Adoption of offset manifold design in hillside drip irrigation system using treated sewage water—the case of Hawassa University main campus, Ethiopia

Abstract

This paper deals with how best the underutilized resource of treated sewage water can be utilized productively for nearby hillside irrigation with drip irrigation avoiding environmental degradation. Design analysis was performed to adopt the offset and split manifold designs for drip irrigation system in different land slopes to achieve better uniformity of application. For land with slopes of 5, 8, 10 and 12 percent, the offset manifold design is recommended for having uniform manifold diameter. Split manifold design is feasible up to 5 percent land slope with manifold mainline junction at the centre and beyond this slope the junction should be located other than midpoint. Rainfall analysis, crop water demand and sewage water availability reveal exciting opportunities for water productivity enhancements in rainfed agriculture by integrating components of water management within the context of rainfed farming through sewage water harvesting and supplemental irrigation for dry spell mitigation.
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Introduction

Against the background of the rapid decline in irrigation water potential due to climate change and low water-use efficiency in the flood (conventional) method of irrigation, drip irrigation becomes a feasible choice. Besides saving a substantial amount of water, it also helps to increase the productivity of crops. The issue of rainfall variability is more critical for agricultural lands located on hills that, unlike the marshlands, lack water storage and for which reason support only limited farming during dry seasons. Ethiopia’s cultivable land is about 13.2 million hectare. But the actual cultivated land is about 6 million hectare, which is 45% of the cultivable area. Dynamic and innovative action is needed to increase the actual cultivated area by transforming swamps and hillside lands into cultivable round the year. To achieve this goal, new land management technologies are to be adopted. Irrigation is kept as one of top priority area by Government of Ethiopia. From the existing cultivated area, only about 4 to 5 percent is irrigated. This is very low compared to other developing countries.

Irrigated agriculture will remain to be important for providing food and livelihood security to the fast growing population. Among the various techniques employed in areas with low rainfall and poor water resources (Arid and semi-arid regions) to conserve the existing water supplies put them to best use, drip irrigation is one of the latest innovations. To cope with increased irrigated agriculture and decreasing rainfall due to climate change, unexploited new water resources needs to be tapped. Waste water utilization for agriculture is a feasible and viable option needs serious attention since it has dual purpose of reaping economic benefits and preventing environmental degradation.

Continuous application of waste water on agricultural land affects soil hydraulic properties. But this impact is more on flat lands rather than hillside lands. Waste water and the adjoining hillside land have been taken as a research subject for effective resource utilization in order to improve land productivity and environmental conditions. The adjoining hillside lands which are more susceptible to soil moisture stress due to short spells of rainfall failure resulting reduced crop yield. This problem is more pronounced in shallow rooted crops and annual crops. In general if this problem is not paid serious attention, it will hamper year round cultivation of hill side lands. Moreover, due to steep slope and porous subsurface strata of hill side lands, water harvesting and moisture retention potential of hill side lands accelerates fast drying of soil causing moisture stress. So, supplemental irrigation becomes inevitable to facilitate year round cultivation.

In hill side lands, drip irrigation system has to be designed considering the land slope in order to eliminate high pressure variation which results non uniform application of irrigation water. Normally on hill side lands, drip laterals are to be laid along the contour lines and obviously, the manifolds should run across the land slope leasing to high pressure variation in the manifold and this leads to limitation of manifold length to achieve water application uniformity. To find a solution for this problem appropriate design concepts of drip irrigation are analysed with reference to the study area. The main objective of the study is to adopt the offset and split manifold designs for drip irrigation system in different land slopes for sugarcane cultivation using waste water. The specific objectives of this study comprises analyzing climate conditions, estimating crop water and irrigation demand and evaluating offset and split manifold design on various land slopes. The study is limited to existing land slopes and available sewage water quantity presuming water quality from the existing oxidation and polishing tanks of sewage treatment meets the standards of irrigation water. Additional pressure sand filters may be added to improve the quality matching drip irrigation standards.
Materials and methods

Climate and topography

Hawassa has warm temperature which varies between 10°C in winter and 30°C in summer. The mean annual precipitation is 958mm. Hawassa is situated at the Eastern shore of Lake Hawassa close to the eastern fault belt of the central part of the Main Ethiopian Rift Valley in a large volcano-tectonic collapse. It lies on the plain between Lake Hawassa and Chelelakawetland with general slope towards Lake Hawassa. The average elevation at is 1700m and that of the lake surface is 1680m. Rain is more intensive during the four rainy months of June to September such that more than 80% of the rain falls during this period. The university farm land is formed of gentle and undulating hills, surrounding by ranges of hills with different altitudes.

