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Abstract:
BACKGROUND: There is a great deal of psychological pressure on medical students, which results in depression, addiction, and suicide. Resilience plays a significant role in coping with psychological distress. The study aimed to determine the resilience level of medical students in Kolkata and factors related to it.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: A cross-sectional study was conducted among undergraduate students of a medical college in the eastern part of India during October 2020. Resilience was assessed using the validated “Adult Resilience Measure-R.” Data were collected on Google Forms and analyzed using SPSS (version 16.0). Logistic regression analysis was performed to find factors associated with low resilience.

RESULTS: The study revealed that one-fourth (25.2%) of the participants had low-level resilience. Nearly half (44.9%) of them perceived their mental health status as having worsened during the lockdown. Students who did not enjoy staying at home during the lockdown and who spent <10 h of their time with their family members had higher odds of low resilience. In multivariable analysis, not enjoying staying at home during the lockdown remained the only significant predictor of low resilience.

CONCLUSION: Students should spend more time with their parents and family members and learn coping skills. Appropriate resilience training programs have to be integrated into the medical curriculum to help in coping with future challenges.
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Introduction

The medical curriculum is one of the most stressful educational curriculums in the world, perhaps the most demanding training program both academically and emotionally. Its impact on the student’s psychological well-being can be significant and lead to depression, anxiety, and high level of stress. The medical student should have adequate coping skills to deal with the stresses of their MBBS curriculum such as examination pressure, patient load, assignments, and long course duration. Failure to cope with these stress factors can have profound personal and professional consequences in the long run.

The ability of an individual to cope with difficult situations, trauma, threats, or adversity is known as resilience. It enables the student to tackle stressful situations and allows them to learn despite mental challenges; it also helps the student
to take proper care of themselves and others.\textsuperscript{[5]} A nationwide lockdown is a situation our country has never experienced before. Unlike usual times, students were unable to enjoy holidays, outings, shopping, go to movies and meet physically with their friends during this pandemic resulting in anxiety and depression affecting their resilience.\textsuperscript{[6]}

A study on Canadian medical students found that medical students had lower resilience than their age- and gender-matched peers in the general population.\textsuperscript{[7]} Understanding and correcting the factors responsible for the decrement of the resilience level should result in such benefits as improved quality of life and increased well-being, reduction in addictions such as tobacco or drug usage, and decreased engagement in violent behavior.\textsuperscript{[8]} According to past research, students can learn to be resilient. Hence, low resilience can be managed with resilience training programs.\textsuperscript{[9]} Resilience in medical students is rarely discussed in India. Therefore, this study aims to determine resilience levels and the factors affecting resilience in medical students of Kolkata.

Materials and Methods

This is an institution-based cross-sectional study of undergraduate students in a medical college in Kolkata, eastern part of India, in October 2020. All the undergraduate medical students at this college were asked to participate (N = 1000). Participants who reported that they had any psychiatric disorders and were taking antipsychotic and antidepressant drugs were excluded from the study. Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board vide Letter No./NMC/7021 dated 16/10/2020, and informed written consent was taken from all participants.

The outcome variable of the study was the resilience level of the students. It was estimated using the validated “Adult Resilience Measure-R” developed from the perspective that resilience is a social-ecological construct widely used in various regions.\textsuperscript{[10]} The measure was used unmodified (no reverse-coded items). All items were of equal weight; their sum provided the individual’s resilience. It comprised 17 questions with responses as the three-point measure (1 = no, 2 = sometimes, and 3 = yes); the attainable minimum and maximum scores are 17 and 51. The median score was used as a cutoff to divide participants into two groups: low and high resilience.

The independent variables considered in this study were sociodemographic factors: usual place of stay during their study before lockdown, enjoyment of the stay at home during the lockdown, time spent with family members, and the mental health status of the students. The mental health status of the students during the lockdown was assessed using a single question: “what was the status of your mental health during the lockdown” with options such as “better,” “same,” and “worsened.”

A predesigned, pretested semi-structured questionnaire was used to collect the data. As all participants were proficient in English, there was no need for a translation. The instrument was checked for face and content validity by two experts from the Department of Community Medicine and Psychiatry of a medical college, Kolkata. The tool was pretested on 30 medical students of another medical college. The reliability of the instrument was checked with Cronbach’s alpha (0.87). After incorporating the corrections, the questionnaire was made into Google Forms and distributed to all students through their officially registered mail in the college database. The study objectives were explained to the participants, and confidentiality and anonymity were also assured. Incomplete responses were excluded from the analysis.

