Chromosome silencing in vitro reveals trisomy 21 causes cell-autonomous deficits in angiogenesis and early dysregulation in Notch signaling
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SUMMARY

Despite the prevalence of Down syndrome (DS), little is known regarding the specific cell pathologies that underlie this multi-system disorder. To understand which cell types and pathways are more directly affected by trisomy 21 (T21), we used an inducible-XIST system to silence one chromosome 21 in vitro. T21 caused the dysregulation of Notch signaling in iPSCs, potentially affecting cell-type programming. Further analyses identified dysregulation of pathways important for two cell types: neurogenesis and angiogenesis. Angiogenesis is essential to many bodily systems, yet is understudied in DS; therefore, we focused next on whether T21 affects endothelial cells. An in vitro assay for microvasculature formation revealed a cellular pathology involving delayed tube formation in response to angiogenic signals. Parallel transcriptomic analysis of endothelia further showed deficits in angiogenesis regulators. Results indicate a direct cell-autonomous impact of T21 on endothelial function, highlighting the importance of angiogenesis, with wide-reaching implications for development and disease progression.
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In brief

Moon and Lawrence examine the immediate effects of trisomy 21 silencing and find angiogenesis and neurogenesis pathways, including Notch signaling, affected as early as pluripotency. In endothelial cells, functional analyses show that trisomy delays the angiogenic response for microvessel formation and transcriptomics show a parallel impact on angiogenic regulators and signal-response and cytoskeleton processes.

INTRODUCTION

Down syndrome (DS), caused by trisomy of chromosome 21 (chr21) occurs in 1 in every 700 live births in the United States, and conceptions have a high rate of spontaneous loss. Despite the clinical and societal effects of DS, the cellular pathologies that underlie this important syndrome remain poorly understood. Trisomy 21 (T21) consistently leads to mild to moderate intellectual disability in children, which can progress in severity in adults. T21 sharply increases risks for other medical conditions, particularly congenital heart defects, early-onset Alzheimer disease (AD) and acute megakaryoblastic leukemia (Antonarakis et al., 2020; Startin et al., 2020). Despite the higher risk of leukemia, T21 results in reduced rates of solid tumors (Hasle et al., 2016). Unlike monogenic disorders, understanding DS biology is a particular challenge given that it involves an extra copy of ~300 genes on chr21 (~1% of human genes). In fact, with the exception of the well-established hematopoietic cell pathologies, the specific tissues and cell pathologies that underlie human DS phenotypes...
remain unclear. Research on mice carrying orthologous segments of chr21 have provided some insights, but questions remain as to how well pathologies in these mice reflect human DS. Studies of patient samples, including post-mortem tissues or primary cells, are important but are often limited by small sample sizes, general variation between people, and sample preparations. Importantly, such studies in mice and humans examine only the complex outcomes of T21 far downstream of the initial cellular changes that lead to broad effects on system development or function. Thus, approaches are needed that can illuminate not only how but also when T21 affects the development or function of many different systems. Hence, we sought to identify the earliest changes in transcriptome-wide expression and cell development caused by the overexpression of chr21 genes, taking an unbiased approach beginning from pluripotent stem cells rather than a specific cell type. We sought to do so using an experimental strategy designed to minimize sources of variation other than T21 overexpression. Despite variability in DS, consistent DS phenotypes are indicative of a core impact of chr21 dosage on a common set of pathways and cell types.

Our lab developed a novel strategy to study T21 by translating the unique biology of XIST RNA, which coats one female X chromosome in cis (Brown et al., 1992; Clemson et al., 1996), epigenetic modifications that stably silence the chromosome (Creamer and Lawrence, 2017; Loda and Heard, 2019; Sahakyan et al., 2018). We targeted an XIST transgene into one chr21 in DS patient-derived induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs), and demonstrated robust gene silencing across one chr21 in cis (Figure 1A) (Jiang et al., 2013). By making the system inducible (with doxycycline), this allows a tightly controlled comparison of the same cell populations with and without chr21 overexpression. This circumvents intercell line variation that can confound the identification of trisomy-specific differences, especially for subtle or kinetic differences in cell differentiation or function (Hussein et al., 2013; Liang and Zhang, 2013; Soldner and Jaenisch, 2012). Even isogenic iPSC of human embryonic stem cell (hESC) lines during subcloning, passaging, or freeze-thaw, evolve epigenetic drift and occasional chromosomal/genetic changes (Hall et al., 2008; Laurent et al., 2011; Mayshar et al., 2010). The inducible manipulation of chr21 can avoid interline sources of variation.

Recently, we demonstrated that trisomy silencing prevented developmental pathogenesis in vitro for the best-established DS cell pathology, overproduction of certain hematopoietic cell types (Chiang et al., 2018). This result supports that the correction of chr21 overexpression is sufficient to normalize a known DS cell pathogenesis. Subsequently, we used this approach to examine the effects of chr21 dosage compensation on in vitro neurogenesis. Results showed that chr21 silencing enhanced the formation of neurons from neural stem cells, and implicated delayed terminal differentiation of neurons due to elevated Notch signaling in T21 (Czermiński and Lawrence, 2020).

In contrast to studies that focus on a particular tissue or cell type, here, we begin by using RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) analysis in iPSCs to determine how correcting T21 expression levels affect genome-wide pathways while maintaining pluripotency. The PSC state—in some sense, a theoretical tabula rasa—allows the analysis of any effects of chr21 dosage on the gene expression landscape and developmental programming from the onset. Pluripotent cells express an unusually large fraction of the genome, including many genes expressed...
later in specific cell types (Efroni et al., 2008; Kobayashi and Kikyo, 2015; Postovit et al., 2007), thus potentially providing insight into later developmental programming. Moreover, we purposefully explored transcriptomic effects shortly after chr21 silencing to infer the most immediate and direct effects of chr21 gene dosage changes without a priori assumptions or emphasis.

Pathway analysis revealed changes in specific genes relevant to current or new hypotheses of DS research, leading us to investigate endothelial cells (ECs) and angiogenesis. While the results shown here are relevant to neurodevelopment, we focused more on angiogenesis because this was unanticipated and less studied, and any effect on angiogenesis could affect multiple systems during development and adulthood. For example, vascular deficits could contribute to pulmonary hypertension, early-onset AD, low incidence of solid tumors, neurodevelopment, or even the higher risk of autism in DS (Asim et al., 2015; Colvin and Yeager, 2017; Ouellette et al., 2020). Limited mouse studies or clinical observations have suggested that vasculature deficits may occur in DS (Bush et al., 2019; Galambos et al., 2016; Trevino et al., 2020), although it remains unclear whether DS is characterized by an impaired vasculature. Furthermore, such studies cannot address whether any differences in vasculature are a root effect of T21 on angiogenesis or secondary to other pathologies.

In summary, the results of the initial transcriptomic analysis in a panel of DS iPSCs identified gene pathways affected by chr21 overexpression, which then led us to examine angiogenesis in DS iPSC-derived ECs. Results reveal a previously unreported in vitro cellular phenotype, demonstrating for the first time that T21 expression affects ECs and angiogenesis.

