Culex pipiens Development Is Greatly Influenced by Native Bacteria and Exogenous Yeast
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Abstract

Culex pipiens is the most cosmopolitan mosquito of the Pipiens Assemblage. By studying the nature of interactions between this species and microorganisms common to its breeding environment we can unravel important pitfalls encountered during development. We tested the survival rate of larval stages, pupae and adults of a Cx. pipiens colony exposed to a variety of microorganisms in laboratory conditions and assessed the transmission to offspring (F1) by those organisms that secured development up to adulthood. Three complementary experiments were designed to: 1) explore the nutritional value of yeasts and other microorganisms during Cx. pipiens development; 2) elucidate the transstadial transmission of yeast to the host offspring; and 3) to examine the relevance of all these microorganisms in female choice for oviposition-substratum. The yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae proved to be the most nutritional diet, but despite showing the highest survival rates, vertical transmission to F1 was never confirmed. In addition, during the oviposition trials, none of the gravid females was attracted to the yeast substratum. Notably, the two native bacterial strains, Klebsiella sp. and Aeromonas sp., were the preferred oviposition media, the same two bacteria that managed to feed neonates until molting into 2nd instar larvae. Our results not only suggest that Klebsiella sp. or Aeromonas sp. serve as attractants for oviposition habitat selection, but also nurture the most fragile instar, L1, to assure molting into a more resilient stage, L2, while yeast proves to be the most supportive diet for completing development. These experiments unearthed survival traits that might be considered in the future development of strategies of Cx. pipiens control. These studies can be extended to other members of the Pipiens Assemblage.

Introduction

In the development of new strategies for the control of disease-carrying mosquitoes, the study of food sources, particularly microorganisms that might be critical for securing the survival of developmental stages of these insects, is essential. Mosquitoes exhibit complete metamorphosis
with development accomplished in aquatic habitats where the eggs are laid, hatched, and passed through four larval instars and a pupal stage until molting into imagoes. In many species, to secure and complete oogenesis, females have to take a blood-meal after copulation; while larvae are filter feeders taking their food from the water bodies they inhabit [1]. According to Laird [2] the larval food of mosquitoes consists of a range of non-living and living resources as organic detritus, bacteria, unicellular algae, different protozoa, micro-metazoa and small filamentous algae. In addition, mosquito larvae seem not to discriminate the type of food they eat [3].

The nutritional function of yeasts has been demonstrated in some insects. Yeasts provide enzymes which help the host to digest essential amino acids, vitamins and sterols. It has also been reported that yeasts play some role in detoxification of toxic metabolites originated in the host’s diet, however, this mainly relates to insects that feed on plants or their fluids [4]. In some insects, yeasts have been identified in the gut as well as inside special organs known as mycocytes. In relation to vertical transmission to the progeny only a few species of yeasts had been confirmed for insects in general, by being smeared on to the egg shell for future consumption by the 1st instar or hatching larva [5–7]. Only a few species of yeast have been described from mosquitoes, and their function and the possibility of being transmitted to the next generation is not clear [6,8–10]. Candida intermedia, Hanseniaspora uvarum and Wickerhamomyces anomalus (= Picha anomala) were found in newly emerged lab-reared Anopheles stephensi [11]. W. anomalus was found to live in association with some populations of An. stephensi, Anopheles gambiae, Aedes (Stegomyia) aegypti and Aedes (Stegomyia) albopictus, and further localization studies in the midgut and reproductive systems might suggest multiple transmission patterns of the yeast [10]. Picha and Candida species were isolated from gut diverticulum and identified from ovaries of Ae. aegypti but no further investigation addressed the role nor their transmission patterns [9,12]. In an in-depth characterization of mosquito-associated yeasts, including hosts such as Cx. pipiens, Anopheles maculipennis and four Aedes (Ae. cataphylla, Ae. communis, Ae. cantans, Ae. cinereus), Ignatova et al. [8] identified 18 species out of 7 genera of yeasts; however, none of the 18 yeast strains were pathogenic to any bloodsucking mosquito species studied; and experiments of larvae infected with yeasts grew and developed significantly faster as compared to control, encompassing reduction of the developmental time for all mosquitoes reared on yeast flora [8]. Therefore, they concluded that yeasts serve as a highly nutritional food item for mosquito larvae, being a regular component of the mosquito microbiota. Despite their diversity and prevalence, yeast role in mosquito’s life cycle is still poorly understood. In addition, for the past two decades research has been mainly focused on vectors of malaria and dengue, such as Anopheles and Aedes species, while studies on other more widespread vectors, like those in the Pipiens Assemblage remain neglected.

