Fetal Growth Restriction Promotes Physical Inactivity and Obesity in Female Mice
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Abstract

Background—Environmental exposures during critical periods of prenatal and early postnatal life affect the development of mammalian body weight regulatory mechanisms, influencing lifelong risk of obesity. The specific biologic processes that mediate the persistence of such effects, however, remain poorly understood.

Objective—The objectives were to determine the developmental timing and physiological basis of the obesity-promoting effect previously reported in offspring of obese agouti viable yellow (Avy/a) mothers.

Design—Newborn offspring of obese agouti viable yellow (Avy/a) and lean (a/a) mothers were cross-fostered shortly after birth to study separately the effects of in utero or suckling-period exposure to Avy/a dams. Body composition, food intake, physical activity, and energy expenditure were measured in offspring shortly after weaning and in adulthood.

Results—Offspring of obese Avy/a dams paradoxically experienced fetal growth restriction, which was followed by adult-onset obesity specifically in females. Our main analyses focused on wild type (a/a) offspring, because a subset of adult Avy/a offspring contracted a kidney disease resembling diabetic nephropathy. Detailed physiological characterization demonstrated that, both shortly after weaning and in adulthood, female wild type mice born to Avy/a mothers are not hyperphagic, but have reduced physical activity and energy expenditure. No such coordinated changes were detected in male offspring. Mediational regression analysis of our longitudinal data supported a causal pathway in which fetal growth restriction persistently reduces physical activity, leading to adult obesity.
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Conclusions—Our data are consistent with several recent human epidemiologic studies showing female-specific effects of perinatal nutritional restriction on later obesity, and provide the novel mechanistic insight that this may occur via permanent and sex-specific changes in one’s inherent propensity for physical activity.
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INTRODUCTION

The increasing prevalence of obesity, once viewed as a problem of developed countries, is rapidly becoming a worldwide public health crisis\(^1\). Environmental exposures during critical ontogenic periods can wield substantial impact on adult metabolic disease risk, highlighting the potential for developmental interventions to curb the obesity pandemic\(^2\), \(^3\). In addition to overnutrition\(^4\), prenatal undernutrition can also increase later risk of obesity. In particular, epidemiologic data based on birth weight indicate that nutritional restriction during fetal development followed by ample postnatal nutrition (developmental mismatch) promotes obesity\(^5\), \(^6\). This hypothesis is supported by recent human quasi-experimental studies\(^7\); in independent follow-up studies of three diverse episodes of severe famine (the Dutch famine near the end of World War II)\(^8\), the great famine of China (1959–1961)\(^9\), and the Biafran famine during the Nigerian civil war (1967–1970)\(^10\), perinatal famine exposure followed by nutritional adequacy increased the risk of adult obesity. Most strikingly, in all three populations this effect was found in women only.

Fetal growth restriction due to placental insufficiency affects a substantial proportion of births, even in developed countries\(^11\). Additionally, modernization and improved standards of living underlie a nutrition transition underway in many countries\(^12\); millions of individuals who experienced nutritional deficiency early in life are now, several decades later, in an environment of relative food surfeit. Appropriate animal models are urgently needed to improve our understanding of the biology underlying and potential interventions to counter developmental mismatch. Diverse animal models\(^13\), \(^14\) have documented that fetal growth restriction followed by postnatal catch-up growth promotes lifelong positive energy balance. Whether this is caused more by increased food intake or reduced energy expenditure, however, remains unclear. Moreover, none of the previous animal models recapitulates the female-specific effect observed in the human studies\(^8–10\).