RESULTS

Many DS studies describe extensive genome-wide transcriptome changes in cells/tissues; however, these changes will often reflect the downstream impact of T21 on development, cell-type representation, or pathological state. Here, our first goal was to compare otherwise identical cell cultures with and without doxycycline (dox)-induced XIST and identify the more direct transcriptome-wide changes caused by chr21 dosage, distinct from changes in the developmental cell state. Jiang et al. (2013) described the panel of human transgenic lines used here and demonstrated that XIST could silence one chr 21 in iPSC cultures after 20 days of induction (Figure S1). The microarray data generated were not used for genome-wide pathway analysis due to uncertainty regarding whether some cultures differentiated over prolonged culture. Because pluripotent cells are prone to differentiate and change epigenetic state and to maximize the detection of more direct effects of T21, we began by identifying the earliest time after XIST induction when chr21 silencing was essentially complete, while maintaining cultures in an undifferentiated state. This shorter time frame was used in a panel of four isogenic XIST-transgenic clones followed by quantitative analysis of gene expression changes genome-wide.

Analysis of chromosome silencing kinetics shows chr21 effectively silenced by day 6

To determine the optimal time point after chr21 is silenced, we examined changes in specific gene expression and heterochromatin marks over several days (Figure 1A). Using
RNA fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), we quantified transcription foci for the chr21 gene, APP, which we recently found is one of the last genes silenced (Czermiński and Lawrence, 2020). To indicate heterochromatin formation chromosome-wide, we scored enrichment of the XIST-associated heterochromatin marks H2Ak119Ub and H3K27me3 (Kohlmaier et al., 2004; Plath et al., 2003; Smith et al., 2004).

Almost all uninduced cells show three APP transcription foci. At day 1 of dox, XIST RNA accumulates over 1 chr21 territory but all 3 APP alleles continue to express. By day 6, the vast majority of XIST+ cells show complete silencing of that APP allele (Figures 1B and 1D). The level of chr21 silencing was consistent for all four transgenic clones. Due to the stochastic silencing of the TET3G transactivator transgene, not all cells (~70%) induced the expression of XIST; but by day 6, XIST+ cells overwhelmingly show that the APP allele was silenced (Figure 1B). Immunofluorescence (IF) for H2AK119ub and H3K27me3 showed heterochromatin formation coincident with XIST RNA (Figure 1E). Hence, this analysis identified day 6 as the earliest time point when the extra chr21 is effectively silenced, as affirmed below by RNA-seq, and the shorter time frame was optimal to maintain and compare cultures in a homogeneous pluripotent state.

**Detection of robust chr21 silencing and transcriptome-wide changes in pluripotent cells**

We quantified expression changes in parallel cultures with and without dox-induced XIST expression for each of the four isogenic transgenic lines (XIST−/+ cells; Figure 2A). Importantly, this experimental design involves comparison between parallel cultures (−/+ dox-induced XIST) rather than between different isogenic cell lines. This avoids epigenetic and potentially genetic variability between lines, as evidenced by PC2 in Figure 2B, which can confound or dampen the identification of trisomy-related differences (Kim et al., 2010; Koyanagi-Aoi et al., 2013). The principal-component analysis (PCA) plot (Figure 2B) affirms that in this system, the largest variance (PC1) between samples is due to trisomy versus functional disomy (via chr21 silencing). We included dox treatment of isogenic lines with the same TET3G transgene but lacking the XIST transgene (Figure 2A) as a control to minimize the artifacts of dox or transactivator interactions. Any differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in the XIST-transgenic lines that were similarly affected by dox treatment in control lines were excluded from consideration as DEGs.

Figure 2C summarizes the results for silencing chr21 genes based on analysis of all four clones, showing consistent downregulation of genes across that chromosome (Table S1). Even though in these initial experiments only ~70% of cells are induced to express XIST (due to technical silencing of the TET3G transgene, see STAR Methods), this approach detected an average reduction across chr21 that aligns with the 33% reduction expected (corrected for 70% XIST-expressing cells). While some studies have suggested not all chr21 genes are overexpressed due to potential feedback regulation, these results indicate that all or most expressed mRNAs approximate the theoretical one-third reduction.

We next examined genome-wide (non-chr21) expression changes in the 4 clones just after completion of chr21 silencing. As shown in Figure 2D, changes in ~2,500 genes met statistical significance at a false discovery rate (FDR) <0.05 (Table S2). Many genes important to developmental processes consistently changed in all lines upon chr21 silencing.
(Figure 2F). This includes genes later expressed in a cell-type-specific manner, as illustrated by MAP2, a non-chr21 gene commonly used as a neuronal marker (Figure S2), which consistently increased in all four lines with chr21 silenced. In data from our earlier study (Jiang et al., 2013), we noted a similar increase in MAP2 expression, but given the propensity of pluripotent cells to differentiate, we were uncertain whether cultures had diverged in differentiation status during the 20-day dox treatment. However, in this study, we examined parallel cultures just 6 days after inducing XIST and rigorously maintained pluripotency as evidenced by OCT4 staining (Figure 1C). A subsequent study showed that a single iPSC colony could stain brightly for both MAP2 and Oct4 (Gonzales et al., 2018). That study noted higher MAP2 in one trisomic versus disomic isogenic comparison, but this was not consistent between lines, suggesting interline variability. Results here using inducible chr21 silencing indicate that one or more chr21 genes affect MAP2 expression in pluripotent cells, further illustrating that iPSCs may transiently express genes that later function in specific developmental programs (Efroni et al., 2008; VanOudenhove et al., 2016).

Before examining potential changes to developmental pathways, we addressed a distinct hypothesis: that broad transcriptomic changes reflect the general impact of T21 on genome architecture, resulting in large contiguous segments with alternating up- or downregulated genes across all autosomes. Letourneau et al. (2014) reported gene expression dysregulation domains (GEDDs) due to T21, reflecting broad changes to the chromatin landscape affecting the global transcriptome, distinct from more specific pathways. As shown in Figure 2D and S2B, our results show no evidence of GEDDs in the XIST+− comparisons, and gene expression across each chromosome did not strongly correlate with the Letourneau et al. dataset. While not examined extensively, we also did not detect GEDDs comparing disomic and trisomic isogenic lines, consistent with other results (Do et al., 2015; Sullivan et al., 2016). Since XY, XX, or XXX karyotypes do not physically affect nuclear transcriptome architecture, it is highly improbable that tiny chr21 would do so; hence, we focused on investigating whether specific pathways are affected by chr21 overexpression.

**Chr21 overexpression causes early dysregulation of neural pathways and Notch pathway**

Using the list of non-chr21 DEGs, we performed enrichment analysis using the Gene Ontology (GO) catalog for biological processes. When visualizing the GO terms of upregulated genes in hierarchical order, four major categories—angiogenesis, neurogenesis, cytoskeleton organization, and response to stimulus—were apparent (Figures 3A and 3B). Even at the pluripotent stage, trisomy silencing significantly affected genes involved in neurogenesis, with enrichments in GO terms relating to neuronal cell fate, migration, proliferation, and differentiation (Figures 3C; Table S3). These results are in keeping with and significant for the known clinical effect of T21 on cognitive development, and support that chr21 silencing ameliorates these effects in vitro.

