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Abstract

This chapter is an attempt to present a mathematical theory of compound fractional Poisson processes. The chapter begins with the characterization of a well-known Lévy process: The compound Poisson process. The semi-Markov extension of the compound Poisson process naturally leads to the compound fractional Poisson process, where the Poisson counting process is replaced by the Mittag-Leffler counting process also known as fractional Poisson process. This process is no longer Markovian and Lévy. However, several analytical results are available and some of them are discussed here. The functional limit of the compound Poisson process is an $\alpha$-stable Lévy process, whereas in the case of the compound fractional Poisson process, one gets an $\alpha$-stable Lévy process subordinated to the fractional Poisson process.

PACS numbers:
I. INTRODUCTORY NOTES

This chapter is an attempt to present a mathematical theory of compound fractional Poisson processes. It is not completely self-contained. The proofs of some statements can be found in widely available textbooks or papers. In several cases, freely downloadable versions of these papers can be easily retrieved.

The chapter begins with the characterization of a well-known Lévy process: The compound Poisson process. This process is extensively discussed in the classical books by Feller [1] and de Finetti [2].

The semi-Markov extension of the compound Poisson process naturally leads to the compound fractional Poisson process, where the Poisson counting process is replaced by the Mittag-Leffler counting process also called fractional Poisson process [3, 4]. This process is no longer Markovian and Lévy. However, several analytical results are available and some of them are discussed below.

The functional limit of the compound Poisson process is an $\alpha$-stable Lévy process, whereas in the case of the compound fractional Poisson process, one gets an $\alpha$-stable Lévy process subordinated to the fractional Poisson process.

I became interested in these processes as possible models for tick-by-tick financial data. The main results obtained by my co-workers and myself are described in a review paper for physicists [5].

The reader interested in Monte Carlo simulations can consult two recent papers [6, 7] where algorithms are presented to simulate the fractional compound Poisson process.

II. COMPOUND POISSON PROCESS AND GENERALIZATIONS

Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) real-valued random variables with cumulative distribution function $F_X(x)$, and let $N(t), \ t \geq 0$ denote the Poisson process. Further assume that the i.i.d. sequence and the Poisson process are independent. We have the following

Definition II.1 (Compound Poisson process). The stochastic process

$$Y(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i$$

(1)
is called compound Poisson process.

Here, we shall consider the one-dimensional case only. The extension of many results to \( \mathbb{R}^d \) is often straightforward. The compound Poisson process can be seen as a random walk subordinated to a Poisson process; in other words, it is a random sum of independent and identically distributed random variables. It turns out that the compound Poisson process is a Lévy process.

**Definition II.2** (Lévy process). A stochastic process \( Y(t), t \geq 0 \) with \( Y(0) = 0 \) is a Lévy process if the following three conditions are fulfilled

1. **(independent increments)** if \( t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n \), the increments \( Y(t_2) - Y(t_1), \ldots, Y(t_n) - Y(t_{n-1}) \) are independent random variables;

2. **(time-homogeneous increments)** the law of the increment \( Y(t + \Delta t) - Y(t) \) does not depend on \( t \);

3. **(stochastic continuity)** \( \forall a > 0 \), one has that \( \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \mathbb{P}(|Y(t + \Delta t) - Y(t)| \geq a) = 0 \).

Loosely speaking, one can say that Lévy processes are stochastic processes with stationary and independent increments. Due to Kolmogorov’s extension theorem \[8\], a stochastic process is characterized by its finite dimensional distributions. In the case of a Lévy process, the knowledge of the law of \( Y(\Delta t) \) is sufficient to compute any finite dimensional distribution. Let us denote by \( f_{Y(\Delta t)}(y, \Delta t) \) the probability density function of \( Y(\Delta t) \)

\[
f_{Y(\Delta t)}(y, \Delta t) \, dy \, dy_2 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{P}(Y(\Delta t) \in dy).
\]  

As an example, suppose you want to know the joint density function \( f_{Y(t_1), Y(t_2)}(y_1, t_1; y_2, t_2) \) defined as

\[
f_{Y(t_1), Y(t_2)}(y_1, t_1; y_2, t_2) \, dy_1 \, dy_2 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{P}(Y(t_1) \in dy_1, Y(t_2) \in dy_2).
\]  

This is given by

\[
f_{Y(t_1), Y(t_2)}(y_1, t_1; y_2, t_2) \, dy_1 \, dy_2 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{P}(Y(t_1) \in dy_1, Y(t_2) \in dy_2) \\
= \mathbb{P}(Y(t_2) \in dy_2 | Y(t_1) \in dy_1) \mathbb{P}(Y(t_1) \in dy_1) \\
= \mathbb{P}(Y(t_2) - Y(t_1) \in d(y_2 - y_1)) \mathbb{P}(Y(t_1) \in dy_1) \\
= f_{Y(t_2) - Y(t_1)}(y_2 - y_1, t_2 - t_1) f_{Y(t_1)}(y_1, t_1) \, dy_1 \, dy_2,
\]  

(4)
and this procedure can be used for any finite dimensional distribution. The extension theorem shows the existence of a stochastic process given a suitable set of finite dimensional distributions obeying Komogorov’s consistency conditions [8], but not the uniqueness.

