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The Mechanism of Rock Mass Crack Propagation of Principal Stress Rotation in the Process of Tunnel Excavation
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Rock excavation has experienced complex stress paths. The development of the original crack under the path of principal stress magnitude and principal stress direction is a key scientific problem that needs to be solved in rock underground engineering. The principal stress magnitude dominates the initiation and propagation of the crack and increases rock damage under the action of principal stress rotation. In this study, the theoretical calculation and numerical analysis method have been combined with the crack propagation conditions to study the stress-driven mechanism of brittle rock crack propagation under principal stress rotation. The results show that the “relative initial angle” of crack angle is being updated in time during the principal stress rotation process; once the stress is rotated, it will become the next initial crack angle; the crack propagation direction is deviated under the applied shear load, and it is always in the direction of minimum shear load, leading to a certain degree of inhibition of crack propagation depth in the initial direction. According to the results of numerical simulation, the effect of principal stress rotation caused by mining excavation is obvious and has a certain range of influence depth, the stress of surrounding rock of roadway is the highest within the depth range of 1 ~ 2 m, and the maximum principal stress is as high as 26.89 MPa. The rotation of principal stress direction on the roadway surrounding rock surface is the strongest, which makes the surrounding rock more fragmented, and the middle principal stress and the maximum principal stress rotate about 90° counterclockwise along the Ox axis. Studying the action mechanism of principal stress rotation on fractured rock masses can provide scientific basis for geotechnical engineering design and rock mass surrounding support.

1. Introduction

Fractured rock mass is the main occurrence form of rock mass in nature, its strength and deformation failure are significantly affected by the generation and expansion of cracks, and the mechanical behavior of rock mass changes with the change of stress paths [1–3]. Because of the influence of engineering disturbance, in situ stress state changes not only the stress magnitude, but also the rotation of the principal stress direction, which is an important factor in the research of inducing rock mass stress concentration and rock strength degradation. The excavation of rock mass in underground engineering can cause the surrounding rock stress in the excavation direction, resulting in the stress state of the fracture surface changing from the compressive shear stress state to the tensile shear stress state. Based on the theory of fracture mechanics, crack propagation generally shows strong tensile characteristics, and the unloading failure of rock bridge is dominated by tensile shear composite failure [4, 5]. Accordingly, fractures are more easily expanded under tensile shear stress. During a mining excavation, the principal stress level and the principal stress direction change; in other words, the changes in stress state of surrounding rock at any time lead to a certain range of damage to the rock mass on the excavation face [6, 7],
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which will also have significant impacts on the expansion of rock mass cracks and deformation and failure of surrounding rock [8, 9].

The expansion and the distribution of unloading cracks are the basis for the study of rock mass failure and surrounding rock stability in underground engineering. At present, crack propagation and damage mechanism of rock bridges are studied under compression and shear stress. Li and Qi [10], based on the microcrack growth-induced stress-strain constitutive relationship, the relationship between quasistatic and dynamic fracture toughness, the relationship between crack velocity and strain rate, and the relationship between strain rate and dynamic fracture toughness, proposed a micromechanics-based stress-strain constitutive model. Li et al. [11] studied the effect of the angle of flaws at the end surface on the dynamic properties of and crack model. Li et al. [11] studied the effect of the angle of flaws at the end surface on the dynamic properties of and crack model. Li et al. [11] studied the effect of the angle of flaws at the end surface on the dynamic properties of and crack model. Li et al. [11] studied the effect of the angle of flaws at the end surface on the dynamic properties of and crack model. Li et al. [11] studied the effect of the angle of flaws at the end surface on the dynamic properties of and crack model. Li et al. [11] studied the effect of the angle of flaws at the end surface on the dynamic properties of and crack model. Li et al. [11] studied the effect of the angle of flaws at the end surface on the dynamic properties of and crack model. Li et al. [11] studied the effect of the angle of flaws at the end surface on the dynamic properties of and crack model. Li et al. [11] studied the effect of the angle of flaws at the end surface on the dynamic properties of and crack model. Li et al. [11] studied the effect of the angle of flaws at the end surface on the dynamic properties of and crack model. Li et al. [11] studied the effect of the angle of flaws at the end surface on the dynamic properties of and crack model.