Sewage water

Ponds and tanks are one of storage options and combinations that can be considered for managing increasing water resources variability.¹ From the student’s hostel buildings which accommodate more than 10,000 students, average sewage discharge of 5.8 to 8.1L/s (500 to 7000m³/d) litre per second is estimated (Directorate of constructions, HU). This water is collected by many septic tanks constructed as part of primary anaerobic treatment removing macro particles. The effluent from these septic tanks is delivered to sewage treatment plant (STP) located at a distance of around 1km. The STP comprises of a series of lined earthen tanks of different capacities starting from Primary sedimentation tank followed by oxidation and polishing tanks. The sewage water is treated both by physical and biological treatment to reduce the suspended solids and Biochemical oxygen demand to the acceptable levels. The treated effluent is then delivered to irrigate the low lying agriculture lands. Since all the rainwater from the surrounding high lands accumulates in the low lands thus making them water logging causing nuisance and environmental pollution and affecting the crop yield particularly during rainy season. July and August will be the summer vacation and during that period the effluent will not be available. So alternate source of water is needed and this can be met by using the existing tube wells for irrigation.

Crop water requirements

To estimate the crop water and irrigation water requirements for sugarcane crop, CROPWAT software for windows was used. The input climate parameters are taken from meteorological station at Hawassa. Crop and soil parameters are taken from FAO.

Design of lateral

The lateral length is chosen by dividing the field into two parts, as the manifold passes in the middle of the land. Discharge into this pipe depends on the number of dripper operating on it and their operating discharge. The diameter of lateral pipe is selected so that the difference in discharge between emitters operating simultaneously will not exceed 10 percent.² The lateral diameter to produce the friction loss is calculated using Hazen –William formula.

Head loss in lateral: Head loss is calculated using Hazen -William formula

\[ \Delta H_l = 1.21 \times 10^{10} \frac{Q}{C} \frac{1.852 \times D - 4.87 \times L_f \times F}{\ldots} \ldots(1) \]

Where, \( \Delta H_l \) - the friction head loss in metre, Q - Lateral discharge in lit/sec, D - Diameter in mm, L-Lateral pipe length in m C-Friction coefficient Lf-Equivalent lateral length and F-Multiple outletsCoefficient

Pressure variation in laterals: About \( \frac{1}{4} \) of the total friction loss occurs in the first half of the lateral line and \( \frac{1}{4} \) in the second half. Therefore the pressure requirement at the entrance of lateral (\( H_f \)) is equal to the average design pressure + an equivalent of \( \frac{1}{4} \) of the total loss of pressure in the lateral + elevation change along lateral

\[ \text{Percent variation in pressure} = \frac{P_{\max} - P_{\min}}{P_{\max}} \ldots(2) \]

Where: \( P_{\max} \) – operating pressure, \( P_{\min} \) and \( P_{\max} \) are maximum and minimum pressure along the lateral

Manifold design

It is commonly accepted practice to orient the pipe network so that the laterals traverse the minimum slope possible (on the contour). The manifolds, therefore, are likely to lie along maximum slopes and thereby necessitate special consideration during the design procedure. Two basic manifold design strategies can be employed. The first maintains the manifold pipe to single diameter by offsetting the main or submain-manifold junction uphill. The objective of this design is to keep the minimum pressure in both directions equal so the design standard or allowable pressure variation is satisfied. The second approach is to locate the main or submain-manifold junction at the manifold mid-point and use two pipe diameters to achieve the same objective.

