Hawking radiation from a Vaidya black hole: a semi-classical approach and beyond
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ABSTRACT: We derive the Hawking radiation for Vaidya black hole in the tunneling picture from the corresponding single particle action by the use of the radial null geodesic and the Hamilton-Jacobi method (beyond semi-classical approximation). Both results are then analyzed and compared.
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1. Introduction

In 1974, Hawking startled the physics community by proving that black hole evaporates particles [1]. It contradicts with classical general relativistic definition of a black hole, an object that nothing can escape from it [2], [3]. Hawking’s derivation was a quantum field theoretically. The semi-classical approach in modeling the black hole radiation as a tunneling effect was developed in the past decade [4], [5], [6], [7] and attracted many attentions [e.g. [16]]. This is mostly because of its bold physical picture and it is easier, mathematically.

There are two ways to perform semi-classical analysis for a black hole radiation. The first is by the use of radial null geodesic method developed by Parikh and Wilczek [3], [4]. In this method, one has to get the expression \( \frac{dr}{dt} \) from the radial null geodesic condition, \( ds^2 = d\Omega = 0 \), for a metric that has the form \( ds^2 = -a(r)dt^2 + b(r)dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2 \). Then, the obtained expression is used to calculate the imaginary part of the action. We then end up with the obtaining of the Hawking temperature \( T_H = \frac{k\beta}{-1} \), after equating the transition rate for a particle in a tunneling process \( \Gamma \sim \exp\left\{2\text{Im}S\right\} \) with the Boltzmann factor \( \exp\left\{-\beta E\right\} \).

The second method was developed by Padmanabhan et al. [3] and it attracted some applications (e.g. in 2-d stringy black holes [17]). In the method, the scalar wave function is determined by the ansatz \( \phi(r,t) = \exp\left\{-iS(r,t)/\hbar\right\} \) where \( S(r,t) \) is the action for a single scalar particle. Inserting this ansatz into the Klein-Gordon equation in a gravitational background, one yields an equation for the action \( S(r,t) \) which can be solved by the Hamilton-Jacobi method. After obtaining the action, one can get the probability for outgoing and ingoing particles, \( P_{out} = |\phi_{out}|^2 \) and \( P_{in} = |\phi_{in}|^2 \), respectively. The Hawking temperature can be obtained by using the ‘principle of detailed balance’ [5],
$P_{\text{out}} = \exp \left[-\beta E\right] P_{\text{in}} = \exp \left[-\beta E\right]$, since all particles must be absorbed by the black hole.

An interesting improvement has recently been made by Banerjee and Majhi [8]. They expand the action for single particle in the power series of Planck constant, $S (r, t) = \sum_{n=0} S_n (r, t)$. By considering that, for all $n$, $S_n (r, t)$ and $S_{n+1} (r, t)$ are proportional to each other with the same proportionality, one can write $S (r, t) = (1 + \sum_{n=1} \alpha_n \hbar^n) S_0 (r, t)$.

By using the method of Padmanabhan et al., the action gives the correction value of the Hawking temperature. Even though the correction is in principle negligible, due to the very small of the Planck constant, one could regard this as an effect of quantum analysis in the semi-classical quantum gravity. Several developments have been made, including fermionic consideration and the relation of the method to trace anomaly [15].

In this paper, we consider a more general metric with the mass of black hole is time $(t)$ and radius $(r)$ dependent. A semiclassical approach for a dynamical black hole has also recently worked in [18]. As generally known, this subject is well described by the Vaidya metric. To get an exact $(t-r)$ dependence of the Hawking temperature, we insists to work in using Schwarzschild like metric for the Vaidya space time [4] and impose the condition for a very slowly varying mass of the black hole, $|\partial m/\partial r| \equiv |m'| < < 1$ and $|\partial m/\partial t| \equiv |\dot{m}| < < 1$. In this approximation, the squared $m'$ and $\dot{m}$ are well approximated to zero. This consideration will be useful later in showing the proportionality between each term of action’s expansion. Our aim is to see the $(t-r)$ dependence of Hawking temperature in the case of varying mass of black hole. We derive it both in the radial null geodesic and Hamilton-Jacobi method. For Hamilton-Jacobi method, we directly consider all of the expansion terms of action (beyond the method of Padmanabhan et al.) as Banerjee and Majhi had done for some metrics with constant black hole masses.

