Characterization of the Unusual Bidirectional ves Promoters Driving VESA1 Expression and Associated with Antigenic Variation in *Babesia bovis*
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Rapid clonal antigenic variation in *Babesia bovis* involves the variant erythrocyte surface antigen-1 (VESA1) protein expressed on the infected-erythrocyte surface. Because of the significance of this heterodimeric protein for demonstrated mechanisms of parasite survival and virulence, there is a need to understand how expression of the ves multigene family encoding this protein is controlled. As an initial step toward this goal, we present here initial characterization of the ves promoter driving transcription of VESA1a and -1b subunits. A series of transfection constructs containing various sequence elements from the in vivo locus of active ves transcription (LAT) were used to drive expression of the firefly luciferase gene in a dual luciferase-normalized assay. The results of this approach reveal the presence of two bidirectional promoter activities within the 434-bp intergenic region (1Gr), influenced by putative regulatory sequences embedded within the flanking vesα and vesβ genes. Repressor-like effects on the apposing gene were observed for intron 1 of both vesα and vesβ. This effect is apparently not dependent upon intronic promoter activity and acts only in cis. The expression of genes within the ves family is likely modulated by local elements embedded within ves coding sequences outside the intergenic promoter region in concert with chromatin modifications. These results provide a framework to help us begin to understand gene regulation during antigenic variation in *B. bovis*.

The protozoal bovine hemoparasite *Babesia bovis* sequesters mature developmental stages in the deep vasculature through the process of cytoadhesion, a phenomenon in which parasitized erythrocytes (PE) bind to the capillary and postcapillary venous endothelium. *B. bovis* cytoadhesion may occur in essentially any major organ (55–57), including the brain (1), and adhesive parasites may be selected which cytoadhere in *vivo* to cultured bovine brain capillary (39) and umbilical vein (34) endothelial cells. The sequestration of the mature developmental stages through cytoadhesion presumably precludes their passage through the spleen and thus mediates avoidance of splenic clearance (4).

To achieve cytoadhesion, *B. bovis* must export parasite-derived proteins to the surface of the infected erythrocyte (7, 39). Although the benefits of cytoadhesion have not yet been rigorously defined, the parasite-derived cytoadhesion ligands on the PE surface ultimately result in a host adaptive immune response to the exported proteins. As a result of this immune selection pressure, *B. bovis* undergoes rapid structural and antigenic variation of the surface proteins (6, 38). The parasite protein mediating both antigenic variation and cytoadhesion in *B. bovis* is the variant erythrocyte surface antigen-1 (VESA1) (6, 7, 37, 38). VESA1 is a heterodimeric protein whose subunits, VESA1a and -1b, are isolate specific and size polymorphic (7). This protein undergoes rapid structural and antigenic variation (6, 38) over time through a process of segmental gene conversion (2) that, when exposed to immune selection pressure, results in population-wide phenotypic changes (6). Variation may also occur during growth in *in vitro* culture in the absence of such selection pressure, although variants typically are slow to dominate the population under *in vitro* conditions (unpublished observations). Thus, antigenic variation would appear to be an ongoing, seemingly stochastic process. Such variation, and the function of the variant proteins as cytoadhesion ligands, is a trait shared between *B. bovis* and the human malarial parasite, *Plasmodium falciparum* (3).

The two subunits of VESA1 are encoded by members of the ves multigene family, with the 1a subunit encoded by vesα genes (5) and the 1b subunit encoded by vesβ genes (58). There are approximately 150 members of the ves multigene family in the *B. bovis* genome (9). A majority of members are organized as head-to-head, divergent gene pairs and most often include one vesα and one vesβ gene, although pairs containing two vesα genes have also been observed (2, 9). Transcription of ves genes appears to be monoparalogous, with both vesα and vesβ transcripts arising from a single locus (60). Although it is likely that many such loci are capable of driving transcription, to date only a single locus, termed the “locus of active ves transcription” (LAT) (2), has been observed to be transcriptionally active. The divergent, clustered organization of the actively transcribed genes could present the parasite with a mechanism by which to coordinately regulate the expression of the two genes and thus their encoded subunits. Given the adhesive function of this protein, the ability to arrive at a consistent subunit stoichiometry would seem to be beneficial, although this may not always be achieved (58). However, it also creates certain logistical problems and raises fundamental questions about how transcription may be organized to proceed bidirectionally, whether it involves simultaneous transcription of overlapping sequences or whether such overlap occurs within individual parasites. Preliminary mapping of transcription start sites for the vesα gene by 5′ rapid amplification of cDNA ends (5′-
FIG 1 Schematic diagrams of LAT organization and of constructs used in this study. (A) Schematic diagram showing the overall organization of the known, active B. bovis C9.1 line LAT in situ (adapted from reference 2 with permission). The ves1α gene exons are shown in black, ves1β exons in light gray, and downstream ves1α gene exons in black. Introns are shown as thicker pink segments and are numbered. Rep 1, 2, and 3 and thicker red segments indicate repeated 3’ sequences found at the ends of the ves1α and ves1β genes. Rep 23, Rep 43, and Rep 45 and thickened green, yellow, and bright pink segments indicate segments containing tandem repeats or a 23-, 43-, or 45-bp repeat length. A more complete description of the site and structure of the proteins is available in reference 2. (B) Schematic diagram of the overall organization of the transfection constructs used in this study. All were administered as supercoiled circular DNA. (C) Schematic diagram of constructs made to test for repressor-like activity (the reverse orientation is shown). The AatII insertion site is the region in which various insertions destroyed the AatII site. All elements are drawn to scale except the promoter region. The promoter sequences differed in length among individual constructs; as drawn, the promoter would be 1 kbp in length.

RACE) revealed a beginning on the “ves1β” side of the intergenic region (IGr) in which ves promoter activities presumably would reside (5). A reanalysis of ves1α and ves1β transcripts by the use of a cap-dependent form of 5’-RACE suggests that transcription begins at several sites for each gene, including on the “distal half” of the IGr (this study). Given the quasipalindromic nature of the ves LAT, including a pair of inverted repeat sequences on each side of the IGr (2), this result is perhaps not surprising.

