Use of virtual reality for procedural planning of transcatheter aortic valve replacement
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Abstract

This study sought to evaluate the impact of virtual reality (VR) tools in procedural planning of transcatheter aortic valve replacement. A prospective study involving 11 patients referred for transcatheter aortic valve replacement was conducted. A multidetector computed tomography was used to acquire and segment the anatomy of the access route and landing zone. From the information obtained with the multidetector computed tomography in DICOM format, we built a virtual platform (VisuaMed, Techer Team, Valencia, Spain) that contains all the clinical information of the patients and a virtualized model of their anatomy. Wearing VR devices, the professional was able to ‘walk inside’ the anatomy in an interactive and immersive way. Decisions after the evaluation of routine clinical images were compared with those after experience with VR models and intraprocedural findings.
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INTRODUCTION

Patients referred for transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) usually underwent a multidetector computed tomography imaging (MDCT) and also three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction of MDCT images of the access route and landing zone using different planning tools like semi-automated 3mensio (3mensio Medical Imaging BV, Bilthoven, The Netherlands) or full-automated Heart Navigator, Philips [1].

Virtual reality is a computer-generated environment perceived through a device known as a virtual reality (VR) headset [2]. From the information obtained with the MDCT in a DICOM format, we built a virtual platform (VisuaMed, Techer Team, Valencia, Spain) that contains all the clinical information of the patients and a virtualized model of their anatomy (Fig. 1). The VR has the ability to ‘transport the user inside the human body’ and provides an unlimited view of anatomy from every angle in an immersive experience with high fidelity and accuracy (Videos 1 and 2).

The goal of this study was to evaluate the utility of VR in individualized scenarios for TAVR planning.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

We hypothesized that VR improves the information about the patient target anatomy and could have an impact on the decisions about implant strategy.

A prospective study was conducted between May 2020 and May 2021 on 11 consecutive patients with severe aortic valvular disease and referred for TAVR procedure. The study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki and all patients signed a consent form for the use of personal medical images for research to protect the privacy of subjects and the confidentiality of their personal information. This study has been approved by the ethics committee of the Centre (Clinical Trials registration number NCT04944667).

Our study used a case-crossover design in which each patient serves as its own control. Each case was first evaluated with routine imaging techniques (echocardiography, angiography, MDCT) and specialized software packages (3D, Heart Navigator). Then, each case was re-evaluated by the same Heart Team with the addition of VR models (Fig. 1). The Heart Team members responded to a questionnaire about the usefulness of VR (Supplementary Material).
RESULTS

Eleven patients were included in the study from 1 single centre. Patient demographics, clinical characteristics and image data are described in Table 1.

All MDCT studies provided adequate image quality for image segmentation and all cases were successfully converted to the VR model. The average waiting time between sending the DICOM files and receiving the models in VR was >24 h.

All cases survived with good clinical outcome and no major complications. Two patients had a mild paravalvular leak. There were no neurological or vascular complications. There was also no need for a permanent pacemaker implantation, although 1

Figure 1: Virtual room platform with all the clinical and image information of the patient on a panel and virtualized tridimensional model of the access route and landing zone. We can ‘walk around and inside’ the model analysing all anatomical details, the pattern of calcification and coronary ostia location. In addition, there is availability of tools to turn, expand, measure, draw, segment and visualize specific parts of the anatomy by enabling the transparency of tissues or take a pictures/videos and save them to the computer.

Video 1: The user can ‘walk around and inside’ the model analyzing all anatomical details, the pattern of calcification and coronary ostia location. In addition, tools are available to turn, expand, measure, draw, segment and visualize specific parts of the anatomy by enabling transparency of tissues. It is also possible to take pictures and videos and save them to the computer.

Video 2: An example of the access route and the landing zone in a case with a previously implanted biological stented aortic prosthesis.
The gold standard tool for TAVR planning [3]. Advances in imaging in the last 15 years have led to 3D recreations of anatomy, but they could only be viewed on a two-dimensional display, limiting the ability to fully interact with the model and to assess the patient’s anatomy with full accuracy.

In recent years, there was a growing interest in 3D-printing models to enhance the outcomes of complex cardiovascular cases including TAVR procedures [4]. 3D-printing models could be helpful, but the interaction with the model is limited. The VR allows to take a step forward and cross the barrier into a different universe where we could interact with the anatomy. The VR has the ability to ‘transport the user inside the human body’. Furthermore, VR technology provides unlimited views of anatomy from every angle in an immersive experience with high fidelity and accuracy and a stronger understanding of medical scenarios [5].

