The gastropod shell has been co-opted to kill parasitic nematodes

R. Rae

Exoskeletons have evolved 18 times independently over 550 MYA and are essential for the success of the Gastropoda. The gastropod shell shows a vast array of different sizes, shapes and structures, and is made of conchiolin and calcium carbonate, which provides protection from predators and extreme environmental conditions. Here, I report that the gastropod shell has another function and has been co-opted as a defense system to encase and kill parasitic nematodes. Upon infection, cells on the inner layer of the shell adhere to the nematode cuticle, swarm over its body and fuse it to the inside of the shell. Shells of wild *Cepaea nemoralis*, *C. hortensis* and *Cornu aspersum* from around the U.K. are heavily infected with several nematode species including *Caenorhabditis elegans*. By examining conchology collections I show that nematodes are permanently fixed in shells for hundreds of years and that nematode encapsulation is a pleisomorphic trait, prevalent in both the achatinoid and non-achatinoid clades of the Stylommatophora (and slugs and shelled slugs), which diverged 90–130 MYA. Taken together, these results show that the shell also evolved to kill parasitic nematodes and this is the only example of an exoskeleton that has been co-opted as an immune system.

The evolution of the shell has aided in the success of the Gastropoda, which are composed of 65–80,000 species that have colonised terrestrial and marine environments over 400 MYA. The gastropod shell shows a vast array of different sizes, shapes and structures, and is made of an outer proteinaceous periostracum of conchiolin and sub-layers of crystalline calcium carbonate. The shell allows protection from predators but slugs and snails are also frequently attacked by parasitic flies, mites, trematodes and nematodes. Of these the nematodes are the most abundant with 108 mollusc parasitic species present in four out of the five clades of the Nematoda. Gastropods are used by nematodes as definitive, intermediate, necromenic or phoretic hosts. For example, *Caenorhabditis elegans* uses gastropods for transport; *Angiostrongylus cantonensis*, the causal agent of human eosinophilic meningoencephalitis, uses snails as intermediate hosts and *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* can kill several species of slugs and snails. Because of its pathogenic nature this nematode has been formulated into a biological control agent (Nemaslug®) by BASF-UK for use by farmers and gardeners. Nematodes are applied to soil, hunt for slugs which they infect and kill 4–21 days later. Pestiferous slugs from the family Agriolimacidae are particularly susceptible to *P. hermaphrodita* whereas many snail species are largely resistant for reasons unknown. The major anatomical difference between slugs and snails is the presence of the snail’s shell, unlike slugs, which have a reduced internalised shell. Recent studies have shown that upon nematode infection some snail species (*Lissachatina fulica* and *Cepaea nemoralis*) have nematodes trapped in their shells but this process is remarkably uncharacterised and not understood. It is unknown how common or evolutionarily conserved this response is; whether it is specific to one nematode species or whether this is a laboratory based phenomenon or is a common procedure used in the wild by snails to kill parasitic nematodes. Furthermore, there is no information on what species of parasitic nematodes are encased and killed in wild caught snail shells and for how long they can remain in shells. Ultimately, this research could unravel a new role for the gastropod shell and reveal that exoskeletons can evolve new immunological abilities to provide protection against parasites such as nematodes.

*C. nemoralis* under lab conditions can trap, encase and kill parasitic nematodes

To investigate the role of the shell as a defence mechanism against nematodes *C. nemoralis* (a resistant species) were exposed to two wild strains of *P. hermaphrodita* (C11 and C25). After 1 and 3 days of infection the snails were dissected and surprisingly, the nematodes were found attached to the inner shell surface. Over time these cells multiplied on the inside of the shell, attached to the nematode cuticle and swarmed over the entire
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body and engulfed it (Fig. 1). When the nematodes were completely covered they were then fused to the inner layer of the shell.

The numbers of *P. hermaphrodita* (both strain C25 and C11) that were encapsulated increased significantly from day 7 to 28 (*P* < 0.01, Fig. 2A). The engulfment of foreign bodies is a powerful way to combat parasites and has evolved in eukaryotes from amoebae to vertebrates. A similar process may allow *C. nemoralis* to combat infection by pathogenic nematodes by trapping and killing them using their shell.

