Rigid Nanoporous Urea-Based Covalent Triazine Frameworks for C2/C1 and CO2/CH4 Gas Separation
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Abstract: C2/C1 hydrocarbon separation is an important industrial process that relies on energy-intensive cryogenic distillation methods. The use of porous adsorbents to selectively separate these gases is a viable alternative. Highly stable covalent triazine frameworks (urea-CTFs) have been synthesized using 1,3-bis(4-cyanophenyl)urea. Urea-CTFs exhibited gas uptakes of C2H2 (3.86 mmol/g) and C2H4 (2.92 mmol/g) at 273 K and 1 bar and is selective over CH4. Breakthrough simulations show the potential of urea-CTFs for C2/C1 separation.
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1. Introduction

The separation of C1 and C2 gases is a critical process in many industrial activities. For example, acetylene is an important industrial byproduct of petroleum and natural gas processing, which needs to be separated. In addition, there are other industrial processes wherein ethylene and acetylene are produced by the oxidative and non-oxidative coupling of methane [1]. However, quite often the methane conversion remains incomplete and recovering the unreacted methane is essential [2]. As another example, in the process of extracting natural gas, methane needs to be separated from carbon dioxide [3]. Natural gas consists of high amounts of carbon dioxide that must be removed to obtain pure methane, which can be used as an energy source for fuels and chemicals. As a final example, the separation of CO2 in flue gases (typically containing about 75% nitrogen and traces of water (vapor)) is becoming an important process in carbon capture and utilization CCU strategies.

Metal organic frameworks (MOFs) have been studied for this purpose [4–6]. Although some show very high adsorption capacities and selectivities, they often lack long-term stability, an important factor for a potential adsorbent [7]. Hence, other types of porous adsorbents, such as porous organic polymers are also considered for such gas separation processes.

Covalent triazine frameworks (CTFs) are a class of organic porous materials that can be used for gas separation [8–10]. Research on CTFs has boomed due to their ease of synthesis, tunable porosities, desirable functionalization, and ultra-high stability [11–14]. They are primarily made through an ionothermal synthesis, where ZnCl2 is used as an ionic liquid solvent and catalyst for the trimerization of dinitrile linkers. The ionothermal synthesis method has so far been used to design several inherent functionalities in CTFs, such as fluorine containing CTF (FCTF-S, F-DCBP-CTF) [15,16], acetylacetone containing CTF (acac-CTF) [17], bipyridine containing CTF (bpy-CTF) [18], ionic CTF (cCTF) [19], porphyrin CTFs [20], N-heterocyclic carbene CTF (NHC-CTF) [21], binol [22], etc. The produced
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2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis and Characterization of Urea-CTFs

For the synthesis of the targeted urea-based CTFs, the linker 1,3-bis(4-cyanophenyl)urea was synthesized from 4-aminobenzonitrile according to the reported procedure [32]. In general, urea-CTFs were obtained through ionothermal synthesis using ZnCl\(_2\) (5 eq.) both as a catalyst and a solvent at 400 \(^{\circ}\)C (urea-CTF-400-5) and 500 \(^{\circ}\)C (urea-CTF-500-5) (Scheme 1, ESI). The complete trimerization of the cyano (-CN) groups was confirmed through Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) analysis (Figure S1) where the -CN peak at 2226 cm\(^{-1}\) of the monomer is no longer visible in the CTFs [10,14]. In addition, triazine peaks were observed around 1360 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1600 cm\(^{-1}\), which further confirm the successful trimerization.

C2 hydrocarbon (C\(_2\)H\(_2\) and C\(_2\)H\(_4\)) uptakes and moderate CO\(_2\) adsorption capacity in comparison to the existing CTFs. Moreover, the C2 hydrocarbon (C\(_2\)H\(_2\) and C\(_2\)H\(_4\)) adsorption was selective compared to C1 hydrocarbon (CH\(_4\)). In addition, urea-CTFs also exhibited good selectivity for CO\(_2\) over CH\(_4\).
confirm the successful trimerization. Notably, a small broad peak around 1707 cm\(^{-1}\) was observed in the FTIR spectrum of the CTFs, which is characteristic of the C=O stretch of urea. The corresponding chemical traces, and N\(_2\) sorption was performed (Figures S5 and S6).

