Response of Microbial Compositions and Interactions to Biochar Amendment in the Peanut-Planted Soil of the Yellow River Delta, China
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Coastal soils in the Yellow River Delta (YRD) are characterized by high salinity and degraded physicochemical properties, which threaten agricultural production. Biochar has received growing interest as a sustainable soil amendment. However, the effects of biochar on coastal soil quality and the soil microbial response in the field are limited. In this study, the responses of soil properties and microbes to biochar amendment at low dosage (LBC, 18 ton/ha) and high dosage (HBC, 36 ton/ha) and no biochar treatment (CK) were investigated in a peanut field located in the YRD. The results elucidated that biochar-amended soils showed higher available nutrient (i.e., nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium) contents and cation exchange capacity, but exhibited lower electrical conductivity. Generally, the bacterial community was more easily impacted than that of fungi in both LBC and HBC treatments. Furthermore, the LBC amendment not only improved the abundance of some beneficial bacteria (i.e., Sphingomonas and Nannocystis) but also increased the complexity, modularity index, and competitive interactions of the bacterial co-occurrence network. HBC-enriched Rozellomycota that is probably associated with peanut rot decreased the modularity index and competitive interactions, which might account for the decreased peanut yield under HBC treatment. It is encouraged to comprehensively consider the interaction among microorganisms when evaluating the effects of soil amendments on the soil environment, which plays a vital role in rhizosphere microecology and soil quality.
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INTRODUCTION

Soil salinity is regarded as one of the most severe environmental stresses for crop growth, which threatens food safety (Xu et al., 2018). The coastal saline soil accounts for about half of the area of the Yellow River Delta (YRD), a typical coastal wetland in China with an area of 5.45 × 10⁵ ha (Li et al., 2019), which is characterized by degraded physical structure (i.e., water holding capacity and hydraulic conductivity), chemical properties (i.e., exchangeable sodium and cation exchange capacity), nutrient status (i.e., available nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium), and biological characteristics (i.e., microbial diversity and communities), ultimately inhibiting crop growth and...
Coastal saline soil might be converted into an important cultivated land resource if the soil properties were improved when amended with effective strategies (You et al., 2021). With improving the physical, chemical, and biological properties of soil, biochar shows great potential as an effective, economic, and ecological amendment to the degraded coastal saline soil (Luo et al., 2016a). For example, biochar amendment was proved to promote plant growth by improving nutrient availability and microbial activities in YRD soil (Zheng et al., 2018). Biochar amendment can also decrease soil bulk density, and enhance soil water retention and soil aggregates (Akhtar et al., 2014). Moreover, biochar was reported to affect the mineralization of soil organic matter in the YRD (Luo et al., 2016b). Soil microorganisms involve in critical soil processes (that are, nutrient transformation and soil aggregation), which play important roles in improving soil quality (Saifullah et al., 2018). Soil microbial diversity and community composition are highly sensitive to soil environmental conditions (Zheng et al., 2018). High soil salinity could negatively affect microbial growth, activity, and diversity, and the application of a suitable type and dosage of biochar to saline soil can alleviate the toxicity of salinity to microbes and improve microbial growth and development (Bhaduri et al., 2016). For example, the abundances of phyla Cytophagaceae, Altererythrobacter, and Saprospiraceae were significantly increased, but the abundances of genera Gemmata and Flaviviridae were significantly reduced in the soil amended with lignocellulosic biochar at 2.0%–2.5% (w/w) (low levels), which was opposite to the microbial response in the soils amended with 5.0%–10.0% (w/w) (high levels) of biochar (He et al., 2020). In addition, it was reported that microbial interactions and keystone taxa in the network are often pertinent to the major shifts in the occurrence networks of the bacterial community. However, the involved mechanism is of great significance for the rhizosphere environment and the growth of peanuts using appropriate soil amendments. This study aimed to evaluate the response of microbial diversity and composition in the peanut-planted soil of YRD on the biochar amendment, and explore the involved mechanism. A field trial was conducted to determine the effects of corn-straw biochar with different amounts on 1) nutrient status and physicochemical characteristics of coastal saline soil. 2) the bacterial and fungal abundances and communities of coastal saline soil; We hypothesize that an appropriate amount of biochar could improve soil physicochemical properties, and shape soil bacterial and fungal communities which benefit the productivity of coastal saline soil in YRD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area, Soil Properties, and Plot Design
A field trial was conducted in the coastal salt-affected soil of Dongying City (37°18′N, 118°37′E). The soil has a moderately salt content (2.0%–3.0%) that is representative of farmland for peanut production in the YRD. The typical properties of the coastal salt-affected soil are as follows: pH 7.4, electrical conductivity (EC) 356.17 μS/cm, total carbon (TC) 3.58%, total nitrogen (TN) 0.55%, Olsen-P 3.42 mg/kg, available potassium (AK) 520.40 mg/kg, organic matter 35.08 g/kg, WHC 59.10%. Corn-straw biochar produced via pyrolysis at 450°C in an oxygen-free atmosphere (Chen et al., 2019) was used in the field trials. The properties of biochar are shown in Supplementary Table S1. Peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) seeds of Huayu-25, with relatively high salt tolerance (Zhang et al., 2020) were obtained from the Shandong Peanut Research Institute.

