Guiding-center recursive Vlasov and Lie-transform methods in plasma physics
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Abstract. The gyrocenter phase-space transformation used to describe nonlinear gyrokinetic theory is rediscovered by a recursive solution of the Hamiltonian dynamics associated with the perturbed guiding-center Vlasov operator. The present work clarifies the relation between the derivation of the gyrocenter phase-space coordinates by the guiding-center recursive Vlasov method and the method of Lie-transform phase-space transformations.

1. Introduction

A common technique [5,9] associated with the multiple space–time-scale solution of the Vlasov equation

$$0 = \frac{df}{dt} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + (v_\parallel \hat{b} + v_\perp) \cdot \nabla f + \frac{q}{m} \left( \frac{v}{c} \times B \right) \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}$$

is to expand the Vlasov operator $L \equiv d/dt = L_0 + \epsilon L_1 + \epsilon^2 L_2 + \cdots$ and the Vlasov distribution $f \equiv f_0 + \epsilon f_1 + \cdots$ asymptotically in powers of a small ordering parameter $\epsilon$. One then proceeds with a recursive solution of the Vlasov equation (1.1) at each order in the hierarchy:

$$0 = L_0 f_0$$
$$0 = L_1 f_0 + L_0 f_1$$
$$0 = L_2 f_0 + L_1 f_1 + L_0 f_2$$

(1.2)

Two important assumptions are associated with the hierarchy (1.2). First, we assume that the lowest-order equation $L_0 f_0 = 0$ has a known (exact) solution. For example, the lowest-order dynamics characterized by $L_0$ is often associated with a cyclic (lowest-order) orbital angle $\varphi$ (i.e., $L_0 \equiv \omega_\varphi \partial/\partial \varphi$, where $\omega_\varphi \equiv d\varphi/dt$) so that the lowest-order Vlasov equation $L_0 f_0 = 0$ simply implies that $f_0$ is independent of the orbital angle $\varphi$. Second, we assume that the operator $L_0$ can be inverted (e.g., $L_0^{-1} f \equiv \omega_\varphi^{-1} \int f d\varphi$) so that the solution for the first-order correction $f_1$ in (1.2) may be written as $f_1 = \overline{f}_1 - L_0^{-1} (L_1 f_0)$, where $\overline{f}_1$ satisfies the homogeneous equation $L_0 \overline{f}_1 = 0$. The small ordering parameter $\epsilon$ appearing in this asymptotic expansion is defined by the relation $L_0^{-1} L_k \equiv \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^k)$. 


The purpose of the present paper is to compare and contrast the derivation of gyrocenter phase-space coordinates by the guiding-center recursive Vlasov method and the method of Lie-transform phase-space transformations. In Sec. 2 the expansion of the Vlasov operator $L = d/dt$ defined in (1.1) is given in powers of a small ordering parameter $\epsilon$. The guiding-center recursive Vlasov (gerV) method is introduced in Sec. 3 based on expansion of the guiding-center Vlasov operator $L_{gc} \equiv T_{gc}^{-1} L_{gc} T_{gc}$ defined in terms of the guiding-center push-forward ($T_{gc}^{-1}$) and pull-back ($T_{gc}$) operators. In order to keep the analysis focused on gyrokinetic applications, we assume that the background magnetic field is uniform and that the particles move under the influence of a fluctuating electrostatic field (with slow and fast space–time scales). Using the gerV method, we derive explicit expressions for the gyrocenter phase-space coordinates. In Sec. 4, the gyrocenter Lie-transform (gyLt) method is applied to the derivation of the gyrocenter phase-space coordinates. In order to allow comparison with expressions derived by the gerV method, higher-order terms are kept. In Sec. 5, the gyrokinetic Vlasov equation is derived by both the gerV and gyLt methods. While the gerV derivation does not allow for a systematic truncation scheme that preserves energy conservation, the gyLt method does since it is naturally associated with a variational formulation. We also introduce the gyrocenter pull-back operator $T_{gy}$ and discuss its physical interpretation. In Sec. 6, we present the gyrokinetic Poisson equation expressed in terms of the gyrocenter moment (with respect to the gyrocenter Vlasov distribution) of the gyrocenter push-forward operator $T_{gy}^{-1} \delta_{gc}^3$ of the guiding-center delta function $\delta_{gc}^3 \equiv T_{gc}^{-1} \delta^3(x - r)$. Lastly, our work is summarized in Sec. 7 and Appendix A presents the guiding-center phase-space transformation for non-uniform magnetic fields.

2. Expansion of the Vlasov operator

We begin with the expansion of the Vlasov operator

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (v_{\parallel} \hat{b} + v_{\perp}) \cdot \nabla + \frac{q}{m} \left( \mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times \mathbf{B} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \equiv L_0 + \epsilon L_1, \quad (2.1)$$

which is written in terms of the two operators $L_0$ and $L_1$ (defined below). Here, within the context of the derivation of gyrocenter coordinates for an electrostatic gyrokinetic model [7], we consider the case of a strong background magnetic field and a small quasi-static electric field $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi$. To simplify the analysis, we also consider a uniform background magnetic field (i.e., the unperturbed guiding-center dynamics is represented simply in terms of parallel motion along straight magnetic field lines).

2.1. Zeroth-order Vlasov operator

In a uniform magnetic field, the zeroth-order Vlasov operator $L_0$ is defined as

$$L_0 G \equiv \Omega \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \theta} \cdot \nabla \right) G \equiv \Omega T_{gc} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (T_{gc}^{-1} G) \right], \quad (2.2)$$

where $G$ is an arbitrary function on particle phase space and the perpendicular velocity $v_{\perp} \equiv \Omega \partial \rho_{gc}/\partial \theta$ is expressed in terms of the gyroradius vector $\rho_{gc} = (\hat{b}/\Omega) \times \mathbf{v}$, which depends explicitly on the gyroangle $\theta$. In the second expression in (2.2),
we introduced the guiding-center pull-back $T_{gc} \equiv \exp(-\rho_{gc} \cdot \nabla)$ and the guiding-center push-forward $T_{gc}^{-1} \equiv \exp(\rho_{gc} \cdot \nabla)$ operators, which are both associated with the guiding-center phase-space transformation for a uniform magnetic field (the guiding-center pull-back and push-forward operators are given for a non-uniform magnetic field in Appendix A). Note that the second term $(v_\perp \cdot \nabla)$ in (2.2) may be of the same order of magnitude as the first term $(\Omega \partial/\partial \theta)$ when it is applied to short-wavelength fluctuating fields (e.g., fluctuations that satisfy the gyrokinetic ordering [3]).

After defining the guiding-center push-forward operator of an arbitrary function $G$ on particle phase space:

$$G_{gc} \equiv T_{gc}^{-1} G,$$

we arrive at the final expression for the zeroth-order Vlasov operator:

$$L_0 G = T_{gc} \left( \Omega \frac{\partial G_{gc}}{\partial \theta} \right) \equiv T_{gc}(L_{0gc} G_{gc}),$$

where $L_{0gc} \equiv \Omega \partial/\partial \theta$ is the lowest-order guiding-center Vlasov operator. This operator can easily be inverted:

$$L_{0gc}^{-1} F \equiv \Omega^{-1} \int \tilde{F} d\theta \equiv \Omega^{-1} \int (F - \langle F \rangle) d\theta,$$

where $\tilde{F}$ denotes the gyroangle-dependent part of $F$ and $\langle F \rangle$ denotes the gyroangle-averaged part. We immediately see that the zeroth-order operator explicitly involves the lowest-order guiding-center transformation (for a uniform magnetic field) and we note that this formulation can also be applied to the bounce-motion Vlasov description of magnetically trapped particles [3].

2.2. First-order Vlasov operator

The first-order Vlasov operator

$$L_1 = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_\parallel \hat{b} \cdot \nabla + \frac{q}{m} E_\parallel \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\parallel} \right) + \left( \frac{q}{m} E_\perp \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\perp} \right) \equiv L_{1\parallel} + L_{1\perp}$$

is explicitly decomposed in terms of the parallel and perpendicular components of the electric field. The ordering $L_0 + \epsilon L_1$ in (2.1) implies that the gyromotion time scale is the shortest time scale in our plasma physics problem. In particular, the ordering $\Omega^{-1} L_{1\perp} \ll 1$ implies that the perpendicular $E \times B$ velocity is small compared to the characteristic (i.e., thermal) velocity of a particle (this is the drift ordering [10]). The ordering $\Omega^{-1} L_{1\parallel} \ll 1$, on the other hand, implies that the time scale of interest is long compared to the gyration period and that the parallel gradient length scale is long compared to the gyroradius. These two orderings are consistent with the guiding-center and gyrocenter orderings [3].

We first look at the operator $L_{1\perp}$ in (2.6), which can be rewritten as

$$L_{1\perp} G \equiv q \frac{\Omega}{B} \mathbf{E}_\perp \cdot \left( \frac{\partial \rho_{gc}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mu} - \frac{\partial \rho_{gc}}{\partial \mu} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta} \right)$$

$$= -q \frac{\Omega}{B} \left[ \left( \nabla \phi \cdot \frac{\partial \rho_{gc}}{\partial \theta} \right) \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mu} - \left( \nabla \phi \cdot \frac{\partial \rho_{gc}}{\partial \mu} \right) \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta} \right].$$

† It is also possible to work with an alternate ordering where $\Omega^{-1} L_{1\parallel} \ll \Omega^{-1} L_{1\perp}$, in which case one could elevate $L_{1\parallel} \equiv L_2$ to a higher order in (1.2).
where $\mu \equiv m|v_\perp|^2/2B$ denotes the guiding-center magnetic moment (a guiding-center invariant in a uniform magnetic field) and $\rho_{\text{gc}}$ denotes the gyroradius vector.

