Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.
Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in the general population of Oman: results from four successive nationwide sero-epidemiological surveys

Seif Salem Al-Abri\textsuperscript{a},*, Adil Al-Wahaibi\textsuperscript{b}, Hanan Al-Kindi\textsuperscript{b}, Padmamohan J Kurup\textsuperscript{c}, Ali Al-Maqbali\textsuperscript{d}, Zydi Al-Mayahi\textsuperscript{e}, Mohammed Hamed Al-Tobi\textsuperscript{f}, Salim Habbash Al-Katheri\textsuperscript{g}, Sultan Albusaidi\textsuperscript{h}, Mahmood Humaid Al-Sukaiti\textsuperscript{i}, Ahmed Yar Mohammed Al Balushi\textsuperscript{i}, Iyad Omer Abdelgadir\textsuperscript{k}, Nawal Al-Shehi\textsuperscript{l}, Essam Morkos\textsuperscript{m}, Amal Al-Maani\textsuperscript{a}, Bader Al-Rawahi\textsuperscript{a}, Fatma Alyaqoubi\textsuperscript{a}, Abdullah Algayoudhi\textsuperscript{a}, Khalid Al-Harthy\textsuperscript{a}, Sulien Al-Khalili\textsuperscript{a}, Azza Al-Rashdi\textsuperscript{b}, Intisar Al-Shukri\textsuperscript{b}, Thamra S. Al Ghafri\textsuperscript{b}, Fatma Al-Hashmi\textsuperscript{b}, Saeed Mussalam Al Jassasi\textsuperscript{i}, Nasser Alshaqsi\textsuperscript{c}, Nilanjana Mitra\textsuperscript{c}, Humaid Suhail Al Aamry\textsuperscript{i}, Parag Shah\textsuperscript{h}, Hanan Hassan Al Marbouai\textsuperscript{i}, Amany Hamed Al Araimi\textsuperscript{k}, Ismail Mohammed Kair\textsuperscript{g}, Asim Mohammed Al Manji\textsuperscript{i}, Ahmed Said Almalk\textsuperscript{g}, Fatma Khamis Al Alawi\textsuperscript{k}, Vidyanand Vaidya\textsuperscript{d}, Muhammad Muqeetullah\textsuperscript{i}, Hanan Al rashdi\textsuperscript{b}, Saud Said Nassir Al Jamoudi\textsuperscript{f}, Asila Alshaqsi\textsuperscript{e}, Abdullah Al Sharji\textsuperscript{c}, Hamida Al Shukeiri\textsuperscript{i}, Badr Al-Abri\textsuperscript{i}, Sulaiman Al-Rawahi\textsuperscript{a}, Said H. Al-Lamki\textsuperscript{p}, Abdulla Al-Manji\textsuperscript{a}, Amina Al-Jardani\textsuperscript{b}

\textsuperscript{a} Directorate General for Disease Surveillance and Control, Ministry of Health Muscat, Oman
\textsuperscript{b} Central Public Health Laboratories, Directorate General for Disease Surveillance and Control, Ministry of Health Muscat, Oman
\textsuperscript{c} Department of Disease Surveillance and Control, Directorate General for Health Services, Muscat Governorate, Ministry of Health, Muscat, Oman
\textsuperscript{d} Department of Disease Surveillance and Control, Directorate General for Health Services, North Batinah Governorate, Ministry of Health, Sohar, Oman
\textsuperscript{e} Department of Disease Surveillance and Control, Directorate General for Health Services, South Batinah Governorate, Ministry of Health, Rustaq, Oman
\textsuperscript{f} Department of Disease Surveillance and Control, Directorate General for Health Services, Al-Dhakiliya Governorate, Ministry of Health, Nizwa, Oman
\textsuperscript{g} Department of Disease Surveillance and Control, Directorate General for Health Services, Dhofar Governorate, Ministry of Health, Salalah, Oman
\textsuperscript{h} Department of Disease Surveillance and Control, Directorate General for Health Services, North Sharqiya Governorate, Ministry of Health, Ibri, Oman
\textsuperscript{i} Department of Disease Surveillance and Control, Directorate General for Health Services, Al-Dhahira Governorate, Ministry of Health, Buri, Oman
\textsuperscript{j} Department of Disease Surveillance and Control, Directorate General for Health Services, Al-Buraimi Governorate, Ministry of Health, Buraimi, Oman
\textsuperscript{k} Department of Disease Surveillance and Control, Directorate General for Health Services, South Sharqiya Governorate, Ministry of Health, Sur, Oman
\textsuperscript{l} Department of Disease Surveillance and Control, Directorate General for Health Services, Musandam Governorate, Ministry of Health, Khasab, Oman
\textsuperscript{m} Department of Disease Surveillance and Control, Directorate General for Health Services, Al-Wusta Governorate, Ministry of Health, Haima, Oman
\textsuperscript{n} Directorate General of Primary Health Care, Ministry of Health, Muscat, Oman
\textsuperscript{o} Health Services, Petroleum Development Oman, Muscat, Oman
\textsuperscript{p} Directorate General of Primary Health Care, Ministry of Health, Muscat, Oman

