Long noncoding RNAs implicated in embryonic development in Ybx1 knockout zebrafish
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Y-box-binding protein 1 (Ybx1, YB-1), also known as Y-box transcription factor, is a member of a large family of proteins harboring cold shock domain, and it has been proved to have multiple functions involved in a variety of biological processes (BPs) and pathways, including proliferation, differentiation, regulation of apoptosis, translation, stress response, etc. This protein was found in diverse vertebrates and extensively studied in Homo sapiens because of its great clinical values. For instance, overexpression of Ybx1 was found in tumor cells and is associated with tumor phenotype [1]. In addition, Ybx1 is demonstrated to harbor the capacity of preventing oncogenic cell transformation via the phosphatidylinositol 3’-kinase(P13K)-Akt signaling pathway [2]. In as much as the crucial role of Ybx1 is implicated in tumorigenesis, this protein has been regarded as a well-established biomarker and novel therapeutic target in cancer research [3–6].

In addition to its medicinal benefits, the multifunctional Ybx1 also has been widely used in the study of vertebrate development because it has been proved to be an essential gene to most vertebrate organisms for growth and development. Typically, many studies showed that ybx1 knockout in mice could result in severe disorders in embryonic development and even death [7,8]. On the one hand, Ybx1 was regarded as a
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crucial protein in early embryogenesis because Ybx1-deficient mice were found to die at a very early stage of embryogenesis [8]. On the other hand, it was reported that ybx1-/- embryos exhibit severe growth retardation and progressive mortality after 13.5 embryonic days, which suggests its important role in late-stage embryonic development in mice [7]. Moreover, maternal Ybx1 has been demonstrated to play a safeguard to protect oocyte maturation and maternal-to-zygotic transition in zebrafish [9]. The similar roles of Ybx1 were found in Kumari et al.’s study [10]. It was shown that Ybx1 is an essential protein to regulate the maternal control of the Nodal signaling pathway, which has been reported to be essential to the axis formation and germ layer specification in zebrafish [10].

In summary, Ybx1 as a key regulator in embryogenesis and reproduction, as well as development, has already been well demonstrated. However, the underlying mechanism of the processes and pathways mediated by Ybx1 still has much room for deep investigation. For example, Ybx1 exerts multiple functions depending on different subcellular localizations; however, what triggers the translocation of Ybx1 from cytoplasm into nucleus has not been fully understood. Moreover, does noncoding transcriptome participate in Ybx1-mediated regulation in embryogenesis and development?

Noncoding RNA indeed has emerged in recent years as a crucial regulatory layer to participate in and govern diverse BPs and pathways. In particular, long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) becomes a research hotspot in many fields because it has been demonstrated as a multifunctional regulator involved in a range of BPs and pathways and is associated with diverse diseases [11–13]. However, regarding whether lncRNA is important to vertebrate development is always debatable, particularly in embryogenesis. Goudarzi et al.’s study [14] knocked down 24 specific lncRNAs in zebrafish using CRISPR/Cas9 to investigate their functions in embryo development. The result is not so satisfying because no lncRNAs are found to have overt functions in zebrafish embryogenesis, viability and fertility. Notably, a recent review by Suresh et al. [15] demonstrated that a variety of noncoding RNAs mediate Ybx1 signaling, which provides a new perspective to investigate the role of lncRNA in vertebrate development. Hence Ybx1 might be a good breakthrough to uncover the potential lncRNAs and their possible roles in embryonic development. Our study also might be regarded as complementary research providing clues to support the view that some lncRNAs are important to vertebrate development.

In short, our study used Ybx1 as a springboard to investigate the relationship of lncRNAs with zebrafish embryonic development, and successfully uncovered several relevant lncRNAs and their possible roles in it. We believe our study provides new insights into the lncRNA-mediated mechanisms underlying development in Ybx1-deficient zebrafish larvae.

Materials and methods

Animals

The wild-type zebrafish (Danio rerio) AB strain was used in this study. Zebrafish were maintained in the ZebTEC multi-linking rack system (Tecniplast, Buguggiate, Italy) under an artificial photoperiod of 14 h light/10 h dark. The temperature, pH and conductivity of the system were 28 ± 1 °C, 7.5 and 400 µS·cm⁻¹, respectively. The fish were fed twice a day with Otohime fish diet (Marubeni Nisshin Feed, Tokyo, Japan) by the Tritone automatic feeding system (Tecniplast).

All experiments were performed under a license from the Government of Macau Special Administrative Region and approved by Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee of the University of Macau.

Larvae RNA preparation and RNA sequencing

Larvae from wild-type zebrafish of AB strain (day5+ybx1+/+ , day6+ybx1+/+) and ybx1 homozygous mutants (day5+ybx1-/-), which were established by transcription activator-like effector nucleases, were collected for larval RNA preparation. Around 15–20 zebrafish larvae of each type were collected and pooled for a sufficient amount of RNA per sample. A total of nine samples of day5+ybx1+/+ (three duplicates), day6+ybx1+/+ (three duplicates) and day5+ybx1-/- (three duplicates) were included in RNA sequencing (RNA-seq).