The biochar was added at 0, 18, and 36 t/ha (referred to as CK, LBC, and HBC) to the topsoil (0–20 cm), according to Britnicky et al. (2021), which was mixed evenly with the soil through rotary tillage before sowing. Each treatment contained six replications (plots), and each plot in the field trial was 4 m long and 2.5 m wide. All plots in the field were randomized. Peanut seeds were mixed with pesticides (Imidacloprid), followed by sowing, and the planting density was 100 kg/ha with 30 × 15 cm plant spacing (Rahman et al., 2021) with no fertilizer application. Peanut was sowed on 05 May 2021 and harvested on 15 September 2021.

Soil Sample Collection and Analysis
Eight representative plant samples were collected from each plot at the harvest stage. Soils tightly adhering to peanut roots were sampled as rhizosphere soils using the hand-shaking method (Liu et al., 2019). The air-dried rhizosphere soils were sieved (2-mm mesh) to measure the physicochemical properties. WHC was obtained by determining the weight loss of water absorbed by the soil (He et al., 2020). Soil samples were extracted by deionized water at a ratio of 1:5 (w/v), then pH and EC were determined using a pH meter and conductivity meter (Bello et al., 2021). Soil samples were extracted by cobalt 6-aminochloride at a 7:100 (w/v) ratio, and cation exchange capacity (CEC) was calculated according to the OD472nm value (Aran et al., 2008). Soil samples were extracted by 1.0 M NH4OAc (pH 7.0) at a 1:10 (w/v) ratio, and the contents of AK and exchangeable Na (Ex-Na) were determined with a flame atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Tang et al., 2020).
exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP) (Costa-Gutierrez et al., 2020) was calculated as Ex-Na divided by CEC. Soil organic matter (SOM) content was determined according to the wet oxidation method of K$_2$Cr$_2$O$_7$-H$_2$SO$_4$ (Xiao et al., 2020). The contents of TC and TN were measured by an element analyzer (Zhao et al., 2020). Soil samples were extracted by 1 M KCl at a 1:5 (w/v) ratio, and the contents of NH$_4^+$-N and NO$_3^-$-N were determined by an autoanalyzer (Xiao et al., 2020). Soil samples were extracted by 0.5 M NaHCO$_3$ at a 1:20 (w/v) ratio, and the Olsen-P content was also determined by an autoanalyzer (Xiao et al., 2020).

Rhizosphere soil samples stored at −80°C were used to determine the microbial community compositions. Total DNA was extracted from 0.5 g rhizosphere soil using the E. Z.N.A.® soil DNA Kit (Omega Bio-tek, Norcross, GA, US.), which was checked by NanoDrop spectrophotometer (ND2000, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 1% agarose gel electrophoresis, respectively to obtain the concentration and integrity. The V3-V4 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA genes was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using primers of 338F (5'–ACTCCTACGGGA GGACGCAG-3') and 806R (5'–GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3') (Tang et al., 2020). Fungal rRNA genes were amplified with primer pairs of ITS1F 5'–CCTGTTG CATTAGGGAGAAGTA-3' and ITS2R 5'–GCTGTTCTTC ATCGATGC-3' (Huang et al., 2021). The PCR mixtures and procedures were according to those reported by Li et al. (2017). The PCR products were checked with 2% agarose gel, AxyPrep DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Axygen Biosciences, United States), and Quantifluor-ST (Promega, United States), respectively. The qualified genes were sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq platform by Majorbio Bio-Pharm Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

