Satellite Observations Are Needed to Understand Ocean Acidification and Multi-Stressor Impacts on Fish Stocks in a Changing Arctic Ocean
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It is widely projected that under future climate scenarios the economic importance of Arctic Ocean fish stocks will increase. The Arctic Ocean is especially vulnerable to ocean acidification and already experiences low pH levels not projected to occur on a global scale until 2100. This paper outlines how ocean acidification must be considered with other potential stressors to accurately predict movement of fish stocks toward, and within, the Arctic and to inform future fish stock management strategies. First, we review the literature on ocean acidification impacts on fish, next we identify the main obstacles that currently preclude ocean acidification from Arctic fish stock projections. Finally, we provide a roadmap to describe how satellite observations can be used to address these gaps: improve knowledge, inform experimental studies, provide regional assessments of vulnerabilities, and implement appropriate management strategies. This roadmap sets out three inter-linked research priorities: (1) Establish organisms and ecosystem physiochemical baselines by increasing the coverage of Arctic physicochemical observations in both space and time; (2) Understand the variability of all stressors in space and time; (3) Map life histories and fish stocks against satellite-derived observations of stressors.
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INTRODUCTION

Models project that anthropogenic warming will increase the importance of the Arctic Ocean for supporting economically valuable fish stocks as marine species exploit new ranges and move northwards to remain in their thermal niches or as stock sizes increase (Lam et al., 2014; Cheung et al., 2015; Wisz et al., 2015). Populations of Atlantic cod and haddock, both of significant commercial value, have already expanded their range northwards (Renaud et al., 2012; Fossheim et al., 2015; Misund et al., 2016). Pacific Cod have also been seen to have a summer northward range shift (Spies et al., 2020). However, the Arctic Ocean is particularly susceptible to Ocean acidification (OA), and it is currently unknown how OA will manifest on these northward moving populations. OA is the change in ocean carbonate chemistry that occurs by the absorption of excess...
carbon dioxide (CO₂) into the ocean (Doney et al., 2009). Each year the ocean absorbs upwards of 25% of the anthropogenic CO₂ emissions (Friedlingstein et al., 2019; Watson et al., 2020), which has resulted in a 30% increase in hydrogen ion concentration (decrease in pH) since the industrial revolution. Recent assessments suggest regions in the Arctic are already seasonally corrosive to aragonite (a key mineral for some shell-building species) as a result of OA (IPCC, 2019). Warming further increases the Arctic’s susceptibility to OA, with continued loss of multi-year ice increasing the surface area available for CO₂ gas exchange (Bates et al., 2006), while lower salinity and total alkalinity reduces the buffering capacity (Woosley and Millero, 2020). However, the remote and often hostile nature of the Arctic Ocean means collecting in situ data can be costly and challenging; this results in most data sets having a high seasonal bias toward the summer with little data collected under or around multiyear sea ice and/or during winter (Steiner et al., 2014).

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate acknowledged OA as a risk to shellfish fisheries but overlooked any risk to fin fisheries (IPCC, 2019). Whilst there is debate about the impact of OA on finfish (Kroeker et al., 2013; Haug et al., 2017), there is reasonable evidence from laboratory studies to suggest enough cause for concern (Frommel et al., 2012; Stiasny et al., 2016, 2018, 2019; Dahlke et al., 2017; Supplementary Table 1) and that the changing carbonate chemistry needs to be considered when assessing future fish stocks (Voss et al., 2019). Atlantic cod is the highest landed and most economically valued wild captured species in the Arctic (Pauly et al., 2020) and recent work predicts that although near-future conditions will at first be advantageous to the Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua) fishery in the Northeast Arctic due to reaching the optimal temperature for the spawning stock, once that optimal temperature is reached, further temperature rise combined with OA will lead to a steep decline in stock levels, and by year 2100 the fishery will be at risk of collapse (Hänsel et al., 2020).

Here our aim is to identify the current level of knowledge of the impact of OA combined with other climate change stressors on the most commercially important species in the Arctic. We do this by conducting a literature review, the results of which are primarily single species laboratory studies investigating the impact of OA and other combined stressors. These laboratory studies have their own limitations, and there are still large uncertainties regarding how to scale-up from a single species to ecosystem level (Hänsel et al., 2020). Here we discuss the major knowledge gaps, including some of the caveats of laboratory studies, before putting forward a roadmap of how to close those gaps using satellite observations as an additional tool.

