TOPOLOGICAL AND UNIFORM STRUCTURES ON UNIVERSAL COVERING SPACES
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ABSTRACT. We discuss various uniform structures and topologies on the universal covering space \( \tilde{X} \) and on the fundamental group \( \pi_1(X, x_0) \). We introduce a canonical uniform structure \( CU(X) \) on a topological space \( X \) and use it to relate topologies on \( \tilde{X} \) and uniform structures on \( CU(X) \).

Using our concept of universal Peano space we show connections between the topology introduced by Spanier \[30\] and a uniform structure of Berestovskii and Plaut \[2\]. We give a sufficient and necessary condition for Berestovskii-Plaut structure to be identical with the one generated by the uniform convergence structure on the space of paths in \( X \). We also describe when the topology of Spanier is identical with the quotient of the compact-open topology on the space of paths.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The classical way of introducing topology on the universal covering space \( \tilde{X} \) (the space of homotopy classes of based paths in \( X \)) is as the quotient space of the space of based paths \( (X, x_0)^{(I, 0)} \) equipped with the compact-open topology. We denote the space \( \tilde{X} \) equipped with this topology by \( \tilde{X}_{\text{top}} \). Spanier introduced a different topology on \( \tilde{X} \) (see the proof of Theorem 13 on page 82 of \[30\]). We call it the whisker topology and use notation \( \tilde{X}_{\text{wh}} \). The whisker topology is defined by the basis \( B([\alpha], U) = \{[\alpha * \beta] \} \), where \( \alpha \) is a path in \( X \) from \( x_0 \) to \( x_1 \), \( U \) is a neighborhood of \( x_1 \) in \( X \), and \( \beta \) is a path in \( U \) originating at \( x_1 \) (we call the path \( \beta \) a \( U \)-whisker). This topology was used in 1998 by Bogley and Sieradski \[3\], then in 2006 by Fisher and Zastrow \[14\]. A new topology on \( \tilde{X} \) called the lasso topology was introduced by the authors \[7\] to characterize the unique path lifting property.
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The fundamental group $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ can be identified with the subset of classes of loops of the set $\widetilde{X}$, thus any topology on $\widetilde{X}$ induces a topology on the fundamental group. There have been numerous attempts to introduce topology on the fundamental group [16, 8, 25, 4]. In this paper, when we consider a topology on $\widetilde{X}$, we mainly address the question of whether the induced topology on the fundamental group makes it a topological group.

There was independent research going on in the realm of uniform structures, where Berestovskii and Plaut [2] introduced a new uniform structure on the space of chains in a uniform space. That structure can be easily generalized to $\widetilde{X}$, so we call it the Berestovskii-Plaut uniform structure on $\widetilde{X}$. In [5] the authors used ideas of [2] and Krasinkiewicz-Minc [21] to introduce generalized uniform paths on a uniform space and to define a uniform structure $GP(X, x_0)$ on the space of generalized paths originating at $x_0$. Generalized uniform paths lead naturally to a class of spaces called uniformly joinable and a theory of generalized uniform covering maps was developed in [5] for those spaces. See [2] for a predecessor of that theory.

Uniformly joinable spaces are connected to shape theory (see [10] or [24]) in the sense that pointed 1-movable continua are always uniformly joinable (see [5]). Recently, Fisher and Zastrow [14] found another connection between shape theory and covering maps. Namely, they showed the projection $\widetilde{X}_{wh} \to X$ is a generalized universal covering (in their sense) if the natural homomorphism $\pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(X)$ is a monomorphism and $X$ is paracompact. The latter class of spaces includes subsets of surfaces and it is known subcontinua of surfaces are pointed 1-movable. This raises the question if one can derive results of [14] from those in [5]. Another idea is to define a new class of covering maps (called Peano covering maps) for Peano spaces as those spaces form a topological analog of uniformly joinable spaces.

The functor of universal locally-path-connected space of $X$, that we introduce in Section 3 and call the Peano space of $X$, is very useful as it shows how to derive theorems for arbitrary spaces from analogous results for locally-path-connected spaces. For example, many results of [14] follow formally from their special cases involving locally path-connected spaces only (see [7]). A good example is the main result of [14] stating that the projection $\widetilde{X} \to X$ is a generalized universal covering if $X$ is path-connected and the fundamental group of $(X, x_0)$ injects into its Čech fundamental group via the natural homomorphism.

It is of interest to investigate relationships between various structures on $\widetilde{X}$. One of our first results in that direction is the fact the whisker topology is philosophically very similar to the quotient compact-open topology. The difference is that, prior to applying the quotient topology, one takes the universal locally-path-connected space of $(X, x_0)(I, 0)$ (see [5]). We describe when the whisker topology and the quotient compact-open topology are identical: when the space $X$ is a small loop transfer space. The property of being a strong small loop transfer space characterizes the coincidence of the whisker topology and the lasso topology.

If $X$ is a uniform space, the set $\widetilde{X}$ can be equipped with a uniform structure. For basic facts on uniform spaces we refer to [18] or [19]. We consider three uniform structures on $\widetilde{X}$: the James uniformity (analogous to the quotient compact-open topology), the Berestovskii-Plaut uniformity (analogous to the whisker topology) and the lasso uniformity. For any connected and uniformly locally path-connected
uniform space $X$ the James uniformity on $\tilde{X}$ is identical with the lasso uniformity (see 5.16). We also describe when the James uniformity and the Berestovskii-Plaut uniformity are identical: when the space $X$ is a uniform small loop transfer space.

Given a topological space $X$, we show in Section 2 how to introduce a canonical uniform structure on $X$ that induces the original topology in case $X$ is completely regular. Then we relate various properties (such as local connectivity) of the topological space $X$ to the corresponding uniform properties of the canonical uniform space $\tilde{CU}(X)$. This construction provides a relation between Sections 4 and 5 for a topological space $X$ a topological structure on $\tilde{X}$ can be obtained as topology induced by a uniform structure on $\tilde{CU}(X)$. For example, the lasso topology on $\tilde{X}$ is identical with the topology induced by the lasso uniformity on $\tilde{CU}(X)$.

2. Uniform vs topological structures

We will discuss exclusively symmetric subsets $E$ of $X \times X$ (that means $(x, y) \in E$ implies $(y, x) \in E$) and the natural notation here (see 29) is to use $f(E)$ for the set of pairs $(f(x), f(y))$, where $f : X \to Y$ is a function. Similarly, $f^{-1}(E)$ is the set of pairs $(x, y)$ so that $(f(x), f(y)) \in E$ if $f : X \to Y$ and $E \subset Y \times Y$.

The ball $B(x, E)$ at $x$ of radius $E$ is the set of all $y \in X$ satisfying $(x, y) \in E$. A subset $S$ of $X$ is $E$-bounded if it is contained in $B(x, E)$ for some $x \in X$.

A uniform structure on $X$ is a family $\mathcal{E}$ of symmetric subsets $E$ of $X \times X$ (called entourages) that contain the diagonal of $X \times X$, form a filter (that means $E_1 \cap E_2 \in \mathcal{E}$ if $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{E}$ and $F_1 \in \mathcal{E}$ if $F_2 \in \mathcal{E}$ and $F_2 \subset F_1$), and every $G_1 \in \mathcal{E}$ admits $G \in \mathcal{E}$ so that $G^2 \subset G_1$ ($G^2$ consists of pairs $(x, z) \in X \times X$ so that there is $y \in X$ satisfying $(x, y) \in G$ and $(y, z) \in G$). A base $\mathcal{F}$ of a uniform structure $\mathcal{E}$ is a subfamily $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ so that for every entourage $E$ there is a subset $F \in \mathcal{F}$ of $E$.

Any filter $\mathcal{F}$ of subsets of $X \times X$ that contain the diagonal serves as a base of the uniform structure $\mathcal{U}$ defined as all supersets of $\mathcal{F}$ if and only if every $G_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ admits $G \in \mathcal{F}$ so that $G^2 \subset G_1$. That is the basic case of generating a uniform structure.

Given a decomposition of a uniform space $X$ the most pressing issue is if it induces a natural uniform structure on the decomposition space. James [19, 2.13 on p.24] has a concept of weakly compatible relation to address that issue. For the purpose of this paper we need a different approach.

Definition 2.1. Suppose $f : X \to Y$ is a surjective function from a uniform space $X$. $f$ generates a uniform structure on $Y$ if the family $f(E)$, $E$ an entourage of $X$, is a base of a uniform structure on $Y$ (that particular uniform structure on $Y$ is said to be generated by $f$). Equivalently, for each entourage $E$ of $X$ there is an entourage $F$ of $X$ such that $f(F)^2 \subset f(E)$.