Offset manifold design: The auxiliary will be connected to the manifold at a point where the minimum pressure in both sections of the manifold will be the same. For instance, if the manifold is lying on level ground, the connection point will be midway along the manifold. For manifolds on a slope, the connection point will be somewhat towards the manifold end with the highest elevation.³ The location of the connection point and the manifold pipe diameter involve a series of trial and error calculations. The first step is to divide the manifold length into the reach flowing uphill \( L_u \) and the reach flowing downhill \( L_d = L_u - L_s \) where \( L_s \) is the total manifold length (Figure 1). The discharge diverted into both pipes is estimated by assuming the average lateral discharge. The number of intervals in both directions is:

\[ N_1 = L_1 \frac{Q}{S} \ldots(3) \]
\[ N_2 = L_2 \frac{Q}{S} \ldots(4) \]

The discharge in both directions is:

\[ Q_1 = N_1 \times Q_l \ldots(5) \]
\[ Q_2 = N_2 \times Q_l \ldots(6) \]

Where \( Q_l \) - Average lateral discharge

Setting the pressure heads at the two ends of the manifold to be equal (\( h_r = h_d \)), the difference between the uphill and downhill head losses must be equal to the difference in elevation between the ends of the manifolds.
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The total manifold length is divided by two to get \( L \) for the uphill and downhill sections are different, the value of \( L \) is usually taken as 0.2 \( H \) as 45% of the allowable pressure variation in the network which is specified until the difference between the iterations for diameter is negligible.

\[
H_{\text{allowable}} = 0.45(0.20H_o) = 0.09H_o \ldots (8)
\]

For pipes running uphill:

\[
h_{\text{fallow}} \geq \Delta H_L + \Delta Z_1 \ldots (9a) \text{ or } \Delta H_L \leq h_{\text{fallow}} - L_1 S_m \ldots (9b)
\]

In which \( S_m \) is the uniform manifold slope.

For pipes running down hill:

\[
h_{\text{fallow}} \geq \Delta H_L - \Delta Z_2 \ldots (10a) \text{ or } \Delta H_L \leq h_{\text{fallow}} + L_2 S_m \ldots (10b)
\]

After setting \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \), knowing \( H_1 \) and uniform manifold slope, allowable head loss (hf \text{ allowable}) has been calculated. The calculate the friction head loss in the uphill reach \( \Delta H_1 \), using equation with same units knowing \( N_1 \), \( L_1 \), and \( Q_o \), determine the manifold diameter \( D_m \) to produce friction \( \Delta H_1 \), specifically.

\[
D_m = [(1.411/1.21 \times 1010(Q1C1.852 \times L_1 F1)0.2053] \ldots (11)
\]

In which \( \Delta H_1 \) - friction head loss uphill reach in meter \( Q_o \) - uphill reach discharge, lit/sec, \( L_1 \) - uphill length in m. Knowing \( \Delta H_2 \), \( Z_2 \), and \( Z_1 \), determine the friction head loss in the down slope reach \( \Delta H_2 \). Using equation (11), determine the manifold diameter which will produce \( \Delta H_2 \). If the manifold diameters computed for the uphill and downhill sections are different, the value of \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) are modified until the difference between the iterations for diameter is negligible.

Split manifold design: The total manifold length is divided by two to get \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \). The friction head loss in the uphill and downhill reaches is obtained from equation:

\[
\Delta H_1 \leq h_{\text{fallowable}} - L_1 S_m \ldots (12)
\]

In which \( S_m \) is the uniform manifold slope for pipes running down hill.

\[
\Delta H_1 + Z_1 = \Delta H_2 + Z_2
\]

Setting the pressure heads at the two ends of the manifold to be equal \( (h_i = h_o) \), the difference between the uphill and downhill head losses must be equal to the difference in elevation between the ends of the manifolds. The manifold diameter for the uphill reach and downhill reach can be calculated separately using Hazen - William formula.

## Results and discussion

The concept of offset and split manifold design is applied on a field measuring 0.8 ha area with different slopes available on the farm land adjoining the oxidation ponds of Hawassa university sewage treatment system. Both the designs are analysed and discussed under different slopes regarding their applicability.

### Rainfall

Annual average rainfall considering 5, 10 and 20year data shows a decreasing trend due to impact of climate change. The rate of decrease in rainfall is 1.76% and 8.9% based on 10 and 5years average. This implies that the rate of decrease is increasing year by year resulting severe impact on agriculture and land management practices. To cope with this decline in rainfall, suitable strategies should be followed in crop scheduling and water management. Owing to increasing population and food demand new water resources and appropriate water application methods need to be evolved. Figure 2 shows that 5 year monthly average rainfall reached a new low figure in June and September, alarming possibility of short spells of water scarcity. In the month of May, it reached highest value of 135mm. These extremities in both high and low ends lead to ore vulnerability to drought and flood damages. Appropriate new design concepts for irrigation water application needs to be adopted to handle the ill effects of climate change.