The porous properties of both the CTF materials were explored using argon sorption at 87 K (Figure 1) and \(N_2\) sorption measurements at 77 K (Figure S2). Both urea-CTF\(_{400\_5}\) and urea-CTF\(_{500\_5}\) displayed a Type I isotherm typical for microporous materials, and the calculated BET surface areas were 555 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) and 928 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\), respectively. The detailed textural properties are described in Table S1. As seen in several reported CTFs [11], the microporosity content depends on the synthesis temperature, whereas, urea-CTF\(_{400\_5}\) shows a higher microporous-to-mesoporous volume ratio in comparison to Urea-CTF\(_{500\_5}\). The theoretical expected pore sizes are 0.7 nm and 1.4–1.5 nm as shown in the structure (Scheme S1). From the experimental argon pore-size distribution, 0.75/1.43 nm pores for urea-CTF\(_{400\_5}\) and 1.65/2.70 nm pores for urea-CTF\(_{500\_5}\) were obtained. The values for urea-CTF\(_{400\_5}\) correspond well with the expected pore size, whereas, for urea-CTF\(_{500}\), the absence of the smallest pore (0.7 nm) and the appearance of a larger pore (2.70 nm) were observed. This is the result of thermal decomposition causing the fragmentation of the walls on top of the micropores, creating mesopores in urea-CTF\(_{500\_5}\) [33]. A higher synthesis temperature also causes a higher degree of carbonization [34], which is seen in the C/N ratio from the elemental analysis data. The presence of a sudden drop in the adsorbed volume in the desorption isotherm at \(P/P_0\sim0.45\) (Figure S2) is due to the tensile strength effect leading to a forced closure of the hysteresis loop [35]. The powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) analysis show the amorphous characteristics of the materials with a broad diffraction band at 2\(\theta\) = 25.8 degrees (Figure S3). The physicochemical stability of the urea-CTFs was analyzed using thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) which showed that the materials were stable up to 450 \(^\circ\)C (Figure S4). In addition, the chemical stability of the urea-CTF\(_{400\_5}\) and urea-CTF\(_{500\_5}\) material was studied by exposing them to boiling water (3 days), 6 M NaOH (3 days), and 6 M HCl (3 days). After each treatment, they were cleaned to remove the corresponding chemical traces, and \(N_2\) sorption was performed (Figures S5 and S6). In all cases, microporosity was retained, proving the permanent microporosity of the urea-CTF. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images show the two-dimensional stacking of the urea-CTFs (Figures S7 and S8). In addition, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images show that urea\(_{\_400\_5}\) particles, are on average, larger than the urea-CTF\(_{500\_5}\) particles (Figures S7 and S8). Lower temperature synthesis of the CTF created fewer defects, and hence, urea-CTF\(_{400\_5}\) had longer sheet morphology.
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**Figure 1.** (a) Argon sorption isotherms measured at 87 K and (b) the pore-size distribution of the urea-CTFs based on quenched solid density functional theory (QSDFT) cylindrical pores.

### 2.2. Gas Storage and Separation

Although CTFs in general have high potential for gas storage and separation, their potential for C2 hydrocarbon storage and separation has only rarely been explored. Only recently, CTF-PO71 [36] and hexene-CTF [37] have been studied for C2 hydrocarbon storage and separation. The permanent microporosity and presence of urea/triazine functional groups make urea-CTFs excellent candidates for this purpose. To this end, C2 hydrocarbon...
storage capacity was tested for both urea-CTF_400_5 and urea-CTF_500_5. Among these samples, urea-CTF_400_5 showed the highest C2H2 uptake (3.86 mmol/g) at 273 K and 1 bar pressure, which is higher than the previously reported CTFs (Figure 2a).

Interestingly, despite the higher surface area of urea-CTF_500_5, a similar C2H2 uptake (3.78 mmol/g) at 273 K and 1 bar pressure was observed (Figure 2a, Table S2). This is due to the abundance of the micropores in both materials. However, for urea-CTF_400_5, the V.micro/V.tot (0.72) is slightly higher than for urea-CTF_500_5 (0.61) (Table S1). This results in a steeper increase of C2H2 uptake at the lower-pressure regime for urea-CTF_400_5. In addition, similar trends were observed in C2H4 uptake (2.89 mmol/g and 2.92 mmol/g for urea-CTF_400_5 and urea-CTF_500_5, respectively) (Figure S9). The affinity at 273 K and 298 K of the C2 hydrocarbons for the urea-CTFs was calculated by the Clausius–Clapeyron equation (Figures S11 and S12). The isosteric heat of adsorption (Qst) values are given in Table S3. As expected, in both cases, a higher affinity was observed in urea-CTF_400_5 because the lower synthesis temperature resulted in fewer defects. In addition to the storage capacity, selectivity is perhaps an even more important parameter for industrial utilization. First, we targeted C2H2/CH4 and C2H4/CH4 separation. The CH4 uptake isotherms at 273 K and 298 K are given in Figure 2b. Selectivity was estimated using the ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST) (Table S4 and Figures S14–S17). The calculated selectivities of the urea-CTFs were within 16.9–20.2 and 8.9–12.4 for C2H2/CH4 and C2H4/CH4, respectively, which are promising results for C2/C1 hydrocarbon separation (Figure 2d, Table 1).

