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Abstract

We present an online interactive tool\textsuperscript{1} that generates titles of blog titles and thus take the first step toward automating science journalism. Science journalism aims to transform jargon-laden scientific articles into a form that the common reader can comprehend while ensuring that the underlying meaning of the article is retained. In this work, we present a tool, which, given the title and abstract of a research paper will generate a blog title by mimicking a human science journalist. The tool makes use of a model trained on a corpus of 87,328 pairs of research papers and their corresponding blogs, built from two science news aggregators. The architecture of the model is a two-stage mechanism which generates blog titles. Evaluation using standard metrics indicate the viability of the proposed system.

1 Introduction

With approximately 2.5 million new scientific papers being published every year (Jinha, 2010), there is an ever growing need to make this vast trove of scientific knowledge accessible to the common man. This accessibility of scientific knowledge plays an important role in key political, economic, cultural and social policy discussions and also in public dialogue. Websites like sciencedaily.com, phys.org, eurekalert.org aim to address this problem by aggregating and showcasing the top science news stories from the world’s leading universities and research organizations.

News-writing bots have captured the headlines in the recent past, leading to the growing popularity of “Robo Reporting”\textsuperscript{2,3}. However, extending this framework to be used for science journalism is a non-trivial task as that would entail understanding scientific content and translating it to simpler language without distorting its underlying semantics. To our knowledge, there have been no prior attempts within the scientific community to extend “Robo Reporting” to science journalism, and this dearth of research in this area can be partially attributed to the lack of suitable data for AI algorithms to be trained. To address this lack of an appropriate training corpus, we have created a parallel corpus of scientific paper titles and abstracts, and their corresponding blog titles with the aim of initiating this foray into automated science journalism and engendering further research.

This initiative is an initial step towards the larger goal of understanding the entire research paper and generating a complete blog. The system makes use of a pipeline-based architecture that uses a combination of the title of the research paper and its abstract to generate the title of the blog. Sample of an abstract, paper title and its corresponding blog title is given in Table 1.

| Blog title: Applying machine learning to the universe’s mysteries |
|-----------------------------|
| Paper title: An equation-of-state-meter of quantum chromodynamics transition from deep learning |
| Abstract: A primordial state of matter consisting of free quarks and gluons... Here we use supervised learning with a deep convolutional neural network to identify the EoS employed... |

Our system models the blog title generation task via a two-stage process: first, it uses a heuristic function mechanism to extract relevant information from the title and abstract of the research...
paper and then it uses the extracted information to generate the blog title. The state-of-the-art sequence-to-sequence neural networks for natural language generation like the Pointer Generator Network (See et al., 2017) are used in the second stage of the pipeline. The generated blog titles are evaluated using all standard metrics for natural language generation tasks and the results indicate the viability of the proposed model to produce semantically sound blog titles. Our contributions can be summed up as follows:

1. A new parallel corpus of 87,328 pairs of research paper titles and abstracts and their corresponding blog titles.
2. Demonstrating the web application, which uses a pipeline-based architecture that can generate blog titles in a step-by-step fashion, while enabling the user to choose between various heuristic functions as well as the neural model to be used for generating the blog title.
3. Analyzing the outcomes of the experiments conducted to find the best heuristic function as well as network architecture.

We have thus taken the first steps towards building an automated science journalism system by generating blog titles with a long-term vision of generating an entire blog from a given research paper - thereby paving the way for future research in the area.

2 Related Work

Recently, a lot of activity in the space of advances in natural language generation has resulted from pioneering works in building sequence-to-sequence neural networks. Among these advances, two particular areas relevant to the problem we have formulated are neural headline generation and style transfer.

In the space of Neural Headline Generation, Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) based sequence-to-sequence architectures for headline generation using the attention mechanism have been explored (Ayana et al., 2017). However, the authors generate headlines for the same domain which effectively means we cannot apply the architectures directly to our problem where the domains and vocabulary are very different. While directly using seq2seq architectures was somewhat helpful in our case - as we will show later; cross domain headline generation requires the consideration of aspects such as style, readability, etc in the two different domains of study.
Existing literature in non-parallel style transfer assumes the unavailability of sufficient parallel data (Shen et al., 2017; Fu et al., 2018; Kabbara and Cheung, 2016). In first trying to address the problem of style transfer on non-parallel data, Shen et al. (2017) tried to separate the content from the style of the article. It was assumed that a shared latent content distribution exists across different text corpora, and proposed a method that leveraged refined alignment of latent representations to perform style transfer. While Shen et al. (2017) demonstrated their results on sentiment transfer, this cannot be accepted as style transfer from a linguistic point of view.

