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Abstract

In this paper, we extend the results of [1] by proving exponential asymptotic $H^1$-convergence of solutions to a one-dimensional singular heat equation with $L^2$-source term that describe evolution of viscous thin liquid sheets while considered in the Lagrange coordinates. Furthermore, we extend this asymptotic convergence result to the case of a time inhomogeneous source. This study has also independent interest for the porous medium equation theory.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the long-time behaviour of solutions to the initial boundary value problem for a singular heat equation in the presence of an external source term:

\[ u_t = \nu(u^{-2}u_x)_x + f(x, t) \quad \text{in} \quad Q_T := (0, 1) \times (0, T), \]

\[ u_x(0, t) = u_x(1, t) = 0 \quad \text{for} \ t \in (0, T), \]

\[ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad \text{for} \ x \in (0, 1), \]

with $T > 0$ and $\nu > 0$. Additionally, we assume zero mean of the external force:

\[ \int_0^1 f(x, t) \, dx = 0 \quad \text{for all} \ t \in (0, T). \]

Let us point out that equation (1) without source term $f(x, t)$ was considered first in [2] and is related to the porous-media equations [3]:

\[ u_t = \Delta u^m, \quad m \geq -1. \]
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Indeed, for the critical exponent $m = -1$ the latter equation coincides with (11) after the reversion of the time variable. There exists also a relation between (11) and the classical heat equation through the Lie-Bäcklund transform investigated in [4-6] that allows to find special exact solutions to the former. We do not pursue this approach in this study. Let us also mention recent study [7] of solutions to (1) with a time dependent source term $f(x,t)$ and an application to the Penrose-Fife phase field system [8, 9], in which (1) similar to the original study [2] appears as an equation for temperature distribution.

By contrast, the motivation of this study for considering problem (1)-(4) comes from the recent connection found in [1] of it to the degenerate parabolic system

\begin{align}
  v_t + vv_y &= \nu \frac{h}{h} [hv_y]_y, \\
  h_t &= -(hv)_y,
\end{align}

(6a)

(6b)

describing evolution of the free surface $h(y,t)$ and averaged lateral velocity $v(y,t)$ of a 2D viscous thin sheet in the absence of surface tension with $(y,t) \in Q_T$ and considered with the following boundary conditions:

\begin{align}
  h_y(0,t) = h_y(1,t) = v(0,t) = v(1,t) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad t \in (0, T),
\end{align}

(7)

and initial data having positive height:

\begin{align}
  h(y,0) = h_0(y) > 0, \quad v(y,0) = v_0(y) \quad \text{for} \quad y \in (0, 1).
\end{align}

We note that system (6) is also a special example of the viscous Saint-Venant or shallow-water equations that were derived and studied extensively in the last three decades, see [10–14] and references therein.

It was shown in [1, section 3] that system (6) and equation (11) considered with the special time-independent source term:

\begin{align}
  f(x,t) = f_0(x) := \frac{M}{h_0(y(x,0))} \left[ v_0(y(x,0)) + \nu \frac{h_0,y(y(x,0))}{h_0(y(x,0))} \right]_y
\end{align}

(8)

are related by the Eulerian-to-Lagrangian coordinate transformation:

\begin{align}
  y_x(x,t) = \frac{M}{h(y(x,t),t)}, \quad y_t(s,t) = v(y(x,t), t), \quad y(x,0) = M \int_0^x \frac{ds}{h_0(s)}
\end{align}

(9)

with constant $M > 0$ denoting the total conserved mass of the viscous sheet:

\begin{align}
  M(t) := \int_0^1 h(y,t) \, dy = M \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in (0, T).
\end{align}

(10)

Additionally, one defines function

\begin{align}
  u(x,t) := \frac{M}{h(y(x,t),t)}.
\end{align}

(11)
The conservation of mass (10) is ensured by boundary conditions (7) and pertains according to transformation (9) also for the corresponding solutions to problem (11)-(14) with (8) in the following form:

$$\int_0^1 u(x, t) \, dx = 1 \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T).$$

(12)

In [1, Theorem 4.1] the authors have shown that without the source term \( f(x, t) \equiv 0 \) solutions to (11)-(14) asymptotically decay to the constant profile \( u_\infty = 1 \) in \( H^1 \)-norm. Besides, basing on numerical simulations of system (6), it was conjectured in [1] (see Remark 4.3 and Fig. 2 there) that in the case of time-independent source \( f(x, t) = f_0(x) \) solutions to (11)-(14) converge to a unique non-constant positive steady state \( u_\infty \) solving the stationary version of (11)-(13), namely,

$$u_\infty(x) = \frac{\nu}{\int \int f(s) \, ds \, dy + C_\nu} > 0$$

(13)

with \( C_\nu \) being a unique root, due to (12), of the following equation:

$$\int_0^1 \left[ \int_0^x f(s) \, ds \, dy + C_\nu \right]^{-1} \, dx = \nu^{-1}. \quad (14)$$

Indeed, for \( f \in L^2(0, 1) \) the double integral in (13)-(14) is \( C^1[0, 1] \) function and, therefore, for any \( \nu > 0 \) positive function \( u_\infty \in H^2(0, 1) \) and constant \( C_\nu \) are uniquely defined.

