The state of the art of bispecific antibodies for treating human malignancies
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Abstract

Bispecific antibodies (bsAb) that target two independent epitopes or antigens have been extensively explored in translational and clinical studies since they were first developed in the 1960s. Many bsAbs are being tested in clinical trials for treating a variety of diseases, mostly cancer. Here, we provide an overview of various types of bsAbs in clinical studies and discuss their targets, safety profiles, and efficacy. We also highlight the current challenges, potential solutions, and future directions of bsAb development for cancer treatment.
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Definition and classification

A bispecific antibody (bsAb) is designed and manufactured—through genetic recombination, chemical conjugation or quadromas—to contain two target-binding units in one antibody-based molecule, whereby each unit independently recognizes its unique epitope. Upon sequential or simultaneous binding, bsAb acts as a biophysical bridge between two antigens with multiple mode of actions (MoA) in vivo to achieve specific effects.

Basic research and development have yielded many different forms of bsAb with different properties. Their classification can be based on various criteria, for example, the length of their half-life in vivo. Here, bsAbs can be roughly divided into two groups. The first one are small proteins, usually less than 50 kDa, generated by fusion of two basic single-chain variable fragment (scFvs) or two single-domain units. Termed bispecific T-cell engager (BiTEs; Löffler et al., 2000), dual-affinity re-targeting antibody (DARTs; Johnson et al., 2010), or diabodies (Holliger et al., 1996), they lack a human immunoglobulin constant region (Fc) which leads to quick clearance in vivo within a few hours. Accordingly, these smaller bsAbs have no Fc-mediated effector functions and require continuous administration for therapeutic use. The other group are long-lived bsAbs (> 150 KDa) with a half-life of up to several days in vivo. These include bsAbs with a human Fc and a classic antibody backbone similar to traditional IgG (Ridgway et al., 1996), and recent scFv-IgG fusion bspecific antibodies (Shen et al., 2006) or similar assemblies.

The US FDA grouped bsAbs into two main classes based on their mechanism of action, namely cell-bridging bsAbs and antigen-crosslinking bsAbs (non-cell-bridging molecules; Labrijn et al., 2019). Most cell-bridging bsAbs are designed for cancer treatment by linking immune cells to malignant cells. Through sequential binding, that is, by binding the cancer cell first owing to a higher affinity to tumor antigens, cell-bridging bsAbs can improve specificity and effectiveness with reduced non-specific side effects and lower dosage compared with mAbs. In contrast, antigen-crosslinking bsAbs target two antigens or two receptors simultaneously. Their main MoA is either blocking signals of cell growth/survival or activation of immune cells (Engelman et al., 2007). Antigen-crosslinking bsAbs basically act similar to mAbs except that they bind two different targets.
bsAbs have been used clinically in regenerative medicine and to treat infectious diseases such as HIV (Huang et al., 2016), hematological disorders, and cancer depending on their design and MoA. More than 85% of bsAbs in clinical trials are cancer therapeutics, of which more than 50% are cell-bridging bsAbs in small or large assembly formats (Fig 1). The basic anti-cancer bsAb construct usually recognizes a tumor-associated antigen (TAA) and either T cells or NK cells. Antigen-crosslinking bsAbs use a flexible linker to bridge NK cells by targeting CD30 and CD16. Antigen-crosslinking bsAbs are important because they bring together different cell populations to kill cancer cells. Only five bsAb programs accounted for a small number of new clinical trials since 2014. New clinical trials evaluating novel bsAb drugs have been continuously increasing with an annual rate of 20.44% (Fig 2A). Of particular importance, a similar number of trials targeting solid tumors were observed compared with those targeting hematological malignancies (Fig 2B, solid tumors 170/308, 55.2% vs hematological tumors 138/308, 44.8%). Nonetheless, more than 93.5% of the trials are still in phase I or II.

Here, we discuss the clinical characteristics and related details of bsAbs in clinical trials for cancer treatment between January 1, 2010, and August 1, 2020, based on data from Trialtrove and Pharmaprojects, a drug development database developed by INFORMA. In total, 308 projects investigating 126 bsAb drugs were analyzed.

bsAbs for treating hematological tumors
The 138 bsAb programs treating hematological tumors focused on 16 different targets, including CD19, CD20, BCMA, CD123, and CD33 (Fig 3A and B). Among them, more than half (73/138) were CD19-targeting cell-bridging bsAbs, probably trying to replicate the success of Blincyto. The second largest group targeting CD20, BCMA, and CD123 accounted for about one quarter of hematological cancer trials. The lion’s share of bsAbs in these trials (129/133) redirected T cell (CD3) to cancer cells. Only five bsAb programs bridge NK cells by targeting CD30 and CD16. Antigen-crosslinking bsAbs accounted for just a tiny fraction (4/138).

Landscape of bsAb in treating solid tumors
Solid tumors account for 90% of newly diagnosed cancer cases, but very few drugs are available to produce durable therapeutic benefits in patients, owing to the high heterogeneity of cancer cells, relatively low level of neoantigens on cancer cells, and the inhibitory tumor microenvironment. Notwithstanding, there are currently more than 170 clinical trials of bsAbs to treat solid tumors, targeting 56 molecules and/or their rational pairs (Fig 4A).

Compared to hematological cancer trials, bsAbs for treating solid tumors involve many more targets and multiple MoAs. While both immune cell-redirecting bsAbs and antigen-crosslinking bsAbs are...
being explored, the latter account for more than three fourth on these studies (99/135; Fig 4B). The crosslinked antigen pairs vary from single targets such as HER2/HER2 (biparatopic bsAb) to combinations of two different proteins, for example, VEGF/Ang-2, IGF-1/IGF-2, PD-1/CTLA4, PD-1/PD-L1, or PD-L1/CTLA4. Of note, many bsAbs (47/135 in Fig 4B) are being tested to target immune cells with the expectation that they outperform single mAbs (such as anti-PD-1 mAb) in modulating anti-cancer functions. The MoA of these bsAbs is mainly by targeting dual cell signaling pathways for enhanced inhibitory or stimulatory effects in malignant cells or immune cells accordingly. Immune cell-redirecting, anti-CD3/anti-TAA-based cell-bridging bsAbs on the other hand utilize not only tissue-specific tumor antigens (PSMA, GPC3, etc.) but also fewer specific antigens (HER2, EpCAM, CEA, etc.).

Though some of the targets (HER2, EGFR, etc.) have been validated clinically, no bsAb drug against solid tumors has been approved to date. Nevertheless, a subgroup of cell-bridging bsAbs with CD3 as the constant target in T cells, termed TRBAs (T-cell-redirecting bsAbs), have begun to dominate novel cancer therapies from scientific research to clinical studies.

**Modes of actions of bsAb**

bsAbs are designed to achieve different functions through single or multiple MoAs: bridging tumor cells and immune cells for redirected cytotoxicity, blocking two targets to inhibit tumor growth, promoting immune cell functions, or facilitating the formation of protein complexes (Hemlibra, which is not the scope of this review).