Another bidirectional promoter region in B. bovis, that which controls the EF1α genes, has previously been described (48). This region was shown to be composed of two nearly identical unidirectional promoters and identical EF1α genes. Given their nearly identical sequences, the two promoters and genes are likely the result of recent gene duplication and inversion events. However, ves genes and promoter regions are much more complex. Despite their overall similarities, ves genes bear distinct branch-associated asymmetries in sequence and organization, including within the IGr. We hypothesized that this complexity was key to regulation of the locus for the previously observed monoparalogous transcription (60).

To understand how ves genes are regulated requires first dissecting their structure and then identifying the relevant regulatory regions controlling gene expression, transcription factor binding sites, and local epigenetic chromatin modifications and remodeling. To initiate this process, we chose the approach of transiently transfecting parasites with plasmid constructs containing various regions of the LAT IGr to drive expression of a firefly luciferase reporter gene. These data were normalized by cotransfection with a second, Renilla luciferase-containing construct, enabling direct comparisons between the various constructs with regard to levels of gene expression. We report here that the quasipalindromic IGr of the LAT contains two bidirectional promoter activities whose levels of activity are affected by sequences embedded within the apposing flanking ves1α and ves1β genes. Furthermore, similar segments derived from transcriptionally silent ves loci were found to be capable of driving significant levels of marker gene expression, suggesting the capacity of such loci to accommodate epigenetic in situ transcriptional switching. This work should facilitate future investigation of the various influences involved in regulating this gene family and ultimately the development of strategies to ameliorate aspects of disease associated with this virulence trait.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Parasite culture.** The B. bovis C9.1 and CE11 clonal lines were used in this study. Derivation of these lines by limiting dilution cloning and in vitro cultivation under microaerophilous stationary-phase conditions has been described previously (6, 27, 37).

**Basic luciferase reporter constructs.** A number of different plasmids were constructed to assess promoter function (Fig. 1). A promoterless pGEM-Luc reporter vector (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI) was used as the basis for construction of reporter plasmids. A presumptive terminator sequence from the β-tubulin gene was amplified by PCR using B. bovis C9.1 clonal line genomic DNA (gDNA) and primers XW23 and XW26 (sequences for all primers used in this study are available upon request). The product was cloned into the SacI site of pGEM-Luc 3’ to luciferase coding sequences to yield the promoterless control plasmid, pLuc-T3. pLuc-T3 was used as a promoterless negative control in all assays and as the foundation for assembly of all firefly luciferase constructs. For the assembly of a construct using 5’ regulatory sequences of calmodulin, 1,397 bp of sequence upstream of the calmodulin gene start codon was amplified using B. bovis C9.1 gDNA and primers XW17 and XW18. The amplicon was cloned into the HindIII site of pLuc-T3 to yield pC5-Luc. A plasmid containing ves gene 5’ regulatory sequences was created by PCR amplification of the 678 bp upstream of the start codon of the LAT-associated ves1α gene (DQ267461) from phagemid DQ267460.1 (2) by the use of primers XW25 and XW26. The product was cloned into the HindIII site of pLuc-T3 to yield plasmid pLAT_Vα5-β11. In addition to intergenic region sequences, this construct contained exon 1 and intron 1
of the apposing ves1β gene. Plasmids with reverse-oriented 5′ sequences served as reverse promoter negative controls. The pEF1α-IG plasmid, a kind gift from C. Suarez, was previously demonstrated to possess significant promoter activity (48) and in initial experiments was used as a positive control. An internal-control plasmid for normalization of firefly luciferase values among samples was constructed as follows: a 2,726-bp fragment containing 1,699 bp of the firefly luciferase gene plus 996 bp of β-tubulin 3′ sequences was removed from the pC5-Luc plasmid by digestion with BamHI and SacI. This was replaced by a fragment of 1,595 bp containing 933 bp of R. reniformis luciferase gene plus 662 bp of P. falciparum calmodulin polyadenylation sequences obtained by digestion of pPfrLuc plasmid DNA (a gift from D. Wirth [32, 33], provided to us by T. Bonilla) with BamHI and SacI. The resulting plasmid was named pCSRemilla.

Constructs with intergenic regions from alternative ves donor loci. The 669 bp of 5′ sequences from the ves1c gene was amplified from cosmID 1E10 (GenBank accession number AY279553) (2) by PCR, using primers XW77 and XW78, with creation of BamHI restriction sites on both ends. The amplicon was inserted into pLuc-T3, and the correctly oriented plasmid was named p1E10. Another 658 bp of 5′ sequences of ves1α were amplified from cosmID S621 (GenBank accession number AY279554) (2) with XW92 and XW76. The amplicon was inserted into pLuc-T3 to yield construct pS621. All plasmid constructs were confirmed by restriction digestion and sequencing. Schematic diagrams of plasmid configuration are provided in Fig. 1B and C, and each panel indicates the region of LAT sequences (or corresponding regions) included in constructs used in the experiments represented by the figure.

Constructs with select ves intergenic region sequences. The 434-bp LAT intergenic region was amplified from phagemid 6-1 (2) by the use of primers XW79 and XW80 and inserted into the BamHI site of pLuc-T3. As only plasmid with reversely inserted 5′ sequences was recovered (pLAT_IgVβ), an Apal restriction site was engineered into the 5′ end of the insertion by the use of XW95. This facilitated oriented insertion of the product into pLuc-T3, yielding pLAT_IgVα5. Fragments containing one-half of the Igr were amplified from pLAT_IgVα5 with creation of an Apal site at the 5′ end and BamHI site at the 3′ end and were cloned by directional insertion. Sequences to create the 233 bp of pLAT_halfIGrI construct were amplified from pLAT_IgVα5 by the use of XW106 and XW80. Sequences for the 200 bp of pLAT_halfIGr2 were amplified from pLAT_IgVα5 by the use of XW95 and XW107. The 200 bp of pLAT_halfIGr3 was amplified from pLAT_IgVα5 by the use of XW108 and XW79. Finally, the 233 bp of pLAT_halfIGr4 was amplified from pLAT_IgVα5 by the use of XW109 and XW110. PCR products were inserted into pLuc-T3 doubly digested with Apal plus BamHI. The position of each relative to the full IGR, and its orientation relative to the luciferase gene upon insertion, is shown here (see Fig. 4).

