The role of inhaled and/or nasal corticosteroids on the bronchodilator response
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Introduction

There is a close relationship between asthma and allergic rhinitis (AR)\(^4\). Epidemiologically, asthma and AR frequently coexist\(^7\). Pathophysiologically, they both show eosinophilic airway inflammation\(^2,5\). Clinically, their treatment options are similar\(^6\). In co-morbid patients, effective treatment of AR also improves asthma symptoms\(^6,7\).
The Bronchodilator response (BDR) is usually characterized by an increase in forced expiratory volumes after inhalation of a bronchodilator. It is well known that airway hyperresponsiveness to nonspecific bronchoconstrictors and a substantial BDR are important characteristics of asthma. The Assessment of the BDR in patients with asthma is widely used in clinical practice. Generally, the BDR is elevated in asthmatic patients. In patients with AR, BDR is not used as a routine test. However, recent studies reported elevated BDR in some AR patients who had neither a history nor clinical symptoms of asthma.

In asthma, controller medication reduces airway inflammation, relieves symptoms and improves lung function. Regular inhalation of corticosteroids are reported to reduce BDR in asthmatic patients. In patients with AR, intranasal corticosteroids are recommended as a first-line therapy to reduce upper airway inflammation and to relieve rhinitic symptoms, especially nasal congestion. However, little is known about the changes in the BDR in patients with AR after regular administration of intranasal corticosteroids.

This study was conducted to compare BDR in children with asthma and/or AR and to investigate the effect of inhaled and nasal corticosteroids on the BDR in these patients.

Materials and methods

1. Subjects

One hundred and fifty-seven children with asthma and/or AR (mean age, 10.6 years) were enrolled in this study during the period between June 2005 and January 2010. All subjects attended the allergy clinic at Seoul National University Children’s Hospital. Asthma was diagnosed by a physician with the history of recurrent episodic symptoms (cough, wheezing and dyspnea) and symptom-free intervals. All asthmatic children had airway hyperresponsiveness defined by as a provocative concentration of methacholine causing a 20% fall in forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) (PC20) of <16 mg/mL. They had mild to moderate asthma and needed intermittent use of β2-agonists with or without continuous use of low-dose inhaled corticosteroids to control their asthma symptoms. AR was diagnosed with the patient’s history, rhinologic examination, and skin-prick test. Children with AR had recurrent symptoms of sneezing, rhinorrhea, and nasal stuffiness or itching during the preceding year. In rhinitic children, pallor or swelling of the nasal mucosa and turbinates was a frequent finding. They needed intermittent use of oral anti-histamines with or without continuous use of nasal corticosteroids to control their rhinitic symptoms. All children with AR regardless of co-morbid asthma were positive for skin-prick test. Clinical examination and chest radiograph were performed to exclude other causes of wheeze or clinically significant medical disorders apart from asthma or AR.

Parents and children provided written informed consent for their participating in the study. The Institutional Review Board of our hospital approved the study protocol.

2. Study Design

Subjects were divided into three groups according to their diagnosis: children with asthma, with co-morbid asthma and AR, and with AR. All subjects had a 2-week baseline period, followed by a treatment period. During the baseline period, all asthmatic children were asked to discontinue their controller medication, if used, and to use β2-agonists on demand. In the baseline period, all rhinitic children were asked to discontinue oral anti-histamines and nasal corticosteroids. In the treatment period, children with asthma were asked to receive inhaled corticosteroids, children with AR to receive nasal corticosteroids, and children with co-morbid asthma and AR to receive both inhaled and nasal corticosteroids. All asthmatic children regardless of co-morbid AR used inhaled fluticasone propionate (FP) (Seretide Diskus™, GlaxoSmithKline, Middlesex, UK) twice a day. The dose of inhaled FP was tailored to asthma severity, either 100 or 250 μg. All children with AR used nasal FP (Flixonase™, GlaxoSmithKline, Middlesex, UK) twice a day with one spray in each nostril.

All children had undergone spirometry with the bronchodilator test at the end of the 2-week baseline period. Two weeks after starting treatment, they had undergone additional spirometry with bronchodilator test.

3. Skin prick test

The skin prick test was performed for the assessment of atopy. Thirteen common aeroallergens including house dust mites (Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus, Dermatophagoides farinae), animal danders (cat epithelia, dog epithelia), pollens (mugwort, ryegrass, ragweed, hazel, alder, oak), molds (Aspergillus fumigatus, Alternaria alternata), and cockroach (Blatella germanica) were used for the evaluation.