We now use the identity

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\text{gc}}}{\partial J_i} \cdot \nabla \phi \equiv \left( T_{\text{gc}} \frac{\partial}{\partial J_i} T_{\text{gc}}^{-1} \right) \phi = T_{\text{gc}} \left( \frac{\partial \phi_{\text{gc}}}{\partial J_i} \right),$$

where $\partial/\partial J_i \equiv (\partial/\partial \theta, \partial/\partial \mu)$ and $\phi_{\text{gc}} \equiv T_{\text{gc}}^{-1} \phi = \phi(X + \rho_{\text{gc}})$ defines the guiding-center scalar potential, so that the operator (2.7) can be written as

$$L_{1\perp} G = -q \frac{\Omega}{B} \left[ \left( T_{\text{gc}} \frac{\partial \phi_{\text{gc}}}{\partial \theta} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} - \left( T_{\text{gc}} \frac{\partial \phi_{\text{gc}}}{\partial \mu} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right] T_{\text{gc}} G_{\text{gc}},$$

where $G \equiv T_{\text{gc}} G_{\text{gc}}$ is expressed in terms of the pull-back of $G_{\text{gc}}$. Next, we note that the operators $\partial/\partial J_i$ and $T_{\text{gc}} \equiv \exp(-\rho_{\text{gc}} \cdot \nabla)$ do not commute: $\left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial J_i}, T_{\text{gc}} \right] G_{\text{gc}} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial J_i} \left( T_{\text{gc}} G_{\text{gc}} \right) - T_{\text{gc}} \left( \frac{\partial G_{\text{gc}}}{\partial J_i} \right) = -T_{\text{gc}} \left( \frac{\partial \rho_{\text{gc}}}{\partial \theta} \cdot \nabla G_{\text{gc}} \right).$

Hence, using the fact that the pull-back operator is distributive: $T_{\text{gc}}(FG) \equiv \left( T_{\text{gc}} F \right) \left( T_{\text{gc}} G \right)$, we obtain

$$L_{1\perp} G \equiv -q \frac{\Omega}{B} T_{\text{gc}} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \phi_{\text{gc}}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial G_{\text{gc}}}{\partial \mu} - \frac{\partial \phi_{\text{gc}}}{\partial \mu} \frac{\partial G_{\text{gc}}}{\partial \theta} \right) - \nabla \phi_{\text{gc}} \cdot \left( \frac{\partial \rho_{\text{gc}}}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial \rho_{\text{gc}}}{\partial \mu} \right) \nabla G_{\text{gc}} \right], \quad (2.8)$$

where we have used the explicit property $\frac{\partial \phi_{\text{gc}}}{\partial J_i} = \nabla \phi_{\text{gc}} \cdot \frac{\partial \rho_{\text{gc}}}{\partial J_i}$ to obtain the last two terms. Lastly, by using the identity (valid for any vectors $F$ and $G$)

$$F \cdot \left( \frac{\partial \rho_{\text{gc}}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \rho_{\text{gc}}}{\partial \mu} - \frac{\partial \rho_{\text{gc}}}{\partial \mu} \frac{\partial \rho_{\text{gc}}}{\partial \theta} \right) \cdot G \equiv \frac{B}{m\Omega^2} \cdot (F \times G),$$

we obtain our final expression for $L_{1\perp}$:

$$L_{1\perp} G = -q T_{\text{gc}} \left[ \frac{\Omega}{B} \left( \frac{\partial \phi_{\text{gc}}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial G_{\text{gc}}}{\partial \mu} - \frac{\partial \phi_{\text{gc}}}{\partial \mu} \frac{\partial G_{\text{gc}}}{\partial \theta} \right) - \frac{\hat{b}}{m\Omega} \cdot (\nabla \phi_{\text{gc}} \times \nabla G_{\text{gc}}) \right]$$

$$\equiv -q T_{\text{gc}} \left\{ \phi_{\text{gc}}, G_{\text{gc}} \right\}_\perp, \quad (2.9)$$

where we introduced the ‘perpendicular’ components of the guiding-center Poisson bracket.

We can similarly write $L_{1\parallel} G$ in terms of $\phi_{\text{gc}}$ and $G_{\text{gc}}$ as

$$L_{1\parallel} G \equiv T_{\text{gc}} \left( \frac{d_{\text{gc}}}{dt} G_{\text{gc}} + q \left\{ G_{\text{gc}}, \phi_{\text{gc}} \right\}_\parallel \right), \quad (2.10)$$

where $d_{\text{gc}}/dt \equiv \partial/\partial t + v_\parallel \hat{b} \cdot \nabla$ is the guiding-center Vlasov operator (in a uniform magnetic field), and

$$\left\{ F, G \right\}_\parallel \equiv \frac{\hat{b}}{m} \cdot \left( \nabla F \frac{\partial G}{\partial v_\parallel} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial v_\parallel} \nabla G \right)$$

denotes the ‘parallel’ guiding-center Poisson bracket. By combining the perpendicular and parallel components (2.9) and (2.10), we therefore obtain the final expression
for the first-order Vlasov operator:

$$L_1 G = T_{ge} \left( \frac{d_{ge}}{dt} G_{ge} + q \{ G_{ge}, \phi_{ge} \}_{ge} \right) \equiv T_{ge} (L_{1ge} G_{ge}), \quad (2.11)$$

where \{ , \}_{ge} now denotes the full guiding-center Poisson bracket. We note that the guiding-center representation (2.11) also holds for a non-uniform background magnetic field, where the guiding-center Poisson bracket now contains corrections associated with magnetic field non-uniformity (see Appendix A).

Lastly, we note that it was the original insight of Catto [4] to recognize that the transformation from particle coordinates to guiding-center coordinates greatly simplifies the recursive solution of the Vlasov equation (1.1) within the context of linear gyrokinetic theory. What we have shown in this section is that this simplification naturally extends to the inclusion of the guiding-center Poisson bracket \{ , \}_{ge} as well as finite-Larmor-radius (FLR) effects associated with the scalar potential \( \phi \) (through the pull-back and push-forward operators) in the first-order guiding-center Vlasov operator \( L_{1ge} \).

3. Guiding-center recursive Vlasov method

In this section, we use the guiding-center recursive Vlasov (gcrV) method to derive asymptotic expansions for the gyrocenter phase-space coordinates. Here, the gcrV method is defined in terms of recursive solutions involving the guiding-center Vlasov operator

$$L_{ge} \equiv T_{ge}^{-1} L T_{ge} = \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \epsilon \left( \frac{d_{ge}}{dt} + q \{ \phi_{ge} \}_{ge} \right) = L_{0ge} + \epsilon L_{1ge}. \quad (3.1)$$

The gcrV method therefore naturally takes into account the full FLR effects of the perturbation scalar potential.

A generic gyrocenter variable \( Q_{gy} \) can be expressed in terms of a time-dependent asymptotic expansion

$$Q_{gy} = Q_{gy}^{(0)} + \epsilon Q_{gy}^{(1)} + \epsilon^2 Q_{gy}^{(2)} + \cdots \equiv T_{gy}^{-1} Q_{ge} = T_{gy}^{-1} (T_{ge}^{-1} Q), \quad (3.2)$$

where \( Q_{gy}^{(0)} \equiv Q_{ge} \) denotes the guiding-center variable and the \( k \)th-order gyrocenter variable \( Q_{gy}^{(k)} \equiv \tilde{Q}_{gy}^{(k)} + \bar{Q}_{gy}^{(k)} \) is decomposed in terms of gyroangle-independent and gyroangle-dependent parts (respectively). The asymptotic expansion (3.2) is constructed by the gcrV method by requiring that the quantity \( \dot{Q}_{gy} = L_{ge} Q_{gy} \) is gyrophase independent. This condition yields the following \( k \)th-order expressions:

$$\dot{Q}_{gy}^{(k)} \equiv \langle L_{1ge} Q_{gy}^{(k-1)} \rangle = \langle L_{1ge} \tilde{Q}_{gy}^{(k-1)} \rangle + \langle \bar{L}_{1ge} \tilde{Q}_{gy}^{(k-1)} \rangle \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\bar{Q}_{gy}^{(k)} = -L_{0ge}^{-1} [L_{1ge} Q_{gy}^{(k-1)}]. \quad (3.4)$$

Note that the solution for the gyroangle-independent part \( \tilde{Q}_{gy}^{(k-1)} \) appears at the \( k \)th order.

The gcrV method now proceeds as follows. At zeroth order, for the gyrocenter phase-space variable \( Z_{0gy}^\alpha \neq \theta \), we find the definition

$$\langle \dot{Z}_{gy}^\alpha \rangle_0 \equiv \Omega \left\langle \frac{\partial Z_{0gy}^\alpha}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle = 0, \quad (3.5)$$
so that the zeroth-order gyrocenter (guiding-center) coordinate $Z_{0\text{gy}}^\alpha \neq \theta$ must be independent of the gyroangle $\theta$ (i.e., $\langle Z_{0\text{gy}}^\alpha \rangle \equiv Z_{0\text{gy}}^\alpha$). Obviously, $\langle \dot{\theta}_{\text{gy}} \rangle_0 \equiv \Omega$ for the gyrocenter gyroangle $Z_{0\text{gy}}^\alpha = \theta$.

At first order, we find the gyroangle-independent expression

$$
(Z_{\text{gy}}^\alpha)_1 \equiv \langle L_{1\text{gy}} \rangle Z_{\text{gy}}^\alpha = \frac{d_{\text{gy}} Z_{\text{gy}}^\alpha}{dt} + q\{Z_{\text{gy}}^\alpha, \langle \phi_{\text{ge}} \rangle\}_{\text{ge}} \equiv \frac{d_{\text{gy}} Z_{0\text{gy}}^\alpha}{dt},
$$

where $d_{\text{gy}}/dt$ denotes the lowest-order gyrocenter Vlasov operator (which includes the $E \times B$ velocity and parallel electric field associated with $\langle \phi_{\text{ge}} \rangle$). The gyroangle-dependent first-order expression, on the other hand, is obtained from (3.4) as

$$
\tilde{Z}_{1\text{gy}}^\alpha \equiv -L^{-1}_{0\text{gy}}(q\{Z_{\text{gy}}^\alpha, \phi_{\text{ge}}\}_{\text{ge}}) = -\frac{q}{\Omega} \{Z_{0\text{gy}}^\alpha, \tilde{\Phi}_{\text{ge}}\}_{\text{ge}},
$$

where

$$
\tilde{\Phi}_{\text{ge}} \equiv \int \tilde{\phi}_{\text{ge}} d\theta = \int (\phi_{\text{ge}} - \langle \phi_{\text{ge}} \rangle) d\theta
$$

denotes the indefinite gyroangle integral of the gyroangle-dependent part of $\phi_{\text{ge}}$.