On the other hand, mosquito-bacteria associations have been the aim of several studies from attempts to understand symbiotic interactions, exploring their application in paratransgenic methods up to the discovery of new entomopathogens. In the last two decades the characterization of the microbiota of mosquitoes has been the focus of relevant discoveries, especially microorganisms localized in the gut and salivary glands, particularly some bacteria inhabiting the midgut along with blood digestion [13]. It has been shown that bacterial communities may differ according to different breeding habitats of mosquitoes in their larval stages [14,15], according to the developmental stage of the insect [16], or also according to the species of mosquito analyzed [17]. Some of them, like Enterobacter sp., have been suggested to be transovarially transmitted to the host offspring [12]; and Klebsiella sp. and Aeromonas sp. were proposed as gut symbionts and not just as a food source [18–21]; particularly Aeromonas sp. is an important microbiota component of other Culex species like Culex tarsalis [14,15].
Cx. pipiens is the main species characterizing the Pipiens Assemblage, the most widespread group of mosquitoes in the world [22]. The group comprises the principal vectors of St. Louis encephalitis virus (SLEV), West Nile virus (WNV), Rift Valley Fever virus (RVFV), filarial worms and some other wildlife pathogens like bird malaria [23]. In Argentina the Pipiens Assemblage includes Cx. pipiens and Culex quinquefasciatus, these species are found in all biogeographic regions of the country [24,25], being both competent vectors of WNV and SLEV [26,27].

With the aim of analyzing microbiota-mosquito interactions within the Pipiens Assemblage we have explored the nutritional value of yeasts and other commonly associated microorganisms during Cx. pipiens development as well as addressed transmission to offspring. The relevance of these microorganisms in female choice for oviposition-substratum was also investigated, as females might want to secure food sources, making them immediately available for the most vulnerable stage, the 1st instar larvae.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

The protocol for blood feeding mosquitoes on mice was reviewed and approved by the Animal Experimental Committee at the Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences, Mar del Plata University (Institutional Committee on Care and Use of Experimental Animals (CICUAL) Nº 2555-04-14). The mice were handled in strict accordance with National Health Service and Food Quality (SENASA) guidelines Argentina and with the 2011 revised form of The Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the U.S. National Institutes of Health.

Laboratory-reared mosquitoes

Analysed mosquitoes were obtained from a one-year-old colony of Cx. pipiens reared in the insectary of the Biological Control Laboratory of the INBIOTEC-CONICET, FIBA (Argentina). They were maintained at standard conditions of 24°C and 80 ± 5% relative humidity (RH), photoperiod (12 h light: 12 h dark) and in near-axenic settings during both the developmental and adult stages. For near-axenic conditions larva, pupae and eggs were externally sterilized as described below and the females were exposed to sterile distilled water inside plastic containers for the oviposition. After eggs hatching, larvae were grown in different plastic containers, filled with sterile distilled water and fed with the different microorganisms offered for each treatment, and with commercial fish food (Shulet® Carassius) as a control diet. Adult stages were provided with sterile 10% sucrose solution and the females with fresh blood from mice.

Microorganism strains, media composition and growth conditions

S. cerevisiae (MATa, his3Δ1, leu2Δ0, met15Δ0, ura3Δ0, PIL1::GFP), native Klebsiella sp. and Aeromonas sp. isolated from a Cx. pipiens laboratory colony were grown at 28°C in yeast peptone dextrose medium supplemented with adenine, YPDA media plates [28] or potato dextrose agar, APG medium (Britania). The cyanobacteria Anabaena PCC 7120 and Synechocystis PCC 6803, and the microalgae Chlorella sorokiniana RP were grown at 28°C in BG11 medium [29].

