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Cyclic vomiting syndrome (CVS) is an uncommon, idiopathic disorder defined by recurrent, sudden-onset attacks of repetitive retching and vomiting that are separated by symptom-free intervals. CVS was long regarded as a disorder primarily experienced by children but is now known to present de novo in adulthood. Adult CVS has garnered more research attention over the past 20 years, and these efforts have identified some acute and prophylactic treatments for this disorder. However, CVS still lacks a unifying disease model, and this has hindered the development of new therapies. Here adult CVS is reframed as a neurogenic disorder, driven by various endophenotypic factors that shape patterns of activity within the neural circuits required for disease expression. The concept of the “CVS threshold” is put forth in parallel with exploring the remarkable similarity of adult CVS with features of chronic migraine, epilepsy, and panic disorder. Because of such shared neural mechanisms and overlapping endophenotypes, many therapies that have been developed for these other disorders could also be useful in managing CVS. This review seeks to achieve three primary aims: (1) to develop a comprehensive, explanatory framework for adult CVS pathogenesis, (2) to use this framework for identifying potentially novel therapies for CVS, and (3) to describe future research directions that are needed to move the field forward.
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INTRODUCTION

Cyclic vomiting syndrome (CVS) is an uncommon, idiopathic disorder that, in its most classical form, is characterized by recurrent, sudden-onset attacks of repetitive retching and vomiting that are separated by symptom-free intervals. CVS was long regarded as a disorder primarily experienced by children but is now known to present de novo in adulthood.1,2 Because of the negative impact on patients' quality of life and the increased clinical recognition of the syndrome, CVS in adults has garnered more research attention over the past 20 years. These research efforts have led to substantial progress in identifying various core clinical features of adult CVS, a range of associated medical conditions, and potential biomarkers of the disorder. Importantly, several therapies have been suggested to be effective in the acute treatment and prophylaxis of CVS attacks, and these therapies currently form the cornerstone of clinical management. However, adult CVS is an uncommon disorder that may present in varied clinical contexts, and individual regional and academic medical centers may only treat relatively small, heterogeneous populations of adult CVS patients. Clinicians with expertise in recognizing and treating CVS in adults are not widely distributed throughout the healthcare system. Thus, patients often suffer for years without receiving a "correct" diagnosis,3 and are offered an array of idiosyncratic and ineffective treatments. The heterogeneity and scarcity of adult patients with CVS poses a significant barrier to performing prospective randomized therapeutic trials in this population. Indeed, such a trial has yet to be performed. Thus, the evidence basis supporting current diagnostic criteria and treatment options for adult CVS has been primarily derived from cross-sectional and mostly retrospective studies in tertiary care populations.

But progress in the field of CVS research has been hampered not only by structural healthcare delivery or organizational problems in conducting clinical trials. A major issue is that CVS remains an idiopathic, syndrome disorder without a pathophysiological explanatory framework. To be clear, several potentially important pathophysiological mechanisms have been identified to date. These putative contributing mechanisms include genetic predispositions,3-5 physiological abnormalities involving mitochondrial function,6 autonomic regulation,7-9 or neuroendocrine function,10 as well as co-morbid affective disorders,2,11,12 substance abuse,13 or neurological conditions.14,15 Yet, as a complex syndrome, CVS is unlikely to be driven by any single mechanism that has been identified in such associational studies. Rather, CVS could be characterized as a complex medical disorder with a final common “phenotype” (i.e., recurrent episodes of vomiting), which is driven by the cumulative impact of multiple, discrete endophenotype factors. Endophenotypes are increasingly recognized as typically subclinical traits with potential pathophysiological relevance for a wide range of complex medical disorders.16 Endophenotypes have a strong genetic basis (i.e.,
either purely heritable or driven by gene-environmental interactions) and lead to some functional biological variation either associated with a specific symptom and/or objective physiological biomarker. A unified disease model for adult CVS proposes that multiple endophenotypes (both those already identified and that remain to be discovered) each contribute risk to drive the cumulative, moment-to-moment probability of developing a CVS attack. The component endophenotypes for complex medical disorders are not necessarily individually pathogenic, nor are they necessarily specific for the disorder. Therefore, CVS could share multiple endophenotypes with other complex medical disorders, yet have a different final phenotype. Viewed in this way, it is not surprising that there are tremendous overlaps between the pathophysiological associations documented in CVS with those described in other recurrent, triggered syndromes such as migraine, epilepsy, or panic disorder.

