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Bacterial blight caused by *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (*Xoo*) is an important disease constraining rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) production worldwide. The XM6 line was induced by N-methyl-N-nitrosourea from IR24, an Indica cultivar that is susceptible to Philippine and Japanese *Xoo* races. XM6 was confirmed to carry a recessive gene named *xa20*, resistant to six Philippine and five Japanese *Xoo* races. The chromosomal gene location was found using 10 plants with the shortest lesion length in an *F₂* population consisting of 298 plants from a susceptible Japonica variety Koshihikari × XM6. Analysis using PCR-based DNA markers covering the whole rice genome indicated the gene as located on the distal region of the long arm of chromosome 3. The IKC3 line carries IR24 genetic background with Koshihikari fragment on chromosome 3 where a resistance gene was thought to be located. The *F₂* population from IKC3 × XM6 clearly showed a bimodal distribution separating resistant and susceptible plants. Further linkage analysis conducted using this *F₂* population revealed that *xa20* is located within the 0.8 cM region flanked by DNA markers KIC3-33.88 (33.0 Mb) and KIC3-34.06 (33.2 Mb). This study yields important findings for resistance breeding and for the genetic mechanism of *Xoo* resistance.
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**Introduction**

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), together with maize and wheat, are the most important cereal crops in the world. Rice is the second most produced cereal crop globally. On average, the world produces about 740 million tons of milled rice annually (FAOSTAT http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC). It is the staple food of more than half of the world’s population. Rice is grown on every continent except Antarctica, but Asia accounts for 90% of its global production. In spite of its success, rice production is constrained considerably by numerous diseases. Among the major diseases of rice, bacterial blight (BB) is extremely destructive. This disease, which results from a bacterium: *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (*Xoo*), is a disease of economic importance particularly in Asia and Africa. It has also been reported in rice-growing regions of Australia and Latin America (Mew 1987, Mew et al. 1993, Séré et al. 2005).

The disease, which usually develops in paddy fields with *Xoo* contaminated stubble and weeds, can be found in both tropical and temperate climates, particularly in lowland areas that are either rain-fed or irrigated (Ou 1985). In general, the disease favors temperatures of 25−34°C, with greater than 70% relative humidity. Yield loss resulting from BB can reach 50% when susceptible varieties are grown in environments favorable to the disease (Mew et al. 1993). When BB infects plants at the booting stage, it does not affect the quantity of yield. However, the grains and kernel quality becomes severely degraded (Khan et al. 2015, Reddy 1997, Shaheen et al. 2019).

Several genetic, biological, and chemical control approaches are used for protection of rice from BB. Pesticides containing bismerthiazol and streptomycin as active ingredients are used as major bactericides against *Xoo*, although results of recent studies have indicated that *Xoo* has developed high resistance against these widely used chemicals (Shi et al. 2015, Xu et al. 2010, Yu et al. 2016, Zhu et al. 2013). With the biological control approach, specific plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria have been used experimentally. Treatment of rice seeds with fresh suspensions or powdered formulations of *Bacillus subtilis* or...
**Bacillus pumilus** has been found to induce systemic resistance in rice against *Xoo* (Chithrashree et al. 2011). However, pathogen variation and the lack of suitable biological agents undermine the efficacy of biological controls. The use of genetically resistant varieties has been reported as the most economical and effective disease control technique (Horino et al. 1982, Mew et al. 1993, Ogawa and Khush 1989).

A review by Chukwu et al. (2019) listed 42 genes of resistance (*Xa xa*) against *Xoo*. Since then, four more genes have been reported: *Xa43(t)* (Kim and Reinke 2019), *xa44* (Kim 2018), *xa45(t)* (Neelam et al. 2020), and *Xa46(t)* (Chen et al. 2020). A few of these genes have been used for development of breeding lines with pyramided resistance genes in most Asian countries since the 1970s. However, *Xoo* has been shown to evolve and defeat the resistance of the genes in widely used cultivars. In Taiwan, Deng et al. (2016) found a strain of *Xoo* ‘2011_Yli_X133’, that defeated previously effective genes *xa5* and *xa21*, respectively, in IRBB5 and IRBB21. The Indian subcontinent presents higher probability of resistance breakdown. There, some *Xanthomonas* strains have shown virulence on pyramided lines with multiple resistance genes including IRBB52 (*Xa4 + Xa21*), IRBB50 (*Xa4 + xa3*), and IRBB54 (*xa5 + Xa21*) (Lore et al. 2011, Yugander et al. 2017). Therefore, a constant need exists for investigating new resistant genes, and for mapping and cloning of earlier discovered genes. Taura et al. (1991a, 1991b, 1992a) produced ‘XM5’ and ‘XM6’ *Xoo* resistant mutants by induction of N-methyl-N-nitrosoeara (MNU) mutagen. The mutants were found to be resistant to six tested Philippines races. The XM5 and XM6 lines each carry one recessive resistance gene, designated respectively as *xa19* and *xa20*. These genes have not yet been mapped. For this study, we conducted a genetic and linkage analysis of resistant gene *xa20* in XM6 to map the gene location. These study findings are expected to be useful for elucidating mechanisms of the resistance of *xa20*.

**Materials and Methods**

**Bacterial races and plant materials**

The *Xoo* bacterial races used for this study were five Japanese races: race I (strain T7174), race IIA (strain T7147), race III (strain T7133), race IV (strain H75373), and race V (strain H75304).