Total RNA was extracted using Tri-Reagent (Molecular Research Center, Cincinnati, OH) according to the protocol of the manufacturer and our previous report [16]. Total RNA was then treated with DNase for 10 min at 37 °C to remove genomic DNA [10 µg RNA in 100 µL reaction buffer with 2 U DNase I from NEB (Ipswich, MA)] followed by phenol-chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation.

Basic RNA-seq data analysis

Initially, the raw data were processed using Trimmomatic v0.36 (ILLUMINACLIP: TruSeq3-PE.fa:2:30:10:8:true SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15 LEADING:3 TRAILING:3 MINLEN:50) to remove low-quality reads and adaptors. Clean reads were aligned against zebrafish genome (Ensembl release 91) [17] using STAR v202001 [18] with two-pass mode. Then, the alignment results were assembled into transcripts using StringTie v1.3.3b [19] with gene annotation...
In this study, we aimed at removing protein-coding sequences as much as possible. Then, the remaining unannotated transcripts with length larger than 200 nucleotides (nt) and longest ORF less than 100 residues were retained. In addition, Coding Potential Calculator [24] was used in the second trimming of protein-coding sequences. Finally, the remaining transcripts were translated (stop-to-stop codon) using an in-house perl script and then subjected to the Pfam database searches to search for sequences probably encoding protein domains or motifs. The unmatched transcripts were retained as the final high-confidence dataset of lncRNAs.

Differential expression analysis

The assembled transcripts in each sample were quantified by featurecounts (v1.5.3) [26]. Then, the expression profile was normalized using a median of ratios method by R package DESEQ2 [27]. Differential expression analysis was also performed using DESEQ2. Differentially expressed (DE) RNAs were obtained by cutoff of adjusted $P < 0.05$ (Benjamini–Hochberg correction) [27].

Functional prediction of lncRNAs based on network analysis

To investigate the possible functions of lncRNAs implicated in Ybx1 gene knockout, we performed a weighted coexpression network analysis based on the DE lncRNAs and mRNAs using a package WGCNA in R [28]. Before WGCNA analysis, the expression profiles of lncRNAs and mRNAs were normalized using regularized log normalization in DESeq2. To construct the network and detect the modules related to traits (day5_/ybx1+/− and day6_/ybx1+/−), we used the function softConnectivity from WGCNA with the ‘randomly selected genes’ parameter set at 5000 and the power parameter precalculated by pickSoft-Threshold function of WGCNA. Then, the predicted modules of highly correlated RNAs were subjected to Metascape to search for relevant BPs and pathways. The intramodular connectivity of mRNA and lncRNA in trait-related modules was assessed using TOM (Topology Overlap Matrix) similarity, which was calculated by TOMsimilarityFromExpr of WGCNA. TOM similarity reflects the potential interactions between transcripts.

Prediction of lncRNA–protein interactions

The lncRNA–protein interactions were predicted using the carRAPID server (carRAPID signature: identification of ribonucleoproteins and RNA-binding regions). carRAPID (http://s.tartaglialab.com/page/carrapid_group) is an algorithm to estimate the binding propensity of protein–RNA pairs, which has been demonstrated as a program to predict protein–RNA associations with great accuracy.

Reverse transcription and real-time quantitative PCR

Reverse transcription was performed at 37 °C for 2 h in a total volume of 10 μL reaction solution containing 3 μg RNA, 0.5 μg oligo (dT), 1X moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (MMLV RT) buffer, 0.5 mM each dNTP, 0.1 mM dithiothreitol and 100 U MMLV RT (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). To validate the RNA-seq data, we determined the expression levels of several selected lncRNA transcripts by real-time quantitative PCR in both day5_/ybx1+/− and day5_/ybx1−/−, including MSTRG12630.1, MSTRG24792.1, ENSDART00000171757, MSTRG.30533.1 and MSTRG.33365.1. To study the correlation between the selected lncRNA and their targets, including duox (NADPH oxidase), noxo1a (NADPH oxidase organizer) and ybx1, we determined the mRNA expression levels of targets by real-time quantitative PCR after targeted lncRNA morpholino microinjection. The expression levels were normalized to that of the housekeeping gene ef1a. The standard for each gene was prepared by PCR amplification of cDNA fragments with specific primers (Table 1). The real-time quantitative PCR assay was performed on the CFX96 Real-time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) and repeated twice. All values were expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean ($n = 3$), and the data were analyzed by t-test using Prism 6 on Macintosh OS X (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