A comprehensive disease model for CVS, which is based on identifying relevant endophenotypes and comorbidities could potentially shift the mode of therapeutic discovery in adult CVS from one that has been opportunistic and anecdotal, to one that is mechanistic and predictive. Thus, the identification of the presence or absence of core endophenotypes and comorbidities in a specific CVS patient should provide the means to develop targeted, personalized treatments with a high likelihood of success. This review is not intended to be an exhaustive accounting of adult cyclic vomiting syndrome. The reader is referred to other comprehensive reviews of CVS both in children\(^1\) and adults\(^1, 18\) for more details about disease features, associations, diagnosis, and treatment in these populations. Rather, this review seeks to achieve three primary aims: (1) to develop a comprehensive, explanatory framework for CVS pathogenesis in adults, (2) to use this framework for identifying potential novel therapies for adult CVS, and (3) to describe future research directions needed to move the field forward.

Establishing a paradigm: shared endophenotypes in CVS, migraines, epilepsy, and panic disorder. It is now well accepted that CVS is characterized by four primary temporal phases of the disorder: (1) the "well" phase (classically asymptomatic, but not necessarily so), (2) the prodromic phase, (3) the emetic phase, and (4) the recovery phase (Figure 1a). Prototypically, CVS patients experience nausea during the prodromic phase before the abrupt, sudden evolution to the emetic phase.\(^1, 19\) However, multiple symptoms other than nausea are often experienced by patients during the prodromic phase through the acute emetic phase and even during the recovery phase.\(^2\) These symptoms may be driven by autonomic (salivation, sweating, pallor, flushing, rapid/irregular heartbeat, and diarrhea), affective and cognitive (anxiety/panic, food aversion, irritability, insomnia/restlessness, and depersonalization), constitutional (fatigue, listlessness, light-headedness, intense feeling hot or chilled, and intense thirst), skeletal motor (shivering or shaking, retching, and tachypnea), and sensory (abdominal pains, cramps/bloating, limb paresthesias, hyperesthesia, photophobia, phonophobia, headache, and dyspnea) phenomena.\(^1, 2\) There is also evidence that subsets of CVS patients demonstrate dysregulation within one or more of these domains even during the inter-episodic phase.\(^1, 7, 8\) Collectively, these observations suggest that CVS is more than a brain-gut disorder characterized by episodes of nausea and repetitive vomiting.\(^1, 20\) Rather, CVS could be regarded as a multi-system disorder involving aberrant autonomic, neuroendocrine, affective, cognitive, and sensorimotor function. All of these functions are under some influence by the central nervous system (i.e., the brain). The varied clinical features of CVS predict that aberrant function in the specific neural circuits capable of influencing each of these varied functions is the neurobiological basis of the disorder. Thus, CVS could be reframed as a neurogenic disorder, driven by the cumulative impact of endophenotypic "building blocks" that shape the structure and activity of the neural circuits required for disease expression.

Viewed from this neurological perspective, remarkable parallels between CVS, migraine, epilepsy, and panic disorder begin to emerge quite clearly. Each of these distinct illnesses blend into a web of interrelated disorders with shared clinical features due to their shared endophenotypes and mechanisms dependent on neuronal activity within specific neural circuits. The evidence to support this claim will be detailed below. However, it is important to note that much of evidence is derived from smaller, retrospective studies performed in tertiary care centers using cross-sectional, patient reported data, often without control groups. Thus, the literature...
reporting on patterns of presentation (triggers and prodromic symptoms) and disease associations in CVS, migraine, epilepsy, and panic disorder could be subject to observer, recall, or tertiary care biases. Nonetheless, the similarities in the features reported to be associated with CVS, migraine, seizures, and panic attacks are quite striking.

