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ABSTRACT

We investigate energetic Type Ic supernovae as production sites for ^6Li and Be in the early stages of the Milky Way. Recent observations have revealed that some very metal-poor stars with [Fe/H] < −2.5 possess unexpectedly high abundances of ^6Li. Some also exhibit enhanced abundances of Be as well as N. From a theoretical point of view, recent studies of the evolution of metal-poor massive stars show that rotation-induced mixing can enrich the outer H and He layers with C, N, and O (CNO) elements, particularly N, and at the same time cause the intense mass loss of these layers. Here we consider energetic supernova explosions occurring after the progenitor star has lost all but a small fraction of the He layer. The fastest portion of the supernova ejecta and the circumstellar matter (CSM), both of which are composed of He and CNO, can interact directly and induce light-element production through spallation and He-He fusion reactions. The CSM should be sufficiently thick to energetic particles so that the interactions terminate within its innermost regions. We calculate the resulting ^6Li/O and ^9Be/O ratios in the ejecta + CSM material out of which the very metal-poor stars may form. We find that they are consistent with the observed values if the mass of the He layer remaining on the preexplosion core is ∼0.01–0.1 M⊙ and if the mass fraction of N mixed in the He layer is ∼0.01. Further observations of ^6Li, Be, and N at low metallicity should provide us with critical tests of this production scenario.

Subject headings: nuclear reactions, nucleosynthesis, abundances — stars: abundances — supernovae: general

1. INTRODUCTION

Among the light elements Li, Be, and B (LiBeB), ^7Li is thought to arise from a variety of processes, including big bang nucleosynthesis (Spite & Spite 1982), asymptotic giant branch stars, novae (D’Antona & Matteucci 1991), and the r-process in Type II supernovae (Woosley et al. 1990); the latter may also contribute to ^11B. On the other hand, the main production channel for the rest, in particular for ^6Li and Be, is believed to be cosmic-ray–induced nuclear reactions. The most widely discussed models of LiBeB production are based on cosmic rays accelerated in supernova shocks (Meneguzzi et al. 1971; Vangioni-Flam et al. 2000). Observations of metal-poor stars in the Galactic halo show a primary relation between [Fe/H] and [Be/H] or [B/H], which is consistent with spallation by cosmic rays enriched with C, N, and O (CNO) from fresh supernova ejecta impinging on interstellar H or He (Duncan et al. 1992).

An alternative possibility was proposed by Fields et al. (1996, 2002), who considered explosions of Type Ic supernovae (SNe Ic) as a site for primary LiBeB production. Since it is expected that a fraction of C and O in the surface layers of the ejecta is accelerated to energies above the threshold for spallation reactions when the shock passes through the stellar surface, LiBeB production can occur through the direct interaction of the ejecta with the ambient material, without the need for shock acceleration of cosmic rays. This was explored in greater depth by Nakamura & Shigeyama (2004), who used more realistic stellar models and equations of state, together with a one-dimensional relativistic hydrodynamic code, to investigate in detail how much of the ejecta mass acquires sufficient energies for the LiBeB production. All of these studies used stellar models that completely lost their H and He envelopes and assumed the target to be interstellar matter (ISM) consisting of 90% H and 10% He, ignoring any circumstellar matter (CSM). Therefore, the only reactions under consideration were C, O + H, He → LiBeB.

