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Abstract

Aims Sodium–glucose co-transporter (SGLT)-2 inhibitors have been shown to reduce the risk of cardiovascular death and heart failure (HF) hospitalization in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) and high cardiovascular risk in two large clinical outcome trials: empagliflozin in EMPA-REG OUTCOME and canagliflozin in CANVAS. The scope of eligibility for SGLT-2 inhibitors (empagliflozin and canagliflozin) among patients with type 2 DM and HF, based on clinical trial criteria and current US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) labelling criteria, remains unknown.

Methods and results Using data from the US Get With The Guidelines (GWTG)—Heart Failure registry, we evaluated the proportion of patients with DM and HF eligible for SGLT-2 inhibitor therapy based on the clinical trial criteria and the US FDA labelling criteria. The GWTG-HF registry is a quality improvement registry of patients admitted in hospital with HF in the USA. We included GWTG-HF registry participants meeting eligibility criteria hospitalized between August 2014 and 30 June 2017 from sites fully participating in the registry. The initial inclusion time point reflects when both drugs had FDA approval. Among the 139 317 patients (out of 407 317) with DM hospitalized with HF (in 460 hospitals; 2014 to 2017), the median age was 71 years, 47% (n = 65 685) were female, and 43% (n = 59 973) had HF with reduced ejection fraction. Overall, 43% (n = 59 943) were eligible for the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial, 45% (n = 62 818) were eligible for the CANVAS trial, and 34% (n = 47 747) of patients were eligible for either SGLT-2 inhibitors based on the FDA labelling criteria. Among the FDA-eligible patients, 91.5% (n = 43 708) were eligible for either the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial or the CANVAS trial. Patients who were FDA eligible, compared with those who were not, were younger (70.0 vs. 72.0 years of age), more likely to be male (57.7 vs. 50.3%), and had less burden of co-morbidities.

Conclusions The majority of patients with DM who are hospitalized with HF are not eligible for SGLT-2 inhibitor therapies. Ongoing studies evaluating the safety and efficacy of SGLT-2 inhibitors among patients with HF may potentially broaden the population that may benefit from these therapies.
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Background

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is one of the most common co-morbidities among patients with heart failure (HF). Patients with DM and HF, compared with those without DM, have distinct pathophysiological disease mechanisms and a higher risk of cardiovascular (CV) outcomes. Sodium–glucose co-transporter (SGLT)-2 inhibitors have been shown to reduce the risk of CV death and HF hospitalization in patients with type 2 DM and high CV risk in two large clinical outcome
Both the EMPA-REG OUTCOME and CANVAS trial randomized patients with type 2 DM and a history of CV disease to empagliflozin or canagliflozin, respectively, vs. placebo, and were associated with a reduction in CV mortality and in HF hospitalization. Given the burden of CV and HF death among patients with type 2 DM, SGLT-2 inhibitors may play an important role in reducing morbidity and mortality. While in the in-hospital setting forms an ideal opportunity to optimize co-morbidities, patients with recent HF hospitalizations are frequently excluded from anti-hyperglycaemic drug trials. There are key knowledge gaps regarding the scope of eligibility for SGLT-2 inhibitors among patients with type 2 DM and HF, based on current US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) labelling criteria and EMPA-REG OUTCOME and CANVAS trial eligibility criteria.

**Aims**

To address this knowledge gap, we used the Get With The Guideline—Heart Failure (GWTG-HF) registry to (i) characterize patients’ eligibility for SGLT-2 inhibitors based on FDA labelling criteria and EMPA-REG OUTCOME and CANVAS trial inclusion criteria; (ii) assess the scope of eligibility based on categories of left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF); and (iii) assess potential barriers to in-hospital initiation of SGLT-2 inhibitor therapy.

**Methods**

The GWTG-HF registry is a national US quality improvement registry initiated in 2005 by the American Heart Association. Inclusion in the registry was permitted if patients were admitted for worsening HF or developed significant HF symptoms during a hospitalization. The following LVEF categories were used: HF with reduced EF (HFrEF) ≤ 40%; HF with mid-range EF (HFmEF) 41–49%; and HF with preserved EF (HFpEF) ≥ 50%. Patients were considered to be FDA eligible for SGLT-2 inhibitors if they had HF and diabetes and met the following modified FDA drug labelling criteria:

1. **Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) ≥ 45 mL/min/1.73 m² on either the admission or discharge and not on dialysis.** Patients were eligible for the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial if they met the following modified trial inclusion criteria: (i) body mass index ≤45 kg/m² and (ii) any one of the following: history of prior myocardial infarction, cerebrovascular accident or transient ischaemic attack, peripheral vascular disease, PCI, CABG, ischaemia/CAD, HF history, in-hospital PCI, PCI with stent, or CABG; and (iii) age ≥50 years with two or more of the following: systolic blood pressure >140 mmHg, cigarette smoker, history of renal insufficiency, or history of hyperlipidaemia. All other patients were considered non-FDA and non-trial eligible. Both trials had GFR > 30 mL/min/1.73 m² as inclusion criteria. FDA labelling criteria require patients to have a GFR > 45 mL/min/1.73 m² prior to initiation of either drug.

