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Abstract

The dispersion relation of electrostatic waves with phase velocities smaller than the electron thermal velocity is investigated in relativistic temperature plasmas. The model equations are the electron relativistic collisionless hydrodynamic equations and the ion non-relativistic Vlasov equation, coupled to the Poisson equation. The complex frequency of electrostatic modes are calculated numerically as a function of the relevant parameters $k\lambda_{De}$ and $ZT_e/T_i$ where $k$ is the wavenumber, $\lambda_{De}$, the electron Debye length, $T_e$ and $T_i$ the electron and ion temperature, and $Z$, the ion charge number. Useful analytic expressions of the real and imaginary parts of frequency are also proposed. The non-relativistic results established in the literature from the kinetic theory are recovered and the role of the relativistic effects on the dispersion and the damping rate of electrostatic modes is discussed. In particular, it is shown that in highly relativistic regime the electrostatic waves are strongly damped.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In this work, the dispersion relation of electrostatic modes in relativistic plasmas is presented. The relativistic effects could be defined by the ratio of the rest energy to the thermal energy of particles, $z_s = m_e c^2/T_e$ where $m_e$ and $T_e$ are the mass and the temperature in energy units of particle specie $s$, respectively, and $c$ is the speed of light. The validity of our model is restricted to wave phase velocities $\nu_q = \omega/k$ smaller than the electron thermal velocity $\nu_{Te} = \sqrt{T_e/m_e}$, where $\omega$ and $k$ are the frequency and the wavenumber of electrostatic modes.

Electrostatic and electromagnetic modes are plasma eigenmodes which have considerable importance in plasma physics and a great deal of attention has been paid to the study of these modes in various plasmas (classical, degenerate, relativistic…). Although they often exist in the form of small amplitude waves, they can also be driven with large amplitude by various linear and non-linear physical mechanisms. In this work, we are interested in electrostatic waves with small amplitude which can be accurately studied by the linear response theory. The spatiotemporal evolution of such plasma perturbations is studied about a global equilibrium.

In the literature, the electrostatic modes are investigated in the whole collisionality regime from the collisional regime defined in the wavenumber range $k\lambda_{mfp} << 1$, to the collisionless one ($k\lambda_{mfp} >> 1$) where $\lambda_{mfp}$ is the particle mean free path of the plasma particles. In the collisional regime the damping of these modes occurs through collisions between particles, while in the collisionless regime, the damping takes place more subtly through the Landau damping mechanism. In the latter case, the waves are damped when they exchange energy with quasi-resonant particles whose velocity component along the propagation direction ($x$-axis) is very close to the phase velocity, that is, $v_x \approx \omega/k$. This wave-particle energy is exchanged at the expense of the wave which is damped if the particle distribution function $f(\vec{v})$ has a negative derivative in the resonant region ($df/dv_x < 0$).

In this work, we deal with relativistic plasmas defined by arbitrary values of $z_s$. Such plasmas are for instance astrophysical plasmas (gamma-ray bursts, galaxy clusters, supernova shock…) and those produced in laboratories by high intensity laser pulses to achieve inertial confinement thermonuclear fusion. In addition, we restrict our analysis to collisionless plasma modes.
In non-relativistic plasmas, electrostatic waves have been studied extensively in the literature (Chen, 1984; Krall & Trivelpiece, 1973; Stix, 1992). The dispersion relations of these electrostatic modes were established from the Vlasov equation, which describes collisionless plasmas at the microscopic level. The phase velocity $v_p$ and the Landau damping $\gamma$ were numerically calculated as functions of $kT_e$ and $ZT_i/T_i$, where $\lambda_{De}$ is the electron Debye length, $T_e$ and $T_i$ the electron and ion temperature, and $Z$, the ion charge number. To our knowledge, the determination of $\gamma$ with respect to these two parameters is still an open problem in relativistic plasmas for low-frequency electrostatic waves. For high-frequency electrostatic (plasma waves) and electromagnetic modes recent work has been developed in Refs. (Fichtner & Schlickeiser, 1995; Schlickeiser & Kneller, 1997; Melrose, 1999; Bergman & Eliasson, 2001; Podesta, 2008; Bers et al., 2009; Schlickeiser, 2010; Zhang et al., 2013; López et al., 2014) in the context of laser thermonuclear fusion. Our work is therefore an extension of these works to low-frequency spectrum.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the equations of the model and in Section 3, the dispersion relation of the plasma modes and analytic solutions are proposed. Section 4 is dedicated to the discussion of the numerical results and we summarize the main results obtained in this work in the last section.

