Effect of Prophylactic Physical Activities on Reducing Lymphedema among Women Post Mastectomy
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Abstract:
Background: Lymphedema is the build-up of lymph fluid in the tissues just under the skin. Lymphedema following mastectomy as a treatment for breast cancer is a major concern for most women who experience it. Physical activities are very helpful for lymphedema control. Aim: To evaluate the effect of prophylactic physical activities on reducing lymphedema among women post-mastectomy. Subjects & Method: Design: A Quasi-experimental design was utilized. Setting: This study was carried out in Minia oncology center- outpatient and inpatient surgical department. Affiliated by Secretariat of Specialized Medical Centres, at Minia Governorate, Egypt. Subjects: Included a total of 200 women have had a mastectomy. Tools: Five tools were used. 1) structured questionnaire; 2) scale for pitting edema measurement; 3) scale for lymphedema stage assessment; 4) international physical activity Questionnaire; 5) observational checklist for Physical Activities performance. Results: The mean age of the sample was 58.9 years old and 52.5% of them were elderly women. During the first assessment, more than eighty percent of the sample complained from a stage1 lymphedema. However, there was a significant reduction in this percentage among the study group during post-test. Likewise, there was a statistically significant difference between the groups regarding the lymphedema time and stage of development during the first assessment, after 6 weeks and 16 weeks (P<0.001*). Moreover, 49.0% of the study group compared to 29.0 % of the control group had a moderate level of physical activity during the post-test. This indicated an increase in the level of a total pattern of physical activity between the two groups during the post-test. Conclusion: Prophylactic physical activities can reduce lymphedema associated symptoms. Also, the study group had a better level of physical activities than the control group. Recommendations: Early detection and nursing interventions have been gradually advocated to prevent lymphedema progression. So, the prophylactic physical activities are essential measures post-mastectomy to reduce lymphedema.
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Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is the second most common cancer in the world. It is associated with a high level of morbidity and mortality in developing countries of malignant disease in women (American cancer society, 2011; Ferlay et al., 2015). World Health Organization (WHO), 2015 reported that there was a sharp rise in BC worldwide in 2012; 1.7 million women were diagnosed with BC. The incidence has increased by more than 20%, while mortality has increased by 14%. It currently accounts for more than 8 million deaths according to the World Cancer Report 2014 (Siegel et al., 2014; Angahar, 2017). Recent research stated that over a million new cases of breast cancer are diagnosed every year. Additionally, both mortality and burden of BC are high (Angahar, 2017). According to the National Cancer Registry Program of Egypt, 2012 BC is the most common cancer among Egyptian women and it carries an disapproving prognosis with 29% mortality and 3.7:1 incidence to mortality; And 34% of Egyptian women have breast cancer 2017(WHO, 2018). BC is influenced by multiple risk factors such as increasing in age, family history, socioeconomic status, lifestyle, reproductive history, radiation, hormone therapy, oral contraceptives, lower levels of physical activity, higher body mass index and alcohol intake (International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2012; Variawa, 2016; American Institute for cancer research, 2017).

Lymphedema is a major problem. It is an abnormal regional accumulation of protein-rich fluid in the interstitial space that can result in oedema formation and chronic inflammation (Lee et al., 2013; Miller & Linda, 2014). It is one of the most troubling complications that can develop after cancer surgery when the number of lymph nodes removed as partial segmental mastectomy, modified radical mastectomy and radical mastectomy (Bevilacqua et al., 2012; Miller & Linda, 2014). It is more common in the arm or hand on the same side of the breast surgery.
Furthermore, it can affect the breast, chest, axillary areas, legs, and genital area (DiSipio et al., 2013; Australia & New Zealand Society of Vascular Surgeons, 2018). As regards to the initial symptoms of lymphedema, it includes pain, heavy sensation or an aching discomfort, burning sensation, swelling, fatigue with use, and numbness or tingling (Mehrara, 2018).

Lymphedema can be classified into primary and secondary types. Primary lymphedema progresses as a result of the congenital absence of lymphatic system components or their primary defects. Secondary type is a most common type results from disease processes, surgical intervention, radiotherapy, trauma, inflammation, and mass compression (International Society of Lymphology, 2013). The prevalence of secondary lymphoedema in breast cancer women has been reported to be between 6% and 80% (Siegel et al., 2012). According to the previous studies, the cumulative incidence of lymphedema was 41.1%. Besides that, women undergoing axillary radiotherapy, who developed a seroma, obese, underwent chemotherapy infusion in the affected limb and with progressive disease had a higher risk of lymphedema (Clark & Sitzia, 2005; Pereira et al., 2017).