Morpholino knockdown and morphological analysis

To investigate the functions of three selected lncRNAs, ENSDART00000171757, MSTRG.30533.1 and MSTRG.33365.1, we synthesized 300 nmol morpholino and 100 nmol standard morpholino oligo by Gene Tools (Gene Tools, Philomath, OR, USA). The morpholino targeted reference. Finally, the assembled transcripts from each sample were merged into a consensus of final transcripts. The quality of the assembled transcripts was evaluated using REF-EVAL from DETONATE (v1.11) [20].
sequences for synthesis regarding the earlier lncRNA were represented in Table 2. For morpholino microinjection, three different doses (5, 10 and 20 ng) of morpholino were injected into one cell stage embryos for targeted lncRNA, respectively, within 30 min just after spawning. Equal amounts (5, 10 and 20 ng) of standard morpholino oligo were injected as control. On day 5, the embryo number was counted both with normal and deformed morphology individually for different morpholinos and different dose injections. The morphology of morpholino-injected larvae were photographed by Nikon SMZ18 microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

Immunoblotting

To further study the correlation between lncRNA ENSDART00000171757 and ybx1 expression at protein level, we used western blotting for evaluation of Ybx1 protein expression between morpholino-injected (ENSDART00000171757) and control morpholino-injected groups. The larvae on day 5 with normal morphology were collected for protein sample preparation. The Ybx1 antibodies are from GenScript in Nanjing, China.

In brief, larvae were lysed with 100 µL 1× SDS sample buffer (62.5 mm Tris–HCl, 1% w/v SDS, 10% glycerol, 5% mercaptoethanol, pH 6.8), and the lysates were heated at 95 °C for 10 min and then centrifuged 17 000 g for 15 min at 4 °C, followed by protein concentration measurement. The samples (total 100 µg proteins) from ENSDART00000171757_morpholino (MO) and control MO were separated on 12% polyacrylamide gels and transferred to poly(vinylidene difluoride) membranes. The membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat milk in 1× TBST for 1 h at room temperature. After washing three times with 1× TBST, the membranes were incubated with Ybx1 primary antibody (1 : 5000) and beta-actin primary antibody (1 : 2000) in 5 mL of 1× TBST with 5% nonfat milk overnight at 4 °C. They were washed with 1× TBST three times, followed by incubation with the horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1 : 2000) in 5 mL 1× TBST for 1 h at room temperature. After washing, the membranes were incubated with the SuperSignal West Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and signals were detected on the ChemiDoc MP imaging system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

Whole-mount in situ hybridization

To further study the spatial expression pattern for the three target lncRNAs, ENSDART00000171757, MSTRG.30533.1 and MSTRG.33365.1, whole-mount RNA in situ hybridization was undertaken for investigation. All their lncRNA

---

**Table 1.** Sequence information of primers for real-time quantitative PCR.

| Gene            | Primer ID | Strand | Sequence (5’–3’)                     | Size (bp) |
|-----------------|-----------|--------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| MSTRG.12630.1   | 4975      | Sense  | TAATATAGTCGCGCGCAGCCAG               | 94        |
| MSTRG.12630.1   | 4976      | Antisense | TAGAGTCCCCCTGTTTTTGT                 | 94        |
| MSTRG.24792.1   | 4977      | Sense  | CAGCAACAGTCACTCAACA                  | 143       |
| MSTRG.24792.1   | 4978      | Antisense | CTCCTCAAAGGCAAGTTGCCA               | 143       |
| ENSDART00000171757 | 4979   | Sense  | AACGAGGTGCTCCTCACCAG                | 134       |
| ENSDART00000171757 | 4980   | Antisense | CAGGATGGTCCCTCGGAAAGAG              | 134       |
| MSTRG.30533.1   | 4981      | Sense  | ACCAAATCAAGCGGGAGAGTTT              | 112       |
| MSTRG.30533.1   | 4982      | Antisense | TGAGGACCTCCGGCTTTCAC               | 112       |
| MSTRG.33365.1   | 5085      | Sense  | CTCCTGTGAACCGGAAAGAG                | 107       |
| MSTRG.33365.1   | 5086      | Antisense | TTTGAGGATTTCTGAAAGGATG              | 107       |
| duox            | 4963      | Sense  | CATGGATGGGGTTTATACTCGG              | 109       |
| duox            | 4964      | Antisense | TCTGTTCTTTGTTGGAACAGCA              | 109       |
| noxo1a          | 5573      | Sense  | ACACGCAAAAACATGCAAG                 | 131       |
| noxo1a          | 5574      | Antisense | CGTCGTCGCTATTCACATT                  | 131       |
| ybx1            | 1919      | Sense  | TCTGATTGCCTTTGTTTTTCTG              | 110       |
| ybx1            | 1920      | Antisense | CCCCTCTACACGTCAGACT                  | 110       |