Moreover, numerical simulations of [1] indicated that the corresponding solutions to the shallow-water system (6) defined through transformation (9) converge as time goes to infinity to the corresponding limit profiles:

$$y_\infty(x) = \int_0^x u_\infty(s) \, ds, \quad h_\infty(y_\infty(x)) = \frac{M}{u_\infty(x)}, \quad v_\infty(y_\infty(x)) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (0, 1),$$

(15)

which are defined explicitly by the initial data \( (h_0, v_0) \) as, due to (8), one has

$$u_\infty(x) = \frac{\nu}{\int_0^x v_0((y(s, 0)) \, ds + \frac{M}{\nu}(h_0(y(x, 0) - h_0(0)) + C_\nu). \quad (16)$$

This intriguing result implies selection of a single attracting steady-state (15) to system (6) depending only on the given initial data \( (h_0, v_0) \) through \( u_\infty \) in (16). We note that this selective long-time asymptotic behaviour can not be easily identified in Eulerian formulation (6), because the set of the steady states to (6) consists of pairs \( (h_\infty, 0) \) with any sufficiently smooth \( h_\infty(x) \) satisfying boundary conditions in (7). We are not aware if this long-time solution behaviour was shown analytically or numerically for the shallow-water system (6) in the literature before study (1).

In this article, to put this result on a firm basis we prove that solutions to singular heat equation (11)-(13) considered with \( L^2 \)-source term satisfying (14) exponentially converge to
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in $H^1$-norm, provided certain integro-algebraic relations between $\nu$, $f(x, t)$ and $u_0$ hold (see conditions (17)-(19) and (41)-(45) in Theorems 2.1 and 3.1, respectively).

The rest of the article is organised as follows. In section 2, we prove an exponential asymptotic decay result for the time homogeneous case $f(x, t) = f_0(x)$ (Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2). In section 3, we generalise it to the time inhomogeneous case (Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.3). In this case the rate of the asymptotic convergence to the limit profile is determined by the rate of $L^2$-convergence of the right-hand side $f(\cdot, t)$ as time goes to infinity. In Examples 2.4 and 3.3 we calculate the explicit form of the derived asymptotic convergence estimates for two concrete examples of source function $f$. In particular, Example 2.4 shows how to transform these estimates into the corresponding ones for the solutions to viscous shallow-water system (6)-(7) using Eulerian-to-Lagrangian transformation (9).

Our proofs use essentially the energy dissipation methods, see e.g. [15, 16] and references therein. In particular, Theorem 3.1 extends the results of [17] on asymptotic exponential convergence to the self-similar Barenblatt’s profiles of solutions to porous-media equation (5) for the case $m \geq 1$ considered also with a time-dependent source $f(x, t)$. We also note that, in contrast to system considered in [17], (1)-(4) posses conservation of mass (12) motivated by their relation to system (6) via transformation (9) discussed above.

Finally, we note that establishing a rigorous correspondence between systems (6) and (1)-(4) with special right-hand side (8) would demand analysis of regularity for their weak solutions [18] and is out of the scope of this study.

2 Time homogeneous case

In the case of $f(x, t) = f_0(x) \in L^2(0, 1)$ in (1)-(4), we prove the following result.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let

$$0 < u_0(x) \in H^1(0, 1) : R_0 := ||(u_0^{-1})_x||_2 < \infty,$$  

(17)

and

$$f_0(x) \in L^2(0, 1) : \int_0^1 f_0(x) \, dx = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad P_0 := || \int_0^x f_0(s) \, ds ||_2 < \infty.$$  

(18)

If, additionally,

$$R_0 \in [0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu \in \left(\frac{2P_0}{1-R_0}, +\infty\right)$$  

(19)

then a weak solution $u(x, t)$ to problem (1)-(3) considered with (12) satisfies

$$0 < \frac{\nu}{\nu(1 + R_0) + 2P_0} \leq u(x, t) \leq \frac{\nu}{\nu(1 - R_0) - 2P_0} < \infty \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in Q_T.$$  

(20)

Moreover, $u^{-1}(\cdot, t)$ converges to the inverse of steady state solution $u^{-1}_\infty$ defined by (13)-(14) considered with $f = f_0$, namely,

$$||u^{-1}(\cdot, t) - u^{-1}_\infty||_{H^1} \leq ||u_0^{-1} - u^{-1}_\infty||_{H^1} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\pi^2t}{\nu} \left[ \nu(1 - R_0) - 2P_0 \right]^2 \right\} \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$  