We previously reported transgenerational amplification of obesity in agouti viable yellow (A\(^v\)) mice\(^15\). The A\(^v\) mutation resulted from retrotransposition of an intracisternal A particle upstream of agouti, and causes individually-variable ectopic expression of agouti protein\(^16\). Due to its structural similarity to agouti related peptide, ectopic agouti protein antagonizes the melanocortin-4 pathway in the hypothalamus\(^17\) causing hyperphagic obesity in A\(^v\)/a mice. (The non-agouti (a) allele does not encode functional agouti protein.) Our previous study demonstrated that, compared to A\(^v\)/a offspring of a/a dams, isogenic offspring of A\(^v\)/a dams become heavier and fatter in adulthood\(^15\). It did not, however,
resolve whether this obesogenic effect occurs during fetal or early postnatal development, affects \(a/a\) as well as \(A^{VY}/a\) offspring, or is due to dysregulated food intake, energy expenditure, or physical activity. The current study, designed to answer these questions, found surprisingly that cross-fostering offspring of \(A^{VY}/a\) dams to \(a/a\) dams may provide an apt model of developmental mismatch in humans. Fetal growth restriction followed by postnatal catch-up growth promoted obesity only in females, and this appeared to be mediated not by increased food intake but by persistent blunting of spontaneous physical activity.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Mouse husbandry, diet, and body composition**

Animals were maintained in accordance with all relevant federal guidelines, and the study was approved by the Baylor College of Medicine Animal Care and Use Committee. \(A^{VY}\) mice were originally obtained from the colony at the National Center for Toxicological Research\(^{18}\), and have been maintained for hundreds of generations by crossing \(A^{VY}/a\) males with their \(a/a\) sisters, resulting in an essentially invariant genetic background. All mice were provided ad libitum access to water and diet. During mating, pregnancy, and lactation, Teklad 2919 diet (Harlan Laboratories) was used; otherwise, Teklad 2920X was used. The mouse experiments were conducted in two phases. Phase 1 (Figure 1) was conducted between November, 2009 and July 2010, and Phase 2 (Figures 2, 3, and 4) was conducted between January, 2010 and October, 2011. To establish body weight cutoffs characterizing ‘lean’ and ‘obese’ dams, body composition of 11-wk-old \(a/a\) and \(A^{VY}/a\) females was measured by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (Piximus Series Densitometer, GE Medical Systems) as per manufacturer’s instructions.

To most closely replicate our previous transgenerational study of obesity in \(A^{VY}\) mice\(^{15}\) (in which the \(A^{VY}\) allele was passed down the female line) all F0 dams in the current study were born to an \(A^{VY}/a\) mother. At age 11 wk, F0 \(a/a\) females weighing < 25.0g (lean) and F0 \(A^{VY}/a\) females weighing > 37.0g (obese) were mated with \(A^{VY}/a\) males or \(a/a\) males, respectively. As soon as each dam became visibly pregnant, the male was removed from the cage. To maximize acceptance of fostered pups, dams were handled daily during the last several days of pregnancy, by the same technician who would perform the fostering. The F1 offspring were all cross-fostered shortly after birth, usually on postnatal day 1 (P1 – the day after birth) in Phase 1, and usually on P0 in Phase 2. The day of fostering did not differ significantly among the fostering groups. To minimize potential developmental effects associated with variation in litter size, only birth litters of 6–10 pups were included. To minimize potential persistent effects of postnatal litter size\(^{19}\), all litters were culled to a maximum of 8 pups at weaning (i.e. suckling period litter size range was 6–8 pups). Pups were selected for culling on the basis of matching overall litter weight and sex ratio to that of the cross-fostered litter. At weaning (P21), offspring were weighed and classified by sex and genotype (by coat color: \(a/a\) mice are black and \(A^{VY}/a\) mice range from yellow to brown).

Coat color phenotype of \(A^{VY}/a\) mice was further classified by visual estimation of the proportion of brown fur: yellow (<5% brown), slightly mottled (>5% but <50% brown),
mottled (about 50% brown), heavily mottled (>50% but <95% brown) and pseudoagouti (>95% brown)\(^2\). Epigenetic inheritance occurs when the \(A^{vy}\) allele is passed through the female germline\(^21\). Because obese \(A^{vy/a}\) dams are generally hypomethylated at \(A^{vy}\), their \(A^{vy/a}\) offspring tend to have lower methylation (and, hence, yellower coats) than \(A^{vy/a}\) offspring born to \(a/a\) dams. Consequently, pseudoagouti (completely brown) \(A^{vy/a}\) offspring were much more common in the LL and LO groups than in the OL and OO groups. Since pseudoagouti \(A^{vy/a}\) mice are protected from the obesity otherwise conferred by the \(A^{vy}\) mutation\(^15,22\), we eliminated this potential confound by excluding pseudoagouti F1 offspring from the study, beginning at P21. Offspring were caged by sex in groups of up to 5 mice, and identified individually by ear punching when necessary. Growth of mice was monitored by periodic weighing, and body composition measured at P140 by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (Piximus Series Densitometer, GE Medical Systems – Phase 1) or by quantitative magnetic resonance (QMR, EchoMRI-100, Echo Medical Systems LLC – Phase 2) (both according to manufacturer’s instructions).

