Sesquilignans and sesquiterpenoid from the stem barks of *Illicium simonsii* and their anti-AChE activity
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Abstract: Three new sesquilignans, 1–3, a new sesquiterpenoid, 4, and three known compounds were isolated from the stem barks of *Illicium simonsii*. The structures of new compounds (1–4) were elucidated by spectroscopic methods. A biosynthetic pathway was proposed for simonsienols A–C (1–3). Anti-AChE activity and anti-BuChE activity were evaluated for all compounds except for α-cadinol ethyl ether (4). As a result, isodunnianol (7) exhibited anti-AChE activity with an IC50 value of 13.0 μM.
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Introduction
The genus *Illicium* is the only member of the family Illiciaceae and is an evergreen shrub or tree. About 40 species have been found disjunctively in eastern North America, Mexico, the West Indies and the eastern Asia. The highest concentration of species is in the northern Myanmar and the southern China where nearly 35 species have been described.1–3 *secos* Prezizaene-type sesquiterpenes, prenylated C5-C15 compounds and sesqui-neolignans are the secondary metabolites characteristic of the *Illicium* plants.4–6 4-epi-illicinone E-12-shikimate and 3-hydroxyillifunone B were isolated from the stems of *I. simonsii* and simonin A showed activity against oral microbial organisms.7 The unique structures and interesting biological activities have lured us to extensively investigate on the bioactive compounds of *I. simonsii*. As a result, three new sesquilignans (1–3) and one new sesquiterpenoid (4), together with three known compounds macranthol (5),8 dunnianol (6),9 and isodunnianol (7)10 were isolated from the stem barks of *I. simonsii*. Herein we report the isolation, structure elucidation and anti-AChE activity of these compounds.

Results and Discussion
The aired-dried stem barks of *I. simonsii* were extracted with EtOH for three times at room temperature. The extract was partitioned successively with petroleum ether, CHCl3, and n-BuOH. The CHCl3 fraction was subjected to a multistep chromatographic separation and purification procedures to afford pure compounds 1–7.

The molecular formula of 1 was assigned as C27H30O3 by the basis of HRESIMS at m/z 399.1965 [M + H]+ (calcd. for C27H30O3, 399.1960), indicating 15 degrees of unsaturation. Its IR spectrum showed the presence of hydroxyl group (3418 cm−1), aromatic ring (1638, 1495, 1424 cm−1) and ether linkage (1281, 1229 cm−1). The 1H NMR spectra (Table 1) of 1 showed signals due to three allyl groups [δH 4.68 (2H, d, J = 5.3 Hz, H-7), 6.01 (1H, ddd, J = 5.3, 10.5, 17.3 Hz, H-8), 5.38 (1H, dd, J = 1.7, 17.3 Hz, H-9a), 5.30 (1H, dd, J = 1.5, 10.5 Hz, H-9b), 3.37 (2H, d, J = 6.6 Hz, H-7′), 5.97 (1H, ddd, J = 6.6, 8.4, 18.0 Hz, H-8′), 5.10 (1H, dd, J = 1.8, 18.0 Hz, H-9′a), 5.07 (1H, dd, J = 1.8, 8.4 Hz, H-9′b), 3.35 (2H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, H-7′), 5.99 (1H, ddd, J = 6.8, 8.4, 18.0 Hz, H-8′), 5.06 (1H, δH 3.35 (2H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, H-7′), 5.99 (1H, ddd, J = 6.8, 8.4, 18.0 Hz, H-8′), 5.06 (1H, δH 3.35 (2H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, H-7′), 5.99 (1H, ddd, J = 6.8, 8.4, 18.0 Hz, H-8′), 5.06 (1H,
Table 1. $^1$H NMR data of 1 (CDCl$_3$), 2 (acetone-$d_6$) and 3 (acetone-$d_6$)

