IDENTIFICATION OF A NOVEL SUBSTRATE-DERIVED SPERMINE OXIDASE INHIBITOR
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ABSTRACT Homeostasis of the biogenic polyamines spermine (Spm) and spermidine (Spd), present in μM-mM concentrations in all eukaryotic cells, is precisely regulated by coordinated activities of the enzymes of polyamine synthesis, degradation, and transport, in order to sustain normal cell growth and viability. Spermine oxidase (SMOX) is the key and most recently discovered enzyme of polyamine metabolism that plays an essential role in regulating polyamine homeostasis by catalyzing the back-conversion of Spm to Spd. The development of many types of epithelial cancer is associated with inflammation, and disease-related inflammatory stimuli induce SMOX. MDL72527 is widely used in vitro and in vivo as an irreversible inhibitor of SMOX, but it is also potent towards N1-acetylpolyamine oxidase. Although SMOX has high substrate specificity, Spm analogues have not been systematically studied as enzyme inhibitors. Here we demonstrate that 1,12-diamino-2,11-bis(methylidene)-4,9-diazadodecane (2,11-Met2-Spm) has, under standard assay conditions, an IC50 value of 169 μM towards SMOX and is an interesting instrument and lead compound for studying polyamine catabolism.
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ABBREVIATIONS Spm – spermine; Spd – spermidine; SMOX – spermine oxidase; PAOX – N1-acetylpolyamine oxidase; MDL72527 – {N1,N4-(bis(2,3-butadienyl)-1,4-butanediamine)}; 2,11-Met2-Spm – 1,12-diamino-2,11-bis(methylidene)-4,9-diazadodecane; 2,11-Me2Spm – 1,12-diamino-2,11-dimethyl-4,9-diazadodecane.

INTRODUCTION
The biogenic polyamines spermine (Spm) and spermidine (Spd), and their diamine precursor putrescine (Put), are organic polycations present in all eukaryotic cells in μM-mM concentrations that a priori determine the diversity of their functions, many of which are vitally important [1, 2]. Polyamine intracellular levels are strictly controlled by precise regulation of the activity, biosynthesis and degradation of key enzymes of their metabolism. Polyamines are tightly involved in these regulatory processes, and the cell spends considerable energy to maintain polyamine homeostasis [3]. Disturbances of polyamine metabolism and homeostasis are associated with many diseases [1–6], but they may be most essential to cancer cells, which can have elevated requirements for polyamines. Compounds capable of specifically decreasing the polyamine pool have potential as anticancer drugs [5] and for chemoprevention [6].

FAD-dependent spermine oxidase (SMOX, Fig. 1) converts Spm to Spd with the formation of hydrogen peroxide, a source of ROS, and 3-aminopropanal, which can spontaneously form highly toxic acrolein (Fig. 1). SMOX has been demonstrated to contribute to cancer, including prostate, colon and gastric cancer induced by infection and inflammation [7–9]. In gastric cancer, Helicobacter pylori infection induces SMOX in gastric epithelial cells that results in the generation of hydrogen peroxide and acrolein-producing 3-aminopropanal; these lead to DNA damage and apoptosis [10].
Inhibition of SMOX with the $N^1$-acetylpolyamine oxidase (PAOX, Fig. 1) irreversible inhibitor MDL72527 ($N^1,N^4$-(bis(2,3-butadienyl)-1,4-butanediamine)) [11], which has an IC₅₀ value of 90 µM towards SMOX, reduces these effects [8, 9]. However, in some cases it is necessary to discriminate the individual impact of SMOX and PAOX in an integral biological effect or development of the disease and MDL72527, which has been successfully and widely used for decades, inhibits both enzymes. Specific, effective and irreversible inhibitors of SMOX are lacking, partly because the X-ray structure of the enzyme is not available. The analysis of structure/activity relationships of polyamine analogues for PAOX and SMOX has indicated that both enzymes recognize two positively charged amino groups and have hydrophobic pocket(s) located close to the substrate binding site [12]. Therefore, a number of $N$-substituted diamines were investigated as potential inhibitors of SMOX. However, the problem of specific inhibition of each enzyme has still not been completely solved.

