ABSTRACT: Strain engineering of complex oxide heterostructures has provided routes to explore the influence of the local perturbations to the physical properties of the material. Due to the challenge of disentangling intrinsic and extrinsic effects at oxide interfaces, the combined effects of epitaxial strain and charge transfer mechanisms have been rarely studied. Here, we reveal the local charge distribution in manganite slabs by means of high-resolution electron microscopy and spectroscopy via investigating how the strain locally alters the electronic and magnetic properties of La0.5Sr0.5MnO3−La2CuO4 heterostructures. The charge rearrangement results in two different magnetic phases: an interfacial ferromagnetically reduced layer and an enhanced ferromagnetic metallic region away from the interfaces. Further, the magnitude of the charge redistribution can be controlled via epitaxial strain, which further influences the macroscopic physical properties in a way opposed to strain effects reported on single-phase films. Our work highlights the important role played by epitaxial strain in determining the spatial distribution of microscopic charge and spin interactions in manganites and provides a different perspective for engineering interface properties.
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In complex oxide heterostructures, a controlled modification of the charge-carrier density at the interface can yield a wide variety of phenomena that are absent in bulk materials. Many studies in this field have focused on the coupling between manganites and cuprates. It has been predicted that charge transfer from a manganite to a cuprate occurs because of the difference between their chemical potentials. X-ray spectroscopy studies of Lα2/3Ca1/3MnO3/YBa2Cu3O7 interfaces have indeed demonstrated a charge transfer of ~0.2 e− per Cu ion from Mn to Cu, causing a change in orbital occupation and an induced net magnetic moment in the cuprate. In addition, the spatial evolution of the electronic ground state at the interface has been also observed. The length scale of the charge transfer, measured by scanning tunneling microscopy, was suggested to be in the subnanometer range, and the spatial broadening of the electronic transition is correlated with the rougher interface. Meanwhile, electron energy-loss spectroscopy measurements revealed an electron enrichment in the manganite layer with a few nanometer thickness near the interface as a result of orbital hybridization and Cu/Mn substitution. These observations suggest that disorder effects are an important factor in attempts to understand the spatial correlations in such systems and to obtain precise control of the electronic structure at the interface.

Strain can provide an additional handle to manipulate the interfacial coupling between two materials. An anisotropic hopping between orbitals can be induced by structural changes and cause an orbital ordering. Experimentally, the magnetic ground state of La0.5Sr0.5MnO3 (LSMO) is observed to change from an insulating and...
antiferro-magnetic (AF) C-type, to a metallic and ferromagnetic (FM), and finally to an in-plane conducting and AF A-type phase by changing the tetragonality, c/a ratio, from 1.04 (compressive strain) to 0.98 (tensile strain).20−23 Thus, by varying the strain condition the preferential orbital occupation changes, one can directly modify the electronic and magnetic properties of the material. However, the role of the epitaxial strain for the charge transfer at the interface as well as the interfacial magnetic coupling in cuprate/manganite heterostructures is not yet well understood and explored. A comprehensive picture of the interplay between the lattice degrees of freedom and the electronic structure still calls for a detailed investigation with atomic accuracy.