Moreover, the main stem height, number of lateral branches, and lateral branch length of the peanut plant were recorded. The plant samples were washed and separated into shoots, roots, and pods, followed by heating at 105°C for 30 min, and dried to a constant weight at 85°C as dry weight (Zhang et al., 2020). All other properties and nutrient states were measured by an autoanalyzer (Xiao et al., 2020). The contents of EC, CEC, TC, NH$_4^+$, NO$_3^-$, and AK by 23.10, 10.25, 15.33, and 17.32% (p < 0.05), respectively. In addition, WHC, TN, and SOM contents were increased with biochar amendments at two levels of 18 t/ha and 36 t/ha, although not significantly. The soil pH was little affected by biochar amendment at both levels.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis and figures were carried out using SPSS (20.0, IBM, United States) and Origin 2018 (OriginLab Corp., Northampton, MA, United States), respectively. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Duncan’s multiple range test was used to test the differences between treatments at p < 0.05. The quality-filtered sequences were clustered (with 97% similarity) into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) by UPARSE 7.1 (Wei et al., 2020). The alpha diversity was calculated according to the methods reported by Zeng et al. (2020). The impacts of biochar on bacterial and fungal community compositions were evaluated using principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) based on the Bray–Curtis distances. Soil bacterial and fungal networks were constructed by calculating multiple correlations and similarities with co-occurrence network (CoNet) inference. A valid co-occurrence (correlation threshold >0.9, p < 0.01) was considered a statistically robust correlation between taxa. Gephi software was used for network visualization (Chen et al., 2019). The spearman correlation heatmaps were conducted on the online platform of Majorbio i-Sanger Cloud Platform (https://cloud.majorbio.com).

**RESULTS**

**Effects of Biochar on Soil Physicochemical Properties**

Table 1 exhibits the effects of the biochar on soil physicochemical properties and nutrient states. Compared with the control (CK), biochar applied at 18 t/ha significantly increased CEC, NH$_4^+$-N, NO$_3^-$-N, and AK by 23.10, 10.25, 15.33, and 17.32% (p < 0.05), but significantly decreased EC and Ex-Na content by 29.96 and 18.75% (p < 0.05), respectively. When biochar was applied at a high rate (36 t/ha), the CEC, TC, NH$_4^+$-N, NO$_3^-$-N, SOM, Olsen-P, and AK contents were significantly increased by 22.82, 33.82, 23.98, 29.26, 25.78, 20.93, and 41.37% (p < 0.05), but EC, Ex-Na and ESP were significantly decreased by 31.68, 34.38 and 51.88% (p < 0.05), respectively. In addition, WHC, TN, and SOM contents were increased with biochar amendments at two levels of 18 t/ha and 36 t/ha, although not significantly. The soil pH was little affected by biochar amendment at both levels.

**Effects of Biochar on Soil Microbial Diversities and Community Compositions**

HBC amendment significantly increased bacterial alpha diversity estimated by the Shannon index (Figure 1A) compared with CK. Fungal alpha diversity was not significantly affected by biochar amendments and was estimated by both the Shannon index (Figure 1C) and Chao1 richness (Figure 1D). The principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) of Bray–Curtis distances displayed that both bacterial and fungal community compositions did not significantly (p = 0.33 for bacteria and p = 0.45 for fungi) separate

| TABLE 1 | Effects of biochar on soil physicochemical properties and nutrient content. |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|
|          | CK              | LBC             | HBC             |
| pH       | 7.41 ± 0.43a    | 7.42 ± 0.33a    | 7.53 ± 0.23a    |
| EC (µs/cm)| 343.00 ± 99.06a| 240.23 ± 62.65b| 234.53 ± 8.94b |
| CEC (cm/kg)| 7.10 ± 1.83b   | 6.74 ± 0.96a    | 8.72 ± 0.39a    |
| NH$_4^+$-N (mg/kg)| 0.92 ± 0.71c | 0.26 ± 0.19b    | 0.21 ± 0.05a    |
| WHC (%)  | 65.06 ± 2.43a   | 66.31 ± 2.92a   | 71.55 ± 3.94a   |
| ESP (%)  | 4.80 ± 1.81a    | 3.03 ± 2.04ab   | 2.31 ± 0.55b    |
| TC (%)   | 4.14 ± 0.47b    | 4.53 ± 0.52ab   | 5.54 ± 1.01a    |
| TN (%)   | 0.64 ± 0.09a    | 0.66 ± 0.06a    | 0.68 ± 0.14a    |
| C/N      | 6.49 ± 0.28b    | 6.90 ± 0.53b    | 8.22 ± 0.73a    |
| SOM (g/kg)| 46.59 ± 9.67b   | 50.56 ± 5.02b   | 58.60 ± 1.97a   |
| NH$_4^+$-N (mg/kg)| 9.76 ± 1.22c | 10.76 ± 1.04b   | 12.10 ± 0.99a   |
| NO$_3^-$-N (mg/kg)| 6.46 ± 1.10c | 7.45 ± 0.74b    | 8.35 ± 1.14a    |
| Olsen-P (mg/kg)| 3.44 ± 0.53b | 3.75 ± 0.49ab   | 4.16 ± 0.71a    |
| AK (mg/kg)| 449.09 ± 65.20c| 526.87 ± 75.89b| 634.87 ± 43.30a|

