Anthropometric analysis of the eyeball exposure area in Koreans
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Background Eyelids vary in morphology and structure depending on age, sex, and race. Usually, one-dimensional measurements are used to evaluate blepharoplasty outcomes. However, in recent years, three- or four-directional enlargements of the eyelid have been used for blepharoplasty in Asian patients, and the outcomes of techniques such as lateral canthoplasty or canthotomy and medial epicanthoplasty with increased scleral area cannot be analyzed using marginal reflex distance 1 or the area of corneal exposure. Therefore, we describe the use of a measurement method based on the eyeball exposure area (EEA).

Methods To obtain normal EEA values by age and sex, 320 individuals were recruited. The participants were divided into eight age groups (n = 40 in each group: female subjects, n = 20; male subjects, n = 20): 10–19, 20–29, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, 60–69, 70–79, and 80–89 years. The EEA value was obtained by dividing the exposed area by the total eyeball area. The normal EEA values and differences in EEA according to age and sex were calculated.

Results The mean EEA values for male and female subjects were 66.86% ± 9.20% and 71.48% ± 10.48%, respectively. In each age group, the EEA values of females were higher than those of males. EEA decreased significantly with age in both male and female subjects (P < 0.05).

Conclusions We determined normal EEA values according to age and sex. EEA can be used as an indicator of the outcome of blepharoplasty.

Keywords Anthropometric / Eyelids / Database

Received: September 8, 2019 • Revised: January 6, 2020 • Accepted: January 9, 2020
pISSN: 2234-6163 • eISSN: 2234-6171 • https://doi.org/10.5999/aps.2019.01200 • Arch Plast Surg 2020;47:9-14

INTRODUCTION

Eyelids vary in morphology and structure depending on age, sex, and race [1]. A number of anthropometric studies on the eyelids of African-Americans have been published [2,3], but no studies have been conducted on eyelid measurements in Asian populations.

Several methods are available to analyze outcomes after blepharoplasty. The parameters commonly measured in these methods include symmetry, double-fold height, marginal reflex distance (MRD), vertical height of the palpebral fissure, and marginal limbal distance [4-6]. However, these indicators are one-dimensional measurements that involve only distance. Such one-dimensional measurements have limitations for measuring the overall increase in the ocular surface area.

The features of eyelids in Asian populations include a single fold, a narrow fissure, a downward cornea, and a wide intercanthal distance. Due to these features, Asians have smaller eyes...
than those of Westerners. Therefore, Asian patients may wish to increase the perceived size of their eyes through eye surgery. In such cases, surgery to increase the overall eye size in three or four directions (superior, inferior, medial, and lateral) is preferred. In upper blepharoplasty with or without ptosis correction and lowering of the lower lid, the postoperative outcome can be evaluated using measurements such as MRD 1 (MRD1) and area of corneal exposure (ACE). However, in lateral canthoplasty or canthotomy and medial epicantoplasty with increased scleral area, it is difficult to measure postoperative results using MRD1 or ACE. Patients who undergo these surgical procedures have their eyes enlarged vertically, medially, and laterally. In these patients, simply measuring the length or corneal exposure area of the eye is not appropriate for the evaluation of postoperative outcomes, as such measurements cannot fully reflect the increase in the overall ocular surface area after surgery. In a previous study, researchers used ACE as a two-dimensional measure [7]. However, various factors, such as the distance and angle, affect the accuracy of this type of measurement on patient images. In addition, ACE does not reflect an increase in the overall size of the eye, including the sclera. Therefore, we designed the use of an alternative measurement method based on the eyeball exposure area (EEA), which is derived by dividing the exposed area by the total eyeball area. Since no study has been conducted regarding normal EEA values in Koreans, we desired to measure this parameter to assist in the clinical application of EEA values.

METHODS

Study group
In total, 320 participants were enrolled. Patients who had previously undergone blepharoplasty or who had a history of trauma around the eye were excluded. The participants were divided into eight age groups (n = 40 in each group: female subjects, n = 20; male subjects, n = 20): 10–19, 20–29, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, 60–69, 70–79, and 80–89 years. This study was approved by the Bioethics Committee of the Daegu Catholic University Medical Center under Institutional Review Board protocol CR-19-143. This study conformed to the ethical principles for medical research set forth by the Declaration of Helsinki.

Anthropometric and photographic analysis
Normal EEA values and differences in EEA according to age and sex were determined. First, the total eyeball area (Fig. 1A) and then the exposed eyeball area (Fig. 1B) were measured. The EEA value, as a percentage, was obtained by dividing exposed eyeball area by total eyeball area. After displaying the area using Adobe Photoshop CS3 software (Adobe, San Jose, CA, USA), the measured area was numerically calculated using a Java-based image processing program (ImageJ 1.40; National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA), and the EEA was determined (Fig. 2). The same individual obtained and processed all of the photographic images.

Statistical analysis
SPSS version 25 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used to analyze the data. One-way analysis of variance and the independent-samples t-test were used. A P-value of < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

RESULTS
The EEA values of younger participants were higher than those of older subjects (Fig. 3). The EEA values were 79.09% ± 4.81% and 86.84% ± 2.92% for males and females, respectively, in the 10–19 years group and gradually decreased to 53.56% ± 2.47% and 56.98% ± 3.10% for men and women, respectively, in the
80–89 years group (Table 1). The EEA values significantly differed according to age (P < 0.05). The greatest decrease in EEA was observed between ages 50–59 years and 60–69 years (Table 2). Overall, patient age and EEA were negatively correlated ($R^2 = 0.807$). The relationship between EEA and age was explained by the following formula: EEA = −0.401 × age + 88.704.