There are different risk factors that can increase the risk for lymphedema incidence after surgery. These factors comprised the stage of a tumour, its location, recurrence of a tumour or spread to the lymph nodes, extent of lymph node dissection, wound infection, scar formation, fibrosis, radiation therapy, axillary lymph node dissection, trauma to the affected limb, high BMI > 3kg/m2, hypertension, elderly women, poor mobility, compromised circulation, inability to control early signs of lymphedema at the sub-clinical level, and previous cellulitis (Paskett et al., 2012; Tiwari et al., 2013).

As regards to the types of lymphedema, it included mild, moderate and severe lymphedema. As regards to mild type, it can happen within a few days after surgery and usually lasts a short time. Moderate lymphedema considered the more painful type and can occur about four to six weeks after surgery; while, the severe lymphedema is the most common type. It is painless and may slowly develop eighteen to twenty-four months or more after surgery (Bar et al., 2010).

Lymphedema progresses through four stages. The first stage called zero or the sub-clinical stage. It involved no visible signs of lymphedema. However, changes in sensation, such as a mild tingling, or slight limb heaviness may be noted. This stage can exist for months or years. The stage I is spontaneously reversible. The limb and the adjacent part of the trunk appear mildly swollen as protein-rich fluid starts to accumulate. After pressing the skin results in temporary small pitting oedema. This stage is reversible with treatment as the skin and tissues are not permanently damaged. Swelling reduces at night. Usually, upon waking in the morning, the affected area is almost normal in size (Kaviani et al., 2013).

Stage II of lymphedema is irreversible and the affected limb is more swollen. Swelling does not reduce at night. Pressing on the skin do not leave a pit and inflammation and fibrosis take place. This stage can be managed but tissue damage is irreversible. Regarding stage III, it is severe and irreversible. This is the most advanced stage but is relatively rare. It rarely occurs in patients with breast cancer. The interventions in the early stages can prevent the onset of severe clinical features. Therefore, it is important to early diagnose and treat the lymphedema at the sub-clinical stage (Norman et al., 2009; Malin et al., 2014).

Health care personnel and women must be aware of the prevention and early treatment of lymphedema (Safwat et al., 2017). According to Cheifetz & Haley, (2013) the prevention and lifestyle modifications such as exercise regularly, receive lymphedema education before surgery, and perform preventive self-care activities can lower the incidence of lymphedema. Exercise is an effective treatment. It can reduce intra thoracic pressure during inspiration and more respiratory effort and facilitating lymph flow and lymph clearance (Kayıran et al., 2017). Similarly, reduction of body fat content by exercise helps more in lymphedema management due to the swelling tendency in fat tissues (Modaral & Lyons, 2016). Exercise training doing a treatment process to control lymphedema in BC survivors (Naghibi & Tabrizi, 2018). It is safe and efficient for lymphedema management (Schmitz et al., 2010). Added to that, weight reduction improves compression pump efficacy and lowers body fat content that all result in lymphedema improvement (Mohler et al., 2016).

The nurses can play a vital role in the prevention of lymphedema. The aim of nurses is to rehabilitate the women at various stages of treatment during inpatient, outpatient or sanatoria treatment (Norman et al., 2009; Korpan et al., 2011). The prophylactic physical activities should begin immediately after mastectomy, at a hospital ward and should involve both the women being at risk of the lymphedema and those who already developed lymphatic
insufficiency. The principles of lymphedema prevention should be offered by properly trained nurses (Dziura & Grądalski 2008; Rasu et al., 2011). It is important to identify the high-risk women, advising them and demonstrating preventive exercises (National Cancer Control Programme, 2015).

Significance of the Study:

Lymphedema is a devastating disease affecting millions of women (Kayıran et al., 2017). The incidence of lymphedema increases from 13.5% in two years to 41.1% in ten years. It influences the daily activities and patient self-esteem (Kayıran et al., 2017). Lymphedema can reduce tissue healing and sometimes causes chronic pain. Also, the lymphedema limb can develop cellulitis that needs antibiotics and possible hospitalization. For these reasons, prevention of lymphedema is important to reduce these complaints (Sisman, 2012; Mehrara, 2018). Lymphedema is significantly decreased when the women are well educated about the prevention of it and exercise regularly. The education provided by nurses about the prophylactic physical activities can reduced lymphedema development (Sisman et al., 2012). Added to that, the most important prophylactic measures are appropriate hygiene, protection of the skin, and avoidance of factors that can cause the oedema or enhance its severity such as overload with physical work, overheating and injuries (Golshan et al., 2006; Pyszora et al., 2007; Korpan et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2013). So, the medical-surgical and geriatric nurses should play their role in teaching the patients about the prophylactic physical activities and follow them to reduced lymphedema.

The aim of the Study:

The current study aims to evaluate the effect of prophylactic physical activities on reducing lymphedema among women post-mastectomy.