Constructs with additional ves gene sequences. Sequences for the creation of constructs containing additional ves sequences were amplified from phagemid 3-2-1 (2). The 584-bp fragment used to create pLAT_Vα5-βE1 was amplified with primers XW124 and XW80. The 3′ antisense primer used in all vesIβ constructs was XW79. It was paired with XW125 for the 557 bp of the pLAT_Vβ5-α1 construct; with XW111 for the 670 bp of the pLAT_Vβ5-α1 construct; with XW126 for the 734 bp of the Vβ5-E2 fragment; or with XW112 for the 807 bp of the pLAT_Vβ5-α2 construct. Products were inserted into pLuc-T3 doubly digested with Apal plus BamHI. These constructs are shown schematically (see Fig. 5). A control construct was assembled by amplifying a 238-bp segment of the msp1α gene from Anaplasma marginale Florida isolate genomic DNA by the use of primers DA129-Am3 and DA130-Am3. The resulting amplicon was inserted into the HindIII site of pLAT_IgVα5 to generate pMsp_IgVα5.

 Constructs with inverted intron sequences. The 43 bp of ves1β intron 1 sequence was inverted by a crossover PCR approach (41). The intron was amplified from pLAT_Vα5-β1 with primers DA119(Apal) and XW120. The amplicon was connected with Apal-linearized pLAT_Vα5-βE1 by crossover PCR with primers DA119(Apal) and XW80. The fragment was cut with BamHI and Apal and ligated into similarly cut pLuc-T3 plasmid to yield pLAT_Vα5-β1r1. To reverse ves1a introns 1 and/or 2, introns were first amplified with Phusion polymerase (New England BioLabs, Beverly, MA). ves1a introns 1 and 2 were amplified from pLAT_Vβ5-α2 with primers DA121 and DA122 and primers DA123 and DA124, respectively. To prepare vector for ves1a intron 1, all other plasmid sequences were amplified by pLAT_Vβ5-α2 by inverse PCR with primers DA125 and DA126. Similarly, for ves1a intron 2, all other plasmid sequences were amplified by inverse PCR with DA127 and DA128. Introns 1 and 2 were inserted into the relevant vectors by the use of InFusion reagents as recommended by the manufacturer (Clontech, Mountain View, CA) to make pLAT_Vβ5-α2r1 and pLAT_Vβ5-α2r2, respectively. To reverse both introns, pLAT_Vβ5-α2r1 was amplified with DA125 and DA126 and the amplified reversed intron 1 was inserted, using InFusion reagents, to create pLAT_Vβ5-α2r1r2. These constructs are shown (see Fig. 5).

 Constructs employing only intronic sequences as promoter. Intron 1 (72 bp) of the C9.1 line-expressed ves1a gene was amplified from pgC3c (5), in both polarities, with primers DA111 and DA112 and primers DA113 and DA114. The amplified sequences were inserted into pLuc-T3 plasmid linearized using HindIII and In-Fusion reagents (Clontech, Mt. View, CA) to yield pLuc_a12F and pLuc_a12R. Intron 1 of the ves1α gene was amplified from construct pLAT_Vβ5-α2 with primers DA133 and DA134, whereas intron 1 of the ves1β gene was amplified from pLAT_Vα5-β1 with primers DA131 and DA132. Amplicons were inserted into the BamHI site of pLuc-T3 to yield constructs pLuc_a11F, pLuc_a11R, pLuc_a12F, pLuc_a12R, pLuc_b11F, and pLuc_b11R. These constructs are shown (see Fig. 6).

 Constructs employing intronic sequences as distal elements. The pLAT_Vα5-β1 plasmid was opened with AatII at a location 2,204 nucleotides (nt) distal to the HindIII site containing ves promoter sequences. ves1b intron 1 sequences were amplified with primers DA107 and DA108 and primers DA109 and DA110. Amplicons were inserted into the AatII site of pLAT_Vα5-β1, in both polarities, by the use of In-Fusion reagents (Clontech) to yield pDistal_b11F and pDistal_b11R (see Fig. 5).

 Nomenclature of construct names. Constructs containing IGR sequences from the LAT ves locus are designated “pLAT_V_” followed by an “r5” or a “β5.” These designate whether the “α side” or “β side” of the IGR is directly apposed to the luciferase reporter as the 5′ promoter sequence. Sequences beyond the IGR (i.e., apposing the luciferase gene) are indicated by an “E#” or “I#” to indicate that they extend to the end of an exon or intron, respectively, with the “#” referring to the number of the exon or intron. A superscripted “r#” indicates reversal of that specific intron within the construct. For example, “pLAT_Vβ5-α2r1r2” contains the IGR oriented with its β side facing the luciferase gene and includes ves1α gene sequences through the end of intron 2 but with intron 1 sequences in a reversed orientation. Schematic drawings are provided in each figure to clarify the sequences contained within each individual construct and their orientations.