**Metabolic cage studies**

In Phase 2, a subset of F1 offspring was studied in metabolic cages (Comprehensive Laboratory Animal Monitoring System – CLAMS – Columbus Instruments) at each of P40 and P140. Directly before each study, the flow to each of the 12–16 chambers was verified at 0.5 L/min using a Calibration Analyzer (RT-200, Allied Healthcare Products). The \(O_2\) and \(CO_2\) analyzers were routinely calibrated using certified calibration gases. Also, infusion of \(CO_2\) and \(N_2\) mixtures using a Pegas 4000 MF gas blender (Columbus Instruments) was used to evaluate the overall performance of the chambers. Both the RT-200 calibration analyzer and the Pegas 4000 MF gas blender were routinely tested against a Sierra Instruments series 101 Calbench standard (which is traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology), as were the concentrations of \(O_2\) and \(CO_2\) in the reference gas tanks used for daily calibration.

We attempted to perform studies on the same mice at both ages, when possible. We originally attempted to perform the juvenile studies directly after weaning (i.e. at P25), some of the P25 mice were able to wedge themselves into inappropriate areas of the metabolic cages; P40 was therefore chosen for the juvenile studies. In most cases, a maximum of one male and one female of the same genotype were studied from each litter. Adult females were studied without regard to estrus cycle. Starting at P40 (juvenile) or P140 (adult), mice were housed singly in ‘feeder’ cages for three days to allow them to become accustomed to the metabolic cages and eating the powdered diet from the feeder apparatus. No data were collected during these equilibration periods. Directly after the equilibration period, each mouse’s body composition was measured by QMR, as described above, to enable normalization of energy expenditure and food intake data. (QMR can be performed rapidly and without sedation, so there were no carryover effects of sedative exposure on subsequent metabolic measurements.) Directly after the QMR measurement, each mouse was transferred to a metabolic cage in the morning. Beginning at 6:00 am on the following day, 72 hours of data were collected on food intake, energy expenditure (by indirect calorimetry), and physical activity (by beam breaks; coded as x axis activity, ambulatory x-axis activity (i.e. movement along the length of the cage), and z-axis activity (rearing). Total activity data
represent the sum of total x axis and total z axis activity. All three components of physical activity (x, x ambulatory, and z) showed similar group, sex, and developmental differences. For simplicity, total activity (sum of x, x ambulatory, and z activity) was analyzed and plotted. All data were collapsed into 24 1-hr averages for each mouse, at each age. Room lights were on from 6:00 am to 6:00 pm; light cycling was corroborated by a room photodetector coupled with the CLAMS instrument.

**Statistical approaches**

All data were entered independently into two Excel spreadsheets which were compared electronically to eliminate data entry errors. Group differences in body weight and body composition were evaluated by analysis of variance (ANOVA – PROC GLM, SAS Version 9.2). Temporal analysis of food intake, energy expenditure, and physical activity were analyzed by repeated measures ANOVA (SAS PROC Mixed – autoregressive covariance structure) with ‘hour’ as the repeated effect. This approach utilizes the full power of these time-series data while recognizing the non-independence of the 24 multiple measures within each mouse. (The autoregressive covariance structure was chosen because correlations between multiple measurements decline exponentially with the distance (time) between the measurements.) To normalize food intake and energy expenditure data for body weight and body composition, lean mass and fat mass were included in the models as independent variables. CLAMS data were analyzed separately for the dark (active) and light (resting) periods. Activity counts were skewed right, and were therefore square-root transformed to improve normality prior to analysis. Mediational regression analysis was performed by multiple and individual regression (SAS). Statistical significance was based on an α level of 0.05 throughout.