**Bridging tumor cell and immune cells**

Cellular immune surveillance usually recognizes and eliminates abnormal cells by bridging them with a cytotoxic T cell via the TCR-MHC-peptide complex. Malignant cells may escape this link by lowering expression of immunological HLA peptide, in addition to secreting immune suppression molecules and creating a hostile tumor microenvironment for infiltrating T cells. The main aim of CD3- or CD16-targeting, TAA-based bsAbs is to create new and stable bridges between immune cells and tumor cells and thereby enhance the efficacy and coverage of T cell and NK cell action.

Modulating the bridging strength may be key to optimizing clinical outcome. Blincyto, MGD011, and AFM11 were all designed to link T and B cells through CD3-CD19 bsAb and had different cytokine release syndrome (CRS) profiles in clinical trials. Glofitamab, epcoritamab, and mosunetuzumab all are CD20xCD3 redirecting T cells to CD20-positive cells for treating B-cell malignancies by manipulating the bridging strength, in addition to modulating the affinities of the two moieties in a bsAb. Glofitamab was designed as a 2:1 antibody with two CD20 binding points and one CD3 binding point to achieve higher affinity against malignant cells and relatively lower affinity to immune cells to prevent massive immune activation and damage to normal tissues and cells. Epcoritamab designed with the DuoBody platform was scubcutaneously administered with a better safety profile. In early-phase trials, there was no grade 3...
CRS observed in patients treated with epcoritamab. Mosunetuzumab was a fully humanized IgG1-like bispecific antibody, investigated in R/R NHL and DLBCL, that produced a significant number of complete response among patients with acceptable safety profiles. NK cell can also be the effector in this type of bsAbs, by adopting the CD16 antibody, such as in AFM13 or GTB-3550.

Blocking two targets
Past conventional mAb therapeutics and small molecule drugs in oncology have unveiled one of the dominant resistance mechanisms by cancer cells: using alternative growth signals to compensate the blockade. Blocking two signal targets simultaneously, besides other antibody-mediated cytotoxicities, may overcome such resistance and enhance efficacy and coverage. This, however, requires careful design for bsAbs to balance the two antibody affinities to two targets as well as the spatial distance for sufficient and concurrent bivalent interaction.

Amivantamab is such a bsAb that targets cMet and EGFR. It has been granted approval to treat non-small-cell lung cancer patients with resistant malignancies after several lines of tyrosine kinase inhibitors and patients with EGFRex20mut who lack other effective treatment. Amivantamab was investigated in patient subgroups with overall response rate (ORRs) greater than 30%. It effects multiple MoAs to inhibit tumor growth. First, dual inhibition of both EGFR and cMet signaling by blocking ligand-induced activation and inducing receptor degradation slows down tumor cell proliferation.

Figure 2. (A) History and phase distribution of bsAb clinical trials worldwide during the past ten years (2011-2020); (B) cancer types that bispecific antibodies are being used against.

Details of the trials were obtained from Pharmaprojects, a drug development database developed by INFORMA (https://pharma.id.informa.com). The following search keywords were used as follows: [(Therapeutic Class is Antiboby, bispecific, T cell engager) OR (Therapeutic Class is Antibody, bispecific)] AND (Actual Start Date is from 2010/01/01 to 2020/08/01)).
Second, amivantamab has a low fucose N-glycosylation in Fc, which enhances Fc and FcγR interactions on NK cells, monocytes, and macrophages to harness antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity, antibody-dependent cellular phagocytosis, and complement-dependent cytotoxicity. In addition, Fc–FcγR interaction can lead to antibody-dependent cellular trogocytosis, an antibody-mediated transfer of membrane fragments and ligands from tumor cells to effector cells such as monocytes, macrophages, and neutrophils. The induction of trogocytosis can also lead to down-regulation of EGFR and cMet receptors and their downstream signaling (Moores et al., 2016).

BsAbs could also bind to different epitopes of one target to block one pathway more efficiently through enhanced receptor internalization. Such biparatopic bsAbs targeting HER2 (zenocutuzumab, KN026) are now in clinical trials, and more such targets, such as VEGF, VEGFR2, and DLL4, are being investigated for similar approaches.

**Actuating immune cells**

T cells need to be carefully controlled during a defense action, and so-called immune checkpoints are such regulators that switch T-cell power on or off. Monoclonal antibodies against immune checkpoint regulators such as PD-1, PD-L1, or CTLA4 have been shown to display significant potencies in treating some cancers such as melanoma by activating T cells. However, they have limited effects in non-inflamed or cold tumors, and resistance has emerged after mAb monotherapy. Thus, bsAbs targeting two immune checkpoints simultaneously may synergize their immune-modulating functions. Moreover, monoclonal antibodies targeting co-stimulatory receptors, such as OX40, ICOS, or CD28, may cause strong systemic side effects: The mAb TGN1412 for instance caused several deaths in clinical trials. Their toxicity might be limited locally by developing bsAbs that co-target PD-L1, the expression of which is restricted around the tumor microenvironment. So far only two checkpoint-specific bsAbs are in phase 3 trials for treating solid tumors: KN046 (targeting PD-L1/CTLA4) and tebotelimab (targeting PD-1/LAG-3).

**Clinical progress of bsAb**

So far, there have been three bispecific antibodies approved by the FDA, two of them TRBA. Blincyto (Blinatumomab), bridging CD3 on T cells and CD19 on B cells, was first approved in 2014 for Philadelphia chromosome negative (Ph-) relapsed or refractory B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), based on a single-arm phase 2 trial that showed 33% complete response rate (NCT02000427), and then expanded to Ph+ patients and patients in
remission with minimal residual disease in 2017 and 2018, respectively. Removab (Catumaxomab), bridging CD3 and EpCAM, was approved for malignant ascites in 2009 and withdrawn after 4 years owing to commercial reasons, immunogenicity and toxicity. The third bsAb, an oncology-unrelated product, Hemlibra (Emicizumab) was elegantly designed to prevent bleeding in hemophilia A patients and has been so far the most successful bsAb. It has changed the paradigm of hemophilia A treatment from three injections per week to once weekly or biweekly.

There are a few other promising bsAbs with different structures in phase 3 clinical trials including IgG-like full-length antibodies, DARTs, and fusion proteins. A lot more entities are being investigated in early phases now and may enter phase 3 investigation within the next 5 years. Some antibodies in early-phase trials have been granted breakthrough therapy designation by FDA or enter other expedited programs (Table 1).