Here, we provide a systematic nanoscopic investigation of strain and interface effects in La$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$MnO$_3$ (LSMO) layer inserted between insulating antiferromagnetic La$_2$CuO$_4$ (LCO) layers grown on three different substrates (LCO/LSMO/LCO-substrate system) with different lattice spacings. Using scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) combined with electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS), the detailed chemical composition and the changes of the local Mn valence in the system can be probed at the atomic scale near the interfaces. An asymmetric charge distribution near interfaces within the manganite layers is observed: Hole accumulation near interfaces suppresses the magnetization, giving rise to an exchange-bias effect. Away from the interfaces, the ferromagnetic order is recovered by an electron enrichment. Different from strain effects reported on single-phase films, we find that the charge redistribution in manganite layers is correlated with the interfacial Cu/Mn intermixing as well as the substrate-induced strain, which in turn alters the charge transfer at the interface and the physical properties of the LCO/LSMO/LCO-substrate system.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Structural Characterization.** LCO/LSMO/LCO trilayers with 10-unit-cell thick LSMO and 4-unit-cell thick top and bottom LCO layers were grown on (100) SrTiO$_3$ (STO), (100) (LaAlO$_3$)$_{0.3}$-(Sr$_2$AlTaO$_6$)$_{0.7}$ (LSAT), and (001) LaSrAlO$_4$ (LSAO) single-crystalline substrates by ozone-assisted molecular beam epitaxy (MBE).24 We choose the La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$MnO$_3$ with $x = 0.5$ compound with a thickness of 10 unit cells (~4 nm), as its physical properties are highly sensitive to the interfacial perturbations and are close to the critical value of the dead layer effect in manganites.25−27 The in-plane lattice parameters for STO, LSAT, and LSAO substrates are 3.905, 3.87, and 3.75 Å, respectively.
with the pseudocubic lattice parameter, $a_0$, of 3.86 Å is under tensile and compressive strain on STO and LSAO, respectively, and a negligible strain on LSAT. The lattice mismatch values referred to LSMO bulk compounds, $\delta = (a_0 - a_{\text{substrate}})/a_0 \times 100\%$, are $-1.2\%$ (STO), $-0.3\%$ (LSAT), and $2.9\%$ (LSAO). From the measured Mn–Mn interatomic distances for each layer (Figure S3), the averaged $c/a$ ratios of the LSMO layers on LSAO, LSAT, and STO are 1.08, 1.00, and 0.98, respectively (Table S1).

To confirm the structural quality of the films, we first investigate the LCO/LSMO/LCO trilayer on the LSAT (001) substrate as a representative sample. The low-magnification STEM high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) image (Figure 1a) demonstrates a good macroscopic crystal quality with structurally coherent LCO/LSMO and LSMO/LCO interfaces. Similar to prior work,28,29 we observe differences in both the Mn distribution and the atomic stacking sequences at the top and bottom interfaces (Figure 1b,c). A direct Cu–O–Mn
bonding at the bottom interface is followed by an indirect contact at the top interface. In order to explore the elemental distribution, we acquire atomic-resolution 2D elemental maps across the two interfaces. Figure 1d–g displays La (green), Sr (orange), Mn (blue), and Cu (red) maps, respectively. The superimposed overlay (Figure 1h) and the normalized intensity profiles (Figure 1i) of each element show that the bottom LCO/LSMO interface has a stronger intermixing that spreads over ∼1.5 nm, while the top LSMO–LCO interface is abrupt. Away from the interfaces, the La and Sr concentration remains the same. The trilayers grown on STO and LSAO show similar results (Figures S5, S6) suggesting that the asymmetric cation intermixing at these interfaces is largely independent of the magnitude of the substrate-induced strain and possibly correlates with different stacking sequences and growth kinetics instead.

Electronic and Magnetic Properties of Trilayers. Next, we turn our attention to transport and magnetic measurements of all three LCO/LSMO/LCO trilayers. The resistance vs temperature (R–T) curves in Figure 2a are normalized to the resistance at 290 K to reveal the differences at low temperatures. The trilayer grown on STO is more semiconducting-like with diverging resistance as T → 0, which agrees well with the expected semiconducting state in half-doped LSMO. However, films grown on LSAT and LSAO show metallic behavior. Meanwhile, noticeable changes in the magnetic interactions for the three samples are also observed (Figure 2b,c). The Curie temperature, Tc, as well as the saturation magnetization increases, and accordingly, the resistivity decreases, consistent with the well-known behavior of manganites. The measured magnetism of the films is, therefore, dominated by the LSMO layer. Moreover, the trilayer structure is identical on all three substrates, so the enhanced magnetism should arise from the enhanced double-exchange contribution to the magnetic interactions. Prior studies on epitaxial LSMO thin films show that compressive epitaxial strain tends to reduce Tc and suppress the magnetization in LSMO. Thus, the compressive strain of the LCO/LSMO/LCO trilayer on LSAO is expected to weaken the magnetism. However, we observe that the film on LSAO shows the largest magnetic moment, while for STO the magnetization as well as the saturation magnetization increases, and accordingly, the resistivity decreases, consistent with the well-known behavior of manganites. The measured Tc for the three films are determined to be ∼163, 230, and 247 K on STO, LSAT, and LSAO, respectively. Note that the Neél temperature for the antiferromagnetic LCO cannot be determined due to its weak magnetic signal. The measured magnetism of the films is, therefore, dominated by the LSMO layer. Moreover, the trilayer structure is identical on all three substrates, so the enhanced magnetism should arise from the enhanced double-exchange contribution to the magnetic interactions. Prior studies on epitaxial LSMO thin films show that compressive epitaxial strain tends to reduce Tc and suppress the magnetization in LSMO. Thus, the compressive strain of the LCO/LSMO/LCO trilayer on LSAO is expected to weaken the magnetism. However, we observe that the film on LSAO shows the largest magnetic moment, while for STO the magnetization of the film is reduced with a lowered Tc. This unexpected behavior suggests that the magnetic and electronic properties of the trilayers cannot be simply ascribed to the induced epitaxial strain. In addition, all samples exhibit nonzero values of the exchange bias at 5 K, consistent with previously reported values. The representative hysteresis loops of the film on LSAT clearly demonstrate the characteristic exchange-bias shift along the magnetic-field axis in Figure 2d. These results suggest that the existence of magnetic frustration near interfaces originates from an exchange coupling of the ferromagnetic layer to the antiferromagnetic interface layer. Detailed information about the measured exchange bias and zero-field-cooled magnetization curves for all samples can be found in the Figures S8 and S9.