S. cerevisiae GFP-labelled strain was a generous gift from Dr. Alejandro Colman Lerner (School of Sciences, University of Buenos Aires); Anabaena 7120 and Synechocystis 6803 were provided by Institute Pasteur (Paris) and C. sorokiniana strain RP is part of the FIBA culture collection. Mosquito native bacteria, Klebsiella sp. and Aeromonas sp. were isolated during the development of this research using classical microbiological methods, by cultivating in YPDA.
medium, with samples obtained from homogenates of larvae, pupae and adults of *Cx. pipiens*. Each microorganism was grown in their specific media harvested by centrifugation, washed twice with 10% TE buffer (100 mM Tris HCl pH 7, 10 mM EDTA), lyophilized and later used as larval food.

**Evaluation of the nutritional value of microorganisms on the development of *Cx. pipiens***

In order to analyze the function of yeasts in the development of *Cx. pipiens* mosquitoes, different microorganisms were provided as food source from neonate to L4 larvae to assess growth and development of the different developmental stages. For that, egg rafts from *Cx. pipiens* laboratory breeding were washed with 0.01% of non-ionic detergent (Biopack) and then with sterile distilled water for three times to remove any contaminants by external microorganisms. After this, the eggs were placed in plastic containers with sterile distilled water until the hatching of neonate larvae. Two diet assays were carried out, experiment 1: groups of 100 larvae divided into subgroups of 20 were transferred to plastic containers with 100 mL of sterile distilled water in each of them and were fed with different microorganisms: yeasts (GFP-labelled *S. cerevisiae*), microalgae (*C. sorokiniana*), cyanobacteria (*Anabaena* 7120 and *Synechocystis* 6803), bacteria (*Klebsiella* sp. and *Aeromonas* sp.), and fish food as a control diet (Shulet® Carassius, composed of fish, meat, liver and soybeans flours, sea algae, cereals, wheat germ, casein, baking powder, egg powder, dehydrated spinach, fish oil with butylhydroxytoluene). The fish food used as control food for the experiments has yeast as part of its composition; however, we verified that yeast was unviable by culturing it in YPDA medium. One milligram of each lyophilized cell culture was used to feed each group of larvae. Developmental stage and number of surviving individuals per treatment were monitored every 24 h. Experiment 2: To have consistency in the analysis of the results, the experiment was repeated three more times; but in this step, larvae from the same egg raft were used for each repetition, each raft has an average of 150 eggs. Thereby, 20 larvae were transferred to plastic containers with 100 mL of sterile distilled water in each of them and were fed with the same food items as in experiment 1.

**Transstadial transmission of yeast in *Cx. pipiens***

In our studies, no native yeasts were detected in the *Cx. pipiens* laboratory colony population, due to the lack of success isolating any native yeast from our *Cx. pipiens* laboratory colony, we decided to pursue the nutrition and transmission experiments by applying the same organism, *S. cerevisiae*, a model yeast used in many bioassays and analyze if it could be transmitted to the insect progeny. The *S. cerevisiae* strain used had the advantage of being available labelled with GFP, therefore, transstadial transmission could be followed *in situ* (host) throughout development by just incorporating it as a main food item from neonate larvae onwards.

To follow the presence and location of the labelled yeast in the different mosquito stages along the life cycle including the offspring eggs, 800 *Cx. pipiens* larvae from the laboratory bred mosquitoes were fed with GFP-labelled *S. cerevisiae* during the whole larval development using a 24 h yeast culture. Insects of each individual stage were observed by fluorescence microscopy and GFP-labelled cells were detected in the digestive tracts in the four larval stages by fluorescent microscopy, in concordance with the expected time of fluorescent emission by the yeast lasting up to 48–72 h after being cultured in rich media (YPDA). To determine if the yeast stays alive during pupal and adult stages, and F1 eggs, all the developmental stages of the insects were homogeneized in saline solution and grown in YPDA medium plates, incubated at 28°C for 24–48 h and used for molecular analysis. Before each treatment, mosquitoes were
rinsed and sterilized superficially in the following solutions: sodium hypochlorite (5% for 2 min) and rinsed twice with sterile distilled water (2 min). Internal symbionts, such as endosymbionts or any vertically transmitted bacteria cannot be removed by these methods. Additionally, aliquots of 100 μL from the last wash (sterile distilled water) were grown in YPDA and APG media as a control of the efficiency of the surface sterilization process.

Light and fluorescence microscopy

GFP-labelled _S. cerevisiae_ colonies and cells were examined by light and fluorescence microscopy using a Nikon E600 microscope with 10X and 100X objectives respectively. Images were captured by digital camera Olympus DP72, using Cellsens Entry imaging software.