Recent observations by the Very Large Telescope/UV-Visual Echelle Spectrograph (VLT/UVES; Asplund et al. 2006) and the Subaru/High Dispersion Spectrograph (HDS; Inoue et al. 2005, W. Aoki et al. 2006, in preparation) have revealed that some very metal-poor stars possess surprisingly high abundances of ^6Li, much higher than expected from standard supernova cosmic-ray models (Ramaty et al. 2000; Suzuki & Yoshii 2001; Prantzos 2006). The measured values are also higher than can be accommodated in the SN Ic production scenario discussed above, even in the case of an energetic explosion similar to SN 1998bw, as can be seen from the results of Nakamura & Shigeyama (2004). However, besides spallation of C and O, the fusion reaction He + He → ^6Li may also be potentially important. This reaction will be significant in the conceivable case that a small fraction of He is still remaining in the surface layer of the core at the time of the explosion, while most of the He has been transported to the CSM through mass loss. Meynet & Maeder (2002) and Meynet et al. (2006) have recently calculated the evolution of metal-poor massive stars by taking into account the effects of rotation-induced mixing, and they have shown that extensive mass loss occurs even in extremely metal-poor cases, in addition to significant enrichment of the N abundance near the surface. If this N is accelerated at the shock breakout of the SN explosion, a significant amount of Be can be produced through the reaction N + He → ^9Be, because of its low threshold E_{n} (∼6 MeV A−1) and high cross section at peak σ_{p} (∼24 mbarns) compared with other ^9Be-producing reactions (e.g., E_{n} ∼ 8 MeV A−1 and σ_{p} ∼ 8 mbarns for O + He → ^9Be; see Fig. 1). Indeed, recent observations indicate that the abundances of both Be and N may be enhanced in some metal-poor stars in which ^6Li is detected (Primas et al. 2000a, 2000b; Israelian et al. 2004). In this Letter, we focus on the interactions He, CNO + He, N → LiBeB occurring between the ejecta accelerated by the ener-
We have measured the Be abundances of these two stars to be log $\epsilon$(Be) = $-1.09 \pm 0.20$ for LP 815-43 and $-1.10 \pm 0.15$ for G64-12. The Be abundance in G64-12 is considerably higher than that expected from previous measurements of the Be-Fe relation in stars with similar metallicities, and this may be the case for LP 815-43 as well. Israeli et al. (2004) analyzed nitrogen abundances in 31 metal-poor stars and found that both LP 815-43 and G64-12 are more N-rich than average. The abundances of the relevant elements in these two stars are listed in Table 1. We adopt the values obtained by one-dimensional LTE analyses to ensure consistency.

3. METAL-POOR SN Ic EMBEDDED IN CSM

Meynet et al. (2006) simulated the evolution of metal-poor ([Fe/H] = $-6.6, -3.6$) massive ($60 M_{\odot}$) stars with rapid rotation ($800$ km s$^{-1}$) and found that C is enhanced in the outer layers by rotation-induced mixing, promoting intense stellar winds and significant loss of their envelopes in spite of their initial low metallicities. At the same time, the mixing results in the enrichment of N in the He layers. If a fraction of the He layer is still remaining when the SN explodes, it is expected that the N within is accelerated at the shock breakout, and a significant amount of Be will be produced through the reaction $N + He \rightarrow ^7Be$, thanks to its low threshold and high cross section at peak. Thus, we consider stars that have lost all of their H layer and most of their He/N layer before the explosion. Here we use an explosion model of an $\sim 15 M_{\odot}$ core, originating from a main-sequence star with mass $M_{\text{ms}} \sim 40 M_{\odot}$ (Nakamura et al. 2001). The explosion energy is assumed to be $3 \times 10^{52}$ ergs, corresponding to an energetic explosion similar to SN 1998bw. The mass of the ejecta becomes $13 M_{\odot}$, containing $10 M_{\odot}$ of oxygen. The accelerated ejecta consisting of He and CNO will collide with the circumstellar He and N stripped from the progenitor star and produce LiBeB through the reactions He, CNO + He, N + LiBeB. The energy distribution of the C/O ejecta before interaction is that calculated in Nakamura & Shigeyama (2004), shown in Figure 1 together with the cross sections for selected reactions (Read & Viola 1984; Mercer et al. 2001). Rather than recalculating the explosion hydrodynamics with the added He/N layer, we approximate by changing the composition of the accelerated outermost ejecta from C/O to He/N. This will not lead to considerable errors as long as the replaced mass is small.