**Table 2.** Morpholino information for three lncRNAs.

| Oligo name      | Quantity | Production number | Sequence (5’–3’) |
|-----------------|----------|-------------------|-----------------|
| MSTRG.30533.1   | 300 nmol | 04–13Aug18A       | GCTTGATTTGGTGGATTTCTAACCTG |
| MSTRG.33365.1   | 300 nmol | 05–13Aug18A       | TGGATTCCACATCACTGACTGATC |
| ENSDART00000171757 | 300 nmol | 06–13Aug18A       | ATACTGCAATCCTAACCCTGAGACT |

---
probes were transcribed in vitro and for storage at −80 °C. Embryos were under 0.003% 1-phenyl-2-thiourea treatment to remove pigment until the larvae reached day 5. On day 5, the ybx1+/− and ybx1−/− larvae were fixed in fresh 4% PFA overnight at 4 °C. The larvae were washed with PBST for 5 min at room temperature, and this was repeated four times. For permeabilization, the larvae were immersed with 10 µg·mL−1 Protease K in PBST for 15 min at room temperature. The larvae were refixed in 4% PFA for 20 min at room temperature, followed by PBST rinsing for 5 min that was repeated four times. Before mRNA probe hybridization, larvae were immersed in hybridization mix for 2 h at 65 °C. We diluted 100 ng labeled RNA probe in hybridization mix. We removed prehybridization solution and added prewarmed hybridization mix plus probe to the larvae. The hybridization was kept for 40 h at 65 °C. For posthybridization washes, we washed for 5 min in 66% hybridization mix, 33% 2× sodium chloride/sodium citrate (SSC) at 65 °C; washed 5 min in 33% hybridization mix, 66% 2× SSC at 65 °C; and washed 5 min in 2× SSC at 65 °C. We washed 1× 20 min in 0.2× SSC + 0.1% Tween 20 at 65 °C and then washed 2× 20 min in 0.1× SSC + 0.1% Tween 20 at 65 °C. At room temperature, we washed for 5 min in 66% 0.2× SSC, 33% PBST; washed for 5 min in 33% 0.2× SSC, 66% PBST; and washed for 5 min in PBST. After washing, we incubated larvae in blocking solution (PBST plus 2% sheep serum, 2 mg·mL−1 BSA) for 1 h at room temperature and prepared the first antibody (alkaline-phosphatase-conjugated anti-digoxigenin) by diluting it in blocking solution, 1:5000. We incubated in the antibody for shaking overnight at 4 °C and washed 5 × 15 min in PBST. For colorization, we washed 4 × 5 min in coloration buffer. We mixed 200 µL Nitro Blue tetrazolium/5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate stock mixture with 10 mL coloration buffer. We added 500 µL of this mix to larvae and incubated in the dark at room temperature until a blue reaction product was visible. We stopped the reaction by quickly washing larvae three times by stop solution (PBST, pH 5.5), then washed twice in stop solution for 15 min. After glycerol mounting, we photographed immediately by Nikon SMZ18 microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

Results

Identification and characterization of IncRNAs

To detect IncRNAs in zebrafish larvae, we conducted a basic bioinformatics analysis. In brief, the RNA-seq yielded an average of 51 879 984 raw pair-end reads with length of 150 nt per sample (Table S1). After trimming adaptor sequences and low-quality reads, the remaining average of 49 379 818 clean reads per sample was aligned to zebrafish genome using STAR and assembled into 77 252 transcripts using Stringtie (Table S2). Then all the assembled transcripts were subjected to quality assessment using DETONATE. The results showed that the majority of transcripts have high quality (Table S3). In addition, the BLAST search against known zebrafish transcripts in the Ensembl database indicated that 61 926 transcripts (~80.16%) have been well recorded in the Ensembl database. Notably, the remaining 16 316 transcripts for which no hits were found in the Ensembl database were probably novel transcripts representing a new subset of functional mRNAs or IncRNAs.

Based on the well-established zebrafish transcriptome, a stringent stepwise filtering pipeline was used to identify a high-confidence IncRNA dataset in this study (Fig. 1). Two core filtering criteria were applied to screen these IncRNAs according to the general definition of IncRNA: (a) the capability of protein coding, and (b) the length of transcripts. In brief, 73 018 potential protein-coding transcripts obtained by BLAST search against nr, Swiss-Prot database, as well as the known zebrafish mRNAs/proteins from the Ensembl database, were excluded. The remaining 1555 unannotated transcripts shorter than 200 nt in length and larger than 100 residues in the longest ORF were filtered out. A second protein-coding filtering was performed to further remove the transcripts with potential protein-coding capacity, including those containing functional domains/motifs predicted by Pfam scanning and those with protein-coding potential classified by Coding Potential Calculator. This step filtered out only one transcript. A total of 2678 IncRNAs were finally identified for further study.