Histamine and isotonic saline were used as positive and negative controls. A mean wheal diameter of 3 mm or larger, in the absence of any reaction to saline solution, was considered a positive reaction. Atopy was defined as the presence of at least one positive reaction to a battery of 13 common airborne allergens.

4. Bronchodilator test

Forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) and forced vital capacity (FVC) were measured using a computerized spirometer.
there were improvements in both prebronchodilator FEV₁ and postbronchodilator FEV₁ in children with asthma regardless of co-morbid AR (Fig. 1). In children with asthma, after inhalation of FP, prebronchodilator FEV₁ increased from 87.8±13.8% to 94.7±12.1% (P<0.001), and postbronchodilator FEV₁ also increased from 96.3±12.8% to 99.6±12.2% (P<0.001) in children with asthma. The BDR decreased from 10.4±8.5% to 5.3±4.2% in children with asthma (P<0.001). An analogous result has been observed in children with co-morbid asthma and AR. After medication with inhaled and nasal FP, both prebronchodilator FEV₁ and postbronchodilator FEV₁ increased from 85.0±11.1% to 91.2±10.0% (P=0.001) and from 92.1±10.1% to 95.1±9.7% (P=0.047), respectively. In children with co-morbid asthma and AR, the BDR decreased from 9.1±6.0% to 4.5±3.3% (P<0.001).

To compare the degree of reduction in the BDR between these two groups, besides net change of BDR, we calculated the percentage change (Δ%) in the BDR with respect to values in the baseline period as following: Δ%BDR=[(BDR in the treatment period−BDR in the baseline period)/BDR in the baseline period]×100. Net change of the BDR was not significantly different between

### Results

The subjects’ descriptive characteristics are shown in Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences in height and age between the three groups.

Spirometric measurements and BDR in the baseline period are summarized in Table 2. In prebronchodilator FEV₁ and BDR, there were no statistically significant differences between children with asthma and those with co-morbid asthma and AR. Asthmatic children regardless of co-morbid AR showed a lower prebronchodilator FEV₁ (P=0.004) and a higher BDR (P<0.001) than children with AR. Two weeks after starting treatment,
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In children with AR, prebronchodilator FEV1 was 93.7±11.3% and postbronchodilator FEV1 was 98.4±12.4% before treatment. Two weeks after starting treatment, their prebronchodilator FEV1 was 94.3±13.7% and postbronchodilator FEV1 was 98.8±14.5%. However, there was no meaningful change in prebronchodilator FEV1 (P=0.647) nor in postbronchodilator FEV1 (P=0.797) after medication with nasal FP. In these children, the BDR decreased from 5.0±3.0% to 4.7±3.3% after treatment, but the change was not statistically significant (P=0.597) (Fig. 2). In this study, 5 children with AR alone showed obvious BDR (of ≥9%).

Discussion

We found that BDR were reduced after regular controller medication with FP in asthmatic patients regardless of combined AR. However, there were no significant changes in the BDR after regular application of nasal FP in the children with AR alone.

In this study, asthmatic children without AR had a mean BDR of 10.4±8.5%, and asthmatic children with AR showed a mean BDR of 9.1±5.9% at baseline. The BDR was elevated in both groups of children compared with our previous data (n=59; age, 10.3±2.6 years; BDR, 3.3±2.2%) (P<0.001, one-way ANOVA). These findings correspond well with previous studies which reported elevated BDR in(asthmatics8-12). We found no significant difference in the BDR between asthmatics and the co-morbid subjects. To the best of our knowledge, however, there has been no other published data that has compared the BDR between these two groups. Previously, a few reports showed that there are no significant differences in prebronchodilator FEV1 or postbronchodilator FEV1 between asthmatic patients with and without co-morbid AR20,21. These reports may be partially in accordance with our finding. In adults, an increase in FEV1 of at least 12% from the baseline value or an absolute change of 200 mL is defined as a meaningful response22. Previous reports have suggested that BDR over 9% would best distinguish children with asthma from those without asthma10,23. In this study, 33 (47%) of the 68 asthmatics and 18 (40%) of the 45 asthmatics with AR showed a BDR of ≥9%.

In this study, the rhinitics had a mean BDR of 5.0±3.0% at baseline. Although 5 (11%) of the 44 rhinitics showed a BDR of ≥9%, the rhinitics as a group showed a higher BDR value than healthy population of 59 children in our previous study14. Our finding is in good agreement with previous report of Capasso et al.24, who showed a higher BDR in rhinitics than in normal control. However, they reported that more than 20% of rhinitics showed obvious BDR, which was a higher percentage in comparison with this study. Several factors such as the duration of AR, basal FEV1 level and specific sensitization13 should be considered to explain the discrepancy between the study and ours. Another explanation would be different dose of inhaled salbutamol in the bronchodilator testing. Previous study showed greater bronchodilator responses to inhalation of increasing doses of salbutamol25.