**RESULTS**

**The obesity-promoting effect in offspring of A^vy/a mothers occurs before birth**

We began by measuring body composition of 11-week-old females to establish pre-pregnancy body-weight cutoffs classifying obese A^vy/a (>37 g body weight, >40% fat) and lean a/a dams (<25 g body weight, <22% fat) (Supplemental Figure S1). Obese A^vy/a females were crossed with a/a males and lean a/a females were crossed with A^vy/a males, producing equal ratios of a/a and A^vy/a offspring. Shortly after birth (mostly on postnatal day 1 (P1 - the day after birth)) all litters were cross-fostered, generating four groups: LL – born to and fostered to lean dams, LO – born to lean, fostered to obese, OL – born to obese, fostered to lean, and OO – born to and fostered to obese dams (Table 1). Human offspring of obese mothers tend to have elevated birth weight. Compared to offspring of lean a/a dams, however, those born to obese A^vy/a dams weighed 20% less at P1 (1.21 vs. 1.50 g, P<0.0001) (Supplemental Figure S2a), suggesting fetal growth restriction. At weaning (P21), body weight tended to be lower in pups suckled by A^vy/a dams (Figure 1, a and b, insets) particularly in males. (Note: For simplicity, data on a/a offspring only are shown. Similar results were obtained in A^vy/a offspring (Supplemental Figure S3)). In adulthood, body weight of LO and OO offspring was generally lower than and never exceeded that of their LL counterparts (Figure 1, a and b, and Supplemental Table S1). These results indicate
that obese A\(^{VY}/a\) females have impaired lactational performance (consistent with other murine and human studies\(^{25}\)) causing persistent stunting in the offspring.

Although lighter at birth, mice born to an obese A\(^{VY}/a\) mother and fostered to a lean a/a mother (OL) ‘caught-up’ by weaning (Figure 1, a and b, insets). In adulthood, there was no difference in body weight of OL and LL males (Figure 1a), but OL females became significantly heavier than LL females (Figure 1b). Body composition analysis by dual energy x-ray absorptiometry found no group differences in males (Figure 1c), but showed that adult OL females are fatter than LL (Figure 1d). The increased % fat was due solely to increased fat mass; lean mass was not affected (data not shown). Together, these data indicate that the obesity-promoting effect in offspring of A\(^{VY}/a\) dams occurs during fetal development, and is specific to females.

**Adult weight loss in A\(^{VY}/a\) mice is associated with kidney disease**

We investigated why the OL vs. LL body weight increment in A\(^{VY}/a\) offspring (Supplemental Figure S3b) did not persist from P120 to P180. In a subset of mice in which body weight had been measured at P140, we noted that no a/a mice lost weight from P140-P180, but a significant proportion of A\(^{VY}/a\) mice did (P=0.006, Supplemental Figure S4a). A\(^{VY}/a\) mice that either lost or gained weight from P140-P180 were necropsied at P180; the former all exhibited kidney disease grossly resembling diabetic nephropathy (Supplemental Figure S4b) but no other overt pathology. Obese A\(^{VY}/a\) mice have long been known to develop a phenotype resembling type-2 diabetes\(^{17}\), but we are not aware of previous evidence that they may also provide a useful model of diabetic nephropathy\(^{26}\).