C9-4 ($N^1$-nonyl-1,4-diaminobutane) is a Put derivative, having an IC₅₀ value of 2.6 µM towards PAOX and an IC₅₀ value of 88 µM towards SMOX. This compound reduced the volume of brain infarction in a mouse model more effectively than MDL72527 [13]. The nor-Spd derivative SI-4650 ($N$-(3-[[3-(dimethylamino)propyl]amino]propyl)-8-quinolinecarboxamide) has an IC₅₀ value of 380 µM towards SMOX and an IC₅₀ value of 35 µM towards PAOX. SI-4650 inhibited cell growth, induced apoptosis, and promoted autophagy, making it a compound of interest for cancer treatment [12]. Recently, among a family of $N$-substituted 3,5-diamino-1,2,4-triazoles, an efficient and specific inhibitor of SMOX, $N^5$-(2-[(1,1′-biphenyl]-4-yloxy)benzyl]-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine, was identified as having an IC₅₀ value of 25 µM (the compound had an IC₅₀ value of >200 µM towards PAOX); this compound efficiently inhibited SMOX in cell culture [14]. Currently, this is the one compound that is significantly more effective towards SMOX than PAOX. Moreover, this $N$-substituted 3,5-diamino-1,2,4-triazole is 3.5-fold more potent against SMOX in vitro if compared with MDL72527 and is a promising tool to study the effects of specific SMOX inhibition on polyamine metabolism [14].

Properly designed Spm derivatives/analogues have never been widely studied as specific inhibitors of SMOX. However, taking into consideration that Spm is a substrate of SMOX and not a substrate of PAOX, one may expect that Spm derivatives may be a useful source of specific SMOX inhibitors. In the present paper, we started such investigations using 2,11-Met₂-Spm (Fig. 2A) for the inhibition of SMOX.
EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

1,12-Diamino-2,11-bis(methylidene)-4,9-diaza-dodecane tetrahydrochloride (2,11-Me2-Spm) was synthesized essentially as described in [15] starting from 2-chloromethyl-3-chloropropene-1 (Aldrich), which was reacted with potassium phthalimide to give 1-phthalimido-2-methylidene-3-chloropropane, which was used to alkylate bis-\(N^1,N^4\)-2-nitrophenylsulfonyl-1,4-diaminobutane. Subsequent removal of protecting groups resulted in 2,11-Me2-Spm in a good overall yield.

Protein expression and purification

The bacterial expression vector pET15b carrying the gene coding for the human SMOX protein was used to transform and express SMOX in E. coli BL21(DE3) competent cells using Luria Broth (LB) media supplemented with carbenicillin (100 µg/mL), 20 mg/L riboflavin and induced with 0.1 mM IPTG overnight at 18°C. The cells were lysed in a buffer containing 50 mM Na2HPO4/NaH2PO4 (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol, and 1% Triton X-100. Flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) was added at 250 µM with protease inhibitor (1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride) and 7 µL β-mercaptoethanol per 10 mL lysis buffer. The lysate was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was applied to a Ni-NTA column. The column was pre-equilibrated with lysis buffer, and the protein was eluted in a gradient in buffer containing 50 mM Na2HPO4/NaH2PO4 (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, and imidazole ranging from 50 to 250 mM. To remove the polyhistidine tag, the protein was subjected to thrombin cleavage (25 U) and dialyzed with 10K MWCO snakeskin into buffer containing 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and 50 mM NaCl (with BME) overnight at 4°C. The resulting protein solution was then subjected to Source15Q anion exchange to remove impurities.

SMOX activity assay and enzyme inhibition studies

SMOX activity was measured using a chemiluminescent enzyme-based assay detecting the formation of H2O2 in the presence of Spm as the substrate, as described earlier [16]. To measure the activity of 2,11-Me2-Spm against SMOX, the enzyme (300 ng) in 0.083 M glycine buffer (pH 8.0) and the inhibitor (0–250 µM) were added to the luminol-HRP master mix and incubated at 37°C for 2 min. Spm was then added to the reaction mixture at a final concentration of 250 µM, vortexed for 3 s, and chemiluminescence was integrated over 40 s. Data were averaged and normalized to the blank reaction (no inhibitor) as % SMOX activity. Inactivated SMOX served as a negative control and was accounted for in the calculations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Design of a SMOX inhibitor of Spm origin