Note that the gyroangle-independent part of $Z_{1\text{gy}}^\alpha$ (denoted $Z_{1\text{gy}}^\alpha \equiv Z_{1\text{gy}}^\alpha - \tilde{Z}_{1\text{gy}}^\alpha$) must be determined at the second order.

At second order, we find the gyroangle-independent expression

$$
(Z_{\text{gy}}^\alpha)_2 \equiv \frac{d_{\text{gy}} \tilde{Z}_{1\text{gy}}^\alpha}{dt} + q\{\tilde{Z}_{1\text{gy}}^\alpha, \tilde{\phi}_{\text{ge}}\}_{\text{ge}}
$$

and the gyroangle-dependent expression

$$
\tilde{Z}_{2\text{gy}}^\alpha = -\frac{q}{\Omega} \{Z_{1\text{gy}}^\alpha, \tilde{\Phi}_{\text{ge}}\}_{\text{ge}} - L_{0\text{gy}}^{-1}(L_{1\text{gy}} \tilde{Z}_{1\text{gy}}^\alpha).
$$

In (3.9), we see that the role of $\tilde{Z}_{1\text{gy}}^\alpha$ is to ensure that $(Z_{\text{gy}}^\alpha)_2$ satisfies any desired property we want (e.g., be gyroangle independent or zero). One clearly sees how the gerV method can be extended to higher order.

3.1. Gyrocenter magnetic moment

The easiest gyrocenter phase-space variable one can construct by the gerV method is the gyrocenter magnetic moment $\mu_{\text{gy}}$ since it is to be constructed as an invariant of the gyrocenter dynamics, i.e., $\mu_{\text{gy}} \equiv 0$ to arbitrary order in $\epsilon$. At zeroth order, we easily find $L_{0\text{gy}} \mu_{\text{gy}} \equiv 0$, where $\mu_{0\text{gy}} \equiv \mu$ denotes the guiding-center magnetic moment (which satisfies $d_{\text{gc}} \mu / dt \equiv 0$).

At first order, the requirement $(\dot{\mu}_{\text{gy}})_1 \equiv 0$ becomes

$$
0 = \frac{d_{\text{gy}} \mu}{dt} + q\{\mu, \phi_{\text{ge}}\}_{\text{ge}} + \Omega \frac{\partial \mu_{1\text{gy}}}{\partial \theta} = \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \mu_{1\text{gy}} - \frac{q}{B} \phi_{\text{ge}} \right),
$$

which is easily solved as

$$
\mu_{1\text{gy}} \equiv \bar{\mu}_{1\text{gy}} + \frac{q}{B} \phi_{\text{ge}} = \left( \bar{\mu}_{1\text{gy}} - \frac{q}{B} \langle \phi_{\text{ge}} \rangle \right) + \frac{q}{B} \phi_{\text{ge}},
$$

where $\bar{\mu}_{1\text{gy}}$ denotes the gyroangle-independent part of the first-order gyrocenter magnetic moment (to be determined at the second order). We note that the first-order magnetic moment correction $\Delta \mu$ derived by Taylor [13] can be expressed as the guiding-center pull-back $\Delta \mu \equiv \bar{\mu}_{1\text{gy}}$ of the gyrocenter magnetic moment.
correction (3.12), where
\[ T_{gc} \tilde{\phi}_{ge} = T_{ge} (T_{ge}^{-1} \phi - \langle \phi_{ge} \rangle) \equiv \phi - T_{ge} \langle \phi_{ge} \rangle. \] (3.13)

At second order, the requirement \((\dot{\mu}_{gy})_2 \equiv 0\) becomes
\[ 0 = \frac{d_{gy} \mu_{1gy}}{dt} + q' \{ \mu_{1gy}, \phi_{ge} \}_{ge} + \Omega \frac{\partial \mu_{2gy}}{\partial \theta} \]
\[ = \frac{d_{gy}}{dt} (\tilde{\mu}_{1gy} + \tilde{\mu}_{1gy}) + q' \left\{ \left( \tilde{\mu}_{1gy} - \frac{q}{B} \langle \phi_{ge} \rangle_{ge} \right), \phi_{ge} \right\}_{ge} + \Omega \frac{\partial \mu_{2gy}}{\partial \theta}, \] (3.14)
where we used (3.12) for \(\mu_{1gy}\) (with \(\{ \phi_{gc}, \phi_{gc} \}_{gc} \equiv 0\)) and the role of \(\tilde{\mu}_{1gy}\) is to ensure that the gyroangle-independent right-hand side of (3.14) is zero. This condition yields the homogeneous equation \(d_{gy} \tilde{\mu}_{1gy} / dt = 0\), whose solution is simply \(\tilde{\mu}_{1gy} \equiv 0\).

The solution to the gyroangle-dependent part of (3.14) is finally expressed as
\[ \mu_{2gy} \equiv \tilde{\mu}_{2gy} - \frac{q}{B \Omega} \frac{d_{gy} \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}}{dt}, \] (3.15)
where
\[ \frac{d_{gy} \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}}{dt} = \left( \frac{d_{gy}}{dt} + \frac{c_b}{B} \times \nabla \langle \phi_{ge} \rangle \cdot \nabla \right) \tilde{\Phi}_{ge} + \Omega \left( \frac{q}{B} \frac{\partial \langle \phi_{ge} \rangle}{\partial \mu} \right) \tilde{\phi}_{ge}, \]
and the gyroangle-independent part \(\tilde{\mu}_{2gy}\) is determined at the third order.

Lastly, it is instructive to compute the third-order component of the gyrocenter magnetic moment. Here, \((\dot{\mu}_{gy})_3 \equiv 0\) becomes
\[ 0 = \frac{d_{gy} \mu_{2gy}}{dt} + q' \{ \mu_{2gy}, \phi_{ge} \}_{ge} + \Omega \frac{\partial \mu_{3gy}}{\partial \theta} \]
\[ = \frac{d_{gy}}{dt} (\tilde{\mu}_{2gy} + \tilde{\mu}_{2gy}) + q' \left\{ \left( \tilde{\mu}_{2gy} + \tilde{\mu}_{2gy} \right), \tilde{\phi}_{ge} \right\}_{ge} + \Omega \frac{\partial \mu_{3gy}}{\partial \theta}. \] (3.16)
The role of \(\tilde{\mu}_{2gy}\) is to ensure that the gyroangle-independent right-hand side of (3.16) is zero, which implies that \(\tilde{\mu}_{2gy}\) is a solution of the inhomogeneous equation
\[ \frac{d_{gy} \tilde{\mu}_{2gy}}{dt} = q' \left\{ \left( \tilde{\phi}_{ge}, \tilde{\mu}_{2gy} \right)_{ge} \right\} = - \frac{q^2}{B \Omega} \left\{ \left\{ \tilde{\phi}_{ge}, \frac{d_{gy} \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}}{dt} \right\}_{ge} \right\}. \]

Because the right-hand side of this equation is non-vanishing, there must be a non-trivial solution for \(\tilde{\mu}_{2gy}\). By using properties of the guiding-center Poisson bracket \(\{ , \}_{ge}\) and the gyrocenter Vlasov operator \(d_{gy} / dt\) (after tedious algebra), we find the second-order solution
\[ \tilde{\mu}_{2gy} \equiv \frac{q^2}{2B \Omega} \langle \{ \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}, \tilde{\phi}_{ge} \}_{ge} \rangle. \] (3.17)

This solution is more trivially obtained with the Lie-transform approach presented in Sec. 4. To lowest FLR order, where \(\tilde{\phi}_{ge} \equiv \partial \tilde{\Phi}_{ge} / \partial \theta \simeq \rho_{ge} \cdot \nabla \phi \), we note that
\[ \langle \{ \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}, \tilde{\phi}_{ge} \}_{ge} \rangle = \frac{\Omega}{B} \frac{\partial \langle \tilde{\phi}_{ge} \rangle^2}{\partial \mu} + \cdots = \frac{\left| \nabla \phi \right|^2}{m \Omega}, \]
so that
\[ \tilde{\mu}_{2gy} \simeq \frac{m}{2B} |u_E|^2, \] (3.18)
where \( \mathbf{u}_\perp \equiv (c\hat{b} / B) \times \nabla \phi \) denotes the \( E \times B \) velocity. We omit the explicit derivation of \( \tilde{\mu}_{3gy} \), which is obtained from the gyroangle-dependent part of the third-order equation (3.16) and contains terms that are of second order in \( \Omega^{-1} \).

Up to second order in \( \epsilon \) (and first order in \( \Omega^{-1} \)), the gyrocenter magnetic moment is therefore expressed as

\[
\mu_{gy} = \mu + \frac{q}{B} \left( \phi_{ge} - \frac{1}{\Omega} \frac{d_{gy} \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}}{dt} \right) + \frac{q^2}{2B\Omega} \langle \{ \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}, \phi_{ge} \} \rangle .
\] (3.19)

We note that, to lowest FLR order, the first two terms in (3.19) appear naturally in the expansion of the magnetic moment \( \mu_{gy} = m|v_\perp - (\mathbf{u}_\perp + \mathbf{u}_P)|^2 / 2B \), where \( \mathbf{u}_P = -(c/B \Omega) \frac{d\phi}{dt} \) denotes the polarization drift velocity. Lastly, we note that Parra and Catto [11] only computed the first-order correction \( (q\tilde{\phi}_{ge} / B) \) to the gyrocenter magnetic moment. While the polarization drift correction \( d_{gy} \tilde{\Phi}_{ge} / dt \) is generally not kept in standard gyrokinetic theory [3], we show in Sec. 4 how it also appears naturally in the Lie-transform approach.

### 3.2. Gyrocenter gyroangle

At zeroth order, we easily find

\[
L_{0gy} \theta \equiv \Omega,
\]
where \( \theta_{0gy} \equiv \theta \) denotes the guiding-center gyroangle. At first order, we find

\[
(\dot{\theta}_{gy})_1 = \Omega \left( \frac{q}{B} \frac{\partial \phi_{ge}}{\partial \mu} + \frac{\partial \theta_{1gy}}{\partial \theta} \right),
\] (3.20)

which yields the gyroangle-independent equation

\[
(\dot{\theta}_{gy})_1 = \frac{q\Omega}{B} \frac{\partial \langle \phi_{ge} \rangle}{\partial \mu},
\] (3.21)

while the gyroangle-dependent equation yields the solution

\[
\tilde{\theta}_{1gy} = -\frac{q}{B} \frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}}{\partial \mu} \equiv \theta_{1gy} - \tilde{\theta}_{1gy},
\] (3.22)

where \( \tilde{\theta}_{1gy} \) denotes the gyroangle-independent part to be determined at second order.