The organization of our paper is as follows. In the second section, we will derive the Hawking temperature for a metric with a varying mass by the use of radial null geodesic method. In the third section, the Hawking temperature is obtained by the Hamilton Jacobi method, by considering all terms in action expansion. In the last section, we give a conclusion for our work. For the rest of this paper, we use the unit dimension: Newton constant, light velocity in vacuum, and Boltzman constant, $G = c = k_B = 1$.

2. Hawking Temperature from Radial Null Geodesic Method in Vaidya Space-Time

We start with the metric derived by Farley and D’Eath [4] for Vaidya space-time

$$ds^2 = -\left(\frac{\dot{m}}{x(m)}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2. \quad (2.1)$$

In the above, the black hole mass $m$ varies with time $t$ and radius $r$, $m \equiv m (r, t)$. $x (m)$ is an arbitrary function of mass and $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2$ is the metric of 2-sphere. Later, rather than adopting the law of mass evolution by Hawking [10, 11], $dm/\partial t = -C/m^2$, we choose a weaker condition, $\partial m/\partial t \equiv \dot{m} = -C(m)/m^2$, which is a partial derivative, in contrast to the Hawking law that is an exact differentiation. It will be shown that this choice leads to a better form of Hawking temperature. The variable $C(m)$ in this...
expression counts the number of particles emitted by the black hole with mass $m$. This variable will increase for decreasing mass \footnote{3} and for early stage of evaporation, we could consider that this variable is a small valued-function because the evaporated mass is small compared to the total mass. In this line of thought, putting $x(m) = -C(m)/m$ is still valid, at least at the early stage of evaporation. Our purpose in setting $x(m)$ in this form is to reduce the variable contained in the metric and later on, for the case of fixed mass with respect to radius, to obtain time dependent Hawking temperature \footnote{11}, $T_H(t) = \hbar/8\pi m(t)$.

The above considerations lead the metric (2.1) into the form

$$ds^2 = -\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2. \quad (2.2)$$

It has the general form

$$ds^2 = -F(r, t) dt^2 + G(r, t)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2. \quad (2.3)$$

where in our case $F(r, t) \equiv m^{-2} \left(1 - 2m r^{-1}\right)$ and $G(r, t) \equiv 1 - 2m r^{-1}$. The general form (2.3) will simplify our next calculation. Unless for specific purposes, we will write $F(r, t)$ and $G(r, t)$ as $F$ and $G$, respectively, for the sake brevity.

It turns out that the metric (2.2) has a coordinate singularity at $r_h = 2m$ which of course is time dependent. Painleve transformation which is used to remove coordinate singularity for a metric with time-like Killing vector, is also applicable in this analysis. Transforming

$$dt \rightarrow dt - \sqrt{\frac{1-G}{FG}} dr \quad (2.4)$$

the metric (2.3) changes into

$$ds^2 = -F(r, t) dt^2 + 2F \sqrt{\frac{1-G}{FG}} dtdr + dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (2.5)$$

and therefore no coordinate singularity is found. Thus, by such a Painleve transformation, it understandable that, in principle, a coordinate singularity in general relativity can be removed only by changing coordinate, without defining new physical condition or theorem.

In the consideration that a tunneled particle moves on the path with no singularity, (2.5) will be useful in describing particle’s dynamics. For radial null geodesic, $ds^2 = d\Omega^2 = 0$, the differentiation of radius with respect to time can be obtained from (2.5) as

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \sqrt{\frac{F}{G}} \left(\pm 1 - \sqrt{1-G}\right), \quad (2.6)$$

where $+(-)$ signs denote outgoing(ingoing) radial null geodesics.

