Genetic mapping reveals that sinefungin resistance in Toxoplasma gondii is controlled by a putative amino acid transporter locus that can be used as a negative selectable marker
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Quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping studies have been integral in identifying and understanding virulence mechanisms in the parasite *Toxoplasma gondii*. In this study, we interrogated a different phenotype by mapping sinefungin (SNF) resistance in the genetic cross between type 2 ME49-FUDR\(^r\) and type 10 VAND-SNF\(^r\). The genetic map of this cross was generated by whole-genome sequencing of the progeny and subsequent identification of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) inherited from the parents. Based on this high-density genetic map, we were able to pinpoint the sinefungin resistance phenotype to one significant locus on chromosome IX. Within this locus, a single nonsynonymous SNP (nsSNP) resulting in an early stop codon in the TGVAND\_290860 gene was identified, occurring only in the sinefungin-resistant progeny. Using CRISPR/CAS9, we were able to confirm that targeted disruption of TGVAND\_290860 renders parasites sinefungin resistant. Because disruption of the SNR1 gene confers resistance, we also show that it can be used as a negative selectable marker to insert either a positive drug selection cassette or a heterologous reporter. These data demonstrate the power of combining classical genetic mapping, whole-genome sequencing, and CRISPR-mediated gene disruption for combined forward and reverse genetic strategies in *T. gondii*.

*Toxoplasma gondii* is an intracellular parasite that infects a broad range of mammals and birds from around the world (1). The ubiquitous prevalence of the parasite is due to several aspects of the parasite’s life cycle, including the ability to infect all mammalian nucleated cells, the ability to reside in intermediate hosts for long periods by forming dormant bradyzoite cysts, and the ability to produce millions of environmentally resistant oocysts shed from felids, the definitive host for *T. gondii*. During sexual recombination can generate recombinant genotypes that can cause disease and even death if untreated (4). Due to its high prevalence, humans are commonly exposed to the parasite through consumption of undercooked meat or ingestion of oocysts that contaminate food and water (2, 3). Infection by the parasite very rarely leads to complications in healthy individuals, but in individuals with compromised immune systems, the parasite very rarely leads to complications in healthy individuals, but in individuals with compromised immune systems, the parasite can cause disease and even death if untreated (4). Due to concerted efforts to study this opportunistic pathogen, many new molecular biology techniques have been developed to interrogate the biology of the parasite and its interaction with the host (5, 6).

One powerful approach has been the use of forward genetics in *Toxoplasma gondii*. Within its intermediate hosts, such as the mouse, the parasite is haploid and divides by mitosis, whereas following development of enteric stages in felids, the parasite is shed as a spore-like stage that undergoes meiosis in the environment. Sexual recombination can generate recombinant genotypes when multiple *T. gondii* genotypes coinfect a felid. The ability to complete the life cycle in the laboratory has been utilized to create several genetic crosses that have yielded important insights into virulence mechanisms employed by the parasite to resist host immune responses (7, 8). To facilitate these crosses, each parent was made resistant to an individual drug so that recombinant progeny could be readily acquired with double drug selection.

The natural S-adenosylmethionine (AdoMet) analog sinefungin (SNF) has been used as a potent inhibitor of *T. gondii* growth for several decades (9), yet the target of the drug remains unknown. Sinefungin-resistant (SNF\(^r\)) lines can be obtained by treating parasites with the mutagen N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea (ENU), as described previously (10). SNF\(^r\) was used in early genetic crosses between cloned lines of the type 3 CTG strain in studies that described the frequency of out-crossing and selfing among independently marked strains (11). Subsequently, SNF\(^r\) strains have been useful in the generation of several genetic crosses, as they allow for drug selection of recombinant progeny (12–14). Quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping has been used to map the basis of SNF\(^r\) to chromosome IX (15), although the precise locus responsible has not been identified. A recently described cross between type 2 ME49-FUDR\(^r\) and type 10 VAND-SNF\(^r\) parental lines (type 2 \(\times\) type 10 cross) used the parental strain VAND, which was resistant to sinefungin (39). Importantly, the genomes of the progeny of this cross were sequenced in order to generate a genetic map, which was constructed by identifying single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) inherited from the parents (39).