Research Hypothesis:

1) Prophylactic physical activities will reduce the lymphedema associated symptoms among the intervention group.
2) Women who practice prophylactic physical activities are more likely to increase their level of physical activity than before the intervention.
3) The study group will have a better level of physical activities than the control group.

Subjects and Method:

Research Design:

A Quasi-experimental research design was utilized in the current study

Setting: The current study was conducted at oncology center outpatient and inpatient surgical department, Affiliated by Secretariat of Specialized Medical Centres at Minia Governorate, Egypt.

Study Subjects:

A purposive sample of 200 women has had a mastectomy recruited from an oncology center in outpatient and inpatient surgical department after their acceptance to participate in the study. The researchers selected women who will be undergone simple mastectomy and modified radical mastectomy during the preoperative time and start the intervention postoperative. They were assigned in the current study, they classified into two equal groups (100 for the study group and 100 for the control group). The women were enrolled based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

The sample size: the sample sizes were calculated according to the following equation: 

\[ n = \frac{t^2 \times p (1-p)}{m^2} \]

- \( n \) = required sample size
- \( t \) = confidence level at 95% (standard value of 1.96)
- \( p \) = estimated prevalence of risk (0.07)
\( m = \text{margin of error at 5\% (standard value of 0.05)} \)

\[ n = (1.96)^2 \times 0.07(1 - 0.07)/(0.05)^2 = 100 \text{ women for each group.} \]

**Inclusion Criteria:** women who had undergone simple mastectomy and modified radical mastectomy (pre and immediate post-mastectomy, age \( \geq 18 \) years, agree to share in the study and able to provide written informed consent.

**Exclusion Criteria:** Presence of infection in the affected arm.

**Tools for Data Collection:** five tools were applied to collect data for the study.

**First Tool: Structured Questionnaire:** It was designed by the researchers, by interviewing. It contained three parts:

- **A. Socio-Demographic Characteristics** such as age, residence, marital status, education, and working condition.
- **B. Medical Data:** Which included questions about the patient’s complaints, past and present medical histories, family history of cancer, and risk factors that increases the risk for lymphedema such as radiotherapy, age > 65 years, lifting heavy objects, wear too tight underwear, take injection in the affected arm, wear accessories in the affected arm, exposure to sunlight, exposure to heat, and obesity. Lymphedema associated symptoms such as pain, arm heaviness, discomfort, in ability to move the arm, axillary oedema and tenderness in the breast area.
- **C. Anthropometric measures:** This included weight, height, and body mass index (BMI). The BMI is estimated by dividing weight in kilogram divided by squared height in meters \[ \text{BMI} = \frac{\text{weight (kg)}}{\text{height (m)}^2} \]. A BMI of less than 18.5 is underweight, a BMI from 18.50 - 24.99 is normal while a BMI from 25 – 29.9 considered overweight and \( \geq 30 \) is considered obese (WHO, 2004).

2. **Scale for Pitting Edema Measurement:** It includes the 1+ (mild, slight pitting, not noticeable), 2+ (moderate pitting, subsides rapidly), 3+ (deep pitting, remains for short time ) and 4+ (very deep and remains for a long time). Brodovicz et al., (2009)

3. **Scale for Lymphedema Stage:** The scale contains three stages:
   - Stage 1: is the mildest form. The limb is usually normal size in the morning but swells during the day. The tissue will hold an indentation when we press on it.
   - Stage 2: is moderate. It’s characterized by an irreversible form of swelling in which the limb tissue feels spongy to the touch.
   - Stage 3: is the most severe stage. It involves an irreversible form of edema in which the affected limb hardens and becomes very large. (International Society of Lymphology, 2013).

4. **International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ):** used to obtain internationally comparable data on health-related physical activity. It included five parts. The job related physical activity, transportation, housework activities, recreational activities, and time spent sitting (Craig et al., 2003). The **categorical levels** include three levels of physical activity. Category 1 (Low): This is the lowest level of physical activity. Those individuals who not meet criteria for categories 2 or 3 are considered low/inactive. Category 2 (Moderate): it includes any one of 3 or more days of vigorous activity of at least 20 minutes per day 5 or more days of moderate-intensity activity or walking of at least 30 minutes, 5 or more days of any combination of walking, moderate-intensity or vigorous intensity activities. Category 3 (High): it includes vigorous-intensity activity on at least 3 days, 7 or more days of any combination of walking, moderate-intensity or vigorous intensity activities.