CK: soil without biochar amendment; LBC: soil amended with a low amount of biochar at 18 t/ha; HBC: soil amended with a high amount of biochar at 36 t/ha; Different letters indicate significant difference at p < 0.05. EC: electrical conductivity; CEC: cation exchange capacity; Ex-Na: exchangeable Na; WHC: water holding capacity; ESP: exchangeable sodium percentage; TC: total carbon; TN: total nitrogen; SOM: soil organic matter; AK: available potassium.
by the first and second principal coordinates under CK, LBC, and HBC treatments (Figures 1E,F).

At the phylum level, the bacterial community compositions were dominated by Proteobacteria (relative abundances of 25.02%–27.03%), Actinobacteriota (20.52%–22.04%), and Acidobacteriota (13.44%–15.36%) under CK, LBC and HBC treatments (Figure 2A). Among the top 15 abundant phyla, Entotheonellaeota, Nitrospirota, and Bdellovibrionota abundances showed significant differences among the three treatments. To be specific, Nitrospirota and Bdellovibrionota abundances were enhanced under HBC treatment relative to CK and LBC treatments (Figure 2C).

At the genus level, the bacterial community compositions were dominated by f_Geminicoccaceae (4.83%–5.49%), o_Vicinamibacterales (3.66%–4.26%), and f_Vicinamibacteraceae (3.37%–4.02%) under CK, LBC and HBC treatments (Figure 2B). Sphingomonas, f_Xanthobacteraceae, and Nannocystis were more abundant in biochar-amended soils than that in the CK soil, and the relative abundances of these three genera were significantly increased by HBC treatment (Figure 2D).

When considering the relative fungal abundances, Ascomycota (81.93%–83.92%), Mortierellomycota (7.76%–8.72%) and Basidiomycota (3.09%–4.68%) were the most dominant phyla in both CK and biochar-amended soils (Figure 3A), but the abundances of these phyla showed no differences among CK, LBC, and HBC treatments. Gibberella (10.13%–14.27%), Mortierella (6.92%–8.24%) and Metarhizium (5.83%–8.99%) were the most dominant genera in both CK soil and biochar-amended soils (Figure 3B). Among the top 15 abundant genera, Acrostalagmus abundance was significantly increased by HBC treatment, but Sporormiella abundance was significantly decreased by LBC and HBC treatments (Figure 3C).

**Effects of Biochar on Soil Bacterial and Fungal Co-Occurrence Networks**

Biochar amendments altered the multiple topological properties of both bacterial and fungal co-occurrence patterns of networks (Supplementary Table S2 and Figures 4, 5). Biochar amendments increased positive correlations and average network
distance of both bacterial and fungal networks. In the case of bacteria, other than the clustering coefficient, all the indexes were increased under LBC treatment. However, the ratios of negative correlations to positive correlations, modularity index, and clustering coefficient were decreased under HBC treatment relative to CK. In the case of fungi, the ratios of negative correlations to positive correlations, modularity index, and clustering coefficient were decreased under biochar treatment, especially LBC. Both bacterial and fungal networks were decomposed into smaller coherent modules regardless of treatments, and five dominant modules were colored. For the bacterial network, genera including *Skermanella*, o__CCD24 and *Acidibacter* under CK treatment, genera including o__Rokubacteriales, f__TRA3-20, and *Sphingomonas* under LBC treatment and genera including *Iamia*, *Marmoricola*, *Skermanella*, and f__Ilumatobacteraceae under HBC treatment were identified as the module hubs, which showed positive relationships with connected members in their individual module (Figure 4B). For the fungal network, genera including *Podospora*, *Tausonia*, *Kotlabaea*, and *Stagonospora* under CK treatment and genera including *Lamia*, *Marmoricola*, *Skermanella*, and f__Xanthobacteraceae under HBC treatment were identified as the module hubs, which showed positive relationships with connected members in their individual module (Figure 5B).