2. EQUATIONS OF THE MODEL

To study the electrostatic modes in plasmas, the kinetic theory is the most appropriate approach used in the literature. This approach is self-consistent, that is, it overcomes the determination of closure relations as in the hydrodynamic equations. In particular, this theory accounts for the damping of electrostatic modes via wave-particle resonance mechanism. The kinetic equation used is the Vlasov equation (Bendib-Kalache et al., 2004) that is adapted to describe collisionless plasmas. In contrast to the kinetic approach, in this work we use the hydrodynamic theory to study the electron gas in relativistic plasmas. It is well-known that fluid equations are simpler to use than the kinetic equations. The latter includes seven independent variables, namely the positions $\vec{r}$, the velocity $\vec{v}$, and the time $t$, rather than only four ($\vec{r}$, $\vec{v}$ and $t$) for the fluid equations. Further, to study collisionless plasma modes, the kinetic theory involves unavoidably pole integrals due to the wave-particle resonance which are complicated to calculate in the complex plane, whereas the fluid theory overcomes this difficulty since the approach is macroscopic. Thus, the fluid approach could simplify the calculations of the dispersion relations of the plasma modes and it constitutes a better alternative to the full kinetic treatment of the problem. For the electrons, the equations of the model are the three lower order hydrodynamic equations and for the ions we use the Vlasov equation. Both ion and electron equations are coupled to the Poisson equation. In addition, we assume that the ion gas is non-relativistic and therefore the contribution of ions to the dispersion relation is known in the literature. To study the dispersion relation of electrostatic waves we use as usual their perturbed form about plasma equilibrium. The non-perturbed form (Tsypin et al., 1999) of the collisionless relativistic hydrodynamic equations is presented in Appendix A. Their perturbed expression about equilibrium in the spatial and temporal Fourier space ($x \leftrightarrow k$, $t \leftrightarrow \omega$), can be deduced from Eqs. (A2)–(A4). For the density and momentum Eqs. (A2) and (A3), the results are straightforward:

\[ -i\omega\delta n_e + i\kappa_0 T_e \delta \vec{V}_e = 0, \]

\[ -i\omega n_e \delta V_e = -i\kappa_0 T_e \delta T_e - i\kappa_0 \delta n_e, \]

\[ -i\kappa \delta \Pi + \frac{\kappa}{c^2} \delta q_e + n_0 q_e \delta E, \]

where $G = K_3(\zeta_e)/K_2(\zeta_e)$, $K_n(\zeta_e)$ being the modified Bessel function of nth order and $\zeta_e = m_e c^2/T_e$, the electron relativistic parameter. The other variables have their usual meaning, that is, $\delta n_e$, $\delta T_e$, $\delta V_e$, $\delta E$, $\delta \Pi$, and $\delta q_e$ represent the density, the temperature, the fluid velocity, the electric field, the stress tensor, and the heat flux, respectively. For the energy Eq. (A4) the first term in the left hand side requires some algebra. Its perturbed form is

\[
\delta \left[ n_e \frac{d}{dt} (mc^2 G) \right] = n_0 mc^2 \frac{dG}{d\zeta} \left( -\zeta_e \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \right) \delta T_e \delta \zeta_e.
\]