Of particular interest is the overexpression of Notch pathway genes in trisomic iPSCs relative to the same cells with trisomy 21 silencing (Figure 3D; GO:0007219, GO:0008593; FDR < 0.05). Overactive Notch signaling in iPSCs supports and extends key findings in our recent study of T21 effects on day 28 neural cells (Czermiński and Lawrence, 2020).
That study showed increased Notch signaling and also upregulation of TTYH1, a non-chr21 gene recently reported to upregulate Notch via gamma-secretase (Kim et al., 2018). This was linked to delayed terminal differentiation of cycling neural stem cells to form neurons. Here, we find that trisomic pluripotent cells also have elevated Notch signaling and TTYH1. This supports findings in the neural cells, but the short time frame of silencing studied here strongly suggests a more direct effect of T21 on Notch signaling, potentially via TTYH1. Results support previous hypotheses that neuronal deficits in DS fetal and adult brains may be due to impaired Notch signaling (Golden and Hyman, 1994; Karlsen and Pakkenberg, 2011), and further suggest that the impact on Notch signaling could occur earlier in development and may not be specific to neural cells.

**Correction of chr21 overexpression upregulates angiogenesis pathways**

As shown in Figure 3A, neural pathways were most prominently affected by T21 in iPSCs, but results also implicated a second major cell type. Surprisingly, the second prominent pathway cluster pointed to ECs and their involvement in vascular development and angiogenesis (Figure 3E). Notably, several semaphorin genes (SEMA3A, SEMA5A, SEMA4F) along with the binding receptors of semaphorin—NRP2, PLXNB1, PLXND1—were differentially expressed in our dataset; these genes are known to promote angiogenesis, endothelial proliferation, and survival, as well as functions related to cell extension and migrational guidance in ECs (Neufeld and Kessler, 2008). ADM, a gene encoding two post-translationally modified proteins, PAMP and AM, is involved in angiogenesis and EC differentiation, and may play an important role in memory retention (Larrayoz et al., 2017; Yurugi-Kobayashi et al., 2006). In addition, expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptor FLT1 changes significantly after trisomy silencing. This gene plays an important role in regulating the Notch-VEGF pathway feedback loop in angiogenesis and maintains dynamic endothelial tip and stalk cell subtype identities (Hellström et al., 2007; Phng and Gerhardt, 2009). Both FLT1 and NPR1 play critical roles in angiogenesis but are also important in cardiovascular development and neovascular formation (Kitsukawa et al., 1997; Krueger et al., 2011; Oh et al., 2002). Collectively, many genes in angiogenic pathways have overlapping functions in cytoskeletal changes and signaling, many of which were enriched in GO terms relating to response to stimulus (Figure 3B).

Overall, 125 DEGs upregulated after trisomy silencing were enriched in angiogenic GO terms (Figure 3E). As for Notch and neurogenesis, the results indicate that it is an essentially direct effect of chr21 overexpression on pathways involved in angiogenesis, discernible even in pluripotent cells, just after chromosome silencing.

**Trisomic and chr21-silenced cells show similar production of endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs)**

Since analysis of iPSCs suggested that T21 has an impact on pathways related to angiogenesis, we further investigated these findings by examining the effects of T21 expression on endothelial cells, differentiated from DS iPSCs. We examined whether dosage correction of chr21 genes would affect the production of EPCs. As outlined in Figures 4A and 4B, EPCs have a close developmental relationship with hematopoietic cells, both arising from hemogenic epithelium. T21 is known to cause excess production of CD43+
hematopoietic progenitor cells, leading to the excess production of megakaryocyte-erythroid progenitor cells (Chiang et al., 2018; Roy et al., 2012; Tunstall-Pedoe et al., 2008), and Chiang et al. (2018) affirmed that transcriptional silencing of chr21 corrected hematopoietic pathogenesis for this known DS cellular phenotype. Therefore, we examined whether T21 affected the proportions of progenitor cells produced during EC differentiation, examined in all four transgenic lines. As above, we included trisomic and disomic isogenic subclones lacking the XIST transgene to control for dox effects. We quantified CD31<sup>+</sup>CD34<sup>+</sup>, which mark EPCs in parallel cultures differentiated for 5 days, with/without chr21 silencing. In this time frame, no significant difference in the proportion of EPCs was seen (Figures 4C, 4D, and S3A), nor did dox affect the EPC populations in controls. This is in line with our previous finding that the overproduction of hematopoietic cells arises after the hemogenic endothelium is established (Chiang et al., 2018). We also considered whether T21 affected cell proliferation by quantifying the fraction of S phase cells in each population. Results show rapid cycling of both cell populations, although a subtle increase in proliferation may be suggested by a marginal increase in S phase cells in XIST<sup>+</sup> cultures (Figure S3B). In summary, T21 did not substantially affect the developmental programming of EPC production.

**Chr21 silencing enhances endothelial cell response to angiogenic signals**

While dosage compensation of chr21 did not significantly affect EPC production, a distinct question is whether the functionality of endothelial cells is affected (Figure 4A). Endothelial function in angiogenesis is heavily reliant on cell signaling and extension; consistent with this, GO terms relating to response to stimulus, cytoskeleton organization, and migration were strongly implicated in our iPSC data (Figures 3A; Table S3). Hence, we expanded CD31<sup>+</sup>CD34<sup>+</sup> EPCs; after differentiation day 7, both XIST<sup>−</sup> and XIST<sup>+</sup> cultures exhibited more mature characteristic cobblestone-like EC morphologies. All of the conditions displayed appropriate endothelial cell markers of von Willebrand factor (vWF), kinase insert domain receptor (KDR), CD31, and vascular endothelial (VE)-cadherin by IF (Figures 4E and S3C).

To examine whether T21 affects the angiogenic function of EC cells, we used an established in vitro tube forming assay (DeCicco-Skinner et al., 2014) as a readout to assess the ability of trisomic ECs to migrate and form network structures. In brief, equal numbers of ECs are seeded onto Matrigel-coated plates and treated with factors to promote tube-like formations. These structures form very rapidly as cells respond to signaling cues, and can then be maintained for several hours (Figure 5A). Computerized morphometrics analyses was used to address whether there are functional deficits in angiogenic signaling and response in trisomic ECs, comparing cultures of equal cell density, independent of their proliferation.

Remarkably, within 1 h of plating, a significant difference was observed in network formations between ECs derived with and without XIST expression (Figure 5B). This analysis was performed on four different transgenic lines, comparing the same line with and without chr21 silencing, and each comparison repeated in three replicate experiments. Results were analyzed quantitatively by the Angiogenesis Analyzer package for ImageJ (Carpentier et al., 2012). This demonstrated repeatedly that XIST<sup>+</sup> ECs displayed more
branching and network formation in contrast to XIST$^-$ cells, which had more isolated segments and less connections between segments. XIST$^+$ cells were able to quickly extend further to form interconnected branches and meshes consistently in all four transgenic lines (Figure 5C). Although subtle phenotypes can be difficult to assess by comparison between cell lines, we included one isogenic comparison of one disomic and trisomic subclone, which showed similar impairment in tube formation after 1 h (Figures S4A and S4B), with no effect on dox-treated controls. Importantly, the effect of T21 revealed here is a kinetic deficit in signaling response and tubule formation, not a lack of trisomic ECs to undergo angiogenesis. By 12 h, XIST$^-$ cells formed networks similar to their dosage-corrected counterparts, with similar culture density (Figures 5D and 5E). This is consistent with the fact that angiogenesis clearly occurs in individuals with DS. While the rapid early kinetic response to angiogenic cues is impaired, trisomic cells remain fully viable and eventually fill cultures with similarly dense microvessels (Figure 5D).