### 3.3. Gyrocenter position

The zeroth-order gyrocenter position is the guiding-center position \( X_{0gy} = X \equiv r - \rho_{ge} \), where \( r \) is the particle’s position and \( \rho_{ge} \) is the gyroangle-dependent gyroradius vector. At zeroth order, we easily find \( L_{0gy} X \equiv 0 \), since the guiding-center position \( X \) is independent of \( \theta \). At first order, we find

\[
(X_{gy})_1 = \frac{d_{gy} X}{dt} + q \langle X, \phi_{ge} \rangle_{ge} + \Omega \frac{\partial X_{1gy}}{\partial \theta}
\]

\[
= v_\parallel \hat{b} + \frac{c\hat{b}}{B} \times \nabla \phi_{ge} + \Omega \frac{\partial X_{1gy}}{\partial \theta},
\] (3.23)

which yields the gyroangle-independent first-order equation for the gyrocenter velocity

\[
(X_{gy})_1 = v_\parallel \hat{b} + \frac{c\hat{b}}{B} \times \nabla \langle \phi_{ge} \rangle.
\] (3.24)
We therefore see that the lowest-order gyrocenter motion is described in terms of parallel motion along and $E \times B$ motion across the field lines. The gyroangle-dependent equation obtained from (3.23) yields the solution

$$\tilde{X}_{1gy} = -\frac{e\hat{b}}{B\Omega} \times \nabla \Phi_{ge} \equiv X_{1gy} - \tilde{X}_{1gy},$$ (3.25)

where $\tilde{X}_{1gy}$ denotes the gyroangle-independent part to be determined at second order.

### 3.4. Gyrocenter parallel momentum

The zeroth-order gyrocenter parallel momentum is the guiding-center parallel momentum $p_{\parallel 0gy} \equiv mv_{\parallel}$. At first order, we find

$$\langle \dot{p}_{\parallel gy} \rangle_1 = -q\hat{b} \cdot \nabla \phi_{ge} + \Omega \frac{\partial p_{\parallel 1gy}}{\partial \theta}. \quad (3.26)$$

The gyroangle-independent part of this equation yields

$$\langle \dot{p}_{\parallel gy} \rangle_1 = -q\hat{b} \cdot \nabla \langle \phi_{ge} \rangle, \quad (3.27)$$

while the gyroangle-dependent part yields

$$\tilde{p}_{\parallel 1gy} = q\hat{b} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\Phi}_{ge} \equiv p_{\parallel 1gy} - \tilde{p}_{\parallel 1gy}, \quad (3.28)$$

where $\tilde{p}_{\parallel 1gy}$ denotes the gyroangle-independent part to be determined at second order.

### 3.5. Gyrocenter kinetic energy

We now use the operators $L_{0gy}$ and $L_{1gy}$ to derive an asymptotic expansion for the kinetic energy $K_{gy} = K_{0gy} + \epsilon K_{1gy} + \cdots$, where

$$K_{0gy} = mv_{\parallel}^2/2 \equiv p_{\parallel}^2/2m + \mu B = K_{ge}$$

is expressed in terms of the lowest-order guiding-center coordinates $p_{\parallel}$ and $\mu$. We want to construct $K_{gy}$ such that $\dot{K}_{gy}$ is gyroangle independent. At zeroth order, we easily find $(K_{gy})_0 = L_{0gy}K_{0gy} \equiv 0$, i.e., the guiding-center kinetic energy $K_{ge}$ is a constant on the gyromotion time scale.

At first order, we find

$$\langle \dot{K}_{gy} \rangle_1 = \frac{d_{ge}K_{0gy}}{dt} + q\langle K_{0gy}, \phi_{ge} \rangle_{ge} + \Omega \frac{\partial K_{1gy}}{\partial \theta}$$

$$= -q\left( \Omega \frac{\partial \phi_{ge}}{\partial \theta} + v_{\parallel} \hat{b} \cdot \nabla \phi_{ge} \right) + \Omega \frac{\partial K_{1gy}}{\partial \theta}, \quad (3.29)$$

where we used the fact that $d_{ge}K_{0gy}/dt \equiv 0$. The gyroangle-independent and gyroangle-dependent parts of (3.29) yield

$$\langle \dot{K}_{gy} \rangle_1 = -qv_{\parallel} \hat{b} \cdot \nabla \langle \phi_{ge} \rangle \quad (3.30)$$

and

$$\tilde{K}_{1gy} = q\tilde{\phi}_{ge} + \frac{q}{\Omega} v_{\parallel} \hat{b} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\Phi}_{ge} = \frac{q}{\Omega} \langle \tilde{\phi}_{ge}, K_{ge} \rangle_{ge} \equiv K_{1gy} - \tilde{K}_{1gy}, \quad (3.31)$$

where the gyroangle-independent part $\tilde{K}_{1gy}$ is determined at the next order.
At second order, we find

\[
(\dot{K}_{gy})_2 = \frac{d_{gy} K_{1gy}}{dt} + q\{K_{1gy}, \phi_{ge}\}_{ge} + \Omega \frac{\partial K_{2gy}}{\partial \theta} \\
= \frac{d_{gy}}{dt}(K_{1gy} + \tilde{K}_{1gy}) + q\{(K_{1gy} + \tilde{K}_{1gy}), \tilde{\phi}_{ge}\}_{ge} + \Omega \frac{\partial K_{2gy}}{\partial \theta}.
\] (3.32)

By choosing \( K_{1gy} \equiv 0 \) in (3.32), we obtain the gyroangle-independent part

\[
(\dot{K}_{gy})_2 \equiv q\{(\tilde{K}_{1gy}, \tilde{\phi}_{ge})_{ge}\}.
\] (3.33)

Next, by using the Jacobi property of the guiding-center Poisson bracket, we introduce the identity

\[
\{\tilde{\phi}_{ge}, \{\tilde{\Phi}_{ge}, \tilde{G}_{ge}\}_{ge}\}_{ge} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \{\tilde{G}, \{\tilde{\Phi}_{ge}, \tilde{\phi}_{ge}\}_{ge}\}_{ge} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{1}{2} \{\tilde{\Phi}_{ge}, \{\tilde{\Phi}_{ge}, \tilde{G}_{ge}\}_{ge}\}_{ge} \right),
\] (3.34)

where \( \tilde{G} \) is a gyroangle-independent function and \( \tilde{\phi}_{ge} \equiv \frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}}{\partial \theta} \). Substituting (3.31) into (3.33) and using the identity (3.34), the second-order gyrocenter kinetic equation (3.33) becomes

\[
(\dot{K}_{gy})_2 = -q^2 \Omega \langle \{\tilde{\Phi}_{ge}, \tilde{\phi}_{ge}\}_{ge} \rangle_{ge}.
\]

The gyroangle-dependent part of the second-order kinetic energy, on the other hand, is expressed as

\[
\tilde{K}_{2gy} = -\int \left[ \frac{1}{\Omega} \frac{d_{gy}}{dt} \tilde{K}_{1gy} + q \{\tilde{K}_{1gy}, \tilde{\phi}_{ge}\}_{ge} - \langle \{\tilde{K}_{1gy}, \tilde{\phi}_{ge}\}_{ge} \rangle \right] d\theta
\]

\[
= -q \frac{d_{gy}}{dt} \tilde{\Phi}_{ge} + \ldots,
\] (3.35)

where we have ignored terms of order \( \Omega^{-2} \) and the gyroangle-independent part \( \tilde{K}_{2gy} \) must be computed at third order.

The gyrocenter kinetic energy \( K_{gy} \) is therefore expressed as

\[
K_{gy} = K_{ge} + q\tilde{\phi}_{ge} + q \frac{q}{\Omega} v_{\|} \tilde{b} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\Phi}_{ge} - q \frac{d_{gy}}{dt} \tilde{\Phi}_{ge} + \ldots
\]

\[
= K_{ge} + q\tilde{\phi}_{ge} \left( 1 - q \frac{\partial \langle \phi_{ge} \rangle}{\partial H} - q \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{e\tilde{b}}{B} \times \nabla \langle \phi_{ge} \rangle \cdot \nabla \right) \tilde{\Phi}_{ge} + \ldots \right),
\] (3.36)

where terms of second order in \( \Omega^{-1} \) have been omitted and the terms of first order in \( \Omega^{-1} \) associated with the gyroangle-independent part \( \tilde{K}_{2gy} \) have not been computed. While Parra and Catto [11] captured the first-order term correctly, their second-order term includes \(-(q/\Omega)\partial H \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}\) only and ignores the second-order correction terms due to \( \langle \phi_{ge} \rangle \). In fact, Parra and Catto [11] systematically ignored \( \phi^2 \) terms in their derivations of gyrokinetic variables except in their revised quasi-neutrality condition.

Lastly, the gyrocenter equation for \( K_{gy} \) is expressed as

\[
\dot{K}_{gy} = -v_{\|} \tilde{b} \cdot \nabla \left( q\langle \phi_{ge} \rangle - q^2 \frac{2}{\Omega} \langle \{\tilde{\Phi}_{ge}, \tilde{\phi}_{ge}\}_{ge} \rangle \right),
\] (3.37)
which includes a nonlinear (quadratic) contribution to the parallel electric field generated by \( \langle \phi_{ge} \rangle \).

