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Vitrification of Dog Skin Tissue as a Source of Mesenchymal Stem Cells
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The purpose of this study was to develop an efficient vitrification system for cryopreservation of dog skin tissues as a source of stable autologous stem cells. In this study, we performed vitrification using four different cryoprotectants, namely, ethylene glycol (EG), dimethyl-sulfoxide (Me2SO), EG plus Me2SO, and EG plus Me2SO plus sucrose, and analyzed the behaviors of cells established from warmed tissues. Tissues vitrified with 15% EG, 15% Me2SO, and 0.5 M sucrose had a normal histological appearance and the highest cell viability after cell isolation, and thus, this cocktail of cryoprotectants was used in subsequent experiments. We evaluated proliferation and apoptosis of cells derived from fresh and vitrified tissues. These cells had a normal spindle-like morphology after homogenization through subculture. Dog dermal skin stem cells (dDSSCs) derived from fresh and vitrified tissues had similar proliferation capacities, and similar percentages of these cells were positive for mesenchymal stem cell markers at passage 3. The percentage of apoptotic cell did not differ between dDSSCs derived from fresh and vitrified tissues. Real-time PCR analysis revealed that dDSSCs at passage 3 derived from fresh and vitrified tissues had similar expression levels of pluripotency (OCT4, SOX2, and NANOG), proapoptotic (BAX), and antiapoptotic (BCL2 and BIRC5) genes. Both types of dDSSCs successfully differentiated into the mesenchymal lineage (adipocytes and osteocytes) under specific conditions, and their differentiation potentials did not significantly differ. Furthermore, the mitochondrial membrane potential of dDSSCs derived from vitrified tissues was comparable with that of dDSSCs derived from fresh tissues. We conclude that vitrification of dog skin tissues using cocktail solution in combination of 15% EG, 15% Me2SO, and 0.5 M sucrose allows efficient banking of these tissues for regenerative stem cell therapy and conservation of genetic resources.

1. Introduction

Regenerative medicine using stem cells focuses on restoring organs or cells that fail to function properly due to accidents or degenerative disease. Autologous stem cells are favored for effective disease treatment and prevent an immune reaction [1–3]. However, the establishment and culture of stem cells should be performed in a laboratory, and it is difficult to acquire high-quality cells in the case of illness or sudden accidental death. Therefore, there is a need to develop a tissue cryopreservation technique capable of maintaining the characteristics of stem cells.

Tissues can be cryopreserved by slow-freezing and vitrification techniques [4, 5]. The slow-freezing method is generally used for tissue cryopreservation [6, 7]. However, it requires specialized equipment for programmed freezing, has a long freezing time of more than several hours, and is hampered by ice formation [8]. These problems seem to be insurmountable, and therefore, attention has shifted to a simpler approach and the development of vitrification technology, which is widely used in cryobiology [8, 9]. Vitrification has a relatively short freezing time, is inexpensive, is not associated with extracellular or intracellular ice formation, and can be performed anywhere using only liquid nitrogen.
Various tissue vitrification protocols have been reported using the intracellular cryoprotectants dimethylsulfoxide (Me2SO), ethylene glycol (EG), and glycerol as well as the extracellular cryoprotectants sucrose, fetal bovine serum (FBS), trehalose, and raffinose [12, 13]. However, vitrification requires a high cryoprotectant concentration, meaning problems such as cytotoxicity and excessive cryoprotectant penetration of the cell membrane should be addressed [14, 15]. Extracellular cryoprotectants affect viscosity and promote glass formation to reduce toxicity, and therefore, low concentrations of intracellular cryoprotectants can be used without impairing vitrification [16, 17]. Accordingly, studies have used extracellular cryoprotectants together with intracellular cryoprotectants [16, 17]. Therefore, in this study, we focused on the development of an efficient vitrification method for tissues, which are a potential source of stem cells, using a cocktail of cryoprotectants. Animal serum proteins and albumin increase the efficiency of vitrification and reduce cryoinjury [18]. However, they can be contaminated by infectious agents and change the characteristics of cells [19]. Therefore, the development of a cryopreservation method without xenogeneic animal serum, including FBS, can increase the utility of stem cells in regenerative medicine [20].