An elevated BDR in children with AR may reflect co-existing bronchial inflammation1-3. BDR is known to show a good correlation with biologic markers of lower airway inflammation26, 27. In this study, rhinitics showed a markedly lower BDR than asthmatics or asthmatics with AR. This also may be explained by the degree of lower airway inflammation in each condition. Previous studies which compared the degree of bronchial eosinophilic inflammation between rhinitic patients and asthmatic patients reported a higher percentages of eosinophils and a higher levels of ECP in induced sputum from asthmatic patients than rhinitic patients5,28.

Regardless of co-morbid AR, our children with asthma showed a reduction in the BDR after regular use of FP. There were improvement in both prebronchodilator FEV1 and postbronchodilator FEV1 after regular treatment with FP. However, prebronchodilator FEV1 improved to a greater extent than postbronchodilator FEV1, causing of the reduction in BDR. Earlier reports showed reduction in the BDR with improvement of prebronchodilator FEV1 after treatment with inhaled FP16,17. The improvement in FEV1 would be associated with increased airway
caliber which was caused by anti-inflammatory effects of inhaled corticosteroids. A reduction of inflammatory cells and a decrease in release of cytokines and mediators would reduce airway wall thickness, airway secretions and muscle tone.

Nasal inflammation can influence the lower airflow. Many reports provide evidences to support that intranasal corticosteroids improve asthma symptoms and bronchial hyperresponsiveness in asthmatic patients with AR. However, in this study, adding intranasal FP to inhaled FP would seem to bring no additional effect on the BDR. Although children with co-morbid asthma and AR used both nasal and inhaled FP, but they showed similar degree of reduction in the BDR compared to those with asthma alone. Analogous results were found in previous studies. There were no steroid sparing effect of intranasal corticosteroids when added to inhaled corticosteroids in the patients with both asthma and AR. Although combined treatment induced reduction of systemic eosinophilic inflammation in the study, there was no additional effect on improvement in methacholine PC20.

In this study, it was an interesting finding that children with AR showed no definite changes in the BDR after regular treatment with nasal FP. A few studies have evaluated the effect of treatment with nasal corticosteroids on the biologic markers of lower airway inflammation. Sandrini et al. reported that no statistically significant effect of nasal corticosteroids on exhaled nitric oxide (ENO) in AR patients without asthma, while nasal corticosteroid meaningfully reduced ENO in the patients with co-morbid asthma and AR. Other study has reported that nasal corticosteroids improve the impaired FEF25–75 values in patients with AR. These discrepancies would be from that these two parameters reflect different aspects of the lower airway dysfunction. FEF25–75 is considered as a marker of small airway obstruction, which may be a sensitive indicator of early bronchial impairment in AR. Meanwhile, the BDR is known to reflect inflammations in the large airways. It may also be possible speculation that pretreatment BDR in AR group were not great enough to show definite changes after treatment. In this study, we could not find any significant change in BDR after treatment even in the subgroup of rhinitic children with BDR of ≥9% (n=5, P=0.094, Mann-Whitney test). In addition, they showed no significant difference in prebronchodilator FEV1 at baseline period compared with other rhinitic children who did not show obvious BDR (P=0.782, Mann-Whitney test). We cannot rule out the possibility of type II error due to the small sample size. It is possible that there is some effect of nasal corticosteroids on the BDR in subgroup of rhinitic children who have a markedly elevated BDR. If it was, such effect of nasal corticosteroids on the BDR may have been demonstrable in a larger number of patients.

We evaluated the change of lung function after 2 weeks of treatment with inhaled and/or nasal FP. Previous study reported that the improvement in BDR was near maximal after 2 weeks of treatment with inhaled FP. Furthermore, several studies also demonstrated the marked improvement in nasal blockage, total nasal symptoms after 2 weeks of treatment with intranasal corticosteroids in rhinitic patients.

In conclusion, we reported an elevated BDR in children with asthma and/or AR, and a significant reduction in the BDR after treatment with inhaled corticosteroids. Children with AR also showed a higher BDR than previously reported values in normal population, but they showed no significant changes in the BDR after treatment of nasal inflammation. This implies other physiologic factors in AR patients which induce elevated BDR besides their nasal inflammation.
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