**ASSESSING KNOWLEDGE AND GAPS OF IMPACTS OF OA AND MULTIPLE STRESSORS ON FISH STOCKS**

In order to conduct a literature search and assess the current knowledge, the most recently published annual dataset of finfish and shellfish landings in the Arctic Ocean was accessed: the year 2014 data from the “Sea Around Us” database (Pauly et al., 2020). The data was categorized into five biogeochemical regions based on previous work by Carmack and Wassmann (2006) and following Findlay et al. (2015): the Atlantic influenced seas (AiS) and Pacific influenced seas (PiS); the river influenced seas (RiS); the central Arctic Ocean (CAO); and the outflow shelves (OFS) (Figure 1). Further definition of these regions can be found in Supplementary Table 2. Forty-seven species were used in the literature search on OA and multiple stressors impacts. Details of the literature search are in Supplementary Information (section I.0).

**Knowledge Gap 1: Lack of Studies on OA Impacts on Fish, and Their Supporting Food Webs**

The review identified that only ten of the top forty-seven landed species (by tonnage) in the Arctic have been studied for OA impacts, and not all these studies were performed on Arctic populations (Supplementary Table 1 and Figure 1B). Therefore, 37 species remain untested. Responses were found to vary with species and life stage (Figure 1B), though eight of the ten species studied showed a negative response to OA in one or several of their life stages, particularly larvae and juveniles (Dupont et al., 2014; Dahlke et al., 2017).

Atlantic cod is the most well studied species, with 18 studies identified, results show a complex response to OA (Supplementary Table 1) with many unknowns: e.g., some studies show insignificant (Frommel et al., 2013) and significant (Dahlke et al., 2017) affects from OA on hatching, survival and development of Atlantic cod in the Baltic Sea, the processes behind these different responses are not yet known but it could be that different populations have local adaptations. The evidence for this is not clear, for example populations from the Barents Sea and Western Baltic Sea were both found to have their daily mortality rate approximately double under OA treatment, thus both populations showed similar responses to OA conditions (Stiasny et al., 2016). In other studies, complex responses were found. For example, Mittermayer et al. (2019) found a limited cellular response to OA in larvae yet at the same stage post hatch larvae were also found to have high mortality. The authors suggested that not enough is known about the mechanism that produces a response to OA in Atlantic Cod. Several studies have found responses to OA that are much more difficult to quantify in terms of ecological consequences such as changes in swimming turn angle and reduced stop duration (Maneja et al., 2013). It is clear further investigation into the mechanisms behind these response to OA is still needed.

Some species, including the Atlantic Cod (Stiasny et al., 2019), Atlantic Herring (Sswat et al., 2018), and Norway lobster (Wood et al., 2015) have shown greater tolerance to OA stress when food is plentiful, highlighting that a change in food supply is likely to have interactive effects with other stressors like OA. The food web perspective must be considered. Indeed, these links between stressors are complex: Sswat et al. (2018), for example, used an ecosystem perspective approach and found that increased primary production from
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OA increased the survival of Atlantic herring larvae by 19%. This connection between increased primary productivity and alleviation of OA is particularly interesting in the context of the Arctic as the net primary production of the Arctic Ocean estimated to have increased by 30% from 1998 to 2012 (Arrigo and van Dijken, 2015). However, primary production is also predicted to be limited by stratification, which itself is predicted to increase with ice reduction and
higher temperatures (Slagstad et al., 2015). It is still unknown if other commercially important species will show the same alleviation from OA when there is more food available, and further work must be carried out to include this food web perspective.