**Definition II.3** (càdlàg process). A stochastic process $Y(t)$, $t \geq 0$ is càdlàg (continu à droite et limite à gauche) if its realizations are right-continuous with left limits.

A càdlàg stochastic process has realizations with jumps. Let $\tilde{t}$ denote the epoch of a jump. Then, in a càdlàg process, one has $Y(\tilde{t}) = Y(t^+) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{t \to \tilde{t}^+} Y(t)$.

**Definition II.4** (Modification of a process). A modification $Z(t)$, $t \geq 0$, of a stochastic process $Y(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is a stochastic process on the same probability space such that $P(Z(t) = Y(t)) = 1$.

**Theorem II.1.** Every Lévy process has a unique càdlàg modification.

*Proof.* For a proof of this theorem one can see the first chapter of the book by Sato [9]. □

The following theorem gives a nice characterization of compound Poisson processes.

**Theorem II.2.** $Y(t)$ is a compound Poisson process if and only if it is a Lévy process and its realizations are piecewise constant càdlàg functions.

*Proof.* An accessible proof of this theorem can be found in the book by Cont and Tankov [10]. □

As a consequence of the above results, the compound Poisson process enjoys all the properties of Lévy processes, including the Markov property. To show that a Lévy process has the Markov property, some further definitions are necessary.

**Definition II.5** (Filtration). A family $\mathcal{F}_t$, $t \geq 0$ of $\sigma$-algebras is a filtration if it is non-decreasing, meaning that $\mathcal{F}_s \subseteq \mathcal{F}_t$ for $0 \leq s \leq t$.

**Definition II.6** (Adapted process). A process $Y(t)$, $t \geq 0$ is said adapted to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_t$, $t \geq 0$ if it is $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable for $t \geq 0$.

**Definition II.7** (Markov process with respect to a filtration). A process $Y(t)$ is a Markov process with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_t$, $t \geq 0$ if it is adapted to $\mathcal{F}_t$, $t \geq 0$ and $(A \subset \mathbb{R})$

$$P(Y(t) \in A|\mathcal{F}_s) = P(Y(t) \in A|Y(s)).$$  (5)
Definition II.8 (Natural filtration). The natural filtration for a stochastic process is the family of non-decreasing $\sigma$-algebras generated by the process itself $\{\sigma(X(s)), s \in [0,t]\}$, $t \geq 0$.

Definition II.9 (Markov process with respect to itself). A process $Y(t)$, $t \geq 0$ is a Markov process with respect to itself (or simply a Markov process) if it is a Markov process with respect to its natural filtration.

The natural filtration $\{\sigma(X(s)), s \in [0,t]\}$, $t \geq 0$, is a formal way to characterize the history of the process up to time $t$. For a Markov process, the future values do not depend on the whole history, but only on the present value of the process.

Definition II.10 (Transition probability). Given the Markov process $Y(t)$, $t \geq 0$, its transition probability $P(y, A, \Delta t, t)$ is defined as

$$P(y, A, \Delta t, t) = P(Y(t + \Delta t) \in A | Y(t) = y),$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $A \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Definition II.11 (Homogeneous Markov process). A Markov process $Y(t)$, $t \geq 0$ is said (time)-homogeneous if its transition probability $P(y, A, \Delta t, t)$ does not depend on $t$.

Theorem II.3. A Lévy process is a time-homogeneous Markov process with transition probability

$$P(y, A, \Delta t) = P(Y(\Delta t) \in A - y) = \int_{x \in A} f_Y(\Delta t)(x - y, \Delta t) \, dx.$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

Proof. The Markov property is a consequence of the independence of increments. The following chain of equalities holds true

$$P(Y(t + \Delta t) \in A | F_t) = P(Y(t + \Delta t) - Y(t) \in A - Y(t) | F_t)$$

$$= P(Y(t + \Delta t) - Y(t) \in A - Y(t) | Y(t)).$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

We further have

$$P(Y(t + \Delta t) \in A | Y(t) = y) = P(Y(\Delta t) \in A - y) = \int_{x \in A} f_Y(\Delta t)(x - y, \Delta t) \, dx,$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

as a consequence of time homogeneity.
This result fully justifies the passages leading to equation (11).

It turns out that $f_Y(\Delta t)(y, \Delta t)$ can be explicitly written for a compound Poisson process. Let $F_X(x)$ be the law of the jumps $\{X_i\}$ and let $\lambda$ denote the parameter of the Poisson process, then we have the following

**Theorem II.4.** The cumulative distribution function of a compound Poisson process is given by

$$F_Y(y, t) = e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} F_{Y_n}^*(y),$$

where $F_{Y_n}^*(y)$ is the $n$-fold convolution of $F_X(x)$.