To take advantage of this genome-wide information, we sought to map and identify the causal resistance mutation inher-
FIG 1 Sinefungin resistance phenotype in the parents and progeny of the ME49-FUDRr × VAND-SNFs cross. (A) Cloned progeny were grown in the presence of 3 × 10^{-7} M SNF and assessed for the ability to grown in the presence of drug. All doubly drug-selected progeny (VBSF) and 7 of 13 non-drug-selected progeny were SNF resistant. Phenotypes are shown as a binary trait where SNF^r is scored as 1 and SNF^s is scored as 0. (B) QTL mapping of the SNF resistance phenotype produced a significant peak in the middle of chromosome IX with a LOD score of 6.57. Confidence thresholds based on 1,000 random permutations of the data are shown. (C) Genomic reads for the progeny were aligned to the VAND-SNFs reference genome, and SNPs were identified. The region on IX spanning the QTL peak was assessed for SNPs present in SNF-resistant progeny (yellow) and not present in the SNF-sensitive progeny. Doubly drug-resistant progeny are shown in red lettering. One SNP matching this pattern (highlighted in red) was found at position 3901106 on chromosome IX. A small region containing SNF locus SNPs surrounding the T → A mutation is shown.
ited in the SNF² progeny. SNF² was mapped to a single locus encoding a putative amino acid transporter. We then used the newly adapted CRISPR technology (16) to disrupt this gene in T. gondii and confirm its role in sinefungin resistance. Because disruption of the gene confers drug resistance, we show that it can be used as a negative selectable marker to make transgenic parasites, which suffer no loss of virulence in vivo.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Parasite culture. Toxoplasma gondii strains RH, CTG, and CTG-SNF² (13, 17), GT1 and GT1-SNF² (14), and VAND and VAND-SNF² and the progeny of the VAND-SNF² × ME49-FUDR⁷ genetic cross (39) were maintained as tachyzoites in human foreskin fibroblasts (HHFs) in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DME) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), gentamycin, and glutamine, referred to as D10. For harvests, parasites were isolated from HHFs by force needle passage and filtering and subsequently counted on a hemacytometer.

Mapping of the SNF² phenotype in ME49-FUDR⁷ × VAND-SNF² progeny. A total of 24 progeny were assessed for sinefungin resistance by growth in 3 × 10⁻⁷ M sinefungin propagated in T25 flask HHF cultures with D10. The progeny were coded based on no growth (score of 0; sinefungin sensitive [SNF²]) and growth (score of 1; SNF²). A primary scan with a binary phenotype for SNF² was run in QTL v1.3.4 with 1,000 FUDRr used as an additive covariate and run in a secondary QTL scan. Sibley, submitted for publication). The primary QTL on chromosome IX was used as an additive covariate and run in a secondary QTL scan.

Sequence reads for the progeny and parental strains from the ME49-FUDR⁷ × VAND-SNF² cross were deposited to the NCBI short-read archive and are available at the following link: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/258152. To identify SNPs, Illumina reads for the 24 progeny were individually aligned to the VAND (SNF² sensitive) (GenBank accession number AEY00000000.1) reference genome sol CLC Genomics version 7.0.3 with the following parameters: mismatch cost, 3; insertion cost, 3; deletion cost, 3; length fraction, 0.9; similarity fraction, 0.8; and global alignment. The Quality-Based Variant Detection tool in CLC Genomics was used to identify SNPs across all 14 chromosomes with a minimum coverage of 10. VAND scaffolds corresponding to the QTL peak on chromosome IX were identified and SNPs included in this region for all progeny were imported into Excel. To find any SNP(s) associated with SNF², a primary scan with a binary phenotype for SNF² was run in QTL v1.3.4 with 1,000 FUDRr used as an additive covariate and run in a secondary QTL scan. Sibley, submitted for publication). The primary QTL on chromosome IX was used as an additive covariate and run in a secondary QTL scan.

CRISPR sgRNA plasmid construction. CRISPR small guide RNA (sgRNA) plasmids targeting the TGVAND_290860 orthologue were constructed as previously described (16). Briefly, six sgRNA targets 20 bp long (sgRNA-C1 to -6) were identified in TGVAND_290860 (highlighted green in Table S1 in the supplemental material) upstream of protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) sites adjacent to locations 45, 437, 777, 1,166, 1,517, and 1,730 bp from the start of the CDS. These sgRNAs were incorporated into primers (see respective set 3 primers in Table S1) containing sequence priming off the CRISPR template pSAG1::CAS9-U6::sgRNA plasmid (Addgene plasmid 54467) and used to amplify the plasmid containing a new sgRNA target in Q5 Hot-Start high-fidelity PCR (NEB). PCR products were then processed in Q5 site-directed mutagenesis KLD (NEB) reactions, plasmid clones were identified with restriction enzyme digestion, and sequences were verified. Plasmid containing sgRNA-C6, named pSAG1::CAS9-U6::sg290860-6, was deposited at Addgene, under principal investigator David Sibley, Plasmid 59855.