The “thick target” approximation is used; that is, the circumstellar He is so thick that light-element production occurs entirely within the CSM while the ejecta particles lose energy mainly by Coulomb collisions with free electrons. This assumption is valid when the mass-loss rate $M$ is greater than $10^{-6} M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ for the typical wind velocity of $v_{\infty} \sim 1000$ km s$^{-1}$, as can be seen by comparing the windblown material’s mass column density $\Sigma$ with

|$^{6}$Li in nine of them at the observed 24 metal-poor halo stars with VLT/UVES and Hobbs et al. 1999, and Cayrel et al. 1999). Asplund et al. (2006) observed 24 metal-poor halo stars with VLT/UVES and positively detected $^{6}$Li in nine of them at the ≥2 $\sigma$ significance level. The subdwarf LP 815-43 is the most metal-poor object ([$Fe/H] = -2.74$) with $^{6}$Li in their sample. Inoue et al. (2005) reported a tentative detection with Subaru/HDS in the even more metal-poor star G64-12 ([Fe/H] = $-3.17$), although a detailed analysis is still ongoing (W. Aoki et al. 2006, in preparation). With VLT/UVES, Primas et al. (2000a, 2000b) have measured the Be abundances of these two stars to be

| Star | [Fe/H] | log $\epsilon$(Li) | $^{6}$Li/ $^{7}$Li | log $\epsilon$(Be) | log $\epsilon$(N) | log $\epsilon$(O) |
|------|--------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| LP 815-43 | $-2.74^a$ | 2.16$^a$ | 0.046 $\pm$ 0.022$^b$ | $-1.09 \pm 0.20$ | 5.61$^a$ | 6.54$^a$ |
| G64-12 | $-3.17^a$ | 2.30$^a$ | ... | $-1.10 \pm 0.15^a$ | 6.15$^a$ | 6.34$^a$ |

$^a$ Israeli et al. (2004).
$^b$ Asplund et al. (2006).
$^c$ Primas et al. (2000b).
$^d$ Akerman et al. (2004).
$^e$ Primas et al. (2000a).
the stopping range $R$. For an $\alpha$-particle with initial energy $\epsilon$, we obtain

$$
\frac{R}{\sigma} = 0.096 \left( \frac{\epsilon}{10 \text{ MeV } \text{A}^{-1}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{M}{10^{-6} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}} \right)^{-1} \times \left( \frac{v_\epsilon}{1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right) \left( \frac{r}{R_\odot} \right). 
$$

which is well below unity. Here $R$ is defined as the column depth through which a particle loses all of its energy. Even if the matter in the wind is not ionized, the energy-loss rates due to ionization will be similar. Other processes such as escape from the system can be ignored. The LiBeB yields are calculated using the cross sections given by Read & Viola (1984) and Mercer et al. (2001). We also assume that the composition of the innermost CSM (i.e., the last wind material) concerned with the reactions is the same as that of the progenitor’s outermost layers.

As a result of the localized production of the light elements, their abundance ratios with respect to heavy elements averaged over the CSM and SN ejecta are likely to be inherited by stars of the next generation, as pointed out by Shigeyama & Tsujimoto (1998). Thus, in the next section, we compare the abundance ratios calculated from the above model with the metal-poor stars discussed in the preceding section.

