Transparent Display using a quasi-array of Si-SiO\textsubscript{2} Core-Shell Nanoparticles
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A novel type of transparent monitor with high-resolution images based on Si-SiO\textsubscript{2} core-shell nanoparticles is presented in this contribution. In this monitor, a quasi-array of nanoparticles was used to obtain a very sharp scattering profile. For this purpose, the Si-SiO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles were synthesized and with controlling the size of particles, the dominant emission wavelength was controlled. For the fabrication of a blue color transparent monitor the solution processed Si-SiO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles were dispersed in polystyrene and then coated on a transparent glass surface. After drying the film, the typical features representing a transparent monitor were studied. A video projector was used and text and pictures were sent on the monitor. This monitor reveals very attractive features such as simplicity, wide viewing angle, scalability to larger sizes and low cost. Importantly, the texts and pictures can be well presented on both sides of the fabricated monitor. The composite thin film can be also separated from the glass and can be used as a flexible display. To shed light on the impact of the structure on the optical properties Si-SiO\textsubscript{2} and Ag nanomaterials representing perfect arrays of nanoparticles, quasi-arrays and randomly oriented nanoparticles were calculated/simulated using the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method. The results were compared to the experimental data and show a high accordance.

Transparent displays are ‘see-through’ screens: a person can simultaneously view both the graphics on the screen and real-world content. The ability to display photos and texts on a transparent display would have attractive and useful applications. Here are some applications of transparent displays: displaying driver related information on the windscreen to enhance the driver’s precision and comfort\textsuperscript{1-6}, displaying advertisement on shop front windows or displaying information on eyeglasses including entertainment purposes. Transparent displays fall into two general categories: those that emit light, and those whose light is provided by a projector\textsuperscript{7}. Displays that emit light such as OLEDs and LCDs are prevalent today\textsuperscript{4-6}. Among displays for which the image is provided by a projector one of the simplest approaches is known as “head-up” display. The structure of this kind of display consists of two mirrors that guide through the light from the main display to the viewer’s eye. This display is suitable for defined situations. However, the main problem with the display is a narrow viewing angle which affects the viewer’s position. Diffusive displays have solved the viewing angle problem by scattering the projector’s light\textsuperscript{8,9}. An immediate problem concerning this type of setup is that most of the light from the projector passes through the transparent panel instead of being scattered resulting in low image brightness. In order to solve this problem, researchers have used different materials to enhance transparency while maintaining the high resolution of the image. The fluorescent material converts ultraviolet or infrared light into visible light\textsuperscript{10-13}. Displays made from these materials have high transparency but their efficiency is usually low. A different approach for displays is the use of spherical silver nanoparticles\textsuperscript{14} which selectively scatter blue wavelengths and provide lower emission for other wavelengths. Due to the broad scattering the transparency of the display is slightly reduced. Since the display is a monochrome display, a much narrower emission is needed to create a full-color display. These limitations motivated us to find an innovative way to create a transparent display. In this paper, we report on the synthesis and quantum-chemical simulation of an optimized quasi-array\textsuperscript{15} containing spherical silicon/silicon oxide core/shell nanoparticles to create a blue-colored transparent display. The fabrication method used in this work is the solution processed
method known as “Doctor Blade Method” which is a simple method and applicable to large-scale production. In contrast to other reported methods like\(^1\) which uses normal planar technology this method is not expensive. The resolution in the planar technology depends on lithography which is an expensive procedure and large size displays cannot be fabricated.

**Mathematical Method and Theoretical Background**

The optical wave emission from the proposed transparent display can be evaluated by solving Maxwell’s equations\(^1\)\(^2\). To extract the absorption and emission coefficients for the structure, Maxwell’s equations must be solved by using a boundary condition that is the incident light in this case. In this work, array like systems of core-shell silicon-silicon dioxide nanoparticles uniformly dispersed in polystyrene were coated on transparent silica glass and we consider the crystalline-like core-shell nanoparticles in the polystyrene host as uniformly distributed. The periodicity of the structure is controlled by the nanoparticle’s concentration. Absorption, emission and extinction coefficients were calculated using the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method (see below) and compared with experimental data to evaluate the performance of the structure. One of the best quantities to evaluate these coefficients is the so-called cross-section\(^1\)\(^8\). The cross-section quantity is defined as the net rate at which electromagnetic energy \((W)\) crosses the surface of an imaginary sphere of radius \(r \geq R\) centered on the particle divided by the incident irradiance \((I)\). Thus, the net rate \((W)\) at which electromagnetic energy crosses the boundary of a closed surface \((A)\) which encloses a volume \((V)\) and it is:

\[
W = - \int_A (E \times H) \cdot \hat{n} dA
\]

where \(E\), \(H\), and \(n\) are the electric field, magnetic field and the normal vector to area \(A\).