IR24, an Indica rice cultivar released in 1972 by the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), is susceptible to six Philippines *Xoo* races: race 1 (strain PXO 61), race 2 (strain PXO 86), race 3 (strain PXO 79), race 4 (strain PXO 71), race 5 (strain PXO 112), and race 6 (strain PXO 99) (Taura et al. 1991a, 1992b). Moreover, it is susceptible to the five Japanese *Xoo* races described earlier. (Ogawa and Yamamoto 1987). XM6, a mutant line derived from IR24, is actually resistant to four Japanese and six Philippine *Xoo* races tested (Taura et al. 1992a). Koshihikari, a popular Japonica rice cultivar used widely in Japan, Australia, and the United States, is known to be susceptible to seven Japanese *Xoo* races tested (Noda and Ohuchi 1989). The F2 population from the cross between Koshihikari and XM6 was subjected to preliminary linkage analysis for rough mapping of *xa20* to ascertain the chromosomal location of the gene.

For precise mapping of *xa20*, we adopted the IAS16 line, which is a reciprocal chromosome segment substitution line (CSSL) between the Japonica cultivar ‘Asominori’ and IR24 (Kubo et al. 2002). The graphical genotypes of IAS lines are available at https://rgp.dna.affrc. go.jp/E/publicdata/geneticmap2000/chr03.html. Actually, G249 is located near RM3346. We have already used this line for mapping the *XA42* gene (Busungu et al. 2016, 2018). Moreover, we have checked its genotypes for the DNA markers in our stock. IAS16 carried the Asominori chromosomal segment covering RM3525 (located on 30.4 Mb of Os-Nipponbare-Reference-IRGP-1.0 (Kawahara et al. 2013) of chromosome 3) and RM3346 (33.3 Mb) (Table 1). IAS16 seemed suitable for mapping the precise mapping of *xa20* gene. Asominori is resistant to Japanese *Xoo* races I and V, but is susceptible to races II, III, and IV (Kaku and Kimura 1989). Actually, IAS16 is susceptible to the five Japanese *Xoo* races described earlier (Busungu et al. 2016). As described in Results, the strategy for using IAS16 for mapping *xa20* under IR24 background with low
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**Table 1.** DNA marker banding patterns for IR24, IAS16, IKC3, Koshihikari, and Asominori

| Rice accession | Location on IRGSP1.0 (kb) | RM16 | RM3513 | RM3436 | RM3525 | KIC3-33.25 | KIC3-33.88 | KIC3-34.06 | RM3346 | RM1221 |
|----------------|--------------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|
| IR24           | 23,127 25,112 27,415 30,394 32,354 33,036 33,210 33,325 35,677 | I I I I I I I I I |
| XM6            | 23,127 25,112 27,415 30,394 32,354 33,036 33,210 33,325 35,677 | I I I I I I I I I |
| IAS16          | 23,127 25,112 27,415 30,394 32,354 33,036 33,210 33,325 35,677 | J J I J I I J J J |
| IKC3           | 23,127 25,112 27,415 30,394 32,354 33,036 33,210 33,325 35,677 | I I J J J J J J J |
| Koshihikari    | 23,127 25,112 27,415 30,394 32,354 33,036 33,210 33,325 35,677 | J J J J J J J J J |
| Asominori      | 23,127 25,112 27,415 30,394 32,354 33,036 33,210 33,325 35,677 | J J J J J J J J J |

* I and J respectively denote the same banding pattern as IR24 and the other patterns expressed collectively.
Rice bacterial blight resistance gene *xa20* in XM6

**Results**

**Reaction of plant materials to Xoo**

In accordance with earlier studies, XM6 was found to be resistant to the five Japanese *Xoo* races tested, although IR24 was susceptible (Fig. 1). Lesion length (LL) was used to determine the reaction of a variety to *Xoo*: shorter LL indicated the variety as resistant; longer LL indicated the variety as susceptible. At 18 days after inoculation, the LL of XM6 was less than 3 cm in the five Japanese *Xoo* races tested, whereas IKC3 was 21.6–32.0 cm. These results indicate XM6 as resistant to the Japanese *Xoo* races tested, whereas IR24, IAS16, and IKC3 are susceptible when compared to a 3 cm threshold value (Table 4).

**DNA markers and linkage analysis**

Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) and Insertion/Deletion (Indel) markers used for PCR reactions during the course of this study worked better when the DNA concentration was about 10 ng/μl–20 ng/μl. DNA was extracted according to Dellaporta et al. (1983) with some modifications. The PCR-mixture (5 μl) contained 10 ng of genomic DNA, 200 μM dNTPs, 0.2 μM of each primer, 0.25 U of *Taq* polymerase and 1x buffer containing MgCl₂. The PCR conditions were set as follows: 95°C for 5 min, 35 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s, with subsequent final extension at 72°C for 7 min. After the PCR products were separated in 10% (29:1) polyacrylamide gels, they were stained with ethidium bromide and were visualized using ultraviolet light (GelDoc-It® TS Imaging system; UVP LLC, CA, USA).

For preliminary linkage analysis using the cross between Koshihikari and XM6, we used 108 published SSR and Indel markers developed by Busungu et al. (2016), Chen et al. (1997), Ichitani et al. (2011), IRGSP (2005), McCouch et al. (2002), Monna et al. (2006), Panaud et al. (1996), Shen et al. (2004), Temnykh et al. (2000), Toyomoto et al. (2019), and Rice Genome Research Program (https://rgp.dna.affrc.go.jp/E/publicdata/caps/index.html) (Table 2, Supplemental Table 1).