**DISCUSSION**

**Biochar Amendments Improved Soil Physicochemical Properties and Nutrient Content**

In our study, both LBC and HBC significantly decreased soil EC which could directly reflect soil salt concentration (Zhang et al., 2015). Biochar could release K⁺, Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺ to the soil, which could exchange with Na⁺ in soil (displaced Na⁺ on the soil particles). Consequently, Na⁺ leaching and its activity in plants were reduced (Usman et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2018). In the present study, the contents of some elements (i.e., K, Ca, Mg, Fe, and Mn) in the biochar were higher than 20 mg/kg (Supplementary Table S1), which implies that corn-straw biochar has great potential to increase the essential element contents of soil for plant growth and displace more Na⁺ at the same time, which decreased soil EC in the biochar-amended soils (Table 1). In the present study, biochar, especially LBC significantly increased soil CEC. On the one hand, biochar-amended soil increased the potential to trap nutrients (i.e., K⁺, Ca²⁺, **FIGURE 2** | Bacterial community of the coastal saline soil with or without biochar amendment. The relative abundance of bacterial phyla (A) and genera (B), and differentially abundant bacterial phyla (C) and genera (D) according to one-way ANOVA. Others refer to bacteria and fungi with a relative abundance lower than 1%. The significances (n = 6) are marked with asterisks (*0.01 ≤ p < 0.05, **0.001 ≤ p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001). CK, soil without biochar amendment; LBC, soil amended with a low amount of biochar at 18 t/ha; HBC, soil amended with a high amount of biochar at 36 t/ha. **Sun et al. Soil Quality and Amendment**
and Mg$^{2+}$) and reduce nutrient loss because biochar with porous structure, high surface area, abundant hydroxyl groups, and charges has strong adsorption ability of these nutrients (Zhao et al., 2020). Yuan et al. (2019) reported that about 20%–70% of CEC of soils can be contributed by SOM, because biochar has a high adsorption capacity of organic molecules in the soil, and the absorbed small organic molecules on the biochar can form organic matter via surface catalytic activity (polymerization) on the biochar surface. The generalized organic matter not only promotes the long-term fertility of the soil but also provides a certain buffer effect and delays the return of salt to the soil.

LBC and HBC significantly increased the contents of NH$_4^+$-N, NO$_3^-$-N, and AK in the coastal saline soil, which might be because biochar can directly act as fertilizer that provides these nutrients for plant growth (Agegnehu et al., 2017), or alter nutrient availability in soil (Mavi et al., 2018). For example, biochar was reported to supply high amounts of available K to plants (Xu et al., 2013). Likewise, Gul and Whalen (2016) reported that biochar increased the availability of K and other essential nutrients in the soil that are conductive to plant growth. The increased contents of NH$_4^+$-N and NO$_3^-$-N might be because biochar affected the nitrification and denitrification processes mediated by nitrifiers and de nitrifiers in soil (Wang et al., 2020). Consequently, except for physicochemical properties and nutrient states, microbial diversity and community structure that are closely correlated to soil quality and plant growth (Kamali et al., 2022) should also be analyzed.