\textbf{A R T I C L E   I N F O}

Article history:
Received 14 August 2021
Revised 24 September 2021
Accepted 24 September 2021

\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

Objective: To assess the seroprevalence of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) in Oman and longitudinal changes in antibody levels over time within the first 11 months of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic.

Methods: This nationwide cross-sectional study was conducted as a four-cycle serosurvey using a multi-stage stratified sampling method from July to November 2020. A questionnaire was used and included demographics, history of acute respiratory infection and list of symptoms, COVID-19 contact, previous diagnosis or admission, travel history and risk factors.
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Results: In total, 17,457 participants were surveyed. Thirty percent were female and 66.3% were Omani. There was a significant increase in seroprevalence throughout the study cycles, from 5.5% (4.8–6.2%) in Cycle 1 to 22% (19.6–24.6%) in Cycle 4. There was no difference in seroprevalence between genders, but significant differences were found between age groups. There was a transition of seroprevalence from being higher in non-Omanis than Omanis in Cycle 1 [9.1% (7.6–10.9%) vs 3.2% (2.6–3.9%)] to being higher in Omanis than non-Omanis in Cycle 4 [24.3% (21.0–27.9%) vs 16.8% (14.9–18.9%)]. There was remarkable variation in the seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 according to governorate. Close contacts of people with COVID-19 had a 96% higher risk of having the disease [adjusted odds ratio (AOR) 1.96; 95% confidence intervals (CI) 1.64–2.34]. Labourers had 58% higher risk of infection compared with office workers (AOR 1.58, 95% CI 1.04–2.35).

Conclusion: This study showed a wide variation in the spread of SARS-CoV-2 across governorates in Oman, with higher estimated seroprevalence in migrants in the first two cycles. Prevalence estimates remain low and are insufficient to provide herd immunity.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Infectious Diseases.
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Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a severe, acute respiratory syndrome caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2). It was first identified in Wuhan, China in December 2019 (Huang et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020), and within months had spread to most nations of the world (Hick and Bidinger, 2020; World Health Organization, 2021).

The surveillance of confirmed COVID-19 cases may not represent a particular community, as the manifestation of SARS-CoV-2 infection ranges from asymptomatic to fatal (Stringhini et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020). Although reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) testing is currently recognized as the gold standard for the diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection (Chan et al., 2020), the true number of SARS-CoV-2 infections is much higher than the officially reported number of cases. This is due to several factors, including the occurrence of asymptomatic infections, variable seeking of health care for clinically mild cases, variation in testing strategies between and within countries, and incomplete case reporting (Chen et al., 2021; Rostami et al., 2021). Therefore, the reported number of COVID-19 cases, based on clinical identification with virological confirmation, only represents a small proportion of actual cases, and a large number of asymptomatic and mild infections in the general population might only be identified by sero-epidemiological studies (Munster et al., 2020; Rostami et al., 2021).

Sero logical studies are an important tool to evaluate the cumulative prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in the general population (Xu et al., 2020; Rostami et al., 2021; Thomas et al., 2021), estimate the proportion of the population previously infected, quantify the magnitude of the transmission of pathogens, estimate the infection fatality rate (Stringhini et al., 2020), assess the effect of interventions (Sughayer et al., 2020), and – when correlates of protection are available – estimate the degree of population immunity (Griffin, 2020; Verity et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2021). It is expected that serological monitoring and surveillance, if undertaken routinely, can be valuable for policy makers and health authorities when making public health decisions (Chen et al., 2021).

