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Abstract. The compositeness of Mersenne numbers can be viewed in terms of equality with sums of consecutive integers. This can be conveniently described by partitioning an array of sites representing the Mersenne number. The sequence of even perfect numbers also can be embedded in a sequence of integers, each equal to the number of odd spin structures on a Riemann surface of given genus. A condition for the existence of odd perfect numbers is given in terms of the rationality of the square root of a product containing, in particular, a sequence of repunits. It is shown that rationality of the square root expression depends on the characteristics of divisors of the repunits.
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1. Introduction

The geometry of superstring perturbation theory is based on the properties of moduli spaces and spin structures on Riemann surfaces. Superstring scattering amplitudes can be represented by integrals over supermoduli space, a Grassmann manifold with $3g - 3$ even parameters and $2g - 2$ odd parameters, which can be reduced to integrals over a ramified covering of moduli space with each copy corresponding to a different spin structure. The action in the weighting factor contains both bosonic and fermionic fields, and even and odd spin structures are distinguished by the change in sign when the world-sheet coordinate of a fermion field traverses an A-cycle or B-cycle; of the $2^{2g}$ spin structures obtained by assigning $+$ or $-$ signs to each of the $2g$ cycles, there are $2^{g-1}(2^g + 1)$ even spin structures and $2^{g-1}(2^g - 1)$ odd spin structures. The moduli space at any genus splits into two components, even spin moduli space $M_g^+$ and odd spin moduli space $M_g^-$, and the modular group acts on both components separately. The equivalence of the number of odd spin structures on a genus- $g$ Riemann surface and the perfect numbers, when $2^g - 1$ is a Mersenne prime, suggests that a geometrical representation of the sequence of Mersenne primes may provide information about the properties of perfect numbers.

In this paper, it is shown that the geometrical representation of Mersenne numbers leads to a criterion for selecting those numbers which are primes. This is based on a theorem which makes use of a common property of the decomposition of both factors $2^{g-1}$ and $2^g - 1$, which is related to the binary system underlying the equivalence between the counting of the total number of spin structures at genus $g$ and the corresponding perfect number.

The existence of odd perfect numbers is shown to be related to the irrationality of the square root of a product including quotients of the form $\frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1}$, repunits in the base $x$. Although there are only a few cases of quotients of this type being equal or perfect squares, their products might be squares of integers, as one can verify when $n = 3$. For example, the existence of integer solutions to a quadratic Diophantine equation is used to show that $\frac{x_1^3 - 1}{x_1 - 1} \cdot \frac{x_2^3 - 1}{x_2 - 1}$ can be the square of an integer.

There has been considerable amount of research on divisors of Lucas and Lehmer sequences, which include the Fibonacci and Pell sequences as particular examples. The repunits $\frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1}$ form a special Lucas sequence and their divisors include the cyclotomic polynomials $\Phi_d(x)$, $d | n$. A study of the monotonicity of these polynomials for $x \geq 1$, and
their divisors, provides an indication of whether the entire product of repunits contains unmatched prime divisors with irrational square roots.

2. The Geometrical Representation of Mersenne Numbers and Spin Structures on Riemann Surfaces

The existence of the even perfect numbers \(2^{n-1}(2^n - 1)\) is contingent upon the primality of the Mersenne number \(M_n = 2^n - 1\) [1][2], and the Lucas-Lehmer test [3][4][5] is known to be satisfied by 38 Mersenne primes. The prime divisors of \(M_n\) must have the form \(2nk + 1\) [6] and \(8k \pm 1\) [7][8] and additional primality tests for \(N = 2^n - 1\) have been developed using the factorization of \(N - 1\) [9][10].

Both even perfect numbers [2][11], and Mersenne primes [12], lend themselves to geometrical interpretation. Even perfect numbers are known to be triangular and hexagonal [11], and it has been shown for example that circular planar nearrings based on \((\mathbb{Z}_q, +, \cdot)\), where \(\cdot\) is a multiplication required for planar nearrings, have special properties when \(q\) is a Mersenne prime [12].

The Mersenne numbers \(2^n - 1\) can be represented in a triangular array for finite \(n\) by placing \(2^m\) sites at the \(m^{th}\) level. If the angle at the apex of the triangle is fixed, the base increases linearly with the level number and has length \(l_m = ml_1\) where \(l_1\) is the distance between the two sites at the \(m = 1\) level. The distance between neighbouring sites at the \(m^{th}\) level is \(\frac{m l_m}{2^m - 1} = \frac{m}{2^{m-1}} l_1\). Bisection of this triangle and inclusion of the apex in one of the sets is a geometrical representation of \(2^n - 1\) as the sum of two consecutive numbers \(2^n - 1\) and \(2^n - 1\). Division of the triangle into more than two approximately equal parts is related to the following lemma [13] [14]:

**Lemma** (de la Rosa 1978) - A positive integer is a prime or a power of 2 if and only if it cannot be expressed as the sum of at least three consecutive positive integers.

