Combining evidence from four immune cell types identifies DNA methylation patterns that implicate functionally distinct pathways during Multiple Sclerosis progression
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BACKGROUND: Multiple Sclerosis (MS) is a chronic inflammatory disease and a leading cause of progressive neurological disability among young adults. DNA methylation, which intersects genes and environment to control cellular functions on a molecular level, may provide insights into MS pathogenesis.

METHODS: We measured DNA methylation in CD4+ T cells (n = 31), CD8+ T cells (n = 28), CD14+ monocytes (n = 35) and CD19+ B cells (n = 27) from relapsing-remitting (RRMS), secondary progressive (SPMS) patients and healthy controls (HC) using Infinium HumanMethylation450 arrays. Monocyte (n = 25) and whole blood (n = 275) cohorts were used for validations.

FINDINGS: B cells from MS patients displayed most significant differentially methylated positions (DMPs), followed by monocytes, while only few DMPs were detected in T cells. We implemented a non-parametric combination framework (omicsNPC) to increase discovery power by combining evidence from all four cell types. Identified shared DMPs co-localized at MS risk loci and clustered into distinct groups. Functional exploration of changes discriminating RRMS and SPMS from HC implicated lymphocyte signaling, T cell activation and migration. SPMS-specific changes, on the other hand, implicated myeloid cell functions and metabolism. Interestingly, neuronal and neurodegenerative genes and pathways were also specifically enriched in the SPMS cluster.

INTERPRETATION: We utilized a statistical framework (omicsNPC) that combines multiple layers of evidence to identify DNA methylation changes that provide new insights into MS pathogenesis in general, and disease progression, in particular.
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1 Equal contribution.
immune cause of MS and particularly the role of adaptive immunity and CD4+ T cell pathways in triggering the disease. While genetic and environmental factors independently confer modest effects, their combined impact conveys a dramatic increase in the risk of developing MS, suggesting interactions on a molecular level [8]. Thus, studying the epigenetic mechanisms, that integrate instructions from genes and environment to control cellular function on the molecular level, represents one avenue to uncover processes of importance for diseases as complex as MS.

The most commonly studied epigenetic mechanism is DNA methylation, which is the covalent addition of a methyl group to the 5th carbon of cytosine, known as 5-methylcytosine (5mC) in a CpG dinucleotide context [9]. Generally, DNA methylation within CpG rich promoters of genes is associated with transcriptional repression, while higher methylation in gene bodies has been shown to positively correlate with expression [10]. We have recently demonstrated that DNA methylation mediates risk of developing MS [11]. Several studies have compared DNA methylation changes between MS patients and controls in CD4+, CD8+, CD14+, CD19+ cells and bulk peripheral blood mononuclear cells using the same methodology to measure DNA methylation genome-wide, i.e. illumina methylation arrays [11–18]. While each study reports potentially interesting candidates, changes in HLA-DRB1 seem most reproducible likely owing to the strong genetic regulation of methylation in the locus. This lack of reproducibility is caused by the fact that MS is a heterogeneous disease, thus warranting larger cohorts of sorted cells, which is typically challenging, and new analytical methods.

Here we analyzed DNA methylation in four cell types implicated in MS immunopathology [19–21] that were sorted from peripheral blood of RRMS and SPMS patients and healthy controls. We show that immune cells from MS patients share epigenetic changes and we demonstrate a statistical framework to identify such changes, thus increasing the power of identifying disease-associated methylation patterns in complex heterogeneous diseases.

2. Methods

2.1. Cohorts

A discovery cohort comprising persons affected with RRMS and SPMS and HC, and an independent validation cohort, comprising persons affected with RRMS (n = 14) and healthy controls (n = 11), were recruited at the Neurology clinic at Karolinska University Hospital in Stockholm. The RRMS patients were primarily selected based on recent evidence of disease activity, either manifested as relapses or contrast enhancing Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) lesions, and the majority (87.5%) of the RRMS patients have not been treated at the time of sampling. Cohort details, with the exact number of RRMS, SPMS and HC individuals profiled for each cell type, are provided in Table 1, and detailed patient information, including treatment history and disease activity, is supplied in Supplementary Table 1. The Regional Ethical Review Board in Stockholm approved the study and methods were carried out in accordance with institutional guidelines for experiments with human subjects. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

The whole blood cohort used to replicate functional pathways in SPMS, consisting of RRMS (n = 119), SPMS (n = 17) and HC (n = 139), was described in detail elsewhere [11,22].