Oman has a heterogeneous population of 4.6 million people, with migrants accounting for 41% of the population according to the National Centre for Statistical Information, Oman (2021). The first case of COVID-19 in Oman was confirmed on 24 February 2020 when two citizens tested positive for COVID-19 after returning from Iran (Al-Rawahi et al., 2021).

The Government of Oman established a national supreme committee for the COVID-19 response in mid-March 2020 to coordinate the national response to the pandemic using a whole-of-government approach. Oman responded to the COVID-19 pandemic by implementing multiple non-pharmaceutical interventions in phases to control the disease, such as restricting flights from infected countries, closing schools and commercial activities, and restricting mass gatherings. In addition, other public health interventions have been instated, such as increasing testing capacity, addressing migrants’ needs under universal health coverage, contact tracing and quarantine, use of face masks, encouraging hand hygiene, and maintaining social distancing (Al Wahaibi et al., 2020).

The overall quality of seroprevalence studies is low; the majority of studies use convenience samples and rely on small or non-random sampling of participants, while only a few studies have used national-scale and multi-stage or stratified random sampling to select study participants (Bellizzi et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2021). This study aimed to assess the seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in the general population in Oman, and to assess the longitudinal changes in antibody levels over time within the first 11 months of the pandemic (prior to the launch of the national vaccination campaign), and how the changes correlate with time, sex, age, district, nationality and disease prevalence in the community.

Methods

Setting

This study was conducted as a series of four-cycle serosurvey nationwide cross-sectional studies. The target population was the entire sultanate of Oman, including all governorates (regions) and all wilayats (districts) in each governorate.

Study duration

The survey was performed in four cycles, and each cycle lasted from 5 to 10 working days. There was a 2–4-week gap between each cycle (not less than one incubation period and not exceeding two incubation periods). The variation between the duration of the cycles and the gaps was due to the presence of public holidays. Hence, Cycle 1 started on 12 July 2020, Cycle 2 started on 16 August 2020, Cycle 3 started on 13 September 2020, and Cycle 4 started on 8 November 2020.

Sampling

Sample size

Sample sizes were calculated based on 95% confidence intervals (CI) and 5% margin of error samples using Epi Info Version
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7.2 (Atlanta, GA, USA). To calculate the sample size, Oman was divided administratively into 11 governorates, with each counting as a unique population. Muscat Governorate has a larger population, representing approximately one-third of Oman’s population, so this governorate was divided into two areas and considered as two administrative areas; sampling was conducted from each area separately.

Sampling technique

This study was conducted using a multi-stage stratified sampling method. With the help of the telecommunications regulatory authority and the National Centre for Statistical Information, telephone numbers of residents in each governorate were used as the sample frame for the study. This was particularly useful because people who were physically present in governorates were targeted, not only by the registered address but by mobile phones connected to telecom towers in each area. Random samples were selected from each governorate, and telephone numbers were distributed to the focal points in the governorates. The telephone number was used to represent the household. In the household, the family was listed, sorted by age in descending order and numbered. One member from the household was selected at random using a random number generator mobile phone application. The focal points in the governorates were responsible for calling the participants, selecting the household member at random, preparing them for the study, and directing them to the nearest primary healthcare centre to undergo the survey. Table S1 (see online supplementary material) shows the samples for each governorate in each cycle.

Exclusion criteria

In this study, current symptoms consistent with COVID-19 infection were excluded, as the participant would be directed to undergo PCR testing. Other exclusion criteria included age <5 years, contraindication to venipuncture, or lack of willingness to participate.

Data collection

Questionnaire

The questionnaire data included: patient demographics (sex, age, house address, work address, nationality, occupation), history of acute respiratory infection and list of symptoms, COVID contact, previous diagnosis or admission, history of travel, and risk factors (e.g. diabetes mellitus, hypertension) (Table S2, see online supplementary material). The questionnaire was collected electronically by the attending physician in the primary healthcare system through Tarassud Plus, a Ministry of Health electronic record system. After consenting, the participant was directed for collection of a serological sample.