CRISPR-generated SNF² RH strain parasites. RH strain parasites were harvested as described above and resuspended at 5 × 10⁶ per 150 µl in CytoMix. A total of 7 µg of plasmid was combined with 150 µl of parasites and electroporated using a BTX Harvard Apparatus ECM 830 electroporator using the following mode: high voltage (HV), 1,700 V, and 174 µs. Electroporated parasites were allowed to grow for 2 days and selected with 3 × 10⁻⁷ M SNF. For each of the C1 to -6 electroporations, parasites began to grow out of SNF selection within 3 days. Parasites were subcolonized to 96-well plates after an additional passage in SNF, and parasites were allowed to form for 9 days. Single clones or plaques were identified and expanded to create genomic lysates in order to sequence verify the CRISPR-targeted disruption of TGVAND_290860.

Sequencing of the target locus in CRISPR-generated SNF² clones. To sequence the SNF² clones, genomics lysates for C1 to -6 SNF² clones were prepared and used as the template to amplify the TGVAND_290860 CDS using the set 4 primers (see Table S1 in the supplemental material) in a Q5 high-fidelity PCR (NEB). Amplified PCR products were sent to GeneWiz and sequenced using the respective set 5 primers (see Table S1). The sequence was aligned to the SNF² RH consensus for TGVAND_290860, and differences (indels or large insertions) near the CRISPR target sequence were identified.

Plaque assay of SNF² parasites generated by CRISPR. RH strain parasites were electroporated as described above, except with 3 × 10⁶ parasites and 2 µg of either sgRNA-C6 or the sgRNA-UPRT negative-control plasmid. Parasites were inoculated onto HHF monolayers and allowed to expand for 2 days. The percentage of green fluorescent protein (GFP)-
positive parasites was determined by examination on a Zeiss Axio Observer.Z1 microscope (Zeiss). Parasites were then harvested, filtered, counted, and then passaged to 6-well plates at 1 \times 10^5, 1 \times 10^4, or 1 \times 10^3 per well in selection with 3 \times 10^{-7} M SNF. Plaques were allowed to form for 10 days, plates were then fixed with 70% ethanol and stained with 0.05% crystal violet, and plaques were counted.

Plaque assay of SNF^r parasites generated by CRISPR plus a DHFR^r cassette. The pyrimethamine selection fragment was amplified from a plasmid containing the pyrimethamine-resistant dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR^r) resistance cassette using the set 6 primers (see Table S1 in the supplemental material) in a Q5 high-fidelity PCR. RH strain parasites were electroporated as described above, except with 2 \times 10^6 parasites,
with 2 µg of either sgRNA-C6, sgRNA-C1, or the sgRNA-UPRT negative-control plasmid and 400 ng of purified DHFR* resistance cassette PCR product. Parasites were allowed to expand for 2 days and then passaged into new HFF monolayers with 10 µM pyrimethamine selection. After expansion in pyrimethamine, parasites were harvested as described above and inoculated into 6-well plates at 1 × 10^5 or 1 × 10^6 per well with selection with 3 × 10^{-7} M SNF. Plaques were allowed to form for 7 days; 6-well plates were then fixed with 70% ethanol and stained with 0.05% crystal violet, and plaques were counted. Clones were obtained by limiting dilution into 96-well plates for both SNFr sgRNA-C6 and sgRNA-C1 samples, and 11 clones per sample type were screen for DHFR* integration using respective set 7 primers (see Table S1). The amplified S2/D1 band (see Fig. 5A and Table S1) for one sgRNA-C6 clone was sequenced at the respective target site. The sequence from around the guide RNA is shown for the RH wild type and clones from C1 to C6, as indicated. Clones exhibited small indels or large insertions. PAM, protospacer adjacent motif. Numbering refers to the bp position in the TGVAND_290860 CDS.