(21)
Proof of Theorem 2.1. Introducing a new function \( q \) by
\[
q(x, t) = \nu^\frac{1}{2} u^{-1}(x, t), \tag{22}
\]
we can rewrite problem (11–13) in the form
\[
q_t = q^2(q_{xx} - \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} f_0) \quad \text{in} \; Q_T, \tag{23}
\]
\[
q_x(0, t) = q_x(1, t) = 0 \quad \text{for} \; t > 0, \tag{24}
\]
\[
q(x, 0) = q_0(x) := \nu^\frac{1}{2} u_0^{-1}(x) \quad \text{for} \; x \in (0, 1). \tag{25}
\]
Moreover, by (12) we have
\[
\int_0^1 q^{-1} \, dx = \int_0^1 q_0^{-1} \, dx = \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{26}
\]
Multiplying (23) by \(- (q_{xx} - \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} f_0)\), after integration over \((0, 1)\), we get
\[
\frac{d}{dt} E(q(t)) + \int_0^1 q^2(q_{xx} - \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} f_0(x))^2 \, dx = 0, \tag{27}
\]
where the energy functional is
\[
E(q(t)) := \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{1}{2} q_x^2 + \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} f_0(x) q \right] \, dx.
\]
Integrating (27) in time, one obtains the energy equality:
\[
E(q(t)) + \int_0^1 \int_{Q_T} q^2(q_{xx} - \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} f_0(x))^2 \, dx \, dt = E(q_0). \tag{28}
\]
Let us introduce a set
\[
\mathcal{M}_\nu := \left\{ q \in H^1(0, 1) : q_x(0) = q_x(1) = 0, \; \int_0^1 q^{-1} \, dx = \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\}.
\]
Let also \( q_{\min}(x) \) be a unique solution of the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation
\[
q_{xx} = \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} f_0(x) \quad \text{with} \; q_x(0) = q_x(1) = 0,
\]
describing critical points of the functional \( E \) over \( \mathcal{M}_\nu \). Hence,
\[
q_{\min}(x) = \frac{\nu^\frac{1}{2}}{u_\infty(x)} \quad \text{with} \; u_\infty(x) \text{ defined in (13)-(14).} \tag{29}
\]
Next, we look for a lower bound for \( E(q(t)) \). Using integration by parts, one can estimate
\[
\int_0^1 f_0(x) q \, dx = - \int_0^1 q_{\min,x} q_x \, dx \leq ||q_{\min,x}||_2 ||q_x||_2.
\]
Hence, one has

\[ \mathcal{E}(q(t)) \geq \frac{1}{2} ||q_x||^2 - ||q_{\min,x}||_2 ||q_x||_2 \geq -\frac{1}{2} ||q_{\min,x}||_2 = \min_{q \in \mathcal{M}_\nu} \mathcal{E}(q). \]

We conclude that the lower semi-continuous bounded from below functional \( \mathcal{E}(q) \) attains its unique global minimum \( q_{\min}(x) \) on \( \mathcal{M}_\nu \). According to definitions (17)-(18),

\[ P_0 = \nu^{1/2} ||q_{\min,x}||_2 \quad \text{and} \quad R_0 = \nu^{-1/2} ||q_0,x||_2. \]

Next, (28) together with Hölder inequality imply

\[ 0 \geq \frac{1}{2} ||q_x(\cdot, t)||^2_2 - \nu^{-1/2} P_0 ||q_x(\cdot, t)||_2 - \mathcal{E}(q_0), \]

and, consequently, the following upper bound for solutions to (23)-(25):

\[ ||q_x||_2 \leq \nu^{-1/2} P_0 + \sqrt{\nu^{-1} P_0^2 + 2 \mathcal{E}(q_0)} \leq \nu^{-1/2} P_0 + \sqrt{\nu^{-1} P_0^2 + \nu R_0^2 + 2 P_0 R_0}, \]

whence

\[ ||q_x(\cdot, t)||_2 \leq 2 \nu^{-1/2} P_0 + \nu^{1/2} R_0 \quad \text{for all} \ t \in (0, T). \]  

(30)

By (26) there exists \( x_0 \in (0, 1) \) such that \( q(x_0, t) = \nu^{1/2} \). Using this and (30) one estimates:

\[ |q(x, t) - \nu^{1/2}| \leq ||q_x(\cdot, t)||_2 \leq 2 \nu^{-1/2} P_0 + \nu^{1/2} R_0. \]

The last inequality implies the pointwise estimates

\[ \nu^{1/2} - 2 \nu^{-1/2} P_0 - \nu^{1/2} R_0 \leq q(x, t) \leq \nu^{1/2} + 2 \nu^{-1/2} P_0 + \nu^{1/2} R_0 \]

(31)

for all \( (x, t) \in Q_T \). From (31) it follows that \( q(x, t) > 0 \) if conditions (19) holds. Furthermore, by (22) and (31) conditions (19) imply estimate (20).