Further linkage analysis to narrow down the position of gene *xa20* necessitated new markers. Several PCR-based DNA markers were developed following Busungu et al. (2016) as shown in Table 3. Finally, a linkage map was developed using the AntMap software (Iwata and Ninomiya 2006). Map distances were calculated using the Kosambi function (Kosambi 1944).
| Chromosome | DNA marker | Genotype \(^a\) | Recombination frequency \(^c\) |
|------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1 RM1282   | X K X X H H K H H X | 0.40 |
| 2 RM1571   | K H H H | 0.72 |
| 3 RM22     | H X H H | 0.39 |
| 4 C51009   | H H H H X H X H K | 0.40 |
| 5 RM3573   | H K K H H H H K K | 0.60 |
| 6 E03287   | H H H H K K K K | 0.45 |
| 7 RM5752   | X H X K K H K K | 0.40 |
| 8 RM3689   | X X K K H H H H | 0.40 |
| 9 RM7212   | K H H H X X X X | 0.35 |
| 10 RM3761  | H K H H H H H K | 0.65 |
| 11 RM418   | H H H H X K K | 0.39 |
| 12 RM8214  | X X X X H H H H | 0.25 |

| Chromosome | DNA marker | Genotype \(^a\) | Recombination frequency \(^c\) |
|------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1 RM1282   | X K X X H H K H H X | 0.40 |
| 2 RM1571   | K H H H | 0.72 |
| 3 RM22     | H X H H | 0.39 |
| 4 C51009   | H H H H X H X H K | 0.40 |
| 5 RM3573   | H K K H H H H K K | 0.60 |
| 6 E03287   | H H H H K K K K | 0.45 |
| 7 RM5752   | X H X K K H K K | 0.40 |
| 8 RM3689   | X X K K H H H H | 0.40 |
| 9 RM7212   | K H H H X X X X | 0.35 |
| 10 RM3761  | H K H H H H H K | 0.65 |
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\(^a\) X, H, and K respectively denote homozygotes for XM6, heterozygotes, and homozygotes for Koshihikari.
\(^b\) DNA markers are arranged based on the physical distance from the end of short arm of each chromosome.
\(^c\) Recombination frequency is calculated as \((2N_1 + N_2)/2N\), where \(N\) = Total number of plants analyzed (in this case \(N = 10\)), \(N_1\) = Number of Koshihikari homozygotes and \(N_2\) = Number of heterozygotes (Zhang et al. 1994).
Fig. 1. Reaction of IR24 and XM6 to five Japanese races of Xoo (I, IIA, III, IV, and V) 18 days after inoculation.

Distribution of LL across combinations tested

The F2 population consisting of 298 plants from the cross between Koshihikari and XM6 showed a continuous distribution of LL after inoculation with Xoo race IIA (Fig. 2). However, the F2 population from the cross between IAS16 and XM6 showed a clear bimodal distribution of LL. Using LL of 5 cm as the dividing point, 245 F2 plants were classified into 53 resistant plants with LL of 0.1–4.8 cm and 192 susceptible plants with LL of 7.6–43.1 cm (Fig. 3). The ratio 53:192 fitted 1:3, one-gene segregation ($\chi^2 = 1.482$, $P = 0.22$). We used a ruler to measure the LL of 60 plants out of 299 in the F2 population of the cross between IKC3 and XM6. These were classified into 13 resistant plants with LL of 0.2–1.6 cm and 47 susceptible plants with LL of 7.0–31.5 cm (Fig. 4). The ratio 13:47 fits 1:3, one-gene segregation ($\chi^2 = 0.356$, $P = 0.56$). The remaining 239 plants, which were evaluated based on visual observation, were classified into 50 resistant and 189 susceptible plants. Regarding the total of all the observed 299 plants, the ratio

Table 3. Primer sequences of DNA markers used to perform linkage analysis of xa20 gene

| Marker name | Kind of DNA marker | Direction | Primer sequence | Location on IRGSP 1.0 pseudomolecule chromosome 3 From (bp) | To (bp) | Source |
|-------------|--------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------|
| RM16        | SSR                | F         | GTGCCAGAAGTGATGTTCCTCC | 23,127,621 | 23,127,768 | Panaud et al. 1996 |
| RM3513      | SSR                | F         | CCAACATGGCCTTGATGACAG | 25,114,592 | 25,114,796 | McCouch et al. 2002 |
| RM3436      | SSR                | F         | AGATTGTGATTGACAGATCC | 27,422,280 | 27,422,442 | McCouch et al. 2002 |
| RM3525      | SSR                | F         | ACACTCTACGTCAAGACACC | 30,394,026 | 30,393,888 | McCouch et al. 2002 |
| KIC3-33.25  | INDEL             | F         | TCCCTCTCATCGGAGATGCT | 32,353,790 | 32,354,026 | This study |
| KIC3-33.88  | INDEL             | F         | ATGTTGCTAAGACGATAGTAG | 33,035,696 | 33,035,951 | This study |
| KIC3-34.06  | INDEL             | F         | GCATGAACTACCAACTCGTAAC | 33,210,861 | 33,211,027 | This study |
| RM3346      | SSR                | F         | AAGAACCAGCAAGATCGG | 33,324,573 | 33,324,682 | McCouch et al. 2002 |
| RM1221      | SSR                | F         | GAGTTAGAGAGATGGCGGC | 35,676,727 | 35,676,908 | McCouch et al. 2002 |