Yeast detection and treatment for DNA extraction from F1 eggs

In order to determine the yeast growth from the F1 eggs inoculated by females during oviposition and to detect yeast transferred by the female into the eggs, 100 L1 larvae, placed in 100 mL of deionized water, were fed every other day with 1 mL of GFP-labelled _S. cerevisiae_ strain grown in YPDA media until OD₆₀₀ = 1 (about 3 x 10⁷ cells/mL). The larvae were washed when they reached the pupal stage, transferred into sterile water and maintained in separate cages until adult emergence. Newly emerged mosquitoes were fed on a sterile cotton pad soaked with sterilized 10% sucrose solution (renewed every 24 h) and females were supplied with blood after their sexual maturity (5 days or after seeing mating). Two days after blood meal, they were transferred into a rearing cage and a plastic container with sterile water for egg laying. Each oviposition was immediately collected and grown in YPDA culture medium for the isolation of labelled yeast or DNA extraction for yeast detection into the eggs by the GFP amplification.

DNA extraction and PCR-based analysis

DNA extraction from washed insects of each developmental stage and F1 eggs were conducted under sterile conditions and performed with the PureLink™ Genomic DNA Mini Kit (Invitrogen) and DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen). Genomic DNA extractions from yeast and bacteria cultures were done by conventional techniques [28]. The PCR reactions were carried out by using 0.2 μg of DNA template in a reaction mixture (total volume, 25 μL) containing each deoxynucleoside triphosphate at a concentration of 400 μM, each primer at a concentration of 0.5 μM, and 0.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase dissolved in the corresponding reaction buffer (Promega). Amplifications were performed with a Mastercycler®: Epgradient (Eppendorf) under the following conditions: 10 min of denaturation at 94°C, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation for 30 s at 94°C, annealing for 45 s at 50–55°C depending on the primers used, extension for 45 s at 72°C and an extra extension step of 1 min at 72°C. Primer pairs, yeast-F1 and yeast-R1 [11] and NS1 and FS2 [30], were used to amplify mosquito associated yeasts.

Small subunit rRNA genes (16S rDNA) from the native bacteria were amplified by PCR using universal bacteria specific primers, 27f and 1495r [31]. For the amplification of GFP gene fragment, which length is about 700 bp, the primer pair GFPFW (5’TGGAGAAGAACCTTT-CACTGGGAG3’) and GFPRev (5’CATCCATGCGATGTGTAATCCTAG3’) was used under the following amplification conditions: 90 s of denaturation at 94°C, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation for 15 s at 94°C, annealing for 60 s at 50°C, and extension for 90 s at 72°C and an extra extension step of 1 min at 72°C. PCR products were run on 1% agarose gel-electrophoresis, analyzed using a gel imaging system (Photodyne Incorporated, Hartland, WI, USA) and were sequenced at Macrogen (Korea). BLASTn (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) was used for DNA sequence analysis.
Impact of microorganisms on oviposition substratum preferences by Cx. pipiens female

We carried out oviposition tests offering different substrates inoculated with specific microorganisms to females for laying eggs. Adult mosquitoes were kept in standard 30x30x30 cm rearing cages at INBIOTEC insectary at 25–27°C; 65–70% RH and photoperiod of 12 h light: 12 h dark, and fed with a 10% sucrose solution ad libitum. Five-day-old males and females were placed together since their emergence and then, after copulation, females were fed with fresh blood during 30 min per day. Blood-fed females were collected with a manual aspirator, kept in standard 20x20x20 cm rearing cages and provided with 10% sucrose solution. After two days, Petri dishes of 5 cm of diameter filled with 5 mg of different lyophilized microorganisms (S. cerevisiae, C. sorokiniana, Anabaena 7120, Synechocystis 6803, Klebsiella sp. and Aeromonas sp.) resuspended in 10 mL of sterile water were put into the cages. A Petri dish with 10 mL of sterile water was used as control assay; plates with the different oviposition substrates were placed randomly in rearing cages and so the cages were placed randomly on racks in a room with the same environmental conditions as in the insectary. Laying of eggs on the surface of the different treatments and controls were counted after 24 h exposure period.