For irrigation system design, peak crop water demand of sugarcane and effective rainfall are to be considered. Considering 5year averages compared to 20year average values of these parameters are absolutely different (Figure 3). To cope with the existing short spells of water scarcity, it is recommended to design the irrigation system considering 5year average values of both rainfall and other climate parameters to estimate crop water demand and irrigation demand.

### Impact of planting season

For 5year average of climate data, according to the time of planting of sugarcane irrigation demand varies. Being a perennial crop, water demand of each crop stage interacts differently with rainfall and results typical irrigation demand. Two cases are analysed, one is planting in February and another planting in June. Figure 4 shows monthly irrigation demand for planting in February with 5year average data. For both 5year and 20year average climate data, peak crop water demand is atleast 47% more than that of planting in June.

Main pipe design discharge requirement according to CROPWAT is 12 L/h/a for planting in June whereas it is 14.7L/h/a for planting in February. So, planting in June is more affordable and favourable for crop and irrigation economy. Due to less water consumption during initial crop stages and reasonable rainfall, irrigation demand is nil during June, July and August. This happens if planting is done in June (Figure 5). Whereas in case of planting done in February, irrigation is to be supplied during most months of the year resulting higher irrigation system and operating costs. Shifting of planting date due to climate change may result in higher irrigation demand and saving water.
effects of climate change will result in increased irrigation demand. For timely planting farmers need to have independent water source for irrigation. This demands intervention of ground water or other new water resources which are not tapped so far. In the present area of study, sewage water which is ineffectively utilised can be converted into more productive by adopting innovative water management techniques.

Sewage water

From the effluent treatment plant of Hawassa university student’s residential buildings, average treated effluent discharge of 10 litres/sec is currently underutilized. Prospective of using effluent water for irrigation is well justified by low biological oxygen demand and suspended solids which are well within the permissible limit of 20 and 30ppm. Since the sewage is subjected to septic tank for sedimentation and anaerobic preliminary treatment to reduce the biological and suspended impurities. After this, the effluent is further treated with sedimentation, oxidation and polishing tanks for natural process of sedimentation and anaerobic biological treatment. The treated water is found as clear and odourless and found as suitable for irrigation purpose. Since the water availability is limited, high efficient irrigation method of drip irrigation system is selected. This will envisage irrigation of 5.5 hectares of nearby hill side lands with addition of water treatment by disc/pressure sand filter of 200 micron. The suspended solids of treated effluent are rich in readily available nutrients essential for plant growth and increased yield. According to Bates et al., observational records and climate projections provide abundant evidence that freshwater resources are vulnerable and have the potential to be strongly impacted by climate change, with wide-ranging consequences on human societies and ecosystems’ e.g. devastating floods and droughts of increasing frequency and magnitude in different regions, with severe damages to agriculture, livelihoods of poor farmers and food security of nations. To cope with very low Storage-Drought Deficit Index (i.e. how much of the long-term annual hydrological drought deficit is satisfied by the existing storage capacity in a county) of 0.05 % for Ethiopia, utilization of sewage water becomes inevitable to mitigate vulnerabilities due to climate change. The proposed strategy of using sewage water for irrigation will not only use water farmer-friendly but also environment friendly and reduces vulnerability of food production system to climate change.

