VE-PTP stabilizes VE-cadherin junctions and the endothelial barrier via a phosphatase-independent mechanism
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Vascular endothelial (VE) protein tyrosine phosphatase (PTP) is an endothelial-specific phosphatase that stabilizes VE-cadherin junctions. Although studies have focused on the role of VE-PTP in dephosphorylating VE-cadherin in the activated endothelium, little is known of VE-PTP’s role in the quiescent endothelial monolayer. Here, we used the photoconvertible fluorescent protein VE-cadherin-Dendra2 to monitor VE-cadherin dynamics at adherens junctions (AJs) in confluent endothelial monolayers. We discovered that VE-PTP stabilizes VE-cadherin junctions by reducing the rate of VE-cadherin internalization independently of its phosphatase activity. VE-PTP serves as an adaptor protein that through binding and inhibiting the RhoGEF GEF-H1 modulates RhoA activity and tension across VE-cadherin junctions. Overexpression of the VE-PTP cytosolic domain mutant interacting with GEF-H1 in VE-PTP–depleted endothelial cells reduced GEF-H1 activity and restored VE-cadherin dynamics at AJs. Thus, VE-PTP stabilizes VE-cadherin junctions and restricts endothelial permeability by inhibiting GEF-H1, thereby limiting RhoA signaling at AJs and reducing the VE-cadherin internalization rate.

Introduction

Blood vessels are lined with an endothelial cell (EC) monolayer that forms a semipermeable barrier between the blood and surrounding interstitium (Pappenheimer et al., 1951; Del Vecchio et al., 1987). ECs in the continuous endothelium are connected by interendothelial junctions responsible for regulating transendothelial protein flux and leukocyte trafficking (Sifflinger-Birnboim et al., 1987; Fujita et al., 1991; Feng et al., 1998). The interendothelial junctions thereby contribute to maintaining tissue-fluid homeostasis and innate immunity (Broermann et al., 2011; Vestweber, 2012; Zhao et al., 2017; Yeh et al., 2018). Disruption of these junctions leads to increased endothelial permeability as seen in inflammatory states, resulting in increased flux of plasma protein into tissue, edema formation, and trafficking of inflammatory cells (Mamdouh et al., 2009; Lee and Slutsky, 2010; Broermann et al., 2011).

Adherens junctions (AJs) and tight junctions (TJs) are multiprotein complexes formed between ECs responsible for regulating the paracellular permeability pathway (Corada et al., 2002; Heupel et al., 2009; Yuan and Rigor, 2010; Schulte et al., 2011). TJs in most vascular beds (except the brain and retina) are less developed than AJs and are not the primary determinants of endothelial barrier function (Komarova et al., 2017). AJs are composed of the adhesive protein vascular endothelial (VE)-cadherin, which forms a complex with catenin proteins, p120 catenin, and β-catenin (Breviario et al., 1995; Lampugnani et al., 1995; Navarro et al., 1995). VE-cadherin adhesion is regulated by phosphorylation and dephosphorylation on tyrosine residues (Lampugnani et al., 1997; Esser et al., 1998; Nawroth et al., 2002). Phosphorylation of VE-cadherin tyrosine residues 658, 685, and 731 causes dissociation of p120-catenin and β-catenin from VE-cadherin, leading to increased VE-cadherin internalization and weakening of the endothelial barrier (Baumeister et al., 2005; Potter et al., 2005; Xiao et al., 2005; Chiasson et al., 2009).

VE–protein tyrosine phosphatase (PTP) is a key EC-specific tyrosine phosphatase binding VE-cadherin through its membrane proximal fibronectin-like domain (FLD) at aa 1,449–1,619 (Nawroth et al., 2002). VE-PTP stabilizes endothelial barrier by supporting homotypic VE-cadherin adhesion that contributes to keeping basal endothelial permeability low (Nottebaum et al., 2008; Broermann et al., 2011; Vockel and Vestweber, 2013; Wessel et al., 2014). Knockdown of VE-PTP increases endothelial permeability and leukocyte extravasation (Nottebaum et al.,...
2008), whereas preventing VE-PTP and VE-cadherin dissociation inhibits these events (Broermann et al., 2011). VE-PTP also counterbalances the effects of permeability-increasing mediators such as VEGF, which increase endothelial permeability and leukocyte trafficking, by dephosphorylating VE-cadherin at Tyr658 and Tyr685, leading to stabilization of VE-cadherin junctions (Wallez et al., 2007; Nottebaum et al., 2008; Orsenigo et al., 2012).

While studies have focused on the relationship of VE-PTP and VE-cadherin in activated ECs (Nottebaum et al., 2008; Broermann et al., 2011; Vockel and Vestweber, 2013), little is known about the role of VE-PTP in the resting endothelial barrier. Although VE-PTP depletion in the endothelium increases endothelial permeability, it has minimal effect on VE-cadherin phosphorylation (Nottebaum et al., 2008). We therefore addressed the possibility that VE-PTP regulates basal endothelial permeability independently of its enzymatic activity. Here, we report a novel adaptor function of VE-PTP required to stabilize VE-cadherin junctions and restrict basal endothelial permeability.

Results
VE-PTP reduces VE-cadherin internalization in the quiescent endothelium

We observed increased endothelial permeability (Fig. 1, A and B) in response to siRNA-mediated knockdown of VE-PTP in ECs (Fig. S1, A and B), consistent with VE-PTP’s known key role in stabilizing VE-cadherin junctions and restricting basal endothelial permeability (Nottebaum et al., 2008). Since the rate of VE-cadherin internalization regulates junctional permeability (Gavard and Gutkind, 2006; Hou et al., 2011; Vandenbroucke St Amant et al., 2012; Gong et al., 2014), we addressed the role of VE-PTP in regulating VE-cadherin dynamics in quiescent endothelial monolayers. Here, we used the irreversible, photoconvertible fluorescent protein Dendra2 attached to the C terminus of VE-cadherin (VE-cad-Dendra2; Chudakov et al., 2007; Daneshjou et al., 2015). We monitored VE-cadherin dynamics after irradiating the expressed Dendra2 using a laser to induce an emission shift from 488 nm to 543 nm, specifically within the irradiation zone (Chudakov et al., 2007). We observed that VE-PTP depletion increased VE-cadherin internalization rate as compared with cells treated with non-targeting (NT) siRNA (Fig. 1, C–E). Importantly, this occurred without a change in the VE-cadherin recruitment rate to Ajs (Fig. S1 C). Along with the faster VE-cadherin internalization rate and increased permeability in VE-PTP-depleted cells, we also observed reduced VE-cadherin junctional area (Fig. S1, D and E). VE-cadherin protein expression, however, did not change (Fig. S1, F and G). Thus, these results showed that VE-PTP modulates the VE-cadherin internalization rate in the quiescent endothelium and thereby stabilizes VE-cadherin junctions and reduces endothelial permeability.

The VE-PTP extracellular domain consists of 17 FLDs, of which the most plasmalemmal proximal, the 17th FLD, interacts with VE-cadherin extracellular domain 5 (Nawroth et al., 2002). We used deletion mutants lacking 16 (Δ16FN) or all FLDs (ΔN) in addition to full-length (WT) VE-PTP (Figs. 1 F and S1 H) to identify the VE-PTP extracellular domain regulating VE-cadherin internalization. Overexpression of WT or Δ16FN VE-PTP markedly reduced the VE-cadherin internalization rate as compared with overexpressing control fluorescent tag alone (Fig. 1, G–I), whereas overexpression of ΔN mutant, which accumulated poorly at AJs, had no effect on VE-cadherin dynamics (Fig. 1, G–I). Overexpression of WT VE-PTP also had no effect on the VE-cadherin recruitment rate (Fig. S1 C). These data thus demonstrated that the 17th FLD interacting with VE-cadherin was essential for stabilizing AJs by preventing VE-cadherin internalization.