Using this inducible system to closely compare trisomic and “euploid” cell function in vitro, findings indicate that the production of trisomic EPCs is normal and angiogenesis still occurs, but the results revealed a delay in angiogenic response and early microvessel formation. This cellular effect demonstrated in vitro strongly suggests that effects on microvasculature may be an important but largely unrecognized contributor to DS phenotypes, as considered in the Discussion.

**Chr21 silencing increases cell signaling and projection pathways in endothelial cells**

Given that ECs showed a difference in angiogenic response, we performed transcriptome analysis on isolated populations of ECs to study the underlying pathways and mechanisms affected by T21. The rapidity of the angiogenic response indicates post-transcriptional control; however, given that ECs cycle rapidly, the mRNAs and proteins involved in signaling and cell projections would need to be actively produced and may be expressed at different levels with chr21 silencing. While in a subset of iPSCs (above) dox did not induce XIST (due to silencing of TET3G), we subsequently found that selecting for puromycin resistance (inserted with TET3G transgene) ensured that dox induced XIST in 100% of cells (and maintained expression through differentiation) (see STAR Methods). Figures 6A and 6B show the comprehensive repression of genes across chr21, demonstrating power to detect even small (~33%) decreases in mRNA (unadjusted p < 0.05). The strong trend for all 138 chr21 expressed genes is highly significant, and for 62, this small modest expected change met significance even as individual genes (FDR <0.05; Table S3). Silencing was also confirmed by SNP analysis (Figure S5A); notably, by comparing transgenic lines that silence different chr21 homologs, this approach can examine effects of chr21 SNPs on gene expression or cell phenotypes (Figure S5A). We note that the RNA-seq data confirm IF results (Figure 4E) showing EC identity, as we detected the expression of EC-specific markers such as CD34, PECAM1, vWF, and CDH5 (VE-cadherin) (Figure S5B) (Goncharov et al., 2017). In addition, PCA showed that these ECs clustered well with publicly available iPSC-derived and primary EC datasets (Figure S5C).

While chr21 genes were strongly detected, just 120 non-chr21 DEGs were found across the genome (Table S1). This is substantially fewer than in our iPSC dataset, which may reflect...
less open euchromatin and a more restricted expression profile of a differentiated cell type (see Discussion). Importantly, many genes relating to EC function, including signaling, and migration were upregulated after chr21 silencing, consistent with the more rapid angiogenic response and tube formation in functional assays (Figure 6C; Wang et al., 2020). In contrast, we saw a significant downregulation of genes involved in cell adhesion and the extracellular matrix (e.g., RAC2, PARVB, FMOD, some collagen genes). We note that we did not see any gene sets enriched for apoptosis or cell death, consistent with similar vitality of trisomic cultures (Figure S5D; GO:0031589, GO:0043062, GO:0048646, GO:0030198; FDR <0.05). Notably, RAC2 and PARVB are important for endothelial cell polarity during neovascular patterning as well as vascular morphogenesis, and dynamic cell migration and projection are important for vascular remodeling and regression during early development (De et al., 2009; Pitter et al., 2018). Therefore, downregulation of these genes after chr21 silencing could facilitate more rapid response to angiogenic cues. TEK and ANGPT2, associated with hypovascularity in the lungs of DS patients, and thought to be due to elevated levels of anti-angiogenic factors from chr21 (Galambos et al., 2016), were more highly expressed in XIST− cells at nominal significance (unadjusted p < 0.05). Corresponding to results here and elsewhere, DS patients have been found to have elevated levels of ANGPT1 and ANGPT2, which are protective against tumor metastasis, providing insight into the less solid tumors in DS (Galambos et al., 2016; Michael et al., 2017).

Similar to our iPSC results, SEMA3A, EFNA2, UNC5C, and PLXN1B were upregulated in XIST+ cells (Figures 6D and S5E). While these genes are enriched in GO terms relating to neuronal processes and axon guidance, they are among a common set of genes involved in cooperative early development of neurons and ECs, with common functions for chemotaxis and branching morphogenesis (Adams and Eichmann, 2010). In addition, they have a parallel role in outgrowth, using common cues, and are important for neovascularization and structural stability during vascularization (Carmeliet and Tessier-Lavigne, 2005). Similarly, several HOX genes, involved in cell migration and angiogenesis and linked to tumor metastasis (Chung et al., 2009; Toshner et al., 2014), were upregulated with chr21 silencing.

Our tube-forming assay demonstrates a functional phenotype in ECs with T21, similar to studies of general Notch-VEGF dynamics and dysregulation (Benedito et al., 2009; Chappell et al., 2013). We detected statistically significant changes in a few Notch genes in ECs, with some trends similar to iPSCs (unadjusted p < 0.05); however, we did not see the same degree of Notch network perturbation in the EC transcriptomes. Angiogenic sprouting is guided by two subtypes called tip and stalk cells (Gerhardt, 2013; Ochsenbein et al., 2016), determined and maintained by anti-correlated Notch and VEGF signaling levels, which ensure healthy angiogenic outgrowth (Benedito et al., 2009; Blanco and Gerhardt, 2013). If our EC populations contain both subtypes and proportions are affected by T21, effects on Notch and VEGF signaling in either cell type could be somewhat muted (unlike more homogeneous iPSCs).

Overall, silencing the extra chr21 in ECs reduces the expression of cell adhesion genes but elevates genes responding to signaling and branching morphogenesis. This fits well with the results of in vitro functional assays, showing that XIST+ ECs (essentially disomic) are more responsive to angiogenic cues and more readily form tubes within the first hour.
(Figure 5). These kinetic differences in rapid angiogenic response (evident in the first hour) are supported by higher expression levels of genes that support these functions. In total, both transcriptomic data (on ECs and iPSCs) and functional cell assays reveal that the overexpression of chr21 caused a cell-autonomous deficit in angiogenic response affecting early microvessel formation.

**DISCUSSION**

The findings here contribute significantly to advancing the poorly understood cell biology of T21. Connecting T21 to ECs and angiogenesis has important implications for the multisystemic effects of DS, including several comorbidities, but also the beneficial reduction in solid tumors.

By capitalizing on a system to manipulate the expression of one chr21 in DS stem cells, we identified the more immediate and direct effects of chr21 overexpression on the transcriptome. Interestingly, we detect fewer genome-wide DEGs in differentiated cells than pluripotent cells, which have uniquely open chromatin and broad expression (Efroni et al., 2008; Kobayashi and Kikyo, 2015; Postovit et al., 2007); hence, they are likely particularly sensitive to levels of regulatory factors. We detect less non-chr21 DEGs in ECs than would be predicted by recent studies reporting global genomic effects. This may be because our system strives to minimize uncontrolled differences unrelated to T21. While we aimed to compare “homogeneous” cultures of ECs cells, we do not rule out that induced silencing of trisomy 21 subtly affects EC status (i.e., proportions of tip and stalk subtypes). Nonetheless, results suggest the important possibility that the transcriptome-wide effect of T21 in a given cell type is more limited than is widely thought. Such questions are fundamental to designing potential therapeutic strategies.