Near the horizon, we can expand the coefficient $F$ and $G$ by the use of Taylor expansion. Since $F$ and $G$ are $(t-r)$ dependent, and we only need their approximation values for short distances from a point (horizon), we could apply the Taylor expansion at a fixed time. So, we can write

$$F(r, t)|_t \simeq F'(r, t)|_t (r-r_h) + O\left((r-r_h)^2\right)|_t, \quad (2.7)$$
and

\[ G(r,t)|_t \simeq G'(r_h,t)|_t (r-r_h) + O \left( (r-r_h)^2 \right)|_t. \]  

(2.8)

By the approximations (2.7) and (2.8) above, the dependence of radius to time in (2.6) can be approached by

\[ \frac{dr}{dt} \simeq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{F'(r_h,t) G'(r_h,t)} (r-r_h). \]  

(2.9)

Now, we discuss the action of outgoing particle through the horizon. In the original work by Parikh and Wilczek [3], the imaginary action is written as

\[ \text{Im} S = \text{Im} \int_{r_{\text{in}}}^{r_{\text{out}}} p_r dr = \text{Im} \int_{r_{\text{in}}}^{r_{\text{out}}} p_r' dr = \text{Im} \int_{r_{\text{in}}}^{r_{\text{out}}} \int_0^H \frac{dH'}{d\tau} dr. \]  

(2.10)

The above expression is due to the Hamilton equation \( dr/dt = dH/dp_r \) where \( r \) and \( p_r \) are canonical variables (in this case, the radial component of the radius and the momentum).

As a reminder, the action of a tunneled particle in a potential barrier higher than the energy of the particle itself will be imaginary, \( p_r = \sqrt{2m(E-V)} \). Different from discussions of several authors for a static black hole mass [e.g. [3], [5], [6], [7], [12], [13]], the outgoing particle’s energy must be time dependent for black holes with varying mass. So, the \( dH' \) integration at (2.10) is for all values of outgoing particle’s energy, say from zero to \( +E(t) \).

By using approximation (2.9), we can perform the integration (2.10). For \( dr \) integration, we can perform a contour integration for upper half complex plane to avoid the coordinate singularity \( r_h \). The result is

\[ \text{Im} S = \frac{2\pi E(t)}{\sqrt{F'(r_h,t) G'(r_h,t)}}. \]  

(2.11)

Since the tunneling probability is given by \( \Gamma \sim \exp \left[ -\frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \text{Im} S \right] \), equalizing it with the Boltzmann factor \( \exp \left[ -\beta E(t) \right] \) for a system with time dependent energy we obtain

\[ T_H = \frac{\hbar \sqrt{F'(r_h,t) G'(r_h,t)}}{4\pi}. \]  

(2.12)

As seen in expression (2.13), the Hawking temperature \( T_H \) is time dependent. We will see it later that it is also radius dependent. Inserting the values of \( F'(r_h,t) \) and \( G'(r_h,t) \) with the condition of \( (m')^2 \simeq 0 \), one has

\[ T_H = \frac{\hbar \sqrt{(m(r_h,t))^2 - 2m'(r_h,t)(m'(r_h,t) + 2m(r_h,t))}}{8\pi (m(r_h,t))^2}. \]  

(2.13)

In the above, the mass \( m \) is written as \( m(r_h,t) \) explicitly to remind us that the mass and its derivative \( m'(r_h,t) \) are evaluated at \( r_h \) (the radius of event horizon). Interestingly, for a black hole whose mass is only time dependent, not radius dependent, \( m' = 0 \), we get

\[ T_H = \frac{\hbar}{8\pi m(t)}. \]  

(2.14)

and thus, we recover to the time-dependent Hawking temperature. Further interesting investigation would be to understand the black hole model with \( x(m) = -C(m)/m \), however it will not be discussed in this paper.
3. Hawking Temperature from Hamilton-Jacobi Method in Vaidya Space-Time

In this section, we would work in scalar field theory with gravitational background. We still work in the metric (2.1) and impose the condition \( \dot{m} = -C(m)/m^2 \) later. In this section, the condition \( (\dot{m})^2 \simeq 0 \) and \( (x(m))^2 \simeq 0 \) are very important in showing the proportionality between each expansion terms of action. To avoid confusion with \( F(r,t) \) and \( G(r,t) \) that have been used in the previous section, we rewrite metric (2.1) as

\[
\text{ds}^2 = -f(r,t) \text{dt}^2 + g(r,t)^{-1} \text{dr}^2 + r^2 \text{d}Ω^2,
\]

where \( f(r,t) \equiv \dot{m}(1 - 2mr^{-1})/x(m) \) and \( g(r,t) \equiv (1 - 2mr^{-1}) \).