First, CVS attacks, migraines, seizures, and panic attacks each demonstrate a characteristic episodic pattern of disease with inter-episodic “well” phases, punctuated by prodromic, attack, and recovery phases (Figures 1a–d). Most adult CVS patients report experiencing some form of prodrome, as do a substantial number of patients report experiencing individually stereotypical (yet complex) prodromic symptoms before migraines, seizures, or panic attacks. The prodomic symptoms reported by migraineurs and epileptics may vary across autonomic/neuroendocrine, affective, cognitive, and sensorimotor domains as they do for CVS and panic disorder. Second, CVS, migraine, epilepsy, and panic disorder all are “triggered” by similar internal and external conditions. For example, an increased sensitivity to acute physiological or psychological stressors, impaired sleep, hypoglycemia, and even hormonal variations during the menstrual cycle, among others, may increase the likelihood of developing a CVS, migraine, seizure, or panic attack. Some of these associations may be driven, in part, by mitochondrial dysfunction. Third, many patients with CVS, migraines, seizures, or panic attacks experience symptom onset dependent upon a circadian pattern. In particular, CVS attacks, migraines, and frontal seizures may cluster in the early AM hours. Fourth, prior exposure to adverse or traumatic life events is linked with increased likelihood of developing CVS, migraine, epilepsy, or panic disorder. Finally, alterations in both basal autonomic activity and provoked autonomic responses have been observed in many patients during the inter-episodic phase in CVS, migraine, epilepsy, and panic disorder, suggesting that...
impaired allostatic regulation is a common feature of each of these disorders.

Because of the common endophenotypes shared between CVS, migraine, epilepsy, and panic disorder, individual patients may experience more than one of these disorders during their lifetime. For example, CVS patients often suffer from co-morbid migraines,¹ and it is intriguing that patients who initially developed CVS during childhood may undergo a phenotypic switch to become adult migraineurs without CVS.² Co-morbid anxiety disorders are especially common in those with CVS,² migraines,²⁶ and epilepsy.³⁰ There is a notably high prevalence of epilepsy in those with chronic migraines, as well as a high prevalence of migraines in those with epilepsy.⁵² This particular overlap is so clinically prominent that some neurologists have termed the phenomenon “migralepsy,” in part to reinforce the notion that these disorders exist in a spectrum with often overlapping mechanisms.⁵² One case report even suggested the co-existence of CVS, migraines, and seizures in a young adult patient,¹⁵ and another report described EEG-demonstrable epileptic seizures in two adults that presented primarily with acute onset nausea and vomiting.⁵³ Collectively, these observations reinforce the notion that common endophenotypic mechanisms contribute to the expression of CVS, chronic migraines, epilepsy, and anxiety disorders. The endophenotype concept for CVS in adults is further detailed in Figure 2.

**Neuronal excitability: a therapeutic target for CVS.** There have been tremendous advances in the neurobiological understanding of migraine and epilepsy that could inform our understanding of cyclic vomiting syndrome and suggest new treatments. For example, cortical spreading depression is now recognized as a major pathophysiological process that contributes to the evolution of a migraine.⁵⁴ This process is driven by increases in basal neuronal hyperexcitability that exceed a critical threshold to initiate waves of neuronal depolarization that ripple through the cerebral cortex, followed by a wake of hyperpolarization/inhibition associated with lingering, multi-systemic symptoms.⁵⁴ A broad range of triggers are hypothesized to initiate cortical spreading depression, including emotional or physiological stress, inflammation, and sleep deprivation.⁵⁴ Triptan medications act primarily as 5HT1B/1D agonists,⁵⁵ which lead to increased presynaptic inhibition via 5HT1B/1D receptors that are broadly expressed in the CNS.⁵⁶ Thus, triptans may directly impact neuronal excitability and interfere with the development of cortical spreading depression. Finally, calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) release during cortical spreading depression has recently been identified as an important factor required for sustaining a migraine, and pharmacological inhibition of the CGRP-receptor system shows therapeutic promise in both preventing and aborting migraines.⁵⁴,⁵⁵,⁵⁷,⁵⁸