Analysis of iPSCs just after chr21 silencing provides a window into more direct transcriptomic effects, predominantly revealing pathways important to neurogenesis and angiogenesis. The two other main pathway clusters affected were cell signaling/response to stimulus and cytoskeleton organization, largely shared processes important for both neural and angiogenic function (cell extension, migration, and response). The effect on Notch signaling was notable, even in undifferentiated iPSCs. A recent study using this system also found increased Notch signaling (including TTYH1) as the predominant effect, and connected this to reduced terminal differentiation of neurons (Czermiński and Lawrence, 2020). The findings here go further to show rapid effect of chr21 silencing on the Notch pathway in iPSCs, indicating one or more chr21 genes likely affects Notch regulation more directly, and independent of differentiation state. Importantly, the present study again finds overexpression of TTYH1 in trisomy, which other studies report regulates Notch via gamma-secretase (Kim et al., 2018). While the pathways we show affected here are of significant interest for neurogenesis, we focused much of the study on the less anticipated findings regarding angiogenesis.

Most significantly, this study reveals a novel cell-type-specific phenotype in DS iPSC-derived ECs, demonstrating that T21 affects the early response of ECs to form tube-like structures in vitro, using an established assay. Clinical reports have observed less dense
vasculature in the lungs and thicker vascular walls in DS patients and suggest that this contributes to risks for pulmonary arterial hypertension (Coultas et al., 2010; Galambos et al., 2016). In addition, DS individuals were found to have elevated levels of endostatin, a C-terminus fragment of chr21 gene COL18A1, known to inhibit angiogenesis and tumor growth (Seppinen and Pihlajaniemi, 2011; Zorick et al., 2001). DS patients have a lower incidence of solid tumors, potentially due to decreased angiogenesis. Some of the candidate genes implicated were studied in mice, although single-gene perturbations did not explain all of the angiogenic phenotypes in Ts65Dn mice; overexpression of RCAN1 and DYRK1A were reported to affect proliferation, vascular structures, and tumor allografts (Baek et al., 2009; Minami et al., 2004). However, there are limited studies in humans and there has not been a way to address whether any vascular changes reflect the effect of T21 on ECs themselves (Hasle et al., 2016). The results presented here show in vitro a direct, cell-autonomous effect of T21 overexpression on EC function in angiogenesis, providing a foundation for future studies in this understudied area, including pursuit of the chr21 genes involved.

Even a slight effect on angiogenesis and vasculature could contribute to the pleiotropic effects of DS, potentially during both development and adulthood. This finding is clearly relevant for the increased risk of pulmonary hypertension, a substantial DS comorbidity, and could exacerbate other pathologies, such as early-onset AD. In addition, ECs also function in other ways, such as EC crosstalk with inflammatory cells that is critical for immune response. This could contribute to the immune dysregulation and inflammation recently shown to be prominent in DS (Sullivan et al., 2016). As discussed below, vascular deficits during development could have earlier and widespread consequences.

If Notch signaling and ECs are affected in early embryos, then this could have a significant, potentially stochastic, effect on T21 conceptions, which have high rates of spontaneous loss and defects in heart and lung organogenesis (Llurba et al., 2014; Morris et al., 1999). Our data suggest that T21 affects genes involved in neovascularization important in embryonic development. Furthermore, impaired Notch signaling in DS would likely disrupt the cooperative function of the neurovascular unit (NVU), made up of ECs, pericytes, glia, and neurons, that maintain the stem cell niche, which is important for early cognitive development, facilitating neovascularization, and glia/neuron positioning and maturation (Bell et al., 2020; Shen et al., 2004). The importance of the vascular contribution to neurodevelopment was recently illustrated by the finding that autism (linked to 16p deletion) is associated with deficits in brain microvasculature (Ouellette et al., 2020), and we note that a substantial fraction of children with DS, while sociable, have autistic repetitive behaviors and are diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) (Capone et al., 2005).

Finally, the effect on ECs and angiogenic function shown here has important implications for early-onset AD, which occurs in ~80% of DS individuals, 20–30 years before AD in the non-DS population (Wiseman et al., 2015). This is clearly related to trisomy for the APP gene, but other evidence suggests that other chr21 encoded factors likely influence this. Notably, cerebral amyloid angiopathy and microbleeds are more frequent in AD-DS, and NVU impairment may reduce amyloid plaque clearance or increase vascular damage (Helman et al., 2019; Sagare et al., 2013). Hence, it has been hypothesized that deficits
in angiogenesis may play a critical role in AD in DS, and possibly cognitive development (Carmona-Iragui et al., 2019; Drachman et al., 2017). It has also been speculated that Notch signaling may be affected and contribute to DS-AD-related vascular pathology (Cho et al., 2019; Drachman, 2014); however, such changes could be downstream of other pathologies. Our findings now provide evidence of a direct effect of T21 on angiogenesis. Finally, we note a small subset of individuals with DS undergo cognitive regression as younger adults, distinct from AD dementia (Mircher et al., 2017). Any deficit of brain vascularization, which may vary between individuals, could have progressive consequences beyond early development. Given that angiogenesis also plays an important role in neural precursor cell (NPC) migration after injury, angiogenic impairments could contribute to any cognitive decline during adulthood and aging.

For all of these reasons, it will be important for future studies to further examine the effects of T21 on ECs and angiogenesis in DS and the interplay between angiogenesis and other systems affected in DS.

Limitations of the study

This study used a panel of all-isogenic sublines of iPS cells and relied mostly on direct comparison of identical cell populations (different culture dishes), with and without induced chr21 silencing. While this approach is designed to minimize variables between people (or even isogenic lines), comparison of all-isogenic cells limits findings to the genetic background of one individual. Using this “reductionist” approach allowed us to show a direct, cell-autonomous effect to Chr21 expression on certain pathways and angiogenic function; however, clinical studies in diverse individuals are a key complementary approach, required to draw conclusions about how generalizable findings here are to the broader DS population. Angiogenesis is understudied in DS; however, we have cited several clinical studies that suggest that vascular changes are more broadly evident. Finally, we incorporated controls to correct for the effects of dox on individual genes (independent of XIST induction), but statistical corrections are imperfect and could introduce some error.

STAR★METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact—Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Jeanne B. Lawrence (Jeanne.Lawrence@umassmed.edu).

Materials availability—This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and code availability

- RNA sequencing datasets generated by this study have been deposited at GEO (GSE166849).
- This paper does not report original code.
Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Human cell lines—Jiang et al. (2013) generated and characterized the transgenic DS hiPSC lines used in this study. The transgenic DS hiPSC lines (C1, C5, C5A, C7) were generated from the original male DS hiPSC parental line, which was obtained by George Q. Daley (Park et al., 2008). All DS hiPSC lines in this study contain the transcriptional activator gene, TET3G, at the AAVS1 safe harbor locus. Each transgene line with the exception of C5A was generated from an independent XIST transgene integration event into an intron of the DYRK1A locus. XIST is expressed when treated with dox, which was described by Jiang et al. (2013). Isogenic trisomic and disomic lines containing TET3G but not the XIST transgene were included as dox controls (“Tri” and “Dis”). All iPSCs were cultured with Essential 8 medium (ThermoFisher) in a feeder-free condition on vitronectin-coated plates. Cells were maintained at 37°C, 20% O₂, and 5% CO₂, and passaged every 3–5 days (~80% confluency) using 500 uM EDTA in PBS. Expression of XIST was induced by adding doxycycline at a final concentration of 500 ng/mL while maintaining cultures in the pluripotent stage or directly upon differentiation. Experiments for dox treatment in iPSC cultures were performed twice.

