Alcohol consumption, cardiac biomarkers, and risk of atrial fibrillation and adverse outcomes
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Aims
There is inconsistent evidence on the relation of alcohol intake with incident atrial fibrillation (AF), in particular at lower doses. We assessed the association between alcohol consumption, biomarkers, and incident AF across the spectrum of alcohol intake in European cohorts.

Methods
In a community-based pooled cohort, we followed 107 845 individuals for the association between alcohol consumption, including types of alcohol and drinking patterns, and incident AF. We collected information on classical cardiovascular risk factors and incident heart failure (HF) and measured the biomarkers N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide and high-sensitivity troponin I. The median age of individuals was 47.8 years, 48.3% were men. The median alcohol consumption was 3 g/day. N = 5854 individuals developed AF (median follow-up time: 13.9 years). In a sex- and cohort-stratified Cox regression analysis alcohol consumption was non-linearly and positively associated with incident AF. The hazard ratio for one drink (12 g) per day was 1.16, 95% CI 1.11–1.22, P < 0.001. Associations were similar across types of alcohol. In contrast, alcohol consumption at lower doses was associated with reduced risk of incident HF. The association between alcohol consumption and incident AF was neither fully explained by cardiac biomarker concentrations nor by the occurrence of HF.
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Conclusions

In contrast to other cardiovascular diseases such as HF, even modest habitual alcohol intake of 1.2 drinks/day was associated with an increased risk of AF, which needs to be considered in AF prevention.

Graphical Abstract

Alcohol consumption and incident atrial fibrillation

Hazard ratio for incident atrial fibrillation for alcohol consumption in gram per day by non-linear Cox regression plotted on the log-scale (N = 92,452). The model uses age as time scale and is sex and cohort stratified. The reference value is 0 g/day.
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Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is an arrhythmia with a major impact on public health due to its increasing prevalence in ageing populations and its association with adverse outcomes, including stroke and heart failure (HF), with more than a doubling of mortality risk. The effect of alcohol on AF risk has remained ambiguous. For diseases predisposing to AF such as coronary artery disease or HF, low to moderate alcohol consumption seems to be related to a lower incidence, while higher levels of consumption are associated with an increased risk. The reported associations with AF range from null associations at lower regular alcohol intake, rather linearly increasing in large meta-analyses to a more J-shaped relation in women. In particular, the association at low levels of alcohol consumption is less clear.

From a pathophysiological perspective, alcohol may exhibit direct effects on arrhythmogenesis as observed for the holiday heart syndrome. Acute alcohol consumption induces autonomic imbalance reflected by sinus tachycardia, predisposing to arrhythmia. Electrolyte disturbance and alterations of the acid-base balance are further pro-arrhythmic triggers. Chronic alcohol consumption is known to be correlated with changes in cardiac structure and function including cardiomyopathy.

Habitual alcohol intake has been related to atrial remodelling as an intermediate AF phenotype in the community. At the same time, alcohol intake is also associated with the most prevalent risk factors of AF. Increased alcohol intake is accompanied by higher frequency of hypertension and obesity. In younger individuals with low-risk factor burden and heart disease, acute excessive alcohol consumption was not associated with higher AF burden. Furthermore, alcohol consumption is predictive of incident HF, which itself is a risk factor for new-onset AF and may help explain known associations. Circulating cardiac biomarkers are quantitative measures which shed light on current cardiac pathophysiology. Troponin reflects myocardial injury, while N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) indicates often chronic, subclinical wall stress. A recent study demonstrated that both biomarkers showed distinct patterns in relation to alcohol consumption. Whether this pattern is related to AF risk remains to be shown.

A strong controversy remains for the relation of alcohol consumption with AF in individuals with low alcohol consumption. Therefore, we examined the association of alcohol consumption with incident AF while accounting for classical risk factors, HF and cardiac biomarkers across European cohorts.

Methods

Study design

The present study comprises five community-based cohorts from the Monica Risk, Genetics, Archiving and Monograph (MORGAM) (https://www.morgam-project.org).
www.thl.fi/morgam// Biomarker for Cardiovascular Risk Assessment across Europe (BiomarCaRE) (http://biomarcare.eu/) projects with available information on AF status at baseline and follow-up (DAN-MONICA, FINRISK, Moli-sani, Tromsø, and Northern Sweden), totalling 107,845 individuals with baseline examinations between 1982 and 2010.