Suppose that the triangle is divided into \(K\) parts. Then the site located at a fraction of the distance along the \(m^{th}\) level, \(\frac{m}{2^m - 1} \cdot l_m\), will be included in the \(j^{th}\) triangle if

\[
\frac{(j - 1)(2^m - 1)}{K} \leq \frac{m}{2^m - 1} \leq \frac{j(2^m - 1)}{K}
\]

The number of sites included in the \(j^{th}\) triangle is

\[
N^K_m = \left\lfloor \frac{j(2^m - 1)}{K} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{(j - 1)(2^m - 1)}{K} \right\rfloor + 1
\]
Fig. 1. Triangular Representation of the Mersenne Number $2^n - 1$.

The circled sites are shared by adjacent triangles.

If the original triangle is divided into $K$ parts so that $N^K_m = \frac{2^m - 1}{K} + 1$ when $K|2^m - 1$, $m > 1$, $m | n - 1$, the total number of sites in the triangle $T_j$, including the apex, is

$$1 + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} N^K_m = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{2^m - 1}{K} + 1 \right) + \sum_{K\mid 2^m - 1} \left( \left\lceil \frac{2^m - 1}{K} \right\rceil + 1 \right)$$

Let $\tau_2(n - 1, K)$ be the number of values of $m$ such that $m = 0$, for the apex of the triangle, or $m | n - 1$ and $K \mid 2^m - 1$; it satisfies the inequality $\tau_2(n - 1, K) \leq \tau(n - 1)$. The number of sites in an interior triangle $T_j$, $j = 2, ..., K - 1$ would be approximately

$$1 + \frac{2^{n-1}b(n-1) - 1}{K} + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{K} \right) \tau_2(n-1, K) + \left\lceil \frac{2^{n-1}(2 - b(n-1))}{K} - 3 \right\rceil$$

$$+ \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{K} \right) (n - 1 - \tau_2(n-1, K))$$

where $1 < b(n-1) < 2$. The number of shared sites in an interior triangle, including the apex, is $2\tau_2(n - 1, K) - 1$, whereas the number of shared sites in the outer triangles
$T_1$ and $T_K$ is $\tau_2(n - 1, K)$, and the overcounting of the sites in all of the triangles is given by $(K - 1)\tau_2(n - 1, K)$.

If $P_g$ denotes the number $2^{g-1}(2^g - 1)$, then $P_g = 4P_{g-1} + 2^{g-1}$ follows from the decomposition of the Mersenne number $M_g$ into $M_{g-1}$ and $M_{g-1} + 1$. When $M_g$ is a Mersenne prime, this is the only possible expression in terms of a sum of consecutive integers. If a prime other than the factor $2^g - 1$ is used, the recursion relation above would no longer be valid. Similarly, composite integers other than $2^{g-1}$ can be decomposed into three or more addends, which implies that there are additional factors giving rise to a sum-of-divisors function $\sigma(N)$ not satisfying $\frac{\sigma(N)}{N} = 2$.

The factor of 4 in the recurrence relation for $P_g$ is a reflection of the equivalence between these integers and the number of odd spin structures on a genus-$g$ Riemann surface. The counting of odd spin structures on a Riemann surface is based on a binary system, because the number of Dirac zero modes is either 0 or 1 mod 2 and it is additive when surfaces of genus $g_1$ and $g_2$ are joined. Since there are one odd and three even spin structures on a torus, the odd spin structures at genus $g - 1$ can be combined with any of the three even spin structures at genus 1, and the even spin structures at genus $g - 1$ can be combined with the odd spin structure at genus 1 to produce odd spin structures at genus $g$. This reveals the singlet-triplet structure underlying the binary system and the number of ways of combining odd and even spin structures for each handle to produce an overall odd spin structure is

$$1 + \binom{g}{2} \cdot 3^2 + \binom{g}{4} \cdot 3^4 + \ldots + \binom{g}{g-1} \cdot 3^{g-1} = \frac{(1 + 3)^g + (1 - 3)^g}{2} = 2^{g-1}(2^g - 1) \quad (5)$$

when $g$ is odd. The properties of the set of odd spin structures, when $2^g - 1$ is a Mersenne prime, which might continue indefinitely, shall be described subsequently.