We compared those identified IncRNAs with known zebrafish IncRNAs derived from the NONCODE database (v5.0) and ZFLNC database, using BLASTn (e-value was set to 0.1). The results indicate that a total of 1083 IncRNAs (~40.44%) identified in this study could be well compared with known zebrafish IncRNAs, 460 IncRNAs of which have been included in the NONCODE and ZFLNC database. The remaining mapped IncRNAs exhibit high similarity to the known zebrafish IncRNAs in some specific regions. It is not surprising because IncRNAs have been proved to be quite distinct from mRNAs as mRNAs have a more conserved mutational rate. Instead, IncRNAs have less evolutionary constraints, except the selective pressure to strictly conserve the short functional region, e.g., sequence-specific interactions, protein-binding sites, etc. The rest of the IncRNAs that could not be found in any hit in NONCODE and ZFLNC databases might represent a group of novel zebrafish IncRNAs.
The expression pattern of the DE IncRNAs implicated in Ybx1 gene knockout

The expression profile for each sample was initially assessed using featurecounts. To identify the IncRNAs associated with Ybx1 gene knockout, differential expression analysis between day5_\(ybx1^{+/+}\) and day5_\(ybx1^{−/−}\) was conducted using DESeq2. The result shows that 44 IncRNAs (22 are up-regulated and 22 are down-regulated) and 1901 mRNAs (849 are up-regulated and 1052 are down-regulated) are detected as significantly DE (Figs S1 and S2). Despite no change of the RNA expression level of Ybx1 as observed in day6_\(ybx1^{+/+}\) compared with that of day5_\(ybx1^{+/+}\), we found that the protein expression level of Ybx1 was dramatically decreased in day6_\(ybx1^{+/+}\) versus day5_\(ybx1^{+/+}\) (data not shown). Hence we also compared the expression level of transcripts between day5_\(ybx1^{+/+}\) and day6_\(ybx1^{+/+}\) to explore the underlying mechanisms resulting in decline of Ybx1 in day6_\(ybx1^{+/+}\) at protein level. DE analysis showed that a total of 542 RNAs were DE in day5_\(ybx1^{+/+}\) versus day6_\(ybx1^{+/+}\), including 2 DE IncRNAs and 540 DE mRNAs (Figs S3 and S4). Then both results of DE analysis were subjected to the DAVID server to search for the possible functions of those DE RNAs. Intriguingly, both functional results indicated that the hormone synthesis-related and oxidative stress-related processes were commonly enriched with an extremely high level of statistical significance, including steroid biosynthesis process, oxidation-reduction process, etc. (Fig. 2A,B). This result disclosed a close association of Ybx1 with the processes of hormone synthesis and oxidative stress response in zebrafish.

Also, the difference of RNA changes and the corresponding roles caused by two distinct mechanisms resulting in down-regulation of Ybx1 is another focus of attention for us to investigate. Therefore, we conducted a systematic comparison of day5_\(ybx1^{+/+}\) versus day5_\(ybx1^{−/−}\) and day5_\(ybx1^{+/+}\) versus day6_\(ybx1^{+/+}\) (Fig. 2C). Concretely, 143 transcripts were found to be consistently up/down-regulated in both comparisons. Remarkably, most of them are up-regulated, and only five transcripts were observed as down-regulated. Gene Ontology (GO) functional enrichment analysis for those 143 DE transcripts showed that many BP categories involved in hormone-related and oxidative stress-related processes were significantly enriched, such as steroid biosynthesis, oxidation-reduction process, etc. (Fig. 2D). Furthermore, we found that 731 transcripts (including 22 IncRNAs) were specifically up-regulated in day5_\(ybx1^{+/+}\) versus day5_\(ybx1^{−/−}\) but exhibit no changes in day5_\(ybx1^{+/+}\) versus day6_\(ybx1^{+/+}\). Subsequent functional analysis on DAVID indicated that the functions of these specific up-regulated RNAs involved reproduction processes, that is, egg coat formation, binding of sperm to zona pellucida, etc. Notably, the oxidation-reduction process was also significantly enriched (Fig. 2D). A total of 1062 transcripts (including 22 IncRNAs) were found to be specifically down-regulated in day5_\(ybx1^{+/+}\) versus day5_\(ybx1^{−/−}\), which involve protein transport, glycolytic process, gluconeogenesis, etc.

**Fig. 1.** The bioinformatics pipeline used for identification of zebrafish IncRNAs in this study. aa, amino acids; CRC, Coding Potential Calculator.