### 4. Gyrocenter Lie-transform approach

The transformation from the extended guiding-center coordinates \( Z^\alpha_{ge} \equiv Z^\alpha = (X,p_\parallel,\mu,\theta;w,t) \) to the gyrocenter coordinates \( Z^\alpha_{gy} \equiv Z^\alpha = (\bar{X},\bar{p}_\parallel,\bar{\mu},\bar{\theta};\bar{w},t) \) is expressed as an asymptotic expansion

\[
Z^\alpha = Z^\alpha + \epsilon G^\alpha_1 + \epsilon^2 \left( G^\alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2} G^\alpha_1 \frac{\partial G^\alpha_1}{\partial Z^\alpha} \right) + \cdots,
\]

(4.1)

where the \( n \)th-order generating vector field \( G^\alpha_n \) is evaluated at order \( \epsilon^n \) to eliminate gyroangle dependence in the Hamiltonian. Here, the energy coordinate \( w \) is introduced as the canonically conjugate coordinate to time \( t \). In Hamiltonian Lie-transform perturbation analysis (appropriate for electrostatic perturbations), the generating vector fields are expressed in terms of the extended guiding-center Poisson bracket \( \{ , \}_{ge} \), which now includes the canonical pair \( (w,t) \), as

\[
G^\alpha_k \equiv \{ S_k , Z^\alpha \}_{ge},
\]

(4.2)

where the functions \( (S_1,S_2,\ldots) \) are known as the gyrocenter gauge functions (which are assumed to be explicitly gyroangle dependent). We note that the time coordinate \( t \) is unaffected by the time-dependent gyrocenter transformation if \( G^t_k = \partial S_k / \partial w \equiv 0 \) at all orders.

The extended guiding-center Hamiltonian is

\[
H_{ge} = \left( \frac{p_\parallel^2}{2m} + \mu B + q\langle \phi_{ge} \rangle - w \right) + \epsilon(q\tilde{\phi}_{ge}) \equiv H_{0ge} + \epsilon H_{1ge},
\]

(4.3)

where we have explicitly separated the gyroangle-dependent part \( \tilde{\phi}_{ge} \) of the guiding-center scalar potential \( \phi_{ge} \) as the perturbation that destroys the guiding-center magnetic moment (i.e., \( \{ \mu, H_{0ge} \}_{ge} \equiv 0 \) and \( \{ \mu, H_{1ge} \}_{ge} \neq 0 \)). While the separation adopted in (4.3) is non-standard [3], it is consistent with the gcRV method presented in Sec. 3. Note that this separation appears when the electrostatic potential has a large-scale component \( \langle \phi_{ge} \rangle \) and a small-scale component \( \tilde{\phi}_{ge} \), which satisfy the ordering

\[
q\tilde{\phi}_{ge} \ll T \sim q\langle \phi_{ge} \rangle,
\]

(4.4)

where \( T \) denotes the plasma temperature. This ordering is consistent with the generalized gyrokinetic ordering [6] \( (\rho/\lambda_\perp)q\phi \ll T \), where the perpendicular gradient length scale \( \lambda_\perp \) scales as \( \lambda_\perp \sim \rho \) for the small-scale component \( \tilde{\phi}_{ge} \) while it scales as \( \lambda_\perp \gg \rho \) for the large-scale component \( \langle \phi_{ge} \rangle \).

The gyrocenter transformation (4.1) is chosen at each order so that the gyrocenter Hamiltonian

\[
H_{gy} = H_{0gy} + \epsilon H_{1gy} + \epsilon^2 H_{2gy} + \cdots
\]

(4.5)

is gyroangle independent, where \( H_{0gy} \equiv H_{0ge} \). According to Hamiltonian Lie-transform perturbation theory, the first-order and second-order gyrocenter
Hamiltonians are

\[ H_{1gy} \equiv H_{1ge} - \{S_1, H_{0ge}\}_{ge} = H_{1ge} - \left( \frac{d_{gy}S_1}{dt} + \Omega \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial \theta} \right), \tag{4.6} \]

\[ H_{2gy} = -\{S_1, H_{1ge}\}_{ge} + \frac{1}{2} \{S_1, \{S_1, H_{0ge}\}_{ge}\}_{ge} - \left( \frac{d_{gy}S_2}{dt} + \Omega \frac{\partial S_2}{\partial \theta} \right), \tag{4.7} \]

where we used \( H_{2ge} \equiv 0 \). It is straightforward to extend the Lie-transform approach to a non-uniform magnetic field, since the operator \( \frac{d_{gy}}{dt} = \{\cdot, H_{0ge}\}_{ge} \) is valid in general magnetic geometry, with the guiding-center Poisson bracket suitably generalized for non-uniform magnetic fields (see (A 8)).

### 4.1. First-order analysis

At first order, since \( H_{1ge} = q\tilde{\phi}_{ge} \) and \( \langle H_{1ge} \rangle = 0 \), the expression for the gyrocenter Hamiltonian is simply

\[ H_{1gy} \equiv 0, \tag{4.8} \]

while the first-order gauge function \( S_1 \) is the solution of the gyroangle-dependent equation

\[ \frac{d_{gy}S_1}{dt} + \Omega \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial \theta} = q\tilde{\phi}_{ge}. \tag{4.9} \]

The reader should not be alarmed by (4.8) and remember that the gyroangle-averaged scalar potential \( \langle \tilde{\phi}_{ge} \rangle \) appears in the gyroangle-independent perturbed guiding-center Hamiltonian \( H_{0ge} \) in (4.3).

Up to second order in \( \Omega^{-1} \), the solution for \( S_1 \) is

\[ S_1 = \frac{q}{\Omega} \tilde{\Phi}_{ge} - \frac{q}{\Omega^2} \frac{d_{gy}\tilde{\Phi}_{ge}^{(2)}}{dt} + \cdots, \tag{4.10} \]

where \( \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}^{(k+1)} \equiv \int \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}^{(k)} d\theta \), with \( \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}^{(1)} \equiv \tilde{\Phi}_{ge} \) and \( \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}^{(0)} \equiv \tilde{\phi}_{ge} \).

### 4.2. Second-order analysis

At second order, the expression for the gyrocenter Hamiltonian is

\[ H_{2gy} = -q^2 \frac{1}{2\Omega} \left\{ \left( \tilde{\Phi}_{ge} - \frac{1}{\Omega} \frac{d_{gy}\tilde{\Phi}_{ge}}{dt} \right), \tilde{\phi}_{ge} \right\}_{ge}, \tag{4.11} \]

while the second-order gauge function \( S_2 \) is the solution of the gyroangle-dependent equation

\[ \frac{d_{gy}S_2}{dt} + \Omega \frac{\partial S_2}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{q^2}{2\Omega^2} \left( \{\tilde{\Phi}_{ge}, \tilde{\phi}_{ge}\}_{ge} - \langle \{\tilde{\Phi}_{ge}, \tilde{\phi}_{ge}\}_{ge} \rangle \right), \tag{4.12} \]

where terms of order \( \Omega^{-2} \) were omitted on the right-hand side of (4.12). Up to second order in \( \Omega^{-1} \), the solution for \( S_2 \) is

\[ S_2 = -\frac{q^2}{2\Omega^2} \int \left( \{\tilde{\Phi}_{ge}, \tilde{\phi}_{ge}\}_{ge} - \langle \{\tilde{\Phi}_{ge}, \tilde{\phi}_{ge}\}_{ge} \rangle \right) d\theta. \tag{4.13} \]

### 4.3. Gyrocenter coordinates

One of the main advantages of the gyrocenter Lie-transform approach is that the gyrocenter phase-space transformation is expressed solely in terms of the scalar fields \( (S_1, S_2, \ldots) \). The gyrocenter phase-space coordinates are constructed from
the asymptotic expansion
\[ Z^\alpha = Z^\alpha + \epsilon \{ S_1, Z^\alpha \}_{ge} + \epsilon^2 \left( \{ S_2, (Z^\alpha)_{ge} + \frac{1}{2} \{ S_1, (S_1, Z^\alpha)_{ge} \} \right) + \cdots \]

\[ = Z^\alpha + \epsilon \left\{ \left( \frac{\tilde{\phi}_{ge} - 1}{\Omega} \frac{d_{gy} \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}^{(2)}}{dt} \right) \right\}_{ge} \]
\[ + \epsilon^2 \left( \{ S_2, (Z^\alpha)_{ge} + \frac{1}{2} \{ \tilde{\phi}_{ge}, \{ \tilde{\phi}_{ge}, Z^\alpha \}_{ge} \} \right) + \cdots , \] (4.14)

where we substituted the expression (4.10) for \( S_1 \) while the expression (4.13) for \( S_2 \) will be used only when needed. Hence, the gyrocenter position \( \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \) is
\[ \tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{X} + \epsilon \left\{ \left( \tilde{\phi}_{ge}, \mathbf{X} \right)_{ge} + \cdots = \mathbf{X} - \epsilon \frac{\mathbf{c_b} B}{B \Omega} \nabla \tilde{\Phi}_{ge} + \cdots , \] (4.15)

the gyrocenter parallel momentum \( \tilde{p}_\parallel \) is
\[ \tilde{p}_\parallel = p_\parallel + \epsilon \left\{ \left( \Phi_{ge}, p_\parallel \right)_{ge} + \cdots = p_\parallel + \epsilon \frac{q B}{\Omega} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\Phi}_{ge} + \cdots , \] (4.16)

the gyrocenter gyroangle \( \tilde{\theta} \) is
\[ \tilde{\theta} = \theta + \epsilon \left\{ \left( \tilde{\phi}_{ge}, \theta \right)_{ge} + \cdots = \theta - \epsilon \frac{q}{B} \frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}}{\partial \mu} + \cdots , \] (4.17)

the gyrocenter magnetic moment \( \tilde{\mu} \) is
\[ \tilde{\mu} = \mu + \epsilon \left\{ \left( \tilde{\phi}_{ge} - 1 \frac{d_{gy} \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}}{dt} \right) + \epsilon \left\{ \Phi_{ge}, \Phi_{ge} \right\}_{ge} \right\} \]
\[ + \epsilon^2 \left( \{ S_2, \mu \}_{ge} + \frac{q^2}{2 \Omega^2} \{ \tilde{\phi}_{ge}, \{ \tilde{\phi}_{ge}, \mu \}_{ge} \} \right) + \cdots \]
\[ = \mu + \epsilon \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\Omega} \frac{d_{gy} \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}}{dt} \right) + \epsilon \left\{ \tilde{\phi}_{ge} \frac{d_{gy} \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}}{dt} \right\}_{ge} \right\} \]
\[ + \epsilon^2 \left( \{ S_2, \mu \}_{ge} + \frac{q^2}{2 \Omega^2} \{ \tilde{\phi}_{ge}, \{ \tilde{\phi}_{ge}, \mu \}_{ge} \} \right) + \cdots , \] (4.18)

where the expression (4.13) for \( S_2 \) was used in obtaining the last expression, and the gyrocenter energy coordinate \( \tilde{w} \) is
\[ \tilde{w} = w - \epsilon \frac{q}{\Omega} \frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}}{\partial t} + \cdots . \] (4.19)