In order to understand if this cellular process was specific to just *P. hermaphrodita* the experiment was repeated with distantly related nematodes (*Steinernema feltiae* and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora*). These nematodes are used as biological control agents of insects that vector toxic bacteria (*Xenorhabdus* or *Photorhabdus* spp.) into the insect haemocoel and cause death in 24–48 hours. They are not pathogenic to terrestrial gastropods but were also trapped, encased and killed in *C. nemoralis* shells (Fig. 2B). There was no significant difference between the numbers of *S. feltiae* and *H. bacteriophora* trapped in the shells of *C. nemoralis* after 7 days (*P* = 0.09). Thus, the ability of the shell to encapsulate nematodes has not evolved to specifically kill slug parasitic nematodes but is a general process that can kill several diverse parasitic nematodes.

Snails collected from the wild have nematodes encased in their shells

Under laboratory conditions *C. nemoralis* can encapsulate and kill parasitic nematodes but does this process occur in nature? Shells of *C. nemoralis* and its congener (*C. hortensis*) were collected from sand dunes in north west England and the north of Scotland, respectively (Figure S1, Table S1) and examined for presence of nematodes. Nematodes were found fixed in shells of both wild *C. nemoralis* and *C. hortensis* shells (Fig. 3A–C). The numbers of nematodes found in *C. nemoralis* shells collected from several locations in north west England and *C. hortensis* shells from northern Scotland differed significantly (*C. nemoralis* $\chi^2$ (4) = 93.06, *P* < 0.0001 and *C. hortensis* $\chi^2$ (3) = 430.54, *P* < 0.0001). In some cases 60% of the shells collected had nematodes present in numbers ranging from 1 to 101 nematodes per shell. Also *Cornu aspersum* shells purchased from an Escargot farm in the U.K. had nematodes present within 100% of shells (*n* = 136), having a mean of 31 ± 2 nematodes per shell. Thus, several snail species isolated from the wild can encase and kill nematodes.

Nematode DNA can be amplified for species identification from shells

In order to understand what nematode species were naturally infecting these shells, they were homogenized and using PCR and DNA sequencing of the nuclear Internal Transcribed Spacer gene (ITS) of several *C. nemoralis* shells and 16 *C. aspersum* shells, the nematodes present in *C. nemoralis* were identified as *P. hermaphrodita*, *Phasmarhabditis californica*, *Eucephalobus* sp. and, based on morphology alone, a Mermithid sp. (Fig. 3B). All

---

**Figure 1.** Nematodes can be trapped and killed in the shell of *C. nemoralis*. *P. hermaphrodita* (A) can be encapsulated in the shell of *C. nemoralis*. After 1 (B) and 3 days (C) cells attach to the nematode cuticle. The numbers of cells increases over time engulfing the nematode body and then it adheres to the inner snail shell (D). The cells continue to cover the entire body of the nematode and it becomes completely encased and fused to the inner layer of the shell (E,F). Scale bars represent 100 μm.
Figure 2. *C. nemoralis* can trap and kill different nematode species. Mean number of *P. hermaphrodita* C11 (blue) and C25 (red) found encased in *C. nemoralis* shells after 7, 14 and 28 days infection (A) (*n* = 10 *C. nemoralis* for each time point exposed to each strain). Mean number of *S. feltiae* and *H. bacteriophora* in *C. nemoralis* shells (*n* = 10 and *n* = 30, respectively) after 7 days exposure (B). Bars represent ± one standard error.

| Location          | n   | Number of shells with nematodes present (%) | Number of nematodes present per shell (range) |
|-------------------|-----|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Formby            | 168 | 38 (18.5%)                                  | 1 to 23                                     |
| Point of Air      | 67  | 40 (59.7%)                                  | 1 to 101                                    |
| Speke             | 221 | 9 (4.1%)                                    | 1 to 3                                     |
| Leasowe           | 245 | 77 (31.4%)                                  | 1 to 152                                    |
| Allerton          | 70  | 8 (10.3%)                                   | 1 to 3                                     |
| Strathy beach*    | 623 | 156 (25%)                                   | 1 to 51                                    |
| Dunnet beach*     | 22  | 6 (21.4%)                                   | 1 to 4                                     |
| Durness beach*    | 41  | 0                                           | 0                                           |
| Torrisdale beach*| 79  | 2 (2.5%)                                    | 1 to 2                                     |

Figure 3. Wild caught snails encase nematodes in their shells. *C. nemoralis* and *C. hortensis* from around the U.K have many nematodes present in the inner aperture of their shells (A–C). The table below details the total numbers of *C. nemoralis* and *C. hortensis* shells examined. *C. hortensis* were collected from locations marked with *. Scale bars in (A, B and C) represent 500 μm, 1 cm and 100 μm, respectively.
**C. aspersum** shells were found to have *[Caenorhabditis elegans]*, a nematode associated with slugs and snails²¹, present in great numbers encased in their shells. Therefore, wild caught and farmed snails frequently use their shell to kill a diverse range of nematode species.