A spin structure $S_\xi$ on $\Sigma$, which is a holomorphic line bundle $L$ such that $L^\otimes 2 = K$, the cotangent bundle, may also be viewed as a quadratic refinement $q_\xi : H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}_2) \to \mathbb{Z}_2$, of an intersection form $\sigma(\text{mod } 2) : H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}_2) \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ [15] satisfying the property $q_\xi(t_1 + t_2) = q_\xi(t_1) + q_\xi(t_2) + \sigma(\text{mod } 2)(t_1, t_2), \quad t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. The Arf invariant, which is zero if $q_\xi = 0$ for more than half of the elements of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and 1 otherwise, equals the parity of the theta characteristic $\xi = \xi_1\xi''_1 + \ldots + \xi_g\xi''_g \pmod{2}$; it is also equivalent to the Atiyah invariant, the dimension, mod 2, of the holomorphic line bundle defined by the spin structure on the surface $\Sigma$, which is zero $2^{g-1}(2^g + 1)$ times and equal to one
Defining $\Gamma_g^+$ to be the subgroup of the mapping class group $\Gamma_g$ which leaves invariant a quadratic refinement $q_\xi$ corresponding to an even spin structure $S_\xi$ and an even theta characteristic $\xi$, the even spin moduli space is $M_g^+ = T_g/\Gamma_g^+$. Similarly, if $\Gamma_g^-$ is the subgroup of the mapping class group which leaves invariant an odd spin structure, then $M_g^- = T_g/\Gamma_g^-$ is the odd spin moduli space.

At genus $g$, all odd spin structures can be generated by the application of modular transformations to a set of $2^g-1$ spin structures. The Ramond sector $R$ consists of $2^g$ spin structures with genus-one components that are either even ($+-$) or odd ($++$). By adding the genus-one components and computing the overall parity of the genus-$g$ spin structure, it can be deduced that there will be $2^g-1$ even spin structures and $2^g-1$ odd spin structures in the Ramond sector. At genus one, the modular group $SL(2;\mathbb{Z})$, generated by $\tau \to \tau + 1$ and $\tau \to -1/\tau$, where $\tau$ is the period of the torus, interchanges the even spin structures $\{(+--), (-+), (--)\}$ and leaves invariant the odd spin structure $(++)$. One method for generating the remaining odd spin structure is the application of genus-one modular transformations, acting on different handles, to the subset of odd spin structures $R_o$ in the Ramond sector. Denoting the modular transformations by $\rho_r, r = 1, \ldots, 3^g - 2^g - 1$, it follows that $R_o \cup \bigcup_r \rho_r(R_o)$ contains all of the odd spin structures at genus $g$.

However, this technique does not entail the use of a minimal number of transformations for generating these spin structures. First, the genus-$g$ spin structure $(+++\ldots++)$ is left invariant by all $\rho_r$ and therefore it appears in every set $\rho_r(R_o)$. Secondly, a genus-one modular transformation acting on only one handle alters $2^g-2$ spin structures in $R_o$, while a product of genus-one modular transformations acting on $\ell$ handles alters $2^g-2 + 2^g-3 + \ldots + 2^g-\ell = 2^g-\ell(2^{\ell-1}-1)$ spin structures. Since $2^g-\ell$ spin structures are unchanged, many of the spin structures are counted repeatedly in the union $R_o \cup \bigcup_r \rho_r(R_o)$. The presence of a fixed spin structure $(+++\ldots++)$ indicates that these modular transformations $\rho_r$ belong to the group $\Gamma_g^-$, which leaves invariant an odd spin structure $S_\xi$.

Since there are other modular transformations, belonging to the group $\Gamma_g^+$, which alter all of the spin structures in $R_o$, they may be used to generate the odd spin structures with minimal overlap between the different sets. If there exist modular transformations which induce no overlap, they may be denoted by $\sigma_r, r = 1, \ldots, 2^g-2$, and all odd spin structures would be included in the set $R_o \cup \bigcup_r \sigma_r(R_o)$.

With an appropriate definition of the action of $\sigma_r$ on the remaining odd spin structures, the set $\{1, \sigma_r\}$ can be mapped isomorphically onto the multiplicative group $G_g$ of non-zero
elements of a finite field \((\mathbb{Z}_{2^g} - 1, +)\) when \(2^g - 1\) is prime. The order of \(G_g\) is \(|G_g| = 2^g - 1\) and the group does not have any proper subgroups. It is an hereditary field group, which has the property that any subgroup, if it exists, would be a field group [17]. Moreover, whenever \(G\) is an hereditary field group and \(|G|\) is odd, either \(|G| = 1\) or \(|G|\) is a Mersenne prime [18]. When \(2^g - 1\) is not a Mersenne prime, one may expect that there will be subgroups of \(G_g\) which are not field groups.