Notice $f : X \to Y$ is uniformly continuous if both $X$ and $Y$ are uniform spaces and the uniform structure on $Y$ is generated by $f$. Indeed $E \subset f^{-1}(f(E))$ for any entourage $E$ of $X$.

Let us demonstrate how to transfer concepts from topology to the uniform category:

Definition 2.2. A uniform space $X$ is uniformly locally path-connected if for every entourage $E$ of $X$ there is an entourage $F$ of $X$ such that any pair $(x, y) \in F$ can be connected by an $E$-bounded path in $X$. 
A uniform space $X$ is uniformly semi-locally simply-connected if there is an entourage $F$ of $X$ such that any $F$-bounded loop in $X$ is null-homotopic in $X$.

Remark 2.3. Notice our definition of uniform local path-connectedness is much simpler than [14] Definition 8.12 on p.119 and we are unsure if the definition [19] Definition 8.13 on p.119 of uniform semi-local simple connectedness is correct as it involves existence of a base of entourages rather than just one entourage.

It is well-known (see [18] or [19]) there is a forgetful functor from the uniform category to the topological category. It assigns to a uniform space $X$ the topology induced by $X$.

Definition 2.4. Given a topological space $X$ its canonical uniform structure $CU(X)$ is defined as follows: $E \subset X \times X$ is an entourage if and only if there is a partition of unity $f = \{f_s\}_{s \in S}$ on $X$ so that $E$ contains

$$E_f := \{(x, y) \in X \times X | \text{ there is } s \in S \text{ satisfying } f_s(x) \cdot f_s(y) > 0\}.$$ 

It is indeed a uniform structure:

**Lemma 2.5.** If $g = \{f_t\}_{T \subset S}$ is the derivative of $f = \{f_s\}_{s \in S}$, then $E_g^2 \subset E_f$.

**Proof.** Recall (see [1]) $f'_T$ is defined as $|T| \cdot \max(0, g_T)$, where $g_T = \min \{f_t \mid t \in T\} - \sup \{f_t \mid t \in S \setminus T\}$ and $T$ is a finite subset of $S$. Suppose $(x, y) \in E_g$ and $(y, z) \in E_g$. There exist finite subsets $T$ and $Z$ of $S$ such that $f'_T(x) \cdot f'_T(y) > 0$ and $f'_Z(y) \cdot f'_Z(z) > 0$. Hence $T \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ and $f_s(x) \cdot f_s(z) > 0$ for any $s \in T \cap Z$. That means $(x, y) \in E_f$. \hfill $\square$

Notice any continuous function $f: X \to Y$ becomes uniformly continuous when considered as a function from $CU(X)$ to $CU(Y)$. Indeed, given a partition of unity $\{f_s\}_{s \in S}$ on $X$, it induces the partition of unity $\{f \circ f_s\}_{s \in S}$ on $X$.

**Proposition 2.6.** Suppose $X$ is a topological Hausdorff space. The topology induced on $X$ by $CU(X)$ coincides with that of $X$ if and only if $X$ is completely regular.

**Proof.** Notice the topology induced by $CU(X)$ is always coarser than the original one. Indeed, $B(x, E_f)$ contains the union of all $f_s^{-1}(0, 1]$ so that $f_s(x) > 0$, so every open set in $CU(X)$ belongs to the original topology of $X$.

If $X$ is completely regular and $U$ is open, then for any $x \in X$ there is a continuous function $g: X \to [0, 1]$ so that $g(x) = 1$ and $g(X \setminus U) \subset \{0\}$. In that case $B(x, E_f) \subset U$, where $f = \{g, 1 - g\}$. That means $U$ is open in the topology induced by $CU(X)$.

**Proposition 2.7.** Suppose $X$ is a paracompact space.

a. $X$ is locally connected if and only if $CU(X)$ is uniformly locally connected.

b. $X$ is locally path-connected if and only if $CU(X)$ is uniformly locally path-connected.

c. If $X$ is locally path-connected, then it is semi-locally simply-connected if and only if $CU(X)$ is uniformly semi-locally simply-connected.
Let $W_x$ be the path component of $V_x$ containing $x$. Observe that any loop in $W_x$ is null-homotopic in $X$. Choose a partition of unity $g = \{g_x\}_{x \in X}$ on $X$ so that $g_x^{-1}(0, 1] \subset V_x$ for all $x \in X$. Let $f$ be its derivative. Suppose $\alpha$ is a loop at $x$ in $B(x, E_f)$. Observe as in [2] that there is $y \in X$ so that $B(x, E_f) \subset W_y$. Therefore $\alpha$ is null-homotopic in $X$.

3. Universal spaces in the uniform category

Definition 3.1. A uniform space $X$ is a uniform Peano space if it is uniformly locally path-connected and connected.

In analogy to the universal covering spaces we introduce the following notion:

Definition 3.2. Given a uniform space $X$ its universal Peano space $P(X)$ is a uniform Peano space together with a uniformly continuous map $\pi: P(X) \to X$ satisfying the following universality condition:

For any map $f: Y \to X$ from a uniform Peano space $Y$ there is a unique uniformly continuous lift $g: Y \to P(X)$ of $f$ (that means $\pi \circ g = f$).

Notice $P(X)$ is unique if it exists.

Theorem 3.3. Every path-connected uniform space $X$ has a universal Peano space. It is the set $X$ equipped with the uniform structure generated by $\{pc(E)\}_E$, where $pc(E)$ is the set of pairs $(x, y)$ that can be connected by an $E$-bounded path in $X$, $E$ an entourage of $X$.

Proof. Notice $(pc(F))^4 \subset pc(E)$ if $F^2 \subset E$, so $\{pc(E)\}_E$ does indeed generate a uniform structure on the set $X$ resulting in a new uniform space $P(X)$ so that the identity function $P(X) \to X$ is uniformly continuous.

Given a uniformly continuous function $f: Y \to X$ from a uniform Peano space $Y$ and given an entourage $E$ of $X$ there is an entourage $F$ of $Y$ contained in $f^{-1}(E)$ with the property that any $F$-close points in $Y$ can be connected by an $f^{-1}(E)$-bounded path. Therefore $F \subset f^{-1}(pc(E))$ which proves $f: Y \to P(X)$ is uniformly continuous. It also proves $P(X)$ is path-connected as any path in $X$ induces a path in $P(X)$. Since any $pc(E)$-close points can be connected by $E$-bounded path, $P(X)$ is uniformly locally path-connected.

Corollary 3.4. If $X$ is a metrizable uniform space, then so is its universal Peano space $P(X)$.

Proof. Notice $P(X)$ is Hausdorff and has a countable base of entourages. Such spaces are metrizable by [20, Theorem 13 on p.186].

Theorem 3.5. For every pointed uniform Peano space $(X, x_0)$ there is a universal object in the class of uniformly continuous maps $f: (Y, y_0) \to (X, x_0)$ such that $Y$ is a uniform Peano space and $f$ induces the trivial homomorphism $\tilde{\pi}_1(f): \tilde{\pi}_1(Y, y_0) \to \tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0)$ of the uniform fundamental groups.

Proof. It is shown in [3] that there is a uniformly continuous map $\pi_X: GP(X, x_0) \to (X, x_0)$ from a uniform space of the trivial uniform fundamental group with the property that for any uniformly continuous map $f: (Y, y_0) \to (X, x_0)$ from a pointed
uniform Peano space \((Y, y_0)\) there is a unique lift \(g: (Y, y_0) \rightarrow GP(X, x_0)\) if and only if \(f\) induces the trivial homomorphism of uniform fundamental groups (the result in \([5]\) is for so-called uniformly joinable spaces and it applies here as uniform Peano spaces are uniformly joinable).

Let \((Z, z_0)\) be the universal Peano space of \(GP(X, x_0)\) with the induced uniformly continuous map \(\pi: (Z, z_0) \rightarrow (X, x_0)\). Notice any uniformly continuous function \(f: (Y, y_0) \rightarrow (X, x_0)\) has a unique lift to \((Z, z_0)\) provided it induces the trivial homomorphism of the uniform fundamental groups. Since \(\pi: (Z, z_0) \rightarrow (X, x_0)\) factors through \(GP(X, x_0)\) it does induce the trivial homomorphism of the uniform fundamental groups.

In order to apply \([5]\) we need to discuss simple-connectivity of the space \(GP(X, x_0)\) of generalized paths in \(X\) originating from \(x_0\).

**Proposition 3.6.** Suppose \((X, x_0)\) is a pointed uniform Peano space. \(GP(X, x_0)\) is simply connected if and only if the natural homomorphism \(\pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow \hat{\pi}_1(X, x_0)\) is a monomorphism.