Using the recursive relations on the modified Bessel functions,

\[
z_e dK_3/d\zeta_e + 3K_3 = -\zeta_e K_2 \text{ and } z_d K_2/d\zeta_e - 2K_2 = -\zeta_e K_3,
\]

we easily deduce $dG/d\zeta_e = G^2 - 1 - 5/\zeta_e G$. With the use of this transformation, the perturbed form of (A4) is readily obtained

\[ -i\omega n_e \kappa_0 \delta T_e + i\kappa_0 T_e \delta V_e = -i\kappa \delta q_e, \]

where $h_s = \zeta_e^2 (1 - G_i^2) + 5\zeta_e G_i - 1$. To be self-consistent we add to Eqs. (1)–(3), Poisson equation

\[ i\kappa \delta E = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} \left( q_e \delta n_e + q_i \delta n_i \right). \]

In Eqs. (1)–(4), the subscript $e$ stands for electrons (e) and ions ($i$), the subscript 0 for the background physical quantities and the perturbed quantities are denoted by $\delta$. We have supposed that the electric field is longitudinal, that is, $\delta E(x, t)$ is along the x-axis and in the Fourier space the perturbed quantities stand as $\delta X \sim \exp(-i\omega t + ikx)$. The plasma is assumed at rest ($\vec{V}_{e0} = 0$) and its equilibrium state is defined by the electron Maxwell–Boltzmann–Jüttner equation, $f_{MBJ}(y)$ (Jüttner, 1911). His equilibrium function valid from the non-relativistic limit ($\zeta_e \to \infty$) to the
 ultra-relativistic limit \((z_e \rightarrow 0)\), is
\[
I_1 = K_2(z_e)/z_e, I_2 = K_2(z_e)(-1 + z_e G_i)/z_e^2,
\]
\[
I_3 = K_2(z_e)(1 + z_e + (3 - z_e) G_i)/z_e^2,
\]
\[
I_4 = K_2(z_e)(z_e G_i - 1 - z_e)/z_e^2,
\]
\[
I_5 = K_2(z_e)(z_e + 3 G_i)/z_e^2,
\]
\[
A_1 = I_1 (l_3^0 - l_1^0) + I_2 (l_4^0 - l_1^0) / (l_1 I_3 - l_2 I_4),
\]
\[
A_2 = I_1 (l_3^0 - l_2^0) / (l_1 I_3 - l_2 I_4),
\]
\[
p_i(z_e) = \int_0^{\infty} x^2 \gamma^2 \exp(-z_e x) dx.
\]

It is important to note that the transport coefficients (8)–(11) were derived from the stationary Vlasov equation. The validity of the present model is therefore restricted to small phase velocity with respect to the thermal velocity, that is, \(\omega/k < v_{th}\). In this range of validity, Eqs. (1)–(3) are the counterpart to the perturbed relativistic Vlasov equation
\[
-i \omega \delta f + \frac{P_s}{\epsilon} \gamma \delta f + q_s \delta \varepsilon / \partial P_s = 0,
\]

since the closure relations (8)–(11) which include purely kinetic effects are exact expressions. Thus the dispersion relation could be calculated equivalently by using Eq. (12) or Eqs. (1)–(3). To our knowledge, this equivalence between kinetic and hydrodynamic treatments in collisionless plasmas was demonstrated for the first time by Hammett & Perkins (1990) in non-relativistic plasmas.

3. RELATIVISTIC DISPERSION RELATION AND ANALYTIC SOLUTIONS

In this section, we deal with the dispersion relation of low-frequency electrostatic waves. We assume that the ions are non-relativistic \((z_i >> 1)\), while the electrons have arbitrary relativistic regime that is, they are defined for arbitrary values of the relativistic parameter \(z_e\). From Eqs. (1)–(4), (6) and (7), and the well-known non-relativistic plasma dispersion function for ions \(Z_i(\omega/kv_{th})\), the derivation of the dielectric function is straightforward and it reads
\[
D(\omega, \vec{k}) = 1 - \frac{A_{ki}}{2k^2\lambda_{ki}^2} - \frac{A_{he}}{2k^2\lambda_{he}^2},
\]

where
\[
A_{he} = 2\left[ 1 - 2z_e^{\lambda_{he}} G_i - i\sqrt{2z_e^{\lambda_{he}}} \xi_e n_k + 2z_e^{\lambda_{he}} \alpha_{he} \right],
\]
\[
\alpha_{he} = \frac{\sqrt{2z_e^{\lambda_{he}}} (1 - \alpha_{he}) / (1 - \alpha_{he} + i\sqrt{2z_e^{\lambda_{he}}} K_{he})} {h_e \sqrt{2z_e^{\lambda_{he}}}}.
\]
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and

\[ A_{ki} = \frac{d\xi}{d\xi}. \]  