Nematodes are present in the shells of museum collections >500 years old

Next, to understand whether nematodes were permanently fixed in shells when killed, I examined collections of *[C. nemoralis]* housed in Liverpool and Manchester museums, a large part of which contains Arthur Cain’s U.K. wide survey of *[C. nemoralis]* from 1950²². From 1,406 *[C. nemoralis]* shells, specimens collected in 1960, 1918, 1909, 1866 and even 1864 had nematodes encased in their shells (Figure S2, Table S2). Also sub-fossil snail shells of *[C. aspersum]*, *[C. nemoralis]* and *[C. hortensis]*, estimated to be >500 years old²³, were also examined and nematodes were found to be present, albeit in low amounts (n = 76 shells, 1 *C. nemoralis* shell had 2 nematodes present, Figure S3). Therefore, when nematodes are encapsulated they are fixed for hundreds of years as a permanent record of parasitism, which permits an evolutionary analysis of shells across the Stylommatophora.

Parasitic nematode encapsulation is evolutionary conserved across the Stylommatophora

Terrestrial snails and slugs are members of the Stylommatophora, which consists of 60–85,000 species split into two major clades known as the ‘achatinoid’ clade (Achatinidae, Subulinidae and Streptaxidae) and the ‘non-achatinoid’ clade (Limacoidea, Orthurethra, Helicoidea and the Elasmognatha)⁵. To understand how evolutionary conserved encapsulation of nematodes is across the Stylommatophora I examined 1,321 individual shells of 43 genera and 20 families that had been collected from around the world by great conchologists such as John Jackson, Albert Salisbury and Arthur Stelfox from the late 1800’s to early to mid 1900’s. Nematodes were found encapsulated in 28 species from 12 different families across all 4 infraorders of snails in the achatinoid clade and in 6 species from all 3 families of the non-achatinoid clade⁵ (Fig. 4A–F, Table S3). Therefore, the ability to trap, encase and kill nematodes is a pleisomorphic trait that would have been present in the ancestor of the achatinoid and non-achatinoid clades, which was present before their diversification 90–130 MYA²⁴, ²⁵.

Slugs also can trap, encase and kill nematodes in their reduced shell

As well as snails, slugs have evolved several times throughout the Stylommatophora⁶. The main anatomical difference between them is that the shell in slugs has become reduced and has been internalized in most species⁶, ²⁶. Slugs (*Deroceras panormitanum*) were also shown to trap and kill nematodes in their shell. *D. panormitanum* were infected separately with two strains of *P. hermaphrodita* (MGAG2 and B178) and after 28 days the numbers
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**Figure 4.** Nematode encapsulation is evolutionary conserved across the Stylommatophora. The evolutionary relationship between members of the Stylommatophora has been debated for over 100 years²⁵. A representative molecular phylogeny⁶ which is in agreement with morphological classification⁶ was used (A). Shells from families had nematodes present (red) or absent (blue) or not examined (black). For exact number of shells examined and numbers of nematodes present see Table S2. Nematodes were found in the shells of the following snails species: *Partula rosea* (B), *Gudeoconcha sophiae* (C), *C. aspersum* (D), *Helicostyla intorta* (E), *Succinea putris* (F) and *Glessula inornata* (G). As well as snails, slugs (*D. panormitanum*) were shown to encapsulate and kill parasitic nematodes in their internal shell. Uninfected *D. panormitanum* (H) do not have nematodes present but when infected with two *P. hermaphrodita* strains (B178 and MGAG2) nematodes are found encased in the thick gelatinous membrane of the internal shell (I and J) and were quantified (K). Scale bars in H and I represent 1 mm and in J represents 100 μm.
of nematodes in the shell were quantified. *P. hermaphrodita* were found to be fixed in the outer layers of the internalized shell of *D. panormitanum* (Fig. 4G–J) with no significant difference between the numbers of each *P. hermaphrodita* strain found in the shells (P = 0.34). In contrast to *D. panormitanum* the shells of slugs from the genus *Testacella* are reduced in size and have not been internalized but remain external at the posterior of the slug9. By screening through 28 *Testacella sculutum* and 32 *T. maugei* shells that had been collected in 1910 four shells were found that had between 1 and 8 nematodes present per shell (Figure S4). Furthermore, the internalized, granulated shell particles of *Arion ater* and *A. subfuscus*, have also been observed to adhere to nematodes14. Thus, the slug shell, whether reduced, internalized or granulized, posing no protection against predators or abiotic factors, has retained its ability to kill parasitic nematodes.