### 3. Odd Perfect Numbers

Lower bounds of \(10^{300}\) for odd perfect numbers [19] and \(10^6\) for the largest prime factor [20] of an odd perfect number have been obtained. No odd numbers have been found to satisfy the condition \(\sigma(N) = N\), although there are odd integers, with five distinct prime factors, which produce a ratio nearly equal to 2: \(2 - 10^{-12} < \frac{\sigma(N)}{N} < 2 + 10^{-12}\) [21]. It has been established that any odd perfect number should take the form

\[
N = (4k + 1)^{4m+1} s^2
\]  

where \(4k + 1\) is a prime number with the property \(gcd(4k + 1, s) = 1\) [22]. Using the prime decomposition of \(s\), it follows that

\[
s^2 = q_1^{2\alpha_1} \ldots q_l^{2\alpha_l} \tag{7}
\]

and

\[
\sigma(s^2) = \sigma(q_1^{2\alpha_1}) \ldots \sigma(q_l^{2\alpha_l}) = \prod_{i=1}^{l} q_i^{2\alpha_i+1} - 1 \tag{8}
\]

The ratio \(\frac{\sigma(N)}{N}\) equals

\[
\left[\frac{(4k + 1)^{4m+2} - 1}{4k (4k + 1)^{4m+1}}\right] \frac{\sigma(s^2)}{s^2} = \left[\frac{(4k + 1)^{4m+2} - 1}{4k (4k + 1)^{4m+1}}\right] \left[\frac{\sigma(s^2)}{s^2}\right] \left[\frac{\sigma(s^2)}{\sigma(s)^2}\right] \tag{9}
\]

The condition for \(N\) to be a perfect number is \(\frac{\sigma(N)}{N} = 2\) and it follows from equation (9) that

\[
\frac{\sigma(s)}{s} = \sqrt{2} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{(q_i^{\alpha_i+1} - 1)}{(q_i - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(q_i^{2\alpha_i+1} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \times \left[\frac{4k(4k + 1)^{4m+1}}{(4k + 1)^{4m+2} - 1}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{10}
\]

and

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{1}{(q_i^{\alpha_i+1} - 1)} \frac{\sigma(s)}{s} = \sqrt{2} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{1}{(q_i^{2\alpha_i+1} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(q_i - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \times \left[\frac{4k(4k + 1)^{4m+1}}{(4k + 1)^{4m+2} - 1}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{11}
\]
Since the product on the left-hand side is a rational number, the consistency of equation (11) depends on the rationality of the expression on the right-hand side of the equation.

The following theorem [23][24][25] may be used to determine whether \( \frac{(4k+1)^{4m+2} - 1}{4k} \) is a square.

**Theorem** (Nagell 1921, Ljunggren 1943) - The integer solutions to the equation

\[
\frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} = y^2
\]  

include

\[
n = 2, \quad x = y^2 - 1, \quad y \in \mathbb{Z}
\]

*if x is prime, then x = 3, y = ±2*

\[
n = 3, \quad x = 0, \quad y = ±1; \quad x = -1, \quad y = ±1
\]

\[
n = 4, \quad x = 7, \quad y = 20
\]

\[
n = 5, \quad x = 3, \quad y = 11
\]

There are no primes 4k+1 and integers n = 4m+2 in this list such that \( \frac{(4k+1)^{4m+2} - 1}{4k} \) is a rational number. Similarly,

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{1}{(q_i^{2\alpha_i+1} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(q_i - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{1}{(q_i^{2\alpha_i+1} - 1)} [1 + q_i + q_i^2 + \ldots q_i^{2\alpha_i}]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]  

From the previous theorem, the number \([1 + q_i + q_i^2 + \ldots q_i^{2\alpha_i}]^{\frac{1}{2}}\) is rational when \(q_i = 3, \alpha_i = 2\). If 3 is a prime factor of s, this product can be expressed as

\[
\left(\frac{11}{242}\right)^{\delta_{q_i,3}^1\delta_{\alpha_i,2}} \times \prod_{i=1, \atop q_i \neq 3}^{l} \frac{1}{(q_i^{2\alpha_i+1} - 1)} [1 + q_i + q_i^2 + \ldots q_i^{2\alpha_i}]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]  

Integer solutions to the equation

\[
a \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} = y^m
\]  

have been listed for a restricted set of values of a and x [26].