**Fig. 2.** Differential expression analysis between day5_\(ybx1^{+/+}\) and day5_\(ybx1^{−/−}\) and day6_\(ybx1^{+/+}\). GO functional enrichment analysis of DE transcripts achieved on David server: (A) day5_\(ybx1^{+/+}\) versus day5_\(ybx1^{−/−}\) and (B) day5_\(ybx1^{+/+}\) versus day6_\(ybx1^{+/+}\). The results are summarized only in the category of BP. The y axis indicates functional groups. The x axis indicates \(-\log_{10}(P\text{-value})\). (C) Heatmap of all DE transcripts that were clustered into five subsets, including specific down-regulated in day5_\(ybx1^{+/+}\), specific up-regulated in day5_\(ybx1^{+/+}\), specific down-regulated in day6_\(ybx1^{+/+}\), specific up-regulated in day6_\(ybx1^{+/+}\) and consistently dysregulated in day5_\(ybx1^{+/+}\) and day6_\(ybx1^{+/+}\). The expression profile was normalized using DESeq2 and then hierarchically clustered using heatmap2. Values have been centered and scaled for each row. Each row represents a single transcript. (D) Functional analysis of subsets using DAVID (FDR < 5%).
Table 3. Basic statistics of DE transcripts in two different comparisons. 5D WT, Wide Type in 5 Days; 5D MT, Mutant Type in 5 Days; 6D WT, Wide Type in 6 Days.

| Module       | Size   | lncRNA | mRNA |
|--------------|--------|--------|------|
| Turquoise    | 4731   | 318    | 4413 |
| Blue         | 4264   | 328    | 3956 |
| Brown        | 2752   | 362    | 2370 |
| Yellow       | 2746   | 352    | 2394 |
| Green        | 2267   | 355    | 1912 |
| Red          | 1563   | 176    | 1387 |
| Black        | 1230   | 155    | 1075 |
| Pink         | 1206   | 207    | 999  |
| Magenta      | 1109   | 98     | 1011 |
| Purple       | 970    | 201    | 769  |

(Fig. 2D). Similarly, we have also detected many transcripts in day5_ybx1++ versus day6_ybx1++, but not present in day5_ybx1-- versus day5_ybx1-- (Table 3). Concretely, 342 transcripts (including two lncRNAs) exhibit up-regulation in day6_ybx1++, and functional analysis showed that the functions of those DE RNAs might involve the oxidation-reduction process, transmembrane transport, proteolysis, etc. (Fig. 2D). Forty-eight specific down-regulated RNAs were found in day6_ybx1++ compared with day5_ybx1++, and their corresponding functional analysis showed that no BP categories were significantly enriched. These functional analyses based on the different subsets of DE transcripts indicated that reduced expression of ybx1 could trigger up-regulation of oxidative stress-related BPs and pathways (e.g., the oxidation-reduction process was significantly enriched by specific up-regulated transcripts in day5_ybx1-- and day6_ybx1++). These findings suggest that reduced expression of ybx1, either caused by Ybx1 knockout or by innate autoregulation, exhibits strong correlation with the reduction-oxidation (REDOX) regulation in zebrafish. Notably, many DE lncRNAs are included in those DE RNA datasets (the majority of them are found in the comparison of day5_ybx1++ versus day5_ybx1--), implying specific lncRNAs are present in REDOX regulation after Ybx1 gene knockout.

**Network analysis reveals lncRNAs implicated in REDOX regulation**

To investigate the lncRNAs, as well as their possible roles, implicated in Ybx1 gene knockout, we conducted a systematic coexpression network analysis using WGCNA in R. Initially, the flashClust tools package was used to conduct cluster analysis on these samples to detect outliers; the results showed that all samples are in the clusters and passed the cuts (Fig. S5). Then a network-topology analysis was conducted for several soft-thresholding powers to have relative balance scale independence and mean connectivity of the WGCNA. The lowest power for the scale-free topology fit index was selected for construction of a hierarchical clustering tree (Fig. S6). This analysis colocalizes both correlated lncRNAs and mRNAs into 95 modules (Fig. S7). Each module contains independent datasets of transcripts (Table 4). The interactions among those modules were visualized in Fig. S8. The modules with common expression patterns that were significantly associated with specific traits (day5_ybx1++ and day6_ybx1++) were detected based on the correlation between module eigengene and traits. Then the function plotEigengeneNetworks of WGCNA was used to identify groups of correlated eigengenes. The results indicated that two modules (yellow and black) were significantly correlated to the traits: day5_ybx1++ and day6_ybx1++, respectively (Fig. 3). The relationship of transcript significance with module membership was visualized in Fig. S9. The yellow modules significantly related to day5_ybx1++ include 2394 mRNAs and 352 lncRNAs, whereas black modules correlated to day6_ybx1++ contain 1075 mRNAs and 155 lncRNAs (Table 4).

GO enrichment analyses based on the DAVID server were subsequently performed using the annotated transcripts (mRNAs) derived from two trait-related modules.

