Feasibility of pancreatectomy following high-dose proton therapy for unresectable pancreatic cancer
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AIM
To review surgical outcomes for patients undergoing pancreatectomy after proton therapy with concomitant capecitabine for initially unresectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma.

METHODS
From April 2010 to September 2013, 15 patients with initially unresectable pancreatic cancer were treated with...
Pancreatic resection for patients with initially unresectable cancers is feasible after high-dose [59.4 Gy (RBE)] proton radiotherapy with a high rate of local control, acceptable surgical morbidity, and a median survival of 24 mo.
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CONCLUSION
Pancreatic resection for patients with initially unresectable cancers is feasible after high-dose [59.4 Gy (RBE)] proton radiotherapy with a high rate of local control, acceptable surgical morbidity, and a median survival of 24 mo.

INTRODUCTION
Patients undergoing pancreatectomy for tumors which are believed to be resectable by preoperative imaging experience high rates of lymph node positivity, margin positivity and local/regional recurrence[1-6]. In spite of this, many surgeons are reluctant to recommend neoadjuvant radiotherapy which might have the potential to sterilize microscopic disease in the operative bed and reduce the incidence of these events. This reluctance is presumably due to concerns that even moderate dose radiotherapy in the range of 50.40 Gy might complicate what is already a lengthy and complicated operation.

The current series reviews the surgical outcomes for a group of patients with initially unresectable disease who, after high-dose proton radiotherapy [59.40 Gy (RBE)] and chemotherapy (oral capecitabine, 1000 mg, twice a day), achieved enough of a radiographic response to justify surgical exploration. The favorable physical characteristics of proton radiotherapy are demonstrated in Figures 1 and 2. Specific attention is paid to the surgical metrics of: Duration of surgery; estimated blood loss; and hospital length of stay which are compared to benchmark studies in the surgical literature.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This is a retrospective single-institution study of patients enrolled on either the University of Florida Health Proton Therapy Institute PC-01 trial for patients with unresectable disease or the University of Florida Health Proton Therapy Institute outcomes-tracking study. The statistical methods of this study were reviewed by Christopher G Morris from the Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Florida College of Medicine.

From April 20, 2010 to September 30, 2013, 15 patients with initially unresectable pancreatic cancer were treated with full-dose proton therapy with concomitant capecitabine at 1000 mg taken orally twice a day. All patients received 59.40 Gy (RBE) to the gross disease, and 1 patient also received 50.40 Gy (RBE) to the high-risk nodal targets. There were no treatment interruptions or chemotherapy dose reductions. Patient details can be found in Table 1.

The technical details for the delivery of proton radiotherapy have been described previously[7,8]. In summary, optimized 2- or 3-field 3-dimensional conformal passive-scatter proton plans were created in which 95% of the...
planning target volumes received 100% of the prescribed dose, and 100% of the planning target volumes received at least 95% of the prescribed dose. Normal-tissue constraints included the following: Spinal cord, < 46 Gy; right kidney, V18 < 70%; left kidney, V18 < 30%; liver, V30 < 60%; and small bowel (including duodenum) and stomach, V20 < 50%, V45 < 15%, V50 < 10%, and V54 < 5%. These target coverage goals and normal-tissue limits were met for all patients with minor patient-specific adjustments. A typical proton therapy plan is shown in Figure 3.

To document surgical outcomes, we used treatment records to verify the type and extent of resection, procedure duration, blood volume lost during the procedure, length of hospital stay, number of days spent in intensive care, readmission for surgical complications, pathologic assessment of the surgical specimens, local disease control, distant disease control, and overall survival.

RESULTS

Six patients achieved a radiographic response sufficient to justify surgical exploration. Of these, 1 patient was identified as having intraperitoneal dissemination at the time of surgery and the planned pancreatectomy was aborted. Five patients underwent resection. Procedures included laparoscopic standard pancreaticoduodenectomy (n = 3), open pyloris-sparing pancreaticoduodenectomy (n = 1), and open distal pancreatectomy with irreversible electroporation of a pancreatic head mass (n = 1). Median age was 60 years (range, 51-67). These patients had been initially designated as having unresectable disease based on superior mesenteric artery and celiac artery encasement (n = 2), inferior vena cava encasement with invasion of the posterior abdominal wall (n = 1), biopsy-positive regional nodal metastasis (n = 1), or mesenteric root involvement with abutment of the celiac and hepatic arteries (n = 1).