To quantify the rate of the absorbed \((W_{abs})\) or emitted \((W_{sca})\) electromagnetic energy by the transparent display, the absorption \((C_{abs})\) or emission \((C_{sca})\) cross-sections are defined in equations (2) and (3).

\[
\frac{C_{abs}}{\sigma_{abs}} = \frac{W_{abs}}{I_i}
\]

\[
\frac{C_{sca}}{\sigma_{sca}} = \frac{W_{sca}}{I_i}
\]

In the same way, the extinction cross-section can be defined as:

\[
\frac{C_{ext}}{\sigma_{ext}} = \frac{C_{abs}}{\sigma_{abs}} + \frac{C_{sca}}{\sigma_{sca}}
\]

The absorption and emission cross sections allow to quantify the amount of energy removed from the incident field due to emission and/or absorption made by the core-shell nanoparticles. By dividing these cross sections to the geometrical cross-section area of the particle projected onto a plane perpendicular to the incident beam \((G)\), we obtain the emission, extinction and absorption efficiencies. For spherical nanoparticles \(G = \pi r^2\) and the efficiencies are expressed as in equations (5) and (6).

\[
\sigma_{sca} = \frac{C_{sca}}{G}
\]

\[
\sigma_{abs} = \frac{C_{abs}}{G}
\]

In the past few years, a number of numerical methods have been developed for describing nanoparticle arrays and aggregates with complex structures including the discrete dipole approximation (DDA)\(^19\)\(^20\), the multiple multipoles (MMP)\(^21\), the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD)\(^22\), and the T-matrix method\(^23\)\(^24\). The finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method is a technique used for directly solving Maxwell’s equations in the time domain. FDTD discretize the entire volume of the transparent display to numerous Yee cells and then solve Maxwell’s equations as a function of time numerically. We used this method repeatedly to simulate the emission and absorption cross-section of the proposed structures in order to find the most optimal structure.

**Results and Discussion**

**Calculation/Simulations.** In composite materials\(^25\) as the nanoparticles inside the polystyrene host in the here presented material, non-uniform particles and random distribution of nanoparticles lead to a broad distribution profile and sharp peaks in the frequency domain normally cannot be obtained\(^26\)\(^-\)\(^32\). This is the main reason for overlapping of emissions at different wavelengths resulting in interference and high-resolution images can thus not be obtained. In the here presented structure for a transparent display we used an array of nanoparticles close to the periodic case to allow very sharp peaks\(^33\)\(^-\)\(^36\). In this situation overlaps of different emissions e.g. between red, green and blue colors will be low and high contrast images can be expected. To make an array of nanoparticles, we synthesized uniform Si-SiO\(_2\) core-shell nanoparticles, dispersed them thoroughly in polystyrene (PS) and then spin-coated the mixture on a transparent glass surface. By embedding such an array of nanoparticles in a transparent medium and by projecting images at the resonant wavelength, we can create a screen that scatters most of the projected light while being almost transparent to the broadband ambient light (Fig. 1(A)). The screen should thus be transparent except for the light at resonance wavelength.
Figure 1(B) shows the calculated cross-section for the Si-SiO$_2$@PS array with the spheres having approx. 45 nm radii, and a period of 301.7 nm, using the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method and Paliks model for the permittivity. The absorption cross-section is negligible in this case.