As VE-PTP is known to promote the adhesion of CHO cells to VE-cadherin–coated surfaces (Nawroth et al., 2002), we addressed the possibility that VE-PTP regulates the binding affinity of VE-cadherin trans-interaction and thereby controls VE-cadherin junction stability. Studies were made using the dual micropipette system in which VE-cadherin trans-interactions were measured between RBCs expressing the human VE-cadherin–Fc fragment and mouse lung endothelial cells (MLECs) isolated from either VE-PTPWT/WT (WT) or VE-PTPΔ/Δ (knockout [KO]) mice (Quaggin, 2017;Souma et al., 2018; Fig. S1 I). VE-PTP KO had no significant effect on the binding affinity (kA) or off-rate (koff) of VE-cadherin trans-interactions (Fig. S1, J and K). Thus, although interaction between VE-PTP and VE-cadherin was required for stabilizing AJs, VE-PTP did not allosterically regulate VE-cadherin trans-interactions, ruling out this mechanism in mediating the stability of AJs.

VE-PTP mediates the stability of VE-cadherin junctions independently of phosphatase activity

Because studies have demonstrated that VE-PTP knockdown or inhibition of phosphatase activity did not induce VE-cadherin phosphorylation in resting endothelial monolayers (Nottebaum et al., 2008; Gurnik et al., 2016), we determined whether the VE-PTP phosphatase activity per se is required for VE-PTP–dependent stabilization of VE-cadherin junctions. Thus, we used a VE-PTP phosphatase inactive (PI) mutant containing a D/A (aspartic acid to alanine) point mutation at a 1,871 (Fig. 2 A). We observed that VE-PTP PI overexpression reduced the VE-cadherin internalization rate to levels seen in WT VE-PTP–expressing cells (Fig. 2, B–D), indicating that VE-PTP catalytic activity in the quiescent endothelium did not regulate VE-PTP–dependent VE-cadherin internalization. Similarly, the VE-PTP phosphatase inhibitor AKB-9785 (Shen et al., 2014; Gurnik et al., 2016) had no effect on either VE-cadherin phosphorylation or internalization rates (Fig. S2, A–H) consistent with VE-PTP–mediated stabilization of VE-cadherin junctions occurring independently of VE-PTP phosphatase activity. To determine whether the cytosolic domain of VE-PTP was required for stabilizing VE-cadherin, we overexpressed a VE-PTP deletion mutant lacking the cytosolic domain (ΔC; Fig. 2 A and Fig. S1 H). We observed that overexpression of ΔC VE-PTP mutant, in contrast to full-length VE-PTP, did not reduce the VE-cadherin internalization rate (Fig. 2, B–D). These studies thus showed that the cytosolic domain of VE-PTP functioning independently of phosphatase activity was essential for VE-cadherin junction stabilization.
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The observed effects of VE-PTP mutants on VE-cadherin internalization corresponded to their effects on endothelial permeability (Fig. S2 I). Overexpression of VE-PTP mutants stabilizing VE-cadherin junctions also reduced endothelial permeability as compared with mCyan control (Fig. S2 I). Stabilization of VE-cadherin junctions occurred without any apparent changes in VE-cadherin phosphorylation (Fig. S2, J–L), reinforcing our findings that stabilization of VE-cadherin junctions did not require VE-PTP phosphatase activity.

VE-PTP interacts with GEF-H1

We next addressed the possibility that VE-PTP controls VE-cadherin internalization by regulating RhoGTPase signaling, an essential VE-cadherin junction–modulating pathway (Daneshjou et al., 2015). To identify the RhoGTPase signaling pathway regulated by VE-PTP, we performed mass spectrometry of the immunoprecipitated VE-PTP protein complex from EC lysates. We identified ARHGEF2 (also known as GEF-H1) as the primary RhoGEF-binding VE-PTP (Fig. S3, A and B). Reverse immunoprecipitation studies with anti-GFP antibody confirmed that ARHGEF2 interacts with VE-PTP (Fig. S4, A and B).

Figure 1. VE-PTP stabilizes the endothelial barrier by decreasing the VE-cadherin internalization rate. (A) Permeability of HPAEC monolayers to FITC-conjugated albumin tracer after treatment with NT (control) siRNA or VE-PTP siRNA; mean ± SEM, n = 3–4 independent experiments; *, P < 0.05, unpaired t test. (B) Endothelial permeability rate constants of 0.48 ± 0.06 min⁻¹ and 0.88 ± 0.05 min⁻¹ in cells from A treated with NT siRNA or VE-PTP siRNA, respectively; mean ± SEM; n = 3–4; **, P < 0.001, unpaired t test. (C) Time-lapse images of VE-cad-Dendra2 emitting green fluorescence before photoconversion and red fluorescence after photoconversion within a selected region (indicated by circle) in HPAECs treated with NT siRNA or VE-PTP siRNA. Scale bars, 5 µm. (D) VE-cadherin internalization rate (decay in red fluorescence within photoconversion zone in C) in NT siRNA and VE-PTP siRNA-treated HPAECs; mean ± SEM; n = 9–12 junctions from four independent experiments. (E) Internalization rate constants of 0.15 ± 0.01 min⁻¹ and 0.23 ± 0.01 min⁻¹ from data in D in cells treated with NT siRNA or VE-PTP siRNA, respectively; mean ± SEM; n = 9–12 junctions from four independent experiments; ***, P < 0.0001, unpaired t test. (F) Schematic representation of VE-PTP mutants used in G–I; mCyan (control), full-length (WT) VE-PTP, Δ16FN VE-PTP mutant (lacking FN1-16 but capable of binding to VE-cadherin via intact 17th FN domain), or ΔN VE-PTP mutant (lacking entire extracellular VE-PTP domain). (G) Time-lapse images of VE-cad-Dendra2 in HPAECs overexpressing constructs in F. Scale bar, 5 µm. (H) VE-cadherin internalization rates from AJs in HPAECs transfected with constructs in F; mean ± SEM; n = 7–12 junctions from four independent experiments. (I) Internalization rate constants from data in H in cells overexpressing mCyan (0.16 ± 0.012 min⁻¹), WT VE-PTP (0.09 ± 0.01 min⁻¹), Δ16FN (0.10 ± 0.01 min⁻¹), or ΔN (0.16 ± 0.01 min⁻¹); mean ± SEM; n = 7–12 junctions from four independent experiments; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.001, one-way ANOVA.
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VE-PTP and vice versa confirmed this interaction (Fig. 3 A). To determine GEF-H1 domains interacting with VE-PTP, we performed binding experiments using bacteria purified GST-tagged GEF-H1 deletion mutants and cytosolic domain of His-tagged VE-PTP (Fig. 3, B–D). The cytoplasmic domain of VE-PTP (aa 1,651–1,998) was shown to interact with the C-terminal domain of GEF-H1 (aa 572–985; Fig. 3 C). Further deletions of GEF-H1 C terminus showed that the most proximal domain (aa 925–985) was primarily responsible for VE-PTP interactions (Fig. 3 D).

Figure 2. VE-PTP phosphatase activity is not required for stabilization of VE-cadherin junctions in the quiescent endothelium. (A) Schematic representation of VE-PTP mutants overexpressed in HPAECs; mCyan, WT VE-PTP, VE-PTP PI, and VE-PTP ΔC (lacking cytoplasmic domain) mutants. (B) Time-lapse images of VE-cad-Dendra2 in HPAECs overexpressing constructs in A. Scale bars, 5 µm. (C) VE-cadherin internalization from AJs in HPAECs overexpressing constructs in A; mean ± SEM; n = 8–11 junctions from three to four independent experiments. (D) Internalization rate constants calculated from C in cells overexpressing mCyan (0.17 ± 0.01 min⁻¹), WT (0.11 ± 0.01 min⁻¹), VE-PTP PI (0.11 ± 0.01 min⁻¹), and ΔC VE-PTP (0.18 ± 0.02 min⁻¹); mean ± SEM; n = 8–11 from three to four independent experiments; *, P < 0.05, one-way ANOVA.