The gyrocenter kinetic energy \( K_{gy} \) is
\[ K_{gy} = K_{ge} + \epsilon \left\{ \left( \tilde{\phi}_{ge} - 1 \frac{d_{gy} \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}}{dt} \right) , K_{ge} \right\}_{ge} \]
\[ + \epsilon^2 \left( \{ S_2, K_{ge} \}_{ge} + \frac{q^2}{2 \Omega^2} \{ \tilde{\phi}_{ge}, \{ \tilde{\phi}_{ge}, \mu \}_{ge} \} \right) + \cdots \]
\[ = K_{ge} + \epsilon \tilde{\phi}_{ge} \left( 1 - \frac{q}{B} \frac{\partial \langle \phi_{ge} \rangle}{\partial \mu} \right) - \epsilon \frac{q}{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathbf{c_b} B}{B} \times \mathbf{V} \langle \phi_{ge} \rangle \cdot \mathbf{V} \right) \tilde{\Phi}_{ge} \]
\[ + \epsilon^2 \frac{q^2}{2 \Omega} \{ \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}, \tilde{\phi}_{ge} \}_{ge} + \cdots , \] (4.20)
where terms of second order in $\Omega^{-1}$ have been omitted. One can see that the second-order gyro-independent part of the kinetic energy $K_{\text{gy}}$ appears naturally in the Lie-transform approach, whereas it would require an extensive computation to obtain it in the guiding-center recursive Vlasov approach (we have skipped this computation; see Sec. 3.5 for details). Note that the gyrocenter kinetic energy (4.20) can be exactly expressed as

$$K_{\text{gy}} \equiv \frac{p_{\parallel}^2}{2m} + \mu B,$$

(4.21)

when the definitions (4.16) and (4.18) for $p_{\parallel}$ and $\mu$ are used.

Lastly, the Jacobian for the gyrocenter transformation is

$$J_{\text{gy}} = J_{\text{gc}} - \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^{\alpha}} (J_{\text{gc}} \{ S_1, Z^{\alpha} \}_{\text{gc}}) + \cdots \equiv J_{\text{gc}},$$

(4.22)

where $J_{\text{gc}}$ is a constant in a uniform magnetic field. This result comes from the fact that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^{\alpha}} (J_{\text{gc}} \{ S_1, Z^{\alpha} \}_{\text{gc}}) = - \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^{\alpha}} (J_{\text{gc}} J^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial Z^{\beta}}) = - J_{\text{gc}} J^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial^2 S_1}{\partial Z^{\alpha} \partial Z^{\beta}} \equiv 0,$$

which follows from the antisymmetry of the guiding-center Poisson tensor $J^{\alpha \beta}$ and the Liouville identities $\partial_{\alpha} (J_{\text{gc}} J^{\alpha \beta}) = 0$. Note that (4.22) is true to all orders in $\epsilon$.

5. Gyrokinetic Vlasov equation

We now derive the gyrokinetic Vlasov equation (1.1) by the gerV method and show how the gyrocenter phase-space transformation (4.14) generated by the Lie-transform scalar fields $(S_1, S_2, \ldots)$ is involved in the transformation from the particle Vlasov distribution $f$ and the gyrocenter Vlasov distribution $F$.

5.1. Recursive Vlasov derivation

First, the particle Vlasov distribution $f$ is expressed in terms of the guiding-center Vlasov distribution $F$ by the push-forward operation $F \equiv \tau_{\text{ge}}^{-1} f = F_0 + \epsilon F_1 + \epsilon^2 F_2 + \cdots$, where $F_k \equiv \tau_{\text{ge}}^{-1} f_k$. At zeroth, first, and second orders, we therefore have

$$0 = L_{\text{0ge}} F_0,$n

$$0 = L_{\text{1ge}} F_0 + L_{\text{0ge}} F_1,$n

$$0 = L_{\text{1ge}} F_1 + L_{\text{0ge}} F_2,$$

(5.1)

where the guiding-center operators $L_{\text{0ge}}$ and $L_{\text{1ge}}$ are defined in (2.4) and (2.11).

At the zeroth order, the Vlasov equation $L_{\text{0ge}} F_0 = 0$ implies that $F_0$ is independent of the gyroangle $\theta$. At first order, the gyroangle-independent part of $L_{\text{1ge}} F_0$ yields

$$0 = \frac{d_{\text{ge}} F_0}{dt} = \frac{d_{\text{ge}} F_0}{dt} + q_{\text{ge}} \{ F_0, \langle \phi_{\text{ge}} \rangle \}_{\text{ge}},$$

(5.2)

while the gyroangle-dependent part yields a solution for $\tilde{F}_1$:

$$\tilde{F}_1 \equiv - L_{\text{0ge}}^{-1} (L_{\text{1ge}} F_0) = - \frac{q}{\Omega} \{ F_0, \langle \Phi_{\text{ge}} \rangle_{\text{ge}} \}. $$

(5.3)

The solution for the gyroangle-independent part $\bar{F}_1 \equiv F_1 - \tilde{F}_1$ must come from the second-order analysis.
At second order, the gyroangle-independent part of $L_{1ge} F_1$ yields

$$0 = \frac{d_{gy} \tilde{F}_1}{dt} + q\langle [\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{\phi}_{1ge}] \rangle_{1ge}$$

while the gyroangle-dependent part yields a solution for $\tilde{F}_2$:

$$\tilde{F}_2 = -\frac{1}{\Omega} \int \left[ \frac{d_{gy} \tilde{F}_1}{dt} + q\langle [\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{\phi}_{1ge}] \rangle_{1ge} \right] d\theta$$

5.2. Gyrocenter pull-back operator

We can combine the recursive solutions (5.3) and (5.5) for the guiding-center Vlasov distribution to obtain the following expansion:

$$F = F_0 + \epsilon F_1 + \epsilon^2 \tilde{F}_2 + \cdots = (F_0 + \epsilon \tilde{F}_1 + \cdots) + (\epsilon \tilde{F}_1 + \epsilon^2 \tilde{F}_2 + \cdots)$$

where $F_0 + \epsilon \tilde{F}_1 + \epsilon^2 \tilde{F}_2 + \cdots$ defines the gyrocenter Vlasov distribution and we used the identity (3.34) for the last two terms. Using the gyrocenter scalar fields $(S_1, S_2, \ldots)$, the relation (5.6) between the guiding-center Vlasov distribution $F$ and the gyrocenter Vlasov distribution $\bar{F}$ can also be expressed as

$$F = \bar{F} + \epsilon \{ S_1, \bar{F} \}_{1ge} + \epsilon^2 \left[ \frac{q^2}{2\Omega^2} \left( \{ \tilde{\Phi}_{1ge}, \tilde{\phi}_{1ge} \}_{1ge} - \langle [\tilde{\Phi}_{1ge}, \tilde{\phi}_{1ge}] \rangle_{1ge} \right) \right]_{1ge}$$

where $\bar{F} \equiv F_0 + \epsilon \tilde{F}_1 + \epsilon^2 \tilde{F}_2 + \cdots$ defines the gyrocenter Vlasov distribution and we used the identity (3.34) for the last two terms. Using the gyrocenter pull-back operator $T_{gy}$, the physical interpretation of the gyrocenter pull-back operation is therefore given in terms of the time integration of the guiding-center Vlasov equation over the fast gyromotion time scale.

The gyrocenter pull-back also generates the standard decomposition of the perturbed particle Vlasov distribution in terms of its adiabatic and non-adiabatic parts.
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as follows. Up to first order in $\epsilon$, we find

$$f_1 = T_{\text{ge}} \left( \overline{F}_1 + \frac{q}{\Omega} \left( \Phi_{\text{ge}}, F_0 \right)_{\text{ge}} \right)$$

$$= T_{\text{ge}} \left[ \overline{F}_1 + q \left( \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial E} + \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \mu} \right) \widetilde{\phi}_{\text{ge}} + \cdots \right], \quad (5.8)$$

where higher-order corrections have been neglected and the guiding-center Poisson bracket is now expressed in terms of the guiding-center coordinates $(X, E, \mu, \theta)$ with the guiding-center energy $E$ replacing the guiding-center parallel kinetic momentum $p_\parallel$. Next, we introduce the decomposition

$$F_1 = q\langle \phi_{\text{ge}} \rangle \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial E} + G_1,$$

$$\quad (5.9)$$

where the first term represents the adiabatic contribution to $F_1$ and $G_1$ represents its non-adiabatic contribution. Lastly, we use the identity (3.13) to obtain the relation [12]

$$f_1 = q\phi \left( \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial E} + \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mu} \right) + T_{\text{ge}} \left( G_1 - \frac{q}{B} \langle \phi_{\text{ge}} \rangle \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \mu} \right),$$

$$\quad (5.10)$$

where $f_0 \equiv T_{\text{ge}} F_0$. Here, we note that the adiabatic contribution naturally separates into a particle part (involving $\phi$) and a guiding-center part (involving $T_{\text{ge}} \langle \phi_{\text{ge}} \rangle$).

### 5.3. Gyrokinetic Vlasov equation

By combining the gyrocenter Vlasov equations (5.2) and (5.4) we obtain the nonlinear gyrokinetic Vlasov equation

$$0 = \frac{d_{\text{gy}} F}{dt} + \{ F, q\Psi_{\text{gy}} \}_{\text{ge}}$$

$$= \frac{d_{\text{gy}} F}{dt} - \left\{ F, \frac{q^2}{2\Omega} \left\langle \left\{ \left( \Phi_{\text{ge}} - \frac{1}{\Omega} \frac{d_{\text{gy}} \widetilde{\Phi}_{\text{ge}}^{(2)}}{dt} \right), \widetilde{\phi}_{\text{ge}} \right\}_{\text{ge}} \right\} \right\}_{\text{ge}}, \quad (5.11)$$

where the effective gyrocenter potential

$$\Psi_{\text{gy}} \equiv \langle \phi_{\text{ge}} \rangle - \frac{q}{2\Omega} \left\langle \left\{ \left( \widetilde{\Phi}_{\text{ge}} - \frac{1}{\Omega} \frac{d_{\text{gy}} \widetilde{\Phi}_{\text{ge}}^{(2)}}{dt} \right), \widetilde{\phi}_{\text{ge}} \right\}_{\text{ge}} \right\>$$

$$= \langle \phi_{\text{ge}} \rangle - \frac{q}{2\Omega} \left\langle \left\{ \widetilde{\Phi}_{\text{ge}}, \widetilde{\phi}_{\text{ge}} \right\}_{\text{ge}} \right\> - \frac{q}{2\Omega^2} \left\langle \left\{ \frac{d_{\text{gy}} \widetilde{\Phi}_{\text{ge}}}{dt}, \widetilde{\Phi}_{\text{ge}} \right\}_{\text{ge}} \right\> \quad (5.12)$$

contains nonlinear ponderomotive corrections to the linear scalar potential.