**Table 1** Newer therapeutic targets in the treatment of migraine, epilepsy, or panic disorders with potential utility in CVS

| Treatments | Pharmacological target | Potential medications | Reference # |
|------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| Calcitonin gene-related peptide system | Small molecule CGRP receptor anatagonist | Tacagepant, Ubrogepant, LY2951742, ALD403, and TEV-48125 (Phase II) | 74, 75, 76 |
| Monoclonal Ab to CGRP receptor | AMG 334 | 76 |
| Gap junctions | Connexin-36 | Quinine, Quinidine, and Mefloquine | 77 |
| Angiotensin II receptors | Angiotensin II receptors | Candesartan | 78 |
| Dopamine/5HT2 receptors | Dopamine/5HT2 receptors | Olanzapine | 79 |
| Glutamate receptor system | AMPA/Kainate receptors | Perampanel | 80, 81, 82 |
| Calcium channels | NMDA receptors | Ketamine | 83 |
| Calcium channels | R-type channels | Lamotrigine, Zonisamide, Valproate | 84, 85 |
| Calcium channels | T-type channels | 2944 (Phase II) | 86 |
| Calcium channels | | Flumazenil Ethosuximide | 87 |
| GABAA receptors | SSRI s and SNRIs | 88 |
| Serotonin system | Serotonin receptors | Mirtazapine | 88 |
| Serotonin system | Atypical/Unknown | | 89, 90 |
| Biobehavioral treatments | Cognitive behavioral therapy; mindful meditation; exposure therapy | Unknown | N/A 91, 92 |
| Yoga/Pilates/Tai Chi | Unknown | N/A 93 |
acute CVS attacks,¹¹,¹³ migraines,⁷⁰ seizures,⁶⁵,⁶⁷ and panic attacks.⁶⁸ It would account for the utility of triptan medications in aborting migraines and CVS attacks.¹¹,¹⁸,⁵⁵ It may also explain some reports of beneficial effects of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in treating CVS.⁷¹,⁷² Indeed, given these general observations, CVS patients could benefit from trials of therapies that are currently available for the treatment of migraines, seizures, or panic disorder (Table 1).

The therapeutic armamentarium capable of influencing neural excitability and neural circuit function is not restricted to pharmacological interventions, but extends to bio-behavioral interventions that can potently and durably induce neuroplasticity to ameliorate the propensity for attacks.⁷ For example, viewed from this neural circuit perspective, the neurobiological underpinnings of arousal and fear may be as relevant for CVS as they are for panic disorder. “Mind-body” interventions include psychological therapies such as cognitive behavioral therapy or exposure therapy, stress management techniques, biofeedback therapy, mindfulness meditation, and movement-based therapies such as yoga, Tai Chi, or Pilates (Table 1). Many of these mind-body interventions, coupled with general wellness interventions such as regular sleep habits, exercise, and a balanced diet, could also have positive influence on CVS disease, via pleiotropic impacts on neuronal circuit function.⁷

Lastly, a focus on neuronal excitability and endophenotypes in CVS may resolve some potential paradoxes in its disease associations and management. For example, it has long been recognized that a substantial number of adult patients develop CVS in the context of chronic heavy marijuana use and that eliminating its use can improve symptoms.¹¹,¹⁸ Yet, not everyone that regularly uses marijuana develops CVS, and in many patients, intermittent use of marijuana reduces anxiety and can even abort a CVS attack.¹³ Similarly, chronic exposure to opiates may worsen the CVS disease course to drive a more coalescent form of the disorder, yet acutely administered opiates can be effective in alleviating acute pain during a CVS attack.⁷ However, if viewed through an endophenotype lens and considering different neural circuits, these paradoxes can be resolved. For example, in susceptible individuals, chronic marijuana and opiate may increase neuronal excitability within the neural circuits that regulate autonomic function to drive allostatic dysregulation and increased CVS attack frequency,⁷ whereas at the same time leaving preserved the sensitivity of neural circuits that alleviate pain or nausea and vomiting to acute opiate and cannabinoid exposure, respectively.

The “CVS threshold”: an integrative model of a complex disorder. Given the importance of neuronal excitability in their pathogenesis, the migraine and epilepsy fields have long used the terms “migraine threshold” and “seizure threshold” to link the biological and clinical features of the disorders. Indeed, a threshold concept is also discussed for panic disorder.⁷³ Because of shared mechanisms with migraine, epilepsy, and panic disorder, it would appear that the CVS field should adopt the term “CVS threshold.” The term “CVS threshold” links CVS to its multiple-contributing endophenotypes and anchors the concept of CVS disease expression to neuronal excitability and plasticity in neuronal