A series of impact loading tests were carried out with a system of split Hopkinson pressure bar (SHPB) with the diameter of 50 mm. The crack propagation and dynamic failure processes were recorded real-time by a high speed camera. Sun et al. [12] investigated the influence of the fissure morphology on the dynamic mechanical properties of the rock and the crack propagation, and a drop hammer impact test device was used to conduct impact failure tests on sandstones with different fissure numbers and fissure dips, simultaneously recording the crack growth after each impact. The box fractal dimension is used to quantitatively analyze the dynamic change in the sandstone cracks, and a fractal model of crack growth over time is established based on fractal theory. Pan et al. [13], in order to study the influence of the size distribution and preferred orientation of mineral grains, proposed a statistical grain-based discrete element method coupling with the cohesive zone model on the basis of the universal distinct element code (UDEC) in this study, and the strength characteristics, deformation response, and failure mode of rocks were numerically examined using the proposed model by varying the size distribution and preferred orientation of mineral grains. Fu et al. [14] studied the failure process of double cracked rock specimens under uniaxial and two-dimensional compression by FLAC3D numerical simulation software. Shang et al. [15], based on the three-dimensional numerical simulation, simulated a rock uniaxial compression test by FLAC3D. Meng et al. [16], based on FLAC3D numerical analysis, discussed the distribution characteristics of stress concentration, fracture expansion, and time-dependent deformation of the surrounding rock of the cavern groups, and the formation mechanism of deep deformation is explained. Wang et al. [17] studied the instability failure behaviors, acoustic emission (AE) characteristics, and damage evolution rules of green sandstone caverns with different joint angles. First, the influences of joint angles on the strength and failure behavior of the caverns were analyzed. Then, the AE characteristics and damage evolution rules of jointed caverns in the process of failure were discussed. Zhou et al. [18] used friction sliding crack model to study the micro-crack damage mechanism of brittle rock under rotation of the principal stress axes, and through the uniaxial compression test of preexisting cross-embedded cracks, the main difference between 2D and 3D cracking behavior of pre-existing cracks is discussed, and the further propagation of extended wing crack leads to the ultimate failure of the specimen. Liu et al. [19], in order to obtain the mechanism of crack propagation of oriented perforation hydraulic fracture and its effect on mechanical properties of rock samples, carried out an experimental study on hydraulic fracture of rock samples without confining pressure. The confining pressure ratio coefficient was defined, and oriented perforation hydraulic fracture was numerically simulated based on the extended finite element method so as to explore the comprehensive influence of different perforation parameters. Wang et al. [20], so as to improve surrounding rock control in such longwall face, investigated the mining induced stress rotation and its influence on surrounding rock stability with theoretical analysis, numerical simulation, and field measurement. Wu et al. [21] carried out a series of impact loading tests on sandstone samples with and without a circular cavity by a modified split Hopkinson pressure bar (SHPB) test system, the mechanical properties and energy evolution of the samples were systematically investigated, and the effect of cavity size was analyzed. Li et al. [22] used FLAC3D to analyze the stress path and the failure mode of surrounding rock in mining processes of the Mine-by test hole and found that the rotation of stress principal axis would aggravate the damage of surrounding rock when the rock crack reaches the initiation stress threshold. Hoek et al. [23] analyzed the fracture initiation and propagation in intact rock under biaxial compressive stress and found that a large number of tension cracks near the boundary of the cavern were caused by the existence of microcracks in the rock mass. Tension cracks begin at the tip of the initial crack and further expand, and their propagation and length are controlled by the principal stress ratio.

Under excavation unloading conditions, the rotation of the principal stress changes the stress ratio, leading to tension failure under low stress ratio conditions. Tension cracks are restrained when the stress ratio reaches a certain level, and the failure mode of surrounding rock begins to transition to shear failure. The change of tunnel stress state is different from single compression-shear stress and tension-shear stress. On the basis of previous studies, the plane and nonplane propagation mechanisms of cracks are theoretically analyzed, and the evolution law of surrounding rock stress and the evolution characteristics of surrounding rock plastic zone during tunnel excavation are analyzed by numerical simulation.