But there are also other prominent setbacks. Roche’s Vanucizumab, an angiogenesis inhibitor targeting both Ang-2 and VEGF-A signaling, was terminated due to lack of progression-free survival (PFS) improvement compared with bevacizumab in colorectal cancer patients. Faricimab, however, another Ang-2/VEGF-A blocker also developed by Roche, recently showed positive results in phase III studies for treating neovascular or “wet” age-related macular degeneration (nAMD). The trials of duvortuxizumab and AFM11, both of which are closely similar to Blinatumomab, were terminated due to safety concerns. Lately, the programs of a few CD20xCD3 bispecific antibodies were either put on hold or terminated due to deaths in clinical trials, even though a high percentage of complete responses was observed. These examples show that, even with identical target combination and similar design, each bsAb may have unique properties owing to subtle differences. Careful optimization in molecule engineering and clinical dosing may rescue their applications, as Blincyto went through five phase I trials before moving further.

M7824 (bintrafusp alfa; Strauss et al., 2018), composed of TGF-βRII fused to a PD-L1 antibody to target TGF-β in tumor microenvironment (TME) and PD-L1 for local immune modulation, unfortunately
| Antibodies | Targets | Structure and platform | Company | Indication | Pivotal trial(s) | Trial phase | FDA designation(s) |
|------------|---------|------------------------|---------|------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------|
| Glofitamab (Bacac et al, 2018; Hutchings et al, 2021; Killick, 2021) | CD3xCD20 | Asymmetric (2 + 1) | Roche | DLBCL | NCT04408638 | 3 | BTD |
| KNO46 (Zhao et al, 2020) | PD-L1xCD1A4 | Asymmetric (1 + 1) | Alphamab | NSCLC | NCT04474119 | 3 | -- |
| .. | .. | .. | .. | Thymic carcinoma | NCT04469725 | 2 | Orphan |
| IB1318 (Xu et al, 2020) | PD-1xPD-L1 | Asymmetric (1 + 1) | Innova | NSCLC | NCT04672928 | Ib/3 | -- |
| Epcontamab (SC) (Engelberts et al, 2020; Hutchings et al, 2020; van der Horst et al, 2021) | CD3xCD20 | Asymmetric (1 + 1, DuoBody) | Genmab | DLBCL | NCT04628494 | 3 | -- |
| Tebotelimab (Luke et al, 2020; Patel et al, 2020; Catenacci et al, 2021) | PD-1xLAG-3 | Symmetric (2 + 2, DART) | MacroGenics | G/GEJ (+HER2Ab) | NCT04082364 | 2/3 | -- |
| .. | .. | .. | .. | G/GEJ (+PARPi) | NCT04178460 | 1 | -- |
| Tebentafusp (Damato et al, 2019; Middleton et al, 2020; Sacco et al, 2020) | TCR/CD3 | Asymmetric (fusion protein) | Immunocore | Melanoma | NCT03070392 | 3 | BTD |
| Faricimab (Khan et al, 2020; Khanani et al, 2020; Sahni et al, 2020) | Ang-2xVEGF-A | Asymmetric (1 + 1, CrossMab) | Roche | DME | NCT03622580 / NCT03622593 | 3 | -- |
| Amivantamab (Park et al, 2020; Vijayaraghavan et al, 2020; Yun et al, 2020) | EGFRxMETex20mut | Asymmetric (1 + 1, DuoBody) | Janssen | NSCLC | NCT02609776 | 3 | BTD |
| Mosunetuzumab (Hosseini et al, 2020) | CD3xCD20 | Asymmetric (1 + 1) | Roche | FL | NCT02500407 | 3 | BTD |
| Zanidatamab (Meric-Bernstam et al, 2021; Pant et al, 2021) | HER2 ECD2xECD4 | Asymmetric (1 + 1) | Zymeworks | BTC | NCT04466891 | 2 | BTD |
| Flotetuzumab (Uy et al, 2021) | CD3xCD123 | Fragment (1 + 1, DART) | MacroGenics | AML | NCT02152956 | 1/2 | Orphan |
| APV0436 (Godwin et al, 2017; Slade & Uy, 2020) | CD3xCD123 | Symmetric (2 + 2) | Aptevo | AML | NCT03967800 | 1 | Orphan |
| Zenocutuzumab (Cancer Discov, 2019; Pistilli et al, 2020; Schram et al, 2020) | HER2xHER3 | Asymmetric (1 + 1) | Merus | Pancreatic cancer | NCT02912949 | 1/2 | Orphan |
| .. | .. | .. | .. | NRG1 fusion cancers | NCT02912949 | .. | FT |
| TNI3383 (Buelow et al, 2018; Rodriguez et al, 2020) | CD3xCD1A4 | Asymmetric (2 + 1) | AbbVie’ TeneoBio | MM | NCT03933735 | 1 | Orphan |

AML, acute myeloid leukemia; BTC, biliary tract cancers; BTD, breakthrough therapy designation; FT, fast track; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; DME, diabetic maculopathy; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; FL, follicular lymphoma; G/GEJ, gastric and gastroesophageal junction carcinoma; HER2Ab, HER2 antibody; MM, multiple myeloma; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; PARPi, poly-ADP-ribose polymerase inhibitor.
failed to improve overall survival (OS) and PFS in a phase 3 clinical trial (NCT03631706) in NSCLC compared with pembrolizumab. M7824 is still under investigation for biliary tract cancers and HPV-related carcinomas.

**Designing the right T-cell-redirecting bsAbs**

Currently all TRBAs are in phase I/II stage except for Blincyto. Similar to CAR-T therapy, one of the biggest challenges and main safety concerns is the CRS. To address this problem requires designing an ideal bsAb molecule that is tunable in potency to generate optimal cytokine levels as extensively summarized recently (Middelburg et al., 2021).

There are a few relevant factors for designing a new generation of TRBAs. First is choosing the right CD3 binding arm. While CD3 seems to be the crucial target, the level of TRBA-induced CRS correlates with the interaction mode and affinity of the anti-CD3 antibody to CD3 subunits (Eγ or σγ). Indeed, strong anti-CD3ε mAb (such as OKT3)-derived TRBAs tend to cause severe CRS, as the T-arm binds tightly to both CD3δε and CD3γε heterodimers. Exploring an alternative T-arm, a recently developed anti-CD3 clone (F2B) binds preferentially to CD3ε with intermediate affinity and has no detectable affinity to CD3γε heterodimer (Trinklein et al., 2019). Subsequently, F2B-based TRBA reduced cytokines to minimal level and demonstrated satisfactory potency in animal models.

Second, the affinity gap between the TRBA to the TAA binding site and the anti-CD3 unit should be at least 10-fold to establish sequential binding so as to reduce the risk of a severe CRS (Mandlikian et al., 2018). Individual TRBA molecule must bind cancer cell first; free TRBA should not be able to stably bind to T cells. TRBAs administered into the bloodstream would follow the flow and bind to target-expressing cells. A cluster of bound TRBAs would then grab and enrich for cytotoxic T cells to be activated. This design reduces antigen-independent activation and antibody-induced cell death by the TRBA.