Tissue cryopreservation can be used to obtain autologous cells of patients for clinical applications and to preserve animal genetic resources [21]. Various tissues have been studied as sources of stem cells. Among them, skin tissue is a good candidate for regenerative medicine because of its excellent accessibility and availability with minimally invasive procedures. Skin tissue can be preserved without losing multipotency and is a source of autologous stem cells for regenerative medicine. However, there are very few reports regarding which cryoprotectants are suitable for dog skin tissue vitrification. Furthermore, most studies of skin tissue vitrification are limited to the establishment of unspecified cell lines and assessment of their survival, and studies of the establishment of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) for regenerative medicine and analysis of their characteristics are insufficient [22–24]. Therefore, in the present study, we aimed to standardize the cryopreservation methods for canine skin tissues as a source of autologous stem cells. The optimal vitrification method used a modified cocktail of cryoprotectants, which was previously used to vitrify mammalian ovarian tissues [25, 26].

2. Materials and Methods

All chemicals used in this study were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA) unless otherwise mentioned. All media were adjusted to pH 7.4 and an osmolality of 280 mOsm/kg, except for cryoprotective media and media used to wash warmed samples.

2.1. Animals. All animal studies were performed according to the animal study guidelines which were approved by the ethics committee of the Abu Dhabi Biotech Research Foundation, Korea (Permit no. C-20-01). These guidelines comply with the ARRIVE guidelines and are in accordance with the UK Animals (Scientific Procedure) Act 1986 and associated guidelines and EU Directive 2010/63/EU.

2.2. Vitrification of Dog Skin Tissues. Skin samples were obtained from the inguinal region of six mixed breed dogs (three males and three females) with an average age of 1 year. Dog skin tissues were washed with Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS) containing 1% antibiotic-antimycotic solution, cut into 1 mm² explants at 25°C, and divided into the following six groups: fresh (fresh group), vitrification with DPBS (DPBS group), vitrification with 40% EG (40% EG group), vitrification with 40% Me2SO (40% Me2SO group), vitrification with 20% EG and 20% Me2SO (20%EG + 20%Me2SO group), and vitrification with 15% EG, 15% Me2SO, and 0.5 M sucrose (15%EG + 15%Me2SO + 0.5 M sucrose group). All cryoprotectants were diluted using DPBS. In the vitrification process, minced skin tissues from single donors were exposed to the preequilibration solution for 1 min and transferred to 1 ml DPBS and cryoprotectant solutions in cryovials (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark). The cryovials were subsequently plunged into liquid nitrogen. Detailed information about the preequilibration and cryoprotectant cocktail solutions is provided in Table 1. We stored vitrified dog skin tissues for three weeks.

2.3. Histological Assessment. After 3 weeks of tissue cryopreservation, tissues were warmed as described below and fixed with 10% formalin at room temperature for 24 h. Thereafter, the skin tissues were dehydrated using graded ethanol and embedded in paraffin. The skin tissue sections were deparaffinized with xylene and rehydrated. The slides were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and then washed with water. The tissue sections were observed using a light microscope.

2.4. Isolation and Culture of Dog Skin Stem Cells from Fresh and Vitrified Tissues. The warming procedure of cryovials was performed as previously reported with minor modifications [13]. During the warming process to remove cryoprotectants, vitrified skin tissues were kept at room temperature for 5 min. They were incubated in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 0.3 M sucrose and 10% FBS (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) for 5 min at 38°C followed by DMEM supplemented with 0.15 M sucrose and 10% FBS for 5 min. Dog dermal skin stem cells (dDSSCs) were isolated from fresh and vitrified skin tissues as previously reported with a minor modification [20]. In brief, dermal skin tissues were minced with a surgical blade and incubated in DMEM containing 1 mg/ml collagenase type I (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) at 39°C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO₂ and 5% O₂ with gentle agitation for 2 h. The digested tissues were washed twice with DMEM containing 10% FBS by centrifugation at 300 x g for 5 min. The fragments were filtered through 100 and 40 µm nylon cell strainers (Falcon®, Franklin, NJ, USA) to obtain single-cell suspensions. After filtering, cells (3 x 10⁵) were cultured in 35 mm plastic culture dishes with DMEM containing 10% FBS, 1% (v/v) nonessential amino
acids (Invitrogen), 1% antibiotic-antimycotic solution, and 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 39°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ and 5% O₂. The culture media was changed every 2 days until confluency reached 80%, and then, cells were passaged.

