Unfolding the Practical of Numerical Literacy for Specialist in Teaching Mathematics
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ABSTRACT
This research aims to explain the typical rationale design of mathematics education research for a teacher to become a specialist in teaching mathematics. The structure shows learning environment as a natural way to address the teachers in their primary role and as the approach for effectively improving mathematics teaching - which is a didactical situations-based teaching model, illustrating how the model can be applied in real life by drawing from processes inherent in mathematics in a concrete case, turning out from a genetic view of mathematics, and relates to the consequences for teacher education in demanding special mathematical courses for teachers. That is a method by which the students acquire knowledge and skills more than in the past and acquire themself, delivering subject matter to develop their abilities. The main question formulated: What are the basic but practical teaching methods that can scientifically support the development of students to the expected goals? The teachers get two instruments: providing opportunities and stimulating individual growth. The result is students with an excellent foundation to penetrate mathematically in all matters, and the phenomenon of numerical literacy will be enlightened in terms of skills. In this case, students are no longer tuned to receive knowledge passively but to acquire it actively. For this reason, the characteristic of teaching methods is not instruction and reception but organization and activity.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The research is to unfold numerical literacy to the foundations of mathematics education analysis and its implications for educators at the graduate and magister levels. Numerical literacy has room to grow while the researcher advances pedagogy and curriculum [1]. The argumentation is that the discursive nature has a nontrivial effect on students’ views of mathematics—a significant component of numeracy [2,3]. For most students, educators would attend to the notes (and words) they use.

When educators choose textbooks for the courses, a critical examination of the text is likely absent from the numeracy process. However, its presence within a research setting [4] suggests that a quantitatively literate individual should work with numbers. While [2,3] argue the dispositions and their view of the cultural literacy of mathematics. Additionally, [5] and [6] say that literacy should also be in the sense that a student should have the ability to use “mathematical knowledge as part of a process of problem-solving and development” [5].

A tendency to appraise mathematics and use the subject is not equivalent to the type of materials fostered through attending to the etymological sense of meaning. For example, to prove is equal to describing as wide as possible by thinking. In particular, when teaching quantitative literacy, consider how educators place their students as choices to provide a level of autonomy in deciding what mathematics should learn and how they learn it. However, [7] marked the seminal twenty-first-century plea for numeracy at all levels. That begins with the notion that the world is “awash in numbers” and proceeds to note that it is not mere mathematics that students need to succeed, but rather the tools of quantitative literacy [7]. He continues arguing that numeracy enables one to participate in other disciplines, such as computer science. This participation is not simply rhetoric but is in connections between numeracy and literacy in quantitative studies, for example, linked numeracy with better problem-solving [8].

The critical view of the etymological sense of meaning is not novel in work outside of mathematics; it...
uses vital analysis, of which systemic functional linguistics forms the backbone [9, 10]. There are three systemic meta-functions through which numerical literacy operates: interpersonal, conceptual, and textual. For example, a fraction is an abstract operation and a concrete or semi-concrete one. The revelation is that there is a patterned function behind the etymological. While, Kress and Hodge [11] note that language (etymological meaning), whether spoken or written, serves as a “medium of consciousness for the students, its form of consciousness externalized.” And [12] agrees, noting that “Language is the primary means for the transmission of culture from one generation to the next.” Educators (their notes or textbooks) pass on the “culture” of the discipline by applying this notion to mathematics and numeracy.

Additionally, because historically, mathematics and mathematics education has systemically excluded people of color, the working class often communicates mathematics in manners differently from traditional mathematics [13]. Language can perpetuate the marginalization of historically oppressed groups. It may be that a group that systemically excludes from mathematics will face more significant challenges in developing numeracy. For example, the elimination method is to change or manipulate, not eliminate, mathematics expression.

Our mathematics texts are to find the positioned readers differently, i.e., the text recruited students to a “yet unknown, esoteric” subject of mathematics [14] and the text that apprenticed students to “a non-intellectual occupation” by presenting problems as they would found in the real world. For example, students performed calculations, and putting answers was structured as an algorithm report. While the second one, its presentation for participation in the public domain”. That is subtly discouraged students from involvement in careers that did not require mathematics. This suggested mindset is problematic because it is not necessarily the case that the student desires a “non-intellectual” future.

Performing the method of Fairclough, [15] concluded a typical word problem taken from a reform-oriented calculus text. Her research grew from a concern about the fidelity of mathematics reform efforts. In analyzing the problem, noted that “The interpreting student positioned as a student of mathematics who has access to the use of the mathematics register and the assumptions associated with the word problem genre” [14]. The analyzed problem was considered the real-world type within the text and founded on efforts to foster numeracy.