The presence of inherent triazine and urea functionalities in urea-CTFs also encouraged us to test CO2 adsorption performance. The CO2 adsorption and desorption isotherms were measured at 273 K and 298 K up to 1 bar. At 1 bar and 273 K, urea-CTF_400_5 and urea-CTF_500_5 showed 2.8 mmol/g and 3.1 mmol/g uptake respectively, which are moderate values in comparison to other CTFs (Figure S10, Table S2). The heat of liquefaction of bulk CO2 is 17 kJ/mol [38], and urea-CTF_500_5 shows an isosteric heat of adsorption.
of 48.57 kJ/mol (Figure S12 and Table S3), which is much higher. In addition, the $Q_{st}$ values of urea-CTFs are much higher than several reported CTFs and higher than activated carbon at low CO$_2$ pressure (17.8 kJ/mol). This confirms the strong dipolar interactions between CO$_2$ and the N-basic sites, as well as the H-bonding interactions between the urea functional group and the CO$_2$ molecules. The selectivity of CO$_2$ over N$_2$ and CH$_4$ are also important factors for CCS applications. CO$_2$/CH$_4$ and CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity were calculated using IAST (Table S4), and the best values, 20.3 and 69.6, were respectively obtained for urea-CTF$_{400\_5}$ at 273 K. Notably, the obtained CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity of urea-CTF$_{400\_5}$ is higher than several reported CTFs [14,39–41].

Table 1. Comparison of urea-CTFs with other materials for C$_2$H$_2$/CH$_4$ and CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivities and adsorption enthalpies.

| Material       | Temp. (K) | C$_2$H$_2$ Uptake (mmol/g) | C$_2$H$_2$/CH$_4$ Selectivity (273 K) | C$_2$H$_2$ Adsorption Enthalpy (kJ/mol) | CO$_2$ Uptake (mmol/g) | CO$_2$/CH$_4$ Selectivity (273 K) | CO$_2$ Adsorption Enthalpy | Ref.     |
|----------------|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| UTSA-50        | 296       | 3.80                        | 68                                   | 39.4                                   | 2.63                   | 5                                | 27.8            | [4]      |
| Zn$_4$(OH)$_2$(1,2,4-btc)$_2$ | 295 | 2.22                        | 14.7                                  | 28.2                                   | 1.72                   | 4.5                                | 20.2            | [5]      |
| ZJU-60a        | 296       | 6.33                        | -                                    | 17.6                                   | 2.99                   | 5–5.6                             | 15.2            | [6]      |
| Hexene-CTF$_{400\_1}$ | 298 | 2.28                        | 12.8                                  | 47                                     | 2.66                   | 8                                | 32              | [37]     |
| ZJU-61a        | 298       | 5.88                        | 115.3                                 | 23.98                                  | -                      | -                                | -               | [42]     |
| HOF-BTB        | 295       | 2.87                        | 7.8                                   | 24.3                                   | -                      | -                                | -               | [43]     |
| UTSA-36a       | 295       | 2.45 $^\Xi$                | 16.1                                  | 29.0                                   | -                      | -                                | -               | [44]     |
| Activated carbon | 303   | -                           | -                                    | -                                      | 3.45                   | 2.5 (303 K)                      | 24.2            | [45]     |
| Urea-CTF$_{400\_5}$ | 298 | 2.80                        | 20.25                                 | 35.51                                  | 1.8                    | 10.49                            | 30.05           | This work|
| Urea-CTF$_{500\_5}$ | 298 | 2.57                        | 18.96                                 | 27.78                                  | 1.5                    | 10.47                            | 48.57           | This work|

Note: $^\Xi$ Extrapolated from plot.

To verify the performance of the adsorbents in a mixed component system, breakthrough simulations were performed. Urea-CTF$_{400\_5}$ was selected for these simulations as it showed the best performance among the urea-CTFs in all gas separations. The affinity constants and maximal loadings at the corresponding temperatures were obtained from Langmuir adsorption isotherm fitting (ESI). With these values, the equilibrium data for a mixed-component system were simulated. The equilibrium plots for C$_2$H$_2$/CH$_4$, C$_2$H$_4$/CH$_4$, and CO$_2$/CH$_4$ components with (i) constant gas composition and variable pressure and (ii) constant pressure and variable gas composition are shown in Figures S18–S20. As expected, even in a 50:50 mixtures, uptake is higher for C$_2$H$_2$, C$_2$H$_4$, and CO$_2$ as compared to CH$_4$ due to the higher affinity constants. Further breakthrough simulations were performed with defined height, diameter of the column, gas-flow rate, and mass of the adsorbent at 25 $^\circ$C and 1 bar pressure. The breakthrough plots for C$_2$H$_2$/CH$_4$, C$_2$H$_4$/CH$_4$, and CO$_2$/CH$_4$ are shown in Figure 2c and Figures S21 and S22. The results show promising C$_2$/C$_1$ and CO$_2$/CH$_4$ separation using urea-CTF-5-400.

3. Conclusions

In conclusion, rigid and highly stable CTFs were synthesized using flexible urea-based linkers. These materials exhibit high surface areas with good C$_2$H$_2$, C$_2$H$_4$, and CO$_2$ adsorption properties. The calculated C$_2$H$_2$/CH$_4$, C$_2$H$_4$/CH$_4$, and CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity values demonstrate that these materials are promising for C$_2$/C$_1$ hydrocarbon separation, as well as for the separation of CO$_2$ in natural gas extraction.
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