5. **Observational Checklist for Physical Activities practice:** This observational checklist is developed by the researchers to assess the practice of the participants related to the exercises. It concerns arm and shoulder exercises before and after mastectomy. As shoulder flexion with hand, shoulder abduction with hand, shoulder depression, snow angel, “T” and “Y” stretch, butterfly wings, forward pinky slide, sideways pinky slide, and median nerve glide. The **scoring system** is divided into two parts. Each one contains a number of statements about arm and shoulder exercise physical activity and are scored as complete done =5, incomplete =2.5, not done=0.
Reliability and Validity of the Tools: Validity: The developed tools content were submitted to a panel of five experts in the field of medical-surgical nursing, community health nursing and oncology medicine to test the content validity. Modifications are carried out according to the panel judgment on the clarity of the sentences and appropriateness of the content. Reliability: the tools were designed in final format and tested for reliability by using Cronbach alpha coefficients; 0.79, 0.85, 0.94, 0.88 for tool 2,3,4,5 (Sun et al., 2007).

Pilot Study: A pilot study was carried out on approximately 10% of the study sample in a selected setting to evaluate the applicability, feasibility and clarity of the tools and to estimate the time needed for filling the tool. The pilot study sample was included in the study sample because no modification is done in the study tools. The average length of time needed to complete the structured interview questionnaire; it is approximately 60 minutes with each participant. The sample included in the pilot study is excluded from the study sample.

Fieldwork: Before conducting the study, permission had been obtained from administrative personnel of the oncology center outpatient and inpatient surgical department, oncology center Affiliated by Secretariat of Specialized Medical Centres at Minia Governorate, Egypt.

and informed consent of the participants who were participated. The data collection period was for 14 months, starting from the beginning of June 2017 to April 2018. Data collection is carried out in three phases: assessment phase, implementation phase, and the evaluation phase.

Assessment Phase: The women interviewed preoperatively during the first visit inpatient surgical department before the day of mastectomy operation. The researchers explained the aim of the study. The participants assigned into two groups. The first group (study group) consisted of 100 women. The researchers completed the questionnaire from them. Then they explained how to do exercise after mastectomy, the natural course of lymphedema, and how to prevent it. The time needed for completing the questionnaire ranged from 45 to 60 minutes for each participant. After completing the study group the researchers selected the control group (100 women).

Implementation Phase:
In this phase, all recruited women were interviewed along four sessions, one session preoperatively, three sessions after the operation. study group all women were divided into ten groups (10 women) in each group. Each session lasted from 45-60 minutes; the obtained information used as the baseline assessment (pre-test). Before beginning the session the researchers started by measuring the weight, height, and BMI then the researcher starts training women self-drainage massage techniques to be used daily, flexibility and strength exercises and appropriate exercises after mastectomy. All exercise and any information were detailed and reinforced in a booklet that was given to the participant.

During the postoperative period immediately after the operation, exercises started on the first day and long stay in the hospital. Also, the researchers measured the degree of pain and completed the assessment sheet. Each group had three sessions after the operation. Each session took about 45 – 60 mines. Asked the participated women to attend an outpatient clinic for follow-up.

Evaluation Phase: In this phase, patients were reassessed immediately after the operation, after 6 weeks and then 16 weeks after the second assessment. All women attended the follow-up sessions at the outpatient clinic to complete the questionnaire.

Ethical and Administrative Considerations:
Official permission was taken from the authoritative person in the Oncology centre, affiliated by General Secretariat of Specialized Medical Centres. The researchers introduced themselves to the women who met the inclusion criteria and informed them about the aim of the current study in order to obtain their acceptance to share in this study. Women were informed that participation in this study was voluntary and they could withdraw at any
time without giving reasons. Written consent was obtained from them. Confidentiality and anonymity of them were assured through coding the data.

**Statistical Analysis:** Data were coded and transformed into a specially designed format suitable for computer feeding. All entered data were verified for any errors. Data were analyzed using statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 20 windows and were presented in tables and graphs. Chi-square analysis was performed. Also, the mean and standard deviations were computed. An alpha level of 0.05 was used to assess significant differences.

**Results:**

The study sample included 200 women at Oncology Centre, affiliated by General Secretariat of Specialized Medical Centres, at Minia Governorate, Egypt; with the mean age of the studied sample was 58.9 ± 10.8 years old. **Table (1)** illustrates the socio-demographic characteristics of the studied sample. According to the table, the mean age of the study group was 58.3 ± 11.7 years old, while the mean age of the control group was 59.6 ± 9.9 years old. More than half (52.5%) of the participants were elderly women. Additionally, more than half of the total samples were living in rural areas (62.5%) and 72.5% were not working. About half of the total sample (49.5%) was married and more than one-third of the total sample were illiterate (37.0%) compared with (18.0%) of the sample had a university education.

**Table (2)** shows that the most of the reported history and health-related data in the studied sample were arthritis (49.0%), hypertension (44.5%), diabetes (20.5%), modified radical mastectomy (75%), and simple mastectomy (25%), more than one third of studied sample had family history of cancer (36.5%), and most of them were obese (84.5%).