Lastly, the physical distance between the two antibody binding units is a double-sided sword as it affects both potency and CRS induction (Ellerman, 2019). On the one hand, a short space between T-arm and cancer cell-arm allows efficient diffusion of the cytotoxic molecules (perforin and Granzyme B) into the malignant cell to achieve fast clearance of tumor mass. On the other hand, a short arm might induce a strong CRS. Finding the right distance between the two arms in TRBAs remains a delicate task.

Choosing the right targets in solid tumors can be even more challenging for TRBAs than classic mAbs, due to the induction of amplified T-cell response in vivo. Candidate tumor antigens can be grouped as tumor-specific antigen (TSA), tumor-associated antigen (TAA), or cancer germline antigens (CGA), based on their expression patterns (Seremet et al., 2011; Abbott et al., 2020). TSAs, such as EGFRvIII (Gedeon et al., 2013), p95HER2 (Rius Ruiz et al., 2018), RAS G12V, or Q61H/L/R (Douglass et al., 2021), are ideal cancer antigens for TRBAs, since these are exclusively expressed on the tumor cell surface. However, very few TSA are available, and if there is one, antigen heterogeneity can be a problem (Furnari et al., 2015): In vitro experiments showed limited effects on brain metastases of p95HER2 TRBA (Rius Ruiz et al., 2018). More studies in both preclinical and clinical settings are needed to develop efficient TSA targeting antibodies.

The next ideal antigens are CGA with CD19 (Scheuermann & Racila, 1995) being the most frequently used in targeting B-cell malignancies, but CGA are limited in non-essential tissues. Tumor over-expressed antigens or TAA, such as CEA and HER family members, can also be used for TRBA design. Additionally, proteins with aberrant post-translational modifications such as MUC1 (Steentoft et al., 2019), intracellular oncoproteins such as WT1 (Dao et al., 2015), and tissue-specific antigens such as PSMA, Mullerian inhibiting substance type II receptor (Bakkum-Gamez et al., 2008) and others should be extensively explored. Generally, tumor antigens are expressed on the membrane of tumor cells; however, tumor antigens can also include post-translationally modified products such as carbohydrate chains or even lipids. Meanwhile, new methods such as whole-exome sequencing combined with mass spectrometry should be applied to discover novel antigens (Wang & Cao, 2020) in cancer cells for TRBA development.

Another design approach is Fc-modification. All TRBAs currently under clinical investigations are devoid of the Fc or have mutations that attenuate their effector functions. This minimizes potential off-target toxicity and prevents the unintended depletion of effector T cells. However, it makes “bridging” effector T cells and tumor cells the only MoA of these bsAbs, which may limit their therapeutic efficacy. It is therefore an interesting approach to design bsAbs that retain Fc-mediated killing function specific against tumor cells but not T cells. Indeed, it has been found that the spatial distance between antigen epitope and effector T cells may be critical for effective initiation of T-cell signaling (Srivastava & Riddell, 2015). Thus, it might be possible to design a bsAb with a unique structure that only allows Fc-mediated effector activity against tumor cells captured by one arm of the bsAb but not against T cells bound to the other arm of the bsAb.

A perfect preclinical TRBA study does not necessarily guarantee its clinical success, particularly in solid tumors. TRBAs could only work through penetration into the core of a tumor mass to attract and activate T cells. Like other macro-molecule therapies against solid tumors, the microenvironment poses a series of challenges for TRBA-assisted T-cell therapy. The physical barrier of a solid tumor hinders penetration as well as trafficking and infiltration of T cells, significantly reducing the number of both TRBA molecules and T cells inside. Moreover, the suppressive tumor microenvironment, including major cytokines such as TGF-β (Caja et al., 2018), PD-L1 (Jiang et al., 2019), and inhibitory cells such as Treg (Ohue & Nishikawa, 2019), all impair local and recruited T-cell immune response.

Numerous approaches are now being investigated to tackle these challenges, including combining checkpoint inhibitors with TRBAs (Kobold et al., 2018); delivering BiTEs to solid tumors with new vehicles such as oncolytic viruses (Rosewell Shaw & Suzuki, 2018); supplementing the co-stimulatory signals, for example, through CD28 signaling for complete T-cell activation and combination therapy (Skokos et al., 2020); and more. Any clinical breakthrough that adopts one or more of these strategies will inform the further development of TRBAs to treat solid tumors.

**Co-stimulatory TRBAs**

While the main route to trigger T-cell receptor (TCR; for instance via CD3) signaling is the specific recognition of cognate antigenic peptides presented by MHC molecules, co-stimulatory / co-inhibitory...
receptors on T cells direct T-cell function and determine T-cell fate besides the duration and amplitude of their activation / inhibition. This two-signal mode of T-cell activation has been well studied, and a plethora of stimulatory, co-stimulatory, inhibitory, and co-inhibitory receptors/ligands have been discovered (Chen & Flies, 2013). Among them, CD28 (Esensten et al., 2016) and CD137/4-1-BB (Long et al., 2015) and their ligands are the most extensively studied co-stimulatory receptors for application in immunotherapy. The great value of co-stimulation has been well validated since the successful development of 2nd-generation CAR-Ts, who must contain at least one co-stimulatory domain in the activation cassette in addition to the CD3ζ domain.

The fact that CD3-based TRBAs have demonstrated some success in clinical studies without co-stimulatory signals actually questions the need for integrating additional components in anti-tumor bsAbs. Yet, clinical safety concerns that halted the trials of MGD011 and AFM11 illustrate the daunting challenge of managing CRS-related toxicity. Co-stimulation, by its nature, may induce a milder T-cell activation when compared to CD3-based TRBAs such as BiTEs and DARTs. Co-stimulating mAbs could also assist CD3-TRBA activation—a similar role as in CAR-Ts—if the latter is not fully mobilized to achieve satisfactory potency in treating solid cancer. For these reasons, co-stimulation-based TRBAs have attracted increasing attention from academia and industry in recent years.

There are three approaches to use co-stimulation in order to improve the safety/efficacy of TRBAs: replacing, addition, and integration. Replacing means designing co-stimulation/inhibitory-based bsAbs instead of CD3-based TRBAs. So far, CD28 (Waite et al., 2020), CD137 (Hinne et al., 2019), OX40 (Perez-Santos, 2021), and PD-L1 (Wang et al., 2021a) are frequently selected to replace CD3; other co-receptors are being actively pursued. Other approaches use anti-co-stimulatory mAbs or co-stimulatory-TRBAs in combination with CD3-TRBAs (Bohlen et al., 1997; Cochlovius et al., 2000; Claus et al., 2019). Integrating means designing multispecific antibodies, such as tri-specifics that contain an anti-co-stimulatory binding moiety (Garfall & June, 2019; Mullard, 2020). While there are exciting results in animal experiments, most of these alternative TRBAs are still in preclinical stage with very few in early-phase clinical trials.