2.2. Sample preparation

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from the discovery and validation cohort were isolated directly after collection using a standard Ficoll (GE Healthcare) and sodium citrate-containing preparation tubes (Becton Dickinson) procedures, respectively. Monocytes were isolated using CD14+ positive selection on MACS microbeads magnetic
separation (Miltenyi), according to manufacturer’s instructions (> 95% purity). Sorting of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells and CD19+B cells was performed from the negative fraction obtained after sorting of monocytes by adding fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies against human CD4 (clone SK3, APC-conjugated, Becton Dickinson), CD8 (clone SK1, FITC-conjugated, Becton Dickinson), CD3 (clone UCHT1, PE-conjugated, BD Bioscience) and CD19 (clone SJ25C1, APC-Cy7-conjugated, Becton Dickinson) using high-speed MoFlo™ cell sorter (Beckman Coulter, Inc., > 99% purity). Extraction of genomic DNA was performed using Gen Elute Mammalian Genomic DNA Miniprep kit (Sigma-Aldrich). The amount and quality of DNA were assessed with a NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies Inc). The four cells types were sorted from all individuals in the discovery cohort and samples with sufficient DNA amounts were used in further analysis. The numbers of RRMS, SPMS and HC used for each cell type are provided in Table 1 and details of individuals are given in Supplementary Table 1. Processing of the discovery cohort samples for Infinium HumanMethylation450 arrays (Illumina, hereafter referred to as 450k), including bisulfite conversion, was done at the Bioinformatics and Expression Analysis core facility (BEA), Karolinska Institutet (Stockholm) for CD14+ monocytes and CD4+ T cells, and at Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine (Baltimore) for CD8+ T cells and CD19+ B cells. Processing of the validation cohort samples for Infinium MethylationEPIC arrays (Illumina, hereafter referred to as EPIC) was done at the SNP&SEQ Technology platform (Uppsala). Cases and controls were randomized on the arrays.

2.3. DNA methylation analysis

Methylation profiles for every cell type were analyzed individually in R using the Minfi [23] and ChAMP package [24] following the pipeline according to Marabita et al [25]. Briefly, type 1 and type 2 probes were normalized using quantile normalization and BMIQ. Sex of the samples was confirmed using the GetSex function from the Minfi package and the cell type identity was confirmed using the cell type deconvolution method from Minfi based on the Houseman algorithm [26]. The following probes were filtered out: i) probes not passing the detection p-value cutoff of 0.01, ii) probes with known SNPs, and iii) X and Y chromosome probes. Batch effects were identified using principal component analysis (PCA) and corrected using ComBat from the SVA package [27]. The loading of methylation profiles was performed in this manner for each cohort used in this study. Differentially methylated positions (DMPs) were determined with linear modeling using the limma package [28].

Table 1

| Characteristics of Multiple Sclerosis (MS) patients and healthy controls used for 450 K methylation analysis. | CD4+ | CD8+ | CD14+ | CD19+ |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Healthy controls | N (female/male) | 11 (7/4) | 14 (9/5) | 13 (9/4) | 10 (6/4) |
| Mean age (range) | 43 (28–62) | 37 (20–65) | 41 (28–62) | 39 (28–60) |
| Relapsing-remitting MS | N (female/male) | 12 (9/3) | 10 (5/5) | 10 (7/3) | 12 (7/5) |
| Mean age (range) | 38 (26–57) | 35 (26–44) | 40 (29–57) | 37 (26–57) |
| Secondary progressive MS | N (female/male) | 8 (4/4) | 4 (0/4) | 12 (7/5) | 5 (2/3) |
| Mean age (range) | 50 (35–63) | 44 (38–50) | 49 (35–60) | 47 (35–56) |

All four cell types were available from 11 individuals, while between any two cell types the overlap of individuals ranged from the average of 45% between CD8+ and CD14+ cells to 79% between CD4+ and CD14+ cells (details can be found in Supplementary Table 1). Patients had not been treated within 6 months prior to sample collection.

methodology as implemented in the omicsNPC [32] function of the STATegRa R package. The omicsNPC procedure combines results from a series of statistical tests in order to produce a single global p-value that summarizes evidence from all tests. In this study, our goal was to identify probes whose differential methylation is detected in multiple cell types.