**Proof.** Suppose \(\pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow \hat{\pi}_1(X, x_0)\) is a monomorphism. Given a loop \(\alpha: (S^1, 1) \rightarrow GP(X, x_0)\), its composition with \(\pi_X: GP(X, x_0) \rightarrow (X, x_0)\) is trivial in \(\hat{\pi}_1(X, x_0)\) as \(\hat{\pi}_1(\pi_X) = 0\). Therefore \(\pi_X \circ \alpha\) is trivial in \(\pi_1(X, x_0)\) and can be extended over the 2-disk \(D^2\). That map has a unique lift to \(GP(X, x_0)\), hence \(\alpha\) is null-homotopic.

Suppose \(GP(X, x_0)\) is simply connected and \(\beta: (S^1, 1) \rightarrow (X, x_0)\) becomes trivial in \(\hat{\pi}_1(X, x_0)\). In that case \(\beta\) lifts to \(GP(X, x_0)\) and is null-homotopic.

**Corollary 3.7.** Suppose \((X, x_0)\) is a pointed uniform space. If the natural homomorphism \(\pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow \hat{\pi}_1(X, x_0)\) is a monomorphism, then \((X, x_0)\) has a universal simply-connected Peano space.

**Proof.** From the commutativity of

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_1(P(X, x_0)) & \rightarrow & \pi_1(X, x_0) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\hat{\pi}_1(P(X, x_0)) & \rightarrow & \hat{\pi}_1(X, x_0)
\end{array}
\]

we get the natural homomorphism \(\pi_1(P(X, x_0)) \rightarrow \hat{\pi}_1(P(X, x_0))\) is a monomorphism and by \([5]\) we can reduce the general case to that of \((X, x_0)\) being a pointed uniform Peano space.

Consider \(SP(X, x_0) = P(GP(X, x_0))\) with the induced map \(\pi: SP(X, x_0) \rightarrow (X, x_0)\). Since \(\hat{\pi}_1(SP(X, x_0)) \rightarrow \hat{\pi}_1(X, x_0)\) is trivial and \(\pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow \hat{\pi}_1(X, x_0)\) is a monomorphism, \(\pi_1(SP(X, x_0)) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x_0)\) is trivial.

Given any uniformly continuous \(f: (Y, y_0) \rightarrow (X, x_0)\) from a pointed uniform Peano space so that \(\pi_1(f) = 0\) it suffices to show \(\hat{\pi}_1(f): \hat{\pi}_1(Y, y_0) \rightarrow \hat{\pi}_1(P(X, x_0))\) is trivial as then it lifts uniquely to \(P(GP(X, x_0))\).

Given an entourage \(E\) of \(P(X)\) pick an entourage \(F\) of \(Y\) such that any two points \((x, y) \in F\) can be connected by a path contained in \(B(x, f^{-1}(E))\). Given an element \(\gamma\) of \(\hat{\pi}_1(Y, y_0)\) its representative in \(R(Y, F)\) leads to a loop \(\gamma_E\) in \(Y\) at \(y_0\) that represents \(\gamma\) in \(R(Y, f^{-1}(E))\). As \(f(\gamma_E)\) is null-homotopic in \(P(X)\), \(\hat{\pi}_1(f)(\gamma) = 1\).
Proposition 3.8. Suppose \((X, x_0)\) is a pointed uniform space. If the natural homomorphism \(\pi_1(X, x_0) \to \tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0)\) is a monomorphism, then the natural function from \(\widetilde{X}_{UP}\) to \(P(GP(P(X, x_0)))\) is a uniform equivalence.

Proof. Since each path in \((X, x_0)\) is a generalized path in \(P(X, x_0)\), there is a natural function \(i: \widetilde{X}_{UP} \to GP(P(X, x_0))\). It is uniformly continuous and \(\widetilde{X}_{UP}\) is a uniform Peano space, hence \(i: \widetilde{X}_{UP} \to GP(P(X, x_0))\) is uniformly continuous. Since \(P(GP(P(X, x_0)))\) is path-connected, its elements are generalized paths in \(P(X, x_0)\) that are representable by genuine paths. That means \(i\) is surjective. If \(i\) is injective, then any loop in \((X, x_0)\) \(\Box\)

Corollary 3.9 (Fisher-Zastrow [14]). Suppose \(X\) is a path-connected paracompact space. If the natural homomorphism \(\pi_1(X, x_0) \to \tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0)\) is a monomorphism for some \(x_0 \in X\), then the projection \(\tilde{X}_{wh} \to X\) of \(X\) is a generalized universal cover.

Proof. Consider \(X\) equipped with the canonical uniform structure \(CU(X)\). As \(\tilde{\pi}_1(CU(X), x_0) = \tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0)\), there is a universal map \(f: Y \to CU(X)\) among all uniformly continuous functions from uniform Peano spaces that induce trivial homomorphism of fundamental groups. Given a Peano space \(Z\) and a map \(g: (Z, z_0) \to (X, x_0)\) inducing trivial homomorphism of the fundamental groups, we consider \(Z\) equipped with the canonical uniform structure \(CU(Z)\). Notice in the proof of [7] that we only need to use \(CU(Z)\) is uniformly joinable to lift \(g\) to \(GP(X, x_0)\) (i.e., we do not need \(CU(Z)\) to be a uniform Peano space which it certainly is if \(Z\) is paracompact by [7]). Thus the lift exists, it lands in the path component of the trivial generalized path, and then we can lift it to the Peano space of that component as \(Z\) is a Peano space.

Consider \(SP(X, x_0) = P(GP(P(X, x_0)))\) with the induced map \(\pi: SP(X, x_0) \to (X, x_0)\). Since \(\tilde{\pi}_1(SP(X, x_0)) \to \tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0)\) is trivial and \(\pi_1(SP(X, x_0)) \to \tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0)\) is a monomorphism, \(\pi_1(SP(X, x_0)) \to \tilde{\pi}_1(X, x_0)\) is trivial.

Given any uniformly continuous \(f: Y \to X\) from a uniform Peano space so that \(\pi_1(f) = 0\) its lift \(f: Y \to P(X)\) also satisfies \(\tilde{\pi}_1(f) = 0\), so it suffices to show \(\tilde{\pi}_1(f): \tilde{\pi}_1(Y, y_0) \to \tilde{\pi}_1(P(X, x_0))\) is trivial as then it lifts uniquely to \(P(GP(P(X, x_0)))\).

Given an entourage \(E\) of \(P(X)\) pick an entourage \(F\) of \(Y\) such that any two points \((x, y) \in F\) can be connected by a path contained in \(B(x, f^{-1}(E))\). Given an element \(\gamma\) of \(\tilde{\pi}_1(Y, y_0)\) its representative in \(\mathcal{R}(Y, F)\) leads to a loop \(\gamma_E\) in \(Y\) at \(y_0\) that represents \(\gamma\) in \(\mathcal{R}(Y, f^{-1}(E))\). As \(f(\gamma_E)\) is null-homotopic in \(P(X)\), \(\tilde{\pi}_1(f)(\gamma) = 1\). \(\Box\)

4. Topological structures on \(\tilde{X}\)

In this section we discuss topological structures on \(\tilde{X}\) and \(\pi_1(X, x_0)\). Notice that neither of the two topologies on \(\pi_1(X, x_0)\) discussed in this section makes \(\pi_1(X, x_0)\) a topological group [7].

In case of \(X\) being metric \(\pi_1(X, x_0)\) is considered as a quotient space \(\pi_1^{top}(X, x_0)\) of the space \((X, x_0)^{(S^1, 1)}\) of loops equipped with the uniform convergence metric (see [12]). We generalize that concept as follows:

Definition 4.1. For any pointed topological space \((X, x_0)\) let \(\tilde{X}_{top}\) be the quotient space of the function space \((X, x_0)^{(I, 0)}\) equipped with the compact-open topology.
\[ \pi_{1,0}^{\text{top}}(X, x_0) \] is the quotient space of \((X, x_0)^{(S^1, 1)}\) equipped with the compact-open topology.

Spanier \cite{spanier} introduced a new topology on \(\pi_1(X, x_0)\) that was used by Bogley and Sieradski \cite{bogley-sieradski}. This topology was generalized later by Fisher and Zastrow \cite{fisher-zastrow} to \(\widetilde{X}\) and used by the authors \cite{bogley-sieradski}.

**Definition 4.2.** For any pointed topological space \((X, x_0)\) the whisker topology on the set \(\widetilde{X}\) is defined by the basis \(B([\alpha], U) = \{[\alpha \ast \beta]\}, \) where \(\alpha\) is a path in \(X\) from \(x_0\) to \(x_1\), \(U\) is a neighborhood of \(x_1\) in \(X\), and \(\beta\) is a path in \(U\) originating at \(x_1\) (we call the path \(\beta\) a \(U\)-whisker). We denote \(\widetilde{X}\) with the whisker topology by \(\widetilde{X}_{\text{wh}}\). By \(\pi_{1,0}^{\text{wh}}(X, x_0)\) we mean \(\pi_1(X, x_0)\) with the subspace topology inherited from \(\widetilde{X}_{\text{wh}}\).