The subscripts \( k \) and \( h \) stand for kinetic and hydrodynamic descriptions. In Eqs. (13)–(15) we used the normalized phase velocity \( \xi_k = \omega/\sqrt{2k}\nu_h \) and we recall the expression of the plasma dispersion function (Fried & Conte, 1961)

\[ Z_s(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\exp(-x^2)}{x} dx. \]

For longitudinal electrostatic modes the dispersion relation is

\[ D(\omega, k) = 1 - \frac{A_{ki}}{2k^2\lambda_D^2} - \frac{A_{he}}{2k^2\lambda_0^2} = 0, \]  

and we should mention that it is valid for \( \xi_k < 1 \) and arbitrary values of \( \xi_k, k\lambda_D, \) and \( k\lambda_{Di}. \)

We can transform analytically Eq. (13) by limiting the spectrum to the phase velocity range, \( \nu_h << \omega/k << \nu_{ce} \). This limitation corresponds to the usual ion-acoustic and ion plasma waves with arbitrary \( k \lambda_D \) and \( k \lambda_{Di}. \) Performing a Taylor expansion of the electron and ion parts of Eq. (13) with the use of the ordering, \( \xi_k << 1 \) and \( \xi_k >> 1 \) we obtain the following real and imaginary parts of the dielectric function

\[ D_i(\omega, k) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2k\xi Z_s^2}} \times \omega \left[ \tau^{3/2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \mu \frac{1}{k^2\lambda_D^2} \omega^3) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\mu S} \right], \]

where \( \mu = m_i/Zm_e, \tau = ZT_e/T_i, C_s = \sqrt{ZT_e/m_e} \), and

\[ R(\omega) = \frac{(1 - G_{ce}^2 + \eta^2_z^2) + (\alpha_e - 1)(\omega_e - 1)}{\eta^2_z^2 + 2(\eta^2_e + 2\eta_k \lambda_D^2) - \omega_e(\alpha_e - 1) - \omega_e}, \]

\[ S(\omega) = \frac{\eta K_Tz_e + (\alpha_e - 1)(\omega_e - 1)}{K_T \sqrt{\xi_s^2}}, \]

are two coefficients that include relativistic contributions through \( G \) and the transport coefficients. Throughout this work we assume that the wavenumber \( k \) is real while the frequency is complex (\( \omega = \omega_e + i\omega_i \)). Within the approximation of a weak damped waves \( |\omega_i| \ll \omega_e \), Eq. (17) becomes

\[ D_i(\omega, k) = 0 \] and we get the analytic solution,

\[ \omega_e^2 = \frac{k^2\lambda_D^2(1 + k^2\lambda_D^2)}{2R}, \]

\[ \times \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{\omega/(\omega_e)} \left[ \frac{3(1 + k^2\lambda_D^2) + \tau}{(1 + k^2\lambda_D^2)^2} \right] \right\}^2. \]

The damping rate of the electrostatic waves can be calculated from the relation \( D_i(\omega, k) + iD_i(\omega, k) = 0 \). The weak damping approximation \( |\omega_i| << \omega_e \) yields at the first order,

\[ \omega_i = -D_i(\omega, k) \frac{\partial D_i(\omega, k)}{\partial \omega_e}, \]

which gives the expression

\[ \omega_i = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{8}} \frac{1}{(1 + k^2\lambda_D^2)^{5/4}} \omega_e. \]

\[ \left[ \frac{\tau^{3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu}{k^2\lambda_D^2} \omega^3\right)}{(1 + k^2\lambda_D^2)} \right] \times \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\omega/(\omega_e)} \left[ \frac{3(1 + k^2\lambda_D^2) + \tau}{(1 + k^2\lambda_D^2)^2} \right] \right] \times \left[ 1 + \frac{3}{\omega/(\omega_e)} \left[ \frac{1 + k^2\lambda_D^2}{1 + k^2\lambda_D^2} \right] \right] \]