In short, for each probe i the limma results from the individual cell types j = 1, . . . , n were combined by omicsNPC using the Liptak-Stouffer function: Ti = Σj β−1 j (1 − λj), where β−1 j is the normal inverse cumulative distribution function, and λ j is the p-value corresponding to probe i and cell type j. The global statistic Ti is then transformed in a shared p-value p i by using a permutation approach. Notably, permutations are performed by randomly re-arranging the patients’ status information (RRMS, SPMS, HC) across all cell types in a coordinated way. In this way the association between each measurement and the patients’ status is disrupted, while the correlation structure across measurements from different cell types is left unaltered and accounted for. Neglecting such correlations would possibly lead to false positive associations. By using the Liptak-Stouffer function, significant global p-values are produced for probes that are differentially methylated, even mildly, in multiple cell types, thus supported by multiple evidences.

Finally, in our analysis omicsNPC was run with 10.000 permutations on all probes with a nominal p-value <.05 in individual cell type analyses. Statistical significance for the omicsNPC results was defined as Liptak p-value <.0001 and Liptak FDR <.2.

2.5. Clustering

Significant omicsNPC probes were individually transformed from beta values to cell specific Z-scores by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation. The matrix was clustered into distinct groups using MClust [33]. Based on the direction of change within each cluster, the clusters were merged and assigned to one of the following groups: Unk, MS and SP.

2.6. Functional annotations

Genes associated with DMP probe IDs from the Illumina manifest were uploaded to Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) database (Qiagen) and core expression analysis was performed to identify affected canonical pathways and functional annotations. Immune tissues, including primary immune cells and cell lines, were used. Right-tailed Fisher’s exact test was used to calculate a p-value determining the probability that each biological function assigned to that data set is due to chance alone. Canonical pathways-functional annotations were grouped into clusters by calculating the similarity of pathways/annotations using the relative risk (RR) of each pathway appearing with each pathway based on the genes enriched within the pathway. Only pathways representing a minimum of 5 differentially methylated genes were selected for functional exploration. RR scores were clustered into groups using kmeans. Genes associated with DMPs with absolute Δβ > 5%, p-value <.001 were used for pathway analysis of changes identified in individual cell types. Genes associated with DMPs defined as significant in omicsNPC and clustered in specific
groups were used for canonical pathways and functional annotation analysis of shared changes. Over-representation analysis (ORA) as implemented in webgestalt [34] was used to identify cluster labels. ORA was also used in the analysis of the whole blood data genes and visualized using REVIGO [35].

2.7. Meta-analysis

Meta-analysis of CD14+ cells for the comparison of RRMS and healthy controls in the discovery and validation cohort on the 377,607 probes shared between 450 K and EPIC platforms was conducted with a random effects model (REMLe) using the metap and metafor R-package [36]. Directionality was determined using the Metal pipeline.

2.8. Overlap with disease-associated loci and meQTLs

To determine if there is an overlap of the top-ranked shared DMPs from omicsNPC with MS-associated genetic variants, we used a set of 234 recently reported MS-associated SNPs [7]. The Genomic Association Test (GAT) tool [37] was applied to estimate the significance of the overlap between MS-associated SNPs and omicsNPC DMPs. The analysis was run for bins of 2 kb windows based on the average distance between SNPs and CpGs taken from GeMes [38]. For a comparison, the overlap was tested for other diseases matched for the number of SNPs with MS as well as for common control SNPs which were matched in the CpG probe density for the bins run.

Furthermore, omicsNPC probes were investigated for potential meQTLs using the Blueprint data [39]. OmicsNPC probes were extracted from the full Blueprint dataset comprising monocytes and T cells. MeQTLs were considered significant if Bonferroni-corrected p-value <.05.

3. Results

3.1. Disease-associated DNA methylation patterns in four immune cell types

We have profiled DNA methylation in CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, CD14+ monocytes and CD19+ B cells from MS patients and HC (Table 1, Table S1) using Infinium HumanMethylation450 arrays (450 K). All four cell types have been implicated in the pathogenesis of MS [19–21]. After adjustment for confounders, we found 1511, 666, and 30 significant differentially methylated positions (DMPs, adj. p-value <.05) in CD19+ B cells, respectively, between RRMS, SPMS and HC individuals (Fig. 1A, Table S2). B cells displayed more differences between RRMS and HC (3904 DMPs, abs. Δβ > 5%, adj. p-value <.05) compared to any other cell type (0, 124 in CD4+, CD8+, CD14+ cells, respectively). In total, ~70% (2662/3904) of DMPs between RRMS and HC in CD19+ B cells displayed hypomethylation in RRMS (Table S2), which was also reflected on the level of the most variable DMPs (Fig. 1A). The opposite pattern was observed in CD14+ monocytes (Fig. 1B), which bear the second highest number of significant differences, where ~90% (110/124) of DMPs between RRMS and HC (abs. Δβ > 5%, adj. p-value <.05) displayed hypermethylation in RRMS (Table S2). The significant methylation changes identified in B cells and monocytes were particularly enriched in open sea regions and depleted from TSS1500, 5’ UTRs and shores (Fig. S1). Unlike B cells and monocytes, T cells displayed very little methylation difference between RRMS, SPMS and HC. Only one CpG was significant between RRMS and HC in CD8+ T cells (Table S2) although the most variable DMPs displayed predominant hypermethylation in RRMS, which is consistent with previous findings [13]. Notably, none of the CpGs in CD4+ T cells passed the significance threshold (Fig. 1A, Table S2), despite previous reports [12,16].