Given a neighborhood \(U\) of \(\alpha(1)\) in \(X\) and a neighborhood \(W\) of \(x_0\) in \(X\) define \(B([\alpha], W, U)\) as elements of \(\widetilde{X}\) of the form \(\beta \ast \alpha \ast \gamma\), where \(\beta\) is a loop at \(x_0\) in \(W\) and \(\gamma\) is a path in \(U\) originating at \(\alpha(1)\).

Suppose \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are two paths in \(X\) so that \(\alpha(1) = \beta(0)\). Given a neighborhood \((\alpha_0, \alpha(1), \beta(1))\) in \(X^3\), we define \(B([\alpha \ast \beta], U_0, U_1, U_2)\) as the set of homotopy classes (rel. end-points) of paths of the form \(\omega \ast \alpha \ast \gamma \ast \beta \ast \lambda\), where \(\omega\) is a loop in \(U_0\) at \(\alpha(0)\), \(\gamma\) is a loop in \(U_1\) at \(\alpha(1)\), and \(\lambda\) is a path in \(U_2\) originating at \(\beta(1)\).

**Lemma 4.3.** Let \(\pi: (X, x_0)^{(1, 0)} \to \widetilde{X}\) be the projection. If \(V\) is a neighborhood of the concatenation \(\alpha \ast \beta\) of two paths in \((X, x_0)^{(1, 0)}\), then there is a neighborhood \((x_0, \alpha(1), \beta(1))\) in \(X^3\) such that \(B([\alpha \ast \beta], U_0, U_1, U_2) \subset \pi(V)\).

**Proof.** There exist a finite sequence of pairs \(\{(C_s, V_s)\}_{s \in S}\) such that \(\alpha(C_s) \subset V_s\) for all \(s \in S\), where \(C_s\) are compact subsets of \(I\), \(V_s\) are open subsets of \(X\), and any path \(\beta\) in \(X\) satisfying \(\beta(C_s) \subset V_s\) for all \(s \in S\) belongs to \(V\). Given \(t \in I\), let \(U_{2t}\) be the intersection of \(\{V_s\}_{s \in T}\), where \(T\) consists of \(s \in S\) so that \((\alpha \ast \beta)(t) \in C_s\) (if \(T\) is empty, we put \(U_{2t} = X\)).

Suppose \(\omega\) is a loop in \(U_0\) at \(\alpha(0)\), \(\gamma\) is a loop in \(U_1\) at \(\alpha(1)\), and \(\lambda\) is a path in \(U_2\) originating at \(\beta(1)\).

Given \(s < t\) let \(u_{s,t}: [s, t] \to [0, 1]\) be the increasing linear homeomorphism. Given \(t < \frac{1}{2}\), define \(\mu_t: [0, 1] \to X\) as follows:

\[
\mu_t(x) = \begin{cases} 
\omega(x) & \text{if } x \leq t, \\
\alpha(x) & \text{if } t \leq x \leq 1/2 - t, \\
\gamma(x) & \text{if } 1/2 - t \leq x \leq 1/2 + t, \\
\beta(x) & \text{if } 1/2 + t \leq x \leq 1 - t, \\
\lambda(x) & \text{if } 1 - t \leq x \leq 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Notice \(\mu_t(C_s) \subset V_s\) for \(t\) sufficiently close to 0. For such \(t\) we have \(\mu_t \in V\), hence \([\omega \ast \alpha \ast \gamma \ast \beta \ast \lambda] = [\mu_t] \in \pi(V)\). That proves \(B([\alpha \ast \beta], U_0, U_1, U_2) \subset \pi(V)\).

**Theorem 4.4.** For any pointed topological space \((X, x_0)\) its universal covering space \(\widetilde{X}\) equipped with the whisker topology is identical with the quotient space of the universal Peano space of \((X, x_0)^{(1, 0)}\).
Proposition 4.10. induces a homeomorphism tangent to each other at the point 0 so that diam($C_\alpha$)

Problem 4.8. Is there a Peano SLT-space that is not semi-locally simply connected? There is a loop $\alpha$ at $\omega$ based at $\alpha(1)$ in $X$ so that $B(\alpha, U) \subset A$. As $B(\alpha, U)$ is path-connected, $A$ is open.

Problem 4.5. Let $(X, x_0)$ be a pointed topological space. Is $X_{wh}$ the universal Peano space of $X_{top}$? Equivalently, is the identity function $P(X_{top}) \rightarrow X_{wh}$ continuous?

It is of interest to determine when the compact-open topology coincides with the whisker topology on $X$. It turns out (see 4.11) it is related to continuity of the induced homomorphism $h_\alpha : \pi_1^{wh}(X, x_1) \rightarrow \pi_1^{wh}(X, x_0)$ ($h_\alpha([\beta]) := \alpha^{-1} * \beta * \alpha$), where $\alpha$ is a path from $x_0$ to any $x_1 \in X$. Therefore it makes sense to give a necessary and sufficient condition for continuity of $h_\alpha$.

Lemma 4.6. $h_\alpha : \pi_1^{wh}(X, x_1) \rightarrow \pi_1^{wh}(X, x_0)$ is continuous if and only if for any neighborhood $U$ of $x_0$ there is a neighborhood $V$ of $x_1$ such that for any loop $\beta$ in $V$ based at $x_1$, the loop $\alpha * \beta * \alpha^{-1}$ is homotopic rel. $x_0$ to a loop contained in $U$.

Proof. Suppose $h_\alpha$ is continuous and $U$ is a neighborhood of $x_0$. There is a neighborhood $V$ of $x_1$ such that $h_\alpha(B(1,V)) \subset B(1,U)$ (here 1 is used for the trivial loops at $x_0$ and $x_1$). Given any loop $\gamma$ in $V$ at $x_1$ one has $[\gamma] \in B(1,V)$, so $h_\alpha([\gamma]) \in B(1,U)$.

Assume $h_\alpha(B(1,V)) \subset B(1,U)$. Given any loop $\omega$ at $x_1$ one has $h_\alpha([\omega * \gamma]) = h_\alpha([\omega]) * h_\alpha([\gamma]) \in B(h_\alpha([\omega]), U)$, so $h_\alpha$ is continuous.

Definition 4.7. A topological space $X$ is a small loop transfer space (SLT-space for short) if for every path $\alpha$ in $X$ and every neighborhood $U$ of $x_0 = \alpha(0)$ there is a neighborhood $V$ of $x_1 = \alpha(1)$ such that given a loop $\beta : (S^1, 1) \rightarrow (V, x_1)$ there is a loop $\gamma : (S^1, 1) \rightarrow (U, x_0)$ that is homotopic to $\alpha * \beta * \alpha^{-1}$ rel. $x_0$.

Problem 4.8. Is there a Peano SLT-space that is not semi-locally simply connected?

Let us explain SLT-spaces in terms of homomorphism $h_\alpha : \pi_1^{wh}(X, x_1) \rightarrow \pi_1^{wh}(X, x_0)$ induced by a path $\alpha$ in $X$ from $x_0$ to $x_1$ ($h_\alpha([\beta]) = \alpha * \beta * \alpha^{-1}$):

Corollary 4.8. $X$ is an SLT-space if and only if every path $\alpha$ in $X$ from $x_0$ to $x_1$ induces a homeomorphism $h_\alpha : \pi_1^{wh}(X, x_1) \rightarrow \pi_1^{wh}(X, x_0)$

Proposition 4.10. The Hawaiian Earring is not an SLT-space.

Proof. The Hawaiian Earring $HE$ is the union of infinitely many circles $C_n$ tangent to each other at the point 0 so that $\text{diam}(C_n) = \frac{1}{n}$. Let $g_n$ be the loop defined by circle $C_n$. If $HE$ is an SLT-space, then there is $m > 0$ such that $g_1^{-1} * g_m * g_1$ is homotopic rel. end-points to a loop $\alpha$ of diameter less that 1.
Consider the retraction \( r : HE \to C_i \cup C_m \) sending \( C_i \) homeomorphically onto \( C_m \) if \( 1 < i < m \) and sending \( C_i \) to 0 if \( i > m \). Applying \( r \) to \( g = g_{1^{-1}} * g_m * g_1 \) shows \( g \) is homotopic rel. end-points to a power of \( g_m \), a contradiction. \( \square \)

**Theorem 4.11.** If \( \tilde{X}_{\text{top}} = \tilde{X}_{\text{wh}} \) for all \( x_0 \in X \), then \( X \) is an SLT-space.

