INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most frequent invasive cancer comprising 16% of all cancers in women in both developed and under developed countries. Being a woman is an enormous contributing factor to develop BC with a life time risk of 10% in the general population (Easton 1997). Internationally BC is more frequent in developed countries due to the predominance of risk factors such as age and reproductive fashion, social economy, and diet (Parkin et al., 1997). It is the leading cause of cancer death in women with an estimate of 1.67 million (25% of all cancers) new cases diagnosed in 2012 (IARC, 2012).

Data revealed a rapid upward trend in BC incidence in traditionally low frequency Asian countries as a consequence of altered living standards and adaptation of western lifestyles (Wilson et al., 2004). Over half of the breast cancer cases are estimated to occur in more industrialized countries, 788,200 (ASR=73.4/100,000) compared to less developed countries with 882,949 (ASR=31.3/100,000). In general BC rate is higher in North America, Australia and Europe with mean average of 91.6, 86 and 84.8 per 100,000 respectively and moderate in South America (Mean= 51.6) and South Asia (Mean= 45.9) whereas Africa and central Asia show the least level of BC incidence with mean of 35.6 and 37.3 respectively (IARC, 2012). The increase of BC incidence in western countries observed in late 1980s and 1990s is likely to be the result of changes in lifestyle and reproductive factors (Althuis et al., 1973-1997). In contrast, mortality rate of BC has been decreasing in North America and several European countries over the past two decades as a result of early detection and improved treatments (Jemal et al., 2010). Death rates, however, have been rising in many African and Asian countries with changes in reproductive pattern, physical activity and obesity (Parkin et al., 2005) (Figure 1). Breast cancer incidence is globally on the rise and it is swiftly striking less wealthy countries as a result of adoption of lifestyle changes that impact the prevalence of the disease (McTiernan 2003). Breast Cancer is the most common female cancer in the Middle East increasing up to 5% each year (Kahan et al., 1997; Anderson 2006). The incidence of BC is roughly lower in Iranian population with 28.1 affected per 100,000 in comparison to the breast cancer in Asia and neighboring countries; 39.1 per 100,000 in Turkey and 50.3 per 100,000 in Pakistan (IARC 2012) (Table 1), however its rapid upward trend has become a national burden.

According to the CDC reports in Iran, breast cancer strikes women with a mean age of 48.8 years (Harirchi et al., 2004) where over 30% of malignancies occur below the age of 40 (Mousavi et al., 2006; National Cancer...
Registry report, 2004). Studies have shown that religious influence on lifestyle behaviors such as reproductive style, early age at first full-term pregnancy, higher number of births and more years of breast-feeding can extremely reduce the rate of female BC incidence (Merrill and Folsom, 2005; Sadjadi et al., 2009). Asians constitute 60% of the world population; hence, determination of risk of BC and mutation spectrum of the high penetrance genes can contribute to the better understanding and adoption of effective genetic testing (Kwong et al., 2015). The present retrospective cohort study aimed to identify the spectrum of BRCA mutations/variants in Iranian women with early onset BC during the past 15 years.

Material & Methods

Subjects

Our previous study led to identification of BRCA germline mutations in 39 Iranian women with early onset breast cancer (Yassaee et al., 2002). Here we have expanded our investigations to obtain a BRCA data set of alterations in Iranian women with familial BC. During the past 15 years (1999-2014), 3,782 women who were diagnosed with breast and/or ovarian cancer (HBOC) referred to our center from hospitals throughout the country for consultation. Two hundred and fifty four patients who met the criteria were selected for BRCA analysis. The selection criteria included age ≤ 45 with a history of at least two affected first degree relatives, bilateral BC, male BC in the family and BC followed by ovarian cancer. The study was conducted in accordance to the NICE guidelines and was approved by ethical committee of deputy of research affairs of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences (SBUMS) (grant number 9293SBMU-931485). Following the declaration of Helsinki, whole blood samples were obtained from the subjects after informed and written consent was acquired. Genetic test results were provided to the patients upon request.