2. Mechanical Analysis of Crack Development

Underground rock excavation can be approximated as a plane strain problem. It is assumed that the surrounding rock is not deformed or negligible in the direction of the parallel tunnel axis, and the rock mass is dominated by type I fractures (stretched or open type) and type II fractures (shear-slip type) under unloading conditions, i.e., I-II composite fractures. For I-II composite plane problems, the stress field at the crack tip
can be obtained by solutions of the type I and type II [24]. In order to facilitate the analysis, the actual curvilinear wing crack can be approximated by a straight open crack growing parallel to the direction of the principal compressive stress, ignoring the nonsingular phase. The stress components near the crack tip are shown in Figure 1 and can be expressed in polar coordinates as
\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_r &= \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^{1/2}} \left[ K_I \left( 3 - \cos \theta \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \right) + K_{II} (3 \cos \theta - 1) \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right] \\
\sigma_\theta &= \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^{1/2}} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \left[ K_I (1 + \cos \theta) - 3K_{II} \sin \theta \right] \\
\sigma_{r\theta} &= \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^{1/2}} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \left[ K_I \sin \theta + K_{II} (3 \cos \theta - 1) \right]
\end{align*}
\]  
(1)

According to the theory of maximum hoop tensile stress [25], a crack is initiated when \((\sigma_\theta)_{\text{max}}\) reaches the critical value \(\sigma_c\). In order to find the maximum direction angle of \(\sigma_\theta\), \(\sigma_\theta\) is derived for \(\theta\), and then equation (2) is derived according to the second formula in equation (1):
\[
\frac{\partial \sigma_\theta}{\partial \theta} = 0.
\]  
(2)

That is,
\[
K_I \sin \theta + K_{II} (3 \cos \theta - 1) = 0.
\]  
(3)

The following equation can be obtained:
\[
2K_{II} \tan^3 \frac{\theta}{2} - K_I \tan \frac{\theta}{2} - K_{II} = 0.
\]  
(4)

where \(\lambda = (K_{II}/K_I)\), and the solution of \(\tan (\theta/2)\) in equation (4) can be derived as
\[
\tan \frac{\theta_0}{2} = \frac{1 + (1 + 8\lambda^2)^{1/2}}{4\lambda}.
\]  
(5)

When \(K_{II}\) is positive and \(\theta_0\) is negative, then \(\theta_0\) can be described as
\[
\theta_0 = 2 \arctan \frac{1 - (1 + 8\lambda^2)^{1/2}}{4\lambda}.
\]  
(6)

Equation (6) shows that the crack will expand toward original fracture surface in the direction of \(\theta_0\) angle under the I-II composite load, and the sign of the \(\theta_0\) angle depends on the sign of \(K_{II}\). When \(K_{II}\) is a positive sign, \(\theta_0\) will be a negative value, and vice versa.

After determining the splitting angle \(\theta_0\), \(\sigma_c\) can be obtained from the cracking load condition. Substituting \(\theta = \theta_0\) into the formula (1) yields the expression for \(\sigma_\theta\), which equates to \(\sigma_c\):
\[
(\sigma_\theta)_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^{1/2}} \cos \frac{\theta_0}{2} \left[ K_I (1 + \cos \theta_0) - 2K_{II} \sin \theta_0 \right] = \sigma_c.
\]  
(7)

According to the theory of maximum hoop tensile stress, pure I-type cracks are a special case of composite cracks, and formula (7) must be applied to pure type I. At this time, \(K_{II} = 0\), \(\theta_0 = 0\), so \((\sigma_\theta)_{\text{max}}\) can be described as
\[
(\sigma_\theta)_{\text{max}} = \frac{K_I}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} = \sigma_c = \frac{K_{IC}}{(2\pi)^{1/2}}.
\]  
(8)

Substituting equation (8) into equation (7), a composite fracture criterion can be obtained:
\[
\frac{1}{2} \cos \frac{\theta_0}{2} \left[ K_I (1 + \cos \theta_0) - 3K_{II} \sin \theta_0 \right] = K_{IC}.
\]  
(9)

From equations (6) and (9), the envelopes of \(K_I\) and \(K_{II}\) can be obtained, which satisfy the complex fracture criterion. At the point outside the envelopes, brittle fracture will occur.