**Table (3)** explains the characteristic of lymphedema development among the study group. It shows that during the first assessment more than eighty percent (88.0%, 83.0%) of the sample complained from a stage 1 lymphedema after surgery. However, there was a significant reduction in these percentages among the study group after the implementation of the prophylactic physical activities. Also, there was a statistically significant difference between the control and study group regarding the lymphedema time, stage of development and pitting edema during the first assessment, after 6 weeks evaluation and post 16 weeks evaluation after second follow up (P<0.001*).

**Table (4)** reveals that most of the sample suffered from lymphedema associated symptoms such as arm heaviness (99.0%, 100.0%), discomfort (84.0%, 100.0%) inability to move the arm (78.0%, 100.0%), discomfort (84.0%, 100.0%), axillary edema, and from moderate to severe tenderness in breast area (80.0%, 88.0%) during the first assessment after surgery. While in the second and third assessment there was a significant improvement and reduction in the incidence of lymphedema associated symptoms especially among the study group (p<0.001*). The table also revealed an observed reduction of pain during the first assessment, post 6 weeks, and post 16 weeks especially among the study group. Also, there was a statistically significant difference between the groups related to the total score of pain experience (P<0.001*).

**Table (5)** presents that the greatest reported risk factors that increase the risk for lymphedema among the participants were obesity (84.5%), exposure to heat during cooking (79.0%), exposure to sunlight (76.5%), wear too tight underwear clothes (63.0%), lifting heavy things (60.5%), and age more than 65 (44.0%).

**Figure (1)** illustrates that there was a significant reduction in the mean score of pitting oedema for the study during the first assessment, 6 weeks, and 16 weeks after surgery while the mean score of pitting oedema for the control group still high.

**Table (6)** confirms that about half (49.0%) of the study group compared to only 29.0% of the control group had a moderate level of physical activity during the post-test (after 16 weeks). This indicated an increase in the level of a total pattern of physical activity for the study group with statistically significant differences between the two groups during the post-test (P<0.05*). So, the study group had better physical activities than the control group.

**Table (7)** demonstrates the total mean score of exercises performed for the study group during the first assessment, post 6 weeks, and post 16 weeks after a mastectomy. The score of the patients exercises performance still good during the stage of assessment and posttest.
Table (1): Distribution of Socio-Demographic Characteristics for the Studied Sample (n= 200)

| Socio-demographic Characteristics | Study Group | Control Group | Total |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------|
|                                   | (n = 100)   | (n = 100)     | N=200 |
| Age (years): Mean ± SD            |             |               |       |
| < 60 year                         | 58.3 ± 11.7 | 59.6 ± 9.9    | 58.9 ± 10.8 |
| ≥ 60 year                         | 48.2 ± 6.7  | 50.3 ± 5.8    | 95 (47.5%) |
| Residence:                        |             |               |       |
| Rural                             | 63.0        | 62.0          | 125 (62.5) |
| Urban                             | 37.0        | 38.0          | 75 (37.5)  |
| Level of Education:               |             |               |       |
| Illiterate                        | 36.0        | 38.0          | 74 (37.0) |
| Read & Write                      | 25.0        | 26.0          | 51 (25.5) |
| Secondary School                  | 19.0        | 20.0          | 39 (19.5) |
| University                        | 20.0        | 16.0          | 36 (18.0) |
| Working Condition:                |             |               |       |
| Not work                          | 70.0        | 75.0          | 145 (72.5) |
| Work                              | 30.0        | 25.0          | 55 (27.5) |
| Marital Status:                   |             |               |       |
| Single                            | 31.0        | 28.0          | 59 (29.5) |
| Married                           | 50.0        | 49.0          | 99 (49.5) |
| Divorced                          | 3.0         | 13.0          | 16 (8.0)  |
| Widow                             | 16.0        | 10.0          | 26 (13.0) |
Table (2): History and Health Related Data for the Two Groups (n=200)

| Variables                | Study Group (n=100) | Control Group (n=100) | Total N=200 |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
|                          | (n=100)             | (n=100)               |             |
| Diabetes                 | 20.0                | 21.0                  | 41(20.5)    |
| Hypertension             | 44.0                | 45.0                  | 89(44.5)    |
| Arthritis                | 50.0                | 48.0                  | 98(49.0)    |
| Modified Radical Mastectomy | 80.0               | 70.0                  | 150(75.0)   |
| Simple Mastectomy        | 20.0                | 30.0                  | 50(25.0)    |
| Previous Breast Cancer   | 8.0                 | 4.0                   | 12(6.0)     |
| Family History of Cancer | 44.0                | 29.0                  | 73(36.5)    |
| Weight (kg)              | 84.15 ± 10.5        | 84.14 ± 10.4          | 84.1 ± 10.4 |
| Height (cm)              | 160.2 ± 3.2         | 160.4 ± 4.1           | 160.3 ± 3.6 |
| BMI Categories:          |                     |                       |             |
| Under Weight             | 0.0                 | 0.0                   | 0 (0.0)     |
| Normal Weight            | 4.0                 | 5.0                   | 9 (4.5)     |
| Over Weight              | 10.0                | 12.0                  | 22 (11.0)   |
| Obese                    | 86.0                | 83.0                  | 169 (84.5)  |