Knowledge Gap 2: Lack of Understanding of Multiple Stressors
Understanding climate change interactions on a pan-Arctic scale is difficult as changes are not happening uniformly throughout the Arctic due to regional heterogeneity (Supplementary Table 3 and Figure 1A). The river influenced seas, for example, experience a pH range of 7.6–8.3 (total scale) and salinity range of 0–34.4, compared to the Atlantic influenced seas, which have a pH range of 8.1–8.3 and salinity range of 13.6–35.4. Furthermore, tolerance capacity of organisms to OA has been shown to differ with additional stressors (Wood et al., 2015; Harrington and Hamlin, 2019; Stiasny et al., 2019). Organisms in the Arctic Ocean are experiencing changes in multiple oceanic conditions, including salinity, light, (Nicolaus et al., 2012; Langbehn and Varpe, 2017) and food or nutrient supply, predominantly arising from the impacts of sea ice loss (Barber et al., 2015; Polyakov et al., 2017; Dai et al., 2019) and increased river run-off (Peterson et al., 2002; Carmack et al., 2016; Woosley and Millero, 2020: Supplementary Table 4). The changes in salinity, light and food supply can act as stressors impacting on organism tolerance and sensitivity (Jorgensen et al., 2019; Figure 1). Indeed, only nineteen of the OA impact studies found in the literature search had been combined with another stressor (Supplementary Table 1). The main additional stressor tested was temperature, but food was also used as a secondary stressor in several of the studies. It is not yet understood the interactions of multiple climate change factors on organisms more generally, and how this might impact the food web, and therefore indirectly impact fish stocks (Faalenberyg et al., 2018). Only one study was found to have considered any stressors other than temperature and food in conjunction with OA: Herrroth et al. (2015) investigated the impacts of hypoxia and metal contaminant alongside OA. To the best of our knowledge, there are no studies on Arctic-relevant fish species that combine OA with changing light and/or salinity. It is therefore not yet possible to understand the impact of OA combined with additional climate change stressors on present and future Arctic fish stocks.

Knowledge Gap 3: What Environmental Conditions do Arctic Organisms Experience
Regional heterogeneity and natural variability have largely been neglected from experimental studies, and the Arctic is no exception. The literature review highlights that experimental OA impact studies used pH levels in their “control” treatments ranging from 8.08 to 7.97 (Supplementary Table 1), with most studies being toward the top end of that range. Given that in situ data shows that organisms in the Arctic may already periodically experience pHT as low as 7.6 (Supplementary Table 3), it seems unlikely that these experimental levels reflect true ambient conditions. Furthermore, the Arctic Ocean has large seasonal variability and organisms are unlikely to experience the stable pH, pCO2, salinity, oxygen and temperature conditions usually used in laboratory experiments (Supplementary Table 1) and are likely to experience different conditions at different life development stages. Seasonal variability can be as much as ~30 pss salinity, > 10°C temperature, > 200 µmol kg⁻¹ oxygen (Supplementary Table 3). Indeed, some of the RiS already experience oxygen concentrations at or below 200 µmol kg⁻¹. Therefore, the lack of environmental specific treatment levels in experimental studies could be producing misleading results. It is not yet known if Arctic organisms may be pre-conditioned to high levels of pH variability and exposure to low pH and may consequently have some tolerance to long-term OA or have some level of adaptation (e.g., Vargas et al., 2017). The studies reviewed here were largely single generation, except for Stiasny et al. (2018) who exposed parents to OA conditions 6 weeks before spawning and found some evidence of transgenerational alleviation in Atlantic Cod when food was plentiful.

THE PATH AHEAD
Here we present a framework using satellite earth observation to address several of these key knowledge gaps and challenges to determine how present-day conditions and future projected changes will impact Arctic fish stocks. This approach has three inter-linked research aims: (1) Establish organisms and ecosystem physiochemical baselines by increasing the coverage of Arctic physiochemical observations in both space and time; (2) Understand the degree of variability of all stressors in space and time; (3) Map life histories and fish stocks against satellite observation data of stressors. In each of these sub-sections we discuss what is currently feasible with the present knowledge and technology and what additional developments are needed to achieve these aims.

Establish Baselines
The oceanographic and geographic characteristics of each region in the Arctic Ocean affect how each oceanic region is currently responding to climate change pressures and how they will respond in the future. Automated measurements from satellite observation underpinned by remotely operated vehicles, autonomous vehicles, and buoys (such as data collected from the International Arctic Buoy Programme) offers the only currently available solution to providing the necessary synoptic measurements of multiple oceanographic parameters to characterize surface environmental heterogeneity (Shutler et al., 2019). Satellite observation can be used to study environmental conditions important in polar waters (Shutler et al., 2019) including: freshwater fluxes (e.g., Nichols and Subrahmanyan, 2019); surface water temperature (e.g., Vincent, 2019); Chlorophyll-a concentration, primary production and net community production (e.g., Babin et al., 2015), and sea ice type and depth (e.g., Kwok, 2018). Recent developments have shown that satellite observation measurements of temperature and salinity can provide observational-based estimates of
surface carbonate system conditions (Land et al., 2019). Although satellite measurements have additional challenges in the Arctic such as land and sea ice contaminations and radio frequency interference, there are now Arctic specific satellite reprocessed datasets to reduce biases (Olmedo et al., 2018). Ongoing development of algorithm work, together with improvement in uncertainties, could provide the ability to remotely observe and characterize multi-year Arctic-wide surface carbonate chemistry and its heterogeneity, and to identify longer-term variations in surface conditions. Therefore, satellite observation data has the potential to fill the gaps in the knowledge required to understand how OA combined with other climate change factors might affect fish stocks in the Arctic.