Figure 3. VE-PTP interacts with C terminus of GEF-H1. (A) Reverse immunoprecipitation (IP) of endogenous VE-PTP or GEF-H1 proteins from HPAEC lysates. Blots were probed for GEF-H1 and VE-PTP. (B) Schematic representation of indicated His-tagged C terminus of VE-PTP and GST-tagged GEF-H1 deletion mutants. (C and D) Domain interaction of GEF-H1 tested in pull-down experiments. Gel electrophoresis stained with Coomassie blue of bacteria purified proteins indicated in B (left). Direct interactions between cytosolic domain of His-VE-PTP (aa 1,651–1,998) and various GEF-H1 deletion mutants (right) detected by Western blot analysis.
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VE-PTP inhibits GEF-H1 binding to RhoA and reduces RhoA activity at AJs

GEF-H1 is a guanine nucleotide exchange factor promoting the exchange of RhoA GDP to GTP and inducing RhoA activation (Ren et al., 1998; Krendel et al., 2002; Nalbant et al., 2009). We surmised that GEF-H1 binding to VE-PTP might regulate GEF activity and RhoA signaling at AJs to control VE-cadherin junction stability. Using nucleotide-free RhoA (G17A), the form of RhoA exhibiting the highest binding to active RhoGEFs, we determined the amount of nucleotide-free RhoA-bound GEF-H1 in ECs treated with control siRNA, GEF-H1 siRNA, or VE-PTP siRNA. VE-PTP depletion markedly increased GEF-H1 binding to nucleotide-free RhoA, indicating increased GEF-H1 activity, whereas GEF-H1 depletion reduced GEF-H1 activity as compared with control cells (Fig. 4, A and B). Furthermore, GEF-H1 tyrosine phosphorylation was undetectable in cells treated with either control or VE-PTP siRNA (data not shown), indicating that VE-PTP did not function by dephosphorylating GEF-H1. Thus, the interaction between VE-PTP and GEF-H1 reduced GEF-H1 binding to RhoA and thereby blocked GEF-H1 function.

Depletion of VE-PTP also significantly decreased GEF-H1 accumulation at AJs (Fig. 4, C and D) that occurred without a change in GEF-H1 protein expression (Fig. S3, C and D). We also observed that overexpressing WT VE-PTP restored GEF-H1 accumulation at AJs in VE-PTP–depleted endothelial monolayers (Fig. S3, E and F), but this was not seen in ECs overexpressing the VE-PTP ΔC mutant lacking the GEF-H1 interacting domain (Fig. S3, E and F). Thus, the VE-PTP’s cytosolic domain was essential for VE-PTP–dependent accumulation of GEF-H1 at AJs.

Since GEF-H1 can also be inhibited through binding to the TJ protein cingulin and microtubules (Ren et al., 1998; Aijaz et al., 2005; Tsai et al., 2008; Schossliechner et al., 2016), we addressed the possibility that depletion of VE-PTP could influence intracellular distribution of cingulin or cause reorganization of the microtubule cytoskeleton. However, depletion of VE-PTP had no effect on the expression or distribution of cingulin in ECs (Fig. S3, G–I), suggesting that cingulin was not responsible for inhibiting GEF-H1. Depletion of VE-PTP, however, reorganized cortical F-actin into stress fibers accompanied by reduced F-actin area (Fig. S3, K and L). Loss of cortical actin was likely a result of destabilization of VE-cadherin junctions (Komarova et al., 2012). VE-PTP depletion was also accompanied by reorganization of microtubules, which became aligned with F-actin fibers (Fig. S3 K); however, this occurred without a change in the microtubule area (Fig. S3 M), suggesting that reorganization of the microtubule cytoskeleton is unlikely to be responsible for GEF-H1 activation in VE-PTP–depleted cells.

We next investigated the role of VE-PTP in regulating RhoA and Rac1 activities at AJs using Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET)–based biosensors for RhoA (Pertz et al., 2006) and Rac1 (MacNevin et al., 2016). Knockdown of VE-PTP increased RhoA activity at AJs without altering cytosolic RhoA activity (Fig. 4, E–G). This change in RhoA activity seen in VE-PTP–depleted cells was not accompanied by a change in Rac1 activity (Fig. S4, A and B), showing that VE-PTP is solely responsible for RhoA signaling at AJs. Overexpression of WT VE-PTP and the C terminus domain of VE-PTP (aa 1,651–1,998), which both bind GEF-H1, in ECs depleted of VE-PTP significantly reduced RhoA activity at AJs (Fig. S4, C and D). However, the VE-PTP ΔC mutant lacking the GEF-H1 interacting domain failed to reduce RhoA activity at AJs (Fig. S4, C and D). Furthermore, knockdown of GEF-H1 decreased RhoA activity at AJs in both control and VE-PTP–depleted ECs (Fig. 4, E and F; and Fig. S4, E–I). Although some reduction in cytosolic RhoA activity was seen in GEF-H1–depleted cells, the change was not significant (Fig. 4 G). These results show that VE-PTP inhibits GEF-H1 activation and reduces RhoA signaling at the level of AJs in the quiescent endothelium.

We also observed that overexpression of WT VE-PTP reduced GEF-H1 binding to RhoA (Fig. 4, H and I) that was accompanied by reduced RhoA activity at AJs as compared with control ECs expressing fluorescent tag (Fig. 4, J and K). In addition, overexpression of VE-PTP PI mutant reduced RhoA activity at AJs (Fig. 4, J and K), consistent with the central observation that VE-PTP phosphatase activity did not regulate VE-cadherin dynamics at AJs (Fig. 2). Consistent with the proposed role of VE-PTP in modulating RhoA signaling, overexpression of the VE-PTP PI mutant had no effect on Rac1 activity at AJs (Fig. S4, J and K). Together, these data demonstrate that VE-PTP reduced RhoA activity at AJs without altering Rac1 activity and thereby stabilized VE-cadherin junctions.

VE-PTP relieves tension across VE-cadherin junctions

Since RhoA activation increases tension applied across VE-cadherin junctions (Daneshjou et al., 2015), we next addressed whether VE-PTP–dependent inhibition of GEF-H1 activity reduces actomyosin-mediated tension to enhance endothelial barrier function. To quantify tension changes at AJs, we used the VE-cadherin FRET-based tension biosensor (Conway et al., 2015). VE-PTP knockdown increased the tension applied at AJs as compared with endothelial monolayers treated with control siRNA (Fig. 5, A and B). Consistent with the role of VE-PTP in reducing GEF-H1 binding to RhoA, we observed that knockdown of GEF-H1 reduced tension across VE-cadherin junctions in both control and VE-PTP–depleted ECs (Fig. 5, A and B). Further, we observed that overexpression of WT VE-PTP or VE-PTP PI also reduced the tension applied to VE-cadherin in quiescent monolayers (Fig. 5, C and D), consistent with the inability of VE-PTP phosphatase activity in regulating RhoA activity at AJs (Fig. 4, H and I).

Interestingly, overexpression of WT VE-PTP, but not VE-PTP PI mutant, significantly reduced tension across VE-cadherin junctions in ECs challenged with α-thrombin (Fig. S4, L and M), known to activate RhoA signaling (van Nieuw Amerongen et al., 2000). Although WT and PI mutants were similarly expressed and accumulated at AJs (Fig. S4, N and O), overexpression of PI mutant did not reduce tension applied to AJs as in the case of WT VE-PTP in endothelial monolayers challenged with α-thrombin (Fig. S4, L and M). These data support the distinct functions of VE-PTP in the quiescent endothelium as opposed to endothelium activated with a proinflammatory stimulus, α-thrombin.