Massless scalar particles under the gravitational background \( g_{\mu\nu} \) obey the Klein-Gordon equation

\[
\frac{-\hbar^2}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu [g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{-g} \partial_\nu] \phi = 0.
\]

For spherical symmetric black hole, we may reduce our attention only to \( (r-t) \) sector in the space-time, or in other words, we reduce to two dimensional black hole problems. In this consideration, equation (3.2) under the background metric (3.1) simplifies to

\[
\partial^2 \phi - \frac{1}{2fg} \left( f'g + fg' \right) \partial_r \phi - \frac{1}{2} \left( f'g + fg' \right) \partial_t \phi - fg \partial_t^2 \phi = 0.
\]

In the above, \( f = f(r,t) \) and \( g = g(r,t) \). By the standard ansatz for scalar wave function \( \phi(r,t) = \exp[\frac{-i}{\hbar} S(r,t)] \), equation (3.3) leads to the equation for the action \( S(r,t) \)

\[
\left( \frac{-i}{\hbar} \left( \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial r^2} \right) \right) - \frac{1}{2fg} \left( f'g + fg' \right) \left( \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial r^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( f'g + fg' \right) \left( \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial t^2} \right) - \frac{1}{
\]

Now, our next step is to solve this equation. An approximation method can be applied by expanding the action in the order of Planck constant power,

\[
S(r,t) = S_0(r,t) + \sum_n \alpha_n \hbar^n S_n(r,t),
\]

for \( n = 1, 2, 3, ... \). The constant \( \alpha_n \) is set to keep all the expansion terms have the action’s dimension. Taking unit dimensions \( G = c = k_B = 1, \alpha_n \) would have the dimension of \( [m]^{-2n} \) which \( m \) refers to the mass. It is clear that this expansion would lead to a very long equation. Due to the very small value of the Planck constant, many authors \( \text{[8], [9], [12], [13]} \) neglect the terms for \( n \geq 1 \). This consideration is acceptable, and including higher terms is just adding correction for semi-classical derivation of Hawking temperature. By grouping all the terms into the same powers of \( \hbar \), we could write for some lowest rank as

\[
h^0 : fg (\partial_r S_0)^2 - (\partial_t S_0)^2 = 0,
\]

\[
h^1 : ifg \partial_r^2 S_0 - i (\partial_t^2 S_0) + 2fg (\partial_r S_0) (\partial_r S_1) + \frac{i}{2} (f'g + fg') \partial_t S_0 + \frac{i}{2} \left( \frac{fg + gf}{fg} \right) \partial_t S_0 - 2 (\partial_t S_0) (\partial_t S_1) = 0.
\]
The extra value \( \sum \) semi-classical analysis. So, our next step is to find the solution of the metric (3.1) also leads to such a relation:

As obtained by Banerjee and Majhi [8] for the metric that has a time-like Killing vector, evidence, we can write the expansion (3.5) into the set of equations (3.6), one can see that each \( S \) of (3.6) is proportional to \( S_0 (r, t) \). Thus for the terms of (3.7) can not be obtained. Then one can obtain an ansatz \( \tilde{S}_0 (r, t) \), that is [9]. This mechanism means that we have used the dynamic equation for black hole mass as \( m' = C (m)/m \). Unless these conditions, the set of equations (3.7) can not be obtained. Then one can obtain a general pattern for arbitrary \( n \), thus for the terms of \( h^n \), that is \( \partial_i S_n = \pm \sqrt{f g} \partial_t S_n \). From the set of equations (3.6), one can see that each \( S_n (r, t) \) is proportional to \( S_0 (r, t) \). By this evidence, we can write the expansion (3.5) into

\[
S (r, t) = S_0 (r, t) + \sum_n \alpha_n h^n S_0 (r, t) = \left( 1 + \sum_n \alpha_n h^n \right) S_0 (r, t). \tag{3.8}
\]

The extra value \( \sum_n \alpha_n h^n S_0 (r, t) \) in (3.8) can be regarded as the correction term of the semi-classical analysis. So, our next step is to find the solution of \( S_0 (r, t) \) satisfying \( \partial_t S_0 = \pm \sqrt{f g} \partial_r S_0 \).