*Proof.* Starting from $Y(0) = 0$, at time $t$, there have been $N(t)$ jumps, with $N(t)$ assuming integer values starting from 0 ($N(t) = 0$ means no jumps up to time $t$). To fix the ideas, suppose that $N(t) = n$. Therefore, one has

$$Y(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = Y_n$$

and, in this case,

$$F_{Y_n}(y) = \mathbb{P}(Y_n \leq y) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \leq y\right) = F_{Y_n}^*(y).$$

For the Poisson process, one has

$$P(n, t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{P}(N(t) = n) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}.$$  

Given the independence between $N(t)$ and the $X_i$s, one has that

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_n \leq y, N(t) = n) = P(n, t) F_{Y_n}^*(y) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} F_{Y_n}^*(y).$$

The events $\{Y_n \leq y, N(t) = n\}$ are mutually exclusive and exhaustive, meaning that

$$\{Y(t) \leq y\} = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} \{Y_n \leq y, N(t) = n\},$$

and that, for any $m \neq n$

$$\{Y_m \leq y, N(t) = m\} \cap \{Y_n \leq y, N(t) = n\} = \emptyset.$$
Calculating the probability of the two sides in equation (15) and using equation (14) and the axiom of infinite additivity

\[ F_Y(t, y, t) = \mathbb{P}(Y(t) \leq y, N(t) = n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Y_n \leq y, N(t) = n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(n, t) F_{Y_n}^* (y) \]

\[ = e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} F_{Y_n}^* (y) \quad (17) \]

leads to the thesis.

**Remark II.1** (Generality of Theorem II.4). Note that the above theorem is valid for any counting process \( N(t) \) in the following form

**Theorem II.5.** Let \( \{X\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \) be a sequence of i.i.d. real-valued random variables with cumulative distribution function \( F_X(x) \) and let \( N(t), t \geq 0 \) denote a counting process independent of the previous sequence and such that the number of events in the interval \([0, t] \) is a finite but arbitrary integer \( n = 0, 1, \ldots \). Let \( Y(t) \) denote the process

\[ Y(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i. \quad (18) \]

Then if \( P(n, t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{P}(N(t) = n) \), the cumulative distribution function of \( Y(t) \) is given by

\[ F_{Y(t)}(y, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(n, t) F_{Y_n}^* (y), \quad (19) \]

where \( F_{Y_n}^* (y) \) is the \( n \)-fold convolution of \( F_X(x) \).

**Proof.** The proof of this theorem runs exactly as the proof of theorem II.4 without specifying \( P(n, t) \).

**Remark II.2** (The \( n = 0 \) term). For \( n = 0 \), one assumes \( F_{Y_0}^* (y) = \theta(y) \) where \( \theta(y) \) is the Heaviside function. Note that \( P(0, t) \) is nothing else but the survival function at \( y = 0 \) of the counting process. Therefore, equation (19) can be equivalently written as

\[ F_{Y(t)}(y, t) = P(0, 0) \theta(y) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(n, t) F_{Y_n}^* (y), \quad (20) \]
Remark II.3 (Uniform convergence). The series (10) and (19) are uniformly convergent for $y \neq 0$ and for any value of $t \in (0, \infty)$ (this statement can be proved applying Weierstrass $M$ test). For $y = 0$ there is a jump in the cumulative distribution function of amplitude $P(0, t)$.

Example II.1 (The normal compound Poisson process). As an example of compound Poisson process, consider the case in which $X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, so that the cumulative distribution function is

$$F_X(x) = \Phi(x|\mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \text{erf}\left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}\right)\right), \quad (21)$$

where

$$\text{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-u^2} du \quad (22)$$

is the error function. In this case, the convolution $F_{Y_n}^{*n}(y)$ is given by $\Phi(y|n\mu, n\sigma^2)$ and one finds

$$F_{Y(t)}(y, t) = e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} \Phi(y|n\mu, n\sigma^2). \quad (23)$$

Corollary II.6. In the same hypotheses as in Theorem II.3, the probability density $f_{Y(t)}(y, t)$ of the process $Y(t)$ is given by

$$f_{Y(t)}(y, t) = P(0, t) \delta(y) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(n, t) f_{Y_n}^{*n}(y), \quad (24)$$

where $f_{Y_n}^{*n}(y)$ is the $n$-fold convolution of the probability density function $f_{Y_n}(y) = dF_{Y_n}(y)/dy$.

Proof. One has that $f_{Y(t)}(y, t) = dF_{Y(t)}(y, t)/dy$; moreover, equation (24) is the formal term-by-term derivative of equation (19). If $y \neq 0$, there is no singular term and the series converges uniformly ($f_{Y_n}^{*n}(y)$ is bounded and Weierstrass $M$ test applies), therefore, for any $y$ it converges to the derivative of $F_{Y(t)}(y, t)$. This is true also for $y = 0$ for $n \geq 1$ and the jump in $y = 0$ gives the singular term of weight $P(0, t)$ (see equation (20)).

Remark II.4 (Historical news and applications). The distribution in equation (19) is also known as generalized Poisson law. This class of distributions was studied by W. Feller in a famous work published in 1943 [11]. It is useful to quote an excerpt from Feller’s paper, with notation adapted to the present paper.
The most frequently encountered application of the generalized Poisson distribution is to problems of the following type. Consider independent random events for which the simple Poisson distribution may be assumed, such as: telephone calls, the occurrence of claims in an insurance company, fire accidents, sickness, and the like. With each event there may be associated a random variable $X$. Thus, in the above examples, $X$ may represent the length of the ensuing conversation, the sum under risk, the damage, the cost (or length) of hospitalization, respectively. To mention an interesting example of a different type, A. Einstein Jr. [12] and G. Polya [13, 14] have studied a problem arising out of engineering practice connected with building of dams, where the events consists of the motions of a stone at the bottom of a river; the variable $X$ is the distance through which the stone moves down the river.