We excluded 7753 individuals with self-reported and/or physician-diagnosed history of AF/atrial flutter and/or prior ICD-8-9- or -10 coding for AF/atrial flutter from the analyses. Details on enrolment and follow-up procedures by study are provided in the Supplementary material online. In total, 100,092 participants free of AF at baseline entered our analyses. Where appropriate, we stratified cohorts by the period of recruitment and study area, as shown in Supplementary material online. Local Ethics Committees have approved all participating studies. Participants signed written informed consent. The authors had full access to the data and take responsibility for its integrity. All authors have read and agreed to the manuscript as written.

Risk factors and follow-up
Risk factor information was available from the baseline visits. Information on body mass index (BMI), hypertension, systolic blood pressure, diabetes, total cholesterol, current smoking, anti-hypertensive medication, history of HF, myocardial infarction or stroke, employment status, education level, and habitual alcohol intake were collected and measured locally. These data were centrally harmonized by the MORGAM project and defined AF in a way consistent with previous risk prediction algorithms.

Average alcohol consumption was assessed in gram per day and categorized according to the World Health Organization average volume drinking categories. The quantity of average alcohol consumption in gram per day was a harmonized variable derived from different questionnaires across cohorts as a component of local food frequency questionnaires. Former drinkers served as reference group having HR = 1.5 To substantiate the conclusion of the association of incident AF and alcohol consumption was quantified by hazard ratios (HRs). The term ‘risk’ in this paper is used in the epidemiological sense of probability measured by the occurrence of new AF cases during follow-up. It does not confer causality.

Since Cox regression assumes that association of the covariate with the endpoint is linear, data transformation of alcohol consumption was required. The transformation of the alcohol consumption variable via penalized splines showed an almost linear association with the endpoint in all statistical models, 0 g/day alcohol consumption, excluding former drinkers, served as reference group having HR = 1. To substantiate the conclusion of the association of incident AF and alcohol consumption, we carried out cause-specific Cox regression with incident AF as the outcome, accounting for the competing risk of all-cause mortality.

We used Spearman correlations to examine bivariate correlations between alcohol consumption and hsTnI and NT-proBNP. We also investigated whether there were interactions of biomarkers and alcohol consumption on incident AF or interactions of the biomarker associations by sex. Additionally, we investigated the interaction between incident HF as time-dependent covariate and alcohol consumption with AF as an outcome. Due to high prevalence of possibly undetected AF in individuals aged >80 years, we performed a sensitivity analysis excluding the oldest old.

All statistical models were implemented in R statistical software version 3.3.3 (www.R-project.org). A two-tailed P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. A more detailed description of the statistical methods is provided in the Supplementary material online.

Results
Baseline characteristics
The characteristics of 100,092 participants without AF at baseline are shown in Table 1. The study cohort had a median age of 47.8 years,
age range 24–97 years at baseline, 51.7% women. Study participants were slightly overweight (median BMI 25.7 kg/m²). Median alcohol consumption was 3 g/day (mean 8.7 g/day). During the follow-up time with a median of 13.9 years, \( N = 5854 \) incident AF cases were documented. The baseline characteristics by cohort are shown in Supplementary material online, Table S1. Supplementary material online, Table S2 shows missing variables across the individual cohorts and explains the different sample sizes of the variables listed in Table 1.

### Alcohol consumption and incident atrial fibrillation

In Cox regression analyses, alcohol consumption was positively associated with incident AF, the HR for one drink (12 g) per day was 1.16.