To validate the tension change measurements occurring at AJs by the biosensor described above, we also alternatively...
determined the role of VE-PTP in modulating tension across AJs using the micropillar array assay (Yang et al., 2011). This measurement is similar to that obtained with the VE-cadherin biosensor described above (see Materials and methods), the primary difference being that it allows determination of the force applied to AJs in absolute units. We observed that VE-PTP−/− MLECs exhibited increased stress at AJs as compared with VE-PTP+/+ MLECs (Fig. S4, P–S), confirming the results above with the VE-cadherin tension biosensor. Furthermore, analysis of traction forces using the bead displacement assay also demonstrated that VE-PTP deletion had no effect on tension development in single ECs (Fig. S4 T), consistent with the tension-reducing function of VE-PTP being confined only to VE-cadherin junctions as described above.
GEF-H1 knockdown or overexpression of VE-PTP cytosolic domain restores VE-cadherin internalization rates in VE-PTP–deficient ECs

We next compared the role of GEF-H1 (ARHGEF2) in mediating stabilization of VE-cadherin junctions by measuring VE-cadherin internalization rates in endothelial monolayers depleted of GEF-H1 (Fig. 6, A–C) as well as monolayers depleted of other related RhoGEFs (Fig. S5, A–E). Knockdown of GEF-H1 significantly reduced the VE-cadherin internalization rate as compared with cells treated with control siRNA (Fig. 6, A–C). In contrast, knockdown of ARHGEF1 (p115RhoGEF), ARHGEF18 (p114RhoGEF), or ARHGEF28 (p190RhoGEF), also known to regulate RhoA activity (Gebbink et al., 1997; Hart et al., 1998; Kozasa et al., 1998; Holinstat et al., 2003; Niu et al., 2003; Abiko et al., 2015; Tornavaca et al., 2015), did not show reduced VE-cadherin internalization rates as compared with GEF-H1 (ARHGEF2; Fig. S5, A–E). Furthermore, GEF-H1 depletion reduced both the VE-cadherin internalization rate and permeability values in ECs depleted of VE-PTP (Fig. 6, A–E).

To investigate the role of VE-PTP interactions with GEF-H1 in regulating VE-cadherin dynamics at AJs, we determined the effects of overexpressing the VE-PTP cytosolic domain (C domain) in endothelial monolayers depleted of VE-PTP (Fig. 7, A). We found that overexpression of the VE-PTP C domain significantly reduced GEF-H1 binding to RhoA in endothelial monolayers depleted of VE-PTP (Fig. 7, B and C). Furthermore, overexpression of the VE-PTP C domain reduced the VE-cadherin internalization rate and endothelial permeability to albumin in VE-PTP–depleted ECs (Fig. 7, D–H), indicating a causal link between VE-PTP and GEF-H1 in regulating both VE-cadherin junction stability and endothelial barrier permeability.

To establish the relationship between RhoA signaling and VE-cadherin dynamics at AJs, we also pharmacologically activated the RhoA pathway.
Rho signaling or inhibited Rho-associated protein kinase (ROCK). Activation of Rho with CN-01 increased VE-cadherin internalization rate, whereas inhibition of ROCK with Rockout decreased VE-cadherin internalization rate in quiescent confluent monolayers (Fig. S5, F and G). These findings support the model in which VE-PTP stabilizes VE-cadherin junctions and reduces endothelial permeability by inhibiting GEF-H1, RhoA activity, and tension at junctions and thereby decreases the rate of VE-cadherin internalization.

Discussion

We demonstrate that VE-PTP, the receptor-like, transmembrane protein tyrosine phosphatase (Fachinger et al., 1999; Alonso et al., 2004), serves a scaffold function at AJs of ECs. VE-PTP binds and inhibits the guanine nucleotide exchange factor GEF-H1 (Ren et al., 1998; Krendel et al., 2002), and VE-PTP, through this mechanism, reduces the rate of VE-cadherin internalization. This scaffold function of VE-PTP requires its cytosolic domain, but not its phosphatase activity. In this regard, VE-PTP resembles PTP-PEST, a cytosolic member of the PTP family that also forms a protein complex independent of the protein’s catalytic activity (Davidson and Veillette, 2001).

We identified GEF-H1 through high-throughput analysis of VE-PTP interacting partners. GEF-H1 promotes the exchange of RhoA GDP to GTP, leading to activation of RhoA (Ren et al., 1998; Krendel et al., 2002). We demonstrated that overexpression of full-length or the cytosolic portion of VE-PTP significantly reduced GEF-H1 binding to RhoA and consequently inhibited RhoA activity at endothelial AJs. Thus, the binding of VE-PTP to GEF-H1 functions to inhibit GEF-H1 activity. In contrast, depletion of VE-PTP resulted in activation of RhoA specifically at AJs. These findings suggest that VE-PTP spatially inhibits RhoA activity at AJs, similar to the role of the TJ protein cingulin in epithelial cells that also prevents RhoA activity at TJs through interaction with GEF-H1 (Aijaz et al., 2005).

The mechanism of VE-PTP modulation of GEF-H1 activity is unknown. GEF-H1 activity may be regulated by protein–protein interaction (Ren et al., 1998; Krendel et al., 2002; Aijaz et al., 2005) and GEF-H1 phosphorylation at serine and threonine residues (Zenke et al., 2004; Birkenfeld et al., 2007; Fujishiro et al., 2008; von Thun et al., 2013). However, we found that VE-PTP did not dephosphorylate GEF-H1 at tyrosine residues, ruling out this mechanism. A more tenable possibility is that GEF-H1 binding to VE-PTP stabilizes GEF-H1 in an inactive conformation and prevents the binding of RhoA. This mechanism is similar to GEF-H1 interaction with microtubules and cingulin (Ren et al., 1998; Krendel et al., 2002; Aijaz et al., 2005), both known to inhibit GEF-H1-mediated activation of RhoA (Ren et al., 1998; Krendel et al., 2002; Aijaz et al., 2005). Our results, however, ruled out microtubules and cingulin as being responsible for the reduction in GEF-H1 activity.

We previously showed that RhoA destabilized AJs via actomyosin-mediated increase in tension at VE-cadherin

Figure 6. GEF-H1 knockdown restores VE-cadherin internalization rate in VE-PTP–depleted endothelial monolayers. (A) VE-cad-Dendra2 before (green) and after (red) photoconversion in HPAECs depleted of VE-PTP, GEF-H1, or VE-PTP and GEF-H1 simultaneously. Scale bars, 5 µm. (B) VE-cadherin internalization from AJs data in A; mean ± SEM; n = 9–13 junctions from three independent experiments. (C) Internalization rate constants calculated from B were 0.17 ± 0.02 min⁻¹ in NT siRNA–treated cells, 0.29 ± 0.04 min⁻¹ and 0.10 ± 0.01 min⁻¹ in VE-PTP– and GEF-H1–depleted cells, or 0.19 ± 0.01 min⁻¹ after simultaneous depletion of VE-PTP and GEF-H1; mean ± SEM; n = 9–13 junctions from three independent experiments; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.001; one-way ANOVA. (D) Permeability of HPAEC monolayers to FITC-conjugated albumin in HPAECs depleted of VE-PTP, GEF-H1, or VE-PTP and GEF-H1 simultaneously; n = 3–4, *, P < 0.05; one-way ANOVA. (E) Permeability rate constants from D were 0.54 ± 0.06 min⁻¹ in NT siRNA–treated cells, 0.83 ± 0.06 min⁻¹ and 0.31 ± 0.02 min⁻¹ after VE-PTP and GEF-H1 depletion, or 0.49 ± 0.06 min⁻¹ after simultaneous depletion of VE-PTP and GEF-H1; mean ± SEM; n = 3–4; *, P < 0.05; one-way ANOVA. KD, knockdown.
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endothelium. In the activated endothelium, VE-PTP plays a role identified in the present studies functions only in the quiescent PI mutant reduced tension, indicating that the mechanism α