Transient transfection of constructs. Plasmid DNAs were prepared using EndoFree plasmid purification reagents (Qiagen, Inc., La Jolla, CA) or PureYield plasmid (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI) or Zyppe Plasmid Max (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA) preparation reagents, following the instructions of the manufacturers. DNAs were suspended in cytotoxic buffer before use. DNA concentrations were determined by UV spectrometry and by comparison with known amounts of standard markers on agarose gels. Before transfections, plasmid DNAs were diluted into cytomix (120 mM KCl, 0.15 mM CaCl2, 10 mM K2HPO4/KH2PO4, 25 mM HEPES, 2 mM EGTA, 5 mM MgCl2, pH 7.6). Parasites were used when cultures reached 5% to 15% parasitized erythrocytes. For transfecting infected red blood cells (IRBCs), parasite cultures were centrifuged at 3,300 × g for 10 min at 4°C. The cell pellet was washed two times with cytomix, under the same conditions. For each transfection, 100 µl of packed IRBCs was electroporated with 11.5 µmol of transfection con
with lysates analyzed in triplicate. Both firefly and Renilla luciferase activities were quantified with a MicroBeta Jet scintillation spectrometer (PerkinElmer, San Jose, CA), taking 10-s measurements for each reporter. To enhance accuracy and minimize background, lysates were centrifuged for 2 min at 12,000 × g at room temperature prior to analysis. A 100-μl volume of extract was dispensed into the wells, and three consecutive recordings were taken. The average reading of each well was subtracted from luciferase activity measurements taken from the same well. Readings from mock-transfected parasite lysates were subtracted from all luciferase readings, and the levels of firefly luciferase activity were normalized to the level of Renilla reniformis luciferase activity. Samples were prepared in triplicate, and each experiment was repeated at least three times or as indicated in the figure legends. Firefly/Renilla luciferase ratios differed between experiments, being affected by several factors, including percent parasitized erythrocytes and the age and history of the substrate. Therefore, direct comparisons among ratios are made only for samples within the same experiment, although relative expression patterns were qualitatively reproducible among experiments.

**Statistical analysis of signals.** Normalized luciferase activities were plotted using Sigmaplot v. 11 (Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, CA) as the mean ± 1 standard deviation (s.d.) of the results determined with Student-Newman-Keuls one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test (21), as implemented in Sigmaplot. This was performed on raw data when a Shapiro-Wilk test for normality was passed or on ranks when this test failed. A value of P ≤ 0.05 was accepted as the threshold at which differences between the values of two samples were considered statistically different.

**Cap-dependent 5′-RACE.** Total RNA was isolated from *B. bovis* C9.1 line parasites by the use of Totally RNA reagents. The RNA was treated with Turbo DNase followed by DNase inactivation reagent (all from Ambion, Inc., Austin, TX) per the manufacturer’s instructions. Transcription start sites were mapped by a 5′ cap-dependent RNA ligase-mediated rapid amplification of cDNA ends method (First Choice RLM-RACE; Ambion). The procedure was performed essentially as described by the manufacturer, except that each enzymatic step was preceded by heating of the RNA to 80°C for 3 min, followed by snap chilling on ice to reduce secondary structures. cDNA was made by reverse transcription at 65 to 70°C with Thermoscript reverse transcriptase (RT) (Ambion), by the use of BAK172 and BAK171 as gene-specific primers for ves1α and ves1β genes, respectively. Nested PCRs were performed using 5′-RACE Outer Primer in combination with BAK80H as the primary gene-specific primer for ves1β transcripts or BAK169 for ves1α transcripts. BAK166H and BAK167H, along with a modified form of the manufacturer’s nested 5′-end adapter primers (InnerL primer), were used as nested primers for ves1α and ves1β transcripts, respectively. All PCRs were performed using iProof (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) or Phusion polymerase. PCR conditions were optimized for each reaction due to the difficulty in amplifying highly structured DNA. Due to cloning difficulties, amplicons were purified from agarose gels and sequenced directly by dideoxynucleotide inhibitor chemistry. Sequence reactions were analyzed by the University of Florida Interdisciplinary Center for Biotechnology Research DNA Sequencing Laboratory.

**Identification of ves-associated RNA fragment ends.** Total RNA was decapped with tobacco acid pyrophosphatase and then circularized by ligation in dilute solution with T4 RNA ligase, essentially as described by Mandel et al. (30). cDNA was made by reverse transcription with SuperScript II reverse transcriptase and either PD2Fa,b or PD1R primers to detect antisense or sense transcripts, respectively. Primers DAS9 and DAS35 were used for assessing the 5′ end of the ves1α gene for local ncRNAs and primers BAK18 plus DAS35 as controls to capture the ends of full-length ves1α mRNAs. PCRs were amplified with Phusion polymerase.

**Circular chromatin conformation capture (4C).** In vitro-cultured *B. bovis* parasites at a level of 5% to 10% parasitized erythrocytes were centrifuged and washed with 1× VYM buffer (51) by centrifugation at 3,300 × g for 10 min at 4°C. The parasitized erythrocytes were then lysed with...
0.06% saponin (Sigma Chemical Co. St. Louis, MO) in 1× VYM on ice, sedimented, and washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at 6,700 × g for 10 min at 4°C. They were then cross-linked with 2% (vol/vol) formaldehyde in 10% (vol/vol) defibrinated adult bovine serum–PBS at 20°C for 10 min before cross-linking was quenched on ice with 0.125 M glycine (final concentration) (22). Supernatants were removed following centrifugation at 225 × g for 8 min at 4°C. Cells were then washed one time with ice-cold “solution A” [20 mM piperazine-1,4-bis(2-ethanesulfonic acid) (pH 7.5), 15 mM NaCl, 14 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.5 mM ethyleneglycol-bis(β-aminoethyl)ether)-N,N,N′,N′-tetraacetic acid, 4 mM EDTA, 0.15 mM spermine, 0.5 mM spermidine, 0.125 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride] (25) at 16,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C and resuspended in solution A on ice. NP-40 was added at 0.67% (vol/vol), and the parasites were disrupted by Dounce homogenization. Nuclei were sedimented at 4,500 × g for 10 min at 4°C and washed once with solution A. Restriction digestion of chromatin with EcoRI, ligation with T4 DNA ligase, reversal of cross-links, and DNA purification were subsequently performed as described previously (22). Inverse PCR of the circularized products was performed using iProof DNA polymerase (Bio-Rad) and primer pair AB1 and BAK40R, AB1 and BAK23, AB2 and BAK40R, AB2 and BAK23, AB3 and BAK40R, or AB3 and BAK23. Control reactions included nonfixation and nonligation of samples and single-primer PCRs. Only products which were dependent upon fixation, ligation, and the presence of both primers for amplification were recovered from agarose gels and cloned by Topo-TA Cloning (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Products were sequenced, and nucleotide BLAST analyses were run against all genes (taxid 5865) and the B. bovis T2Bo isolate genome (taxid 484906) to identify captured sequences.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The ability to genetically manipulate *B. bovis* has been developed only very recently and remains in its rudimentary stages (47, 54). Because of its potential to expand our understanding of antigenic variation in *B. bovis*, we chose to employ transient transfection with luciferase reporter constructs (Fig. 1) to perform an initial characterization of promoter structure in the ves multigene family. We first established the time course of luciferase expression by the pC5Renilla positive-control and pLAT_Va5-βI1 constructs in order to determine the optimal timing of sample assays employing *ves* promoter sequences. As seen in Fig. 2, both luciferases were detectable by 2 h posttransfection (p.t.) and rose steadily through 8 h p.t., at which point firefly luciferase expression by the pLAT_Va5-βI1 construct effectively reached a plateau and Renilla luciferase expression by pC5Renilla began to slowly but progressively decline. When firefly values were normalized relative to luciferase values, a peak in ratios was observed 24 h p.t., yielding a very sensitive indicator of test promoter activity relative to the internal control. Signals from the promoterless control, pLuc-T3, were consistently extremely low. These dynamics were reproducible, and the 24 h p.t. time point was selected for subsequent experiments in which putative *ves* promoter activities were compared.