In the standard Hamilton-Jacobi method, \( S_0 (r, t) \) can be written into two parts, the time part which has the form of \( Et \) and the radius part \( \tilde{S}_0 (r) \) which is in general a radius dependent only. Since our metric coefficients are both radius and time dependent, the standard method would not be applicable. We could generalized the method by making an ansatz

\[
S_0 (r, t) = \int_0^t E (t') \, dt' + \tilde{S}_0 (r, t). \tag{3.9}
\]

At the first sight, it seems that the ansatz is rather strange, that is \( S (r, t) \) and \( \tilde{S}_0 (r, t) \) are both \( t \) and \( r \) dependent. The term \( \int E (t') \, dt' \) is more understandable, since the emitted
particle’s energy is continuum and time dependent. Let see how it works.

From (3.9), one can write that

$$\partial_t S_0 (r, t) = E (t) + \partial_t \tilde{S}_0 (r, t)$$

(3.10)

and

$$\partial_r S_0 (r, t) = \partial_r \tilde{S}_0 (r, t).$$

(3.11)

Since \(\tilde{S}_0 (r, t)\) is \(t\) and \(r\) dependent, one can write

$$\frac{d \tilde{S}_0 (r, t)}{dr} = \partial_r \tilde{S}_0 (r, t) + \partial_t \tilde{S}_0 (r, t) \frac{dt}{dr}.$$  

(3.12)

Eliminating \(dt/dr\) by the use of \(dr/dt = \pm \sqrt{fg}\), equation (3.12) can be written as

$$\frac{d \tilde{S}_0 (r, t)}{dr} \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{fg}} \partial_t \tilde{S}_0 (r, t) = \partial_r \tilde{S}_0 (r, t).$$

(3.13)

Combining the first equation of (3.7), with equations (3.10) and (3.13) where we should note that the action equation

$$- (fg)^{-1/2} \partial_t S_0 (r, t) = \partial_r S_0 (r, t)$$

is belong to outgoing particle and with the radial evolution is

$$dr/dt = \sqrt{fg},$$

then we could write

$$\mp (fg)^{-1/2} \left( E (t) + \partial_t \tilde{S}_0 (r, t) \right) = \frac{d \tilde{S}_0 (r, t)}{dr} \mp (fg)^{-1/2} \partial_t \tilde{S}_0 (r, t).$$

(3.14)

From (3.14), we can get the exact differentiation of \(\tilde{S}_0 (r, t)\)

$$\frac{d \tilde{S}_0 (r, t)}{dr} = \mp (fg)^{-1/2} E (t),$$

(3.15)

and the solution of \(\tilde{S}_0 (r, t)\) can be obtained by integration

$$\tilde{S}_0 (r, t) = \mp E (t) \int \frac{dr}{\sqrt{fg}}.$$  

(3.16)

After inserting the mass evolution equation, \(\dot{m} = -C (m)/m^2\), and the arbitrary function \(x (m) = -C (m)/m\), one may identify that \(f\) and \(g\) are exactly equal to \(F\) and \(G\) stated in the previous section. By this equality, the integration (3.16) can be evaluated by adopting the value of \(\int (FG)^{-1/2} dr\) as in obtaining expression (2.11) from (2.10) along with it’s approximation method (near horizon Taylor expansion). The result for the integration (3.16) is

$$\tilde{S}_0 (r, t) = \mp E (t) \frac{i \pi}{\sqrt{FG}}.$$  

(3.17)

The equation gives the complete action

$$S (r, t) = \left( 1 + \sum_n \alpha_n h^n \right) \left( \int_0^t E (t') dt' \mp E (t) \frac{i \pi}{\sqrt{FG}} \right).$$  

(3.18)
The signs + (−) in expression (3.18) refer to the action for ingoing (outgoing) particle. Back to our first ansatz for scalar wave function, \( \phi = \exp \left[ -\frac{i}{\hbar} S (r, t) \right] \), the wave function for ingoing and outgoing massless scalar particle can be read of as

\[
\phi_{\text{in}} (r, t) = \exp \left[ -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left( 1 + \sum_n \alpha_n \hbar^n \right) \left( \int_0^t E (t') dt' + E (t) \frac{i\pi}{\sqrt{F'G'}} \right) \right]
\]