3. Plane Problem Study

3.1. Uniaxial Compression and Tension Problems. Under the action of excavation unloading, the stress state of surrounding rock gradually changes from compression to tension. Taking the plane plate with inclined crack as an example, the stress intensity factor of rock mass under uniaxial compression load and uniaxial tension load is analyzed, as shown in Figures 2 and 3. The crack length is \(2a\), and the angle between the stress axis is \(\beta\), the compressive stress is \(\sigma_c\), the tensile stress is \(\sigma\), the effective shear stress is expressed by \(\tau_e\) [24], and then the stress intensity factors of type I and type II under compressive stress are given, respectively:
\[
\begin{align*}
K_I &= \frac{2\alpha r_c \cos \beta}{\sqrt{\pi a}} \\
K_{II} &= \frac{2\alpha r_c \sin \beta}{\sqrt{\pi a}}
\end{align*}
\]  
(10)

Type I and type II stress intensity factors under tensile stress state are, respectively,
\[
\begin{align*}
K_I &= \sigma \sqrt{\pi a} \sin^2 \beta \\
K_{II} &= \sigma \sqrt{\pi a} \sin \beta \cos \beta
\end{align*}
\]  
(11)

The relationship between the fracture angle \(\theta_0\) and the crack angle \(\beta\) can be obtained by substituting equations (10) and (11) into formula (3).
\[
\begin{align*}
\sin \theta_0 + (3 \cos \theta_0 - 1) \cot \beta &= 0, \\
\sin \theta_0 + (3 \cos \theta_0 - 1) \tan \beta &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  
(12) (13)

Under uniaxial compression, the corresponding fracture angle \(\theta_0\) can be obtained by bringing the given crack angle \(\beta\) into formula (6), as shown in Figure 3. When \(\beta = 0\), \(\theta_0 = \arccos (1) = 0\), the fracture angle of crack growth increases with the increase of crack angle, and the crack growth direction is approximately consistent with the maximum compressive stress direction. In the uniaxial tension state, if \(0 < \beta < (\pi/2), \theta_0 < 0; \text{if} \beta = 0, -\theta_0 = \arccos (1/3) = 70.5. \) It can be seen that as the crack angle \(\beta\) increases, the fracture angle \(\theta_0\) of crack development decreases. This indicates that the crack
Figure 1: The polar component of the crack tip stress.

Figure 2: Unidirectional cracked plate model. (a) Compression crack. (b) Tension crack.
propagation direction is consistent with the direction of maximum hoop tensile stress $\sigma_\theta$ under the oblique tensile stress. It can be seen from formulae (11) and (12) that the fracture angle $\theta_0$ is only related to the crack angle $\beta$ and is independent of the material elastic modulus $E$ and Poisson’s ratio $\nu$.

3.2. Nonplanar Crack Expansion Problem. In the two-dimensional plane, the crack propagates along the maximum tensile stress component in the plane, and the crack is likely to deviate from its original plane due to the influence of shear load. When the crack begins to propagate away from its own plane, the nonplanar propagation of the crack is constructed into a complex model [26], as shown in Figure 4.

The direction of the maximum tensile stress component is set in the direction of the incremental expansion $dc$. Only the I-II composite fracture condition is considered: the crack plane has a rotation with the angle $\theta$ around the OZ axis. When the crack plane is twisted around the OX axis by an angle $\phi$, it is a case of I-III composite type crack, which will not be discussed. The stress acting on the imaginary crack extension surface is obtained according to formula (1) by an appropriate tensor transformation.

Under the rotation of the angle $\theta$, the relevant normal stress component and shear stress component on the new plane [27] are

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{y'y'} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi r)^{1/2}} \left( K_1 f_{10} + K_{II} f_{20} \right) \\
\sigma_{x'x'} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi r)^{1/2}} \left( K_1 f_{10} + K_{II} f_{20} \right) \\
\sigma_{x'z'} &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

where $f_{i0}$ and $f_{ij}$ terms in the formulas can be directly obtained from formula (1).