RESULTS

Mapping of SNF^r in the ME49-FUDR^r × VAND-SNF^r cross. Although SNF^r has previously been mapped to chromosome IX using a restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP)-based linkage map based on the type 1 GT1-FUDR^r × type 3 CTG-SNF^ARA^r cross (17), the low resolution of this map has precluded identification of the responsible gene. Sinefungin resistance was assessed in 24 of the progeny from the newly conducted ME49-FUDR^r × VAND-SNF^r cross. All 11 doubly drug (5-fluorodeoxyuridine [FUDR] and SNF) (39)-selected progeny (name ending in “SF”) were SNF^r, whereas 7 of 13 unselected progeny (name ending in “VB”) were SNF^r (Fig. 1A). The SNF^r phenotype was mapped in jQTL as a binary distribution using the ME49-FUDR^r × VAND-SNF^r genetic map generated previously (39). The primary scan generated one significant peak with a log of odds ratio (LOD) score of 6.57 on chromosome IX between markers MV358 and MV365, corresponding to ME49 genomic region IX:3187822-4202044 (Fig. 1B). A secondary scan of the SNF^r phenotype with the locus on IX used as a covariate failed to generate additional significant peaks (data not shown).

Because the VAND-SNF^r parental strain was isolated following treatment with the mutagen ENU, we reasoned that resistance in the parent may have arisen from a mutation that would have been inherited in the SNF^r progeny. We took advantage of the genomic assembly that exists for VAND that was sequenced from a parental strain (GenBank accession number AEYJ00000000.1). The genomic reads for each of the 24 progeny were aligned to the VAND-SNF^r genome, and SNPs were identified within the QTL for SNF^r. Importantly, all SNF^r progeny inherited the SNF locus from VAND, whereas the SNF^s strains inherited this region from the ME49-FUDR^r parent (Fig. 1C). The genomic sequences within the QTL were scanned for SNPs common to all SNF^r progeny and absent from SNF^s progeny. Only one SNP meeting this criterion was found, a T → A substitution located at IX:3901106, or bp 1731 in the CDS of TGVAND_290860.
resulting in an early stop codon in this gene (Fig. 1C and 2). This SNP pattern matched the SNF\(^{\circ}\) phenotype in the progeny exactly, which is reflected in the estimate that the SNF locus on IX accounts for 100% of the phenotype effect size. To verify the presence of this SNP, the TGVAND\_290860 gene was amplified and Sanger sequenced from genomic lysate of the VAND SNF\(^{a}\) and VAND SNF\(^{b}\) parents, the P1\_16VB SNF\(^{a}\) progeny, and the P1\_49VBSF SNF\(^{b}\) progeny. Only the VAND SNF\(^{a}\) parent and P1\_49VBSF SNF\(^{b}\) progeny had the T \(\rightarrow\) A mutation resulting in the early stop, C577\# (Fig. 2).

Other crosses have used SNF\(^{a}\) parents, notably a type 1 \(\times\) type 3 cross, which used a type 3 CTG-SNF\(^{a}\)ARA\(^{a}\) strain (13), and a type 1 \(\times\) type 2 cross, which used a type 1 GT1-SNF\(^{a}\) strain (14). To see if these SNF\(^{a}\) strains also contained mutations in the orthologous genes in these backgrounds, the corresponding CDS was amplified and sequenced from both SNF\(^{a}\) and SNF\(^{b}\) lines of these strains. Indeed, the GT1-SNF\(^{a}\) strain contained a single nonsynonymous SNP resulting in a threonine-to-lysine residue change, T136K, and there was a large deletion in the CTG-SNF\(^{a}\) strain, resulting in the loss of 34 amino acids, and then a frameshift and an early stop codon in this gene (Fig. 2A). This mutation seen at bp 1731 of the TGVAND\_290860 CDS in the GT1-SNF\(^{a}\) strain was also found in the CTG-SNF\(^{a}\) strain (Fig. 2A). Various membrane-bound transporters have been identified as drug resistance genes in Plasmodium parasites, and in some cases increases in copy number variation (CNV) of these transporters were associated with drug resistance (22, 23). Given this prior pattern, we determined whether the predicted transporter TGVAND\_290860, and all the other genes within the SNF locus, had CNV in the progeny of the type 2 \(\times\) type 10 cross. CNV estimates for TGVAND\_290860 in the 24 progeny were all very near the 1 copy number mean for the genome, as shown for the P1\_49VBSF SNF\(^{a}\) progeny (Fig. 3B). In addition, all genes within the SNF locus were not amplified for all progeny, ruling out CNV as a potential driver of SNF\(^{a}\).