Interestingly, in endothelial monolayers challenged with VE-PTP functioned independently of its phosphatase activity. Reduced the tension applied to VE-cadherin junctions secondary to reduced RhoA and also RhoA activity, leading to increased tension across VE-cadherin junctions, whereas overexpression of VE-PTP reduced the tension applied to VE-cadherin junctions secondarily to reduced RhoA activity. Overexpression of the VE-PTP PI mutant functioned similarly to WT VE-PTP, indicating that VE-PTP functioned independently of its phosphatase activity. Interestingly, in endothelial monolayers challenged with α-thrombin, full-length VE-PTP itself as opposed to the VE-PTP PI mutant reduced tension, indicating that the mechanism identified in the present studies functions only in the quiescent endothelium. In the activated endothelium, VE-PTP plays a role in dephosphorylating VE-cadherin at Y658 and Y685 and inhibits VE-cadherin internalization to restore endothelial barrier integrity (Gong et al., 2015). The present results support the model that VE-PTP (independent of phosphatase activity) modulates RhoA at AJs to stabilize VE-cadherin junctions through a reduction in actomyosin tension at AJs.

The continuous exchange of VE-cadherin between junctional and cytosolic pools maintains the steady-state homeostasis of AJs (Yamada and Nelson, 2007; Daneshjou et al., 2015). We also demonstrated that the spatial inhibition of the RhoA–ROCK pathway at AJs reduced the tension and stabilized VE-cadherin junctions (Daneshjou et al., 2015). Here, we describe a VE-PTP-regulated mechanism responsible for inhibiting RhoA signaling at AJs. We showed that VE-PTP’s interaction with GEF-H1 at AJs in the quiescent endothelium reduced tension across VE-cadherin junctions. The knockdown of VE-PTP increased the interaction of GEF-H1 with RhoA and also RhoA activity, leading to increased tension across VE-cadherin junctions, whereas overexpression of VE-PTP reduced the tension applied to VE-cadherin junctions secondary to reduced RhoA activity. Overexpression of the VE-PTP PI mutant functioned similarly to WT VE-PTP, indicating that VE-PTP functioned independently of its phosphatase activity. Interestingly, in endothelial monolayers challenged with α-thrombin, full-length VE-PTP itself as opposed to the VE-PTP PI mutant reduced tension, indicating that the mechanism identified in the present studies functions only in the quiescent endothelium. In the activated endothelium, VE-PTP plays a role
Birukova et al., 2006, 2010), our data demonstrate a fundamental role of GEF-H1 associated with VE-PTP on the stability of VE-cadherin junctions and the basal endothelial barrier. Interestingly, depletion or overexpression of VE-PTP had no effect on Rac1 activity at AJs, suggesting a specific function of VE-PTP in modulating RhoA signaling. While RhoA is implicated in regulating cell–cell adhesion by antagonizing Rac1 signaling in ECs (Wójciak-Stothard et al., 2001; Vouret-Craviari et al., 2002; Shcherbakova et al., 2018), we observed that VE-PTP stabilized VE-cadherin junctions solely through a reduction in RhoA activity.

In conclusion, we demonstrate a novel function of VE-PTP in stabilizing VE-cadherin junctions in the quiescent confluent endothelial monolayer. VE-PTP promotes endothelial barrier stability by reducing the rate of VE-cadherin internalization and restricting endothelial permeability. VE-PTP serves a critical adaptor function through direct interaction with GEF-H1 and restricting endothelial permeability. VE-PTP promotes endothelial barrier stabilization by reducing the rate of VE-cadherin internalization and restricting endothelial permeability. VE-PTP serves a critical function through direct interaction with GEF-H1 and regulating the stability of AJs in vessels.

Materials and methods
DNA constructs
For mammalian expression, VE-cad-Dendra2 was inserted into a pCDNA3 vector (Daneshjou et al., 2015) at 5′-KpnI and 3′-EcoRI restriction sites for VE-cadherin and sites 5′-EcoRI and 3′-XhoI for Dendra2; the FRET-based RhoA and Rac1 biosensors in a pTriEx plasmid with a hybrid promoter (Pertz et al., 2006; MacNevin et al., 2016) were a gift from K. Hahn (University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC). The VE-cadherin tension FRET-based biosensor was in a pLPCX plasmid with a cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter. The tension sensor module (TSmod) was placed into mouse VE-cadherin cytoplasmic domains (Conway et al., 2018). The human VE-cadherin–GFP adenovirus was in a pAdRSV plasmid with a dL327 backbone and CMV promoter (Shaw et al., 2001). CFP-tagged murine full-length VE-PTP aa 1-1,998 (WT VE-PTP) was cloned from mVE-PTP cDNA (Winderlich et al., 2009), a gift from C. DerMardirossian (The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA). To test the role of RhoA activity in VE-cadherin internalization, Rho Activator I CN-01 (50 µM; Cytoskeleton, Inc.) and Rockout (Rho kinase inhibitor, 50 µM) were used to activate or inhibit the Rho pathway, respectively.

Cell culture, transfection, and treatments
Primary human pulmonary arterial endothelial cells (HPAECs) from six different donors were used at passages 3–6 for all experiments. Cells were grown in EBM-2 cell culture medium supplemented with 10% FBS and EGM-2 bullet kit (Lonza) and maintained at 37°C with 5% CO2. For imaging, HPAECs were plated on gelatin-coated (0.2%) glass-bottom coverslips and transfected at 70–80% confluence with siRNA (200 nM) using Genlantis Transfection Kit. 48 h later, they were transfected with DNA plasmids using X-tremeGENE transfection reagent (Roche). Postconfluent ECs were used for live-cell imaging 24 h after DNA plasmid transfection (72 h after siRNA treatment). In the experiments that did not involve siRNA treatment, the cells were transfected with DNA plasmids at 90% confluence and used for the experiments between 24 and 48 h after transfection (Table 1).

In AKB-9785 studies, HPAECs were treated with different concentrations (0–50 µM) of the inhibitor for 1 h in serum-free media. Cells were either collected for Western blot (Thermo-Fisher) or imaged immediately after treatment.

To test the role of RhoA activity in VE-cadherin internalization, Rho Activator I CN-01 (50 µM; Cytoskeleton, Inc.) and Rockout (Rho kinase inhibitor, 50 µM) were used to activate or inhibit the Rho pathway, respectively.