---

Figure 3  Graphical representation of the CVS threshold concept. In panel (a), two successive CVS triggers (a and b) summate to increase neuronal excitability to a point that crosses the CVS threshold. This then precipitates a CVS attack (represented at the top of the panel to mirror Figure 1a). In panel (b), the same two successive CVS triggers (a and b) now do not reach the CVS threshold, which has been raised by prophylactic medications that decrease neuronal excitability within key neural circuits. The lack of a CVS attack is represented by a solid black line at the top of the panel. In panel (c), the CVS threshold remains the same, but the impact of CVS triggers is minimized by interventions such that the summative impact of exposure to the triggers is now not sufficient to precipitate a CVS attack. Again, the lack of a CVS attack is represented by a solid black line at the top of the panel.

---

epilepsy.⁵⁷ Lastly, increased systemic inflammatory cytokines may directly impact neuronal function, leading to increased excitability that is associated with an increased risk of seizures. Thus, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs may have a novel role in epilepsy management.⁵⁹ It is particularly interesting that exposure to early life stress is independently associated with increased inflammatory cytokine levels that may secondarily drive an increased incidence of epilepsy in this population.⁶⁶

Increased neuronal excitability that decreases the threshold for attacks may be a common link between cyclical vomiting syndrome, chronic migraine, epilepsy, and panic disorder. This would account for the shared associations of each of these disorders with gamma-aminobutyric acid and endocannabinoid receptor physiology,⁷,⁶⁷–⁷⁰ and the fact that benzodiazepines and exogenous cannabinoid use may be effective in aborting
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Adult CVS has a dominant endophenotypic traits that drive their own unique interventions. Such interventions could be tailored to patients predict which therapies could be useful in managing CVS, but provides not only a neurophysiological framework that can additional advantage of the CVS threshold concept is that it abortive therapies in the case of an attack. However, an laxis and abortive treatment could become potentially useful context. Indeed, some of the newer therapeutic approaches emerging for migraine, epilepsy, and panic disorder prophylaxis and abortive treatment could become potentially useful for preventing and aborting CVS attacks (Table 1).

The CVS threshold concept mirrors management strategies widely recognized to be useful in CVS management: avoiding triggers, using prophylactic therapies, and providing access to abortive therapies in the case of an attack. However, an additional advantage of the CVS threshold concept is that it provides not only a neurophysiological framework that can predict which therapies could be useful in managing CVS, but also provides a framework for developing precision medicine interventions. Such interventions could be tailored to patients with specific therapeutic choices driven by the identification of dominant endophenotypic traits that drive their own unique version of CVS (Figures 2 and 3).

Future directions: a call to action. Adult CVS has a devastating impact on patients and their families, and the associated burden of the disorder has broad direct and indirect impacts on the economy and the healthcare system. But research in the adult CVS field has been hampered by the uncommon and episodic nature of the disorder, which makes it more difficult to identify the true prevalence of the illness, its relevant endophenotype components, and the true efficacy of therapeutic interventions. Thus, short-term, prospective, and randomized placebo-controlled trials have been essentially impossible to conduct, and no single academic center would ever likely be able to do so. Rather, progress with CVS research would be substantially accelerated by developing a nationwide (or international) disease registry with parallel recruitment of CVS patients from multiple academic medical centers. Such a registry would allow for increased precision in determining the nature and prevalence of underlying endophenotypes and comorbid conditions in adult patients with CVS. Patients enrolled in these registries could markedly facilitate the recruitment into multi-center therapeutic trials. However, a prospective CVS disease registry should be crafted to enable linkages with clinical data and health system information to explore patterns and costs of healthcare utilization in the CVS population. Establishing the financial burden of adult CVS could shape regulatory and funding agency priorities, which then may be leveraged into investments in an expanded national research program. A prospective CVS registry should also be linked to the prospective procurement of genomic information and biomarker data. Such information would provide critical insight into the genetic basis for CVS and treatment responses. By segregating CVS patients based on their dominant endophenotypes, genome-wide association studies should have markedly enhanced power to detect relevant polymorphisms associated with distinct subgroups of CVS patients. For example, patients with distinct circadian patterns to their CVS attacks may harbor variation in genes known to have a role in chronobiological regulation. Those with markedly altered stress reactivity may have variations in genes associated with allostatic regulation of the autonomic nervous system. Such genetic data could also provide the basis for prospective, pharmacogenetic approaches essential for predicting individual responses to specific therapies. Given that the cost of such genetic studies have rapidly decreased in recent years, at the same time that bioinformatics approaches have revolutionized the analysis of complex data sets, the time seems ripe for exploiting these methods to further the understanding of a complex disorder such as CVS. In doing so, these efforts would quite effectively expand the evidence base for personalized medicine.