**Theorem** (Inkeri 1972). The only solution of the equation

\[
a \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} = y^m \quad (1 < a < x \leq 10, \quad n > 2, \quad m \geq 2)
\]
is \( a = 4, n = 4, x = 7, m = 2, y = 40 \). If \( 10 < x < 15 \), there are solutions, if any, only in the cases \( x = 11, a = 5, 7 \) and \( x = 14, a = 11 \).

When \( n = 3 \), the solutions to equation (12) with \( 1 \leq a < x \leq 100 \) are \( x = 18, a = 1, m = 3, y = 7; a = 7, m = 4, y = 7; a = 8, m = 3, y = 14; x = 22, a = 3, m = 2, y = 39; a = 12, m = 2, y = 78; x = 30, a = 19, m = 2, y = 133 \) and \( x = 68, a = 13, m = 2, y = 247; a = 52, m = 2, y = 494 \), and when \( n = 4 \), the solutions are \( x = 7, a = 1, m = 2, y = 20; a = 4, m = 2, y = 40; x = 41, a = 21, m = 2, y = 1218; x = 99, a = 58, m = 2, y = 7540 \). [26]

If \( n = \prod_j \tilde{p}_j \),

\[
\frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} [x - e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{n}}] = \frac{x^{\tilde{p}_j} - 1}{x - 1} \cdot (x^{n-\tilde{p}_j} + x^{n-2\tilde{p}_j} + ... + x^{\tilde{p}_j} + 1) \tag{17}
\]

and \( \frac{x^{\tilde{p}_j} - 1}{x - 1} \) is a factor of \( \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} \).

For every integer \( k_1 \) between 1 and \( n - 1 \), the product \( [x - e^{\frac{2\pi ik_1}{n}}][x - e^{\frac{2\pi ik_2}{n}}] \) is a real quadratic polynomial

\[
x^2 - 2 \cos \left( \frac{2\pi k_1}{n} \right) x + 1 \tag{18}
\]

when \( k_2 = n - k_1 \), and the coefficient of \( x \) is an integer when \( \cos \left( \frac{2\pi k_2}{n} \right) = \pm \frac{1}{2} \), which implies that \( k_1 = \frac{n}{6}, \frac{n}{3}, \frac{2n}{3}, \frac{5n}{6} \), providing two quadratic factors with integer coefficients, when \( n \) is divisible by 3, and four quadratic factors with integer coefficients, when \( n \) is divisible by 6. The trinomial \( x^2 + x + 1 \) is a particular example of \( \frac{x^{\tilde{p}_j} - 1}{x - 1} \), and the higher-degree polynomial also could be examined for its primality if tests were available.

When \( x \) is a prime \( p \), the quadratic factor (18) is an integer \( p^2 \mp k + 1 \) if \( \cos \left( \frac{2\pi k_2}{n} \right) = \pm \frac{k}{2p} \). Primality tests for trinomials of the type \( Ax^2 + Bx - 1 \) have been developed [27]. They can be adapted to the present case by setting \( A = 1, B = 2\sqrt{k + 2}, x = p - \sqrt{k + 2} \). Further restricting \( k \) to be \( k^2 - 2 \) for some integer \( \kappa \), \( x \) is then an integer which can be factorized as \( x = ar \), where \( r \) is a fixed prime. Suppose that \( r > a^2 + 2\kappa a \). If some prime factor of \( x^2 + 2\kappa x - 1 \) is equal to \( \pm 1 \) (mod \( r \)), then the trinomial is also a prime number [27]. Similarly, if \( r \) is an odd prime, \( r \geq \frac{(a^2 - 3)}{2} + 2\kappa a \), and when \( 2|a, r \geq \frac{(a^2 + 2\kappa)}{8} \), then the trinomial \( x^2 + 2\kappa x - 1 \) is prime [27].
Since the solution to $x^2 + x + 1 = \frac{y^2}{a}$ is
\[
x = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{4y^2}{a} - 3}}{2}
\] (19)
it will be integer only if
\[
y = \frac{\sqrt{a(z^2 + 3)}}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{Z}
\] (20)
is integer. If $z > 1$, $(z + 1)^2 - z^2 = 2z + 1 > 3$ and $\sqrt{z^2 + 3}$ is not rational, which confirms that there are no integer solutions to the original equation when $a = 1$, except when $x = 0$ or $x = -1$. Integer solutions to equation (21) are determined by solutions of the quadratic Diophantine equation
\[
z^2 - Dr^2 = -3
\] (21)
This equation has been investigated using the continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{D}$ [28]. Ordering the integer solutions of this equation by the magnitude of $z + r\sqrt{D}$, the fundamental solution, given by the smallest value of this expression, shall be denoted by the pair of integers $(z_1, r_1)$. For any solution $(x, y)$ of the Pell equation $x^2 - Dy^2 = 1$, an infinite number of solutions of equation (22) are generated by the identity
\[
(z_1 + r_1\sqrt{D})(x + y\sqrt{D}) = z_1x + r_1yD + (z_1y + r_1x)\sqrt{D}
\] (22)
as the pairs of integers $\{(z_1x + r_1yD, z_1y + r_1x) \mid x^2 - Dy^2 = 1\}$ define a class of solutions to equation (21). Except when $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, if $D$ is not a perfect square and is a multiple of 3, then there is only one class of solutions, whereas, if $D$ is not a multiple of 3, there are two classes of solutions. If $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, there may be one class or two classes of solutions [29].