4. ORIGIN OF Li AND Be IN METAL-POOR STARS

We focus on the abundances of the very metal-poor star LP 815-43 from which $^6$Li, Be, and N have been detected, all at apparently enhanced levels. As seen in Table 1, $X_{^6\text{Li}}/X_\odot \sim 6.88^{+1.08}_{-1.22} \times 10^{-7}$ and $X_{^9\text{Be}}/X_\odot \sim 1.32^{+0.77}_{-0.49} \times 10^{-8}$ for this star. The mass $M_{\text{He,N}}$ of the He/N layer on the preexplosion core and its mass fraction $X_{\text{He,N}}$ of N are the main parameters. Figure 2 shows our results. The yields of $^6$Li increase until $M_{\text{He,N}} \sim 0.01 M_\odot$, which corresponds to the threshold energy of the $\text{He} + \text{He} \rightarrow ^6\text{Li}$ reaction ($\sim 11 \text{ MeV } \text{A}^{-1}$; see Fig. 1), and saturates for larger $M_{\text{He,N}}$. They depend only slightly ($\sim 0.4\%$) on $X_{\text{He,N}}$ (as long as $X_{\text{He,N}}$ is not very large), because most of the $^6$Li is produced through the $\text{He} + \text{He}$ reaction. Only the line corresponding to the case of $X_{\text{He,N}} = 0.005$ is shown in the top panel of Figure 2. On the other hand, the yields of $^9$Be, which is mainly produced through the $\text{C} + \text{O} + \text{He} \rightarrow ^9\text{Be}$ reaction when the He/N layer is deficient, depend strongly on $X_{\text{He,N}}$. Without N ($X_{\text{N}} = 0$), the Be yield decreases rapidly with $M_{\text{He,N}}$, since the $\text{He} + \text{He}$ reaction does not produce Be. For small $X_{\text{He,N}}$, Be yields first decrease with $M_{\text{He,N}}$ for the above reason, and then turn to increase since the cross section of the N + He $\rightarrow ^9\text{Be}$ reaction peaks around $\sim 13 \text{ MeV } \text{A}^{-1}$, corresponding to $\sim 0.013 M_\odot$ integrated from outside for the SN 1998bw model (see Fig. 1). It should be noted that if the He layer is sufficiently large, for instance $M_{\text{He,N}} \geq 1 M_\odot$, our results here tend to overestimate the light-element yields because a core with such a large He layer might not be so compact in reality and effective acceleration at the shock breakout is not expected.

To specify how much He can be accelerated above the production threshold in such cases, detailed calculations for the structures of mass-losing stars are required.

Both $X_{^6\text{Li}}/X_\odot$ and $X_{^9\text{Be}}/X_\odot$ show good agreement with the observational data of LP 815-43 when $M_{\text{He,N}} \sim 0.01 - 0.1 M_\odot$ and $X_{\text{N}} \sim 0.005 - 0.01$. These are consistent with recent simulations of the evolution of metal-poor massive stars with rotation: $X_{\text{N}} \sim 0.008$ for $M_{\text{ms}} = 40 M_\odot$ (R. Hirschi et al. 2006, in prep).

5. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

In this Letter we have proposed a new mechanism to produce the light elements, especially $^6$Li and Be recently observed in metal-poor stars. This is based on recent theoretical findings that rotating, metal-poor massive stars can lose substantial amounts of their envelopes and end up with SNe Ic with a small amount of He and N in the outermost layers. The outer layers composed of He and CNO are accelerated at the shock breakout and undergo spallation and He-He fusion reactions to produce mainly $^6$Li and $^9$Be. Our calculations show that if $M_{\text{He,N}} \sim 0.01 - 0.1 M_\odot$, the He layer is remaining on the core in a SN explosion of a massive star with $M_{\text{ms}} > 30 M_\odot$, then the observed abundance ratios $^6$Li/O can be reproduced. If the He layer contains a small amount ($\sim 0.5\% - 1\%$) of N, we can also reproduce $^9$Be/O.