Next, let us introduce

\[ w := q - q_{\min}. \]  

(32)

Then

\[ \mathcal{E}(q|q_{\min}) := \mathcal{E}(q(t)) - \mathcal{E}(q_{\min}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 w_x(q + q_{\min})_x \, dx + \]

\[ \nu^{-1/2} \int_0^1 f_0(x) w \, dx = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 w(q + q_{\min})_{xx} \, dx + \int_0^1 q_{\min,xx} w \, dx = \]

\[ -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 w_{xx} \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 w_x^2 \, dx. \]

From here we find that

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(q|q_{\min}) = -\int_0^1 w_t w_{xx} \, dx = -\int_0^1 q^2 w_{xx}^2 \, dx \leq 0. \]  

(33)
By (24) the Poincaré inequality
\[ \int_0^1 w^2 x^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 w_{xx}^2 dx \]
holds. Combining it with (20), one arrives at
\[ \frac{\pi^2}{\nu} [\nu (1 - R_0) - 2P_0]^2 \mathcal{E}(q|q_{\min}) \leq \int_0^1 q^2 w_{xx}^2 dx. \]
Hence, by (33) we deduce that
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(q|q_{\min}) + \frac{\pi^2}{\nu} [\nu (1 - R_0) - 2P_0]^2 \mathcal{E}(q|q_{\min}) \leq 0. \quad (34) \]
From (34) it follows that
\[ 0 \leq \mathcal{E}(q|q_{\min}) \leq \mathcal{E}(q_0|q_{\min}) \exp \left\{ -\frac{\pi^2}{\nu} [\nu (1 - R_0) - 2P_0]^2 t \right\} \to 0 \text{ as } t \to +\infty. \]
Therefore, we obtain that
\[ q(\cdot, t) \to q_{\min} \text{ strongly in } H^1(0, 1) \text{ as } t \to +\infty \]
and, consequently, (21).

Corollary 2.2. Conditions of Theorem 2.1 imply also the direct exponential asymptotic convergence of \( u(\cdot, t) \) to \( u_\infty \) in \( H^1(0, 1) \). Namely, there exists a constant \( C \) depending on \( \nu, f_0(x) \) and \( u_0 \) such that
\[ ||u(\cdot, t) - u_\infty||_{H^1} \leq C ||u_0 - u_\infty||_{H^1} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\pi^2}{\nu} [\nu (1 - R_0) - 2P_0]^2 t \right\} \text{ for all } t > 0. \]

Proof. The statement follows from (21), uniform bounds (20) and the following pointwise bounds:
\[ \left( 1 + \frac{P_0}{\nu} \right)^{-1} \leq u_\infty(x) \leq \left( 1 - \frac{P_0}{\nu} \right)^{-1}, \quad (1 + R_0)^{-1} \leq u_0(x) \leq (1 - R_0)^{-1} \text{ for } x \in (0, 1). \]
In the last estimates we used that \( R_0 < 1 \) and \( P_0 < \nu \) holding by assumption (19).

Example 2.3. Here, we show how the asymptotic convergence result from Theorem 2.1 can be applied to show the corresponding convergence (at least formally) of solutions to the viscous shallow water system (6)-(7). The following example is similar to one presented in [1, Remark 4.3 and Fig. 2] and demonstrates that a solution to (6) starting from a constant \( h_0(y) = 1 \) but having non-zero initial velocity \( v_0(y) \) asymptotically, as time goes to infinity, converges to an inhomogeneous limit profile \( h_\infty(y) \).
Firstly, note that Eulerian-to-Lagrangian transformation (9) together with relation (11) allows to rewrite the asymptotic convergence estimate (21) of Theorem 2.1 as one for solution \( h(y, t) \) to (6)-(7) in the form:

\[
\| h(y, t) - h_\infty(y_\infty(\cdot)) \|_{H^1} \leq \| h_0(y, 0) - h_\infty(y_\infty(\cdot)) \|_{H^1} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\pi^2 t}{\nu} \left[ \nu(1 - R_0) - 2P_0 \right]^2 \right\},
\]

holding for all \( t > 0 \), where

\[
h_\infty(y_\infty(x)) = \frac{M}{u_\infty(x)}, \quad y_\infty(x) = \int_0^x u_\infty(x) \, dx, \quad \text{and} \quad h_0(y, 0) = \frac{M}{u_0(x)}
\]

are the limit and initial height profiles, respectively. Moreover, by definitions (17)-(18) and again relation (11) one finds that

\[
R_0 = \frac{1}{M} \| h_{0,x} \|_2 \quad \text{and} \quad P_0 = \frac{\nu}{M} \| h_\infty,x \|_2.
\]

Using these, one can write out the right-hand side of (35) solely using \( h_\infty \) and \( h_0 \) functions as

\[
\| h(y, t) - h_\infty(y_\infty(\cdot)) \|_{H^1} \leq \| h_0(y, 0) - h_\infty(y_\infty(\cdot)) \|_{H^1} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\pi^2 t}{\nu} \left[ 1 - \frac{\| h_{0,x} \|_2 + 2 \| h_\infty,x \|_2}{M} \right]^2 \right\} \text{ for all } t > 0. \tag{36}
\]

Interestingly, the exponential asymptotic decay rate of (36) turns out to be independent of viscosity \( \nu \) in this case.

Next, let us check conditions of Theorem 2.1 and calculate all entries of (36) for the following concrete example of the initial data to (6):

\[
h_0(y) = h_0(y(x, 0)) = u_0(x) = 1, \quad v_0(y) = \frac{1}{2} \sin(\pi y), \quad v_0(y(x, 0)) = \frac{1}{2} \sin(\pi x), \quad M = \nu = 1,
\]

having a constant initial height profile.