The solutions (22) usually will be pairs of composite integers. Although only prime values of $x$ are included in equation (11), $z = 2x + 1$ may be a composite number belonging to a large set of similar solutions, or $z = 2x + 1$ is a prime belonging to a restricted set of solutions. The density of primes $x \leq T, T \gg 1$ producing prime values of $z$ satisfying (22) tends to $\frac{2}{(T - 1) \ln T}$ as $T \to \infty$.

Given any two solutions to equation (22), $(z_1, r_1)$ and $(z_2, r_2)$, it follows that
\[
\frac{x_1^3 - 1}{x_1 - 1} \frac{x_2^3 - 1}{x_2 - 1} = \left(\frac{z_1^2 + 3}{4}\right) \left(\frac{z_2^2 + 3}{4}\right) = \left(\frac{Dr_1^2}{4}\right) \left(\frac{Dr_2^2}{4}\right) = \left(\frac{Dr_1 r_2}{4}\right)^2
\] (23)
Even though the quotients \( \frac{x^3 - 1}{x - 1} \) are not perfect squares in general, the products of such quotients can be perfect squares. This property implies that there are potential cancellations and combinations of factors in the expression (11), and a more detailed study of the divisors of the repunits \( \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} \) is required to determine if the product in (11) is the square of a rational number.

The repunits in equation (11) generally will not be equal because the only known integer solutions \([30][31][32]\) to the equation
\[
\frac{x^m - 1}{x - 1} = \frac{y^n - 1}{y - 1} \quad x \neq y, \ m \neq n
\]
are
\[
31 = 2^5 - 1 = \frac{5^3 - 1}{5 - 1}
\]
\[
8191 = 2^{13} - 1 = \frac{90^3 - 1}{90 - 1}
\]
It has been conjectured that there are only finitely many solutions to (24) and the following result has been proven \([30]\).

Theorem (Shorey 1989) - Let \( N > 2, N \neq 31 \) and \( N \neq 8191 \) be an integer and assume that the number of distinct prime factors of \( N - 1 \) is less than or equal to 5. There is at most one element \( y \in S(N) \), the set of all integers \( x, 1 < x < N - 1 \), such that \( N \) has all of the digits equal in its \( x \)-adic expansion, where the integer \( l(N; y) \), defined by
\[
N - 1 = y \frac{y^{l(N; y)} - 1}{y - 1}
\]
is odd.

The repunit \( \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} \) is the Lucas sequence
\[
U_n(a, b) = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}
\]
with \( \alpha = x, \beta = 1 \), derived from the second-order recurrence
\[
U_{n+2}(a, b) = a U_{n+1}(a, b) - b U_n(a, b)
\]
with parameters \( a = \alpha + \beta = x + 1 \) and \( b = \alpha \beta = x \). For a primary recurrence, defined by the initial values \( U_0 = 0 \) and \( U_1 = 1 \), the rank of apparition of a prime \( p \) is
the least positive integer $k$, if it exists, such that $U_k \equiv 0 \pmod p$ [33]. When $b \neq 0$, the values of the rank of apparition, denoted by $\alpha(a, b, p)$ are listed.

$$\alpha(x + 1, x, p) = \text{ord}_p(x)$$

if $(x/p) = -1$ and $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, then $\alpha(x + 1, x, p) \equiv 2 \pmod 4$

if $(x/p) = -1$ and $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, then $\alpha(x + 1, x, p) \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ \hfill (29)

if $(x/p) = 1$ and $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, then $\alpha(x + 1, x, p) \equiv 1 \pmod 4$

if $(x/p) = 1$ and $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, then $\alpha(x + 1, x, p) \equiv 0$ or $2 \pmod 4$