### Biochar Amendments Altered Soil Bacterial and Fungal Communities

In the present study, both LBC and HBC promoted soil nutrient contents and physicochemical properties. LBC treatment...
increased peanut yield by 3.03%, but HBC inhibited peanut yield by 8.58% relative to CK (Supplementary Table S3). Therefore, we speculated that peanut yields are not always positive with the improved soil physicochemical properties, and microbial response play a critical role in the peanut growth and yield. LBC might be beneficial to microbes but HBC might exert adverse effects on soil microbial diversity or communities. In the present study, the increased abundances of some beneficial bacteria such as *Sphingomonas*, *Xanthobacteraceae*, and *Nannocystis* that were associated with fixing nitrogen and inhibiting pathogens in LBC amended soil (Figure 3) might contribute to the increased peanut yield (Xu et al., 2020; Moradi et al., 2022). However, Rozellomycota was significantly enriched in HBC treatment (Supplementary Figure S1), which might account for the broken peanut shells and pods, because Rozellomycota is interpreted as an intermediate form between protists and true fungi (Corsaro et al., 2014), which is reported positively correlated with aflatoxin contamination that could induce peanut rot (Yao et al., 2020). The abundance and community structure of microorganisms can be affected by both abiotic...
factors (i.e., soil physical and chemical properties), and biotic factors (i.e., interactions between microorganisms) (Bello et al., 2021). According to the correlation analysis (Figure 6), we found that the abundances of dominant beneficial bacteria *Sphingomonas* and \_Xanthobacteraceae were negatively correlated with EC, Ex-Na, and ESP, but positive with TC, NO$_3^-$-N, WHC, C/N, CEC, and AK, indicating that these bacteria were sensitive to these soil properties. Therefore, the increased abundances of these bacteria in LBC treatment might be induced by these improved soil properties. However, in the HBC treatment, these physical and chemical properties were improved, and the abundances of these beneficial bacteria were also increased, but the peanut yield was lower than that of the control group. Therefore, we speculate that biotic factors (interactions between microorganisms) may be adversely affected by a high dose of biochar.

The network-based analysis is useful for inferring the keystone taxa of the complex networks and the microbial interactions in
natural environments (Weiss et al., 2016). The topological and modular features of microbial networks showed that both LBC and HBC amendments influenced bacterial and fungal network structures (Figures 4, 5 and Supplementary Table S2). Both LBC and HBC treatments increased the average connectivity of the bacterial network, displaying more complex couplings among bacteria, which is similar to the result that biochar increased the complexity of microbial networks reported by Yu et al. (2018). The ratios of negative correlations to positive correlations of the bacterial networks were enhanced under the LBC amendment, illustrating antagonistic or competitive interactions were increased (Chen et al., 2019), but HBC treatment decreased the ratios. Moreover, the modularity index of the HBC treatment was much lower than CK. Keystone taxa can better explain microbiome compositional turnover than all taxa combined (Chen et al., 2019). Some potentially beneficial taxa (i.e., o_Rokubacteriales and f_Xanthobacteraceae) are connected to Sphingomonas (keystone taxa) in the network and constituted module I under LBC treatment (Figure 4). The order Rokubacteriales has the potential for a versatile, mixotrophic metabolism, especially for nitrogen respiration (Fan et al., 2021).

About 70%–88% of members of the family Xanthobacteraceae were genus Bradyrhizobium, a critical clade of symbiotic rhizobia that can form nodulation with many kinds of leguminous plants (Chen et al., 2021). The abundance of Sphingomonas was not significantly increased by LBC, but Sphingomonas was associated as potential driver taxa of the complex and healthy bacterial network under LBC treatment, which is beneficial for peanut growth and yield. In the case of fungi, no obvious clusters for fungi were observed (Figure 6B), which might be because the relationships among the abundant bacteria are closer than that of fungi, which can also be explained by the indexes in Supplementary Table S2, where the edge number and clustering coefficient of bacteria were higher than those of fungi in both CK and biochar-amended soil samples. Consequently, the analysis of the interactions between microbial taxa might be a powerful and necessary method to understand the relationships between rhizosphere microorganisms and plant growth.

CONCLUSION

Both HBC and LBC treatments efficiently improved the physicochemical properties of the degraded coastal soil. The bacterial community is more sensitive to biochar amendments relative to the fungal community. HBC treatment significantly improved bacterial diversity, but decreased the competitive interactions and modularity of the bacterial co-occurrence network. On the contrary, LBC treatment increased the competitive interactions and modularity of the bacterial co-occurrence network. LBC increased peanut yield, but HBC reduced peanut yield, although not significantly. Our study highlighted the important role of the bacterial interactions in the rhizosphere bacterial community, which is critical for crop growth and yield. The interaction between microorganisms plays a non-ignorable role in rhizosphere microecology and soil quality. It is encouraged to comprehensively consider both

![FIGURE 6](image_url) Spearman correlation heatmaps revealing the correlations of soil physicochemical properties and the relative abundances of top 30 dominant genera of bacteria (A) and fungi (B). *0.01 ≤ p < 0.05, **0.001 ≤ p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.
biotic and abiotic responses when evaluating the effects of soil amendments on the soil environment.
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