We can go a step further by limiting the analysis to ion acoustic waves in the spectrum range \( k \lambda_D << 1 \) and to the ion plasma waves in the spectrum range \( k \lambda_{Di} << k << k \lambda_{Di}^{-1} \). We perform the corresponding Taylor expansion obtaining for the ion-acoustic waves

\[ \omega_i^2 = k^2C_s^2 \left( 1 + \frac{3}{\tau} \right), \]

\[ \frac{\omega_i}{\omega_e} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{8}} \frac{1}{k\lambda_D^2} \left[ \tau^{3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{3}{2} \frac{\tau}{\tau^2}\right) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\tau S}} \right] \]

and for the ion plasma waves

\[ \omega_i^2 = \omega_{pe}^2(1 + 3k^2\lambda_D^2), \]
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The numerical fits of $R$ and $S$ by expressions (29) and (30) are obtained numerically with the quasi-Newton algorithm. The non-relativistic asymptotic values are analytically calculated, $R(z_e > 1) \rightarrow 7\pi/12 - 1 \approx 0.83$ and $S(z_e > 1) \rightarrow \sqrt{\pi}/2 \approx 1.25$, and used also to build the numerical fits. In Figure 1 we represent these two coefficients as a function of $z_e$. We have checked that they fit accurately the numerical results with a precision better than 5% in the range, $10^{-4} < z_e < 10^4$.

4. NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS AND DISCUSSION

We have solved numerically in the complex plane ($\omega_r$, $\omega_i$) the dispersion relation (17). The results obtained are displayed in Figures 2 and 3.

1. First, we present in Figure 2, the numerical results in the non-relativistic limit. This limit is accurately reached for $z_e > 100$. We can see that the results are in good agreement with those obtained from the usual non-relativistic kinetic dispersion relation for longitudinal modes,

$$1 - \frac{1}{2k^2\lambda_{De}^2} \frac{dZ_i}{d\xi} - \frac{1}{2k^2\lambda_{De}^2} \frac{dZ_e}{d\xi} = 0. \quad (31)$$

For $\omega_r/\omega_{pe}$, the numerical fits of Krall and Trivelpiece (1973) agree well with numerical results in the range $k\lambda_{De} << 1$, whereas for $\omega_i/\omega_r$, large discrepancy is observed. Moreover the numerical fits of (Ichimaru, 1973; McKinstrie et al. 1999) are accurate for $k\lambda_{De} < 0.4$. We should note that in Figure 2 we have used a large value of $\tau$, for strongly isothermal plasmas and small $Z$, the difference between the numerical fits and the numerical results increases significantly.

2. For lower values of the relativistic parameter ($z_e < 100$), the relativistic effects should be accounted for. We can
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The plasma parameters are and the dotted curves to the approximate analytic solution (Eq. 25). Fig. 3.

(a) Normalized frequency (ω/ωpe) as a function of the normalized wavenumber kλDe. The blue curve corresponds to the present numerical results (Eq. 17), the dashed red curve to the analytical solution (Eq. 22) and the dotted curves to the approximate analytic solution (Eq. 25). The plasma parameters are τ = 10 and ze = 100, 30, and 0.3. (b) Damping rate (−ω/ωi) as a function of the normalized wavenumber kλDe. The blue curves correspond to the present numerical results (Eq. 17), the dashed red curve to the analytical solution (Eq. 22) and the dotted curves to the approximate analytic solution (Eq. 26). The plasma parameters are τ = 10 and ze = 100, 30, and 0.3. (c) Normalized frequency (ω/ωpe) as a function of the normalized wavenumber kλDe. The blue curve corresponds to the present numerical results (Eq. 17), the dashed red curve to the analytical solution (Eq. 22) and the dotted curves to the approximate analytic solution (Eq. 25). The plasma parameters are τ = 1 and ze = 100, 50, and 0.3. (d) Damping rate (−ω/ωi) as a function of the normalized wavenumber kλDe. The blue curve corresponds to the present numerical results (Eq. 17), the dashed red curve to the analytical solution (Eq. 22) and the dotted curves to the approximate analytic solution (Eq. 25). The plasma parameters are τ = 1 and ze = 100, 50, and 0.3.