Probing Charge Variation Across the Interfaces. The exchange interaction between Mn3+ and Mn4+ ions in manganites is at root of the correlation between conductivity and ferromagnetism. Herewith, we focus on changes in the local Mn valence by probing the Mn L2,3 edge fine structures, which reflect the unoccupied local Mn 3d density of states. The evolution of the Mn L2,3 edge spectra on each atomic layer within LSMO of the trilayer on LSAT is shown (Figure 3). A large width perpendicular to the scanning direction was averaged along the linescan to avoid any beam damage to the film and to increase the signal-to-noise ratio of the linescan, which in turn ensures the accuracy of the valence determination. Spectra on layers 1–6 in Figure 3a were obtained from the bottom interface to the central LSMO layers, while layers 7–12 were scanned starting from the central layers to the top interface through the same scan. The Mn L2,3 spectra (Figure 3b) show a clear progressive increase of the L2 intensity from the central layers to both interfaces, as an indication of the valence changes within LSMO. To quantify this effect, the atomic-layer-resolved L2/L3 intensity ratios and corresponding valence states were determined from layers 1–12 and are presented in Figure 3c. The Mn valence profile exhibits an asymmetric shape near the two interfaces. The bottom interface displays a wide region of an increased Mn valence close to 3.6+ over a three-monolayer broad region, while the top interface displays a more narrow region of approximately one monolayer. The spatial extent of these regions agrees well with the trend observed in the B-site intermixing at both interfaces (Figure 1i). More importantly, valence changes not only occur near interfaces but also extend to central Mn layers: Away from the interface (layers 5–10), a significantly lower valence state than the expected value 3.5+ is observed. This suggests that the underlying dopant-concentration profiles within LSMO do not play a dominant role in the changes in the Mn valence. Instead, the presence of a charge redistribution occurs in our system.

Strain-Tuned Local Charge Redistribution. The overall trend of the observed asymmetric hole profile within LSMO layers (cf. Figure 3c) is depicted in Figure 4a. To explore the origin of unexpected physical properties that we observe, comprehensive analyses of Mn valence distributions are extended to all trilayers grown on the three substrates in Figure 4b. We estimate the local electronic and magnetic phase present in LSMO by comparing the measured Mn valence with the Mn doping relative to its bulk-like state. We find that for all samples a significantly increased Mn valence near the bottom interface (first to fourth Mn layer) leads to a formal local doping close to the x = 0.6 antiferromagnetic state. This is consistent with previous theoretical model calculations and experimental polarized neutron reflectometry studies showing the lack of carriers leading to magnetic and electronic phase separation and a reduced FM due to Mn4+–Mn3+ superexchange antiferromagnetic interaction at the cuprate/manganite interface. Away from the interfaces, the magnitude of electron enrichment due to the presence of a lowered Mn valence in LSMO differs significantly for the three substrates. This suggests that the magnetization and conductivity within LSMO are mainly dominated by Mn–Mn double-exchange interactions in the central Mn layers (fifth to tenth Mn layers). The magnetization as well as the conductivity increase as the electron enrichment increases within the central Mn layers. Under compressive strain on LSAO, central Mn layers are close to x = 0.3 for a bulk-like FM phase, which corresponds to the highest ferromagnetic moment and lowest resistivity in the phase diagram. On the other hand, in the case of the tensile strain for the STO substrate, the weakened charge delocalization leads to a...
reduction of the total magnetization, Curie temperature, and metallicity, compared to the other two films.