We note that, in standard gyrokinetic theory [7], the polarization drift correction (involving $d_{\text{gy}} \widetilde{\Phi}_{\text{ge}}/dt$) is omitted and only the second term is retained in (5.12). The gyrokinetic Vlasov equation (5.12) describes the time evolution of the gyroangle-independent gyrocenter Vlasov distribution $\overline{F}(\overline{X}, \overline{p}_\parallel, t; \overline{\mu})$ in a 4 + 1 reduced phase space, where the gyrocenter Hamilton’s equations (in a uniform magnetic field) are

$$\dot{\overline{X}} \equiv \overline{\eta} \widehat{b} + \frac{c_b}{B} \times \nabla \Psi_{\text{gy}} \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\overline{p}}_\parallel \equiv -q\widehat{b} \cdot \nabla \Psi_{\text{gy}},$$

$$\quad (5.13)$$

and the gyrocenter magnetic moment $\overline{\mu}$ is an invariant.
A common approximation for the gyrokinetic Vlasov equation (5.11) is obtained by writing it in truncated form as $d_{gy} F/dt \equiv 0$ and then expressing, first, the gyrocenter Vlasov distribution function as $F = F_0 + F_1$ and, second, using the decomposition (5.9) to obtain a gyrokinetic equation for the non-adiabatic part $\overline{G}_1$. The electrostatic version of the Frieman–Chen gyrokinetic equation [8] is thus obtained from the truncated equation

$$
\frac{d_{gy} F_1}{dt} = -q \left( \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial E} \langle \phi_{gc} \rangle - \frac{e\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \nabla \langle \phi_{gc} \rangle \cdot \nabla F_0}{B} \right),
$$

(5.14)

where the gyrocenter kinetic energy coordinate $E$ (see (4.21)) is used instead of $p_\parallel$ and the background distribution $F_0$ satisfies the guiding-center Vlasov equation $d_{gc} F_0/dt \equiv 0$. Next, we introduce the decomposition (5.9), where we write

$$
\frac{d_{gy} F_1}{dt} \left( q \frac{\langle \phi_{gc} \rangle}{\partial E} \right) = q \frac{d_{gc} \langle \phi_{gc} \rangle}{dt} \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial E} + \cdots,
$$

to obtain the electrostatic Frieman–Chen gyrokinetic equation

$$
\frac{d_{gy} \overline{G}_1}{dt} = - \left( q \frac{\partial \langle \phi_{gc} \rangle}{\partial E} + \frac{e\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \nabla \langle \phi_{gc} \rangle \cdot \nabla}{B} \right) F_0.
$$

(5.15)

While this equation offers great simplicity for many practical applications, it also suffers from several deficiencies [3] (e.g., it lacks energy conservation when combined with the gyrokinetic Poisson equation) which limit its use in numerical simulations of electrostatic plasma turbulence.

6. Gyrokinetic Poisson equation

When the nonlinear gyrokinetic Vlasov equation (5.11) is combined with the gyrokinetic version of the Poisson equation, we obtain a set of energy-conserving equations that can be used for numerical simulations of electrostatic plasma turbulence [3].

The gyrokinetic Poisson equation is expressed as a moment of the gyrocenter Vlasov distribution $\overline{F}$ through a sequence of phase-space transformations from particle to guiding-center to gyrocenter phase spaces:

$$
-\frac{\nabla^2 \phi(r)}{4\pi} = q \int d^6 z f \delta^3 = q \int d^6 Z F \delta^3_{gc} \equiv q \int d^6 Z \overline{F} \langle T_{gy}^{-1} \delta^3_{gc} \rangle,
$$

(6.1)

where summation over particle species is implied. $\delta^3 = \delta^3(x - r)$ implies that only particles located at the field position $x = r$ contribute to the scalar field $\phi(r)$, and $\delta^3_{gc} \equiv T_{ge}^{-1} \delta^3 = \delta^3(X + \rho_{gc} - r)$ is expressed in terms of the guiding-center gyroradius vector $\rho_{gc}$. The last expression in (6.1) involves the gyrocenter push-forward operation

$$
T_{gy}^{-1} g = g - \epsilon \{S_1, g\}_{gc} - \epsilon^2 \{\{S_2, g\}_{gc} - \frac{1}{2}\{S_1, \{S_1, g\}_{gc}\}_{gc}\} + \cdots,
$$
where the generating scalar fields $S_1$ and $S_2$ are defined in (4.10) and (4.13). When the push-forward operator is applied to $\delta_{ge}^3$, we obtain

\[
\langle T_{gy}^{-1} \delta_{ge}^3 \rangle = \langle \delta_{ge}^3 \rangle + \epsilon^2 \frac{q^2}{2 \Omega^2} \left( \langle \tilde{\Phi}_{ge} \rangle, \langle \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}, \langle \delta_{ge}^3 \rangle \rangle_{ge} \right) + \cdots \\
- \epsilon \frac{q}{\Omega} \left( \left\{ \left( \tilde{\Phi}_{ge} - \frac{1}{\Omega} \frac{d_{gy}}{dt} \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}^{(2)} \right), \delta_{ge}^3 \right\} \right)_{ge} \right) + \cdots , \tag{6.2}
\]

where $\delta_{ge}^3 \equiv \delta_{ge}^3 - \langle \delta_{ge}^3 \rangle = \rho_{ge} \cdot \nabla \delta^3 + \cdots$ denotes the gyroangle-dependent part of $\delta_{ge}^3$. We note that polarization effects enter into the gyrokinetic Poisson equation (6.1) through the term $\langle T_{gy}^{-1} \delta_{ge}^3 \rangle \equiv \langle T_{gy}^{-1} (T_{ge} \delta^3) \rangle$: guiding-center polarization enters through the difference $\langle T_{gy}^{-1} \delta_{ge}^3 \rangle - \delta_{ge}^3$, while gyrocenter polarization enters through the difference $\langle T_{gy}^{-1} \delta_{ge}^3 \rangle - \langle \delta_{ge}^3 \rangle$. We further note that (6.2) may also be obtained from the functional derivative of the effective gyrocenter potential (5.12):

\[
\frac{\delta}{\delta \Phi} \Psi_{gy} [\phi] \equiv \langle T_{gy}^{-1} \delta_{ge}^3 \rangle , 
\tag{6.3}
\]

so that the gyrokinetic Poisson equation (6.1) may be expressed in terms of the gyrokinetic variational principle [1, 2]

\[
\frac{\delta}{\delta \phi} \left[ \int \frac{d^3 r}{8 \pi} |\nabla \phi|^2 - \int d^6 Z T \left( \frac{p_r^2}{2m} + \overline{\Pi} B + q \Psi_{gy} [\phi] - \overline{w} \right) \right] = 0 . \tag{6.4}
\]

The existence of a variational principle for the gyrokinetic Vlasov–Poisson and Vlasov–Maxwell equations allows us to compute exact conservation laws by the Noether method [2, 3]. Note that, according to the functional derivative (6.3), the gyrocenter polarization effects (associated with the difference $\langle T_{gy}^{-1} \delta_{ge}^3 \rangle - \langle \delta_{ge}^3 \rangle$) require that quadratic nonlinearities in the electrostatic potential $\phi$ be retained in the effective gyrocenter potential (5.12).

Next, by introducing the gyrocenter gyroradius vector

\[
\rho_{gy} \equiv T_{gy}^{-1} (X + \rho_{ge}) - (\overline{X} + \rho_{ge}) , \tag{6.5}
\]

the push-forward expression (6.2) may be written as $T_{gy}^{-1} \delta_{ge}^3 \equiv \delta^3 (X + \rho_{ge} + \rho_{gy} - r)$. When expressed in terms of $(S_1, S_2, \ldots)$, the gyrocenter gyroradius vector (6.5) becomes

\[
\rho_{gy} = -\epsilon \langle S_1, X + \rho_{ge} \rangle_{ge} - \epsilon^2 \langle S_2, X + \rho_{ge} \rangle_{ge} \\
+ \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \langle S_1, \{ S_1, X + \rho_{ge} \} \rangle_{ge} + \cdots \\
= \overline{\rho}_{gy} + \tilde{\rho}_{gy} , \tag{6.6}
\]

where the gyroangle-dependent part of the gyrocenter gyroradius vector (6.6) is

\[
\tilde{\rho}_{gy} = -\epsilon \langle S_1, X \rangle_{ge} + \cdots = \epsilon \frac{c \hat{\Phi}_{ge}}{B \Omega} \times \nabla \Phi_{ge} + \cdots , \tag{6.7}
\]

while, up to second order, the gyroangle-independent part of the gyrocenter gyroradius vector (6.6) is

\[
\overline{\rho}_{gy} = -\epsilon \langle \{ S_1, \rho_{ge} \} \rangle_{ge} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \langle \{ S_1, \{ S_1, X \} \} \rangle_{ge} \\
= -\epsilon \frac{q}{B} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left\{ \rho_{ge} \left( \tilde{\phi}_{ge} - \frac{1}{\Omega} \frac{d_{gy}}{dt} \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}^{(2)} \right) \right\} - \epsilon^2 \frac{q}{2 \Omega^2} \left\{ \left\{ \tilde{\Phi}_{ge}, \frac{c \hat{\Phi}_{ge}}{B} \times \nabla \Phi_{ge} \right\} \right\}_{ge} , \tag{6.8}
\]
where corrections of order $\Omega^{-1}$ were kept. Expansion of the last term on the right-hand side of the gyrokinetic Poisson equation (6.1) in powers of $\rho_{gy}$ yields the expression

$$\frac{-\nabla^2 \phi(r)}{4\pi} = q \int d^3p F - \nabla \cdot \left( q \int d^3p \rho_{gy} F + \cdots \right),$$

(6.9)

where we have ignored FLR effects in the first term on the right-hand side (i.e., $\langle \delta^3_{gc} \rangle \to \delta^3$), and the second term represents the polarization density. By keeping only terms of first order in $\epsilon$ and lowest FLR order, where

$$\tilde{\phi}_{ge} \simeq \rho_{ge} \cdot \nabla \phi \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{ge} \simeq -\rho_{ge} \hat{b} \times \nabla \phi,$$

the gyroangle-independent gyrocenter gyroradius vector (6.8) is expressed as

$$\rho_{gy} \simeq \epsilon \hat{b} \Omega \times \left( \frac{c}{B} \hat{b} \times \nabla \phi - \frac{c}{B \Omega} \frac{d_{gy}}{dt} \nabla \phi \right),$$

(6.10)

which involves the $E \times B$ velocity and the polarization drift velocity.