Now, if $F(x)$ is the cumulative distribution function of the variable $X$ associated with a single event, then $F^{*n}(x)$ is the cumulative distribution function of the accumulated variable associated with $n$ events. Hence the following equation

$$G(x) = e^{-a} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{n!} F^{*n}(x)$$

is the probability law of the sum of the variables (sum of the conversation times, total sum paid by the company, total damage, total distance travelled by the stone, etc.).

In view of the above examples, it is not surprising that the law, or special cases of it, have been discovered, by various means and sometimes under disguised forms, by many authors.

Indeed, the rediscovery and/or reinterpretation of equation (19) went on also after Feller’s paper. In physics, $X$ is interpreted as the position of a walker on a lattice and $N(t)$ is the number of walker jumps occured up to time $t$ [15–19]. In finance, $X$ is the tick-by-tick log-return for a stock and $N(t)$ is the number of transactions up to time $t$ [5].

The application of Fourier and Laplace transforms to equation (24) leads to an equation which is known as Montroll-Weiss equation in the physics literature [15]. For reasons which will become clear in the following, it can also be called semi-Markov renewal equation. Let

$$\hat{f}(\kappa) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\kappa x} f(x) \, dx$$
denote the Fourier transform of \( f(x) \) and
\[
\tilde{g}(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} g(t) \, dt
\]
denote the Laplace transform of \( g(t) \), then the following theorem holds true.

**Theorem II.7.** (Montroll-Weiss equation) Let \( J \) denote the sojourn time of the Poisson process in \( N(t) = 0 \), with \( F_J(t) = 1 - e^{-\lambda t} \), \( f_J(t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \) and \( P(0, t) = 1 - F_J(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \). We have that:

1. The Fourier-Laplace transform of the probability density \( f_Y(t)(y, t) \) is given by
\[
\tilde{f}_Y(t)(\kappa, s) = \left( 1 - \tilde{f}_J(s) \right) \frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{f}_J(s) \tilde{f}_X(\kappa)}. \tag{25}
\]
2. The probability density function \( f_Y(t)(y, t) \) obeys the following integral equation
\[
f_Y(t)(y, t) = P(0, t) \delta(y) + \int_0^t dt' f_J(t - t') \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy' f_X(y - y') f_Y(t)(y', t'). \tag{26}
\]

In order to prove Theorem (II.7), we need the following lemma.

**Lemma II.8.** Let \( T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n, \ldots \) denote the epoch of the first, the second, \ldots, the \( n \)-th, \ldots event of a Poisson process, respectively. Let \( J = J_1 = T_1 \) denote the initial sojourn time and, in general, let \( J_i = T_i - T_{i-1} \) be the \( i \)-th sojourn time. Then \( \{J_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \) is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables.

**Proof.** The proof of this lemma can be derived combining lemma 2.1 and proposition 2.12 in the book by Cont and Tankov [10]. \( \square \)

It is now possible to prove the theorem

**Proof.** (Theorem II.7) Let us start from equation (24) and compute its Fourier-Laplace transform. It is given by
\[
\tilde{f}_Y(t)(\kappa, s) = \tilde{P}(0, s) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{P}(n, s) \left[ \tilde{f}_X(\kappa) \right]^n. \tag{27}
\]
Now, we have that
\[
T_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} J_i \tag{28}
\]
is a sum of i.i.d. positive random variables and \( P(n, t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{P}(N(t) = n) \) meaning that there are \( n \) jumps up to \( t = t_n \) and no jumps in \( t - t_n \). Therefore, from pure probabilistic considerations, one has that

\[
P(n, t) = P(0, t - t_n) * f_{T_n}(t_n)
\]

and, as a consequence of equation (28), one further has that

\[
f_{T_n}(t_n) = f_{J_n}(t_n).
\]

Therefore, one can conclude that

\[
\tilde{P}(n, s) = \tilde{P}(0, s) \left[ \tilde{f}_J(s) \right]^n.
\]

Inserting this result in equation (27), noting that \( \tilde{P}(0, s) = (1 - \tilde{f}_J(s))/s \), and summing the geometric series (\( f_X \) and \( f_J \) are probability densities) leads to equation (25):

\[
\tilde{f}_{Y(t)}(\kappa, s) = \tilde{P}(0, s) + \tilde{P}(0, s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \tilde{f}_J(s) \tilde{f}_X(\kappa) \right]^n
\]

\[
= \tilde{P}(0, s) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \tilde{f}_J(s) \tilde{f}_X(\kappa) \right]^n
\]

\[
= \frac{1 - \tilde{f}_J(s)}{s} \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{f}_J(s) \tilde{f}_X(\kappa)}.
\]

Equation (25) can be re-written as

\[
\tilde{f}_{Y(t)}(\kappa, s) = \frac{1 - \tilde{f}_J(s)}{s} + \tilde{f}_J(s) \tilde{f}_X(\kappa) \tilde{f}_{Y(t)}(\kappa, s);
\]

Fourier-Laplace inverting and recalling the behaviour of convolutions under Fourier-Laplace transform, immediately leads to equation (26).