**Independent study confirms female-specific effect of fetal growth restriction**

To confirm our findings of a sex-specific effect in offspring of A\(^{VY}/a\) dams, we repeated the cross-fostering experiment, focusing only on LL and OL mice. To obtain a better measure of birth weight, most of the pups were cross-fostered at P0 rather than P1. As in the first study, offspring of A\(^{VY}/a\) dams were significantly smaller at birth (1.18 vs. 1.35 g, P<0.0001, Supplemental Figure S2b) but, when fostered to an a/a dam, generally caught up by P21 (Figure 2). We confirmed fetal growth restriction in offspring of A\(^{VY}/a\) dams by measuring fetal weight at 18.5 days of gestation (E18.5). Fetuses of A\(^{VY}/a\) dams were 26% smaller than isogenic fetuses of of a/a dams (0.86g vs. 1.17g, P<0.0001, Supplemental Figure S2c) but there was no difference in placental weight (Supplemental Figure S2d). In male a/a offspring, no group differences were observed in either adult body weight (Figure 2a) or adiposity (Figure 2a, inset). As in the previous experiment, adult body weight was elevated in a/a OL females (Figure 2b). (A similar female-specific effect was found in A\(^{VY}/a\) offspring (Supplemental Figure S5)). Body composition analysis by quantitative magnetic resonance (QMR) showed, once again, that adult OL a/a females were also fatter than their LL counterparts (Figure 2b, inset); as in the first study, the increased adiposity was purely due to increased fat mass (lean mass was not affected). Hence, this independent study corroborated the findings of the first experiment; offspring of A\(^{VY}/a\) dams are growth restricted in utero but, when fostered by a/a dams, exhibit catch-up growth and develop adult-onset obesity specifically in females.
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Females exposed to catch-up growth display persistent decreases in physical activity and energy expenditure

To identify alterations in food intake, energy expenditure, or physical activity that precede and therefore may explain OL females’ propensity to overweight, we tested LL and OL offspring in metabolic cages both as juveniles (P40) and as adults (P140). Directly before the metabolic studies we measured body composition by QMR, enabling food intake and energy expenditure data to be least-squares normalized for lean mass and fat mass. Hence, these data were analyzed as if comparing mice of the same body weight and composition. Food intake of OL mice did not differ from that of isogenic LL mice, in either females or males, as juveniles or as adults (Figure 3a and Supplemental Figure S6a). Similarly, there were no group differences in respiratory exchange ratio (data not shown). We did, however, detect persistent group differences in energy expenditure. Shortly after weaning, energy expenditure of a/a OL females was significantly lower than that of isogenic LL mice, specifically during the dark cycle (Figure 3b); this energy expenditure deficit was associated with reduced physical activity, also during the dark cycle (Figure 3c). Notably, the physical activity and energy expenditure defects of a/a OL females persisted to adulthood, and encompassed both the light and dark cycles (Figure 3, b and c). In A^vy/a offspring (Supplemental Figure S6) the picture was less clear. Adult A^vy/a females were extremely lethargic, OL even more so than LL (Supplemental Figure S6c). This activity decrement, however, was neither detected in younger mice (Supplemental Figure S6c) nor associated with altered energy expenditure (Supplemental Figure S6b). Adult A^vy/a OL males had increased energy expenditure but reduced physical activity during the light on cycle (Supplemental Figure S6, b and c), consistent with previous reports of altered brown adipose tissue development in offspring of obese rodents. A persistent decrement in dark cycle spontaneous physical activity in A^vy/a OL males (Supplemental Figure S6c) was not associated with lower energy expenditure (Supplemental Figure S6b).

Most importantly, contrary to the persistent coordinated changes in energy expenditure and physical activity in a/a OL females, there was not a single significant OL vs. LL difference in a/a males (Figure 3, b and c). The metabolic studies are therefore concordant with our growth and body composition findings (Figures 1 and 2); fetal growth restriction followed by catch up growth promotes positive energy balance only in female offspring. Together, our data suggest this occurs by a persistent and sex-specific blunting of spontaneous physical activity. We tested this model in the subset of a/a mice in which metabolic data were obtained in the same mice both as juveniles and as adults. Remarkably, activity levels tracked from juvenile to adult life (Figure 4a) and adult physical activity was inversely correlated with adiposity (Figure 4b) only in females. A mediational regression analysis incorporating these findings (Figure 4c) indicated that the female-specific effect of fetal growth restriction on adult adiposity is indeed mediated at least in part by a persistent down-regulation of spontaneous physical activity.

DISCUSSION

Our results indicate that the A^vy mouse may provide an excellent animal model in which to understand how fetal growth restriction followed by nutritional sufficiency – developmental...
mismatch promotes obesity later in life. This model appears to be unique in recapitulating the female-specific effects of developmental mismatch found in human quasi-experimental studies. Further, our results in this model show that developmental mismatch leads to adult obesity via a persistent female-specific reduction in spontaneous physical activity.