However, these efforts should not be restricted to the research domain. Right now, endophenotypes of CVS patients should be actively searched for in the clinic, and then incorporated into tailored treatment plans. The CVS threshold concept described in this manuscript is grounded in the recognition that several distinct factors likely drive CVS in any particular patient. Clearly, patients will vary in the combination of potential contributing mechanisms, but such individual variations provide important clues to optimal management. A detailed history identifying specific CVS triggers and comorbidities should direct both pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions. This precision medicine approach should target the relevant mechanism in a particular patient with the right therapy, using a combination of interventions that both raise the CVS threshold and diminish the impact of relevant triggers. Neural excitability is a key factor in CVS pathogenesis that impacts both the CVS threshold and the impact of an exposure to triggers. Thus, therapies that decrease neural excitability should be a useful foundation in the therapeutic plan for most patients with CVS. Perhaps close attention to progress in the fields of migraine, epilepsy, and panic disorder could quickly expand the therapeutic armamentarium available for use in adult patients with CVS.
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Study Highlights

WHAT IS CURRENT KNOWLEDGE
✓ Cyclic vomiting syndrome (CVS) remains an idopathic disorder.
✓ There are very few therapies available to treat CVS in adults.

WHAT IS NEW HERE
✓ Evidence suggests that CVS is a neurogenic disorder with multi-systemic impact, sharing neurobiological mechanisms with chronic migraine, epilepsy, and panic disorder.
✓ The "CVS threshold" concept places a central focus on increased neuronal excitability and neural circuit function as key disease mechanisms in adult CVS.
✓ Multiple endophenotypic traits are associated with CVS, each of which contribute uniquely in any one patient to drive the final common phenotype.

TRANSITIONAL IMPACT
✓ The new CVS disease model is integrative, mechanistic, and predictive of potential successful treatments strategies in adults.

1. Abell TL, Adams KA, Boles RG et al. Cyclic vomiting syndrome in adults. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2008; 20: 269–284.
2. Fliesher DR, Gornickow B, Adams K et al. Cyclic vomiting syndrome in 41 adults: the illness, the patients, and problems of management. BMC Med 2005; 3: 20.
3. Boles RG, Chun N, Senadheera D et al. Cyclic vomiting syndrome and mitochondrial DNA mutations. Lancet 1997; 350: 1299–1300.
4. Boles RG, Zakli EA, Lavenberg T et al. Are pediatric and adult-onset cyclic vomiting syndrome (CVS) biologically different conditions? Relationship of adult-onset CVS with the migraine and pediatric CVS-associated common mtDNA polymorphisms 16519 T and 3010 A. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2009; 21: 936–972.
5. Lee J, Wong SA, Li BU et al. NextGen DNA sequencing in cyclic vomiting syndrome reveals a significant association with the stress-induced calcium channel (RYR2).
6. Boles RG, Williams JC. Mitochondrial disease and cyclic vomiting syndrome. Dig Dis Sci 1999; 44: 103 S–107 S.
7. Levinthal DJ, Bielefeldt K. Adult cyclical vomiting syndrome: a disorder of allostatic regulation? Exp Brain Res 2014; 232: 2541–2547.
8. Venkatasen T, Prieto T, Barbato A et al. Autonomic nerve function in adults with cyclic vomiting syndrome: a prospective study. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2010; 22: e1339.
9. Heijazi RA, Lavenberg TH, Pasnoor M et al. Autonomic nerve function in adult patients with cyclic vomiting syndrome. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2011; 23: 439–443.
10. Tache Y. Cyclic vomiting syndrome: the corticotropin-releasing-factor hypothesis. Dig Dis Sci 1999; 44: 785–806.
11. Tarbell S, Li BU. Psychiatric symptoms in children and adolescents with cyclic vomiting syndrome and their parents. Headache 2008; 48: 258–269.
12. Tarbell SE, Li BU. Anxiety measures predict health-related quality of life in children and adolescents with cyclic vomiting syndrome. J Pediatr 2015; 167: e631.
13. Venkatasen T, Sengupta J, Lodhi A et al. An Internet survey of marijuana and hot shower use in adults with cyclic vomiting syndrome (CVS). Exp Brain Res 2014; 232: 2532–2570.
14. Boles RG, Baldwin EE, Prezant TR. Combined cyclic vomiting syndrome and Kearns-Sayre syndromes. Pediatr Neurol 2007; 28: 135–136.
15. Cupini LM, Santorelli FM, Jari C et al. Cyclic vomiting syndrome, migraine, and epilepsy: a common underlying disorder? Headache 2003; 43: 407–409.
16. Hasler G, Drevets WC, Manji HK et al. Discovering endophenotypes for major depression. Neuropsychopharmacology 2004; 29: 1765–1781.
17. Li BU, Lefever F, Chelalis EGH et al. North American Society for pediatric gastroenterology, hepatology, and nutrition consensus statement on the diagnosis and management of cyclic vomiting syndrome. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2008; 47: 379–393.
18. Heijazi RA, McCullum RW. Review article: cyclic vomiting syndrome in adults—rediscovering and redefining an old entity. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2011; 34: 263–273.
19. Sunku S. Cyclic vomiting syndrome: a disorder of all ages. Gastroenterol Hepatol (NY) 2009; 5: 507–515.
20. Li BU, Misiewicz L. Cyclic vomiting syndrome: a brain-gut disorder. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2003; 32: 997–1019.