Transcription of *ves* genes in *B. bovis* occurs in a monoparalogous fashion at the locus of active *ves* transcription (LAT) (60). This site is organized as a pair of divergently oriented *ves1α* and *ves1β* genes (2), as is the case for the majority of this gene family (9). The preservation of this quasipalindromic organization at many *ves* loci throughout the *B. bovis* genome prompted us to ask whether nontranscribed *ves* loci possessed similar promoter activity. Constructs were therefore made containing the intergenic region (IGr) sequences, plus exon 1 and intron 1 of the apposing gene sequences (taxid 5865) and the *B. bovis* T2Bo isolate genome (taxid 484906) to identify captured sequences.

**FIG 3** Promoter activities of IGr sequences from various *ves* loci. *B. bovis* C9.1-parasitized erythrocytes were cotransfected with luciferase constructs containing the IGr and apposing gene sequences to the 3′ end of intron 1 from various *ves* loci. p1E10 and pS621 IGr sequences are derived from *ves* loci that are nontranscribed in the *B. bovis* C9.1 clonal line (13). Note that the S621 construct contains such sequences from a *ves1α*-*ves1α* pair. In this and subsequent figures, arrows above the LAT schematic drawing indicate the portion(s) of the locus (or comparable regions of other loci) included in luciferase constructs. Unless indicated otherwise, these sequences were included as putative promoters, and arrowheads indicate the 3′ end of each sequence adjacent to the luciferase gene. Asterisks indicate samples with values statistically significantly different from those determined with the pLuc-T3 promoterless control (*P* ≤ 0.05).
**FIG 4** The LAT IGr contains at least two bidirectional promoter activities. Complete or half-IGr segments were tested for promoter activity in *B. bovis* C9.1-parasitized erythrocytes by the dual-luciferase assay. Significant levels of promoter activity were observed with the full-length IGr and with both half-IGr constructs, regardless of the orientation of the sequences relative to the firefly luciferase gene. *In vivo* transcription start sites of ves1α and ves1β transcripts, observed by a cap-dependent 5′-RACE method, are indicated for comparison. Downward-pointing small triangles, ves1α transcripts; upward-pointing small triangles, ves1β transcripts. Arrows and asterisks are as defined in the Fig. 3 legend.

*lambli*a, in contrast, an RNA interference (RNAi)-like mechanism appears to result in rapid posttranscriptional degradation of transcripts from the many nonexpressed members of the vsp gene family rather than selective transcription (42). The latter mechanism is unlikely in *B. bovis* due to the demonstrated lack of RNAi machinery in *Plasmodium* spp. (8) and the inability to identify orthologs of Dicer, Argonaute, and other components of RNAi in most other Apicomplexans (50), including *B. bovis* (9). However, noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) are gaining recognition for playing a variety of roles in many systems, including gene silencing (43) and enhancement (40) and establishment of chromatin structure (53). Recently, ncRNAs associated with chromatin structure at telomeres have been identified in the related Apicomplexan malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum* (10). No evidence is yet available demonstrating actual mechanisms of ves gene silencing. Given the use by other Apicomplexans of histone modification-based epigenetic markings commonly associated with activation and silencing (23), such modifications are likely to play some role, but this remains to be established.

In order to begin dissection of the ves promoter, we chose to first include only IGr sequences, either as a complete IGr or as “half-IGr” elements. In keeping with the ves IGr’s bidirectional function, IGr sequences demonstrated significant promoter activity in either orientation (Fig. 4). We anticipated that bidirectional transcriptional activity would arise either through a single, central promoter activity or two independent unidirectional promoter activities, in similarity to the *EF1α* locus (48). In contrast to expectations, each half IGr was found to possess significant promoter activity in both orientations (Fig. 4). Thus, at least two distinct bidirectional promoters, or as many as four unidirectional core promoter activities, reside within the 434-bp LAT IGr for the expression of the two polypeptides. The purpose of this unusually complex architecture is not clear but seems likely to serve some role in the regulation of ves gene expression. To determine whether each half of the IGr functions *in vivo* in driving transcription in either direction, we mapped the 5′ ends of ves1α and ves1β transcripts by a cap-dependent 5′-RACE method. As shown in Table 1 and Fig. 4, transcripts of both polarities originate from each side of the IGr, suggesting that each bidirectional promoter drives transcription in both directions *in vivo*. The longest transcripts thus have heavily overlapping 5′ untranslated regions, bringing into question whether individual parasites could simultaneously transcribe both ves1α and ves1β transcripts from bidirectional promoters in apposing half IGrs. Such a situation would seem more likely to result in transcription of either the ves1α or ves1β gene but not simultaneous transcription of both. This scenario is consistent with the recently reported independent and apparently temporally separated appearance of VESA1α and -1b polypeptides on the IRBC surface during parasite development (58). For the parasite to express VESA1 holoprotein in this case would require a switch in the transcriptional activity from one side of the IGr to the other, perhaps subject to local chromatin remodeling. In preliminary experiments, an apparent higher-order structure has been observed in presumably nucleosome-free IGr DNA within *B. bovis* chromatin (24). However, the presence of transcripts with 5′ ends originating from the same side of the IGr as the gene being transcribed suggests that the two genes could be transcribed simultaneously, depending upon where the RNA polymerase complex is assembled and how much of the IGr is involved spatially. These possibilities require further exploration.