| Position | $\delta$ (J in Hz) | $\delta$ (J in Hz) | $\delta$ (J in Hz) |
|----------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 3        | 7.49 (d, 2.3)     | 7.12 (d, 2.6)     | 7.14 (d, 2.6)     |
| 5        | 7.46 (d, 2.3, 8.8)| 7.11 (d, 2.6)     | 7.13 (d, 2.6)     |
| 7        | 7.14 (d, 8.8)     | 7.14 (d, 8.8)     | 7.14 (d, 8.8)     |
| 8        | 6.48 (d, 5.3)     | 3.24 (d, 6.8)     | 3.24 (d, 6.8)     |
| 9a       | 6.01 (dd, 5.3, 10.5, 17.3) | 6.03 (dd, 6.8, 10.5, 18.0) | 6.04 (dd, 6.8, 16.8, 17.1) |
| 9b       | 5.38 (dd, 1.7, 17.3) | 5.11 (dd, 1.9, 18.0) | 5.14 (dd, 2.1, 16.8) |
| 9c       | 5.30 (dd, 1.5, 10.5) | 5.08 (dd, 1.9, 10.5) | 5.09 (dd, 1.8, 17.1) |
| 1'       | 6.66 (s)          |                   |                   |
| 2'       |                   | 6.61 (d, 3.0)     |                   |
| 3'       | 7.11 (d, 2.5)     |                   |                   |
| 4'       |                   | 6.75 (d, 8.6, 3.0)|                   |
| 5'       | 7.12 (d, 2.5, 8.8)| 6.67 (s)          | 6.85 (d, 8.6)     |
| 6'       | 6.99 (d, 8.8)     |                   |                   |
| 7'       | 3.37 (d, 6.6)     | 3.40 (d, 6.8)     |                   |
| 8'       | 5.97 (dd, 6.6, 8.4, 18.0) | 6.01 (dd, 6.8, 10.8, 15.8) |                   |
| 9a       | 5.10 (dd, 1.8, 18.0) | 5.15 (dd, 1.9, 10.8) |                   |
| 9b       | 5.07 (dd, 1.8, 8.4) | 5.13 (dd, 1.9, 15.8) |                   |
| 3'       | 7.08 (d, 2.4)     | 7.14 (d, 2.3)     | 7.12 (d, 2.2)     |
| 5'       | 7.07 (d, 2.4, 8.8)| 7.09 (dd, 2.3, 8.3)| 7.07 (dd, 8.2, 2.2)|
| 6'       | 6.91 (d, 8.8)     | 6.95 (d, 8.3)     | 6.92 (d, 8.2)     |
| 7'       | 3.35 (d, 6.8)     | 3.37 (d, 6.8)     | 3.36 (d, 6.8)     |
| 8'       | 5.99 (dd, 6.6, 8.4, 18.0) | 5.98 (dd, 6.8, 10.5, 18.0) | 5.99 (dd, 6.8, 10.1, 10.2) |
| 9a       | 5.06 (dd, 1.8, 18.0) | 5.03 (dd, 1.9, 18.0)| 5.04 (dd, 2.2, 10.2)|
| 9b       | 5.05 (dd, 1.8, 8.4) | 5.00 (dd, 1.9, 10.5) | 5.01 (dd, 1.8, 10.1)|

The molecular formula of 3 was determined as C$_2$H$_{14}$O$_3$ by HRESIMS. Analysis of its NMR (Tables 1 and 2) and MS data showed that 3 was similar to 2 except for the absence of one allyl group. Comparing with 2, a significant upfield chemical shift of C-4' (δ 118.0) was observed in 3. HMBC correlations (Figure 1) of H-7/C-3, 4, 5, 8, 9, H-7/C-3", 4", 5", 8", 9", together with 3'H-1 COSY correlation between H-4' and H-5' on ring C further supported the above assignment. Thus, the structure of 3 was established as shown and named simonsienol C. A possible mechanism for the formation of 1, 2 and 3 is shown in Scheme 1.