### Table 1  Baseline characteristics of the study cohort

| Variables                              | Total cohort (N = 100 092) | Men (N = 48 354) | Women (N = 51 738) |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Age at baseline (years)                | 47.8 (37.8, 58.6)           | 48.1 (38.1, 58.9) | 47.6 (37.5, 58.4)   |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)                | 25.7 (23.2, 28.8)           | 26.3 (24.0, 28.9) | 25.1 (22.5, 28.7)   |
| Average daily alcohol consumption (g)  | 3.0 (0, 10.0)               | 6.0 (1.0, 16.0)   | 2.0 (0, 5.0)        |
| Beer consumption (g of ethanol/day)    | 1.7 (0, 5.0)                | 3.0 (0.8, 7.0)    | 0.8 (0.2, 2.0)      |
| Spirits consumption (g of ethanol/day) | 0.1 (0, 2.0)                | 0.7 (0, 3.0)      | 0 (0, 1.0)          |
| Wine consumption (g of ethanol/day)    | 2.0 (0, 5.7)                | 1.6 (0, 6.6)      | 2.0 (0, 5.1)        |
| Alcohol category, No. (%)              |                             |                   |                     |
| Never drinker                          | 8546 (9.1)                  | 1949 (4.2)        | 6597 (13.7)         |
| Former drinker                         | 1374 (1.5)                  | 719 (1.6)         | 655 (1.4)           |
| Occasional drinker (<1 g of alcohol/day) | 21 849 (23.2)              | 7737 (16.8)       | 14 112 (29.3)       |
| 1–12 g/day (up to 1 drink/day)        | 43 398 (46.3)               | 21 337 (46.4)     | 22 261 (46.2)       |
| 12.1–24 g/day (up to 2 drinks/day)    | 9682 (10.3)                 | 6486 (14.1)       | 3196 (6.6)          |
| 24.1–48 g/day (2–4 drinks/day)        | 6345 (6.7)                  | 5125 (11.1)       | 1220 (2.5)          |
| >48 g/day (>4 drinks/day)             | 2790 (3.0)                  | 2646 (5.8)        | 144 (0.3)           |
| Drinking pattern, No. (%)              |                             |                   |                     |
| Lifelong abstainer                     | 12 048 (16.7)               | 3082 (8.9)        | 8966 (24.0)         |
| Ex-drinker                            | 1410 (2.0)                  | 745 (2.2)         | 665 (1.8)           |
| <Once a week                           | 30 297 (42.1)               | 12 868 (37.1)     | 17 429 (46.7)       |
| 1–2 days/week                          | 13 964 (19.4)               | 8216 (23.7)       | 5748 (15.4)         |
| 3–5 days/week                          | 5955 (8.3)                  | 3955 (11.4)       | 2000 (5.4)          |
| 6–7 days/week                          | 8337 (11.6)                 | 5850 (16.9)       | 2487 (6.7)          |
| NT-proBNP (pg/mL)                      | 48.7 (25.8, 89.5)           | 36.6 (19.4, 74.1) | 59.1 (34.6, 98.7)   |
| HsTnI (ng/L)                           | 2.4 (1.5, 3.8)              | 2.9 (2.0, 4.5)    | 1.9 (1.2, 3.0)      |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)         | 133 (121, 148)              | 136 (125, 149)    | 129 (118, 145.5)    |
| Hypertension, No. (%)                  | 42 415 (42.7)               | 23 343 (48.6)     | 19 072 (37.1)       |
| Anti-hypertensive medication, No. (%)  | 12 717 (13.2)               | 6147 (13.2)       | 6570 (13.1)         |
| Heart failure, No. (%)                 | 1425 (1.9)                  | 790 (2.2)         | 635 (1.7)           |
| History of myocardial infarction or stroke, No. (%) | 3959 (4.0)                  | 2723 (5.6)        | 1236 (2.4)          |
| Diabetes, No. (%)                      | 3939 (3.9)                  | 2041 (4.2)        | 1898 (3.7)          |
| Current smoker, No. (%)                | 31 529 (31.6)               | 17 209 (35.6)     | 14 320 (27.7)       |
| Education level, No. (%)               |                             |                   |                     |
| University or college degree           | 16 324 (16.5)               | 7953 (16.7)       | 8371 (16.4)         |
| Intermediate degree                    | 21 331 (21.6)               | 9800 (20.5)       | 11 531 (22.6)       |
| Secondary school                       | 28 558 (28.9)               | 14 065 (29.5)     | 14 493 (28.4)       |
| Primary school or less                 | 32 588 (33.0)               | 15 915 (33.4)     | 16 673 (32.7)       |
| Employment status, No. (%)             |                             |                   |                     |
| Full- or part-time job                 | 64 486 (66.1)               | 33 198 (70.8)     | 31 288 (61.8)       |
| Working in household                   | 7901 (8.1)                  | 223 (0.5)         | 7678 (15.2)         |
| Unemployed                             | 4395 (4.5)                  | 2433 (5.2)        | 1962 (3.9)          |
| Retired or long-term disabled          | 19 045 (19.5)               | 10 308 (22.0)     | 8737 (17.3)         |
| Full-time student                      | 1676 (1.7)                  | 720 (1.5)         | 956 (1.9)           |

Baseline characteristics of participants were described by median values for continuous variables (25th, 75th percentile) and proportions for categorical variables. hsTnI, high-sensitivity troponin I; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide.
95% CI 1.11–1.22, P < 0.001 (Take home figure). The cut-off for statistically significantly increased risk for AF was observed with regular alcohol consumption of 2 g/day (Table 2).