2.5. Determination of the Survival Rates of dDSSCs Derived from Fresh and Vitriﬁed Tissues. After being isolated from fresh and warmed vitriﬁed tissues, 3×10⁵ dDSSCs were cultured in 6-well plates (Nunc, NY, USA). Following attachment, at 48 h after warming, cells were stained with propidium iodide (PI) to label dead cells and with Hoechst 33342 to label all cells as previously reported [20, 27]. Stained single cells were observed using a ﬂuorescence microscope (Nikon Eclipse Ti-U; Nikon Instruments, Tokyo, Japan), and the cell survival rate was calculated in each group as previously reported [20, 27]. Based on these results, dDSSCs derived from fresh tissues (fresh group) and tissues vitriﬁed using 15% EG, 15% Me2SO, and 0.5 M sucrose (cryogroup) were used in further experiments.

2.6. Analysis of Cell Proliferation and Apoptosis. To evaluate cell proliferation, dDSSCs in the fresh and cryogroups were seeded at a density of 2×10³ cells per well in triplicate into Table 1: Summary of preequilibration and cryoprotectant solution in the present study.

| Experimental group | Preequilibration solution | Cryoprotectant solution | Freezing method |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| Fresh (control)    | No                        | No                      | No freezing    |
| DPBS               | DPBS                      | DPBS                    | Two steps*     |
| 40% EG             | 20% EG in DPBS            | 40% EG in DPBS          | Two steps*     |
| 40% Me2SO          | 20% Me2SO in DPBS         | 40% Me2SO in DPBS       | Two steps*     |
| 20%EG + 20%Me2SO   | 10%EG + 10%Me2SO in DPBS | 20%EG + 20%Me2SO in DPBS| Two steps*     |
| 15%EG + 15%Me2SO + 0.5 M sucrose | 7.5%EG + 7.5%Me2SO + 0.25 M sucrose in DPBS | 15%EG + 15%Me2SO + 0.5 M sucrose in DPBS | Two steps* |

*The vitriﬁcation process was conducted with two steps: Speciﬁcally, samples were incubated for 1 min at 25°C in preequilibration solution and then plunged into liquid nitrogen in cryoprotectant solution.

Table 2: Lists of primers used for RT-qPCR analysis.

| Gene name (symbol) | Primer sequence | Product size (bp) | Annealing temperature (°C) |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| POU class 5 homeobox 1 (OCT4) | F: AACGATCAACGAGTGAATGACTATTCG  |
|                    | R: AGTACGGCTAAGTGAATGAGG   | 147                      | 60                        |
| Sex determining region Y-box 2 (SOX2) | F: AGTCTCCAAGCGACGAAAAA  |
|                    | R: CCACGTTGCAAACGTCTCTA   | 189                      | 60                        |
| Nanog homebox (NANOG) | F: GACCGTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCC  |
|                    | R: CGTGCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCC   | 157                      | 60                        |
| BCL2-associated X protein (BAX) | F: TTTGCTTCAAAGTGTTCATCC |
|                    | R: TGTTACTGTCTCCAGTTCATCTCC | 146                      | 60                        |
| B-cell lymphoma 2 (BCL2) | F: GGTCATGTGTGTGGAGAGC   |
|                    | R: GCACAGGAAGAATCAAACAGAGG | 180                      | 60                        |
| Baculoviral IAP repeat containing 5 (BIRC5) | F: ACATCTCAGGTGTGTGCTTTCC  |
|                    | R: CACTCTTTCTTGCCAGTTCATCTCC | 157                      | 60                        |
| Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) | F: GCAAGGACTTCACAAAGAACTACC  |
|                    | R: ATGGGAGTGTCATCCATTACG | 108                      | 60                        |
| Fatty acid binding protein 4 (FABP4) | F: CTGGGGCTCAGAGAGTCTACA  |
|                    | R: GATGATCCGTCGTCTCTCCAG | 212                      | 60                        |
| Runx-related transcription factor 2 (Runx2) | F: TTACAAAGCGAAGTGG   |
|                    | R: TTTAAATACGGCTGCTGCCATTGC | 167                      | 60                        |
| Osteonectin        | F: GGTGCTAGGAAACTGAAGAGG  |
|                    | R: CITGTGTGTGTGGCTGCTGATACC | 180                      | 60                        |
| Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) | F: CCCATTCATCTTCAGAAA  |
|                    | R: ATCTCACGGCGTGTCAGTGGG | 196                      | 60                        |