METHOD DETAILS

Monolayer endothelial cell differentiation—The endothelial cell differentiation of DS hiPSC lines were adapted from Lian et al. (2014) and Patsch et al. (2015). In brief, two days before differentiation, hiPSCs were dissociated into a single cell suspension using TrypLE Express (ThermoFisher). The cells were resuspended in Essential 8 media with 10 uM Rock inhibitor Y-27632 (Tocris Bioscience) and seeded at 40,000 cells/well in a vitronectin-coated 12-well plate. Cells were fed with Essential 8 media the next day. On Day 0 and Day 1, the media was replaced with LaSR media as described in Lian et al. (2014) supplemented with 8 uM CHIR99021 (Tocris Bioscience). On Day 2 and 4, the media was replaced with LaSR media supplemented with 2 uM CHIR99021. On Day 5, cells were dissociated using TrypLE Express and enriched for CD34+ endothelial progenitor cells using the CD34 MicroBead Kit (Miltenyi Biotec). Purified cells resuspended in EGM-2 media (Lonza) supplemented with 25 ng/mL VEGF165 (PEPROTECH). Cells were seeded onto Collagen I coated plates (50–100 ng/mL in 0.02 M acetic acid) and expanded for downstream experiments. Samples included all transgenic lines with or without dox and one isogenic disomic and trisomic line as a dox control. Three independent differentiations were performed.

Cell fixation, RNA fluorescence in situ hybridization, and immunofluorescence staining—Coverslips prepared for RNA fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) staining were adapted from previously published protocols (Byron et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2013). In brief, coverslips were coated with appropriate attachment protein solution and seeded with cells. After cell attachment, coverslips were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in 1X phosphate buffer saline solution (PBS) for 10 min then extracted with 0.5% Triton X- in 10mM vanadyl ribonuclease complex (VRC) for 3 min and stored in cold 1X PBS or
70% ethanol. For IF, coverslips were fixed as described for RNA FISH or with 100% cold methanol for 10 min. For RNA FISH, we used a Stellaris probe (Biosearch Technologies, SMF-2038-1) to detect XIST RNA. The APP probe was generated using a BAC from BACPAC Resources (RP11-910G8) and labeled via nick translation with Digoxigenin-dUTP (Roche). To dual stain for protein and RNA, RNAsin (Promega) was added to the primary and secondary antibody stains as described by Byron et al. (2013). To assess proliferation BrdU was incubated for two hours in day 10 endothelial cells and fixed as described above. Coverslips were incubated in 70% formamide in 2x SSC for 5 min followed by a 5 min dehydration step in 70% and 100% cold ethanol, then stained for IF. All antibodies used are listed in the Key resources table.

Microscopy—IF and RNA FISH images used a Zeiss AxioObserver 7 with a Flash 4.0 LT CMOS camera (Hamamatsu). Brightness and contrast were corrected in Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012, 2015) to best represent what was observed by eye.

RNA isolation and library preparation—RNA samples were extracted using Trizol® Reagent (ThermoFisher) adapted from the manufacturer’s instructions, using 100% ethanol in place of isopropanol. Precipitated RNA was resuspended in RNase- and DNase-free water and DNA digestion was performed using DNase I (Roche) with 2U/ul of RNasin® Plus (Promega) for 1 h at room temperature. RNasy® Mini Kit was used for RNA purification and DNase I removal following the RNA Cleanup protocol from the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA quality and purity was assessed via Fragment Analyzer (Advanced Analytical Technologies, Inc). All samples received an RQN score of >8.0. The NEBNext® Ultra II Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina®, NEBNext® Poly (A) mRNA Magnetic Isolation Module, and NEBNext® Multiplex Oligos for Illumina® were used to prepare sequencing libraries following the manufacturer’s instructions (New England Biolabs, Inc). All libraries were prepared with 1 ug of starting RNA. Sequencing was performed by the University of Massachusetts Medical School Deep Sequencing Core with 7–10 million reads per sample.

Flow cytometry—Cells from monolayer endothelial cell differentiation were dissociated using TrypLE Express (ThermoFisher) according to manufacturer’s instructions, dissociated in a wash buffer (1x HBSS, 2 mM EDTA, 0.5% BSA). Dissociated cells were run through a 30 uM MACS SmartStrainer (Miltenyi Biotec). One million cells were resuspended in the wash buffer. Conjugated antibodies were added to each sample and incubated for 1 h. Cells were washed and resuspended with 300 ul of flow cytometry running buffer (1x HBSS, 2mM EDTA, 2% BSA, 20 mM HEPES pH 7.0). The Flow Cytometry Core Facility detected the staining on BD LSR II Flow Cytometer and analysis was completed using FlowJo software (Becton, Dickinson and Company, 2019).

Tube forming assay and analysis—The tube forming assay was adapted and optimized from DeCicco-Skinner et al. (2014). After enrichment for CD34+ endothelial progenitor cells (CD34 MicroBead Kit, Miltenyi Biotec), cells were expanded for one week in a T75 flask. When confluent, the cells were detached using TrypLE Express. The cells were resuspended at 40,000 cells/well in a 12-well plate coated with Matrigel and incubated for
1 h. Each condition had three replicate wells. Cells were treated with CalceinAM (5 uM working concentration; ThermoFisher) and incubated for at least 15 min before imaging. Experiment was repeated for three separate EC differentiations. Analysis of tube forming assay was conducted using the plugin Angiogenesis Analyzer (Carpentier et al., 2012) in Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012, 2015).

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

RNA sequencing analysis—Libraries were aligned to genome build GRch38 using HISAT2 (Kim et al., 2019) and mapped reads were counted using featureCounts in the subread package (Liao et al., 2014). Multi-mapped reads were excluded from analysis. Subsequent gene expression normalization and differential expression analysis was conducted using the edgeR package within R (McCarthy et al., 2012; Robinson et al., 2010). Gene detection cutoff was set to mean CPM >1 across samples for differential expression analysis. Replicates were summed and p values were generated via quasi-likelihood F-test in edgeR. Differential expression analysis was visualized by the R packages ggplot2, karyoploteR, and pheatmap (Gel and Serra, 2017; Kolde, 2019; Wickham, 2016). For the EC datasets, surrogate variables were estimated using the svaseq command in the sva package (Leek et al., 2020) and incorporated into the model design. Isogenic trisomy and disomy lines (contain the transactivator, but does not contain the transgene XIST) were used as dox controls. Genes differentially expressed in the transgenic and control lines after treatment of dox (i.e., changing in the same direction and more than half the magnitude of logFC is explained by dox) were excluded from pathway analysis. We used goana for GO enrichment analysis from the R package limma, correcting for transcript length bias (Ritchie et al., 2015), and visualized hierarchical trees with the AmiGO web application (Ashburner et al., 2000; Carbon et al., 2009; Gene Ontology Consortium, 2021).