It can be seen from equation (14) that the corresponding stress component takes the maximum value when $\beta = 0$ is used, indicating that the crack propagation tends to spread along its own plane. On the other hand, the applied shear stress causes the crack to deviate from the original plane, when the inclined crack propagates, and the crack always occurs in the direction of the minimum shear load. Therefore, it can be considered that the shear stress is a correction, and its function is to return the deflected crack to a stable plane, which is perpendicular to the maximum principal tensile stress caused by the applied field.

In the case of I-II composite cracks, the crack propagates away from the near-field region of the crack and enters the far field of the applied load. The crack system’s grooming load gradually changes from mixed to pure I, which tends to unidirectional tensile failure of the crack. Figure 5 is a process model, in which a slanted defect develops to an actual crack [24]. The arrow shows the various load components acting on the preexisting crack $SS'$. As the crack expands further, the I-type load is much larger than the II-type load.

The cracks originate from defects in rock and continue to spread on a relatively smooth surface, and the crack tip will always bear strong tensile stress, thus activating microcracks in

![Figure 3: Relationship between fracture angle and crack angle.](image3)

![Figure 4: Nonplanar extended model of inclined crack.](image4)
In this model, the surrounding rock is assumed to be an ideal elastoplastic material, and the Mohr–Coulomb criterion is adopted. The model defines the direction of tensile stress is positive, and the direction of compressive stress is negative.

In order to reduce the influence of boundary conditions on the simulation results, the distance between the surrounding boundary and the center of the tunnel is set as nearly 5 times the width of it. The model of the horizontal direction of the X axis is 40 m long, 40 m high in vertical direction, and 20 m long in Y axis direction. Roadway size is width × height = 2.6 m × 2.7 m, and left and right side walls have two cracks with crack angles β = 90° and 0°, and the crack size is length × width × height = 1 m × 0.025 m × 0.5 m. There are 373,082 mesh elements and 64,829 nodes in the model, and the numerical calculation model is shown in Figure 6. The roadway is excavated in 10 steps separately, and the excavation footage of each step is 2 m. During the excavation process, the stress and displacement change information of vault and side wall of roadway cross section is recorded, which are monitoring point 1 and monitoring point 2, respectively. According to the measured stress in the mining area, the vertical load on the upper boundary of the model is about 10.0 MPa. Meanwhile, the maximum horizontal principal stress is 20.0 MPa (y-axis direction), and the minimum horizontal principal stress is 7.0 MPa (x-axis direction).

4.2. Changes of Stress State of Surrounding Rock. In the initial stress state, the principal stress direction is the principal stress loading direction of the initial model. The roadway adopts full-face excavation, and the principal stress distribution after excavation is shown in Figure 7. It can be seen that the principal stress rotation is obvious in the range of 2.5–3 m depth at the top and bottom of the roadway and 1.5–2 m depth at the side wall of the roadway; With the change of stress direction, the magnitude of principal stress also changes. The stress of surrounding rock of roadway is the highest within the depth range of 1–2 m, and the maximum principal stress is as high as 26.89 MPa. The stress nephogram is shown in Figure 8.

With the gradual process of mining excavation, the variation regulation of principal stress at model monitoring points is shown in Figure 9, in which the dots and short lines on the curve represent the direction of the principal stress. From the figure, it can be seen that, on the top and side walls, the tunnel excavation causes a degree of stress release and the rotation of the principal stress direction. With the advance of excavation, the minimum principal stress at the top of tunnel gradually rotates from the initial horizontal direction to the initial intermediate principal stress direction, and the intermediate principal stress rotates to the initial direction of the maximum principal stress; meanwhile, the maximum principal stress rotates from the parallel tunnel axis direction to the initial minimum principal stress direction. At the same time, the minimum principal stress direction of the monitoring point of the side wall hardly rotates, while the middle principal stress and the maximum
principal stress rotate about 90° counterclockwise along the 0x axis. The adjustments of principal stress directions of surrounding rock on the top and side walls of tunnel show that the plane of maximum and minimum principal stresses is parallel to the mining excavation face, while the direction of intermediate principal stress is perpendicular to the excavation face. The magnitude and direction of the principal stress of surrounding rock at the monitoring points change strongly in the next step of excavation; that is, the change lags behind the excavation face.