Statistical analysis

Final rates of survival were calculated as the fraction of the total resulting specimens (survivors) over the total of specimens at the start of the two nutritional experiments. Although survival data were not normally distributed, and this can be visualized in Fig 1, bars or treatments 3 to 7, we still compared the analysis of the non-parametric tests (used in the survival curves, below) with parametric tests, assuming dependency of the data (from the same original lab population). This was done at particular time steps. Therefore, average survival rates for given days/stages were compared by Student’s paired t Test for S. cerevisiae vs control-food only (and only day 25) and by ANOVA (with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons) for all treatments (only days 10 and 25). Survival functions were generated by the non-parametric Kaplan-Meier survival estimator [32]. Preferences for oviposition substratum were analyzed with Cochran’s Q Test [33].

Results

Evaluation of the nutritional value of microorganisms on the development of Cx. pipiens

Larvae fed with S. cerevisiae showed the highest survival rate of all treatments. No significant difference was observed between the mean survival rates when fed with S. cerevisiae and fish food ($P = 0.315$) (Fig 1).

Fig 1 shows standard deviations for each treatment in all sampling days (survival). Large variances were particularly observed for C. sorokiniana, Anabaena 7120, Synechocystis 6803, Klebsiella sp. and Aeromonas sp. (bars 3 to 7). These datasets showing the highest deviations, due to high mortality were not compared; therefore, the parametric statistical analysis (considering data dependency) were only performed on days 10 and 25 (survival) (S1 Table). Specimens exposed to cyanobacteria, microalgae and native bacteria failed to develop into adult stage, showing very low survival rates, while those on yeast and fish food succeeded (Fig 2 and S1 Fig).

The shortest development times were achieved when larvae were fed with yeast and control-food (Fig 2 and S1 Fig): adulthood was reached after 20 and 25 days when they were fed with control-food and yeast, respectively, showing in both cases a similar survival rate (Fig 3).
**Fig 1. Survival rates.** Bars representing mean of survival rate by treatment (microorganism), lines indicate standard deviation (SD) and show great dispersal for bars 3 to 7. \( N_{\text{samples}} \) included in the assay = 24, \( N_{\text{individuals}} \) at the experiment started = 160. Treatments: \textit{S. cerevisiae}, \textit{C. sorokiniana}, \textit{Anabaena 7120}, \textit{Synechocystis 6803}, \textit{Klebsiella sp.} and \textit{Aeromonas sp.}

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0153133.g001

**Fig 2. Developmental time of the immature stages of Cx. pipiens fed with different microorganisms.** 100 larvae of \textit{Cx. pipiens} were placed in groups of 20 and fed on different microorganisms (\textit{S. cerevisiae}, \textit{C. sorokiniana}, \textit{Anabaena 7120}, \textit{Synechocystis 6803}, \textit{Klebsiella sp.} and \textit{Aeromonas sp.}). The larval development was observed at 5, 10, 15, 20, 23 and 25 days after feeding with each microorganism. The developmental status was determined based on the developmental state of more than 50% of individuals of each treatment.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0153133.g002
Cx. pipiens fed on microalgae were only able to survive until larval stage 3 (L3), after 25 days of treatment, showing also a rapid decrease in survival rate after 10 days. When fed with cyanobacteria and with the two native bacterial strains the rate of larval survival drastically dropped (Figs 2 and 3 and S1 Fig), reaching at last second larval instar and dying on day 15th. On the other hand, in vivo observations demonstrate that larvae were able to ingest microalgae and cyanobacteria but after a few minutes these microorganisms were excreted without being digested (S1 Video).

Transstadial transmission of yeast in Cx. pipiens

In situ microscopic examination of specimens allowed the detection of the labelled S. cerevisiae in all larval stages with clear visualization in midgut and in gastric ceca (S2 Fig). Signal of GFP-labelled yeast was detected up to 72 h in post-feeding larvae (L1, L2, L3, L4); none of the other stages—pupae, imagoes (females-males) including F1 eggs and neonates—showed fluorescent signal (Table 1).

On the other hand, PCR screenings indicated the presence of the GFP gene up to adult females; no adult males nor eggs and larva 1 F1 carried GFP-labelled S. cerevisiae (Table 1 and

**Survivor functions**

![](image)

**Fig 3. Survival curves.** Kaplan-Meier survival curves per treatment (Treatment = microorganism, Nindividuals at the experiment started = 160, α = 0.05) were estimated from total individuals of each treatment (S. cerevisiae, C. sorokiniana, Anabaena 7120, Synechocystis 6803, Klebsiella sp. and Aeromonas sp.).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0153133.g003
Finally, after growing each specimen’s homogenate in YPDA culture medium, we only observed yeast grown from larvae and pupae with negative results from adults, F1 eggs and larvae L1 F1 (Table 1). GFP-labelled *S. cerevisiae* was not detected in F1 eggs by any methodology used (Table 1).