**CRISPR disruption of TGVAND\_290860 results in SNF\(^{a}\).** To confirm that disruption of TGVAND\_290860 results in SNF\(^{a}\), we used the CRISPR-based technology recently adapted to *T. gondii* (16). A total of six small guide RNA (sgRNA) target sites, C1 to C6, were chosen that were relatively evenly spaced across TGVAND\_290860 (Fig. 3C), with the last sgRNA, C6, having the protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) motif starting at the T \(\rightarrow\) A mutation seen at bp 1731 of the TGVAND\_290860 CDS in the SNF\(^{a}\) progeny (Fig. 4). CRISPR constructs containing the sgRNAs targeting the TGVAND\_290860 orthologue were individually electroporated into RH strain parasites. After SNF treatment, the parasites with the C1 to C6 electroporations quickly recovered and expanded within 3 to 4 days. When parasites were electroporated with a negative-control sgRNA targeting the uracil phosphoribosyltransferase (UPRT) gene, they either never recovered (two samples) or slowly grew out of SNF selection after more than a week (one sample), presumably due to spontaneous mutations that confer resistance. To examine the basis of resistance, parasites from the SNF\(^{a}\) sgRNA-UPRT population were cloned, and the orthologue of the TGVAND\_290860 gene was sequenced from one clone; it was found to have a single SNP resulting in a P561R residue change (Fig. 2). Although not directly demonstrated, this alteration might be the basis for SNF\(^{a}\) of this spontaneous mutant.

To verify that the CRISPR constructs were disrupting the TGVAND\_290860 orthologue, the C1 to C6 SNF\(^{a}\) parasites were cloned and the sgRNA target regions were sequenced from two clones for each of the targeted regions. In each case, there was a disruption just upstream of the PAM anchoring the sgRNA (Fig. 4). Many CRISPR-disrupted SNF\(^{a}\) clones contained small indels resulting in frameshifts. Two clones, C1-1 and C4-1, had insertions of 68 and 87 bp, respectively (Fig. 4). These results show that the TGVAND\_290860 orthologue can be disrupted along the length of the gene to render parasites sinefungin resistant.

The efficiency of obtaining SNF\(^{a}\) parasites using CRISPR to target the TGVAND\_290860 orthologue by disruption was determined by plaque assay. RH parasites electroporated with either the

**TABLE 1** Plaque assay of CRISPR-transfected parasites

| sgRNA     | Expt | % GFP-positive parasites | No. of SNF\(^{a}\) plaques at indicated no. of parasites/well | % efficiency of SNF\(^{a}\) at indicated no. of parasites/well |
|-----------|------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|           |      |                          | \(1 \times 10^{5}\) 2 \(\times 10^{5}\) 2 \(\times 10^{6}\) 2 \(\times 10^{7}\) | \(1 \times 10^{5}\) 2 \(\times 10^{5}\) 2 \(\times 10^{6}\) 2 \(\times 10^{7}\) |
| sgRNA-C6  | 1    | 13.7                     | 301 58 19                                                   | 2.2 2.1 6.9 3.7                                             |
|           | 2    | 11.6                     | 587 61 26                                                   | 5 2.6 11.2 6.2                                             |
| sgRNA-UPRT| 1    | 5                        | 0 0 0                                                      | 0 0 0 0                                                   |
|           | 2    | 9.3                      | 0 0 0                                                      | 0 0 0 0                                                   |

* Calculated as no. of plaques/(no. of seeded parasites \(\times\) percent GFP positive).

TABLE 2 Plaque assay of CRISPR-transfected parasites

| sgRNA     | No. of SNF\(^{a}\) plaques at indicated no. of parasites/well | No. of integrants/no. of clones tested |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|           | \(1 \times 10^{5}\) 2 \(\times 10^{5}\) % SNP\(^{a}\) |                                      |
| sgRNA-C6  | Lysed 89 8.9                                                 | 9/11                                 |
| sgRNA-C1  | Lysed 64 6.4                                                 | 7/11                                 |
| sgRNA-UPRT| 0 0 0                                                       | 0                                    |

* A DHFR\(^{a}\) selection cassette was used in all cases.