Management of the bsAb toxicity and resistance

Immunotherapeutic anti-cancer agents, such as anti-CD3 antibodies, CAR-Ts, BiTEs, or non-protein drugs, have an inherent risk of causing potentially fatal adverse effects, most notably CRS (Chatenoud et al., 1989; Shimabukuro-Vornhagen et al., 2018). Interleukin-6 (IL-6), IL-10, TNF-alpha, and interferon (IFN)-gamma are among the central cytokines that are consistently found elevated in the serum of CRS patients. Some patients also suffered from neurotoxic side effects after administration of BiTEs and TRBAs.

Severe CRS is a life-threatening situation that requires prompt and aggressive treatment. Clinical CRS management is still evolving as CAR-Ts, BiTEs, TRBAs, and other agents advance in clinical trials. As most CAR-T-treated patients have elevated serum IL-6, anti-IL-6 (Siltuximab) and anti-IL-6R antibody (Tocilizumab) have been used to manage severe CRS (Riegler et al., 2019). When neither tocilizumab nor glucocorticoids were effective, TNF-α signaling blockade has also demonstrated effectiveness. It is unknown whether antibodies to other cytokines are helpful to manage CRS. For Blinatumomab, a prophylactic protocol consisting of cytoreduction, dose adjustment, and premedication with corticosteroids has been suggested based on the clinical trials (Topp et al., 2015). This experience should serve as a guideline to manage CRS in other TRBA-treated patients. The CRS severity is likely to increase with combination therapy. Odronextamab (REGN1979), a TRBA antibody redirecting T cells to CD20, demonstrated an increased level of CRS-related toxicity in patients when combined with a PD-1 antibody, which caused two deaths in trials. This is once again a warning of the daunting task of managing clinical toxicity of TRBAs.

Emerging resistance to bsAbs has also limited their clinical applications. Loss of target, inadequate immune response, and upregulation of immune checkpoints or other immune escape-related pathways were among the mechanisms observed. Extramedullary escape in CNS and testes was a common resistance mechanism in treating hematological malignancies with bsAbs. Poor infiltration of redirected lymphocytes, and immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment were common problems in solid tumors.

Perspectives

With hundreds of TRBAs in clinical trials, there is great hope that some of them may lead to breakthroughs in cancer therapy. Particularly, the long-lasting IgG-based bsAbs are promising as the drug exposure time extends significantly in vivo, allowing durable interactions between immune cells and target cells, or effective dual regulation of two vital signals.

Another advance that extends the cell-bridging effect is smart delivery of TRBAs. Instead of infusion as soluble protein, bsAb can be delivered through cell-expressing vehicles. In this regard, one could consider T-cell receptor (TCR) engineered cells, CAR-Ts, and cell-infected viruses as living TRBAs (Chen et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021b). One study demonstrated that EGFR-targeted BiTEs produced by CAR-T cells could induce effective and specific anti-tumor activity against heterogeneous tumors and mitigate against the effects of EGFRvIII which causes antigen loss. Local BiTE secretion could enable targeting of an antigen, such as EGFR, that would otherwise not be “druggable” by BiTEs or CAR-Ts due to the off-target toxicity (Choi et al., 2019). Additionally, these vehicles may have advantages for targeting multiple antigens. A study found that T cells co-expressing IL-13Rα2-CARs and HER2 showed improved tumor control in a human glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) orthotopic xenograft model (Hegde et al., 2013). Indeed, delivering BiTEs or TRBAs with new vehicles, as well as supplementing T cells with co-stimulatory signals beside CD3-based activation, could become promising therapeutic approaches.

Meanwhile, it is well understood that no single drug can win the war against cancer. Combination of multiple remedies is the current trend in cancer treatment, but the combination strategy should be carefully designed, and must fundamentally be science-based. In principle, drugs with independent MoAs can work together to generate synergistic effects. TRBAs might combine with an anti-PD-L1 antibody to achieve better outcome (Gedeon et al., 2013); a MoA that delivers mutual enhancement is likely to achieve synergy. Combination treatment of anti-PD-1 antibody with Lenvatinib
already demonstrated enhanced anti-cancer effect in a tumor model (Kato et al., 2019), as the latter selectively inhibits VEGFR1–3 and other proangiogenic and oncogenic pathway-related receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), thus facilitating the traffic of immune T cells into the tumor microenvironment.

As antibody technology develops, several trispecific or even multispecific antibodies are being investigated in clinical trials, including both full-length and fragment-based platforms. Two main strategies were adopted, either combining more than one immune cell target such as SAR442257 (CD38+CD28+CD3; El-Murr et al., 2020) and GTB-3550 (CD16xCD33xIL-15) to recruit immune cells more efficiently, or adding on HSA (Human Serum Albumin) to enhance the bioavailability, such as ND-021 (PD-L1x4-1BBxHSA; Sahni et al., 2020) and HPN-328 (DLL3xAlbxCD3). Inspired by efforts from the fight against HIV, many trispecific antibodies are now under investigation for treating malignant tumors.
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Pending issues
• One of the biggest challenges and main safety concerns is the CRS. Addressing this issue will require designing bsAbs molecule tunable in potency to generate optimal cytokine levels.
• Choosing the right target in solid tumors can be even more challenging for TRBAs than for classic mAbs, due to the induction of amplified T-cell response in vivo, and will require particular attention.
• TRBAs need to penetrate into the core of a tumor mass to attract and activate T cells. The microenvironment thus poses a series of challenges for TRBA-assisted T-cell therapy that need to be overcome.