Clinical and Translational Gastroenterology
68. Ho AP, Dahlöf CG, Silberstein SD et al. Randomized, controlled trial of telcagepant over four migraine attacks. Cephalalgia 2015; 35: 1423–1437.
69. Iov V, Frigero F, Vezzani A. Modulation of neuronal excitability by immune mediators in epilepsy. Curr Opin Pharmacol 2016; 26: 118–123.
70. Zhao H, Carney KE, Falguot L et al. Emerging roles of Na+/Ca2+ exchangers in epilepsy and developmental brain disorders. Prog Neurobiol 2016; 138–140: 19–35.
71. Striano P, Varis MS, Mazzocchetti C et al. Management of genetic epilepsies: from empirical treatment to precision medicine. Pharmacol Res 2016; 107: 426–429.
72. Bagal SK, Mannon BE, Owen RM et al. Voltage gated sodium channels as drug discovery targets. Channels (Austin) 2015; 9: 360–366.
73. Zamponi GW. Targeting voltage-gated calcium channels in neurological and psychiatric diseases. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2016; 15: 19–34.
74. Yuan H, Low CM, Moody OA et al. Ionotropic GABA and glutamate receptor mutations and human neurologic diseases. Mol Pharmacol 2016; 88: 203–217.
75. Sotolongo Z, Alber BE, Kano M et al. Weeding out bad waves: towards selective cannabinoid circuit control in epilepsy. Nat Rev Neurosci 2015; 16: 264–277.
76. Baumeister D, Akhtar R, Ciufolini S et al. Childhood trauma and adulthood inflammation: a meta-analysis of peripheral C-reactive protein, interleukin-6 and tumour necrosis factor-α. Mol Psychiatry 2016; 21: 642–649.
77. Badawy RA, Freestone DR, Lai A et al. Epilepsy: ever-changing states of cortical excitability. Neuroscience 2012; 222: 89–99.
78. Quintas M, Neto JL, Pereira-Monteiro J et al. Interaction between γ-aminobutyric acid A receptor genes: new evidence in migraine susceptibility. PLoS One 2013; 8: e74087.
79. Hodges LM, Fyer AJ, Weisman MM et al. Evidence for linkage and association of GABRB3 and GABRA6 in panic disorder. Neuropsychopharmacology 2014; 39: 2423–2431.
80. Rhyne DN, Anderson SL, Gede M et al. Effects of medical marijuana on migraine headache frequency in an adult population. Pharmacotherapy 2016; 36: 506–510.
81. Pasricha PJ, Schuster MM, Saudek CD et al. Cyclic vomiting: association with multiple homocysteine abnormalities and response to ketorolac. Am J Gastroenterol 1996; 91: 2228–2232.
82. Viduka MK, Wadhawan A, Roberts K et al. Use of a once-daily NSAID in treatment of cyclic vomiting syndrome. J Gen Intern Med 2013; 28: 543–546.
83. Pané-Farré CA, Fensek K, Stender JP et al. Sub-threshold panic attacks and agoraphobic avoidance increase comorbidity of mental disorders: results from an adult general population sample. J Anxiety Disord 2013; 27: 485–493.
84. Ho TW, Conner KM, Zhang Y et al. Randomized controlled trial of the CGRP receptor antagonist telcagepant for migraine prevention. Neurology 2014; 83: 958–966.
85. Voss T, Lipton RB, Dodick DW et al. A phase IIb randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of ubrogepant for the acute treatment of migraine. Cephalalgia 2016; 36: 887–896.