There is a set of different strategies to design suicide inhibitors of the enzymes of amino acid metabolism.
One strategy consists in using a substrate/product analogue with a properly positioned activated double bond(s); for example, the allene group in MDL72527, which obeys irreversible inhibition [10]. An activated double bond may be generated at one of the steps of the substrate-like transformation of the inhibitor, like in the case of pyridoxal-5’-phosphate (PLP)-dependent ornithine decarboxylase and its suicide inhibitor DFMO [17]. The subsequent addition of a nucleophile to the activated double bond results in irreversible inhibition, which is developed in time. A double bond may already exist in the structure of the amino acid analogue and become activated as a result of the interaction with the coenzyme, similar to the mechanisms involved with the interaction between α-vinylc amino acids and PLP-dependent enzymes [18]. Here, these considerations were transformed into 1,12-diamino-2,11-bis(methylidene)-4,9-diazadodecane tetrahydrochloride (2,11-Met2-Spm) having a double bond in the beta position to the splitting C-N bond (Fig. 2A). The methylidene group may be activated as a result of the substrate-like transformation of 2,11-Met2-Spm, leading to the formation of the intermediate Schiff base (Fig. 2A). The possibility of substrate-like transformations of 2,11-Met2-Spm is evidenced by the known dependence of the substrate properties of bis-methylated Spm analogues in the SMOX reaction on the position of the methyl groups in the analogue structure. The ability of racemic 1,12-Me2Spm, 2,11-Me2Spm and 3,10-Me2Spm (Fig. 2B) to serve as substrates for SMOX decreased as the methyl group was positioned closer to the secondary (N4) amino group, and for 3,10-Me2Spm, kinetic parameters were impossible to determine [19]. This is likely because the methyl group at the third position of the Spm backbone may restrict the proton splitting at the C3 carbon atom and influence the formation of the Schiff base, a key intermediate of the SMOX reaction.

**Enzyme inhibition studies**

The experiments on the inhibition of SMOX with 2,11-Met2-Spm were performed under standard assay conditions, preincubating the enzyme with the inhibitor for 2 min and starting the reaction with the addition of Spm: with 250 µM of 2,11-Met2-Spm added, the enzyme was inhibited by 72% (Fig. 3). If the inhibition is competitive, the affinity of 2,11-Met2-Spm towards SMOX must be greater than that of Spm (Spm concentration in the substrate mixture was also 250 µM, i.e. 14 K_m). High affinity of 2,11-Met2-Spm for SMOX seems unlikely due to the high substrate specificity of the enzyme. Among twenty-nine closely related Spm analogues of tetra- and pentaamine nature, the best substrate was pentaamine 3433 (1,16-diamino-4,8,13-triazahexadecane), with K_m of 1.3 µM, i.e. 14 times better than Spm; among the rest, only pentaamine 3434 (1,17-diamino-4,9,13-triazahexe decane) was as efficient as Spm [20]. However, if the inhibition of SMOX is irreversible, the affinity of the inhibitor towards the enzyme at the reversible stage may be poor, being consistent with the results observed when SMOX was preincubated with 2,11-Met2-Spm at 100 µM and the enzyme activity was inhibited only by 33% (Fig. 3). It is currently unclear how quickly inhibition develops in time and the 2 min preincubation time, typical for MDL72527, may be too short for 2,11-Met2-Spm and SMOX because of the steric effect of the methylidene group in the β-position to the splitting C-N bond.

The activity of 2,11-Met2-Spm towards SMOX (IC_50 = 169 µM) was worse than that reported for MDL72527 (IC_50 = 90 µM [14]), which is an irreversible PAOX inhibitor of a Put nature with reactive allene substituents. As a Spm derivative, it is likely that 2,11-Met2-Spm will be less inhibitory of PAOX (natural substrates are N1-Ac-Spd and less effective N1-Ac-Spm) compared with SMOX. This is likely based on the comparison of the activity of the structurally similar rac-2,11-Me2Spm (Fig. 2B) towards SMOX and PAOX. Rac-2,11-Me2Spm was a comparatively poor substrate of SMOX, having a V_max of 124 pmol/min/µg protein and a K_m of 121 µM, while the activity of PAOX...
was inhibited for 60% only at the 500 µM concentration, when a fixed 50 µM concentration of the substrate N1-4-CSpd was used in the PAOX assay [19].

Our results clearly show that it is possible to design a Spm analogue that inhibits the FAD-dependent SMOX, a key enzyme of polyamine catabolism. 2,11-Met2-Spm has an IC50 value of 166 µM towards SMOX. Although the precise mechanism of the inhibition, the specificity of 2,11-Met2-Spm action, and the activity in cell culture are under investigation, the development of a selective inhibitor remains critical, not only as an experimental tool, but also as a potential therapeutic agent as SMOX is known to play a critical role in the development of multiple diseases, including cancer [5, 7, 8, 10].