Remark II.5. Theorem (II.7) was proved in the hypothesis that \( N(t) \) is a Poisson process. In this case, one has \( \tilde{P}(0, s) = 1/(\lambda + s) \) and \( \tilde{f}_J(s) = \lambda/(\lambda + s) \) and equation (25) becomes

\[
\tilde{f}_{Y(t)}(\kappa, s) = \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda \tilde{f}_X(\kappa) + s}.
\]

The inversion of the Laplace transform yields the characteristic function of the compound Poisson process

\[
\tilde{f}_{Y(t)}(\kappa, t) = \mathbb{E} \left( e^{i\kappa Y(t)} \right) = e^{-\lambda(1-\tilde{f}_X(\kappa))t}.
\]
Remark II.6. The proof of Theorem II.7 does not depend on the specific form of \( P(0, t) \) and \( f_J(t) \), provided that the positive random variables \( \{J\}_{i=1}^\infty \) are i.i.d.. Therefore, equations (25) and (26) are true also in the case of general compound renewal processes starting from \( Y(0) = 0 \) at time 0.

### III. COMPOUND FRACTIONAL POISSON PROCESSES

**Definition III.1 (Renewal process).** Let \( \{J\}_{i=1}^\infty \) be a sequence of i.i.d. positive random variables interpreted as sojourn times between subsequent events arriving at random time. They define a renewal process whose epochs of renewal (time instants at which the events take place) are the random times \( \{T\}_{n=0}^\infty \) defined by

\[
T_0 = 0, \quad T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n J_i.
\]

(36)

The name renewal process is due to the fact that at any epoch of renewal, the process starts again from the beginning.

**Definition III.2 (Counting process).** Associated to any renewal process, there is a counting process \( N(t) \) defined as

\[
N(t) = \max\{n : T_n \leq t\}
\]

(37)

that counts the number of events up to time \( t \).

**Remark III.1.** As mentioned in the previous section \( N(t) \) is the Poisson process if and only if \( J \sim \exp(\lambda) \). Incidentally, this is the only case of Lévy and Markov counting process related to a renewal process (see Çinlar’s book [20] for a proof of this statement).

**Remark III.2.** In this paper, we shall assume that the counting process has càdlàg sample paths. This means that the realizations are represented by step functions. If \( t_k \) is the epoch of the \( k \)-th jump, we have \( N(t_k^-) = k - 1 \) and \( N(t_k^+) = k \).

Let \( \{X_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \) be a sequence of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) real-valued random variables and let \( N(t), t \geq 0 \) denote the counting process. Further assume that the i.i.d. sequence and the counting process are independent. We have the following
Definition III.3 (Compound renewal process). The stochastic process
\[ Y(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i \]  
(38)
is called compound renewal process.

Remark III.3. Again, here, it is assumed that the sample paths are represented by càdlàg step functions. Compound renewal processes generalize compound Poisson processes and they are called continuous-time random walks in the physical literature.

Remark III.4. As compound renewal processes are just Markov chains (actually, random walks) subordinated to a counting process, their existence can be proved as a consequence of the existence of the corresponding discrete-time random walks and counting processes.

In general, compound renewal processes are non-Markovian, but they belong to the wider class of semi-Markov processes [7, 20–23].

Definition III.4 (Markov renewal process). A Markov renewal process is a two-component Markov chain \( \{Y_n, T_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \), where \( Y_n, n \geq 0 \) is a Markov chain and \( T_n, n \geq 0 \) is the \( n \)-th epoch of a renewal process, homogeneous with respect to the second component and with transition probability defined by
\[ \mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in A, J_{n+1} \leq t | Y_0, \ldots, Y_n, J_1, \ldots, J_n) = \mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in A, J_{n+1} \leq t | Y_n), \]  
(39)
where \( A \subset \mathbb{R} \) is a Borel set and, as usual, \( J_{n+1} = T_{n+1} - T_n \).

Remark III.5. In this paper, homogeneity with respect to the first component will be assumed as well. Namely, if \( Y_n = x \), the probability on the right-hand side of equation (39) does not explicitly depend on \( n \).

Remark III.6 (Semi-Markov kernel). The positive function \( Q(x, A, t) = \mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} = y \in A, J_{n+1} \leq t | Y_n = x) \), with \( x \in \mathbb{R}, A \subset \mathbb{R} \) a Borel set, and \( t \geq 0 \) is called semi-Markov kernel.

Definition III.5 (Semi-Markov process). Let \( N(t) \) denote the counting process defined as in equation (37), the stochastic process \( Y(t) \) defined as
\[ Y(t) = Y_{N(t)} \]  
(40)
is the semi-Markov process associated to the Markov renewal process \( Y_n, T_n, n \geq 0 \).
Remark III.7. In equation (37), max is used instead of the more general sup as only processes with finite (but arbitrary) number of jumps in \((0, t]\) are considered here.