More generally, our results indicate that A/a mice may provide a new and apt animal model of fetal growth restriction. Fetal growth restriction causes infants to be born small for gestational age (SGA – defined as birth weight < 10th percentile for gestational age). SGA infants are vulnerable to a host of perinatal complications, and have increased risk for chronic long-term health conditions. Most established animal models of fetal growth restriction employ either maternal nutritional restriction or uterine artery ligation. In humans, however, fetal growth restriction is generally caused by placental insufficiency, not maternal nutritional deficiency. Notably, newborn offspring of well-nourished A/a females generally weigh below the 10th percentile of isogenic offspring of a/a females (Supplemental Figure S2a–c), consistent with SGA. Since placental size is not compromised (Supplemental Figure S2d), the fetal:placental weight ratio (a proxy for placental efficiency) is reduced. This fetal growth restriction could be associated with pre-eclampsia, given that A/a mice are obese and diabetic, both of which are risk factors for pre-eclampsia in humans. Future studies will be required to determine the specific mechanisms mediating fetal growth restriction in offspring of obese A/a females.

It has long been postulated that early nutritional insults persistently influence body weight by affecting the development of hypothalamic centers regulating food intake. Our data show, however, that fetal growth restriction followed by catch-up growth may instead persistently impair central regulation of spontaneous physical activity. Previous animal studies have indicated that prenatal and early postnatal nutrition can cause persistent changes in physical activity. Vickers et al. reported persistently decreased locomotor activity in the female offspring of rat dams who were undernourished during pregnancy. Activity was monitored for only 15 min in each animal, however, and no data were provided on body weight or adiposity. Bellinger et al. studied rats born to dams fed a low-protein diet during various stages of pregnancy and found, in one of four groups studied, a female-specific effect of maternal diet on one component of physical activity. But their activity measurements also were conducted for only a very short period of time (30 or 60 min) and, surprisingly, did not differ substantially between the light and dark period. Khan et al. studied offspring of rat dams fed a lard-rich diet before and during pregnancy, and detected a female-specific deficit in adult physical activity at one of three ages studied. Again, however, no data on body weight or adiposity were reported. We recently showed that early postnatal overnutrition causes decreased physical activity specifically in females, but the activity deficit was not detected until adulthood, so it was not possible to draw a clear causal pathway mediated by induced alterations in physical activity. Hence, although previous studies have provided clues that prenatal and postnatal nutrition may cause persistent changes in physical activity specifically in females, our current findings are unique. By longitudinally collecting extensive metabolic and body composition data in a large number of animals, we have shown that fetal growth restriction promotes obesity in...
females by persistently down-regulating spontaneous physical activity (Figure 4), validating
and unifying the previous studies.

Our study does, however, have weaknesses. We have not yet characterized the molecular
mechanisms by which fetal growth restriction leads to persistent down-regulation of
physical activity. This will be critical to determining if similar processes occur in humans.
Compared to our understanding of central mechanisms governing food intake, however, we
know relatively little about those controlling voluntary physical activity. Extensive
future studies will be required to elucidate the developmental processes that establish
individual propensity for physical activity, and how these are affected by fetal and early
postnatal environment. Whereas epigenetic alterations in the central nervous system are
almost certainly involved, these will need to be studied at the level of specific cell types
and in the context of potential associated neuroanatomic changes. Also, although our body
composition data indicate that fetal growth restriction did not affect adult muscle mass, we
cannot rule out an effect on skeletal muscle innervation. Another limitation of our study is
that we do not yet understand the basis of the female-specific effect. One possibility is that
androgen-mediated masculinization of the male brain (which occurs during late fetal
development) protects the central nervous system against deleterious effects of fetal
growth restriction. Regardless of the molecular mechanism, the males in our study may be
viewed as an intrinsic negative control; the absence of any effect in a/a males (which were
studied alongside the females) makes those found in a/a females (Figures 1, 2, 3, and 4)
even more compelling. Lastly, it is unclear whether the physical activity differences we
observed are more akin to volitional exercise or non-exercise activity thermogenesis in
humans. Future studies of wheel running behavior in OL vs. LL mice should help clarify
this, since wheel running may be a reasonable model of human volitional exercise.