Blood test for serology of COVID-19

Specimens were collected, with appropriate infection control precautions, aseptically by venipuncture, and the serum or plasma was separated from clot, red cells or gel separator after centrifugation of the sample. Samples were then transported to central public health laboratories as soon as possible; if transportation was delayed, samples were stored at 2–8 °C for a maximum of 48–72 h, and if transportation was delayed further, samples were stored at −80 °C.

SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin G (IgG) was assessed using the LIASON IgG chemiluminescence assay (DiaSorin, Saluggia, Italy). The test method was quantitative determination of IgG anti-S1 and IgG anti-S2. The test was performed in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. The analyser automatically calculated SARS-CoV-2 S1/S2 IgG antibody concentrations expressed as arbitrary units (AU/mL), and graded the results as follows: ≥15.0 was considered positive, 12.0 < x < 15.0 was equivocal, and <12.0 was considered negative. The equivocal samples were retested on the same specimen in duplicate with the LIASON SARS-CoV-2 S1/S2 IgG assay. Samples with at least two of the three results ≥15.0 AU/mL were graded positive. Samples with at least two of the three results <12.0 AU/mL were graded negative. When the results were repeatedly equivocal, a second sample was collected and tested no less than 1–2 weeks later.

Daily SARS-CoV-2 PCR confirmed data

The open access national and governorate daily SARS-CoV-2 PCR-confirmed data were also presented for the same time period as the study to compare with the serological results.

Statistical analysis

Basic sample characteristics were presented as percentages in each cycle and compared using the Chi-squared test. Age was categorized into the following groups: 5–14, 15–30, 31–49 and ≥50 years. The participant was considered positive when the IgG concentration was >15 AU/mL, negative when the concentration was <12.0 AU/mL, and equivocal when the concentration was 12.0–15.0 AU/mL. Only positive serological results were analysed.

Weights were computed for the sample in such a way that they accounted for age group, sex and nationality. Overall weighted seroprevalence rates by cycle, governorate and wilayah were presented. The description was also done by age group, sex and nationality between Omanis and non-Omanis. All the weighted seroprevalence rates were presented with 95% CI, calculated using a design-based likelihood method in the survey package of R software (Lumley, 2004). Mapping the prevalence at wilayah level was conducted using the tmap package of R software (Tennekes, 2018).

Multi-variable binary logistic regression analysis was performed to estimate the odds ratio of positive serology as the dependent variable, with various independent variables, namely: age group, sex, nationality, previous symptoms, previous COVID diagnosis, close contact with a COVID-19 patient, history of travel, comorbidities and occupation.

Simple linear regression analysis was used to estimate national projected near-future (up to January 2021) seroprevalence. All statistical analyses were undertaken using R Version 4.0 (R Core Team, Vienna, Austria).

Results

In total, 17,457 participants took part in these surveys, with 4210, 4403, 4780 and 4064 participants in Cycles 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. Thirty percent of the sample were female, 52% were aged 31–49 years, and 66.3% were Omanis (Table 1). Among all positive symptomatic results (n=279), 100 participants (35.8%) had a fever, 105 (37.6%) participants had loss of smell, and 92 (33.0%) participants had a cough (Table S3, see online supplementary material).

A significant increase in the seroprevalence of COVID-19 was observed throughout the study cycles, from 5.5% (4.8–6.2%) in Cycle 1 to 22% (19.6–24.6%) in Cycle 4 (Figure 1). Although no differences in prevalence were noted between age groups in each cycle, the prevalence rates for each age group were notably different between cycles, except for children (5–14 years; Figure 2A). No difference in seroprevalence was noted between the two sexes throughout the cycles (Figure 2B). Nevertheless, a transition of seroprevalence was observed, from being higher in non-Omanis compared with Omanis in Cycle 1 [9.1% (7.6–10.9%) vs 3.2% (2.6–3.9%)] to be-
ing higher in Omanis compared with non-Omanis in Cycle 4 [24.3% (21.0–27.9%) vs 16.8% (14.9–18.9%)] (Figure 2C).