**Proof.** Consider the projections \( \pi : (X, x_0) \to (\tilde{X}, x_0) \) equipped with the compact-open topology. By [4.4] the projections \( \pi \) are continuous for all \( x_0 \in X \).

Suppose \( \alpha \) is a path in \( X \) from \( x_0 \) to \( x_1 \) and \( U \) is a neighborhood of \( x_1 \). Since \( \pi^{-1}(B([\alpha], U)) \) is open and contains \( \alpha \), [4.3] says there are neighborhoods \( V \) of \( x_0 \) in \( X \) and \( W \) of \( x_1 \) in \( X \) such that \( B([\alpha], V, W) \subseteq B([\alpha], U) \). Suppose \( \beta \) is a loop in \( V \) at \( x_0 \). There is a path \( \gamma \) in \( U \) at \( x_1 \) so that \( \beta * \alpha \) is homotopic to \( \alpha * \gamma \) rel. end-points. That is equivalent to \( X \) being an SLT-space. \( \square \)

**Theorem 4.12.** If \( X \) is a Peano SLT-space, then \( \pi_{1}^{\text{wh}}(X, x_0) = \pi_{1}^{\text{top}}(X, x_0) \) and \( \tilde{X}_{\text{top}} = \tilde{X}_{\text{wh}} \).

**Proof.** Suppose \( \alpha \) is a path from \( x_0 \) to \( x_1 \) and \( U \) is a neighborhood of \( x_1 \) in \( X \). For each \( t \in [0, 1] \) let \( \alpha_t \) be the path from \( x_t = \alpha(t) \) to \( x_1 \) determined by \( \alpha \). For such \( t \) choose a path-connected neighborhood \( V_t \) of \( x_t \) with the property that for any loop \( \beta \) in \( V_t \) there is a loop \( \gamma \) in \( U \) at \( x_1 \) so that \( \beta * \alpha_t \) is homotopic rel. end-points to \( \alpha_t * \gamma \).

For each \( t \in I \) choose a closed subinterval \( I_t \) of \( I \) containing \( t \) in its interior (rel. \( I \)) so that \( \alpha(I_t) \subset V_t \). Choose finitely many of them that cover \( I \) so that no proper subfamily covers \( I \). Let \( S \) be the set of such chosen points \( t \). If \( I_s \cap I_t \neq \emptyset \), then \( V_{s,t} \) be the path component of \( V_s \cap V_t \). Otherwise, \( V_{s,t} = \emptyset \). Let \( W \) be the set of all paths \( \beta \) in \( X \) originating at \( x_0 \) such that \( \beta(I_s \cap I_t) \subset V_{s,t} \) for all \( s, t \in S \). It suffices to show \( \pi(W) \subset B([\alpha], U) \).

Given \( \beta \) in \( W \) pick points \( x_{s,t} \in I_s \cap I_t \) if \( s \neq t \) and \( I_s \cap I_t \neq \emptyset \). Then choose paths \( \gamma_{s,t} \) in \( X \) from \( \alpha(x_{s,t}) \) to \( \beta(x_{s,t}) \). Arrange points \( x_{s,t} \) in an increasing sequence \( y_i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), put \( y_0 = 0 \), \( y_{n+1} = 1 \), and create loops \( \lambda_i \) in \( X \) at \( \alpha(y_i) \) as follows: travel along \( \alpha \) from \( \alpha(y_i) \) to \( \alpha(y_{i+1}) \), then along \( \gamma_{i+1} \), then reverse \( \beta \) from \( \beta(y_{i+1}) \) to \( \beta(y_i) \), finally reverse \( \gamma_i \). Notice \( \lambda_i^{-1} * \beta \) is homotopic to \( \prod \lambda_i^{-1} * \lambda_i * \alpha_i \), where \( \alpha_i \) is the path determined by \( \alpha \) from \( \alpha(y_i) \) to \( x_1 \). Each of \( \lambda_i^{-1} * \lambda_i * \alpha_i \) is homotopic rel. \( x_1 \) to a loop in \( U \), so we are done. \( \square \)

In connection to [4.3] let us introduce a subclass of SLT-spaces:

**Definition 4.13.** A topological space \( X \) is called a small loops space (or an SL-space for short) if for every loop \( \alpha \) in \( X \) at \( x \) and for any neighborhood \( U \) of \( x \) there is a loop in \( U \) homotopic rel. end-points to \( \alpha \).

SL-spaces are completely opposite to homotopically Hausdorff spaces that are defined by the condition that for any non-null-homotopic loop \( \alpha \) at any \( x \in X \) there is a neighborhood \( U_\alpha \) of \( x \) such that \( [\alpha] \) does not belong to the image of \( \pi_1(U_\alpha, x) \to \pi_1(X, x) \) in (see [14]).

The following problem was solved by Žiga Virk [31, 32] after the preprint of this paper was posted.

**Problem 4.14.** Is there an SL-space \( X \) that is not simply-connected?

We introduced the lasso topology on the set \( \tilde{X} \) in [7].
Definition 4.15. Let $U$ be an open cover of a topological space $X$ and $x$ be a point in $X$. A path $l$ is called $U$-lasso based at the point $x$ if $l$ is equal to a finite concatenation of loops $\alpha_n \ast \gamma_n \ast \alpha_n^{-1}$, where $\gamma_n$ is a loop in some $U \in U$ and $\alpha_n$ is a path from $x$ to $\gamma_n(0)$.

Remark 4.16. Any conjugate of a $U$-lasso is a $U$-lasso. Indeed,

$$
\beta \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \ast \gamma_i \ast \alpha_i^{-1} \right) \ast \beta^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\beta \ast \alpha_i \ast \gamma_i \ast \alpha_i^{-1} \ast \beta^{-1}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\beta \ast \alpha_i) \ast \gamma_i \ast (\beta \ast \alpha_i)^{-1}
$$

Definition 4.17. For any topological space $X$ the lasso topology on the set $\tilde{X}$ is defined by the basis $B([g], U, W)$, where $W$ is a neighborhood of the endpoint $g(1)$ and $U$ is an open cover of $X$. A homotopy class $[h] \in \tilde{X}$ belongs to $B([g], U, W)$ if and only if this class has a representative of the form $l \ast g \ast \beta$, where $l$ is a $U$-lasso based at $g(0)$ and $\beta$ is a $W$-whisker of $g$. We denote by $\tilde{X}_1$ the set $\tilde{X}$ equipped with the lasso topology.

Definition 4.18. A topological space $X$ is a strong small loop transfer space (strong SLT-space for short) if for each point $x_1$, each neighborhood $U$ of $x_1$, and each point $x_2$ there is a neighborhood $V$ of $x_2$ such that for every path $\alpha$ in $X$ from $x_1$ to $x_2$ given any loop $\beta$: $(S^1, 1) \to (V, x_2)$ there is a loop $\gamma$: $(S^1, 1) \to (U, x_1)$ that is homotopic to $\alpha \ast \beta \ast \alpha^{-1}$ rel. $x_1$.

Proposition 4.19. A path-connected topological space $X$ is a strong SLT-space if and only if $\tilde{X}_1 = \tilde{X}_{wh}$ for all $x_0 \in X$.

Proof. Suppose $X$ is a strong SLT-space. Given any point $x_0 \in X$ and a basic neighborhood $B([\omega], U)$ in $\tilde{X}_{wh}$, we need to find a basic neighborhood $B([\omega], V, W)$ of $\tilde{X}_1$ inside $B([\omega], U)$. Any point $x \in X$ has a neighborhood $V$ defined by the strong SLT-property applied to the point $x_1 = \omega(1)$ and its neighborhood $U$. Let $V$ be a cover of $X$ by such neighborhoods $V$. Put $W = U$ and consider any element $[l \ast \omega \ast \beta] \in B([\omega], V, W)$. Suppose $l$ is equal to a finite concatenation of loops $l = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \ast \gamma_i \ast \alpha_i^{-1}$, where $\gamma_i$ is a loop in some $V \in V$ and $\alpha_i$ is a path from $x_0$ to $\gamma_i(0)$. Then $[l \ast \omega \ast \beta] = [\omega \ast (\prod_{i=1}^{n} \omega^{-1} \ast \alpha_i \ast \gamma_i \ast \alpha_i^{-1} \ast \omega) \ast \beta]$. By the strong SLT property each loop $\omega^{-1} \ast \alpha_i \ast \gamma_i \ast \alpha_i^{-1} \ast \omega$ is homotopic rel. $x_1$ to a loop inside $U$, thus $[l \ast \omega \ast \beta]$ belongs to $B([\omega], U)$.