In order to identify biological functions that are affected by the differences in methylation patterns, we performed functional IPA analysis on genes associated with DMPs identified in the different cell types. We focused on candidate differences between RRMS and HC (abs. Δβ > 5%, unadjusted p-value <.001) as these groups had similar size in all four cell types (Table 1). IPA analysis revealed over-representation of immune-related processes, with an enrichment of genes involved in antigen presentation, CX40 signaling, T helper cell differentiation, T lymphocyte apoptosis, and B cell development, among others, and biological functions reflecting immune cell migration and inflammatory response (Table S3). Interestingly, the majority of canonical pathways and biological functions overlapped between the four cell types (Fig. 2A), implying that similar functions may be affected by methylation changes in CD4+, CD8+, CD14+ and CD19+ cells in RRMS patients compared to controls. This is further supported by the strong correlation of changes (Δβ) between cell types (Fig. 2B), i.e., a large fraction of CpGs exhibited the same direction of the change between RRMS and HC in all four cell types.

These findings indicate that in addition to cell type-specific effects there is a substantial fraction of DNA methylation changes that may be shared across the immune cell types implicated in MS pathogenesis.

3.2. Combining multiple immune cell types increases power to identify disease-associated DNA methylation patterns

In order to increase statistical power by using multiple layers of evidence, i.e., from CD4+, CD8+, CD14+ and CD19+ cells, we applied the non-parametric combination methodology as implemented in the omicsNPC function [32] (Fig. 3A). This stepwise approach builds on permutations of the moderated F-statistics from all probes passing nominal p-value <.05 in any of the comparisons (clinical groups or cell types), which were combined using the Liptak-Stouffer function. This function requires support from most of the individual analyses in order to provide a significant overall p-value (i.e., probes with a low p-value only in one single cell type are unlikely to achieve a significant overall p-value). OmicsNPC analysis for different combinations of the cell types resulted in 1976 DMPs for all four cell types, 1273 DMPs for lymphocytes (CD4+, CD8+ and CD19+ cells), 423 DMPs for T cells (CD4+ and CD8+ cells) and 2782 DMPs for cells with the antigen-presenting potential (CD14+ and CD19+ cells) (Fig. 3B, Table S4).

Interestingly, the directionality of the significant omicsNPC DMPs was shared across different cells types significantly more than expected by chance (χ2-test p-value < .05). For example, the majority of the omicsNPC hypomethylated DMPs in the CD19+ B cells were found hypomethylated in the three other cell types as well (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, the shared directionality was also seen when comparing the T-statistics from Liptak significant probes, which displayed a high correlation between cell types within each comparison (Fig. 4B). Overall, omicsNPC methodology allowed robust identification of a substantial number of DMPs with evidence of a DNA methylation change across multiple cell types.

To address whether omicsNPC increases the discovery power, we used a validation cohort comprising methylation data from CD14+ cells isolated from RRMS (n = 14) and HC (n = 11) generated using EPIC arrays. After selecting for the shared probes between the two Illumina platforms (n = 377,607, Table S5), we performed a random effect meta-analysis between CD14+ methylation profiles from the two cohorts. As expected, the meta-analysis resulted in the identification of a larger number of DMPs between RRMS and HC individuals (Table S5). Comparison with omicsNPC showed that most of the additional DMPs identified in CD14+ cells after conducting a meta-analysis of the two cohorts ranked in the top of the omicsNPC DMPs, however these probes did not rank in the top of the DMPs identified in the
original 450 K CD14+ cohort alone (Fig. 4C). Among top 10,000 ranked DMPs, up to 27% of the top ranking omicsNPC DMPs were also top ranking in the meta-analysis, especially when considering omicsNPC comparisons containing CD14+ cells (e.g. shared between CD14+ and CD19+, or shared across all four cell types).