Data analysis was done using the SPSS software version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive statistics were calculated. The Chi-square test was used to reveal any significant difference in categories of resilience with various levels of independent variables. Logistic regression was used to find the factors associated with resilience with \( P < 0.05 \) as significant. Variables that were significant in the univariate logistic regression were considered for multivariable logistic regression (final model).

Results

The study was conducted on medical students at a tertiary hospital in Kolkata. Out of 1000 undergraduate medical students of all 4 years (250 students per year), 540 students took part in this study, giving a response rate of 54%. About 5% (32) of participants were excluded from the study because they had psychiatric disorders and took antipsychotic and antidepressant drugs. The final number of participants in the study was 508.

Most of the participants were above 21 years of age (mean: 20.96, standard deviation: 1.4), and most (62.4%) were males. About 80% of the students belonged to nuclear families of the high socioeconomic stratum. Nearly three-fourths (71.7%) of the students enjoyed staying at home during the lockdown, and about half (45.5%) of them spent more than 10 h on average per day with their family members during the pandemic [Table 1]. Around half (44.9%) of the participants perceived that their mental health status had worsened during lockdown; about one-fourth (25.2%) of the participants had low resilience [Table 2].
In univariate logistic regression, students who did not enjoy staying at home during the lockdown and spent <10 h of their time with their family members had higher odds of being low resilient. Other variables such as age, sex, academic year, and socioeconomic status showed no association with resilience [Table 3]. In multivariable analysis, not enjoying being at home remained the only significant predictor of low resilience. Students who did not enjoy their stay at home during lockdown had two times (odds ratio = 2.1) higher likelihood of being low resilient than those who enjoyed being at home [Table 4].

**Discussion**

The effects of the pandemic presented novel challenges to medical students which they had to overcome with a high degree of resilience. There are ample studies on depression, stress, and anxiety in medical students but only a few on positive well-being or resilience.\[11\]

The present study found that 25.2% of the students had low resilience, which is less than the results of the studies done in Orissa (46.4%) and Saudi Arabia (45.5%).\[12,13\] This difference might be due to the diversity in culture. The studies on school-going adolescent girls in India and medical and dental students in Saudi Arabia have claimed that girls had a higher level of resilience because of the home environment and its protective effect.\[13,14\] In a patriarchal society, unlike males, females have numerous problems to overcome. Thus, we hypothesized that the resilience level of females would be much higher than males. However, contrary to this, this study and a few others done in Maharashtra and the midwest USA found no significant association between resilience level and the gender of the respondent.\[15,16\] Various other studies on medical students of West Bengal, the United States, and the United Kingdom found resilience higher in males.\[17-19\]

The present study and a study done in medical schools in the midwest USA found that the age and the year of medical course were not significantly associated with resilience, contrary to the majority of studies in West Bengal and the United States.\[16-18\] This indicates that with time, students develop coping skills and learn to adapt. However, A study done in Maharashtra showed higher resilience in 1st- and 2nd-year students than in 3rd-year students.\[15\]

The present study showed no association between socioeconomic levels and resilience levels, but the Saudi Arabian study found that students from families with higher incomes had high resilience.\[13\] It could be the perceived support from parents in high-income families and their environment. Hence, future studies with
Table 3: Univariate analysis: Factors associated with low resilience among medical students during COVID-19 pandemic, Kolkata, India, October 2020 (n=508)