* Calculated as no. of plaques/no. of seeded parasites.
sgRNA-C6 or the sgRNA-UPRT negative-control plasmid were seeded into 6-well plates and selected with SNF. Plaques were observed after SNF selection in all wells seeded with sgRNA-C6-containing parasites, whereas no plaques were observed in wells containing parasites with the sgRNA-UPRT negative control. When taking into account the transfection efficiency, the average efficiency of obtaining SNFr parasites by disrupting the TGVAND_290860 orthologue across two experiments was 5% (Table 1).

Use of SNFr as a negative selectable marker. The ability to target TGVAND_290860 and render parasites SNF r opened the possibility of using this locus as a negative selectable marker in *T. gondii*. Although we were able to obtain SNFr parasites with all six CRISPR constructs to disrupt the gene, we were interested in assessing the efficiency of inserting a cassette into the TGVAND_290860 orthologue. We chose to cotransfect sgRNA-C6, sgRNA-C1, or the sgRNA-UPRT negative-control plasmid with PCR amplification of the pyrimethamine-resistant DHFR (DHFR*) drug selection cassette (24). Parasites were first selected with pyrimethamine, then seeded into 6-well plates, and selected with SNF in a plaque assay. Plaques were seen only in wells receiving a CRISPR plasmid targeting the TGVAND_290860 orthologue. The average efficiency of using disruption of the TGVAND_290860 orthologue as a negative selectable marker was 8.9% using sgRNA-C6 and 6.4% using sgRNA-C1 (Table 2). To verify integration of the DHFR* cassette into the TGVAND_290860 orthologue, 11 clones for each of the SNFr sgRNA-C6 and sgRNA-C1 samples were PCR screened; 9/11 (82%) and 7/11 (64%) clones, respectively, were positive for integration on at least one side of the sgRNA target (Table 2). For example, one sgRNA-C6 clone was positive for an integration of DHFR* on one side of the cut TGVAND_290860 target, where one band, S2/D1, of the expected size of approximately 1,500 bp was amplified (Fig. 5A). This band was sequenced, which confirmed the integration of the DHFR* cassette into TGVAND_290860 in the orientation shown in Fig. 5A.

To extend the possibilities of utilizing TGVAND_290860 disruption as a means of making transgenic parasites, a cassette containing mCherry was used as a heterologous reporter. RH strain parasites cotransfected with sgRNA-C6 and a PCR amplicon consisting of the GRA1p-mCherry-SAG1_3′ UTR cassette lacking sequences homologous to the TGVAND_290860 orthologue were selected with SNF. Clones were obtained after SNF selection and screened for live mCherry fluorescence. From two independent transfections, 1/37 and 1/56 parasite clones were mCherry positive. Integration was verified by PCR in one of the mCherry-positive clones. Bands of the expected size were seen for two primer sets, S1/M2 at approximately 1,050 bp in size and S2/M2 at approximately 600 bp in size, suggesting that the clone contains at least two copies of the mCherry cassette (Fig. 5B). Both bands were sequenced to confirm the integration of mCherry in orientation shown in Fig. 5B. When examined by microscopy, a bright mCherry signal was detected diffusely in the parasite cytosol.
(Fig. 5C). To ensure that the integration of the reporter did not affect parasite viability, the mCherry-positive SNF<sup>r</sup> clone was tested in mice, and it showed no loss of acute virulence (Fig. 5C). Also, there was no difference in the in vitro growth of the SNF<sup>r</sup> clone compared to that of the WT parasites as determined by plaque assay (Fig. 5D).

**DISCUSSION**

We have combined the power of forward genetics and genome-wide sequencing to identify the gene responsible for SNF<sup>r</sup> in *T. gondii*. With the advent of affordable high-throughput sequencing, progeny of crosses can now be fully sequenced in order to generate a genetic map for use in forward genetic studies. Although SNF<sup>r</sup> had been previously mapped to a significant locus in the type 1 GT1-FUDR<sup>r</sup> × type 3 CTG-SNF<sup>r</sup>ARA<sup>r</sup> cross (17), it was not until the whole-genome-sequencing based genetic map of the ME49-FUDR<sup>r</sup> × VAND-SNF<sup>r</sup> cross (39) was generated that identification of the causal SNF<sup>r</sup> mutation became tractable. Having identified the gene responsible, we further showed that disruption of this locus can be used for expression of heterologous genes using a negative selection strategy.