Collectively, these data indicate that the omicsNPC methodology combines evidence from distinct yet disease-relevant cell types to increase the discovery power.

3.3. Co-localization of disease-associated omicsNPC CpGs with MS susceptibility loci

We examined the possible mechanisms underlying omicsNPC DMPs with evidence in CD4+, CD8+, CD14+ and CD19+ cells. Given the overlap of individuals between the cell type cohorts, ranging from 45% to 79% between two cell types, shared methylation changes could reflect genetically-controlled methylation changes known as meQTLs [38,39].
Thus, we compared our omicsNPC DMPs from the combination of all four cell types with meQTL data detected in naïve CD4+ T cells and CD14+ monocytes from 197 individuals. Of 1976 omicsNPC DMPs, only 261 (13.2%) displayed significant (Bonferroni adj. \( p < 0.05 \)) meQTLs with the same SNPs in both cell types.

Moreover, genetic influences from the MS susceptibility loci could provide another biological explanation for the observed shared methylation changes in functionally distinct cell types. To test this hypothesis, we investigated the co-localization of omicsNPC probes and MS-associated genetic loci [7]. Significant CpGs from different omicsNPC combinations were tested for enrichment in genetic regions associated with MS as well as other inflammatory and non-inflammatory diseases that have their genetic architecture similar to that of MS. In total, 234 MS-associated SNPs were taken from the most recent association study [7], while SNPs associated with other diseases were taken from the GWAS catalog (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/). There was a significant co-localization of omicsNPC CpGs with the MS associated SNPs, while no overlap could be found with the SNPs that associate with asthma, bone mineral density, major depressive disorder, psoriasis, as well as common control SNPs (Fig. 4D).

These data imply that at least a fraction of methylation changes that are shared across distinct immune cell types may be driven by genetic variants that predispose for MS development.

### 3.4. DNA methylation patterns at omicsNPC DMPs implicate functionally distinct pathways during MS progression

To explore if DNA methylation patterns can inform about distinct MS features, we first performed unbiased clustering of individuals based on z-score transformed omicsNPC CpGs derived from a combination of all four cells types. The optimal clustering revealed a grouping of individuals into seven different clusters (Fig. 5A, Table S6). Based on average methylation levels for each cell type, MS status and stage, these seven clusters were further assigned to three biological groups. The first group (SP) comprised changes that primarily related to the SPMS stage, i.e. most of the differences were related to the SPMS vs HC or
In contrast to omicsNPC DMPs, previously reported age-related DMPs [40,41] correlated significantly with age also in our cohort (data not shown).

We then investigated the functional relevance of the changes that associated with the three biological groups using IPA. The enriched canonical pathways and functional annotations were grouped together based on the RR clustering (see Methods). The pathway analysis was based on 174 unique genes associated with differentially methylated CpGs, of which only 11 (6%) were shared between the groups, indicating that very specific functions are affected by methylation in different clinical groups. Although there was occasionally overlap between pathway labels between different groups, the RR analysis demonstrated that the majority of the genes comprising those pathways did not overlap. We observed seven distinct clusters of canonical pathways that differed between the MS and SP groups (Fig. 5B, Table S6). The pathways related to MS in general encompass genes implicated in signaling downstream T- and B-cell receptors as well as in T-cell activation. As expected, these pathways comprise many molecules involved in signaling in immune cells such as IL1RL2, GNG4, IRAK2, MAPK14, NCOA2, PLCB2, PTPRJ, PRKCZ, RUNX3, SMAD9, STAT5A (Table S6, PRKCZ is shown in Fig. 5D). The canonical pathways associated to SP include genes involved in cAMP-mediated signaling, NO signaling, metabolism, respiratory burst and phagocytosis. The Unk group showed enrichment of genes related to actin cytoskeleton. Annotation of biological processes revealed three major clusters, each specific for a clinical group, supporting the functional specificity of methylation changes in clinical groups. While the SP-specific functions included development and activation of predominantly myeloid cells, more general MS functions included chemokinesis of both myeloid and lymphoid cells (Fig. 5C, Table S7). For the Unk group the functions included cell-to-cell signaling and interaction, inflammatory response, cell morphology and function of APCs. Several examples of DMPs are shown in Fig. 5D.