In this case, according to definitions (8) and (11)

\[
f_0(x) = \frac{M}{h_0(y(x, 0))} [v_0(y(x, 0))]_y = [v_0(y(x, 0))]_x = \frac{\pi}{2} \cos(\pi x),
\]

where we again used transformation of variables (9). Hence, by definitions (17)-(18) one calculates:

\[
R_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad P_0 = \left\| \int_0^x f_0(s) \, ds \right\|_2 = \left\| v_0(y(x, 0)) \right\|_2 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}, \tag{38}
\]

Therefore, in this case

\[
\nu(1 - R_0) - 2P_0 = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} > 0
\]
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and all conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. From equation (1) and definition (11) one obtains
\[ h_\infty(y_\infty(x)) - h_\infty(0) = \int_0^x v_0(y(s, 0)) \, ds = \frac{1}{2\pi}(1 - \cos(\pi x)). \]
In turn, constant \( h_\infty(0) \) is determined by the analogue of condition (14):
\[ \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{h_\infty(y_\infty(x))} = 1, \]
so that one obtains
\[ h_\infty(y_\infty(x)) = \frac{1}{2\pi}(C_\infty - \cos(\pi x)), \]
where \( C_\infty \approx 6.37 \).

One can also calculate explicitly
\[ ||h_\infty(y_\infty(\cdot)) - h_\infty(\cdot, 0)||_{H^1} = ||\frac{1}{2\pi}(C_\infty - \cos(\pi \cdot)) - 1||_{H^1} \approx 0.37. \]

Finally, substituting (38)-(40) into (35) one obtains an explicit asymptotic decay estimate for the solution to (6) with initial data given by (37):
\[ \|h(y(\cdot, t)) - \frac{1}{2\pi}(C_\infty - \cos(\pi \cdot))\|_{H^1} \leq \|\frac{1}{2\pi}(C_\infty - \cos(\pi \cdot)) - 1\|_{H^1} \exp\left\{-\pi^2 t \left[1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right]^2\right\} \]
\[ \leq 0.37 \exp\{-0.84t\} \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \]

We note that the last estimate implies also an asymptotic convergence result of \( h(\cdot, t) \) to \( h_\infty \) in original Eulerian coordinates \((y, t)\) as \( t \to \infty \).

### 3 Time inhomogeneous case

In the case of \( f \in C(0, T; L^2(0, 1)) \) for all \( T > 0 \) in (1)-(4), we prove the following result.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let
\[ 0 < u_0(x) \in H^1(0, 1) : \ R_0 := \|(u_0^{-1})_x\|_2 < \infty. \]
Let \( f \in C(0, T; L^2(0, 1)) \) for all \( T > 0 \) and \( f_0, f_\infty \in L^2(0, 1) \) be such that
\[ \|f(\cdot, t) - f_\infty\|_2 \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty \text{ and } \|f(\cdot, t) - f_0\|_2 \to 0 \text{ as } t \to 0. \]

Assume that
\[ P_0 := \|\int_0^x f_0(s) \, ds\|_2 < \infty, \quad N_\infty := \int_0^\infty \|\int_0^x f_\infty(s, t) \, ds\|_2 \, dt < \infty. \]

If conditions
\[ R_0 \in [0, 1) \text{ and } \nu \in (\nu_+, +\infty) \]
hold with

$$\nu_+ := \frac{2N_\infty + (1 + R_0)P_0 + \sqrt{(2N_\infty + P_0(1 + R_0))^2 - N_\infty^2(1 - R_0^2)}}{1 - R_0^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)$$

then a weak solution $u(x, t)$ to (1)–(3) considered with (12) obeys the uniform bounds

$$0 < A_- \leq u(x, t) \leq A_+ < \infty$$  \hspace{1cm} (46)$$

with

$$A_\pm := \frac{\nu}{2N_\infty + P_0 + \sqrt{(N_\infty + P_0)^2 + 2(N_\infty + P_0)N_\infty + \nu^2 R_0^2 + 2\nu P_0 R_0}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (47)$$

for all $x \in (0, 1)$ and $t > 0$.

Furthermore, there exists a unique solution $u_\infty \in H^2(0, 1)$ of the limit equation

$$- (u_\infty^{-2} u_{\infty,x})_x = \nu^{-1} f_\infty(x) \text{ with } u_\infty,x(0) = u_\infty,x(1) = 0,$$

given by (38)–(39) considered with $f = f_\infty$, such that $u^{-1}(\cdot, t)$ converges to $u_\infty^{-1}$, namely, for all $t > 0$ it holds

$$||u^{-1}(\cdot, t) - u_\infty^{-1}||_{H^1} \leq e^{-B t} \left[ ||u_0^{-1} - u_\infty^{-1}||_{H^1} + C \int_0^t ||f(\cdot, s) - f_\infty||^2_{L^2(B^*)} ds \right] \to 0,$$

as $t \to \infty$, where constants

$$C := \frac{1}{\nu^{1/2} A_-^2} + \frac{1}{\nu^{1/2} A_+^2}, \quad B := \frac{\nu^2}{2A_+^2}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1 by introducing a new function $q$ as

$$q(x, t) = \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{-1}(x, t),$$

we can rewrite problem (1)–(3) in the form

$$q_t = q^2(q_{xx} - \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} f) \text{ in } Q_T,$$

$$q_x(0, t) = q_x(1, t) = 0 \text{ for } t > 0,$$

$$q(x, 0) = q_0(x) := \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} u_0^{-1}(x) \text{ for } x \in (0, 1),$$

equipped with

$$\int_0^1 q^{-1}(\cdot, t) \, dx = \int_0^1 q_0^{-1} \, dx = \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for } t > 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (55)$$