Table 4. Reactions in lesion length (cm) of XM6, IR24, Koshihikari, IKC3, and IAS16 after inoculation with five Japanese races of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae

| Rice accession | Lesion length (cm) |
|----------------|--------------------|
|                 | Race I (Strain T7174) | Race IIA (Strain T7147) | Race III (Strain T7133) | Race IV (Strain H75373) | Race V (Strain H75304) |
| XM6 (xa20)      | 0.2 ± 0.1          | 0.2 ± 0.1          | 2.4 ± 1.9          | 0.8 ± 0.7          | 0.1 ± 0.0          |
| IR24            | 20.4 ± 2.0         | 21.5 ± 2.1         | 26.8 ± 2.0         | 12.7 ± 1.9         | 15.0 ± 2.9         |
| Koshihikari     | 10.7 ± 4.4         | 14.6 ± 3.0         | 18.3 ± 4.4         | 6.8 ± 1.5          | 9.7 ± 3.1          |
| IKC3            | 32.0 ± 5.4         | 28.1 ± 6.2         | 29.8 ± 6.9         | 21.6 ± 6.7         | 27.5 ± 3.7         |
| IAS16           | 13.6 ± 3.6         | 22.3 ± 1.1         | 20.6 ± 5.3         | 21.6 ± 2.9         | 20.5 ± 5.1         |

a Genes for resistance are in parentheses.
b Lesion length ± Standard deviation (SD) at 18 days after inoculation.
of 63 resistant to 236 susceptible fits one-gene segregation ($\chi^2 = 2.46, P = 0.12$).

**Rough mapping of xa20**

In the rough mapping of $xa20$, we used the extreme recessive phenotype linkage analysis method reported by Zhang et al. (1994). Ten $F_2$ plants with the shortest LL (0.1–2.5 cm) were selected from 298 $F_2$ population from a susceptible Japonica variety Koshihikari × XM6. Also, DNA was extracted from each plant. Then genotyping was performed using 108 published DNA markers, which cover the whole rice genome (Table 2). As a principle, when a DNA marker is linked closely to $xa20$, most of the ten resistant plants should be homozygotes of XM6 allele at that particular DNA marker locus. On chromosome 3, nine plants were homozygous of XM6 allele at the DNA marker loci, RM3525, and RM1221. Moreover, all 10 plants were homozygotes of XM6 allele at the DNA marker loci RM3346 on the same chromosome. Fewer than seven plants were homozygotes of XM6 allele at the other loci. These results of whole rice genome scanning for XM6 gene suggest strongly that $xa20$ is located on the long arm of chromosome 3. Recombination of frequency calculations (Table 2) based on the extreme recessive phenotype proposed by Zhang et al. (1994) also support this inference.

**Precise mapping of xa20**

We used the strategy described by Busungu et al. (2016) to minimize the genetic ‘noise’ caused by Indica–Japonica crossing without compromising the usefulness of Indica–Japonica DNA polymorphism. Genetic analysis of the chromosomal fragment of $xa20$ candidate region was performed in all 245 plants of the $F_2$ population from the cross between IAS16 and XM6. However, upon further narrowing by inside markers KIC3-33.25, KIC3-33.88, and KIC3-34.06, we found that IAS16 does not contain the Asominori fragment on the narrowed down region spanned by KIC3-33.25 and KIC3-33.88, where $xa20$ was thought to be located (Table 1). We were able to narrow down the position of the gene using the $F_2$ population from the cross between IKC3 and XM6. Almost all resistant plants in this population were found to be homozygotes of XM6 at DNA marker KIC3-33.88 and KIC3-34.06 loci. Table 5 shows genotypes of DNA markers surrounding $xa20$ locus in the selected informative $F_2$ recombinant plants and the results of their progeny after inoculation with $Xoo$. At the DNA marker KIC3-33.88 locus, plants 4 and 25 contained the Koshihikari fragment in homozygous form. Their progenies were all susceptible to $Xoo$. Plants numbered as 5, 6, 7, 8, and 27 were found to have a XM6 fragment in homozygous form at this particular locus. All their progenies were resistant to $Xoo$. These results suggest that $xa20$ is located very close to KIC3-33.88. Plant number 28 corresponds to a homozygote of XM6 allele with the LL of 20 cm in Fig. 4. A recombination event occurred between KIC3-33.88 and KIC3-34.06 loci. The homozygous form at KIC3-34.06
locus corresponded to the segregation observed in its progeny. Collectively, *xa20* is thought to be located close to KIC3-33.88, flanked by this locus and KIC3-34.06. In fact, results from other plants in Table 5 support this inference. F2 plants from the cross between IKC3 and XM6 were clearly classified into resistant homozygous plants of *xa20* allele and susceptible plants of other different genotypes by taking 2 cm as the dividing point. Based on this classification, a linkage map around *xa20* was constructed as presented in Fig. 5. The linkage map was compared side-to-side with a restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) marker-based high-density linkage map (Harushima et al. 1998), in which some markers have been sequenced. Based on the Nipponbare genome sequence (Os-Nipponbare-Reference-IRGSP-1.0) (Kawahara et al. 2013), DNA markers located near each other on Nipponbare pseudomolecules are connected with dotted lines. *xa20* is located on the distal end of the long arm of chromosome 3 flanked by DNA markers KIC3-33.88 and KIC3-34.06.