Theorem III.1. Compound renewal processes are semi-Markov processes with semi-Markov kernel given by

\[ Q(x, A, t) = P(x, A)F_J(t), \tag{41} \]

where \(P(x, A)\) is the Markov kernel (a.k.a. Markov transition function or transition probability kernel) of the random walk

\[ P(x, A) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in A | Y_n = x), \tag{42} \]

and \(F_J(t)\) is the probability distribution function of sojourn times. Moreover, let \(f_X(x)\) denote the probability density function of jumps, one has

\[ P(x, A) = \int_{A-x} f_J(u) \, du, \tag{43} \]

where \(A - x\) is the set of values in \(A\) translated of \(x\) towards left.

Proof. The compound renewal process is a semi-Markov process by construction, where the couple \(Y_n, T_n, n \geq 0\) defining the corresponding Markov renewal process is made up of a random walk \(Y_n, n \geq 0\) with \(Y_0 = 0\) and a renewal process with epochs given by \(T_n, n \geq 0\) with \(T_0 = 0\). Equation (41) is an immediate consequence of the independence between the random walk and the renewal process. Finally, equation (43) is the standard Markov kernel of a random walk whose jumps are i.i.d. random variables with probability density function \(f_X(x)\).

Remark III.8. As a direct consequence of the previous theorem, if the law of the couple \(X_n, J_n\) has a joint probability density function \(f_{X,J}(x, t) = f_X(x)f_J(t)\), then one has

\[ \mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in A, J_{n+1} \leq t | Y_n) = Q(x, A, t) = P(x, A)F_J(t) = \int_A f_X(u) \, du \int_0^t f_J(v) \, dv. \tag{44} \]

Theorem III.2. (Semi-Markov renewal equation) The probability density function \(f_{Y(t)}(y, t)\) of a compound renewal process obeys the semi-Markov renewal equation

\[ f_{Y(t)}(y, t) = P(0, t)\delta(y) + \int_0^t dt' f_J(t-t') \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy' f_X(y-y')f_{Y(t)}(y', t'). \tag{45} \]
Proof. By definition, one has that
\[ P(Y(t) \in dy|Y(0) = 0) = f_{Y(t)}(y,t)dy, \quad (46) \]
and that
\[ P(Y(t) \in dy|Y(t') = y') = P(Y(t-t') \in dy|Y(0) = y') = P(Y(t-t') - y' \in dy|Y(0) = 0) = f_{Y(t)}(y-y', t-t')dy, \quad (47) \]
because the increments in time and space are i.i.d. and hence homogeneous. From equation (44), one further has
\[ P(Y_1 \in dy, J_1 \in dt|Y_0 = 0) = f_X(y)f_J(t)dydt. \quad (48) \]
Now, the probability in equation (46) can be decomposed into two mutually exclusive parts, depending on the behaviour of the first interval
\[ P(Y(t) \in dy|Y(0) = 0) = P(Y(t) \in dy, J_1 > t|Y(0) = 0) + P(Y(t) \in dy, J_1 \leq t|Y(0) = 0). \quad (49) \]
The part with no jumps up to time \( t \) immediately gives
\[ P(Y(t) \in dy, J_1 > t|Y(0) = 0) = P(0,t)\delta(y)dy, \quad (50) \]
wheras the part with jumps becomes
\[ P(Y(t) \in dy, J_1 \leq t|Y(0) = 0) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^t \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_{Y(t)}(y-y', t-t')dy \right] f_X(y')f_J(t')dy'dt' \]
as a consequence of Bayes’ formula and of equations (17) and (48). A replacement of equations (46), (50), (51) into equation (49) and a rearrangement of the convolution variables straightforwardly lead to the thesis (45).

Remark III.9. Note that the semi-Markov renewal equation (45) does coincide with the Montroll-Weiss equation (26) as anticipated.
Definition III.6 (Mittag-Leffler renewal process). The sequence \( \{ J_i \}_{i=1}^{\infty} \) of positive independent and identically distributed random variables whose complementary cumulative distribution function \( P_{\beta}(0, t) \) is given by

\[
P_{\beta}(0, t) = E_{\beta}(-t^\beta)
\]

defines the so-called Mittag-Leffler renewal process.

Remark III.10. The one-parameter Mittag-Leffler function in (52) is a straightforward generalization of the exponential function. It is given by the following series

\[
E_{\beta}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\beta n + 1)},
\]

where \( \Gamma(z) \) is Euler’s Gamma function. The Mittag-Leffler function coincides with the exponential function for \( \beta = 1 \). The function \( E_{\beta}(-t^\beta) \) is completely monotonic and it is 1 for \( t = 0 \). Therefore, it is a legitimate survival function.

Remark III.11. The function \( E_{\beta}(-t^\beta) \) is approximated by a stretched exponential for \( t \to 0 \):

\[
E_{\beta}(-t^\beta) \simeq 1 - \frac{t^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)} \simeq e^{-t^\beta/\Gamma(\beta+1)}, \text{ for } 0 < t \ll 1,
\]

and by a power-law for \( t \to \infty \):

\[
E_{\beta}(-t^\beta) \simeq \frac{\sin(\beta \pi)}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{t^\beta}, \text{ for } t \gg 1.
\]

Remark III.12. For applications, it is often convenient to include a scale factor in the definition (52), so that one can write

\[
P(0, t) = E_{\beta} \left( -(t/\gamma_t)^\beta \right).
\]

As the scale factor can be introduced in different ways, the reader is warned to pay attention to its definition. The assumption \( \gamma_t = 1 \) made in (52) is equivalent to a change of time unit.