Table (3): Characteristics for Lymphedema Development among the Participants (n=200)

| Variables                          | Study Group (n=100) | Control Group (n=100) | P value |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------|
|                                    | First Assessment    | Post 6 Weeks          | Post 16 Weeks |
| Time of Lymphedema by week         | 2.9 ± 1.2           | 4.1 ± 3.8             | t=3.4    |
| Pitting Oedema Score               | 2.1±0.8             | 1.3±0.7               | 0.5±0.6  |
|                                    | p<0.001             | p<0.05                | p>0.05   |
| Stage of Lymphedema:               |                     |                       |         |
| Stage 0                            | 12.0                | 24.0                  | 79.0     |
| Stage 1                            | 88.0                | 76.0                  | 21.0     |
| Stage 2                            | 0.0                 | 0.0                   | 0.0      |
| Stage 3                            | 0.0                 | 0.0                   | 0.0      |
|                                    | X²=42.4             |                       | p<0.001* |

Table (4): Distribution of Lymphedema Associated Symptoms among the Participants (n=200)
# Lymphedema Associated Symptoms Study Group Control Group

| Lymphedema Symptoms | Study Group (n = 100) | Control Group (n = 100) | Total N=200 |
|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
|                     | First Assessment | Post 6 Weeks | Post 16 Weeks | First Assessment | Post 6 Weeks | Post 16 Weeks |               |
| Arm Heaviness       | 99.0                | 74.0          | 45.0          | 100.0            | 78.0          | 70.0          |               |
| X²=96.1 p<0.001     | X²=7.8 p<0.05      |             |               |                 |               |               |               |
| Discomfort          | 84.0                | 67.0          | 35.0          | 100.0            | 77.0          | 75.0          |               |
| X²=46.2 p<0.001     | X²=29.1 p<0.001    |             |               |                 |               |               |               |
| Inability to move the arm | 78.0        | 47.0          | 29.0          | 100.0            | 76.0          | 61.0          |               |
| X²=17.6 p<0.001     | X²=31.3 p<0.001    |             |               |                 |               |               |               |
| Axillary oedema     | 1.75±0.9            | 1.24±0.9      | 0.63±0.8      | 1.51±0.7         | 1.67±1.1      | 1.68±1.1      |               |
| X²=55.3 p<0.001     | X²=7.5 p>0.05      |             |               |                 |               |               |               |
| Tenderness in Breast area: |               |               |               |                 |               |               |               |
| No                  | 20.0                | 28.0          | 36.0          | 12.0             | 3.0           | 2.0           |               |
| Mild                | 80.0                | 31.0          | 34.0          | 88.0             | 23.0          | 10.0          |               |
| Moderate            | 0.0                 | 41.0          | 30.0          | 0.0              | 74.0          | 88.0          |               |
| Severe              | 0.0                 | 0.0           | 0.0           | 0.0              | 0.0           | 0.0           |               |
| X²=55.3 p<0.001     | X²=7.5 p>0.05      |             |               |                 |               |               |               |
| Total score for pain: | 20.3±3.1         | 14.1±3.4      | 8.3±5.2       | 21.7±2.7         | 18.5±2.5      | 18.1±4.9      |               |
| p<0.001            | p<0.05             |             |               |                 |               |               |               |

Table (5): Risk Factors That Can Increase the Risk for Lymphedema among the Participants (n=200)
Figure (1): Mean Score of Pitting Edema for the Study and Control Group during the First Assessment, 6 Weeks, and 16 Weeks after Surgery
Table (6): Domains of Physical Activity as Reported by the Studied Subjects (n=200)