FUNDING AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Synthesis of the inhibitor was supported by the Russian Science Foundation grant 17-74-20049 (AMK and ARK). Inhibition studies were supported by the National Institutes of Health grants NCI R01CA204234 and RO1CA235863 (RAC). This work was supported by a research grant from the University of Pennsylvania Orphan Disease Center in partnership with the Snyder-Robinson Foundation MDBR-20-135-SRS (RAC, TMS). We would like to thank Dr. Michelle S. Miller and Puchong Thiravatananond for their help in designing the purification protocol of SMOX.

REFERENCES
1. Miller-Fleming L., Olin-Sandoval V., Campbell K., Ralser M. // J. Mol. Biol. 2015. V. 427. P. 3389–3406.
2. Pegg A.E. // J. Biol. Chem. 2016. V. 291. P. 14904–14912.
3. Michael A.J. // Biochem. J. 2016. V. 473. P. 2315–2329.
4. Ramani D., De Bandt J.P., Cynober L. // Clin. Nut. 2014. V. 33. P. 14–22.
5. Casero R.A., Murray Stewart T., Pegg A.E. // Nature Rev. Cancer 2018. V. 18. P. 681–695.
6. Gerner E.W., Bruckheimer E., Cohen A. // J. Biol. Chem. 2018. V. 293. P. 18770–18778.
7. Goodwin A., Jadallah S., Tuubaji A., Leckell K., Hicks J.L., Kowalski J., Bova G.S., De Marzo A.M., Netto G.J., Casero R.A. // Prostate. 2008. V. 68. P. 766–772.
8. Chaturved R., Asim M., Romero-Gallo J., Barry D.P., Hoge S., Sablet T., Delgado A.G., Wroblewski L.E., Piazuelo M.B., Yan F., et al. // Gastroenterology. 2011. V. 141. P. 1696–1708.
9. Goodwin A.C., Shields C.D., Wu S., Huso D.L., Wu X., Murray Stewart T., Rabizadeh S., Woster P.M., Sears C.L., Casero R.A. // Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2011. V. 108. P. 15354–15359.
10. Sierr JC, Piazuelo MB, Luis PB, Barry DP, Allaman MM, Asim M, Sebrell TA, Finley JL, Rose KL, Hill S, et al. // Oncogene. 2020. V. 39. P. 4465–4474.
11. Bey P, Bolkenuis FN, Seiler N, Casara P. // J. Med. Chem. 1985. V. 28. P. 1–2.
12. Sun L, Yang J, Qin Y, Wang Y, Wu H, Zhou Y, Cao C. // J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem. 2019. V. 34. P. 1140–1151.
13. Masuko T, Takao K, Samejima K, Shirahatad A, Igarashi K, Casero Jr RA, Kizawaa Y, Sugita Y. // Neurosci. Lett. 2018. V. 672. P. 118–122.
14. Holhouser S, Dunworth M, Murray Stewart T, Peterson YK, Burger P, Kirkpatrick J, Chen H-H, Casero Jr RA, Woster P.M. // J. Med. Chem. Commum. 2019. V. 10. P. 778–790.
15. Grigorenko NA, Khomutov MA, Simonian AR, Kochetkov SN, Khomutov AR // Russ. J. Bioorg. Chem. 2016. V. 42. P. 423–427.
16. Goodwin A.C., Murray Stewart T.R., Casero Jr. R.A. // Methods Mol. Biol. 2011. V. 720. P. 173–181.
17. Metcalf B.W., Bey P., Danzin C., Jung M.J., Casara P., Vevert J.P. // J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978. V. 100. P. 2551–2553.
18. Berkowitz D.B., Charette B.D., Karukurichi K.R., McFadden J.M. // Tetrahedron Asym. 2006. V. 17. P. 869–882.
19. Khomutov M., Hyv¨onen M.T., Simonian A, Formanovsky A.A, Mikhura IV, Chizhov A.O., Kochetkov S.N., Alhonen L., Vepsalainen J, Keinanen T.A., Khomutov A.R. // J. Med. Chem. 2019. V. 62. P. 11335–11347.
20. Takao K, Shivakata A, Samejima K, Casero R.A, Igarashi K, Sugita Y. // Biol. Pharm. Bull. 2013. V. 36. P. 407–411.