The consistency of divergent ves gene organization led us to question whether this pattern of organization might exist to contribute to ves gene regulation. This possibility was queried by the construction of luciferase constructs containing progressively more apposing sequence on the opposite side of the IGr to assay

| TABLE 1 ves transcription start sitesa |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Gene  | TSS   | TSS sequence   |
| ves1α | −275  | CACC/TACTA     |
| ves1α | −236  | ATCC/GATA      |
| ves1α | −7    | AAGC/ACTG      |
| ves1β | −314  | CGAC/GACTA     |
| ves1β | −276  | GGAG/TTCC      |
| ves1β | +8    | TTTC/AGGG      |

*a Positions are given relative to the ves1α or ves1β methionine start codon and shown schematically in Fig. 4. Transcription was usually found to begin with the A nucleotide of a CA dinucleotide (underlined). TSS, transcription start site.
for the influence of these sequences on expression levels. As seen in Fig. 5A, the inclusion of the IGr with the “α side” directing luciferase transcription, apposed by ves1β sequences, resulted in increased luciferase expression levels upon addition of exon 1. Addition of intron 1, however, reduced expression of luciferase by ≥50%, an effect that was abrogated by reversing the orientation of the intron. To control for the possibility that this was an artifact due solely to construct size, a plasmid containing a comparable length of coding sequence from the A. marginale msp1α gene in place of ves1 flanking sequences (dark gray bar). Samples are marked to indicate which pairwise comparisons are statistically significantly different at P ≤ 0.05 as follows: #, those differing significantly from pLAT_IgVα5; (#), pLAT_IgVα5; +, those differing significantly from pLAT_Ve5-BI1; (+), pLAT_Ve5-BI1. No other pairwise comparisons yielded statistically significant differences. (B) “β orientation” full-length IGr and various lengths of additional flanking sequences from the ves1α gene were inserted into the pLuc-T3 construct to test for promoter activity. The thick gray bars indicate reversal of orientation of those sequences. Arrows and asterisks are as explained in the Fig. 3 legend. Samples are marked to indicate which pairwise comparisons are statistically significantly different at P ≤ 0.05 as follows: #, those differing significantly from pLAT_Ve5-αE2; (#), pLAT_Ve5-αE2; +, those differing significantly from pLAT_Ve5-αI1; (+), pLAT_Ve5-αI1. No other pairwise comparisons yielded statistically significant differences.
The observation of 5′-capped ves1α transcripts spanning from approximately the AUG start codon to within the 5′ end of exon 3 is consistent with this possibility (Fig. 7). These transcripts were captured by the circularization-dependent RT-PCR approach of Mandl et al. (30) following treatment with tobacco acid pyrophosphatase by the use of primers DA59 and DA35. The transcripts were properly spliced at both introns 1 and 2, indicating an original sense orientation. However, each was recovered from antisense-targeted samples and did not include sequences used to reverse transcribe sense transcripts, suggesting a possible double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) origin. Whether they represent a bizarre
artifact, a novel form of regulatory ncRNA, short transcripts resulting from paused transcription, or simply incompletely degraded transcripts undergoing turnover is not yet clear. However, their similar sizes and sequence limits are striking. Moreover, control reactions performed with primers DA35 and BAK18 captured bona fide 5’ and 3’ ends of full-length ves1α mRNAs (not shown). The possibility of regulation via ncRNAs is reminiscent of the “sterile transcripts” arising from intron 1 of \( P. falciparum \) var genes (44), which may play a role in silencing of the var family (13, 15, 17), and warrants further exploration using a nontargeted, unbiased approach.

A preliminary search for unique sequences interacting in \textit{trans} as potential activating signals was attempted. This search was performed by analysis of sequences interacting with the LAT through a targeted circular chromatin conformation capture (4C) approach (59), using inverse PCR with primer pairs flanking the IGr to capture sequences interacting with the promoter region. We had hypothesized that a ves-specific enhancer, analogous to the “H enhancer” element implicated in controlling transcription of at least some mouse odorant receptor genes, might be uncovered (28). Rather, the sequences captured were almost solely from a variety of other ves (or ves-like) genes (data not shown). One reason for this may be simple proximity. Not only is the LAT flanked by ves sequences (2), but ves sequences are abundant in the genome, being present in approximately 150 copies (9) and accounting for perhaps 5% of the genome. Moreover, during portions of the cell cycle, the LAT may be silenced and remodeled into condensed chromatin, further exacerbating this effect. It was not generally possible to determine empirical distribution of captured ves sequences around the genome. The only \( B. bovis \) genome available at the time this work was done was from the T2Bo isolate (9), and their similar sizes and sequence limits are striking. Moreover, conserved functional roles the LAT might preferentially associate with other genes remains to be critically demonstrated (requiring acquisition of the genome of the Mexico isolate), and an unbiased assessment of ncRNAs needs to be made and the functional significance of such sequences and interactions determined.

We suggest that transcriptional regulation of ves genes depends upon a variety of influences. Among these, the putative repressor-like effect on the apposing gene exhibited by ves1α and ves1β introns 1 and perhaps additional, as-yet-undetected regulatory functions embedded within ves genes are likely to contribute to the overall control of any specific locus and perhaps the gene family as a whole. Moreover, preliminary evidence consistent with chromatin remodeling that enables the LAT (whichever ves locus is serving as the LAT at that moment) IGr to adopt an open structure with highly ordered nucleosomes has been obtained (reference 24 and manuscript in preparation). While ultimately illuminating, in the near term these observations place the issue of what enables one site to become activated while others remain silenced only one step further removed from our current point of understanding. The issue becomes what triggers chromatin remodeling of a given locus for transcription and how regulatory controls are exerted in \textit{trans}. We propose that beginning the process of dissecting ves promoter structure and regulation with the newly available transcription and stable transformation technology (45, 46, 48, 49) would facilitate explicating this important issue.