In other recent works, Fu et al. (2018) address the style-transfer problem by learning separate content and style representations using adversarial networks. Their reported results are on their custom Paper-News Title dataset and the samples reported by the authors either copy the entire source text or replace a few words. Their evaluation criteria leaves a lot to be desired as they evaluate transfer strength using a classifier and content preservation using word embeddings. A lack of parallel data again presents a drawback. While Kabbara and Cheung (2016) presented a variant of an auto-encoder where the latent representation had two separate components: one for style and one for content, the authors do not report results on any dataset and hence is not useful in our context.

One key assumption across all the non-parallel style transfer works is a significant overlap between the vocabulary of the source and target style. On the other hand, in the context of science journalism - the overlap in vocabulary between the source and the target is not significant which is one of the prime reasons why the non-parallel style transfer methods cannot be directly extended to our problem. This puts our problem in the bracket of content re-purposing, for which we give a demonstrable prototype.

## 3 Parallel Corpus for Science Journalism

In the process of building a solution to address the problem of automated science journalism, we built a corpus of parallel data consisting of scientific papers and their corresponding blog articles from two science news aggregation websites: science daily.com and phys.org. Both these websites publish articles explaining the latest scientific advancements and are rich sources of parallel data. Though we were able to obtain over 300,000 blog titles, only around 100,000 of those articles had links to original research papers. These 100,000 or so research papers were published on over 1000 different research publication websites and we used manual rules to extract abstracts and titles from the research papers that were published on the more frequent research publication websites like nature.com, pnas.org. Our final dataset comprises of 87,328 (blog title, paper title, abstract) triples.

Out of 87,328 triples, 77,604 are obtained from science daily.com, 9724 tuples are obtained from phys.org. The statistical analysis of the dataset is as presented below:

1. Average length of blog titles: 9.55 words
2. Average length of research paper titles: 12.07 words
3. Average length of research paper abstracts:
4 Blog Title Generation

Figure 2 illustrates the proposed architecture. Our demonstrable prototype consists of a two-stage pipeline, which is described in detail as follows:

1. A heuristic function takes the title and abstract of the research paper and extracts relevant information which is then used for further processing.

2. The output of the previous step is fed into a sequence-to-sequence neural generation model in order to generate the title of the blog post.

The dataset is of the format \( T = \{ (pt, abs) \} \), where, \( bt \) is the blog title, \( pt \) is the paper title and \( abs \) is the abstract. We define a heuristic function \( H(pt, abs) \) which takes a paper title and abstract as parameters and outputs a sequence \( s \). The various heuristic functions \( H \) we explored are outlined below:

\[
H(pt, abs) = pt : \text{In this heuristic, we assume that the paper title will encapsulate sufficient information to generate the blog title.}
\]

\[
H(pt, abs) = RP(abs) : \text{TF-IDF based measure that selects the sentence that best represents the abstract. (Allahyari et al., 2017)}
\]

\[
H(pt, abs) = RD(abs) : \text{Flesch Reading Ease based measure that selects the most readable sentence in the abstract.}
\]

\[
H(pt, abs) = RPD(abs) : \text{Selects the sentence that maximizes the product of normalized RD(abs) and RP(abs) scores, where normalization is performed across all sentences.}
\]

We also experimented with different combinations of the above heuristics: \( H(pt, abs) = pt \mid RD(abs), H(pt, abs) = pt \mid RP(abs), H(pt, abs) = pt \mid RPD(abs) \) and \( H(pt, abs) = pt \mid abs \); where \( \mid \) implies concatenation of the associated heuristics.

In stage 2, neural natural language generation models are used to generate the blog title. The system provides a baseline attention network which defines ‘attention’ over the input sequence to allow the network to focus on specific parts of the input text and the pointer-generator (See et al., 2017) network which extends the attention-network to compute a probability \( P_{gen} \) that decides whether the next word in sequence should be copied from the source or generated from the rest of the vocabulary. The pointer-generator aids in copying factual information from the source, and we hypothesize that this will be useful when generating blog titles. Formally, the sequence \( s \) obtained from the first stage is the input to the neural natural language generation model which generates \( bt' \) as output with a loss function \( L(bt, bt') \), given by sum of cross entropy loss at all time-steps:

\[
L(bt, bt') = -\sum_{t=0}^{T} P(bt'_t)
\]