| Variables                                           | Total N | Low resilience N (%) | Crude OR (95% CI) | P-value* |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------|----------------------|-------------------|----------|
| Age (years)                                         |         |                      |                   |          |
| <21                                                 | 207     | 51 (24.6)            | 1                 |          |
| ≥21                                                 | 301     | 77 (25.6)            | 1 (0.6–1.5)       | 0.8      |
| Sex                                                 |         |                      |                   |          |
| Male                                                | 317     | 75 (23.7)            | 1                 |          |
| Female                                              | 191     | 53 (27.7)            | 1.2 (0.8–1.8)     | 0.3      |
| Academic year                                       |         |                      |                   |          |
| 1st and 2nd year                                    | 288     | 78 (27.1)            | 1.2 (0.8–1.9)     | 0.2      |
| 3rd year (parts I and II)                           | 220     | 50 (22.7)            | 1                 |          |
| Type of family                                      |         |                      |                   |          |
| Joint                                               | 90      | 20 (22.2)            | 1                 |          |
| Nuclear                                             | 418     | 108 (25.8)           | 1.2 (0.7–2)       | 0.47     |
| Socioeconomic status (class)                        |         |                      |                   |          |
| II–V (below upper)                                  | 110     | 24 (21.8)            | 1                 |          |
| I (upper)                                           | 398     | 104 (26.1)           | 1.2 (0.7–2)       | 0.3      |
| Presence of sibling                                 |         |                      |                   |          |
| Yes                                                 | 270     | 67 (24.8)            | 1                 |          |
| No                                                  | 238     | 61 (25.6)            | 1.04 (0.6–1.5)    | 0.83     |
| Enjoying stay at home during lockdown               |         |                      |                   |          |
| Yes/maybe                                           | 470     | 112 (23.8)           | 1                 |          |
| No                                                  | 38      | 16 (42.1)            | 2.3 (1.1–4.5)     | 0.01*    |
| Usual place of abode before lockdown                |         |                      |                   |          |
| Home                                                | 217     | 49 (22.6)            | 1                 |          |
| Hostel/PG                                           | 291     | 79 (27.1)            | 1.2 (0.8–1.9)     | 0.24     |
| Time spent with family members (h)                  |         |                      |                   |          |
| ≥10                                                 | 241     | 50 (20.7)            | 1                 |          |
| <10                                                 | 267     | 78 (29.2)            | 1.5 (1.1–2.1)     | 0.04*    |
| Perceived change in mental health status during the lockdown | |                   |                   |          |
| Better/same                                         | 280     | 64 (22.9)            | 1                 |          |
| Worsened                                            | 228     | 64 (28.1)            | 1.3 (0.8–1.9)     | 0.17     |

*P<0.05. COR=Crude odds ratio, CI=Confidence interval

Table 4: Multiple logistic regression: Factors associated with low resilience among medical students during COVID-19 pandemic, Kolkata, India, October 2020 (n=508)

| Variables                                          | Adj OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Enjoyed the stay at home during lockdown           |                 |         |
| Yes/maybe                                          | 2.1 (1.1–4.4)   | 0.01*   |
| No                                                 |                 |         |
| Time spent with family members (h)                 |                 |         |
| ≥10                                                | 1               |         |
| <10                                                | 1.4 (0.9–2.1)   | 0.09    |

*P<0.05. Adj OR=Adjusted odds ratio, CI=Confidence interval

larger populations and representatives from different socioeconomic levels are needed to verify the findings.

A study done in West Bengal found that the students residing in hostels were more resilient than their friends who lived at home. [17] In our study, however, we did not find any association between place of residence and resilience level. This study found that people who did not like staying at home during lockdown had higher odds of low resilience. The study, like the one done in Maharashtra, [15] revealed that the participants who spent more time with their parents had a higher level of resilience. It indicates the need for parents to spend quality time with their children, share their views, and have a good parental relationship. The present study and a Brazilian study of medical students reported no link between social-economic variables and resilience. [20] To be resilient, students should spend their time fruitfully with family or engage in hobbies. [21] Previous studies of young schoolchildren have shown that healthy free time experiences promoted resilience; this presumes that this can be beneficial to medical students as well. [11] It is well established that resilience skills are necessary for students in medical school as they have to face new challenges and are at higher risk of stress when they come to manage patients. [22] At present, there is evidence only on the educational experiences in resilience, but no action has been taken in skill-based training for medical students. [23]
A validated resilience scale was applied in this study instead of the usual indirect assessments utilized with adolescents. As it was a cross-sectional study, causality could not be checked. A longitudinal study at multiple centers with a large sample is recommended for the future.

**Conclusion**

This study found that a quarter of the participants had low resilience. The participants who did not enjoy staying at home during the lockdown and spent less time with family members had higher odds of low resilience. It is imperative to include resilience training programs in the medical curriculum. Parents and the teaching community should encourage the students to keep appropriate balance between their academic work and personal and social lives.

**Acknowledgment**

The authors would like to thank all the participants who have given their consent and responded to the questionnaire. They would also like to thank the developer of SPSS and “ARM-R” questionnaire.

**Financial support and sponsorship**

Nil.

**Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

**References**

1. Wolf TM. Stress, coping and health: Enhancing well-being during medical school. Med Educ 1994;28:8-17.

2. Aktekin M, Karaman T, Senol YY, Erdem S, Erengin H, Akaydin M. Anxiety, depression and stressful life events among medical students: A prospective study in Antalya, Turkey. Med Educ 2001;35:12-7.

3. Nicholl H, Timmins F. Programme-related stressors among part-time undergraduate nursing students. J Adv Nurs 2005;50:93-100.

4. American Psychological Association. The Road to Resilience. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; 2014. Available from: http://www.apa.org/helpcenter/road-resilience.aspx. [Last accessed on 2021 Jan 01].

5. Egeden B, Carlson E, Sroufe LA. Resilience as process. Dev Psychopathol 1993;5:517-28.

6. Jadhav V. Role of social media during the lockdown on various health aspects. Int J Appl Res 2020;6:236-8.

7. Rahimi B, Baetz M, Bowen R, Balbuena L. Resilience, stress, and coping among Canadian medical students. Can Med Educ J 2014;5:e5-12.

8. Mistry R, McCarthy WJ, Yancey AK, Lu Y, Patel M. Resilience and patterns of health risk behaviors in California adolescents. Prev Med 2009;48:291-7.

9. Helmreich I, Kunzler A, Chmitorz A, König J, Binder H, Wessa M, et al. Psychological interventions for resilience enhancement in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2017;2017:CD012527.

10. Halifax NS. Resilience Research Centre. CYRM and ARM User Manual. Resilience Research Centre, Dalhousie University; 2018. Available from: https://cyrm.resilienceresearch.org/files/CYRM_&_ARM-User_Manual.pdf. [Last accessed on 2021 Jan 01].

11. Kjeldstadli K, Tyssen R, Finset A, Hem E, Gude T, Gronvold NT, et al. Life satisfaction and resilience in medical school – A six-year longitudinal, nationwide and comparative study. BMC Med Educ 2006;6:48.

12. Pharasi S, Patra S. Burnout in medical students of a tertiary care Indian medical center: How much protection does resilience confer? Indian J Psychiatry 2020;62:407-12.

13. Aboalshamat AT, Aisyudd AO, Al-Sayed RA, Alreddad RS, Faqiehi SS, Almehmadi SA. The relationship between resilience, happiness, and life satisfaction in dental and medical students in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Niger J Clin Pract 2018;21:1038-43.

14. Azam S. Resilience among adolescent girls in India: Role of home and school protective factors. J Educ Psychol Stud [JEPS] 2012;6:45.

15. Fay C, Tadke R, Gawande S, Kirpekar V, Bhave S, Pakhare A, et al. Assessment of resilience and coping in undergraduate medical students: A need of the day. J Educ Technol Health Sci 2018;5:36-44.

16. Olson K, Kemper KJ, Mahan JD. What factors promote resilience and protect against burnout in first-year pediatric and medicine-pediatric residents? J Evid Based Complementary Altern Med 2015;20:192-8.

17. Mukherjee A, Som TK, Ghosh S, Mondal R, Saha I, Ghose G. Resilience among undergraduate medical students of a medical college of Eastern India. J Compr Health 2021;9:18-22.

18. Houpy JC, Lee WW, Woodruff JN, Pincavage AT. Medical student resilience and stressful clinical events during clinical training. Med Educ Online 2017;22:132017.

19. McIntosh E, Shaw J. Student Resilience Exploring the Positive Case for Resilience; 2017. Available from: http://www.unite-group.co.uk/sites/default/files/2017-05/student‑resilience.pdf. [Last accessed on 2021 Jan 01].

20. Oliveira AC, Machado AP, Aranha RN. Identification of factors associated with resilience in medical students through a cross-sectional census. BMJ Open 2017;7:e017189.

21. Himemath P, Suhas Kowshik CS, Manjunath M, Shettar M. COVID-19: Impact of lock-down on mental health and tips to overcome. Asian J Psychiatr 2020;51:102088.

22. Bird A, Tomescu O, Oyola S, Houpy J, Anderson I, Pincavage A. A curriculum to teach resilience skills to medical students during clinical training. MedEdPORTAL 2020;16:10975.

23. Steckler N, Young I, Ervin A. OHSU resiliency skills elective. MedEdPORTAL 2015;11:10022.