\section*{ACKNOWLEDGMENTS}

We thank Carlos Suarez for sharing pEF1α-IG to facilitate initiation of this work, Jorg Burgert for helpful suggestions, and Alexia Berg, Allison Vansickle, Christie Smesko, Brady Pratt, and Jimmy Kidwell for their assistance in animal handling.

This project was supported by NIH grant R01 AI055864.

\section*{REFERENCES}

1. Aikawa M, et al. 1992. A study on the pathogenesis of human cerebral malaria and cerebral babesiosis. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz 87(Suppl. 3): 297–301.
2. Al-Khedery B, Allred DR. 2000. Antigenic variation in Babesia bovis occurs through segmental gene conversion of the ves multigene family, within a bidirectional site of transcription. Mol. Microbiol. 39:402–414.
3. Allred DR, Al-Khedery B. 2004. Antigenic variation and cytoadhesin in Babesia bovis and \textit{Plasmodium falciparum}: different logics achieve the same goal. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 134:27–35.
4. Allred DR, Al-Khedery B, O’Connor RM. 2003. Antigenic variation and its significance to Babesia, p 273–290. In Craig A, Scherf A (ed), Antigenic variation. Elsevier Science Ltd., Oxford, United Kingdom.
5. Allred DR, et al. 2000. The ves multigene family of \( B. bovis \) encodes components of rapid antigenic variation at the infected erythrocyte surface. Mol. Cell 5:153–162.
6. Allred DR, Cinque RM, Lane TJ, Ahrens KP. 1994. Antigenic variation of parasite-derived antigens on the surface of \( B. bovis \)-infected erythrocytes. Infect. Immun. 62:91–98.
7. Allred DR, Hines SA, Ahrens KP. 1993. Isolate-specific parasite antigens of \( B. bovis \)-infected erythrocyte surface. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 60:121–132.
8. Baum J, et al. 2009. Molecular genetics and comparative genomics reveal RNAi is not functional in malaria parasites. Nucleic Acids Res. 37:3788–3798.
9. Brayton KA, et al. 2007. Genome sequence of \( B. bovis \) and comparative analysis of Apicomplexan hemoprotezoa. PLoS Pathog. 3:e148.
10. Broadbent KM, et al. 2011. A global transcriptional analysis of \textit{Plasmodium falciparum} malaria reveals a novel family of telomere-associated lncRNAs. Genome Biol. 12:R56.
11. Calderwood MS, Gannoun-Zaki I, Wellemes TE, Deitsch KW. 2003. Antigenic variation and maintenance of epigenetic memory in the malaria parasite \textit{Plasmodium falciparum} J. Biol. Chem. 278:34125–34132.
12. Chookajorn T, et al. 2007. Epigenetic memory at malaria virulence genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 104:899–902.
13. Dzikowski R, Deitsch KW. 2008. Active transcription is required for maintenance of epigenetic memory in the malaria parasite \textit{Plasmodium falciparum} J. Mol. Biol. 382:288–297.
14. Dzikowski R, Frank M, Deitsch K. 2006. Mutually exclusive expression of virulence genes by malaria parasites is only dependent on non-coding regulatory elements and is independent of chromosomal location or antigen production. PLoS Pathogens 2:e22.
15. Dzikowski R, et al. 2007. Mechanisms underlying mutually exclusive expression of virulence genes by malaria parasites. EMBO Rep. 8:959–965.
16. Epp C, Li F, Howitt CA, Chookajorn T, Deitsch KW. 2009. Chromatin associated sense and antisense noncoding RNAs are transcribed from the var gene family of \textit{Plasmodium falciparum}. RNA 15:116–127.
17. Frank M, Deitsch K. 2006. Activation, silencing and mutually exclusive expression within the var gene family of \textit{Plasmodium falciparum}. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 36:975–985.
18. Frank M, et al. 2006. Strict pairing of var promoters and introns is
required for var gene silencing in the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum. J. Biol. Chem. 281:9942–9952.
19. Franssen FFJ, Gaffar FR, Yatsuda AP, de Vries E. 2003. Characterization of erythrocyte invasion by Babesia bovis merozoites efficiently released from their host cell after high-voltage pulsing. Microbes Infect. 5:365–372.
20. Gannoun-Zaki I, Jost A, Mu J, Deitsch KW, Wellemes TE. 2005. A silenced Plasmodium falciparum var promoter can be activated in vivo through spontaneous deletion of a silencing element in the intron. Eur. karyot. Cell 4:490–492.
21. Glantz SA. 1992. Primer of biostatistics, 3rd ed. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, NY.
22. Hagège H, et al. 2007. Quantitative analysis of chromosome conformation capture assays (3C-qPCR), Nat. Protoc. 2:1722–1733.
23. Hakimi M-A, Deitsch KW. 2007. Epigenetics in Apicomplexa: control of gene expression during cell cycle progression, differentiation and antigenic variation. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 10:357–362.
24. Huang Y. 2009. Ph.D. thesis. Higher-order structure in the Babesia bovis locus of active var expression transcription. University of Florida, Gainesville, FL.
25. Lanzer M, de Bruin D, Ravetch JV. 2007. Epigenetics in Plasmodium falciparum: control of gene expression during cell cycle progression, differentiation and antigenic variation. EMBO J. 1:1949–1955.
26. Lau AOT, et al. 2011. Attenuation of virulence in an apicomplexan hemoparasite results in reduced genome diversity at the population level. BMC Genomics 12:410.
27. Levy MG, Ristic M. 1980. Babesia bovis: continuous cultivation in a microaerophilous stationary phase culture. Science 207:1218–1220.
28. Lomvardas S, et al. 2006. Intercromosomal interactions and olfactory receptor choice. Cell 126:403–413.