Compound 4 was obtained as yellowish gum. The molecular formula of 4 was determined as C$_{13}$H$_{22}$O$_4$ by HRESIMS. Analysis of its $^1$H NMR data (Experimental Section) revealed an ethanol group [δH 3.42–3.26 (2H, m, H-16), 1.15 (3H, t, J = 7.0 Hz, H-17)]. The $^1$C NMR data (Experimental Section) showed that 4 was a sesquipiperenoid, which was very similar to α-cadinol methyl ether except for the presence of an ethyl group. Diagnostic HMBC correlations were observed between C-10 (δC 76.0), 17 and H-16, together with 3'H-1 COSY correlatons (Figure 2) of H-16/H-17, implying the connection through oxygen bridge between C-16 and C-10. 4 might be an artificial product which was produced during the reaction with EtOH during the extraction process. All the groups had the same orientations as those in α-cadinol.
methyl ether, which was apparently confirmed by ROESY correlations (Figure 2) of H-1/H-16 and H-6/H-11. At last, 4 was characterized as α-cadinol ethyl ether.

Figure 2. Selected 1H-1H COSY, HMBC and NOESY correlations of 4

All compounds except for compound 4 were evaluated for acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitory activity by using 96-well microplate reader (680 XR, USA). Tacrine was used as a positive control with an IC50 value of 0.33 µM. As a result, compound 7 was found to exhibit anti-AChE activity with an IC50 value of 13.0 µM. However, all the tested compounds were inactive when BuChE inhibitory activity and neurotrophic effect were assayed.

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. Optical rotations were recorded on a JASCO P-1020 polarimeter. IR and UV spectra were recorded on a Bruker Tensor 27 FT-IR spectrometer and a Shimadzu UV2401PC spectrometer, respectively. 1D and 2D NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance III 600, or AM400 MHz spectrometers with TMS as internal standard at room temperature. HRESIMS were recorded on a API QSTAR Pulsar 1 spectrometer. Column chromatography (CC) was performed on silica gel (100–200 mesh, Qingdao Marine Chemical Ltd., Qingdao, China); Sephadex LH-20 (Amersham Biosciences, Sweden) and RP-18 gel (40 × 75 µm, Fuji Silysia Chemical Ltd., Japan). Analytical and semipreparative HPLC were performed on SHIMADZU LC-20AT system equipped with Extend-C18 column (4.6 × 150 mm) and YMC-Pack ODS-A column (10 × 150 mm), respectively.

Plant Material. Stem barks of I. simonsii were collected in Dongchuan of Yunnan province, China, in June 2010 and were identified by Dr. Rong Li of Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences. A sample was deposited in our laboratory. A voucher specimen of I. simonsii (LSF011-12) is deposited in State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in west China, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Extraction and Isolation. The air-dried stem barks of I. simonsii (28 kg) were powdered and extracted with 90% EtOH (3 × 25 L) at room temperature. The EtOH extract was evaporated in vacuo to yield a dark black residue, which was successively fractionated with petroleum ether, CHCl3 and n-BuOH. A portion of the CHCl3 extract (1378 g) was separated by silica gel column chromatography using CHCl3/MeOH (20:1 to 2:1) as a gradient solvent system to afford fractions 1–20.