To test the phospho-profile of VE-cadherin in the presence of the various VE-PTP constructs, CHO cells were infected with GFP-VE-cadherin and transfected with the VE-PTP constructs simultaneously. The resulting lysates were analyzed with Western blot and probed with VE-cadherin (anti-goat; sc-6458; Santa Cruz) and VE-cadherin phospho-tyrosine antibodies for Tyr658 (anti-rabbit; 44-1144G; ThermoFisher) and Tyr685 (anti-rabbit; ab119785; Abcam).
### Table 1. Lists of reagents used in experiments

| Cell lines and reagents | Company | Catalog number and sequences |
|------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|
| **Cell lines**          |         |                             |
| HPAECs                 | Lonza   | cc-2530 (lot numbers 329447, 447095, 466719, 598033, 662151, 4F3034) |
| CHO-K1                 | ATCC    | TCC CCL-61                  |
| **Antibodies**         |         |                             |
| Phosphotyrosine antibody (PY20) | Abcam | ab16389                     |
| Phosphotyrosine antibody, clone 4G10 | EMD Millipore | 05-321X                      |
| VE-cadherin antibody   | Santa Cruz | sc-6458                    |
| Phospho-VE-cadherin (Tyr685) | EMD Millipore | ABT1760                      |
| Phospho-VE-cadherin (Tyr658) polyclonal antibody | ThermoFisher | 44-1144G                    |
| Phospho-VE-cadherin (Tyr685) polyclonal antibody | Abcam | ab119785                    |
| TIE2 antibody          | Abcam   | ab58302                     |
| human/mouse phospho-Tie-2 (Y992) antibody | R&D Systems | AF2720                      |
| Cingulin polyclonal antibody | Novus Biologicals | NBPI-89602                  |
| GEF-H1 antibody        | Abcam   | ab155785                    |
| Anti-GEF-H1 antibody [B4/7] | Abcam | ab90783                     |
| p114RhoGEF (ARHGEF18) monoclonal antibody | Novus Biologicals | NBP2-43546                  |
| p115RhoGEF (D-11; ARHGEF1) monoclonal antibody | Santa Cruz | sc-166341                  |
| RGNEF (ARHGEF28) polyclonal antibody | Abcam | ab157095                    |
| GST antibody (A-6)     | Santa Cruz | sc-374171                  |
| 6x-His Tag monoclonal antibody | ThermoFisher | 4E3D10H2/E3                |
| GFP Tag monoclonal antibody | ThermoFisher | GF28R                    |
| PTPβ antibody (C-20)   | Santa Cruz | sc-1114                    |
| RPTPβ antibody         | BD Biosciences | 610180                |
| Alexa Fluor 488 Phalloidin | Invitrogen | A12379                    |
| Mouse monoclonal anti-β-tubulin | Sigma Aldrich | T8328                   |
| Anti-mouse IgG (Fc) antibody | Aviva Systems Biology | OARD00003              |
| **Primers**            |         |                             |
| pNH-TRXT-VE-PTP aa 1,651–1,998-6xHis | Integrated DNA Technologies | VE-PTP aa 1,651 EcoRI Fwd: 5'-ATAGGAGAATTGCCACC ATGAGGAAAGGCCCATCTGCC-3' |
|                        |         | VE-PTP aa 1,998 HindIII Rev: 5'-AACCTAAGGCTTATGCT CGAGTAGATTG-3' |
| mPlum VE-PTP 1,422–1,998 D1871A | Integrated DNA Technologies | VE-PTP D1871A Fwd 5'-'GCCAGCCCCATGGGTCACAGAG-3' |
|                        |         | VE-PTP D1871A Rev 5'-'CCATGGCGTGGCCACACCTG-3' |
| mPlum VE-PTP 1,611–1,998 | Integrated DNA Technologies | VE-PTP 1,611 BspEl Fwd 5'-AGGGCGTCCGGACCCCACTG ACCACAGGATGCTAGTTGGGTAAGC-3' |
|                        |         | VE-PTP 1,998 Xhol Rev 5'-'GGGCCCCCTCGAGTTAATGTC CGAATTAGATTGCATCTCTAGTACTC-3' |
| pAmCyan VE-PTP 1,651–1,998 | Integrated DNA Technologies | VE-PTP 1,651 BspEl Fwd 5'-GACACGTCGCCGAGCCACCATG AAGGGAAAGCGGATGCTGCTGACG-3' |
|                        |         | VE-PTP 1,998 Xhol Rev 5'-'GGGCCCCCTCGAGTTAATGTC CGAATTAGATTGCATCTCTAGTACTC-3' |
| mPlum VE-PTP 1–1,650   | Integrated DNA Technologies | VE-PTP 1 BspEl 5' Fwd 5'-AGGGCGGCGGAGGCTACAGATG CTGAGGATGGGCGTATCGAAGG-3' |
|                        |         | VE-PTP 1,650 Xhol Rev 5'-'GGGCCCCCTCGAGTTAATGTC CGAATTAGATTGCATCTCTAGTACTC-3' |
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### Table 1. Lists of reagents used in experiments (Continued)

| Cell lines and reagents | Company                      | Catalog number and sequences |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| GST-GEFH1 572–876      | Integrated DNA Technologies  | GEFH1 572 EcoRI Fwd 5'–GGACTCGAATTCCGACCCCATGA CATCCCAATCCAGGGAGGCC-3' |
|                         |                              | GEFH1 876 HindIII Rev 5'–AGGTCAAGGCTTTTAATCCACAGG TCTCGGCGGCCA-3' |
| GST-GEFH1 731–985      | Integrated DNA Technologies  | GEFH1 731 EcoRI Fwd 5'–GGACTCGAATTCCGCCCATGA GATCCCGCAAGGAAGGCG-3' |
|                         |                              | GEFH1 876 HindIII Rev 5'–AGGTCAAGGCTTTTAATCCACAGG TCTCGGCGGCCA-3' |
| GST-GEFH1 731–876      | Integrated DNA Technologies  | GEFH1 731 EcoRI Fwd 5'–GGACTCGAATTCCGCCCATGA GATCCCGCAAGGAAGGCG-3' |
|                         |                              | GEFH1 876 HindIII Rev 5'–AGGTCAAGGCTTTTAATCCACAGG TCTCGGCGGCCA-3' |
| Plasmids                |                              |                              |
| mPlum-C1                | Clontech                     | 632527                       |
| pAmCyan1-C1             | Clontech                     | 632441                       |
| pNH-TrxT                | Addgene                     | 26106                        |
| VE-cad-Dendra2          | Daneshjou et al., 2015       | N/A                          |
| VE-cadherin-GFP         | Shaw et al., 2001            | N/A                          |
| VE-cadherin tension FRET biosensor | Conway et al., 2013 | N/A                          |
| pTriEx-Rac1 FLARE.dc biosensor WT | MacNevin et al., 2016 | N/A                          |
| pTriEx-RhoA FLARE.sc biosensor WT | Pertz et al., 2006 | Addgene: 12150               |
| siRNA target sequences  |                              |                              |
| ON-TARGETplus ARHGEF2 siRNA | Dharmacon              | J-009883-09; 5'–CCACGGAAUCUGCAUACU-3' |
| ON-TARGETplus Human ARHGEF1 siRNA SMARTpool | Dharmacon              | J-009421; 1. 5'–UGAGCUGGCUCCGACUAA-3', 2. 5'–AAACYYGUGGCUCUCACU-3', 3. 5'–CCACGGCCUCCGAAAGU-3', 4. 5'–UAACCGGACUGGCUCCAC-3' |
| ON-TARGETplus human ARHGEF18 siRNA SMARTpool | Dharmacon              | J-009654; 1. 5'–UCACGGGCUCCUUGAAAGU-3', 2. 5'–GCAGUGACCCUACUGAUC-3', 3. 5'–CACAACGGCAUACACCAAU-3', 4. 5'–GGACGAAACUCGGAGCAAU-3' |
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blocked using 3% BSA for 1 h. The samples were incubated with primary antibodies against the protein of interest (VE-cadherin [anti-goat; sc-6458; Santa Cruz]; cingulin [anti-rabbit; NBP1-89602; Novus Biologicals]; GEF-H1 [anti-mouse; ab90783; Abcam]; tubulin [anti-mouse; T8328; Sigma Aldrich]) or Alexa Fluor 647 phalloidin at 1:100 overnight at 4°C and thereafter with secondary antibodies 1:100 at room temperature for 1 h. Cells were mounted using Fluoromount-G (Southern Biotech).