4.3. Law of Plastic Zone Expansion of Surrounding Rock. After excavation, the distribution of plastic zone in the surrounding rock of roadway is shown in Figure 10. It can be seen from Figure 7 that the principal stress direction of surrounding rock on the roadway surface is basically parallel to the contour line of roadway section, and the plastic zone in this area is mainly shear plastic zone. There is a local tensile plastic zone in the middle of the roadway floor, which shows that the floor bulges and the upward displacement reach 5.65 mm. At this time, the maximum principal stress of the bottom surrounding rock remains unchanged, while the intermediate principal stress and the minimum principal stress rotate 90° in the cross-sectional plane of the roadway. The direction of maximum principal stress of surrounding rock in roadway is consistent with the direction of roadway axis, while the direction of intermediate principal stress is parallel to the contour line of roadway section, and the area near shallow surrounding rock is shear failure.

In the process of roadway excavation, the stress of surrounding rock changes from three directions to two directions, and the stress path is complex. The rotation of the maximum principal stress of the side wall of the roadway is significant, and the changes of the intermediate principal stress and the minimum principal stress are small. To

| Physical and mechanical parameters of rock mass. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Density of rock mass $\rho$ (kg·m$^{-3}$) | Modulus of elasticity $E$ (GPa) | Internal friction angle $\varphi$ (°) | Cohesion $c$ (MPa) | Poisson ratio $\mu$ | Tensile strength $\sigma_t$ (MPa) |
| 2700.0 | 8.0 | 36.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.5 |

Figure 6: Numerical calculation model.
simplify the model, the cracks in the left side wall are selected for analysis, and the change path of the principal stress during the excavation of the side wall is shown in Figure 11.

The distribution of shear stress and plastic zone of surrounding rock is shown in Figure 12. In the plane where the cracks at the side wall are located, the maximum principal stress rotates 90° around the $Ox$ axis, and only I-II composite cracks are considered. When $\beta = 90^\circ$, the cracks develop in the direction of smaller shear load. Because of the width of the cracks in the model, the plastic zone in the $Z$-axis first appears tensile failure, and then shear failure. With the redistribution of stress, the cracks on the left side wall gradually change into tensile failure mode, and tensile plastic zone appears in the low shear stress area. A tensile stress of 0.9 MPa appears at monitoring point 2 of roadway, and stress intensity factors $K_I = 1.59$ and $K_{II} = 0$ are obtained by formula (11). When $\beta = 0^\circ$, the plastic zone in the $Z$-axis direction of the crack does not develop, and the two sides are distributed as shear plastic zones, while the plastic zone distribution of the right side wall is not affected by the crack, so it is considered to have "directional stability."

5. Discussion and Analysis

5.1. Influence of Principal Stress Rotation on Crack Development. Under the unloading conditions during mining excavation, the rock mass often undergoes failures due to compressive shearing and tensile shears, which can be simplified to the I-II composite fracture according to the fracture mechanics theory. In the theory of maximum hoop tensile stress, the crack will expand
toward the original fracture surface in some angle direction when the critical value is reached. Under the condition of diagonal tension stress, the fracture angle $\theta_0$ of crack growth gradually decreases with the increase of crack angle $\beta$, and the direction of crack growth is consistent with the direction of maximum hoop tension stress. Under the condition of compressive stress, the fracture angle of crack growth increases with the increase of crack angle, and the direction of crack growth is approximately consistent with the direction of maximum compressive stress. Therefore, the further development of crack angle is related to the direction of principal stress. During the rotation of principal stress, the fracture angle is constantly changing, resulting in new cracks.