see in Figure 3a that the contribution of the relativistic effects to the real part of the frequency ωi(kλDe) is not significant [typically for kλDe ≈ 0.1, we have found that ωi(ze = 0.1) ≈ ωi(ze = 100) with a precision about 1%]. This weak difference is even less important when kλDe is increased. This behavior is corroborated by Eqs. (25) and (27) which shows that the dependence on ze is negligible.

For the damping rate of electrostatic waves (Fig. 3b) the relativistic effects are more significant only in strongly relativistic regime. We note that the relativistic effects tend to reduce the damping rate for weakly or moderately relativistic plasmas (typically for ze > 5). This reduction is however weak and it is even weaker for large ion charge number (τ >> 1). This weak dependence on ze is due to the weak modification of the reduced electron Maxwell–Boltzmann–Jüttner function FMBJ(vi) = ∫ f(v)dv where the relativistic effects for small resonant velocities vi ≈ ω/k << vi, decrease. Besides in Figures 3a and 3b, the approximate analytic solutions (25) and (26) are also represented and we can observe the very good agreement with the numerical solutions.

In contrast, for strongly relativistic plasmas (ze < 5) the relativistic effects tend to increase the electrostatic mode damping. This can be explained by the contribution of the viscosity dissipative effects to the electron Landau damping which increases considerably when ze increases. This can be explained by the behavior of the parameter S(ze) (see Fig. 1) which presents a minimum about ze ≈ 5. We can see that S(ze) decreases very slowly for decreasing ze in the moderate relativistic range and this makes clear the weak decreasing of the damping rate in this regime. From ze ≈ 5 it grows rapidly due to the rapid increase in the viscosity coefficient and correlatively this yields an increase of the damping rate in strongly relativistic range. In the article by Bers et al. (2009) the spectrum of electronic plasma waves in moderately relativistic plasmas is calculated. They found similar results for ωi(kλDe), that is, the relativistic effects do not significantly affect the real part of the dispersion relation. For the damping rate they found still the same behavior, that is, the damping rate decreases with decreasing ze. But in contrast to our results they found for these high-frequency modes an important reduction of the damping rate about one order of magnitude or more. Although it is not possible to compare
the damping of high- and low-frequency electrostatic modes this difference could be partially explained by the slope of the electronic distribution function in the resonance region (proportional to the damping rate), which is significantly lower for low-frequency waves than for high-frequency waves.

To be more complete, we give also in Figures 3c and 3d a numerical application for electron–proton plasmas in global equilibrium \((z = 1, T_e = T_i)\) which could be more relevant for cosmic plasmas. The results show that the damping is particularly strong while the phase velocity is close to the previous results. We should note that in Figure 3d we do not represent the analytic results because they present a large discrepancy from the numerical solution since they are derived within \(\omega_i/\omega_i \ll 1\), which is not fulfilled in this case.

The damping of low-frequency electrostatic waves is due to resonant interaction of waves with both ion and electron particles. Typically, the damping rate is proportional to \(\partial f / \partial V_i \mid v_i = v_{\text{ni}}\) and therefore for ions, the resonant particles \(v_i \approx \omega / k \gg v_{\text{ni}}\) give very small contribution to the total damping rate. This is due to the exponentially small amount of particles in this velocity range. On the other hand for electrons, \(v_e \approx \omega / k \ll v_{\text{ne}}\), thus the number of resonant particles is more important but the damping is still small, though not exponentially, since the derivative of \(f_{MBe}\) in this range of velocity is not significant. It results that the relative contribution of electrons and ions to the damping of electrostatic waves depend strongly on the plasma parameters (temperature, density...). We have found that the damping through electrons is generally negligible. However for large values of \(r\) and in the range \(k \lambda_{De} \ll 1\), the damping through electrons prevails over the one through ions and this result does not depend on the relativistic parameter \(z_e\).