We hypothesized that VR could have an impact on the decisions about the implant strategy.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first preliminary study to investigate the use of VR in the planning of TAVR procedures.

Our study suggests that in TAVR patients with complex scenarios, the immersive experience that VR provides could contribute to achieve the best possible plan for each patient. In fact, the objective of VR models is not to replace the software packages used widely but to add more information in an immersive and interactive way.

**Limitations**

A limitation of this approach is that it is only sensitive to the impact of the VR use on procedural planning, not on morbidity and mortality nor on mid- and long-term outcomes.

---

**Table 1:** Patient demographics, clinical and image data

| Case | Description                                                                 | Areas of concern                                                                 |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1    | 79-Year-old-female. Severe aortic regurgitation. NYHA III–IV. Previous cardiac surgery (septal myectomy). Breast cancer (surgery + RT). Severe COPD. Tricuspid aortic valve. Preserved LVEF. | Pure aortic regurgitation. Distance annulus-LM coronary ostia: 10 mm. Severe tortuosity and calcification in bilateral femoral-iliac route. |
| 2    | 71-Year-old-man. Severe AS. NYHA III. Severe COPD. Obesity. Chronic CAD. Preserved LVEF. Tricuspid aortic valve. | None.                                                                                                                                 |
| 3    | 50-Year-old-male. Severe bicuspid aortic stenosis. Huge calcification. Anomalous origin of the RCA. | Bicuspid valve with huge calcification in valve, mitral annulus and LVOT. Anomalous origin of RCA from the left coronary sinus. |
| 4    | 49-Year-old-man. Previous cardiac surgery for bioAVR (Trifecta Abbott 23) + ascending aorta replacement. Severe-massive intra prosthesis regurgitation. Dilated LV. NYHA III. Annulus-LCA distance: 8 mm. Anulus-RCA distance: 12 mm. | Valve-in-valve procedure. Short LCA-prosthesis distance. |
| 5    | 80-Year-old man. Severe aortic regurgitation. NYHA II–III. Previous CABG × 4 (LIMA + RIMA). Preserved LVEF. | None.                                                                                                                                 |
| 6    | 78-Year-old-man. Severe AS. NYHA III. Chronic CAD. Severe COPD. Preserved LVEF. Severe peripheral ateriopathy. | None.                                                                                                                                 |
| 7    | 74-Year-old female. Critical AS, low-gradient, low-flow. LVEF: 28%. Severe pulmonary hypertension. Previous cardiac surgery for mechanical mitral valve replacement. Short | Depth implant target.                                                                                                                                 |
| 8    | 77-Year-old male. Severe AS. NYHA III. Obesity. Poor mobility. Spine surgery/arthritis. Preserved LVEF. | None.                                                                                                                                 |
| 9    | 70-Year-old-female. Previous SAVR (Crown 21) + CABG. Severe aortic bioprosthesis dysfunction. NYHA III. Distance annulus-LMCA: 8 mm. Distance annulus-RCA: 7 mm. Sinus of Valsalva: 29 × 29 × 29. | Valve-in-valve procedure. Short distance LMCA/RCA-prosthesis. |
| 10   | 78-Year-old-female. Severe AS. NYHA III. Severe 6d Sjo¨gren. Tricuspid aortic valve. Preserved LVEF. | None.                                                                                                                                 |
| 11   | 73-Year-old male. Severe AS low gradient. LVEF: 35%. Previous cardiac surgery for CABG × 4. | None.                                                                                                                                 |

---

**DISCUSSION**

Before any TAVR procedure, preprocedural planning is key to prevent potentially catastrophic complications. The MDCT is now the gold standard tool for TAVR planning [3]. Advances in
Another limitation is that with current technology we do not have information about the dynamic changes in geometry that occur during the cardiac cycle. Furthermore, we must take into account the limited number of patients included in the present study.

**CONCLUSION**

We evaluated the impact of VR in the planning of TAVR procedures. To the date, this is the first study of this new technology clinically applied to this group of patients.

In almost half of the cases, the consideration of new information provided by the VR models led the team to redesign the procedure plan. In all procedures, this additional information increased the confidence of the Heart Team during implantation.

Nevertheless, this is an initial study and further research might be considered to better describe the benefits of VR as a complementary tool for TAVR preprocedural planning.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

Supplementary material is available at ICVTS online.
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