Surprisingly, many genes in the SP group, despite being detected in immune cells, have previously been involved in neurodevelopmental and/or neurodegenerative functions. They include APAF1, ASIC2, BAFA2, CALB2, CDH23, CLDN14, CR1, CX2CR1, CGB1/2, GLI3, GNAO1, GRID2, GRIN1, GRM2, ITGFR2/3, JAK2, MAPK10, NTN1, GM1, TGBF1 and TUBB2A/6 (Table S6, GNAO1, JAK2, CALB2 and GLI3 are shown in Fig. 5D). Indeed, in addition to immune-related processes and functions, the SP group displayed an enrichment of changes in genes implicated in neuronal functions, such as “Axonal Guidance Signaling”, “CREB Signaling in Neurons”, “eNOS Signaling” and “Synaptic Long Term Potentiation/Depression”. To examine this association of SP changes in blood with neurodegenerative functions, we analyzed DNA methylation data from whole blood in an independent cohort (n = 275) [11]. Gene Ontology analyses revealed shared (Fig. 6A–B) and distinct (Fig. 6C) pathways and biological functions associated to RRMS and SPMS patients in comparison to healthy individuals and confirmed enrichment of neuronal processes in SPMS patients, specifically.

4. Discussion

We investigated genome-wide DNA methylation in four immune cell types, implicated in the pathogenesis of MS [19–21], from healthy individuals and MS patients in the RRMS and SPMS stage. The most prominent changes were detected in B cells, while no significant changes could be detected in T cells. However, we observed evidence of shared DNA methylation changes across different cell types and we developed a non-parametric framework to detect such changes, thus increasing the power to identify disease-associated differences that can cluster individuals into distinct functional groups and uncover known and novel pathways in MS pathogenesis.