acids (Invitrogen), 1% antibiotic-antimycotic solution, and 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 39°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ and 5% O₂. The culture media was changed every 2 days until confluency reached 80%, and then, cells were passaged.
12-well culture plates (Nunc, NY, USA) at passage 3. Cells were detached with 0.25% trypsin EDTA solution (Invitrogen) and counted every 2 days using a hemocytometer for 14 days.

To confirm the apoptosis rate of dDSSCs due to cryo-damage, apoptotic cells were analyzed using a Dead Cell FITC Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit (Invitrogen). Briefly, dDSSCs in the fresh and cryogroups at passage 3 were washed with DPBS, resuspended in 100 μl of 1× annexin-binding buffer, and stained with 5 μl of Alexa Fluor annexin V and 1 μl of 100 μl/ml PI working solution (5 μl of 1 mg/ml PI solution in 45 μl 1× annexin-binding buffer) for 15 min at room temperature. Thereafter, cells were washed with DPBS and gently resuspended in 400 μl 1× annexin-binding buffer. Cell viability was analyzed by measuring fluorescence emission at 530 and 575 nm upon excitation at 488 nm by flow cytometry. Cells were categorized as viable, early apoptotic, and late apoptotic. A total of 10,000 cells were acquired and analyzed.

2.7. Cell Surface Marker Analysis. Expression of positive (CD44, CD90) and negative (MHC II) surface markers of MSCs on dDSSCs in the fresh and cryogroups were analyzed by flow cytometry [28]. Cells at passage 3 were fixed with 4% formaldehyde solution at room temperature for 1 h.

After fixation, cells were stained with allophycocyanin-(APC-) conjugated anti-MHC II (monoclonal; BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA), fluorescein isothiocyanate-(FITC-) conjugated anti-CD44 (monoclonal, BD Biosciences), and APC-conjugated anti-CD90 (monoclonal, BD Biosciences) antibodies at 4°C for 1 h. A total of 1 × 10⁴ cells were assessed by flow cytometry. All antibodies were diluted 1:100 with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA).

2.8. Real-Time Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-qPCR) Analysis. Expression of pluripotency and apoptosis-related genes was analyzed by real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). Total RNA was extracted from cells in the fresh and cryogroups using an easy-spin Total DNA/rRNA Extraction Kit (Peqlab Biotechnologie GmbH, Erlangen, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.
RNA Extraction Kit (iNtRON Biotechnology, Seongnam, Korea). The concentration of RNA was quantified using a Nanodrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized from 1 μg of RNA using a HisenScript RT PreMix Kit (iNtRON Biotechnology) at 42°C for 1 h. We performed RT-qPCR using
a Rotor-Gene Q cycler (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA) with RealMODTM Green AP 5 × qPCR mix (iNtRON Biotechnology) containing 50 ng of cDNA and 200 nM forward and reverse primers (Table 2). The RT-qPCR cycle was as follows: initial activation at 95°C for 12 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 25 s, and 72°C for 25 s. Gene expression was normalized to the mRNA level of the housekeeping gene, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate Dehydrogenase (GAPDH). All samples were analyzed in triplicate.