### Discussion

This study mapped the earlier identified gene *xa20* from XM6 within 0.8 cM region on the long arm of chromosome 3 (Fig. 5). *xa20* marks a third gene to be located on chromosome 3. Others include Xa11, which is also located on the long arm, and *xa42*, which is located around the centromeric region (Busungu et al. 2016, 2018, Goto et al. 2009). Although both Xa11 and xa42 are located on the long arm of chromosome 3, they are distinct genes. Actually, Xa11 is a dominant gene flanked by markers RM347 (26.7 Mb region) and RM1350 (28.7 Mb). In contrast, *xa20* is a recessive gene flanked by DNA markers KIC3-33.88 (33.0 Mb) and marker KIC3-34.06 (33.2 Mb). Furthermore,

### Table 5

| F2 Plant No. | Phenotype | Genotypes of the DNA marker loci | Segregation in F2 Plants |
|--------------|-----------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
|              |           | RM3525  | KIC3-33.25 | KIC3-33.88 | KIC3-34.06 | RM3346  | RM1221  | R | S | Total |
| 1            | S         | H       | H           | H       | K         | K         | K       | 6 | 19 | 25   |
| 2            | S         | H       | H           | H       | K         | K         | K       | 5 | 20 | 25   |
| 3            | S         | H       | H           | K       | K         | K         | X       | 6 | 19 | 25   |
| 4            | S         | H       | H           | K       | K         | K         | K       | 0 | 25 | 25   |
| 5            | R         | H       | H           | X       | X         | H         | X       | 25 | 0  | 25   |
| 6            | R         | H       | H           | X       | X         | X         | X       | 25 | 0  | 25   |
| 7            | R         | H       | H           | X       | X         | X         | X       | 25 | 0  | 25   |
| 8            | R         | H       | H           | X       | X         | X         | X       | 25 | 0  | 25   |
| 9            | S         | K       | K           | H       | H         | H         | H       | 3  | 22 | 25   |
| 10           | S         | K       | K           | H       | H         | H         | H       | 5  | 20 | 25   |
| 11           | S         | K       | K           | H       | H         | H         | H       | 5  | 20 | 25   |
| 12           | S         | K       | K           | H       | H         | H         | H       | 11 | 14 | 25   |
| 13           | S         | K       | K           | H       | H         | H         | X       | 6  | 19 | 25   |
| 14           | S         | K       | K           | H       | H         | H         | H       | 4  | 21 | 25   |
| 15           | S         | K       | K           | H       | H         | X         |         | 4  | 21 | 25   |
| 16           | S         | K       | K           | H       | H         | H         | H       | 5  | 20 | 25   |
| 17           | S         | K       | K           | H       | H         | H         | H       | 7  | 18 | 25   |
| 18           | S         | X       | X           | H       | H         | H         | H       | 11 | 14 | 25   |
| 19           | S         | X       | X           | H       | H         | H         | H       | 4  | 21 | 25   |
| 20           | S         | X       | X           | H       | H         | H         | H       | 5  | 20 | 25   |
| 21           | S         | X       | X           | H       | H         | H         | H       | 2  | 23 | 25   |
| 22           | S         | X       | X           | H       | H         | H         | H       | 7  | 18 | 25   |
| 23           | S         | X       | X           | H       | H         | H         | H       | 7  | 18 | 25   |
| 24           | S         | X       | X           | H       | H         | K         |         | 8  | 17 | 25   |
| 25           | S         | X       | X           | K       | K         | K         | K       | 0  | 25 | 25   |
| 26           | S         | X       | H           | H       | H         | H         |         | 7  | 18 | 25   |
| 27           | R         | X       | X           | H       | H         | H         |         | 25 | 0  | 25   |
| 28           | S         | X       | X           | X       | X         | H         | H       | 12 | 13 | 25   |

*a* Scorings of LL of F2 lines from the cross between XM6 and IKC3 was based on visual observation: LL shorter than 2 cm are regarded as resistant ‘R’, those longer than 2 cm are regarded as susceptible ‘S’.

*b* X, H, and K respectively denote homozygotes for XM6, heterozygotes, and homozygotes for IKC3.

*c* LL were recorded 18 days after inoculation with *Xoo* race IIA 8T7147): LL shorter than 2 cm are regarded as resistant ‘R’, those longer than that are regarded as susceptible ‘S’. 
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**Table 5.** Reaction to *Xoo* Japanese Race IIA (T7147) and Genotypes of informative recombinants for the DNA marker loci linked with *xa20* on chromosome 3 in the F2 population (IKC3 × XM6), and gene segregation in the F2 generation.
Although \( xa20 \) is resistant to five races of Japanese \( Xoo \) tested (Fig. 1, Table 4), \( Xa11 \) is resistant to races: IB, II, IIIA, and V only (Goto et al. 2009, Taura et al. 1992a). Therefore, one can safely infer that \( xa20 \) is differs from \( Xa11 \) and \( xa42 \).