In contrast to the analytical frameworks of [14] and [15], [16] used a “nonreactive technique” called content analysis, aiming for less subjective analysis. They began by coding for ways in which a value might manifest in the text then objectively searched the reader (or notes in this research) for codes. Their findings included the texts’ emphasis on "control over progress," "mystery over openness," and "specialism over accessibility" [16]. The texts’ esoteric portrayal of mathematics bolsters the findings of [14] and [15]. Moreover, if one assumes that students accept the construction, that other mathematics texts that students encounter are similar, then relating the findings to numeracy, it is plausible that students will view mathematics as immutable and irrelevant to their lives. For example, symbolic representations need technology in understanding problems.

Furthermore, [17] examined the “voice” in a reform-oriented mathematics text series. In her analysis, she found no first-person pronouns within the team, arguing that such an “obfuscation of agency” within the text portrays mathematics as a discipline that can act independently of humans. Her findings suggested that, notwithstanding the goals of the curriculum, “how powerful the hegemony of traditional forms of mathematics in curriculum materials can be” [17]. This finding bolsters Seah and Bishop and furthers [14] and [15] findings concerning authority. Those are the problem of previous texts.

In another research, [19] analyzed "stance bundles" within mathematics classrooms to see how teachers positioned themselves and students [18], as cited in [17]. They noted that some stance bundles permit choice while others discourage latitude [18]. Altogether, these authors suggest that, regardless of curricular goals, mathematics texts tend to position students with little choice, a position at odds with developing numeracy. However, the texts must contain rich representations and more algorithms. For example, by verification and justification when doing math based on numerical literacy.

Therefore, [20] did the skills for Life curriculum, an obligatory set of standards for adult numeracy education. She saw value in critical analysis of the subtleties infidelity of the reform goals, focused on how the text constructed the ideal reader, and the study included the teacher’s place within the text. She found that the text built the perfect reader of the text as the numeracy teacher, and in turn, constructed for the teacher the ideal student. It positioned the student with little independence, excluding them from academic mathematics. She noted that "Numeracy learners could present, not within a deficit model as passive and without agency, but as highly motivated, self-directed adults who bring a variety of numerate practices to their learning that can celebrate and developed” [20]. One of independent thinking is in using numbers [21].

2. METHODOLOGY

That was a way of bridging mathematics and numerical literacy to reason quantitatively, understand
the subject method, and acquaintance with the achievement. The argumentation is that numeracy subsumes in literacy and within the context [22]. The researchers offer a way of bringing order into the conceptual confusion surrounding students’ numeracy. The way is framework and concepts arranging along of increasing levels of achievement.

There are three phases in unfolding the practical of numerical literacy, i.e., formative, mathematical, and integrative. These are in a framework of the development that showed the level of numeracy concept [23]. The levels were analyzed statistically according to the phases. In the formative stage, mathematics’ content and skills for purpose and application, and numeracy is a skill deemed to be arithmetic. In the mathematical phase, numeracy includes numbers, algebraic, geometric, statistical thinking, and problem-solving based on mathematical knowledge. And, in the integrative, numeracy was in incorporating everyone’s mathematics with and problem-solving.

The unfolding study conducted between 2015 and 2020 tested an instructional approach or intervention, used an outcome measure that assessed mathematics and numeracy skills, and employed comparison groups. Those are 15 studies conducted by the researchers related to students’ numeracy. Data were analyzed using different permutations of students’ achievements.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The use of technology helps students develop their mathematical skills and understanding. That focused on students’ needs and the capabilities of the technology itself. The skills enhance students’ thinking and build their versions of numerical literacy.

This research compared three teaching methods: computer-assisted (using calculator and software), tutoring using educators’ notes, and traditional teaching (using standard textbooks). Subjects (n = 30) were members of existing classes. There was no significant difference in mathematical achievement among the three groups, although the computer-assisted group gained 1.9-grade levels while the traditional group gained 1.1.

However, computer-assisted students were significantly better in mathematics than those in the conventional group.

There is a significant difference in numerical literacy skills between the class and the traditional or control (lecturers’ notes and textbooks). Of the 74 students assigned to the traditional group, 15 % (n = 11) remained at the post-test; 32 percent of the 135 students in the numerical literacy (experimental) group (n = 43) completed the program. In addition, there was differential attrition, with more of the control group staying to completion, which clouded the results. However, it might be argued that the more excellent retention of the experimental group indicated higher student satisfaction with the instruction.