Theorem III.3. (Mittag-Leffler counting process - fractional Poisson process) The counting process \( N_{\beta}(t) \) associated to the renewal process defined by equation (52) has the following distribution

\[
P_{\beta}(n, t) = \mathbb{P}(N_{\beta}(t) = n) = \frac{t^{\beta n}}{n!} E_{\beta}^{(n)}(-t^\beta),
\]

where \( E_{\beta}^{(n)}(-t^\beta) \) denotes the \( n \)-th derivative of \( E_{\beta}(z) \) evaluated at the point \( z = -t^\beta \).
Proof. The Laplace transform of $P(0, t)$ is given by

$$\tilde{P}_\beta(0, s) = \frac{s^{\beta-1}}{1 + s^\beta},$$

as a consequence, the Laplace transform of the probability density function $f_{J, \beta}(t) = -dP_\beta(0, t)/dt$ is given by

$$\tilde{f}_{J, \beta}(s) = \frac{1}{1 + s^\beta};$$

recalling equation (31), one immediately has

$$\tilde{P}_\beta(n, s) = \frac{1}{(1 + s^\beta)^n} \frac{s^{\beta-1}}{1 + s^\beta}.$$  

Using equation (1.80) in Podlubny’s book [24] for the inversion of the Laplace transform in (60), one gets the thesis (57).

Remark III.13. The previous theorem was proved by Scalas et al. [25, 26]. Notice that $N_1(t)$ is the Poisson process with parameter $\lambda = 1$. Recently, Meerschaert et al. [27] proved that the fractional Poisson process $N_\beta(t)$ coincides with the process defined by $N_1(E(t))$ where $E(t)$ is the functional inverse of the standard $\beta$-stable subordinator. The latter process was also known as fractal time Poisson process. This result unifies different approaches to fractional calculus [28, 29].

Remark III.14. For $0 < \beta < 1$, the fractional Poisson process is semi-Markov, but not Markovian and is not Lévy. The process $N_\beta(t)$ is not Markovian as the only Markovian counting process is the Poisson process [20]. It is not Lévy as its distribution is not infinitely divisible.

Definition III.7 (Compound fractional Poisson process). With the usual hypotheses, the process

$$Y_\beta(t) = Y_{N_\beta(t)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_\beta(t)} X_i$$

is called compound fractional Poisson process.

Remark III.15. The process $Y_1(t)$ coincides with the compound Poisson process of parameter $\lambda = 1$.

Theorem III.4. Let $Y_\beta(t)$ be a compound fractional Poisson process, then
1. its cumulative distribution function $F_{Y_\beta(t)}(y, t)$ is given by

$$F_{Y_\beta(t)}(y, t) = \mathbb{E}_{\beta}(-t^\beta)\theta(y) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{\beta n}}{n!} E_{\beta}^{(n)}(-t^\beta)F_{Y^n}(y); \quad (62)$$

2. its probability density $f_{Y_\beta(t)}(y, t)$ function is given by

$$f_{Y_\beta(t)}(y, t) = \mathbb{E}_{\beta}(-t^\beta)\delta(y) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{\beta n}}{n!} E_{\beta}^{(n)}(-t^\beta)f_{Y^n}(y); \quad (63)$$

3. its characteristic function $\hat{f}_{Y_\beta(t)}(\kappa, t)$ is given by

$$\hat{f}_{Y_\beta(t)}(\kappa, t) = \mathbb{E}_{\beta}\left[t^\beta(\hat{f}_X(\kappa) - 1)\right]. \quad (64)$$

Proof. The first two equations (62) and (63) are a straightforward consequence of Theorem II.5, Corollary II.6 and Theorem III.3. Equation (64) is the straightforward Fourier transform of (63).

**Remark III.16.** For $0 < \beta < 1$, the compound fractional Poisson process is not Markovian and not Lévy (see Remark III.14).

**IV. LIMIT THEOREMS**

**Definition IV.1** (Space-time fractional diffusion equation). Let $\partial^\alpha / \partial |x|^\alpha$ denote the spatial non-local pseudo-differential operator whose Fourier transform is given by

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{\partial^\alpha f(x)}{\partial |x|^\alpha}; \kappa\right] = -|\kappa|^\alpha \hat{f}(\kappa),$$

for $x \in (-\infty, +\infty)$, $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ and let $\partial^\beta / \partial t^\beta$ denote the time non-local pseudo-differential operator whose Laplace transform is given by

$$\mathcal{L}\left[\frac{\partial^\beta g(t)}{\partial t^\beta}; s\right] = s^\beta \tilde{g}(s) - s^{\beta-1}g(0^+),$$

for $t > 0$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$. Then the pseudo-differential equation

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha u(x, t)}{\partial |x|^\alpha} = \frac{\partial^\beta u(x, t)}{\partial t^\beta}$$

is called space-time fractional differential equation.
Remark IV.1. The operator $\partial^\alpha / \partial |x|^\alpha$ is called Riesz derivative and is discussed by Saichev and Zaslavsky [30]. The operator $\partial^\beta / \partial t^\beta$ is called Caputo derivative and was introduced by Caputo in 1967 [31] as a regularization of the so-called Riemann-Liouville derivative.