Immunostaining analysis

To analyze VE-cadherin, GEF-H1, and cingulin at AJs, a Z-projected image (maximum intensity) of the in-focus frames were generated. VE-cadherin junctional area was measured using a threshold function to select only junctional VE-cadherin. VE-cadherin thresholded images were also used to generate a binary mask in order to determine the area and average intensity (accumulation) of GEF-H1 and cingulin at AJs. The VE-cadherin mask was multiplied by the GEF-H1 or cingulin channels to remove any nonjunctional protein.

For analyses of microtubules and actin cytoskeleton, a Z-projected images (maximum intensity) of the in-focus frames for either the microtubules or the actin were generated. The total areas of either microtubules or actin were measured using thresholded images. The total microtubule or actin areas were normalized to the cell area.

Live-cell imaging

HPAECs were imaged in phenol red–free EGM-2 media supplemented with 10% FBS and heated on a stage heater (Temp control 37°C; Carl Zeiss) at 37°C. Time-lapse images were generated using an LSM 710 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss) containing a 63×, 1.4-NA oil-immersion objective lenses and Ar ion and dual HeNe lasers. Image analysis was done using Meta-morph software and images were prepared using Adobe Photoshop.

To study VE-cadherin dynamics, HPAECs coexpressing VE-cad-Dendra2 and CFP-tagged VE-PTP were simultaneously imaged in green (λ = 488 nm) and red (λ = 543 nm) fluorescent states of Dendra2. VE-cad-Dendra2 undergoes an emission shift from 488 nm (green) to 543 nm (red) after irradiation using a 405-nm laser at 8–12% power (Daneshjou et al., 2015; Kruse et al., 2019). Images were acquired every 5 s. Through the analysis of red fluorescent decay and green fluorescent recovery within a circular irradiation zone, we determined the rates of VE-cadherin internalization from the AJ and the recruitment of VE-cadherin molecules to the AJ, respectively. The AJs exhibiting a slower VE-cadherin internalization rate were considered to be more stable. For FRET imaging, 16-bit z-stack images were acquired for CFP (λ = 458 nm; band pass 500/20 nm), FRET (λ = 458 nm; long pass 530 nm), and YFP (λ = 514 nm; long pass 530 nm) as previously described (Daneshjou et al., 2015; Kruse et al., 2019).

Image processing

In VE-cad-Dendra2 studies, the fluorescent intensities of 488 nm (green) and 543 nm (red) maximum emission spectra were quantified inside the photoconversion zone. The changes in fluorescent integrated intensities over time were analyzed in
Metamorph. Data obtained in Metamorph were fit to exponential decay and exponential rise-to-maximum curves for red and green fluorescence, respectively, in Sigmaplot. Rate constants were calculated from exponential curves and signify VE-cadherin internalization (at 543 nm) and recruitment (at 488 nm).

FRET processing was performed in either Metamorph or ImageJ. A binary mask was generated using a maximized and thresholded image of YFP z-stack, where outside the cell the fluorescence has a value of 0 and inside the cell has a value of 1. A ratio image (FRET/CFP) was created by separately multiplying the FRET and CFP z-stack images by the YFP binary mask. FRET was then divided by CFP to generate a ratio. The region used for quantification consisted of a thick area between two ECs (i.e., junction and overlapping membrane). The activity of RhoA and the tension applied to VE-cadherin were expressed as a FRET/CFP ratio.

**Protein purification**
His-tagged VE-PTP and GST-tagged GEF-H1 constructs were transformed into BL21-competent bacterial cells. Proteins were induced with IPTG for 4 h at 30°C. Bacterial pellets were resuspended and lysed (50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, and 5 mM imidazole, pH 7.5) before incubation with NiNTA-His beads or GST beads for 1 h. Proteins were purified using column purification. Expression of purified protein was confirmed on Coomassie-stained SDS gel.

**Binding assay**
To confirm direct binding between VE-PTP and GEF-H1, a binding assay was performed. Briefly, binding buffers containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 100 mM, 1 mM mercaptoethanol, and 1% Triton X-100 (Lansbergen et al., 2004) were used for binding assay experiments. 10 µg GST-tagged GEF-H1 and 10 µg His-tagged VE-PTP purified proteins were incubated with binding buffer at 4°C for 90 min. His-tagged VE-PTP was pulled down using HisPur Ni-NTA beads, run on SDS-PAGE, and probed with GST (anti-mouse; sc-37417; Santa Cruz) or His antibody (anti-mouse; 4E3D10H2/E3; ThermoFisher).

**Mass spectrometry analysis**
To determine VE-PTP binding partners, CFP-VE-PTP was overexpressed in HPAECs and an immunoprecipitation was performed using an anti-GFP antibody (anti-mouse; GF28R; ThermoFisher). The resulting precipitates were run on an SDS gel, stained with Coomassie, and analyzed using proteomic analysis (Harvard Medical School Taplin Mass Spectrometry Facility).

**RhoA G17A pull-down assay**
The level of GEF-H1 activity was determined as previously described (Kruse et al., 2019). 1 ml HPAEC lysates from cells treated with siRNA or overexpressing DNA construct was incubated with 40 µl nucleotide-free GST-tagged RhoA G17A attached to beads (ab211183; Abcam) for 2 h. The beads were centrifuged and washed three times in lysis buffer. Captured proteins on RhoA G17A beads were separated by electrophoresis and detected with GEF-H1 antibody (anti-rabbit; ab155785; Abcam) using Western blot.

**Cell isolation and modification**
The surfaces of the erythrocytes used to probe the cadherin-mediated adhesion were coatedly modified with oriented, immunoglobulin Fc-tagged ectodomains of human VE-cadherin. The erythrocytes were isolated from human whole blood collected from healthy subjects by informed consent. The erythrocyte surfaces were modified with either anti-Fc or anti-hexahistidine antibodies, as described previously (Kofler and Wick, 1977). The immobilized antibodies were used to capture Fc-tagged or hexahistidine-tagged VE-cadherin ectodomains.

MELCs were isolated from the lungs of WT VE-PTP^flox/flox^ mice and VE-PTP^−/−^ KO mice as previously described (Quaggin, 2017). VE-PTP KO transgenic mice exhibited the following genotype: PTPRB^flox/flox^, rosa26rtTA^+/−^, tetOCre^−/−^. PTPRB gene encoding VE-PTP was deleted in utero by adding tetracycline to the drinking water starting at embryonic day 13.

**Interaction of RBCs with VE-cadherin ectodomains**
C-terminal Fc-tagged E-cadherin ectodomains were bound and oriented on RBCs modified with anti-Fc antibody (Aviva Systems Biology), respectively.

**Quantification of VE-cadherin surface expression**
Flow cytometry measurements were used to measure the VE-cadherin densities on cell surfaces (cadherin/per square micrometer; Chien et al., 2008). VE-cadherin–expressing cells were labeled with primary, anti-VE-cadherin antibody. The secondary antibody is FITC-conjugated anti-IgG. The antibody labeling was done in PBS containing 1% BSA at pH 7.4. Calcium was removed at this step in order to prevent cell aggregation. The fluorescence intensities of labeled cells were measured with an LSR II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). The calibration curve for the fluorescence intensity was generated with calibrated FITC-labeled beads (Bands Laboratories).