References
Abbott RC, Cross RS, Jenkins MR (2020) Finding the Keys to the CAR: identifying novel target antigens for T cell redirection immunotherapies. Int J Mol Sci 21: 515
Bacac M, Colombetti S, Herter S, Sam J, Perro M, Chen S, Bianchi R, Richard M, Schoenle A, Nicolini V et al. (2018) CD20-TCB with obinutuzumab pretreatment as next-generation treatment of hematologic malignancies. Clin Cancer Res 24: 4785–4797
Bakkum-Gamez JN, Aletti G, Lewis KA, Keeney GL, Thomas BM, Navarro-Teulon I, Ciliby WA (2008) Mullerian inhibiting substance type II receptor (MISIIR): a novel, tissue-specific target expressed by gynecologic cancers. Gynecol Oncol 108: 141–148
Bohlen H, Manzke O, Titzer S, Lorenzen J, Kube D, Engert A, Abken H, Wolf J, Diehl V, Tesch H (1997) Prevention of Epstein-Barr virus-induced human B-cell lymphoma in severe combined immunodeficient mice treated with CD3xCD19 bispecific antibodies, CD28 monospecific antibodies, and autologous T cells. Can Res 57: 1704–1709
Buelow B, Choudry P, Clarke S, Dang K, Davison L, Force Aldred S, Harris K, Prapat P, Pham D, Rangaswamy U et al. (2018) Pre-clinical development of TNB-3838, a fully human T-cell engaging bispecific antibody targeting BCMA for the treatment of multiple myeloma. J Clin Oncol 36: 8034
Caja L, Dituri F, Mancarella S, Caballero-Díaz D, Moustakas A, Giannelli G, Fabregat I (2018) TGF-β and the tissue microenvironment: relevance in fibrosis and cancer. Int J Mol Sci 19: 1294
Catenacci DVT, Rosales M, Chung HC, Yoon H, Shen L, Moehler M, Kang Y-K (2021) MAHOGANY: margetuximab combination in HER2+ unsectable/metastatic gastric/gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma. Future Oncol 17: 1155–1164
Chatenoud L, Ferran C, Reuter A, Legendre C, Gevaert Y, Kreis H, Franchimont P, Bach JF (1989) Systemic reaction to the anti-T-cell monoclonal antibody OKT3 in relation to serum levels of tumor necrosis factor and interferon-gamma [corrected]. N Engl J Med 320: 1420–1421
Chen L, Files DB (2013) Molecular mechanisms of T cell co-stimulation and co-inhibition. Nat Rev Immunol 13: 227 – 242
Chen K, Wang S, Chen B, Sun F, Li N (2021) Gene therapy in solid tumors: trends in trials in China and beyond. Drug Discov Today 26: 296 – 300
Choi BD, Yu X, Castano AP, Bouffard AA, Schmidts A, Larson RC, Bailey SR, Boroughs AC, Frigault MJ, Leck MB et al. (2019) CAR-T cells secreting BiTEs circumvent antigen escape without detectable toxicity. Nat Biotechnol 37: 1049–1058
Clark M, Waldmann H (1987) T-cell killing of target cells induced by hybrid antibodies: comparison of two bispecific monoclonal antibodies. J Natl Cancer Inst 79: 1393 – 1401
Claus C, Ferrara C, Wu W, Sam J, Lang S, Uhlenbrock F, Albrecht R, Herter S, Schlenker R, Hüsser T et al. (2019) Tumor-targeted 4-1BB agonists for combination with T cell bispecific antibodies as off-the-shelf therapy. Sci Transl Med 11: eaav5989
Cochlovius B, Kripyanov SM, Stassar MJ, Schuhmacher J, Benner A, Moldenhauer G, Little M (2000) Cure of Burkitt’s lymphoma in severe combined immunodeficiency mice by T cells, tetravalent CD3 x CD19 tandem diabody, and CD28 costimulation. Can Res 60: 4336 – 4341
Damato BE, Dukes J, Goodall H, Carvajal RD (2019) Tebentafusp: T cell redirection for the treatment of metastatic uveal melanoma. Cancers 11: 971
Dao T, Pankov D, Scott A, Korontsvit T, Zakhaleva V, Xu Y, Xiang J, Yan Su, de Morais Guerreiro MD, Veomett N et al. (2015) Therapeutic bispecific T-cell engager antibody targeting the intracellular oncoprotein WT1. Nat Biotechnol 33: 1079
Douglass J, Hsieu EH, Mog BJ, Hwang MS, DiNapoli SR, Pearlman AH, Miller MS, Wright KM, Azurmendi PA, Wang Q et al. (2021) Bispecific antibodies targeting mutant RAS neoantigens. Sci Immunol 6: eabds5515
Ellerman D (2019) Bispecific T-cell engagers: Towards understanding variables influencing the in vitro potency and tumor selectivity and their modulation to enhance their efficacy and safety. Methods 154: 102 – 117
El-Murr N, Henry C, Francesconi E, Attenot F, Viron-Oddos A, Vidard L, Wu L, Yang Z-Y, Chiron M (2020) Abstract 5641: CD28 expression on multiple myeloma cells enhances the cytotoxic activity of CD38/CD28xCD3 trispecific T cell engager. Can Res 80: 5641
Engelberts PJ, Hiemstra IH, de Jong B, Schuurhuis DH, Meesters J, Beltran Hernandez I, Oostindie SC, Neijssen J, van den Brink EN, Horbach GJ et al (2020) Duobody-CD3xCD20 induces potent T-cell-mediated killing of malignant B cells in preclinical models and provides opportunities for subcutaneous dosing. *Ebiomedicine* 52:102625

Engelman JA, Zeijunllahu K, Mitsudomi T, Song Y, Hyland C, Park JO, Lindeman N, Gale C-M, Zhao X, Christensen JJ (2007) MET amplification leads to gefitinib resistance in lung cancer by activating ERBB3 signaling. *Science* 316:1039–1043

Esenstein JH, Helou YA, Chopra G, Weiss A, Bluestone JA (2016) CD28 costimulation: from mechanism to therapy. *Immunity* 44:973–988

Furnari FB, Cloughesy TF, Cavenee WK, Mischel PS (2015) Heterogeneity of epidermal growth factor receptor signalling networks in glioblastoma. *Nat Rev Cancer* 15:302–310

Garfall AL, June CH (2019) Tispecific antibodies offer a third way forward for anticancer immunotherapy. *Nature* 575:450–451

Gedeon PC, Choi BD, Hodges TR, Mitchell DA, Bigner DD, Sampson JH (2018) Targeting tumor-associated macrophage and in vivo B-cell depletion. *J Mol Biol* 399:436–449

Kato Y, Tabata K, Kimura T, Yachie-Kinoshiba A, Ozawa Y, Yamada K, Ito J, Tachino S, Hori Y, Matsuki M et al (2019) Lentivirally plus anti-PD-1 antibody combination treatment activates CD8+ T cells through reduction of tumor-associated macrophage and activation of the interferon pathway. *PLoS One* 14:e0221513

Khan M, Aziz AA, Shafi NAA, Abbas T, Khanani AM (2020) Targeting angiopoietin in retinal vascular diseases: a literature review and summary of clinical trials involving faricimab. Cells 9:1889

Khanani AM, Patel SS, Ferrone PJ, Osborne A, Sahni J, Grzeschik S, Basu K, Ehrlich JS, Haskova Z, Dugel PU (2020) Efficacy of every four monthly and quarterly dosing of faricimab vs ranibizumab in neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *JAMA Ophthalmol* 138:964–972

Kilkko D (2021) Engaging results with glofitamab. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 18:257

Kobold S, Pantelyushon S, Rataf J, vom Berg J (2018) Rationale for combining bispecific T-cell activating antibodies with checkpoint blockade for cancer therapy. *Front Oncol* 8:285

Labrinij AF, Janmaat ML, Reichert JM, Parren PWHi (2019) Bispecific antibodies: a mechanistic review of the pipeline. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 18:585–608

Loeffler A, Kufer P, Lutterbuse R, Zettl F, Daniel PT, Schwenkenbecher JM, Riethmüller G, Dörken B, Bargou RC (2000) A recombinant bispecific single-chain antibody, CD19+CD3, induces rapid and high lymphoma-directed cytotoxicity by unstimulated T lymphocytes. *Blood* 95:2098–2103