Two patients underwent gross total (R0) resections and subsequent pathology showed minimal residual disease. Two patients had gross subtotal (R2) resections. One patient, who after negative pancreatic head biopsies underwent distal pancreatectomy and irreversible
electroporation of the pancreatic head mass, had no identifiable malignancy in the surgical specimen. In none of the 5 cases did the surgeon document any complaint regarding the texture of tissue around the resection, exceptional bleeding, difficulty with closure, postoperative wound complications, or any other issue that could be attributed to the irradiated state of the tumor and surrounding tissues.

The median duration of the surgical procedures was 419 min (range, 290-484 min). Estimated blood loss (EBL) ranged from 300 to 2000 cm$^3$ with a median of 850 cm$^3$. The median intensive-care stay for these patients was one day (range, 0-2) and median hospital stay (LOS) was 10 d (range, 5-14). Three patients were readmitted to a hospital within 30 d after discharge: The first was a patient discharged on postoperative day 5 who was then readmitted for wound infection on day 9. The second was discharged on postoperative day 11 who was then readmitted the next day with the primary complaint of delayed gastric emptying. The third was a readmission on postoperative day 19 for ischemic gastritis following discharge on postoperative day 10.

Only 1 patient demonstrated ultimate local progression at the primary site, which occurred 7 mo after surgery in 1 of the patients who underwent an R0 resection. The median survival for the 5 resected patients was 24 mo (range, 10-30); the 4 patients with locally controlled disease ultimately developed distant metastases.

**DISCUSSION**

The above surgical metrics for patients with initially unresectable disease who received dose escalated radiotherapy to 59.4 Gy (RBE) compare favorably to those observed in four published studies that, for the most part, involved surgery for resectable patients who had not received neoadjuvant radiotherapy (Table 2): (1) Tseng et al$^{[9]}$ published a series analyzing 650 procedures performed by experienced surgeons at the MD Anderson Cancer Center (Houston, TX). The mean operative time was 513 min. The mean EBL was 725 cc and the average LOS was 13 d. The authors acknowledge that some patients underwent preoperative radiation therapy or chemotherapy but these numbers were not reported; (2) Speicher et al$^{[10]}$ reported an average procedure length of 431 min in a series of 140 pancreaticoduodenectomies performed by experienced surgeons in which 40% were performed laparoscopically. Patients experienced a mean
Table 2  Surgical metrics for pancreatectomy - A comparison of the published studies

| Published study | Operating room time (min) | Estimated blood loss (cc) | Length of hospital stay (d) |
|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Tseng[10]        | 513                      | 725                      | 13                        |
| Speicher[11] open| NA                       | 500                      | NA                        |
| Speicher[11] laparoscopic | NA                  | 200                      | NA                        |
| Speicher[11] total | 431                     | NA                       | 10                        |
| Asbun[12] open | 401                      | 1032                     | 12.4                      |
| Asbun[12] laparoscopic | 541                 | 195                      | 8                         |
| Florida Agency for Healthcare Administration | NA                          | NA                      | 11                        |
| Current series | 419                      | 850                      | 10                        |

NA: Not applicable.

EBL of 200 mL when a laparoscopic approach was used, and 500 mL with hybrid or open procedures. The mean LOS was 10 d with a 37% readmission rate. There is no mention of neoadjuvant therapy in these cases; (3) Asbun and Stauffer[11] at the Mayo Clinic reported similar metrics. For 215 open and 53 laparoscopic pancreatectoduodenectomies, the EBL averaged 1032 cm³ and 195 cm³, mean LOS was 12.4 d and 8 d, and average operating room time was 401 and 541 min, respectively. The authors did not record whether these patients had been irradiated before surgery; and (4) The Florida Agency for Healthcare Administration database[12] reported the statewide median length of stay following pancreatectomy in the years from 2010 to 2012 to be 11 d (mean ± SD, 14 ± 11.5).