Figure 3 shows the seroprevalence of COVID-19 by governorate. The highest seroprevalence rates in Cycle 4 were found in North Batinah, South Batinah and Al Dahirah Governorates [31.5% (23.4–40.4%), 28.7% (18.9–40.1%) and 26.8% (15.3–40.9%), respectively]. Figure 3 shows that the significant increase in cycle seroprevalence rates differed within each governorate. For example, the change in seroprevalence in Muscat Governorate was only significant when moving from Cycle 3 to Cycle 4 [from 12.1% (9.6–14.8%) to 21.9% (16.5–28%)]. This difference was observed for North Batinah Governorate between Cycle 1 and Cycle 2 [from 5.6% (3.4–8.5%) to 20.3% (14.4–27.1%)], after which seroprevalence did not differ.

Figure 4 shows the results of seroprevalence in Cycle 4 at wilayat level, both graphically and by geographical distribution. It shows that the seroprevalence rate ranged from 50–60% in Al-Musannah (South Batinah Governorate) to 40–50% in Qurayyat (Muscat Governorate).

Table 2 shows the crude odds ratios (COR) and adjusted odds ratios (AOR) (with 95% CI) of getting COVID-19 infection (positive serology) for certain factors. Older age groups are at higher risk of getting the infection compared with children (5–14 years old), especially the 15–30-year-old category (COR 2.42, 95% CI 1.88–3.17; AOR 2.3, 95% CI 1.31–4.46). The AOR did not capture any difference in seropositivity between sexes and nationalities (AOR 1.1, 95% CI 0.94–1.28; AOR 0.93, 95% CI 0.83–1.04, respectively). Table 2 also shows that close contacts of COVID-19 patients were at 96% higher risk of having the disease than non-contacts (AOR 1.96, 95% CI 1.64–2.34). In addition, travellers and patients with comorbidities were found to be 40% more protected from contracting COVID-19 (AOR 0.58, 95% CI 0.45–0.72; AOR 0.59, 95% CI 0.46–0.75, respectively). For occupations, labourers had a 58% higher risk of infection compared with office workers (AOR 1.58, 95% CI 1.04–2.35), whereas healthcare workers had a 37% lower risk of infection compared with office workers (AOR 0.63, 95% CI 0.49–0.80).

The projected near-future national trend in seroprevalence is shown in Figure S1 (see online supplementary material), showing that the seroprevalence rate in January 2021 is expected to be approximately 30% (21–38%).

Discussion

The low estimated seroprevalence rate among the residents of Oman in Cycles 1 and 2 is comparable to other population-based studies that have been conducted around the same period or before (Pollan et al., 2020; Alsuwaidi et al., 2021; Bellizzi et al., 2021), but was lower than studies conducted in Brazil and India by Murhekar et al. (2021) and Hallal et al. (2020), and studies conducted in Iran (Hallal et al., 2020; Poustchi et al., 2021). The national lockdown and adherence to social distancing in the few months preceding the study (Al Wahaibi et al., 2020) are potential contributors to the observed low seroprevalence rate, especially in Cycles 1 and 2 of the survey.
Figure 1. Serosurvey results by cycle, nationwide serosurvey, Oman, 12 July 2020–8 November 2020. PCR, polymerase chain reaction.

Over the 19 weeks of this study, seroprevalence increased from approximately 5% to approximately 22%; this was expected considering the time to seroconversion after symptoms, the fact that the peak of the first wave of the epidemic was reached the week before the start of Cycle 1, and Cycle 4 was conducted after the end of the second peak (see Figures 1 and 2). The increase in seroprevalence was similar to findings from other studies (Hallal et al., 2020; Stringhini et al., 2020; Bellizzi et al., 2021; Murhekar et al., 2021).

This study showed the comparatively low risk of healthcare workers being infected compared with other categories in the community, despite the increased risk of exposure in the workplace; this indicates the increased risk awareness and implementation of infection prevention and control measures by healthcare workers. However, another study conducted simultaneously by the present authors showed that the prevalence of COVID-19 in healthcare workers is a reflection of seroprevalence in the community, and that people working in supporting services are at higher risk of COVID-19 than those working in high-risk areas in hospitals, such as COVID-19 wards and intensive care units (Al-Maani et al., 2021).

The seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in the general population also varied across World Health Organization (WHO) regions, with higher seroprevalence in the South-East Asia region (e.g. India,
Figure 2. Serosurvey results by age group (A), sex (B) and nationality (C), nationwide serosurvey, Oman, 12 July 2020–8 November 2020. CI, confidence interval.

Figure 3. Serosurvey results by governorate and cycle, nationwide serosurvey, Oman, 12 July 2020–8 November 2020. Brm, Alburaimi; Dfr, Dhofar; Dhr, Dhahira; Dkhl, Dakhiliyah; Msct, Muscat; Msdm, Musandam; N. Btn, North Batinah; N. Shrq, North Sharqiyyah; S. Btn, South Batinah; S. Shrq, South Sharqiyyah; Wst, Alwusta; CI, confidence interval.
than years) other

2020

range 5–14-years

China,

serosurvey, Oman, 12 July 2020–8 November 2020. COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019.

Table 2

| Factors                                      | COR (95% CI)   | AOR (95% CI)    |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 15–30 years (ref. 5–14 years)               | 2.42 (1.88–3.17) | 2.3 (1.31–4.46) |
| 31–49 years (ref. 5–14 years)               | 2.22 (1.73–2.89) | 2.1 (1.2–4.06)  |
| ≥50 years (ref. 5–14 years)                 | 1.94 (1.48–2.59) | 2.16 (1.2–4.23) |
| Male (ref. female)                          | 1.13 (1.03–1.25) | 1.1 (0.94–1.28) |
| Omani (ref. non-Omani)                      | 1.06 (0.97–1.17) | 0.93 (0.83–1.04) |
| Known contact with COVID-19                 | 3.15 (2.77–3.59) | 1.96 (1.64–2.34) |
| Diagnosed with COVID-19                     | 32.3 (25–42.29)  | 25.3 (19.1–34.01) |
| Respiratory symptoms in the past 3 months   | 3.15 (2.77–3.57) | 2.39 (2–2.84)   |
| Respiratory symptoms in the past 2 weeks    | 1.67 (1.28–2.15) | 0.74 (0.51–1.06) |
| Travelled                                   | 0.8 (0.65–0.96)  | 0.58 (0.45–0.72) |
| Comorbidity                                 | 0.74 (0.61–0.89) | 0.59 (0.46–0.75) |
| Driver (ref. office worker)                 | 1.16 (0.86–1.54) | 1.29 (0.94–1.73) |
| Healthcare worker (ref. office worker)      | 0.81 (0.66–1)    | 0.63 (0.49–0.8) |
| Housewife (ref. office worker)              | 1 (0.84–1.19)    | 1.12 (0.9–1.41) |
| Labourer (ref. office worker)               | 1.46 (0.97–2.12) | 1.58 (1.04–2.35) |
| Police (ref. office worker)                 | 1.37 (1.08–1.72) | 1.08 (0.82–1.4) |
| Shop/restaurant worker (ref. office worker) | 1.14 (0.8–1.6)   | 1.31 (0.91–1.85) |
| Teacher (ref. office worker)                | 0.74 (0.57–0.94) | 0.84 (0.64–1.09) |
| Not provided (ref. office worker)           | 1.05 (0.93–1.18) | 1.16 (1.02–1.31) |

This may be a reflection of school, daycare, nursery and play area closures during the period prior to and during the surveys, as these areas are the main exposure places for children.

Marked regional differences were observed between the different governorates in Oman which generally match the surveillance data (Figure 2); this could be due, in part, to the different introduction times of the epidemic to the governorates, as it started in the capital governorate (Muscat) and then spread to the adjacent governorates. This has also been shown in a study from Washington, USA (Tordoff et al., 2021). There was also earlier spread in the Western Pacific region (e.g. China, range 0.8–3.3%) (Chen et al., 2021).