Suppose now that $\tilde{X}_1 = \tilde{X}_{wh}$ for any choice of the basepoint. Let $x_1 \in X$ be a point and $U$ be its neighborhood. Denote by $[x_1]$ the trivial path based at $x_1$. Consider the spaces $\tilde{X}_1 = \tilde{X}_{wh}$ based at $x_1$. The neighborhood $B([x_1], U)$ in $\tilde{X}_{wh}$ contains a lasso neighborhood $B([x_1], V, W)$, where $W \subset U$. Let $x_2$ be any point in $X$ and $V$ be any element of the cover $V$ containing $x_2$. Then for any path $\alpha$ in $X$ from $x_1$ to $x_2$ and any loop $\beta$: $(S^1, 1) \to (V, x_2)$ the class $[\alpha \ast \beta \ast \alpha^{-1}] \in B([x_1], V, W) \subset B([x_1], U)$ has a representative $\gamma$: $(S^1, 1) \to (U, x_1)$.

Since we introduce topologies on the fundamental group, it is natural to ask if these topologies make the fundamental group a topological group (i.e. if the operations of multiplication and taking inverse are continuous in the given topology). The fundamental group with the lasso topology is a topological group $[7]$. In general, neither the quotient of the compact-open topology nor the whisker topology make the fundamental group a topological group $[13, 7]$. It is interesting to note that these topologies fail to make $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ a topological group for different reasons. The inversion is always continuous in the group $\pi_1^{top}(X, x_0)$ (which makes it
a quasi topological group \([1]\)). On the other hand, the continuity of the inversion in the group \(\pi_1^{wh}(X, x_0)\) would make it a topological group:

**Proposition 4.20.** Let \((X, x_0)\) be a pointed topological space. If the operation of taking inverse in \(\pi_1^{wh}(X, x_0)\) is continuous, then \(\pi_1^{wh}(X, x_0)\) is a topological group.

**Proof.** One only needs to show continuity of the concatenation operation. Let \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) be two loops and \(B([\alpha * \beta], U)\) be a neighborhood of their concatenation in \(\pi_1^{wh}(X, x_0)\). The continuity of taking inverse (applied at the element \([\beta]^{-1} \in \pi_1^{wh}(X, x_0)\)) implies the existence of a neighborhood \(V\) of \(x_0\) such that for any loop \(\gamma\) in \(V\) the inverse of \([\beta]^{-1} * \gamma\) belongs to \(B([\beta], U)\), i.e. \([\beta]^{-1} * \gamma\) \(= [\gamma^{-1} * \beta] = [\beta * \mu]\) for some loop \(\mu\) in \(U\). Then for any \([\alpha * \gamma] \in B([\alpha], V)\) and any \([\beta * \delta]\) \(\in B([\beta], U)\) the concatenation \([\alpha * \gamma * \beta * \delta]\) \(= [\alpha * \beta * \mu * \delta]\) belongs to \(B([\alpha * \beta], U)\).

**Proposition 4.21.** \(\pi_1^{wh}(X, x_0)\) is discrete if and only if \(X\) is semi-locally simply-connected at \(x_0\).

**Proof.** If there is a neighborhood \(U\) of \(x_0\) such that every loop \(x_0 \in U\) at \(x_0\) is null-homotopic in \(X\), then \(B([\alpha], U)\) contains only \([\alpha]\) among all homotopy classes of loops at \(x_0\). Conversely, if \(B([\alpha], U)\) contains only \([\alpha]\) among all homotopy classes of loops at \(x_0\), then \([\alpha] = [\alpha * \gamma]\) for every loop \(\gamma\) in \(U\) at \(x_0\), i.e. \([\gamma] = 1\).

**Theorem 4.22.** Suppose \(X\) is a path-connected space. If \(\pi_1^{top}(X, x_0)\) contains an isolated point, then \(X\) is semi-locally simply-connected. If \(X\) is semi-locally simply-connected Peano space, then \(\pi_1^{top}(X, x_0)\) is discrete.

**Proof.** Choose an open set \(V\) in \(\tilde{X}_{top}\) whose intersection with \(\pi_1^{top}(X, x_0)\) is exactly \([\alpha]\). Given \(x_1 \in X\) choose a path \(\lambda\) from \(x_0\) to \(x_1\). Since \([[(\alpha * \mu) * \lambda^{-1}]\] \(\in V\), by \(4.3\) there is a neighborhood \(U\) of \(x_1\) such that \([[(\alpha * \mu) * \gamma * \lambda^{-1}]\] \(\in V\) for any loop \(\gamma\) in \(U\) at \(x_1\). Since \(V\) contains only the homotopy class of the loop \(\alpha\), \([\alpha] = [\gamma]\) \(\in [\alpha * \lambda]\) \(\cap [\alpha * \lambda^{-1}]\) and that implies \(\gamma\) is null-homotopic in \(X\).

If \(X\) is semi-locally simply-connected, then it is an SLT-space. By \(4.12\) we have \(\pi_1^{top}(X, x) = \pi_1^{wh}(X, x)\) for every \(x \in X\). Application of \(4.21\) completes the proof.

**Corollary 4.23** (Fabel \([12]\)). Suppose \(X\) is a connected locally path-connected metrizable space. The group \(\pi_1^{top}(X, x_0)\) is discrete if and only if \(X\) is semi-locally simply-connected.

## 5. Uniform structures on \(\tilde{X}\)

In this section we introduce uniform structures on \(\tilde{X}\) and \(\pi_1(X, x_0)\).

Given a uniform space \((X, x_0)\) and \(x_0 \in X\) we consider the space \((X, x_0)^{(1,0)}\) of continuous functions with the **uniform convergence structure** denoted by \((X, x_0)^{(1,0)}_{uc}\). The base of that structure consists of elements \(uc(E) := \{(\alpha, \beta)\mid (\alpha(t), \beta(t)) \in E\} \in E\) for all \(t \in I\), where \(E\) is an entourage of \(X\).

The projection \(\pi: (X, x_0)^{(1,0)} \to \tilde{X}\) is defined by \(\pi(\alpha) = [\alpha]\).

Our first example of a uniform structure on \(\tilde{X}\) is the James uniform structure (see \([19\text{ p.120}]\)):

**Definition 5.1.** The **James uniform structure** on \(\tilde{X}\) is generated by sets \(E^*\) consisting of pairs \(([\alpha], [\beta])\) such that \((\alpha, \beta)\) is a path in \(E \subset X \times X\) originating at \((x_0, x_0)\).

\(\tilde{X}_J\) is the space \(\tilde{X}\) equipped with the James uniform structure.
Since it is not entirely obvious \( \{ E^* \} \) form a base of a uniform structure, let us provide some details that will be also useful later on.

**Definition 5.2.** By a punctured square we mean a subset of \( I \times I \) whose complement in \( I \times I \) is a finite union of interiors of disks \( D_i \) such that \( D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset \) if \( i \neq j \) and \( \bigcup D_i \) is contained in the interior of \( I \times I \).

By an \( E \)-punctured-homotopy from a path \( \alpha \) to a path \( \beta \) originating from the same point \( x_0 \in X \) we mean a map \( H \) from a punctured square to \( X \) satisfying the following conditions:

1. \( H(t, 0) = \alpha(t) \) and \( H(t, 1) = \beta(t) \) for all \( t \in I \).
2. \( H(0, s) = x_0 \) for all \( s \in I \).
3. \( H((1) \times I) \) is \( E \)-bounded.
4. \( H(\partial D_i) \) is \( E \)-bounded for all disks \( D_i \).

**Lemma 5.3.** Let \( X \) be a uniform space with two given entourages \( E \) and \( F \) so that any pair \( (x, y) \in F \) can be connected by an \( E \)-bounded path in \( X \). Suppose \( \alpha, \beta : (I, 0) \to (X, x_0) \) are two paths in \( X \). If the corresponding elements \( [\alpha], [\beta] \) of \( \tilde{X} \) satisfy \( ([\alpha], [\beta]) \in \pi(uc(F)) \), then there is an \( E^2 \)-punctured-homotopy from \( \alpha \) to \( \beta \).

**Proof.** If \( ([\alpha], [\beta]) \in \pi(uc(F)) \), then there is \( (\alpha', \beta') \in F \) so that \( \alpha' \) is homotopic to \( \alpha \) rel. end-points and \( \beta' \) is homotopic to \( \beta \) rel. end-points. Find \( \epsilon > 0 \) with the property that \( \alpha'(J) \) and \( \beta'(J) \) are \( E \)-bounded for any subinterval \( J \) of \( I \) of diameter at most \( \epsilon \). Subdivide \( I \) into an increasing sequence of points \( t_0 = 0, t_1, \ldots, t_n = 1 \) so that \( t_{i+1} - t_i < \epsilon \) for all \( 0 \leq i < n \) and choose an \( E \)-bounded path \( \gamma_i \) in \( X \) from \( \alpha'(t_i) \) to \( \beta'(t_i) \). Use it to construct an \( E^2 \)-punctured-homotopy from \( \alpha' \) to \( \beta' \). Combine it with homotopies from \( \alpha \) to \( \alpha' \) and from \( \beta \) to \( \beta' \) to create an \( E^2 \)-punctured-homotopy from \( \alpha \) to \( \beta \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 5.4.** Given two paths \( \alpha, \beta : (I, 0) \to (X, x_0) \), the corresponding elements \( [\alpha], [\beta] \) of \( \tilde{X} \) satisfy \( ([\alpha], [\beta]) \in \pi(uc(E^2)) \) if there is an \( E \)-punctured-homotopy \( H \) from \( \alpha \) to \( \beta \).