Native bacteria detection

We only isolated bacterial cultures when homogenates from larvae, pupae and F1 eggs obtained from larval stages selectively fed on GFP-labelled *S. cerevisiae* or on fish-food were grown on YPDA medium. These bacterial colonies (mosquito native bacteria) were isolated (S4 Fig) and molecularly identified as *Klebsiella* sp. and *Aeromonas* sp. by 16S rRNA PCR amplification.

Impact of microorganisms on oviposition substratum preferences by *Cx. pipiens* female

Mosquito females greatly ignored yeasts as oviposition substratum; instead, the most attractive substratum was *Klebsiella* sp. ($X^2 = 15.996, P = 0.014$), closely followed by *Aeromonas* sp. and *Synechocystis* 6803 (Fig 4). In addition, females chose the two cyanobacteria substrata.

Discussion

In this work we demonstrate that *Cx. pipiens* larvae are able to complete development up to adulthood when they were fed with a yeast treatment and with fish food used as control food, showing that yeast is as nutritional as the control or regular mosquito rearing-food. These results were expected since brewer yeast is a common compound included in the fish food brands used in this work. Brewer yeast, *S. cerevisiae* is also systematically used for laboratory mosquito rearing trials [34,35].

According to Chapman [36] variations in the quantity or quality of an acceptable diet can have radical effects on insects’ development and lifespan. Mosquitoes feed on a diverse range of food items. Gut analysis of food ingested by mosquito larvae allowed the detection of cyanobacteria [14,15,19], organic detritus, algae, protozoans and other microorganisms [3,37]. Our results support the mixed diet feeding, taking into account that we compared *S. cerevisiae* as food source of *Cx. pipiens* with other microorganisms such as algae, model cyanobacteria.
strains and native bacteria. Howland [38] suggested that algae ingested by many species of mosquito larvae could be an important part of their diet, but generally would not be digested and Marten [39] supports that certain species of green algae from the order Chlorococcales kill mosquito larvae primarily because they are indigestible. In concordance with these researchers, we observed feeding larvae behavior \textit{in vivo}, on microalgae and cyanobacteria, and determined that, although these microorganisms were ingested, they were excreted (un-digested) after a few minutes (S1 Video); this behavior was not observed in larvae fed with bacteria, yeast or control-food. Larvae fed with microalgae, cyanobacteria or native bacterium did not complete their development. Clements [3] already proposed that mosquito larvae are not capable of discriminating food items. Lack of food selection strategies suggests no adaptation to intake or filtering, as a result, larvae are generalist filters.

Studies of the interaction between some yeasts and larval and adult stages of \textit{Drosophila} sp. indicated that yeasts are necessary for optimal development due to their nutritional role, providing enzymes for digestion [7]. Ignatova \textit{et al.} [8] were the first realizing that yeast alone can nurture mosquito larvae. Our results confirm these early findings: yeast was the only food item (other than control-food) to successfully nurture developmental instars until the adult stage and to show at the same time the highest survival rate. However, with respect to the vertical

---

Fig 4. Oviposition substratum choice. (A) Diagram of oviposition preference assays with female mosquitoes. (B) Percentage (%) of oviposition substratum (microorganism) selected by gravid females. \( N_{ heggs ran } = 20. \)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0153133.g004
transmission of *S. cerevisiae*, we could not demonstrate the transmission to the *Cx. pipiens* progeny, despite being essential food for development. Fluorescent signal of GFP-labelled yeast was detected until L4 possibly because the signal vanishes around 72 h, so the GFP gene was detected by PCR, but gene detection does not imply that the yeast was alive, for that reason, yeast from each of the mosquito stages was isolated in YPDA medium, obtaining the growth of colonies until pupa stage, but not from adults, F1 eggs nor from L1 larvae.