The crack tends to propagate along its own plane, the research on nonplanar crack propagation shows that the applied shear stress makes the crack deviate from the original plane, and the deviation always occurs from the direction of larger shear stress to the direction of minimum shear stress. According to Mohr–Coulomb strength theory, the D-value between the maximum principal stress and the minimum principal stress in numerical simulation is shear stress, and the failure of rock mass is usually caused by shear failure. Therefore, the plastic zone of surrounding rock in the area with large shear stress is more distributed, and the plastic zone gradually expands from the stress concentration area to the shear stress reduction area, in which the tensile plastic zone is mostly distributed at the crack tip (as shown in Figure 10).

However, the development of cracks in rock mass is very complex, and the three-dimensional evolution law of cracks cannot be obtained by using the simplified uniaxial compression and tension model. Rotation of principal stress is a nonplanar propagation problem. During the excavation of 3D roadway model, the rotation of principal stress makes the plane of crack change. Because crack propagation tends to propagate along its own plane, the crack starts to keep “directional stability” on the new plane, which eventually leads to the deviation of crack development direction. This model only analyzes the development trend of cracks under rotational stress, and further research is needed for the fracture conditions of cracks in the new stress plane.

5.2. Changes of Stress Field at Crack Tip. During the development of I-II composite fractures, the crack system gradually changes from mixed to pure type I by dredging loads, and the failure model is shown in Figure 2(b). In Figure 5, with the further expansion of the crack, the mode I load is much larger than the mode II load, which indicates that the direction of the defect is an important mechanical parameter affecting the initial crack growth. In addition, the “relative initial angle” of the crack angle is updated in real time during the principal stress rotation and will become the next crack initial angle after each stress rotation. The rotation of stress direction leads to stress concentration and oscillation around the crack tip.

Figure 9: Principal stress value and direction change curve of monitoring point. (a) Top of tunnel. (b) Tunnel sidewall.

Figure 10: Distribution of plastic zone in surrounding rock of roadway.
Figure 11: Schematic diagram of principal stress change path.

Figure 12: Continued.
which promotes the development of cracks to micro-
cracks scattered around. The secondary fracture origin-
ated from the front of the expanding crack tip and
developed backward to connect with the main crack tip,
which showed a certain “attractive force.”

The rotation of the principal stress causes the devi-
ation of the crack development direction, which is not
conducive to accelerate the expansion of the initial crack on
the smooth surface, and inhibits the depth of the crack in the
initial direction to a certain extent. However, the principal
stress rotation can cause the surrounding rock damage
accumulation and accelerate the front of the main crack to
form and develop into separate entities. In Figure 10, the
principal stress directions of the surrounding rock surfaces
of the tunnel rotate most strongly (the maximum principal
stress direction is no longer the axis direction of the tunnel),
which increases the degree of fracture of the surrounding
rock on the tunnel surfaces.

6. Conclusion

(1) The relative initial angle of the crack angle is
updated in time during the principal stress ro-
tation process. Once the stress is rotated, it will
become the next initial crack angle. In the non-
planar crack propagation, the crack deviates un-
der the applied shear load. The direction of crack
is always toward the direction of the minimum
shear load. The depth of crack in the initial di-
rection is inhibited to a certain extent, but the
principal stress rotation causes the accumulation
of surrounding rock damage.

(2) According to the results of numerical simulation, it
can be seen that the effect of principal stress rotation
caused by mining excavation is obvious and has a
certain range of influence depth, the stress of sur-
rounding rock of roadway is the highest within the
depth range of 1~2 m, the maximum principal stress is
as high as 26.89 MPa, and the area with small exca-
vation shear stress is the direction of further devel-
opment of cracks. The rotation of principal stress
direction on the roadway surrounding rock surface is
the strongest, which makes the surrounding rock
more fragmented, and the middle principal stress and
the maximum principal stress rotate about 90°
clockwise along the Ox axis.

(3) The initiation and development direction of plane
cracks and nonplane cracks under stress rotation are
discussed in this paper, but it is difficult to reproduce
the complex stress path of engineering rock mass
excavation with simplified model. In the follow-up
work, it is necessary to build a model from the
principal stress rotation path, study the rock mass
variation characteristics when the principal stress axis
rotates continuously, and establish the stress-strain
relationship of rock mass under the action of principal
stress axis rotation.
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