### Understand and Monitor Temporal Variability and Exposure

Using satellite observation as a synoptic monitoring tool could provide additional data that can capture the variability on both a pan-Arctic and regional scale. Understanding the degree of variability in carbonate chemistry and other climate change stressors (Figure 1A) in space and time will be vital for improving model predictions. In addition, this will also provide the data for laboratory studies to be more representative of the environmental conditions organisms naturally experience, as well as providing data on a temporal scale for informing studies on multiple generations. This information is also valuable for the biological monitoring community, for understanding how changes in biological communities or processes are related to environmental change. Specifically here, monitoring the longer-term trends together with the variability in carbonate chemistry and other climate change stressors is relevant for understanding which regions are changing fastest and therefore which regions may need fishing and stock management strategies to be implemented.

### Map Vulnerabilities

An aim for future research should be for satellite observation products to be used together with biological datasets to assess what environmental conditions species are experiencing during different life cycle stages. This is important, as many species spend time in different regions, including refugia, depending on their life stage. Mapping the physicochemical conditions alongside biological distribution data allows the identification of species or populations that presently live in more variable environments. This knowledge could be used to test the hypothesis that organisms already exposed to higher variability may have higher tolerance to future environmental change (Vargas et al., 2017). Mapping stressors for a specific organism, ecosystem or region can provide relatively quick assessments of the key stressors, as well as extreme events, which may combine to increase the risk to species and ecosystems. While these mapping activities are potentially very valuable for management and planning, the biological datasets required to do this style of mapping currently do not exist for all regions, species, and life stage. A pan-Arctic scale collaboration for monitoring and mapping, such as an extension to projects like the Arctic Marine Biodiversity Monitoring Network or through the Arctic Council working groups (e.g., Conservation of Arctic Flora and Fauna), would be needed to fill these knowledge gaps.

### SOCIETAL RELEVANCE

Integrated ocean management has been identified as the future methodology to achieving sustainable and resilient marine ecosystems by managing the progress of economic development whilst minimizing environmental impact (Winther et al., 2020). The research priorities proposed here would strengthen the data system needed for ocean policy and progress in governance of marine areas. There are two reasons why now is a crucial time for these ideas to be actioned: (1) the Arctic nation states signed the “Agreement to prevent unregulated high seas fisheries in the Central Arctic ocean” in 2018, providing an opportunity for information and knowledge to be collated before fishing grounds made newly available by ice loss are exploited; and (2) the United Nations Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development from 2021 to 2030 aims to bring together scientists, policy makers, managers, and service users to ensure that ocean science delivers greater benefits for both the ocean ecosystem and society. The regional diversity of the Arctic Ocean does not fit any political boundaries, and adaptation strategies based on an Arctic wide collaboration are more likely to be successful than those based on individual country management. The United Nations Ocean Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development provides an unrivaled opportunity for collaboration, action, and progress among Arctic countries toward ensuring sustainable and healthy fish stocks. Retrieving surface carbonate chemistry data derived from satellite products, together with other, more readily available satellite products provides a wholistic tool for helping to fill the knowledge gaps on the spatial and temporal scales necessary for end-user stakeholders. To implement climate-smart management and adaptation practices, including, for example, establishing marine protected areas, no-take zones, or catch-limits, OA needs to be taken into consideration alongside the other climate stressors.

### CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Here we highlighted three key points that the current literature is lacking: (1) studies on Arctic fish species; (2) understanding of the impact of multiple stressors; and (3) environmental data on appropriate temporal and spatial resolution to understand what environmental conditions species already experience. We propose that satellite and remotely sensed data can play a key role in filling these gaps as new technologies and developments take shape. To that end we propose a series of recommendations for moving these technologies forward alongside field, laboratory, and modeling research.
- Use Arctic specific satellite reprocessed datasets to develop Arctic-specific algorithms to monitor carbonate chemistry
- Produce synoptic scale datasets for carbonate chemistry, alongside temperature, ice cover, and ocean color products from satellites, to provide a multi-stressor view
- Improve biological observations of key fish species
- Encourage research into all stages of the life cycle of key fish species
- Continue to develop real-life variability and multi-stressors into experiments.
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