For all age groups, the EEA values of female subjects were higher than those of male subjects (Fig. 4). The mean EEA value was 66.86% ± 9.20% for males and 71.48% ± 10.48% for females. EEA significantly differed according to sex in each age group (Fig. 5).

Table 1. Eyeball exposure area according to age and sex

| Age (yr) | Female sex (%) | Male sex (%) |
|---------|----------------|--------------|
| 10–19   | 86.84 ± 2.92   | 79.09 ± 4.81 |
| 20–29   | 82.72 ± 4.96   | 75.31 ± 3.54 |
| 30–39   | 77.36 ± 3.31   | 73.68 ± 2.31 |
| 40–49   | 73.07 ± 1.96   | 69.75 ± 2.69 |
| 50–59   | 69.84 ± 1.75   | 65.50 ± 2.10 |
| 60–69   | 64.28 ± 4.55   | 60.90 ± 4.79 |
| 70–79   | 60.75 ± 4.33   | 57.08 ± 3.18 |
| 80–89   | 56.98 ± 3.10   | 53.56 ± 2.47 |
| Total   | 71.48 ± 10.48  | 66.86 ± 9.20 |

Values are presented as mean ± SD. Each group has 40 participants: female subjects (n = 20) and male subjects (n = 20).

Table 2. Changes in EEA according to age

| Age (yr) | EEA (%) | Difference between the specified and previous age group (%) |
|---------|---------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| 10–19   | 82.97 ± 5.55a | -                                                         |
| 20–29   | 79.01 ± 5.68a | 3.96                                                     |
| 30–39   | 75.52 ± 3.39a | 3.49                                                     |
| 40–49   | 71.41 ± 2.88a | 4.11                                                     |
| 50–59   | 67.67 ± 2.91a | 3.74                                                     |
| 60–69   | 62.59 ± 4.97a | 5.08                                                     |
| 70–79   | 58.91 ± 4.22a | 3.67                                                     |
| 80–89   | 55.27 ± 3.28a | 3.65                                                     |
| Total   | 69.17 ± 10.13 | -                                                         |

Values are presented as mean ± SD. Each group has 40 participants. EEA, eyeball exposure area. Statistical analyses of multiple groups were performed using one-way analysis of variance followed by the Bonferroni post-test. *P < 0.05, constituting a significant difference between the age groups.
DISCUSSION

Plastic surgeons try to reduce complications, improve surgical outcomes, and enhance patient satisfaction after blepharoplasty. A comparison of preoperative and postoperative appearance using objective indicators is very important for evaluating surgical outcomes. Various measurements can be used to make such comparisons [1,8-12]. These include measurements of the palpebral fissure, which are used to assess outcomes after upper blepharoplasty [5]. However, the palpebral fissure varies widely in morphology and structure depending on age and race [5].

Post-surgical measurements are usually acquired through a photogrammetric study of patient photographs. Milbratz et al. [13] used a software program to measure multiple radial mids-pupil lid distances. Bae et al. [14] performed a photogrammetric study of the eyes of Korean youths. Song et al. [15] used standardized photographs to analyze the metric and nonmetric characteristics of Korean eyes. However, these types of measurements are often inaccurate, and errors can arise due to the angle of photography, the location where the image was taken, the size of the image, the distance between the patient and the camera, and the proficiency of the photographer. In addition, these are all one-dimensional measurements, which cannot provide adequate information on the postoperative outcomes of three- or four-directional surgery. Park et al. [7] used a two-dimensional measure, the fraction of corneal exposure in the eye. However, considering only the corneal area of the eye can limit the accuracy of measurements of changes in overall eye size following surgery [7]. For example, in cases of upper blepharoplasty with or without ptosis correction and lowering of the lower lid, the surgical results can be analyzed using ACE or MRD1. However, in cases of lateral canthoplasty or canthotomy and medial epicanthoplasty with increased scleral area, the surgical results cannot be analyzed using these measurements.

Therefore, in our study, we used a digital photographic technique and the EEA value, which represents a fraction of the total eyeball area, including the area of the sclera. EEA measures area, which is a simple measurement to obtain, instead of distance. The measured value is displayed as a percentage, and the value is not affected by factors such as the distance at which the image is obtained or the size of the image. Thus, it offers a convenient and accurate eyelid measurement method.

Furthermore, the area can be a more accurate measurement of changes in the eyes. For example, van den Bosch et al. [16] found no statistically significant decrease in the MRD with age. In contrast, EEA declined with age in our study. This discrepancy is likely due to the fact that EEA represents not a one-dimensional distance, but rather a two-dimensional measurement of...
area that is similar to the corneal exposure area in Koreans [7].

Duke-Elder and Wybar [17] found that globe size did not vary with age in adults. Thus, with regard to the calculation of EEA, the total area of the eyeball does not change with age, but the exposed eyeball area decreases. Lower lid laxity increases with age, and ptosis can occur in older people. Direk et al. [18] demonstrated that the palpebral fissure area significantly decreases with age. This finding is consistent with the observed decrease in EEA with age in our study.

In this study, we describe the use of EEA, which is calculated as a fraction of two-dimensional area measurements—including the area of the sclera—rather than a simple, one-dimensional distance measurement. EEA is easy to measure and is not affected by factors such as the distance at which an image is obtained or the size of the image. EEA data can aid in understanding normal eyelid measurements according to age and sex. Recently, three- and four-directional surgery, including medial and lateral canthoplasty, has been performed. Therefore, it is very important to measure the overall increase in size of the eye, including the sclera, in order to accurately assess the results of these procedures. Measurements of EEA can be useful for post-operative evaluations of patients after blepharoplasty and can serve as indicators of surgical success. In the future, further studies should be conducted to assess the difference in EEA between patients with natural double folds and those with single folds in the same age group. To facilitate the clinical application of EEA, it will also be important to study in greater depth how EEA varies according to age.
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