Several studies investigated DNA methylation in immune cells sorted from MS patients using the same Illumina array-based methodology, with negligible overlap between the findings [11–14,16,18]. Likewise, our cell type-specific analyses demonstrated none and one significant DMP in CD4+ and CD8+ T cells between RRMS and controls,
Fig. 4. Evidence that the omicsNPC framework increases discovery power. (A) Scatter plot shows differentially methylated positions (DMPs) between relapsing-remitting Multiple Sclerosis (RRMS), secondary progressive MS (SPMS) and healthy controls (HC) shared across CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, CD14+ monocytes and CD19+ B cells, identified using the omicsNPC framework (significance was defined as Liptak-Stouffer p-value < 0.0001 and FDR < 0.2). The X- and Y-axis provide -log10(p-value) * sign of the limma t-statistic for DMPs detected between HS vs. RRMS and RRMS vs. SPMS, respectively. The DMPs that were hypomethylated from HC to RRMS and further from RRMS to SPMS in CD19+ B cells and their significance and directionality in other cell types are shown in red. The lower panel shows the heatmap based on the -log10 p-value for a Chi-square test comparing observed versus expected number of probes that share the directionality of effect for omicsNPC DMPs (1976) and all probes used in analysis (167781). (B) Heatmap displaying the correlation of T-statistics from the comparisons between RRMS and HC, RRMS and SPMS, and SPMS and HC from the analyses in the individual cell types for the 1976 significant omicsNPC DMPs. The colour indicates the Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient. (C) The percentage of DMPs which appear in the top ranks in both a random effect meta-analysis between 450 K and EPIC cohorts of CD14+ cell of RRMS vs HC, and shared DMPs in different omicsNPC combinations, but do not appear in the CD14+ HC vs RRMS analysis of the 450 K cohort alone. This percentage reflects the additional discovery power of the omicsNPC pipeline. OmicsNPC tested combinations are All (CD4-CD8-CD14-CD19), APC (CD14-CD19), T cells (CD4-CD8) and Lymphocytes (CD4-CD8-CD19). (D) The enrichment of MS-associated SNPs [7], as well as SNPs that associate with asthma, psoriasis, bone mineral density (BMD), major depressive disorder (MDD) and common SNPs in the loci encompassing the significant omicsNPC DMPs was calculated using the Genomic Association Testing (GAT) tool and –log10(p-value) is given on the Y-axis.
Fig. 5. Pathways and functions implicated by DNA methylation patterns in Multiple Sclerosis (MS) patients. (A) A heat map of 1976 differentially methylated positions (DMPs) between relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS), secondary progressive MS (SPMS) and healthy controls (HC) shared by CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, CD14+ monocytes and CD19+ B cells, identified using the omicsNPC framework (significance was defined as Liptak-Stouffer p-value < .0001 and FDR < 0.2). The methylation β-values were Z-score transformed and clustered using Mclust into the MS-specific (MS, 843 CpGs), SPMS-specific (SP, 689 CpGs) and the Unknown (Unk, 444 CpGs) group. The boxplots indicate average Z-score transformed β-values in RRMS, SPMS and HC groups for each cluster and cell type. (B) A heatmap with significant Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) canonical pathways (Fisher's p-value < .05, min 5 molecules) generated from genes that associate with shared DMPs. Pathways were grouped into clusters using the relative risk (RR) between different pathways, which was calculated based on the number of overlapping genes per pathway. Distinct functional clusters are highlighted. (C) A heatmap with significant IPA functional annotations (Fisher's p-value < .05, min 5 molecules) generated from genes that associate with shared DMPs. Annotations were grouped into clusters using the RR between different annotations. Distinct functional annotations are highlighted. (D) The boxplots show methylation β-value distribution in HC, RRMS and SPMS in each cell type for several exemplified DMPs.
identify methylation changes in CD4+ T cells, despite important role of cell types in our study (Table S8). Although their reproducibility remains to be tested in independent cohorts, methylation changes can be detected in bulk B cells and monocytes, rare pathogenic sub-types. Our analysis further suggests that most need to be carried out in a sub-population of T cells, i.e. more relevant for different cell types. As the omicsNPC pipeline uses absolute values for effect size, the shared directionality was not enforced by the parametric combination methodology in the omicsNPC [32] and applied the Liptak-Stouffer function to combine p-values. This function produces significant DMPs supported by multiple evidence, i.e. probes that are differentially methylated, even mildly, in multiple cell types. Indeed, omicsNPC approach enabled identification of more DMPs than analyses in the individual cell types. Furthermore, the directionality of the change for the omicsNPC DMPs was also often shared among the different cell types. As the omicsNPC pipeline uses absolute values for effect size, the shared directionality was not enforced by the methodology but was a result of the analysis. This suggests that the approach increases the discovery power, which we confirmed using an independent cohort of CD4+ T cells from RRMS and HC. In addition, a number of omicsNPC significant DMPs, e.g. in SAMD11 (multiple CpGs), HLA class II locus (multiple CpGs) CASZ, TMEM48 and FSCN2 genes displayed at least nominal significance with the same directionality of the change in previous independent studies of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells or CD19+ B cells (Table S8).
MeQTLs could provide one explanation for the shared methylation changes in cells with distinct functions, and it was recently suggested that changes detected in case-control cohorts largely reflect meQTL effects [43]. Therefore, we compared our omicsNPC DMPs with significant meQTL detected in CD4+ T cells and monocytes [39]. In total, 261/1976 (13%) omicsNPC DMPs were shown to be genetically regulated by the same SNP in both cell types, implying a potential that some of these CpGs are identified due to a varying genetic background between patients and controls, but these are only a minor fraction of the identified changes. On the other hand, we discovered significant co-localization of the omicsNPC CpGs with the loci involved in MS susceptibility [7]. This suggests that shared methylation changes may be under the regulation of disease-predisposing genetic factors in immune cells involved in the pathogenesis. Accordingly, we have recently shown that methylation in the HLA-DRB1, the major genetic risk factor, and the most reproducible methylation differences across studies, can mediate the risk of developing MS [11]. Moreover, no significant co-localization with genetic factors of other tested inflammatory (psoriasis) or neuropsychiatric diseases (MDD) was observed, indicating that the identified shared changes show specificity for MS. Another explanation for shared changes could be exposure to the same environmental conditions, be it external (e.g. infections, smoking, sun exposure, vitamin D levels) or internal (e.g. chronic inflammation). However, the relative contribution of these mechanisms warrants further studies.

Interestingly, only methylation changes in B cells, which displayed by far the largest number of methylation changes compared to other cell types, did not display significant co-localization with MS risk loci. As genetic studies of susceptibility typically address factors of disease initiation, this may suggest the involvement of B cells in events other than triggering MS or that the contribution of B cells to MS susceptibility might be conveyed in a non-genetic manner to a greater extent. In that regard, B cells are the primary targets of Epstein Barr virus (EBV) infection, which is one of the major environmental factors associated with susceptibility to develop MS [8]. It has been shown that B cell immortalization, or EBV results in hypomethylation that affects promoters of pro-liferative genes and a large part of the B cell genome [44,45]. We have also observed that nearly 70% of DMPs in B cells of RRMS patients display hypomethylation. These findings are also of interest in context of recent experimental observations regarding the non-redundant role of memory B cells in activating memory T cells in an antigen-specific manner, as well as the remarkable efficacy of B cell depleting therapies, both of which supports the notion of an important role for B cells in sustaining inflammatory activity in MS [46,47].