5 Demonstration

Figure 1 illustrates the layout of our demonstrable web application. It can be accessed publicly at the following URL: https://irel.iiit.ac.in/science-ai. The layout of the web application is broadly divided into two parts. The left half of the page has the necessary text fields and drop down menus to accept inputs from the user and the right half of the page displays the outputs- both the intermediate sequence, which is the output of the first stage of the pipeline, and the blog title, which is the output of the second stage of the pipeline. The application accepts two text inputs from the user: the title of the research paper and the abstract of the research paper. The application also allows the user to select the heuristic function to be used in the first stage of the pipeline as well as the neural generation model to be used in the second stage of the pipeline. Running the engine will parse the inputs and pass them on to the appropriate heuristic function, which will produce an intermediate sequence viewable on the right side of the page. This intermediate sequence is then passed on to the neural generation model that is selected by the user which then generates the final output, which can be viewed below the intermediate sequence.
Table 2: Performance of the various heuristic functions contrasted with the proposed sequence-to-sequence generation framework

| Function          | BLEU | ROUGE_L | CIDEr | SkipThought Sim. | Flesch Reading Ease |
|-------------------|------|---------|-------|------------------|--------------------|
| \( \mathcal{H}(pt, abs) \) |      |         |       |                  |                    |
| \( pt \)          | 0.157 | 0.149   | 0.453 | 0.435            | 46.481             |
| \( abs \)         | 0.135 | 0.095   | 0.359 | 0.123            | 45.484             |
| \( RD(abs) \)     | 0.091 | 0.142   | 0.161 | 0.450            | 57.468             |
| \( RP(abs) \)     | 0.101 | 0.143   | 0.183 | 0.571            | 46.338             |
| \( RPD(abs) \)    | 0.096 | 0.134   | 0.179 | 0.543            | 43.89              |
| \( pt | RD(abs) \) | 0.139 | 0.152   | 0.351 | 0.754            | 49.504             |
| \( pt | RP(abs) \) | 0.142 | 0.153   | 0.372 | 0.745            | 40.856             |
| \( pt | RPD(abs) \) | 0.151 | 0.152   | 0.399 | 0.759            | 40.193             |

If not selected by the user, the heuristic function \( \mathcal{H}(pt, abs) = pt \) and the attention network neural generation model are used as defaults as this configuration has consistently exhibited good results.

In order to further facilitate experimentation by the user, the web application shows the performance of the user-selected configuration on our test dataset, it displays the readability scores of the generated output, and also highlights the words in the output that were copied from the source. All these features give anyone using this system a detailed view of how various configurations work and provide the flexibility to select the one that works best of their use-case. Figure 3 showcases the above mentioned features.

6 Evaluation and Analysis

We evaluate the generated titles using various metrics surveyed by Sharma et al. (2017) for task-oriented language generation.

1. **BLEU** (Papineni et al., 2002): It uses a modified precision to compare generated text against multiple reference texts
2. **ROUGE_L** (Lin, 2004): It is an F-measure that is based on the Longest Common Subsequence (LCS) between the candidate and reference utterances
3. **CIDEr** (Vedantam et al., 2015): It is based on n-gram overlap
4. **Skip Thought Cosine Similarity** (Kiros et al., 2015): It is based on a continuous representation of sentences known as skip-thought vectors
5. Flesch Reading Ease (Flesch, 1948): It measures the readability of the sentence based on the number of syllables and words.

Table 2 shows the performance of our proposed input functions of the architecture contrasted with the proposed neural generation models pointer-generator (abbr. $P\!G$) and the attention-network (abbr. Attn).

The blogs had a Flesch Reading Ease of around 30-35, while the research papers’ reading ease was between 15-20. Our generated samples have a reading ease (>30) highlighting the transfer in style from research paper to the blog. The higher FRE indicates that the generated titles are easier to understand than the paper titles.

To further shed some light on the quality of the generated blog titles, Table 3 shows a few sampled sentences generated by the best performing models in our architecture. Based on our experiments, we conclude that our system learns to generate titles similar to a human expert for scientific blogs.

Table 3: Samples generated by our prototype

| Blog title                        | Model-generated title                                      |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Safer alternatives to nonsteroidal antinflamatory pain killers | New hope for treating inflammatory cardiovascular disease |
| The effects of soy and whey protein supplementation on acute hormonal responses to resistance exercise in men | Soy protein supplementation linked to resistance exercise in men |
| Scientists reconcile three unrelated theories of schizophrenia | A new way to fight psychiatric disorders |

7 Conclusion and Future Work

This work serves as a baseline first attempt toward automating science journalism. We proposed an architecture with a two-stage pipeline and have developed a demonstrable web application that accepts the title and abstract of a research paper and outputs a blog title, while also giving the user the flexibility to tinker with the individual components of the system. Future work would include using more advanced architectures to generate the body of the blog.
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