Genetic Analysis

Genomic DNA was prepared and BRCA analysis were performed for all coding exons and flanking intron and UTR sequences by single strand conformation polymorphism (SSCP), protein truncation test (PPT), multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA), and Sanger sequencing techniques. Results were analyzed by Finch TV 1.4 software. Primer sequences and PCR conditions are available on request.

Results

A total of 46 BRCA alterations were identified in this cohort study including 18 variants with unidentified clinical significance (39.13%), 18 missense mutations (39.13%) and 7 indel mutations (15.21%). Fifty BRCA negative patients were examined for LGR using MLPA whereby 3 of them showed a large deletion (Tables 2 and 3).

Table 1. Incidence of Breast Cancer in the World in All Age Groups (GLOBOCAN 2012, IARC)

| Region      | Country | Case  | ASI rate per 100,000 |
|-------------|---------|-------|----------------------|
| North America | USA     | 256,222 | 91.6                 |
| South America | Canada  | 23,420  | 79.8                 |
|             | Mexico  | 20,444  | 35.4                 |
|             | Colombia | 8,868   | 35.7                 |
|             | Peru    | 3,952   | 28                   |
|             | Brazil  | 67,316  | 59.5                 |
|             | Argentina | 19,386  | 71.2                 |
|             | UK      | 52,399  | 95                   |
|             | Iceland | 225     | 96.3                 |
|             | Spain   | 25,215  | 67.3                 |
|             | Sweden  | 6,624   | 80.4                 |
|             | Finland | 4,477   | 89.4                 |
|             | Norway  | 2,887   | 73.1                 |
|             | Germany | 71,623  | 91.6                 |
| Europe      | Italy   | 50,658  | 91.3                 |
|             | France  | 48,763  | 89.7                 |
|             | Denmark | 5,224   | 105                  |
|             | Belgium | 10,337  | 111.9                |
|             | The Netherland | 13,895 | 99                   |
| Asia        | Poland  | 17,259  | 51.9                 |
|             | Belarus | 3,781   | 45.9                 |
|             | Russian Federation | 57,502 | 45.6                 |
|             | Kazakhstan | 6,252  | 63                   |
|             | Turkmenistan | 656   | 26.8                 |
|             | Turkey  | 15,230  | 39.1                 |
| Asia        | India   | 144,937 | 25.8                 |
|             | China   | 187,213 | 22.1                 |
|             | Iran    | 9,795   | 28.1                 |
|             | Pakistan | 34,038  | 50.3                 |
|             | Afghanistan | 3,108 | 35                   |
|             | Saudi Arabia | 2,791 | 29.6                 |
| Africa      | Egypt   | 18,660  | 49.5                 |
|             | Sudan   | 3,439   | 27.8                 |
|             | Korea   | 17,140  | 52.1                 |
|             | Japan   | 55,710  | 51.5                 |
| South Asia  | Philippine | 18,327 | 47                   |
|             | Malaysia | 5,410   | 38.7                 |
|             | Indonesia | 48,998 | 40.3                 |
| Australia   | Australia | 14,710  | 86                   |

ASI (ASR) indicates age standard rate per 100,000. Rates are standardized in accordance with the world population. Highlighted countries depict incidence of BC in comparison to Iran.
are at an estimated two fold risk of developing breast cancer whereby the risk progressively increases with the number of affected relatives. Majority of hereditary BC cases are associated to two faulty BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes with 55-65% and 45% risk respectively (Easton 2002). When a deleterious alteration in one of the genes is inherited, women will have increased risk of developing breast and ovarian cancer (Antoniou A et al., 2003). Deleterious mutations in BRCA1 confer 60-85% lifetime risk of BC and 46-60% risk of ovarian cancer (OC) while BRCA2 mutations account for 40-85% lifetime risk of breast cancer (Lalloo and Evans, 2012). Thus far, over 500 types of various mutations have been defined in the Asian population with breast cancer (Kwong et al., 2015). The most prevalent mutations reported in the BRCA1 gene include c.66-67delAG, c.390C>A, c.470-471delCT and c.981-982delAT and c.7480C>T, c.1399A>T and c.3744-3747delTGAG in the BRCA2 gene. The genetic aberrations have been widely observed in Chinese, Japanese, Pakistani and Korean populations (Kwong et al., 2015). Despite significant advances in screening techniques, breast cancer evades conventional therapies generating disease relapse and claiming the lives of women worldwide.