Among 46 identified genes of resistance (\( Xa/xa \)), 18 are recessive (Neelam et al. 2020). The molecular mechanisms of four recessive resistance genes; \( xa5, xa13, xa25, \) and \( xa41 \) have been properly elucidated. \( Xoo \) uses Transcription Activator-Like Effectors (TALEs) to bind onto specific DNA sequences named effector binding elements (EBEs), in the promoter regions of susceptibility genes. When TALE binds to EBE located in the promoter region of each DNA strand, it bidirectionally drives expression of host target genes, which enhances the virulence of \( Xoo \). However, when spontaneous or artificial mutation occurs to thwart the TALE-EBE compatibility, a plant becomes resistant to the \( Xoo \) strain that relies on such compatibility for its virulence (Hutin et al. 2015a, 2015b, Xu et al. 2019). These mutations effectively confer resistance against \( Xoo \) by eliminating cognate TALE binding sites. This resistance mechanism is typically exhibited by \( xa13, xa25, \) and \( xa41 \) (Jiang et al. 2020). By contrast, \( xa5 \) exhibits an entirely different mechanism by encoding a mutated small subunit of the basal transcription factor IIA (TFIIA\( \gamma \5)) (Iyer and McCouch 2004). The mutated protein has high binding activity to a particular avirulence gene named \( avrxa5 \) and consequently initiates the transcription of some specific defense response genes, which results in rapid cell death and resistance (Jiang et al. 2006).

The Rice Annotation Project Database (https://rapdb.dna.afric.go.jp/) (Sakai et al. 2013) predicts 34 genes in the candidate region for \( xa20 \). Nevertheless, no homolog of the cloned \( Xoo \) resistance gene has been identified there or in the Rice Genome Annotation Project (http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu/) (Ouyang et al. 2007). Therefore, the resistance mechanism conferred by \( xa20 \) might be a new one. This situation holds true for \( xa42 \), another recessive \( Xoo \) resistance gene of our interest (Busungu et al. 2018). These facts suggest that mutations induced by N-methyl-N-nitrosourea are a credible source of novel resistance mechanism(s) against \( Xoo \). Once the recessive resistance genes \( xa20 \) and \( xa42 \) are identified at the molecular level, application of a gene editing technology such as CRISPR-Cas9 might induce a recessive resistant allele at the respective loci without disturbing the genetic background (Eom et al. 2019, Oliva et al. 2019, Xu et al. 2019).

In fact, results show LL of XM6 to be less than 3 cm in five races of Japanese \( Xoo \) tested, which signifies its resistance (Fig. 1, Table 4). Taura et al. (1991a, 1992a) reported the XM6 line as resistant against the six Philippine \( Xoo \) races tested. Taken together, these results suggest that \( xa20 \) is a broad-spectrum resistance gene. Such an effective gene is important for producing pyramid lines with multiple resistance genes. It is possible that \( xa20 \) can significantly slow resistance breakdown when used concomitantly in pyramid lines with other broad-spectrum resistance genes.

Generally, the distribution of the LL graph for plant materials containing single recessive resistance gene should demonstrate a clear dividing point between plants showing resistance and susceptible phenotypes. Nevertheless, no clear point of division was observed for the \( F_2 \) population resulting from the cross between Koshihikari and XM6 (Fig. 2), unlike what was observed in the \( F_2 \) population derived from IAS16 × XM6 (Fig. 3) and IKC3 × XM6 in Fig. 4. Actually, Koshihikari and XM6 respectively represent Japonica and Indica genetic backgrounds. The difference in their individual genetic composition engenders the marked variation in their agronomic traits such as the tiller number and plant height. It is possible that the observed distribution of LL is the result of the Japonica–Indica genetic difference. In fact, it was similarly observed in the cross between Koshihikari and XM14 (Busungu et al. 2016). Nonetheless, homozygotes of XM6 at DNA marker loci closer to \( xa20 \) were skewed towards shorter LL, including the ten plants selected for rough mapping of \( xa20 \). In the \( F_2 \) population of the cross between IAS16 and XM6 (Fig. 3) homozygotes of XM6 on the DNA marker RM3346 were strongly skewed toward short LL. Heterozygotes and homozygotes of IAS16 were skewed strongly toward long LL. These results confirmed the initial mapping of \( xa20 \) using the cross between Koshihikari and XM6. The frequency distribution of LL of the \( F_2 \) population of IKC3 × XM6 separated by the genotype of DNA marker KIC3-33.88 is presented in Fig. 4. Homozygotes of XM6 were skewed strongly towards short LL whereas heterozygotes and homozygotes of IKC3 were skewed towards long LL. These results indicate that \( xa20 \) is closely linked with DNA marker KIC3-33.88.

Our mapping strategy required the combination of rough linkage analysis using extreme recessive phenotype using Indica–Japonica cross and precise linkage analysis using backcross recombinant-inbreds lines (BRILs). This process...
effectively mapped recessive mutant resistance gene in XM6, but it took a long time and involved the creation of several lines (Results). In fact, this limitation can be addressed using a recently developed method called MutMap (Al Amin et al. 2019, Tribhuvan et al. 2018, Wang et al. 2018). In this method, causal SNPs are identified by pooling and resequencing whole-genome DNA from a segregating population of plants that show a useful phenotype. Then they are compared to parental DNA. In this regard, the number of genetic crosses (n = 1 or 0) and the required mutant F2 progeny are minimized (Abe et al. 2012). MutMap can be regarded as an effective and economic method in terms of cutting back on the time and labor needed for identification of mutant loci and gene mapping. We were unable to use the method because it was still very new at the initiation of our study in 2014. However, it could be applied to map other previously identified Xoo resistance genes in mutant lines with IR24 background such as XM5 (Taura et al. 1991b). Information about chromosome location and molecular markers linked to xa20 is useful in breeding for BB resistance including gene pyramiding. Our results support the fine mapping and cloning of xa20.