Fraction 4 was subjected to a MCI column, eluted with a gradient of MeOH/H2O (80:20 to 95:5), to give eight subfractions, A1–A8. Fraction A5 was subjected to repeated silica gel CC (petroleum ether/Me6CO, 20:1 to 5:1) to afford four subfractions (A51–A54). Fraction A54 was chromatographed over a Sephadex LH-20 with MeOH and further purified by semipreparative HPLC to yield compound 1 (9.0 mg, tR 33.0 min, CH3CN/H2O 70:30). Fraction 8 was eluted on RP-18 column with MeOH/H2O (40:60 to 70:30) to give two fractions. The first fraction was purified by Sephadex LH-20
to give 3 (40.0 mg) and 2 (35.0 mg). Fraction 9 was gradu-
antly eluted with petroleum ether/Me₂CO (20:1 to Me₂CO) to give B-D. Fraction B (6.0 g) was subjected to a RP-18 column eluting with a gradient of MeOH/H₂O (70:30 to 95:5) and purified with petroleum ether/EtOAc (15:1) to yield 6 (755 mg). Fraction 12 was subjected to repeated silica gel CC (petroleum ether/Me₂CO, 90:10 to 70:30) to yield fraction E-
H. Fraction G (5.0 g) was fractionated with a gradient of petroleum ether/EtOAc (95:5 to 80:20) to yield fractions G₁-
G₆. Fraction G₁ was separated on Sephadex LH-20 eluting with MeOH to yield 4 (112.0 mg). Fraction 15 was gradu-
antly eluted with CHCl₃/MeOH (20:1 to 2:1) to yield fractions I–N. Fraction L was eluted with petroleum ether/Me₂CO (10:1 to 2:1) to give crystallite 5 (297 mg). Fraction 18 was subjected to repeated silica gel CC (CHCl₃/MeOH, 40:1 to 5:1) to yield fractions O–R. Fraction Q was further separated by semipre-
parative HPLC fractionation with aqueous CH₃CN to yield 7 (22.0 mg, tₑ 25.0 min, CH₃CN/H₂O 26:74).

Simonsienol A (1): colorless gum; [α]₂⁰₀³₀° = -10.3 (c 0.001, CHCl₃); UV (CHCl₃) λ max (log ε) 241 (3.84), 293 (3.52) nm; IR ν max (KBr) 3418, 1638, 1495, 1424, 1281, 1229, 993, 914, 820 cm⁻¹; ¹H (600 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) data (acetone-
O), see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS (positive): m/z 375.1605 ([M + H]+), C₂₇H₂₇O₆Na, calcd. 375.1596.

Simonsienol B (2): colorless, amorphous solid; [α]₂⁰₀³₀° = -2.0 (c 0.004, MeOH); UV (CHCl₃) λ max (log ε) 217 (3.91), 303 (3.21) nm; IR ν max (KBr) 3426, 3073, 2974, 1638, 1599, 1467, 1429, 1282, 1174, 1064, 993, 910, 818, 730, 660 cm⁻¹; ¹H (600 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) data (acetone-
d), see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS (positive): m/z 415.1912 ([M + H]+), C₃₃H₃₃O₉Na, calcd. 415.1909.

Simonsienol C (3): colorless, amorphous solid; [α]₂⁰₀³₀° = -2.9 (c 0.003, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ max (log ε) 218 (3.95), 305 (3.26) nm; IR ν max (KBr) 3381, 2074, 1638, 1487, 1457, 1422, 1346, 1202, 992, 909, 820, 791, 730, 660 cm⁻¹; ¹H (600 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) data (acetone-
d), see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS (positive): m/z 375.1605 ([M + H]+), C₂₇H₂₇O₆Na, calcd. 375.1596.

α-cadinol ethyl ether (4): yellow gum; [α]₂⁰₀³₀° = -2.9 (c 0.004, CHCl₃); IR ν max (KBr) 2959, 2930, 2894, 2871, 2830, 1452, 1386, 1366, 1155, 1105, 1073 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ 5.55 (1H, d, J = 4.6 Hz, H-5), 3.42–3.26 (2H, m, H-16), 2.29–2.21 (1H, m, H-6), 2.06–1.90 (3H, m, H-3 and H-
11), 1.74–1.61 (5H, overlapped, H-1, H-9α, and H-15), 1.59–
1.47 (2H, m, H-2), 1.35–1.20 (4H, m, H-7, H-8, and H-9β),
1.15 (3H, t, J = 7.0 Hz, H-17), 1.09 (3H, s, H-14), 0.88 (3H, d,
J = 7.0 Hz, H-12), 0.82 (3H, d, J = 6.9 Hz, H-13); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 150 MHz) δ 42.6 (d, C-1), 20.9 (t, C-2), 31.7 (t, C-3), 133.3 (s, C-4), 125.7 (d, C-5), 33.2 (d, C-6), 43.9 (d, C-7),
19.3 (t, C-8), 32.1 (t, C-9), 76.0 (s, C-10), 26.9 (d, C-11), 21.9 (q,
C-12), 15.5 (q, C-13), 23.4 (q, C-14), 23.9 (q, C-15), 55.1 (t,
C-16), 16.4 (q, C-17); HRESIMS (positive): m/z 273.2198 ([M + Na]+), C₁₂H₁₄O₅Na, calcd. 273.2194.