Drip irrigation

Emitters and laterals: For sugarcane drip irrigation system is designed with single row inline drip laterals with 1.2m spacing. Wider lateral spacing is not considered despite its access to farm implements. Since the study area is hill side land, possibility of application of farm mechanization is limited particularly in un-terraced hill side lands. Inline dripper of 4L/h is selected with operating pressure of 1.5bar. This can meet 3days peak irrigation demand of sugarcane in 3 hrs 45minutes irrigation duration. In case of hill side lands where normally steep slopes are expected, the laterals are to be laid along the contour and obviously the manifolds run along the slope gradient. Required number of laterals needed is 42 with lateral spacing of 1.2m. Considering the field of 160mx 50m in which the length is equal to the length of one lateral and the width has been chosen as the length of manifold. The number of plants irrigated by one lateral is 200 plants. Accordingly lateral discharge is calculated as 0.22L/s. Always the manifold is placed at the predominant slope so that laterals are perpendicular to this to reduce the head loss. With 20mm diameter irrigation demand of 795mm is needed for 5year average data whereas it is 7.5% less for 20year climate data. This clearly reveals recent climate conditions demand increased irrigation as compared to the past years. Considering the effect of climate change, designing the irrigation system to match recent average climate data of atleast 5years will be a more appropriate. This will equip our irrigation system better adapted to climate changes.
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The manifold length is divided into two equal positions, the offset design is performed. It indicates that the friction loss will be negative for uphill manifold indicating pressure variation from the uphill end, if it is performed beyond this distance the friction loss will be negative for uphill manifold. According to Mizyed et al., the main factors affecting trickle irrigation system are: pressure variations caused by elevation changes, friction head losses throughout the pipe network, emitter sensitivity to pressure and irrigation water temperature changes, and emitter clogging. Similarly, according to the manufacturer’s coefficient of emitter variation (CVm), have been developed by ASAE, CVm values below 10% are suitable and>20% are unacceptable. The emitter discharge variation rate (qvar) should be evaluated as a design criterion in trickle irrigation systems; qvar<10% may be regarded as good and qvar>20% as unacceptable. To keep the emitter discharge variation within the limit it is necessary to maintain pressure variation within the limit of 20%. For this purpose, the objective of offset design is to keep the minimum pressure in both directions equal but in this case the design standard or allowable pressure variation is not satisfied. So the offset manifold design should not be utilized for the slope of 22% and split manifold design is recommended for this slope and utilization two different diameter of 45mm and 30mm respectively for uphill and downhill manifold sections. Location of main pipe-manifold junction from uphill for 5, 8, 10 and 12% are 16.8, 13.2, 12 and 9.6m respectively.

**Design of manifold**

Since the manifold of hillside irrigation system needs to be laid along the existing land slope, location of main pipe-manifold junction decides the pressure distribution in manifold pipe which ultimately influence the distribution uniformity. But its influence depends on the degree of land slope. As presented in the methodology section both offset and split manifold designs can be practiced in manifold design. Considering 45% of total allowable friction loss, for manifold it come to 1.35m. With 90mm PVC pipe under manifold discharge of 8.4L/s, actual friction loss comes to 0.37mm which is less than allowable limit. It also shows that the pipe size still can be reduced since the available head loss is far more than the actual. Under flat land conditions no matter where the location of main pipe-manifold junction is.

**Offset manifold design:** The main pipe will be connected to the manifold at a point where the minimum pressure in both sections of the manifold will be the same. The diameter of the uphill and downhill reach comes to 46 and 44mm respectively. The location of the connection point and the manifold pipe diameter involve a series of trial and error calculations. For the previous trials taken at different distances from the uphill(at 8th lateral,12th...) the diameters found were not the same i.e. no inlet position can give the equal diameters at the uphill end and downhill end. For the last trial the inlet position taken at 10th lateral from the uphill end gives the approximately same diameters at the uphill and downhill ends when the connection point is 12m from uphill (Figure 6). No other trial can be made beyond 12m from the uphill end, if it is performed beyond this distance the friction loss will be negative for uphill manifold indicating pressure variation going beyond the acceptable limit and needs pressure regulator.

For different slopes viz. 12%, 8% 5% with suitable junction positions, the offset design is performed. It indicates that the appropriate diameters found for 12%, 8% and 5% were 40 & 42mm, 43 & 44mm and 44 & 44.5mm respectively for uphill and downhill pipes. The objective of offset design is to keep the minimum pressure in both directions equal. For more accurate calculations, a computer program can be written since it involves much iteration. It is observed that for higher land slope, the junction approaches the uphill end. Under higher slope conditions, the downhill length will be more than the uphill length and beyond certain level pressure regulator is must to have same pipe diameters on both sides. Since pressure regulators are expensive, it is suggested to go for different diameters in both uphill and downhill sides. The manifold diameters computed for the uphill and downhill sections are different, and no other trial can be made beyond 6m from the uphill end. If it is performed, the friction loss will be negative for uphill manifold. Considering 45% of total allowable friction loss, for manifold it come to 1.35m. With 90mm PVC pipe under manifold discharge of 8.4L/s, actual friction loss comes to 0.37mm which is less than allowable limit. It also shows that the pipe size still can be reduced since the available head loss is far more than the actual. Under flat land conditions no matter where the location of main pipe-manifold junction is.