Because of the mass dependence appearing in (6.10), ion polarization effects in the gyrokinetic Poisson equation (6.9) dominate over electron polarization. Lastly, in the standard nonlinear gyrokinetic formalism [3], the polarization drift contribution appears at second order in $\epsilon$ and is, therefore, omitted from the first-order gyrocenter gyroradius vector (6.10). The standard gyrokinetic Poisson equation (6.9) thus yields the following relation between the electron (particle) density $n_e$ and the ion (gyrocenter) density $n_i$:

$$en_e = e\bar{n}_i + \nabla \cdot \left[ \left( I + \frac{\bar{n}_i c^2}{B^2/(4\pi m_i)} I_{\perp} \right) \cdot \nabla \phi \right],$$

(6.11)

where $I_{\perp} = I - \hat{b} \hat{b}$ is the perpendicular unit matrix. In (6.11), we note that the quasi-neutrality condition (i.e., the left-hand side of (6.9) is zero) appears in the limit $B^2/(4\pi m_i \bar{n}_i) \ll c^2$. In this limit, (6.11) becomes the gyrokinetic quasi-neutrality condition

$$en_e = e\bar{n}_i + \nabla_{\perp} \cdot \left( \frac{m_i c^2}{B^2} \bar{n}_i \nabla_{\perp} \phi \right),$$

(6.12)

which relates the electron (particle) density $n_e$, the ion (gyrocenter) density $\bar{n}_i$, and the electrostatic potential $\phi$ (through the ion polarization density). It is important to note that the ion (gyrocenter) density $\bar{n}_i$ must be defined as the moment of the full ion gyrocenter Vlasov distribution $\bar{F}_i$ (i.e., it is a solution of the nonlinear gyrokinetic Vlasov equation (5.11)) in order to conserve the global energy of the gyrokinetic Vlasov–Poisson equations [6, 7].

7. Summary

The guiding-center recursive Vlasov (gcV) method yields results that are identical to the gyrocenter Lie-transform (gyLt) method. The Lie-transform method, however, offers several computational advantages. First, instead of computing each gyrocenter variable individually (gcV method), the derivation of gyrocenter variables by the gyLt method involves a single function $S_k$ at each order $\epsilon^k$ ($k = 1, 2, \ldots$) of the perturbation analysis. Moreover, we point out that, for most practical applications, the guiding-center and gyrocenter transformations can be kept separate since

$$T_{gc} T_{gy} \bar{F} = \bar{F} + (\epsilon G^a_{1gc} + \epsilon B G^a_{1ge}) \partial_{\alpha} \bar{F} + \cdots.$$
Second, the explicit use of the guiding-center and gyrocenter pull-back and push-forward (Lie-transform) operators provides us with a simple interpretation of the recursive Vlasov method: the pull-back operator generates a fast-time-scale integration of the Vlasov dynamics while the push-forward operator represents the polarization dynamics in the gyrokinetic Poisson equation. Third, a self-consistent set of gyrokinetic Vlasov–Poisson equations is obtained by the Lie-transform method since it is derived from a variational principle (which guarantees the existence of exact conservation laws). Lastly, the Lie-transform method can easily be generalized to the fully electromagnetic case [3].
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Appendix A. Guiding-center transformation

In a non-uniform magnetic field (where \( \epsilon_B \equiv \rho/L_B \) denotes the dimensionless ratio of the characteristic gyroradius to the magnetic non-uniformity length scale), the guiding-center phase-space transformation is defined in terms of the first-order components

\[
G^x_1 = -\rho_0 \equiv -(2\mu B/m\Omega^2)^{1/2} \hat{\rho},
\]

\[
G^{p_\parallel}_1 = (mc/e)\mu(a_1 : \hat{\mathbf{V}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{V}} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}) - p_\parallel \rho_0 \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{V}}),
\]

\[
G^\mu_1 = \rho_0 \cdot (\mu \mathbf{V} \ln B + \frac{mv_{\parallel}^2}{B} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{V}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) - \mu \frac{v_{\parallel}}{\Omega} (a_1 : \hat{\mathbf{V}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{V}} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}),
\]

\[
G^\theta_1 = -\rho_0 \cdot \mathbf{R} + \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \theta} \cdot \mathbf{V} \ln B + \frac{v_{\parallel}}{\Omega} a_2 : \hat{\mathbf{V}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \frac{mv_{\parallel}^2}{2\mu B} (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{V}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \theta}),
\]

where we used the definitions for the gyroangle-dependent rotating unit vectors \( \hat{\rho} \equiv \cos \theta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 - \sin \theta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{V}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \equiv \mathbf{V}/|\mathbf{V}| = \hat{\rho} \hat{\mathbf{b}}/\partial \theta \), expressed in terms of the fixed unit vectors \( (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2, \hat{\mathbf{b}} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2) \), so that the gyrogauge vector \( \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{V} \perp \hat{\rho} \equiv \mathbf{V} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \) is gyroangle independent and the dyadic (traceless) tensors

\[
a_1 = -\frac{1}{2} (\hat{\rho} \hat{\mathbf{I}} + \hat{\mathbf{I}} \hat{\rho}) = \frac{1}{2}[(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 - \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2) \sin 2\theta + (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1) \cos 2\theta]
\]

and \( a_2 = \int a_1 d\theta \) are gyroangle dependent. In (A1)–(A4), \( \rho_{gc} \equiv T^{-1}_{gc} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X} = \rho_0 + \epsilon_B \rho_1 + \cdots \) denotes the guiding-center gyroradius, where \( T^{-1}_{gc} \) denotes the guiding-center push-forward operator (see below) and \( \rho_1 \) is the first-order correction to the lowest-order gyroradius vector \( \rho_0 \).

In a non-uniform magnetic field, the guiding-center pull-back operator is expressed as

\[
T_{gc} \equiv \exp \left[ -\rho_0 \cdot \mathbf{V} + \epsilon_B \left( G^{p_\parallel}_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\parallel} + G^\mu_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + G^\theta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + G^x_2 \cdot \mathbf{V} \right) + \cdots \right],
\]
and the guiding-center push-forward operator $T_{gc}^{-1}$ can be used to construct the guiding-center gyroradius vector

$$\rho_{ge} = \rho_0 + \epsilon_B \left[ \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 \cdot \nabla \rho_0 - \frac{1}{2} \left( G_1^{\mu} \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \mu} + G_1^{\theta} \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \theta} \right) - G_2^{x} \right] + \cdots, \quad (A6)$$

where $\rho_0 \cdot \nabla \rho_0 = - \frac{1}{2} \left( \rho_0 \cdot \nabla \ln B \right) \rho_0 - \left( \rho_0 \cdot \nabla \hat{b} \cdot \rho_0 \right) \hat{b} - \left( \rho_0 \cdot \mathbf{R} \right) \partial \rho_0 / \partial \theta$.

The Jacobian for the guiding-center transformation is expressed (up to first order in $\epsilon_B$) as

$$J_{gc} \equiv J - \epsilon_B \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^\alpha} (\mathcal{J} G_1^\alpha) = B + \epsilon_B \nabla \cdot \left( B \rho_0 \right) - \epsilon_B B \left( \frac{\partial G_1^{\mu}}{\partial p_{||}} + \frac{\partial G_1^{\theta}}{\partial \mu} + \frac{\partial G_1^{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \right) = B \left( 1 + \epsilon_B \frac{v_{||} \hat{b} \cdot \nabla \hat{b}}{\Omega} \right) \equiv B^*_1. \quad (A7)$$

The guiding-center Poisson bracket, on the other hand, is expressed in terms of two arbitrary functions $F$ and $G$ as

$$\{F, G\}_{gc} = \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial w} \frac{\partial G}{\partial w} \right) + \frac{\Omega}{B} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mu} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mu} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{B^*_2}{B_{||}} \cdot \left( \nabla F \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_{||}} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{||}} \nabla G \right) - \frac{e \hat{b}}{qB_{||}} \cdot (\nabla F \times \nabla G), \quad (A8)$$

where $B^*_2 \equiv B + \epsilon_B (p_{||} c/q) \nabla \times \hat{b}$ and $B^*_1 \equiv \hat{b} \cdot B^*$.

Lastly, the guiding-center pull-back operator (A5) and the guiding-center Jacobian (A7) can be used to obtain the push-forward representation of the particle Vlasov-moment integral

$$\| \chi \| = \int d^6 z \mathcal{J} \delta^3(x - r) T_{ge} F = \int d^6 z \mathcal{J} \rho_{ge} T_{ge}^{-1} \chi \delta^3(X + \rho_{ge} - r) F, \quad (A9)$$

where $\chi$ is an arbitrary function in particle phase space and we used the identity

$$\mathcal{J} S - \epsilon_B \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^\alpha} (\mathcal{J} G_1^\alpha S) + \cdots = \mathcal{J}_{ge} \left( S - \epsilon_B G_1^\alpha \frac{\partial S}{\partial Z^\alpha} + \cdots \right) \equiv \mathcal{J}_{ge} T_{ge}^{-1} S.$$

If we now expand the delta function $\delta^3(X + \rho_{ge} - r)$ in powers of $\rho_{ge}$ and integrate by parts, we obtain the guiding-center push-forward representation

$$\| \chi \| \equiv \| T_{ge}^{-1} \chi \|_{ge} - \nabla \cdot \| \rho_{ge} T_{ge}^{-1} \chi \|_{ge} + \cdots, \quad (A10)$$

which enables us to write particle fluid moments in terms of guiding-center fluid moments.
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