Additional adjustment for classical cardiovascular risk factors did not change the association markedly (Supplementary material online, Figure S1). The HR at one drink per day was 1.18, 95% CI 1.12–1.25, P < 0.001. There was some heterogeneity in associations across cohorts (Supplementary material online, Table S3). Association patterns were similar across different types of alcohol as shown in Supplementary material online, Table S4. Additional adjustments did not change the associations markedly.

The HRs were fairly similar for women and men. We did not observe an interaction for alcohol consumption and incident AF by sex, P = 0.07.

The association of incident AF and alcohol consumption did not change markedly after having accounted for all-cause mortality as competing risk.

After excluding individuals over 80 years, the dataset was reduced to N = 99 352 with 5728 cases of incident AF. The HR at one drink per day was 1.17, 95% CI 1.11–1.22, P < 0.001.

Associations for categories of alcohol consumption and drinking pattern are shown in Figure 1A–D. In former drinkers, occasional drinkers and individuals with alcohol consumption up to one drink per day, there was no statistically significant association (Figure 1A). Higher categories of alcohol intake were associated with 28% (consumption of more than one up to two drinks) to 47% (consumption of more than four drinks) increased risk for developing AF (Figure 1A). No statistically significant association was found with drinking patterns (Figure 1B). These associations did not change markedly by further multivariable adjustment (Figure 1C and D).

**Association of alcohol consumption with incident atrial fibrillation and incident heart failure**

From the joint dataset with available follow-up for AF and HF, we observed 4995 incident HF cases from N = 69 084 individuals. A sex- and cohort-stratified Cox regression analysis exhibited a J-shaped relationship with incident HF, P < 0.0001. The lowest HRs were observed at levels up to 20 g/day, or 1.6 drinks/day (Supplementary material online, Figure S2). The HR curves for AF or HF revealed different patterns at lower daily alcohol consumption. The interaction between incident HF as time-dependent covariate and alcohol consumption with AF as outcome was not statistically significant, P = 0.07.

**Alcohol consumption, cardiac biomarkers, and incident atrial fibrillation**

We observed weak correlations between circulating biomarkers and alcohol consumption for NT-proBNP (r = -0.10) or hsTnI (r = 0.12), or for biomarkers with different types of alcohol (Supplementary material online, Table S5). Levels of cardiac biomarker concentrations did not significantly modify the relationship between alcohol consumption and incident AF (Supplementary material online, Table S6).

### Table 2 Hazard ratio for incident atrial fibrillation by number of drinks/day

| No. of drinks/day | Alcohol (g/day) | Hazard ratio (95% confidence interval) |
|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------|
| 0.08              | 1               | 1.01 (0.99–1.04)                        |
| 0.17              | 2               | 1.02 (1.0–1.04)                         |
| 0.25              | 3               | 1.04 (1.02–1.05)                        |
| 0.33              | 4               | 1.05 (1.03–1.07)                        |
| 0.42              | 5               | 1.06 (1.04–1.08)                        |
| 0.5               | 6               | 1.07 (1.05–1.1)                         |
| 1                 | 12              | 1.16 (1.11–1.22)                        |
| 2                 | 24              | 1.36 (1.25–1.47)                        |
| 3                 | 36              | 1.52 (1.35–1.7)                         |
| 4                 | 48              | 1.59 (1.37–1.85)                        |
| ≥5                | 60              | 1.61 (1.35–1.92)                        |

**Discussion**

In our large pooled dataset, we observed a positive association between alcohol consumption and incident AF across different types of alcoholic beverages and drinking patterns, evident even in individuals with low alcohol consumption. The association was explained neither by cardiac biomarker concentrations nor by the occurrence of HF during follow-up. Interaction terms between cardiac biomarker concentrations or HF with alcohol consumption were not statistically significant.