The numerical values of $\text{ord}_p(x)$ have been tabulated, but the functional dependence of $\alpha(x + 1, x, p)$ would be based on rules for $\text{ord}_p(x)$, which have yet to be formulated. The extent to which the arguments $a$ and $b$ determine the divisibility of $U_n(a, b)$ [6] can be summarized as follows:

Let $p$ be an odd prime.
If $p|a$, $p|b$, then $p|U_n(a, b)$ for all $n > 1$.
If $p|a$ and $p \nmid b$, then $p|U_n(a, b)$ exactly when $n$ is even.
If $p \nmid a$, $p \nmid b$, $p|D = a^2 - 4b$, then $p|U_n(a, b)$ when $p|n$.
If $p \nmid abD$, then $p|U_p-(D/p)(a, b)$.

The possibility of products of sums of powers of primes being perfect squares can be ascertained from the listing of the square classes of Lucas sequences. It is known that the square classes $\star$ of $U_n(a, b)$ [34], defined by

$$x^2U_m(a, b) = y^2U_n(a, b)$$

are

{$U_1, U_2, U_3$} or {$U_5, U_{10}$} when $a \equiv b \equiv 1 \pmod 4$

{$U_1, U_3, U_5$} when $b \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ and $a \equiv 3 \pmod 4$

{$U_1, U_2, U_{12}$} or {$U_3, U_6$} when $b \equiv 3 \pmod 4$

{$U_m, U_{3m}$}, $m > 1$, $m$ odd, $3 \nmid m$, $a < |b + 1|$, $b \equiv 1 \pmod 4$

$(-b|a) = 1$ for at most a finite set of values of $m$

although they consist of only one element when $U_n(a, b) = \frac{x^n - y^n}{x - y}$ [35]. Additionally, through the application of Jacobi symbols to Lucas numbers, it has been demonstrated that

$$\frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta} \neq n\Box$$ \hfill (32)

$\star$ $\Box$ represents the square of a rational number
when $gcd(\alpha, \beta) = 1$, $\alpha \beta \equiv 0$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ and $n$ is an odd integer greater than one [36].

Given the prime decomposition of $n = \tilde{p}_1 \ldots \tilde{p}_r$, the product of cyclotomic polynomials

$$\frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} = \Phi_{\tilde{p}_1}(x) \Phi_{\tilde{p}_2}(x^{\tilde{p}_1}) \Phi_{\tilde{p}_3}(x^{\tilde{p}_1\tilde{p}_2}) \ldots \Phi_{\tilde{p}_r}(x^{\tilde{p}_1\tilde{p}_2\ldots\tilde{p}_{r-1}})$$

(33)

provides a factorization of the quotient $\frac{q^{2\alpha_i+1} - 1}{q_i - 1}$. Even though $\Phi_n(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$, none of the factors above are necessarily prime when evaluated at $q_i$. The number $\Phi_p(q) = \frac{q^p - 1}{q - 1}$ arises in the study of the solvability of groups of odd order [37] and its composite nature is revealed in computations of the greatest common divisor of $\frac{q^p - 1}{p - 1}$ and $\frac{q^p - 1}{q - 1}$ [38]. Only a single example of the relation

$$q'^{\alpha} = \frac{q^p - 1}{q - 1} \quad p, \ q, \ q' \ prime$$

(34)

is known for primes, and there exists a finite bound for the number of solutions [39].

Nevertheless, conditions on prime divisors of Lucas sequences provide information on the factorization of cyclotomic polynomials in equation (33). Lower bounds for the greatest prime divisors of Lucas numbers have been given in a series of articles [40]-[46]. Specifically, if $P(k)$ denotes the largest prime divisor of $k$, then

$$P\left(\frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}\right) \geq P(\Phi_n(a, b)) > C \frac{n \log n^{1-\kappa} \log 2}{\log \log \log n}$$

(35)

Refined estimates of the greatest prime divisor have yet to be obtained, but the value of the largest primitive divisor, which divides $U_n(a, b)$ but not $U_m(a, b)$ for $m < n$, can be deduced. Since $x^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(x)$ where $\Phi_n(x)$ is the $n^{th}$ cyclotomic polynomial, it can be shown that the largest primitive factor [41][47][48] of $x^n - 1$ when $x \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$ is

$$\Phi_n(x) \quad if \ \Phi_n(x) \ and \ n \ are \ relatively \ prime$$

$$\frac{\Phi_n(x)}{p} \quad if \ a \ common \ prime \ factor \ p \ of \ \Phi_n(x) \ and \ n \ exist$$