2.9. Assessment of In Vitro Differentiation of dDSSCs into Adipocytes and Osteoblasts. dDSSCs in the fresh and cryogroups at passage 3 were induced to differentiate into adipocytes and osteoblasts as previously reported [20]. In brief, cells were cultured in suitable induction media. Adipogenic medium consisted of DMEM containing 10% FBS, 100 μM indomethacin, 10 μM insulin, and 1 μM dexamethasone. Osteogenic medium consisted of DMEM containing 10% FBS, 10 nM dexamethasone, 50 μg/ml ascorbic acid, and 10 mM sodium β-glycerophosphate. In vitro differentiation into adipocytes and osteoblasts was performed for 21 days, and media were changed every 2 days. Differentiated cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. After fixation, adipogenesis was confirmed by accumulation of lipid droplets detected by Oil Red O staining. Osteogenesis was confirmed by Alizarin Red S and von Kossa staining. Adipogenic and osteogenic differentiation was evaluated by RT-qPCR analysis of lineage-related genes (Table 2).

Figure 4: Expression of cell surface markers on dDSSCs in the fresh and cryogroups. (a, b) dDSSCs expressed mesenchymal markers (CD44 and CD90) and did not express MHC II in the fresh and cryogroups. Data represent the mean ± SD of four independent experiments.
2.10. Mitochondrial Membrane Potential Analysis. The mitochondrial membrane potential was assessed using a JC-1 Mitochondrial Membrane Potential Assay Kit (Abnova, Taipei, Taiwan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In brief, cells in the fresh and cryogroups were cultured in 6-well plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS at 39°C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO₂ and 5% O₂. Cells were washed twice with DPBS and treated with JC-1 staining solution at 39°C for 15 min. To label nuclei, cells were counterstained with 1 μg/ml 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) for 5 min. The mitochondrial membrane potential was evaluated as the ratio between JC-1 aggregates (high mitochondrial membrane potential) and monomers (low mitochondrial membrane potential).

2.11. Statistical Analysis. Data analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows (version 15, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Graphs were prepared with GraphPad Prism (version 4.0) software. Statistical significance between mean values was assessed using Duncan’s multiple range test. p values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Histological Assessment and Survival Rates of Cells Derived from Fresh and Vitrified Dog Dermal Skin Tissues. We fixed fresh and vitrified dog skin tissues (DPBS, 40% EG, 40% Me2SO, 20%EG + 20%Me2SO, and 15%EG + 15% Me2SO + 0.5 M sucrose groups) and prepared paraffin sections. All tissues were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), and normal dense irregular connective tissues were observed in the fresh and 15%EG + 15%Me2SO + 0.5 M sucrose group (Figure 1). Severe tissue damage was observed in the DPBS group. Furthermore, mild tissue damage was observed in the 40% EG, 40% Me2SO, and 20%EG + 20% Me2SO groups (Figure 1). The survival rates of dDSSCs were 94.1 ± 1.7%, 24.9 ± 4.2%, 8.6 ± 1.7%, 31.4 ± 3.1%, and 84.0 ± 2.3% in the fresh, 40% EG, 40% Me2SO, 20%EG + 20% Me2SO, and 15%EG + 15%Me2SO + 0.5 M sucrose groups, respectively (Figure 2). Cells were not established from the DPBS group. The cell survival rate was significantly (p < 0.05) higher in the fresh group than in the vitrification groups and was lowest in the 40% Me2SO group. However, the cell survival rate was significantly (p < 0.05) higher in the 15%EG + 15%Me2SO + 0.5 M sucrose group than in the other vitrification groups. Based on these results, tissues were vitrified using a cocktail of 15% EG, 15% Me2SO, and 0.5 M sucrose in subsequent experiments.