Multiplying (52) by $- (q_{xx} - \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} f)$, after integration by parts over $Q_T$ and using property (1), one obtains the following energy equality:

$$\mathcal{E}(q(t)) + \int_0^t \int_0^1 q^2(q_{xx} - \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} f)^2 \, dx \, dt + \nu^{-1/2} \int_0^t \int_0^1 q_x \left[ \int_0^x f_\tau \, ds \right] \, dx \, d\tau = \mathcal{E}(q_0),$$

(56)
Hence, one deduces bounds

\[ \mathcal{E}(q(\cdot, t)) := \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{1}{2} q_x^2 + \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} f q \right] dx. \quad (57) \]

Applying the Hölder inequality in (56) gives

\[ ||q_x(\cdot, t)||^2_2 \leq 2\nu^{-1/2} P(t)||q_x(\cdot, t)||^2_2 + 2\nu^{-1/2} \int_0^t ||q_x(\cdot, \tau)||^2 N'(\tau) d\tau + 2\mathcal{E}(q_0), \quad (58) \]

where we introduced functions

\[ P(t) := || \int_0^x f(s, t) ds ||_2, \quad N(t) := \int_0^t || \int_0^x f_x(s, \tau) ds ||_2 d\tau. \]

Using these, \( f \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(0, 1)) \) and (43) one estimates

\[ 2P(t)P'(t) = \frac{d}{dt} P^2(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \left[ \int_0^x f(s, t) ds \right]^2 dx \]

\[ = 2 \int_0^1 \left[ \int_0^x f(s, t) ds \right] \left[ \int_0^x f_x(s, t) ds \right] dx \]

\[ \leq 2P(t) \left( \int_0^1 \left[ \int_0^x f_x(s, t) ds \right]^2 dx \right)^{1/2} = 2P(t)N'(t). \]

Hence, one deduces bounds

\[ P'(t) \leq N'(t) \quad \text{and} \quad P(t) \leq N_\infty + P_0, \quad (59) \]

where we used definitions (43). Using (59), one can rewrite (58) as

\[ (||q_x(\cdot, t)||_2 - \nu^{-1/2}(N_\infty + P_0))^2 \leq \nu^{-1}(N_\infty + P_0)^2 + 2\nu^{-1/2} \int_0^t ||q_x(\cdot, \tau)||_2 N'(\tau) d\tau + 2\mathcal{E}(q_0). \]

By introduction of the new nonnegative function

\[ v(t) := (||q_x(\cdot, t)||_2 - \nu^{-1/2}(N_\infty + P_0))^2, \quad (60) \]

the last inequality together with (59) and (57) implies:

\[ v(t) \leq \nu^{-1}(N_\infty + P_0)^2 + 2\nu^{-1/2} \int_0^t v^{1/2}(\tau) N'(\tau) d\tau + 2\nu^{-1} \int_0^t (N_\infty + P_0) N'(\tau) d\tau + 2\mathcal{E}(q_0) \]

\[ = \nu^{-1}(N_\infty + P_0)^2 + 2\nu^{-1/2} \int_0^t v^{1/2}N'(\tau) d\tau + 2\nu^{-1}(N_\infty + P_0) N(t) + 2\mathcal{E}(q_0) \]

\[ \leq a_0 + 2\nu^{-1/2} \int_0^t v^{1/2}(\tau) N'(\tau) d\tau, \]
where
\[ a_0 := \nu^{-1}(N_\infty + P_0)^2 + 2\nu^{-1}(N_\infty + P_0)N_\infty + \nu R_0^2 + 2P_0R_0, \]
with \( R_0 \) being defined in (41).

The last inequality after an application of Bihari-LaSalle lemma [19] to \( v(t) \), while using \( a_0 \geq 0 \) and \( N'(t) \geq 0 \), implies
\[ v^{1/2}(t) \leq a_0^{1/2} + \nu^{-1/2}N(t) \leq a_0^{1/2} + \nu^{-1/2}N_\infty, \]
which, in turn, using definition (60) gives
\[ ||q_x(\cdot, t)||_2 \leq a_0^{1/2} + 2\nu^{-1/2}N_\infty + \nu^{-1/2}P_0. \]