| Domains of Physical Activity | Study Group (n=100) | Control Group (n=100) | P value |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------|
|                              | Low     | Moderate | High   | Low     | Moderate | High   |
| Work Domain:                 |         |         |        |         |         |        |
| First assessment             | 100.0   | 0.0      | 0.0    | 99.0    | 1.0      | 0.0    |
| Post 6 weeks                 | 82.0    | 18.0     | 0.0    | 86.0    | 9.0      | 5.0    |
| Post 16 weeks                | 59.0    | 28.0     | 13.0   | 83.0    | 10.0     | 7.0    | $X^2=1.1\ p>0.05$ |
| Transportation Domain:       |         |         |        |         |         |        |
| First assessment             | 87.0    | 13.0     | 0.0    | 95.0    | 5.0      | 0.0    |
| Post 6 weeks                 | 64.0    | 36.0     | 0.0    | 77.0    | 23.0     | 0.0    |
| Post 16 weeks                | 26.0    | 73.0     | 1.0    | 41.0    | 58.0     | 1.0    | $X^2=3.9\ p<0.05*$ |
| Domestic Domain:             |         |         |        |         |         |        |
| First assessment             | 91.0    | 9.0      | 0.0    | 82.0    | 18.0     | 0.0    |
| Post 6 weeks                 | 83.5    | 16.5     | 0.0    | 95.1    | 4.9      | 0.0    |
| Post 16 weeks                | 84.0    | 16.0     | 0.0    | 87.0    | 13.0     | 0.0    | $X^2=5.1\ p>0.05$ |
| Total Pattern of Physical activity: |         |         |        |         |         |        |
| First assessment             | 59.0    | 41.0     | 0.0    | 83.0    | 17.0     | 0.0    |
| Post 6 weeks                 | 77.0    | 23.0     | 0.0    | 70.0    | 29.0     | 1.0    | $X^2=13.9\ p<0.05*$ |
| Post 16 weeks                | 51.0    | 49.0     | 0.0    | 71.0    | 29.0     | 0.0    | $X^2=8.4\ p<0.05*$ |
| Daily Hours in Sedentary Activity: |         |         |        |         |         |        |
| First assessment             | 8.4±2.9 h | 9.1±2.7 h |        |         |         |        | $t=1.6\ \ p>0.05$ |
| Post 6 weeks                 | 7.6±2.5 h | 8.64± 2.6 h |        |         |         |        | $t=2.7\ \ p<0.05*$ |
| Post 16 weeks                | 7.1 ± 2.9 h | 8.4 ± 2.9 h |        |         |         |        | $t=3.1\ \ p<0.05*$ |
Table (7): Total Mean Score of Exercises Performance for the Study Group during First Assessment, Post 6 Weeks, and Post 16 Weeks

| Exercises                        | Study Group (n = 100) | P value   |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Total Mean Score of Exercises Performance: |                       |           |
| First Assessment                 | 18.57 ± 4.9           | F = 21.73 |
| Post 6 Weeks                     | 17.37 ± 5.01          | P < 0.001*|
| Post 16 Weeks                    | 17.67 ± 4.9           |           |

Discussion:

According to National Population-Based Cancer Registry Program, Egypt. Breast cancer is the most common cancer today, about thirty-two new cases are diagnosed per 100,000 women (Ibrahim et al., 2014). Lymphedema continues to be one of the main and most feared complications of breast cancer treatment (Martin, 2012). It occurs in an estimated 25% of patients who undergo treatment, although rates varying from 6% to 70% have been reported (Martin, 2012; McLaughlin, 2012). Therefore, the aim of the current study is to evaluate the effect of prophylactic physical activities on reducing lymphedema among women post-mastectomy.

During the current study, two hundred women are included in the study. The findings of the current study cover three main areas discussed within the following frame of references: First, patients' history and health-related data. Second, it includes the lymphedema characteristics, associated symptoms, stage, and risk factors. Third, this includes the improvement in women physical activity and their practice of prophylactic exercises.

The present study result denotes that more than half of both groups are elderly women. This result is in accordance with National Cancer Institute (2017) revealed that the risk of breast cancer increases as individuals aged because the older are more likely to be exposed to changes in their cells. Majority of the current studied sample were living in rural areas and illiterate. The findings mentioned come in agreement with (Sisman et al., 2012) who stated that most of their women were from the rural area. The result of the current study might be due to a certain segment of the rural population with illiteracy, low incomes and their full dependence on free treatment by the government.

The majority of the current studied sample is not working. This comes in agreement with (Aybala, Kutun & Cetin, 2014) who mentioned that BC was significantly more common in unemployed women than in those who were employed. Work might enhance individual health and maintain physical activity. While we considered unemployment, especially among elderly persons, may be negatively affected by individual activities.

The current study shows that most women in the sample have arthritis, hypertension, and diabetes that are common chronic problems among elderly individuals. Also, more than one-third of the participants have a family history of cancer, and most of them are obese. This result is in accordance with (Salam & Luma, 2016) who reported that most of the participants had first degree relatives with breast cancer.

The results illustrated that during the first assessment, more than 80% of the sample complained from a stage I lymphedema after surgery. However, there is a significant reduction in these percentages among the study group after the implementation of the prophylactic physical activities. This finding was observed by (Kaviani et al., 2013) who revealed that most of the women had stage I lymphedema during the first few days after surgery, due to the surgical removal of lymphonod. Later, lymphedema decreased after months.