Figure 1 illustrates nicely that wavelength selectivity is achievable for an array of spherical Si-SiO$_2$ nanoparticles. Generally, the resonance frequencies and spectral resolutions found for structures of periodic nanoparticles strongly depend on the size, the shape of nanoparticles, periodicity, and the dielectric environment of nanoparticles. For a rough estimate to determine which structures are optimum to use a figure of merit (FOM) can be defined as follows:

$$FOM = \frac{\sigma_{\text{abs}}(\lambda_{\text{res}})}{2\sigma_{\text{c}} + \max \sigma_{\text{abs}}}$$

Equation (7), shows that the FOM is maximum for minimum absorption cross-section (in the range 390 nm to 750 nm), maximum for high scattering cross section in the desired wavelength and low in other wavelengths. On the other hand, introducing a very sharp scattering profile is critical in transparent monitor design. The numeral 2 used in the denominator is a weighing factor chosen to balance sharp scattering and low absorption. The absolute value of the cross-section per period of the periodic structure is less important here because one can adjust the 2-D density of the nanoparticles on the screen; thus the FOM is defined as a ratio. Also, in order to have a colorless transparent screen, we prefer a flat absorption spectrum. So we use max $|\sigma_{\text{abs}}|$ rather than $\sigma_{\text{abs}}$. One can also consider imposing a wavelength-dependent weight on the cross-sections to account for the spectral sensitivity of human eyes, but we omit this weight for simplicity. With this definition for FOM, we performed numerical optimizations on the proposed structures of spherical silicon nanoparticles, embedded in a transparent medium of silica. Emission and absorption cross-sections are calculated with the FDTD method, using experimental values of the dielectric function for silica and silicon. Data for the cross-section of structures optimized to scatter monochromatic light at 445 nm (blue), 526 nm (green), and 636 nm (red) and the corresponding particle sizes and FOMs are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Optimal information and FOMs for two-dimensional arrays of spherical Si–SiO$_2$ nanoparticles.

| period of the structure | nanoparticle radius | resonance wavelength | FOM |
|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----|
| 301.7 nm                | 45 nm               | 445 nm               | 1/66|
| 360 nm                  | 50 nm               | 526 nm               | 2/5 |
| 430 nm                  | 70 nm               | 636 nm               | 2/44|

Figure 1(B) shows the calculated cross-section for the Si-SiO$_2$@PS array with the spheres having approx. 45 nm radii, and a period of 301.7 nm, using the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method and Paliks model for the permittivity. The absorption cross-section is negligible in this case.

Figure 1 illustrates nicely that wavelength selectivity is achievable for an array of spherical Si–SiO$_2$ nanoparticles. Generally, the resonance frequencies and spectral resolutions found for structures of periodic nanoparticles strongly depend on the size, the shape of nanoparticles, periodicity, and the dielectric environment of nanoparticles. For a rough estimate to determine which structures are optimum to use a figure of merit (FOM) can be defined as follows.

$$FOM = \frac{\sigma_{\text{abs}}(\lambda_{\text{res}})}{2\sigma_{\text{c}} + \max \sigma_{\text{abs}}}$$

Equation (7), shows that the FOM is maximum for minimum absorption cross-section (in the range 390 nm to 750 nm), maximum for high scattering cross section in the desired wavelength and low in other wavelengths. On the other hand, introducing a very sharp scattering profile is critical in transparent monitor design. The numeral 2 used in the denominator is a weighing factor chosen to balance sharp scattering and low absorption. The absolute value of the cross-section per period of the periodic structure is less important here because one can adjust the 2-D density of the nanoparticles on the screen; thus the FOM is defined as a ratio. Also, in order to have a colorless transparent screen, we prefer a flat absorption spectrum. So we use max $|\sigma_{\text{abs}}|$ rather than $\sigma_{\text{abs}}$. One can also consider imposing a wavelength-dependent weight on the cross-sections to account for the spectral sensitivity of human eyes, but we omit this weight for simplicity. With this definition for FOM, we performed numerical optimizations on the proposed structures of spherical silicon nanoparticles, embedded in a transparent medium of silica. Emission and absorption cross-sections are calculated with the FDTD method, using experimental values of the dielectric function for silica and silicon. Data for the cross-section of structures optimized to scatter monochromatic light at 445 nm (blue), 526 nm (green), and 636 nm (red) and the corresponding particle sizes and FOMs are listed in Table 1.
To validate if our solution processed material approaches an ideal array system we simulated nanoparticles in perfect arrays, in quasi-array system and randomly oriented systems thus allowing comparison of theoretical and experimental results. In a first approach, we simulated an array of 400 nanoparticles with random sizes between 40–50 nm and relatively uniform dispersion. As the calculated emission spectra in Fig. 2 show, there are two absorption peaks at 400 nm and 450 nm. With decreasing the number of nanoparticles to 200, the intensity of the peak at 400 nm increases and it overlaps with the peak at 450 nm. With decreasing the number of particles to 50, increasing in the intensity of the peak at 400 nm is more and the overlapping of it with the peak at 450 nm makes a broad peak. For a system with 25 particles, the peak at 400 nm disappears and we can see only one broad peak with the maximum at 450 nm.