Long AH, Haso WM, Shern JF, Wanhaien KM, Murgai M, Ingaramo M, Smith JP, Walker AJ, Kohler ME, Venkateshwara VR et al (2015) 4–188 costimulation ameliorates T cell exhaustion induced by tonic signaling of chimeric antigen receptors. *Nat Med* 21:581–590

Luke JJ, Patel MR, Hamilton EP, Chmielowski B, Ulahannan SV, Kindler HL, Swadling SB, Clengan PR, Mallesara G, Weckhardt AJ et al (2020) A phase I, first-in-human, open-label, dose-escalation study of MGD013, a bispecific DART molecule binding PD-1 and LAG-3, in patients with unresectable or metastatic neoplasms. *J Clin Oncol* 38:3004

Mandikian D, Takahashi N, Lo AA, Li Ji, Eastham-Anderson J, Slaga D, Ho J, Hristopoulos M, Clark R, Totpal K et al (2018) Relative target affinities of T-cell–dependent bispecific antibodies determine biodistribution in a solid tumor mouse model. *Mol Cancer Ther* 17:776–785

Merc-Benstam F, Hamilton EP, Beeram M, Hanna DL, El-Khoueiry AB, Kang Y-K, Lee KW, Lee J, Rha SY, Chaves JM et al (2021) Zanidatamab (ZW25) in HER2-expressing gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma (GEA): results from a phase I study. *J Clin Oncol* 39:164

Middelburg J, Kemper K, Engelberts P, Labrinij AF, Schuurman J, van Hall T (2021) Overcoming challenges for CD3-bispecific antibody therapy in solid tumors. *Cancers* 13:287

Middleton MR, McAlpine C, Woodcock V, Corrie P, Infante JR, Steven NM, Evans TRJ, Anthey A, Shoushtari AN, Hamid O et al (2020) Tebentafusp, a TCR/anti-CD3 bispecific fusion protein targeting gp100, potently activated antitumor immune responses in patients with metastatic melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 26:5869–5878

Moores SL, Chiu ML, Bushey BS, Chevalier K, Luistro L, Dom K, Brezski R, Haytko P, Kelly T, Wu SJ et al (2016) A novel bispecific antibody targeting
EGFR and cMet is effective against EGFR inhibitor-resistant lung tumors. *Cancer Res* 76: 3942 – 3953

Mullard A (2020) Trispecific antibodies take to the clinic. *Nat Rev Drug Discovery* 19: 657 – 658

Nisonoff A, Wissler FC, Lipman LN (1960) Properties of the major component of a peptic digest of rabbit antibody. *Science* 132: 1770 – 1771

Oberg HH, Kellner C, Gonnermann D, Sebens S, Bauerschlag D, Gramatzki M, Kabelitz D, Peipp M, Wesch D (2018) Tribody [(HER2)2xCd16] is more effective than trastuzumab in enhancing γδ T cell and natural killer cell cytotoxicity against HER2-expressing cancer cells. *Front Immunol* 9: 814

Ohue Y, Nishikawa H (2019) Regulatory T (Treg) cells in cancer: can Treg cells be a new therapeutic target? *Cancer Sci* 110: 2080

Pant S, Ducreux M, Harding Jj, Jave MM, Oh D-Y, Wasan HS, Fortenberry A, Josephson NC, Mwatha A, Wang K et al (2021) A phase Iib, open-label, single-arm study of zanidatamab (ZW12) monotherapy in subjects with advanced or metastatic HER2-amplified triply negative breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 39: TPS3552

Park K, John T, Kim S-W, Lee JS, Shin CA, Kim D-W, Viteri Ramirez S, Spira AI, Sabari JK, Han J-Y et al (2020) Amivantamab (INJ-61186372), an anti-EGFR-MET bispecific antibody, in patients with EGFR exon 20 insertion (exon20ins)-mutated non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *J Clin Oncol* 38: 9512

Patel M, Luke J, Hamilton E, Chmielowski B, Kindler H, Bahadur S, Santa-Maria C, Koucheki J, Sun J et al (2020) 313 A phase 1 evaluation of tebetomab, a bispecific PD-1 x LAG-3 DART® molecule, in combination with margetuximab in patients with advanced HER2+-neoplasms. *J Immunother Cancer* 8: A340

Perez-Santos M (2021) Bispecific anti-CD20/ST4 antibodies for cancer treatment. *Pharm Pat Anal* 10: 59 – 66

Pistilli B, Wildiers H, Hamilton EP, Ferreira AA, Dalenc F, Vidal M, Gavil J, Goncalves A, Murias C, Mouret-Reynier M-A et al (2020) Clinical activity of MCLA-128 (zenocutuzumab) in combination with endocrine therapy (ET) in ER+/-HER2-low, non-amplified metastatic breast cancer (MBC) patients (pts) with ET-resistant disease that had progressed on a CDK4/6 inhibitor (CDK4/6i). *J Clin Oncol* 38: 1037

Przepiorka D, Ko C-W, Deisseroth A, Yancey CL, Candau-Chacon R, Chiu H-J, Astruc B, Wildiers H, Hamilton EP, Ferreira AA, Dalenc F, Vidal M, Gavil J, Goncalves A, Murias C, Mouret-Reynier M-A et al (2020) Clinical activity of MCLA-128 (zenocutuzumab) in combination with endocrine therapy (ET) in ER+/-HER2-low, non-amplified metastatic breast cancer (MBC) patients (pts) with ET-resistant disease that had progressed on a CDK4/6 inhibitor (CDK4/6i). *J Clin Oncol* 38: 1037

Ridgway JB, Presta LG, Carter P (1996) ‘Knobs-into-holes’ engineering of antibody CH3 domains for heavy chain heterodimerization. *Protein Eng Des Sel* 9 617 – 621.

Riegler LL, Jones GP, Lee DW (2019) Current approaches in the grading and management of cytokine release syndrome after chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy. *Ther Clin Risk Manag* 15: 325 – 335

Rius Ruiz I, Vicario R, Moranco B, Morales CB, Arenas EJ, Herter S, Freimoser-Grundschober A, Somandín J, Sam J, Ast O et al (2018) p95HER2-T cell bispecific antibody for breast cancer treatment. *Sci Transl Med* 10: eaat1445

Rodriguez C, D’Souza A, Shah N, Voorhees PM, Buelow B, Vij R, Kumar SK (2020) Initial results of a phase I study of TNB-383B, a BCMA x CD3 bispecific T-cell redirecting antibody, in relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma. *Blood* 136: 43 – 44

Rosewell Shaw A, Suzuki M (2018) Oncolytic viruses partner with T-cell therapy for solid tumor treatment. *Front Immunol* 9: 2103