These caveats notwithstanding, the female-specific effect identified here is concordant with
multiple recent reports that perinatal famine exposure in humans promotes adult obesity
specifically in females, raising the question of whether prenatal and early postnatal
undernutrition sex-specifically compromises development of human locomotor function.
Indeed, recent human epidemiologic data, including a meta-analysis of Nordic adults and a
study of stunted Cameroonian children indicate that early growth restriction reduces
physical activity later in life. From a translational perspective, there is no harm in
encouraging parents of children who have experienced fetal growth restriction to make extra
effort to promote healthy patterns of physical activity. Otherwise, and particularly in the
context of the nutrition transition underway in many parts of the world, persistent blunting
of spontaneous physical activity due to developmental mismatch may in coming decades
exacerbate current alarming worldwide trends in physical inactivity and, consequently,
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Figure 1.
Fetal growth restriction promotes obesity specifically in female offspring. Body weight vs. age of male (a) and female (b) a/a offspring cross fostered among lean a/a and obese A
\textsuperscript{vy}/a dams. Each plot represents mean ± SEM of 20–37 LL offspring from 14–15 litters, 24–50 LO offspring from 28–32 litters, 19–25 OL offspring from 11–12 litters, and 4–9 OO offspring from 3–5 litters. (Detailed sample size tabulation in Table S1a–b.) Asterisks in main plots indicate significance of OL vs. LL differences only. (P values for all group comparisons in Table S1c.) Insets are box plots of body weight at P21, each indicating median, 25\textsuperscript{th}–75\textsuperscript{th} percentiles, and 5\textsuperscript{th}–95\textsuperscript{th} percentiles. (c) and (d) are box plots illustrating...
group differences in body composition (% fat) of P140 a/a male and female offspring, respectively. Number of mice studied is indicated above each box. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001 relative to LL mice of same age and sex.
Figure 2.
Independent experiment confirms that fetal growth restriction promotes increased adult body weight and adiposity only in female offspring. Body weight vs. age of a/a male (a) and female (b) LL and OL offspring. Each plot represents mean ± SEM of 21–36 LL offspring from 12–18 litters, and 13–34 OL offspring from 11–17 litters. (Detailed sample size tabulation in Table S2a–b.) Insets are box plots of % body fat at P140, each indicating median, 25th–75th percentiles, and 5th–95th percentiles. Number of mice studied is indicated above each box. *P<0.05 relative to LL mice of same age and sex.
Figure 3.
Fetal growth restriction reduces physical activity and energy expenditure in a/a females but not males. (a) Dietary intake, (b) energy expenditure, and (c) total physical activity of a/a LL and OL mice, by sex and age. (Dietary intake and energy expenditure data have been least-squares normalized for lean mass and fat mass\textsuperscript{23}.) For each mouse, three days of metabolic cage data were collapsed into 24 1-hour averages. Each plot represents mean ± SEM of 12–13 LL offspring from 7 litters, and 12 OL offspring from 8 litters. Statistical analyses were performed separately by light and dark periods (indicated by shading). *P<0.05, ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001 relative to LL mice of same age and sex.
Figure 4.
Physical activity levels ‘track’ into adulthood and predict adult adiposity in females only. (a) Among a/a offspring, dark-period physical activity in juveniles predicts that in adulthood only in females. Each plot includes 11 LL offspring from 7 litters and 11–12 OL offspring from 8 litters. (b) Adult physical activity predicts adult adiposity, again only in females (same offspring as in panel A). (c) Mediational regression analysis focused on a/a female offspring. Fetal growth restriction predicts adult adiposity (top), but this direct pathway is abrogated when adult physical activity is included in the model (bottom), indicating that
induced alterations in physical activity mediate the obesogenic effect of fetal growth restriction. (Analysis includes data on female offspring from panels a & b.)
Table 1

The four groups generated by cross fostering.

| Group | Birth Dam       | Foster Dam     |
|-------|-----------------|----------------|
| LL    | Lean (a/a)      | Lean (a/a)     |
| LO    | Lean (a/a)      | Obese (A’/a)  |
| OL    | Obese (A’/a)    | Lean (a/a)     |
| OO    | Obese (A’/a)    | Obese (A’/a)   |