The two bacteria detected in the mosquitoes used in this work, *Klebsiella* sp. and *Aeromonas* sp. were previously isolated from different mosquito species, including some in the *P. Pipiens* Assemblage. Despite conducting all experiments under sterile conditions (external sterilization of specimens), native bacteria *Klebsiella* sp. and *Aeromonas* sp. could be transferred onto the next stages including the F1, confirming the vertical transmission of these microorganisms in concordance with the results of Coon, from *Ae. aegypti* [16]. Demaio *et al.* [40] observed in *Aedes triseriatus* (now renamed *Ochlerotatus* (Protomacleaya) triseriatus), *Cx. pipiens* and *Psorophora columbiae* the presence of *Serratia marcescens, Klebsiella ozonae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Enterobacter agglomerans*. Pidiyar *et al.* [18] reported the isolation of a novel *Aeromonas* species from the midgut of *Cx. quinquefasciatus*; and Terenius *et al.* [41] found *Aeromonas* sp. in *Anopheles darlingi*. Recently, *Sphingomonas* sp. has been recognized as part of the microbiota of *Culex restuans* and *Cx. pipiens*, being more dominant in *Cx. restuans* than in *Cx. pipiens* adult females [17]. All of these bacteria are commonly isolated from mosquito breeding water [42]; hence, the larval stages can ingest and pass it onto the adult gut [20], and both larvae and adults can obtain it by horizontal transfer, just by being in contact with the contaminated environment (water) [16]. The vertical transmission of these bacteria was never tested in mosquitoes. A relative, *Klebsiella oxytoca* has been found in several other insects, including Diptera species [43]. In *Musca domestica* two major roles have been studied, and this can explain the function of the two bacteria associated with *Cx. pipiens*. First, *K. oxytoca* provided cues for oviposition, attracting female flies to ovipositing sites, and second, it proliferated on the eggshells. The female flies covered the eggs with *K. oxytoca*, which grew over time; however, growth inhibited oviposition after 24 h. The authors [43] did not study larval survival up to pupal stages; therefore, it is not clear if in addition to restraining oviposition the bacterium could also impact survival.

Our results showed that the two bacteria regularly carried by laboratory populations of *Cx. pipiens*, strains of *Klebsiella* sp. and *Aeromonas* sp., seem to have a significant effect on female oviposition choice, *Cx. pipiens* females significantly preferred substrates inoculated with these two bacteria for oviposition, agreeing with several studies that have shown that bacteria may produce oviposition attractants for different mosquito species [44–46]. Native *Klebsiella* sp. and *Aeromonas* sp. strains isolated in this work, failed in the survival experiments, no complete development was achieved. However, these bacteria were able to properly nurture the very early developmental stages until L2 or day 10 of development (S1 Table), showing that their nutritional value relies on the accessibility of these organisms to the very fragile first instars, neonates. The most vulnerable stage in the life cycle of holometabolous insects is the first instar just after hatching. In a recent study on *Ae. aegypti* by Coons *et al.* [16] it was demonstrated that development could only be rescued from sterilized 1st instar larva by inoculating the larvae with native strains only if sterile diet is added as food source. Interestingly, the fish-food (Tetramin) used in Coons *et al.* [16] experiments contains brewer yeast.

Females choose to lay their eggs in habitats that offer the highest probability for their offspring to reach adulthood [47]. For the laboratory colony of *Cx. pipiens* it became clear that the instantaneous provision of food, such as *Klebsiella* sp. or *Aeromonas* sp. carried by the mothers at the time of oviposition or being provided by the habitat is what speeds up molting into a more resistant instar. A second larval stage (L2) will be able to find its own food resources,
swim faster and will be able to avoid predators. In rich breeding waters containing fungi or yeast, the development of *Cx. pipiens* up to adulthood can be secured.

The female mosquitoes selected the two cyanobacteria species used, but the oviposition percentages were low; however, as explained above, cyanobacteria cannot be digested. It has been reported that some cyanobacteria can attract some mosquitoes such as *Anopheles albimanus*, possibly due to an unidentified C-15 aliphatic alcohol found over cyanobacterial mats [48] and for other volatile substances detected by *An. albimanus* and *Anopheles vestitipennis* [49]. In experiments using n-pentadecanol, a commercially available compound close in molecular weight and mass spectral pattern to the unknown C-15 aliphatic alcohol, indicated a tendency of *An. albimanus* female to oviposit more eggs in containers with the tested chemical when is compared to water [49]. Our results indicated that *Synechocystis* 6803 and *Anabaena* 7120 were inefficient food sources for the mosquito colony and were also not to transmit to offspring; however, it might have some effect on the oviposition selection. This is, in concordance with Thiery *et al.*, [50] who fed *Cx. pipiens* and *An. gambiae* larvae with some native cyanobacteria strains, including *Synechococcus* PCC 7942, and evaluated their ingestion and digestion, showing that the numbers of cells ingested and digested depended on the cyanobacterial strain and varied with the mosquito species.