Statistics—Graphs were generated using GraphPad Prism 9 and R. Specific details regarding statistical tests, value of n, and other graph features are detailed in the figure legends. RNA sequencing and analysis methods are detailed above.
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Highlights

- Trisomy 21 affects pathways for neurogenesis and angiogenesis in pluripotent cells
- Trisomic endothelial cells show delayed angiogenic response to form microvessels
- Expression of angiogenic pathways and processes are affected in endothelia
- Trisomy silencing approach indicates these are direct, cell-autonomous effects
Figure 1. Silencing of chr21 in human DS iPSCs is essentially complete by day 6

(A) Schematic of approach and kinetic analysis of XIST-mediated chr21 silencing of DS iPSCs.

(B) RNA FISH detects 3 APP transcription foci at early time points and later shows late-silencing gene APP (green) is essentially silenced by XIST RNA (red) at day 6. Scale bar, 10 um.

(C) Immunofluorescence (top 4 panels) shows H2Ak119Ub (purple) induced by XIST RNA (red) in the nucleus, with OCT4 as a marker for pluripotency. Bottom 2 panels show H3K27me3 (green) with XIST RNA (red). Scale bar, 10 um.
(D) Quantification of APP gene silencing shows transcription foci from the XIST-coated allele are essentially silenced in ~90% of cells at day 6 (n = 300 cells/line).

(E) Enrichment of heterochromatin marks (H3K27me3 and H2Ak119Ub) in XIST+ cells in 4 transgenic lines (n = 100/line; means ± SDs).
Figure 2. Transcriptomic analysis of chr21 and genome-wide changes rapidly induced by silencing of extra chr21

(A) Experimental design in which parallel cultures of 4 isogenic XIST transgenic DS iPSC lines are examined with and without dox induction of “trisomy silencing.” Isogenic subclones carrying the TET3G transgene but not XIST with and without dox were used as treatment controls and analyzed in parallel.

(B) Principal component analysis of 4 transgenic lines of PC1 (x axis) and PC2 (y axis). XIST and TET3G RNAs were excluded from this analysis.

(C) Ideogram of chr21 and expression of genes across the chromosome. Red line indicates the theoretical one-third reduction in expression (adjusted for 70% of XIST-expressing cells) and each dot is scaled to $-\log_{10}$ (FDR) values.

(D) Volcano plot of all expressed genes detected. Vertical lines represent the theoretical one-third reduction or increase in fold change; horizontal line is the FDR value of 0.05 cutoff for differential expression. Dots represent individual genes with chr21 genes in red, FDR <0.05 in orange, and FDR >0.05 in light gray.

(E) Example of local regression plot showing expression changes across chr3 compared to the Letourneau et al. (2014) dataset. Pearson correlations (rho) are reported above each plot. Additional comparisons are graphed in Figure S1B.

(F) Chr21 genes CXADR and SOD1 expression before and after silencing (left). Non-chr21 genes ANGPT1 and NOTCH3 expression before and after silencing (right).
Figure 3. Correcting chr21 dosage upregulates neuro- and angio-related gene sets, and downregulates Notch signaling pathway.

(A) Hierarchical tree of biological processes with enriched GO terms among upregulated genes. Colors cluster by similar terms (pink, angiogenesis; blue, neurogenesis; green, cytoskeleton organization and extracellular matrix [ECM]; purple, response to stimulus).

(B) Largest GO term clusters were relating to neurogenesis, response to stimulus, and angiogenesis. Genes enriched in these terms show large overlaps, which are depicted in the Venn diagram.
(C) Subset of significant GO terms relating to neuro- and angio-related terms (\(XIST^+/XIST^-\); FDR < 0.05). Notably, \(XIST^+\) cells show enhancement of neuro- and angio-related pathways and downregulate negative regulation of neural development.

(D) Notch genes significantly up- and downregulated after silencing the extra chr21 (\(XIST^+/XIST^-\)). Downregulated genes that were significantly enriched in the Notch pathway in \(XIST^+\) cells are highlighted in the red box (GO:0007219, GO:0008593; FDR < 0.05).

(E) Heatmap of Z score-normalized gene expression enriched in angiogenesis-related gene sets (row, clone; column, gene). Rows were clustered by expression. Relevant GO ID and terms are listed below heatmap.
Figure 4. Endothelial cell differentiation of DS-iPSCs

(A) Diagram showing the close developmental relationship between hematopoietic and endothelial cell developmental pathways. Defects in hematopoietic lineage cells were characterized by Chiang et al. (2018). Areas of interest (i.e., production of EPCs from the hemangioblast and EC function) highlighted in red boxes.

(B) Experimental schematic of endothelial cell differentiation and downstream assays. Dox is treated at day 0 of differentiation and the EPC population is assessed before purification. After purification, EPCs are matured and later tested for angiogenic function.
(C) Representative flow analysis of CD31$^+$ and CD34$^+$ cell populations. Relevant CD31$^+$CD34$^+$ endothelial progenitor cells are in quadrant 2. $XIST^-$ (red) and $XIST^+$ cells (blue) are overlaid.

(D) Quantification of the CD31$^+$CD34$^+$ population of each sample (−/+ dox; paired t test; means ± SDs).

(E) Immunofluorescence staining of endothelial cell specific markers and RNA FISH of $XIST$ (scale bar, 10 μm).
Figure 5. T21 in endothelial cells results in delayed early response to angiogenic signals

(A) Experimental schematic of tube formation assay and analysis.
(B) Representative image of endothelial cells after 1 h of incubation on Matrigel. Calcein AM was used to visualize tube formation. Dox treatment controls were performed in parallel (T21 and D21) in Figure S4B. Scale bar, 1,000 μm.
(C) Quantification of features presented during the tube formation using Angiogenesis Analyzer of XIST− (black) and XIST+ (pink) cells. This experiment was conducted across all 4 transgenic lines and repeated 3 times (paired t test; means ± SDs).
(D) Representative image of tube formation from $XIST^{-}$ and $XIST^{+}$ cells after 12 h of incubation. Scale bar, 1,000 μm.

(E) Quantification of tube formation from images using Angiogenesis Analyzer after 12 h of incubation in $XIST^{-}$ (black) and $XIST^{+}$ (pink) cells (n = 4; means ± SDs).
Figure 6. Silencing the extra chr21 in DS endothelial cells perturbs genes relating to cell projections and cell adhesion

(A) Ideogram of chr21 gene expression in DS endothelial cells (XIST+/XIST−). Red line represents the theoretical one-third reduction in gene expression. Significant genes are in blue (FDR <0.05), with other expressed genes in gray.

(B) Volcano plot of all genes detected. Vertical lines flanking zero on the x axis represent the theoretical one-third reduction or increase in fold change; horizontal line is the value for cutoff for differential expression (FDR <0.05). Dots represent individual genes. FDR <0.05 in orange with chr21 genes in red, and FDR >0.05 in light gray.

(C) Significant GO terms enriched in the endothelial dataset. Terms are ordered by the direction of fold change and log10 (FDR) value. Many terms shared words relating to projection or patterning (in red) among the upregulated gene sets and the few downregulated gene sets were related to cell adhesion (red box).