3. We are now interested on waves of very small phase velocity such as \(\omega / k \ll v_{\text{ni}}\). In this case, we just remark that our hydrodynamic formalism works well for electrons than ions. We have solved analytically Eq. (13) with the use of the conditions \(\xi_e \ll 1\) and \(\xi_i \ll 1\) and found the expression for the longitudinal dielectric function

\[
D(\omega, k) \approx 1 + \frac{1}{k^2 \lambda_{De}^2} + \frac{1}{k^2 \lambda_{De}^2}.
\]

(32)

This shows that these modes are dramatically damped. In this static limit the electrostatic field is screened within a radius equal to the well-known Debye length as in non-relativistic plasmas.

4. In this sub-section, we deal with the polytropic index and the fluid damping rate in relativistic plasmas. We can recast Eqs. (2) and (3) into one equation by eliminating the perturbed temperature, obtaining

\[
- i \omega G_e \delta E = - \frac{T_{le}}{m_e n_{le}} \Gamma \delta n_e - \nu \delta \nu_e + \frac{\nu}{m_e} \delta E,
\]

(33)

where

\[
\Gamma = 1 + \frac{2 \omega_e^2 v_e}{z_e} + \frac{\xi^2_1 (1 - \alpha e) k_{\text{pe}} + \alpha_e (1 - \alpha e)}{z_e k_{\text{pe}}^2 + h_{\text{pe}}^2 \xi^2_1},
\]

(34)

is the relativistic polytropic index and

\[
v = \frac{\omega_e}{\xi_e} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 \eta_e}} + \frac{\xi_{\text{pe}} (1 - \alpha e) z_e}{z_e k_{\text{pe}}^2 + h_{\text{pe}}^2 \xi^2_1},
\]

(35)

is the fluid collisionless damping rate. We present in Figure 4 the polytropic index as a function of the normalized phase velocity \(\xi_e\) for three values of \(z_e\). First, whatever the relativistic state, the isothermal value defined for \(\xi_e \rightarrow 0\) is recovered, that is, \(\Gamma = 1\), and when \(z_e\) decreases, \(\Gamma\) increases. Furthermore, we have checked numerically that the dependence of the fluid damping rate on \(\xi_e\) is negligible. Its value corresponds approximately to the stationary value: \(v(\xi_e = 0)/\sqrt{2} v_{\text{ne}} = 0.89\) for \(z_e = 100\) and \(z_e = 30\), and \(v(\xi_e = 0)/\sqrt{2} v_{\text{ne}} = 2.1\) for \(z_e = 0.3\).

In Figure 5 we represent the fluid damping rate as a function of the relativistic parameter \(z_e\). We have checked numerically that \(v(z_e)\) admits a flat minimum about \(z_e = 35.6\). This explains the variation of \(\omega_i\) with respect to \(z_e\). We have also calculated analytically the asymptotic limits and we found that in the ultra-relativistic limit the damping tends to \(v(z_e \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow (\pi / 2 \sqrt{2})(1 / \sqrt{z_e})\), whereas in the opposite limit it tends to \(v(z_e \rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow 29 \sqrt{\pi} / 60\). These two limits agree well with the numerical results. Moreover we just remark that the damping rate (35) vanishes as it should if the dissipative terms, that is, the viscosity \(\eta_e\) and the thermal conductivity \(K_{Te}\), are dropped.

\[
\text{Fig. 4. Polytropic index } \Gamma \text{ as a function of the normalized phase velocity } \xi_e \text{ for the three values of } z_e: z_e = 100 \text{ (blue curve), } z_e = 30 \text{ (dashed red curve), and } z_e = 0.3 \text{ (green curve).}
\]
In future inertial fusion plasmas the electron temperature could be moderately relativistic and we expect that the role of ion acoustic waves on the Brillouin backscattering instability should not be significant.
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APPENDIX A