**Proof.** Without loss of generality we may assume the domain of \( H \) is obtained from \( I \times I \) by removing mutually disjoint disks \( D_i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), centered at \( (x_i, 1/2) \) so that \( x_i > x_j \) if \( i < j \). Let \( \alpha' \) be the path in \( X \) obtained from \( H \) by starting at \( (0, 1/2) \) and traversing upper semicircles of boundaries of disks \( D_i \), reaching \( (1, 1/2) \), and then going up to \( (1, 1) \). Let \( \beta' \) be the path in \( X \) obtained from \( H \) by starting at \( (0, 1/2) \) and traversing lower semicircles of boundaries of disks \( D_i \), reaching \( (1, 1/2) \), and then going down to \( (1, 0) \). Notice \( \alpha' \) is homotopic to \( \alpha \) rel. end-points, \( \beta' \) is homotopic to \( \beta \) rel. end-points, and \( (\alpha', \beta') \in uc(E^2) \). \( \square \)

**Corollary 5.5.** If \( X \) is uniformly locally path-connected, then the projection \( \pi : (X, x_0)^{(I, 0)} \to \tilde{X} \) \( (\pi(\alpha) = [\alpha]) \) generates the James uniform structure on \( \tilde{X} \).

**Proof.** First observe \( E^* = \pi(uc(E)) \), so if \( \pi \) generates a uniform structure, it must be identical with that of James.

Given an entourage \( E \) of \( X \) find an entourage \( F \) such that \( F^4 \subset E \). If \( ([\alpha], [\beta]) \in \pi(uc(F)) \) and \( ([\beta], [\gamma]) \in \pi(uc(F)) \) there exist \( E^2 \)-punctured homotopies \( H \) from \( \alpha \) to \( \beta \) and \( G \) from \( \beta \) to \( \gamma \). The concatenation \( H \circ G \) is an \( E^2 \)-punctured-homotopy from \( \alpha \) to \( \gamma \) hence \( ([\alpha], [\gamma]) \in \pi(uc(F^4)) \subset \pi(uc(E)) \). \( \square \)
Berestovskii and Plaut [2] introduced a uniform structure on the space of $E$-chains in $X$. It easily generalizes to a uniform structure on $\tilde{X}$:

**Definition 5.6.** The Berestovskii-Plaut uniform structure on $\tilde{X}$ (denoted by $\tilde{X}_{BP}$) is generated by sets $bp(E)$ consisting of pairs $(\alpha, \beta)$ such that $\alpha^{-1} \ast \beta$ is homotopic in $X$ rel. end-points to an $E$-bounded path.

It is indeed a uniform structure: if $F^4 \subset E$, then $(bp(F))^2 \subset bp(E)$. Note how much easier it is to introduce it in comparison to the compact-open topology or James structure.

Notice that the projection $\pi_X : \tilde{X}_{BP} \to X$ is uniformly continuous. Also, it is surjective if and only if $X$ is path-connected.

**Proposition 5.7.** If $X$ is completely regular, then the whisker topology on $\tilde{X}$ is identical with the topology induced on $\tilde{X}$ by the Berestovskii-Plaut uniform structure corresponding to the canonical uniform structure on $X$.

**Proof.** Given a path $\alpha$ from $x_0$ to $x_1$ in $X$ and given a neighborhood $U$ of $x_1$ in $X$, the set $B([\alpha], U)$ contains $B([\alpha], bp(E))$ for any entourage $E$ so that $B(x_1, E^2) \subset U$. Also, $B([\alpha], bp(E)) \supset B([\alpha], U)$ if $U \subset B(x_1, E)$.

**Proposition 5.8.** The map $id : \tilde{X}_{BP} \to \tilde{X}_J$ is uniformly continuous for any uniform space $X$.

**Proof.** If two paths $\alpha$ and $\beta$ have their homotopy classes close in the Berestovskii-Plaut uniform structure (i.e. $([\alpha], [\beta]) \in bp(E)$ for some entourage $E$), then $\alpha^{-1} \ast \beta$ is homotopic in $X$ rel. end-points to some $E$-bounded path $\gamma$. Thus $\beta$ is homotopic rel. end-points to $\alpha \ast \gamma$. Since $\gamma$ is $E$-bounded, the path $(\alpha \ast \gamma, \alpha \ast const) \subset X \times X$ is contained in $E$, therefore $[\alpha \ast \gamma]$ and $[\alpha \ast const]$ are $E^*$-close in the James uniform structure. Notice that $[\alpha \ast \gamma] = [\beta]$ and $[\alpha \ast const] = [\alpha]$.

It is of interest to characterize spaces $X$ so that $id : \tilde{X}_J \to \tilde{X}_{BP}$ is uniformly continuous. Here is the corresponding concept to 5.17 in the uniform category:

**Definition 5.9.** A uniform space $X$ is a uniform small loop transfer space (a uniform SLT-space for short) if for every entourage $E$ of $X$ there is an entourage $F$ of $X$ such that given two loops $\alpha : (S^1, 1) \to (B(y, F), y)$ and $\beta : (S^1, 1) \to (X, x)$ that are freely homotopic, there is a loop $\gamma : (S^1, 1) \to (B(x, E), x)$ that is homotopic to $\beta$ rel. base point.

**Theorem 5.10.** If $X$ is path-connected and uniformly locally path-connected, then $id : \tilde{X}_J \to \tilde{X}_{BP}$ is uniformly continuous if and only if $X$ is a uniform SLT-space.

**Proof.** Suppose $X$ is an SLT-space and $E$ is an entourage of $X$. Find an entourage $F$ of $X$ such that given two loops $\alpha : (S^1, 1) \to (B(y, F^2), y)$ and $\beta : (S^1, 1) \to (X, x)$ that are freely homotopic, there is a loop $\gamma : (S^1, 1) \to (B(x, E), x)$ that is homotopic to $\beta$ rel. base point. It suffices to show $\pi(uc(F)) \subset bp(E^3)$, so assume $([\alpha], [\beta]) \in \pi(uc(F))$. By 5.3 there is an $F^2$-punctured-homotopy $H$ from $\alpha$ to $\beta$. Without loss of generality we may assume the domain of $H$ is obtained from $I \times I$ by removing mutually disjoint disks $D_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, centered at $(x_i, \frac{1}{2})$ so that $x_i > x_j$ if $i < j$. Let $\alpha_1$ be the path in $X$ obtained from re-parameterizing $H$ on the interval $[r_1, 1] \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ traversed to the left (in the decreasing direction of the first coordinate), where $(r_1, \frac{1}{2})$ belongs to $\partial D_1$. More generally, $\alpha_n$, $n \geq i > 1$, is the path in $X$ obtained from $H$ by traveling from the left-most point $L_{i-1}$ of
\[ \partial D_{i-1} \cap I \times \{ \frac{1}{2} \} \] to the right-most point \( R_i \) of \( \partial D_i \cap I \times \{ \frac{1}{2} \} \). Paths \( \beta_i, \ 1 \leq i \leq n \), are obtained from \( H \) by traveling from \( R_i \) to \( L_i \) along the lower part of \( \partial D_i \). Paths \( \gamma_i, \ 1 \leq i \leq n \), are obtained from \( H \) by traveling from \( R_i \) to \( L_i \) along the upper part of \( \partial D_i \).

Define \( l_k \) as \( \prod_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i \beta_i \) and define \( u_k \) as \( \prod_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i \gamma_i \) for \( k \leq n \). We will show by induction on \( k \) that \( l_k \circ u_k^{-1} \) is homotopic rel. end-points to a loop \( \omega_k \) in \( B(x_1, E) \), where \( x_1 = H(1, \frac{1}{2}) \). That will complete the proof of the first part of Problem 5.10 as \( \alpha^{-1} \circ \beta \) is homotopic rel. end-points to \( \delta \circ \omega_n \circ \mu \), where \( \delta \) corresponds to \( H(\{1\} \times [0, \frac{1}{2}] \) and \( \mu \) corresponds to \( H(\{1\} \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \).