(D) Genes that were enriched in upregulated GO terms involving branching morphogenesis. All genes met significance threshold (FDR <0.05).
## KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE            | SOURCE                          | IDENTIFIER                      |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| **Antibodies**                 |                                 |                                 |
| anti-BrdU                       | Sigma-Aldrich                   | Cat#: B8434; RRID: AB_476811    |
| anti-CD31 (rabbit polyclonal)   | Abcam                           | ab28364                          |
| anti-H2Aki119Ub (rabbit monoclonal) | Cell Signaling              | Cat#: 8240; RRID: AB_10891618  |
| anti-H3K27me3 (rabbit polyclonal) | Millipore                     | Cat#: 07-449; RRID: AB_310624  |
| anti-KDR (mouse monoclonal)    | Millipore                       | Cat#: 05-554; RRID: AB_309800   |
| anti-OCT4 (goat polyclonal)     | Santa Cruz Biotechnology        | Cat#: sc-8629; RRID: AB_2167705 |
| anti-ve-Cadherin (mouse monoclonal) | Santa Cruz Biotechnology      | Cat#: sc-9989; RRID: AB_2077957|
| anti-vWF (rabbit polyclonal)    | Sino Biological Inc            | Cat#: 10973-T26; RRID: AB_2860257|
| FITC-CD34                       | Miltenyi Biotec                 | Cat#: 130-113-740; RRID: AB_2726280|
| PE-CD31                         | Miltenyi Biotec                 | Cat#: 130-110-807; RRID: AB_2657280|
| Vinculin                        | Santa Cruz Biotechnology        | Cat#: sc-73614; RRID: AB_1131294|
| **Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins** |                             |                                 |
| CalceinAM                       | Invitrogen                      | C3099                            |
| CD34 MicroBead Kit, UltraPure, human | Miltenyi Biotec               | 130-100-453                      |
| CHIR99021                       | Tocris Bioscience               | 4423                             |
| Corning® Collagen I, Rat Tail, 100mg | Corning                      | 354236                           |
| Dnase I                         | Roche                           | 4716728001                       |
| EDTA                            | Invitrogen                      | 15575020                         |
| EGM-2 Bullet Kit                | Lonza                           | CC-3162                          |
| Essential 8 Medium              | ThermoFisher                    | A1517001                         |
| Fc Receptor Block               | Miltenyi Biotec                 | 130-059-901                      |
| HBSS, no calcium, no magnesium, no phenol red | Invitrogen                  | 14175095                         |
| MACS SmartStrainers (30 μm)     | Miltenyi Biotec                 | 130-110-915                      |
| MS Columns                      | Miltenyi Biotec                 | 130-042-201                      |
| RNasin Plus                     | Promega                         | N2615                            |
| Rock Inhibitor, Y-27632         | Tocris Bioscience               | 1254                             |
| Trizol Reagent                  | ThermoFisher                    | 15596018                         |
| TrypLE Express                  | ThermoFisher                    | 12604013                         |
| VEGF165                         | PEPROTECH                        | 100-20                           |
| Vitronectin                     | ThermoFisher                    | A14700                           |
| XIST, Stellaris FISH Probe      | Biosearch Technologies          | SMF-2038-1                       |
| **Critical commercial assays**  |                                 |                                 |
| Fragment Analyzer               | Advanced Analytical Technologies, Inc | N/A     |
| NEBNext® Multiplex Oligos for Illumina® | New England Biolabs, Inc              | E6609S                          |
| NEBNext® Poly (A) mRNA Magnetic Isolation Module | New England Biolabs, Inc | E7490L                           |
| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| NEBNext® Ultra™ II Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina® | New England Biolabs, Inc | E7760 |
| RNeasy Mini Kit | Qiagen | 74104 |

**Deposited data**

- **Human reference genome NCBI build 38, GRCh38**
  - Source: Genome Reference Consortium
  - Identifier: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/grc/human](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/grc/human)

- **RNA-seq experiments**
  - This paper: GEO: GSE166849

- **GEDDs RNA-seq dataset**
  - Letourneau et al., 2014: GEO: GSE55426

- **iPSC-derived BMEC RNA-seq dataset**
  - Vatine et al., 2017: GEO: GSE97324

- **HUVEC, HSVEC, HPAEC, hiPSC, and iPSC-derived EC RNA-seq dataset**
  - Thoma et al., 2016: GEO: GSE84385

**Experimental models: Cell lines**

- **Parental DS iPSC clone**
  - Park et al. (2008): DS1-iPS4

- **XIST transgenic clone 1 (C1)**
  - Jiang et al. (2013): N/A

- **XIST transgenic clone 5 (C5)**
  - Jiang et al. (2013): N/A

- **XIST transgenic clone 5A (C5A)**
  - Czermiński and Lawrence (2020): N/A

- **XIST transgenic clone 7 (C7)**
  - This paper: N/A

- **Isogenic disomic line, 322-2 (Dis1)**
  - This paper: N/A

- **Isogenic disomic line, 322-3 (Dis2)**
  - This paper: N/A

- **Isogenic disomic line, 32,316 (Dis3)**
  - This paper: N/A

- **Isogenic trisomic line, 31627H (Tri1)**
  - Czermiński and Lawrence (2020): N/A

- **Isogenic trisomic line, 32436B (Tri2)**
  - This paper: N/A

**Software and algorithms**

- **AmiGO-2019-01-01**
  - Ashburner et al. (2000); Carbon et al. (2009); Gene Ontology Consortium (2021): [http://amigo.geneontology.org/amigo](http://amigo.geneontology.org/amigo)

- **Angiogenesis Analyzer-v1.0.c - 03 Dec 2013**
  - Carpentier et al. (2012): [http://image.bio.methods.free.fr/ImageJ/?Angiogenesis-Analyzer-for-ImageJ](http://image.bio.methods.free.fr/ImageJ/?Angiogenesis-Analyzer-for-ImageJ)

- **edgeR-v3.34.0**
  - McCarthy et al. (2012); Robinson et al., 2010: [http://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/edgeR.html](http://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/edgeR.html)

- **featureCounts (subread)-v1.6.2**
  - Liao et al., (2014): [http://bioinf.wehi.edu.au/featureCounts/](http://bioinf.wehi.edu.au/featureCounts/)

- **Fiji-v2.1.0/1.53c**
  - Schindelin et al. (2012), (2015): [https://imagej.net/software/fiji/](https://imagej.net/software/fiji/)

- **FlowJo-v10.7.1**
  - Becton, Dickinson and Company: [https://www.flowjo.com/](https://www.flowjo.com/)

- **GraphPad Prism-v9.1.2**
  - GraphPad Software, LLC: [https://www.graphpad.com/](https://www.graphpad.com/)

- **ggplot2-v3.3.3**
  - Wickham (2016): [https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org/](https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org/)

- **HISAT2-v2.0.5**
  - Kim etal. (2019): [http://daehwankimlab.github.io/hisat2/](http://daehwankimlab.github.io/hisat2/)

- **karyoploteR-v1.18.0**
  - Gel and Serra (2017): [http://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/karyoploteR.html](http://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/karyoploteR.html)

- **limma-v3.48.0**
  - Ritchie et al. (2015): [https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/limma.html](https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/limma.html)

- **pheatmap-v1.0.12**
  - Kolde (2019): [https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=pheatmap](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=pheatmap)

- **R-v4.1.0**
  - R Core Team: [https://www.r-project.org/](https://www.r-project.org/)
| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| sva-v3.40.0         | Leek et al. (2020) | https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/sva.html |