3.2. Morphology, Proliferation, Apoptosis, and Cell Surface Marker Expression of dDSSCs. dDSSCs were isolated and cultured in the fresh and cryogroups. Adherent cells in both groups had a homogenous spindle-like morphology at passage 3 (Figure 3(a)). We analyzed cell viability and cellular apoptosis at passage 3. dDSSCs in the fresh and cryogroups had similar growth patterns (Figure 3(b)). Additionally, the cellular apoptosis was analyzed using the annexin V/PI assay. The percentages of viable, early apoptotic, and late apoptotic cells did not differ between the fresh and cryogroups (Figure 3(c)). The percentages of dDSSCs positive for MSC markers (CD44 and CD90) were similar in the fresh and cryogroups (Figure 4). However, almost no cells expressed MHC II (Figure 4).
3.3. Expression of Pluripotency and Apoptosis Markers. We evaluated the expression levels of pluripotency and apoptosis markers. Total RNA was extracted from dDSSCs at passage 3 in the fresh and cryogroups. The expression levels of pluripotency markers (OCT4, SOX2, and NANOG) did not significantly differ between the two groups (Figure 5(a)). Furthermore, expression of proapoptotic (BAX) and antiapoptotic (BCL2 and BIRC5) markers was similar in the two groups (Figure 5(b)).

3.4. In Vitro Differentiation into Adipocytes and Osteoblasts. dDSSCs in the fresh and cryogroups successfully differentiated into adipocytes and osteoblasts. Accumulation of lipid droplets was confirmed by Oil Red O staining, and mineral nodules were visualized by Alizarin Red S and von Kossa staining (Figure 6(a)). Furthermore, the mRNA levels of adipocyte- and osteoblast-specific genes were significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher in differentiated cells than in undifferentiated cells (Figure 6(b)). However, there was no difference between the fresh and cryogroups (Figure 6(b)).

3.5. Mitochondrial Membrane Potential Analysis. To assess whether vitrification induced mitochondrial damage in dDSSCs, the mitochondrial membrane potential was
measured using a JC-1 Mitochondrial Membrane Potential Assay Kit. Red and green fluorescence indicates an increased and decreased mitochondrial membrane potential, respectively (Figure 7(a)). The red/green fluorescence ratio did not significantly differ between the fresh and cryogroups (Figure 7(b)).

### 4. Discussion

Skin tissue is a prominent source of MSCs for clinical applications. Although several studies have investigated cryopreservation of MSCs, vitrification of skin tissues has several advantages as mentioned in Introduction. Additionally, studies of MSCs derived from skin tissue after warming are insufficient. This study sought to develop a method for vitrification of dog skin tissue as a source of autologous stem cells for regenerative medicine. Vitrification methods have been reported using mammalian samples including oocytes, sperm, and tissues [29–31]. Several studies reported a high survival rate of follicles in vitrified tissue and successful pregnancy upon ovarian tissue transplantation [4, 32, 33]. Furthermore, studies of oocyte, testicular tissue, and ovarian tissue vitrification reported that use of intracellular and extracellular cryoprotectants reduces cytotoxicity [29–31].

Vitriﬁcation is performed using a high concentration of cryoprotectant to prevent freezing and induce a glassy, vitriﬁcation state, and FBS, bovine serum albumin (BSA), and human albumin have been included to protect cells against cryoinjury and to increase the freezing efficiency [11, 17]. However, these xenogeneic factors can be a barrier to clinical applications. Therefore, we vitriﬁed dog skin tissues using serum-free cryoprotectant cocktails. Several studies have successfully established MSCs by slow freezing of umbilical cord matrix and dental tissues [34–36]. However, limited studies have established stem cells and analyzed their characteristics using tissue vitriﬁcation. The present study modiﬁed ovarian tissue vitriﬁcation techniques for storage of dog skin tissues as a source of autologous stem cells.

As described, vitriﬁcation requires a high concentration of cryoprotectant. However, this can lead to problems including cytotoxicity and excessive cryoprotectant penetration of the cell membrane [14, 15]. To overcome these problems, studies have used cocktails containing various cryoprotectants. Me2SO has a slower permeation rate than EG, and the efﬁciency of embryo development lower using oocytes vitriﬁed Me2SO than using oocytes vitriﬁed with EG [37–39]. However, several recent studies reported that use of a cryoprotectant mixed with Me2SO, which has a slow permeation rate, and EG, which has a fast permeation rate, is efﬁcient for cryopreservation of oocytes and embryos [40, 41]. Furthermore, sugars including sucrose have a large molecular weight, facilitate dehydration of water during freezing, prevent swelling of the cytoplasm due to sudden changes in osmotic pressure during warming, and allow a lower concentration of an intracellular cryoprotectant to be used [11, 17].