In the corresponding calculated absorption spectra in Fig. 3 broadening of the peaks at 400 nm is nearly the same. However, in the case of the peak at 450 nm, for 400 particles, the peak has a shoulder at 460 nm. For 25 particles the peak is broad as observed for the emission.

Assuming a perfectly random distribution of particles with the size of 45 nm, the cross sections of emission and absorption are different as shown in Fig. 4A. Also, the emission cross-section is very narrower than the random distribution. Choosing the size of particles between 40–50 nm and considering perfect random distribution the simulation yield very broad emissions and absorptions (Fig. 4C).

For comparison between the performances of Si-SiO₂ nanoparticles as transparent monitor and Ag nanoparticles (reported by Hsu et al.14) emission spectra for Ag nanoparticles in different distributions as a perfect array, as quasi-periodic and as randomly distributed form are calculated too. Brightness and resolution can be defined by the width and intensity of the emission spectra: narrow peaks indicate high resolution and higher intensity indicates higher brightness. As Fig. 4 shows, the Si-SiO₂ nanoparticles exhibit very sharp emissions compared with Ag nanoparticles in a perfect array, in quasi-array forms, and even in random distribution. Accordingly, the brightness is higher in the display made from Si-SiO₂ nanoparticles. At the same time, the Si/SiO₂ nanoparticles very probably show better performance than Ag nanoparticles in a transparent display. This can be concluded from the highly dispersive permittivity (Palik data) of the Si-SiO₂ nanoparticles compared with the Ag particles.
(uniform permittivity in visible band). Interference between scattered light is thus very destructive for Si-SiO₂ and instructive in the Ag case. Thus, for Si-SiO₂, we can expect higher intensity and narrow bands.

The electric field enhancement profiles through the center of a pixel of the Si-SiO₂ nanoparticle arrays of 45 nm radius and 301.7 nm period were calculated (Fig. 5). Applying resonance wavelengths, in all cases field enhancement is observed. The electric field in the xy and xz plane is enhanced by more than 15 times (Fig. 5A,B) while in the yz planes the enhancement is about 5 times.

Electric field enhancement profiles through the center of a particle in a random distribution of nanoparticles of 45 nm radius in the XY plane under plane wave illumination with wave vector perpendicular to the plane of the nanoparticles and the polarization in the plane were also calculated. On resonance wavelength, field enhancements in the XY and XZ planes are larger than 1.8 times (Figs 6A and 6B) while the electric field enhancement in the YZ plane is equal with the incident field (Fig. 6C).

Figure 4. Calculated absorption and emission cross-sections for (A) a perfect array of Si-SiO₂ particles, (B) randomly distributed uniform Si-SiO₂ particles (45 nm), (C) a random distribution with different particle sizes and comparison between emissions of Si-SiO₂ and Ag nanoparticles in (D) a perfect array, (E) for 25 particles in a quasi-periodic array, (F) for a quasi-periodic array of 400 particles, and (G) for a random distribution.
Figure 7 shows the angular distribution of the electric field at resonance wavelength for the array and random distribution structures. The array distribution confirms that the scattered light can be viewed from a wide angle and is better than a random distribution. Figure 7A shows that the diffraction of light in the proposed structure
is uniformly distributed in 360 degrees. The viewing angle of the Si/SiO₂ system in comparison to the silver nanoparticles based monitor (Fig. 7C) reported by Hsu et al. is wider.

**Experimental investigations.** Figure 8 represents the indexed powder XRD pattern of the synthesized Si-SiO₂ core-shell nanocrystals. The signals at 2θ = 28, 47.305, 56.102, and 69.171 can be attributed to silicon in agreement with the JCPDS Card (7440-21-3) for silicon (cubic Fd-3m, a = 5.430 Å). All other signals can be related to SiO₂ (hexagonal, P densely). Å and c = 5.40524 Å).

The TEM image of the synthesized Si-SiO₂ core-shell nanocrystals (Fig. 9) reveals spherical nanoparticles with sizes about 45 nm.

The absorption spectrum of the synthesized Si-SiO₂ nanoparticles reveals an intense maximum at 400 nm (Fig. 10) and used this wavelength as excitation wavelength to record the photoluminescence (PL) spectrum (Fig. 11) showing an emission maximum around 450 nm in the blue range.