**Ca-Doping: LCO/LCMO/LCO Trilayer.** To confirm the tunability of the charge delocalization and the magnetic phase in trilayers, we also investigated a structure consisting of a 10-u.c.-thick $\text{La}_0.5\text{Ca}_0.5\text{MnO}_3$ (LCMO) sandwiched by LCO grown on STO, since the size of the A-site ions in the manganites also influences the stability of the structural phase and may induce chemical pressure. LCMO ($a_0 = 3.83$ Å) is tensile-strained on STO with a lattice mismatch of $\delta = -1.96\%$. Here, the interfacial structure follows a similar sequence compared to the LCO/LSMO/LCO trilayer, with the only difference that less deficiency of the dopant concentration is observed at the bottom interface (Figures S10, S11). If the A-site intermixing is responsible for the charge redistribution, we expect to observe some differences in the Mn valence at the interface between two trilayers. Nevertheless, an increased Mn valence close to 3.6+ near the bottom interface occurs in both films (Figure 5b), verifying that changes in the Mn valence are related to the B-site rather than the A-site sublattice ions. Moreover, we found a weaker ferromagnetism in the LCO/LCMO/LCO trilayer (Figure 5a), compared with LCO/LSMO/LCO on STO. Owing to a stronger chemical pressure induced by the smaller ionic radius of Ca, this allows the lattice to extend the tetragonal phase toward a lower $c/a$ ratio range (Table S1), which decreases the extent of the charge redistribution. As a consequence, a further weakening of magnetism in manganite layers is observed here.

**Effect of Strain on Charge Distribution and Magnetism.** To elucidate the role of the structure in the charge redistribution and magnetism within the individual LSMO layers, we compare the $c/a$ ratio variation as a function of the Mn valence and Curie temperature. First, the Curie temperature increases as the averaged $c/a$ ratio of LSMO layer is increased (Figure 6a), which is at strong variance with the previously reported suppressed magnetism on single-layered LSMO films by substrate-induced strain.22,23 This suggests that the mechanism should involve other aspects of the interface besides the Jahn–Teller effect. Second, we find that the average Mn valence decreases with increasing averaged $c/a$ ratio (Figure 6b), indicating a correlation between the amount of transferred electrons from manganites and the lattice strain. A change in the magnitude of the charge redistribution is also observed from the standard deviations of the means. The trilayer on STO shows a Mn valence of 3.55+ averaged over the whole manganite layer, higher than the expected nominal 3.5+ Mn valence. This is consistent with the scenario of the charge transferred from the manganite to the cuprate8,9 and suggests an intrinsic mechanism due to the interfacial electronic reconstruction. In contrast, under compressive strain, the significantly large spatial variation of the Mn valence close to 3.6+ near the bottom interface occurs in both films (Figure 5b), verifying that changes in the Mn valence are related to the B-site rather than the A-site sublattice ions.
valence in LSMO layer with an averaged Mn valence of ∼3.5+ suggests less transferred charge from manganite and a driving force involving more extrinsic effects, e.g., chemical intermixing at the interface.