Notice \( l_1 \circ u_1^{-1} \) is freely homotopic to \( \beta_1 \circ \gamma_1^{-1} \) that is contained in \( B(R_1, F^2) \), so \( \omega_1 \) exists. To do inductive step observe \( l_k \circ u_k^{-1} \sim l_{k-1} \circ \beta_k \circ \gamma_k^{-1} \circ u_{k-1}^{-1} \sim (l_{k-1} \circ \beta_k \circ \gamma_k^{-1} \circ l_{k-1}^{-1} \circ (l_k-1 \circ u_{n-1}^{-1} \) (here \( \sim \) stands for homotopy rel. end-points). Since \( l_{k-1} \circ \beta_k \circ \gamma_k^{-1} \circ l_{k-1}^{-1} \) is freely homotopic to a small loop \( \beta_k \circ \gamma_k^{-1} \), it is homotopic to a loop \( \lambda \) contained in \( B(x_1, E) \). Thus \( l_k \circ u_k^{-1} \sim \lambda \circ \omega_{k-1} \) and \( \lambda \circ \omega_{k-1} \) is contained in \( B(x_1, E) \).

Suppose \( l_1 \circ u_1 \) is uniformly continuous and \( E \) is an entourage of \( X \). Pick an entourage \( F \) of \( X \) such that \( uc(F^2) \subset bp(E) \). Given a loop \( \alpha \) in \( B(x_1, F) \) and a path \( \lambda \) from \( x_1 \) to \( x_0 \) represent \( \alpha \) as \( \beta \circ \gamma \) and notice \( (|\beta^{-1} \circ \lambda|, |\gamma \circ \lambda|) \in uc(F^2) \). Hence \( (|\beta^{-1} \circ \lambda|, |\gamma \circ \lambda|) \in bp(E) \) and there is a loop \( \mu \) in \( B(x_0, E) \) such that \( \mu \) is homotopic rel. end-points to \( (\beta^{-1} \circ \lambda)^{-1} \circ (\gamma \circ \lambda) \sim \lambda^{-1} \circ \alpha \circ \lambda \). That proves any loop freely homotopic to \( \alpha \) is homotopic rel. end-points to a small loop. \( \square \)

**Problem 5.11.** Is there a uniform SLT-space \( X \) that is not a uniform Poincare space?

We introduce the lasso uniformity on the set \( \tilde{X} \) for any uniform space \( X \).

**Definition 5.12.** Let \( E \) be an entourage of a uniform space \( X \) and \( x \) be a point in \( X \). A path \( l \) is called \( E \)-lasso based at the point \( x \) if \( l \) is equal to a finite concatenation of loops \( \alpha_n \circ \gamma_n \circ \alpha_n^{-1} \), where each loop \( \gamma_n \) is \( E \)-bounded and \( \alpha_n \) is a path from \( x \) to \( \gamma_n(0) \).

**Definition 5.13.** The **lasso uniform structure** on \( \tilde{X} \) (denoted by \( \tilde{X}_l \)) is generated by sets \( l(E) \) consisting of pairs \( ([\alpha], [\beta]) \) such that for some \( E \)-lasso \( l \) the path \( \alpha^{-1} \circ l \circ \beta \) is homotopic in \( X \) rel. end-points to an \( E \)-bounded path.

**Lemma 5.14.** Let \( X \) be a uniform space, \( E \) be an entourage, and \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) be two paths in \( X \). The classes \([\alpha]\) and \([\beta]\) are \( E \)-close in the lasso uniformity on \( \tilde{X} \) if and only if there is an \( E \)-punctured homotopy from \( \alpha \) to \( \beta \).

**Proof.** We may assume that the domain of an \( E \)-punctured homotopy \( H \) from \( \alpha \) to \( \beta \) is obtained from \( I \times I \) by removing mutually disjoint congruent disks \( D_i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), centered at \( (x_i, \frac{1}{2}) \) so that \( x_i > x_j \) if \( i < j \). Let \( \alpha_i \) be the straight path from the point \((0,0)\) to the point \( p_i \) of the boundary of the disk \( D_i \) with the smallest \( y \)-coordinate (so that any path \( \alpha_j \) is disjoint from other paths \( \alpha_j \) and disks \( D_j \)). Let the loop \( \gamma_i \) be based at the point \( p_i \) and traversing the boundary of the disk \( D_i \) once. Then \( H \) makes the path \( \prod_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \circ \gamma_i \circ \alpha_i^{-1} \) an \( E \)-lasso \( l \) in \( X \) and thus \( H \) can be interpreted as a homotopy between \( \alpha^{-1} \circ l \circ \beta \) and an \( E \)-bounded
path. Conversely, a homotopy between $\alpha^{-1} * l * \beta$ and an $E$-bounded path can be interpreted as an $E$-punctured homotopy from $\alpha$ to $\beta$.

The universality condition of the universal Peano space $P(X)$ (see 5.2) allows us to identify the sets $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{P(X)}$ since any path in $P(X)$ projects to a path in $X$ and any path in $X$ lifts uniquely to a path in $P(X)$.

**Proposition 5.15.** Let $X$ be a uniform space. The natural identification of the sets $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{P(X)}$ generates a uniform homeomorphism of $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{P(X)}$.

**Proof.** Suppose that two paths $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $X$ are $E$-close in the lasso uniformity. By 5.4 there is an $E$-punctured homotopy from $\alpha$ to $\beta$. By 5.4 the classes $[\alpha]$ and $[\beta]$ have uniformly $E^2$-close representatives (notice that the representatives constructed in the proof of 5.4 are also uniformly $E^2$-close in $P(X)$). By 5.5 the classes $[\alpha]$ and $[\beta]$ are close in the James uniform structure on $P(X)$.

Suppose that two paths $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $P(X)$ are $pc(E)$-close in the James uniform structure on $P(X)$. By 5.3 there is an $E^2$-punctured-homotopy from $\alpha$ to $\beta$. By 5.4 the classes $[\alpha]$ and $[\beta]$ are $E^2$-close in the lasso uniformity on $\tilde{X}$. □

**Corollary 5.16.** For any connected and uniformly locally path-connected uniform space $X$ the James uniformity on $\tilde{X}$ is identical with the lasso uniformity.

**Proof.** For any connected and uniformly locally path-connected uniform space $X$ we have $P(X) = X$. □

A uniform structure on $\tilde{X}$ induces a uniform structure on the fundamental group $\pi_1(X, x_0)$. It is natural to ask if this uniform structure makes the group a topological group.

Recall that the quotient of the compact-open topology does not make $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ a topological group for the single reason of the product of quotient maps not being a quotient map [13]. If the space $X$ is a uniform space, the situation is better: each product of uniform quotient mappings is a uniform quotient mapping [17]. Thus the fundamental group equipped with the James uniform structure is a topological group. The Berestovskii-Plaut uniform structure is unlikely to make the fundamental group a topological group for the same reason as the whisker topology does not make $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ a topological group (recall 5.7).

Although the lasso uniformity on $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ can be related to the James uniformity, we give a direct simple proof of the following:

**Proposition 5.17.** The lasso uniform structure makes the fundamental group a topological group.

**Proof.** By definition, two elements of $[\alpha], [\beta] \in \pi_1(X, x_0)$ are $E$-close if for some $E$-lasso $l$ the loop $\alpha^{-1} * l * \beta$ is homotopic to an $E$-bounded loop $\gamma$. That means for the $E$-lasso $L = l * \beta * \gamma * \beta^{-1}$ the loop $\alpha^{-1} * L * \beta$ is homotopically trivial. Thus two elements of $[\alpha], [\beta] \in \pi_1(X, x_0)$ are $E$-close if the loop $\alpha * \beta^{-1}$ is homotopic to an $E$-lasso.

The inverse operation in $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ is continuous in the lasso uniformity because if the loop $\alpha * \beta^{-1}$ is homotopic to an $E$-lasso $L$, then the loop $(\alpha^{-1} * (\beta^{-1})^{-1} = \alpha^{-1} * \beta$ is homotopic to an $E$-lasso $\alpha^{-1} * L^{-1} * \alpha$ (use 1.16).

The product operation in $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ is continuous in the lasso uniformity because if the loop $\alpha * \beta^{-1}$ is homotopic to an $E$-lasso $L$ and the loop $\gamma * \delta^{-1}$ is homotopic to
an $E$-lasso $M$, then the loop $(\alpha \ast \gamma) \ast (\beta \ast \delta)^{-1} \sim \alpha \ast M \ast \beta^{-1} \sim \alpha \ast \beta^{-1} \ast M \ast \beta^{-1} \sim L \ast (\beta \ast M \ast \beta^{-1})$ is homotopic to an $E$-lasso (use 4.11). □
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