To evaluate the risk of breast and ovarian cancers in Iran, we analyzed BRCA genes in patients who

Table 2. Germline Alterations in BRCA1/2 Genes

| Location | cDNA Nomenclature | Nucleotide Change | AA change | Variation class | SNP (dbSNP) |
|----------|-------------------|------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|
| Exon 2   | c.66_67delAG      | Del AG           | p.Glu23Valafs*17 | FS             | rs117696 |  
| Exon 2   | c.441+36_441+38delCTT | Del CTT         | ----       | Intrinsic      | rs147,856,441 |
| Intron 7 | c.441+52_441+63   | Del CTTTTTTTTTTTTTT | ---- | Intrinsic | rs536,390,258 |

Discussion

Although the incidence of BC is lower in Iranian women compared to other Asian countries, based on data reported by the National Cancer Center, it is still a considerable health issue with an annual growth of 10%. Incidence of breast cancer in Iran has risen since 1999 and currently it is the most adequate malignancy and the fifth most common form of death among Iranian women. In addition to living standards and environmental factors, genetic factors also significantly contribute to the odds of developing cancer. Women with family history of BC...
referred to our clinic. The alterations consisting indel/ duplication lead to large genomic rearrangement (LGR) undetectable by common screening assays. Therefore, MLPA was implemented as a robust and sensitive method for detection of the LGRs in patients negative for BRCA mutations asserted by Sanger sequencing. Among 254 patients who met the increased risk criteria, mutations in BRCA1/2 were detected in 18% of the patients that are associated with breast cancer (Evans et al., 2013). It is noteworthy to accentuate that mere dependence on BRCA mutations is a poor judgment of a woman’s future cancer risk while she may still have a significant risk of developing a new primary cancer (Pohlréich et al., 2005). Risk assessment of BC for family members, in addition to a three generation pedigree also confides to the integration of new genomic techniques and prognostic profiles for early detection and accurate diagnosis of BC in terms of suitable treatment and better quality of life. Management of hereditary/familial BC varies from sporadic tumors. In 2004, UK National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) issued a protocol for managing hereditary/familial breast cancer which aims to classify women on the basis of high, moderate and average risk of BC (McIntosh et al., 2004). The amalgamation of clinical features and molecular techniques has led to the stratification of breast cancer types. These approaches can complement the present diagnostic tests and aid in better understanding of molecular basis of BC.

In summary, incidence of BC is globally divergent among countries and various ethnic groups. The present study represents the largest BRCA1/2 mutation analysis

Table 3. Germline Alterations in the BRCA2 Gene

| Location    | cDNA Nomenclature | Nucleotide Change | AA change | Variation class | SNP (dbSNP) | Biological significance |
|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| 5'UTR       | c.-26G>A          | G>A               | ----      | ----           | rs1,799,943 | 1-Normal                |
| Exon 4      | c.331A>G          | A>G               | p.Asn111Asp | MS             | ----         | 3-Normal                |
| Intron 9    | c.793+64 delT     | Del T             | ----      | Intron         | ----         | 3-Normal                |
| Exon 10     | c.865A>C          | A>C               | p.Asn289Asp | MS             | rs766,173    | 1-Normal                |
| Exon 10     | c.1,114A>C        | A>C               | p.Asn372His | MS             | rs144,848    | 1-Normal                |
| Exon 11     | c.2,971A>G        | A>G               | p.Asn991Asp | MS             | rs1,799,944  | Polymorphism            |
| Exon 11     | c.3,396A>G        | A>G               | p.Lys1132= | Silent         | rs1,801,406  | 1-Normal                |
| Exon 11     | c.3,807T>C        | T>C               | p.Val1269= | Silent         | rs543,304    | Polymorphism            |
| Exon 11     | c.3,751dup        | Dup A             | pThr1251Asn= | FS             | ----         | NR (causative)          |
| Exon 11     | c.5,081G>T        | G>T               | p.Arg1694Ile| MS             | ----         | NR                      |
| Exon 11     | c.5,555T>A        | T>A               | p.Val1852Asp| MS             | rs483,352,930| NR                      |
| Exon 11     | c.5,972C>T        | C>T               | p.Ala1991Val| MS             | rs80,358,829 | NR                      |
| Exon 11     | c.6,261_6,262insGT| Ins GT            | p Thr2088Valfs*32 | FS | ---- | NR (causative) |
| Exon 11     | c.6,513G>C        | G>C               | p.Val2171= | Silent         | rs206,076    | 1-Normal                |
| Intron 11   | c.6,841+80_6841+83| Del TTTA          | ----      | Intron         | rs11,571,661 | 3-UV                    |