Our results are consistent with previous studies. Histological analysis of fresh and vitriﬁed tissues revealed that tissues were mildly damaged during vitriﬁcation and warming with a cryoprotectant cocktail (15%EG + 15%Me2SO + 0.5 M sucrose) (Figure 1). Additionally, the cell survival rate in the 15%EG + 15%Me2SO + 0.5 M sucrose group was lower than that in the fresh group but was signiﬁcantly higher than that in the other vitriﬁcation group. No cells were established from tissues exposed to freezing without a cryoprotectant, which is likely due to severe tissue damage (Figure 1).

Stem cells lose their viability and proliferation capacity upon freezing and warming [12]. These changes hamper in clinical applications. dDSSCs were cultured to passage 3, and their characteristics, including proliferation and apoptosis, were evaluated. dDSSCs in the fresh and cryogroups had a spindle-like morphology. The proliferation capacity of dDSSCs did not differ between the two groups. We also analyzed apoptosis to conﬁrm that dDSSCs recovered from cryodamage upon subculture. The percentages of viable, early apoptotic, and late apoptotic were similar in the fresh and cryogroups. Therefore, we speculated that cells derived from

---

**Figure 7**: Mitochondrial membrane potential analysis of dDSSCs in the fresh and cryogroups. (a) JC-1 staining of dDSSCs in the fresh and cryogroups. Nuclei were stained with DAPI. Scale bar = 100 μm. (b) The red/green (JC-1 aggregate/monomer) fluorescence ratio did not significantly differ between the two groups. Data represent by the mean ± SD of four independent experiments.
vitrified tissues were in a similar state to those derived from fresh tissues and conducted additional experiments. Cell surface expression analysis revealed that dDSSCs in the fresh and cryogroups expressed CD44 and CD90 and did not express MHC II. Expression of pluripotency genes (OCT4, SOX2, and NANOG) was similar in the two groups. Furthermore, the mRNA expression levels of proapoptotic (BAX) and antiapoptotic (BCL2 and BIRC5) genes were similar in the fresh and cryogroups. These results are consistent with previous reports. Stem cells derived from tissues cryopreserved using the slow-freezing method express MSC-specific markers, and mRNA expression of pluripotency and apoptosis-related factors is similar in stem cells derived from cryopreserved and fresh tissues [4, 27]. Furthermore, cells in the fresh and cryogroups differentiated into adipocytes and osteoblasts, and their differentiation potentials were similar. The mitochondrial membrane potential is a crucial factor for ATP synthesis via oxidative phosphorylation [42]. Changes in the mitochondrial membrane potential did not differ between the vitrified and fresh groups. The mitochondrial membrane potential is a crucial factor for ATP synthesis via oxidative phosphorylation [42]. Changes in the mitochondrial membrane potential did not differ between the vitrified and fresh groups.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, dDSSCs were successfully established using a cocktail of cryoprotectants, and their characteristics were analyzed. Cells derived from tissues vitrified using 15% EG, 15% Me2SO, and 0.5 M sucrose had a higher survival rate upon postwarming than cells in the other vitrification groups. The proliferation capacity, apoptosis rate, and stem cell characteristics, including expression of CD and pluripotency markers and potentials to undergo osteogenesis and adipogenesis, of dDSSCs derived from vitrified tissues (15% EG, 15% Me2SO, and 0.5 M sucrose) were similar to those of dDSSCs derived from fresh tissues. Furthermore, the mitochondrial membrane potential did not differ between the two groups. Although a further study is necessary to evaluate the effect of this vitrification method on the in vivo efficacy, the present study reports a technique that can be used as an alternative to the slow-freezing method and obtain autologous stem cells for clinical applications.
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