**Micropipette measurement of cell-binding kinetics**
Adhesion frequency measurements quantified the intercellular binding probability as a function of cadherin contact time by using opposing micropipettes to control interacting cell pairs (Fig. S1 I). The recorded binding probability, P(t), is the ratio of the number of binding events (nb) to the total cell–cell touches (NT), nb/NT; this is a function of number of cell-to-cell bonds. In these measurements, a VE-cadherin–expressing cell and a RBC with surface-bound, His-tagged VE-cadherin ectodomain were partially aspirated into opposing glass micropipettes (Fig. S1 I). The experimental chamber contained Li5 medium (Invitro) supplemented with 1 wt/vol% BSA and 2 mM CaCl2 and diluted 1:1 with deionized water. This hypo-osmotic solution keeps the RBC rounded. Cells were observed with a 100× oil-immersion objective on a Zeiss Axiovert 200 microscope, and images were recorded with a Manta G201B camera (AVT Technologies) interfaced with a high-resolution (1,080 × 720 pixels), flat-screen monitor. The contact time was manipulated with automated piezoelectric controllers programmed to repeatedly bring the two cells into contact for defined time intervals. The contact area was controlled at 6 ± 1 µm² during a set of measurements. Binding events were identified from surface deformation of the
RBCs during separation and the recoil at bond failure. Each cell pair was tested for 50 repetitive cell–cell touches (NT = 50), and each contact time represents measurements with at least three different cell pairs. The mean and standard error of each set of 50 tests was determined from the Bernoulli distribution. The probabilities (P) shown in the graphs are the average of measurements with at least three cell pairs, and error bars indicate the standard error of the three sets of measurements with different cell pairs at each time point.

The analytical expression for the time-dependent binding probability, \( P(t) \), for the reaction is as follows: \( P(t) = 1 - \exp \left( -\left( \frac{mLmRk2D}{k} \right) t \right) \), where \( mL \) and \( mR \) are the receptor and ligand surface densities (number per square micrometer) on two cells, \( Ac \) is the contact area (\( \mu m^2 \)), \( K2D \) is the two-dimensional binding affinity (\( \mu m^2 \)), and \( k_{off} \) is the off rate (s\(^{-1}\)). The ligand densities (number per square micrometer) and contact areas are known. The two-dimensional affinity \( K2D \) and \( k_{off} \) for trans-dimerization were then estimated from fits of the above equation to the data corresponding to the first, trans-binding step (i.e., the rise to Pi; Chesla et al., 1998).

**Micropillar arrays**

The micropillar methodology was used to quantify the imbalance in cellular traction forces when cells are in a cluster. Because the net force on the cell must be zero, the traction force must be balanced with the tension on cell junctions. The micropillar enables definition of the exact forces at the cell junction, whereas the FRET biosensor provides a relative indication of changes in force.

VE-PTPflox/flox (WT) and VE-PTP−/− (KO) MLECs were immunofluorescent stained by permeabilization with 0.1% (vol/vol) Triton X-100 in PBS for 4 min and blocked with 1% (wt/vol) bovine serum albumin in PBS for 1 h. Cells were incubated with β-catenin primary and then with secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature (1:40 dilution; catalog number C7207; Sigma Aldrich; goat anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 488, 1:200 dilution; Life Technologies). Samples were mounted with Fluoromount G onto micropillars stained with DIT and coated with fibronectin and stored at 4°C until imaging. Images of the micropillar tip positions and endothelial junctions were taken on a Zeiss Axiovert.Z1 epifluorescence microscope with a 40× oil immersion objective (Institute for Genomic Biology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign). Mechanical force calculations were done only using cell doublets and linear triplets.

Junction area was calculated using Imagej v1.51k (National Institutes of Health) from β-catenin immunostaining. Traction force analysis was performed using a custom MATLAB program written for MATLAB R2007a (Cohen et al., 2013).

The traction force map was calculated using bending theory for small cantilever deflections: \( F = k \times x; k = (3EI/L^2); I = (\pi d^4/64) \), where \( F \) is the force exerted on the free end of the cantilever, \( k \) is the spring constant, \( x \) is the deflection, \( E \) is the bulk elastic modulus, \( I \) is the area moment of inertia, \( L \) is the length of the cantilever, and \( d \) is the diameter of the cantilever. Knowing the displacement (\( x \)) map and the spring constant (22 nN), a traction force (\( F \)) map can be generated.

The junction stress for each junction was calculated with the equation, where junction area is calculated from β-catenin immunostaining. Dividing cell junction tension by cell junction area provides a measure of stress at cell junctions (stress = force/area). This stress is the force acting on a unit element of cell junction, and the readout is equivalent to the tension from the FRET biosensor.

**Traction force microscopy**

Traction force microscopy measurements used polyacrylamide hydrogels with Young’s moduli 40 kPa (Tse and Engler, 2010). Proteins were immobilized on Sulfo-SANPAH–activated gels by overnight incubations at 4°C with fibronectin (0.1 mg/ml) or PLL (0.2 mg/ml) in immobilization buffer (100 mM Hepes, 100 mM NaCl, and 5 mM CaCl\(_2\), pH 8; Tabdili et al., 2012). The substrates were rinsed twice with 1× PBS and sterilized by irradiation (365 nm) for at least 15 min before seeding cells. MLECs were harvested using 3.5 mM EDTA in PBS containing 1% (wt/vol) BSA (Takeichi and Nakagawa, 2001), seeded at 5,000–8,000 cells/ml onto hydrogels, and allowed to adhere and spread for 6 h at 37°C under 5% CO\(_2\) on polyacrylamide gels with embedded fluorescent stained by permeabilization with 0.1% (vol/vol) Triton X-100 in PBS for 4 min and blocked with 1% (wt/vol) bovine serum albumin in PBS for 1 h. Cells were incubated with β-catenin primary and then with secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature (1:40 dilution; catalog number C7207; Sigma Aldrich; goat anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 488, 1:200 dilution; Life Technologies). Samples were mounted with Fluoromount G onto micropillars stained with DIT and coated with fibronectin and stored at 4°C until imaging. Images of the micropillar tip positions and endothelial junctions were taken on a Zeiss Axiovert.Z1 epifluorescence microscope with a 40× oil immersion objective (Institute for Genomic Biology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign). Mechanical force calculations were done only using cell doublets and linear triplets.

Junction area was calculated using Imagej v1.51k (National Institutes of Health) from β-catenin immunostaining. Traction force analysis was performed using a custom MATLAB program written for MATLAB R2007a (Cohen et al., 2013).

The traction force map was calculated using bending theory for small cantilever deflections: \( F = k \times x; k = (3EI/L^2); I = (\pi d^4/64) \), where \( F \) is the force exerted on the free end of the cantilever, \( k \) is the spring constant, \( x \) is the deflection, \( E \) is the bulk elastic modulus, \( I \) is the area moment of inertia, \( L \) is the length of the cantilever, and \( d \) is the diameter of the cantilever. Knowing the displacement (\( x \)) map and the spring constant (22 nN), a traction force (\( F \)) map can be generated.

The junction stress for each junction was calculated with the equation, where junction area is calculated from β-catenin immunostaining. Dividing cell junction tension by cell junction area provides a measure of stress at cell junctions (stress = force/area). This stress is the force acting on a unit element of cell junction, and the readout is equivalent to the tension from the FRET biosensor.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical significance was analyzed using GraphPad Prism. Unpaired t tests were performed for two experimental groups, and one-way ANOVA was performed for three or more experimental groups. The following P values are used: *, \( P < 0.05 \); **, \( P < 0.01 \); *** \( P < 0.001 \).

**Online supplemental material**

Fig. S1 shows that VE-PTP stabilizes AJs by reducing the VE-cadherin internalization rate, but not via VE-cadherin recruitment or trans-dimerization, in the quiescent endothelium (related to Figs. 1 and 2). Fig. S2 shows that inhibition of VE-PTP phosphatase activity with AKB-9785 fails to prevent the VE-cadherin internalization rate at AJs (related to Figs. 1 and 2). Fig. S3 shows that VE-PTP binds to GEF-H1 and stabilizes VE-cadherin junctions (related to Figs. 3 and 4). Fig. S4 shows that VE-PTP relieves tension across VE-cadherin junctions by inhibiting RhoA signaling (related to Figs. 4 and 5). Fig. S5 shows the relative contributions of RhoGEFs and Rho signaling in regulating the VE-cadherin internalization rate.
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