Alcohol consumption amounts and patterns have been related to higher incidence of AF, in particular since the description of the ‘holiday heart’ syndrome in 1978. Chronic heavy alcohol consumption is strongly associated with increased AF risk even in the absence of alcoholic cardiomyopathy as shown in multiple epidemiological studies. In chronic alcohol consumption usually is related to a higher burden of other classical risk factors, such as hypertension, diabetes, overweight, and obesity, which are strong predictors of AF, and may help explain the observed associations. In several observational studies, chronic alcohol consumption, in particular two or more drinks per day, resulted in an up to 30% higher risk for incident AF. The relationship for light alcohol consumption and risk of AF is less consistent. In the Women’s Health Study consuming up to two alcoholic beverages per day was not associated with increased risk of AF, though this observation was based on a comparatively small number of cases. In the PREMIDED study, a Mediterranean alcohol consumption pattern in high cardiovascular risk population was not associated with higher risk of AF. In contrast, two large meta-analyses of heterogeneous studies suggested that even light alcohol consumption may increase the incidence of AF. In a meta-analysis of seven prospective studies, even one alcoholic drink per day increased AF incidence by a relative risk of 1.09. Kodama et al. meta-analysed 14 studies concluding that alcohol abstinence is most favourable for AF risk reduction. But the estimates, particularly in the range of low amounts of alcohol intake, remained inconclusive. Our large community-based study clearly shows that low alcohol...
consumption is already associated with increased risk of incident AF. We observed a non-linearly increasing relationship between alcohol consumption and incident AF, independent of common confounders. The test for non-linearity was statistically significant. Due to large sample size, we had power to detect associations even at low doses of daily alcohol intake. Alcohol is a modifiable risk factor and change in drinking behaviour may affect AF risk. Importantly, in the ARIC study, it could be demonstrated that every decade of abstinence from alcohol in former drinkers was related to a 20% lower rate of AF development.24 In a randomized trial, abstinence from alcohol reduced AF recurrences in regular drinkers.25 We did not observe large differences in the associations by type of alcoholic beverages and AF risk. All were related to an increased risk of AF.

We further observed that the association of alcohol consumption with incident AF is independent of HF. As known from other studies, alcohol intake is a predictor of HF, but with reduced risk for HF events for moderate alcohol consumption and a relative protection up to 20 g/day resulting in a J-shaped risk curve.6,7 Similarly, prior studies demonstrated an association of alcohol consumption with myocardial wall stress reflected by NT-proBNP, and hsTnI concentrations as indicator of myocardial damage.15 In our study, we observed a fairly weak correlation between alcohol consumption and concentrations of NT-proBNP and hsTnI, and no interaction with AF incidence or adverse events after AF onset.

**Limitations and strengths**

Our study has some limitations: first, we determined type and quantity of alcohol intake by self-report, which may have underestimated the related AF risk due to alcohol underreporting.26 We know that information on alcohol intake provided by study participants is imprecise and may be subject to social desirability bias though the latter...
probably is less relevant in the groups with lower alcohol intake. In addition, our data did not permit the evaluation of binge drinking.

Only single biomarker measurements were available at baseline, whereas AF often occurred years after the measurement.

Atrial fibrillation episodes can be asymptomatic and thus remain clinically undetected.27 Atrial fibrillation cases identified during follow-up are more likely to be symptomatic or more persistent types of AF. Unfortunately, baseline electrocardiograms were not available systematically in all cohorts, which may be related to under diagnosis of AF. Follow-up information on AF derived from hospital discharge data, including data on ambulatory visits to specialized hospitals may be related to misclassification of AF cases, in particular intermittent AF. Our dataset is limited to adult participants across Europe.

Strengths of our study include the large sample size, harmonized outcome assessment, and the availability of two contemporary cardiac biomarkers, both of which have previously been shown to be predictors of AF.15,28 Our rigorously harmonized covariate definitions and multivariable adjustments in pooled analyses provide a robust basis for evaluating the shape of the relationship at the lower end of the alcohol consumption spectrum.

Conclusions
Alcohol consumption is positively associated with risk of AF independent of classical pathophysiological pathways including those related to myocardial wall stress and injury reflected by NT-proBNP and hsTnI. Although light alcohol consumption may reduce the risk of other cardiovascular diseases,5,29 we observed a clear linear increase in AF incidence starting at very low levels of alcohol consumption. Alcohol consumption across common types of alcoholic beverages and drinking patterns was associated with an increased AF risk even at low doses. Given recent trials among moderate drinkers showing reduced episodes of AF recurrence after periods of abstinence,30,31 and the fact that we found that even low levels of alcohol intake may confer risk, a strategy of reduction of alcohol consumption might have the potential to prevent a substantial number of cases of AF.

Supplementary material
Supplementary material is available at European Heart Journal online.
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