Relativistic hydrodynamic equations in unmagnetized plasmas

The hydrodynamic equations describe the spatio-temporal evolution of the macroscopic parameters and they are derived from the kinetic theory. For unmagnetized plasmas with a spatial inhomogeneity along the $x$-axis, the electron relativistic kinetic equation is

$$\frac{\partial f_e}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial x} + \frac{e}{m_e c^2} \mathbf{p} \frac{\partial f_e}{\partial \mathbf{p}} + \frac{q_e}{m_e} \frac{\partial E}{\partial x} = C_e(f_e, f_i) + C_{\Pi}(f_e, f_i), \quad (A1)$$

where $f_x(p, x, t)$ is the electron distribution function, $\mathbf{E} = E(x, t)\hat{x}$ is the longitudinal electric field, $\mathbf{p} = \gamma m_e \mathbf{v}$ is the electron momentum, $\gamma = (1 - v^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$ is the relativistic factor, and $c$ is the speed of light. The right-hand side of Eq. (A1) accounts for the electron–ion and electron–electron collision operators, respectively. Their explicit forms are given for instance in Dzhavakhishvili and Tsintsadze (1973). The three lower moments of Eq. (A1) are the well-known conservative equations for the particle, momentum and energy. First, let us introduce the standard definitions for the electron density $n_e(x, t) = \int f_e d^3p$, the mean velocity $\mathbf{V}_e(x, t) = \int \mathbf{v} f_e d^3p / \int f_e d^3p$, and the temperature (in energy units) $T_e(x, t) = m_e c^2 (G - 1) - \int (\varepsilon - m_e^2 c^2) f_e d^3p / \int f_e d^3p$, where $G = K_3(z_e)/K_0(z_e)$, $K_n(z_e)$ being the modified Bessel function of nth order and $z_e = m_e c^2 / T_e$, the relativistic parameter. We assume that the mean velocity is along the $x$-axis and is non-relativistic ($V_e/c << 1$). The relativistic effects are therefore included only in the random part of the velocity, through the temperature $T_e$. Dropping the collisional operators in Eq. (A1), multiplying this equation by $1, \mathbf{p}$ and $(\varepsilon - m_e^2 c^2)$, and integrating over the momentum, we readily obtain the following collisionless relativistic equations

$$\frac{\partial n_e}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V}_e \frac{\partial n_e}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (A2)$$

$$n_e \frac{d}{dt} (m_e G V_e) = - \frac{\partial P_e}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \Pi_{xze}}{\partial x} + n_e q_e E = - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (V_e \Pi_{xze} + q_e) - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (2V_e q_e), \quad (A3)$$

$$n_e \frac{d}{dt} (m_e c^2 G) - n_e \frac{dT_e}{dt} - T_e \frac{dn_e}{dt} = - \frac{\partial q_{xe}}{\partial x}, \quad (A4)$$

where $(d/dt) = (\partial/\partial t) + (V_e \partial/\partial x), \quad P_e = c^2/3 \int p^2/\varepsilon f_e d^3p$ is the isotropic particle pressure, $\Pi_{xze} = c^3 \int 1/\varepsilon (p_x^2, p_y^2, -p_z^2/3) f_e d^3p$ is the $x$–$x$ component of the stress tensor and $q_{xe} = c^2 \int p_x^2 f_e d^3p$ is the $x$-component of the heat flux, where the prime denotes the rest frame of the electron gas. To derive Eqs. (A2)–(A4) we performed the Lorentz transformations $p_i = S_{ik} p_k + \gamma/c^2 \mathbf{V}_e \varepsilon_i$ and $\varepsilon = \gamma (\varepsilon_i + \mathbf{V}_e \cdot \mathbf{p}_i)$, where $S_{ik} = \delta_{ik} + (\gamma - 1) V_e V_i / V^2$. Equations (A2)–(A4) are collisionless hydrodynamic equations and the transport quantities $q_{xe}$ and $\Pi_{xze}$ are closure relations that one has to compute in the collisionless limit as functions of the hydrodynamic variables $n_e, V_e$, and $T_e$. The resulting equations, coupled to the Poisson equation are self-consistent set of equations. As far as we know, the collisionless relativistic closure relations $q_{xe}$ and $\Pi_{xze}$ are derived only for perturbed plasmas with respect to equilibrium.