In turn, this together with (55) implies
\[ |q(x, t) - \nu^{1/2}| \leq \int_0^1 |q_x(x, t)| dx \leq ||q_x(\cdot, t)||_2 \leq a_0^{1/2} + 2\nu^{-1/2}N_\infty + \nu^{-1/2}P_0, \]
and, consequently,
\[ \nu^{1/2} - a_0^{1/2} - 2\nu^{-1/2}N_\infty - \nu^{-1/2}P_0 \leq q(x, t) \leq \nu^{1/2} + a_0^{1/2} + 2\nu^{-1/2}N_\infty + \nu^{-1/2}P_0 \quad (61) \]
hold for all \( x \in (0, 1) \) and \( t > 0 \). Estimates (61) together with definition (51) imply the uniform pointwise bounds (46)-(47) provided
\[ \nu - a_0^{1/2} \nu^{1/2} - 2N_\infty - P_0 > 0. \]

This is equivalent to the following system of inequalities:
\[ \nu > 2N_\infty + P_0, \]
\[ 1 > R_0^2, \]
\[ (1 - R_0^2)\nu^2 - 2\nu(2N_\infty + P_0 + R_0P_0) + N_\infty^2 > 0. \]

By solving the last inequality explicitly this system turns into:
\[ \nu > 2N_\infty + P_0, \]
\[ 1 > R_0^2, \]
\[ \nu \in (0, \nu_{-}) \cup (\nu_{+}, +\infty), \]
where
\[ \nu_{\pm} := \frac{2N_\infty + (1 + R_0)P_0 \pm \sqrt{(2N_\infty + P_0(1 + R_0))^2 - N_\infty^2(1 - R_0^2)}}{1 - R_0^2}, \]
It is easy to check that \( \nu_{-} < 2N_\infty + P_0 \) for all nonnegative \( N_\infty, P_0 \) and \( R_0 \). Therefore, the last system of inequalities is equivalent to conditions (44)-(45).
Next, let \( u_\infty \in H^2(0, 1) \) be a unique solution of the limit equation (48), and

\[
q_\infty(x) := \frac{\nu^{1/2}}{u_\infty(x)}.
\] (62)

Let \( w(x, t) := q(x, t) - q_\infty(x) \). Then

\[
\mathcal{E}(q|q_\infty) := \mathcal{E}(q(t)) - \mathcal{E}(q_\infty) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 w_x^2(q + q_{\text{min}})_x \, dx + \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 (q f(x, t) - q_\infty(x) f_\infty(x)) \, dx
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 w(q + q_{\text{min}})_{xx} \, dx + \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 w f_\infty(x) \, dx + \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 q f - f_\infty(x) \, dx
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 w w_{xx} \, dx + \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 q[f - f_\infty(x)] \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 w_x^2 \, dx + \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 q[f - f_\infty(x)] \, dx.
\]

From here we find that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(q|q_\infty) = -\int_0^1 w_t[w_{xx} - \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f - f_\infty(x))] \, dx + \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 q f_t \, dx
\]

\[
= -\int_0^1 q^2[w_{xx} - \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f - f_\infty(x))]^2 \, dx + \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 q f_t \, dx,
\] (63)

i.e.

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 w_x^2 \, dx + \int_0^1 q^2[w_{xx} - \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f - f_\infty(x))]^2 \, dx =
\]

\[
-\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 q_t[f - f_\infty(x)] \, dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 q^2[w_{xx} - \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f - f_\infty(x))]^2 \, dx + \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 q^2[f - f_\infty(x)]^2 \, dx
\]

whence

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 w_x^2 \, dx + \int_0^1 q^2[w_{xx} - \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f - f_\infty(x))]^2 \, dx \leq \nu^{-1} \int_0^1 q^2[f - f_\infty(x)]^2 \, dx.
\] (64)

Let us denote by

\[
Z(x, t) := w_x - \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^x (f - f_\infty(s)) \, ds, \quad K(x, t) := \int_0^x (f - f_\infty(s)) \, ds.
\]

As \( Z(0, t) = Z(1, t) = K(0, t) = K(1, t) = 0 \) for \( t > 0 \), then by the Poincaré inequality and (46), one has