For the fabrication of the transparent monitor, the nanoparticles uniformly merged in polystyrene and then spin-coated on glass. Photoluminescence (PL) bands of these polystyrene-embedded nanoparticles (Fig. 12) are narrower than those of colloids and their structure can be considered as close to a quasi-periodic structure.

For comparing the theoretical and experimental results, the obtained absorption and emission spectra for an array with 400 particles are shown in Fig. 13. As can be seen in the absorption spectrum, the peaks at 400 nm and emission peaks at 450 nm in theoretical and experimental results have a good agreement together. However, in the spectrometers, the slit of light is very narrow and narrow beam hits to the sample and it can excite a few particles and the result emission has more agreement with the theoretical absorption result for 25 particles (Fig. 14).

After characterization of the nanoparticles and considering the mentioned procedure for making a transparent display, nanoparticles in the colloidal form spin-coated on 18 × 18 cm² glass screen. After drying the polystyrene including nanoparticles on the glass, the following experiments did on it. In the first part, Fig. 15, we examined transparency of the display on white light.

Images of ASEPE logo on the transparent display under illuminations by blue, Green and Red lights are examined. The results declared that the monitor only is excited by the blue light (Fig. 16). As Fig. 16 shows, the contrast of the image in dark media is high; however, in white light (normal ambient light), the image is distinguishable too. In both Green and Red illuminations actually, there is no image on the screen. For a comparison between white paper as a screen and transparent display, we presented an image on white paper too.
Conclusions
Theoretical results show that with a periodic structure we can get a sharper emission and absorption. This helps to reach a high-resolution image when we use a structure of transparent monitor. This is because of constructive interference of emitted photons in periodic like structures. However, with solution-processed method reaching the periodic structure is difficult and practically quasi-periodic structure is considered and simulated too. For the

Figure 8. The PXRD pattern of the synthesized Si-SiO₂ core-shell nanoparticles.

Figure 9. TEM image of the synthesized Si-SiO₂ nanoparticles.

Figure 10. Absorption spectrum of the Si-SiO₂ nanoparticles.
evaluation of this results, we used polystyrene medium for dispersing uniform nanoparticles and it is observed that the emission peak appeared in this media is sharper than the spectrum obtained by nanoparticles without polystyrene media. And the broadening of the peak is reached from 38.6 nm to 32.6 nm. This means; we have a

Figure 11. Emission spectrum of the Si-SiO₂ nanoparticles.

Figure 12. Photoluminescence (PL) spectrum of the Si-SiO₂ nanoparticles in Polystyrene matrix.

Figure 13. Calculated emission and absorption spectra for 400 particles and experimental spectra.
band with 6 nm narrower than the emission of quantum dots without polystyrene media for the same quantum dots; it is clear that if the particles are non-uniform the broadening of the peak will be broader.

**Methods**

**Synthesis of Si/SiO₂ nanomaterials.** Silicon quantum dots were synthesized in reverse micelles by solution-phase reduction of SiCl₄ using LiAlH₄. All experiments were carried out in a nitrogen atmosphere to prevent the oxidation of the silicon. In a typical experiment, while stirring, a solution of 5 mL of SiCl₄ in 20 mL of 1,2-dimethoxyethane was added dropwise to 30 mL of 1 M solution of LiAlH₄ in THF. 0.1 ml tetraethyl...
orthosilicate is added on the solution and stirred for 1 h. Then 1 cc, ammonia 0.001 M added on the solution and stirred for 1 h then anhydrous methanol (20 mL) was slowly added to quench any excess reducing agent. The obtained material transferred to a Teflon lined steel reactor and treated at vacuum conditions for 2 h at 120 °C. The obtained materials were centrifuged and separated for the fabrication of a transparent monitor. For large scale synthesis of nanoparticles with good quality, nanoparticles should be synthesized in diluted conditions and evaporate of the solvent after synthesis to reach desired concentration.

**Fabrication method.** Obtained nanomaterial dispersed in toluene and added in the Polystyrene dissolved in toluene. The obtained viscose solution put on a glass and dried for 12 h.

In the large scale, the doctor blade method is the simplest and widely used method for depositing of the solution including nanoparticles on the substrate. The method is also called slot coating in its programmed version. With this method, the film can be layered in desirable thickness (Fig. 17).
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