MS, Missense Mutation; NS, Nonsense Mutation; FS, Frameshift mutation; NR, Not Reported in UMD; Classification of BRCA1/2 alterations based on formerly UMD mutation database; Class 1, Neutral, neutral polymorphism; Class 2, Likely neutral, Contradictory neutral/UV; Class 3, Unknown/unclassified variant (UV); Class 4, Likely deleterious, Contradictory deleterious/UV; Class 5, Deleterious mutation
in Iranian women with early onset BC. The targeted patient cohorts comprise probabilities of carrying a wide range of mutations. We documented a variety of alteration demonstrating the framework for assessing the overall importance of BRCA1/2 analysis. Our findings not only provide a data set of BRCA alterations in high risk Iranian families with breast cancer, but also update and contribute to the present BC data set reported by Kwong et al in 2015. The overall knowledge of BRCA1/2 mutations will assist in genetic counseling and ultimately in the disease management of patients who need them the most.

Acknowledgements

We thank the patients and their families for their cooperation and participation. The study was funded by Genomic Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences (SBUMS), Tehran-Iran, grant number (9293SBMU_931485). All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

Althuis MD, Dozier JM, Anderson WF, et al (2005). Global trends in breast cancer incidence and mortality 1973-1997. Int J Epidemiol, 34, 405-12.  
Anderson BO (2006). Breast healthcare and cancer control in limited-resource countries: a framework for change. Nat Clin Pract Oncol, 3, 4–5.  
Antoniou A, Pharoah PD, Narod S, et al (2003). Average risks of breast and ovarian cancer associated with BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations detected in case Series unselected for family history: a combined analysis of 22 studies. Am J Hum Genet, 72, 1117-30.  
DF Easton (2002). Familial risks of breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res, 4, 179–81.  
Easton D (1997) Breast cancer genes what are the real risks. Nat Genet, 16, 210-1.  
Evans DG, Ingham SL, Buchan I, et al (2013). Increased rate of phenocopies in all age groups in BRCA1/BRCA2 mutation kindred, but increased prospective breast cancer risk is confined to BRCA2 mutation carriers. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev, 22, 2269-76.  
Fackenthal JD, Olopade OI (2007). Breast cancer risk associated with BRCA1 and BRCA2 in diverse populations. Nat Rev Cancer, 7, 937-48.  
Harirchi I, Karbakhsh M, Kashefi A, et al (2004). Breast cancer in Iran: results of a multi-center study. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 5, 24-7.  
Jemal A, Center MM, DeSantis C, et al (2010). Global patterns of cancer incidence and mortality rates and trends. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev, 19, 1893-7.  
Kahan E, Ibrahim AS, El Najjar K, et al (1997). Cancer patterns in the Middle East special report from the Middle East Cancer Society. Acta Oncol, 36, 631-6.  
Kwong A, Shin VY, Ho JC, Kang E, et al (2015). Comprehensive spectrum of BRCA1 and BRCA2 deleterious mutations in breast cancer in Asian countries. J Med Genet, 53, 15-23.  
Lalloo F, Evans DG (2012). Familial breast cancer. Clin Genet,