In SARS-CoV-2 serosurveys, this study found no difference in SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence between genders (Pollán et al., 2020; Stringhini et al., 2020; Alsuwaidi et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2021; Rostami et al., 2021). Significant differences were found between age groups, mainly in the 15–30-years age group compared with the 5–14-years age group; this is similar to findings from other studies which showed that children and adolescents (0–19 years) and older people (60 years) had lower seroprevalence rates than other age groups (Hallal et al., 2020; Pollán et al., 2020; Stringhini et al., 2020; Alsuwaidi et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2021).
the governorates bordering other countries. Regional variations in SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence have also been reported in many other countries (Pollán et al., 2020; Alsuwaidi et al., 2021; Bellizzi et al., 2021; Núñez-Zapata et al., 2021; Poustchi et al., 2021).

The seropositivity rate was higher in migrants during Cycles 1 and 2, with a subsequent increase in Omanis in Cycles 3 and 4. In addition, the risk of infection was higher in labourers compared with office workers, as supported by the logistic regression model. This is similar to other studies undertaken in three Gulf Cooperation Council countries (Alali et al., 2021; Alsuwaidi et al., 2021; Jeremijenko et al., 2021). This could be explained by the fact that, early in the pandemic, there were many outbreaks in labour camps and dormitories that were densely populated with congregate living facilities, and lack of adherence to social distancing (Al Balushi et al., 2020; Al Fahdi et al., 2021). The Ministry of Health, along with other stakeholders, implemented rigorous structured occupational health measures in workplaces and dormitories with close monitoring to reduce the risk of COVID-19 transmission among this group. Later, most cases were in Omanis because of family and social gatherings (Al-Mahrqi et al., 2021).

Consistent with other studies, fever, loss of smell and taste, and cough were among the symptoms found to have the strongest association with seropositivity (Makarondis et al., 2020; Alsuwaidi et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2021). The results of this multi-variable logistic regression model confirm that close contact with people with COVID-19 almost doubles the risk of viral transmission; this is similar to other surveys (Pollán et al., 2020; Alsuwaidi et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2021) and highlights the importance of public health measures, including contact tracing, quarantine and self-isolation.

To the authors’ knowledge, this is the first four-cycle study and the largest general-population-based study of the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in the WHO Eastern Mediterranean region. A key strength of this study is the random selection of households from the national telecommunication register, which enabled the authors to contact a representative sample of the Oman population with a detailed sampling framework, rigorous sampling methods (i.e. multi-stage, stratified sampling) and adjustments for selection bias. The use of a more sensitive and specific technique to screen for antibodies was an additional strength of this study.

This study has some limitations that should be noted. First, individuals with a history of COVID-19 symptoms or contacts of positive cases may have been more willing to participate in this study. However, because participants were selected at random from the population, the potential effect of this limitation on the findings is expected to be low, especially with the high media coverage that preceded each cycle, which resulted in a high response rate for the study. Second, some participants may not have recalled the answers for all questions in the study questionnaire accurately, resulting in possible recall bias. Nevertheless, this bias is expected to be small, as with growing fears about the pandemic, it was assumed that people would be more likely to remember any respiratory symptoms over the past few months. Third, only children aged ≥5 years were included in this study, and immunological responses and susceptibility to infection may be different in younger children. Fourth, there is a need to consider potential low sensitivity for individuals who were infected long before testing for the study, as antibody titres wane over the months following infection (Accorsi et al., 2021).

This study found a seroprevalence rate of 22% in the general population, and this was projected to be only 30% by January 2021; this suggests that the number of people infected by the end of 2020 was unlikely to satisfy estimates of what would be required to achieve herd immunity (Pollán et al., 2020; Stringhini et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2021; Núñez-Zapata et al., 2021; Rostami et al., 2021). These findings emphasize the importance of prevention strategies (e.g. physical distancing, use of face masks, identifying and isolating new cases and their contacts), which are imperative for controlling the pandemic until community immunity can be achieved through vaccination to further protect the general population from SARS-CoV-2 transmission.

In conclusion, this study provides nationwide and regional estimates of the spread of SARS-CoV-2 in Oman, showing wide variation between the different governorates. The substantially higher seroprevalence estimates in migrants in Cycles 1 and 2 of the study reflects the high efficiency of SARS-CoV-2 spread in congregate living facilities and work settings, and the effectiveness of occupational health measures put into place to reduce transmission in these settings. The prevalence estimates remain low and are insufficient to provide herd immunity, therefore bolstering the need to achieve mass vaccination.
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