An additional technology that was critical to completing this study was the adaption of CRISPR to *T. gondii* (16, 25). Early attempts to disrupt the orthologue of TGVAND_290860 in the RHΔku80 parasite (26), either by gene knockout or by truncation, were unsuccessful. The RHΔku80 parasite is deficient in nonhomologous DNA end joining, and consequently, it integrates DNA using homologous recombination as the default repair pathway. This should have allowed us to target the TGVAND_290860 orthologue for disruption, but we were unable to obtain a knockout or an allelic replacement with the SNF<sup>r</sup> allele from the VAND strain after selection with SNF (data not shown). We are not certain why this approach was refractory to targeting the TGVAND_290860 orthologue, but this may result from the low efficiency of homologous integration. On the other hand, we were readily able to disrupt TGVAND_290860 at various points along the length of the gene using CRISPR technology. The combination of specificity provided by the sgRNA targeting TGVAND_290860 and subsequent DNA cleavage just upstream of the PAM sequence by Cas9 allowed us to easily obtain SNF<sup>r</sup> parasites, thus confirming that TGVAND_290860 is the SNF resistance gene mapped in the type 2 × type 10 cross. Based on these criteria, we have renamed the locus SNRI, for the gene encoding sinefungin resistance.

Targeted disruption of SNRI results in SNF resistance, making it suitable for use in negative-selection strategies, thus increasing the tool kit available for reverse genetic studies with *T. gondii*. Disruption of SNRI can be used as a negative-selection marker both with a drug selection cassette (DHFR<sup>+</sup>) and as a neutral locus for expression of heterologous reporters such as mCherry. Rendering parasites SNF<sup>r</sup> by targeting SNRI has no effect on the parasites’ ability to grow or cause disease in the mouse model, as is also the case with virulent GT1-SNF<sup>r</sup> (14) and VAND-SNF<sup>r</sup> (39) parental lines used to generate crosses. In addition, studies using SNF<sup>r</sup> parental lines to generate genetic crosses demonstrate that the SNF<sup>r</sup> lines were developmentally competent, as they produced viable in vivo-derived bradyzoite cysts which were able to complete the life cycle by producing recombinant oocysts in cats. For these reasons, disruption of SNRI to confer sinefungin resistance should be a useful complement to the widely used negative selection strategy based on disruption of the uracil phosphoribosyl transferase (*UPRT*) locus using FUDR (27). For instance, to make transgenic parasites with two different expression cassettes, both FUDR and SNF could be employed to target cassette integration at *UPRT* and SNRI, respectively. Additionally the high efficiency of CRISPR for gene targeting will allow future studies to make use of gene disruption to render parasites resistant to FUDR (*UPRT* gene), adenine arabinoside (adenosine kinase [AK] gene) (28), or SNF (SNRI gene). This strategy will allow efficient tagging of strains without the use of ENU, which introduces many other mutations into the genome.

In addition to *T. gondii*, there are other parasites that are sensitive to SNF from which resistance has been demonstrated. For example, *Leishmania* was found to be sensitive to sinefungin (29), resistant lines were eventually obtained (30), and it was shown that the sinefungin uptake system was the same as that used for AdoMet (31) based on a transporter called AdoMet1 (32). TGVAND_290860 and AdoMet1 are both membrane transporters responsible for SNF<sup>r</sup>, yet they share little sequence similarity. However, by analogy to the *Leishmania* system, it seems likely that TGVAND_290860 functions as a transporter of sinefungin and that its disruption confers resistance due to loss of uptake. This hypothesis could be tested by examining the various SNF<sup>r</sup> mutants created in this study to determine if they influence transcription, translation, protein stability, location, or transport functions. Trypanosomes are also sensitive to sinefungin (33), and although sinefungin can inhibit the uptake of AdoMet in *Trypanosoma brucei* (34), the transporter responsible for this is unknown. Similarly, sinefungin-resistant lines have been made in *Plasmodium falciparum* (35), but the gene responsible for resistance remains unidentified. With the advent of CRISPR technology in *Plasmodium* (36–38), transporters with homology to either the *Leishmania* AdoMet1 (32) or *T. gondii* SNRI genes can be disrupted and tested for whether they confer SNF<sup>r</sup>, possibly providing a useful selectable marker.

Our studies demonstrate the power of combining classical genetic mapping, whole-genome sequencing, and CRISPR-mediated gene disruption for combined forward and reverse genetic strategies in *T. gondii*. This combination of strategies should allow mapping of complex phenotypes to specific mutations or SNPs and rapid assessment of the biological phenotypes they control by targeted mutation and or disruption.
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