\[
\frac{\nu^2}{\lambda^2} \int_0^1 Z^2 \, dx \leq \int_0^1 q^2 Z_x^2 \, dx, \quad \pi \| K(\cdot, t) \|_2 \leq \| K(\cdot, t) \|_2 = \| f(\cdot, t) - f_\infty \|_2.
\] (65)
Taking into account
\[\int_0^1 Z^2 \, dx = \int_0^1 w_x^2 \, dx - 2\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 w_x K \, dx + \nu^{-1} \int_0^1 K^2 \, dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 w_x^2 \, dx - \nu^{-1} \int_0^1 K^2 \, dx,\]
and \((65)\) we deduce that
\[\nu^{-1} \int_0^1 \nu^2 |w_{xx} - \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} (f - f_\infty(x))|^2 \, dx \geq \frac{\nu \eta^2}{A^4} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int w_x^2 \, dx - \frac{1}{\pi^2 \nu} \| f(\cdot, t) - f_\infty(x) \|_2^2 \right].\]
Next, using again \((46)\) one estimates
\[\nu^{-1} \int_0^1 q^2 |f - f_\infty(x)|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{A^2} \int_0^1 \| f(\cdot, t) - f_\infty(x) \|_2^2 \leq \left( \frac{1}{A^2} + \frac{1}{A^4} \right) \| f(\cdot, t) - f_\infty(x) \|_2^2 := D(t). \quad (66)\]
So, by \((64)\) one obtains
\[\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 w_x^2 \, dx + \frac{\nu \eta^2}{2A^4} \int_0^1 w_x^2 \, dx \leq D(t).\]
Consequently, it follows that
\[\int_0^1 w_x^2 \, dx \leq e^{-B t} \left[ \| w_{0,x} \|_2^2 + t \int_0^t D(s) e^{B s} \, ds \right], \quad (67)\]
where \(B\) is from \((50)\). It remains only to show that the right-hand side of \((67)\) tends to zero as \(t \to \infty\). This is true immediately, if
\[\int_0^\infty D(s) e^{B s} \, ds < \infty.\]
But if
\[\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_0^t D(s) e^{B s} \, ds = +\infty,\]
then after an application of the L’Hôpital’s rule one has:
\[\lim_{t \to \infty} e^{-B t} \int_0^t D(s) e^{B s} \, ds = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{D(t)}{B} = 0.\]
Therefore, we conclude that \((67)\) together with \((62)\) and \((51)\) implies asymptotic convergence estimate \((49)\). 
\[\square\]
Remark 3.2. One can check that results of Theorem 3.1 are consistent with those of Theorem 2.1. Indeed, in the time-homogeneous case $f(x, t) = f_0(x)$ formulae (44)-(45) and (46)-(47) coincide with (19) and (20), respectively. This is verified by the direct substitution of $N_\infty = 0$ into the former formulae. Also the asymptotic convergence estimate (49)-(50) is consistent with (21) in this case.

Corollary 3.3. Conditions of Theorem 3.1 imply also the direct exponential asymptotic convergence of $u(\cdot, t)$ to $u_\infty$ in $H^1(0, 1)$. Namely, there exists a constant $C_1$ depending on $\nu, f(x, t)$ and $u_0, f_0, f_\infty$ such that for all $t > 0$ it holds

$$||u(\cdot, t) - u_\infty||_{H^1} \leq C_1 e^{-B t} \left[||u_0 - u_\infty||_{H^1} + \int_0^t ||f(\cdot, s) - f_\infty||_2^2 e^{B s} ds\right] \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty,$$

Proof. The statement follows from (49), uniform bounds (46) and the following pointwise bounds:

$$\left(1 + \frac{N_\infty + P_0}{\nu}\right)^{-1} \leq u_\infty(x) \leq \left(1 - \frac{N_\infty + P_0}{\nu}\right)^{-1},$$

$$(1 + R_0)^{-1} \leq u_0(x) \leq (1 - R_0)^{-1} \text{ for } x \in (0, 1).$$

Note, that the pointwise bounds for $u_\infty$ follow from the estimate

$$|u_\infty^{-1}(x) - 1| \leq ||(u_\infty^{-1})_x||_2 \leq \nu^{-1} ||\int_0^x f_\infty(s) ds||_2 \text{ for all } x \in (0, 1),$$

and (42), (59). In (68) we also used that $R_0 < 1$ and $N_\infty + P_0 < \nu$ hold by assumptions (44)-(45). \qed

Example 3.4. Here, we calculate an explicit form of the asymptotic convergence estimate (49)-(50) for the particular right-hand side

$$f(x, t) = \min\{1, 1/t\} \cos(\pi x), \quad ||f(\cdot, t)||_2 \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty;$$

and the initial data

$$u_0 = u_\infty = 1.$$

In this case,

$$R_0 = 0, \quad P_0 = ||\int_0^x \cos(\pi s) ds||_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$N_\infty = \int_1^\infty ||\int_0^x \cos(\pi s) ds||_2 dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Therefore, conditions (44)-(45) reduce to

$$\nu \in \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} + 2, \infty\right),$$

and (68) also used that $R_0 < 1$ and $N_\infty + P_0 < \nu$ hold by assumptions (44)-(45). \qed
while constants (47) to
\[ A_{\pm} = \frac{\nu}{\nu \pm [3/\sqrt{2} + 2]} \]
Hence, constants in (50) become
\[ C = \frac{2}{\nu \sqrt{2}} (\nu^2 + [3/\sqrt{2} + 2]^2), \quad B = \frac{\nu^2 [\nu - 3/\sqrt{2} - 2]^2}{2\nu}. \] (69)
Finally, estimate (49) reduces in this example to
\[ ||u^{-1}(\cdot, t) - 1||_{H^1} \leq C_2 e^{-Bt} \left( \int_0^1 e^{Bs} \, ds + \int_1^t \frac{1}{2} e^{Bs} \, ds \right) \text{ for all } t > 0. \]
For sufficiently large \( t > 1 \) the last estimate implies that
\[ ||u^{-1}(\cdot, t) - 1||_{H^1} \leq C_2 \exp \{ -Bt \} \left( \frac{2}{Bt^2} + \frac{e^B - 1}{B} \right) \text{ as } t \to \infty \]
with the exponential decay rate \( B \) from (69).
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