We included GWTG-HF registry participants meeting eligibility criteria hospitalized between August 2014 and 30 June 2017 (n = 139 317 out of 407 317; 34%) from sites fully participating in the registry. The initial inclusion time point reflects when both drugs had FDA approval. A sensitivity analysis was conducted based on patients with available haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) data (n = 8679).

**Results**

The median age of the entire cohort was 71 years, 47% (n = 65 685) were female, and 60.0% (n = 83 049) had a history of cardiac ischaemia. Among patients with recorded LVEF, 43% (n = 59 973) had HFrEF, 10% (n = 13 549) had HFmEF, and 45% (n = 62 777) had HFpEF. Overall, 43% (n = 59 943) of patients were eligible for the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial, 45% (n = 62 818) were eligible for the CANVAS trial, and 34% (n = 47 747) were eligible for SGLT-2 inhibitors based on the FDA labelling criteria. In total, 31% (n = 18 532) of patients eligible for the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial were not FDA eligible for empagliflozin. Similarly, 31% (n = 19 252) of patients eligible for the CANVAS trial were not FDA eligible for canagliflozin. Patients who were FDA eligible, compared with those who were not, were younger (72.0 vs. 70.0 years of age), more likely to be male (57.7 vs. 50.3%), and had less burden of co-morbidities including anaemia (17.4 vs. 30.3%), prior HF (72.0 vs. 78.9%), and renal insufficiency (serum creatinine >2 mg/dL; 16.0 vs. 45.0%). The median LVEF was similar (43.0 vs. 45.0%). Patients who were eligible for SGLT-2 inhibitors also had less objective markers of severe HF, including lower B-type natriuretic peptide (658 vs. 971 pg/mL) and higher haemoglobin (12.0 vs. 10.5 g/dL). Patients who were FDA eligible, compared with those who were not eligible, had a lower likelihood of peripheral vascular disease (12.3% vs. 16.3%), cerebrovascular accident/transient ischaemic attack (16.6 vs. 18.6%), and renal insufficiency (serum creatinine >2 mg/dL; 16.0 vs. 46.9%). Patients eligible for SGLT-2 inhibitors by FDA criteria, vs. those not eligible, were less likely to have history of ischaemic heart disease (55.5 vs. 60.4%). In addition, FDA-eligible patients were more likely to be on an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (45.0 vs. 44.0%).
Among the FDA-eligible patients, 91.5% (n = 43,708) were eligible for either the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial or the CANVAS trial. Similar trends among eligibility are seen in patients who have recorded Hba1c values (n = 6,870; data not shown). Based on FDA labelling criteria, among patients with HF and DM, 37% (n = 22,424) of patients with HFrEF, 32% (n = 4,416) of patients with HFmEF, and 32% (n = 20,269) of patients with HFrEF were eligible for trial and FDA eligible.
patients with HFpEF would be eligible for SGLT-2 inhibitors (Figure 2).

Conclusions

Our major findings are (i) 66% of patients with DM who are admitted in hospital with HF are not eligible for SGLT-2 inhibitor therapy based on current FDA labelling criteria; (ii) 31% of patients eligible for the EMPA-REG OUTCOME or CANVAS trial are not eligible for empagliflozin or canagliflozin by FDA labelling criteria; (iii) patients who are not eligible for SGLT-2 inhibition by FDA criteria had more markers of more advanced HF; and (iv) the proportion of patients eligible by for SGLT-2 inhibitors by FDA or clinical trial criteria declines among patients with HFmEF or HFpEF compared with HFrEF. The primary barrier to eligibility relates to renal dysfunction. National quality improvement programmes encourage the initiation and intensification of HF therapies during HF hospitalization. Clinical benefits with SGLT-2 inhibitors in terms of HF hospitalizations and mortality reduction have emerged early in clinical trials. Further, in-hospital initiation improves both adherence and long-term persistence with therapy. However, the CANVAS and EMPA-REG OUTCOME trials enrolled patients with GFR > 30 mL/min/1.73 m². Based on earlier phase 2 studies, in the current FDA labelling criteria, SGLT-2 inhibitors are not recommended to be initiated and to be discontinued if eGFR falls below 45 mL/min/1.73 m². Our study has limitations including the absence of detailed information on type 1 vs. type 2 DM, HbA1c on a majority of patients, and details information on anti-hyperglycaemic therapies.

Given the high prevalence of renal dysfunction among patients with DM and HF across the world, a significant number of patients remain excluded from therapies with potential CV and HF benefit.

The EMPA-REG OUTCOME and CANVAS trials have provided the initial evidence of CV efficacy among patients with DM and CV risk factors. Given the potential magnitude of benefit and potential scope of eligibility of SGLT-2 inhibitors, more evidence is needed regarding the safety and efficacy of initiating these therapies during HF hospitalization and among patients with renal dysfunction. The CREDEN trial demonstrated the safety and efficacy of reducing the risk of renal events and HF hospitalization among patients with type 2 DM and albuminuric chronic kidney disease. If additional ongoing CV outcome trials of SGLT-2 inhibitors among patients populations with HF or chronic kidney disease demonstrate safety and clinical efficacy, the development of more inclusive FDA labelling criteria may arise and would broaden the population that may benefit from these therapies.
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