The current study represents that, there is a statistically significant difference between the control and study group regarding the lymphedema time during the first assessment, post six weeks, and post sixteen weeks after surgery. Most women develop edema in the first assessment and some of the women continue edema for six weeks, and after sixteen weeks of post evaluation. This might be related to surgery. This in agreement with (Ardoç & Yorgancıoğlu, 2006) who explained that mild lymphedema developed within a few days after surgery and
moderate lymphedema can occur about four to six weeks after surgery but sever lymphedema develop eighteen to twenty-four months. Also, (Dayes et al., 2013) who documented that temporary lymphedema lasts fewer than six months and the probability of lymphedema progression increases according to the variety of applied surgery.

The present study finding reveals that significant improvement among study group compared with control group regarding lymphedema initial symptoms such as arm heaviness, inability to move the arm, discomfort, axillary edema, and total pain after mastectomy post sixteen weeks follow-up. This is might be related to regular exercises. This result is in agreement with (Taghian et al., 2014) who emphasized that there was an improvement in physical symptoms associated with lymphedema including the inability to move the arm, fatigue, and pain in the arm. On another hand, this finding comes in accordance with (Martin et al., 2011) and (Uzkeser, 2012) who documented that the practice of physical exercises and knowledge acquired from the attendance of the class education decreased the symptoms associated with the appearance of lymphedema.

The existing study notes that some of the women with risk factors are more likely to be at risk for lymphedema than others. Also, noted that the proportion of women who are exposed to lymphedema in the study group is less than in control group related to risk factors, this might be as a result of awareness of risk factors and trying to avoid them as much as possible.

Present study finding shows that more than half of the sample who lift heavy objects is a risk for lymphedema. This result is in accordance with (Cemal et al., 2011) who said lifting heavy objects would increase the risk for lymphedema. These results disagreed with (Schmitz et al., 2010) who reported that lifting heavy weight did not increase the risk of lymphedema. Nearly half of the study sample is age more than sixty-five. This finding is similar to (Mak et al., 2009) and (Mattias et al., 2017) who documented that occurrence of lymphedema significantly increases with age, as demonstrated in the present study. Finally, a minority of the study sample started treatment with radiotherapy, which is considered one of the risk factors. This increased the risk of occurrence lymphedema. This might be related to most of the samples start radiotherapy after more than 6 months except some of them start early. This result is Similar to (Bevilacqua et al., 2012) and (Ozcinar et al., 2012) who documented that radiation is a risk factor for lymphedema.

The present finding revealed that there is a significant reduction in the mean score of pitting edema for the intervention during the first assessment, six weeks, and sixteen weeks after post-intervention, while the mean score of pitting edema for the control group is still high. Also, about half of the intervention group compared to a minority of the control group has a moderate level of physical activity during the post-test. This indicates an increase in the level of a total pattern of physical activity for the intervention group with statistically significant differences between the two groups during the post-test. So, the intervention group has better physical activities than the control group. This result is in the same line to (Belmonte, 2012) and (Bergmann et al., 2014) who said that the early physical activity during the postoperative period they may decrease the risk of lymphedema development.

The preventive measures of lymphedema are implemented early during the postoperative period. Also, another study stated that increased knowledge helped to prevent or minimize lymphedema. Many participants mentioned that they believed that their knowledge gained from the educational class helped them prevent the development of lymphedema or decrease any swelling that may develop (Jeffs & Purushotham, 2016). The result of the current study documented that the total mean score of exercises performance improved for the intervention group after the six weeks, and post for sixteen weeks.

These results were in accordance with (Naghibi & Varshoie, 2018) who concluded that exercise training acted as a treatment method to control lymphedema in breast cancer survivors. The education and practice should be provided by the nurses about the prophylactic exercises to prevent lymphedema development (Sisman et al., 2012). Besides, the prophylactic activities should begin immediately after mastectomy, at a hospital ward (Rasu et al., 2011). Finally, the prophylactic physical activities are effective to reduce lymphedema among women after mastectomy.

**Conclusion:**

The results of this study concluded that prophylactic physical activities reduced the lymphedema associated symptoms among the intervention group. Also, women who implemented the prophylactic physical activities are
more likely to increase their level of physical activity than before the intervention. Added to that, the intervention
group has a better level of physical activities than the control group. In addition to increasing awareness of the
women about risk factors and the importance of following educational intervention help to reduce the lymphedema.

Recommendations:

1. Prophylactic physical activities are essential measures after mastectomy to reduce lymphedema
development.
2. The medical-surgical and geriatric nurses should continue their nursing instructions for women about
how to avoid the risk factors that can increase lymphedema.
3. We endorse a unified effort among nurses and health providers caring for breast cancer women to work
together to arrive at a consensus regarding these dilemmas
4. Established and conducted a training program on a regular basis for nurses who are in contact with the
post-mastectomy women.
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