86. Mitsikostas DD, Rapoport AM. New players in the preventive treatment of migraine. BMC Med 2015; 13: 279.
87. Samouilhe D, Defosse C, Mesnil M. Involvement of gap junction channels in the pathophysiology of migraine with aura. Front Physiol 2014; 5: 78.
88. Stoner L, Linde M, Gravdal GB et al. A comparative study of candesartan versus propranolol for migraine prophylaxis: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, cross-over study. Cephalalgia 2014; 34: 523–532.
89. Silberstein SD, Peres MF, Hopkins MM et al. Olanzapine in the treatment of refractory migraine and chronic daily headache. Headache 2002; 42: 515–518.
90. Krauss GL, Wechsler RT et al. Perampanel for tonic-clonic seizures in idiopathic generalized epilepsy: a randomized trial. Neurology 2015; 85: 950–957.
91. Rosati A, L’Erario M, Ivento L et al. Efficacy and safety of ketamine in refractory status epilepticus in children. Neurology 2012; 79: 2395–2398.
92. Afridi SK, Grifin NJ, Kaube H et al. A randomized controlled trial of intranasal ketamine in migraine with prolonged aura. Neurology 2013; 80: 642–647.
93. Johannesen-Landmark C, Belsie G, Battu A et al. Experience from therapeutic drug monitoring and gender aspects of gabapentin and pregabalin in clinical practice. Seizure 2015; 28: 88–91.
94. Glauser TA, Cnaan A, Shirrner S et al. Ethosuximide, valproic acid, and lamotrigine in childhood absence epilepsy: initial monotherapy outcomes at 12 months. Epilepsia 2013; 54: 141–155.
95. Matar N, Jin W, Wrubel H et al. Zonisamide block of cloned human T-type voltage-gated calcium channels. Epilepsy Res 2009; 83: 224–234.
96. Tinghjem E, Powell KL, Cain SM et al. T-type calcium channel blockers that attenuate thalamic burst firing and suppress absence seizures. Sci Transl Med 2012; 4: 121ra-19.
97. Vajda FJ, Edie MJ. The clinical pharmacology of traditional antiepileptic drugs. Epileptic Disord 2014; 16: 395–408.
98. Freire RC, Machado S, Arias-Carrion O et al. Current pharmacological interventions in panic disorder. CNS Neurol Drug Targets 2014; 13: 1057–1065.
99. Cari V, Sarchialone M, Camardese G et al. Mirtazapine in the treatment of panic disorder. Adv Gen Psychiatry 2002; 59: 661–662.
100. Moon J, Jung KH, Shin JW et al. Safety of tianeptine use in patients with epilepsy. Epilepsy Res 2015; 111: 116–119.
101. Sullivan A, Cousins S, Ridsdale L. Psychological interventions for migraine: a systematic review. J Neurol 2016; doi:10.1007/s00415-016-1826-2.
102. Pompili A, Furukawa TA, Imai H et al. Psychological therapies for panic disorder with or without agoraphobia in adults: a network meta-analysis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016; 5: CD011004.
103. John PJ, Sharma N, Sharma CM et al. Effectiveness of yoga therapy in the treatment of migraine without aura: a randomized controlled trial. Headache 2007; 47: 654–661.

Clinical and Translational Gastroenterology is an open-access journal published by Nature Publishing Group. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/