(36)

In the latter case, if $n = p^\alpha p'^\alpha' p^\mu p''$ ... is the prime factorization of $n$, then $\Phi_n(x)$ is divisible by $p$ if and only if $h = \frac{n}{p^\alpha}$ is the multiplicative order of $x$ modulo $p$. Moreover $p|\Phi_{hp^j}$, $j \geq 0$ when $p$ is an odd prime. The prime factors of $\Phi_n(q)$ either satisfy $p = nk + 1$ or $p|n$. 
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Selecting the largest primitive factors of each repunit \( q_i^{n_i} - 1 \) in the expression (11), the product of these factors when \( q_i - 1 \not| \Phi_{n_i}(q_i) \) takes the form

\[
\frac{\Phi_{n_1}(q_1)}{p_1} \frac{\Phi_{n_2}(q_2)}{p_2} \ldots \frac{\Phi_{n_l}(q_l)}{p_l} \times \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\Phi_{4m+2}(4k+1)}{p_{l+1}} \right]^{-1}
\]

(37)

where the indices are odd numbers \( n_i = 2\alpha_i + 1, p_i, i = 1, \ldots, l, \) represents the common factor of \( n_i \) and \( \Phi_{n_i}(q_i) \), and \( p_{l+1} \) is a common factor of \( 4m + 2 \) and \( \Phi_{4m+2}(4k+1) \). If \( q_i - 1|\Phi_{n_i}(q_i) \), then it should be included in the denominator with \( p_i \), so that the relevant factor in (37) becomes \( \frac{\Phi_{n_i}(q_i)}{(q_i - 1)p_i} \).

Cyclotomic polynomials are known to have the following properties:

(i) \( \Phi_{n}(x) \) are strictly increasing functions for \( x \geq 1 \) [49], so that \( \Phi_{n}(q_j) > \Phi_{n}(q_i) \) when \( q_j \) is the larger prime.

(ii) If \( n > 1 \) is square-free, then

\[
\Phi_{n}(x) = \frac{\Phi_{\frac{n}{p}}(x^p)}{\Phi_{\frac{n}{p}}(x)}
\]

(38)

when \( p \) is a prime factor of \( n \) [50].

From the first property, it follows that equality of \( \Phi_{n_1}(q_i) \) and \( \Phi_{n_j}(q_j) \) could only be achieved if \( n_i \neq n_j \). The factor \( p_i \) is equal to the greatest prime divisor of \( n_i \) which also divides \( \Phi_{n_i}(q_i) \) if \( \gcd(n_i, \Phi_{n_i}(q_i)) \neq 1 \) [51]. The ratio of \( \frac{\Phi_{n_i}(q_i)}{p_i} \) and \( \frac{\Phi_{n_j}(q_j)}{p_j} \). Since \( n_i \neq n_j \) and not all of their prime factors are equal, the ratio \( \frac{\Phi_{n_i}(q_i)}{\Phi_{n_j}(q_j)} \) will contain fractions of the type \( \frac{\Phi_{\tilde{p}_i}(q_i^{\tilde{r}_i})}{\Phi_{\tilde{p}_j}(q_j^{\tilde{r}_j})} \) where \( \tilde{p}_i \) and \( \tilde{p}_j \) are prime factors not common to both \( n_i \) and \( n_j \). A study of the values of the cyclotomic polynomial would be necessary to establish that these ratios contain irreducible fractions.

There are at least eight prime factors of an odd perfect number [52], and when it is relatively prime to 3, there is a minimum of eleven prime factors [52][53]. More recently, a proof has been given that there must be at least 14 distinct prime factors in any odd perfect number and the sum of the powers of these prime factors must be greater than or equal to 29 [54]. By the previous argument, the cyclotomic polynomials evaluated at different prime values could only be equal if the indices \( n_i \) are distinct. If the power \( n_i = 2\alpha_i + 1 \) are all distinct, then at least one of the powers will be greater than 30 if there are more
than 14 different prime factors and then the repunit \( \frac{q_{ni}^n - 1}{q_i - 1} \) would have a primitive divisor when the logarithmic height \( h\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) \) is less than or equal to 4 [55]. There are indications that a primitive divisor will exist generally when \( n_i > 30 \) [56]. If it can be demonstrated that the number of repunits in the product (11) is greater than 14, then by the conjecture on primitive divisors of Lucas sequences, it follows that at least one of the repunits will have a primitive divisor that is not matched elsewhere.
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