e_{s(i_4)}], \ldots , [e_{s(i_{2m-3})}, e_{s(i_{2m-2})}], e_{s(i_{2m-1})})
\]

where \( \pi(s) \) is the parity sign of the permutation \( s \in S_{(2m-1)} \).
Note. The requirement of compactness is introduced to have a definite Killing–Cartan metric which then may be taken as the unit matrix; this allows us to identify upper and lower indices.

Example (Generalized PS on $su(3)^*$) Let $\mathcal{G} = su(3)$. Besides the Killing metric (which leads to the standard linear PS on the dual space $su(3)^*$), $su(3)$ admits another symmetric $ad$–invariant polynomial which may be expressed as $\text{Tr}(\lambda_i\{\lambda_j, \lambda_k\}) = 4d_{ijk}$ (the $d_{ijk}$ are the constants appearing in the anticommutator of the Gell–Mann $\lambda$ matrices, $\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\} = \frac{4}{3}\delta_{ij}1_3 + 2d_{ijk}\lambda_k$). Then, the new Poisson structure is defined by

$$\Lambda^{(4)} = \frac{1}{4!}\omega_{i_1i_2i_3i_4}^\sigma x_{i_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_1}} \land \ldots \land \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_4}} , \quad \omega_{\rho i_2i_3i_4}^\sigma := \frac{1}{2}C_{ijjk}^\sigma d_{k_1k_2k}C_{\rho j_1j_2}^{k_1}C_{j_3j_4}^{k_2} .$$

In fact, the $\omega_{\rho i_2i_3i_4}^\sigma$ in (5.6) is what appears in the ‘four–commutators’

$$[T_{j_1}, T_{j_2}, T_{j_3}, T_{j_4}] = \omega_{j_1j_2j_3j_4}^\sigma T_{\sigma} \quad (T_i = \frac{\lambda_i}{2})$$

which are given by the sum $\sum_{s \in S_4} \pi(s)T_{s(j_1)}T_{s(j_2)}T_{s(j_3)}T_{s(j_4)}$ of the $4! = 24$ products of four $T$’s, each one with the sign dictated by the parity $\pi(s)$ of the permutation $s \in S_4$ and which give, as the Lie algebra commutator does, an element of $\mathcal{G}$ in the right-hand side. It is not difficult now to check, using the symmetry of the $d$’s and the properties of the structure constants (including the Jacobi identity) that $[\Lambda^{(4)}, \Lambda^{(4)}] = 0$. Thus, all properties of Def. 4.1 are fulfilled and $\Lambda^{(4)}$ defines a GPB. We refer to $^1_8$ for further details concerning the mathematical structure of the GPB and the contents of the associated generalized dynamics and its quantization. We shall conclude here by saying that this analysis could be extended to Lie superalgebras and super-Poisson structures.

Acknowledgements. This research has been partially supported by the IC-CYCT (Spain) under grant AEN93–187. A. P. wishes to thank the Vicerectorate of research of Valencia University for making his stay in Valencia possible; J.C.P.B. wishes to acknowledge a FPI grant from the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science and the CSIC.
REFERENCES

1. Nambu, Y.: *Generalized Hamiltonian dynamics*, Phys. Rev. **D7**, 2405–2412 (1973)

2. Bayen, F. and Flato, M.: *Remarks concerning Nambu’s generalized mechanics*, Phys. Rev. **D11**, 3049–3053 (1975)

3. Mukunda, N. and Sudarshan E.: *Relation between Nambu and Hamiltonian mechanics*, Phys. Rev. **D13**, 2846–2850 (1976)

4. Hirayama, H.: *Realization of Nambu mechanics: A particle interacting with an SU(2) monopole*, Phys. Rev. **D16**, 530–532 (1977)

5. Takhtajan, L.: *On foundations of the generalized Nambu mechanics*, Commun. Math. Phys. **160**, 295–315 (1994)

6. Chatterjee, R.: *Dynamical symmetries and Nambu mechanics*, Stony Brook preprint (Jan. 1995)

7. Lichnerowicz, A.: *Les variétés de Poisson et leurs algèbres de Lie associées*, J. Diff. Geom. **12**, 253-300 (1977)

8. Weinstein, A.: *The local structure of Poisson manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **18**, 523–557 (1983)

9. Bayen, F.; Flato, M.; Fronsdal, C.; Lichnerowicz, A. and Sternheimer, D.: *Deformation theory and quantization*, Ann. Phys. **111**, 61–151 (1978)

10. Lie, S.: *Begründung einer Invariantentheorie der Berührungs Transformationen*, Math. Ann. **8**, 214–303 (1874/75)

11. Lie, S. and Engel, F.: *Theorie der Transformationsgruppen I–III*, Teubner (1888) (Chelsea, 1970)

12. Grabowski, J.; Marmo, G. and Perelomov, A. M.: *Poisson structures: towards a classification*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A18**, 1719–1733 (1993)

13. Cariñena, J.; Ibort, A.; Marmo, G. and Perelomov A. M.: *On the geometry of Lie algebras and Poisson tensors*, J. Phys. **A27**, 7425–7449 (1994)

14. Alekseevsky, D.V. and Perelomov, A.M.: *Poisson brackets on Lie algebras* Preprint ESI 247 (1995)

15. Tulczyjew, W.M.: *Poisson brackets and canonical manifolds*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. (Math. and Astronomy) **22**, 931–934 (1974)
16. Schouten, J.A.: *Ueber Differentialkomitanten zweier kontravarianter Grössen*, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wet. Amsterdam 43, 449-452 (1940)

17. Nijenhuis, A.: *Jacobi-type identities for bilinear differential concomitants of certain tensor fields*, Indag. Math. 17, 390-403 (1955)

18. de Azcárraga, J. A.; Perelomov, A. M. and Pérez Bueno, J. C.: in preparation

19. Poisson, S.: *Mémoire sur la variation des constantes arbitraires dans les questions de méchanique*, J. École Polytec. 8, 266–344 (1809)

20. Chevalley, C. and Eilenberg, S.: *Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 63, 85–124 (1948)

21. de Azcárraga, J. A. and Izquierdo, J.M.: *Lie algebras, Lie groups, cohomology and some applications in physics*, Camb. Univ. Press (1995)