Sacco Jj, Carvajal R, Butler MO, Shoushtari AN, Hassel JC, Ikeguchi A, Hernandez-Aya L, Nathan P, Hamid O, Rodriguez JMP et al (2020) 64AMO A phase (ph) II, multi-center study of the safety and efficacy of tebentafusp (teb) (IMCgp1100) in patients (pts) with metastatic uveal melanoma (mUM). *Ann Oncol* 31: S1442 – S1443

Sahni J, Dugel PU, Patel SS, Chittum ME, Berger B, del Valle Rubido M, Sadikov S, Szczensy P, Schwab D, Nogoceke E et al (2020) Safety and efficacy of different doses and regimens of Faricimab vs Ranibizumab in neovascular age-related macular degeneration: the AVENUE phase 2 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Ophthalmol* 138: 955 – 963

Scheuermann RH, Racila E (1995) CD19 antigen in leukemia and lymphoma diagnosis and immunotherapy. *Leuk Lymphoma* 18: 385 – 397

Schram AM, Drilon AE, Macarulla T, O’Reilly EM, Rodon J, Wolpin BM, Yu S-H, Kim D-W, Yang JC, Lam JYC et al (2020) A phase II basket study of MCLA-128, a bispecific antibody targeting the HER3 pathway, in NRG1 fusion-positive advanced solid tumors. *J Clin Oncol* 38: TPS3654

Seremet T, Brasseur F, Coulie PG (2013) Tumor-specific antigens and immunologic adjuvants in cancer immunotherapy. *Cancer* 71: 325 – 330

Shen J, Vil MD, Jimenez X, Iacolina M, Zhang H, Zhu Z (2006) Single variable domain-iγg fusion a novel recombinant approach to Fc domain-containing bispecific antibodies. *J Biol Chem* 281 10706 – 10714.

Shimabukuro-Vornhagen A, Gödel P, Subklewe M, Stemmler HJ, Schlößer HA, Schlaak M, Kocianek M, Boll B, von Bergwelt-Baldinger MS (2018) Cytokine release syndrome. *J Immunother Cancer* 6: 56

Skokos D, Waite JC, Haber L, Crawford A, Hermann A, Ullman E, Slim R, Godin S, Ajithdoss D, Ye X et al (2020) A class of costimulatory CD28-bispecific antibodies that enhance the antitumor activity of CD3-bispecific antibodies. *Sci Transl Med* 12: eaaw7888

Slade MJ, Uy CL (2020) CD123 bi-specific antibodies in development in AML: What do we know so far?. *Best Pract Res Clin Haematol* 33: 101219

Srivastava S, Riddell SR (2015) Engineering CAR-T cells: design concepts. *Trends Immunol* 36: 494 – 502

Steenoft C, Fuhrmann M, Battisti F, Van Coillie J, Madsen TD, Campos D, Halim A, Vakhreshev SY, Joshi HJ, Schreiber H (2019) A strategy for generating cancer-specific monoclonal antibodies to aberrant O-glycoproteins: identification of a novel dysadherin-Tn antibody. *Glycobiology* 29: 307 – 319

Strauss J, Heery CR, Schjom L, Madan RA, Cao L, Kang Z, Lamping E, Marté JL, Donahue RN, Genga I et al (2018) Phase I trial of M7824 (MSB0011359C), a bifunctional fusion protein targeting PD-L1 and TGFβ, in advanced solid tumors. *Clin Cancer Res* 24: 1287 – 1295

Thakur A, Huang M, Lum LG (2018) Bispecific antibody based therapies: strengths and challenges. *Blood Rev* 32: 339 – 347

Topp MS, Gökbuget N, Stein AS, Zugmaier G, O’Brien S, Bargou RC, Dombret H, Fielding AK, Heffner L, Larson RA et al (2015) Safety and activity of blinatumomab for adult patients with relapsed or refractory B-precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: a multicentre, single-arm, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol* 16: 57 – 66

Trinklein ND, Pham D, Schellenberger U, Buelow B, Boudreau A, Choudhry P, Clarke SC, Dang K, Harris KE, Iyer S (2019) Efficient tumor killing and minimal cytokine release with novel T-cell agonist bispecific antibodies. *MAbs* 11: 639 – 652

Uy GL, Aldoss I, Foster MC, Sayre PH, Wieduwilt MJ, Advani AS, Godwin JE, Arellano ML, Sweet KL, Emadi A et al (2021) Flotetuzumab as salvage immunotherapy for refractory acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 137: 751 – 762

Vijayraghavan S, Lipfert F, Chevalier K, Bushey BS, Henley B, Lenhart R, Sendecki J, Beqiri M, Millar HJ, Packman K et al (2020) Amivantamab (INJ-61186372), an Fc enhanced EGFR/cMet bispecific antibody, induces receptor downmodulation and antitumor activity by monocyte/macrophage tocрогtosis. *Mol Cancer Ther* 19: 2044 – 2056

Waite JC, Wang B, Haber L, Hermann A, Ullman E, Ye X, Dudgeon D, Slim R, Ajithdoss DK, Codin SJ et al (2020) Tumor-targeted CD28 bispecific
antibodies enhance the antitumor efficacy of PD-1 immunotherapy. Sci Transl Med 12: eaba2325
Wang Z, Cao YJ (2020) Adoptive cell therapy targeting neoantigens: a frontier for cancer research. Front Immunol 11: https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.00176
Wang Y, Ni H, Zhou S, He K, Gao Y, Wu W, Wu M, Wu Z, Qiu X, Zhou Y et al (2021a) Tumor-selective blockade of CD47 signaling with a CD47/PD-L1 bispecific antibody for enhanced anti-tumor activity and limited toxicity. Cancer Immunol Immunother 70: 365 – 376
Wang S, Sun F, Huang H, Chen K, Li Q-J, Zhang L, Wang E, Wang C, Zhang H, Yuan AQ et al (2021b) The landscape of cell and gene therapies for solid tumors. Cancer Cell 39: 7 – 8
Xu R, Zhao H, Wei X-L, Zhang Y, Wang F, Wang Z, Wang F, Li Y-H, Zou B-Y et al (2020) Phase Ia dose escalation of IBI318, a first-in-class bispecific anti-PD-1/PD-L1, in patients with advanced tumors. J Clin Oncol 38: 3062
Yun J, Lee S-H, Kim S-Y, Jeong S-Y, Kim J-H, Pyo K-H, Park C-W, Heo SG, Yun MR, Lim S et al (2020) Antitumor activity of amivantamab (JNJ-61186372), an EGFR-cMet bispecific antibody, in diverse models of EGFR exon 20 insertion-driven NSCLC. Cancer Discov 10: 1194 – 1209
Zhao H, Ma Y, Zhang Y, Hong S, Yang Y, Fang W, Xu J, Van H, Kong P, Yang F et al (2020) The preliminary efficacy and safety data of KN046 in patients failed on prior immune checkpoint inhibitors therapy. J Clin Oncol 38: 3020 (2019) MCLA-128 fights NRG1 fusion–positive cancers. Cancer Discov 9: 1636

License: This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.