![Fig. 3. Detection of two trait-related modules.](image-url)
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Correlations of lncRNA ENSDART00000171757 with embryonic development

Based on the previous network analysis, several lncRNAs associated with embryonic development, as well as the REDOX process, have been figured out. However, the underlying mechanism is yet unclear. For this purpose, a large-scale screening of lncRNA–protein interactions was conducted based on the Ybx1-related, REDOX-related lncRNAs (displayed in Fig. 4E) via the catRAPID server. We observed that the lncRNA ENSDART00000171757 exhibited moderate interactions with several regulatory proteins (Table S4), including transcription factors, RNA-binding protein, etc. Subsequent functional analysis achieved by Metascape indicated that those proteins significantly enriched in diverse development processes (Fig. 5B), including regionalization, endocrine system development, central nervous system development, embryonic morphogenesis, etc. In addition, through screening their possible targets via a PPI (protein–protein interaction) database STRING, we found that those proteins cooperated with Ybx1, and two key REDOX-related proteins, duox and noxo1a, might participate in a complex regulatory network involved in many developmental processes (Fig. 5A). Notably, nifk (ranked among top 3 proteins that interacted with lncRNA ENSDART00000171757 in catRAPID) was found to interact with many ribosomal proteins and might act as a bridge to connect duox, noxo1a, and ybx1 in the PPI network (Fig. 5B). Furthermore, it has been shown to play an essential role in early zebrafish embryonic development (insertional mutagenesis in zebrafish rapidly identifies genes essential for early vertebrate development). Our analysis indicated that knockout of ybx1 in zebrafish might affect the expression of p53 and further disrupt the balance of the whole regulatory network and the expression of many key proteins involved in development, as well as lncRNA ENSDART00000171757, which might lead to overexpression of the REDOX-related genes, that is, duox. Overexpression of REDOX-related genes might further generate too much reactive oxygen and reactive...
nitrogen species (ROS/RNS), which result in oxidative stress and cause either cell death or senescence. These processes finally affect the zebrafish embryonic development.

Bioassay validations

To test and confirm our findings, we subjected the lncRNA ENSDART00000171757 to an experimental validation process.
validation study. Meanwhile, another two novel lncRNAs, MSTRG.30533.1 and MSTRG.33365.1, were selected for the same experiments, which also exhibit relatively significant differential expression in day5_ybx1+/+ compared with day5_ybx1−/−, and simultaneously have strong correlations with Duox and Noxo1a, as well as Ybx1, in the network (Fig. 4).

Real-time quantitative PCR validated five DE lncRNAs
For the real-time quantitative PCR validation, we selected five lncRNAs, including three earlier mentioned lncRNAs, as well as another two lncRNAs, MSTRG.12630.1 and MSTRG.24792.1, which have relatively high fold change in differential analysis between day5_ybx1+/+ and day5_ybx1−/− (Fig. S10). The expressions of MSTRG.12630.1, MSTRG.24792.1, ENSDART00000171757 and MSTRG.30533.1 were all apparently increased in day5_ybx1−/− larvae (Fig. S10A–D), and MSTRG33365.1 presented a sharp decrease in day5_ybx1−/− larvae (Fig. S10E). All the real-time quantitative PCR results were consistent with the differential expression analysis.

Three REDOX-related lncRNAs tend to be expressed in the gut
We next tested whether the expression of three lncRNAs (ENSDART00000171757, MSTRG30533.1 and MSTRG.33365.1) has tissue specificity by whole-mount in situ hybridization assay. Interestingly, we observed that all three lncRNAs were predominantly expressed in the gut region (Fig. 6A). Moreover, the expression level of those lncRNAs in day5_ybx1+/+ and day5_ybx1−/− larvae was consistent with the real-time quantitative PCR results (Fig. 6B–D).

lncRNA knockdown leads to larval morphological deformation
Our network and PPI analysis revealed a lncRNA ENSDART00000171757 might be associated to zebrafish embryonic development. To test it, we targeted the lncRNA ENSDART00000171757, as well as other novel lncRNAs MSTRG.30533.1 and MSTRG.33365.1 (also exhibit strong correlations with Duox and Noxo1a, as well as Ybx1, in the network analysis), for morpholino knockdown, with their specific target sequence for morpholino synthesis. After serial morpholino injection (5, 10 and 20 ng), partial larvae on day 5 with severe morphological deformation were photographed (Fig. 6E). As a result, a large proportion of deformed larvae was observed for each lncRNA’s morpholino, but only a few deformed larvae by control morpholino injection. In particular, the rate of larval deformation after morpholino injection was dose dependent for all three lncRNAs (Fig. 6H). Our experiments showed that the knockdown of each of the three selected lncRNAs leads to larvae morphological deformation in a dose-dependent manner.

Correlation of lncRNAs with duox, noxo1a and ybx1
Our network analysis indicated that the lncRNAs ENSDART00000171757, MSTRG.30533.1 and MSTRG.33365.1 exhibited strong correlations to the REDOX-related genes duox and noxo1a. To validate it, we divided larvae (day 5) injected with 20 ng morpholino for the three lncRNAs into two groups, normal morphology and deformed morphology. We observed that knockdown of ENSDART00000171757 slightly reduced the mRNA expression of duox in both the normal and the deformed groups (Fig. 6F) and remarkably reduced the mRNA expression of ybx1 in the normal group (Fig. 6G). MSTRG.30533.1 knockdown apparently activated noxo1a mRNA expression in the normal group (Fig. 6I), whereas inhibition of MSTRG.33365.1 led to a sharp decrease of duox mRNA expression in the deformed group (Fig. 6J). Our experiments demonstrated that both lncRNAs ENSDART00000171757 and MSTRG.33365.1 might positively regulate the expression of duox, whereas MSTRG30533.1 probably exhibits negative regulation to noxo1a. lncRNA ENSDART00000171757 also exhibited positive regulation to ybx1.