(3.19)

and

\[
\phi_{\text{out}} (r, t) = \exp \left[ -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left( 1 + \sum_n \alpha_n \hbar^n \right) \left( \int_0^t E (t') dt' - E (t) \frac{i\pi}{\sqrt{F'G'}} \right) \right]
\]

(3.20)

respectively. Consequently, from (3.19) one can get the ingoing probability of particle as below

\[
P_{\text{in}} = \exp \left[ \frac{2}{\hbar} \left( 1 + \sum_n \alpha_n \hbar^n \right) \left( \text{Im} \int_0^t E (t') dt' + \frac{\pi E (t)}{\sqrt{F'G'}} \right) \right].
\]

(3.21)

This ingoing probability must be equal to unity since all particles including the massless one are absorbed by the black hole. This consideration gives us the relation

\[
\text{Im} \int_0^t E (t') dt' = -\frac{\pi E (t)}{\sqrt{F'G'}}.
\]

which leads the outgoing probability

\[
P_{\text{out}} = \exp \left[ -\frac{4\pi E (t)}{\hbar \sqrt{F'G'}} \left( 1 + \sum_n \alpha_n \hbar^n \right) \right].
\]

(3.22)

Finally, to get the Hawking temperature from the outgoing probability (3.22), we equate this probability expression with \( \exp \left[ -\beta E (t) \right] \) which in [5] is called ‘detailed balance’ principle. It yields

\[
T_{H'} = \frac{\hbar \sqrt{F'(r_h, t)} G''(r_h, t)}{4\pi \left( 1 + \sum_n \alpha_n \hbar^n \right)}.
\]

(3.23)

We use the index \( H' \) to distinguish the result (3.23) with that of (2.12). Neglecting the correction term \( \sum_n \alpha_n \hbar^n \) in the denominator of (3.23), one has recovered result (2.12) which has been obtained by the radial null geodesics at the previous section.

4. Conclusion

We have worked out the Hawking temperature for the metric with no time-like Killing vector and with the time and radius dependent coefficients. It has been shown that by the use of mass evolution \( \frac{\partial m}{\partial t} = -C(m)/m^2 \) and mass dependent function \( x(m) = -C(m)/m, \)
the resulting Hawking temperature coincides with that for the widely known time dependent one in the case of radius independent mass. By neglecting the \( \sum_n \alpha_n \hbar^n \) term in the denominator of the resulting Hawking temperature for the case of beyond semi-classical approach as given in Section 3, one recovers the result in the section 2. However, the equal obtained results in \( (2.12) \) and \( (3.22) \) with neglected correction terms are actually based on some subtleties. In section 2, we use the \( \dot{r} \) that is obtained from the coordinate after Painleve transformation which differs with a factor half with untransformed one (directly obtained from Schwarzschild like metric \( (2.3) \)). This is clear since the particle moves in the path with no singularity\(^1\). Thus, in section 3 we use the \( \dot{r} \) in deriving the action’s solution \( (3.13) \) that is obtained from Schwarzschild like metric (without Painleve transformation), since the equation of action comes from it \( (3.3) \). It needs further investigations to verify whether the results are still the same in the suitable Painleve coordinate in deriving the wave equation \( (3.2) \) (parallel to section 3.2 \[8\]).

The slowly varying mass with respect both to time and radius, considered in Section 3, affects our result on the Hawking temperature expression and the proportionality between each terms of action’s expansion. We have restored the \( x(m) \) as \( -m' \) in section 3 to get the proportionality by using our slowly varying mass condition. This consideration of course yields an equation for mass dynamics \( m' = C'(m)/m \) which needs further investigations. Of course, our analysis is not valid for the case of highly radiated black holes. There are several models that have been proposed for describing black hole radiation. But, the lack of experimental data does not enable one to compare between the models.

Further investigation on the relationship between ingoing and outgoing probabilities for the case of black hole with varying mass/energy by the use of path integral method is intriguing. This work was first performed by Hartle and Hawking \[14\] for a black hole with constant mass and constant energy of outgoing particle. The relation proposed in the paper, \( P_{\text{out}} = \exp \left[ -\beta E(t) \right] P_{\text{in}} \), is an intuitive manner as it might not be that simple.
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