**Discussion**

These results suggest that the terrestrial gastropod shell is an exaptation that has been co-opted as a defense system to combat parasitic nematodes. Co-option is essential for the production of new physiological, biochemical and morphological processes25 but there are only a handful of examples of the co-option of morphological features. For example, feathers were co-opted for flight and originally used for communication using light and colour in dinosaurs28 and the shell of turtles initially aided digging, not protection29. There are no examples of morphological structures being co-opted with new immunological roles.

Nematodes and gastropods have been engaged in a co-evolutionary arms race since the appearance of gastropods in the late Cambrian7,8. The shell seems to be a formidable defence system that is able to quickly trap hundreds of nematodes. It is unknown how the cells of the shell recognise and attach to the nematode cuticle, but they could respond to lectins, mucins, glycoproteins or collagens that are present on the nematode surface coat and cuticle30. However, some nematodes, such as *P. hermaphrodita*, have evolved ways to evade capture as several snail species e.g. *Cernuella virgata* are susceptible to infection and can be killed by this nematode2,14–16. The precise mechanism of how they can evade the shell is unknown but animal, plant and free-living nematodes display antigenic variation of their surface coat31. These antigens can be split into two groups including somatic antigens and excretory/secretory (ES) antigens, which are released from the parasite during infection and play various roles in parasitism and immune responses of hosts32. A similar process may be used by gastropod parasitic nematodes to evade the shells capture.

This encapsulation ability seems restricted to land snails, but the molluscan shell may be underrated as a defence mechanism against metazoan parasites. For example, pearls from bivalves are thought to be created by encapsulation of trematodes and foreign material in the shell33. However, the research presented here shows that encapsulation is a nematode specific process as from ~5,000 shells examined there were no traces of other metazoan parasites that infect gastropods such as trematodes or parasitic flies found. This is presumably because trematodes have evolved to use snails as intermediate hosts, and thus do not adversely affect them, and parasitic flies would be too large to encapsulate.

As the struggle against infectious agents can lead to rapid changes in the immune system34 terrestrial gastropods were able to co-opt the use of their shell not just as protection against predators but also to combat nematode parasites 90–130 MYA24,25. This co-opted ability shows that biological armour can evolve novel defense mechanisms. This ability has contributed to the success of molluscs in colonising all ecological niches of Earth for hundreds of millions of years.

**Methods**

**Infection assays with *P. hermaphrodita***. *P. hermaphrodita* were isolated from slugs (Limax flavus and *D. panormitanum*) from Sefton Park, Liverpool. The nematodes were identified via standard genotyping procedures8. *P. hermaphrodita* were grown on rotting *L. flavus* to the dauer stage35 and exposed to *C. nemoralis* for 28 days14,15. Plastic non-airtight boxes (10 × 10 cm) were half-filled with 25 g soil. *P. hermaphrodita* were applied at the field application rate of 30 per cm²11. Both *S. feltiae* and *H. bacteriophora* were also applied at the recommended field application rate (50 nematodes per cm²). Five *C. nemoralis* or *D. panormitanum* were added to each box and were fed cucumber every 3–4 days. After 7, 14 and 28 days the snails were dissected and the numbers of nematodes in their shells quantified. These times points were chosen as they were shown in previous studies4–16 to be of sufficient time for nematodes to penetrate and infect both slugs and snails.

**Molecular identification of nematodes in snail shells.** Shells of *C. aspersum* were homogenized using sterile plastic Eppendorf pestles and DNA was then extracted using a Qiagen DNA extraction kit. Individual nematodes in *C. nemoralis* shells were extracted using sterile scalpel blades. DNA was extracted using GeneJET Genomic DNA purification kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the ITS gene was amplified using the primer pair C11 and C25 that were found in the shells of *C. nemoralis* over time were analysed using a One-way ANOVA and Tukey’s post hoc test. The numbers of *S. feltiae* and *H. bacteriophora* that were found in the shells of *C. nemoralis* as well as the numbers of *P. hermaphrodita* (MGAG2 and B178) found infecting *D. panormitanum* were compared using a Student’s t test. The numbers of unknown nematodes that were found in *C. nemoralis* and *C. hortensis* shells collected from around the U.K. were compared using a Chi squared test.
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