CONCLUSIONS

Combining strain and interface effects allows us to establish the link between the structural and electronic reconfiguration at the cuprate-manganite interfaces. Near the interface, the observed hole-accumulation-induced AF exchange coupling can be dominated by a combination of charge transfer (due to band mismatch) and the Cu/Mn intermixing based on the similar length scale with the increased Mn valence. Such charge redistribution in the LSMO layer can be attributed to the electrostatic interaction. It is possible that a substitution of Cu$^{2+}$ on the Mn$^{3+}$ site at the interface as a hole donor attracts the holes (Mn$^{4+}$) toward the negatively charged interface. Hence, a lowered Mn valence in the central LSMO layer is observed. Another possible scenario, which could realize the observed redistribution, is that due to the size mismatch between Cu$^{2+}$, Mn$^{3+}$ (∼0.7 Å), and Mn$^{4+}$ (∼0.5 Å), the diffusion of larger Cu$^{2+}$ at the interface causes Mn$^{3+}$ moving into the central layer to relax the elastic strain energy. Meanwhile, the lattice strain plays an important role in affecting the magnitude of the charge redistribution within manganite layers. The compressive tetragonal distortion produces a lowering of the 3z$^{-2}$r$^{-2}$ orbitals, leading to a stronger delocalization of electrons in the out-of-plane direction. Therefore, the effect of strain together with the Cu/Mn substitution may result in a larger variation of the Mn valence for the trilayer on LSAT, and an electron enrichment away from the interface, which is presumably responsible for its enhanced FM and metallic behavior. On the other hand, the tensile strain favors the occupation of the x$^{-2}$y$^{2}$ orbitals. This leads to confinement of electrons in the in-plane direction and a reduced charge redistribution in the LSMO layer.

In summary, we visualize the strain-tuned charge redistribution by mapping local Mn valence variations in manganite layers. These results emphasize the importance of the interface effect, which here leads to a prominent charge redistribution away from the interface and alters its magnetic and electronic structure drastically. Further, the lattice strain together with the Cu/Mn substitution can modify the charge delocalization at the interface. This finding may provide opportunities to tune the charge transfer at cuprate/manganite interfaces. More broadly, our approach of engineering the spatial extent of the charge redistribution can be applied to achieve a more precise property control at the atomic scale for oxide electronics and related devices.

METHODS

Thin Film Fabrication. LCO/LSMO/LCO trilayers were grown by using an ozone-assisted atomic-layer-by-layer oxide MBE system. The deposition conditions used for synthesizing the samples were a temperature of ∼620 °C (pyrometer reading) and a pressure of ∼1 × 10$^{-5}$ Torr (of mixed oxygen and molecular and atomic oxygen). Each individual growth step was monitored by using in situ reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED). Representative RHEED patterns taken from individual LCO and LSMO layers of the trilayer sample grown on LSAT substrate are presented in Figure S1 as an example. The structural quality of the films was confirmed ex situ by high-resolution X-ray diffraction (see Figure S2).

Electron Microscopy and Spectroscopy. The TEM sample preparation includes mechanical grinding (down to ∼10 μm), tripod wedge polishing (with an angle of ∼1.5°), and double-sided argon-ion milling. A precision ion polishing system (PIPS, Model 695) was used at low temperature. Immediately before the experiment, samples were treated in a Fischione plasma cleaner in a 75% argon−25% oxygen mixture. For STEM analysis, a probe-aberration-corrected JEOL JEM-ARM200F STEM equipped with a cold-field emission electron source, a probe Cs-corrector (DCOR, CEOS GmbH), a Gatan GIF Quantum ERS spectrometer, and a Gatan K2 direct electron detector was used at 200 kV. STEM imaging and EELS analyses were performed at probe semi-convergence angles of 20 and 28 mrad, resulting in probe sizes of 0.8 and 1.0 Å, respectively. The collection angle range for HAADF imaging was 110−270 mrad. A collection semiangle of 111 mrad was used for EELS investigations. A 0.5 eV/ch dispersion with an effective energy resolution of ∼1 eV was used for overall chemical profiling of the films, and a 0.1 eV/ch dispersion with an effective energy resolution of ∼0.5 eV was chosen particularly for the Mn $L_2$/$L_3$ intensity ratio. Further details of the data processing and the corresponding Figure S12 are given in Supporting Information.

Electronic and Magnetic Properties. We used SQUID magnetometry to measure the magnetic properties. The magnetization curves were measured using a Magnetic Property Measurement System (MPMS, Quantum Design Co.) in the Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM) mode. Electrical measurements were done in a Van der Pauw (four-point-probe) configuration using alternative DC currents of ±20 μA. The values of resistivity at room temperature...
(300 K) are 0.14, 0.2, and 1.57 mΩ cm in the trilayer on LSAO, LSAT, and STO, respectively.
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