The research also evaluated the numerical literacy program that compared computer-assisted instruction and educator-implemented instruction in numeracy. The researcher randomized the students into three groups of eight students. There is no difference in improvement between the teacher-led intervention and the computer-assisted instruction. The students and instructional approaches are like those in courses.

The study measured the gains in etymological sense and numeracy skills in two classes. Six students received traditional teaching, and another six used Numerical Literacy Workshop, Math Concepts and Skills, and Computer Assisted Learning (CAL) software packages. The results show significantly more outstanding achievements were made in the etymological sense of meaning (word meaning, word action, and comprehension) and numeracy (mathematical concepts, operations, and applications) under computer-assisted instruction than traditional instruction. During the same time, the students who received traditional teaching made no gains in the etymological sense of meaning skills and showed a slight decline in mathematics performance, i.e., in developing the statement of proof.

Overall, the results are presented and described in Tables 1, 2, and 3.

Table 1. Description, outcome, and effect of the formative phase

| Design                     | Description                  | Results Outcome                                      | Effect                  |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Assignment for two groups  | Using computer-assisted instruction | The achievements were not significantly different | Not observable         |
| The impact of skill and drill | Using tutorial software       | No significant difference between the two groups      | Getting etymological sense |
| Design                                                                 | Description                                                                 | Results                                                                 | Effect                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| The effect of the curriculum. in the literacy levels                 | Using the ordinary course by post-test                                       | The is no difference in achievement between the groups                 | More effective when the subjects have good entry-level in arithmetic |
| Giving questions                                                     | Traditional versus computer-assisted instruction                            | Students using computer-assisted instruction were significantly better than in the control group. | More definitive results                                               |
| The traditional classroom instruction versus computer-assisted       | The competency levels                                                       | The result indicates that the average level change is for computer-assisted instruction. | Can be compared between grades for non-computer-assisted instruction   |
| instruction                                                         | A literacy project and enrolled in traditional classes                     | That project showed a higher average gain compared to the traditional  |                                                                 |
| A literacy project and enrolled in traditional classes              | An experiment of computer-assisted and educator-implemented instruction     | There is no difference in improvement                                  | Educator-led intervention                                              |
| To compare computer-assisted and implemented instruction in numeracy |                                                                               |                                                                        |                                                                      |

**Table 2.** Description, outcome, and effect of mathematical phase
Table 3. Description, outcome, and effect of integrative phase

| Design                                                                 | Description                                                                 | Results                                                                 | Effect                                                      |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| To study the impact of tutoring                                        | in algebra class                                                            | significant improvement in achievement                                | in the intervention group                                    |
| To examine the effectiveness of                                        | a traditional (control) and algebra instruction (experiment)                | There was no statistically significant difference in achievement between the two groups | In discovering algorithms, exploring algebraic manipulation, and identifying misconceptions |
| To teach algebra                                                       | in two classes, one for the experiment and the other is traditional (control) | founded no significant difference between the experimental and control groups | neither based on algebra could be compared the achievement of the two groups |
| To examine the effect of computer-assisted instruction and in math laboratory | participating in the mathematics course                                      | the transference of mathematical concepts learned through a computer to paper-and-pencil tests | manipulation for promoting the problem-solving ability       |
| To explore the applicability methods to the teaching of geometry concepts. |                                                                                  | is significantly increased                                             | For teaching students’ performance                           |
| To describe a diagnostic and tutorial program                          | The design of a diagnostic test testing,                                     | a significant effect of the experimental group the program            | norm at the grades is equivalent.                            |

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the teaching effect discussed in the results, it is highlighted that this research reflects a haphazard and disorganized approach to studying numerical literacy and is not guided by any technique, theory or school of thought about good mathematical pedagogy. Furthermore, it does not provide comprehensive knowledge about the impact of literacy interventions. With only 15 studies examining mathematical interventions, almost all of them related to the use of textbooks or notes in teaching, researchers can consider this research a meaningful guide to direct future efforts in practice or research. Researchers discovered how learning and education interact with these differences in numeracy development. In addition, this study examines teaching for adult learners, particularly numerical literacy based on how educators think numeracy in the classroom, exploring instructional approaches and their impact on learners.

Many factors come together to challenge anyone trying to sum up what numeracy is. The scope of skills and literacy and the shortcomings of current assessment methods depend on several points of view in the beholder’s eye. The educational contexts in which adults engage with numeracy-related topics vary widely given the diversity of backgrounds or learning objectives. Meanwhile, the concept of numeracy goals and competencies will be developed and influenced by various stakeholders.