In this work we show that *Cx. pipiens* can efficiently use *S. cerevisiae* as a food source allowing full completeness of development, but not transmission to F1 eggs. This mosquito colony is naturally infected with two different bacteria, *Klebsiella* sp. and *Aeromonas* sp., which are additionally chosen by mosquito females for selection of oviposition sites. Furthermore, these bacteria assure development by providing the very first food intake of neonates.

Control strategies of important widespread vectors such as mosquitoes in the Pipiens Assemblage should be revisited and future methods of mosquito control might take advantage of impact of mosquito native microbiota. Based on these results, we propose the use of a combined strategy by designing baits or attractants based on native-mosquito bacteria (or their volatiles) to attract ovipositing females to artificial yeast-depleted breeding habitats. We continue investigating the mosquito-bacteria interaction, especially transmission patterns as well as the role that these native strains might have in the development of *Cx. pipiens*.

**Supporting Information**

**S1 Fig. Developmental time of the immature stages of *Cx. pipiens* fed with different microorganisms.** Egg rafts from *Cx. pipiens* laboratory breeding were washed with non-ionic detergent and then with sterile distilled water for three times. After this, the eggs were placed in plastic containers with sterile distilled water until the emergence of neonate larvae. For each treatment, 20 larvae were transferred to plastic containers with 100 mL of sterile distilled water. Each group was fed with different microorganisms: yeast (labelled GFP-*S. cerevisiae*), microalgae (*C. sorokiniana*), cyanobacteria (*Anabaena* 7120 and *Synechocystis* 6803), bacteria (*Klebsiella* sp. and *Aeromonas* sp.), and fish food (*Shulet* ® Carassius) as a control diet. 1 mg of each lyophilized cell cultures was used to feed each group of larvae. The larval development was observed at 5, 10, 15, 20, 23 and 25 days after feeding with each microorganism. The developmental status was determined based on the developmental state of more than the 50% of individuals of each treatment. (A), (B) and (C) correspond to replications 1, 2 and 3 respectively. (TIF)

**S2 Fig. Cells of GFP-labelled *S. cerevisiae*.** Cells were located along the midgut and in gastric ceca of *Cx. pipiens* larvae after 24 h of ingestion. Yeast-fed larvae observed by light microscopy (A) and fluorescence microscopy (B). Digestive tracts were removed and observed by light (C) and fluorescence microscopy (D). (M) Midgut and (GC) gastric caeca are indicated by arrows.
Bars 100 μm.

(TIF)

S3 Fig. Detection of the GFP gene by PCR amplification in different stages of Cx. pipiens. Insects from the mosquito colony fed on GFP-labelled yeast were washed using sodium hypochlorite, then in sterile distilled water twice, and used for the DNA extraction in order to detect GFP gene by PCR on different stages of Cx. pipiens (larvae 1 to 4, pupae, adult and eggs). PCR products were electrophoresed on 1% agarose gels. Lanes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 correspond to larvae 1 to 4, pupae, female and male adults respectively (M, molecular weight marker, 100 bp ladder, Fermentas®).

(TIF)

S4 Fig. Microorganisms isolated from the homogenates of different developmental stages of Cx. pipiens fed only with GFP-labelled S. cerevisiae. S. cerevisiae colony (A) and individual cells observed by light microscopy (B) and by fluorescence microscopy (C). Klebsiella sp. colony (D) and individual cells observed by light microscopy (E) and by fluorescence microscopy (F). Aeromonas sp. colony (G) and individual cells observed by light microscopy (H) and by fluorescence microscopy (I).

(TIF)

S1 Table. Comparison of survival rates for each treatment at day 10 (mosquito development from L2 to L3) and at day 25 (mosquito development from pupae to adult).

(DOC)

S1 Video. Cx. pipiens L2 larvae feeding on Anabaena 7120.
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