Complete remission of rare adenocarcinoma of the oropharynx with APCEDEN® (dendritic cell-based vaccine): a case report
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Introduction
Oropharyngeal Carcinoma is one of the most common head and neck cancers. Over 90% of the oropharyngeal cancers are squamous cell carcinoma in origin with a yet undetermined percentage being adenocarcinoma [1, 2]. Adenocarcinoma is a common malignancy emerging from minor salivary glands, and in other organs such as lung, prostate, pancreas, colon, esophagus, and the oropharyngeal area. The most common region for oropharyngeal adenocarcinoma is the superficial lobe of the parotid gland, followed by the deep lobes and usually never identified in the retromolar trigone area (RMT) [2, 3]. Cancerous lesions in the retromolar trigone space are almost always squamous cell carcinomas, thus make this case rare [4–6].

Dendritic cells (DC) are the professional antigen presenting cells of the immune system with a capability to ingest and process antigens in the peripheral blood and tissues. They can migrate to the lymph nodes and present antigens to lymphocytes, thus being a bridge between innate and adaptive immune response [7]. Dendritic cells in their immature state are good in taking up antigens which leads to maturation of DCs to cells with increased capacity for antigen presentation and T-cell activation [8]. A DC vaccine involves loading of tumor antigens on immature DCs, which after infusion into patients induce a T-cell response against tumor cells expressing those antigens. DCs constitute a very small percentage of white blood cells; hence, DCs are harvested in the laboratory from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) using differentiation stimuli cytokines. Antigenic cargo loaded onto DCs can be from various sources and is very important in determining the success of the vaccine. Endogenous and exogenous antigens are processed differently and bind to different MHC molecules thereby altering the types of T cells activated [9].

Dendritic cells immunotherapy has been shown to be feasible, effective and safe against various kinds of cancer by many research groups [10–12]. MUC1 and MAGE-3 peptide-pulsed DCs are shown to be effective against lung adenocarcinoma [13]. Cytotoxic T-lymphocyte (CTL) response after DC vaccination was observed in a group of patients with glioblastoma [14]. Bapsy et al., 2012,
presented a phase II study to check the efficacy of APCEDE®/C226 in various cancer indications with a total of 51 subjects. They reported an objective response rate of 28.9% by RECIST and 42.1% by irRC along with 9 weeks of median time to progression [15]. APCEDE®/C226 therapy also provides a survival benefit of approximately 200 days over the control groups (publication in review). DC vaccination alone or in combination with other drugs like immune checkpoint inhibitors opens a new promising future to the patients with cancer [16]. In this study, we present a patient with adenocarcinoma of the oropharynx who received chemotherapy and radiation therapy as first line of treatment followed by APCEDE® that led to disease control and a complete response by tumor size assessment.

**APCEDE® Preparation**

The process begins with isolating PBMCs from the patient by apheresis and isolating monocytes by plastic adherence. Further differentiation to DCs is carried out by culturing the monocytes in media supplemented with IL-4 and GM-CSF for 5 days. On the sixth day, DCs are co-incubated with the tumor lysate prepared from the tissue biopsy sample of the patient followed by the maturation stimuli. Mature DCs harvested on the 8th day undergo a quality check for the phenotypic markers. Viability of DCs is assessed by 7AAD staining. Further, the vaccine is also tested for sterility, mycoplasma, and endotoxin contamination. Six doses (4–5 million mature DCs in each dose) in a time frame of 14 weeks are given to the patient via intravenous route. Lack of sufficient tumor tissue from patient biopsies, unable to isolate the required PBMC population, clinical situations in which tumors considered inoperable and unreachable, invasiveness in biopsy/surgical procedure damaging the quality of life, patients with multiple tumors, and stage of the cancer in conjunction with the age of the patient are some of the limitations of the procedure.

**Case History**

A 58-year-old gentleman from Pune, India, experienced swelling in the left submandibular region for 6 months and was diagnosed with adenocarcinoma of the oropharynx in June 2010 but did not receive any adjuvant treatment. MR scan of the neck revealed a mass of $4.4 \times 4.2 \times 5.8$ cm in transverse, anteroposterior, and superoinferior dimensions that extends laterally into the left parapharyngeal space. The level II lymph node was enlarged measuring $3.4 \times 3.6$ cm. Tumor was a large lobulated enhancing mass lesion involving the base of the tongue and faucial tonsil on the left side extending in the left vallecula, oropharynx, pre-epiglottic space, parapharyngeal space, and retromolar trigonal space on the left side with extension across the midline.
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extended up to the left fossa of Rosenmuller. CT scan of neck disclosed a large locally infiltrative oropharyngeal neoplastic mass on the left jugulodigastric lymph node involving tongue base/tonsil/lateral oropharyngeal wall with likely extension to oral tongue/floor of the mouth/nasopharynx.

The patient was subjected to chemotherapy and radiation therapy for 7 and 2 months, respectively. The course for the treatment is explained in Figure 1A. He received Inj. Cisplatin (100 mg/m²) for first three rounds of chemotherapy followed by Inj. paclitaxel (175 mg/m²) and carboplatin (AUC5) for the fourth cycle. Combination of paclitaxel (175 mg/m²), cisplatin (25 mg/m²) and fluorouracil (750 mg/m²) was administered for the last two sessions of chemotherapy. He also received concurrent radiation therapy of total 6600 cGy in 33 fractions during the first session of chemotherapy. There was an initial tumor regression (3.4 × 2.6 cm) followed by a stable disease upon Gefitinib treatment.

Due to no further reduction in the tumor size even after continuous dose of Gefitinib for 3 years, treatment using APCEDEN® was initiated on 14 May 2014. Six doses of DC vaccine were administered between 23/05/2014 and 01/08/2014 with parallel Gefitinib medication. PET scans in October 2015 highlighted a dramatic decrease in the tumor size, 2.1 × 1.6 cm versus 3.1 × 2.7 cm as observed in March 2015 (Fig. 2). The treatment regime with serial PET/CT scans showing the disease course is presented in Figure 1B.

Hemogram report of the patient at baseline before the immunotherapy and two vital stages of the therapy (3rd and 6th dose) reveal a progressive effect of the therapy by

![Previous PET-CT](11th March 2015)

![Current PET-CT](8th Oct 2015)

**Figure 2.** Comparison of PET-CT scan of the subject conducted on 11/03/2015 (~8 months after last dose of APCEDEN) with PET-CT scan on 08/10/2015 (~14 months after last dose of APCEDEN). Reduction in tumor size is indicated by green arrow in the image.
our immune system to fight cancer using DCs involves host defenses against tumor. Augmenting the potential of the environment created by the tumor cells and activating the immune system involves fighting through the immune-suppressive environment.

The patients with baseline NLR < 5 had a significantly improved progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) compared with those with NLR ≥ 5. Associations of low NLR with improved survival are confirmed in various validation cohorts of patients [26]. In agreement with the published reports, the patients displayed a decreasing NLR < 5 supporting our results showing complete remission of adenocarcinoma in the present case study.

As this is a rare form of cancer, and no standard treatment modalities are in practice, the DC therapy that can be administered simultaneously along with Gefitinib medication is carried out in the present case. As the patient was aged and keeping in view the stage of the carcinoma, we could only enumerate limited clinical and pathological parameters which are presented in the case study. Further assessment of the subject to determine the tumor-free status and long-lasting immunity to prevent relapse is required.
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