Author Contribution Statement

J.A.M., K.I., and S.T designed the study. J.A.M., Y.K., and S.T. performed experiments. J.A.M. prepared the manuscript. K.I. and S.T. edited the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge Dr. Atsushi Yoshimura of Kyushu University for kind provision of IAS lines. This research was funded by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP19H02934 from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Literature Cited

Abe, A., S. Kosugi, K. Yoshida, S. Natsume, H. Takagi, H. Kanzaki, H. Matsumura, K. Yoshida, C. Mitsuoka, M. Tamiru et al. (2012) Genome sequencing reveals agronomically important loci in rice using MutMap. Nat. Biotechnol. 30: 174–179.

Al Amin, G.M., K. Kong, R.A. Sharmin, J. Kong, J.A. Bhat and T. Zhao (2019) Characterization and rapid gene-mapping of leaf lesion mimic phenotype of spl-1 mutant in soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merr.). Int. J. Mol. Sci. 20: 2193.

Busungu, C., S. Taura, J. Sakagami and K. Ichitani (2016) Identification and linkage analysis of a new rice bacterial blight resistance gene from XM14, a mutant line from IR24. Breed. Sci. 66: 636–645.

Busungu, C., S. Taura, J. Sakagami, T. Anai and K. Ichitani (2018) High-resolution mapping and characterization of xa42, a resistance gene against multiple Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae races in rice (Oryza sativa L.). Breed. Sci. 68: 188–199.

Chen, S., C. Wang, J. Yang, B. Chen, W. Wang, J. Su, A. Feng, L. Zeng and X. Zhu (2020) Identification of the novel bacterial blight resistance gene Xa46(t) by mapping and expression analysis of the rice mutant H120. Sci. Rep. 10: 12642.

Chen, X., S. Temnykh, Y. Xu, Y.G. Cho and S.R. McCouch (1997) Development of a microsatellite framework map providing genome-wide coverage in rice (Oryza sativa L.). Theor. Appl. Genet. 95: 553–567.

Chithrashree, A.C. Udayashankar, S.C. Nayaka, M.S. Reddy and C. Srinivas (2011) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria mediated induced systemic resistance in rice against bacterial leaf blight caused by Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae. Bio. Control. 59: 114–122.

Chukwu, S.C., M.Y. Rafii, S.I. Ramllee, S.I. Ismail, M.M. Hasan, Y.A. Oladosu, U.G. Magaji, I. Akos and K.K. Olailekan (2019) Bacterial leaf blight resistance in rice: a review of conventional breeding to molecular approach. Mol. Biol. Rep. 46: 1519–1532.

Dellaporta, S.L., J. Wood and J.B. Hicks (1983 ) A plant DNA minipreparation: Version II. Plant Mol. Biol. Rep. 1: 19–21.

Deng, W.L., H.A. Lin, Y.C. Shih, C.C. Kuo, J.Y.D. Liu, S.T. Huang, C.M. Huang and C.L. Chung (2016) Genotypic and pathotypic diversity of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae strains in Taiwan. J. Phytopathol. 164: 745–759.

Eom, J.S., D. Luo, G. Atienza-Grande, J. Yang, C. Ji, V.T. Luu, J.C. Huguet-Tapia, S.N. Char, B. Liu, H. Nguyen et al. (2019) Diagnostic kit for rice blight resistance. Nat. Biotechnol. 37: 1372–1379.

Goto, T., T. Matsumoto, N. Furuya, K. Tsuchiya and A. Yoshimura (2009) Mapping of bacterial blight resistance gene Xa11 on rice chromosome 3. Jpn. Agric. Res. Q. 43: 221–225.

Harushima, Y., M. Yano, A. Shomura, M. Sato, T. Shimano, Y. Kuboki, T. Yamamoto, S. Lin, B.A. Antonio, A. Parco et al. (1998) A high-density rice genetic linkage map with 2275 markers using a single F2 population. Genetics 148: 479–494.

Horino, O., T.W. Mew and T. Yamada (1982) The effect of temperature on the development of bacterial leaf blight on rice. Ann. Phytopathol. Soc. Jpn. 48: 72–75.

Hutin, M., A.L. Pérez-Quintero, C. Lopez and B. Szurek (2015a) MoTAL Kombat: the story of defense against TAL effectors through loss-of-susceptibility. Front. Plant Sci. 6: 535.

Hutin, M., F. Sabot, A. Ghèsquière, R. Koebnik and B. Szurek (2015b) A knowledge-based molecular screen uncovers a broad-spectrum OsSWEET14 resistance allele to bacterial blight from wild rice. Plant J. 84: 694–703.

Ichitani, K., S. Taura, T. Tezuka, Y. Okiyama and T. Kuboyama (2011) Chromosomal location of HWA1 and HWA2, complementary hybrid weakness genes in rice. Ann. Phytopathol. Soc. Jpn. 80: 4–9.

Iyer, A.S. and S.R. McCouch (2004) The rice bacterial blight resistance gene xas5 encodes a novel form of disease resistance. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 17: 1348–1354.