Theorem IV.1. (Cauchy problem for the space-time fractional diffusion equation) Consider the following Cauchy problem for the space-time fractional diffusion equation (67)

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha u_{\alpha,\beta}(x, t)}{\partial |x|^\alpha} = \frac{\partial^\beta u_{\alpha,\beta}(x, t)}{\partial t^\beta} \quad u_{\alpha,\beta}(x, 0^+) = \delta(x), \quad (68)$$

then the function

$$u_{\alpha,\beta}(x, t) = \frac{1}{t^{\beta/\alpha}} W_{\alpha,\beta}\left(\frac{x}{t^{\beta/\alpha}}\right), \quad (69)$$

where

$$W_{\alpha,\beta}(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\kappa \, e^{-i\kappa u} \mathcal{E}_\beta(-|\kappa|^\alpha), \quad (70)$$

solves the Cauchy problem [32].

Proof. Taking into account the initial condition (68), as a consequence of the operator definition, for non-vanishing $\kappa$ and $s$, the Fourier-laplace transform of equation (67) is given by

$$- |\kappa|^\alpha \hat{u}_{\alpha,\beta}(\kappa, s) = s^\beta \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\alpha,\beta}(\kappa, s) - s^{\beta-1}, \quad (71)$$

leading to

$$\hat{u}_{\alpha,\beta}(\kappa, s) = \frac{s^{\beta-1}}{|\kappa|^\alpha + s^\beta}. \quad (72)$$

Equation (72) can be invoked for the inversion of the Laplace transform yielding

$$\hat{u}_{\alpha,\beta}(\kappa, t) = \mathcal{E}_\beta(-t^\beta |\kappa|^\alpha). \quad (73)$$

Eventually, the inversion of the Fourier transform leads to the thesis. □

Remark IV.2. The function defined by equations (69) and (70) is a probability density function. For $\beta = 1$ and $\alpha = 2$, it coincides with the Green function for the ordinary diffusion equation. The case $\beta = 1$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ gives the Green function and the transition probability density for the symmetric and isotropic $\alpha$-stable Lévy process $L_\alpha(t)$ [33].
Theorem IV.2. Let $Y_{\alpha,\beta}(t)$ be a compound fractional Poisson process and let $h$ and $r$ be two scaling factors such that

\begin{align*}
Y_n(h) &= hX_1 + \ldots + hX_n \\
T_n(r) &= rJ_1 + \ldots + rJ_n,
\end{align*}

and

\begin{equation}
\lim_{h,r \to 0} \frac{h^\alpha}{r^\beta} = 1,
\end{equation}

with $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ and $0 < \beta \leq 1$. To clarify the role of the parameter $\alpha$, further assume that, for $h \to 0$, one has

\begin{equation}
\hat{f}_{X}(h\kappa) \sim 1 - h^\alpha |\kappa|^\alpha,
\end{equation}

then, for $h, r \to 0$ with $h^\alpha/r^\beta \to 1$, $f_{hY_{\alpha,\beta}(rt)}(x, t)$ weakly converges to $u_{\alpha,\beta}(x, t)$, the Green function of the fractional diffusion equation.

Proof. In order to prove weak convergence, it suffices to show the convergence of the characteristic function (64). Indeed, one has

\begin{equation}
\hat{f}_{Y_{\alpha,\beta}(rt)}(\kappa, t) = E_{\beta} \left( -\frac{t^\beta}{r^\beta} (\hat{f}_{X}(h\kappa) - 1) \right)^{h_{r \to 0} E_{\beta}(-t^\beta |\kappa|^\alpha)},
\end{equation}

which completes the proof.

Remark IV.3. Condition (77) is not void. It is satisfied by all the distributions belonging to the basin of attraction of symmetric $\alpha$-stable laws. Let $f_{\alpha,X}(x)$ denote the probability density function of a symmetric $\alpha$-stable law whose characteristic function is

\begin{equation}
\hat{f}_{\alpha,X}(\kappa) = e^{-|\kappa|^\alpha},
\end{equation}

then one can immediately see that (77) holds true. As above, let $L_\alpha(t)$ denote the symmetric $\alpha$-stable Lévy process. Then, equation (73) is the characteristic function of $L_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = L_\alpha(N_\beta(t))$, that is of the symmetric $\alpha$-stable Lévy process subordinated to the fractional Poisson process. This remark leads to the conjecture that $L_{\alpha,\beta}(t)$ is the functional limit of $Y_{\alpha,\beta}(t)$, the $\alpha$-stable compound fractional Poisson process defined by equation (61) with the law of the jumps $X$ belonging to the basin of attraction of or coinciding with an $\alpha$-stable law. This conjecture can be found in a paper by Magdziarz and Weron [34] and is proved in Meerschaert et al. [27] using the methods discussed in the book by Meerschaert and Scheffler [35].
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