![Figure 5 Seasonal Variation of crop water demand and irrigation demand.](https://example.com/figure5)

**Split manifold design:** The manifold length is divided into two equal parts to get L1, and L2, and two different diameters are used so that the design standard or allowable pressure variation is satisfied. For our case, the inlet position is at 25m from uphill end, at 21st lateral. If the slope is 12%, 10%, 8% the allowable head loss in the uphill reach is negative; the variation of the pressure will be high for supplying water from the mainline manifold junction to the uphill end. At 5% slope it is found that the allowable head loss in the uphill is positive and the uphill and downhill pipe diameters are 75 and 40mm respectively (Figure 7). Beyond this slope the allowable head loss at uphill end is negative indicating that the main pipe - manifold junction cannot be at midpoint of the manifold. Gerrish indicated that the relation between the flow rate and the pressure head is nonlinear in the transition and the turbulent flow types. It is also essential to incorporate pipe components into the hydraulic network analysis by adding their contribution to the nodal equations instead of treating them as separate items. Split manifold design is simple and demands two different pipe diameters.
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of manifold. This leads to different friction loss and flow velocity in the two manifolds resulting water application more differently than offset manifold design. Normally offset design ensures higher uniformity of application than the split design. Together controls the feasibility of drip irrigation system in hill side agriculture. Energy is needed in pipe systems not only to pump water from the source to the pipe but also to overcome the energy losses due to friction as water flows down the pipe. Losses can increase as the pipe ages and becomes rougher inside through continued use. For these reasons the losses in the distribution system should be kept low at the design stage by choosing pipe diameters that are large enough for friction to not dominate the operation of the system at some later date. As a guideline, energy losses in the pipes should be less than 30% of the total pumping head. Pimentel11 indicated that irrigation accounts for 13% of the agricultural energy consumption. There have been some attempts to power irrigation systems with renewable energies, but most of the resulting systems are designed for large farms and the cost for such systems is usually high and practically not available for developing countries like Ethiopia despite huge potential. So designing drip irrigation system particularly for hill side lands shall follow offset or split manifolds designs to reduce pipe length and pressure variation along the pipe. By this uniformity of water application can be achieved with less pumping capacity due to reduced friction loss in the irrigation system.

Conclusion

Prevailing climate conditions in Hawassa needs supplemental irrigation particularly for cultivation of annual crop like sugarcane. Irrigation demand is influenced by planting season. Sugarcane planting in June demands less irrigation compared to February. Analysis of climate data reveals that in the past 5 years rainfall is in decreasing trend and supports positively to consider the design of irrigation system based on 5 years data rather than 20 years data. Sewage water from Hawassa University can be effectively utilized for irrigating sugarcane in the adjoining hill side lands near effluent treatment plant. By using drip irrigation about 5 hectares can be irrigated to meet the peak irrigation demand of sugarcane. Both offset and split manifold design practices can be adopted with different limitations. For land with slopes of 5, 8, 10 and 12 percent, the offset manifold design is recommended for having uniform manifold diameter. Split manifold design is feasible up to 5 percent land slope with manifold mainline junction at the centre and beyond this slope the junction should be located other than midpoint. Analysis of rainfall, crop water demand and sewage water availability reveals exciting opportunities for water productivity enhancements in rainfed agriculture by integrating components of water management. Detailed analysis is needed for feasibility of lift irrigation with different crops under different altitudes to derive suitable solution for hill side irrigation.

To strengthen water availability in addition to sewage water, it is recommended to construct rainwater harvesting ponds to collect runoff from the adjoining hillsides for combined use of both rainwater and sewage water adopting lift irrigation to support hill land agriculture. This needs further detailed studies on water exploration to ensure its availability. Elevation difference of more than 50 m between the low lying flat land and hillside lands in most of the cases questions feasibility of lift irrigation. Detailed analysis is needed for feasibility of lift irrigation with different crops under different altitudes to derive suitable policy for hill land irrigation and cropping pattern. Feasible zones of altitudes for different crops should be demarcated on hill land based on its economical viability. It is recommended to go for commercial crops in higher altitudes of hill to make the irrigation system feasible. Beyond the feasible altitude, runoff harvesting in farm
ponds using micro catchment should be practiced for supplemental irrigation.
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