It is an accepted precept of oncology that patients with solid tumors cannot be cured if local and regional tumor control cannot be achieved. For patients with nonmetastatic pancreatic cancer; it is also generally accepted that local control cannot be achieved without extirpative surgery. As such, surgery represents a necessary condition for cure. Nevertheless, because surgery alone is associated with a high local and regional failure rate, it is rarely a sufficient condition for cure. Patients undergoing pancreatectoduodenectomy with negative lymph nodes and negative surgical margins will experience a 50%-80% chance of local-regional tumor recurrence if adjuvant therapies are not offered[13,14]. Even when postoperative chemotheraphy and radiotherapy are delivered, the local-regional failure rates range from 28% in the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group 97-04 trial[15] to 36% in the Massachusetts General Hospital (Boston, MA) experience[16]. Although its methodological and statistical flaws have been well-described[13], the results of the European Study Group for Pancreatic Cancer-1 trial suggest that postoperative X-ray-based radiation therapy not only fails to improve patient survival but may be associated with a nominal survival decrement, presumably due to radiation therapy toxicity[14,15].

The failure of postoperative radiation therapy to even reliably sterilize microscopic disease in the postoperative setting might be explained in two ways: First, to allow for postoperative recovery after pancreatectoduodenectomy, upper abdominal radiation therapy cannot be delivered until 10 or 12 wk have elapsed. This time interval potentially allows for the progression of malignant cells in a hypoxic tumor bed. Second, because a large volume of small bowel is transposed into the postoperative radiation therapy field, it is generally not possible to deliver X-ray doses over 50 Gy, which may be inadequate to eradicate even microscopic disease growing in such a hypoxic environment.

While it is recognized that patients undergoing pancreatic resection have a high local-regional failure rate—even in the setting of negative surgical margins and negative lymph nodes—contemporary data from two high-volume institutions suggest that margin-negative, lymph node-negative pancreatectomies are relatively uncommon. The series published by investigators at Johns Hopkins University (Baltimore, MD) on 905 patients undergoing pancreatectoduodenectomy between 1995 and 2005 indicated a 41% margin-positivity rate and a 79% node-positivity rate[15]. The series from investigators at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center (New York, NY) on 625 resections conducted between 2000 and 2009 indicated a 16% margin-positivity rate and a 70% node-positivity rate[16]. Based on these data, as well as the low likelihood of reliably sterilizing microscopic disease in the postoperative tumor bed with radiotherapy, it is likely that even "resectable" patients could benefit from preoperative radiation therapy, perhaps with fields that could cover regional lymph nodes. With the current series showing no increase in surgical morbidity after high dose proton radiotherapy, it is arguable that protons allow for the safe delivery of this oncologically rational intervention.

The surgical duration, EBL, and LOS for pancreatectomy following high-dose [59.40 Gy (RBE)] proton radiotherapy for patients with initially unresectable disease in this series are comparable to those observed in studies that, for the most part, involved surgery for resectable patients who had not received neoadjuvant radiotherapy. These data strongly suggest that standard dose [50.40 Gy (RBE)] neoadjuvant proton radiotherapy should not increase the difficulty of pancreatectomy in patients with resectable disease.

COMMENTS

Background
Nearly every patient cured of adenocarcinoma of the pancreas has had complete surgical resection of the tumor. Because this malignancy is initially
asymptomatic, tumors are often very locally advanced at diagnosis and may not be resectable without removing vital tissues such as the major abdominal arteries. For many years chemotherpay and photon radiotherapy have been used to shrink advanced tumors in an attempt to make them resectable. Proton therapy has not previously been used for this purpose but is promising because it can be carefully shaped to spare the normal tissues of the abdomen such as the stomach, duodenum, spinal cord, and kidneys from radiation. This new treatment option will only be acceptable if it does not increase the rate of complications at the time of resection of the tumor.

Research frontiers
Proton radiotherapy has been used in the treatment of cancer for many decades but has only recently become widely available. Much meticulous research must be done to show whether proton treatment offers advantages over standard treatments for each type of cancer. The first step in each line of inquiry is to demonstrate that proton radiotherapy is safe, and then efficacy can be addressed.

Innovations and breakthroughs
In the current work the authors have shown for the first time that proton radiotherapy given prior to attempted resection of initially unresectable pancreas cancers does not result in increased rates of surgical complications.

Applications
In the large fraction of patients with pancreatic cancer who have an unresectable tumor at the time of diagnosis, proton radiotherapy offers one safe option for neoadjuvant treatment intended to downstage the tumor and make surgical resection possible.

Terminology
One patient in this study was treated with irreversible electroporation. This is an emerging technology in which the surgeon disrupts the integrity of tumor cell membranes using a high voltage, high frequency electrical field, leading to eventual cell death.

Peer-review
This paper is very interesting and suitable for publication in this journal.
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