Also, we tested the potential interaction between ENSDART00000171757 and Ybx1 in protein level. Immunoblotting was performed to evaluate Ybx1 protein expression level under ENSDART00000171757 morpholino knockdown, and beta-actin was used as an internal reference. The results showed that the expression of Ybx1 protein was evidently reduced after ENSDART00000171757 knockdown (Fig. S11A). It was suggested that inhibition of ENSDART00000171757 would result in significant reduction (approximately 50%) of the relative intensity of Ybx1/Actin in day 5 larvae (Fig. S11B).

Discussion
Given that lncRNAs have been proposed to carry out diverse functions, for instance, regulation of gene expression by acting as signaling, guiding, sequestering or scaffolding molecules, an increasing number of
studies focused on the disclosure of the roles of lncRNAs in vertebrate development [34-36]. In some cases, however, similar works on the same lncRNAs come to contradictory conclusions. A typical example is that Lin et al.’s study [37] and Ulitsky et al.’s study [38] showed that knockdown of the lncRNA megamind led to zebrafish embryonic defects, whereas Kok et al.’s study [39] demonstrated that megamind had no influence on embryonic development. These inconsistent results have caused a dispute about whether lncRNA is important to vertebrate development. Notably, Goudarzi et al.’s study [14] used the CRISPR/Cas9 system to knock out 24 specific lncRNAs in zebrafish, which was picked out based on synteny, conservation, expression dynamics and proximity to development-related genes and concluded that individual lncRNAs have no overt functions in zebrafish embryogenesis, viability and fertility. Given these facts, it is likely that lncRNAs exert limited functions in vertebrate development, particularly in zebrafish embryonic development. Ybx1 is an important protein to embryogenesis and development, disclosure of the correlation between ybx1 and lncRNAs transcriptome will shed new insights for unveiling organelle-based mechanisms of lncRNAs implicated in zebrafish embryo development. We believe that our study also might be regarded as complementary research to provide some clues for the issue whether the lncRNAs are important to vertebrate development.

Worthy of a special mention is the fact that despite that the RNA library in this study is prepared using oligo-dT to capture sequences with poly-A tail, a great amount of lncRNAs could still be sequenced. The reason is that lncRNAs are structurally similar to mRNAs in some way, that is, the majority of mature lncRNAs are produced by the same RNA polymerase II transcriptional machinery and can be polyadenylated, suggesting some of them are indistinguishable from mRNAs in structure. In this study, we reconstructed the zebrafish transcriptome and identified a high-confidence dataset of lncRNAs using a stringent filtering pipeline. Next, to figure out the lncRNAs implicated in zebrafish embryonic development, we performed a series of systematic transcriptomic analyses. These analyses concluded that decreasing ybx1 by acquired knockout or innate autoregulation exhibits close correlations to REDOX processes that involved several lncRNA regulations. Embryo development is a complicated process that underlies precise regulation and control. The roles of REDOX in embryonic development have been well elucidated so far. Concretely, oxygen can be regarded as a double-edged sword because it is essential to embryogenesis but also acts as a potential hazard via formation of ROS/RNS [40]. Too much ROS leads to oxidative stress and further causes either cell death or senescence by oxidation of cellular molecules [41]. REDOX balance is thus critical to embryonic development. Notably, ROS generated by NADPH oxidases is a crucial REDOX signal to establish homeostasis [32]. This knowledge directs us to narrow down the huge number of mRNA–lncRNA interactions to a relatively small subnetwork exhibiting strong correlation with the two key REDOX-related genes, duox and noxo1a. Among them, analysis of lncRNA–protein interactions next figured out a lncRNA (ENSDART00000171757) might interact with many proteins, which has already been demonstrated to be associated to various zebrafish embryonic development processes. For instance, nifik encodes a protein that is important to early embryonic development. The protein ang2a was found to be involved in the apoptotic process of morphogenesis, cell fate specification and midbrain development. Park7 was shown to play an important role in cell
In conclusion, our systematic transcriptome analysis successfully disclosed many IncRNAs that might be important to zebrafish embryonic development, particularly in IncRNA ENSDART00000171757. This study provides new insights into a molecular mechanism of zebrafish embryonic development. This could also potentially pave a new way to investigate the functions of IncRNAs for the regulatory elements through binding to their respective partners during the development process.
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