AUTHORS’ CONTRIBUTIONS

The contributions are that the researchers already used numerous teaching and learning approaches in flexibility and observed it. We also ask other lecturers to
demonstrate their numeracy skills through experiences and knowledge.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks to Dr. Fadilah Siregar, M.Pd, and Dr. Yundari, M.Sc, for supporting the researcher to publish an article based on the discussion in an academic forum. This research was supported by grant number 9566/UN22.6/PG/2020 from Tanjungpura University of West Borneo of Indonesia.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Rif’at, Sugiatno, and Sundari, The developing of proving based on indirect objects thinking of mathematics in industry, Journal of Physics: Conference Series (Scopus Indexed), in press.

[2] J.L.M. Wilkins, Preparing for the 21st Century: The Status of quantitative literacy in the United States, School Science and Mathematics, 100 (2000) 405–418. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1949-8594.2000.tb17329.x

[3] J.L.M. Wilkins. Modeling quantitative Literacy, Educational and Psychological Measurement, 70(2) (2010) 267–290. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164409344506

[4] R.L. Scheaffer, Scientifically based research in quantitative Literacy: Guidelines for Building a Knowledge Base, Numeracy 1 (1), (2008). DOI: https://doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.1.1.3

[5] M. Apple, Do the standards go far enough? Power, Policy and Practice in Mathematics Education, Journal for Research in Mathematics Education 23 (5) (1992) 412–431. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2307/749562

[6] R. Orrill, Mathematics, Numeracy, and Democracy, In Steen (2001) xiii-xx.

[7] L.A. Steen, Mathematics and Democracy: The Case for Quantitative Literacy, The Woodrow Wilson National Fellowship Foundation, National Council on Education and the Disciplines, (2001). URL: https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/pdf/QL/MathAndDemocracy.pdf

[8] J.D. Jasper, C. Bhattacharyya, I.P. Levin, L. Jones, E. Bossard, Numeracy as a predictor of adaptive risky decision making, Journal of Behavioral Decision Making 26 (2) (2013) 164–173. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/bdm.1748

[9] R. Rogers, An introduction to critical discourse analysis in education, Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2004.

[10] M. Rif’at, S. Rachmat, Sugiatno, S. Dede, A developing of analytic inequalities monograph based on students’ mathematical activities, International Journal of Innovative Studies in Sciences and Engineering Technology 5(5) (2019) 5-9.

[11] G.R. Kress, B. Hodge, Language as ideology, Boston: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1979.

[12] M.A.K. Halliday, Explorations in language functions, London: Edward Arnold, 1973.

[13] T.N. Carraher, D.W. Carraher, A.D. Schliemann, Mathematics in the streets and in schools, The British Journal of Developmental Psychology 3(1) (1985) 3-8. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-835X.1985.tb00951.x

[14] P. Dowling, A sociological analysis of school mathematics texts, Educational Studies in Mathematics 31(4) (1996) 389–415. URL: https://www.jstor.org/stable/3482972

[15] K. Le Roux, A critical discourse analysis of a real-world problem in mathematics: looking for signs of change, Language and Education 22(5) (2008) 307–326. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2167/le791.0

[16] W.T. Seah, A.J. Bishop, Values in mathematics textbooks: A view through two Australasian regions, Distributed by ERIC Clearinghouse, 2000.

[17] B. Herbel-Eisenmann, From intended curriculum to written curriculum: examining the “voice” of a mathematics textbook, Journal for Research in Mathematics Education 38(4) (2007) 344–369. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2307/30034878

[18] B. Herbel-Eisenmann, D. Wagner, V. Cortes, Lexical bundle analysis in mathematics classroom discourse: The significance of stance, Educational Studies in Mathematics 75(1) (2010) 23–42. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-010-9253-6

[19] D. Biber, S. Conrad, V. Cortes, If you look at...: Lexical bundles in University Teaching and textbooks, Applied Linguistics 25(3) (2004) 371–405. URL: https://fian.unc.edu/biber/Biber/Biber_Conrad_Cortes_2004.pdf

[20] H. Oughton, Constructing the ‘ideal learner: A critical discourse analysis of the adult numeracy core curriculum, Research in Post-Compulsory Education 12(2) (2007) 259–275. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/13596740701387536
[21] N. Fairclough, Language and power, 2nd ed. New York, NY: Longman, 2001.

[22] A.J. Bishop, Mathematical enculturation: A cultural perspective on mathematics education, Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1988.

[23] M. Rif’at, K. Nilamsari, S. Nurfadilah, Visual representation in solving mathematics problems, Journal of Mathematics Education: Conference Series (AIP Indexed), in press.