Anti-AChE assay. 20 µL of 6.25 mM ATCh, 40 µL of 0.04U/100 µL and sample were dissolved in phosphate buffer and added in increasing order to each well of 96 well plate and the absorbance was read at 405 nm. Seven concentrations (1 µM, 3 µM, 10 µM, 50 µM, 80 µM and 150 µM) of compounds (1–7 except for 4), comparing to concentrations (0.0016 µM, 0.008 µM, 0.04 µM, 0.2 µM, 0.5 µM, 1 µM and 1.5 µM) of tacrine as the positive control were evaluated and each concentration was analyzed for three times. Compounds were dissolved in DMSO (0.1%). Inhibition curves were obtained by using origin 8 software and I₅₀ values were obtained by plotting the percentage of inhibition versus the concentration.

Anti-BuChE assay. The Anti-BuChE assay was performed as previously described.

Neurotrophic Bioassay. PC12 cells are bought from Kunming Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences and suspended in 12.5% HS + 2.5% FBS, then seeded at 50,000 cells/mL into poly-L-lysine coated 48 well culture plates. After 48 h, the medium is changed to a serum-free me-
dium F12 (10% HS + 5% FBS + 10 mg/mL NGF). Samples at 50 µM and 5 µM are added into F12. The length of axon was measured and calculated by using microscope.

Electronic Supplementary Material
Supplementary material is available in the online version of this article at http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13659-012-0026-z and is accessible for authorized users.

Acknowledgments
This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (20872148). The authors are grateful to the analytical group of the Laboratory of Phytochemistry, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences for measuring NMR, MS, and IR data.

Open Access
This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References
[1] Richard, M. K.; Saunders, F. L. S. Bot. J. Linnean Soc. 1995, 117, 333–352.
[2] Fukuyama Y.; Huang J. M. Studies in Natural Products Chemistry; Atta-ur-Rahman, Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2005; Vol. 32, pp 395–429.
[3] Liu, Y. N.; Su, X. H.; Huo, C. H.; Zhang, X. P.; Shi, Q. W.; Gu, Y. C. Chem. Biodiversity 2009, 6, 963–989.
[4] Wu, X. F.; Li, Y.; Lu, H. N.; Yu, S. S.; Ma, S. G.; Liu, J. J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res. 2009, 11, 1056–1061.
[5] Liu, J. F.; Jiang, Z. Y.; Geng, C. A.; Zou, X. B.; Shi, Y.; Ma, Y. B.; Zhang, X. M.; Chen, J. J. Planta Med. 2010, 76, 1464–1467.
[6] Kouno, I.; Morisaki, T.; Hara, Y.; Yang, C. S. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1991, 39, 2666–2668.
[7] Kouno, I.; Hashimoto, A.; Kawanou, N.; Yang, C. S. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1994, 42, 112–114.
[9] Dupré, S.; Grenz, M.; Jakupovic, J.; Bohlmann, F. *Phytochemistry* **1991**, *30*, 1211–1220.

[10] Bhadra, S.; Mukherjee, P. K.; Kumar, N. S.; Bandyopadhyay, A. *Fitoterapia* **2011**, *82*, 342–346.

[11] Brewer, G. J. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **1995**, *42*, 674–683.

[12] Yokoyama, R.; Huang, J. M.; Yang, C. S.; Fukuyama, Y. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2002**, *65*, 527–531.