The omicsNPC DMPs clustered individuals into distinct groups with one group corresponding to changes occurring in MS patients in general and another group comprising changes that are more specific for the SPMS stage. Functional annotation analysis implicated signaling pathways downstream T- and B-cell receptors and T cell activation to be affected by methylation changes in MS patients in general. These pathways agree with well-recognized role of adaptive immunity in triggering MS [4–7]. SPMS patients in the MS group often showed changes that were intermediary, i.e. less pronounced than in the RRMS stage but not at the level of healthy individuals, which may also reflect age-related decline in the adaptive immunity in older individuals [48]. The genes affected by methylation changes in the MS group are often involved in signaling in adaptive immune cells including transcription factors RUNX3 (Runt Related Transcription Factor 3) and STAT5A (Signal Transducer And Activator Of Transcription 5A) that are critical for differentiation of cytotoxic T cells [49], and balance between regulatory and effector functions [50], respectively.

Functional annotation of the SPMS-specific group, on the other hand, suggested the involvement of myeloid cells and functions such as NO signaling, metabolism and phagocytosis, adding to the increasing evidence of the involvement of these mechanisms in the disease progression [51]. However, the most surprising finding is a significant enrichment of pathways linked to neurological processes specifically in the SP group. We confirmed these distinctive changes in the SPMS stage on the pathway level in an independent cohort. The finding is interesting in light of the MS paradigm that proposes that exhaustion of CNS reserves, caused by inflammation, likely represent a breaking point to enter progressive stage of disease [51]. Several of these genes have been linked to neodegenerative processes but also severity and progression of MS, including ASIC2 (Acid Sensing Ion Channel Subunit 2) [52], CALB2 (Calbindin 2) [53], CERK (Ceramide Kinase) [54], CR1 (Complement C3b/C4b Receptor 1) [55,56], CX3CR1 (Fractalkine Receptor) [57], GRIN1 (Glutamate Ionotropic Receptor NMDA Type Subunit 1) [58], LRPI (LDL Receptor Related Protein 1) [59], NTR1 (Netrin1) [60] and TNFRSF1A (TNF Receptor Superfamily Member 1A) [61]. Interestingly, some of these neuronal genes have been shown to play key roles outside the CNS, particularly in immune cells. This is the case for example of the neurotransmitter glutamate signaling, displaying DNA methylation changes at several genes encoding receptor (GluR) subunits (GRID2, GRIN1, GRM2) and downstream signaling molecules (e.g. ITTP2/3, PRKCA, CAMK2D, PRKAR1B) in SP cluster. Compelling evidence has demonstrated that glutamate exerts potent effects on normal immune cells and in the context of MS, e.g. affecting T cells activation, adhesion and migration, either directly through GluRs expressed at the surface of immune cells [62,63] or indirectly via glutamate-dependent pathways [64]. Similarly, axonal guidance cues such as netrins (NTR1, NTRN1 genes in our cohort), recently found altered in sera of MS patients [65], have been shown to affect crucial cellular functions of both innate and adaptive immune cells [66–68]. This neuronal pattern in immune cells suggests that processes occurring in the brain might imprint an overlapping molecular signature on the peripheral immune cells. Such brain signature can occur when the immune cells infiltrate the CNS, as seen in the case of stroke [69], or via unknown mechanisms, as suggested in other CNS pathologies [70,71]. Another explanation implies external factors causing overlapping signatures between the tissues. One possibility is that chronic inflammation in MS causes age acceleration, as low-grade inflammation is one of the factors suggested to cause aging [72]. This process may result in overlapping molecular signatures between the tissues and lead to exhaustion of the CNS functions as suggested in other CNS abnormalities [73,74] and disorders [75]. While the functional relevance of DNA methylation changes remains to be studied, this is the first report of immune cells exhibiting a unique molecular signature indicative of processes in the brain during the progressive stage of disease.

5. Conclusion

We demonstrate that four distinct immune cell types from MS patients share functionally relevant DNA methylation changes compared to healthy individuals. Owing to a gain of discovery power, omicsNPC methodology allows detection of such changes in complex diseases and further enables the identification of discrete changes in MS patients, in general, and the SPMS stage, in particular. The findings provide new insights into the putative mechanisms underlying MS pathogenesis and progression.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.04.042.
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