Compared with the standard picture of cosmic-ray shock acceleration by normal supernovae, our high yield of $^6$Li results from three crucial differences. First, we consider a large explosion energy, of order $10^{52}$ ergs, as observed in some SNe such as SN 1998bw. Second, our mechanism considers the direct interaction of fast ejecta with the CSM, and it does not
involve an efficiency factor for cosmic-ray acceleration and is not affected by losses or escape during ISM propagation. Third, the energy distribution of the accelerated particles is a very steeply falling power law, with spectral index \( \sim 4.6 \) as opposed to 2 for shock-accelerated particles. This means that most of the energy is contained in the lowest energy portions, where the cross sections for both \( \text{He} + \text{He} \rightarrow ^{4}\text{Li} \) and \( \text{N} + \text{He} \rightarrow ^{7}\text{Be} \) peak (see Fig. 1). In fact, the total energy of particles above 10 MeV nucleon \(^{-1}\) in our fiducial model is \( 8 \times 10^{50} \) ergs, much higher than that achievable in the standard picture, 10\%–30\% of 10\(^{51}\) ergs. One may claim that \(^{6}\text{Li}\), and probably also \(^{8}\text{Be}\) (García-Pérez & Primas 2006), in metal-poor stars may have been depleted from their initial values, since the observed abundances of \(^{7}\text{Li}/\text{H}\) are a few times smaller than that predicted by standard big bang nucleosynthesis, and \(^{7}\text{Li}\) is more fragile than \(^{7}\text{Li}\) (Asplund et al. 2006). The actual survival fraction of \(^{6}\text{Li}\) is difficult to evaluate reliably because the pre–main-sequence \(^{6}\text{Li}\) destruction is sensitive to convection, which cannot be modeled without free parameters. However, depletion factors as large as 10 may still be compatible with our picture. The estimates in the preceding section are based on an explosion energy of the order of 10\(^{52}\) ergs. The mass of ejecta with energy per nucleon above a certain value scales very strongly with the explosion energy, \( E_{\text{ex}} \) as \( E_{\text{ex}}^{3.4} \) (Nakamura & Shigeyama 2004). Thus, an SN with the explosion energy 2 times higher than that considered in the preceding section will be able to produce \( \sim 10 \) times larger amounts of light elements depending on the distribution of \( \text{He} \) and \( \text{N} \). Nevertheless, if such very energetic explosions turn out to be rare, the mechanism proposed here may play a rather limited role compared to other potential \( \text{LiBeB} \) production processes.

Both the degree of mass loss and the amount of \( \text{N} \) enrichment in the progenitor star are expected to be sensitive to its initial rotation speed (Meynet & Maeder 2002; Meynet et al. 2006).

The actual rotation speed is presumably distributed over a wide range, as is the explosion energy and the extent of mass loss at the time of the explosion. Therefore, dispersions in the \(^{6}\text{Li}\) and \( \text{Be} \) abundances are expected, and current observations suggest that this may indeed be the case for \(^{6}\text{Li}\) (Aoki et al. 2004; Asplund et al. 2006; Inoue et al. 2005) as well as \( \text{Be} \) (Boesgaard & Novicki 2006). Our scenario predicts a close relation between \( \text{Be} \) and \( \text{N} \), since \( \text{Be} \) arises directly as a consequence of \( \text{N} \) spallation. A looser correlation between \(^{6}\text{Li}\) and \( \text{N} \) is also expected, as effective \(^{6}\text{Li}\) production requires sufficient mass loss, which in turn implies significant \( \text{N} \) enrichment. Further observations of \(^{6}\text{Li}, \text{Be},\) and \( \text{N} \) for a large sample of metal-poor stars should provide us with definitive tests. Note that such correlations are not expected for other scenarios that involve mainly \(^{6}\text{Li}\) production only, such as structure formation cosmic rays (Suzuki & Inoue 2002; Rollinde et al. 2005), active galactic nucleus outflows (Prantzos 2006; Nath et al. 2006), and production processes in the early universe (Jedamzik et al. 2005). It is also mentioned that mass loss onto companion stars in binary systems may represent an additional pathway to our scenario, provided that sufficiently thick CSM is remaining at the time of the explosion. We reiterate that more observational data are necessary to elucidate what fraction of the \( \text{LiBeB} \) abundances seen in halo stars of different metallicity can be explained by the mechanism proposed here.
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