29. Lopez-Rubio JJ, Mancio-Silva L, Scherf A. 2009. Genome-wide analysis of heterochromatin associates clonally variant gene regulation with perinuclear repressive centers in malaria parasites. Cell Host Microbe 5:179–190.
30. Mandl CW, Heinz FX, Puchhammer-Stöckl E, Kunz C. 1991. Sequencing the termini of capped viral RNA by 5′-3′ ligation and PCR. Biotechniques 10:485–486.
31. Martin WJ, Finerty J, Rosenthal A. 1971. Isolation of Plasmodium berghei (malaria) parasites by ammonium chloride lysis of infected erythrocytes. Nat. New Biol. 233:260–261.
32. Militello K, Dodge M, Bethke L, Wirth DF. 2004. Identification of regulatory elements in the Plasmodium falciparum genome. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 134:75–88.
33. Militello KT, Wirth DF. 2003. A new reporter gene for transient transfection of Plasmodium falciparum. Parasitol. Res. 89:154–157.
34. Molloy JB, Bowles PM, Jorgensen WK, Cooke BM. 2003. Babesia bovis: adherence of parasitized red blood cells to bovine umbilical vein endothelial cells in vitro does not select for virulence. Exp. Parasitol. 103:182–184.
35. Muhle RA, et al. 2009. A var gene promoter implicated in severe malaria nucleates silencing and is regulated by 3′ untranslated region and intronic cis-elements. Int. J. Parasitol. 39:1425–1439.
36. Navarro M, Gull K. 2001. A polt transcriptional body associated with VSG mono-allelic expression in Trypanosoma brucei. Nature 414:759–762.
37. O’Connor RM, Allred DR. 2000. Selection of Babesia bovis-infected erythrocytes for adhesion to endothelial cells co-selects for altered variant erythrocyte surface antigen isoforms. J. Immunol. 164:2037–2045.
38. O’Connor RM, Lane TJ, Stroup SE, Allred DR. 1997. Characterization of a variant erythrocyte surface antigen (VESAs) expressed by Babesia bovis during antigenic variation. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 89:259–270.
39. O’Connor RM, Long IA, Allred DR. 1999. Cytoadherence of Babesia bovis-infected erythrocytes to bovine brain capillary endothelial cells provides an in vitro model for sequestration. Infect. Immun. 67:3921–3928.
40. Orom UA, et al. 2010. Long noncoding RNAs with enhancer-like function in human cells. Cell 143:46–58.
41. Pont-Kingdon G. 1994. Construction of chimeric molecules by a two-step recombinant PCR method. Biotechniques 16:1010–1011.
42. Prucca CG, et al. 2008. Antigenic variation in Giardia lamblia is regulated by RNA interference. Nature 456:750–754.
43. Schmitz K-M, Mayer C, Postepska A, Grummt I. 2010. Interaction of noncoding RNA with the rDNA promoter mediates recruitment of DNTM3b and silencing of RNA genes. Genes Dev. 24:2264–2269.
44. Su X, et al. 1995. The large diverse gene family var encodes proteins involved in cytoadherence and antigenic variation of Plasmodium falciparum-infected erythrocytes. Cell 82:89–100.
45. Suarez CE, Lacy P, Laughery J, Gonzalez MG, McElwain T. 2007. Optimization of Babesia bovis transfection methods. Parasitology 49:67–70.
46. Suarez CE, McElwain TF. 2008. Stable expression of a GFP-BSDF fusion protein in Babesia bovis merozoites. Int. J. Parasitol. 39:289–297.
47. Suarez CE, McElwain TF. 2010. Transfection systems for Babesia bovis: a review of methods for the transient and stable expression of exogenous genes. Vet. Parasitol. 167:205–215.
48. Suarez CE, Norimine J, Lacy P, McElwain TF. 2006. Characterization and gene expression of Babesia bovis elongation factor-1α. Int. J. Parasitol. 36:965–973.
49. Suarez CE, et al. 2004. Intergenic regions in the rhoptry associated protein-1 (rap-1) locus promote exogenous gene expression in Babesia bovis. Int. J. Parasitol. 34:1177–1184.
50. Ullu E, Tschudi C, Chakraborty T. 2004. RNA interference in protozoan parasites. Cell. Microbiol. 6:509–519.
51. Vega CA, Buening GM, Rodriguez SD, Carson CA. 1986. Concentration and enzyme content of in vitro–cultured Babesia bigemina-infected erythrocytes. J. Protozool. 33:514–518.
52. Voss TS, et al. 2006. A var gene promoter controls allelic exclusion of virulence genes in Plasmodium falciparum malaria. Nature 439:1004–1008.
53. Wang KC, et al. 2011. A long noncoding RNA maintains active chromatin to coordinate homeotic gene expression. Nature 472:120–124.
54. Wang X. 2010. Ph.D. thesis. Development of a transfection system for genetic manipulation of Babesia bovis. University of Florida, Gainesville, FL.
55. Wright IG. 1972. An electron microscopic study of intravascular agglutination in the cerebral cortex due to Babesia argentina infection. Int. J. Parasitol. 2:209–215.
56. Wright IG. 1973. Ultrastructural changes in Babesia argentina-infected erythrocytes in kidney capillaries. Int. J. Parasitol. 5:289–297.
57. Wright IG, Goodger BV, McKenna RV, Mahoney DF. 1979. Acute Babesia bovis infection: a study of the vascular lesions in kidney and lung. Z. Parasitenk. 60:19–27.
58. Xiao Y-P, Al-Khedery B, Allred DR. 2010. The Babesia bovis VESA1 virulence factor subunit 1b is encoded by the 1B branch of the ves multi-gene family. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 171:81–88.
59. Zhao Z, et al. 2006. Circular chromosome conformation capture (4C) uncovers extensive networks of epigenetically regulated intra- and interchromosomal interactions. Nat. Genet. 38:1341–1347.
60. Zupańska AK, Drummond PB, Swetnam DM, Al-Khedery B, Allred DR. 2009. Universal primers suitable to assess population dynamics reveal apparent mutually exclusive transcription of the Babesia bovis ves1α gene. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 166:47–53.