Jiang, G.H., Z.H. Xia, Y.L. Zhou, J. Wan, D.Y. Li, R.S. Chen, W.X. Zhai and L.H. Zhu (2006) Testifying the rice bacterial blight resistance gene xas5 by genetic complementation and further analyzing xas5 (xa5) in comparison with its homolog TFL1aY1. Mol. Genet. Genomics 275: 354–366.

Jiang, N., J. Yang, Y. Liang, Y. Shi, Z. He, Y. Wu, Q. Zeng, X. Liu and J. Peng (2020) Resistance genes and their interactions with
bacterial blight/leaf streak pathogens (*Xanthomonas oryzae*) in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)—an updated Review. Rice (N Y) 13: 3.

Kaku, H. and T. Kimura (1989) Qualitative resistance reaction of rice cultivar Asominori to certain race II strains of *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *oryzae*. Ann. Phytopathol. Soc. Jpn. 55: 657–659.

Kaufman, H.E., A.P.K. Reddy, S.P.Y. Hsieh and S.D. Merca (1973) An improved technique for evaluating resistance of rice varieties to *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Kawahara, Y., M. de la Bastide, J.P. Hamilton, H. Kanamori, W.R. McCombie, S. Ouyang, D.C. Schwartz, T. Tanaka, J. Wu, S. Zhou *et al.* (2013) Improvement of the *Oryza sativa* Nipponbare reference genome using next generation sequence and optical map data. Rice (N Y) 6: 4.

Khan, M., A. Rafi, A. Abbas, T. Ali and A. Hassan (2015) Assessment of yield losses caused by bacterial blight of rice in upper Dir, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province. Asian J. Agric. Biol. 3: 74–78.

Kim, S.M. (2018) Identification of novel recessive gene *xa44(t)* conferring resistance to bacterial blight races in rice by QTL linkage analysis using an SNP chip. Theor. Appl. Genet. 131: 2733–2743.

Kim, S.M. and R.F. Reinke (2019) A novel resistance gene for bacterial blight in rice, *Xa43(t)* identified by GWAS, confirmed by QTL mapping using a bi-parental population. PLoS ONE 14: e0211775.

Kosambi, D.D. (1944) The estimation of map distances from recombination values. Ann. Eugen. 12: 172–175.

Kubo, T., Y. Aida, K. Nakamura, H. Tsumenatsu, K. Doi and A. Yoshimura (2002) Reciprocal chromosome segment substitution series derived from *Japonica* and *Indica* cross of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Breed. Sci. 52: 319–325.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.

Lore, J.S., Y. Vikal, M.S. Hunjan, R.K. Goel, T.S. Bharaj and G.L. Noda, T. and A. Ohuchi (1989) A new pathogenic race of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis. Rep. 57: 537–541.
progeny of crosses between *Oryza sativa* and *O. meridionalis* caused by abortion during seed development. Plants (Basel) 8: 398.

Tribhuvan, K.U., Sandhya, K. Kumar, A.M. Sevanthi and K. Gaikwad (2018) MutMap: a versatile tool for identification of mutant loci and mapping of genes. Ind. J. Plant Physiol. 23: 612–621.

Wakimoto, S. (1954) Biological and physiological properties of *Xanthomonas oryzae* phage. Sci. Bull. Fac. Agric., Kyushu Univ. 14: 485–493 (in Japanese with English summary).

Wang, H., Y. Zhang, L. Sun, P. Xu, R. Tu, S. Meng, W. Wu, G.B. Anis, K. Hussain, A. Riaz *et al.* (2018) *WB1*, a regulator of endosperm development in rice, is identified by a modified MutMap method. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 19: 2159.

Xu, Y., X. Zhu, M. Zhou, J. Kuang, Y. Zhang, Y. Shang and J. Wang (2010) Status of streptomycin resistance development in *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* and *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzicola* in China and their resistance characters. J. Phytopathol. 158: 601–608.

Xu, Z., X. Xu, Q. Gong, Z. Li, Y. Li, S. Wang, Y. Yang, W. Ma, L. Liu, B. Zhu *et al.* (2019) Engineering broad-spectrum bacterial blight resistance by simultaneously disrupting variable TALE-binding elements of multiple susceptibility genes in rice. Mol. Plant 12: 1434–1446.

Yu, X., C.M. Armstrong, M. Zhou and Y. Duan (2016) Bismertiazol inhibits *Xanthomonas citri* subsp. *citri* growth and induces differential expression of citrus defense-related genes. Phytopathology 106: 693–701.

Yugander, A., R.M. Sundaram, D. Ladhakalakshmi, S.K. Hajira, V. Prakasam, M.S. Prasad, M.S. Madhav, V.R. Babu and G.S. Laha (2017) Virulence profiling of *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* isolates, causing bacterial blight of rice in India. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 149: 171–191.

Zhang, Q., B.Z. Shen, X.K. Dai, M.H. Mei, M.A. Saghai Maroof and Z.B. Li (1994) Using bulked extremes and recessive class to map genes for photoperiod-sensitive genic male sterility in rice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 91: 8675–8679.

Zhu, X.F., Y. Xu, D. Peng, Y. Zhang, T.T. Huang, J.X. Wang and M.G. Zhou (2013) Detection and characterization of bismertiazol-resistance of *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae*. Crop Prot. 47: 24–29.